Importance of the Two Dissimilatory (Nar) Nitrate Reductases in the Growth and Nitrate Reduction of the Methylotrophic Marine Bacterium Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens JAM1
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Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens JAM1 is the only reported Methylophaga species capable of growing under anaerobic conditions with nitrate as electron acceptor. Its genome encodes a truncated denitrification pathway, which includes two nitrate reductases, Nar1 and Nar2; two nitric oxide reductases, Nor1 and Nor2; and one nitrous oxide reductase, Nos; but no nitrite reductase (NirK or NirS). The transcriptome of strain JAM1 cultivated with nitrate and methanol under anaerobic conditions showed the genes for these enzymes were all expressed. We investigated the importance of Nar1 and Nar2 by knocking out narG1, narG2 or both genes. Measurement of the specific growth rate and the specific nitrate reduction rate of the knockout mutants JAM1ΔnarG1 (Nar1) and JAM1ΔnarG2 (Nar2) clearly demonstrated that both Nar systems contributed to the growth of strain JAM1 under anaerobic conditions, but at different levels. The JAM1ΔnarG1 mutant exhibited an important decrease in the nitrate reduction rate that consequently impaired its growth under anaerobic conditions. In JAM1ΔnarG2, the mutation induced a 20-h lag period before nitrate reduction occurred at specific rate similar to that of strain JAM1. The disruption of narG1 did not affect the expression of narG2. However, the expression of the Nar1 system was highly downregulated in the presence of oxygen with the JAM1ΔnarG2 mutant. These results indicated that Nar1 is the major nitrate reductase in strain JAM1 but Nar2 appears to regulate the expression of Nar1.
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INTRODUCTION

Methylophaga sp. are methylotrophic Gammaproteobacteria that are typically isolated from marine environments or brackish waters. They have a strict requirement for Na⁺ for growth and use one-carbon compounds such as methanol or methylamine (but not methane) as sole carbon and energy sources with carbon assimilation proceeding via the 2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate (KDPG) aldolase-variant of the ribulose monophosphate (RuMP) pathway (Boden, 2012). They all are strictly aerobic with the exception of Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens JAM1, which can grow under anaerobic conditions by reducing nitrate into nitrite but does not reduce...
The nitrate-reducing activity of *M. nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1 is correlated with the presence and expression of two distinct dissimilatory Nar nitrate reductases (Nar1 and Nar2; Auclair et al., 2010; Villeneuve et al., 2013). Nar nitrate reductases are membrane-bound enzymes in which the catalytic subunit faces the cytoplasm and catalyzes the reduction of nitrate into nitrite for energy production (Bonnefoy and Demoss, 1994). They are multimeric enzymes typically encoded by the *narGHI* operon with *narGHJI* encoding the subunits of the enzyme. (Gonzalez et al., 2006). The expression of the *narGHJI* operon is induced by a high concentration of nitrate and low concentration of oxygen. However, many counterexamples exist. In *M. nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1, the *narG1* and *narG2* genes have been shown to be expressed in the presence or absence of nitrate and oxygen in pure cultures, which indicates putative constitutive expressions of these genes under these conditions. However, only *narG1* expression was observed in the biofilm, which suggests differential expression mechanisms and roles for these two Nar systems (Auclair et al., 2012). Although open reading frame (ORF) encoding a nitrite reductase (NirS- or NirK-type) was absent in strain JAM1 genome, gene clusters encoding two nitric oxide reductases (Nor1 and Nor2) and one nitrous oxide reductase (Nos) were found. The gene clusters encoding for these five reductases (Nar1, Nar2, Nor1, Nor2 and Nos) and constituting an incomplete denitrification pathway are located in close proximity in a 67 kb chromosomal region (Villeneuve et al., 2013).

The importance of each Nar system in nitrate reduction and growth in *M. nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1 was assessed by generating *narG1* and *narG2* knockout mutants of strain JAM1. These mutants were tested for their abilities to grow and reduce nitrate under different conditions. Changes in the expression levels of the two *narGs* were then measured by reverse transcription-real-time PCR (RT-qPCR). We also measured the effects of such mutations on the expression levels of the nitrate transporter genes *narK*. Our results revealed that the two Nar systems contribute to growth and nitrate reduction but at different levels. Furthermore, the transcriptome of *M. nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1 that was grown under anaerobic conditions was derived to assess the expression level of the denitrification genes. Our results showed that the *nar1* gene cluster was eight times more expressed than the *nar2* gene cluster. Determination of the importance to these two nitrate reductases will help to decipher some of the mechanisms of denitrification in a marine environment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions**

*Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1 (ATCC BAA-2433 T, DSM 25689 T) and the knockout mutants were cultured in the *Methylophaga* medium 1403 (Villeneuve et al., 2013). This medium contained 37 mM ammonium chloride. After autoclaving, methanol, solution T, Wolf’s mineral solution, vitamin B12 and nitrate were added under sterile conditions. Aerobic cultures were made in 200-ml Erlenmeyer flasks with constant shaking at 350 rpm. For the cultures under anaerobic conditions, 30 ml of non-sterile 1403 medium was dispensed into 70-ml serum bottles, which were then flushed with 15 min with N2 to remove oxygen from the headspace. The bottles were sealed with rubber stoppers and metal seals and autoclaved. Inoculum was made of fresh aerobic culture without nitrate to reach an optical density (OD 600 nm) of 0.25. Nitrate was added as sodium nitrate (NaNO3) at a final concentration of 40 mM. Biological replicates were conducted for all growth experiments and experiments were repeated once on a different day. The maximum specific growth rates were derived by non-linear regression with the Monod equation (Healey, 1980).

Bacterial growth was monitored by spectrophotometry (OD 600 nm). Samples were homogenized prior to measurements with a Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer to disperse flocs, which appeared in aerobic cultures of all strains. Nitrate and nitrite concentrations were determined by ion chromatography using the 850 Professional IC (Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland) with a Metrosep® A Supp 5 analytical column (250 mm × 4.0 mm).

For the N2O reduction culture assays, strain JAM1 was cultured under anaerobic conditions with 40 mM NaNO3 and 100 µmol N2O injected in the headspace (3500 ppmv).

**Construction of the Knockout Mutants**

A protocol modified from Thongdee et al. (2008) was used to construct the JAM1ΔnarG1 and the JAM1ΔnarG2 mutants. The upstream and downstream regions of *narG1* and *narG2* were amplified and subsequently fused together with two rounds of PCR (Supplementary Image S1). The JAM1ΔnarG1narG2 double mutant was obtained using the same protocol with JAM1ΔnarG1 as the host strain.

The first round of PCR was performed in a 50-µl reaction volume with the ThermoPol® Buffer (New England Biolab), 10 µg bovine serum albumin (BSA), 200 µM deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP), 100 pmol of each primer (Supplementary Table S1), 100 ng of strain JAM1 DNA and 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase. PCR was performed at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 63.5°C or 64°C for 45 s for the ΔnarG1 or ΔnarG2 constructions, respectively, 68°C for 30 s (Supplementary Table S1), and a final extension period of 10 min at 68°C. The second round of PCR was performed with the same PCR reagents, 50 ng of each of the first round amplicons and 100 pmoles of the narG1-up/narG1-dnR or narG2-up/narG2-dnR primers (Supplementary Table S1). However, these primers were added only after the third PCR cycle to allow the two amplicons to join together. PCR was then performed as in the...
first PCR round. The expected DNA fragments were extracted by agarose gel electrophoresis and purified using Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega). The fragments were ligated into the pEX18Gm plasmid vector (Hoang et al., 1998) via Sacl and BamHI for the narG1 knockout mutant and EcoRI and PstI for the narG2 knockout mutant. The DNA constructions were then cloned in Escherichia coli DH5α (Sambrook et al., 2001) and screened for gentamicin-resistant clones. Plasmid DNA was extracted from the representative clones, and the presence of the insert in the plasmid was verified by enzymatic digestion with Sacl and BamHI or EcoRI and PstI. The respective constructions were electroporated in strain JAM1 (or in the JAM1 ΔnarG1 mutant for the double mutant) using the following protocol: strain JAM1 was cultured for 24 h to reach an OD600 of 0.6; 1 ml of the culture was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 1 min; the cells were washed five times with 300 mM of a sucrose solution to remove all salts and then concentrated in 100 μl of sucrose solution; the electroporation was performed on ice with 100 μl of bacterial cells and 100 ng of plasmid DNA at 2500 V; after the electric shock, the cells were transferred in the 1403 medium and incubated at 30°C for 4 h and then centrifuged and plated on 1403 agar medium supplemented with gentamicin (50 μg/ml); the resistant colonies were transferred in 1403 medium supplemented with 50 μg/ml of gentamicin; and the simple recombinants were plated on 1403 agar medium supplemented with sucrose (10%) to isolate the double recombinants using the sucrose sensitivity gene sacB of pEX18Gm. The gene arrangements of the knockout mutants were confirmed by PCR. Attempts to do complementation assays in the mutants by electroporation or by conjugation with the MiniCTX1, MiniCTX2, and pUC18-miniTn7 integrative vectors were unsuccessful.

**RNA Extraction**

To measure the transcriptional level of narG1, narG2, narK1, and narK12f by RT-qPCR, total RNA was extracted from strain JAM1 and the JAM1 ΔnarG1 and JAM1 ΔnarG2 mutants that were cultivated under the following conditions: (1) aerobic without nitrate, (2) aerobic with nitrate and (3) anaerobic with nitrate. Total RNA from strain JAM1 (anaerobic conditions with nitrate) was also used to investigate the possible co-transcription of narK1narK2 and nar[1]GJHJ1, of nar[2]GJHJ1 and ppi, and of ppi and narK12f. In all cases, samples (5–30 ml) were taken during the exponential growth phase (20–24 h of incubation). The bacteria were centrifuged and dispersed in the extraction solution (composed of 500 μl of phenol-Tris-HCl, pH 4.3 and 1.5 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM EDTA, and 150 mM NaCl) and placed into 2-ml tubes containing 250 mg of 0.2 mm glass beads. The samples were then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until extraction.

After thawing, RNA was extracted by bead beating twice for 20 s with a FastPrep-24® (MPTM) set at 4.0. The tubes were then centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C, and 500 μl of the upper phase was extracted three times with a phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol mix (25:24:1) and one time with chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1). The RNA was then recovered by precipitation with 100 μl of 10 M ammonium acetate and 800 μl of 100% ethanol. After centrifugation at 16,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was dried at room temperature. Finally, the RNA was dissolved in DEPC-treated water and stored at 4°C. The RNA extracts were treated twice with Turbo™ DNase (Ambion) for 30 min to remove all contaminant DNA. The absence of DNA was verified by end-point PCR. Extractions were made separately from three independent samples.

**Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens JAM1 Transcriptome**

*Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1 transcriptome was derived from total RNA extracted of anaerobic cultures with methanol and 40 mM nitrate. RNA was sent to McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre for RNA sequencing by Illumina method. The Ribo-Zero™ rRNA Removal Kits (Metabacteria; Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA) were used to deplete total RNA of the ribosomal RNA. The RNA was then treated with the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). A total of 51,351,358, 49,962,176, and 52,021,554 reads were obtained for the three replicates after sequencing. All computations were made on the supercomputer Briarée from the Université de Montréal, managed by Calcul Québec and Compute Canada. Raw RNA-seq files have been deposited in the NCBI database (accession number SRP066381). Raw reads were filtered to remove low quality reads using FASTX toolkit1 by discarding any reads with more than 10% nucleotides with a PHRED score <20. Reads were then aligned with the reference genome *M. nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1 (GenBank accession number CP003390) using Bowtie (v 2.2.3) with default parameters. SAMtools (v 0.1.18) and BEDtools (v 2.20.1) were used for the generation of sam and bam files, respectively. The GC content of JAM1 genes was also calculated using BEDtools (v 2.20.1), prior to normalization. Normalization of the read count was done using the RPKM normalization function of the NOIseq package in R (Tarazona et al., 2011). To exclude features with low read counts, a low count filter was applied using a CPM method with a CPM value of 1 and a cutoff of 100 for the coefficient of variation.

Non-ribosomal RNA reads were associated with 3,095 of 3,096 annotated genes. The number of reads in corresponding genes between replicate cultures varied in the percentage of standard variation (%SD: SD*100/average number of reads) from 0 to 107%, with an average %SD of 12.2% and a median %SD of 9.7%. Only 48 annotated genes showed %SD above 40%. These results showed that, for the vast majority of genes, the expression pattern between replicates was consistent. Because methanol dehydrogenase is a key element of the metabolism in methylotrichs, the expression level of the reported genes in this study was normalized to the level of mxaF (10130 normalized reads), which was arbitrarily set at 100.

**RT-PCR**

One step RT-PCRs were performed using QIAGEN® OneStep RT-PCT Kits following the manufacturer’s protocol with 200 ng

---

1http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/
of total RNA extracted from strain JAM1 and 100 pmol of the primers narK2-narG1-F/narK2-narG1-R, narI2-Ppi-F/narI2-Ppi-R, or Ppi-narK12f-F/Ppi-narK12f-R (Supplementary Table S2). Briefly, the reaction began with a reverse transcription step of 30 min at 50°C followed by an initial PCR activation step of 15 min at 95°C. Next, 30 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 45 s at 60°C and 1 min at 72°C were performed with a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. The amplicons were visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis and revealed by ethidium bromide staining. Negative controls were RT-PCR carried out with no template.

**RT-qPCR Assays**

cDNAs were generated from the RNA using hexameric primers and the Reverse Transcription System from Promega (Madison, WI, USA) with 1 μg of RNA extract. Real-time quantitative PCR assays were performed with the PerfeCTa SYBR® Green SuperMix ROX™ (Quanta). The final volumes of all reactions were 20 μL, and the amplification mix was composed of 10 μL of PerfeCTa® SYBR® Green SuperMix, 0.4 μL of each primer (40 pmol; Supplementary Table S2), 4.2 μL of RNA-free water and 5 μL of cDNA (25 ng). All reactions were performed in a Rotor-Gene 6000 real-time PCR machine (Corbett Life science). PCR began with an initial denaturation step of 10 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 15 s at 60°C, and 20 s at 72°C. To confirm the purity of the amplified products, a melting curve was performed by increasing the temperature from 65 to 95°C in increments of 1°C per step with a pause of 5 s for each step. The reference genes used were dnaG (primase; locus tag: Q7A_342), rpoD (sigma factor; Q7A_343) and rpoB (RNA polymerase β subunit; Q7A_2329; Supplementary Table S2). These genes were retrieved from the strain JAM1 genome sequence (GenBank accession number CP003390). All genes for each sample were tested in a single run. The amplification efficiencies for all primer pairs varied between 0.9 and 1.1.

**Relative Quantification**

Relative quantifications were performed according to the ΔΔC_T method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). For each quantification calculation, only one reference gene was used as a control. The reference gene was chosen from among the three genes tested based on the lowest variability according to geNorm (Vandesompele et al., 2002), Normfinder (Andersen et al., 2004), BestKeeper (Pfaffl et al., 2004) and the comparative ΔΔC_T method (Silver et al., 2006). A final recommended comprehensive ranking was created from the geometrical means of the weights assigned by the four tests to allow for the selection of the appropriate control gene. The Prism software (version 5.00) was used for the statistical analyses, and significance was determined according to Student t-tests of the ΔC_Ts (α value = 0.05).

**Fnr and NarL DNA-Binding Motifs**

The genomic sequence of *M. nitratireducenticrescens* JAM1 was analyzed in the upstream sequence of the narX, narK1, narG2, and narK12f for potential transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) of Fnr/Anr and NarL regulators using the Virtual Footprint software of PRODORIC (Munch et al., 2005). Briefly, the software allowed the analysis of promoter sequences with position weight matrices (PWMs) of known TFBSs from the PRODORIC database. A score was assigned to hits depending on the degrees of matches with the PWM. Potential regulator binding sequence scores were calculated with PWM. Threshold scores of 3.7, 5.0, and 7.0 were considered according to mean scores of PWMs for NarL (*E. coli*), NarL (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*), and Fnr (*E. coli*), respectively.

**RESULTS**

**The Chromosomic Arrangement of the Denitrification Genes and their Expression Under Anaerobic Conditions**

The two narGHJI operons (*nar[1]GHJI* and *nar[2]GHJI*) that encode the Nar1 and Nar2 systems, respectively, are in close proximity and in opposite directions (Figure 1). Two ORFs encoding NarK transporters were found upstream of the *nar[1]GHJI* operon, and they corresponded, respectively, to the NarK1-type and NarK2-type transporters. NarK1 is a nitrate/proton symporter that uses the proton motive force.

![Gene arrangement of the 67 kbp region containing all of the denitrification genes](Image)

**Figure 1** Gene arrangement of the 67 kbp region containing all of the denitrification genes. Sequences and annotations are from GenBank accession number CP003390.2. The numbers above the gene arrangement are the tag locus numbers (Q7A_0430 to Q7A_488). *The corresponding narG that were mutated to derive the knockout mutants. See Supplementary Table S3 for the description of the 58 genes and the relative level of transcript reads.
to transport nitrate molecules into the cytoplasm, whereas NarK2 is a nitrate/nitrite antiporter that is able to uptake a nitrate molecule into the cytoplasm by releasing a nitrite molecule into the periplasm (Moir and Wood, 2001). The narK genes can be found upstream of the narGHJI operon in a large number of denitrifiers, and some species have a pair of narKs at this position, as in strain JAM1 (Schreiber et al., 2007). Another ORF encoding a fused NarK1-NarK2 transporter (here named narK12f; Goddard et al., 2008) is located downstream of the nar[2]GHJI operon. Between the narK12f and the nar[2]GHJI operons is an ORF encoding a parvalin-like peptidyl-prolyl isomerase (ppi). This type of protein is known to be involved in protein folding (Gothel and Marahiel, 1999). To highlight the potential co-transcription of narK1narK2 and nar[1]GHJI, of nar[2]GHJI and ppi, and of ppi and narK12f, pairs of primers were designed to target the intergenic sequences of narK2-narG1 (40 nt), nar12-ppi (17 nt) and ppi-narK12f (18 nt) with RT-PCR. RT-PCR products were obtained for the intergenic regions of narK2-narG1 but not for the intergenic region of nar12-ppi and of ppi-narK12f (Supplementary Image S2), which suggests co-transcription of narK1narK2 with nar[1]GHJI.

Upstream of narK1narK2nar[1]GHJI are two ORFs that encode a two-component system similar to the nitrate/nitrite sensor system NarXL. The expressions of many genes are under control of this two-component system in E. coli, including the nitrate transporter narK and narGHJI (Li et al., 1994). NarL potential binding sites were found upstream of narK1narK2nar[1]GHJI, nar[2]GHJI, and narXL (Table 1).

Strain JAM1 contains, in addition to the two nar gene clusters, two gene clusters predicted to encode two nitric oxide reductases (Nor) and one nitrous oxide reductase (Nos; Figure 1). Based on automated annotation, we reported previously that a small ORF (Q7A_474) encodes a truncated NirK (Villeneuve et al., 2013). Scrupulous analyses of this sequence revealed that it encodes a 137 amino acid protein with a cytochrome c binding site (CXXCH). Among the most related sequences are some NirK that contain this domain (Ellis et al., 2007). However, we did not find any related domains (e.g., copper binding sites) specific to NirK, which suggested that this ORF encodes cytochrome c. Sequence homology analyses in databases were negative for potential NirS/NirK in the strain JAM1 genome.

Based on the transcriptome analysis, the gene expression level of the nar1 gene cluster under anaerobic conditions is approximately eightfold higher than the nar2 gene cluster and narK12f (Table 2). Despite the absence of a gene encoding nitrite reductase to generate NO, gene clusters encoding Nor1 and Nos were expressed at higher levels than the two nar gene clusters (Table 2). These results suggested that strain JAM1 can reduce NO and N2O. Indeed, strain JAM1 cultured under anaerobic conditions with nitrate and N2O can completely reduce N2O in 24 h (Figure 2).

Genes involved in the NO stress response, nsrR (two ORFs), nnrS (three ORFs) and dnrN, were found (Table 2), and all were expressed. NsrR is a transcriptional regulator from the Rrf2 family and it regulates the expression of multiple genes, such as norB in response to NO (Wang et al., 2008; Spiro, 2011). NnrS and DnrN are involved in protection against NO damage (Heurlier et al., 2008; Stern et al., 2013). These results suggested that strain JAM1 possesses different mechanisms, already expressed under anaerobic conditions, in response to potential NO damage.

**Effects of the Knockout Out Mutations of narG1 and narG2 on Growth and on Nitrate Reduction**

The investigation of the importance of each Nar system in strain JAM1 was possible by establishing a knockout mutation protocol. The construction of single gene knockout mutants of the two narGs and a narG1narG2 double mutant was achieved. The effects of each mutation on growth and nitrate reduction were investigated under three different conditions: aerobic without nitrate, aerobic with nitrate and anaerobic with nitrate (Figure 3).

Under aerobic conditions with nitrate (Figures 3A–C), strain JAM1 and the three mutants exhibited similar growth patterns (Figure 3A). Only the JAM1ΔnarG1narG2 double mutant cultures presented with a higher growth yield in the stationary phase. This growth yield was also reached by all strains when they were cultured under aerobic conditions without nitrate (Figure 3A). The deletion of narG1 (JAM1ΔnarG1) resulted in an 81% decrease in the nitrate specific reduction rate relative to strain JAM1, and the deletion of narG2 (JAM1ΔnarG2) resulted in a 36% reduction.

In contrast to the observations under aerobic conditions, strain JAM1 and the three mutants exhibited distinct growth patterns when cultured under anaerobic conditions (Figure 3D). The JAM1ΔnarG1narG2 double mutant exhibited a minimal level of growth which was also observed in the anaerobic nitrate-free culture controls (Figure 3D). Therefore, this growth was likely the result of residual O2 contained in the complement solution or introduced during sampling. The lack of the narG2 gene led to a lower growth rate of the JAM1ΔnarG2 mutant, but the growth yield reached by this mutant was similar to that of

| Upstream sequence | Site | Distance (nt)* | Score | Sequence |
|-------------------|------|---------------|-------|----------|
| narK1narK2nar[1]GHJI | NarL | 40 | 3.87 | TACCGTT |
| NarL | 57 | 3.76 | TACTCTA |
| NarL | 34 | 3.72 | TACACCT |
| Nar[2]GHJI | NarL | 129 | 5.25 | TACCGAT |
| NarL | 71 | 5.02 | TGCCGCT |
| NarXL | NarL | 274 | 3.98 | TACCGAT |
| NarL | 38 | 3.88 | TACCGAT |
| NarL | 134 | 3.79 | TACCGAT |
| NarL | 232 | 3.55 | TACCGAT |
| FNR/ANR | 92 | 7.62 | TACCGAT |

*Distance from the start codon.*

**Table 1** Sequences of potential NarL and ANR binding sites.
TABLE 2 | Relative level of transcriptomic reads of the denitrification genes.

| Locus tag  | Reads | Relative to mxaF* | Gene name | Locus tag  | Reads | Relative to mxaF* | Gene name |
|------------|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|-------|------------------|-----------|
| **Nitrate reductase (Nar1), regulator and transporter** | | | | **Nitrate reductase (Nar2) and transporter** | | | |
| Q7A_0441 | 589 | 5.8 | narX | Q7A_0479 | 101 | 1.0 | narK12f |
| Q7A_0442 | 511 | 5.0 | narL | Q7A_0480 | 81 | 0.8 | ppi |
| Q7A_0444 | 959 | 9.5 | narK1 | Q7A_0481 | 70 | 0.7 | narl |
| Q7A_0445 | 591 | 5.8 | narK2 | Q7A_0482 | 117 | 1.2 | narJ |
| Q7A_0446 | 871 | 8.6 | narG | Q7A_0483 | 99 | 1.0 | narH |
| Q7A_0447 | 897 | 6.9 | narH | Q7A_0484 | 164 | 1.6 | narG |
| Q7A_0448 | 948 | 9.4 | narJ | | | | |
| Q7A_0449 | 794 | 7.8 | narJ | | | | |
| **Nitric oxide reductase (Nor)** | | | | **Nitric oxide reductase (Nor2)** | | | |
| Q7A_0431 | 188 | 1.9 | norQ | Q7A_0485 | 59 | 0.6 | norD |
| Q7A_0432 | 268 | 2.7 | norD | Q7A_0486 | 116 | 1.1 | norQ |
| Q7A_0433 | 1432 | 14.1 | norB | Q7A_0487 | 121 | 1.2 | norB |
| Q7A_0434 | 1618 | 16.0 | norC | Q7A_0488 | 195 | 1.9 | norC |
| Q7A_0435 | 482 | 4.8 | norR | | | | |
| Q7A_0436 | 455 | 4.5 | norE | | | | |
| **Nitrous oxide reductase (Nos)** | | | | **Genes related to denitrification** | | | |
| Q7A_0458 | 994 | 9.8 | nosR | Q7A_0438 | 511 | 5.0 | nrrS |
| Q7A_0459 | 1473 | 14.5 | nosZ | Q7A_0066 | 830 | 8.2 | nrrS |
| Q7A_0461 | 490 | 4.8 | nosD | Q7A_1801 | 426 | 4.2 | nrrS |
| Q7A_0462 | 280 | 2.8 | nosF | Q7A_0067 | 2315 | 22.8 | nrrR |
| Q7A_0463 | 262 | 2.6 | nosY | Q7A_0409 | 138 | 1.4 | nrrR |
| Q7A_0464 | 311 | 3.1 | nosL | Q7A_0068 | 3481 | 34.4 | dnrN |
| Q7A_0307 | 401 | 4.0 | anr | | | | |

Reads, Number of normalized reads. *Based on mxaF (10130 normalized reads) set at 100. NnrS, protein involved in response to NO; NsrR, Nitrite-sensitive transcriptional repressor; Anr, transcriptional regulator; DnrN or NorA, Nitric oxide-dependent regulator. Information was based on GenBank annotations (CP003390).

**FIGURE 2 | N2O reduction by strain JAM1.** Strain JAM1 was cultured in triplicate under anaerobic conditions with nitrate (40 mM) and N2O (circle). A control with no biomass was also conducted (square).

The nitrate consumption of each strain appeared to be correlated to growth (Figure 3E). Strain JAM1 reduced all of the nitrate contained in the medium within only 40 h with no delay, although the precultures were grown under aerobic conditions without nitrate. The JAM1ΔnarG2 mutant only began to reduce nitrate after 20 h of incubation, which corresponded to the beginning of its exponential growth phase. Total nitrate reduction was achieved after 55 h of incubation. The JAM1ΔnarG1 mutant also exhibited a delay in nitrate reduction, which began after 23 h of incubation. The reduction of nitrate was still occurring during the late stationary phase. In all conditions, nitrite accumulated proportionally to nitrate reduction (Figures 3C,F). Finally, no reduction of nitrate or the production of nitrite was observed for the JAM1ΔnarG1narG2 double mutant under aerobic or anaerobic conditions, which demonstrated that no other dissimilatory nitrate reduction enzymes were active in strain JAM1, as predicted by the genome sequence.

Mass balance analysis of nitrate reduction and nitrite accumulation in strain JAM1 and the single mutants cultured under anaerobic conditions (Figure 3) showed 7% decrease in average nitrite concentration over 55 days (0.05 mM NO3-NO2).
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FIGURE 3 | Growth, nitrate reduction and nitrite production in cultures of strain JAM1 and the derivative narG mutants. Strain JAM1 and the narG mutants were cultured under aerobic conditions without nitrate (A, dot lines), under aerobic conditions with nitrate (A–C, solid lines) or under anaerobic conditions with nitrate (D–F, solid lines). Control with strain JAM1 and no nitrate was also conducted under anaerobic conditions (D, diamond with dash line). (A) and (D): Growth. (B) and (E): nitrate reduction. (C) and (F): nitrite production.

Strain JAM1 and the narG mutants were cultured under aerobic conditions without nitrate (A, dot lines), under aerobic conditions with nitrate (A–C, solid lines) or under anaerobic conditions with nitrate (D–F, solid lines). Control with strain JAM1 and no nitrate was also conducted under anaerobic conditions (D, diamond with dash line). (A) and (D): Growth. (B) and (E): nitrate reduction. (C) and (F): nitrite production.

Reduced h⁻¹), with no apparent decrease in the abiotic controls. This slight decrease could be the result of the nitrate assimilation pathway present in strain JAM1 genome, and concurred with the low number of reads corresponding to this pathway present in the transcriptome (data not shown).

Strain JAM1 and the JAM1ΔnarG1 and JAM1ΔnarG2 mutants were cultured under anaerobic conditions with different concentrations of nitrate to derive the maximum specific growth rates and the half-saturation constants of nitrate for growth (μ_max and K_s; Figure 4). The μ_max of the JAM1ΔnarG1 and JAM1ΔnarG2 mutants were 3.2 and 2.2 times lower than the μ_max of strain JAM1, respectively (Table 3), which suggest additive effect of both Nar systems for growth. To assess the affinities of strain JAM1 and the mutants toward nitrate for growth, the μ_max/K_s ratios were calculated (Healey, 1980). These ratios were in same order of magnitude ranging from 1.0 to 3.1.

Relative Expression Levels of narG1, narG2, narK1, and narK12f

Strain JAM1 and the JAM1ΔnarG1 and JAM1ΔnarG2 mutants were cultivated under aerobic conditions with or without nitrate and under anaerobic conditions, and the biomasses were collected at the end of the exponential phase when nitrate reduction activity was present in all strains. RT-qPCR assays were
then performed to measure the relative transcript levels of the two nar gene clusters and narK1 and narK12f. The transport of nitrate into the cytoplasm is a key parameter in nitrate reduction by membrane-bound nitrate reductases because the efficiency of nitrate reduction depends on their presence (Bonnefoy and Demoss, 1992; Ferguson, 1994; Moir and Wood, 2001; Sohaskey and Wayne, 2003; Clegg et al., 2006).

The cultivation of strain JAM1 under aerobic conditions with nitrate led to a fourfold decrease in narG1 and narK1 expression (Figure 5A) relative to aerobic conditions without nitrate (reference culture set at one), whereas narG2 and narK12f were expressed at a significantly greater level (eight and sevenfold increase, respectively) under anaerobic conditions. There were no significant differences in narG2 expression levels between strain JAM1 and JAM1ΔnarG1 under any of the culture conditions (Figure 5B), whereas slight significant variations occurred in the expression of narK12f. The narK1 expression in JAM1ΔnarG1 cultivated under anaerobic conditions with nitrate decreased by 11-fold relative to strain JAM1 cultivated under the same conditions. In JAM1ΔnarG2 cultivated under aerobic conditions with or without nitrate, the level of narG1 and narK1 transcripts was much lower (25- and 27-fold decrease for narG1 and 20- and 4-fold decrease for narK1, respectively) than those in strain JAM1 cultivated under the same conditions (Figure 5C). Finally, no significant change was observed in the expression levels of narK12f between JAM1ΔnarG2 and strain JAM1 cultivated under any conditions (Figure 5C).

**DISCUSSION**

Genetic tools are essential for deciphering the different mechanisms of action of organisms. These tools are rarely readily available with new environmental bacterial species and they have to be derived from other systems or developed entirely. In this study, the investigation of the importance of the two Nar systems in strain JAM1 were possible by establishing a knockout mutation protocol. The strategy of building the narG gene knockout mutants was derived from that of Hoang et al. (1998), and this strategy has been successfully applied in *P. aeruginosa* (Déziel et al., 2004; Colvin et al., 2011; Jain and Kazmierczak, 2014). This study is the first report of *Methylophaga* gene knockout mutants. The targeting strategy developed in our study will certainly allow for the determination of the involvements of different *Methylophaga* genotypes in future studies. However, other genetic tools such as integrative vectors for gene complementation or autonomous plasmids for gene regulation studies have still to be developed.

The presence of the two Nar systems could allow strain JAM1 to reduce more nitrate in a defined time, which produces more energy for the cells and thus allows faster growth. The inactivation of each of the two Nar systems clearly showed that both systems contributed to the growth of strain JAM1 under anaerobic conditions, but at different levels. The differences between the two mutants in growth rate and nitrate reduction could be explained by differences in specific activity of the two nitrate reductases, by higher concentration of the Nar1 system in the membrane, or both. The calculated \( \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}}{K_s} \) ratios of the two mutants suggest that both Nar systems have similar specific activity toward nitrate for growth. On the other hand, the transcriptome of strain JAM1 cultivated under anaerobic conditions showed that the nar1 gene cluster was eight times more expressed than the nar2 gene cluster, suggesting higher concentration of the Nar1 system during these growth conditions. Interestingly, the Nar2 system seems to have another effect to the nitrate reduction dynamics as the lack of Nar2 action in JAM1ΔnarG2 induced the 20-h lag time prior to nitrate reduction that occurred in this mutant by the Nar1 system when cultured under anaerobic conditions.

Strain JAM1 demonstrated a large capacity in nitrate reduction, even under aerobic conditions. This would suggest a

---

**TABLE 3 | Kinetics of growth and nitrate reduction under denitrifying conditions.**

| Specific growth rates | Strain JAM1 | JAM1ΔnarG1 | JAM1ΔnarG2 |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| \( \mu_{\text{max}}(\text{h}^{-1}) \) | 0.0116 (0.0008) | 0.0036 (0.0003) | 0.0063 (0.0002) |
| \( K_s (\text{mM}) \) | 9.2 (1.9) | 3.6 (1.2) | 1.7 (0.4) |
| \( \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}}{K_s} (\text{mM}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}) \) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.6) | 3.1 (0.6) |

\( \mu_{\text{max}} \) maximum specific growth rates. \( K_s \) half-saturation constants of nitrate for growth. Values between parentheses are standard deviation of triplicates.
The high nitrate reduction capacity of strain JAM1 under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions suggests high level of the basal expression of nitrate reductases, in particular the Nar1 system (Table 2). However, in absence of the Nar2 system (JAM1ΔnarG2), gene expression of narG1 and narK1 (both are co-transcribed) was deeply affected (ca. 25-fold decrease) by the presence of oxygen. In presence of oxygen and nitrate, gene expression of narG1 and narK1 of strain JAM1 was also downregulated but at a lower extent (fourfold decrease). These results suggest that the Nar2 system contributes in the regulation of the expression of the Nar1 system in the presence of oxygen in strain JAM1. How this regulation occurs (directly or indirectly) is unknown. There is still the possibility that this effect is an artifact created by the gene deletion. Regulation by cis- or trans-regulatory elements from the vector was excluded, because the three mutants were generated by double recombination and that no trace of the vector was found. Attempts to generate complement strains failed with three integrative vectors that were successfully used with other gamma-proteobacteria.

While it is not infrequent to find multiple nitrate reductases in a single bacterial species, the presence of multiple nitrate reductases of the same type has been reported only rarely in previous studies (Bonnefoy and Demoss, 1994; Chang et al., 1999; Potter et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2011; Hartslock and Shapleigh, 2011). For instance, E. coli expresses two different cytoplasmic membrane nitrate reductases, nitrate reductase A (NRA) and nitrate reductase Z (NRZ), which are encoded by two different operons, narGHIJ and narZYWV, respectively (Bonnefoy and Demoss, 1994). The roles of these two Nar systems in the cell appear to be distinct because NRA reduction activity accounts for 98% of all of the nitrate reduction activity of E. coli. The expression of narGHIJ is positively controlled by nitrate concentration through the NarXL two-component system and is strongly repressed by oxygen. Unlike what was observed in strain JAM1, growth of the E. coli ΔnarZ mutant expressing only the NRA system is similar to that of the wild type strain.
in minimal medium in which nitrate is the sole final electron acceptor, while the E. coli ΔnarG mutant expressing only the NRZ system is unable to grow under these conditions (Potter et al., 1999). In Hyphomicrobium zavarzinii that also encodes two Nar systems, the expression of only one nar gene cluster was deeply downregulated by oxygen (Martineau et al., 2015).

Although in pure culture, strain JAM1 accumulated nitrite, in the denitrifying biofilm, where it was isolated, nitrite is most likely processed by other denitrifying bacteria such as H. nitrativorans NL23, the second most represented bacteria in the biofilm (Labbé et al., 2007; Auclair et al., 2012; Martineau et al., 2013). Both bacteria are methylotroph, but assimilate differently the carbon. Methylophaga sp. process methanol via the RuMP pathway, whereas Hyphomicrobium sp. via the serine pathway. Other denitrifying bacteria were found in the biofilm but they represented less than 1% of total bacteria (data not shown). One model is that syntrophy was established in the biofilm between M. nitratireductocrescens JAM1 and H. nitrativorans NL23 for denitrification. Also, because Methylophaga sp. are known to produce the osmo-protectant ectoine (Doronina et al., 2010), strain NL23 would have been protected against osmotic stress as it is intolerant to seawater in pure culture (Martineau et al., 2013, 2015). Genes involved in the production of ectoine were found among the most expressed in strain JAM1 pure culture (ectABC: 35–49 relative to mxAF).

CONCLUSION

The transcriptional level of nar1 was higher than nar2 in strain JAM1 cultured under anaerobic conditions. The absence of the Nar1 system had a more negative effect on the growth rate and nitrate reduction rate than the absence of Nar2. These results suggested that the Nar1 system is the major nitrate reductase in strain JAM1. However, the Nar2 system appears to contribute in the regulation of the expression of the Nar1 system. Its absence induced a 20-h lag time in nitrate reduction. At the gene level, the nar1 gene cluster is deeply downregulated by oxygen in the absence of the Nar1 system. In contrast, the absence of the Nar1 system did not affect the level of the nar2 transcripts. New knowledge generated in this study about the importance of the two dissimilatory (Nar) nitrate reductases in M. nitratireductocrescens JAM1 could allow for a better understanding of the denitrification pathway within the biofilm microbial population where strain JAM1 was isolated.
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