ABSTRACT

Background: To combat the reproductive and child health (RCH) problems, National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) had launched Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY) in April 2005 in all states and union territories to promote institutional deliveries among the poor population through provision of antenatal, intra-natal and post-natal care services for women to have healthy outcomes of pregnancy and child birth. Hence this study has been undertaken on one of the important aspect of services that is antenatal care services with the objective to assess the utilization of antenatal care services among JSY beneficiaries in rural area.

Methods: Cross sectional observational study done in the area under rural health training centre of a private medical college of Pune district. 1st October 2014 to 30th September 2015. Study sample: All JSY beneficiaries who delivered during study period (1st October 2014 to 30th September 2015). One to one interview with the all 155 beneficiaries was conducted using the pretested, questionnaire. The interview was timed at minimum 6 week interval after the delivery. Data analysis was done by using SPSS 20.0 statistical software.

Results: Majority 78 (50.3%) of beneficiaries out of 155 got registered themselves within 12 weeks of pregnancy. Antenatal coverage was quite good. Coverage of injection tetanus toxoid was 100% and all essential investigation have been carried out. Association between literacy level (up to higher secondary level) of beneficiaries and number of ANC visits was found statistically significant (p<0.001).

Conclusions: In present study utilization of ANC services was found to be good.
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INTRODUCTION

Health in its broad sense is not merely the absence of disease or provision of diagnostic or curative services. In India, the government believes that health maintenance of people is a fundamental human right, does continuous efforts to reduce mortality and morbidity among vulnerable population. Maternal and child health issues in India remain in forefront of national health policies and programmes.

WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA had reported that in 2015 India and Nigeria account for one third of maternal deaths worldwide. India during 2015 alone accounts for 15% of maternal deaths worldwide. One of the major concerns of the reproductive and child health (RCH) Program phase II, is the extremely low percentage of institutional deliveries in India. Initially in 2006 the number of institutional deliveries was almost negligible among women living below poverty line...
 Saving mothers lives is not only imperative, but a sound investment that benefits their children, families, community and the country.

To combat the problem, National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) had launched Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY) in April 2005 in all states and union territories to promote institutional deliveries among the poor population through provision of referral, transport, and cash assistance with delivery and post-delivery care for women to have healthy outcomes of pregnancy and child birth.

The JSY is a conditional cash transfer scheme fully sponsored by central government. Under JSY woman is given cash assistance if she delivers her baby in a medical facility such as-in Government health centres, like sub centre (SC), primary health centre (PHC), community health centres (CHCs) or general ward of district or state hospital, government medical colleges or accredited private institutions.

The success of the JSY scheme is to be assessed by the increase in institutional deliveries by using JSY scheme in Maharashtra. Hence this study has been undertaken to assess one of the important aspect of JSY i.e., antenatal care services utilization among JSY beneficiaries.

**METHODS**

The study conducted in the area under rural health training centre of a private medical college of Pune district.

**Study design**

Cross sectional study.

**Study area**

Rural health training centre (RHTC) of private medical college which is situated in Mulshi block of Pune district 25 kilometres away from the medical college.

**Study period**

1st October 2014 to 30th September 2015.

**Study sample**

All JSY beneficiaries who delivered during study period (1st October 2014 to 30th September 2015) were included in the study.

**Methodology**

Of the 4 primary health centres (PHCs) under Mulshi Block (RHTC area of private medical college, Pune) two PHCs were randomly selected for study purpose: PHC 1 and PHC 2.

**Prerequisites done before initiation of data collection**

Institutional ethical committee clearance was obtained prior to the start of the study.

- Permission from district health officer (DHO) Pune was obtained for conducting the study in PHCs of Mulshi block.
- The concerned medical officers of two selected PHCs were contacted and the study was discussed with them.
- List of all JSY beneficiaries under the jurisdiction of accredited social health activist (ASHA) was obtained from auxiliary nurse midwifery (ANM) of concerned PHCs.
- After obtaining JSY beneficiaries list from PHC, supervisor of ASHA was contacted and meeting was arranged with ASHA at the concerned PHC.
- The main purpose of meeting was to enlist aid of ASHA in identification of JSY beneficiary’s residential address and contact details.
- In the meeting the study was discussed with all ASHA of respective PHC briefly.

**Data collection was carried out in the following way**

- Home visits for data collection were planned once or twice weekly as per the availability of JSY beneficiaries.
- Prior to day of visit ASHA was contacted to fix a meeting with JSY beneficiaries at their place as per beneficiaries’ convenient time. Sometime visits were made on Saturday or Sunday which was suitable time to meet with beneficiaries at their place.
- On the day of visit, principal investigator went along with ASHA to identify beneficiaries’ address. After identifying addresses of beneficiaries, ASHA left the premises to carry out her own duties.
- JSY beneficiaries were explained the purpose of the study and were assured of maintaining confidentiality of all the personal information. Written informed consent was obtained.
- One to one interview with the beneficiaries was conducted using the predesigned, pretested, questionnaire.
- Responses of JSY beneficiaries were verified from Mother Child Health (MCH) Card or Health facility records in case they were unable to recall of any event.

For e.g., about number of ANC check-up visits to health facility by beneficiaries, if respondent was not confident about answer then her answer was verified from mother child health card. If card was not available then her answer was verified from health facility records which is maintained by health facility/ANM.
Selection of study sample

There were 204 JSY beneficiaries across PHC 1 and PHC 2 PHCs during study period (1st October 2014 to 30th September 2015). 90 out of 204, were from PHC 1 and 114 from PHC 2 PHCs.

Inclusion criteria

All JSY beneficiaries who have taken ANC, intra-natal and post-natal services from concerned PHCs were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

- JSY beneficiaries who could not be contacted even after 3 home visits were excluded from the study.
- Those who shifted out permanently shortly after delivery.

Total expected respondent beneficiaries = 204.

Interviewed beneficiaries= 155 {65- PHC 1+ 90- PHC 2}.

Out of 204 beneficiaries 15 beneficiaries(9-PHC 1+6 PHC 2) could not be approached due to non-availability of them in spite of 3 home visits, 34(16-PHC 1+18-PHC 2) who got delivered at PHC but did not avail ANC services and PNC services from respective PHCs. For example beneficiaries who delivered at their parents’ home place and shifted out shortly after delivery to their husband’s home place. So final sample for this study was 155.

Study tool

A predesigned pretested questionnaire was used to collect information from the study participants. The questionnaire used in an earlier study done by Karnataka State Health System Resource centre Bangalore in collaboration with Jagruti, Dharwad4 was modified as per the requirement. The questionnaire was pretested.

Questionnaire consisted of 2 parts: first part consists of general information regarding socio demographic characteristics. e.g., age of women and husband, type of family, occupation, education of women and husband etc. Second part consists of utilization of antenatal services. e.g., no. of ANC visits, BP monitoring, weight measurement, iron folic acid tablets taken etc.

Data analysis

Data analysis was done by using SPSS 20.0 Statistical software. Qualitative data were expressed by using frequency and percentage. Fisher’s exact and chi square test was used to find the association between utilization of antenatal services and socio demographic variables. P-value of <0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Mean age of women was 23.38 years (SD= 3.06) across both the PHCs. Maximum number of women were educated up to secondary standard 54 (34.8%) followed by primary standard 38 (24.5%). Study shows that 51 (32.9%) husbands were educated up to secondary level followed by 35 (22.6%) with middle standard. Husband illiteracy was found slightly less than women. Present study showed that maximum number of beneficiaries 70 (45.2%) belonged to nuclear family. Study shows that, there were 17 beneficiaries who had more than 2 living children (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of JSY beneficiaries as per socio demographic variables (n=155).

| Socio-demographic variables       | Number of beneficiaries N (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age group (in years)              |                               |
| ≤18                               | 3 (1.93)                      |
| 19-23                             | 90 (58.07)                    |
| 24-28                             | 56 (36.13)                    |
| >28                               | 6 (3.87)                      |
| Education of beneficiaries        |                               |
| Illiterate                        | 11 (7.1)                      |
| Primary                           | 38 (24.5)                     |
| Middle                            | 30 (19.4)                     |
| Secondary                         | 54 (34.8)                     |
| Higher Secondary                  | 16 (10.3)                     |
| Graduate                          | 5 (3.2)                       |
| Post graduate                     | 1 (0.7)                       |
| Education of beneficiary’s husband|                               |
| Illiterate                        | 9 (5.8)                       |
| Primary                           | 22 (14.2)                     |
| Middle                            | 35 (22.6)                     |
| Secondary                         | 51 (32.9)                     |
| Higher Secondary                  | 28 (18.0)                     |
| Graduate                          | 10 (6.5)                      |
| Post graduate                     | 0                             |
| Family type                       |                               |
| Nuclear                           | 70 (45.2)                     |
| Joint                             | 56 (36.1)                     |
| Three Generation                  | 29 (18.7)                     |
| Number of living children         |                               |
| 1                                 | 64 (41.29)                    |
| 2                                 | 74 (47.74)                    |
| 3                                 | 16 (10.32)                    |
| 4                                 | 1 (0.7)                       |

Table 2 depicts that out of total 155 beneficiaries’ majority 78 (50.3%) got registered themselves within 12 weeks of pregnancy. 145 (93.5%) out of 155 had done equal to or more than 3 ANC visits. 65 (41.94%) out of 155, beneficiaries had done their all ANC examination at government health care facilities. Out of total 155, for the majority 120 (77.4%) examination was carried out by...
ANM, while 88 (56.89%) beneficiaries were examined by doctor and 1 (0.6%) beneficiary was examined by other health care personnel (not by ANM or doctor) (Multiple responses given by beneficiaries). All 155 beneficiaries took TT injection and also all essential investigation have been carried out (Weight taken, BP taken, Urine examination and per abdomen examination etc.) at every ANC visits. Advice had been given on bed rest to majority 147 (94.8%) of beneficiaries while 5 (3.3%) beneficiaries did not remember regarding advice.

Table 2: Distribution according to utilization of ANC services under JSY (n=155).

| Variables                                 | Distribution          |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Registration                              |                       |
| Up to 12 week                            | 78 (50.3)             |
| >12 week                                  | 76 (49.0)             |
| Don’t know                                | 1 (0.7)               |
| No. of antenatal visit                    |                       |
| 1                                         | 1 (0.7)               |
| 2                                         | 9 (5.8)               |
| ≥3                                        | 145 (93.5)            |
| Place of antenatal examination            |                       |
| Government                                | 65 (41.94)            |
| Private                                   | 2 (1.29)              |
| At both places                            | 88 (56.77)            |
| Examination done by*                      |                       |
| Doctor                                    | 88 (56.8)             |
| ANM                                       | 120 (77.4)            |
| Other                                     | 1 (0.6)               |
| No. of TT doses                           |                       |
| No                                        | 0                     |
| 1                                         | 2 (1.3)               |
| 2                                         | 153 (98.7)            |
| BP taken at every visit                   |                       |
| Yes                                       | 155 (100)             |
| No                                        | 0                     |
| Wt. taken at every visit                  |                       |
| Yes                                       | 155 (100)             |
| No                                        | 0                     |
| Per abd. examination at every visit       |                       |
| Yes                                       | 155 (100)             |
| No                                        | 0                     |
| Urine examination at every visit          |                       |
| Yes                                       | 155 (100)             |
| No                                        | 0                     |
| Advice given on bed rest                  |                       |
| Yes                                       | 147 (94.8)            |
| No                                        | 3 (1.9)               |
| Don’t know                                | 5 (3.3)               |
| Danger sign explained                     |                       |
| Yes                                       | 147 (94.8)            |
| No                                        | 4 (2.6)               |
| Don’t know                                | 4 (2.6)               |
| Reach institution by                      |                       |
| Government transportation                 | 63 (40.7)             |
| Private transportation                    | 91 (58.7)             |
| Home delivery                             | 1 (0.6)               |
| Received IFA tablet                       |                       |
| 1-30                                      | 0                     |
| 31-60                                     | 2 (1.3)               |
| 61-99                                     | 2 (1.3)               |
| ≥100                                      | 131 (84.5)            |
| Not taken                                 | 0                     |
| Don’t know                                | 20 (12.9)             |

*Multiple responses.

Table 3 shows that, as age increases the number of ANC visits substantially increases that is, in the age group of in 19-23 years 48.89% beneficiaries had done 4-6 ANC visits and in 24 to 28 year age group, out of 56 beneficiaries there were 32 (57.14%) beneficiaries who had done four to six ANC visits and beneficiaries in >28
years age group 83.33% (5 out of 6) had done 4-6 ANC visits.

Beneficiaries who were less than or equal to 18 year of age, 2 (66.67%) had done four to six ANC visits out of 3 beneficiaries. Table 3 showed that as literacy increases, number of ANC visits also increased. Out of total 49 beneficiaries educated up to primary school only 15 (30.6%) had done four to six ANC visits while in contrast to it, out of total 100 beneficiaries educated up to middle to higher secondary (HSC) 66 (66.0%) had done four to six ANC visits which was found statistically significant (p<0.001). But when beneficiaries go to more than higher secondary education group their number of ANC visits falls down because they might have busy in their work. There is constant rising trend and positive association between number of ANC visits and education status of husbands which was found statistically significant (p=0.006). Table also shows that beneficiaries who lived in nuclear and joint family, majority had done four to six ANC visits respectively. The proportion of four to six ANC visits was significantly improved to 12 (75%) out of 16 among beneficiaries who had 3 living children. The above improvement in number of ANC visits among beneficiaries who had more than 2 children was found statistically significant (0.047) (Table 3).

| Variables | ANC visits | Total | Statistical analysis |
|-----------|------------|-------|----------------------|
| Age group of beneficiaries (in years) | 1 to 3 | 4 to 6 | ≥7 | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) |
| ≤18 | 0 | 2 (66.67) | 1 (33.33) | 3 | *df=6 (P value: 0.114) not significant |
| 19–23 | 44 (48.89) | 44 (48.89) | 2 (2.22) | 90 | |
| 24–28 | 22 (39.29) | 32 (57.14) | 2 (3.57) | 56 | |
| >28 | 1 (16.67) | 5 (83.33) | 0 | 6 | |
| Total | 67 | 83 | 5 | 155 | |
| Education of beneficiaries | | | | |
| Illiterate | 9 (81.82) | 2 (18.18) | 0 | 11 | *df=12 (P value<0.001) significant |
| Primary | 24 (63.16) | 13 (34.21) | 1 (2.63) | 38 | |
| Middle | 12 (40) | 17 (56.67) | 1 (3.33) | 30 | |
| Secondary | 15 (27.78) | 38 (70.37) | 1 (1.85) | 54 | |
| HSC | 3 (18.75) | 11 (68.75) | 2 (12.5) | 16 | |
| Graduate | 3 (60) | 2 (40) | 0 | 5 | *df=4; (P value<0.0006) significant |
| P. graduate | 1 (100) | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Total | 67 | 83 | 5 | 155 | |
| Education of beneficiary’s husband | | | | |
| Up to primary | 21 (67.74) | 9 (29.03) | 1 (3.23) | 31 | |
| Middle to HSC | 45 (39.47) | 65 (57.02) | 4 (3.51) | 114 | |
| >HSC | 1 (10) | 9 (90) | 0 | 10 | |
| Total | 67 | 83 | 5 | 155 | |
| Type of Family | | | | |
| Nuclear | 30 (42.86) | 38 (54.29) | 2 (2.86) | 70 | |
| Joint | 22 (39.29) | 32 (57.14) | 2 (3.57) | 56 | |
| Third generation | 15 (51.72) | 13 (48.33) | 1 (3.45) | 29 | |
| Total | 67 | 83 | 5 | 155 | |
| Number of children’s | | | | |
| 1 | 28 (43.75) | 33 (51.56) | 3 (4.69) | 64 | |
| 2 | 35 (47.30) | 38 (51.35) | 1 (1.35) | 74 | |
| 3 | 4 (25) | 12 (75) | 0 | 16 | |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 (100) | 1 | |
| Total | 67 | 83 | 5 | 155 | |

* Fisher’s exact test.

At PHC 2 no. of 4-6 ANC visits done by majority of beneficiaries as compared to PHC 1, this trends of ANC visits for respective PHC was found statistically significant (<0.001) (Table 4). Out of 90 beneficiaries of age group 19 to 23 year, majority 79 (87.78%) had taken ≥100 IFA tablets followed by 45 (80.36%) beneficiaries of age group 24 to 28 year. Out of 90 beneficiaries of age group 19 to 23 year, 9 (10%) beneficiaries did not remember the actual number of tablets, similar number of beneficiaries that is 9 (16.07%) out of 56 of age group 24 to 28 year also did not remember the same. Interestingly it was observed that beneficiaries educated up to secondary and higher secondary level had higher percentage of beneficiaries who failed to recall the number of tablets taken. i.e., 20.3% and 25% as compared to other groups where it ranged from 0% to
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7.90%. Although this observation was found to be statistically not significant (p=0.16). Among beneficiaries who lived in three generation family, proportion of beneficiaries who had taken ≥100 IFA was found on lower side that is 22 (75.86%) out of 29 as compared to beneficiaries who lived in joint and nuclear family. It was also found that 1 (3.45%) beneficiaries of this group did not take even single IFA Tablet (Table 5).

| Name of PHC | ANC visits | Total | P value |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|
|             | 1 to 3     | 4 to 6 | ≥7      |         |
| PHC 1       | N (%)      | N (%)  | N (%)   | N (%)   |
| PHC 2       | 52 (80)    | 13 (20)| 0       | 65      |
| Total       | 67         | 83     | 5       | 155 (100)|

Fisher’s exact test, df=2; (P value <0.001) significant.

| Variables | IFA tablets taken | Total | Statistical analysis |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------------|
|           | Not taken         | < 60  | 60 to 99             | ≥100 | Don’t know |
| Age group of | N (%)    | N (%) | N (%)   | N (%) | N (%)   |
| beneficiaries |         |       |         |       |         |
| (in years)   | ≤18       | 0     | 0       | 0     | 3 (100)| 0       |
|              | 19–23     | 1 (1.11)| 1 (1.11)| 0   | 79 (87.7)| 9 (10) |
|              | 24–28     | 0     | 1 (1.79)| 1 (1.79)| 45 (80.3)| 9 (16.07)| 56 |
|              | >28       | 0     | 0       | 1 (16.67)| 4 (66.67)| 1 (16.67)| 6 |
| Total       | 1         | 2     | 2       | 131   | 19      | 155     |
| Education of | Illiterate | 0     | 0       | 1 (9.10)| 10 (90.9)| 0       |
| beneficiaries | Primary   | 0     | 0       | 0     | 35 (92.1)| 3 (7.90)| 38 |
|              | Middle    | 0     | 0       | 1 (3.3)| 28 (93.3)| 1 (3.33)| 30 |
|              | Secondary | 1 (1.85)| 2 (3.7)| 0     | 40 (74.0)| 11 (20.3)| 54 |
|              | HSC       | 0     | 0       | 0     | 12 (75) | 4 (25)  | 16 |
|              | Graduate  | 0     | 0       | 0     | 5 (100) | 0       | 5  |
|              | P. Graduate| 0    | 0       | 0     | 1 (100) | 0       | 1  |
| Total       | 1         | 2     | 2       | 131   | 19      | 155     |
| Type of Family | Nuclear | 0     | 1 (1.42)| 0   | 59 (84.2)| 10 (14.2)| 70 |
|              | Joint     | 0     | 0       | 1 (1.79)| 50 (89.2)| 5 (8.92)| 56 |
|              | Three generation | 1 (3.45)| 1 (3.45)| 1 (3.45)| 22 (75.8)| 4 (13.78)| 29 |
| Total       | 1         | 2     | 2       | 131   | 19      | 155     |

* Fisher’s exact test.

**DISCUSSION**

In our study Mean age of women was 23.38 (SD=3.06) across both the PHCs, similarly as per the Gundbowdi et al Panja et al study, majority (61.5%) of the women belonged to the age group 20-24 years with a mean age of 23.44±3.27 years & more than two thirds women (68.8%) belonged to the age group of 19-25 years respectively.²³

Patel et al also revealed that the mean age of the women was 24.6 years. In present study >28 years old women percentage is very low in contrast to Patel et al study, where there were higher number of older women that is one-sixth (18.2%) were aged 30 years or more.³⁰

In present study only 7.1% women were illiterate as compared to the study done by Gundbowdi et al who showed high proportions (96%) of literate women and very few (4%) women were illiterate. Santra et al found that 4.2% mothers were Illiterate.³³³⁴

In contrast to present study Panja et al found that 46.0% women had no formal education and 13.3% had more than 10 years of formal education and also in study done by Kaur et al found that 37.3% beneficiaries were illiterates, 32.4% were educated below metric & 30.3% were educated above metric.³⁵³⁶ Dolma et al at Kashmir valley where majority of the women were illiterate (75 %).³⁷
Higher proportion of illiteracy was may be due to cultural factors like gender bias in rural areas due to orthodox thinking in these studies.

In present study, husbands were educated up to secondary level followed by 35(22.6%) with 5.8% husband found illiterate. In contrast to our study Singh et al found that two-third of husbands were educated up to high school and 132 (9.5%) were illiterate.\textsuperscript{11} Patel et al revealed that among husbands of the study subjects 39 (15.6%) were illiterate, 73 (29.2%) were educated up to primary level, 108 (43.2%) were educated up to secondary level, 26 (10.4%) were educated up to PUC/diploma and only 4(1.6%) had completed graduation.\textsuperscript{12} These contrast results may be due to orthodox thinking.

Present study showed that maximum number of beneficiaries 70 (45.2%) belonged to nuclear family similarly Patel et al study revealed that 146 (58.4%) belonged to nuclear families, 94 (37.6%) belonged to joint families and 10 (4%) belonged to third generation family.\textsuperscript{13} None belonged to broken family. Gundbowdi et al and Sutanuka et al found contrast result, which shows that large proportion 77.7% and 68.1% of the participants lived in joint family respectively.\textsuperscript{3,8}

Chauhan et al study also revealed contrast result from our study i.e. most of the beneficiaries 56 (71.79%) belonged to joint families.\textsuperscript{13}

Inconsistent results may be because of in our study majority of participants are migrant workers so they belong to nuclear family.

Present study shows that, there were 17 beneficiaries who had more than 2 living children. Kaur et al revealed that at the time of study 38.9% had one living child while 43.2% had 2 living children and 17.9% had 3 or more than 3 living children.\textsuperscript{6,9} Patel et al revealed that on average, the beneficiaries had 1.9 children (on average 0.8 sons and 1.1 daughters).\textsuperscript{14}

In present study, majority 78 (50.3%) got registered themselves within 12 weeks of pregnancy and in present study 93.5% had done equal to or more than 3 ANC visits. All 155 beneficiaries took TT injection and also all essential investigation have been carried out. All 155 beneficiaries took IFA tablets, out of which 131(84.5%) took ≥100 IFA tablets. In present study 65(41.94%) Out of 155, beneficiaries had done their all ANC examination at government health care facilities.

Panja et al study observed same findings, that 40.0% of women registered early (<12 weeks of gestation) out of total eligible women, 90% women had at least three antenatal check-ups done by the skilled birth attendants. Similarly around 97% women received adequate tetanus prophylaxis irrespective of their eligibility status for JSY.\textsuperscript{6}

Study by Sutanuka et al revealed that all mothers received at least four antenatal check-up and two doses of tetanus toxoid (TT) injection. About 77% mothers had antenatal registration within 12 weeks of gestational period. About 87% mothers consumed 100 or more iron and folic acid tablets.\textsuperscript{8}

Kaur et al also found that out of registered pregnancies more than half (54.6%) got the registration done in 2nd trimester, 24.3% in 1st trimester and 8.1% in 3rd trimester. Antenatal services were received by 58.9% beneficiaries in government dispensaries, 22.2% in private hospitals & 5.9% in government hospitals. All beneficiaries received TT injection. Out of 185 beneficiaries 55.7% of beneficiaries had 4 or more than 4 ANC visits, 32.4% had less than 4 visits, while in contrast to our study 11.9% had no ANC visit.\textsuperscript{9}

Similar to present study Chauhan et al revealed that 42 (53.85%) of them registered their name in health institution during the first trimester pregnancy.\textsuperscript{13} Out of total 78 beneficiaries 44 (56.4%) and 15 (19.23%) underwent three and four antenatal check-ups (ANCs), respectively. 100% beneficiaries got TT injection. In contrast to present study, Gundbowdi et al study shows that most of the pregnant women (83.8%) consumed only 25-50 IFA tablets.\textsuperscript{7} Panja et al study mentioned that the proportion of women who consumed at least 100 IFA tablets was lowest in non-eligible group and highest (46%) in women who were eligible.\textsuperscript{6} Kaur et al also shows contrasting result with respect to IFA tablets consumption that is out of 185 beneficiaries 36.2% consumed 100 tablets, 48.1% of consumed less than 100 tablets and intake was irregular and 15.7% beneficiaries not taken IFA tablets.\textsuperscript{9}

Chauhan et al revealed that 52 (66.66%) beneficiaries received 100 IFA tablets.\textsuperscript{13} Study done by Dolma et al revealed that 86% consumed recommended iron folic acid tablets i.e., 100 tablets while 14% did not.\textsuperscript{10}

It means that the beneficiaries of present study are more aware about consequence of not taking IFA tablets, it also shows that good counselling have been done by health workers among present study’s beneficiaries as compared to these studies. Moreover in our study all the 155 beneficiaries (100%) had one or more ANC visit. 93.5% having got 3 or more ANC visits.

Present study results consistent with the study done by Dolma et al which mentioned that, out of total 349 women, 90.2% had more than four antenatal check-ups, 1.4% had done antenatal check-up twice.\textsuperscript{10} Majority (72.2%) had antenatal check-up at sub centre followed by 12.6% at PHC and only 6.59% and 3.15% at district hospital and CHC respectively. 5.4% did their check up at Private hospital and clinic. 89.6% of beneficiaries received two doses of tetanus toxoid injection.
In present study only 50.3% beneficiaries got registered within 12 week in comparison to study done by Dolma et al which shows that 86.53% women got registered in 1st trimester, 13.46% in 2nd trimester. In contrast to present study, where all beneficiaries had weight measurement and blood pressure check-ups done at every ANC visits, study done by Dolma et al shows that weight measurement at every visit was done for only 39.4% of women. Majority (84.3%) had their blood pressure checked at every antenatal visit. Which tells that services provided at health facility is appropriate and comprehensive in our study.

In contrast to present study, all the parameter of ANC services utilization is not as per recommendation in JSY scheme in study done by Singh et al which shows that majority of the study subjects were registered between 12-26 weeks of pregnancy. 81.6% pregnancies registered at Sub-centre, in comparison to PHC (17.1%) and CHC (1.5%). Around 25% of mothers did not receive the recommended minimum three antenatal check-ups. Weight measurement, was done in two-third mothers during all ANC visits. Majority of the mothers (97.4%) received 100 tablets of IFA, however less than half (45.3%) of them consumed all the tablets.

As Haryana comes under low performing state, this might be the reason behind this between present and this study in ANC parameters. It also indicates that health care provider as well as beneficiaries should take initiative in reducing maternal mortality as well as morbidity by enhancing utilization rate of JSY.

Present study’s result found consistent with studies done by Kaur et al, Patel et al, Mumbare et al, Mangulikar et al and Madna et al which show that education had a statistically significant relation with ANC check-up. It means that education have direct impact on utilization of ANC services which is one of the most important phase during pregnancy, where we can intervene and avoid any danger to pregnant lady prior to its occurrence. By increasing literacy we can reduce maternal mortality and morbidity significantly.

Mumbare et al and Mangulikar et al shows consistent results with present study, their study show that utilization of ANC services was not significantly associated with the type of the family (P>0.05). Madna et al show contrast result i.e., ANC services was significantly associated with the type of the family type of family (p<0.05).

Present study finding is consistent with that of study done by Mangulikar K et al which shows that the adequate utilization of ANC services was significantly associated with parity of mother. Similar to present study, Mumbare et al and Mangulikar et al also did not find significant association (p>0.05) between adequate utilization of ANC services (IFA tablet consumption) and age of mother.

In contrast to present study, Kaur et al and Mumbare et al found statistically significant relation of education with adequate utilization of ANC services (required IFA tablet consumption) (p <0.05).

Present study results are also not consistent with the study done by Mangulikar et al which shows significant association (p-0.03) between education of beneficiaries and adequate utilization of ANC services (consumption of 100 IFA tablets).

Even Though present study differs in terms of statistically significance from other studies, in present study very few beneficiaries had not taken IFA tablet or took inadequate tablets.

The reason behind this might be that in present study many beneficiaries who were not able to recall the number of IFA tablets, which indirectly pointing out that either beneficiaries were not aware the importance of IFA tablets or beneficiaries were unable to give appropriate answer during study.

Mumbare et al and Mangulikar et al found consistent results with the present study, which shows that the adequate utilization of ANC services (IFA tablet consumption) was not significantly associated with type of the family (P>0.05). Study shows that among beneficiaries who lived in three generation family, proportion of beneficiaries who had taken ≥100 IFA was found on lower side.

Overall based on the findings of the present study it can be concluded that, in present study utilization of ANC services is good.
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