The NORMAN Association and the European Partnership for Chemicals Risk Assessment (PARC): let’s cooperate!
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Abstract

The Partnership for Chemicals Risk Assessment (PARC) is currently under development as a joint research and innovation programme to strengthen the scientific basis for chemical risk assessment in the EU. The plan is to bring chemical risk assessors and managers together with scientists to accelerate method development and the production of necessary data and knowledge, and to facilitate the transition to next-generation evidence-based risk assessment, a non-toxic environment and the European Green Deal. The NORMAN Network is an independent, well-established and competent network of more than 80 organisations in the field of emerging substances and has enormous potential to contribute to the implementation of the PARC partnership. NORMAN stands ready to provide expert advice to PARC, drawing on its long experience in the development, harmonisation and testing of advanced tools in relation to chemicals of emerging concern and in support of a European Early Warning System to unravel the risks of contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) and close the gap between research and innovation and regulatory processes. In this commentary we highlight the tools developed by NORMAN that we consider most relevant to supporting the
PARC initiative: (i) joint data space and cutting-edge research tools for risk assessment of contaminants of emerging concern; (ii) collaborative European framework to improve data quality and comparability; (iii) advanced data analysis tools for a European early warning system and (iv) support to national and European chemical risk assessment thanks to harnessing, combining and sharing evidence and expertise on CECs. By combining the extensive knowledge and experience of the NORMAN network with the financial and policy-related strengths of the PARC initiative, a large step towards the goal of a non-toxic environment can be taken.
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**Background**

The PARC partnership is currently under development as a joint research and innovation programme to support the European Commission (EC) and national chemical risk assessment and management authorities by providing new evidence and methodologies and promoting their uptake in regulatory processes. This applies to currently recognised as well as potential future contaminants of emerging concern (CECs).

Over the past 15 years, the NORMAN Association has developed a network of expert organisations in the field of CECs in the environment. This effort fits well with the aims and structure of the PARC initiative [1] for a European Partnership for Chemicals Risk Assessment. It is therefore no surprise that NORMAN fully supports the “evolution, not revolution” principle of the PARC initiative, and agrees that existing data and methods should be integrated and further developed without “reinventing the wheel”, as we explain in more detail in this paper.

In the PARC partnership, the plan is to join forces with scientists to create the next generation of chemical risk assessment and to facilitate “The European Green Deal” [2], which includes the sustainable management of chemicals for a non-toxic environment. An essential role of this partnership is to foster better use of existing knowledge and data, and better cooperation and coordination of research on the regulatory needs—all in order to improve risk assessment and management, including the development of an EU early warning system for emerging risks of chemicals in the environment.

The European Commission acknowledges the importance of continuously improving knowledge about the (eco)toxicity of chemicals and of adequately addressing uncertainties regarding exposure to chemicals [3, 4]. Moreover, current regulations are not sufficiently effective to tackle CECs and chemical risks in general, since a holistic view is missing and there are often inconsistencies between different use sectors [5]. The vision for future chemicals policy is that chemicals should be dealt with in an integrated manner in an overarching chemicals policy framework covering all types of chemicals and all uses, beyond the current sector-specific regulations.

It is in this context that the NORMAN network came into existence in 2005 as a project, following a call by the EC (DG Research) aimed at creating a permanent platform to reduce knowledge gaps and better meet the requirements of risk assessors and risk managers concerning CECs [6]. NORMAN is today an independent, self-funded, non-profit, multidisciplinary and multinational association in the field of CECs in the environment, which brings together more than 80 organisations representing various stakeholders, including competent authorities, national reference laboratories, research centres, academia and industry—mostly in Europe, but also in North America and Asia [7].

The missions of NORMAN are to: (i) facilitate a more rapid and wider exchange of data on the identity, occurrence and effects of CECs in water, biota, air, soil and indoor environment; (ii) improve data quality and comparability via validation and harmonisation of common sampling and measurement methods (chemical and biological), and (iii) provide tools for the risk and hazard assessment of CECs [6]. Since the primary objective of the NORMAN Association is to act as a science-to-policy interface, the outcomes of the network’s activities are regularly shared with the EC’s services including DG Environment, European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), European Environment Agency (EEA), EC Joint Research Centre (JRC), international river commissions, regional sea conventions and national regulatory bodies. Currently, nine national regulatory agencies are members of the NORMAN Association.

The NORMAN Association has considerable—and continuously developing—experience of establishing: (i) a consolidated network of closely cooperating laboratories active in research to support chemical risk assessment and management; (ii) a joint, user-friendly and open-access data space to share knowledge on CECs in the environment and promote harmonised protocols for data collection and reporting; (iii) a collaborative framework
Joint data space and cutting-edge research tools for risk assessment of contaminants of emerging concern

NORMAN Database System (NDS): data gathering and data management

Perspective and recommendations
Continue to develop the NORMAN Database System (NDS) as a reference database that brings together, in a single platform, widely differing chemical monitoring data acquired using various techniques and in different matrices, thereby ensuring a harmonised approach for data collection, storage, quality control, curation and exchange among NORMAN members and more widely. Future platform development will be guided by the FAIR principles (Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reuse of data).

The NDS is complementary to the EC Information Platform for Chemical Monitoring (IPCHEM) [8, 9] in harvesting chemical target monitoring data, while at the same time paving the way for the development of a new European infrastructure for handling data coming from innovative methods, such as non-target screening (NTS) and effect-based methods (EBM). It should continue in that role.

Rationale
The crucial task of gathering and managing environmental CEC exposure data to support chemical risk assessment has been the core activity of the NORMAN Association from its start in 2005.

The current NDS [10] is an open-access platform of interconnected databases able to assist effective and rapid screening and risk assessment of contaminants in the environment.

The unique feature of the NDS is that it provides a comprehensive set of data on CECs together with a range of innovative applications for their hazard and risk assessment. These tools range from physico-chemical properties, use characteristics, mass spectral information, and exposure data from target and non-target screening in all environmental compartments, to ecotoxicity data and in situ bioassay signals reflecting mixture toxicity. The NDS currently consists of 12 modules (Fig. 1), of which eleven (Substance Database (SusDat); Suspect List Exchange (SLE); Chemical Occurrence Data (EMPODAT); Ecotoxicology; Bioassays Monitoring Data; MassBank Europe; Digital Sample Freezing Platform (DSFP); Indoor Environment; Passive Sampling; Substance Factsheets; Prioritisation) are accessible, interlinked and populated with data. The 12th is an antibiotic-resistant bacteria and genes module (ARB&ARG) that is still under development, while a new module hosting data on microplastics is currently being designed.

A selection of the NDS modules most relevant to PARC is presented below.

NORMAN Substance Database: a common list of substances for harmonised chemical risk assessment

Perspectives and recommendations
Further develop the Substance Database (SusDat) as the cornerstone of a common European platform where information on highly relevant and newly discovered environmental pollutants can be shared in a harmonised format [11].

Rationale
A common, harmonised list of chemical compounds shared among all parties in research and regulation is one critical requirement for enhanced cooperation among existing regulatory frameworks and shifting towards a “one chemical, one assessment” paradigm. However, current chemicals lists are fragmented collections, with researchers and regulators all using their own lists.

We believe that the combination of NORMAN Suspect List Exchange (SLE) [12] and the merged NORMAN Substances Database [13] of the NDS could be a globally leading model for collaboratively working towards such a list. Numerous organisations, national and international regulatory agencies and research groups from Europe and North America already contribute to this initiative. NORMAN SLE is a platform to share lists of substances potentially responsible for emerging risks to ecosystems and human health. The submitted lists are shared with US EPA CompTox Chemicals Dashboard [14, 15] and PubChem [16, 17] and are published on Zenodo [18]. By
acting as a data collector, the NORMAN SLE has become an important source of specialised research information for major chemical databases such as PubChem and CompTox, beyond the realms and means of individual researchers. In return, the integration of the NORMAN SLE into major chemical databases adds enormous value to the original contributions, offering up new functionality for all parties.

The merged list (without duplicates) is known as NORMAN SusDat [13]—a curated compound database (65,697 compounds as of April 2020), where substances are merged by the Standard InChIKey, which acts as the unique identifier. This is accompanied by other structural information such as CAS numbers and SMILES, as well as physico-chemical properties. SusDat also contains mappings to the equivalent “MS Ready” forms [19], as well as other mass spectrometric information for the identification of compounds with NTS techniques, estimated (in silico) Predicted No-Effect Concentrations (PNECs), and other information required for the prioritisation and risk assessment of substances. Since 2016, SusDat has been used to interlink all NORMAN databases among themselves, as well as the NDS with major external databases.

**NORMAN Ecotoxicology Database: a common platform for ecotoxicity assessment**

**Perspectives and recommendations**

Establish a core team of ecotoxicology experts, from EU Member States and globally, using the Ecotoxicology Database as a basis to evaluate the reliability and relevance of ecotoxicity studies and reach consensus on Quality Standards (i.e. PNEC values) for a more harmonised risk assessment of chemicals.

**Rationale**

We propose to share the NORMAN Ecotoxicology Database [20] for harmonised ecotoxicity assessment within the PARC partnership. The database provides a transparent tool to guide experts in: (i) the identification of the...
reliable ecotoxicity studies, based on the CRED (Criteria for Reporting and Evaluating ecotoxicity Data) classification system [21]; (ii) the online derivation of a set of quality standards for each matrix and regulatory framework based on selected ‘reliable’ ecotoxicity studies, using a built-in software tool implementing the requirements of the EC guidelines [22], and (iii) the final selection of a single, common PNEC value, agreed upon as a result of Europe-wide expert consultations.

At present the database comprises, for almost all SusDat substances (i.e. > 65,000), at least one in silico PNEC [23] based on predicted acute effects for each of the three basic trophic levels of the fresh water compartment (fish, daphnia, and algae), which are used when experimental toxicity data are insufficient or not available. In 2019, a semi-automated tool for retrieving experimental (eco)toxicity data from the US EPA ECOTOX Knowledgebase allowed the import of > 125,000 experimental data on standard (eco)toxicity endpoints for about 5000 SusDat substances in a format compatible with the metadata requirements of the NORMAN Ecotoxicology Database. Additional experimental (eco)toxicity data and threshold values will be retrieved from other databases such as the REACH portal, the ETOX database of the German Federal Environment Agency, as well as existing PNECs and Quality Standards (EQS) from various regulatory sources.

The (eco)toxicity threshold values used for chemicals prioritisation are agreed by experts and referred to as ‘Lowest PNECs’. These values are generally calculated for the fresh water matrix and then converted to an equivalent PNEC value for marine water, sediment and biota matrices (for example, bioconcentration factors (BCF) are used for conversion to equivalent PNECs for biota).

**EMPODAT: a database of target monitoring data**

**Perspectives and recommendations**

Provide a Europe-wide standard for essential quality information (metadata) accompanying chemical analysis results and commonly agreed minimum requirements to allow interoperability of archived monitoring data.

**Rationale**

A game changer for next generation chemical risk assessment is a system able to provide comprehensive information on the exposure of humans and the environment to large numbers of chemicals during the entire life cycle of products, including waste and recycled products.

With the EMPODAT database module [24] of the NDS, the NORMAN Association has already established a collaboration with IPCHEM, the official European repository of monitoring data produced by national monitoring programmes and EU-funded research projects in all matrices and compartments. EMPODAT today hosts approximately 10.3 million geo-referenced target monitoring data of more than 3100 substances in water (surface, ground, and waste water), sediment, biota, soil, sewage sludge and air matrices. The data are publicly accessible and provide an overview of benchmark values on the occurrence of contaminants of emerging concern across Europe. From the start, NORMAN has made a great effort to ensure that the data are gathered in a standard format in order to facilitate data comparability and exploitation across Europe and beyond. These spreadsheet-based Data Collection Templates (DCTs) were developed for each of the matrices, and contain information allowing for automated assessment of data quality.

**Non-target screening (NTS) tools and Digital Sample Freezing Platform (DSFP) for retrospective suspect screening of environmental contaminants**

**Perspectives and recommendations**

Establish a federated European infrastructure storing raw non-target screening data converted into a common (open) format, designed for retrospective screening.

- Establish a central platform/database storing regularly updated information on available data sets Europe-wide and, eventually, at a global scale.
- Apply commonly agreed workflow(s) for retrospective analysis to identify and prioritise pollutants frequently detected in environmental samples.

**Rationale**

Thanks to NTS techniques it is possible to obtain an overview of human and environmental exposure to thousands of chemicals simultaneously, with a high level of sensitivity and selectivity, including chemicals that have not been identified previously [25]. The NTS workflows (comprising wide-scope target, suspect and non-target screening) based on full scan, high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), developed by NORMAN members, represent the state-of-the-art methods to deal with real-world contaminant mixtures in a more holistic way.

Active since 2013, the NORMAN NTS Working Group has built a strong collaborative infrastructure and developed innovative tools to facilitate exploitation and interpretation of complex data produced by full scan, HRMS methods. NORMAN members have also developed protocols to implement NTS in routine, regulatory applications. Suspect screening of pre-defined lists of tens to tens-of-thousands of known substances in each sample (supported by NORMAN SLE and NORMAN SusDat) is presently the recommended way forward.

In this context the Digital Sample Freezing Platform [26] is a key tool developed by NORMAN to support suspect and non-target screening. This novel technology
allows the storage of thousands of high-resolution mass spectra (fingerprints) of all chemicals, metabolites and transformation products detected in each of the analysed samples. Thanks to this platform, it is possible for users to search retrospectively for a large number of compounds (e.g. those in SusDat; see above) in all the “digitally frozen” samples stored in the database and obtain reliable qualitative and semi-quantitative data on their occurrence in the investigated samples.

Further key tools, supported by NORMAN and embedded in the NDS, to assist non-target screening, are:

- MassBank Europe, an open-source, open-access database of mass spectra to support higher confidence identification of suspects and non-targets [27, 28]. Based on MassBank Japan, MassBank Europe was founded in 2011, arising from a NORMAN initiative. Today MassBank contains over 80,000 unique mass spectra for >14,300 compounds (database release 2020.05 [29]), including mass spectra of tentatively identified compounds. MassBank Europe is a core service for NORMAN as well as for other initiatives such as HBM4EU (Human Biomonitoring for Europe) initiative [30], ELIXIR [31], the German Network for Bioinformatics Infrastructure (de.NBI) [32] and the German National Research Data Infrastructure Initiative for Chemistry (NFDI4Chem) [33];

- A Retention Time Index (RTI) prediction model [34, 35] allowing for tentative identification of each compound in SusDat as a combination of its exact mass, MS/MS fragments and the predicted RTI value, reduces the number of false positives in suspect screening.

Thanks to all the above-mentioned interconnected tools, DSFP can provide reliable qualitative and semi-quantitative data on the occurrence of already identified as well as novel CECs, thereby providing exhaustive insight into the spatial and temporal distribution of contaminant mixtures in the environment, making NORMAN DSFP a virtual environmental observatory on chemical contamination. Extensions of DSFP for additional chemicals captured in SusDat (e.g. highly polar molecules and gas chromatography-only amenable substances) are under way.

Collaborative European framework to improve data quality and comparability: development and harmonisation of methods

**Perspectives and recommendations**

Build the capacity of laboratories in Europe and globally by systematic organisation of international Collaborative Trials addressing analysis of CECs in various matrices by novel analytical technologies.

Pursue progressive testing and implementation of novel sampling and analytical methodologies to help design smart(er) monitoring strategies that can be applied in regulatory monitoring activities.

**Rationale**

NORMAN brings together the leading European institutions in the development and harmonisation of measurement methods for the detection of emerging chemicals in the environment. The studies organised by the network represent a crucial step for the scientific community and for environmental agencies for validation and harmonisation of innovative sampling and monitoring tools before their possible future implementation in regulations.

NORMAN is the author of the first common framework for validation of chemical and biological monitoring methods—a protocol which is now adopted as a Technical Specification (TS) of the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) (CEN TS 16800:2015) [36, 37].

More than 15 collaborative trials have been organised by NORMAN since 2006 on a wide range of methods, including non-target screening in water [38], sediment [39], indoor dust [40] and biota [41], in vitro and in vivo bioassays [42] and passive sampling [43, 44]. They have tackled aspects relevant to monitoring and early warning of CECs in the environment and approaches to hazard assessment, including integration of effect-based methods with chemical analysis to improve interpretation of cause–effect links. These trials included not only the assessment of sample preparation and instrumental performance, but also the evaluation of the impact that computational and data processing tools have on interpretation of results.

**Advanced data analysis tools: towards a European Early Warning System**

**Prioritisation of substances and priority setting**

**Perspectives and recommendations**

Systematically collect wide-scope target, suspect and non-target screening data at European scale to improve the spatial and temporal coverage and range of matrices available for risk assessment.

Identify compounds for which robust (eco)toxicity studies are needed as a priority.

Prioritise chemicals for which standards or mass spectra will be required from industry, to enable their detection in the environment.

Develop dynamic open-access links to spatially detailed information about production, uses, exposure to and consumption of chemicals.
Develop a common European scheme for grouping of chemicals and indicator substances, based on various criteria including sector of use, chemical structure and mode of action.

Integrate more strongly chemical analytical and effect-based methods in order to identify effect and (mixture-) risk drivers, i.e. substances or groups of substances that should be selected for further risk assessment.

**Rationale**

In the past decade, NORMAN has developed an integrated strategy to deal with less-investigated substances for which knowledge gaps are identified (e.g. insufficient information on the exposure levels and/or adverse effects, or inadequate performance of the analytical methods for their measurement in the environment) [45]. The concept involves the application of a decision tree which allows the allocation of substances into six main action categories, based on the identified knowledge gaps and actions needed to address them. The priority within each category is then evaluated on the basis of specific occurrence, hazard ( persistence, bioaccumulation, mobility, endocrine disruption potential, etc.) and risk indicators such as the Frequency of Exceedance (FoE) and Extent of Exceedance (EoE) of the Lowest PNECs.

Various aspects of a categorisation/ranking system have been scaled up and tested in numerous large-scale European projects and national prioritisation processes such as defining Water Framework Directive (WFD) River Basin Specific Pollutants (RBSP) in the Danube River Basin [46] or selecting national Watch List substances and RBSP in France [47, 48] and in The Netherlands [49]. In this way, NORMAN aims to provide a scheme for harmonised RBSP assessment across the EU. On a regular basis, NORMAN also makes recommendations to the Commission regarding substances to be added to the list of WFD Priority Substances and EU Watch List [50].

This workflow, originally designed to work with target monitoring data, now integrates the automatic query of NTS mass spectral information archived in DSFP (see above). Thanks to DSFP and the set of fully integrated tools and databases developed by NORMAN, it is now possible to obtain an overview of the state of knowledge (spatial distribution of contaminants, degree of exceedance of threshold values based on semi-quantified data, etc.) of a dynamically updated list of > 60,000 chemicals, including many never studied before, and to identify priority substances/groups of substances for which further actions need to be taken.

This approach fits well with the requirements of an Early Warning System, where the data to correctly identify an emerging risk at an early stage are typically limited or of poor quality. In this context, it is important to use a transparent and rational approach for signal identification and characterisation that is able to deal with the knowledge gaps that still prevent proper risk assessment and risk ranking of most emerging substances. Individual components of the Early Warning System concept, such as NormaNEWS, have already been trialled [51].

**Effect-based methods (EBM) for monitoring of chemical mixtures in the environment**

**Perspectives and recommendations**

Systematically include NTS and EBM in investigative monitoring programmes to support chemicals risk assessment.

Further develop and implement effect-based methods in a wider range of environmental compartments, including the marine and terrestrial environments.

Harmonise, and provide training on, the use of effect-based methods.

**Rationale**

Bioassays are the only currently available methods able to respond to the recently recognised need to address unknown mixture risks present in the environment, which can then be linked to specific chemical compounds via chemical analysis [52, 53].

NORMAN is actively contributing to the construction of a common position of the European experts on the use of bioassays in the regulatory framework of the WFD, in particular with the definition of a battery of bioassays for chemical water quality assessment [54]. Besides an inter-laboratory study organised in 2009 to assess the comparability of results obtained with a battery of bioassays [42] and a comprehensive literature review on the development of an ecotoxicological perspective on neurotoxicity assessment [55], NORMAN contributed to the Science to Policy Interface (SPI) Estrogen monitoring project (a voluntary initiative of 12 countries and 24 organisations in Europe), which has recently provided concrete demonstration data about the performance of the tested EBM [56].

In terms of practical implementation of EBMs in the regulation, another crucial step is the determination of effect-based trigger values (EBT), which define the acceptable level of effect for each toxicological endpoint of concern and thus allow environmental managers to interpret EBM data and distinguish between more and less polluted sites. In collaboration with the SOLUTIONS project (FP7/603437), NORMAN has contributed to the drafting of a proposal for a harmonised methodology for the definition of effect-based trigger (EBT) values [57] and the way to proceed when an EBT is exceeded [58, 59].
In contrast to EQSs, EBTs consider all chemicals in a mixture contributing to a measured effect in a given sample. Explaining the observed activity detected by the applied bioassays and addressing the combined effect of chemicals can be done (using mass balances/’iceberg modelling’) by calculation of Toxic Units (TU) for each of the quantified pollutants or Bioanalytical Equivalent concentrations (BEQ), depending on the bioassay. This should be followed by a comparison of the estimated \( \sum \text{TU} \) or BEQ from the component-based assessment with the TU and BEQ derived from the bioassay testing. If EBTs are exceeded and the component-based assessment cannot explain the activity detected in the bioassay, an Effect-Directed Analysis (EDA) protocol should be performed in order to identify the risk drivers [54, 60, 61].

In this context, NTS-based approaches are key to improving the identification of risk drivers and facilitating compound/mixture prioritisation in different matrices. As a matter of fact, large datasets from non-target screening and effect-based methods can be explored using multivariate statistics and pattern recognition methods to identify peaks that co-vary with detected effects (virtual effect-directed analysis). The NORMAN Joint Programme of Activities promotes this type of study as a way to identify candidate compounds for further investigation [41].

Finally, as part of its latest Joint Programme of Activities, NORMAN will develop a bioactivity database. This project aims to support the interpretation of effect-based monitoring data for mixture toxicity modelling. A richer set of bioactivity data will be crucial to understanding the contribution of detected chemicals to the observed effect in the different assays. Currently, the lack of effect data for the detected chemicals in different assays is a major limitation and more data is needed for a significant improvement of mixture modelling and elucidation of drivers of toxicity. This database will be essential to reveal CEC-induced bioassay activity that cannot be explained by the measured concentrations of the few individual chemicals for which effect data are already known [41]. Other needs and purposes for this database, e.g. selection of EBMs, are currently being explored within the NORMAN network.

**Support to national and European chemical risk assessment: harnessing, combining and sharing evidence and expertise on CECs**

**Cross-border cooperation and information exchange—monitoring super-sites in Europe**

**Perspectives and recommendations**

Organise Europe-wide collaborative environmental monitoring programmes using novel analytical methodologies in a broad range of matrices and on selected super-sites providing representative geographical coverage and results directly supporting regulations.

Improve the sharing and use of local, regional, national and EU-level monitoring data between countries and policy areas (e.g. legislation for environment, chemicals, food, products, waste, etc.) and relevant institutions.

**Rationale**

All state-of-the-art tools presented here have been developed and tested within large-scale European projects (e.g. FP7 SOLUTIONS, EDA-EMERGE FP7-PEOPLE-2011-ITN/290100, ANSWER H2020-MSCA-ITN-2015/675530, NEREUS COST Action ES1403, APEX LIFE17 ENV/SK/000355).

NORMAN works in close cooperation with international river basin organisations (e.g. the International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR) ± 14 European countries and the EU; organising Joint Danube Surveys every 6 years), sea conventions (e.g. Black Sea Commission; OSPAR), environmental specimen banks and environmental authorities in various Member States (e.g. France, Germany, Nordic countries, The Netherlands).

In 2019 the NORMAN Association received funding from the ICPDR as a contribution in support of its participation in the experimental activities of the 4th Joint Danube Survey (JDS4). The added value of this type of collaboration is the opportunity to investigate and demonstrate the capabilities and limits of new environmental assessment frameworks with a clear link to their application in a regulatory framework.

So far, NORMAN has been strongly involved in issues related to CECs in the fresh water cycle and the associated EU policies. In the light of NORMAN’s missions and the need to ensure a holistic view of emerging risks associated with chemicals in the environment, the activities are progressively being extended to the indoor, marine and terrestrial environment and water reuse, thereby building on experience gained in the water compartment to facilitate the transfer to other environmental matrices.

**Conclusions**

Scientific knowledge continues to progress, and novel tools are constantly being developed. This helps competent authorities and industry in the full value chain of chemicals to provide answers to unanswered or newly arising questions regarding risks of chemicals to the environment and human health, with a particular focus on early warning, anticipation and prevention of future risks.

In this paper, we have sought to provide a clear and transparent message about how NORMAN as an
independent, well-established and competent network of expert organisations in the field of emerging substances has enormous potential to contribute to the implementation of the PARC partnership by sharing several of its existing key tools that we believe are particularly relevant to the success of the initiative.

An important role of the PARC partnership will be to foster cooperation and better use of existing knowledge, for better coordination of research and uptake of scientific findings in regulation.

NORMAN stands ready to provide expert advice to PARC’s stakeholder forum, drawing on its 15 years of experience in the development, harmonisation and testing of advanced tools in relation to CECs and in support of a European Early Warning System to unravel the risks of CECs and close the gap between research and innovation and regulatory processes. NORMAN is a platform for scientific cooperation building upon voluntary member contributions to advance our knowledge and understanding of CECs in the environment. By combining the extensive knowledge and experience of the NORMAN network with the financial and policy-related strengths of the PARC initiative, a large step towards the goal of a non-toxic environment can be taken.
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