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Abstract: This paper presents an approach to the vibration analysis of axially functionally graded non-prismatic Timoshenko beams (AFGNPTB) using the finite difference method (FDM). The characteristics (cross-sectional area, moment of inertia, elastic moduli, shear moduli, and mass density) of axially functionally graded beams vary along the longitudinal axis. The Timoshenko beam theory covers cases associated with small deflections based on shear deformation and rotary inertia considerations. The FDM is an approximate method for solving problems described with differential equations. It does not involve solving differential equations; equations are formulated with values at selected points of the structure. In addition, the boundary conditions and not the governing equations are applied at the beam’s ends. In this paper, differential equations were formulated with finite differences, and additional points were introduced at the beam’s ends and at positions of discontinuity (supports, hinges, springs, concentrated mass, spring–mass system, etc.). The introduction of additional points allowed us to apply the governing equations at the beam’s ends and to satisfy the boundary and continuity conditions. Moreover, grid points with variable spacing were also considered, the grid being uniform within beam segments. Vibration analysis of AFGNPTB was conducted with this model, and natural frequencies were determined. Finally, a direct time integration method (DTIM) was presented. The FDM-based DTIM enabled the analysis of forced vibration of AFGNPTB, considering the damping. The results obtained in this study showed good agreement with those of other studies, and the accuracy was always increased through a grid refinement.
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1. Introduction

This paper describes the application of Fogang’s model [1] based on the finite difference method, used for the Euler–Bernoulli beam, to the vibration analysis of axially functionally graded non-prismatic Timoshenko beams (AFGNPTB). Various studies have focused on the vibration analysis of AFGNPTB. Kindelan et al. [2] presented a method of obtaining optimal finite difference formulas that maximize their frequency range of validity. Both conventional and staggered equispaced stencils for first and second derivatives were considered. Mwabora et al. [3] considered numerical solutions for static and dynamic stability parameters of an axially loaded uniform beam resting on
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simply supported foundations using the finite difference method (FDM), where a central difference scheme was
developed. Soltani et al. [4] applied the FDM to evaluate natural frequencies of non-prismatic beams with different
boundary conditions and resting on variable one- or two-parameter elastic foundations. Torabi et al. [5] presented an
exact closed-form solution for free vibration analysis of Euler–Bernoulli conical and tapered beams carrying any desired
number of attached masses; the concentrated masses were modeled by Dirac’s delta functions. Soltani [6] developed a
semi-analytical technique to investigate the free bending vibration behavior of an axially functionally graded non-
prismatic Timoshenko beam subjected to a point force at both ends, based on the power series expansion.

Classical analysis of the Timoshenko beam involves solving the governing equations that are expressed via means of
differential equations, and considering boundary and continuity conditions. However, solving differential equations may
difficult in the presence of an axial force (or external distributed axial forces), an elastic Winkler foundation, a
Pasternak foundation, or damping. Generally, the FDM does not consider points outside the beam; the boundary
conditions are applied at the beam’s ends, not the governing equations. Consequently, the non-application of governing
equations at the beam’s ends leads to inaccurate results, making the FDM less useful compared with other numerical
methods, such as the finite element method. This paper presented a model based on FDM; this model consisted of
formulating differential equations with finite differences and introducing additional points at the beam’s ends and at
positions of discontinuity (supports, hinges, springs, concentrated mass, spring–mass system, etc.). The introduction of
additional points allowed us to apply the governing equations at the beam’s ends and to satisfy the boundary and
continuity conditions. Vibration analysis of structures was conducted using the model, and natural frequencies were
determined. Finally, a direct time integration method (DTIM) was presented. The FDM-based DTIM enabled the
analysis of forced vibration of Euler–Bernoulli beams, considering the damping.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Free vibration analysis

2.1.1 Governing equations of the free vibration

The focus here is to determine the eigenfrequencies of the beam. A second-order analysis is conducted; and the first-order
analysis can easily be deduced. The sign convention adopted for loads, bending moments, transverse forces, and
displacements is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1  Sign convention for loads, bending moments, transverse forces, and displacements

Specifically, \( M(x) \) is the bending moment in the section, \( T(x) \) is the transverse force, \( N(x) \) is the axial force (positive in
tension), \( w(x) \) is the deflection, and \( q(x) \) is the distributed load in the positive downward direction.
A harmonic vibration being assumed, the equations of dynamic equilibrium on an infinitesimal beam element are as follows:

\[
\frac{dT(x)}{dx} - k(x)w(x) + \omega^2 \rho(x)A(x)w(x) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{dM(x)}{dx} + N(x)\frac{dw(x)}{dx} - T(x) - \omega^2 \rho(x)I(x)\varphi(x) = 0
\]

where \( \rho(x) \) is the beam’s mass per unit volume, \( A(x) \) is the cross-sectional area, \( I(x) \) is the moment of inertia, \( k(x) \) is the stiffness of the elastic Winkler foundation, \( \varphi(x) \) is the rotation (positive in clockwise) of the cross section, and \( \omega \) is the circular frequency of the beam.

The transverse force \( T(x) \) is related to the shear force \( V(x) \), as follows:

\[
T(x) = V(x) + N(x)\frac{dw(x)}{dx}
\]

Let us consider an external distributed axial load \( n(x) \) positive along the +x axis

\[
n(x) = -\frac{dN(x)}{dx}
\]

Substituting Equations (2) and (3) into Equations (1a-b) yields

\[
\frac{dV(x)}{dx} + N(x)\frac{d^2w(x)}{dx^2} - n(x)\frac{dw(x)}{dx} - k(x)w(x) + \omega^2 \rho(x)A(x)w(x) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{dM(x)}{dx} - V(x) - \omega^2 \rho(x)I(x)\varphi(x) = 0
\]

According to the Timoshenko beam theory, the bending moment and shear force are related to the deflection and rotation of the cross section \( \varphi(x) \), as follows:

\[
M(x) = -E(x)I(x)\frac{d\varphi(x)}{dx}
\]

\[
V(x) = \kappa G(x)A(x)\times\left(\frac{dw(x)}{dx} - \varphi(x)\right)
\]

Where at a position \( x \), \( E(x) \) is the elastic modulus, \( G(x) \) is the shear modulus, and \( \kappa \) is the shear correction factor.

Substituting Equations (5a-b) into Equations (4a-b) yields

\[
\frac{d}{dx}\left[\kappa G(x)A(x)\right]\times\left(\frac{dw(x)}{dx} - \varphi(x)\right) + \kappa G(x)A(x)\times\left(\frac{d^2w(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{d\varphi(x)}{dx}\right) +
\]

\[
N(x)\frac{d^2w(x)}{dx^2} - n(x)\frac{dw(x)}{dx} - k(x)w(x) + \omega^2 \rho(x)A(x)w(x) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dx}\left[E(x)I(x)\right]\times\frac{d\varphi(x)}{dx} + E(x)I(x)\frac{d^2\varphi(x)}{dx^2} + \kappa G(x)A(x)\times\left(\frac{dw(x)}{dx} - \varphi(x)\right) + \omega^2 \rho(x)I(x)\varphi(x) = 0
\]
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2.1.2 Fundamentals of the FDM

Let us consider a segment \( k \) of the beam having equidistant grid points with spacing \( h_k \).

Equations (6a-b) have a second-order derivative; consequently, the deflection and rotation curves \( w(x) \), and \( \varphi(x) \), respectively, are approximated around the point of interest \( i \) as second-degree polynomials.

Thus, a three-point stencil is used to write finite difference approximations to derivatives at grid points. The derivatives (\( S(x) \) representing \( w(x) \) or \( \varphi(x) \)) at \( i \) are expressed with deflection values at points \( i-1 \), \( i \), and \( i+1 \).

\[
\left. \frac{d^2 S(x)}{dx^2} \right|_i = \frac{S_{i-1} - 2S_i + S_{i+1}}{h_k^2} \quad (7a) \quad \left. \frac{dS(x)}{dx} \right|_i = -\frac{S_{i-1} + S_{i+1}}{2h_k} \quad (7b)
\]

2.1.3 FDM Formulation of equations and efforts

Since the characteristics of the beam vary throughout the longitudinal axis, reference values are defined. The reference values of the beam’s mass per unit volume, the cross-sectional area, the moment of inertia, the elastic modulus, and the shear modulus are denoted by \( \rho_r \), \( A_r \), \( I_r \), \( E_r \), and \( G_r \), respectively. At a position \( x \) the beam’s mass per unit volume, the cross-sectional area, the moment of inertia, the elastic modulus, and the shear modulus are related to the reference values as follows:

\[
\rho(x) = \beta_{\rho}(x) \times \rho_r \quad (8a) \quad E(x) = \beta_E(x) \times E_r \quad (8d) \\
A(x) = \beta_A(x) \times A_r \quad (8b) \quad G(x) = \beta_G(x) \times G_r \quad (8e) \\
I(x) = \beta_I(x) \times I_r \quad (8c)
\]

The reference length is denoted by \( l_r \). The bending shear factor \( \alpha_r \) and other parameters are defined as follows:

\[
\alpha_r = E_r I_r l \left( \kappa G_r A_r l_r^2 \right) \quad (9a) \quad W(x) = E_r I_r \times w(x) \quad (9c) \\
h_k = \beta_{hk} l_r \quad (9b) \quad \Phi(x) = E_r I_r \times \varphi(x) \quad (9d)
\]

The following parameters describing the rate of change of stiffnesses \( E(x)I(x) \) and \( \kappa G(x)A(x) \) are defined as follows:

\[
\beta_{GA}(x) = h_k \frac{d \left[ \beta_G(x) \beta_A(x) \right]}{dx} \quad (10a) \quad \beta_{EI}(x) = h_k \frac{d \left[ \beta_E(x) \beta_I(x) \right]}{dx} \quad (10b)
\]

The reference coefficient of rotary inertia \( k_{Rlr} \) and the vibration frequency \( \omega \) are defined as follows:

\[
k_{Rlr} = \frac{I_r}{A_r l_r^2} \quad (11a) \quad \omega = \lambda \times \sqrt{\frac{E_r I_r}{\rho_r A_r l_r^4}} \quad (11b)
\]
Substituting Equations (7a-b), (8a-c), (9a-d), (10a-b), and (11a-b) into Equations (6a-b) yields the FDM formulations of the governing equations as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\beta_{Ga_i}^2}{2\alpha_r^2} + \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r} + \frac{N_i h_k^2}{E_I r} + \frac{n_i h_k^3}{2 E_I r} \right) W_{i-1} & - \left( \frac{2 \beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r^2} + \frac{2 N_i h_k^2}{E_I r} + \frac{k_i h_k^4}{E_I r} - \beta_{\rho_i} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^4 \lambda_i^2 \right) W_i \\
+ \left( \frac{\beta_{Ga_i}^2}{2\alpha_r^2} + \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r} + \frac{N_i h_k^2}{E_I r} - \frac{n_i h_k^3}{2 E_I r} \right) W_{i+1} & + \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{2\alpha_r} h_k \Phi_i - \frac{\beta_{Ga_i}^2}{2\alpha_r} h_k \Phi_{i-1} - \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{2\alpha_r} h_k \Phi_{i+1} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (12a-b) are the governing equations. Substituting Equations (7b), (8b-c), and (9a-d) into Equations (2) and (5a-b) yields the bending moment and transverse force, as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
M_i & = \beta_{El} \beta_{li} \frac{\Phi_{i-1} - \Phi_{i+1}}{2h_k} \\
T_i & = -\frac{1}{h_k^2} \left( \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{2\alpha_r} + \frac{N_i h_k^2}{E_I r} \right) W_{i-1} + \frac{1}{h_k^2} \left( \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{2\alpha_r} + \frac{N_i h_k^2}{E_I r} \right) W_{i+1} - \frac{1}{h_k^2} \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r} h_k \Phi_i
\end{align*}
\]

For the special case of an AFGNPTB without an axial force or a Winkler foundation, Equation (12a) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\beta_{Ga_i}^2}{2\alpha_r^2} + \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r} \right) W_{i-1} & - \left( \frac{2 \beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r^2} - \beta_{\rho_i} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^4 \lambda_i^2 \right) W_i \\
+ \left( \frac{\beta_{Ga_i}^2}{2\alpha_r^2} + \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r} \right) W_{i+1} & + \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{2\alpha_r} h_k \Phi_i - \frac{\beta_{Ga_i}^2}{2\alpha_r} h_k \Phi_{i-1} - \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{2\alpha_r} h_k \Phi_{i+1} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

The shear force is then calculated as follows:

\[
V_i = \frac{\beta_{Gi} \beta_{Ai} \beta_{lk}^2}{\alpha_r h_k^3} \left( -\frac{W_{i-1}}{2} + \frac{W_{i+1}}{2} - h_k \Phi_i \right)
\]
2.1.3 Analysis at beam’s ends and at positions of discontinuity

Positions of discontinuity are positions of concentrated mass, spring–mass system, supports, hinges, springs, abrupt change in cross section, positions where $E(x)I(x)$ and $\kappa G(x)A(x)$ are not differentiable, and change in grid spacing. The model used in this paper (developed in Fogang [1]) consists of realizing an opening of the beam at the position of discontinuity and introducing additional points (imaginary points $i_a$ and $i_d$) in the opening, as represented in Figure 2. Imaginary points are also introduced at beam’s ends (points 0 and $n+2$), so governing equations are applied at the beam’s ends, as well as boundary conditions.

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2 Introduction of imaginary points at beam’s ends and in the opening at the left side (a) and right side (b)

Thus, the governing equations (Equations (12a-b) are applied at any point on the grid (1, 2,…i-1, il, ir, i+1…n+1 of Figure 2). The continuity equations express the continuity of the deflection and rotation of the cross section, and the equilibrium of bending moments and transverse forces. The continuity equations for deflections, rotations of the cross sections, and bending moments are defined as follows:

$$w_{il} = w_{ir} \rightarrow W_{il} = W_{ir}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16a)

$$\varphi_{il} = \varphi_{ir} \rightarrow \Phi_{il} = \Phi_{ir}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16b)

$$M_{il} - M_{ir} = 0 \rightarrow \beta_{Eil} \beta_{lil} \frac{\Phi_{i-1} - \Phi_{ia}}{2h_k} - \beta_{Eir} \beta_{lir} \frac{\Phi_{id} - \Phi_{i+1}}{2h_p} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (16c)

An adjustment of the continuity equations is made e.g. in the case of a hinge (no continuity of the rotation of the cross section, and $M_{i_d} = M_{i_a} = 0$), a support ($W_{i_d} = W_{i_a} = 0$, no balance of transverse forces), or a spring.

The equilibrium of transverse forces depends on the case of discontinuity. The transverse forces $T_{il}$ and $T_{ir}$ in Equations (17), (19), and (20a) are calculated using Equation (13b).
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Effect of a concentrated force \( P_i \): The balance of vertical forces yields
\[
T_{il} - T_{ir} - P_i = 0
\]  
(17)

Effect of a concentrated mass: The dynamic behavior of a beam carrying a concentrated mass \( M_p \) was analyzed. We set
\[
M_p = m_p \times \rho_r A_r l_r
\]  
(18)

Applying Equations (9c), (11b), and (18), the balance of vertical forces yields
\[
T_{il} - T_{ir} - \frac{M_p \omega^2}{E_r I_r} W_{il} = 0 \rightarrow T_{il} - T_{ir} - \frac{m_p \lambda^2}{l_r^3} W_{il} = 0
\]  
(19)

Effect of a spring–mass system: The dynamic behavior of a beam carrying a spring–mass system was analyzed. The deflection of the mass is denoted by \( W_{iM} \). The spring stiffness \( K_p \) is defined as follows:
\[
K_p = k_p \times E_r I_r / l_r^3
\]  
(20)

Applying Equations (9c), (11b), (18), and (20), the balance of vertical forces yields
\[
T_{il} - T_{ir} - \frac{M_p \omega^2}{E_r I_r} W_{iM} = 0 \rightarrow T_{il} - T_{ir} - \frac{m_p \lambda^2}{l_r^3} W_{iM} = 0
\]  
(21a)

\[
\frac{M_p \omega^2}{E_r I_r} W_{iM} = \frac{K_p}{E_r I_r} \times (W_{iM} - W_{ir}) \rightarrow m_p \lambda^2 W_{iM} = k_p (W_{iM} - W_{ir})
\]  
(21b)

2.2 Direct time integration method

The direct time integration method used here (developed in Fogang [1]) describes the dynamic response of a beam as a multi-degree-of-freedom system. Viscosity \( \eta \) and external loading \( p(x,t) \) are considered. The equations of dynamic equilibrium on an infinitesimal beam element are as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( \kappa G A(x) \left( \frac{\partial w^*(x,t)}{\partial x} - \varphi^*(x,t) \right) \right) + \kappa G A(x) \left( \frac{\partial^2 w^*(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial \varphi^*(x,t)}{\partial x} \right)
\]

\[
- n(x) \frac{\partial w^*(x,t)}{\partial x} + N(x) \frac{\partial^2 w^*(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - k(x) w^*(x,t) - \rho A(x) \frac{\partial^2 w^*(x,t)}{\partial t^2} - \eta \frac{\partial w^*(x,t)}{\partial t} = - p(x,t)
\]  
(21a)

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{E I(x) \partial \varphi^*(x,t)}{\partial x} \right) + E I(x) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^*(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \kappa G A(x) \left( \frac{\partial w^*(x,t)}{\partial x} - \varphi^*(x,t) \right) - \rho I(x) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^*(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = 0
\]  
(21b)
The derivatives with respect to $x$ are formulated using Equations (7a-b), while those with respect to $t$ (time increment is $\Delta t$) are formulated considering a three-point stencil with Equations (22a-c):

$$\frac{\partial w^*(x,t)}{\partial t} \bigg|_{i,t} = \frac{-w^*_{i,t-\Delta t} + w^*_{i,t+\Delta t}}{2\Delta t} \quad \frac{\partial^2 w^*(x,t)}{\partial t^2} \bigg|_{i,t} = \frac{w^*_{i,t-\Delta t} - 2w^*_{i,t} + w^*_{i,t+\Delta t}}{\Delta t^2}$$

At initial time $t = 0$, a three-point forward difference approximation is applied:

$$\frac{\partial^2 w^*}{\partial t^2} \bigg|_{i,0} = \frac{w^*_{i,0} - 2w^*_{i,\Delta t} + w^*_{i,2\Delta t}}{\Delta t^2} \quad \frac{\partial w^*}{\partial t} \bigg|_{i,0} = \frac{-3w^*_{i,0} + 4w^*_{i,\Delta t} - w^*_{i,2\Delta t}}{2\Delta t}$$

At final time $t = T$, a three-point backward difference approximation is applied:

$$\frac{\partial^2 w^*}{\partial t^2} \bigg|_{i,T} = \frac{w^*_{i,T-2\Delta t} - 2w^*_{i,T-\Delta t} + w^*_{i,T}}{\Delta t^2} \quad \frac{\partial w^*}{\partial t} \bigg|_{i,T} = \frac{w^*_{i,T-2\Delta t} - 4w^*_{i,T-\Delta t} + 3w^*_{i,T}}{2\Delta t}$$

The governing equations (Equations (21a-b)) can be formulated with the FDM for $x = i$ at time $t$. The FDM formulations of these equations are applied at any point of the beam at any time $t$ using a five-point stencil. Additional points are introduced to satisfy the boundary and continuity conditions. The boundary conditions are satisfied using a three-point stencil. Thus, beam deflection $w^*(x,t)$ and rotation $\varphi^*(x,t)$ can be determined with the Cartesian model represented in Figure 3. The bending moment $M^*(x,t)$ and transverse force $T^*(x,t)$ are calculated using Equations (13a-b).

![Figure 3 Model for the calculation of time-dependent vibration of a axially functionally graded beam](image-url)
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Free vibration analysis of AFG tapered Timoshenko beams

The natural frequencies (coefficients $\nu$) of axially functionally graded non-prismatic (AFGNP) Timoshenko beams were determined. Pinned–pinned, fixed–free, and fixed–fixed beams were considered. Soltani [6] presented results obtained with the power series method (PSM) and those obtained by Shahba et al. [7] with the finite element method (FEM in terms of ABAQUS software). Case B of [6], described as follows, was considered: the height and breadth of the beam were assumed to vary linearly in longitudinal axis with the same tapering ratio $\beta = 1 - h_0/h_1 = 1 - b_0/b_1$. The heights and breadths at the left and the right beam’s end, respectively, were denoted by $h_0$, $b_0$, $h_1$, and $b_1$. The beams have the following characteristics: Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.30$, Timoshenko shear coefficient $\kappa = 5/6$, and coefficient of rotary inertia $k_{RI} = 0.01$.

The reference values $\rho_r$, $A_r$, $I_r$, $E_r$, and $G_r$ are taken at the left beam’s end. 

$$I(x) = I_r (1-\beta x/l)^4, \quad A(x) = A_r (1-\beta x/l)^2, \quad E(x) = E_r, \quad \rho(x) = \rho_r + (\rho_1 - \rho_r) \times (x/l)^2$$

FDM analysis was conducted with $n = 9, 17, 33, \text{and } 49$ grid points for different values of the taper ratio and support conditions. Detailed analysis and results are listed in Appendix A and in the Supplementary Material “Vibration analysis of AFG tapered Timoshenko beams.” The results of this study are compared to those of Soltani [6] and Shahba et al. [7] in Table 1.

Table 1 Coefficients $\nu$ of natural frequencies (first mode) of AFGNP Timoshenko beams Case B: Soltani [6] (PSM), Shahba et al. [7] (FEM), and Present study (FDM).

| Taper ratio | Soltani [6]: PSM | Shahba et al. [7]: FEM | FDM 9-pt grid | FDM 17-pt grid | FDM 33-pt grid | FDM 49-pt grid |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Fixed–free beam |
| 0.2         | 4.2390         | 4.2384                 | 4.2497        | 4.2394        | 4.2384        | 4.2382        |
| 0.5         | 5.0170         | 5.0178                 | 4.8744        | 4.9753        | 5.0057        | 5.0116        |
| Pinned–pinned beam |
| 0.2         | 7.2246         | 7.2245                 | 7.50588       | 7.2901        | 7.2390        | 7.2297        |
| 0.5         | 5.7128         | 5.7118                 | 5.8261        | 5.7072        | 5.7050        | 5.7059        |
| Fixed–fixed beam |
| 0.2         | 12.2385        | 12.2429                | 12.8558       | 12.3996       | 12.2779       | 12.2551       |
| 0.5         | 11.3145        | 11.3199                | 12.09198      | 11.5094       | 11.3600       | 11.3325       |

The results of this study are in good agreement with those of Soltani [6] and Shahba et al. [7].
4 Conclusion

The FDM-based model developed in this paper enabled, with relative easiness, vibration analysis of axially functionally graded non-prismatic Timoshenko beams. The results showed that the calculations conducted as described in this paper were accurate.

The following aspects were not addressed in this study but could be analyzed with the model in the future:

✓ Axially functionally graded Timoshenko beams resting on Pasternak foundations
✓ Elastically connected multiple-beam system

Supplementary Materials: The following file was uploaded during submission:

- “Vibration analysis of AFG tapered Timoshenko beams”
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Appendix A: Vibration analysis of AFG tapered Timoshenko beams

The bending shear factor (Equation (9a)) is calculated as follows

$$\alpha = \frac{E_r I_r}{\kappa G_r A_r l_r^2} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{E_r}{G_r} \frac{I_r}{A_r l_r^2} = \frac{1}{5/6} \times 2(1 + 0.30) \times 0.01 = 0.0312$$

(A1)

The parameters $\beta_l(x)$, $\beta_A(x)$, $\beta_P(x)$, $\beta_E(x)$, $\beta_G(x)$, $\beta_{GA}(x)$, and $\beta_{EI}(x)$ are calculated as follows:

$$\beta_l(x) = [1 - \beta x/l]^4$$
$$\beta_A(x) = [1 - \beta x/l]^2$$
$$\beta_P(x) = 1 + (\rho_1 / \rho_0 - 1) \times (x/l)^2$$
$$\beta_E(x) = \beta_G(x) = 1 + (E_1 / E_0 - 1) \times (x/l)^2$$

$$\beta_{GA}(x) = h_k \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \beta_G(x) \beta_A(x) \right]$$

$$\beta_{EI}(x) = h_k \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \beta_E(x) \beta_l(x) \right]$$

(A2)
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