User Experience of Reading in Virtual Reality - Finding Values for Text Distance, Size and Contrast
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A. Related work

Readability as a term is used within different domains so that it can be referred to as the accuracy of reading [5]; as the ability to understand the text with taking into account the speed of reading [6]; or to the visual representation of the characters themselves [7]. In each of these applications, the reader must be able to read and understand the text. One of the essential prerequisites for a good user experience of reading text from a screen is that visual information is designed and displayed clearly and comfortably [8]. That property of allowing sentences to be read from a given material, regardless of their meaning, is crucial for readability. Efficient readability requires excellent legibility of the displayed text, where legibility refers to the visual properties, meaning how easy it is to recognize a character or a symbol [9]. That is why concerning readability in virtual reality, the first step is to focus on legibility and visual representation of text.

Visual representation of text is equally important in digital solutions, as it is in printed forms, and a lot of standardization and research was done in this area when it comes to desktop website [10] or mobile [11] content. One of the most prominent standards, when it comes to ensuring the accessibility of website contents, is WCAG2.0 [12]. The idea behind this standardization is to ensure that users can understand, browse and interact with websites. Among other suggestions that are proposed by WCAG2.0, there is an indication for contrast ratio between text color and background color depending on the text size. WCAG2.0 Level A means that the website information is accessible to all users and that the conforming alternate version is available. Level AA requires a contrast ratio of at least 4.5:1 for standard text and 3:1 for large text. Large text is defined as minimally 18 points or 14 points bold. Level AAA requires a contrast ratio of at least 7:1 for standard text and 4.5:1 for large text.

One of the characteristics of the user interface (UI) in virtual reality is that the screen size is not limited [13]. Therefore, VR brings the advantage when it comes to space for representing information in all directions. However, apart from ability to present more information, it is important to define the position of elements around the user so that the user can comfortably comprehend information. In order to achieve that, three zones are defined for the user interface in VR: content zone is comfortable 140°-wide angle in front of the user; the peripheral zone is left and right to the user between 70° and 105° angle; and everything else that is behind the user is named as curiosity zone [14]. Also, three zones are defined for the distance between user and interface, where information should not be placed closer than 0.5m and beyond 20m.
As for displaying text in virtual reality, not only the font size of characters is important, but also the distance in which this text is displayed. Google has proposed a new font size unit named distance independent millimeter - dmm. It is defined as height of the character of 1mm on the distance of 1m. That was proposed as angular size had no standardized unit so far in virtual reality, and it is giving a consistent view on any screen at any distance. Therefore, the unit was quickly adopted and is used by both industries and in research. One of the research studies that is reporting in dmm is a study that explored basic parameters to measure text box size, text size, and specific preferences [15]. The results are reporting that the angular size of the text should be 41dmm +/- 14 dmm. Additionally, participants reported preference of having white text on black background over black text on a white background and displaying text in sans-serif type font (Arial) over serif (Times New Roman). However, in this study, only Oculus Rift as a head-mounted display (HMD) was connected to a personal computer and providing high resolution, but not different resolutions or standalone HMDs were considered. Another study that was conducted regarding readability in VR explored performing daily routine work in VR. Instead of text applications, they created static images and asked the user to read the text and interact, like with a web browser or emails. Additionally, the performance was measured by reading speed and accuracy [16]. Results show that distance to imaginary representation is important in VR and that permanent head movement helps to improve readability. However, in this study, participants had no possibility of adjusting font parameters by themselves.

B. Objectives

In order to explore what are the text parameters for comfortable reading and displaying text in virtual reality, a study was designed, allowing participants to set up their preferred settings. The focus of the study was on three main parameters: the size of text, the distance between user and text, and the color contrast of text and background. Although research has already been done in the area of readability for web [17], there had been little focus on how those standards apply for text inside of virtual reality, especially for standalone VR devices. Differentiation of conditions for a study has been created by using different text lengths (short title, medium and longer paragraph), as well as different HMD devices with different screen resolutions. The remaining sections of the present paper explain the process of creating the application for reading and study, as well as the test set up with the aim to answer these questions:

- What are the text parameters that users choose as the best and the worst for reading in virtual reality?
- Are selected text parameters significantly different depending on text length?
- Are selected text parameters significantly different depending on virtual reality HMD devices?
- What influence do device type and text length have on reported user experience while reading in virtual reality?
B. Procedure

Participants were invited to the lab room at university, each at different separated time slot in order to take part in the experiment alone. At the beginning, the participant was welcomed by a moderator and presented with an introduction of the study. After signing a consent, a participant was given a pre-questionnaire asking about demographic information, their previous experience with virtual reality, and daily habits in reading as well as if they have any kind of eyesight improvements such as glasses or lenses. Additionally, participants were asked to fill in the Affinity for Technology Interaction (ATI) Scale questionnaire [19] in order to find out their tendency to actively engage in intensive technology interaction. Next step was that participant was given in the introduction to each of Oculus devices, controllers and interactions needed for the experiment. As part of the introduction, each participant tried to use both devices with a training application that had the same interactions. However, no data was saved so that the participant could explore all possibilities and options of application and interactions with controllers. Also, at this stage, participants could change settings of HMD that fit them with the best comfort. Participants with glasses could also wear their classes below the head-mounted display as Oculus is providing enough space for it.

After training was done, the participant had to set up text parameters for each condition. Altogether, there were six conditions, as all combinations of two Oculus devices (Go and Quest) and three different lengths of text (2, 21 and 51 words). For each condition, a participant had to set up text parameters - distance, font size and contrast of text. A participant was asked to do two tasks: one was to set up text parameters that readability of the text is the best possible, and the second was to set up parameters of text to the worst possible readability. Those tasks were randomized, as well as conditions of the study. After each task, a participant was filling in the Self-Assessment Manikin (SAM) [20] as an emotion assessment tool that uses graphic scales, depicting cartoon characters expressing three emotion elements: pleasure, arousal and dominance. Finally, after settings text parameters for all conditions, the participant was asked to rate the usability of reading in virtual reality with the System Usability Scale (SUS) [21]. The final questionnaire was asking participants to evaluate general readability in virtual reality when they were using their best text settings and to leave any additional comments.

C. Participants

A complete set of 22 participants (54.5% male and 45.5% female) was participating in the study. The average age of the participants was 28.41 years (SD = 9.56, min = 19, max = 62). The majority of participants had some experience with virtual environments (86.4%), while only 3 participants (16.6%) never tried virtual reality before, and nobody was an expert with virtual reality. Additionally, participants reported that on average, the majority reads between 2 and 4 hours a day (77.3%). Less than 1 hour of a day reads 13.6% of participants, while only 9.1% reads between 5 and 7 hours a day. Lastly, participants reported if they have any eyesight improvements while participating in a study. Eye lenses were worn 3 participants (13.6%), 6 participants (27.3%) were using glasses, and 13 other participants (59.1%) did not need eyesight improvement.

III. RESULTS

A repeated measure Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine statistically significant differences. An overview of all significant effects that will be explained in the following sections is given with table I. Additionally, table II is showing for all conditions mean values that participants have selected as the best settings of text parameters of size, distance and color contrast.

| Parameter   | Text Lenght | Device | Mean   | SD    |
|-------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Angular size| Short       | Go     | 27.48  | 9.86  |
| Angular size| Short       | Quest  | 32.06  | 18.75 |
| Angular size| Medium      | Go     | 17.17  | 4.90  |
| Angular size| Medium      | Quest  | 16.18  | 5.25  |
| Angular size| Long        | Go     | 16.01  | 9.25  |
| Angular size| Long        | Quest  | 17.87  | 24.52 |
| Contrast ratio| Short    | Go     | 11.89  | 6.68  |
| Contrast ratio| Short    | Quest  | 10.12  | 7.32  |
| Contrast ratio| Medium  | Go     | 10.47  | 7.52  |
| Contrast ratio| Medium  | Quest  | 8.71   | 5.94  |
| Contrast ratio| Long     | Go     | 11.02  | 7.73  |
| Contrast ratio| Long     | Quest  | 9.37   | 5.75  |

Table I: Mean values of angular size and contrast ration over all conditions for the best settings of text parameters. Difference in conditions was by changing length of text - 2 words (short), 21 words (medium), 51 words (long) and device (Oculus Go and Quest).

| Effect     | Parameter | Cond | dfn | dfs | F   | p    | η² | g² |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|----|----|
| dmm        | Lenght    | Pos  | 1   | 22  | 9.89| .005 | 0.32|    |
| SAM_D      | Lenght+Device | Neg | 1   | 22  | 6.14| .022 | 0.22|    |

Table II: Effects of different HMD devices (Device) and text length (Lenght) on angular size (dmm) as combination of font size and distance and SAM dimension of dominance (SAM_D), in different tasks where users had to select the best text settings (Pos) and the worst (Neg).
A. Angular size

Text parameters of font size and text distances have been combined and calculated to represent one value named angular size. Angular size is described using Google’s unit for angular size called distance-independent millimeter (dmm), where 1dmm equals 1mm height at 1m viewing distance. The main effect found for the task where participants had to select the best text parameters is about text length significantly influencing the preferred angular size of the text. This result is represented by figure [3] and all mean values are shown in table [I]. Results show that for short text length, angular size is significantly bigger compared with when the text that was displayed as medium or long. It can also be seen that this effect is valid for both devices equally, and that device type had no significant influence on angular size. When it comes to the task where participants had to choose settings of text parameters to create the worst experience of reading in VR, no significant influences were found with the influence of device or text length. However, values for each condition are significantly higher compared to positive task (figure [5]) for both Oculus Go with short (M=297.1191 SD=691.48351), medium (M=203.5112 SD=389.74864) and long text (M=203.5112 SD=389.74864); as well as for Oculus Quest with short (M=404.5926 SD=866.13453), medium (M=253.1436 SD=365.15349) and long text (M=169.5190 SD=235.94466).

B. Contrast ratio

Contrast ratio was calculated between the color of text and the color of the background of a virtual environment, and all mean values are reported in table [I] for settings that participants have selected as preferred ones for reading in VR and shown with figure [5]. Even though text length and device type had no significant influence, mean values when it comes to the negative example of reading in VR are interestingly different for both devices. Oculus Go showing short (M=6.65, SD=8.24), medium (M=6.8677, SD=8.05033) and long text (M=6.59, SD=9.19) or Oculus Quest and short (M=7.48, SD=7.85), medium (M=6.45, SD=7.06) and long text (M=6.04, SD=7.02). A comparison of contrast ratio and how frequently it was selected in each task is shown with figure [6].

Figure 3: Mean value of angular size (in dmm) for task of choosing the best text parameters for reading in VR, in relation to length of text display and type of VR device used.

Figure 4: Mean values of angular size (in dmm) for both tasks, choosing the best (positive) and the worst (negative) text parameters for reading in VR.

Figure 5: Mean value of contrast ratio between color of text and background for task of choosing the best text parameters for reading in VR, in relation to length of text display and type of VR device used.

Figure 6: Frequency of choosing values of contrast ratio between color of text and background for both tasks, choosing the best (positive) and the worst (negative) text parameters for reading in VR.
C. SAM

For the task where participants had to set up parameters to the worst representation of text, it can be observed in figure[7] that length of the text and device type influenced how users have rated SAM dimension dominance. While seeing bad examples for longer text, participants have rated that feel significantly more in control while using device Oculus Quest (M=3.13, SD=1.52) compared to the same long text while using device Oculus Go (M=2.50, SD=1.40). However, rated feeling of dominance was significantly higher with device Oculus Go for short (M=2.95, SD=1.58) and medium text (M=3.18, SD=1.56) compared to using Oculus Quest with same short (M=2.18, SD=1.36) and medium (M=2.50, SD=1.50) text.

When it comes to the task of setting the best possible settings for angular size, results show that the length of text is significantly influencing preferred font size and distance. A short text that was only 2 words in this study, and might have been comprehended by some participants as a title resulted in significantly larger angular size compared to values for medium (21 words) and long (51 words) text. Even though it was not told to participants to think of as title or paragraph, mean values of short text for both Oculus Go (M=27.48dmm) and Oculus Quest (M=32.06dmm) are close by values to the ones that Google guidelines are suggesting for headlines (40dmm) or titles (32dmm). Likewise, medium or long text mean values are just a little bit below the proposed Google guidelines values for body or caption text.

Further on, contrast ration was calculated for each condition between colors of text and background. Ratio 1:1 represents a situation where the same color of the text is represented on the background of that color and therefore looks invisible. On the other side ratio 21:1 is representing the highest possible contrast, for example, black text on a white background or white text on black background. The mean results of contrast ratio for the task where participants had to select the best possible text settings are not significantly different for different text lengths or devices, but in all conditions are at least 7:1, that requires WCAG2.0 Level AAA. However, even though the WCAG2.0 standard is suggesting guidelines by providing a ratio that has to be minimally fulfilled, interesting results were reported about the ratio that is closer to maximal values.

For the task where participants had to set the text parameters to create a negative reading experience, the maximal contrast ratio of 21 was selected as the worst by around 1/3 of cases. Therefore creating the design guidelines with only the minimal value required for contrast ratio might not always be the right solution for representations of text in virtual reality. Especially while designing an environment where background is very bright, as a screen of virtual reality is so close to the eye that bright color might feel like too much light in the eye and overall experience would be perceived as rather a negative one. However, results from this study are just indicating this trend but are not yet able to define the values at which this effect would start happening. Reading only the mean values for the contrast ratio of the task with setting text parameters to create a negative reading experience is not enough. Moreover, it is important to notice that the arrangement of data is rather on very low or very high contrast ratio value.

Finally, when it comes to user experience of reading in virtual reality and how people have perceived it, both together device type and text length had significant influence on results. Participants have reported that the feeling of being in control, measured by SAM dimension of dominance, is higher for longer text while using Oculus Quest compared to the Oculus Go where participant reporter higher feeling on control for shorter text when text was set by the worst parameters. It might be due to the different resolutions of screens between devices, as well as different degrees of freedom that devices are providing. Interestingly, devices had an influence when it comes to reading with the negatively set text parameters, even though no significant difference was found when choosing the best possible text settings.

![Figure 7: Mean value of SAM dominance dimension for the task of choosing the worst text parameters considering reading in VR, in relation to the type of VR device used.](image)
V. CONCLUSION

This paper is presenting the values selected by participants as the best settings of text parameters of size, distance and color contrast, as well as the values for the worst settings concerning reading text in virtual reality on standalone headsets. With the aim to investigate the relation between user experience and reading in virtual reality, this paper is presenting values for basic text parameters - angular size and color contrast ratio. Results are presenting user preferences as well as what users defined as a negative experience of reading in virtual reality. With a task to select the best and the worst possible settings of text parameters, there are significant differences between those two, as well as the influence of text length and different standalone HMD devices. In summary, this paper is presenting which experience, positive or negative, can be expected when setting basic text parameters to specific values.

It is interesting to observe that so far guidelines have been proposing values for contrast ratio and text size as minimal required. It is not only the minimum value that is important, but the guidelines should also consider proposing maximal values to which users feel comfortable when it comes to angular size and contrast ratio for reading in virtual reality. Angular size was rater significantly higher by participants in task with setting the worst parameters, compared to the task with choosing the best font size and distance for reading in virtual reality. Even though virtual reality is providing bigger space to represent content, when it comes to text, having bigger font, just because the display and virtual environment are allowing it, was not preferred by users. Similarly, the contrast ratio that had maximal values was also not preferred by users and was rated by some users as the worst set of text parameters. However, when considering the contrast ratio, it is also important to address the question of what color is being used in background and how bright is the virtual environment. Those two questions are not addressed by results in this paper and are an exciting aspect that can be researched in future work.

Study task about choosing the best set of text parameters resulted in values that can be used as guidelines for angular size and contrast ratio. Results can be used for designing a reading experience in virtual reality, especially while designing an experience for standalone HMD device. Even though no significant difference was found when it comes to the device types, it might be taken into consideration that the difference between them could have been bigger. However, when it comes to the length of text, results have shown that the angular size for short text, such as title, is set by participants as significantly higher compared to the angular size for medium or long text.

All the reported values are showing the set up of the best of the worst settings of text parameters (size, distance and contrast) for reading in virtual reality but are not reporting on minimal and maximal values that would be acceptable without destroying the user experience. Therefore, this would be needed future work, which could lead to better defining not only minimal requirements for angular size and contrast ration, but also their maximal limits. Further on, more text parameters could be included, such as different font families, different canvas types, or different spacing between letters and words.