Alpha correlations in terms of the hyper-Pfaffian
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Abstract. We find that the overlap between alpha-like four-body condensation wave function and m-scheme basis state can be represented by the hyper-Pfaffian, which is a natural extension of the Pfaffian for the pair-condensation wave function. This overlap is useful for nuclear structure calculations with the variational Monte Carlo method. As the hyper-Pfaffian has, however, only a few mathematical relations, it is quite difficult to use for numerical computations. We also find that a specific case of hyper-Pfaffian can be expressed by the sum of standard Pfaffians.

1 Introduction

The alpha states for C and O have been studied over a half-century. On the other hand, alpha-like correlation or quartet structure in the shell model [4–6] has been paid to such quartet structures in the nuclear shell model by N. Sandulescu and his collaborators [7–9]. The alpha-like four-body condensation wave function. This overlap is useful for nuclear structure calculations with the variational Monte Carlo method. In this formulation, the complicated matrix element of the Hamiltonian between the two trial states is not explicitly needed. Therefore we focus on the overlap matrix element hereafter.

2 Quartet-condensate wave function and hyper-Pfaffian

In this work, we extend the pair-condensate wave function to the quartet-condensate wave function as

\[
|\Psi^{4\Phi}\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{4!} \sum_{pqrs} f_{pqrs} c_{q}^{\dagger} c_{r}^{\dagger} c_{s}^{\dagger} c_{t}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle
\]

where the sum regarding \(m\) is estimated by the Monte Carlo sampling. The local energy \(E_L(m)\) is defined as

\[
E_L(m) = \sum_{n} h_{n,m} \frac{\langle m|\phi\rangle}{\langle m|\phi\rangle}.
\]

The Monte Carlo sampling is carried out with the probability density \(\rho(m)\), defined by

\[
\rho(m) = \frac{|\langle m|\phi\rangle|^2}{\sum_{m} |\langle m|\phi\rangle|^2},
\]

where \(\rho(m) \geq 0\) and \(\sum_{m} \rho(m) = 1\). Thus, what we need to evaluate the energy, is the overlaps \(\langle m|\phi\rangle\). If we obtain the gradient vector regarding the parameters contained in the trial state, we can perform the energy minimization with the Monte Carlo method. In this formulation, the complicated matrix element of the Hamiltonian between the two trial states is not explicitly needed. Therefore we focus on the overlap matrix element hereafter.
where the $P^{[k]}_f$ is the hyper-Pfaffian by Jean-Gabriel Luque and Jean-Yves Thibon [15]. It is defined for fully anti-symmetric tensor $M_{i_1\ldots i_n}$ ($M_{(i_1\ldots i_n)} = \text{sgn}(\sigma)M_{i_{\sigma(1)}\ldots i_{\sigma(n)}}$ for any permutation $\sigma$ as,

$$P^{[k]}_f(M) \equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n,k}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{i_{\sigma(i)-1:i_{\sigma(i)}}}$$

where permutation $\sigma$ is restricted with the following condition as,

$$\mathcal{E}_{l_1,\cdots, l_n} \equiv \{ (\sigma \in S_{n,k} | \sigma(i-1)+1 < \cdots < \sigma(i) ) , \sigma(k(p-1)+1) < \sigma(kp+1) \},$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq p \leq n-1.$$

In the Appendix, we show two examples. The hyper-Pfaffian has been very recently studied by several mathematicians [15–18]. Eq.(7) with this hyper-Pfaffian is a natural extension of the usual Pfaffian form. In general $k$-body condensation corresponds to the $k$-th order hyper-Pfaffian. However, the hyper-Pfaffian has, unfortunately, only a few mathematical relations, unlike the Pfaffian.

Next, we try to find useful relations by assuming the following form as

$$\langle \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{v} \rangle^k = (\mathbf{m}^\pi \mathbf{m}^\nu)^k \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pi \nu} f_{\pi \nu} \epsilon_1^{\pi} c_1^{\nu} \epsilon_2^{\pi} c_2^{\nu} \cdots \epsilon_n^{\pi} c_n^{\nu} \right)^k$$

where $\pi$ and $\nu$ are proton and neutron labels. The $m$-scheme state is also a product of the proton and neutron $n$-scheme states $|\mathbf{m}^\pi \rangle$ and $|\mathbf{m}^\nu \rangle$, respectively. This form means alpha-like condensation.

For $n = 2$ and 3, we easily find that the corresponding hyper-Pfaffians can be written with the sum of standard Pfaffians. For $k = 4, n = 2$, the hyper-Pfaffian is reduced to the ordinary Pfaffian as

$$P^{[4]}_f(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,4}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) P^{[4]}_f(\mathbf{f}(\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\sigma(3),\sigma(4)))$$

where $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2)$ and $\sigma(3) < \sigma(4)$. For $k = 4, n = 3$, the hyper-Pfaffian is also reduced into the ordinary Pfaffian as

$$P^{[4]}_f(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3,4}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) P^{[4]}_f(\mathbf{f}(\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\sigma(3),\sigma(4),\sigma(5),\sigma(6)))$$

where $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2)$, $\sigma(3) < \sigma(4)$ and $\sigma(5) < \sigma(6)$. These relations are derived in [14], while for $n > 3$, there is no simple relation. To find a more general formula beyond this limitation and the gradient formula is currently under our investigation.
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Appendix: Example of the hyper-Pfaffian

Here we show two examples of the hyper-Pfaffian. For the $k = 4, n = 1$,

$$P^{[4]}_f [f] = f_{1234},$$

For $k = 4, n = 2$,

$$P^{[4]}_f [f] = f_{1234}f_{5678} - f_{1235}f_{4678} + f_{1236}f_{4578} - f_{1245}f_{3678} + f_{1246}f_{3578} - f_{1256}f_{3478} + f_{1257}f_{3458} - f_{1267}f_{3456} + f_{1268}f_{3457} - f_{1278}f_{3456} + f_{1278}f_{3456} - f_{1345}f_{2678} - f_{1346}f_{2578} - f_{1347}f_{2568} + f_{1356}f_{2478} + f_{1357}f_{2468} - f_{1358}f_{2457} + f_{1367}f_{2458} - f_{1368}f_{2457} - f_{1378}f_{2456} + f_{1456}f_{2378} - f_{1457}f_{2368} + f_{1467}f_{2358} - f_{1468}f_{2357} - f_{1478}f_{2356} + f_{1567}f_{2348} + f_{1568}f_{2347} - f_{1578}f_{2346} + f_{1678}f_{2345}.$$

As $n$ increases, the number of terms explosively increases.
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