Abstract. Background: Isolation and genotyping of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) is gaining an increasing interest by clinical researchers in oncology not only for investigative purposes, but also for concrete application in clinical practice in terms of diagnosis, prognosis and decision treatment with targeted therapies. For the mutational analysis of single CTCs, the most advanced biotechnology methodology currently available includes the combination of whole genome amplification (WGA) followed by next-generation sequencing (NGS). However, the sequence of these molecular techniques is time-consuming and may also favor operator-dependent errors, related to the procedures themselves that, as in the case of the WGA technique, might affect downstream molecular analyses. Materials and Methods: A preliminary approach of molecular analysis by NGS on a model of CTCs without previous WGA procedural step was performed. We set-up an artificial sample obtained by spiking the SK-MEL-28 melanoma cell line in normal donor peripheral whole blood. Melanoma cells were first enriched using an AutoMACS® (Miltenyi) cell separator and then isolated as single and pooled CTCs by DEPArray™ System (Silicon Biosystems). NGS analysis, using the Ion AmpliSeq™ Cancer Hotspot Panel v2 (Life Technologies) with the Ion Torrent PGM™ system (Life Technologies), was performed on the SK-MEL-28 cell pellet, a single CTC previously processed with WGA and on 1, 2, 4 and 8 recovered CTCs without WGA pre-amplification. Results: NGS directly carried out on CTCs without WGA showed the same mutations identified in SK-MEL-28 cell line pellet, with a considerable efficiency and avoiding the errors induced by the WGA procedure. Conclusion: We identified a cost-effective, time-saving and reliable methodological approach that could improve the analytical accuracy of the liquid biopsy and appears promising in studying CTCs from cancer patients for both research and clinical purposes.

Accumulating clinical studies during the past few years suggest that circulating tumor cells (CTCs) exert a critical role in cancer dissemination, organ invasion and distant metastases (1). The biological process of transformation from a normal somatic cell to a cancer cell is characterized by a variable number of selective gene mutations specific for clonal evolution, diversity and metastatic capacity (2-4). Moreover, the presence of some specific sequence variants in driver genes is currently under intensive investigation for cancer molecular diagnosis and pharmacogenomics including prediction of either susceptibility or resistance to the targeted therapy (5-7). In this context, introduction of innovative biotechnologies allows to identify, recover and analyze CTCs from the peripheral blood to perform the so-called "liquid biopsy" procedure (8-16).

The method primarily used to obtain small amounts of DNA from a single cell as low as approximately 5 pg, is the whole-genome amplification (WGA), a molecular procedure that amplifies the cell DNA content (17, 18). However, based on the operator capability, this "pre-amplification" method can imply several technical errors and loss of data as insufficient coverage, allelic dropout, false-positives and false-negatives that definitely affect the analytical quality of the next generation sequencing (NGS) procedure (4, 17-20).

In this study, we investigated the feasibility of NGS directly performed on CTCs without the combination with previous WGA. For this purpose, to simulate a real blood sample from a cancer patient, we employed a model including a cancer cell line spiked in fresh healthy donor blood and utilized a hot spots targeted genes capture NGS commercial kit.
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Materials and Methods

**Cell culture and spiking.** The experimental design was performed using the SK-MEL-28 melanoma cell line. This commercial cell line was established from a patient-derived tumor sample (21) with identified mutations among which the best known is the BRAF p.V600E, as reported in COSMIC (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cell_lines) and CANSAIR (https://cansir.icr.ac.uk/cansir/cell-lines/SK-MEL-28/) databases (22). The adherent cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS - Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA), 100 IU/ml penicillin/streptomycin and 2 mM L-Glutamine (PAA, Paasching, Austria) in 5% CO2-incubator. After detaching by trypsin-treatment, 1×10^3 SK-MEL-28 cells were spiked in 15 mL of normal donor peripheral whole blood in EDTA vacutainer tubes for subsequent CTCs isolation. A second aliquot of cultured cells was centrifuged, and the resulting cell pellet was submitted to DNA extraction by DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Germany) for direct NGS analysis (Figure 1).

**Cell sorting.** The spiked blood sample was processed by Ficollic (Sigma) gradient and depleted of CD45+ and Glycophorin+ cells by immunomagnetic sorting procedure using an AutoMACS® (Miltenyi) cell separator. The negative selected cells were stained with anti-Melan-A phycoerythrin (PE) and anti-CD45 allophycocyanin (APC) (Miltenyi) conjugated antibodies, whereas nuclei were displayed with Hoechst 33342 after fixation and permeabilization. In particular, anti-CD45 antibody was used to exclude contaminating leukocytes.

Stained cells were resuspended in SB115 Buffer (Silicon Biosystems), a proprietary low-conductivity buffer for sorting fixed cells in the DEPArray™ cartridge, and the single cell sorting was performed by DEPArray™ System (Silicon Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, cells were manually loaded into DEPArray™ cartridges (A300K) and injected by pump system into a microchannel where the cells were exposed to an electric field in which individual cells were trapped. Image frames for each fluorescent filter (PE, APC, and HOECHST 33342) and brightfield images were captured. Cell detection was based on HOECHST 33342 fluorescence threshold and images were processed by the CellBrowser software. Nucleated cells negative for CD45 and positive for Melan A were selected and moved to a parking area in the cartridge. Several single CTCs, namely one cell, and grouped cells (2, 4, 8 CTCs) were then shifted in the Exit Chamber, recovered with SB115 buffer into 200 μl PCR tubes and processed for volume reduction in PBS. The samples were stored in 1 μl of PBS at –20°C until processed for subsequent steps.

**NGS - Procedure number 1.** The DNA directly extracted from cell pellet using DNeasy® blood & tissue Kit (Qiagen) was quantified with Qubit® fluorometer (Life Technologies) using a Ion AmpliSeq™ Library kit that covers 270 amplicons of 50 oncogenes and anti-oncogenes including those mutated in the cell line used. Ten ng of DNA extracted from the cell pellet were employed to prepare the barcoded library using the Ion AmpliSeq™ Library kit 2.0 and the Ion Xpress™ barcode adapters (Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The library was purified with Agent court AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter) and quantified with the Ion Library Quantitation Kit (Life Technologies) on the StepOne Plus system (Applied Biosystems). Template preparation was performed with the Ion OneTouch™ 2 System and Ion One Touch ES. Finally, the sequencing was performed on PGM using Ion PGM™ Hi-Q™ Sequencing kit (Life Technologies) on the Ion 314 chip v2 and set of 500 flows standard. The reaction was carried out with a Ion Torrent PGM™ system (Life Technologies) using a Ion AmpliSeq™ Cancer Hotspot Panel v2 (Life Technologies) kit that covers 270 amplicons of 50 oncogenes and anti-oncogenes including those mutated in the cell line used.

**WGA and NGS - Procedure number 2.** A single cell recovered by DEPArray™ was processed using the Ampli1™ WGA Kit (Silicon Biosystems) following the user guide instructions. The kit has been specifically developed for the amplification of total cellular DNA using a ligation-mediated PCR following a site-specific (5’TATA3’) MseI DNA digestion. Briefly, the reaction starts with a cell lysis and requires subsequent DNA digestion and pre-annealing, a ligation and a final primary PCR. Products quantification was performed using Qubit® fluorometer (Life Technologies). A quality control Ampli1™ QC Kit (Silicon Biosystems), separated and visualized on 1.2% agarose gel, was used. For the NGS procedure, the same protocol carried out for cell pellet analysis was used with the exception of the adoption of set of an 850 flows.

**NGS - Procedure number 3.** In order to identify a minimal number of cells for further sequencing, a single cell and cell suspensions including 2, 4 and 8 recovered cells were processed directly for downstream NGS analysis, thus avoiding the WGA procedures. Firstly, cell lysis with Ampli1™ WGA Kit (Silicon Biosystems) was carried...
out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, barcoded libraries were obtained according to the Ion AmpliSeq™ DNA Library preparation user guide, with the only exception of an increase in the number of cycles during the “PCR amplify genomic DNA targets” (from 18 to 25 cycles) and the “Amplify the library” (from 5 to 8 cycles) steps (Ion AmpliSeq™ Library Preparation, Quick Reference, Publication Number MAN0006943 Revision 4.0). All the subsequent template preparations and sequencing reaction steps were performed following the same protocol carried out for WGA-treated cell analysis using the Ion 314 chip v2 and set for an 850 flows.

Data analysis. All samples were analyzed using the Torrent Suite Software 5.0.4 aligning all reads to the human reference hg19 Genome and variant calling was performed running the Torrent Variant Caller plugin version 5.0.4.0. Data were interpreted and verified using the visualization by IGV (Integrative Genomics Viewer) browser (Broad Institute). The calls obtained from CTCs subjected or not to WGA were compared to calls obtained from sequencing analysis performed on DNA directly extracted by cell pellet. In order to avoid false positives and to obtain an acceptable quality standard, the variants with a sequencing depth of at least 30X and an allelic frequency of at least 20% were considered. Each variant was investigated in its potential pathogenic role using both prediction algorithms such as SIFT, Polyphen, and WEB databases as COSMIC (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic) and dbSNP (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/).

Results

The enrichment by AutoMACS® system and the subsequent processing in DEPArray™ chip has allowed to identify 592 SK-MEL-28 cells with a recovery rate of 59.2%. Thus, a series of 1 single and pools of 2, 4 and 8 SK-MEL-28 cells were sorted (Figure 2). The DEPArray™ system ensured the recovery of viable pure homogenous tumor cells based on their peculiar expression of Melan A and negativity for leukocyte marker CD45.

NGS on unspiked cell pellet allowed the detection of 10 sequences variants in 9 genes. Of these variants, only three, BRAF p.V600E; EGFR p.P753S and PTEN p.T167A had already been reported in SK-MEL-28 cell line (https://cansar.icr.ac.uk/cansar/cell-lines/SK-MEL-28/), while other 7 non pathogenic variants, including 5 synonymous and 2 intronic sequence variants, had never been reported before (Table I). Using the standard of 500 flows, an average reads per amplicon of 390 was achieved with the 100% and 96.14% of amplicon reads coverage at 20x and 100x respectively. The WGA protocol on the single cell was carried out in 2 consecutive days and the quality control was verified before proceeding to sequencing. The control provided a good quality of DNA with the presence of 4 electrophoretic bands of 91, 108/166, 299 and 614 bp, representative of the chromosomes 12p, 5q, 17p and 6q, respectively (23) (Figure 3). NGS performed on the WGA-treated cell identified the same variants detected in the cell pellet sample, with the exception of EGFR p.P753S and three of the novel identified non pathogenic variants FGFR3 p.T653T, HRAS p.H27H and STK11 c.465-51T>C (Table I). The restriction sites analysis of amplicons carrying these missed variants revealed the presence of two TTAA sites in the amplicon with the EGFR p.P753S mutation (CHP2_EGFR5), but not in the amplicons of the other variants. The loss of amplicons carrying the TTAA sequence was observed also in the extended analysis of all 207 amplicons, confirming the loss of data for many “hot spots”, as previously reported in other studies (24). The sequencing, performed with an 850 flow set, led to an average...
In the present study, we describe a preliminary approach of molecular analysis by NGS on a model of single CTCs without WGA pre-treatment. This methodological procedure appears suitable for identifying mutations of driver genes, which has never been reported before. In the past experience and recent data from the literature (12, 23, 24). This technique is based on the DNA cleavage of the TTAA MseI restriction-site motifs (http://www.siliconbiosystems.com/fee-for-service) and, in addition to potential drawbacks mentioned above, there is the inconvenient of failure to generate amplicons suitable for subsequent sequencing in case of DNA tracts carrying this motif (19, 24, 29). For this reason, we have developed to overcome the insufficiency in the amount of DNA recovered from a single cell for successive molecular analyses. Major chemistries employed in the single-cell WGA include the degenerate oligonucleotide-primed polymerase chain reaction (DOP-PCR), the multiple displacement amplification (MDA), the multiple annealing and looping-based amplification cycles (mALBAC) and the ligation-mediated PCR following a site specific DNA digestion as in the case of this study (4, 17, 19, 20, 25-27). However, besides the operator capability, all these strategies are labor-intensive, require long time of execution and may imply different degrees of errors due to inappropriate starting in the early stages of amplification, or due to the specific chemicals used in the reaction (17, 18, 28).

The WGA Ampli1™ system enables excellent qualitative and quantitative performance and is one of the preferred methods, due to its higher yield for CTCs isolated by the DEPAarray™ cell separator according to our previous experience and recent data from the literature (12, 23, 24). This technique is based on the DNA cleavage of the TTAA MseI restriction-site motifs (http://www.siliconbiosystems.com/fee-for-service) and, in addition to potential drawbacks mentioned above, there is the inconvenient of failure to generate amplicons suitable for subsequent sequencing in case of DNA tracts carrying this motif (19, 24, 29). For this reason, we

reads per amplicon of 732 and an amplicon reads coverage at 20× and 100× of 74.40% and 60.87% respectively (Table I).

NGS directly carried out on 1 single cell and the pools of 2, 4 and 8 cells avoiding WGA showed the same mutations identified in SK-MEL-28 cell line pellet (including the four not-called variants of the WGA-treated cell) (Table I). The 92-98% and 72-91% of the amplicons exceeded coverage of 20× and 100× with a mean average reads per amplicon of 695 (Table I).

### Discussion

In the present study, we describe a preliminary approach of molecular analysis by NGS on a model of single CTCs without WGA pre-treatment. This methodological procedure appears suitable for identifying mutations of driver genes, which may be applied in clinical investigation of CTCs and, to our knowledge, has never been reported before.

Several systems for pre-amplification of total DNA template have been developed to overcome the insufficiency in the amount of DNA recovered from a single cell for successive molecular analyses. Major chemistries employed in the single-cell WGA include the degenerate oligonucleotide-primed polymerase chain reaction (DOP-PCR), the multiple displacement amplification (MDA), the multiple annealing and looping-based amplification cycles (mALBAC) and the ligation-mediated PCR following a site specific DNA digestion as in the case of this study (4, 17, 19, 20, 25-27). However, besides the operator capability, all these strategies are labor-intensive, require long time of execution and may imply different degrees of errors due to inappropriate starting in the early stages of amplification, or due to the specific chemicals used in the reaction (17, 18, 28).
planned an experimental design carried out to avoid WGA step in NGS protocol for CTCs. Our initial approach and preliminary results deserve some considerations.

Using conventional NGS analysis on SK-MEL-28 cell pellet, we revealed three variants reported in the COSMIC cell line database and other seven variants that had never been previously reported. As expected, and previously described, in CTCs subjected to the WGA procedure, the presence of the MseI restriction site in one amplicon did not allow the identification of the EGFR p.P753S mutation (24). Furthermore, by extending the analysis to all 207 amplicons of the panel covering 50 oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, we confirmed the absence of amplification in regions carrying the restriction site. In some cases the loss of amplification involved several amplicons, while in other cases, such as for JAK2 and NPM1, all gene sequences were missing (24). In particular, as previously described by Salvianti et al., 17 genes (34%) were partially and 2 genes (8%) were totally not amplified (24). Examining the 2,855 hot spots, covered by the 207 amplicon, we identified 416 mutations (14.6%) potentially not amplifiable for the presence of a MseI restriction site. In the WGA-treated cell, no restriction sites were found in the other three undetected variants. The reason for these missing amplifications as observed in other studies, may be related to the procedural difficulties in the use of the kit, or to the WGA procedure itself (29-31). Conversely, using our methodological approach, in WGA-untreated CTCs we identified the same 10 variants as in the SK-MEL-28 pellet. With a set of 850 flows in these samples we found a coverage more than adequate to call heterozygous variants as in the case of FLT3 c.1310-3A>G, HRAS p.H27H and STK11 c.465-51T>C (4, 32). Only in few cases we found a relative low number of reads of the mutated nucleotide, especially in the case of amplicons carrying the EGFR p.Q787Q variant (Table I).

In our intent to determine an ideal minimal number of CTCs suitable to be analyzed without WGA, we could not identify a potential numerical threshold. The limiting factor for the appropriateness of the technique seems to be the quality of the DNA sample itself, rather than the number of initial DNA copies, since the number of cells did not influence the library construction and sequencing. However, it is also possible that a higher number of cells releasing cellular components during the lysis, induce a slight inhibition of the amplification reactions.

We completed preliminary studies using the same experimental design with a standard 500 flows set in sequencing procedures. Although promising, the depth coverage from our results did not fully satisfy the criteria for the acceptability of sequencing results (data not shown). Hence, we performed a new series of sequencing with an increase flow of 850 and detected a significant increase rate in coverage. This observation suggests to use other analytical variants, raising coverage until to 3000x in order to overcome troubles such as the reported low efficiency (24, 32, 33).

As described in other studies, we did not find any degree of mutational heterogeneity in our model of CTC investigation, but this might be due to the use of a stabilized cell line and to the relatively low number of samples (24, 32). Thus, in our study we approached an efficient method to avoid the use of WGA on CTCs prior to mutational analysis by NGS, with the undoubted advantage to spare 2 working days in the time required for the analysis by one highly qualified operator. However, several limitations can be identified in our study conducted on a restricted number of samples. First, we have tested the possibility of a direct sequencing of CTCs but among the numerous analytical variants we have considered only the number of PCR cycles and cell pools. It will be also essential to evaluate other commercial and custom sequencing kits to evaluate the broad- as well as the depth-coverage of the reactions (34, 35). Further studies are mandatory to implement the efficiency of the method and to evaluate the use of the appropriate technical solutions such as the use of more powerful chips and adequate runs, the utilization of specific analysis workflows, or the evaluation of different blood collection tubes (23). Finally, despite the reduction of lead times and the reduction of potential pre-amplification mistake, we must take into account potential errors due to the increase of the amplification cycles during the construction of libraries.

Given its wide margins of improvement, this approach is promising not only for research purposes, but also for clinical application. CTCs have been associated to cancer diagnosis, prognosis and are especially useful for personalized treatments with targeted therapies (10, 36-38).

Several sensitivity and resistance mutations to tailored therapies have been described in most cases, and repeatable and routinely analysis of selected mutational hot spots, like sensibility or resistance mutations in cancers, would reduce both human and economic resources and the time required to obtain promptly therapeutically-applicable data (4, 6, 7).

In conclusion, we hope that further studies on this topic will quickly lead to a real advantage in the study of CTCs, making this technique an efficient monitoring route for cancer patients.
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