In-situ unsaturated zone stable water isotope ($^2$H and $^{18}$O) measurements in semi-arid environments using tunable off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy.
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Abstract

Stable isotopes (deuterium, $^2$H, and oxygen-18, $^{18}$O) of soil pore water were measured directly in the field using tunable off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy (OA-ICOS) and commercially available soil gas probes in a semi-arid region of the Cuvelai-Etosha-Basin, Namibia. High spatial and temporal resolution was achieved in the study area with reasonable accuracy and measurements were in agreement with laboratory-based cryogenic vacuum extraction and subsequent cavity ring down laser spectroscopic isotope analysis (CRDS). After drift correction of the isotope data, precision for over 140 measurements of two consecutive field campaigns in June and November, 2014 were 1.8 ‰ and 0.48 ‰ for $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O, respectively. Mean accuracy using quality check standards was -5 ‰ and 0.3 ‰ for $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O, respectively. Results support the applicability of an in-situ measurement system for the determination of stable isotopes in soil pore water. Spatio-temporal variability could be deduced with the observed data in an extremely dry evaporation dominated environment which was sporadically affected by intermittent rainfall. Finally, water isotope depth profiles are used quantitatively to calculate a soil water balance.
1 Introduction

The advantage of hydrogen and oxygen stable isotopes in water (e.g. deuterium, $^2$H and oxygen-18, $^{18}$O) compared to other tracers is the areal input. Precipitation shows a linear relationship between water $^2$H and $^{18}$O isotopic composition on a global scale. This relationship is known as the global meteoric water line (GMWL) (Craig, 1961). Meteoric water tends to be more depleted in $^2$H and $^{18}$O in higher latitudes. This can also be observed when they are moving further inwards a continent (Dansgaard, 1964). Therefore, rainfall isotope data at particular locations can plot on a local meteoric water line (LMWL) with a different slope than the GMWL.

The isotopic composition of precipitation in any region is, driven primarily by local altitude and seasonal climatic patterns, while single event variation is caused by the type of event. For example, short and heavy rainfall events (e.g., convective) tend to be more enriched than those with longer duration. This is known as the amount effect and leads to distinguishable isotopic composition of single events (Clark and Fritz, 1997). Hence, the stable isotope composition of precipitation reveals information of single and local events as well as of seasonal and continental circulation patterns. However this input signal is altered during infiltration through evaporation, dispersion and mixing of water with different isotopic composition. Evaporation leads to enrichment in the remaining reservoir and changes in deuterium excess values. Heterogeneous flow paths and variable storage capacities in different subsurface reservoirs contain old water with potentially different isotopic composition as the new input. Soil types, and different landscape positions characterize evaporation patterns and therewith enrichment of water on its way through and into the unsaturated zone.

Further, stable isotopes have been successfully used for decades as powerful proxies for the description of water fluxes such as infiltration in humid (Saxena, 1987) or semi arid regions (Dincer et al., 1974; Allison and Hughes, 1983), evapotranspiration (Barnes and Allison, 1988, Skrzypek et al., 2015), plant root water uptake (Dawson and Ehleringer, 1991; Ehleringer and Dawson, 1992; Dawson, 1996; Yang et al., 2010), hydraulic redistribution (Dawson, 1993; Caldwell et al., 1998), and in catchment hydrology (e.g., Sklash and Farvolden, 1979; Richard and Shoemaker, 1986; Tetzlaff et al., 2007; Kendall and McDonnell, 2012). Water stable isotopes provide information on flow paths way and mixing within the unsaturated zone (Gazis and Feng, 2004; Stumpp and Maloszewski, 2010;...
Garvelmann et al., 2012; Mueller et al., 2014). Soil water stable isotope studies were also used to reduce parameter uncertainty in unsaturated zone model approaches (Sprenger et al., 2014).

Isotope profiles in the unsaturated zone of arid and semi-arid regions are dominated by evaporation effects overprinting the input signal of precipitation. Soil evaporation can be separated into direct evaporation from a water saturated surface (stage I) and diffusion controlled vapor transport (stage II) (Or et al., 2013). At the evaporating front the dominance of one of the two processes changes. The depth can be theoretically determined and is characterized by the strongest isotope enrichment. Actual evaporation rates can be determined using isotope depth profiles by applying analytical solutions, for stationary isothermal and non-isothermal cases developed by Barnes and Allison, (1983). Evaporation from water stored in the unsaturated zone initially plotted on the LMWL tends to fall on a specific evaporation line with a slope dependent on atmospheric temperature and relative humidity as well as the substrate (Allison et al., 1983; Barnes and Allison, 1988). The intersection of that evaporation line with the LMWL is known to be the mean isotopic composition of the originating water.

Intensive work has been done to use stable isotopes for quantitative recharge estimations and is actively discussed in early and recent reviews (e.g., Allison et al., 1994; Scanlon et al., 2002). But the usefulness of evaporation profiles to determine recharge remains debatable (Herczeg et al, 2011).

In all unsaturated zone studies manual removal of soil samples and a subsequent extraction of soil water in the laboratory was necessary using either vacuum extraction (e.g., West et al., 2006; Koeniger et al., 2011; Orłowski et al., 2013), equilibration (e.g., Wassenaar et al., 2008), mechanical squeezing, azeotropic distillation or centrifugation methods (e.g., Walker et al., 1994; Kelin et al., 2001). These methods cause both disturbance to the integrity of the natural soil system and possible evaporation during the sampling procedure. The latter is especially important for dry soils. Hence, soil water extraction techniques are labor intensive and expensive which is limiting the use of stable isotopes compared to the other state variables such as soil moisture or matrix potential. Indeed, there are suction cup installations which allow a removal of soil water non-destructively but are not applicable in arid environments.
However, recent developments in laser spectroscopy allow the measurement of stable isotopes with much higher throughput and even continuously (Berman et. al., 2009; Herbstritt et al., 2012). Such measurements are desirable while facing questions concerning eco-hydrological interactions within the soil plant atmosphere which are not yet fully understood (McDonnell, 2014). In atmospheric studies their sensitivity to vapor concentrations as well as their long-term behavior was already addressed (Wang et al., 2009; Schmidt et al., 2010; Sturm and Knohl, 2010; Johnson et al., 2011; Rambo et al., 2011; Aemisegger et al., 2012). Since new laser spectrometers are available, continuous measurements of the isotopic composition from transpired water is possible (Wang et al., 2012) and when combined with isotope depth profiles also a separation of evapotranspiration can be obtained (Dubbert, 2013). By now, using hydrophobic gas permeable membranes enables the measurement of stable isotopes in liquid water with a temporal resolution of less than a minute (Herbstritt et al., 2012).

Principles to sample soil air for the determination of stable isotopes were already indicated by Allison et al., (1987) and Schack-Kirchner et al., (1993). An attempt to measure stable isotopes in a sandy loam using a liquid water analyzer (OA-ICOS, Los Gatos Research, DLT-100) is presented in a recent review by Soderberg et al., (2012). The first study monitoring stable isotopes in-situ in unsaturated sandy soil water under laboratory conditions made use of poly-propylene (PP) membranes (Rothfuss et al., 2013). Measurements were performed with a cavity-ring-down spectrometer (CRDS) (L1102-I, Picarro, CA, USA) calibrated with liquid water injections using a vaporizer unit. Volkmann and Weiler, (2014) developed custom built poly-ethylene (PE) probes with an equilibration chamber allowing additional mixing of the vapor to prevent condensation in the sample line. They further proposed a sophisticated system with a CRDS device (Picarro L2120-i, CA, USA) calibrated with standards prepared on prior oven dried local substrate.

In the present study in-situ usability of commercially available PP-membranes (BGL-30, Umweltmesssysteme, Munich) using a liquid water analyzer (Los Gatos Research, DLT-100) for a determination of stable isotopes in soil pore water is demonstrated. In comparison to earlier in-situ studies this study presents an improved automatization and minimal technical effort. The in-situ measurements are compared to data derived through cryogenic vacuum extraction in the laboratory in order to verify the in-situ applicability. Besides, the proposed system is for the first time applied under semi-arid and remote field conditions on dry sandy soils with moisture contents ranging from 0.3 to 6 %. Infiltration and evaporation patterns as
well as effects caused by strong temperature changes are discussed. The present study shows for the first time an application of in-situ measurements of water stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone under field conditions. The main hypotheses are:

- Commercially available soil gas probes are applicable for measurement of water stable isotopes in soil pore water.
- The system is applicable in a semi-arid environment for water contents lower than 5%.
- Results derived from destructive sampling and a subsequent soil water extraction using a cryogenic-vacuum extraction, equal those from in-situ measurements.

In addition, the derived isotope data is used quantitatively to determine a soil water balance of a thick sandy unsaturated zone. Further unique is the mode of automatisation, utilizing the interface of the common laboratory autosampler, which allows a laboratory like operation. The potential limitations of in-situ systems under dry conditions with high temperature and humidity gradients are discussed.

2 Study Site and Methods

The study area is located in the north of Namibia and is part of the Cuvelai-Etosha-Basin (CEB). The whole surface water catchment has an extent of about 173,000 km² where the northern part (approx. 52,000 km²) belongs to Angola and the southern part to Namibia. This sedimentary basin is divided into four major sub-basins called Ishana, Niipele, Olushandja and Tsumeb and can be further separated into different drainage zones. Measurements were conducted within the eastern sand zone close to the township of Elundu as indicated in Figure 1.

The assignment of the catchment and its sub-basins is based on geography, population distribution and water infrastructure (Dragnich et al., 2004). The main shallow aquifer system in the CEB is a multilayer aquifer system in the Andoni formation with a thickness of 6 to 80 m. The main groundwater flow is towards the Etosha pan with an average gradient of 0.2% (Christelis and Struckmeier, 2001). Beside the shallow aquifer, recent studies identified an area of 500 km² potentially containing fresh groundwater at a depth of 200 m namely the Ohangwena II aquifer (Lindenmaier and Christelis, 2012; Lindenmaier et al., 2014). Groundwater recharge mechanisms concerning both, the shallow and the deep aquifer are not yet fully understood. The shallow aquifer system consists partly of freshwater lenses on brackish to saline groundwater mostly in the Ishana region. Perched discontinuous aquifers...
are found in the eastern part of the basin and can develop on clay lenses in the subsurface managed through hand-dug wells.

The climate in the CEB is semi-arid with a rainy season lasting from November to April and a dry season from May to October. Annual average potential evaporation can reach up to 3,000 mm and decreases slightly from north to south (Mendelsohn et al., 2013). Annual average rainfall ranges between 250 and 600 mm with most of the rain is falling in January and February (Mendelsohn et al., 2013). The eastern part of the catchment receives more consistent rainfall whereas the rainfall in the western part is less predictable.

The investigated site is forested, predominantly vegetated with Combretum collinum, Acacia erioloba and Baikiea plurijuga. The deep Kalahari sand can reach a depth of over 40 meters and has high saturated hydraulic conductivity (2304 cm day\(^{-1}\) – 2409 cm day\(^{-1}\)) determined with double-ring infiltration experiments, high porosity (0.4) and low field capacity (~ 3.5%).

Sampling and measurements were conducted during two field campaigns. The first field campaign was conducted between the 9\(^{th}\) and 15\(^{th}\) of June 2014 and the second between 15\(^{th}\) and 22\(^{th}\) of November 2014. To determine heterogeneity of infiltration and evaporation processes and to evaluate an in-situ approach determining stable isotopes in soil water nine plots within an area of 9,000m\(^2\) were investigated. During the first campaign soil gas probes were installed at depths of 2 cm, 5 cm, 10 cm, 15 cm, 20 cm, 25 cm, 30 cm, 40 cm and 50 cm.

Two plots were established with different vegetation characteristics such that one was vegetated with shrubs and the other was exposed without any vegetation. During the second field campaign probes were installed at depths of 2 cm, 5 cm, 7.5 cm, 10 cm, 12.5 cm, 15 cm 17.5 cm 20 cm, 25 cm, 30 cm, 40 cm, 50 cm, 60 cm, 70 cm, 80 cm, 90 cm, and 100 cm to reach a maximum resolution especially for the top layer. In addition to the in-situ measurements samples were collected in head space glass vials for laboratory extraction and crimp sealed to avoid evaporation. Soil moisture measurements were conducted with a time domain reflectrometry system (TDR, EasyTest, Poland) at the beginning of each measurements cycle with the same resolution. Samples were transported via aircraft to the laboratory of the Federal Institute of Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR) in Hannover, Germany and subsequently extracted.

In the laboratory grain size analyses was conducted on 10 g material from the extracted samples < 2000 µm was washed and particles < 63 µm were allowed to pass a < 63 µm sieve. The sand fraction (63-2000 µm) was dried again and the amount of material < 63 µm was
calculated by difference between initial and recovered sample mass. The grain size analysis of
the dried sand was performed using an optically based instrument (Camsizer, Retsch). The
instrument employs two digital cameras (CCD) to record falling particles (dynamic image
analysis) in the grain size of 0.03-30.0 mm. The instrument images a falling curtain of
sediment and determines the grain size of each particle in the image using two different
cameras. Typically images of a few million grains were processed for each sample (Altuhafi
et al., 2013).

For stable isotope analysis of soil water triplicate soil samples were prepared and scaled
before and after the water extraction procedure. The standard deviation determined from each
triplet is used as an additional analytical error. Soil water was extracted cryogenically using a
slightly modified method of the cryogenic-vacuum extraction described by Koeniger et al.,
(2011). Instead of a water bath heated by a hot plate an isolated aluminium block tempered
to 105 °C was used. Each sample was evacuated at -3mbar vacuum and extracted for 15 min
after shock freezing. Subsequent, soil samples were oven dried at 105°C for 24h and weighted
again. Results before and after oven drying are compared as a measure of extraction success.
The water samples were subsequently measured with a cavity ring-down spectrometer
(CRDS, model L2120-i, manufactured by Picarro Inc.). {$^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$} and $^{2}\text{H}/^{1}\text{H}$ isotope ratios are
normalized on the international δ- scale and expressed as parts per thousand:

$$\delta = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \%_0 \tag{1}$$

where $R_{\text{sample}}$ (−) denotes the $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ isotope ratio (respectively $^{2}\text{H}/^{1}\text{H}$) of a water sample and
$R_{\text{standard}}$ (−) those of the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW). All values where
corrected for drift and memory applying a method proposed by van Geldern and Barth (2012).
Accuracies for long-term quality check standards are better than 0.2 ‰ and 0.8 ‰ for water
samples, but an additional error for sandy soil extractions has to be taken into account which
is better than 0.8 and 4 ‰ for δ$^{18}\text{O}$ and δ$^{2}\text{H}$, respectively (Königer et al., 2011). Subscripts are
used to distinguish between either the in-situ (I) and the cryogenically (C) derived isotope
values in the remaining text.

For the determination of δ$^{2}\text{H}_1$ and δ$^{18}\text{O}_1$, commercially available soil gas probes (BGL-30,
Umweltmesssysteme, Munich (UMS)) with a diameter of 9.4 mm and a length of 300 mm
were connected to an integrated cavity off-axis liquid water isotope analyser (OA-ICOS, Los
Gatos research, DLT100). This device does not measure continuously and maintenance of the
communication via the laboratory autosampler interface is mandatory. A simplified wiring diagram is illustrated in figure 2. Each probe is separated from the main transport line with a valve (Clippard Minimatic, USA) which in turn is controlled by the control lines data terminal ready (DTR) and request to send (RTS) of a modified USB-to-RS232 converter connected to a laptop computer. To secure the converter by inverse currents a common diode is set in inverse direction to the optocoppler (ULN2303). A reduction of the electric tension output of the converter is necessary using a resistor with 220 Ω. Finally, the laptop computer is communicating with the OA-ICOS through the same RS-232 connection. The laptop computer mimics the behavior of a laboratory autosampler via a python script. To avoid overheating of the analyzer during day time by direct sun radiation a gazebo was positioned above the loading area of the pick-up truck where the analyzer is mounted. Power supply was maintained using a common 230 V generator.

Four repetitive measurements at each depth were performed during the first campaign. During the second campaign six consecutive measurements were performed at each depth. A measurement cycle consists of three steps: i.) a flushing phase where the cavity is evacuated, ii.) the sample intake opening a particular valve and iii.) the measurement of the sample. Flushing introduces a vacuum of 0.1torr or less. The sample intake is controlled by valves which are opened for 10 s for each measurement allowing a volumetric flow between 95 and 110 ml/min. The transported gas volume is measured with a flow control device (Analyt-MTC 0-200 ml min⁻¹). The probe and transport lines to the analyzer have a volume of approximately 40 ml. The lines are made of steel and are connected with Swagelok© connectors. After six consecutive measurements at one depth, the sampled soil volume is approximately 120 ml. Assuming that the soil volume around the 300 mm long probe is sampled equally over the whole length, a diameter of 1 cm around the probe will be directly affected by the uptake of vapour via the probe. Hence the top layer is measured with reasonable accuracy for this particular setup. The measurement of a 1 m deep profile considering 16 different depths with 6 repetitions and a resolution down to 2.5 cm for the top 20 cm takes about 4.5 hours. The OA-ICOS device needs an additional warm up of about two hours.

Standard preparation of 200g prior oven dried sandy substrate was used. It has been transported in aluminium bags (WEBA bags ©) and was spiked with 5 ml of standard before the experiment at the day of measurement. Normalization to the international scale is done.
using one low standard (HGLA) and one high standard (HMER). In addition one standard for
drift correction (HDES) and a quality check standard (HLAU) were used. The quality check
standard is treated as an unknown sample. All standards were measured at the beginning of
each experimental sequence; additionally HDES and HLAU were measured at the end of each
sequence. Isotope values of the used standards are illustrated in table 1. The standards were
used only for two consecutive measurement series and then new standards were prepared to
avoid potential enrichment through evaporation. Calibration standards were kept in flasks
with a diameter of 2 cm and a length of 50 cm. Soil gas probes were subsequently entered and
the flasks sealed to avoid evaporation.

**Soil water balance**

Recharge rates are determined using a simple empirical relationship applied to the data of the
deep isotope depth profiles. The relationship for δ²H is given as (Clark and Fritz, 1997):

\[ R = \left[ \frac{22}{\delta^2H_{shift}} \right] \]  

[2]

and for δ¹⁸O as

\[ R = \left[ \frac{3}{\delta^{18}O_{shift}} \right] \]  

[3]

A linear regression is applied to the deep isotope depth profile and the shift to the LMWL is
determined (Figure 4). Soil Evaporation is calculated from the isotope depth profiles using an
analytical solution (Allison et al., 1984).

\[ E = (1 - h_a)N_{sat} \tau D^v \frac{(p - \theta_v)}{\rho z_{ef}} \]  

[4]

Though, evaporation can be determined providing the relative humidity \( h_a \), the saturated
water vapor pressure \( p \), the tortuosity with \( \tau = 0.66 \), the diffusivity of water vapor in air
\( D^v = 24 \times 10^{-4} \), the density of water \( \rho \), the porosity \( p \) and the soil water content \( \theta_v \). The
depth of the evaporation front \( z_{ef} \) can be determined by an exponential fit to the isotope depth
profiles (Allison et al., 1985).

\[ \delta = \delta_{res} \left( \delta_{ef} - \delta_{res} \right) exp^{-z/z_{ef}} \]  

[5]
The isotope ratio $\delta$ at depth $z_{ef}$ is then calculated from the isotope value of the reservoir $\delta_{res}$ and the isotope ratio at the evaporating front $\delta_{ef}$. Calculations were conducted for a mean ambient temperature of 24°C and 60% relative air humidity. This will give a quantitative estimation of the soil water balance based on the presented isotope data.

In addition $z_{ef}$ is determined from grain the grain size distribution as follows (Or et al., 2013):

$$z_{ef} = \frac{2\alpha}{\rho g (r^1 - r^2)}$$

[5]

with the surface tension of water $\alpha$ at 24°C, the minimum $r^1$ and the maximum $r^2$ grain size of the soil.

3 Results

Campaign 1

The first field campaign was conducted short after the rainy season. No rain occurred during that campaign. The texture (medium sand) was uniform throughout the depth profile. No changes in texture were observed for the other investigated plots. Temperature and humidity variability within the sampling period between daytime (~30°C max and 15% min) and night (~9°C min and ~ 90% max) was high during the first campaign.

During the first campaign (15th of June 2014) measurements were taken at two plots (E1.1, E1.2) at a distance of 25 meters on the same day. The measurement at E1.1 started at 09:30 and later at 16:00 at plot E1.2. Plot E1.1 is not vegetated and has a thin soil crust in the top first centimeter. Volumetric water content increases from 0.3 % at the top to 4.1% at 50 cm depth (Figure 3, left). Plot E1.2 is vegetated, a dense root mat is visible in the upper 10 cm. In contrast to E1.1 water contents are much lower increasing from 0.3 % at the surface to 0.7 % at 50 cm depth (Figure 3, center). The isotope depth profiles are of similar shape and magnitude for both profiles with a maximum at 10 cm and an exponential decline down to the maximum depth. Due to low water contents in the upper 15 cm of the vegetated plot, not enough water could be extracted with the cryogenic extraction method. However, at 10 cm depth the same pattern as for profile E1.1 can be observed. The shape of the isotope depth profiles is different for $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H within the upper 5 to 10 cm. As depicted in Figure 5, the deuterium excess for both profiles shows a maximum at 10 cm depth and are exponentially decline down to 50cm. At the vegetated plot deuterium excess values are positive within the top 7.5cm. Comparing deuterium excess values of the cryogenic extraction with those from
the in-situ measurements it can be found that they agree well for the bare soil plot, but not at 10cm and 15cm depth. In contrast, all values measured in the field below 10cm of the vegetated plot, which has very low water contents (< 1%), are shifted towards more positive values.

**Campaign 2**

During the second campaign variations in temperature and humidity were much smaller compared to Campaign 1 (daytime ~35 °C\textsubscript{max} and 30 \textsubscript{min}; night ~20 °C\textsubscript{min} and ~90 \textsubscript{max}). Two rainfall events were recorded (12\textsuperscript{th} of November 2014: 12 mm morning, 13\textsuperscript{th} of November 2014 30 mm morning) at the climate station in Eenhana which is approximately 15 kilometers west of the experimental site. However, there is no isotope data available until the 17\textsuperscript{th} of November. Later during the campaign small rain events (16mm) could be sampled for isotopes on the 17\textsuperscript{th} of November 2014 at 8:00 a.m. with -0.6 %o and 3.0 %o for δ\textsuperscript{18}O and δ\textsuperscript{2}H, respectively. Another event (4mm) was sampled at the 19\textsuperscript{th} of November 2014 with isotope values of 3.6 %o and 28.8 %o for δ\textsuperscript{18}O and δ\textsuperscript{2}H.

In contrast to the plots measured during the first campaign, the plots in November (rainy season) show higher water contents and variable vegetation patterns. One unvegetated plot of the second campaign (E2.7, see Fig. 4 right hand side and Table 2) is used to compare the in-situ and the laboratory results as an example with higher water contents. The water contents range between 4.4 % and 6.8 % with maximum values between 12.5 cm and 25 cm (Figure 3, right). Strongest enrichment of δ\textsuperscript{18}O is in the top layer declining exponentially with depth for both methods. There is good agreement between δ\textsuperscript{18}O\textsubscript{I} and δ\textsuperscript{18}O\textsubscript{C} except for the depth at 30 cm and 50 cm. In contrast, there are substantial differences between δ\textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{I} and δ\textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{C}. Above 25 cm values of δ\textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{C} are more enriched (-15.5 to -22.6 %o) than for δ\textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{I} (-25.0 to -29.2%o), and vice versa depleted below 25 cm with -23.4 to -15.2 %o for δ\textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{C} and -11.6 to -5.1%o for δ\textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{I} , respectively.

Both isotope depth profiles of the first campaign show good agreement between 15 cm and 50 cm (δ\textsuperscript{18}O RMSE = 3.9; δ\textsuperscript{2}H RMSE= 9.2) but diverge at shallower depth with more enriched values for the in-situ measurements (δ\textsuperscript{18}O RMSE = 7.0; δ\textsuperscript{2}H RMSE= 43.4). In general, better agreement can be observed for δ\textsuperscript{18}O compared to δ\textsuperscript{2}H values. Both isotope profiles of the first campaign derived from the cryogenic vacuum extraction show a maximum at 15 cm with an exponential decline down to depth. Values of the in-situ measurement show this maximum at 10cm, but only for δ\textsuperscript{18}O\textsubscript{I}. The profile of the second campaign does show good agreement
within the top 25 cm for $\delta^{18}O_I$ but for $\delta^2H$ only within the upper 10 cm. In terms of accuracy for the set of these three profiles we obtain 6.86 ‰ and 1.87 ‰ for $\delta^2H_I$ and $\delta^{18}O_I$ respectively.

A compilation of the quality check standards of the presented campaigns is shown in table 2. The standard deviation of the repetitive measurements for each depth is a measure of measurement precision. After drift correction and normalisation of the isotope depth profile E1.1 the precision ($\sigma_{qc}$) of the quality check standard (HLAU) was 5.2 ‰ $\delta^2H$ and 0.66 ‰ $\delta^{18}O$. The mean precision of the repetitive measurements ($\sigma_{rep}$) is two times better for $\delta^2H_I$ than for the precision of the repetitive measurements ($\sigma_{cry}$) for values derived with the cryogenic vacuum extraction $\delta^2H_C$. Values of $\delta^{18}O$ show a higher similarity (refer to table 2). The measurement of the second profile E1.2 is less precise for $\delta^2H_I$ but in the same range as $\delta^2H_C$. Similar precision as for profile E1.1 is found for the third profile E2.7 for both $\delta^2H$ and $\delta^{18}O$, respectively.

$\delta^{18}O$ vs $\delta^2H$

In figure 4 the $\delta^{18}O$ to $\delta^2H$ relationship is shown. The LMWL is derived from historical data collected in the CEB and has a slope of 7.3 ($R^2 = 0.96$). Additionally, mean values of local groundwater ($\sigma^{18}O=0.91$, $\sigma^2H=4.27$) and soil water down to a depth of 4m with 10cm resolution are presented. Soil water from the first field campaign derived from the cryogenic vacuum extraction plots along an evaporation line with a slope of 2.4 ($R^2 = 0.79$) for the non-vegetated plot and 3.1 ($R^2= 0.96$) for the vegetated plot. In-situ measurements of the non-vegetated plot have a slope of 3.9 ($R^2 = 0.84$). Excluding the first 10 cm of the unvegetated profile the slope is 2.9 ($R^2 = 0.73$). Values of the vegetated site derived from the in-situ measurements have a slope of 3 ($R=0.464$) and are shifted towards more positive $\delta^2H$ values. Excluding the top 15 cm of the in-situ measurement the slope remains the same but with $R^2 = .9$. Values from the second campaign show a much higher slope with 5.29 ($R^2 = 0.75$) for the in-situ measurement and 5.1 ($R^2 = 0.96$) for the cryogenic vacuum extraction and are plotting close to the LMWL.

Spatio-temporal variability

Each profile of the second campaign is measured at 18 different depths and each depth with six repetitions. After drift correction of the isotope data, the mean precision considering the quality check measurements ($\sigma_{qc}$) for more than 140 measurement points is 2.15 ‰ for $\delta^2H_I$ and 0.36 ‰ for $\delta^{18}O_I$ (Table 2). Mean standard deviation of the repetitive measurements ($\sigma_{rep}$) of each depth is 1.86 ‰ for $\delta^2H_I$ and 0.46 ‰ for $\delta^{18}O_I$, respectively. As shown in table 2, the
mean standard deviation of the repetitive measurements are similar to those derived from the quality check standards. Differences are observed between $\sigma_{qc}$ and $\sigma_{rep}$. However, the precision of $\delta^{2}H$ is within the range of the mean accuracy of 5.1 ‰. The accuracy of $\delta^{18}O$ is much higher with 0.11 ‰. There are two outliers (E2.8, E2.9) which were not considered for the mean precision or accuracy.

Three rows are measured consisting of three plots. Each row is 150m in distance from each other as well as each profile within one row. Each row is further named as one transect. Transects consist of bare plots as well as vegetated plots (Table 2). However, lateral roots could be found at each plot. Mean values of each transect are shown to illustrate an averaged temporal development with intermittent rainfall (Figure 6) for this particular area. The first transect is affected only from the first set of rain events (E2.1 to E2.3) and was measured within two consecutive days. The next transect (E2.4 to E2.6) is affected by the 16 mm event (17th November 2014) of which isotope data is available and the profiles were measured in a period of three days. Finally, the last three plots (E2.7 to E2.9) had the longest time to recover from the first rain events and experienced only additional precipitation on the 19th Nov 2014 with an amount of 4 mm. Maximum infiltration depth varied between 65 and 95 cm. Water content varied between 0.5% and 9.5%. Comparing the three transects it can be observed that variability of isotope depth profiles decreases from the first to the last transect and an evaporation profile developed.

To account for spatial variability mean values of soil moisture were calculated for each depth of the nine plots. Additionally, the standard deviation of each depth for soil moisture, $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$ are calculated. Figure 7 shows mean soil moisture of each depth against the standard deviation of soil moisture and those of the two isotopes. Basically, high (>4%) and low (<2%) water content has lower variability of soil moisture compared to values between. In contrast, the standard deviation of $\delta^{18}O$ increases with decreasing soil water content.

**Soil water balance**

Recharge estimated from soil water stable isotope data is less than 1% of precipitation. It ranges between 4 – 5 mm derived from $\delta^{2}H_{shift}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{shift}$, respectively. The evaporation front is determined from the exponential fit to soil water stable isotope depth profiles. Results are summarized in table 3. Annual mean soil evaporation is determined with 130 ±40 mm/y. The remainder is potentially available for transpiration with 507 ±40 mm/y. Hence, there is a contribution of 80 ±6 % of transpiration of total evapotranspiration.
Values of $z_{ef}$ are between 110 and 290 mm/y which is in agreement with values derived from a physical model proposed by Or et al., (2013). Considering two different ranges, one for finer and one for coarser texture, we derive a depth of the evaporation front of 210 and 118 mm for $r^1 = 63 - 112 \mu m$ and $r^2 = 630 - 1120 \mu m$, respectively. Calculated soil evaporation rates for profiles from June 2014 are between 79 - 67 mm/y and 201 - 161 mm/y. The potential contribution of transpiration / root water uptake at the inter-canopy plot is between 69 and 88 %. At the site underneath the canopy and vegetated with shrubs the contribution is between 71 and 84 %. This is in agreement with other isotope based studies (Sutanto et al., 2014). After the rain events during the second field campaign the evapotranspiration pattern is dominated by soil evaporation. The evaporation front is still developing ($z_{ef} = 51 – 68$ mm) and the contribution of transpiration to total evapotranspiration is much lower with 15 - 51%. Applying this methodology to the different transects, soil evaporation decreases from 4 to below 1 mm/d.

4 Discussion

The data presented shows potentials, limitations and future research directions that are required for a deeper understanding of unsaturated zone processes utilizing in-situ methods for the determination of water stable isotopes. At our particular location we found that especially the interaction between the top 20 cm of the soil profile and the atmosphere needs further investigation. Additionally, the transport of water vapor after rain events and its influence on isotope to depth profiles is not yet fully understood. This is also valid to spatial variability which can be caused by heterogeneous rainfall even on a small scale, interception and preferential flow paths that can affect transit time calculations (Stockinger et al., 2015). It could be shown that spatial and temporal variability of isotope depth profiles can be high compared to soil moisture profiles. Currently, in-situ measurements are limited to measure one profile at a time. It could be beneficial to setup more than one laser spectrometer or to find a way for higher measurement frequency to overcome this limitation.

Some pitfalls outlined in other studies can be neglected for the present application. For instance, water vapor mixing ratio dependencies can be corrected for which is necessary if humidity is low (Sturm and Knohl, 2010; Aemisegger et al., 2012). This can be neglected in the present study because the water content was maintained within the density of approximately $2 - 4*10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ as recommended by Los Gatos Research. However, it is assumed that small variations will be reflected in the standard deviation of the...
measurements. Additionally, inference through organic contamination is neglected in this study. However, if necessary to account for inference recent efforts include calibration strategies in this regard (Wu et al., 2014).

As a measure of accuracy a standard (HLAU) of known isotopic composition was treated as an unknown sample. The offset between the drift and span corrected value and the known value of this quality check standard is calculated. Discrepancies might be result from either drift that could not be corrected for or device specific properties affected by environmental conditions. An exhausted reservoir is unlikely at the point because the standard container were sealed to prevent evaporation.

The analytical precision of each sample is determined from the standard deviation of repetitive measurements. This value might reflect the uncertainty related to the measurement cycle and a potentially exploited reservoir (see table 2). These values are comparable to other in-situ studies (see Volkmann & Weiler (2013)). Besides, the analytical error for soil water extraction in the laboratory especially for very dry soils can be 3 and 5 times higher for $^{18}$O and $^2$H respectively (see Königer et al., 2011). Hence the analytical error is lower for the in-situ approach.

**Comparison with destructive sampling**

Results of the first field campaign demonstrates good agreement of the isotope depth profiles between different methods for lower parts but also a divergence in the top 15 cm. This could be caused by several reasons. An incomplete extraction of water will result in depleted isotope values of the extracted water. However, this is unlikely for fine sand with very low matrix potential and very low abundance of fine pores since the cryogenic vacuum extraction does extract the entire water in sandy soils (Königer et al., 2011). While measuring with the soil gas probe in a very coarse material atmospheric vapor or even vapor from other parts of the soil can lead to a mixed sample. However, the extracted air volume is very low and a divergence of isotope values is only visible within the top 15 cm of the dry profiles (Figure 3, left and center). Evaporation is reflected in the deuterium excess ($d_{ex}$) (Figures 5 and 6) and could be enhanced by the uptake of water vapor through the measurement. However, enrichment caused by the uptake of air through the measurement is not visible for deeper parts of profile E1.2 which is very dry as well. Hence, arguing with low water contents would suggest to have enriched values for deeper parts of the vegetated profile. However this is not observed at the vegetated plot where soil water contents are below 1% throughout the profile.
Therefore it might be rather attributed to kinetic processes because vapor transport (evaporation) will be higher at shallow depths (Braud et al., 2009). Beside this fact, it has to be noted, that destructive sampling was conducted one day after the in-situ measurements because it was assumed that the isotope profiles are in steady state (Barnes and Allison, 1988). Therefore another explanation can be that high temperature and low humidity during the day causes a dry out of the top layer due to vapor transport into the atmosphere. During the night, temperatures decrease and relative humidity increases. Low soil water contents and a back diffusion of vapor into the upper part of the soil might cause condensation, affect isotope values (Rothfuss et al., 2015) and can significantly increase soil water content (Henschel et al., 2008) and might deplete isotope values of the remaining soil water within that upper layer. In a numerical study it was already shown that diurnal variations of evaporation can be large depending on the energy budget at the surface but the influence on isotope concentrations needs to be further investigated especially under field conditions (Braud et al., 2005).

Differences between the two sites of the first field campaign are small regarding the shape of the isotope depth profiles. In addition, the evaporating front and the calculated evaporation rates using eq.4 and 5 are similar for both sites. Because of the litter layer and the shaded nature of plot E1.2 it could be expected that less evaporation takes place and hence less enrichment and a lower deuterium excess would appear for the in-situ measurement (Figure ). However, this is not visible and suggests humidity to be the main driver for isotopic enrichment as demonstrated in a numerical study by Braud et al. (2005). Interestingly, the water contents suggest root water uptake at sites with high shrub density even to values as low as 0.5 % which can be of advantage for competition with other surrounding species. Depending on uptake rates this can affect infiltration amounts between wet spells, if a higher storage deficit in the soil has to be satisfied. Beside this, a dense root network in the upper 10 cm suggests that small rain events will be utilized by these plants. It is likely that even small amounts of water through dew deposition are accessible to plants (Agam and Berliner, 2006).

In contrast to the profiles of the first campaign the rain influenced profile E2.7 doesn’t show such a divergence in the top layer. As shown in Figure 5 the deuterium excess indicates that precipitation water is dominating. Good agreement is found in the top layer for both isotopes. Mixing with atmospheric vapor might be negligible considering the high soil water contents. Destructive sampling was done directly before the in-situ measurement started. It has to be
noted that, in-situ measurements at 10 cm depth were done 90 min after the destructive sampling was conducted. In-situ measurements at 30 cm were conducted even 120 min later. Hence differences can be caused by the time lag between destructive sampling and in-situ measurement. Another possibility could be non-equilibrium fractionation or condensation due to vapor transport induced by temperature changes. Values of δ²H below 30 cm are more enriched compared to δ²H at 30 cm. Therefore, observed differences between δ²H at 10 cm and δ²H for profile E2.7 (Figure 5, right) might be attributed to the exchange between the evaporation depleted vapor that was transported from the deeper part (30 cm to 50 cm) to shallower depth (12.5 cm and 25 cm) due lower saturated water vapor pressure at shallower depth. The movement of water vapor is following temperature cycles if the saturation vapor pressure of soil air is similar over depth. In contrast, if the soil air has a vapor pressure deficit there will be a transfer of vapor towards the zone of higher vapor pressure deficit, which can be independent of temperature gradients as described in Abramova, (1969).

Values for in-situ measurements and cryogenic extraction fall on evaporation lines which are similar in slope, when the top part of the dry profiles is excluded. The outliers in the top-right area and those above the LMWL of the δ²H vs. δ¹⁸O plot (Fig. 5) are from the top 12.5 cm of the profiles. As discussed earlier, kinetic effects and diurnal variations in evaporation or condensation due to strong temperature changes are not yet fully understood. The interaction between soil water potential, relative humidity and isotope fractionation is an additional challenge for future research. Examples to account for these problems can be found in Soderberg et al., 2012 and Wilson et al., 1997.

Another possibility for a change in isotopic composition at shallower depth can be hydraulic lift. In this case water from deeper soil layers with lower water potential can move through the root system to soil layers with high water potential (Dawson et al., 1993). The dense root mat of fine roots observed in the lower part of the soil profile together with very low water contents and the differences between the isotope depth profiles could be an indicator for this process. However, the measurement of soil physical properties, stable isotopes of soil water and xylem covering the diurnal cycle would be necessary to distinguish between either vapor back diffusion or hydraulic redistribution. A better understanding and separation of these processes will improve water balance approaches and hence recharge estimations.

The presented approach is in agreement with most isotope based partitioning studies. Those suggest a contribution of transpiration of more than 70% to the total evapotranspiration flux.
Sutanto et al., 2014). In addition the findings from field campaign one showed that the development of the evaporation profiles appeared to be independent of leaf / vegetation cover. Based on the data and calculation of this study it appears that soil cover might be of minor importance for long term soil evaporation quantities.

Spatio-temporal variability

During the second field campaign information on long term precision and spatio-temporal variability was investigated. Comparing the quality check standards of the two campaigns the precision within one series (σ_{rep}) of δ²H is one-third better than the long term accuracy. However, the accuracy of δ¹⁸O is three times greater than the mean precision (Table 2). This can likely be improved by utilizing a more sophisticated technical setup e.g. temperature controlled conditions, standard preparation and frequent cleaning of the probes. Another reason could be the difference in the physical properties of the probe pores such as the diffusitivity to gas (Merlivat et al., 1979). However, the precision appears to be sufficient to monitor processes of a diurnal time scale which is comparable to other systems, e.g., Volkmann and Weiler et al. (2013) present values of 2.6 ‰ and 0.38 ‰ for δ²H and δ¹⁸O, respectively.

The boundary conditions of the second field campaign caused a quite heterogeneous pattern regarding both the development of the moisture front and the isotope depth profiles. It can be observed how the infiltrating water develops under evaporation with light intermittent rainfall events. This is reflected in both the shape of isotope depth profiles of the three transects and the standard deviations within one transect (Figure 6). Other studies showed similar patterns in the context of a numerical experiment (Singleton et al., 2004). The first transect (Figure 6, Transect 1) has variable isotope values throughout the whole depth. The isotope depth profile of transect one shows maxima and minima of isotope values which appears with a similar shape as a profile in a humid climate. This kind of layering is commonly used in humid regions to determine transit times of unsaturated zone water where precipitation has seasonal different isotopic composition (Coplen et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2007). However, here it is attributed to variations in rainfall composition and infiltration patterns on a much smaller time scale namely daily. Zimmermann et al., (1967) previously described that isotopically distinct rain that is consecutively infiltrating into the soil will move downward distinguishable by a boundary between older rainwater below and younger water above. Hence, the most depleted
values found at 20 cm and 50 cm (Figure 6, Transect 1) might correspond to certain rain
events which would not emerge from the soil moisture measurements alone. These depleted
zones are not visible in the other transects which are possibly overprinted by vapor transport
processes and evaporation.

Short time after rain the top part of the evaporation profile (Transect 1) was considerably
displaced as theoretically described by Allison et al., (1984). Variations of isotope values are
attenuated with time by vapor phase redistribution (Transect 2) (Fontes et al., 1986) and an
evaporation profile is begins to develop (Transect 3). Further it can be observed that
infiltrating water of small intermittent events compresses the isotope profile (Barnes and
Allison, 1988; Singleton et al., 2004). Therefore the presented in-situ measurements have
great potential to visualize theoretically discussed processes with feasible accuracy under
natural field conditions.

Spatial variability of soil moisture is well known (Western et al., 2004). It can be expected
that also stable isotopes will distribute heterogeneous within the unsaturated zone. The
relationship between mean soil moisture and δ²H does not allow a resilient conclusion
because of the low accuracy. However, it appears that it behaves different to δ¹⁸O and soil
moisture as well as δ¹⁸O values show a different pattern as soil moisture. This might be
reasonable considering the difference in physical properties of the two isotopologues
(Merlivat, 1978). However, the intention to show isotope depth profiles for each transect with
their standard deviation for isotopes and soil moisture was to show the difference between the
two. The variability within the isotope depth profiles shows a much more heterogeneous
pattern than that of the soil moisture data. Dawson et al., (2002) discussed the isotopic
composition of different carbon and water pools. Since soil respiration will equilibrate with
the abundant soil water this might cause additional changes of the isotopic composition in soil
pore water.

**Potentials, limitations and future research**

From a technical point of view the reliability of the system depends upon each used
component (i.e. valves, computer, interface etc). The bottleneck of in-situ systems in terms of
maintenance are the membrane probes, the air supply and the standard container. The
membrane pores might clock in fine textured soils and will need to be cleaned; the dry air
supply is limited on the size of tank provided. It is recommendable to refresh the standards in
the containers at least every two days to avoid misleading results. However, this will depend on the size of the container and the water content of the prepared standard.

Condensation within the sample system can lead to unreliable data. This can be either prevented by heating the sample lines, flushing the sample lines with dry air or sufficient dilution of the sample. Hence, under conditions where the ambient temperature is significant warmer than the soil temperature a simple valve controlled membrane inlet will be sufficient for an indirect determination of isotopes in unsaturated zone or saturated zone water. During day time this is the case at the presented study sight, but changes drastically over night as described.

Dilution of the vapor concentration can be done by providing dry gas at the other end of the available probes. In that case of pure diffusion sampling the maximum dilution rate under absolute humidity is controlled by the length of the probe, their diffusion properties, the flow velocity and the temperature at depth. The flow velocity can be different depending on the laser spectrometer that is used. Adding a mixing chamber at the head of the probe has the distinct advantage of additional mixing directly before the vapor enters the sample line (Volkman and Weiler, 2014). This leads to independence on flow velocity, probe length and membrane diffusion properties in terms of water content. However, under very dry conditions it might be useful to increase probe length for an increase of resolution, since the soil volume around the probe affected by the measurement will be reduced.

Another critical point is the long term application of membrane based methods. The pore space of the probes can be altered over time which might increase the memory effect of the system. Further, the calibration of the in-situ methods of Volkmann and Weiler (2013) and this study used prior oven dried substrate for the calibration. Though it is assumed that all water is evaporated from the oven dried substrate and only the added standard water will be measured afterwards. The same assumption is made using the equilibration bag method if the standards are treated in the same way as described. A direct comparison of the cryogenic vacuum extraction with a membrane based in-situ measurement showed that this calibration procedure is applicable for fine sand. However, it will probably lead to insufficient data applying this calibration procedure to soil samples with finer texture, especially if clay and/or salt contents are high.
5 Conclusion

Summing up, to prevent the collection of insufficient data applying an in situ approach one has to carefully consider the applied calibration procedure depending on the research question and the soil type. In addition, there is a trade-off between technical effort, control setup, probe type, environmental conditions and costs.

The present study demonstrates that high resolution in-situ sampling of stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone is feasible. In-situ measurements can be applied with minimal technical effort for remote applications. They further show good agreement with values derived from cryogenic soil water extractions. Differences between the two methods are predominantly within the given accuracies of the methods. Divergence in the upper soil layer between in-situ and destructive sampling suggest enhanced soil-atmosphere interactions, kinetic processes due to high evaporation rates or hydraulic redistribution. However, evaporation induced by the measurement procedure needs further investigation for very low water contents to reduce limitations. In addition, low humidity in relation to soil water potential and isotope fractionation needs further investigation. Long term accuracy within the presented campaigns is about two times lower for δ²H and three times for δ¹⁸O than for the short term precision of each profile. This can possibly be improved by a better temperature control of the analyser or temperature compensated devices if available.

The presented in-situ measurements have great potential to investigate transient processes within the unsaturated zone of semi-arid environments. This has not been possible to study until field deployable laser spectrometer and in-situ techniques became available. The determination of water stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone directly in the field allows monitoring transient processes which would not be possible with destructive sampling strategies. This creates new possibilities to the design of tracer experiments with water of different isotopic composition as conservative tracer. However, this is limited by the measurement frequency of the provided system which is now at least able to capture diurnal processes with the presented vertical resolution. In addition, a much higher spatial resolution can be achieved with much lower time consumption compared to conventional approaches. The proposed technique offers the possibility to investigate unsolved questions regarding soil atmosphere interactions such as water vapor intrusion and transport plus effects of diurnal evaporation cycling on isotope depth profiles. Strategies of plant root water uptake in water scarce environments are not yet fully understood as well as the role of water vapor transport.
Determination of fractionation factors between water vapor and liquid water will also require advanced calibration procedures. Besides, properties of the membrane may cause fractionation and might require characterization for alternative calibration procedures. Therefore future research on in-situ methods should investigate different calibration procedures in more detail. Pure vapor standards should be tested against standards prepared with prior dried soil with different water content. Additionally, the direct determination of soil water using extraction methods should be further studied for different soils and compared to the available equilibration and in-situ methods. This will give more insight into the applicability of an in-situ approach under various climatic conditions and for different soil types.
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Table 1: Standards used for normalization, drift correction, quality check and preparation of field standards.

| Abbreviation | Description                  | $\delta^2H$ [%] | $\sigma$ | $\delta^{18}O$ [%] | $\sigma$ |
|--------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| HMER         | Hannover sea water           | -3.1 ± 0.4     |         | -0.4 ± 0.13       |         |
| HDES         | Hannover distilled water     | -55.9 ± 0.9    |         | -8.12 ± 0.14      |         |
| HLAU         | Hannover lauretaner water    | -64.6 ± 0.6    |         | -9.73 ± 0.10      |         |
| HGLA         | Hannover glacier water       | -152.1 ± 0.9   |         | -20.27 ± 0.11     |         |

Table 2: Values for HLAU (qc) with the corresponding standard deviation of their repetitive measurements ($\sigma_{qc}$), the mean standard deviation of the repetitive measurements of each measurement point ($\sigma_{rep}$) and the corresponding values of the cryogenic vacuum extraction ($\sigma_{cry}$) from the campaigns June / November 2014. Bare plots (B) and vegetated plots (V) were measured.

| ID  | Date       | Init.Time | $\delta^2H$ [%] | $\sigma_{qc}$ | $\sigma_{rep}$ | $\sigma_{cry}$ | $\delta^{18}O$ [%] | $\sigma_{qc}$ | $\sigma_{rep}$ | $\sigma_{cry}$ |
|-----|------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| E1.1| 04.06.2014 | 09:30:00  | -60.09 ± 5.13  | 1.4           | 3.7            | -10.04 ± 0.66  | 0.7               | 0.8           |
| E1.2| 04.06.2014 | 15:30:00  | -74.96 ± 2.83  | 3             | 3.5            | -13.16 ± 0.01  | 0.6               | 0.6           |
|     |            |           | ± 3.98         | 2.2           | 3.6            | ± 0.34          | 0.65               | 0.70          |
| E2.1| 15.11.2014 | 10:50:00  | -73.25 ± 0.87  | 1.17          |               | -10.38 ± 0.34  | 0.45               |              |
| E2.2| 16.11.2014 | 08:00:00  | -69.83 ± 0.99  | 1.26          |               | -8.05 ± 0.48   | 0.35               |              |
| E2.3| 16.11.2014 | 13:30:00  | -71.11 ± 0.49  | 2.21          |               | -10.29 ± 0.14  | 0.54               |              |
| E2.4| 17.11.2014 | 11:00:00  | -57.51 ± 4.11  | 1.72          |               | -8.45 ± 0.14   | 0.28               |              |
| E2.5| 18.11.2014 | 11:00:00  | -64.82 ± 1.86  | 1.52          |               | -7.73 ± 0.34   | 0.28               |              |
| E2.6| 19.11.2014 | 07:30:00  | -75.62 ± 1.84  | 2.49          |               | -6.81 ± 0.81   | 0.5                |              |
| E2.7| 19.11.2014 | 14:00:00  | -79.35 ± 1.27  | 1.93          | 3.5           | -11.62 ± 0.36  | 0.45               | 0.45          |
| E2.8| 20.11.2014 | 11:30:00  | -25.24 ± 2.53  | 1.45          |               | -3.68 ± 0.25   | 0.24               |              |
| E2.9| 21.11.2014 | 11:30:00  | -23.00 ± 17.17 | 1.74          |               | -15.59 ± 4.17  | 0.37               |              |
Table 2: Soil water balance derived from stable isotope data. $z_{ef}$ estimated from a exponential fit to isotope depth profiles. The contribution of transpiration is the remainder of soil water and hence potentially available.

|      | $z_{ef}$ [mm] | $\delta_{res}$ [%o] | $\delta_{ef}$ [%o] | E [mm/y] | S [mm] | T [mm/y] | E/T_pot [%] |
|------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|------------|
| Cryo |             |                      |                     |         |       |         |            |
| bare | 155         | -8.2                 | 31.4                | 150     | 127   | 40      | 465        | 488        | 76         | 79         |
| vegetated | 126     | -5.2                 | 33                   | 185     | 156   | 9.5     | 460.5      | 489.5      | 71         | 76         |
| Wet  | 61          | -4                   | 6.2                  | 382     | 323   | 122     | 152        | 220        | 28         | 41         |
| In-situ |         |                      |                      |         |       |         |            |            |            |            |
| bare | 111         | -6.3                 | 46                   | 202     | 171   | 40      | 453        | 485        | 69         | 74         |
| vegetated | 249     | -18.3                | 28.8                 | 93      | 79    | 9.5     | 562        | 576        | 86         | 88         |
| Wet  | 51          | -3.9                 | 7.6                  | 458     | 387   | 122     | 80         | 156        | 15         | 29         |
|      |             |                      |                     |         |       |         |            |            |            |            |
| Cryo |             |                      |                     |         |       |         |            |            |            |            |
| bare | 290         | -73.4                | 30.79                | 79      | 67    | 40      | 537        | 549        | 87         | 89         |
| vegetated | 190     | -51.8                | 29.4                 | 111     | 94    | 9.5     | 535.5      | 552.5      | 83         | 85         |
| Wet  | 68          | -21.2                | 32.5                 | 342     | 289   | 122     | 192        | 253        | 36         | 47         |
| In-situ |         |                      |                      |         |       |         |            |            |            |            |
| bare | 158         | -61                  | 82                   | 147     | 124   | 40      | 469        | 492        | 76         | 80         |
| vegetated | 145     | -46                  | 97                   | 161     | 136   | 9.5     | 485.5      | 510.5      | 75         | 79         |
| Wet  | 52          | -24.4                | 34                   | 449     | 380   | 122     | 89         | 163        | 17         | 30         |
Figure 1: The study area is located in the northern part of the Cuvelai-Etosha-Basin (CEB), which is subdivided by the political border between Angola and Namibia (left). Sampling was conducted in Elundu located in the center of the CEB (center, right).
Figure 2: Gas transport (right) is controlled by an automated electronic control (left). The PC mimics the behavior of a laboratory autosampler communicating with the analyser via an USB to Serial adapter. High and low signals from the data set ready pin can be used to switch valves using an optocoupler (ULN2303). Vapor is transported via the transport line from the soil gas probe to the ICOS device. The flow is measured with a massflowcontroller (MFC).
Figure 3: Depths profiles of the field campaign in June 2014 (E1.1, E1.2) and the field campaign in November 2014 (E2.7) are shown. Compared are isotope depth profiles extracted with the cryogenic vacuum extraction (dashed, triangles) and measured in-situ (straight, dots). $\delta^2\text{H}$ is given in blue and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ is given in red. Soil moisture is illustrated on the right of each plot. Standard deviation of each plot for each method are indicated by error bars in the legend of the plot.
Figure 4: δ^{18}O vs δ^2H plot of the profiles E01.1, E01.2, E02.7. Also shown is the LMWL for the northern part of Namibia as well as groundwater and soil water down to a depth of 4 m.
Figure 5: Deuterium excess for the profiles E01.1, E01.2 sampled in June 2014 and E02.7 sampled in November 2014. Compared are values from the cryogenic vacuum extraction (triangles) and the in-situ measurements (circles).
Figure 6: $^{18}$O (red), $^2$H (blue) and soil moisture (black) depth profiles. Shown are mean values for three transects consisting of three single profiles derived from in-situ measurements. Mean standard deviation $\sigma$ of all quality check standards (qc) are shown in the legend. Rainfall isotope values are indicated on the x-axes in grey.
Figure 7: Mean soil moisture ($z\Theta$) of each depth plotted against the standard deviation $\sigma[\Theta]$ between each depth as well as standard deviation of $\sigma[\delta^{18}O]$ and $\sigma[\delta^2H]$. 
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