RNA-binding protein RPS3 contributes to hepatocarcinogenesis by post-transcriptionally up-regulating SIRT1
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ABSTRACT

Although several studies indicate that RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) contribute to key steps in a variety of physiological processes and cancer, the detailed biological functions and mechanisms remain to be determined. By performing bioinformatics analysis using well-established hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) datasets, we identified a set of HCC progression-associated RBPs (HPARBPs) and found that the global expression of HPARBPs was significantly correlated with patient prognosis. Among the 42 HPARBPs, human ribosomal protein S3 (RPS3) was one of the most abundant genes whose role remains uncharacterized in HCC. Gain- and loss-of-function analyses demonstrated that RPS3 promoted HCC tumorigenesis both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, we revealed that silent information regulator 1 (SIRT1) was a critical target of RPS3 and was essential for sustaining the RPS3-induced malignant phenotypes of HCC cells. RPS3 stabilized SIRT1 mRNA by binding to AUUUA motifs in the 3448–3530 region of the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of SIRT1 mRNA. In addition, we found that (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 4-hydroxy-2-methylenebutanoate (FMHM) inhibited HCC progression by repressing the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway. Our study unveils a novel extra-ribosomal role of RPS3 in facilitating hepatocarcinogenesis via the post-transcriptional regulation of SIRT1 expression and proposes that the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway serves as a potential therapeutic target in HCC.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the predominant malignancy of the liver and represents one of the most common causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1). Despite intensive efforts to improve early detection and develop novel therapeutic strategies, patients with HCC still face a high incidence of postoperative recurrence and unsatisfactory survival rates (2,3). Therefore, it is critical to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying hepatocarcinogenesis to develop novel therapeutics targeting HCC.

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) represent important mediators of cancer phenotypes (4). RBPs bind RNA through one or multiple globular RNA-binding domains (RBDs) and change the fate or function of the bound RNAs (5). RBPs are dynamic, often multi-functional, modulators acting on several layers of post-transcriptional gene expression. Most studies show that RBPs can lead to different diseases, including muscular atrophies, neurological disorders, and cancer, because of a significant change or disturbance in RBPs regulating some essential cellular functions (6–8). By performing bioinformatics analysis in well-established datasets, we identified a set of candidate RBPs implicated in HCC progression, and RPS3 was selected for further analysis due to its abundant expression and uncharacterized role in HCC.

Human ribosomal protein S3 (RPS3), a component of the 40S ribosomal subunit, is mainly involved in ribosomal maturation and initiation of translation through association with initiation factors (9). RPS3 also has various extra-ribosomal functions, including DNA repair (10–13), apoptosis modulation, cell signalling (14,15), transcriptional regulation, and transformation (16–18). In addition, both up-regulation and intrinsic dysfunctions in ribosomes...
result in an increasing incidence of tumors, and RPS3 is involved in radioresistance or invasion of tumor cells (19,20). In this study, we first identified that RPS3 plays an important role in HCC progression as an RBP. RPS3 is frequently up-regulated in human HCC, which is associated with HCC aggressiveness and promotes hepatocarcinogenesis both in vitro and in vivo.

Silent information regulator 1 (SIRT1) is a member of the mammalian sirtuin protein family and is a highly conserved NAD+-dependent protein deacetylase involved in diverse cellular processes (21,22). Accumulating evidence indicates that SIRT1 is involved in many biological processes, including transcriptional silencing, DNA repair, circadian clock maintenance, cellular metabolism, stress response, ageing, and tumorigenesis (23,24). Recent studies have demonstrated that SIRT1 is strongly associated with the clinical outcomes of HCC (25). Overexpression of SIRT1 was found to promote tumorigenesis in HCC and predicted poor prognosis (26). In the current study, we identified the oncogene SIRT1 as a critical target of RPS3, and RPS3 up-regulated SIRT1 by stabilizing SIRT1 mRNA via binding to its 3′ untranslated region (UTR). SIRT1 is essential for the progression of HCC influenced by RPS3, and the pro-tumorigenic effect induced by RPS3 was phenocopied by SIRT1 overexpression and rescued by SIRT1 silencing.

Based on recent reports, traditional Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) is emerging as an intriguing and viable choice because of its multilevel, multi-targeted, and coordinated intervention effects against HCC. The extensive application of phytochemical and molecular biological approaches in many CHM-derived compounds has shown great potential in the development of anti-HCC products (27). In our study, we noticed that (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 4-hydroxy-2-methylenebutanoate (FMHM), a natural small molecule extracted from the traditional CHM Radix Polygalae, exerted potent antitumor effects and significantly inhibited the progression of HCC by repressing the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway.

Collectively, we identified an up-regulated RBP, RPS3, in human HCC. Overexpression of RPS3 was significantly associated with HCC progression and aggressive clinicopathological features. RPS3 knockdown almost completely abolished HCC tumorigenesis both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, utilizing RNA sequencing, we identified the oncogene SIRT1 as a critical target of RPS3. RPS3 up-regulated SIRT1 by stabilizing SIRT1 mRNA via binding to its 3′UTR. In addition, we found that FMHM, a bioactive natural small molecule extracted from the CHM Radix Polygalae, delayed HCC progression by inhibiting the RPS3/SIRT1 signalling pathway and thus might represent a therapeutic agent for human HCC. In short, our data demonstrated that the RBP RPS3 is an important protumorigenic factor in liver carcinogenesis, and therapeutic targeting of RPS3/SIRT1 may offer options for human HCC intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human HCC samples and cell lines
Thirty paired fresh-frozen HCC and para-tumor tissue samples were used in quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. Thirty-six normal liver, 31 para-tumor and 164 HCC tissue samples were used to construct tissue microarrays. HCC and para-tumor tissue samples were surgically resected from HCC patients who underwent hepatectomy at Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH, Beijing, China) between 2010 and 2014, and normal liver tissues were collected from patients with hepatolithiasis who were treated at the same hospital. All diagnoses were confirmed by pathology. Complete clinicopathological and follow-up data were available for the 164 HCC samples. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of PUMCH, and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

The HCC cell lines HepG2, SMMC-7721, Hep3b, HuH7 and SK-hep-1 were obtained from Shanghai Cell Bank, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and cultured as recommended by the supplier. Cells were transiently transfected with plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA), and siRNAs were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were harvested and lysed to evaluate the transfection efficiency.

Natural small molecule
FMHM (C11H12O5, molecular weight 224) was obtained from the Department of Natural Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University Health Science Center. The purity was more than 98% by high-performance liquid chromatography.

Cell proliferation and colony formation assay
Cell viability was measured with the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) (Dojindo, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were plated at a density of 1 × 10^4 cells per well in 96-well plates and incubated at 37°C. Proliferation rates were determined at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h post-transfection, and quantification was performed on a microtiter plate reader (Spectra Rainbow, Tecan) using the CloneSelect Imager System (Genetix) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Values represent the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of four data points from a representative experiment, and experiments were repeated more than three times with similar results.

Briefly, transfected cells were plated in six-well plates at a density of 1000 cells per well. The medium was changed every 3 days. After 2 weeks, colonies were fixed with methanol and stained with crystal violet for 20 min. Each experiment was repeated at least three times.

Cell cycle analysis
Cells with different treatments were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), detached with 0.25% trypsin, and fixed with 75% ethanol at −20°C overnight. After treatment with 2.5 μl 10 mg/ml RNase A (Fermentas) at 37°C for 30 min, the cells were resuspended in 300 μl of PBS and stained with propidium iodide in the dark for 30 min. The cells were filtered, and fluorescence was measured with a FACScan flow cytometry system (BD Biosciences).
Wound-healing migration assay

To perform migration assays, we seeded cells in confluent monolayers in six-well plates after transfection. A linear gap was generated by scratching the cell layer at the bottoms of the wells using a sterile 200 μl pipette tip. Phase contrast images were acquired at an identical location at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h after scratching, and the width (W) of the scratch wound was measured. The rate of closure of the open wounds was calculated. All measurements were performed in triplicate at least three times.

Transwell migration assay

Double-chamber migration assays were performed using transwell chambers (24-well plate, 8 μm pores; BD Biosciences). In brief, the lower chambers were filled with 600 μl DMEM containing 10% FBS. HCC cells with different treatments were suspended in serum-free medium, seeded in the upper chambers and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Then, the cells on the upper surface of the filters were removed using cotton wool swabs. The migrated cells on the lower side of the membrane were fixed in 95% methanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet dye, and the number of cells migrating to the lower surface was counted in three randomly selected high-magnification fields (100×) for each sample.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cell lines or tumor tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was quantified by absorbance at 260 nm. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was then synthesized using the TaKaRa Reverse Transcription System (TaKara, Dalian, China). qRT-PCR analysis was performed on ABI-7500 using iQ™ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) reagent. Primer sequences are listed in supplementary data (List of primer sequences). Biotinylated RNA pull-down assay was performed, and relative mRNA expression analysis was performed using the 2-ΔΔCT method with GAPDH as the endogenous control. mRNA levels were calibrated to the 0 h time point.

Protein degradation analysis

Cells were treated with or without FMHM. After Forty-eight hours, cells were treated with 50 μg/ml cycloheximide (CHX) for 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40 and 44 h. At various time points thereafter, cells were lysed and subjected to immunoblotting analysis as described below.

SUnSET assay

Protein synthesis was assessed using puromycin labeling (SUnSET technique). For in vitro labeling, puromycin (2 mg/ml, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to cells at a 1:400 dilutions. The cells were then incubated with the puromycin for one hour before cell lysates were collected and subjected to immunoblotting analysis with antipuromycin antibodies.

Western blot analysis

Cells were collected in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor cocktails (AMRESCO) and lysed on ice for 30 min with a short vortex every 10 min. Lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 13 000 × g and 4°C, supernatants were collected, and protein concentrations were determined by the BCA Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce). Lysates were size-fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. For western blotting analysis, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against RPS3, SIRT1 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA) at 4°C overnight. After three washes with TBST, the membrane was incubated with a secondary antibody at room temperature for 2 h. Then, the signals were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence or fluorescence according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

mRNA stability analysis

HepG2 or SMMC-7721 cells were treated with control or RPS3/sh-RPS3-encoding plasmids for 48 h followed by treatment with actinomycin D (5 mg/ml) for 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 h and subsequent TRIzol RNA extraction. qRT-PCR analysis was performed, and relative mRNA expression analysis was performed using the 2−ΔΔCT method with GAPDH as the endogenous control. mRNA levels were calibrated to the 0 h time point.

Biotinylated RNA pull-down assay

To examine the potential association of SIRT1 with RPS3, we performed an RNA pull-down assay. cDNA was used as a template for PCR amplification of the different fragments of SIRT1 mRNA, including 3′UTR-2298-2891, 3′UTR-2892-3512, 3′UTR-3513-4093, 3′UTR-2892-3091, 3′UTR-3092-3347, 3′UTR-3348-3512, 3′UTR-3248-3447, 3′UTR-3448-3530 (WT) and 3′UTR-3448-3530-M1, M2 and M3. The primer sequences are listed in supplementary data (list of primer sequences). Biotinylated RNA probes were prepared using in vitro transcription of PCR-amplified DNA templates with T7 RNA polymerases (Promega) in the presence of the biotin-UTP labeling NTP mixture (Promega) as recommended. The reactions were incubated for 2–4 h at 37°C, followed by incubation with DNase I (Transgene; 1 U/μg of template DNA) for 30 min at 37°C and terminated with 10 mM EDTA with incubation at 65°C for 10 min. The biotinylated RNAs were then extracted with a phenol–chloroform (1:1) mixture, precipitated with ethanol and rehydrated in DEPC-treated water. Five hundred nanograms of purified biotinylated transcripts were incubated with 100 μg total cell lysates for 30 min at room temperature with continuous rotation. Complexes were isolated with streptavidin-conjugated Dynabeads (Invitrogen), followed by boiling with SDS-PAGE loading buffer for 5 min. The pull-down materials were subsequently analysed by western blotting by probing the membranes successively with RPS3-specific and GAPDH-specific antibodies.
RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays were performed using a Magna RIP Kit (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, for RPS3 RIP, SMMC-7721 cells were transfected with RPS3 overexpression plasmids. After 48 h, the cells were used to perform RIP experiments using an anti-RPS3 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) or isotype-matched control antibody (normal rabbit IgG; Millipore). Following the recovery of antibodies using protein A/G beads, qRT-PCR was performed on the precipitates to detect SIRT1 mRNA levels.

Luciferase reporter assay

The primer pairs for the construction of pGL3-derived reporter vectors bearing the 5′UTR, coding sequence (CDS), 3′UTR and other fragments of SIRT1 mRNA with the Mlu1 or Xho1 restriction enzyme cutting site are listed in the supplemental document (list of primer sequences). For reporter gene assays, the constructed luciferase reporter vectors and Renilla vectors as loading controls were co-transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Forty-eight hours later, cell lysates were collected, and luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) and normalized to Renilla luciferase activity.

Subcutaneous tumor model

All animal procedures were performed according to the National Animal Experimentation Guidelines upon approval of the experimental protocol by the Institutional Animal Experimentation Committee of PUMCH. For subcutaneous xenograft experiments, BALB/c mice (female, 6–8 weeks of age) were used to examine tumorigenicity. The SMMC-7721 cell line (3 × 10⁶ cells/mouse) with stable overexpression/knockdown RPS3 or SIRT1 and the corresponding controls were subcutaneously injected into the nude mice. The size of the tumors was measured by calipers twice a week, and tumor volumes were calculated using the following formula: 1/2 × d² × D. The mice were sacrificed after 4–6 weeks, and tumors were removed for assessment. Drug treatments were started after the average tumor volume reached ~100–200 mm³. EX527 (10 mg/kg) and FMHM (15 mg/kg) were intraperitoneally administered twice a week for 3–4 weeks.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

HCC tissues and mouse tumor tissues were incubated for 2 h at 62°C, deparaffinized and rehydrated. Antigen retrieval was performed using citrate buffer (pH 6) at 97°C for 20 min. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating the sections with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature. Non-specific binding of the antibody was blocked by incubating the slides with 5% normal goat serum in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST) for 1 h at room temperature. The slides were then incubated with primary antibodies against RPS3, SIRT1 or Ki67 overnight at 4°C. After washing, each slide was incubated with the appropriate HRP-labeled secondary antibody, and signals were developed with DAB solution before counterstaining with hematoxylin. The immunohistochemical staining intensity was analysed using Image Pro-Plus (IPP).

Transcriptome sequencing and bioinformatics analysis

Genome-wide gene expression profiling on RPS3-silenced SMMC-7721 cells or negative control cells was performed using RNA deep sequencing by Annoroad Gene Technology Co. Library construction was performed following the instructions provided by Illumina (San Diego, CA, USA). Samples were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument. Raw Data was processed with Perl scripts to ensure the quality of data used in further analysis. The adopted filtering criteria were as follows: (i) remove the adaptor-polluted reads (reads containing more than five adapter-polluted bases were regarded as adaptor-polluted reads and would be filtered out); (ii) remove the low-quality reads. Reads with the number of low quality bases (phred Quality value: <19) accounting for >15% of total bases were regarded as low-quality reads; (iii) remove reads with number of N bases accounting for >5%. Bowtie2 was used for building the genome index, and Clean Data was mapped to the reference genome using TopHat v2.0.12. Fragments Count for each gene in each sample was counted by HTSeq v0.6.0, and FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase Per Million Mapped Fragments) was then calculated to estimate the expression level of genes in each sample. To investigate how biological function changes after RPS3 inactivation, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis for the genes (ishRPS3/control fold-change ≥ 0.65) that were significantly influenced by RPS3. GO terms with a P-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The gene expression and clinical data of HCC patients from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Liver Cancer (LIHC) dataset were obtained using UCSC Xena Browser (https://xenabrowser.net/). The gene expression and clinical data of HCC patients from the Liver Cancer Institute (LCI) dataset were downloaded from GEO datasets (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds). Differentially expressed RBPs (DERBs) were analysed in TCGA and LCI cohorts (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.00001; fold-change ≥ 1.15 or ≤0.85), and low abundance genes were eliminated. HCC progression-associated RBPs (HPARBs) were then identified by logistic regression analysis (P-value < 0.05 for both TNM stage and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism Software and R software (version 3.3.3). Each assay was performed in three independent replicates. For comparisons, Student’s t-test (two-sided), non-parametric Mann–Whitney test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Pearson’s chi-square test, log-rank test, Kaplan–Meier survival analysis, Fisher’s exact test, and Pearson’s correlation analysis were performed as indicated. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the differences among more than two groups. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

RBPs display abnormal expression in HCC

Published reports have shown that abnormal RBP expression contributes to cancer development. To gain a clearer insight into the roles of RBPs in cancer, especially in HCC progression, we systematically interrogated the expression profile and clinical relevance of all known RBP genes in a total of 887 clinical samples from HCC patients in well-established TCGA and LCI HCC cohorts (Figure 1A). We first determined the differentially expressed RNA-binding proteins (DERBPs) between HCC and para-tumor tissues, identifying 971 and 671 DERBPs in TCGA and LCI cohorts, respectively (Figure 1B-C, Supplementary Table S1), which suggested the ubiquitous dysregulation of RBPs in HCC. Next, we focused on the identification of HCC progression-associated RNA-binding proteins (HPARBPs). We subjected the above DERBPs to univariate logistic regression analysis to identify their particular associations with clinical phenotypes (TNM stage and AFP level) and identified 248 and 126 HPARBPs in TCGA and LCI cohorts, respectively (Figure 1D-E, Supplementary Table S1). Then, the results from both HCC cohorts were merged, and 42 RBPs were identified as HPARBPs in both TCGA and LCI cohorts. Furthermore, Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of clinical outcomes demonstrated that global expression of the 42 HPARBPs was significantly associated with patient prognosis in both TCGA and LCI datasets (Figure 1F and G, Supplementary Table S1). Among the 42 HPARBPs, AZGP1, RPS3 and NPM1 were the top three most abundant genes in HCC (Figure 1H). While AZGP1 and NPM1 have been reported to participate in hepatic tumorigenesis, RPS3 remains uncharacterized in HCC initiation and progression. Therefore, RPS3 was selected for further analysis due to its abundant expression and unknown role in HCC.

RPS3 is frequently up-regulated in human HCC and is correlated with HCC aggressiveness

Next, we determined the clinical significance of RPS3 in HCC. We first investigated RPS3 expression in HCC and non-tumor tissues using transcriptome data downloaded from TCGA and LCI datasets and found that RPS3 exhibited higher expression in HCC tissues than in non-cancerous hepatic tissues (Figure 2A and B). We also detected RPS3 mRNA levels by qRT-PCR in another cohort containing 30 pairs of HCC and para-tumor tissues. Consistently, RPS3 mRNA levels were higher in HCC tissues than in para-tumor tissues (Figure 2C). Furthermore, immuno-histochemistry (IHC) was performed on tissue microarrays to detect the RPS3 protein expression level in HCC tissues. A stronger staining intensity of RPS3 in HCC tissues was observed than in normal liver and para-tumor tissues (Figure 2D and E).

Subsequently, clinicopathological correlation analysis was performed. The result showed that high RPS3 expression was significantly correlated with advanced TNM stage, poor tumor grade and vascular invasion in the PUMCH cohort (Figure 2F; Supplementary Table S2). Similar result was obtained in the analysis of TCGA cohort (Supplementary Figure S1A and B). In addition, we investigated the correlation between RPS3 expression and prognosis in HCC patients. Kaplan–Meier analysis revealed that high RPS3 expression was significantly correlated with reduced overall survival in HCC patients in three independent cohorts (Figure 2G–I). Taken together, these data reveal that RPS3 dysregulation is clinically linked to HCC biology and severity.

RPS3 promotes hepatic tumorigenesis in vitro and in vivo

To test and verify the significance of the above clinical findings, we first investigated the expression profiles of RPS3 in a panel of HCC cell lines and observed lower expression of RPS3 in the normal liver cell line (LO2) and higher expression of RPS3 in HCC cell lines (Figure 3A and B). Then, we stably silenced RPS3 in HepG2 cells using shRNA-encoding lentiviruses. The knockdown efficiency was confirmed by qRT-PCR and western blotting (Figure 3C). With the knockdown of RPS3, cell proliferation as monitored by the CCK-8 assay decreased (Figure 3D). This result was further supported by the colony formation assay, which showed that RPS3 knockdown significantly repressed the colony formation ability of HepG2 cells (Figure 3E). To investigate the mechanism responsible for the RPS3-mediated pro-proliferative effect in HCC, we evaluated cell cycle distribution using flow cytometry. The result showed that RPS3 knockdown in HCC cells disrupted cell cycle progression with G1 arrest (Figure 3F). We further performed a gain-of-function study and investigated the effects of RPS3 overexpression on HCC progression. We established HepG2 cells stably overexpressing RPS3 (Supplementary Figure S2A) and found that the overexpression of RPS3 promoted cell proliferation (Supplementary Figure S2B) and colony formation (Supplementary Figure S2C) but inhibited G1 arrest (Supplementary Figure S2D). RPS3 has been reported to be involved in the metastasis of solid tumors (28). Thus, both wound-healing and transwell migration assays were conducted. The results showed that the knockdown of RPS3 inhibited the wound-healing ability of HepG2 cells (Figure 3G), while RPS3 overexpression greatly promoted HepG2 cell motility (Supplementary Figure S2E). Consistently, transwell migration assays showed that the knockdown of RPS3 inhibited HepG2 migration (Figure 3H) and that the overexpression of RPS3 had the opposite effects (Supplementary Figure S2F). Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is thought to be involved in various steps of tumor progression (29,30). We also measured the mRNA levels of key EMT markers when RPS3 was overexpressed or silenced in the HepG2 cell line. We found that mRNA levels of epithelial markers, such as E-cadherin and β-catenin, were up-regulated, while the mRNA levels of mesenchymal markers, including fibronectin, N-cadherin, vimentin, ZEB1 and ZEB2, were down-regulated in HepG2 cells with RPS3 knockdown (Figure 3I). In contrast, RPS3 overexpression promoted EMT in HepG2 cells (Supplementary Figure S2G). Similar results regarding the effect of RPS3 on hepatocarcinogenesis were obtained in the SMMC-7721 cell line (Supplementary Figure S3).
Figure 1. Alterations of RBPs in HCC. (A) Schematic overview of the study design. (B, C) Hierarchical clustering and heatmaps of 971 DERBPs in TCGA cohort and 671 DERBPs in the LCI cohort. (D, E) Hierarchical clustering and heatmaps of 248 HPARBPs in TCGA cohort and 126 HPARBPs in the LCI cohort. (F, G) Kaplan–Meier analysis of TCGA and LCI cohorts based on predictive survival analysis using the expression of the 42 HPARBP gene signature. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 and ****P < 0.0001 using the log-rank test. (H) The most abundant HPARBPs in HCC.

To further investigate the effect of RPS3 on HCC tumorigenesis in vivo, we subcutaneously injected SMMC-7721 cells with stable RPS3 knockdown or control cells into nude mice. Tumor growth in vivo was examined by monitoring tumor size every week. Strikingly, compared with the mice injected with control cells, the mice injected with RPS3-silenced HCC cells displayed dramatically inhibited tumorigenesis. The representative images of the different groups are shown in Figure 3J. Accordingly, immunohistochemical staining confirmed that the expression of RPS3 and Ki67 were significantly lower in the sh-RPS3 group than in the control group (Figure 3K).

Given the role of RPS3 as a ribosomal protein, we explored the effect of RPS3 on the overall rate of protein synthesis in HCC cells using SUnSET assays. The results showed that reduced RPS3 did not result in a general protein translation defect, and enforced overexpression of RPS3 did not have an enhanced effect on protein synthesis in HCC cells, indicating that the effect of RPS3 on hepatocarcinogenesis did not rely upon the alteration of the general protein synthesis (Supplementary Figure S4A and B).

In summary, these results demonstrated that RPS3 promoted HCC tumorigenesis both in vitro and in vivo, and its pro-tumorigenic ability did not rely upon its role as a ribosomal protein.

RPS3 interacts with SIRT1 mRNA

To gain insights into the molecular mechanism underlying the pro-tumorigenic role of RPS3, we conducted transcriptomic sequencing of RPS3-silenced SMMC-7721 cells or negative control cells. We identified many transcripts that were significantly altered upon RPS3 knockdown (Figure 4A; Supplementary Table S3), consistent with the notion that RPS3 is an RB and induces transcriptomic imbalance. To further analyse the functional importance of the RPS3-regulated genes, we performed GO enrichment analysis. We observed an enrichment for genes involved in angiogenesis,
regulation of mitotic cell cycle, cell proliferation and regulation of migration, which supported the important role of RPS3 in hepatic tumorigenesis (Figure 4B; Supplementary Table S3).

Surprisingly, SIRT1 was one of the genes affected by RPS3 knockdown. Existing research in our laboratory shows that RPS3 is a member of the SIRT1 mRNA interactome (31). To further confirm the interaction between SIRT1 mRNA and RPS3, we carried out RIP assays. Indeed, the SIRT1 mRNA was significantly enriched in RPS3-IP sample compared with IgG-IP sample, and negligible binding of β-actin transcript with RPS3 demonstrated that the interaction between SIRT1 mRNA and RPS3 was specific (Figure 4C). All the results confirmed that RPS3 consistently interacted with SIRT1 mRNA.

To detect the specific binding region of RPS3 on SIRT1 mRNA, we divided SIRT1 mRNA into different fragments as shown in Figure 4D. We first amplified the 5′UTR, CDS, and 3′UTR of SIRT1 mRNA labelled with biotin in vitro. Then, we performed biotin pull-down assays, followed by western blot analysis. The results indicated that RPS3 interacted with the 3′UTR of SIRT1 mRNA but not the 5′UTR or CDS (Figure 4E). To further narrow down the specific binding region of RPS3 on the 3′UTR of SIRT1 mRNA, we divided the full length of the SIRT1 3′UTR into three fragments and carried out biotin pull-down assays. The results indicated that fragment SIRT1 3′UTR-2892–3512 was the main binding site of RPS3 instead of other fragments (Figure 4F). We further separated 3′UTR-2892–3512 into three parts: 3′UTR 2892–3091, 3092–3347 and 3348–3512 (Figure 4G), followed by biotin-mediated RNA pull-down assays. As shown in Figure 4H, RPS3 interacted with the 3348–3512 fragment of the SIRT1 3′UTR. Subsequently, we separated the 3′UTR-3348–3512 into two parts, namely, 3′UTR-3248–3447 and 3′UTR-3448–3530, and identified
Figure 3. RPS3 silencing suppresses hepatocarcinogenesis in vitro and in vivo. (A, B) qRT-PCR and western blot analysis of RPS3 expression in human normal liver cell line (LO2) and HCC cell lines (SMMC-7721, HepG2, Hep3B, Huh7 and SK-hep-1). (C) qRT-PCR and western blot analysis of mRNA and protein levels, respectively, of RPS3 in RPS3-silenced HepG2 cells. Cell proliferation (D), colony formation (E), cell cycle (F), wound-healing (G) and transwell migration (H) assays were performed in RPS3-silenced HepG2 cells. (I) qRT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of key EMT markers in RPS3-silenced HepG2 cells. (J) Subcutaneous injection of SMMC-7721 cells with or without RPS3 knockdown into nude mice. Representative images of the resected subcutaneous tumors from each group are shown. Tumor weights and tumor volumes were measured (n = 5). (K) Representative immunohistochemical staining images are shown (magnification, 200x). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.

the binding motif of RPS3 on SIRT1 3' UTR. As shown in Figure 4I, RPS3 interacted with SIRT1 3' UTR-3448–3530.

Next, we found that the 3448–3530 region contains two AUUUA motifs that were frequently found on the 3' UTR of SIRT1 mRNA. To test whether the AUUUA motifs were essential for the interaction of SIRT1 mRNA with RPS3, we generated several mutants that were mutated at flank regions of the AUUUA motif or within the motif (Figure 4J) and performed biotin-mediated RNA pull-down assays. Compared with the wild-type (WT) fragment, the M1 or M2 mutant, which was mutated in just one of the two AUUUA motifs (AUUUA to UAUAU), lost some of the ability to associate with RPS3. In contrast, compared with the WT fragment, the M3 mutant, which was mutated in both AUUUA motifs (AUUUA to UAUUAU), lost all of its ability to associate with RPS3 (Figure 4K). Taken together, these results indicate that RPS3 is a SIRT1 mRNA-binding protein, RPS3 directly binds to the 3' UTR of SIRT1 mRNA, and the AUUUA motifs on SIRT1 3' UTR fragment 3448–3530 are essential for the interaction between RPS3 and SIRT1 mRNA.

RPS3 up-regulates SIRT1 expression by stabilizing SIRT1 mRNA

Given that RPS3 is a SIRT1 mRNA-binding protein, we reasoned that RPS3 might enhance SIRT1 mRNA levels or promote SIRT1 mRNA stability to increase SIRT1 mRNA abundance. To validate this hypothesis, we analysed SIRT1 mRNA stability in the presence of RPS3 overexpression or knockdown. Compared with the corresponding control, RPS3 overexpression significantly prolonged SIRT1 mRNA half-life (Figure 5A). Conversely, RPS3 knockdown markedly shortened SIRT1 mRNA half-life (Figure 5B). These results confirmed that RPS3 stabilized SIRT1 mRNA to increase SIRT1 mRNA abundance. Next, we performed
Figure 4. RPS3 interacts with SIRT1 mRNA. (A) Heatmap showing genes that were significantly influenced by RPS3. (B) GO enrichment analysis of the RPS3-regulated genes. (C) SIRT1 mRNA was immunoprecipitated by the anti-RPS3 antibody. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001. (D–I) Identification of the specific binding region of RPS3 on the SIRT1 mRNA. Different biotinylated fragments were subjected to biotin pull-down assays, followed by western blotting. Input represents 1% of lysate used in pull-down reactions. UN indicate a control pulldown containing beads only. NC indicate negative control pulldown containing no blot-RNA. SIRT1 mRNA and RPS3 interaction was assessed using Western blotting of the RNA-bead complexes and detection with anti-RPS3 antibodies. GAPDH detection was used as a negative control. (J, K) WT 3’UTR-3448-3530 and three mutants M1, M2 and M3 were subjected to RNA pull-down assays, followed by western blotting.
both overexpression and knockdown assays in HCC cell lines to test whether RPS3 regulated endogenous SIRT1 expression at the mRNA and protein levels. As shown in Figure 5C and D, compared with the corresponding control, RPS3 overexpression significantly enhanced the expression of SIRT1, while RPS3 knockdown dramatically reduced SIRT1 protein and mRNA levels. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR-γ) is a downstream target of SIRT1 and participates in adipose differentiation and fat metabolism (32). Further study showed that RPS3 regulated SIRT1 and PPAR-γ in a dose-dependent manner in HCC cell lines (Supplementary Figure S5A-D).

To further demonstrate whether RPS3 promotes SIRT1 expression depending on its binding to SIRT1 mRNA, we constructed luciferase reporter plasmids containing the 5′UTR, CDS, and 3′UTR of SIRT1 mRNA and co-transfected them with RPS3-targeting shRNA. As predicted, the knockdown of RPS3 only reduced the luciferase activity of SIRT1 3′UTR but not of SIRT1-5′UTR or SIRT1-CDS (Figure 5E). Then, we further divided SIRT1 3′UTR into three parts, namely, SIRT1 3′UTR-2297–2891, 2892–3512 and 3513–4110, and generated the corresponding luciferase reporter plasmids. Indeed, SIRT1 3′UTR-2892–3512, which had been validated to bind to RPS3 protein, markedly lost luciferase activity in response to RPS3
knockdown, whereas the expression of SIRT1 3′UTR-2297–2891 and 3′UTR-3513–4110 remained unchanged in the presence or absence of RPS3 (Figure 5F). Using the same method, we assessed detected other fragments, similar to the segments described in previous experiments, using RNA pull-down assays. We found that SIRT1 3′UTR-3348–3512 (Figure 5G) and SIRT1 3′UTR-3448–3550 (Figure 5H) harboured binding sites for RPS3 and sharply lost luciferase activity in response to RPS3 knockdown. Finally, we tested whether the special AUUUA motifs were essential for the interaction of SIRT1 mRNA with RPS3. Several mutants mutated at flank regions of the AUUUA motifs or within the motifs were thus generated, and luciferase reporter assays were performed, verifying that RPS3 increased SIRT1 mRNA abundance by binding to AUUUA motifs on SIRT1 3′UTR-3448–3530 (Figure 5I). Moreover, we conducted the inverse experiment with RPS3 overexpression in the presence of all of fragments which described above, and obtained consistent results (Supplementary Figure S5E-I). Altogether, these findings then prompt us to explore the role of the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway in HCC tumorigenesis.

SIRT1 is essential for sustaining HCC progression induced by RPS3

Several reports have shown that SIRT1 acts as a tumor promoter (33,34) and that SIRT1 overexpression promotes HCC tumorigenesis (35,36). To validate the involvement of SIRT1 in the RPS3-mediated effects on HCC cells, we performed rescue assays (Figure 6A and B). The results showed that SIRT1 overexpression phenocopied RPS3-induced cell proliferation (Figure 6C), cell cycle alteration (Figure 6D), colony formation (Figure 6E), migration (Figure 6F; Supplementary Figure S6E-F) and EMT (Supplementary Figure S6G) in HepG2 cells, and SIRT1 knockdown rescued the malignant phenotypes of HCC cells resulting from RPS3 overexpression (Figure 6C–F). Similar results were obtained in another HCC cell line, SMMC-7721 (Supplementary Figure S7). Consistently, SIRT1 knockdown phenocopied and SIRT1 overexpression rescued the RPS3 knockdown-induced repression of malignant phenotypes of HCC cells (Supplementary Figures S8 and S9). These results showed that SIRT1 is an important pro-tumorigenic factor in hepatocarcinogenesis and that SIRT1 is essential for sustaining the malignant phenotypes of HCC induced by RPS3.

To further investigate the above pro-tumorigenic effect of the RPS3/SIRT1 axis in vivo, we subcutaneously injected SMMC-7721 cells overexpressing RPS3, SIRT1 or vehicle control into nude mice and examined tumor growth in vivo by monitoring tumor size every week. After tumor formation, the RPS3-overexpressing mice were randomly divided into two groups and injected with vehicle or a SIRT1 inhibitor (EX527). Strikingly, mice injected with RPS3 or SIRT1-overexpressing cells developed larger tumors than mice injected with control cells, confirming the oncogenic role of the RPS3/SIRT1 signalling pathway in HCC. Moreover, the group treated with SIRT1 inhibitor (EX527) after RPS3 overexpression displayed smaller tumor size than the group treated with the vehicle after RPS3 overexpression, which demonstrated that SIRT1 inhibitor partly rescued RPS3 overexpression-induced hepatic tumorigenesis in vivo (Figure 6G). Meanwhile, the results of the immunohistochemical staining showed that both RPS3 and SIRT1 overexpression enhanced the expression of Ki67 and that RPS3 indeed increased SIRT1 expression in vivo (Figure 6H). These data showed that RPS3 promoted hepatic tumorigenesis both in vitro and in vivo and exerted its oncogenic effect via the tumor promoter SIRT1 on HCC, thereby indicating a novel pathway for therapeutic targeting in HCC.

FMHM inhibits HCC by suppressing the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway

Next, we extracted ten small molecular compounds from a variety of Chinese herbal medicinal compounds, and we found that FMHM (Figure 7A) exhibited the highest efficiency on the inhibition of RPS3/SIRT1 signalling pathway expression and significantly repressed the proliferation of HCC cells HepG2 and SMMC-7721 (Supplementary Figure S10A-H). Therefore, we hypothesis that FMHM could prevent HCC progression by inhibiting the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway.

To assess the antitumor effects of FMHM in HCC progression, we first investigated cytotoxic effects of FMHM. The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of FMHM was 32.42 ± 15.8 µM in SMMC-7721 cells (Figure 7B) and 15.8 ± 0.4 µM in HepG2 cells (Figure 7C). The inhibitory effect of FMHM on the expression of RPS3 and SIRT1 was further verified (Figure 7D and E). As predicted, FMHM repressed proliferation (Figure 7H and M) and colony formation (Figure 7J and O) and caused significant cell cycle arrest (Figure 7I and N) in HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells. In addition, we performed wound-healing assays and found that FMHM repressed the wound-healing ability of SMMC-7721 and HepG2 cells (Figure 7F–G and K–L). Subsequently, we investigated the anti-tumorigenic effects of FMHM in vivo. We performed intraperitoneal injection of FMHM or vehicle in mice. As shown in Figure 7P, compared with the vehicle-treated mice, FMHM-treated mice exhibited a significant reduction in tumor weight and volume (P < 0.05), indicating that FMHM exerts potential antitumor effects in HCC, and FMHM may be a novel agent for HCC treatment.

Furthermore, we explored the potential mechanism of the FMHM in regulating RPS3/SIRT1 pathway in HCC progression. CHX chase assays were performed in HCC cells that were treated with or without FMHM and the result showed that FMHM can reduce the protein stability of RPS3 (Figure 8A–B). We further verified that FMHM shortened SIRT1 mRNA half-life compared with corresponding control (Figure 8C), indicating that FMHM reduced SIRT1 mRNA stability via promoting degradation of RPS3 protein. In addition, our results showed that FMHM regulated the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway and the downstream genes in a dose-dependent manner in HCC cell lines HepG2 and SMMC-7721 (Figure 8D–G). Thus, all the results verified the correlation between the antitumor effects...
Figure 6. SIRT1 knockdown rescues the RPS3 overexpression-induced malignant phenotypes of HepG2 cells. (A, B) mRNA and protein levels of RPS3 and SIRT1 in HepG2 cells after different treatments. Cell proliferation (C), cell cycle (D), colony formation (E) and transwell migration (F) assays were performed. (G) Image of the resected subcutaneous tumors from the four groups are shown. Tumor weights and tumor volumes were measured (n = 5). (H) Representative immunohistochemical staining images are shown (magnification, 200×). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.
Figure 7. FMHM inhibits HCC tumorigenesis and the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway. (A) Chemical structure of FMHM. (B, C) Cytotoxicity analysis of FMHM measured in the HCC cell lines SMMC-7721 and HepG2. (D, E) mRNA and protein levels of RPS3 and SIRT1 in HCC SMMC-7721 and HepG2 cells treated with negative control or FMHM. (F–O) Wound-healing migration (F–G and K–L), cell proliferation (H and M), cell cycle (I and N) and colony formation (J and O) assays were performed in SMMC-7721 and HepG2 cells after treatment with negative control or FMHM. (P) Subcutaneous xenograft of SMMC-7721 cells with or without FMHM treatment. Representative images of the resected subcutaneous tumors from each group are shown. Tumor weights and tumor volumes were measured. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

of FMHM and its regulatory effect on the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway in HCC.

We also performed rescue assays to verify the antitumor effects of FMHM. We found that the antitumor effect of FMHM was significantly rescued by RPS3 overexpression in HCC cell line HepG2 (Figure 9A–D) and SMMC-7721 (Figure 9E–H). Thus, all the results shown that FMHM blocks tumorigenesis by inhibiting the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway, thereby offering options for therapeutic intervention in human HCC.

DISCUSSION

Recent studies show that transcriptomic dysregulation is causally linked with tumorigenesis and that tumor-specific transcriptomes have great clinical significance across various human cancers (37,38). Typical tumor-promoting tran-
scriptomes, i.e. those relied upon during tumor initiation and progression, are regulated at multiple levels, including genetic alteration and transcriptional and post-transcriptional modifications. In a variety of physiological processes, RBPs post-transcriptionally regulate the expression of many important genes, including proto-oncogenes, regulators of apoptosis and the cell cycle, and pro-inflammatory cytokines, principally by altering the decay kinetics of their encoded mRNAs. The dysregulated expression of some RBPs has been shown to lead to diseases, including cancer (39,40). To date, >1500 RBPs have been identified in humans (41–43), and 500 cancer driver genes have been discovered (44–46). However, for the majority of RBPs, biological functions and mechanisms remain elusive. Thus, dissecting the precise roles of RBPs during tumorigenesis may open new avenues for targeted therapies in human cancers, including HCC. In this study, we characterized a set of 42 RBPs that have been significantly implicated in HCC progression and found that the global expression of these 42 HPARBPs was significantly associated with the survival of HCC patients in two independent HCC cohorts.

Ribosomal proteins from the S3 family are universal components of small ribosomal subunits in all three domains of life. In addition, ribosomal proteins are abundant in most cells and play so-called extra-ribosomal roles, such as DNA repair, induction of apoptosis, regulation of cell

Figure 8. FMHM inhibits HCC by suppressing the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway. (A) CHX chase assay was performed in HepG2 cells treated with or without FMHM. Cellular proteins were extracted for Western blotting analysis. (B) Quantitation of CHX chase assay was done by densitometry and expressed as signals of RPS3/GAPDH. (C) FMHM reduces SIRT1 mRNA half-life. (D–G) FMHM down-regulates the mRNA and protein levels of RPS3/SIRT1 and up-regulates the mRNA and protein levels of PPARγ in a dose-dependent manner. * \(P < 0.05\), ** \(P < 0.01\) and *** \(P < 0.001\).
Figure 9. The antitumor effect of FMHM was rescued by RPS3 expression. (A) mRNA and protein levels of RPS3 in HepG2 cells treated with negative control, FMHM or FMHM+RPS3. Cell proliferation (B), wound-healing migration (C) and colony formation (D) assays were performed in HepG2 cells after various treatments. (E–H) Rescue assays that were performed in SMMC-7721 cells. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.

proliferation and splicing of pre-mRNA (47). In our study, for the first time, we identified that RPS3 played an important role in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression as an RBP. Recently, several ribosomal proteins have been shown to be up-regulated in several cancers and to contribute to tumorigenesis (19,20,28,48). However, the precise physiological functions of RPS3 and the regulatory mechanism in HCC still need to be explored. Here, we identified that RPS3 was frequently up-regulated in human HCC and associated with HCC aggressiveness and that it promoted hepatocarcinogenesis both in vitro and in vivo by regulating its target mRNA at the post-transcriptional level.

RBPs associate with their mRNA targets by binding to specific sequence motifs and/or recognizing distinct RNA secondary structures and post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression in various ways, such as modulating splicing, modification, transport, localization, stability, degradation, and translation (49). In a recent review, RBPs were described as ‘mRNA clothes’ that ensure whether different mRNA regions are covered or exposed, thereby helping mRNAs to progress through the different stages of its existence. Although RBPs can bind along the CDS, most regulatory elements described to date are located in the 5′ or 3′ UTRs. Our in-depth investigation of the molecular mechanism showed that RPS3 up-regulated SIRT1 expression by stabilizing SIRT1 mRNA via binding to its 3′ UTR and that the AUUUA motifs in SIRT1 3′ UTR-3448–3530 were essential for the interaction of RPS3 with SIRT1 mRNA. The RPS3 protein contains several conserved motifs and domains, including the N-terminal nuclear localization sequence (NLS, KKRK), the hnRNP K homology (KH) domain for binding RNA and the S3-C domain. Investigations on the regulatory roles of RPS3 have mainly focused on the N-terminal region, particularly the KH domain (50), whereas the functions of the other regions have remained elusive. Therefore, whether RPS3 can regulate subcellular localization of its targets (such as SIRT1) by the NLS and/or other functional C-terminal regions need to be explored in the future.

Numerous studies have shown that SIRT1 plays important roles in various physiological and pathological processes, including cellular metabolism, longevity, autoimmu-
nity, inflammation and cancer (51). Increasing evidence has demonstrated that SIRT1 expression is correlated with several cancers. SIRT1 can activate oncogenes and create a favourable microenvironment for tumor survival and progression, and inhibition or mutation of SIRT1 has positive effects on tumorigenesis (26,52). Our study showed that SIRT1 was activated by RPS3 and acted as a downstream effector gene of RPS3. However, recent discoveries have revealed opposite effects of SIRT1 as an oncoprotein or a tumor suppressor under different conditions. Additionally, the function of SIRT1 may be tumor specific or dependent on the stage of oncogenesis (53). Although the role of SIRT1 in cancer is controversial, overwhelming evidence suggests that SIRT1 is beneficial for longevity (54), which provided us with the idea for exploring the relationship between liver cancer and cell senescence. Thus, future research could focus on investigating the functions and mechanisms of RPS3 in hepatocyte senescence and HCC progression. Interestingly, our study also found that overexpression of SIRT1 increased and SIRT1 knockdown reduced the RPS3 expression levels in HCC cell lines, indicating that there may be a positive feedback loop between SIRT1 and RPS3 expression (Supplementary Figure S6A-D). However, it is not the focus of this study and our study mainly focused on the effective downstream mechanism of RPS3 as a RNA-binding protein. Further researches are needed to explore the detailed mechanism of how SIRT1 regulates the expression of RPS3.

Current systemic treatment options for patients with HCC are limited, and relatively few medical interventions and trials are available with regard to HCC. Sorafenib, a vascular endothelial growth factor receptor and tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has been used in clinical settings to prolong survival in patients with advanced HCC; however, to date, its therapeutic potential remains limited due to low response rates, side effects and high cost (55). Thus, the development of new, active, and well-tolerated treatments to improve survival in patients with advanced HCC and to increase enduring remission after curative treatment are urgently needed (56). In our study, we found that FMHM exerted potential antitumor effects and significantly inhibited the progression of HCC by inhibiting the expression of RPS3 in vitro. However, whether FMHM can be used as an antitumor drug for the treatment of HCC requires further research in the future. Furthermore, a previous study identified FMHM as a potential anti-inflammatory compound (57) that suppressed the downstream inactivation of the IkB kinase/IkB/nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) inflammatory pathway. Our results confirmed that FMHM inhibits HCC by suppressing the expression of RPS3. RPS3 has been reported as another essential non-Rel subunit of the native NF-κB complex and cooperates with Rel dimers to achieve full DNA binding, thereby regulating the specificity of NF-κB transcriptional activation [16]. Therefore, whether FMHM can delay the progression of HCC by inhibiting inflammation induced by RPS3 needs to be determined in the future. At present, immunotherapy has gained substantial interest as a potential novel treatment option for patients with HCC, and future research could investigate the presence of synergistic effects between FMHM and immunotherapies for the inhibition of HCC progression.

In summary, we report that RPS3, a novel protumorigenic RBP in HCC, contributes to the epigenetic modulation of the expression of the oncogene SIRT1 by stabilizing SIRT1 mRNA by binding to its 3′UTR in HCC cells. In addition, we found that FMHM, a natural small molecule extracted from the traditional CHM Radix Polygalae, can inhibit HCC tumorigenesis by targeting the RPS3/SIRT1 pathway, thereby identifying a novel alternative for the therapeutic targeting of advanced HCC.
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