Transcriptional programs define molecular characteristics of innate lymphoid cell classes and subsets
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The recognized diversity of innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) is rapidly expanding. Three ILC classes have emerged, ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3, with ILC1 and ILC3 including several subsets. The classification of some subsets is unclear, and it remains controversial whether natural killer (NK) cells and ILC1 cells are distinct cell types. To address these issues, we analyzed gene expression in ILCs and NK cells from mouse small intestine, spleen and liver, as part of the Immunological Genome Project. The results showed unique gene-expression patterns for some ILCs and overlapping patterns for ILC1 cells and NK cells, whereas other ILC subsets remained indistinguishable. We identified a transcriptional program shared by small intestine ILCs and a core ILC signature. We revealed and discuss transcripts that suggest previously unknown functions and developmental paths for ILCs.

The Immunological Genome (ImmGen) Project is a collaborative effort by immunologists and computational biologists who seek, through rigorously controlled protocols for data generation and analysis, to delineate gene-expression patterns of cell types across the immune system so as to better understand the immune response and comprehensively define its regulatory networks1. In this context, we investigated the global gene-expression profiles of innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) from mice following the ImmGen Project’s stringent standards1.

ILCs are non-T, non-B lymphocytes present throughout the body that show enrichment in frequency at mucosal surfaces2,3. Developing from an Id2+ common helper-like ILC progenitor4, three classes of ILCs, now known as ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3, have emerged that mirror helper T cells in both their cytokine-production profiles and their transcriptional circuitry2,3. Functionally, T-bet+ ILC1 cells respond to interleukin 12 (IL-12), IL-15 and IL-18 to produce interferon-γ (IFN-γ); GATA-3+ ILC2 cells react to IL-33, IL-25 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin to produce type 2 cytokines, including IL-5 and IL-13; and RORγt+ ILC3 cells are activated by IL-1 and IL-23 to produce IL-22 and/or IL-17. As innate sources of distinct cytokines, ILCs have roles in early defense against infections, modulation of the adaptive immune response, the development of lymphoid tissue, and repair and homeostasis of tissues2,3.

Whereas shared transcription factors, cell-surface markers and functional properties of cytokine production define classes, deviations in one or more categories by subpopulations define subsets of ILCs within the larger class. In the mouse, ILC2 seems to be the most homogeneous class, defined by expression of the IL-7 receptor (IL-7R; also called CD127), Sca-1 and the IL-33 receptor ST2. In comparison, at least five subsets of mouse ILC3 cells have been reported, four of which are found in the greatest numbers during steady state in the adult small intestine, and one in the adult large intestine. These include CD4+ and CD4- subsets of NKP46+ RORγt+ lymphoid tissue-inducer (Ltii)-like cells5; RORγt+ T-bet+ receptor Notch–dependent NKP46+ ILC3 cells5–9; a potential ILC3–ILC1 transitional subset that has downregulated RORγt, produces IFN-γ and expresses T-bet and larger amounts of the activating natural killer (NK) cell receptor NK1.1 compared to NKP46+ ILC3 cells (ex-RORγt+ ILC3 cells)10; and IL17-producing RORγt+NKP46+ ILC3 cells in the large intestine10.

ILC1 cells have the most complicated and controversial distinction, both of the ILC class itself and between subsets within the class. ILC1 cells and NK cells have functional similarities, mainly IFN-γ production, and share expression of T-bet and many cell-surface markers, such as Nkp46 and NK1.1. However, NK cells are currently thought to have more cytotoxic potential than ILC1 cells have. Surface expression of CD127 and the integrin subunit CD49a are used to distinguish ILC1 cells from NK cells in many but not all mouse tissues, as there is considerable diversity of ILC1 subsets among tissues2. Lineage-tracing experiments have shown that ILC1 cells and NK cells originate from distinct progenitors4,11, and mature NK cells are dependent on the transcription factor eomesodermin (Eomes), whereas ILC1 cells are not. However, immature NK cells also share many markers with ILC1 cells12 and lack expression of Eomes12,13.

The breadth of polarization and relationships among ILC subsets has remained incompletely understood. To better understand the functional differences between ILC classes and reported subsets within a class, we discriminated seven populations of ILCs in the small intestine lamina propria (siLP) (NK cells, ILC1 cells, ILC2 cells
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and four subsets of ILC3 cells); three additional subsets of ILC1 cells from liver, spleen and small intestine intraepithelial lymphocytes (siIELs); and two NK cell subsets from liver and spleen. Our findings provide a molecular definition of ILC classes and subsets, and also identify a core signature in ILCs distinct from that in NK cells.

We also identify novel targets for future investigation and generate a comprehensive, high-quality and publically available resource of ILC transcriptomes.

RESULTS

Analysis of ILC frequency and diversity

We isolated all reported ILC subsets in the siLP of 6-week-old C57BL/6 male mice. We isolated ILC2 cells from wild-type C57BL/6 mice and isolated all other subsets from RORγt-GFP reporter mice, which express enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) driven by the gene encoding RORγt. These subsets included CD127+ NK cells, CD127+ ILC1 cells, CD4+NKp46+ and CD4+NKp46− LTi-like ILC3 cells, NKp46+RORγt+ILC3 cells and NKp46+RORγtlo ILC3 cells (Fig. 1a).

Notably, NKp46+RORγt+ and NKp46+RORγtlo ILC3 cells cannot be discriminated by intracellular staining of RORγt in wild-type C57BL/6 mice, in which only one NKp46+RORγt+ subset is detectable; thus, the use of RORγt-GFP reporter mice provided the unique opportunity to profile RORγt+ and RORγt subsets, as described. As the RORγt+ ILC3 subset had higher expression of NK1.1 than RORγtlo ILC3 cells had (Supplementary Fig. 1a–c), we reasoned that this subset would show enrichment for converted or actively converting ‘ex-RORγt’ ILC3 cells. We also profiled liver CD49b+‘TRAIL’ NK cells and CD49b−‘TRAIL’ ILC1 cells and spleen CD127+ NK cells and CD27+CD127+ ILC1 cells (Fig. 1a), the last of which have been reported but have not previously been called ILC1 cells. Small intestine intraepithelial ILC1 cells were isolated from the intestinal epithelium as NK1.1+NKp46+ (Fig. 1a). These cells are phenotypically distinct from NK cells as a result of imprinting with transforming growth factor-β, although their developmental origin and transcriptional relationship to siLP ILC subsets remain unclear.

Cytospins showed that ILC subsets were morphologically pure lymphoid populations (Fig. 1b). We assessed the frequency of these 12 ILC subsets within the lymphocyte populations of the smaller intestine, liver and spleen in naïve mice (Fig. 1c). ILCs were most abundant in the siLP, followed by liver and spleen; siIEL populations had the lowest frequency of ILCs. Next we sorted these populations according to the ImmGen Project’s standardized protocol for data generation and analyzed gene expression by means of whole-mouse genome array. Principal-component analysis (PCA) showed a greater degree of diversity generated by ILC2 and ILC3 subsets than by ILC1 cells (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 1e). In hierarchical clustering, three pairs of ILC subsets were computationally indistinguishable (Fig. 1e): splenic and liver NK cells, RORγt+ and RORγtlo; NLKp46+ and NLKp46− ILC3 cells, and CD4+NKp46+ and CD4−NKP46+ LTi-like ILC3 cells were intermixed. All ILC1 subsets clustered separately from NK cells from the same tissue. However, siIEL ILC1 cells, siLP NK cells and siLP ILC1 cells clustered in a separate branch of the dendrogram from liver and spleen NK cells and ILC1 subsets. We concluded that beyond classical polarizations, environmental factors in the small intestine differentiated intestinal subsets from those in liver and spleen.

Expression profiles of individual ILC subsets

We assessed the gene-expression profile of each sorted subset (Fig. 2a–h) by identifying characteristic transcripts that were expressed at least twofold or fourfold higher in the index subset than in all other profiled subsets. Heat maps demonstrate the extent to which the identified transcripts were specifically expressed by the index subset (Fig. 2a–h). We found the greatest number of characteristic transcripts in the siLP ILC2 cells, with 100 transcripts showing expression more than twofold higher than in other subsets (Supplementary Table 1) and 34 transcripts showing expression more than fourfold higher than that in other subsets (Fig. 2a). These included expected transcripts, such as il13, il5, il4, il9r and il17rb (which encodes the IL-25 receptor)17–19, as well as several transcripts not previously shown to be expressed by ILC2 (to our knowledge), including Rxrg, Pparg, Mc5r, Dgat2 and Alox5 (Fig. 2a). The transcriptional repressor RXRγ, which binds the vitamin A metabolite 9-cis retinoic acid20, has not been previously described in ILC2 cells. However, vitamin A is known to directly inhibit ILC2 differentiation by an unknown mechanism21, which suggests that RXRγ may mediate this effect. We also found previously unrecognized expression of the gene encoding the transcription factor PPARγ (Fig. 2a), which forms heterodimers with repressor retinoid X receptors and transcriptionally mediates lipid homeostasis20. ILC2 cells expressed several other genes encoding molecules linked to lipid metabolism. These included genes encoding Dgat2, which mediates the final reaction of triglyceride synthesis; Mc5r, a receptor that causes lipid mobilization in adipocytes; and Alox5, a lipoxygenase that catalyzes synthesis of leukotriene A4 (Fig. 2a). ILC2 cells are known to regulate the cellular immune system within visceral adipose tissues22, but our data suggested that ILC2 cells might also directly sense lipids and produce lipid mediators.

NKp46+ LTi-like ILC3 subsets expressed the second greatest number of characteristic transcripts. As suggested by clustering analysis (Fig. 1e), CD4+ and CD4− LTi-like cells had overlapping gene-expression patterns. CD4+ LTi-like cells expressed only four transcripts more than twofold higher when compared to all other subsets, one of which was Cd4, encoding the monomorphic coreceptor CD4; in contrast, no transcripts had characteristic higher expression in CD4+ LTi-like ILC3 cells (Fig. 2b). However, 65 transcripts had expression more than twofold higher in both subsets together relative to other subsets (Supplementary Table 1), and 9 of these transcripts were expressed more than fourfold higher than in other subsets (Fig. 2b). Eight additional transcripts were expressed at least fourfold higher than in other subsets by either CD4+ LTi-like or CD4− LTi-like ILC3 cells, with differences in expression among LTi-like ILC3 subsets probably due to replicate variation (Fig. 2b). Transcripts expressed at higher levels by both LTi-like ILC3 subsets included those encoding the chemokine receptor CCR6, which has been used as a marker for LTi-like ILC3 cells27, and the chemokine receptor CXCR5 (Fig. 2b). We also found several genes not previously described in LTi-like ILC3 cells (to our knowledge), including Gucyl1a, Cntn1, Slc6a7, Cacna1g and Nrp1 (Fig. 2b). Gucyl1a encodes the α-subunit of the soluble guanylate cyclase receptor, which transduces signals from nitric oxide; however, we found no expression in LTi-like ILC3 cells of the other components of the guanylate cyclase receptor (data not shown). Cntn1, which encodes a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked member of the immunoglobulin family, is best known for its role in regulating axonal guidance and neural system development23 and has not previously been studied in an immune context. The L-proline transporter encoded by Slc6a7 and the voltage-gated calcium channel encoded by Cacna1g are similarly atypical and are not expressed in other cells of the immune system (data not shown). We concluded that LTi-like ILC3 cells specifically expressed several transcripts that are unique in the immune system, including those encoding putative factors involved in neural crosstalk.

The remaining ILC subsets had fewer candidate characteristic markers, probably because of multiple comparisons with other subsets in
the same class. As indicated by PCA, siLP NKp46+RORγth ILC3 cells and siLP NKp46+RORγtILC3 cells had overlapping gene-expression profiles. Sixteen transcripts showed expression that was twofold higher in NKp46+RORγth ILC3 cells than in all other profiled ILCs except NKp46+RORγtILC3 cells, although a heat map revealed that most of these genes were also expressed at lower levels by other siLP

Figure 1 Analysis of ILC frequency and diversity. (a) Sorting strategy for array analysis after gating on live CD45+ and CD3−CD19− cells. (b) Cytospins of cells using sorting strategies presented in a for each population (above and below images). Original length (of each panel), 14.6 µm. (c) Flow cytometry of cells from various tissues, showing the frequency of ILCs among the lymphocyte population. The gating strategy in a was used to distinguish CD3+ T cells, CD19+ B cells and ILCs within the same sample, except the siLP ILC2 cell marker KLRG1 and the spleen ILC1 cell marker CD27 were excluded and liver ILCs were distinguished with NKp46, CD49b and CD49a. T, T cell; B, B cell; NK, NK cell; SI, small intestine; Lv, liver; Sp, spleen. (d) PCA of gene expression by subsets of ILCs and NK cells. Numbers along axes indicate relative scaling of the principal variables. ImmGen nomenclature: spleen NK, NK.CD127−.Sp; liver NK, NK.CD19+; liver ILC1, ILC1.CD127−.Sp; liver ILC2, ILC2.CD127−.Sp; liver ILC3, ILC3.CD127−.Sp; spleen NK, NK.CD127−.Sp; spleen ILC1, ILC1.CD127−.Sp; spleen ILC2, ILC2.CD127−.Sp; spleen ILC3, ILC3.CD127−.Sp; CD4+ LTi-like ILC3, ILC3.NKp46+.CD4+ TLo.SI; CD4+ LTi-like ILC3, ILC3.NKp46+.CD4+ TLo.SI. Data are representative of two independent experiments (b,c) with n = 1–2 mice per tissue (b) or n = 2–4 mice per tissue (c) or are pooled from one to three experiments per sample with cells pooled from 3–5 mice each (d,e).
subsets (Fig. 2c). Liver and splenic ILC1 cells each expressed some characteristic transcripts with a change in expression of greater than twofold relative to their expression in all other subsets (Fig. 2d,e), but we found no transcripts with relative expression greater than twofold higher in siLP ILC1 cells. This suggested there were few characteristic factors expressed by individual ILC1 subsets among
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**Figure 2** Characteristic transcripts of individual ILC subsets. Transcripts upregulated more than fourfold (red font) or twofold (black font) in individual subsets (a, d–g), two similar subsets (b, f) or all subsets from siLP (i), based on pairwise comparisons between the index subset(s) (in bold above heat map) and all other subsets (full gene lists, Supplementary Table 1). For similar ILC3 cell subsets consisting of Nkp46+CD4+ LTi-like and CD4− LTi-like cells (b) or Nkp46+RORγt+ and Nkp46+RORγt− cells (c), some transcripts had expression that was more than fourfold higher (b) or twofold higher (c) in one subset but not the other; in this case, blue indicates shared transcripts expressed by both subsets. Gray (b, right margin) indicates four transcripts with twofold higher expression in CD4+ LTi-like ILC3 cells than in CD4− LTi-like ILC3 cells and fourfold higher expression in CD4+ LTi-like ILC3 cells than in all other subsets. ImmGen nomenclature as in Figure 1. Data are pooled from one to three experiments per sample with cells pooled from three to five mice each; two to three replicate samples are shown per subset.
all ILCs and NK cells. Unexpectedly, the only transcript that showed expression greater than twofold higher in siEL ILC1 cells compared to other ILC subsets was Itgae, which encodes CD103 (integrin αEβ7) (Fig. 2f). Human IEL ILC1 cells express CD10336, but it was not previously known to be expressed by mouse IEL ILC1 cells, which lack surface expression of CD103; this would suggest post-transcriptional regulation of CD103 in mouse IEL ILC1 cells. Focusing on NK cells, we identified four genes with expression that was twofold higher in siLP NK cells than in all ILCs (Fig. 2g). Splenic and liver NK cells expressed no characteristic transcripts. However, when we assessed both liver and spleen NK cells as a group, we found 25 transcripts with expression that was at least twofold higher than in all other subsets, although siLP NK cells, IEL ILC1 cells and splenic ILC1 cells also expressed these transcripts at lower levels (Fig. 2h). We concluded that within our dataset, the mRNA profiles of ILC2 cells and LTi-like ILC3 cells were unique, whereas the profiles of NKp46+ ILC3 cells, ILC1 cells and NK cells showed considerable overlap.

A transcriptional signature shared by all siLP subsets

We next sought to determine whether there were any transcripts that were expressed in all subsets from an individual tissue among siLP, liver and spleen. Given that siLP NK cells and ILC1 cells were found to cluster further from liver and spleen subsets by hierarchical clustering and PCA, we focused on the siLP (Fig. 1d,e). Pairwise comparisons of all subsets from the siLP with remaining subsets from liver and spleen revealed that all siLP subsets expressed a core 35-transcript signature (Fig. 2i), which included several transcription factor–encoding transcripts such as Rora, Atf3, Nr4a1, Maff, Epas1, Blhle40 and Per1. Furthermore, all siLP-resident ILCs had high expression of transcript encoding the activation marker Cd69 and varied expression of Csf2, which encodes the cytokine GM-CSF. Although the production of GM-CSF by ILC2 cells18, ILC3 cells24,25 and NK cells26 is known, its production by ILC1 cells has not been reported, to our knowledge. Thus, ILC subsets in the siLP seemed to be more activated than ILCs in other tissues, probably because of their constant exposure to varied environmental signals from the microbiome and incoming nutrients, including well-documented transcriptional activators such as vitamin A21,27 and ligands of the transcription factor AhR (aryl hydrocarbon receptor)6,28.

Transcription factors, cytokines and chemokines of ILC subsets

To address broad patterns of gene expression among ILC subsets and classes, we investigated the expression of previously reported and other (unreported) transcription factors, chemokines, cytokines and other secreted factors. The transcription factors with the highest relative expression included the well-documented ILC-defining Id2 (encoded by Id2), the ILC3 class–defining RORγt (encoded by Rorci), the ILC1- and NKp46+ ILC3–defining T-bet (encoded by Tbx21) and the NK cell–defining Eomes (encoded by Eomes) (Fig. 3a). ILC2 cells showed higher expression of the ILC2–defining transcription factors Gata3 (encoded by Gata3) and RORα (encoded by Rora), but these were also expressed by all ILCs (Fig. 3a), consistent with an early role in ILC development, at least for Gata3 (ref. 19). Nfil3, which encodes the transcription factor Nfil3 (also known as E4BP4), is required for the development of NK cells29,30, ILC2 cells and ILC3 cells31,32, and has its highest expression in siLP subsets; NKp46+ ILC3 cells, ILC1 cells and NK cells showed the highest Nfil3 transcript levels, followed by LTi-like ILC3 and ILC2 cells, whereas much lower Nfil3 transcript levels were present in liver and spleen ILC1 and NK cells (Fig. 3a). Furthermore, two transcription factors identified in the siLP signature, ATF3 (encoded by Atf3) and Nur77 (encoded by Nr4a1) (Fig. 2i), were expressed at levels similar to those of lineage-defining transcription factors (Fig. 3a). Collectively, these data suggested a substantial role for the intestinal microenvironment in the expression of certain transcription factors, which might subsequently have diverging roles among ILC classes. Analysis of chemokines and their receptors (Fig. 3b), as well as of cytokines and their receptors (Fig. 3c), revealed both shared and distinct expression patterns. Beyond the known signature cytokine and chemokine circuits, we identified a candidate feed-forward loop for ILC2 cells, which expressed both the chemokine receptor Ccr8 and its ligand Ccl1 (Fig. 3b). We also identified ILC2 cell expression of Bmp7 and Bmp2, the latter of which encodes a protein known to modulate intestinal peristalsis by binding the BMP receptor on enteric neurons33.

In addition, we found expression of Il2 in several ILC populations (Fig. 3c), which suggested that ILCs might be able to activate T cells or other ILCs through signaling via its receptor, IL-2R.

Shared and distinct expression profiles among siLP subsets

We next focused our analysis of transcriptional profiles on the four major CD127+ ILC subsets within the siLP: ILC1 cells, ILC2 cells, NKp46+ RORγt+ ILC3 cells and CD4+ LTi-like ILC3 cells (Fig. 3d). Comparison of siLP ILC subsets revealed overlapping patterns of gene expression that were not identified in individual subset signatures (Fig. 3d and Supplementary Table 2). For example, ILC2 cells and LTi-like ILC3 cells shared 17 transcripts, including Arg1 and Ret. Arginase-1 (encoded by Arg1) marks fetal and adult ILCs and facilitates the identification of developing ILCs in the siLP34. The receptor tyrosine kinase encoded by Ret is also expressed by fetal CD11c+ lymphoid tissue initiator cells and is required for the development of Peyer’s patches35, but it has not, to our knowledge, been previously reported to be expressed by fetal or adult ILCs, including LTi or LTi-like ILC3 cells. Together these results suggested that, at least in fetal mice, ILC2 cells and LTi-like ILC3 cells share a common progenitor34, although their functional relevance in adult siLP ILCs remains to be investigated. ILC1 cells and ILC2 cells shared 19 transcripts, including Ets2. Ets-1 and Ets-2 interact with proteins of the Id family, and whereas Ets-1 has been linked to the early development of NK cells36, Ets-2 has not. Thus, Ets-2 might be relevant to the development or maintenance of ILC1 and ILC2 cells.

NKp46+ and LTi-like ILC3 cells have long been known to share many characteristics, owing to their mutual production of IL-22 and expression of RORγt. However, NKp46+ ILC3 cells and NKp46+ ILC1 cells shared higher relative expression of a greater number of transcripts (Tbx21, Ilf4g and Il12rb) than did NKp46+ ILC3 cells and LTi-like ILC3 cells (Fig. 3d and Supplementary Table 2). Although T-bet is required for the development of NKp46+ ILC3 cells8–10,37, these cells produced little IFN-γ in response to IL-12 and IL-23 (data not shown). Because IFN-γ is well documented as being post-transcriptionally regulated25, the presence of the Ifng transcript in NKp46+ ILC3 cells suggested that T-bet might be sufficient to induce transcription but that other factors are needed for protein production, such as bacterial infections in vivo. In addition to their shared transcripts, NKp46+ ILC3 cells and NKp46+ ILC1 cells had significantly different expression (by greater than twofold) of 213 genes, with the genes upregulated in NKp46+ ILC3 cells including many genes that were also expressed at higher levels by LTi-like ILC3 cells (Fig. 3e). Thus, NKp46+ ILC3 cells had a transcriptional profile with characteristics intermediate between those of NKp46+ LTi-like ILC3 cells and NKp46+ ILC1 cells, which might enable functional plasticity. Their functional polarization toward ILC3 or ILC1 cells probably depends on the tissue microenvironment.
As discussed above, a pairwise comparison did not identify characteristic transcripts in siLP ILC1 cells compared to all profiled ILC subsets. However, in comparisons only to siLP ILC2 cells and ILC3 subsets, we found 75 transcripts expressed at least twofold higher in ILC1 cells (Fig. 3d). For example, ILC1 cells demonstrated a greater cytotoxic capacity, as indicated by their expression of Gzma and Prf1 (Fig. 3d), which respectively encode granzyme A and perforin, although this might have been due to an imperfect distinction between siLP ILC1 cells and NK cells (discussed below). ILC1 cells also had substantial expression of Il21r, which encodes a member of the common γ-chain cytokine family (Fig. 3d). When we included an additional comparison between ILC1 cells and NK cells in the siLP, we found that ILC1 cells expressed only four transcripts at least twofold higher than other siLP subsets: Gpr55, Tra1, Mmp9 and Cpne7 (Supplementary Table 2). Thus, although ILC1 cells from the small intestine were more like NK cells than were other ILC subsets from the small intestine, they showed no obvious characteristic markers when we included NK cells in our comparisons.

Figure 3 Spectrum of distinct and shared transcriptional profiles among ILC subsets. (a) Heat map of the expression of selected transcription factors, normalized by expression within the entire heat map. (b,c) Heat maps of the expression of selected chemokine receptors and ligands (b) and of cytokine receptors and ligands (c), normalized by expression within each row. (d) Transcripts upregulated by a single subset or two subsets among selected siLP ILCs (colors in plot match key; full gene lists, Supplementary Table 2). Numbers in plot indicate transcripts upregulated by at least twofold in that subset (colors match key). (e) Comparison of gene expression in RORγt+ NKp46+ ILC3 cells (n = 3 replicates) versus that in ILC1 cells (n = 2 replicates) by volcano plot; numbers in corners indicate transcripts significantly upregulated by at least twofold. P ≤ 0.05 (t-test). ImmGen nomenclature as in Figure 1. Data are pooled from one to three (a–c) or one to two (d,e) experiments per sample with cells pooled from three to five mice each; two to three replicate samples are shown per subset (a–c).
Defining novel transcripts within ILC3 cells

Pairwise comparison of all ILC3 subsets versus other profiled cells revealed a 42-transcript ILC3 cell signature (Fig. 4a). This signature included well-studied transcripts such as Il23r, Rorc and Il22, as well as several molecules previously unreported to be expressed by ILC3 cells, such as Praml1, a target of retinoic acid and activator of the kinase Jnk. This result supported the role for retinoic acid in the development of ILC3 cells21,27. Notably, Il17 was not among the transcripts with expression more than twofold higher in ILC3 cells than in other ILCs, nor was it expressed uniquely by any individual ILC3 cell subset (Fig. 2b,c). This result suggested that Il-17 was not a major product of ILC3 cells in the small intestine, at least in young adult mice at steady state.

Comparison of the major adult LTi-like population, CD4+ LTi-like ILC3 cells, with NKp46+RORγt ILC3 cells revealed a total of 508 genes with a significant difference in expression of greater than twofold (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Table 3). Among the transcripts most highly and most significantly upregulated by CD4+ LTi-like ILC3 cells, we found Nrp1. Also identified as part of our LTi-like ILC3 cell signature (Fig. 2b). Nrp1 is a coreceptor for several ligands, including the immunoregulatory factor VEGF, transforming growth factor-β1 and semaphorins, and may have a function in negatively regulating the immune response, in part through enhanced survival of regulatory T cells39. It is also one of a few markers that distinguish natural regulatory T cells from peripherally generated mucosa-derived induced regulatory T cells39,40. However, to our knowledge, until now Nrp1 has never been reported to be expressed by LTi-like ILC3 cells. We confirmed by flow cytometry that Nrp1 was expressed in greater amounts in LTi-like ILC3 cells than in other siLP subsets (Fig. 4c). We also found higher expression of CD25 protein in LTi-like ILC3 cells than in other siLP subsets (Fig. 4c). Gating on Nrp1+CD25+ cells among CD3−CD19− siLP lymphocytes resulted in a cell population with considerable enrichment for LTi-like ILC3 cells (Fig. 4d) and production of IL-22 in naive IL-22 reporter mice41 (Fig. 4e).
ILC-like ILC3 cells, distinctions in gene expression between CD4+ and CD4− subsets were not robust and probably do not have functional significance (Fig. 4f), although they might reflect different developmental lineages. Similarly, comparison of siLP NKp46+RORγtlo ILC3 cells with siLP NKp46+RORγthi ILC3 cells revealed substantial overlap in gene expression, with few significant differences (Fig. 4g and Supplementary Table 3). The greater expression of NK cell–like transcripts such as Ccr5 and Ki67 in siLP RORγtlo ILC3 cells than in siLP RORγthi ILC3 cells suggested that the population of siLP RORγtlo ILC3 cells might have included a minor ILC3 cell population ‘converting’ into ex-RORγt+ ILC3 cells. Robust identification of ‘converting’ ILC3 cells can be established only through fate mapping experiments, as has been described.

Transcriptional differences between ILC1 cells and NK cells

One subject that has been particularly controversial is the difference between ILC1 cells and NK cells, in part because of a lack of markers characteristically and consistently expressed in NK cells and ILC1 cells in various organs. We used different sorting strategies in each tissue to discriminate between ILC1 cells and NK cells, consistent with what has been reported before. We achieved the best separation of sub-sets in the liver and the worst such separation in the siLP (Fig. 5a). Comparisons of NK cells and ILC1 cells from liver, spleen and siLP reflected the degree of separation between populations during sorting, with the greatest number of significantly differently expressed genes in the liver and the least in the siLP. Nonetheless, ILC1 cells clustered together (Fig. 1c) and were transcriptionally distinct from NK cells in liver, spleen and siLP (Fig. 5b–d and Supplementary Table 4). As expected, Eomes expression was significantly different, with a difference in expression of greater than twofold in NK cells relative to its expression in ILC1 cells in all tissues analyzed (Fig. 5b–d and Supplementary Table 4). The amount of transcripts encoding proteins of the cytotoxic machinery was generally greater in NK cells than in ILC1 cells (Fig. 5b–d). However, in the siLP, the number of perforin-encoding transcripts was only 1.7-fold greater in NK cells than in ILC1 cells, and in the liver, only granzyme K was expressed at higher levels by NK cells than by ILC1 cells (Supplementary Table 4). In fact, granzyme A and granzyme C had higher expression in liver ILC1 cells than in liver NK cells (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Table 4), consistent with the reported cytolytic activity of liver ILC1 cells.

Liver and spleen ILC1 cells selectively expressed transcripts encoding known ILC1 cell–surface markers. In liver ILC1 cells, these transcripts included Iga1 and Tnfrsf110 (Fig. 5b), which encode CD49a and TRAIL, markers that have been used for separating liver ILC1 cells from NK cells. Splenic ILC1 cells had high expression of IL2ra and IL7r (Fig. 5c), which encode cytokine receptors that collectively enable this population to escape regulation by regulatory T cells. The transcripts with the most significant higher expression in siLP ILC1 cells than in siLP NK cells included only previously uncharacterized transcripts such as Tmem64, Npas2 and Lmo4; however, these transcripts were expressed in other ILCs of the small intestine (Fig. 5d) and therefore probably would not be useful markers. Comparison of splenic and liver ILC1 cell subsets revealed that splenic ILC1 cells expressed markers of immature and mature NK cells, including CXCR4, c-Kit and Eomes (Fig. 5e). These differences in transcription could be explained by the finding that our sorting strategy based on CD127 and CD27 included an Eomes+ subset among the splenic ILC1 cells (Fig. 5f). We also noted that the siLP NK cell subset identified by
Figure 6 Generation of a core ILC signature distinct from that of NK cells. (a) Transcripts expressed differently by ILC1 cells relative to their expression by NK cells from various tissues (colors in plot indicate transcripts upregulated by two or three subsets and match those in key); numbers in plot indicate transcripts with a significant (P ≤ 0.05; t-test) difference in expression of at least twofold in liver and spleen ILC1 and NK cells (n = 3 replicates each) or with an additional filter for difference in expression of at least twofold in siLP ILC1 cells versus NK cells (n = 2 replicates each). (b) Gene signatures generated according to data in a (colors on left match those in a); red font indicates transcripts upregulated by at least fourfold in all comparisons of ILC1 cells versus NK cells (full gene lists, Supplementary Tables 5 and 6). (c) Extracellular and intracellular staining of TCRδ on (or in) ILCs and NK cells (electronically gated as in Fig. 1c, except splenocytes were positively selected with beads coated with anti-CD49b) and IEL γδ T cells (positive control), assessed by flow cytometry; for intracellular staining, phycoerythrin-conjugated monoclonal antibody to TCRδ was used to stain IELs extracellularly before intracellular staining with fluorescein isothiocyanate–conjugated anti-TCRδ. Numbers in outlined areas (gates) indicate percent TCRδ+ cells (outline colors (red and blue) match those in keys above plots), except IEL γδ cells, which are shown as a percentage of CD45+ cells. (d) Frequency of CXCR6+ cells in each ILC subset from Cxcr6GFP+/– reporter mice. Each symbol represents an individual mouse (three or four per genotype per tissue); small horizontal lines indicate the mean ± sem. *P ≤ 0.05 (one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with multiple comparisons). (e) Frequency of CXCR6+ cells in each ILC subset from Cxcr6GFP+/– reporter mice. Each symbol represents an individual mouse (three or four per genotype per tissue); small horizontal lines indicate the mean ± sem. *P ≤ 0.05 (one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with multiple comparisons). (f) Frequency of ILCs in Cxcr6GFP+/– and Cxcr6GFP+/+ mice. All comparisons are not significant (two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons). (g) Gene expression in siLP ILC1 cells, siLP NK cells and siEL ILC1 cells; colors indicate transcripts upregulated by one or two subsets (match key above plot). Data are representative of one to three experiments per sample with cells pooled from three to five mice each (a,b,g; n = 2–3 replicates each); independent experiments (c,d) or three independent experiments (e,f) error bars, mean ± sem of three or four mice per genotype per tissue.)
cell-surface markers contained a large population of Eomes\textsuperscript{−} cells (Fig. 5f). Thus, NK cells and ILC1 cells could not be discriminated on the basis of CD127 and/or CD27 in the spleen and siLP. The use of Eomes\textsuperscript{EGFP} reporter mice might be useful in future attempts to better discriminate NK cells from ILC1 cells, as has been described\textsuperscript{4,42}.

A core, NK cell–distinct ILC signature
If ILC1 cells are different from NK cells as a class, we reasoned that there should be transcripts common among ILC1 cells from all tissues that differ from those of NK cell subsets, and vice versa (Fig. 6a). Spleen and liver ILC1 cells shared expression of genes previously reported to be expressed by ILC1 cells,\textsuperscript{8,13,15,42,43} such as Tnfsf10, Tnf and Il2 (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Table 5), although Il2 was filtered out by our methods because of variability between replicates (Fig. 3c). Transcripts with higher expression in NK cell subsets included Eomes, Ilgam (which encodes Cd11b (integrin \(\alpha\)\textsubscript{5}) and members of the Kfra family of genes (which encode receptors of the Ly49 family) (Supplementary Table 5). This NK cell–specific signature was consistent with that identified in a more limited data set comparing NK cells with ILC1 cells and ex-ROR\gamma\textsuperscript{+} ILC3 cells from the siLP\textsuperscript{4}. Visualization of genes expressed differently in the liver, spleen and siLP for the entire data set revealed that with few exceptions, genes upregulated in ILC1 cells relative to expression in NK cells had even higher expression in many other ILC subsets (Fig. 6b). Genes upregulated in all NK cells were consistently not expressed in other ILCs (Fig. 6b), except for low transcript levels in ILC1 cells. The transcripts with the highest expression in ILCs relative to their expression in NK cells (Fig. 6a) were Tcrg-V3, Tmem176a, Tmem176b, Il7r and Cxcr6 (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Table 6). The high expression of several TCRg transcripts by all ILC subsets compared to NK cells (Fig. 6a,b) was unexpected, as ILCs by definition do not express recombinant antigen receptors. We first used flow cytometry to confirm that no T cell antigen receptor (TCR) \(\delta\)-chains were expressed in any of our cell types, either extracellularly or intracellularly (Fig. 6c and Supplementary Fig. 2a); this suggested that they lacked functional TCR\gamma\delta expression. Furthermore, from a published RNA-sequencing data set that included liver ILC1 cells, liver NK cells and spleen NK cells from mice deficient in recombination-activating gene 1 (Rag1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice)\textsuperscript{33}, we found high levels of the 3’ end of the locus encoding TCR\gamma, annotated as the ‘Tcrg C4’ transcript, present in liver ILC1 cells but not in NK cells from liver or spleen (Fig. 6d). By PCR, we confirmed that the transcript encoding TCR\gamma-V3 was a germline transcript (data not shown). As cytokines IL-7 and IL-15, which activate the transcription factor STAT5, are well known to mediate germline expression of the locus encoding TCR\gamma\textsuperscript{44,45}, we concluded that ILCs had open chromatin at the locus encoding TCR\gamma and germline transcription, probably due to signaling through IL-7. We also assessed the ability to use Cxcr6 as an ILC marker through the use of a Cxcr6\textsuperscript{EGFP} reporter mouse. Although we found significant differences between ILC1 and NK cell populations in their frequency of Cxcr6\textsuperscript{+} cells (Fig. 6e and Supplementary Fig. 2b), not all ILCs were labeled (Fig. 6e and Supplementary Fig. 2b). Additionally, at steady state, Cxcr6 was not required for the development or tissue homing of ILCs, as we found no difference between Cxcr6\textsuperscript{EGFP}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice and Cxcr6\textsuperscript{EGFP}\textsuperscript{EGFP} mice in their frequency of ILCs (Fig. 6f).

Finally, we sought to determine whether intestinal intraepithelial ILC1 cells should be classified as an ILC1 or NK cell population, as this population has unique transcription factors and cell-surface markers that prevent it from fitting clearly into an ILC1 or NK cell designation\textsuperscript{16}. Comparisons of IEL ILC1 cells, siLP ILC1 cells and siLP NK cells revealed that IEL ILC1 cells expressed transcripts characteristic of both NK cell and ILC1 populations (Fig. 6g). Whereas the NK cell signature transcripts Eomes and KlrA3 (Fig. 6b) had higher expression by IEL ILC1 cells than siLP ILC1 cells, the ILC1 signature transcripts Tcrg-V2 and Tcrg-V3 (Fig. 6b) were more abundant in IEL ILC1 cells than in siLP NK cells (Fig. 6g). It remains unclear whether IEL ILC1 cells are a single, unique subset with distinct developmental and functional characteristics, or whether siLP NK cells and ILC1 cells both traffic to the epithelium, where they become phenotypically indistinguishable, possibly in response to tissue factors in the epithelium.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we have provided the first comprehensive transcriptional analysis of the spectrum of ILC subsets reported in the siLP, liver and spleen, to our knowledge. The transcriptional programs we found should allow better definition of the individual ILC classes, as well as of ILC subsets within a class. ILC2 was the most homogeneous and distinguishable ILC class and expressed the greatest number of characteristic genes, with many transcripts expressed more than fourfold higher than in any other subset. These genes encoded well-documented factors as well as previously unrecognized genes, including the nuclear receptors Rxa\gamma and Ppar\gamma and several other molecules involved in lipid metabolism. Within the ILC3 class, Lti-like ILC3 cells expressed several characteristic genes at higher levels than in other subsets, including genes previously unknown to be expressed in cells of the immune system, including Cntn1, Sla6a7 and Cnca1g. These cells were distinct from Nkp46\textsuperscript{+} ILC3 cells and were effectively marked by the previously unreported Lti-like factor Nrp1, as well as by CD25. The definition of the ILC1 class was the most problematic, because in comparisons of ILC1 cells with all other ILCs and NK cells, we found no markers specific for siLP ILC1 cells and few for liver and spleen ILC1 cells. The transcript encoding CD103 was an unexpected characteristic transcript for siEL ILC1 cells, given that it was not present as CD103 protein in this subset (Fig. 6g). It remains unclear whether IEL ILC1 cells are a single, unique subset with distinct developmental and functional characteristics, or whether siLP NK cells and ILC1 cells both traffic to the epithelium, where they become phenotypically indistinguishable, possibly in response to tissue factors in the epithelium.

Beyond the unique factors, ILC classes also shared many transcripts. For example, siLP Nkp46\textsuperscript{+} Rora\gamma\textsuperscript{+} ILC3 cells shared many transcripts with siLP ILC1 cells, including Ifng and Il12rb2. These data, which were consistent with published reports\textsuperscript{4,14}, provide a basis for the proposal of functional plasticity of siLP Nkp46\textsuperscript{+} Rora\gamma\textsuperscript{+} ILC3 cells, which become similar to ILC1 cells in certain conditions yet to be defined. Furthermore, ILC1 cells and ILC2 cells shared expression of the transcription factor–encoding transcript Ets-2, which would suggest previously unknown transcriptional pathways shared by ILC1 cells and ILC2 cells. Notably, ILC2 cells and Lti-like ILC3 cells expressed genes that would suggest a function in neural and glial crosstalk. ILC2 cells expressed Bmp2, which encodes a molecule that has been found to modulate enteric motility in response to the microbiota\textsuperscript{33}, given the importance of motility in clearing helminth infections, this observation might indicate a previously unknown mechanism of innate defense. We discovered that both ILC2 cells and Lti-like ILC3 cells also expressed Ret, a proto-oncogene that encodes a receptor for the glia-derived neurotrophic family of molecules, which are known to drive the development of Peyer’s patches\textsuperscript{37} but currently remain unstudied in ILCs. Thus, in the siLP, ILCs may engage in crosstalk with neurons and glia in the steady state and during an immune response.

We generated a core ILC signature that included 17 genes, with the highest expression of germline transcripts encoding TCR\gamma, as well as Cxcr6, Tmem176a, Tmem176b and Il7r. We were surprised to find that
the gene with the highest expression by all ILCs relative to its expression in NK cells was the TCRγ-V3 germline transcript, which has not been previously reported in ILCs, to our knowledge, but has been reported in a putative ILC3 cell line.46 We postulate that this might reflect signaling by IL-7R, which is expressed by all ILCs but not by mature NK cells.44 In our study, we confirmed that all ILCs had higher expression of Cxcr6 than did NK cells. Two published studies have also investigated CXCR6 expression in ILCs. The first study demonstrated that a CXCR6+ early progenitor gives rise to both NK cells and ILCs but not T cells.47 The second found that CXCR6 deficiency ‘preferentially’ affects the frequency and function of Nkp46+ ILC3 cells by preventing appropriate interactions with CD11b+ intestinal dendritic cells.48 We found that CXCR6 did not mark the entire population, nor were any ILC frequencies affected by its loss; however, it is possible that loss of CXCR6 affects ILC function, and this should be tested in all ILC classes. Notably, Tmem176b has been reported to be a marker of innate lymphocytes as one of only three transcripts shared by NK cells, NKT cells and γδ T cells, although in our data set we found that ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3 cells had significantly higher expression of Tmem176b than did NK cells. These data suggest that the core ILC signature identified here may also extend to other innate and/or tissue-resident lymphoid cells.

IFN-γ-producing ILC1 cells and NK cells can develop from different progenitors and are, respectively, independent of and dependent on Eomes.4,11 However, in tissues they show overlapping phenotypes and functional programs. NK cells are well known to have cytolytic ability, but liver ILC1 cells express granzyme A and granzyme C and have also been shown to be cytolytic.42,43,50 In our study, liver ILC1 cells were clearly separated by TRAIL and CD49a, but cell-surface markers of CD127 with and without CD27 in the spleen and sILP, respectively, were insufficient to discriminate Eomes− ILC1 cells from Eomes+ NK cells. Thus, transcriptional data generated using Eomes reporter mice might be useful for comparison to our data set.4,42 Moreover, the induction of cytokines such as IL-15 and/or IL-2 in certain pathologic conditions might further increase the phenotypic and functional similarity of ILC1 cells and NK cells. Thus, it remains unclear whether ILC1 cells and NK cells are truly distinct lineages or a spectrum of cells within a single lineage that includes ILC1 cells, immature NK cells and mature NK cells.

Our data offer the most complete transcriptional profile of ILCs and NK cells so far, to our knowledge, and provide a comprehensive view of the relationships among ILC subsets at steady state. Our findings should help define new avenues of research and should aid in the production of new tools for studying ILCs, especially with the identification of several molecular targets with high expression by all ILCs. Finally, they should also be a valuable resource for the scientific community, with access to our data set and comparisons to other published data sets generated under the same rigorous conditions, provided by the ImmGen Project.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. GEO: microarray data, GSE37448.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Mice. Consistent with ImmGen Project standards, 6- to 8-week-old male C57BL/6J mice and male B6.129P2(Cg)-Rorc<sup>tm2Litt</sup>/J mice (with the sequence encoding enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) inserted into the locus encoding RORγt) obtained from Jackson Laboratories and maintained at the Washington University School of Medicine (WUSM) under specific pathogen-free conditions were used for cell-sorting and validation experiments. B6.129P2-Cxcr6<sup>tm1Litt</sup>/J mice with the sequence encoding EGFP inserted into the locus encoding CXCR6, obtained from Jackson Laboratories, were used for validation experiments in which mice were littermates or age-matched, gender-matched and co-housed when possible. II-22 tdTomato reporter BAC transgenic mice were used for validation experiments. The WUSM Animal Studies Committee approved all experiments.

Antibodies and flow cytometry. Anti-CD3e (145-2C11), anti-CD19 (eBio1D3), anti-CD27 (LG.7F9), anti-CD49b (HMa2, DX5), anti-γδ (UC7-13D5; eBioGL3), anti-CD127 (A7R34; eBioSB/199), anti-CD49a (HMa1), anti-Nkp46 (29A1.4), anti-CD304 (3DS304M), anti-NK1.1 (PK136), anti-Scal (D7), anti-ST2 (RMST2-2), anti-TRA1-81 (eBioN2B2), anti-CD4 (GK1.5), anti-KLRG1 (2F1), anti-RORγt (AFKJS-9), anti-Eomes (Dan11mag), SAv-PE/Cy7, and isotype-matched control monoclonal antibodies were obtained from eBioscience. Anti-CD25 (PC9), anti-CD45 (30-F11) was from Miltenyi Biotec. LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua and SAv-APC were from Life Technologies. Monoclonal anti-NKp46 (G96; rat immunoglobulin G) was produced and biotinylated in the Colonna lab at WUSM. Fc receptors were blocked before surface staining with supernatant from hybridoma cells producing monoclonal antibody to CD32 (HB-197; ATCC). For intracellular staining, the FOXP3 staining kit (eBioscience) was used. Data were acquired on a BD FACScan II and analyzed with FlowJo software (Treestar).

Cell identification, isolation and microscopy. All cells were stained and sorted according to the published standard operations protocol on the ImmGen website (http://www.immgen.org/Protocols/ImmGen%20Cell%20prep%20and%20sorting%20SOP.pdf). Cells were isolated from 3 to 15 mice per sample. For isolation of siLP and siEL, small intestine beginning half a centimeter after the pylorus and ending half a centimeter before the cecum with Peyer’s patches removed was dissociated using the Miltenyi Lamina Propria Dissociation kit, which includes two dithiothreitol/EDTA washes and an enzymatic digestion step of 30 min. For liver and spleen isolation, mice were perfused with 20 mL of cold PBS, and tissue was dissociated through a 70 μm cell strainer. Lymphocytes were enriched at the interface between a gradient of 40% and 70% Percoll in HBSS. siELs were further preenriched by negative selection with anti-CD8 MicroBeads (Miltenyi). Liver and spleen were pre-enriched by negative selection with anti-CD19 and anti-CD4 MicroBeads (Miltenyi). Cells were double-sorted directly into TRIzol with a Becton-Dickinson FACSAria II. The data browser of the ImmGen Project website shows flow cytometry plots from gating strategies and purity from the first round of sorting. Cytosines were prepared according to the same ImmGen standards, except from only one to three mice; splenic samples were positively pre-enriched with DX5-coated beads (Miltenyi). Sorted cells were spun onto slides, left to dry overnight, and then fixed and stained with Diff-Quik. Pictures were taken at ×60 with oil immersion using a Nikon Eclipse E800 and Leica Application Suite software.

Microarray and data analysis. Two to three replicates of RNA were obtained from each sample that passed quality control. RNA amplification and hybridization to the Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 ST array were carried out by ImmGen with a standardized TRIzol extraction protocol (https://www.immgen.org/Protocols/Total%20RNA%20Extraction%20with%20TRizol.pdf). Data generation and quality-control documentation also followed the ImmGen protocol; these methods can be found online (https://www.immgen.org/Protocols/ImmGen%20QC%20Documentation_ALL-DataGeneration_0612.pdf), along with quality-control data, replicate information, and batch information from each sample. Data were analyzed with GenePattern software (Broad Institute). Raw data were normalized with RMA. Differences in gene expression were identified with the Multiplet Studio function of GenePattern, from a filtered subset of genes with coefficients of variation less than 0.1 in all samples and expression of at least 120 relative units in one subset by the class mean functions, a value that corresponds to 95% confidence of true expression across the ImmGen data set. For gene-expression signatures of individual subsets, pairwise comparisons were made between subsets, filtering for a change in expression of twofold or fourfold. For comparisons of two to four samples, probe sets were considered to have differences of expression for transcripts that expressed >120 relative units, with a change in expression of greater than twofold and P values of <0.05 (Student’s t-test), except between siLP ILC1 and siLP NK cells, where the P value was not considered. Volcano plots and plots comparing change in expression (fold) versus change in expression (fold) were produced in Multiplot, and the degree of overlapping genes between subsets was calculated in MATLAB. Heat maps were generated with Gene-E (http://www.broadinstitute.org/cancer/software/GENE-E/). Data were log<sub>2</sub>-transformed and visualized by ‘relative’ expression per row or ‘global’ expression in the heat map, as indicated, and rows were clustered with the Hierarchical Clustering function with the Pearson correlation as a metric. Where more than one probe set with the same gene annotation was found, the probe set with highest average expression was used. For PCA, the top 10% of the most variable probe sets was calculated with the PopulationDistances PCA program (S. Davis, Harvard Medical School). This program identifies differently expressed genes through ANOVA using the geometric standard deviation of populations to weight genes that vary in multiple populations. The data set for the top 10% of genes with the most variability was log<sub>2</sub>-transformed in MATLAB and used to generate a PCA with the functions pca and scatter3. Hierarchical clustering of sample replicates was carried out in Gene-E from the same data set, with the Pearson correlation used as a metric. For RNA sequencing analysis, data from GEO accession code GSE52943 were visualized in Integrative Genomics Viewer and aligned to the mm9 National Center for Biotechnology Information assembly of the mouse genome, as described.

Statistics. Prism (GraphPad Software) was used for statistical analysis of flow cytometry data. Data were tested using either one-way ANOVA or two-way ANOVA, as indicated.
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