Decoherence and CPT Violation in a Stringy Model of Space-Time Foam

Abstract I discuss a model inspired from the string/brane framework, in which our Universe is represented (after perhaps appropriate compactification) as a three brane, propagating in a bulk space time punctured by D0-brane (D-particle) defects. As the D3-brane world moves in the bulk, the D-particles cross it, and from an effective observer on D3 the situation looks like a “space-time foam” with the defects “flashing” on and off (“D-particle foam”). The open strings, with their ends attached on the brane, which represent matter in this scenario, can interact with the D-particles on the D3-brane universe in a topologically non-trivial manner, involving splitting and capture of the strings by the D0-brane defects. Such processes are consistently described by logarithmic conformal field theories on the world-sheet of the strings. Physically, they result in effective decoherence of the string matter on the D3 brane, and as a result, of CPT Violation, but of a type that implies an ill-defined nature of the effective CPT operator. Due to electric charge conservation, only electrically neutral (string) matter can exhibit such interactions with the D-particle foam. This may have unique, experimentally detectable (in principle), consequences for electrically-neutral entangled quantum matter states on the brane world, in particular the modification of the pertinent Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) Correlation in neutral mesons in an appropriate meson factory. For the simplest scenarios, the order of magnitude of such effects might lie within the sensitivity of upgraded $\phi$-meson factories.
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1 Introduction

In the recent decade, several authors \cite{1,2} in the physics community, in an attempt to discuss quantum gravity scenarios, have considered speculative theoretical models which violate or are characterised by modified Lorentz symmetry in space time and, as a result, their quantum versions (wherever they exist) may exhibit CPT-symmetry breakdown. CPT invariance is guaranteed by a mathematical theorem \cite{3} applicable to flat-space-time relativistic quantum field theories, which respect unitarity, locality and Lorentz symmetry. As discussed in ref. \cite{4}, Lorentz invariance is a fundamental reason to guarantee CPT conservation. If CPT is violated, then Lorentz symmetry must have been violated, but not vice versa, in other words one might have terms in an effective local field theory, for instance the so-called Standard Model Extension \cite{1}, that violate Lorentz symmetry but not CPT. But the CPT Violating terms in the Lagrangian are necessarily Lorentz Violating.

All the above-mentioned features are based on the local effective lagrangian formalism, with the Lorentz- and/or CPT -Violating interactions being represented by appropriate local (but higher-dimensional, non renormalizable) operators, suppressed by appropriate negative powers of the effective mass scale that characterises the relevant set of degrees of freedom. We should remark at this stage that this may not be necessarily that of quantum gravity $M_{\text{QG}} \sim 10^{19}$ GeV, although in many theories is. For instance, in models of quantum electrodynamics \cite{5} in a classical curved background, the integration of electron loops gave terms in the effective lagrangian, coupling the Maxwell field-strength tensor to appropriate curvature tensors, which have been suppressed by the mass of the electron that played the rôle of the effective scale in this case. Of course, such models did not consider quantum fluctuations of the gravitational fields, but nevertheless the presence of curvature violated flat-space Lorentz invariance. This is the reason why I brought this example up. Since we do not understand microscopically as yet the reason for possible Lorentz Violation in an effective low-energy field theory, we should keep an open mind to all possibilities. In fact, when one considers extensions of the standard model \cite{1}, it is tempting to make naive dimensional analysis estimates of the various dimensionful coefficients of the Lorentz-symmetry-violating terms by having the Planck Mass as a reference scale. In view of the above-mentioned example of macroscopic curvature coupling to the photon field-strength terms, this may be misleading.

A true theory of quantum gravity may or may not violate such symmetries. However it may also imply structures beyond the above-mentioned local effective lagrangians. The example of an evaporating black hole or that of a collapsing matter to form a black hole are two typical examples, where the relevant processes cannot be described in terms of local operators in an effective field theory with a well defined scattering matrix, or at least in the way we formulate the scattering matrix today, by means of asymptotic states. Indeed, the presence of horizons complicate matters. As suggested by

\footnote{For some of the modified Lorentz Symmetry models, the situation concerning CPT symmetry is unclear, given that their quantum versions are not understood fully as yet.}
Hawking, one might have degrees of freedom crossing such horizons which are lost from a low-energy observer in a quantum gravity setting [6], where microscopic (i.e. of the size of Planck length $1/M_{\text{QG}}$) horizons are present in a path integral over quantum fluctuations in space time. In fact, the structure of space-time at Planck scales may even be discrete and topologically non-trivial, of a “foamy” nature, so to speak [7]. In this sense, the matter system, after integration over quantum gravitational degrees of freedom, which a low-energy local observer has no access to, becomes an open quantum system, entailing decoherence of quantum matter, and thus evolution of initially pure states to mixed ones. The set of (integrated out) quantum-gravity degrees of freedom plays the rôle of the “environment”. We should stress at this point that, in our opinion, such a “loss of information” in a theory of quantum gravity is only apparent, in the sense that it pertains to matter (low-energy) local observers, who can measure things only by means of scattering. The full theory of quantum gravity is hopefully consistent and unitary, but unfortunately such a theory at present is not understood.

Recently these quantum-gravity-induced decoherence ideas have been rejected by their proposer [8]. Hawking has argued, based on earlier proposal by Maldacena for a discussion of black hole evaporation in the context of strings [9], that in a Euclidean path integral formalism – which according to Hawking is the only mathematically consistent way to perform a quantum gravity path integral over both geometries and topologies of space-time – the contributions from the topologically non-trivial configurations, which would be responsible for loss of information by a low-energy observer, decay exponentially in time, thereby leaving only the trivial-topology, unitary, contributions. This approach was achieved by regularising the space time by a small negative cosmological constant (thus making it anti-de-Sitter), which is known to have holographic properties [10], and then removing the cosmological-constant regulator.

We must say that we find the situation far from being resolved. The arguments against decoherence presented in [8] pertain to a specific model for decoherence, that of an evaporating black hole, and actually of a particular kind, in an anti-de-Sitter type space time. First it is not clear to us whether this exhaust all the sectors in a full theory of quantum gravity, and hence we are unsure that the path integration is done fully (not to mention its Euclidean nature by construction, which is another major problematic aspect of quantum gravity path integration). Second, as we shall demonstrate below, the evaporating black hole case is not the only case where decoherence of matter might occur. Indeed, as we shall discuss in this article, and have analysed in our works in the past [11], there are models, notably some in string theory with defects in space time (branes), that involve processes leading to decoherence of matter, in the sense of information flow to degrees of freedom pertinent to fluctuations of space time that are not detected by local scattering experiments. In this sense, from the point of view of low-energy observers, performing (scattering) experiments, the matter system appears as decohering.

In fact, as we shall discuss below, the system violates locally Lorentz symmetry, which however is preserved globally, in the sense of the rele-
vant symmetry-violating observables having zero expectation values. However, Lorentz-violations can occur in the fluctuations of these observables, which are non zero and lead to decoherence of the quantum matter system. In this sense one is lead to a breakdown of CPT Symmetry. However, the type of violation is different from the one discussed in \cite{4,11,2}, in the sense that decoherence is a process that cannot be described in the context of local effective lagrangians by means of non-renormalisable higher-dimension local field-theory operators in an effectively flat space time. The evolution of pure to mixed states implies an irreversible process, a microscopic time arrow, which according to a theorem by R. Wald \cite{12} implies that the fundamental quantum-mechanical operator responsible for the generation of the CPT transformation, is ill-defined in the subspace of only-matter degrees of freedom. In this sense, one has an apparent intrinsic violation of the CPT symmetry, which is qualitatively different from the mere non-commutativity of the (well-defined) CPT generator with the effective local Hamiltonian density of the system in the local (Lorentz and/or CPT violating) effective-field-theory approach to quantum gravity of refs. \cite{11,2}. In the decoherence case, as already mentioned, the CPT operator is not well defined. Due to the weakness of quantum gravitational interactions, however, this ill-defined nature is perturbative, in the sense that the anti-particle state still exists, but it has slightly modified properties from the cases of the local effective lagrangians, where CPT (even if non-commuting with the Hamiltonian) is well defined as a quantum mechanical operator.

As discussed in \cite{13,14}, and shall review here, this ill-defined nature of CPT, induced by quantum-gravity decoherence, has important phenomenological consequences in entangled states of matter, associated with the modification of the pertinent Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlations, which are rather unique to this type of CPT violation. In fact, for the particular type of D-particle foam, which we concentrate our attention upon in this work, the maximum possible order of magnitude of such effects can \cite{15} lie within the sensitivity of future neutral-Kaon facilities in an upgrade of the DAΦNE detector \cite{16}.

The structure of the article is the following: in the next section 2 we shall discuss the mathematical aspects of D-particle foam and trace the origin of quantum decoherence of low-energy matter propagating in the foam to the loss of conformal invariance on the world-sheet, as a result of topologically non-trivial interactions of matter with the D-particle defects (capture and splitting of open matter strings by D-particles). In section 3 we shall apply this formalism to discuss issues of CPT breakdown, in the sense of a CPT generator with an ill-defined nature due to decoherence, in an entangled system of neutral bosons, representing, say neutral kaons in a φ factory. As we shall see, the order of magnitude of the associated EPR modifications, due to the perturbatively ill-defined-nature of the CPT operator, can be falsifiable—at least in the most naive of models of D-particle foam—in the next generation φ-factory facilities. Conclusions and outlook, regarding examining cosmological properties of D-particle foam, and hence constraining it further (and more stringently) by means of astrophysical observations, are presented in section 4.
2 D-particle Foam and Quantum Decoherence in String Theory

2.1 General Formalism of D-particle/String Interactions

In this section we shall review briefly the basic features of the D-particle foam model, discussed in [11]. We will use some established results and constructs from string/brane theory [17,18], which we shall discuss briefly for the benefit of the non-expert reader. In particular, zero dimensional D-branes [19] occur (in bosonic and some supersymmetric string theories) and are also known as D-particles. Interactions in string theory are, as yet, not treated as systematically as in ordinary quantum field theory where a second quantised formalism is defined. The latter leads to the standard formulations by Schwinger and Feynman of perturbation series. When we consider stringy matter interacting with other matter or D-particles, the world lines traced out by point particles are replaced by two-dimensional world sheets. World sheets are the parameter space of the first quantised operators (fermionic or bosonic) representing strings. In this way the first quantised string is represented by actually a two dimensional (world-sheet) quantum field theory. An important consistency requirement of this first quantised string theory is conformal invariance which determines the space-time dimension and/or structure. This symmetry permits the representation of interactions through the construction of measures on inequivalent Riemann surfaces [20]. In and out states of stringy matter are represented by vertex insertions at the boundaries. The D-particles as solitonic states [18] in string theory do fluctuate themselves quantum mechanically; this is described by stringy excitations, corresponding to open strings with their ends attached to the D-particles and higher dimensional D-branes. In a first quantised (world-sheet) language, such fluctuations are also described by Riemann surfaces of higher topology with appropriate Dirichlet boundary conditions (c.f. fig. 1). The plethora of Feynman diagrams in higher order quantum field theory is replaced by a small set of world sheet diagrams classified by moduli which need to be summed or integrated over [21].

The model of space-time foam we are going to use in this work, is based on D-particles populating a bulk geometry between parallel D-brane worlds. The model is termed D-foam [11] (c.f. figure 2), and our world is modelled as a three-brane moving in the bulk geometry; as a result, D-particles cross the brane world and appear for an observer on the brane as foamy structures which flash on and off.

Even at low energies $E$, such a foam may have observable consequences e.g. decoherence effects which may be of magnitude $O \left( \frac{E}{M_P} \right)^n$ with $n = 1, 2$, depending on the model, where $M_P$ is the Planck mass, or induced changes in the usual Lorentz invariant dispersion relations. This results from topologically non-trivial interactions of the D-particles with the (open or closed) strings, involving splitting and capture of the latter by the D0-brane defects, as in fig. 2.

The study of D-brane dynamics has been made possible by Polchinski’s realisation [18] that such solitonic string backgrounds can be described in a conformally invariant way in terms of world sheets with boundaries [18]. On
A fluctuating D-particle is described by open strings attached to it. As a result of conservation of string fluxes that accompany the D-branes, an isolated D-particle cannot occur, but it has to be connected to a D-brane world through flux strings. Lower picture: World-sheet diagrams with annulus topologies, describing the fluctuations of D-particles as a result of the open string states ending on them. Conformal invariance implies that pinched surfaces, with infinitely long thin strips, have to be taken into account. In bosonic string theory, such surfaces can be resummed.

These boundaries Dirichlet boundary conditions for the collective target-space coordinates of the soliton are imposed. When low energy matter given by a closed string propagating in a \((d+1)\)-dimensional space-time collides with a very massive D-particle (0-brane) embedded in this space-time, the D-particle, due to its massive nature with mass \(M_s/g_s\), where \(M_s\) is the string scale, and \(g_s < 1\) is the (weak) string coupling, recoils in a non-relativistic manner. We shall consider the simple case of bosonic stringy matter coupling to D-particles. Hence we can only discuss matters of principle and ignore issues of stability due to tachyons. However we should note that an open string model needs to incorporate for completeness, higher dimensional D-branes such as the D3 brane. This is due to the vectorial charge carried by the string owing to the Kalb-Ramond field. Higher dimensional D-branes (unlike isolated D-particles) can carry the charge from the endpoints of open strings that are attached to them. It is for this reason, namely the conservation of the Kalb-Ramond flux, that in our D-particle foam we need the presence of higher-dimensional brane worlds embedded in the bulk (see figure).

The current state of phenomenological modelling of the interactions of D-particle foam with stringy matter will be briefly summarised now. Since there are no rigid bodies in general relativity the recoil fluctuations of the brane and the effective stochastic back-reaction on space-time cannot be neglected. To understand the formal structure of the world-sheet deformation operators pertinent to the recoil/capture process, we first notice that the world-sheet
boundary operator $\mathcal{V}_D$ describing the excitations of a moving heavy D0-brane is given in the tree approximation by:

$$\mathcal{V}_D = \int_{\partial D} \left( y_i \partial_n X^i + u_i X^0 \partial_n X^i \right) \equiv \int_{\partial D} Y_i \left( X^0 \right) \partial_n X^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\partial D$ denotes the boundary of the world-sheet $D$ with the topology of a disk, to lowest order in string-loop perturbation theory, $u_i$ and $y_i$ are the velocity and position of the D-particle respectively and $Y_i \left( X^0 \right) \equiv y_i + u_i X^0$. To describe the capture/recoil we need an operator which has non-zero matrix elements between different states of the D-particle and is turned on “abruptly” in target time. One way of doing this is to put $\Theta \left( X^0 \right)$, the Heavyside function, in front of $\mathcal{V}_D$ which models an impulse whereby the D-particle starts moving at $X^0 = 0$. This impulsive $\mathcal{V}_D$, denoted by $\mathcal{V}_D^{imp}$,
can thus be represented as

\[ V_{1 \text{imp}}^D = \frac{1}{2\pi \alpha'} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\partial D} d\tau u_i X^0 \Theta (X^0) \partial_n X^i. \]  

(2)

where \( d \) in the sum denotes the appropriate number of spatial target-space dimensions. For a recoiling D-particle confined on a D3 brane, \( d = 3 \).

Since \( X^0 \) is an operator it will be necessary to define \( \Theta (X^0) \) as a regularized operator using the contour integral

\[ \Theta_{\varepsilon} (X^0) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega - i\varepsilon} e^{i\omega X^0} \text{ with } \varepsilon \to 0^+, \]  

(3)

where \( \varepsilon \) is a regulator, which, as discussed in [24] and will be reviewed below, is linked with a running cutoff scale on the world-sheet of the string, on account of the requirement of the closure of the (logarithmic) conformal algebra. Hence we can consider

\[ D_{\varepsilon}(X^0) \equiv D(X^0, \varepsilon) = X^0 \Theta_{\varepsilon} (X^0) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(\omega - i\varepsilon)^2} e^{i\omega X^0}. \]  

(4)

The introduction of the feature of impulse in the operator breaks conventional conformal symmetry, but a modified logarithmic conformal algebra [26] holds [24]. A generic logarithmic algebra in terms of operators \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \) and the stress tensor \( T(z) \) (in complex tensor notation) satisfies the operator product expansion

\[ T(z) \mathcal{C}(w, \bar{w}) \sim \frac{\Delta}{(z-w)^\Delta} \mathcal{C}(w, \bar{w}) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(w, \bar{w})}{(z-w)} + \cdots \]  

\[ T(z) \mathcal{D}(w, \bar{w}) \sim \frac{\Delta}{(z-w)^\Delta} \mathcal{D}(w, \bar{w}) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}(w, \bar{w})}{(z-w)} + \cdots \]  

(5)

and

\[ \langle \mathcal{C}(z, \bar{w}) \mathcal{C}(0, 0) \rangle \sim 0 \]  

\[ \langle \mathcal{C}(z, \bar{w}) \mathcal{D}(0, 0) \rangle \sim \frac{c}{|z|^{2\Delta}} \]  

\[ \langle \mathcal{D}(z, \bar{w}) \mathcal{D}(0, 0) \rangle \sim \frac{c}{|z|^{2\Delta}} (\log |z| + c) \]  

(6)

(7)

where \( c \) is a constant. Since the conformal dimension of \( e^{iqX^0} \) is \( \frac{q^2}{2} \) we find that

\[ T(w) D_{\varepsilon}(z) \sim -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2(w-z)^2} D_{\varepsilon}(z) + \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \varepsilon \Theta_{\varepsilon} (X^0) + \cdots \]  

(8)

and so a logarithmic conformal algebra structure arises if we define

\[ C_{\varepsilon}(X^0) \equiv C(X^0; \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \Theta_{\varepsilon} (X^0), \]  

(9)

suppressing, for simplicity, the non-holomorphic piece. The above logarithmic conformal field theory structure is found with this identification. Similarly we find

\[ T(w) C_{\varepsilon}(z) \sim -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2(w-z)^2} C_{\varepsilon}(z) + \cdots \]
Consequently $\Delta$ for $C_\varepsilon(z)$ and $D_\varepsilon(z)$ is $-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$. A calculation (in a euclidean metric) for a disc of size $L$ with a short-distance worldsheet cut-off $a$ reveals that as $\varepsilon \to 0$
\[
\langle C_\varepsilon(z) C_\varepsilon(0) \rangle \sim O(\varepsilon^2) \quad (10)
\]
\[
\langle C_\varepsilon(z) D_\varepsilon(0) \rangle \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \varepsilon \log \frac{z^2}{a^2} \quad (11)
\]
\[
\langle D_\varepsilon(z) D_\varepsilon(0) \rangle \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \varepsilon \log \frac{z^2}{a^2} \quad (12)
\]
where $\alpha = \log \frac{|L|}{a}$. We consider $\varepsilon \to 0+$ such that
\[
\varepsilon^2 \alpha \sim \frac{1}{2\eta} = O(1) \quad (13)
\]
where $\eta$ is the time signature and the right-hand side is kept fixed as the cutoff runs; it is then straightforward to see that (10), (11), and (12) are consistent with (6), (6), and (7). It is only under the condition (13) that the recoil operators $C_\varepsilon$ and $D_\varepsilon$ obey a closed logarithmic conformal algebra [24]:
\[
\langle C_\varepsilon(z) C_\varepsilon(0) \rangle > \sim 0
\]
\[
\langle C_\varepsilon(z) D_\varepsilon(0) \rangle > \sim 1
\]
\[
\langle D_\varepsilon(z) D_\varepsilon(0) \rangle > \sim -2\eta \log |z/L|^2 \quad (14)
\]
The reader should notice that the full recoil operators, involving $\partial_n X^i$ holomorphic pieces with the conformal-dimension-one entering (2), obey the full logarithmic algebra (6), (5), and (7) with conformal dimensions $\Delta = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$. From now on we shall adopt the Euclidean signature $\eta = 1$.

We next remark that, at tree level in the string perturbation sense, the stringy sigma model (inclusive of the D-particle boundary term and other vertex operators) is a two dimensional renormalizable quantum field theory; hence for generic couplings $g^i$ it is possible to see how the couplings run in the renormalization group sense with changes in the short distance cut-off through the beta functions $\beta^i$. In the world-sheet renormalization group [27], based on expansions in powers of the couplings, $\beta^i$ has the form (with no summation over the repeated indices)
\[
\beta^i = y_i g^i + \ldots \quad (15)
\]
where $y_i$ is the anomalous dimension, which is related to the conformal dimension $\Delta_i$ by $y_i = \Delta_i - \delta$, with $\delta$ the engineering dimension (for the holomorphic parts of vertex operators for the open string $\delta = 1$). The ... in (15) denote higher orders in $g^i$. Consequently, in our case, we note that the (renormalised) D-particle recoil velocities $u^i$ constitute such $\sigma$-model couplings, and to lowest order in the renormalised coupling $u_i$ the corresponding $\beta$ function satisfies
\[
\frac{du^i}{d\log \Lambda} = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} u^i \quad (16)
\]
where $\Lambda$ is a (covariant) world-sheet renormalization-group scale. In our notation, we identify the logarithm of this scale with $\alpha = \log |L/a|^2$, satisfying (13).

An important comment is now in order concerning the interpretation of the flow of this world-sheet renormalization group scale as a target-time flow. The target time $t$ is identified through $t = 2 \log \Lambda$. For completeness we recapitulate the arguments of [24] leading to such a conclusion. Let one make a scale transformation on the size of the world-sheet

$$L \rightarrow L' = e^{t/4}L$$

(17)

which is a finite-size scaling (the only one which has physical sense for the open string world-sheet). Because of the relation between $\varepsilon$ and $L$ (13) this transformation will induce a change in $\varepsilon$

$$\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow \varepsilon'^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2 t}$$

(18)

(note that if $\varepsilon$ is infinitesimally small, so is $\varepsilon'$ for any finite $t$). From the scale dependence of the correlation functions (14) that $C_\varepsilon$ and $D_\varepsilon$ transform as:

$$D_\varepsilon \rightarrow D_{\varepsilon'} = D_\varepsilon + tC_\varepsilon$$

$$C_\varepsilon \rightarrow C_{\varepsilon'} = C_\varepsilon$$

(19)

From this transformation one can then see that the coupling constants in front of $C_\varepsilon$ and $D_\varepsilon$ in the recoil operator (1), i.e. the velocities $u_i$ and spatial collective coordinates $y_i$ of the brane, must transform like:

$$u_i \rightarrow u_i, \quad y_i \rightarrow y_i + u_i t$$

(20)

This transformation is nothing other but the Galilean transformation for the heavy D-particles and thus it demonstrates that the finite size scaling parameter $t$, entering (17), plays the role of target time, on account of (13).

Notice that (20) is derived upon using (14), that is in the limit where $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This will become important later on, where we shall discuss (stochastic) relaxation phenomena in our recoiling D-particle.

Thus, in the presence of recoil a world-sheet scale transformation leads to an evolution of the D-brane in target space, and from now on we identify the world-sheet renormalization group scale with the target time $t$. In this sense, Eq. (16) is an evolution equation in target time.

2.2 World-sheet genus summation and quantum fluctuations of recoil velocity

However, Eq. (16) does not capture quantum-fluctuation aspects of $u^i$ about its classical trajectory with time $u_i(t)$. Going to higher orders in perturbation theory of the quantum field theory at fixed genus does not qualitatively alter the situation in the sense that the equation remains deterministic. In the next section we shall consider the effect of string perturbation theory where higher
genus surfaces are considered and re-summed in some appropriate limits that we shall discuss in detail.

It is not possible to exactly sum up higher orders in string perturbation theory. We have seen that infrared singularities in the integration over the moduli of the Riemann surface (representing the world sheet) in the wormhole limit are related to the recoil operators for the D-particle. The wormhole construction \[28\] is a way of constructing higher genus surfaces from lower genus ones. Since it will be relevant to us later, we should note that \( g_s \) the string coupling is given by

\[
g_s = e^{\langle \Phi \rangle} \tag{21}
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the spin zero dilaton mode which is part of the massless string multiplet. Here \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes the string path integral \( \int DX e^{S_\sigma} \phi \) where \( S_\sigma \) is the string \( \sigma \)-model action in the presence of string backgrounds such as the dilaton and the Kalb-Ramond modes. In particular the \( \sigma \) model deformation due to the dilaton has the form

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \Phi(X) R^{(2)}(\tau, \sigma) \tag{22}
\]
on a worldsheet Riemann surface \( \Sigma \) where \( \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \) is the induced metric on the worldsheet, \( \gamma = |\text{det} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}| \) and \( R^{(2)} \) is the associated Ricci curvature scalar. Now the Euler characteristic \( \chi \) of \( \Sigma \) is given by

\[
\chi = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} R^{(2)} = 2 (1 - g) \tag{23}
\]

where \( g \) is the genus and is an integer valued invariant. If we split the dilaton into a classical (worldsheet co-ordinate independent) part \( \langle \Phi \rangle \) and a quantum part \( \varphi = :\Phi:, \) where :\ldots: denotes appropriate normal ordering, we can write \( \Phi = \langle \Phi \rangle + \varphi. \) The \( \sigma \)-model partition function \( Z \) can be written as a sum over genera

\[
Z = \sum_{\chi} \int \int d\gamma_{\alpha\beta} dX e^{-S_{\text{rest}}(X)} \frac{1}{\langle \Phi \rangle} \int_{\Sigma} d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \varphi R^{(2)}(\tau, \sigma) \tag{24}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\chi} g_s^{-\chi} \int \int d\gamma_{\alpha\beta} dX e^{-S_{\text{rest}}(X)} \frac{1}{\langle \Phi \rangle} \int_{\Sigma} d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \varphi R^{(2)}(\tau, \sigma) \tag{25}
\]

where \( S_{\text{rest}} \) denotes a \( \sigma \)-model action involving the rest of the background deformations except the dilaton. For the moment we will assume that the theory is such that a potential is generated for \( \Phi \) which suppresses the fluctuations represented by \( \varphi. \) In general we would have to consider \( g_s = e^{\Phi} \) which would then make the string coupling a field.

The summation over genera cannot be performed exactly. We will follow an approach using a mechanism due to Fischler and Susskind [29],[22] based on a dilute gas of wormholes (proposed originally by Coleman within the context of Euclidean quantum gravity [28]). This results in the structure of recoil (in lowest order) being modified by generating a gaussian distribution for the recoil velocity \( u. \)
A detailed review of the pertinent formalism has been given in [22] and will not be repeated here. For our purposes in this section we only note that, in the case of mixed logarithmic states, the pinched topologies are characterized by divergences of a double logarithmic type which arise from the form of the string propagator in the presence of generic logarithmic operators $C$ and $D$, \[ \int dq \; q^{\Delta \epsilon - 1} \langle C, D \rangle \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \langle C, D \rangle. \]

As shown in [24], the mixing between $C$ and $D$ states along degenerate handles on the world-sheet (c.f. figure 1) leads formally to divergent string propagators in physical amplitudes, whose integrations have leading divergences of the form

\[ \int dq \; q \log q \int d^2z \; D(z; \epsilon) \int d^2z' \; C(z'; \epsilon) \int d^2z' \; C(z'; \epsilon). \] (26)

As explained in [22], these $(\log \delta)^2$ divergences can be cancelled by imposing momentum conservation in the scattering process of the light string states off the D-particle background.

We stress again at this stage that isolated D-particles do not exist, as a result of their gauge flux conservation requirement. The physically correct way to formulate, therefore, the problem, is to consider groups of $N$, say, D-particles, which interact among themselves with flux-carrying stretched strings. The above analysis remains intact (in the sense of generalising straightforwardly) when more than one D-particle is present in a region with typical dimensions smaller than the string length sometimes known as the fat brane [22]. There are, of course, technical differences in the sense that a non-abelian structure arises and the $\hat{Y}$ have matrix labels. All qualitative features, however, are preserved concerning the quantisation of the D-particle background moduli. For the remainder of this section we shall, therefore, formulate our arguments within this rigorous multi-D-particle picture.

The cancelation of leading divergences of the genus expansion in the non-abelian case of a group of $N$ D-particles, has been demonstrated explicitly in [22]. It is shown there that this renormalization requires that the change in (renormalized) velocity of the D-particle, due to the recoil from the scattering of string states, be

\[ \bar{u}^a_b = -\frac{1}{M_D} \left( k_1 + k_2 \right)_i \delta^{ab} = \frac{d\hat{Y}^a_b}{dt}, \quad a, b = 1 \ldots N \] (27)

where $k_{1,2}$ are the initial and final momenta in the scattering process and $M_D = 1/\sqrt{\alpha'} g_s$ is the BPS mass of the string soliton [17][18], and $g_s < 1$ is the physical (weak) string coupling. In (27), the $k_{1,2}$ are true physical momenta so that $M_D$ represents the actual BPS mass of the D-particles. This means that, to leading order, the constituent D-particles in a group of $N$ of them, say, move parallel to one another with a common velocity and there are no interactions among them. Thus the leading recoil effects imply a commutative structure and the “fat brane” of the group of D-particles behaves as a single D-particle (with a single average collective coordinate of its center of mass). In such a limit one may replace $u_i^{ab}$ by $u_i$ (c.f. section
2.1), describing the collective recoil velocity of the fat brane. This should be understood throughout this work.

In addition to this divergence, there are sub-leading log δ singularities, corresponding to the diagonal terms

$$\int d^2 z \ D(z; \varepsilon) \int d^2 z' \ D(z'; \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \int d^2 z \ C(z; \varepsilon) \int d^2 z' \ C(z'; \varepsilon).$$

These latter terms are the ones we should concentrate upon for the purposes of deriving the quantum fluctuations of the collective D-par ticle coordinates. It is these sub-leading divergences in the genus expansion which lead to interactions between the constituent D-branes and provide the appropriate noncommutative quantum extension of the leading dynamics (27). The reader should recall that these (sub-leading) divergences also showed up in the much simpler case of perpetual Galilean motion of D-branes discussed in [23], as a result of the translational symmetries zero mode contributions.

In the weak-coupling case, we can truncate the genus expansion to a sum over pinched annuli (fig. 1). This truncation corresponds to a semi-classical approximation to the full quantum string theory in which we treat the D-particles as heavy non-relativistic objects in target space. Then the dominant contributions to the sum are given by the log δ modular divergences described above, and the effects of the dilute gas of wormholes on the disc are to exponentiate the bilocal operator (26), describing string propagation in a pinched annulus. Thus, in the pinched approximation, the genus expansion of the bosonic \(\sigma\)-model leads to an effective change in the matrix \(\sigma\)-model action by [22]

$$\Delta S \simeq \frac{g_s^2}{2} \log \delta \sum_{a,b,c,d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \ d\omega' \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \oint_{\partial \Sigma'} V_{ab}^{ij}(x; \omega) G_{ij}^{ab;cd}(\omega, \omega') V_{cd}^{ij}(x; \omega').$$

where \(\omega, \omega'\) are Fourier variables, defined appropriately in [22], and \(G_{ij}\), \(i, j = C, D\) is a metric in the theory space of strings, introduced by Zamołodchikov [30].

The bilocal action (28) can be cast into the form of a local worldsheet effective action by using standard tricks of wormhole calculus [28] and rewriting it as a functional Gaussian integral [22]

$$e^{\Delta S} = \int [\hat{\rho}] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \ d\omega' \hat{\rho}_{ab}^{ij}(\omega) \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \oint_{\partial \Sigma'} G_{ij}^{ab;cd}(\omega, \omega') \hat{\rho}_{cd}^{ij}(\omega') \right]

+ g_s \sqrt{\log \delta} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \ \hat{\rho}_{ab}^{ij}(\omega) \oint_{\partial \Sigma} V_{ab}(x; \omega) \right]$$

where \(\hat{\rho}_{ab}^{ij}(\omega)\) are stochastic coupling constants of the worldsheet matrix \(\sigma\)-model, which express quantum fluctuations of the corresponding background fields in target space, as a consequence of genus re-summation. Thus the effect of the resummation over pinched genera is to induce quantum fluctuations of the collective D-brane background, leading to a set of effective quantum coordinates

$$\hat{Y}_{ab}^{ij}(\omega) \rightarrow \hat{Y}_{ab}^{ij}(\omega) = \hat{Y}_{ab}^{ij}(\omega) + g_s \sqrt{\log \delta} \hat{\rho}_{ab}^{ij}(\omega)$$

(30)
viewed as position operators in a co-moving target space frame.

Thus we find that the genus expansion in the pinched approximation for the bosonic string is [22]

$$\sum_{h(p)} Z_N^{(p)}[A] \simeq \left< \int_{M} [d\rho] \ \psi[\rho] \ W[A - \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \rho] \right>_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

where the sum is over all pinched genera of infinitesimal pinching size, and

$$\psi[\rho] \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2T^2} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \int_0^1 ds \ ds' \ \rho_{i}^{ab}(X^0(s)) \ G_{ab,c,d}^{ij}(s,s') \ \rho_{j}^{cd}(X^0(s')) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

is a (appropriately normalized) functional Gaussian distribution on moduli space of width

$$\Gamma = g_s \sqrt{\log \delta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

In (31) we have normalized the functional Haar integration measure $[d\rho]$ appropriately.

We see therefore that the diagonal sub-leading logarithmic divergences in the modular cutoff scale $\delta$, associated with degenerate strips in the genus expansion of the matrix $\sigma$-model, can be treated by absorbing these scaling violations into the width $\Gamma$ of the probability distribution characterizing the quantum fluctuations of the (classical) D-brane configurations $Y_i^{ab}(X^0(s))$. In this way the interpolation among families of D-brane field theories corresponds to a quantization of the worldsheet renormalization group flows.

Note that the worldsheet wormhole parameters, being functions on the moduli space of recoil deformations, can be decomposed as

$$\rho_i^{ab}(X^0(s)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left( [\rho_C]_{i}^{ab} C(X^0;\varepsilon) + [\rho_D]_{i}^{ab} D(X^0;\varepsilon) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

The fields $\rho_{C,D}$ are then renormalized in the same way as the D-brane couplings, so that the corresponding renormalized wormhole parameters generate the same type of (Galilean) $\beta$-function equations [16].

According to the standard Fischler-Susskind mechanism for canceling string loop divergences [29], modular infinities should be identified with worldsheet divergences at lower genera. Thus the strip divergence $\log \delta$ should be associated with a worldsheet ultraviolet cutoff scale $\log \lambda$, which in turn is identified with the target time as described earlier.

We may in effect take $\delta$ independent from $\Lambda$, in which case we can first let $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ in the above and then take the limit $\delta \to 0$. Interpreting $\log \delta$ in this way as a renormalization group time parameter (interpolating among D-brane field theories), the time dependence of the renormalized width (33) expresses the usual properties of the distribution function describing the time evolution of a wavepacket in moduli space. The inducing of a statistical Gaussian spread of the D-brane couplings is the essence of the quantization procedure.

A final remark is in order. From the form [4] and [9] of the recoil operators, it is evident that the dominant contributions in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0^+$,
we consider here, come from the D-deformations, pertaining to the recoil velocity $u^i$ of the D-particle (or, better, the center of mass velocity of a group of D-particles, as discussed above). From now on, therefore, we restrict our attention to the distribution functions of such recoil velocities:

$$\varphi(u) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\gamma^2}}, \quad \Gamma = g_s \sqrt{\log \delta}, \quad (35)$$

where $\bar{u}$ denotes the classical recoil velocity. Notice that, upon invoking \[22\] the Fischler-Susskind mechanism \[29\] for the absorption of the modular infinities to lower-genus (disc) world-sheet surfaces, we may identify $\log \delta$ with the target time:

$$\log \delta = t, \quad (36)$$

where this identification should be understood as being implemented at the end of the computation. To be precise, as explained in \[22\], the correct form of (36) would be: $\log \delta = g_s^\chi t$, with $\chi > 0$ an exponent that can only be determined phenomenologically in the approach of \[22\], by comparing the space-time uncertainty principles, derived in this approach of re-summing world-sheet genera, with the ones within standard string/brane theory. In fact, in our approach of re-summing world-sheet pinched surfaces \[22\], one obtains for the spatial and temporal variances:

$$\Delta Y_{aa} \Delta t \geq g_s^\chi \sqrt{\alpha'}, \quad (37)$$

which implies that the standard string-theory result for the space-time uncertainty relation \[31\], independent of the string coupling, is obtained for $\chi = 0$. This is the case we shall consider here, which leads to the identification \[36\]. However, in the modern approach of D-brane theories, one can adjust the uncertainty relations in order to probe minimal distances below the string length, which is achieved by the choice \[22\], e.g. $\chi = 2/3$, reproducing the characteristic minimal length probed by D-particles \[32\]. In our case, where, as we shall discuss in the next subsection, the coupling constant of the string may itself fluctuate, it is the mean value of $g_s$ that enters in such relations. This issue is not relevant if we stay within the $\chi = 0$ case, which we do in this article.

We next remark that the nature of the Gaussian correlation is assumed to be delta correlated in time. The Langevin equation \[33\] implied by (30) replaces (16) and can be written as

$$\frac{d\bar{u}^i}{dt} = -\frac{1}{4t} \bar{u}^i + g_s \sqrt{2} \alpha'^{1/2} \xi(t), \quad (38)$$

where $t = \varepsilon^{-2}$ and $\xi(t)$ represents white noise. This equation is valid for large $t$. From the above analysis it is known that \[22\] to $O(g_s^2)$ the correlation for $\xi(t)$ is $\bar{u}^i$ independent and, for time scales of interest, is correlated like white noise; hence the correlation of $\xi(t)$ has the form:

$$\langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t'). \quad (39)$$

Since the vectorial nature of $\bar{u}^i$ is not crucial for our analysis we will suppress it and consider the single variable $\bar{u}$. Eq. (38) is a stochastically fluctuating
quantum equation that replaces the ordinary renormalization-group equation (16) (with (13) being assumed), valid at tree-level on the world-sheet genus expansion.

We should stress that this equation is valid for large $t$ which is required since $\varepsilon$ is small. Hence the apparent singularity in Eq. (38) at $t = 0$ is not relevant and so we can empirically regularise this singularity by changing $\frac{1}{t}$ to $\frac{1}{t + t_0}$ for some $t_0 > 0$; $t_0$ is the order of the capture time of the matter string by the D-particle. The stochastic Langevin equation (38), describes relaxation aspects of the recoiling D-particle with equilibrium being reached only as $\varepsilon \to 0$ (or $t \to \infty$).

The reader should notice that in the limit the system reaches equilibrium with a constant in time velocity. It is only in this limit that the Galilean transformation (20) applies, as already discussed there. We now proceed to a solution of this Langevin equation and a discussion on the pertinent physical consequences for a statistical population of quantum-fluctuating D-particles [34].

2.3 Solution of (quantum) Langevin equation for recoil velocity

Eq. (38) is particularly simple equation in the sense that the drift and diffusion terms are independent of $u$. By making a change of variable it is easy to eliminate the drift term and the resulting equation can then be interpreted in terms of a Wiener process [33]. Let us consider the auxiliary equation

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{1}{4(t + t_0)} y,$$

which just deals with the drift part of Eqn.(38). It has a solution

$$y(t) = y(t_0) Y(t)$$

where

$$Y(t) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{t' + t_0} \right] = \left( \frac{t + t_0}{t_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$

and $t_0$ is a time much smaller than $t$. We now define $U(t) = u(t) Y(t)^{-1}$ and readily find that

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \frac{g s}{\sqrt{2\alpha'}} \left[ u^2 \left( t + t_0 \right) \right]^{1/2} \xi(t).$$

This describes purely diffusive motion and is thus related to the Wiener process; equivalently we can consider the associated probability distribution $p(U,t)$ which satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(U,t) = \frac{1}{4\alpha'} g^2 s \left( t + t_0 \right)^{1/2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial U^2} \sigma(U,t).$$

If at $t = 0$ consider a D-particle velocity recoil $u_0$ so that

$$p(U,0) = \delta(U - u_0).$$
Eq. (43) can be solved to give

\[ p(U, t) = \sqrt{\frac{15\alpha'}{2\pi\eta(t)}} \frac{1}{g_s} \exp \left( -\frac{15\alpha' (U - u_0)^2}{2g_s^2\eta(t)} \right) \]  

(45)

where

\[ \eta(t) = 2t_0^2 + 3(t + t_0)^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{t}{t_0} - 5t_0^2(t + t_0)^2}. \]  

(46)

If the D-particle is typically interacting with matter on time scales of \( t_0 \), then the effect of a large number of such collisions can be calculated by performing an ensemble average over a distribution of \( u_0 \). A distribution for \( u_0 \) that has been used in modelling is a gaussian with zero mean and variance \( \sigma \). This is readily seen to lead to an averaged distribution D-particle velocity recoil distribution \( p(u | g_s) \) where

\[ \langle p(u | g_s) \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{15\alpha'}{2\pi (g_s^2\eta(t) + 15\alpha'\sigma^2)}} \exp \left[ -\frac{15\alpha'}{2(g_s^2\eta(t) + 15\alpha'\sigma^2)} u^2 \right]. \]  

(47)

We have used a notation for \( p \) which emphasises that it is conditional on \( g_s \) having a fixed value. This is due to the possibility that in string theory the string coupling itself may fluctuate, since it is given by the exponential of the v.e.v. of the dilaton field, \( \Phi \), which in turn may fluctuate according to some distribution ("fuzzy"), as it depends in general on target-spacetime properties, such as temperature \( T \) or, as in the case of the D-particle foam considered here, topologically non-trivial fluctuations of space-time etc. This will lead to non-extensive statistics of the quantum fluctuating recoil velocities (that depend on \( g_s \) (c.f. Eq. (27)). However, because such additional fuzzyness is small compared to the standard effects of genus summation and statistical properties of the foam for the range of energies of physical interest \( [34] \), we shall not discuss such super-statistics properties of the D-particle foam further in this work.

We next remark that the interaction time entering (47) includes both the time for capture and re-emission of the string by the D-particle, as well as the time interval until the next capture, during string propagation. In a generic situation, this latter time interval could be much larger than the capture time, especially in dilute gases of D-particles, which include less than one D-particle per string \((\alpha^3/2)\) volume. Indeed, as discussed in detail in \([35]\), using generic properties of strings consistent with the space-time uncertainties \([31]\), the capture and re-emission time \( t_0 \) involves the growth of a stretched string between the string state and the D-brane world (c.f. fig. 2) and is found proportional to the incident string energy \( E \):

\[ t_0 \sim \alpha' E \ll \sqrt{\alpha'}. \]  

(48)

In view of (47), then, averaging over the capture time yields a good estimate of the order of magnitude of the quantum fluctuations \( \langle u_i u_j \rangle \equiv \sigma_0 \delta_{ij} \) of the recoil velocity in the D-particle foam model:

\[ \sigma_0 \sim \left( \frac{E}{M_s g_s} \right)^2. \]  

(49)
We shall return to this estimate when we discuss the order of magnitude of decoherence and CPT-violating effects in D-particle foam, in the next subsection.

An important remark is in order here, concerning the nature of matter probes to be used in testing such effects. As a result of the capture process of fig. 2 only electrically neutral matter probes \[36,35\] can be captured by the electrically neutral D-particle defects, for reasons of electric charge conservation, which is assumed to be an exact symmetry in any quantum gravity or string theory model. Thus, for electrons, for instance, the D-particle foam will appear transparent, but not for photons, neutrinos, and in general neutral particles (such as neutral mesons etc.). In this latter respect, we should mention the following: at a string theory level, the quark (electrically charge) constituents of the neutral meson will be transparent, according to the above argument, to the D-particle foam, but this will not be the case for gluons. In this sense the neutral meson will feel the effects of the D-particle foam, but the strong interaction effects might affect (suppress) the strength of the phenomenon. The neutrino, on the other hand, being an elementary string excitation, will not suffer from such suppressions. This are important features to be taken seriously into account when one searches experimentally for such effects.

2.4 Quantum Decoherence due to recoil-velocity fluctuations

The above-described induced stochastic fluctuations of the D-particle recoil velocity \(u_i\), as a result of genus summation in the world-sheet of the string, imply canonical quantisation for \(u_i\), which in this sense is viewed in target space as a quantum operator \[37\]. Indeed, as discussed in detail in \[38,22\] the interpretation of the target time as a world-sheet renormalization group scale is compatible with the Helmholtz conditions required for canonical quantisation of a dynamical system. In other words, the derivation of the dynamical target-space equations describing D-particle recoil can be shown rigorously to be derivable from an effective action, not necessarily on-shell though. This off-shellness is related to the deviation from conformal invariance, as a result of the (small but finite) anomalous dimension \(-\epsilon^2/2\), as discussed in sub-section \[2.1\], c.f. Eqs. (16), (13).

The non-conformal nature of the recoiling D-particle background has important consequences for string matter propagating in such space-times, as it induces decoherence \[37\]. Indeed, let us first consider a \(\sigma\)-model propagating in non-conformal backgrounds \(\{g^I\}\). The pertinent deformed world-sheet action reads:

\[
S_\sigma = S^* + g^I \int_\Sigma V_I d^2\xi
\]  

(50)

where \(\Sigma\) is the world-sheet surface, \(V_I\) are the appropriate vertex operators and \(S^*\) is a conformal (fixed point) action. The above notation is schematic, but sufficient for our purposes. In general, the notation \(g^I\) denotes both the background-field species and the target-space argument of the fields, so the index \(I\) runs over both continuous space-time coordinates and discrete
(background species) values. For instance, if the deformation affects the space-time metric, then $g^{I} = h_{MN}(y^P)$ where $h_{MN}$ is the deviation of the metric tensor from a fixed-point conformal background, and $M, N$ are target-space indices, while $y^P$ are target-space coordinates.

In our case, the recoil-velocity deformation is characterized by a coupling $g^{I} = u_i$, where $i$ is a target-space spatial index. In our model of foam, if the recoil of the D-particle is considered only on the D3 brane of fig. 2 then $i = 1, 2, 3$. However, in general D-particles propagate in the bulk so, depending on the circumstances and the model considered, $i$ can extend to the bulk spatial dimensions as well. The corresponding vertex operator is the $D$-logarithmic operator. In the small-$\varepsilon$ limit, this is the dominant deformation, and for the purposes of this work we restrict our attention from now on to it.

In the above setting, if one considers a target-space quantity, such as the reduced density matrix $\rho_S$ of matter in an effective field theory limit, say, of strings propagating in such recoiling non-conformal $D$-particle backgrounds, then $\rho_S$ must be world-sheet renormalization group (WSRG) invariant, otherwise the world-sheet cut-off scale dependence would affect space-time quantities. If we denote the appropriate WSRG evolution operator (i.e. the total derivative with respect to the WSRG scale $\ln A$) by $D_{D \ln A}$, then, the renormalizability of the two-dimensional world-sheet $\sigma$-model theory, implies, for a fixed genus world-sheet, the following:

$$
\frac{D}{D \ln A} \rho_S = 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln A} \rho_S + \beta^{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{I}} \rho_S + \ldots = 0
$$

(51)

where the $\ldots$ denote other terms with implicit dependence on the cutoff, which we shall discuss below. The set $\{g^{I}\}$ denotes renormalized, “running couplings/background fields” in the $\sigma$-model, and as such $g^{I} = g^{I}(\ln A)$. In the recoil case, under examination here, we have, as already mentioned,

$$
g^{I} \rightarrow u_i
$$

(52)

From the identification of the target-time $t$ with the (logarithm) of the WSRG scale $\ln A$,

$$
t \sim \ln A
$$

(53)

as a result of (17), (19) and (20) we then interpret, for this problem, Eq. (51) as a time-evolution equation in target-space.

We next notice that the WSRG derivatives of the couplings $g^{I}$, i.e. the WSRG $\beta$-functions $\beta^{I} = \frac{d}{d t} g^{I}(t)$, are known in (perturbative) string theory to be derivable from an off-shell target-space string-effective action $S$,

$$
\beta^{I} = G^{IJ} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{J}},
$$

(54)

with $G^{IJ} \sim \text{Lim}_{z \rightarrow 0} z^2 \bar{z}^2 \langle V^{I}(z, \bar{z}), V^{J}(0, 0) \rangle$ a Zamolodchikov metric in background $\{g^{I}\}$ space, related to the short-distance behaviour of the two-point correlation function on the world-sheet of the string ($z, \bar{z}$ denote world-sheet complexified variables, in a Euclidean world-sheet formalism, where the fixed-genus $\sigma$-model partition function is well defined).
In this sense, there is an effective Hamiltonian $H(g^I, p_I)$ in the target-space of strings, describing the dynamics of the background fields $g^I$, with $p_I$ the corresponding canonical momenta (in field theory space). The reduced density matrix $\rho_S$ depends in general on the “phase-space” variables $g^I, p_J$, hence the ... in the WSRG equation (51) contain precisely this information about the $p_J$ dependence. The full result is [37]:

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \rho_S = 0 = \dot{\rho}_S + \beta^I \frac{\partial}{\partial g^I} \rho_S + \dot{p}_I \frac{\partial}{\partial p_I} \rho_S = 0 \quad (55)$$

where the overdot denotes derivative with respect to time [53].

As already mentioned, upon the identification of time with a WSRG flow, the dynamical system \{g^I\} for a fixed genus world-sheet theory, satisfies the Helmholtz conditions so that the time-flow is viewed as a Hamiltonian flow [37, 38], with respect to the string effective Hamiltonian $H(g^I, p_J)$. The non-conformal nature of the background fields $g^I$, though, affects the second Hamilton equation pertaining to the “force” $\dot{p}_I$ by terms proportional to $\beta^I$ [37]

$$\beta^I \equiv \dot{g}^I = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_I}, \quad \dot{p}_I = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial g^I} + G_{IJ} \beta^J \quad (56)$$

Classically, therefore, in target space the system will be characterised by a flow equation for the density matrix of the form:

$$\dot{\rho}_S = -\{\rho_S, H\} - G_{IJ} \beta^J \frac{\partial}{\partial g^I} \rho_S \quad (57)$$

with $\{,\}$ denoting the appropriate Poisson bracket for the system.

Summation over genera on the world-sheet implies, as we have seen in the previous subsection, a canonical quantization of the fields $g^I$, which can thus be replaced by appropriate quantum operators in the theory space $\hat{g}^I \to \hat{g}^I$ while the Poisson brackets become quantum commutators $-i[\ ,\ ]$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_I} \to -i[\hat{g}^I, \ ]$ following standard rules (in units of $\hbar = c = 1$). In this way, the resulting evolution equation becomes:

$$\hat{\dot{\rho}}_S = i[\rho_S, \hat{H}] + i : \hat{G}_{IJ} \beta^J \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{g}^I} \rho_S : \quad (58)$$

where the hat notation denotes quantum operators and the $:\ldots: \,$ denote the appropriate quantum ordering.

There is an important comment we wish to make here. In our approach, the target time flow has been identified with a WSRG flow. For consistency with the convergence of the world-sheet path integral the target time $X^0$, appearing as a $\sigma$-model field, is necessarily Euclidean. To pass into Minkowskian signature one has to perform analytic continuation $X^0 \Rightarrow iX^0$, which in turn implies that in a world-sheet path integral correlator $\langle \ldots \rangle \Rightarrow i\langle \ldots \rangle$, as a result of the measure of integration $\int DX^0 \ldots$. We should also take into account that, in stringy $\sigma$-models, the world-sheet Weyl (local conformal) invariance conditions are equivalent to equations of motion provided by a Lagrangian corresponding to (perturbative, on-shell) string $S$-matrix elements $\langle V_1, \ldots, V_n \rangle_*$.
where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ indicates a path integral over the conformal invariant (fixed-point) $\sigma$-model action $S^*$ (c.f. (50)). This equivalence is expressed by means of the relation:

$$G_{IJ} = \langle V^I V^J \rangle$$

(59)

where the summation/integration is understood over repeated indices. Since the on-shell (Veneziano type) amplitudes $\langle V^I V^J \ldots V^N \rangle^*$ (which, by the way, are totally symmetric in their indices due to the well-known string dualities) involve path integration over the target time $\int DX^0$, with respect to a quadratic in $X^0$ $\sigma$-model conformal action (taken here over a flat target space-time initially), we observe that, upon analytic continuation of the time $\sigma$-model field $X^0 \rightarrow iX^0$, the term containing the Zamolodchikov metric $G_{IJ} \beta^J \Rightarrow iG_{IJ} \beta^J$, and hence the Mikowskian-signature time evolution becomes finally:

$$\dot{\rho}_S = i[\rho_S, \mathcal{H}] - \frac{1}{2}M_s[u_i, [u_i, \rho_S]]$$

(60)

Notice that, as a result of the non-conformal nature of the background, the quantum version of the evolution equation for the density matrix of the string-matter subsystem includes an “environmental decohering term”

$$\mathcal{D}\rho_S \equiv - \hat{G}_{IJ} \hat{\beta}^I [\hat{g}^J, \hat{\rho}_S] :$$

(61)

which cannot be cast in the form of a commutator with the effective hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, but the term is still linear in $\hat{\rho}_S$.

The quantum ordering is then chosen so that the linear evolution equation satisfies the axioms of positivity of the density matrix (whose diagonal elements are related to quantum probabilities), energy conservation on the average and probability conservation, which therefore implies a Lindblad formalism (from now on we omit the hatted notation for quantum operators for brevity):

$$\dot{\rho} = i[\rho, \mathcal{H}] - \sum_n \{\{\rho, D_n^\dagger D_n\} - 2D_n^\dagger \rho D_n\}$$

(62)

where $D_n$ are the “environmental” operators inducing decoherence on the subsystem with reduced density matrix $\rho$.

For the case at hand, $g^I = u_i$ and $\beta^I = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}u_i$, to lowest order in the (small) recoil velocities, and the only relevant components of the Zamolodchikov metric are $G_{DD} \sim \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + \ldots$, where the $\ldots$ denote regular (in $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit) terms, which do not contribute to leading order in the small $\varepsilon$-expansion. In this way, we have a Lindblad system with environment operators $D_i = u_i$, and decoherence of the double-commutator form:

$$\dot{\rho}_S = i[\rho, \mathcal{H}] - \frac{1}{2}M_s[u_i, [u_i, \rho_S]]$$

(63)

with $M_s$ the string scale (re-introduced here to make the units of energy of the decoherence coefficient apparent, and also to give concrete physical information on the order of magnitude of these terms, as we shall discuss below).
For completion we mention that formally the stochastic aspects of the quantum fluctuations of the recoil velocity, discussed in previous subsections, can be included formally by writing equation (63) in a differential stochastic Itô form [41], upon adding the appropriate Itô stochastic differentials $dW_i$:

$$d\rho_S = i[\rho_S, \mathcal{H}] dt - \frac{1}{2} M_s [u_i, [u_i^t, \rho_S]] + \sqrt{M_s} [\rho_S, [\rho_S, u_i]] dW^i,$$

$$dW_i dt = 0, \quad dW_i dW^i = 0 . \quad (64)$$

In our discussion below this will always be understood, although we shall not make explicit use of the Itô calculus here.

The double commutator form of the Lindblad decoherence term due to the recoil in the D-particle foam case of interest here, allows for a straightforward estimate in the case of a two-state quantum system, such as neutral-mesons or a dominant-two-flavour neutrino oscillation, which we shall concentrate our attention upon here.

The operator $\hat{u}_i$ is not a simple, single particle operator, as $u_i = \frac{g_s}{M_s} r \hat{k}$ is proportional to the momentum transfer during the scattering of the matter string off the D0-brane. To simplify matters we can [42] represent this momentum transfer as a fraction of the initial momentum, in which case the relevant recoil-velocity operator can be written as:

$$\hat{u}_i = \frac{g_s}{M_s} r \hat{k} , \quad (65)$$

In this parametrization it is possible to separate the statistical fluctuations of the recoil velocity, due to the population of D-particle defects in the foam (c.f. fig. 2) from the quantum fluctuations of a single recoil event, discussed in the previous two subsections. Both are assumed to be of a stochastic Gaussian nature. For a single scattering of a matter string with a recoil defect, the quantum fluctuations, arising from a summation over world-sheet genera, as we have discussed in the previous two subsections, are denoted by $\langle \ldots \rangle$ and are of Gaussian stochastic nature, about a zero mean $\langle u_i \rangle = 0$ and variance $\sigma_0$ given by [43].

For the statistical fluctuations, the appropriate vacuum expectation values are denoted by $\langle\langle \ldots \rangle \rangle$, and for the case at hand are also assumed Gaussian with zero average and non-trivial variance $\Delta$ for simplicity:

$$\langle\langle r \rangle \rangle = 0, \quad \langle\langle r^2 \rangle \rangle = \zeta^2 > 0 . \quad (66)$$

However, the precise form of the distribution function of the statistical fluctuations of the D-particle recoil velocities is a feature of the specific microscopic model of string foam under consideration, and other cases, such as Cauchy-Lorentz distribution of populations of D-particle defects on our brane world, have also been studied [43]. In our approach, though, as we do not want to consider Lorentz-violating effects of the foam on average, we only use models with a zero mean of the recoil velocities of the space-time defects. One, however, may consider other models in which Lorentz symmetry is explicitly violated on average, in which case the effective low-energy field theory Hamiltonian would belong to the class of the Standard Model Extension (SME) considered in [1]. We shall not discuss this latter case here.
The statistical average over populations of D-particles can be taken at
the level of the evolution equation (63), under the parametrization (65). For
the Gaussian case (66), the result formally reads:

\[ \langle \langle \dot{\rho}_S \rangle \rangle = i \langle \langle [\rho_S, \hat{H}] \rangle \rangle - \frac{\zeta^2 g_s^2}{2 M_s} \langle \langle k, [\hat{k'}, \rho_S] \rangle \rangle \] (67)

where the operator nature, denoted by the hatted notation, indicates the
result of genus summation on the world-sheet.

In the case of systems with two-mass eigenstates, with masses \( m_i, i = 1, 2 \)
and momentum \( |k, i\rangle \), it is straightforward to see from (67) that in a center
of mass frame, where one eigenstate has momentum \( +k \) and the other \(-k \), only
the non-diagonal matrix elements of the density matrix, corresponding to
interference terms in the oscillation, exhibit non-trivial decoherence, leading
to exponential damping of the oscillatory terms, with coefficients of the form:

\[ e^{-D t}, \quad D \sim \zeta^2 \frac{g_s^2 \langle k^2 \rangle}{M_s} = \zeta^2 \frac{g_s^2}{M_s} |k|^2 \] (68)

where we took into account that \( \hat{k} | \pm k, j\rangle = \pm k | \pm k, j\rangle \), (no sum over
\( i, j = 1, 2 \)). In estimating the quantum fluctuations of \( \hat{k}^2 \), we also took into
account that in our recoil case we assume quantum fluctuations about a zero
\( \langle u_i \rangle = 0 \) value, so that Lorentz symmetry is respected by the foam; hence the
damping exponent (68) is directly proportional to the variance of the recoil
velocity, \( \sigma_0 \), which has been calculated in detail in subsection 2.3 (49). The
statistical average over D-particle populations yields simply (c.f. (65)) the
extra factor of \( \zeta^2 \). This leads to the following order of magnitude estimate of the
Lindblad-decoherence damping due to D-particle quantum and statistical
fluctuations in our foam model:

\[ \mathcal{D} \sim \zeta^2 \frac{g_s^2}{M_s} \langle \vec{k}^2 \rangle, \] (69)

where \( \vec{k} \) is a typical average momentum of the matter particle at hand (neutral Kaon or neutrino for the specific examples considered here), \( g_s < 1 \) is the
(weak) string coupling and \( M_s \) is the string mass scale. The reader should
recall that the mass of the D-particle is \( M_s / g_s \). The parameter \( 0 < \zeta^2 < 1 \)
depends on the (statistical) details of the foam (type of distribution functions etc.) and incorporates the probability of interaction of string matter
with the D-particle defects. As such, it depends on the details of the mi-
scopnic foam models, such as density of D-particle defects on the brane
world of fig. 2 etc. In the most optimistic case of having one D-particle per
string-scale volume on the D3 brane world, \( \zeta^2 = \mathcal{O}(1) \), which translates to
an average momentum transfer during the flight of the matter particle
of order of the initial incident energy. However, in realistic models one might
have \( \Delta \ll 1 \), especially for dilute populations of D-particles. This may also
depend on the cosmological era considered, since, depending on the model of
foam, the distribution of D-particles in the bulk geometry of fig. 2 may not
be uniform at all. Constraints of this parameter, therefore, may be imposed
by studying in detail the cosmology of these models and comparing them against cosmological data on the Universe’s energy budget.

Owing to the normal-ordering ambiguities of the decoherence term in (61), a slightly more sophisticated form of this term can be presented for the case of neutral Kaons (or neutrinos in that matter), with CP and other properties of the system being taken properly into account. Indeed, our Lindblad decoherence (63) can be cast in the parametrization of ref. [44], for neutral Kaon system, respecting the $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule, with $S$ the strangeness quantum number. In such a case, the modified Lindblad evolution equation for the respective density matrices of neutral kaon matter can be parametrized as follows [44, 45, 46]:

$$\partial_t \rho = i[\rho, H] + \delta H \rho ,$$

where the Hamiltonian of the Kaon system is given by:

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\Gamma & -\frac{1}{2} \delta \Gamma & -\text{Im} \Gamma_{12} & -\text{Re} \Gamma_{12} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \delta \Gamma & -\Gamma & -2\text{Re} M_{12} & -2\text{Im} M_{12} \\
-\text{Im} \Gamma_{12} & 2\text{Re} M_{12} & -\Gamma & -\delta M \\
-\text{Re} \Gamma_{12} & -2\text{Im} M_{12} & \delta M & -\Gamma
\end{pmatrix} \quad (70)$$

and the Lindblad decoherence term has the form:

$$\delta H_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2\alpha - 2\beta \\
0 & 0 & -2\beta - 2\gamma
\end{pmatrix} . \quad (71)$$

Positivity of $\rho$ requires: $\alpha, \gamma > 0, \quad \alpha \gamma > \beta^2$. Notice that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ violate both CPT, due to their decohering nature [12], and CP symmetry, as they do not commute with the CP operator $\mathcal{CP}$ [45]: $\mathcal{CP} = \sigma_3 \cos \theta + \sigma_2 \sin \theta$, $[\delta H_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{CP}] \neq 0$. In the context of our D-particle foam Lindblad decoherence model (63), the statistical average over populations of D-particle defects, (67), has been properly taken prior to arriving at the parametrization (70), (71).

An important remark is now in order. As pointed out in [47], although the above parametrization is sufficient for a single-kaon state to have a positive definite density matrix (and hence probabilities) this is not true when one considers the evolution of entangled kaon states (such as those encountered in $\phi$-factories [16]). In this latter case, complete positivity is guaranteed only if the further conditions

$$\alpha = \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 0 \quad (72)$$

are imposed. In this case, the Lindblad decoherence is described by a single parameter, $\gamma$, which leads to exponential damping with time, of the form $e^{-\gamma t}$ for interference terms.

In the specific decoherence model (63), (67), considered here, which as we have seen above can be cast in this parameterization, respecting by construction complete positivity even on entangled states, we have estimated the order of this decoherence parameter as almost maximal, see (69), in the sense that, up to a string-coupling factor $g_s < 1$, the rest is the one expected from dimensional analysis $E^2/M_{QG}$, since for the particle probes considered here (either neutral mesons or neutrinos) the energy scale is of the same order as the momentum scale and also, in the D-particle foam model, the quantum gravity scale is the D-particle mass, $M_s/g_s$. The latter is usually assumed of the order of the four-dimensional Planck scale $10^{19}$ GeV, although one should keep an open mind, given the freedom one has in the values of $M_s, g_s$ in the modern version of string theory, in particular in low-$M_s$ string theories.
In the above discussion we have assumed that during the interaction of the string matter with the D-particle defect no “flavour” changes take place. However, this is not true in general. Indeed, the D-particles may be viewed as playing the role of space-time defects of brany black-hole type, appropriately compactified (say, D3-branes wrapped around 3 cycles, for instance see the type IIB construction of D-particle foam in ref. [48]). In such a way, the appropriate no-hair theorems of such black holes allows “flavour” non-conservation during the interaction, and therefore oscillations. In other words, in the simple models of D-foam depicted in fig. 2 the re-emitted string state after capture by the defect may be of different flavour than the incident one. This implies that in our effective representation of the recoil velocity in terms of fractions of the momentum operator the function \( r \) is no longer a scalar function, but assumes a matrix structure in flavour space. In the simplified case of two flavours, examined here, we thus have the replacement (at an effective low-energy field-theory level):

\[
\begin{align*}
  r &\to r = r_0 I_{2 \times 2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} r_i \sigma_i \equiv r_\mu \sigma_\mu ,  \\
  < r_\mu > &\equiv 0 ,  \\
  < r_\mu r_\nu > &\equiv \delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_\mu 
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \sigma_i \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) Pauli matrices, and \( I_{2 \times 2} \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix. Inclusion of such flavour changes does not affect the order of magnitude estimate of the Lindblad-decoherence effects [60].

Perhaps it should be remarked at this stage that the current model of foam is different from the energy-driven decoherence model of Adler and Horwitz [41], presented as a rather generic Lindblad-type model of quantum-gravity foam in two-level quantum systems, which leads to much more suppressed decoherence effects. In [41] it was argued that, under the additional assumption of entropy increase and exact energy conservation in the system, hermiticity of the Lindblad environmental operators, \( D_n \), and commutativity with the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) follow, which in the case of a two-level system imply either \( D_n \propto I_{2 \times 2} \) or \( D_n \propto \hat{H} \). In the latter case, the double commutator Lindblad form for the (single) operator \( D \), assumed for simplicity, implies a much more suppressed decoherence-damping exponent, proportional to the energy difference between the two eigenstates

\[
D \sim \frac{(\Delta E)^2}{M_{\text{QG}}} ,
\]

where \( M_{\text{QG}} \) is a typical quantum gravity scale, depending on the microscopic model. For the neutral kaon or neutrino oscillating systems this term is of order \( \frac{(\Delta m^2)^2}{E^2 M_{\text{QG}}} \), where \( \Delta m^2 \equiv m_1^2 - m_2^2 \) the appropriate difference between the squared of the masses of the two mass eigenstates, which is assumed much smaller (as is the physical case) than then typical energies \( E \) of the matter probes. Indeed, for neutral Kaons, for instance, \( \Delta m \sim 10^{-15} \text{ GeV} \), while the typical energies in a \( \phi \)-factory [16] or a single-beam Kaon experiment, such as CPLEAR [49], are of order of GeV. For neutrinos, \( \Delta m^2 \) is at most \( 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \), with typical energies higher than MeV for experiments of oscillations of interest to probe quantum gravity effects [50]. We thus see that the Adler-Horwitz decoherence model [41], seems, at least presently, beyond experimental reach.

In contrast to the estimates implied by this model, our D-particle foam model leads to much stronger decoherence effects [60], provided the parameter \( \zeta^2 \) is not too small, i.e. the population of D-particles is not too dilute. This is mainly due to the fact that, in our case, due to momentum transfer between string matter and the D-particle, there is energy conservation only on average, and thus the environmental operators are proportional to the momentum transfer, and hence

\[2 \text{ By “flavour” here we mean either the two CP eigenstates in the case of neutral mesons, or the two neutrino flavours in the neutrino case.}\]
have a much more complicated structure than in the simple model of [11]. The combination

\[ M_{\text{QG eff}} = \frac{M_s}{\zeta^2 g^2 s} \tag{75} \]

in the D-particle foam model plays the role of an “effective quantum-gravity scale” that can be constrained by experiment, in particular neutrino oscillations [50], which seem to offer at present the highest possible sensitivity on bounding quantum-gravity-decoherence-induced damping effects in particle oscillations. In fact, the effective quantum-gravity scale from neutrino oscillations can be currently pushed as much as \(10^{27} \) GeV, which on account of (75), for natural values of \(M_s/g_s \sim 10^{19} \) GeV, yields the upper bound \(\zeta^2 g_s \leq 10^{-8}\). We remind the reader that the statistical variance \(\zeta^2\) of the recoil velocity of the D-particle populations is a quantity independent of the string scale, that depends on statistical microscopic properties of the D-particle population, which may be cosmological-era dependent (c.f. fig. 2). Therefore the present-era bounds may not be translated trivially into the early Universe, where the density of D-particles in the foam might have been much higher.

2.5 D-particle-recoil-induced Finsler-metric distortions and Space-Time Non Commutativity

In addition to the Lindblad-type decoherence, implied by stochastic quantum fluctuations of the D-particle recoil velocity in the foam, there are also induced modifications of the target-space metric ‘felt’ by the open string describing matter excitations in interaction with the foam. There is a straightforward way to see this, by drawing an analogy of the existence of the recoil velocity term, for times \(X^0 > 0\) well after the string-splitting/capture event, with an electric background field [51, 52]. Indeed, let one consider the boundary recoil/capture operator \(V^\text{imp}_D\) in the Dirichlet picture, written as a total derivative over the bulk of the world-sheet by means of the two-dimensional version of Stokes theorem (omitting from now on the explicit summation over repeated \(i\)-index, which is understood to be over the spatial indices of the D3-brane world):

\[ V^\text{imp}_D = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_D d^2z \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\alpha \left[ \left\{ u_i X^0 \right\} \Theta (X^0) \partial^\beta X^i \right] = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_D d^2z (2u_i) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta X^0 \left[ \Theta_\varepsilon(X^0) + X^0 \delta_\varepsilon(X^0) \right] \partial^\alpha X^i \tag{76} \]

where \(\delta_\varepsilon(X^0)\) is an \(\varepsilon\)-regularised \(\delta\)-function. This is equivalent to a deformation describing an open string propagating in an antisymmetric \(B_{\mu\nu}\)-background corresponding to an external constant in target-space “electric” field,

\[ B_{0i} \sim u_i , \quad B_{ij} = 0 , \tag{77} \]

where the \(X^0 \delta(X^0)\) terms in the argument of the electric field yield vanishing contributions in the large time limit \(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0\), and hence are ignored from now on.

3 We remark for completeness that, upon a T-duality canonical transformation of the coordinates [55], the presence of the B-field leads to mixed-type boundary conditions for open strings on the boundary \(\partial D\) of world-sheet surfaces with the topology of a disc:

\[ g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha X^\nu + B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu X^\mu |_{\partial D} = 0 , \tag{78} \]
 Considering commutation relations among the coordinates of the first quantised \( \sigma \)-model in the above background, one also obtains a non-commutative space-time relation \[52\] \[4\]. The pertinent non-commutativity refers to spatial coordinates along the direction of the electric field, and is expressed in the form

\[
[X^1, t] = i \theta^{10}, \quad \theta^{01} = -\theta^{10} \equiv \theta = \frac{1}{u_c} \frac{\tilde{u}}{1 - \tilde{u}^2}
\]

where \( t \) is the target time, and we assume for simplicity and concreteness recoil along the spatial \( X^1 \) direction. The quantity \( \tilde{u} \equiv \frac{E}{m} \) and \( u_c = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \) is the Born-Infeld critical field. Notice that the presence of the critical “electric” field is associated with a singularity of both the effective metric and the non commutativity parameter, while, as we shall discuss below \[53\] there is also an effective string coupling, which vanishes in that limit. This reflects the destabilization of the vacuum when the “electric” field intensity approaches the critical value, which was noted in \[53\]. Since in our D-particle foam case, the role of the ‘electric’ field is played by the recoil velocity of the D-particle defect, the critical field corresponds to the relativistic speed of light, in accordance with special relativistic kinematics, which is respected in string theory, by construction.

The space-time uncertainty relations \[79\] are consistent with the corresponding space-time string uncertainty principle \[31\]

\[
\Delta X \Delta t \geq \alpha'
\]

As discussed in detail in refs. \[52, 51\], there is also an induced open-string effective target-space-time metric. To find it, one should consider the world-sheet propagator on the disc \( \langle X^{\mu}(z, \bar{z}), X^{\nu}(0, 0) \rangle \), with the boundary conditions \[78\]. Upon using a conformal mapping of the disc onto the upper half plane with the real axis (parametrised by \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \)) as its boundary \[51\], one then obtains:

\[
\langle X^{\mu}(\tau)X^{\nu}(0) \rangle = -\alpha' g_{\mu \nu}^{\text{open, electric}} \ln \tau^2 + i \frac{g_{\mu \nu}}{2} \epsilon(\tau)
\]

with the non-commutative parameters \( \theta^{\mu \nu} \) given by \[79\], and the effective open-string metric, due to the presence of the recoil-velocity field \( u \), whose direction breaks target-space Lorentz invariance, by:

\[
g_{\mu \nu}^{\text{open, electric}} = \begin{cases} 
(1 - \tilde{u}^2) \eta_{\mu \nu}, & \mu, \nu = 0, 1 \\
\eta_{\mu \nu}, & \mu, \nu = \text{all other values},
\end{cases}
\]

where, for concreteness and simplicity, we consider a frame of reference where the matter particle has momentum only across the spatial direction \( X^1 \), i.e. \( 0 \neq k_1 \equiv k \parallel u_1, k_2 = k_3 = 0 \). Moreover, there is a modified effective string coupling \[51, 52\]:

\[
g_{\text{eff}}^s = g_s \left(1 - \tilde{u}^2\right)^{1/2}
\]

The fact that the metric in our recoil case depends on momentum transfer variables, implies that D-particle recoil induces Finsler-type metrics \[54\], i.e. metric functions that depend on phase-space coordinates, that is space-time and momentum coordinates. We mention here that such metrics have been suggested in the context of

\[4\] In contrast, in the case of strings in a constant magnetic field \[51\] (corresponding to B-fields of the form \( B_{ij} \neq 0, B_{0i} = 0 \)), the non commutativity is only between spatial target-space coordinates.

\[77\] Absence of a recoil-velocity \( u \)-field leads to the usual Neumann boundary conditions, while the limit where \( G_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow 0 \), with \( u_i \neq 0 \), leads to Dirichlet boundary conditions.
a T-dual Neumann picture [22] of the D-particle recoil process in refs. [11] (we note that T-duality is a canonical transformation in the \( \sigma \)-model path integral [53]).

Stochastic quantum fluctuations of the recoil velocity \( u_i \), therefore, due to both statistical (D-particle population) and genuine quantum (genus summation) effects imply corresponding fluctuations in the induced space-time metric [23] and, through them, they affect the propagation of matter strings on such fluctuating geometries. Such metric fluctuations will modify the dispersion relations of the matter probe, and hence will be associated with the Hamiltonian commutator term in the density-matrix evolution equation [63]. This term will contribute to a phase in the density matrix, and hence such metric fluctuations will not contribute to damping but affect the oscillation period. For the induced metric [52], we obtain the modified dispersion relation:

\[
\omega_i^2 = k^2 + \frac{m_1^2}{1 - u_1^2} \simeq k^2 + m_i^2 + m_i^2 u_i^2 + \ldots, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (84)
\]
to leading order in the small recoil velocities \(|u_1| \ll 1\), where we restrict our attention throughout this work.

For concreteness, let us consider the case of high energy matter particles, for which \( k \gg m_i \). In such a case, it is straightforward to see from (63) that the shift in the oscillation period, due to the modified dispersion relation (84) in our D-particle foam model, is of order:

\[
\text{Shift in argument of trigonometric oscillatory terms : } g_s^2 \frac{m_i^2 - m_1^2}{2 M_s^2} \xi^2 k t \quad (85)
\]

where \( t \) is the time. Compared to the standard oscillation term \((m_i^2 - m_1^2)t/2k\), this is negligible for D-particles in the (natural) range of masses \( M_s/g_s \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV}, \) and a wide range of energies/momenta \( k \) of matter probes, even as high as those of high-energy cosmic rays \( k \sim 10^{20} \text{ eV}. \)

For completeness, we also mention at this stage that, when we consider the physically interesting case of “flavoured matter” with mixing, such as neutrinos, then the mass eigenstates are different from the physically observed flavour eigenstates, which are related to the former by \( |\phi_\alpha\rangle = \sum_i U_{\alpha i} |f_i\rangle \), with Greek indices \( \alpha \) denoting flavour, and Latin indices denoting mass eigenstates. For instance, for the simple but instructive case of two flavours, with mixing angle \( \theta \), the matrix \( U \) is given by the \( 2 \times 2 \) unitary matrix:

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.
\]

More complicated expressions, with more than one mixing angles are considered for the physically relevant case of three light neutrino flavours [50]. Formally, the oscillation probability between the flavour eigenstates \( \alpha \to \beta \) is expressed in terms of the flavour density matrix

\[
P_{\alpha \to \beta} = \text{Tr}[\rho_\beta(t)\rho_\alpha(0)], \quad \rho_\alpha(0) \equiv | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha |,
\]
and the time-dependent flavour density matrix \( \rho_\beta(t) \) is found by solving appropriately the Lindblad-evolution equation, including mixing as described above. One also takes the statistical average over the population of D-particle defects in the foam [43]. The flavour mixing parameters (angle) appear as multiplicative trigonometric coefficients to the formulae for the induced Lindblad damping exponents in this case, and hence for mixing angles in the physically relevant range (for, say, neutrinos), such terms are of order one and do not affect significantly the above-mentioned order of magnitude estimates (69) of decoherence in the model.

To recapitulate, in this string-inspired model of D-particle foam, the decoherence, which is of Lindblad type, owes its existence to the environmental terms of [63], due to the non-conformal \( \sigma \)-model D-particle recoil deformations [4]. A final, but important, aspect of this type of string foam is the induced intrinsic CPT violation, as a result of this decoherence, which we now come to discuss, paying particular attention to its potential experimental consequences.
3 Intrinsic CPT Violation

3.1 Microscopic Time Arrow due to Decoherence

The presence of decoherence in the effective low-energy string-inspired field theory limit, describing propagation of low-energy string matter in D-particle foam, implies a strong form of “violation” of CPT operator in the sense of [12]. Indeed, according to that work, the evolution of initially pure quantum states to mixed ones, due to the decoherent evolution of an open quantum relativistic system, will result in an ill-defined CPT operator. The proof is essentially based [12] on initially making the assumption of a well-defined unitary CPT operator, Θ, and then arriving at an inconsistency, thus proving the initial assumption wrong. Consider the CPT operator Θ, acting on asymptotic (‘in’ and ‘out’) density matrices,

\[ \Theta \rho_{in} = \rho_{out}, \quad \Theta^\dagger = \Theta^{-1} \]  

(86)

One should take into account that in decoherent quantum systems the asymptotic density matrices are related by the (linear) super-scattering operator of Hawking [6]:

\[ \rho_{out} = S \rho_{in}, \quad \rho_{out} = S \rho_{out} \]  

(87)

In decoherent situations, the factorizability property of the super-scattering matrix S in terms of ordinary scattering S-matrix breaks down, \( S \neq SS^\dagger \), with \( H \) the Hamiltonian. This property is equivalent to the breakdown of the local effective lagrangian formalism, which relies on well-defined perturbative scattering matrices. It is important to notice that, as a result of “loss of information” for the asymptotic low-energy observer, who cannot measure degrees of freedom of the system trapped inside either microscopic quantum-fluctuating horizons in generic models of space-time foam [7], or, in the particular case of D-particle foam [11], associated with space-time distortions in the neighborhood of the D-particle defect as a result of the capture/splitting process of fig. 2, the S-matrix has [6, 12] no inverse.

From (86) and (87), we readily obtain:

\[ \rho_{in} = \Theta \rho_{out} = \Theta S \rho_{in} = \Theta S \Theta^{-1} \Theta \rho_{in} = \Theta S \Theta \dagger \rho_{out} = \Theta S \Theta \dagger S \rho_{in} \]  

(88)

from which it follows that \( \Theta S \Theta \dagger \) is the inverse of S. This contradicts the above-mentioned property of S of having no-inverse, and implies that the assumption on the existence of a well-defined unitary CPT operator \( \Theta \), acting on density matrices, was false.

This is really a breakdown of a Microscopic Time Irreversibility [12], due to the afore-mentioned loss of information in the low-energy subsystem due to decoherence. This time irreversibility is, in principle, unrelated to CP properties, and this implies a breakdown of the entire CPT symmetry, in the sense of the afore-mentioned ill-defined nature of the quantum generator of CPT symmetry (intrinsic CPT Violation). The result should come to no surprise, since decoherence violates one of the important assumptions on CPT theorem [3], that of unitarity. In our model of foam, of course there is also a second assumption that is violated locally in space-time, that of Lorentz symmetry [3], due to the direction of the recoil velocity of the D-particle defect. Even if, Lorentz symmetry is preserved on the average, due to \( \langle u_i \rangle = 0 \), nevertheless, fluctuations of the vector \( u_i \) are non trivial, \( \langle u_i^2 \rangle \neq 0 \).

5 The CPT operator \( \theta \) acting on state vectors is anti-unitary, due to the anti-unitary nature of the time-reversal operator, however when one considers the action of the CPT operator \( \Theta \) on density matrices \( |x\rangle\langle y| \) then it is unitary, as it is essentially a product of \( \theta \theta \).
Notice, though, that the violation of CPT in our model is primarily related to the ill-defined nature of the CPT generator as a result of the non-conformal (on the world-sheet) nature of the recoiling D-particle background, over which the matter string propagates. As explained above, it is the deviation from conformal invariance that leads to extra decoherence terms in the respective evolution equation of the reduced density matrix of matter in such an “environment”. Therefore, although as we have seen in the previous subsection (c.f. (79)) - the D-particle recoil induces space-time non-commutativity, it is not the latter that implies microscopic Time irreversibility, and thus intrinsic CPT Violation, but rather the decoherence due to the non-conformal nature of the recoiling (changing also with time, due to the impulse operators $\Theta(X^b)$) background over which the string propagates. That it is not the space-time non-commutativity that causes the CPT Violation is also in agreement with the analogy of the D-particle recoil with that of a constant electric field. It is known, that such a non-commutative field-theory case leads to an effective low-energy local field theory lagrangian of the SME type, in the continuum limit, with Lorentz but not CPT Violating terms (the Lorentz violation is due to the direction of the electric field, whose rôle is played here by the direction of the recoil velocity).

It should be stressed, that in our approach, the ill-defined nature of the CPT operator is only perturbative, in the sense that the anti-particle state exists. It is a feature of the effective low-energy limit of string theory, where measurements are performed by a low-energy observer, using local (super)scattering matrices, which cannot detect the quantum fluctuating distortions of space time, as a result of the recoiling defects during their interaction with low-energy string matter. The full string theory is of course a well-defined theory of quantum gravity, and should be characterised by some version of CPT theorem although, I must admit that a formally constructed CPT operator, that preserves the symmetry at a fully non-perturbative string-theory level is way beyond the author’s understanding at present. For attempts to argue that a non-perturbative version of string/M-theory might conserve CPT exactly, I refer the reader to some recent articles in the literature. However, in view of the “foamy” constructions mentioned in the current article and past works on the subject mentioned in its references, I do not share the opinion that this issue can be settled easily, and in this sense experimental searches for possible CPT violations in the context at least of low-energy limits of quantum gravity, should be pursued. This is a topic I turn to now, in an attempt to discuss rather unique, “smoking-gun type” effects in some experimental situations, where decoherence-induced CPT violation, if true, can be tested in a rather unambiguous way.

3.2 Intrinsic CPT Violation and Entangled Neutral-Meson States

3.2.1 The $\omega$-effect

We now come to a description of an entirely novel effect of CPT Violation (CPTV) due to the ill-defined nature of the CPT operator, which is rather exclusive to neutral-meson factories, for reasons explained below. The effect, termed $\omega$-effect, is associated with appropriate modifications of the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) correlators of entangled neutral meson states in a meson factory. These effects are qualitatively similar for Kaon and $B$-meson factories, but in kaon factories there is a particularly good channel, that of both correlated kaons decaying to $\pi^+\pi^-$. In that channel the sensitivity of the $\omega$-effect increases because the complex parameter $\omega$, parametrizing the relevant EPR modifications, appears in the particular combination $|\omega|/|\eta_{++}|$, with $|\eta_{++}| \sim 10^{-3}$. In the case of $B$-meson factories one should focus instead on the “same-sign” di-lepton channel, where high statistics occurs.

We commence our discussion by briefly reminding the reader of EPR particle correlations. The EPR effect was originally proposed as a paradox, testing the foun-
lations of Quantum Theory. There was the question whether quantum correlations between spatially separated events implied instant transport of information that would contradict special relativity. It was eventually realized that no super-luminal propagation was actually involved in the EPR phenomenon, and thus there was no conflict with relativity.

\[ x \xrightarrow{\cdots} 1 \xrightarrow{\cdots} 2 \xrightarrow{\cdots} y \]

**Fig. 3** Schematic representation of the decay of a φ-meson at rest (for definiteness) into pairs of entangled neutral kaons, which eventually decay on the two sides of the detector.

The EPR effect has been confirmed experimentally, e.g., in meson factories: (i) a pair of particles can be created in a definite quantum state, (ii) move apart and, (iii) eventually decay when they are widely (spatially) separated (see Fig. 3 for a schematic representation of an EPR effect in a meson factory). Upon making a measurement on one side of the detector and identifying the decay products, we infer the type of products appearing on the other side; this is essentially the EPR correlation phenomenon. It does not involve any simultaneous measurement on both sides, and hence there is no contradiction with special relativity. As emphasized by Lipkin [59], the EPR correlations between different decay modes should be taken into account when interpreting any experiment.

In the case of φ factories it was claimed [60] that due to EPR correlations, irrespective of CP, and CPT violation, the final state in φ decays: \( e^+e^- \rightarrow φ \rightarrow K_S K_L \) always contains \( K_L K_S \) products. This is a direct consequence of imposing the requirement of Bose statistics on the state \( K^0 \bar{K}^0 \) (to which the φ decays); this, in turn, implies that the physical neutral meson-antimeson state must be symmetric under CP, with C the charge conjugation and \( \mathcal{P} \) the operator that permutes the spatial coordinates. Assuming conservation of angular momentum, and a proper existence of the antiparticle state (denoted by a bar), one observes that: for \( K^0 \bar{K}^0 \) states which are C-conjugates with \( C \equiv (-1)\ell \) (with \( \ell \) the angular momentum quantum number), the system has to be an eigenstate of the permutation operator \( \mathcal{P} \) with eigenvalue \((-1)^\ell\). Thus, for \( \ell = 1; C = - \rightarrow \mathcal{P} = - \). Bose statistics ensures that for \( \ell = 1 \) the state of two identical bosons is forbidden. Hence, the initial entangled state:

\[
|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |K^0(k), K^0(-k)\rangle \right)
= \mathcal{N} (|K_S(k), K_L(-k)\rangle - |K_L(k), K_S(-k)\rangle)
\]

with the normalization factor \( \mathcal{N} = \frac{\sqrt[4]{1+|\epsilon|^{2}\epsilon^{1}}}{\sqrt[2]{1-|\epsilon|^{2}\epsilon^{1}}} \approx \frac{1+|\epsilon|^{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \), and \( K_S = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+|\epsilon|^{2}}} (|K_+ > + \epsilon_1 |K_-\rangle), \ K_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1+|\epsilon|^{2}}} (|K_+ > + \epsilon_2 |K_-\rangle) \), where \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \) are complex parameters, such that \( \epsilon \equiv \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \) denotes the CP- & T-violating parameter, whilst \( \delta \equiv \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 \) parametrizes the CPT & CP violation within quantum mechanics [61], as discussed previously. The \( K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \) or \( K_S \rightarrow K_L \) correlations are apparent after evolution, at any time \( t > 0 \) (with \( t = 0 \) taken as the moment of the φ decay).

In the above considerations there is an implicit assumption, which was noted in [13]. The above arguments are valid independently of CPTV but only under the provision that such violation occurs within quantum mechanics, e.g., due to spontaneous Lorentz violation [1], where the CPT operator is well defined.
If, however, CPT is intrinsically violated, in the sense of being ill-defined as a result of decoherence in space-time foam models [12], the concept of the “antiparticle” may be modified perturbatively! The perturbative modification of the properties of the antiparticle is important, since the antiparticle state is a physical state which exists, despite the ill-definition of the CPT operator. However, the antiparticle Hilbert space will have components that are independent of the particle Hilbert space.

In such a case, the neutral mesons \( K^0 \) and \( \bar{K}^0 \) should no longer be treated as indistinguishable particles. As a consequence [13], the initial entangled state in \( \phi \) factories |\( i \rangle \), after the \( \phi \)-meson decay, will acquire a component with opposite permutation (P) symmetry:

\[
|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |K_0(k), \bar{K}_0(-k)\rangle - |\bar{K}_0(k), K_0(-k)\rangle \right) + \frac{\omega}{2} \left( |K_0(k), \bar{K}_0(-k)\rangle + |\bar{K}_0(k), K_0(-k)\rangle \right) \\
= \mathcal{N} \left( |K_S(k), K_L(-k)\rangle - |K_L(k), K_S(-k)\rangle \right) + \omega \left( |K_S(k), K_S(-k)\rangle - |K_L(k), K_L(-k)\rangle \right),
\]

where \( \mathcal{N} \) is an appropriate normalization factor, and \( \omega = |\omega| e^{i\Omega} \) is a complex parameter, parametrizing the intrinsic CPTV modifications of the EPR correlations. Notice that, as a result of the \( \omega \)-terms, there exist, in the two-kaon state, \( K_S K_S \) or \( K_L K_L \) combinations, which entail important effects to the various decay channels. Due to this effect, termed the \( \omega \)-effect by the authors of [13], there is contamination of \( \mathcal{P}(\text{odd}) \) state with \( \mathcal{P}(\text{even}) \) terms. The \( \omega \)-parameter controls the amount of contamination of the final \( \mathcal{P}(\text{odd}) \) state by the “wrong” (\( \mathcal{P}(\text{even}) \)) symmetry state. A time evolution of the \( \omega \)-terms, even in a purely unitary Hamiltonian evolution, will lead [13, 14, 57] to observable differences in the final states, as compared with the CPT conserving case, that can be tested experimentally in principle, as we shall describe briefly in subsection 3.2.3 below, and in fact constitute, if observed, rather “smoking-gun” evidence of this type of decoherence-induced CPT Violation.

Before doing this, however, it is essential to describe the \( \omega \)-like effects that arise in the specific model of D-particle foam, and estimate the order of magnitude of such effects.

### 3.2.2 Searching for \( \omega \)-like effects in D-particle Foam

In the context of our D-particle foam model, the induced decoherence is responsible for the generation of \( \omega \)-like terms \( K_S K_S \text{ or } K_L K_L \) (or better, appropriate combinations of \( K^0 \bar{K}^0 \) and \( \bar{K}^0 K^0 \) terms), due to the decoherent evolution in the space-time foam [64]. In fact, as discussed in [14], in the parameterization [74], [72], extended appropriately to entangled Kaon states [76], \( \omega \)-like terms \( K_S K_S \text{ or } K_L K_L \), generated by decoherent time evolution, appear with coefficients [14]

\[
-\frac{2\gamma}{\Delta \Gamma} \rho_S \otimes \rho_S, \quad \frac{2\gamma}{\Delta \Gamma} \rho_L \otimes \rho_L
\]

with \( \rho_{S(L)} \) appropriate density matrices for Short (S)- and Long (L) -lived Kaons respectively, and \( \Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_S - \Gamma_L \sim 10^{-15} \text{ GeV} \). In our D-particle foam model, the Lindblad decoherence coefficient \( \gamma \) is of the order of \( \mathcal{D} \) in [69], i.e.

\[
\gamma \sim g_s^2 \zeta \frac{k^2}{M_s},
\]
with \( k \) a typical average momentum of the matter particle. We shall discuss the
experimental prospects for detecting such effects in subsection \( \text{(2.2.4)} \).

A natural question to ask at this stage is whether \( \omega \)-like terms, of the form \( \text{(89)} \),
could appear in this model. If the initial decay of the \( \phi \)-meson takes place in
the presence of a D-particle defect, which is a natural assumption to make, then there
would also be \( \omega \)-like decoherendent terms in the initial state of the two kaons, of
the form \( \text{(82)} \). Indeed, the presence of a D-particle in the initial entangled state of two
neutral mesons, after the \( \phi \)-meson decay, implies perturbations in the Hamiltonian
of the system, due to the induced metric fluctuations \( \text{(82)} \), which, in the small \( u_i \),
limit, yield modified dispersion relations for the matter probes of the form \( \text{(84)} \).

Solving for the energy \( \omega \), by taking the square root of the r.h.s. of \( \text{(84)} \), expanding in
powers of \( |u_i|^2 \ll 1 \) and keeping only the lowest non-trivial order, we obtain, after
taking the average \( \ll \ldots \gg \), denoting with higher-genus quantum fluctuations
\( \text{(17)} \) for the case of a single quantum-fluctuating D-particle, or a statistical average
for the case of populations of D-particles affecting the \( \phi \)-meson decay:

\[
\ll \omega \gg \sim \sqrt{k^2 + m^2 + m^2} \ll |u_i|^2 \gg + \ldots = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2 + \zeta^2 m^2} \frac{k^2}{2k^2 + m^2} + \ldots
\]

(92)

For the case of a single D-particle being present in the decay of \( \phi \)-meson, the
parameter \( \zeta \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \) (c.f. \( \text{(17)} \) and related discussion in that section). In the spirit
of ref. \[15\], we treat the interaction term

\[
\hat{H}_I \equiv \bar{m}^2 \zeta^2 \frac{\hat{k}^2}{2k^2 + m^2}
\]

(93)

as an operator generating a quantum Hamiltonian perturbation in the framework of
non-degenerate perturbation theory. This would give the “gravitationally-dressed”
initial entangled meson states, immediately after the \( \phi \) decay. The result is:

\[
\begin{align*}
& |k, \downarrow\rangle_{\text{QG}}^{(1)}|\downarrow\rangle_{\text{QG}} - |k, \downarrow\rangle_{\text{QG}}^{(2)}|\downarrow\rangle_{\text{QG}} = |k, \downarrow\rangle^{(1)}|\downarrow\rangle^{(2)} - |k, \downarrow\rangle^{(1)}|\uparrow\rangle^{(2)} + |k, \downarrow\rangle^{(1)}|\downarrow\rangle^{(2)} (\beta^{(1)} - \beta^{(2)}) + |k, \downarrow\rangle^{(1)}|\downarrow\rangle^{(2)} (\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(1)}) \\
& + \beta^{(1)} \alpha^{(2)} |k, \downarrow\rangle^{(1)}|\downarrow\rangle^{(2)} - \alpha^{(1)} \beta^{(2)} |k, \downarrow\rangle^{(1)}|\downarrow\rangle^{(2)}
\end{align*}
\]

(94)

where

\[
\alpha^{(i)} = \frac{\langle \uparrow, k \rangle^{(i)} \hat{H}_I |k \rangle^{(i)} \rangle}{E_2 - E_1}, \quad \beta^{(i)} = \frac{\langle \downarrow, k \rangle^{(i)} \hat{H}_I |k \rangle^{(i)} \rangle}{E_1 - E_2}, \quad i = 1, 2
\]

(95)

and the index \( i \) runs over meson species (“flavours”) \( (1 \rightarrow K_L, \ 2 \rightarrow K_S) \). The
reader should notice that the terms proportional to \( (\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(1)}) \) and \( (\beta^{(1)} - \beta^{(2)}) \)
in \( \text{(94)} \) generate \( \omega \)-like effects, if the coefficients are non zero.

In \[15\] we have discussed models within the context of D-particle foam, where
such terms are generated in the initial state, as a result of space-time metric
distortions that have off diagonal \( g_{0i} \) components proportional to the D-particle recoil
velocity \( u_i \). As explained in detail in \[15\], where we refer the interested reader
details, \( \omega \)-like terms are present in the initial entangled states of Kaons after
the \( \phi \)-decay, provided the interactions of a Kaon state with a D-particle change
the mass eigenstate (“flavour” changing interaction), in other words the re-emitted
open string after capture in fig. \[2\] is characterised by a different mass than the
different Kaon, while momentum is conserved on average. In such a case, the gravi-
tational dressing \( \text{(93)} \) can be achieved \[15\] by flavour-changing perturbations of the form:

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{other models}} = -(r_1 \sigma_1 + r_2 \sigma_2) \hat{k}, \quad \ll r_i \gg = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 3 \ll r_i r_j \gg = \delta_{ij} \sigma_0 \ .
\]

(96)
where $\ll \ldots \gg$ denote appropriate averages over stochastic and population effects of the foam, as usual. The reader should notice the vector nature in momentum space of this perturbation, which as explained in detail in [15], is a consequence of the off-diagonal metric elements $g_{i\mu} \sim u_i$ that affect the probe’s dispersion relation appropriately, leading — after perturbative expansion in powers of $u_i$, as above — to the form (48).

We next remark that on averaging the matter-probe density matrix over the random variables $r_i$, which are treated as independent variables between the two meson particles of the initial state $|1\rangle$, we observe that only terms of order $|\omega|^2$ survive, with the order of $|\omega|^2$ being

$$|\omega|^2 = \sum_{(1),(2)} \left( \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{(E_1 - E_2)^2} |\langle k | H^\text{other models} | 1 \rangle|^2 \right) \right) = \sum_{(1),(2)} \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\sigma_0 k^2}{(E_1 - E_2)^2} \right) \sim \sum_{(1),(2)} \left( \frac{\sigma_0 k^2}{(m_1 - m_2)^2} \right)$$

for the physically interesting case of non-relativistic Kaons in $\phi$ factories, in which the momenta are of order of the rest energies. The notation $\sum_{(1),(2)} \ldots$ above indicates that one considers the sum of the variances $\sigma_0$ over the two meson states 1, 2 as defined above. The latter can be estimated in a similar way as in our case here, (19), leading to the following order of magnitude estimate of the $\omega$-effects in the initial state $|1\rangle$:

$$|\omega|^2 \sim \xi^2 g_\theta^2 \frac{(m_1^2 + m_2^2)}{M^2} \frac{k^2}{(m_1 - m_2)^2},$$

where the factor $\xi^2$ takes proper account of statistical (over populations of D-particles) effects, that might be present during the initial decay of the $\phi$-meson. As already mentioned, for the case of a single D-particle present during the $\phi$-meson decay, this factor is of order $\xi = \mathcal{O}(1)$, if substructure of the mesons is ignored when quantum gravitational interactions are considered. In realistic situations, however, where the strong interaction substructure of the Kaons is taken into account, such effects are also absorbed (in a sort of mean-field way) into this parameter, which thus may no longer be of order one, even for a single fluctuating D-particle. In fact, there might be a strong-interaction suppression of the effects due to the D-particle interactions with the (electrically neutral) gluon constituents of the mesons, in which case $\xi \ll 1$. At present, such detailed calculations have not been performed.

The result (98), implies, for neutral Kaons in a $\phi$ factory ($m_L - m_2 \sim 3.48 \times 10^{-15}$ GeV), the following estimate (34)

$$|\omega| = \xi \mathcal{O} \left( 10^{-5} \right),$$

As we shall discuss in subsection 5.2.4, such effects can in principle be falsifiable in the next generation facilities, provided $\xi$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Thus, we see that the near degeneracy of the two mass-eigenstates of the neutral mesons, $(m_1 - m_2)/m_1 \ll 1$, provides the appropriate magnifying effects of an otherwise tiny quantum-gravity effect, suppressed by the square of the quantum-gravity mass scale, here the mass $M_\phi$ of the D-particle defect in the foam. A similar rôle was played in the decoherence-induced $\omega$-effect (99) by the near-zero width difference $\Delta \Gamma \sim 10^{-15}$ GeV of the two states.

In the model we are considering here, however, where the induced metric is diagonal (33), the form of the interaction (33) does not change, even if we allow for “flavour” changing-interactions between the D-particles and the matter strings. Indeed, even if we assume the correspondence (33), according to which $u_i \rightarrow r_\mu \sigma_\mu$, where $\sigma_\mu$ an appropriate basis of $2 \times 2$ matrices, including the Pauli ones, we observe that, for the stochastically fluctuating Gaussian case we assume in this work, in which $r_\mu \gg 0$, $r_\mu r_\nu \gg \delta_{\mu\nu} \xi^2$, the square $|u_i|^2$, always remains
proportional to the identity in flavour space. Hence, in Eq. (89), the coefficients
\( \alpha^{(i)} = \beta^{(i)} = 0 \), and thus no \( \omega \)-effects appear in the initial state as a result of this gravitational dressing in the model considered here.

Nevertheless, by allowing other more general interactions, for instance based on off-diagonal induced metrics \[15, 34\], one can easily obtain \( \omega \)-like terms in the initial state. Hence one should always keep an open mind about this issue, especially when performing generic phenomenological searches of such quantum-gravity effects. If an \( \omega \)-term is present in the initial state (89), then a decoherent Lindblad evolution, parametrized by (71), (72) will generate terms of the form (90) but with modified coefficients [14]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( |\omega|^2 - \frac{2\gamma}{\Delta t} \right) \rho_S \otimes \rho_S, & \quad \left( |\omega|^2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\Delta t} \right) \rho_L \otimes \rho_L \\
\end{align*}
\]

(100)

A detailed analysis of various physically interesting observables in a \( \phi \)-factory, including identical final states, has been performed in [14], where we refer the reader for details on the form and the magnitude of the \( \omega \)-like effects.

3.2.3 \( \omega \)-Effect Observables in \( \phi \)-factories

To construct the appropriate observable for the possible detection of \( \omega \)-like effects, we consider the \( \phi \)-decay amplitude depicted in Fig. 3, where one of the kaon products decays to the final state \( X \) at \( t_1 \) and the other to the final state \( Y \) at time \( t_2 \). We take \( t = 0 \) as the moment of the \( \phi \)-meson decay.

The relevant amplitudes read:

\[
A(X, Y) = \langle X|K_S\rangle\langle Y|K_S\rangle N \left( A_1 + A_2 \right),
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= e^{-i(\lambda_L+\lambda_S)t/2}[\eta_X e^{-i\Delta t/2} - \eta_Y e^{i\Delta t/2}] \\
A_2 &= \omega[\eta_X e^{i\lambda_S t} - \eta_Y e^{-i\lambda_L t}]
\end{align*}
\]

denoting the CPT-allowed and CPT-violating parameters respectively, and \( \eta_X = \langle X|K_L\rangle/\langle X|K_S\rangle \) and \( \eta_Y = \langle Y|K_L\rangle/\langle Y|K_S\rangle \). In the above formulae, \( t \) is the sum of the decay times \( t_1, t_2 \) and \( \Delta t \) is their difference (assumed positive).

Fig. 4 A characteristic case of the intensity \( I(\Delta t) \), with \( |\omega| = 0 \) (solid line) vs \( I(\Delta t) \) (dashed line) with \( |\omega| = |\eta_+ - \Omega| \), \( \Omega = \phi_+ - 0.16\pi \), for definiteness [13].

The “intensity” \( I(\Delta t) \) is the desired observable for a detection of the \( \omega \)-effect,

\[
I(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\Delta t}^{\Delta t} dt |A(X, Y)|^2 .
\]

(101)
depending only on \( \Delta t \).
Its time profile reads \([13]\):

\[
I(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, |A(\pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^+ \pi^-)|^2 = \\
|\langle \pi^+ \pi^- | K_S \rangle| |N|^2 \eta_{+-}^2 \left[ I_1 + I_2 + I_{12} \right],
\]

(102)

where

\[
I_1(\Delta t) = e^{-\Gamma_S \Delta t} + e^{-\Gamma_L \Delta t} - 2 e^{-(\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L) \Delta t/2} \cos(\Delta m \Delta t) \\
I_2(\Delta t) = \frac{|\omega|^2}{\eta_{+-}^2} \frac{e^{-\Gamma_S \Delta t}}{2\Gamma_S} \\
I_{12}(\Delta t) = -\frac{4}{4(\Delta m)^2 + (3\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L)^2} \frac{|\omega|}{\eta_{+-}} \times \\
\left[ 2 \Delta m \left( e^{-\Gamma_S \Delta t} \sin(\phi_{+-} - \Omega) - \\
e^{-\Gamma_S \Delta t} \sin(\phi_{+-} - \Omega) \Delta m \Delta t) \right) \\
n - (3\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L) \left( e^{-\Gamma_L \Delta t} \cos(\phi_{+-} - \Omega) - \\
e^{-\Gamma_S \Delta t} \cos(\phi_{+-} + \Omega) \Delta m \Delta t) \right) \right],
\]

(103)

with \( \Delta m = m_S - m_L \) and \( \eta_{+-} = |\eta_{+-}| e^{i\phi_{+-}} \) in the usual notation \([61]\). A typical case for the relevant intensities, indicating clearly the novel CPTV \( \omega \)-effects, is depicted in Fig. 4.

As seen from (103), the novel \( \omega \)-effect appears in the combination \( \frac{|\omega|}{\eta_{+-}} \), thereby implying that the decay channel to \( \pi^+ \pi^- \) is particularly sensitive to the \( \omega \) effect \([13]\), due to the enhancement by \( 1/|\eta_{+-}| \sim 10^3 \), implying sensitivities up to \( |\omega| \sim 10^{-6} \) in \( \phi \)-factories. The physical reason for this enhancement is that \( \omega \) enters through \( K_S K_S \) as opposed to \( K_L K_S \) terms, and the \( K_L \to \pi^+ \pi^- \) decay is CP-violating. Although above we considered \( \omega \)-like terms in the initial state of the entangled Kaons after \( \phi \)-decay, and a unitary hamiltonian evolution for simplicity, qualitatively similar results pertain to our case of D-particle foam, where \( \omega \)-like effects are generated by Lindblad decoherence \([90]\). For a complete list of observables in \( \phi \)-factories, and detailed analysis of testing \( \omega \)-like effects, including those generated by decoherent evolution, in \( \phi \)-factories we refer the reader to ref. \([14]\).

3.2.4 Experimental Bounds on \( \omega \)-like and Decoherence Effects

Experimentally, the situation concerning the most recent bounds on \( \gamma \) and \( |\omega| \) parameters can be summarised as follows: the KLOE experiment at DAΦNE has released the latest measurement of the \( \omega \) parameter \([16]\):

\[
\text{Re}(\omega) = (-1.6^{+3.0}_{-2.1} \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}, \quad \text{Im}(\omega) = (-1.7^{+3.3}_{-3.0} \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}, \\
|\omega| < 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ at } 95 \% \text{ C.L.}
\]

(104)
One can constrain the $\omega$ parameter significantly in upgraded facilities. For instance, there are the following perspectives for KLOE-2 at (the upgraded) DAΦNE-2 [10]:

$$\text{Re}(\omega), \text{Im}(\omega) \rightarrow 2 \times 10^{-5}.$$

(105)

Thus we see that such searches can indeed falsify some models of D-particle foam where $\omega$-effects in the initial state arise as a result of foam effects on the decay of the $\phi$-meson, for which the estimate [18], [20] is valid, provided $\xi = O(1)$.

On the other hand, the Lindblad decoherence parameter $\gamma$, in completely positive parameterizations [71], [72], can be constrained with the highest-possible sensitivity at present in $\phi$-factories at DAΦNE, since the KLOE experiment has the greatest sensitivity to this parameter $\gamma$. The latest KLOE measurement for $\gamma$, as reported in ref. [16], yields

$$\gamma_{\text{KLOE}} = (0.7^{+1.2}_{-1.2} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-21} \text{ GeV},$$

(106)

i.e. $\gamma < 7 \times 10^{-22}$ GeV, competitive with the corresponding CPLEAR bound [49]. It is expected that this bound could be improved by an order of magnitude in the upgraded facilities KLOE-2 at DAΦNE-2 [10], where one expects

$$\gamma_{\text{upgrade}} \rightarrow \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-21} \text{ GeV}.$$  

(107)

In our decoherence-induced D-particle model, where the theoretical estimate [61] is valid, the above bounds imply

$$\frac{M_s}{g_s^{\gamma^2}} > 5 \times 10^{21} \text{ GeV}.$$ 

(108)

or, equivalently, $\zeta^2 < 5 \times 10^{-4}$, making the natural assumption for the value $M_s/g_s \sim 10^{19}$ GeV (Planck scale) of the mass of the D-particles. Thus, if the microscopic models are characterised by parameters $(\xi, \zeta) \sim O(1)$, then, they can be falsified in these upgraded neutral-meson facilities.

However, as already mentioned, the limits for the decoherence parameter $\gamma$ coming from neutrino oscillation experiments [50], with the energy dependence [91], [50], are stronger by several order of magnitudes. In this sense, next generation neutral Kaon facilities might not have the sensitivity to falsify such models, provided of course that the decoherent effects act universally among neutral kaons and neutrinos.

4 Conclusions and Outlook

This work examined the rôle of quantum string fluctuations (which can give rise to a non-commutative space-time geometry at string scales) on the velocity distribution of D-particles, within a specific kind of foam in string theory. There is induced decoherence for low-energy string matter propagating in this stochastically fluctuating space time background, and as a result a fundamental arrow of time, manifested through an ill-defined CPT generator. This implies intrinsic CPT Violation of a rather unconventional kind. It should be stressed that the CPT Violation does not arise from the space-time non commutativity per se, but it is due to the deviation of the pertinent $\sigma$-model, describing first quantised strings in such a background, from the world-sheet conformal point, which in turn induces quantum decoherence in target space.

Our Gaussian modeling of the recoil velocity is found to be robust to these fluctuations. In this way we have managed to give a rather rigorous estimation (modulo strong interaction effects) of decoherence-induced $\omega$-like effects, associated with modifications of EPR correlations of entangled states of neutral mesons. For certain simplistic and overoptimistic models of D-particle foam such effects are
of a magnitude that might make these models falsifiable at the next generation meson-factory facilities, such as an upgrade of DAΦNE. However, if one accepts the universality of quantum gravity (at least on electrically neutral probes) it appears that limits coming from neutrino experiments indicate much stronger suppression of decoherence effects, which indeed would imply a much more dilute population of space-time defects. If this is the case, then potential detection of the decoherence-induced ω-like terms in entangled states of future meson factories may not be feasible in the foreseeable future.

Admittedly, our approach in this paper is based on bosonic string theory which is not the most relevant phenomenologically. World-sheet supersymmetric strings do not lead to a closed-form resummation of the leading divergencies of the pinched surfaces, since the latter cancel out [62, 63], and the remaining terms are hard to cast in a closed form. However, despite this apparent technical difficulty, the general conclusions drawn from the current work, as far as fuzzyness of the target space-time is concerned, as well as its Finsler-type, due to the dependence of the metric distortions on the momentum transfer during the interaction of the D-particle with the open-string matter, are likely to be robust, since they depend on the form of vertex operators for (recoil) zero modes of D-particles (provided of course the theories are restricted to those admitting D-particles).

As we have discussed above, the interaction of D-particles with matter leads to local distortions of the neighboring space-time of Finsler type, depending on both the string coupling $g_s$, through the D-particle masses $M_s/g_s$, and the recoil velocity of the D-particle (i.e. the momentum transfer of the string matter) $u$, which stochastically fluctuates upon summing up higher-genus world-sheet topologies. In view of our discussion in this work, both these quantities can be “fuzzy”, leading to stochastic fluctuations on the space-time metric, on which string matter lives. These fluctuations are up and above any statistical fluctuations in populations of D-particles that characterise the D-particle foam models. This is an important aspect of the formalism discussed here, since it may have a profound influence on the dark matter (and dark energy) distributions in a Universe with D-particle foam, which may have phenomenological consequences, as far as constraints on, say, supersymmetric particle physics models are concerned.

The presence of such fluctuations affect important cosmological quantities that are directly relevant to the string-Universe energy budget, such as thermal supersymmetric dark matter relic densities, through appropriate modifications of the relevant thermodynamic equations. Hence, the relevant astro-particle physics constraints on supersymmetric models [64] are also modified. However, the issue as to whether the fuzzyness of the D-particle foam space-time can lead to observable signatures in Cosmology or astro-particle physics in general, remains to be seen. We hope to be able to report in a more detailed form on these phenomenological issues in the near future.
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