Modelling and Simulation of Phase Formations in Martensitic Stainless Steels
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Abstract: Modelling and simulation of solidification processes and solid-state phase transformation have become key instruments in the field of alloy development and heat treatment optimization. Apart from equilibrium-controlled processes, also diffusion-based effects need to be considered. This contribution presents some typical approaches at the example of martensitic stainless steels. Important aspects affecting the production and properties of these steels, such as alloying limits with nitrogen, the formation of ledeburitic structures, or the retained austenite content after heat treatment, can be predicted with reasonable accuracy.
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1. Introduction

Martensitic stainless steels are an important group of steels with a wide field of applications ranging from mechanical components, knives, and medical instruments to moulds for plastic processing and corrosion resistant bearings. While some grades have been used in similar composition for one century, other newly developed grades such as reported in [1–3] are optimized for specific applications. Modelling of microstructural changes is an important tool for the design and heat treatment of these steels and for understanding certain phenomena taking place. Therefore, different types of calculation methods are in use to predict different aspects, microstructures, processes or properties.

2. Used Alloys, Software, Models, and Experimental Procedures

For the verification of the simulation results as well as for the determination of factors for the empirical formulas used in the models, six different alloys with the chemical composition shown in Table 1 were used. These steels can be classified into three sub-groups:

1. Cr-C-based matrix steels with usually low carbide content (X40Cr14, X38CrMo16)
2. Cr-C-based carbide rich wear resistant steel (X90CrMoV18, X105CrMo17)
3. Cr-C-N-based, steels (X40CrMoVN16-2, X30CrMoN15-1)

The chemical compositions cover a wide range of martensitic stainless steels (13–18% Cr, 0.2–1% C up to 2% Mo, up to 0.4% N) and provide of sound base to assess different aspects of this steel group.
TABLE 1
Used alloys and alloy compositions in wt. % as well as k-factor

| Short | EN ISO | Mat. No | C   | N   | Cr  | Mo | Si | Mn | Others | k-factor |
|-------|--------|---------|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|--------|----------|
| 1a(14/0) | X40Cr14 | 1.2083 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 14.2 | -  | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2V  | 0.016    |
| 1b(16/1) | X38CrMo16 | 1.2316 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 15.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 Ni | 0.022    |
| 2a(18/1) | X90CrMoV18 | 1.4112 | 0.87 | 0.03 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1V  | 0.017    |
| 2b(17/0) | X105CrMo17 | 1.4125 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 16.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | -  | 0.018    |
| 3a(16/2) | X40CrMoVN16-2 | 1.4123 | 0.42 | 0.21 | 15.5 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3V  | 0.017    |
| 3b(15/1) | X30CrMoN15-1 | 1.4108 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 15.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1V  | 0.020    |

For thermodynamic calculations, the Software Thermocalc® with the data base TCFe9 was used. The predictions of the Mₘ temperatures were calculated using a new Mₘ formula described below. The martensite transformation was calculated with the approach of Koistinen-Marburger [4], for which the k-factors listed in Table 1 were used. The k-factors are experimentally determined average values of the specific alloys and are in good agreement with the factor 0.015 for the steel X20Cr13 documented in [5].

3.2 Phase Transformations Considering Partial Diffusion

As the formation of ledeburitic carbides is essential for the materials properties and beneficial for wear resistance but detrimental for toughness, a more accurate description of the solidification behaviour is necessary. Permitting full equilibration by diffusion for C and N but no equilibration of N for Cr and Mo, a CCE model with partitioning factors was used as described in [6, 7]. This model [8] was extended to nitrogen using the same partitioning factor.

3.3 Microstructural Investigations

Heat treatment experiments were conducted using samples of 10 x 4 x 3 mm³ and a Bähr 805 A/D pushrod dilatometer. The Mₘ temperatures were determined by the intersection method. Samples were embedded, ground, and polished for the retained austenite determination by XRD according to ASTM E975-13 using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer. For the microstructural investigations, the etching agent Carapella from [10] was used. More detailed information can be found in [8].
for the other elements (Scheil Solidification Simulation), the formation of ledeburite (LB) is shifted to significantly lower carbon contents (Fig. 2). Similar to the equilibrium calculations, a clear shift to higher ledeburite fractions with rising Cr contents is evident. Under these conditions, all six commercial alloys are prone to forming at least small amounts of ledeburite. The lowest values can be expected in the high nitrogen grade X30CrMoN15-1 (1–2%) followed by the matrix steels X40Cr14 and X38CrMo16 (2–3%). A similar amount of about 3% ledeburite is predicted for grade X40CrMoVN16-2. In case of the high carbon containing steels X90CrMoV18 and X105CrMo17, up to about 15% ledeburitic solidification is forecasted. It should be noted that, in case of high nitrogen contents, the ledeburitic reaction leads not only to carbides but also to nitrides.

3.3 Nitrogen Solubility and Outgassing

High nitrogen contents of up to 0.6% shown in Figs. 1 and 2 are only possible with high pressure metallurgy. To make an estimation about which nitrogen contents can be achieved under atmospheric conditions, different calculation methods as evaluated in [11] for austenitic grades can be applied. In Fig. 1 the solubility limits according to formulas by Stein and Menzel [12] for 1600 °C and Medovar et al. [13] for 1550 °C are additionally indicated. The results confirm the positive effects of higher Cr contents and lower melting temperatures and the limiting impact of C on the nitrogen solubility. The nitrogen alloyed grade X40CrMoVN16-2 is evidently at the upper limit of nitrogen alloyed steels for conventional production routes.

While getting high nitrogen contents into the steel is one challenge, keeping it in solution during solidification without a massive void formation and corresponding outgassing is another. These calculated limits are indicated in Fig. 2. Again, higher Cr contents are beneficial, especially with higher carbon contents, whereby carbon, as an austenite stabilizing element, supports a void free solidification. The latter effect correlates with a reduced tendency of δ-ferrite formation due to the peritectic reaction. Fig. 2 indicates that grade X40CrMoVN16-2 is above the limit of void free formation. Under industrial production conditions, two more factors counteract this effect. One is the necessary nucleation energy to form voids, and the second is the ferrostatic pressure with increases rapidly inside a cast ingot.

3.4 Microstructures

Fig. 3 shows the soft annealed microstructures of three selected alloys. The grade X40Cr14 dominantly shows small spheric carbides as a result of the soft annealing process. Some irregularly shaped carbides inside the ferritic matrix (white arrow in Fig. 3a) indicate carbides, which may be the remainder of a ledeburitic solidification as described before. In contrast, huge carbides are visible in the microstructure of grade X90CrMoV18 (Fig. 3b) resulting from a massive ledeburitic reaction in good agreement with the Scheil calculations. In comparison, grade X30CrMoN15-1 exhibits only evenly distributed very fine carbides and nitrides, confirming that no or almost no ledeburite carbides were formed. It has to be noted that a diffusion annealing process can be used to re-establish equilibrium conditions and therefore remove parts of all of these large ledeburitic carbides.

4. Prediction of the Retained Austenite Content

4.1 Calculation of the Retained Austenite Content at Room Temperature

One of the key preconditions to calculate the retained austenite (RA) content is a reliable prediction of the $M_s$ temperature. Therefore, it is necessary to know the exact austenite composition prior to the quenching step, which, for carbide and nitride containing steels, is temperature dependent and not equal to the alloy composition. This is usually conducted with thermodynamic equilibrium calculations, which do not consider ingot segregations. Additionally, a usually empirically established $M_s$ formula is used, based on the austenite composition. Several such formulas, such as from e.g. [14–16] exist, but according to [8], they tend to significantly overestimate $M_s$ at lower temperatures. Optimized formulas, adapted to the specific thermodynamic calculation conditions for the RA composition, are necessary for a sufficient accuracy. Consequently, an adapted $M_s$ formula (1) with new factors for C, N, Cr, and Mo based on the alloy compositions used in this investigation had to be established:

$$M_s = 699.6 - 629.5 \times C - 392.3 \times N - 75 \times Si - 33 \times Mn - 21 \times Cr - 5.3 \times Mo - 17 \times Ni - 39 \times V$$

(1)
Fig. 3: Soft annealed microstructures of martensitic stainless steels a X40Cr14, b X90CrMoV18, c X30CrMoN15-1

Fig. 4: Comparison between experimentally determined and calculated Ms temperature according to equation (1)

Other factors were taken from [14–16]. The accuracy of the prediction can be taken from Fig. 4. Except for one value (X40CrMoVN16-2 at the highest austenitizing temperature), the predicted Ms temperature (Ms, Eq.1 calculated) and measured Ms temperature (Ms, experimental) stay within a scatter band of about 20°C.

The subsequent calculation of the martensite formation was performed according to the Koistinen-Marburger approach [4] using Eq. (2) and the experimentally determined k-values for these alloys from Table 1.

\[ V_{\alpha'} = 1 - e^{-k(M_s - T_Q)} \]  

Finally, the carbide content needs to be taken into consideration to determine the retained austenite content at room temperature. A comparison of the calculated and experimentally determined retained austenite values is shown in Fig. 5 for three typical steels and different austenitizing temperatures. The increase in the RA content with rising austenitizing temperature is clearly visible for all three steels and most dominant for the N alloyed grade.

4.2 Effect of the Quench Temperature on the Retained Austenite Content

When quenching is interrupted at higher (quench) temperatures T_Q than room temperature, either to safe process time or in the core of large workpieces despite reaching room temperature at the surface, the quenching & tempering process becomes similar to the quenching & partitioning process used for AHSS steels described e.g. in [6, 7]
Fig. 6: Effect of the austenitizing temperature and the quench temperature on the retained austenite content, calculated with a modified CCE-Model and partial partitioning

and is documented for martensitic stainless steels in [5, 8, 9]. The, at least partial, partitioning of C and presumably also N from martensite into RA at low tempering temperatures (usually <500°C) leads to a stabilization of higher amounts of RA. Using the adapted CCE model from [8], the effect of the quench temperature can be calculated for different austenitizing temperatures.

Fig. 6 shows the typical results of such a simulation at the example of the steel X40Cr14 using a partition factor of 0.3 based on experimental results from [9]. The RA content rises due to the partitioning effect with increased $T_0$ until a maximum is reached. At higher $T_0$ the amount of RA is too high, and thus the partitioning is no longer sufficient to stabilize the RA to room temperature, and fresh martensite forms upon cooling from tempering thereby reducing the final RA content. Increasing the austenitizing temperature shifts the maximum RA content to lower quench temperatures and higher RA values. Higher quench temperatures therefore directly correspond with a rising RA instability as demonstrated e.g. in [17, 18].

A comparison of predicted and measured RA contents as a function of the quench temperature for three steels with tempering (partitioning) at 300°C can be seen in Fig. 7. Independent of the steel type (matrix, carbide rich of N-alloyed), the results show reasonable concordance, thereby confirming the viability of the adapted model to martensitic stainless steels.

5. Summary and Conclusions

Modelling and simulation provide useful predictions and insights into the mechanisms of phase transformation and have become an indispensable tool in the development of new steels and the optimization of heat treatment processes. On the example of martensitic stainless steels, the following essential predictions can be conducted:

- Formation of ledeburitic carbide and nitrogen void formation and limits of nitrogen contents for conventionally produced steels
- Changes in the retained austenite content and indications for its stability as a function of heat treatment parameters such as austenitizing temperature and quench temperature, thereby confirming partitioning effects between the tempered martensite and the retained austenite
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