ABSTRACT

The proposed Drosophila melanogaster L23a ribosomal protein features a conserved C-terminal amino acid signature characteristic of other L23a family members and a unique N-terminal extension [Koyama et al. (Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase interacts with novel Drosophila ribosomal proteins, L22 and L23a, with unique histone-like amino-terminal extensions. Gene 1999; 226: 339–345)], absent from Saccharomyces cerevisiae L25 that nearly doubles the size of fly L23a. The ability of fly L23a to replace the role of yeast L25 in ribosome biogenesis was determined by creating a yeast strain carrying an L25 chromosomal gene disruption and a plasmid-encoded FLAG-tagged L23a gene. Though affected by a reduced growth rate, the strain is dependent on fly L23a-FLAG function for survival and growth, demonstrating functional compatibility between the fly and yeast proteins. Pulse-chase experiments reveal a delay in rRNA processing kinetics, most notably at a late cleavage step that converts precursor 27S rRNA into mature 25S rRNA, likely contributing to the strain’s slower growth pattern. Yet, given the essential requirement for L23(a)/L25 in ribosome biogenesis, there is a remarkable tolerance for accommodating the fly L23a N-terminal extension within the structure of the yeast ribosome. A search of available databases shows that the unique N-terminal extension is shared by multiple insect lineages. An evolutionary perspective on L23a structure and function within insect lineages is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

A conserved structural blueprint for building a ribosome might be anticipated given the common function of ribosomes in protein synthesis. Numerous studies have revealed the evolutionarily conserved secondary structure of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) across species [reviewed by (1)]. Among ribosomal protein constituents, several families of highly conserved proteins are recognized between evolutionarily divergent groups [e.g. (2–5)]. Primary rRNA-binding proteins are within the group of structurally conserved proteins that recognize highly conserved features of rRNA (1,6). Other ribosomal proteins are less well-conserved in structure between lineages. Variability in ribosomal protein composition contributes to diversity in ribosome composition observed in many organisms. Thus, within a given species evolutionarily conserved and structurally divergent ribosomal components may comprise the translation apparatus.

An important ribosomal protein family is defined by Escherichia coli protein EL23. Numerous structural equivalents have been recognized in many organisms, identified as ‘L23’ in most prokaryotes, ‘L25’ in yeasts and as ‘L23a’ in most eukaryotes, including insect lineages discussed in this paper. Ribosomal protein L23(a)/L25 is an essential protein, binding to precursor large subunit rRNA early in ribosome assembly (7–11). The multifaceted roles of L23 become increasingly apparent; the protein functions in early assembly events as well as in early and late stages of rRNA processing in yeast (9,12,13). After the completion of ribosome maturation, L23 later assumes a co- and post-translational role as the nascent polypeptide emerges from the ribosome. L23 interacts with several protein folding and targeting apparatus components, including the chaperone
trigger factor (TF) in *E. coli*, signal recognition particle, Sec61 translocation channel, and the nascent polypeptide-associated complex (NAC) (14–20).

Yeast L25 is the most widely studied eukaryotic member of the L23(a)/L25 protein family. Three distinct functional domains, essential for rRNA maturation, have been identified within the yeast protein: an N-terminal region which harbors the nuclear localization signal (NLS) (21), a central domain required for rRNA binding (7,22), and a C-terminal region which is required for 60S large subunit assembly (23). Although L25 is reportedly involved in early and late rRNA processing steps, a specific function for L25 during rRNA processing has yet to be defined.

L23(a)/L25 binds within Domain III of 23S–28S rRNA, near the peptidyl transferase center of the ribosome (10,24,25). Within the large ribosomal subunit, L23 assumes a prominent position on the subunit surface at the exit tunnel for the nascent polypeptide, based on structural studies of the *Haloarcula marismortui* ribosome (26,27), residing next to proteins L29, L19 and L39e (16,27). The interior surface of the exit channel consists primarily of rRNA domains. The proximity of L23 to the nascent polypeptide and the membrane translocon, and its documented interactions with several protein folding and translocation components (14–20), favor the proposal that L23 also plays a key role in targeting inner membrane proteins (16).

Numerous L23(a)/L25 homologues have been identified, each with the signature rRNA-binding domain and the nearly invariant RNA-binding motif KKAYVRL, found in the C-terminal portion of the protein. Several studies have confirmed the ability of L23 family members to interact with 25S–28S rRNA-binding sites from different organisms, demonstrating that a core of conserved interactions must exist between L23(a)/L25 proteins and the rRNA-binding site [e.g. (9,28)]. In two cases, *Arabidopsis* L23a (L23aA) (29) and rat L23a (30) have both been confirmed as functional homologues of yeast L25 through their abilities to rescue yeast strains grown under nutritional conditions in which the endogenous L25 gene was not expressed. In each case, the plant and mammalian homologues are structurally similar to yeast L25 (Figure 1).

The *Drosophila melanogaster* L23 member (called L23a) has been identified as such due to structural similarity in the C-terminal rRNA-binding domain with known L23(a)/L25 family members (Figure 1). Although all known eukaryotic members of this protein family have an N-terminal extension of variable length, harboring the NLS (7,21,31), the proposed *D. melanogaster* L23a homologue (and several other L23a homologues discussed

Figure 1. Schematic alignment of L25/L23a ribosomal proteins. L25/L23a ribosomal proteins are shown to illustrate differences in protein size, the N-terminal extensions with similarity to histone H1 within the insect lineages, and the conserved KKAYVRL RNA-binding motif. Accession numbers for L23(a)/L25 sequences are as follows: *S. cerevisiae* (P04456), *A. thaliana* (L23aA: AAC27837), *R. norvegicus* (P62752), *S. japonicum* (AAP06228), *A. mellifera* (XP_393135), *D. melanogaster* (NP_523886), *B. mori* (AAY34835), *A. albopictus* (AAY41436) *A. stephensi* (AAY41435), *A. gambiae* (XP_316083). A multiple amino acid sequence alignment is represented in Supporting Figure 1.
in this paper) is even more structurally divergent in the N-terminal region. Fruit fly L23a carries an extra domain of approximately 135 amino acids (aa) with similarity to histone H1 (32), extending its overall size to 277 aa (33; accession NP_523886) compared to the yeast L25 protein of 142 aa (34; accession P04456; Figure 1 and Table 1). While it has been presumed that fly L23a is the functional equivalent of other L23(a)/L25 proteins based on C-terminal half homology, no previous studies have confirmed this.

In order to test the function of D. melanogaster L23a and to gain insight into the interchangeability of L23 ribosomal components, we examined whether D. melanogaster L23a could function in yeast. We have created a yeast strain that is completely dependent on fly L23a for survival, providing the first report of an L23a protein containing a novel domain as a functional member of the L23(a)/L25 ribosomal protein family. Within the yeast strain, pulse-chase experiments demonstrate that the most significant difference in rRNA maturation kinetics is a delay in a late step(s) that converts 27S rRNA into mature 25S rRNA, likely contributing to the slow growth phenotype of the strain.

A search of available databases shows that the extended L23a insertion is not unique to D. melanogaster L23a. Rather, multiple insect species have varying sizes of insertions. Given the functional homology of D. melanogaster L23a to yeast L25, demonstrated in this study, we suspect the other insect genes are functional as well. This would suggest a remarkable tolerance of L23a to novel insertions within this essential ribosomal component.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Amino acid alignments

L23a amino acid sequences were aligned pairwise with D. melanogaster L23a using the GenBank database and BLASTP 2.2.13 software (35). Following alignment of the more highly conserved C-terminal domain of L23a proteins using default SEG filtering in the BLASTp subprogram, unaligned amino acids in the N-terminal domains of L23a proteins were aligned pairwise by removing default SEG filtering in the BLASTp subprogram, allowing for the greatest degree of similarity between N-terminal domains. Multiple amino acid sequence alignments were performed with Clustal W software (36).

Strains and growth conditions

The yeast strains and oligonucleotides used in this study are detailed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. For most analyses strains were grown in synthetic complete (SC) medium or rich medium (YP) containing 2% dextrose as a carbon source, as described (37).

Construction of pl23a-FLAG (BCR2)

The pl23a-FLAG plasmid was derived from BIT700 (38), a YCplac33-based plasmid (39) containing yeast RPL25 fused to a FLAG-(His6) epitope (FH), whose expression is controlled by the RPL25 promoter and terminator regions. The RPL25-(FH) gene and 5' regulatory sequences were excised from BIT700 as a BamHI-BamHI fragment and replaced with a BamHI-BamHI fragment containing the L23a cDNA fused to the FLAG epitope. The BamHI L23a-FLAG fragment was generated by PCR using an L23a cDNA as a substrate, oligonucleotides OCR1 (forward) and OCR2 (reverse) as primers (Table 3) and HotStarTaq polymerase (Qiagen). OCR2 encodes the FLAG sequence fused in frame to the L23a sequence just 5' of the stop codon. Next, the RPL25 promoter and 5' regulatory sequences were inserted into the vector's SacI and Smal sites as a SacI-blunt ended fragment. This promoter fragment, generated by yeast colony PCR on YCR9 (Table 2) using OCR3 (forward) and OCR4 (reverse) as primers (Table 3) and HotStarTaq polymerase (Qiagen), contains the 420 bp sequence immediately 5' to the translational start codon, and includes both RPG boxes previously reported as required sequence elements for L25 gene transcription (40).

Disruption of the RPL25 locus

A DNA fragment containing RPL25 sequence disrupted by the LEU2 gene was obtained by yeast colony PCR on YCR10 using OCR3 (forward) and OCR5 (reverse) as primers (Table 3) and HotStarTaq polymerase (Qiagen). The resulting fragment is comprised of 420 bp of RPL25 5' regulatory sequence, the RPL25 coding region disrupted by LEU2, followed by 367 bp of 3' regulatory sequence.

| SPECIES                      | L23(a)/L25 Accession No.          | L23a size (aa) | Similarity with D.m. N-terminal extension (%) | Similarity with D.m. L23a RNA-binding domain (%) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae      | P04456                            | 142           | –                                             | 77                                            |
| Arabidopsis thaliana         | AAC27837 (L23aA) NP_191088 (L23aB) | 154           | –                                             | 78                                            |
| Rattus norvegicus            | P62752                            | 156           | –                                             | 83                                            |
| Drosophila melanogaster      | NP_523886 DQ450529                | 277           | 30                                            | 100                                           |
| Apis mellifera               | XP_393135                         | 241           | 37                                            | 88                                            |
| Bombyx mori                  | AAV34835                          | 352           | 53                                            | 88                                            |
| Acies albopictus             | AAY41436                          | 380           | 52                                            | 93                                            |
| Anopheles stephensi          | AAY41435                          | 386           | 49                                            | 91                                            |
| Anopheles gambiae            | XP_316083                         | 390           | 47                                            | 91                                            |
| Schistosoma japonicum        | AAP06228                          | 197           | No significant similarity                      | 72                                            |

Table 1. Amino acid similarity among selected L23a proteins
The fragment was introduced into YCR11 (Table 2) through transformation and LEU2 colonies were selected on synthetic complete media lacking leucine (SC-LEU). The colonies were screened for disruption of the RPL25 locus through colony PCR using OCR3 and OCR5 as primers, resulting in strain YCR16 (Table 2).

Growth rate analysis

Overnight cultures of strains YCR10, YCR11 and YCR16 (Table 2) grown in SC-URA media were diluted and added to 100 ml SC-URA in sidearm flasks to give an OD600 between 0.07 and 0.16. OD600 readings were measured spectrophotometrically at approximately half hour intervals for a period of 10 h in order to determine doubling times for each strain during logarithmic growth.

Detection of L23a-FLAG, L25-FLAG and L25 by Western blot analysis

Crude cellular lysates from strains YCR9, 10, 11, 13 and 16 (Table 2) were prepared as previously described (38). Crude lysates were fractionated by 15% SDS–PAGE and duplicate gels were run: one gel was stained with Coomassie Blue and the other was used for immunoblotting. Arrays of protein markers, including Biorad Precision markers were used. Proteins were transferred by electroblotting onto Schleicher and Schuell Optitran 0.2 μm nitrocellulose membranes. For Western blot analysis, filters were blocked in 1XPBS, 10% non-fat dry milk, 0.3% Tween 20° (Sigma), and then incubated with anti-FLAG M2 mouse monoclonal antibody (Sigma) at a concentration of 10 μg/ml. FLAG-tagged proteins were detected using goat anti-mouse IgG whole molecule alkaline phosphatase conjugate affinity-isolated antibody (Sigma) at a 1:30,000 dilution. Yeast L25 was detected using rabbit anti-yeast L25 (a generous gift from A. Faber and H.A. Raue´, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam) at a dilution of 1:10,000 and secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit IgG alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Sigma) at a dilution of 1:30,000. Blots were developed using BCIP/NBT (Sigma) as a substrate.

Affinity purification of yeast ribosomes containing FLAG-tagged L23a

Ribosomes were purified from crude extracts derived from YCR10 (containing plasmid-encoded FLAG-tagged L25 and a disruption of chromosomal L25 by LEU2) and YCR16 (containing plasmid-encoded FLAG-tagged L23a with endogenous L25 disrupted by LEU2) strains using an anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel resin (Sigma) according to the established procedure of Inada et al. (38). Crude extracts from strain YCR9 were used as a negative control for affinity purification as this strain lacks any FLAG-tagged proteins. Carboxyl terminal FLAG bacterial alkaline phosphatase (Sigma) at a concentration of 5 mg/ml was used as a positive control to verify the affinity purification protocol. FLAG peptide (Sigma) at a concentration of 100 μg/ml was used to elute bound protein complexes from the anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel resin. Ribosome-associated proteins from affinity-purified ribosomes were fractionated by 15% SDS–PAGE and visualized by silver staining (Biorad Silver Staining Plus).

RT-PCR analysis

RNA was isolated from mid-log phase yeast YCR cells using a mechanical disruption method detailed in the Qiagen RNAeasy protocol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Column-purified RNA was subjected to DNase treatment using RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega, Madison, WI) at 37°C for 30 min. Following phenol/chloroform/isoamyl

---

**Table 2. Yeast strains used in this study**

| Strain | Genotype [plasmid] (plasmid number) | Source | Chromosomal L25 | Plasmid L23a/L25 | Protein expressiona |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| YCR9   | MATa ade2-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 pep4Δ::HIS3 prbΔ::his3 prc1::hisG | Inada et al. (37) (YIT617) | L25 | _ | L25 |
| YCR10  | YIT617 rpl25::LEU2 [pRPL25-FH-URA3CEN] (BIT700) | Inada et al. (37) (YIT613) | _ | L25-FLAG | L25-FLAG |
| YCR11  | YCR9 [pL23a-FLAG] (BCR2) | This study | L25 | L23a-FLAG | L25 |
| YCR13  | YCR9 [pRPL25-FH-URA3CEN] (BIT700) | This study | L25 | L25-FLAG | L25; L25-FLAG |
| YCR16  | YCR11 rpl25::LEU2 | This study | _ | L23a-FLAG | L23a-FLAG |

Note that protein expression profiles were determined by Western blot analyses (see Figures 4a and b).

**Table 3. Oligonucleotides used in this study**

| OLIGO       | Sequence (5’–3’) |
|-------------|-----------------|
| OCR1        | GTCAGCGATCCATGCCACCCAAAAAAGCCAAC |
| OCR2        | GTCAGCGATCCATCTCTGTGTATCG |
| OCR3        | CGCTAGAGCTCGCGATGGTGTCATTTAA |
| OCR4        | TTATCTTATGTATCTTCTTGT |
| OCR5        | CCTCGAGATTCCGACAGTACACCTTTTACT |
| OVW4        | CGGATCCATGGACACAAAGCCAC |
| OVW2        | GCATCCTGAGTATCTTCTTGACG |
| Ry25S probe | GAAGATCCATATCCAGGTTGG |
| Ry18S probe | CATGGCTTAATCTTTGAGAC |
| RyPGK1      | CGAAGGCATCTGATGTAACATCAC |

---

4506 Nucleic Acids Research, 2007, Vol. 35, No. 13
onto a 0.2

Total RNA was extracted from log phase cells, fractionated by size. Northern blot analysis of rRNA hybridized sequentially with 32P-labeled oligonucleotides specific for probes complementary to yeast 25S, 18S or PGK1 (Table 3) used as a control for loading, according to hybridization conditions outlined in Sambrook et al. (41). RNAs were visualized by phosphorimaging.

**RESULTS**

Structural alignment of proposed L23a proteins in insect lineages

We are generally interested in L23a structural variation and what effect(s), if any, this variation may have on L23a function in a subset of lineages where the protein binding site within 28S rRNA is specifically cleaved (within the D7a expansion segment), producing a ‘hidden break’ or ‘gap’ within the rRNA [e.g. (43–45)]. A large number of L23a protein sequences are now available in databases; however, only a few such sequences are available from organisms where 28S rRNA ‘gap’ processing has been documented.

The proposed *D. melanogaster* L23a ribosomal protein features the C-terminal rRNA-binding signature KKVYVRL (22) and an N-terminal extension with homology to the C-terminal region of histone H1 (32). Proposed L23a proteins from other organisms where gap processing has been documented [e.g. *Bombbyx mori*; (46; accession AAV34385); *Schistosoma sp.*; (47; accession AAP06228); *Aedes albopictus*; (48; accession AAY41436)] or where gap processing is likely to occur based on taxonomic relatedness between organisms [e.g. *Apis mellifera* (accession XP_393135), *Anopheles stephensi* (accession AAY41435) and *Anopheles gambiae* (accession XP_316083; EAA11004)] also show C-terminal amino acid conservation and larger N-terminal extensions than are characteristic for most eukaryotic L23a proteins (Figure 1; Table 1; Supporting Figure 1). Within the N-terminal region (Table 1; Supporting Figure 1), amino acid sequence divergence is more pronounced; yet, some similarity is noted particularly in repeated stretches of basic amino acids within insect lineages but not in *S. japonicum*, suggesting a common evolutionary origin for the extra protein domain among the insect lineages (Table 1). Interestingly, preliminary analyses suggest a coincident increase in the size of the L23a N-terminal domain in some lineages and the structural complexity of the 28S rRNA D7 expansion segment within the L23a-binding site, suggesting co-evolution of L23a proteins and the 28S D7a expansion segment as well (Wang and Mendelson, in preparation).

Creation and verification of a yeast strain dependent on *D. melanogaster* L23a for viability

To detect and analyze L23a protein expression in yeast, we designed a construct that expresses L23a with a FLAG epitope tag fused to its C-terminus (pL23a-FLAG). This particular tagging scheme was chosen because it has been shown that the addition of a FLAG tag to the C-terminus of yeast Rpl25p does not inhibit its function (38). We used this L23a-FLAG construct to transform a yeast strain (YCR9) wild-type for genomic *RPL25* (accession AAC70418), one of several loci in *S. cerevisiae* since *L25* is an essential protein in yeast. This particular tagging scheme was chosen because it has been shown that the addition of a FLAG tag to the C-terminus of yeast Rpl25p does not inhibit its function (38). We used this L23a-FLAG construct to transform a yeast strain (YCR9) wild-type for genomic *RPL25*, to generate strain YCR11 (Table 2). Next, we disrupted the genomic locus in strain YCR11 through homologous recombination with a DNA fragment containing the LEU2 gene and flanking L25 gene sequences to generate strain YCR16 (Table 2). Colony PCR analysis using OCR3 and OCR5 primers (Table 3), directed against the *RPL25* locus confirmed that strain YCR16 carries a disruption of genomic *RPL25*, as does strain YCR10 (Table 2; see Figure 2).

Since L25 is an essential protein in *S. cerevisiae*, one prediction about YCR16 is that it should require the L23a-FLAG plasmid which contains a *URA3* marker for growth. We tested this prediction by plating strains YCR10, YCR11 and YCR16 onto media containing 5-fluoro-orotic acid [5-FOA; (49)], which is converted to the toxic product, fluoredoxyuridine when yeast cells
express \textit{URA3}. Under these plating conditions, growth was inhibited in strains YCR10 and YCR16, indicating that neither strain could lose the plasmid and remain viable (Figure 3).

In order to rule out the possibility that sequence from the \textit{RPL25} locus had replaced \textit{L23a} sequence on \textit{pL23a-FLAG} through homologous recombination, we rescued the \textit{pL23a-FLAG} plasmid from YCR16 and analyzed it by digestion with several restriction enzymes. Comparison of restriction fragment sizes with the original vector showed no alteration in the plasmid (data not shown). Sequencing of the \textit{L23aFLAG} insert within \textit{pL23a-FLAG} showed three nucleotide changes that alter the deduced amino acid sequence at three positions relative to the GenBank \textit{D. melanogaster} \textit{L23a} sequence (accession number NP_523886). All three amino acid changes occur at positions where amino acid variation is present within \textit{L23a} sequences (Supporting Figure 1). Although this \textit{L23a} variant may have arisen due to errors induced in RT-PCR by Taq DNA polymerase, it is likely that the variant is a naturally occurring form. From numerous independent isolations of cloned PCR products derived from RT-PCR experiments using different RNA preparations, we have only been able to recover the canonical version of \textit{L23a} (accession number NP_523886) or the variant described here containing all three amino acid changes (accession number DQ450529). With either explanation, it is clear that the variant encodes a functional protein.

Expression of \textit{pL23a-FLAG} within strains YCR11 and YCR16

Confirmation that \textit{pL23a-FLAG} was expressed within strain YCR16 was obtained by Western blot analysis using an anti-FLAG M2 mouse monoclonal primary antibody followed by detection using goat anti-mouse IgG whole molecule alkaline phosphatase conjugate. Initial analyses clearly showed the presence of FLAG-tagged \textit{L23a} protein within strain YCR16 (Figure 4a and b). FLAG-tagged yeast \textit{L25} protein was also readily detected within strain YCR10 (Figure 4a and b). However, no FLAG-tagged \textit{L23a} protein was detected within strain YCR11 containing the \textit{pL23a-FLAG} plasmid and an intact chromosomal copy of \textit{L25} (Figure 4a and b). Our inability to detect \textit{L23a-FLAG} protein within strain YCR11 was confirmed in several instances (see Figure 4a and b, for example). Interestingly, yeast FLAG-tagged \textit{L25} protein was detected in strain YCR13 that carries a plasmid encoding \textit{L25-FLAG} along with an intact chromosomal copy of \textit{L25} (Figure 4b). Protein expression levels for \textit{L25} and \textit{L25-FLAG} in strain YCR13 are not identical. Within strain YCR13, \textit{L25} expression appears to be less than the level that accumulates in strain YCR11; however, in a separate isolate of this strain (carrying a chromosomal copy of \textit{L25} and a plasmid-encoded FLAG-tagged \textit{L25}; called strain YCR14 not described in this study), no differences in \textit{L25} and \textit{L25-FLAG} protein levels were seen, indicating expression variation between strains when both \textit{L25} and \textit{L25-FLAG} genes are present (data not shown).

Several possibilities might account for the lack of fly \textit{L23a-FLAG} protein within strain YCR11. There was no rationale for proposing a negative interaction between chromosomal and plasmid genes since simultaneous expression of both genes was readily detectable within strain YCR13. By restriction analysis of rescued plasmid \textit{pL23a-FLAG}, we ruled out any gross changes in sequence (data not shown). Sequencing of the \textit{L23aFLAG} insert within \textit{pL23a-FLAG} showed three nucleotide changes that alter the deduced amino acid sequence at three positions relative to the GenBank \textit{D. melanogaster} \textit{L23a} sequence (accession number NP_523886). All three amino acid changes occur at positions where amino acid variation is present within \textit{L23a} sequences (Supporting Figure 1). Although this \textit{L23a} variant may have arisen due to errors induced in RT-PCR by Taq DNA polymerase, it is likely that the variant is a naturally occurring form. From numerous independent isolations of cloned PCR products derived from RT-PCR experiments using different RNA preparations, we have only been able to recover the canonical version of \textit{L23a} (accession number NP_523886) or the variant described here containing all three amino acid changes (accession number DQ450529). With either explanation, it is clear that the variant encodes a functional protein.

Expression of \textit{pL23a-FLAG} within strains YCR11 and YCR16

Confirmation that \textit{pL23a-FLAG} was expressed within strain YCR16 was obtained by Western blot analysis using an anti-FLAG M2 mouse monoclonal primary antibody followed by detection using goat anti-mouse IgG whole molecule alkaline phosphatase conjugate. Initial analyses clearly showed the presence of FLAG-tagged \textit{L23a} protein within strain YCR16 (Figure 4a and b). FLAG-tagged yeast \textit{L25} protein was also readily detected within strain YCR10 (Figure 4a and b). However, no FLAG-tagged \textit{L23a} protein was detected within strain YCR11 containing the \textit{pL23a-FLAG} plasmid and an intact chromosomal copy of \textit{L25} (Figure 4a and b). Our inability to detect \textit{L23a-FLAG} protein within strain YCR11 was confirmed in several instances (see Figure 4a and b, for example). Interestingly, yeast FLAG-tagged \textit{L25} protein was detected in strain YCR13 that carries a plasmid encoding \textit{L25-FLAG} along with an intact chromosomal copy of \textit{L25} (Figure 4b). Protein expression levels for \textit{L25} and \textit{L25-FLAG} in strain YCR13 are not identical. Within strain YCR13, \textit{L25} expression appears to be less than the level that accumulates in strain YCR11; however, in a separate isolate of this strain (carrying a chromosomal copy of \textit{L25} and a plasmid-encoded FLAG-tagged \textit{L25}; called strain YCR14 not described in this study), no differences in \textit{L25} and \textit{L25-FLAG} protein levels were seen, indicating expression variation between strains when both \textit{L25} and \textit{L25-FLAG} genes are present (data not shown).

Several possibilities might account for the lack of fly \textit{L23a-FLAG} protein within strain YCR11. There was no rationale for proposing a negative interaction between chromosomal and plasmid genes since simultaneous expression of both genes was readily detectable within strain YCR13. By restriction analysis of rescued plasmid \textit{pL23a-FLAG}, we ruled out any gross changes in sequence (data not shown). Sequencing of the \textit{L23aFLAG} insert within \textit{pL23a-FLAG} showed three nucleotide changes that alter the deduced amino acid sequence at three positions relative to the GenBank \textit{D. melanogaster} \textit{L23a} sequence (accession number NP_523886). All three amino acid changes occur at positions where amino acid variation is present within \textit{L23a} sequences (Supporting Figure 1). Although this \textit{L23a} variant may have arisen due to errors induced in RT-PCR by Taq DNA polymerase, it is likely that the variant is a naturally occurring form. From numerous independent isolations of cloned PCR products derived from RT-PCR experiments using different RNA preparations, we have only been able to recover the canonical version of \textit{L23a} (accession number NP_523886) or the variant described here containing all three amino acid changes (accession number DQ450529). With either explanation, it is clear that the variant encodes a functional protein.
A noticeable reduction in the amount of PCR product for strain YCR11 compared to strain YCR16 (shown in this particular RT-PCR experiment) was not consistently observed in all experiments, likely reflecting experimental variation. Equivalent amounts of PCR product for YCR16 and another strain that is genotypically equivalent to YCR11 (not discussed here, called YCR12; note that L23a-FLAG protein also fails to accumulate in this strain—data not shown) were observed in other RT-PCR experiments (data not shown). Since the analysis was not designed as a quantitative PCR, we cannot confirm if there is reduced L23a plasmid transcription within strain YCR11 compared to strain YCR16. The data implicate a post-transcriptional mechanism to account for the lack of fly L23a-FLAG protein within strain YCR11.

Incorporation of D. melanogaster L23a-FLAG into yeast ribosomes in strain YCR16

As an essential ribosomal protein L23a-FLAG protein should be a component of the ribosome population within strain YCR16. Inada et al. (38) have previously shown that ribosomes and associated proteins can be purified using a one step affinity purification method where an anti-FLAG M2 antibody resin is used to capture FLAG-tagged L25 protein and associated components. Using an identical strategy, we captured FLAG-tagged L25- and FLAG-tagged L23a-associated proteins from strains YCR10 and YCR16, respectively (Figure 6). Compared to the crude protein extract input for each strain, a subset of proteins was bound and eluted from the resin with a
high concentration of FLAG peptide. In the absence of any FLAG-tagged protein in strain YCR9, no proteins were specifically bound to the anti-FLAG resin. Notably, the affinity-purified protein patterns from strains YCR10 and YCR16 are nearly identical, indicating that the same subset of proteins is associated with tagged L25 and tagged L23a. Although the identity of the L23a-/L25-FLAG-associated proteins was not confirmed in this analysis, the data are consistent with the conclusion that fly L23a-FLAG protein is a component of ribosomes within strain YCR16 just as FLAG-tagged L25 is a component of ribosomes within strain YCR10 [equivalent to strain YIT613 (38); see Table 2].

Comparative growth phenotypic characteristics

For further phenotypic analysis of YCR strains 10, 11 and 16, we compared strain growth characteristics at different temperatures. At 30°C strain YCR16 grew significantly slower than strains YCR10 and YCR11 (Figure 7). The growth of all strains was retarded at 23°C with strain YCR16 growing much slower relative to strains YCR10 and YCR11 (Figure 7). Doubling times for each strain at 30°C, calculated based on logarithmic growth of strains in liquid culture, were 130 min for YCR10, 140 min for YCR11 and 260 min for YCR16. It is unclear if the difference between the growth rates for strains YCR10 and YCR11 is significant or not (Figure 7). No obvious morphological differences were observed between strains YCR10 and YCR11 (data not shown).

Comparative analysis of rRNA processing in strains YCR9 and YCR16

Yeast cells, dependent on fly L23a for survival and growth were significantly delayed in growth relative to cells that were dependent on endogenous L25, requiring twice the amount of time for doubling. Previous studies in which yeast L25 was genetically depleted or mutated have shown that L25 is required but is not sufficient for the removal of ITS2 and is necessary for efficient cleavage at the early sites A0, A1, or A2 (13); see Figure 8a]. The slow growth pattern of strain YCR16 suggested that rRNA maturation kinetics might be affected. A pulse-chase analysis of pre-rRNA processing was performed to determine if any step(s) in rRNA maturation was affected by fly L23a substitution for yeast L25 in the maturation pathway.

Figure 8b shows that while there is no measurable delay in the appearance of 27S and 20S rRNA precursors from processing of 35S rRNA, there is an apparent delay in the conversion of 27S rRNA into mature 25S rRNA within strain YCR16. There is no evidence for a delay in 35S rRNA synthesis as this precursor is present at the beginning of the chase period in both strains YCR9 and YCR16 (Figure 8b). Although the products of 35S rRNA processing are present at the beginning of the chase period in both strains, processing of 35S rRNA at early sites A0, A1, or A2 (Figure 8a) may be slightly less efficient in strain YCR16 since a greater amount of 35S rRNA persists in the chase period compared to amounts in strain YCR9 (Figure 8b). A delay is clearly evident at a later processing step (C2; Figure 8a) to form mature 25S rRNA within strain YCR16 compared to strain YCR9 (Figure 8b). At 5 min into the chase period, 25S rRNA is clearly evident within the YCR9 strain (Figure 8b). It is not however until 10–15 min into the chase period that 25S rRNA becomes apparent within YCR16. A delay in 27S rRNA processing was consistently seen in replicates of this experiment. No significant differences in the kinetics of 20S rRNA processing into 18S rRNA were detected between strains. While it is unknown if the 27S rRNA processing delay is accompanied by any changes in rRNA synthesis and/or turnover in the YCR16 strain, it is plausible that the slower processing kinetics alone may be sufficient to explain the strain’s slower growth rate.

It is possible that a decrease in 25S rRNA maturation kinetics would affect the steady state levels of 25S rRNA in strain YCR16. Northern blot analysis of RNAs isolated from cultures grown overnight shows that the steady state levels of 35S, 25S and 18S rRNAs are diminished compared to levels in strain YCR9 (Figure 8c), even though equal amounts of RNA were loaded onto gels (confirmed by using PGK1 as a loading control). Although the rate of rRNA synthesis within strain YCR16 may be diminished, increased rRNA degradation may be a contributing factor, affecting not only the steady state levels of 25S rRNA, but the levels of 35S and 18S rRNAs as well. In light of the reduced amount of rRNA and yet nearly equivalent amount of L23a-FLAG compared to L25-FLAG detected by Western blot in strains YCR16 and YCR10, respectively (Figure 6a), the level of L23a-FLAG appears to be in excess of the amount of rRNA substrate in strain YCR16. Rapid degradation to eliminate excess yeast L25 protein was previously reported when the L25 gene dosage was increased nearly 50-fold (50). Within strain YCR16, the level of L23a-FLAG protein may be below a threshold required for rapid degradation. Protein turnover may also be affected by slower kinetics of ribosome maturation observed in this strain.

DISCUSSION

Fly L23a-FLAG protein can replace yeast L25 function in yeast ribosome biogenesis and ribosome function

The ability of several heterologous L23a proteins to replace the function of yeast L25 has been documented previously [e.g. (28,29)]; however, in no case has the
disparity in L23a protein structure been as remarkable as is the case for *D. melanogaster* L23a compared to *S. cerevisiae* L25. Translation function within strain YCR16 is sufficient to maintain cell viability although there are clearly phenotypic deficiencies highlighted by the slow growth pattern in the strain at 23°C and at 30°C.

Several factors may account for the growth deficiency in strain YCR16, including inefficient utilization of the fly L23a NLS by the yeast transport machinery affecting the rate of L23a-FLAG protein nuclear transport or the presence of the small FLAG tag on L23a interfering with interactions required for ribosome synthesis or for post-ribosome maturation steps. Although neither of these possibilities can be completely excluded, the N-terminal extension itself may impede the kinetics of ribosome assembly or post-ribosome maturation processes at crucial steps, ultimately affecting the rate of growth. Earlier studies in which yeast L25 was either mutated (12) or genetically depleted (13) revealed early and late rRNA processing defects. Our studies suggest that 35S rRNA processing (A₀–A₂) to produce pre-27S rRNAs is not severely compromised, but that the efficiency of late steps (including C₂) to produce mature 25S rRNA is affected in strain YCR16. This may affect the ribosome pools available for translation (as suggested by the diminished steady state levels of mature rRNAs), even if translation and post-translation processes proceed normally.

**Lack of accumulation of fly L23a-FLAG protein within strain YCR11 that also expresses yeast L25**

Although the plasmid-encoded L23a-FLAG gene is transcribed within strain YCR11, no L23a-FLAG protein accumulates. In principle, the difference in L25 gene dosage between strains YCR11 and YCR16 (Table 2) might elevate protein levels beyond what is required for pre-rRNA binding and large ribosomal subunit assembly, resulting in degradation of excess protein in a mechanism previously described in other studies [e.g. (50)]. If so, we would then expect both L25 and L23a-FLAG proteins to be represented in the YCR11 ribosome population, with excess protein degraded. Yet, lack of any detectable accumulation of L23a-FLAG suggests that an alternative explanation must account for the strain’s protein accumulation pattern. A reasonable hypothesis is that yeast

![Figure 8. rRNA processing analysis in yeast strains YCR9 and YCR16. (A) Processing scheme for *S. cerevisiae* pre-rRNA. Note that not all rRNA intermediates are shown here, as this scheme represents a simplified version including rRNAs most relevant for this study. A more complete scheme is included in Raue´ (62). The nascent pre-rRNA transcript (not shown) is cleaved at site B₅ (not shown; located within the 3’ ETS) to produce 35S pre-rRNA. 35S is cleaved at A₀ and the resultant 33S rRNA further cleaved at A₁, producing 32S pre-rRNA. Cleavage at A₂ generates 20S and 27SA₂ pre-rRNAs, as precursors to 18S rRNA and 5.8S/25S rRNAs, respectively. Cleavage of 20S pre-rRNA at site D within the peptidyltransferase center yields mature 18S rRNA. 27SA₂ pre-rRNA is subject to processing by two pathways (details not shown) to generate 27SB₃ and 27SB₅ pre-rRNAs, shown here collectively as 27SB pre-rRNA. Further cleavage of 27SB pre-rRNA at C₃ produces 25.5S pre-rRNA and 7S pre-rRNAs (shown as 7S pre-rRNA). The actions of 3'-exonucleases on these pre-rRNAs ultimately produce mature 5.8S rRNA and 25S rRNA. The positions of probes used in Northern analysis are shown as ‘1’ and ‘2’, positioned underneath the thick black bars. (B) Pulse-chase analysis of RNA from strains YCR9 and YCR16. Cells were labeled with [5, 6³H]-uracil as described in ‘Materials and Methods’ section. Samples were collected at 1, 5, 10, 15, 30 and 60 minutes into the chase period. Extracted RNAs were fractionated on a 1.2% formaldehyde–agarose gel, blotted onto a filter, sprayed with En³Hance, and exposed to X-ray film for 48 h. YCR9 RNA samples t = 5, 30 and 60 min were underloaded to some extent in this gel. The autoradiogram shown represents one of four independent replicates of the labeling experiment. (C) Northern blot analysis of RNA from strains YCR9 and YCR16. Cells were labeled with [5, 6³H]-uracil as described in ‘Materials and Methods’ section. Extracted RNAs were fractionated on a 1.2% formaldehyde–agarose gel, blotted onto a filter, sprayed with En³Hance, and exposed to X-ray film for 48 h. YCR9 RNA samples t = 5, 30 and 60 min were underloaded to some extent in this gel. The autoradiogram shown represents one of four independent replicates of the labeling experiment. (C) Northern blot analysis of RNA from YCR9 and YCR16 strains. RNAs from overnight cultures were fractionated onto a 1.2% agarose gel, blotted onto a filter, and probed with antisense oligonucleotide probes. Specific rRNAs were detected as follows: 18S rRNA with probe ‘1’, 25S rRNA with probe ‘2’ and 35S rRNA with both probes.
E. coli yeast NAC reportedly binds to TF, which is only found in eubacteria and chloroplasts, of the binding surface and interactions with L29 and the binding surface, with 23S rRNA interactions on one side (Figure 1). Glutamate-18 is located in the center of the C-terminal amino acid positions 92–94 (Supporting (Glu-18 in E. coli D. radiodurans)) of domain III of 23S rRNA (57). One exposed globular domain, a single exposed region of L29, and interactions between organisms. All L23 protein family members have a conserved globular domain, but unlike eubacterial L23, archaea and eukaryotic members lack an internal loop that extends into the exit tunnel interior cavity along with proteins L19, L22, L24, L29 and L31e. L23 surrounds the base of the exit channel (27) and interacts with the protein folding apparatus (see Supporting Figure 2 for the position of L23a on the H. marismortui 50S subunit). High-resolution structural studies of the 50S subunit from D. radiodurans (51) and H. marismortui (26) have revealed L23 structural differences between organisms. All L23 protein family members have a conserved globular domain, but unlike eubacterial L23, archaea and eukaryotic members lack an internal loop that extends into the exit tunnel interior cavity [reviewed by (52)]. The other end of L23 is positioned on the solvent side, close to the opening of the tunnel (53–55).

Forming a hydrophobic cradle to nestle the emerging peptide just outside the exit tunnel (56), TF binds to the ribosome on a composite surface formed from two separate exposed regions in the D. radiodurans L23 globular domain, a single exposed region of L29, and regions of Domain III of 23S rRNA (57). One exposed region in D. radiodurans L23 includes glutamate-14 (Glu-18 in E. coli L23) and the other region includes C-terminal amino acid positions 92–94 (Supporting Figure 1). Glutamate-18 is located in the center of the binding surface, with 23S rRNA interactions on one side of the binding surface and interactions with L29 and the C-terminal portion of L23 on the other side (57). Although TF is only found in eubacteria and chloroplasts, yeast NAC reportedly binds to E. coli and yeast ribosomes, suggesting that even in the absence of a direct test of which eukaryotic L23a residues are involved in binding, it is likely that NAC interacts through an identical binding surface that includes yeast L25 (20).

Based on amino acid alignment of D. melanogaster L23a with E. coli and D. radiodurans L23 (Supporting Figure 1), critical residues required for TF (and NAC) binding are found at fly L23a amino acid positions 196–200 (20; also Supporting Figure 1), within the conserved C-terminus. Thus, within the chimeric ribosomes of strain YCR16, binding surface residues for NAC would include fly L23a positions 196–200 and additional residues at the C-terminal end.

Taken together, we speculate that the N-terminal extra domain of fly L23a forms an exposed ‘appendage’ on the chimeric ribosome, distal to the C-terminal portion of L23a itself and projecting away from the peptide tunnel and ribosomal proteins L29 and L19. Other ribosome interactions may stabilize the position of the proposed appendage. This configuration is favored over a proposal in which the extra domain assumes an internal position within the tunnel where it could sterically occlude the tunnel in a fashion similar to some macrolide antibiotics (53,58) and is consistent with structural models in which an internal L23a loop is absent from eukaryotic L23a (52). Whether or not the ‘surface appendage’ proposal can be extrapolated to fly ribosomes remains to be determined.

From an evolutionary perspective, the coding capacity for the L23a extra domain in insect lineages may have been acquired through an insertion into the 5′ half of an ancestral L23a gene at a position that did not pre-empt existing L23a function(s). Alternatively, other groups may have lost the extra sequence over time with insect lineages maintaining the ancestral L23a gene. A more definitive answer to this question will require a broad comparative survey of L23a/L25 sequences, as yet unavailable.

Over time the extra domain may have acquired additional functions within insect lineages. Whatever novel interactions may have evolved, it would be expected that they are congruent with other L23a functions. One interesting possibility is that the L23a extra domain may have a role in processing reactions that create the ‘gap’ within 28S rRNA in several insect lineages. This hypothesis is currently under investigation.

Interactions between L23a and poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP), mediated through the novel H1-like domain, have already been identified (32), but not fully explored. PARP plays an important role in many cellular processes, including DNA repair, transcription and apoptosis (59,60). The possibility that L23a may function along with PARP in non-ribosomal pathways is intriguing. In fact, a recent finding that fly L22 co-purifies with histone H1 and is involved in chromatin interactions required for transcriptional repression broadens the concept of ribosomal protein function in general (61). Both fly L22 and L23a carry the histone H1-like N-terminal extra domain (32). A possible role for the L22 N-terminal extension along with PARP in mediating these novel interactions with chromatin was not investigated (61). It is unknown if similar chromatin-L23a interactions occur. Other L23a extra domain interactions, yet to be discovered, may contribute further to the multifunctional capacity of the L23a ribosomal protein family.

Structural and evolutionary considerations

How does the yeast ribosome accommodate the extra domain of fly L23a-FLAG? To propose a hypothesis for positioning the fly L23a-FLAG extra domain within a chimeric yeast ribosome, we have considered several L23 and protein chaperone interactions as well as the spatial organization of other ribosomal proteins at the exit tunnel. Along with proteins L19, L22, L24, L29 and L31e, L23 surrounds the base of the exit channel (27) and interacts with the protein folding apparatus [reviewed by (52)]. The other end of L23 is positioned on the solvent side, close to the opening of the tunnel (53–55).

Forming a hydrophobic cradle to nestle the emerging peptide just outside the exit tunnel (56), TF binds to the ribosome on a composite surface formed from two separate exposed regions in the D. radiodurans L23 globular domain, a single exposed region of L29, and regions of Domain III of 23S rRNA (57). One exposed region in D. radiodurans L23 includes glutamate-14 (Glu-18 in E. coli L23) and the other region includes C-terminal amino acid positions 92–94 (Supporting Figure 1). Glutamate-18 is located in the center of the binding surface, with 23S rRNA interactions on one side of the binding surface and interactions with L29 and the C-terminal portion of L23 on the other side (57). Although TF is only found in eubacteria and chloroplasts, yeast NAC reportedly binds to E. coli and yeast ribosomes, suggesting that even in the absence of a direct test of which eukaryotic L23a residues are involved in binding, it is likely that NAC interacts through an identical binding surface that includes yeast L25 (20).

Based on amino acid alignment of D. melanogaster L23a with E. coli and D. radiodurans L23 (Supporting Figure 1), critical residues required for TF (and NAC) binding are found at fly L23a amino acid positions 196–200 (20; also Supporting Figure 1), within the conserved C-terminus. Thus, within the chimeric ribosomes of strain YCR16, binding surface residues for NAC would include fly L23a positions 196–200 and additional residues at the C-terminal end.

Taken together, we speculate that the N-terminal extra domain of fly L23a forms an exposed ‘appendage’ on the chimeric ribosome, distal to the C-terminal portion of L23a itself and projecting away from the peptide tunnel and ribosomal proteins L29 and L19. Other ribosome interactions may stabilize the position of the proposed appendage. This configuration is favored over a proposal in which the extra domain assumes an internal position within the tunnel where it could sterically occlude the tunnel in a fashion similar to some macrolide antibiotics (53,58) and is consistent with structural models in which an internal L23a loop is absent from eukaryotic L23a (52). Whether or not the ‘surface appendage’ proposal can be extrapolated to fly ribosomes remains to be determined.

From an evolutionary perspective, the coding capacity for the L23a extra domain in insect lineages may have been acquired through an insertion into the 5′ half of an ancestral L23a gene at a position that did not pre-empt existing L23a function(s). Alternatively, other groups may have lost the extra sequence over time with insect lineages maintaining the ancestral L23a gene. A more definitive answer to this question will require a broad comparative survey of L23a/L25 sequences, as yet unavailable.

Over time the extra domain may have acquired additional functions within insect lineages. Whatever novel interactions may have evolved, it would be expected that they are congruent with other L23a functions. One interesting possibility is that the L23a extra domain may have a role in processing reactions that create the ‘gap’ within 28S rRNA in several insect lineages. This hypothesis is currently under investigation.

Interactions between L23a and poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP), mediated through the novel H1-like domain, have already been identified (32), but not fully explored. PARP plays an important role in many cellular processes, including DNA repair, transcription and apoptosis (59,60). The possibility that L23a may function along with PARP in non-ribosomal pathways is intriguing. In fact, a recent finding that fly L22 co-purifies with histone H1 and is involved in chromatin interactions required for transcriptional repression broadens the concept of ribosomal protein function in general (61). Both fly L22 and L23a carry the histone H1-like N-terminal extra domain (32). A possible role for the L22 N-terminal extension along with PARP in mediating these novel interactions with chromatin was not investigated (61). It is unknown if similar chromatin-L23a interactions occur. Other L23a extra domain interactions, yet to be discovered, may contribute further to the multifunctional capacity of the L23a ribosomal protein family.
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