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Transcriptome Sequencing Analysis of lncRNA and mRNA Expression Profiles in Bone Nonunion
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1. Introduction

Bone nonunion, a serious complication of fracture, occurs in approximately 5–10% of patients with bone fractures [1–7]. Infected bone nonunion is caused by many factors, including fractures and accidents. Bone nonunion may lead to delayed union and amputation, which further contributes to functional limitation, disability, and poor quality of life [1, 2]. The most common causes of bone nonunion include infection, insufficient local blood supply, separation of fracture ends, and insufficient fracture stabilization [8, 9]. The use of antibiotics has improved the treatment of bone infections, but bone nonunion remains an obstacle in the repair of damaged bone [3, 4].

Currently, nonunion is a serious challenge in the treatment of bone loss associated with bone infections. The process of bone remodeling includes the breakdown and resorption of bone and the formation of new bone. Osteoclasts are responsible for bone breakdown and resorption, whereas osteoblasts are responsible for new bone formation. Osteoclasts attach to the older bone area, secrete acidic substances to dissolve minerals, secrete protease to digest the bone matrix, and form bone resorption lacuna. Subsequently, osteoblasts migrate to the resorbed site and secrete the bone matrix that is then mineralized to form new bone. The balance between osteoclastic and osteogenic processes is substantial in maintaining the normal bone mass. However, this balance is compromised because resorption replaces formation in case of bone infection, resulting in bone loss or bone nonunion [10]. A previous study indicated that differentially expressed miRNAs might be a potential diagnostic and therapeutic biomarker for infected tibial nonunion.
2. Methods

2.1. Sample Collection. The samples used in this study were obtained from three patients with normal fracture healing and three patients with bone nonunion (Table 1). The specimens were collected from the normal healing fracture site and scar tissue approximately 3 mm in size at the bone nonunion site. The diagnosis of bone nonunion was based on the definition given by the Food and Drug Administration. First, the fractures went unhealed for six months and there was no further healing trend within three months. Clinical X-ray examination was performed to confirm bone nonunion, and surgery further confirmed the formation of a small amount of scar tissue and callus at the fracture end or with only a small amount of scar tissue. None of the patients included in the study had infections, tumors, autoimmune diseases, bone nonunion caused by pathological fractures, history of hormone use, and history of smoking.

2.2. Total RNA Extraction. We extracted total RNA using TRIzol reagent following the manufacturer’s protocol. The absorbance ratio at 260/280 nm (A260/A280) was measured using SmartSpec Plus to determine the concentration and purity of the isolated RNA. The integrity of the extracted RNA was confirmed using electrophoresis (1.5% agarose gel). The RNA was then transcribed into first-strand cDNA using the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa) for performing gene expression analysis.

2.3. IncRNA and mRNA Sequencing. We used 3 μg RNA per sample for sample preparation. Following ribosomal RNA (rRNA) depletion, the RNA was fragmented and a cDNA library was constructed using the VAHTS Total RNA-seq (HMR) Library Prep Kit. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and 125 bp paired-end reads were produced (Table S1 & S2). DELs and DEGs between samples were identified using the Cuffdiff program in the Cufflinks package. As cutoff criteria, p values < 0.05 and |log2FC| > 1 were used.

2.4. Analysis of DELs and DEGs. Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis for these DEGs and predicted target genes for DELs were conducted using the clusterProfiler R package. We obtained all the gene sets used in these functional annotations from the DAVID database. p values were adjusted by Benjamini & Hochberg methods, and FDR < 0.05 was defined as significantly enriched.

2.5. Prediction of Cis- and Trans-Regulated Target Genes of DELs. IncRNAs directly regulate adjacent target genes in the genome and this is termed cis-acting regulation. According to the taxonomic annotation information of IncRNA, neighboring known genes are predicted to be potential cis-regulated target genes. IncRNAs that are located far from their target genes play an indirect regulatory role through sequence complementarity, which is referred to as trans-acting regulation. We used RepeatMasker to search the Alu repeat structure of IncRNAs and 3’-UTRs. We used BLASTN sequence alignment to search for complementary sequence regions of IncRNAs and 3’-UTRs. The thermodynamic stability and binding ability of complexes formed by IncRNAs and 3’-UTRs were predicted by RNAplex and Rfsearch, with an aim to predict trans-regulated target genes of IncRNAs.

3. Results

3.1. Boxplot and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Diagram. Boxplots describe data using five statistics,
including the minimum, first quartile (25%), median (50%), third quartile (75%), and maximum. Through boxplots, we can gauge the symmetry of the data and the degree of dispersion of the distribution. As shown in Figure 1(a), we observed that the gene expression level of samples 1–5 was stable, while sample N6 was different, which may have been caused by a more serious fracture in patient 6.

It is possible to observe the similarity between samples through PCA plots. The closer the distance between samples on the PCA diagram, the closer the expression trend of sample genes is. As shown in Figure 1(b), the PCA diagram revealed that the expression features of samples 1–5 were similar, while sample N6 was different, which may be caused by a more serious fracture in patient 6.

3.2. Differential Expression of mRNAs and IncRNAs. As shown in Figure 2, a volcano plot of DELs between normal fracture healing and bone nonunion tissue samples indicated 167 upregulated IncRNAs and 12 downregulated IncRNAs (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). Additionally, 195 DEGs were upregulated and 220 DEGs were downregulated (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). Figures 2(c) and 3(c) are cluster heatmaps of DELs and DEGs, respectively, indicating a large difference in the expression between normal fracture healing and bone nonunion tissue samples. The top 10 DELs and DEGs were indicated in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Additionally, we annotated and classified the studied IncRNAs and they were mainly divided into intergenic IncRNAs, sense IncRNAs, intronic IncRNAs, antisense IncRNAs, sRNA host IncRNAs, enhancer IncRNAs, and bidirectional IncRNAs, accounting for 75.1%, 15.3%, 3.4%, 2.8%, 1.7%, and 0.6%, respectively (Figure S1).

3.3. Function and Pathway Predictive Analysis of DELs and DEGs. The biological processes (BP), cellular components (CC), and molecular functions (MF) of DEGs and DELs were analyzed using the DAVID database. GO analysis of DELs in terms of MF showed carbohydrate derivative transporter activity and Se-containing compound transmembrane transporter activity as most enriched. GO analysis of DELs in terms of CC was enriched in the CD95 death-inducing signaling complex in cellular components and smooth muscle contractile fiber. GO analysis of DELs in terms of BP was predominantly enriched in the regulation of peptidase activity and dermatan sulfate proteoglycan metabolic process (Figure 4).

GO analysis of DEGs for MF was primarily enriched in MHC class II receptor activity and chemokine activity, CC showed enrichment in cell surface and plasma membrane components, and BPs showed developmental processes and cell migration (Figure 5).
The KEGG analyses for DELs (Figure 6) and DEGs (Figure 7) were also performed. The significant KEGG functional enrichment of DELs was ECM-receptor interaction (Figure 8), viral carcinogenesis (Figure 9), drug metabolism, cytochrome P450, p53 signaling pathway, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain- (NOD-) like receptor signaling pathway, viral myocarditis, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) signaling pathway, metabolism of

---

**Figure 2**: Differential expression of lncRNAs (DELs) between bone nonunion and union tissues. (a) Volcano plot showing DELs; (b) 179 DELs between bone nonunion and union group comprising 12 downregulated lncRNAs and 167 upregulated lncRNAs; (c) heatmap of DELs. T1, T2, and T3: bone union group; T4, T5, and T6: bone nonunion group.
xenobiotics by cytochrome P450, and riboflavin metabolism. The significant KEGG functional enrichment of DEGs was valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis, *Staphylococcus aureus* infection (Figure 10), prion diseases, riboflavin metabolism, viral myocarditis, malaria, rheumatoid arthritis (Figure 11), complement and coagulation cascades, asthma, legionellosis, intestinal immune network for IgA production, allograft rejection, graft-versus-host disease, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) signaling pathway, legionellosis, epithelial cell signaling in *Helicobacter pylori* infection, type I diabetes mellitus, systemic lupus erythematosus, pertussis, and autoimmune thyroid disease.

### 3.4. IncRNA Cis- and Trans-Regulated Genes

Differential expression of IncRNA cis- and trans-regulated genes was predicted. As shown in Figure 6, IncRNA ENST0000
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**Figure 3:** Differential expression of genes (DEGs) between bone nonunion and union tissues. (a) Volcano plot showing DEGs; (b) 415 DELs between bone nonunion and union group comprising 220 downregulated genes and 195 upregulated genes; (c) heatmap of DEGs. T1, T2, and T3: bone union group; T4, T5, and T6: bone nonunion group.
### Table 2: Top 10 differentially expressed lncRNAs.

| Gene ID       | Gene   | Locus                        | log2 (fold change) | p value  |
|---------------|--------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| **Upregulated lncRNAs** |        |                              |                    |          |
| ENST00000485567 | FN1    | 2:216225162-216300895        | 30.494             | 0.0017   |
| ENST00000605228 | RP1    | 1:182403027-182403596        | 5.02375671         | 0.00845  |
| ENST00000603389 | WI2    | 2:16330518-16330662          | 4.381871684        | 0.04     |
| ENST00000452690 | RP11   | X:40122130-40146973          | 3.95783            | 0.01945  |
| ENST00000420417 | RP11   | 8:99973655-99980512          | 3.94771            | 0.0366   |
| ENST00000366224 | RP11   | X:47157250-47158120          | 3.9219             | 0.02685  |
| ENST00000331301 | AP002387.1 | 11:71093646-71134469      | 3.90025            | 0.02675  |
| ENST00000550756 | OLA1P3 | 12:56263831-56266386        | 3.88772            | 0.0173   |
| ENST00000475135 | DPPA4  | 3:109044987-109056419        | 3.79153            | 0.0377   |
| ENST00000603371 | RP11   | X:35882974-35887748          | 3.78534            | 0.0057   |
| **Down-regulated lncRNAs** |        |                              |                    |          |
| ENST00000463060 | COL6A1 | 21:47401650-47424964         | −33.8802           | 0.00215  |
| ENST00000546357 | EMP1   | 12:13349649-13369708         | −11.3747           | 0.0211   |
| ENST00000577048 | AF001548.6 | 16:15005407-16444646      | −3.90021           | 0.0262   |
| ENST00000563492 | CDH11  | 16:64977655-65160015         | −3.54485           | 0.04475  |
| ENST00000522659 | FABP4  | 8:82351670-82445510          | −3.4878            | 0.0273   |
| ENST00000573866 | SNORD3D | 17:19015312-19015949        | −2.80835           | 0.01495  |
| ENST00000550557 | NR4A1  | 12:52416615-52453291         | −2.6977            | 0.04875  |
| ENST00000497048 | KLF4   | 9:110247132-110252763        | −2.3589            | 0.01295  |
| ENST00000566457 | CTD    | 8:22532053-22541522          | −1.9616            | 0.0247   |
| ENST00000603371 | RP11   | X:35882974-35887748          | −1.05101           | 0.0372   |

### Table 3: Top 10 differentially expressed genes.

| Gene ID       | Gene   | Locus                        | log2 (fold change) | p value  |
|---------------|--------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| **Upregulated genes** |        |                              |                    |          |
| ENST00000457143 | ATP5J  | 21:26931715-27589700         | 45.5374            | 0.04015  |
| ENST00000539409 | FAM60A | 12:31433517-31479992         | 11.9547            | 0.03395  |
| ENST00000401325 | AC009695.1 | 8:21351538-21351627    | 11.40504571        | 0.0369   |
| ENST00000582836 | AC003035.1 | X:14093909-14094010       | 10.67193906        | 0.044    |
| ENST000003635209 | Y_RNA  | 8:19015312-19015949         | 9.618411199        | 0.0321   |
| ENST000005632999 | RNU5D-1 | 8:22532053-22541522         | 4.97665            | 0.01295  |
| ENST00000326245 | IGBP   | 11:71093646-71134469        | 4.81686            | 0.0002   |
| ENST00000326245 | IGBP   | 11:71093646-71134469        | 4.5736             | 0.00285  |
| **Down-regulated genes** |        |                              |                    |          |
| ENST00000505243 | RPS3A  | 21:26931715-27589700         | −142.886           | 0.0414   |
| ENST00000502527 | VCAN   | 8:21351538-21351627          | −17.498            | 0.015    |
| ENST00000455022 | UTRN   | 8:19015312-19015949         | −13.8992           | 0.0163   |
| ENST00000390603 | IGKV3-15 | 11:71093646-71134469     | −5.23325           | 0.00945  |
| ENST00000512158 | CXL14  | 5:134895266-134970564        | −5.00264           | 0.02945  |
| ENST00000326245 | ITLN1  | 1:160846528-160854960        | −4.95648           | 0.0061   |
| ENST00000390600 | IGKV3-9 | 11:71093646-71134469     | −4.8897            | 0.01665  |
| ENST00000492446 | IGKV1D-16 | 11:71093646-71134469    | −4.76505           | 0.01705  |
| ENST00000390598 | IGKV3-7 | 11:71093646-71134469     | −4.73485           | 0.0062   |
Figure 4: GO term enrichment analysis of DELs; the abscissa represented the $-\log_{10} \text{FDR}$ value and the ordinate represented the GO classification; green, red, and blue indicated molecular function (MF), cell component (CC), and biological process (BP), respectively.

Figure 5: GO term enrichment analysis of (B) DEGs; the abscissa represented the $-\log_{10} \text{FDR}$ value and the ordinate represented the GO classification; green, red, and blue indicated molecular function (MF), cell component (CC), and biological process (BP), respectively.
0453060 cis-regulates COL6A1, lncRNA ENST00000577048 cis-regulates MYH11, lncRNA ENST00000606343 cis-regulates BCAN, etc. (Figure 12). Additionally, several lncRNA trans-regulated genes were reported, including lncRNA UCSC_TCONS_00017121 trans-regulating RBP1, MLPL44, MTFMT, and TIPIN (Figure 13).

4. Discussion

With the development of sequencing technology, transcriptome sequencing has been used to understand a variety of diseases [25, 26]. Wei et al. used an miRNA expression profile of bone nonunion and union tissues to find nine upregulated and nine downregulated miRNAs [27]. Long et al. reported 557 differentially expressed miRNAs in bone nonunion tissues and further explored that miR-381 can modulate human bone mesenchymal stromal cell osteogenesis [28]. The results obtained in different transcriptome sequencing studies may vary greatly, which may be related to different sequencing technologies, samples, and sequencing methods. Previous studies indicated that IncRNAs achieve their functions in tumors through a wide range of mechanisms [29–31]. However, IncRNAs have been rarely studied in orthopedics, especially with respect to bone nonunion [32], thus limiting the detection and treatment of bone nonunion to a certain extent.

In this study, transcriptome sequencing was performed on bone tissue samples collected from long bones (tibia, femur, and humerus) of patients with bone nonunion and normal bone union. We detected and analyzed 179 DELs and 415 DEGs. GO analysis showed that DELs were primarily enriched in carbohydrate derivative transporter activity in MF, CD95 death-inducing signaling complex in CC, and regulation of peptidase activity in BP. The DEGs were mainly involved in MHC class II receptor activity for MF, cell surface, and developmental processes for CC and BP. The KEGG pathway enrichment of the DELs showed the ECM-receptor interaction pathway and viral carcinogenesis pathway. The KEGG pathway enrichment in DEGs showed the S. aureus infection pathway and rheumatoid arthritis pathway. The ECM-receptor interaction pathway primarily functions through three ECM proteins, including collagen, fibronectin, and laminin. Laminin is involved in osteogenesis and promotion of bone defect repair [33, 34]. Studies have suggested that collagen type XV may be involved in ECM organization early in the osteogenesis process, a prerequisite for promoting subsequent mineral matrix deposition [35]. Immunohistochemical and transcriptomic studies have shown the expression and dynamic regulation of fibronectin in several stages of...
fracture healing [35, 36]. Single-cell RNA sequencing of the injury site revealed an early increase in mesenchymal progenitor cell (MPC) genes associated with cell adhesion pathways and ECM receptor interactions. The ECM creates a microenvironment with a MPC differentiation bias closer to a specific stiffness role in tissues [37–40]. For example, a rigid environment that mimics the natural bone favors differentiation into osteoblasts [41], whereas a softer matrix promotes the development of adipocyte fate [42, 43].

IncRNAs can cis-regulate the transcription of adjacent protein-coding genes, thereby regulating the expression of such genes and participating in developmental and other biological processes associated with them. Cis-regulation refers to the transcriptional activation and expression regulation of noncoding RNAs to adjacent mRNAs. In this study, we found that IncRNA ENST00000453060 may cis-regulate COL6A1. Previous studies have indicated that genetic deletion of COL6A1 impairs osteoblast connections and communication [44]. COL6A1 plays a substantial role

Figure 8: KEGG pathway of ECM-receptor interaction. Red indicated significantly different expression genes in the bone nonunion group compared with bone union group. Organism-specific genes or pathways were colored green.

Figure 9: KEGG pathway of viral carcinogenesis. Red indicated significantly different expression genes in the bone nonunion group compared with the bone union group. Organism-specific genes or pathways were colored green.
Figure 10: KEGG pathway of staphylococcus aureus infection. Red indicated significantly different expression genes in the bone nonunion group compared with the bone union group. Organism-specific genes or pathways were colored green.

Figure 11: KEGG pathway of rheumatoid arthritis. Red indicated significantly different expression genes in the bone nonunion group compared with the bone union group. Organism-specific genes or pathways were colored green.
in osteoblasts, and lncRNA ENST00000453060 may regulate osteoblasts via cis-regulation of COL6A1. Additionally, our previous study confirmed that lncRNA ENST00000563492 could promote the osteogenesis-angiogenesis coupling process in bone marrow stromal cells [45].

lncRNA trans-regulation is the regulation of distal mRNA transcription. lncRNAs can regulate the expression of distant genes by binding to enhancers and promoters. lncRNAs regulate the activity of bound proteins or RNAs in the cytoplasm or nucleus in a dose-dependent manner. The lncRNA UCSC_TCONS_00017121 trans-regulates RBP1. Previous studies have shown that RBP1 promotes differentiation of osteoblasts [46]. The lncRNA UCSC_TCONS_00017121 may also regulate osteoblasts via cis-regulating COL6A1.

There were certain limitations in the present study. First, no validation assays, including qPCR and histological analysis, were performed to confirm the differential expression, thereby demanding the need for further experimental studies. Second, patient matching, including differences in ages of enrolled patients and differences involving sites of sample collection from bone nonunion tissues, was not well handled. Third, only 6 patients were enrolled in this study, the results from which need to be confirmed in a further study with greater numbers of samples. Fourth, the sample size of this study was relatively small and the results need to be interpreted with caution.
5. Conclusions

A total of 179 DELs and 415 DEGs were identified between bone nonunion and bone union tissue samples. All of these lncRNAs and mRNAs may be related to the occurrence and development of bone nonunion. GO, KEGG, and regulatory analysis for these lncRNAs and mRNAs were performed to detect their potential functions. This study identified potential biomarkers for bone nonunion, but a validation cohort is still essential to confirm the applicability of these biomarkers.
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