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Abstract—Nanoelectromechanical (NEMS) resonators are promising uncooled thermal infrared (IR) detectors to overcome existing sensitivity limits. Here, we investigated NEMS trampoline resonators made of silicon nitride (SiN) as thermal IR detectors. Trampolines have an enhanced responsivity of more than two orders of magnitude compared to state-of-the-art SiN drums. The characterized NEMS trampoline IR detectors yield a sensitivity in terms of noise equivalent power (NEP) of 7 pW/√Hz and a thermal response time as low as 4 ms. The detector area features an impedance-matched metal thin-film absorber with a spectrally flat absorption of 50% over the entire mid-IR spectral range from 1 to 25 µm.

Index Terms—Low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) silicon nitride (SiN), nanoelectromechanical (NEMS), thermal infrared (IR) detector, trampoline resonator.

I. INTRODUCTION

THERMAL detectors are essential devices for infrared (IR) spectroscopy and thermal imaging [1], [2], [3]. Due to the flat and broadband spectral response, these detectors are mostly used when measurements have to be performed over a wide spectral range from near-IR all the way to the far-IR regime. However, state-of-the-art uncooled thermal detectors’ sensitivity is still several orders of magnitude below the fundamental detection limit, which is given by power fluctuations of thermal radiation from the detector and its background [1], [4].

Thermal detectors absorb the low-energy IR photons and measure the resulting photothermal heating. The temperature increase is usually measured electrically, for example, via a thermoelectric voltage, resistance change, or pyroelectric current. These electrical temperature sensing schemes are typically limited by thermal noise (Johnson noise) [1]. A mechanical IR-sensing concept was introduced in the late 1960s by Cary Instruments as a promising thermal detector that is not intrinsically limited by the thermal noise limit [5]. The principle of this concept is a macroscopic tensioned foil resonator that acts as the thermal-sensing element. Such macroscopic IR detectors have, to the best of our knowledge, never been successfully implemented at the time. It was only in 2011 when the successful fabrication and characterization of such a nanometer thin tensioned metal and silicon nitride (SiN) foil resonator elements for temperature sensing was first demonstrated [6]. Later in 2013, nanomechanical photothermal detector concepts based on tensioned SiN strings [7], [8] have been introduced. Recently, this concept has been developed further to be used as an IR detector based on a SiN drum featuring a broadband IR absorber thin film [9] and without a dedicated absorber [10], [11]. It has been shown that these drum resonators can reach an intrinsic sensitivity in the fW-regime [12]. It has further been shown that these structures enter the radiative heat-transfer regime for lateral drum sizes >1 mm [13], [14], [15].

Nanomechanical SiN resonators present a promising approach to creating thermal IR detectors that can reach...
the long-anticipated photon noise limit. The same detector concept has been presented with graphene trampolines in the visible regime of the electromagnetic spectrum [16]. Other micro- and nanoelectromechanical (MEMS and NEMS) thermal detector concepts include piezoelectric resonators [17], [18], [19], torsional paddle resonators [20], [21], and polymer resonators [22].

Here, we investigated thermal IR detectors based on NEMS SiN trampoline resonators, which already proved exceptional properties in other fields [23], [24], [25], [26], [27]. Compared to drums, trampolines have enhanced thermal responsivity due to better thermal isolation of the central detection area [27].

We study and compare the performance of such trampoline-shaped IR detectors with various designs by means of their responsivity \( R \), noise-equivalent power (NEP), specific detectivity \( D^* \), and response time \( \tau_R \).

II. METHODS

A. Experimental Setup

The experimental setup and the specific SiN trampoline-arrays with various detector area sizes that were studied are depicted in Fig. 1. The experimental setup comprises a broadband thermal IR light source (ArcLight IR form Arcoptix) with a spectral range from 1 to 25 \( \mu \text{m} \), an optical chopper (MC2000B and MC1F2 from Thorlabs, Inc.), two parabolic gold mirrors with a variable iris (pinhole) for intensity reduction, and a vacuum detector chamber. The chamber features a sample mount with two permanent magnets and a proportional–integral–derivative (PID)-controlled Peltier element to maintain a constant detector temperature of 20°C.

Before the characterization of our detector, the incident power of the IR radiation was measured with a reference detector (UM9-BL-L-DO from Gentec-Eo). The IR light was passing a fiber with a diameter of 900 \( \mu \text{m} \). Using two parabolic mirrors with equal focal lengths, the optimal IR beam diameter on the detector corresponds to the fiber diameter, resulting in an average power after the zinc selenide (ZnSe) window of \( P = 7 \mu \text{W} \).

The NEMS trampoline detectors in this work are transduced by a magnetomotive scheme [28], [29], [30]. The necessary magnetic field is created by permanent magnets producing a field strength of \( B \approx 0.6 \text{T} \). Two gold traces on the SiN trampolines are employed for separated actuation and readout of the nanomechanical motion. The trampoline’s out-of-plane motion induces a voltage along the readout gold trace, which is connected to a differential low-noise voltage preamplifier (SR560 from Stanford Research Systems). A lock-in amplifier with a phase-locked loop module (HF2LI from Zurich Instruments) is used to create an oscillator based on the NEMS trampoline resonator, which was operated at the fundamental vibrational mode.

B. Sample Fabrication

The trampoline resonators, shown in Fig. 1(c), are made of a low-stress silicon-rich SiN thin film with a thickness of 50 \( \text{nm} \) that was fabricated by low-pressure chemical vapor deposition. The 5-\( \mu \text{m} \)-wide trampoline tethers are supported by a silicon frame with a thickness of 380 \( \mu \text{m} \). All chips are 5 \( \times \)5 \( \text{mm} \) large with a frame size of 1 \( \times \)1 \( \text{mm} \). The 1-\( \mu \text{m} \)-wide gold electrodes with a thickness of 190 and 10 nm are lifted off. A new photoresist layer is spin-coated on the top and bottom of the wafer (h) to structure the top for the trampoline and protect the bottom (h). The SiN trampoline shape is structured by reactive ion etching (i) and has to be released from the Si. Therefore, a square window is patterned in the backside resist (j), and SiN is removed through reactive ion etching (k). As one of the final steps, the Si is etched in KOH to release the SiN trampoline (l).

In the final step [Fig. 2(m)], a platinum (Pt) thin film is deposited via thermal evaporation on the backside. This ultrathin Pt film acts as an impedance-matched absorber with
Fig. 2. Sample fabrication steps for SiN trampoline resonators with gold electrodes. (a) Schematic of a trampoline with a color-coded legend for the different materials. (b)–(m) Dashed line indicates the cross section used to explain the fabrication steps.

Fig. 3. (a) Measured transmittance and reflectance spectra for a 50-nm SiNdruum with a 5-nm platinum thin film and (b) corresponding absorption spectrum.

Fig. 4. IR characterization measurements for responsivity. (a) PLL measured resonance frequency for a chopped IR light at 5 Hz exemplary for a trampoline T45. (b) Relative responsivity obtained for different detector sizes of trampolines. Each data point corresponds to a measurement of an individual sample of the denoted size depicted in Fig. 1(d).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The detection mechanism is based on photothermal detuning of the resonators’ resonance frequency. The incident light causes a change in the temperature of the resonator and a thermal expansion leading to a reduction of the tensile stress [7], [8], [9], [34]. Hence, the responsivity of such an NEMS detector with a detector area $A$ is given by the relative frequency change $\Delta f = f_f / f_0$ per power of IR light irradiated over the detector area

$$ R = \frac{\Delta f}{P_{\text{abs}}} \quad (1) $$

The absorbed power $P_{\text{abs}}$ is the integrated power over the area of the Gaussian beam profile. Fig. 4(a) shows a typical frequency measurement where the IR light has been turned on and off, at a measured fundamental frequency $f_0 = 24.5$ kHz. From such time-resolved response measurements, the responsivity of each NEMS detector was derived, as shown in Fig. 4(b). The measured responsivities steadily increase for smaller trampoline detector sizes. Since the frame size is fixed for all detectors to a size of 1 mm, the tether length of the trampolines linearly scales with the detector area. The tethers become longer for a smaller detector area, which causes improved thermal isolation. The observed enhanced responsivity of trampolines with small detector areas can hence be attributed to the increased tether length. The responsivity in the conductive heat-transfer regime of the trampolines can be approximated by two crossing strings of length $L$ [30], [34]

$$ R = -\frac{\alpha E L}{32 \chi \sigma h w} \quad (2) $$

with the thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha$, Young’s modulus $E$, thermal conductivity $\chi$, tensile stress $\sigma$, and string cross-section area $h \times w$. $R$ is proportional to $L$ resulting in a linear decrease of $R$ with detector area, as it is clearly observable in Fig. 4(b), in particular, for the smallest trampolines. The measured maximum responsivity of $R = 11000 \text{W}^{-1}$ is more than one order of magnitude below the values obtained with plain SiN drums and external interferometric readout [12]. According to (2), the reason is the Au electrodes that pass over the drum and significantly increase the thermal conductivity. An external interferometer is not a practical readout method, hence here we use an integrated electronic readout that comes with a tradeoff in responsivity.
Fig. 5. Finite-element simulations of the temperature profile across SiN trampolines for $P_{\text{abs}} = 1$ mW considering conductive heat transfer only. (a) Temperature profile for different sizes of trampolines for an IR spot size of 10 $\mu$m. (b) Temperature profile for the very center part of a T455 trampoline for different IR spot sizes.

Fig. 5(a) shows temperature profiles for different trampoline types at constant absorbed power. The results were obtained by finite-element method simulations. The simulations show that the improvement in responsivity with a smaller detection area can be explained by the correspondingly enhanced temperature profile. As Fig. 5(a) shows, the resonator temperature is inversely related to the detection area.

The effect of different IR spot sizes on the temperature profile is shown in Fig. 5(b) for a T455 trampoline. A small spot size causes only an insignificant local temperature peak, which does not affect the overall temperature profile. This shows that the spot size does not have a significant effect on the responsivity, as long as it is smaller than the detection area.

Next, the sensitivity is determined in terms of the NEP. The NEP of a NEMS detector directly scales with the frequency resolution, which was determined through the respective Allan deviation $\sigma_{\text{AD}}$ for a given integration time $\tau$

$$\text{NEP} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{AD}} \cdot \sqrt{\tau}}{R}. \quad (3)$$

The Allan deviation was calculated from frequency recordings over 1 min of each NEMS resonator. An example of the Allan deviation for a T45 sample is shown in Fig. 6(a). The marker in Fig. 6(a) indicates the integration time $\tau = 40$ ms that has been selected to calculate the resulting NEP. At this integration time, the slope of the measured Allan deviation curve is proportional to $1/\sqrt{\tau}$, resulting in a minimal NEP (3).

Fig. 6(b) presents the measured NEPs of all NEMS trampoline resonators. The NEP improves for trampolines with small detection areas, according to the enhanced responsivity of these structures due to the longer tethers. Compared to the drum resonators (M), the NEP of the trampolines was improved by up to two orders of magnitude. The smallest trampolines showed an NEP of 7 pW/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$.

Fig. 6(c) presents the obtained specific detectivity $D^* = \sqrt{A}/\text{NEP}$, which normalizes the sensitivity of a detector with its detection area $A$. $D^*$ is typically used to compare quantum detectors for which noise power is directly proportional to detector size. Noise in thermal detectors does not necessarily follow this trend [35]. However, the trampolines’ responsivity is inversely proportional to the detector size as discussed above. Hence, the measured specific detectivity values are constant to a good approximation, in particular, for the smallest trampolines with the longest tethers.

Fig. 7 shows the measured response times, which were obtained from the 90/10 method [36] by calculating the rise time from step transition and relating it to a first-order low-pass filter model. The trampolines with the smallest detector size show an improved behavior toward faster response times. The response time of a thermal detector $\tau_R = C/G$ is given by the ratio of heat capacity $C$ to heat conductance $G$. When reducing the detector size, both the heat capacity and conductance decrease. Because $C$ scales with the detector area and $G$ with the tether length, the response times get faster for trampolines with smaller detector sizes. The smallest trampolines performed best with response times of 4 ms.

Fig. 8 shows a finite-element method simulation of the in-plane stress along the diagonal of a trampoline structure T230, with an initial stress of 150 MPa. It shows an increase in tensile stress in the tethers that hold the detection area which is inherent to the trampoline design. The maximum stress in the tethers of $\sigma = 185$ MPa is more than one order of magnitude below the yield strength of SiN ($\sigma_{\text{yield}} \approx 6$ GPa [37]). We have not observed any failure of fatigue of SiN trampoline resonators since we have been fabricating these over the last three years [26], [27]. At operation in the linear
regime with maximal amplitudes of 10 nm no material fatigue has been observed.

IV. CONCLUSION

We demonstrate trampoline structures made of SiN as enhanced NEMS-based IR detectors. Compared to other SiN [9], [11], [38] or piezoelectric [17], [18] nanomechanical resonators as IR detectors with sensitivities in the range of hundreds of pW/√Hz, we could improve the NEP by two orders of magnitude with a minimum measured value of 7 pW/√Hz. Furthermore, we could also improve the response time by a factor of 3 compared to SiN drum detectors [9]. The smaller the detector area, the longer become the tethers, which results in enhanced sensitivity. This clearly shows the potential of NEMS-based thermal detectors. However, one of the challenges is still to convert the improved IR detection methods into application-oriented sensors with the same performance. We have taken a step in this direction with this work by designing NEMS SiN trampoline detectors with a broadband absorber and integrated electronic readout.

Larger detector areas can readily be obtained in a future design by increasing the frame dimensions. With the current NEP, a detector area of $A = 1 \times 1 \, \text{mm}$ would result in the theoretical photon noise limit of $D^* \approx 2 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^2\sqrt{\text{Hz}/\text{W}}$ [1]. Finally, the responsibility can be improved by using a transduction principle that does not require metal leads that pass over the trampoline structure and hence is less deteriorating to the responsivity. Such NEMS resonators are promising thermal IR detector schemes with the potential to reach the ultimate photon noise sensitivity limit.
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