Spatiotemporal Distribution of Cattle Dung Patches in a Subtropical Soybean-Beef System under Different Grazing Intensities in Winter
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Abstract: Cattle dung distribution in pastoral ecosystems is uneven and affects nutrient availability to plants. Thus, identifying its spatiotemporal patterns is crucial to understanding the mechanisms underlying the system functioning. We aimed to characterize the spatiotemporal distribution of dung patches in mixed black oat (Avena strigosa Schreb.) and Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) pastures grazed at different intensities (sward heights of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 m) in the winter stocking period of an integrated soybean-beef system in southern Brazil. All dung patches were located and georeferenced every 20 days. Dung distribution was analyzed using Thiessen polygons and semivariogram analysis. The spatial pattern of dung deposition was virtually similar over time but created distinct patterns in paddocks managed at different grazing intensities. Dung patch density was greater close to attraction points, resting and socialization areas regardless of grazing intensity. Lighter grazing intensities presented stronger spatial patterns with increased dung density in those areas, but those patterns weakened with increasing grazing intensity. Dung patches covered 0.4%, 0.9%, 1.1% and 1.5% of the area in paddocks managed at 0.4, 0.3, 0.2 and 0.1 m sward heights, respectively. Geostatistics proved useful for identifying spatial patterns in integrated crop-livestock systems and will potentially support further investigations.
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1. Introduction

Increasing agricultural yields following global food demand is a major challenge faced by the sector in the 21st century. Projections foresee 9.8 billion people in 2050, a 30% growth from the current world population [1]. Associated with greater individual wealth, population growth will increase the
demand for agricultural products by 70% [2]. Agricultural intensification propelled yields over the last decades [3] but the disconnection of crop and livestock production and the concentration of these activities in specialized farms impaired nutrient cycling, which is a fundamental feature of sustainable agricultural systems [4]. Resulting water contamination, soil degradation, biodiversity loss and rising atmospheric greenhouse gas emissions walked side by side with yield gains, but such costs are no longer accepted by society [5].

The urge for environmentally friendly production systems has led several authors to suggest the reintegration of crops and animals to reconcile food production and the delivery of ecosystem services underlying sustainability [5–8]. Integrated crop-livestock systems (ICLS) can promote sustainable intensification through diversifying revenue sources, which buffers market fluctuation risks [9] besides improving nutrient recycling and use efficiency [10]. As a result, reliance on external inputs is reduced and system self-sufficiency increases [11,12]. ICLS are already present in many regions of the world, from ancient smallholding systems in Asia [13] to large-scale commercial operations in Brazil [7]. In the latter case, the widespread model in the subtropical region of the country is the rotation of summer grain crops (mainly soybean, maize or rice) and winter annual pastures (mainly black oat (Avena strigosa Schreb.) and Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.)).

In these systems, animals graze pasture nutrients and the undigested portion is returned to the soil via excreta (i.e., dung and urine) [14]. This recycling process facilitates nutrient mineralization due to the low carbon:nitrogen (C:N) ratio of animal wastes [15] and to the greater activity of soil protease, urease and acid phosphatase enzymes in the presence of excreta [16]. Soil fertility is thus improved, favoring plant nutrient acquisition and growth [17].

The distribution of livestock excreta in the field is, however, uneven and associated with the location of attractants (e.g., water sources and socialization areas), terrain slope, season [18,19] and grazing management practices (e.g., dung is more evenly distributed under a rotational method compared with a continuous stocking method) [20]. As a consequence, nutrient redistribution in grassland soils is also uneven, creating depletion zones where forages are grazed and accumulation zones where wastes are deposited [21]. For instance, phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) availability in the soil is 38% and 122% higher under cattle dung patches, respectively, resulting in 23% greater soybean yields in these sites compared with the ones with no dung patches in an annual rotation of soybean and winter pastures [22]. For this reason, the effects of grazing animals in no-till areas such as heterogeneous nutrient distribution and soil compaction, still raise concerns regarding ICLS adoption [7].

Understanding the spatiotemporal dynamics of cattle foraging behavior (and consequently dung distribution) in pasturelands is therefore an important factor to properly manage pasture-based livestock systems [19,23]. However, information on the spatiotemporal patterns of cattle excreta is limited [19] as most of the literature is focused on manure composition and management from intensive and usually confined systems [24]. Knowing the spatiotemporal patterns of dung deposition would be particularly important in pasture areas succeeded by commercial crops, which is the case of the annual soybean-beef cattle integration in southern Brazil. Recognizing distinct patterns in these systems when submitted to different grazing intensities would allow the prediction of cumulative effects over time and make better use of management strategies, such as livestock attractants or grazing intensity itself, to improve system efficiency. Moreover, it has been shown that ingestive behavior is affected by forage availability (i.e., satiated animals spend more time resting and ruminating while unsatiated animals need to walk more to fulfill their daily requirements) [25] and that greater dry matter intake results in greater individual fecal production and performance [26]. Thus, it is expected that dung deposition may present different distribution patterns over a gradient of grazing intensities. In this case, these patterns could work as indirect indicators of animal performance and welfare in the stocking period of ICLS or of the need for interventions.

In this study, we aimed to (1) identify whether cattle dung deposition follows distinct spatiotemporal patterns of distribution on mixed black oat and Italian ryegrass pastures under different grazing intensities during the stocking period of an annual integrated soybean-beef cattle system in southern Brazil and
describe the spatiotemporal patterns of dung distribution in paddocks managed at different grazing intensities using geostatistical methods. Our hypotheses were that (1) grazing intensity affects the spatiotemporal patterns of cattle dung deposition, (2) lighter grazing intensities amplify the spatial pattern of dung deposition with greater dung concentration in specific areas destined to resting and socialization and (3) individual animal excretion is greater at lighter grazing intensities while overall excretion is greater at heavier grazing intensities as a result of higher stocking rates.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study was carried out at the Espinilho Farm (28°56′ S, 54°20′ W, 425 m above sea level), which is located in the municipality of São Miguel das Missões, Rio Grande do Sul State, southern Brazil, as part of a long-term no-till integrated crop-livestock system experiment. The region has a humid subtropical climate (Cfa) according to Köppen’s classification with an average annual air temperature of 19 °C and precipitation of 1850 mm [27]. During the study period, the accumulated rainfall was 838 mm and the average air temperature was 15 °C [28]. The soil is a clayey Oxisol, classified as Rhodic Hapludox [29], with a clayey texture (540, 270 and 190 g kg\(^{-1}\) of clay, silt and sand, respectively, in the 0–0.20 m layer) and a deep, well drained profile. The relief in the experimental site is slightly undulating.

The experimental area has been managed under no-till since 1993 when soybean \([\text{Glycine max (L.) Merr.}]\) was cultivated in the summer and black oat \([\text{Avena strigosa Schreb.}]\) was cultivated in the winter as a cover crop for the first time. In 2001, the integrated soybean-beef cattle system experiment was established with soybean cultivated in the summer and a mixture of Italian ryegrass \([\text{Lolium multiflorum Lam.}]\) and black oat pastures in the winter. Data shown in this study were collected 10 years after the beginning of the experiment, namely in 2010. Black oat was sown in rows at 45 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate at the end of April 2010. Italian ryegrass was established by self-seeding in the previous winter. Nitrogen (45 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was applied as urea 45 days after sowing the black oat.

To assess the effect of grazing intensity on the spatiotemporal distribution of cattle dung, we followed a geostatistical approach in four paddocks managed at different grazing intensities under the continuous stocking method. Grazing intensities were defined by different sward management heights in the winter stocking period: 0.1 m (intense grazing), 0.2 m (moderate grazing), 0.3 m (moderate-light grazing) and 0.4 m (light grazing). To ensure that average sward heights remained as close as possible to the target sward heights, we performed 100 random measurements per paddock with a sward stick every 15 days [30]. Each paddock received three tester animals that remained throughout the stocking period and a variable number of put-and-take animals [31] that were periodically added or removed to adjust sward heights. Paddock dimensions ranged from 0.9 to 2.2 ha from the heaviest to the lightest grazing intensity (Figure 1). Paddocks differed in area to reduce the number of animals required to maintain the target sward heights especially in heavier grazing intensities.

The experimental animals were cross-bred Angus-Hereford-Nelore neutered steers with an initial body weight of 199 ± 23 kg and 10 months old. Grazing began on 6 July 2010 when pastures reached an average sward height of 0.27 ± 0.015 m and an average herbage mass of 1807 ± 97 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Steers remained in the experimental area up to 3 November 2010, totaling 119 grazing days. The average number of animals kept in each paddock during the interval between samplings dates is shown in Table 1. The average observed sward heights over the stocking period were 0.12, 0.18, 0.26 and 0.36 m for the target sward heights of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 m, respectively. The average stocking rates required to maintain these sward heights over the experimental period were 1309, 918, 702 and 399 kg of live weight ha\(^{-1}\), respectively.
Figure 1. The location of the experimental area (left) and an aerial view of the paddocks (right) used for the description of the spatiotemporal patterns of dung deposition in the winter stocking period of an integrated soybean-beef cattle system in southern Brazil. Paddock dimensions and the target sward heights (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 m) assigned to them are shown in the aerial view. The pastures consisted of a mixture of black oat (Avena strigosa Schreb.) and Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) grazed by steers under continuous stocking method.

| Sward Height (m) | Sampling | Sampling Date     | Number of Animals |
|------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0.1              | 1        | 28 July           | 7                 |
|                  | 2        | 14 August         | 6.4               |
|                  | 3        | 27 August         | 4.1               |
|                  | 4        | 19 September      | 3                 |
|                  | 5        | 09 October        | 3                 |
|                  | 6        | 28 October        | 3                 |
| 0.2              | 1        | 02 August         | 6                 |
|                  | 2        | 14 August         | 4                 |
|                  | 3        | 28 August         | 3.3               |
|                  | 4        | 20 September      | 3                 |
|                  | 5        | 10 October        | 3                 |
|                  | 6        | 29 October        | 3                 |
| 0.3              | 1        | 29 July           | 5                 |
|                  | 2        | 10 August         | 3.8               |
|                  | 3        | 31 August         | 3                 |
|                  | 4        | 25 September      | 3                 |
|                  | 5        | 12 October        | 3                 |
|                  | 6        | 01 November       | 3                 |
| 0.4              | 1        | 26 July           | 4                 |
|                  | 2        | 09 August         | 3.6               |
|                  | 3        | 26 August         | 3                 |
|                  | 4        | 18 September      | 3                 |
|                  | 5        | 11 October        | 3                 |
|                  | 6        | 31 October        | 3                 |

Table 1. The average number of animals (beef steers) per paddock at each dung sampling date in 2010 for the different grazing intensities (sward heights of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 m) on mixed black oat (Avena strigosa Schreb.) and Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) pastures in the stocking period of an integrated soybean-beef cattle system in southern Brazil.
2.2. Samplings and Data Collection

The mapping of cattle dung patches consisted of periodically georeferencing every dung patch in the paddocks throughout the stocking period. We used a geodetic GPS with 5 mm horizontal accuracy for mapping dung sites (rapid static, Epoch 10 L1 GPS, Spectra Precision, Dayton, OH, USA) by positioning it in the center of each dung patch with another GPS working as the fixed base (static mode, Epoch 25 L1/L2 GPS, Spectra Precision, Dayton, OH, USA). The coordinate system used was the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) and geodesic reference system SIRGAS 2000 (Geocentric Reference System for the Americas). Dung evaluations were not replicated in space owing to the long time demanded by intense searching and georeferencing of thousands of dung patches, which could easily extend for more than 12 h in each paddock (georeferencing itself required 15 to 30 s per dung patch to stabilize the GPS signal). The lack of replication compromises the measure of variability among the experimental units with the same grazing management and thus limits our ability to extend inferences about treatment effects to other pastures (i.e., paddocks under different grazing intensities were considered as populations of interest instead of samples from a larger population). However, the use of geostatistical methods to describe patterns of variability within each of our experimental units provided a reliable characterization of dung deposition dynamics in pasture areas submitted to different grazing intensities, adding useful information to the understanding of integrated crop-livestock systems.

Evaluations were performed at intervals of approximately 20 days, totaling six sampling dates (Table 1). In each sampling date, two evaluators systematically scanned paddock areas by walking side-by-side from one edge to the opposite edge of the paddock then moving laterally in back and forth trajectories until the entire area was covered and all dung patches were georeferenced. To prevent the same dung patch from being georeferenced twice over the stocking period, each patch was marked with lime according to methodology proposed by Braz et al. [32]. On 25 September, 1 November and 16 December 2010, ten dung patches were randomly selected from each grazing intensity. Semi-major and semi-minor axes of each dung patch were measured, and the average area of the patch was calculated assuming an elliptical shape. The fresh weight of dung patches was determined in the last two sampling dates with a portable digital field scale.

Mapping allowed the determination of the total number of dung patches deposited between each sampling date. The total number of dung patches was divided by the number of animals in each paddock in that interval so that the number of dung patches excreted per animal per day was estimated for each sampling interval. At the end of the stocking period, the density of dung patches \( D \) was calculated by the following equation:

\[
D = \frac{N \times a}{A}
\]

where \( N \) is the total number of dung patches at the end of the stocking period, \( a \) is the average area of each dung patch and \( A \) is the total area of the paddock [33]. \( D \) was used to calculate the percentage of the area covered by patches \( P \), as following:

\[
P = 100 \times D
\]

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The total number of dung patches, the average number of dung patches per animal per day, the average area of individual dung patches and dung patch fresh weight were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) by F test at a 5% significance level. When significant differences were detected, means were compared using Tukey’s test through the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS [34]. The following statistical model was used for the analysis of variance:

\[
Y_{ijkl} = \mu + T_j + \gamma_k + \varepsilon_{ijkl}
\]
where $Y_{ijkl}$ is the response variable, $\mu$ is an average inherent in all observations, $T_j$ is the effect of the $j$th paddock managed at a given grazing intensity, $\gamma_k$ is the effect of the $k$th repetition time and $\varepsilon_{ijkl}$ is the random error, which is assumed to be independent and normally distributed.

2.4. Geostatistical Analysis

There are statistical procedures to evaluate spatial distribution including random (Poisson), uniform or aggregated (binomial) distributions [14]. Binomial distribution is the one that best fits to the distribution of dung on pastures [33,35], but it only quantifies heterogeneity instead of indicating whether spatial patterns exist [36]. It is therefore necessary to use other available methods that are able to identify spatial patterns of distribution such as geostatistical methods based on semivariogram analysis [37,38].

The areas of Thiessen polygons were calculated for every dung patch using ArcView GIS 3.2 software. Each polygon defined an area inside which every location was closer to the central point (dung patch) than to the surrounding ones [37,39,40]. Polygon borders were therefore the bisection lines separating two dung patches [36]. As a possible result, a higher density of dung patches results in smaller polygons while isolated dung patches result in larger polygon areas. To describe dung deposition patterns over time and quantify spatial autocorrelations and dependence between dung patches, experimental semivariograms of polygons areas were generated using GS+ software version 7.0 (Gamma Design Software, Plainwell, MI, USA). Experimental semivariograms of Thiessen polygons areas were calculated by the following equation:

$$\gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2N(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(h)} (Z(x_i) - Z(x_i + h))^2$$

(4)

in which $N(h)$ indicates the number of pairs of measured values, $Z(x_i)$ and $Z(x_i + h)$, separated by a distance vector $h$. $Z(x_i)$ and $Z(x_i + h)$ are values of the $i$th observation of a regionalized variable collected at positions $x_i$ and $x_i + h$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$), separated by vector $h$ [37,38,41].

The following isotropic mathematical models were adjusted to experimental semivariograms [38,42]:

(a) Spherical model, using:

$$\gamma(h) = C_0 + C_1 \left[ \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{h}{a} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{h}{a} \right)^3 \right], \quad 0 < h < a.$$  

(5)

$$\gamma(h) = C_0 + C_1, \quad h \geq a.$$  

(6)

(b) Exponential model, using:

$$\gamma(h) = C_0 + C_1 \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{3h}{a} \right) \right], \quad 0 < h < d.$$  

(7)

(c) Gaussian model, using:

$$\gamma(h) = C_0 + C_1 \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{3h}{2a} \right)^2 \right], \quad 0 < h < d$$  

(8)

in which $d$ is the maximum distance in which semivariograms were defined.

In aforementioned models, the existence of spatial dependence is verified when semivariances increase up to a given range ($a$) where the best-fit model reaches an asymptote (sill, $C_0 + C_1$) beyond which there is no spatial dependence between the points. $C_0$ is the nugget effect ($h = 0$) representing the spatial variability that is below the minimum sampling distance or random error [43]. A large difference between the sill and nugget effect indicates a pronounced spatial pattern. When the sill equals the
nugget effect, it is an indication that no spatial pattern exists. Models were adjusted according to lowest residual sum of squares (RSS) and highest degree of spatial dependence represented by the proportion of structural variance ($C_1$) in relation to the sill ($C_0 + C_1$) [44,45]. Spatial dependence was categorized as strong (>0.75), moderate (0.75–0.25) or weak (<0.25) [46].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Dung Deposition at Different Grazing Intensities

Between 2467 and 3903 total dung patches were mapped up to the end of the stocking period (Table 2). The average number of patches per animal per day was 7.60 ± 2.28 with no statistical difference between paddocks grazed at different intensities ($p = 0.1988$, Table 2). It is clear, however, of the existence of an increasing trend in dung deposition toward the paddock managed with a sward height of 0.2 m, which probably resulted from a combination of stocking rate and greater individual dry matter (DM) intake in moderate grazing intensity. Previous studies in the same experimental protocol have shown that individual DM intake is greater at moderate to light grazing intensities (sward heights of 0.2 to 0.4 m) compared with a heavy grazing intensity (sward height of 0.1 m), which impaired individual animal performance in terms of daily gains (kg of live weight day$^{-1}$) in the latter case [26]. Contrary to our results, however, those authors found a linear increase in total fecal production (kg ha$^{-1}$) from the lightest to the heaviest grazing intensity with no statistical difference between sward heights of 0.1 and 0.2 m. Different outcomes could be explained by different sampling methodologies used in the studies.

| Sward Height (m) | Variable | Sampling Date | Total | Mean |
|------------------|----------|---------------|-------|------|
|                  |          | 1  2  3  4  5 6 |       |      |
| 0.1              | Dung patches per animal per day | 5  6 11 8 6 8 | 7     | 7    |
|                  | Total    | 746 810 601 579 360 439 | 3355 | 590  |
| 0.2              | Dung patches per animal per day | 9  9 12 8 5 10 | 9     | 9    |
|                  | Total    | 1483 419 571 546 318 566 | 3903 | 651  |
| 0.3              | Dung patches per animal per day | 12 4 10 8 6 6 | 8     | 8    |
|                  | Total    | 1326 524 626 583 301 374 | 3734 | 622  |
| 0.4              | Dung patches per animal per day | 6  8 9 7 6 4 | 7     | 7    |
|                  | Total    | 462 380 469 527 377 252 | 2467 | 411  |

The average area of individual dung patches was 0.038 ± 0.001 m$^2$ ($p = 0.7850$). However, the paddock area covered with cattle dung patches at the end of the stocking period virtually quadrupled from the lightest to the heaviest grazing intensity (0.4, 0.9, 1.1 and 1.5% of the paddock area covered with dung for the sward heights of 0.4, 0.3, 0.2 and 0.1 m, respectively). This result was directly related to stocking rates but different proportions of area coverage compared with stocking rates (i.e., stocking rates tripled from the lightest to the heaviest grazing intensity while areas covered with dung quadrupled) suggested that there were other factors affecting this outcome such as distinct spatial patterns of dung deposition. The percentage of area covered by dung in our study was not far in magnitude from the values reported by Braz et al. [47] in Brachiaria decumbens pastures after 70 grazing days, i.e., 0.8% of the area covered by dung with a stocking rate of 747 kg ha$^{-1}$. Dung patch fresh weight was also not affected by sward height ($p = 0.3469$) with an average of 1.122 ± 0.009 kg.

Fecal production is highly correlated to individual consumption [48], which in turn is correlated to animal performance [26]. Previous authors observed variations in dung patch area ranging from 0.05 to 0.09 m$^2$ [14,47,49]. Furthermore, a variation in individual fecal production ranging from 1.86 up to 2.88 kg per steer per day in mixed black oat and Italian ryegrass pastures managed at different
sward heights was also reported [26]. It was therefore expected that dung patch deposition would follow the same pattern in our study, which was not the case. Our field-level weighing methodology, however, did not take into account eventual differences in DM content and other quality aspects of dung that were shown to be different under different grazing intensities due to different sward structures and DM intake rates by the animals [26] and this could have buffered potential differences in our experiment.

3.2. Spatiotemporal Patterns of Dung Distribution

Dung patches were concentrated close to attraction points such as fences, gates, water tanks and salt feeding sites as well as in resting and socialization areas regardless of grazing intensity, as shown by a higher dung density (i.e., a smaller polygon area) in the Thiessen polygon analysis (Figure 2). However, the area of Thiessen polygons varied from 0.04 to 16.22 m², 0.02 to 23.82 m², 0.08 to 38.95 m² and 0.04 to 187.06 m² for sward heights managed at 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 m, respectively, considering all dung patches deposited during the stocking period, showing that dung density increased at those attraction sites at lighter grazing intensities (Figure 2). The temporal characterization of dung distribution showed that dung deposition over time followed approximately the same pattern throughout the stocking period, regardless of slight changes in preferred attraction points over the sampling dates (Figure 3).

Figure 2. Cumulative spatial distribution of dung patches at different grazing intensities. Sward heights of (a) 0.1 m, (b) 0.2 m, (c) 0.3 m and (d) 0.4 m on mixed black oat (*Avena strigosa* Schreb.) and Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) pastures at the end of the stocking period of an integrated soybean-beef cattle system in southern Brazil. The smaller/darker the polygons, the higher the dung density. Arrows indicate watering and salt feeding sites as well as paddock gates.
The spatiotemporal distribution of cattle dung patches in the paddock managed under light grazing intensity (sward height of 0.4 m) as a representation of dung distribution over different sampling dates in 2010. The geostatistical analysis of the Thiessen polygons allowed better understanding of the spatial patterns of dung distribution cumulatively created in paddocks managed under different grazing intensities in the stocking period (Figure 4). The experimental semivariograms showed a clear spatial pattern with increasing semivariances from short to medium distances up to a maximum range where the sill was reached, after which the semivariances started decreasing (Figure 4). This pattern was increasingly accentuated from 0.1 to 0.4 sward heights. The ‘hole effect’ may be attributed to the presence of fences around the experimental units, creating a pattern that was similar in every edge of the paddocks [36]. In our case, this effect was even more pronounced because all attraction points (i.e., watering and salt feeding sites) were located at the borders of the experimental units, near to fences where resting and socialization activities tended to occur [18,19,36]. Despite possible grazing intensity/paddock size interactions that were not able to be recognized due to the lack of replicates, the increasing ‘hole effect’ with decreasing grazing intensity was probably caused by the fact that satiated animals spend more time resting and ruminating while unsatiated animals need to walk more to fulfill their daily ingestion requirements [25].

Baggio et al. [25] observed that beef steers grazing mixed black oat and Italian ryegrass pastures increased the number of feeding stations and nearly doubled the total number of steps per day at 0.1 compared with 0.4 m sward heights. As a consequence, at lower stocking rates there is a decrease in the probability of the same area being revisited, which in turn reduces the chance of this area receiving excreta when compared with pastures with a shorter sward height. These factors might have led to the deposition of more dung patches in the center of more intensely grazed paddocks in our experiment (Figure 2).
Geostatistics also gave us a better tool for analyzing the temporal dynamics of dung deposition over the stocking period by observing the best-fit models to the semivariograms for each sampling date (Table 3). Thus, different theoretical models were adjusted to the empirical semivariograms for each grazing intensity and sampling date (Table 3). The results show that animals deposited dung patches in different positions in each period but always kept strong spatial patterns, as revealed by the strong degree of spatial dependence (DSD) in all sampling dates and regardless of the grazing intensity. This could reflect a strategy from herbivores’ natural behavior to avoid food contamination when forage allowance is sufficiently abundant [50] and shows that dung deposition by cattle is not randomly distributed along the pasture.

Among geostatistical parameters, the range is crucial for the interpretation of the semivariograms. It represents the maximum distance in which samples are spatially correlated [51]. In other words, the values of all points within a circle with this radius are correlated in such a way that they can be used to estimate values for any point between them [37,38,51,52]. The ranges varied between 10.7 and 90.1 m for sward heights of 0.2 to 0.4 m, respectively. Considering all sampling dates, ranges were 51.5, 39.6, 55.7 and 95.6 m for the sward heights of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 m, respectively (Table 3). Higher range values indicated that spatial continuity in the distribution of dung patches extended to larger distances whereas lower values matched with less spatial structure in the area.

Different models were adjusted when all sampling points were computed together (Table 3) with weaker spatial dependence at heavier grazing intensities (classified as moderate DSD at sward heights of 0.1 and 0.2 m) compared with paddocks managed under lighter grazing intensities (classified as strong DSD at sward heights of 0.3 and 0.4 m) (Table 3). These results reinforced the marked spatial pattern (‘hole effect’) that became evident with Thiessen polygon maps (Figure 2) and the semivariograms (Figure 4). These patterns were also verified by Dubeux Jr. [53] and Dubeux Jr. et al. [54] when comparing the distribution of dung and urine at different grazing intensities.

Figure 4. Overall semivariograms of the areas of Thiessen polygons around dung patches in paddocks grazed at different intensities by steers. Grazing intensities were given by the sward heights of (a) 0.1 m, (b) 0.2 m, (c) 0.3 m and (d) 0.4 m on mixed black oat (Avena strigosa Schreb.) and Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) pastures at the end of the stocking period of an integrated soybean-beef cattle system in southern Brazil.
Table 3. Adjusted theoretical models of the areas of Thiessen polygons around dung patches in each sampling date in 2010 in paddocks managed at different grazing intensities (sward heights of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 m) on mixed black oat (*Avena strigosa* Schreb.) and Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) pastures in the stocking period of an integrated soybean-beef cattle system in southern Brazil.

| Sward Height (m) | Sampling Date | Model       | Co †   | Co + C1 ‡ | DSD § | Range (m) |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| 0.10             | 28 July       | Exponential| 0.1    | 167.6     | 0.999 | 19.1      |
|                  | 14 August     | Spherical   | 14.4   | 135.7     | 0.894 | 43.6      |
|                  | 27 August     | Spherical   | 0.1    | 178.1     | 0.999 | 25.1      |
|                  | 19 September  | Exponential| 21.7   | 180.8     | 0.880 | 17.3      |
|                  | 09 October    | Exponential| 1.0    | 794.3     | 0.999 | 25.9      |
|                  | 28 October    | Exponential| 78.0   | 674.3     | 0.884 | 40.8      |
|                  | Overall       | Exponential| 1.2    | 5.3       | 0.688 | 51.5      |
| 0.20             | 02 August     | Spherical   | 41.4   | 151.9     | 0.725 | 44.0      |
|                  | 14 August     | Spherical   | 1.0    | 1197.0    | 0.999 | 55.2      |
|                  | 28 August     | Spherical   | 81.0   | 424.0     | 0.809 | 55.5      |
|                  | 20 September  | Exponential| 16.1   | 311.8     | 0.948 | 10.7      |
|                  | 10 October    | Exponential| 226.0  | 1495.0    | 0.851 | 35.4      |
|                  | 29 October    | Spherical   | 16.0   | 457.2     | 0.965 | 29.8      |
|                  | Overall       | Spherical   | 2.2    | 7.1       | 0.687 | 39.6      |
| 0.30             | 29 July       | Gaussian    | 6.0    | 278.6     | 0.976 | 23.1      |
|                  | 10 August     | Exponential| 21.0   | 1233.0    | 0.982 | 30.0      |
|                  | 31 August     | Gaussian    | 62.0   | 1649.0    | 0.962 | 29.4      |
|                  | 25 September  | Exponential| 74.0   | 1311.0    | 0.943 | 50.3      |
|                  | 12 October    | Gaussian    | 140.0  | 5075.0    | 0.976 | 20.5      |
|                  | 01 November   | Spherical   | 10.0   | 4762.0    | 0.998 | 79.4      |
|                  | Overall       | Spherical   | 0.01   | 26.4      | 0.999 | 55.7      |
| 0.40             | 26 July       | Gaussian    | 100.0  | 66,300.0  | 0.998 | 46.5      |
|                  | 09 August     | Gaussian    | 10.0   | 14,420.0  | 0.999 | 47.0      |
|                  | 26 August     | Gaussian    | 10.0   | 19,550.0  | 0.999 | 37.6      |
|                  | 18 September  | Gaussian    | 10.0   | 12,980.0  | 0.999 | 39.2      |
|                  | 11 October    | Spherical   | 10.0   | 15,930.0  | 0.999 | 90.1      |
|                  | 31 October    | Gaussian    | 100.0  | 48,680.0  | 0.998 | 58.6      |
|                  | Overall       | Gaussian    | 1.0    | 556.9     | 0.999 | 95.6      |

† Co: nugget effect. ‡ Co + C1: sill. § DSD: degree of spatial dependence (C1/Co + C1).

According to Franzluebbers et al. [55], up to 33% of dung patches can be located in attraction areas, which on average represents 12% of the total pasture area. Conversely, Hirata et al. [56] pointed out that between 11.4% and 29.5% of excretions were concentrated in an area smaller than 4% of the grazing surface. Our results have shown that only a small fraction of the area in an annual integrated soybean-beef cattle system was covered by dung patches at the end of the winter stocking period, varying between 0.4% and 1.5% for sward heights of 0.4 to 0.1 m. Despite the relatively low area coverage, the presence of livestock dung has major beneficial effects to integrated crop-livestock systems.

### 3.3. Implications for Integrated Crop-Livestock Systems

The addition of livestock grazing cover crops in the period between the cultivation of two main cash crops, which is the case of our study, creates heterogeneity. This heterogeneity results from the accumulation of excretions (dung and urine) and the act of grazing itself (defoliation) or associated effects such as the act of trampling and can be noticed in the patterns of vegetation [57] and soil parameters [22]. Vegetation heterogeneity in annual winter pastures has been shown to be related to grazing intensity with more pronounced spatial patterns under light than heavy grazing intensity [57]. This was attributed to overgrazing, which created homogeneous, short swards with frequent bare soil sites as a result of intense cattle locomotion while foraging for food. On the other hand, pastures as tall as 0.4 m were heterogeneous and yielded more forage production (kg of herbage DM ha⁻¹) over the stocking period due to the greater leaf area index, which improved daily accumulation rates [57]. In these conditions, cattle significantly improve their gains compared with intensely grazed areas (i.e., sward heights of 0.1 m) [58].
Our results presented the same pattern, with dung being more evenly distributed along paddock areas under intense grazing compared with paddocks managed at lighter grazing intensities. Lighter grazing intensities, in turn, resulted in greater concentration of cattle excretions near to fences and attraction points over the edges of the paddocks for reasons that have been previously discussed. As a result of dung deposition, soil available P and K were shown in previous studies to be higher at these sites compared with others with no dung patches, increasing soybean grain yield in these sites as a consequence [22]. However, the constant pursuit for landscape homogenization inherent from modern agricultural production models makes farmers conclude that field heterogeneity is negative and that such patterns created by grazing can impair subsequent crop yields, which is still a barrier to the adoption of integrated crop-livestock systems (ICLS) especially in areas followed by the cultivation of grain crops under no-till [7]. Literature, however, indicates that the presence of grazing animals improves soil biological activity and functional diversity [59] and promotes carbon sequestration and organic matter improvements similar to areas where cover crops are ungrazed (except for intensely grazed areas, where overgrazing causes nutrient losses [10,60] suggesting that this practice should be avoided). The result in the end is that overall productivity and profitability in ICLS areas is higher [7,8].

Finally, our results highlight the importance of geostatistical tools for understanding the spatiotemporal patterns in ICLS such as the distribution of soil, plant and animal characteristics and offer novel information on the effects of grazing that might support further investigation about the underlying mechanisms of ICLS dynamics. We have shown that cattle dung distribution patterns are affected by grazing intensity and attraction sites. However, further studies considering area replications and comparing paddocks of an exact same size and shape would improve our ability to discriminate the effect of grazing intensity from other factors that were not controlled in our study. Moreover, a deeper understanding of ICLS dynamics could be achieved by crossing information from crop harvest maps and specific deficiencies or surpluses of agricultural areas with information on the distribution of animal waste including urine distribution patterns where there is a lack of information. Other possible steps would be the development of integrated actions comprising stocking management and the use of site-specific management tools (i.e., using livestock attractants to change the dynamics of grazing and dung deposition) to study the effects of these practices on the overall performance and efficiency of the system towards building more sustainable ICLS designs in the future.

4. Conclusions

Geostatistics showed to be a useful tool for identifying the spatiotemporal patterns of cattle dung deposition in the stocking period of integrated crop-livestock systems (ICLS). The joint use of Thiessen polygon maps and semivariogram analysis allowed us to identify distinct patterns in mixed black oat and Italian ryegrass pastures, driven by stocking rates and factors other than the studied grazing intensities. Specifically, dung patches were concentrated at attraction points such as fences, gates, water tanks and salt feeding sites as well as at resting and socialization areas regardless of grazing intensity. Pastures grazed at lighter intensities presented stronger spatial patterns with increased dung density in attraction areas compared with heavier grazing intensities. Dung distribution tended to be more widespread over the paddock area as grazing intensity increased, probably due to more intense foraging resulting from shorter swards. The temporal pattern of dung distribution was virtually similar for each paddock over time, but slight changes of preferred deposition points in the course of the stocking period reinforced the distinct spatial patterns between paddocks under different grazing intensities at the end of the season. The area surface covered with cattle dung patches quadrupled from the paddock managed under light grazing intensity (sward height of 0.4 m; 0.4% of the area covered with feces) to the paddock managed under heavy grazing intensity (sward height of 0.1 m; 1.5% of the area covered with feces).

Our study offers novel information on the effects of grazing that might support further investigation about the underlying mechanisms of ICLS dynamics. However, further studies are needed on topics where information is still scarce such as urine distribution patterns. Combining information of
spatiotemporal patterns created by cattle with complementary high-definition data (e.g., soil nutrient spatial patterns) and precision agriculture tools (e.g., crop harvesting maps), as well as the development of integrated actions comprising stocking management (e.g., different stocking methods) and the use of site-specific management tools (e.g., dynamic positioning of attractants) would also help unravel effective practices to improve the system’s overall performance and efficiency towards more sustainable ICLS designs in the future.
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