Clinical application of self-expanding metallic stent in the management of acute left-sided colorectal malignant obstruction
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AIM: To summarize our experience with the application of self-expanding metallic stent (SEMS) in the management of acute left-sided colorectal malignant obstruction.

METHODS: A retrospective chart review of all patients undergoing placement of SEMS between April 2000 and January 2004 was performed.

RESULTS: Insertion of SEMS was attempted in 26 patients under fluoroscopic guidance with occasional endoscopic assistance. The sites of lesions were located in splenic flexure of two patients, left colon of seven patients, sigmoid colon of eight patients and rectum of nine patients. The intended uses of SEMS were for palliation in 7 patients and as a bridge to elective surgery in 19 patients. In the latter group, placement of SEMS allowed for preoperative systemic and bowel preparation and the following one-stage anastomosis. Successful stent placement was achieved in 22 (85%) of the 26 patients. The clinical bowel obstruction resolved 24 hours after successful stent placement in 21 (95%) patients. Three SEMS-related minor complications occurred, two stents migrated and one caused anal pain.

CONCLUSION: SEMS represents an effective and safe tool in the management of acute malignant colorectal obstruction. As a bridge to surgery, SEMS can provide time for systematic support and bowel preparation and obviate the need for fecal diversion or on-table lavage. As a palliative measure, SEMS can eliminate the need for emergent colostomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute left-sided colorectal malignant obstruction represents a frequent surgical emergency. It is the first symptom in approximately 15–20% of colorectal cancers[1-2]. Single-stage surgery (subtotal colectomy with primary anastomosis or partial colectomy with intraoperative lavage) appears to be gaining acceptance as a good therapeutic option in such cases. However, this treatment option is not feasible in all patients, and it is often necessary to use a two-stage procedure (Hartmann’s procedure) or to perform permanent colostomy in advanced stages of the disease[3]. Smothers et al.[4] found that the overall surgical morbidity and mortality are significantly higher in patients with colon cancer undergoing emergency surgery than in those undergoing selective surgery. Some other factors such as advanced age as well as stage and volume of the disease are closely correlated with emergency surgery, and emergency surgery has a strong independent negative influence on immediate surgical morbidity and mortality. If emergency surgery could be transferred to elective surgery after a simple effective therapy, complications would be significantly decreased in patients with acute left-sided colorectal malignant obstruction.

SEMS[5-7] has been used to relieve obstruction in different situations. Application of metallic stents in the treatment of acute malignant colonic obstruction was first reported by Dohmoto[8] in 1991 and has become a promising treatment option, though the number of reported cases is only about 600 in the world[9,10]. Placement of SEMS across the obstructing lesion can relieve obstructive symptoms and avoid emergency surgery. In most cases, a radical colectomy with primary...
Several silver clips were clipped around the lesion during colonoscopy. Then the guide wire inserted through the lesion under direct vision was confirmed to arrive at the splenic flexure under fluoroscopic monitor.

The stent used in all patients was esophageal endoprosthesis (Nanjing Microinvasive Corporation, China), 20 or 25 mm in diameter and 70-100 mm in length. After the placement of the stent, a catheter was inserted over the superstiff guide wire until the tip projected a few centimeters beyond the stent. Contrast medium was injected to ensure that the stent was placed properly across the tumor and to assess the stent patency.

When one stent was not long enough to span the lesion, a second stent was inserted to overlap the first. Balloon dilation was not performed in any of the patients either before or after the implantation of the stent.

Patients were transferred to the surgical ward for observation after the procedure. Abdominal plain radiography was performed to assess the stent expansion and patency as well as possible complications in 24 h. Once symptoms of obstruction remitted, further tumor staging and clinical evaluation were carried out to determine which patients should receive selective surgical therapy and which patients should undergo stent implantation as the definitive palliative treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
All patients had clinical symptoms and signs of acute bowel obstruction. From April 2000 to January 2004, a total of 26 patients (16 men, 10 women; mean age: 63.23 years; range: 38-85 years) were selected to receive decompressive therapy by implanting SEMS. Patient selection criteria included the site of obstruction from the middle rectum to the descending colon and absence of bowel necrosis and perforation. Patients with more proximal obstructions were excluded on the basis of poor site accessibility and low emergency surgery risk. Age, general condition, and tumor stage were not used as exclusion criteria.

All patients received plain radiography of the abdomen and emergency contrast-enhanced computed tomography. The sites of obstruction were located in rectum of nine patients, sigmoid colon of eight patients, descending colon of seven patients and splenic flexure of two patients. Informed consent was obtained from all patients or from their relatives after the risks and benefits of treatment were fully explained.

Procedure
All procedures were performed at the interventional radiology by endoscopy (Figure 1) and fluoroscopy (Figure 2). Neither analgesia nor sedation was administered during the procedure. There was no routine administration of antibiotics.

Once the guide wire passed through the lesion, the catheter was advanced to the most proximal point, and contrast medium was injected to evaluate tumor length and exclude the possibility of perforation. The superstiff 0.038-inch guide wire was then inserted, and a stent was placed using the delivery system under fluoroscopic control (Figure 2).
pain resolved after analgesic was administered (Table 1).

**Surgery**

Patients with operable colorectal carcinoma were considered as candidates for selective surgery. The mean time between stent placement and surgery was $8 \pm 3$ days (range: 5-11 days). These patients underwent adequate bowel preparation and adjuvant systematic therapy. The stent did not interfere with or prolong the surgery in any of these patients. The stents were fully expanded as well as in good position and afforded sufficient tumoral coverage (except for two patients with stent migration) and adequate cleaning of the colon in all patients during surgical exploration. Left colectomy, sigmoidectomy, and (low) anterior resection were performed in five patients, respectively.

**Palliation**

Stent placement was considered as the definitive palliative treatment of colonic obstruction in seven patients. Lesions were located at the sigmoid of three patients and rectum of four patients. Two patients suffered from gastric carcinoma with sigmoid or rectal seeding and three patients had primary sigmoid or rectal carcinomas with inoperable liver metastases. One patient with sigmoid carcinoma failed in stenting and underwent Hartmann’s procedure. The other six patients received adjuvant chemotherapy, or radiation therapy, or both.

**DISCUSSION**

Usually, it is not difficult to detect acute left-sided colorectal obstruction by abdominal radiography. CT can help find the site and etiology of colon stenosis and the nature of acute colonic occlusion. Barium enema for the diagnosis and treatment of colonic obstruction is not recommended when doctors plan to implant SEMS\(^{[12]}\).

SEMS for colorectal obstruction was performed in the past either under radiological or endoscopic control. We introduced the guide wire under radiological and endoscopic guidance in most patients. The guide wire could be inserted under direct vision, radiation exposure could be reduced, and biopsies for histology could be taken during the procedure.

Endoscopic placement of SEMS is advantageous over radiological placement because the accessibility to colorectal tumor sites is greater and stents can directly pass through the working channel of the endoscope\(^{[13]}\). These advantages are especially obvious when the obstruction is proximal to the rectosigmoid. De Gregorio et al.\(^{[14]}\) have reported that the guide wire cannot be progressed under fluoroscopic guidance but reaches the neoplastic stricture under endoscopic guidance. Interventional radiologists are much more experienced in passing the guide wires through obstructive lesions and deploying SEMS\(^{[12,13]}\). In our study, fluoroscopy in combination with endoscopy improved SEMS deployment. Even then, stent was still rather difficult to implant in the descending colon and splenic flexure compared with that in the rectum and sigmoid (6/9, 67%; 16/17, 94%; $P<0.05$).

We treated 26 patients with acute malignant colorectal obstruction with SEMS placement with a successful rate of 85% (22/26). Only one patient (5%, 1/22) failed to relieve obstruction due to vegetable residues. If we had used colonoscopy to detect and get out the vegetable residues, the patient could have the obstruction relieved and emergency colostomy could not have been performed (Hartmann’s procedure). After successful stent placement and proper bowel preparation, 15 patients achieved elective radical colorectal surgery and anastomosis without severe complication. Six patients with inoperable malignancy obviated emergency colostomy after successful stent placement. Although 85% of successful stent placement was a little lower than 90-95% of some foreign reports, we believe that success rate of SEMS placement would be further increased with more refined Chinese instruments and improved manipulation skill.

It was reported that SEMS placement can be used as a palliative treatment for colonic obstruction. In Cristina’ s study, in 17 (of 43) patients with Dukes D tumor (40%),
the stent was considered as a definitive palliative treatment. In only one case the abdominal computed tomography scan failed to detect peritoneal implants of tumor, and the metastatic disease was identified at the time of laparotomy. As a result, 17 (94%) out of 18 unnecessary operations were avoided.\[24\]

It was also reported that patients who were not operated have a shorter hospital stay and lower incidence of stoma after SEMS placement\[25\]. Binkert et al.\[26\] showed that SEMS placement can reduce the cost due to a shorter hospital stay and a lower complication rate. Morino et al.\[27\] have proposed a new minimally invasive therapeutic strategy for the management of acute malignant colonic obstructions: emergency endoscopic stenting followed by elective laparoscopic one-stage resection. They reported that four patients with malignant colonic obstruction had an immediate restored bowel functions after SEMS deployment within a period that varied from 4 to 5 days, then underwent a one-stage laparoscopic resection and were discharged 5-7 days later

| Patient No. (gender/age yr) | Diagnosis | Site of obstruction | Nature of treatment | Stent insertion | Stent number | Subsequent treatment | Complication |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1 (F/45) | Primary Ca descending | Splenic flexure | Temporizing | Failed | – | Colostomy | No |
| 2 (F/48) | Primary Ca rectum, ascites, liver metastasis | Middle rectum | Palliative | Successful | 2 | Chemo-therapy | No |
| 3 (F/73) | Primary Ca rectum | Middle rectum | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | LAR | No |
| 4 (F/38) | Primary Ca stomach | Sigmoid | Palliative | Successful | 2 | Chemo-radio therapy | No |
| 5 (M/61) | Primary Ca descending | Descending upper | Temporizing | Successful | 2 | Left colectomy | No |
| 6 (M/83) | Primary Ca rectum | Rectum | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | AR | No |
| 7 (M/72) | Primary Ca descending | Descending | Temporizing | Failed | – | Colostomy | No |
| 8 (M/76) | Primary Ca sigmoid, liver metastasis | Sigmoid | Palliative | Successful | 1 | Chemo-therapy | No |
| 9 (F/44) | Primary Ca rectum | Upper and middle rectum | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | LAR | No |
| 10 (F/74) | Primary Ca sigmoid | Sigmoid | Palliative | Failed | – | Hartmann | No |
| 11 (M/45) | Primary Ca descending | Descending | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Left colectomy | Migration |
| 12 (F/70) | Primary Ca rectum | Upper rectum | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | AR | No |
| 13 (M/48) | Recurrent Ca rectum | Upper rectum | Palliative | Successful | 1 | Chemo-radio therapy | Anal pain |
| 14 (M/72) | Primary Ca descending | Splenic flexure | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Left colectomy | No |
| 15 (F/63) | Primary Ca sigmoid | Sigmoid | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Sigmoidectomy | Migration |
| 16 (M/40) | Primary Ca rectum | Upper rectum | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | LAR | No |
| 17 (M/72) | Primary Ca descending | Descending | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Hartmann | Food residue obstruction |
| 18 (F/79) | Primary Ca rectum, liver metastasis | Middle rectum | Palliative | Successful | 1 | Chemotherapy | No |
| 19 (M/45) | Primary Ca descending | Descending | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Left colectomy | No |
| 20 (M/85) | Primary Ca descending | Descending | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Left colectomy | No |
| 21 (M/41) | Gastric Ca rectum seeding | Rectum | Palliative | Successful | 1 | Chemo-therapy | No |
| 22 (M/69) | Primary Ca descending | Descending | Temporizing | Failed | – | Hartmann | No |
| 23 (M/74) | Primary Ca sigmoid | Sigmoid | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Sigmoidectomy | No |
| 24 (M/85) | Primary Ca sigmoid | Sigmoid | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Sigmoidectomy | No |
| 25 (M/75) | Primary Ca sigmoid | Sigmoid | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Sigmoidectomy | No |
| 26 (F/67) | Primary Ca sigmoid | Sigmoid | Temporizing | Successful | 1 | Sigmoidectomy | No |
without any complications.

Preoperative stent insertion for obstructive colorectal cancer can result in satisfactory postoperative outcome with good prognoses\(^1\). Perforation is the most severe complication of SEMS placement. Neoplastic strictures of the colon are generally soft. Acute neoplastic occlusion may be caused by neoplastic edema or stool residue or both. If the guide wire can be passed through neoplastic strictures, stent placement without balloon dilation should be easy for experienced physicians. The incidence of perforation was 2% (12/693) in the non-balloon dilation group and 10% (10/105) in the dilation group, suggesting that balloon dilation should not be recommended\(^2\).

Some minor SEMS-placement-related complications including stent migration, mild bleeding, pain, and reobstruction may occur. Stent migration occurred in two patients of our group possibly due to abated edema and/or inadequate stent. Because of the limited cases and short survival time, we did not find reobstruction.

In conclusion, colorectal stenting procedure is effective and safe and can be used for acute left-sided colorectal malignant obstruction both as a temporary relief before selective resection and as a definitive treatment in palliative cases.
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