Oscillations in deep-open-cells during winter Mediterranean cyclones
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Open cloud cells can be described in ideal form as connected clouds that surround spots of isolated clear skies in their centers. This cloud pattern is typically associated with marine stratocumulus (MSc) that form in the oceanic boundary layer. However, it can form in deeper convective clouds as well. Here, we focus on deep-open-cells (with tops reaching up to ~5–7 km) that form in the post-frontal regions of winter Mediterranean cyclones, and examine their properties and evolution. Using a Lagrangian analysis of satellite data, we show that deep-open-cells have a larger equivalent diameter (~58 ± 18 km) and oscillate with a longer periodicity (~3.5 ± 1 h) compared to shallow MSc. A numerical simulation of one Cyprus low event reveals that precipitation-generated convergence and divergence dynamic patterns are the main driver of the open cells’ organization and oscillations. Thus, our findings generalize the mechanism attributed to the behavior of shallow marine cells to deeper convective systems.

INTRODUCTION

The classic Rayleigh–Bénard convection1,2 describes a fluid flow between two plates due to a uniform temperature gradient (heated from below). Gradual increase in the thermal forcing initially drives 2D roll pattern formation5 or 3D hexagons6, which can then evolve into time-dependent flow, and finally into turbulent convection8. These dynamics are recognized in the atmosphere, especially over the ocean, by certain roll-like and hexagonal cloud patterns7–9. In the subtropical marine atmosphere, for example, heat from the ocean surface (the warmer plate) is transferred by convection to the boundary layer top (the colder upper plate), and shallow clouds organize into cloud streets (rolls and linear clouds) or cellular patterns (marine stratocumulus, MSc) that generate hexagonal shapes in their ideal form10. These clouds cover large oceanic regions, and have a significant effect on the earth’s albedo and the transfer of heat, moisture and momentum in the lower atmosphere10,11. Cellular patterns of clouds can appear as closed or as open cells. Updraft that forms at the central part of the closed cell creates shallow clouds with large coverage, whereas for open cells the updraft forms along the cell’s walls, yielding thicker clouds with lower cloud coverage11.

Although the general patterns of the Rayleigh–Bénard convection can provide a first-approximation description of cloud patterns, it is far too simple to capture the full complexity of cellular clouds’ convection. A cloud field system is controlled by interactions and competitions between thermodynamic, radiative, and microphysical processes, and therefore forms a much richer physical system. For example, in MSc cloud fields the air mass properties and the boundary conditions are non-uniform12,13. The presence of the clouds in the system dictates a two-phase (at least) problem, including additional cloud processes13 and interactions with ambient meteorological conditions14.

The complexity of the cloud system leads to some discrepancies between the classical Rayleigh–Bénard theory and observations. For example, previous measurements of MSc cells reported a typical aspect ratio (diameter divided by depth) of ~2015, while the theoretical value for Rayleigh–Bénard cells is between 1 and 31. Other studies highlighted the crucial role of rain in impacting the dynamic and thermodynamic conditions, and hence the organization and evolution of MSc cells. For example, the evaporation of raindrops below cloud base generates a dynamic response of cellular organization of updrafts and downdrafts that promotes the formation of open cells15. Therefore, rain produced downdrafts, can open closed cells by flipping their dynamic circulation16–18. Other studies showed that precipitating MSc cells oscillate with an average periodicity of ~3 h, while closed ones (which don’t precipitate) do not oscillate, and can maintain a steady structure for much longer17,19. On the other hand, there were studies that suggested that cold pool dynamic forcing is not essential for the organization of a cloud layer20,21.

Differently from shallow MSc cells (top at ~1–2 km), there are open cells that form under deeper convection conditions (refer hereafter as “deep-open-cells”, up to ~5–7 km). One example, which was rarely investigated, is deep-open-cells within the cold post-frontal sector of winter Mediterranean cyclones. Rosenfeld observed that these deep-open-cells are common within Cyprus lows (Mediterranean cyclones centered near Cyprus)22. Other studies that explored other areas around the global (like the northeast gulf of Alaska) revealed that similar deep-open-cells (cloud top up to ~5.5 km) are mostly a cold-season phenomenon23, usually produce significant precipitation24, and are linked to squall-like patterns of the surface winds25. Again, like in the MSc case, cold pools were suggested as a primary agent of clouds’ self-organization, in both observations and simulations25–27.

Here, we focus on deep-open-cells within winter Mediterranean cyclones. Those cyclones pass over the warm sea, transporting cold air from eastern Europe. The air mass becomes moist and unstable28, thus, cloud streets and open cells can form and produce specific rain patterns, which influence the local rainfall significantly29–31.

The goal of this work is to perform a detailed spatial and temporal analysis of deep-open-cells attributed to these winter
systems using observations, reanalysis data, and numerical modeling, to explore their characteristics.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Frequency of occurrence of deep-open-cells

The frequency of occurrence of deep-open-cells, within winter Mediterranean cyclones (between October to next March), was studied during the last 19 years (2002–2020), using the Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) RGB images and cloud top height (CTH ≥ 4.8 km, see details in Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Fig. 1). The analysis results show that in ~0.4 to ~0.6 of those cyclonic days (per month) deep-open-cells were detected.

Size and oscillations of deep-open-cells

Our work is based on analysis of deep-open-cells' characteristics, in post-frontal activity of 13 winter Mediterranean cyclones (one case per year, using the Meteosat Second Generation-MSG measurements dataset, 2008–2020). First, we focus here on one case study, for demonstrating our methods in detail. This cyclone that originated above the North Atlantic Ocean on 20 January 2018, moved southeastward into the Mediterranean Sea, and intensified near the island of Cyprus during 24–26 January 2018 (a Cyprus low). On 26 January 2018, well-organized cloud streets and precipitating open cells developed in the cold sector, as captured by satellite observations (see details in Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Fig. 2). The sea level pressure was lower than 1007 hPa at the center of the low (at 06:00 UTC).

Figure 1a presents a High Resolution Visible (HRV) image from 26 January 2018 at 05:59 UTC, which clearly shows cloud structures of streets (south-east of Cyprus), and open cells (over a large region of the eastern Mediterranean). The meteorological conditions that induced the formation of these cloud patterns were examined using the reanalysis data. Figure 1b presents vertical cross-sections of the vertical pressure velocity (colors) and relative humidity (RH, denoted by the black contours) along latitude 33°N. The RH = 50% contour is highlighted by the magenta line in Fig. 1b, as it serves as a proxy for

Fig. 1 Deep-open-cells in a Cyprus low event (26 January 2018). a 05:59 UTC, normalized reflectance image (HRV, color bar), the boundaries of the identified open cells are marked by the red lines. The thick black line indicates the coast. b 06:00 UTC, reanalysis ERA-interim data, presenting the vertical velocity in Pa s⁻¹ (color bar), and RH in % vertical cross-section (black contours) along 33°N (yellow line in panel a). The magenta contour marks RH = 50%. c Histogram of open cells' equivalent diameter based on the HRV images at 05:59, 06:59, 07:59, 08:59, 09:59, 10:59, 11:59, 12:59, and 13:59 UTC. The red line indicates the average diameter (59 km). The black number at the top right indicate the total number of samples (435). d The average diameter of open cells as a function of sampling time (from 5 to 240 min, in 5 min intervals). The colors denote the number of samples, the error bars represent ±1 standard deviation, and the dashed red line marks the sampling time presented in panel c (1 h).
Fig. 2 Evolution of deep-open-cells (26 January 2018). a 07:29 UTC, normalized reflectance image (HRV, color bar). The yellow box marks the region of interest (ROI). Black thick lines mark the coast. The scale of distance (in magenta, 0 and 192 km) marks the direction of the x-axis in panel (b). b Evolution of the cross-sections’ normalized reflectance (NR, both the Z-axis and the colors), averaged over the central narrow strip (bounded by magenta lines in panel (a)). c Histogram of estimated periodicity of deep-open-cells over the ROI. The red line indicates the average value (207 min). The black number at the top right indicates the total number of samples (685). d Average periodicity for deep-open-cells as a function of the critical normalized reflectance (NRc) (from 0.05 to 0.45, in 0.01 intervals). The colors denote the number of samples, the error bars represent ±1 standard deviation, and the dashed red line marks the NRc = 0.2, used in panel (c).

The sensitivity of the mean size to the corresponding sampling time (1 h for Fig.1c) was tested in Fig. 2d. Again, bins with less than 30 samples were not analyzed 32. As expected, the average periodicity decreased along with increasing NRc. We chose NRc to be 0.2, following the results obtained by satellite observations (see details in Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Fig. 3).

The same analysis was conducted for 12 additional cases using a sampling time of 1 h and NRc of 0.2 (see details in Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Table 1). The detected open cells had an average equivalent diameter range of ~54 to ~60 km, and an oscillating average periodicity of ~3 to ~4 h. It suggests that deep-open-cells are larger and have longer oscillating periodicities compared to the

the inversion base height, and hence indicates the cloud top height (Fig. 3c, used for comparison with modeling results). A low-level inversion is observed on the west side of the cross-section (at ~800 hPa; 2 km), with a subsidence of dry air above it, while the east side shows a much deeper convective boundary layer, reaching up to ~500 hPa (5.5 km). The analysis shows that the observed cloud streets were formed within a relatively shallow convective layer, while the open cells developed in a much deeper convective layer.

Figure 1c shows a histogram of equivalent diameters (obtained by converting each cell’s area into the surface area of an equivalent circle) of the deep-open-cells observed in the HRV images, at 1-h intervals (to minimize cells’ re-sampling), between 05:59 and 13:59 UTC. In total, 435 open cells with an average equivalent diameter of ~59 ± 19 km were detected. The cell sizes are in good agreement with previous studies, in which the sizes of observed cloud streets were formed within a relatively shallow convective layer, while the open cells developed in a much deeper convective layer.

To explore the evolution of deep-open-cells, we selected a small region of interest (ROI; yellow box in Fig. 2a). A Lagrangian tracking analysis was performed (see Methods section for details). Normalized reflectance (NR, colors) values were averaged between 05:59 UTC and 13:59 UTC (Fig. 2b), over the central narrow strip (~15 km in width and ~192 km in length, marked by the magenta lines in Fig. 2a). The wave pattern in the NR suggests an oscillating behavior of the deep-open-cells (i.e., continuous alternations between the cloud-free inner cell and the cloudy cell walls; see details in Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Fig. 3).

The oscillation periodicities shown in Fig. 2b are analyzed in Fig. 2c. The estimation is based on a critical NR value (NRc), where clear, transform, and cloudy areas are defined as pixels with relatively small (≤ NRc), moderate (from NRc to 1−NRc), and large (1−NRc) NR values, respectively. Accordingly, the periodicity was estimated as the time between two adjacent peak reflectance values (for cloudy areas), while both transform and clear areas were observed between the two peaks. Figure 2c shows the histogram of estimated periodicity with NRc = 0.2. 685 periodicity times were identified, with an average value of ~3.5 ± 1.4 h. The sensitivity of the mean periodicity to the corresponding NRc (0.2 for Fig. 2c) was tested in Fig. 2d. Again, bins with less than 30 samples were not analyzed 32. As expected, the average periodicity decreased along with increasing NRc. We chose NRc to be 0.2, following the results obtained by satellite observations (see details in Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Fig. 3).
corresponding values reported in the literature for MSc open cells (typical sizes of 10–50 km$^9$, and periodicity of ~3 h$^{17,19}$).

**Numerical simulation of a Cyprus low event**

For further exploration of the obtained results, we simulated a Cyprus low event, which took place from 22:00 UTC on 23 January 2018 until 04:00 UTC on 27 January 2018, using the Consortium for Small-scale Modeling (COSMO) model (see details in the Methods section).

Figure 3 shows the simulation results for 06:00 UTC on 26 January. The simulated total water path ($\geq 10$ g m$^{-2}$; presented in Fig. 3a), Mean Sea Level Pressure (MSLP; magenta contours in Fig. 3a), total water content ($\geq 0.01$ g kg$^{-1}$; colors in Fig. 3c) and RH = 50% (magenta contours in Fig. 3c) exhibit similarities to the observational results (presented in Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2). Figure 3b presents the surface wind divergence values, serving here as a proxy of the dynamic patterns, where negative values represent convergence (usually indicating updrafts, marked by the red shades), and positive values represent wind divergence (indicating downdrafts, shades of blue). Regarding the cloud patterns, the COSMO results show that shallow cloud streets (at ~800 hPa) with roll-like surface wind convergence occurred over the west side of the domain, while less organized deep-open-cell structures (at ~500 hPa) with cell-like surface wind convergence developed in the east side.

The green contours in Fig. 3b mark the areas with a significant precipitation rate (surface rain rate $\geq 1$ mm h$^{-1}$). The consistency of these rain events and the cell-like surface wind divergence patterns point to rain driven cold pools formation$^{25}$. The results suggest that rain is the main mechanism in organizing deep-open-cell structures, similar to what was previously observed for shallow MSc open cells$^{16–19}$.

To further explore the influence of precipitation-generated dynamic patterns on deep-open-cells' oscillations, we thoroughly examined the development of rain events and surface wind divergence. Figure 4 presents an example of nine snapshots of surface wind divergence obtained from the simulated results (see snapshots of cloud water path in Supplementary Fig. 5). The black...
stars mark the evolution of one specific cell, to allow easy tracking (henceforth referred to as “star cell”). The first mark of the star cell (Fig. 4a) is located on the convergent boundary of a rain-induced cold pool (cloudy area, see Supplementary Fig. 5a). Then, significant precipitation begins (Fig. 4b), creating a new cold pool with a divergent center and convergent boundaries. Later on, with a larger rain area and spreading outflows (Fig. 4c–e), its divergent center expands dramatically, while its convergent boundaries are strengthened by encountering opposite outflows. At this stage, the cloudy area disappears and gradually becomes a cloud-free inner area, while new precipitating cloudy walls form over its convergent boundaries (Supplementary Fig. 5b–f). Afterward, the new rainy walls shrink the divergent center (Fig. 4g, h), turning it back into the convergent boundaries (Fig. 4i). As a result, the cloud-free inner region turns into the cloudy boundaries (Supplementary Fig. 5f–i).

To demonstrate the generality of our results, we tracked (by naked eyes) the evolution of 133 individual rain events in the simulated results. The initiation of each rain event was identified at the time of surface rain rate ≥ 1 mm h⁻¹ (like marked by green contours in Fig. 4, weaker rain rates can appear before) and was set at time = 0 (see Fig. 5). Then, we tracked the geometric center of each rain event for 1.5 h (40 min backward and 40 min forward from the initial time) and examined its surrounding clouds and dynamic structures along this time.

Figure 5 presents the results of those tracked rain events. It shows that the cloud water path (black line) develop following the convergence (red line). Then, rain falls below cloud base (magenta line) in clear convergence conditions (that fuel the cloud’s updraft). Later rain reaches the surface (green line) and drives a divergence zone around it (blue line). The described sequence and how rain-caused outflows drive the alternations between divergence and convergence over a certain area (in a Lagrangian view) is well illustrated by Supplementary Movie 1.

The consistent results, as shown in Figs. 4–5, demonstrate the link between the produced rain and the convergence and divergence dynamic patterns. First, the onset of significant convergence sets the conditions for cloud formation. Once the cloud develops and starts to precipitate, the evaporation of rain below its base induces downdrafts that detach the cloud from the layer below. This cloud dissipation, induced by divergence in the surface, will be stronger for clouds that yield stronger rain. It is likely that this area will become the center of the next cycle of open cells. As shown by the schematic Figure (Fig. 6), such rain-caused successive replacements between divergence and convergence patterns over a given area (followed by Lagrangian methods), yield alternating development of cloud-free and cloudy walls, and therefore appear as open-cell oscillating patterns.

Using observational and numerical modeling data, we studied the properties and evolution of deep-open-cells in the cold sector of 13 winter Mediterranean cyclones. We report here an average equivalent diameter of ~58 ± 18 km with a typical aspect ratio of ~9 (~20 for MsC). Lagrangian tracking analysis revealed that the cells’-oscillate with an average period of ~3.5 ± 1 h (longer than the estimated ~3 h for MsC[37,38]). Numerical simulation of one case study of a Cyprus Low event (25–26 January, 2018) indicates that precipitation-generated surface wind divergence patterns are likely to be the driving process behind the oscillations of deep-open-cells.

Based on the current understanding of open cells, the findings in this paper reconfirm the importance of precipitation-generated dynamic patterns as a background mechanism for cellular fields’ evolution. On one hand, such conclusions generalize the oscillating behavior of MsC cells[37,38] to deeper systems, and both are related to rain events. On the other hand, larger cell size, and longer oscillating periodicity indicate a possible dependence of the cellular structures on the environmental conditions (such as winds, temperature, and humidity gradients). Further investigation will be needed for these open questions.

**METHODS**

**Data**

Three databases are used in this study: satellite observations, reanalysis data, and numerical simulations.

Satellite observations: To examine the properties of deep-open-cells during the 25-26 January 2018 event, we used HRV images, obtained along 8 h (05:59–13:59 UTC on 26 January 2018), with a temporal resolution of 5 min, and a nadir spatial resolution of 1 km. The images were obtained from the MSG34 satellite dataset and distributed by the European Organization for the Exploration of Meteorological Satellites [https://www.eumetsat.int/website/home/index.html]. In a similar way, HRV images were used to link the 12 additional winter Mediterranean cyclones. Daily RGB images[35] as measured by MODIS36, and CTH[37], were used for examining the frequency of occurrence of deep-open-cells during the winter months (October–next March, 2002–2020). Rain rate as measured by the Global Precipitation Measure (GPM) Microwave Imager (GMI)[39] was used in the Supplementary Information. [https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/]

Reanalysis data: Meteorological parameters were obtained from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim dataset[39], including vertical pressure velocity, RH, and MSLP (for the Supplementary Information only), with a horizontal resolution of 0.125°×0.125°. Vertical pressure velocity and RH were retrieved at
standard pressure levels between 1000 and 200 hPa [https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/levtype=sfc/].

Numerical simulations: To explore the physical mechanisms, a numerical simulation was conducted using the COSMO model [www.cosmo-model.org] for the period between 22:00 UTC on 23 January 2018 and 04:00 UTC on 27 January 2018. The simulated domain covered the eastern Mediterranean region (25°E–39°E and 26°N–36°N) with a 0.025° (~2.5 km) horizontal resolution [41]. The domain vertical extension was 23.5 km (~30 hPa) with 60 levels of gradually increasing depth with height (between 20–1200 m). The boundary and initial conditions were taken from the ECMWF Integrated Forecasting System [www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/documentation-and-support]. The COSMO model is based on the primitive thermo-hydrodynamic equations that describe non-hydrostatic compressible flow in a moist atmosphere [42,43]. A one-moment microphysical scheme was used to calculate the mass of cloud drops, rain, ice, snow, and graupel particles.

**Analysis methods**

The methods used to identify and track open cell cloud structure in HRV images are briefly introduced here.

For detecting open cell structures and their boundaries, we used a method that is based on a watershed morphological transformation. It was developed for identifying shallow cellular clouds, and has been tuned to be robust and consistent [44]. Gray scale satellite images were treated as a topographic surface with the gray levels corresponding to topographic height. Then the segmentation was performed by identifying watershed regions accordingly [45]. Considering that different cloud structures (e.g., cloud streets, open cells, and frontal cloud bands) may coexist in close proximity, we further limited the analyzed data to include only: i) groups of open cells (at least 4 cells per cluster), ii) cells that do not touch the image boundaries, (at least 4 cells per cluster), iii) cells that do not touch the image edges, and iv) cells with clear contrast between the cell walls and its inner region reflectance (i.e. inner-to-walls ratio <0.75).

For taking into consideration the background advection while tracking the open cells, we used the Lagrangian tracking analysis proposed by Koren and Feingold [17]. This method assumes that during a relatively short time interval (15 min in their study), a cloud field maintains its general structure (even if specific cloud features change within that time). Therefore, the similarity between consecutive satellite images enables detection of shifting due to advection. In other words, the advection can be determined by optimizing the similarity between two consecutive images [18]. Here, in order to focus on well-organized deep-open-cells, we performed the Lagrangian tracking analysis in both backward and forward manners using HRV images with a temporal resolution of 5 min (see example in Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Fig. 3).

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

All observational datasets and the COSMO model used in this study are publicly available (see websites in the methods section). COSMO simulated data and all the computer codes used to generate results are available upon request.
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