Identification and characterization of regulatory elements in the promoter of ACVR1, the gene mutated in Fibrodysplasia Ossificans Progressiva
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Abstract

Background: The ACVR1 gene encodes a type I receptor for bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). Mutations in the ACVR1 gene are associated with Fibrodysplasia Ossificans Progressiva (FOP), a rare and extremely disabling disorder characterized by congenital malformation of the great toes and progressive heterotopic endochondral ossification in muscles and other non-skeletal tissues. Several aspects of FOP pathophysiology are still poorly understood, including mechanisms regulating ACVR1 expression. This work aimed to identify regulatory elements that control ACVR1 gene transcription.

Methods and results: We first characterized the structure and composition of human ACVR1 gene transcripts by identifying the transcription start site, and then characterized a 2.9 kb upstream region. This region showed strong activating activity when tested by reporter gene assays in transfected cells. We identified specific elements within the 2.9 kb region that are important for transcription factor binding using deletion constructs, co-transfection experiments with plasmids expressing selected transcription factors, site-directed mutagenesis of consensus binding-site sequences, and by protein/DNA binding assays. We also characterized a GC-rich minimal promoter region containing binding sites for the Sp1 transcription factor.

Conclusions: Our results showed that several transcription factors such as Egr-1, Egr-2, ZBTB7A/LRF, and Hey1, regulate the ACVR1 promoter by binding to the -762/-308 region, which is essential to confer maximal transcriptional activity. The Sp1 transcription factor acts at the most proximal promoter segment upstream of the transcription start site. We observed significant differences in different cell types suggesting tissue specificity of transcriptional regulation. These findings provide novel insights into the molecular mechanisms that regulate expression of the ACVR1 gene and that could be targets of new strategies for future therapeutic treatments.
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Background

Fibrodysplasia Ossificans Progressiva (FOP, OMIM 135100) is a rare and extremely disabling disorder characterized by congenital malformation of the great toes and progressive endochondral heterotopic ossification (HO) [1]. Its prevalence is approximately 1/2,000,000, without ethnic, racial, gender or geographic predilection of disease. HO affects connective tissues such as skeletal muscle, tendon, ligaments, fascia, and aponeuroses with an episodic postnatal course characterized by flare-up and remission phases [1]. It is noteworthy to underscore that FOP flare-ups culminating in HO are frequently induced by soft tissue injury, such as trauma, muscle fatigue, surgery, infections, intramuscular injections, preschool immunizations; in other cases, FOP HO appears to initiate spontaneously without any apparent trigger [1]. Histological analyses of early FOP lesions from patients and animal models, show a first phase of strong local inflammation, with monocytes and lymphocytes infiltration
and degeneration of skeletal muscle fibers. This is followed by a second phase characterized by a fibro-proliferative response and angiogenesis, ending with the formation of ectopic, but otherwise qualitatively normal, bone [2-4]. This evidence suggests that inflammation and immune mediated mechanisms play a crucial role in FOP disease progression and that activation of inflammatory pathways through the innate immune system could be relevant for postnatal flare-ups [3].

The molecular defect responsible for FOP is a heterozygous mutation in the ACVR1 gene encoding the ALK2 Activin A type I receptor, a receptor for bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) [5]. Although most cases are sporadic (de novo mutations), a small number of inherited FOP cases show germline transmission in an autosomal dominant pattern [5]. The mutation that causes FOP moderately over-activates the BMP pathway in the absence of BMP binding [6-10], yet allows human embryonic development to occur relatively unimpaired with only mild skeletal effects, most typically malformation of great toes.

The majority of FOP patients are heterozygous for a recurrent activating mutation (c.617G>A; p.R206H) in the cytoplasmic GS (glycine-serine rich) domain of the receptor protein, as first reported by Shore and colleagues [5]. Other less frequent heterozygous ACVR1 missense mutations in highly conserved amino acids located near the GS regulatory region or within the kinase domain, have also been described [11-16].

A very critical and still poorly understood aspect of the pathogenic mechanism of FOP is the nature of initial stimuli that trigger flare-up episodes to result in subsequent ossification in patients with the gene mutation (see reviews [2,3]). A plausible hypothesis is that the moderate hyper-activity of BMP signaling caused by the mutated receptor does not cause significant consequences under basal in vivo conditions in connective tissues. However, such a mutation would predispose the cells to respond to changes in the local tissue environment, such as inflammatory processes after tissue injury or other stimuli, by forming extra-skeletal bone. This hypothesis is consistent with quiescent periods that are observed in patients between active episodes of heterotopic bone formation.

Up to now, no effective and specific treatment is available and the only prevention is prophylactic measures against falls, infections, and soft tissue injuries [1]. The discovery of mutations in the ACVR1 gene has opened the way to identify and characterize cellular and molecular mechanisms that can become targets for therapeutic control of heterotopic ossification in FOP.

While numerous articles and reviews about FOP and the BMP signaling pathway have been published, our knowledge of how ACVR1 is regulated at different levels and how ACVR1 transcription responds to changing cell environments under developmental, physiological or pathological conditions is still limited. For this reason, we conducted a characterization of the genomic region containing the ACVR1 gene promoter in order to identify mechanisms and factors that regulate its expression at the transcriptional level. A previously published article described a regulatory region located upstream of the ATG start codon that was defined as the ACVR1-ALK2 promoter by the authors [17]. However, the study did not consider the extended 5’UTR region shown in GenBank annotations for the ACVR1 gene. This extended 5’UTR includes untranslated exons and long intronic sequences that predict a gene promoter region at ~78 kb upstream of the sequences described by Yao and coworkers [17].

In this study, we describe the characterization of a 2.9 kb ACVR1 promoter region with respect to its transcriptional start site and show that a number of transcription factors play an important role in regulating ACVR1 transcription. These data have potential to inform future studies regarding the regulation and function of the ACVR1 gene in various processes as well as providing novel targets for future therapeutic approaches for FOP disease.

Materials and methods

Reagents, vectors and antibodies

Human recombinant BMP2 was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). The following constructs were obtained as kind gifts from the indicated person/group: Id1-BMP-Responsive Element/Luciferase expression vector (BRE-Luc) from Dr Peter Ten Dijke; pCMV-Sp1 from Dr Francisco Ramirez; Egr-2 from Dr Marco Musso and Egr-1 from Dr Patrick Charnay. Expression vectors carrying the cDNA of Hey1 (isoform 1, v1, RefSeq NM_012258.2, SC1 15467; and isoform 2, v2, RefSeq NM_00104070.1, SC3 11179) and ZBTB7A (RefSeq NM_015898.2, SC114581) transcription factors were purchased from OriGene (True Clone, OriGene Technology, Inc., Rockville, MD) as ready-to-transfect DNA.

Antibodies directed against Sp-1 (sc-59), Egr-1 (sc-189) and Egr-2 (sc-20690 and sc-20450) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.

Bioinformatic analysis

Bioinformatic analysis of the genomic region at the 5’ end of the ACVR1 gene was performed using web-based software programs. Information on ESTs, positions of conserved binding sites for transcription factors, and data from the ENCODE project were obtained from the corresponding tracks of the UCSC Genome Browser in a window spanning a 2913 bp genomic region (chr2:158,732,343-158,735,255, UCSC Genome Browser, Feb2009 GRCh37/ hg19 Assembly). Analysis of the GC nucleotide content used the CpG-plot tool at the EMBL-EBI European Bioinformatics Institute, [http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/emboss/cpgplot].
The conservation profile was obtained with the tools for comparative genomics of the VISTA Genome Browser http://pipeline.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/gateway2. The search for transcription factor binding sites in selected regions, was performed with MatInspector software [http://www.genomatix.de/solutions/genomatix-software-suite.html] [18].

Plasmid construction
The 2.9 kb genomic segment of the ACVR1 promoter was reconstructed from two partially overlapping PCR fragments generated with LTR-1F + Prom-3Frev (fragment 1) and Sph-1F067-F/Rev (fragment 2) oligonucleotide couples, and the PCR Extender Polymerase Mix (5Prime) using the protocol for GC-rich templates suggested by the manufacturer. The two fragments were subcloned into the pCR2.1 vector (TOPO-TA cloning kit, Invitrogen), and orientation of the inserts was checked by restriction digests. Insert 2, obtained by double restriction with Kpn-1 (present in the multiple cloning site of the pCR2.1 vector) and Bgl-II (unique restriction site present in the region of overlap between the two PCR fragments), was then inserted into the intermediate pCR2.1-fragment 1 plasmid prepared with same double restriction. Finally, the resulting complete 2.9 kb insert was subcloned in the Kpn-1 site of the PG3.3-Basic expression vector (Promega). This plasmid construct was also used as a template in PCR reactions designed to obtain fragments of the promoter progressively deleted at the 5′ end, by combining specific forward oligonucleotides with a common reverse primer (see Table 1, for position, length and oligonucleotides used to generate the corresponding fragment; see Table 2 for oligonucleotides sequences). All the constructs were checked by restriction enzyme digestion and direct sequencing according to standard protocols.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
Total RNA was extracted from cells using the RNeasy Mini Kit from Qiagen. RNA quantity was measured in triplicate and the data were normalized to the expression of the endogenous GADPH gene, measured in triplicate and the data were normalized to the expression of the endogenous GADPH gene.

**Table 1** List of the reporter constructs used in this work

| Construct | Insert length (bp) | Position on chr2 (UCSC Feb2009/GRCh37/hg19) | Name of oligonucleotides used | See text |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| pPrACVR1-2.9 | 2913 | 158,732,343-158,735,255 | see text | |
| pPrACVR1-1.4 | 1420 | 158,732,343-158,733,762 | | |
| pPrACVR1-1.2 | 1190 | 158,732,343-158,733,532 | 1.2-F+067-F/r | |
| pPrACVR1-0.7 | 794 | 158,732,343-158,733,136 | 0.7-F+067-F/r | |
| pPrACVR1-0.6 | 613 | 158,732,343-158,732,955 | 0.6-F+067-F/r | |
| pPrACVR1-0.3 | 339 | 158,732,343-158,732,681 | 0.3-F+067-F/r | |
| pPrACVR1-0.16 | 163 | 158,732,343-158,732,505 | 0.16-F+067-F/r | |
| pPrACVR1-0.072 | 104 | 158,732,343-158,732,446 | 0.072-F+067-F/r | |

**Table 2** Names and sequences of the oligonucleotides used in the present work

| Name | Sequence of oligonucleotides used in this work |
|------|---------------------------------------------|
| LTR-1F | CAAGTACTGTACAGAACGCCACCC |
| Sph-1F | TGCTCCCACTCTCTCCATCC |
| Prom-3Frev | GCCATGGGAGCTTACAGTG |
| 067-F/REV | GAGCCGGAGTGCGAGGCAGC |
| 6R | CGAAGGGCAGTAACTGTATC |
| 1.2-F | (AGCTGGTACCAGACTCTCAGCTTATTC) |
| 0.7-F | (AGCTGGTACCAGCTCATAAGCTTCCAC) |
| 0.6-F | (AGCTGGTACCAGGGAAATGATTGTGGCTG) |
| 0.3-F | (AGCTGGTACCAGAAGTTTATCTGCCC) |
| 0.16-F | (AGCTGGTACCAGCTGCGAACCCGAC) |
| 0.072-F | (AGCTGGTACCAGCAGGAGTCC) |

**Table 3** List of the probes for RT-qPCR experiments used in this work

| Gene | TaqMan Gene Expression Assay (Species and ID specification) |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| ACVR1/Alk2 | Homo sapiens | Hs00153836_m1 |
| acvrl/alk2 | Mus musculus | Mm00431645_m1 |
| β-Actin | Homo sapiens | Hs99999903_m1 |
| β-Actin | Mus musculus | Mm00607939_1 |
| GADPH | Homo sapiens | Hs9999905_m1 |
| gGADPH | Mus musculus | Mm00437762_m1 |
| β2-Microglobulin | Homo sapiens | Hs99999907_m1 |
| β2-Microglobulin | Mus musculus | Mm00437762_m1 |

**Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR)**
Expression of the endogenous ACVR1 gene was evaluated by RT-qPCR using specific TaqMan Gene Expression Assay (Applied Biosystems) (Table 3). Samples were measured in triplicate and the data were normalized using the h/beta2-Microglobulin gene as the endogenous control. The data were analyzed using the ΔΔCt method.
using reference genes such as β-Actin, GAPDH and β2-Microglobulin, depending on the cell line. qPCR data (generated using a BioRad IQ5 instrument) was analyzed with Bio-Rad iQ5 software for Gene Expression studies. Under the experimental conditions used, no effect of mithramycin treatment on expression of the references genes was observed.

Cell culture and transfection
U2OS, HeLa, and C2C12 cells were from ATCC (ATCC-LGC Standards Partnership). ATDC5 cells were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Riken Bioresource Center, Japan. Cells were cultured as follows: C2C12 (mouse myoblast cells) in α-minimal essential medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen); U2OS (human osteosarcoma cells) in McCoy’s 5a Medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS; HeLa cells in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS; ATDC5 (mouse chondrogenic cell line derived from teratocarcinoma) cells in DMEM: Ham’s F12 (1:1) (Invitrogen) with 5% FBS. All culture media cell line derived from teratocarcinoma) cells in DMEM: Ham’s F12 (1:1) (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen); U2OS (mouse chondrogenic cells) cells in McCoy’s 5a Medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS; HeLa cells in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS; ATDC5 (mouse chondrogenic cell line derived from teratocarcinoma) cells in DMEM: Ham’s F12 (1:1) (Invitrogen) with 5% FBS. All culture media were supplemented with 2 mM glutamine and 100U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin and cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2.

For transfection experiments, cells were plated at 25000-30000 cells/well in 24-well plates in the cell complete medium for one day then transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

Briefly, the transfection mixtures contained 50 μl Opti MEM (Invitrogen), 2 μl Lipofectamine 2000, 25 ng pRL-TK-Renilla (Promega) as a control of transfection efficiency, 400 ng promoter-Luciferase constructs and, as indicated, 400 ng of a specific transcription factor expression vector or empty vector as control. 24 hours after transfection, cells were lysed with 100 μl Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega) for 20 min and 20 μl of the obtained lysate was used to measure Luciferase activity with the Dual-Luciferase Assay kit (Dual-Lucifase’ Reporter Assay System, Promega) according to the manufacturer’s procedure. Luciferase activity was quantified using the GLOMAX Multi Detection System (Promega).

As indicated, 24 hrs after transfection, cells were cultured in depletion medium (without FBS and in presence of 0.1% BSA) and treated overnight with 100 ng/ml BMP2 (R&D Systems) or mithramycin at 200 or 300 nM. The impact of mithramycin treatment on cell viability was evaluated by a fluorescence-based viability assay (CellTiter-Fluor™Cell Viability Assay, Promega) and no significant effect was found upon the experimental conditions used.

Preparation of nuclear extracts and electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)
Nuclear extracts were prepared from subconfluent HeLa cells. Cells were washed with PBS then detached with PBS/1 mM EDTA, pelleted, washed once with PBS, resuspended in 5 packed cell volumes of hypotonic buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride), and incubated for 10 min on ice. After centrifugation at 4°C, the cells were resuspended in 3 packed-cell volumes of the same buffer containing 0.05% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40) and Dounce homogenized. The nuclei were collected by low speed centrifugation at 400 g for 10 min, then washed once with the same buffer described above, without NP-40, and resuspended in high salt buffer (5 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 26% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 420 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride), incubated at 4°C for 30–60 min, and centrifuged at 21,000 g at 4°C for 40 min. The supernatant was aliquoted and stored at -70°C; protein content was evaluated by Bradford assay.

EMSA was performed as previously described [19]. Briefly, double-stranded oligonucleotides were labeled by filling-in the 5’ ends with 3000 Ci/mmol [α-32P]dCTP and purified on G-25 Sephadex column (Roche Applied Science). All probes were 100% labeled. Reactions containing 5 μg of nuclear extract, 10 μl of 2X binding buffer (1X binding buffer: 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM EGTA, 2 μg of double-stranded poly(dI-dC) (Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, MO, USA), 100 mM final salt concentration (NaCl + KCl) were assembled in a final volume of 20 μl and preincubated for 30 min on ice; 10,000–20,000 cpm of the labeled probes (corresponding to 2 fmol) were added to each reaction. After 30 min on ice, the reactions were electrophoresed through TBE, 5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels at a constant voltage of 150 V. Competition experiments and supershifts used reactions preincubated with the appropriate amounts of cold oligonucleotide and antibody (anti-SP1, Upstate, Charlottesville, VA and Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The oligonucleotides used for EMSA are reported in Table 2. The Sp1 and EGR oligonucleotides were described previously [19]. All oligonucleotides were synthesized by TIB MolBiol (Roche).

Statistical analysis
All luciferase reporter gene assays using the ACVR1 promoter constructs were performed in triplicate and repeated independently at least twice (2-5 times). Experiments to evaluate ACVR1 expression by RT-qPCR were performed in triplicate from at least two independent RNA extractions.

As indicated, the non-parametric Mann–Whitney Test (GraphPad InSTAT package) was applied to verify statistical significance of the observed variations, significant differences were given as p < 0.05*, p < 0.01**, or p < 0.001***.
Results
Characterization of the ACVR1 promoter
To identify and characterize the ACVR1 promoter sequence and RNA transcriptional start site, we conducted both a bioinformatic analysis of the genomic region located 5' upstream of the gene and an experimental approach through 5'RACE. Current GenBank annotation reports two different mRNA RefSeq (NM_001111067.2 and NM_001105.4) that consist of two untranslated exons preceding nine protein-coding exons. According to these observations, putative alternative 5'UTR sequences are combined to common protein-coding sequences that initiate in the third exon and extend over nine exons. 5'RACE experiments using cDNA from adult brain detected an ACVR1 transcription start site (TSS) mapping between 244 and 237 bp upstream of the ATG starting codon relative to the NM_001111067.2 RefSeq (not shown). This TSS corresponds to the start of the most upstream untranslated exon, possibly representing the most abundant transcript in the analyzed tissue and differing in only five base pairs compared to GenBank NM_001111067.2.

Therefore, we started our experimental analysis by focusing on the genomic sequence upstream of this position and spanning around 2.9 kb (chr2:158,732,343-158,735,255, UCSC Genome Browser, Human Feb. 2009 (GR Ch37/hg19)).

This region has 55% of GC nucleotides clustered in long stretches predominantly mapping to the proximal 1 kb segment, as indicated in the GC-plot reported in Figure 1A. No TATA-boxes are present. The region appeared well-conserved in mouse as shown by comparison analysis performed at the Vista Genome Browser (Figure 1B).

The entire 2.9 kb sequence was subcloned into the pGL3-basic Luciferase expression vector to generate the pPr-2.9 construct (Figure 2A). In order to determine whether this fragment was able to drive the expression of the Luciferase reporter gene, the plasmid was transfected into different cell lines (U2OS, HeLa, C2C12 and ATDC5). As shown in Figure 2B, this construct had strong promoter activity as compared to cells with the pGL3-Promoter vector carrying the SV40 promoter sequence. The level of transcriptional activity was different in the various cell lines, with the strongest activity in U2OS cells and the lowest in C2C12. In accordance, we found that the level of mRNA expressed from the endogenous ACVR1 gene in U2OS and HeLa cells was proportional to promoter activity (Figure 2C).

Deletion constructs of the 2.9 kb region were generated in order to more specifically identify regulatory elements. Deletion regions were selected based on the human/mouse conservation profile, the presence of GC-clusters, and putative recognition sites for transcription factors, and the deletion constructs are schematically represented in Figure 3A. All the generated constructs were transfected into multiple cell lines and their respective transcriptional activities are shown in Figure 3B relative to the activity driven by the pPr-2.9 plasmid containing the entire promoter region. The two longest deletion constructs (pPr-1.4 and pPr-1.2) showed similar promoter activity as the 2.9 kb fragment (pPr-2.9). Deletion of the region between position -1157 and -762 led to limited increase of the transcriptional activity, probably because of the removal of negative cis-acting elements functional in U2OS, HeLa and C2C12 cells. Deletion of the region between -762 and -308 caused a decrease in transcriptional activity in all the tested cell lines, suggesting the presence of functional elements important for promoter activity. The smallest pPr-0.3 construct still showed a clearly detectable activity. The pattern of transcriptional activity driven by the deletion constructs was similar in all the tested cell lines.

Effects of Egr-1, Hey-1 and ZBTB7A/LRF/pokemon on ACVR1 transcription
The observation that deletion of the genomic region comprised between -762 and -308 resulted in a strong reduction of the transcriptional activity driven by the ACVR1 promoter, induced us to focus our functional analysis on this sequence.

Data obtained through the ENCODE Project (Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) provides experimental ChIP-seq (deep sequencing after Chromatin Immunoprecipitation) evidence of transcription factor binding at the genome-wide level. ENCODE data for the 2.9 kb region of the ACVR1 promoter provided evidence of transcription factor binding, as shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1 (ENCODE data [20]). We selected some of these transcription factors for further ACVR1 functional analysis based on their reported involvement in osteogenic processes [21-23], immune-mediated mechanisms [24-26], and cross talk among important pathways [27-29].

Egr-1, Hey-1, and ZBTB7A cDNA expression vectors were co-transfected with the reporter constructs of selected deletion fragments of the ACVR1 promoter (pPr-2.9, pPr-0.7 and pPr-0.3) into HeLa and ATDC5 cells representing, respectively, cell types not related and related to chondrogenesis/osteogenesis. Our results showed that the effect of these factors was dependent on cell type, at least for Egr-1 and ZBTB7A. As shown in Figure 4A, in HeLa cells both Egr-1 and ZBTB7A induced a strong activating effect on the transcriptional activity of the 2.9 kb ACVR1 promoter. For Egr-1, the effect was progressively reduced with deletion constructs (Figure 4A, left panel), whereas ZBTB7A still exerted a stimulatory effect also on the smallest pPr-0.3 fragment. Consistently, the evidence provided by the ENCODE project indicates that binding sites for ZBTB7A are present in the genomic region contained in this short construct. However, in ATDC5 cells,
the two proteins have little effect on the activity controlled by the whole ACVR1 promoter and by the 700 bp-containing deletion construct. Interestingly, in these cells, ZBTB7A showed an inhibitory effect on the function of the smallest fragment tested (Figure 4B, left panel).

By contrast to Egr-1 and ZBTB7A, expression of both Hey-1 isoforms induced a decrease in the transcriptional activity driven by the ACVR1 promoter (Figure 4, right panels), in both HeLa and ATDC5 cell lines, although with some quantitative differences between the two cell types. This effect was observed for all three promoter segments, as we might expect from the presence of binding sites in the -762/-308 region and also in the most proximal sequence of the promoter (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

**Characterization of a basal promoter region**

As indicated above, the ACVR1 promoter sequence does not contain a TATA box and is GC-rich, suggesting that the region proximal to the TSS might function as a basal promoter. To identify the region necessary for basal transcription of ACVR1, two additional deletion constructs were generated (see Figure 3A for a schematic representation of the constructs), pPr-0.16 and pPr-0.072, containing only 160 or 72 bp upstream of the TSS, respectively.

---

**Figure 1 Base composition and conservation of the human ACVR1 promoter.**

A) CpG islands plot reporting the analysis of the GC-nucleotide content and structure of the ACVR1 2.9 kb genomic region upstream of the TSS using the CpG-plot tool at the EMBL-EBI European Bioinformatics Institut. [http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/emboss/cpgplot]. Upper panel: plot of the ratio of the observed over the expected GC content. Middle panel: GC content expressed as percentage (minimum percentage required to identify the presence of a CpG island is 50%). Lower panel: identification of a 975 bp CpG island upstream and proximal to the first 5'UTR exon of the ACVR1 gene.  

B) Output of the comparative genomic analysis obtained with dedicated software available at the Vista Genome Browser [http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/index.shtml, tools for comparative analysis]. Conserved sequences with a percentage of identity (vertical axis) that is higher than 70% are represented as red peaks (non coding sequences) and light blue peaks (UTR sequences, corresponding to the first UTR exon of the ACVR1 gene according to the NM_001111067.2 RefSeq) and are shown relative to their position in the human genome (horizontal axis) compared to the mouse.
Figure 5 shows that, after progressive deletion from -300 bp up to -72 bp, transcriptional activity was maintained in all transfected cell lines, although with quantitative differences. Nevertheless, the residual activity driven by the smallest fragment (pPr-0.072) was still significant, ranging from 6% to 20% depending on the cell lines used (Figure 5). Therefore, we have defined this 72 bp region as the basal promoter of the *ACVR1* gene. The region contains 87.5% GC (63 GC nucleotides out of 72) that are organized in overlapping GC-boxes (Figure 6A). This feature is a common finding in TATA-less gene promoters, and these sequence elements are likely to function as docking sites for GC-binding proteins.

An *in silico* search for transcription factors binding sites revealed that the region contains a well-conserved putative recognition site for the Sp1 transcription factor (Figure 6B), an established GC-binding protein able to regulate both basal and induced gene transcription working alone, or by recruiting other transcription factors (for a review see [30]).

We tested whether the Sp1 protein could influence the transcriptional activity driven by the 72 bp basal region by performing site-directed mutagenesis to destroy the overlapping GC boxes predicted to bind the protein (Figure 6A and 6B). As reported in Figure 6C, site-directed mutagenesis altering the GC-boxes affected the transcriptional activity of the *ACVR1* basal promoter in HeLa (reduced to 57% of the WT activity) and in C2C12 cells (29% of the WT activity) (Figure 6C). The effect was less evident in U2OS cells (86% of activity was maintained) and no effect was detectable in ATDC5 cells (Figure 6C). We then co-transfected cells with the pPr-0.072 construct (wild type or mutant) and an expression vector carrying the Sp1 cDNA (pCMV-Sp1) or the corresponding CMV empty vector as a control. Over-expression of Sp1 increased the transcriptional activity of the basal promoter, although to different levels in the various cell lines (Figure 6D). This induction was impaired by mutagenesis of the GC-boxes, except for the ATDC5 cell line.

Consistently, treatment of U2OS and C2C12 cells with mithramycin A, an antibiotic frequently used as an Sp1 inhibitor [31], led to a dose-dependent decrease in the expression of endogenous *ACVR1* gene, as assessed by RT-qPCR in the U2OS and C2C12 cell lines (Additional file 2: Figure S2, supplementary material). To complete our analysis on the involvement of the Sp1 transcription factor in *ACVR1* basal promoter regulation, we carried out an Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA) by using a 30 bp double-stranded probe overlapping the GC boxes with nuclear extracts from different cell lines.
In Figure 7, results obtained with HeLa nuclear extracts are shown. Specific DNA/Sp1 protein complexes were detected (Figure 7, arrows) which were efficiently competed by the unlabeled probe (Figure 7, +WT comp), but not by a cold probe carrying the same mutated residues as in the transfected constructs (+Mut comp). Moreover, the observed complexes were further shifted by the presence of antibodies against Sp1, thus identifying Sp1 as able to bind sequences in this genomic region (Figure 7, asterisk).

Besides Sp1, other factors such as Egr-1 and 2 proteins are known to bind GC-rich recognition sequences. We co-transfected cells with the pPr-0.072 wild-type and expression vectors carrying the Egr-1 or Egr-2 cDNAs or the corresponding empty vector. As shown in Additional file 3: Figure S3, Egr-1 expression induced a decrease in
the ACVR1 promoter-Luciferase activity in U2OS, C2C12 and ATDC5 cells at comparable levels. No effect was observed in HeLa cells. Interestingly, a more cell type-dependent effect was detectable upon expression of Egr-2 proteins. As reported in Additional file 3: Figure S3, no or little effect was observed in U2OS, whereas a clear activating effect was observed in the other cell lines, particularly evident in HeLa and C2C12.

An additional regulatory element, one that would be particularly important in the promoter of a gene encoding a BMP receptor, is the BMP Responsive Element (BRE) [32]. Although in silico search for such element did not predict its presence in the above mentioned promoter segment, these regulatory elements are characterized by a GC-rich sequence. Therefore, we wanted to verify if the Luciferase construct with the 72 bp basal promoter sequence is responsive to BMP ligands. Transfection experiments in HeLa and C2C12 cells treated with BMP2 (Figure 8) showed no effect on the 72 bp promoter region in both cell types. However, the constructs containing the 2.9 kb region were responsive to BMP2 treatment in C2C12 cells, although not in HeLa. We also observed an increase in ACVR1 mRNA in C2C12 cells after treatment with BMP2. In agreement with the experimental data, in silico analysis predicts the presence of two BRE elements in the distal half of the gene (position -1188/-1183 and -657/-652, respectively).

Discussion

In the present study, we provided the first characterization of the ACVR1 gene promoter region. This gene is mutated in patients affected by FOP, causing a hyper-activation of the BMP SMAD-dependent signaling pathway. The pathogenic events resulting in heterotopic ossification in FOP are associated with mechanisms related to inflammatory stimuli that trigger osteogenic differentiation in pluripotent progenitors in postnatal life. It is conceivable that these mechanisms could be targeted to some levels of BMP signaling pathways thus providing strategies to control the most devastating effects of this genetically-based disorder in extra-skeletal tissues. The discovery of ACVR1 as the gene responsible for FOP has opened the way to treatment discovery efforts, considering that the identification of a molecular target related to the disease is the very first step of a drug development process. Elements and factors controlling regulation of gene and protein expression can be considered as "sensitive nodes" (as defined in [33]) and thus may become
therapeutic targets. With this objective in mind, we considered that research on transcriptional mechanisms controlling expression of the ACVR1 gene expression might provide significant contributions to identifying sensitive molecular targets.

To our knowledge, no previous characterization of the ACVR1 promoter has been described and little information about the transcriptional regulation of the gene has been generated, with the exception of a study reporting a regulatory element upstream of the translation initiation codon [17]. ACVR1 has a transcribed and untranslated region 5’ to the translational start site that is quite complicated in term of untranslated exons and alternative splicing (unpublished observations and GenBank annotations). Thus a first requirement was to identify a TSS by 5’RACE experiments; we identified a major TSS that is located at the 5’ end of the first untranslated exon, at least for the cells utilized for mRNA extraction. Given the predicted complexity of the ACVR1 5’UTR, this result, although confirming the presence of a TSS starting upstream of UTR exons, does not exclude other alternative TSSs that might represent low abundance transcripts or transcripts with cell type specificity different from the site we have identified.

This result allowed us to explore the sequence upstream of the identified TSS for base composition, conservation across species, and putative transcription factor binding sites.

We cloned a region from -2900 bp to +35 relative to the TSS (considered as the +1 nucleotide), into a Luciferase expression reporter plasmid. This region showed a robust ability to drive reporter vector expression, suggesting that it represents the gene transcriptional regulatory region.

The analysis of deletion constructs revealed the presence of positive and negative regulatory elements. Removal of

**Figure 5** Identification of the basal promoter. Deletion constructs Pr-0.3, Pr-0.16, Pr-0.072 schematically represented in Figure 3A were transiently transfected into U2OS (A), HeLa (B), C2C12 (C), ATDC5 (D) to characterize the basal promoter region. Results are expressed as relative to the activity of the pGL3-Promoter vector carrying the SV40 promoter region (PV, 100%). The data represent the mean ± SD (error bars) of independent experiments (3 ≥ n ≥ 5) carried out in triplicate with p < 0.05*, p < 0.01**, or p < 0.001*** comparing the activity of each deletion construct with that of the SV40 promoter (PV).
**Figure 6** Role of Sp1 in ACVR1 gene promoter regulation. A) Nucleotide sequence of the basal promoter of the ACVR1 gene lacks TATA boxes and has high GC-nucleotide content. The putative Sp1 binding sites are indicated by boxes and nucleotides subjected to site-directed mutagenesis are indicated with red circles. The arrow indicates the TSS (+1). B) *In silico* analysis of the region as it appears in the HMR Conserved Transcription Factor Binding Sites (TFBS conserved) track in the UCSC Genome Browser revealed a well-conserved putative recognition site for the Sp1 transcription factor. Custom tracks indicating the overlapping GC-boxes (ACVR1_Basal_Promoter_GC-Boxes) and the position of the mutagenized nucleotides (GC-boxes_mutagenesis) were added to the UCSC window and are shown. C) Both the wild-type (WT) and mutated Pr-0.072 constructs containing the 72 bp promoter region were transiently transfected into U2OS, HeLa, ATDC5, and C2C12 cell lines. Luciferase activities obtained with mutated constructs are expressed as a percentage of the activity of the wild-type (100%) in each cell line. D) The effect of Sp1 on basal promoter activity was tested by co-transfecting the Sp1 expression plasmid with the wild-type (WT) and mutated Pr-0.072 reporter constructs in the indicated cell lines. Luciferase activity is expressed as relative to the transcriptional activity of the Pr-0.072WT in cells transfected with the empty vector that was used to subclone the Sp1 cDNA (ev). The data represent the mean ± SD (error bars) of independent experiments (n=5 for C, n=3 for D) carried out in triplicate with p< 0.05*, p< 0.01**, or p< 0.001***.
the region between -1462 and 1158 showed different effects among cell types, such as significant reduction of activity in HeLa cells, that deserves further analysis for more detailed interpretation. In the case of ATDC5 and C2C12 cells, two cell types that are able to differentiate to chondrocytes and osteoblasts, respectively, in appropriate culture conditions, we observed differences in ACVR1 expression levels that we interpret as referable to subtle and unintentional differences in standard culture conditions.

The most evident change, observed in all cell lines, was decreased activity upon deletion of the sequence between -762 and -308 bp. This region is characterized by a high degree of conservation and a number of binding sites for several transcription factors that were detected by ENCODE [20,34]. Our initial characterization of the effect of some of these transcription factors focused on those with possible implications for a FOP pathogenic mechanism. Their effect on the different promoter/reporter vectors varies according to the presence of putative binding sites in one or more than one position within the 2.9 kb region and also according to the cell type in which transfection experiments were performed.

The most consistent effect was by Hey-1 which showed inhibitory effects on promoter regions where E-box binding sites were predicted, i.e., in the region between -762 and -308 and in the basal 72 bp region immediately upstream of the TSS. The Hey-1 transcription factor is a member of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) protein family and a known target of the Notch1 signaling pathway [27]. Interestingly, its expression is also regulated by SMAD-dependent BMP signaling that suggests involvement in cross-talk between these two important pathways [28,29]. Cross-talk is made more interesting by the observation that its effect on ACVR1 expression could
contribute to modulating the two pathways by a feed-back mechanism that acts on BMP signaling. The results of our co-transfection experiments are in agreement with several findings that support transcriptional inhibition of several target genes by Hey transcription factors [35]. Members of the "Early Growth Response" (Egr) family of transcription factors regulate gene transcription affecting a wide range of processes including differentiation, proliferation, and response to extracellular signals with cell type- and context-specific characteristics (see in [24]). The Egr-1 transcription factor, also known as Krox-24, is a zinc finger transcription factor originally identified as an early response gene that is rapidly stimulated by mitogenic and stress stimuli [36]. The specific DNA binding site for Egr-1 is GC-rich and present within the promoter regions of a large number of target genes important for proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, growth control, and inflammation [24,37-40]. Egr-1 null mice showed impairment of enchondral bone repair [21,22]. In our studies, the effect of Egr-1 on the ACVR1 promoter was clearly cell context-dependent. Egr-1 showed no effect on the 2.9 kb promoter sequence in ATDC5 cells and strong activation in HeLa cells. However, Egr-1 inhibited expression from the short 72 bp basal promoter sequence in U2OS, ATDC5 and C2C12 cells, with no effect in HeLa cells.

The ZBTB7A gene encodes the Leukemia/lymphoma-related factor (LRF, also called Pokemon, a member of the POK (POZ/BTB and Krüppel) family of transcriptional repressors [41]. This factor has been mainly studied for roles in several types of cancer [25] as well as in lymphocyte differentiation in the hematopoietic system [25,26]. ZBTB7A was also studied in the skeletal system as an osteoclast-specific protein [23]. ZBTB7A inhibited ACVR1 in ATDC5 cells, which was an expected finding given many reports that describe its action as a transcriptional repressor. However, it was surprising to observe a strong activating effect in HeLa cells.

We found that a short sequence within the first 72 bp upstream of the ACVR1 TSS, displayed readily detectable transcriptional activity. This region is a GC-rich sequence which, as in other TATA-less promoters like ACVR1, is associated with transcription initiation. As expected for a functionally important region, a high degree of conservation among species around the +1 TSS is observed.

Based on consensus sequences for conserved binding elements, the Sp1 transcription factor and other GC binding proteins were predicted to bind and affect the 72 bp region reporter activity.

Our transfection experiments support a role for Sp1, although Sp1 appears to induce different degrees of activation in the various cell lines examined. The highest response was in C2C12 cells, suggesting that the Sp1 transcription factor participates not only at the level of minimal promoter functionality but also in cell-type related functions. One possible explanation may be due to cooperative effects of Sp1 with other factors that bind GC-rich sequences. Among these factors, we observed a cell type activating effect on the ACVR1 basal promoter by another member of the Egr family, the Egr-2/Krox20 transcription factor. This finding is of particular interest due to the implication of Egr-2 in many processes such as bone metabolism [42,43], immune response, inflammation and monocytic/macrophagic differentiation that are critical in FOP pathophysiology [42-44].
The base composition of the GC-rich 72 bp sequence raised the question of its possible ability to include a BMP responsive element [33] which is itself a GC-rich sequence. Our experiments did not show response to BMP2 by the 72 bp promoter region, although the 2.9 kb ACVR1 promoter was activated by BMP2 treatment and can be attributed to the presence of BREs in regions upstream of the short minimal promoter.

Our results highlight cell-type specific functional features in the ACVR1 gene regulation. Further investigations will be necessary to understand which transcriptional regulators play specific roles in various cell types and biological processes, as well as to also understand their utility in addressing new therapeutic approaches.

Conclusions
The ultimate goal of research on a rare disease such as FOP is the development of a specific treatment that might efficiently prevent or even reverse the occurrence of disease flare-ups and their devastating consequences. Discovery of the causative gene represented a milestone in the process of understanding the genetic and molecular basis of FOP. Many approaches are currently being pursued to achieve proof of principle for developing specific therapeutic tools. Some strategies are aimed at specifically silencing the mutated allele, while others are aimed at identifying molecules that inhibit the activity of the protein, or to target downstream signaling (see for references and comments [2]) [45-48].

Any intervention able to restrain heterotopic bone formation and/or its inciting events will benefit patients’ quality of life. Therefore, the knowledge of mechanisms and factors that control gene function and regulation have great potential to add an important piece to the FOP pathogenesis puzzle.

The characterization of the ACVR1 gene promoter has determined that this gene is potently regulated at the transcriptional level and that such regulation is significantly dependent on the cellular context. The identification of two essential regions of the ACVR1 promoter suggests several hypotheses related to the FOP pathogenic mechanism. In particular, the cell types that are involved in the disease certainly include multipotent progenitors that can differentiate to chondrocytes and osteoblast but also include cells of the inflammatory and immune-mediated processes that trigger the acute phases of the disease and stimulate osteogenesis. In patients, each of these cell types carry the mutated receptor which is likely to exert effects at different steps of the pathogenic mechanism and then on the full FOP clinical picture. For this reason, we believe that this study is a good starting point to define the ACVR1 gene regulation in different steps of the disease and to provide useful information on possible targets for potential treatment strategies.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. ENCODE (Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) data in the ACVR1 promoter region. Track from the UCSC Genome Browser showing the ChIP-Seq data from the ENCODE Project (window coordinates, chr1:158752343-158753255) in the 2.9 kb genomic region of the ACVR1 promoter. The black line with numbers (with the arrow specifying the orientation of the ACVR1 gene), and the red vertical dashed lines have been added to indicate the positions of the reporter construct boundaries (bPr, basal 72-bp Promoter; Pr-0.3, Pr-0.7, Pr-1.2, Pr-1.4 and Pr-2.9). The localization patterns along the ACVR1 promoter region of the different transcription factors listed on the right side are shown as rectangles of different color intensity. The transcription factors studied in this work are underlined.

Additional file 2: Figure S2. Mithramycin effect on endogenous ACVR1 mRNA expression. ACVR1 mRNA expression level was evaluated by RT-qPCR in U2OS and C2C12 cells, both in basal conditions or upon treatment with mithramycin A at 200 (M200) and 300 nM (M300) final concentration. Values were normalized to the expression level of GAPDH and β-Actin genes. Error bars indicate the standard errors of three independent experiments.

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Effects of Egr-1 and Egr-2 on basal promoter regulation. U2OS, HeLa, C2C12 and ADTC5 cells were co-transfected with the Pr-0.072 reporter construct and expression vectors carrying the Egr-1 (upper panel) or Egr-2 (bottom panel) cDNAs or the corresponding empty vector. Observed Luciferase activity is expressed as relative to the activity of the Pr-0.072 construct co-transfected with the empty vector (ev). The data represent the means ± SD (error bars) of three independent experiments carried out in triplicate with p < 0.05*, p < 0.01**, or p < 0.001***.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
FG conceived, designed, performed and analyzed the experiments and prepared the manuscript; SC prepared deletions plasmids and performed transfection experiments; LT and MM performed and analyzed RT-qPCR experiments; SDL performed and analyzed with DF EMSA experiments; RR and RB conceived and supervised the experiments and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
We thank the FOP Italia Association, FOP patients and their families for funding and for their enthusiastic support to our research. We acknowledge contribution of the Italian Ministry of University and Research (PRIN grant 2010BYSW3_003) and co-funding from "Cinque per milie" and "Ricerca corrente" (Italian Ministry of Health). We acknowledge the contribution of Dr. Eileen Shore in revision of the manuscript.

Author details
1 Department of Neurosciences, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmogy, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health and CEBR, Università degli Studi di Genova, Genova, Italy. 2 Department of Medical Biotechnology and Translational Medicine, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy. 3 CNR-Institute of Neuroscience, Milano, Italy. 4 Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Medical Genetics Unit, Genova, Italy.

Received: 16 May 2013 Accepted: 3 September 2013 Published: 18 September 2013

References
1. Pignolo RJ, Shore EM, Kaplan FS: Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva: clinical and genetic aspects. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2011, 6:80.
2. Shore EM, Kaplan FS: Inherited human diseases of heterotopic bone formation. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2010, 6(9):518–27.
3. Kaplan FS, Chakkalakal SA, Shore EM: Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva: mechanisms and models of skeletal metamorphosis. Dis Model Mech 2012, 5(6):756–62.
4. Chakkalakal SA, Zhang D, Culbert AL, Converse MR, Caron RJ, Wright AC, Maitland AD, Kaplan FS. More An Acvr2 R206h Knock-in mouse has fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. J Bone Miner Res. 2012, 27(8):1746–56.

5. Shore EM, Xu M, Feldman GJ, Fenstermacher DA, Cho TJ, Choi IH, Connor JM, Delai P, Glaser DL, LeMeuner M, Morhart R, Rogers JG, Smith R, Triffitt JT, Untz-Bierbrauer JA, Zaslav M, Brown MA, Kaplan FS. A recurrent mutation in the BMP type I receptor ACVR1 causes inherited and sporadic fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. Nat Genet. 2006, 38(5):525–527.

6. Fukuda T, Kohda M, Kanomata K, Nojima J, Nakamura A, Kamizono J, Noguchi Y, Iwasaki K, Kondo T, Kurose J, Endo K, Akawaka T, Fukushi J, Nakashima Y, Chiyonobu T, Kawara A, Nishida Y, Wada A, Ikata M, Komori T, Nakayama K, Namba A, Maruki Y, Yoda T, Tomoda H, Yu PB, Shore EM, Kaplan FS, Miyazono K, Matsuoka M, et al. Constitutively activated ALK2 and increased SMAD1/5 cooperatively induce bone morphogenetic protein signaling in fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. J Biol Chem. 2009, 284(11):7149–7156.

7. Song GA, Kim HJ, Woo KM, Baek JH, Kim GS, Choi JY, Ryoo HM: Molecular consequences of the Acvr1(R206H) mutation of fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. J Biol Chem 2010, 285(29):22562–22553.

8. Van Dinther M, Visser N, De Gorter DJ, Doorn J, Goumans MJ, De Boer J, Ossificans Progressiva Patients. Mutations in ACVR1 Result in Atypical Features in Two Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. J Bone Miner Res. 2010, 25(1):1208–1215.

9. Gropp JC, Wu J, Shore EM, Kaplan FS. In vitro analyses of the dysregulated R206H ALK2 kinase-KRIB12 interaction associated with heterotopic ossification in FOP. Cells Tissues Organs 2011, 194(2–4):291–295.

10. Chakauda A, Afanfo I, Kerr G, Sanvitale CE, Boergermann JH, Triffitt JT, Von Delft F, Knapp S, Kraus P, Bullhorn AL. Structure of the bone morphogenetic protein receptor ALK2 and implications for fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. J Biol Chem 2012, 287(44):36990–36998.

11. Furuya H, Iwase K, Wang L, Ohyya Y, Motomura M, Fujii N, Kikuma Y. A unique case of fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva with an Acvr1 mutation, G356D, other than the common mutation (R206H). An Med J Am 2008, 146A(4):459–463.

12. Kaplan FS, Xu M, Seemann P, Connor JM, Glaser DL, Carroll L, Delai P, Fastnacht-Urban E, Forman SJ, Gillesen-Kaesbach G, Hoover-Fong J, Köster B, Pauli RM, Readon W, Zaid A, Zaslav M, Morhart R, Mundlos S, Gropp J, Shore EM. Classic and atypical fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva: confirmations and advancements. J Med Genet 2009, 46(3):137–390.

13. Kirsten A, Petrie W, Hwa L, Alex N, Bullock AN, Kim GS, Choi JY, Ryoo HM. Role of the proto-oncogene Pokemon in lineage fate decisions. J Clin Invest 2011, 121(3):489–90.

14. Li L, Davie JR. Early growth response protein 1 (Egr-1): a pleiotropic early growth response factor 1: a pleiotropic factor in the heart. Indian J Clin Biochem 2011, 26(3):235–9.

15. Yip KY, Cheng C, Bhardwaj N, Brown JB, Leng J, Kudlacek A, Rozovskiy J, Birney E, Bickel P, Snyder M, Gerstein M. Human genome regions based on experimentally determined binding sites of more than 100 transcription-related factors. Genome Biol 2012, 13(6):R48.

16. Heilig J, Weber D, Engblom B, Winkler A, Kreutz S, Sung WK, Wolf E, Ellers I, Wei CL, Gessler M. Target gene analysis by microarrays and chromatin immunoprecipitation identifies HEY proteins as highly redundant bHLH repressors. PloS Genet 2012, 8(5):e1002728.

17. Haldar S, Ghosh S, Dey P, Jack E, Perera M, Bracken C, Stojka K. Novel ALK1 signaling inhibits angiogenesis by cooperating with the Notch pathway. Dev Cell 2012, 22(3):489–500.

18. Golda KL, Baisden D. Proteins associated with autoimmunity: implications for Beclin 2. Leukemia 2002, 27(7):1311–318.

19. Gregson CL, Hollingworth P, Williams M, Pettje KA, Bullock AN, Brown MA, Tobias JH, Triffitt JT. A novel Acvr1 mutation in the glycosine-serine-rich domain found in the most benign case of fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva variant reported to date. Bone 2011, 48(3):654–658.

20. Morales-Piga A, Bachiller-Corral J, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Villaverde-Hueso A, Gamir-Gamir ML, Alonso-Ferreira V, Vázquez-Díaz M, de la Posada-Paz M, Ten Dijke P. BMP type I receptor ACVR1 causes inherited and sporadic fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. J Bone Miner Res. 2010, 25(2):458.

21. Yip KY, Cheng C, Bhardwaj N, Brown JB, Leng J, Kudlacek A, Rozovskiy J, Birney E, Bickel P, Snyder M, Gerstein M. Human genome regions based on experimentally determined binding sites of more than 100 transcription-related factors. Genome Biol 2012, 13(6):R48.

22. Heilig J, Weber D, Engblom B, Winkler A, Kreutz S, Sung WK, Wolf E, Ellers I, Wei CL, Gessler M. Target gene analysis by microarrays and chromatin immunoprecipitation identifies HEY proteins as highly redundant bHLH repressors. PloS Genet 2012, 8(5):e1002728.
43. Li S, Miao T, Sebastian M, Bhullar P, Ghaffari E, Liu M, Symonds AL, Wang P: The transcription factors Egr2 and Egr3 are essential for the control of inflammation and antigen-induced proliferation of B and T cells. Immunity 2012, 37(4):685–96.

44. Pham TH, Bennner C, Lichtinger M, Schwarzfischer L, Hu Y, Andreesen R, Chen W, Rehli M: Dynamic epigenetic enhancer signatures reveal key transcription factors associated with monocytic differentiation states. Blood 2012, 119(24):e161–71.

45. Kaplan J, Kaplan FS, Shore EM: Restoration of normal BMP signaling levels and osteogenic differentiation in FOP mesenchymal progenitor cells by mutant allele-specific targeting. Gene Ther 2012, 19(7):786–90.

46. Shi S, Cai J, De-Gorter DJ, Sanchez-Ouffue G, Kemaladewi DU, Hoogaars WM, Aartsma-Rus A, Ten Dijke P: Antisense-oligonucleotide mediated exonskipping in activin-receptor-like kinase 2: inhibiting the receptor that is overactive in fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. PLoS One 2013, 8(7):e69096.

47. Sanvitale CE, Kerr G, Chaikuad A, Ramel MC, Mohedas AH, Reichert S, Wang Y, Triffitt JT, Cundy GD, Yu PB, Hill CS, Bullock AN: A new class of small molecule inhibitor of BMP signaling. PLoS One 2013, 8(4):e62721.

48. Shimono K, Tung WE, Macolino C, Chi AH, Didizian JH, Mundy C, Chandraratna RA, Mishina Y, Enomoto-Iwamoto M, Pacifici M, Iwamoto M: Potent inhibition of heterotopic ossification by nuclear retinoic acid receptor-γ agonists. Nat Med 2011, 17(4):454–60.

doi:10.1186/1750-1172-8-145
Cite this article as: Giacopelli et al.: Identification and characterization of regulatory elements in the promoter of ACVR1, the gene mutated in Fibrodysplasia Ossificans Progressiva. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases 2013 8:145.