Systematic mutation analysis in rare colorectal cancer presenting ovarian metastases
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Although colorectal cancer is one of the most lethal cancer types in the world, its metastasis to the ovary is rare, compared to metastasis to other organs. Consequently, the genomic basis for colon-to-ovary metastasis remains unstudied, due to limited available patients, and thus there have been no attempts to construct individual-specific networks. Due to its rarity, the small sample size makes common mutations difficult to find. To overcome this problem, we herein attempted to apply a biological connectivity map called a sample-specific network (SSN), to reveal common biological functions in three samples. Our three samples were compared to a clinical dataset contained in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (COAD), showing different mutational spectra, compared to matched samples based on age, gender, microsatellite instability (MSI) status, and tumor, node, metastasis (TNM) stage. The SSNs for the three samples revealed significant correlations of the mutation statuses of several apoptosis genes, in contrast to the TCGA-matched samples. Further analysis of a targeted-gene panel sequencing dataset for colon-to-ovary metastasis of primary tumor samples also confirmed significant correlations of the mutational statuses among apoptosis genes. In summary, using SSN, we successfully identified a common function (apoptosis) among our three patients having colon-to-ovary metastasis, despite no common mutations in the three patients. Such computational analyses could facilitate productive study of rare cancers and other diseases.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third-most common cancer in the world, with an estimated 43.7 new cases, per 100,000 men and women, per year, and the fourth-leading lethal cancer, with 881,000 deaths in 20181. During the past decade, clinicians have witnessed remarkable progress in the treatment of metastatic colon cancer, especially upon understanding its molecular basis, leading to effective combinations of chemotherapy regimens of oxaliplatin, irinotecan, cetuximab, and bevacizumab2. These novel agents have yielded both higher tumor response rates and prolonged overall survival.

Despite this substantial improvement in overall survival, however, CRC patients with rare colon-to-ovary metastases still have poorer prognoses and chemotherapy responses, compared to other metastatic sites3-6. It was also reported that, remarkably, the non-ovarian metastases tumor response rate to 5-FU-based chemotherapy was 40%, but only 5% for ovarian metastases7. Although Lee et al.8 reported that ovarian metastatectomy improved survival, better understanding of the underlying mechanism(s) for resistance to nonsurgical therapy is urgently needed.

The frequency of ovarian metastasis from a primary CRC is low, at approximately 3.4% of women diagnosed with a malignant colorectal cancer8, compared to higher occurring metastases to the liver and the lung8. Due to this rarity, limited sample sizes (and thus low statistical power) represent a major hurdle for genomic analyses of
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Patient # | Gender | Age | Survival status (survival time in months) | TNM stage | KRAS status | EGFR status | Microsatellite instability | Primary tumor size (cm) | Primary tumor treatment | Ovary metastasis size (cm) | Metastasis treatment
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
5 | F | 54 | Alive (11) | IV | WILD | + | Stable | 5 | Anterior resection | 4 | FOLFIRI/FOLFOX/Cetuximab/Radiation
8 | F | 52 | Alive (48) | IV | WILD | + | Stable | 3.5 | Low anterior resection | 10 | FOLFIRI/FOLFOX/Cetuximab/Bevacizumab/
9 | F | 51 | Alive (10) | IV | WILD | + | Stable | 3 | Anterior resection | 1 | FOLFIRI/FOLFOX/Bevacizumab/lapatinib

Table 1. Clinico-pathological data of the three patients.

CRC-ovarian metastasis. Therefore, it is important to apply a proper strategy to analyze mutational phenomena, using a systematic view, not only by comparing mutational occurrences.

In light of these challenges we performed a systematic study to improve the genetic characterization of ovarian metastases. We employed a novel bioinformatics approach to investigate genetic characteristics of CRC-ovarian metastatic tumors, by applying a sample-specific network (SSN) method to analyze unbiased, whole-exome sequencing (WES). A SSN allows creation of an individual-specific molecular network, via genomic profiling of a single sample. We then compared the genetic landscape between primary colon tumors and their colon-to-ovary metastases, to attempt to reveal potential biomarkers for this rare condition.

Results
Demographic and clinical manifestations. Simultaneous ovarian metastases from three Korean female CRC patients, aged 52.33 ± 1.52 years old (range of 51 to 54 years old), were collected. All three patients possessed wild type KRAS and microsatellite stability (MSS), and one patient showed HER2 amplification, as determined by pathologic examination. These and other clinical details are described in Table 1 and the Materials and Methods.

Pathway mutations in CRC tumors. We first examined the mutational profiles of our patients, to subsequently build SSNs, using a method to determine how mutational co-occurrences of each patient's ovarian CRC metastasis differed in samples matched for age, gender, and tumor stage. Such data, of five samples selected (henceforth, “TCGA-matched samples”), was retrieved from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), allowing us to build tumor mutational co-occurrence networks. We first built such networks from mutation data of the TCGA-matched samples, using the Pearson correlation coefficient as an association index. This network, henceforth referred to as a “reference network”, contained molecular-level mutational co-occurrences among genes. Then, we added each patient's primary tumor mutational profile to the mutation dataset of the TCGA-matched samples, generating a "perturbed network", i.e., a network derived from a “reference network,” by adding a sample of interest. Then, we used a statistical test to identify differential “edges” between the reference network and the perturbed network. Here, a “node” represents a gene, while an edge represents a relationship, between two nodes, in the perturbed network. The value of an edge represents a quantitative strength of how two nodes are related. The entire framework of our method is illustrated in Fig. 1.

We identified mutational profiles of members of the WNT beta-catenin signaling pathway, including MAPK, PI3K, TGF-β, and p53 pathways, when comparing our three patients to the TCGA-matched samples (Fig. 2). In Fig. 2, along with mutational occurrences of our three patients, we juxtaposed frequencies of genetic alterations of a non-hypermutated group (defined as a mutation rate of <8.24 per 10⁷ base pairs) from the TCGA Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (COAD) report in 2012. While only one of our three patient samples had a somatic APC mutation, the TCGA-matched samples all showed mutated APC (81% of the non-hypermutated group had APC alterations) in the WNT/beta-catenin signaling pathway (Fig. 2). Although all three of our patients had TP53 mutations, only 60% of the TCGA-matched samples had these (59% of non-hypermutated group showed TP53 alteration) (Fig. 2). For PIK3CA (a member of the PI3K signaling pathway), one of the three, and 40% of the TCGA-matched samples, had mutations, although only 15% were found altered in the non-hypermutated group (Fig. 2). The DNA double-strand break repair enzyme gene, ATM, was not mutated among our patients, although 20% of the TCGA-matched samples did possess ATM mutations, as did 7% of the non-hypermutated samples (Fig. 2).

Differential correlation changes, of mutation statuses, among genes, in our three patients. The apoptosis signaling pathway was a common functional context among our three patient ovarian primary tumors, when we constructed three perturbed networks, and then merged these into one network (Fig. 3a). These assessments revealed that apoptosis-related gene correlation coefficients of mutational statuses (between two neighboring genes), were changed significantly in three of our patients, #5, #8, and #9. In all our patients, the neurofilament peptide gene NEFH (not mutated in the network of the TCGA-matched samples) correlated with genes belonging to the apoptosis process (Fig. 3b). For patient #5, IL13RA2 and ROCK1 (a Rho kinase) negatively correlated with NEFH, in terms of their mutation statuses. ROCK1 was also a crosstalk gene between the apoptosis and mitotic spindle pathways. For patient #8, GSN (gelosin), which facilitates crosstalk between the apoptosis and coagulation pathways, negatively correlated with NEFH (Fig. 3b). For patient #9, ERBB2, BCL2L10, PDCD4, and PACRG, all showed negative correlations with NEFH (Fig. 3b). ERBB2, an oncogene, and BCL2L10, belonging to the apoptosis pathway, positively correlated with each other and PDCD4, of the estrogen response late pathway (Fig. 3b). Since patient #9 had HER2 amplification, its crosstalk genes (PDCD4, BCL2L10, NEFH) should be later examined for correlation with ERBB2, and possible clinical associations. Changes in gene correlation are described in Table 2.
We next assessed whether the functional contexts (apoptosis, mitotic spindle, and spermatogenesis), in our dataset, were likewise present in an independent dataset. To that end, we analyzed a targeted panel sequencing dataset of 26 colorectal ovarian metastases12, identifying significant correlation changes in 23 of the 26 samples, compared to the TCGA-matched samples. Those three contexts were revealed again (HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS in ten patients, HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE in four patients, and HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS in one patient) in the targeted panel sequencing dataset (Supplementary Fig. S1a). Moreover, there were significant correlation changes of mutational statuses in apoptosis genes, in ten of the 26 samples (HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS in Supplementary Fig. S1a), with apoptosis nodes also correlating with NEFH, forming six different network topological patterns (Supplementary Fig. S1b). In three samples, NEFH negatively correlated with the metastasis-related gene, MMP2 (patterns 3 and 4 in Supplementary Fig. S1b), and in two samples, NEFH negatively correlated with SMAD7 (patterns 5 and 6 in Supplementary Fig. S1b). As a result, we revealed that our dataset, and the independent dataset, shared common functional contexts, with NEFH implicated in both datasets.

Figure 1. Framework of building sample-specific networks. (a) We built an association network (a “reference network”), using mutation information from TCGA-matched samples. Each of our samples was compared to the reference network, to build another association network of the sample, i.e., a “perturbed network”. We then used a Pearson correlation-based statistical test to identify differentially correlated edges between the “reference network” and the “perturbed network”. We applied this process to all patients’ somatic mutation sample datasets. (b) A conceptual illustration of the building of the sample-specific network. $\rho$ is a correlation coefficient.
Figure 2. Diversity and frequency of genetic changes in our patients. APC was reported highly altered in the TCGA COAD report and we sought similar mutation ratios in the TCGA-matched samples. However, in our patients, only patient #5 had an APC mutation. All our patients had mutated TP53, which was mutated in only 60% of the TCGA-matched samples. Each gene has two box rows. In the upper box row, the left cell indicates a percentage of mutation observed from the TCGA-matched samples, and the right cell represents a percentage of alterations reported in TCGA COAD. A gray-filled box means a missing value. In the lower box row, three cells represent mutation statuses of patients #5, #8, and #9, from left to right (red cells = mutation; white cells = wild type).

Figure 3. Inspection of sample-specific networks across our three colorectal cancer patients presenting ovarian metastases, compared to the TCGA-matched samples. (a) Network view showing the hallmark gene sets, as their correlation coefficients changed significantly among our colon-to-ovary cancer patients, compared to the TCGA-matched samples. (b) Gene-gene interaction diagram showing the mutational spectra of each patient. Colored circles indicate patients and crosstalk nodes. Colored edges indicate positive (red) and negative (blue) correlations, and their respective thicknesses indicate amounts of correlation coefficients. PCC: Pearson correlation coefficient.
ERBB2, NEFH, and aling cascade, through also associated with SMAD7 (Supplementary Fig. 1b; patterns 2 and 6).

Mutation statuses also associated with NEFH mutation statuses, a member of the WNT oncogenic signaling pathway, all the TCGA-matched, APC patients had somatic mutations, but only one of our three ovarian metastasis-suffering samples. For the CRC-causing gene APC, in the WNT/beta-catenin signaling pathway, all the TCGA-matched, APC had relations, were preserved in the two mutational co-occurrence networks, although there were changes of neighboring partners or correlational statuses (Supplementary Fig. S2). For example, the gene pairs CDC27-ROCK1, and APC-CDK5RAP2, were positively connected in the CRC network, while these became negatively connected in the metastatic network.

We also detected significant correlation changes of mutations statuses of genes, belonging to apoptosis, in all three SSNs derived from our patients’ samples, when we applied a statistical method to identify significant differential correlation changes. Another off-present gene, NEFH, encodes a heavy polypeptide that is assembled into neurofilaments, the main cytoskeletal components of a mature neuron. Aberrations in neurofilaments have been implicated in numerous neurological diseases, although NEFH has been little studied in cancer, with six genes, in the hallmark apoptosis gene set (Fig. 3b).

Comparison of mutations of primary colon and metastasized ovarian tumors. In our three patients, both primary and metastatic ovarian tumor tissues were compared, showing that all patients shared TP53 mutations in both their primary and metastasized tumors (Supplementary Table S1). Consequently, we built two mutational co-occurrence networks for the primary CRC and metastasized ovarian tumors, to compare their topological configurations. We hence observed a network structural similarity between the two networks, based on single nucleotide variations (SNVs, Supplementary Table S1). Overall, significant genes, and their correlations, were preserved in the two mutational co-occurrence networks, although there were changes of neighboring partners or correlational statuses (Supplementary Fig. S2). For example, the gene pairs APC-CDK27, and CDC27-ROCK1 were positively connected in the CRC network, while these became negatively connected in the metastatic network.

Table 2. Correlation coefficient changes in sample-specific networks. \( W_{ab} \) and \( \Delta W_{ab} \) are described in detail in the Methods section. We also added the relevant hallmark gene sets for an interaction of two genes. The p-value was obtained from the Z-value that showed a \( \Delta W_{ab} \) of an edge was significant statistically. aPearson correlation coefficient between two gene pair in a “reference network”. bDegree of Pearson correlation coefficient change between two gene pair in a “perturbed network”.

| Interaction (Genes A-B) | Hallmark gene sets | \( W_{ab} \) | \( \Delta W_{ab} \) | p-value of interaction |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|
| JAG2-TCF7              | WNT BETA CATENIN SIGNALING | 0           | 0.65           | 0.001               |
| PACRG-NEFH             | SPERMATOGENESIS     | 0           | −0.47          | 0.018               |
| BCL2L10-PDCD4          | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| BCL2L10-ERBB2          | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| BCL2L10-NEFH           | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | −0.47          | 0.018               |
| PDCD4-NAB2             | ESTROGEN RESPONSE LATE | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| PDCD4-ERBB2            | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| PDCD4-NEFH             | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | −0.47          | 0.018               |
| ERBB2-NEFH             | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | −0.47          | 0.018               |
| CDK5RAP2-GSN           | MITOTIC SPINDLE    | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| APC-GSN                | MITOTIC SPINDLE    | 0           | −1.00          | 5.73E-07            |
| APC-CDK5RAP2          | MITOTIC SPINDLE    | 0           | −1.00          | 5.73E-07            |
| GSN-CAPN2              | COAGULATION        | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| GSN-NEFH               | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | −0.47          | 0.018               |
| CLDN4-TNFRSF11B        | APICAL JUNCTION    | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| PGK1-GNPDA1            | GLYCOLYSIS         | 0           | 1.00           | 5.73E-07            |
| IL3RA2-NEFH            | SPERMATOGENESIS    | 0           | −0.47          | 0.018               |
| KEL-CDC27             | HEME METABOLISM    | 0           | 0.65           | 0.001               |
| CDC27-ROCK1            | MITOTIC SPINDLE    | 0           | 0.65           | 0.001               |
| ROCK1-NEFH             | APOPTOSIS           | 0           | −0.47          | 0.018               |

Discussion

In this study, we studied the mutational landscape of three patient samples of rare ovarian colorectal (CRC) metastases, as compared to their primary CRC tumors. The mutational co-occurrences of our three samples showed different mutational co-occurrences, both in age-/tumor stage-matched samples in the TCGA, and in a non-hypermutated group, a TCGA COlorectal ADenocarcinoma (COAD) report from 2012. All three patients had TP53 mutations, which were present in only 60% of the TCGA-matched and non-hypermutated patient samples. For the CRC-causing gene APC, in the WNT/beta-catenin signaling pathway, all the TCGA-matched, and 81% of non-hypermutated, samples, had mutations, but only one of our three ovarian metastasis-suffering patients had somatic APC mutations.

We also detected significant correlation changes of mutations statuses of genes, belonging to apoptosis, in all three SSNs derived from our patients’ samples, when we applied a statistical method to identify significant differential correlation changes. Another off-present gene, NEFH, encodes a heavy polypeptide that is assembled into neurofilaments, the main cytoskeletal components of a mature neuron. Aberrations in neurofilaments have been implicated in numerous neurological diseases, although NEFH has been little studied in cancer, where it was observed in human autonomic nerve tumors and central neurocytomas. Hypermethylated NEFH may also confer poor prognosis in breast cancer, and its dysregulation has been observed in lung, prostate, and renal cancers. Our three patients showed negative correlations in NEFH with six genes, PDCD4, ERBB2, BCL2L10, ROCK1, IL3RA2, and GSN, in the hallmark apoptosis gene set (Fig. 3b). NEFH, however, had no relation with these six genes in the reference network.

In the independent targeted-gene panel sequencing analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1b), NEFH mutation statuses directly associated with the oncogene ERBB2 mutation statuses, which was consistent with our dataset. NEFH mutation statuses also associated with CTNNB1 mutation statuses, a member of the WNT oncogenic signaling cascade, through ERBB2 and MAD27 (Supplementary Fig. 1b; patterns 2 and 6). NEFH also associated with...
APC (another WNT pathway member, upstream of CTNNB1), through GSN, in our dataset analysis (Fig. 3b), Thus, these findings might implicate NEFH in WNT signaling in cancer\(^{19,27,28}\), even as NEFH's role in cancer is yet to be discovered. Recent studies\(^{19,27,28}\) have reported NEFH protein to be linked to Akt/beta-catenin signaling\(^{27,28}\), as well as a tumor suppressor role\(^{19}\). Due to mutation status changes of the WNT genes (APC and CTNNB1), NEFH might lose its tumor suppressor role, leading to apoptosis dysregulation.

Metastasis is a complex, multi-step process that leads to the accumulation of genomic alterations in primary tumor cells, during malignancy progression, from the primary tumor, to localized invasion, to metastasis\(^{29,30}\). Genomic changes, and characteristics of metastatic-stage tumors, are complex and only partially understood. Chemotherapy-naïve primary tumors, and their paired metastases, frequently share many altered genes at both sites, especially in liver metastasis\(^{31}\). However, emerging evidence suggests that treatment of colon cancer patients with anti-EGFR agents increases intra-tumor heterogeneity, leading to the emergence of clones with different genetic alterations\(^{32}\). To assess this, we used chemo-naïve synchronous colon cancer-to-ovary metastasis samples. Also, considering well-conserved network configurations between co-occurrence networks of the primary CRC and the metastasized ovarian networks (Supplementary Fig. S2), our systematic approach discovered that the paired primary CRC and metastasized ovarian tumors share many altered genes and less heterogeneity.

Colon cancer with ovarian metastasis is less responsive to chemotherapy, compared to non-ovarian metastases\(^{5,6}\). Likewise, we observed no tumor response of ovarian metastases\(^{3}\), whereas the tumor regression rate for non-ovarian metastatic lesions was over 65%, in a series of twenty-two patients with colon cancer ovarian metastases\(^{5}\). Based on these reports, the ovary has been regarded as a "sanctuary" organ for resistance to systemic chemotherapy. Patient #5 has now survived over four years. Thus, the underlying mechanism of chemoresistance needs to be determined, including several tumor features such as volume, cystic properties, and mucin-richness, as these may contribute to poor sensitivity to chemotherapy\(^{9}\).

The observation of HER2 (ERBB2) amplification in one tumor can have major impact on treatment strategies in one patient, and for the patient #9, after 1 cycle of lapatinib, the patient was switched to palliative care, due to disease progression. Although the majority of metastatic CRC patients had HER2 (ERBB2) amplification\(^{33}\), our study only considered mutations, not amplifications, and moreover HER2 amplification in one of our patients might be insufficient to explain biology in ovarian metastasis.

In summary, although ovarian metastasis from the colon is rare\(^{4}\), precluding (due to insufficient sample sizes) most statistical methods that merely identify common mutations, we successfully applied network biology to this disease. The result of that analysis was that these patients all shared a common biological function, i.e., a perturbed network involving the process of apoptosis. We strongly assert that such approaches will allow analyses of similarly uncommon maladies, facilitating increased understanding of rare disease pathogenesis.

### Materials and Methods

#### Patient samples.
Three patients who were pathologically diagnosed with colon cancer, with ovarian metastases, were included in this study. All subjects were recruited from Gachon Gil Medical Center (Gachon University, South Korea), and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Gachon University Gil Medical Center (IRB#GIRBA2216). Written informed consents were obtained from the patients, in accordance with institutional guidelines. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulation. These patients were chemo-naïve at the time of primary colon surgery, and were diagnosed with ovary metastasis during the first operation.

**Patient #5.** A 54-year old woman, presenting with ongoing lower abdominal pain, had obstructing distal sigmoid colon cancer, with synchronous right ovary metastasis and multiple lung metastases. The patient's initial cancer embryonic antigen (CEA) level was 85.31 ng/mL, and she underwent palliative anterior resection, with bilateral oophorectomy, when the primary tumor and ovary metastases were collected and freshly frozen. The pathologic features were as follows: wild type KRAS, T4a lesion, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, and 9 of 20 lymph node metastases with negative resection margins. The patient underwent palliative chemotherapy with FOLFIRI (leucovorin calcium, 5-fluorouracil, and irinotecan) and cetuximab. Currently, the patient is in therapeutic remission for stable lung metastasis.

**Patient #8.** A 52-year-old female presented with a 3.5-cm sigmoid colon cancer with 10-cm sized right Krukenburg's tumor, and multiple lung metastases. The patient underwent palliative low anterior resection, with total hysterectomy and bilateral oophorectomy (tissue procurement of the primary tumor and ovarian metastasis). The pathologic features were as follows: adenocarcinoma, wild type KRAS, moderate differentiation, direct invasion of the uterine myometrium (T4b), lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, and 20 positively metastatic of 60 lymph nodes dissected. Thirteen months later, the patient developed a small recurrent tumor in her lumbar spine and underwent radiation therapy (3600 Gy from 300 Gy x 12 fractions), and 12 cycles of oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy (FOLFOX6) thereafter. Shortly after chemotherapy, lung metastasis progressed. The patient still has slowly progressive, multiple lung metastases refractory to cetuximab and bevacizumab. The patient is still alive, four years after the initial surgery.

**Patient #9.** A 51-year old female presented with obstructing sigmoid colon cancer, with occult ovarian metastasis, and underwent anterior resection, with intraoperative bowel lavage with simultaneous bilateral oophorectomy. Pathologic examination revealed metastases in both ovaries, with wild-type KRAS and HER2 amplification. After 12 cycles of oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy, the regimen was switched to bevacizumab plus irinotecan-based chemotherapy. Shortly after 1 cycle of lapatinib, the patient was switched to palliative care, due to disease progression.
Clinicopathological features of the three patients are listed in Table 1, and surgical specimens from the three above-described patients, their corresponding abdominopelvic CT scans, and histologies, are shown in Fig. 4.

**Whole-exome sequencing.** We used exome sequencing, at 200X coverage, to profile somatic mutations in primary colon cancers, and synchronous ovarian metastases.

**DNA preparation.** Genomic DNA was extracted from tumors and normal tissues, using a QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen Inc, Valencia, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA quality and quantity were assessed using a Nanodrop spectrometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) and a Qubit fluorometer (Life Technologies, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

**Experimental DNA sequencing.** Whole-exome sequencing was performed using SureSelect Human All Exon V5 51 Mb (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s standard protocol. A paired-end DNA sequencing library was prepared through genomic DNA shearing, using a Covaris™ (Woburn, MA, USA) sonicator, followed by peak detection, end repair, poly A-tailing, paired-end adaptor ligation, and amplification. After hybridization of the library with bait sequences for 24 hours, the captured library was purified and amplified with an index barcode tag, and the library quality and quantity were determined. Sequencing of the exome library was carried out using the 100-bp paired-end mode of the HiSeq SBS kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

**Whole-exome sequencing processing and alignment.** Sequence reads in FASTQ format were mapped to the human assembly UCSC hg19, using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA, v0.7.7) with ‘mem’ and seed value parameters ‘-k 45’, to create SAM files with correct mate pair information, with a read group tag that included the sample name. We then used Picard (v1.92) to convert SAM files to compressed BAM files, and sorted the BAM files by chromosome coordinates. The Genome Analysis Toolkit (v2.3.9Lite) was used to locally realign the BAM files at intervals that may have insertion/deletion (indel) alignment errors. Somatic mutations and indels were “called” with Mutect and GATK Somatic Indel Detector (Broad Institute), respectively. Single nucleotide variants and indels were annotated using snpEff (v4.1a) to classify variants as synonymous, non-synonymous, missense, or frameshift point mutations and frameshift indels. We provide all variants detected in primary CRC tumors (single nucleotide variants in Supplementary Table S3 and indels in Supplementary Table S4) and metastasized ovarian tumors (single nucleotide variants in Supplementary Table S5 and indels in Supplementary Table S6).

**TCGA sample selection for reference network construction.** *Dataset from The Cancer Atlas Genome (TCGA).* We downloaded a TCGA primary colon cancer11 mutation dataset from the UCSC Cancer Genomics Browser (last accessed on 02-21-2018), namely, the version of TCGA COAD mutation database 2015-02-24. From this mutation dataset, we selected five samples under the following conditions; female, age at initial pathologic diagnosis between 46 and 59 years-old, MSI, and tumor stage IV (IVA and IVB included). The age range of the five samples was approximately close to that of our three ovarian metastasis-bearing patients. These samples were referred to as “TCGA-matched samples” throughout the manuscript. Supplementary Table S2 lists the clinicomolecular characteristics of the TCGA-matched samples selected for the reference network construction.

**Mutational co-occurrence network.** We calculated a correlation coefficient between mutation statuses of patients for each gene pair (genes A and B) to build mutational co-occurrence profiles, using Pearson’s correlation coefficient (PCC).

\[
\text{Correlation coefficient}_{AB} = \frac{\text{cov}(A, B)}{\sigma_A \sigma_B}
\]

where cov is a covariance, and \(\sigma\) is a standard deviation. We then constructed a reference network of mutational co-occurrences in the TCGA-matched samples, with Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) cutoff criteria: [PCC] > 0.46 and p-value < 0.05, according to Green et al. Detecting correlation states refer to positive, negative, and noncorrelation. A PCC > 0.46 was considered a positive correlation, and a PCC < −0.46 a negative correlation. A PCC between −0.46 and 0.46 was considered noncorrelation. Genes of interest in calculating PCCs focused on the hallmark gene sets provided by MIT MSigDB.

**Detecting significant correlation changes between two genes.** For SSN construction for our individual samples, Lie et al. showed that the statistical significance of an edge, (correlation of mutation statuses) between two genes (equivalently, nodes; say, nodes A and B), could be verified by the Z-test, and the Z-value could be defined as below:

\[
Z = \frac{\Delta W_{AB}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} W_{AB}^2}}
\]

where \(W_{AB}\) is a PCC value (for the TCGA-matched samples) of an edge between nodes A and B; \(X_{AB}\) is a PCC value between nodes A and B, when the TCGA-matched samples plus our individual samples were combined; \(\Delta W_{AB}\) is \(X_{AB}\) minus \(W_{AB}\); and n is a number of samples used to build the reference network. Edges in associated networks were selected from those correlation values that changed significantly in a statistical test. We only considered gene pairs with one type of association change (\(\Delta W_{AB}\)), gain-of-correlation, which indicates non-correlation of \(W_{AB}\) changed to either positive or negative correlation, of \(X_{AB}\). We did not consider the case where correlation direction (e.g., positive, negative) of \(W_{AB}\) was not changed in \(X_{AB}\), or was changed to noncorrelation.
To evaluate the consistency of the functional contexts of our dataset, we evaluated another colorectal cancer dataset metastasized to ovaries. This dataset was a targeted gene sequencing dataset using its own custom-made protocol.

Figure 4. Macroscopic examinations of the excised tumor specimens. (a) Patient #5 underwent palliative anterior resection, with oophorectomy, when freshly frozen primary tumor and ovary metastasis tissue was collected. (b) Patient #8 underwent palliative low anterior resection, with total hysterectomy and bilateral oophorectomy. (c) Patient #9 underwent anterior resection, with intraoperative bowel lavage and simultaneous bilateral oophorectomy. Abdominal enhanced computed tomography of patients (d) #5, (e) #8, and (f) #9. Histological analysis of the excised colon tumor specimens of (g) patients #5, (h) #8, and (i) #9. Histological analysis of the excised ovary tumor specimens of patients (j) #5, (k) #8, and (l) #9.

Targeted gene sequencing dataset of colorectal cancer patients metastasized to ovaries. To evaluate the consistency of the functional contexts of our dataset, we evaluated another colorectal cancer dataset metastasized to ovaries. This dataset was a targeted gene sequencing dataset using its own custom-made protocol.
115-gene panel. The entire gene list, for the panel, and list of mutations in primary COAD tumors and metastases to ovaries, are available in the supplementary materials of their report. From that data set, we used the mutation profiles of the primary COAD tumors. Because this dataset was from the targeted gene panel, NEFH was not listed in the panel. Thus, we queried the mutational occurrence rate of NEFH in TCGA COAD to cBioPortal, and the occurrence ratio of NEFH aberrations was 1.5% (among 594 samples, 1 amplification, 1 deep deletion, 1 truncating mutation, and 6 missense mutations, last accessed DEC 31, 2018). However, the majority of TCGA COAD primary tumor tissue samples did not have mutations, and we assumed that there was no mutation on NEFH in this targeted sequencing dataset.

Data availability
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