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Abstract

Influenza epidemics arise through the accumulation of viral genetic changes. The emergence of new virus strains coincides with a higher level of influenza-like illness (ILI), which is seen as a peak of a normal season. Monitoring the spread of an epidemic influenza in populations is a difficult and important task. Twitter is a free social networking service whose messages can improve the accuracy of forecasting models by providing early warnings of influenza outbreaks. In this study, we have examined the use of information embedded in the Hangeul Twitter stream to detect rapidly evolving public awareness or concern with respect to influenza transmission and developed regression models that can track levels of actual disease activity and predict influenza epidemics in the real world. Our prediction model using a delay mode provides not only a real-time assessment of the current influenza epidemic activity but also a significant improvement in prediction performance at the initial phase of ILI peak when prediction is of most importance.

Introduction

Influenza is an important respiratory infectious disease causing seasonal epidemics or occasional pandemics across the world with considerable morbidity and mortality. Much of the observed wintertime increase of mortality in temperate regions is attributed to seasonality of influenza which is easily spread by airborne droplets made when an infected person coughs, sneezes or talks. Surveillance has become important to detect clusters of influenza cases and to focus public health resources on mitigating the spread and impact of the outbreaks. However, tracking the spread of an epidemic influenza in populations is a difficult task. Information on surveillance systems used to routinely monitor influenza activity such as influenza-like illness (ILI) has been collected to estimate the relative severity of influenza seasons [1]. Although ILI surveillance provides a valuable picture of influenza activity, ILI reports come directly from doctors and other health service professionals by in vitro identification of influenza viruses, typically with a delay of up to one to two weeks. Since Google search engine query data were detected to be closely associated with seasonal influenza activity [2], there has been growing interest in monitoring influenza outbreaks using other digital media [3,4].

Twitter is a social networking service that enables its users to exchange text-based messages of up to 140 characters known as tweets, including Hangeul (the Korean language). Useful information for tracking or even forecasting behavior when extracted in an appropriate manner lies embedded in the Twitter stream. Twitter has been used for a variety of purposes in many fields of human activity. It was shown that monitoring the contents of the Twitter messages can improve the accuracy of detecting models by providing early warnings of influenza outbreaks [5]. While Google tracking was found highly correlated with ILI statistics over a long time period [2], Twitter messages can provide more descriptive information than search engine query data, and estimates of ILI derived from the media can accurately track influenza activity [3,4]. Twitter has over 500 million active users as of 2012, generating over 340 million tweets daily and handling over 1.6 billion search queries per day [6]. Although Twitter appears to be targeted to a young generation, it has attracted a diverse set of users in terms of age. The majority of Twitter’s nearly 10 million visitors in February 2009 were 35 years or older, and a nearly equal percentage of users were between ages 55 and 64 as were between 18 and 24 [7]. In August 2012, the demographic breakdown on the social network still reveals that most users in Twitter and Facebook are 35 or older, and the average Twitter and Facebook users are 37.3 and 40.5 years old, respectively [8].

Hangeul as one of the most perfect phonetic system devised [9] is the native alphabet of the Korean language, which consists of 24 consonant and vowel letters. Unlike the letters of the Latin alphabet, Hangeul letters which are grouped into blocks are shaped similar to the features of the sounds they represent. We have collected over 287 million Korean tweet messages for a 51 week period from October 2011 to September 2012. In this study, we have examined the use of information embedded in the Hangeul Twitter stream to detect rapidly-evolving public awareness or concern with respect to influenza transmission, and developed regression models that tracked levels of actual disease activity and can predict the ILI activity level in a population using a delay mode.
Methods

Twitter Stream Data Collection

In order to analyse public concerns regarding influenza activity, Hangeul tweets containing influenza-related words were collected from Twitter GardenPipe stream [10] beginning April 2011 via FilterQuery beginning October 2011. We developed JAVA-based Twitter timeline collector and the filtered Twitter stream constituted a subset of the entire stream [3]. To preserve the integrity of the collected tweets, we included tweets containing Hangeul characters only. Due to the limit of word counts in FilterQuery, data collection process was mainly based on a set of 190 frequently used and pre-filtered single characters and 10 infectious disease names in Hangeul.

We excluded tweets of less than five characters and re-tweets which contained less than two characters before first “RT @” or those starting with “RT @”. Advertisement sentences that contained “[“ and ”]” marks, hyper-linked sentences that contained “http://” string, sentences from robotic Twitter users, blank-ignored tweets, and spam tweets that cause too much messaging from one source were removed. Valuable re-tweets and tweets, if they were considered to be redundant of Tweet ID, were also removed. Tweets were then stored in Oracle 10g database, after removing approximately 20% of the collected tweets. Since the search on the Oracle database became significantly slow as the amount of data increased, the texts with search strings were stored in the Oracle database.

Generation of Marker Frequency Matrix

Influenza is still often confused with the common cold but influenza symptoms usually are more severe than the typical sneezing and stuffiness by a cold. For this reason, keywords which have obvious connection to influenza or common cold were used to extract all the tweet messages containing them. The extracted messages were segmented into individual words divided by blanks, which were ranked as the most frequently used words: e.g. a total of 500 words were considered as initial marker corpus (Table S1). As the words were analysed for selecting markers, they were found to contain phonological and honorific features including homonyms to the term of influenza, honorifics that could be confused with influenza, and words with the same stem. As an example of phonological and honorific features in 500 most common words, [chup.go], [chup.ne], [chup.da], [chup.sp.ni.da], [chup.jo], and [chup.jo] came from [chup.go], [chup.ne], [chup.da], [chup.sp.ni.da], [chup.jo], and [chup.jo] which means the word “COLD”. The words including these phonological features and misspells were eliminated and those with the same stem were confused with influenza or common cold were used. For this reason, keywords which were ranked as the most frequently used words: e.g. a total of 500 words were considered as initial marker corpus (Table S1).

We selected tweets of less than five characters and re-tweets which contained less than two characters before first “RT @” or those starting with “RT @”. Advertisement sentences that contained “[“ and ”]” marks, hyper-linked sentences that contained “http://” string, sentences from robotic Twitter users, blank-ignored tweets, and spam tweets that cause too much messaging from one source were removed. Valuable re-tweets and tweets, if they were considered to be redundant of Tweet ID, were also removed. Tweets were then stored in Oracle 10g database, after removing approximately 20% of the collected tweets. Since the search on the Oracle database became significantly slow as the amount of data increased, the texts with search strings were stored in the Oracle database.

Linear Regression

Daily influenza spreading score was estimated with both ILI and selected marker frequency matrix by using linear least squares regression algorithm, where the model coefficients were chosen to minimize the error metric or residual sum of squares [14]. Data set was created using Microsoft Excel format and numerical methods were applied as follows. Let.

\[ N: \text{number of days for which KCDC’s ILI data and Twitter data are available} \]

\[ M_j: \text{number of selected markers} \]

\[ y_i: \text{KCDC’s ILI data for the } i\text{th day, } i = 1, 2, ..., N \text{ (smoothed using 7-point moving average)} \]

\[ x_{ij}: \text{frequency of marker } j \text{ on the } i\text{th day (smoothed using 7-point moving average)} \]

The linear estimator of \( y_i \), \( \hat{y}_i \), is

\[ \hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M_j} \beta_j x_{ij} \]

where \( \beta_j \)'s are the coefficients of regression. The residual, the error of the linear estimator, is

\[ \chi_E = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } E \text{ is true;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]
That is, 

\[ y_i = y_l - \hat{y}_l, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \]  

The residual sum of squares (RSS) which is a function of vector of regression coefficients \( \hat{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{MS}) \) is

\[ \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^2 \]  

We find the optimal coefficient vector \( \hat{\beta} \) by minimising RSS(\( \hat{\beta} \)). That is,

\[ \hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \text{RSS}(\beta) \]  

The optimal coefficient vector \( \hat{\beta} \) is obtained by solving a system of \( (MS+1) \) linear equations

\[ \frac{\partial \text{RSS}(\beta)}{\partial \hat{\beta}_j} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, MS \]  

**ILI Prediction Algorithm**

We assumed that KCDC’s ILI data for the \( i \)th day is reported after \( D \) days of delay (Figure 1). That is, \( y_i \) is only available on the \((i+D)\)th day. We attempted to determine the coefficients of the linear regression model based on the past data available for predicting ILI of the most recent days. In this context, when we computed \( \hat{y}_i \) using equation (2), we needed to compute the regression coefficients using \((y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{i-D-1})\) and \((x_{1l}, x_{2l}, \ldots, x_{gl})\) for \( j = 1, \ldots, MS \).

The following algorithm, called Prediction Algorithm 1 (PA1), was a natural modification of the linear estimation described by equations (2)–(6).

**PA1**

For \( i = 1 \) to \( N \), we performed (a)-(c).

(a) Let the subset of days \( I_E(i) = \{1, 2, \ldots, i - D - 1\} \) and

\[ \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{l \in I_E(i)} \left[ y_l - \hat{\beta}_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{MS} \hat{\beta}_j x_{lj} \right]^2 \]  

where, \( l = 1, \ldots, N \). Here \( I_E(i) \) is the days when KCDC’s ILI is available for the computation of \( \hat{y}_l \) (Figure 1).

(b) Obtain \( \hat{\beta}_j \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, MS \) by solving a set of \( MS+1 \) equations

\[ \frac{\partial \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \hat{\beta}_j} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, MS \]  

(c) Compute \( \hat{y}_l \) by

\[ \hat{y}_l = \hat{\beta}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{MS} \hat{\beta}_j x_{lj} \]  

The limitation of PA1 is that during the initial period of \( N+D+1 \) days of an influenza season, we do not have enough data to compute the coefficients of the linear regression. Even if there are enough data for the computation of the regression coefficients, we excluded the data corresponding to not only \( D \) days of reporting delay but also \( D \) days after the post blackout period as shown in Figure 1. This is reasonable under the assumption that the Twitter users’ behaviour does not vary significantly from season to season.

The modified prediction algorithm, called Prediction Algorithm 2 (PA2), is given as follows. Here, we use tilde to denote the extension of the ILI and marker frequency matrix into the past (Figure 1).

**PA2**

For \( i = 1 \) to \( N \), we performed (a)-(c).

(a) Let the subset of days \( \bar{I}_E(i) = \{i-N+D+1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, i-D-1\} \) and

\[ \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{l \in \bar{I}_E(i)} \left[ y_l - \hat{\beta}_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{MS} \hat{\beta}_j x_{lj} \right]^2 \]  

where

\[ \hat{y}_l = \begin{cases} y_l, & l = 1, \ldots, N; \\ y_l, & l = N+1, \ldots, -1, 0; \\ x_{l-N}, & l = -N+1, \ldots, -1, 0; \end{cases} \]

\[ x_{l-N} = \begin{cases} x_{l-N}, & l = -N+1, \ldots, -1, 0; \end{cases} \]

Figure 1. Subset of days used for regression coefficients for \( \hat{y}_l \).\( y_l \) is only available on the \((i+D)\)th day.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069305.g001
and $D \geq 0$ is the length of blackout period for estimating $\beta_i$'s.

(b) Obtain $\beta_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, M_S$ by solving a set of $M_S + 1$ equations

$$\frac{\partial \text{RSS}(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, M_S$$

(c) Compute $\hat{y}_i$ by

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M_S} \hat{\beta}_j x_{ij}$$

Results

Markers and their Correlations

The data set in our database consists of 881 thousand tweets containing influenza-related keywords, influenza and common cold, which were selected from 287 million Hangeul tweet timelines observed between October 2011 and September 2012. The size of the data set represented over 0.3% of the entire tweet volume. Interpolation and smoothing of ILI and marker frequencies seem to give a reasonable compromise between time resolution and rejection of high frequency noise as shown in Figure 2. The original and smoothed ILI activities by KCDC suggest that the smoothed ILI captures the important peaks of the ILI while removing unwanted noise. Interestingly, the frequencies of many of the markers were found to be not only weakly correlated with KCDC’s ILI data but also highly correlated with those of at least one of the other markers.

We initially made use of subjective markers derived from keywords which have direct connection to influenza. A pool of selected markers were then extracted from the 500 most common words which were found to form a very good description of the topic as well as many irrelevant ones. In order to rank weights for the selected markers, their regression coefficients were calculated. Inspecting the selected markers of the model revealed large positive coefficients assigned to markers like ‘novel flu’ (0.853), ‘flu’ (0.843), ‘severe’ (0.673), and ‘influenza’ (0.638). However, the algorithm also selected the terms ‘furthermore’ and ‘lightly’, which do not have any obvious connection to influenza. The term ‘lightly’ occurred frequently in phrases like ‘Since I dressed lightly, I caught the flu’ which was a common expression for catching a flu. The selected markers included not only illness symptoms but...
Figure 4. Cross-correlation among the markers. A) The effect of reducing number of markers. $R^2$ is plotted as a function of number of markers.

B) Comparison of $y$ and $\hat{y}$. The improvement of the estimation becomes less significant when $M_s$ is increased beyond 40.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069305.g004
also irrelevant terminologies such as ‘likely’, ‘concert’, ‘due to’, and ‘for mercy’s sake’.

In order to examine the nature of data, the correlation of the selected markers with the ILI data was first examined. Let ILI data from KCDC be represented by a vector \( y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N) \) and the frequency of the \( j \)th marker be by a vector \( x_j = (x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \ldots, x_{jN}) \), and define:

- \( r_{0j} \) = correlation between ILI data \( y \) and the frequency of the \( j \)th marker \( x_j \).
- \( p_j \) = \( p \)-value of the \( j \)th marker.
- \( r_{jk} \) = correlation between the \( j \)th marker frequency \( x_j \) and the \( k \)th marker frequency \( x_k \).

Here, \( N \) is the number of days when KCDC’s ILI data is available.

Figure 3A shows the fraction of markers whose correlation with the ILI data is greater than a prescribed value, \( \rho_{TH} \). It is observed that there are about 50% of the markers whose correlation with the ILI data is less than 0.2. In Figure 3B, the fraction of markers whose \( p \)-value is less than \( p_{TH} \) is plotted. It was shown that more than 60% of the markers have \( p \)-value greater than 0.01. In order to further see the characteristics of the markers, we counted the number of markers whose correlation with other markers is significant. To that end, we plotted \( F \) vs. \( \rho_{TH} \), according to

\[
F(\rho_{TH}) = \frac{1}{M_I} \sum_{j=1}^{M_I} \chi(\max_{k \neq j} |r_{jk}| > \rho_{TH})
\]

where \( M_I \) is the number of initial markers and \( \chi \) is indicator function. \( F \) gives the fraction of markers whose correlation with at least one other marker is greater than \( \rho_{TH} \). More than 50% of the markers are correlated with at least one other marker with correlation greater than 0.3 (Figure 3C).

**Marker Selection**

We observed that there is a significant inter-dependence among the marker frequencies and that frequencies of a considerable number of markers are weakly correlated with KCDC’s ILI data. In order to reduce the number of markers for reduced computation, the LASSO method was chosen as it has the advantage of producing sparse solutions, i.e., it will discard candidate features which are proven to be redundant in terms of predictability [13]. LASSO performs the same optimization as the linear regression with an added constraint that the sum of absolute value of the regression coefficients is upper bounded by a tuning parameter \( t \). That is, given the desired number of selected markers, \( M_S \), equation (5) described in Methods is modified as follows:

\[
\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \text{RSS}(\beta) \\
\text{subject to: } \sum_{j=1}^{M_S} |\hat{\beta}_j| \leq t
\]

where the RSS is a function of vector of regression coefficients \( \beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_j) \), and \( t \) is adjusted such that the number of nonzero \( \hat{\beta}_j \)’s is \( M_S \).

To see the effect of reducing the number of markers, the coefficient of determination, \( R^2 \), versus the number of selected
markers, $M_S$, was plotted to find the suitable subset of selected markers (Figure 4A & Table S2). $R^2$ is defined as

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{RSS}(\hat{y})}{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}, \quad \bar{y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum y_i \quad (15)$$

Thus, $1 - R^2$ is the normalized RSS. The coefficient of determination can also be seen as the sample correlation between $y^*=(y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_N)$ and its estimated sequence $\hat{y}=(\hat{y}_1,\hat{y}_2,\ldots,\hat{y}_N)$. That is,

$$R^2 = \frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})(\hat{y}_i - \bar{\hat{y}})}{\sqrt{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \sqrt{\sum (\hat{y}_i - \bar{\hat{y}})^2}}, \quad \bar{\hat{y}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum \hat{y}_i \quad (16)$$

As we increased the number of selected markers, $M_S$, starting from 1, $R^2$ initially increased rapidly, followed by a plateau or levelling out of its value (Figure 4A & Table S2). When the number of markers $M_S$ is greater than 30, $R^2$ reached a plateau, close to 1.

At this point LASSO algorithm was used to generate subsets of selected markers and their regression coefficients which maximise the correlation with the ILI data, while minimising the size of the

![Figure 6: PA2: Comparison of $y$ and $\hat{y}$. The predicted values of ILI are compared with those reported by the KCDC for $D=7,11,15$ and $D=11,21,31$. The curves obtained using PA1 (blue lines) were superimposed for comparison. A) $D=11$ and $D=7,11,15$. B) $D=21$ and $D=7,11,15$. C) $D=31$ and $D=7,11,15$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069305.g006](image-url)
marker set. Notably, Figure 4B shows the comparison between $y$ and its estimate $\hat{y}$ for a number of selected markers, $M_S = 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50$ and 60. The linear regression gave an excellent estimation of the KCDC’s ILI with coefficient of determination in excess of 0.99 with $M_S \geq 30$. The visual inspection of the figures confirm the earlier observation that the improvement of the estimation becomes less significant when $M_S$ is increased beyond 40. For the remainder of this paper, we will use $M_S = 40$ and re-index $x_{ij}$ such that $j$ denotes the $j$th marker selected by LASSO.

ILI Prediction

The above analysis assumes that the entire ILI data reported by the KCDC is available at the time of estimation. There is typically a one to two-week delay between the time a patient is diagnosed and the moment that data points become available in ILI reports. Accordingly, when we used prediction algorithm PA1, it was found that this algorithm was implementable for prediction of the ILI despite reporting delay of KCDC’s ILI and could be used under the environment when the model is ‘slowly’ time varying. However, the use of this algorithm resulted in a significant estimation error during the beginning of winter seasonal peak, whereas this gave a fairly good prediction during the middle and latter part of the influenza season (Figure 5A). The comparison of the predicted values and the KCDC’s ILI for three different values of KCDC’s report delay, $D = 7, 11$, and 15, demonstrated the inability of the prediction to follow the initial rise of the ILI data. It was soon realized that the prediction error increased as $D$ increased. At the beginning of a season when ILI data for computing regression coefficients was not sufficient, the prediction tended to be significantly different from the reported KCDC’s ILI data.

In order to see the underlying mechanism of this error, one of the regression coefficients, say $\hat{\beta}_i$ versus days was plotted in Figure 5B, with the value of the same coefficient obtained assuming that the entire KCDC’s ILI data as well as the marker frequency matrix is available. This figure showed that computation of $\hat{\beta}_i$’s based on data on the set of days $I_E = \{1, 2, \cdots, i-D-1\}$ gives considerably different value when $i$ (index representing day of the season) is less than 100. However, as $i$ increased, the discrepancy between these two became less pronounced.
In Figure 6, we compared the predicted values of Twitter data obtained using PA2 with those reported by the KCDC for $D = 7, 11, 15$ and $D = 21, 31$. For comparison, we duplicated the results obtained using PA1. It was shown that the modified algorithm, PA2, gave a considerably better prediction for $y$ than PA1 with the parameter values considered. The improvement was particularly significant during the initial period of ILI rise when PA1 had difficulty in predicting. The prediction error, however, increased somewhat as the post blackout period $D$ increased. Taken together, even during the beginning of winter seasonal peak, predictive regression algorithms in our model obtained a reasonably reliable prediction of the ILI data.

Throughout the 2011-2012 influenza season we used our model using the modified algorithm PA2 to generate ILI estimates to

| Index | Marker | Pronunciation with Hangeul | Regression coefficient |
|-------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | novel flu | [sin.N.p h.lu] | 4.743 |
| 2     | surely | [s@l.ma] | $-2.769$ |
| 3     | lightly | [jad.p.da] | 2.668 |
| 4     | winter | [ki.p.ul] | $-2.571$ |
| 5     | flu | [p h.lu] | 2.277 |
| 6     | concert | [KoN@l@n] | $-1.847$ |
| 7     | similar | [kat.s pni.da] | 1.551 |
| 8     | for mercy’s sake | [ti@k.bu.n.e] | $-1.268$ |
| 9     | once | [il.tan] | $-1.263$ |
| 10    | severe | [tok.k ha.da] | 1.262 |
| 11    | pleased | [c.lg@.un] | 1.033 |
| 12    | serious | [sim.han] | 1.018 |
| 13    | eat | [Lt.sigo] | 0.982 |
| 14    | autumn | [ka.l] | $-0.982$ |
| 15    | recover | [nat.da] | 0.934 |
| 16    | become sick | [k@lri.da] | $-0.883$ |
| 17    | shortly | [k.m.baN] | $-0.860$ |
| 18    | tweet | [t.h.wit] | 0.773 |
| 19    | cough | [kic.him] | 0.729 |
| 20    | injection | [c.u.s.a] | $-0.708$ |
| 21    | fighting | [p.ha.i.t.hiN] | $-0.660$ |
| 22    | by the way | [k.n.de] | $-0.600$ |
| 23    | good night | [kut.bam] | 0.598 |
| 24    | live | [zi.ne.da] | 0.480 |
| 25    | realize | [al.at.da] | 0.446 |
| 26    | give | [c.usib.si.jo] | 0.400 |
| 27    | condition | [k.hi@n.dili@n] | $-0.381$ |
| 28    | birthday | [sEN.ill] | 0.332 |
| 29    | early | [c.ho.gil] | $-0.308$ |
| 30    | air conditioner | [e.k.khel] | $-0.246$ |
| 31    | transfer | [po.ne.da] | 0.238 |
| 32    | severe | [tok.k hel.jo] | 0.226 |
| 33    | dog | [KE] | $-0.207$ |
| 34    | noze | [k.ho] | $-0.145$ |
| 35    | actually | [i.man] | 0.134 |
| 36    | anyway | [an.gr.e.do] | $-0.121$ |
| 37    | be | [i.bni.da] | 0.116 |
| 38    | almost | [k@. y] | 0.114 |
| 39    | have a rest | [swi.da] | 0.027 |
| 40    | haha | [ha.ha] | 0.014 |

1 Hangeul markers translated to English.
2 Symbols from the international phonetic alphabet (IPA) [21].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069305.t001
evaluate timeliness and accuracy of the delay mode. Figure 7 illustrates data available at different points throughout the season for \( D = 7 \) and \( D = 11 \). During the time course of the season, we were able to estimate not only the current ILI percentage 1-2 weeks ahead of the reports by KCDC but also a predictive ability of our model.

Discussion

Despite substantial progress in many areas of influenza research, how and when a new influenza virus strain emerges and spreads rapidly remain largely unknown. Seasons with higher influenza mortality are associated with higher disease transmission and more rapid spread than are mild ones. Although influenza reoccurs each season in regular cycles, efforts to produce reliable and timely estimates of influenza activity are complicated. Various forecasting methods for ILI, using telephone triage calls [15], over-the-counter medications for respiratory diseases [16–19], school absenteeism [20], and digital media [2–4] have yielded information about future influenza activity for days to weeks in advance of ILI surveillance. Twitter data can monitor the disease activity faster than current practice allows. Our results demonstrate that Twitter data can be used to track and estimate users’ concerns related to influenza disease activity in real time.

In this study, daily influenza spreading score was estimated using linear regression algorithm with ILI data and LASSO selected marker frequency matrix, and the linear correlation coefficients between the tweet and ILI data were used as the performance indicator. Our results revealed important features that make a significant contribution to the goodness-of-fit of the regression models. First, the LASSO method was chosen to select a subset of markers and their weights to maximize the correlation with the ILI data. Although the more marker terms were included the better performance was achieved in terms of correlation coefficients, there was a strong inter-dependence among the marker frequencies. Optimization of the number of markers was necessary and subsequent automatic marker selection generated a set of 40 markers (Table 1) [21]. Linear regression revealed large positive coefficients assigned to markers like ‘novel flu’ (4.743), ‘lightly’ (2.668), ‘flu’ (2.277), and so on. There were also markers with large negative coefficients like ‘surely’ (2.769), ‘winter’ (2.571), ‘concert’ (1.847) and so on. While our model from a large set of markers can overfit, about 40 selected markers were found to be sufficient to obtain reliable results.

Second, linear regression was implemented to examine the prediction performance of our model. Computation of the coefficients depended largely on KCDC’s ILI data when its value was fully available. However, since ILI surveillance reports come via identification of influenza viruses with a delay of up to 1–2 weeks, direct comparison of the predicted values with the ILI data was not straightforward. In the absence of KCDC’s most recent ILI data, prediction resulted in a significant error at the beginning of the influenza season. This is due to insufficient training data set at the beginning of the current influenza season if only the current season’s data were used. This is understandable because computation of the regression coefficients using equations of (9) was based on data collected during the time when ILI is near baseline value. If the previous influenza seasonal data are available, we can use them to improve the accuracy of prediction especially during the period when our algorithm suffers from significant errors. We noted that there are clear seasonal variations in the occurrence of influenza, with a marked peak at wintertime in temperate regions. Therefore, the problem can be circumvented by using data accumulated during the previous influenza season. In order to ensure the fitting algorithm converging despite this problem, we assumed that previous year’s seasonal data are very similar to the present year’s with regard to the seasonal patterns and applied the same algorithm. However, we avoided using the data in the neighbourhood of the \( i^{th} \) day by introducing a post blackout period \( D \) in addition to the report delay \( D \). Our experiment with duplicated data demonstrated considerable improvement of prediction accuracy.

Annual epidemics of influenza typically occur during the winter months, but the peak of influenza activity can occur in late spring. Influenza activity recently tends to reach two peaks a year in East Asia. Regardless of the peak time of influenza activity, however, when the KCDC’s ILI data of the previous season is available, our algorithm is expected to lead to a considerably more accurate prediction for seasonal influenza activity than when the previous seasonal ILI data is absent. This is a significant improvement in prediction performance, since it is achieved at the initial phase of ILI peak, when prediction is of most importance and enough data of the present season are not yet available to accurately perform the prediction. Moreover, by calculating the regression coefficients by using the most recent set of data, our algorithm can easily adapt to time-varying environment, albeit, slowly. Nevertheless, our algorithm has a few limitations at present. Our data analysis has been restricted to a single season of epidemic influenza in a single location. It was recently found that Google tracking may not work well for diseases with considerable media exposure, in particular, emerging diseases such as 2009 pandemic H1N1 or severe acute respiratory syndrome [22].

In conclusion, we proposed an adaptive algorithm for real-time prediction of influenza infection using Hangeul Twitter. The feasibility of using the algorithm for a real-time assessment of the current epidemic condition was demonstrated by a reasonably good prediction of influenza seasonal activity.

Supporting Information

Table S1 500 most common words. (PDF)

Table S2 R² difference with increasing numbers of selected markers. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HWL, KHK. Performed the experiments: EK JHS JISO. Analyzed the data: EK JHS HWL KHK. Wrote the paper: HWL, KHK.

References

1. Thompson WW, Comanor L, Shay DK (2006) Epidemiology of seasonal influenza: use of surveillance data and statistical models to estimate the burden of disease. J Infect Dis 194: 812–891.
2. Ginsberg J, Mohebbi MH, Patel RS, Brammer L, Smolinski MS, et al. (2009) Detecting influenza epidemics using search engine query data. Nature 457: 1012–1014.
3. Signorini A, Segre AM, Polgreen PM (2011) The use of twitter to track levels of disease activity and public concern in the U.S. during the influenza A H1N1 pandemic. PLoS ONE 6: e19467.
4. Chew C, Eysenbach G (2010) Pandemics in the Age of Twitter: Content Analysis of Tweets during the 2009 H1N1 Outbreak. PLoS ONE 5: e14118.
5. Quincey E, Kostkova P (2010) Early Warning and Outbreak Detection Using Social Networking Websites: The Potential of Twitter. In: Electronic
Use of Twitter to Predict Influenza Infection

1. Healthcare, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, volume 27 of Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering, 21–24.
doi:10.1007/978-3-642-11745-9 4.
2. Twitter. Twitter Engineering Blog: The Engineering Behind Twitter’s New Search Experience. Available: http://engineering.twitter.com/2011/05/engineering-behind-tweeters-new-search.html. Accessed 2011 June 10.
3. Chau M, Xu J (2007) Mining communities and their relationships in blogs: A study of online hate groups. Int J Hum-Compu Stud 65: 57–70.
4. Lafferty J. Facebook Isn’t Just For The Young. Available: http://allfacebook.com/facebookdemographics-pingdom b97982. Accessed 2012 November 10.
5. Taylor I (1980) The Korean writing system: An alphabet? A syllabary? A logography?, volume 2 of Processing of Visual Language. New York: Plenum Press.
6. Twitter. Streaming API Documentation. Available: http://dev.twitter.com/pages/streaming api. Accessed 2011 September 4.
7. Twitter4j. Twitter4j API Website. Available: http://twitter4j.org/en/index.html. Accessed 2011 September 4.
8. Lee JS, Shin KC, Na BK, Lee JY, Kang C, et al. (2007) Influenza surveillance in Korea: establishment and first results of an epidemiological and virological surveillance scheme. Epidemiol Infect 135: 1117–1123.
9. Tibshirani R (1996) Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological) 58: 267–288.
10. Weinberg S (2005) Applied linear regression. New York: Wiley-Interscience, 3rd edition.
11. Espino JU, Hogan WR, Wagner MM (2003) Telephone triage: a timely data source for surveillance of influenza-like diseases. AMIA Annu Symp Proc : 215–219.
12. Hogan WR, Tsui FC, Ivanov O, Gesteland PH, Grannis S, et al. (2003) Detection of pediatric respiratory and diarrheal outbreaks from sales of over-the-counter electrolyte products. J Am Med Inform Assoc 10: 555–562.
13. Welliver RC, Cherry JD, Boyer KM, Deseda-Tous JE, Krause PJ, et al. (1979) Sales of nonprescription cold remedies: a unique method of influenza surveillance. Pediatr Res 13: 1015–1017.
14. Magruder SF (2003) Evaluation of Over-the-Counter Pharmaceutical Sales As a Possible Early Warning Indicator of Human Disease. JOHNS HOPKINS APL TECHNICAL DIGEST 24: 349–353.
15. Davies GR, Finch RG (2003) Sales of over-the-counter remedies as an early warning system for winter bed crises. Clin Microbiol Infect 9: 858–863.
16. Lenaway DD, Ambler A (1995) Evaluation of a school-based influenza surveillance system. Public Health Rep 110: 333–337.
17. International Phonetic Alphabet. Available: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/international_phonetic_alphabet. Accessed 2012 November 8.
18. Cook AR, Chen MI, Pin Lin RT (2010) Internet search limitations and pandemic influenza, Singapore. Emerg Infect Dis 16: 1647–1649.