The $G \alpha_{12/13}$ Family of Heterotrimeric G Proteins and the Small GTPase RhoA Link the Kaposi Sarcoma-associated Herpes Virus G Protein-coupled Receptor to Heme Oxygenase-1 Expression and Tumorigenesis*
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Heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), an inducible enzyme that metabolizes the heme group, is highly expressed in human Kaposi sarcoma lesions. Its expression is up-regulated by the G protein-coupled receptor from the Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpes virus (vGPCR). Although recent evidence shows that HO-1 contributes to vGPCR-induced tumorigenesis and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression, the molecular steps that link vGPCR to HO-1 remain unknown. Here we show that vGPCR induces HO-1 expression and transformation through the $G \alpha_{12/13}$ family of heterotrimeric G proteins and the small GTPase RhoA. Targeted small hairpin RNA knockdown expression of $G \alpha_{12}$, $G \alpha_{13}$, or RhoA and inhibition of RhoA activity impair vGPCR-induced transformation and HO-1 promoter activity. Knockdown expression of RhoA also reduces vGPCR-induced VEGF-A secretion and blocks tumor growth in a murine allograft tumor model. NIH-3T3 cells expressing constitutively activated $G \alpha_{12}$ or RhoA implanted in nude mice develop tumors displaying spindle-shaped cells that express HO-1 and VEGF-A, similarly to vGPCR-derived tumors. RhoAQL-induced tumor growth is reduced 80% by small hairpin RNA-mediated knockdown expression of HO-1 in the implanted cells. Likewise, inhibition of HO-1 activity by chronic administration of the HO-1 inhibitor tin protoporphyrin IX to mice reduces RhoAQL-induced tumor growth by 70%. Our study shows that vGPCR induces HO-1 expression through the $G \alpha_{12/13}$/RhoA axes and shows for the first time a potential role for HO-1 as a therapeutic target in tumors where RhoA has oncogenic activity.

Heme oxygenase-1 is an inducible and ubiquitous 32-kDa enzyme that controls heme metabolism and iron levels by catalyzing the degradation of the heme group (iron protoporphyrin IX). The products of this enzymatic reaction are the physiological messenger molecule carbon monoxide, free iron, and biliverdin, the last being subsequently reduced to the antioxidant bilirubin (1). The regulation of HO-1 activity depends primarily on the control of HO-1 expression at the transcriptional level (1–3), and it is triggered by a variety of stress-inducing stimuli, antioxidants, growth factors, and hormones (4–7). Because of the antioxidant properties of the heme metabolism products, HO-1 has been considered a cytoprotector molecule involved in several physiological responses against inflammation and oxidative and cellular stress (2). However, an increasing number of studies have now expanded this notion defining HO-1 as an important regulator of the physiology of the vasculature, endothelial cell cycle control, proliferation, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) secretion, angiogenesis, and tumorigenesis (3, 8–14). A recent study shows that HO-1 expression is induced in endothelial cells infected by the angiogenic and oncogenic Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) and that the enzyme is highly expressed in biopsy tissues from oral AIDS-Kaposi sarcoma lesions (15).

Kaposi sarcoma (KS) is the most frequent type of tumor in AIDS patients. The multifocal angioproliferative lesions are formed by spindle cells derived from endothelial cells transformed by the KSHV (16). Several experimental strategies using animal models revealed that the product of the orf74 from the KSHV genome, a G protein-coupled receptor (vGPCR), plays a key role in the development of KSHV-induced oncogenesis (16–19). Indeed, although only few cells in KS-like lesions express the vGPCR (17), down-regulation of the receptor in

5 The abbreviations used are: VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; KSHV, Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; vGPCR, G protein-coupled receptor from the Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpes virus; HA, hemagglutinin; shRNA, small hairpin RNA; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; SNP, tin protoporphyrin IX; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; DAPI, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; RT, reverse transcription; GFP, green fluorescent protein; KS, Kaposi sarcoma; SRE, serum response element.
these cells results in diminished expression of angiogenic factors and ultimately tumor regression (20, 21). vGPCR is homologous to the mammalian interleukin-8 receptor CXCR2 (22) but contains a D142V mutation in the highly conserved Asp-Arg-Tyr (DRY) motif in homologue mammalian GPCRs, which enables its constitutive, ligand-independent activity. Expression of vGPCR induces transformation in fibroblasts, angiogenesis in endothelial cells (23), and KS-like angioproliferative lesions in mice (17, 23, 24).

We have recently shown that vGPCR induces HO-1 mRNA and protein levels in fibroblasts and endothelial cells and that these increased levels correlate with increased cell proliferation and survival, as well as increased VEGF-A expression, one of the determinant events in KS development. Additionally, inhibition of HO-1 expression or activity critically impairs vGPCR-induced tumorigenesis in allograft tumor animal models (25). Despite the implication of HO-1 as a vGPCR downstream target of HO-1 expression or activity remains unknown. New studies show that vGPCR contributes to KS development by switching on a target of HO-1 expression (5, 32–34), the nature of the molecular pathways connecting the receptor to HO-1 expression remains unknown. New studies show that vGPCR contributes to KS development by switching on a complex network of signaling pathways, including the direct or autocrine/paracrine activation and expression of receptors, cytokines, signaling molecules, and transcription factors (18, 26). As other GPCRs, vGPCR activates downstream effectors by coupling to distinct α subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins (27–29). Among these effectors are the monomeric or small G proteins of the Rho family represented by RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42 (30). Indeed, Rac1 is activated by the receptor and mediates vGPCR-induced transformation in cells and tumorigenesis in animal models (28, 31), whereas RhoA is required for vGPCR-induced NFκB activation and interleukin-8 secretion (29). Although it is known that some GPCRs induce HO-1 expression (5, 32–34), the nature of the molecules connecting these receptors to HO-1 expression has not been established.

In this study, we show that expression of constitutively activated Gα12 and Gα13 subunits mimicked vGPCR-induced HO-1 expression and transformation and that vGPCR activates HO-1 and transformation through both G α subunits. Our data indicate that vGPCR activates the small GTPase RhoA, a known downstream effector of Gα11/12/13 and that reduced expression or inhibition of RhoA impairs vGPCR-induced VEGF expression and secretion, cell survival and proliferation, and transformation both in cell culture and in a murine allograft tumor model. Moreover, Gα13 and RhoA-induced tumorigenesis are dramatically impaired when HO-1 expression or activity is inhibited. These data show that the Gα12/13/RhoA signal transduction pathway is an important mediator of vGPCR-induced tumor growth and demonstrate the participation of HO-1 in this process. These findings uncover a more extensive role of HO-1 in tumorigenesis and suggest that the enzyme can be a potential therapeutic target in the treatment not only of KS but also of tumors where RhoA is oncogenic.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

_DNA Constructs_—The plasmids pHO1-Luc containing a 5-kb human HO-1 promoter upstream of a luciferase gene and pVEGF-Luc have been previously described (35, 36). pCEFL-AU5-vGPCR, pCDNAIII-β-galactosidase, pCEFL-green fluorescent protein (GFP), pCDNAIII-Gα12QL, pCEFL-HA-Gα13QL, pCDNAIII-Gα12Q-L, pCDNAIII-Gα13Q-L, pCEFL-HA-m2, pCEFL-HA-G13si, pCEFL-p115RGS-D, pCEFL-AU5-RhoAQL, pCEFL-HA-HO-1, pCEFL-AU1-72DZhuRhoGEF, pCEFL-HoRL19, pEF-C3, pCEFL-AU5-Rac1QL, pNFκB-Luc, and pShsRNAHO-1 have been already described (25, 37–40). pCEP4, a plasmid carrying a hygromycin resistance gene, was commercially purchased (Invitrogen). Plasmids carrying shRNAs sequences were constructed by inserting double-stranded shRNA oligonucleotides in the psiLencer 1.0-U6 plasmid following the manufacturer’s instructions (Ambion). The plasmids pShsRNAHO1 and pShsRNAHO2 carrying shRNA sequences for RhoA were engineered by annealing the following single strand oligonucleotides: 5’-GACATGTCTGCTCATAGTTCTCAAGAGAGACATGACGATGTCGATCTCCTTGGACTTTTT and 3’-AATTTAAAGAGACATGCTTCTCATAGTCTCTTTGAAGACTATGAACAGATCGGCGC for pShsRNAHO1 (41) and 5’-GAAATACTGTGTTGTTGTTGAACACATACATTGTTTTGTCGATCTCCTTGGACTTTTT and 3’-AATTTAAAGAGAAGACATGCTTCTCATAGTCTCTTTGAAGACTATGAACGACATCGGCGC for pShsRNAHO2. pShsRNAα12 and pShsRNAα13 were constructed using the following shRNA sequences: shRNAα12/13.1 + 5’-GTCGACGATCTCCTTGGACTTTTT and 3’-AATTTAAAGAGAAGACATGCTTCTCATAGTCTCTTTGAAGACTATGAACGACATCGGCGC; shRNAα12/13.2 + 5’-GACAAGGTCATCATAAGAAGATTTTCAAGAATTCAAGAGTATTTGCTCATCCTTGGAGCCCG; shRNAα13 + 5’-GACAAGGTCATAAAAAAGTGTTTTTCAGAAGATTTTCAAGAATTCAAGAGTATTTGCTCATCCTTGGAGCCCG. Gα12 shRNA oligonucleotides (shRNAα12) have been previously described (42). A control construct carrying a “scramble” sequence, pShsRNAscramble, was made, annealing the oligonucleotides 5’-GCTAATTCGGAATCGTCCTTTCAAGAGAAGACCGATCCGGAATAGCTTTTTTTTTTT and 3’-AATTTAAAGAGAAGACATGCTTCTCATAGTCTCTTTGAAGACTATGAACGACATCGGCGC. Gα12 shRNA oligonucleotides (shRNAα12) have been previously described (42). A control construct carrying a “scramble” sequence, pShsRNAscramble, was made, annealing the oligonucleotides 5’-GCTAATTCGGAATCGTCCTTTCAAGAGAAGACCGATCCGGAATAGCTTTTTTTTTTT and 3’-AATTTAAAGAGAAGACATGCTTCTCATAGTCTCTTTGAAGACTATGAACGACATCGGCGC.

_Cell Lines and Transfections_—Transient transfections of NIH-3T3 and HEK (human embryonic kidney) 293T were performed using the Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Invitrogen). HEK 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. NIH-3T3 fibroblasts were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% calf serum. NIH-vGPCR cells were prepared as described (25). Stable transfection of NIH-3T3 cells was performed using the calcium phosphate technique (40). Cells were plated at 20% confluence in 10-cm plates and transfected with 10 μg of pCEFL (NIH-3T3c), pCEFL-HA-m2 (NIH-m2), pCEFL-HA-Gα13QL (NIH-Gα13QL), or pCEFL-AU5-RhoAQL (NIH-RhoAQL). Stably transfected cells were selected with 750 μg/ml G418 (Promega). NIH-m2 cells were further transfected with 5 μg of pCEFL-G13si (NIH-m2-G13si) or pCEFL-Gα13 (NIH-m2-Gα13si) along with 100 ng of pCEP4 to allow selection by hygromycin. NIH-RhoAQL cells were transfected with 5 μg of pShsRNAHO-1 (NIH-RhoAQL-shHO-1) and 100 ng of pCEP4. NIH-vGPCR cells were transfected with 100 ng of pCEP4 and 5 μg of pShsRNAHO1 or pShsRNAHO2 (NIH-vGPCR-shHO1, NIH-vGPCR-shHO2). NIH-vGPCR cells were also stably transfected with 5 μg of pShsRNAα13,1,
pShRNA\textsubscript{a13.2}, pShRNA\textsubscript{a12.0} or pShRNA\textsubscript{a scramble} (NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shG13.1}, NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shG13.2}, NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shG12}, or NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{asramble}, respectively). Transfected cells were selected with 200 \mu M hygromycin B from Streptomyces (Sigma).

**Gene-specific Real Time PCR**—Total RNA from cells was extracted by homogenization in TRIzol (Invitrogen). Briefly, cells were grown to 80% confluence, serum-starved for 24 h, washed with cold PBS, and lysed in TRIzol according to the manufacturer’s indications. Equal amounts of RNA (1 \mu g) were reverse-transcribed to obtain cDNA with the Enhanced Avian First Strand Synthesis kit (Sigma). Real time PCR was performed using the ABI prism 7700 sequencer detector system and Qiagen’s Quantitect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, the reaction mixture (50-\mu l total volume) contained 500 ng of cDNA, gene-specific forward and reverse primers for each gene at 1 mM final concentration, and 25 \mu l of Quantitect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix. The real time cycler conditions were as follows: PCR initial activation step at 95 °C for 10 min, 40 cycles each of melting at 95 °C for 15 s, and annealing/extension at 60 °C for 1 min. A negative control without template was included in parallel to assess the overall specificity of the reaction. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1.2% agarose gel to confirm the size of the amplified product. After PCR, a comparative delta cycle threshold method was used to determine the relative amounts of specific gene and actin mRNA. The sequences of the primers used were as follows: HO-1, 5’-CACAGTGCGAGTTGGAATTT and 3’-CAACGGAAGATTCTCCTAC; GAPDH, 5’-TCCATCAACACTTGGCATG and 3’-TCACGCAAAGCTTCCA; \beta-actin 5’-AGTACTCCGTGTGGATCGGC and 3-AGGTCGTCTACACCTAGTCG.

**Analysis of mRNA Levels by Semiquantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR**—Total RNA from cells was obtained by extraction in TRIzol (Invitrogen). Total RNA from tumors was obtained by homogenizing the tissue in TRIZol with a Teflon homogenizer followed by sonication. Equal amounts of RNA (1 \mu g) were reverse-transcribed to obtain cDNA with the Enhanced Avian First Strand Synthesis kit (Sigma). PCRs were performed using the Ready Mix RedTaq PCR reactive mix (Sigma). HO-1 oligonucleotides described above were used to amplify a 106-bp HO-1 fragment. A vGPCR fragment was amplified using the oligonucleotides 5’-GGCGGCCGAGGATTTCCTAAC and 3’-GCGCGGCCGAATTCACCAT and 3’-ACCGCCGCGGCGAATTCACCATAGA. The three splice variants of VEGF-A were amplified using 5’-GCGAATTCACCATGGTGCACTGG and 3’-AGTACTCCGTGTGGATCGGC.

**Luciferase Reporter Assays**—NIH-3T3 cells were transfected with different expression plasmids together with 0.1 \mu g of the indicated reporter plasmid and 100 ng of \textit{pRenilla-null} (Promega Corp.) per well in 6-well plates. In all cases, the total amount of plasmid DNA was adjusted with pcDNAIII-\beta-galactosidase or pCEFL-GFP. When indicated, cells were starved and treated for 24 h with 100 \mu M tin protoporphyrin IX (SnPP) (Frontier Scientific Europe Ltd.) dissolved in 0.1 N NaOH in PBS, pH 7.5. Firefly and \textit{Renilla} luciferase activities present in cellular lysates were assayed using the Dual Luciferase reporter system (Promega), and light emission was quantified using a BGI Optocomp I, GEM Biomedical luminometer (Sparks, NV).

**Western Blot**—Cells were lysed, and extracted proteins were resolved in 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Endogenous HO-1 and transfected HA-HO-1 were detected by specific rabbit polyclonal anti-HO-1 (Stressgen Bioreagents) and mouse monoclonal anti-HA antibodies (Covance). RhoA and AU5-RhoA were detected by anti-RhoA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) and anti-AU5 (Covance) antibodies, respectively. \textit{G\textsubscript{a12}} and \textit{G\textsubscript{a13}} were detected using \textit{G\textsubscript{a12}} SC-20 and \textit{G\textsubscript{a13}} A-13 antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. As a loading control, \alpha-actin was detected using a rabbit polyclonal antibody (Sigma). Membranes were stripped with Restore Western blot stripping buffer (Pierce), following the manufacturer’s indications. Proteins were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence detection (Amersham Biosciences) using goat anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgGs coupled to horseradish peroxidase as the secondary antibody (Amersham Biosciences).

**Focus-forming Assays**—NIH-3T3 cells were transfected by the calcium phosphate precipitation technique with each \mu g of each indicated expression plasmid as previously described (40). The day after transfection, cells were washed three times with DMEM and kept in DMEM supplemented with 5% calf serum alone or with 100 \mu M SnPP for 2–3 weeks until foci were scored. Alternatively, 5 x 10^4 NIH-3T3c, NIH-vGPCR, NIH-RhoAQL, NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shRho2} or NIH-RhoAQL\textsubscript{shHO-1} cells were seeded on a 70% confluent monolayer of NIH-3T3 cells and cultured as above until foci were detected. Cells were fixed with methanol for 30 min, washed with water, dried, and stained with Giemsa (Sigma).

**Rho Activation Assay**—Rho activity in cultured cells was assessed by a modified Rho binding domain assay as already described (43). Briefly, NIH-3T3 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids, and after serum starvation for 24 h, cells were lysed at 4 °C in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 0.1 M NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM EGTA, 40 mM glycero-phosphate, 20 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 1 mM Na\textsubscript{3}VO\textsubscript{4}, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 10 \mu g/ml aprotinin, 10 \mu g/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM phenylmeth-
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RESULTS

The Gα12/13 Family of Heterotrimeric G Proteins Induces Transformation and HO-1 Expression—It has been shown that the α subunits of the Gα12/13, Gαq/11, and Gαi/o families of heterotrimeric G proteins can transduce signals initiated by vGPCR (27, 29, 44). Thus, we investigated if any of these subunits per se were capable of inducing transformation when compared with vGPCR in a focus formation assay. NIH-3T3 cells were transfected with a negative control plasmid expressing β-galactosidase, vGPCR, or expression vectors carrying cDNAs encoding for representative members of each G-protein α subunit family in their constitutively active form (QL mutants) (45). As shown in Fig. 1A, only cells transfected with Gα12QL developed foci similarly to cells transfected with vGPCR, whereas a very limited number of foci were observed in Gαq-transfected cells, and no foci appeared in Gαi-transfected cells. Since previous observations indicate that Gαq is transforming only under special conditions and cell types (46) and high concentrations can induce cell toxicity (47), we transfected cells with different amounts of the GαqQL (0.1–2.0 μg).
**Figure 1.** The $\alpha_{12/13}$ family of heterotrimeric G proteins induce transformation and HO-1 expression. A, NIH-3T3 cells were transfected with 1 $\mu$g of pCEFL-HA-$\alpha_{12}$QPL, pCDNAIII-$\alpha_{13}$QPL, pCDNAIII-$\alpha_{13}$QPL, or pCEFL-AUS-vGPCR by the calcium phosphate technique. After 3 weeks, plates were fixed and stained to score formation of foci. Plates shown are representative of three different experiments. B. NIH-3T3 cells were transfected by the calcium phosphate technique with 1 $\mu$g of pCDNAIII-\$\beta\$-galactosidase, pCEFL-HA-m2, and pCEFL-HA-$\alpha_{13}$QPL, or pCEFL-HA-$\alpha_{13}$QPL as indicated. Cells were treated with 100 $\mu$M carbachol twice a week as indicated. After 3 weeks, plates were fixed and stained to score formation of foci. Plates shown are representative of three different experiments. C. NIH-m2, NIH-m2-G$\alpha_{12}$QPL, and NIH-m2-G$\alpha_{13}$QPL cells were serum-starved overnight and treated with 100 $\mu$M carbachol for 2, 4, and 8 h. Total RNA was extracted, and HO-1 mRNA levels were detected by quantitative PCR. Data were analyzed by a comparative delta cycle threshold method. Results indicate mean $\pm$ S.E. from triplicates and are representative of three independent experiments.

No foci were detected under any of these conditions (data not shown). To confirm these results, and dissect the isolated effect of receptor-activated $\alpha_{12}$ or $\alpha_{13}$ on transformation and HO-1 expression, we took advantage of previously described $\alpha_{12}$QPL and $\alpha_{13}$QPL chimeras, in which the C-terminal region of the $\alpha$ subunits was replaced with the corresponding region of $\alpha_{12}$. Co-expression of these chimeras along with the $\gamma_{12}$-coupled m2 muscarinic receptor generates a system that can specifically signal downstream from $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ and that can be turned on by adding carbachol, a muscarinic receptor synthetic agonist (40, 48). Because m2 receptors and $\alpha_{12}$ subunits did not induce transformation or HO-1 expression (40) (data not shown), we transfected NIH-3T3 cells with the m2 receptor alone or in combination with the $\alpha_{13}$QPL or $\alpha_{13}$QPL chimeras.

Cells were incubated with media containing 1 mM carbachol or vehicle (control), and after 3 weeks, only cells treated with carbachol and transfected with m2 and $G_{13}$QPL generated a significant amount of foci. No transformation was observed in stimulated cells transfected with m2 alone or with m2 plus $\alpha_{13}$QPL (Fig. 1B). To determine the effect of $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ on HO-1 expression, we treated stable cell lines expressing the m2 receptor alone (NIH-m2) or in combination with the $G_{13}$QPL or $\alpha_{13}$QPL chimeras (NIH-m2-$G_{13}$QPL or NIH-m2-$\alpha_{13}$QPL, respectively) (40) with carbachol for 2, 4, and 8 h. After extracting total RNA, levels of HO-1 mRNA at each time point were determined by quantitative PCR. As shown in Fig. 1C, all three cell lines had similar basal levels of HO-1 mRNA. Carbachol induced HO-1 mRNA levels by 2.5- to 4-fold after 2- and 4-h treatments, respectively, only in NIH-m2-$G_{13}$QPL cells. No increase of HO-1 mRNA levels was detected after carbachol treatment in NIH-m2 or NIH-m2-$\alpha_{13}$QPL cells. As a control of the activity of the $G_{13}$QPL chimeras, we measured the levels of c-jun mRNA levels at 30 min and 1 h after carbachol treatment, and as expected, c-jun levels were increased as previously shown (40) (data not shown). Taken together, the data suggested that G proteins of the $\alpha_{12/13}$ family can induce both transformation and HO-1 expression.

$\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ Mediate vGPCR-induced HO-1 Expression and Transformation—The $\alpha_{12}$ family of Gα heterotrimeric G proteins consists of $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ subunits, and both are potent oncogenes (49). Thus, we studied whether both isoforms were able to activate the ho-1 promoter. We performed luciferase assay experiments cotransfecting pHO1-Luc, a reporter plasmid with a luciferase cDNA sequence under the control of the ho-1 promoter, along with increasing concentrations of activated forms of $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$, $G_{12}$QPL, and $G_{13}$QPL. As shown in Fig. 2A, both $\alpha_{12}$ subunits activated pHO1-Luc by severalfold inductions in a manner comparable with vGPCR. To explore whether these subunits mediated vGPCR-induced HO-1 expression, we knocked down $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ expression in NIH-vGPCR cells, which stably expressed vGPCR and display increased levels of HO-1 (25). We stably transfected them with plasmids carrying shRNA sequences for $\alpha_{12}$ (shG12) and $\alpha_{13}$ (shG13) and analyzed protein levels by Western blot. As shown in Fig. 2B, individual knocked down expression of $\alpha_{12}$ or $\alpha_{13}$ (first and second panels) strongly reduced the expression of HO-1 (third panel). Strikingly, when both isoforms were knocked down simultaneously, the expression of HO-1 was nearly abolished, confirming the important role of these two Gα subunits in the pathway that connects vGPCR to HO-1 expression. Equal protein loading in each lane was assessed by detecting $\alpha$-actin in parallel samples. The specificity of $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ antibodies was confirmed by transfecting HEK-293T cells with equal amounts of $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$, and no crossed reaction was observed (Fig. 2C). To verify that the differences in HO-1 expression levels were not due to differences in vGPCR expression levels among the cell lines, the amount of receptor was determined by RT-PCR from extracted total RNA samples. Housekeeping GAPDH mRNA was amplified in parallel as loading control from the same RNA samples.

To determine the role of $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ in vGPCR-induced transformation and to study the correlation with HO-1 expres-
**FIGURE 2. Gα\textsubscript{12} and Gα\textsubscript{13} mediate vGPCR-induced transformation and HO-1 expression.** A, NIH-3T3 cells were cotransfected with 10 ng of pHO1-Luc along with 100 ng of pRenilla-Luc and different amounts of pCDNAILI1-G\textsubscript{α12QL} and pCEFL-HA-G\textsubscript{α13QL} per well as indicated. The total amount of DNA in each transfection was equally adjusted with pCDNAILI1-β-galactosidase. 24 h after transfection and serum starvation, lysates were assayed for luciferase activities. The data represent average Firefly luciferase activity normalized by Renilla luciferase activity in each sample ± S.E. from triplicates expressed as -fold induction relative to control from a typical experiment. Similar results were obtained in three additional experiments. B, NIH-3T3, NIH-vGPCR, NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shScram}, NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shG\textsubscript{α12}}, NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shG\textsubscript{α13}}, NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shG\textsubscript{α12}/G\textsubscript{α13}}, and NIH-vGPCR\textsubscript{shG\textsubscript{α12}G\textsubscript{α13}} cell lines were serum-starved for 24 h. Lysates were analyzed for HO-1, G\textsubscript{α12}, and G\textsubscript{α13} and α-actin by Western blot (WB) with the same antibodies used in B. Depicted blots are representative of three independent experiments. C, HEK-293T cells transfected with pCDNAILI1-G\textsubscript{α12QL} and pCEFL-HA-G\textsubscript{α13QL} as indicated. 24 h after transfection, cell lysates from each transfection were analyzed for G\textsubscript{α12}, G\textsubscript{α13}, and α-actin by Western blot with the same antibodies used in B. Depicted blots are representative of three independent experiments. D, NIH-3T3 cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate technique with 1 μg of pCEFL-AUS-vGPCR and pShShScram, pShShG12, and pShShG13.1, as indicated. After 3 weeks, plates were fixed and stained to score formation of foci. Plates shown are representative of three different experiments.
**Gα_{12/13} and RhoA Link vGPCR to HO-1 Expression/Tumorigenesis**

**FIGURE 3. vGPCR activates the small GTPase RhoA.** A and B, NIH-3T3 cells were cotransfected with 10 ng of pHO1-Luc or 100 μg of pSRE-Luc along with 100 ng of pRenilla-Luc and 1 μg of the expression plasmids pcDNAIII-β-galactosidase (control), 0.1 μg pCEFL-HA-Gα_{13}QL, 0.5 μg of pCEFL-AUS-vGPCR, or 0.1 μg of pcDNAIII-Gα_{13}QL with (+) or without (−) pCEFL-p115RGS-D per well, as indicated. The total amount of plasmid DNA in each transfection was equally adjusted with pcDNAIII-β-galactosidase. 24 h after transfection and serum starvation, lysates were assayed for luciferase activities. The data represent average firefly luciferase activity normalized by luciferase activity further impinge on the ho-1 promoter. Similar results were seen in indirect immunofluorescence assays, where cells transfected with AU5-tagged RhoAQL (green) showed increased levels of endogenous HO-1 (red) (Fig. 4C, right), whereas control cells expressing the GFP (green) displayed only basal levels of HO-1 protein (red, left). The presence of cells present in the visualized fields was evidenced by staining nuclei with DAPI (bottom).

To determine whether activation of endogenous RhoA resulted in ho-1 promoter activation and HO-1 expression, we transfected cells with p115RhoGEF or PDZ-RhoGEF (47) and performed luciferase and immunofluorescence assays. Both RhoGEFs strongly induced the activity of pHO1-Luc and endogenous HO-1 expression (data not shown). Altogether, these results showed that activated RhoA was able per se to induce ho-1 promoter activity and HO-1 protein expression.

RhoA Mediates vGPCR-induced β-galactosidase (control). Lysates from cells transfected with pCEFL-HA-HO-1 were analyzed in parallel to show the specificity of the HO-1 antibody (Fig. 4B). The upper band corresponded to transfected HA-HO-1, and the lower corresponded to endogenous protein. As expected, cells transfected with HO-1 displayed increased levels of endogenous protein, most likely due to a feedback mechanism, where the signaling pathways activated as a consequence of the HO-1 enzymatic activity further impinge on the ho-1 promoter (25). Similar results were seen in indirect immunofluorescence assays, where cells transfected with AU5-tagged RhoAQL (green) showed increased levels of endogenous HO-1 (red) (Fig. 4C, right), whereas control cells expressing the GFP (green) displayed only basal levels of HO-1 protein (red, left). The presence of cells present in the visualized fields was evidenced by staining nuclei with DAPI (bottom).

**ROGA Mediates vGPCR-induced ho-1 Expression**—To study the involvement of RhoA in the signaling pathway linking vGPCR to the ho-1 promoter, we used several approaches. First, we used a negative dominant form of RhoA, RhoN19, and the C3 toxin inhibitor from *Clostridium botulinum*, which selectively induces the N-ADP-ribosylation of Rho proteins and inhibits the activation of Rho-controlled signaling pathways (40). Luciferase assays were carried out cotransfecting pHO1-Luc with expression vectors for vGPCR or Gα_{13}QL alone or together with RhoN19 or C3. RhoN19 reduced vGPCR and Gα_{13}QL-induced activation of pHO1-Luc by 45–50% with respect to the control, whereas C3 had a more dramatic effect inhibiting pHO1-Luc activation by almost 90% in both cases (Fig. 5A). Under identical experimental conditions, RhoN19 and C3 did not affect RacQL-induced pNFκB-Luc activity (a reporter plasmid with the luciferase gene
driven by five tandem copies of NFκB response elements) (53), indicating their specificity as Rho inhibitors (Fig. 5B).

We next knocked down the expression of RhoA by using specific small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs). We first inserted two different RhoA-specific shRNAs (shRho1 and shRho2) and a scramble shRNA (shScram) into the pSilencer vector and transiently transfected them in HEK-293T along with RhoAQL. Both shRho1 and -2 strongly inhibited RhoA expression, whereas shScram had no significant effect (data not shown). Next, we transfected NIH-vGPCR cells with shRho1 or shRho2 (NIH-vGPCR_{shRho1} and NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2}, respectively) along with pCEP4. After antibiotic selection and as judged by Western blotting assays, both cell lines presented almost undetectable levels of RhoA when compared with parental NIH-vGPCR cells and even NIH-3T3 cells, the inhibition being stronger in NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2} (Fig. 5C, top). To determine the specificity of shRho1 and shRho2, the same cell lysates used above were assayed to measure HO-1 and α-actin (control) levels. As expected, reduced levels of RhoA correlated with reduced levels of HO-1 (Fig. 5C, middle), whereas no changes were observed in the α-actin levels. To corroborate that this inhibition was not due to reduced vGPCR expression or a secondary effect on general transcription, total RNA was isolated from parallel samples, and mRNA levels of vGPCR and GAPDH were assayed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR (25). Fig. 5C (bottom) shows that NIH-vGPCR, NIH-vGPCR_{shRho1}, and NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2} cells expressed equal amounts of viral receptor, whereas no expression was detected in NIH-3T3. GAPDH levels were nearly identical in all four cell lines.

Since activation of RhoA induces stress fiber formation (30) and a previous study shows that vGPCR induces similar cytoskeleton morphology in NIH-3T3 cells (29), we investigated whether inhibition of RhoA affected vGPCR-induced stress fiber formation in NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2} where RhoA levels were nearly abolished. Indeed, as depicted in Fig. 5D, phalloidin-stained actin fibers observed in NIH-vGPCR cells across the cytoplasm were unnoticed in NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2} thus confirming the inhibition of RhoA expression and its downstream effects in this cell line. Together, these data indicated that RhoA is involved in vGPCR-induced HO-1 expression.

**Targeted Knockdown RhoA Expression Impairs vGPCR-induced Cell Proliferation, Survival, and Tumorigenesis**—Overexpression of vGPCR increases cell proliferation and reduces serum deprivation-induced apoptosis (25, 54). To investigate whether inhibition of RhoA impairs vGPCR-induced cell proliferation and survival, we performed [³H]thymidine incorporation assays and propidium iodide staining, respectively. Indeed, NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2} cells showed a 40% reduction on [³H]thymidine incorporation, and nearly a 46% increase in the number of apoptotic cells after 48-h serum starvation when compared with NIH-vGPCR (data not shown). To determine whether RhoA was involved in vGPCR-induced cell transformation and tumorigenesis, we seeded 5000 NIH-vGPCR, NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2} or NIH-3T3c (control) cells onto a 50% confluent monolayer of NIH-3T3 cells and cultured them for 2–3 weeks until foci were detected. As shown in Fig. 6A, NIH-vGPCR cells induced the formation of numerous foci, whereas a very limited number was formed by NIH-vGPCR_{shRho2} cells, despite the fact that both cell lines expressed the same amount of vGPCR (Fig.
HO-1, pShRNAHO-1 (25), to produce NIH-RhoAQL and NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells, respectively. As depicted in Fig. 7A, Western blot analysis showed that HO-1 levels were induced by 4-fold in NIH-RhoA cells with respect to normal NIH-3T3, confirming that RhoA induces HO-1 expression (Fig. 4, B and C), whereas HO-1 expression was dramatically reduced in NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> with respect to NIH-RhoAQL and even NIH-3T3 cells. AUS-RhoAQL levels were identical in NIH-RhoAQL and NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> (Fig. 7A, middle), α-Actin levels were identical in all cell lines, indicating that the shRNA HO-1 did not target this mRNA used as a control (Fig. 7A, bottom).

To measure whether RhoA activation increased cell proliferation and survival, two of the key events in the process of transformation, we carried out DNA synthesis and in vitro apoptosis assays. As evidenced by [3H]thymidine incorporation, NIH-RhoAQL cells displayed a 2.5-fold higher proliferation rate when compared with parental NIH-3T3 cells (Fig. 7B). Similarly, cell survival was increased in NIH-RhoAQL cells as evidenced by a nearly 60% reduction in the population of apoptotic cells compared with NIH-3T3 (Fig. 7C). Knocked down HO-1 expression in shRNANIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells resulted in almost 36% reduction in [3H]thymidine incorporation assays and in a nearly 40% increase in the number of apoptotic cells with respect to NIH-RhoAQL (Fig. 7, B and C), although both cell lines expressed comparable amounts of RhoAQL (Fig. 7A). In line with these observations, inhibition of HO-1 activity in NIH-RhoAQL cells by treatment with 100 μM SnPP, a chelate of tin with the porphyrin ring that binds HO-1 and specifically abolishes its activity (56), reduced DNA synthesis and increased the number of apoptotic cells with respect to vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 7, B and C). These results showed that either knocking down HO-1 expression or blocking its enzymatic activity reduced RhoAQL-induced DNA synthesis and increased apoptosis, suggesting that HO-1 mediated at least in part the effect of RhoA on cell proliferation and survival.

To determine whether HO-1 participated in the process of RhoA-induced transformation, we next performed focus formation assays by transfecting NIH-3T3 cells with expression plasmids coding for RhoAQL and the RhoA upstream activators Go<sub>13</sub>QL and PDZRhoGEF. We knocked down HO-1 expression by cotransfecting cells with pShRNAHO-1 or inhibited HO-1 activity by treating cells with SnPP. As shown in

5C). As expected, no foci were originated by NIH-3T3c cells used as a control. Second, we investigated whether inhibition of RhoA had the same effect on vGPCR-induced tumor formation using a murine allograft tumor model (23, 25). 1 × 10⁶ NIH-vGPCR cells (Group 1) or NIH-vGPCR<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells (Group 2) were injected in the right flank of athymic nude mice. One week after the injection, tumors of around 5 mm³ were apparent in all animals in the first group, and after 21 days, tumors grew to a volume of 891 ± 230 mm³ (mean ± S.E.). Strikingly, and although both cell lines displayed the same levels of vGPCR (Fig. 5B), only one animal in the second group developed a tumor that after 21 days only reached an approximate volume of 10 mm³ (Fig. 6B). Given this remarkable and significant difference (p = 0.05), these results strongly suggested that RhoA is a key mediator of vGPCR-induced tumorigenesis.

HO-1 Mediates RhoA-induced Transformation—RhoA mediates the effect of several transforming GPCRs (39, 40, 55), and the constitutively activated form can itself act as an oncogene when it is overexpressed in cultured cells (38, 39). To investigate whether HO-1 participated in the transforming effect of the RhoA pathway we used different approaches. We prepared a stable cell line expressing AU5-tagged RhoAQL and further transfected it with the empty shRNA vector pSilencer or with the same vector carrying shRNA sequences specific for HO-1, pSilencerHO-1 (25), to produce NIH-RhoAQL and NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells, respectively. As depicted in Fig. 7A, Western blot analysis showed that HO-1 levels were induced by 4-fold in NIH-RhoA cells with respect to normal NIH-3T3, confirming that RhoA induces HO-1 expression (Fig. 4, B and C), whereas HO-1 expression was dramatically reduced in NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> with respect to NIH-RhoAQL and even NIH-3T3 cells. AUS-RhoAQL levels were identical in NIH-RhoAQL and NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> (Fig. 7A, middle), α-Actin levels were identical in all cell lines, indicating that the shRNA HO-1 did not target this mRNA used as a control (Fig. 7A, bottom).

To measure whether RhoA activity increased cell proliferation and survival, two of the key events in the process of transformation, we carried out DNA synthesis and in vitro apoptosis assays. As evidenced by [3H]thymidine incorporation, NIH-RhoAQL cells displayed a 2.5-fold higher proliferation rate when compared with parental NIH-3T3 cells (Fig. 7B). Similarly, cell survival was increased in NIH-RhoAQL cells as evidenced by a nearly 60% reduction in the population of apoptotic cells compared with NIH-3T3 (Fig. 7C). Knocked down HO-1 expression in shRNANIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells resulted in almost 36% reduction in [3H]thymidine incorporation assays and in a nearly 40% increase in the number of apoptotic cells with respect to NIH-RhoAQL (Fig. 7, B and C), although both cell lines expressed comparable amounts of RhoAQL (Fig. 7A). In line with these observations, inhibition of HO-1 activity in NIH-RhoAQL cells by treatment with 100 μM SnPP, a chelate of tin with the porphyrin ring that binds HO-1 and specifically abolishes its activity (56), reduced DNA synthesis and increased the number of apoptotic cells with respect to vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 7, B and C). These results showed that either knocking down HO-1 expression or blocking its enzymatic activity reduced RhoAQL-induced DNA synthesis and increased apoptosis, suggesting that HO-1 mediated at least in part the effect of RhoA on cell proliferation and survival.

To determine whether HO-1 participated in the process of RhoA-induced transformation, we next performed focus formation assays by transfecting NIH-3T3 cells with expression plasmids coding for RhoAQL and the RhoA upstream activators Go<sub>13</sub>QL and PDZRhoGEF. We knocked down HO-1 expression by cotransfecting cells with pShRNAHO-1 or inhibited HO-1 activity by treating cells with SnPP. As shown in

5C). As expected, no foci were originated by NIH-3T3c cells used as a control. Second, we investigated whether inhibition of RhoA had the same effect on vGPCR-induced tumor formation using a murine allograft tumor model (23, 25). 1 × 10⁶ NIH-vGPCR cells (Group 1) or NIH-vGPCR<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells (Group 2) were injected in the right flank of athymic nude mice. One week after the injection, tumors of around 5 mm³ were apparent in all animals in the first group, and after 21 days, tumors grew to a volume of 891 ± 230 mm³ (mean ± S.E.). Strikingly, and although both cell lines displayed the same levels of vGPCR (Fig. 5B), only one animal in the second group developed a tumor that after 21 days only reached an approximate volume of 10 mm³ (Fig. 6B). Given this remarkable and significant difference (p = 0.05), these results strongly suggested that RhoA is a key mediator of vGPCR-induced tumorigenesis.

HO-1 Mediates RhoA-induced Transformation—RhoA mediates the effect of several transforming GPCRs (39, 40, 55), and the constitutively activated form can itself act as an oncogene when it is overexpressed in cultured cells (38, 39). To investigate whether HO-1 participated in the transforming effect of the RhoA pathway we used different approaches. We prepared a stable cell line expressing AU5-tagged RhoAQL and further transfected it with the empty shRNA vector pSilencer or with the same vector carrying shRNA sequences specific for HO-1, pSilencerHO-1 (25), to produce NIH-RhoAQL and NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells, respectively. As depicted in Fig. 7A, Western blot analysis showed that HO-1 levels were induced by 4-fold in NIH-RhoA cells with respect to normal NIH-3T3, confirming that RhoA induces HO-1 expression (Fig. 4, B and C), whereas HO-1 expression was dramatically reduced in NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> with respect to NIH-RhoAQL and even NIH-3T3 cells. AUS-RhoAQL levels were identical in NIH-RhoAQL and NIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> (Fig. 7A, middle), α-Actin levels were identical in all cell lines, indicating that the shRNA HO-1 did not target this mRNA used as a control (Fig. 7A, bottom).

To measure whether RhoA activity increased cell proliferation and survival, two of the key events in the process of transformation, we carried out DNA synthesis and in vitro apoptosis assays. As evidenced by [3H]thymidine incorporation, NIH-RhoAQL cells displayed a 2.5-fold higher proliferation rate when compared with parental NIH-3T3 cells (Fig. 7B). Similarly, cell survival was increased in NIH-RhoAQL cells as evidenced by a nearly 60% reduction in the population of apoptotic cells compared with NIH-3T3 (Fig. 7C). Knocked down HO-1 expression in shRNANIH-RhoAQL<sub>shHO-1</sub> cells resulted in almost 36% reduction in [3H]thymidine incorporation assays and in a nearly 40% increase in the number of apoptotic cells with respect to NIH-RhoAQL (Fig. 7, B and C), although both cell lines expressed comparable amounts of RhoAQL (Fig. 7A). In line with these observations, inhibition of HO-1 activity in NIH-RhoAQL cells by treatment with 100 μM SnPP, a chelate of tin with the porphyrin ring that binds HO-1 and specifically abolishes its activity (56), reduced DNA synthesis and increased the number of apoptotic cells with respect to vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 7, B and C). These results showed that either knocking down HO-1 expression or blocking its enzymatic activity reduced RhoAQL-induced DNA synthesis and increased apoptosis, suggesting that HO-1 mediated at least in part the effect of RhoA on cell proliferation and survival.

To determine whether HO-1 participated in the process of RhoA-induced transformation, we next performed focus formation assays by transfecting NIH-3T3 cells with expression plasmids coding for RhoAQL and the RhoA upstream activators Go<sub>13</sub>QL and PDZRhoGEF. We knocked down HO-1 expression by cotransfecting cells with pShRNAHO-1 or inhibited HO-1 activity by treating cells with SnPP. As shown in
Fig. 7D (top), $\alpha_{13}$QL, PDZRhoGEF, and RhoAQL induced the appearance of foci with a vGPCR-like morphology, whereas both the transfection with shRNAHO-1 (middle) and the treatment with SnPP (bottom) dramatically reduced the amount of foci. In all, these results suggested that HO-1 mediated RhoA-elicted cell survival and proliferation as well as cell transformation induced by molecular components of the RhoA pathway.

HO-1 Mediates vGPCR and RhoA-induced VEGF-A Expression and Secretion—Previous studies showed that vGPCR induces VEGF-A expression and that this is an essential process in transformation of adjacent cells and tumor development (57). We and others have previously observed that HO-1 overexpression induces VEGF-A expression (10, 25, 59) and that inhibition of HO-1 activity with SnPP blocks vGPCR-induced VEGF-A expression (25). To study if molecular components of the RhoA signaling pathway can induce VEGF-A expression, NIH-3T3 cells were transfected with a luciferase expression plasmid under the control of the $\alpha_{13}$QL promoter (pVEGF-Luc) along with $\alpha_{13}$QL, PDZRhoGEF, or RhoAQL. All of these proteins activated pVEGF-Luc, and this induction was significantly reduced when cells were cotransfected with shRNAHO-1 or treated with SnPP (Fig. 8A). Therefore, our data showed that activation of the RhoA signaling pathway leads to enhanced VEGF-A expression and that HO-1 links, at least partially, these two events.

Since secretion of VEGF-A and its paracrine effect are an important step in transformation of adjacent cells and tumor development (57), we measured VEGF-A secretion from NIH-RhoAQL, NIH-RhoAQL shHO-1, and NIH-vGPCR shRho2 cells by a VEGF-A enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using NIH-vGPCR as a positive control. Results show that NIH-RhoAQL cells secreted a VEGF-A level comparable with those secreted by NIH-vGPCR cells (nearly 6–7-fold induction with respect to NIH-3T3 cells). VEGF-A secretion in NIH-vGPCR was reduced by almost 80% by treatment with 100 μM SnPP and by 60% in NIH-vGPCR shRho2 cells. Similarly, SnPP treatment reduced VEGF-A secretion by 57% in NIH-RhoAQL cells, whereas it was reduced by 50% in NIH-RhoAQL shHO-1 cells when compared with untreated NIH-RhoAQL (Fig. 8B). These data indicated that RhoA and HO-1 participated in vGPCR-induced VEGF-A expression and secretion.

HO-1 Participates in RhoA-induced Tumorigenesis—RhoA has oncogenic potential, and like HO-1 (8, 13, 15, 60, 61), it is overexpressed in several types of cancer (62–65). To determine whether there is a functional link between RhoA-induced tumorigenesis and HO-1 expression, $1 \times 10^6$ NIH-RhoAQL cells were injected in the right flank of 10 athymic nude mice. Tumors were noticeable in all animals after 4–6 days, and at that point, mice were split in two groups. One group was injected every other day with vehicle (0.2 N NaOH in 1× PBS, pH 7.4), and the other was injected with 10 μmol/kg of body weight of SnPP dissolved in 0.2 N NaOH in 1× PBS, pH 7.4. Both treatments were administered in parallel and during a period of 21 days. As shown in Fig. 9A, treatment with SnPP for 21 days inhibited tumor growth by an average of 70% ($p < 0.05$). SnPP did not induce evident macroscopic secondary effects, since no internal organ changes either in morphology or size were observed in SnPP-treated animals with respect to controls (data not shown), except for a light red coloration of the skin most likely due to the accumulation of SnPP in this organ as previously reported (25). Similarly, vehicle- and SnPP-treated animals showed no differences in body weight and general behavior, which indicates the lack of apparent toxic side effects of the SnPP during the length of the experiment. Histological examination of liver and skin sections showed the absence of tissue necrosis in both groups (data not shown) as previously reported (25).

To investigate the levels of AU5-RhoA, HO-1, and VEGF-A in the tumors, mRNA from three control and three treated tumors was extracted, and semiquantitative RT-PCRs were performed. As depicted in Fig. 9B, AU5-tagged RhoA was expressed in comparable amounts in tumors from both groups of animals. HO-1 expression was detected in tumors induced by RhoA, and its expression was further increased by SnPP treatment. As previously described, SnPP is a competitive inhibitor that regulates heme oxygenase by a dual mechanism. On one hand, it enhances the activity of the ho-1 promoter, but on the other hand, it potently inhibits the enzyme at the catalytic site by acting as a competitive substrate for heme. Inhibition of heme oxygenase by SnPP is so pronounced that, despite the marked increase in the synthesis of new enzyme, suppression of heme oxidation is the prevailing biological effect (56). In line with this, we have previously shown that in vGPCR tumors from SnPP-treated animals, HO-1 enzymatic activity is completely abolished, although HO-1 expression is increased when compared with tumors from control animals (25). On the con-
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**Immunohistochemistry of RhoAQL-induced tumors showed high expression of HO-1, which, as explained above, was further increased in tumors from SnPP-treated animals (Fig. 9C, top). Conversely, VEGF-A expression was high in tumors from vehicle-treated animals and significantly reduced in tumors from those treated with SnPP (Fig. 9C, middle). Hematoxylin-eosin staining showed that RhoAQL-induced tumors display cells with a spindle-shaped morphology characteristic of the human Kaposi sarcoma lesions and similar to those found in vGPCR-induced tumors (23, 25). This phenotype was altered in SnPP-treated tumors, since the cell population was less dense, and cells were more rounded (Fig. 9C, bottom). Nearly identical results were obtained when tumors were induced by NIH-Gα13QL-expressing cells. SnPP reduced tumor growth by 60% and strongly inhibited tumor VEGF-A expression (data not shown). These data strongly suggest that HO-1 activity participates in the tumorigenic potential of the RhoA pathway.**

Taking advantage of the NIH-RhoAQL and NIH-RhoA_{shHO-1} cell lines characterized and described above (Fig. 7A), we injected $1 \times 10^6$ cells of each population into the right flank of two groups of five nude mice. Although both cells showed identical levels of AU5-RhoAQL (Fig. 7A), tumor growth was reduced by 80% in NIH-RhoA_{shHO-1} cell-bearing mice ($V = 247 \pm 121 \text{ mm}^3$) with respect to tumors induced by NIH-RhoA cells ($V = 1289 \pm 646 \text{ mm}^3$) ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 9D). These results robustly indicate that HO-1 plays an important role as an intermediate molecule in the route connecting RhoA to tumorigenesis.**

**DISCUSSION**

We have recently demonstrated the important role of HO-1, an enzyme expressed in human KS lesions (15), in vGPCR-induced VEGF-A expression and tumorigenesis as well as the use of the HO-1 inhibitor SnPP as a potential tumor growth inhibitor (25). In this study, we identified the Gα_{12/13}/RhoA signaling route as a key component...
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**FIGURE 7. HO-1 mediates RhoA-induced transformation.** A, NIH-3T3, NIH-RhoA, and NIH-RhoA_{shHO-1} cells were serum-starved for 24 h. Equal amounts of total lysates were loaded in each lane and analyzed for HO-1, AUS-RhoAQL, and α-actin by Western blot (WB) with specific monoclonal antibodies. B, NIH-3T3, NIH-RhoAQL, and NIH-RhoA_{shHO-1} cells were serum-starved, treated with 100 μM SnPP or vehicle for 24 h, and incubated with [3H]thymidine. Results shown are the average of incorporated radioactivity normalized by total protein ± S.E. from triplicates and expressed as fold induction relative to control NIH-3T3, whose value was taken as 1. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. C, NIH-3T3, NIH-RhoAQL, and NIH-RhoA_{shHO-1} cells were serum-starved, treated with 100 μM SnPP or vehicle for 24 h, and stained with propidium iodide. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry, and the sub-G_{0}/G_{1} fraction was used as a measure of the apoptotic percentage. Results shown are representative of triplicate experiments. D, NIH-3T3 cells were transfected by the calcium phosphate technique with 1 μg of pcDNAIII-β-galactosidase (control), pCEFL-HA-Gα_{13}QL, pCEFL-AU1-PDZRhoGEF, or pCEFL-AU5-RhoAQL as indicated. Cells were cotransfected with pShRNAHO-1 or treated with 100 μM SnPP as depicted and cultured in 5% calf serum. After 3 weeks, plates were fixed and stained to score formation of foci. Plates shown are representative of three different experiments.
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Vary, VEGF-A expression in RhoAQL-induced tumors was strongly inhibited by SnPP treatment, as previously seen in vGPCR-induced tumors obtained from SnPP-treated animals (25). GAPDH amplification was used as a loading control (Fig. 9B, bottom).
that connects vGPCR to HO-1 expression and as part of the intricate signaling network by which the receptor induces transformation.

Although few recent studies show that HO-1 can be induced by GPCRs, such as β1-adrenergoreceptors, melanocortin, angiotensin, non-N-methyl-D-aspartate, and metabotropic receptors (5, 32, 34), to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study describing the nature of the Gαi subunits connecting a GPCR with the ho-1 promoter. vGPCR couples functionally to Gαq, Gα13, and Gα12 families of Gα proteins and distinctly transduces the signal to several pathways (19, 27, 44). Here, we show that the induction of HO-1 expression by Gα12/13 correlated with the ability of this family of subunits to induce transformation. Indeed, only receptor-activated Gα12/13 chimeras induced HO-1 and the appearance of foci, whereas carbachol-activated Gq15 chimeras induced neither HO-1 nor the appearance of foci. The fact that the p115RGS domain (37) blocked vGPCR-induced activation of the ho-1 promoter without affecting Gαq-induced pSRE-Luc activity (Fig. 3, A and B) indicates that Gα12 and Gα13 mediate at least in part the connection between vGPCR and HO-1 expression. Although vGPCR utilizes several Gα subunits and their downstream effectors to induce transformation, our data indicate that the induction of HO-1 expression is most likely mediated by the Gα12/13 family.

The small GTPase RhoA acts downstream of several Gα12-coupled and Gα13-coupled receptors, such as the thrombin receptor PAR-1 and LPA receptor, respectively, by promoting the expression of protooncogenes (38, 40). RhoA is highly overexpressed in several cancers, such as gastric carcinoma and pancreatic, colorectal, lung, and breast tumors (62–65), but its role in vGPCR-induced tumorigenesis has not yet been clearly established. Instead, it is known that vGPCR activates the small GTPases Rac and Cdc42 and that Rac mediates vGPCR-induced activation of the NFκB transcription factor, thus up-regulating the secretion of critical KS cytokines and ultimately paracrine tumorigenesis (28, 31). Recent studies indicate a critical role for Rac1 and the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT pathways in vGPCR-induced NFκB activation (31, 66) in endothelial cells, but others suggest that in primary effusion lymphoma cells, NFκB activation by vGPCR is not substantially mediated by this pathway (27). Instead, a different study shows that vGPCR-induced NFκB activation and interleukin-8 secretion are mediated by the RhoA pathway (29). Interestingly, the direct activation of RhoA by the viral receptor has not yet been demonstrated. In this study, we show that vGPCR induces the GTP loading and activation of RhoA and that targeted knockdown of RhoA expression dramatically impaired vGPCR-induced transformation and tumorigenesis. Moreover, the sole expression of activated RhoA induces cell survival and proliferation to levels comparable with those induced by vGPCR and also provokes a remarkable activation of the ho-1 promoter and increase of HO-1 protein levels. Conversely, the impairment of endogenous RhoA activity blocks vGPCR-induced ho-1 promoter activity. It is noteworthy that activated Rac1 (Rac1QL) induced slightly the ho-1 promoter (pHO1-Luc), but a dominant negative Rac1, Rac1 N17 (73), failed to inhibit vGPCR-induced pHO1-Luc activity (data not show).

Although more refined approaches are needed to establish the effect of Rac on HO-1 activation, our results indicate that the Gα12/13/RhoA axis plays an important role in activating HO-1 expression. In line with this, both Gα12/13 and RhoAQL-induced tumors display the characteristic spindle cells present in vGPCR-induced tumor and in human KS lesions. Like vGPCR-induced tumors, Gα12/13 and RhoAQL-induced tumors showed elevated HO-1 mRNA. Moreover, knockdown of HO-1 expression by shRNA in RhoAQL-expressing cells strongly reduced their tumor potential. This indicates that HO-1 is a component of the v-GPCR-activated Gα12/13/RhoA signaling pathway that leads to tumorigenesis.
Data are mean volume subcutaneously near the tumor area every other day. Tumor volumes were also measured every other day.

Each) and treated with vehicle or 10⁻⁴ mol/kg of body weight of SnPP in 0.1 N NaOH in PBS, pH 7.4, injected subcutaneously near the tumor area every other day. Tumor volumes were also measured every other day. Data are mean volume ± S.E. from \( n = 5 \) in each group, \( p \leq 0.05 \). B, expression of AU5-RhoA, HO-1, VEGF-A, and GAPDH mRNA in NIH-RhoAQL-induced tumors from vehicle and SnPP-treated mice was detected by RT-PCR with specific oligonucleotides. Bands shown correspond to ethidium bromide staining of PCR products and are representative of three different tumors analyzed per group. C, tumor tissue sections from vehicle and SnPP-treated mice were stained with antibodies anti-HO-1 or VEGF and with hematoxylin-eosin (H-E). D, 1 × 10⁶ NIH-RhoAQL or NIH-RhoAQL_{shHO-1}, cells were injected in the right flank of two groups of five nude mice each. The figure shows representative tumor-bearing animals from each group and the corresponding surgically removed tumors 21 days after cell injections (rule bar in cm).

FIGURE 9. HO-1 participates in RhoA-induced tumorigenesis. A, 1 × 10⁶ NIH-RhoAQL cells were injected in the right flank of 10 nude mice. Six days after cell injection animals were separated in two groups (five mice each) and treated with vehicle or 10 μmol/kg of body weight of SnPP in 0.1 N NaOH in PBS, pH 7.4, injected subcutaneously near the tumor area every other day. Tumor volumes were also measured every other day. Data are mean volume ± S.E. from \( n = 5 \) in each group, \( p \leq 0.05 \). B, expression of AU5-RhoA, HO-1, VEGF-A, and GAPDH mRNA in NIH-RhoAQL-induced tumors from vehicle and SnPP-treated mice was detected by RT-PCR with specific oligonucleotides. Bands shown correspond to ethidium bromide staining of PCR products and are representative of three different tumors analyzed per group. C, tumor tissue sections from vehicle and SnPP-treated mice were stained with antibodies anti-HO-1 or VEGF and with hematoxylin-eosin (H-E). D, 1 × 10⁶ NIH-RhoAQL or NIH-RhoAQL_{shHO-1}, cells were injected in the right flank of two groups of five nude mice each. The figure shows representative tumor-bearing animals from each group and the corresponding surgically removed tumors 21 days after cell injections (rule bar in cm).

induced tumor growth by 70%. This result is comparable with that previously observed in mice bearing vGPCR-induced tumors and treated under the same conditions, where SnPP reduced tumor growth by nearly 80% (25). SnPP is a chelate of tin with the porphyrin ring and has proven to be one of the most efficient inhibitors of HO-1 both in vitro and in vivo (68–71), and we have shown that HO-1 activity is completely abolished in vGPCR-induced tumors from mice treated with SnPP (25). Moreover, the histological analysis of the tumors shows that treatment with SnPP reverted the spindle-like shape phenotype observed in tumors from untreated animals in favor of tumors with less cell density and scarce, much less evident spindle cells. SnPP has been extensively used in vivo to treat several pathologies (72–74) and assayed in clinical trials with newborns to successfully block the progression of postnatal hyperbilirubinemia by blocking heme degradation to bilirubin without significant short or long term side effects in the patients (75). Similarly, the zinc protoporphyrin, another potent HO-1 inhibitor, has an antineoplastic effect in rats and mice bearing tumors derived from hepatoma and human colon cancer cells (9, 76) and also reduces vGPCR-induced tumor growth by 80% (data not shown). Recent evidence shows that HO-1 is overexpressed in pancreatic, gastric, lung, and renal cancer, myeloid leukemia, melanoma, glioma, tongue, thyroid, and hepatocarcinomas (8, 12, 13, 60, 61, 64, 65, 70, 75–83), which are some of the cancer types where RhoA is also overexpressed. Although the link between HO-1 expression and aberrant cell growth is starting to be unraveled, metalloporphyrins that inhibit HO-1 deserve further studies as antitumor drugs.

Several recent studies show an important role for HO-1 and the products derived from its enzymatic activity (carbon monoxide, iron, and biliverdin) in the synthesis of VEGF and angiogenesis (3, 59, 70, 77, 78). VEGF is a well known determinant factor in the development of tumorigenesis induced by vGPCR (23, 54), and we have shown that HO-1 mediates vGPCR-induced VEGF-A expression (25). In this study, we show that cells expressing RhoA and tumors derived from them display VEGF-A expression and secretion levels similar to those found in vGPCR-expressing cells and vGPCR-induced tumors. It is noteworthy that the impairment of RhoA expression in vGPCR-expressing cells reduced VEGF-A secretion, and treatment with SnPP strongly reduced VEGF-A expression in RhoA-derived tumors. These data support the evidence that RhoA and HO-1 are important mediators in the pathway that connects the viral receptor to VEGF-A expression...
and secretion. RhoA activation is also involved in the regulation of VEGF levels in hypoxia (84, 85) and angiogenesis (86, 87), and part of the antiangiogenic and antimitotastic effects of the new candidate antitumoral drugs statins are attributed to their potential to inactivate RhoA and the consequent down-regulation of VEGF levels (88, 89).

In conclusion, our data show that vGPCR induces HO-1 expression through a pathway that involves Gα12, Gα13, and RhoA. Blockage of these Gα subunits, RhoA or HO-1 expression, and/or activity results in strongly reduced vGPCR-induced cell transformation and tumor growth. These results help uncovering the complex nature of the signaling pathways regulated by vGPCR and reinforce the notion of HO-1 as a potential therapeutic target in the treatment of KS as well as in other tumors that display elevated RhoA expression and activity.
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