Analysis on Mechanism of China’s Grain Production Development and Evolution from 1985 to 2019
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ABSTRACT China’s grain production has been on a pathway paralleled with urbanization in recent 40 years, from lower efficiency and productivity to the higher ones, and has met complex impact factors and contradiction between sustainable development of farming and urbanization. Spatial-temporal pattern of grain output in China, by total amount and by output per capita, was analyzed through Local Moran’I. Until 2019, the high-output countries were mainly aggregated in the main plains and basins on the third step of China’s terrain and the low-output areas increased in the southeastern coastal regions; the countries with high output per capita almost disappear and the low areas increased a lot in the central and southern regions. Then the impact factors, by total output in the whole country and by grain surplus-shortage regions, were detected and found out through Geodetector. In the whole country, cultivated land areas, agricultural machinery, total meat output and value-added of primary industry not only play important role independently, but also have mutual coupling effect in grain total output. And in the grain surplus regions, major factors were cultivated land areas and value-added of primary industry, while in the grain shortage regions, were labors and market as well as economic factors. Thirdly, factor mechanism of China’s grain production for four types of development orientations, i.e., orientation on production scale, production efficiency and benefit, product quality and economic coordination, are concluded, and the way of grain production in different regions with unique suitability are expounded.

INDEX TERMS spatial-temporal pattern, grain supply and demand, Geodetector, mechanism, grain development orientation

I. INTRODUCTION

China’s food production is not only closely related to the daily lives of residents, but also plays a vital role in the country’s sustainable development and the stability of the world’s food market [1]. The current researches on China’s food production mainly focused on the evolution of spatial-temporal patterns [2–4], the impact factors [5–7], the balance of supply and demand [8–12], and grain production potential [13–16]. If your paper is intended for a conference, please contact your conference editor concerning acceptable word processor formats for your particular conference.

As to the impact factors, firstly, cultivated land was the basic factor for grain pro-duction, the moving direction of grain production center was generally consistent with that of cultivated land distribution center [17,18]. With the rapid development of urbanization in China, on the one hand, the conflicts between the growth of construction land and agricultural land have become increasingly significant [19], on the other hand, cultivated land abandonment brought about the reduction of grain production ecological environment and cultivated land production capacity [20]. Hence, holding fast to the "1.8 billion mu” red line of cultivated land has become a major national goal. Secondly, a shift of labors from country to city or from agricultural industry to non-agricultural industry caused the aging of rural...
labor force and the rise of agricultural labor price [21,22]. However, such changes have provided great potential for growth for the agricultural machinery investment, and then promoted the development of agricultural modernization [23,24]. Thirdly, the adjustment of residents' dietary structure has brought about a significant increase in the demand for meat, eggs and milk, which affected the direct and indirect consumption of grain [25]. Moreover, researchers mainly paid attention on changes of farmers’ production behavior and agricultural technologies [26] and climate factors [27,28]. The previous studies on the impact factors for grain production were mostly focused on the impact intensity of single factor and few interactive impact analyses of multi factors, and few analyses on the impact factors for grain production in grain surplus-shortage regions. Moreover, we also know that China’s grain problem is that the overall amount contradiction has already transformed to the structural one, appearing the spatial unbalance supply and demand among various regions. And then we are also thinking about what changes have taken place in the spatial pattern of grain production, and what kinds of impact factors have driven such spatial pattern during the pattern evolution as well as what the regional advantage of grain production for each region has when grain production faces with sustainable development. Therefore, we aim to obtain knowledge and reach conclusions helpful in supplementing the current scheme of regional development advantage of China’s grain production by the analysis of the spatial pattern of grain production evolution by total and per capita output and the main factors affecting such pattern evolution.

Overall, in this paper, we identified the spatial agglomerations of grain total and per capita output based on the spatial autocorrelation and investigated the spatial pattern evolution from 1985 to 2019. Then, we used Geodetector to analyze the impact intensity of single factor and interactive impact intensity of multi factors for grain total output in the entire country and grain surplus-shortage regions from 1985 to 2015. Furthermore, based on the impact factors analysis, the qualified regions with different grain production advantages were compiled via spatial overlap analysis.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the data and methods. After analyzing the spatial-temporal pattern of grain total and per capita output in Section 3.1, we further investigated the impact intensity of single factor and multi factors for grain total output in the entire country and grain surplus-shortage regions in Section 3.2, then we assess factor mechanism of China’s grain production for four types of development orientations in Section 3.3. Discussion is presented in Section 4. Finally, conclusion area drawn in Section 5.

II. Materials and Methods

A. Data Collection

1) SPATIAL BASIC GEOGRAPHICAL DATA

As shown in TABLE I, digital elevation model (DEM) data of China from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM). Meteorological datasets of China contained the annual average precipitation, the annual average temperature and accumulated temperature above 0℃. Cultivated land datasets were extracted by China’s land use datasets. The above datasets and the spatial data of soil subclasses and geomorphic type in China were provided by Data Center for Resources and Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (RESDC). GIS vector data of China’s county administrative divisions and agricultural regionalization were in 2013. China’s agricultural regionalization includes Northern arid and semiarid region, Northeast China Plain, Huang-Huai-Hai Plain, Loess Plateau, Middle-lower Yangtze Plain, Sichuan Basin and surrounding regions, Qinghai Tibet Plateau, Yunnan Guizhou Plateau and Southern China.

2) STATISTICAL DATA

The statistical data at county level during 1985–2016, including total grain output, rural population, the number of primary industry employees in rural population, total power of agricultural machinery, total meat output, GDP and the value-added of primary industry, was obtained from China statistical yearbook (county-level), which did not include data for the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, the Macao Special Administrative Region, and Taiwan Province. Herein the grain output data for the period of 2017–2019 could not be matched with the former because of different statistical indices used. We managed to derive the data for the entire period from 1985 to 2019 using substituted indices and data. In addition, due to the change in the scopes or names of county-level administrative divisions in different years, we used the scopes and codes of China's county-level administrative divisions in 2013 as the benchmark to revise the administrative units that only change names in other years.

| TABLE I | THE DATA COLLECTION |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Data Name | Data type | Spatial-temporal resolution |
| DEM | Raster data | 1km |
| Geomorphic | Raster data | 1km |
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| type                              | type                     | Raster data | 1km, 1985/1990/1995/2000/2005/2010/2015 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|
| Annual average precipitation     |                          |             |                                        |
| Accumulated temperature above 0°C|                          | Raster data | 1km, 1985/1990/1995/2000/2005/2010/2015 |
| Soil subclasses                   | Raster data              | 1km         |                                        |
| Cultivated land                   | Raster data              | 1km, 1985/1990/1995/2000/2005/2010/2015 |
| China’s county administrative divisions | Vector data         | 2013        |                                        |
| China’s agricultural regionalization | Vector data         | 2013        |                                        |
| Statistical data                  | —                        | County level (1985–2016) |                                        |

### B. Methods

1) **LOCAL MORAN’S I**

Spatial autocorrelation is a measure of the degree of numerical clustering in a global or local spatial region, which can be measured by Global Moran’s I or Local Moran’s I. Local spatial autocorrelation is used to evaluate the local heterogeneity of spatial autocorrelation (non-stationarity). By using local spatial autocorrelation, the spatial clustering of elements with high or low values within the region of interest can be identified as well as spatial outliers.

The Local Moran’s I statistic of spatial association is calculated by Equation (1):

\[
I_i = \frac{n(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij}(x_j - \bar{x})}
\]

where \(x_i\) represents an attribute for feature \(i\), \(\bar{x}\) represents the mean of the corresponding attribute, \(n\) represents the total number of features, and \(w_{ij}\) represents the spatial weight matrix \((n \times n)\) between features \(i\) and \(j\).

We chose grain output and per capita grain output at the county level as the local indicators of spatial association index cluster patterns.

2) **GEODETECTOR**

Geodetector is a method to detect spatial stratified heterogeneity and reveal its driving factors that cause the stratified heterogeneity. Because of this characteristic, the collinearity between the independent variables has no influence on the model calculation results, which is also one of the advantages of Geodetector [29]. The spatial stratified heterogeneity detection, factor detection and interaction detection in Geodetector are measured by the \(q\) value.

- **The spatial stratified heterogeneity detection and factor detection**

The spatial stratified heterogeneity detection and factor detection are given by Equations (2) and (3):

\[
q = 1 - \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{L} N_h \sigma_h^2}{N \sigma^2} = 1 - \frac{SSW}{SST}
\]

\[
SSW = \sum_{h=1}^{L} N_h \sigma_h^2, \quad SST = N \sigma^2
\]

where \(h = 1, \ldots, L\) is the strata of dependent variable \(Y\) or the strata of independent variable \(X\), namely classification or division; \(N_h\) and \(N\) are the number of units in strata \(h\) and the whole area, respectively; \(\sigma_h^2\) and \(\sigma^2\) are the variances of \(Y\) value of strata \(h\) and the whole area, respectively. \(SSW\) (Within Sum of Squares) and \(SST\) (Total Sum of Squares) are the sum of variance within the strata and the total variance of the whole area, respectively. \(q \in [0, 1]\), the larger the value of \(q\), the more obvious the spatial stratified heterogeneity; if the strata are generated by \(X\), then the larger the value of \(q\), the higher interpretation of \(X\) to \(Y\), else the lower interpretation of \(X\) to \(Y\). In extreme conditions, when \(q = 1\), it indicates that \(X\) completely controls the spatial distribution of \(Y\), when \(q = 0\), it indicates that \(X\) has no spatial relationship with \(Y\).

- **Interaction detection**

Interaction detection can evaluate whether the combined effect of independent variable \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) will enhance or weaken the interpretation for dependent variable \(Y\). Firstly, the \(q\) value of \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) to \(Y\) are calculated, respectively, namely \(q(X_1)\) and \(q(X_2)\); secondly, the \(q\) value of the new layer \(X_1 \cap X_2\) resulting from the overlay of \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) to \(Y\) is calculated, namely \(q(X_1 \cap X_2)\). \(q(X_1)\), \(q(X_2)\) and \(q(X_1 \cap X_2)\) were compared, if \(q(X_1 \cap X_2) < \text{Min}(q(X_1), q(X_2))\), then the interaction is nonlinear weakening; if \(\text{Min}(q(X_1), q(X_2)) < q(X_1 \cap X_2) < \text{Max}(q(X_1), q(X_2))\), then the interaction is single-factor nonlinear weakening; if \(q(X_1 \cap X_2) > \text{Max}(q(X_1), q(X_2))\), then the interaction is dual factor enhancing; if \(q(X_1 \cap X_2) = q(X_1) + q(X_2)\), then the interaction is independent; if
\( q(X_1 \cap X_2) > q(X_1) + q(X_2) \), then the interaction is nonlinear enhancing.

3) IMPACT FACTORS SELECTION

Grain production is the organic combination of social and natural reproduction. China’s grain production was historically based on elevation, climate and soil types. However, rapid development in agricultural technologies and market supply and demand chains as well as urbanization and industrialization have significantly broken through the historical boundaries of the main producing regions of China’s grain in recent years [30]. Therefore, combined with the impact factors selected in the existing related researches, the impact factors we selected from the following five categories: physical factors, cultivated land resources, agricultural production factors, market factors and economic factors. Because the spatial scale of basic geographical data and statistical data is different, basic geographical data needs to be matched with statistical data by the spatial calculation in ArcGIS 10.5.

- Physical factors

Physical factors are the most fundamental in the suitability for agricultural production, which support and maintain farming activities, of which climate and soil resources determine the pattern, structure, output, and quality of agricultural production to a great extent [31]. Thus, we selected topographic factors (elevation and geomorphic type) and meteorological factors (the annual average precipitation, the annual average temperature and accumulated temperature of 0°C) therein. Using Zonal Statistics tools, the modes of elevation, geomorphic type and accumulated temperature above 0 °C, and the averages of the annual average precipitation and temperature were calculated within a county. The reason for choosing the mode was that the mode represents the uniformity of a group of data, which can effectively avoid the influence of extreme values in the data.

- Land resources

Land resources is the basic input factor of agricultural production, and its quantity and quality have a direct influence on the variety and output of crops [32]. We focused on the quantity and quality of cultivated land in land resources, of which topography and soil type are the main factors affecting the quality of cultivated land. Thus, we selected cultivated land area, cultivated land area with slope category and soil subclasses as land factors at county level. Cultivated land area with slope category at county level was calculated by the following steps: (1) to calculate angle of slope by DEM using Slope tools; (2) to reclassify the slope as 0°, 3°, 3-8°, 8-15°, 15-25° and above 25° using Reclass tools [33]; (3) to class the cultivated land using Raster Calculator into cultivated land with 5 slope categories; (4) to extract the sum of each category of cultivated land area to a county using Tabulate Area tools. The sum of cultivated land area was calculated between cultivated land data and China's county administrative divisions and output a table of cultivated land area at county level using Tabulate Area tools. In addition, the modes of soil subclasses were calculated within a county using Zonal Statistics tools.

- Production factors

Besides physical and land resources, the input factors of agricultural production also include labor and agricultural science and technology. Historically, China’s grain production is labor-intensive. Although many rural labors have transferred to cities or non-agricultural industries in recent years, grain production still depends on a quantity of labors in most regions without extensive application of agricultural machinery. The agricultural production technology can expand the ability of labors. For example, the input of agricultural machinery not only improves the agricultural production efficiency but also saves in labors, which makes the labors transfer to other industries so as to increasing income [19]. Thus, we selected labor factors (rural population, the number of primary industry employees in rural population and non-agricultural employment opportunities) and agricultural technology factors (total power of agricultural machinery) as production factors at county level. Among them, non-agricultural employment opportunity was identified by the proportion of the number of non-agricultural employees in rural population.

- Market factors

The improvement of residents’ living standards and the transfer of rural residents to urban have promoted the change of residents' dietary structure, which the demand for livestock products has a markedly increase trend such as meat, eggs and milk. Thus, the rapid development of animal husbandry has promoted the feed grain demand, so as to the difference in the feed grain demand in different regions leads to the difference in grain production [34]. Hence, we selected the total meat output as a market factor at county level.

- Economic factors

The development of industrialization and urbanization in China has brought about the transfer of rural labors to urbans and non-agricultural industries, on the one hand, the government's support and public welfare services for agriculture production could be enhanced by the
improvement of regional economy, on the other hand [35–36]. Therefore, we selected the value-added of primary industry, per capita GDP and urbanization rate as economic factors at county level. Among them, urbanization rate was identified by the proportion of urban population in total population at county level.

Thus, we selected 20 impact factors to analyze the mechanism of China’s grain development and evolution during 1985–2015 (TABLE II).

TABLE II
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES SELECTION FOR GEODETECTOR

| Factors          | Variable selection                          | Variable type | Variable name |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Physical factors | Elevation                                   | numerical     | $X_1$         |
|                  | Geomorphic type                             | categorical   | $X_2$         |
|                  | Annual average temperature                  | numerical     | $X_3$         |
|                  | Accumulated temperature $\geq$ 0°C          | numerical     | $X_4$         |
|                  | Annual average precipitation                | numerical     | $X_5$         |
|                  | Soil subclasses                             | categorical   | $X_6$         |
|                  | Cultivated land area                        | numerical     | $X_7$         |
|                  | 0–3° cultivated land area                   | numerical     | $X_8$         |
|                  | 3–8° cultivated land area                   | numerical     | $X_9$         |
|                  | 8–15° cultivated land area                  | numerical     | $X_{10}$      |
|                  | 15–25° cultivated land area                 | numerical     | $X_{11}$      |
|                  | $\geq$25° cultivated land area              | numerical     | $X_{12}$      |
|                  | Rural population                            | numerical     | $X_{13}$      |
|                  | the number of primary industry employees in rural population | numerical | $X_{14}$      |
|                  | Non-agricultural employment opportunities    | numerical     | $X_{15}$      |
|                  | Total power of agricultural machinery        | numerical     | $X_{16}$      |
| Market factors   | Total meat output                           | numerical     | $X_{17}$      |
|                  | Value-added of primary industry              | numerical     | $X_{18}$      |
| Economic Factors | Per capita GDP                               | numerical     | $X_{19}$      |
|                  | Urbanization rate                           | numerical     | $X_{20}$      |

III. RESULTS

A. Spatial-Temporal Pattern of Grain Output

1) BY TOTAL GRAIN OUTPUT

The Moran’s I and z-value for grain output at county level increased from 0.67 to 0.73 and from 50.56 to 54.23, respectively, which indicated an increasingly high global clustered pattern for the capacity of grain production from 1985 to 2019.

Then the spatial pattern map of grain output (FIGURE 1) from 1985 to 2019, were made, reflecting four patterns of high-high, low-low, high-low, low-high in the country.

The high-high pattern represents the core counties and their surrounding counties with relatively high grain output in a high-land shape model, and the spatial differentiation among them is small, which were aggregated, in 1985, in the main plains and basins with superior thermal and moisture conditions, located on the second and third steps of China’s terrain. The high-high counties have markedly increased in Northeast China Plain and decreased in Middle-lower Yangtze Plain and Sichuan Basin and surrounding regions from 1985 to 2019. And by 2019, the similar geomorphologic units with similar conditions mainly were aggregated on the third step of China’s terrain. And there was an increase trend from 37.62% in 1985 to 40.62% in 2019 in the percentage of grain output.

The low-low pattern represents the core counties and their surrounding counties with relatively low grain output in a low plain shape model, and the spatial differentiation among them is small, which were aggregated, in 1985, in the first and second steps of China’s terrain. The low-low counties have only increased in the southeastern coastal areas and decreased in the second step of China’s terrain from 1985 to 2019. And by 2019, the low-low counties were mainly in the first step of China’s terrain, Northern arid and semi-arid region, Loess Plateau, Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau and the southeastern coastal areas.
The high-low pattern represents the core counties with high output and surrounding counties with low output of grain in an island shape model, meaning the spatial differentiation among them is large, which were discretely distributed around Low-Low counties. High-Low counties were mainly in the oasis agriculture areas and the basins between hills, and there was a decline trend from 0.62% in 1985 to 0.21% in 2019 in the percentage of grain output.

The low-high pattern this represents the core counties with low output and surrounding counties with high output of grain in a hollow-land shape model, meaning the spatial differentiation among them is large, which were discretely distributed around the High-High counties. Low-High counties were mainly in the regions with both development of forestry and animal husbandry, the mountain areas and the poverty counties, where the percentage of grain output has decreased from 0.79% in 1985 to 0.40% in 2019.

![Spatial agglomeration of grain output at the county level from 1985 to 2019.](image)

FIGURE 1. Spatial agglomeration of grain output at the county level from 1985 to 2019.

2) BY PER CAPITA GRAIN OUTPUT

The Moran’s I and z-value for per capita grain output at county level increased from 0.45 to 0.67 and from 34.44 to
49.93, respectively, which indicated an increasingly high global clustered pattern for per capita grain output from 1985 to 2019.

Then the spatial pattern map of per capita grain output (FIGURE 2) from 1985 to 2019, is similar to FIGURE 1, also reflecting four patterns of high-high, low-low, high-low, low-high. The difference is that, from 1985 to 2019, the high-high counties almost disappear and the low-low counties increased a lot in the central and southern regions, indicating that there is much more amount and much higher density of population, which accounts much lower share of grain output per person in these areas than in others. Before 2005, the evolution of the spatial agglomeration of per capita grain output was mainly represented in the increase of high-high counties in Northeast China Plain and Northern arid and semi-arid region and the decrease of high-high counties in Middle-lower Yangtze Plain and low-low counties in the second step of China’s terrain. After 2005, the evolution was mainly shown in the increase of high-high counties in Northeast China Plain and Northern arid and semi-arid region and low-low counties in Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau and the southeastern coastal areas.

FIGURE 2. Spatial agglomeration of per capita grain output at the county level from 1985 to 2019.
B. Impact Factors Analysis on China’s Grain Output

The spatial stratified heterogeneity of impact factors, single and interaction, on grain output, was detected using Geodetector, thus investigating the contributions of 20 factors to China’s grain production in the whole country and grain surplus-shorage regions.

1) THE SPATIAL STRATIFIED HETEROGENEITY DETECTION FOR IMPACT FACTORS

When using Geodetector, the value of $q$ is uniquely determined for categorical independent variables. For numerical independent variables, it needs to be discretized into categorical variables. On the one hand, different discretization granularities will have a significant impact on the model results, and usually choose discretization scheme with the largest value of $q$ statistic, on the other hand, the number of spatial strata should be within a reasonable range to ensure the interpretability of the results [29]. In the related researches, the spatial strata of independent variables were divided uniformly by researchers’ experience. Although it can ensure the interpretability of the results, the differences of spatial distribution among the independent variables will be ignored. Therefore, we firstly applied K-means method to divide each numerical independent variable into 3–10 clusters. Then the $q$ value of each cluster was calculated using the spatial stratified heterogeneity detection of Geodetector, and the $q$ value of the classification results of numerical independent variables were obtained. The results of the spatial stratified heterogeneity detection for the numerical independent variables were featured in FIGURE 3, taking the results in 2015 as an example. Finally, the spatial strata result of the numerical independent variable was selected by the cluster value corresponding to the $q$ value at the inflection point and the interpretability of independent variable. As shown in TABLE III, the cluster values representing the spatial strata of numerical independent variables were determined by the above steps from 1985 to 2015, indicating that numerical independent variables were discretized into categorical ones with corresponding cluster value.

2) BY TOTAL OUTPUT IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY

First of all, let’s see the result of factor detection.

As shown in FIGURE 4, in general, the main impact factors on grain output were topographic factors ($X_1$, $X_2$), soil subclasses ($X_6$), cultivated land area ($X_7$), 0–3° cultivated land area ($X_8$), rural population ($X_{13}$), the number of primary industry employees in rural population ($X_{14}$), total power of agricultural machinery ($X_{15}$), total meat output ($X_{16}$) and value-added of primary industry ($X_{17}$). Among them, soil subclasses (ranged from 0.40 to 0.46), cultivated land area (ranged from 0.33 to 0.56), 0–3° cultivated land area (ranged from 0.38 to 0.60) and total power of agricultural
machinery (ranged from 0.36 to 0.45) were featured by marked increase trend during the study period. Note that the impact intensity of the cultivated land area above 25° (X_{12}) was higher than that of cultivated land area at 3–25° (X_{9}–X_{11}), and had an increase trend.

From the perspective of each time point, major factors affecting regional differences in grain output before 2000, are mainly production and market as well as economic ones, such as labors, meat output, and value-added of primary industry, while after 2000, changed to land resource factors, meaning that the land resource is increasingly important with the urbanization courses in various regions.

Secondly, the results of interaction detection (FIGURE 5) show that, the interaction between any two independent variables on grain output was dual factor enhancing or nonlinear enhancing during 1985–2015. Herein the interaction intensity between land resources (X_{9}, X_{7} and X_{9}) and production factors as well as social-economic factors (X_{13}, X_{14}, X_{15}, X_{17}, and X_{18}) are much higher than the interaction among others, and such trend increased after 2000, meaning there is increasingly positive enhancement between land resources and production as well as social-economic features for the spatial difference of grain production. Thus, these factors not only play important role independently, but also have mutual coupling effect in China’s food production and security.

![FIGURE 5. Variation of q value statistic of impact factors on grain output from 1985-2015.](image)

TABLE 1. Coefficient of partial correlation among major factors

| 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| X_1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_2  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_3  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_4  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_5  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_6  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_7  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_8  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_9  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_10 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_11 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_12 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_13 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_14 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_15 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_16 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_17 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_18 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_19 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| X_20 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
3) By grain surplus-shortage regions

The spatial agglomeration of grain output per capita (FIGURE 2) reminded us that the population amount and density impacted not only on grain supply, but also on its demand in each region. Thus, the mechanism of grain surplus and shortage by provincial & regional units in 2015 was analyzed further, applying the standard food consumption line of 400kg per capita, which generated the impact density for factors grain surplus and shortage regions of in China. The result of factor detection (FIGURE 6) shows that in the grain surplus regions, the major factors were land resources ($X_6$, $X_7$ and $X_8$) and value-added of primary industry ($X_{18}$), while in the grain shortage regions, were production and social-economic factors ($X_{13}$, $X_{14}$, $X_{17}$ and $X_{18}$), and there were great differences in the impact intensity of main factors between two types of regions. Another contrast shows that, in terms of production factors, regional differences depended mainly on labors input in grain shortage regions, while in grain surplus regions, mainly on machinery input.

![FIGURE 5](image-url)

FIGURE 5. The interaction detection of impact factors on grain output from 1985 to 2015: (a) the interaction detection in 1985 without $X_6$ and $X_{11}$; (b) the interaction detection in 1995; (c) the interaction detection in 2005; (d) the interaction detection in 2015.

![FIGURE 6](image-url)

FIGURE 6. The interaction detection of impact factors on grain output from 1985 to 2015: (a) the interaction detection in 1985 without $X_6$ and $X_{11}$; (b) the interaction detection in 1995; (c) the interaction detection in 2005; (d) the interaction detection in 2015.

The result of interact detection on factors shows that in surplus regions (FIGURE 7(a)), the interaction intensity among land resource factors ($X_6$, $X_7$ and $X_8$) and economic factors ($X_{18}$) were much higher than the interaction among others, meaning that grain surplus mainly determined by land resources and economic benefit, while in shortage regions (FIGURE 8(b)), the interaction intensity among land resources ($X_7$, $X_8$) and production ($X_{13}$ - $X_{16}$) as well as social-economic factors ($X_{17}$, $X_{18}$) were much higher than others, meaning that limitation of cultivated land area, sufficient input of production factors, especially labors input, plus market demand and benefit were the major reasons of grain shortage.
C. Factor Mechanism of China’s Grain Production for Four Types of Development Orientations

Grain production is an organic combination of social and natural reproduction. China’s grain production has been on a pathway in recent 40 years, from relatively extensive sowing, less grain output per unit area and less total output, to decreased sown areas, increased grain output per unit area, and increased total output, driven by several factors of natural resources and social-economic features. The above results lead us to think: what should be China’s regional advantage or orientation in grain production? Here we presenting four types of development orientations for China’s grain production according to the regional production advantage, including production scale orientation, production efficiency and benefit orientation, product quality orientation and economic coordination orientation. Furthermore, the regions with single factor advantage were firstly selected by the factor value higher than the national average, and then the qualified regions were compiled through overlay analysis of the regions with single factor advantage.

1) PRODUCTION SCALE ORIENTATION

It’s our primary objective to increase the production scale and raise the quantity of grain to the maximum extent. In 70 years since the founding of the People Republic of China (PRC), China’s farming has been mainly on the way of scale orientation, by fully utilizing suitable farming conditions and natural, social, economic and technological resources, expanding sowing area, and increasing grain output per unit areas at the same time. China’s cultivated land was mainly concentrated in Northeast Plain, Northern arid and semi-arid region, Huang-Huai-Hai Plain and Middle-lower Yangtze Plain, with accounting for 66.84% of the total area in 1985 and 70.16% in 2015. Among them, the cultivated land area had an increase in Northeast Plain, Northern arid and semiarid region by 0.91% and 3.34%, respectively, which has driven the transformation of the spatial pattern of grain output.

Therefore, the advantage regions for this orientation should have large-scale cultivated land area and high cultivated land connectivity. And the realization of this orientation relies on following factors: physical factors (geomorphic type) and land resources (cultivated land area). As shown in FIGURE 8, the qualified regions for this orientation have the cultivated land area greater than a national average of 764 km², and plains and basin as well as platform, and they are mostly in Northeast China Plain, Northern arid and semiarid region, Huang-Huai-Hai Plain, Middle-lower Yangtze Plain and Sichuan Basin and surrounding regions.
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**FIGURE 7.** The interaction detection of impact factors on grain output in grain surplus and shortage regions in 2015: (a) the interaction detection in grain surplus regions, excluding X18 (non-significant); (b) the interaction detection in grain shortage regions, excluding Xs, Xs, Xs11 and Xs19 (non-significant).
products for and after 2000, ship between agricultural distribution regions of meat output. The relation of cultivated land and the establishment of new agricultural business entities have improved the level of agricultural mechanization. The relationship between agricultural machinery and labor has been changed from complementarity to substitution in the northern China [23]. By 2015, Middle-lower Yangtze Plain (25.93%), Huang-Huai-Hai Plain (21.66%), Northeast Plain (14.25%) and Yunnan Guizhou Plateau (13.17%) were concentrated distribution regions of meat output. Value-added of primary industry had a relatively high level of influence on grain output, although there was a decrease trend after 2000, indicating that the benefits of the primary industry promoted farmers’ willingness to produce.

Therefore, the advantage regions for this orientation should have high agricultural mechanization level and economic benefit. Moreover, due to the natural distribution law of heat and moisture conditions, China's agricultural planting system (natural production efficiency) increases from north to south. Here is the factor list for this orientation: physical factors (temperature and precipitation), production factors (total power of agricultural machinery), market factors (total meat output) and economic factors (value-added of primary industry). As shown in FIGURE 9, Concerning the temperature and precipitation, the qualified regions are Middle-lower Yangtze Plain, Southern China, Sichuan Basin and surrounding regions and Yunnan Guizhou Plateau, where the best conditions of heat and water can supply double or triple planting of farming a year [31]. Concerning agricultural mechanization level and market demand as well as economic benefit, the qualified regions are mostly in Northeast China Plain, Huang-Huai-Hai Plain and Middle-lower Yangtze Plain, with exceeding national averages of the total power of agricultural machinery (0.46 million kw) and total meat output (43.6 thousand tons) as well as value-added of primary industry (249.7 million CNY).
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agricultural product with geographical indication is one of the important brands of high-quality agricultural products in China, which have evident characteristics in terms of terrain, humanities and quality. Here is the factor list for this orientation: land resources (soil types) and grain products with geographical indication. As shown in FIGURE 10, there are 324 grain products with geographical indication until 2021, which are mostly in Middle-lower Yangtze Plain (59 products), Loess Plateau (53 products) and Huang-Huai-Hai Plain (51 products).

4) ECONOMIC COORDINATION ORIENTATION

There is a two-way relationship between grain production and regional economic development. On the one hand, grain production is an important part of the primary industry and has a certain direct contribution to regional economic benefits. On the other hand, regional economic development has a negative influence on grain production, i.e., cultivated land occupation, or a positive influence, i.e., capital subsidies. Thus, the economic coordination orientation is the demand of advanced economy, with feature of recycling economy and industrial coordinated development. The grain production could be integrated with ecosystem protection, and secondary & tertiary industries. The advantage regions for this orientation should have high the number of labors as well as regional economic development level. Here is the factor list for this orientation: production factors (rural population and non-agricultural employment opportunities) and economic factors (value-added of primary industry, per capita GDP and urbanization rate).

As shown in FIGURE 11, the qualified regions are mostly in Huang-Huai-Hai Plain and Middle-lower Yangtze Plain, with exceeding national averages of rural population (393 thousand) and non-agricultural employment opportunities (42.0%) as well as value-added of primary industry, per capita GDP (38.3 thousand CNY), urbanization rate (21.1%), especially in Yangtze Delta where there is high level of economy and urbanization, could develop a new kind of farming coordinated with other industries, and rural vitalization, as well as tourism.

FIGURE 10. The location of grain products with geographical indication until 2021.

FIGURE 11. The spatial distribution of suitable regions for economic coordination orientation.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Prerequisite and Sufficient Factors for China’s Grain Production

The qualification of grain producing areas is the combination of multiple factors, among which the physical features and natural resources are prerequisite and necessary conditions of farming, and the social and economic factors are supplementary conditions. Integration of the two types of factors may compose a sufficient one, under which adequate grains could be produced, and could feed large quantity of local people, could supply to animal husbandry, and secondary industry, as well as to the tertiary industries. The surplus foods, if there are any, could supply to the outside areas.

Therefore, the grain surplus regions are those areas where there are both prerequisite and sufficient factors for producing adequate foods to support not only to local people and economy, but also to the outside ones. While the shortage regions are those areas where there are not necessary farming factors, or the necessary factors couldn’t supply sufficient grain for local people, let alone for local economy, or to the outside areas.

B. Different Suitability is the Best Regional Choice for Four Types of Grain Orientation
The four types of grain developing orientations are not unified criteria for various regions, but should be carried out according to their own suitability, instead. For example, Northeast China Plain is the special region which is suitable for three orientations, i.e., the ones for production scale, production efficiency and benefit, and product quality. The areas in the Yangtze Delta are suitable, to some extent, for production scale and efficiency as well as benefit mode, but mostly suitable for economic coordination orientation. So different suitability is the best regional choice for the grain orientation of themselves.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Spatial-temporal pattern of grain output in China, by total amount and by output per capita, was analyzed. We found out the number of the regions with high grain output had increased in northern China and decreased in southern China while the number of the regions with low grain output had increased in the southeast coastal areas and decreased in central and western China from 1985 to 2019. There was a similar transformation in per capita grain output. Until 2019, the regions with high per capita output were concentrated in Northeast China Plain and Northern arid and semiarid region while the regions with low per capita output were concentrated in Qinghai Tibet Plateau, Loess Plateau, Yunnan Guizhou Plateau and Southern China. Then the impact factors, by total output in the whole country and by grain surplus-shortage regions, were detected and found out. The results showed that the main impact factors for the regional differences in grain output in the whole country changed from labors, market and economic factors to land resources, machinery power, market and economic factors during the study period, and these factors not only play important role independently, but also have mutual coupling effect in China’s food production and security. In the grain surplus regions, the major factors were land resources and value-added of primary industry, while in the grain shortage regions, were labors and market as well as economic factors. And in terms of production factors, regional differences depended mainly on labors input in grain shortage regions, while in grain surplus regions, mainly on machinery input. Thirdly, factor mechanism of China’s grain production for four types of development orientations, i.e., orientation on production scale, production efficiency and benefit, product quality and economic coordination, are concluded, and the way of grain production in different region with unique suitability are expounded. This paper still leaves a large space for additional research, which needs further exploration and application. For example, China’s grain is also affected by policies. Hence, the impact factors on grain output need to further include the policy factors and their interaction with other factors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was funded by the Project of National Special Program of Scientific Basic Working, grant number 2013FY112800. The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editors for valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] The National Food and Strategic Reserves Administration, China [online]. Available: http://www.lswz.gov.cn/html/xinwen/2019-10/14/content_247014.shtml, Accessed on: Oct. 14, 2019.
[2] J. Wang, Y. Liu, “The Changes of Grain Output Center of Gravity and its Driving Forces in China since 1990,” Resources Science, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 1188–1194, 2009.
[3] Y. Liu, G. Wang, B. Gao, Y. Zhou, “Spatio-temporal analysis of grain production at different Levels in China—Based on statistical data from 1998 to 2010,” Research of Agricultural Modernization, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 673–677, 2012.
[4] Y. Li, S. Pan, C. Miao, “The spatial-temporal patterns of per capita share of grain at the county level in China: A comparison between registered population and resident population,” Acta Geographica Sinica, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 1753–1766, 2014.
[5] J. Pan, J. Zhang, “Spatio-temporal pattern and its driving forces of per capital grain possession in China,” Resources and Environment in the Yangtze Basin, vol. 26, pp. 410–418, 2017.
[6] F. Wang, Y. Liu, X. Kong, Y. Chen, J. Pan, “Spatio and temporal variation of grain production and its influencing factors at the county level in China,” Economic Geography, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 142–151, 2018.
[7] Z. Xu, Z. Song, A. Deng, W. Chen, F. Chen, W. Zhang, “Regional changes of production layout of main grain crops and their actuation factors during 1981-2008 in China,” Journal of Nanjing Agricultural University, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 79–86, 2013.
[8] Z. Liu, H. Zhong, Y. Li, Q. Wen, X. Liu, J. Jian, “Change in grain production in China and its impacts on spatial supply and demand distributions in recent two decades,” Journal of Natural Resources, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1413–1425, 2021.
[9] P. Yin, X. Fang, Y. Ma, Q. Tian, “New regional pattern of grain supply-demand in China in the early 21st century,” Journal of Natural Resources, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 625–631, 2006.
[10] P. Yin, X. Fang, Y. Ma, Q. Tian, “Distribution and Regional Difference of Food Shortage in China in 21st Century,” Acta Geographica Sinica, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 463–472, 2007.
[11] G. Xie, S. Cheng, Y. Xiao, C. Lu, X. Liu, J. Xu, “The Balance between grain supply and demand and the reconstruction of China’s food security strategy in the new period,” Journal of Natural Resources, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 895–903, 2017.
[12] Z. Feng, X. Li, “The Stratagem of Cultivated Land and Food Supplies Security in Land-Raising the Comprehensive Productivity of Land Resource of China.” Geography and Territorial Research, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1–5, 2000.
[13] L. Liu, X. Xu, J. Liu, X. Chen, J. Ning, “Impact of farmland changes on production potential in China during 1990–2010,” J. Geogr. Sci, vol. 25, pp. 19–34, 2015.
[14] L. Fei, L. Ya, M. Sheng, “Regional difference of grain production potential change and its influencing factors: a case-study of Shaanxi Province, China,” The Journal of Agricultural Science, https://doi.org/10.1101/S0021859619000145, pp. 1–11, 2019.
15] X. Tian, X. Yu, X. Zhang, “Grain output potential in China: A perspective from club convergence,” *Journal of Zhejiang University (Humanities and Social Sciences)*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 112–128, 2016.

16] Q. Lu, M. Lv, “Trends and basic causes of the regional pattern changes in China’s grain production since 1950’s,” *Progress in Geography*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 31–36, 1997.

17] Y. Liu, J. Wang, L. Guo, “The spatial-temporal changes of grain production and arable land in China,” *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 4269–4274, 2009.

18] T. Hu, Z. Ju, W. Zhou, “Regional pattern of grain supply and demand in China,” *Acta Geographica Sinica*, vol. 71, no. 8, pp. 1372–1383, 2016.

19] S. Wang, X. Bai, X. Zhang, “Urbanization can benefit agricultural production with large-scale farming in China,” *Nat Food*, vol. 2, pp. 183–191, 2021.

20] Y. Li, W. Ma, G. Jiang, G. Li, D. Zhou, “The degree of cultivated land abandonment and its influence on grain yield in main grain producing areas of China,” *Journal of Natural Resources*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1439–1454, 2021.

21] X. Yi, Y. Yan, “The impact of rural labor price fluctuation on grain production and its regional differences,” *Journal of South China Agricultural University (Social Science Edition)*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 70–83, 2019.

22] N. Xu, L. Zhang, “Impact of aging labor force on agricultural production efficiency in China,” *Journal of China Agricultural University*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 227–233, 2014.

23] M. Huang, X. Li, L. You, “Impact of agricultural machinery and agricultural labor investment on grain production and its elasticity of substitution,” *Journal of Huazhong Agricultural University (Social Sciences Edition)*, no. 134, pp. 37–45, 2018.

24] S. Yu, T. Liu, B. Cao, “Effects of agricultural mechanization service on the cost efficiency of grain production——Evidence from wheat-producing areas in China,” *Journal of Huazhong Agricultural University (Social Sciences Edition)*, DOI: 10.13300/j.cnki.hnwkbx.2019.04.009.

25] Xie, G.; Cheng, S.; Xiao, Y.; Lu, C.; Liu, X.; Xu, J. The balance between grain supply and demand and the reconstruction of China’s food security strategy in the new period. *J. Nat. Resour.*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 895–903, 2017.

26] Y. Ye, Q. Qi, L. Jiang, A. Zhang, “Impact factors of grain output from farms in Heilongjiang reclamation area based on geographical detector,” *Geographical Research*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 171–182, 2018.

27] G. Du, J. Ma, L. Zhang, X. Sun, Z. Zhang, Z. Liu, “Spatiotemporal characteristics of grain potential productivity change under the background of climate change over the past 50 years in the Sanjiang Plain,” *Research of Soil and Water Conservation*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 361–366, 2018.

28] R. Zhao, H. Wang, Y. Dong, “Impact of climate change on grain yield and its trend across Guanzhong region,” *Chinese Journal of Eco-Agriculture*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 467–479, 2020.

29] J. Wang, C. Xu, “Geodetector: Principle and prospective,” *Acta Geographica Sinica*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 116–134, 2017.

30] G. Veeck (2019), “Delineating historical and contemporary agricultural production regions for China,” *International Journal of Cartography*, DOI: 10.1080/23729333.2021.1925495.

31] Lei, C.; Qi, Q.; Jiang, L.; Fu, Y.; Liang, Q. Analysis of Current and Qualified Major Grain Producing Areas in China in the Last 30 Years. *Sustainability*, 2022, 14, 2909. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052909

32] L. Wang, A. Liu, L. Xin, “Research on strategy of China’s grain security and cultivated land safeguard,” *Chinese Agriculture Press*: Beijing, China, 2019, pp. 15–20.

33] J. Fan, “Assessment Guidelines for Resource and Environmental Carrying Capacity and Territorial Development Suitability,” China Science Publishing & Media Ltd.: Beijing, China, 2018, pp. 8.

34] China Food Economics Society, “China’s grain reform and open in recent 40 years,” *Economic Management Press*: Beijing, China, 2019, pp. 5–10.

35] T. Zhang, “Grain security and agricultural structure adjustment,” *Chinese Agriculture Press*: Beijing, China, 2019, pp. 20–23.

36] F. Wang, X. Yu, “Food economy and culture,” *China Book Publishing House*: Beijing, China, 2019, pp. 1–5.