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Abstract

In this paper, we design a joint angle estimation analysis and positioning (JAEAP) algorithm for the three-dimensional (3D) millimeter wave (mmWave) system. First, we estimate the angles of arrival (AoAs) at the anchors by applying the two-dimensional discrete Fourier transform (2D-DFT) algorithm. Based on the property of the 2D-DFT algorithm, the angle estimation error is analyzed in terms of probability density functions (PDF). The analysis shows that the derived angle estimation error is non-Gaussian, which is different from the existing work. Second, the intricate expression of the PDF of the AoA estimation error is simplified by employing the first-order linear approximation of triangle functions. Then, we derive a complex expression for the variance based on the derived PDF. Distinctively, for the azimuth estimation error, the variance is separately integrated according to the different non-zero intervals of the PDF, which will be used in the second part of this series work for the analysis of the position estimation error. Finally, we apply the weighted least square (WLS) algorithm to estimate the 3D position of the MU by using the estimated AoAs and the obtained non-Gaussian variance. Extensive simulation results confirm that the derived angle estimation error is non-Gaussian, and also demonstrate the superiority of the proposed JAEAP algorithm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As an important requirement for the sixth generation (6G) wireless networks, high-accuracy positioning service is of great value in a wide range of applications [1], such as automated driving vehicles [2], smart factory [3] and virtual reality [4]. For example, it is predicted that the 2020s will be the first decade for automated driving vehicles with the positioning accuracy at decimeter level [5]. However, the positioning accuracy of the prevalent global positioning system (GPS) is about 5 meters even in ideal conditions [6], which cannot meet the stringent requirement on positioning accuracy for these thriving applications [7]. Therefore, network-based positioning systems are emerging as a promising alternative to GPS in 6G networks [8].

In current wireless positioning networks, the millimeter wave (mmWave) technique [9] can provide an extremely highly-accurate estimation of channel parameters, such as the channel gain, time delays, and angle of arrival (AoA). These parameters can be used to estimate the position of the mobile user (MU). Therefore, it is of much interest to explore positioning algorithms for the mmWave positioning systems. Typically, positioning algorithms work through the following two steps. First, channel parameters can be obtained through some estimation methods [10]–[12]. Second, positioning algorithms can be designed accordingly. Specifically, expressions of the complex non-linear geometric relationships between the channel parameters and the position coordinates are first derived [13]. Then, the estimation error of the positioning parameters and the non-linear geometric relationships are jointly utilized to derive the non-linear equations [14]. Finally, the position of the MU is determined by solving the equations using iterative or non-iterative algorithms. From the above-mentioned positioning steps, the estimation error of the channel parameters can directly determine the positioning accuracy. In general, different methods for estimating different channel parameters lead to different types of parameter estimation errors [15].

To date, mmWave positioning systems have attracted extensive research attention [16]–[21]. To reduce the high computational complexity imposed by a large number of antennas, [16] proposed a novel channel compression method for the mmWave positioning systems. In [17], the successive localization and beamforming scheme was proposed to estimate the long-term MU location and the instantaneous channel state for the mmWave multi-input multi-output (MIMO) communications. To provide an analytical performance validation, [18] studied the
theoretical performance bounds (i.e., Cramér-Rao lower bounds (CRLB)) for positioning, and evaluated the impact of the number of reflecting elements and the phase shifts of reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) on the positioning estimation accuracy. Alouini et al. derived the CRLB for assessing the performance of synchronous and asynchronous signaling schemes and proposed an optimal closed-form reflecting phase shifts for joint communication and localization [19]. [20] demonstrated that accurate estimates of the position of an unknown node can be determined using estimates of time of arrival (ToA), and AoA, as well as data fusion or machine learning. [21] considered the channel estimation problem and the channel-based wireless applications in multiple-input multiple-output orthogonal frequency division multiplexing systems assisted by RIS.

However, the above-mentioned contributions assume that the error of the estimation of the channel parameters follows the Gaussian distribution, which is inconsistent with practical scenarios. In practice, the distribution of these parameters depends on the practical estimation methods (e.g., 2D-DFT algorithm [22]), which may not follow the Gaussian distribution. Therefore, existing positioning algorithms and performance analysis may not be applicable when considering practical channel parameter estimation methods. Hence, it is necessary to model the estimation error of the estimated channel parameters, so that the position of the MU can be estimated based on the estimation error.

In this paper, we aim to design a joint angle estimation analysis and positioning (JAEAP) algorithm for the mmWave 3D positioning systems. Our main contributions are summarized as follows.

1) We propose the JAEAP algorithm for the mmWave 3D positioning systems. First, we estimate the AoAs at the anchors by applying the 2D-DFT algorithm. Second, based on the estimated AoAs and the characters of the 2D-DFT algorithm, we design a PDF derivation algorithm to derive the closed-form expression of the PDF of the estimation error. Then, according to the PDF of the estimation error, we calculate the variance of the estimation error. Finally, using the estimated AoAs and the obtained variance, we apply the weighted least square algorithm to estimate the 3D position of the MU.

2) The estimated AoAs are first derived in closed-form based on the 2D-DFT method. Based on the expression of estimation, we find that the angle estimation error at the anchors depends on the search grid, the panel size of the anchors, and the number of antennas of the anchors. Moreover, due to the property of 2D-DFT, the error of the angle estimation
follows the uniform distribution.

3) According to the uniform distribution of the estimation error of azimuth and elevation, the angle estimation error is characterized in terms of the PDF, which is non-Gaussian. To be specific, we first derive the PDF by using the geometric relationship between the AoAs and their triangle functions. Then, we simplify the complex geometric expression of the PDF by employing the first-order linear approximation of the triangle function. For the azimuth angle estimation, we provide an algorithm to derive and approximate the PDF expression of its estimation error.

4) Based on the PDF of estimation error, we theoretically derive the variance of the angle estimation error. Since the PDF of the azimuth estimation error has three different non-zero intervals, we separately calculate the integral in the different intervals according to the variance calculation formula.

5) Simulation results verify the accuracy of the derived results and demonstrate the superiority of the proposed JAEAP algorithm. We observe that the variance decreases with the number of elements, which means that increasing the number of anchors antennas improves the estimation accuracy.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The system model for the mmWave positioning system is described in Section II. The details of the proposed JAEAP algorithm are given in Section III. The procedures of estimating angles are given in Section IV. Section V derives the PDF in more details. Section VI calculates the variance. The positioning algorithm is given in Section VII. Simulation results are given in Section VIII. Section IX concludes the work of this paper.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

Consider a mmWave time division duplex (TDD) 3D positioning system, where an MU sends pilot signals to the anchors to locate the MU. The anchors are equipped with with a uniform planar array (UPA) with $N_{y,z} = N_y \times N_z$ antennas, where $N_y$ and $N_z$ denote the numbers of antennas along the y-axis and z-axis, respectively. The MU is equipped with a single antenna.

As shown in Fig. 1, the anchors are placed parallel to the y-o-z plane with the center located at $s_i = [x_i, y_i, z_i]^T$, $i \in [1, \cdots, I]$. The true location of the MU is $q = [x_q, y_q, z_q]^T$. The estimated location of the MU is denoted as $\hat{q} = [\hat{x}_q, \hat{y}_q, \hat{z}_q]^T$. Generally, once the anchors have been
deployed, the coordinate $s_i$ are known and invariant. In order to locate the MU, we need to obtain the estimated $\hat{q}$.

Assuming that the number of propagation paths between the MU and the $i$th anchor is $N_i$, the AoA of the $n_i$th path from the MU to the $i$th anchor can be decomposed into the elevation angle $0 \leq \Theta_{n_i} \leq \pi$ in the vertical direction, and the azimuth angle $0 \leq \Phi_{n_i} \leq \pi$ in the horizontal direction, respectively. As a result, the array response vector at the anchor can be expressed as

$$a(\Theta_{n_i}, \Phi_{n_i}) = a_e(\Phi_{n_i}) \otimes a_a(\Theta_{n_i}, \Phi_{n_i}),$$

(1)

with

$$a_e(\Phi_{n_i}) = [1, e^{-j2\pi d_r \sin \Phi_{n_i} / \lambda_c}, \ldots, e^{-j2\pi (M_x-1) d_r \sin \Phi_{n_i} / \lambda_c}]^T,$$

(2)

and

$$a_a(\Theta_{n_i}, \Phi_{n_i}) = [1, e^{-j2\pi d_r \cos \Theta_{n_i} \cos \Phi_{n_i} / \lambda_c}, \ldots, e^{-j2\pi (M_z-1) d_r \cos \Theta_{n_i} \cos \Phi_{n_i} / \lambda_c}]^T,$$

(3)

where $d_r$ and $\lambda_c$ denote the distance between the antennas of the anchors and the carrier wavelength, respectively. Then, the channel between the MU and the $i$th anchor, denoted as $h_i$, can be modeled as

$$h_i = \sum_{n_i=1}^{N_i} \alpha_{n_i} a(\Theta_{n_i}, \Phi_{n_i}) = \alpha_{L,i} a(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i}) + \sum_{n_i=2}^{N_i} \alpha_{n_i} a(\Theta_{n_i}, \Phi_{n_i}),$$

(4)
where $\alpha_{n_i}$ denotes the complex channel gain of $n_i$th path. As we can see from [4], we can categorize channel components of $h_i$ into two types, namely LoS and NLoS. LoS path component is the direct path between the anchor and the MU, NLoS path component consists of the paths between anchor and the MU reflected by scatters, e.g., walls, human bodies, and etc. Moreover, according to [23], the complex channel gain of LoS is given by

$$\alpha_{L,i} = \frac{\lambda c e^{-j2\pi d_{L,i}}}{4\pi d_{L,i}},$$

where $d_{L,i}$ is the distance between the anchor and the MU.

### III. Joint Angle Estimation Analysis and Positioning Algorithm

In the existing works of positioning algorithm design, for tractability, the estimation error of channel parameters is assumed to be the additive zero-mean complex Gaussian noise. However, in practice, the distribution of these channel parameters depends on the practical estimation methods (e.g., 2D-DFT algorithm [22]), which may not follow the Gaussian distribution. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the estimated error based on the practical estimation methods, as a result, the 3D position of the MU can be estimated according to the estimation of the channel parameters and its error analysis.

To estimate the 3D position of MU, we propose a joint angle estimation analysis and positioning (JAEAP) algorithm based on the 2D-DFT angle estimation technique. First, we apply the 2D-DFT algorithm to estimate $(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$ \footnote{As NLoS path component usually varies fast and its weight to the channel is marginal, especially in mmWave band, we are more interesting in LoS path. Hence, we intend to estimate the path parameter $(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$ of the LoS component from the MU to the anchors, which can be used to derive to estimate the position of the MU.} \footnote{As NLoS path component usually varies fast and its weight to the channel is marginal, especially in mmWave band, we are more interesting in LoS path. Hence, we intend to estimate the path parameter $(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$ of the LoS component from the MU to the anchors, which can be used to derive to estimate the position of the MU.}. Second, based on the estimated angle, we propose a PDF derivation algorithm to obtain the PDF of the angle estimation error. Then, we derive the closed-form expression of the variance of the angle estimation error. Finally, we apply the weighted least square algorithm to estimate the 3D position of the MU by using the derived variance of the angle estimation error.

**Algorithm 1 Joint Angle Estimation Analysis and Positioning (JAEAP) Algorithm**

1: Estimate $(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$ by using 2D-DFT estimation algorithm;
2: Derive the PDF of angle estimation error by using the PDF derivation algorithm;
3: Derive the closed-form expression of the variance of the angle estimation error;
4: Estimate the 3D position of the MU by using the variance of the angle estimation error.
The details of the proposed JAEAP algorithm are summarized in Algorithm 1. The descriptions of each step of the JAEAP algorithm will be introduced in the following sections.

IV. ANGLE ESTIMATION

The first step of the proposed JAEAP algorithm is to estimate \((\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\). Hence, in this section, we apply the 2D-DFT algorithm \([12]\) to estimate the AoA at the anchors. For the sake of illustration, we consider the estimation errors only for the noise-free scenario similar to \([12]\) and \([24]\), the performance of which is roughly the same as the general scenarios with sufficiently high received signal to noise ratio (SNR).

A. Initial Angle Estimation

According to the expression of \(a(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\) in \([1]\), \(a(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\) can be further derived as

\[
a(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i}) = \text{vec}\{A(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\} = \text{vec}\{a_e(\Phi_{L,i})a_a(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\}.
\]  

(6)

To estimate the AoA at the \(i\)th anchor, we define two normalized DFT matrices \(F_{Ny}\) and \(F_{Nz}\), elements of which are written as \([F_{Ny}]_{b_iq_i} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{Ny}b_iq_i} (b_i, q_i = 0, 1, \cdots, Ny - 1)\) and \([F_{Nz}]_{b_iq_i} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{Nz}b_iq_i} (b_i, q_i = 0, 1, \cdots, Nz - 1)\), respectively. Meanwhile, let \(u_{L,i} = \frac{2\pi d_e \cos \Theta_{L,i} \cos \Phi_{L,i}}{\lambda_c}\) and \(v_{L,i} = \frac{2\pi d_e \sin \Phi_{L,i}}{\lambda_c}\), and we define the normalized 2D-DFT of the matrix \(A(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\) in (6) as \(A_{DFT}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i}) = F_{Ny}A(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})F_{Nz}\), and whose \((b_i, q_i)\)th element is calculated as

\[
[A_{DFT}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})]_{b_iq_i} = \sum_{n_y=0}^{Ny-1} \sum_{n_z=0}^{Nz-1} [A(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})]_{b_iq_i} e^{-j2\pi(b_in_y + q_in_z)/Ny}
\]

\[= e^{j\frac{Ny-1}{2}(u_{L,i} - \frac{2\pi b_i}{Ny})} e^{j\frac{Nz-1}{2}(v_{L,i} - \frac{2\pi q_i}{Nz})} \times \frac{\sin(\pi b_i - \frac{N_y u_{L,i}}{2})}{\sin((\pi b_i - \frac{N_y u_{L,i}}{2})/Ny)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\pi q_i - \frac{N_z v_{L,i}}{2})}{\sin((\pi q_i - \frac{N_z v_{L,i}}{2})/Nz)}. \]  

(7)

When the number of reflecting elements becomes infinite, i.e., \(Ny \to \infty, Nz \to \infty\), there always exist some integers \(b_{n_i} = \frac{N_y u_{L,i}}{2\pi}\), \(q_{n_i} = \frac{N_z v_{L,i}}{2\pi}\) such that \([A_{DFT}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})]_{b_{n_i}q_{n_i}} = 1\), while the other elements are all zero. Therefore, all power is concentrated on the \((b_{n_i}, q_{n_i})\)th element and \(A_{DFT}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\) is a sparse matrix. However, the anchor size could not be infinitely large, thus \(\frac{N_y u_{L,i}}{2\pi}\) and \(\frac{N_z v_{L,i}}{2\pi}\) may not be integers in general, which leads to the channel power leakage from the \((b_{n_i}, q_{n_i})\)th element to its adjacent element. However, \(A_{DFT}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})\) can still be approximated as a sparse matrix with the most power concentrated around the \((b_{n_i}, q_{n_i})\)th
element. Therefore, the peak power position of $A_{DFT}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$ is still useful for estimating the AoAs at the anchor. Then, the initial estimation is derived as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}^{ini} & = \frac{\lambda_c b_{ni}}{N_y d_c}, \\
\sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i}^{ini} & = \frac{\lambda_c q_{ni}}{N_z d_c},
\end{align*}
\]
(8)
where $\hat{\Theta}_{L,i}^{ini}$ and $\hat{\Phi}_{L,i}^{ini}$ denote the initial estimated angles at the anchor.

B. Fine Angle Estimation

The resolution of the estimated $\sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i}^{ini}$ and $\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}^{ini}$ is limited by the half of the DFT interval. In order to improve the estimation accuracy, angle rotation is provided to solve the mismatch issue in this subsection [22].

Let us define the angle rotation matrix of $A(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$ as $A^{ro}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$, expressed as
\[
A^{ro}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i}) = U_{N_{y}}(\tilde{\omega}_{1,i})A(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})U_{N_{z}}(\tilde{\omega}_{2,i}),
\]
(9)
where the diagonal matrices $U_{N_{y}}(\tilde{\omega}_{1,i})$ and $U_{N_{z}}(\tilde{\omega}_{2,i})$ are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
U_{N_{y}}(\tilde{\omega}_{1,i}) & = \text{Diag}\{1, e^{j\tilde{\omega}_{1,i}}, ..., e^{j(N_y-1)\tilde{\omega}_{1,i}}\}, \\
U_{N_{z}}(\tilde{\omega}_{2,i}) & = \text{Diag}\{1, e^{j\tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}, ..., e^{j(N_z-1)\tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}\},
\end{align*}
\]
(10)
with $\tilde{\omega}_{1,i} \in [-\pi/N_y, \pi/N_y]$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{2,i} \in [-\pi/N_z, \pi/N_z]$ being the angle rotation parameters. By using the angle rotation operation, the $(b_i, q_i)$th element of the 2D-DFT of the rotated matrix $A_{DFT}^{ro}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})$ is calculated as
\[
[A_{DFT}^{ro}(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})]_{b_i q_i} = \sum_{n_y=0}^{N_y-1} \sum_{n_z=0}^{N_z-1} [A(\Theta_{L,i}, \Phi_{L,i})]_{b_i q_i} e^{-j2\pi\frac{b_{ny}u_{L,i} + q_{nz}v_{L,i}}{N_y}} e^{j2\pi\frac{\tilde{\omega}_{1,i}u_{ny} + \tilde{\omega}_{2,i}v_{nz}}{2\pi}},
\]
\[
= e^{j\frac{N_y-1}{2}(u_{L,i} + \tilde{\omega}_{1,i} - \frac{2\pi b_i}{N_y})} e^{j\frac{N_z-1}{2}(v_{L,i} + \tilde{\omega}_{2,i} - \frac{2\pi q_i}{N_z})}
\]
\[
\times \frac{\sin(\pi b_i - \frac{N_y u_{L,i}}{2} - \frac{N_y \tilde{\omega}_{1,i}}{2})}{\sin((\pi b_i - \frac{N_y u_{L,i}}{2} - \frac{N_y \tilde{\omega}_{1,i}}{2})/N_y)}, \quad \frac{\sin(\pi q_i - \frac{N_z v_{L,i}}{2} - \frac{N_z \tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}{2})}{\sin((\pi q_i - \frac{N_z v_{L,i}}{2} - \frac{N_z \tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}{2})/N_z)},
\]
(11)
where $\tilde{\omega}_{1,i}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{2,i}$ could be optimized with the one-dimensional search. Then, we could obtain
the estimated results as

\[
\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = \frac{\lambda_c b_{n_i}}{N_y d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c \tilde{\omega}_{1,i}}{2\pi d_r}, \\
\sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = \frac{\lambda_c q_{n_i}}{N_z d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c \tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}{2\pi d_r},
\]

(12)

where \(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i}\) and \(\hat{\Phi}_{L,i}\) denote the final estimated azimuth and elevation AoAs after angle rotation, respectively. Furthermore, \(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i}\) and \(\hat{\Phi}_{L,i}\) could be expressed as

\[
\hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = \arcsin \left( \frac{\lambda_c b_{n_i}}{N_y d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c \tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}{2\pi d_r} \right), \\
\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} = \arccos \left( \left( \frac{\lambda_c b_{n_i}}{N_y d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c \tilde{\omega}_{1,i}}{2\pi d_r} \right) / \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_c q_{n_i}}{N_z d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c \tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}{2\pi d_r} \right)^2} \right). 
\]

(13)

V. PDF DERIVATION ALGORITHM

In this section, as the second step of the proposed JAEAP algorithm, we propose a PDF derivation algorithm to derive the PDF of the angle estimation error, which will be used for deriving the angle estimation errors’ variance. For the proposed PDF derivation algorithm, the first step is to derive the PDF of \(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}\) base on the estimated angle \(\hat{\Phi}_{L,i}\) and the nature of the 2D-DFT. Then, the second step is to design an algorithm of deriving the PDF of \(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}\) base on the estimated angle \(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i}\) and the nature of the 2D-DFT. The details of the proposed algorithm are given as follows.

A. PDF of \(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}\)

From the above section, we can find that \(\tilde{\omega}_{2,i}\) could be obtained by the one-dimensional search in the interval of \([-\frac{\pi}{N_z}, \frac{\pi}{N_z}]\). We assume that there are \(S_{2,i}\) grids points in the interval \([-\frac{\pi}{N_z}, \frac{\pi}{N_z}]\) and \(s_{2,i} \in \{1, \cdots, S_{2,i}\}\) is the optimal point. Therefore, the optimal solution for the one-dimensional search is \(\tilde{\omega}_{2,i} = \frac{2\pi s_{2,i}}{N_z S_{2,i}}\), and the estimation of \(\sin \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}\) is thus written as

\[
\sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = \frac{\lambda_c q_{n_i}}{N_z d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c \tilde{\omega}_{2,i}}{2\pi d_r} = \frac{\lambda_c q_{n_i}}{N_z d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c s_{2,i}}{N_z d_r S_{2,i}}.
\]

(14)

For notation simplicity, let us define \(\hat{Y}_i = \sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i}\) and \(Y_i = \sin \Phi_{L,i}\). Then, the estimation of \(\Phi_{L,i}\) could be expressed as

\[
\hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = \arcsin \hat{Y}_i = \arcsin \left( \frac{\lambda_c q_{n_i}}{N_z d_r} - \frac{\lambda_c s_{2,i}}{N_z d_r S_{2,i}} \right).
\]

(15)
According to (14) and the property of the one-dimensional search method, the value of $Y_i$ follows the uniform distribution within the region of $[\hat{Y}_i - a_i, \hat{Y}_i + a_i]$, where $a_i = \frac{\lambda c^2 N_x d_r S_2^i}{2N_x d_r S_2^i}$, which is given by

$$f_{Y_i}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2a_i}, & \hat{Y}_i - a_i \leq y \leq \hat{Y}_i + a_i \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$ (16)

By denoting the estimation error of $Y_i$ as $\tilde{Y}_i$, we have $\hat{Y}_i = Y_i + \tilde{Y}_i$. Then, the distribution of $\tilde{Y}_i$ is given by

$$f_{\tilde{Y}_i}(\tilde{y}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2a_i}, & -a_i \leq \tilde{y} \leq a_i \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$ (17)

Since $\Phi_{L,i} = \arcsin Y_i$, the cumulative density function (CDF) of $\Phi_{L,i}$ is derived as

$$F_{\Phi_{L,i}}(\phi_{L,i}) = \Pr(\Phi_{L,i} \leq \phi_{L,i}) = \Pr(\arcsin Y_i \leq \phi_{L,i}) = \Pr(Y_i \leq \sin \phi_{L,i}).$$ (18)

By assuming that $\Phi_{L,i} \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, the PDF of $\Phi_{L,i}$ could be derived as

$$f_{\Phi_{L,i}}(\phi_{L,i}) = \frac{\partial F_{\Phi_{L,i}}(\phi_{L,i})}{\partial \phi_{L,i}} = \cos \phi_{L,i} f_{Y_i}(\sin \phi_{L,i})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\cos \phi_{L,i}}{2a_i}, & \arcsin(\hat{Y}_i - a_i) \leq \phi_{L,i} \leq \arcsin(\hat{Y}_i + a_i) \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$ (19)

As we have $\hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = \Phi_{L,i} + \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$, the CDF of the estimation error $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$ is calculated as

$$F_{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\phi}_{L,i}) = \Pr\left(\hat{\Phi}_{L,i} \leq \tilde{\phi}_{L,i}\right) = \Pr\left(\Phi_{L,i} \leq \tilde{\phi}_{L,i}\right) = 1 - \Pr\left(\Phi_{L,i} \leq \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} - \tilde{\phi}_{L,i}\right).$$ (20)

Define $a_{1,i} = \arcsin(\hat{Y}_i + a_i)$ and $a_{2,i} = \arcsin(\hat{Y}_i - a_i)$. Based on (20), the PDF of $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$ is written as

$$f_{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\phi}_{L,i}) = \frac{\partial F_{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\phi}_{L,i})}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{L,i}} = f_{\Phi_{L,i}}(\hat{\Phi}_{L,i} - \tilde{\phi}_{L,i})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\cos(\hat{\Phi}_{L,i} - \tilde{\phi}_{L,i})}{2a_i}, & \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} - a_{2,i} \leq \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \leq \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} - a_{1,i} \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$ (21)

Moreover, as the estimation techniques are relatively mature, it is assumed that the estimation
error is very small. Therefore, we have \( \sin \left( \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \right) \approx \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \) and \( \cos \left( \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \right) \approx 1 \). Consequently, we have the following approximation:

\[
\cos \left( \Phi_{L,i} - \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \right) = \cos \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} \cos \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} + \sin \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} \sin \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \approx \cos \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} \cos \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \quad (22)
\]

Then, the PDF of \( \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} \) is approximated as

\[
f_{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}} \left( \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \right) \approx \begin{cases} 
\cos \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} \cos \tilde{\phi}_{L,i}, & \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} - a_{1,i} \leq \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} \leq \tilde{\phi}_{L,i} - a_{2,i} \\
0, & \text{others} 
\end{cases} \quad (23)
\]

**B. PDF of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \)**

In this subsection, we derive the PDF of the estimation error \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \). As the derivations are complicated, we summarize the main procedure in Algorithm 2.

**Algorithm 2** Algorithm of deriving the PDF of \( \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} \)

1. Derive the PDF of \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \) using the PDF of \( \bar{Y}_i \) in (17);
2. Derive the PDF of \( \cos \Theta_{L,i} \) for two cases by using the PDF of \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \) in (30) and the PDF of \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \cos \Theta_{L,i} \) in (32);
3. Derive the PDF of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \) based on the two cases of the PDF of \( \cos \Theta_{L,i} \) in (41) and (42).

1) PDF of \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \): First of all, we need to derive the PDF of \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \), so that the PDF of \( \cos \Theta_{L,i} \) could be calculated.

Let \( X_i = \cos \Phi_{L,i} \), which can be expressed as a function of \( \bar{Y}_i \) as follows:

\[
X_i = \cos \Phi_{L,i} = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \Phi_{L,i}} = \sqrt{1 - \bar{Y}_i^2} = \sqrt{1 - \left( \hat{Y}_i - \tilde{Y}_i \right)^2}. \quad (24)
\]

By defining the estimation of \( X_i \) as \( \hat{X}_i = \cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = X_i + \tilde{X}_i \), the estimation error \( \tilde{X}_i \) could be calculated as:

\[
\tilde{X}_i = \hat{X}_i - \sqrt{1 - \left( \hat{Y}_i - \tilde{Y}_i \right)^2}. \quad (25)
\]

However, the expression (25) is complicated and thus challenging to derive a compact form of the PDF of \( \tilde{X}_i \). Fortunately, since the value of \( \tilde{Y}_i \) is relatively small, we can approximate \( \tilde{X}_i \) in
Then, by using (27), the PDF of 
\[ \cos \Theta \] 
\[ Z_i \]

By using (31) and the nature of the one-dimensional search method, the real value of \( \hat{\Theta}_L,i \) can be calculated as
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Utilizing (26), the CDF of \( \tilde{X}_i \) could be calculated by

\[ F_{\tilde{X}_i}(\tilde{x}_i) = \Pr(\tilde{X}_i \leq \tilde{x}_i) \approx \Pr\left( -\frac{\tilde{Y}_i}{\tilde{X}_i} \tilde{Y}_i \leq \tilde{x}_i \right) = \Pr\left( \tilde{Y}_i \geq -\frac{\tilde{X}_i}{\tilde{Y}_i} \tilde{x}_i \right) = 1 - \Pr\left( \tilde{Y}_i \leq -\frac{\tilde{X}_i}{\tilde{Y}_i} \tilde{x}_i \right). \] (27)

Then, by using (27), the PDF of \( \tilde{X}_i \) could be calculated as

\[ f_{\tilde{X}_i}(\tilde{x}_i) = -\left( -\frac{\tilde{X}_i}{\tilde{Y}_i} \right) f_{\tilde{Y}_i} \left( -\frac{\tilde{X}_i}{\tilde{Y}_i} \tilde{x}_i \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{x}_i}{2a_iY_i}, & -\frac{\tilde{Y}_i}{\tilde{X}_i} a_i \leq \tilde{x}_i \leq \frac{\tilde{Y}_i}{\tilde{X}_i} a_i \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases} \] (28)

Furthermore, by using \( \tilde{X}_i = X_i + \tilde{X}_i \), the CDF of \( X_i \) can be calculated as

\[ F_{X_i}(x_i) = \Pr(X_i \leq x_i) = \Pr(\tilde{X}_i - \tilde{X}_i \leq x_i) = \Pr(\tilde{X}_i \geq \tilde{X}_i - x_i) = 1 - \Pr(\tilde{X}_i \leq \tilde{X}_i - x_i). \] (29)

By using (28) and (29), the PDF of \( X_i \) could be written as

\[ f_{X_i}(x_i) = \frac{\partial F_{X_i}(x_i)}{\partial x_i} = f_{\tilde{X}_i}(\tilde{X}_i - x_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{x}_i}{2a_iY_i}, & \tilde{X}_i - \frac{\tilde{Y}_i}{\tilde{X}_i} a_i \leq x_i \leq \tilde{X}_i - \frac{\tilde{Y}_i}{\tilde{X}_i} a_i \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases} \] (30)

2) PDF of \( \cos \Theta_{L,i} \): By using the PDF of \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \) and \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \cos \Theta_{L,i} \), we can derive the PDF of \( \cos \Theta_{L,i} \) as follows.

Firstly, from the above section, we know that \( \tilde{\omega}_{1,i} \) could be obtained by using the one-dimensional search in the interval of \( [-\frac{\pi}{N_y}, \frac{\pi}{N_y}] \). Similarly, we assume that there are \( S_1 \) grids points in the interval \( [-\frac{\pi}{N_y}, \frac{\pi}{N_y}] \) and \( s_{1,i} \in \{1, \cdots, S_{1,i}\} \) is the optimal point. Therefore, the optimal solution for the one-dimensional search is \( \tilde{\omega}_{1,i} = \frac{2\pi s_{1,i}}{N_y S_{1,i}} \), and the estimated \( \cos \Phi_{L,i} \cos \Theta_{L,i} \) is given by

\[ \hat{Z} = \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \cos \Phi_{L,i} = \frac{\lambda_c b_{n_{1,i}}}{N_y d_{1,i}} - \frac{\lambda_c \tilde{\omega}_{1,i}}{2\pi d_{r,i}} = \frac{\lambda_c b_{n_{1,i}}}{N_y d_{1,i}} - \frac{\lambda_c s_{1,i}}{N_y d_{r,i} S_{1,i}}. \] (31)

By using (31) and the nature of the one-dimensional search method, the real value of \( Z_i = \cos \Theta_{L,i} \cos \Phi_{L,i} \) follows uniform distribution within the region of \( [\hat{Z}_i - b_i, \hat{Z}_i + b_i] \), where \( b_i = \)
Based on (36), it is necessary to compare
\[ \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{2,i}} \] and \[ \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{1,i}} \], so that the interval of \( u_i \) can be further determined. If \( \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{2,i}} > \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{1,i}} \) holds, the interval can be rewritten as \( \left[ \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right] \). Otherwise, the interval is \( \left[ \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{2,i}} \right] \).

Condition 2: If \( \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_{1,i}} \), the integral interval of \( x \) in (34) can be recast as
\[ \left[ \alpha_{1,i}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i} \right] \], thus the PDF of \( U_i \) is given by

\[
f_{U_i}(u_i) = \int_{\alpha_{1,i}}^{\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i}} \frac{1}{2b} \cdot \frac{\hat{X}_i}{2a_Y} \cdot x \, dx = \frac{\hat{X}_i}{4a_i b_i Y_i} \int_{\alpha_{1,i}}^{\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i}} x \, dx = \frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i} \right)^2 - \alpha_{1,i}^2 \cdot (37)
\]

The interval of \( u_i \) is given by

\[
\left[ \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right] \cap \left[ \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, +\infty \right)
\]

AS a result, if \( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} > \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \) holds, the interval can be further recast as \( \left[ \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right] \). Otherwise, the interval can be derived as \( \left[ \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right] \).

Condition 3: If \( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i} \leq \alpha_{1,i} < \alpha_{2,i} < \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i} \), the integral interval of \( x \) in (34) can be derived as \( [\alpha_{1,i}, \alpha_{2,i}] \). Thus, the PDF of \( U_i \) is

\[
f_{U_i}(u_i) = \int_{\alpha_{1,i}}^{\alpha_{2,i}} \frac{1}{2b} \cdot \frac{\hat{X}_i}{2a_Y} \cdot x \, dx = \frac{\hat{X}_i}{4a_i b_i Y_i} \int_{\alpha_{1,i}}^{\alpha_{2,i}} x \, dx = \frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \alpha_{2,i}^2 - \alpha_{1,i}^2 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \left( \hat{X}_i + \frac{\hat{Y}_i}{X_i} a_i \right)^2 - \left( \hat{X}_i - \frac{\hat{Y}_i}{X_i} a_i \right)^2 \right) = \frac{\hat{X}_i}{2b_i}.
\]

The interval of \( u_i \) is accordingly given by \( [\frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}] \).

Condition 4: If \( \alpha_{1,i} < \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i} < \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i} \leq \alpha_{2,i} \), the integral interval of \( x \) in (34) can be derived as \( [\frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i}] \). Thus, the PDF of \( U_i \) is

\[
f_{U_i}(u_i) = \int_{\frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i}}^{\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i}} \frac{\hat{X}_i}{4a_i b_i Y_i} \cdot x \, dx = \frac{\hat{X}_i}{4a_i b_i Y_i} \int_{\frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i}}^{\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i}} x \, dx = \frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i} \right)^2 \]

\[
= \frac{\hat{X}_i}{2a_i b_i Y_i} \cdot (40)
\]

Additionally, after some mathematical manipulations, we can derive the interval of \( u_i \) as \( \left[ \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right] \).

Based on the above discussions, by comparing \( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} \) with \( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \), the PDF of \( U_i \) can be simplified as the following two cases:

Case 1: If \( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} > \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \) holds, the intervals of \( u \) in Condition 1 and Condition 2 are given by \( \left[ \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right] \) and \( \left[ \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} \right] \). Additionally, Condition 3 is valid, whereas Condition 4 is invalid.
Therefore, the PDF of $U_i$ is written as

$$f_{U_i}(u_i) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \alpha_{2,i}^2 - \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i} \right)^2 \right), & \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{2,i} \leq u_i < \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{2,i} \\
\frac{\hat{X}_i}{8b_i}, & \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{1,i} \leq u_i < \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{1,i} \\
\frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \alpha_{2,i}^2 - \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i} \right)^2 \right), & \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{2,i} \leq u_i < \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{1,i} \\
0, & \text{others.} 
\end{cases}$$

(41)

Case 2: If $\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{1,i}$ holds, the intervals of $u_i$ in Condition 1 and Condition 2 are given by $\left[ \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{2,i}, \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{2,i} \right]$ and $\left[ \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{1,i} \right]$, respectively. Moreover, Condition 4 is valid, while Condition 3 is invalid. Accordingly, the PDF of $U_i$ can be derived as

$$f_{U_i}(u_i) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \alpha_{2,i}^2 - \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{u_i} \right)^2 \right), & \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{2,i} \leq u_i < \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{2,i} \\
\frac{\hat{X}_i}{8b_i}, & \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{1,i} \leq u_i < \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{1,i} \\
\frac{\hat{X}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \alpha_{2,i}^2 - \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{u_i} \right)^2 \right), & \beta_{2,i}/\alpha_{2,i} \leq u_i < \beta_{1,i}/\alpha_{1,i} \\
0, & \text{others.} 
\end{cases}$$

(42)

3) PDF of $\Theta_{L,i}$: By using the PDF of $\cos \Theta_{L,i}$, the PDF of $\Theta_{L,i}$ can be derived as follows.

First of all, the CDF of $\Theta_{L,i}$ can be derived as follows

$$F_{\Theta_{L,i}}(\theta_{L,i}) = \Pr(\Theta_{L,i} \leq \theta_{L,i}) = \Pr(\arccos U_i \leq \theta_{L,i}) = 1 - \Pr(U_i \leq \cos \theta_{L,i}).$$

(43)

Then, the PDF of $\Theta_{L,i}$ is obtained as follows

$$f_{\Theta_{L,i}}(\theta_{L,i}) = \frac{\partial F_{\Theta_{L,i}}(\theta_{L,i})}{\partial \theta_{L,i}} = \sin \theta_{L,i} f_{U_i}(\cos \theta_{L,i}).$$

(44)

Furthermore, we consider the indoor positioning system in this paper, where the RISs are supposed to be mounted on the wall. Hence we have $\Theta_{L,i} \in (0, \pi)$. Thus, $\Theta_{L,i}$ decreases monotonically with $U_i$. According to the PDF of $U_i$ in the aforementioned two cases, we can derive the PDF of $\Theta_{L,i}$ in the following.

Case 1: When $\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} > \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}$, according to (41), we can derive the PDF of $\Theta_{L,i}$, which is given...
by

\[
f_{\Theta_{L,i}}(\Theta_{L,i}) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{X_i \sin \theta_{L,i}}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\cos \theta_{L,i}} \right)^2 - \alpha_{1,i} \right]^2, & \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \leq \theta_{L,i} \leq \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \\
\frac{X_i \sin \theta_{L,i}}{2b_i} \sin \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right), & \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \theta_{L,i} \leq \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \\
0, & \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} < \theta_{L,i} \leq \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \\
\end{cases}
\]  

(45)

Case 2: When \( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \), we can derive the PDF of \( \Theta_{L,i} \) based on (43), which is given by

\[
f_{\Theta_{L,i}}(\Theta_{L,i}) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{X_i \sin \theta_{L,i}}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\cos \theta_{L,i}} \right)^2 - \alpha_{1,i} \right]^2, & \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \leq \theta_{L,i} \leq \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \\
\frac{X_i \sin \theta_{L,i}}{2a_i Y_i (\cos \theta_{L,i})^2}, & \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} < \theta_{L,i} < \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \\
\frac{X_i \sin \theta_{L,i}}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \alpha_{2,i}^2 \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\cos \theta_{L,i}} \right)^2 - \right. & \left. \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\cos \theta_{L,i}} \right)^2 \right], \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \theta_{L,i} \leq \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \\
0, & \text{others}. \\
\end{cases}
\]

(46)

4) PDF of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \): By using the CDF and PDF of \( \Theta_{L,i} \) in (43), (45) and (46), we can calculate the CDF and PDF of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \) as follows.

Firstly, based on \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} = \Theta_{L,i} + \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \), the CDF of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \) can be derived as follows

\[
F_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) = \Pr(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) = \Pr(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \Theta_{L,i} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) \\
= \Pr(\Theta_{L,i} \geq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) = 1 - \Pr(\Theta_{L,i} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}).
\]

(47)

Then, based on the above two cases of \( f_{\Theta_{L,i}}(\Theta_{L,i}) \) in (45) and (46), the PDF of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \) can be derived accordingly by using (47).

Case 1: When \( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} > \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \), by using (43), the PDF of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \) is calculated as

\[
f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) = \frac{\partial F_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i})}{\partial \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}} = f_{\Theta_{L,i}}(\Theta_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) \\
= \begin{cases} 
\frac{X_i \sin(\Theta_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \alpha_{2,i}^2 - \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\cos(\Theta_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i})} \right)^2 \right], & \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} < \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \\
\frac{X_i \sin(\Theta_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i})}{2b_i} \sin \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \right), & \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} < \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}, \\
\frac{X_i \sin(\Theta_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\cos(\Theta_{L,i} - \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i})} \right)^2 - \alpha_{1,i}^2 \right], & \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \text{arccos} \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}, \\
0, & \text{others}. \\
\end{cases}
\]

(48)
Case 2: When $\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}$, we can derive the PDF of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$ by using (46), which is given by

$$f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) = f_{\check{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{x}_i \sin(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\cos(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})} \right]^2 - \alpha_{1,i} \frac{2}{\cos(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})}, & \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} < \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} \\ \frac{\bar{x}_i \sin(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})}{2a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \frac{2}{\cos(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})} \right]^2, & \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} < \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} \\ \frac{\bar{x}_i \sin(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\cos(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})} \right]^2 - \alpha_{2,i} \frac{2}{\cos(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i})}, & 0 \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} < \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}} \\ 0, & \text{others}. \end{cases}$$

(49)

To facilitate the error analysis, we now aim to derive the approximation of $f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i})$ in this paper. As we have assumed that the estimation error is very small, we have $\sin \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \approx \tilde{\theta}_{L,i}$ and $\cos \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \approx 1$, leading to

$$\sin(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) = \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \cos \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} - \sin \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \approx \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i},$$

$$\cos(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) = \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \cos \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} + \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \sin \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \approx \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} + \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}. \quad (50)$$

Using the approximations in (50), we can derive the approximation of $f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i})$ according to the above two cases. Furthermore, by denoting $\sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} = \hat{V}_i$, $\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} = \hat{U}_i$, $B_{1,i} = \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}$, $B_{2,i} = \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}$, $B_{3,i} = \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}$, $B_{4,i} = \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} - \arccos \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}}$, the expression could be further simplified in the following.

Case 1: When $\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} > \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}$, based on (48), we can derive the approximation of $f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i})$, ...
which is written as

\[
f_{\hat{\theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\hat{X}_i (\sin \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \alpha_{2,i} \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} + \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}} \right)^2 \right], & B_{1,i} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} < B_{2,i} \\
\frac{\hat{X}_i (\sin \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \beta_{1,i} \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}} \right)^2 \right], & B_{2,i} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} < B_{3,i} \\
\frac{\hat{X}_i (\sin \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \beta_{1,i} \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}} \right)^2 \right], & B_{3,i} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \leq B_{4,i} \\
0, & \text{others.}
\end{array} \right.
\]

Case 2: When \(\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}\), as we have (49), we could derive the approximation of \(f_{\hat{\theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i})\), which is written as

\[
f_{\hat{\theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\hat{X}_i (\sin \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \alpha_{2,i} \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} + \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}} \right)^2 \right], & B_{1,i} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} < B_{3,i} \\
\frac{\hat{X}_i (\sin \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i})}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \beta_{1,i} \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i} \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}} \right)^2 \right], & B_{3,i} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \leq B_{4,i} \\
0, & \text{others.}
\end{array} \right.
\]

\(\text{(52)}\)

VI. Variance of Angle Estimation Error

In this section, we aim to calculate the variance of \(\hat{\Theta}_{L,i}\) and \(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}\) by using the PDF in the above section, which will be used for the positioning algorithm design in the next section.
A. Variance of $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$

In this subsection, we provide the variance expression of $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$. Based on the PDF of $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$ in (23), the variance of $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$ can be calculated as

$$D(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}) = E(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}^2) - (E(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}))^2$$

$$= \int_{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{1,i}}^{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{2,i}} \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}^2 f_{\Phi_{L,i}}(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}) d\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} - \left( \int_{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{1,i}}^{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{2,i}} \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} f_{\Phi_{L,i}}(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}) d\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2a_i} \left( \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}^3}{3} \cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} + \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}^4}{4} \sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} \right) \bigg|_{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{2,i}}^{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{1,i}} - \frac{1}{4a_i^2} \left( \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}^2}{2} \cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} + \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}^3}{3} \sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} \right) \bigg|_{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{1,i}}^{\Phi_{L,i} - a_{2,i}} \right)^2,$$

(53)

where $f(x)|_{x_1}^{x_2} = f(x_1) - f(x_2)$ and $E(\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i})$ denotes the expectation of $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$.

B. Variance of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$

In this subsection, we aim to derive the variance of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$. Different from the PDF of $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$, the PDF of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$ is more complicated. Therefore, we need to analyze the variance according to the aforementioned two cases as follows.

Case 1: When $\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} > \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}$, based on the PDF of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$ in (51), the variance of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$ is derived as

$$D(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) = \int_{D_{1,i}}^{D_{2,i}} \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}^2 f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) d\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} - \left( \int_{D_{1,i}}^{D_{2,i}} \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}) d\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \right)^2.$$

(54)

For $D_{1,i}$ in (54), we divide it into three different non-zero intervals that can be expressed as

$$D_{1,i} = D_{11,i} + D_{12,i} + D_{13,i},$$

(55)

where $D_{11,i}$, $D_{12,i}$ and $D_{13,i}$ are the integral expressions in the intervals of $[B_{1,i}, B_{2,i}]$, $[B_{2,i}, B_{3,i}]$ and $[B_{3,i}, B_{4,i}]$, respectively. The expressions of $D_{11,i}$, $D_{12,i}$ and $D_{13,i}$ are given in Appendix A.

For $D_{2,i}$ in (54), it is the expectation of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$, which is denoted by $E(\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i})$. It can be divided into three different non-zero intervals that are given by

$$D_{2,i} = D_{21,i} + D_{22,i} + D_{23,i},$$

(56)
where $D_{21,i}$, $D_{22,i}$ and $D_{23,i}$ are the integral expressions in the intervals of $[B_{1,i}, B_{2,i})$, $[B_{2,i}, B_{3,i})$ and $[B_{3,i}, B_{4,i}]$, respectively. The expressions of $D_{21,i}$, $D_{22,i}$ and $D_{23,i}$ are given in Appendix B.

Case 2: When $\frac{\beta_{2,i}}{\alpha_{2,i}} < \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{\alpha_{1,i}}$, according to the PDF of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$ in (52), the variance of $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$ can be calculated as

$$D'(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) = \int_{D'_{1,i}} \tilde{\theta}_{L,i}^2 f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) d\tilde{\theta}_{L,i} - \left( \int_{D'_{1,i}} \tilde{\theta}_{L,i} f_{\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}}(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}) d\tilde{\theta}_{L,i} \right)^2. \quad (57)$$

For $D'_{1,i}$, we divide it into three different non-zero intervals that are given by

$$D'_{1,i} = D'_{11,i} + D'_{12,i} + D'_{13,i}, \quad (58)$$

where $D'_{11,i}$, $D'_{12,i}$ and $D'_{13,i}$ are the integral expressions in the intervals of $[B_{1,i}, B_{3,i})$, $[B_{3,i}, B_{2,i})$ and $[B_{2,i}, B_{4,i}]$. The expressions of $D'_{11,i}$, $D'_{12,i}$ and $D'_{13,i}$ are given in Appendix C.

For $D'_{2,i}$ in (57), it is the expectation of $\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}$, which is denoted by $E(\tilde{\theta}_{L,i})$. It is also divided into three different non-zero intervals that are given by

$$D'_{2,i} = D'_{21,i} + D'_{22,i} + D'_{23,i}, \quad (59)$$

where $D'_{21,i}$, $D'_{22,i}$ and $D'_{23,i}$ are the integral expressions in the intervals of $[B_{1,i}, B_{3,i})$, $[B_{3,i}, B_{2,i})$ and $[B_{2,i}, B_{4,i}]$. The expressions of $D'_{21,i}$, $D'_{22,i}$ and $D'_{23,i}$ are given in Appendix D.

VII. POSITIONING ALGORITHM DESIGN

Using the estimation of AoA at section [IV] and the variance of the estimation error at section [VI] we aim to derive the expression of the estimated position of the MU at this section. First, we have the AoA at the $i$th anchor expressed as

$$\hat{\Theta}_{L,i} = \Theta_{L,i} + \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_{L,i} = \Phi_{L,i} + \tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}, \quad (60)$$

where $\hat{\Theta}_{L,i}$ and $\hat{\Phi}_{L,i}$ denote the estimated AoA at the $i$th anchor, $\Theta_{L,i}$ and $\Phi_{L,i}$ denote the true AoA at the $i$th anchor, and $\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,i}$ denote the estimation error at the $i$th anchor. For the
In the existing works, for tractability, \( \tilde{\Theta} \) and \( \tilde{\Phi} \) are assumed to be the additive zero-mean complex Gaussian noise. However, according to the angle estimation error analysis of our previous section, the PDF of estimation error \( \tilde{\Phi} \) should be modeled as (23), while the PDF of estimation error \( \tilde{\Theta} \) should be modeled as (51) or (52). Furthermore, the covariance matrices can be written as

\[
Q_{\Theta} = \text{diag}[\sigma_{\Theta_{L,1}}^2, \ldots, \sigma_{\Theta_{L,i}}^2], \\
Q_{\Phi} = \text{diag}[\sigma_{\Phi_{L,1}}^2, \ldots, \sigma_{\Phi_{L,i}}^2],
\]

where \( \sigma_{\Theta_{L,i}}^2 \) and \( \sigma_{\Phi_{L,i}}^2 \) denote the variance of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} \) and \( \tilde{\Phi}_i \), respectively. \( \sigma_{\Phi_{L,i}}^2 \) can be calculated according to (53), and \( \sigma_{\Theta_{L,i}}^2 \) can be calculated according to (54) or (57).

Accordingly, we propose to derive the close-formed expression to the MU’s estimated position. First, we can derive the pseudolinear equations [25] based on the estimated AoA as follow:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{g}_{\Theta_1}^T s_i - \hat{g}_{\Theta_1}^T q & \approx -\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} d_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,i}, \\
\hat{g}_{\Phi_1}^T s_i - \hat{g}_{\Phi_1}^T q & \approx -\tilde{\Theta}_{L,i} d_{L,i},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( d_{L,i} \) denotes the distance between the \( i \)th anchor and the MU, and we have

\[
\hat{g}_{\Theta_i} = [-\cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}, \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}, 0]^T, \quad \hat{g}_{\Phi_i} = [-\sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} \sin \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}, \sin \hat{\Phi}_{L,i} \cos \hat{\Theta}_{L,i}, -\cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,i}]^T.
\]

As a result, we can derive the following compact form of equations as

\[
\hat{h} - \hat{G}q = Bz
\]
where

\[
\hat{h} = \left[ 1^T (\hat{G}_\Theta \odot S)^T, 1^T (\hat{G}_\Phi \odot S)^T \right]^T, \hat{G} = [\hat{G}^T_\Theta, \hat{G}^T_\Phi]^T, B = [B_1, B_2]^T, z = [\hat{\Theta}^T, \hat{\Phi}^T]^T, \\
\hat{G}_\Theta = [\hat{g}_\Theta_1, \cdots, \hat{g}_\Theta_I]^T, \hat{G}_\Phi = [\hat{g}_\Phi_1, \cdots, \hat{g}_\Phi_I]^T, S = [s_1, \cdots, s_I]^T, B_1 = [B_\Theta, O]^T, B_2 = [O, B_\Phi]^T, \\
B_\Theta = -\text{diag}[d_{L,1} \cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,1}, \cdots, d_{L,I} \cos \hat{\Phi}_{L,I}]^T, B_\Phi = -\text{diag}[d_{L,1}, \cdots, d_{L,I}]^T.
\]

(67)

Based on (66), we can apply the weighted least square algorithm [25] to derive the closed-form expression of the MU’s estimated position, which is written as:

\[
\hat{q} = (\hat{G}^T W \hat{G})^{-1} \hat{G}^T W \hat{h},
\]

(68)

where \( W = BQB^T \) and \( Q = \text{diag}[Q_\Theta, Q_\Phi] \). The details of the derivation can be found from [25].
VIII. SIMULATION RESULTS

This section presents simulation results to validate the accuracy of our derivations and approximations. In our simulation, we consider a mmWave multiple input single output (MISO) channel from the MU to the anchors. Moreover, the MU, the anchors are assumed to be placed in a 3D area. The location of four anchors are $s_1 = [2, 20, 3]^T$, $s_2 = [-12, -16, 58]^T$, $s_3 = [-10, -6, -8]^T$ and $s_4 = [10, 6, -20]^T$. It is assumed that the inter-antenna spacing of UPA at the anchors is $d_r = \lambda_c/2$. The following results are obtained by averaging over 10,000 random estimation error realizations. Unless otherwise stated, we assume $S = S_1 \times S_2 = 64 \times 64$ for rotation angle search grids, and the SNR is assumed to be 10 dB. The positioning accuracy is assessed in terms of the mean-square error (MSE).

Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 illustrate the PDF of estimation errors. It is assumed that the anchor size is $N_y = N_z = 16$. It can be observed from Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 that our derived results match well with the simulation results, which verify the accuracy of our derived results and confirm that the angle estimation error is non-Gaussian.

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 display the variance $\sigma^2_{\theta_{L,i}}$ of estimation error $\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}$ and the variance $\sigma^2_{\phi_{L,i}}$ of estimation error $\tilde{\phi}_{L,i}$ as the functions of anchor size $N_y(N_z)$, respectively. Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 display the variance $\sigma^2_{\theta_{L,i}}$ show that the theoretical results coincide with the simulation results, which validates the correctness of the derived results. Moreover, it is observed that the variances of $\tilde{\theta}_{L,i}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{L,i}$ decrease with the anchor size, which means that increasing the number of antennas could improve the estimation accuracy.

Fig. 6 compares the MSE of the proposed JAEAP algorithm aided by 2 anchors with that aided by 3 anchors when the size of anchors increases from $N_y = N_z = 1$ to $N_y = N_z = 20$. As shown in the figure, the MSE decreases with the anchor size as expected. Furthermore, it is shown that the MSE of 2 anchors is larger than that of 3 anchors. It implies that increasing the number of anchors can significantly improve the positioning accuracy of the proposed JAEAP algorithms.

In [26], the position of the MU is derived by using the geometry relationship between the estimated AOA and the 3D position, which is denoted as the geometry algorithm in this paper. Fig. 6 also presents the positioning performance comparison of the proposed algorithm with the geometry algorithm. It is seen from Fig. 6 that the proposed JAEAP algorithm outperforms the geometry algorithm even with only 2 anchors.
Fig. 6: Comparison of the proposed JAEAP algorithm and geometry algorithm.

Fig. 7: MSE of the proposed JAEAP algorithm versus anchors number.

Fig. 7 illustrates the impact of the number of anchors and the size of the anchors on the performance of the proposed positioning algorithm. To be specific, we present the comparison of the proposed JAEAP algorithm with \( N_y = N_z = 1 \), \( N_y = N_z = 2 \), \( N_y = N_z = 4 \), and \( N_y = N_z = 8 \). As it can be seen from Fig. 7, MSE decreases with the number of anchors. This implies that the positioning accuracy is better with a larger number of anchors. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 7, there is a large gap between the curve of \( N_y = N_z = 1 \) and the curve of \( N_y = N_z = 2 \), while the curve of \( N_y = N_z = 8 \) is only a little better than the curve of \( N_y = N_y = 4 \). This implies that the impact of the anchor size becomes small when \( N_y(N_z) \) is larger than 4.

IX. Conclusion

In this paper, we designed a JAEAP algorithm for the 3D mmWave system. First, we estimated the AoAs at the anchors by applying the 2D-DFT algorithm. Based on the property of 2D-DFT algorithm, the angle estimation error was analyzed in terms of PDF. We then simplified the intricate geometric expression of the error PDF by employing the first-order linear approximation of triangle functions. We also derived the variance expression of the error by using the error PDF and theoretically derived the variance from the error PDF. Finally, we applied the WLS algorithm to estimate the 3D position of the MU by using the estimated AoAs and the obtained non-Gaussian variance. Extensive simulation results confirmed that the derived angle estimation error is non-Gaussian, and also demonstrated the superiority of the proposed JAEAP algorithm.
APPENDIX A

Firstly, we derive the expression of $D_{11,i}$ as

$$D_{11,i} = \left[ \frac{\alpha_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \right]_{B_1,i}^{B_2,i} \left[ \left( \frac{\beta_{1,i}}{U_i + \theta_{L,i}} \right)^2 \right]_{B_1,i}^{B_2,i} \frac{\bar{\theta}_{L,i}^2}{d\bar{\theta}_{L,i}} \left( \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} \right)_{B_1,i}^{B_2,i} \left[ \left( - \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \right)_{B_2,i}^{B_1,i} \cdot \frac{B^4_{2,i}}{4} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{2,i} \right) + \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2 \bar{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i \bar{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B^3_{2,i}}{3} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{2,i} \right) ight]_{B_1,i}^{B_2,i} \left( - \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \right)_{B_2,i}^{B_1,i} \cdot \frac{B^4_{2,i}}{4} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{1,i} \right) + \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2 \bar{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i \bar{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B^3_{2,i}}{3} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{1,i} \right) \right],

(69)

where $\int_0^u \frac{x^{\mu-1}}{(1+\beta x)^{\nu}} dx = F_1 \left( \nu, \mu; 1 + \mu; -\beta u \right)$ is a generalized hypergeometric series [27].

Then, $D_{12,i}$ in (55) is derived as

$$D_{12,i} = \left. \int_{B_2,i}^{B_3,i} \frac{\bar{X}_i (\bar{V}_i - \bar{\theta}_{L,i} \bar{U}_i)}{2b_i} \bar{\theta}_{L,i}^2 d\bar{\theta}_{L,i} \right|_{B_2,i}^{B_3,i} = \frac{\bar{X}_i}{2b_i} \left( \frac{\bar{V}_i \bar{\theta}_{L,i}^3}{3} - \frac{\bar{U}_i \bar{\theta}_{L,i}^4}{4} \right)_{B_2,i}^{B_3,i}.

(70)

Finally, $D_{13,i}$ in (55) is given by

$$D_{13,i} = \left[ \left( - \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i \bar{U}_i} \right)_{B_3,i}^{B_2,i} \cdot \frac{B^4_{3,i}}{4} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{3,i} \right) + \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^2 \bar{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i \bar{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B^3_{3,i}}{3} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{3,i} \right) \right]_{B_2,i}^{B_3,i} \left( - \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i \bar{U}_i} \right)_{B_3,i}^{B_2,i} \cdot \frac{B^4_{3,i}}{4} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{3,i} \right) + \frac{\bar{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^2 \bar{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i \bar{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B^3_{3,i}}{3} \cdot F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; - \frac{\bar{V}_i}{\bar{U}_i} B_{3,i} \right) \right],

(71)
APPENDIX B

Firstly, $D_{21,i}$ in (56) is derived as

$$D_{21,i} = \frac{\hat{X}_i \alpha_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \left. -\frac{\hat{U}_i \hat{B}_{L,i}^2}{3} + \frac{\hat{V}_i \hat{B}_{L,i}^2}{2} \right|_{B_{4,i}} \right) - \left[ \left. \left( -\frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^2}{3} \right) \cdot 2F_1 \left(2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) + \frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^2}{2} \cdot 2F_1 \left(2, 2; 3; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) \right] \right].$$

Then, $D_{22,i}$ in (56) is written as

$$D_{22,i} = \int_{B_{2,i}}^{B_{3,i}} \frac{\hat{X}_i \left( \hat{V}_i - \hat{\theta}_{L,i} \hat{U}_i \right)}{2b_i} \frac{d\hat{\theta}_{L,i}}{d\hat{\theta}_{L,i}} \left. \frac{\hat{X}_i}{2b_i} \left( -\frac{\hat{U}_i \hat{B}_{L,i}^3}{3} + \frac{\hat{V}_i \hat{B}_{L,i}^2}{2} \right) \right|_{B_{2,i}}. \quad (72)$$

Finally, $D_{23,i}$ in (56) could be formulated as

$$D_{23,i} = \left[ \left. \left( -\frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^2}{3} \right) \cdot 2F_1 \left(2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) + \frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^2}{2} \cdot 2F_1 \left(2, 2; 3; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) \right] \right].$$

APPENDIX C

As for $D'_{11,i}$ in (58), it could be expressed as

$$D'_{11,i} = \frac{\hat{X}_i \alpha_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \left. -\frac{\hat{U}_i \hat{B}_{L,i}^3}{4} + \frac{\hat{V}_i \hat{B}_{L,i}^2}{3} \right|_{B_{3,i}} \right) - \left[ \left. \left( -\frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^4}{4} \right) \cdot 2F_1 \left(2, 4; 5; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) + \frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^3}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left(2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) \right] \right].$$

(75)
Finally, $D'_{12,i}$ in (58) is given by

$$D'_{12,i} = \left( -\frac{\dot{X}_iZ_i}{2aY_iU_i} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^4}{4} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\dot{Z}_i\hat{V}_i}{2aY_i\hat{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^3}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) \right)$$

$$- \left( -\frac{\dot{X}_i\dot{Z}_i}{2aY_iU_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^4}{4} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\dot{Z}_i\hat{V}_i}{2aY_i\hat{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^3}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) \right).$$

(76)

Finally, $D'_{13,i}$ in (58) is derived as

$$D'_{13,i} = \left[ -\frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{4,i}^4}{4} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{4,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{2,i}^2 \hat{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{4,i}^3}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{4,i} \right) \right)$$

$$- \left( -\frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^4}{4} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 4; 5; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{2,i}^2 \hat{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^3}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) \right]$$

$$- \frac{\dot{X}_i\alpha_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( -\frac{\hat{U}_i\hat{\theta}_L,i}{3} + \frac{\hat{V}_i\hat{\theta}_L,i}{2} \right) B_{B,i}.$$

(77)

**APPENDIX D**

Firstly, $D'_{21,i}$ in (59) is formulated as

$$D'_{21,i} = \frac{\dot{X}_i\alpha_{2,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( -\frac{\hat{U}_i\hat{\theta}_L,i}{3} + \frac{\hat{V}_i\hat{\theta}_L,i}{2} \right) \left| B_{B,i} \right.$$}

$$\left[ -\frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^4}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{1,i}^2 \hat{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^3}{2} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 2; 3; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) \right)$$

$$- \left( -\frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{1,i}^2}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{1,i}^4}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{1,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\beta_{1,i}^2 \hat{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{1,i}^3}{2} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 2; 3; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{1,i} \right) \right].$$

(78)

Then, $D'_{22,i}$ in (59) is written as

$$D'_{22,i} = \left( -\frac{\dot{X}_i\dot{Z}_i}{2aY_iU_i} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^3}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\dot{Z}_i\hat{V}_i}{2aY_i\hat{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^2}{2} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 2; 3; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i} \right) \right)$$

$$- \left( -\frac{\dot{X}_i\dot{Z}_i}{2aY_iU_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^3}{3} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 3; 4; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}_i\dot{Z}_i\hat{V}_i}{2aY_i\hat{U}_i^2} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^2}{2} \cdot 2F_1 \left( 2, 2; 3; -\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{3,i} \right) \right).$$

(79)
Finally, $D'_{23,i}$ in (59) is obtained as

$$
D'_{23,i} = \int_{B_{2,i}}^{B_{4,i}} \frac{\hat{X}_i(\hat{V}_i - \hat{\theta}_{L,i}\hat{U}_i)}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left[ \left( \frac{\beta_{2,i}}{U_i + \hat{\theta}_{L,i}\hat{V}_i} \right)^{2} - \alpha_{1,i}^{2} \right] \hat{\theta}_{L,i} d\hat{\theta}_{L,i}
$$

$$
= \frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^{2}}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \int_{B_{2,i}}^{B_{4,i}} \frac{-\hat{U}_i \beta_{L,i}^{2} + \hat{V}_i \hat{\theta}_{L,i}^{2}}{(U_i + \hat{V}_i \hat{\theta}_{L,i})^{2}} d\hat{\theta}_{L,i} - \frac{\hat{X}_i \alpha_{1,i}^{2}}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \int_{B_{2,i}}^{B_{4,i}} (-\hat{U}_i \beta_{L,i}^{2} + \hat{V}_i \hat{\theta}_{L,i}) d\hat{\theta}_{L,i}
$$

$$
= \left[ \left( -\frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^{2}}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^{3}}{3} \cdot 2F_1(2,3;4;\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{4,i}) + \frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^{2} \hat{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i^{2}} \cdot \frac{B_{4,i}^{2}}{2} \cdot 2F_1(2,2;3;\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{4,i}) \right)
$$

$$
- \left( -\frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^{2}}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i} \cdot \frac{B_{3,i}^{3}}{3} \cdot 2F_1(2,3;4;\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i}) + \frac{\hat{X}_i \beta_{2,i}^{2} \hat{V}_i}{8a_i b_i Y_i U_i^{2}} \cdot \frac{B_{2,i}^{2}}{2} \cdot 2F_1(2,2;3;\frac{\hat{V}_i}{U_i} B_{2,i}) \right) \right]
$$

$$
- \frac{\hat{X}_i \alpha_{1,i}^{2}}{8a_i b_i Y_i} \left( \frac{-\hat{U}_i \beta_{L,i}^{2}}{3} + \frac{\hat{V}_i \hat{\theta}_{L,i}^{2}}{2} \right) |_{B_{2,i}}^{B_{4,i}}. \tag{80}
$$
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