Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is a hematopoietic growth factor that can stimulate a variety of cells, but its overexpression leads to excessive production and activation of granulocytes and macrophages with many pathogenic effects. This cytokine is a therapeutic target in inflammatory diseases, and several anti-GM-CSF antibodies have advanced to Phase 2 clinical trials in patients with such diseases, e.g., rheumatoid arthritis. GM-CSF is also an essential factor in preventing pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP), a disease associated with GM-CSF dysfunction arising mostly through the presence of GM-CSF neutralizing auto-antibodies. Understanding the mechanism of action for neutralizing antibodies that target GM-CSF is important for improving their specificity and affinity as therapeutics and, conversely, in devising strategies to reduce the effects of GM-CSF auto-antibodies in PAP. We have solved the crystal structures of human GM-CSF bound to antigen-binding fragments of two neutralizing antibodies, the human auto-antibody F1 and the mouse monoclonal antibody 4D4. Coordinates and structure factors of the crystal structures of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab and the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complexes have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank under the accession numbers 6BFQ and 6BFS, respectively. The structures show that these antibodies bind to mutually exclusive epitopes on GM-CSF; however, both prevent the cytokine from interacting with its alpha receptor subunit and hence prevent receptor activation. Importantly, identification of the F1 epitope together with functional analyses highlighted modifications to GM-CSF that would abolish auto-antibody recognition whilst retaining GM-CSF function. These results provide a framework for developing novel GM-CSF molecules for PAP treatment and for optimizing current anti-GM-CSF antibodies for use in treating inflammatory disorders.

**Introduction**

Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is a cytokine that was originally identified as a hematopoietic growth factor that stimulated proliferation of myeloid cells from bone marrow progenitors. It can be produced by a wide variety of cell types including activated T-cells, B-cells, macrophages, endothelial cells, fibroblasts and a number of tumor cell types (reviewed in Ref. 2–4). GM-CSF is now recognized as an immune modulatory cytokine due to its involvement in a range of pro-inflammatory functions, such as differentiation, adhesion, chemotaxis, and activation of multiple inflammatory and immune cells, such as monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, microglia and dendritic cells. GM-CSF also plays a critical and non-redundant role in the maturation of alveolar macrophages in the lung.

GM-CSF signals via a heterodimeric cell surface receptor that consists of a GM-CSF-specific alpha subunit, GMa, and the major signaling subunit called beta common (βc) that is shared with the receptors for interleukin (IL) −3 and IL-5. Activation of the GM-CSF receptor is initiated when GM-CSF binds to GMa (via the Site 1 interface) to form a low-affinity binary complex with a $K_D$ of ~ 10 nM. The binary complex then interacts with the βc subunit to form a high-affinity ternary complex with a $K_D$ of ~ 0.1 nM and a hexameric configuration (two GM-CSF:two GMa:two βc monomers) that is a result of the symmetrical and homodimeric nature of βc. The active GM-CSF receptor
complex further assembles into a dodecamer configuration, and possibly higher order complexes, for the initiation of receptor signaling through activation of the JAK-STAT pathway.13,16

While GM-CSF is not needed for steady-state myelopoiesis, overexpression of GM-CSF is associated with several human pathologies such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), multiple sclerosis (MS), juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia.2,23,27 As such, GM-CSF is a promising target for therapeutic intervention in a number of these conditions including RA, MS and asthma.18–24 GM-CSF activity can be inhibited by targeting GM-CSF itself or by targeting the GM-CSF receptor complex. Antibodies against relevant targets that are currently in clinical development include anti-GM-CSF antibodies (MOR103/GSK3196165, namilumab/IZN-101/MT203, lenzilumab/KB003, gimsilumab/MORAB-022)19–22,24 and mavrilumumab/CAM-3001 (specific for GMRa).25,26

The constitutive or sustained absence of GM-CSF activity results in defective maturation of alveolar macrophages and accumulation of surfactant in the alveolar spaces, a condition called pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP). PAP patients suffer respiratory insufficiency and increased susceptibility to infections.10,11,27,28 Hereditary forms of PAP are associated with mutations in the genes encoding the GM-CSF receptor,5,29–33 while idiopathic autoimmune PAP is characterized by high levels of anti-GM-CSF auto-antibodies.34–36 Interestingly, the presence of single GM-CSF neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) may not be harmful, and a recent study showed that, while single antibodies may potently neutralize GM-CSF activity in vitro, they may not be effective in reducing the amount of bioavailable GM-CSF in vivo.37 This study also showed that a combination of three or more non-cross competing antibodies completely neutralized GM-CSF activity in vitro and in vivo more efficiently than the single anti-GM-CSF antibodies that are currently being developed for treatment of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. In order to identify potent, non-cross competing antibodies, it is necessary to determine the binding epitope and establish the molecular mechanism by which each antibody blocks GM-CSF bioactivity. Until recently the interaction epitopes of GM-CSF neutralizing antibodies were largely unknown, and their mechanism of action not resolved.

In 2012, Blech et al., provided the first structural description of a high-affinity GM-CSF neutralizing auto-antibody, MB007, isolated from a patient with PAP.38 Their computational model of the GM-CSF:MB007 antigen-binding fragment (Fab) complex revealed only a small overlap between GMRα and the MB007 Fab when bound to GM-CSF. Microscale thermophoresis and surface plasmon resonance in vitro binding experiments as well as cell proliferation assays demonstrated that, although MB007 reduced the binding of GM-CSF to GMRα, MB007 did not prevent formation of a functional GM-CSF receptor complex.38 More recently, Eylenstein et al., published the first crystal structures of four related human anti-GM-CSF antibodies (the MOR series) in complex with GM-CSF. These antibodies were derived from a phage display library and subjected to in vitro affinity maturation to produce MOR103, a high-affinity neutralizing antibody against GM-CSF that is currently in clinical trials.39

In our previous study we characterized 19 mAbs against GM-CSF that were isolated from patients with PAP.36 All of these auto-antibodies specifically neutralized GM-CSF bioactivity and we identified five distinct groups of auto-antibodies with non-overlapping GM-CSF binding epitopes. Amongst these antibodies, the F1 auto-antibody was identified as the most effective at neutralizing GM-CSF bioactivity on a human erythroleukaemic cell line. In parallel, we also observed that the binding epitope of 4D4, a mouse mAb raised against human GM-CSF, overlapped with the binding epitope of several auto-antibodies isolated from PAP patients.36 To understand the mechanism of action of GM-CSF neutralizing antibodies and to identify their binding epitopes, we structurally characterized binary complexes of F1 and 4D4 Fabs bound to GM-CSF.

Our crystal structures of human GM-CSF in complex with the F1 and 4D4 Fabs reveal that these antibodies have non-overlapping binding sites on GM-CSF. While the 4D4 Fab binds at almost the same site as the MOR series of antibodies, the auto-antibody F1 Fab binds to a distinct GM-CSF epitope. Through functional analyses of GM-CSF residues forming the epitopes of these two antibodies, we found evidence for dissociation of GM-CSF residues required for antibody binding from those driving receptor binding and signaling. Thus, the structural characterization of GM-CSF:antibody complexes may assist in the development of GM-CSF variants that are partially, or completely, resistant to neutralizing auto-antibodies in patients with PAP.40 The data presented herein provides insight into the mechanism of action for anti-GM-CSF antibodies that are being developed to treat inflammatory disorders and provide a framework for developing novel GM-CSF molecules for the treatment of autoimmune PAP.

Results

Crystal structures of GM-CSF in complex with F1 and 4D4 Fabs

Binary complexes of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab and GM-CSF:4D4 Fab were purified, crystallized and the structure of the complexes determined (see Materials and Methods and Figs. S1–S3). The crystal structures of the GM-CSF:F1 and the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complexes were solved at 2.6 Å and 2.0 Å resolution, respectively. The final data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

F1 Fab recognizes the β2-strand on GM-CSF

The crystal structure of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab complex (Figure 1 and Fig. S1A) was solved in the P1 space group with four copies of the antigen-antibody complex in the asymmetric unit. The four copies are almost identical, with the root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) over all Cα atoms < 0.2 Å. The overall fold of GM-CSF in the F1 Fab complex is almost identical (rmsd ~ < 0.6 Å) to the crystal structure of apo GM-CSF (PDB ID: 2GMF)31 and GM-CSF in a binary complex with its GMRα receptor subunit (PDB ID: 4RS1),12 indicating that binding of the auto-antibody F1 Fab does not result in any conformational changes in GM-CSF. The surface complementarity of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab interface (Sc = 0.77)42 and the relatively large total buried surface area of GM-CSF when complexed to the F1 Fab of
| Data collection | GM-CSF::F1 Fab complex | GM-CSF::4D4 Fab complex |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                 | Australian Synchrotron  | Australian Synchrotron  |
| Space group     | P1                      | P2                      |
| Cell dimensions (Å) | 95.4,100.5,101.9;       | 70.5, 48.1, 77.6;       |
|                 | 91.1,117.9,108.6        | 90.0, 99.9, 90.0        |
| Resolution (Å)  | 50–2.6 (2.64–2.60)*     | 40.0–2.0 (2.05–2.00)*   |
| R

### Summary of the data collection and refinement statistics.

|                | Australian Synchrotron | Australian Synchrotron |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Complete (%)   | 98.7 (93.4)             | 99.4 (99.0)             |
| Redundancy     | 3.7 (3.8)               | 3.7 (3.8)               |
| Wilson B factor (Å²) | 38.6                    | 29.5                    |
| CC %           | 0.96 (0.34)             | 0.99 (0.77)             |
| B-factor       | 38.6                    | 29.5                    |
| Total no. of atoms | 16,027                  | 4128                    |
| Protein        | 193                     | 116                     |
| Water          | 16,027                  | 4128                    |
| Average B-factors | 48.9                  | 35.5                    |
| R.m.s deviations | 28.9                 | 34.0                    |
| Bond angles (°) | 0.015                  | 0.018                   |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 1.70                   | 1.82                    |

*Values in parentheses are for highest resolution shell.

The crystal structure of the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complex (Figure 2 and Fig. S1B) was solved in the P2₁ space group with one copy of the antigen-antibody complex in the asymmetric unit. The electron density map at the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab interface is continuous and unambiguous. The total buried surface area of GM-CSF within the 4D4 Fab epitope is 881 Å² and S c = 0.72 for the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab interface. Like the auto-antibody F1 Fab, the 4D4 heavy and light chain contributions to the contact surface area are unequal: the heavy chain CDRs dominate interactions, contributing to a buried surface area of 614 Å² and the light chain CDRs contributing to a buried surface area of 267 Å². A structural comparison of GM-CSF from the 4D4 Fab complex with apo GM-CSF (PDB ID: 2GMF), 41 GM-CSF in complex with GMRα (PDB ID: 4RS1), 2 and GM-CSF from the auto-antibody F1 Fab complex (this work) showed that complexation with the 4D4 Fab did not result in any conformational change within the cytokine (rmsd < 0.7 Å over all Ca atoms).

The six 4D4 Fab CDR loops were identified, using the same guidelines described above, to be: H1 (residues 26–33), H2 (residues 50–56), H3 (residues 95–102), L1 (residues 24–34), L2 (residues 50–56) and L3 (residues 89–97). Compared to the F1 Fab, the 4D4 Fab makes fewer interactions with GM-CSF, while residues on CDR H2 and CDR L1 are predominantly involved in van der Waals interactions with residues in GM-CSF. Residues on the heavy chain CDR H1 and CDR H3, as well as light chain CDR L2 and CDR L3, contribute to a total of 10 hydrogen bonds (8 from the heavy chain and 2 from the light chain). Key GM-CSF residues involved in hydrogen bond interactions with the heavy chain CDRs in 4D4 Fab include, R23, L115, I117, P118, F119, D120, C121 and E123. GM-CSF residues Q86 and E123 are involved in hydrogen bond interactions with the light chain CDRs in 4D4 Fab (Figure 2B-G). These interactions are described in detail in the Supplementary data section and listed in Table 2.

### The heavy chain CDR loops drive the interaction between the 4D4 Fab and GM-CSF

Superimposition of the GM-CSF::F1 and GM-CSF::4D4 Fab complexes via the cytokine reveals that the binding epitopes of the two Fabs are distinct and have no overlap (Figure 3A). The binding epitope of the auto-antibody F1 Fab on GM-CSF covers residues from the AB loop (residues 37–39 and 44–46, Figure 1B, E, F), CD loop (residues 96–98, Figure 1D), helix D (residues 104, 105, 108, 109 and 112, Figure 1C) and the β2-strand (residues 99–102, Figure 1D, E, F) whereas the 4D4 Fab epitope predominantly covers residues on the C-terminal end of helix D (residues 114–124, Figure 2B, C, E, G) as well as residues on helix A (residues 16, 21, 23 and Figure 2B), the AB loop (residues 49 and 50, Figure 2C) and the CD loop (residues 83, 86–89, Figure 2D-F). We also compared the binding epitopes of the F1 and 4D4 Fabs with the four GM-CSF::MOR series Fab complex structures (PDB IDs: 5C7X, 5D70, 5D71 and 5D72). 39 When aligned via GM-CSF, the binding epitopes of all four MOR series Fabs partially overlap with the binding epitope of the 4D4 Fab (Fig. S4A).
To gain an understanding of how these antibodies neutralize GM-CSF function, we aligned the structures of the GM-CSF:F1, GM-CSF:4D4 and the GM-CSF:MOR series Fab complexes to the GM-CSF binary complex (PDB ID: 4RS1). The alignment revealed extensive overlap between all six bound Fabs and GMRA (Figure 3B and Fig. S4B, C). The F1 Fab predominantly hinders the interaction between GM-CSF and the GMRA N-terminal domain (NTD) and, to a minor extent, the interaction with GMRA domain 2 (D2) (Figure 3B, C). In contrast, the 4D4 Fab and the MOR series of Fabs have extensive clashes with domain 3 of GMRA (D3) (Figure 3B, D and Fig. S4B, C). The steric clashes observed in these alignments indicates that the binding of Fabs F1, 4D4 and the MOR series are all likely to neutralize GM-CSF activity by preventing the cytokine from binding to GMRA, the initial step required for GM-CSF receptor activation.

**Is the GMRA NTD interaction with GM-CSF a critical target for F1 Fab inhibition of GM-CSF function?**

Structurally, the auto-antibody F1 Fab appears to block GM-CSF receptor activation by binding to the β2-strand of the cytokine, thereby preventing interaction with the GMRA NTD. In order to define the key interactions, we investigated the role of the GMRA NTD in GM-CSF target recognition by the F1 Fab. Although the GMRA NTD is required for maximal GM-CSF function, cells expressing GMRA lacking the NTD (GMRA ΔNTD) respond to GM-CSF, but with reduced potency. We speculated that cells expressing GMRA ΔNTD would be resistant to the neutralization of GM-CSF activity by the F1 Fab. We used CTL-EN/IL3Ra/βc cell lines transduced to express full-length GMRA or GMRA ΔNTD to assess the ability of the F1 Fab to block GM-CSF-mediated cell proliferation. GM-CSF stimulated proliferation of both cell types, but was considerably less active on cells

**Figure 1.** Crystal structure of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab complex. (A) A close-up view of the binding interface between GM-CSF and the F1 Fab. The F1 Fab molecule is shown in cartoon representation with the heavy chain colored blue and the light chain grey. GM-CSF is colored green and shown as a cartoon with a transparent molecular surface overlay. Key interactions made by the CDR loops of the F1 Fab with GM-CSF are shown in (B)–(F). Hydrogen bond interactions are depicted as black broken lines and the GM-CSF alpha helices are labeled in black type. For figure clarity, in (A) the six CDR loops are labeled H1, H2, H3, L1, L2 and L3. The complete view of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab complex is provided in Fig. S1A.
Generic rendering of the text from the image provided:

Expressing truncated GMRa (GMRa EC50 = 0.002 ± 0.001 ng/mL vs GMRa ΔNTD EC50 = 6.7 ± 1.5 ng/mL, Figure 4A). The CTL-EN/IL3Ra/βc cell lines also proliferate in response to IL-3 stimulation due to expression of the IL-3 receptor alpha-subunit (IL3Ra). In control experiments, IL-3-mediated proliferation of both cell types was identical (GMRa EC50 = 0.004 ± 0.001 ng/mL vs GMRa ΔNTD EC50 = 0.007 ± 0.003 ng/mL, Figure 4A). The F1 Fab was titrated against a near EC50 concentration of GM-CSF or IL-3 for each cell line, and cell proliferation was assessed. We observed robust inhibition of GM-CSF-mediated proliferation on cells expressing full-length GMRa (IC50 = 0.009 ± 0.006 μg/mL) and very weak, partial inhibition of GM-CSF-mediated proliferation on cells expressing GMRa ΔNTD (Figure 4B). There was no inhibition of IL-3-mediated proliferation for either cell line by F1 Fab (Figure 4B). Importantly, there was no statistically significant difference in GM-CSF- or IL-3-mediated proliferation of cells expressing GMRa ΔNTD in the presence of F1 Fab up to a concentration of 100 μg/mL. It is apparent from these experiments that the presence of the GMRa NTD is required to facilitate the blockade of GM-CSF-mediated function by the F1 Fab.

Table 2: Summary of the key interacting residues between GM-CSF and the heavy and light chain CDRs of the F1 and 4D4 Fabs.

| GM-CSF | F1 Heavy Chain | GM-CSF | 4D4 Heavy Chain |
|--------|----------------|--------|-----------------|
| S44    | K31, Y52       | V16    | Y32             |
| E45    | K31, Y52       | Q20    | S28             |
| M46    | R30, Y52, A53, S54 | R23 | N31             |
| C96    | T98            | L49    | Y33, W50, F52   |
| A97    | T98            | Q50    | W50, N56        |
| T98    | T97, G96, T98, T99- | H83 | T97             |
| Q99    | Y32, Y33, I95, T99, T97 | H87 | T98             |
| I100   | T96, G97       | L114   | N31             |
| I101   | Y33, Y50      | L115   | N31             |
| I102   | Y59, F58      | V116   | F52             |
| E104   | S57            | I117   | N31             |
| S105   | Y50, S56, S57 | P118   | N31, Y33, F52   |
| E108   | S56            | F119   | S28, N31, Y32   |
| N109   | Y33            | D120   | N31, Y32, Y33, G96, T97, T99 |
| D112   | S54            | C121   | Y33, K95, T97, T98 |
| W122   | Y33            | W122   | Y33             |
| E123   | K95            | E123   | K95, W50        |

Residues making van der Waals contacts within 4 Å are listed in the table. Residues making hydrogen bonds are represented with a symbol and those involved in salt bridge formation are marked with the symbol. GM-CSF residues that interact with GMRa are highlighted in grey. CDR H1 and CDR L1 residues are colored red, CDR H2 and CDR L2 residues blue, CDR H3 and CDR L3 residues black.

Identification of GM-CSF residues in the F1 and 4D4 epitopes that are required for antibody neutralization

Although the F1 and 4D4 Fabs have distinct and non-overlapping binding sites on GM-CSF (Figure 3A), both of these antibodies will interfere with the cytokine’s engagement of GMRa (the interface between the cytokine and its alpha receptor subunit is defined as Site 1). Previously, we characterized the biological activity of GM-CSF variants that target GMRa Site 1, and a number of these GM-CSF residues, including E45, Q99, D112, L115 and F119, form part of the F1 or 4D4 Fab epitopes (Figure 5A, Table 2 and Table S1). To determine the contribution of these GM-CSF residues to the neutralizing activity of the F1 or 4D4 Fabs, we assessed the ability of the two antibodies to block TF-1 cell proliferation mediated by EC50 concentrations of wild type GM-CSF or selected Site 1 GM-CSF mutants (Figure 5B, C and Table S1).

We observed that GM-CSF mutations E45K (IC50 = 0.09 ± 0.01 μg/mL) and D112K (IC50 = 0.26 ± 0.06 μg/mL) have a modest effect on the ability of the F1 Fab to block GM-CSF function (Figure 5B and Table S1) compared to wild type GM-CSF (IC50 = 0.033 ± 0.004 μg/mL). GM-CSF residues E45 and D112 make a significant contribution to GMRa binding affinity, but only a modest contribution to GM-CSF function (Table S1). In contrast, mutation of GM-CSF Q99 (i.e., Q99A and Q99E) completely abolished the neutralizing activity of the F1 Fab (Figure 5B and Table S1) at concentrations up to 100 μg/mL, which was the maximum concentration we were able to analyze in this assay. In the GM-CSF:F1 Fab complex, the Q99 side chain reaches between the CDR H1 and CDR H3 loops, forming three hydrogen bonds and stabilizing the conformation of these two loops (Fig. 1B, D). Significantly, although GM-CSF Q99 is located at the Site 1 interface (Figs. 1B and 5A), mutation of this residue has a modest impact upon GMRa binding (2–7 fold reduction) and no impact on GM-CSF function (Table S1).

The neutralizing activity of Fab 4D4 is markedly inhibited by the GM-CSF:F119A mutation (IC50 = 37.1 ± 5.4 μg/mL) compared to wild type GM-CSF (IC50 = 1.15 ± 0.17 μg/mL). Although F119A decreases GMRa binding affinity by at least two orders of magnitude, it only makes a modest contribution to GM-CSF function (Figure 5C and Table S1). In contrast, the GM-CSF L115A mutant also has significantly decreased GMRa binding affinity (by ~ two orders of magnitude), yet this mutation has no effect upon GM-CSF function or Fab 4D4 neutralizing activity (IC50 = 0.44 ± 0.09 μg/mL) (Figure 5C and Table S1). Thus, mutation of GM-CSF reveals residues required for optimal neutralization by the F1 and 4D4 antibodies, and yet not all of these residues play a significant role in receptor binding and/or signaling.

Discussion

To form an active signaling complex, GM-CSF initially binds to GMRa to form a low-affinity (Kd ~ 10 nM) binary complex that is not considered capable of downstream JAK-STAT signaling. The binary complex then associates with bc to form a high-affinity (Kd ~ 0.1 nM) ternary complex that assembles into higher-order complexes required for activation of the JAK-STAT pathway and initiation of the GM-CSF signaling cascade. Although the total surface area of GM-CSF buried within GMRa (1,175 Å2) in the binary complex is more expansive than the total surface area of GM-CSF buried in either the GM-CSF:F1 or GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complexes (915 Å2 and 881 Å2, respectively), both of these Fabs inhibit GM-CSF bioactivity by obstructing formation of the binary complex, thereby effectively preventing the formation of a ternary complex and subsequent higher-order signaling complexes. Despite this, the 4D4 mAb is a relatively weak antagonist of GM-CSF function (IC50 = 1.15 ± 0.17 μg/mL) compared to the
F1 Fab (IC_{50} = 0.033 ± 0.004 μg/mL), which is also known to bind GM-CSF with very high affinity (K_D = 120 pM). These differences in potency may arise because the CDR loops of the 4D4 Fab make a limited number of hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions with GM-CSF compared to the interactions formed by the CDR loops of the F1 Fab.

The F1 and 4D4 Fab predominantly block engagement of GM-CSF with GMRα; however, our structural analysis of their binding epitopes suggests that they do so by binding to different sites on GM-CSF. Superimposition of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab complex and GM-CSF ternary complex (PDB ID: 4NKQ) suggested that the F1 Fab primarily blocks the interaction between GM-CSF and the GMRα NTD, and to some extent the interaction with GMRα D2 (Fig. S5). Superimposition of the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complex and the GM-CSF ternary complex via the cytokine suggests that the 4D4 Fab predominantly blocks interaction between GM-CSF and GMRα D2 and D3 (Figure 3B, D and Fig. S5).

Moreover, the presence of the 4D4 Fab will sterically interfere with the βc receptor subunit’s engagement of GM-CSF whereas the F1 Fab should not. Since the epitope of the 4D4 Fab partially overlaps with the epitope of the MOR series of Fabs, these antibodies are likely to have a similar mechanism of action (Fig. S4). Our results show that inhibition of GMRα binding is an effective mechanism of GM-CSF antagonism mediated by GM-CSF-specific antibodies. Other mechanisms of antagonism are also likely to be effective, including those that principally inhibit GM-CSF interactions with βc.

The F1 and 4D4 antibodies were selected by nature or by experimentation, respectively, on the basis of their ability to inhibit GM-CSF function, but it is interesting to note that at least one other GM-CSF inhibitory molecule occurs in nature and has a completely distinct origin. The GM-CSF/IL-2...
inhibition factor (GIF) is expressed by the orf virus, and it has been shown to antagonize both ovine GM-CSF and IL-2, although interestingly not their human orthologues, by binding to these cytokines through mutually exclusive binding sites and is likely to act as a competitive decoy receptor.

Structural alignment (via the cytokine) of the ovine GM-CSF:GIF complex (PDB ID: 5D28) with the human GM-CSF:F1 Fab, GM-CSF:4D4 Fab and GM-CSF:GMRα (PDB ID: 4RS1) complexes reveals that the GIF homodimer is co-located with the heavy chain of the 4D4 Fab and with D2 and D3 of GMRα (Fig. S6). The alignment suggests that the binding of GIF to ovine GM-CSF would physically preclude ovine GMRα from binding the cytokine. Thus, GIF appears to act as a decoy ovine GMRα receptor subunit. GM-CSF interacts, via its A and D alpha helices, with both GIF and GMRα (D2 and D3 domains). Although human and ovine GM-CSF share 80% sequence identity, there are three non-conservative residue differences on the GIF/GMRα interacting face of GM-CSF helix A (Q20, R23 and R24 in human GM-CSF are replaced by K20, L23 and S24 in ovine GM-CSF) and two residues on helix D (human GM-CSF E104 and L115 are replaced by K104 and F115 in the ovine cytokine). Based on the structural alignment, these sequence differences in the human cytokine A and D alpha helices would likely generate steric clashes with GIF, preventing it from binding, and explain the species-restricted antagonism of GIF.

In addition to their prominent role in PAP, GM-CSF autoantibodies have been observed in cases of cryptococcal meningitis...
The fact that individual PAP patients express multiple GM-CSF variants that are resistant to neutralizing auto-antibodies may be even more aggressive. Surface-engineered GM-CSF variants have been proposed as a means of treating patients with Crohn’s disease, and in one study, mutations of H15 and R23 in helix A were shown to reduce functional GM-CSF neutralization by goat polyclonal antibodies. Our functional studies have identified GM-CSF residues within the distinct epitopes of F1 or 4D4 where mutation reduces GM-CSF function and/or binding affinity for GMRA while also reducing sensitivity to antibody neutralization (Figure 5). For example, GM-CSF E45 and D112 both influence F1 Fab function, whereas GM-CSF F119 influences 4D4 mAb function (Table S1). Interestingly, mutations of GM-CSF Q99 have only a small effect on GMRA binding affinity (2–7 fold)12 and no effect upon GM-CSF function (Table S1), but they abolish F1 Fab neutralization activity (Figure 5B and Table S1), and as such would represent ideal GM-CSF variants to treat patients expressing the F1 auto-antibody. Through our detailed knowledge of the GM-CSF receptor complex structure12 and characterization of the epitopes for multiple GM-CSF auto-antibodies, we anticipate it will be possible to identify multiple GM-CSF residues that can be mutated to disrupt common auto-antibody epitopes without compromising GM-CSF function. Additionally, a more comprehensive understanding of the mode of action of GM-CSF auto-antibodies and their epitopes may inform the development of new anti-GM-CSF antibodies for use in treating a range of inflammatory diseases.2,3,17–24

Materials and methods

Expression and purification of the GM-CSF:Fab binary complexes

Human GM-CSF (residues A1-E127 of the mature peptide) was expressed in E. coli and purified by anion exchange chromatography and reversed phase HPLC.52,53 Recombinant human Fab F1 was expressed in E. coli SHuffle T7 cells (New England Biolab, Cat. C3026J) using the pET-Duet (Millipore-Novagen, Cat. 71146–3) expression plasmid containing the genes for the light and heavy chains of F1 Fab. The cells were grown in Super Broth media with 0.1 mg/mL ampicillin at 37°C until early log phase (OD600 = 0.3–0.4). Isopropyl-1-D-thiogalactoside was added to 0.1 mM, the temperature was reduced to 18°C, and the culture was left to incubate for 44 hours. The cells were collected by centrifugation and lysed by sonication in TBS (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl). The Fab was purified from the soluble cell extract using KappaSelect affinity media (GE Healthcare, Cat. 17–5458-12) followed by Mono S ion-exchange chromatography (GE Healthcare, Cat. 17–5168-01), then dialysed against 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and concentrated to 10 mg/mL for complex formation.54 The Fab was also purified using KappaSelect affinity media followed by preparative size exclusion chromatography (SEC) as described below. The anti-GM-CSF mouse mAb 4D452,53 was digested for 24 hours at 37°C using immobilized Papain (Thermo Scientific, Cat. PIE4985) and the 4D4 Fab recovered in the flow-through after passing the digest reaction over Protein A Sepharose (GE Healthcare, Cat. 17–0780-01). Binary complexes consisting of GM-CSF and F1 Fab or GM-CSF and 4D4 Fab were isolated by SEC of a 2:1 mixture of

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
GM-CSF:Fab using a Superdex 200 column (26 mm x 600 mm, GE Healthcare, Cat. 28-9893-36) operated at 2 mL/min at 24°C with 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.0, as running buffer (Figs. S2 and S3).

Cloning and sequencing of the 4D4 heavy and light chain

RNA was prepared from 4D4 hybridoma cells using an RNeasy plus micro kit (Qiagen) and total cDNA synthesized using SuperscriptIII (Invitrogen, Cat. 18080–051). The 4D4 immunoglobulin (ig) heavy and light chains were PCR amplified using primers specific for the 5′ end of the variable domains from mouse Ig heavy or kappa light chain genes55 and an oligo-dT17 3′ primer. PCR products from primer combinations that generated an appropriate fragment (4D4H ~ 1.5kb, 4D4L ~ 0.9kb) were sequenced directly or cloned into the pSG5 plasmid (Agilent, Cat. 216201) using NotI sites incorporated in the PCR primers and the insert from at least six independent isolates sequenced.

Amino terminal peptide sequence and respective sense primers for 4D4 heavy chain PCR:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{EVQLQQ} & \quad 5′ \text{ gagtcagctgcagcagtc} 3′ \\
\text{QVQLQG} & \quad 5′ \text{ aggt(gc)(ac)a(ga)ctgcag(gc)agtc(at)} 3′
\end{align*}
\]

Amino terminal peptide sequence and respective sense primer for 4D4 kappa chain PCR:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DIQLTQ} & \quad 5′ \text{ gacatccagctgactctgct} 3′
\end{align*}
\]

Functional studies with GMRA mutants

DNA fragments encoding wild type human GMRa or the GMRa ΔNTD variant, were generated by PCR, cloned into the retroviral expression vector pRufHygro and recombinant retrovirus generated by co-transfection of pRufHygro:GMRa or pRufHygro:GMRA ΔNTD with the pE-Q-Eco packaging plasmid56 into HEK293-T cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Cat. 11668–019). Retrovirus was used to transduce CTL-EN/IL3Ra/βc13,57 cells as previously described.12 Cell surface expression of GMRa was assessed by flow cytometry using mAbs specific for GMRa, including 4H1,58 8G659 and mAb 1037 (Millipore, Cat. MAB1037) of which only mAb 1037 was able to bind the GMRa ΔNTD variant. Cell proliferation was assessed using CellTiter 96® AQueous (Promega, Cat. G358B) following the manufacturer’s protocol (Figure 4, 5). Where indicated, CTL-EN proliferation in response to GM-CSF and IL-3 stimulation was normalized relative to proliferation in response to 100 U/mL mIL-2. For figures, error bars are standard error of the mean (SEM). Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism to determine EC50 values. A 2-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test was used to calculate the significance of differences in F1 Fab functional studies.

Mutagenesis, purification and testing of GM-CSF mutants

A synthetic human GM-CSF cDNA cloned in the pIN-III-OmpA2 expression vector was used to produce soluble GM-CSF mutants from E. coli that were subsequently purified by immunoaffinity chromatography and reversed phase HPLC as previously described.12,52 Mutants were generated by PCR-mutagenesis of a Ncol/BamHI fragment of GM-CSF that encompasses residues E14–E127. The functional activity of wild type and mutant forms of GM-CSF was assayed by measuring proliferation of the human erythroleukemia cell line, TF-160 using CellTiter 96® AQueous (Promega, Cat. G358B) following the manufacturer’s protocol. For figures, error bars are SEM. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism to determine EC50 values.

Protein crystallization

Prior to setting up crystallization trials, a suitable buffer for the GM-CSF:F1 Fab complex was determined using differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF). The protein was buffer exchanged into 20 mM sodium citrate pH 6 and 500 mM NaCl and concentrated to 10 mg/mL for crystallization screens. Several commercial crystallization screens (including Hampton Crystal Screen, MCGS1 and JCSG+) were tested at 10°C and 21°C. Crystals were obtained from several hit conditions and these were tested at the Australian Synchrotron (Clayton, Victoria). Finally, diffraction data were collected on crystals that grew at 10°C in 0.16 M calcium acetate, 14.4% PEG 8000, 0.08 M sodium cacodylate pH 6.5 and 20% glycerol from the JCSG+ screen.

The GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complex was buffer optimized using DSF and buffer exchanged into a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 9 and 50 mM NaCl. The protein complex was concentrated to 13–20 mg/mL and crystallization screens were conducted using the Hampton Crystal Screen, PEG+ Suite and the JCSG+ screens at 10°C and 21°C. A hit was obtained in the JCSG+ screen condition consisting of 0.2 M NaCl, 20% PEG 8000 and 0.1 M phosphate citrate buffer pH 4.5 at 10°C. These crystals were cryo-protected in 20% ethylene glycol and data were collected at the Australian Synchrotron.

Data processing and structure determination

Data collection was controlled using Blue-Ice software for all work at the Australian Synchrotron.61 Due to radiation damage and the low symmetry space group of the GMCSF:F1 Fab crystals, three datasets were combined to maximize completeness and multiplicity for structure determination. The three datasets were processed individually in XDS62 and unmerged ASCII files were combined and scaled in Aimless63 to obtain a combined data set that was used for molecular replacement and refinement.

The components of the asymmetric unit were determined by the molecular replacement method using the existing crystal structure of GM-CSF (PDB ID: 2GMF)41 as one search model and PDB ID: 2JIX64 with CDR loops removed, as the search model for the Fab component due to close sequence similarity with the F1 Fab. Matthew’s coefficient65 (3.53 Å / Da for four molecules, which corresponds to a solvent content of 65%) suggested that there were four or five molecules in the asymmetric unit cell and Phaser was used to search for up to five molecules of each of the components.66 Phaser could find four copies of the Fab but only two copies of GM-CSF, and refinement did not improve R factors. Trimming the N-terminal residues of GM-CSF led to a solution that placed
the cytokine in an orientation where it bound to the CDR loops of the Fab heavy and light chains. The final model containing four molecules of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab complex was refined using Refmac 5 (CCP4)\textsuperscript{67,68} and Phenix.\textsuperscript{69} Residues forming the CDR loops of the F1 Fab model were built in manually and the structure was refined to a final $R_{work}$ of 0.23 and $R_{free}$ of 0.26. The overall stereochemical analysis reveals good geometry of the structure with two Ramachandran outliers, V51 (main-chain carbonyl involved in a hydrogen bond with a water molecule) and P148.

Diffraction data from the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complex crystals were collected and processed in XDS.\textsuperscript{62} Matthews cell content analysis\textsuperscript{65} predicted one GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complex in the asymmetric unit cell, with a Matthews coefficient of 2.16 Å\textsuperscript{3}/Da and a solvent content of 43%. The structure was solved by the molecular replacement method using the coordinates of GM-CSF (PDB ID: 2GMF)\textsuperscript{41} and a bactericidal Fab-H6831 (PDB ID: 1RIJL)\textsuperscript{70} for the 4D4 Fab due to high sequence similarity. The structure was refined using Refmac 5 (CCP4)\textsuperscript{67,68} to final $R_{work}$ and $R_{free}$ of 0.18 and 0.24, respectively. Stereochemical analysis reveals good geometry of the structure with all residues in the allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot. Stereochemical analysis was carried out using the wwPDB Validation Service (https://validate-rcsb-1.wpdb.org/). Data and refinement statistics are listed in Table 1. The PISA (Protein Interfaces, Surfaces and Assemblies) server http://www.ebi.ac.uk/msd-srv/prot_int/pistart.html was used for all protein-ligand surface interaction calculations.

### Accession numbers

Coordinates and structure factors of the crystal structures of the GM-CSF:F1 Fab and the GM-CSF:4D4 Fab complexes have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank under the accession numbers 6BFQ and 6BFS, respectively.

### Abbreviations

- βc: beta common receptor subunit
- CDR: complementarity-determining region
- DSF: differential scanning fluorimetry
- EC\textsubscript{50}: half maximal effective concentration
- IC\textsubscript{50}: half maximal inhibitory concentration
- Fab: antigen-binding fragment
- GM-CSF: granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor
- GMRa: GM-CSF-specific receptor alpha subunit
- IL-3: interleukin-3
- IL-5: interleukin-5
- IL3Ra: interleukin-3 specific receptor alpha subunit
- JAK: janus kinase
- mAb: monoclonal antibody
- MS: multiple sclerosis
- NTD: N-terminal domain
- PAP: pulmonary alveolar proteinosis
- RA: rheumatoid arthritis
- rmsd: root-mean-square deviation
- Sc: surface complimentarity
- STAT: signal transducer and activator of transcription
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