Comprehensive functional annotation of susceptibility SNPs prioritized 10 genes for schizophrenia
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Abstract

Nearly 95% of susceptibility SNPs identified by genome-wide association studies (GWASs) are located in non-coding regions, which causes a lot of difficulty in deciphering their biological functions on disease pathogenesis. Here, we aimed to conduct a comprehensive functional annotation for all the schizophrenia susceptibility loci obtained from GWASs. Considering varieties of epigenomic regulatory elements, we annotated all 22,688 acquired susceptibility SNPs according to their genomic positions to obtain functional SNPs. The comprehensive annotation indicated that these functional SNPs are broadly involved in diverse biological processes. Histone modification enrichment showed that H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me1, and H3K4me3 were related to the development of schizophrenia. Transcription factors (TFs) prediction, methylation quantitative trait loci (meQTL) analyses, expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) analyses, and proteomic quantitative trait loci analyses (pQTL) identified 447 target protein-coding genes. Subsequently, differential expression analyses between schizophrenia cases and controls, nervous system phenotypes from mouse models, and protein–protein interaction with known schizophrenia-related pathways and genes were carried out with our target genes. We finally prioritized 10 target genes for schizophrenia (CACNA1C, CLU, CSNK2B, GABBR1, GRIN2A, MAPK3, NOTCH4, SRR, TNF, and SYN1AP1). Our results may serve as an encyclopedia of schizophrenia susceptibility SNPs and offer holistic guides for post-GWAS functional experiments.

Introduction

Schizophrenia is one of the most mysterious and costliest mental disorders with a lifetime risk about 1% [1, 2]. Patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia live 12–15 years shorter than normal people, and this mortality difference increases in recent decades [3]. Published twin studies found that the heritability of schizophrenia was 73–90% and environmental influence was estimated as 3–19% [2,3]. Basing on the high heritability of schizophrenia, genetic susceptibility factor decipherment would lead us to a better understanding of the genetic basis of schizophrenia.

To date, genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have identified many schizophrenia susceptibility loci. However, most of the disease-associated variants locate in intronic or intergenic regions [4], which causes difficulties in clarifying their effects on diseases pathogenesis. Also, till now, the majority of functional SNPs remain unrevealed in schizophrenia studies.

Recent years, the increasing epigenomic datasets, including the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) [5] and Roadmap Epigenomics Project [6], make it possible to understand the function of non-coding variants from epigenomic level. It has been reported that the non-coding regions could indirectly participate in the regulation of proximal or distal genes expression by
functioning as regulatory elements. Typically, these include the DNA methylation sites, histone modification sites, DNase I hypersensitive sites, transcription factor (TF)-binding sites, as well as the proved enhancer and promoter regions, which are related to the cleavage, transcription, and translation of genes. Given these, some functional annotations of GWASs reported SNPs, and the epigenomic contribution have identified a bunch of functional SNPs and extended our understanding in genetic regulation mechanisms. For schizophrenia, a comprehensive annotation of GWASs results is needed.

In this study, we obtained schizophrenia-associated SNPs from GWASs and aimed to perform comprehensive functional annotation for all susceptibility loci. At first, we acquired known schizophrenia susceptibility SNPs (referred to as index SNPs) and SNPs in high linkage disequilibrium (LD) with the index SNPs. We also acquired schizophrenia susceptibility SNPs from GWAS summary studies. For SNPs in coding DNA sequences (CDS) regions, we predicted the potential effect of missense SNPs on protein functions. For SNPs in untranslated regions (UTRs), we predicted their potential effects on microRNA binding. For SNPs located in promoters, we predicted whether they have effects on TF binding. For SNPs located in intronic or intergenic regions, we detected whether they might regulate enhancer activity through affecting TF-binding ability. For all annotated functional SNPs, histone modification enrichment, methylation quantitative trait loci (meQTL), expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL), and proteomic quantitative trait loci (pQTL) analyses were subsequently performed. In addition, we used multiple ways to validate the correlation of target genes with schizophrenia. Our results may serve as an encyclopedia of schizophrenia susceptibility SNPs and offer guides for post-GWAS functional experiments.

Materials and methods

Acquisition of schizophrenia-associated SNPs

Figure 1 shows the analysis strategy of this study. SNPs associated with schizophrenia were obtained from two common resources of SNP–trait associations: GWAS-catalog and phenotype-genotype integrator (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap/phgeni). SNPs reported to be associated with schizophrenia with $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ were selected. These were further referred to as index SNPs. Due to the low genomic coverage of microarrays, those true causal variants may not be detected. Therefore, we also obtained the SNPs in strong LD ($r^2 \geq 0.8$) with the index SNPs using the 1000 Genomes Phase III data. These were further referred to as LD SNPs. In addition, we also acquired schizophrenia susceptibility SNPs from GWAS summary studies with $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, which were called summary SNPs hereafter. All of the index, LD and summary SNPs were considered as schizophrenia susceptibility SNPs and were subjected to subsequently analyses. Using GencodeV19 reference genome (http://www.gencodegenes.org/releases/), the susceptibility SNPs were annotated with ANNOVAR to get their genomic region information.

Functional annotation of schizophrenia-associated SNPs

For SNPs in CDS, we focused on nonsynonymous SNPs. We predicted the potential effect of missense SNPs on protein functions using PROVEAN, SIFT, Polyphen2 (ref. 18), and CAD2 (ref. 19). For SNPs located in 3′-UTR, we predicted the miRNA-binding affinity with the UTR sequences using MirSNP database.

For SNPs located within 1 kb region upstream of transcription start site (TSS), we considered that these SNPs are located in promoters. For SNPs located in intronic or intergenic regions, we used the chromatin 15-states data in brain and blood tissues (Supplementary Table S1) from the Roadmap project (http://www.roadmapepigenomics.org/) to check whether they are located in enhancers. Subsequently, we detected whether the promoter and enhancer SNPs would affect TF binding using the SNP2TFBS database. We also investigated which TFs they were enriched for disruption. The GEO dataset GSE69838 (ref. 22) was used to check whether these enriched TFs were differentially expressed during neuronal differentiation of SH-SY5Y cell (0 day vs 11 day).

Histone modification enrichment

We used histone modification data (including 11 histone marks H3K27ac, H3K27me3, H3K36me3, H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K79me2, H3K9ac, H3K9me, H3K9me3, and H4K20me1) in brain and blood tissues from the Roadmap project (supplementary Table S1) to predict these schizophrenia functional SNPs were enriched in what kind of histone marks using Variant Set Enrichment (VSE)23. In the VSE method, a disjoint list of associated variant set (AVS) had be constructed at the first step, in which only one SNP is present in one LD block to avoid inflating test statistics.

meQTL analyses

We used the meQTL data in the prefrontal cortex from 335 controls and 191 schizophrenia patients reported by Jaffe et al.24 to investigate whether the annotated functional SNPs could affect DNA methylation levels.

eQTL analyses

eQTL results derived from (1) the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of schizophrenia patients ($N = 258$) and control subjects ($N = 279$) from CommonMind Consortium (CMC)25 and (2) whole blood from 3841 samples reported by Bonder et al.26 were used to investigate
whether the annotated functional SNPs could affect gene expression levels in schizophrenia-related tissues. For functional enhancer SNPs, genes with TSS flanking ±1 Mb around the SNPs were used for investigating eQTL signals in all related tissues. For other functional SNPs, their located genes were used. The Benjamini–Hochberg procedure was executed to account for the multiple testing problems. We also applied independent integrative analysis (Summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization, SMR) approach developed by Zhu et al. to the...
GWASs (summary-level data from GWASs' summary studies previously mentioned\textsuperscript{13,14}) and eQTL data (summary-level data from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) v7 project\textsuperscript{26}, after integrating 13 brain subregions, and whole blood). After applying a conservative threshold ($P_{\text{HEIDI}} > 0.05$ and $P_{\text{QTL}} < 0.05$), genes with FDR $q < 0.05$ in both eQTL and SMR results in brain or blood separately were obtained.

**pQTL analyses**

We used the data from blood plasma proteome reported by Suhre et al.\textsuperscript{29} to investigate whether the annotated functional SNPs in blood could affect protein levels. The expression levels of 1124 proteins were quantified in 1000 individuals of the population-based KORA study. For functional enhancer SNPs, all proteins associated with susceptibility SNPs were used. For other functional SNPs, proteins coded by their located genes were used. The Benjamini–Hochberg procedure was executed, and the threshold of FDR $q < 0.05$ was used to filter out non-significant signals.

**Differential expression analyses**

For all target genes (obtained from meQTL, eQTL, and pQTL analyses, and enriched TFs) which encode proteins (GencodeV19 annotation data), we further checked whether they were differentially expressed between schizophrenia case and control samples. Meta-analysis using sample-size weighted model in the METAL software\textsuperscript{30} was carried out to combine the results of differential expression analyses from GSE53987 (prefrontal cortex, striatum, and hippocampus from 48 schizophrenia patients and 55 control subjects)\textsuperscript{31}, GSE93987 (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex from 102 patients and 106 controls)\textsuperscript{32}, and GSE38484 (whole blood from 106 patients and 96 controls)\textsuperscript{33}). Effect direction was taken into account in this model. The Benjamini–Hochberg procedure was executed, and the threshold was same as mentioned before.

**Associated genes from mouse models**

We used phenotype data in mouse models from two projects, Mouse Genome Database (MGD)\textsuperscript{34} and International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium (IMPC)\textsuperscript{35}, to verify whether these target genes deficiency could lead to disorders in nervous system.

**Protein–protein interaction**

We also compare our target genes with known schizophrenia-related pathways and genes information reported by MalaCards database (http://www.malacards.org/). For those genes not included, we checked whether they could be related to known schizophrenia pathways or genes through gene–gene interaction using data from MyProteinNet database (http://netbio.bgu.ac.il/myproteinnet/).

**Pathway enrichment**

For target genes which had at least two hits in differential expression analyses, mouse models and Malacards, we conducted KEGG pathway enrichment analyses with these genes to detect their related pathways (http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr/).

**Targeted drugs**

We used data of targeted drugs from DrugBank (https://www.drugbank.ca/) to investigate whether there were any connection between target genes and approved biotech drugs.

**Results**

**Acquisition of schizophrenia-associated SNPs**

There were 347 schizophrenia-associated SNPs obtained from GWAS-Catalog and PheGenI in total (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table S2). Among the index SNPs, 248 SNPs were from European-specific GWAS sample. Subsequently, we acquired 6926 SNPs in high LD with the index SNPs. We also obtained 20,573 susceptibility SNPs from GWAS summary studies (Fig. 2b). All susceptibility SNPs (22,688) were annotated with ANNOVAR and their classifications are shown in Fig. 2c.

**Functional annotation of associated SNPs**

We identified 338 susceptibility SNPs located in CDS. Of these SNPs, 161 encoded synonymous substitutions, 172 encoded missense substitutions, 1 frameshift substitution, and 4 still unknown. We explored the potential effects of the missense SNPs using PROVEAN, SIFT, Polynph, and CADD. Sixty-six SNPs were predicted to be damaging by at least one algorithm (Supplementary Table S4), including four index SNPs, rs1051061, rs13107325, rs16897515, rs2247870, and rs950169. Seven SNPs were predicted to be damaging by all four algorithms, including rs9257834, rs678, rs4584886, rs34788973, rs13195402, rs13195401, and rs1029871. Moreover, rs13195401, rs13195402, and rs34788973 were all in high LD with index SNPs rs13194053, rs55834529, and rs6932590, which may cause damaging changes in $BTN2A1$ and $OR2B2$.

We identified 357 SNPs in 3′-UTR, and 119 SNPs were predicted to be involved in microRNA targets (Supplementary Table S4), including four index SNPs (rs10786736, rs13205911, rs3735025, and rs4702). In addition, another two SNPs, rs1376607 and rs3735026, were in complete LD with the index SNP rs3735025.

Of all 388 promoter SNPs, 89 SNPs might disrupt TF binding, including index SNP rs796364. For example, the substitution from C to A of rs796364 would affect the binding affinity of NFIC in the upstream of $FTCDNL1$ gene. For SNPs in intronic or intergenic region, we identified 2820 and 4694 SNPs located in the enhancer
Fig. 2 The obtainment and genomic region of schizophrenia susceptibility SNPs. a The distribution of the 347 schizophrenia index SNPs in the genome. b Schematic of all risk SNPs compilation from available GWASs. EUR European, EAS Asian. c Genomic region annotation distribution of the index and all risk SNPs.
regions of brain and blood cells, respectively. Among them, 659/1028 SNPs in brain/blood might regulate enhancer activity through affecting TF binding. These promoter SNPs were enriched for disruption of eight TFs binding (Fig. 3a) and enhancer SNPs were found to potentially disrupt 12/21 TFs binding in brain/blood (Fig. 3b, c), 34 in total (supplementary Table S5). Comparisons of the gene expression levels during SH-SY5Y cell
differentially detected significant expression changes for 13 TFs (MYC, MAFG, JUND, NFKB1, EGR1, ETS1, KLF4, NFE2L1, RXRA, SOX2, SP2, TCF12, and ZFX; Fig. 3d), suggesting these TFs may have significant functions on nerve cells differentiation or proliferation (Supplementary Table S5).

Finally, we identified 934 functional SNPs in brain and 1300 (rs1348885, rs1800629, and rs2747054 were identified in both enhancer and promoter regions and were only counted once) in blood, including 1 splicing SNP, 66 missense SNPs which may damage protein functions, 119 UTR SNPs involved in potential microRNA targets, 89 promoter, and 659/1028 enhancer SNPs which might disrupt TF binding in brain/blood.

Histone modification enrichment
Histone modification enrichment showed that these functional SNPs were enriched in H3K27ac (active enhancer), H3K36me3 (active elongation), H3K4me1 (active enhancer), and H3K4me3 (active promoter) in most of the brain (Fig. 3e) and blood cell lines (Fig. 3f). All of these histone marks would activate gene expression.

QTL analyses
According to meQTL results, 516 of 934 annotated functional SNPs in brain were associated with DNA methylation alterations of 224 genes (Supplementary Table S6). For all annotated functional SNPs, we performed SMR and eQTL analyses to find their target genes. One thousand six hundred and one genes in brain tissues and 552 genes in blood tissue were identified in SMR analyses. One hundred and seventy-five genes in CMC and 568 genes in data from Bonder et al. were identified in eQTL analyses (Supplementary Table S6). As a result, 299 genes in blood and 147 genes in brain were overlapped in SMR and eQTL analyses, respectively, 380 genes in total. We also carried out pQTL analyses in blood tissue. Due to the low genotyping density of the current available proteomic data, only 41 functional SNPs were identified and were associated with 19 proteins (supplementary Table S6). Besides, C2, C4A, C4B, MICA, and MICB were identified as the targets of the functional SNPs from both gene and protein expression levels.

Validation of target genes
Finally, we acquired 538 target genes (Fig. 4a, including 34 enriched TFs, 224 meQTL, 380 eQTL, and 19 pQTL target genes), including 447 protein-coding genes. For these protein-coding genes, 168 genes (37.58%) were differentially expressed between schizophrenia cases and controls (Supplementary Table S7). We also confirmed our results in mouse models and 103 (23.04%) genes were related to nervous system phenotype (Supplementary Table S8). Using the schizophrenia-related pathways data from MalaCards, we found 33 protein-coding genes could be assigned to several signal pathways of schizophrenia (Fig. 4b). Thirteen genes (C4A, C4B, CACNA1C, CYP2D6, DRD2, GABBR1, GRIN2A, ITIH3, KCTD13, MICB, NOTCH4, SRR, and TNF) had been reported related to schizophrenia. Among them, CACNA1C, CYP2D6, DRD2, GABBR1, GRIN2A, NOTCH4, and SRR were also assigned to related pathways. However, there were still some target genes could not be explained. Using gene–gene interaction data, we found that 221 of the 408 unexplained genes (54.17%) could be related to known schizophrenia pathways through gene–gene interaction (Supplementary Figure S1A) and 65 genes could be related to known schizophrenia-related genes (including 18 genes related to 6 schizophrenia elite genes, Supplementary Figure S1B). Subsequently, we conducted KEGG pathway enrichment analysis for 59 target genes which had at least two hits in above methods (Fig. 4c), and they were enriched in 13 related signal pathways (Fig. 4d). In addition, there were 12 approved drugs targeted to functional genes or schizophrenia-related genes which interacted with functional genes (Fig. 4e).

Notably, we prioritized 10 target genes, CACNA1C, CLU, CSNK2B, GABBR1, GRIN2A, MAPK3, NOTCH4, SRR, TNF, and SYNGAP1, which were differentially expressed between schizophrenia cases and controls, validated in mouse models and MalaCards directly (Fig. 4c, Table 1, Supplementary Table S9). The correlation with schizophrenia of six among them were already identified. CLU and MAPK3 were only identified as new schizophrenia susceptibility loci by GWASs13, but the other two genes (CSNK2B and SYNGAP1) were not identified yet.

Discussion
In this study, we obtained SNPs associated with schizophrenia from the current results of GWASs and conducted functional annotation comprehensively for all susceptibility loci. Different from previous studies, not only the SNPs in exon, UTR, and promoter regions, we also focused on the annotation in intronic and intergenic regions using epigenomic data.

We found 538 target genes, including 447 protein-coding genes. One hundred and sixty-eight (37.58%) of them were differentially expressed between schizophrenia cases and controls, and 103 of them were confirmed related to nervous system phenotype in mouse models, both indicating their important involvement in the development of schizophrenia. Only 33 protein-coding genes could be directly assigned to schizophrenia-related pathways, and 13 were confirmed as schizophrenia-related genes. Furthermore, 54.67% of the rest target protein-coding genes could be related to known schizophrenia-related genes or pathways through
Fig. 4 QTLs target genes.  

a The Venn diagram of the target genes from QTLs analyses.  
b The target protein-coding genes (ordinates) assigned to known schizophrenia-related pathways (abscissa).  
c The Venn diagram of the validation of target genes.  
d The enriched schizophrenia-related pathways of 59 target genes which had at least two hits in validations.  
e Connections between target genes (dark blue), genes from PPI (blue), and drugs (green) indicated for other diseases (purple).
Table 1: Analyses results of 10 prior target genes for schizophrenia

| Gene       | FDR (DE) | Pathway                                                                 | Phenotype in mouse models                                                                 | QTLs |
|------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| CACNA1C    | 2.78 × 10^{-2} | Amphetamine addiction; dopamine-DARPP32 feedback onto cAMP pathway; neuroscience | Abnormal nervous system physiology; nervous; nervous system phenotype meQTL                | T1QTL mQTL |
| C4A        | 2.35 × 10^{-2} | Neuroscience                                                           | Nervous; nervous system phenotype eQTL, meQTL                                          | C4A |
| CSNK2B     | 2.35 × 10^{-2} | Neuroscience                                                           | Nervous; nervous system phenotype; abnormal somatic nervous system morphology; GABBR1 aberrant sciatic nerve morphology; abnormal nervous system electrophysiology | C4B |
| GABBR1     | 3.97 × 10^{-2} | Amphetamine addiction; circadian entrainment; dopamine-DARPP32 feedback onto cAMP pathway; neuroscience | Neuroscience                                                              | C4B |
| GRIN2A     | 2.35 × 10^{-2} | Neuroscience                                                           | Nervous; nervous system phenotype eQTL, meQTL                                          | C4B |
| NOTCH4     | 2.78 × 10^{-2} |—                                                                       | Abnormal nervous system physiology; nervous; nervous system phenotype eQTL, meQTL        |       |
| SRR        | 4.75 × 10^{-4} |—                                                                       | Abnormal nervous system physiology; nervous; nervous system phenotype eQTL, meQTL        |       |
| SYNGAP1    | 2.78 × 10^{-2} |—                                                                       | Abnormal nervous system physiology; nervous; nervous system phenotype eQTL, meQTL        |       |
| TNF        | 2.03 × 10^{-4} |—                                                                       | Abnormal nervous system physiology; nervous; nervous system phenotype eQTL, meQTL        |       |

Note: DE means differential expression.

C2, C4A, C4B, MICA, and MICB were identified as the targets of the functional SNPs from both gene and protein expression levels. MICB was found differentially expressed between schizophrenia cases and controls. C4A, C4B, and MICB were previously reported to be associated with schizophrenia. Hakobyan et al. identified that the hemolytic activity of the C2 complement components was significantly lower in the serum of the schizophrenic patients.

We prioritized 10 target genes for schizophrenia. They were all differentially expressed between schizophrenia cases and controls, validated in mouse models and MalaCards directly. The correlation with schizophrenia of six among them were already identified. CACNA1C (interacted with elite gene AKTI1), TNF, and aberrant SRR may contribute to schizophrenia pathogenesis. GABBR1 and NOTCH4 may confer susceptibility to the development of schizophrenia. Interaction between COMT (elite gene) and NOTCH4 genotypes may predict the treatment response to typical neuroleptics in schizophrenia patients.

Besides, the other two genes (SYNGAP1 and CSNK2B) were most likely to be schizophrenia susceptibility genes. SYNGAP1 was assigned to Neuroscience pathway directly, and CSNK2B in beta-adrenergic signaling pathway. However, SYNGAP1 and CSNK2B are located in the extended MHC complex on chromosome 6, so it is doubtful those are truly novel associations with schizophrenia. We performed Pearson correlation analyses between CSNK2B (or SYNGAP1) and C4A, C4B or the other gene SYNGAP1 (or CSNK2B), and did conditional eQTL analyses between each eQTL top SNPs and corresponding target genes (CSNK2B and SYNGAP1) by adjusting the residual effect of the C4A and C4B using genotype and expression data (after covariates) of 13 brain subregions and whole blood from the GTEx-v7 project.

(1) Pearson correlation analyses results showed that there was no significant correlations between CSNK2B and C4A or C4B in 13/14 or 11/14 tissues, respectively, and there was no significant correlations between SYNGAP1 and C4A or C4B in 11/14 or all 14 tissues, respectively. Moreover, we explored the correlations between CSNK2B and SYNGAP1 and found that there was no significant correlations for CSNK2B and SYNGAP1 in 12/14 tissues (supplementary Table S10). (2) In the conditional eQTL analyses, we used the top SNPs obtained in the SMR analyses. As a result, 10 SNPs were used for CSNK2B, and 3 SNPs for SYNGAP1. We checked if the eQTL association remained significant after adjusting the residual effect of C4A and C4B (Supplementary Table S10). For
CSNK2B, conditional eQTL association remained significant ($P < 0.05$) in 21 SNP-tissues pairs (8 SNPs and 10 tissues, respectively, and there were 30 pairs before adjusting the C4 signal). SYNGAP1 remained significant in 2/3 SNP-tissues pairs. Thus, the observed eQTL associations between corresponding SNPs and CSNK2B, SYNGAP1 were independent of C4 signal. These analyses results suggested us that CSNK2B and SYNGAP1 were independent from the C4 signal and each other.

There were 12 approved drugs from biotech targeted to functional genes, while Pegademase bovine is used for the treatment of adenosine deaminase, which is involved in functional genes, while Pegademase bovine is used for the independent from the C4 signal and each other.

In conclusion, we acquired SNPs associated with schizophrenia and provided a comprehensive annotation for all susceptibility loci. We identified 934 functional SNPs in brain and 1300 in blood, including 66 missense SNPs, 1 splicing SNP, 119 UTR SNPs, 89 promoter, and 659/1028 enhancer SNPs. These promoter and enhancer SNPs were enriched for disruption of 34 TFs. H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me1, and H3K4me3 were more likely to be related to the development of schizophrenia. meQTL analyses for the functional SNPs showed that 516 functional SNPs in brain would affect DNA methylation levels of 224 genes. eQTL analyses for the functional SNPs identified 380 target genes. pQTL analyses showed that 19 proteins might be affected by functional SNPs. Finally, we acquired 447 target protein-coding genes. One hundred and sixty-eight of these genes (37.58%) were differentially expressed between schizophrenia cases and controls, and 103 (23.04%) were related to nervous system phenotype in mouse models. Besides, 260 (58.17%) could be correlated with schizophrenia-related pathways or related genes directly or through gene–gene interaction. We prioritized 10 target genes for schizophrenia, CLLI and MAPK3 were identified by GWASs, but the other two genes (CSNK2B and SYNGAP1) were novel. Our results may offer holistic guides for post-GWAS functional experiments.
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