A Dixmier-Malliavin theorem for Lie groupoids

Michael Francis
Department of Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802, USA
mjf5726@psu.edu

September 27, 2020

Abstract
A famous theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin asserts that every smooth, compactly-supported function on a Lie group can be expressed as a finite sum in which each term is the convolution, with respect to Haar measure, of two such functions. We establish that the same holds for a Lie groupoid. Most of the heavy lifting is done by a lemma in the original work of Dixmier-Malliavin. We also need the technology of Lie algebroids and the corresponding notion of exponential map. As an application, we obtain a result on the arithmetic of ideals in the smooth convolution algebra of a Lie groupoid arising from functions vanishing to given order on an invariant submanifold of the unit space.

1 Introduction

In a 1960 paper, Ehrenpreis [10] posed a number of related questions including whether every smooth, compactly-supported function \( \varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \) can be “deconvolved” as \( \varphi = f \ast g \) where \( f, g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \). The latter question became known as the Ehrenpreis factorization problem. In 1978, Rubel-Squires-Taylor [17] showed that the answer is “no” if \( n \geq 3 \). In the same year, Dixmier-Malliavin [9] showed that the answer is still “no” if \( n = 2 \). The remaining case \( n = 1 \) was eventually settled in 1999 by Yulmukhametov [20] who showed the answer is “yes” for the real line. Also in the positive direction, [9] gives the answer to a weaker form of the factorization question to be “yes” for any Lie group whatsoever. This is the Dixmier-Malliavin theorem.\(^1\)

**Theorem 1** (3.1 Théorème, [9]). Let \( G \) be a Lie group and form the smooth convolution algebra \( C^\infty_c(G) \). Then, every \( \varphi \in C^\infty_c(G) \) can be expressed as \( f_1 \ast \psi_1 + \ldots + f_N \ast \psi_N \)

\(^1\)More accurately, one of several closely-related Dixmier-Malliavin theorems.
where \( f, \psi \in C^\infty_c(G) \). Moreover, we can choose this factorization such that, for every \( i \), \( \text{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq \text{supp}(\varphi) \) and \( \text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq W \), where \( W \subseteq G \) is a neighbourhood of the identity fixed in advance.

The main goal of this paper is to establish the following analogous result for Lie groupoids.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( G \) be a Lie groupoid and form the smooth convolution algebra \( C^\infty_c(G) \). Then, every \( \varphi \in C^\infty_c(G) \) can be decomposed as \( f_1 \ast \psi_1 + \ldots + f_N \ast \psi_N \), where \( f_i, \psi_i \in C^\infty_c(G) \).

Moreover, we can choose this factorization such that, for every \( i \), \( \text{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq \text{supp}(\varphi) \) and \( \text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq W \), where \( W \subseteq G \) is a neighbourhood of the unit space of \( G \) fixed in advance.

Note that defining a convolution product on \( C^\infty_c(G) \) requires a choice of Haar system but, as different Haar systems lead to canonically isomorphic algebras, issues relating to factorization do not depend on this choice. One could also avoid making a choice entirely by working with appropriate densities in place of functions. Additionally, the open set \( W \) does not actually need to contain the whole unit space of \( G \), only a suitable compact subset. These technicalities, and others, shall be entered into in more detail later in the document. A more comprehensive statement is given in Theorem 13.

We furthermore apply our Dixmier-Malliavin theorem to obtain results on the arithmetic of certain ideals in the smooth convolution algebra of a Lie groupoid. Given a closed, invariant submanifold \( X \) of the unit space of a Lie groupoid \( G \), one obtains ideals \( J_p \subseteq C^\infty_c(G) \) consisting of the functions which vanish to order \( p \) on the restricted groupoid \( G_X \subseteq G \). Our main findings here are:

\[
J_\infty \ast J_\infty = J_\infty \quad \quad \quad (J_1)^p = J_p.
\]

In practical terms, this means that a function vanishing to infinite order on \( G_X \) can be written as a finite sum in which each term is a convolution of two functions vanishing to infinite order on \( G_X \), and that a function vanishing to \( p \)th order on \( G_X \) can be written as a finite sum in which each term is a \( p \)-fold convolution of functions which vanish on \( G_X \). Note that these results on ideals are only interesting after one has generalized to the groupoid setting. In the group case, the unit space consists of a single point and these ideals do not arise at all.

Elsewhere ([11]), we apply the results of this article to investigate the structure of the smooth convolution algebra \( C^\infty_c(G) \) when \( G \) is the holonomy groupoid of a singular foliation ([14], [15], [8], [2]). The holonomy groupoid of the singular foliation of \( \mathbb{R} \) given by vector fields that vanish to \( k \)th order at the origin ([2], Example 1.3 (3)) is isomorphic to the transformation groupoid \( \mathbb{R} \rtimes_k \mathbb{R} \) of an appropriate flow fixing the origin to \( k \)th order. We show, by way of an analysis of the ideal structure, that the smooth algebras \( C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R} \rtimes_k \mathbb{R}) \) are pairwise nonisomorphic. The C*-completions, on the other hand, fall into two isomorphism classes according to the parity of \( k \). This demonstrates that, even in very simple cases, the smooth algebra of a singular foliation can contain information that is washed away after one passes to the foliation C*-algebra.
We now explain the organization of this article. In Section 2, we use a key lemma of Dixmier-Malliavin to obtain, as a corollary, the preliminary factorization result Theorem 3. This allows us, given a smooth \( \mathbb{R} \)-action on a manifold \( M \), to express functions on \( M \) as two-term sums in which both terms are the convolution of a function on \( \mathbb{R} \) with a function on \( M \). Section 3 largely serves a notational purpose. In it, we lay out our conventions for the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid, for Haar measures, and for Lie groupoid actions. Section 4 is quite technical and culminates with Lemma 11, giving a framework in which functions on \( \mathbb{R} \) can be convolved to yield a function on a Lie groupoid with appropriate properties. In Section 5, we derive the main result, Theorem 13. Section 6 prepares the ground for the final section by analyzing the product structure of ideals in the (commutative) algebra of smooth functions on a manifold under pointwise multiplication. This is again somewhat technical, though we do make use of an interesting inversion principle which connects Schwartz functions to bump functions (Lemma 19). Finally, in Section 7, we obtain our results on the product structure of ideals in the smooth convolution algebra of a Lie groupoid. The main finding here is Theorem 25.

\section{Dixmier-Malliavin for \( \mathbb{R} \) actions}

Let \( X \) be a complete vector field on a smooth manifold \( M \). We denote by \( t \mapsto e^{tX} \) the corresponding 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms (in other words the flow) that is related to \( X \) by

\[
\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} f(e^{tX}m) = (Xf)(m).
\]

This action of \( \mathbb{R} \) on \( M \) can alternatively be encoded by a representation \( \pi \) of the smooth convolution algebra \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) on \( C_c^\infty(M) \) which we call the \textit{integrated form} of the action. This representation \( \pi \) is defined by the following formula:

\[
(\pi(f)\psi)(m) = \int_\mathbb{R} f(-t)\psi(e^{tX}m) \, dt. \tag{1}
\]

The essential ingredient in our Lie groupoid generalization of Dixmier-Malliavin is the following preliminary factorization result:

\textbf{Theorem 3.} Let \( \mathbb{R} \) act smoothly on a manifold \( M \) via a complete vector field \( X \) and let \( \pi \) be the representation of \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) on \( C_c^\infty(M) \) defined by (1). Then, for any \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty(M) \), there exists \( f_0, f_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \psi_0, \psi_1 \in C_c^\infty(M) \) such that

\[
\varphi = \pi(f_0)\psi_0 + \pi(f_1)\psi_1. \tag{2}
\]

Moreover, this factorization can be taken such that, for \( i = 0, 1 \), \( \text{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq \text{supp}(\varphi) \) and \( \text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq (\epsilon, \epsilon) \), where \( \epsilon > 0 \) is fixed in advance.
Although Theorem 3 is not recorded in [9], it is a straightforward consequence of the results and techniques therein. The main challenge is to achieve the factorization (2) with smooth \( f_i \). It is far easier to achieve the factorization if the \( f_i \) are only required to be differentiable of a large, but finite, order (see [4], pp. 23). The means by which this simpler result is achieved suggest a strategy for the more difficult result, so it seems worthwhile to provide a short outline here.

**Lemma 4.** For every nonnegative integer \( k \), there exists \( f \in C^k_c(\mathbb{R}) \), \( g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \) such that
\[
\delta = f^{(k+2)} + g
\]
where \( \delta \) denotes the delta distribution at 0.

**Proof.** Antidifferentiate the delta distribution \( k + 2 \) times, always picking the antiderivative that vanishes on the negative half line. The result is the \( C^k \) function \( F \) vanishing on the negative-half line and satisfying \( F(t) = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} t^{k+1} \) for \( t \geq 0 \). Of course, \( F^{(k+2)} = \delta \), but \( F \) is not compactly-supported. Let \( G \) be a \( C^\infty \) function that agrees with \( F \) outside of a bounded interval. Then, \( \delta = F^{(k+2)} = (F - G)^{(k+2)} + G^{(k+2)} = f^{(k+2)} + g \), where \( f = F - G \in C^k_c(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( g = G^{(k+2)} \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \). \( \square \)

This elementary lemma has a weak version of Theorem 3 as a corollary. Note the representation \( \pi \) defined by (1) still makes sense for functions that aren’t smooth, but only, say, continuous. Moreover, \( \pi(f)\psi \) still belongs to \( C^\infty(M) \) when \( f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}) \), provided \( \psi \in C^\infty_c(M) \). We can even extend the representation \( \pi \) to compactly-supported distributions on \( \mathbb{R} \), for instance \( \pi(\delta) = \text{id}_{C^\infty_c(M)} \). This is mainly a notational point—it is entirely possible to eliminate distributions from the discussion. However, because the corollary below is only being included for motivational reasons, it hardly seems worth it to do so.

**Corollary 5.** Let \( X \) be a complete vector field on a manifold \( M \) and let \( \pi \) be the representation of \( C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \) on \( C^\infty_c(M) \) defined by (1). Then, for any \( \varphi \in C^\infty_c(M) \) and any integer \( k \geq 0 \), one can write
\[
\varphi = \pi(f_0)\psi_0 + \pi(f_1)\psi_1
\]
where \( f_0 \in C^k_c(\mathbb{R}) \), \( f_1 \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \psi_0, \psi_1 \in C^\infty_c(M) \).

**Proof sketch.** Applying the above lemma, we can write \( \delta = f^{(k+2)} + g \) where \( f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}) \), \( g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) \). Noting the relation \( \pi(h')\psi = \pi(h)X\psi \) (an instance of the integration by parts formula), we get \( \varphi = \pi(\delta)\varphi = \pi(f^{(k+2)})\varphi + \pi(g)\varphi = \pi(f)X^{k+2}\varphi + \pi(g)\varphi \) so, setting \( f_0 = f \), \( f_1 = g \), \( \psi_0 = X^{k+2}\varphi \), \( \psi_1 = \varphi \), we are finished. \( \square \)

A major innovation of [9] is to achieve approximate representations of \( \delta \), analogous to that of Lemma 4, but in terms of \( C^\infty \) functions. Precisely, they prove the following.
Theorem 6 (2.5 Lemme, [9]). Given any positive sequence $c_k > 0$, there exist functions $f, g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$ and scalars $a_k$ with $|a_k| \leq c_k$ such that

$$\delta = g + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k f^{(k)},$$

where the convergence is in the sense of compactly-supported distributions.\(^2\)

Note that an obvious rescaling argument implies that the functions $f, g$ of the above theorem can be taken to have support contained in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$.

In spite of its simplicity, the methods by which the above statement about 1-dimensional distributions is proved are highly nontrivial. As Casselman put it in his exposition [5], “Its proof is very intricate, a real tour de force.” The crucial point turns out to be to find conditions on the growth of a sequence $\lambda = \{0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots\}$ that will guarantee that the function $\chi_\lambda$ defined as the restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ of the reciprocal of the entire function represented by the infinite product $\prod (1 + \frac{z^2}{\lambda^2})$ defines a function in the Schwartz space $S(\mathbb{R})$. Functions of this type are used to prove a Schwartz function analog of Theorem 6 which, in turn, is used to prove Theorem 6.

Theorem 6 is exactly the tool needed to give the

Proof of Theorem 3. Fix $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(M)$. Note that $X\varphi, X^2\varphi, \ldots$ have supports contained in the support of $\varphi$. We can choose a sequence of positive constants $c_k$ that decays rapidly enough that, for any sequence of scalars $a_k$ with $|a_k| < c_k$, the sum $\sum_k a_k X^k \varphi$ is uniformly convergent to a $C^\infty$ function (this requires a little diagonal selection trick, but is elementary).

Obviously, the support of the sum is contained in that of $\varphi$. From Theorem 6, we can choose scalars $a_k$, $|a_k| < c_k$ and $f, g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$ with supports contained in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ such that $g + \sum_k a_k f^{(k)} \to \delta$, in the distributional sense. Thus, defining $h_n = g + \sum a_k f^{(k)}$, we have that $\pi(h_n) \varphi \to \varphi$ pointwise over $M$. On the other hand,

$$\pi(h_n) \varphi = \pi(g) \varphi + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \pi(f^{(k)}) \varphi = \pi(g) \varphi + \pi(f) \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X^k \varphi.$$

By the choice of constants $c_k$, the series $\sum a_k X^k \varphi$ converges uniformly to a function $\psi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$ which furthermore satisfies $\text{supp}(\psi) \subseteq \text{supp}(\varphi)$. Thus, we arrive at the weak factorization $\varphi = \pi(f) \psi + \pi(g) \varphi$, which has all the features advertised in the statement. \(\square\)

3 Lie groupoid preliminaries

To a large extent, the purpose of the present section is to sufficiently fix our notations and conventions that precise discussion of Lie groupoids is possible later on. Throughout, $G \rightrightarrows B$
denotes a Lie groupoid with source map \( s \) and target map \( t \). The inversion map is denoted \( \iota : G \to G \) or, frequently, just \( \gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1} \). For peace of mind, it is assumed that \( s \) and \( t \) are submersions with \( k \)-dimensional fibres and that the unit space \( B \) is a closed submanifold of \( G \). Multiplication is performed from right to left so that \( \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \) is defined if and only if \( s(\gamma_1) = t(\gamma_2) \). We use the (standard) notations \( G_x = s^{-1}(x) \) and \( G^x = t^{-1}(x) \) for the source and target fibres.

Examples.

1. **Transformation groupoid**: Let \( H \) be a Lie group acting smoothly on the left of the manifold \( B \). Set \( G = H \times B \) and define \( s, t : G \to B \) by \( s(h, b) = b \) and \( t(h, b) = hb \). Define the product by \( (h_1, h_2 b)(h_2, b) = (h_1 h_2, b) \).

2. **Pair groupoid**: Define \( G = B \times B \) and take \( s = pr_1, \ t = pr_2 \), the two coordinate projections. Define the product by \( (b_1, b_2)(b_2, b_3) = (b_1, b_3) \).

With some superficial differences, our conventions accord with those found in [13] and [16]. We follow [13] in viewing sections of the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid as right-invariant vector fields, but depart from [16] by working with right Haar measures in place of left Haar measures. This is done so that our measures and our vector fields will both live along the same fibers (namely the source fibres), but is of no real importance; left and right and can always be exchanged using the inversion map.

**The Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid**

A vector field on \( G \) is said to be **right-invariant** if it is tangent to the source fibres (i.e. is a section of the distribution \( \ker(ds) \subseteq TG \)) and satisfies

\[
(R_\gamma)_*X = X \quad \quad \gamma \in G,
\]

where \( R_\gamma \) denotes right-multiplication by \( \gamma \). The equation above is a bit imprecise because \( R_\gamma \) is not defined on all of \( G \), but instead is a diffeomorphism \( G_{t(\gamma)} \to G_{s(\gamma)} \). A more accurate formulation would be

\[
(R_\gamma)_* \left( X \big|_{G_u} \right) = X \big|_{G_x} \quad \quad \gamma \in G_x \cap G^u,
\]

where the restricted vector fields make sense because \( X \) was assumed tangent to the source fibres. Because we can write any \( \gamma \in G \) as \( R_\gamma(t(\gamma)) \), it follows that a right-invariant vector field is completely determined by its restriction to \( B \).

**Definition 7** (Definition 3.1, [13]). As a vector bundle over the base manifold \( B \), the **Lie algebroid** \( AG \) of \( G \) is the restriction of the source fibre tangent bundle \( \ker(ds) \subseteq TG \) to \( B \).

Every section of \( AG \) extends uniquely to a right-invariant vector field on \( G \). Thus, right-invariant vector fields on \( G \) are in 1-1 correspondence with sections of the Lie algebroid \( AG \).

This is the Lie groupoid counterpart of the analogous pair of descriptions for the Lie algebra.
of a Lie group. We shall tend to abuse notation, denoting a right-invariant vector and its restriction to \( B \) (a section of \( AG \)) by the same symbol.

Example. If \( G \) is the pair groupoid \( B \times B \), then the source fibres are just the slices \( B \times \{b\} \), \( b \in B \). A right-invariant vector field on \( G \) amounts to a single vector field \( X \) on \( B \) copied on each slice. Meanwhile, the Lie algebroid obviously identifies with \( TB \), so sections of the Lie algebroid also correspond in an obvious way to vector fields on \( B \).

There is a bundle map \( AG \to TB \) called the anchor map defined simply by restricting the differential \( dt : TG \to TB \) of the target submersion to \( AG \). It is common practice to denote the anchor map by \# : \( AG \to TB \), but we shall avoid this notation because it overlaps with common notation for fundamental vector fields in the context of a Lie group actions, and we will be considering groupoids acting on manifolds. Instead, given \( X \in C^\infty(B,AG) = \{ \text{right-invariant vector fields on } G \} \), we write \( X^B \) for the corresponding vector field on \( B \). Thus,

\[
X^B(b) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} t(e^{tX}b) \right|_{t=0}.
\]

The vector fields \( X \) and \( X^B \) are \( t \)-related; if \( X \) is a complete, right-invariant vector field on \( G \), then \( X^B \) is a complete vector field on \( B \) and the target submersion \( t : G \to B \) is equivariant for the \( \mathbb{R} \)-actions on \( G \) and \( B \).

An irritation that does not arise in the Lie group context, but does for Lie groupoids, is the potential for right-invariant vector fields to have incomplete flows. For example, in the case of the pair groupoid \( G = B \times B \), the flow of a right-invariant vector field is just the flow of an arbitrary vector field on \( B \), copied on each slice \( B \times \{b\} \). The following proposition, however, at least shows that complete, right-invariant vector fields are in plentiful supply.

**Proposition 8.** Let \( G \bowtie B \) be a Lie groupoid, \( X \) a compactly-supported section of the Lie algebroid \( AG \). Then, \( X \), considered as a right-invariant vector field\(^3\) on \( G \), is complete.

**Proof.** Let \( \phi : W \to G \) be the (maximal) flow of \( X \). So, \( W \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{R} \times G \) containing \( \{0\} \times G \). To see \( \phi \) is complete, it suffices to show \((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times G \subseteq W \) for some \( \epsilon > 0 \). Let \( K \subseteq B \) be a compact set containing \( \{b \in B : X(b) \neq 0 \} \). By an easy compactness argument, there exists an \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times K \subseteq W \). In fact, since \( X \) vanishes on \( B - K \), we actually have \((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times B \subseteq W \). But then, for any \( \gamma \in G \), we have, using the right-invariance, the integral curve \( t \mapsto \phi(t(\gamma)) \gamma : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to G \) passing through \( \gamma \) at \( t = 0 \), and so \((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times G \subseteq W \), as was to be proven.

Note that right-invariance of a complete vector field \( X \) on \( G \) can also be formulated as a property of its flow; each diffeomorphism \( e^{tX} \) should be right-invariant in the sense that it preserves the \( s \)-fibers and satisfies \( e^{tX}(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = (e^{tX} \gamma_1) \gamma_2 \) whenever \( \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \) is defined.

\(^3\)Possibly no longer compactly-supported; consider what happens if \( G \) is a noncompact Lie group.
Haar systems and convolution

Defining a convolution product on $C^\infty_c(G)$ for a Lie groupoid $G \to B$ requires a choice of (smooth) Haar system. This choice is ultimately unimportant; the algebras associated to different Haar measures are canonically isomorphic. Indeed, by replacing functions on $G$ with sections of an appropriate density bundle, it is possible to obtain the convolution algebra in a fully intrinsic way which does not require a choice of Haar system. See the discussion following Definition 2 in [7], Section 2.5. In this article, we will stick with functions, however, in large part to better resemble the classical Dixmier-Malliavan theorem.

For a given a submersion $p : W \to B$, say with $k$-dimensional fibres, a (smooth) fibrewise measure is a collection $\lambda = (\lambda_b)$ of smooth measures on the fibres $p^{-1}(b), b \in B$ such that, in any open subset of $W$ small enough to be identified with $\mathbb{R}^k \times U$ for $U$ an open set in $B$ in such a way that $p$ is identified with the factor projection $\mathbb{R}^k \times U \to U$, the measures take the form $\lambda_b = \rho(\cdot, b)dt_1 \cdots dt_k, b \in U$, where $\rho$ is a smooth, positive-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^k \times U$ and $dt_1 \cdots dt_k$ is the standard volume measure copied on each fibre $\{b\} \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Equivalently, one can think of $\lambda$ as a globally positive section of the density bundle of the $p$-vertical subbundle $\ker(dp) \subseteq TW$. We list a few basic properties:

1. Fibrewise measures are unique up to rescaling (corresponding to the fact that the density bundle is trivial and oriented); if $\lambda$ and $\nu$ are fibrewise measures for $p : W \to B$, then there is a unique positive-valued, smooth function $\rho$ on $W$ such that $\nu = \rho \lambda$.

2. A fibrewise measure $\lambda$ for $p : W \to B$ determines a corresponding “integration along fibres” map $p_! : C^\infty_c(W) \to C^\infty_c(B)$.

3. Fibrewise measures can be pulled back. Suppose, $p : W \to B$ is a submersion and $\mu : M \to B$ is a smooth map so that $pr_2 : W \times_{p, \mu} M \to M$ is a submersion.\footnote{The fibre product $W \times_{p, \mu} M = \{(\gamma, m) : p(\gamma) = \mu(m)\}$ is a closed submanifold of $W \times M$. This follows from writing it as the preimage of the diagonal $\Delta \subseteq M \times M$ under the map $p \times \mu : G \times M \to M \times M$ and noting that, because $p$ is a submersion, the latter map is transverse to $\Delta$.}

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W \times_{p, \mu} M & \xrightarrow{pr_1} & W \\
\downarrow{pr_2} & & \downarrow{p} \\
M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B
\end{array}
\]

Then, a fibrewise measure $\lambda$ for $p$ determines a fibrewise measure $\lambda_M$ for $pr_2$ by identifying $pr_2^{-1}(m)$ with $p^{-1}(\mu(m))$ in the obvious way. If preferable, one may obtain this pullback construction in two stages, expressing it in terms of appropriate product and restriction constructions.

A (smooth, right) Haar system $\lambda$ for a Lie groupoid $G \to B$ is a fibrewise measure $\lambda = (\lambda_b)_{b \in B}$ for the source submersion $s : G \to B$ that is right-invariant in the sense that, for any $\gamma \in G$, the right-multiplication $R_\gamma$ is a measure isomorphism from $(G_t(\gamma), \lambda_t(\gamma))$ to $(G_s(\gamma), \lambda_s(\gamma))$. 
Recall the Haar measure of a Lie group can be constructed by “bare hands” by choosing a positive density on the tangent space of the identity and then using translation operations to trivialize the tangent bundle and obtain a corresponding globally-positive density on the whole group, which is translation-invariant by construction. The construction of a Haar system for a Lie groupoid \( G \rightarrow B \) proceeds along analogous lines: for any \( \gamma \in G \), the right-translation \( R_{\gamma} : G_{t(\gamma)} \rightarrow G_{s(\gamma)} \) sends \( t(\gamma) \mapsto \gamma \). Thus, any globally-positive section of the density bundle of \( AG \rightarrow B \) can be canonically extended to a globally-positive section of the density bundle of \( \ker(ds) \subseteq TG \), the subbundle of tangent spaces to the source fibres. By construction, this extension is right-invariant in an obvious sense. Along the same lines, one sees that the (smooth) Haar measure of a Lie groupoid is unique up to multiplication (appropriately defined) by a smooth, positive-valued function on the base manifold.

Once a Haar measure \( \lambda \) has been fixed for \( G \), we obtain a convolution operation \( * \) with respect to which \( C_c^\infty(G) \) becomes a (generally noncommutative) algebra.

\[
(f * g)(\gamma_0) = \int_{G_{t(\gamma_0)}} f(\gamma^{-1}) g(\gamma \gamma_0) \, d\lambda_{t(\gamma_0)}
\]  

Lie groupoid actions

Let \( G \rightarrow B \) be a Lie groupoid. Let \( M \) be a manifold with a given smooth map \( \mu : M \rightarrow B \) called the momentum map. By definition, a left action of \( G \) on \( M \) is a smooth product \( G \times_{s,\mu} M \ni (\gamma, m) \mapsto \gamma \cdot m \in M \), such that \( \mu(\gamma \cdot m) = t(\gamma) \) for all \( (\gamma, m) \in G \times_{s,\mu} M \), \( \mu(m) \cdot m = m \) for all \( m \in M \) and \( (\gamma_1 \gamma_2) \cdot m = \gamma_1 \cdot (\gamma_2 \cdot m) \) for all \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G \), \( m \in M \) with \( s(\gamma_1) = t(\gamma_2) \), \( s(\gamma_2) = \mu(m) \).

Examples.

1. A Lie group \( H \) acting smoothly on the left of a manifold \( M \) can be considered as a Lie groupoid action by taking \( G = H \), \( B = \{1_G\} \) and \( s, t, \mu \) to be the collapsing maps onto the one-point space \( B \).

2. Every Lie groupoid \( G \rightarrow B \) acts on its own arrow space \( G \) by taking the momentum map to be the target submersion \( t : G \rightarrow B \) and the action map to be the groupoid multiplication.

3. Every Lie groupoid \( G \rightarrow B \) acts on its own unit space \( B \) by taking the momentum map to be the identity map on \( B \). The fibre product \( G \times_{s,\text{id}} B \) canonically identifies with \( G \) via, \( \gamma \mapsto (\gamma, s(\gamma)) \) and, under this identification, the action map is just the target submersion \( t : G \rightarrow B \).

Recall that, when a Lie group \( H \) acts on a manifold \( M \), each Lie algebra element \( X \in \mathfrak{h} \) determines a corresponding fundamental vector field \( X^\# \) on \( M \). Similarly, when a Lie groupoid \( G \) acts on a manifold \( M \) with momentum map \( \mu \), each Lie algebroid section \( X \in \mathfrak{g} \) determines a corresponding fundamental vector field \( X^\# \) on \( M \).
$C^\infty_c(B, AG)$ determines a vector field $X^M$ on a $M$ by the formula:

$$X^M(m) = \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{tX}(\mu(m)) \cdot m\right]_{t=0}.$$  \hfill (5)

The vector field $X^M$ is complete if $X$ is and satisfies the following right-invariance condition:

$$e^{tX^M}(\gamma \cdot m) = (e^{tX}\gamma) \cdot m$$

whenever $\gamma \cdot m$ is defined.

If, additionally, a Haar system $\lambda$ has been specified for $G$, then an action of $G$ on $M$ determines a representation $\pi$ of the convolution algebra $C^\infty_c(G) = C^\infty_c(G, \lambda)$ on $C^\infty_c(M)$ called the integrated form of the action according to the following formula:

$$(\pi(f)\psi)(m) = \int_{G_{\mu(m)}} f(\gamma^{-1})\psi(\gamma \cdot m) \, d\lambda_{\mu(m)}.$$ \hfill (6)

Take note that, in the special case when $G$ is acting on itself from the left, we recover (4), the convolution product on $C^\infty_c(G)$.

The action of $G$ on $M$ can be packaged as a Lie groupoid $G \ltimes M \rightrightarrows M$ called the transformation groupoid of the action. This is done by taking $G \ltimes M = G \times_{s,\mu} M$ with structure maps defined as follows:

- **source** $\sigma : (\gamma, m) \mapsto m$
- **target** $\tau : (\gamma, m) \mapsto \gamma \cdot m$
- **inversion** $\circledast : (\gamma, m) \mapsto (\gamma^{-1}, \gamma \cdot m)$
- **multiplication** : $(\gamma_2, \gamma_1 \cdot m)(\gamma_1, m) = (\gamma_1\gamma_2, m)$

Note that the relation $\tau = \sigma \circ \circledast$ shows that the action map is in fact a submersion. The Haar measure $\lambda$ on $G$ determines a corresponding Haar system $\lambda_M$ on $G \ltimes M$, using the obvious identification of each $(G \ltimes M)_m = G_{\mu(m)} \times \{m\}$ with $G_{\mu(m)}$.

## 4 Relating $\mathbb{R}$ actions to groupoid actions

This section is devoted to proving the somewhat technical Lemma 11 below. Accordingly, most of the notations set down below can safely be forgotten once this end has been achieved.

As always, $G \rightrightarrows B$ is a Lie groupoid with source $s$, target $t$ and inversion map $\circledast$ and Haar system $\lambda$. Let $M$ be a $G$-space with momentum map $\mu : M \rightarrow B$. Let $\pi$ be the corresponding representation of $C^\infty_c(G)$ on $C^\infty_c(M)$ defined by (6).

$$(\pi(f)\psi)(m) = \int_{G_{\mu(m)}} f(\gamma^{-1})\psi(\gamma \cdot m) \, d\lambda_{\mu(m)}$$

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in C^\infty_c(B, AG)$, thought of as complete, right-invariant vector fields on $G$. Correspondingly, we have complete vector fields $X_1^B, \ldots, X_k^B$ on $B$ and and $X_1^M, \ldots, X_k^M$ on
Let $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ be the representations of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$ associated to the complete vector fields $X_1^M, \ldots, X_k^M$ in accordance with (1).

$$(\pi_i(f)\psi)(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(-t)\psi(e^{tX_1^M} m) \, dt$$

Our basic goal is to work out the relationship between $C$ and $G$ that are parametrized by the map $u : \mathbb{R}^k \times B \to G$ defined by

$$u(t_1, \ldots, t_k, b) = e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} b.$$  

We find it helpful to introduce the following operation, as an intermediary between $\pi_i$ and $\pi$. Given $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k \times B)$, we define a convolution operation $\tilde{\pi}(f)$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$ by

$$(\tilde{\pi}(f)\psi)(m) = \int \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(-t_k, \ldots, -t_1, \mu(e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} m))\psi(e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} m) \, dt_1 \cdots dt_k.$$  

The reason for using precisely the above expression will hopefully be made clear shortly. For now, let us note that the following relationship between $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ is a trivial consequence of the definitions.

**Lemma 9.** Suppose $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\chi \in C_c^\infty(B)$ and define $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k \times B)$ by $f = f_k \otimes \cdots \otimes f_1 \otimes \chi$. Then,

$$\tilde{\pi}(f)\psi = \pi_k(f_k) \cdots \pi_1(f_1)\psi$$

holds whenever $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ has $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\mu(\text{supp}(\psi))$.

Next, we relate $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\pi$.

**Lemma 10.** Suppose that $W$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^k \times B$ that is mapped diffeomorphically by $u$ onto an open subset of $G$. Then, there exists a linear bijection $\theta_W$ from $C_c^\infty(W) \subseteq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k \times B)$ to $C_c^\infty(u(W)) \subseteq C_c^\infty(G)$ such that

$$\tilde{\pi}(f)\psi = \pi(\theta_W(f))\psi$$

holds for all $f \in C_c^\infty(W)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$.

We remark that the bijection $\theta_W$ above is independent of the manifold $M$ and the given action of $G$; the same $\theta_W$ works for all $G$-sets. The basic idea is to define $\theta_W(f)$ as the pushforward of $f$ by $u$, followed by multiplication by a suitable Jacobian factor.

Before proceeding to the proof of Lemma 10 we find it useful to re-express the representations $\pi$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ in a somewhat more abstract form. Form the transformation groupoid $G \times M \rightrightarrows M$ with source $\sigma$, target $\tau$, inversion map $j$ and induced Haar system $\lambda_M$. Given $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$, we define $f \times \psi \in C_c^\infty(G \times M)$ by restricting $f \otimes \psi$ to $G \times M \subseteq G \times M$

$$(f \times \psi)(\gamma, m) = f(\gamma)\psi(m).$$
We can express \( \pi \) in terms of the above operations as follows:

\[
\pi(f)\psi = \sigma_1((f \times \psi) \circ j)
\]

\[ f \in C^\infty_c(G), \psi \in C^\infty_c(M), \quad (7) \]

where \( \sigma_1 : C^\infty_c(G \times M) \rightarrow C^\infty_c(M) \) is the integration along fibres map associated to \( \lambda_M \).

Next, we find an analogous expression for \( \tilde{\pi} \). First, define the following maps:

\[
\begin{align*}
 u &: \mathbb{R}^k \times B \rightarrow G \\
 s &: \mathbb{R}^k \times B \rightarrow B \\
 \i &: \mathbb{R}^k \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times B \\
 v &: \mathbb{R}^k \times M \rightarrow G \times M \\
 \sigma &: \mathbb{R}^k \times M \rightarrow M \\
 j &: \mathbb{R}^k \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times M
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(\begin{array}{c}
(t_1, \ldots, t_k, b) \\
(t_1, \ldots, t_k, b) \\
(t_1, \ldots, t_k, b) \\
(t_1, \ldots, t_k, m) \\
(t_1, \ldots, t_k, m) \\
(t_1, \ldots, t_k, m)
\end{array}) \mapsto
\begin{array}{c}
e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} b \\
b \\
(-t_k, \ldots, -t_1, e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} b) \\
(e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} \mu(m), m) \\
m \\
(-t_k, \ldots, -t_1, e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} m)
\end{array}
\]

We give \( \tilde{s} \) and \( \tilde{\sigma} \) the obvious fibrewise measures, copying the standard volume measure of \( \mathbb{R}^k \) on each fibre, and denote the associated integration along fibre maps by \( \tilde{s}_t \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}_t \). Notice that \( \tilde{i} \) and \( \tilde{j} \) are order-2 diffeomorphisms and that the following intertwining relations are satisfied.

\[
\begin{align*}
u \circ \tilde{i} &= \tilde{s} \circ u & \tilde{s} &= s \circ u & v \circ \tilde{j} &= j \circ v & \tilde{\sigma} &= \sigma \circ u
\end{align*}
\]

Given \( f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times B) \) and \( \psi \in C^\infty_c(M) \), we define \( f \times \psi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times M) \) by

\[
(f \times \psi)(t_1, \ldots, t_k, m) = f(t_1, \ldots, t_k, \mu(m))\psi(m).
\]

By analogy with (7), we give the following expression for \( \tilde{\pi} \) in terms of the above operations.

\[
\tilde{\pi}(f)\psi = \tilde{\sigma}_t((f \times \psi) \circ \tilde{j})
\]

\[ f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times B), \psi \in C^\infty_c(M) \quad (8) \]

With these preparations and notations, we proceed to the

**Proof of Lemma 10.** The relation \( u\tilde{u} = uv \) implies that \( W' := \tilde{i}(W) \) is also mapped diffeomorphically by \( u \) onto an open subset of \( G \). Thus, there exists a smooth, positive-valued function \( \rho_{W'} \) on \( W' \) such that the pullback of the Haar measure along \( u \) to \( W' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \times B \) equals \( \rho_{W'} \, dt_1 \cdots dt_k \). Thus, for all \( f \in C^\infty_c(u(W')) \), we have

\[
\tilde{s}_t((f \circ u|_{W'})\rho_{W'}) = s_t(f).
\]

Let \( \Omega = (\text{id} \times \mu)^{-1}(W) \), an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^k \times M \), and note that \( v \) maps \( \Omega \) diffeomorphically onto an open subset of \( G \times M \). The relation \( u\tilde{j} = jv \) implies that \( \Omega' := \tilde{j}(\Omega) \) is also mapped diffeomorphically by \( v \) onto an open subset of \( G \times M \). The pullback of the Haar measure \( \lambda_M \)
of $G \times M$ along $v|_{\Omega'}$ is $\rho_{\Omega'} dt_1 \ldots dt_k$, where $\rho_{\Omega'} = \rho_W(id \times \mu)$. Thus, for all $f \in C_c^\infty(v(\Omega'))$, we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}(f \circ v|_{\Omega'}) = \sigma(f). \quad (9)$$

Take $\theta_W$ to be the bijection $C_c^\infty(W) \to C_c^\infty(u(W))$ determined by

$$(\theta_W(f) \circ u|_W)(\rho_{W'} \circ \tilde{f}) = f \quad f \in C_c^\infty(W).$$

Then, for any $f \in C_c^\infty(W)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$, a simple calculation shows that

$$f \times \psi = (\theta_W(f) \circ u|_W)(\rho_{W'} \circ \tilde{f}) \times \psi = (\theta_W(f) \times \psi)(v|_{\Omega})(\rho_{\Omega'} \circ \tilde{f})$$

and so

$$(f \times \psi) \circ \tilde{f} = (\theta_W(f) \times \psi) \circ \theta_W(\psi) \circ v|_{\Omega}).$$

Thus, applying (7), (8) and (9), we find that

$$\tilde{\pi}(f) = \tilde{\sigma}(f \times \psi) = \sigma((\theta_W(f) \times \psi) \circ \theta_W(\psi)) = \pi(f),$$

as was to be proven.

Combining Lemma 10 and Lemma 9, we obtain the following technical lemma below, which has been our goal throughout the section.

**Lemma 11.** Let $G \rightarrow B$ be a Lie groupoid with a given Haar system. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $B$ and let $W$ be an open subset of $G$ containing $K$. Suppose $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in C_c^\infty(B, AG)$ constitute a base for AG over each point of $K$. Then, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for any $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ having supp$(f_i) \subseteq (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, there exists an $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ with supp$(f) \subseteq W$ with the property that, for any $G$-space $M$ with momentum map $\mu$ and any $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ with $\mu$(supp$(\psi)) \subseteq K$, one has

$$\pi(f) = \pi_1(f_1) \cdots \pi_k(f_k)$$

where $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ are the representations of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$ associated by (1) to the corresponding complete vector fields $X_1^M, \ldots, X_k^M$ on $M$ and $\pi$ denotes the representation of $C_c^\infty(G)$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$ given by (6).

**Proof.** Let $u : \mathbb{R}^k \times M \rightarrow G$ be defined by $u(t_1, \ldots, t_k, b) = e^{t_1X_1} \cdots e^{t_kX_k} b$. By an inverse function theorem/compactness argument, there exists an open subset $U$ of $B$ containing $K$ and an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $u$ maps $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)^k \times U$ diffeomorphically onto an open subset of $G$. By possibly shrinking $\epsilon$ and $U$, we may assume that $u((-\epsilon, \epsilon)^k \times U) \subseteq W$. Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(B)$ satisfy supp$(\chi) \subseteq U$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on $K$. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ have supp$(f_i) \subseteq (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ so that $f = f_k \otimes \cdots \otimes f_1 \otimes \chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k \times B)$ has supp$(f) \subseteq (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^k \times U$. Then, for any $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ with supp$(\psi) \subseteq K$, we have

$$\pi_k(f_k) \cdots \pi_1(f_1) \psi = \tilde{\pi}(f) \psi = \pi(\theta_W(f)) \psi$$

where the first equality comes from Lemma 9 and the second from Lemma 10. Since $\theta_W(f)$ is supported in $u((-\epsilon, \epsilon)^k \times U) \subseteq W$, we are finished. □



13
5 Proof of main theorem

We have made the necessary preparations to prove Theorem 2, stated in the introduction. In fact, we can prove the following more general result.

Theorem 12. Let $G ightrightarrows B$ be a Lie groupoid with a given Haar system, let $M$ be a $G$-space with momentum map $\mu$ and let $\pi$ be the corresponding representation of $C_c^\infty(G) = C_c^\infty(G, \lambda)$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$, i.e. the integrated form of the action defined by (6). Then, for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$, there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_N \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_N \in C_c^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\varphi = \pi(f_1)\psi_1 + \ldots + \pi(f_N)\psi_N.$$ 

Moreover, this factorization can be taken such that, for all $i$, $\text{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq \text{supp}(\varphi)$ and $\text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq W$, where $W$ is a prescribed open subset of $G$ containing $\mu(\text{supp}(\varphi))$.

Proof. Let $K = \mu(\text{supp}(\varphi))$ and fix an open set $W$ in $G$ containing $K$. It is enough to prove the theorem under the additional hypothesis that the Lie algebroid $AG$ is trivial (as a bundle) over a neighbourhood of $K$. Indeed, we can use a partition of unity on $B$ to write any $\varphi$ as a finite sum of functions that satisfy this extra hypothesis and have support contained in that of the original $\varphi$. If each summand can be decomposed in the desired way, then so can the sum, simply by adding up the decompositions.

Under this extra assumption, there exist sections $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in C_c^\infty(B, AG)$ that constitute a frame of $AG$ over each point in $K$. Let $X_1^M, \ldots, X_k^M$ denote the corresponding complete vector fields on $M$ and let $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ denote the corresponding representations of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ come from Lemma 11.

Applying Theorem 3 with $X = X_k^M$ and $\psi = \varphi$, we can write

$$\varphi = \pi_1(f_0)\psi_0 + \pi_k(f_1)\psi_1$$

where $f_0, f_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with supports contained in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in C_c^\infty(M)$ with supports contained in $\text{supp}(\varphi)$. Applying Theorem 3 with $X = X_{k-1}^M$ for $\psi = \psi_0$ and $\psi = \psi_1$ then gives

$$\varphi = \pi_1(f_0)\pi_2(f_{00})\psi_{00} + \pi_1(f_0)\pi_2(f_{01})\psi_{01} + \pi_1(f_1)\pi_2(f_{10})\psi_{10} + \pi_1(f_1)\pi_2(f_{11})\psi_{11}$$

where the $f$s are in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with supports contained in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $\psi$s are in $C_c^\infty(M)$ with supports contained in $\text{supp}(\varphi)$. Continuing in this manner, we eventually get $\varphi$ as the sum of $2^k$ terms of the form

$$\pi_1(f_1) \cdots \pi_k(f_k)\psi$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ have supports contained in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ has support contained in $\text{supp}(\varphi)$. If $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small, then Lemma 11 guarantees that each of these terms can be written as $\pi(f)\psi$ where $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ has $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq W$. \qed
Specializing to the case where \(G\) is acting on itself, we obtain the desired generalized Dixmier-Malliavin theorem as a corollary.

**Theorem 13.** Let \(G \rightarrow B\) be a Lie groupoid with a given Haar system. Then, for any \(\varphi \in C^\infty_c(G)\), there exist \(f_1, \ldots, f_N, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_N \in C^\infty_c(G)\) such that

\[
\varphi = f_1 \ast \psi_1 + \ldots + f_N \ast \psi_N.
\]

Moreover, this factorization can be taken such that, for all \(i\), \(\text{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq \text{supp}(\varphi)\) and \(\text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq W\), where \(W\) is a prescribed open subset of \(G\) containing \(t(\text{supp}(\varphi))\).

6 Product structure of ideals of smooth functions under multiplication

In this section, we study ideals of smooth functions vanishing to give n order along a submanifold when the operation is pointwise multiplication. This will lay the groundwork for the subsequent section in which the operation is convolution. The result we wish to generalize to the convolution setting is the following.

**Theorem 14.** Let \(X\) be a closed submanifold of a smooth manifold \(M\). Let \(I_p \subseteq C^\infty_c(M)\) denote the ideal of functions vanishing to \(p\)th order on \(X\). Then,

1. \((I_\infty)^2 = I_\infty\)
2. \((I_1)^p = I_p\) for every positive integer \(p\).

The relation \((I_\infty)^2 = I_\infty\) actually remains true even when \(X\) is any closed subset of \(M\), and not necessarily a submanifold. This stronger result is due to Tougeron. See [19], Proposition V.2.3 as well as [18], Section 4. Note that, although the results in these references are stated in terms of germs of functions, it is a simple matter to use partitions of unity to convert them into statements about compactly-supported functions. The second relation \((I_1)^p = I_p\) is much more elementary than the first and can be established by applying Taylor’s theorem locally.

Theorem 14 is not quite sufficient for our purposes, however. We need to consider a submersion \(\pi : N \rightarrow M\) (later taken to be the source or target projection of Lie groupoid) and the resulting \(C^\infty_c(N)\)-module structure on \(C^\infty_c(M)\). It will be convenient for us to combine the cases of infinite and finite vanishing order into a single statement, but we hasten to point out that the case of infinite vanishing order is by far the more substantive one. Note also that the MathOverflow question [3] (still not fully resolved at time of writing) centers around quite similar issues.

**Theorem 15.** Let \(\pi : N \rightarrow M\) be a submersion. View \(C^\infty_c(N)\) as a \(C^\infty_c(M)\)-module with product \(f \cdot g = (f \circ \pi)g\), where \(f \in C^\infty_c(M)\), \(g \in C^\infty_c(N)\). Let \(X\) be a closed submanifold of
M and set \(Y := \pi^{-1}(Y)\). For \(p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}\), write \(I_p \subseteq C^\infty_c(M)\) and \(J_p \subseteq C^\infty_c(M)\) for the ideals of functions that vanish to \(p\)th order on \(X\) and \(Y\) respectively. Then, the relation

\[ J_{p+q} = I_p \cdot J_q \]

is satisfied for all \(p, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}\), where \(I_p \cdot J_q\) means the set of all sums of products \(g \cdot h\), where \(g \in I_p\), \(h \in J_q\).

The problem is obviously local in nature; one can use a partition of unity to chop up a function \(f\) on \(N\) into smaller functions all of which vanish to the same order as \(f\) on \(Y\). In fact, it is enough to consider the case where \(N = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\), \(M = \mathbb{R}^k\), \(X = \{0\}\) and \(\pi\) is the standard projection, so that \(Y = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\). Throughout this section, \(n = k + \ell\) and \(\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\) has coordinates \((x, y) = (x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_\ell)\). We use the usual multi-index notation for partial derivatives: given \(\gamma = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^n = \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^\ell\) we write \(\partial^\gamma := \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial y^\beta}\).

We treat the \(p < \infty\) and \(p = q = \infty\) cases of the local problem separately in the following two lemmas. The bulk of our effort will go towards establishing the second of these.

**Lemma 16.** If \(f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)\) vanishes to order \(p + q\) on \(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\), where \(p \in \mathbb{N}\), \(q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}\), then one can write

\[ f(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha| = p} x^\alpha f_\alpha(x, y), \]

where each \(f_\alpha\) belongs to \(C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)\) and vanishes to order \(q\) on \(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\).

**Lemma 17.** If \(f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\) vanishes to order \(\infty\) on \(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\), then one can write

\[ f(x, y) = \rho(x)h(x, y), \]

where \(\rho \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k)\) has a zero of order \(\infty\) at \(0\) and is strictly positive on \(\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\}\), and \(h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)\) vanishes to order \(\infty\) on \(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\).

It is a simple matter to derive Theorem 15 from these lemmas.

**Proof of Theorem 15.** We just need to show \(J_{p+q} \subseteq I_p \cdot J_q\), the reverse containment being obvious. Suppose, therefore, that \(f \in J_{p+q}\). Using a partition of unity argument and standard facts about the local structure of submersions and submanifolds, we may assume one of the following two alternatives holds:

(i) The support of \(f\) is disjoint from \(Y\).

(ii) The support of \(f\) is contained in an open set \(U\) such that:

(a) \(U\) is diffeomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_2}\) and \(\pi(U)\) is diffeomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1}\),

(b) under these diffeomorphisms, \(\pi : U \to \pi(U)\) identifies with the standard projection \(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_2} \to \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1}\), and
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If (i) is satisfied, take any \( g \in C^\infty_c(M) \) that is equal to 1 on \( \pi(\text{supp}(f)) \) and equal to 0 outside of some open set not intersecting \( X \). Then, \( f = (g \circ \pi)f \), where \( g \) vanishes to order \( \infty \geq p \) on \( X \) and \( f \) vanishes to order \( p + q \geq q \) on \( Y \).

If (ii) is satisfied and \( p \leq \infty \), then, applying Lemma 16 in the given chart with \( \ell = \ell_1 + \ell_2 \), we may assume that \( f = x^\alpha h \), where \( |\alpha| = p \) and \( h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_2}) \) vanishes to order \( q \) on \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_2} \). Then, \( f = (g \circ \pi)h \), where \( g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1}) \) is given by \( g = x^n \phi \) for an appropriate cutoff function \( \phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1}) \). Obviously, \( g \) vanishes to order \( p \) on \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \). The expression \( f = (g \circ \pi)h \) can be made global simply by extending \( g \) and \( h \) to be identically 0 outside of \( \pi(U) \) and \( U \), respectively.

If (ii) is satisfied and \( q = \infty \), we proceed in the same way using Lemma 17. First, in the given chart, write \( f(x,y) = \rho(x) h(x,y) \) where \( \rho \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k) \) has a zero of infinite order at 0 and is strictly positive on \( \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\} \), and \( h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_2}) \) vanishes to order \( \infty \) on \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_2} \). Then, \( f = (g \circ \pi)h \), where \( g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1}) \) is given by \( g = \rho \cdot \phi \) for an appropriate cutoff function \( \phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1}) \). Obviously \( g \) vanishes to order \( \infty \geq p \) on \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1} \).

It remains to prove the Lemmas 16 and 17.

**Proof of Lemma 16.** If \( p = 0 \), there is nothing to prove, so assume \( p \geq 1 \). It clearly suffices to prove that we can write

\[
 f(x,y) = x_1 f_1(x,y) + \ldots + x_k f_k(x,y)
\]

where \( f_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) vanish to order \( p+q-1 \) and proceed recursively. The functions \( f_1, \ldots, f_k \) defined by

\[
 f_1(x,y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(tx_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k,y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_k) \, dt \\
 f_2(x,y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(0,tx_2,x_3,\ldots,x_k,y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_k) \, dt \\
 \vdots \\
 f_k(x,y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(0,\ldots,0,tx_k,y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_k) \, dt
\]

serve this purpose. \( \Box \)

The proof of Lemma 17 will rely on several further lemmas, specifically Lemmas 21, 23 and 24. Recall that a function \( f \) on \([1, \infty)\) is *rapidly decaying* if \( \lim_{t \to \infty} t^m f(t) = 0 \) for every nonnegative integer \( m \). We say \( f \) is a *Schwartz function* if it is \( C^\infty \) and it and all its
derivatives are rapidly decaying. Since there are many more functions of rapid decay than there are Schwartz functions, it seems plausible that there could exist a function of rapid decay that vanishes more slowly than any Schwartz function. The following lemma shows this does not occur by providing a “Schwartz envelope” for any rapidly decaying function. The original reference for this fact may be [6], Lemma 3.6, pp. 127. One can also find it in the expository note [12].

**Lemma 18.**

1. If $f$ is a bounded, rapidly decaying function on $[1, \infty)$, then there exists a positive-valued, monotone decreasing Schwartz function $g$ on $[1, \infty)$ such that $|f| \leq g$.

2. If $(f_k)$ is a sequence of rapidly decaying functions on $[1, \infty)$, then there exists a positive-valued Schwartz function $g$ on $[1, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f_k(t)}{g(t)} = 0$ for all $k$.

**Proof.** For the first part, assume without loss of generality that $f$ is monotone decreasing, or else replace it by $t \mapsto \sup_{s \geq t} |f(s)|$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a nonnegative-valued function with support contained in $[0, 1]$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \, dt = 1$. Define $g$ to be the convolution $\varphi * f$, that is, $g(t) = \int_0^1 \varphi(s) f(t - s) \, ds$. We remark that there is a small issue with this definition of $g$ near $t = 1$, but this is easily fixed by enlarging the domain of $f$, say by defining $f(t) = \sup_{s \geq 1} f(s)$ for $t \leq 1$. It is easy to see that $g$ is monotone decreasing and that $f \leq g$.

One can check that the convolution of two rapidly decaying functions is rapidly decaying (imposing sufficient regularity properties so that convolution makes sense), and it follows that the convolution of a rapidly decaying function with a Schwartz function is Schwartz (since the derivatives can be put on the Schwartz function).

For the second part, assume without loss of generality that each $f_k$ is bounded and use the first part to produce, for each $k$, a positive-valued Schwartz function $g_k$ such that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f_k(t)}{g_k(t)} = 0$ (if $f_k \leq g_k$ holds, but $\frac{f_k}{g_k}$ does not vanish at infinity, replace $g_k$ with $t \mapsto tg_k(t)$). An easy diagonal selection argument guarantees the existence of constants $c_k > 0$ such that $g = \sum c_k g_k$ is a Schwartz function. Since $g > g_k$, it is clear that $\frac{f_k}{g}$ vanishes at infinity for every $k$. \qed

The next step is to convert Lemma 18 into a statement about smooth functions with an infinite order zero at 0 by performing an inversion in the variable. Much more sophisticated accounts of the connection between Schwartz functions and functions that remain smooth after being extended by zero can be found in the literature, see [1], Theorem 5.4.1. For present purposes, the simple-minded lemma below is enough.

**Lemma 19.** The inversion map $t \mapsto 1/t : (0, 1] \to [1, \infty)$ puts functions $f$ on $(0, 1]$ with $\lim_{t \to 0^+} f(t) t^{-m} = 0$ for all positive integers $m$ into bijection with the rapidly decaying functions on $[1, \infty)$, and also puts the smooth functions $f$ on $(0, 1]$ for which putting $f(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ yields a smooth extension into bijection with the Schwartz functions on $[1, \infty)$.

To prove Lemma 19, we need the following simple fact.
Lemma 20. Suppose \( f \) is a smooth function on \((0, \infty)\) with \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} f^{(m)}(t) = 0 \) for every nonnegative integer \( m \). Then, in setting \( f(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq 0 \), one obtains a \( C^\infty \) extension of \( f \) to all of \( \mathbb{R} \).

Proof. An application of the mean value theorem shows the extension is differentiable with derivative 0 at the origin. The statement follows by induction.

Proof of Lemma 19. The first correspondence is obvious. Towards the second, suppose \( f \) is a Schwartz function on \([1, \infty)\) and define \( g \) on \((0, 1)\) by \( g(t) = f(1/t) \). Then, \( g'(t) = f_1(1/t) \), where \( f_1(t) = -t^2f'(t) \) is yet another Schwartz function. By induction, each derivative of \( g \) has the form \( f_k(1/t) \) for some Schwartz function \( f_k \) on \([1, \infty)\). In particular, \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} g^{(k)}(t) = 0 \) for all \( k \) so that, by Lemma 20, setting \( g(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq 0 \) effects a smooth extension of \( g \). The converse direction, that \( f(t) = g(1/t) \) is a Schwartz function on \([1, \infty)\) when \( g \) is a smooth function with \( g(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq 0 \), proceeds similarly.

Applying the correspondence of Lemma 19, the second part of Lemma 18 translates to the following.

Lemma 21. Let \( f_k \) be a sequence of functions on \([0, \infty)\) that vanish to infinite order at 0, i.e. \( f_k(0) = 0 \) and \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} f_k(t)t^{-m} = 0 \) for all \( m \). Then, there exists a \( C^\infty \) function \( g \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) with \( g(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq 0 \), and \( g(t) > 0 \) for \( t > 0 \) such that \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f_k(t)}{g(t)} = 0 \) for all \( k \).

Remark. A direct proof of Lemma 21 was given by George Lowther at [3] (Lemma 2). Nonetheless, as the Schwartz function formulation of this result appears to be better known, it seemed worthwhile to draw out this connection here.

Lemmas 23 and 24, stated and proved below, will rely on the following mild generalization of Lemma 20 whose proof we omit. Recall that \( n = k + \ell \) and \( \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \) has coordinates \((x, y) = (x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_\ell)\).

Lemma 22. Let \( f \) be a smooth function on \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(0) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell\} \) such that, for every \( \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n \), the partial derivative \( \partial^\gamma f \) has limit zero at every point of \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \). Then, \( f \) extends to a \( C^\infty \) function on all of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) vanishing to infinite order on \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \).

In particular, when \( \ell = 0 \), the above says that a smooth function on \( \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\} \) with all higher partials vanishing at the origin extends smoothly to all of \( \mathbb{R}^k \). This is helpful in checking the following.

Lemma 23. Let \( \varphi \) be a smooth function on \( \mathbb{R} \) with a zero of infinite order at 0. Then, the function \( f \) on \( \mathbb{R}^k \) defined by \( f(x) = \varphi(|x|) \), where \(|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \ldots + x_k^2}\), is a \( C^\infty \) function on \( \mathbb{R}^k \) with a zero of infinite order at 0.

Proof. Obviously \( f \) is smooth on \( \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\} \). On the latter domain, \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) = \psi(|x|) \) where \( \psi(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} & t \neq 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \end{cases} \) is another \( C^\infty \) function on \( \mathbb{R} \) with a zero of infinite order at 0.
By induction, $f$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 22 (with $\ell = 0$), whence is smooth as claimed. \hfill \square

The next lemma gives sufficient conditions under which the quotient of two smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that vanish to infinite order on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is another such function.

**Lemma 24.** Let $f$ and $g$ be $C^\infty$ functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that vanish to infinite order on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and assume $g > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. If, for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $\frac{\partial^\gamma f}{g^m}$ has limit 0 at each point of $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$, then $\frac{f}{g}$ extends to a $C^\infty$ function on all of $\mathbb{R}^n$ vanishing to infinite order on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the collection of all smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ obtained as $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$-linear combinations of the functions $\frac{\partial^\gamma f}{g^m}$. By assumption, the functions in $\mathcal{F}$ all have limit 0 at each point $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Observe that $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under taking partial derivatives. Indeed, if $\gamma$ is a multi-index, $m$ is a positive integer, $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\partial$ is one of the first-order partials $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ or $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$, then $\partial(h\frac{\partial^\gamma f}{g^m}) = (\partial h)\frac{\partial^\gamma f}{g^m} + h\frac{\partial \partial^\gamma f}{g^m} - m(\partial g)\frac{\partial^\gamma f}{g^{m+1}}$. Thus, thinking of $\frac{f}{g}$ as a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, we have by induction that all of its higher order partial derivatives have limit 0 at every point of $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and so, by Lemma 22, $\frac{f}{g}$ extends to a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that vanishes to infinite order on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$. \hfill \square

We are now in a position to give the

**Proof of Lemma 17.** Suppose that $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ vanishes to order $\infty$ on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Given $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$, define a continuous function $f_{\gamma,m,r}$ on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$f_{\gamma,m,r}(t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell} \frac{1}{|x|^t \rho(x)^m} \left| \left( \frac{\partial^\gamma f}{\rho^{m+r}} \right)(x,y) \right|^r.$$

The assumption that $f$ vanishes to infinite order on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ implies that each $f_{\gamma,m,r}$ vanishes to infinite order at $t = 0$, i.e. $\lim_{t \to 0^+} f_{\gamma,m,r}(t)t^{-s} = 0$ for any positive integer $s$. It therefore follows from Lemma 21 that there exists a $C^\infty$ function $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{R}$ vanishing to infinite order at $t = 0$ with $\varphi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ such that $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f_{\gamma,m,r}(t)}{\varphi(t)} = 0$ for all $\gamma, m, r$. By Lemma 23, the function $\rho$ on $\mathbb{R}^k$ defined by $\rho(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ is a $C^\infty$ function, positive on $\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\}$ and vanishing to infinite order at 0. By design, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the bound

$$\left| \frac{\partial^\gamma f(x,y)}{\rho^{m+r}(y)} \right| \leq \left( \frac{f_{\gamma,m,r}(|x|)}{\varphi(|x|)} \right)^{m}$$

for $x \neq 0$ and $|y| < r$, which shows that the left hand side vanishes as $x \to 0$. Thus, applying Lemma 24, one has that $h(x,y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{\rho(x)}$ extends smoothly to a function on all of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that vanishes to infinite order on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$, completing the proof. \hfill \square
7 Product structure of ideals in the smooth convolution algebra of a Lie groupoid

In this final section, we apply our generalization of the Dixmier-Malliavin theorem to obtain the Lie groupoid analog of Theorem 14 by reducing it to its commutative counterpart. Throughout, $G$ denotes a Lie groupoid over the manifold $M$ with fixed Haar system $\lambda$ and we assume that $X \subseteq M$ is an invariant closed submanifold in the sense that $s^{-1}(X) = t^{-1}(X)$. The restriction $G_X := s^{-1}(X) = t^{-1}(X)$ of $G$ to $X$ is, in its own right, a Lie groupoid $G_X \rightrightarrows X$. The Haar system $\lambda$ on $G$ can be restricted to a Haar system $\lambda_X$ on $G_X$ and doing so makes the restriction map $C^\infty_c(G) \to C^\infty_c(G_X)$ into a homomorphism of the smooth convolution algebras. The kernel of this homomorphism is the ideal $J_1 \subseteq C^\infty_c(G)$ of functions that vanish on $G_X$. More generally, one can consider $J_p \subseteq C^\infty_c(G)$, the functions which vanish to $p$th order on $G_X$. It is simple to confirm that each $J_p$ is an ideal with respect to the convolution product (either by arguing directly, or by applying Proposition 27 below). The quotients $C^\infty_c(G)/J_p$ for $p > 1$ can be thought of as extensions of the convolution algebra $C^\infty_c(G_X)$, fitting as they do into exact sequences of the form

$$0 \to J_1/J_p \to C^\infty_c(G)/J_p \to C^\infty_c(G_X) \to 0.$$ 

Roughly speaking, the kernel $J_1/J_p$ contains Taylor series information up to order $p - 1$ in directions transverse to $G_X$.

The Lie groupoid algebra analog of Theorem 14 is the following:

**Theorem 25.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with given Haar system. Let $X$ be an invariant, closed submanifold of $M$ and let $G_X := s^{-1}(X) = t^{-1}(X)$. Let $J_p \subseteq C^\infty_c(G)$ denote the ideal, with respect to convolution, of functions that vanish to order $p$ on $G_X$. Then,

1. $J_\infty \ast J_\infty = J_\infty$
2. $(J_1)^p = J_p$ for every positive integer $p$.

As in the preceding section, for the sake of efficiency, we shall in fact prove a more general result which treats the cases of finite and infinite vanishing order on equal footing.

**Theorem 26.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with a given Haar system. Let $X$ be an invariant, closed submanifold of $M$ and let $G_X := s^{-1}(X) = t^{-1}(X)$. For $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, let $J_p \subseteq C^\infty_c(G)$ denote the ideal of functions that vanish to order $p$ on $G_X$. Then,

$$J_{p+q} = J_p \ast J_q$$

holds for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

It is easy to see that $J_p \ast J_q \subseteq J_{p+q}$ is satisfied (again, either by arguing directly or by applying Proposition 27 below). The goal is therefore to sharpen these containments to equalities. Note that, whereas in the commutative setting the $p = q = 0$ is trivial, in Theorem 26
above the \( p = q = 0 \) case is exactly Theorem 13, our extension of the Dixmier-Malliavin theorem. Conversely, Theorem 13, in tandem with Proposition 27 below, reduces the proof of Theorem 26 to a formal manipulation.

Recall that \( C_c^\infty(G) \) is a \( C_c^\infty(M) \)-bimodule with respect to the products defined by
\[
f \cdot \varphi = (f \circ t)\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \cdot f = \varphi(f \circ s)
\]
and, moreover, that these products satisfy the expected associativity identities
\[
f \cdot (\varphi \ast \psi) = (f \cdot \varphi) \ast \psi \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi \ast \psi) \cdot f = \varphi \ast (\psi \cdot f),
\]
where \( f \in C_c^\infty(M) \) and \( \varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(G) \).

The following proposition shows that the ideals \( I_p \subseteq C_c^\infty(M) \) of functions vanishing to \( p \)th order on \( X \) determine the ideals \( J_p \subseteq C_c^\infty(G) \) of functions vanishing to \( p \)th order on \( G_X \) by way of this module structure; one may write \( J_p = I_p \cdot C_c^\infty(G) = C_c^\infty(G) \cdot I_p \). It is a quick corollary of the results in the preceding section.

**Proposition 27.** Let \( G \Rightarrow M \) be Lie groupoid with a given Haar system. Let \( X \) be an invariant closed submanifold of \( M \). For each \( p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \), let \( I_p \subseteq C_c^\infty(M) \) and \( J_p \subseteq C_c^\infty(G) \) denote the collection of functions vanishing to \( p \)th order on \( X \) and \( G_X \) respectively. Then,
\[
J_{p+q} = I_p \cdot J_q = J_q \cdot I_p
\]
holds for all \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \).

**Proof.** Apply Theorem 15 with \( N = G \) and \( \pi = s \), respectively \( \pi = t \).

Theorem 26 is now a trivial consequence of Theorem 13 and Proposition 27.

**Proof of Theorem 26.** We have
\[
J_p * J_q = I_p \cdot C_c^\infty(G) * C_c^\infty(G) \cdot I_q = I_p \cdot C_c^\infty(G) \cdot I_q = J_p \cdot I_q = J_{p+q},
\]
where the second equality holds by Theorem 13 and the rest hold by Proposition 27.
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