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Dense mammographic parenchymal patterns are associated with an increased risk of breast cancer. Certain features of body size have been found to be associated with breast cancer risk, but less is known about their relation to breast density. We investigated the association of birth size, childhood growth and life-course changes in body size with Wolfe grade in 1298 perimenopausal women from a British cohort of women born in 1946. The cohort benefits from repeated measures of body size in childhood and adulthood. We obtained mammograms for 90% of women who at age 53 years reported having previously had a mammogram. We found no associations with birth weight or maximum attained height. Body mass index (BMI) at age 53 years and breast size were independently and inversely associated with Wolfe grade (P-value for trend <0.001 for both). Women who reached puberty later were at a greater odds of a higher Wolfe grade than women who had an earlier puberty (odds ratio associated with a 1 year delay in menarche 1.14, 95% CI: 1.01–1.27, adjusted for BMI and breast size at mammography). A higher BMI at any age during childhood or adult life was associated with a reduction in the odds of a higher Wolfe grade, after controlling for breast size and BMI at mammography, for example, standardised odds ratio for height at age 7 was 0.72 (95% CI: 0.64, 0.81). These findings reveal the importance of taking life-course changes in body size, and not just contemporaneous measures, into account when using mammographic density as an intermediate marker for risk of breast cancer.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data sources

The MRC National Survey of Health and Development consists of a socially stratified sample of all single legitimate births in Britain during 3–9 March 1946 and initially included 2547 girls. Home visits to members of the cohort were made at ages 2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 15, 26, 36, 43 and 53 years, providing data on age at menarche, parity, age at first birth and social class, as well as height and weight (measured at all ages except 26 when it was self-reported). Ninety percent of women had complete height data on at least four of the six occasions analysed (i.e. ages 2, 4, 7, 11, 15 and one adult measure), with a similar percentage (89.7%) of completeness for BMI on at least six of the nine occasions (i.e. ages 2, 4, 7, 11, 15, 26, 36, 43 and 53). Birth weight data, recorded to the nearest quarter of a pound, were obtained from hospital records within a few weeks of delivery and converted into grams. Data on the use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) and menopausal status at the time of mammography, and, where appropriate, age at menopause were derived from information on menstrual and HRT histories obtained from annual questionnaires sent to the women from ages 47–54 years (Kuh and Hardy, in press). Women were considered retrospectively to be postmenopausal after 12 months of amenorrhoea, perimenopausal if periods had stopped for between 3 and 12 months or had become more irregular in the preceding 12 months and premenopausal if still menstruating. Menopausal status could not be determined for women who had a hysterectomy or bilateral oophorectomy or who were taking HRT preparations that cause bleeding prior to inception of the menopause.

In the UK, all women aged 50–64 years are invited for 3-yearly mammographic screening as part of the NHS Breast Screening Programme. At a home visit in 1999 (when the women were aged 53 years), women were asked permission for us to obtain copies of their mammograms and details of the date(s) and clinical(s)/hospital(s) where they were undertaken. Copies of the mammograms (two views for each breast) taken when the women were closest to age 50 years were then requested from the relevant centres for all women who gave consent, providing a cross-sectional sample. The mammograms were jointly classified by two radiologists (NP and SV) using the Wolfe grade. This classification consists of four categories in order of increasing breast density: N1 – predominantly fat, no ducts, only small amounts of dysplasia; P1 – mainly fat, with ducts up to 25% of the breast; P2 – ductal pattern in 25–75% of the breast; DY – dysplasia/fibrocystic change, sheet-like areas of higher density (Wolfe, 1976). Breast size was measured as the distance (to the nearest 0.1 cm) between the pectoralis muscle and nipple on the film. To assess within-observer agreement of the Wolfe grade, 110 randomly selected sets were reclassified by the same two radiologists several months later.

Of the original 2547 women in the cohort, no attempt was made at age 53 years to contact women who had previously refused to participate (12%), emigrated with no further contact (8%), or had died (8%). Of the 1848 eligible women, 1600 (87%) participated and of these, 1494 (93%) reported having had a mammogram, of whom almost all (n = 1471) consented access to their mammograms.

Statistical methods

We used cumulative logit models for ordinal outcomes (Armstrong and Sloan, 1989) to study factors that might influence Wolfe grade. These models assume that the effect of each explanatory variable on any dichotomy of the ordinal outcome is constant and can be summarised by a common odds ratio. In our study, this corresponds to assuming a common odds ratio independently of whether Wolfe grade is dichotomised as (P1, P2, DY) vs (N1, P1); or (DY) vs (N1, P1, P2). This assumption was tested via an approximate log likelihood ratio test that compares the cumulative logit model with a polytomous logistic model (Anderson, 1984) in which three separate logistic regressions are performed. Hereafter, the reported common odds ratios are referred to as ‘odds ratios for a higher Wolfe grade’ (with N1 as the lowest and DY as the highest grade), and they should be regarded as prevalence odds ratios as the Wolfe grade information was obtained cross-sectionally.

The main exposures of interest were birth weight, age at menarche and body size in infancy, childhood and adulthood, as measured by height and, as a measure of adiposity, body mass index (BMI) (calculated as weight (kg) × height $^2$ (m$^2$)) at ages 2, 4, 7, 11, 15, 26, 36, 43 and 53 years. Age-specific height and BMI were analysed as continuous variables. Average height and BMI velocities between consecutive ages, calculated as the change in height and BMI respectively divided by the time interval (in years, to the nearest month) between the two visits, were also analysed as continuous variables. These velocities capture growth during particular age intervals, whereas attained heights or BMIs reflect cumulative exposure up to a particular age. We calculated standardised heights and BMIs for each age and standardised velocities for each interval so that a standardised measure of effect could be compared across different ages/ intervals.

To overcome the loss of subjects from multivariable analyses that included several height/BMI measurements simultaneously, we imputed missing growth data. Firstly, we modelled the height and BMI trajectories (separately) using random effects growth models. Height was modelled as a linear function of age between ages 2–4 and 4–7 years and a quadratic function from age 7 to adulthood. Body mass index was modelled as a quadratic function of age during ages 2–7 and 7–15 years and a linear function between ages 15–26, 26–36, 36–43 and 43–53 years. In both growth models, all effects were random, except for the quadratic terms, and the random effects parameters depended on the final Wolfe grade. Imputed values for missing heights/BMIs were generated by firstly taking a random draw of the error terms, then taking a random draw of the growth parameters and using these to predict imputed values for the missing growth data. This procedure was repeated five times to create five datasets with complete data (observed data plus those imputed if missing). Complete case analyses were then carried out on each dataset. Summary odds ratios (geometric means of the five odds ratios) and their 95% confidence intervals were calculated as in Schafer (1999).

Age at first birth, parity, years since last birth, HRT use, menopausal status and years postmenopausal were considered as potential confounders. We adjusted for breast size and BMI at age 53 years in all analyses in order to investigate associations with changes in body size independent of body size at mammography, as obesity at mammography is known to be a strong confounder of the mammographic parenchymal pattern (Bartow et al, 1995; Salminen et al, 1998; Sala et al, 1999) and needs to be taken into account when separate measures of the areas of dense and nondense breast are not available.

RESULTS

We successfully obtained copies of mammograms for 1319 of the 1471 women who gave consent (90% tracing rate), the large majority (1249 (95%)) from NHS breast screening centres. Films were not obtained for the remaining women due to insufficient information to contact hospitals/clinics (37 in UK, 12 overseas), logistic difficulties in certain centres (57) and other problems (45). We obtained both craniocaudal and oblique views of each breast for 89% of received sets. In all, 21 women with a diagnosis of breast
cancer prior to mammography were excluded from analyses, as treatment for breast cancer may influence the outcome. Thus, the present analyses are based on 1298 women.

Eighty-two percent (90 out of 110) of mammograms that were read twice had the same Wolfe grade on both occasions and the remaining pairs differed by only one grade. The weighted $\kappa$ statistic (to account for the ordered nature of the categories) was 0.79 ($P<0.001$) and using the binary classification (combining DY with P2 and P1 with N1) was 0.83 ($P<0.001$). Table 1 shows the distribution of breast cancer risk factors by Wolfe grade and their associated odds ratios for a higher Wolfe grade. Just over half (53%) of the women in the study had a P2 grade and 6% a DY grade. The mean age of women at mammography was similar in each Wolfe category. On average, higher Wolfe grades were significantly associated with a later age at menarche, a later age at first birth, low parity or nulliparity, and with being pre-/perimenopausal. Women in nonmanual social classes were also more likely to have a higher Wolfe grade relative to women in manual social classes. There was no association between Wolfe grade and smoking status or HRT use. The distribution of reproductive factors and anthropometric measures did not differ between women for whom we did and did not obtain a mammogram (results not shown).

The mean BMI at each age was lower for higher Wolfe grades and the differences between Wolfe-specific means increased from age 11 years onwards (Figure 1). Wolfe grade-specific height

### Table 1

Characteristics of the study population by Wolfe grade and associated odds ratios for a higher Wolfe grade

| Wolfe grade | N1 | P1 | P2 | DY | Odds ratioa | 95% confidence interval |
|-------------|----|----|----|----|-------------|------------------------|
| (N (column %) unless stated otherwise) | 175 (13.5) | 358 (27.6) | 682 (52.5) | 83 (6.4) | — | — |
| Age at mammogram (years) | 51.5 (1.0) | 51.5 (1.0) | 51.6 (1.1) | 51.4 (1.2) | 1.06$^c$ | 0.96, 1.16 |
| Age at menarche (years) | 12.7 (1.3) | 12.9 (1.2) | 13.1 (1.3) | 13.4 (1.2) | 1.20$^c$ | 1.10, 1.30 |
| Age at first birth (years)d | 18 (11.5) | 49 (31.7) | 69 (11.9) | 3 (4.3) | 1 | — |
| NULL | 83 (52.9) | 146 (45.5) | 265 (55.9) | 26 (16.1) | 1.12 | 0.80, 1.58 |
| 25–29 | 41 (26.1) | 91 (28.4) | 186 (28.1) | 31 (44.3) | 1.56 | 1.09, 2.25 |
| 25–30 | 15 (9.6) | 34 (10.6) | 62 (10.7) | 10 (14.3) | 1.41 | 0.89, 2.22 |
| Menopausal status | 15 (8.7) | 34 (9.6) | 89 (13.3) | 10 (12.5) | 1.19 | 0.77, 1.85 |
| Post | 19 (12.3) | 41 (13.1) | 83 (14.4) | 12 (17.6) | 1 | — |
| Pre | 70 (45.2) | 161 (51.3) | 308 (53.6) | 39 (57.4) | 0.94 | 0.67, 1.33 |
| Peri | 43 (27.7) | 73 (23.2) | 148 (25.7) | 14 (20.6) | 0.82 | 0.56, 1.20 |
| Nulliparous Parityd | 23 (14.8) | 39 (12.4) | 63 (10.3) | 3 (4.4) | 0.41 | 0.26, 0.66 |
| 1 | 18 (11.5) | 49 (31.7) | 69 (11.9) | 3 (4.3) | 1 | — |
| 2 | 83 (52.9) | 146 (45.5) | 265 (55.9) | 26 (16.1) | 1.12 | 0.80, 1.58 |
| 3 | 41 (26.1) | 91 (28.4) | 186 (28.1) | 31 (44.3) | 1.56 | 1.09, 2.25 |
| 4+ | 15 (9.6) | 34 (10.6) | 62 (10.7) | 10 (14.3) | 1.41 | 0.89, 2.22 |
| HRT use | 15 (8.7) | 34 (9.6) | 89 (13.3) | 10 (12.5) | 1.19 | 0.77, 1.85 |
| Never users | 19 (12.3) | 41 (13.1) | 83 (14.4) | 12 (17.6) | 1 | — |
| Ex-users | 70 (45.2) | 161 (51.3) | 308 (53.6) | 39 (57.4) | 0.94 | 0.67, 1.33 |
| Current users | 43 (27.7) | 73 (23.2) | 148 (25.7) | 14 (20.6) | 0.82 | 0.56, 1.20 |
| Otherd | 23 (14.8) | 39 (12.4) | 63 (10.3) | 3 (4.4) | 0.41 | 0.26, 0.66 |
| Smoking statusf | 15 (8.7) | 34 (9.6) | 89 (13.3) | 10 (12.5) | 1.19 | 0.77, 1.85 |
| Never smokers | 18 (11.5) | 49 (31.7) | 69 (11.9) | 3 (4.3) | 1 | — |
| Ex-smokers | 83 (52.9) | 146 (45.5) | 265 (55.9) | 26 (16.1) | 1.12 | 0.80, 1.58 |
| Current smokers | 41 (26.1) | 91 (28.4) | 186 (28.1) | 31 (44.3) | 1.56 | 1.09, 2.25 |
| NK | 15 (9.6) | 34 (10.6) | 62 (10.7) | 10 (14.3) | 1.41 | 0.89, 2.22 |
| Social class | 15 (8.7) | 34 (9.6) | 89 (13.3) | 10 (12.5) | 1.19 | 0.77, 1.85 |
| Manual | 19 (12.3) | 41 (13.1) | 83 (14.4) | 12 (17.6) | 1 | — |
| Nonmanual | 70 (45.2) | 161 (51.3) | 308 (53.6) | 39 (57.4) | 0.94 | 0.67, 1.33 |
| NK | 43 (27.7) | 73 (23.2) | 148 (25.7) | 14 (20.6) | 0.82 | 0.56, 1.20 |
| NK | 23 (14.8) | 39 (12.4) | 63 (10.3) | 3 (4.4) | 0.41 | 0.26, 0.66 |

$^a$Common odds ratio for three binary outcomes: DY vs P2/P1/N1, DY/P2 vs P1/N1 and DY/P2/P1 vs N1.

$^b$N varies due to missing data.

$^c$Odds ratio associated with a 1 year increase in the explanatory variable.

$^d$Parous women only.

$^e$Women who commenced HRT before mammography, but date of end of HRT episode not known.

$^f$Reported at age 53 years.

$^g$Not known.
profiles were less distinct from each other. Mean height among women with a DY grade was slightly higher up to age 7 years and again in adulthood, but was lower during adolescence. Breast size and BMI at age 53 years had strong inverse associations with Wolfe grade (Table 2), that is, women with a lower BMI and smaller breast size had on average more dense Wolfe patterns. The univariable odds ratios were attenuated when each of these variables was controlled for the other, due to their positive correlation; however, both remained independently associated with Wolfe grade and are thus controlled for in subsequent analyses.

We found no evidence of an association between birth weight and Wolfe grade (Table 3). By contrast, women who were taller at age 2 years had an increased odds of a greater Wolfe grade. In univariable analyses, women who had greater height velocities in early childhood (2–4 years), later childhood (7–11 years) or were taller at age 11 years had a statistically significant lower odds of a greater Wolfe grade, whereas those with greater height velocities after age 11 years were at an increased odds. These associations were not confounded by breast size or BMI at mammography. Controlling for all components of the height growth trajectory (height at age 2 and height velocities thereafter), breast size and BMI at age 53 years simultaneously, height velocities during two intervals remained statistically significantly associated with Wolfe grade: greater height velocity from age 2 to 4 years was associated with a reduced odds, whereas greater height gain beyond age 15 years was associated with an increased odds of a greater Wolfe grade.

A later menarche was associated with an increased odds of a greater Wolfe grade, which persisted after controlling for BMI at age 53 years and breast size. Evidence that women with higher Wolfe grades had, on average, later puberty was found with other pubertal indicators in this cohort. For example, the percentage of women who had signs of breast development by age 11 years were 56.5, 51.3, 42.0 and 32.9% for women with Wolfe grades N1, P1, P2 and DY, respectively.

We found no evidence of an association between measured adult height at age 36 years and Wolfe grade (odds ratio for 6 cm increase: 1.06, 95% CI: 0.95, 1.19). However, in the same group of women, measured adult height at age 53 years had a statistically significant association with Wolfe grade (corresponding odds ratio 1.15, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.28). This apparent discrepancy was explained by greater height reduction, on average, in women who subsequently had lower Wolfe grades, for example, mean (95% CI) height loss between these ages was 1.29 cm (1.07, 1.50) and 0.79 cm (0.66, 0.92) in women with N1 and P2 Wolfe grades, respectively.

We found strong and highly statistically significant crude inverse associations with BMI at every age (Table 4), findings that were partially confounded by BMI at age 53 years and breast size. After controlling for these measures, however, an s.d. increase in adult BMI at any previous age remained associated with approximately a 40% reduction in the odds of a higher Wolfe grade. Similarly, BMI velocities from age 4 years onwards were inversely associated with greater Wolfe grades in the crude analysis. Comparing women of similar BMI at age 53 years and breast size, greater rates of increase in BMI during preadolescent years, considered one at a time, remained significantly associated with a lower Wolfe grade, whereas a greater increase in BMI during ages 43–53 years (which reflects a lower BMI at age 43 years when BMI at age 53 years is held constant) was associated with an increased odds. Once breast size at mammography and all other components of a woman’s BMI trajectory up to age 53 years were controlled for, an increase in BMI during any period up to age 43 years was associated with a reduced odds of a greater Wolfe grade, particularly during preadolescent years (7–11 years). Conditional on a woman’s BMI trajectory up to age 43 years and breast size at mammography, changes in BMI thereafter were not associated with Wolfe grade.

Odds ratios in analyses that were restricted to the observed data were very similar to those that included imputed values that where missing (first two sets of odds ratios in Tables 3 and 4). Further
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adjustment for the potential confounders (age at first birth, years since last birth, parity, menopausal status, years postmenopausal and HRT use) did not alter the results for height and BMI.

DISCUSSION

Advantages and limitations

Advantages of this study include the representativeness of the participants (Wadsworth et al, in press) and a high tracing rate of mammograms (91%). However, we were less likely to include mammograms taken privately or overseas or those taken for diagnostic (symptomatic) rather than screening reasons. Diagnostic mammograms are more likely to be of higher densities, hence there may be a small underestimate of P2 or DY proportions. We avoided the loss of subjects in multivariable analyses by modelling the growth curve and imputing missing values. We used BMI as a measure of weight relative to height at all ages for reasons of consistency and familiarity; however, this index is not independent of height at younger ages (Cole, 1986). Furthermore, we did not have BMI exactly at the time of mammography – the closest measurements at age 53 years were taken a mean of 2.1 years (90% reference range: 0.0–3.6 years) after mammography.

The Wolfe grade is not only a measure of breast density, but also includes other radiological features of the breast. Less subjective

| Table 2 | Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for a higher Wolfe grade\(^a\) associated with breast size and BMI at age 53 |
|---|---|
| **Univariable analysis** | **Bivariable analysis\(^b\)** |
| **Odds ratio\(^a\)** | **95% CI** | **Odds ratio\(^a\)** | **95% CI** |
| **Breast size (cm)** | | | | |
| 3–6 | 4.07 | 2.50, 6.62 | 2.62 | 1.54, 4.45 |
| 7–8 | 1.76 | 1.31, 2.38 | 1.45 | 1.06, 1.99 |
| 9–10 | 1 | | 1 | |
| 11–12 | 0.66 | 0.49, 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.63, 1.15 |
| ≥13 | 0.40 | 0.30, 0.54 | 0.75 | 0.52, 1.06 |
| **Fifths of BMI at age 53 (kg m\(^{-2}\))** | | | | |
| <23.1 | | | 0.79 | 0.55, 1.14 |
| 23.1–25.15 | 0.67 | 0.47, 0.97 | 0.57 | 0.39, 0.82 |
| 25.16–27.57 | 0.45 | 0.32, 0.64 | 0.45 | 0.31, 0.65 |
| 27.58–31.39 | 0.32 | 0.23, 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.14, 0.32 |
| ≥31.4 | 0.13 | 0.09, 0.19 | | |

\(^a\)Common odds ratio relative to two binary outcomes: DY vs P2/P1/N1, DY/P2 vs P1/N1 and DY/P2/P1 vs N1.

\(^b\)Breast size adjusted for fifths of BMI at age 53 years and vice versa.

\(P\)-value for linear trend.

**Table 3** Odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals (CI) and \(P\)-values for a higher Wolfe grade\(^a\) associated with an s.d. increase in birth weight, age at menarche, age-specific heights and interval-specific height velocities

| **Observed data only** | **Including imputed values** |
|---|---|
| **Crude** | **Crude (\(N=1263\))** | **Age at mammography, BMI at age 53 years and breast size (\(N=1253\))** | **All other height components, age at mammography, breast size, BMI age 53 (\(N=1253\))** |
| n\(^b\) | Mean (s.d.) | Odds ratio\(^a\) 95% CI | Odds ratio\(^a\) 95% CI | Odds ratio\(^a\) 95% CI | Odds ratio\(^a\) 95% CI | Odds ratio\(^a\) 95% CI |
| Birth weight (g) | 1294 | 3318 (468) | 1.03 | 0.93, 1.14 | 1.03 | 0.92, 1.15 | 0.59 | — | — | — |
| Age at menarche (years) | 1214 | 13.0 (1.3) | 1.26 | 1.13, 1.40 | — | — | 1.14\(^c\) | 1.01, 1.27 | 0.03 | — | — | — |
| Height (cm) at age 2 | 1033 | 84.7 (4.6) | 1.15 | 1.02, 1.29 | 1.09 | 0.98, 1.21 | 1.13 | 1.01, 1.26 | 0.03 | 1.07 | 0.94, 1.22 | 0.31 |
| 4 | 1123 | 102.9 (5.0) | 0.96 | 0.86, 1.08 | 0.96 | 0.86, 1.07 | 0.94 | 0.84, 1.05 | 0.25 | — | — | — |
| 7 | 1122 | 119.8 (5.5) | 0.97 | 0.86, 1.08 | 0.94 | 0.85, 1.05 | 0.93 | 0.84, 1.04 | 0.22 | — | — | — |
| 11 | 1090 | 141.2 (7.0) | 0.85 | 0.76, 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.79, 0.97 | 0.89 | 0.80, 1.00 | 0.04 | — | — | — |
| 15 | 1002 | 158.7 (6.2) | 0.95 | 0.84, 1.07 | 0.99 | 0.89, 1.10 | 0.96 | 0.86, 1.07 | 0.47 | — | — | — |
| 36 | 1174 | 162.5 (6.3) | 1.07 | 0.96, 1.20 | 1.08 | 0.97, 1.20 | 1.03 | 0.93, 1.15 | 0.55 | — | — | — |
| Height velocity (cm/year) during ages 2–4 | 966 | 7.94 (2.2) | 0.87 | 0.77, 0.98 | 0.87 | 0.78, 0.97 | 0.82 | 0.74, 0.92 | <0.001 | 0.85 | 0.76, 0.96 | 0.01 |
| 4–7 | 1015 | 6.10 (1.4) | 0.97 | 0.86, 1.08 | 0.98 | 0.88, 1.09 | 1.00 | 0.90, 1.12 | 0.97 | 0.86, 1.09 | 0.60 |
| 7–11 | 1030 | 5.64 (1.1) | 0.85 | 0.76, 0.96 | 0.88 | 0.80, 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.82, 1.02 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 0.86, 1.15 | 0.96 |
| 11–15 | 950 | 4.77 (1.3) | 1.19 | 1.05, 1.34 | 1.18 | 1.06, 1.31 | 1.11 | 1.00, 1.24 | 0.06 | 1.11 | 0.99, 1.24 | 0.08 |
| 15–adulthood | 927 | 1.07 (1.0) | 1.22 | 1.08, 1.38 | 1.18 | 1.06, 1.32 | 1.15 | 1.03, 1.29 | 0.01 | 1.16 | 1.03, 1.29 | 0.01 |

\(^a\)Common odds ratio associated with 1 s.d. increase in explanatory variable for three binary outcomes: DY vs P2/P1/N1, DY/P2 vs P1/N1 and DY/P2/P1 vs N1.

\(^b\)Number of women with nonmissing data for analyses on observed data only (excluding imputed values).

\(^c\)Observed data only, that is, no imputed values.
Main findings

The strong inverse association of breast size with Wolfe grade is consistent with previous findings (Brisson et al, 1984; Salminen et al, 1998; Sala et al, 1999). Thus, despite measurement error due to varying degrees of breast compression during mammography, this crude measure of breast size (pectoralis muscle to nipple distance) is useful when no others are available.

We found no evidence of an association between birth weight and Wolfe grade, consistent with the small positive but nonsignificant association found in the only other study that has investigated in utero influences (Ekborn et al, 1995).

Differential height reduction between ages 36 and 53 years, which was greatest for women who subsequently had less-dense Wolfe grades, explained the differences between the null association with height at age 36 years and the positive association at age 53 years. Height loss between these ages was comparable to changes found in women in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (Sorkin et al, 1999). Thus, the age to which ‘adult height’ refers and whether it was measured or self-reported may explain the conflicting previous findings (Brisson et al, 1984; Gram et al, 1997; Boyd et al, 1998; Sala et al, 1999). This observation may reveal the role of common determinants of both breast density and bone mineral density/height loss, such as changes in oestrogen levels.

We found that early matures (characterised by a greater height velocity up to age 11 years, greater height at this age and an earlier menarche) were at a reduced odds of a higher Wolfe grade compared to later matures. In view of the association of early maturation with high adult BMI (Parsons et al, 1999) and the strong inverse association of the latter with breast density, we expected these associations in the crude analysis. The association with timing of maturation persisted, however, even after controlling for BMI at mammography.

Our results for adult BMI are in agreement with previously reported associations of adiposity and breast density at mammography (Brisson et al, 1984; Whitehead et al, 1985; Bartow et al, 1995; Gram et al, 1997; Boyd et al, 1998; Sala et al, 1999). As several studies have illustrated a tracking of obesity from childhood to adult life (Parsons et al, 1999), we envisaged the observed crude inverse association with childhood BMI. The associations with BMI and BMI changes during childhood remained, however, after controlling for breast size and adult BMI. Comparing women of similar breast size and BMI at mammography, women who had a high BMI throughout life were at lower odds of a higher density Wolfe grade than women who put on weight more recently.

Determinants of breast density and their relation to breast cancer risk

A comparison of the determinants of breast density, and their mechanisms, with their corresponding associations with breast cancer risk may provide useful insights into ways in which breast density could influence breast cancer risk.
density and ultimately breast cancer risk may be reduced. Breast density is a measure of the relative proportions of radiolucent adipose tissue and radiodense epithelial (parenchyma) and connective (stroma) tissue. Radiodense tissue possibly reflects the number of cells at risk of carcinogenesis as it is in the ducts and lobules of the epithelium where the vast majority of breast cancers originate. In support of this mechanism, Brisson et al (1984) found that the percentage of the breast with nodular densities was shown to have a stronger association with breast cancer risk compared to Wolfe grade or percentage of breast with homogeneous densities. Dense mammographic patterns have been associated with changes of the parenchymal tissue such as epithelial hyperplasia and proliferation of the breast stroma where growth factors that influence the breast epithelium are produced (Oza and Boyd, 1993).

The strong associations of adiposity and body size with breast density are more likely to be driven by associations with the area of radiolucent rather than the area of dense breast tissue, as other studies have found positive associations between weight/BMI and the area of nondense tissue and less strong, but inverse, associations with the area of dense tissue (Boyd et al, 1998). Associations of body size with the area of dense tissue or with features of the parenchymal pattern that may reflect breast cancer risk are likely to be dwarfed by these. Associations of adiposity with breast cancer risk are, on the contrary, of much smaller magnitude. Adiposity has an inverse association with breast cancer risk at premenopausal ages (Willett et al, 1985) and a positive association at postmenopausal ages (Hunter and Willett, 1993). Findings from the present cohort are also consistent with an association of BMI with breast cancer at premenopausal ages (hazard ratio 0.76, 95% CI: 0.54 – 1.10, for 1 s.d. increase in BMI at age 36 years); the women in the cohort are still too young to allow analysis at postmenopausal ages. Endogenous oestrogen production in fatty tissue has been suggested as a possible mechanism through which adiposity affects breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women in whom ovarian oestrogen production has ceased (Pike et al, 1993).

Similar to body size, the observed associations of age at menarche and breast size with Wolfe grade are more likely to predominantly reflect associations with nondense breast tissue. Earlier menarche was associated with a decreased odds of a higher Wolfe grade. In contrast, and similarly to other studies (Hsieh et al, 1990), early menarche was associated with increased breast cancer risk in this cohort (odds ratio 1.43, 95% CI: 0.73 – 2.80, comparing women who had menarche under 12.5 years to those whose menarche was 13.5 years or over). Increasing breast size was strongly associated with a decreased odds of a higher Wolfe grade, but its association with breast cancer risk has not been consistent across studies (Hsieh and Trichopoulos, 1991; Byrne et al, 1995). Taller women have increased rates of breast cancer (van den Brandt et al, 2000), and although a similar association was found for breast cancer in this cohort (hazard ratio 1.37, 95% CI: 1.02 – 1.84 per s.d. increase in height at age 36 years), we did not observe a similar association with breast density. Similarly, the null findings for birth weight with Wolfe grade in the present analysis are in contrast to the positive associations found in this cohort (De Stavola et al, 2000) and other studies (Michels et al, 2002; McCormack et al, 2003). These contrasting associations of age at menarche, breast size, birth weight and adult height with breast density and breast cancer risk are suggestive of different biological pathways through which these pairs of associations operate.
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