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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Opinions are controversial regarding the use of general and spinal anaesthesia in pre-eclamptic mothers undergoing Caesarean section. Some studies recommended avoiding spinal anaesthesia in pre-eclamptic patients because of concern for sudden severe hypotension, while other studies support the use of spinal anaesthesia as first choice reasoning less post-operative morbidity and mortality. This study aims to compare maternal outcome among pre-eclamptic women undergone caesarian delivery under general and spinal anaesthesia.

METHODS AND PATIENTS: A retrospective comparative cross-sectional study was conducted to compare maternal outcome. All pre-eclamptic mothers who underwent Caesarean section in Black Lion Specialized Hospital from October 2014 to October 2016 were included in the study. Data entry and analysis were conducted using SPSS version 20. Student’s T-test was used to compare the outcome in both groups and p value < 0.05 was set as cut off point for statistical significance.

RESULTS: A total of 170 client documents were reviewed. The mean age of the study subjects was 28.18 ± 4.66 years, with median age 28 years (IQR: 25-30). Our study shows that both general and spinal anaesthesia have no difference in terms of maternal survival status, days of hospital stay, post-operative admission to ICU, and post-operative complications. However, this study found a statistically significant higher post-operative blood pressure and pulse rate among general anesthesia groups compared with spinal anaesthesia group.

CONCLUSION: Spinal anesthesia is safer than general anesthesia in terms of stable vital signs among pre-eclamptic women undergoing Cesarean section.
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INTRODUCTION

In Ethiopia, pre-eclampsia contributed to the complication of approximately 1% of all deliveries and 5% of all pregnancies. Moreover, 16% of direct maternal mortality and 10% of all maternal mortality (direct and indirect) was due to pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (1). Another maternal mortality trend analysis showed an increasing trend of pre-eclampsia in Ethiopia (2).

A medical interruption of pregnancy may be indicated in severe uncontrolled pre-eclampsia. Cesarean Section (CS) is one of the lifesaving surgical interventions contributing to decrement of maternal and fetal mortality and morbidity. The frequency of cesarean section deliveries continues to steadily rise worldwide (3). According to Demographic Health Survey, 1990-2014, the global cesarean section rate is 18.6%. The average cesarean section rate in Africa is 7.3%, the highest in Egypt (51.8%) and 0.6% for Ethiopia which is the lowest (4).

Cesarean anesthesia has gained importance as the cesarean birth rates have increased. Even though the cesarean procedure has become very safe over the years, it is still associated with high rates of maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity (5). The overall postoperative morbidity rate associated with cesarean births is 35.7%. The higher mortality and morbidity rates might be attributable not only to the surgical procedure but also to the anesthetic technique preferred (6).

Women with pre-eclampsia have an increased rate of CS consequent upon the high incidence of intrauterine growth restriction, fetal distress and prematurity (7). Cesarean section on the other hand increases the risk of cardiopulmonary morbidity associated with pre-eclampsia (8). This is due to the altered hemodynamic in women with preeclampsia, particularly in an emergency situation. This risk is present with both spinal and general anesthesia (GA) and continues to challenge anesthetists worldwide (9).

Anesthetic management of pre-eclampsia patients remains a challenge. General anesthesia (GA) and spinal anesthesia (SA) have been shown to be acceptable and safe methods for conducting cesarean deliveries in pre-eclampsia, if steps are taken to ensure a careful approach to either technique (7). Although general anesthesia can be used in pre-eclampsia women, it is associated with greater maternal morbidity and mortality. The added risks associated with GA include airway difficulties due to edema (often aggravated by tracheal intubation) and the presser response to laryngoscopy and intubation (10).

Opinions are controversial regarding the use of spinal anesthesia in pre-eclamptic mothers undergoing CS. Several studies recommended avoiding spinal anesthesia in pre-eclamptic mothers because of concern for sudden severe hypotension (11,12).

A fifteen years’ (1996-2010) obstetric anesthesia trend analysis in an Ethiopian University Hospital determined that GA was still a predominant anesthetic technique (65.6%) compared with SA (13). Considering the anesthetic dilemma and controversies among existing studies and limited studies on the the area, this study aimed to determine and compare maternal outcome among pre-eclamptic women following cesarean delivery under general and spinal anesthesia in Black Lion Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

After approval was obtained from Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences Ethical Review Committee and Black Lion Specialized Hospital Management, data of pre-eclamptic mothers who underwent CS in Obstetrics and Gynecology ward, Black Lion Specialized Hospital, from October 2014 to October 2016 were retrieved retrospectively from recording books and patient charts. Charts were coded and only accessed by research team. Confidentiality of participant records and privacy of the hospital was secured.

All mothers with severe pre-eclampsia who underwent CS from October 2014 to October 2016 were selected from the CS registration book, and their charts were collected from the card store. Using a structured check list, socio-demographic variables (age, weight, marital status), obstetric characteristics (gravity, parity, gestational age, ANC follow-up), pre-operative (Blood pressure,
pulse rate, medication type and dose, co-morbidity), intra-operative (type of anesthesia, BP, PR, SpO2, complications) and post-operative data (BP, PR, RR, any complication to the mother, length of hospital stay and ICU admission) were reviewed from the charts and documented.

The hemodynamic variables (HR and BP) were documented at pre-anesthesia period, and intra-operatively at 5th, 10th, 20th, 30th and 60th minutes after administration of either GA or SA. Hemodynamic instability was considered when there was a 25% change from the baseline value. Post-operative hospital stay was quantified in days from the day of the procedure till the patient discharge day. Patients were considered as having stable vital sign if their blood pressure and HR showed significant decrement after administration of anesthesia compared to their baseline values, as an increase in BP and HR is a problem in pre-eclamptic mothers.

The collected data was cleaned, coded, entered into SPSS version 20 statistical software for analysis. Data were checked for completeness and consistency. Frequency tables and graphs were used to describe the study variables. Independent sample t-test was conducted to compare the difference in outcome between spinal and general anesthesia. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Out of the charts of 208 mother with pre-eclampsia, 170 patients’ documents were reviewed based on the eligibility criteria and completeness of the documents. The mean age of the study subjects was 28.18 ± 4.66 years; the median age was 28 years (IQR: 25-30). The majority of the cases, 134(78.8%), were from urban and the rest, 36(21.2%), from rural areas. Regarding marital status, 153(96.8%) were married while the rest were unmarried.

Most of the study subjects were multiparous (55%) while the remaining 45% were nulliparous. About 77% had ANC follow-up during their pregnancy, and about 15% had previous history of CS. Regarding the clinical characteristics, most of the CS were performed as emergency. Magnesium sulfate was the most frequently used drug to control blood pressure for pre-eclamptic mothers (Table 1).

Table 1: Clinical Characteristics of Preeclamptic Women Underwent C/S at TikurAnbessa Specialized hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from 2014 to 2016.

| Clinical characteristics                              | GA n (%) | SA n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Type of C/S                                            |          |          |
| Elective                                              | 5(3%)    | 12(7%)   |
| Emergency                                             | 75(44%)  | 78(46%)  |
| Operator status                                       |          |          |
| Senior                                                | 14(8.2%) | 14(8.2%) |
| Resident or GP                                        | 60(35.3%)| 72(42.3%)|
| Antihypertensive and Anticonvulsant drugs used         |          |          |
| used for preeclampsia before the operation            |          |          |
| Hydralazine                                           | 44(25.9%)| 47(27.6%)|
| Labetalol                                             | 0(0%)    | 2(1.2%)  |
| Nifedipine                                            | 0(0%)    | 5(3%)    |
| Methyldopa                                            | 2(1.2%)  | 2(1.2%)  |
| Magnesium sulfate                                     | 25(14.7%)| 23(13.5%)|
| Diazepam                                              | 1(0.6%)  | 2(1.2%)  |

Note: Data are presented as frequency (percentages)

Abbreviations: GA, General anesthesia; SA, Spinal anesthesia; C/S, Cesarean section; GP, General practitioner; n, frequency

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v2i4.10
The majority (53.5%) of CS cases were performed using SA. There were no documented maternal deaths and no significant difference was observed between GA and SA regarding days of hospital stay.

There was no significant difference among the groups with regard to heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) at ward and before administration of anesthesia. However, the mean HR and BP was significantly higher in GA groups compared to SA groups after anesthesia was administered throughout the intraoperative period (Table 2, Figures 1-3).

Table 2: Comparison of Maternal Pulse Rate and Blood Pressure among Preeclamptic Women Underwent C/S under GA and SA at TikurAnbessa Specialized hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from 2014 to 2016

| Variables       | GA groups    | SA groups    | 95% CI of mean difference | p-value |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------|
| **Mean Pulse Rate** |              |              |                           |         |
| Before anesthesia | 98.97(7.5)  | 95.66(8.9)  | 3.32(-0.64-7.3)          | 0.09*   |
| 5th minute after anesthesia | 103.20(10.6) | 92.83(12.3) | 10.36(4.10-16.6)         | 0.002   |
| 10th minutes    | 99.60(9.6)  | 88.93(9.7)  | 10.73(7.70-13.7)         | <0.001  |
| 20th minutes    | 96.00(8.5)  | 84.00(9.2)  | 11.53(8.7-14.3)          | <0.001  |
| 30th minutes    | 93.12(6.9)  | 82.62(8.7)  | 7.90(5.90-10.1)          | <0.001  |
| 60th minutes    | 90.05(5.8)  | 82.67(7.0)  | 7.38(5.40-9.4)           | <0.001  |
| **Mean Systolic BP** |              |              |                           |         |
| Before anesthesia | 154.54(19.4) | 151.23(12.4)| 3.31(-0.40-10.7)         | 0.37*   |
| 5th minute after anesthesia | 162.70(14.0) | 144.30(15.7)| 15.10(5.92-24.3)         | 0.002   |
| 10th minutes    | 157.80(12.7)| 137.70(13.3)| 20.00(16.00-24.2)        | <0.001  |
| 20th minutes    | 148.90(11.3)| 127.60(12.6)| 21.38(17.60-25.1)        | <0.001  |
| 30th minutes    | 141.50(11.9)| 119.60(13.0)| 21.88(17.90-25.7)        | <0.001  |
| 60th minutes    | 134.70(9.6) | 122.70(10.5)| 12.00(8.9-15.1)          | <0.001  |
| **Mean Diastolic BP** |              |              |                           |         |
| Before anesthesia | 97.64(7.7)  | 92.54(9.2)  | 5.10(-1.33-8.9)          | 0.09*   |
| 5th minute after anesthesia | 96.54(18.7) | 88.76(8.8)  | 7.78(0.6-15.5)           | 0.035   |
| 10th minute     | 92.77(12.7) | 81.40(8.9)  | 11.37(8.7-14.0)          | <0.001  |
| 20th minute     | 86.50(6.3)  | 73.07(8.6)  | 13.49(11.09-15.9)        | <0.001  |
| 30th minute     | 82.18(9.7)  | 67.63(8.4)  | 14.55(11.74-17.4)        | <0.001  |
| 60th minute     | 78.24(11.2) | 71.64(11.3) | 6.60(3.13-10.1)          | <0.001  |

Note: Data are presented as mean (SD)
Abbreviations: BP, blood pressure; GA, General anesthesia; SA, Spinal anesthesia; CI, confidence interval
* = not statistically significant
Figure 1: Comparison of pulse rate of preeclamptic women who underwent CS at Black Lion Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from 2014 to 2016

Figure 2: Comparison of mean systolic BP of preeclamptic women who underwent CS at Black Lion Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from 2014 to 2016
DISCUSSION

In this retrospective comparative cross-sectional study, a total of 170 clients’ cards were reviewed. Nearly 53% of these clients with severe pre-eclampsia underwent their operation under SA and the remaining took GA. The mean ages of the respondents were comparable between general and spinal anesthesia groups (28.2 Vs 28.1) respectively. The mean pulse rate, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure before administration of anesthesia had no statistically significant differences (p>0.05).

This study revealed that spinal anesthesia is superior to general anesthesia for pre-eclamptic mothers in terms of stable hemodynamic status in the intraoperative period. The mean heart rate and blood pressure of mothers who underwent CS under GA was higher than the normal values throughout the intraoperative period, while these vital signs decreased to the normal range throughout the intraoperative period once SA had been administered. This finding is in line with previous studies conducted in different regions of the world (9,13-15). Systemic vasodilation induced by sympathetic blockade after SA, resulting in venous pooling of blood and reduction in systemic vascular resistance, has been regarded as the predominant mechanism for reduced blood pressure (16). The blockade of cardio accelerator sympathetic fibers at the first to fourth (T1 to T4) nerve roots, and possibly the “reverse”of the Bainbridge (atrial) reflex is believed to be the cause for decrement in heart rate following administration of SA. Bainbridge reflex, also called atrial reflex, is increment of the heart rate resulting from distension of large systemic veins or the right atrium (17). The hypotensive and bradycardia effect of SA is the undesirable effect in nonpre-eclamptic population. However, in our study, we found out that SA decreased the heart rate and blood pressure only to the desired level, acting as an antihypertensive agent to pre-eclamptic mothers in addition to its well established advantages over GA regarding safe airway, improved postoperative analgesia, reduced risk of airway obstruction or aspiration, decreased blood loss, less immune suppression, less cognitive impairment (especially in the elderly), reliable and excellent intra-operating conditions, less costly usage, decreased incidence of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary emboli formation (15,18,19).

This study did not find a significant difference regarding maternal outcome and days of hospital stay between the groups. Although
some studies showed similar findings with that of ours (3), some others reported better outcome in terms of morbidity and mortality, days of hospital stay and rates of post-operative ICU admission in patients who received SA compared to GA (9,14). The reason our study could not find a difference regarding maternal outcome between the two groups may be due to inadequate sample size in our study to detect a difference in such relatively rare complications.

This study used secondary data from medical records of the patients. The information stated in this document is limited to data that is only available in the individual medical records. Therefore, it was hardly possible to find further information than that is recorded in the individual medical record.

In conclusion, in this study, significantly higher intra-operative blood pressure and pulse rate was observed among GA group than SA. Therefore, SA is safer than GA in terms of stable vital signs among pre-eclamptic women.
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