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Abstract

For a torus bundle \((S^1 \times S^1) \to E \to S^1\), we construct a finite free resolution of \(\mathbb{Z}\) over \(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(E)]\) and compute the cohomology groups \(H^*(\pi_1(E), \mathbb{Z})\) and \(H^*(\pi_1(E), \mathbb{Z}_p)\) for a prime \(p\). We also construct a partial diagonal approximation for the resolution, which allows us to compute the cup product in \(H^*(\pi_1(E), \mathbb{Z})\) and \(H^*(\pi_1(E), \mathbb{Z}_p)\).

1 Introduction

The calculation of the cohomology of a given group, including its ring structure, is relevant for many applications and is considered an interesting problem in its own right. For finite groups, for example, see [1]. Another example are the manifolds that are \(K(\pi, 1)\) spaces, in special many closed 3-manifolds. These manifolds are all \(K(\pi, 1)\) spaces with the exception of the spherical ones and four 3-manifolds that are covered by \(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}\) (see [2]), and so the cohomology rings of these manifolds coincide with the cohomology rings of their fundamental groups.

In addition, for a group \(\pi\), the efficient calculation of the multiplicative structure given by the cup product in \(H^*(\pi, M)\) for various coefficients \(M\) is most easily accomplished by obtaining a diagonal approximation for a projective resolution of \(\mathbb{Z}\) over \(\mathbb{Z}[\pi]\). This was done, for example, by Tomoda and Zvengrowski in [3], where they computed projective resolutions and diagonal approximations for groups that arise as the fundamental groups of some Seifert 3-manifolds. In that case, the groups considered have 4-periodic cohomology and hence their cohomology groups are not isomorphic to the cohomology groups of the corresponding manifolds.

In this paper, we consider the problem of the computing the cohomology rings of the 3-manifolds known as torus bundles. Since torus bundles are \(K(\pi, 1)\) spaces, we compute their cohomology rings by constructing a finite free resolution of \(\mathbb{Z}\) over \(\mathbb{Z}G\), where \(G\) is the fundamental group of the torus bundle, and then we construct a (partial) diagonal approximation for that resolution. Finally, we compute the multiplicative structure of \(H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})\) and \(H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)\), where \(p\) is prime. Although we only did the calculation for the trivial coefficients \(\mathbb{Z}\) and \(\mathbb{Z}_p\), the methods we employ also allow us to deal with other systems of coefficients, even non trivial ones. We didn’t further explore the computation for non trivial coefficients in order to keep the length of the paper to a reasonable size, leaving it for specific applications.

We observe also that J. Hillman has recently determined the cohomology ring of the \(Sol^3\)-manifolds with coefficients \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) using different methods. See [3].

A torus bundle is the total space \(E\) of a fiber bundle with the torus \(S^1 \times S^1\) as the fiber and the circle \(S^1\) as the base space. Given a torus bundle \((S^1 \times S^1) \to E \to S^1\), the fundamental group of the total space \(E\) fits in an exact sequence of groups

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \to & \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) & \to & \pi_1(E) & \to & \pi_1(S^1) & \to & 1 \\
| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \to & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi_1(E) & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & 1,
\end{array}
\]

and that implies \(\pi_1(E) \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}\) for some action \(\theta: \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\), since \(\mathbb{Z}\) is a free group.
Let $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle a, b \mid ab = ba \rangle$ be the fundamental group of the torus and $\mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ be the fundamental group of the circle, and let the action $\theta$ be such that $\theta(t)(a) = a^\alpha b^\beta$ and $\theta(t)(b) = a^\gamma b^\delta$ for $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For the elements of $G = \pi_1(E)$, we use the notation $G = \{(a^m b^n, t^k) : m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and we write $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{bmatrix}$.

In Section 2, we construct a finite free resolution $P$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ as a trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$-module and compute the groups $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $p$ prime. In Section 3 we define a partial diagonal approximation $\Delta: P \to P \otimes P$, which will be enough to allow us to compute the cup product in $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

2 Free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$

Given the exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to K \to G \to H \to 1,$$

Wall describes in [6] how we can construct a free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$ from free resolutions of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}K$ and $\mathbb{Z}H$: let

$$\cdots \to B_r \to \cdots \to B_1 \to B_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

be a free resolution over $\mathbb{Z}K$, and let

$$\cdots \to C_s \to \cdots \to C_1 \to C_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

be a free resolution over $\mathbb{Z}H$, with $C_s$ free on $\alpha_s$ generators.

Since $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}K$-module, $\mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}K} B_r$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}G$-module and $1 \otimes \varepsilon: \mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}K} B_r \to \mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}K} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ induces an isomorphism in homology. We define $D_s$ as the direct sum of $\alpha_s$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}K} B_r$, which gives us an augmentation map over the direct sum of $\alpha_s$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}H$, that we identify with $C_s$ and write $\varepsilon_s: D_s \to C_s$. If $A_{r,s}$ is the submodule of $D_s$ given by the direct sum of $\alpha_s$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}K} B_r$, then $A_{r,s}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}G$-module and $D_s$ is the direct sum of the modules $A_{r,s}$. Denoting by $d_0$ the differential in each of the complexes $D_s$, Wall proves in [6] the following lemma:

**Lemma 1 (Wall)** There are $\mathbb{Z}G$-homomorphisms $d_k: A_{r,s} \to A_{r+k-1,s-k}$ such that

(i) $d_k \varepsilon_{s-1} = \varepsilon_{s} d_k: A_{0,s} \to C_{s-1}$, where $d_k$ denotes the differential in $C$;

(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^k d_k d_{k+1} = 0$ for all $k$, where $d_k$ is null if $r = k = 0$ or if $s < k$.

Given these homomorphisms, the following theorem is then proved:

**Theorem 1 (Wall)** Let $A$ be the direct sum of the modules $A_{r,s}$, graded by dim $A_{r,s} = r + s$, and let $d = \sum d_k$. The complex $(A, d)$ is acyclic, and hence gives us a free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Let’s apply these ideas for the group extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to G \to \mathbb{Z} \to 1,$$

where $G = \pi_1(E)$ is the group given in the Introduction. A free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$ is given by

$$0 \to Q_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} Q_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

where

$$Q_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}],$$

$$Q_1 = \langle e_1, e_1' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} [\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}],$$

$$Q_2 = \langle e_2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} [\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}],$$

and $d_1 = \sigma_{e_1', e_1}$.
and the differentials $d_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\varepsilon$ are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon(e_0) &= 1, \\
d_1(e_1^1) &= (a - 1)e_0, \\
d_1(e_1^2) &= (b - 1)e_0, \\
d_2(e_2) &= (1 - b)e_1^1 + (a - 1)e_2^2.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

The fact that $\mathbb{B}$ is indeed a free resolution can be easily seen considering the cell decomposition of the universal cover $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the torus. Also, a free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
& & \varepsilon \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}
\]  

(3)
as is shown, for example, in [2]. If we can find the homomorphisms of Lemma 1, then we’ll have our desired free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$.

From now on, let

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
m_1 & m_2 \\
n_1 & n_2
\end{bmatrix} = -\theta^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma} \begin{bmatrix}
-\delta & \gamma \\
\beta & -\alpha
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and let $A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbb{Z}G$ be the elements given by

\[
A = \begin{cases}
(1, 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} (a^{-k \alpha} b^{-k \beta}, t), & \text{if } m_1 > 0, \\
(1, 1) - \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} (a^{k \alpha} b^{k \beta}, t), & \text{if } m_1 < 0, \\
(1, 1), & \text{if } m_1 = 0,
\end{cases}
\]  

(4)

\[
B = \begin{cases}
\sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} (a^{1+k \gamma} b^{k \delta}, t), & \text{if } n_1 > 0, \\
-\sum_{k=1}^{m_1-1} (a^{1-k \gamma} b^{-k \delta}, t), & \text{if } n_1 < 0, \\
0, & \text{if } n_1 = 0,
\end{cases}
\]  

(5)

\[
C = \begin{cases}
\sum_{k=1}^{m_2} (a^{-k \alpha} b^{-k \beta}, t), & \text{if } m_2 > 0, \\
-\sum_{k=0}^{n_2-1} (a^{k \alpha} b^{k \beta}, t), & \text{if } m_2 < 0, \\
0, & \text{if } m_2 = 0,
\end{cases}
\]  

(6)

\[
D = \begin{cases}
(1, 1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n_2-1} (a^{k \gamma} b^{1+k \delta}, t), & \text{if } n_2 > 0, \\
(1, 1) - \sum_{k=1}^{m_2-1} (a^{-k \gamma} b^{1-k \delta}, t), & \text{if } n_2 < 0, \\
(1, 1), & \text{if } n_2 = 0,
\end{cases}
\]  

(7)

\[
E = -(1, 1) + \sum_{(m,n) \in I_1 \times J_1} (a^{\alpha m + \gamma n} b^{\beta m + \delta n}, t) - \sum_{(m,n) \in I_2 \times J_2} (a^{\alpha m + \gamma n} b^{\beta m + \delta n}, t),
\]  

(8)

where the sets $I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2$ vary depending on the signs of $m_1, n_1, m_2$ and $n_2$ and are completely described in Table II.
Theorem 2 Let
\[ P_0 = \langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}G, \]
\[ P_1 = \langle y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle y_3 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G, \]
\[ P_2 = \langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G, \]
\[ P_3 = \langle w \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}G. \]

The sequence
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_3} & P_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & 0 \\
& & \downarrow d_3 & & \downarrow d_2 & & \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0 \\
& & \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G \\
\end{array}
\tag{9}
\]
is a free resolution of \( \mathbb{Z} \) over \( \mathbb{Z}G \), where the maps \( \partial_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)) and \( \varepsilon_0 \) are defined by
\[
\varepsilon_0(x) = 1,
\]
\[
\partial_1(y_1) = (a, 1) - (1, 1)x,
\]
\[
\partial_1(y_2) = (b, 1) - (1, 1)x,
\]
\[
\partial_1(y_3) = (1, t) - (1, 1)x,
\]
\[
\partial_2(z_1) = Ay_1 + By_2 + [(a, 1) - (1, 1)]y_3,
\]
\[
\partial_2(z_2) = Cy_1 + Dy_2 + [(b, 1) - (1, 1)]y_3,
\]
\[
\partial_2(z_3) = [(1, 1) - (b, 1)]y_1 + [(a, 1) - (1, 1)]y_2,
\]
\[
\partial_3(w) = [(1, 1) - (b, 1)]z_1 + [(a, 1) - (1, 1)]z_2 + Ez_3.
\]

Proof: Applying the ideas of Wall \[6\], the modules \( A_{r,s} \) are such that \( A_{r,s} \neq 0 \) only for \( 0 \leq r \leq 2 \) and \( 0 \leq s \leq 1 \), and
\[
A_{2,1} \cong A_{2,0} \cong A_{0,1} \cong A_{0,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}G,
\]
\[
A_{1,1} \cong A_{1,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G.
\]

Using Lemma \[1\] we get the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\langle w \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle y_3 \rangle & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1 \\
& & \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \\
& & \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_0 \\
& & \langle z_3 \rangle & & \langle y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle y_2 \rangle & & \langle x \rangle & & \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \\
& & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0 \\
& & \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G & & \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \\
\end{array}
\tag{10}
\]
in which we must determine the maps \( \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, d_0 \) and \( d_1 \). Having done that, Theorem \[1\] will give us the
consider the diagram and that happens if we define a horizontal edge we put a label with the value of $g$. The homomorphisms $d_0$, $d_1$ and $d_0$ are easily calculated:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_0 &: \langle x \rangle \to \mathbb{Z} \\
\varepsilon_0 &: (a^mb^n, t^k)x = t^k, \\
\varepsilon_1 &: \langle y_3 \rangle \to \mathbb{Z} \\
\varepsilon_1 &: (a^mb^n, t^k)y_3 = t^k, \\
d_0 &: \langle w \rangle \to \langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle \\
d_0\langle w \rangle &= ((1, 1) - (b, 1))z_1 + ((a, 1) - (1, 1))z_2, \\
d_0 &: \langle z_3 \rangle \to \langle y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle y_2 \rangle \\
d_0\langle z_3 \rangle &= ((1, 1) - (b, 1))y_1 + ((a, 1) - (1, 1))y_2, \\
d_0 &: \langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle \to \langle y_1 \rangle \\
d_0\langle z_1 \rangle &= ((a, 1) - (1, 1))y_3, \\
d_0\langle z_2 \rangle &= ((b, 1) - (1, 1))y_3, \\
d_0 &: \langle y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle y_2 \rangle \to \langle x \rangle \\
d_0\langle y_1 \rangle &= ((a, 1) - (1, 1))x, \\
d_0\langle y_2 \rangle &= ((b, 1) - (1, 1))x.
\end{align*}
\]

The three maps $d_1$ are computed as follows: the map $d_1 : \langle y_3 \rangle \to \langle x \rangle$ must satisfy $\varepsilon_0 \circ d_1 = (t - 1) \circ \varepsilon_1$, and that happens if we define $d_1\langle y_3 \rangle = ((1, t) - (1, 1))x$. For the map $d_1 : \langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle \to \langle y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle y_2 \rangle$, consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle \\
\xrightarrow{d_0} \langle y_3 \rangle \\
\xleftarrow{d_1} \langle y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle y_2 \rangle \\
\xrightarrow{d_1} \langle x \rangle,
\end{array}
\]

where $d_0 d_1 + d_1 d_0 = 0$. If we write $d_1\langle z_1 \rangle = Ay_1 + By_2$ and $d_1\langle z_2 \rangle = Cy_1 + Dy_2$ for some $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{Z}G$, then

\[
(d_0 d_1 + d_1 d_0)\langle z_1 \rangle = 0 \iff A((a, 1) - (1, 1)) + B((b, 1) - (1, 1)) = (1, t) - (1, 1) + (a, 1) - (a, t).
\]

In order to solve (11), we write

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= (1, 1) + \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{mn}(a^mb^n, t), \\
B &= \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{mn}(a^mb^n, t),
\end{align*}
\]

so (11) becomes

\[
\sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} (g_{(m-\alpha)(n-\beta)} - g_{mn} + h_{(m-\gamma)(n-\delta)} - h_{mn}) \cdot (a^mb^n, t) = (1, t) - (a, t).
\]

We define a directed graph to solve (12) in the following way: the vertices of the graph are the points of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, and each vertex $(m, n)$ is the origin of two edges, one going to $(m - \alpha, n - \beta)$ and the other to $(m - \gamma, n - \delta)$. Given that $\det \theta = \pm 1$, we can draw the graph as a square grid graph with horizontal edges going left and vertical edges going down, where each horizontal edge goes from $(m, n)$ to $(m - \alpha, n - \beta)$ and each vertical edge goes from $(m, n)$ to $(m - \gamma, n - \delta)$. On each vertex $(m, n)$, we put two labels (yet to be determined): one with the value of $g_{mn}$ and another with the value of $h_{mn}$. Then, on each horizontal edge we put a label with the value of $g_{(m-\alpha)(n-\beta)} - g_{mn}$, and on each vertical edge we put a label with the value of $h_{(m-\gamma)(n-\delta)} - h_{mn}$. Observing that we can write (13) as

\[
g_{(m-\alpha)(n-\beta)} - g_{mn} + h_{(m-\gamma)(n-\delta)} - h_{mn} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } (m, n) = (0, 0), \\
-1, & \text{if } (m, n) = (1, 0), \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
we use the graph we just defined (except for labels) to solve it in the following way: first, we find a path (in the undirected graph obtained from the one we defined) between the vertices \((0, 0)\) and \((1, 0)\). In order to do that, let \(m_1\) and \(n_1\) be integers such that

\[
\begin{align*}
-\alpha m_1 - \gamma n_1 &= 1 \\
-\beta m_1 - \delta n_1 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence we can go from \((0, 0)\) to \((1, 0)\) passing through \(|m_1|\) horizontal edges and followed by \(|n_1|\) vertical edges. Just to give a concrete case, suppose \(m_1 < 0\) and \(n_1 > 0\). Then our path looks like

![Path Diagram]

where the top left vertex is \((0, 0)\) and the bottom right vertex is \((1, 0)\). From \([13]\), we know that the sum of the labels of the edges originated at \((0, 0)\) must be 1, the sum of the labels of the edges originated at \((1, 0)\) must be \(-1\), and the sum of the labels originated from any other vertex must be zero. This can be accomplished with the following labels on the vertices:

![Labels Diagram]

For each vertex, the left label is \(g_{mn}\) and the right one is \(h_{mn}\). For all the vertices that were not drawn, we have \(g_{mn} = h_{mn} = 0\). From the labels above we read the values of \(A\) and \(B\):

\[
A = (1, 1) - \sum_{k=0}^{m_1-1} (a^{k\alpha}b^{k\beta}, t),
\]

\[
B = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} (a^{1+k\gamma}b^{k\delta}, t).
\]

Given any \(\theta\), we can compute explicitly the values of \(m_1\), \(n_1\), and then discover the values of \(A\) and \(B\). The same can be done with the elements \(C\) and \(D\), and the values we find out for these four variables are the ones given in the statement of the theorem.
Finally, it remains to compute the map $d_1: \langle w \rangle \to \langle z_3 \rangle$ in the diagram

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\langle w \rangle \\
\downarrow{d_0} \\
\langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle \\
\downarrow{d_1} \\
\langle z_3 \rangle \\
\downarrow{d_0} \\
\langle y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle y_2 \rangle.
\end{array} \]

If $d_1(w) = E_{z_3}$ for some $E \in \mathbb{Z}G$, then $(d_0d_1 + d_1d_0)(w) = 0$ is equivalent to

\[ \begin{align*}
E((1, 1) - (b, 1)) &= ((b, 1) - (1, 1))A + ((1, 1) - (a, 1))C \\
E((a, 1) - (1, 1)) &= ((b, 1) - (1, 1))B + ((1, 1) - (a, 1))D.
\end{align*} \tag{14} \]

Given the expressions, for $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$, we guess that $E$ can be written in the form

\[ E = -(1, 1) + \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{mn}(a^mb^n, t) \]

for some integers $h_{mn}$ yet to be determined. Now the trick to compute the integers $h_{mn}$ is similar to the one we used to find the elements $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$. In order to show the computations in an actual case, let’s suppose that $m_1 < 0$, $n_1 > 0$, $m_2 > 0$ and $n_2 < 0$ (this case arises when $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are positive and $\det \theta = 1$). In this case we can write \[(14)\] as

\[\begin{align*}
((b, 1) - (1, 1)) &+ \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} (h_{mn} - h_{(m-\gamma)(n-\delta)})(a^mb^n, t) = ((b, 1) - (1, 1)) + \\
+ \sum_{k=0}^{-m_1-1} &\left[ \left( a^{k\alpha}b^{k\beta}, t \right) - \left( a^{k\alpha}b^{1+k\beta}, t \right) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \left[ \left( a^{-k\alpha}b^{-1-k\beta}, t \right) - \left( a^{-k\alpha}b^{-k\beta}, t \right) \right] \tag{15}\end{align*}\]

and

\[\begin{align*}
((1, 1) - (a, 1)) &+ \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} (h_{(m-\alpha)(n-\beta)} - h_{mn})(a^mb^n, t) = ((1, 1) - (a, 1)) + \\
+ \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} &\left[ \left( a^{1+k\gamma}b^{1+k\delta}, t \right) - \left( a^{1+k\gamma}b^{1+k\delta}, t \right) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{-n_2} \left[ \left( a^{-k\gamma}b^{-1-k\delta}, t \right) - \left( a^{-k\gamma}b^{-1-k\delta}, t \right) \right]. \tag{16}\end{align*}\]

Once again we construct a directed graph with the points of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ as vertices. Each vertex $(m, n)$ is the origin of two edges, one going to $(m - \alpha, n - \beta)$ and another going to $(m + \gamma, n + \delta)$. On the edge with origin at $(m, n)$ and going to $(m - \alpha, n - \beta)$, we put a label with the value of $h_{(m-\alpha)(n-\beta)} - h_{mn}$, and on the edge with origin at $(m - \gamma, n - \delta)$ and going to $(m, n)$, we put a label with the value of $h_{mn} - h_{(m-\gamma)(n-\delta)}$. Those values are available to us and are given by the equations \[(15)\] and \[(16)\]. Our task then consists in putting a label with the value of $h_{mn}$ on each vertex $(m, n)$ in such a way that it is consistent with the labels of the edges of the graph. In order to do so, it is more convenient to draw the graph with horizontal and vertical edges, like we did before, which can be done applying the linear map $T: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by

\[ T(m,n) = \theta^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = (-m_1m - m_2n, -n_1m - n_2n). \]

to the vertices of our graph. Then, in the case we are considering, our graph looks like this:
In the picture above, we did not draw edges with null labels. From the graph above, define the sets $I_1$, $J_1$, $I_2$, $J_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$I_1 = [-m_2, -m_1 - m_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z},$$
$$J_1 = [0, -n_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z},$$
$$I_2 = [-m_1 - m_2, -m_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z},$$
$$J_2 = [-n_1, -1] \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

If we put the label 1 on the vertices of $I_1 \times J_1$, the label $-1$ on the vertices $(m, n) \in I_2 \times J_2$ and the label 0 otherwise, then those labels will be consistent with the ones on the edges. Applying now the map $T^{-1}$, we obtain

$$E = -(1, 1) + \sum_{(m,n) \in I_1 \times J_1} (a^{m+\gamma n} b^{\delta m+\delta n}, t) - \sum_{(m,n) \in I_2 \times J_2} (a^{m+\gamma n} b^{\delta m+\delta n}, t).$$

The same construction can be done for all the other cases, and in all of them the element $E$ is given by (8), for varying sets $I_1$, $J_1$, $I_2$, $J_2$. A complete description of those sets is given in Table I. Now that we have computed all the maps in the diagram (10), Theorem 1 gives the free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Given the previous theorem, we now proceed to compute the cohomology groups $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $p$ prime.
Theorem 3 The cohomology groups $H^i(G, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$-module, are given by

$$
H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z},
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z})^{1-\text{rank}(\theta-I)},
$$

$$
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if rank}(\theta-I) = 0, \\
\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(\beta, \gamma)} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if rank}(\theta-I) = 1 \text{ and } \det \theta = 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(\beta, \gamma, \zeta)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if rank}(\theta-I) = 1 \text{ and } \det \theta = -1, \\
\mathbb{Z}_{c_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{c_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if rank}(\theta-I) = 2 \text{ and } \det \theta = 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}_{c_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{c_2}, & \text{if rank}(\theta-I) = 2 \text{ and } \det \theta = -1,
\end{cases}
$$

$$
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } \det \theta = 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{if } \det \theta = -1,
\end{cases}
$$

$H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$, if $n \geq 4$.

The positive integers $c_1$ and $c_2$ are such that $c_1 | c_2$, $c_1 c_2 = |\det(\theta-I)|$.

Proof: Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_G(\quad, \mathbb{Z})$ to the resolution given in Theorem 2 we get

A quick computation shows that $\partial_1^* = 0$ and $H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, with a generator being given by $[x^*]$. If $\varepsilon: \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ represents the augmentation map, then the elements $A, B, C$ and $D$ of Theorem 2 given by the equations (\ref{eq:3}), (\ref{eq:4}), (\ref{eq:5}) and (\ref{eq:6}) are such that $\varepsilon(A) = 1 + m_1, \varepsilon(B) = n_1, \varepsilon(C) = m_2$ and $\varepsilon(D) = 1 + n_2$.

Hence the matrix of $\partial_2^* : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ relative to the dual bases of $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ and $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ is

$$
[\partial_2^*] = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + m_1 & n_1 & 0 \\
m_2 & 1 + n_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Then $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \ker \partial_2^*/\text{im} \partial_1^* \cong (\mathbb{Z})^{3-\text{rank}(\partial_2^*)} = (\mathbb{Z})^{3-\text{rank}(\theta-I)}$, since $\text{rank}(\theta-I) = \text{rank}(I - \theta^{-1})$ and $I - \theta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & 1 + n_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

We can also exhibit explicit generators for $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z})$: if $\text{rank}(\theta-I) = 0$, then $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle [y_1^*], [y_2^*], [y_3^*] \rangle$. If $\text{rank}(\theta-I) = 1$, then one of the generators of $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is $[y_3^*]$, while the other generator is obtained in the following way: if $(1 + m_1) = n_1 = 0$, then the second generator of $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$
- \frac{1 + n_2}{\gcd(m_2, 1 + n_2)} y_1^* + \frac{m_2}{\gcd(m_2, 1 + n_2)} y_2^*,
$$

and if $(1 + m_1) \neq 0$ or $n_1 \neq 0$ we can take

$$
\frac{n_1}{\gcd(1 + m_1, n_1)} y_1^* + \frac{1 + m_1}{\gcd(1 + m_1, n_1)} y_2^*
$$

as the second generator of $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

The matrix of $\partial_3^*$ relative to the dual bases of $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ and $\{w\}$ is

$$
[\partial_3^*] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & (-1 + \det \theta) \end{bmatrix},
$$

since the element $E$ given by equation (\ref{eq:7}) is such that $\varepsilon(E) = -1 + |I_1 \times J_1| - |I_2 \times J_2|$ and the sets $I_1$, $J_1$, $I_2$ and $J_2$ always satisfy (see Table 3)

$$
|\langle I_1 \times J_1 \rangle| - |\langle I_2 \times J_2 \rangle| = \det \theta = \pm 1.
$$
This implies
\[ H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } \det \theta = 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{if } \det \theta = -1, \end{cases} \]
with \([w^*]\) a generator for \(H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})\). Finally we proceed to the computation of \(H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})\). If \(\det \theta = 1\), then \(z^*_1 \in \ker \partial^*_3\) and
\[ H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{(z^*_1) \oplus (z^*_2)}{\im \partial^*_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \]
whereas if \(\det \theta = -1\) we have
\[ H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{(z^*_1) \oplus (z^*_2)}{\im \partial^*_2}. \]

In both cases, the group structure of \(\frac{(z^*_1) \oplus (z^*_2)}{\im \partial^*_2}\) can be obtained calculating the Smith normal form of \((I - \theta^{-1})\). If \(\rank(I - \theta^{-1}) = 0\), then \(\frac{(z^*_1) \oplus (z^*_2)}{\im \partial^*_2} = \langle [z^*_1] \rangle \oplus \{[z^*_2]\} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\). If \(\rank(I - \theta^{-1}) = 1\), then \((I - \theta^{-1})\) is a non-zero matrix that can be written as
\[
\begin{pmatrix} r & (p/q)r \\ s & (p/q)s \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} (p/q)r & r \\ (p/q)s & s \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \(r, s, p\) and \(q\) are integers such that \(q \neq 0\) and \(\gcd(p, q) = 1\). Since both cases are similar, we analyze the first one. Writing \(r = qr'\) and \(s = qs'\), we have \(I - \theta^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} qr' & pr' \\ qs' & ps' \end{pmatrix}\). If \(k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\) are such that \(pk + q\ell = 1\), then
\[
(I - \theta^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \ell & -p \\ k & q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r' & 0 \\ s' & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
and the Smith normal form of \((I - \theta^{-1})\) is
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \gcd(r', s') & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(r', s')} \oplus \mathbb{Z}. \]

Now, if \(p \neq 0\), \(\gcd(r', s') = \gcd(pr', qs') = \gcd(m_2, n_1) = \gcd(\beta, \gamma)\). If \(p = 0\) and \(\det \theta = 1\), then \(\alpha = \delta = 1\) and \(\gamma = 0\), which implies \(r' = 0\) and \(\gcd(r', s') = \gcd(0, \beta) = \beta = \gcd(\beta, \gamma)\). And, if \(p = 0\) and \(\det \theta = -1\), then \(\alpha = -1, \delta = 1, \gamma = 0\) and \(r' = 2\) (for in this case we take \(q = 1\)), so \(\gcd(r', s') = \gcd(\beta, 2) = \gcd(\beta, \gamma, 2)\). When \(\rank(I - \theta^{-1}) = 1\) and \(\det \theta = -1\), we make the extra observation that \(\gcd(r', s') \in \{1, 2\}\). This can be easily seen, as in this case we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} qr' & pr' \\ qs' & ps' \end{pmatrix} = I - \theta^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & 1 + n_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \delta & -\gamma \\ \beta & 1 + \alpha \end{pmatrix},
\]
and \(\det(I - \theta^{-1}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha + \delta = 0 \Leftrightarrow (ps' - 1) + (qr' - 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow ps' + qr' - 2 = \gcd(r', s') \mid 2\). Hence, when \(\det \theta = -1\) and \(\rank(\theta - I) = 1\), we can always write \(\gcd(r', s') = \gcd(\beta, \gamma, 2)\).

Finally, if \(\rank(I - \theta^{-1}) = 2\), the Smith normal form of \((I - \theta^{-1})\) is a matrix \(\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}\), with \(c_1, c_2 > 0\), \(c_1 \mid c_2\) and \(c_1c_2 = |\det(I - \theta^{-1})| = |\det(\theta - I)|\).

\[ \blacksquare \]

The calculations of the groups \(H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)\) and \(H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)\) for and odd prime \(p\) are now simple, as the matrices of \(\partial^*_2\) and \(\partial^*_3\) are obtained from the case of \(\mathbb{Z}\) coefficients by reducing them mod 2 and mod \(p\); for \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) coefficients, we observe that \(\partial^*_2 = 0\), while for \(\mathbb{Z}_p\) coefficients we observe that \(\partial^*_3 = 0\) if \(\det \theta = 1\) and \(\partial^*_3\) is a bijection if \(\det \theta = -1\). We then get the following two theorems.

**Theorem 4** The cohomology groups \(H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)\) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \cong \mathbb{Z}_2, \\
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\theta - I)}, \\
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\theta - I)}, \\
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \cong \mathbb{Z}_2, \\
H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \cong 0, \text{ if } n \geq 4.
\end{align*}
\]
Theorem 5 Let $p$ be an odd prime. The cohomology groups $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the trivial $G$-module, are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \cong \mathbb{Z}_p, \\
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{3\text{-rank} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}(\theta - 1), \\
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \cong \begin{cases} \\
(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{3\text{-rank} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}(\theta - 1), & \text{if } \det \theta = 1, \\
(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{2\text{-rank} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}(\theta - 1), & \text{if } \det \theta = -1,
\end{cases} \\
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \cong \begin{cases} \\
\mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{if } \det \theta = 1, \\
0, & \text{if } \det \theta = -1,
\end{cases} \\
H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \cong 0, \text{ if } n \geq 4.
\end{align*}
\]

3 Diagonal approximation and the cup product

In order to compute the cup product in the cohomology groups $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$, $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we seek a diagonal approximation $\Delta : P \to (P \otimes P)$ for the free resolution $P$ given in Theorem 2. In [5], we find the following two propositions, which can help us determine $\Delta$.

Proposition 1 For a group $G$, let

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow C_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
\]

be a finitely generated free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $G$, that is, each $C_n$ is finitely generated as a $G$-module. If $s$ is a contracting homotopy for the resolution $C$, then a contracting homotopy $\tilde{s}$ for the free resolution $C \otimes C$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $G$ is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{s}_{-1} : \mathbb{Z} & \to C_0 \otimes C_0 \\
\tilde{s}_{-1}(1) & = s_{-1}(1) \otimes s_{-1}(1), \\
\tilde{s}_n : (C \otimes C)_n & \to (C \otimes C)_{n+1} \\
\tilde{s}_n(u_i \otimes v_{n-i}) & = s_i(u_i) \otimes v_{n-i} + s_{-1}(u_i) \otimes s_{n-i}(v_{n-i}), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where $s_{-1} : C_0 \to C_0$ is extended to $s_{-1} \varepsilon = \{(s_{-1})_n : C_n \to C_n\}$ in such a way that $(s_{-1})_n = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2 For a group $G$, let

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow C_n \stackrel{d_n}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \longrightarrow C_1 \stackrel{d_1}{\longrightarrow} C_0 \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
\]

be a finitely generated free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $G$ (i.e., each $C_n$ is a finitely generated free $G$-module), and let $s$ be a contracting homotopy for this resolution $C$. If $\tilde{s}$ is the contracting homotopy for the resolution $C \otimes C$ given by Proposition 1, then a diagonal approximation $\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$ can be defined in the following way: for each $n \geq 0$, the map $\Delta_n : C_n \to (C \otimes C)_n$ is given in each generator $\rho$ of $C_n$ by

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_0 & = s_{-1} \varepsilon \otimes s_{-1} \varepsilon, \\
\Delta_n(\rho) & = \tilde{s}_{n-1} \Delta_{n-1} d_n(\rho), \quad \text{if } n \geq 1.
\end{align*}
\]

The two above propositions tell us that if we can manage to find a contracting homotopy for the resolution $P$ given in Theorem 2, then we can construct a diagonal approximation $\Delta$ and then proceed to calculate the cup product in the cohomology ring. The maps $s_{-1} : \mathbb{Z} \to P_0$ and $s_0 : P_0 \to P_1$ are easy to define: we take $s_{-1}(1) = x$ and $s_0(a^m b^k t^h x) = \frac{\partial(a^m, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} y_1 + (a^m, 1) \frac{\partial(b^k, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} y_2 + (a^m b^k, 1) \frac{\partial(t^h, 1)}{\partial(t, 1)} y_3$, where the partial derivatives are the Fox derivatives, and it is immediate to check that $\varepsilon s_{-1} = \text{id} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\partial_1 s_0 + s_{-1} \varepsilon = \text{id} P_0$. As to the maps $s_1$ and $s_2$, we don’t need their full description to compute the cup
product in the cohomology ring. In fact, we don’t need $s_2$ at all, as we shall see now: having defined the maps $s_{-1}$ and $s_0$, we use Propositions 1 and 2 to compute $\Delta_0$ and $\Delta_1$. We get

$$\Delta_0: P_0 \to P_0 \otimes P_0$$

$$\Delta_0(x) = x \otimes x,$$

$$\Delta_1: P_1 \to (P_1 \otimes P_0) \oplus (P_0 \otimes P_1)$$

$$\Delta_1(y_1) = y_1 \otimes (a, 1)x + x \otimes y_1,$$

$$\Delta_1(y_2) = y_2 \otimes (b, 1)x + x \otimes y_2,$$

$$\Delta_1(y_3) = y_3 \otimes (1, t)x + x \otimes y_3.$$

Let $\pi_{ij}: (P \otimes P)_{i+j} \to P_i \otimes P_j$ denote the projection and $\Delta_{ij} = \pi_{ij} \circ \Delta_{i+j}: P_{i+j} \to P_i \otimes P_j$. We observe that, for the computation of $H^i(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^j(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^j(\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z})$, we need to know only the maps $\Delta_{11}$: $P_2 \to P_1 \otimes P_1$, and the computation of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^3(\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z})$ can be done once we have $\Delta_{12}$: $P_3 \to P_1 \otimes P_2$.

From the resolution of Theorem 2 and Propositions 1 and 2, we can then verify that the maps $\Delta_{11}$ and $\Delta_{12}$ can be calculated if we know how to compute $s_1$ for the elements of the following list:

$$y_3,$$

$$(a, 1)y_3,$$

$$(b, 1)y_3,$$

$$(a^m b^n, 1)y_1,$$

$$(a^m b^n, 1)y_2,$$

$$(a^m b^n, t)y_1,$$

$$(a^m b^n, t)y_2.$$

Before we compute $s_1$ for the elements of this list, we make one more observation that will be useful later: if $M$ and $N$ are trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules, $g \in \mathbb{Z}G$, $m \in M$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(M, N)$, then

$$f(gm) = g \cdot f(m) = \varepsilon(g) \cdot f(m),$$

where $\varepsilon: \mathbb{Z}G \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the augmentation map.

**Lemma 2** Let

$$0 \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} P_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{s_0} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

be the free resolution of Theorem 2. There is a contracting homotopy $s$ for the resolution $P$ such that

$$s_{-1}(1) = x,$$

$$s_0((a^m b^n, t^k)x) = \frac{\partial(a^m, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)}y_1 + (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)}y_2 + (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(1, t^k)}{\partial(1, y)}y_3,$$

$$s_1((a^m b^n, 1)y_1) = -(a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)}y_3,$$

$$s_1((a^m b^n, 1)y_2) = 0,$$

$$s_1((a, 1)y_3) = 0,$$

$$s_1((b, 1)y_3) = 0,$$

$$s_1((a^m b^n, t)y_1) = -(a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^m, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)}y_1 - (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)}y_2 +$$

$$+ \left(- (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(a^m, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} \frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} + \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{uv}(a^u b^v, t) \right)z_3,$$

$$s_1((a^m b^n, t)y_2) = -(a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^m, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)}y_1 - (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)}y_2 +$$

$$+ \left(- (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(a^m, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} \frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} + \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{uv}(a^u b^v, t) \right)z_3,$$
where the integers $h_{uv} \in q_{uv}$ satisfy

$$
\sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{uv} = \frac{\alpha \beta}{2} (\gamma + \delta - \det \theta),
$$

$$
\sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{uv} = \frac{\gamma \delta}{2} (\alpha + \beta - \det \theta).
$$

Proof: We’ve already defined the maps $s_{-1}$ and $s_0$. From $\partial_2 s_1 + s_0 \partial_1 = \text{id}_{P_1}$, it is trivial to verify that we can take $s_1(y_3) = s_1((a,1)y_3) = s_1((b,y)_{y_3}) = 0$. It is also easy to see that we can define $s_1((a^m b^n, 1)y_1) = -(a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} z_3$ and $s_1((a^m b^n, 1)y_2) = 0$.

Let us now write $s_1((a^m b^n, t)_{y_1}) = k_1^{m,n} z_1 + k_2^{m,n} z_2 + k_3^{m,n} z_3$, where $k_1^{m,n}, k_2^{m,n}, k_3^{m,n} \in \mathbb{Z}G$. Substituting in $\partial_2(s_1((a^m b^n, t)_{y_1}) = s_0(\partial_1((a^m b^n, t)_{y_1})) = (a^m b^n, t)_{y_1}$, we get

$$
k_1^{m,n} A + k_2^{m,n} C + k_3^{m,n} [(1, 1) - (b, 1)] = (a^m b^n, t) - (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)},
$$

$$
k_1^{m,n} B + k_2^{m,n} D + k_3^{m,n} [(a, 1) - (1, 1)] = (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} - (a^m + \alpha, 1)\frac{\partial(b^{n+\beta}, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)},
$$

$$
k_1^{m,n} [(a, 1) - (1, 1)] + k_2^{m,n} [(b, 1) - (1, 1)] = (a^m b^n, 1) - (a^m + \alpha b^n + \beta, 1).
$$

The last equation above is satisfied for $k_1^{m,n} = -(a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)}$ and $k_2^{m,n} = -(a^m + \alpha b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)}$.

We must then find $k_3^{m,n}$ such that

$$
k_3^{m,n} [(1, 1) - (b, 1)] = (a^m b^n, t) - (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} - k_1^{m,n} A - k_2^{m,n} C
$$

$$
= (a^m b^n, t) - (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} + (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} A + (a^m + \alpha b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} C
$$

and

$$
k_3^{m,n} [(a, 1) - (1, 1)] = (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} - (a^m + \alpha, 1)\frac{\partial(b^{n+\beta}, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} - k_1^{m,n} B - k_2^{m,n} C
$$

$$
= (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} - (a^m + \alpha, 1)\frac{\partial(b^{n+\beta}, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} + (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} B +
$$

$$
+ (a^m + \alpha b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} D.
$$

Now, if

$$
k_3^{m,n} = \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{uv}(a^u b^v, 1) + \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{uv}(a^u b^v, t), \quad g_{uv}, h_{uv} \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

then the equations 23 and 24 are written as

$$
\sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} (g_{uv} - g_{u(v-1)})(a^u b^v, 1) = (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} - (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)},
$$

$$
\sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} (g_{(u-1)v} - g_{uv})(a^u b^v, 1) = (a^m, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} - (a^m + \alpha, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)},
$$

and

$$
\sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} (h_{uv} - h_{u(v-\gamma)})(a^u b^v, t) = (a^m b^n, t) + (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} (A - (1, 1)) +
$$

$$
+ (a^m + \alpha b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} C.
$$

$$
\sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} (h_{(u-\alpha)(v-\beta)} - h_{uv})(a^u b^v, t) = (a^m b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)} B + (a^m + \alpha b^n, 1)\frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} (D - (1, 1)).
$$
We can solve (24) and (26) in a way that is similar to the one we used to find the element \( E \) given by equation (3). We get

\[
\sum_{u,v \in Z} g_{uv}(a^m b^n, 1) = -(a^m, 1) \frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)} \frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)},
\]

(29)

In order to solve (27) and (28), we first observe that explicit expressions for the elements

\[
A, B, C, D, \frac{\partial(a^n, 1)}{\partial(b, 1)}, \frac{\partial(b^n, 1)}{\partial(a, 1)}
\]

depend on the signs of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) and \( \det \theta \), so we have many cases to consider. As all of them are similar, we show how to solve (27) and (28) when \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( \delta \) are positive and \( \det \theta = 1 \). In this case we have

\[
A - (1, 1) = - \sum_{k=0}^{\delta-1} (a^{k \alpha} b^{k \beta}, t),
\]

\[
B = \sum_{k=0}^{\beta-1} (a^{1+k \gamma} b^{k \delta}, t),
\]

\[
C = \sum_{k=1}^\gamma (a^{1-k \alpha} b^{1-k \beta}, t),
\]

\[
D - (1, 1) = - \sum_{k=1}^\alpha (a^{1-k \gamma} b^{1-k \delta}, t),
\]

and the equations (27) and (28) can be written as

\[
\sum_{u,v \in Z} (h_{uv} - h_{(u-\gamma)(v-\delta)})(a^m b^n, t) = (a^m b^n, 1) \left[ (1, t) - \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\delta-1} (a^{j+k \alpha} b^{k \beta}, t) + \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^\gamma (a^{\alpha-k \alpha} b^{j-k \beta}, t) \right],
\]

(30)

and

\[
\sum_{u,v \in Z} (h_{(u-\alpha)(v-\beta)} - h_{uv})(a^m b^n, t) = (a^m b^n, 1) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta-1} (a^{1+j+k \gamma} b^{k \delta}, t) - \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^\gamma (a^{-\gamma} b^{1+j-k \delta}, t) \right].
\]

(31)

Just like we did to compute the value of the variable \( E \) in the proof of Theorem 2 once we define a directed graph, with the set \( Z \times Z \) as vertices and edges going from each \((m,n)\) to \((m-\alpha,n-\beta)\) and \((m+\gamma,n+\delta)\). On the edge from \((u-\gamma,v-\delta)\) to \((u,v)\), we put a label with the value of \( h_{uv} - h_{(u-\gamma)(v-\delta)} \), which is given by equation (30) and on the edge from \((u,v)\) to \((u-\alpha,v-\beta)\), we put a label with the value of \( h_{(u-\alpha)(v-\beta)} - h_{uv} \), which is given by (31). In order to draw the graph, we apply again the map

\[
T: Z \times Z \to Z \times Z \text{ defined by }
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = T(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix},
\]

and we call the new coordinates, obtained after applying \( T \), \( u \) and \( v \).

In the case we are analyzing, let’s take a look at the right side of (31). As we are interested in calculating the cup product with trivial coefficients \( Z, Z_2 \) and \( Z_p \), all we need is the value of \( \varepsilon(k^{m,n}) = \sum g_{uv} + \sum h_{uv} \), where \( \varepsilon: ZG \to Z \) is the augmentation map. Since (29) already gives us \( \sum g_{uv} \), all we need is \( \sum h_{uv} \), and for that we can drop the term \( (a^m b^n, 1) \) in the right side of (31) and focus on

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta-1} (a^{1+j+k \gamma} b^{k \delta}, t) - \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^\gamma (a^{\alpha-k \alpha} b^{1+j-k \delta}, t).
\]

The sum \( \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta-1} (a^{1+j+k \gamma} b^{k \delta}, t) \) provides us with edges with label 1. For a fixed value of \( j \in \{0, \ldots, \alpha-1\} \), we get the following “block” of edges (after applying \( T \)):
Now, as we let $j$ vary between 0 and $\alpha - 1$, other blocks of edges with label 1 appear, and are arranged according to the following pattern, where each white rectangle represents a block of edges for a fixed value of $j$:

If we do the same with the sum $-\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \gamma_k b^{1+k-j} \delta$, which will give us edges labeled with $-1$, we get a picture of the horizontal edges of our graph (where the gray rectangles represent the edges of label $-1$):

In order to label each vertex in a way that is consistent with the labels on the edges, it is enough to put the label 1 in every vertex between a gray block and a white one, and put the label 0 on every other
vertex. Since we can compute the exact location of the blocks in our graph, we can then write

\[ \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{uv} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum \text{of the u-coordinates of the origins of vertices with label 1} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum \text{of the u-coordinates of the origins of vertices with label -1} \right) = \frac{(\alpha \delta + \delta) \alpha \beta}{2} - \frac{(\alpha \delta - \gamma + 1) \alpha \beta}{2} = \frac{\alpha \beta}{2} (\gamma + \delta - 1). \]

One thing is missing: we need to check that our labels on the vertices are also consistent with equation (30), but fortunately they are.

If we now analyze all the remaining cases, we get

\[ \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{uv} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha \beta}{2} (\gamma + \delta - 1), & \text{if } \det \theta = 1, \\ \frac{\alpha \beta}{2} (\gamma + \delta + 1), & \text{if } \det \theta = -1. \end{cases} \]

Also, the computation of \( s_1((a^n b^n, t) y_2) \) is analogous to that of \( s_1((a^n b^n, t) y_1) \), and what we get is exactly what is in the statement of this lemma.

**Remark:** If we are interested in computing the cup product with non trivial coefficients, then we can still construct the graphs described in the lemma for a given action \( \theta \) and explicitly calculate \( s_1((a^n b^n, t) y_1) \) and \( s_1((a^n b^n, t) y_2) \).

Now we proceed to calculate the cup product \( H^p(G, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^q(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \). Just to simplify the notation, let’s write the elements \( A, B, C, D \) and \( E \in \mathbb{Z}G \) as

\[ A = \sum \pm A_k, \quad B = \sum \pm B_k, \quad C = \sum \pm C_k, \quad D = \sum \pm D_k, \quad E = \sum \pm E_k. \]

Then, using Propositions [1] and [2] we can write

\[
\Delta_2(z_1) = \bar{s}_1 \tilde{\Delta}_1 \varphi_2 (z_1) = \bar{s}_1 \left( A \Delta_1(y_1) + B \Delta_1(y_2) + [(a, 1) - (1, 1)] \Delta_1(y_3) \right) \\
= \bar{s}_1 \left( A [y_1 \otimes (a, 1)x + x \otimes y_1] + B [y_2 \otimes (b, 1)x + x \otimes y_2] + \right. \\
\left. + (a, 1)y_3 \otimes (a, t)x + (a, 1)x \otimes (a, 1)y_3 - y_3 \otimes (1, t)x - x \otimes y_3 \right), \\
\Delta_2(z_2) = \bar{s}_1 \tilde{\Delta}_1 \varphi_2 (z_2) = \bar{s}_1 \left( C \Delta_1(y_1) + D \Delta_1(y_2) + [(b, 1) - (1, 1)] \Delta_1(y_3) \right) \\
= \bar{s}_1 \left( C [y_1 \otimes (a, 1)x + x \otimes y_1] + D [y_2 \otimes (b, 1)x + x \otimes y_2] + \right. \\
\left. + (b, 1)y_3 \otimes (b, t)x + (b, 1)x \otimes (b, 1)y_3 - y_3 \otimes (1, t)x - x \otimes y_3 \right), \\
\Delta_2(z_3) = \bar{s}_1 \tilde{\Delta}_1 \varphi_2 (z_3) = \bar{s}_1 \left( \Delta_1(y_1) - (b, 1) \Delta_1(y_1) + (a, 1) \Delta_1(y_2) - \Delta_1(y_2) \right) \\
= \bar{s}_1 [y_1 \otimes (a, 1)x + x \otimes y_1 - s_1((b, 1)y_1) \otimes (ab, 1)x - s_0((b, 1)x) \otimes (b, 1)y_1 \right. \\
\left. - x \otimes s_1((b, 1)y_1) + s_1((a, 1)y_2) \otimes (ab, 1)x + s_0((a, 1)x) \otimes (a, 1)y_2 \right. \\
\left. + x \otimes s_1((a, 1)y_2) - s_1(y_2) \otimes (b, 1)x - x \otimes s_1(y_2), \right)
\]

and we get

\[
\Delta_{11}(z_1) = \pi_{11}(\Delta_2(z_1)) = \pi_{11} \circ \bar{s}_1 \left( A(x \otimes y_1) + B(x \otimes y_2) + (a, 1)x \otimes (a, 1)y_3 - x \otimes y_3 \right) \\
= \pm \sum s_0(A_k x) \otimes A_k y_1 \pm \sum s_0(B_k x) \otimes B_k y_2 + y_1 \otimes (a, 1)y_3, \\
\Delta_{11}(z_2) = \pi_{11}(\Delta_2(z_2)) = \pi_{11} \circ \bar{s}_1 \left( C(x \otimes y_1) + D(x \otimes y_2) + (b, 1)x \otimes (b, 1)y_3 - x \otimes y_3 \right) \\
= \pm \sum s_0(C_k x) \otimes C_k y_1 \pm \sum s_0(D_k x) \otimes D_k y_2 + y_2 \otimes (b, 1)y_3, \\
\Delta_{11}(z_3) = \pi_{11}(\Delta_2(z_3)) = -s_0((b, 1)x) \otimes (b, 1)y_1 + s_0((a, 1)x) \otimes (a, 1)y_2 \\
= y_1 \otimes (a, 1)y_2 - y_2 \otimes (b, 1)y_1.
\]
Now, if \( u, v \in \text{Hom}_{2G}(P_1, \mathbb{Z}) \), equation (33) implies that the product \([u] \sim [v] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})\) is represented by a map \((u \sim v) \in \text{Hom}_{2G}(P_2, \mathbb{Z})\) such that

\[
(u \sim v)(z_1) = (u \times v)\Delta_{11}(z_1) = \\
= \left( -\frac{am_1(m_1 + 1)}{2} \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(y_1) + \left( -\frac{\beta m_1(m_1 + 1)}{2} \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(y_1) + m_1 u(y_3) \otimes v(y_1) + \\
+ \left( n_1 + \frac{\gamma n_1(n_1 - 1)}{2} \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(y_2) + \left( \delta n_1(n_1 - 1) \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(y_2) + n_1 u(y_3) \otimes v(y_2) + \\
+ u(y_1) \otimes v(y_3),
\]

\[
(u \sim v)(z_2) = (u \times v)\Delta_{11}(z_2) = \\
= \left( -\frac{am_2(m_2 + 1)}{2} \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(y_1) + \left( -\frac{\beta m_2(m_2 + 1)}{2} \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(y_1) + m_2 u(y_3) \otimes v(y_1) + \\
+ \left( n_2 + \frac{\gamma n_2(n_2 - 1)}{2} \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(y_2) + \left( \delta n_2(n_2 - 1) \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(y_2) + n_2 u(y_3) \otimes v(y_2) + \\
+ u(y_2) \otimes v(y_3),
\]

\[
(u \sim v)(z_3) = (u \times v) \Delta_{12}(z_3) = u(y_1) \otimes v(y_2) - u(y_2) \otimes v(y_1).
\]

Even though the expressions for \( A, B, C, \) and \( D \) depend on the signs of \( m_1, n_1, m_2 \) and \( n_2 \), the above equations hold in every case.

Using once again Propositions 1 and 2, we also write

\[
\Delta_3(w) = \tilde{s}_2 \Delta_2 \varphi_1(w) = \tilde{s}_2 \Delta_2 \left( [(1, 1) - (b, 1)]z_1 + [(a, 1) - (1, 1)]z_2 + E_{z_3} \right) = \\
\tilde{s}_2 \left( [(1, 1) - (b, 1)]\Delta_2(z_1) + [(a, 1) - (1, 1)]\Delta_2(z_2) + E\Delta_2(z_3) \right).
\]

A term belonging to \( P_1 \otimes P_2 \) in \( \Delta_3(w) \) arises when we evaluate \( \tilde{s}_2 \) at an element of \( P_0 \otimes P_2 \) (this follows from Proposition 1). Hence we have \( \Delta_{12}(w) = \pi_{12} \circ \Delta_3(w) = \pi_{12} \circ \tilde{s}_2 \circ \Delta_{02} \circ \partial_3(w) \), and looking at (32), we get

\[
\Delta_{12}(w) = \pi_{12} \circ \tilde{s}_2 (\Delta_{02}(z_1) - (b, 1) \Delta_{02}(z_1) + (a, 1) \Delta_{02}(z_2) - \Delta_{02}(z_2) + E\Delta_2(z_3)) = \\
= \pm \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} y_1 \otimes (a, 1)s_1(C_k y_1) \pm \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} y_1 \otimes (a, 1)s_1(D_k y_2) \\
\pm \sum_{(m, n) \in I_2 \times I_2} y_2 \otimes (b, 1)s_1(A_k y_1) \pm \sum_{(m, n) \in I_2 \times I_2} y_2 \otimes (b, 1)s_1(B_k y_2) \\
+ \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1} \pm s_0(E_k x) \otimes E_k z_3.
\]

If \( u \in \text{Hom}_{2G}(P_1, \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( v \in \text{Hom}_{2G}(P_2, \mathbb{Z}) \), then \([u] \sim [v] \in H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})\) is represented by a map \((u \sim v) \in \text{Hom}_{2G}(P_1, \mathbb{Z})\) such that \((u \sim v)(w) = (u \times v)\Delta_{12}(w)\). Using the above expression for \( \Delta_{12}(w) \), we can then write

\[
(u \times v) \left( \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1} \pm s_0(E_k x) \otimes E_k z_3 \right) = \\
= \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} (am + \gamma n) u(y_1) \otimes v(z_1) + \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} (bm + \delta n) u(y_2) \otimes v(z_3) \\
+ \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} u(y_3) \otimes v(z_3) \\
= \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} (am + \gamma n) u(y_1) \otimes v(z_1) - \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} (bm + \delta n) u(y_2) \otimes v(z_3) \\
+ \sum_{(m, n) \in I_1 \times I_2} u(y_3) \otimes v(z_3) \\
= \left( \alpha |J_1| \sum_{m \in I_1} m + \gamma |I_1| \sum_{n \in J_1} n - \alpha |J_2| \sum_{m \in I_2} m - \gamma |I_2| \sum_{n \in J_2} n \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(z_3) \\
+ \left( \beta |J_1| \sum_{m \in I_1} m + \delta |I_1| \sum_{n \in J_1} n - \beta |J_2| \sum_{m \in I_2} m - \delta |I_2| \sum_{n \in J_2} n \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(z_3) \\
+ (\det \theta) u(y_3) \otimes v(z_3),
\]
and similar calculations show that

\[(u \times v) \left( \pm \sum y_1 \otimes (a, 1)s_1(C_k y_1) \right) = (-\alpha m_2)u(y_1) \otimes v(z_1) + (-\beta m_2)u(y_1) \otimes v(z_2) + \left( \frac{\alpha \beta m_2}{2} \right) \left( \gamma + \delta + m_2 + 1 - \text{det } \theta \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(z_3), \]

\[(u \times v) \left( \pm \sum y_2 \otimes (a, 1)s_1(D_k y_2) \right) = (-\gamma m_2)u(y_1) \otimes v(z_1) + (-\delta m_2)u(y_1) \otimes v(z_2) + \left( -\gamma n_2 - \frac{\gamma \delta n_2}{2} \right) (-\alpha - \beta - n_2 - 1 + \text{det } \theta) u(y_1) \otimes v(z_3), \]

\[(u \times v) \left( \pm \sum y_2 \otimes (b, 1)s_1(A_k y_1) \right) = (\alpha m_1)u(y_2) \otimes v(z_1) + (\beta m_1)u(y_2) \otimes v(z_2) + \left( -\alpha \beta m_1 \right) \left( \gamma + \delta + m_1 + 1 - \text{det } \theta \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(z_3), \]

\[(u \times v) \left( \pm \sum y_2 \otimes (b, 1)s_1(B_k y_2) \right) = (\gamma n_1)u(y_2) \otimes v(z_1) + (\delta n_1)u(y_2) \otimes v(z_2) + \left( \frac{\gamma \delta n_1}{2} \right) (-\alpha - \beta - n_1 - 1 + \text{det } \theta) u(y_2) \otimes v(z_3). \]

Substituting those results in (36), we are left with

\[
(u \bowtie v)(w) = (u \times v)\Delta_{12}(w) = u(y_1) \otimes v(z_2) - u(y_2) \otimes v(z_1) + \left( \frac{\alpha \beta m_2}{2} \right) \left( \gamma + \delta + m_2 + 1 - \text{det } \theta \right) - \gamma n_2 - \frac{\gamma \delta n_2}{2} (-\alpha - \beta - n_2 - 1 + \text{det } \theta) +
\]

\[
\alpha |J_1| \sum_{m \in J_1} m + |J_1| \sum_{n \in J_2} n - \alpha |J_1| \sum_{m \in J_2} m + \gamma |I_1| \sum_{n \in J_2} n \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(z_3) +
\]

\[
\left( -\alpha \beta m_1 \right) \left( \gamma + \delta + m_1 + 1 - \text{det } \theta \right) + \frac{\gamma \delta m_1}{2} (-\alpha - \beta + n_1 - 1 + \text{det } \theta) +
\]

\[
\beta |J_1| \sum_{m \in J_1} m + \delta |J_1| \sum_{n \in J_2} n - \beta |J_1| \sum_{m \in J_2} m - \delta |I_2| \sum_{n \in J_2} n \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(z_3) +
\]

\[
+ (\text{det } \theta)u(y_3) \otimes v(z_3). \quad (37)
\]

The above equation can be simplified: first, we note that

\[
\frac{\alpha \beta m_2}{2} \left( \gamma + \delta + m_2 + 1 - \text{det } \theta \right) - \gamma n_2 - \frac{\gamma \delta n_2}{2} (-\alpha - \beta - n_2 - 1 + \text{det } \theta) =
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } \text{det } \theta = 1 \\
\alpha \gamma (\delta - \beta - 1), & \text{if } \text{det } \theta = -1,
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\frac{-\alpha \beta m_1}{2} \left( \gamma + \delta + m_1 + 1 - \text{det } \theta \right) + \frac{\gamma \delta m_1}{2} (-\alpha - \beta + n_1 - 1 + \text{det } \theta) =
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } \text{det } \theta = 1, \\
\beta \delta (\gamma - \alpha + 1), & \text{if } \text{det } \theta = -1.
\end{cases}
\]

We also have the following:

**Lemma 3** Let \( S : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) be the function defined by

\[
S(x, y) = x|J_1| \sum_{m \in J_1} m + y|I_1| \sum_{n \in J_1} n - x|J_2| \sum_{m \in J_2} m - y|I_2| \sum_{n \in J_2} n.
\]
where the sets $I_1$, $J_1$, $I_2$ and $J_2$ are described in Table I. Then

$$S(\alpha, \gamma) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \alpha - \gamma - \alpha \gamma}{2}, & \text{if } \det \theta = 1, \\ -1 + \alpha + \gamma - \alpha \gamma, & \text{if } \det \theta = -1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$S(\beta, \delta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \beta - \delta + \beta \delta}{2}, & \text{if } \det \theta = 1, \\ -1 + \beta + \delta + \beta \delta, & \text{if } \det \theta = -1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The proof is nothing but a straightforward calculation using the descriptions of the sets $I_1$, $J_1$, $I_2$ and $J_2$ and remembering that

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & n_2 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma} \begin{bmatrix} -\delta & \gamma \\ \beta & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$  

With those two observations, equation (37) can then be written, if $\det \theta = 1$, as

$$(u \sim v)(w) = u(y_1) \otimes v(z_2) - u(y_2) \otimes v(z_1) + \left( \frac{1 - \alpha - \gamma - \alpha \gamma}{2} \right) u(y_1) \otimes v(z_1) + \left( \frac{1 - \beta - \delta + \beta \delta}{2} \right) u(y_2) \otimes v(z_2) + u(y_3) \otimes v(z_3),$$

and, if $\det \theta = -1$, we can write

$$(u \sim v)(w) = u(y_1) \otimes v(z_2) - u(y_2) \otimes v(z_1) + \left[ \alpha \gamma (\delta - \beta - 1) + \left( \frac{-1 + \alpha + \gamma - \alpha \gamma}{2} \right) \right] u(y_1) \otimes v(z_3) + \left[ \beta \delta (\gamma - \alpha + 1) + \left( \frac{-1 + \beta + \delta + \beta \delta}{2} \right) \right] u(y_2) \otimes v(z_3) - u(y_3) \otimes v(z_3).$$

We are now finally in a position where we can compute the products

$$H^p(G, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^q(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{p+q}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{p+q}(G, \mathbb{Z}).$$

**Theorem 6** The cohomology ring $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by:

1. If $\text{rank}(\theta - I) = 0$, then

$$H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3] / \langle \xi_1 = \zeta_2^2 = \zeta_3^2 = 0 \rangle,$$

where $\dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = \dim(\zeta_3) = 1$.

2. If $\text{rank}(\theta - I) = 1$ and $\det \theta = 1$, then

$$H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \xi] / \langle \xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0, \gcd(\beta, \gamma) \xi_1 \xi_2 = 0, \xi_2 \xi_3 = 0, \xi_3 \xi_1 = \xi_1 \xi_2, \xi_1 \xi_3 = 4 \sqrt{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)} (1 - \alpha - \alpha \gamma - \beta \gamma) \xi_1 \xi_2, \xi_2^2 = \xi_3^2 = \xi_3 \xi_3 = 0 \rangle,$$

where $\dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = 1$ and $\dim(\xi_2) = \dim(\xi_3) = 2$. 
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3. If \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 1 \), \( \text{det} \theta = -1 \) and \( \gcd(\beta, \gamma, 2) = 1 \), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi, \eta, \theta, I, \gamma, \delta] / \left( \zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = 0, \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = 2\xi, \xi = 0, \eta = 0, \theta = 0, \right)
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\xi) = 2 \).

4. If \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 1 \), \( \text{det} \theta = -1 \) and \( \gcd(\beta, \gamma, 2) = 2 \), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi, \eta, \theta, I, \gamma, \delta] / \left( \zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = 0, \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = 2\xi, \xi = 0, \eta = 0, \theta = 0, \right)
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\xi) = \dim(\eta) = 2 \). Also, the integers \( k \) and \( \ell \) are such that \( pk + q\ell = 1 \), where \( p \) and \( q \) are relatively prime integers satisfying \( \frac{p}{q} = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \delta} = \frac{1 + \alpha}{\beta} \). Finally, the integers \( r' \), \( s' \) and \( m \) are such that \( ps' + qr' = 2 \), \( m = \frac{2k - s'}{2q} = \frac{r' - 2\ell}{2p} \).

5. If \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 2 \) and \( \text{det} \theta = 1 \), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi, \eta, \theta, I, \gamma, \delta] / \left( \zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = 0, \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = 2\xi, \xi = 0, \eta = 0, \theta = 0, \right)
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\zeta_2) = \dim(\xi) = \dim(\eta) = 2 \). Also, the integers \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are such that \( c_1 \mid c_2 \) and \( c_1 c_2 = \mid \text{det}(\theta - I) \mid \).

6. If \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 2 \) and \( \text{det} \theta = -1 \), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi, \eta, \theta, I, \gamma, \delta] / \left( \zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = 0, \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = 2\xi, \xi = 0, \eta = 0, \theta = 0, \right)
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = 1 \), \( \dim(\zeta_2) = \dim(\xi) = \dim(\eta) = 2 \), \( \dim(\chi) = 3 \). Also, the integers \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are such that \( c_1 \mid c_2 \) and \( c_1 c_2 = \mid \text{det}(\theta - I) \mid \).

**Proof:** First case: if \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 0 \), then
\[
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle [y_1] \rangle \oplus \langle [y_2] \rangle \oplus \langle [y_3] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z},
\]
\[
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle [z_1] \rangle \oplus \langle [z_2] \rangle \oplus \langle [z_3] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z},
\]
\[
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle [w] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}.
\]

and equations (44) and (45) give us the cohomology ring \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \) exactly like in the statement of the theorem, with \( [y_j^2] = \zeta_j \).

Second case: \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 1 \) and \( \text{det} \theta = 1 \). Just like in the proof of Theorem 3 suppose \( 1 + m_1 \neq 0 \) or \( n_1 \neq 0 \) (the case where \( 1 + m_1 = n_1 = 0 \) is analogous). We have
\[
I - \theta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & 1 + n_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} qr' & pr' \\ qs' & ps' \end{bmatrix},
\]
where the integers \( p \) and \( q \) satisfy \( \gcd(p, q) = 1 \) and \( p, q \neq 0 \). The generators of \( H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \) are \([u]\) and \([y_3^2]\), where
\[
u = -\frac{qs'}{\gcd(qr', qs')} y_1 + \frac{qr'}{\gcd(qr', qs')} y_2 = -\frac{s'}{\gcd(r', s')} y_1 + \frac{r'}{\gcd(r', s')} y_2.
\]
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We can say even more: we have $\det(I - \theta^{-1}) = 0 \iff \alpha + \delta = 2$. But $\alpha + \delta = 2 \iff (1 - ps') = 2 \iff qr' + ps' = 0$. Hence $p \mid r'$ and $q \mid s'$. Writing $r' = pr''$ and $s' = qs''$, we then get $pqr'' + pqs'' = 0 \iff s'' = -r''$. We can then write
\[ I - \theta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & 1 + n_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \delta) & \gamma \\ \beta & (1 - \alpha) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} pqr'' & p^2r'' \\ -q^2r'' & -pqr'' \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ (\alpha - 1, \delta) = 2 \]
and also $\gcd(\beta, \gamma) = \gcd(r', s') = |r''|$, so
\[ u = \frac{s'}{\gcd(r', s')} y_1^* + \frac{r'}{\gcd(r', s')} y_2 = qy_1^* + py_2^*. \]
Using equation (34), we obtain
\[ (u \sim u)(z_1) = -\frac{(1 + pqr'')(pqr'' - 1)p^2}{2} + \frac{(q^2r'')(pqr'' - 1)p^2}{2} + \frac{1 - pqr'')(q^2r'' - 1)p^2}{2} \]
\[ (u \sim u)(z_2) = \frac{p^2q(p - q)r''}{2}, \]
\[ (u \sim u)(z_3) = 0. \]

Thus $(u \sim u) = \frac{pq(p - q)r''}{2}(qz_1^* + pz_2^*)$. We know that $H^2(G, Z) \cong Z_{\gcd(\beta, \gamma)} \oplus Z \oplus Z \cong Z_{\alpha''} \oplus Z \oplus Z$.

The generator of one of the $Z$ factors in $H^2(G, Z)$ is $[z_3^*]$. The other two generators are obtained from the Smith normal form of
\[ I - \theta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & 1 + n_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} pqr'' & p^2r'' \\ -q^2r'' & -pqr'' \end{bmatrix}. \]

Let $k$ and $\ell$ be integers such that $pk + q\ell = 1$. We have $\begin{bmatrix} \ell & -p \\ k & q \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \ell & -p \\ k & q \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} q & p \\ -k & \ell \end{bmatrix}, \]
which gives us
\[ (I - \theta^{-1}) \begin{bmatrix} \ell & -p \\ k & q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} pr'' & 0 \\ -q^2r'' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \ell & -p \\ k & q \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} z_1^* \\ z_2^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} qz_1^* + pz_2^* \\ -kz_1^* + \ell z_2^* \end{bmatrix}. \]

Hence the generator of the factor $Z_{\alpha''}$ of $H^2(G, Z)$ is the class of the map $qz_1^* + pz_2^*$, and the generator of the other factor $Z$ of $H^2(G, Z)$ is the class of $-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*$. As $pq(p - q)$ is even, we get
\[ (u \sim u) = \left( \frac{pq(p - q)}{2} \right) r''(qz_1^* + pz_2^*), \]
which means that $[u]^2 = 0$. We also have $[y_3^*]^2 = 0$. Let us compute $[u] \sim [y_3^*]$: using (34), we obtain
\[ (u \sim y_3^*)(z_1) = q, \]
\[ (u \sim y_3^*)(z_2) = p, \]
\[ (u \sim y_3^*)(z_3) = 0, \]
so $[u] \sim [y_3^*] = [qz_1^* + pz_2^*]$. 
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Now, to compute the products $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$, we use (35) that gives us

$$[u] \sim [qz_1^* + pz_2^*] = 0,$$

$$[u] \sim [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] = [w^*],$$

$$[y_2^*] \sim [qz_1^* + pz_2^*] = 0,$$

$$[y_2^*] \sim [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] = 0,$$

$$[y_3^*] \sim [z_3^*] = [w^*].$$

We are then left with the computation of $[u] \sim [z_3^*]$. Equation (35) gives us

$$(u \sim z_3^*)(w) = \left(1 - \alpha - \gamma - \alpha \gamma \right) q + \left(1 - \beta - \delta + \beta \delta \right) p. \quad (41)$$

Assuming that $q \geq 0$, it follows from (35) that

$$r'' = -\frac{\beta}{|\beta|} \gcd(\beta, \gamma) = \frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|} \gcd(\beta, \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad q = \sqrt{-\frac{\beta}{r''}} = \sqrt{-\frac{|\beta|}{\gcd(\beta, \gamma)}}.$$  

We also have

$$p = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta} \iff p = \sqrt{-\frac{|\beta|}{\gcd(\beta, \gamma)}} \cdot \frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta},$$

and if we substitute the values of $p$ and $q$ in (41), we get

$$[u] \sim [z_3^*] = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{|\beta|}{\gcd(\beta, \gamma)}} \left(1 - \alpha - \gamma - \alpha \gamma + \frac{(1 - \beta)(1 - \delta)(1 - \alpha)}{\beta}\right) [w^*]. \quad (42)$$

But $\det \theta = 1$ and $\alpha + \delta = 2$, so $\alpha(2 - \alpha) - \beta \gamma = 1 \Leftrightarrow -\beta \gamma = (\alpha - 1)^2$ and $1 - \delta = \alpha - 1$. Substituting in (42), we are left with

$$[u] \sim [z_3^*] = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{|\beta|}{\gcd(\beta, \gamma)}} (1 - \alpha - \gamma - \beta \gamma)[w^*]. \quad (43)$$

We get the statement defining $\xi_1 = [u], \xi_2 = [y_3^*], \xi_2 = [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*], \xi_3 = [z_3^*]$ and $\chi = [w^*]$. Observe that $\xi_1 = [qz_1^* + pz_2^*]$ is equal to $\xi_1 \sim \xi_2$.

Let us see now what happens if $\operatorname{rank}(\theta - I) = 1$ and $\det \theta = -1$. Again we assume $1 + m_1 \neq 0$ or $n_1 \neq 0$ and write

$$I - \theta^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 + m_1 \\ n_1 \\ 1 + n_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} qr' \\ qs' \\ ps' \end{array} \right],$$

where the integers $p$ and $q$ satisfy $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $p, q \neq 0$. In this case, we have $\det(I - \theta^{-1}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha + \delta = 0$. Hence $(1 + m_1) + (1 + n_2) = (1 + \delta) + (1 + \alpha) = 2 \Leftrightarrow qr' + ps' = 2$, so $\gcd(\beta, \gamma, 2) = \gcd(r', s') \in \{1, 2\}$. We separate the analysis in two subcases.

**Third case:** First we see what happens when $\gcd(\beta, \gamma, 2) = \gcd(r', s') = 1$. If that is the case, then

$$H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = ([u]) \oplus ([y_3^*]) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

$$H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle -kz_1^* + \ell z_2^* \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

$$H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle [w^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$  

where $u = -s'y_1^* + r'y_2^*$ and the integers $k$ and $\ell$ satisfy $pk + q\ell = 1$. Looking at the Smith normal form of $(I - \theta^{-1})$ like we did in the previous case, we get that $[qz_1^* + pz_2^*] = 0$ in $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Using equation (35), we obtain

$$(u \sim u) = \frac{r's'(-r' - s')}{2} (qz_1^* + pz_2^*),$$

that implies $[u]^2 = 0$. We also have $[y_3^*]^2 = 0$ and $(u \sim y_3^*) = -s'z_1^* + r'z_2^*$.  
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As \( pk + q\ell = 1 \Leftrightarrow p(2k) + q(2\ell) = 2 \) and \( ps' + qr' = 2 \), there is an integer \( m \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
s' &= 2k - qm, \\
r' &= 2\ell + pm.
\end{align*}
\]

We know that \( m \) is odd, since \( \gcd(r', s') = 1 \). Hence
\[
[u \sim [y_3] = [-2kz_1^* + 2\ell z_2^*] + [mqz_1^* + mpz_2^*] = 2[-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*].
\]

As to the products \( H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \), we use equation (39) to get that \([y_3] \sim [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] = 0\), and we also have
\[
(u \sim (-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*)) = (r'k - s'\ell)w^* = ((2\ell + pm)k - (2k - qm)\ell)w^* = mw^*,
\]
and, since \( m \) is odd, \([u \sim [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] = [w^*]. \) We get the statement of the theorem in this case by letting \( \zeta_1 = [u], \zeta_2 = [y_3] \) and \( \zeta = [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] \).

**Fourth case:** Let us now analyze the case \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 1 \), \( \det \theta = -1 \) and \( \gcd(\beta, \gamma, 2) = \gcd(r', s') = 2 \). We have
\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [u] \rangle \oplus \langle [y_3] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \\
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*] \rangle \oplus \langle [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \\
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [w^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( u = -\frac{s'}{2}y_3 + \frac{r'}{2}z_2^* \) and the integers \( k \) and \( \ell \) such that \( pk + q\ell = 1 \). Using (34), we get
\[
(u \sim u) = \frac{r' s'(-r' - s')}{8}(qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*).
\]
But \( r' \) and \( s' \) are even, so \( r' s'(-r' - s') \equiv 0 \pmod{16} \), which implies \([u]^2 = 0\). We also have \([y_3]^2 = 0\) and
\[
(u \sim y_3^*) = -\frac{s'}{2}z_1^* + \frac{r'}{2}z_2^*.
\]
There is an integer \( m \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
s' &= 2k - qm, \\
r' &= 2\ell + pm.
\end{align*}
\]
In this case \( m \) is even, \( m = 2m' \), hence
\[
(u \sim y_3^*) = (-k + qm')z_1^* + (\ell + pm')z_2^* = (-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*) + m'(qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*),
\]
from where it follows that \([u \sim [y_3^* = m'(qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*) + [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*], \) and the integer \( m' \) may be even or odd.

We still have \([u \sim [qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*] \] and \([u \sim [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] \) left to compute, since equation (39) gives us at once that \([y_3^*] \sim [qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*] = 0 \) and \([y_3^*] \sim [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] = 0 \). We have
\[
(u \sim (qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*)) = -\frac{s' p - r' q}{2}w^* = -w^*,
\]
so \([u \sim [qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*] = [w^*]. \) Finally,
\[
(u \sim (-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*)) = \frac{k r' - \ell s'}{2}w^*,
\]

hence \([u \sim [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*] = \frac{k r' - \ell s'}{2}[w^*], \) where the integer \( \frac{k r' - \ell s'}{2} \) may be even or odd. We get the statement of the theorem in this case setting \( \zeta_1 = [u], \zeta_2 = [y_3^*], \zeta_1 = [qz_1^* + p\ell z_2^*], \zeta_2 = [-kz_1^* + \ell z_2^*]. \)
This case is similar to the last one. We have \( y \) statement defining \( \zeta \) six.

Sixth case: \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 2 \) e \( \text{det} \theta = 1 \). In this case we have

\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [y_1^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}, \\
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [u] \mid c_1 \cdot [u] = 0 \rangle \oplus \langle [v] \mid c_2 \cdot [v] = 0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{c_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{c_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \\
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [w^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z},
\end{align*}
\]

From (34), we get \([y_1^*]^2 = 0\). The generators of the factors \( \mathbb{Z}_{c_1} \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_{c_2} \) of \( H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \) are the classes of maps \( u \) and \( v \) that are linear combinations of \( z_1^* \) and \( z_1^* \), hence equation (35) shows us immediately that \([y_1^*] \sim [u] = [y_1^*] \sim [v] = 0\) and \([y_1^*] \sim [z_1^*] = [w^*] \). We get the statement of the theorem in this case setting \( \zeta = [y_1^*], \xi_1 = [u], \xi_2 = [v], \chi = [z_1^*] \).

Fifth case: \( \text{rank}(\theta - I) = 2 \) e \( \text{det} \theta = -1 \). In this case we have

\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [y_1^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}, \\
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [u] \oplus [v] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{c_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{c_2}, \\
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) &= \langle [w^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2,
\end{align*}
\]

This case is similar to the last one. We have \([y_1^*]^2 = 0\) and \([y_1^*] \sim [u] = [y_1^*] \sim [v] = 0\). We get the statement defining \( \zeta = [y_1^*], \xi_1 = [u], \xi_2 = [v], \chi = [w^*] \).

Once we’ve computed the cup product in \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \), doing the same in \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \) and \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \) is easy, since essentially all we have to do is reduce everything modulo 2 and \( p \), respectively. We summarize the results we get in the next two theorems.

**Theorem 7** The cohomology ring \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \) is given by

1. If \( \theta \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) (mod 2), then

\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3]
\]

where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = \dim(\zeta_3) = 1 \).

2. If \( \theta \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) (mod 2), then

\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4]}{\left( \begin{array}{c} \zeta_1 = (1 + \frac{n+1}{2}) \xi_1 \zeta_3 + \frac{m_2}{2} \xi_2 \zeta_3, \\
\zeta_2 = (1 + \frac{m_1}{2}) \xi_1 \zeta_3 + (1 + \frac{m_2}{2}) \xi_2 \zeta_3, \\
\zeta_3 = 0 \end{array} \right)}
\]

where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\zeta_3) = \dim(\zeta_4) = 2 \).

3. If \( \theta \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) (mod 2), then

\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta]}{\left( \begin{array}{c} \zeta^2 = 0, \\
\zeta^2 = 0 \end{array} \right)}
\]

where \( \dim(\zeta) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\xi) = 2 \).

4. If \( \theta \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) (mod 2), then

\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3]}{\left( \begin{array}{c} \zeta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \xi_1, \\
\zeta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \xi_2, \\
\zeta_3 = \xi_1, \\
\zeta_4 = S(\alpha, \gamma) \zeta_1 \xi_1, \\
\zeta_5 = 0, \\
\zeta_6 = 0, \\
\zeta_7 = 0, \\
\zeta_8 = 0 \end{array} \right)}
\]

where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\zeta_3) = \dim(\zeta_4) = 2 \).
5. If \( \theta \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) (mod 2), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi_1, \xi_2]
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\xi_1) = \dim(\xi_2) = 2 \).

6. If \( \theta \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) (mod 2), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\zeta, \xi]}{(\zeta^2 = 0, \xi^2 = 0)}.
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\xi) = 2 \).

**Theorem 8** The cohomology ring \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \), for \( p \) an odd prime, is given by

1. If \( \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\theta - I) = 0 \) and \( \det(\theta) = 1 \), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3]}{(\zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = \zeta_3^2 = 0)}.
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = \dim(\zeta_3) = 1 \).

2. If \( \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\theta - I) = 0 \) and \( \det(\theta) = -1 \), then
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3]}{(\zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = \zeta_3^2 = 0)}.
\]
where \( \dim(\zeta_1) = \dim(\zeta_2) = \dim(\zeta_3) = 1 \).

3. If \( \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\theta - I) = 1 \) and \( \det(\theta) = 1 \), then
\[
H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \langle [u] \rangle \oplus \langle [y_3^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]
\[
H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \left( \langle z_1^* \rangle \oplus \langle z_2^* \rangle \right) \oplus \langle [z_3^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]
\[
H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \langle [u^*] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]
where \( u \) is described in the following way: we have
\[
\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ n_1 & n_2 \end{bmatrix} = -\theta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\delta & \gamma \\ \beta & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}.
\]

If \( (1 + m_1) \not\equiv 0 \) (mod \( p \)) or \( n_1 \not\equiv 0 \) (mod \( p \)), we take \( u = -n_1y_1^* + (1 + m_1)y_2^* \) and, if \( (1 + m_1) \equiv n_1 \equiv 0 \) (mod \( p \)), we take \( u = (1 + n_2)y_1^* - m_2y_2^* \). Assuming, without loss of generality, that \( u = -n_1y_1^* + (1 + m_1)y_2^* \), and taking the class of \( m_1z_1^* + n_1z_2^* \) as the generator of \( \langle (z_1^*) \oplus (z_2^*) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \), we have
\[
H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi_1, \xi_2]
\]
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\zeta_1^2 = 0, \ z_1^2 = 0, \ \zeta_1^2 = \lambda \xi_1, \ \zeta_2^2 = 0, \\
\lambda \xi_1 = (-n_1^2 - (1 + m_1)m_1) \xi_2 \zeta_2,
\end{array} \right)
\]
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\xi_1 = 0, \ \xi_2 = 0, \ \xi_1 \xi_2 = 0
\end{array} \right)
\]
where \( \zeta_1 = [u], \ z_2 = [y_3^*], \ z_1 = [m_1z_1^* + n_1z_2^*], \ z_2 = [z_3^*] \), and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) is such that \( \lambda \xi_1 = [-n_1z_1^* + (1 + m_1)z_2^*] \).
4. If \( \text{rank}_{Z_p}(\theta - I) = 1 \) and \( \det \theta = -1 \), then

\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(G, Z_p) &= \langle [u] \rangle \oplus \langle [y'_2] \rangle \cong Z_p \oplus Z_p, \\
H^2(G, Z_p) &= \frac{\langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle}{\text{im } \partial_1^*} \cong Z_p, \\
H^3(G, Z_p) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( u \) where is described like in the previous case and assuming, without loss of generality, that 

\[
u = -ny'_2 + (1 + m)y'_2,
\]

and once again taking the class of \( m_1z'_1 + n_1z'_2 \) as the generator of \( \langle z_1 \rangle \oplus \langle z_2 \rangle \), we have

\[
H^*(G, Z_p) \cong \frac{Z_p[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \xi]}{(\zeta_1^2 = 0, \zeta_2^2 = 0, \zeta_1 \zeta_2 = \lambda \xi, \zeta_1 \xi = 0, \zeta_2 \xi = 0, \xi^2 = 0)}.
\]

where \( \zeta_1 = [u], \zeta_2 = [y'_2], \xi = [m_1z'_1 + n_1z'_2], \) and \( \lambda \in Z_p \) is such that \( \lambda \xi = [-n_1z'_1 + (1 + m_1)z'_2] \).

5. If \( \text{rank}_{Z_p}(\theta - I) = 2 \) and \( \det \theta = 1 \), then

\[
H^*(G, Z_p) \cong \frac{Z_p[\zeta, \xi]}{(\zeta^2 = 0, \xi^2 = 0)}.
\]

where \( \dim(\zeta) = 1 \) and \( \dim(\xi) = 2 \).

6. If \( \text{rank}_{Z_p}(\theta - I) = 2 \) and \( \det \theta = -1 \), then

\[
H^*(G, Z_p) \cong \frac{Z_p[\zeta]}{(\zeta^2 = 0)}.
\]

where \( \dim(\zeta) = 1 \).

### A Appendix

The following table describes the sets \( I_1, J_1, I_2, J_2 \) that define the element \( E \in \mathbb{Z}G \) in Theorem 2 depending on the signs of \( m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \). The first four columns indicate the sign (or the value) of the corresponding variable. The symbol \( \mathbb{Z} \) in one of the first four columns indicate that the value of the corresponding variable doesn’t matter for the computation of the sets \( I_1, J_1, I_2, J_2 \).

| \( m_1 \) | \( n_1 \) | \( m_2 \) | \( n_2 \) | \( I_1, J_1, I_2, J_2 \) | Remark |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| + | + | + | + | \( I_1 = [-m_1 - m_2, -m_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_1 = [-n_2, -n_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( I_2 = [-m_2, -m_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_2 = [-n_1, -1] \cap \mathbb{Z} \) | if \( m_1 < m_2, n_1 < n_2 \) |
| + | + | + | + | \( I_1 = [-m_1 - m_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_1 = [-n_2, -1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( I_2 = [-m_1 - m_2 - 1, -m_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_2 = [-n_1, -n_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z} \) | if \( m_1 > m_2, n_1 > n_2 \) |
| - | - | - | - | \( I_1 = [-m_2, -m_1 - m_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_1 = [-n_1, -n_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( I_2 = [-m_1, -m_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_2 = [0, -n_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z} \) | if \( m_1 > m_2, n_1 > n_2 \) |
| - | - | - | - | \( I_1 = [-m_2, -m_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_1 = [0, -n_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( I_2 = [-m_1, -m_1 - m_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \) \( J_2 = [-n_2, -n_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z} \) | if \( m_1 < m_2, n_1 < n_2 \) |
\[ I_1 = \{-m_2, -m_1 - m_2 - 1\} \cap \mathbb{Z}, \]
\[ J_1 = [0, -n_2 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \]
\[ I_2 = [-m_1 - m_2, -m_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \]
\[ J_2 = [-n_1 - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z} \]

| 0 | 0 | 0 | \mathbb{Z} | \{0\}, J_1 = (-1), J_2 = \emptyset |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | -1 | 0 | \mathbb{Z} | \{0\}, J_1 = (-1), J_2 = \emptyset |
| 0 | -1 | -1 | \mathbb{Z} | \{0\}, J_1 = (-1), J_2 = \emptyset |
| 1 | 0 | Z | \{-m_2 - 1\}, J_1 = (-1), J_2 = \emptyset |

| + | + | + | - | \{m_1 < m_2, n_1 > n_2\} |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| - | - | - | + | \{m_1 > m_2, n_1 < n_2\} |
| - | - | - | + | \{m_1 < m_2, n_1 > n_2\} |
| - | + | + | - | \{m_1 > m_2, n_1 < n_2\} |
| + | + | - | - | \{m_1 < m_2, n_1 > n_2\} |
| - | - | + | + | \{m_1 > m_2, n_1 < n_2\} |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | \mathbb{Z} | \emptyset, J_1 = \emptyset, J_2 = \emptyset |
| 0 | -1 | -1 | \mathbb{Z} | \emptyset, J_1 = \emptyset, J_2 = \emptyset |
| 0 | 1 | -1 | \mathbb{Z} | \emptyset, J_1 = \emptyset, J_2 = \emptyset |
| 0 | -1 | 1 | \mathbb{Z} | \emptyset, J_1 = \emptyset, J_2 = \emptyset |
\[-1 \quad 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad -1 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{-m_2\}, \\
J_1 &= \{0\}, \\
I_2 &= \emptyset, \\
J_2 &= \emptyset \end{aligned}\]

\[1 \quad 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad -1 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \emptyset, \\
J_1 &= \emptyset, \\
I_2 &= \{-m_2\}, \\
J_2 &= \{0\} \end{aligned}\]

\[-1 \quad 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad 1 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \emptyset, \\
J_1 &= \emptyset, \\
I_2 &= \{-1\}, \\
J_2 &= \emptyset \end{aligned}\]

\[1 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{-1\}, \\
J_1 &= \{-1\}, \\
I_2 &= \emptyset, \\
J_2 &= \emptyset \end{aligned}\]

\[-1 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad -1 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \emptyset, \\
J_1 &= \emptyset, \\
I_2 &= \emptyset, \\
J_2 &= \emptyset \end{aligned}\]

\[1 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad -1 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \emptyset, \\
J_1 &= \emptyset, \\
I_2 &= \{-1\}, \\
J_2 &= \{0\} \end{aligned}\]

\[-1 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \emptyset, \\
J_1 &= \emptyset, \\
I_2 &= \{0\}, \\
J_2 &= \{-1\} \end{aligned}\]

\[\mathbb{Z} \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \emptyset, \\
J_1 &= \emptyset, \\
I_2 &= \{-m_1-1\}, \\
J_2 &= \{-1\} \end{aligned}\]

\[\mathbb{Z} \quad -1 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \emptyset, \\
J_1 &= \emptyset, \\
I_2 &= \{-m_1\}, \\
J_2 &= \{0\} \end{aligned}\]

\[\mathbb{Z} \quad 1 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{-m_1\}, \\
J_1 &= \{-1\}, \\
I_2 &= \emptyset, \\
J_2 &= \emptyset \end{aligned}\]

\[\mathbb{Z} \quad -1 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{-m_1-1\}, \\
J_1 &= \{0\}, \\
I_2 &= \emptyset, \\
J_2 &= \emptyset \end{aligned}\]
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