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Abstract
The generating entangled state by using a 50/50 beamsplitter has been
discussed in the literature before. In this paper we explore how to use an
asymmetric beam-splitter to produce a new kind of entangled state. We
construct such kind of states theoretically and then prove that they make
up a complete and orthonormal representation in two-mode Fock space.
Its application in finding new squeezing operator and new squeezed state
is introduced.

1 Introduction
Recently, quantum entanglement, which originated from Einstein, Podolsky and
Rosen (EPR) in a paper arguing the incompleteness of quantum mechanics,1 is
of increasingly interest in studies of quantum information and quantum com-
munication. It lies at the core of some new applications in the emerging field of
quantum communication science 2−7. The concept of entanglement has played
a key role in understanding some fundamental problems in quantum mechanics
and quantum optics. In an quantum entangled state, a measurement performed
on one part of the system provides information on the remaining part, this has
now been known as a basic feature of quantum mechanics, though it seems weird.
Thus an entangled composite system is nonseparable. In EPR’s pioneer argu-
ment, the entanglement was revealed by explicitly writing the wave function of
a bipartite with their relative position $X_1 - X_2$ being $x_0$ and their total momen-
tum $P_1 + P_2$ being $p_0 = 0$, i.e. $\psi(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dpe^{i\eta(x_1-x_2-x_0)}$. In Ref. [8]
the simultaneous eigenstate $|\eta\rangle$ of commutative operators $(X_1 - X_2, P_1 + P_2)$
in the two-mode Fock space is found,

$$|\eta\rangle = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} |\eta|^2 + \eta a_1^\dagger - \eta^* a_2^\dagger + a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger\right]|00\rangle_{12}, \quad (1)$$
where $\eta = (\eta_1 + i\eta_2) / \sqrt{2}$ is a complex number, $|00\rangle$ is the two-mode vacuum state, $(a_i, a_i^\dagger)$, $i = 1, 2$, are two-mode Bose annihilation and creation operators in Fock space, related to $(X_i, P_i)$ by $X_i = (a_i + a_i^\dagger) / \sqrt{2}$, $P_i = (a_i - a_i^\dagger) / (\sqrt{2}i)$. The basic ingredient of the $|\eta\rangle$ state about the coordinate-momentum entanglement can be demonstrated through its disentangling process,

$$|\eta\rangle = (\eta_1 + i\eta_2) / \sqrt{2} = e^{-i\eta_1\eta_2/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |x\rangle_1 \otimes |x - \eta_1\rangle_2 e^{ix\eta_2},$$

where $|x\rangle_i$ is the coordinate eigenstate of $X_i$,

$$|x\rangle_i = \pi^{-1/4} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \sqrt{2}xa_i^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}a_i^\dagger a_i^2\right] |0\rangle_i .$$

Eq. (2) shows that once particle 1 is measured in the state $|x\rangle_1$, particle 2 immediately collapses to the coordinate eigenstate $|x - \eta_1\rangle_2$. Eq. (2) is named Schmidt decomposition according to Ref. [9]. On the other hand, the Schmidt decomposition of $|\eta\rangle$ in the two-mode momentum basis is

$$|\eta\rangle = e^{i\eta_1\eta_2/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp |p\rangle_1 \otimes |\eta_2 - p\rangle_2 e^{-i\eta_1p},$$

where $|p\rangle_i$ is the momentum eigenvector of $P_i$,

$$|p\rangle_i = \pi^{-1/4} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}p^2 + i\sqrt{2}pa_i^\dagger + \frac{1}{2}a_i^\dagger a_i^2\right] |0\rangle_i ,$$

which tells us that once particle 1 is measured in the state $|p\rangle_1$, particle 2 immediately collapses to the momentum eigenstate $|\eta_2 - p\rangle_2$ no matter how far the distance between the two particles is. Thus (2) and (4) together implies the quantum entanglement. Note that the $|\eta\rangle$ states obey the eigenvector equations

$$\left(a_1 - a_2^\dagger\right) |\eta\rangle = \eta |\eta\rangle , \quad \left(a_2 - a_1^\dagger\right) |\eta\rangle = -\eta^* |\eta\rangle .$$

It then follows

$$(X_1 - X_2) |\eta\rangle = \eta_1 |\eta\rangle ,$$

$$(P_1 + P_2) |\eta\rangle = \eta_2 |\eta\rangle .$$

The experimental implementation of entangled state of continuous variables does not use the position and momentum of particles but uses light beams that can be characterized by parameters obeying the same commutation relations as position operator $X_i$ and momentum operator $P_i$. The analogy is based on the fact that a single mode of the quantized radiation field can be expressed in terms of annihilation operators $a_i$ and creation operator $a_i^\dagger$ of a quantum harmonic oscillator with frequency $\omega$, i.e. the electric field operator can be described as $E_i \sim X_i \cos \omega t + P_i \sin \omega t$. It is now known that the EPR light fields with bipartite entanglement can be built from two-single-mode squeezed vacuum
state combined at a 50/50 beam splitter \(10\), i.e. two light fields maximally squeezed in \(X_i\) and \(P_i\) (in opposite quadratures), respectively entering the two input ports of a 50/50 beamsplitter produce at the output of the beamsplitter a pair of entangled light beams. It is also known that even one single-mode squeezed state incident on a beam splitter yields a bipartite entangled state, because the quantized vacuum field also enters in another input port of the beam splitter and contributes to the two output modes \(11\).

An interesting and practical question thus naturally arises: if the beamsplitter is not a 50/50 one, but an asymmetric one, then what is the output state when two light fields maximally squeezed in \(X_i\) and \(P_i\), respectively entering the two input ports and get superimposed? For an asymmetric beamsplitter without absorption within itself, its complex amplitude reflectivity \(r\) and transmissivity \(t\) for light incident from one side (or \(r'\), \(t'\) for light coming from the other side) are not equal to each other. The incident fields \((a_1, a_2)\), the reflected field \(a_3\) and the transmitted field \(a_4\) may be related by a “scattering matrix” \(11\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_3 \\
  a_4
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  t' & r \\
  r' & t
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_1 \\
  a_2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(9)

where \(t\), \(r\), \(t'\), and \(r'\) obey the reciprocity relations

\[
|r'| = |r|, \quad |t'| = |t|, \quad |r|^2 + |t|^2 = 1, \quad r^*t' + r't^* = 0, \quad r^*t + r't'^* = 0,
\]

(10)
or the role of a beam splitter operation on two input modes is equivalent to the unitary operator \(B = \exp\left[\theta(a_1^\dagger a_2 - a_2^\dagger a_1)\right]\), \(\theta \neq 0\) (we do not consider the phase difference between the reflected and transmitted fields), with the amplitude reflection and transmission coefficients \(t = \cos \theta\), \(r = \sin \theta\). The role of \(B\) is \(B a_1 B^{-1} = a_3\), \(B a_2 B^{-1} = a_4\). The details of relationship between two input modes and two output modes for the beam splitter is discussed in [11]. In this work we want to derive the output state for the asymmetric beamsplitter, which turns out to be a new entangled state characteristic of \(\theta\). Then we study its main properties and present its application. Our work is arranged as follows: In Sec. 2 and 3 we construct the new two-mode entangled state, denoted as \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\), which experimentally can be generated by an asymmetric beamsplitter, i.e. two light fields maximally squeezed in opposite quadratures, respectively entering two input ports of a non-50/50 beamsplitter and get superimposed, will produce at the output a pair of entangled light beams expressed by \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\).

In Sec. 4 we discuss the orthonomal and completeness relation of \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) and calculate the weight factor for the completeness. In Sec. 5 and 6 we show how to apply \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) to deriving some new generalized squeezed states.

2 The new entangled state \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\)

In the case when two light fields maximally squeezed in \(X_i\) and \(P_i\), respectively entering a beam-splitter’s two input ports and get superimposed, we find that
the output state emerging from asymmetric beam-splitter is

\[
|\eta, \theta\rangle = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} |\eta|^2 + \eta a_1^\dagger - \eta^* (a_2^\dagger \sin 2\theta + a_1^\dagger \cos 2\theta) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \eta^* \cos 2\theta + a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \sin 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} (a_1^2 - a_2^2) \cos 2\theta\} |00\rangle .
\] (11)

Clearly, when \(\theta = \pi/4\), which corresponds to a 50/50 beam-splitter, \(|\eta, \pi/4\rangle\) reduces to \(|\eta\rangle\). However, it must be clarified that \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) is not a rotated state of \(|\eta\rangle\), i.e.,

\[|\eta, \theta\rangle \neq \exp\left[\theta (a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \pm a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger)\right] |\eta\rangle .\] (12)

Operating \(a_i, i = 1, 2\), on \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) respectively gives

\[
\left(a_1 - a_2^\dagger \sin 2\theta - a_1^\dagger \cos 2\theta\right) |\eta, \theta\rangle = (\eta - \eta^* \cos 2\theta) |\eta, \theta\rangle ,
\] (13)

and

\[
\left(a_2 - a_1^\dagger \sin 2\theta + a_2^\dagger \cos 2\theta\right) |\eta, \theta\rangle = -\eta^* \sin 2\theta |\eta, \theta\rangle .
\] (14)

From (13)-(14) we can deduce

\[
\left(a_1 \sin 2\theta - a_2 \cos 2\theta - a_2^\dagger\right) |\eta, \theta\rangle = \eta \sin 2\theta |\eta, \theta\rangle ,
\] (15)

and

\[
\left(a_1 \cos 2\theta + a_2 \sin 2\theta - a_1^\dagger\right) |\eta, \theta\rangle = (\eta \cos 2\theta - \eta^*) |\eta, \theta\rangle .
\] (16)

Subtracting (16) from (13) yields

\[
(X_2 - X_1 \tan \theta) |\eta, \theta\rangle = -\eta_1 \tan \theta |\eta, \theta\rangle ,
\] (17)

adding (14) and (15) leads to

\[
(P_1 + P_2 \tan \theta) |\eta, \theta\rangle = \eta_2 |\eta, \theta\rangle ,
\] (18)

so \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) is the common eigenvector of \((X_2 - X_1 \tan \theta)\) and \((P_1 + P_2 \tan \theta)\). When \(\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}\), (17)-(18) reduce to (7)-(8). Therefore, \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) is a new entangled state with a non-trivial expression (see (11)) and one can Schmidt-decompose it too.

3 The physical meaning of \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) and its relation to an asymmetric beamsplitter

We now explain why the state \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) can describe the production of new entangled light fields using two maximally squeezed light fields in opposite directions (respectively represented by \(|p = 0\rangle_1\) and \(|x = 0\rangle_2\)) and a non-50/50 beamsplitter, Let the asymmetric beam splitter operator be \(\exp \left[2\theta (a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger - a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger)\right]\equiv \exp[-2i\theta J_y]\), from

\[
\exp[-2i\theta J_y] a_i^\dagger \exp[2i\theta J_y] = a_i^\dagger \cos \theta + a_{i+1}^\dagger \sin \theta , \\
\exp[-2i\theta J_y] a_i^\dagger \exp[2i\theta J_y] = a_i^\dagger \cos \theta - a_{i-1}^\dagger \sin \theta ,
\] (19)
and (3) and (5) we have

\[
\exp \left[ 2\theta(a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_1^\dagger a_2) \right] |p = 0\rangle_1 \otimes |x = 0\rangle_2
\]

\[
= \exp \left[ a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \sin 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_1^{1\dagger} - a_2^{1\dagger} \right) \cos 2\theta \right] |00\rangle = |\eta = 0, \theta\rangle.
\]

Then operating the displacement operator \( D_1(\eta) \equiv \exp[\eta a_1^\dagger - \eta^* a_1] \) on (20) leads to (11), i.e.

\[
D_1(\eta) \exp \left[ a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger \sin 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_1^{1\dagger} - a_2^{1\dagger} \right) \cos 2\theta \right] |00\rangle = \exp\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\eta|^2 + \eta a_1^\dagger - \eta^* (a_1^\dagger \sin 2\theta + a_1^\dagger \cos 2\theta) + \frac{1}{8} \eta^* \cos 2\theta \}
\]

\[
+ a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \sin 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_1^{1\dagger} - a_2^{1\dagger} \right) \cos 2\theta \} |00\rangle = |\eta, \theta\rangle.
\]

Experimentally, this displacement can be implemented by reflecting the light field of \( |\eta = 0, \theta\rangle \) from a partially reflecting mirror (say 99% reflection and 1% transmission) and adding through the mirror a field that has been phase and amplitude modulated according to the value \( \eta \equiv |\eta|e^{i\Phi} \).

4 The properties of \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\)

We now examine the main properties of \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\). Using the mathematical formula

\[
\int \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} \exp\{ \zeta |z|^2 + \xi z + \eta z^* + fz^2 + gz^*z \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^2 - 4fg}} \exp\left[ -\zeta \xi \eta + s^2 g + s^2 f \zeta^2 - 4fg \right]
\]

\[
Re(\zeta + f + g) < 0, \quad Re\left( \frac{s^2 - 4fg}{\zeta + f + g} \right) < 0,
\]

or \( Re(\zeta - f - g) < 0, \quad Re\left( \frac{s^2 - 4fg}{\zeta - f - g} \right) < 0, \)

where \( \zeta, f, g \) are so selected as to insure the integration convergent, and using the normal ordered form of the vacuum projector (: : denotes normal ordering),

\[
|00\rangle \langle 00| =: \exp\{-a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2 \} :,
\]

as well as the technique of integration within an ordered product (IWOP) of operators\(^{12-13}\) we can prove that \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) expressed by (11) make up a complete
set, i.e.,

\[
\sin 2\theta \int \frac{d^2 \eta}{\pi} |\eta, \theta\rangle \langle \eta, \theta|
\]

\[
= \sin 2\theta \int \frac{d^2 \eta}{\pi} \exp\{-|\eta|^2 + \eta \left(a_{1}^\dagger - a_{2} \sin 2\theta - a_{1} \cos 2\theta\right) + \eta^* \left(a_{1} - a_{2}^\dagger \sin 2\theta - a_{1}^\dagger \cos 2\theta\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(|\eta|^2 + |\eta^*|^2\right) \cos 2\theta
\]

\[
+ \left(a_{1}^\dagger a_{1}^\dagger + a_{1} a_{2}\right) \sin 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{1}^\dagger a_{1}^\dagger + a_{2}^\dagger a_{2}^\dagger + a_{1}^\dagger - a_{2} \right) \cos 2\theta - a_{1}^\dagger a_{1} - a_{2}^\dagger a_{2}\}:
\]

\[
= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\sin 2\theta} \left(\left(a_{1}^\dagger - a_{2} \sin 2\theta - a_{1} \cos 2\theta\right) \left(a_{1} - a_{2}^\dagger \sin 2\theta - a_{1}^\dagger \cos 2\theta\right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta \left(a_{1}^\dagger - a_{2} \sin 2\theta - a_{1} \cos 2\theta\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta \left(a_{1} - a_{2}^\dagger \sin 2\theta - a_{1}^\dagger \cos 2\theta\right)^2\}
\]

\[
+ \left(a_{1} a_{2} + a_{1} a_{2}\right) \sin 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{1}^\dagger a_{1}^\dagger + a_{2}^\dagger a_{2}^\dagger + a_{1}^\dagger - a_{2} \right) \cos 2\theta - a_{1} a_{1} - a_{2} a_{2}\}:
\]

\[
= :e^0:= 1.
\]

(24)

Here the factor \(\sin 2\theta\) is needed for the completeness relation. One might think the fact that the two-mode states at the output of the beam-splitter form a complete basis is trivial, now form the derivation of (24) we see the integration variables we refer to [14].

As a consequence of (25) and (28) and in reference to (24) we conclude

\[
\langle \eta^*, \theta | \eta, \theta \rangle = 2\pi \delta (\eta_1 - \eta_1^*) \delta (\eta_2 - \eta_2^*) / \sin 2\theta, \quad \eta = (\eta_1 + i\eta_2) / \sqrt{2}.
\]

(29)

According to Dirac’s theory on representation in quantum mechanics, the set of \(|\eta, \theta\rangle\) make up a new orthonormal and complete representation in the two-mode Fock space, which is another entangled state representation. For a review of various applications of the EPR entangled state representation of continuum variables we refer to [14].

6
5 The squeezing of $|\eta, \theta\rangle$ and the corresponding squeezing operator

As an application of the $|\eta, \theta\rangle$ representation, now we construct the following ket-bra operator in an integration form

$$U = \sin 2\theta \int \frac{d^2\eta}{\mu \pi} |\eta/\mu, \theta\rangle \langle \eta, \theta| .$$

(30)

where $\eta \to \eta/\mu$ is a c-number dilation transformation. We shall point out that $U$ is a new 2-mode squeezing operator (for a review of squeezed states we refer to [15]). Letting $\mu = e^{\lambda}$, and using (23) as well as the IWOP technique to perform this integration, we find the normal ordering of $U$ is

$$U = \sin 2\theta \int \frac{d^2\eta}{\mu \pi} : \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} |\eta|^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu^2}\right)\right\} $$

$$+ \eta \left(a_1^\dagger/\mu - a_2 \sin 2\theta - a_1 \cos 2\theta\right) + \eta^* \left(a_1 - a_2^\dagger/\mu \sin 2\theta/a_1 \cos 2\theta/\mu\right) $$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta \mu^2 + \eta^2\right) \cos 2\theta + \left(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger + a_1 a_2\right) \sin 2\theta $$

$$+ \frac{i}{2} \left(a_1^\dagger - a_2^\dagger \right) \cos 2\theta - a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger $$

$$= \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{S}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sinh^2 \lambda \cos 2\theta(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger - a_1 a_2) + \frac{1}{2S} a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \sinh 2\lambda \sin 2\theta\right\} $$

$$\times : \exp\{\{a_1^\dagger, a_1\} (M - 1) \left\{a_1^\dagger \right\}\} : $$

$$\times \exp\{\frac{1}{2S} \sinh^2 \lambda \cos 2\theta(a_1^\dagger - a_2^\dagger) - \frac{1}{2S} a_1 a_2 \sinh 2\lambda \sin 2\theta\},$$

(31)

where we have set $S = \cosh^2 \lambda - \cos^2 2\theta$, and

$$M = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{S} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \lambda \sin 2\theta & \sinh \lambda \cos 2\theta \\ -\sinh \lambda \cos 2\theta & \cosh \lambda \sin 2\theta \end{pmatrix} .$$

(32)

Especially, when $\theta = \pi/4$,

$$U_{\theta=\pi/4} = \sec \lambda \exp\{a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \tanh \lambda\} : \exp\{\{a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2\} (\text{sech}\lambda - 1) : \exp\{-a_1 a_2 \tanh \lambda\} $$

$$= \int \frac{d^2\eta}{\mu \pi} |\eta/\mu\rangle \langle \eta| ,$$

(33)

where $|\eta/\mu\rangle$ is given by (1), $U_{\theta=\pi/4}$ is the usual two-mode squeezing operator. (33) indicates that the usual two-mode squeezing operator has a neat representation in the entangled state basis$^16$, this implies that two-mode squeezed state has close relationship with the bipartite entangled state. No wonder the idler mode and the signal mode, which come out of a parametric down-conversion interaction and compose a two-mode squeezed state, are entangled in a frequency domain. The matrix $M$ in (32) can be diagonalized as

$$M = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{S} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & i/2 \\ i/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

(34)
\[ \alpha = \cosh \lambda \sin 2\theta + i \sinh \lambda \cos 2\theta, \quad |\alpha| = \sqrt{S}, \quad \alpha = \sqrt{S} e^{i\varphi}, \quad \varphi = \tan^{-1} (\tanh \lambda \cot 2\theta), \quad (35) \]

so

\[ \ln M = \ln \frac{\sin 2\theta}{S} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & -\frac{i}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ln \sqrt{S} + i\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \ln \sqrt{S} - i\varphi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \ln \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{S}} & \varphi \\ -\varphi & \ln \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{S}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36) \]

Thus

\[ \exp \{ (a_1^+, a_2^+) (M - 1) \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{array} \right) \} := \exp \{ (a_1^+, a_2^+) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \ln \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{S}} & \varphi \\ -\varphi & \ln \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{S}} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{array} \right) \}. \quad (37) \]

Using the operator identity

\[ \exp \left[ a_i^+ A_{ij} a_j \right] a_i \exp \left[ -a_i^+ A_{ij} a_j \right] = (e^{-A})_{ij} a_j, \quad (38) \]

we have

\[ U \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{array} \right) U^{-1} = M^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{array} \right) - K \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1^+ \\ a_2^+ \end{array} \right), \quad (39) \]

where

\[ M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \lambda & -\cot 2\theta \sinh \lambda \\ \cot 2\theta \sinh \lambda & \cosh \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \frac{\sinh \lambda}{S} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \lambda \cos 2\theta & \cosh \lambda \sin 2\theta \\ \cosh \lambda \sin 2\theta & -\sinh \lambda \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{K}, \quad (40) \]

and

\[ M^{-1} \tilde{M}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sinh \lambda \sin 2\theta \\ \sinh \lambda \sin 2\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41) \]

\[ M^{-1} \tilde{M}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sinh \lambda \sin 2\theta \right)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \left( \sinh \lambda \sin 2\theta \right)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42) \]

One can check the unitarity of \( U \) via the following commutative relations,

\[ [U a_i U^{-1}, a_j U^{-1}] = \left( M^{-1} \tilde{M}^{-1} - M^{-1} \tilde{K} \right)_{ij} = 0, \]

\[ [U a_i U^{-1}, a_j^+ U^{-1}] = \left[ M^{-1} \tilde{M}^{-1} - \left( M^{-1} K \right) \tilde{K} \right]_{ij} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (43) \]

From (23) and (30) we know that \( U \) is a new squeezing operator which squeezes \( |\eta, \theta\rangle \) in a natural way,

\[ U |\eta, \theta\rangle = \frac{1}{\mu^2} |\eta/\mu, \theta\rangle. \quad (44) \]
6 The property of the squeezed state generated by $U$

Writing Eq. (39) explicitly, we have

$$Ua_1U^{-1} = a_1 \cosh \lambda - a_2 \cot 2\theta \sinh \lambda - a_2^\dagger \csc 2\theta \sinh \lambda,$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

$$Ua_2U^{-1} = a_2 \cosh \lambda + a_1 \cot 2\theta \sinh \lambda - a_1^\dagger \csc 2\theta \sinh \lambda.$$  

It then follows

$$UX_1U^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U \left( a_1 + a_1^\dagger \right) U^{-1} = X_1 \cosh \lambda - X_2 \cot \theta \sinh \lambda,$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

$$UX_2U^{-1} = X_2 \cosh \lambda - X_1 \tan \theta \sinh \lambda,$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

$$UP_1U^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i} U \left( a_1 - a_1^\dagger \right) U^{-1} = P_1 \cosh \lambda + P_2 \tan \theta \sinh \lambda,$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

$$UP_2U^{-1} = P_2 \cosh \lambda - P_1 \cot \theta \sinh \lambda,$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

so under the $U$ transformation the two quadratures for two-mode optical field become

$$U \left( X_1 + X_2 \right) U^{-1} = X_1 \left( \cosh \lambda - \tan \theta \sinh \lambda \right) + X_2 \left( \cosh \lambda - \cot \theta \sinh \lambda \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

$$U \left( P_1 + P_2 \right) U^{-1} = P_1 \left( \cosh \lambda + \cot \theta \sinh \lambda \right) + P_2 \left( \cosh \lambda + \tan \theta \sinh \lambda \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

Using (31) we know that $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$ generates the $\theta$-related squeezed vacuum state,

$$U^{-1} |00\rangle = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{S}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\cos 2\theta}{2S} \sinh 2\lambda \left( a_1^2 - a_2^2 \right) - \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2S} a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \sinh 2\lambda \right\} \equiv |\lambda,\theta\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

The expectation value of the two quadratures in the state $|\lambda,\theta\rangle$ are

$$\langle \lambda,\theta \langle (X_1 + X_2) | \lambda,\theta = 0, \quad \lambda,\theta \langle (P_1 + P_2) | \lambda,\theta = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

thus the variance of the two quadratures are

$$\lambda,\theta \langle \Delta \left( X_1 + X_2 \right)^2 \rangle_{\lambda,\theta} = \lambda,\theta \langle (X_1 + X_2)^2 | \lambda,\theta = \langle 00 | U \left( X_1 + X_2 \right)^2 U^{-1} |00 \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)

$$= \cosh^2 \lambda + \frac{\sinh^2 \lambda}{2} \left( \tan^2 \theta + \cot^2 \theta \right) - \frac{\sinh 2\lambda}{2} \left( \tan \theta + \cot \theta \right),$$

$$\lambda,\theta \langle \Delta \left( P_1 + P_2 \right)^2 \rangle_{\lambda,\theta} = \lambda,\theta \langle (P_1 + P_2)^2 | \lambda,\theta = \langle 00 | U \left( P_1 + P_2 \right)^2 U^{-1} |00 \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)

$$= \cosh^2 \lambda + \frac{\sinh^2 \lambda}{2} \left( \tan^2 \theta + \cot^2 \theta \right) + \frac{\sinh 2\lambda}{2} \left( \tan \theta + \cot \theta \right).$$
Especially, when $\theta = \pi/4$, this $\theta$–related squeezed vacuum state reduces to the usual two-mode squeezed state, (54) and (55) respectively become

$$\lambda,\pi/4 \left\langle \Delta \left( X_1 + X_2 \right)^2 \right\rangle_{\lambda,\pi/4} = e^{-2\lambda}, \quad \lambda,\pi/4 \left\langle | (P_1 + P_2)^2 | \right\rangle_{\lambda,\pi/4} = e^{2\lambda}, \quad (56)$$

as expected. On the other hand, due to $\tan^2 \theta + \cot^2 \theta \geq 2$, $\tan \theta + \cot \theta \geq 2$, from (55) we see

$$\lambda,\theta \left\langle \Delta \left( P_1 + P_2 \right)^2 \right\rangle_{\lambda,\theta} \geq (\cosh \lambda + \sinh \lambda)^2 = e^{2\lambda}, \quad (57)$$

which means that the $\theta$–related squeezed vacuum state can exhibit more stronger squeezing in one quadrature than that of the usual two-mode squeezed vacuum state. Finally, since $\sin 2\theta \leq 1$, $\cos^2 2\theta \leq 1$, when the squeezing parameter $\mu = e^\lambda$ is large enough such that $\cosh^2 \lambda \gg \cos^2 2\theta$, $S = \cosh^2 \lambda - \cos^2 2\theta \sim \cosh^2 \lambda$, then $U |00\rangle$ is approximately equal to (up to a constant factor)

$$U |00\rangle \rightarrow \exp \left\{ \frac{\tanh^2 \lambda}{2} \cos 2\theta (a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger - a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger) + a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \tanh \lambda \sin 2\theta \right\} |00\rangle \quad (58)$$

Experimentally, this state can be approximately produced when two light fields respectively squeezed in $X_i$ and $P_i$ with the same squeezing parameter $\mu = e^\lambda$, expressed by $e^{\frac{1}{2} a_1^\dagger a_1^\dagger \tanh \lambda} |0\rangle_1$ and $e^{-\frac{1}{2} a_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger \tanh \lambda} |0\rangle_1$ respectively, entering the asymmetric beamsplitter’s two input ports and get superimposed, then using (19) we know that the output state is

$$\exp \left[ -2i \theta J_y \right] e^{\frac{1}{2} a_1^\dagger a_1^\dagger \tanh \lambda} e^{-\frac{1}{2} a_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger \tanh \lambda} |2i \theta J_y \rangle |0\rangle \rightarrow \exp \left[ -2i \theta J_y \right] |0\rangle \quad (59)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \frac{\tanh \lambda}{2} \left[ \left( a_1^\dagger \cos \theta + a_2^\dagger \sin \theta \right)^2 - \left( a_2^\dagger \cos \theta - a_1^\dagger \sin \theta \right)^2 \right] \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \frac{\tanh \lambda}{2} \cos 2\theta (a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger - a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger) + a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \tanh \lambda \sin 2\theta \right\} |00\rangle \quad ,$$

which is approximately equal to (58) when $\tanh^2 \lambda \sim \tanh \lambda$.

In summary, as a non-trivial generalization of the fact that a 50/50 beamsplitter can produce an EPR entangled state, we see that a new entangled state $|\eta, \theta\rangle$ can be generated at the output of an asymmetric beam-splitter with two squeezed states as inputs. The two input states are squeezed in orthogonal quadratures while the degree of single-mode squeezing is assumed equal for both input modes. Such states are potentially useful, because they make up a complete and orthonormal representation in two-mode Fock space as Dirac’s theory stated. Using $|\eta, \theta\rangle$ we have derived new squeezed state (52) and analysed its properties. The foundation of $|\eta, \theta\rangle$ generalizes the EPR entangled state representation with continuous variables. For the 3-mode squeezed state which relates to the corresponding entangled state representation we refer to [18].
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