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Objective To evaluate the test-retest reliability of supraspinatus cross-sectional area measurement by ultrasonography.

Method Both shoulders of 11 normal subjects (22 shoulders in total) were included in this study. The supraspinatus muscle was examined with the arm alongside the body in the coronal oblique and sagittal oblique planes. The occupational ratio of the supraspinatus fossa was measured. To calculate the occupational ratio, the Y view of MRI was reproduced with sonography by locating the suprascapular notch in the coronal oblique plane (in the plane of the scapula) and then rotating the transducer 90° to that plane. The cross-sectional area was measured using the tracing and ellipse tool. The second measurement was performed 7 days after the initial measurement.

Results The Pearson correlation coefficient and intraclass correlation coefficient between the first and the second occupational ratio measurements were 0.43 and 0.44, respectively, for the tracing method, and 0.53 and 0.47, respectively, for the ellipsoidal method. The difference between the first and second occupational ratio measurement was 4.1±3.9% (0.1-13.2%) for the tracing method, and 4.5±3.4% (0.01-10.5%) for the ellipsoidal method. The maximum difference was 13.2%. The occupational ratio was 86.2±5.3% (70.6-95.8%) for the tracing method and 85.0±5.2% (69.3-96.1%) for the ellipsoidal method.

Conclusion Supraspinatus occupational ratio by sonography is a low to moderately reliable intrarater method. However, the maximum difference was not significant. The main reason for its low to moderate reliability was the narrow value range. Therefore, the study method should be re-evaluated in stroke patients and in patients with rotator cuff disease. Knowledge of the anatomy is a prerequisite to attain intrarater reliability.
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INTRODUCTION

Supraspinatus atrophy can occur secondary to pathologies of the central and peripheral nervous system, as well as muscular and tendinous pathology. Supraspinatus is an important target muscle for functional electrical stimulation when there is weakness of shoulder muscles in stroke patients. Rupture of the supraspinatus tendon causes degeneration and atrophy of muscles and this is...
known to influence prognosis after surgery. Evaluation of the extent of supraspinatus atrophy is expected to confer benefits to patients experiencing pathologies of the central and peripheral nervous system, as well as muscular and tendinous pathology.

Although the standardized imaging method for assessing supraspinatus atrophy has been magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), advances in ultrasonographic imaging technology have lead to high correlation with MRI in assessing supraspinatus atrophy. The portion of supraspinatus sectional area in the supraspinatus fossa demonstrated by the Y-view of MRI is suitable for the measurement of atrophy, and it has also been possible to demonstrate this by using ultrasonography.

However, subjective factors are known to influence ultrasonographic examinations which do not influence MRI, and evaluation with ultrasonography concurrently leads to divergent results. Moreover, ultrasonography cannot penetrate the skeleton. The Y-view of MRI can visualize the most bony surrounded section at the shoulder such as clavicle anteriorly, supraspinatus fossa inferiorly, scapular spine posteriorly, trapezius muscle superiorly. It is therefore possible for the Y-view not to be examined using ultrasonography.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the test-retest reliability for eliminating subjective factors and reliability of a follow-up study in ultrasonographic examination, and to verify the relationship between the tracing and ellipsoidal methods. In addition, this study investigated the practical availability of assessing the occupational ratio of supraspinatus fossa in Y-view using ultrasonography.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Twenty two supraspinatus muscles in 11 normal individuals were assessed in this study. Every subject was male with an average age of 26.5 years (standard deviation (SD)=3.5 years). There was no history of shoulder pain and sonographic abnormality in the supraspinatus muscles of the subjects.

**Methods**

To scale the size of the supraspinatus, we applied the occupational ratio in the supraspinatus fossa proposed by Thomazeau et al. The occupational ratio on the MRI Y-view was established by measuring the portion of the supraspinatus in the supraspinatus fossa. The Y-view is a sagittal oblique view of the shoulder joint, which passes through the medial side of the coracoid process, and is surrounded by a bony area (Fig. 1).

Ultrasonographic examination was performed by a physiatrist with over 6 years of clinical experience. A linear probe of 5-12 MHz was used (HD11XE, Philips Medical Systems, Bothell, USA). To standardize the evaluation, all subjects sat in a chair with their arm laid on the side of the body, while the shoulders were in neutral position and the elbows were fully extended.

The modified Khoury’s method was used for ultrasonographic reproduction of the MRI Y-view to obtain occupational ratio. First, we identified the scapular notch in the coronal view, and then the probe was perpendicularly rotated to obtain a sagittal oblique view. By moving the probe from medial to lateral, we obtained a view in which the entire supraspinatus muscle was visualized, and calculated the occupational ratio by dividing the area.

**Fig. 1.** MRI Y-view (B or C) could be obtained by just one or two images advancing from the lateral (A) to medial (D). The defects of the Y-view were caused by the spinoglenoid notch at A and by the supraspinatus notch at D.
of the supraspinatus muscle by the supraspinatus fossa area.

Two methods were used to obtain the cross-sectional area. First, the tracing method was applied, which tracked lines with boundary. Second, was the ellipse tool method, which established an ellipse as a boundary. For the tracing method, the cross sectional area of the supraspinatus muscle was measured at the closest boundary of the muscle. The borders that defined the portion of supraspinatus fossa was set by the internal bony boundary of the Y view, consisting of the clavicle anteriorly, the cortex of the supraspinatus fossa inferiorly, the scapular spine posteriorly and the inferior border of the trapezius superiorly. For the ellipse tool method, the ellipse was set at the closest line that included the supraspinatus muscle. The border of the supraspinatus fossa was defined by the closest line, including the supraspinatus fossa through the internal bony boundary of the Y-view (Fig. 2).

Two measurements were performed within 7 days to evaluate test-retest reliability. By using the SPSS 14.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA), the test-retest reliability was evaluated by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).

RESULTS

The occupational ratios of the 11 normal subjects were 0.71-0.96 (mean value of 0.86) by the tracing method, and 0.69-0.96 (mean value of 0.85) by the ellipsoidal method. The Pearson correlation coefficient of the two method was 0.80 (p<0.05).

Table 1. The Occupation Ratio of the Supraspinatus Muscle Using Ultrasound and Intrarater Reliability of the Tracing and Ellipsoidal Method

|                         | Tracing method          | Ellipsoidal method       |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Occupation ratio        | 0.86±0.05               | 0.85±0.05                |
|                         | (0.71-0.96)             | (0.69-0.96)              |
| The difference between  | 0.04±0.04               | 0.05±0.03                |
| measurements            | (0-0.13)                | (0-0.11)                 |
| Pearson correlation     | 0.43*                   | 0.53*                    |
| coefficient             |                         |                          |
| Intraclass correlation  | 0.44*                   | 0.47*                    |
| coefficient             |                         |                          |

Numbers are mean±standard deviation
*p<0.05

The difference between the two measurements in the evaluation of test-retest reliability was 0-0.13 (mean value of 0.04) for the tracing method, and 0-0.11 (mean value of 0.05) for the ellipsoidal method. The Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.43 for the tracing method and 0.53 for the ellipsoidal method. ICC of two measurements was 0.44 for the tracing method and 0.47 for the ellipsoidal method (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

In this study we measured both shoulders of 11 normal subjects (22 shoulders). The occupational ratio of supraspinatus muscle was over 0.71 for the tracing method and over 0.69 for the ellipsoidal method, which is within the normal limits according to Thomazeau’s classification. Thomazeau et al.\(^2\) measured
the occupational ratio of the supraspinatus muscle in
the supraspinatus fossa and defined a range between
0.60 and 1.00 as being representative of normal or
mild atrophy, 0.40 and 0.60 as being representative of
moderate atrophy and less than 0.40 as being severe
atrophy.

Because both the tracing and ellipsoidal methods
were highly correlated (r=0.80), it is suggestive that
the ellipsoidal method can be used clinically as much as the
tracing method. However, the tracing method remains a
standard that demonstrates the severity of supraspinatus
atrophy more clearly. Further studies are needed for
determining the significance of measuring cross-
sectional areas of the supraspinatus muscle in patients
with stroke, rotator-cuff tear and shoulder pain.

The test-retest reliability of ultrasonography was
relatively low.\(^6\) A major reason may be narrow range
of measurement. Mean values of the two methods were
0.85 (SD=0.05) for the tracing method and 0.85 (SD=0.05)
for the ellipsoidal method. If the values were distributed
from low to high range, the correlation coefficient would
be high. However, this study assessed only normal adult
males, therefore the measured values were distributed
within a similar range, and this resulted in a relatively low
correlation coefficient. The maximal difference between
the ratios was not high. The mean difference was 0.04 in
the tracing method and 0.05 in the ellipsoidal method.
The maximum difference was 0.13. Although the test-
retest reliability was low, further studies including stroke
patients and those with supraspinatus muscle lesions are
required.

T1 enhancing image in MRI is the standard method
to estimate supraspinatus muscle atrophy, and the
sectional area of supraspinatus muscle can be obtained
from the Y-view passing through the medial border
of the coracoids process for the sagittal oblique view
of the supraspinatus fossa.\(^5\) Currently, advances in
ultrasonographic technology have lead to an increase in
its application in musculoskeletal disorders, and the MRI
Y-view can be reproduced by ultrasonography.\(^4\) However,
because the Y-view is the most bony section surrounding
the supraspinatus fossa, it remains challenging to obtain
it using ultrasonography (Fig. 3). In this study, the
sectional area of supraspinatus muscle was frequently
not obtained at the section of Y-view because of the
clavicle.

Fig. 3. (A) The sagittal oblique line on the MRI Y-view is
surrounded mostly by bony boundaries. (B) The application
line of the probe on ultrasonography can be displaced to
avoid bony interference.

To obtain the Y-view using ultrasonography, the probe
must be located longitudinal to the supraspinatus muscle,
and then tilted to the deep side of the scapular spine to
seek the scapular notch. After seeking the scapular notch,
the probe would need to be rotated perpendicularly
from the direction of the supraspinatus muscle. If the
examiner can visualize the section of the supraspinatus
muscle around this point, this can be considered as the
Y-view (Fig. 3). If the examiner cannot visualize the entire
supraspinatus muscle, the probe will need to be moved
medially or laterally to obtain the entire supraspinatus
muscle. Echogenecity of the bone must be observed
anteriorly, inferiorly and posteriorly. The examiner
should observe the clavicle anteriorly, the suprascapular
fossa inferiorly and scapular spine posteriorly. Only
one or two Y-views can be obtained on MRI because
excessive lateral view contains the spinoglenoid notch
and excessive medial view contains the scapular notch
anteriorly. However, because the clavicle may overlap
the supraspinatus muscle anterosuperiorly at this point,
the probe may be moved a small amount medially (Fig.
3). In other words, Thomazeau’s method could not trace
the supraspinatus muscle because of the overlap of the
supraspinatus muscle and the clavicle anterosuperiorly.
That may be the reason why Thomazeau used the ellipse
tool method in place of the tracing method. However,
if the examiner moves the probe medially to avoid
overlapping the clavicle and to locate the most lateral side of the whole section of supraspinatus, it is possible to trace the section of supraspinatus muscle. The most accurate way to measure the muscle’s atrophy is by measuring the volume of the muscle. Continuative cross sectioning of the bone can mathematically calculate the volume. Nevertheless, some reports suggest only one cross sectional area for the measurement of atrophy. This method also appears to have clinical usefulness. There are reports that suggest measuring the width of the muscle correlates with the cross sectional area. Ultrasonography measures the cross sectional area accurately. As it is simpler to measure the thickest part of the cross section, it will be interesting to further investigate the clinical usefulness of measuring muscle thickness.

The limitations of this study are as follows. First, reliability between examiners was not measured. Second, women and elderly subjects were not evaluated in this study. In women or elderly subjects, muscular atrophy is common, and degenerated muscle is indistinguishable from fat tissue. Therefore, it remains challenging to confirm the border of the muscle in these populations. In addition, it can worsen following muscle atrophy in patients with pathologic shoulders, including shoulder pain and stroke.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the occupational ratio of the supraspinatus muscle was evaluated using ultrasonography in twenty two shoulders of eleven normal male adults. This method had low test-retest reliability, but maximum deviation was not high. Thus further studies are needed targeting patients with supraspinatus muscle atrophy due to rotator-cuff tear or stroke.
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