**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction** Innovation through the repurposing of generic drugs encloses several advantages when compared with the process of developing new drugs from scratch. Metformin is an established and inexpensive antidiabetic drug for which anticancer properties have been hypothesised. A systematic review of observational studies found promising results for metformin related to breast cancer in women with diabetes. Although the number of randomised clinical trials of metformin for the treatment of breast cancer increased over the last decades, the overall landscape of those studies in this heterogeneous field remains unclear. Hence, we designed the present scoping review protocol to map the literature on randomised clinical trials of metformin in the treatment of breast cancer to determine the value and scope of future systematic reviews on this subject and identify research gaps.

**Methods** We will search MEDLINE (via PubMed), EMBASE, CENTRAL, LILACS, Web of Science and sources of grey literature. We will include any randomised clinical trial of metformin for the treatment of breast cancer in adult women, and will not impose restrictions regarding context, language or publication date. Two independent reviewers will screen and select studies, and chart the data. We will structure the presentation of our results based on the molecular types of breast cancer, their stages and treatment modalities.

**Ethics and dissemination** As a literature review, this study is exempt from ethics approval. Findings will be disseminated through presentations in conferences and a peer-reviewed publication.

**Open Science Framework registration** osf.io/yquba.

**INTRODUCTION**

The repurposing of medications is the process of development of new indications for drugs that were initially developed for other purposes. Innovation through the repurposing of generic drugs, in particular, encloses several advantages when compared with the process of developing new drugs from scratch. For example, besides their relatively low cost, they already have well-established profiles of safety, pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics. Indeed, several publications have highlighted the strategic importance of funding research related to the repurposing of generic medications as a unique opportunity to improve clinical outcomes and decrease costs. The repurposing of any given medication depends on the quality of the evidence lending support to the new indication and systematic reviews play an essential role in this regard.

Metformin is an established antidiabetic drug for which a whole set of new indications have been studied. It is one of the most commonly prescribed oral antidiabetic drugs in the world, and in recent years, several potential beneficial effects arising from the use of metformin and unrelated to diabetes treatment have received increasing attention from the scientific community. One of these potential effects includes a role in modulating different types of cancer.

Importantly, a systematic review of 11 observational studies analysing the association...
between the use of metformin by diabetic women and the prognosis of patients with breast cancer found a very relevant protective association in terms of survival for women using that drug (HR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.39 to 0.71). However, an important criticism of the meta-analyses of observational studies is that they are also subject to bias due to residual confounding within the original studies included in them, and to date, metformin does not have an established role in the treatment of women with breast cancer.

The last few years have witnessed the appearance of several clinical trials evaluating the use of metformin in the treatment of breast cancer. However, the current understanding of breast cancer is that it is not a single disease but rather a heterogeneous group of diseases with different molecular mechanisms. Moreover, different stages of breast cancer are associated with different treatment modalities (ie, neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative therapies), which are associated with a variety of specific outcomes (eg, pathologic complete response, which is specific to studies of neoadjuvant treatment for early or locally advanced disease). Importantly, a recent systematic review attempted to assess the evidence from randomised clinical trials of metformin in the treatment of breast cancer. However, that review suffered from various methodological limitations (eg, was not able to identify a few of the eligible studies) was restricted to a limited range of outcomes and did not take into account the different molecular types and stages of breast cancer or the treatment modalities examined in the original studies, which are central to the appropriate interpretation of the effectiveness not only of metformin but of any treatment of breast cancer. Given the many sources of clinical heterogeneity underlying this field, and the lack of clarity regarding the number of randomised clinical trials of metformin in the treatment of breast cancer, as well as on the molecular types, stages of breast cancer and treatment modalities examined in those trials, we designed the present scoping review protocol to map the literature on randomised clinical trials of metformin in the treatment of breast cancer to determine the value and scope of future systematic reviews on this subject and identify research gaps.

METHODS

Study registration
The present scoping review protocol was developed taking into consideration the guidance of the Joanna Briggs Institute for conducting systematic scoping reviews and was registered with Open Science Framework (osf.io/yquba).

Review questions
We will seek to answer the following research questions:
1. What is the extent of the randomised clinical trials literature on the use of metformin in the treatment of breast cancer?
2. What molecular types and stages of breast cancer were examined in those studies?
3. What treatment modalities, regimens and comparators were used in those studies?
4. What outcomes were evaluated in those studies and what were their main findings?

Eligibility criteria
We used the following ‘population, concept and context’ framework to delineate our eligibility criteria.

Population
Our population of interest was adult (aged 18 years or older) women with any type of breast cancer.

Concept
We will include randomised clinical trials that have implemented any intervention for the treatment of breast cancer that included the use of metformin either alone or in combination with other pharmacological, surgical or radiation treatments. We will include studies that used placebo, standard treatment or other treatments that do not involve the use of metformin as comparator(s)/control. For studies where metformin was used as an intervention in combination with other treatments, comparators should include the same treatments without metformin. We will accept trials in which the unit of randomisation were individuals or clusters of individuals. We will not impose any restrictions regarding the types of outcomes reported.

Context
We will not impose any restrictions regarding context, language or publication date for the selection of studies.

Searching
We will search the following electronic bibliographic databases for relevant studies: MEDLINE through PubMed, EMBASE, LILACS, Web of Science and CENTRAL. Our full search strategy is available in the Supplementary Online Appendix.

Besides searching the databases listed above, we will search for grey literature in the following databases: System for Information on Grey Literature in Europe (Open Grey) and National Library of Medicine Bookshelf. Finally, we will also search ClinicalTrials.gov and the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) for eligible studies.

Two independent researchers will examine the lists of references identified through electronic search. We will also hand-search reference lists of relevant publications, including review articles on the use of repurposed medications for the treatment of breast cancer and of original studies considered eligible for the review. We also intend to contact researchers to request relevant unpublished data whenever applicable.

Selection of studies
For all studies identified, two authors will independently screen and review the titles and abstracts. The same
reviewers will examine full versions of potentially relevant studies independently. Where applicable, we will contact the authors of selected studies to ask for additional data. If there are disagreements regarding the inclusion of a study, these will be resolved through discussion with a third reviewer whenever needed. We will use Rayyan software to manage the screening of references for our review.

Charting the data
A standardised, pre piloted form will be used to extract data from the included studies. Extracted information will include the following data: authors; title; complete reference; time period when the study was conducted; country where the study took place; type (histological and molecular), subtypes and staging of breast cancer studied; inclusion/exclusion criteria; sample size; characteristics of the population (eg, presence of diabetes, obesity/overweight and metabolic syndrome); study design; details of the interventions, including treatment modality (ie, neoadjuvant, adjuvant or palliative), dose, frequency and duration of metformin treatment, the line of cancer treatment (eg, first-line treatment) and cointerventions (eg, types of chemotherapy); details of comparators and duration of follow-up; outcome measures with the definitions used by the authors; results; conclusions reported by the study authors; research limitations and funding sources. In addition, there will be a field for the registration of other information deemed relevant by the reviewers and a field for possible information to ask the authors. The data charting form will be amended as needed according to the progress of the data charting process. Disagreements about extracted data will be solved by consensus, and an independent reviewer will be consulted if disagreements persist. Data extracted from each study will be stored in word processing documents throughout the duration of the study and organised in digital spreadsheets as needed.

Collating, summarising and reporting results
We will structure the presentation of our results around the different molecular types of breast cancer, their stages (ie, metastatic, locally advanced and early disease) and treatment modality. We will present our findings in narrative and tabular form guided by the review questions and treatment modality. We will structure the presentation of our results around the study and organised in digital spreadsheets as needed.

Discussions and dissemination
Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in women and the third highest incident cancer globally, claiming around 180 thousand lives and resulting in 17.7 million disability-adjusted life years globally in 2017. Drug repurposing is an opportunity to minimise risks, costs and time in the development of new pharmacologic treatments while preserving the benefits for healthcare. Although the number of randomised clinical trials of metformin for the treatment of breast cancer increased over the last decades, the overall landscape of those studies and the value and scope of systematic reviews to be conducted based on them remain unclear. In this protocol, we outline the details of the aims and methods of a scoping review of randomised controlled trials of metformin for the treatment of breast cancer. We hope that, once completed, this scoping review may contribute to the field of drug repurposing related to cancer by mapping the available evidence from randomised clinical trials and identifying research gaps related to the use of metformin in breast cancer.
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