Exploiting scattering media for exploring 3D objects
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Scattering media, such as diffused glass and biological tissue, are usually treated as obstacles in imaging. To cope with the random phase introduced by a turbid medium, most existing imaging techniques resort to either phase compensation by optical means or phase recovery using iterative algorithms, and their applications are often limited to two-dimensional imaging. In contrast, we utilize the scattering medium as an unconventional imaging lens and exploit its lens-like properties for lensless three-dimensional (3D) imaging with diffraction-limited resolution. Our spatially incoherent lensless imaging technique is simple and capable of variable focusing with adjustable depths of focus that enables depth sensing of 3D objects that are concealed by the diffusing medium. Wide-field imaging with diffraction-limited resolution is verified experimentally by a single-shot recording of the 1951 USAF resolution test chart, and 3D imaging and depth sensing are demonstrated by shifting focus over axially separated objects.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the early work in the 1960s by Goodman et al., Leith and Upatnieks and Kogelnik and Pennington, many methods have been proposed for imaging through diffusing media. These methods have a potentially wide range of applications from biomedical to astronomical imaging. Thus, imaging through an opaque diffusing medium with diffraction-limited resolution has become an important technical challenge because of these widely recognized needs. One straightforward strategy is imaging with ballistic photons selected either by coherence gating as in optical coherence tomography, time gating as in femto-photography, or holographic gating. Because only a limited number of ballistic photons are used (with scattered photons being wasted) and also because the sequential scanning of the gate window is required, these methods are used mostly for imaging static objects through a weakly scattering medium. An alternative solution is to ‘descramble’ the phase of the scattered light by means of phase compensation with a spatial light modulator or a hologram. The spatial light modulator-based phase compensation involves an iterative search for the unknown phase for compensation, and the holographic phase conjugation requires strict positional alignment of the hologram and the read-out beam.

Another way to make use of the diffused light is to implement unconventional imaging techniques that are insensitive to phase perturbation. Among these are photon correlation holography, remote imaging digital holography, coherence holography and Doppler-shift digital holography that can image through a dynamic diffusing media, but they are not lensless systems. Freund proposed a lensless imaging technique based on speckle intensity correlation, in which he regarded a diffuser as a useful imaging device and made use of its memory effect. This idea was further developed by other researchers. Bertolotti et al. reported an angular correlation technique that can exclude prior calibration with a reference source (which was necessary in Freund’s scheme) although the sequential scanning of the illumination angle may prevent imaging dynamic objects. Katz et al. proposed another reference-free method and showed that the intensity autocorrelation of the scattered light is identical to the autocorrelation of the object image itself and that the object can be reconstructed using a phase retrieval algorithm. The intensity correlation techniques were demonstrated only for two-dimensional (2D) images because of their intrinsic property of infinite depth of focus. Recently, Takasaki et al. and Liu et al. presented phase–space analysis methods for three-dimensional (3D) imaging behind the diffuser. However, these techniques require a sequential data acquisition to create a Wigner function and are limited to objects made of a sparse set of point sources.

As described above, a majority of techniques regard a turbid medium as a nuisance in imaging and aim at coping with the random phase introduced by the turbid medium. An exception is the idea behind the speckle intensity correlation technique proposed by Freund for 2D imaging. In his seminal papers, Freund proposed a lensless imaging technique in which he regarded a diffuser as a useful imaging device and named it wall lens. Extending this idea, we make use of the turbid medium as a virtual imaging lens. We exploit the potential of the virtual imaging lens for 3D imaging so that, it can form an image of 3D objects with variable focusing and controllable depth of focus. To avoid the computational burden of a 3D phase retrieval
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algorithm and the restricted field of view incidental to image recovery from the autocorrelation, we modify the intensity correlation technique and introduce a reference point source near the object. The reference point source, which is incoherent with the object illumination, has the role of a guide star in a manner similar to Goodman’s interferometric imaging. The use of a reference source may set a certain restriction in some applications but provides a much simpler practical solution than that based on the autocorrelation combined with an iterative phase retrieval algorithm. Our solution is free from iterative phase retrieval, gives a wider field of view, and permits direct 3D image reconstruction from the cross-correlation between the intensity distributions on a pair of planes axially separated in the scattered fields. By virtue of the variable plane of focus (which is selectable by the distance between the cross-correlation planes) and the finite depth of focus (which is controllable by the size of the aperture defined by the illuminated area on the diffuser), this technique can perform direct depth sensing of 3D objects hidden behind the diffusing medium without recourse to phase–space analysis and has no restriction on the sparsity of objects. Experimental demonstrations of imaging through a polycarbonate diffuser and a thick chicken breast tissue are presented along with 3D imaging and looking around corners. Wide-field imaging with diffraction-limited resolution is verified by experiment for the 1951 USAF resolution test target recorded by a single shot, and 3D imaging and depth sensing are demonstrated by shifting focus over axially separated objects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Principle

The sketch of the setup for imaging using a diffusing medium is shown in Figure 1. An object is illuminated by a spatially incoherent narrowband source. A reference point source is placed near the object. The scattering characteristic of the diffusing medium is represented by the point spread function (PSF) $S(r, z)$ between the reference and recording planes located at distances $z$ and $z'$ from the diffuser, with $r$ and $r'$ being lateral position vectors (see Supplementary Information). As shown by Freund, the PSF has a memory effect, which means that the PSF has angular shift invariance over the range of angles $\Delta \theta \approx \lambda / t$, with $\lambda$ and $t$ being the wavelength of light and the thickness of the diffusing medium, respectively. Angular shift invariance can be converted to lateral shift invariance such that $S(r + \Delta r, z) = S(r, z)$ with $\Delta r = -m \Delta z$, where $m = z / \lambda$ is the lateral magnification. This lateral shift invariance holds within the range $|\Delta r| < \Delta \theta \approx 2 \Delta z / \lambda$. The lateral (or angular) memory effect has played a crucial role in correlation-based 2D image reconstructions.

Here, for 3D imaging, we further note and exploit the longitudinal memory effect that is expressed by $S(r, z, z') = S(r, z)$ with $m = z / \lambda$. This axial shift invariance holds true for small axial shifts of the reference and observation planes that satisfy the imaging condition of the virtual lens called a ‘wall lens’ such that $1/f = 1 / z + 1 / z' = k / k' + 1 / (z + \Delta z)$, with $f$ being the focal length of the wall lens. Unlike a conventional lens that has a fixed focal length, the wall lens has an adaptive focal length that adjusts itself automatically to satisfy this imaging condition between the arbitrarily chosen planes. Strictly speaking, the 3D PSF is not shift invariant because of the anisotropy in the axial and lateral magnifications. However, we can make it perfectly shift invariant by choosing $z = z'$ with $m = 1$ or by appropriately rescaling the coordinates for unit magnification; so that, the shift invariant 3D PSF takes the form of a convolution kernel $S(r - r; \Delta z)$.

The intensity distribution of the object and the off-axis reference point source be $I_{ob}(r; \Delta z) = \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z)$. The speckle intensity distribution detected on the observation plane at $z + \Delta z$ is given by $I(r; \Delta z) = S(r; \Delta z) * I_{ob}(r; \Delta z)$ where $S$ denotes the 3D convolution operation. Whereas the existing 2D imaging techniques compute 2D autocorrelation of a speckle pattern detected on a single plane, we compute the 3D auto-covariance of the speckle intensity distribution for 3D imaging:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta I = I - I \ast I & \triangleq \Delta S = S - S \\
[\Delta S(r; \Delta z)] & \otimes [\Delta S(r; \Delta z)] \\
& = [\Delta S(r; \Delta z)] \ast [I_{ob}(r; \Delta z)] \\
& \approx I_{ob}(r; \Delta z) \otimes [I_{ob}(r; \Delta z)] \\
& \approx I_{ob}(r; \Delta z) \otimes I_{ob}(r; \Delta z)
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 1 Imaging using a diffusing medium: sketch of the experimental setup. A diffusing object is placed at a distance $z + \Delta z$ from a diffuser and is illuminated by a spatially incoherent narrowband light source. A reference point source, which is incoherent to the object beam and is laterally shifted by $r_0$ from the object, is placed in the reference plane at a distance $z$ from the diffuser. Speckle intensities are detected by an image sensor at distances $z$ and $z + \Delta z$ downstream from the diffuser.
length compared with the object structures. Substituting $I_{ab}(r; \Delta z) = O(r; \Delta z) + \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z)$ into Equation (1), we obtain

$$[\Delta I(r; \Delta z)] \otimes [\Delta I(r; \Delta z)] \approx [O(r; \Delta z) + \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z)] \otimes [O(r; \Delta z) + \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z)]$$

$$\approx [O(r + r_0; \Delta z)]$$

$$+ O(-(r - r_0); -\Delta z) + O(r; \Delta z) \otimes O(r; \Delta z) + \delta(r; \Delta z)$$

(2)

In Equation (2), the first term $O(r + r_0; \Delta z)$ gives a laterally shifted 3D image of the 3D object, whereas the second term $O(-(r - r_0); -\Delta z)$ gives a symmetrically shifted 3D image. We regard the remaining terms as noises appearing in the center. Thus, we can reconstruct the 3D image from the 3D auto-covariance. This approach, however, requires not only a huge amount of speckle data acquisition in full 3D space but also demands large computational efforts for the calculation of the 3D auto-covariance. We have a practical solution to this problem. In most applications, such as in microscopy, we are interested in observing an object by focusing on a particular plane in 3D space. In such a case, we can replace the 3D auto-covariance $[\Delta I(r; \Delta z)] \otimes [\Delta I(r; \Delta z)]$ with the 2D cross-covariance $[\Delta I(r; \Delta z)] \otimes [\Delta I(r; 0)]$ between the speckle intensities detected on two planes at $z + \Delta z$ and $z$, where $\otimes$ denotes the 2D correlation with respect to $r$ only. This significantly reduces the amount of data acquisition and computational burden. A 3D object can be explored by moving the plane of focus, which can be accomplished by changing the $\Delta z$ of the 2D cross-correlation plane. Thus, the 3D imaging requires at least two axially shifted speckle intensity distributions for cross-correlation. However, a 2D object can be reconstructed from a single-shot speckle pattern by autocorrelation if the object is placed on the reference plane at $\Delta z = 0$ and the speckle pattern is recorded at $\Delta z = 0$. This permits instantaneous imaging of a dynamic object through a turbid medium.

What are the resolution limits? Although we have made the approximation $[\Delta S(r; \Delta z)] \otimes [\Delta S(r; \Delta z)] \approx \delta(r; \Delta z)$, actual speckles have finite lateral and axial correlation lengths given by $\delta_{x,ax} \approx 1.4 \lambda (z/D)$ and $\delta_{z,ax} \approx 6.7 \lambda (z/D)^2$, with $D$ being the diameter of a circular aperture stop attached to the diffuser. Through the convolution in Equation (1), this finite spread of the 3D speckle correlation sets the theoretical limit of the lateral and axial resolutions attainable by the proposed scheme (Supplementary Information). One may also note that the attainable resolutions correspond to the lateral resolution and the depth of focus (DOF) of the diffraction-limited lens. To satisfy the Shannon sampling theorem, at least two pixels of the image sensor must be included within the lateral correlation length of the speckle pattern. Therefore, for the symmetric geometry ($z = z$) with unit magnification $m = 1$, the sensor pixel size may sometimes set a practical limit of resolution. By reducing the object distance $z$ while increasing the sensor distance $z$ to satisfy the imaging condition of the wall lens $1/f = 1/z + 1/z$, we can increase the magnification $m = z/z >> 1$ and make $\Delta(z/D) \ll \lambda(z/D)$. Now, the virtual wall lens plays the role of a microscope objective, and the resolution in the object space can be much higher than in the sensor space; therefore, we can avoid the sensor pixel size setting the practical limit of resolution. Remember that to maintain longitudinal shift invariance, an appropriate numerical rescaling of the coordinates is required in the calculation of the cross-covariance. In principle, the maximum field of view (FOV) is limited by the loss of the lateral memory effect—namely, the decorrelation of the scattered intensity field indicated by the speckle contrast $C(p) = (p/sinhp)^2$ with $p = 2\pi D r / z^2$, where $D$ is the lateral shift of the speckle pattern, and $r$ is the thickness of the transmissive scattering medium and the mean free path $r^*$ for a

reflective scattering medium (see Supplementary Information). Thus, one may define a nominal FOV as $\Delta r = (z/2z)$ for which $C(r) > 0.3$. This nominal FOV is unlimited for an infinitely thin medium and decreases linearly with the increasing thickness of the scattering layer. In practice, however, the FOV is limited not only by the decorrelation but more significantly by the loss of speckle contrast caused by the large size, low sparsity and high complexity of the object, which severely restricts the size and complexity of the object that can be reconstructed from the autocorrelation by using an algorithm for phase retrieval. We circumvent this difficulty and realize a wider FOV by introducing a reference point source that has the highest sparsity and permits direct reconstruction of the object without using the autocorrelation and the phase retrieval algorithm.

**Experimental Setup**

The schematic diagrams of the experimental setups are shown in Supplementary Fig. S1. For imaging using a diffusing media, the object, a USAF test target, was placed 600 mm from the polycarbonate diffuser. The object was back-illuminated with a quasi-monochromatic spatially incoherent light of wavelength $\lambda = 532$ nm, which was created by destroying the spatial coherence of the diverging beam from a Nd:YAG laser with a rotating diffuser. A calibrating point source, which was created with an optical fiber coupled to the same laser source (without passing through the rotating diffuser), was placed at an off-axial position close to the object with the help of a beam splitter (BS). To avoid overlap between the autocorrelation term and the translated object term in Equation (2), the off-axial distance of the reference point source was set 1.5 times greater than the size of the object. At the same time, the point source was made to remain close to the object such that same PSF was applied to the object and the reference. To ensure efficient use of the restricted FOV, the object was made coplanar with the reference point source. The lights from the object and the reference source were scattered by the diffusing medium and produced speckle patterns of their own, which were superimposed incoherently on an intensity basis in the observation plane. A SVS Vistek CCD camera (Seefeld, Germany) with 3280 x 4896 pixels and pixel size 7.45 x 7.45 $\mu$m was used to record the speckle distribution. For the experiments shown in Figure 2, both the object and the CCD were kept at the same distance 600 mm from the scattering medium to form a system with unit magnification that guarantees shift invariance of the PSF. The diameter of the aperture stop on the diffuser was 20 mm. Because the amount of light reaching the CCD was very small, the exposure time was increased to 1 s (maximum for the CCD). This long exposure time guarantees incoherent detection of the lights passing through the rotating diffuser. The same optical geometry was used for imaging using a thick biological tissue, except that the aperture size was reduced to 13 mm. In this case, the object was made by cutting a black paper into a 2D pattern ‘H’ and pasting it onto a diffuser. A 1.5-mm-thick chicken breast tissue was sandwiched between two glass slides and was mounted on a holder for use as the diffusive medium.

To demonstrate the variable focusing on desired planes in 3D space, the object was prepared by cutting triangular and rectangular holes in two pieces of paper and pasting it on both sides of a glass slide. In this way, the axial separation was provided between the two objects. The speckle patterns were detected at different observation planes by moving the CCD in small steps with a translating stage. Focusing was achieved by computing the cross-covariance between the two speckle patterns detected at the observation planes separated by the same distance as the axial separation between two objects (Supplementary Information). For the experiment involving looking around a corner,
the transmissive diffusing sample was replaced by a rough aluminum plate, which behaves as a strong scatterer in reflection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Wide-field imaging using scattering medium

Experiments were performed with different objects to demonstrate the lens-like characteristics (wide-field, sharp, diffraction-limited imaging) of the diffusing medium. One such result is shown in Figure 2. A transmissive object, USAF resolution target (Figure 2a) was placed close to a calibrating point source in the same plane (reference plane) (Supplementary Fig. S1a).

The lights from the object and the reference were scattered by the diffuser to produce a superimposed speckle distribution on the CCD. Due to the memory effect, the auto-covariance of the recorded intensity distribution reconstructs the object, which is shown in Figure 2b. The diffraction-limited reconstruction of the object is shown in Figure 2c. The size of the object is 1 mm, but the FOV of our system is more than 2 mm. In general, correlation-based imaging systems, without calibrating a point source, are restricted by the complexity of the objects. An object with a complex structure, such as ours, may reduce the contrast of the autocorrelation peak substantially, and reconstruction may become impossible. Although the theoretical FOV of our scheme is nearly half the memory effect region, our scheme can reconstruct complex objects effectively over a wider FOV than other existing schemes. This is because, for complex objects, the practical FOV is limited by the contrast reduction of the correlation function rather than by the region of the memory effect. Irrespective of the object’s complexity, the proposed technique permits diffraction-limited image reconstruction directly from an instantaneous single-shot specklegram without recourse to an iterative phase retrieval algorithm. This advantage can be utilized for the imaging of a biological sample using fluorescent beads and also in imaging of dynamic objects through dynamic diffusing (turbulent) media. The magnification of our system can be varied by moving the scattering layer close to or far from the object.

Imaging using a thick biological tissue

We performed the imaging using a ‘thick’ biological tissue, which challenges the validity of the proposed technique for a wider class of diffusive media. A 1.5-mm-thick chicken breast tissue sandwiched between two glass slides was used as an imaging system. The light scattered by this thick biological tissue was recorded as a speckle
pattern. To optimize the speckle size on CCD, an aperture stop was attached to the diffuser. The amount of light passing through the thick diffuser is small, and the recorded speckle patterns are relatively dark (Figure 3b).

However, our calibration-based imaging technique allows enhanced detection of the weak object light. This effect of signal enhancement, which originates from the cross-correlation term \( O(r; \Delta z) \otimes \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z) \) in Equation (2), is similar to the heterodyne gain in coherent imaging systems. The reconstructed images through freshly sliced tissue are shown in Figure 3c and 3d.

The number of object points resolvable within FOV is estimated to be \((FOV/\delta r_{corr})^2 \approx (D/t)^2\), where \( t \) is the thickness of the tissue. The number of resolvable points decreases with increasing thickness of the tissue \( t \) but is independent of the wavelength of light, indicating the future possibility of using infrared light with higher penetration into a biological tissue.

### 3D Imaging Using the Variable Focusing of a Diffusing Medium

The lens-like behavior of the scattering medium as a wall lens allows detection of the weak object light. This effect of signal enhancement, which originates from the cross-correlation term \( O(r; \Delta z) \otimes \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z) \) in Equation (2), is similar to the heterodyne gain in coherent imaging systems. The reconstructed images through freshly sliced tissue are shown in Figure 3c and 3d.

The number of object points resolvable within FOV is estimated to be \((FOV/\delta r_{corr})^2 \approx (D/t)^2\), where \( t \) is the thickness of the tissue. The number of resolvable points decreases with increasing thickness of the tissue \( t \) but is independent of the wavelength of light, indicating the future possibility of using infrared light with higher penetration into a biological tissue.

### 3D Imaging Using the Variable Focusing of a Diffusing Medium

The lens-like behavior of the scattering medium as a wall lens allows detection of the weak object light. This effect of signal enhancement, which originates from the cross-correlation term \( O(r; \Delta z) \otimes \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z) \) in Equation (2), is similar to the heterodyne gain in coherent imaging systems. The reconstructed images through freshly sliced tissue are shown in Figure 3c and 3d.

The number of object points resolvable within FOV is estimated to be \((FOV/\delta r_{corr})^2 \approx (D/t)^2\), where \( t \) is the thickness of the tissue. The number of resolvable points decreases with increasing thickness of the tissue \( t \) but is independent of the wavelength of light, indicating the future possibility of using infrared light with higher penetration into a biological tissue.

### 3D Imaging Using the Variable Focusing of a Diffusing Medium

The lens-like behavior of the scattering medium as a wall lens allows detection of the weak object light. This effect of signal enhancement, which originates from the cross-correlation term \( O(r; \Delta z) \otimes \delta(r - r_0; \Delta z) \) in Equation (2), is similar to the heterodyne gain in coherent imaging systems. The reconstructed images through freshly sliced tissue are shown in Figure 3c and 3d.

The number of object points resolvable within FOV is estimated to be \((FOV/\delta r_{corr})^2 \approx (D/t)^2\), where \( t \) is the thickness of the tissue. The number of resolvable points decreases with increasing thickness of the tissue \( t \) but is independent of the wavelength of light, indicating the future possibility of using infrared light with higher penetration into a biological tissue.

### Looking around a corner

Looking at an object out of the line of sight, such as behind a wall or around the corner, was achieved by implementing the principle of looking through a diffusing medium in a reflection mode. The speckled backscattered light from a diffused surface was used to image the hidden object.

As shown in Figure 5a, a rough aluminum plate was illuminated with reference and object lights. The object was kept out of the line of sight of a CCD sensor that was placed in the far field with its center on the line normal to the rough aluminum plate so as to avoid specularly reflected light. The image was reconstructed from the auto-covariance of the speckle pattern created by the light scattered from the aluminum plate (Supplementary Fig. S2). The same resolution limit applies to this reflection mode of operation as in the transmissive diffuser mode because both are based on the same principle. The results are shown in Figure 5, in which (b) is the object, (c) is the recorded speckle pattern, (d) is the auto-covariance and (e) is the reconstructed object.

---

**Figure 4** 3D imaging using a diffusing medium via spatial speckle intensity cross-covariance: (a) the object consisted of triangular and rectangular windows (enclosed in dashed square windows in both images), which are separated by 6.3 and 2 mm laterally and axially, respectively. (b) 3D imaging by the intensity cross-covariance method. The out-of-focus rectangular window in (a) comes into focus (square 2) as the CCD is moved toward the in-focus plane. Multiple speckle patterns are recorded by moving the CCD in the forward direction with a step size of 0.4 mm. The images at different focus planes were reconstructed by computing the cross-covariance for these multiple speckle patterns. The scale bar is 1.5 mm.
CONCLUSION

We proposed a lensless, spatially incoherent imaging technique that aims at utilizing any diffusing surface as an optical element to perform lens-like imaging. Although the experimental results were presented only for the case of unit magnification $m = z/\bar{z} = 1$, the technique can be applied to other magnification levels by properly rescaling the lateral and axial coordinates as $\Delta r = -m\Delta \bar{r}$ and $\Delta z = -m^2\Delta \bar{z}$, respectively.

Its prominent features—e.g., variable focusing and magnification, flexible working distances, ability to work in reflection and transmission modes and low light conditions—make this technique unique. Its ability to image complex objects with a large FOV is another unique feature. Variable focusing permits depth sensing of the 3D objects that are hiding behind the scattering media by selectively focusing on a desired plane in a volume. While 3D imaging requires a minimum of two speckle patterns to be recorded, a 2D object can be reconstructed from a single-shot speckle pattern. This permits instantaneous imaging of a dynamic object through a moving diffuser or a turbulent medium. Obviously, such vital advantages come with some limitations, and in this case, it is the reference source that may pose a constraint in certain applications. We have presented the results of preliminary experiments as a proof of principle. The results of the single-shot imaging through a polycarbonate diffuser and a ‘thick’ chicken breast tissue verify our claim of diffraction-limited wide-field imaging using a diffusing medium. The variable-depth focusing was demonstrated with a 3D object. To our knowledge, this is the first experimental demonstration of 3D imaging through a diffusing medium by noting and exploiting the 3D imaging potentials of Freund’s wall lens for scattering media.
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