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Purpose: This study was conducted to examine the proportion and potential predictors of cognitive dysfunction in Korean patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Methods: Patients with RA enrolled in this study during follow-up checkups at one general hospital in Korea. A trained research nurse examined participants using a variety of physical, psychosocial, and biological measurements. The Computerized Neuropsychological Function Test, consisting of 16 indicators encompassing a variety of cognitive subdomains, evaluated each participant’s cognitive function. Participants were categorized as “impaired” if they performed one standard deviation (SD) below the age-based population standards on each test. Adding the converted scores (range 0–16) generated the total cognitive function score. Multiple linear regression analyses were conducted to identify the significant factors influencing cognitive dysfunction. Results: Sixty-five participants with a mean age of 62.3 ± 10.45 years were included in this study. The total cognitive function score was 9.69 ± 3.50 (range 2–16). The final multivariate regression model was statistically significant; the model explained 32.7% of cognitive dysfunction variance. Even after controlling for disease severity, glucocorticoid use, depression, and functional limitations, education level (β = −.35, p = .005), income (β = −.26, p = .041), and cardiovascular disease risk factors (β = .28, p = .016) significantly influenced cognitive dysfunction. Conclusion: The findings suggest that future studies regarding which etiological variables contribute to cognitive impairment and how to best assess cognitive function in RA patients must occur due to the high risk potential of cognitive dysfunction.
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INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory multisystem autoimmune disorder that causes physical symptoms including pain, joint stiffness, joint swelling, and physical disability as well as psychological symptoms including fatigue and depression [1]. Due to the prolonged life span and the nature of disease chronicity, the number of Korean older adults living with RA-related adverse outcomes including functional decline and high medical costs has increased over time [2]. Identifying the risk factors that could worsen the functional status of this population is a priority task for developing effective strategies to reduce these outcomes.

For patients with chronic diseases including RA, unimpaired cognitive capacity is critical for daily functioning, treatment compliance, and self-management [3,4]. Disease-specific features of RA such as systemic chronic inflammation [5] or increased comorbid cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk [6] may be closely linked to neurocognitive dysfunction in RA patients. However, evidence of brain involvement in RA is very rare or even controversial, and very little is known about the pathogenic mechanisms of cognitive decline in persons with RA.

Four previous studies have assessed a range of cognitive subdomains in well-defined groups of RA patients using an inclusive neuropsychological test battery and reported the proportion of cognitive dysfunction in RA patients to be 30–71% [7,8]. In particular, Shin et al. [4] reported the remarkable finding that along with less edu-
Cognitive dysfunction has been reported as one of the significant risk factors that might severely disrupt functioning and welfare in older adults with chronic health problems [10,11]. Because RA is a chronic, irreversible illness, older adults with RA may experience accelerated strain of cognitive decline attributed to both age and disease [4]. Evaluating a wide range of cognitive subdomains in RA patients may encourage appreciating the strain of cognitive problems in these patients and developing effective strategies to decrease damaging consequences on their health [4]. A preliminary study aiming to comprehensively assess cognition in Korean RA patients was conducted by the author and colleagues [12]. The authors observed worse outcomes in cognitive functioning in Korean RA patients compared to the aforementioned studies that were conducted in western countries. The author extended the psychometric analysis to precisely identify the complex mechanism of cognitive deficits in this population. The aim of this study was to examine the proportion of cognitive dysfunction in Korean patients with RA and to investigate the specific factors that were associated with cognitive dysfunction in these patients.

**METHODS**

1. Design

This is a cross-sectional, correlational study based on the secondary analysis of the data collected for a study conducted by Yoon et al. [12].

2. Sample and Setting

Detailed study methods including sample, setting, and measures are described elsewhere [12]. Briefly, the participants of this study included individuals with RA recruited during follow-up checkups at one general hospital in Korea. Patients that were unavailable for the whole duration of the assessments due to neuropsychological diseases and visual problems were excluded. After providing signed consents, participants were assessed by a trained research nurse with a range of physical, psychosocial, and biological measurements in a private room. The research nurse repeatedly explained the test-taking methods before and during the tests and helped the participants who needed assistance in taking computerized neuropsychological tests. The duration of all assessments was approximately 60–90 minutes. Finally, 65 participants who provided complete data for all outcome measures and covariates of interest were included in this study. The research protocol was approved by the University Institutional Review Board (No. 2-1041024-AB-N-01020140829-HR-080), and all participants gave their informed consent to participate.

3. Measures

1) Cognitive function

Cognitive function was assessed using the Computerized Neuropsychological Function Test (CNT) developed by Maxmedica Inc. and Ha et al. [13]. The CNT is a computerized version of neuropsychological tests that have been administered via paper and pencil. The most current version is the CNT V4.0 including 17 subtests that assess memory, attention, visuo-motor coordination, and higher-order cognitive capacity [14,15]. The subtests of the CNT V4.0 are consistent with the American College of Rheumatology neuropsychological battery which is primarily designed for use in a comparable rheumatic condition, systemic lupus erythematosus, and is deemed reliable and valid [16]. Six tests were selected including Visual Span Test (visuo-spatial memory), Verbal Learning Test (language memory), Visual Continuous Performance test (continuous attention), Word-Color Test (selective attention), Cart Sorting test (executive function), and Trail Making Test (visuo-motor coordination). The duration of the six tests was approximately 40–60 minutes.

T-scores based on age-stratified population norms and 16 neuropsychological indices were derived from the scores of the neurological tests. Using conventional cutoff points, participants were classified as “impaired” if they performed one standard deviation (SD) below the age-stratified population norms for each cognitive test [16]. The total cognitive function score was generated by summing the number of tests ranging from 0 to 16 (higher scores=greater impairment). The Cronbach’s α was .78 in this study.
Factors Influencing Cognitive Dysfunction in Korean Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis

2) Covariates

Sociodemographic and disease-related characteristics were assessed based on self-reported information. Blood samples for the measurement of C-reactive protein (CRP), total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol were collected during the visit and sent to a laboratory for analysis. Blood pressure as well as height and weight to calculate the body mass index were also measured during the study visit.

The severity of RA was assessed using the Disease Activity Score-28 (DAS-28) [17] which has been tested as a valid and reliable instrument in many RA studies [4]. It is calculated according to four components: tender joint count, swollen joint count, global health score on a visual analog scale, and the laboratory parameter of CRP. The total score ranges from 0 to 9.4, with higher scores indicating greater disease activity [17].

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factor scores were generated based on variables in the CVD risk score profiles from the Framingham heart study [18]. Scores were calculated as the total number of the following CVD risk factors that were present: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg, antihypertensive medication use, HDL cholesterol <60 mg/dL, LDL cholesterol >130 mg/dL, current smoking, and obesity (body mass index >25). CVD risk factor scores ranges from 0 to 8, with higher scores indicating greater CVD risk.

Depression was assessed using the Korean version of the short-form Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) translated and validated by Bae and Cho [19]. It comprises 15 questions requiring participants to respond with a yes or no regarding how they felt over the past week. The total score ranged from 0 to 15, with higher scores indicating greater depression [19].

Functional limitations were assessed using the Korean version of the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) translated and validated by Bae et al. [20]. It comprises 20 items covering physical actions in eight domains: dressing and grooming, arising, eating, walking, personal hygiene, reaching, gripping, and outdoor activities. The HAQ score ranged from 0 to 3, with higher scores reflecting greater functional limitations [19].

4. Statistical Analyses

Sociodemographic and disease-related characteristics were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The degree of variables of interest was described as M±SD (range). Multiple linear regression analyses were used to identify the significant factors related to cognitive dysfunction and included variables that were associated with cognitive dysfunction in previous studies on individuals with chronic health conditions. Education level, family income, disease activity, oral glucocorticoid use, CVD risk factor scores, depression, and functional limitations were assessed to be the potential predictors of cognitive dysfunction. The limit for significance was set at two-tailed=0.05. All analyses were conducted using the IBM SPSS Statistics, version 25.0.

RESULTS

1. Characteristics of Participants

Participants’ characteristics are presented in Table 1. The average age of 65 participants was 62.3±10.45 (42~86) years. 84.6% were female and 87.7% were married. 76.2% had less than 12 years of education and 40.0% had less than 1,500,000 Korean Won of family income. The DAS-28 score was 2.20±1.06; the GDS score was 3.94±4.00; and the HAQ score was 0.29±0.53.

2. Cognitive Dysfunction of Participants

The CVD risk factors score was 2.31±1.51 (Table 1). The total cognitive function score was 9.69±3.50 (Table 2). The percentage of participants who were categorized as...
Table 2. Number of Participants with Cognitive Impairment by Each Neuropsychological Test Domain (N=65)

| Domain                                | Categories                                      | n (%) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Visuo-spatial learning and memory impairment | Visual span test forwards                      | 46 (70.8) |
|                                        | Visual span test backwards                      | 35 (53.8) |
| Verbal learning and memory impairment  | Verbal learning test first attempt              | 33 (50.8) |
|                                        | Verbal learning test second attempt             | 45 (69.2) |
|                                        | Verbal learning test short delay free recall    | 43 (66.2) |
|                                        | Verbal learning test long delay free recall     | 54 (83.1) |
| Continuous attention impairment        | Visual continuous performance test correct response | 15 (23.1) |
|                                        | Visual continuous performance test omission error | 15 (23.1) |
|                                        | Visual continuous performance test commission error | 35 (53.8) |
| Selective attention impairment         | Word-color test-word                            | 45 (69.2) |
|                                        | Word-color test-color                           | 53 (81.5) |
|                                        | Word-color test discordance-word                | 46 (70.8) |
|                                        | Word-color test discordance-color               | 60 (92.3) |
| Visuo-motor coordination impairment    | Trail making test A                             | 40 (61.5) |
|                                        | Trail making test B                             | 42 (64.6) |
| Higher-order cognitive capacity impairment | Card sorting test                               | 23 (35.4) |
| At least 5 (25%) of cognitive tests impaired |                                                   | 61 (93.8) |
| Total cognitive function score (M±SD, range) |                                                   | 9.69±3.50 (2.00~16.00) |

Cognitively impaired on each test domain of the CNT ranged from 23.1% (continuous attention test) to 92.3% (selective attention test). All participants were identified as cognitively impaired on at least 2 or more out of the 16 subtests. The ratio of persons identified as cognitively impaired on a quarter of the total subtests (4 or more out of the 16 subtests) was 93.8% (Table 2).

3. Factors Associated with Cognitive Dysfunction of Participants

Before performing the multivariate regression analysis, the assumptions of the analysis were examined. First, the Durbin-Watson value was 2.09, indicating that the variables were not autocorrelated. The tolerance was < 1.0 (.56~.90) and the variance inflation factor was < 10.0 (1.12~1.80), demonstrating there were no problems associated with multicollinearity. Moreover, the normality and homoscedasticity of residuals were tested using histograms, normal probability plots, and scatter plots, and the results showed that the residuals satisfied the assumptions.

Education level, family income, severity of RA, oral glucocorticoid use, the number of CVD risk factors, depression, and functional limitations were included in multivariate regression models (Table 3). These seven variables accounted for 32.7% of the variance in cognitive dysfunction (F=5.44, p < .001). Of the disease-related variables, the CVD risk factor score was significantly associated with cognitive dysfunction (β= .28, p = .016); education level (β= -.35, p = .005) and family income (β= -.26, p = .041) were the only sociodemographic variables associated with cognitive dysfunction (the result was already adapted for age) even after controlling for other covariates in this cohort.

DISCUSSION

This study explored the proportion and potential predictors of cognitive dysfunction in Korean patients with RA. The ratio of persons who were identified as cognitively impaired on each test was from 23.1% to 92.3%. The majority of the participants were identified as cognitively impaired on four or more tests. In multivariate models, education level and family income were the sociodemographic factors significantly influenced cognitive dysfunction. Only an accumulative number of CVD risk factors appeared as disease-related clinical indicators significantly influenced cognitive dysfunction in this population.

The most commonly influenced cognitive subdomains were verbal learning and memory (83.1%) and selective attention (92.3%). These results are analogous to those of previous studies. Bartolini et al. [7] reported that 38~71%
of their RA participants were cognitive impaired with worse results in visuo-spatial and planning functions. Appenzeller et al. [8] observed cognitive dysfunction in 30% of the RA group, with poorer outcomes in verbal fluency and episodic memory. Shin et al. [4] observed cognitive dysfunction mostly in visuo-spatial learning/memory (28%) and design fluency (29%) in their RA group. One third of their subjects were classified as cognitively impaired on a quarter of the total subtests (4 or more out of the 16 subtests) [4]. Hamed et al. [9] observed that 71% of RA patients had cognitive deficits. When compared to healthy controls, RA patients showed worse outcomes in 11 subtests assessing 4 subdomains of cognition (verbal function, visuo-spatial organization, memory, and judgement/problem-solving) [9]. Although direct comparisons across studies may be unfeasible due to dissimilar classifying standards of cognitive dysfunction and various evaluation methodology used, these results do underscore the significant cognitive problems of RA patients that may in turn disrupt their daily functioning and possibly make it more difficult to manage health conditions.

Our participants had much poorer outcomes in cognitive dysfunction compared to participants in the aforementioned studies. One possible explanation for this result could be the ethnic difference and cultural characteristics of Korean older adults. Because Korean society has been strongly influenced by the Confucian culture, Korean older adults tend to endure their symptoms and are reluctant to visit hospitals to take formal cognitive tests [12]. Therefore, when administering formal neuropsychological assessments in an unfamiliar hospital environment, Koreans may have different and somewhat severe cognitive problems that could have been less severe impairment if identified earlier in comparison with persons in western countries.

Furthermore, the results of cognitive function evaluated by objective neurocognitive tests may be considerably distinct from those assessed by subjective cognitive measures [12]. Further studies with more diverse and representative groups of population with well-standardized assessments are needed to identify the complicated mechanism of cognitive dysfunction in RA patients.

Commonly well-known sociodemographic risk factors of cognitive dysfunction in persons with or without chronic diseases include lower levels of education and family income [21,22]. Similar to the previous studies on cognitive function in chronic problems, both less education and lower family income were identified as significant factors influencing cognitive dysfunction of the participants in this study. In addition, CVD risk factors appeared to be the most significant factor associated with cognitive dysfunction in Korean RA patients. This finding indicates that the participants with an increased number of CVD risk factors are more likely to be cognitively impaired. This result is in accordance with the study finding by the previous studies that CVD risk factors as a significant predicting factor of cognitive impairment. This might be due to the fact that accelerated atherosclerotic changes caused by systemic chronic inflammation may escalate the risk of cardiovascular comorbidity [6,24]. Growing evidence suggests increased cardiovascular risk in RA patients which is analogous to that of type 2 diabetes and emphasizes that RA is a new, independent CVD risk factor which should be carefully managed [24]. In this respect, accompanied CVD risk factors including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, obesity, or current smoking may increase

Table 3. Factors Influencing Cognitive Impairment

| Variables                        | β     | t     | p     |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Education level (year)           | -.35  | -2.96 | .005  |
| Family income (Korean Won)       | -.26  | -2.10 | .041  |
| Severity of RA (DAS-28)          | .01   | 0.06  | .951  |
| Current steroid use              | .03   | 0.30  | .766  |
| CVD risk factors                 | .28   | 2.50  | .016  |
| Depression (GDS)                 | .01   | 0.02  | .988  |
| Functional limitations (HAQ)     | -.10  | -0.73 | .467  |

R²=.40, Adj. R²=.33, F=5.44, p < .001

RA=Rheumatoid arthritis; DAS-28=Disease Activity Score-28; CVD=Cardiovascular disease; GDS=Geriatric Depression Scale; HAQ=Health Assessment Questionnaire.
the occurrence of cognitive dysfunction in RA patients. Further studies are necessary to identify the exact mechanism between RA and increased CVD risk in causing cognitive decline.

Glucocorticoid use, which is a common treatment of RA, did not appear to be a significant contributor of cognitive dysfunction in this study. This finding is in contrast with a study result by Shin et al. [4] who reported that patients with even low dose of steroid use were more likely to have cognitive dysfunction. Glucocorticoid therapy has been reported to be associated with memory deficits and to possibly have cumulative and ongoing impact on hippocampal functions [25]. Although there seems to be a possible and significant association between glucocorticoid medication and cognitive function in RA patients, the exact mechanism of this association is unclear. Further studies to identify the specific influence of glucocorticoid use on neurocognitive functioning in RA patients are necessary.

In previous studies, RA activity or severity has been linked with cognitive dysfunction [26]. Clinical symptomatic features of RA including chronic pain and psychological distress were related with cognitive dysfunction [27,28]. However, these factors were not significant predictors of cognitive dysfunction in this study. The possible reasons of these conflicting findings may be either the relatively low levels of disease activity (mean DAS-28 score=2.20 [range=0.51~5.95]) and depressive mood (mean GDS score=3.94 [range=0~14]; 21.6% of participants appeared to be depressive, i.e., GDS score 8) in this group or the distinctive assessment methods. The aforementioned sociocultural characteristics of Korean older adults may also affect the study results. Korean older adults are inclined to bear their symptoms, especially psychological or cognitive symptoms, and are reluctant to take tests to be officially diagnosed by a health professional [12]. Strict social stigma to neuropsychiatric diseases perhaps constrains Korean older adults from openly reporting their psychological distress. Further studies should be conducted to verify the exact influence of these factors on cognitive dysfunction in persons with RA.

There are some limitations in this study. Only small numbers of individuals who were relatively healthy enough to finish a range of tests possibly may limit the generalizability of study findings. Although the required test-taking skill for the computerized neurocognitive assessments used in this study was clicking mouse buttons, which was simple and easy, and the research nurse helped the participants who needed any kind of assistance, the lack of familiarity with computers might influence the cognitive test results.

Despite some limitations, this study has several strengths. The classification standards of cognitive dysfunction in this study are analogous in rigor to those in other studies on rheumatic diseases [4,16]. An extensive range of cognitive dysfunction in RA can be evaluated, as even mild cognitive problems can interrupt daily functioning, medication adherence, and self-care [4]. This study results can be even more significant in that the participants’ current conditions are relatively healthy with fewer disease-related symptoms.

To my knowledge, this is the first study that provided rich information on cognitive subdomains in Korean patients with RA and possible predictors of cognitive dysfunction using a set of computerized neuropsychological tests. As a computerized neuropsychological test is automated and standardized, a subject’s cognitive function can be assessed objectively and precisely with less time and cost, and minimal external influence (e.g., environment, administrators) [12]. Test results can be easily and rapidly calculated, analyzed, and compared with norms [29]. Therefore, by using a variety of computerized neuropsychological tests, a patient’s cognitive function can be explored comprehensively.

This study has noteworthy implications for gerontological health care. The findings of this study underscore the potential significance of applying a computerized cognitive function test in practice as a meaningful and necessary strategy for older adults with RA who may have an enhanced risk of cognitive decline because of aging and disease process. In both clinical and research settings, bedside mental status screening tests have been widely used for assessing cognitive function due to their accessibility and efficiency. However, a few studies have reported the inconsistency between self-reported symptoms and objectively measured results of cognitive dysfunction in diverse populations, including RA patients [12]. Because older adults have distinctive features related to aging process such as hearing loss or geriatric depression which may cause confusion with cognitive dysfunction, accurate evaluation of cognitive function is even more challenging. Future studies should focus on identifying the best assessment strategy of cognitive function in this population.

In practice, health care professionals should prudently evaluate the cognitive function of older patients with RA, particularly those with comorbid CVD risk. The increased CVD risk, which is a renowned aggravating factor of cognitive dysfunction in the general population, has been substantially noticed in RA. Adequate CVD treatment
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and prevention may advance cognitive function or hinder the occurrence of cognitive alterations [4]. The American Heart Association stated that hypertension may stimulate cognitive decline pathology by ischemic injury to white matter regions which is crucial for cognitive function [30]. Further studies identifying both the impact of CVD in causing cognitive decline and the role of management and prevention of CVD in relieving these neuropsychiatric alterations are necessary.

CONCLUSION

The present study explored the proportion and possible predicting variables of cognitive dysfunction in Korean patients with RA. A considerable number of RA patients were observed to have cognitive dysfunction in this study. Less education, lower family income, and increased accumulative number of CVD risk factors were the significant factors affecting cognitive impairment in persons with RA. The study results indicate the need for reinforcing the potential health problems related to cognitive dysfunction in older adults with RA. Further investigation on what etiological variables contribute to cognitive impairment and how to best assess cognitive impairment in RA should be conducted.
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