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Abstract Biodeterioration is one of the most important processes in metal pipeline corrosion, and it can be due to physical, chemical, and biological factors. Coatings rich in silver have been used to inhibit this undesirable phenomenon. In this study, the antimicrobial properties of several silver-containing products used as a coating in pipelines were determined on a pilot scale in order to evaluate the ability of silver to inhibit biofilm formation. The results showed that the coating with silver zeolite at a concentration of 2000 mg L⁻¹ inhibited the formation of a microbial biofilm and prevented the biodeterioration process. Therefore, from our study, it can be concluded that silver zeolite shows greater protection capacity than other silver preparations and presents advantages in relation to other silver coatings that are currently available.
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1 Introduction

Corrosion is one of the most important processes in pipeline deterioration (Cheng, 2013). It can be due to physical, chemical, and biological factors (Maruthamuthu et al., 2011; Santillan et al., 2015). Several kinds of microorganisms have been described as responsible for initiating or accelerating the corrosion process (Videla & Herrera, 2009). In this context, the formation of microbial biofilms affects different metal pipes, such as those in water distribution system. Biofilms are composed of an association of colonies of microorganisms and organic and/or inorganic material that causes corrosion of the pipes (McNeill & Edwards, 2001). Microbial communities that are commonly associated with pipeline corrosion include sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB), acetogenic bacteria, and methanogens (Dinh et al., 2004; Liang et al., 2014; Okoro et al., 2016). The presence of biofilms in pipelines also affects the colour of the water (Husband et al., 2008), as well as its smell and taste (Szewzyk et al., 2000). In addition, the formation of biofilms can lead to serious hygiene problems and economic losses due to the
deterioration of equipment (Bremer et al., 2006), whose repairation costs are around millions of dollars per year (Valix et al., 2012; Sánchez-Silva & Rosowsky, 2008).

Several strategies have been tested to prevent biofilm formation; however, nowadays there are no known techniques capable of preventing or successfully controlling the formation of biofilms without causing other undesired effects. Until now, the most extended practice to prevent the formation of these bacterial accumulations has been to regularly clean and disinfect the pipelines (Midelet & Carpentier, 2004; Simoes et al., 2005). Another possible solution is to use pipe coatings with antimicrobial agents. To date, numerous studies have been carried out to verify the antimicrobial capacity and/or resistance to colonization of various materials and compounds. Some of these studies are listed in Table 1.

However, many of them are not effective (Momba and Kfir, 2000) because several of the biofilm-forming bacterial species show resistance to disinfectant treatments (Ridgway & Olson, 1982). For this reason, it is necessary to deeply investigate other possible options to reduce biofilm formation in pipeline networks.

In studies carried out in recent years, it has been proven that silver (Ag) is able to act strongly against a wide range of bacterial and fungal species (Cao & Liu, 2010). In fact, surfaces treated with silver have a notable inhibitory capacity in the formation of biofilms (Hashimoto, 2001; Klueh et al., 2000). It has been observed that coatings rich in silver result in complete inhibition of microbial adherence. However, the antimicrobial properties of silver are not well-known. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the antimicrobial properties of several products used as coatings in pipelines that contain different amounts of silver and to evaluate the inhibition of the biofilm formation process in metal pipes. This study was carried out on both a lab scale using pure cultures of microorganisms previously described as excellent biofilm formers and under pilot plant conditions that reproduced real operating conditions.

### Table 1 Treatment used for biofilm detachment

| Treatment | Biofilm | Reference |
|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Ozone, chlorinated commercial disinfectant | *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Alcaligenes faecalis* | Greene et al. (1993) |
| Benzalkonium chloride, hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide, sodium hypochlorite, peracetic acid, hydrogen peroxide | *Escherichia coli* | Ntsama-Essomba et al. (1997) |
| Chlorine, peracetic acid, peroctanoic acid | Mixed biofilm of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Pseudomonas sp.* | Fatemi and Frank (1999) |
| Disinfectant containing chlorine dioxide | Biofilms of *Bacillus cereus* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* | Lindsay et al. (2002) |
| Chlorine | *Escherichia coli* | Lomander et al. (2004) |
| Chlorinated alkaline solution, detergent with low phosphate concentrations, double peracid solution, alkaline solution, hypochlorite | *Listeria monocytogenes* | Somers and Wong (2004) |
| Sodium hydroxide, alkaline cleaner for professional use | *Pseudomonas putida* | Antoniou and Frank (2005) |
| Glutaraldehyde, ortho-phthalaldehyde, hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide, sodium dodecyl sulphate | *Pseudomonas fluorescens* | Simoes et al. (2005) |
| Sodium hydroxide, nitric acid | Mixed species | Bremer et al. (2006) |
| Peroxides, quaternary ammonium compounds | *Listeria monocytogenes* | Pan et al. (2006) |
| Hydrogen peroxide, sodium dichloroisocyanurate, peracetic acid | *Staphylococcus aureus* | Marques et al. (2007) |
| Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), microcrystalline cellulose (MCC), silver nanoparticles | *Escherichia coli* and *S. aureus* | Jankauskaite et al. (2014) |
| Hydroxyapatite doped with zinc, hydroxyapatite doped with silver | *Candida albicans* | Groza et al. (2016) |
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Antibacterial Products

Ten rectangular sections of metal pipes previously washed with ethanol, bleach, and distilled water were coated with antimicrobial agents containing different concentrations of silver. The metal sections coated with the antimicrobial paints were supplied by the Cetaqua Foundation. An additional section with any type of coating was used as a control. A total of 11 sections were tested (Table 2).

2.2 Bacteria

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 was selected as a model microorganism to assay its capacity to form a biofilm on the different surfaces used in this study. This bacterium has been reported as microorganism being able to form biofilms on iron and other metal surfaces (Kang et al. 2006; Steele et al. 1994; Wallace et al., 1994).

2.3 Batch Experiments

Batch studies were conducted by immersing each section of the metal pipes into 1-L beakers containing 600 mL of trypticase soy broth (TSB) (Fig. 1). All beakers were inoculated with a bacterial suspension of *P. aeruginosa* to obtain an initial optical density of 0.04. To avoid anaerobic conditions, the beakers were incubated in an orbital shaker (HWY-211) at 30 °C and 60 rpm for 4 days.

2.4 Biofilm Quantification

After 4 days of incubation, all sections of the metal pipes were softly washed with sterile distilled water to separate non-adhered biomass from the plates. Then, adhered biofilms were separated by sonication of each piece for 10 min in 200 mL of sterile distilled water. Each sample was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 40 min, and the obtained biomass was collected and weighed. To confirm the results, this process was done twice. All determinations were carried out in triplicate. After that, the two most effective coatings were chosen for intense research in a pilot-scale plant.

2.5 Description of the Pilot-Scale Experimental Plant

A pilot plant on a lab scale was used in this research. The pilot plant was built with stainless steel. It consisted of 9 hermetically closed compartments with unit dimensions of 300×90×90 mm (length×width×height). The flow within each compartment was horizontal. In the centre of each compartment, one section of metal pipe was inserted perpendicular to the water flow (Fig. 2a and b). The water used in our experiment was obtained from a well located in the city of Manilva (Malaga) used to supply drinking water. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

| Treatment | Painting with antibacterial agent | Silver in paint used for coating (mg/mL) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1         | Silver antibacterial gel         | 380                                    |
| 2         | Silver antibacterial gel         | 2850                                   |
| 3         | AgCl + TiO₂                      | 375                                    |
| 4         | AgCl + TiO₂                      | 3750                                   |
| 5         | ZeAg (silver zeolite)            | 500                                    |
| 6         | ZeAg (silver zeolite)            | 2000                                   |
| 7         | Colloidal silver                 | 1300                                   |
| 8         | CPS (silver citrate)             | 100                                    |
| 9         | FZrAg (zirconium and silver phosphate) | 400                                    |
| 10        | FZrAg (zirconium and silver phosphate) | 4000                                   |
| Control   | No treatment                     | 0                                      |
The vertical disposition of the metal pipe sections in a plane perpendicular to the water flow realistically simulated the water flow through the abstraction grids of a real well. In the nine compartments, which operated independently in parallel, the following three types of materials were installed:
three control metal sections without antimicrobial paint, three metal sections treated with silver zeolite (ZeAg) (treatment 6), and three metal sections treated with colloidal silver (treatment 7).

The physicochemical properties of groundwater were checked weekly throughout the experiment. One of the three metal sections of each treatment was collected after 3, 6, and 9 weeks, respectively, and the formation of biofilms was analyzed following the protocol described above. The groundwater used to feed the pilot plant was obtained from a supply well in the city of Manilva (Malaga). The physicochemical and microbiological composition of water is detailed in Table 3.

Thus, the system consisted of three water supply tanks made of polyethylene, with a unit capacity of 15 L. Inside each tank, a submerged pump was incorporated, which provided a constant flow of 50 L min⁻¹. With this flow, identical for the nine compartments, and taking into account the sectional area of the compartments (90×90 mm²), the water flow velocity was in them of 10 cm s⁻¹. This velocity is on the order of the flow velocity for the abstraction water in the well gratings of real installations.

The physicochemical properties of groundwater were checked weekly throughout the experiment (9 weeks). The metal pipe sections were collected after 3, 6, and 9 weeks, and biofilm formation was analyzed following the protocol described above.

### 2.6 Statistical Study

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the software package Statgraphics 3.0 Plus version (STSC Inc., Rockville, MD, USA) in order to identify the antimicrobial effects of the different coats used. A significance level of 95% (p < 0.05) was selected.

### 3 Results and Discussion

Several techniques have been designed to prevent biofilm formation, and most of them consist of periodically cleaning and disinfecting the equipment with chemical compounds (Midelet & Carpentier, 2004), the installation of biofilm detectors to monitor surface colonization (Pereira et al., 2008), or the identification of materials that avoid or hinder the accumulation of microbiological biomass (Rogers et al., 1994). However, none of these methods have completely inhibited the adhesion of microorganisms to materials. For this reason, in recent years, attempts have been made to avoid biofilm formation by incorporating antimicrobial products onto the surfaces of materials (Park et al., 2004; Weng et al., 1999). As has been shown in other studies (Hashimoto, 2001; Klueh et al., 2000), compounds that contain silver in their formulation appear to be highly effective since almost complete inhibition of bacterial adherence is observed. Thus, the results offered in this study were focused on evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of different treatments to prevent biofilm development.

Table 4 shows the amount of biomass adhered to each section of pipe material. It was evident that the size of the biofilms was larger in the control section without treatment than in the section treated with a silver coating. The percent reduction increased from 34.01% for treatment 1 to 96.18% for treatment 6 and 87.9% for treatment 7.

In our study, it was concluded that treatments 6 and 7 (silver zeolite) were the most effective at preventing the formation of biofilms. In recent years, silver compounds have been applied in various fields because of their low toxicity for human health, low volatility, and high thermal stability (Wai-Yin Sun et al., 2005). In this respect, it has been observed that silver delays the growth of microbial biofilms (Silver, 2003). Thus, the data obtained in this work show that silver zeolite and colloidal silver have a remarkable ability to reduce biofilm formation.

### Table 3 Physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of the groundwater used in the pilot-plant experiments

| Physicochemical characteristics | Microbial counts (CFU 100 mL⁻¹) | Enterococcus | E. coli |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| EC* 1525 s/m                   |                                 | 0            | 0      |
| pH 7.8                         |                                 |              |        |
| Cations (mg L⁻¹) Calcium 137.1 | Anions (mg L⁻¹) Bicarbonate 329 |              |        |
| Magnesium 41.4                 | Chlorides 305                   |              |        |
| Potassium 2.8                  | Nitrates 1.3                    |              |        |
| Sodium 160.2                   | Sulphates 157                   |              |        |

*EC, electrical conductivity
Moreover, our data suggest that those treatments produce better results than other metallic coatings in relation to their inhibitory effect on the formation of biofilms. Matsumura et al. (2003) studied the antimicrobial activity of silver zeolite and silver nitrate against *Escherichia coli*, and they proved that silver zeolite was more effective at inhibiting biofilm formation. Kim et al. (2011) reported that the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* were inhibited on surfaces treated with silver nanoparticles, even when external conditions such as pH or temperature were modified.

In addition to the aforementioned results, it was found that the metal pipe sections covered with zeolite paint suffered less biodeterioration than those subjected to other treatments (Fig. 3). Thus, when the sections that underwent treatments 6 and 7 were observed, it was evident that they remained practically unchanged throughout the study period, and this was not detected in the other sections and especially in the control without any treatment.

### Table 4

Biofilm formation in metallic sections of pipes covered with paints containing different concentrations of silver

| Treatment | Weight (g)    | Reduction (%) | Qualitative appreciation |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| 1         | 0.475 ± 0.25  | 34.01         | Poor                     |
| 2         | 0.425 ± 0.09  | 38.72         | Poor                     |
| 3         | 0.425 ± 0.11  | 38.96         | Poor                     |
| 4         | 0.225 ± 0.04  | 67.32         | Poor                     |
| 5         | 0.115 ± 0.09  | 81.39         | Good                     |
| 6         | 0.025 ± 0.01  | 96.18         | Excellent                |
| 7         | 0.080 ± 0.01  | 87.92         | Excellent                |
| 8         | 0.400 ± 0.14  | 43.27         | Good                     |
| 9         | 0.255 ± 0.09  | 63.87         | Good                     |
| 10        | 0.400 ± 0.05  | 40.94         | Good                     |
| Control   | 0.695 ± 0.16  | –             | –                        |

Data are the mean of three replicates ± the standard deviation

---

**Fig. 3** Images of metal pipes covered with paints containing different silver preparations (treatments 1 to 10) after 4 days of incubation in liquid medium (trypticase soy broth) inoculated with *P. aeruginosa*
After studies were carried out on a laboratory scale (Fig. 3), metal pipes treated with silver zeolite (treatment) and colloidal silver (treatment 7) were selected for further studies on a pilot-plant scale. In this sense, to establish the level of protection of the coating systems (treatments 6 and 7) in relation to the control, the amount of adhered biomass (biofilm) was determined as well as the number of microorganisms in enriched and minimal culture (Table 5). The experiment on the pilot-scale level was developed for a period of 8 weeks, and, together with the previously indicated parameters, other environmental parameters such as pH, dissolved oxygen, and temperature were established (Table 5). The analysis of the environmental characteristics suggests that with small modifications, the metallic sections (with or without coating) were subjected to similar environmental conditions, and, consequently, the different results of microbial colonization can be attributed to the coating of the pipe.

Regarding the amount of adhered biomass in the metallic sections, the data showed a decrease of approximately 50% (treatment 6) and 20% (treatment 7) compared to the control (Fig. 4). The weight of the biomass (biofilm) collected from the control plates hovered around 0.2 g per metal section, while the weights of biomass from treatments 6 and 7 were around 0.1 g per metal section and 0.16 g per metal section, respectively.

The results obtained on the pilot-plant scale confirmed those previously observed on the lab scale, suggesting that treatments 6 and 7 (especially 6) protect pipes from biofilm formation under the experimental conditions. As can be observed, the biomass adhered in plates treated with silver zeolite (200 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and colloidal silver (treatments 6 and 7) varied significantly during the experimental period. Thus, it could be suggested that inorganic silver materials, especially silver zeolite, behave as strong inhibitors of the formation of microbial biofilms. Although several researchers (Hashimoto, 2001; Klueh et al., 2000; Percival et al., 2012; Saengmee et al., 2013; Song et al., 2011) have reported that silver inhibits biofilm formation, our study shows that not all coatings containing silver have the same inhibition capacity, and it is evident that the coating with silver zeolite provides the best results. Obviously, there is no deep knowledge of the bactericidal mechanisms of the action of silver against microbial cells, although Lara et al. (2010) and Li et al. (2010) suggested that silver ions can join with structures that envelope microorganisms, such as cell walls or cell membranes, respectively. Probably, the silver zeolite coating in the pipes probably allowed for greater antimicrobial availability and, consequently, a greater inhibitory effect. However, this consideration requires a more detailed study and could be the subject of future analysis.

Together with the study carried out on the formation of biofilms in the metallic sections, we were able to verify that the treatments of the pipes resulted in a lower degree of deterioration. Thus, as can be seen in Fig. 5, the sections covered with silver zeolite did not suffer any visual alteration during the experiment. However, a completely different case was observed in the uncoated pipe, where significant deterioration was evident. Ultimately, the coating with silver zeolite reduces detectable deterioration processes, and, consequently, the pipes are well-protected from the formation of microbial biofilms and other biodeterioration processes.

4 Conclusions

Our study shows that the coating of metal pipes with paint containing silver zeolite at a concentration of 2000 mg L\(^{-1}\) drastically inhibits the formation of microbial biofilms and prevents environmental biodeterioration processes. In this sense, silver zeolite showed greater protection capacity than other silver preparations, which suggests that the antimicrobial activity of silver is favoured as silver zeolite. The results seem to suggest that this type of coating presents advantages in relation to others tested, allowing us to suggest that from a practical point of view, the coating with paint containing silver zeolite is more suitable for the protection of metal pipes than other coatings that are currently available.
| Sampling  | pH   | Redox potential (mV) | O₂ saturation (%) | O₂ (mg L⁻¹) | Temperature (°C) | Biofilm weight (g) | Reduction (%) | CFU mL⁻¹ (rich medium) | CFU mL⁻¹ (oligotrophic medium) |
|----------|------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Day 0    |      |                      |                   |             |                 |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Control  | 7.2  | 174.9                | 52.4              | 4.3         | 23.7            | Not determined    | Not determined | Not determined        | Not determined                    |
| Treatment 6 | 8.0  | 172.8                | 49.2              | 3.9         | 23.6            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Treatment 7 | 8.3  | 174.3                | 48.9              | 3.8         | 23.8            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Week 1   |      |                      |                   |             |                 |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Control  | 6.8  | 174.2                | 74.0              | 5.5         | 24.0            | 0.19406           | 8.4×10⁵       | 7.8×10⁵               |                                   |
| Treatment 6 | 7.6  | 184.4                | 70.0              | 5.5         | 24.0            | 0.09767           | 49.67         | 3.8×10⁵               | 3.1×10⁵                           |
| Treatment 7 | 8.0  | 171.5                | 71.0              | 5.4         | 23.9            | 0.14512           | 25.21         | 4.6×10⁵               | 3.9×10⁵                           |
| Week 4   |      |                      |                   |             |                 |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Control  | 6.4  | 255.7                | 72.0              | 5.2         | 26.9            | Not determined    | Not determined | Not determined        | Not determined                    |
| Treatment 6 | 7.1  | 249.1                | 74.2              | 5.5         | 26.2            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Treatment 7 | 7.6  | 244.5                | 73.9              | 5.4         | 26.9            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Week 5   |      |                      |                   |             |                 |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Control  | 6.5  | 240.2                | 70.9              | 5.2         | 27.1            | 0.18168           | 8.1×10⁵       | 6.4×10⁵               |                                   |
| Treatment 6 | 7.3  | 231.3                | 72.3              | 5.3         | 26.7            | 0.08438           | 53.55         | 3.7×10⁵               | 3.0×10⁵                           |
| Treatment 7 | 7.8  | 230.1                | 69.0              | 5.1         | 26.6            | 0.14932           | 17.81         | 2.1×10⁵               | 1.7×10⁵                           |
| Week 6   |      |                      |                   |             |                 |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Control  | 6.2  | 245.7                | 72.6              | 5.1         | 26.5            | Not determined    | Not determined | Not determined        | Not determined                    |
| Treatment 6 | 7.1  | 237.1                | 73.5              | 5.3         | 26.3            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Treatment 7 | 7.4  | 244.5                | 74.9              | 5.2         | 26.3            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Week 7   |      |                      |                   |             |                 |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Control  | 6.2  | 241.7                | 72.1              | 5.3         | 26.6            | Not determined    | Not determined | Not determined        | Not determined                    |
| Treatment 6 | 7.2  | 242.1                | 69.8              | 5.1         | 26.5            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Treatment 7 | 7.4  | 244.5                | 73.9              | 5.2         | 26.6            |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Week 8   |      |                      |                   |             |                 |                   |               |                      |                                   |
| Control  | 6.2  | 239.4                | 70.2              | 5.0         | 26.6            | 0.23515           | 1.4×10⁶       | 8.4×10⁵               |                                   |
| Treatment 6 | 7.1  | 246.5                | 75.2              | 5.3         | 26.7            | 0.117634          | 49.97         | 6.7×10⁵               | 5.9×10⁵                           |
| Treatment 7 | 7.0  | 242.5                | 73.9              | 5.2         | 26.7            | 0.18308           | 22.14         | 8.1×10⁵               | 7.5×10⁵                           |
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Fig. 4 Biomass adhered to metal sections treated with silver paint (treatments 6 and 7) and sections not treated with silver paint (control). Different letters indicate significant differences among samples.

Fig. 5 Images of the metal sections with (treatments 6 and 7) or without (control) a silver coating. Sampling 1, 1 week; sampling 2, 5 weeks; sampling 3, 8 weeks.
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