Finite-sample frequency distributions originating from an equiprobability distribution
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Given an equidistribution for probabilities \( p(i) = 1/N, \) \( i = 1 \ldots N. \) What is the expected corresponding rank ordered frequency distribution \( f(i), \) \( i = 1 \ldots N, \) if an ensemble of \( M \) events is drawn?

I. INTRODUCTION

The probability \( p(i) \) to draw an event \( i \) from a set of \( N \) possible events is defined as the limit

\[
p(i) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{M_i}{M}, \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_j = M
\]

where \( f(i) \equiv M_i/M \) is the relative frequency to find the \( i \)-th event \( M_i \) times in a randomly chosen sample of \( M. \) According to the law of large numbers the relative frequencies stochastically converge to the corresponding probabilities, hence, for very large sample size \( M \gg N \) we can practically identify both values. For smaller sample size, however, the distribution of relative frequencies may deviate significantly from the probability distribution (e.g. \([10, 3, 2, 0, \ldots]\) and many others). Assume further the equidistribution \( p(i) = 1/N, \) then for very large sample size \( M \gg N \) one expects that all or almost all of the \( N \) possible events are found in the sample and occur with approximately equal frequency, whereas in the opposite case \( M \ll N \) almost all events occur only once or a few times in the sample, i.e. the latter frequency distribution will deviate significantly from the former one. From this simple argumentation one may conclude that the frequency distribution which one expects depends sensitively on the sample size \( M. \) This type of finite size effects is of major relevance for statistical analysis of DNA and other biosequences, e.g. \([10, 3, 2, 0, \ldots]\). In this article we want to calculate the expected frequency distribution which one finds in dependence on \( M \) how many events (on average) are not drawn, i.e. are drawn zero times, how many are drawn once, twice etc.

In the next section we derive the expectation value for the number \( \langle K_i \rangle \) of those events which occur \( i \) times in the sample. The analytic expression is then used to infer the unknown number \( N \) of total events and to compare the theoretically expected Zipf ordered frequency distribution with the measured one. The results are useful in connection with entropy estimates computed from finite samples.

II. THE NUMBER \( K_i \) OF DIFFERENT EVENTS EACH OCCURRING EXACTLY \( i \) TIMES AND ITS EXPECTATION VALUE \( \langle K_i \rangle \)

The result of \( M \) subsequent drawings from a set of \( N \) different equiprobable events can be identified with randomly placing \( M \) indistinguishable balls in \( N \) indistinguishable urns, each having the same probability \( N^{-1}. \) Denoting the number of urns containing exactly \( i \) balls by \( k_i, \) a possible outcome can be shortly described by the vector \( (k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_M) \); this is what we call a cluster configuration. The number of empty urns is given by \( k_0 \) and, consequently, the number of occupied urns by \( N - k_0. \) Any admissible cluster configuration obeys the following two conditions

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{M} k_i = N \quad \text{(total number of urns)} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{M} k_i = M \quad \text{(total number of balls)} \quad (3)
\]

We are interested in the stochastic variable \( K_i, \) denoting the number of urns each filled with exactly \( i \) balls, and its expectation value \( \langle K_i \rangle . \) Introducing for each \( i = 0, 1, \ldots \) and each urn \( j = 1, \ldots, N \) its related indicator \( I_i(j) \) by the following definition

\[
I_i(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if urn } j \text{ contains exactly } i \text{ balls} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}
\]

the random variable \( K_i \) is related to the stochastic indicators by \( K_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} I_i(j). \) Due to the additivity of the
expectation operator we find
\[ \langle K_i \rangle = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} I_i(j) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle I_i(j) \rangle = N \langle I_i(j) \rangle, \quad (5) \]

where we have used that all \( \langle I_i(j) \rangle \) are identical. The probability to find exactly \( i \) balls in any of the urns (here labeled \( j \)) and the remaining balls distributed arbitrarily among the remaining \( N-1 \) urns is the binomial distribution, hence,
\[ \langle K_i \rangle = N \binom{M}{i} \frac{1}{N^i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right)^{(M-i)}. \quad (6) \]
The expectation value \( \langle K_i \rangle \) indicates how often events in a sample of size \( M \) occur exactly \( i \) times on average. We call these occupation numbers \( i \)-clusters. Obviously, for small \( M \ll N \) nearly all of the \( N \) possible events are 0-clusters, i.e., they do not occur in our sample. As \( M \) increases the number of single occupations increases as well. For still growing \( M \) the number of multiple occupation becomes larger and, therefore, the number of 1-clusters decreases as more and more events occur multiple times in the sample. Figure 1 shows the occupation of the \( N \) possible different events as a function of the sample size \( M \). The lines show the theoretical result Eqs. (5) and the symbols in the left of Fig. 1 show the clusters as they have been found in sets of random numbers.

If we draw only once a sample of size \( M \) from a set of \( N \) possible events and calculate the occupation numbers (the cluster frequencies) the cluster distribution itself is fluctuating (Fig. 2, filled dots). Averaging the cluster distribution over a number of independent selections each of size \( M \) the cluster distribution converges to the theoretical curve predicted by Eq. (5). Figure 2 shows the cluster distribution as found from a random sample of size \( M \) out of \( N = 1000 \) allowed events together with cluster distributions averaged over 100 independent drawings.

III. ZIPF ORDERED FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION

Equation (6) allows to determine the expectation value of the number of different events \( N^* \) in dependence on the total number of drawn events \( M \), which is simply related to the expected probability to find a cluster of size zero:
\[ N^* = N - \langle K_0 \rangle, \quad (7) \]
from which we compute
\[ \frac{N^*}{N} = 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right)^M = 1 - \exp \left[ M \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \right] \\
= 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{M}{N} \right) \cdot \exp \left[ -\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{M}{N^2} \right) \right] \quad (8) \]

From Eq. (8) we see that for all \( M \ll N^2 \) Eq. (8) can be approximated to very good accuracy by
\[ \frac{N^*}{N} \approx 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{M}{N} \right), \quad (9) \]
a result which has been found before by computer simulations \[8\]. The maximal absolute deviation is \( N^* - N^*_a = 1/e \approx 0.37 \) (for \( N = M = 1 \)) which falls rapidly to \( 1/(2e) \approx 0.18 \) as \( N \) goes to infinity. In Fig. 3 the analytical result (8) is compared with a simulation. The impulses in the figure show the results of a single realization. If we average the numerical results over several runs the numerical curve falls together with the analytical one.

For the wide range of practical interest, \( 5/8 \leq N^*_a/M < 1 \), from Eq. (9) we may approximate the entropy of the distribution if we know the number of dif-
FIG. 2: If samples of $M$ events are drawn independently, the resulting averaged cluster distribution converges to the theoretical value Eq. (6). The filled dots show the distribution of clusters of size 2 (top) and 6 (bottom) resulting from a single drawing. The diamonds are averaged cluster distributions over 100 independent drawings of random numbers. The solid lines are the theoretical values according to Eq. (6).

FIG. 3: Number of different events $N^*$ in a sample of size $M$ drawn from an equidistribution $p_i = 1/N$. The dashed line shows the analytical result Eq. (10), the impulses show the results of a computer simulation. top: $N = 1000$, bottom: $N = 100$.

We want to compile the results from the previous section to find the desired Zipf ordered frequency distribution. Equation (6) tells how many, in average, events do not occur in the sample (drawn zero times), how many are drawn once, twice, etc. This yields directly the Zipf ordered frequency distribution

$$f(i) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } N \geq i > N - \langle K_0 \rangle \\ 1 \text{ for } N - \langle K_0 \rangle \geq i > N - \langle K_0 \rangle - \langle K_1 \rangle \\ \cdots \\ j \text{ for } N - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \langle K_k \rangle \geq i > N - \sum_{k=0}^{j} \langle K_k \rangle. \end{cases}$$

(11)

Using Stirling’s formula to expand the expressions in Eq. (6), the analytical result Eq. (11) can be written easily in elementary functions.

Figure 4 shows Zipf ordered frequency distributions calculated from a sample of random numbers (dashed lines) together with the theoretical distributions due to Eq. (11) (solid lines). The combinatorial theory derived in the previous section predicts the Zipf ordered frequency distribution which results from an equidistribution with good accuracy.

IV. DISCUSSION

Based on combinatorial considerations we calculated the expectation values to find $k_i$ events exactly $i$ times in a sample of size $M$ which have been drawn from an equidistribution. These cluster probabilities allow to estimate the total number of events $N$, given the number of different events found in a sample of size $M$ is known. Moreover, the full Zipf ordered frequency distribution could be constructed. By numerical simulations it has been demonstrated that the analytically derived val-
FIG. 4: Zipf ordered frequency distributions calculated from samples of size $M$ from a equiprobability distribution of $N = 1000$ different random numbers (dashed lines). The theoretical curve due to Eq. (11) is drawn with solid lines.
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