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Abstract

Urinary tract infection (UTI) poses a serious health issue for all age groups from neonates to geriatric age groups. Majority of the women experience urinary tract infections once in their lifetime. Escherichia coli (E.coli) is the most common uropathogen causing UTI which is followed by Klebsiella and Enterococci. Amoxicillin is the most resistant antibiotic against both E.coli and Klebsiella pneumonia whereas Gentamicin and Nitrofurantoin have been sensitive to both E.coli and Klebsiella pneumonia. Gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli, Klebsiella species, Proteus species, Acinetobacter species, Enterobacter species, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Citrobacter species are the most common causes of UTI. Enterococcus species, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, and Coagulase-negative bacteria are among Gram-positive bacteria. Staphylococcus aureus is a coagulase-positive gram-positive bacteria that cause urinary tract infections (UTIs). Hence, we come to the serious conclusion that Uropathogens are becoming increasingly resistant to a different group of antibiotics. The misuse and indiscriminate use of antimicrobials have led to the emergence of antibiotic resistance in bacteria all over the world, posing a threat to the public. Estimating the local etiology and sensitivity pattern could help in successful treatment. Inquiring into UTI epidemiology, such as risk factors, bacterial strains, and antimicrobial susceptibility, could help healthcare planners determine the best course of action.
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INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the most frequent bacterial infection that causes patients to seek medical attention. Approximately 10% of people will develop a urinary tract infection (UTI) at some point in their lives. UTIs are also the most common infection associated with hospitals and healthcare. In comparison to male urethras, female urethras are shorter and are located near the perirectal region. After the age of 60, the risk of UTI in men increases as the prostate enlarges and interferes with the clearance of urine from the bladder. In females, the incidence of bacteriuria steadily rises over time. The link between UTIs and sexual activity may potentially play a role in the increased occurrence. Changes in anatomical structure, as well as hormonal changes, grows the threat of bacteriuria during pregnancy, which leads to a growing threat of UTI. The most common cause of simple UTI is *E. coli*. UPEC causes UTIs that are distinct from other UTIs at the molecular level. Other bacteria that cause urinary tract infections include *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *Enterococci*, and others. The relative prevalence of infection caused by *Proteus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella*, and *Enterobacteria* spp. increases in complicated UTIs. Furthermore, community-acquired UTIs are becoming increasingly linked to multidrug-resistant pathogens such as beta-lactamase-resistant *E. coli*. *E. coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Proteus* spp., *Staphylococi*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter* spp., and *Candida* spp. are the most common bacteria found in hospitalized patients (Table). ESBL, Beta-lactamase, Carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, and *Acinetobacter* spp. are becoming more common in healthcare-associated UTIs. Gram-negative bacteria like *Acinetobacter* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., *Gardnerella vaginalis*, and others are less typically isolated species. Immunocompromised patients, such as those who have had a renal transplant, are not only prone to conventional uropathogens, but also to opportunistic infections with uncommon pathogens. For example, urine specimens from renal transplant patients may include *Listeria monocytogenes*, which are linked to systemic illness. During the early stages of typhoid illness, *Salmonella* spp. might be recovered. *Candida* spp. has been isolated from immunocompromised patients with diabetes and other diseases. Systemic infections can be caused by fungi such as *Blastomyces dermatitidis*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, and others. Viruses and parasites do not have a role in UTI development. Haemorrhagic cystitis in children, on the other hand, is thought to be caused by adenovirus. *Trichomonas vaginalis* can be found in urine sediment on rare occasions. The three forms of infection are ascending, hematogenous, and lymphatic. The ascending route is the usual source of infection in women. Instrumentation used in healthcare, such as urinary catheterization, cystoscopy, and other procedures, causes UTIs in both men and women. Bacteria can be propelled into the bladder via the urethra and then move down the track within the catheter and the urethral mucosa, gaining access to the bladder. Hematogenous or blood-borne UTIs are also possible. Bacteraemia is the most repeated reason for hematogenous spread. Seeding of the kidney can occur with any systemic infection, but with specific species, such as *Staph. Aureus* or *Salmonella*, are more invasive. Hematogenous spread accounts for more than 5% of UTIs and happens only rarely with gram-negative bacteria. Finally, increasing bladder pressure might result in lymphatic movement into the kidneys, resulting in a UTI. Because urine has a low pH, a high or low osmolality, a high urea content, or a high organic acid content, microbes that are resistant to antibiotics thrive in it. The mucosal surfaces of the bladder also have antibacterial characteristics. As a result, if the infection is not completely cleared, the infection site will remain on the superficial mucosa, with deep layers of the bladder rarely being affected. In addition, a valve-like mechanism at the ureter-bladder junction prevents urine from the bladder from refluxing into the upper urinary tract. Urine reflux provides a direct channel for organisms to reach the kidney if valve function is hindered by obstruction or congenital abnormalities. Urine reflux to the urinary system is increased by hormonal changes linked with pregnancy and their impact on the urinary tract. Uropathogens activate the human immunological response, which aids in the fight against infection. Contact of bacteria with urothelial cells. Through a number of signaling mechanisms, it triggers an immunological
response. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide stimulates the release of cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and Interferon-gamma by activating host cells. Bacteria can also activate the complement cascade, which results in the creation of physiologically active components like opsonin. In the current situation, where antibiotic resistance patterns are rapidly changing and novel MDR bacteria are regularly appearing, morbidity and mortality are increasing. According to the European Survey of Antibiotic Consumption, multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria are the reason for the deaths of over 25,000 Europeans each year, most of whom die as a result of complications from UTIs. The Infectious Diseases Society of America suggests that geographical inspection be carried out to track changes in uropathogenic sensitivity in certain areas.

Pathophysiology

The link between uropathogens and host characteristics is poorly understood. Generally, E. coli is the most frequent uropathogen. Because of intrinsic or extrinsic urinary tract abnormalities, complicated UTIs are becoming more common in the elderly population. Complex UTIs are those that arise among immunosuppression patients, such as diabetes, or the presence of anatomical or functional abnormalities of the urinary tract. Uropathogens use a variety of virulence factors and pathogenic processes to colonize and infect the urinary system. The release of ammonia causes the pH of urine to rise, allowing urinary ions to precipitate in the form of crystals. Stones in the kidneys or bladder can induce Proteus infection. Another avenue for colonization of the urinary system is biofilm development, which is particularly crucial for stones and other foreign materials. "Uncomplicated" infections originated in a normal genitourinary tract with no prior instrumentation, whereas "complicated" infections are identified in the genitourinary tract with anatomical or functional abnormalities, covering equipment like catheters, Copper - T, and so on. The most common uropathogen associated with the development of UTI is Uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC). UPEC colonizes the bladder via a variety of virulence factors that play a key role in Urinary tract infection pathogenesis (Figure 2). The great larger part of Uropathogenic Escherichia coli collected from female patients with acute, asymptomatic, or recurring UTIs has flagellum-mediated motility. In an iron-deficient environment like the urinary system, the survival rate of UPEC is low as the iron acquisition is difficult. UTIs are most frequently seen in the bladder. UPEC commonly bypasses BECs and the bladder epithelium, despite their features. The urethra becomes polluted as bacteria colonize the intestines, and the infection usually starts there. The bladder epithelium is divided into 3 layers: a basal cell layer, an intermediate cell layer, and a superficial apical layer having diameters of 5-10 m, 20 m, and 25--
250 m respectively, referred to as "umbrella cells" (Figure 1). A basement membrane lies beneath the basal epithelium. Umbrella cells act an important role in protecting the body from the majority of toxins contained in urine.19

**Immunological aspects of MDR**

Several chemotherapeutic drugs, including daunorubicin, vincristine, and colchicine, are resistant to multidrug resistance (MDR), which is caused, in part, by the overexpression of P-glycoprotein.20 A P-glycoprotein overexpressing variation of the HL60 myeloid leukemia cell line is known as RV+ cells. In addition to showing conventional MDR, RV+ cells showed relative resistance to cytotoxicity caused by immunoglobulin G and M antibodies against several cell surface antigens, but not to cytotoxicity caused by antibodies alone or to killing brought on by lymphokines.21 Verapamil therapy and particular monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that can bind to P-glycoprotein and disrupt its action were both effective in reversing complement resistance. Further evidence is that the selection of the cells on vincristine did not lead to the resistance of RV+ cells. Investigations were conducted on a second system using P-glycoprotein-infected agents. The MDR1 gene-infected K562 cells, which were not subjected to chemotherapeutic drug selection, also showed some resistance to complement-mediated
Monoclonal antibodies that bind to P-glycoprotein were also effective in reversing this MDR1 infection-induced resistance. As a result, the P-glycoprotein-mediated MDR phenotype confers resistance to complement-mediated cytotoxicity. The MDR phenotype’s higher intracellular pH and lower membrane potential may cause aberrant membrane attack complex function. This finding may have ramifications for P-potential glycoprotein’s modes of action as well as its potential physiological function in preventing complement-mediated autolysis.23

Methods

Peer-reviewed articles were searched and referred for the uropathogens causing urinary tract infections. Articles from the past three decades were considered which include PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar. A review template was developed to extract information from the literature identified for the structured literature review.

DISCUSSION

A community bacterial UTI is the most common reason which needs attention for medical treatment. The most frequent aetiological agent causing UTI is Escherichia coli, which accounts for up to 90% of cases.24 The analysis showed that the antibiotic resistance rate is greater than a frequently used antibiotic. Amoxicillin resistance was reported in Escherichia coli and K. pneumoniae organisms, which is a very significant antibiotic. In the other case antibiotics like ceftriaxone, nitrofurantoin, and gentamycin exhibited very low levels of resistance, and past research in other countries found a similar percentage of sensitivity for these drugs.25 Finally, uropathogens with increased antibiotic resistance were identified. When it comes to selecting a drug for empirical UTI treatment, practitioners have limited options due to the finding of uropathogens are highly resistant to different common antibiotics.26 As a result, doctors and other field health workers must be reminded that empiric UTI treatment must be re-evaluated. There are two approaches to treating UPEC infections: directly and indirectly.27 Indirect techniques stimulate infected tissues for taking action against UPEC invasion, eliciting and enhancing immune responses. Direct techniques target bacteria viability, bladder epithelial adherence, and biofilm development; indirect techniques stimulate infected tissues and increase immune responses.28 It’s not impossible that new treatments will contain both antimicrobials and natural substances. According to a growing amount of research, reducing UPEC adhesion to urinary tract tissues helps reduce recurrence and improve health. Bacteria that cause UTIs have more aggressive virulence factors than non-pathogenic bacteria, which boost their host cell adhesion, colonization, and invasion abilities.29 To avoid being identified by the host’s immune system, these bacteria use virulence factors, which are made up of cell components like pili, capsules, lipopolysaccharides, and different surface features.30 Anatomical and physiological factors of humans, such as the urethra’s shorter length, contribute to an increased risk of UTI. Similarly, insufficient bladder emptying, particularly in the elderly, leads to the accumulation of leftover urine.31

CONCLUSION

Complex UTIs are those that arise with immunosuppressive, such as in diabetic patients, or in the presence of a defective urinary tract. As the population ages, complicated UTIs, which can be caused by abnormal urinary tract, are gradually increasing.32 Intrinsic abnormalities such as congenital deformities, a neurogenic bladder, and urinary fistulae are examples of
intrinsic abnormalities that may raise the risk of UTI. Stents and catheters are examples of extrinsic abnormalities that can cause UTIs. Uropathogens use a variety of virulence factors and pathogenic processes to colonize and infect the urinary system. Urease, an enzyme that hydrolyses urea (\(\text{CH}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}\)) to \(\text{NH}_3\) and \(\text{CO}_2\), is produced by several uropathogens, particularly \textit{Proteus spp}. The ammonia release increases the pH of the urine, promoting kidney or bladder stones, that may lead to \textit{P. mirabilis} infection. The other avenue for colonization in the urinary system is biofilm development, which is particularly crucial for stones and other foreign materials. \textit{P. aeruginosa} is recognized for its propensity to form biofilms, thanks to genes like alginate, which are essential for the biofilm's exopolysaccharide matrix formation. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms, like vancomycin-resistant \textit{enterococci} and \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae} with ESBL enzyme, are common in nosocomial UTIs. Among nosocomial infections, catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) is the most common, which consists of 40% of all.
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