Circuit-based method for extracting the resistive leakage current of metal oxide surge arrester
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Resistive leakage current based condition assessment of metal oxide surge arrester (MOSA) is one of the most extensively employed technique to monitor its degradation. An extraction method is customarily required to extract the resistive component from the total leakage current. The existing methods to extract the resistive current are complex and less accurate. Therefore, this paper describes a simple and accurate circuit-based method to extract the resistive current using equivalent model and measured leakage current of the arrester. The accuracy of the proposed method is validated through experimental results on ABB’s 120 kV surge arrester, EMTP and QuickField software simulations. The performance of the method is also analyzed and verified experimentally on 72, 180 and 240 kV rated ABB’s surge arresters. The obtained results of resistive leakage current have shown the maximum error of 0.001%. Simple and easier computational steps with higher accuracy are the key benefits of the proposed technique.
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\section{INTRODUCTION}

Surge arresters are employed to protect the transmission system from the over voltage caused by lightning and switching operations in the power system [1]. The general types of arresters are silicon carbide-based arresters with spark gaps and gapless metal oxide arresters. Gapped arresters are not able to limit the switching overvoltage effectively [2]. Therefore, the gapless arresters are developed; the most popular MOSA is the zinc oxide arrester [3]. Zinc oxide element offers high nonlinear voltage current characteristics and faster conduction response for high voltage surges [4, 5]. As the resistance of zinc oxide element cannot be made infinity, therefore it draws a continuous current at the normal operating voltage, known as leakage current [6, 7]. The arrester’s total leakage current consists of the resistive and capacitive components [8]. The resistive current varies with the changes in zinc oxide’s characteristics, operating voltage, ambient temperature, and environmental factors [9-12]. Therefore, it can be used as the most reliable indicator of ageing and degradation of MOSA [13].

Many techniques have been proposed for the extraction of resistive leakage current which include; capacitive current compensation method [14, 15], field probe method [16, 17] and current orthogonality method [4, 18-21]. In the current compensation method, the capacitive current is compensated by adjusting the amplitude and phase angle of the reference signal but the presence of harmonics in the capacitive current may interfere with the measurement of the nonlinear resistive current. In the field probe method, the third
The capacitance ‘C’ and resistance ‘R’ in the circuit model depend on the electrical properties and dimensions of the MOSA column. ‘C’ can be determined using (2).

\[ C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{d} \]  

Where:

- \( \varepsilon_0 \) = Vacuum permittivity
- \( \varepsilon_r \) = Relative permittivity of the arrester disc
A = Area of the MOSA disc
\( d = \text{Height of the column of MOSA disc} \)

Furthermore, the resistivity ‘\( \rho \)’ and resistance ‘\( R \)’ of the MOSA disc can be represented as a function of the applied voltage ‘\( V_s \)’ in (3) and (4).

\[ \rho = f(V_s) \quad (3) \]
\[ R(V_s) = \frac{\rho(V_s)d}{A} \quad (4) \]

### 2.2. \( V_s - I_t \) characteristic curve of the surge arrester

The voltage-current characteristic curve, as shown in Figure 2, shows the non-linear variation of applied voltage and the total leakage current. Three regions are defined in \( V_s - I_t \) curve based on applied voltage. Four points are destined in the region I, known as the steady state region. At the first point \( (V_C) \), the total leakage current of the MOSA is mainly capacitive. While the other points are the phase to earth voltage \( (V_{F-N}) \), continuous operating voltage \( (V_{COV}) \) and rated voltage \( (V_{rated}) \). A small increase in the voltage increases the leakage current significantly in the region II, called the flat region. The temporary over voltage \( (V_{COV}) \) also occurs in region II. Whereas, in the region III known as an arrester operation region, MOSA operates to discharge the lightning current. The discharge voltage, which appears in this region is called ‘\( V_0 \)’. The considered values of the voltages such as \( V_C \), \( V_{F-N} \), \( V_{COV} \) and \( V_{rated} \) are presented in the Table 1.

| Parameter | Value  |
|-----------|--------|
| \( V_C \) | 108 kVrms |
| \( V_{F-N} \) | 93 kVrms |
| \( V_{COV} \) | 98 kVrms |
| \( V_{rated} \) | 120 kVrms |

Table 1. Considered value of \( V_C, V_{F-N}, V_{COV} \) and \( V_{rated} \) for 120kV surge arrester

![Figure 2. \( V_s - I_t \) characteristic trend of a typical MOSA](image)

### 2.3. Extraction of the resistive leakage current using mathematical procedure

The relationship between the applied voltage and total leakage current based on the equivalent circuit of Figure 1 is given in (5), where ‘\( Z \)’ is the impedance of the equivalent circuit of MOSA. At the voltage levels, \( V_c < V_s \), the contribution of the resistive component in the total leakage current is negligible. Therefore, the impedance of the circuit model is given in (6).

\[ V_n = I_t Z \quad (5) \]
\[ Z = X_c = \frac{1}{\omega C} \quad (6) \]

Where;
\( X_c \) = Capacitive reactance of the circuit
\( \omega \) = Angular Frequency
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The capacitance of the MOSA disc (shown in 7) can be determined by substituting (5) and (6) into (1) and by considering ‘I’ as ‘Ic’ and ‘V’ as ‘Vc’. Similarly, the capacitive leakage current in the steady state condition (as shown in (8)) is obtained by equating (2) and (7). The resistive leakage current can easily be calculated after determining the capacitive current.

\[ C = \frac{I_c}{\omega V_c} \]  

(7)

\[ I_c = \frac{60\pi A}{d} (V_c \times 2\pi \times 50) \]  

(8)

2.4. Experimental procedure

The experimental setup to measure the total leakage current of ABB’s 120kV surge arrester is shown in Figure 3. The thickness of each disc of the arrester is 2.5 cm while the height of the column of ‘d’ and radius ‘r’ of the disc are 100 cm and 3.5 cm respectively. A high voltage transformer (HVT) is connected in series with a pure resistor of 970 Ω to apply voltage to the tested arrester. The voltage across the shunt resistor is used to determine the total leakage current. The magnitude and waveform of the peak voltage across the resistor is measured and recorded by digital oscilloscope (Osc).

![Figure 3. Schematic diagram of experimental setup](image)

2.5. Validation of proposed method by EMTP software

In order to validate the results of the proposed technique, initially the circuit model of MOSA as shown in Figure 1 is designed in EMTP software. The capacitance of the equivalent model is calculated using (2). The non-linear resistance of the arrester is determined using the curve fitting technique based on the plotted \( V_s - I_r \) curve of Figure 4. The resistive leakage current as a function of applied voltage is then computed using (9). The obtained resistive leakage current using EMTP software is compared with the results of proposed method and is presented in the result’s section.

\[ I_r = \frac{V_s}{R} \]  

(9)

2.6. Validation of proposed method using quickfield software

The electrical properties such as relative permittivity (\( \varepsilon_r \)), and resistivity (\( \rho \)) are required for finite element modelling in the software. The obtained resistive leakage current using QuickField software is compared with the results of proposed method and is presented in the result’s section.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed method is applied to compute the capacitive and resistive components based on the measurement of total leakage current in the experimental test described in section 2.3. The obtained results for a 120 kV MOSA are presented in Table 2. The data in the first three columns of \( V_{\text{rms}} \), \( V_{\text{peak}} \), and \( I_c \) is obtained from the experimental test. While, the last two columns of \( I_r \) and \( I_t \) are computed using the proposed technique. By analysing the values of \( I_c \), \( I_r \) and \( I_t \), it is found that the leakage current is dominantly capacitive for the voltage level up to the continuous operating voltage of 98 kV rms.
However, the resistive current significantly increases for voltages above 120 kVrms. The variation of $I_r$, $I_c$ and $I_t$ with the applied voltage can be seen in Figure 4 based on the values from Table 2. The $V_r - I_r$ characteristic is linear for the applied voltages in the range of 14.14 to 131.52 kV peak as shown in Figure 4. It can be said that $V_{p-N}$ is the knee point of the $V_r - I_r$ curve of the arrester. After $V_{p-N}$, the resistive current shows a non-linear characteristic. Whereas, the variation of the capacitive current is linear for all ranges of the applied voltage.

![Figure 4. The variation of resistive current ($I_r$), capacitive current ($I_c$) and total leakage current ($I_t$) of 120kV MOSA with the applied voltage using the proposed computational method](image)

Table 2. Computed capacitive and resistive leakage current of 120 kV surge arrester using the proposed mathematical method

| $V_{in}$ (kV) | $V_{peak}$ (kV) | $I_t$ (mA) | $I_r$ (µA) | $I_c$ (µA) |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 10            | 14.14           | 149.48     | 149.48     | 0.80       |
| 20            | 28.28           | 298.98     | 298.96     | 1.61       |
| 30            | 42.42           | 448.56     | 448.45     | 2.41       |
| 40            | 56.56           | 598.14     | 597.93     | 3.22       |
| 50            | 70.71           | 747.94     | 747.41     | 4.02       |
| 60            | 84.85           | 897.94     | 896.89     | 4.82       |
| 70            | 98.99           | 1047.94    | 1046.38    | 5.63       |
| 80            | 113.13          | 1197.94    | 1195.86    | 70.55      |
| 93            | 131.52          | 1393.81    | 1390.19    | 100.51     |
| 98            | 138.59          | 1474.23    | 1464.93    | 165.33     |
| 110           | 155.56          | 1731.96    | 1644.31    | 544.00     |
| 120           | 169.70          | 2680.41    | 1793.79    | 1991.72    |
| 130           | 183.84          | 10124.74   | 1943.27    | 9936.50    |

3.1. Validation of proposed method by EMTP software

Based on the data presented in Table 3 to design the equivalent circuit model of MOSA, the capacitance is found to be 33 pF. The resistive leakage current as a function of the applied voltage at the steady state condition by using the curve fitting technique is given in (10), which can be substituted in (9) to determine the resistance. The voltage dependent function of resistance $R(V_c)$ is shown in (11). The obtained values of resistance at $V_c$, $V_{p-N}$, $V_{CDU}$ and $V_{rated}$ are presented in Table 4. The peak values of the total leakage current and its resistive and capacitive components are presented in Table 5.

$$I_r(V_c) = 0.0038 \times e^{0.0774V_c}$$ (10)

$$R(V_c) = \frac{V_c}{0.0038e^{0.0774V_c}}$$ (11)

| Parameter | Formula | Value |
|-----------|---------|-------|
| $C$       | $\varepsilon \times A$ | 33 pF |
| $\varepsilon$ | $d$ | 8.68 (nF/m) |
| $A$       | $\pi r^2$ | 0.003848 (m²) |
| $d$       | - | 1 (m) |

Table 3. Computed data for 120 kV surge arrester

Circuit-based method for extracting the resistive leakage current of metal… (Amir Hesam Khavari)
Table 4. Resistance of surge arrester at different voltages

| Applied voltage ($V_r$) | Applied voltage ($V_p$) | Resistance of the arrester ($R(V_p)$) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| (rms value)             | Peak value              |                                      |
| 10kV$_{rms}$            | 14.14 kV                | 1.24 TΩ                               |
| 93kV$_{rms}$            | 131.52 kV               | 1.31 GΩ                               |
| 98kV$_{rms}$            | 138.59 kV               | 800 MΩ                                |
| 120kV$_{rms}$           | 169.7 kV                | 88 MΩ                                 |

Table 5. Simulation results of 120 kV surge arrester modeled in EMTP

| $V_{rms}$ (kV) | $V_{peak}$ (kV) | $I_r$ (µA) | $I_c$ (µA) | $I_p$ (µA) |
|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 10             | 14.14          | 147.95     | 146.6      | 0.80       |
| 93             | 131.52         | 1379.53    | 1363.49    | 100.20     |
| 98             | 138.59         | 1460.03    | 1436.83    | 173.18     |
| 120            | 169.70         | 2617.86    | 1759.36    | 1924.55    |

3.2. Validation of proposed method by quickfield software

The relative permittivity can be derived by substituting the dimensions of the arrester in (2) and non-linear resistivity formula (derived from (4)) is given in (12). The electrical conductivity ($\sigma$) can be determined by taking the reciprocal of resistivity as shown in (13). The obtained values of resistivity and conductivity at different values of applied voltages is presented in Table 6. The simulation results of the leakage current values are shown in Table 7.

$$
\rho(V) = \frac{\pi r^2 \times V}{0.0038 \times d} e^{0.0574 V} = \frac{\pi r^2 \times R(V_p)}{d}
$$

(12)

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{\sigma}
$$

(13)

Table 6. Electrical resistivity values of 120 kV surge arrester at different values of applied voltage

| Applied peak voltage ($V_p$) | Electrical resistivity of the arrester $\rho$ (GΩ.m) | Electrical conductivity of the arrester $\sigma$ (s/m) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 14.14 kV                     | 4.79                                                | 2.086x10$^{-6}$                                    |
| 131.52 kV                    | 0.005                                               | 1.979x10$^{-7}$                                    |
| 138.59 kV                    | 0.003                                               | 3.246x10$^{-7}$                                    |
| 169.7 kV                     | 0.00033                                             | 2.946x10$^{-6}$                                    |

Table 7. Simulation results of 120 kV ZnO arrester modeled in quickfield

| $V_{rms}$ (kV) | $V_{peak}$ (kV) | $I_r$ (µA) | $I_c$ (µA) | $I_p$ (µA) |
|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 10             | 14.14          | 147.84     | 147.84     | 0.1        |
| 93             | 131.52         | 1378.42    | 1374.72    | 100.99     |
| 98             | 138.59         | 1458.23    | 1447.68    | 175.10     |
| 120            | 169.70         | 2617.86    | 1774.08    | 1939.20    |

3.3. Comparison between the computed and simulated results

The implementation of the proposed technique yields computed results whereas the simulated results can be obtained by using software. Table 8 shows less than 1% difference between the obtained leakage current by computation and simulation using EMTP software. Moreover, the differences between the simulated and computed resistive and capacitive components of the leakage current are less than 5% and 2% respectively. This difference arises due to curve fitting technique to evaluate the resistive leakage current at continuous operating voltage. Similarly, Table 9 shows less than 1% difference between the computed and simulated resistive and capacitive leakage currents modelled in QuickField software.
Table 8. Comparison between simulated and calculated results of 120 kV surge arrester modeled in EMTP software

| V_{in}  | I_{sim} (µA) | I_{calc} (µA) | V_{in}  | I_{sim} (µA) | I_{calc} (µA) |
|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| (kV)    | Simulation   | Computation   | Simulation | Computation   |
| 10      | 147.95       | 146.6         | 0.80    | 0.80         |
| 93      | 1379.53      | 1390.19       | 100.20  | 100.51       |
| 98      | 1460.03      | 1464.93       | 173.18  | 165.33       |
| 120     | 2617.86      | 1793.79       | 1924.55 | 1991.72      |

Table 9. Comparison between simulated and calculated results of 120 kV surge arrester modeled in QuickField software

| V_{in}  | I_{sim} (µA) | I_{calc} (µA) | V_{in}  | I_{sim} (µA) | I_{calc} (µA) |
|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| (kV)    | Simulation   | Computation   | Simulation | Computation   |
| 10      | 147.84       | 147.84        | 0.1     | 0.80         |
| 93      | 1378.42      | 1374.72       | 100.99  | 100.51       |
| 98      | 1458.23      | 1476.88       | 175.10  | 165.33       |
| 120     | 2628.28      | 1774.08       | 1939.20 | 1991.72      |

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a circuit-based method for the extraction of the resistive leakage current has been proposed. The accuracy of the proposed computational method has been validated using 120 kV surge arrester’s experimental results and software such as EMTP and QuickField. Also, the performance of the proposed method has been compared and tested for ABB’s 72, 180 and 240 kV rated surge arresters. The obtained results have shown that the implementation of this proposed technique requires less computational steps with higher accuracy as compared to existing methods. The error between the simulated and calculated resistive current values has been found to be 0.001%, which is quite less than the errors obtained from the available methods. In the future, it is aimed to modify the proposed technique to extract the online resistive leakage current of MOSA.
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