Wave-particle interactions in a long traveling wave tube with upgraded helix
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We investigate the interaction of electromagnetic waves and electron beams in a 4 meters long traveling wave tube (TWT). The device is specially designed to simulate beam-plasma experiments without appreciable noise. This TWT presents an upgraded slow wave structure (SWS) that results in more precise measurements and makes new experiments possible. We introduce a theoretical model describing wave propagation through the SWS and validated by the experimental dispersion relation, impedance, phase and group velocities. We analyze nonlinear effects arising from the beam-wave interaction, such as the modulation of the electron beam and the wave growth and saturation process. When the beam current is low, the wave growth coefficient and saturation amplitude follow the linear theory predictions. However, for high values of current, nonlinear space charge effects become important and these parameters deviate from the linear predictions, tending to a constant value. After saturation, we also observe trapping of the beam electrons, which alters the wave amplitude along the TWT.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wave-particle interactions are a nonlinear phenomenon1–3, presenting regular and chaotic trajectories in phase space4–6. Resonant islands can be used for particle acceleration7–10, whereas chaotic orbits are responsible for particle heating11,12. The linear regime for wave-particle interactions is well known, but many of its nonlinear aspects remain unclear.

This type of interaction is a fundamental process in plasma physics1,2,13,14, particle beams and accelerators1,15,16. In particular, wave-particle interactions are the basis for electromagnetic radiation amplifiers, such as free electron lasers1, gyrotrons22, traveling wave tubes17–19 (TWTs), etc.

TWTs are vacuum electron devices17,20 that present a broad bandwidth with a rather simple design. Industrial TWTs range from 2 to 30 cm in length, and are mainly used as signal amplifiers for wireless communications21, such as space telecommunication. On the other hand, longer TWTs (some meters long) can be used for basic plasma physics research22–30 since the equations that describe the TWT18,19,31,32 are the same as those characterizing the beam-plasma instability33,34 in the small cold beam limit22,23.

Electromagnetic radiofrequency (rf) waves in the TWT propagate through a slow wave structure (SWS) and interact with an electron beam in a vacuum environment. Thus, it is possible to experimentally mimic a beam-plasma system without the effects caused by the background plasma, and we are able to properly identify the effects due to the beam dynamics. These characteristics make the TWT an extremely useful device to simulate one-dimensional beam-plasma systems, which represent a paradigm for instabilities in wave-particle interactions.

The first TWT used for plasma physics research was described by Dimonte and Malmberg22,23. It was 3 meters long, built at the University of California in San Diego. The second research TWT36–30,35,36, with 4 meters in length, was located at PIIM Laboratory, Aix-Marseille University (former Université de Provence). Both devices were helix TWTs17–19, with the helix supported by three alumina rods.

In this paper, we present the third TWT specially designed to simulate beam-plasma systems. This TWT is also located at PIIM Laboratory. It allows a great control of the waves and beam parameters, and contains a measurement system that provides information about both the waves and the beam. The TWT presents an upgraded SWS with the helix rigidly wrapped in a dielectric polyimide tape, which guarantees a more precise helix pitch for the whole device length. This reduces the uncertainty of the experimental data and allows us to work with arbitrary waveforms. Furthermore, the wave phase velocity is lower in the upgraded TWT. Resonant electrons also move slower and the interaction time between waves and particles is longer, resulting in the appearance of a great variety of nonlinear effects.

All these features of the upgraded TWT make new experiments possible, among which we may cite the use of pulsed beams37, the experimental investigation of self-consistent effects2,30,38,39, and the quasilinear theory predictions24,25,49–7. The upgraded TWT will also provide important experimental data for the validation of numerical codes38–50 that simulate wave-particle interactions in periodic structures. These new experiments and numerical simulations are important for plasma physics studies, but also contribute to the improvement of industrial devices.

We introduce a theoretical model describing the electromagnetic field through the upgraded SWS. We determine the dispersion relation, phase and group velocities, and we show that the theoretical parameters agree very well with the experimental data. We obtain experimentally the damping caused by the helix wire in the wave amplitude, and the voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR)
that accounts for wave reflections inside the device. With these parameters, we completely characterize wave propagation in the upgraded TWT.

The interaction between waves and electrons is defined by the interaction impedance, or coupling impedance. We obtain the impedance both theoretically and experimentally with a very good agreement. The impedance decreases rapidly with the wave frequency, indicating a more efficient coupling for frequencies below 20 MHz.

We also investigate nonlinear effects occurring in the TWT. When the beam is emitted with initial velocity slightly higher than the wave phase velocity, electrons and wave enter in resonance. The wave receives momentum and energy from the beam, and its amplitude increases. This is the mechanism used by industrial TWTs to amplify telecommunication signals. The TWT at PIIM Laboratory is 2 to 3 times longer than the length necessary for waves to saturate. After saturation, the beam electrons are trapped by the wave and form bunches that move back and forth in the wave potential, making the wave amplitude oscillate along the device.

We determine the wave growth coefficient and saturation amplitude. When the beam current is small, these parameters follow the predictions of the linear theory, proving that the wave saturates as a result of the development of electron bunches that are trapped in the wave potential. For higher values of current, we show that the growth coefficient and saturation amplitude deviate from the linear predictions due to nonlinear space charge effects caused by the repulsive electrostatic force among the beam electrons.

Another nonlinear effect analyzed in this paper is the modulation of the electron beam. An initially monokinetic beam gets modulated by the wave, and presents two distinct energy peaks at the end of the TWT. The difference between the two energy peaks provides a linear approximation, without damping effects, for the wave amplitude. We show that modulation occurs for electrons emitted with initial velocity both lower or higher than the wave phase velocity.

The paper is organized as follows. The experimental setup for the upgraded TWT is described in Section II. In Section III, we develop the theoretical model for waves propagating in the TWT. We determine the theoretical and experimental dispersion relation, phase and group velocities, and we obtain experimentally the damping coefficient and VSWR. Section IV presents nonlinear effects arising from the beam-wave interaction, including the modulation of the electron beam, the wave growth and saturation, the development of electrons bunches and the consequent oscillations in the wave amplitude. We calculate the four Pierce linear parameters that define the linear regime of TWTs. We show that the space charge parameter increases with the beam current, meaning that nonlinear effects become important and the linear predictions lose accuracy for sufficiently high currents. In Section V, we draw our conclusions and perspectives for the upgraded TWT.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

At PIIM Laboratory, we use a 4 meters long TWT specially conceived to study wave-particle interactions with applications in plasma physics. In the TWT, an electron beam moves in the axial direction, and it interacts with electromagnetic waves propagating through a helix waveguide. Near the axis, the magnetic field generated by the wave is negligible, and the electric field presents only longitudinal components, i.e. in the TWT axial direction. Therefore, electrons on the axis experience an electrostatic field as those observed in plasmas, which makes the TWT an ideal device to investigate wave-particle interactions in plasmas. Furthermore, the TWT at PIIM Laboratory is long enough for nonlinear effects to take place. The TWT can thus be used to mimic a one-dimensional beam-plasma experiment, with the advantage that it is much less noisy than any plasma.

The main components of the TWT are an electron gun, a trochoidal energy analyzer, and a SWS formed by a helix, where electromagnetic waves propagate. In the TWT, it is possible to control several parameters with great accuracy. We use an arbitrary waveform generator that controls the number of modes produced, as well as the frequency, amplitude and phase of each individual mode. The electron beam is produced in such a way that we are able to determine its current, energy, and energy distribution function.

Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of the TWT at PIIM Laboratory. The most important part of the equipment is the SWS (labeled as (1) in Figure 1(a)). It is composed of a 4 meters long helix made of a 0.5 mm diameter beryllium copper (BeCu) wire with a radius \( a = 16.355 \) mm. In previous research TWTs, the helix was held inside a glass tube by three alumina rods. In this upgraded version of PIIM TWT, the helix is wrapped in and rigidly held by a dielectric polyimide tape (Figure 1(c)), which ensures a nearly constant helix pitch along its full length, \( 0 \leq z \leq 4 \text{ m} \). The helix has a small pitch \( p = 1.0 \text{ mm} \), so that waves traveling at the speed of light along the helix wire have a much smaller phase velocity along the axis. It guarantees that the waves interact resonantly with an electron beam propagating along the TWT axis.

The helix is inserted into a glass vacuum tube with a resistive rf termination at each end to reduce wave reflections. The glass tube is evacuated by two ion pumps, one at each end of the device. The pressure inside the tube is typically on the order of \( 10^{-8} \) Torr. A good vacuum is necessary to avoid that the electron beam excites ions and forms a plasma in the system.

The glass vacuum tube is enclosed by an axially slotted cylinder with \( R_5 = 57.5 \) mm of radius that defines the rf ground. The TWT also contains four movable antennas capacitive coupled to the helix through the glass vacuum tube. Some of the antennas emit the waves produced by the arbitrary waveform generator. The other antennas move along the slotted cylinder to receive the
spectrum of waves after interaction with the electron beam. 

A triode (labeled as (2) in Figure 1(a)) is located in one of the TWT extremities. It is used as an electron gun to produce a quasi-monoenergetic beam. The triode is composed of a heated cathode, a grid, and an anode with a small hole that determines the beam diameter (3 mm). The electron beam propagates along the axis of the SWS, and it is confined by an axial magnetic field $B_z$ generated by the main coil (Figure 1(b)) that reaches a maximum value of 500 G. Two rectangular coils produce lower intensity magnetic fields, $B_x$ and $B_y$, on the order of 1 G for beam tilt correction.

A trochoidal energy analyzer 51 (labeled as (3) in Figure 1(a)) is located in the other extremity of the TWT. The energy analyzer gives us the distribution function of energy in the beam with a resolution sharper than 0.5 eV. A small fraction ($\sim 0.5\%$) of the electrons passes through a hole in the center of the frontal collector, and it is decelerated by four electrodes. The electrons are then selected by the drift velocity caused by the presence of an electric field perpendicular to the magnetic field. Using this technique, it is possible to directly measure the current collected through a tiny off-axis hole, which gives us the time averaged axial energy distribution of the beam 51.

III. WAVE PROPAGATION IN THE TWT

In this section, we analyze wave propagation in the TWT in absence of the electron beam. This propagation is characterized by the cold parameters: amplitude of the electromagnetic field generated by the waves through the SWS, dispersion relation, phase and group velocities, wave damping caused by the helix wire, and voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) caused by wave reflections.

We use Maxwell’s equations to determine theoretically the electromagnetic field, dispersion relation, phase and group velocities. We compare the theoretical predictions with experimental data, and find an excellent agreement. The damping coefficient and the VSWR are obtained experimentally with great accuracy.

A. Theoretical model

A wave propagating at the speed of light $c$ along the helix wire has a much smaller velocity $v_z$ in the axial direction of the TWT. For a helix of radius $a$ and pitch $p$, we define $\tan \psi = p/(2\pi a)$. The axial velocity $v_z$ may be approximated as $v_z = c \sin \psi$, which corresponds to $2.92 \times 10^6$ m/s for the TWT of PIIM Laboratory, with $\tan \psi = 0.00973 = 1/102.76$. The actual phase velocity $v_x$ along the $z$ direction also depends on the other elements that compose the SWS, and is obtained through the dispersion relation calculated in this Section.

The propagating wave generates electric and magnetic fields in the SWS given by Maxwell’s equations in Heaviside-Lorentz units 52

$$\nabla \cdot E = 0, \quad \nabla \times E = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t},$$

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0, \quad \nabla \times B = \frac{\epsilon(r)}{c} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t},$$

where $\epsilon(r)$ is the dielectric constant of the medium through which the electromagnetic wave propagates.

Considering a plane wave for which $\vec{E}, \vec{B} \sim e^{i(kz-\omega t)}$, we calculate the components of the electromagnetic field in cylindrical coordinates as 26
\[
E_r = -i \frac{k}{\gamma^2(r)} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial r}, \quad E_\theta = i \frac{\omega}{c \gamma^2(r)} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r}, \quad B_r = -i \frac{k}{\gamma^2(r)} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r}, \quad B_\theta = -i \frac{\omega \epsilon(r)}{c \gamma^2(r)} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial r},
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the wave, \( k \) is the (longitudinal) wavenumber, and \( \gamma(r) \) is the (transversal) propagation constant of each medium given by

\[
\gamma^2(r) = k^2 - \epsilon(r) \left( \frac{\omega}{c} \right)^2.
\]

We consider the helix as an infinitely thin, perfect conductor. It means that the electric field is null and the magnetic field is continuous inside the helix:

\[
r = a \implies \begin{cases} 
E_{z,1} \sin \psi + E_{\theta,1} \cos \psi = 0, 
E_{z,2} \sin \psi + E_{\theta,2} \cos \psi = 0,
B_{z,1} \sin \psi + B_{\theta,1} \cos \psi = B_{z,2} \sin \psi + B_{\theta,2} \cos \psi.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, the electric field components perpendicular to the radial direction are continuous:

\[
r = R_5 \implies \begin{cases} 
E_{z,5} = 0, 
E_{\theta,5} = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

On the surface that separates two dielectric media, and in the case this surface does not contain localized electric charges or superficial currents, the components of the electric and magnetic fields are related as:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \frac{C_1}{\mu_1} \times \frac{I_0(a \gamma_1)}{\omega T_1(a \gamma_1)} \tan \psi, 
B_{1,j} &= B_{r,j+1}, 
E_{\theta,j} &= E_{\theta,j+1}, 
E_{z,j} &= E_{z,j+1}, 
B_{z,j} &= B_{z,j+1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Appendix all the coefficients \( A_j, B_j, C_j \) and \( D_j \), with \( 2 \leq j \leq 5 \), proportional to \( C_1 \).

Figure 2 displays the amplitude of each component of the \( \vec{E}, \vec{B} \) fields, with the normalization \( C_1 = 1 \text{ statV/cm} \approx 30 \text{ V/mm} \), for a propagating wave with frequency 30 MHz. In the figure, it is possible to observe the behavior of the electromagnetic field through each individual component of the SWS. Near the axis (\( r = 0 \text{ mm} \)), the electric and magnetic fields present only longitudinal components \( E_z, B_z \). Electrons propagating along the TWT axis interact with an electrostatic field similar to the ones observed in plasmas.

The total amplitudes of the electric and magnetic fields reach their maximum value close to the helix (\( r = a = \))

| Component | Formula |
|-----------|---------|
| \( E_z \) | \( \frac{C_1}{\mu_1} \times \frac{I_0(a \gamma_1)}{\omega T_1(a \gamma_1)} \tan \psi \) |
| \( B_z \) | \( B_{z,j} = B_{z,j+1} \) |
| \( E_{\theta} \) | \( E_{\theta,j} = E_{\theta,j+1} \) |
| \( E_z \) | \( E_{z,j} = E_{z,j+1} \) |

The total amplitudes of the electric and magnetic fields reach their maximum value close to the helix (\( r = a = \))

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \frac{C_1}{\mu_1} \times \frac{I_0(a \gamma_1)}{\omega T_1(a \gamma_1)} \tan \psi, 
B_{1,j} &= B_{r,j+1}, 
E_{\theta,j} &= E_{\theta,j+1}, 
E_{z,j} &= E_{z,j+1}, 
B_{z,j} &= B_{z,j+1}.
\end{align*}
\]
FIG. 2. (Color online) Amplitude of each component of the electromagnetic fields ($E$, $B$) through a radial plane in the SWS. The wave that generates the field has a frequency 30 MHz.

For the electric field, the radial component is the most important one near the helix. On the other hand, the radial and axial components of the magnetic field have comparable amplitudes. For both the electric and the magnetic field, the amplitude of the tangential component is null throughout the SWS. In the region near the rf ground cylinder ($r = R_5 = 57.5$ mm), all the fields components decay to zero. Numerical simulations show that the maximum value of the total electric and magnetic fields, $|E|/C_1$ and $|B|/C_1$, increases with the wave frequency. However, the qualitative behavior of the fields remains the same as in Figure 2.

The dispersion relation is obtained from the equation that determines the continuation of the magnetic field inside the helix wire:

$$
\left(\frac{\omega}{c\gamma_2 \tan \psi}\right)^2 = \frac{Y_2}{Y_1} - \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \frac{I_0 (a\gamma_1)}{I_1 (a\gamma_1)} - \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_3} \frac{Y_3}{Y_4} - \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \frac{I_0 (a\gamma_1)}{I_1 (a\gamma_1)},
$$

with $Y_j$ defined in the Appendix. Equation (10) is transcendental because the arguments of the modified Bessel functions depend on both the angular frequency $\omega$ and the longitudinal wavenumber $k$ through the transversal propagation constant $\gamma_j$ given by expression (3). However, when the phase velocity $v_* = \omega/k$ is much smaller than the speed of light, we may approximate

$$\gamma(r) \approx k. \quad (11)$$

FIG. 3. (a) Phase and (b) group velocities as a function of the wave frequency.

Considering approximation (11) in (10) yields

$$\omega = ck \tan \psi \left( \frac{Y_2}{Y_1} - \frac{I_0 (a\gamma_1)}{I_1 (a\gamma_1)} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (12)$$

From the dispersion relation (12), we obtain the phase velocity $v_*$ and the group velocity $v_k$:

$$v_* = \frac{\omega}{k}, \quad v_k = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k}. \quad (13)$$

Figure 3 shows the phase and group velocities as a function of the wave frequency $f$. The phase velocity decreases rapidly for frequencies between 0 and 40 MHz, and it is almost constant above 50 MHz. The group velocity also decreases rapidly for small frequencies. It presents a minimum around 27 MHz, and it increases again after this point.

B. Experimental data

The antennas in the SWS are capacitively coupled to the helix through the glass vacuum tube. The signal is emitted by one of the antennas located near the electron gun. Another antenna moving axially along the TWT receives the signal that propagates along the helix wire. The temporal signal received by the moving antenna is registered by an oscilloscope and part of it is shown in Figure 4 for a propagating wave at 30 MHz. The black line in Figure 4 indicates the theoretical phase velocity $v_*$ obtained from expression (13), and it agrees very well with the experimental data. The wave propagates all along the 4 meters TWT with the same phase velocity.

The temporal signal shown in Figure 4 is registered by the oscilloscope for some given positions along the TWT (usually 900-1800 different positions). For each position, we perform a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the temporal signal to obtain its amplitude and phase. Figure 5 shows the experimental wave amplitude $V$ and phase as a function of the axial position $z$ along the device for the temporal signal of Figure 4. In panel (a), we notice the presence of 60 MHz harmonics for $z > 1600$ mm. Panel (b) shows that the wave propagates along the 4 meters...
FIG. 4. (Color online) Temporal signal registered by an oscilloscope for a propagating wave at 30 MHz. The black solid line indicates the theoretical phase velocity.

TWT with a uniformly varying phase, as predicted by the experimental wavenumber ($\varphi_{\text{pred}} = k_{\exp} \lambda$).

The experimental wavelength is obtained by interferometry. For each frequency, the interferometer multiplies the signal received by one of the antennas with the signal received by another antenna (as in Figure 4). We register the product of the signals as a function of the axial position of the receiver antenna. Through a numerical procedure, we determine the maxima of the registered signal, which gives us the averaged wavelength. The error is estimated as the standard deviation of the data points. The experimental wavelength can also be obtained through the FFT of the temporal signal. We determine the maximum points of the wave amplitude as shown in Figure 5(a), and calculate the average wavelength. In both cases, the experimental wavelength agrees very well with the theoretical prediction (12), with less than 1% of difference.

Figure 6(a) shows the theoretical dispersion relation given by equation (12) (blue solid curve) and the experimental points (full red circles) obtained by interferometry. The dispersion relation of the TWT closely resembles that of a finite radius, finite temperature plasma\(^{54}\), but, unlike a plasma, the helix does not add appreciable noise.

Figure 6(a) also shows the experimental points obtained for the previous version of the SWS (green open squares). By comparing the error bars for the two sets of experimental points, we observe that the upgraded helix and measurement system are much more precise than the previous one, which enables us not only to obtain more precise experimental data, but also to carry out experiments that require a fine adjustment of the parameters. Furthermore, waves propagating along the upgraded SWS present a lower phase velocity. Electrons resonantly interacting with the wave move slower, resulting in a longer interaction time and the appearance of more nonlinear effects along the TWT.

In Figures 4 and 5(a), we observe a standing wave pattern, especially at the end of the device. Resistive rf terminations (labeled as (8) in Figure 1(a)) are placed on both extremities of the glass tube to reduce wave reflections. However, residual reflections at the extremities and irregularities of the helix and glass tube generate a standing wave in the TWT.

The voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) is defined as

$$\text{VSWR} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{V_{\text{min}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $V_{\text{max}}$ and $V_{\text{min}}$ are, respectively, the local maximum and minimum values of the wave amplitude. In Figure 5(a), we identify the maximum (red dots) and minimum (blue dots) points of the standing wave (green solid curve). With these points, we calculate the average VSWR along the TWT, as shown in Figure 6(c). The error bars represent the standard deviation. For a propagating wave, i.e. no reflections, VSWR = 1. In the TWT, the VSWR varies between 1 and 2.

At the maximum points of the standing wave, the propagating and reflected waves are in phase and they interact constructively, so that $V_{\text{max}} = V_{\text{prop}} + V_{\text{refl}}$. On the other hand, the waves are out of phase and they interact destructively at the minimum points: $V_{\text{min}} = V_{\text{prop}} - V_{\text{refl}}$. Using this procedure, we decompose the total signal (green solid curve) in two parts representing the propa-
gating (red dashed curve) and reflected (blue dot-dashed curve) waves, as can be seen in Figure 5(a).

Figure 5(a) shows that the wave is damped and its amplitude decreases along the TWT. In the absence of beam, the amplitude of the propagating wave varies as $V_{\text{prop}} \sim e^{-k_d z}$. Thus, from the propagating wave we obtain the experimental damping coefficient $k_d$ of the helix for different wave frequencies, as shown in Figure 6(b). By decomposing the signal and identifying the propagating wave, we determine the damping coefficient with great accuracy as shown by the small error bars in the figure. For the upgraded SWS, the damping coefficient is proportional to the wave frequency.

IV. BEAM-WAVE INTERACTION

The interaction between waves and beam in the TWT is mainly characterized by the interaction impedance. We obtain the experimental impedance and show that it agrees with the theoretical predictions. The TWT at PIIM Laboratory is long enough to allow the appearance of nonlinear effects. In this Section, we describe nonlinear phenomena arising from the beam-wave interaction such as modulations in the electron distribution function, wave growth and saturation, and the development of electron bunches that alter the wave amplitude.

The interaction impedance, also known as coupling impedance, characterizes the coupling between the electron beam and the wave electric field $E_z$ in the direction the beam propagates. The interaction impedance $Z_0$ is calculated theoretically as

$$Z_0 = \frac{\langle E_z^2 \rangle_b}{2k^2 P}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$\langle E_z^2 \rangle_b$ is the average value of $E_z^2$ over the transversal section $A_b = \pi r_b^2$ of the electron beam with radius $r_b = 1.5$ mm:

$$\langle E_z^2 \rangle_b = \frac{1}{A_b} \int E_z^2 dA_b.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$P$ is the total wave power inside the rf ground cylinder given by

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left[ \int (\vec{S} \cdot \hat{z}) dA_c \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

with $\vec{S} = (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})/\mu_0$ the Poynting vector, $\mu_0$ the permeability of free space, and $A_c$ the transversal section of the rf ground cylinder.

To obtain the experimental interaction impedance, we need to define the voltage $V_{b\varphi}$, which corresponds to the voltage applied to the electrons to create a beam with initial velocity $v_{b0}$ equal to the wave phase velocity $v_\varphi$. The beam voltage $V_{b\varphi}$ is given by

$$V_{b\varphi} = \frac{m_e v_\varphi^2}{2e},$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $m_e$ is the electron mass, and $e$ is the elementary charge. Figure 7 presents the beam voltage $V_{b\varphi}$ as a

FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Theoretical dispersion relation (blue solid curve) and experimental data (full red circles) for the upgraded SWS, and experimental points (green open squares) for the previous SWS. (b) Damping coefficient and (c) average voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) as a function of wave frequency for the upgraded SWS.

FIG. 7. (Color online) $V_{b\varphi}$ voltage for an electron beam with initial velocity $v_{b0}$ equal to the wave phase velocity $v_\varphi$. 

FIG. 5. (Color online)
 FIG. 8. Wave amplitude (30 MHz, $V_{b_0} = 19.1$ V) after interaction with an electron beam ($V_{b_0} = 15$ V, $I_b = 2$ µA). The Kompfner dip is located at $z_{kd} = 783.1$ mm.

 FIG. 9. (Color online) Theoretical and experimental interaction impedance as a function of wave frequency. The difference between peaks in the distribution function describes the coupling between particles and waves is less efficient for wave frequencies above 20 MHz.

function of the wave frequency. The beam voltage decreases rapidly for frequencies below 40 MHz, and remains almost constant for higher frequencies. The blue solid curve was obtained from the theoretical dispersion relation using expressions (12), (13) and (18). The red dots correspond to the experimental data in Figure 6(a).

The interaction impedance is determined experimentally through the Kompfner dip method, introduced by Kompfner and complemented by Johnson. When the wave has a small amplitude, and the electron beam is emitted with low current $I_b$ and initial velocity $v_{b0}$ lower than the wave phase velocity $v_p$, the total electric field $|\vec{E}|$ inside the SWS becomes null for a given position $z_{kd}$ along the TWT axis, as shown in Figure 8. In this figure, the wave was emitted with $f = 30$ MHz, which corresponds to $V_{b_0} \approx 19.1$ V. The electron beam was emitted with $V_{b0} = 15$ V and $I_b = 2$ µA. For this configuration, the electric field of the wave is null at $z_{kd} = 783.1$ mm.

We use the conditions and expressions described in Refs. 55 and 56, and the parameters $V_{b0}$, $I_b$, $z_{kd}$ obtained for the TWT to determine the experimental interaction impedance for the upgraded SWS. Figure 9 depicts the theoretical impedance (blue solid curve) calculated from expression (15), and the experimental values (red open circles) obtained through the Kompfner dip method. Once again, theoretical and experimental values present a very good agreement. It shows the robustness of the theoretical model described in Section IIIA, and the accuracy of the experimental measurements for the upgraded version of the SWS and data acquisition system.

The total electric and magnetic fields ($|\vec{E}|$, $|\vec{B}|$) present a peak near the helix, as can be seen in Figure 2. The peak value increases with the wave frequency, whereas the ($|\vec{E}|$, $|\vec{B}|$) values remain approximately constant near the TWT axis where the electron beam propagates. This means that the electromagnetic field gets more concentrated near the helix, and far from the beam, for higher frequencies, which results in a lower impedance. Figure 9 shows that the interaction impedance strongly decreases with the wave frequency, indicating that the coupling between particles and waves is less efficient for wave frequencies above 20 MHz.

When waves interact with an electron beam, nonlinear effects take place such as the modulation of the beam. Figure 10 shows the distribution function at the end of the TWT for two beams interacting with a 30 MHz wave ($V_{b_0} = 19.1$ V). The electron gun generates a monokinetic beam with $V_{b0} = 16$ V in panel (a), and $V_{b0} = 22$ V in panel (b). After interacting with the wave along the device, the electron beams present distribution functions with peaks for two different values of voltage. For panel (a), $V_{b0} = 16$ V, the peaks are centered around $V_{b-} = 14$ V and $V_{b+} = 18$ V, and the distribution function exhibits a local minimum for 16 V. This means that some electrons received energy from the wave reaching 18 V, while other electrons lost energy to the wave and were slowed down to 14 V. In panel (b), the peaks of the distribution function are centered at $V_{b+} = 22$ V, which is the initial beam voltage $V_{b0}$, and $V_{b-} = 19$ V, corresponding to the voltage $V_{b_0}$ of an electron beam propagating at the wave phase velocity for a 30 MHz wave.

The difference between peaks in the distribution function can be used to estimate the wave amplitude $V_0$ dis-
where the velocities are obtained from an electron beam (\( I_b = 326 \mu A \)) emitted with higher velocity than the wave phase velocity.

regarding the damping caused by the helix wire:

\[
V_0 = \frac{m_e}{2e} (v_{b+} - v_{b-}) |v_{b0} - v_\varphi|,
\]

\[
= (\sqrt{V_{b+}} - \sqrt{V_{b-}}) (\sqrt{V_{b0}} - \sqrt{V_\varphi}),
\]

(19)

where the velocities are obtained from \( v_b = \sqrt{2eV_b/m_e} \). Using the linear approximation (19), we estimate the wave amplitude as \( V_0 = 0.18 \) V for Figure 10(a), and \( V_0 = 0.11 \) V in Figure 10(b).

The nonlinear interaction between waves and particles can also produce the wave growth observed in Figure 11. Wave growth occurs for electron beams emitted with initial velocity \( v_{b0} \) slightly higher than the wave phase velocity \( v_\varphi \). In the beginning of the interaction process, the wave receives momentum and energy from the beam and its amplitude increases, as can be seen for \( 0 < z < 1500 \) mm in Figure 11. This is the operation mechanism for industrial TWTs used as signal amplifiers. The TWT at PIIM Laboratory is long enough for us to observe the development of electron bunches for \( z > 1500 \) mm, i.e. after the wave amplitude saturates. The electrons are trapped by the wave, moving back and forth in its potential. As a result of energy conservation, the wave amplitude oscillates along the TWT. The interaction between wave and electrons introduces noise in the signal, as shown in Figure 11, but the wave phase remains well defined.

To determine the wave growth coefficient, we measure the wave amplitude for different values of beam current. We compare these measurements with the wave amplitude obtained in the absence of the electron beam. This procedure enables us to eliminate the influences caused in the signal by the helix and by the coupling between the helix and the receiving antenna, resulting in a very accurate growth coefficient.

Figure 12(a) displays the growth coefficient \( k_g \) as a function of the beam current \( I_b \) for a wave emitted at 30 MHz. The growth coefficient increases with the beam current, but it tends to a constant value for \( I_b \gtrsim 150 \) µA. The experimental data (red dots) agree with the theoretical prediction (blue curve), which estimates an increase in the growth coefficient proportional to \( I_b^{1/3} \).

The saturation amplitude \( V_{sat} \) is the maximum amplitude reached by the wave at the end of the first growth stage (\( z_{sat} \sim 1500 \) mm in Figure 11). It varies with the beam current, as can be seen in Figure 12(b). As well as the growth coefficient, the saturation amplitude increases for beam currents below 150 µA, and tends to a constant value for \( I_b \gtrsim 150 \) µA.

When the wave saturates due to the development of electron bunches that are trapped by the wave potential, \( V_{sat} \) increases with the beam current proportionally to \( I_b^{2/3} \). The experimental data (red dots) in Figure 12(b) agree very well with the theoretical prediction (blue curve), indicating that waves in the TWT saturate because of the nonlinear development of electron bunches along the device.

The linear regime of interaction between waves and beam in the TWT is completely characterized by four parameters, known as Pierce linear parameters. The gain parameter \( C \) defines the wave gain as it interacts with the beam along the device:

\[
C^3 = \frac{I_b Z_0}{4 V_{b0}}.
\]

The detuning parameter \( b \) measures the normalized difference between the initial beam velocity and the wave phase velocity in the absence of electrons:

\[
b = \frac{v_{b0} - v_\varphi}{C v_\varphi}.
\]

The damping parameter \( d \) is the damping rate of the SWS in the absence of electrons normalized with the wave frequency, initial beam velocity and gain parameter:

\[
d = \frac{k_d}{C \omega / v_{b0}}.
\]

The space charge parameter \( QC \) accounts for the repulsive electrostatic force between the beam electrons. It also takes into account the TWT geometry. Birdsall and Brewer calculated \( QC \) as

\[
QC = \frac{1}{4C^2} \left( \frac{\omega_a/\omega}{1 + \omega_a/\omega} \right)^2,
\]

(23)
where $\omega_q = P_q \omega_p b$, with $\omega_p b = (1/r_b) \sqrt{eI_b/(\pi\epsilon_0 m_e v_{b0})}$ the beam plasma frequency, $\epsilon_0$ the vacuum permittivity, $P_q = (1 + R_q^2)^{-1/2}$ the plasma frequency reduction factor due to the finite geometry of the beam\(^{26,58}\), $R_q = v_{b0} \tau/\omega_b$, and $\tau$ a geometric factor of unitary order that varies slowly as a function of $\omega_{b}/v_{b0}$.

Figure 13 shows Pierce’s linear parameters obtained from expressions (20)-(23) as a function of beam current for a 30 MHz wave, a constant beam voltage $V_{b0} = 24$ V, and $\tau = 1.3\omega_{b}/v_{b0} + 0.7228$ for the TWT. As expected, the gain parameter increases with the beam current, resulting in a higher growth coefficient and saturation amplitude as shown in Figure 12. On the other hand, the detuning and damping parameters decrease with the current.

In panel 13(d), we observe that the space charge parameter increases with the beam current. For sufficiently high values of current, the electrostatic force acting on the beam electrons increases, the nonlinear effects caused by the beam space charge become important and the predictions of the linear theory lose accuracy. This is the case for the growth coefficient and saturation amplitude in Figure 12, which deviate from the theoretical prediction for currents above 150 $\mu$A.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the propagation of electromagnetic waves and electron beams, as well as their interaction, in an upgraded helix TWT. We presented a theoretical model describing the electromagnetic field through the SWS, and obtained the theoretical dispersion relation, phase and group velocities, and the interaction impedance. We showed that the predicted theoretical parameters agree very well with the experimental data. It demonstrates the robustness of the model, as well as the good performance of the experimental device for its operating frequency range.

We also studied the nonlinear effects that take place in the TWT due to the beam-wave interaction. For an initially monokinetic beam, the distribution function gets modulated by the wave, presenting two peaks with different energies at the end of the device.

Another nonlinear effect occurs when the beam presents an initial velocity slightly higher than the wave phase velocity. In this case, the beam electrons resonantly interact with the wave. They transfer energy to the wave and its amplitude increases. After saturation, the wave amplitude oscillates along the TWT as the electrons form bunches that move back and forth in the wave potential.

We determined the wave growth coefficient and saturation amplitude as a function of the beam current. For sufficiently low values of current, we showed that these parameters increase with the current according to the linear prediction. Nonlinear effects are caused by the repulsive electrostatic force acting on the beam electrons. Such effects become important for high currents and the growth coefficient and saturation amplitude deviate from the linear predictions, tending to a constant value.

The upgraded TWT of PIIM Laboratory presents a new configuration for the SWS. Usually, the helix in the SWS is held by three alumina rods. In the upgraded TWT, the helix is held by a dielectric polyimide tape rigidly wrapped all around the helix. It guarantees a more precise helix pitch along the 4 meters device, resulting in more accurate experimental measurements, and the possibility of working with different waveforms. Furthermore, waves propagating in the upgraded TWT present a lower phase velocity. This increases the interaction time for electrons resonantly interacting with the wave, and a variety of nonlinear effects can be observed.

All these features will allow us to perform new experiments to simulate wave-particle interactions in plasmas. Among the new experiments, we may cite the use of a pulsed beam\(^{37}\) instead of a continuous one, experiments to investigate the synergy between chaos and self-consistent effects\(^{2,30,38,39}\), and the predictions of the quasilinear theory\(^{24,25,40–47}\).

The results presented in this paper will also be used in numerical simulations carried out with the code DIMOHA\(^{48–50}\) (Discrete MOdel with Hamiltonian Approach). The model combines a Hamiltonian approach and an $N$-body description with a drastic reduction in the number of degrees of freedom, which makes DIMOHA much faster than particle-in-cell (PIC) codes. DIMOHA simulates wave-particle interactions in periodic struc-
atures, and it has already been validated against industrial TWTs\textsuperscript{50} (2 – 15 cm long) and against the frequency-domain equivalent circuit Pierce model\textsuperscript{59}. The code will be upgraded to simulate long devices used for research in plasma physics, such as the 4 m device at PIIM Laboratory. The experimental data obtained with the TWT will be used to validate the numerical results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank D. Guyomarc’h, J.-P. Busso, J.-B. Faure, V. Long and J.-F. Pioche for technical support with the experimental device, and Thales for contributing to the device upgrade. We acknowledge financial support from the scientific agencies: São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) under Grants No. 2013/01335-6, No. 2011/20794-6, No. 2015/05186-0 and No. 2018/03211-6, Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) under Grants No. 88887.307684/2018-00 and No. 88881.143103/2017-01, and Comité Français d’Évaluation de la Coopération Universitaire et Scientifique avec le Brésil (COFECUB) under Grant No. 40273QA-Ph908/18.

Appendix: Coefficients of the electromagnetic field

From expressions (4)-(8), we determine the coefficients $A_j$, $B_j$, $C_j$ and $D_j$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$, of the electromagnetic field generated by the wave in the SWS. All the coefficients (including $B_1 = D_1 = 0$) are proportional to $C_1$. Coefficient $C_1$ gets cancelled in expressions (12), (13) and (15). Thus, the theoretical dispersion relation, impedance, phase and group velocities do not depend on $C_1$. Only the $(\vec{E}, \vec{B})$ fields components depend on the normalization constant $C_1$, and the qualitative behavior of the fields remains the same as in Figure 2 for the whole frequency range 10 – 100 MHz.

According to the SWS boundary conditions, the coefficients of the electromagnetic field are given by

\begin{equation}
A_1 = R_2 \gamma_1 \frac{M_Y}{I_1(a \gamma_1)},
A_2 = R_2 \gamma_2 M_1 X_1,
A_3 = R_3 \gamma_3 M_1 U_1,
A_4 = R_4 \gamma_4 M_1 Q_1,
A_5 = M_1 K_1(R_5 \gamma_5),
\end{equation}

\begin{align}
B_1 &= 0, \\
B_2 &= \frac{X_2}{X_1} A_2, \\
B_3 &= \frac{U_2}{U_1} A_3, \\
B_4 &= \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} A_4, \\
B_5 &= \frac{I_1(R_5 \gamma_5)}{K_1(R_5 \gamma_5)} A_5,
\end{align}

\begin{align}
C_2 &= R_2 \gamma_2 M_2 X_3, \\
C_3 &= R_3 \gamma_3 M_2 U_3, \\
C_4 &= R_4 \gamma_4 M_2 Q_3, \\
C_5 &= -M_2 K_0(R_5 \gamma_5),
\end{align}

\begin{align}
D_1 &= 0, \\
D_2 &= \frac{X_4}{X_3} C_2, \\
D_3 &= \frac{U_4}{U_3} C_3, \\
D_4 &= \frac{Q_4}{Q_3} C_4, \\
D_5 &= -\frac{I_0(R_5 \gamma_5)}{K_0(R_5 \gamma_5)} C_5,
\end{align}

with $C_1$ fixed according to the desired normalization for the electromagnetic field, and

\begin{align}
M_1 &= \frac{ic C_1 I_0(a \gamma_1)}{\omega R_2 Y_1} \tan \psi, \\
M_2 &= \frac{C_1 I_0(a \gamma_1)}{R_2 \gamma_1 Y_3},
\end{align}

\begin{align}
N_1 &= I_0(R_4 \gamma_5) K_1(R_5 \gamma_5) + I_1(R_5 \gamma_5) K_0(R_4 \gamma_5), \\
N_2 &= I_1(R_4 \gamma_5) K_1(R_5 \gamma_5) - I_1(R_5 \gamma_5) K_1(R_4 \gamma_5), \\
N_3 &= I_0(R_5 \gamma_5) K_0(R_5 \gamma_5) - I_0(R_4 \gamma_5) K_0(R_5 \gamma_5), \\
N_4 &= I_1(R_4 \gamma_5) K_0(R_5 \gamma_5) + I_0(R_5 \gamma_5) K_1(R_4 \gamma_5),
\end{align}

\begin{align}
Q_1 &= N_1 K_1(R_4 \gamma_4) + \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_5} N_2 K_0(R_4 \gamma_4), \\
Q_2 &= N_1 I_1(R_4 \gamma_4) - \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_5} N_2 I_0(R_4 \gamma_4), \\
Q_3 &= N_3 K_1(R_4 \gamma_4) - \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_5} N_4 K_0(R_4 \gamma_4), \\
Q_4 &= N_3 I_1(R_4 \gamma_4) + \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_5} N_4 I_0(R_4 \gamma_4),
\end{align}

\begin{align}
T_1 &= R_4 \gamma_4 [Q_1 I_0(R_3 \gamma_4) + Q_2 K_0(R_3 \gamma_4)], \\
T_2 &= R_4 \gamma_4 [Q_1 I_1(R_3 \gamma_4) - Q_2 K_1(R_3 \gamma_4)], \\
T_3 &= R_4 \gamma_4 [Q_3 I_0(R_3 \gamma_4) + Q_4 K_0(R_3 \gamma_4)], \\
T_4 &= R_4 \gamma_4 [-Q_3 I_1(R_3 \gamma_4) + Q_4 K_1(R_3 \gamma_4)].
\end{align}
\[ U_1 = T_1 K_1(R_3 \gamma_3) + \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4} T_2 K_0(R_3 \gamma_3), \]
\[ U_2 = T_1 I_1(R_3 \gamma_3) - \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4} T_2 I_0(R_3 \gamma_3), \]  
\[ U_3 = T_3 K_1(R_3 \gamma_3) - \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4} \epsilon_4 T_4 K_0(R_3 \gamma_3), \]  
\[ U_4 = T_3 I_1(R_3 \gamma_3) - \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4} \epsilon_4 T_4 I_0(R_3 \gamma_3), \]  
\[ W_1 = R_3 \gamma_3 [U_1 I_0(R_2 \gamma_3) + U_2 K_0(R_2 \gamma_3)], \]  
\[ W_2 = R_3 \gamma_3 [U_1 I_1(R_2 \gamma_3) - U_2 K_1(R_2 \gamma_3)], \]  
\[ W_3 = R_3 \gamma_3 [U_3 I_0(R_2 \gamma_3) + U_4 K_0(R_2 \gamma_3)], \]  
\[ W_4 = R_3 \gamma_3 [U_3 I_1(R_2 \gamma_3) - U_4 K_1(R_2 \gamma_3)], \]

\[ X_1 = W_1 K_1(R_2 \gamma_2) + \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4} W_2 K_0(R_2 \gamma_2), \]
\[ X_2 = W_1 I_1(R_2 \gamma_2) - \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4} W_2 I_0(R_2 \gamma_2), \]
\[ X_3 = W_3 K_1(R_2 \gamma_2) + \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2} W_4 K_0(R_2 \gamma_2), \]
\[ X_4 = W_3 I_1(R_2 \gamma_2) - \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2} W_4 I_0(R_2 \gamma_2), \]

\[ Y_1 = X_1 I_1(a \gamma_2) - X_2 K_1(a \gamma_2), \]
\[ Y_2 = X_1 I_0(a \gamma_2) + X_2 K_0(a \gamma_2), \]
\[ Y_3 = X_3 I_0(a \gamma_2) + X_4 K_0(a \gamma_2), \]
\[ Y_4 = X_3 I_1(a \gamma_2) - X_4 K_1(a \gamma_2). \]
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