1. Introduction

Fast [1] initiated statistical convergence for a real sequence. After the work of Fridy [2] and Šalát [3], it became a noteworthy topic in summability theory. Mursaleen and Edely [4] examined the statistical convergence via double sequences.

Various types of convergence for sequences of functions, such as pointwise, equi-statistical (or ideal), and uniform convergence, were originated by Balcerzak et al. [5]. Pointwise and uniform statistical convergence of double sequences was studied by Gökhan et al. [6].

Additionally, Duman and Orhan [7] studied convergence in μ-density and μ-statistical convergence of sequences of functions and presented the notions of μ-statistical pointwise convergence and μ-statistical uniform convergence.

Lacunary statistical convergence was firstly studied by Fridy and Orhan [8]. In [9], lacunary statistical convergence via double sequence was investigated.

Zadeh [10] initiated the notion of fuzzy sets. The publication of the paper affected deeply all the scientific fields. This notion is significant for real-life conditions, but has no adequate solution to some problems. Such problems lead to new quests. Matloka [11] identified ordinary convergence of a sequence of fuzzy numbers. Nanda [12] examined the sequences with fuzzy numbers. Negoita [13] gave the Hausdorff distance between two fuzzy numbers. Statistical convergence by utilizing fuzzy numbers was given by Nuray and Savaş [14]. Savaş and Mursaleen [15] investigated statistical convergent double sequences via fuzzy numbers. By using fuzzy numbers, Aytar and Pehlivan [16] defined the statistical convergence of sequences. Pointwise statistical convergence sequences of fuzzy mappings studied by Altin et al. [17]. Some beneficial results on this topic can be found in [18–32].

In recent times, Gong et al. studied statistical convergence, equi-statistical convergence, and uniformly statistical convergence for sequences of fuzzy-valued functions. These concepts were extended to the double sequences by Hazarika et al. Kişi and Dündar examined lacunary statistical convergence in measure for sequences of fuzzy-valued functions and established noteworthy results.

According to Zadeh [10], a fuzzy subset of $T$ is a nonempty subset $\{t, \mathcal{W}(t): t \in T\}$ of $T \times J (= [0, 1])$ for some function $\mathcal{W}: T \rightarrow J (= [0, 1])$. A function $\mathcal{W}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow J (= [0, 1])$
$[0,1]$ is called a fuzzy number if the function $\mathfrak{w}$ holds the following properties:

(i) $\mathfrak{w}$ is convex, i.e., $\mathfrak{w}(t) \geq \mathfrak{w}(s) \wedge \mathfrak{w}(r) = \min(\mathfrak{w}(s), \mathfrak{w}(r))$, where $s < t < r$

(ii) $\mathfrak{w}$ is normal, i.e., there exists an $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{w}(t_0) = 1$

(iii) $\mathfrak{w}$ is upper semicontinuous, i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathfrak{w}^{-1}((a, a + \varepsilon))$, for all $a \in [0,1]$ is open in the usual topology of $\mathbb{R}$

(iv) $[\mathfrak{w}]^0 = \text{cl} \{t \in \mathbb{R}: \mathfrak{w}(t) \geq 0\}$ is compact, where $\text{cl}$ is the closure operator

We indicate the set of all fuzzy numbers by $F(\mathbb{R})$. The set $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers can be included in $F(\mathbb{R})$ if we take $\tau \in F(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\tau(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t = r, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \neq r. \end{cases}$$

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $\alpha$-cut of $\mathfrak{w}$ is given by $[\mathfrak{w}]_{\alpha} = \{t \in \mathbb{R}: \mathfrak{w}(t) \geq \alpha\} = \{\mathfrak{w}_\alpha, \mathfrak{w}_\alpha^r\}$ which is a closed bounded interval of $\mathbb{R}$. As in [13], the Hausdorff distance between two fuzzy numbers $\mathfrak{w}$ and $\mathfrak{v}$ is denoted by $D: F(\mathbb{R}) \times F(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$

$$D(\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{v}) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} d([\mathfrak{w}]_{\alpha}, [\mathfrak{v}]_{\alpha}) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \max\{|\mathfrak{w}_\alpha - \mathfrak{v}_\alpha|, |\mathfrak{w}_\alpha^r - \mathfrak{v}_\alpha^r|\},$$

where $d$ is the Hausdorff metric.

For $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta_j(K)$ is named $j$th partial density of $K$ if

$$\delta_j(K) = \frac{|K \cap \{1,2,\ldots,j\}|}{j}$$

If

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \leq n: k \in K} 1 \quad \text{i.e., } \delta(K) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_j(K),$$

exists, it is named the natural density of $K$. $\Psi = \{K \subseteq \mathbb{N}: \delta(K) = 0\}$ is denoted the zero density set.

A sequence of fuzzy numbers $(\mathfrak{x}_n)$ is called to be statistically convergent to a fuzzy number $\mathfrak{x}_0$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N}: D(\mathfrak{x}_n, \mathfrak{x}_0) \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$, i.e., $\{n \in \mathbb{N}: D(\mathfrak{x}_n, \mathfrak{x}_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \in \Psi$. It is demonstrated by $s - \lim \mathfrak{x}_n = \mathfrak{x}_0$ or $\mathfrak{x}_n \xrightarrow{\text{st}} \mathfrak{x}_0$, $(n \rightarrow \infty)$

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. $\delta^r_0(A)$ is named the $r$th partial lacunary density of $A$ if

$$\delta^r_0(A) = \frac{|A \cap I_r|}{h_r},$$

where $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$. The number $\delta^0_0(A)$ is denoted as the lacunary density ($\delta$-density) of $A$ if

$$\delta_0(A) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r: k \in A} 1 \quad \text{i.e., } \delta_0(A) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \delta^r_0(A).$$

exists. Also, $\Lambda = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}: \delta_0(A) = 0\}$ is called to be zero density set.

A SFVF $(\mathfrak{h}_m)$ is pointwise lacunary statistically convergent to FVF $\mathfrak{h}$ on $[a, b]$, denoted by $pS_\theta \mathfrak{h}_m \rightarrow FV \mathfrak{h}$ if for each $z \in [a, b]$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T_z \subseteq \Lambda$ such that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus T_z$, we get $D(\mathfrak{h}_m(z), \mathfrak{h}(z)) < \varepsilon$. It is obvious that $\mathfrak{h}_m \rightarrow p S_\theta \mathfrak{h}$ if for every $z \in [a, b]$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta_0(\{m \in \mathbb{N}: D(\mathfrak{h}_m(z), \mathfrak{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$. Here, $\mathfrak{h}$ is named the lacunary statistical limit function of $(\mathfrak{h}_m)$

Some definitions and significant results about lacunary statistical convergence in measure for sequences of fuzzy-valued functions were given in.

A double sequence $\theta = \{(k_r, j_u)\}$ is named lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing sequences of integers $(k_u)$ and $(j_u)$ such that

$$k_0 = 0, \quad h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$j_0 = 0, \quad \bar{h}_u = j_u - j_{u-1} \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$r, u \rightarrow \infty.$$

We use the following symbols in the sequel:

$$k_{ru} = k_r, j_{ru},$$

$$h_{ru} = h_r, \bar{h}_u,$$

$$I_{ru} = \{(k, j): k_{ru-1} < k \leq k_r \text{ and } j_{ru-1} < j \leq j_u\},$$

$$q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}},$$

$$\bar{q}_u = \frac{j_u}{j_{u-1}},$$

$$q_{ru} = q_r q_u \bar{q}_r.$$
2. Main Results

In the section, we presume that $\overline{H} : [a, b] \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R})$ and $\overline{h}_{mn} : [a, b] \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R})$ are the fuzzy-valued function and a double sequence of fuzzy-valued functions for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We indicate FVF and DSFVF in place of fuzzy-valued function and double sequence of fuzzy-valued functions.

**Definition 1.** Let $T \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $r, u \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta_{ru}^{\theta_{z}}(T)$ is called the $ru$th partial lacunary density of $T$ if
\[
\delta_{ru}^{\theta_{z}}(T) = \frac{|T \cap I_{r u}|}{h_{r u}}.
\] (9)

The number $\delta_{ru}^{\theta_{z}}(T)$ is named the lacunary density or $\theta_{z}$-density of $T$ if
\[
\delta_{ru}^{\theta_{z}}(T) = \lim_{r, u \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r u}} |\{(k, j) \in I_{r u} : (k, j) \in T\}|. \quad (10)
\]

(\text{i.e.}, $\delta_{ru}^{\theta_{z}}(T) = \lim_{r, u \to \infty} \delta_{ru}^{\theta_{z}}(T)$)

exists. Additionally,
\[
\Lambda_{z} = \{T \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \delta_{ru}^{\theta_{z}}(T) = 0\} \quad (11)
\]

is named to be zero density set.

**Definition 2.** We call that a DSFVF $(\overline{h}_{mn})$ is pointwise lacunary statistically convergent to FVF $\overline{h}$ on $[a, b]$, indicated by
\[
p_{S_{\theta_{z}}} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{h}_{mn}(z) = \overline{h}(z) or \overline{h}_{mn} \underset{p}{\rightarrow} S_{\theta_{z}} \overline{h},
\] (12)

if for every $z \in [a, b]$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T_{z} \in \Lambda_{z}$ such that, for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus T_{z}$, we have
\[
D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon. \quad (13)
\]

It is obvious that $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} \overline{h}$ if and only if for every $z \in [a, b]$
\[
\delta_{z}(\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon \}) = 0. \quad (14)
\]

**Definition 3.** We call that a DSFVF $(\overline{h}_{mn})$ is uniformly lacunary statistically convergent to FVF $\overline{h}$ on $[a, b]$, denoted by $u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{h}_{mn}(z) = \overline{h}(z)$ or $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} \overline{h}$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T \in \Lambda_{z}$ such that, for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus T$, we obtain
\[
D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon, \quad (15)
\]

which provides for all $z \in [a, b]$. It is obvious that $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} \overline{h}$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$,
\[
\delta_{z}(\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon \}) = 0. \quad (16)
\]

for all $z \in [a, b]$.

**Remark 1.** If $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} \overline{h}$, then $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} \overline{h}$.

**Remark 2.** $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} \overline{h}$ iff $\sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} 0$.

**Proof.** Assume that $\sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} 0$. Then, for each $z \in [a, b]$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \leq \sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z))$ so that
\[
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon, \forall z \in [a, b] \right\}
\]

\[\subseteq \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon \right\}. \quad (17)
\]

Hence, $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} \overline{h}$.

Next, suppose that $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} \overline{h}$ on $[a, b]$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we write
\[
A_{1} = \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon, \forall z \in [a, b] \right\},
\]
\[
A_{2} = \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon \right\}. \quad (18)
\]

If $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus A_{1}$, then $D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon, \forall z \in [a, b]$ which implies
\[
\sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon, \quad (19)
\]
as $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary. This gives that $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus A_{2}$. Therefore, we obtain
\[
\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus A_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus A_{2} \Rightarrow A_{2} \subseteq A_{1}, \quad (20)
\]

which gives $\delta_{z}(A_{2}) \leq \delta_{z}(A_{1}) = 0$. Hence, $\sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} 0$. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 1.** Presume that the sequence of FVF $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} \overline{h}$ on $[a, b]$, where $(\overline{h}_{mn})$ is equi-continuous on $[a, b]$; then, $\overline{h}$ is continuous and $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow u_{S_{\theta_{z}}} \overline{h}$ on $[a, b]$.

**Proof.** First, we demonstrate that $\overline{h}_{mn}$ is continuous. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z_{0} \in [a, b]$. By the equi-continuity of $\overline{h}_{mn}$, then there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that
\[
D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z_{0})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad (21)
\]

for any $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in (z_{0} - \gamma, z_{0} + \gamma)$. For some $z \in (z_{0} - \gamma, z_{0} + \gamma)$, since $\overline{h}_{mn} \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} \overline{h}$, the set
\[
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z_{0})) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\}
\]

\[\cup \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\} \in \Lambda_{z}. \quad (22)
\]

So, there exists $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that
\[
D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z_{0}), \overline{h}(z_{0})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad (23)
\]

We obtain

\[
D(\overline{h}(z_{0}), \overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon \quad (24)
\]

\[\sup_{z \in [a, b]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z), \overline{h}(z)) \rightarrow p S_{\theta_{z}} 0. \quad (25)
\]
\[ D(\tilde{h}(z_0), \tilde{h}(z)) \leq D(\tilde{h}(z_0), \tilde{h}_{mn}(z_0)) + D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z_0), \tilde{h}_{mn}(z)) + D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{h}(z)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \]

(24)

Therefore, we proved the continuity of \( \tilde{h} \).

At the moment, we will show that \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{us}_{\mathcal{D}}} \tilde{h} \) on \([a, b]\). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Since \( \tilde{h} \) is continuous on \([a, b]\), it provides that \( \tilde{h} \) is uniformly continuous and \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \) is equi-uniformly continuous on \([a, b]\). So, pick \( \gamma > 0 \) such that, for any \( z, z' \in [a, b] \) and \( |z - z'| < \gamma \), we have

\[ D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{h}_{mn}(z')) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \]

(25)

Using the finite covering theorem, select finite open coverings

\[ (z_1 - \gamma, z_1 + \gamma), (z_2 - \gamma, z_2 + \gamma), \ldots, (z_n - \gamma, z_n + \gamma), \]

from the cover of \([a, b]\). Using \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{us}_{\mathcal{D}}} \tilde{h} \), there exists a set \( M_z \in \Lambda_z \) such that

\[ D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z_i), \tilde{h}(z_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \]

(27)

for all \((m, n) \notin M_z\) and \( i \in \{(1, 1), (2, 2), \ldots, (r, u)\}\). Let \((m, n) \notin M_z \) and \( z \in [a, b] \). Hence, \( z \in (z_i - \gamma, z_i + \gamma) \) for some \( i \in \{(1, 1), (2, 2), \ldots, (r, u)\} \). As a result

\[ D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{h}(z)) \leq D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{h}_{mn}(z_i)) + D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z_i), \tilde{h}(z_i)) + D(\tilde{h}(z_i), \tilde{h}(z)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \]

(28)

which gives that \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{us}_{\mathcal{D}}} \tilde{h} \) on \([a, b]\).

\[ \square \]

Definition 4. We call that a DSFVF \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \) is equi-lacunary statistically convergent to FVF \( \tilde{h} \), denoted by \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{h} \), if, for given \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ G_{\text{e}, z, \varepsilon} = \delta_{e_{\tilde{h}}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon\}), \]

(29)

with regards to \( z \in [a, b] \) is uniformly convergent to zero function. Thus, \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{h} \) iff for all \( \varepsilon, \beta > 0 \), \( \exists k, l \in \mathbb{N} \), for each \( r \geq k, u \geq l \), for all \( z \in [a, b] \),

\[ \delta_{e_{\tilde{h}}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon\}) < \beta. \]

(30)

Note that, by monotonicity of \( \delta_{e_{\tilde{h}}} \), we can also utilize \( \beta = \varepsilon \).

Remark 3. It is obvious that \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{h} \) iff for every \( z \in Y \) and for every \( \varepsilon, \beta > 0 \), \( \exists k, l \in \mathbb{N} \), for all \( r \geq k, u \geq l \),

\[ \delta_{\tilde{h}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \tilde{h}_{mn}(z) \neq \tilde{h}(z)\}) = 0. \]

(35)

Therefore, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ \delta_{\tilde{h}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon\}) < \beta. \]

(31)

In this instance, we may take \( \beta = \varepsilon \). Obviously, \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{h}} \) \( \tilde{h} \) implies \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{h}} \). Furthermore, we see that \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{us}_{\mathcal{D}}} \tilde{h} \) indicates \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{h}} \).

Corollary 1. Let \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \) be a DSFVF and \( \tilde{h} \) be a FVF on \([a, b]\).

Then, \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{us}_{\mathcal{D}}} \tilde{h} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{h}} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{h} \). The converse implications do not hold in general.

Proof. Proving the above result, we can consider the examples as given below.

\[ \square \]

Example 1. Consider DSFVF \( \tilde{h}_{mn}(z) = (e^{-mnz}) \) for \( z \in [0, 1] \).

Then, we get \( D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z), \tilde{o}) = e^{-mnz} \) for each \((m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \) and \( z \in [0, 1] \).

Therefore, \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \) converges pointwise to \( \tilde{h} = \tilde{o} \) and so \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{e}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{h}} \tilde{o} \). However, for each \((k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \), we consider \((k, l) \in [mn, 2mn - 1] \).

Therefore, for all \( z \in [0, 1/2mn - 1] \), we have

\[ D(\tilde{h}_{kl}(z), \tilde{o}) = e^{-mnz} \geq e^{-(2mn-1)z} \geq \frac{1}{e} \quad \text{as } z \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2mn-1}\right] \]

(32)

Thus, for all \( z \in [0, 1/(2mn - 1)] \), one obtains

\[ A_{mn}(z) = \delta_{e_{\tilde{h}}}(\{(k, l) \in [mn, 2mn - 1]: D(\tilde{h}_{kl}(z), \tilde{o}) \geq \frac{1}{3}\}) \]

(33)

\[ \longrightarrow 1 \neq 0. \]

Hence, \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \) is not equi-lacunary statistically convergent to \( \tilde{o} \) on \([0, 1]\).

Example 2. Let us define a DSFVF \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \) by

\[ \tilde{h}_{mn}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{m^2n^2z}{1 + m^2n^2z^2}, & \text{for } z \in \left[\frac{1}{mn + 1\cdot mn + 1}\right], \\ \tilde{o}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

(34)

Then, for every \( z \in [0, 1] \), we have

\[ \delta_{\tilde{h}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \tilde{h}_{mn}(z) \neq \tilde{o}\}) = 0. \]

(35)
\[
\frac{1}{h_{ru}} \left| \left\{ (m,n) \in I_{ru}: D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z),\overline{\mathcal{D}}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \left| \left\{ (m,n) \in I_{ru}: \overline{h}_{mn}(z) \neq \overline{\mathcal{D}} \right\} \right| \\
\cap \{(1,1),(2,2),\ldots,(m,n) \leq \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \longrightarrow 0. \}
\]

This yields that \((\overline{h}_{mn})\) is pointwise lacunary statistically convergent to \(\overline{h} = \overline{\mathcal{D}}\). However,

\[
\sup_{z \in [0,1]} D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z),\overline{\mathcal{D}}) = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} \frac{m^2 n^2 z}{1 + m^n n^z z} \\
= \sup_{z \in [0,1]} \frac{1}{(1/m^n n^z z) + m n z} \neq 0,
\]

for all \(m,n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \((\overline{h}_{mn})\) is not uniformly lacunary statistically convergent to \(\overline{h} = \overline{\mathcal{D}}\) on \([0,1]\).

**Theorem 2.** A of SFVMF \((\overline{h}_{mn})\) is uniformly lacunary statistically convergent to FVMF \(\overline{h}\) iff \([\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_a\) is uniformly lacunary statistically convergent to \([\overline{h}(z)]_a\) uniformly with regards to \(a\) and \(z\).

**Proof.** Select \(\varepsilon > 0\). Given \(\overline{h}_{mn} \rightrightarrows \overline{h}\), there exists \(M \in \Lambda_2\) such that \(D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z),\overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon\), for any \((m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\setminus M\) and \(z \in [a,b]\), i.e.,

\[
\sup_{z \in [a,b]} \max_{a \in [0,1]} \left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^+ - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| < \varepsilon.
\]

That is, there are

\[
\left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^+ - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| < \varepsilon,
\]

for any \((m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\setminus M\) and \(z \in [a,b]\). Moreover,

\[
[\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_a = \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^- \cup \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z\right))_a^+,
\]

\[
[\overline{h}(z)]_a = \left(\overline{h}_a(z)\right)_a^- \cup \left(\overline{h}_a(z\right))_a^+.
\]

Consequently, we get \([\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_a\) is uniformly lacunary statistically convergent to \([\overline{h}(z)]_a\) uniformly with regards to \(a\) and \(z\).

Conversely, for any \(a \in [0,1]\) and for any \(z \in [a,b]\), \([\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_a\) is uniformly lacunary statistically convergent to \([\overline{h}(z)]_a\) with regards to \(a\) and \(z\). Thus, for given \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists \(M_1 \in \Lambda_1\) such that

\[
\left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^+ - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| < \varepsilon,
\]

for all \((m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\setminus M_1\), \(z \in [a,b]\), and any \(a \in [0,1]\). Additionally, we see that, for given \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists \(M_2 \in \Lambda_2\) such that

\[
\left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^- - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| < \varepsilon,
\]

for all \((m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\setminus M_2\), \(z \in [a,b]\), and any \(a \in [0,1]\). Take \(M = M_1 \cup M_2 \subseteq \Lambda_2\). We get

\[
\left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^- - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| < \varepsilon,
\]

\[
\left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^+ - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| < \varepsilon,
\]

for all \((m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\setminus M\), \(z \in [a,b]\), and any \(a \in [0,1]\). As a result, we have

\[
\sup_{z \in [0,1]} \max_{a \in [0,1]} \left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^- - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| \left| \left(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)\right)_a^+ - \overline{h}_a(z) \right| < \varepsilon,
\]

that is,

\[
D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z),\overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon.
\]

This concludes the proof. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.** Let \(\overline{h}\) FVMF and \((\overline{h}_{mn})\) SFVMF. Fix \(z_0 \in [a,b]\) if \(\overline{h}_{mn} \rightrightarrows \overline{h}_s\) \(\overline{h}\) on \([a,b]\) and all \((\overline{h}_{mn})\) are continuous on \(z_0\), then \(\overline{h}\) is continuous on \(z_0\).

**Proof.** Let \(\varepsilon > 0\), \(\overline{h}_{mn} \rightrightarrows \overline{h}_s\), and we can identify numbers \(r,u \in \mathbb{N}\) such that, for all \(z \in [a,b]\),

\[
\delta_{b_1} \left( \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z),\overline{h}(z)) \geq \varepsilon/3 \right\} \right) < \frac{1}{2}
\]

Let \(K(z) = \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\overline{h}_{mn}(z),\overline{h}(z)) < \varepsilon/3 \right\}\), \(z \in [a,b]\). Therefore, \(\delta_{b_1}^\text{b} (K(z)) > (1/2)\) for all \(z \in [a,b]\). Via the continuity of \(\overline{h}_{11}, \overline{h}_{12}, \ldots, \overline{h}_{ru}\) at \(z_0\), there is a neighborhood \((z_0 - \zeta, z_0 + \zeta)\) of \(z_0\) such that

\[
D(\overline{h}_{i}(z_0),\overline{h}_{i}(z_0)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},
\]

for all \(i = (1,1), (2,2), \ldots, (r,u)\) and \(z \in (z_0 - \zeta, z_0 + \zeta)\). Fix \(z \in (z_0 - \zeta, z_0 + \zeta)\). Since \(\delta_{b_1}^\text{b} (K(z_0)) > (1/2)\) and \(\delta_{b_1}^\text{b} (K(z_0)) > (1/2)\), we find \(p \in K(z) \cap K(z_0)\). Thus,

\[
D(\overline{h}_{i}(z_0),\overline{h}(z_0)) \leq D(\overline{h}_{i}(z_0),\overline{h}_{i}(z)) + D(\overline{h}_{i}(z),\overline{h}(z_0))
\]

\[
+ D(\overline{h}_{i}(z_0),\overline{h}(z)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.
\]

Thus, we obtain

\[
D(\overline{h}(z_0),\overline{h}(z_0)) < \varepsilon,
\]

for all \(z \in \mathcal{N}(z_0,\zeta)\), i.e., \(\overline{h}\) is continuous on \(z_0\). \(\square\)

**Theorem 4.** A of SFVMF \((\overline{h}_{mn})\) is equi-lacunary statistically convergent to FVMF \(\overline{h}\) iff \([\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_a\) is equi-lacunary statistically convergent to \([\overline{h}(z)]_a\) uniformly for any \(a \in [0,1]\) and any \(z \in [a,b]\).
Proof. $\tilde{T}_{mn} \rightarrow S_0 \tilde{T}$ shows that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$, there exists $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $r \geq k, u \geq l$ and any $z \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \max \left\{ \left| (\tilde{T}_{mn}(z)\right|_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{T}_{a\alpha}(z) \right|, \left| (\tilde{T}_{mn}(z)\right|_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{T}_{a\alpha}(z) \right| \geq \varepsilon \}) < \sigma. \tag{50}$$

So, for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$, we obtain

$$\delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\tilde{T}_{mn}(z), \tilde{T}(z)) \geq \varepsilon \}) < \sigma. \tag{51}$$

for all $r \geq k_1, u \geq l_1$ and any $z \in [a, b]$ and for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Similarly, there exists $k_2, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \left| (\tilde{T}_{mn}(z)\right|_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{T}_{a\alpha}(z) \right| \geq \varepsilon \}) < \sigma, \tag{55}$$

for all $r \geq k_2$ and $u \geq l_2$ and any $z \in [a, b]$ and for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Then, select $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ and $l = \max\{l_1, l_2\}$. We obtain

$$\delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \left| (\tilde{T}_{mn}(z)\right|_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{T}_{a\alpha}(z) \right| \geq \varepsilon \}) < \sigma, \tag{56}$$

for all $r \geq k$ and $u \geq l$ and any $z \in [a, b]$ and for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Thus, we have

$$\delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \max \left\{ \left| (\tilde{T}_{mn}(z)\right|_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{T}_{a\alpha}(z) \right|, \left| (\tilde{T}_{mn}(z)\right|_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{T}_{a\alpha}(z) \right| \geq \varepsilon \}) < \sigma, \tag{57}$$

that is,

$$\delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\tilde{T}_{mn}(z), \tilde{T}(z)) \geq \varepsilon \}) < \sigma. \tag{58}$$

This concludes the proof.

The next result is the lacunary statistical form of Egorov’s theorem for the DSFVF.

**Theorem 5.** Take measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$. Presume that $\tilde{H}$ and $(\tilde{T}_{mn})$ are measurable and identified almost everywhere on $\Omega$. Suppose also that $\tilde{T}_{mn} \rightarrow S_0 \tilde{T}$ almost everywhere on $\Omega$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu(\Omega \setminus \delta) < \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{T}_{mn}|_{\delta} \rightarrow \tilde{T}|_{\delta}$ on $\delta$.

Proof. We presume that $\tilde{H}$ and $(\tilde{T}_{mn})$ are defined everywhere on $\Omega$ and also presume that $\tilde{T}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow S_0 \tilde{T}(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Now, for any fix $\sigma, r, u \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the set

$$P = \{z \in \Omega: \delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\tilde{T}_{mn}(z), \tilde{T}(z)) \geq \varepsilon \}) < \frac{1}{\sigma} \}, \tag{59}$$

is measurable. Then, the function $\Phi_{mn}(z) = D(\tilde{T}_{mn}(z), \tilde{T}(z)), z \in \Omega$, is measurable. Let $H_{mn} = \Phi_{mn}^{-1}((1/\sigma, \infty))$. For every $z \in \Omega$, we have $z \in P$ iff

$$\frac{1}{h_{\delta}} \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{u} \chi_{H_{mn}}(z) < \frac{1}{\sigma}. \tag{60}$$

Since the function

$$h = \frac{1}{h_{\delta}} \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{u} \chi_{H_{mn}}(z) \tag{61}$$

is measurable, so we have $P = h^{-1}((1/\sigma, \infty))$. For $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, one writes

$$\Psi_{\alpha, k, l} = \{z \in \Omega: \forall r \geq k, u \geq l, \delta^n_{\theta}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: D(\tilde{T}_{mn}(z), \tilde{T}(z)) \geq \frac{1}{\sigma} \}) < \frac{1}{\sigma} \}. \tag{62}$$

From the previous observation, we conclude that $\Psi_{\alpha, k, l}$ is measurable. Also, we obtain
\[ \Psi_{\sigma,k,l} \subset \Psi_{\sigma,k+1,l+1}, \quad (\forall k,l \in \mathbb{N}), \]

\[ \Omega = \bigcup_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{\sigma,k,l}. \quad (63) \]

As a result, \( \mu(\Omega) = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \mu(\Psi_{\sigma,k,l}). \) Take \( \varepsilon > 0. \) For each \( k,l \in \mathbb{N}, \) select \( k(\sigma), l(\sigma) \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \mu(\Omega - \Psi_{\sigma,k(\sigma),l(\sigma)}) < (\varepsilon/2^m). \)

Set \( T_0 = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} (\Omega - \Psi_{\sigma,k(s),l(s)}). \) \hspace{1cm} (64)

Then, we have \( \mu(T_0) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \mu(\Omega - \Psi_{\sigma,k(s),l(s)}) < \varepsilon. \)

Let \( T = \Omega - T_0 = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{\sigma,k(s),l(s)}. \) \hspace{1cm} (66)

Thus, \( \mu(\Omega - T) = \mu(T_0) < \varepsilon. \) Hence, we get \( \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{N}, \forall r \geq k(\sigma), u \geq l(\sigma), \forall z \in T, \)

\[ \mathcal{E}(\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z),\tilde{h}(z)) \geq \eta) \geq \zeta \}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{if} \ r, u \longrightarrow \infty, \quad (68) \]

for \( \eta, \zeta > 0. \) It is denoted by \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \rightarrow^{\delta_{\eta,\zeta}} \mu \tilde{h}. \) If we take (68) as follows, we get the inner statistical convergence in measure of a DSFVMF \( (\tilde{h}_{mn}) \) to a FVMF \( \tilde{h}. \)

\[ \mu(\{(z, (m,n)) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) : D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z),\tilde{h}(z)) \geq \eta) \geq \zeta \}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{if} \ r, u \longrightarrow \infty, \quad (69) \]

It is demonstrated by \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \rightarrow^{\mu} \delta_{\eta,\zeta} \tilde{h}. \)

**Theorem 6.** Take measurable space \( (\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu). \) Presume \( (\tilde{h}_{mn}) \) and \( \tilde{h} \) in \( \mathcal{L}^0. \)

(i) If \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \rightarrow^{\delta_{\eta,\zeta}} \mu \tilde{h}, \) then \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \rightarrow^{\mu} \delta_{\eta,\zeta} \tilde{h}. \)

(ii) If \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \rightarrow^{\mu} \delta_{\eta,\zeta} \tilde{h}, \) then \( \tilde{h}_{mn} \rightarrow^{\delta_{\eta,\zeta}} \mu \tilde{h}, \) provided \( \mu(\Omega) < \infty. \)

**Proof.** Since \( \mathcal{E}(\mu, P_1) \rightarrow [0,1] \) \( (r,u \in \mathbb{N}) \) is a probability measure, we can think the product measure \( \mu \times \mathcal{E}(\mu, P_1) \) on the product algebra \( \mathcal{M} \otimes P_1 \) of subsets of \( \Omega \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}). \) For fix \( \eta > 0, \) we obtain

\[ S_{\eta} = \{ (z, (m,n)) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) : D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z),\tilde{h}(z)) \geq \eta \}. \]

\hspace{1cm} (70)

Define a function \( \Phi : \Omega \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) as

\[ \Phi((z, (m,n))) = D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z),\tilde{h}(z)), \quad (z, (m,n)) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}), \]

\hspace{1cm} (71)

is \( \mathcal{M} \otimes P_1 \)-measurable. Therefore, we get \( S_{\eta} \in \mathcal{M} \otimes P_1. \) For any \( \mathcal{A} \subset \Omega \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}), \) one writes

\[ \mathcal{E}(\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z),\tilde{h}(z)) \geq \eta) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad (74) \]

Assume that

\[ P = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(S_{\eta}(m,n)) < 1 \}. \]

\hspace{1cm} (76)

Then, we have from situation (74) that

\[ \mathcal{E}(\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(D(\tilde{h}_{mn}(z),\tilde{h}(z)) \geq \eta) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad (77) \]
Hence, for all $\forall r \geq r_0$ and $u \geq u_0$, one acquires
\[
\mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z)) \geq q \right\}\right) \\
\leq \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z) \cap P) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\}\right) \\
+ \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z) \setminus P) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\}\right) \\
\leq \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z) \cap P) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\}\right).
\tag{78}
\]
Take $S'_\eta = S_\eta \cap (\Omega \times P)$. Therefore, we have
\[
S'_\eta(z) = S_\eta(z) \cap P(z \in \Omega), \\
S'_\eta(m, n) = S_\eta(m, n) ((m, n) \in P).
\tag{79}
\]
To acquire relation (73), it is enough to demonstrate that
\[
\forall r \geq r_0, u \geq u_0, \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S'_\eta(z)) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\}\right) < \varepsilon. \tag{80}
\]
For the set $S'_\eta \subset \Omega \times P$ and for every fix $r, u, \in \mathbb{N}$, we can utilize the Fubini theorem for the characteristic function of $S'_\eta$ of the finite measure $\mu \times \delta^m_n$. Actually,
\[
S'_\eta = \bigcup_{(m, n) \in P} \{(m, n) \times S_\eta(m, n)\}, \tag{81}
\]
where
\[
\mu(S_\eta(m, n)) < 1 (\forall (m, n) \in P), \\
\delta^m_n((m, n)) = 0 (\forall m > r, n > u). \tag{82}
\]
Thus,
\[
\int_{\Omega} \mu(S'_\eta(m, n)) \, dmdn = (\mu \times \delta^m_n)(S'_\eta) \\
= \int_{\Omega} \delta^m_n(S'_\eta(z)) \, dz. \tag{83}
\]
Suppose $r_0$ and $u_0$ $\in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \geq r_0$ and $u \geq u_0$, one obtains
\[
\frac{qe}{2} \leq \int_{P} \int_{\Omega} \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z)) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\}\right) \, dmdn \\
\geq \int_{\Omega} \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z)) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\}\right) \, dmdn \\
\geq \int_{\Omega} \delta^m_n(S'_\eta(z)) \, dz \\
\geq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S'_\eta(z)) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\} \, dz \\
\geq \frac{q}{2} \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S'_\eta(z)) \geq \frac{q}{2} \right\}\right). \tag{84}
\]
which gives that strict inequality (80) is valid.

(ii) Suppose that $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. Fix $\eta > 0$. To verify our result, we need to denote that
\[
\forall r, q > 0, \exists r_0, u_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall r \\
\geq r_0, u \geq u_0, \delta^m_n\left(\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu(S_\eta(m, n)) \geq q \right\}\right) < \varepsilon. \tag{85}
\]
Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $q > 0$ be given. Since $\bar{h}_{mn} \longrightarrow \mu, \delta^m_n \bar{h}_m$, one can find $r_0, u_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $r \geq r_0$ and $u \geq u_0$, we have
\[
\mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z)) \geq \frac{qe}{2\mu(\Omega)} \right\}\right) < \frac{qe}{2}. \tag{86}
\]
By considering the Fubini theorem for the function of $S_\eta \subset \Omega \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$, we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega} \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z)) \, dz = (\mu \times \delta^m_n)(S_\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mu(S_\eta(m, n)) \, dmdn. \tag{87}
\]
Supposing $r_0$ and $u_0$ such that, for all $r \geq r_0$ and $u \geq u_0$, we have
\[
qe > \frac{qe\mu(\Omega)}{2\mu(\Omega)} + \mu\left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z)) \geq \frac{qe}{\mu(\Omega)} \right\}\right) \\
\geq \int_{\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu(S_\eta(m, n)) \geq \frac{qe}{\mu(\Omega)} \}} \, dmdn \\
\geq \int_{\Omega} \delta^m_n(S_\eta(z)) \, dz = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mu(S_\eta(m, n)) \, dmdn \\
\geq \int_{\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu(S_\eta(m, n)) \geq q \}} \, dmdn \\
\geq qa^m_n \left(\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu(S_\eta(m, n)) \geq q \right\}\right). \tag{88}
\]
This concludes the proof. \hfill \Box

Theorem 6 indicates that both kinds of convergence (in Definition 5) in measure are equivalent if $\Omega$ is a finite measurable set. By thinking the set $\Omega$, we give the following definition.

**Definition 6.** DSFVMF $(\bar{h}_{mn})$ is called to be lacunary statistical convergent in measure (shortly, LSCM) to a FVMF $\bar{h}$, in symbol, $\bar{h}_{mn} \longrightarrow \mu S_\eta \bar{h}$, if $\mu(\{ z \in \Omega: D(\bar{h}_{mn}(z)), \bar{h}(z)) \geq q \})$ is lacunary statistically convergent to zero for any $q > 0$ and all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. This concept can be denoted by the following formula:
\[
\forall \eta > 0, \forall q > 0, \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu \left(\left\{ z \in \Omega: D(\bar{h}_{mn}(z)), \bar{h}(z)) \geq q \right\}\right) > \eta \} \in \Lambda_2. \tag{89}
\]
Here, we can take $\eta = q$ or $q = (1/r), r \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proposition 1. Take measurable space \((\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\). Suppose that \(\overline{r}_{mn} \) and \(h\) in \(\mathcal{L}^0\). Then, \(\overline{r}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} h \Rightarrow \overline{r}_{mn} \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}\).

Proof. We presume that \(\overline{r}_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} h\). Take \(q > 0\). In this way, there is a set \(W \in \Lambda_2\) such that
\[
D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) < q, \quad \forall (m, n) \notin W, z \in \Omega. \tag{90}
\]
Thus, we obtain
\[
\{\{m, n\} \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(\{z \in \Omega : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) > q\}) > q \}
\subset \{\{m, n\} \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(\{z \in \Omega : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) > q\}) \neq \emptyset \}
\subset W \in \Lambda_2. \tag{91}
\]
This indicates that \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\). \(\Box\)

Theorem 7. Let \(\overline{h}\) be a FVMF such that, for each \(z \in \Omega\), \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^r \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\). Then, given \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(\delta > 0\), there is a measurable set \(W \subset \Omega\) with \(\mu(W) < \delta\) and integers \(m_0\) and \(n_0\) such that
\[
D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall z \notin W \text{ and } m \geq m_0, n \geq n_0. \tag{92}
\]
Proof. Let
\[
Z_{mn} = \{z \in \Omega : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq \varepsilon\}, \tag{93}
\]
and set
\[
\Omega_{m_0, n_0} = \bigcup_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=n_0}^{\infty} Z_{mn} = \{z \in \Omega : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for some } m \geq m_0, n \geq n_0\}. \tag{94}
\]
We have that \(\Omega_{m_0, n_0} \subset \bigcap_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \Omega_{m_0, n_0} = \emptyset\), so there is some \(z \in \Omega\) to which \(\overline{r}_{mn}\) does not belong, since \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^{\text{a.s.}} h(z)\). Thus, we get \(\bigcap_{m_0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n_0}^{\infty} \Omega_{m_0, n_0} = \emptyset\), so we have \(\lim\mu(\Omega_{m_0, n_0}) = 0\). Hence, given \(\delta > 0\), \(\exists m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\) so that \(\mu(\Omega_{m_0, n_0}) < \delta\), i.e.,
\[
\mu(\{z \in \Omega : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for some } m \geq m_0, n \geq n_0\}) < \delta. \tag{95}
\]
If we write \(W\) for this \(\Omega_{m_0, n_0}\), then \(\mu(W) < \delta\) and
\[
W = \{z \in \Omega : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for some } m \geq m_0, n \geq n_0\}. \tag{96}
\]
\(\Box\)

Theorem 8. If DSFVMF \(\overline{r}_{mn}\) pointwise lacunary statistically convergent to a FVMF \(\overline{h}\) almost everywhere on \(\Omega\), then \(\overline{r}_{mn} \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}\).

Proof. Assume that \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^r \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\) almost everywhere on \(\Omega\). We have from Theorem 6 that \(\overline{r}_{mn} \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}\) is the same as \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\). So, to demonstrate our result, we have to show that \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\). Presume that \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(\delta > 0\). It is clear from Theorem 5 that \(\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\) such that \(\overline{h}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^r \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}_{id}\) and \(\mu(\Omega) < \delta\). Select indexes \(k\) and \(l\) such that
\[
\delta_{\theta}^{\text{D}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq q\}) < q. \tag{97}
\]
for every \(r \geq k, u \geq l\), and \(y \in \mathcal{A}\). Thus, for all \(r \geq k\) and \(u \geq l\), we obtain
\[
\{z \in \Omega : \delta_{\theta}^{\text{D}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq q\}) \geq q\} \subset \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}. \tag{98}
\]
Therefore, for every \(r \geq k\) and \(u \geq l\),
\[
\mu(\{z \in \Omega : \delta_{\theta}^{\text{D}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq q\}) \geq q\}) < \varepsilon, \tag{99}
\]
as desired. \(\Box\)

Theorem 9. If \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^r \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\) almost everywhere on \(\Omega\), then \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\).

Proof. Take \(q, \varepsilon > 0\). By considering Theorem 5, there is an \(\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\) such that \(\overline{h}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^r \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}_{id}\) and \(\mu(\Omega) < \delta\). Consider \(k, l \in \mathbb{N}\) such that
\[
\delta_{\theta}^{\text{D}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq q\}) < q \quad (\forall r \geq k, u \geq l \text{ and } z \in \mathcal{A}), \tag{100}
\]
which yields
\[
\{z \in \Omega : \delta_{\theta}^{\text{D}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq q\}) \geq q\} \subset \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A} \quad (\forall r \geq k, u \geq l). \tag{101}
\]
Therefore, one obtains
\[
\mu(\{z \in \Omega : \delta_{\theta}^{\text{D}}(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D(\overline{r}_{mn}(z), h(z)) \geq q\}) \geq q\}) < \varepsilon. \tag{102}
\]
\(\Box\)

Corollary 2. If \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\), then \(\exists\) a subsequence \((\overline{r}_{mn})_{i}^\alpha\) of \(\overline{r}_{mn}\) such that \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^r \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\) almost everywhere on \(\Omega\).

Proof. Presume that \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^\mu \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\), so any subsequence \((\overline{r}_{mn})_{i}^\alpha\) of \(\overline{r}_{mn}\) also lacunary statistically convergent in measure to \(\overline{h}\). In this way, \((\overline{h}_{mn})\) possesses a subsequence that lacunary statistically convergent in measure to \(\overline{h}\) almost everywhere on \(\Omega\). This gives that \(\overline{h}_{mn}(z) \rightarrow^r \delta_{\theta} \overline{h}(z)\) almost everywhere on \(\Omega\). \(\Box\)

Definition 7. Take finite measurable space \((\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\). Assume that \(\overline{r}_{mn}(z)_{i}^\alpha\), \(\overline{h}_{mn}(z)_{i}^\alpha\), and \(\overline{h}_{in}(z) \in \mathcal{L}^0\). The double sequence \((\overline{r}_{mn}(z))_{i}^\alpha\) is uniformly lacunary statistically convergent in measure (briefly, we can write ULSCM) to \(\overline{h}(z)\) with regards to \(\alpha\) if
Theorem 10. \( \text{DSFVMF } \{\overline{h}_{mn}\} \text{ is LSCM to FVMF } \overline{h} \text{ iff } [\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_{\alpha} \text{ is ULSCM to } [\overline{h}(z)]_{\alpha} \text{ with regards to } \alpha. \)

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \{\overline{h}_{mn}\} \) is LSCM to \( \overline{h}. \) Then, both lacunary statistically convergent measure to zero for each \( q > 0. \) Notice that this concept is given by the following formula:

\[
\mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} \right| \geq q \right\} \right),
\]

\[
\mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} \right| \geq q \right\} \right).
\]

For every \( q > 0, \) one obtains

\[
\forall \eta > 0, \forall q > 0, \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} \right| \geq q \right\} \right) \geq \eta \right\} \in \Lambda_2.
\]

Thus,

\[
\forall \eta > 0, \forall q > 0, \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} \right| \geq q \right\} \right) \geq \eta \right\} \in \Lambda_2.
\]

which gives that

\[
\mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} \right| \geq q \right\} \right) \overset{\mu}{\rightarrow} S_{\delta_1} 0, \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} \right| \geq q \right\} \right) \overset{\mu}{\rightarrow} S_{\delta_2} 0.
\]

Hence, \( [\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_{\alpha} \) is ULSCM to \( [\overline{h}(z)]_{\alpha} \) with regards to \( \alpha. \)

Next, we presume that \( [\overline{h}_{mn}(z)]_{\alpha} \) is ULSCM to \( [\overline{h}(z)]_{\alpha} \) with regards to \( \alpha. \) Then, for every \( q > 0, \) one has

\[
\mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{-} \right| \geq q \right\} \right) \overset{\mu}{\rightarrow} S_{\delta_1} 0, \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| (\overline{h}_{mn}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} - (\overline{h}(z))_{\alpha}^{\ast} \right| \geq q \right\} \right) \overset{\mu}{\rightarrow} S_{\delta_2} 0.
\]
Thus,

\[
\begin{cases}
(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{a \in [0,1]} \left\{ (\check{H}_{mn}(z))^a - (\check{H}(z))^a \right\} \geq q \right\} \right) \geq q \in \Lambda_2, \\
(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{a \in [0,1]} \left\{ (\check{H}_{mn}(z))^a - (\check{H}(z))^a \right\} \geq q \right\} \right) \geq q \in \Lambda_2.
\end{cases}
\]

(111)

From the last two relations, we obtain

\[
\begin{cases}
(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \Omega : \sup_{a \in [0,1]} \max \left\{ (\check{H}_{mn}(z))^a - (\check{H}(z))^a \right\} \right\} \geq q \right) \geq q \in \Lambda_2,
\end{cases}
\]

(112)

which gives that

\[
\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mu(\{ z \in \Omega : D(\check{H}_{mn}(z), \check{H}(z)) \geq q \}) \geq q \} \in \Lambda_2.
\]

(113)

It means that \((\check{H}_{mn})\) is LSCM to FVMF \(\check{H}\).

3. Conclusion 1

In this study, we propose the notions of pointwise lacunary statistical convergence, uniformly lacunary statistical convergence, and equi-lacunary statistical convergence of the double sequence of fuzzy-valued functions and discuss the relationship between various kinds of lacunary statistical convergence for the double sequence of fuzzy-valued functions and the sequence of \(\alpha\)-level cut functions. In addition, we obtain the Egorov theorem for the double sequence of fuzzy-valued functions and investigate the lacunary statistical convergence in measure for the double sequence of fuzzy-valued functions. Note that, in contrast to the case for single sequences, a convergent double sequence need not be bounded, so the studies on lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences have a rapid growth and an emerging area in mathematical research. We conclude that our results are more general than the one proved earlier for single sequences by Kişi and Dündar. As an application, researchers who linked two theories such as the theory of approximation and the theory of lacunary statistical summability may prove fuzzy analogue of Korovkin’s type approximation theorem for several test functions by using our convergence methods.
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