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Abstract In the context of homogeneous catalysis, open-shell systems are often quite challenging to characterize. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is the most frequently applied tool to characterize organometallic compounds, but NMR spectra are usually broad, difficult to interpret and often futile for the study of paramagnetic compounds. As such, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) has proven itself as a useful spectroscopic technique to characterize paramagnetic complexes and reactive intermediates. EPR spectroscopy is a particularly useful tool to investigate their electronic structures, which is fundamental to understand their reactivity. This paper describes some selected examples of studies where EPR spectroscopy has been useful for the characterization of open-shell organometallic complexes. The paper concentrates in particular on systems where EPR spectroscopy has proven useful to understand catalytic reaction mechanisms involving paramagnetic organometallic catalysts. The expediency of EPR spectroscopy in the study of organometallic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis is contextualized in the introductory Sect. 1. Section 2 of the review focusses on examples of C–C and C–N bond formation reactions, with an emphasis on catalytic reactions where ligand/substrate non-innocence plays an important role. Both carbon and nitrogen centered radicals have been shown to play an important role in these reactions. A few selected examples of catalytic alcohol oxidation proceeding via related N-centered ligand radicals are included in this section as well. Section 3 covers examples of the use of EPR spectroscopy to study important commercial ethylene oligomerization and polymerization processes. In Sect. 4 the use of EPR spectroscopy to understand the mechanisms of Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization is discussed. While this review focusses predominantly on the application of EPR spectroscopy in mechanistic studies of C–C and C–N bond formation reactions mediated by organometallic catalysts, a few selected examples describing the application of EPR spectroscopy in other catalytic reactions such as water splitting, photo-catalysis, photo-redox-catalysis and related reactions in which metal initiated (free) radical formation plays a role are included as well. EPR spectroscopic investigation in this area of research are dominated by EPR spectroscopic studies in isotropic solution, including spin trapping experiments. These reactions are highlighted in Sect. 5. EPR spectroscopic studies have proven useful to discern the correct oxidation states of the active catalysts and also to determine the effective concentrations of the active species. EPR is definitely a spectroscopic technique that is indispensable in understanding the reactivity of paramagnetic complexes and in conjunction with other advanced techniques such as X-ray absorption spectroscopy and pulsed laser polymerization it will continue to be a very practical tool.
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1 Introduction

Organometallic chemistry and associated catalytic reactions have long been dominated by the study of diamagnetic complexes, using NMR as the main characterization technique. The field of open-shell organometallic chemistry is much less charted, but has received increasing attention over the past few years. Catalysis plays a crucial role in modern chemistry, and with the current trend to move away from expensive and toxic noble metals to more abundant and less toxic first-row transition metals, the study of open-shell (radical-type, paramagnetic) reactivity will likely become increasingly important. However, detailed mechanistic studies have traditionally focused on diamagnetic complexes, mainly because techniques such as NMR have conveniently facilitated identification of intermediates. Hence, the use of techniques to characterize the relevant paramagnetic complexes and intermediates, such as EPR spectroscopy, is expected to further grow over the next coming years. Paramagnetic complexes involved in homogeneous catalysis have received fair less attention compared to diamagnetic complexes from the scientific community until 2000, particularly in the field of organometallic catalysis. However, over the past 15 years the organometallic community has witnessed a growing interest in open-shell reactivity in catalysis. This motivated several scientist to study organometallic reaction mechanism with techniques such as EPR and XAS spectroscopy, which are still rather unconventional tools in homogeneous catalysis research. In transition metal coordination chemistry EPR spectroscopy has been applied already for many years to identify paramagnetic metal complexes (metal-centered radicals) and to monitor their reactivity [1], which often involves the reduction or oxidation of the metal [2]. In organometallic chemistry and catalysis EPR spectroscopy has received far less attention, but also in this area of chemistry EPR spectroscopy is of course a highly practical technique to characterize the paramagnetic complexes and catalytic intermediates. Hence, with this review we hope to show that the technique is much more useful than currently appreciated in the organometallic chemistry community.

Over the past few years it has become clear that ligands bound to transition metals are not nearly always ‘innocent’, and many examples have been reported in which intramolecular electron transfer occurs from the metal to the ligand/substrate or vice versa. This influences the reactivity of the complex involved, in particular in the field of open-shell organometallic chemistry. Most typically the reactivity of a metal complex is determined by its frontier orbitals, which largely determine its chemical properties. Hence, orbitals containing the unpaired electrons often determine the reactivity of a transition metal complex. In many cases the sites which bear the largest spin densities are also the most reactive ones. A word of warning is in place here, as for some hydrogen atom transfer reactions it has been shown that the site bearing most spin density is not always the most reactive [3, 4], especially if the unpaired electron is delocalized or easily moves and if steric factors are at play. Yet, it remains relevant to look at spin density distributions as one of the factors that can predict the (radical-type) reactivity of a paramagnetic complex, in particular for (ligand/substrate-based) radical–radical coupling reactions. However, determining the exact location of an unpaired electron is not a trivial task, and often involves advanced computational and spectroscopic techniques. As such, EPR spectroscopy is a helpful spectroscopic tool to unravel spin density distributions and thus to characterize the singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMOs) of paramagnetic compounds. This, in turn, helps to understand the (catalytic) reactivity of open-shell organometallic compounds.

In particular for $S = \frac{1}{2}$ systems, containing only one unpaired electron, EPR spectra can be highly diagnostic to determine the spin density distribution in a complex. The g-tensor components of metal centered radicals often deviate significantly from the free electron value $g_e = 2.0023$, while ligand radical complexes most frequently have a much smaller g-anisotropy. This is a result of spin–orbit coupling (SOC) being a relativistic effect, resulting in much larger SOC constants of (transition) metal atoms compared to the lighter atoms of a ligand. In practice, this means that for complexes with $g$ values below 1.95 or above 2.10, the unpaired electron can be usually assigned as ‘metal-centered’, while for complexes with $g$ values in between the aforementioned values the radical can often be attributed as ‘ligand-centered’. Additionally, slower electron-spin relaxation often makes it possible to detect ligand-centered radicals at ambient temperatures in isotropic solution, which is convenient to extract isotropic g-values and (super)hyperfine interactions (HFI). It should be mentioned at this point that even though the g-tensor is a useful guideline, a definite assignment of a complex being a ‘metal-centered or ligand-centered radical’ should never be made on the basis of g-tensor alone, as there is a sound theoretical basis for many exceptions. Hence, supporting DFT calculations are usually required to make any such assignments with confidence. Examples where the g-tensor can be misleading are some ligand-radical complexes of heavy transition metals such as iridium, for which SOC constants are large. In such cases even a small spin density at the metal can cause a substantial g-anisotropy, thus underlining the importance of combining EPR spectroscopy with DFT property calculations to determine spin density distributions [5, 6].

Electron-spin relaxation processes are generally much faster for metal-centered radicals, often causing severe line
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broadening and weak signals at ambient temperatures in isotropic solution. Hence, they are most conveniently detected at low temperatures (<80 K; either in the solid state or in a glass of a frozen solution), producing anisotropic g-tensors and HFI-tensors. These can be highly informative to characterize the electronic structure of such metal-centered radicals [7, 8]. In most cases, conventional X-band EPR spectroscopy (microwave frequencies ~9 GHz) in the continuous wave (CW) mode is enough to make useful assignments of \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) systems. Occasionally Q-band EPR spectroscopy (microwave frequencies ~34 GHz) is needed to resolve g-anisotropy. For high spin transition metal complexes and other (odd-electron) complexes bearing more than one unpaired electron, the situation is different, and (large) zero field splitting parameters usually makes it rather difficult to correlate the measured g-tensors to the location of the unpaired electrons. High frequency (HF) EPR spectroscopy is often beneficial to record and interpret the spectra [9, 10], but it remains in general difficult to correlate the (apparent) g-tensors of \( S = n/2 \) systems to the spin density distribution over the metal and the ligands. For odd-electron \( S > \frac{1}{2} \) systems, the g-tensor components do however provide useful information about the spin states and zero-field splitting parameters. For integer spin systems (\( S = 1, S = 2, \) etc.) the situation is usually troublesome. With few exceptions these are usually EPR silent or the (forbidden) signals are multi-interpretable.

Apart from the g-tensors, additional information about the electronic structure of a complex can be obtained by looking at the HFIs of the unpaired electron with nuclear spins in the EPR spectra. These are directly correlated with the spin density at the respective atoms. Sometimes pulse-techniques such as electron nuclear double resonance (ENDOR), hyperfine sublevel correlation (HYSCORE) and electron spin echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) are needed to unveil HFIs hidden in broad signals. Inter-spin distances can be measured using double electron–electron resonance (DEER) and pulsed electron–electron double resonance (PELDOR) [11]. While such advanced hyperfine methodologies are useful and have been successfully applied in organometallic chemistry, they are more commonly encountered in the study of biological systems [12, 13], with some notable examples including [Ni,Fe]hydrogenase [14], ribonucleotide reductase [15], the catalytic molybdenum–iron cofactor of the nitrogenase [16], and electron transfer processes occurring in green leaves of plants during photosynthesis [17]. For most metallo-enzymes, g-tensor and HFI information is (partially) lost due to g-strain and A-strain caused by a distribution of geometries with similar spectral features that overlap, leading to additional signal broadening. This amplifies the necessity of advance pulse-techniques compared to many organometallic complexes measured in frozen solution. For odd-electron systems with more than one unpaired electron, the HFIs are more generally unresolved. Hence advanced pulse techniques often becomes essential to obtain HFI information, also for organometallic complexes without g- or A-strain. An overview of advantages and limitations of the abovementioned techniques is listed in Table 1.

Table 1 An overview of the advantages and disadvantages of several EPR spectroscopic tools

| Technique       | Advantage                                                                                         | Limitations                                                                                   |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| X-band CW       | EPR tubes and sample sizes are larger, which can be convenient to prepare samples under anaerobic conditions (Schlenk, glovebox) | g Values unresolved if they differ only slightly\* Significant amount of sample needed\* ‘Unresolved’ HFIs common\* In high spin complexes, the X-band microwave frequency might be enough to induce \( \Delta g_\beta = 1 \) transitions |
| Q-Band/W-Band and higher CW | Higher resolution of g values compared to X-band \* Sample size can be much smaller, which can be advantageous if only a small total amount of sample is available or if low concentrations of intermediates are formed | Ligand hyperfine interaction often cannot be well-resolved due to fast line broadening b interaction with a multitude of nuclear spins\* Anaerobic handling of samples less convenient ‘Unresolved’ HFIs quite common |
| ESEEM           | Detection of ‘unresolved’ HFIs \* Excellent for detection of small nuclear frequencies (<20 MHz) | Strong anisotropic hyperfine couplings with large HFI constants can be difficult to detect for N and some metals |
| ENDOR           | Detection of ‘unresolved’ HFIs \* Excellent for detecting different hyperfine couplings          | The detection of nuclear frequencies <5 MHz is difficult Strong anisotropic hyperfine couplings with large HFI constants can be difficult to detect for N and some metals |
| ELDOR           | Detection of ‘unresolved’ HFIs \* Better detection at higher microwave frequencies for strongly coupled high-spin nuclei | Strong anisotropic hyperfine couplings with large HFI constants can be difficult to detect for N and some metals |
Hence, EPR spectroscopy is a broad term covering several techniques to characterize open-shell organometallic compounds and catalytic intermediates, and several useful diagnostic features can be used to distinguish metal- from ligand/substrate-centered radicals. Combined, this offers valuable mechanistic information. In this paper we give an overview of the use of EPR spectroscopy to study homogeneous catalytic processes, in particular for the identification of intermediates and to understand the electronic structure of key-intermediates in a catalytic cycle. The paper is not meant as a full critical review covering all literature examples, but rather as a tutorial overview showcasing the usefulness of EPR spectroscopy in homogeneous catalysis research involving organometallic complexes. The expediency of EPR spectroscopy in the study of organometallic chemistry and homogenous catalysis is contextualized in the introductory Sect. 1. Section 2 of the review focusses on examples of C–C and C–N bond formation reactions, with an emphasis on catalytic reactions where ligand/substrate non-innocence plays an important role in unique reaction pathways of catalysts operating via mechanisms involving substrate–radical intermediates. This includes the study of spin density distributions and discrimination of metal and ligand centered radicals. Both carbon and nitrogen centered radicals have been shown to play an important role in these reactions. A few selected examples of catalytic alcohol oxidation proceeding via related N-centered ligand radicals are included in this section as well. Sections 3 and 4 demonstrate how EPR spectroscopy can be used to unravel the mechanisms of ethylene oligomerization and atom transfer radical polymerization reactions. These type of reactions are among the largest industrially applied homogeneously catalyzed reactions, and many questions arise regarding the oxidation states of the intermediates. While this review focusses predominantly on the use of EPR spectroscopy in mechanistic studies of C–C and C–N bond formation reactions mediated by organometallic catalysts, a few selected examples describing the application of EPR spectroscopy in other catalytic reactions are included as well. These examples mainly concern photocatalytic water splitting and a few related reactions in which metal initiated radical formation plays a role. EPR spectroscopic investigation in this area of research are dominated by EPR spectroscopic studies in isotropic solution, including spin trapping experiments. These reactions are highlighted in Sect. 5.

2 C–C and C–N Bond Forming Reactions; Metal or Ligand Radical Involvement?

The properties of a metal complex (catalyst) as a whole are determined by the interaction of the metal center with its surrounding ligands. Traditionally, the electronic and steric properties of the ligands influence the performance of the catalyst, in which case the ligands typically remain spectators while the reactivity takes place at the metal center. However, for some odd-electron organometallic complexes, often involving base metals, redox-active ligands can also play a more active role in catalytic cycles [18–20]. These types of ligands are sometimes called “redox non-innocent ligands”, because assignment of their oxidation states can be a difficult task [21]. Both the ligand and the metal can be oxidized or reduced, and hence the assignment of formal oxidation states can be misleading. Instead of changes in the d-electron count of the metal, oxidation or reduction of the redox active ligand can occur, often leading to formation of ligand centered radicals in the coordination sphere of the metal. These are important to understand the reactivity of open-shell organometallic compounds [22, 23], and offer interesting opportunities to control radical-type reactions. Being able to control radical reactivity is a difficult challenge in synthetic organic and organometallic chemistry, but in the coordination sphere of (transition) metals reactions of radicals become more controlled. This makes it possible to steer radical reactivity in specific directions. Forming ligand/substrate centered radicals and making use of their redox activity helps in that perspective. This concept was initially applied in stoichiometric reactions, but over the past few years this concept slowly transitioned into the area of homogeneous catalysis to enhance the reactivity and steer the selectivity of various catalysts [7, 24, 25]. Active participation of a redox active ligand during a catalytic cycle following an open-shell radical-type pathway is represented schematically in Scheme 1. Roughly two different concepts have been explored in this field. In the first concept electron-transfer between the metal and a substrate-based ligand leads to formation of substrate-centered radicals which directly participate in radical coupling reactions. In the second concept spectator redox-active ligands are used as electron reservoirs, facilitating different oxidation state changes than normally expected (e.g. two-electron oxidative addition/reductive elimination steps for first-row transition metals or radical-type one-electron processes for diamagnetic complexes).

2.1 Carbon-Centered Radicals in Catalysis

EPR spectroscopy is an important tool in analyzing open-shell complexes, and is even more valuable for detecting carbon-centered redox non-innocent ligands which are generally transient. In recent years, ‘carbene radicals’ have emerged as particularly interesting examples of substrate-based ligand radicals that participate directly in catalytic reactions. These ligands can be regarded as one-electron reduced analogs of (Fischer-type) carbenes. Normally,
carbene radicals cannot be isolated, thus making many spectroscopic techniques such as X-ray diffraction impractical. Detection and characterization of these species with EPR therefore provided important information. In these studies, carbene radicals revealed a small $g$-anisotropy, with $g$-values close to that of the free electron ($g_e = 2.0023$) and clear hyperfine couplings with the metal to which they bind. These are diagnostic features of carbon-centered radicals, and indeed confirm the redox active nature of carbene ligands. Combining EPR measurements with in situ IR spectroscopy has provided important details about the nature of such intermediates formed during a catalytic cycle, showing the intermediacy of radical carbenes as active species in several catalytic reactions. Quantum mechanical computations, mainly using DFT methods [26], often support the EPR spectra and help understanding the spectral properties and spin density distributions of these open-shell complexes.

The oldest examples of redox active carbene ligands, experimentally detected with EPR spectroscopy, were reported in the 1970s by the group of Casey and coworkers, which were generated by one-electron reduction of Fischer-type carbenes of Group 6 transition metals using an external reducing agent [27, 28]. Complexes of Fischer-type carbenes are known to be electrophilic, thus having their LUMO centered on the carbene carbon atom. Hence, reduction of the ligand instead of the metal can be expected [29]. Reacting pentacarbonyl(alkoxyaryl)carbene complexes of group 6 transition metals (Cr, Mo, W) with sodium/potassium alloy leads to persistent carbon-centered radical anions at $-50^\circ$C as shown by EPR spectroscopic measurements (Fig. 1) [27]. After simulation of the spectrum, five distinct doublets corresponding to the phenyl protons and a quartet due to the methyl protons could be assigned. With a $g$ value of 2.0032, small $^{53}$Cr splitting, and narrow line widths of 75 mG, the authors concluded that the radical is mainly located at the carbon atom. While interesting, in the 1970s these species were considered to be merely chemical curiosities rather than important intermediates in catalysis. More recently, the controlled radical-type reactivity of carbene radicals has been explored in catalytic reactions, using different metals than chromium. Yet, these group 6 transition metal complexes stand out as the first, classical examples of carbene–radical complexes. C–C bonds could be formed in stoichiometric reactions by dimerization of tungsten aryl- or silyl-carbene complexes or react with electron-poor olefins such as ethyl acrylate, as shown by Fuchibe and Iwasawa [30].

The formation and reactivity of carbene radicals has been further explored and it was found that if carbenoids are formed at Group 9 transition metals in the oxidation state $+II$ [Co$^{II}$, Rh$^{II}$, Ir$^{II}$], the metal effectively reduces the carbene by one electron, thus forming a carbene radical in an intramolecular redox process without the need of an external reducing agent [31]. As a result several examples of reactions in which C–C and C–H bonds are formed have been shown to involve carbene radicals [32–34]. The most representative examples are cyclopropanation reactions mediated by metallo-radical cobalt(II) porphyrin complexes. Experimental evidence for formation of cobalt coordinated carbene radicals in these reactions has been demonstrated by the groups of De Bruin and Zhang by reacting ethyl diazoacetate (EDA) with the cobalt

![Scheme 1 Schematic representation of two main concepts explored in the use of redox active ligands in catalysis research](image)

Fig. 1 First example of carbene radical complex reported by Casey et al. and its corresponding EPR spectrum (EPR spectrum reproduced with permission from Ref. [27])
porphyrin complexes and monitoring the reaction mixtures with EPR spectroscopy (Fig. 2) [31]. An important consideration is that most cobalt porphyrins rapidly become EPR silent upon reaction with EDA in absence of other reagents (likely due to fast formation of diamagnetic, deactivated cobalt(III)-alkyl species), but for the bulky Co(3,5-DitBu-ChenPyrin) (Fig. 3) the EPR signals obtained when adding EDA are more persistent and reveal clear changes compared to the starting complex. Spectral simulation of the reaction mixture revealed the presence of three species, which were assigned to a simple EDA adduct (I), a bridging carbene species (II) and a terminal carbene (III) as shown in Fig. 3. While species I and II are both ‘cobalt centered radicals’, species III is a carbon centered radical having most of its spin density located at the carbene carbon p-orbital. This readily explains the observed large differences in g- and A-anisotropy between the bridging carbene II and the terminal carbene III (see Table 2).

Further evidence for the formation of cobalt(III)-carbene radicals has been obtained using ethyl-styryldiazoacetate and CoTPP in the absence of an alkene substrate [35]. In this reaction a cobalt(III)–vinylcarbene radical is formed, which subsequently dimerizes to a diamagnetic, dinuclear cobalt(III) porphyrin complex via its \( \gamma \)-radical allylic resonance form (as evidenced by X-ray structural analysis; see Scheme 2). Furthermore, trapping the \( \gamma \)-radical allylic resonance form of the cobalt(III)-vinylcarbene radical with TEMPO proved possible, leading to formation of a mononuclear diamagnetic cobalt(III) complex via C–O bond formation.

EPR measurements, combined with supporting DFT calculations, in situ IR and other techniques have indeed shown that carbene radicals are detectable species, with the cobalt-porphyrin system allowing control over the reactivity of these radicals. As such, enantioselective carbene transfer reactions are possible, despite the fact that these reactions proceed via radical-type mechanisms. Hence, substrate redox non-innocence is not just a chemical curiosity, and the cyclopropanation reactions mediated by cobalt porphyrins provided prime examples of catalytic reactions proceeding via carbene radicals behaving as

---

**Table 2** Spin Hamiltonian parameters of species I, II and III used in the spectral simulations of Fig. 3

|    | \( g_x \) | \( g_y \) | \( g_z \) | \( A^{C_{10}} \) | \( A^{C_{11}} \) | \( A^{C_{12}} \) | \( A^H_{1} \) | \( A^H_{2} \) | \( A^H_{3} \) |
|----|----------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| I  | 2.558    | 2.520    | 2.004    | 255            | 208            | 270            | –        | –        | –        |
| II | 2.228    | 2.120    | 2.005    | 110            | 115            | 300            | –        | –        | –        |
| III| 2.060    | 2.048    | 2.030    | 40             | 56             | nr             | 160      | 40       | nr |

*nr* not resolved
controlled radical species. Development of new chiral cobalt porphyrins (Fig. 4) [36–38] led to unprecedented reactivity and selectivity, which was explained by the increased nucleophilic character of the carbene radical compared to an unreduced Fischer-type carbene, allowing the pursuit of more challenging substrates such as electron-deficient olefins. The cooperation between the carbene radical and the metal is of crucial importance for catalysis and is actually similar to the way metallo-enzymes gain control over radicals in several enzymatic processes. The bulky chiral porphyrins ensure a protective environment for the carbene radicals, similar to the protective nature of the protein of real enzymes and comparable to other approaches in the field of artificial metallo-enzymes [39].

A key aspect in eliminating side reactions and obtaining enantioselectivity is having confined space around the metal. Thus, inspired by the protective nature of the protein surrounding the active sites of metallo-enzymes, De Bruin and coworkers have used artificial cages around the porphyrin in an attempt to better control radical carbones (Fig. 5) [40, 41]. They described the synthesis and assembly of a new M₈L₆ cage which selectively encapsulates tetra(4-pyridyl)metalloporphyrins (M₄(TPyP), M = Zn, Co) and its reactivity in radical-type transformations.
involving diazo compounds. The encapsulated cobalt porphyrin proved to have a significantly higher activity than the free Co\textsuperscript{II}(TPyP) catalyst. EPR spectroscopy proved useful in the characterization of these compounds. The free Co\textsuperscript{II}(TPyP) building block gives rise to a very broad, featureless EPR spectrum due to intermolecular aggregation involving pyridine coordination to cobalt(II). The same building block encapsulated in the supramolecular host behaves entirely differently, showing much sharper signals with resolved HFIs with cobalt characteristic of Co(por) species without strong binding axial ligands (Fig. 5).

Besides cyclopropanation, other catalytic reactions involving carbene radicals have also been reported recently \cite{33,34}. One of them involves formation of ketene intermediates by carboxylation of metal carbene radicals, followed by trapping of the ketene with nucleophiles such as amines or imines, forming amides and β-lactams \cite{42}. Cobalt(II) porphyrins act as catalysts in these transformations, by activating diazo compounds and forming metal coordinated carbene radicals. These are nucleophilic enough to react with carbon monoxide to generate ketenes (Scheme 3). In situ IR measurements have shown that the ketenes decarboxylate from the catalyst after they are formed, and the subsequent reactions with nucleophiles are not catalyzed. Hence, no enantioselectivity was observed for these reactions. The mechanism has been investigated by DFT, and confirms the experiments in which several chiral cobalt porphyrins (Fig. 4) have no effect regarding the stereochemistry of the reaction. Nonetheless, by having a broad substrate scope, this reaction proved an interesting synthetic alternative to classical methods of ketene (and β-lactam) formation.

A related example of carbene radical transformations is the cobalt-mediated catalytic one-pot synthesis of 2\textit{H}-chromenes \cite{43}. This reaction involves activation of salicyl N-tosylhydrazones by cobalt(II) porphyrins forming cobalt(III)–carbene radicals that readily undergo radical addition to terminal alkynes such as phenylacetylene to form salicyl–vinyl radical intermediates. The latter ring-close to form the desired 2\textit{H}-chromenes after an intermediate hydrogen atom transfer step (Scheme 4). EPR measurements together with radical trapping experiments using TEMPO confirmed that the mechanism follows a radical pathway. DFT calculations surprisingly showed that after the hydrogen abstraction step by the vinyl radical, the ortho-quinone-methide intermediate dissociates from the metal and undergoes an endocyclic, sigmatropic ring-closing step. A further interesting feature of this reaction is the fact that exactly the same reaction with copper \cite{44}, which does not proceed via carbene radicals, led to the formation of benzofurans instead of 2\textit{H}-chromenes.

\textbf{Fig. 5} Metalloporphyrins encapsulated in a cubic M\textsubscript{8}L\textsubscript{6} cage and EPR spectra of the corresponding species. (EPR spectra reproduced with permission from Ref. \cite{40})
2.2 Nitrogen-Centered Radicals in Catalysis

Well-characterized examples of transition metal complexes containing N-centered radicals are rare, and these inherently reactive species are quite challenging to isolate and characterize. However, they are interesting synthetic targets for catalytic applications involving functionalization of hydrocarbons, olefin aziridination, nitrene transfer as well as dinitrogen fixation [45]. Furthermore, their electronic structure is often complex and usually requires a combination of EPR and DFT studies to gain insight information about their spin density distribution.

A variety of different aminyl, imidyl and nitridyl complexes have been reported, many of which were simply proposed as reactive intermediates [46–48]. However, the number of reports of well-characterized N-centered ligand radicals that are actually being employed in catalysis and shown to be reactive intermediates is limited. This section describes the characterization of these catalytic intermediates with EPR spectroscopy, often in conjunction with DFT calculations.

In 2005 the first stable and isolable aminyl radical transition-metal complex was reported by the group of Grützmacher [49]. The initially isolated aminyl-radical complex of rhodium, bearing a 5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-yl (trop) moiety (Fig. 6), gave rise to the development of related examples bound to rhodium and iridium. Most of these complexes are able to abstract hydrogen atoms from a variety of substrates, such as $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH}$, PhSH, PhOH, Ph$_3$SiH and R$_3$CH.

Aminyl radical complexes have been successfully employed in two instances as organometallic models of the enzyme GOase, which convert alcohols to aldehydes or ketones [50]. Tanaka and coworkers reported a proposed aminyl radical complex as an intermediate in the catalytic cycle, but thorough spectroscopic investigations of the intermediate are lacking [51].

Grützmacher’s iridium complex C (Scheme 5) is the second reported example. It is proposed to be a key intermediate in the catalytic oxidation of alcohols to aldehyde, with potassium tert-butoxide as a base [52]. Complex C can be generated from the corresponding amine by deprotonation with KOTBu and subsequent oxidation with para-benzoquinone. This complex can coordinate alcohols, after which the aminyl moiety abstracts a hydrogen atom from the coordinated alcoholate. The complex is subsequently oxidized by semiquinone, after which the aldehyde dissociates and the catalytic cycle is closed (Scheme 5). The catalyst is very active (60,000–150,000 TOF h$^{-1}$) and works with low catalyst loadings (0.01%).

The electronic structure of the aminyl radical complex was examined in situ with pulsed EPR experiments.
Strong couplings between the N nuclei could be detected with hyperfine couplings of $A = \{0.2, -2, 3.7\}$ MHz, as well as four protons $H_{a1}$ and $H_{a2}$ with $A = \{29, 31, 38\}$ MHz and $H_{b1}$ and $H_{b2}$ with $A = \{14, 15, 20\}$ MHz. From the isotropic part $A_{iso}$ and the dipolar part $T$ of the hyperfine tensor, the spin population of the nitrogen atoms could be calculated and was estimated to be 0.7 and 27% in the 2s and 2p orbitals respectively for each nitrogen atom. Further, there is barely any spin density on the metal, in agreement with the $g$-values being close to $g_e$.

One example of catalytic functionalization of a C–H bond with an aminyl radical complex was reported by Cundari et al. [53]. The aminyl complex is prepared by reaction of a dinuclear copper complex with lithium 1-adamantylamide. The complex is able to abstract hydrogen atoms from ethyl benzene and indane, generating $R^*$ radicals. A second equivalent of the aminyl radical then traps the organic radical, generating an amine that can be readily dissociated from the copper center. Another route to the aminyl radical complex is reaction of a copper tert-butoxide complex with 1-adamantylamine, with tert-butanol as a side product. The tert-butoxide complex can be generated from the benzene-bridged dinuclear copper species with tert-butylperoxide. This reactivity now allows for catalytic C–H bond amination, applying tert-butylperoxide as a sacrificial reagent. The catalytic cycle is depicted in Scheme 6.

To gain a better understanding of the hydrogen abstraction properties of the aminyl radical complex, its electron structure was studied by a combination of EPR and DFT computations. X-band EPR spectroscopy
(Fig. 8) disclosed an anisotropic spectrum ($g_1 = 2.133$, $g_2 = 2.036$, $g_3 = 2.031$). A large anisotropic Cu hyperfine (365 MHz) and a N$_{amimyl}$ hyperfine splitting (65 MHz) are clear. DFT calculations revealed that the unpaired electron resides in a $\pi^*-\text{Cu}–\text{N}$ orbital, with 49 % spin density on the N and 30 % on the copper, confirming the aminyl radical nature of the complex. The spin density in the Cu–N orbital most likely explains the facile formation of N–H and N–C bonds, which is crucial for the catalysis.

Characterized imidyl complexes are even scarcer than their aminyl counterparts, in part due to the lower steric protection. A number of imidyl complexes have been reported [45] and are employed in stoichiometric reactions. However, reports wherein imidyl complexes are unambiguously detected intermediates in catalytic reactions are extremely scarce. The first example of such a detected intermediate was reported by de Bruin in close collaboration with the group of Zhang, featuring the catalytic amidation of benzylic C–H bonds with organic azides (Scheme 7) [54].

Earlier computational studies revealed that the imido intermediate in this reaction has most of its spin density on the nitrogen atom, and the complex is best described as a nitrene radical complex [55]. The DFT calculations suggested that formation of the nitrene radical complex upon reaction with the organic azide and the cobalt porphyrin is exothermic, which should allow detection of the intermediate in the absence of other substrates. Therefore, X-band EPR studies at room temperature were conducted on cobalt porphyrin catalysts in the presence of an excess of the applied organic azide. Indeed, clear EPR spectra were obtained which are characteristic of nitrene radical complexes (Fig. 9). The EPR parameters are characteristic for a ligand-centered radical. The isotropic g-value and HFI with nitrogen (6–10 MHz) points to an $S = \frac{1}{2}$ system located at the light atoms of an organic (ligand) fragment, while the detected hyperfine couplings with cobalt (25–27 MHz) show that this fragment is bound to the metal. As such, EPR spectroscopy provided unique new insights into the mechanism of catalytic nitrene transfer reactions mediated by cobalt porphyrin complexes.

More thorough spectroscopic investigations were recently reported of these systems, showing among others that the mono-nitrene species I and II are actually 6-coordinate complexes bearing an additional ligand trans to the nitrene moiety. Furthermore, the more powerful nitrene transfer reagent produces bis-nitrene species with an unusual “triple-radical” electronic structure [56].
2.3 Application of EPR for Redox Active Ligands

As depicted in Scheme 1, redox active ligands are also used to facilitate two-electron processes (such as oxidative addition and reductive elimination) at first row transition metals which normally react via one-electron redox processes. An example includes C–H activation/arylation, by the group of Fensterbank, applying iron complexes bearing a bisiminopyridine ligand [57]. Several other examples have been described using this concept [23], but in most cases these were not investigated with EPR spectroscopy. Recently, Van der Vlugt and coworkers (in collaboration with De Bruin) showed that diamagnetic second-row transition metals can also be tuned to undergo one-electron radical-type transformations making use of redox active ligands (Fig. 10) [58]. Coordinating a redox-active tridentate NNO ligand to Pd(II) leads to the formation of a paramagnetic complex, which proved to contain a ligand centered radical, evidenced by EPR measurements. Reducing it by one-electron yields a diamagnetic amido phenolato complex capable of activating aliphatic azides. Intramolecular ligand-to-substrate single-electron
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transfer was proposed to occur, yielding a complex with an open-shell singlet electronic structure containing both a substrate nitrogen-based radical and a ligand carbon-based radical. This last complex enabled radical-type C–H amidation with palladium. Another example from the same group involves a related Pd(II) ligand centered radical complex, which has been used to split the bond of diphenyldisulfide. Investigations revealed an unprecedented intramolecular homolytic S–S bond cleavage facilitated by ligand-to-disulfide single-electron transfer with the release of a thiyl radical [59].

2.4 Olefin Metathesis in Ionic Liquids

Olefin metathesis is an important chemical reaction applied by chemists for decades. Recently, considerable attention has been given to metathesis reactions in ionic liquids, as safer and greener alternatives to traditional organic solvents. In principle, mechanisms involving ionic liquids do not differ from the traditional metathesis mechanism [60]. However, one example involving paramagnetic W(V) and Mo(V) species is important here [61]. The catalysts were prepared by dissolving the W/Mo chlorides in the ionic liquids (molar ratio between 1/10 and 1/100) and applied for hex-1-ene metathesis at r.t. For this purpose WCl₆ and MoCl₅ were dissolved in different ionic liquids (tetrafluoroborates of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium, 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium, N-hexylpyridinium and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium, trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium and 1-ethyl-3-methyl-imidazoolium). In the catalytic reactions oct-4-ene was formed as the main product, which means that fast isomerization of hex-1-ene to hex-2-ene takes place. EPR spectroscopy revealed that the metathesis reaction causes formation of a large number of W(V) species (Fig. 11). In contrast, bulk WCl₆ only gives rise to a very weak low-field EPR signal, attributed to a small admixture of paramagnetic W(V) ions in the bulk WCl₆. This signal was applied to test for bulk WCl₆ present in the reaction mixture.

In this study the authors attempt to quantify the number of W(V) ions present in the ionic layers by comparing the double integral of the spectrum with an appropriate standard. However, no proper W(V) EPR standard was available. Therefore, Mo(V), being a close analogue, was applied to quantify the number of W(V) species in an approximate manner. All ionic liquids, except the phosphonium based one, gave considerable amounts of W(V) after the reaction (5–20 % after 2.5 h reaction at r.t.). Further, Mo(V) could also function as a catalyst. Similar to the abovementioned experiments, MoCl₅ was dissolved in the same ionic liquids and applied for the metathesis of hex-1-ene. Again, oct-4-ene was formed as the main product. To evaluate the amount of EPR visible Mo(V) ions in the ionic liquid, the results were compared with those obtained from a diluted MoCl₅ solution. A 10 % MoCl₅ in 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate revealed the presence of about 25 % of Mo(V). When this ionic liquid solution was applied in catalytic olefin metathesis, and followed in time, it could be seen that the intensity of the Mo(V) signal increases drastically (Fig. 12). After 60 min of reaction, the amount of EPR active Mo(V) was about 90 %. Although this study does not elaborate on the reaction mechanism, the involvement of paramagnetic W(V) and Mo(V) species is evident.

3 Ethylene Oligomerization

There is a steadily growing demand for α-olefins such as 1-butene, 1-hexene and 1-octene which act as co-monomers for the industrial production of different types of
Scheme 7 Catalytic C–H amination with cobalt porphyrins (figure reproduced with permission from Ref. [54])

Fig. 9 X-band EPR spectra of Co(por) imidyl radicals and a schematic representation of their (electronic) structure (figure reproduced with permission from Ref. [54])
linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) copolymers [62, 63]. Hence, selective catalysts that do not yield a Schulz-Flory or Poisson distribution of various oligomers but only products that match specific market needs are highly desirable. A whole range of olefin oligomerization catalytic systems were reviewed a few years ago by McGuiness [64]. Another extensive review by Morgan and coworkers in 2004 covered the ethylene trimerization topic in particular [65]. Of all the transition metals active in olefin oligomerization, the most widely studied and applied ones are the Cr based systems [64, 65]. These were also the first examples of catalysts that are selective for ethylene trimerization [65]. Additionally, a few years ago the Cr based systems have also been shown to be active in ethylene tetramerization reactions where selectivities of up to 70% were achieved [66]. Obviously, the development of any catalytic system is rooted in the understanding of the mechanism, characterization of intermediates and knowledge of the kinetics of the process. However, the detailed study of these reaction mechanisms and reactive intermediates in particular has faced difficulties, such as the paramagnetic nature of the various chromium oxidation states (CrI and CrIII) involved in the cycle making the use of NMR spectroscopy almost redundant. Additionally, the existence of a variety of different spin states for the paramagnetic species also means that theoretical studies are not straightforward.
The mechanistic studies performed so far on these systems have been recently reviewed by Talsi and coworkers, who also touched upon the Phillips trimerization catalyst, chromium catalysts based on diphosphinoamine ligands and the Sasol heteroatomic chromium catalysts [67]. However, the focus was more general and not especially on the use of EPR spectroscopy to understand these systems. The various EPR experiments performed, techniques used and the implications of these studies are summarized in this section.

The generally accepted mechanism of trimerization by the Phillips catalyst was proposed by Briggs [68], and is depicted in Scheme 8. The mechanism is based on the shuttling of the Cr species between Cr$^2$ and Cr$^{n+2}$ oxidation states. The metallacyclic intermediates have been supported by the well-characterized $\eta^3$-cyclopentadienyl-stabilized chromacyclopentane and chromacycloheptane complexes in 1997 [69]. However, a logical mechanistic speculation concerning the oxidation states of chromium in the Phillips trimerization catalytic system came 11 years later in 2008, when Gambarotta and coworkers isolated a single component trimerization and polymerization chromium catalyst. The catalyst shown in Scheme 9 was prepared by combining a chromium precursor, 2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-carbazole and AlEt$_3$ and AlEt$_2$Cl [70, 71]. The Gambarotta group found that the use of only Cr$^{III}$ led to non-selective oligomerization, while using only Cr$^{II}$ polymerization was observed. The authors showed that Cr$^I$ is responsible for selective trimerization of ethylene. The results of this study gave a reasonably realistic picture of
the complex nature of this catalytic system, but the mechanism is unlikely to be general for all Cr systems. In addition to studies on trimerization of ethylene, the
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Fig. 13 **a** In situ X-band EPR spectra of Cr-ac/PNP/MMAO during isothermal treatment at 333 K with 10 bar of ethylene. **b** EPR spectra recorded after the reaction measured at room temperature (**thick line**) and at 77 K (**thin line**) (EPR spectra reproduced with permission from Ref. [73])

Fig. 14 **a** EPR spectra of [(PNP)CrCl₂(μ-Cl)]₂ in toluene measured at 293 K after 5 min (**solid line**) and 15 min (**dashed line**) contact with MMAO. **b** Second derivative of the spectrum after 15 min (EPR spectra reproduced with permission from Ref. [73])

chromium catalyzed tetramerization mechanism was also proven to be an extended metallacyclic mechanism [72]. These studies were so far based on pre-catalysts which require activation by alkyl aluminium compounds before
they can actually take part in catalysis. Therefore, for real time monitoring of these catalytic systems, active species and resting states, EPR spectroscopy proved a useful tool as some oxidation states for Cr in the catalytic cycle are EPR active while others are EPR silent.

The first in situ EPR spectroscopy on the ethylene oligomerization systems was reported on the evolution of the Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}/bidentate diphosphinoamine ligand (PNP)/modified methyl aluminoxide (MMAO) catalytic system in cyclohexane and toluene \cite{73}. Two types of systems were used, one consisting of a mixture of Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3} and a PNP ligand (PNP = PPh\textsubscript{2}PN(i-Pr)PPh\textsubscript{2}) and the isolated [(PNP)CrCl\textsubscript{2}(\textmu-Cl)]\textsubscript{2} complex for comparison. The precursors leading to the active catalyst and its interaction with ethylene were studied at high temperatures and pressures using in situ EPR spectroscopy, which provided direct information about the various Cr oxidation states under realistic reaction conditions. It was found that in the presence of MMAO the Cr\textsuperscript{3+} in the initial Cr-ac/cyclohexane, Cr-acac/PNP/cyclohexane, and [PNP-i-Pr-CrCl\textsubscript{2}(\textmu-Cl)]\textsubscript{2}/toluene solutions is reduced to low-spin Cr\textsuperscript{+}. The corresponding EPR spectra for the Cr-ac/PNP/MMAO system are shown in Fig. 13.

For Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}/PNP (PPh\textsubscript{2}PN(i-Pr)PPh\textsubscript{2}), Cr\textsuperscript{3+} reduction was found to be faster than low-spin Cr\textsuperscript{+} formation, suggesting that the major active species is EPR-silent. This EPR silent species could possibly be an antiferromagnetically coupled Cr\textsuperscript{+} dimer or Cr\textsuperscript{2+}. In toluene solutions, fast decomposition of [(PNP)CrCl\textsubscript{2}(\textmu-Cl)]\textsubscript{2} to [Cr(\eta\textsuperscript{6}-CH\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} was postulated to be a probable reason for low activity. This was because on measuring isotropic EPR spectra of the [(PNP)CrCl\textsubscript{2}(\textmu-Cl)]\textsubscript{2}/MMAO in toluene at room temperature, a sharp EPR signal was detected showing a multiline (super)hyperfine pattern (Fig. 14). This could arise from the coupling of the electron spin on Cr\textsuperscript{+} (S = 1/2) with the nuclear spins of 10 protons (I = 1/2) of the two aromatic toluene rings. The isotropic values g\textsubscript{iso} = 1.988 and A\textsubscript{iso} = 3.57 G as well as the hyperfine coupling constant of the electron spin (S = 1/2) with the nuclear spin of the \textsuperscript{53}Cr isotope (I = 3/2, natural abundance 9.5 \%; A(\textsuperscript{53}Cr) = 18 G) were found to be in excellent agreement with values found for the [Cr(\eta\textsuperscript{6}-CH\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} cation in CH\textsubscript{3}CN solution. Hence, this multiplet was assigned to [Cr(\eta\textsuperscript{6}-CH\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}. Detection of this signal was a clear indication that the transformation of the pre-catalyst occurs alongside undesired release of PNP ligand. This observation also correlates with the fact that this catalyst in toluene solution showed slower reaction rates. Toluene therefore doesn’t seem to be a solvent of choice for these systems.

Following this report, the Phillips ethylene trimerization catalyst was studied using model systems and to understand the effect of the various components in these catalysts on the Cr(III) and Cr(I), EPR was once again used \cite{74}. The catalyst systems were prepared by combining Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3} or Cr(EH)\textsubscript{3} (EH = ethyl hexanoate) with pyrrole (HPyr), AlEt\textsubscript{3}, and AlEt\textsubscript{2}Cl in cyclohexane. The overall goal was to establish the correlation between the concentrations of the particular chromium species and the trimerization activity. The conclusion of this study was that the catalyst system Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}/HPyr:AlEt\textsubscript{3} = 1:3:30 was more active in ethylene trimerization than the catalyst system Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}/AlEt\textsubscript{3} = 1:1:30. Hence, the addition of pyrrole promotes the trimerization of ethylene. The comparison of the EPR spectra of the systems Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}/AlEt\textsubscript{3} and Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}/HPyr/AlEt\textsubscript{3} indicated that the more active system displayed a larger concentration of the mononuclear Cr(I) species (Figs. 15, 16). Thus the trimerization activity correlates with the presence of mononuclear Cr(I) species in the reaction mixture. Similar data were also obtained for Cr(EH)\textsubscript{3}/HPyr:AlEt\textsubscript{3} = 1:3:30 and Cr(EH)\textsubscript{3}/AlEt\textsubscript{3} = 1:30, Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}/AlEt\textsubscript{2}Cl = 1:20. All data, therefore pointed to participation of Cr(I) species in catalytic ethylene trimerization, and thus the Cr(I)–Cr(III) mechanism was concluded to be the most plausible candidate operational in this reaction mediated by the Phillips catalyst. The supporters of the Cr(II)–Cr(IV) cycle argue the presence of an EPR silent species that is most active and this of course cannot be excluded. However, the important finding of this study was that systems containing Cr(I) species exhibit noticeable trimerization activity, which is in support of the Cr(I)/Cr(III) mechanism.
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Another attempt to correlate trends in EPR-derived parameters with real catalysis data was made by Smith et al. [75]. A series of [Cr(CO)_4L]^+ (L = Ph_2PN(R)PPh_2, Ph_2P(R)PPh_2) complexes which are used as pre-catalysts for selective oligomerization of ethylene were prepared and characterized. The electronic properties and structural features of these complexes in frozen solution were established via continuous wave X-band EPR (CW-EPR) and continuous wave ^1H, ^14N and ^31P electron nuclear double resonance (CW-ENDOR) spectroscopy. The ligands L used for the synthesis of [Cr(CO)_4L]^+ are shown in Scheme 10. The CW-EPR spectra of complex [Cr(CO)_4b]^+ is shown in Fig. 17. Because the ligand in b is P–C–P based a 1:2:1 triplet pattern is clearly seen arising from the superhyperfine interactions from the ^31P nuclei (I = 1/2). Due to the low abundance of ^53Cr (I = 3/2) (∼9.5 %) in combination with some signal broadening, no anisotropic hyperfine interaction associated with ^53Cr was detected in the frozen solutions. In general, from the spin Hamiltonian parameters (g and A) some hints on the coordination environment and the symmetry of the metal center can be derived. Although the clear tetragonal distortion in the complexes [Cr(CO)_4L]^+ was reflected in the Ag shift no obvious correlations could be made between the observed spectral shifts and the type of ligand, that is, P–N–P or P–C–P. Apart from that, the SOMO of the complex (in its ground state) of all complexes investigated was found to be dominated by the chromium d_xy orbital. Structural differences in the [Cr(CO)_4L]^+ complexes were also detected using ^1H ENDOR spectroscopy. The observed spectral changes were attributed to variations in the phenyl ring conformations as a function of the ligand type. The isotropic Fermi contact term was found to be largest for complexes containing ligands d, f, e and g, suggesting that the ^31P 3s character in the SOMO is higher for the P–N–P type ligands than for the P–C–P types.

The CW-EPR and ENDOR studies performed in this study reflect on the power of these spectroscopic techniques in resolving structural and electronic properties of paramagnetic complexes and also to reveal subtle electronic and structural differences which are imparted by different ligands. However, in context of these particular ethylene oligomerization catalysis reactions, the EPR-derived parameters (g values, ^31P spin density and Fermi contact terms) and relevant catalytic data did not quite correlate. This could be partly because of the fact that on addition of an excess of trialkylaluminium to these precatalysts for activation, the coordination environment gets heavily perturbed. Additionally the effect of the applied ethylene pressure on the activated catalyst is also of great importance. Thus, similar EPR spectroscopic studies on species that are closer to the catalytically active species might shed more light on the oxidation states of the active species in Cr catalyzed ethylene oligomerization.

The effect of different ligand structures on the activity and selectivity of Cr(III) complexes relevant in ethylene oligomerization and polymerization reactions was studied using EPR spectroscopy in combination with EXAFS and XANES and other techniques by Tromp et al. [76]. The
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**Fig. 16** EPR spectra (−196 °C) of the sample Cr(acac)_3:HPyr: AlEt_3 = 1:3:20 ([Cr(acac)_3] = 10^{-2} M, C_6H_12) after various treatments: 1 (a), 5 (b), and 28 min (c) storing at 20 °C (EPR spectra reproduced with permission from Ref. [74])

**Scheme 10** Different ligands used for the synthesis of [Cr(CO)_4L]^+ complexes used in CW-EPR and ENDOR studies
ligand backbones in this study were tridentate S-, S/O- or N-donor comprising of three distinct architectures (Scheme 11). The properties of the parent [CrCl₃(L)] complexes were studied using cyclic voltammetry (CV), UV–Vis, EPR, EXAFS and XANES measurements. In catalysis, on activation by MMAO co-catalyst the complex with ligand L₁ was selective towards ethylene trimerization, L₃ and L₄ produced polymers while L₅ gave a Schulz-Flory distribution of oligomers. For all these cases the species obtained on activation by Me₃Al once again led to formation of mostly EPR silent species (Fig. 18), which points directly towards a change in oxidation state to either Cr(II) or Cr(IV) and substantial dissociation of the S and S/O-donor ligands. In this study, in addition to the EPR studies, the partial structure of the active species was resolved using EXAFS spectroscopy. However, the nature of the structural changes responsible for the difference in catalytic activity of L₁ and L²–L⁴ remained somewhat unclear.

As mentioned before, a major loophole in the studies discussed so far is the fact that they were not performed under ‘real’ conditions of catalysis, that is, higher temperatures and high pressures of ethylene. For the first time in 2012, an operando EPR measurement of the Cr(I) in Cr/PNP/MMAO solutions at 40 °C using ethylene pressures of up to 14 bar was reported for the tetramerization of ethylene [77]. The obtained EPR spectra are shown in Fig. 19.

In addition to the effect of total pressure, the effect of different solvents, such as cyclohexane, toluene, chlorobenzene and fluorobenzene was also analyzed and the results were correlated to catalytic activity. Once again, in addition to EPR, the presence of EPR silent Cr(II) species was verified through XANES/EXAFS measurements. In contrast to the studies performed before, in this case the
EPR data combined with the XAS data suggest that the tentative species formed in situ upon adding MMAO is actually an EPR silent (PNP)Cr(II)(CH₃)₂ complex. The authors suggest that depending on the mode of coordination of ethylene, the reactions could pass through a Cr(II)/Cr(IV) or a Cr(II)/Cr(III) redox cycle (Scheme 12). Deeper reduction to Cr(I) was suggested to be a deactivation pathway rather than a productive route.

As reported earlier, in toluene solutions, Cr(acac)₃ is completely transformed into a PNP-free Cr(I) complex in the absence of ethylene [73], and the same is observed at 14 bar C₂H₄. The obtained spectra are shown in Fig. 20. The effect of the solvents on the catalytic system can be rationalized from the proposed scheme. In toluene, solvent coordination is so effective that even at 14 bar ethylene the deactivation route to the Cr(I) sandwich complex [Cr(toluene)₂]⁺ dominates almost completely over the active cycle, and the metalla-cyclic intermediate might have a negligible lifetime (this was also suggested by additional kinetic measurements). This hypothesis is in accordance with previously reported studies on similar complexes. In weaker coordinating aromatic solvents like chloro- and fluorobenzene, the active cycle is favored over the deactivation route. Although EPR and XAS measurements seem to be complimentary techniques to study the ethylene oligomerization catalysts, it should be borne in
mind that XAS faces difficulties in discerning Cr species of different valence states or coordination environments that coexist in the reaction mixture.

An outlier amongst all these catalytic systems was reported in 2013 by Theopold and coworkers, who reported a moderately active Cr(I) dinitrogen complex for selective trimerization of ethylene to 1-hexene [78]. To the best of our knowledge this is the only example of a catalyst that starts and finishes with chromium in the unambiguous formal $\text{Cr}^1$ oxidation state (or in any unambiguous oxidation state, for that matter). This is probably aided by the fact that the catalyst works without the requirement of a co-catalyst, which made matters complicated for the other systems mentioned so far. This complex can therefore act as a system to address the pertinent questions related to the other trimerization catalysts. For example, the authors already mentioned that the binuclear Cr(II) metallacycles are not intermediates in catalysis. Once again the needle points to a Cr(I)–Cr(III) cycle for the trimerization reactions.

4 Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization

Radical polymerization is employed to produce annually around 100 million tons of polymers of different compositions and properties. A controlled way of conducting these radical polymerization techniques has led to the development of advanced materials with tunable molecular architectures and consequently very specific physical properties. The term commonly used for such controlled radical polymerization is reversible-deactivation radical polymerization (RDRP). The challenging aspect of controlling these processes is that two radicals always terminate at a very fast, diffusion-controlled rate, but can be reversibly regenerated. Thus, it is important to achieve dynamic equilibria between activation and deactivation pathways. One way to do this is by making sure that the propagating radicals are deactivated in a dormant state from which they can be intermittently reactivated in a catalytic manner. When this is the case, the process is called atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP). The equilibrium in the ATRP process is depicted in Scheme 13.

ATRP is such a catalytic process and is in most cases mediated by a redox event of Cu complexes with Cu$^0$/L and X-Cu$^{II}$/L (for example, L = 2,2'-bipyridine) species. The Cu$^{II}$ species act as deactivators that moderate the reaction rates and are responsible for maintaining low polydispersities in the polymer. The halogenated initiator reacts with the diamagnetic Cu(I) complex to form an initiating radical and a paramagnetic copper(II) species. EPR studies on these systems were conducted in the late 1990s and some insight on the role of copper as a catalyst was gained. Estimated concentrations of Cu(II) species in ATRP systems initiated by 1-phenylethyl bromide and benzyl
bromide were found to be about 4–6% relative to Cu(I) source added, and this information was obtained using EPR spectroscopy, by following the growing concentration of paramagnetic Cu(II) species over time (Fig. 21) [79]. This also implies that only 5–6% of the chains terminated and 95% of the chains were in the dormant state and capable of further growth. By combining the EPR experiments with simultaneous kinetic studies it was concluded that a critical concentration of Cu(II) species is required to control the ATRP systems [80]. The same was also studied for the ATRP of (meth)acrylates [81] and once again correlation with kinetics [82] led to the same conclusion about the critical concentration of Cu(II)Br that controls the reaction. EPR studies on the ATRP of poly(ethylene glycol) dimethacrylate have also been performed, and the presence of radical intermediates was confirmed [83]. Interestingly, at around 40% conversion the ATRP was found to proceed in a living manner as expected. However, further on in the reaction, the increased diffusion resistance was found to restrict the mobility of the catalyst/ligand complexes and interrupt the equilibrium between the growing radicals and the dormant species leading the polymerization to proceed via a conventional free radical pathway. First attempts to actually characterize the Cu(II)L (L = bipyridine-type ligand) complex involved was reported in 2003, and once again EPR studies were used for this [84]. With 1 or 2 equivalents of either dNbpy or dNbpby ligands (Scheme 14) Cu(II)Br₂ forms predominantly the neutral complexes Cu(II)(dNbpy)Br₂ and Cu(II)(dNbpby)Br₂, respectively. Bromine atom transfer between [Cu(I)(dNbpy)₂]⁺[Cu(II)Br₂]⁻ and ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (EBriB) in methyl isobutyrate (MIB) does not yield Cu(II)(dNbpby)Br₂. Instead, it leads to the complex [Cu(II)(dNbpby)₂Br]⁺[Cu(II)Br₂]⁻ as depicted in Scheme 15.

The latter species is also formed in an equilibrium reaction of Cu(II)(dNbpy)Br₂ with [Cu(I)(dNbpy)₂]⁺[Cu(I)Br₂]⁻ (K ≥ 100 M⁻¹/², 23 °C, MIB). Therefore, the concentration of the Cu(I)Br₂-anion, which is a counterion to both the Cu(I)(bpy)²⁺ and Cu(III)(bpy)₂Br⁻ cations, should be subtracted from the concentration of the initially added Cu(I) precursor in interpretation of kinetic data ([Cu(I)(bpy)₂]⁺ = [Cu(I)Br]₀[2][Cu(II)Br₂]₀/2). This study therefore pointed out that the earlier reports on the value of kₐₑᵗ required correction, as the concentration of the Cu(I)(bpy)₂ species should lower than originally anticipated.

\[
\begin{align*}
Cu^{I}(L)n + R-X & \xrightarrow{k_{act}} X-Cu^{II}(L)n + R^+ + M \\
& \xrightarrow{k_{deact}} R-R; RH & R^+ \\
K_{ATRP} &= \frac{k_{act}}{k_{deact}}
\end{align*}
\]

(Lₙ, ligand; R-X initiator, M monomer; kₚ propagation rate coefficient; kₜ, termination rate coefficient; R-R, radical-radical combination product; R⁺ and R⁺⁺, saturated and unsaturated product, respectively, of radical-radical disproportionation.)
Apart from studying the initiating Cu halides with EPR, the propagating species in ATRP were also studied with EPR spectroscopy [85, 86]. Model radicals were generated through the reaction of organotin compounds with radical precursors prepared by atom transfer radical addition (ATRA) or atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP). Dimers of various (meth)acrylate units, MA, tert-butyl acrylate (tBA) and MMA, MA-MA-Br, MA-tBA-Br, tBA-tBA-Br, MMA-tBA-Br and MA-MMA-Br were prepared by ATRA. These dimers provided radicals mimicking the active species in a radical copolymerization of MA, MMA and tBA. From the EPR spectra the electronic and steric effects of the penultimate unit on the propagating radical could be determined. The dimeric model radicals are described below as an example to demonstrate the use of EPR spectroscopy to study these effects. Dimeric radicals with an acrylate terminal group were generated in the reaction of the corresponding alkyl bromides for example, tBA–tBA–Br and so on with an organotin compound under irradiation. The resulting hydrogenated dimeric radicals were studied through EPR and are shown in Fig. 22.

On comparing the EPR spectra of MMA-tBA with that of H-MA-tBA, the effect of the methacrylate penultimate unit can be seen. The presence of MMA unit shows only small variations in the couplings of the α proton. Obviously, the spin density at the α carbon atom does not change appreciably by β substitution. On the other hand, the couplings of β-methylene protons vary considerably with the presence of an MMA penultimate unit. The value of hfc at β-methylene protons for H-MMA-tBA (2.210 mT) is smaller than that of H-MA-tBA (2.270 mT) or of H-tBA–tBA (2.270 mT). The electronic effect of a penultimate MMA unit is larger than those of substituents. These findings show an electron-withdrawing effect of the MMA unit. Steric effects caused by the presence of the γ methyl group may be the cause of a broader line width than in the case of H-MA-tBA. In comparison with the EPR spectrum of H-MA-tBA, the steric effect of H-tBA–tBA appeared in the line width of the spectrum, although the electronic effect of replacement of a methyl group by a tert-butyl group is very small. Sterically, the small ester methyl group of MA caused less hindrance to the rotation of the Cγ–Cα bond. As a result, a clear separation of spectroscopic lines, due to narrower line width, was observed. This difference in the EPR spectra between H-MMA-tBA and H-tBA–tBA suggests that the reactivity of the terminal tBA radical may depend on the penultimate unit in copolymerizations of MMA and tBA.

The state of the art method of measuring the rate coefficients of radical polymerization today is the pulsed laser polymerization (PLP) technique. During PLP a high concentration of radicals is achieved almost instantaneously via photoionization using an excimer laser pulse. PLP can also be carried out in conjunction with highly time-resolved near-infrared spectroscopy allowing time-resolved measurements following the evolution of the monomer concentration in time after firing a single laser pulse (SP). Combining EPR spectroscopy with PLP and SP to study radical polymerization techniques is an extremely valuable tool, in which the decay of radical concentrations subsequent to applying a laser pulse is monitored. In addition, SP–PLP–EPR also allows for measuring the kinetics of different types

**Scheme 14** The ligands 4,4′-Di(5-nonyl)-2,2′-bipyridine (dNbpy) and 4,4′-Di-n-nonyl-2,2′-bipyridine (dnNbpy) applied in copper-based ATRP

**Scheme 15** Proposed equilibrium for the reaction of \([\text{Cu}(\text{dNBpy})_2]^+\) with \(\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}\text{NBpy}^\text{Br}_2\)
of radical species that may evolve after laser-induced production of primary radical fragments. Figure 23 shows the EPR spectra of Cu$^{II}$ species and $n$-dodecyl methacrylate (DMA) macroradicals formed during laser-initiated polymerization at 0 °C with 351 nm laser pulses with a repetition rate of 20 Hz. The EPR concentrations of the Cu$^{II}$ species obtained from the EPR measurements were converted to absolute radical concentration by calibration against TEMPO [87]. The details of the kinetic treatment of the data obtained from all these three techniques combined together is beyond the scope of this review, but it is definitely worth pointing out that EPR in conjunction with other techniques like PLP and SP is of interest, allowing rapid radical production and subsequent monitoring of terminating and deactivating paramagnetic species.

5 Photocatalytic Water Splitting and Spin Trapping Experiments

The above Sects. 2, 3 and 4 of this review are focused on the use of EPR spectroscopy in mechanistic studies of C–C and C–N bond formation reactions mediated by organometallic catalysts. In this section, a few selected examples are highlighted involving the application of EPR spectroscopy in a few other catalytic reactions such as photocatalytic water splitting and related reactions in which metal initiated radical formation plays a role. EPR spectroscopic investigation in this area of research are
dominated by EPR spectroscopic studies in isotropic solutions, including spin trapping experiments.

5.1 Spin-Trapping Experiments and Their Relevance in EPR Studies of Photoinitiators

Spin trapping is a technique first used in the 1960s for the detection of short lived free radicals, which combines the use of EPR spectroscopy and the chemical reactivity of specific compounds called spin traps. By reacting a spin trapping reagent with a free radical, a more stable spin adduct is formed which is persistent enough to be detected by EPR measurements. Traditionally, the most used spin trap reagents are nitrones and nitroso compounds, such as alpha-phenyl N-tertiary-butyl nitrore (PBN) and 5,5-dimethyl-pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO) (Fig. 24), which upon reacting with a free radical generate rather stable (persistent or long-lived) nitroxide radicals. Analysis of the hyperfine splitting parameters of the adduct provide important information about the nature of the original radical. Spin trapping has been extensively reviewed in the past [88–91].

An interesting overview of electron spin resonance spin trapping technique (EPR-ST) has been described by Lalevé and coworkers for detecting radicals formed during the cleavage of photoinitiators [92]. The hyperfine splitting constants for the different adducts with PBN or DMPO were measured and a new set of data has been provided. Lacôte, Lalevé et al. developed a procedure for the synthesis of N-heterocyclic carbene–boryl radicals (NHC–BH2) upon visible light irradiation under mild conditions [93]. Three component initiating systems containing acridine orange(AO)/diphenyl sulfide/NHC–BH3 have been successfully used for the visible light photopolymerization of trimethylpropane triacrylate. EPR-spin trapping experiments have been performed to study these systems and detection of the nitroxide adduct formed by the addition of the NHC–BH2 to PBN (hyperfine coupling constants hfc $a_N = 15.2$ G, $a_H = 2.3$ G, and $a_B = 4.3$ G, Fig. 25) has been observed.

Another use of NHC-borane radicals has been reported by Curran and coworkers and involves boron-based radical hydrogen transfer [94]. Reductions and reductive cyclizations are catalyzed by thiophenol with 1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene borane (NHC–BH3) and a water-soluble triazole derivative. The PBN spin trap has been used to characterize the radical intermediates.

Other examples of photoinitiators have been presented by Lalevé et al., which are based on silyl radical chemistry [95] or copper complexes [96]. Two examples, PI-A and PI-B are presented in Fig. 26, and their ability to initiate both free radical polymerization and free radical promoted cationic polymerizations has been investigated.

Direct UV irradiation without the use of a photosensitizer involved Si–Si, Si–C, and Si-S bond breaking, and trapping with PBN afforded the EPR spectrum shown in Fig. 26 (hyperfine coupling constants $a_N = 14.8$ G and $a_H = 6.1$ G). Formation of silyl radical species was concluded based on the detection of large $a_N$ and $a_H$ HFI values.

5.2 Photocatalytic Water Splitting

Sunlight driven water splitting is an important society driven endeavor which has received a lot of attention in the past decade from the scientific community [97, 98]. Significant efforts have been undertaken to improve the activity and stability of homogeneous catalysts, as well as developing new ones [99, 100]. The overall process for water splitting can best be described as two half reactions: water reduction [101] and water oxidation [102]. EPR spectroscopy has been used as a valuable tool in detecting radical intermediates involved in these reactions, especially for 1st row transition metal-based catalysts. For each half
reaction, one example involving the use of EPR spectroscopy is discussed in this subchapter.

An important example of water reduction in which EPR spectroscopy has been used in combination with Raman Spectroscopy and DFT studies has been published by Ludwig et al. [103]. The catalytic system consists of the iridium complex [Ir(ppy)2-(bpy)]PF6 (ppy = 2-phenylpyridine, bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) used as a photosensitizer (IrPS), [Fe3(CO)12] as the water-reduction catalyst (WRC), and triethylamine (TEA) as a sacrificial reductant. To gain insight in the catalytic cycle, the reaction was monitored by in situ EPR/Raman spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 27a, [Fe3(CO)12] in THF without photosensitized irradiation is EPR silent. In contrast, in combination with the IrPS in THF/TEA/H2O (8:2:1) even without irradiation (Fig. 27b), already three radical species appear in the EPR spectrum: [Fe2(CO)11]−/C1− at g = 2.0497, [Fe2(CO)8]−/C1− at g = 2.0385, and [Fe3(CO)12]−/C1− at g = 2.0016. From the spectrum a 66:32:1 ratio of the three radicals can be extracted, with a total initial spin concentration of 94 % of the overall iron content. During irradiation, all three radical species disappear, but a new triplet appears (Fig. c) which is assigned to [HFe3(CO)11]− as a photosensitizer and Na2S2O8 as the electron acceptor. EPR spectroscopy has been performed before and after irradiation of samples containing the dinnuclear cobalt catalyst, [Ru(bpy)3]− and S2O82− in borate buffer. In the dark, the mixture is EPR silent, consistent with the expected Co IIICoIII ground state of the catalyst. After one laser flash at 532 nm, a signal at g = 2.03 arises, which is attributed to the mixed valent Co IIICoIV species (Fig. 28). By applying an increased number of laser flashes, the signal of the Co IIICoIV species decreases, and a broader spectrum ranging from 2500 to 2950 G is obtained. At least two components give rise to these EPR features, with one species centered at g = 2.42 and another at g = 2.33. The last one is believed to be a form of Co IV (O) species in cobalt oxide films. The use of EPR spectroscopy proved valuable in determining the mechanism of water oxidation using the cobalt catalyst in Scheme 16.

6 Summary, Conclusions and Outlook

While a plethora of homogeneous catalysts today are studied relatively easily by NMR spectroscopy there is a good range of catalysts which are paramagnetic and NMR spectroscopy doesn’t reveal much. This is when EPR...
Spectroscopy comes into play as it helps to probe the nature of the unpaired electron in the paramagnetic systems. EPR spectroscopy can give detailed insight in the electronic structure of an active catalyst and thus aids in understanding a catalytic process. In the first part of this review we focused on examples of catalytic reactions where the reaction pathway involved paramagnetic complexes which were studied using EPR. In most of the cases the spin density of the unpaired electron was found to be on a carbon or a nitrogen atom. It is due to EPR that the ligand/substrate non-innocence of these systems is better understood. In the other half of the review the focus was on the mechanistic studies of homogeneous catalysts involved in oligomerization and polymerization reactions. In all examples present in this review, EPR spectroscopy helped to answer questions about catalyst mode of operation leading to unusual reactivities, for example, in the cyclopropanation of the more challenging electron deficient alkenes catalyzed by Co(II)-porphyrins. Similarly, in the field of ethylene oligomerization, the final word is still to be heard about the oxidation state of Cr that is active in catalysis but there is no doubt that with the aid of EPR, researchers are now closer to understanding these rather elusive systems. It is also worth mentioning that EPR is now being used in conjunction with other advanced spectroscopic techniques which provide complimentary information on the system being studied. We have seen this also in the section of ATRP where the state of the art method of measuring the rate coefficients of radical polymerization, namely, PLP has been used together with EPR spectroscopy. With more and more open shell metal complexes being explored as potential catalysts, the role of EPR in the field of homogeneous catalysis is surely to be indispensable in unravelling mechanisms and characterizing intermediates.

Apart from the obvious choice to study catalytic reactions mediated by open-shell catalysts with EPR spectroscopy, the technique might have wider implications in future studies of catalytic reactions mediated by organometallic complexes. Many of these reactions start and end with diamagnetic reagents, products and complexes, but formation and catalytic involvement of paramagnetic intermediates can never be fully excluded in any catalytic reaction. Such possibilities are usually ignored, but may turn-out to be important in some of the many catalytic reactions that are currently considered to proceed via closed-shell pathways. EPR spectroscopy should thus be employed more frequently in mechanistic studies of catalytic reactions. This may well shine new light on ‘established reaction mechanisms’ even for reactions assumed to proceed without involvement of (metallo)radical intermediates.

Of course unraveling reaction mechanisms of catalytic reactions remains a difficult task, regardless of the (spectroscopic) method used. There is always a risk that the detected species are not the catalytically active ones, and that side-reactions or deactivation pathways are spectroscopically detected. This remains a major limitation in catalysis research in general, which also holds for EPR spectroscopic studies of catalytic reactions. In that respect, the high sensitivity of EPR spectrometers is both an
advantage and a disadvantage. While low concentrations of active paramagnetic compounds are detectable in reactions in which catalyst activation is a limitation, such species may not represent the bulk of the (pre)catalytic material (as can be determined by spin counting) in which case it remains questionable which species truly catalyze the reaction. Future development of novel techniques that couple the formation of (diamagnetic) products formed in a catalytic reaction in a time-resolved manner to the detection of paramagnetic species would thus be helpful in that perspective (e.g. an EPR analog of KIDNP NMR experiments, but coupled to detectable EPR signals). Another major problem to solve in the future is finding ways to properly characterize catalysts and catalytic intermediates with an integer-spin electronic structure. How this should be tackled remains elusive thus far. High-field EPR helps, but (structural) information from EPR spectra of integer-spin systems is limited and the signals remain difficult to detect and interpret.
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