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Processing of Canned Mango using Natural Preservatives: Effect on the Physicochemical Characteristics and Hygienic Quality

Pingdwindé Marie Judith Samadoulougou-Kafando1,2, Hagrétou Sawadogo-Lingani*, Donatien Kaboré1, Hyacinthe Kanté-Traoré1, Diarra Compaoré-Sérémé1 and Mamoudou Hama Dicko2

Abstract

Optima preservation conditions to produce canned mangoes from three cultivars (Lippens, Kent and Keitt) were determined using lemon juice and lemongrass essential oils as natural preservatives and citric acid. Fresh mango pulp and canned mangoes were analyzed for physicochemical, microbiological and sensorial properties in order to assess the impact of the canning process. Depending on cultivars fresh mango pulp contained 437.5 to 3478.09 μg/100g (dry matters) of beta-carotene. Total sugars, ash, titratable acidity, total soluble solids and pH were ranging between 49.29-67.25%, 1.23-3.0 %, 2.43-3.65%, 17 - 18 and 3.40-3.80, respectively. Total mesophilic flora, total coliforms, yeasts and moulds counts of fresh pulp ranged from 1.8 10^3 - 2.5 10^4 CFU/g, 3.6 10^1- 1.6 10^4 and lest than 10 - 9.1 10^1 CFU/g, respectively. After processing of canned mangoes in sucrose syrup, levels of components changed from 320.04 - 1954.01 μg/100g for beta-carotene, 50.65-79.01% for total sugars, 0.3 - 1.06% for ash, 0.64 - 2.28% for titratable acidity and 23 – 32 Brix for total soluble solids. The pH values oscillated between 3.19 and 3.98. More than 99.98% of total mesophilic flora was destroyed; no coliforms and no yeasts and moulds were detected in the canned mangoes.

From these results, the best preservatives were citric acid and lemongrass essential oil and the best pasteurization time is 10 min.
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Introduction

*Mangifera indica* L. (mango) is the main fruit in Burkina Faso accounting for an annual production of about 404 000 tons (PAFASP, 2015)[1]. Cultivate area for mango trees represented about 58% of the area of the national orchard (Diało, 2010). While 20% of this production is locally processed, only 5% of fresh mangoes are exported (DGPER, 2011)[2]. Thus, mango processing is poorly diversified and is mainly in the forms of drying and nectar production. In 2011, 80% of mangoes processed in Burkina Faso is dried mainly for exportation (DGPER, 2011) [3]. Industrial processing of mango beverages is developed with semi-industrial and artisanal units processing juice/nectar and a fruit processing unit (DAFANI SA) with an average mango puree production of 400 tons per year (Arnoldus, 2009)[3]. The remaining of the production is used for local consumption. However, mango being a climacteric fruit, it is hardly conservable, causing important post-harvest losses according to environmental conditions. The lack of adequate post-harvest techniques and the low level of processing cause losses that can often reach 40 to 50% of the production (ECOWAS, 2011). Since freezing of mango fruits is not applicable, their shelf life after harvesting is between 8 to 12 days at room temperature and approximately 25 days when stored at 8-12°C (Campbell et al., 1983; CBI, 2014)[4,5]. Canning will add value and also increase the availability of mango based products to contribute for over year food security. According to the CODEX STAN 159-1987[5] “Canned mango is the product: (a) prepared from stemmed, peeled, fresh, sound, clean and mature fruit of commercial varieties conform-
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ing to the characteristics of the fruits of Mangifera indica L.; (b) which may or may not be packed with a suitable liquid packing medium, nutritive sweeteners and other seasoning or flavoring ingredients appropriate to the product; and (c) processed by heat, in an appropriate manner, before or after being sealed in a container, in order to preserve its essential composition and quality factors.” However, in order to ensure good preservation of the final product, some processing methods use inadequate heat treatments causing the alteration of nutritional and organoleptic qualities of foods (Couvert, 2002). The involvement of certain chemical preservatives in the outbreak of some diseases justifies the development of products with natural preservatives. For instance, the effectiveness of essential oils as natural preservatives in food has been assessed in several studies (Romeo et al. 2010; Hyldgaard et al. 2012; Witkowska et al. 2014). Indeed, essential oils were found to express antioxidant and antimicrobial properties against a numerous microorganisms (Bassolé et al. 2011; Helander et al. 1998). Several data also show that lemon juice is a natural preservative with antioxidant and acidifying properties for food preservation (Agassounou et al. 2007). Du to consumer increasing demand for natural products, the use of natural additives in food processing is an alternative to obtain natural products with long shelf life. It is therefore necessary to develop a technological process using natural preservatives to satisfy consumers, to diversify mango products and to reduce losses. This contribution uses natural preservatives and short time heat treatment to improve process and preservation of canned mangoes in syrup.

Materials and Methods

Mangoes used as raw materials
Mangoes fruits from the cultivars Lippens, Kent and Keitt from Orodara, in the Western area of Burkina Faso (geographical coordinates: 11°11’00” N and 4°17’00” W; 11.183333°, - 4.283333°) were used as raw materials in the present study. Mature mango fruits were picked separately from cultivars of 100 Lippens, 150 Kent and 150 Keitt. Mangoes of each cultivar were picked from the same orchard. Samples were conditioned by cultivar in cardboard boxes and transported by car to the laboratory.

Preservative compounds
Lemon (Citrus lemon) and sucrose were bought at Zogona market in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Lemongrass essential oil (LEO) was provided by the Department of Natural Substances (DSN) of IRSAT/CNRST. Citric acid was purchased from CO-PROCHIM laboratory.

Processing of canned mangoes
The technology used was based on the general fruit canning diagram (BIT, 1990). Series of preliminary tests have been carried out in order to adapt the diagram to process of canned mangoes using natural preservatives. A test one was based on pH measurement with series of citric acid concentrations of e.g. 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, and 0.4 % in sucrose syrup. A test two was based on pH measurement with series of lemon juice concentrations of 0.3%, 0.5%, 0.8%, 1.0%, 1.3%, 1.5% and 1.8 % in sucrose syrup. A sensory test (taste and aroma) with a concentration series of lemongrass essential oil of 0.001%, 0.002%, 0.003% and 0.004% in the syrup allowed retaining the appropriate lemon grass essential oil concentration. As for the steam bleaching, a bleaching test, carried out at different times (2, 3, 4 and 5 min) was used to select the appropriate bleaching time. The syrup is prepared with sucrose and water at dry matter level of 40 brix. Pasteurization was carried up in boiling water using appropriate equipment for different times (5, 10 and 15 min).

The figure 1 shows the diagram of canned mangoes processing using natural preservatives. Mangoes fruits were ripened at room temperature for 4 - 16 days. For that mature mangoes of each cultivar (Lippens, Kent and Keitt) were sorted, washed, peeled, pitted and cut into dice shape (mean side : 25-30 mm). The cutted mango pulp was bleached at 99°C for 3 min. Then 250 g of pulp were packed in twice off glass jar (capacity: 450 ml) previously washed and decontaminated by boiling in water for 15 min. Hot sucrose syrup (80 - 85°C) at the concentration of 40 Brix was added to each jar as covering liquid. For each cultivar, four types of canned mangoes were obtained according to the composition of the syrup: canned mangoes containing syrup with citric acid at 0.3 % w/v, canned mangoes containing syrup with lemon juice at 1% v/v, canned mangoes containing syrup with lemongrass essential oil at 0.002% v/v and control canned mangoes in syrup without any preservative compound. The jars were closed and then each batch was divided into three groups for the pasteurization according to the time e.g. 5, 10 and 15 min. For canned mangoes of the Lippens cultivar, pasteurization times were 15 and 10 min. In total, 32 different samples of canned mangoes were manufactured. The samples of canned mangoes were stored for 7 days at room temperature for stabilization before analyses.

Figure 1: Diagram of canned mangoes processing using natural preservatives
Mangoes used as raw materials: Mangoes fruits from the cultivars Lippons, Kent and Keitt from Orodara, in the Western area were used as raw materials in the present study. Mature mango fruits were picked separately from cultivars of 100 Lippons, 150 Kent and 150 Keitt. Mangoes of each cultivar were picked from the same orchard. Samples were conditioned by cultivar in cardboard boxes and transported by car to the laboratory.

Physicochemical analyses sample preparation

Physicochemical analyses were performed on the pulp of fresh mangoes (3 samples) and on canned mangoes (32 samples) of the three mango cultivars. The pulps of fresh mangoes were ground in Blender Moulinex brand. Prior to analysis ground products (200 g) were packaged into sampling plastics jars and frozen at –20°C. As for canned mangoes, they were first drained using a 0.5 mm sieve to separate mango pieces from syrup. The pulp was then ground using waring blender (Moulinex). Ground product (200g) and the syrup (150g) were packed in the sampling plastics jars and frozen at -20°C before analysis. The syrup and the ground product were separately analyzed.

Composite product

The dry matter (DM) was determined by difference of sample weight before and after drying at 105 ± 2°C for 24 h (NF V03-707, 2000)[16]. Results are expressed in percentage of fresh weight (FW). The total sugar was determined by sulfuric orcinol method as described by Montreuil and Spik (1969)[17]. It is a spectrophotometric method consisting of carbohydrates acid hydrolysis, intra-molecular dehydration of oses into furfurals and condensation of furfurals with phenols to obtained colored hemiacetals or acetals. Results are expressed in percentage of dry weight (DW).

The external standard of mango was assessed by high performance liquid chromatography as described by Somé et al. (2004)[19]. The external standard solution for calibration was prepared by mixing various quantity of β-carotene standard. Optical densities of eluted compounds of β-carotene standard in codified plates with three-digit code (Cochran W.G, 1957)[24]. The β-Carotene content of mango pulp and canned mango was assessed by high performance liquid chromatography as described by Somé et al. (2004)[19]. The external standard solution for calibration was prepared by mixing various quantity of β-carotene standard. Optical densities of eluted compounds were read at 450 nm. The concentration of the solution having an optical density between 0.1 and 0.9 was calculated. From these standard solutions whose concentrations have been determined accurately, precise volume was taken to obtain a final solution of 60 pmol in 20 μL. The β-carotene was extracted in mango sample with successive vortexing of 1 g of ground mango product for 2 min with 1 ml of extraction solvent consisting of heterogenous mixture of hexane/3M sodium chloride/ethanol (1/1/1). After vigorous stirring, the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm-1 at -5°C, for 5 min. This process was repeated three times. The hexanic phases were then pooled. The extract (1ml) was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen. The obtained residue was re-dissolved in 1ml of acetonitrile. After micro-filtration (0.5 μm Millipore membrane) the sample was injected in a LC-18 Supelcosil column (Bellefonte, USA), with 25 cm in length, and 4.6 mm in diameter using a loop of 20μl. The mobile phase was a mixture of acetonitrile, dichloromethane and methanol in of proportions of 7/2/1, respectively. The elution was in an isocratic mode. During the elution, carotenoids were identified by their retention time of 6.22 min ± 0.26 compared to external standards using a Jasco UV 975 detector (Tokyo, Japon), online interfaced with a computer with an operating Software Galaxie workstation version 1.9.3.2. Results are expressed in micrograms of β-carotene to 100 g of dry matter.

Microbiological analyses

Total microflora was quantified according to ISO 6887 (1999)[20]. Roughly 10 g of the samples were homogenized in a stomacher with 90 ml of sterile peptoned buffered water. Tenfold serial dilutions were prepared and appropriates dilutions were spread-plated for microorganisms counts. Aerobic mesophilic bacteria (AMB) were counted by cultivation on plate agar count (Liofilchem, Italy) after incubation at 30°C for 72 hours according to ISO 4833 (2006). Total coliforms were determined by cultivation on violet red bile lactose agar (Liofilchem, Italy) after incubation at 37°C for 24 h (ISO 4832, 2006)[21]. Yeasts and moulds were counted by cultivation on Sabouraud- chloramphenicol agar (Liofilchem, Italy) after incubation at 25°C for 4-5 days (ISO 7954, 1988)[22]. Results are expressed in CFU per gram of sample.

Sensory analyses

Sensory assays were conducted according to the ISO 11035 (1994)[23]. The evaluation concerned sensory attributes and sample acceptability. Tests were performed at the sensory laboratory of the Institute of Applied Sciences and Technologies, CNRST, Burkina Faso. Tasters had a level of education at least for secondary school. Four tests were performed on canned mango: i) 9 tasters for sensory attributes such as appearance, aroma and texture of the pieces of mango pulp and the appearance of the syrup was tested; ii) 34 tasters evaluated the acceptability according to the preservative; iii) 24 tasters evaluated the acceptability according to the duration of pasteurization; and the last iv) 24 tasters evaluated the acceptability according to the mango cultivars. The canned mangoes of each formulation were randomly placed in codified plates with three-digit code (Cochran W.G, 1957)[24] and served to each panelist. Sensory evaluation was based on comparative team tasting approach used in companies where some basic rules are followed for a rigorous evaluation protocol, blind evaluation, sample quality control, individual evaluation without interaction with the team, controlled order of sample tasting, controlled tasting condition and protocol monitoring (Rogeaux, 2015)[25].

Statistical analyses

All the physicochemical analyses were performed in triplicate. Simple statistic analysis was used to get means and standard deviations. Data obtained were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and comparisons were made by Tukey’s method (P = 0.05) using XLSTAT, version 7.5.2. Sensory analysis was submitted interpreted using SPSS 20 software (Statistics.V2 × 86 ×
Results

Nutritional, physicochemical and microbiological properties of raw mango

Data with respect to nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of fresh mangoes from the three cultivars (Kent, Keitt and Lippens) are recorded in tables 1 and 2. Mango pulp contained 17.43 – 20.15 % of dry matter, 49.29 - 67.25% (DW) for total sugars, 1.23 - 3.00% (DW) of ash and 437.52 - 3478.09 μg/100g (DW) for beta-carotene. As for the physicochemical characteristics, titratable acidity, total soluble solids and pH were 2.43 - 3.65% (DW); 17 - 18 and 3.40 - 3.80, respectively. For the three cultivars, variability was observed between the levels of different compounds and especially between ash and beta-carotene contents. The cultivar Kent contained the highest values of ash [3.00% (DW)], total sugars [67.25 (DW)] and beta-carotene [3478.09 μg/100g (DW)] and can be considered as the best cultivar at the nutritional point of view as shown in the principal component analysis figure (figure 2).

Table 2: Physicochemical characteristics of fresh mangoes pulp

| Mango cultivar | Titratable acidity (g/100g FW) | pH | Total soluble solids |
|---------------|-------------------------------|----|---------------------|
| Lippens       | 2.43 ± 0.02c                  | 3.80 ± 0.01a | 18 ± 0.00a          |
| Kent          | 3.30 ± 0.04b                  | 3.40 ± 0.02c | 18 ± 0.00a          |
| Keitt         | 3.65 ± 0.02a                  | 3.53 ± 0.04b | 17 ± 0.00b          |

Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Tukey’s test. P < 0.05).

Table 3: Nutritional characteristics of Lippens canned mangoes

| Pasteurization scales | Preservatives | Dry matter (g/100g FW) | Ash (g/100g DW) | Total Sugars (g/100g DW) | Total Sugars (g/100g DW) |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 98-99°C/15 min        | Control       | 29.38 ± 0.46a          | 0.5 ± 0.01a    | 54.92 ± 0.87a            |                          |
|                       | Lemon juice   | 32.67 ± 0.18b          | 0.32 ± 0.01a   | 66.30 ± 0.30b            |                          |
|                       | Citric acid   | 31.97 ± 0.51a          | 0.39 ± 0.01a   | 56.95 ± 0.61a            |                          |
|                       | *LEO          | 28.19 ± 0.09g          | 0.62 ± 0.00e   | 64.98 ± 0.54g            |                          |
| 98-99°C/10 min        | Control       | 30.72 ± 0.01b          | 0.38 ± 0.01a   | 55.66 ± 0.50b            |                          |
|                       | Lemon juice   | 30.05 ± 0.70d          | 0.53 ± 0.00b   | 54.16 ± 1.59g            |                          |
|                       | Citric acid   | 30.91 ± 0.11b          | 0.47 ± 0.01d   | 59.97 ± 2.67g            |                          |
|                       | LEO           | 32.71 ± 0.33b          | 0.48 ± 0.01cd  | 54.62 ± 2.15g            |                          |

*LEO: Lemongrass essential oil. Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Tukey’s test. P < 0.05).

Nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of canned mangoes

Nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of canned mangoes are presented in the tables 3 to 8. For Lippens cultivars dry matter content, total sugar and ash (table 3) varied from 28.19% to 32.71% (FW) with a mean value of 30.83% (FW), from 54.16 % to 66.30 % (DW) with a mean value of 58.44 % (DW) and 0.32% to 0.62% (DW) with a mean value of 0.46% (DW), respectively. Concerning the total soluble solids, titratable acidity and pH (table 4), the values varied from 26.00 to 29.53oBrix with a mean value of 27.27oBrix, from 0.64 % to 1.69 % (DW) with a mean value of 1.03% (DW) and from 3.26 to 3.90 with a mean value of 3.68, respectively.

Table 4: Physicochemical characteristics of Lippens canned mangoes

| Pasteurization scales | Preservatives | Titratable acidity (g/100g DW) | pH | Total soluble solids |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----|---------------------|
| 98-99°C/15 min        | Control       | 1.00 ± 0.14a                  | 3.89 ± 0.02a | 29.53 ± 0.12a |
|                       | Lemon juice   | 0.87 ± 0.10bd                 | 3.68 ± 0.06bc | 26.6 ± 0.00b |
|                       | Citric acid   | 1.13 ± 0.07d                  | 3.43 ± 0.03d | 28.2 ± 0.12b |
|                       | *LEO          | 1.08 ± 0.14bc                 | 3.9 ± 0.02a  | 26.6 ± 0.12b |
| 98-99°C/10 min        | Control       | 0.80 ± 0.08b                  | 3.78 ± 0.02b | 26 ± 0.00b  |
|                       | Lemon juice   | 1.02 ± 0.03bc                 | 3.7 ± 0.05b  | 26.6 ± 0.00b |
|                       | Citric acid   | 1.69 ± 0.06c                  | 3.26 ± 0.01c | 27.7 ± 0.00c |
|                       | LEO           | 0.64 ± 0.06d                  | 3.79 ± 0.04b | 26.9 ± 0.12b |

*LEO: Lemongrass essential oil. Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Tukey’s test. P < 0.05).

As for the microbiological characteristic, the total mesophilic bacteria in the fresh pulp of the three cultivars of mango ranged from 1.8 × 103 CFU/g to 2.5 × 104CFU/g, the total coliforms ranged from 40 CFU/g to 1.6 × 104 CFU/g and yeasts and moulds, from less than 10 CFU/g to 9.1 × 101 CFU/g.
Nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of Kent canned mangoes are presented in the tables 5 and 6 respectively. The dry matter content, the total sugar and ash (table 5) varied from 28.51 to 34.72 % (FW) with a mean value of 31.05 % (FW), from 50.65 to 63.14 % (DW) with a mean value of 58.00 % (DW) and 0.51 to 0.69 % (DW) with a mean value of 0.59 % (DW), respectively. Concerning the total soluble solids, the titratable acidity and the pH (table 6) the value varied from 27.20 to 32.00 with a mean value of 29.53, from 1.35 to 2.10 % (DW) with a mean value of 1.62 % (DW) and from 3.27 to 3.51 with a mean value of 3.38, respectively.

Table 5: Nutritional characteristics of Kent canned mangoes

| Pasteurization Scales | Preservatives | Dry matter (g/100g FW) | Ash (g/100g DW) | Total Sugars (g/100g DW) |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 98-99°C/15 min        | Control      | 34.33 ± 0.32           | 0.55 ± 0.02     | 54.11 ± 0.60           |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 34.72 ± 0.15           | 0.59 ± 0.03     | 55.43 ± 1.31           |
|                       | Citric acid  | 34.49 ± 0.47           | 0.63 ± 0.03     | 57.30 ± 0.71           |
|                       | *LEO         | 33.36 ± 0.19           | 0.56 ± 0.03     | 54.01 ± 1.28           |
| 98-99°C/10 min        | Control      | 28.51 ± 0.61           | 0.64 ± 0.03     | 60.02 ± 1.18           |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 30.33 ± 0.10           | 0.51 ± 0.02     | 62.13 ± 1.28           |
|                       | Citric acid  | 29.31 ± 0.08           | 0.57 ± 0.01     | 59.08 ± 0.85           |
|                       | *LEO         | 29.39 ± 0.25           | 0.53 ± 0.01     | 50.65 ± 1.01           |
| 98-99°C/5 min         | Control      | 28.77 ± 0.17           | 0.67 ± 0.02     | 63.14 ± 1.98           |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 29.15 ± 0.21           | 0.69 ± 0.03     | 59.34 ± 0.21           |
|                       | Citric acid  | 29.39 ± 0.25           | 0.66 ± 0.03     | 57.68 ± 0.81           |
|                       | *LEO         | 30.88 ± 0.21           | 0.53 ± 0.01     | 63.07 ± 1.46           |

*LEO: Lemongrass essential oil. Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Tukey’s test. P < 0.05)

Table 6: Physicochemical characteristics of Kent canned mangoes

| Pasteurization Scales | Preservatives | Titratable acidity (g/100g DW) | pH | Total soluble solids |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----|----------------------|
| 98-99°C/15 min        | Control      | 1.35 ± 0.02                     | 3.35 ± 0.02<sup>ab</sup> | 30.80 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup> |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 1.50 ± 0.05<sup>ab</sup>       | 3.33 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup>  | 32.00 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup> |
|                       | Citric acid  | 1.67 ± 0.05<sup>ab</sup>       | 3.3 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup>   | 31.73 ± 0.12<sup>a</sup> |
|                       | *LEO         | 1.45 ± 0.02<sup>ab</sup>       | 3.37 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup>  | 29.67 ± 0.12<sup>a</sup> |
| 98-99°C/10 min        | Control      | 1.72 ± 0.02                    | 3.51 ± 0.08              | 28.73 ± 0.12              |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 1.37 ± 0.08                    | 3.42 ± 0.01              | 27.33 ± 0.12              |
|                       | Citric acid  | 1.79 ± 0.05<sup>ab</sup>       | 3.32 ± 0.03<sup>ab</sup> | 28.00 ± 0.00              |
|                       | *LEO         | 1.47 ± 0.07<sup>ab</sup>       | 3.45 ± 0.01              | 30.93 ± 0.12              |
| 98-99°C/5 min         | Control      | 1.83 ± 0.21                    | 3.44 ± 0.02              | 27.20 ± 0.00              |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 1.86 ± 0.08<sup>b</sup>        | 3.36 ± 0.10              | 27.80 ± 0.00              |
|                       | Citric acid  | 2.10 ± 0.10                    | 3.27 ± 0.03              | 31.87 ± 0.12              |
|                       | *LEO         | 1.35 ± 0.03                    | 2.83 ± 0.12              | 28.33 ± 0.12              |

*LEO: Lemongrass essential oil; Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Tukey’s test. P < 0.05)

Concerning Keitt canned mangoes, nutritional and physicochemical characteristics are presented in tables 7 and 8, respectively. Dry matter content, total sugar and ash (table 7) varied from to 27.63 % (FW) with a mean value of 24.46 % (FW), from 62.31 to 79.01 % (DW) with a mean value of 67.62 % (DW) and from 0.62 to 1.06 % (DW) with a mean value of 0.78 % (DW) respectively.

Concerning the total soluble solids, the content varied from 23.00 to 28.00 with a mean value 24.99. The titratable acidity varied from 0.98 to 2.28 % (DW) with a mean value of 1.56 % (DW) and the pH values varied from 3.19 to 3.98 with a mean value 3.55.

Effect of canning process on β-carotene content of the canned mangoes

Figures 3 show the β-carotene content in canned mangoes. Canned mangoes formulated with citric acid (KtA) gave the highest β-carotene content of 1434.61 µg/100g DW, followed by canned mangoes containing lemongrass essential oil (KtH) with β-carotene content of 916.88 µg/100g DW and the canned mangoes containing lemon juice (KtC) with the β-carotene content of 556.66 µg/100g DW compared to the control canned mangoes (KtK) which does not contain any preservative, with 393.35µg/100g DW of β-carotene (Figure 3A).

The (figure 3B) shows the influence of pasteurization time on β-carotene content in the Keitt cultivar canned mangoes. The highest rate of β-carotene (1954.01 µg/100g DW) was as-
sociated with the pasteurization for 15 min (KtC15), followed by pasteurization for 10 min (KtC10), while the lowest rate (556.66 µg/100g DW) was observed with pasteurization for 5 min (KtC5).

The (figure 3C) compares the β-carotene content in canned mango from the three cultivars of mango (Lippens, Kent and Keitt). The highest β-carotene content was obtained with the Kent cultivar using lemon juice (1004.88 µg/100g DW) or lemongrass essential oil (929.17 µg/100g DW). The lowest β-carotene content was observed with the Lippens cultivar using lemon juice (320.04 µg/100g DW) or lemongrass essential oil (465.40 µg/100g DW).

Effect of canning process on the nutritional characteristic of the mango pulp
After processing, the nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of fresh mango pulp changed. An increase in total dry matter, total sugars and total soluble solids was observed. The increase in dry matter content averaged 7.03 - 11.15% (FW) according the cultivar. For total sugars content and total soluble solids, the average increase was 3.9 - 5.52% (FW) and 8.0-11.5°Brix respectively, depending on the cultivar.

There was a decrease of ash content in canned mango. The average decline was 44% for Lippens, 67% for Kent and 49% for Keitt. The cultivar Lippens exhibited a better retention of mineral salts compared to the other two cultivars. However, because of the high ash content of Kent and Keitt mangoes, the canned mangoes of these two cultivars had higher ash contents than the ash content of Lippens canned mangoes(table 8).

Table 8: Physicochemical characteristics of Keitt canned mangoes

| Pasteurization scales | preservatives | Titrable acidity (g/100g DW) | pH | Total soluble solids |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----|----------------------|
| 98-99°C/15 min        | Control      | 0.98 ± 0.04d                  | 3.52 ± 0.02c | 23.7 ± 0.12g           |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 2.28 ± 0.099                 | 3.49 ± 0.01cd | 24.2 ± 0.00e           |
|                       | Citric acid  | 1.72 ± 0.084*                | 3.19 ± 0.01g  | 23 ± 0.00h             |
|                       | *LEO         | 1.30 ± 0.044**               | 3.98 ± 0.00a  | 24.1 ± 0.12cf          |
| 98-99°C/10 min        | Control      | 1.33 ± 0.354*                | 3.67 ± 0.01b  | 24 ± 0.12ef            |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 1.75 ± 0.69ab                | 3.62 ± 0.01b  | 25 ± 0.00d             |
|                       | Citric acid  | 1.85 ± 0.05ab                | 3.4 ± 0.02e   | 23.9 ± 0.00f           |
|                       | *LEO         | 1.05 ± 0.09cd                | 3.95 ± 0.04a  | 25.1 ± 0.00d           |
| 98-99°C/5 min         | Control      | 1.43 ± 0.024a                | 3.48 ± 0.02cd | 26 ± 0.12c             |
|                       | Lemon juice  | 1.50 ± 0.079a                | 3.46 ± 0.02d  | 27.7 ± 0.12b           |
|                       | Citric acid  | 1.90 ± 0.08ab                | 3.34 ± 0.03f  | 28 ± 0.12a             |
|                       | *LEO         | 1.62 ± 0.078*                | 3.53 ± 0.01c  | 25.2 ± 0.12d           |

*LEO: Lemongrass essential oil; Means followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different (Tukey’s test, P < 0.05)

Hygienic quality of canned mangoes
Of the 32 samples analyzed, 25 samples contained no germ (AMB) and 7 samples had a total number of germs of less than 40 CFU / g each. Interestingly, none of the samples contained detectable levels of coliforms (<10 CFU / g) or yeasts and moulds (<10 CFU / g).

Sensorial attributes and acceptability of canned mangoes
The sensorial attributes of canned mango according to taster’s responses are:
-the control sample (without any preservative) had an acceptable appearance (77.8%) of the tasters, a good flavor (66.7%), intact pieces (75%) and moderately non-clear syrup (66.7%);
-the canned mango using citric acid as a preservative had an acceptable appearance (88.9%), a good flavor (77.8%), intact pieces (77.8%) and moderately non-clear syrup (75%);
-the canned mango using LEO as a preservative had an acceptable appearance (77.8%), a good aroma (77.8%), intact pieces (66.70%) and a non-clear syrup (44.4%);
-the canned mango using lemon juice had a poor appearance (55.6%), a good flavor (66.7%), intact pieces (55.6%), and clear syrup (55.6%).

Concerning the acceptability of the canned mangoes according to the preservatives, 88.3% of panelists found that the canned mango containing citric acid was pleasant. This product has been followed by canned mango containing LEO which was judged pleasant by 70.6% of panelists. The control sample (canned mango without preservative) was judged pleasant by 67.6% of panelists. Whereas the canned mango containing lemon juice was judged pleasant by 64.7% of panelists. For the pasteurization time, canned mango threaded for 10 min was judged pleasant by 100% of panelists. Regarding the acceptability according the mango cultivars, 83.3% of panelists found canned mangoes processing with Kent cultivar were pleasant, 82.6% of panelists found canned mangoes processing with Lippens culti-
var were pleasant and 62.5 of panelists found canned mangoes processing with Keitt cultivar were pleasant.

Discussion

Canned mangoes were produced from three mangoes cultivars in Burkina Faso by using natural preservatives and processing adapted to small and medium enterprise level. Hygienic, nutritional and sensorial qualities of the final products were evaluated. Used preservatives did not influence the dry matter, total sugars and total soluble solids of canned mangoes. Concerning the influence of the heat treatment on dry matter, total sugar and total soluble solids, results showed different effects depending on the mango cultivar. As pasteurization for a long time (15 min) favored the increase in dry matter content of the Lippens and Kent canned mangoes, it decreased for the Keitt canned mangoes. The results obtained with the Lippens and Kent cultivars are in accordance with those of Thai et al. (2010) and those of Jiokap Nono et al. (2001).

The overall results of the pH of canned mangoes are similar to those of Agassounon et al. (2007) who found that pH values ranged from 3.0 to 4.1 in canned mangoes from Kent cultivar. The lowest pH value was observed with canned mangoes containing citric acid. This tricarboxylic acid is an acidifying agent used in in food and beverage industries. In contrast the highest pH values were obtained with control canned mangoes and canned mangoes containing lemongrass essential oil depending on the cultivar. Concerning the impact of pasteurization, the highest pH was observed in canned mango pasteurized for 10 to 15 min. The increase in pH as a function of the duration of the heat treatment was also reported by Thai et al. (2010).

Results show that temperature increased the content of β-carotene because the longer was the exposure (10 and 15 min); the higher was β-carotene content. These data are in line with those of Dijoua et al. (2009) who observed a slight increase of β-carotene and carotenoids, by performing heat treatment on mango slices. Similar results were also obtained on the levels of lycopene from tomato. This may be justified by the fact that heat treatment can induce cell membrane disruption and enhance chemical extractability of carotenoids (Kidmose et al. 2002).

The tested conservatives citric acid, lemongrass essential oil and lemon juice better preserve β-carotene of mango pulp for canning. Similar results were observed by Sawadogo-Lingani (1993) while drying mango with chemical preservatives using sodium metabisulphite and sulfur dioxide. Nevertheless, it is important to stress that there is a significant difference between β-carotene content of canned mango depending on cultivar. The highest β-carotene content was obtained with the Kent cultivar using lemon juice or lemongrass essential oil.

As for the effect of canning process on the nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of fresh mango pulp, the process brings into contact fresh mango pieces and sugar syrup with a Brix degree higher than that of mango. After pasteurization, cooling and storage at room temperature for seven to fifteen days, equilibrium is established according to the principles of the osmosis between sugar concentrations in mango pieces and that of the sucrose syrup. The mango pieces incorporate by endosmosis the sucrose which allows an increase in the total carbohydrate contents and dry matter as well. Thus canned mangoes are more energetic compared to fresh mango.

For the observed decrease of ash content, in principle, ashes are not destroyed by heat but when canning is processed with a liquid, soluble micronutrients are in osmosis equilibrium between mango pieces and juice. The observed destruction of microorganisms is due to the combined action of heat preservatives and high sugar content. Canned mangoes therefore have better microbiological quality compared with the fresh mango.

Conclusion

The technological process developed allowed to obtain canned mangoes with a good hygienic quality. The results showed that Kent, Lippens and Keitt cultivars are suitable for canning. The process increased some nutrients and decreased others. Citric acid and lemongrass essential oil better preserved β-carotene. Sensorial analysis indicated that consumers preferred canned mangoes with preservatives. The developed process using simple equipment can be easily transfer to SMEs working on fruits and vegetables processing. The visible fallout is the possibility of the production of canned mango using natural preservatives to contribute to the diversification of mango products in the SMEs/SMEs in order to increase food and nutrition security in mango producing countries in Africa.
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