Driving and sorting of the fluorescent droplets on digital microfluidic platform
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Abstract
In this paper, we present a digital microfluidic droplet sorting platform to achieve automated droplet sorting based on fluorescent detection. We design and fabricate a kind of digital microfluidic chip for manipulating nano-liter-sized liquid droplets, and the chip is integrated with a fluorescence-initiated feedback system for real-time sorting control. The driving and sorting characteristics of fluorescent droplets encapsulating fluorescent-labeled particles are studied on this platform. The droplets dispensed from on-chip reservoir electrode are transported to a fluorescence detection site and sorted according to their fluorescence signals. The fluorescent droplets and non-fluorescent droplets are successfully separated and the number of fluorescent particles inside each droplet is quantified by its fluorescent intensity. We realize droplet sorting at 20 Hz and obtain a linear relationship between the fluorescent particle concentrations and the fluorescence signals. This work is easily adapted for sorting out fluorescent-labeled microparticles, cells and bacteria and thus has the potential of quantifying catalytic or regulatory bio-activities.
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1 Introduction
Fluorescent droplet sorting has great research value in biomedicine study and biology researches. Most of the droplet sorting methods are based on microfluidic channels using sheath fluid (Johansson et al. 2009; Mazutis et al. 2013; Xi et al. 2017) or syringe pump (Wu et al. 2013; Hung et al. 2015; Obexer et al. 2016). Among them, various triggered mechanisms have been demonstrated to achieve effective droplet sorting. For example, a kind of optical tweezers is reported to realize the sorting process (Eriksson et al. 2010) by gradient and scattering force to capture cells. A solenoid valve along with compressed air is used to create a bubble in an actuation channel to sort droplets filled with fluorescent beads (Cao et al. 2012). A laser pulse is also utilized to induce a cavitation bubble whose expansion produces a high-speed liquid jet that deflects the desired sample towards the collection channel for sorting (Chen et al. 2013). A fluorescence-activated sorting mechanism is reported to isolate droplets containing cells by hydrodynamic gated injection with side-channel stopped flow technique (Wu et al. 2013). Moreover, thermoreversible gelation polymers (Okada et al. 2014), piezoelectric activators (Zhao et al. 2014), surface acoustic wave actuations (Schmid et al. 2014) and dielectrophoresis actuations (Baret et al. 2009; Song et al. 2015; Qiao et al. 2017; Clark et al. 2018) have also been used to achieve fluorescent droplet separation.

These microfluidic systems have been established as valuable tools for droplet sorting. However, channel-based microfluidics are limited by the fact that particles might adhere to the walls of channel to cause blocking and failure of device. In addition, it is difficult to isolate and separate a specific droplet inside fluid channels. On the other hand, digital microfluidic (DMF) manipulates individual droplets by electric actuation and thus has the advantage of maximum flexibility and natural labeling of each droplet. In digital microfluidics, it is not only easy to locate and separate a target droplet, but it is also capable of realizing complex fluid
operations. For example, some biological assays require washing steps, in which reagents from a first reaction must be completely removed before a new set of reagents is introduced. Such heterogeneous assays are difficult to perform inside fluidic channels, but a washing step can be easily done on digital microfluidic chips (Hung et al. 2017).

In this paper, we study the driving and sorting characteristics of nano-liter-sized fluorescent droplets on the digital microfluidic platform. Through a real-time fluorescent intensity measurement and feedback sorting control, the droplets containing fluorescent particles are successfully separated from those without fluorescent particles and the fluorescent particle concentration is determined by the fluorescent intensity.

2 DMF chip design and fabrication

The DMF chip based on the principle of electrowetting-on-dielectric is composed of two parallel plates with liquid droplets sandwiched in between. The DMF chip is fabricated on a transparent glass substrate and the layout of bottom electrodes is shown in Fig. 1. The inverted T-shaped electrode structure for manipulating droplets includes reservoir electrode, dispensing electrode, transport electrodes, detection site, and collecting electrodes. At first the solution to be sorted is placed on the reservoir electrode. Then droplets are generated from the reservoir by dispensing progress. Subsequently, the dispensed droplets are transported to the detection site where a transparent circle was designed for fluorescence measurement. After passing the detection site, the droplets are sorted according to its fluorescent intensity and transported to different directions.

The fabrication process of the DMF chip is shown in Fig. 2. The glass substrate cleaned with acetone and isopropanol is first sputtered with a 200-nm Al film. Then the Al film is patterned into a two-dimensional electrode array by standard lithography process and wet etching. Subsequently, a 3-µm Parylene layer is coated on top of the electrodes. Afterwards the Parylene surface is coated with a 100-nm Teflon hydrophobic layer to complete the process of the bottom plate. An ITO glass coated with Teflon hydrophobic layer is used as the top plate and connected to the bottom plate by double-sided tapes.

3 DMF platform and sorting principle

Figure 3 shows the structure of the DMF droplet sorting platform. A comprehensive printed circuit board (PCB) is designed to modulate the input current signal and execute the task of driving voltage sequence from control circuit. The DMF chip is installed on the PCB through interface circuit. A fluorescence microscope equipped with a photodiode multiplier tube (PMT) is utilized as fluorescence detection device. A signal generator and a high-voltage amplifier are employed as the power source to manipulate droplets. A control circuit is used to supply PCB with the electrode-driven voltage sequence which controls the generation, transportation and sorting of droplets.

When a droplet reaches the detection site, its fluorescence signal will be measured and compared with the signal collected right before the droplet’s arrival. When an apparent
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Fig. 1 The schematic of the DMF chip. The detailed dimensions of electrodes are as follows. The entire DMF chip is 4 cm long and 3 cm wide. The side length of reservoir electrode is 5 mm. The length of the dispensing electrode is 3 mm. The side length of the collecting electrodes is 2 mm and the side length of all other square electrodes is 1 mm. The spacing between any two neighboring electrodes is 0.05 mm. The diameter of the transparent circle is 0.6 mm in the detection site. The green arrow indicates the moving direction of fluorescent droplets while the red arrow represents the moving direction of non-fluorescent droplets. (Color figure online)

Fig. 2 Schematic of chip fabrication process. a The substrate is sputtered with Al film. b-d The electrode array is formed by lithography process and wet etching. e-f The Parylene dielectric layer and Teflon hydrophobic layer are coated. g-h The top plate is connected to the bottom plate by double-sided tapes.
increase of fluorescent signal is detected, the droplet is determined as fluorescent droplet and directed to the left collecting electrode on chip. On the contrary, if the fluorescence intensity decreases, the droplet will be determined as non-fluorescent droplet and directed to the right collecting electrode. Furthermore, the concentration of the fluorescent particles inside droplets can be conveniently quantified by the intensity of the fluorescent signal.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Droplet generation and driving

Figure 4 shows the droplet dispensing and driving process of 10 µg/mL fluorescent particle solution. The driving power was 140V, 50 Hz AC and the driving rate of the droplet was 1 Hz in this experiment. Figure 4a shows the initial state of the large droplet on top of the reservoir electrode. In Fig. 4b, a liquid finger is stretched out of the large droplet by activating the dispensing electrode, then the liquid finger is pinched off in Fig. 4c to form the first small droplet A, and in Fig. 4d, the small droplet A is moved towards the detection site. When droplet A reaches the detection point, its fluorescent signal determines it as fluorescent droplet, and A is further moved to the right (Fig. 4f–h). Meanwhile, the second droplet B is dispensed, moved and detected according to the same sorting principle. In our tests, when the droplets driving rate exceeds 20 Hz, the liquid finger cannot be pinched off in the process of (c), instead it returns to the reservoir electrode, in this case the droplet cannot be dispensed, so the highest droplets driving rate we obtained is 20 Hz.

The volume of droplet A and droplet B is about 150 nL. It has been observed that the dispensed drop volume is usually somewhat larger than the volume subtended by a
square electrode; the reason is that a liquid tail formed after separation adds some additional liquid to the already formed droplet. It is this volume that causes the variability in the dispensing operation. In our previous study, we investigated major effects on droplet volume reproducibility. By proper parameter control, the droplet volume reproducibility is limited to ±3% (Wang et al. 2011).

4.2 Droplet measurement and sorting

The droplet sorting process is tested with pure DI water solution, non-fluorescent particle solution (100 µg/mL), and fluorescent particle solution of varied concentrations (1, 10, 100, and 1000 µg/mL), respectively. The driving voltage is 140 V, 50 Hz AC and the driving rate of the droplet is 20 Hz in these experiments. The gain control voltage of PMT is 0.6 V. Figure 5 shows the droplet sorting and fluorescence signal detection of pure DI water droplets and 100 µg/mL non-fluorescent particle droplets, respectively. From Fig. 5, it can be seen that the fluorescence intensity of non-fluorescent droplets is the same as that of pure DI water droplets. When the pure DI water droplet or non-fluorescent droplet passes the detection site, the signal drops by 6–8 mV. This result shows that the presence of non-fluorescent particles does not change the fluorescence signal detection; any blocking effect from non-fluorescent particles can be neglected.

![Fluorescence signal detection in the droplet sorting process of pure DI water solution.](a)

![Corresponding sorting result of pure DI water droplets.](b)

![Fluorescence signal detection in the droplet sorting process of 100 µg/mL non-fluorescent particle solution.](c)

![Corresponding sorting result of non-fluorescent droplets.](d)

**Fig. 5** a Fluorescence signal detection in the droplet sorting process of pure DI water solution. The red lines represent the fluorescence intensity when there is no droplet passing the detection site. The green lines denote the fluorescence intensity of pure DI water droplets. b Corresponding sorting result of pure DI water droplets. There are four pure DI water droplets collected to the right collecting electrode. c Fluorescence signal detection in the droplet sorting process of 100 µg/mL non-fluorescent particle solution. The red lines represent the fluorescence intensity when there is no droplet passing the detection site. The green lines denote the fluorescence intensity of non-fluorescent droplets. d Corresponding sorting result of non-fluorescent droplets. There are five non-fluorescent droplets collected to the right collecting electrode. (Color figure online)
The actual droplet sorting is tested with fluorescent particle solutions. When a fluorescent particle solution is used, the dispensed droplet may contain certain fluorescent particles and also may contain even no fluorescent particle, especially when the particle concentration is low. Figure 6 shows the droplet sorting and fluorescence signal detection of droplets from 10 µg/mL fluorescent particle solution. Figure 6a depicts the change of signal intensity when six droplets pass the detection site. The existence of laser source and circuit noise causes the initial value of the detected fluorescence signal to be about 40 mV (± 3 mV) when there is no droplet passing, while a signal peak will arise when a droplet arrives. The fourth signal peak is much higher than 40 mV indicating that this droplet carries certain fluorescent particles, and according to the sorting principle, the droplet is collected to the left collecting electrode. The other five signal peaks are significantly lower than 40 mV so these droplets carry no fluorescent particles and are collected to the right collecting electrode, as shown in Fig. 6b.

The number of fluorescent particles inside each droplet can be estimated using the Poisson distribution (Mazutis et al. 2013), in which the probability $P(X = x)$ of having $x$ particles in one droplet is given by the equation $P(X = x) = e^{-\lambda}(\lambda^x/x!)$, where $\lambda$ is the mean number of fluorescent particles in each droplet. For example, when $\lambda$ is 0.3, the dispensing process will result in 74.08% of the droplets containing no particles, 22.22% containing a single particle, 3.3% containing two particles and 0.38% containing more than two particles. According to the Poisson distribution, droplet occupancy can be conveniently tuned by changing the particle concentration or droplet volume.

To study the relationship between fluorescent intensity and the concentration of fluorescent particles, we tested four kinds of fluorescent particle solutions of 1, 10, 100, and 1000 µg/mL. Figure 8 shows fluorescence images of droplets at each concentration. For each concentration, we dispense 100 droplets, and by allowing these droplets to pass through the detection site, we measure their fluorescent intensity. The average fluorescent intensity is shown in Fig. 7. A linear relationship is obtained between the fluorescent intensity and the particle concentration. Accordingly, the concentration of the fluorescent particles in any droplet can be conveniently determined using Fig. 7 as a standard reference curve. One thing that must be mentioned here is that the measured fluorescent intensity does not reflect all the fluorescent particles inside droplet because the size of the detection spot is smaller than the size of the droplet. However, given that the suspended particles...
Fig. 8 Fluorescence images of fluorescent droplets. Droplet 1–Droplet 4 represent the fluorescent droplets of 1, 10, 100, and 1000 µg/mL fluorescent particle concentration, respectively. The green dots in images represent fluorescent particles. The average diameter of these fluorescent particles is 5 µm.

distribute rather evenly inside the droplet, it is still valid to determine the number of fluorescent particles by the measured fluorescent intensity. A special case is that when there are only a few fluorescent particles inside each droplet, it is possible that these particles all stay outside the detection circle and cause detection failure. For a droplet containing n particles, the probability of detection failure can be calculated as $\left(1-R\right)^n$, here $R$ is the ratio of the area of the detection circle over the area covered by a droplet. In our experiment, $R$ is approximately 0.18, so the failure probability approaches 0 when n is greater than 30. As a result, the detection failure might occur when $n < 30$, corresponding to a threshold particle concentration of 67.7 µg/mL.

To avoid any failures when sorting low concentration fluorescent particle solutions, it is necessary to run multiple detections and use the average fluorescent intensity for quantification. But multiple detections are time consuming and limit further applications. A better solution is to use transparent ITO electrodes on the bottom substrate, thus all the fluorescent particles inside droplet can be detected. Further effort to develop this transparent scheme would be the focus of our future research.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we design a kind of DMF chip and establish a DMF droplet sorting platform, on which we study the driving and sorting characteristics of nano-liter-sized liquid droplets encapsulating fluorescent-labeled particles. The droplets dispensed from on-chip reservoir are transported to a fluorescence detection site and sorted according to their fluorescence signals. The fluorescent droplets and non-fluorescent droplets are successfully separated and the number of fluorescent particles inside each droplet is quantified by its fluorescent intensity. We realize the sorting of droplets with a fluorescent particle concentration of 10 µg/mL at the driving rate of 20 Hz. A linear relationship is also obtained between the fluorescent intensity and the particle concentration. Further work will focus on developing all transparent devices to solve the partial detection issue.
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