EFFECTS OF CANTILEVER LENGTH AND DIAMETER OF THE CUTTING TOOL ON RESONANCE FREQUENCY IN ULTRASONIC ASSISTED MACHINING
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ABSTRACT

In ultrasonic assisted machining, it is required to operate the vibratory actuator at its resonant frequency. This paper presents a quick method to determine the resonance frequency of the ultrasonic vibratory systems for different values of the diameter and the cantilever length of the cutting tool. Applying the V-I method, the resonance frequency of the ultrasonic assisted machining unit can be measured by the electrical impedance. The results showed that both the cantilever length and the diameter of the cutting tool have significant effects on the resonance frequency. Given a tool with preset diameter, the cantilever length of the cutting tool can be adjusted to make the system work with the resonance frequency of the whole. The results obtained thus would be promising to apply in designing and operating the vibratory unit in ultrasonic assisted machining.
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1. Introduction

Ultrasonic assisted machining (UAM) is a technique in which vibrations with small-amplitude, high-frequency are superimposed to the relative motion between cutting tool and workpiece during the machining operation in order to achieve better cutting performance [1]. For example, abundant advantages of ultrasonic assisted drilling have been found as to provide significant reduction of thrust force [2]-[6], improvements in built-up edge [2], [7], burr size [2], [8], reduction of drilling torque [9], improving the chip evacuation [10]-[13]. In the application of ultrasonic assisted machining, the design of the vibratory unit for clamping the cutting tool is a critical issue. A vibratory unit used in UAM typically consists of a transducer, a horn or sonotrode, and the cutting tool attached to the horn. In UAM systems, the cutting tool is usually clamped in the form of a cantilever beam. It is required that the vibratory system must be operated at its resonance frequency. It has been found that the diameter of the tool, as well as the cantilever length of the tool outside the horn have significant effects on the resonance frequency of the whole system. Although abundant studies have been made for designing either the transducer or the horn [14]-[16], the effect of the tool attached on the system has not been evaluated. This paper presents a design approach to examine such effects on the resonance frequency of a typical vibratory unit for applications of ultrasonic assisted machining. A regression method is also applied to determine the resonance frequency of the system for typical values of the cutting tool.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental setup

The structure of the vibratory unit with the cutting tool attached is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Assembly of the horn and the cutting tool](image)

In Figure 1, the transducer (1) in the Langevin type has a function of converting electrical energy into a proper mechanical vibration. This kind of transducers is commercially well designed and available for ultrasonic welding applications, with a wide range of power capacity and working frequency. It is cost-effective to select a proper commercial transducer. The tool (3), having diameter (D) and cantilever length (L), is attached to the horn (2) by mean of a collet (2). The working frequency of a Langevin transducer is its actual resonant frequency, which is carefully checked and provided as the most important value from the manufacturer. The longitudinal amplitude of the ultrasonic vibration is approximately depicted by the dotted curve.

The horn (2), which is sometimes called as the centroid, plays an important role in the transmission, concentration and amplification of the ultrasonic vibration from the transducer into the tool. Hence, the geometric characteristics of the horn must be carefully determined and...
validated. It would be worth noting that any changes in dimension of the tool and/or assembly geometry will direct effect on the resonance frequency and thus on the working performance of the whole unit.

The longitudinal dimensions of the horn and the cantilever length of the tool must be computed to obtain the maximum amplitude of the vibration at the cutting lips of the tool. In this study, a collet ER16, which can be used for drill bits ranged from 1 to 7 mm diameter, was chosen. The detailed calculation process to determine the dimensions of a proper horn can be found in several previous studies [15]-[17].

2.2. Measure the resonance frequency of the system

One of the simplest methods to measure the resonance frequency of the ultrasonic vibratory unit is using the total electrical impedance of the whole assembly. In this study, the impedance was measured by the V-I method [18]. A simple measurement circuit is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. A simple circuit to measure ultrasonic impedance using V-I method](image)

Figure 2. A simple circuit to measure ultrasonic impedance using V-I method

Figure 3. The measured impedance of the whole assembly

The resonance (or series resonance) frequency is the one at which the electrical impedance modulus is minimum and, therefore, the consumed current from the generator is maximum. The antiresonance (or parallel resonance) is the frequency where the electrical impedance modulus is maximum and, therefore, the consumed current from the generator is minimum. Figure 5 represents an example of the result measured by applying V-I method. Details of such measurement is explained as below.

In Figure 4, a sinusoidal signal with amplitude of 2 V and the swept frequency range from 15000 Hz to 25500 Hz with incremental steps of 100 Hz each was applied to the transducer (here is assigned as Device Under test - DUT). The voltage excitation with swept frequencies and the collection of the output signal $V_{A1}$, $V_{A2}$ were implemented by means of a PicoScope device, named 2204A with a sampling rate of 100 MS/s. The tests were implemented by mean of a special application, named FRA4PicoScope, designed for Frequency Response Analysis (FRA) capabilities. The FRA uses a common technique of frequency sweeping, and DFT extraction. The main output of each test is a Bode plot of gain (equal to the ratio of the output and input voltages) in dB and phase in degrees. Using the recorded values of the gain, phase corresponding to the excitation frequency, the impedance of the ultrasonic actuator can be approximately calculated as:

$$Z_X = \frac{V_{A1}R_{ref}}{\sqrt{V_{A1}^2 - 2V_{A1}V_{A2}\cos \theta + V_{A2}^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In this study, the drilling bit was chosen as a cutting tool to be examined. The diameter, D and the cantilever length, L of the cutting tool (See Figure 1) were considered as the two experimental variables. The experimental values were determined by applying the theory for Design of...
experiments, including factorial design and response design. The results were then evaluated using the Minitab®16.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Effect of the cantilever length and the diameter of the tool

In order to examine the effect of the two factors, a two-level full factorial design was implemented. In this experimental design, each factor has only two levels and not counting center points. In this study, two replicates for experimental corner points were implemented. The values of the two variables, including cantilever length (L) and diameter (D) of the tool, and the corresponding resonance frequency (Fr) obtained are represented in Table 1.

| StdOrder | RunOrder | CenterPt | Blocks | L (mm) | D (mm) | Fr (kHz) |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| 5        | 1        | 1        | 1      | 40     | 3      | 22.186   |
| 1        | 2        | 1        | 1      | 40     | 3      | 21.985   |
| 6        | 3        | 1        | 1      | 60     | 3      | 21.188   |
| 8        | 4        | 1        | 1      | 60     | 5      | 21.288   |
| 3        | 5        | 1        | 1      | 40     | 5      | 21.848   |
| 7        | 6        | 1        | 1      | 40     | 5      | 21.856   |
| 4        | 7        | 1        | 1      | 60     | 5      | 21.256   |
| 2        | 8        | 1        | 1      | 60     | 3      | 21.192   |

The data shown in Table 1 were then analyzed to carry out the effects and interaction effects of the two factors. Figure 4 depicts the main effect plot (Figure 4a) and the interaction plot (Figure 4b) for the response of the resonance frequency.

![Figure 4](http://jst.tnu.edu.vn/fign4.jpg)

**Figure 4. Main effect plot and interaction plot for resonance frequency Fr**

As can be seen in Figure 4a, the cantilever length, L, of the tool appears to have a significant effect on the resonance frequency, Fr, because the line is not horizontal. A longer cantilever length of the tool resulted in lower resonance frequency. The diameter D has a lower effect on the resonance frequency than that of the cantilever length L. Figure 4b depicted the interaction effect of the two factors. As can be seen, the interaction between the two factors occurs when the change in response from the low level to the high level of one factor is not the same as the change in response at the same two levels of a second factor. In other words, the effect of the cantilever length (L) is dependent upon the tool diameter, D. Consequently, the cantilever length of the tool should be carefully selected, depending on its diameter.
3.2. The operation frequency

As confirmed above, for a certain system, having its own fixed transducer and horn, the resonance frequency depends on the cantilever length and the diameter of the tool. Hence, it is necessary to carry out the relationship of the resonance frequency with respect to such two factors. The response surface experimental design was so implemented to solve this problem. A two-factor, face-centred composite design was then experimentally realized. The design and results obtained are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Design of the response surface design and results obtained

| StdOrder | RunOrder | PtType | Blocks | L (mm) | D (mm) | Fr (kHz) |
|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| 13       | 1        | 0      | 1      | 50     | 4      | 22.016   |
| 10       | 2        | 0      | 1      | 50     | 4      | 22.11    |
| 2        | 3        | 1      | 1      | 60     | 3      | 21.188   |
| 9        | 4        | 0      | 1      | 50     | 4      | 22.06    |
| 3        | 5        | 1      | 1      | 40     | 5      | 21.848   |
| 6        | 6        | -1     | 1      | 60     | 4      | 21.351   |
| 4        | 7        | 1      | 1      | 60     | 5      | 21.288   |
| 1        | 8        | 1      | 1      | 40     | 3      | 22.086   |
| 5        | 9        | -1     | 1      | 40     | 4      | 22.016   |
| 11       | 10       | 0      | 1      | 50     | 4      | 22.185   |
| 8        | 11       | -1     | 1      | 50     | 5      | 21.515   |
| 12       | 12       | 0      | 1      | 50     | 4      | 22.119   |
| 7        | 13       | -1     | 1      | 50     | 3      | 22.016   |

Figure 5. The regression result obtained for the resonance frequency

The data obtained in Table 2 were then analyzed using regression technique and ANOVA analysis. Figure 5 shows the results captured from Minitab environment. As can be seen in the Figure, the coefficient of determination, $R^2 = 92.01$ reflected that the resonance frequency $Fr$ can be well modeled as a function of the cantilever length and the diameter of the tool as following:

$$Fr = 15.2439 + 0.2239L + 1.1597D - 0.0029L^2 - 0.2111D^2 + 0.0085LD$$ (2)

The expression shown in Equation (2) was then plotted as a surface plot and contour plot in Figure 6.

As can be seen in Figure 6, the resonance frequency can be adjusted to an expected value by varying the two parameters: the cantilever length and the diameter of the tool to be clamped. Given one parameter, for example when the diameter of the cutting tool is pre-determined, one can easily calculate out the rest parameter.
4. Conclusion

An experimental study on the effect of the cantilever length and the diameter of the cutting tool on the resonance frequency of the ultrasonic assisted machining was investigated in this study. The following remarks can be concluded:

- The cantilever length and the diameter of the cutting tool have significant effects on the resonance frequency of the vibratory system. The cantilever length has more effect on the resonance frequency than that of the tool diameter;
- After making the detailed structure of the horn and other constructions required to attach the cutting tool, the resonant frequency of the whole system should be checked. The operation frequency can be adjusted by varying the cantilever length of the tool to be attached;
- The resonant frequency of the assembled unit can be checked by measuring the electrical impedance of the transducer attached to the horn and the tool.

Further study should be done to develop a mathematical model of the relationship between the attachment structures and the resonant frequency of the whole.
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