Tracking Magma Storage: New Perspectives From 40 Years (1980–2020) of Ground Deformation Source Modeling on Etna Volcano
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A key issue on active volcanoes is to investigate the position and characteristics of the magma reservoirs over time. The aim is to better understand the crustal magma transfer, therefore also to define the volcanic hazard and plan the mitigation strategies. Mt. Etna volcano is characterized by a lively eruptive activity with frequent major flank eruptions that can be both purely effusive and explosive-effusive. This volcano has been monitored over 40 years by ground deformation measurements. The studies and modeling of the eruptive processes through these data have mainly concerned single eruptions and the recharge phases that preceded them. In this study, for the first time, we present four decades of numerous recharge periods modeled over time by using the same typology of measurements (geodetic baselines) and the same modeling method. This uniform approach enables tracking the location of magma storage in a robust and unambiguous way during its recharging, which causes the volcano to inflate. In particular, the recharging periods that preceded the main eruptive activities were investigated. The tracking of the source positions contributes to update the representation of the shallow-intermediate plumbing system (last 10 km). Moreover, as a new result, we highlight that the recharges preceding the explosive eruptions are accompanied by a deepening over time of the centroid of the pressure source. This result opens up new scenarios on the relationship between the position of the recharging storage and the subsequent eruptive style.
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INTRODUCTION

The arrival of new magma from depth and its storage in the plumbing system within intermediate-shallow reservoirs characterize the recharge phases that precede the eruptions of many volcanoes. This process can be revealed by measurements of the inflation the volcano undergoes in response to the accumulation of magma and the overpressures in these storages. Over the past decades, terrestrial and spatial deformation measurements were used by applying models to constrain the geometry and the pressure/volume change of the recharge magma storage, in literature also called magma reservoir (for a general review see Dzurisin, 2007). Volcano geodesy allows inferring the position, geometry, and volume change of the source with applications to numerous volcanoes.
around the world (i.e., Battaglia et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2003, 2010; Sturkell et al., 2006; Dzurisin et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2010; Newman et al., 2012). The most used analytic models are those of pressurized sources embedded in a homogeneous half-space from simple spherical (Mogi, 1958; McTigue, 1987) to ellipsoidal (Davis, 1986) or prolate (Yang et al., 1988) sources. To take into consideration the effects of additional complexity such as topography, corrections can be added to these models (Williams and Wadge, 2000). Numerical models such as the finite element method (FEM) can be used to consider the additional effects of the medium heterogeneity (i.e., Trasatti et al., 2003; Bonaccorso et al., 2005; Aloisi et al., 2011), and also to evaluate the effects of other rheologies around the magma storage such as visco-elastic or plastic (i.e., Newman et al., 2001, 2006; Pulvirenti et al., 2017).

Similarly to the applications in numerous other volcanoes, at Etna the simple spherical model gives a good first-order solution regarding the estimation of the centroid position of the pressure source and the change in its volume. Bonaccorso et al. (2005) compared simple analytical models and FEM numerical models, including topography and heterogeneity, showing that the latter improve the fit essentially in the summit area of the volcano but the depth of the centroid of the different models remains approximately the same. By adding visco-elastic (Del Negro et al., 2009) and elasto-plastic rheologies (Currenti et al., 2010), the numerical models show that they improve the estimate of the overpressure by providing lower and more realistic values, but do not significantly alter the position and volume change of the source.

Although mainly effusive, basaltic volcanoes can exhibit explosive behavior at the beginning of the eruptions and also during their course. Effusive eruptions usually allow time enough to adopt actions of hazard mitigation from the lava invasion (i.e., Bonaccorso et al., 2015b; Solana et al., 2017). Instead, explosive eruptions can become very critical for the dramatic consequences they can cause in a short time. Certainly, the most eloquent recent case is that of the well-known eruption of the Icelandic volcano Eyjafjallajökull, which during 2010 was able to paralyze air traffic on a continental scale. In general, the problem of being able to better understand, and if possible, foresee the explosive style of an impending eruption, is a crucial aspect and an intriguing challenge.

Mt. Etna is one of the best monitored and studied active volcanoes in the world. Its frequent eruptions make it an ideal natural laboratory for observing and understanding the processes of magma recharge and the final intrusions (for an overview see Bonaccorso et al., 2004). In particular, during the last 40 years the monitoring of ground deformation has been implemented and improved over time, providing a powerful tool to monitor the final intrusions accompanying the numerous eruptions (for a review see Bonaccorso, 2001; Bonaccorso et al., 2004; Allard et al., 2006; Bonforte et al., 2008; Aloisi et al., 2015b) and also detect the phases of recharging before the eruptions and discharging during their course.

In principle, the longer the recharge periods, the more likely the eruption will be powerful, having undergone a more prolonged and intense recharge (i.e., Bebbington, 2014). However, the choice of long period for analysis is conditioned by the frequent eruptions that clearly end the recharge phase. Also for this reason, the majority of source modeling studies in the past have focused on the numerous single eruptive episodes by carrying out short-term analyses related to the period astride the single eruptions (for a review see Bonaccorso, 2001; Bonaccorso et al., 2004; Allard et al., 2006; Bonforte et al., 2008; Aloisi et al., 2015b)

The explosive activity of Etna volcano has also created serious concerns. The major effusive-explosive eruptions in 2001 and 2002–2003 paralyzed the air traffic of Catania international airport for weeks and months, respectively, and caused serious damage to infrastructure and human activities in the territory of Eastern Sicily. During 2011–2015, there were several explosive eruptive phases on Etna characterized by dozens of lava fountains (i.e., Bonaccorso et al., 2013; Calvari et al., 2018). These explosive episodes, although lasting a few hours and as single episodes not as considerable as major eruptions, again led to difficulties for air traffic and also substantial damage to infrastructures. In this period, during the recharging periods before the eruptive activity and those of discharging during the lava fountain activity, an interesting aspect was investigated by Aloisi et al. (2018). The authors highlighted that the position of the centroid of the recharge source (pre-eruption inflation) was deeper than that of the subsequent discharge source (deflation during the lava fountain phases), testifying to a separation between the positions of the inflation and deflation sources, respectively acting before and during the eruption. Moreover, a further element of interest is that for the 2009–2010 period, the one preceding the start of the long explosive phase with over 50 lava fountains in 2011–2015, Aloisi et al. (2009) modeled a deepening over time of the centroid of the charging source that caused the inflation of the volcanic edifice.

From these previous results, a crucial and intriguing question arises, namely whether during the recharging phase the source position is stable or if there is a possible deepening, and whether it can be related to the explosiveness degree of the subsequent eruption. We have investigated this issue, which could have relevant implications for predicting and evaluating the type of expected eruption style. To undertake this investigation, we reviewed the medium-long term periods that preceded the main flank eruptions of the last millennium and the beginning of the current one. In our study, we have considered several recharge phases, marked by the volcano inflation, over a 40-year window by using geodetic measurements. We focused on the two main periods, namely 1987–1991, which preceded the typically effusive major eruption of 1991–1993, and 1994–2000, which preceded the two major effusive-explosive eruptions in 2001 and 2002–2003 characterized by a powerful explosive component throughout the course of these events. These two different classes of eruptions represent two useful end-members (i.e., the purely effusive eruption and the effusive also accompanied by violent explosive activity, respectively). These main eruptions can provide indications on the validity of the hypothesis formulated in this investigation and, therefore, make the study an absolute novelty.

Our approach was also verified on two other recent recharging periods, (1) 2009–2010, which preceded the explosive activity of
lava fountains mainly concentrated in 2011–2013 and (2) that of 2016–2018, which preceded the brief flank eruption of December 2018. The results confirm that the proposed approach provides a useful predictive tool to characterize the possible explosive behavior before an eruption.

In the following sections, we first give an overview of the main eruptive activity of Etna in the last 40 years and describe the deformation measurement networks that have enabled monitoring the behavior of the volcano also in terms of main recharge periods and associated inflation (see section “Etna 1980 – 2018 Main Eruptive Activity and Deformation Measurements”). We then describe the method used to constrain the parameters of the source over time according to a uniform approach by applying the same simple, but effective, pressure source model for the representation of the process (see section “Recharge Source Modeling and Position Tracking”). Finally, in section “Discussion,” we discuss the results obtained that provide new information on the possible moving of the magma storage and the characterization of the subsequent eruption.

TABLE 1 | Main flank eruptions and prolonged strong explosive periods from 1981 to 2018 emitting large lava volumes.

| Eruption (year starting) | Period | Type of eruption | Volume emitted (References) |
|-------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1981                    | March 17–23, 1981 | Flank effusive | 30 × 10⁶ m³ (a) |
| 1983                    | March 28–August 6, 1981 | Flank effusive | 80–100 × 10⁶ m³ (a) |
| 1985                    | March 12, 1985–July 13, 1986 | Flank effusive | 30 × 10⁶ m³ (a) |
| 1986                    | October 30, 1986–March 1, 1987 | Flank effusive | 57 × 10⁶ m³ (a) |
| 1989                    | September 27, 1989–October 9, 1989 | Flank effusive | 26 × 10⁶ m³ (a) |
| 1991                    | December 14, 1991–March 31, 1993 | Flank effusive | 231 × 10⁶ m³ (a) |
| 2000–2001               | 2000–2001 | Strong and frequent summit explosive activity | January–August 2000: 66 lava fountains, 47 × 10⁶ m³ (b) January–July 2001: 16 lava fountains 58.5 × 10⁶ m³ (b) |
| 2001                    | July 17–August 10, 2001 | Flank effusive + explosive | 30 × 10⁶ m³ (b) |
| 2002                    | October 26, 2002–January 29, 2003 | Flank effusive + explosive | 57.5 × 10⁶ m³ (b) |
| 2004                    | September 7, 2004–March 8, 2005 | Flank effusive | 64 × 10⁶ m³ (b) |
| 2006                    | July 14, 2006–December 15, 2006 | Flank effusive | 39 × 10⁶ m³ (b) |
| 2008                    | May 13, 2008–July 7, 2009 | Flank effusive | 68 × 10⁶ m³ (b) |
| 2011–2014               | 2011–2014 | Strong and frequent summit explosive activity | January–December 2013: 44 lava fountains plus July–August 2014 summit lava flows 147 × 10⁶ m³ (c) |
| 2018                    | December 24–27, 2018 | Flank effusive | ~3 × 10⁶ m³ (d) |

References: (a) Branca and Del Carlo (2004), (b) Bonaccorso and Calvari (2013), (c) De Beni et al. (2015), and (d) Calvari et al. (2018).

ETNA 1980–2018 MAIN Eruptive ACTIVITY AND DEFORMATION MEASUREMENTS

Since the 1970s, Etna has shown a particularly high rate of the eruptive activity (i.e., Branca and Del Carlo, 2004; Allard et al., 2006), and in particular the last 40 years represent an extraordinary period in terms of number of eruptions and volumes of erupted magma (Harris et al., 2011; Bonaccorso and Calvari, 2013; De Beni et al., 2015). In Table 1 we report a temporal scheme of the major eruptions occurring from 1980 until the period of this study (2018). An improvement of the monitoring systems began in the 1980s (for a review see Bonaccorso et al., 2004), with a key role in the monitoring being played by the ground deformation measurements. At the end of the 1970s, the first trilateration networks measured with Electro-optical Distance Measurements (EDM) were installed, measured on an annual basis and repeated until 2007 (Bonaccorso et al., 1995). By using this technique, the measurements of the distances between benchmarks have an accuracy of 5 mm plus one part per million of the measured length. At the beginning of the 1990s, the first GPS measurements began with repetitions of the campaigns always on a near-annual basis (i.e., Puglisi et al., 2004; Palano et al., 2008). Finally, from the mid-1990s, the installations of the first permanent GPS stations with continuous acquisition (CGPS) started; these currently cover the volcano with about 36 permanent stations (Bruno et al., 2012). A complete map of the benchmarks of the EDM measurements, periodic GPS measurements and GPS permanent stations is shown in Figure 1.

After the brief flank eruption associated with the powerful intrusion of the March 1981 eruption (Bonaccorso, 1999) and the 1983 flank eruption (Murray and Pullen, 1984), the deformation of the volcano underwent a continuous trend of inflation from 1983 until 1987, interrupted by short phases of deflation associated with the flank eruptions of 1985 and 1986 (Bonaccorso and Davis, 2004). By using only EDM measurements, absolute displacements cannot be determined since the network is not tied to an external fixed reference. However, the redundant baselines of the networks allow calculating the relative motion of the benchmarks with respect to an arbitrarily fixed baseline (i.e., fixing two benchmarks of the network) (Prescott, 1981). This solution removes both translational and rotational ambiguities, but it can introduce some distortions with respect to the real movements because a baseline of the network is constrained. However, the displacements provide a useful relative pattern. A clear picture of the expansion of the edifice during the 1983–1987 period is shown by the relative displacement vectors deduced by the EDM baseline changes (Figure 2A). During this
phase, also a marked sliding of the E flank is well evidenced by the displacement vectors, as already shown in Alparone et al. (2013). This approach provides a very effective relative pattern especially if the different benchmarks of the network show marked changes with respect of the fixed baseline. This mainly occurs for sources such as dike intrusions and a shallow magma chamber as for the 1983–1987 case.

The 1987–1991 recharge period preceded the major effusive eruption of 1991–1993, which for duration (473 days) and volumes emitted (∼240×10^6 m^3) was the biggest of the last four centuries.

The 1991–1993 effusive eruption was accompanied by a re-equilibrium deflation phase (Bonaccorso, 1996), and after its end a new medium-long term recharging phase began and continued until 2001. This recharging phase from 1998 was also accompanied both by numerous summit and minor explosive eruptions (i.e., hundreds of modest lava fountains) and also by minor effusive eruptions, that is, modest lava flows from the summit crater area. The 1993–2001 recharging phase preceded the two closest major explosive-effusive eruptions of 2001 (24 days duration) and 2002–2003 (95 days duration), which erupted in total 30×10^6 m^3 and 57.5×10^6 m^3, respectively, of magma volumes of effusive-explosive activity (Allard et al., 2006; Tanguy et al., 2007; Bonaccorso and Calvari, 2013). A clear idea of the expansion of the edifice during this recharge phase is given by the displacement vectors deduced by the GPS discrete networks (Figure 2B) as noted in Bonaccorso et al. (2005) and Alparone et al. (2013).

The intrusion into the eastern flank of the 2002–2003 eruption also triggered a strong acceleration toward the east of the eastern sector which is subject to its own flank instability (i.e., Bonaccorso et al., 2006; Solaro et al., 2010). After the end of this eruption, three effusive flank eruptions occurred.
in 2004, 2006, and 2008–2009, each preceded by a short-term recharge/inflation phase, very modest for the 2008–2009 case (Bruno et al., 2012), and a subsequent deflation during their effusive course (Bonaccorso et al., 2006; Bonforte et al., 2008). These close eruptions occurred during the 2004–2008 period with a dominant marked sliding of the E flank (Bruno et al., 2012), and were interpreted as belonging to a single long-term re-equilibrium phase spanning from 2001 to 2010 following the long-term 1993–2001 recharge period (Bonaccorso et al., 2015a).

After the phase of mainly effusive eruptions from 2004 to 2009, during 2009–2010 there was another shorter, but marked recharging phase (Figure 2C), which preceded an extraordinary frequent explosive activity that in 2011–2015 was characterized by over 50 episodes of powerful lava fountains. Despite a mean average duration of a few hours for each episode, this phase produced a powerful explosive eruptive activity with associated lava flows generating an average volume of $2.5 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ emitted for each lava fountain. This activity formed a new main cone in the summit crater area, and in the period 2011–2014 it emitted a total volume (pyroclastic plus lava flows) of about $147.5 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ (De Beni et al., 2015). It is interesting to note that this erupted volume, despite being produced by the activity of about 50 episodes of short lava fountains over 3 years, is of the same order of magnitude as that emitted by the major flank effusive eruptions.

After this phase of strong explosiveness in 2016–2018 there was another recharge phase (Figure 2D) that preceded the short but powerful eruption in December 2018 (Calvari et al., 2020), which in particular with its intrusion promoted a new reprise of the acceleration of the sliding of the eastern flank (i.e., De Novellis et al., 2019; Aloisi et al., 2020; Mattia et al., 2020).

In general, during the inflation/deflation phases the average behavior of the volcano is well reflected in the deformation response of the western flank. This sector is the most representative since it is not involved in the large-scale flank instability that affects the SE and E flanks (i.e., Bonaccorso et al., 2004; Bruno et al., 2012). In this sector, baselines with a near N-S orientation are those that are most affected by the dilatation of the area during the inflation/deflation phases. In fact, under the action of an internal pressure source, the benchmarks moving in a near radial direction will generate extensions during inflation and contractions during deflation in the lines with near N-S direction.

**FIGURE 2** | Displacement vector patterns of inflation phases in different periods obtained by deformation geodetic monitoring networks. (A) 1983–1987 inflation and dominant eastern flank sliding from EDM measurements. (B) 1993–1997 inflation from GPS periodical measurements. (C) 2008–2009 and (D) 2016–2018 inflation from continuous GPS permanent network (CGPS).
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**FIGURE 3** Baseline changes (m) over 40 years of measurements in the W flank of Etna volcano. (A) Selected EDM baselines striking in a near N-S direction in the time interval 1980–2007. (B) EDM baseline CAP-L32 from 1980 to 2007 continuing from 2007 to 2018 with the CGPS baseline EMEG-EMGL that is also in the western flank with similar direction. The arrows marking the main flank eruption are reported.

respectively. A graph of selected baseline of the SW network is reported in **Figure 3**.

A further useful mean representation of the deformation frame can be provided by the analysis of the uniform strain tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ determined through the change of the baselines measured over time. This tensor characterizes the transformation state of the average deformation between two successive surveys. Applying the principle of infinitesimal strain, the length change $\Delta L_{ij}$ of the line $L_{ij}$ between the point I and J (Jaeger, 1969) is:

$$\Delta L_{ij} / L_{ij} = \varepsilon_{11} \cdot \cos^2 \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{22} \cdot \sin^2 \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{12} \cdot \sin \delta_{ij} \cdot \cos \delta_{ij}$$

where $\delta$ is the angle between $ij$ direction and x-axis. In particular, the $\varepsilon_{11}$ and $\varepsilon_{22}$ components represent the extension (in east–west and north–south directions, respectively, and their sum $\Delta = \varepsilon_{11} + \varepsilon_{22}$ represents the areal dilatation. This parameter is very useful for representing the expansion/contraction of the surveyed area when an inflation/deflation occurs.

A pattern of the areal dilatation over the last 40 years is shown in **Figure 4**. In the period from 1980 to 2007, which comprises the recharge phases from A to D (see **Table 2**), the areal dilatation of the EDM network in the western flank is traced. In the next period from 2007 to 2018, which comprises the recharge phases
RECHARGE SOURCE MODELING AND POSITION TRACKING

In our study, we analyzed six main recharging periods by tracking over time the position of the deformation source within each time interval (Table 2). In particular, the two main recharge periods 1987–1991 (recharge B) and 1993–2001 (recharge C) lasted longer and preceded the two main powerful effusive eruptions (eruption 1991–1993) and effusive-explosive eruptions (eruptions 2001 and 2002–2003), respectively. We also considered the periods with a marked eastern flank sliding 1983–1997 (recharge A) and 2003–2008 (recharge D), and the two most recent and shorter recharge periods that in 2009–2010 (E) and 2016–2018 (F) preceded the explosive activity of 2011–2015 and the eruption of December 2018, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 4). The recharging phases can be grouped into three types: the recharging period preceding frequent flank effusive eruptions and showing marked E flank sliding such as the A and D phases (recharge phase and inflation type 1); the recharging period preceding main effusive activity without strong explosivity such as B and F phases (recharge phase and inflation type 2); the recharging period preceding strong explosive activity such as C and D phases (recharge phase and inflation type 3) (Table 2 and Figure 4).

For each deformation period (Table 2), we considered the baseline length changes measured at the EDM and GPS networks. Besides representing a uniform set of measurements, baseline changes are not affected by possible biases in the choice of a local reference system. In addition, for the GPS case they show lower levels of noise than the single components, and therefore directly inverting baseline changes can represent a robust method to constrain a model (Aloisi et al., 2018). In our inversions we used: only the EDM baseline for the period from 1980 to 1991, both EDM and periodic GPS measurements for the period from 1993 to 2001 and, finally, the data of the GPS permanent network for the period from 2003 to 2018 (Table 3). In general, we do not consider the GPS stations located on the lower eastern flank of the volcano because of the marked ESE-ward sliding motion of the eastern flank, which is not directly linked to the magma recharging/discharging processes (for a review see, i.e., Aloisi et al., 2011; Murray et al., 2018). Moreover, taking homogeneous data sets for each recharging period into consideration allows following in the variation over time of the source position in a robust way.

For these selected recharge periods we applied analytical inversions of the EDM and/or GPS baseline changes (Cannavò, 2019), using as deformation source a finite spherical magma body embedded in a homogeneous medium following the solution of McTigue (1987). This simple but effective source model shows a good trade-off between the number of degrees of freedom and the obtained data fit. The deformation source is described by...
therefore, the x and y parameters are not well constrained. There are no baselines connecting the three EDM networks and, in fact, in this case, the magmatic sources are usually inferred. For the phases of this time interval, we decided to fix the planar coordinates of the center (x, i, and z) and the volume change of the source ΔV. This simple model avoids the trade-off problem between overpressure and elastic rigidity modulus and can be used to robustly infer the mean position of the centroid of the pressurizing/depressurizing sources (Tiampo et al., 2000). From 1983 to 1991 only EDM baselines are available. From 1991 to 1993, when the deformation monitoring was conducted, many new baselines were added. In gray, the recharging period preceding frequent flank effusive eruptions and showing marked E flank sliding (recharge phase and inflation type 1), in green the recharging period preceding strong explosive activity (recharge phase and inflation type 2), in brown the recharging period preceding main effusive activity without strong explosivity (recharge phase and inflation type 3). Table 2

| Period | Interval | Time window |
|-------|----------|-------------|
| (A) Close effusive eruptions preceded/accompanied by inflation/deflation and E flank marked sliding | A1 | 1983–1985 |
| | A2 | 1983–1987 |
| (B) Long-term recharge phase before the main effusive 1991–1993 eruption | B1 | 1987–1988 |
| | B2 | 1987–1989 |
| | B3 | 1987–1990 |
| | B4 | 1987–1991 |
| (C) Long-term recharge phase preceding the main effusive-explosive 2001 and 2002–2003 eruptions | C1 | 1993–1996 |
| | C2 | 1993–1996 |
| | C3 | 1993–1997 |
| | C4 | 1993–1998 |
| | C5 | 1993–2000 |
| | C6 | 1993–2001 |
| | C7 | 2000–2001 |
| (D) Close effusive eruptions preceded/accompanied by inflation/deflation and E flank marked sliding | D1 | February 1, 2003–September 7, 2004 |
| | D2 | April 1, 2005–September 1, 2006 |
| | D3 | December 16, 2006–May 14, 2008 |
| (E) Recharge phase preceding the 2011–2015 strong explosive activity | E1 | June 14, 2009–May 21, 2010 |
| | E2 | June 14, 2009–December 31, 2010 |
| (F) Recharge phase preceding the December 2018 eruption | F1 | June 1, 2016–April 1, 2017 |
| | F2 | June 1, 2016–January 1, 2018 |
| | F3 | June 1, 2016–November 1, 2018 |

In gray, the recharging period preceding frequent flank effusive eruptions and showing marked E flank sliding (recharge phase and inflation type 1), in green the recharging period preceding strong explosive activity (recharge phase and inflation type 2), in brown the recharging period preceding main effusive activity without strong explosivity (recharge phase and inflation type 3).

For a Poisson’s ratio of ν equal to 0.25, the elasticity equations for the expanding spherical source in an infinite half-space are:

\[
U_x = 3\Delta V \frac{x}{(4\pi R^3)}
\]

\[
U_y = 3\Delta V \frac{y}{(4\pi R^3)}
\]

\[
U_z = 3\Delta V \frac{z}{(4\pi R^3)}
\]

where \(U_x, y, z\) are the displacements in the \(x, y, z\) directions, \(x\) and \(y\) are the horizontal distance in the \(x\) and \(y\) direction from the center of the source, \(z\) is the depth of the source center, \(R^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2\).

Model parameters were estimated performing an inversion by using the Pattern Search technique (Lewis and Torczon, 1999) together with a local Genetic Algorithm Search (Goldberg, 1989), followed by a non-linear least squares inversion to further refine the solution (Cannavò, 2019). The uncertainties of the model parameters were estimated adopting a jackknife resampling method (Efron, 1982; Cannavò, 2019). The effects of the topography were taken into account by using the method of Williams and Wadge (2000). The medium was assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic with a Poisson ratio of 0.25.

In Table 2 the analyzed six recharging periods are reported with a brief description of the following volcanic activity. In Table 3, for each temporal sub-interval, we reported the obtained source’s position, the volume change and the associated errors, the number of observables (i.e., the baselines inverted) and the measurement technique used.

Just as an example to show the goodness of the obtained fit, in Figure 5 we reported a comparison between recorded (dark gray) and modeled (light gray) baselines for the 1993–1998 sub-interval. This phase has a pressure source located in the medium zone of the plumbing system (about 6.5 km b.s.l.). Moreover, for this sub-interval, both EDM and GPS measurements were inverted, and we obtained a standard deviation of about 0.03 (m) using 129 baselines varying from −0.02 to +0.25 (m).

**DISCUSSION**

Over the past 40 years, Mt. Etna volcano has been characterized by a steady trend of ~25 Mm³/year of magma input which has been balanced by magma output through numerous eruptions (Bonaccorso and Calvari, 2013). The eruptive phases were preceded by the recharge characterized by inflation and then were accompanied by deflation during the eruptions, as detected by the deformation monitoring (Figure 4). Therefore, at Etna it seems clear that the primary action producing the inflation/deflation cycle is characterized by the arrival and accumulation of new magma followed by the subsequent magma discharge during the eruptions (Figure 4).

An open and very interesting question is whether the eruptive typology could be distinguished during the recharging phase, that is, if the next eruption will be purely effusive or with an explosive nature. In particular, in this work we investigated this issue through an analysis of the position of the source during the recharging phases. In the past, several studies have investigated and modeled the storage sources that produce inflation/deflation on Etna mainly for the cases that preceded/accompanied single eruptions. A summary table of the literature results has been presented by Bonforte et al. (2008) and Aloisi et al. (2011). An updated version, obtained by adding the results of this present study, is shown in Table 4. Recharging source positions are shown in Figure 6.
Along Etna's plumbing system, the magma can stall and store at different depths, compatibly with a wide range of the level of buoyancy between 22 and 2 km b.s.l. (Corsaro and Pompilio, 2004). Pressure sources are located along the western border of the high-density body detected by seismic tomography (Chiarabba et al., 2000; Patanè et al., 2003). However, even if the interpretation of this magma pathway is also well-constrained by the deformation modeling, all the previous modeled sources are referred to measurements recorded astride a single eruption, and have in any case been obtained with different approaches in terms of type of source and inversion method. Their localizations represent a sort of mean static position for each modeled magma storage but do not provide indications if the sources' position moved during the recharging phase. In fact, we verified that using different inversion methods it is possible to obtain different vertical positions for the same pressure source (around a few kilometers of difference). Therefore, in this study we decided to use a uniform approach with the aim of uniformly comparing the vertical positions of each analyzed sub-interval.

A stimulating clue that inspired the investigation into this intriguing topic came from three studies concerning recent eruptive activity on Etna modeled through the measurements of the permanent GPS network. First, for the 2002–2003 explosive-effusive eruption, Palano et al. (2017) inferred a shift between the inflation and deflation sources with the latter source about 2 km shallower, while for the 2004–2005 and 2006 eruptions they did not find any relevant shift between the inflation and deflation source centers. Second, during the 2009–2010 recharging phase, Aloisi et al. (2011) constrained a progressive deepening of the deformation source over time. A third recent element of interest has been highlighted by Aloisi et al. (2018) regarding the separation at depth of the pressure centroids of the inflation and deflation phases that, respectively, preceded and accompanied the large effusive eruption of 1991–1993 and the two highly effusive-explosive eruptions of 2001 and 2002–2003, respectively.

Therefore, as a further element of novelty and in-depth analysis, we investigated the possible deepening of the source by analyzing two main long recharging periods for Etna belonging to the two intervals 1987–1991 and 1993–2001 which preceded the large effusive eruption of 1991–1993 and the two highly effusive-explosive eruptions of 2001 and 2002–2003, respectively. These are the eruptions that represent the main eruptive activities of the last centuries and distinguish the two different principle classes of flank eruptions for Etna. The first, the purely effusive, is usually characterized by magma rising in the central conduits.

### TABLE 3 | Number and type of observables, inverted source parameters, and associated errors for the pressure sources estimated in this study.

| Interval          | Time windows | Invert. parameters | Data number | Data type                  | x (m)              | y (m)              | z (m)              | ΔV (m³)                  |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| A1                | 1983–1985    | 2/4                | 77          | EDM (no NE network)       | 499,500 (fixed)     | 4,178,500 (fixed)  | 2267 ± 18          | 3.4E+07 ± 2.6E+06       |
| A2                | 1983–1987    | 2/4                | 77          | EDM (no NE network)       | 499,500 (fixed)     | 4,178,500 (fixed)  | 1489 ± 13           | 2.5E+07 ± 1.9E+05       |
| B1                | 1987–1988    | 2/4                | 120         | EDM                       | 499,500 (fixed)     | 4,178,500 (fixed)  | 7977 ± 57           | 4.3E+07 ± 5.7E+05       |
| B2                | 1987–1989    | 2/4                | 120         | EDM                       | 499,500 (fixed)     | 4,178,500 (fixed)  | 6681 ± 34           | 4.9E+07 ± 4.2E+05       |
| B3                | 1987–1990    | 2/4                | 115         | EDM                       | 499,500 (fixed)     | 4,178,500 (fixed)  | 7215 ± 42           | 6.3E+07 ± 5.2E+05       |
| B4                | 1987–1991    | 2/4                | 114         | EDM                       | 499,500 (fixed)     | 4,178,500 (fixed)  | 7641 ± 39           | 8.7E+07 ± 8.3E+05       |
| C1                | 1993–1995    | 3/4                | 133         | EDM + GPS                 | 499,630 ± 8        | 4,178,314 ± 7      | 3631 ± 21           | 1.7E+07 ± 9.7E+04       |
| C2                | 1993–1996    | 3/4                | 149         | EDM + GPS                 | 499,747 ± 6        | 4,178,521 ± 6      | 4992 ± 18           | 3.7E+07 ± 1.6E+05       |
| C3                | 1993–1997    | 3/4                | 147         | EDM + GPS                 | 499,810 ± 8        | 4,179,039 ± 7      | 6713 ± 26           | 7.2E+07 ± 4.9E+05       |
| C4                | 1993–1998    | 3/4                | 129         | EDM + GPS                 | 499,850 ± 8        | 4,179,034 ± 7      | 6636 ± 31           | 9.1E+07 ± 6.6E+05       |
| C5                | 1993–2000    | 3/4                | 121         | EDM + GPS                 | 499,845 ± 12       | 4,179,006 ± 9      | 6697 ± 35           | 9.5E+07 ± 8.4E+05       |
| C6                | 1993–2001    | 3/4                | 111         | EDM + GPS                 | 499,637 ± 10       | 4,179,119 ± 10     | 7066 ± 34           | 1.8E+08 ± 1.0E+06       |
| C7                | 2000–2001    | 3/4                | 139         | EDM + GPS                 | 499,690 ± 20       | 4,179,444 ± 22     | 8459 ± 72           | 2.6E+07 ± 3.3E+05       |
| D1                | February 1, 2003–September 7, 2004 | 3/4   | 45          | GPS                       | 497,944 ± 483      | 4,177,728 ± 300    | 2929 ± 272          | 1.3E+07 ± 1.5E+06       |
| D2                | April 1, 2005–September 1, 2006 | 3/4   | 66          | GPS                       | 499,366 ± 172      | 4,178,105 ± 103    | 2397 ± 300          | 1.1E+07 ± 1.0E+06       |
| D3                | December 16, 2006–May 14, 2008 | 3/4   | 66          | GPS                       | 498,560 ± 510      | 4,178,325 ± 1290   | 4218 ± 2105         | 5.4E+06 ± 9.7E+06       |
| E1                | June 14, 2009–May 21, 2010 | 3/4   | 300         | GPS                       | 498,100 ± 93       | 4,178,124 ± 110    | 4319 ± 146          | 7.0E+06 ± 4.3E+05       |
| E2                | June 14, 2009–December 31, 2010 | 3/4  | 276         | GPS                       | 498,100 ± 122      | 4,178,791 ± 117    | 5265 ± 95           | 1.5E+07 ± 0.0E+00       |
| F1                | June 1, 2016–April 1, 2017 | 3/4   | 136         | GPS                       | 498,735 ± 290      | 4,178,492 ± 225    | 6839 ± 274          | 1.1E+07 ± 1.1E+06       |
| F2                | June 1, 2016–January 1, 2018 | 3/4  | 136         | GPS                       | 498,583 ± 192      | 4,178,454 ± 155    | 6748 ± 264          | 1.9E+07 ± 1.4E+06       |
| F3                | June 1, 2016–November 1, 2018 | 3/4  | 136         | GPS                       | 498,376 ± 133      | 4,177,924 ± 143    | 6048 ± 285          | 2.4E+07 ± 2.2E+06       |
and breaking out into shallow lateral dikes propagating several km before breaching the surface. This eruption feeds lava flows and the main hazard is lava invasion. The second class involves powerful intrusions that bypass the central conduits and extend vertically through the volcano edifice until they break the surface and erupt, generating the so-called “eccentric” eruption (Bonaccorso and Davis, 2004; Aloisi et al., 2009). In the first case, the emitted lavas are already degassed and accompanied, therefore, by modest explosive phases. The second case instead is characterized by an eccentric feeder path, namely independent of the central conduit. In this case, the erupted magma during the ascent does not gradually exsolve its gaseous phases before the eruption. Consequently, a rich-gas magma comes out and has a high degree of explosiveness prolonged over the weeks-months of the eccentric eruption, therefore causing severe additional problems also in terms of serious interference to the aviation operations of the nearby international airport of Catania.

These two long and significant recharge periods had never been analyzed over time and this is the first time they have been compared. Moreover, the aspect of the possible shift of the source over time has now been highlighted thanks to the comparison of the various cases by applying the same modeling method with the same type of source in the same medium conditions to track the change in centroid position as less ambiguously as possible. In principle, considering the changes over time of a parameter using the same simple model under the same conditions allowed us to eliminate the possible secondary effects due to additional complexities. For Etna volcano, the analysis showed that in the case of the effusive eruptions the inflating source maintains a stable position. Instead, the recharging phases preceding an explosive eruptive activity are characterized by a lowering of the pressurization source over time. From the dynamic analysis conducted, this aspect is clearly evident in the main 1993–2001 period, which precedes the exceptional explosive activity that accompanied permanently the two dramatic eruptions of 2001 and 2002–2003, with a deepening of the center of the pressure ranging from $\sim3.6$ to $8.5$ km b.s.l. (period C in Tables 2, 3 and Figure 6). In a shorter timescale, also the 2009–2010, preceding the powerful explosive phase of more than 50 lava fountains in 2011–2015, was accompanied by a deepening of the center of the pressure source ranging from $\sim4.1$ to $\sim5.3$ km b.s.l. (period E in Tables 2, 3 and Figure 6).

To the best of our knowledge, in literature there are no other studies discussing the progressive deepening over time of volcano pressure sources. Only Aloisi et al. (2011) highlighted that during the inflation observed at Mt. Etna volcano after the end of the 2008 eruption, there was a deepening of the inflating source preparing the explosive activity that involved the summit area starting from 2011. The authors did not investigate if the source deepening observed during this recharging period could also be an overall behavior involving other periods. Here, we verified that this phenomenon was repeated other times during 40 years as inferred by the geodetic measurements. The new relevant aspect is that it occurred during the recharging phases preceding the more explosive eruptions, while it was not observed for the purely effusive eruptions.

This aspect of the lowering of the pressurization center is counter-intuitive. In fact, it is generally considered that the
magma injection from the deeper levels could exert pressure progressively upwards before giving rise to the eruptions. On Etna, the explosive activity of single episodes of lava fountains can be caused by the foam accumulation of gas-rich magma in a very shallow (at sea level depth) and smaller reservoir source as occurred for the numerous sequences of 2011–2013 (i.e., Bonaccorso et al., 2011a,b) or by fast uprising of deeper magma batches as for the events of December 2015 (i.e., Bonaccorso and Calvari, 2017; Cannata et al., 2018). But these mechanisms are the final triggers of the individual lava fountains, while the long recharge periods that deform the entire volcanic edifice before a prolonged explosive eruptive activity appear to be characterized by the phenomenon of the pressure center lowering.

In the process of the fluid transport a primary role is played by the CO$_2$. If the magma is under-saturated and the velocity of fluid ascent allows the CO$_2$ to dissolve, dissolution will start from the base and progressively saturate the shallower portion of the magma reservoir (Yoshimura and Nakamura, 2011). However, this behavior would not fit the indication of the source deepening inferred by the geodetic measurements before the explosive eruptions at Etna volcano.

A possible hypothesis to overcome this apparent discrepancy and to explain this intriguing scenario could be provided by a
possible CO$_2$ accumulation at depth due to portions of magma tapped along its vertical ascent. This accumulation in some parts of the plumbing system may have played a role in generating the explosivity.

Recently, Ferlito et al. (2014) proposed a possible key role of the passage of H$_2$O through the plumbing system and its absorption by water-undersaturated magma. In their study, deeper magmas would retain a higher quantity of volatiles before achieving saturation, thus implying that deeply flushed magmas can originate strong explosive eruptions though still maintaining primitive compositional features.

At Etna volcano, we highlight a progressive pressure deepening over time preceding the explosive eruptions that would generate the accumulation of more gas-rich magma then released into more explosive eruptions. Therefore, the observation of the source deepening over time becomes relevant to evaluate in advance the expected eruptive style (in this case explosive) and its intensity. These new considerations are of great interest for volcanic monitoring and surveillance purposes, and open new scenarios for future studies to further investigate the magma recharge process.

**CONCLUSION**

We investigated the position of the pressure centroid during the main recharging phases of the last 40 years at Etna volcano. The recharging phases were modeled over time to observe the evolution of the source. We used the same type of deformation measurements (geodetic baselines) and the same modeling method that made it possible to fix the position of the source over time in a uniform and robust approach. This also allowed updating the representation of the shallow-intermediate plumbing system (last 0–10 km) from the modeling of the pressure sources and their positions. Furthermore, a new result, in the case of the recharges preceding the explosive eruptions, is
that a lowering of the centroid of the pressure source is observed over time. This aspect is very evident in the 1993–2001 recharge phase forerunning the major effusive-explosive flank eruptions of 2001 and 2002–2003, and in the 2009–2010 phase preceding the extraordinary explosive period of 2011–2015 lava fountains. Compared with previous studies, where a pressurized magma chamber at a stable position is considered, our results support the evidence of a more complex scenario characterized by a deepening of the source.
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