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**Abstract:** Type 1 diabetes mellitus is characterized with decreased microbial diversity. Gut microbiota is essential for the normal physiological functioning of many organs, especially the brain. Prebiotics are selectively fermentable oligosaccharides [xylooligosaccharides (XOS), galactooligosaccharides, etc.] that promote the growth and activity of gut microbes and influence the gut–brain axis. Aerobic exercise is a non-pharmacological approach for the control of diabetes and could improve cognitive functions. The potential beneficial effect of XOS and/or aerobic training on cognition, the lipid profile and oxidative stress markers of experimental rats were evaluated in this study. Male Wistar rats were randomly divided into three streptozotocin-induced diabetic groups and a control group. Some of the rats, either on a XOS treatment or a standard diet, underwent aerobic training. The results showed that the aerobic training independently lowered the total cholesterol levels compared to the sedentary diabetic rats ($p = 0.032$), while XOS lowers the malondialdehyde levels in the trained diabetic rats ($p = 0.034$). What is more the exercise, independently or in combination with XOS beneficially affected all parameters of the behavioral tests. We conclude that aerobic exercises alone or in a combination with the prebiotic XOS could ameliorate the dyslipidemia, oxidative stress, and cognitive abilities in experimental type 1 diabetic animals.
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1 Introduction

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is an autoimmune disease that results from an immune-mediated destruction of the beta ($\beta$) cells of the pancreatic islands, producing insulin [1]. The exact factors, responsible for the induction of T1DM, have not been discovered yet; however, genetic predisposition in combination with environmental factors such as viral infections, antibiotic treatment, consumption of proteins of foreign origin, lack of breastfeeding, etc., are found to contribute to the development of T1DM [2]. A considerable number of studies have proven the relation between the gastrointestinal microbiome and the development and severity of diabetes mellitus (DM). The most common cases of dysbiosis in T1DM include deficiency of short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing bacteria and increased number of Bacteroidetes sp., which is associated with a decreased microbial diversity and gut integrity [3].

An increasing number of studies suggest that T1DM is associated with various forms of cognitive impairment [4,5]. Dyslipidemia, which is common in T1DM [6], is proven to influence cognition and memory as hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia are found to cause cognitive damage [7,8]. An increased production of reactive oxygen species, present in T1DM, is also a factor, contributing to the cerebral dysfunctioning [9].
Emerging data have demonstrated that commensal microbiota could have an impact on stress response, cognitive function, and motor and communicative abilities and it can also result in mood alterations, such as anxiety- and depressive-like symptoms [10–12]. The connection between the intestinal microbes and the brain is known as gut–brain axis [13]. In this respect, gut microflora is essential not only for gut health but also for normal physiological functioning of other organs, especially the brain and its regulation could be used as a potential treatment of different neurological disorders [14].

Prebiotics are selectively fermentable oligosaccharides [xylooligosaccharides (XOS), galactooligosaccharides, fructooligosaccharides, etc.], occurring naturally in high-fiber foods. They render beneficial effects in the organism by promoting the growth and activity of gut microbes and they also influence the gut–brain axis and are linked to human health [15]. XOS are a mixture of oligosaccharides containing xylose residues linked by β-1,4 bonds [16]. It has been demonstrated that XOS mitigate oxidative stress, inflammation, hyperglycemia, and hyperlipidemia through decreasing the levels of low-density lipoproteins and total cholesterol and increasing the levels of high-density lipoproteins (HDL) [17,18]. Only few recent studies have revealed that some functional oligosaccharides or prebiotic mixtures could influence positively the gut–brain axis and improve cognitive dysfunction and locomotor behavior through influencing some of the mentioned mechanisms [19–21]. However, the information about the effect of XOS on cognitive and mood abilities is still very scarce.

Physical training has been demonstrated as a non-pharmacological approach for the control of DM and its complications through ameliorating the physiological and psychological conditions of diabetic patients [22]. Physical exercise exerts a beneficial impact on body weight and abnormal metabolic function and improves muscle strength, respiratory function, heart rate, and blood flow [23, 24]. Moreover, aerobic training could improve cognitive functions and influence positively some psychiatric co-morbidities, such as anxiety and depressive-like symptoms in patients with DM [25]. In this sense, physical training provides mental-health benefits and improves the quality of life of this population [24].

Taken together all these data, in the current study, we aimed to evaluate the impact of aerobic training and XOS supplementation on the lipid profile, oxidative stress markers, cognitive capacity and anxiety of experimental rats with streptozotocin (STZ)-induced T1DM.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Animals

Thirty-one 8-week-old male Wistar rats with the weight of 195 ± 30 g were sourced from the vivarium of the Medical University of Plovdiv. The rats were randomly divided into four groups (n = 7–8): (1) Sed-STZ – sedentary diabetic rats on a standard diet; (2) Ex-STZ-XOS – trained diabetic rats, fed with a XOS supplement; (3) Ex-STZ – trained diabetic rats on a standard diet; and (4) Sed-veh – sedentary healthy rats on a standard diet (control group).

Five animals were housed per cage under standard conditions – living space of 350 cm², humidity of 55 ± 10%, temperature of 22 ± 2°C, with a 12-hour light/dark cycle. The animals had free access to food and water.

The rats from the Sed-STZ, Ex-STZ-XOS, and Ex-STZ groups received an intraperitoneal (i.p.) STZ injection in a dose of 60 mg/kg body weight for the induction of type 1 diabetes. The animals did not receive any antihyperglycemic treatment. The experiment was performed in compliance with protocols approved by the Bulgarian Agency for Food Safety (BAFS resolution No. 150/09.04.2019) and comply with the ethical standards of.
2.2 Diabetic model

The diabetic state was induced by the injection of a single dose of STZ in the area of the abdomen. The STZ solution was prepared in a citrate buffer, pH 4.5, in accordance with the method of Furman\cite{26}.

The substances used for the buffer were:

- 5.78 g citric acid $\times$ 1 H$_2$O ($M_w = 210.14$ g/mol) dissolved in 50 mL of distilled water and
- 0.71 g Na$_2$HPO$_4$ ($M_w = 141.96$ g/mol) dissolved in 50 mL distilled water.

In order for the wanted pH of the buffer to be reached, 10 mL of the citric acid solution and 45–50 mL of the Na$_2$HPO$_4$ solution were used. A stock solution with a concentration of 30 mg/mL was prepared (1 g of dry STZ substance was dissolved in 33.3 mL of buffer) and a dose of 60 mg/kg body weight was applied to each of the experimental animals in the STZ groups\cite{27}.

2.3 Aerobic training

The rats from the Ex-SZT-XOS and Ex-STZ groups were trained on a treadmill for small experimental animals EXER-3R-Treadmill (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA) with a band speed of 16 m/min and slope of 5$^\circ$ (about 55–60% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$), 5 days a week for 8 weeks. The duration of the training was 20 min on the first day and it was gradually increased with 5 min every other day. At the end of the second week, the duration of the training reached 40 min and it was kept until the end of the experiment. The exercise intensity was set below the maximal steady state established for Wistar rats during treadmill running\cite{28}, indicating that the training is aerobic. The rats from the other groups were sedentary.

2.4 Behavioral tests

2.4.1 Activity cage

The activity cage test was conducted with an apparatus that included a transparent plastic box (Biological Research Apparatus, UgoBasile, Italy) with a size of 40 cm. The number of two different types of movements, horizontal and vertical, was recorded automatically by a printer. The movements were detected by infrared sensor array that was located at both sides of the cage. Each session lasted 180 s and was conducted under identical conditions. The animals were all tracked individually. The test was conducted for the spontaneous locomotor activity to be measured.

2.4.2 Passive-avoidance step-down test

The rats were placed individually in an automatic step-down device (UgoBasile, Italy) for passive avoidance with negative reinforcement, as previously reported. A test chamber (14 cm $\times$ 33 cm) was used equipped with a vibrating plastic platform (14 cm $\times$ 19 cm) and the rats were placed on it at the beginning of the experiment. They were trained twice with a 60-min interval between the sessions. The reaction latency was measured when the rats attempted to climb down from the platform with three or all four paws and, at the same time, they were given an electric foot shock (0.4 mA for 10 s) through the grid. The learning session was conducted in 1 day and consisted of three trials with a 30 min interval between them. Three hours after the last trial, the test for short-term memory was conducted, while the test for long-term memory was performed 24 h later. The reaction latency...
(remaining on the platform for 60 s) was considered a measure of learning and retention.

2.4.3 Elevated plus maze test

The apparatus for the elevated plus maze test included two open arms (50 cm² × 10 cm³), two enclosed arms (50 cm² × 10 × 50 cm³), and a central platform (10 cm² × 10 cm²) that was raised 50 cm from the ground. The experimental animals were initially placed in the center of the maze, facing forward an open arm, and were given 5 min to explore it. The experimental animals were given 5 min to explore it. The parameters that were calculated included: (1) the number of entries in the open arms; (2) the number of entries in the enclosed arms; (3) the time (s) spent in the open arms; and (4) anxiety index = 1 − ([open arms time/total time] + (number of entries in open arms/total number of entries))/2. An ethanol solution (60% volume) was used for the disinfection of the alleys of the maze after the removal of each rat.

2.4.4 Y-maze

The spatial working memory of the rats was assessed using a Y-maze alternation task consisting of three black, opaque plastic arms at a 120° angle from each other. Each rat was placed at the end of one arm and allowed to move freely through the maze for 5 min. The series of arm entries was recorded visually. Alternations were defined as the rat entered different arms of the maze three times in succession, driven by the curiosity to explore previously unvisited areas. An entry occurs when all four limbs are within the arm.

The number of triads was defined as the number of alternations (e.g., ABC, BCA, or CAB, but not ABA). The percentage of spontaneous alternations was calculated by dividing the total number of alternations by the total number of entries minus 2. Therefore, the percentage of alternations is used as an index of spatial working memory.

2.4.5 Object recognition test (ORT)

The ORT included three separate phases: a training session or a first trial (T1) and a training test interval and a test session or a second trial (T2). The apparatus the test was conducted with was an open field (OF) (50 cm² × 50 cm² × 50 cm³). Glass or plastic objects were used in the testing. The rats were adjusted to the OF for 15 min on the first day. Twenty-four hours later, the test was performed. During T1, each animal was situated into the arena and two identical objects (A1 and A2) were placed in front of them for 5 min. The objects were placed about 10 cm away from the wall in a symmetrical position. The rats were then returned to their home cage for an inter-trial interval that lasted 60 min. The apparatus and the objects were cleaned with 70% ethanol solution after each rat. After T1 and the interval, one of the objects was replaced with a novel one (B). In T2, one familiar object (A1) and the novel object (B) were exposed to the rats for 5 min. An exploration of the objects was considered when: the animal directed its nose toward the object at no more than 2 cm and/or touched it with its whiskers/ nose and licked it. If the rats sat on the object that was not viewed as exploratory behavior. The time of exploration (s) of each of the objects in the separate trials was detected and the listed parameters were measured: the total time each animal spent exploring the two objects in T1, and the discrimination index (DI), calculated by the following equation: 

\[ \text{DI} = \frac{T_B - T_A}{T_B + T_A} \]

where \( T_B \) is the exploration time of the novel object, \( T_A \) is the exploration time of the familiar object, and \( T_B + T_A \) is the total time spent exploring the two objects.

2.5 Assays for the lipid profile and oxidative stress markers of the rats

The quantitative analyses were performed by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) microplate reader HumanReader.HS, HUMAN (Wiesbaden, Germany). Commercially available kits were used for the determination of the serum total cholesterol (TC) levels [Total cholesterol (Rat) ELISA kit, MyBioSource Inc., San Diego, CA, USA], HDL levels [Rat HDL (High Density Lipoprotein) ELISA Kit, Elabscience Biotechnology Inc.], triacylglycerol (TAG) levels [Triglyceride (Rat) ELISA Kit, BioVision Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA], 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels [8-OH-dG (8-Hydroxydeoxyguanosine) ELISA Kit, Elabscience Biotechnology Inc.], and MDA levels [Rat MDA (Malonaldehyde) ELISA Kit, Wuhan Fine Biological Technology Co., Ltd.].

FRAP (ferric-reducing antioxidant power) was assessed by the method described by Benzie and Strain [29] with certain modifications. The FRAP reagent contained 100 mL 300 mmol/L acetate buffer, pH 3.6 (3.1 g CH₄COONa × 3H₂O), 10 mL 20 mmol/L FeCl₃ × 6H₂O, and 10 mL 10 mmol/L TPTZ (2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). A volume of 2.85 mL of the reagent was mixed with 0.15 mL serum, the mixture was incubated for 30 min at 37°C in the dark,
and the absorption was measured at 593 nm. The results were expressed in micromoles Trolox equivalent.

2.6 Statistical analysis

The results are reported as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tuckey’s post hoc test was used for assessing the significance in the differences between three or more independent groups. Two-way multivariate ANOVA was used for the evaluation of the effect of diabetes and aerobic training on the parameters from the behavioral tests. The statistical analyses were performed with the statistical program SPSS, version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Behavioral tests

3.1.1 Activity cage

There was a significant main effect of the diabetes \( F(1, 33) = 7.716, p < 0.01 \) and exercise \( F(1, 33) = 18.454, p < 0.001 \) on the number of the horizontal movements. The post hoc test revealed that the Sed-SZT group had a significantly lower number of movements in comparison to the Sed-veh animals \( p = 0.047 \). Both Ex-SZT and Ex-STZ-XOS groups demonstrated increased locomotor activity compared to the Sed-SZT group \( p = 0.004 \) and \( p = 0.006 \), respectively (Figure 2a).

The training program caused an increased vertical activity in the Ex-SZT group in comparison with the two sedentary groups (vs Sed-veh, \( p = 0.018 \) and vs Sed-SZT, \( p = 0.011 \)) (Figure 2b) (two-way ANOVA, significant main effect only of the exercise \( F(1, 33) = 7.478, p = 0.01 \) on the vertical movements).

3.2 Passive-avoidance step-down test

The two-way ANOVA revealed significant effects of the diabetes \( F(1, 33) = 4.469, p < 0.05 \) and exercise \( F(1, 33) = 54.038, p < 0.001 \) on the learning session in the Step-down test. The post hoc test demonstrated that the Sed-SZT group had a shorter latency reaction than both the exercised groups (Ex-SZT and Ex-STZ-XOS, \( p < 0.001 \), resp.). The control group (Sed-veh) also had shorter time to spend on the platform compared to the Ex-SZT and Ex-STZ-XOS animals \( p < 0.01 \) (Figure 3a).

In the test for the short-term memory traces, the post hoc test demonstrated that the Sed-SZT group decreased the latency time in comparison with the controls \( p = 0.003 \) and both trained groups: Ex-SZT \( p = 0.013 \) and Ex-STZ-XOS \( p < 0.001 \). Furthermore, the exercised group with XOS supplementation spent more time on the platform compared to the Ex-SZT animals \( p = 0.041 \) (Figure 3b) (two-way ANOVA, significant main effects of exercise \( F(1, 33) = 24.089, p < 0.001 \) and diabetes \( F(1, 33) = 12.310, p = 0.001 \) on the short-term memory traces).

During the long-term memory retention test, the analysis of variance demonstrated a significant decrease in
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the time spent on the platform for the Sed-SZT group compared to the other three groups: Sed-veh \( (p = 0.05) \), Ex-SZT \( (p = 0.007) \), and Ex-STZ-XOS \( (p < 0.001) \). The Ex-STZ-XOS animals also had better performance than the Sed-veh group \( (p = 0.005) \) and the Ex-STZ group \( (p = 0.035) \) (Figure 3c) (two-way ANOVA, significant main effects of exercise \( F(1, 33) = 26.804, p < 0.001 \) and diabetes \( F(1, 33) = 6.018, p = 0.02 \) on the long-term memory traces).

### 3.3 Elevated plus maze test

The two-way ANOVA demonstrated significant main effects of the exercise \( F(1, 33) = 24.281, p < 0.001 \) and diabetes \( F(1, 33) = 5.060, p = 0.031 \) on the number of entries in the open arms. The post hoc test revealed that both exercised groups (Ex-STZ and Ex-STZ-XOS) had higher numbers of entries in the open arms in comparison with the Sed-SZT animals \( (p < 0.01, \text{resp.}) \) (Figure 4a) and longer time spent in the aversive area (Ex-STZ vs Sed-SZT animals, \( p = 0.008 \) and Ex-STZ-XOS vs Sed-STZ animals, \( p < 0.001 \)). The Sed-STZ group also spent less time in the open arms compared to the control group \( (p = 0.028) \). Moreover, the Ex-STZ-XOS animals increased the time spent in the open arms in comparison with the controls and the Ex-STZ group \( (p < 0.001) \) (Figure 4b) (two-way ANOVA, significant main effects of exercise \( F(1, 33) = 27.331, p < 0.001 \) and diabetes \( F(1, 33) = 5.114, p = 0.03 \) on the time spent in the open arms).

The main effects of both diabetes \( F(1, 33) = 29.167, p = 0.001 \) and exercise \( F(1, 33) = 87.529, p < 0.001 \) were significant for the anxiety index. The post hoc test demonstrated that the Sed-SZT animals had higher anxiety index compared to Sed-veh animals \( (p < 0.001) \), what is more both the trained groups decreased the anxiety levels compared to the Sed-SZT animals \( (p < 0.001, \text{resp.}) \). The exercised group with XOS supplementation had even lower anxiety index compared to the Ex-SZT animals \( (p = 0.001) \) and the control group \( (p < 0.001) \) (Figure 4c).

### 3.4 Y-maze

Percentages of alternations were greatly increased by both exercised groups when compared to the sedentary animals with T1DM (Ex-SZT vs Sed-SZT animals and Ex-STZ-XOS vs Sed-STZ animals, \( p < 0.001 \), resp.) The Sed-SZT animals also lowered them when compared to the Sed-veh group \( (p < 0.001) \). Furthermore, chronic supplementation with XOS additionally increased the
percentages of alternations in comparison to the Ex-STZ group \((p = 0.045)\) and the control group \((p < 0.001)\) (Figure 5) \([\text{two-way ANOVA, significant main effects of the exercise } F(1, 33) = 97.975, p < 0.001] \text{ and diabetes } F(1, 33) = 31.387, p = 0.001\] on the percentages of alternations).}

\[\text{3.5 ORT}\]

Two-way ANOVA revealed significant main effects of the exercise \([F(1, 33) = 42.366, p < 0.001]\) and diabetes \([F(1, 33) = 38.743, p = 0.001]\] on the discrimination index. The post hoc comparison indicated that both exercised groups \((\text{Ex-STZ and Ex-STZ-XOS})\) and the control group were characterized by an increased discrimination index when compared to the sedentary animals with diabetes \((p < 0.001, \text{resp.})\) (Figure 6).

\[\text{3.6 Lipid profile}\]

The obtained results regarding the studied parameters of the lipid profile of the four experimental animal groups are presented in Table 1. Statistically significant differences in the concentration of the TC were found between the Ex-STZ group and the Sed-STZ group \((p = 0.032)\), as well as between the Ex-STZ group and the Sed-veh group \((p = 0.010)\).

The serum TAG levels of the Sed-veh group were found to be significantly lower than all other three groups: Sed-STZ \((p = 0.000)\), Ex-STZ-XOS \((p = 0.000)\), and Ex-XOS \((p = 0.000)\).
3.7 Oxidative stress markers

The obtained results regarding the studied oxidative stress markers of the four experimental animal groups are presented in Table 2.

Regarding the markers of the oxidative stress, we found a statistically significant difference in the concentration of MDA between the Ex-STZ group and the Ex-STZ-XOS group ($p = 0.034$), being lower in the Ex-STZ-XOS group.

### Table 2: Descriptive statistics of the oxidative stress markers

| Groups   | 8-OH-dG (ng/mL) | FRAP (µmol/L) | MDA (ng/mL) |
|----------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
|          | Mean  | SEM | Mean  | SEM | Mean  | SEM |
| (1) Sed-STZ | 29.92 | 11.79 | 239.75 | 14.61 | 87.23 | 21.94 |
| (2) Ex-STZ-XOS | 26.21 | 5.24 | 245.80 | 15.61 | 39.51 | 17.34 |
| (3) Ex-STZ | 33.05 | 8.91 | 219.67 | 10.37 | 122.89 | 28.32 |
| (4) Sed-veh | 19.17 | 2.17 | 250.17 | 8.42 | 73.11 | 22.66 |

*Significant differences with $p = 0.034$ in comparison to Ex-STZ.

4 Discussion

The aim of our experiment was to determine whether the prebiotic XOS could beneficially affect the cognition, lipid profile, and oxidative stress status of type 1 diabetic rats and aid the effect of the aerobic training. Although prebiotics have lately been widely studied, there is still very limited information on the effects of XOS and especially their influence on cognition.

In our study, we found that rats with STZ-induced T1DM have cognitive deficits accompanied with increased anxiety levels. Aerobic training managed to ameliorate the cognitive dysfunction through increasing the passive learning and short-term memory, while on the formation of long-term memory traces both exercise and XOS supplementation exert a positive effect. Moreover, spatial memory deficits observed in the diabetic rats in the Y-maze test were overcome by endurance training and prebiotic treatment and, thus, their spatial memory performance was improved. In the object recognition memory task, the control animals and both groups with aerobic training with/without XOS supplementation spent more time exploring the novel object than the familiar one and thus showed improved memory consolidation and retrieval. In contrast, rats with induced T1DM spent more time exploring the familiar object, which is a manifestation of impaired recognition memory due to the diabetes.

Recent data have shown the link between gut microbiota, cognitive function, and microglia where long-term consumption of XOS ameliorated the activated microglia and restored the cognition in diet-induced obese rats [30]. In the present study, prebiotic administration and aerobic training managed to reduce the anxiety levels in the elevated plus maze test through increasing the entries in the open arms and the time spent in them. Our results complete other experimental data revealing that supplementation with other prebiotics such as galacto- and fructooligosaccharides could decrease the anxiety-like...
behavior under healthy or stressful conditions or after lipopolysaccharide injection in different rodents [31,32]. Moreover, previous data have shown that prebiotic treatment and exercise enhance the growth and activity of stress-protective bacteria, such as Lactobacillus, Bifidobacteria, and butyrate-producing bacteria [33], which could in turn decrease the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, therefore protecting the hippocampus from immune changes [34].

It is well known that aerobic training as a non-pharmacological approach has positive effects on cognitive functions. Regular physical exercise improves brain function by increasing the gene expression of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor, neurogenesis, synaptic plasticity, and hippocampal cell density, which in turn enhances hippocampal-dependent learning and memory [35,36]. Recent data have shown that aerobic training can also induce an anxiolytic effect in diabetic rats, which is documented by an increase in the total entries and time spent in the central region in the OF test [37]. Moreover, a number of studies have shown similar effects of aerobic training, which decreases cortisol concentration, normalizes serotonergic and noradrenergic concentration and corrects the release of corticotropin-releasing hormone, and in turn modulates the responsiveness to anxiety and stress [38].

The biochemical relationship between TAG and cognitive/mood disorders is not well elucidated. Our findings show that high levels of TAG are likely a major cause for the observed cognitive disturbances in our model of STZ-induced T1DM. These results are in compliance with other studies reporting that TAG lead to working memory errors and spatial memory deficits in models of diet-induced obesity and the effect is reversed pharmacologically by the reduction of their levels [7]. There are data that TAG can impair the maintenance of N-methyl-D-aspartate-dependent hippocampal long-term synaptic potentiation, which is important for the synaptic plasticity and therefore for learning and memory [7,39]. In addition, recent data have shown that TAG can cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB) in experimental conditions with intravenous injection of radioactive triolein and can induce central receptor resistance to leptin and insulin and thus can additionally aggravate the cognitive dysfunction [40].

Another factor observed in our study that can have detrimental effects on learning and memory is the high levels of TC. Increasing number of experimental and human data support the hypothesis that high cholesterol and saturated fat intake is strongly correlated with poor cognitive performance not only in the aged population but in school children as well [41–43]. In rodents with experimental models of obesity, impairment in the hippocampal-dependent learning and memory has also been detected [7,44,45]. There are data that cholesterol imbalance induces changes in brain pathology through modifying the electrophysiological properties of the neurons especially in the hippocampus [46]. Previous studies have demonstrated that high-fat diet consumption triggers microglia activation, leading to hippocampal dysplasticity and activated cell apoptosis resulting in cognitive decline [47,48]. Moreover, BBB permeability in such models is also increased and thus brain exposure to different pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α, is increased [49]. A potential mechanism that could be involved in the cholesterol-induced cognitive impairment is the greater propensity to atherosclerosis in transgenic mice after high-fat diet [50].

Regarding the lipid profile, the obtained results show that XOS supplementation and/or aerobic training exert a beneficial effect on the serum concentrations of TAG and TC. Our results are in accordance with those reported by Rios et al., for the effect of prebiotic fiber and aerobic exercise, leading to improvement of the serum lipid profile of rats fed with a high-fat/high-sucrose diet [51]. We found that the healthy control group has lower TAG serum concentration compared to all diabetic animals. Our results show that aerobic training independently as well as in combination with XOS could lead to a decrease in the TAG levels, although the difference is not statistically significant. Some studies suggest that this positive effect could be due to increased serum lipid consumption by the skeletal muscles utilizing the fatty acids in the TAG of very-low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) or chylomicrons as fuel in aerobic exercises and by stimulation of the skeletal lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity and mRNA synthesis [52]. Another mechanism for decreasing the TAG levels in endurance trained experimental animals might be the inhibition of the apoC3 protein, as it was suggested by Wang et al. in patients with coronary heart disease [53]. It is well recognized that apoC3 is involved in plasma TAG metabolism by inhibiting LPL and hepatic lipase, the enzymes that hydrolyze TAG in lipoproteins. Thus, apoC3 reduces the uptake of VLDL and chylomicron remnants by hepatocytes and maintains high plasma TAG levels [54]. Several studies report that XOS and other oligosaccharides could lower the serum TAG concentrations through increasing the production of SCFAs in the large intestine [55–57], where they serve as major signaling molecules and act as ligands for several receptors, such as free fatty acid receptor 2 (FFAR2) and FFAR3 [58]. The activation of these receptors leads to an increased release of some anorectic peptides, such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY, which results in
decreased levels of TAG and TC [59,60]. Dietary fibers are also found to stimulate leptin production and thus participate in the regulation of the energy homeostasis [61].

According to the obtained results aerobic training managed to significantly lower the serum TC concentration in the diabetic rats. XOS supplementation combined with aerobic training also led to a decrease in the TC levels; however, the effect was not so pronounced. A possible mechanism by which dietary oligosaccharides could lead to a reduction of the serum cholesterol levels includes reduced cholesterol absorption and increased excretion in the feces [57,62].

Emerging evidence suggests that oxidative stress plays a pivotal role in behavioral and mood alterations and can be influenced by prebiotic treatment [63]. Prebiotic supplementation is found to decrease oxidative stress and microglia activation leading to re-established hippocampal plasticity accompanied with improved mood performance [30]. Our results show that XOS supplementation leads to a significant decrease in the serum MDA concentration. The exact mechanism by which prebiotics alleviate oxidative stress have not been discovered yet; however, some authors suggest that this effect could be due to an increased expression and activity of antioxidant enzymes and direct neutralization of oxidants [64].

5 Conclusions

This study indicates that XOS supplementation independently as well as in combination with aerobic training confers several beneficial effects in type 1 STZ-induced diabetic rats regarding the dyslipidemia and oxidative stress – conditions related to cognitive deficits. The reduced hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia as well as amelioration of the oxidative stress status could have in turn contributed to the alleviation of the cognitive deficits accomplished by the XOS and/or aerobic training. Further investigation will be needed for the mechanism of these effects to be studied.

5.1 Limitations and future implications

A main limitation of this study was the small sample size, which is typical of animal studies. A small number of animals in an experimental group are a requirement of the ethical guidelines for the use of animals in research. The death of some of the animals during the experiment also impacted the sample size. As we aimed to investigate the beneficial effects of functional oligosaccharides and aerobic training on multiple levels and from different points of view, a large dataset was generated, which led to its distribution amongst several articles. This was yet another limitation of the study.

Oligosaccharides have gained popularity during the recent years, which has to do with their multiple beneficial effects on human health. Since data regarding their impact on cognition and especially the one of XOS are still very limited, our study offers some insight on the matter. This raises some opportunities for future research and for us to refine and elaborate our findings.
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VLDL very-low-density lipoproteins  
XOS xylooligosaccharides  
8-OH-dG 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine
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