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Abstract
Soil plays a major role in determining the sustainable productivity of an agro-ecosystem. Crop production potential is greatly influenced by the soil characteristics such as pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Organic Carbon (OC), Nitrogen, Phosphorus and other nutrients. These characteristics are related to soil fertility and agricultural productivity of a particular place. The present study was conducted to statistically analyze the soil characteristics data of Mirzapur district. Distribution pattern of all soil characteristics are examined and Goodness of fit of the best fitted distributions is tested by Chi-Square test. pH, EC, OC and Boron are found to follow Dagum distribution whereas Potassium and Iron are found to follow Fatigue Life distribution. Moreover EC, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulphur, Manganese and Zinc are found to follow General Extreme Value, Error, Pearson 5, Pearson 5 (3P), Pearson 6 and Inverse Gaussian (3P) distributions respectively. Descriptive measures (Mean, Standard deviation, Skewness and Kurtosis) are also calculated to know the soil status of Mirzapur district.
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1. Introduction
Soil is the loose collection of fragmented and chemically weathered rock mixed with organic and living matter on the earth's surface capable of sustaining plants. Soil consists of a solid phase of minerals and organic matter, as well as a porous phase that holds gases (the soil atmosphere) and water (the soil solution). Consequently, soils are often treated as a three-state system of solids, liquids and gases. Soil is a product of the influence of climate, relief (elevation, orientation and slope of terrain), organisms and its parent materials (original minerals) interacting over time. Since soil has a great variety of available organisms and habitats, it comprises most of the Earth's genetic diversity. Soil characteristics and properties are determining factors for the growth of plants. Determining the characteristics of soils will eventually help to determine how to manage them effectively. The availability of nutrients is particularly sensitive to changes in soil environment. The factors that affect the contents of nutrients are organic matter, soil pH and electrical conductivity. The study is based on the soil characteristics data related to the farmers of sampled villages of different blocks of Mirzapur district of Uttar Pradesh. The probability distributions followed by different soil characteristics are investigated in this research paper.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Description of the study area
The study area for the present work is Mirzapur district of Uttar Pradesh (UP) which is situated between latitude 23.52° to 23.32° and longitude 82.72° to 83.33°. It covers an area of 4521 sq. km. Total population of the district is more than 20 lacs. Mirzapur is divided into 4 thesils, 12 blocks and 973 gram sabhas consisting of 1698 villages. The region has limited water resources and the land is mostly degraded. It has only 40% cultivable land with no assured irrigation facility. Therefore, farmers have to face a lot of challenges while growing crops to these dry lands in the absence of rain and irrigation system. The soil is generally red and the terrain is generally rocky in the district. The district has 4, 50,169 hectares geographical area. The study is confined to four thesils of Mirzapur district namely Mirzapur (Sadar), Marihan, Lalganj and Chunar, having 12 blocks namely Sikhar,
Narayanpur, Jamalpur, Kon, Majhwa, Nagar, Rajgarh, Chinnoway, Marhian, Lalganj, Halia and Pahari.

Fig 2.1: Map of Mirzapur district

2.2. Source of the data
The present study mainly hinges on secondary data on some important soil characteristics viz. pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Organic Carbon (OC), Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Carbon, Potassium, Zinc, Boron, Iron, Magnesium and Sulphur obtained from the “Regional Soil Testing Laboratory, Varanasi, Government of Uttar Pradesh and AICRP (All India Coordinated Research Projects), Varanasi Centre sponsored by Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India”. Soil data obtained are related to the farmers of sampled villages of twelve blocks under four tehsils in Mirzapur district.

2.3. Statistical techniques used
2.3.1. Best fit distribution
Best fit distribution is the fitting of a probability distribution to a series of data concerning the repeated measurement of a particular variable. Distribution fitting helps in developing valid models for random processes, protects from potential time and money loss which can arise due to invalid model selection and results in better decisions. Easy Fit Professional 5.6 was used to find best fitted distribution on several soil characteristics of the Mirzapur district. This software fits a number of distributions for the given data and then decides the best amongst them on the basis of Chi Square, Kolmogrov-Darling tests. Further, the parameters of the best fitted distribution may be estimated by using any classical method of estimation e.g. Method of Moments, Method of Maximum Likelihood etc.

2.3.1.1 Method of moments
Method of Moments is a method of estimating population parameters, given by Karl Pearson. This method consists of equating the sample moments to the corresponding moments of population, which are the functions of unknown parameters. We equate as many sample moments as there are unknown parameters and solve the simultaneous equations for estimating unknown parameters. This results in estimates of unknown parameters.

\[ \gamma_1 = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s} \right)^3 \]

\[ \gamma_2 = \frac{m(n+1)}{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s} \right)^4 - \frac{3(n-1)^2}{(n-2)(n-3)} \]

The above said formulae have been used for the purpose of calculation of Coefficients of Skewness and Kurtosis in MS-Excel software through which calculations have to be done.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Best fitted distributions of soil characteristics
The soil characteristics (pH, EC, OC, N, P, K, S, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn and B) were analyzed for their best fitted distribution. Here we have considered rank of Chi-Square test to determine best fit distribution. Distribution patterns of different soil characteristics are presented in Table 3.1.

On the basis of ranks assigned to Chi-Square statistic for various distributions, Dagum distribution is found to be the best fit distribution for soil pH, OC, Copper and Boron as shown in Table 3.1. Similarly Fatigue Life distribution is the best fit distribution for Potassium and Iron. Gen Extreme Value distribution for EC and Error distribution for Nitrogen are the best fit distributions. Moreover, Sulphur follows Pearson 5 (3P) while Phosphorus follows Pearson 5 distribution. Pearson 6 is the best fit distribution for Manganese and Inv. Gaussian (3P) is best fit distribution for Zinc. The probability curves for the best fit distributions of the above said characteristics have also been plotted and are given in Figures 3.1 to 3.12.

Table 3.1: Best fit distributions of different soil characteristics

| S. No. | Soil characteristics | Best fit distribution | Parameters |
|--------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1      | pH                   | Dagum                 | k=0.21377, a=39.71, b=8.3645 |
| 2      | EC                   | Gen. Extreme Value    | k=0.35011, s=0.03449, m=0.05387 |
| 3      | OC                   | Dagum                 | k=0.44264, a=6.2611, b=0.66418 |
| 4      | Nitrogen             | Error                 | k=1.5564, s=30.385, m=126.61 |
| 5      | Phosphorus           | Pearson 5             | a=2.0102, b=34.974 |
| 6      | Potassium            | Fatigue Life          | a=0.59828, b=212.76 |
| 7      | Sulphur              | Pearson 5 (3P)        | a=2.5522, b=18.019, g=1, 1056 |
| 8      | Iron                 | Fatigue Life          | a=1.4375, b=18.288 |
| 9      | Copper               | Dagum                 | k=0.23193, a=0.6031, b=2.2811 |
| 10     | Manganese            | Pearson 6             | a=2.5063, a=3.10673, b=0.6852 |
| 11     | Zinc                 | Inv. Gaussian (3P)    | l=3.4516, m=1.5666, g=0.23878 |
| 12     | Boron                | Dagum                 | k=0.76717, a=3.4128, b=0.47889 |
Fig 3.1: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of pH

Fig 3.2: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of EC
Fig 3.3: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of OC

Fig 3.4: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of Nitrogen
Fig 3.5: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of Phosphorus

Fig 3.6: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of Potassium
Fig 3.7: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of Sulphur

Fig 3.8: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of Iron
Fig 3.9: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of Copper

Fig 3.10: Probability curve of best fitted distribution of Manganese
3.1.2 Estimation of parameters of Dagum distribution
A continuous random variable X is said to have a three-parameter Dagum distribution, abbreviated as X-Dag (β, λ, δ) if its probability density function is given as
\[ f(x; \beta, \lambda, \delta) = \beta \lambda x^{-\delta - 1}(1 + \lambda x^{-\delta})^{-1} \beta , \quad 0 < x < \infty \]

Where λ>0 is the scale parameter and its two shape parameters β and δ are both positive.

Three parameters of Dagum distribution can be estimated with the help of method of moments.

rth moment of X

\[ \mu_r = \int_0^\infty x^r \beta \lambda x^{-\delta - 1}(1 + \lambda x^{-\delta})^{-1} \beta \ dx \]

Letting λx^r = y we get

\[ -\lambda x^{-\delta - 1} dx = dy \text{ and } x = \left( \frac{y}{\lambda} \right)^\frac{1}{\delta} \]

\[ \mu_r = \beta \lambda \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{y}{\lambda} \right)^\frac{r}{\delta - 1} \ dy = \beta \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^\frac{r}{\delta} = \beta \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{r - 1} \]

\[ \mu'_r = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{r - 1} B\left( \frac{r}{\delta}, \beta + \frac{r}{\delta} \right) \]

First raw moment

\[ \mu'_1 = \beta \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{1 - 1} B\left( 1 - \frac{1}{\delta}, \beta \right) = \mu_1 \]

Second raw moment

\[ \mu'_2 = \beta \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{2 - 1} B\left( 2 - \frac{1}{\delta}, \beta + \frac{2}{\delta} \right) = \mu_2 \]

Third raw moment

\[ \mu'_3 = \beta \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{3 - 1} B\left( 3 - \frac{1}{\delta}, \beta + \frac{3}{\delta} \right) = \mu_3 \]

The values of \( m'_1, m'_2 \) and \( m'_3 \) may be calculated from the data used for best fit and the parameters of Dagum distribution can be estimated by solving the above said equations by using a suitable numerical method. Similarly the parameters of other distributions can be estimated.

### 3.2 Descriptive statistics to examine soil status of Mirzapur

The descriptive statistics such as Mean, Standard Deviation and Skewness were used to know the variability in the soil characteristics of four tehsils viz., Chunar, Mirzapur, Marhihan and Lalganj of Mirzapur district, Uttar Pradesh and are presented in Table 3.2 to 3.4b.

**Soil properties**

The data presented in Table 3.2 indicate the tehsil wise descriptive statistics of soil properties (pH, EC and OC) of Mirzapur district. It is evident from the findings that the highest average pH (7.67) was observed in Chunar as compared to lowest average (6.74) in Marhihan. The highest average (0.11) Electrical Conductivity (EC) was found in Chunar Tehsil and lowest EC (0.06) in Marhihan Tehsil. Similarly, highest average (0.69) OC was observed in Marhihan Tehsil as compared to lowest average (0.51%), in Mirzapur Tehsil. The Standard deviation (SD) in the soil pH was found to be highest (1.15) in Lalganj and lowest (0.57) in Mirzapur whereas highest Standard deviation (0.11) of EC was found in Chunar as compared to lowest (0.06) in Marhihan and the Standard deviation of OC was highest (0.23) in Chunar and Lalganj and lowest (0.19) in Mirzapur. Also, the distribution of EC and OC was found to be positively skewed and leptokurtic in all the four tehsils of Mirzapur district. The distribution of pH was found to be negatively skewed in all the four tehsils whereas it was leptokurtic in Chunar and Mirzapur tehsils and platykurtic in Lalganj and Marhihan tehsils.
**Macronutrients**

Results pertaining to Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium content of soils of all the four tehsils of Mirzapur district are presented in Table 3.3.

It is evident from the table that the highest average (142.47) Nitrogen was found in Marhihan as compared to lowest average (119.03) in Mirzapur. The highest average (33.57) Phosphorus was found in Chunar as compared to lowest average (24.26) in Mirzapur and Lalganj and highest average (285.01) Potassium was found in Lalganj while lowest average (219.92) in Mirzapur. The Standard deviation of Nitrogen is found highest (83.13) in Mirzapur as compared to lowest (27.52) in Marhihan.

**Micronutrients**

Results pertaining to Sulphur, Zinc, Copper, Manganese, Iron and Boron content of soils of all the tehsils of Mirzapur district were presented in Table 3.4a and Table 3.4b.

It is evident from Table 3.4a that the highest average (14.27) Sulphur belongs to the soil of Chunar tehsil as compared to lowest average (6.81) in Lalganj tehsil. Similarly, the highest average Iron (56.18) and average Copper (1.79) are found in Marhihan and Chunar tehsils as compared to lowest average (21.62, 1.01) in Mirzapur and Lalganj tehsils respectively.

### Table 3.2: Descriptive statistics of soil properties (pH, EC and OC) of Mirzapur tehsils

| Tehsils | pH | EC | OC |
|---------|----|----|----|
|         | Mean | SD | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ | Mean | SD | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ | Mean | SD | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ |
| Chunar  | 7.67 | 0.69 | -0.26 | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 2.04 | 4.27 | 0.55 | 0.23 | 0.74 | 2.44 |
| Mirzapur| 7.89 | 0.57 | -0.27 | 2.19 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 4.28 | 24.85 | 0.51 | 0.19 | 0.59 | 0.94 |
| Marhihan| 6.74 | 0.81 | -0.03 | -0.92 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 2.74 | 11.37 | 0.69 | 0.22 | 1.13 | 2.32 |
| Lalganj | 7.27 | 1.15 | -0.45 | -0.82 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 2.82 | 11.30 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 1.08 | 3.45 |

Phosphorus has highest (23.25) Standard deviation in Chunar while lowest (18.94) in Marhihan. Standard Deviation of Potassium is found to be highest (158.34) in Chunar and lowest (142.41) in Mirzapur. Also, the distribution of Phosphorous and Potassium is found to be positively skewed in all the four tehsils while distribution of Nitrogen was positively skewed in all tehsils except Chunar where it is negatively skewed. Moreover, the distribution of Nitrogen is found to be leptokurtic in Marhihan and Lalganj tehsils and platykurtic in Chunar and Mirzapur tehsils. Distribution of Phosphorous is found to be leptokurtic in all tehsils and the distribution of Potassium is leptokurtic in Chunar and Mirzapur tehsils while platykurtic in Marhihan and Lalganj tehsils.

### Table 3.3: Descriptive statistics of soil nutrients Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K) of Mirzapur tehsils

| Tehsils | N   | P    | K    |
|---------|-----|------|------|
|         | Mean | SD   | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ | Mean | SD   | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ | Mean | SD   | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ |
| Chunar  | 125.95 | 33.55 | -0.18 | -0.59 | 33.57 | 23.25 | 0.99 | 0.07 | 248.36 | 158.34 | 1.06 | 0.19 |
| Mirzapur| 119.03 | 83.13 | 0.22 | -0.14 | 30.13 | 22.72 | 1.24 | 0.56 | 219.92 | 142.41 | 1.38 | 1.66 |
| Marhihan| 142.47 | 27.52 | 0.57 | 0.46 | 26.32 | 18.94 | 1.45 | 1.94 | 273.86 | 144.72 | 0.67 | -0.22 |
| Lalganj | 130.83 | 28.38 | 1.21 | 4.42 | 24.08 | 19.37 | 1.74 | 2.94 | 285.01 | 146.45 | 0.72 | -0.17 |

### Table 3.4a: Descriptive statistics of soil nutrients Sulphur (S), Iron (Fe) and Copper (Cu) of Mirzapur tehsils

| Tehsils | S   | Fe   | Cu   |
|---------|-----|------|------|
|         | Mean | SD   | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ | Mean | SD   | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ | Mean | SD   | $Y_1$ | $Y_2$ |
| Chunar  | 14.27 | 10.28 | 1.88 | 3.75 | 40.24 | 46.55 | 1.09 | -0.06 | 1.79 | 0.96 | 2.98 | 14.38 |
| Mirzapur| 7.46 | 7.22 | 3.53 | 15.12 | 21.62 | 24.26 | 2.45 | 6.78 | 1.39 | 0.72 | 0.55 | 2.86 |
| Marhihan| 10.39 | 8.95 | 1.75 | 3.22 | 56.18 | 38.17 | 0.88 | 0.24 | 1.54 | 0.94 | 0.42 | -0.73 |
| Lalganj | 6.81 | 6.56 | 2.07 | 4.97 | 46.53 | 44.17 | 0.85 | -0.55 | 1.01 | 0.91 | 1.25 | 1.15 |

The results presented in Table 3.4b reveal the highest average (16.47) Manganese in Lalganj tehsil as compared to lowest average (7.22) in Mirzapur tehsil. Highest average (1.75) Zinc is found in Mirzapur as compared to lowest average (0.68) in Lalganj tehsil. The Standard deviation of Manganese is found to be highest (14.89) in Lalganj tehsil as compared to lowest (5.09) in Mirzapur tehsil. Similarly highest (1.11) Standard deviation of Zinc is found in Marhihan tehsil as compared to lowest (0.54) in Lalganj tehsil. Highest average (0.55) Boron is found in Chunar tehsil whereas lowest average (0.34) in Marhihan tehsil. The Standard deviation of Boron is found to be highest (0.31) in Chunar tehsil and lowest (0.24) in Lalganj tehsil.

The distribution of Manganese, Zinc and Boron is found to be positively skewed in all the tehsils of Mirzapur district. The distribution of Manganese and Boron is leptokurtic for all tehsils whereas the distribution of Zinc is leptokurtic in Chunar, Marhihan and Lalganj tehsils and platykurtic in Mirzapur tehsil.
### Table 3.4b: Descriptive statistics of soil nutrients Manganese (Mn), Zinc (Zn) and Boron (B) of Mirzapur tehsils

| Tehsils  | Mn (Mean) | Mn (SD) | Mn (γ₁) | Mn (γ₂) | Zn (Mean) | Zn (SD) | Zn (γ₁) | Zn (γ₂) | B (Mean) | B (SD) | B (γ₁) | B (γ₂) |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| Chunar   | 10.71     | 10.50   | 1.79    | 3.86    | 1.23      | 0.84    | 2.39    | 15.65   | 0.55      | 0.31   | 1.82    | 4.99    |
| Mirzapur | 7.22      | 5.09    | 2.42    | 11.13   | 1.75      | 0.97    | 0.36    | -0.78   | 0.51      | 0.27   | 1.18    | 2.37    |
| Marhihan | 7.23      | 10.50   | 1.68    | 3.28    | 1.71      | 1.11    | 0.25    | 0.25    | 0.34      | 0.28   | 4.26    | 26.20   |
| Lalganj  | 16.47     | 14.89   | 1.42    | 2.09    | 0.68      | 0.54    | 3.08    | 14.62   | 0.45      | 0.24   | 1.42    | 2.96    |

### Conclusions
Best fitted distributions of all soil characteristics are obtained using Easy Fit 5.6 Professional Software. Goodness of fit of the best fitted distributions is tested by Chi-Square test. pH, EC, OC and Boron are found to follow Dagum distribution whereas Potassium and Iron are found to follow Fatigue Life distribution. Moreover EC, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulphur, Manganese and Zinc are found to follow General Extreme Value, Error, Pearson 5, Pearson 5 (3P), Pearson 6 and Inverse Gaussian (3P) distributions respectively. Descriptive measures (Mean, Standard deviation, Skewness and Kutosis) are also calculated to know the soil status of different tehsils of Mirzapur district.
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