Decreasing trend of seroprevalence of hepatic amoebiasis in tertiary care hospital of North India: 2010–2015
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Abstract:

BACKGROUND: Globally, amoebic liver abscess, a common extraintestinal complication of intestinal amoebiasis. Diagnosis of hepatic amoebiasis is based on the detection of anti-Entamoeba histolytica immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), because of its technique’s relatively higher sensitivity and specificity (90%).

AIM: The aim of the present study was to determine the seroprevalence of hepatic amoebiasis in a referral tertiary care hospital in North India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The blood samples were tested specifically for anti-Entamoeba histolytica IgG antibody using commercially available ELISA kit (RIDASCREEN® E. histolytica IgG [K1721] kit).

RESULTS: A total of 879 patients (n = 879) were evaluated, of which 78.49% (690/879) were positive for anti-Entamoeba histolytica IgG antibody. The seroprevalence rates showed a declining trend from 2010 to 2015 with rates falling from 91.4% to 66.7%. He present a study showed the decreasing trend of seroprevalence of hepatic amoebiasis from 2010 to 2015.

CONCLUSIONS: This decrease may be attributed to several factors such as increase in awareness, improved hygienic practices, use of safe drinking water, better socioeconomic condition, and perhaps early treatment sought for intestinal amoebiasis.
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Introduction

Amoebiasis caused by Entamoeba histolytica prevalent in developing nations represents a major health problem and is the second leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide followed by malaria.[1] Amoebiasis can present as asymptomatic cyst passer (90%) and infected individuals serve as carriers. However, in 10% cases amoebiasis may develop to invasive amoebiasis such as amoebic dysentery, liver abscesses, rarely lung and brain abscesses, heart, urinary tract, and skin infections.[2-5] Globally, amoebic liver abscess, the most common extraintestinal complication of amoebiasis is noted in around 50 million cases with a mortality rate of 100,000 deaths every year.[6] Diagnosis of amoebiasis is based on microscopic examination, xenic and axenic in vitro cultures of clinical samples, serology, and molecular techniques. Stool microscopy has never been useful in the diagnosis of extraintestinal amoebiasis. Diagnosis of extraintestinal amoebiasis primarily based on microscopic examination of clinical samples such as pus and aspirated fluids, however, it is time-consuming, requires expertise, and has a sensitivity of 60%.[7-9] Molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction assay and DNA probes for dot-blot hybridization can accurately differentiate the species, have greater sensitivity and specificity than microscopy. However, considering their high cost and need for technical expertise these molecular techniques often limits its
applications in the routine diagnostics in many resource
limited country.[10,11] Serological techniques such as
enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), indirect
hemagglutination assay are helpful in the diagnosis;
however, ELISA is the most preferred cost-effective
serological method with both sensitivity and specificity of
90%.[7] The aim of the present study was to determine the
seroprevalence of hepatic amoebiasis in a referral tertiary
care hospital in North India.

Materials and Methods

Study area, population, and period
The present study was carried out in the Department of
Microbiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences,
New Delhi, India. This was primarily laboratory-based
study. Between the year 2010 and 2015, the patients with
clinically suspected hepatic amoebiosis who attended our
outpatient department/clinic for consultation and/or
admitted to the Departments of Gastroenterology and
Human Nutrition and Internal Medicine and Pediatrics of
our hospital were retrospectively analyzed. The details of
these patients were analysed as per a well-structured pro
forma that included clinical (types and duration of fever,
pain in the epigastrium, enlargement of liver, presence of
jaundice, and history of treatment), relevant radiological
examinations, and microbiological examinations.

Collection and processing of samples
About 4–5 ml of venous blood without anticoagulant
was collected from all patients taking aseptic measures
and after obtaining consent of all the patients. Serum
was separated as per standard protocol.

Serological evaluation
The qualitative determination of anti-Entamoeba histolytica specific
immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies was carried out using commercially available ELISA kit (RIDASCREEN®
Entamoeba histolytica IgG (K1721)-R-Biopharm AG, An der neuen
Bergstraße 17, D-64297 Darmstadt, Germany). The ELISA
test was performed as per manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis
The data collected were analyzed using STATA/SE
version 14.0 statistical software (Stata Corp, Texas, USA).
Categorical data were described using numbers and percentages. Data generated from the present study have
been presented in the form of tables and all descriptive
analyses have been shown in percentages. P value has
been calculated to analyze statistically significance.

Results
A total of 879 adult patients (n = 879) were included in
the present study. Of these 879 patients, 78.49% (690/879)
were seropositive for anti-Entamoeba histolytica specific IgG
antibodies. Among these 879 patients, 80.31% (706/879)
were males and 19.68% (173/879) were females and
the male-to-female ratio was 4.08:1. Association of
gender with that of Entamoeba histolytica infection has been
shown in Table 1. The age ranged from 2 to 98 years
with mean age of 40.53 ± 17.14 years and median
value of 40 years. Of the total 879, 823 (95.90%) were
adults and 56 (76.78%) were children ≤15 years of
age [Table 1]. The mean age of children was 10.21 with
interquartile range value 15. Most of the patients were
adults followed by children ≤15 years (X^2 = 146.11,
P = 0.00). A higher seroprevalence was observed in
males compared to females (X^2 = 16.7196, P = 0.00).
Seasonal variation was noted in seroprevalence rate
and was highest during monsoon 354/690 (51.34%)
(June–September) season, followed by 196/690 (28.40%)
premonsoon (February–May) and 140/690 (20.28%)
postmonsoon (October–January) season [Figure 1].
Overall, seroprevalence rate was higher in indoor
patients 63.33% (437/690) than outdoor patients
36.74% (253/690). The seroprevalence varied from
66.66% to 91.4% between 2015 and 2010, with a mean
of 78.49%. The decreasing trend of seroprevalence was
noted from the year 2010 to 2015 [Figure 2].

Discussion
Entamoeba histolytica infection predominates in developing
countries and represents a major health problem.[12] There
is limited information regarding the seroprevalence of
extraintestinal amoebiasis in Indian population. In
the present study, we observed a seroprevalence of
78.49% in our patients with hepatic amoebiasis and the
seropositivity rate observed in our study was much higher
than earlier studies.[13,14] Furthermore, another interesting
observation that was noted in the present study was a
definite decreasing trend in the seroprevalence of
hepatic amoebiasis in our referral tertiary care center
which receives a significant number of patients with
such illnesses. This decrease may be attributed to
several factors such as increase in awareness, improved
hygienic practices, use of safe drinking water, better
socioeconomic condition, and perhaps early treatment
sought for intestinal amoebiasis. Gender variation in

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of
Entamoeba histolytica

| Characteristics  | Number of participants tested (%) | Number of participants positives (%) | P   |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| Gender           |                                  |                                   |     |
| Males            | 706 (80.31)                      | 574 (81.30)                       | 0.000 |
| Females          | 173 (19.68)                      | 116 (67.05)                       |     |
| Age (years)      |                                  |                                   |     |
| Adults           | 879                              | 704                               |     |
| Children (≤15)   | 823 (95.62)                      | 661 (80.31)                       | 0.000 |
|                 | 56 (6.37)                        | 43 (76.78)                        |     |
seroprevalence, i.e., with higher antibody prevalence observed in males (81.16%) \( (P < 0.05) \) is in consistent with other studies.\textsuperscript{[15,16]} As hypothesized earlier, such observation may be due to estrogen stimulating effect on the phagocytic system leading to a better humoral and cellular response against \textit{E. histolytica} infection among women.\textsuperscript{[17,18]} The seasonal variation was observed in this study, we observed higher seroprevalence rate of \textit{E. histolytica} infection during monsoon season, which is in consistent with some of the earlier studies and higher rate of fecal-oral contamination may be implicated during monsoon season.\textsuperscript{[19]}

**Conclusions**

We conclude that serological test like ELISA is useful for seroprevalence study to determine the problem load particularly in resource limited countries. This can help in better patient management and reducing the transmission improving socioeconomic condition and better hygienic practices. The seroprevalence rate has shown a significant fall over the years; however, hepatic amoebiasis still remains an important public health problem, which needs to be diagnosed to allow specific treatment. Considering the scarcity of information available in our country, more region/province-wise studies on seroprevalence of hepatic amoebiasis are required to improve our understanding of the actual burden.
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