Single Impurity Anderson Model with Coulomb Repulsion between Conduction Electrons on the Nearest-Neighbour Ligand Orbital
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We study how the Kondo effect is affected by the Coulomb interaction between conduction electrons on the basis of a simplified model. The single impurity Anderson model is extended to include the Coulomb interaction on the nearest-neighbour ligand orbital. The excitation spectra are calculated using the numerical renormalization group method. The effective bandwidth on the ligand orbital, $D^{\text{eff}}$, is defined to classify the state. This quantity decreases as the Coulomb interaction increases. In the $D^{\text{eff}} > \Delta$ region, the low energy properties are described by the Kondo state, where $\Delta$ is the hybridization width. As $D^{\text{eff}}$ decreases in this region, the Kondo temperature $T_K$ is enhanced, and its magnitude becomes comparable to $\Delta$ for $D^{\text{eff}} \sim \Delta$. In the $D^{\text{eff}} < \Delta$ region, the local singlet state between the electrons on the $f$ and ligand orbitals is formed.
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Recently, much attention has been paid to the Kondo effect for systems with Coulomb interaction between the conduction electrons (CCE).1–6 It was reported that a small CCE enhances the Kondo temperature $T_K$. A correlated host has usually been given by the Hubbard model, and the studies have been carried out by means of the perturbation theory on the CCE because its influence on the Kondo effect can be treated only by such procedures. Therefore it is not clear how the CCE affects the magnetic impurity problem when it is not weak. Detailed properties of the low energy excitation are also unknown, and thus it is not clear whether low energy properties can be described as the Kondo state. In this letter we restrict ourselves to a simplified model but study the effect of CCE in detail. We choose a model which includes the Coulomb interaction between conduction electrons only on the nearest-neighbour site of the magnetic impurity. By this simplification, change in the bulk host states caused by CCE is excluded from the consideration in the strict sense. But the effects through the local change around the impurity can be studied in detail. We expect that gross features caused by the CCE can be extracted from the studies of the present model.7) We calculate the dynamical excitation spectra such as the single particle and the magnetic excitation without restricting ourselves to the weak CCE cases. From these studies we show that the low energy properties are well described as the usual Kondo state with increased effective bandwidth of the $f$-electron, $\Delta$. As the strength of CCE increases, $D^{\text{eff}}$ gradually decreases. When $D^{\text{eff}}$ becomes comparable to $\Delta$, the effective $T_K$ also becomes comparable to $\Delta$. The excitation spectra change their characteristics rapidly when the strength of the CCE increases further.

In this letter we present the calculation of the dynamical excitation spectra for the following model Hamiltonian,

$$H = H_A + H_L,$$  

(1)

$$H_A = H_f + H_{\text{hyb}} + H_c,$$  

(2)

$$H_f = \varepsilon_f \sum_{\sigma} n_{i\sigma} + \frac{U_f}{2} \sum_{\sigma \neq \sigma'} n_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma'},$$  

(3)

$$H_{\text{hyb}} = \frac{V}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k,\sigma} (f^+_k c_{k\sigma} + h.c.),$$  

(4)

$$H_c = \sum_{k,\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^+ c_{k\sigma},$$  

(5)

$$H_L = \varepsilon_L \sum_{\sigma} n_{L\sigma} + \frac{U_L}{2} \sum_{\sigma \neq \sigma'} n_{L\sigma} n_{L\sigma'}.$$  

(6)

Equation (2) represents the single impurity Anderson model (SIA) using the standard notation. Energies $\varepsilon_f$ and $\varepsilon_k$ are measured relative to the Fermi level, $E_F$. The Coulomb interaction between electrons on the ligand orbital is given by the term $H_L$. The operator $n_{i\sigma}$ is the occupation number on the ligand orbital on the nearest-neighbour site, and is defined as $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^+ c_{i\sigma}$ with $c_{i\sigma} = (1/\sqrt{N}) \sum_{k} c_{k\sigma}$. Here the quantities $\varepsilon_f$ and $U_f$ are the energies of the orbital and the Coulomb interaction on it, respectively. We restrict ourselves to the electron-hole symmetric case, i.e., $2\varepsilon_f + U_f = 0$ and $2\varepsilon_L + U_L = 0$. Hereafter, we parameterize the hybridization intensity, $V$, by the hybridization width, $\Delta = \pi V^2/2D$. In this letter, we use the numerical renormalization group (NRG) method to calculate the single particle and the magnetic excitation spectra. All...
results are obtained using the discretization parameter $\Lambda = 1.5$.\textsuperscript{8} We consider a band with a constant density of states which extends from $-D$ to $D$ for simplicity.\textsuperscript{9}

Figure 1 shows the excitation spectra for various cases of $U_L$. In these figures, parameters $U_H$ and $\Delta$ are fixed at $U_H/D = 8.0 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\Delta/D = 3.0 \pi \times 10^{-4}$.\textsuperscript{10} For $U_L = 0$, this parameter set gives the Kondo regime of SIA, $U_H \gg \Delta$.

First, we examine the $U_L$ dependence of $\rho_{L0}$, which is the density of states (DOS) on the nearest-ligand orbital for a fictitious model setting as $\Delta = 0$. It is indicated by the dotted lines. For $\Delta = 0$, the Hamiltonian (1) is decomposed into two independent parts, $H_L$ and $H_C$. The part $H_L + H_C$ corresponds to the Wolff model of the magnetic impurity. The quantity $\rho_{L0}$ is normalized to have an integrated intensity equal to 1. Therefore, the intensity at $\omega = 0$ should be 0.5 according to Friedel's sum rule. As seen from Fig. 1(a) the present calculation fulfills this condition with about 97% accuracy, and seems to be sufficient for obtaining qualitative conclusions.

When $U_L = 0$, $\rho_{L0}$ is given by the rectangular DOS which extends from $-D$ to $D$ as shown in Fig. 1(a). As seen from Fig. 1(b), $\rho_{L0}$ shows a three-peaked-structure for the case $U_L/2 > D$. The peak which appears in the energy range $|\omega/\Delta| < 10^2$ corresponds to the Kondo resonance of the $c$-electron for the $H_L + H_C$ model. Furthermore, satellite peaks are also found at $\omega/\Delta \sim 2.0 \times 10^3$. These energies correspond to the atomic excitation, $\omega/D \sim U_L/2$. As $U_L$ increases, the width of the Kondo resonance of $c$-electron becomes narrow. This may be regarded as the reduction of the effective bandwidth caused by the CCE. We define the effective bandwidth, $D^{eff}$, as the peak position of the magnetic excitation of the $H_L + H_C$ model. This quantity almost coincides with the half-width of the Kondo peak of $\rho_{L0}$. We have the relation $D^{eff} = 0.951 D (\equiv D_0)$ for $U_L = 0$. The quantity $D^{eff}$ is shown by the double circles in Fig. 2 as a function of $U_L$.

Next, we see how the magnetic excitation spectra of the $f$-electron, $\chi_{mf}''$, depend on $U_L$ for $\Delta \neq 0$. In Fig. 1, $\chi_{mf}''$ is plotted as a one-dot-dash line. Its intensity is obtained by multiplying the energy of its peak position, $T_K^{(m)}$. We adopt this value, $T_K^{(m)}$, as the characteristic energy of the low-energy excitation, because it is lower than that of other excitations such as charge fluctuation. We note here that $T_K^{(m)}$ has a value of about 0.6$T_K$ for the conventional SIA in the Kondo regime, where $T_K$ denotes the Kondo temperature determined from the magnetic susceptibility at $T = 0$, $\chi$, as $T_K = 1/4\chi$.

In Fig. 1(a), $T_K^{(m)}$ is at $\omega/\Delta = 5.87 \times 10^{-2}$. As $U_L$ increases, $T_K^{(m)}$ initially shifts to the high-energy side (from (a) to (d)) and returns to the low-energy side (from (d) to (e)). We note that the maximum value of $T_K^{(m)}$ has magnitude comparable to $\Delta$ ((d)).

We replot the magnetic excitation spectra in Fig. 1(f).
The energy is scaled by $T_K^{(m)}$, and the spectra are normalized by the peak heights. The spectra almost coincide up to values of $U_L/D \leq 8$. This means that the magnetic excitation has an almost universal shape, and therefore the low energy properties can be described as the Kondo state characterized by the energy scale $T_K^{(m)}$. As mentioned later, this feature can be seen when the condition $D_{\text{eff}} > \Delta$ holds. On the other hand, the line shape of $\chi''_{\text{mf}}$ rapidly changes to narrow at around $D_{\text{eff}} \sim \Delta$ when $U_L$ increases further.

The quantity, $T_K^{(m)}$, as a function of $U_L/D$ for various $U_{\text{ff}}$ cases is shown in Fig. 2. It increases as $U_L$ increases in the $D_{\text{eff}} > \Delta$ region, while it decreases gradually in the $D_{\text{eff}} \leq \Delta$ region. The magnitude of the maximum value is comparable to $\Delta$ both in the extreme Kondo regime ($U_{\text{ff}} \gg \Delta$) shown by the solid diamond symbols and the valence fluctuation (VF) regime ($U_{\text{ff}} \ll \Delta$) shown by the solid circle symbols. In the extreme large $U_L$ region, i.e., $D_{\text{eff}} \ll \Delta$ region, $T_K^{(m)}$ decreases as $T_K^{(m)}/D \propto 16\Delta/\pi(U_L + U_{\text{ff}})$.

In the previous studies, the increase of $T_K^{(m)}$ in the weak CCE region is ascribed to the renormalization of the Kondo exchange interaction, $J^{3,4,6}$. It is modified from $J$ to $J(1 + \gamma)$ with the renormalization factor, $\gamma \propto U_L/\Delta$, when one uses the perturbation theory in the lowest order of the Coulomb interaction. To check the relation, we examine the $U_L$ dependence of $T_K^{(m)}$. The modification of $J$ leads to the relative change of $T_K^{(m)3}$

$$\frac{T_K^{(m)}}{T_{K0}^{(m)}} = \exp \left\{ \frac{\pi U_{\text{ff}}}{8\Delta} \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Here we use $J = 8\Delta/\pi U_{\text{ff}}$ for the symmetric SIA and $T_{K0}^{(m)}$ is the value of $T_K^{(m)}$ for $U_L = 0$. The quantity $\gamma$ is obtained from eq. (7)

$$\gamma = \left[ 1 - \frac{8\Delta}{\pi U_{\text{ff}}} \log \left( \frac{T_K^{(m)}}{T_{K0}^{(m)}} \right) \right]^{-1}. \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

Using the results shown in Fig. 2, we found that the linear relation $\gamma = aU_L/D$ holds well in the range $0 \leq U_L/D \leq 0.6$. Here $\alpha$ itself is a function of $\Delta$ and $U_{\text{ff}}$. The value of $\alpha$ is given as $(U_{\text{ff}}/D, \alpha) = (0.8, 2.6 \times 10^{-1})$ and $(1.6, 3.6 \times 10^{-1})$ for $\Delta/D = 3.0\pi \times 10^{-2}, (8.0 \times 10^{-3}, 5.4 \times 10^{-3})$ and $(1.6 \times 10^{-2}, 8.8 \times 10^{-3})$ for $\Delta/D = 3.0\pi \times 10^{-4}$.

In order to examine the larger $U_L$ region, we replotted the results of Fig. 2 in terms of the relation $T_K^{(m)}/\Delta$ vs $(\Delta/D_0) \cdot (D_0/D_{\text{eff}} - 1)$ as shown in Fig. 3. Here $D_0$ is the value of $D_{\text{eff}}$ for $U_L = 0$. The quantity $D_0/D_{\text{eff}}$ corresponds to the enhancement factor of the specific heat of the $c$-electron for $H_L + H_{\text{K}}$ model. If $D_{\text{eff}}$ is expressed as $D_{\text{eff}} = D_0 \exp(-\pi U_{\text{ff}}/4D)$, the quantity $(D_0/D_{\text{eff}} - 1)$ is proportional to $U_L/D$ for small $U_L/D$. When the value of $U_{\text{ff}}/\Delta$ is fixed, the data points for various $\Delta$ cases are almost on a common curve. We try to reproduce the curves by using the quantity $\gamma' = \alpha'(\Delta/D_0)(D_0/D_{\text{eff}} - 1)$ and substitute it for $\gamma$ in eq. (7), where $\alpha'$ is the fitting parameter. The enhancement factor $\gamma'$ in eq. (8) is proportional to $(\Delta/D_0)(D_0/D_{\text{eff}} - 1)$ in $(\Delta/D_0)(D_0/D_{\text{eff}} - 1) < 0.1$ region. We determine the value of $\alpha'$ within this region. The fitted results are plotted by dotted lines in Fig. 3, and they reproduce $T_K^{(m)}$ qualitatively even in the region $D_{\text{eff}} \sim \Delta$.

Let us return to Fig. 1. The solid and the two-dot-dash lines are the single particle excitation spectra (SPE)
of the $f$-electron, $\rho_f$, and the charge excitation spectra, $\chi''_c$, respectively. The intensity of $\rho_f$ is illustrated by multiplying the factor $\pi \Delta / N_f$. Therefore, the intensity at $\omega = 0$ should be 1.0 in Fig. 1(a) for the case of $U_L = 0$. The present calculation fulfills this condition with about 97% accuracy. In Fig. 1(a), the Kondo resonance appears in the energy region $|\omega| / \Delta < 10^{-1}$, and the atomic-like excitation appears at $\omega / \Delta \sim 4.0$ which correspond to $\omega \sim \pm U_H / 2$. The peak position of $\chi''_c$ almost coincides with that of the atomic-like excitation. The DOS on the nearest-ligand orbital, $\rho_L$, show a depression in the energy region $|\omega| / \Delta < 10^{-1}$ as shown by the broken line. It behaves as $\rho_L \propto \omega^2$ in this region. Two peaks appear on each side of the depressed region. They have shoulder and peak structures which are caused by the Kondo resonance at $\omega / \Delta \sim 10^{-1}$ and by the atomic excitation at $\omega \sim \pm U_H / 2$, respectively.

When $U_L$ increases, the width of the Kondo resonance increases in the $D_H > \Delta$ region as seen from Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). This increase can be ascribed to the enhancement of the effective exchange coupling, and is consistent with the increase of $T_K^{(m)}$. The intensity of $\rho_f$ at $\omega = 0$ gradually decreases when $U_L$ increases. These facts indicate that the hybridization coupling between $f$ and ligand orbital is enhanced by the Coulomb interaction. The atomic excitation peak is shifted towards higher energy by a small amount and the spectral shape becomes narrow.

In the case of $D_H < \Delta$, the magnetic excitation has a rather sharp peak at the energy defined as $T_K^{(m)}$. The intensity of $\rho_f$ in the energy region $|\omega| < T_K^{(m)}$ is very small as shown in Fig. 1(e). On the other hand, $\rho_f$ and $\rho_L$ have small peaks at about $|\omega| \sim T_K^{(m)}$. The energy of the atomic excitation is not significantly changed. The excitation energy $T_K^{(m)}$ is roughly given by $16 \Delta / \pi (U_f + U_H)$, as already noted. This energy corresponds to the exchange coupling between the electrons on the $f$ and the nearest-ligand orbital. These facts may indicate that the $f$ state and its nearest-neighbour state form a local spin singlet pair when the charge fluctuation is strongly suppressed by the $U_L$ term. This local singlet pair couples weakly to band states in the outer region. As noted previously, $\chi''_c$ rapidly changes its line shape around the cross-over region from the Kondo singlet region ($D_H > \Delta$ region) to the local singlet one ($D_H < \Delta$ region).

We note that the cross-over can also be seen from the analysis of the flow chart of the renormalized energy level (FCEL) in the NRG. We have analyzed the FCEL of the present model, and found that the fixed point is the local Fermi liquid state in both cases, but the difference lies in the asymptotic area to the fixed point. In the $D_H > \Delta$ case, the low energy levels are similar to that of the conventional SIA, i.e., they are classified as the ordinary Kondo state. However, in the $D_H < \Delta$ case, the energy levels indicate that the local spin singlet pair and the conduction electrons are almost independent of each other.

In summary, we have examined the impurity Anderson model with CCE on the nearest-ligand orbital. The interaction $U_L$ reduces the effective bandwidth for the $c$-$f$ hybridization, $D_H$. The characteristics of the system depend on whether the effective bandwidth $D_H$ is larger or smaller than $\Delta$. In the $D_H > \Delta$ region, the characteristic energy of the magnetic excitation, which is defined as $T_K^{(m)}$, increases until its magnitude becomes comparable to $\Delta$ when $U_L$ increases. The low energy properties are expected to be given as the Kondo state. The increase of $T_K^{(m)}$ is approximately expressed as the enhancement of the hybridization. When $U_L$ increases further into the $D_H < \Delta$ region, the magnetic excitation energy decreases as $16 \Delta / \pi (U_f + U_H)$, reflecting the formation of the spin singlet pair state from the electrons on the $f$-orbital and its nearest-neighbour orbital.

The present model includes CCE only on the ligand orbital to which the $f$-orbital has the direct hopping matrix. However, we expect that the present results will be generalized to the model with CCE for the band states, if one concentrate on the effects due to the modification of the hybridization process. The quantity $D_H$ of the present model should be interpreted as the effective bandwidth of such a band CCE model. The calculation including the self-consistent procedure based on the $d = \infty$ model to extract a true effective bandwidth will be attempted in the near future.
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