Ventricular fibrillation in congenitally corrected transposition of great arteries treated with pacing: a case report
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Background Congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries (CCTGA) is a rare form of congenital heart disease which may present with sudden death from malignant arrhythmias including complete heart block and ventricular tachyarrhythmias as late complications. Only few cases about ventricular tachyarrhythmias, usually in those with markedly depressed systemic ventricular function, have been reported.

Case summary A 26-year-old woman with a known history of CCTGA presented to the emergency department with palpitations and breathlessness for 3–4 weeks and worsening symptoms for 8 h. She had a history of ventricular septal defect repair 14 years ago. Her initial presentation electrocardiogram demonstrated high degree atrioventricular block with a ventricular rate of 44 b.p.m. She had two episodes of complete syncope during this hospitalization, both required external defibrillation due to documented bradycardia-dependent ventricular fibrillations. Her two-dimensional echocardiography study confirmed the diagnosis of CCTGA with preserved systolic ventricular function. She underwent urgent temporal pacing wire placement with a paced ventricular rate at 90 b.p.m. Having thoroughly reviewed the arrhythmia events and discussed with the patient about the option of defibrillator vs. pacemaker therapy a decision was made upon her request for dual-chamber pacemaker implantation. She was discharged home uneventfully 3 days after hospital presentation and has been physically active at 3-, 6-, and 9-month follow-ups.

Discussion Our case illustrates the individualized clinical decision making in choosing device therapy for a rare congenital heart disease presented with malignant arrhythmia. Careful history taking, open communication, and closely planned long-term follow-up will be essential in caring for such patients.
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Introduction

Congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries (CCTGA), also known as L-transposition of the great arteries or ventricular inversion, is a rare and intricate form of congenital heart disease. Although the etiology of CCTGA remains unclear, the disease is characterized by atrioventricular (AV) and ventriculoarterial discordance resulting from abnormal looping of the embryonic cardiac tube. Most patients may be accompanied by one or more anomalies such as ventricular septal defect (VSD), pulmonary stenosis, and abnormalities of the systemic AV (tricuspid) valve. Patients with CCTGA, especially those without other associated defects, may remain undiagnosed until adult life. Both bradyarrhythmias (1st, 2nd, and 3rd degree AV blocks; sinus node dysfunction) and supraventricular tachycardias (WPW syndrome, focal atrial tachycardia, atypical AV nodal re-entry, atrial fibrillation, and atrial flutter) have been reported. Few very cases about ventricular tachyarrhythmias, usually in those with markedly depressed systemic ventricular function, also have been reported.

Timeline

| Clinical events       | Investigations                                      |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 12 years old          | Ventricular septal defect                           | Echocardiography: congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries (CCTGA) |
| 19 years old          | Uneventful pregnancy                                |                                                         |
|                       | Healthy delivery                                    |                                                         |
| 22 years old          | Syncopal event                                      | Electrocardiogram (ECG): sinus rhythm with intraventricular conduction delay, QRS 120 ms |
|                       |                                                     | Holter: no significant tachy- or bradyarrhythmias       |
|                       |                                                     | Oesophageal electrophysiology: normal sinus node function and atrioventricular (AV) node conduction; no ventricular arrhythmia induction |
| 26 years old          | Shortness of breath                                 | ECG: high degree AV block                              |
|                       | Witnessed syncope                                   | Cardiac monitor: ventricular fibrillation               |

Learning points

- Congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries (CCTGA) is a rare form of congenital heart disease which may present with sudden death from ventricular fibrillation.
- Pacing therapy to prevent bradycardia-dependent ventricular fibrillation may be reasonable in CCTGA who presented with high degree atrioventricular block.
- Careful history and clear arrhythmia documentation are essential in clinical decision-making; imaging study should aid in defining complex cardiac anatomy and facilitate pacing lead implantation in for such patients.

Case presentation

The patient was a 26-year-old woman who presented to the emergency department with palpitations and breathlessness for 3–4 weeks and worsening for 8 h. She had a history of VSD repair which was performed 14 years earlier after she was diagnosed with CCTGA. Seven years after the operation one healthy child was born after uneventful pregnancy. She also reported a ‘syncopal event’ 10 years after VSD repair although no further detail regarding the event could be elucidated. Holter’s study at that time only demonstrated no significant tachy- or bradyarrhythmias. Her transoesophageal atrial and ventricular pacing study demonstrated normal sinus node function and AV conduction without inducible ventricular tachycardia (VT). Multiple follow-up electrocardiograms (ECGs) 11 and 13 years after open-heart surgery only showed mild first-degree AV block and intraventricular conduction delay, with one ECG illustrated in Figure 1A. On this admission, her ECG showed high degree AV block (Figure 1B) with a ventricular rate of 44 b.p.m. A fusion beat be could be seen (the 3rd QRS). Her blood pressure was 102/57 mmHg. Respiratory rate was 25/min and oxygen saturation was 98% on room air. The remainder of the examination was unremarkable. Her B-type natriuretic peptide was mildly elevated at 1623 pg/mL (normal 0–450 pg/mL). Other relevant biochemical and haematologic parameters were within normal limits. Her weakness and shortness of breath further worsened shortly after admission and she ‘passed out’ twice, both required electric defibrillation. Her ECG rhythm strip at
one such event was shown in Figure 1C. After a bedside Echo study confirming CCTGA (right ventricle (RV) is the systolic ventricle) and preserved right ventricular systolic function [ejection fraction (EF) 55–60%) the patient was taken to the EP lab with implantation of a temporary pacemaker. She was ventriculially paced at 90 b.p.m. and remained completely haemodynamically stable overnight. She received a dual-chamber pacemaker (XL DR 5826, St. Jude Medical, USA), the next day after a thorough discussion with patient and her family members regarding various treatment options including single-chamber, dual-chamber, and resynchronization pacemaker or defibrillator. The atrial and ventricular leads were fixed in the right atrium and high interventricular septum, respectively. Her paced ECG was shown in Figure 1D, with QRS morphology being consistent with high septal pacing. The Echo study with four-chamber views, the chest X-rays before and after pacemaker implantation were shown in Figure 2A–C, respectively. The ventricular lead was intentionally implanted in the mid- to high septum so that the paced QRS duration was similar to the native QRS prior to pacemaker implantation. This was achieved with careful mapping. The high resolution computed tomography (CT) reconstruct of three-dimensional imaging for the patient’s CCTGA anatomy after pacemaker implantation was shown in Figure 3A and B. The CT study also confirmed the high septal ventricular lead location. Patient was discharged home in clinically stable condition. She has been physically active at 3, 6, and 9-month follow-ups. Repeat Echo study at a 6-month follow-up showed systolic ventricular function at 57%. Her pacemaker interrogation demonstrated atrial sensing and ventricular pacing rhythm without ventricular tachyarrhythmia. Atrial sensing was 4.4 mV, threshold was 0.5 V at 0.5 ms, and impedance was 538 ohms. Ventricular sensing was 6.8 mV, threshold was 0.5 V at 0.5 ms, and impedance was 326 ohms. Atrial pacing was 19% and ventricular pacing was >99% being in complete AV block. Those parameters were essentially unchanged from previous interrogation at hospital discharge.

Discussion

Congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries is a rare congenital heart disease accounting for <1% of all congenital anomalies. Of the coexisting malformations, VSD is among the most frequent and usually occurs peri-membranous. If there are no associated defects, which are relatively uncommon, the patient will typically be asymptomatic early in life. Accurate diagnosis can usually be made by means of ECG, chest radiograph, and echocardiography in addition to a careful history and physical examination. The natural history of CCTGA is usually defined by the associated malformations,
and also by the time and approach to surgical repair. This patient was diagnosed with peri-membranous VSD because of her cyanosis in late childhood and had VSD repair at 12 years old. She had uneventful pregnancy and delivery at the age of 19 years old.

Congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries is known to have increased risk of AV block. Some studies have shown that the AV blocks can be present before birth and acquired at a rate of 2% per year. Nonetheless, VT has rarely been described in the natural history of CCTGA. The mechanisms of VT is generally assumed to be scar-related re-entry or enhanced abnormal automaticity such as those commonly occurring during progressive left ventricular failure. However, it remains unknown if the mechanism of VT in CCTGA (in which the RV is the systemic pumping chamber) is similar to those patients whose left ventricle is the systemic pump. Some CCTGA patients with systemic ventricular dysfunction and ventricular arrhythmias appropriately underwent implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) implantation for secondary prevention. However, in this patient no documented VT or ventricular fibrillation occurred before admission or at least 9 months after hospital discharge. The ventricular fibrillation was seen during marked bradycardia from high degree AV block, with premature ventricular contraction clearly occurring on top of the previous T (Figure 1C). While the patient’s refusal of ICD being a factor considered in the management, pacemaker implantation to prevent both bradycardia

Figure 2  Echo study with apical four-chamber view of the heart illustrating reversal insertion of the ventricles (A). The order of connection was as follows: right atrium—mitral valve—morphology left ventricle—pulmonary artery; left atrium—tricuspid valve—morphology right ventricle—aorta. Pacemaker lead was visible in right atrium and left ventricle. Anterior and posterior views of chest X-rays before (B) and after (C) pacemaker implantation. Ventricular lead was implanted in high septum.
and bradycardia-dependent torsades de pointes remains an acceptable alternative in this clinical situation. A recent study\(^{13}\) reported no sudden death with a ventricular arrhythmia of 3% (2 out of 73 patients) at a follow-up of 7.2 years in CCTGA with systolic ventricular EF >35%. Nonetheless, pacemaker implantation sometimes can be challenging in patients with CCTGA owing to the complex anomalies and related surgical repairs. Information about detailed anatomy and coexisting malformation sometimes can be obtained by contrast-enhanced CT or magnetic resonance imaging before the procedure. Biventricular function should be periodically monitored during follow-up given that the majority of patients with CCTGA develop progressive congestive cardiac failure.

**Conclusion**

Pacing therapy can be reasonable and effective in preventing bradycardia-dependent ventricular tachyarrhythmia in patients with CCTGA who develop high degree AV block.
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