Efferocytosis Promotes Suppressive Effects on Dendritic Cells through Prostaglandin E2 Production in the Context of Autoimmunity
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Abstract

Introduction: Efferocytosis is a crucial process by which apoptotic cells are cleared by phagocytes, maintaining immune tolerance to self in the absence of inflammation. Peripheral tolerance, lost in autoimmune processes, may be restored by the administration of autologous dendritic cells loaded with islet apoptotic cells in experimental type 1 diabetes.

Objective: To evaluate tolerogenic properties in dendritic cells induced by the clearance of apoptotic islet cells, thus explaining the re-establishment of tolerance in a context of autoimmunity.

Methods: Bone marrow derived dendritic cells from non-obese diabetic mice, a model of autoimmune diabetes, were generated and pulsed with islet apoptotic cells. The ability of these cells to induce autologous T cell proliferation and to suppress mature dendritic cell function was assessed, together with cytokine production. Microarray experiments were performed using dendritic cells to identify differentially expressed genes after efferocytosis.

Results: Molecular and functional changes in dendritic cells after the capture of apoptotic cells were observed. 1) Impaired ability of dendritic cells to stimulate autologous T cell proliferation after the capture of apoptotic cells even after proinflammatory stimuli, with a cytokine profile typical for immature dendritic cells. 2) Suppressive ability of mature dendritic cell function. 3) Microarray-based gene expression profiling of dendritic cells showed differential expression of genes involved in antigen processing and presentation after efferocytosis. 4) Prostaglandin E2 increased production was responsible for immunosuppressive mechanism of dendritic cells after the capture of apoptotic cells.

Conclusions: The tolerogenic behaviour of dendritic cells after islet cells efferocytosis points to a mechanism of silencing potential autoreactive T cells in the microenvironment of autoimmunity. Our results suggest that dendritic cells may be programmed to induce specific immune tolerance using apoptotic cells; this is a viable strategy for a variety of autoimmune diseases.
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Introduction

The removal of apoptotic cells -i.e. efferocytosis- is crucial in the maintenance of immune tolerance to self. Under physiological conditions, signals from apoptotic cells direct the activation of antigen presenting cells toward a deactivated phenotype [1,2]. The uptake of apoptotic cells by dendritic cells (DCs), the most potent professional antigen presenting cells for naïve T cells, avoids its maturation resulting in the induction of specific tolerance rather than autoimmunity [3]. However the mechanisms by which efferocytosis induces selective immunosuppression are not fully understood. Deregulated apoptosis or impaired clearance of dying cells favours inflammation and DCs maturation, contributing to chronic inflammation and autoimmune diseases [4,5].

In a previous work, we demonstrated that immunotherapy with DC loaded with islet apoptotic cells prevented experimental type 1 diabetes (T1D) in non-obese diabetic mice (NOD). Peripheral tolerance to β-cells, lost in autoimmune T1D [6], may be restored...
through tolerogenic DCs [7]. In fact, this experimental model shows a deficiency in the clearance of apoptotic cells, predisposing them to autoimmunity [8]. When apoptosis of β-cells is experimentally reduced in NOD mice, diabetes is prevented [9], thus indicating that the ratio apoptotic cells/removal is crucial for the maintenance of homeostasis.

Several studies have demonstrated the feasibility of using DCs in clinical immunotherapy [10,11]. Tolerogenic DCs may be used, as well as blood cells or inert particles, as carriers of autoantigens [12]. This study aims to describe the functional and molecular changes that occur in DCs after islet cell efferocytosis, and demonstrates that tolerogenic DCs acquire suppressive ability which is mediated, at least in part, by an increase in the production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2).

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Generalitat de Catalunya, Catalan Government. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Germans Trias i Pujol Research Institute (Permission DAAM 5157).

Mice

Wild-type NOD mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) and kept under specific pathogen-free conditions. Only 10- to 14-wk old females were used.

Cell Lines and Induction of Apoptosis

The NIT-1 cell line, derived from an insulinoma from NOD/Lt mice [13], was chosen because of its expression of β-cell-specific autoantigens (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA). The culture medium used was RPMI-1640 media with 10% FBS (Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 100 U/ml penicillin (Normon SA, Madrid, Spain), 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Laboratorios Reig Jofre, Sant Joan Despi, Spain), 2 mmol/l glutamine (Sigma, St Louis, MO), 1 mmol/l sodium pyruvate (Gibco), and 25 μmol/l β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma). Apoptosis was induced by UV irradiation (10 mJ/m²) for 1 hour (FACS Canto II, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and confirmed with annexin V-FITC and 7- amino-actinomycin D labelling (7AAD) (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA).

Dendritic Cell Generation and Efferocytosis

DCs were generated in vitro from bone marrow progenitors of NOD mice in culture medium containing GM-CSF (1000 U/ml; Prospec, Rehovot, Israel) as previously reported by our group [7]. The DC purity of the culture was evaluated by CD11c-PE/Cy7 staining (BD Pharmingen). The viability was assessed by annexin V and 7AAD staining, whereas the viability was assessed by annexin V and 7AAD staining. iDCs, mDCs or NIT-Apo-DCs (10,000 cells) with 20 μg/ml of insulin (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) were co-cultured with 10⁵ T lymphocytes (1:10 ratio). After 6 days, cells were pulsed with 1 μCi of (³H)-thymidine (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) for an additional 16 h. Cells were harvested (Harvester 96, Tomtec Inc., Hamden, CT, USA) and analyzed using a scintillation counter (1450 Microbeta, TriLux-Wallac, Turku, Finland). T cell proliferation was expressed as c.p.m. In another set of experiments, purified T cells were previously labelled with CFSE and analyzed at day 7 by flow cytometry, as previously described [14]. As a control, T lymphocytes were cultured in basal conditions or with mitogen stimuli (PMA, 25 ng/ml, Sigma) and Ionomycin (IO, 250 ng/ml, Sigma).

Stability of Tolerogenic Function of DCs after Efferocytosis

To determine the stability of the tolerogenic function of DCs, three maturation stimuli were used in the assays. 5×10⁵ iDCs or NIT-Apo-DCs were cultured for 24 h with LPS (100 ng/ml; Sigma), Poly I:C (0.5 μg/ml; InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, USA), or Zymosan (1 μg/ml; InvivoGen). Cells were washed and counted, and proliferation assays were performed as described above. Results were expressed as T cell proliferation index (T cell proliferation of each condition divided by T cell proliferation induced by iDCs).

Cytokine Production

The Mouse Th1/Th2/Th17 kit (CBA system; BD Biosciences) was used to assay cytokine production of cytokines by T cells. Culture supernatants from T cell proliferation assays were collected after 7 days and frozen at –80°C until use. IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IFN-γ, TNF, IL-17A, and IL-10 were measured. Data were analyzed using CBA software. The production of TGF-β1 production was determined using Human/Mouse TGF-β1 Ready-SET-Go! (eBioscience).

Assessment of Classical Regulatory T Cells

The amount of CD4+, CD25+, FoxP3+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) was assessed after T cell proliferation experiments. Briefly, 10⁵ purified T cells were co-cultured with autologous iDCs, mDCs or NIT-Apo-DCs at 10:1 ratio in the presence of insulin. After 7 days, percentages of Tregs were assessed by flow cytometry after membrane staining (CD3-V450, CD8-PerCP-Cy5.5, CD4-APC-Cy7; BD Pharmingen and CD25-PE; eBioscience), permeabilization-fixation (Foxp3 Fixation/Permeabilization Concentrate and Diluent; eBioscience) and intracellular staining (FoxP3-APC; eBioscience).
Suppression Assays
To assess whether NITApo-DCs have immunosuppressive functions, 10^6 purified splenic T lymphocytes were co-cultured with 10^7 autologous mDCs in the presence of iDCs or NITApo-DCs at different ratios (1:2, 1:1, 1:0.5, 1:0.25) for 7 days with insulin. After 6 days, the cells were pulsed with [3H]-thymidine, harvested and counted as described above.

Transcriptome of Dendritic Cells after Islet Cells Efferocytosis
RNA was obtained from 5×10^6 NITApo-DCs and sorted iDCs using RNeasy Micro (QiAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Cells from four different mice were used in four paired experiments. Moreover, RNA was also obtained from NIT-1 apoptotic cells. RNA quality (2100 Bioanalyzer, Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA) was optimal for microarray experiments (RNA integrity number >7.6 in all samples). cDNA was synthesized with 50–100 ng of total RNA using the WT expression kit (Ambion, Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), fragmented and labelled with the Terminal labelling kit (Affymetrix, Inc. Santa Clara, CA), purified (GeneChip® Sample Cleanup Module, Affymetrix), and fragmented and checked to verify the integrity. Mouse Gene 1.0 ST Arrays (28,853 genes) were hybridized and scanned by an Affymetrix G3000 GeneScanner Array.

Raw expression values obtained from CEL files were preprocessed using the Robust Multiarray Averaging method [15]. These normalized values were used for all subsequent analyses. Experimental data have been uploaded into ArrayExpress for the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI, www.ebi.ac.uk/aerep/login; Username: Reviewer_E-MEXP-3374 and accession number E-MEXP-3374).

Efferocytosis
Based on microarray results, we assessed the production of Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) by NITApo-DCs, iDCs and NIT-1 apoptotic cells as controls. With this purpose, supernatants of different cultures were collected after 24 hours of culture and frozen at –80°C until use. The assessment of PGE2 was performed by ELISA (PGE2 EIA Kit-Monoclonal; Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI). Limit of detection: 80% B/B0: 15 pg/ml. Sensitivity: 50% B/B0: 50 pg/ml. Results were expressed as an index (pg PGE2/10^6 cells). In order to validate the results, gene expression level was assessed by qRT-PCR using the following TaqMan Arrays: Pgs1 (Mm00477214_m1), Pgs2 (Mm00478374-m1), Alox15 (Mm00507789_m1) and Lta4s (Mm00521864_m1) as described above.

Role of PGE2 in Suppression Assays
To confirm the role of PGE2 in suppressive function of NITApo-DCs [see above, Suppression Assays], T cell proliferation experiments were performed using a specific-COX2 inhibitor (NS-398, Sigma) that inhibits PGE2 production. NS-398 was added to co-suppression assays at 10 μM. T cell proliferation was measured using [3H]-thymidine and expressed as c.p.m. as described above. Moreover, to determine if the mechanism depends on cell-cell contact, supernatants from NITApo-DCs cultures, in which PGE2 concentration was previously measured by ELISA (PGE2 EIA Kit-Monoclonal; Cayman Chemicals), were added to suppression assays instead of NITApo cells in a final concentration of 50 and 250 pg/ml.

Statistical Analysis
Statistics was performed using the Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad software Inc., San Diego, CA). For paired data, a non-parametric Wilcoxon test was performed. Otherwise, Mann Whitney test was used. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Impairment of DCS to Stimulate Autologous T cell Proliferation after the Capture of Apoptotic Cells Even after Proinflammatory Stimuli
A key feature of tolerogenic DCs is their low capacity for priming T cells. Autologous T cell proliferation assays were performed to assess the ability of efferocytosis to generate tolerogenic DCs. DCs generated from bone marrow progenitors were >80% pure, based on staining for the DC marker CD11c, and viability was always >90%. After purification by sorting, NITApo-DCs were >99% pure, and viability was >86%. T cell purity and viability were always over 90% and 95% respectively (data not shown). We observed that the capture of apoptotic cells by DCs significantly impairs T cell proliferation when compared with immature DCs (Figure 1A). After LPS stimulation, NITApo-DCs induce a T cell proliferation percentage similar to that of non-stimulated NITApo-DCs (Figure 1A), and statistically different to T cell proliferation induced by mDCs previously activated with LPS. We used [3H]-thymidine to validate these results and to determine the effect of two additional proinflammatory stimuli -
Poly I:C and Zymosan- to simulate both viral infection and inflammation, respectively (Figure 1B). The results indicate that the proliferation of T cells induced by NITApo-DCs does not increase, not even after the effect of these proinflammatory stimuli.

Cytokine Profile after Efferocytosis is Similar to iDCs, and Stable after LPS Stimulus

Cytometric Bead Array (CBA) analysis showed that NITApo-DCs display a cytokine profile (IFN-γ, IL-17A, IL-10, IL-6 and
TNF) similar to iDCs (Figure 1C). In contrast, the production of TGFβ was higher in iDCs than in NITApo-DCs, in which TGFβ concentration was below the limit of detection. IL-2 and IL-4 were not detected in any condition of the assay. This cytokine profile induced by NITApo-DCs was stable after proinflammatory stimulus (LPS). T cells co-cultured with DCs matured with LPS, displayed a biological, although non significant, increase of IL-17, IFN-γ, TNF and IL-6. As expected, the production of TGFβ was inhibited after LPS stimulation in mDCs.

CD4+ CD25+ FoxP3+ Classical Regulatory T Cells Subset is not Increased after DC Efferocytosis

In vitro proliferation assays demonstrated that T cell hyporesponsiveness induced by NITApo-DCs was not due to an increase in CD4+ CD25+ FoxP3+ regulatory T cells. As shown in Figure 2, the percentage of proliferating classical regulatory T cells induced by NITApo-DCs was not higher than those induced by iDCs or mDCs.

Efferocytosis Promotes Suppressive Effects in Dendritic Cells

The suppressive effects of NITApo-DC on the capacity of DCs to induce T cell proliferation after efferocytosis were determined. The percentage of proliferating T cells stimulated with mDCs was not affected by the presence of iDCs at different ratios (from 1:2 to 1:0.25). In contrast, when NITApo-DCs were added to T cells cultured with mDC, we observed a reduction in T cell proliferation (Figure 3) in a dose-dependent manner up to 79.6% reduction at a ratio of 1:2, when compared to T cell proliferation induced by mDCs (p<0.05). In this condition, the effect depended on the dose of NITApo-DCs, showing significant differences at 1:2 and 1:1 ratios.

Gene Expression Profile of DCs after Efferocytosis

Microarray analysis was performed with sorted NITApo-DCs and iDCs. Bioinformatic analysis was performed, taking into account genes that showed a p value <0.002, adjusted p value <0.083. 278 genes out of the 28,853 mouse genes represented in the gene chip were differentially expressed in NITApo-DCs when compared to iDCs. In addition, 177 (64%) out of these 278 genes were downregulated, and the remaining 101 (36%) were upregulated.

We analyzed several categories and molecules related to immune tolerance to diabetogenic autoantigens (Table 1). Differentially expressed genes from the immune system were mainly downregulated and involved in antigen processing, presentation and coestimulation (Table S1). We found a downregulation in
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**Figure 2.** CD4+ CD25+ FoxP3+ classical regulatory T cells subset in not increased by DCs after efferocytosis. Top: Percentage of proliferating CFSElow, CD3+, CD4+, CD25+, FoxP3+ regulatory T cells in autologous T cell proliferation assays with immature DCs (iDCs, white circles), mature DCs (mDCs, white squares) and DCs loaded with apoptotic cells (NITApo-DCs, black circles) with insulin (20 μg/ml) at a ratio of 1:10 for 7 days. Plots show the mean (line) of four independent experiments. Double-sided Wilcoxon test was used for the evaluation of statistical significance. Bottom: Representative FACS plots showing CD25+ FoxP3+ regulatory T cells gated on CFSElow, CD3+, CD4+.

**doi:** 10.1371/journal.pone.0063296.g002
the concentration of PGE2 in the supernatant of NIT-1 cells after increases in PGE2 production comes from these cells. These results agree with microarray data and were validated by qRT-PCR (Figure S1). As expected, Ino2 and Iapp expression were not found in iDCs before efferocytosis of apoptotic islet cells.

Suppressive Effects of Dendritic Cells after Efferocytosis Involve Prostaglandin E2 Production

Based on microarray results we examined the production of PGE2 by NITApo-DCs by ELISA. The concentration of PGE2 was significantly increased in the supernatant of NITApo-DCs cultures when compared to iDCs (p<0.05) (Figure 4A). However, the concentration of PGE2 in the supernatant of NIT-1 cells after the induction of apoptosis was very low, thus ruling out that the increase in PGE2 production comes from these cells. These results agree with microarray data and were validated by qRT-PCR (Figure 4B). The expression of Ptgs2 gene (encoding for COX-2) was significantly higher (p<0.05) in DCs after efferocytosis. Furthermore, the expression of Ptgs1, Alox15 and Ltc4s genes - encoding for COX-1, Arachidonate 15-lipoxygenase and Leukotriene C4 synthase respectively - was significantly lower in DCs after the capture of apoptotic cells (p<0.05).

To demonstrate the role of PGE2 in the suppressive function of NITApo-DCs (see above), T cell proliferation experiments were performed using a specific-COX-2 inhibitor (NS-398) that inhibits PGE2 production. First, we confirmed that NS-398 inhibits the production of PGE2 (data not shown). By inhibiting the activity of COX-2, the effect of efferocytosis on DCs in T cell proliferation was reverted (p<0.05) (Figure 4C), reaching similar levels of T cell proliferation induced by mDCs. NS-398 had no effect on T cell proliferation induced by mDCs. These results indicate that PGE2 is involved in the suppression ability of NITApo-DCs. Finally, we tested the effect of the supernatant of NITApo-DCs cultures in the suppression of T cell proliferation induced by mDCs. We found that the addition of supernatant from NITApo-DCs (50 and 250 pg/ml of PGE2) to T cell proliferation cultures significantly decreases T cell proliferation (Figure 4D), reaching levels of suppression similar to those induced by NITApo-DCs with similar PGE2 concentration (34.5%, 50.1% and 60.6% respectively). Moreover, a high concentration of pure PGE2 added to the cultures (50 ng/ml) resulted in an inhibition >90.9% (data not shown). These data confirm the role of PGE2 released by DCs in the suppressive effects of efferocytosis in a cell-cell contact independent way.

Discussion

Apoptotic cells serve a dual purpose: they prevent the spreading of cellular debris into the extracellular milieu, and when engulfed by antigen presenting cells, such as DCs, they contribute to the maintenance of self-tolerance through the presentation of autoantigens in an active ‘suppressive’ process that constitutes a silencer event [19]. DCs can be modified ex-vivo, targeted with different antigen delivery systems and used as ‘vaccines’ to induce or restore tolerance [20]. A greater understanding of the tolerogenic mechanisms is important for therapeutic purposes to prevent autoimmunity processes. In this study we provide evidence that immature DCs from NOD mice -a spontaneous model of autoimmune diabetes- engulf apoptotic islet cells thus resulting in tolerogenic functions and immunosuppressive ability through PGE2 production.

The strongest evidence linking efferocytosis with tolerance to self is the association of autoimmune diseases and defective apoptotic cell clearance [21,22]. We previously demonstrated that peripheral tolerance may be restored by the administration of dendritic cells loaded with islet apoptotic cells in experimental autoimmune diabetes [7]. T1D prevention in NOD mice was achieved only when DCs were loaded with apoptotic islet cells but not with fibroblasts, hence confirming the antigen specificity of immunotherapy. In general, tolerance re-establishment could be induced by the deletion or anergy of autoreactive T lymphocytes after class I and class II presentation [23,24], by the expansion of B and T regulatory cells [25,26] or by alternative mechanisms. DCs have a crucial role in diabetes in NOD mice, a well-established model of T1D that shares multiple characteristics with human disease [27]. However, we have to keep in mind the limitations of the NOD mouse model and the intrinsic defects in dendritic cells [28].

During normal cell turnover, apoptotic cells are removed by phagocytes, but also by other cell types including epithelial cells [29]. In the absence of inflammation, antigen presenting cells will not receive maturation stimuli, and after islet cell efferocytosis, they should enter the afferent lymph node and inhibit autoreactive T cell activation. This has important implications for the design of
therapies to re-establish tolerance using DCs as carriers of autoantigens. In fact, in NOD mice, the DCs injected intraperitoneally migrate to peritoneal lymph nodes, particularly in the pancreatic lymph nodes, and the cell signal remains up to one week [30].

These DCs that in vivo induce tolerance, were in vitro characterized in T cell proliferation assays. The whole T cell response was analyzed because DCs present exogenous antigens to CD4+ T cell subset and efficiently cross-present exogenous antigens to CD8+ T cells. The results confirm the impairment of autologous T cell response after efferocytosis. We are well aware of the low T cell proliferation index in basal conditions, probably due to experimental design which uses NOD mice, and the whole T cell repertoire instead of islet specific systems, as described [31]. Moreover, the tolerogenic function acquired by DCs after efferocytosis is stable and resistant to inflammation; this is a very important feature to take into account for future therapy design. In terms of cytokine secretion, a low IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-17 phenotype partially mediates their effect in re-establishing peripheral tolerance. IL-12 production was not determined because a defect in the secretion of this cytokine has been described in bone marrow DCs of NOD mice [32]. It has been reported that the blockade of IL-17 prevented diabetes in NOD mice [33]. Nevertheless, the absence of TGF-β secretion, together with low IL-10 production, both cytokines related to immune suppression, suggests that the mechanism of immunological tolerance mediated by classical regulatory T cells is not involved in the process. In fact, an increase of CD4+ CD25+ FoxP3+ regulatory T cells was not observed thus suggesting that the induction of tolerance is not dependent on the increase in this T cell subset, as described in other tolerance assays [34].

Interestingly, we found that efferocytosis promotes the suppression of mature DC function, a described mechanism of tolerance [35]. Our results demonstrated that the effect was dose dependent thus indicating that there is an active mechanism of suppression by tolerogenic DCs. Microarray data support this mechanism and show overexpression of two genes related to the pathway of PGE2 synthesis (Ptgs2 and Ptges). PGE2 depresses cellular immunity [36], inhibits T-cell proliferation [37], induces T regulatory cell function [38], and contributes to insulitis suppression in NOD mice [39]. It is well known that the removal of apoptotic cells by macrophages is crucial for the active suppression of inflammation, through several mechanisms that involve PGE2 [40]. We observed that PGE2 produced after efferocytosis decrease T cell proliferation induced by mature DCs. This suppressive effect is dose dependent and cell-cell contact independent. The blockade of PGE2 production has no effect on T cell proliferation induced by mDCs but avoids the suppressive function of DCs after efferocytosis, thus confirming that PGE2 is responsible for this effect. Furthermore, the genes involved in the synthesis of leukotriene from arachidonic acid, such as Alox15 and Ltc4s, were downmodulated after efferocytosis. Leukotrienes are mediators of inflammation and their excessive production has been associated with inflammatory and autoimmune disorders [41]. Since prostaglandins activate the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ-dependent pathway [42], these molecules may contribute to the tolerogenic potential of these cells. Ingenuity analysis of microarray data showed that the canonical pathway of the PPAR is altered in DCs after efferocytosis. This transcription factor is responsible to the lipid status of the cell and has a determinant role in the engulfment of apoptotic cells, negatively regulating the autoimmune attack against dying cells [43].

Gene expression profiles of tolerogenic DCs have been previously reported [44,45,46]. However, the transcriptome of DCs after efferocytosis was still unknown. In this study we revealed new altered suppression pathways that confirm DCs tolerogenic phenotype. The decrease in the expression of antigen processing, presentation and coestimulation related genes was confirmed. The immune response gene profile indicates that DCs remain immature, but not inactive, after efferocytosis. Endocytosis-related genes were found upregulated, a feature of tolerogenic DCs [47]. The downregulation of CCL5, imprint of DC maturation, can be a regulatory effect of PGE2 as previously described [48]. This fact, together with the decreased expression of CCL17 and CCL22 genes both related to T cell recruitment, may alter the lymphocyte subsets recruited by tolerogenic DCs. The expression of chemo-kine receptor genes related to DCs migratory function was downregulated, in apparent contrast to the previously described upregulation of CCR7 after efferocytosis [3]. Our results can be explained by the reported dissociation between CCR7 membrane expression and mRNA amounts [49].

It is well known that DCs pulsed with apoptotic cells can induce anti-viral and anti-tumor antigen specific immunity [50]. This is in apparent contradiction to our results and could be explained by differences on the antigenic content of apoptotic cells and their connection with autoimmunity. The avidity of anti-tumoral T

---

**Table 1. Differentially expressed genes related to immune tolerance to diabetogenic autoantigens.**

| Categories          | P val   | Genes                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Adhesion            | <0.001330 | Adora3, Cdk34, Cdk69, Cldn1, Pdpn                                     |
| Antigen Presentation| <0.000757 | Cd74, Il41, H2-Ab1, H2-DMB2, H2-Eb1, H2-M2, Rab27a                     |
| Chemokines          | <0.001271 | Ccl12, Ccl17, Ccl2, Ccl22, Ccl3, Ccl6, Ccl5, Ccl7, Ccr2, Ccr7, Cx3c11, Cx3c15, Cxcr2, Pgpbp |
| Coestimulation       | <0.001957 | Cd80, Cd83, Cdx86                                                     |
| Cytokines           | <0.000001 | Il1a, Il2ra, Tnf, Tnfsf4                                               |
| Immunoregulation     | <0.002125 | Ly9, Serpinb2, Serpinb8, Slamp6, Slamp7                               |
| Islet cells          | <0.000190 | Cpe, lapp, Ins1, Ins2, Sst, Tspan7                                    |
| Metabolism           | <0.001269 | Alox15, Cacnb3, Fscn1, Ltc4s, Ptges, Ptgs2                            |
| Natural Immunity     | <0.001838 | Cd209a, Cd300e, Marco, Mrcl                                           |
| Signaling            | <0.000975 | Jak2, Mapk13, Pik3cg, Sam5n1                                          |
| Transcription Factor | <0.000222 | Irf4, Nr4a3, Stat4, Xbp1                                               |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063296.t001
Figure 4. Effect of prostaglandin E2 produced by NITApo-DCs on T cell proliferation. A) Quantification of the PGE2 by ELISA in culture supernatants of immature DCs (iDCs, white circles), DCs loaded with apoptotic cells (NITApo-DCs, black circles) and apoptotic NIT-1 cells (NITApo, black triangles). ELISA data are represented as pg/10^6 cells. Plots show the mean (line) of five independent experiments. B) Quantitative RT-PCR results for Ptgs1, Ptgs2, Alox15 and Ltc4s genes in iDCs (white circles) and in NITApo-DCs (black circles). Gene expression signals were normalized to gapdh. Plots show the mean (line) of six independent experiments. C) Autologous T cell proliferation (c.p.m. for ^3H thymidine assay) induced by mDCs (white bars) in the presence of NITApo-DC (grey bars) with insulin (20 μg/ml) at a ratio of 1:1 for 7 days. NS-398, specific-COX2 inhibitor (10 μM) was added to block PGE2 production and reverse the suppressive effect of NITApo-DCs. Percentage of inhibition is given on top of histogram bar. Results from five independent experiments. D) Autologous T cell proliferation (c.p.m. for ^3H thymidine assay) induced by mDCs (white bar) in the
lymphocytes should be higher than that of autoreactive T cells that escape from central tolerance [51].

In conclusion, the tolerogenic behaviour of DCs after the uptake of apoptotic cells suggests a mechanism of silencing potential autoreactive T cells in the microenvironment of autoimmunity. This mechanism is mediated, at least in part, through PGE2 production. In this context, efferocytosis is an active silencer event in DCs and not a passive lack of maturation and cytokine production. In summary, DCs seem to recognize apoptotic cells as a source of autoantigens and induce regulatory mechanisms in the islet milieu to maintain peripheral tolerance to self. The importance of this physiological mechanism in the prevention of autoimmunity may play a critical role as a booster shot in specific immune tolerance.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Quantitative RT-PCR validates the microarray results. Histograms represent quantitative RT-PCR results for the selected genes in DCs (iDCs, white bars) and in DCs after the engulfment of NIT-1 apoptotic bodies (NITapo-DCs, black bars). Gene expression signals were normalized to GAPDH. Results from eight independent experiments. One-sided Wilcoxon’s test was used for the evaluation of statistical significance. Symbols * marks statistically significant differences, p < 0.05. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063296.g004
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