A review of geriatric injuries at a major trauma centre in South Africa
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Background. Trauma in South Africa (SA) has been referred to as a ‘malignant epidemic’, but the impact of trauma on the elderly has tended to be overlooked.

Objectives. To address this deficit by focusing on trauma victims aged ≥65 years.

Methods. All patients aged ≥65 years who were admitted to Grey’s Hospital, Pietermaritzburg, SA, following trauma between December 2012 and January 2019 were reviewed.

Results. Over the 6-year study period, a total of 281 patients aged ≥65 years were admitted to Grey’s Hospital following trauma. There were 150 males (53.4%) and 97 females (34.5%). The sex of 34 patients was unknown. The average age was 72 years (range 65 - 97). There were 226 cases of blunt trauma, 42 cases of penetrating trauma (including two incidents of impalement following blunt trauma) and 15 cases of other types of trauma. The most common causes of blunt trauma were accidental falls (n=76), motor vehicle accidents (n=46), pedestrian vehicle accidents (n=32) and falls from a height (n=23). Gunshot wounds (n=22) and knife wounds (n=14) were the most common forms of penetrating trauma. Other trauma mainly comprised dog bites (n=6) and snakebites (n=6). There were 72 incidents of assault (25.6% of total cases). The majority of assaults were committed by a single perpetrator, and the perpetrator was frequently known to the victim. There were no significant differences in the proportions of penetrating, blunt and other trauma injuries between males and females. A total of 44 patients (15.7%) required surgical intervention, and 41 patients (14.6%) experienced complications during their hospitalisation. Respiratory, renal and cardiac complications were most frequent, and 5 patients had a cardiac arrest. Seven experienced acute kidney injury. Seventeen patients (6.0%) required intensive care unit admission and 5 (1.8%) required ventilation. Patients stayed in hospital for an average of 2.96 days (range 0 - 39). Of the patients, 241 (85.8%) survived, 32 (11.4%) died and 8 (2.9%) had an unknown outcome.

Conclusions. Geriatric trauma in SA is relatively rare, but will increase as the population ages. There is a high incidence of assault as a mechanism, highlighting the fact that elderly people are a vulnerable group. Managing these patients is challenging and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality.
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Trauma in South Africa (SA) has aptly been referred to as a ‘malignant epidemic’. It places a massive burden on society as a whole as well as on the healthcare system. SA, like many low- to middle-income countries (LMICs), is considered to be a ‘young’ country with a population pyramid weighted heavily towards people <40 years of age. Focus has been placed on improving outcomes for children and young people. Maternal and child health and the antiretroviral programme have dominated health policy and have absorbed much health funding. This situation is gradually changing. As infectious disease is brought under control, there is growing awareness of the burden of non-communicable disease. Surgical diseases contribute significantly to the overall burden of non-communicable disease, and trauma is a major surgical disease. Trauma is traditionally thought of as affecting young people. While this is true for the most part, certain vulnerable groups deserve special attention. Much has been published on the burden of trauma in SA over the past half century. Despite this extensive literature, however, children, women and the elderly are relatively under-represented and under-researched.

Objectives

The impact of trauma on the elderly has tended to be overlooked, and the objective of this study was to address this deficit by focusing on trauma victims aged ≥65 years.

Methods

Clinical setting

The Pietermaritzburg Metropolitan Trauma Service (PMTS) is based at Grey’s Hospital in the city of Pietermaritzburg, SA. It provides trauma care to the city of Pietermaritzburg with its population of one million people and tertiary trauma care to the predominantly rural western third of KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) Province. This is a large geographical area served by 19 district hospitals and 2 regional hospitals, with a population of 2 million people. The PMTS is one of the largest academic trauma centres in western KZN. A digital database known as the Hybrid Electronic Medical Registry (HEMR) captures data on all patients admitted to the trauma centre. Ethics approval for maintenance of the registry and for this study was
formally granted by the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (ref. nos BCA 207/09 and BCA 221/13).

The study
All patients aged ≥65 years who were admitted to the PMTS following trauma between December 2012 and January 2019 were identified from the HEMR. Basic demographic data regarding the mechanism and severity of the injuries were reviewed. Clinical outcomes were assessed.

Results
Over the 6-year period, a total of 8 722 trauma patients were admitted to Grey’s Hospital. The average age was 29.7 years. A total of 5 027 patients sustained blunt trauma (57.6%) and 3 334 (38.5%) sustained penetrating trauma. The mortality rate for all trauma admissions was 4.5% (n=396). Of all the trauma admissions, 281 (3.2%) patients were aged ≥65 years. In this group there were 150 males (53.4%) and 97 females (34.5%). The sex of 34 patients was unknown. The average age was 72 years (range 65 - 97). There were 226 instances of blunt trauma, 42 cases of penetrating trauma (including 2 cases of impalement following blunt trauma) and 15 cases of other types of trauma (Fig. 1). The most common causes of blunt trauma were accidental falls (n=76), motor vehicle accidents (n=46), pedestrian vehicle accidents (n=32) and falls from a height (n=23). The most common forms of penetrating trauma were gunshot wounds (n=22) and knife wounds (n=6). The average age was 72 years (range 65 - 97). There were 226 instances of blunt trauma, 42 cases of penetrating trauma (including 2 cases of impalement following blunt trauma) and 15 cases of other types of trauma (Fig. 1). The most common causes of blunt trauma were accidental falls (n=76), motor vehicle accidents (n=46), pedestrian vehicle accidents (n=32) and falls from a height (n=23). The most common forms of penetrating trauma were gunshot wounds (n=22) and knife wounds (n=6). Other trauma mainly comprised dog (n=6) and snake (n=6) bites. Table 1 breaks down the mechanisms of trauma in the study cohort.

There were 72 incidents of assault (25.6%). The majority of assaults were committed by a single perpetrator. The perpetrator was frequently known to the victim. Table 2 summarises the data for the assaults.

There were no significant differences in the proportions of penetrating, blunt and other trauma injuries between males and females.

Physiological parameters
On admission, patients had an average shock index of 0.64 (range 0.27 - 1.29) and an average Revised Trauma Score of 7.38 (range 1.76 - 7.8408). Table 3 summarises the admission physiological parameters for the cohort.

Imaging
The most commonly used modes of radiological investigation were computed tomography scans (n=210) and chest radiographs (n=128). The least commonly used were FAST (focused assessment with sonography in trauma) (n=1), magnetic resonance imaging (n=2) and formal ultrasound (n=7). Fig. 2 shows the imaging modalities used in this cohort.

Injuries
The most frequently injured body regions were the head (n=164) and the thorax (n=67). The majority of patients with head injuries presented with subarachnoid haemorrhage (n=35) or subdural haemorrhage (n=35); lacerations, abrasions and contusions, together with closed skull vault fractures, were the next most common injuries to the head and face. The most prevalent neck injury was fracture of the C1 - C7 vertebrae. A unilateral rib fracture (n=24) was the most common thoracic injury. The most frequent pelvic injury was a closed pelvic fracture (n=11). The most common lower limb injuries were fractures of the tibia (n=17), fibula (n=13) and femur (n=8), and puncture wounds (n=12). Fig. 3 provides a breakdown of injury according to body region.

Table 1. Mechanisms of injury (N=281*)

| Type of trauma | n (% of injuries sustained by this mechanism in respective categories) |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Blunt trauma  | 226                                                                 |
| Accidental fall | 76 (33.6)                                                             |
| Motor vehicle accident | 46 (20.3)                                                       |
| Pedestrian vehicle accident | 32 (14.2)                                                      |
| Fall from height | 23 (10.2)                                                             |
| Assault, unknown item | 15 (6.7)                                                              |
| Fall from moving vehicle | 7 (3.1)                                                              |
| Unknown            | 4 (1.8)                                                               |
| Assault, wooden rod | 4 (1.8)                                                               |
| Motorbike accident | 2 (1.3)                                                               |
| Assault, pistol    | 2 (0.9)                                                               |
| Assault, rock      | 2 (0.9)                                                               |
| Assault, strangulation | 2 (0.9)                                                            |
| Assault, axe       | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Assault, brick     | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Assault, hammer    | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Assault, metal rod | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Assault, rake      | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Assault, rope      | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Kick to head (goat/cow) | 1 (0.4)                                                            |
| Person falling onto patient | 1 (0.4)                                                            |
| Seizure            | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Trampled by cow    | 1 (0.4)                                                               |
| Penetrating trauma | 42                                                                  |
| Gunshot            | 22 (52.4)                                                              |
| Knife              | 14 (33.3)                                                              |
| Impalement         | 2 (4.8)                                                               |
| Axe                | 1 (2.4)                                                               |
| Buffalo horn       | 1 (2.4)                                                               |
| Iron rod           | 1 (2.4)                                                               |
| Rake               | 1 (2.4)                                                               |
| Other types of trauma | 15                                                                  |
| Dog bite           | 6 (40.0)                                                              |
| Snakebite          | 6 (40.0)                                                              |
| Bite, unspecified  | 1 (6.7)                                                               |
| Hospital transport | 1 (6.7)                                                               |
| Lightning strike   | 1 (6.7)                                                               |

* N=283 injuries, as 2 patients sustained two mechanisms of injury.

Fig. 1. Types of trauma (N=281 patients, 283 mechanisms of injury).
A total of 44 patients (15.7%) required surgical intervention. The most common procedures were wound debridement (n=15), laparotomy (n=10) and tracheostomy (n=8). Table 4 summarises the operative procedures. A total of 41 patients (14.6%) experienced complications. Respiratory, renal and cardiac complications were most common, and 5 patients had a cardiac arrest. Seven patients experienced acute kidney injury. Seventeen patients (6.0%) required intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and 5 (1.8%) required ventilation. Patients stayed in hospital for an average of 2.96 days (range 0 - 39). Of the patients, 241 (85.8%) survived, 32 (11.4%) died and 8 (2.9%) had an unknown outcome. The mortality rate for all trauma admissions was 4.5%.

There was no obvious relationship between patient age and outcome. Penetrating injury (10.0%) and blunt trauma (10.5%) had similar mortality rates, and these rates were approximately half the rate for other trauma (20.0%). Gunshot injuries (18.2%) and falls from a height (14.3%) were associated with the highest mortality rates, while knife injuries (7.1%) and pedestrian vehicle accidents (6.3%) had the lowest mortality rates. Males (11.7%) had a slightly higher mortality rate than females (8.5%). Of the patients who underwent an operation, 13.9% died, of those who were admitted to the ICU, 29.7% died, and of those who required mechanical ventilation, 28.0% died.

There was an inversely proportional relationship between the Revised Trauma Score and mortality (Fig. 4), an approximately proportional relationship between the shock index and mortality

### Table 2. Details of cases of assault

| Total cases of assault, n (% of all patients) | 72/281 (25.6) |
| Familiarity with perpetrator, n (%)          | Known 11 (15.3) |
|                                             | Unknown 11 (15.3) |
|                                             | Not specified 50 (69.4) |
| Number of perpetrators, n (%)               | One 18 (25.0) |
|                                             | More than one 5 (6.9) |
|                                             | Not specified 49 (68.1) |
| Relation of perpetrator to victim (where specified), n | Intruders 8 |
|                                             | Grandson 3 |
|                                             | Son 2 |
|                                             | Daughter in law 1 |
|                                             | Domestic worker 1 |
|                                             | Family member (unspecified) 1 |
|                                             | Wife 1 |

### Table 3. Physiological parameters on admission

| Heart rate (bpm)                                      | Mean 86.7 |
|                                                      | Median 87 |
|                                                      | Range 40 - 136 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)                       | Mean 139.8 |
|                                                      | Median 138 |
|                                                      | Range 63 - 223 |
| Shock index                                          | Mean 0.64 |
|                                                      | Median 0.62 |
|                                                      | Range 0.27 - 1.29 |
| Lactate (mmol/L)                                     | Mean 2.7 |
|                                                      | Median 1.8 |
|                                                      | Range 0 - 15 |
| GCS                                                  | Mean 13 |
|                                                      | Median 15 |
|                                                      | Range 2 - 15 |
| Temperature (°C)                                     | Mean 36.2 |
|                                                      | Median 36.4 |
|                                                      | Range 14 - 38.8 |
| pH                                                   | Mean 7.40 |
|                                                      | Median 7.41 |
|                                                      | Range 6.93 - 7.54 |
| Haemoglobin (g/dL)                                   | Mean 11.8 |
|                                                      | Median 12.2 |
|                                                      | Range 3 - 17.3 |
| Revised Trauma Score                                 | Mean 7.38 |
|                                                      | Median 7.84 |
|                                                      | Range 1.76 - 7.8408 |

bpm = beats per minute; GCS = Glasgow Coma Score.

### Fig. 1. Imaging modality

- Chest radiograph
- Abdominal radiograph
- FAST
- CT scan
- MRI
- Skull radiograph
- Focused assessment with sonography in trauma (FAST)
- Computed tomography (CT)
- Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

### Fig. 2. Radiological investigations (N=281 patients).

Table 1. Imaging investigations

| Imaging modality                          | Patients, n |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Chest radiograph                          | 250         |
| Abdominal radiograph                      | 200         |
| FAST                                      | 150         |
| CT scan                                   | 100         |
| MRI                                       | 50          |
| Skull radiograph                          | 20          |
| Focused assessment with sonography in trauma (FAST) | 10          |
| Computed tomography (CT)                  | 5           |
| Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)          | 1           |

### Fig. 3. Body regions injured (N=281 patients).

- Lower limb
- Upper limb
- Urogenital
- Pelvis
- Abdomen
- Thorax
- Neck
- Face
- Head

### Outcomes

A total of 44 patients (15.7%) required surgical intervention. The most common procedures were wound debridement (n=15), laparotomy (n=10) and tracheostomy (n=8). Table 4 summarises the operative procedures. A total of 41 patients (14.6%) experienced complications. Respiratory, renal and cardiac complications were most common, and 5 patients had a cardiac arrest. Seven patients experienced acute kidney injury. Seventeen patients (6.0%) required intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and 5 (1.8%) required ventilation. Patients stayed in hospital for an average of 2.96 days (range 0 - 39). Of the patients, 241 (85.8%) survived, 32 (11.4%) died and 8 (2.9%) had an unknown outcome. The mortality rate for all trauma admissions was 4.5%.

There was no obvious relationship between patient age and outcome. Penetrating injury (10.0%) and blunt trauma (10.5%) had similar mortality rates, and these rates were approximately half the rate for other trauma (20.0%). Gunshot injuries (18.2%) and falls from a height (14.3%) were associated with the highest mortality rates, while knife injuries (7.1%) and pedestrian vehicle accidents (6.3%) had the lowest mortality rates. Males (11.7%) had a slightly higher mortality rate than females (8.5%). Of the patients who underwent an operation, 13.9% died, of those who were admitted to the ICU, 29.7% died, and of those who required mechanical ventilation, 28.0% died.

There was an inversely proportional relationship between the Revised Trauma Score and mortality (Fig. 4), an approximately proportional relationship between the shock index and mortality.
(Fig. 5), and a well-defined proportional relationship between the Injury Severity Score and mortality (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

The face of trauma in the developed world is changing as the population ages. Data from the UK Trauma Audit Research Network reveal that the mean age of a patient suffering major trauma increased from 36.1 years in 1990 to 53.8 years 23 years later, and the proportion of major trauma patients aged >75 years increased dramatically from 8.1% to 26.9% during the same period. [1,7] The situation in LMICs is different, and SA, in common with many developing countries, has a young population, with the burden of trauma still tending mainly to affect young males. [3] However, trauma in SA is ubiquitous and therefore directly impacts on all sectors of the population. Some of these sectors are particularly vulnerable to trauma, yet historically have tended to be under-researched and overlooked in the academic literature. One such vulnerable group is individuals aged ≥65 years. Trauma in this age group presents unique challenges in terms of management and aetiology. The Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) dedicates an entire chapter to trauma in the elderly and stresses that management of trauma in these patients is complicated by anatomical and physiological changes as well as associated comorbidities. Pre-existing cardiac disease or medications may complicate the resuscitation process. Relatively minor mechanisms such as falls and slips may result in significant injuries. In addition, the elderly are vulnerable to neglect and even elder abuse.[8,9] All these factors complicate the management of elderly trauma patients and make it different from the management of younger patients. Their outcome appears to be worse than that for younger patients, with a mortality rate almost two and a half times as high. [6] As SA develops, the proportion of elderly patients will increase. The continued lack of resources for managing the huge burden of trauma in the public healthcare system poses specific difficulties for these patients. In particular, geriatric rehabilitation and nursing home facilities are almost non-existent in the public sector, and the elderly often occupy acute-care hospital beds for many days.

In stark contrast to the developed world, which is grappling with the challenges of an ever-ageing population, geriatric trauma is relatively rare in our setting, with only 281 patients being admitted over the 6-year study period, which equates to <50 cases per year.[11] This low incidence rate is consistent with the overall demographics of the country, which has a mean life expectancy of 50 years. In keeping with the international experience, the most common cause of injury in the present study was blunt trauma. However, this is in complete contrast to the spectrum of trauma in SA as a whole, where penetrating trauma is much more prominent.

**Table 4. Operative procedures**

| Procedures performed, n | n (% of all patients) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Wound debridement       | 15                    |
| Laparotomy              | 10                    |
| Tracheostomy            | 8                     |
| Internal fixation        | 4                     |
| Split-skin graft         | 4                     |
| Fasciotomy              | 3                     |
| Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy | 3 |
| Amputation              | 2                     |
| Exploratory laparotomy   | 2                     |
| Thoracoscopy            | 2                     |
| Colostomy               | 1                     |
| Cranietomy              | 1                     |
| Craniotomy              | 1                     |
| End ileostomy           | 1                     |
| External fixation        | 1                     |
| Femoral exploration     | 1                     |
| Groin exploration       | 1                     |
| Hemicolectomy           | 1                     |
| K-wire insertion        | 1                     |
| Laryngeal repair        | 1                     |
| Laryngoscopy            | 1                     |
| Laparoscopy             | 1                     |
| Ligation of profunda femoris artery | 1 |
| Neck exploration        | 1                     |
| Plate mandible          | 1                     |
| Removal of subcutaneous bullet | 1 |
| Repair of facial injuries | 1              |
| Rib fracture plate      | 1                     |
| Transverse colon resection | 1        |
| Upper endoscopy         | 1                     |
| Wiring of mandible      | 1                     |
| Vascular repair         | 1                     |

![Fig. 4. Relationship between the Revised Trauma Score and mortality.](image)

![Fig. 5. Relationship between the shock index and mortality.](image)

8.1% to 26.9% during the same period.[1,7] The situation in LMICs is different, and SA, in common with many developing countries, has a young population, with the burden of trauma still tending mainly to affect young males.[15] However, trauma in SA is ubiquitous and therefore directly impacts on all sectors of the population. Some of these sectors are particularly vulnerable to trauma, yet historically have tended to be under-researched and overlooked in the academic literature. One such vulnerable group is individuals aged ≥65 years. Trauma in this age group presents unique challenges in terms of management and aetiology. The Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) dedicates an entire chapter to trauma in the elderly and stresses that management of trauma in these patients is complicated by anatomical and physiological changes as well as associated comorbidities. Pre-existing cardiac disease or medications may complicate the resuscitation process. Relatively minor mechanisms such as falls and slips may result in significant injuries. In addition, the elderly are vulnerable to neglect and even elder abuse.[8,9] All these factors complicate the management of elderly trauma patients and make it different from the management of younger patients. Their outcome appears to be worse than that for younger patients, with a mortality rate almost two and a half times as high. [6] As SA develops, the proportion of elderly patients will increase. The continued lack of resources for managing the huge burden of trauma in the public healthcare system poses specific difficulties for these patients. In particular, geriatric rehabilitation and nursing home facilities are almost non-existent in the public sector, and the elderly often occupy acute-care hospital beds for many days.

In stark contrast to the developed world, which is grappling with the challenges of an ever-ageing population, geriatric trauma is relatively rare in our setting, with only 281 patients being admitted over the 6-year study period, which equates to <50 cases per year.[11] This low incidence rate is consistent with the overall demographics of the country, which has a mean life expectancy of 50 years. In keeping with the international experience, the most common cause of injury in the present study was blunt trauma. However, this is in complete contrast to the spectrum of trauma in SA as a whole, where penetrating trauma is much more prominent. The high prevalence of penetrating trauma in the elderly and the assault rate of 25.3%
are almost unheard of in the developing world, reflect the high rate of interpersonal trauma in SA as a whole, and demonstrate that the elderly are not exempt from being victims of crime and violence. Of note, in cases where the relationship of the perpetrator to the victim could be ascertained, half of the assailants were living with the victim. The elderly are particularly vulnerable members of society and are at risk for assault and abuse from people with whom they live. Elder trauma and abuse is a growing concern around the world, and SA, with its high overall incidence of trauma, is no exception.

Accidental falls accounted for 33.6% of blunt trauma and road traffic collisions for a further 35.8%. Accidental falls are an ever-present threat in the elderly, and their decreased agility and poor eyesight, as well as comorbid illnesses, combine to place them at risk from these relatively minor mechanisms of injury. The elderly are particularly at risk for road traffic-related injuries, as their reflexes tend to be slow and they cannot move out of harm’s way as rapidly as a younger person. The tremendous burden of road traffic-related trauma in SA is a neglected epidemic in its own right and affects children, women and the elderly as well as young men. There is a pressing need for a co-ordinated and multifaceted response to this epidemic, involving education of the general public, engineering to separate pedestrians from traffic, and improved enforcement of traffic laws by the authorities.

Conclusions
Geriatric trauma in SA is relatively rare, but will increase as the population ages. There is a high incidence of assault as a mechanism, highlighting the fact that elderly people are a particularly vulnerable group. Managing these patients is challenging and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates.
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