To evaluate the association of either propylthiouracil or methimazole treatment for hyperthyroidism during pregnancy with congenital malformations, relevant studies were identified by searching Medline, PubMed, the Cochrane Library and EMBASE.

We intended to include randomized controlled trials, but no such trials were identified. Thus, we included cohort studies and case-control studies in this meta-analysis.

A total of 7 studies were included in the meta-analyses. The results revealed an increased risk of birth defects among the group of pregnant women with hyperthyroidism treated with methimazole compared with the control group (odds ratio 1.76, 95% confidence interval 1.47–2.10) or the non-exposed group (odds ratio 1.71, 95% confidence interval 1.39–2.10). A maternal shift between methimazole and propylthiouracil was associated with an increased odds ratio of birth defects (odds ratio 1.88, 95% confidence interval 1.27–2.77). An equal risk of birth defects was observed between the group of pregnant women with hyperthyroidism treated with propylthiouracil and the non-exposed group (odds ratio 1.18, 95% confidence interval 0.97–1.42). There was only a slight trend towards an increased risk of congenital malformations in infants whose mothers were treated with propylthiouracil compared with in infants whose mothers were healthy controls (odds ratio 1.29, 95% confidence interval 1.07–1.55). The children of women receiving methimazole treatment showed an increased risk of adverse fetal outcomes relative to those of mothers receiving propylthiouracil treatment.

We found that propylthiouracil was a safer choice for treating pregnant women with hyperthyroidism according to the risk of birth defects but that a shift between methimazole and propylthiouracil failed to provide protection against birth defects.
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INTRODUCTION

Hyperthyroidism during pregnancy is uncommon, affecting approximately 1 in 500 pregnancies (1). Overt hyperthyroidism has well-documented adverse impacts on pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm birth, congenital anomalies and pre-eclampsia (2–4). Therefore, despite its rarity, proper management of hyperthyroidism during pregnancy is of utmost importance. Medical therapy is preferred by most authorities because radioiodine is contra-indicated and because thyroidectomy requires pre-treatment with antithyroid drugs and may be complicated by surgical adverse effects (5). The available antithyroid drugs (ATDs) are propylthiouracil (PTU) and methimazole (MMI)/carbimazole (CZ). These drugs are equivalent in terms of their efficacy for the treatment of clinical hyperthyroidism (6). Concerning hyperthyroidism during pregnancy, some reports suggest an association between a specific congenital malformation (MMI embryopathy) and prenatal exposure to MMI (7). The specific malformation pattern related to prenatal MMI exposure consists of choanal and esophageal atresia, scalp defects, minor facial anomalies and psychomotor delay (8). The reported facial anomalies typically include upward slanted palpebral fissures, arched flared eyebrows and a small nose with a broad bridge (9). A recent study conducted by Anderson et al. demonstrated that ventricular septal defect (VSD) is another component of MMI embryopathy (10). Compared with non-exposure, exposure of children to MMI/CMZ during early pregnancy (up to and including gestational week 10) is associated with an increased risk of VSD. PTU may be safer than MMI, and PTU should be considered as the first-line agent for the treatment of hyperthyroidism during pregnancy (11). In contrast, other studies have shown an equal risk of birth defects among pregnant women with hyperthyroidism treated with PTU or with MMI (12), and several major birth defects have been observed in the...
offspring of women treated with PTU (13). Unilateral kidney agenesis and malformations of the face and neck region have been associated with in utero exposure to PTU. In a case-control study conducted by Clementi et al., PTU exposure was significantly associated with an increased risk of situs inversus, with or without dextrocardia (6). Recent animal studies have demonstrated that PTU is teratogenic during the late blastula, gastrulation and neurulation stages. PTU can alter ciliary-driven flow and can disrupt the normal genetic program involved in left-right axis determination (14). Thus, there is no consensus regarding the best method of therapy for hyperthyroidism during pregnancy. In this study, we evaluated the association of either PTU or MMI treatment for hyperthyroidism during pregnancy with congenital malformations and conducted a systematic review.

## METHODS

### Literature search and study selection

Relevant studies published until July 2014 were identified by searching Medline, PubMed, the Cochrane Library and EMBASE. The applied search criteria were related to thyroid function and pregnancy outcomes. Specifically, the following search items were used: thyroid*, hyperthy*, Graves' disease, PTU, propylthiouracil, MMI, methimazole, congenital malformation, birth defect and congenital anomalies. There were no language limitations for the initial search. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies and case-control studies were included. Data on the effects of combinational therapy were excluded.

### Data extraction

The titles and the abstracts of the articles were independently screened by two reviewers (Li X and Zhou L). The articles to be included for full-text screening were compared during a consensus meeting. In cases of disagreement, a third reviewer (Ma JL) was consulted regarding the decision about inclusion or exclusion for full-text evaluation. Articles that did not contribute to the resolution of our research questions after full-text evaluation were excluded. Hyperthyroidism was defined as a low TSH level accompanied by a high free T4 level. After a consensus was achieved, the remaining articles were included for critical appraisal and were independently assessed by two reviewers. Articles (RCT studies) were judged on scientific quality according to the CONSORT and STROBE statements (15,16).

### Data analysis and statistical methods

The significance of each pooled odds ratio (OR) was determined using a Z-test, in which \( p < 0.05 \) was considered to indicate a significant difference. The \( \chi^2 \)-based Q statistical test was employed for the assessment of between-study heterogeneity, which was considered to be significant at \( p < 0.1 \). In the analyses, if heterogeneity was low, then we used a fixed effects model; otherwise, we applied a random effects model. Review Manager 5.3 software (available from Cochrane) was used to perform the meta-analyses.

## RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the selection process after the search. Of the 7 articles included in this systematic review, 6 reported on MMI use, 6 reported on PTU use and 2 reported on shifts between MMI and PTU. The patients in the included studies were pregnant women with hyperthyroidism who required treatment with antithyroid medication to maintain their thyroid hormone levels within the normal range. All controls were pregnant women who either exhibited euthyroidism or presented with hyperthyroidism that was observed late in pregnancy; the latter group of patients delivered before therapy and did not require any antithyroid medication therapy (12). The characteristics of the included articles are reported in Table 1.

### The effect of PTU use on congenital malformations

A total of 7 studies reported on congenital malformations after exposure to PTU. Compared with healthy pregnant women, only one study showed an increased risk of congenital malformations in pregnant women treated with PTU (17). Three other studies did not detect any difference in the congenital malformation rate (13,18,19). A meta-analysis of these four studies concerning the association of exposure to PTU with congenital malformations resulted in a pooled OR of 1.29, with a 95% CI of 1.07–1.55, indicating a mild difference (Figure 2A). We further compared the risk of congenital malformations in pregnant women exposed to PTU with that in women who were not exposed to any ATD during pregnancy. The pregnant women in the non-ATD-exposed group either exhibited euthyroidism throughout pregnancy, thus requiring no medication, or presented with hyperthyroidism that was observed late in pregnancy. The latter group of patients delivered before therapy. There was no statistically significant association between exposure to PTU and the risk of birth defects (pooled OR 1.18, 95% CI 0.97–1.42) (Figure 2B).

### The effect of MMI use on congenital malformations

As shown in Figure 3A, six studies reported on congenital malformations after exposure to MMI. Three studies showed an increased risk of congenital malformations in the group of pregnant women treated with MMI compared with the control group (11,17,20). Three other studies did not detect any difference in the congenital malformation rate (12,13,18). A meta-analysis of these six studies concerning the association of exposure to MMI with congenital malformations resulted in a pooled OR of 1.76, with a 95% CI of 1.47–2.10, indicating a significant difference. Even compared with women with hyperthyroidism who were not exposed to any ATD during pregnancy, there was a significantly increased risk of birth defects (pooled OR 1.71, 95% CI 1.39–2.10) (Figure 3B).

### The effect of shifts between MMI and PTU use on congenital malformations

As shown in Figure 4, two studies reported on congenital malformations after shifting between MMI and PTU. Both studies showed an increased risk of congenital malformations in pregnant women whose treatment shifted between MMI and PTU compared with the controls (13,17). A meta-analysis of these two studies resulted in a pooled OR of 1.88, with a 95% CI of 1.27–2.77, indicating a significant difference.

### The effect of antithyroid drugs on congenital malformations

As shown in Figure 5, five studies reported on congenital malformations after exposure to PTU or MMI. A meta-analysis of these five studies resulted in a pooled OR of 0.73,
with a 95% CI of 0.56–0.96, indicating that PTU was a safer choice with respect to the risk of birth defects among pregnant women with hyperthyroidism.

**DISCUSSION**

Several studies have linked hyperthyroidism during pregnancy with an increased risk of congenital anomalies. However, the evidence regarding the impact of ATDs such as PTU and MMI on pregnancy outcomes remains controversial. The preferred ATD during pregnancy in the USA is PTU, whereas in Europe, it is MMI (21,22).

In this study, we compared the risk of congenital anomalies among pregnant women with hyperthyroidism classified into three groups: those receiving PTU treatment, those receiving MMI treatment, and those receiving either PTU or MMI first and then shifting to MMI or PTU, respectively. In addition, we enrolled two control groups in this study: completely healthy pregnant women and women with hyperthyroidism who were not exposed to any ATD. Severe hyperthyroidism during pregnancy typically causes various complications for both the mother and the fetus (23). Thus, the pregnant women who were not exposed to any ATD either exhibited euthyroidism throughout pregnancy or exhibited hyperthyroidism that was observed late in pregnancy. The latter group of patients delivered before therapy.

Compared with hyperthyroid women not receiving an ATD or healthy pregnant controls, a significant increase in the rate of major anomalies was observed in those receiving MMI treatment. There was only a slight trend towards an increased risk of congenital malformations in infants whose mothers were treated with PTU compared with those whose mothers were healthy controls. The risk of birth defects for hyperthyroid women treated with PTU and those not receiving any ATD was similar. As the non-exposed group of women was largely healthy, the similar rates between the two aforementioned groups indicated that PTU was the
Table 1 - Characteristics of the 7 studies included in the review.

| Study          | Year | Study type | Participants | Controls | Treatment | Birth defects                                           |
|----------------|------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Andersen et al.| 2013 | Cohort     | 1,661 women treated with PTU or MMI | 811,730 women without hyperthyroidism | Treated with antithyroid medication in early pregnancy | Urinary system malformation, malformations in the face and neck region, choanal atresia, esophageal atresia |
|                |      |            | 159 women who shifted between MMI and PTU treatment | 1,066 women without hyperthyroidism |           |                                                        |
|                |      |            |              | 5,434 women not receiving any ATD |           |                                                        |
| Chen et al.    | 2011 | Case-control | 703 women treated with PTU or MMI | 14,150 women without hyperthyroidism | Treated with PTU or MMI for at least 30 days | Cleft lip and palate, limb defects, Down syndrome, hypoplasia |
|                |      |            |              | 2,127 women not receiving any ATD |           |                                                        |
| Korelitz et al.| 2012 | Case-control | 1,023 women treated with PTU or MMI | 634,858 women without hyperthyroidism | Prescription (PTU or MMI) filled within the last 6 months of pregnancy | Congenital anomalies of the eye, complex heart anomalies, atrial and ventricular septal defects, anomalies of the respiratory system, anomalies of the congenital organs |
|                |      |            | 126 women who shifted between MMI and PTU treatment | 5,932 women not receiving any ATD |           |                                                        |
| Rosenfeld et al.| 2009 | Cohort      | 80 women treated with PTU | 1,066 women without hyperthyroidism | Treated with PTU between 4 and 13 weeks of gestation | Developmental dysplasia of the hip |
| Yoshihara et al.| 2012 | Case-control | 2,630 women treated with PTU or MMI | 1,906 women not receiving any ATD | Treated with PTU or MMI during the first trimester | Aplasia cutis congenital, omphalocele, symptomatic omphalo-hemalospheric duct anomaly |
|                |      |            |              |           | Treated with PTU or MMI | Severe pulmonary stenosis, ventricular septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus |
| Wing et al.    | 1994 | Case-control | 135 women treated with PTU or MMI | 43 women not receiving any ATD | Treated with PTU or MMI during the first trimester | Malformation of the ear lobe, omphalocele, imperforate anus, anencephaly, harelip, polydactyly |
| Momotani et al.| 1984 | Case-control | 117 women treated with MMI | 350 women without hyperthyroidism | Treated with MMI during the first trimester |                                                   |

A

Study or Subgroup | PTU | Controls | Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
|------------------|-----|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Andersen 2013    | 45  | 564      | 1.44 [1.06, 1.96]             |                               |
| Chen 2011        | 5   | 630      | 1.22 [0.50, 3.02]             |                               |
| Korelitz 2013    | 66  | 915      | 1.24 [0.97, 1.60]             |                               |
| Rosenfeld 2009   | 1   | 80       | 0.38 [0.05, 2.84]             |                               |
| Total (95% CI)   | 2189| 1461804  | 1.29 [1.07, 1.55]             |                               |
| Total events     | 117 | 83459    |                               |                               |
| Heterogeneity: Chi² = 2.03, df = 3 (P = 0.57); I² = 0% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 2.63 (P = 0.008) |

B

Study or Subgroup | PTU | No ATD | Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
|------------------|-----|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Andersen 2013    | 45  | 190    | 1.53 [1.09, 2.15]             |                               |
| Chen 2011        | 5   | 360    | 1.13 [0.41, 3.11]             |                               |
| Korelitz 2013    | 66  | 390    | 1.10 [0.84, 1.45]             |                               |
| Wing 1994        | 3   | 191    | 1.31 [0.13, 12.99]            |                               |
| Yoshihara 2012   | 26  | 1399   | 0.88 [0.54, 1.45]             |                               |
| Total (95% CI)   | 3607| 13351  | 1.18 [0.97, 1.42]             |                               |
| Total events     | 145 | 636    |                               |                               |
| Heterogeneity: Chi² = 3.82, df = 4 (P = 0.43); I² = 0% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 1.68 (P = 0.09) |

Figure 2 - Forest plot of the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of the pooled studies. (A) PTU-treated pregnant hyperthyroid women compared with healthy pregnant women according to the risk of congenital anomalies. (B) PTU-treated pregnant hyperthyroid women compared with pregnant hyperthyroid women not receiving any ATD treatment according to the risk of congenital anomalies.
preferred option according to the risk of congenital anomalies. However, one limitation to these studies was the confounding between the effect of hyperthyroidism itself and the effect of the drug in producing adverse fetal outcomes. It is reasonable to expect that the more severe examples of hyperthyroidism would be observed in the very women for whom drug treatment was prescribed, particularly at higher doses. Thus, the adverse fetal outcomes could have resulted from either the underlying severe hyperthyroidism or the treatment. To control for this confounding factor, we considered the eligible subjects for this study to be pregnant women with hyperthyroidism who received ATD treatment. Then, we compared PTU use with MMI use in relation to the overall rate of anomalies. Our data also supported the use of PTU. Taken together, these results indicated that PTU is a safer choice for the treatment of pregnant women with hyperthyroidism according to the risk of birth defects. This conclusion is in accordance with an American Thyroid Association statement supporting the use of PTU as the first-line agent for the management of hyperthyroidism during pregnancy (24).

A recent systematic review conducted by Hackmon et al. also described the safety of MMI and PTU during pregnancy (25). These authors concluded that MMI is a teratogen, which is consistent with our findings. In most cases associated with MMI embryopathy included in the case reports reviewed by Hackmon et al., exposure to MMI indeed occurred in the first trimester during the critical embryogenic period. In the present study, among the 6 studies examining the relationship of MMI exposure to the risk of birth defects, a total of
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Table 5 - Forest plot of the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of the pooled studies comparing PTU-treated pregnant hyperthyroid women with MMI-treated pregnant hyperthyroid women according to the risk of congenital anomalies.

| Study or Subgroup | PTU | MMI | Odds Ratio | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
|------------------|-----|-----|------------|-------------------|
| Anderson 2013    | 45  | 564 | 0.86 [0.60, 1.25] |
| Chen 2011        | 5   | 630 | 1.29 [0.97, 2.61] |
| Korelitz 2013    | 66  | 915 | 1.32 [0.56, 3.12] |
| Wing 1994        | 3   | 99  | 1.09 [0.11, 10.87] |
| Yoshihara 2012   | 26  | 1399| 0.46 [0.28, 0.72] |

Total (95% CI) 3607 | 2545 | 100.0% | 0.73 [0.56, 0.96] |

Total events 145 | 157
Heterogeneity: Ch² = 6.90, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I² = 42%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.26 (P = 0.02)

To avoid shifts in ATD use, it is recommended that women of child-bearing age choose PTU treatment before pregnancy to minimize the potential risk of congenital malformations.

Our research provides important population-based estimates of medication use that are relevant to the care of pregnant women with hyperthyroidism. However, a definitive answer to this question remains unclear because there are no available RCTs comparing antithyroid treatments in pregnant women with hyperthyroidism. Additional human epidemiology studies are needed, and new evidence-based recommendations should be developed for the treatment of women with hyperthyroidism during pregnancy.

Despite the lack of RCTs, we believe that our study provides potentially useful data to physicians prescribing ATDs to pregnant women with hyperthyroidism. The limited available evidence shows that PTU is a safer choice for treating pregnant women with hyperthyroidism according to the risk of birth defects but that shifting between MMI and PTU fails to provide protection against birth defects.
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