STATISTICAL OPTIMISATION OF CELL GROWTH AND CAROTENOID PRODUCTION BY RHODOTORULA MUCILAGINOSA
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ABSTRACT

Sequential statistical methods were used to maximise carotenoid production by a strain of Rhodotorula mucilaginosa, isolated from the Brazilian ecosystem. Initially, a factorial 25-1 experimental design was used, and the variables were pH and the levels of glucose, yeast extract, MgSO4.7H2O and KH2PO4. The nitrogen source (yeast extract) was the most important variable in enhancing carotenoid production; MgSO4.7H2O and KH2PO4 had a negative influence. The initial pH had no significant effect on carotenoid and cell productions. We further investigated the effects of glucose and yeast extract effects, using a second-order central composite design (CCD) to optimise carotenoid production, which was adequately approximated with a full quadratic equation obtained from a two-factor-2-level design. The analysis of quadratic surfaces showed that after 5 days of cultivation at 25 °C, the maximum carotenoid concentration (745 µg l⁻¹) was obtained with 15 g l⁻¹ of yeast extract and 20 g l⁻¹ of glucose. The maximum carotenoid production (152 µg g⁻¹) was obtained with 5 g l⁻¹ yeast extract and 10 g l⁻¹ glucose. Carotenoid formation was more sensitive to changes in yeast extract concentration than to changes in glucose concentration. Maximum cell production was achieved with 15-17 g l⁻¹ of yeast extract and 15-20 g l⁻¹ of glucose.
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INTRODUCTION

Carotenoids have special properties that make them important to food quality and human health. As natural pigments, they impart the pleasing yellow, orange and red colours of many foods. Some of these compounds are precursors of vitamin A, the deficiency of which persists as a serious public health problem in developing countries. Both provitamin A and vitamin A-inactive carotenoids can reduce the risk of the development of degenerative diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases, cataract and macular degeneration (1, 9, 12, 15, 22). The red carotenoid astaxanthin (3,3′-dihydroxy-β,β-carotene-4,4′-dione) is used as feed pigment in the aquaculture industry, particularly for salmon and trout. Because of their many applications, carotenoids sources continue to be sought. Carotenoids can reach the consumers as natural constituents of foods, as additives that serve as colourants of food or as food supplements.
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Carotenoid biosynthesis is characteristic of yeasts from the genus *Rhodotorula*. The major carotenoids produced are torulene (3',4'-didehydro-β,β-carotene), β-carotene (β,β-carotene) and torularhodin (3',4'-didehydro-β,β-caroten-16'-oic acid) (3, 6, 16, 21). Torulene is an interesting carotenoid for commercialisation. Because of its 13 conjugated double bonds, it has a nice reddish colour, in contrast to β-carotene (11 conjugated double bonds), which has a yellow to orange colour that depends on the concentration. Structurally, torulene fulfills the minimum requirement for a provitamin A carotenoid: an unsubstituted β-ring with an 11-carbon polyene chain. This carotenoid should be an efficient antioxidant because the antioxidant property of carotenoids is associated with the conjugated double bond system and the efficiency is higher with a greater number of double bonds. Torularhodin, the carboxylated derivative of torulene, was found in *in vitro* studies to be more potent than β-carotene in quenching singlet oxygen and scavenging peroxyl radicals (18, 19).

Carotenogenesis depends on the strains, which produce variable quantities of different carotenoids, and on the culture conditions, which affect yeast growth and metabolite production. The relative concentrations of individual pigments can be altered by modifying fermentation conditions, such as the pH, carbon and nitrogen sources, temperature and presence and levels of salts and light. However, the biotechnological production of carotenoids is limited by high cost, but this problem can be reduced by optimising the process conditions. Production of biomass should also be considered because it can be used in animal feed as a protein source (4, 7, 8, 14).

In the present work, we optimised the culture conditions for the production of carotenoids by wild *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*, which was isolated in Brazil. For this purpose, a statistical experimental design was employed rather than the one-factor-at-a-time approach. Therefore, the effects of various factors, including the interaction of factors, could be simultaneously investigated. *R. mucilaginosa* was chosen because it was previously shown (10) to produce torulene as the principal carotenoid.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Microorganism and culture conditions**

Wild yeast *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*-137, which was isolated from the soil of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil, and previously identified (11), was used throughout this study. The culture was maintained by monthly transfers to yeast malt-agar (YM) slants containing 0.3 g l\(^{-1}\) yeast extract, 0.3 g l\(^{-1}\) malt extract, 0.5 g l\(^{-1}\) peptone, 10 g l\(^{-1}\) glucose and 20 g l\(^{-1}\) agar, and was stored at 4 °C. Each starter culture was prepared by inoculating one loop of a slant culture into 200 ml of YM medium in a 500 ml Erlenmeyer flask and incubating at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm for 72 h in a rotary shaker. Fermentation was carried out in 500 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 200 ml of media prepared with different combinations of initial pH and levels of glucose, yeast extract, MgSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O and KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\). Each flask was inoculated with 7.5 % (v v\(^{-1}\)) of the starter culture and incubated at 25 °C with shaking at 200 rpm in a rotary shaker for 5 days in the dark. Because of the many variables investigated in this paper, the effects of light and temperature will be reported in a separate paper. Cell growth was monitored periodically by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm of samples withdrawn aseptically from the flasks, using a Beckman model DU-640 UV/visible spectrophotometer. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 10 min at 5 °C, washed twice with distilled water and centrifuged again. The dry mass was gravimetrically determined after drying the centrifuged and washed cells at 105 °C to a constant weight.

**Analytical procedures**

The glucose concentration was measured in the supernatant according to the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (13). The amount of cell protein was determined by the Kjeldahl method using the general factor of 6.25.

The carotenoid content was determined according to
Experimental design

The experimental data were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statistica for Windows software (20). A $2^{5-1}$ factorial design (2) was used to study the effect of medium components on yeast growth and carotenoid production. The experimental variables were initial pH and the concentrations of glucose, yeast extract, MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O and KH$_2$PO$_4$ (Table 1). Based on the results of this initial design, a $2^2$ factorial design with three replicates at the center point was employed to study the combined effect of yeast extract and glucose concentrations on carotenoid production. The yeast and glucose concentrations evaluated are presented in Table 2. The experimental data were analyzed by the response surface regression procedure using the software Statistica for Windows software version 7.0 (20).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant culture factors

The factorial design $2^{5-1}$ determined the independent factors that had a greater influence on carotenoid and biomass production. The results obtained after 5 days of incubation are presented in Table 1; the reproducibility of replicate flasks was very good ($R^2 = 0.99$). The biomass varied from 1.1 to 11 g l$^{-1}$. The greatest biomass production occurred in assay 16, which used medium (pH 6) consisting of 40 g l$^{-1}$ glucose, 5 g l$^{-1}$ yeast extract, 1 g l$^{-1}$ MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O and 8 g l$^{-1}$ KH$_2$PO$_4$. Carotenoid production varied from 59 to 904 µg l$^{-1}$, maximum production was obtained in assay 8 using with medium also consisting of 40 g l$^{-1}$ glucose and 5 g l$^{-1}$ yeast extract but without salt supplementation. The yield was only 50 µg g$^{-1}$ of sugar, which indicates that the amount of glucose in the medium could be reduced based on the high residual sugar concentration. In µg g$^{-1}$, assays 5 and 8 gave the highest carotenoid concentration of 173 and 162 µg g$^{-1}$, respectively, with concentrations ranging from 32 to 173 µg g$^{-1}$. In assay 5, the medium (pH 4) consisted of 10 g l$^{-1}$ glucose and 5 g l$^{-1}$ yeast extract without salt supplementation.

The experimental results were used to estimate the main effects of variables and their interactions (data not shown). Only yeast extract had a positive effect on carotenoid production. An increase in yeast extract concentration stimulated both cell growth and carotenoid formation. The addition of KH$_2$PO$_4$ promoted a significant negative effect on the parameters evaluated, whereas magnesium sulphate had a positive effect on biomass formation but a negative effect on carotenoid production in µg g$^{-1}$. Because a carbon source is essential for microbial growth, glucose had a positive effect on yeast growth but no significant effect on carotenoid production. The initial pH did not significantly influence biomass production nor carotenoid formation. The interactions between variables were not significant in terms of biomass and carotenoid production in µg l$^{-1}$, except for the interaction between glucose and yeast extract.
Table 1. $2^{5-1}$ factorial design with coded values and experimental results obtained after 5 days of fermentation for biomass production, carotenoid production and yield.

| Assay no. | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   | Carotenoid production ($\mu g$ l$^{-1}$) | Carotenoid production ($\mu g$ g$^{-1}$) | Yield ($\mu g$ sugar) | Biomass (g l$^{-1}$) | Residual sugar (g l$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1         | 4   | 10  | 1   | 0   | 8   | 59                                       | 53                                       | 10                    | 1.1                  | 4.1                     |
| 2         | 6   | 10  | 1   | 0   | 0   | 255                                      | 138                                      | 39                    | 1.8                  | 3.5                     |
| 3         | 6   | 40  | 1   | 0   | 0   | 160                                      | 76                                       | 18                    | 2.1                  | 31                      |
| 4         | 6   | 40  | 1   | 0   | 8   | 142                                      | 77                                       | 15                    | 1.8                  | 31                      |
| 5         | 4   | 10  | 5   | 0   | 0   | 665                                      | 173                                      | 70                    | 3.8                  | 0.6                     |
| 6         | 6   | 10  | 5   | 0   | 8   | 445                                      | 128                                      | 46                    | 3.5                  | 0.3                     |
| 7         | 4   | 40  | 5   | 0   | 8   | 678                                      | 139                                      | 44                    | 4.9                  | 25                      |
| 8         | 6   | 40  | 5   | 0   | 0   | 904                                      | 162                                      | 50                    | 5.6                  | 22                      |
| 9         | 4   | 10  | 1   | 1   | 0   | 389                                      | 93                                       | 41                    | 4.2                  | 0.6                     |
| 10        | 6   | 10  | 1   | 1   | 8   | 145                                      | 56                                       | 16                    | 4.4                  | 25                      |
| 11        | 4   | 40  | 1   | 1   | 8   | 243                                      | 56                                       | 16                    | 4.4                  | 25                      |
| 12        | 6   | 40  | 1   | 1   | 0   | 431                                      | 80                                       | 29                    | 5.4                  | 25                      |
| 13        | 4   | 10  | 5   | 1   | 8   | 731                                      | 120                                      | 75                    | 6.1                  | 0.2                     |
| 14        | 6   | 10  | 5   | 1   | 0   | 758                                      | 122                                      | 78                    | 6.2                  | 0.2                     |
| 15        | 4   | 40  | 5   | 1   | 0   | 397                                      | 47                                       | 17                    | 8.5                  | 16                      |
| 16        | 6   | 40  | 5   | 1   | 8   | 713                                      | 67                                       | 27                    | 11                   | 14                      |
| 17        | 5   | 25  | 3   | 0.5 | 4   | 670                                      | 77                                       | 34                    | 8.7                  | 5.1                     |
| 18        | 5   | 25  | 3   | 0.5 | 4   | 671                                      | 72                                       | 33                    | 9.3                  | 4.5                     |
| 19        | 5   | 25  | 3   | 0.5 | 4   | 620                                      | 68                                       | 30                    | 9.1                  | 4.5                     |

*A=Initial pH, B=Glucose (g l$^{-1}$), C=Yeast extract (g l$^{-1}$), D=MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O (g l$^{-1}$), E=KH$_2$PO$_4$ (g l$^{-1}$)

Optimum medium composition

Based on the results of the initial factorial design, the composition of the medium was optimised by examining the variable (yeast extract) that positively influenced carotenoid production and glucose, which would be essential for growth. The experimental results for the two-factor-three-level response surface analysis are shown in Table 2. The biomass of *R. mucilaginosa*-137 ranged from 2.5 to 8.0 g l$^{-1}$ with the maximum biomass obtained with 15 to 20 g l$^{-1}$ glucose and 15 to 17 g l$^{-1}$ yeast extract. Carotenoid production (µg g$^{-1}$ dry cell) varied from 84 to 152; the maximum production (127 to 152 µg g$^{-1}$) occurred with 7.9 to 10 g l$^{-1}$ glucose and 5 to 10 g l$^{-1}$ yeast extract. The carotenoid concentration (µg l$^{-1}$) varied from 250 to 745 µg l$^{-1}$ and reached the maximum concentration (730 to 745 µg l$^{-1}$) with 15 to 20 g l$^{-1}$ glucose and 10 to 15 g l$^{-1}$ yeast extract. The protein content of the biomass ranged from 39 to 68 %. The maximum range was achieved with 10 to 15 g l$^{-1}$ yeast extract and 20 to 22 g l$^{-1}$ glucose. Compared to the control assay, which produced about 340 µg l$^{-1}$ carotenoids and 6.2 g l$^{-1}$ biomass in the YM medium, the production of carotenoids and biomass has increased significantly.

The full quadratic models of these results were tested for adequacy by ANOVA (Table 3). The model for biomass has satisfactory values of $R^2 = 0.987$ and is significant at the 5 % level. The F value of 76.17 for biomass is greater than F$_{5,5}$ (5.05) within a rejection region with an a-level that is p < 0.05; thus, this model can be used to predict the results in the studied region.
Table 2. $2^2$ factorial design values and experimental results obtained from fermentation of *R. mucilaginosa*-137 with varying concentrations of glucose and yeast extract.

| Assays | Yeast extract (g l$^{-1}$) | Glucose (g l$^{-1}$) | Biomass (g l$^{-1}$) | Carotenoid production (µg l$^{-1}$) | Carotenoid production (µg g$^{-1}$) | Residual sugar (g l$^{-1}$) | Protein (%) |
|--------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1      | 5.0                       | 10                  | 3.2                 | 488                               | 152                               | 0.3                       | 47          |
| 2      | 15                        | 10                  | 6.5                 | 679                               | 104                               | 0.5                       | 55          |
| 3      | 5.0                       | 20                  | 5.3                 | 609                               | 116                               | 2.6                       | 52          |
| 4      | 15                        | 20                  | 8.0                 | 745                               | 93                                | 0.8                       | 68          |
| 5      | 10                        | 7.9                 | 5.0                 | 637                               | 127                               | 0.4                       | 51          |
| 6      | 10                        | 22                  | 7.4                 | 671                               | 91                                | 2.2                       | 56          |
| 7      | 2.9                       | 15                  | 2.5                 | 250                               | 100                               | 3.5                       | 39          |
| 8      | 17                        | 15                  | 8.0                 | 671                               | 84                                | 0.7                       | 55          |
| 9      | 10                        | 15                  | 6.6                 | 702                               | 106                               | 0.6                       | 49          |
| 10     | 10                        | 15                  | 6.5                 | 728                               | 111                               | 0.5                       | 51          |
| 11     | 10                        | 15                  | 6.4                 | 732                               | 114                               | 0.5                       | 52          |

At 95% significant level (p<0.05)

Table 3. Analysis of variance for the regression model of carotenoid production (after ignoring the insignificant effects) and biomass, obtained from the response surface experiments.

| Source          | Carotenoid production (µg l$^{-1}$) | Biomass (g l$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
|                 | SS  | Df  | MS    | SS  | Df  | MS    |
| Regression      | 184,558.8 | 3 | 61,519.6 | 32,105 | 5 | 6.421 |
| Residual        | 24,645.7 | 7 | 3,520.81 | 0.4217 | 5 | 0.0843 |
| Lack of fit     | 24,115.0 | 5 | 4,823.0 | 0.4017 | 3 | 0.1339 |
| Pure error      | 530.7 | 2 | 265.3 | 0.020 | 2 | 0.010 |
| Total           | 209,204.5 | 10 | 32,527 | 10 |
| F model         | 17.47 | 76.17 |
| MS$_{L/E}$/MSr  | 18.18 | 13.39 |
| $R^2$           | 0.88 | 0.98 |

SS = Sum of square; Df = Degrees of freedom; MS = Media square; MS$_{L/E}$/MSr = MS Lack of fit/ MS Pure error; $F_{3,7}$ = 19.30; $F_{3,2}$ = 4.35; $F_{5,5}$ = 5.05; $F_{5,5}$ = 19.16

The model for carotenoid production (µg l$^{-1}$) had to be adjusted because the F value (8.25) obtained was greater than $F_{5,5}$ (5.05), but the MS$_{L/E}$/MS$_{r}$ (27.73) was greater than $F_{3,2}$ (19.16) (data not shown). By adjusting the model and ANOVA and ignoring the insignificant effects, the new regression obtained is better. The F model (17.47) is much greater than $F_{3,7}$ (4.35), and MS$_{r}$/MS$_{r}$ = 18.18 is lower than $F_{5,2}$ (19.30), which suggests that the adjusted model can better predict the results than the complete model. Using a multiple regression analysis of the experimental data in Table 3 and excluding insignificant terms, the final models are as follows:

$$\text{Biomass (µg l}^{-1}) = 6.50 + 1.72 X - 0.62 X^2 + 0.87 Y - 0.14 Y^2 - 0.15 XY$$

Total carotenoid (µg l$^{-1}$) = 706.47 + 115.30 X - 107.40 $X^2 + 29.38 Y$

where X represents yeast extract (g l$^{-1}$) and Y represents glucose (g l$^{-1}$).

The regression models were employed to develop the response surface plots shown in Figure 1. Figure 1-B shows that carotenoid production (µg l$^{-1}$) was more sensitive to changes in yeast extract concentration than to changes in glucose concentration in the region studied. The highest values
for carotenoid production occurred with approximately 12 to 16 g l\(^{-1}\) yeast extract. Figure 1-A shows that biomass was affected by the independent variables yeast extract and glucose. The best condition in the region studied for biomass and carotenoid production by \(R.\) mucilaginosa was in the range of 15 to 20 g l\(^{-1}\) glucose and 15 to 17.07 g l\(^{-1}\) yeast extract. Under these conditions, the yeast yielded 8.0 g l\(^{-1}\) biomass and a maximum total carotenoid production of 745 \(\mu\)g l\(^{-1}\) after 120 h of fermentation, indicating approximately 33 % higher biomass and 52 % higher total carotenoid production than with the control (6.2 g l\(^{-1}\) and 340 \(\mu\)g l\(^{-1}\), respectively).

**Figure 1.** Response surface diagrams as a function of yeast extract and glucose concentrations: (A): biomass production (g l\(^{-1}\)); (B): carotenoid production (\(\mu\)g l\(^{-1}\)).
CONCLUSION

Yeast extract is a good source of nitrogen for the production of carotenoids by *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*. Because of the increase in residual sugar in the medium and the cost and yield of the process, glucose concentrations should not be higher than 20 g l⁻¹. The optimal cell production was achieved with 15-17 g l⁻¹ yeast extract and 15-20 g l⁻¹ glucose. Maximum carotenoid concentration was obtained with 15 g l⁻¹ yeast extract and 20 g l⁻¹ glucose. Both biomass and carotenoid productions (µg l⁻¹) adjusted well to the mathematical model, which means that both can be predicted by the established equations. Considering that yeast growth and carotenoid production did not have a direct correlation, two-stage batch fermentation appears to be more appropriate for the production of carotenoids by *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*. In the first stage, the yeast could grow under higher concentrations of nitrogen and carbon; in the second stage, carotenoid production can be enhanced by placing the yeasts under stress with light, salts and oxygen.
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