The *Escherichia coli* Translation-Associated Heat Shock Protein YbeY Is Involved in rRNA Transcription Antitermination
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Abstract

A new group of translation-associated heat shock genes has been recently identified. One of these novel genes is *ybeY* which is highly conserved in bacteria. In *Escherichia coli* the YbeY protein is important for efficient translation at all temperatures and is essential at high temperatures. Deletion mutants of *ybeY* are defective in protein translation, due to impaired 30 S ribosomal subunits. Here we provide evidence which tie YbeY to the transcription antitermination process. Thus, in *ybeY* deletion mutants transcription is significantly inhibited when the “nut like” sequences required for transcriptional antitermination are present, while if these sequences are removed transcription is not affected by the mutation.

Introduction

Efficient protein translation requires an accurate biogenesis of the ribosomes, which consists of a complex series of processes [1,2]. It begins with the transcription of rRNA precursors from the seven *rrn* operons which undergo nucleolytic processing by RNases to generate the mature *rrn* molecules [3]. The final steps of rRNA maturation occur in the early stages of protein synthesis by the newly-assembled ribosome [4,5].

Transcription of the *rrn* genes is regulated mainly at the level of transcription initiation but further control involves an antitermination system which overcomes rho-dependent transcriptional terminators located along the *rrn* operon [6,7]. This system prevents transcriptional polarity of the *rrn* operon and insures that all three RNA species (5, 16 and 23 S) are transcribed in equal amounts [8].

The formation of the transcription antitermination complex requires an RNA sequences known as “nut like sequences” which are located in the leader of the 16 S and in the spacer between the 16 S and the 23 S. These elements include three sequences: boxA, boxB and boxC which bind the cellular factors that construct the antitermination complex [9,10]. These cellular factors include the Nus factors (factors also involved in the transcription antitermination of phage lambda [11]) and several ribosomal proteins [12,13]. The factors facilitate the interaction between the box elements, RNAP and Rho and secure the transcription antitermination process [14–17].

The transcription antitermination process has two roles in addition to its primary function: to assist processing of the mature *rrn* transcript from the precursor state [18–21] and to modulate the transcription elongation rate [16,22] thus ensuring the proper folding of the rRNA.

It is, therefore, clear that the transcriptional antitermination system is critical for several stages of ribosomal biogenesis. Here we present evidence suggesting the involvement of a newly-analyzed protein YbeY in the transcription antitermination process.

YbeY is a 17 kD heat shock protein that belongs to the UPF0054 family and is highly conserved in bacteria [23–25]. Previous studies indicated that YbeY is important for translation and its absence results in production of impaired 30 S ribosomal subunits because of abnormal maturation of rRNA [26–28]. Recently [29] YbeY was shown to be a metallo endoribonuclease and with several functions including rRNA maturation and 70 S ribosome quality control. The results presented here indicate that YbeY has an additional role in transcriptional antitermination, that is critical for production of ribosomal subunits and could explain the defect in rRNA maturation.

Results

YbeY Affects the Transcription Antitermination of RNA

It has been shown that YbeY is essential for correct rRNA maturation, but the molecular basis of this effect has not been elucidated. One factor that could play a role in RNA maturation is the transcription antitermination process which is important for maintaining an optimal elongation rate for correct processing and folding of the RNA. In order to examine the possible effect of YbeY on the transcription antitermination process, we constructed a series of pACYC plasmids (NEW ENGLAND BioLabs) which contain three types of the *rrn* promoter regions fused upstream to a promoterless *lacZ* gene (Figure 1A). These promoter regions differ in respect to the presence of the antitermination “nut-like” sequences. The first *rrn* promoter region was short and contained only the P1 promoter sequence of the *rrn* promoter (S = short). The
second rRNA promoter contained the P1 and P2 promoters as well as the nut-like sequences (boxA, boxB, and boxC) involved in transcription antitermination (M=medium length). The third and longest promoter region contained the P1 and P2 promoters, nut-like sequences and the tL region of the rRNA operon, which is a putative Rho-independent pausing site of transcription (L=long). All the promoter regions contained the FIS elements and other elements required for initiation of transcription. The pACYC plasmids were transformed into the lacZ and ybeY, lacZ deletion strains. (A) a schematic description of the three promoter regions which were fused to lacZ. The rRNA sequence, the FIS elements, P1, P2, nut-like sequences and the tL region are marked. (B) Cultures of ΔlacZ ("wild type ybeY") and ΔlacZΔybeY ("ΔybeY") carrying the pACYC plasmids were grown in LB at 37°C and harvested at O.D_{600} = 0.45. RNA was extracted from these samples and analyzed by qRT-PCR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062297.g001

Figure 1. Transcript levels of lacZ transcribed from various rRNA promoter regions. The pACYC plasmids were constructed so that they contained various rRNA operon promoter regions fused upstream a promoterless lacZ gene, as described in Materials and Methods. The short promoter region of rRNA contained only the P1 sequence of the rRNA promoter (S=short), the second segment contained the P1, P2 and nut-like sequences (boxA, boxB, and boxC) (M=medium length) and a longer segment contained the P1, P2, nut-like sequences and the tL region of the rRNA operon (L=long). All the promoter regions contained the FIS elements and other elements required for initiation of transcription. The pACYC plasmids were transformed into the lacZ and ybeY, lacZ deletion strains. (A) a schematic description of the three promoter regions which were fused to lacZ. The rRNA sequence, the FIS elements, P1, P2, nut-like sequences and the tL region are marked. (B) Cultures of ΔlacZ ("wild type ybeY") and ΔlacZΔybeY ("ΔybeY") carrying the pACYC plasmids were grown in LB at 37°C and harvested at O.D_{600} = 0.45. RNA was extracted from these samples and analyzed by qRT-PCR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062297.g001

Factors Participating in the Transcription Antitermination Process Compensate for the ybeY Deletion

The results showing that YbeY is involved in transcriptional antitermination process suggest the possibility that over-expression of factors participating in the transcription antitermination process could compensate for the effect of a ybeY deletion. Thus, we examined the effect of over-expression of several Nus factors on
growth rate of the ybeY mutant. The experiment was carried out at 42°C, when the mutant has its most severe phenotype. The results indicate that, indeed, high cellular concentrations of NusA, NusB, NusE and NusG partially compensated for the ybeY mutation (Figure 3A and 3C), while they had virtually no effect in the wild type (Figure 3B).

Discussion

YbeY is involved in ribosome biogenesis - in its absence ribosomes are defective and translation is reduced [26,28], especially at elevated temperatures [27]. Here we show the involvement of YbeY in the transcriptional antitermination process of rRNA synthesis, which is critical for ribosome biogenesis. Thus, we show that YbeY is essential for rrn transcription of regions that contain the antitermination sequences (Figure 1). Transcription from the P1 promoter of rrn (S promoter) is unaffected by the absence of YbeY, but the transcription is almost abolished if the promoter region also contains the P2 and nut-like sequences which constitute the antitermination region (M).

The presence of tL, the Rho-independent pause site [30] in the promoter region which contains the transcriptional antitermination site elevated the level of transcription - compare the effect of the deletion on transcription from the “M” promoter and the “L” promoter. The tL is a conserved sequence in the leader region of rRNA and various deletions of the tL results in rRNA transcription polarity [31]. It appears that tL may assist a correct transcription antitermination process, and its presence enables the complex to be fully organized and stabilized prior to the transcription of the 16S rRNA. The lack of tL may lead to premature termination during transcription. The effect of tL on transcription can be seen in Fig. 1, as its presence stimulates transcription in the wild type bacteria. Interestingly, the effect of tL remains even in deletion mutants of ybeY where its presence increases the transcription level as well. Yet even in the presence of tL there is still a 50% decrease in transcription of rrn. Such a decrease is probably sufficient to cause the phenotypes seen in the ybeY deletion mutants, as its effect may escalate into the major effect on ribosome biogenesis.

We assume that the reduced level of transcripts overlapping the transcriptional antitermination region in ybeY mutants results from the involvement of YbeY in the transcriptional antitermination process. However, it is also possible that this reduced level results from a lower stability of transcripts in the ybeY mutant. This possibility is ruled out by the experiment presented in Fig. 2, which shows that the stability of the M-transcripts (that contain the transcriptional antitermination sequence) is not affected by the ybeY deletion. In contrast, it should be mentioned that the stability of the short transcripts (from promoter S, that do not contain the transcriptional antitermination sequences) is affected by the ybeY mutation, but in the opposite directions. Thus, in the absence of YbeY the short transcripts are more stable. This result could be explained by the recent findings of Jacob et al [29] that attributed RNase activity to YbeY. This RNase may be more active on short transcripts, as these are not yet covered by the binding of various protein factors.

Further support for the effect of YbeY on the transcription antitermination of rRNA transcripts is obtained by the findings that the ybeY deletion mutation can be partially complemented by over-expression of the NUS factors involved in transcription antitermination (Figure 3). The overexpression of these NUS factors has virtually no effect on the wild type. Although there is no trivial explanation for these findings - that are highly significant and reproducible - we assume that these transcriptional factors affect the rate of transcription in the mutant by supporting the correct folding of the rRNA and thus improving rRNA maturation even in the absence of YbeY.

The results presented here show the importance of YbeY for ongoing rRNA transcription elongation. They are also compatible with the findings that immature 16S RNA accumulates in ybeY deletion mutants [28], as a correct transcription antitermination process is required for accurate maturation of rRNA. The maintenance of an optimal transcription elongation rate which allows the proper maturation and folding of the rRNA becomes difficult when the temperature is increased, as at the higher temperatures the rate of transcription increases. Therefore, the
suggested involvement of YbeY in the transcription antitermination process and in assuring proper RNA maturation implies that its importance may increase with temperature. This assumption is compatible with the finding that the ybeY deletion has a stronger phenotype at high temperatures - the deletion mutant is temperature sensitive [27]. Moreover, YbeY is a conserved heat shock protein which is induced upon temperature shift-up, probably ensuring sufficient levels of this protein to satisfy the

**Table 1.** Primers used for plasmids construction.

| Primer | Sequence  |
|--------|-----------|
| S Forward primer | 5’_GGCTCTAGATGCGAATATTGCCTTTTGTA_3’ |
| S Reverse primer | 5’_GGGAAGCTTGGCGGTGTTCCGTGTC_3’ |
| M Forward primer | 5’_GGCTCTAGATGCGAATATTGCCTTTT_3’ |
| M Reverse primer | 5’_ATTACGCTACTTTGATCATTTTTCGT_3’ |
| L Forward primer | 5’_GGCTCTAGATGCGAATATTGCCTTTT_3’ |
| L Reverse primer | 5’_AGAAGCTTAAATGTTGACGCCTA_3’ |
| LacZ Forward primer | 5’_CGGAGCTTATCAGCTATGTATGACCGGATCaA_3’ |
| LacZ Reverse primer | 5’_CGTGCATCTATTGACCACCAAC_3’ |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062297.t001

**Table 2.** Primers used for quantifying the level of transcripts in Quantitative PCR.

| Gene | Primer | Sequence  |
|------|--------|-----------|
| lacZ | Forward primer | 5’_CCTATCCCATTACGGTCAAT_3’ |
| Reverse primer | 5’_CGTTGACCCACAGATGAAA_3’ |
| cat | Forward primer | 5’_AAGACGGTGAGCTGGTGAT_3’ |
| Reverse primer | 5’_TGCGAATATATGTGTAGAAACTG_3’ |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062297.t002
increased requirement upon shift to higher temperatures.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains and Plasmids

E. coli MG1655 (ATCC 47076), a wild-type K-12 strain, was used in all experiments. The ΔybeY deletion mutation was obtained as described [27]. The lac-Za deletion [32] was induced by the λ-red system [33] to create wild type Δlac-Za or double mutants ΔybeY, Δlac-Za. Plasmid pAC24N encoding Nus factors were transformed from the E. coli ASKA library [34] into E. coli MG1655 and its ybeY deletion strain.

Growth Conditions

Unless otherwise stated, cultures were grown exponentially in LB broth (Difco) with aeration at 37°C to OD600 = 0.45. The turbidity readings were performed using the Biotek Synergy EONTM reader, with 1 ml volume in 24 well plates.

Construction of Plasmids

Plasmids (pACYC 184) encoding rm promoter regions and fused upstream to a promoterless lac-Za gene were constructed so that they contained three types of rm operon promoter regions. The coding sequence of lac-Za was PCR amplified using primers containing restriction sites for BamHI and HindIII. The digested PCR product was ligated into pACYC digested with the same restriction enzymes. Next, the promoter region of rmB was PCR amplified using primers containing restriction sites for XbaI and HindIII. The digested PCR product was ligated into pACYC containing the lac-Za product, digested with the same restriction enzymes. The pACYC plasmids encoding the rmB promoter region and lac-Za were transformed into the lac-Za and ybeY lac-Za deletion strains. The primers used for plasmids construction are listed in Table 1.

Quantification of Transcript Levels

Reverse transcription was carried out with one microgram of DNA-translated total RNA using random hexamers (Promega) with ImPromII reverse transcriptase (Promega). Quantitative PCRs were performed using 250 nM of each gene-specific pair of primers in a 20 µl volume with 1 Sybr green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems). Reactions were run on an ABI 7700 instrument (Applied Biosystems) using the following cycling parameters: 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 second and extension at 60°C for 1 min.

For determining lac-Za transcript levels the results were normalized to the cat levels in each sample, to account for possible deviations in lac-Za levels due to changes in plasmid copy number. The primers used for quantifying the levels of lac-Za and cat are listed in Table 2.

For determining the levels of the short transcripts (before the antitermination region) we used the following primers:

F: 5’- TGACACGGGACCGGCAAACCGC-3’ and
R: 5’- TGCAATAATAGGCTTCCGCTACA-3’.
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