Research Article

Study on Lateral Deformation and Failure Characteristics of Coal Based on Different Confining Pressures

Jian-jun Ren,1 Shan-Yang Wei,2 Shi-Hai Shu,1 and Wei-Dong Luo3

1College of Physics and Engineering, Xingyi Normal University for Nationalities, Xingyi 562400, China
2School of Mining, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China
3Guizhou Panjiang Coal Power and Electricity Group Technology Research Institute Co., Ltd., Guiyang 550081, China

1. Introduction

Because of the impact of excavation, the coal wall of the coal roadway and the coal wall of the working face change from the initial three-way force to the one-way force so that the coal wall of the roadway and the coal near the coal wall of the working face bear the weight of rock strata above the excavation space. The weight causes an increase in the supporting pressure of the coal near the coal wall of the roadway and the working face, causing the coal near the coal wall to expand to the side of the goaf, ultimately resulting in the spalling of roadway coal wall and working face coal wall. That is, under the support pressure, the coal body on one side of the goaf of the coal wall will produce lateral expansion deformation. In practical engineering applications, it is of great significance to study the lateral deformation and lateral deformation characteristics of coal under different surrounding rock pressures to control the expansion deformation of coal rib, to guide roadway support, and to grout reinforcement of coal rib.

Scholars in China and abroad have carried out a lot of research on the lateral deformation characteristics of rocks. Based on the triaxial test results of granite under different confining pressures, Jingxiang et al. analyzed the lateral deformation characteristics of granite and proposed that the brittleness characteristics of rock samples can be comprehensively reflected from the change law and magnitude of brittleness [1]. Guojun et al. believed that, under the continuous action of cyclic loading and unloading, the failure of rock is a cumulative process. The growth trend of axial and lateral cumulative irreversible strains under cyclic loading is linear, and the increase of confining pressure can effectively limit the lateral cumulative irreversible strain of rock [2]. Based on the triaxial unloading seepage test results under different initial confining pressures and unloading rates, Rubin et al. obtained the lateral deformation characteristics. In these tests, volumetric dilatancy characteristics of sandstone changed significantly with the increase of initial confining pressure and unloading rate during unloading failure of sandstone [3]. Using an MTS815 electrohydraulic...
servo-controlled rigid testing machine, Memetyusup et al. conducted unloading/decreasing confining pressure tests with constant axial deformation. The test results indicated that, with the decrease of the confining pressure, the lateral expansion of rock samples continued, and the axial stress decreased [4]. Dong et al. proposed that the axial stress of rock can be determined by its axial strain before the peak, and it is controlled by lateral deformation after the peak, and it gradually decreases to residual strength with the increase of lateral deformation [5].

Compared with rock, coal has different characteristics in different directions. It also has low strength and undergoes a large deformation under a given loading process. Zhenqian et al. investigated the lateral deformation characteristics of coal under different loading rates by using the TAW-2000 electrohydraulic servo rock mechanics experimental system. They concluded that the lateral strain was the same when the first axial stress dropped under different loading rates, and the lateral strain was used as the control variable to predict the failure of coal [6]. Yongping carried out uniaxial and triaxial compression tests on typical soft and hard coal rock samples by MTS815 electrohydraulic servo rock mechanics testing machine. The results demonstrated that the strength, yield axial strain, and lateral deformation characteristics of soft and hard coal rock were greatly different due to the different internal structures of soft and hard coal rock and the different development degrees of pores and cracks. The internal damage evolution characteristics of coal and rock can be characterized by lateral plastic deformation damage [7]. Mingqing observed that there was a typical step-like drop after the peak stress of hard coal with impact tendency, and the significant increase of lateral axis ratio was mainly after the peak stress [8]. Tarasov and Potvin believed that the existence of surrounding rock can affect the brittleness of rock [9]. Guo et al. investigated the lateral deformation of strip coal pillar in Tangkou Coal Mine with a mining depth of over 1000 m. They concluded that, in deep mining, the accumulation and release of energy cause discontinuous damage in the heterogeneous coal mass, and the lateral deformation of coal pillar shows discontinuity and mutation characters [10–12].

In summary, the lateral deformation characteristics and laws of rock under triaxial compression [13–15] and the axial deformation characteristics of coal under different confining pressures and loading speeds [16, 17] have been investigated in depth in China and abroad, and some great results have been achieved. However, there are few studies regarding the lateral deformation of coal under the same loading rate and different confining pressures. In this study, the TAW-2000 electrohydraulic servo rock mechanics testing machine was employed to examine the deformation characteristics of coal samples under the same loading rate and different confining pressures. Furthermore, the influence of the confining pressure on the lateral deformation characteristics of the coal body was analyzed. The research results combined with the actual measurement results in the underground mine are of great significance to the analysis of the deformation characteristics of the coal body after excavation at a certain buried depth and the selection of reasonable support schemes. At the same time, they can also be utilized as a basis for evaluating the damage of the coal body [18–21].

2. Sample Preparation and Test Method

The TAW-2000 electrohydraulic servo rock mechanics testing machine was used in this research. The overall stiffness of the test frame was 10 GN/m, the maximum axial force was 2000 kN, and the maximum confining pressure was 100 MPa. The dynamic strain monitor and the triaxial test pressure chamber are shown in Figure 1.

The coal samples were selected from the standard specimen made of coal blocks in a coal mine in Shanxi Province of China. The coal blocks obtained from the underground were processed into standard cylindrical coal samples with a diameter of 50 mm and a height of 100 mm by using drilling, cutting, and grinding [22–24]. The experimental coal samples are shown in Figure 2. The experimental data were monitored by a high-precision extensometer. Since the extensometer could not resist large deformation, the axial deformation control was adopted in the experiments. The loading speed was set to 0.05 mm/min, and the test confining pressures were 0 MPa, 3 MPa, 5 MPa, and 7 MPa, respectively. The data of time, load, displacement, stress, axial strain, radial strain, and confining pressure change in the whole process were obtained during the tests [25–27].

To reduce the influence of the heat shrink tube on the experimental results, the same heat shrink tube was employed to package all coal samples in the experiment. The coal samples after the experiment are exhibited in Figure 3.

3. Analysis of Test Results

3.1. Experimental Data Processing.

(1) Peak strength ($\sigma_c$): on the stress-strain curve, the maximum stress (i.e., peak stress) [28, 29] is obtained through the following formula:

$$\sigma_c = P_{\text{max}} / A,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $P_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum axial load (N) in the test process and $A$ is the compressed area ($m^2$) of the sample.

(2) The linear elastic stage: in this stage, the stress and strain on the stress-strain curve have a linear relationship (i.e., their relationship follows Hooke’s law).

(3) The nonlinear elastic stage: on the stress-strain curve, it is the section after the linear elastic stage and before the failure of the coal sample.

(4) The plastic deformation stage: in this stage, the weak surface inside the coal body is destroyed, and the stage continues up to the end of the test.

(5) Lateral axis ratio: the ratio of the lateral strain to the axial strain of the coal sample during the experiment is called the side axis ratio [30].
Figure 1: Test equipment. (a) Dynamic strain monitoring instrument. (b) Pressure chamber of triaxial test.

Figure 2: Experimental coal samples.

Figure 3: Coal samples after conducting the tests.
3.2. Analysis of Results. The physical and mechanical parameters of coal samples under different confining pressures are displayed in Table 1. The complete stress-strain curves of coal samples under different confining pressures are shown in Figure 4.

According to the stress-strain curves of coal samples under different confining pressures, coal samples experienced four stages from the beginning of loading to the failure of coal samples (Figure 4): (1) the compaction stage of internal cracks of coal, (2) elastic deformation stage (linear elastic stage and nonlinear elastic stage), (3) plastic deformation stage, and (4) postpeak failure stage.

In the pressure consolidation and compaction stage of cracks, the microcracks inside the coal body were compacted, and the coal had a negative strain in the radial direction, which was characterized as a radial shrinkage. The experimental results indicated that the radial strain developed forward when the stress exceeded 0.83 MPa at 0 MPa confining pressure, 1.36 MPa at 3 MPa confining pressure, 1.78 MPa at 5 MPa confining pressure, and 1.95 MPa at 7 MPa confining pressure.

The coal body entered the linear elastic stage after the pressure consolidation and compaction stage. In this stage, the axial strain and radial strain of the coal body increased according to a certain slope, and the changing trend of the two was consistent. At this stage, the axial and radial stress-strain curves were both straight lines (i.e., the elastic modulus of the coal body was a fixed value). With the increase of stress, the coal entered the nonlinear elastic stage. Compared with the linear elastic stage, the elasticity of coal decreased with the increase of stress, and the strain increased with the increase of stress, which was more obvious with the increase of confining pressure.

With the continuous increase of stress, the weak surface inside the coal body first broke, and the coal body entered the plastic deformation stage. From a large number of experimental test results, we found that there were two types of failure in the loading process of the coal body: (1) The coal body underwent a process of destruction-stabilization-redestruction-restabilization-redestruction caused by the destruction of the weak surface. The stress gradually reached the maximum, and, finally, the main weak surface in the coal body was destroyed, so that the coal body lost its bearing capacity. (2) When the structure of the coal sample was good, there was no stage of restabilization-redestruction, and the failure bearing capacity of the coal from the main weak surface diminished directly. It can be observed from Figure 4 that the first type of failure was more obvious when the confining pressure was 0 MPa, and when the confining pressure was nonzero, the coal body mostly showed the second type of failure.

After the peak failure, the coal sample entered the postpeak failure stage, in which both the axial strain and the radial strain increased sharply.

4. Lateral Deformation Characteristics of Coal under Different Confining Pressures

4.1. Lateral Deformation Characteristics. To clearly describe the lateral deformation characteristics of the coal under the same loading speed and different confining pressures, the total stress-strain curves of the coal under different confining pressures were plotted. It can be observed from Figure 4 that the axial stress-lateral strain curve and the axial stress-axial strain curve of coal samples under different confining pressures maintained the same changing trend in all four stages. The lateral axis ratio of coal samples under the same loading speed and different confining pressure [31] is shown in Figure 5. The four following stages were observed during the performed tests:

(1) The pressure consolidation and compaction stage of the internal cracks in the coal body: the coal body had radial shrinkage in all four cases. The minimum value of lateral deformation at this stage appeared in the uniaxial compression state and was dominated by radial shrinkage. This showed that, no matter whether or not there was confining pressure, there was a pressure consolidation and compaction process in the radial direction of internal cracks in the coal under axial load. The duration of this stage was short, lasting about three seconds.

(2) Elastic stage: according to Figure 4, the lateral strain range of the coal under 0 MPa confining pressure was $0.091 \times 10^{-3} - 3.44 \times 10^{-3}$, and the corresponding axial strain range was $0.377 \times 10^{-3} - 4.01 \times 10^{-3}$. Thus, the lateral strain was about 24.1%–85.8% of the axial strain. When the confining pressure was nonzero, the range of the lateral strain and the axial strain of the coal body increased, and the range of the lateral strain of the coal body was the same regardless of the confining pressure, and the lateral strain range was $0.045 \times 10^{-3} - 5.06 \times 10^{-3}$. The range of the axial strain under nonzero confining pressure was $0.368 \times 10^{-3} - 12.44 \times 10^{-3}$. Therefore, the lateral strain range was approximately 12.22%–46.9% of the axial strain range under a nonzero confining pressure. At this stage, the lateral strain was at a low level, and the specimen was mainly subjected to axial compression.

(3) Inelastic stage: in this stage, with the increase of the axial load, the increase rate of the axial strain of the coal sample was greater than that of the lateral strain. The lateral strain of coal under 0 MPa confining pressure was $3.413 \times 10^{-3} - 4.33 \times 10^{-3}$, and the corresponding axial strain was $3.991 \times 10^{-3} - 4.494 \times 10^{-3}$. Thus, the lateral strain of the specimen was 85.5%–96.35% of the axial strain. Under nonzero confining pressure, the range of lateral strain of coal was $4.107 \times 10^{-3} – 10.398 \times 10^{-3}$, and the range of axial strain of coal was $3.35 \times 10^{-3} – 8.46 \times 10^{-3}$. Under zero confining pressure, the range of lateral strain of coal was $4.33 \times 10^{-3} – 4.494 \times 10^{-3}$, and the range of axial strain of coal was $3.413 \times 10^{-3} – 3.991 \times 10^{-3}$.
Therefore, the lateral strain of the specimen was 41.18%–64.96% of the axial strain under a nonzero confining pressure. Thus, the lateral deformation was slightly smaller than the axial deformation when the confining pressure was nonzero.

(4) Postpeak expansion stage: the damage of the coal sample at this stage was chiefly manifested as the damage caused by the development of the weak
Figure 5: Characteristic curves of lateral axis ratio of coal sample under different confining pressures. (a) Characteristic curve of lateral axis ratio versus time. (b) Characteristic curve of lateral axis ratio versus axial strain.

Figure 6: Continued.
surface inside the coal body towards the failure surface. At this stage, the strength of the coal body decreased rapidly, and the lateral strain increased quickly. At this stage, the lateral strain accounted for more than 50% of the total lateral strain.

4.2. Characteristics of Lateral Axis Ratio. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show that, before the first stress drop, the lateral axis ratio under 0 MPa confining pressure increased rapidly with time, and the first stress drop occurred in a short time. Furthermore, the lateral axis ratio initially increased slowly with time under the confining pressures of 3 MPa, 5 MPa, and 7 MPa, and the lateral axis ratio showed the same change rate. After some time, the lateral axis ratio increased with time up to the point of stress drop. That is, the binding effect of the confining pressure had a great influence on maintaining the stability of the coal body.

4.3. Time-Strain Characteristics. Figure 6 displays the variation trend of axial strain, lateral strain, and lateral axis ratio with time when the coal samples fail under four different confining pressures. It can be observed from the figure that...
the lateral axis ratio curve changed in advance before the lateral failure. At the same time, the linear area where the lateral axis ratio changes with time can be used as a stable area for the deformation of the coal wall of the roadway and the working face, and the area outside the linear area can be utilized as a potential parameter for evaluating the deformation and failure of the coal wall and as a parameter for predicting the failure of the coal body.

4.4. Peak Strain Characteristics. From Figures 7(a) and 7(b) and Figure 8, it can be observed that when the coal body was destroyed under different confining pressures, the lateral strain was maintained at about $0.6 \times 10^{-2}$.

5. Engineering Applications

To verify the reliability of the experimental results, the coal wall of a working face of the No. 5 coal seam of the mine was selected for validation. The deformation of the roadway coal wall in the vertical and horizontal directions was monitored by drilling peep and abscission layer instruments. The experimental location is shown in Figure 9. The test was divided into two groups: In the first group, an anchor net was used with certain confining pressure + steel belt and U-shaped support, respectively, before the lateral strain of the coal wall became equal to 41.18% of the axial strain. In the second group, after the lateral strain of the coal wall became equal to 64.96% of the axial strain, the anchor net + steel belt and U-shaped support were used to observe the deformation and failure of the coal wall [32–34]. The destruction of the coal wall is shown in Figure 10 and the monitoring results are displayed in Figure 11.

The field test results showed the following: (1) Supporting before reaching the lateral axis ratio of the failure in the experimental study effectively reduced the deformation and failure of coal wall and increased the stability of coal wall. (2) The existence of confining pressure limited the
Figure 10: Coal wall failure in monitoring points. (a) The first group. (b) The second group.

Figure 11: Field curves of deformation versus monitoring time.
deformation of the coal wall and improved the stability of the coal wall.

6. Conclusion

(1) The coal bodies under different confining pressures experienced the whole loading process that ultimately led to the destruction of the coal body. The radial deformation of the coal bodies experienced the internal crack consolidation and compaction stage, the elastic deformation stage, the nonlinear elastic deformation stage, and the postpeak plastic stage. This means that the lateral deformation of the coal body underwent four stages, from shrinkage deformation to linear growth and then to postpeak expansion.

(2) The lateral axis ratios of coal under different confining pressures were different, which could be divided into two categories: under 0 MPa confining pressure, the lateral strain of the coal was about 85.5%–96.35% of the axial strain when it was destroyed. When the confining pressure was nonzero, the lateral strain of the coal mass was about 41.18%–64.96% of the axial strain. When the coal body was destroyed, the lateral strain of the coal was maintained at about $0.6 \times 10^{-2}$.

(3) The field test results indicated that the lateral axis ratio can be used as a potential parameter for evaluating the deformation and failure of coal wall and as a basis for designing the support parameters.

(4) The lateral deformation characteristics of coal under different confining pressures explain why when the same coal seam is mined from shallow to deep, the risk of gas outbursts in coal bodies and the risk of coal wall slicing accidents increase, and the necessity of timely support when excavating underground coal mines becomes more prominent.
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