Investigation of Molecular Alkali Tetrafluorido Aurates by Matrix-Isolation Spectroscopy
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Abstract: Molecular alkali tetrafluorido aurate ion pairs M[AuF₄] (M = K, Rb, Cs) are produced by co-deposition of IR laser-ablated AuF₃ and MF in solid neon under cryogenic conditions. This method also yields molecular AuF₃ and its dimer Au₂F₆. The products are characterized by their Au–F stretching bands and high-level quantum-chemical calculations at the CCSD(T)/triple-ζ level of theory. Structural changes in AuF₄⁻ associated with the coordination of the anion to different alkali cations are proven spectroscopically and discussed.

Isolation of molecular ions and ion pairs has always been a challenge in matrix-isolation spectroscopy. One method that is capable of producing mostly radicals but also small amounts of anions and cations is by passing gas-mixtures through a microwave discharge.[1] Another way to produce ions is to use laser-ablation of metal targets which produces electrons and thereby anionic species like the free trifluoride ion (F₃⁻). [2] A long known method to isolate molecular ion pairs is by evaporation of a salt at high temperatures in a Knudsen cell.[3] However, this approach is elaborate and needs long deposition times. Recently, free ions in addition to ion pairs were isolated in rare-gas matrices by pulsed IR-laser deposition of salt targets.[4,5] This method produces significantly higher yields in a fraction of the time needed for thermal evaporation and is much simpler to control.

Herein, we report a simple method that allows for the reaction of two crystalline nonvolatile reactants by laser-ablation of a mixed salt target material. With that method it was possible to produce and characterize molecular alkali tetrafluorido aurates (M[AuF₄], M = K, Rb, Cs) for the first time by reaction of laser-ablated alkali fluorides (MF) with gold trifluoride (AuF₃) under cryogenic conditions. The Au–F stretches of such molecules are slightly dependent on the alkali metal and are shown to be in excellent agreement with high level quantum-chemical calculations. To the best of our knowledge, Raman and IR studies have so far only been published on the crystalline bulk material of M[AuF₄]. [6,7]

In IR spectra recorded after co-deposition of laser-ablated MF/AuF₃ (M = K, Rb, Cs) with excess neon at 6K several bands were observed in the Au–F stretching region (Figure 1). The position of some of those bands were found to be alkali metal-dependent and some are metal-independent. Two metal-independent bands at 694 and 692 cm⁻¹ are, according to Wang et al., assigned to AuF₄⁻[8,9] Two further weak bands at 655 and 494 cm⁻¹ in this previous work were attributed to Au₂F₆ obtained by evaporation of solid AuF₃ in a Knudsen cell.[8,9] In our spectra, the 655 cm⁻¹ band is not present. Instead we observed four strong bands at 665, 660, 494, and 492 cm⁻¹ associated with the four strongest stretching bands of Au₂F₆ (D₅h): the in-phase antisymmetric (b₁₉) and the out-of-phase symmetric (b₁₆) stretching modes of the terminal F atoms, and the in-phase antisymmetric (b₁₇) and the out-of-phase symmetric (b₁₈) stretching modes of the bridging F atoms in descending order. A comparison of the calculated Au₂F₆ vibrational spectrum with our experimental results is shown in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. Both sets of bands, due to AuF₃ and Au₂F₆ diminish under UV light (λ =...
273 nm), whereby the AuF₂ bands are more sensitive to irradiation.

Prior to irradiation a band at 562 cm⁻¹ was present in all spectra, even after deposition of pure alkali metal fluorides MF and is known to be associated with the antisymmetric F₁⁻ stretch in MF₃. The presence of MF₃ ion pairs indicates the sequence of reactions (1)–(3) during laser-ablation and matrix-isolation of alkali fluorides. The MF₃ band disappears completely by irradiation with UV light (λ = 273 nm, 5 min). Its behavior is therefore very different from the irradiation resistant bands at 563–564 cm⁻¹ (cf. Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information).

\[ MF \xrightarrow{hv} M + F \]  
(1)

\[ F + F \rightarrow F₂ \]  
(2)

\[ MF + F₂ \rightarrow MF₃ \]  
(3)

These latter bands show a slight shift depending on the alkali metal M and they are part of a set of four bands that did not appear in the spectra obtained with pure alkali metal fluorides (MF). These findings suggest that the carrier of the four bands is a reaction product of the reactants MF and AuF₂ most likely molecular alkali tetrafluorido aurate.

Calculations at the CCSD(T) level of theory suggest that molecular M[AuF₄] has a C₂ᵥ minimum structure of a distorted square-planar tetrafluorido aurate with two bridging fluorido ligands to the alkali metal (Figure 2). Compared with the free tetrafluorido aurate anion, the angle between the terminal fluorine atoms in the ion pairs almost remains 90°, while the angle between the μ²-F atoms is decreased (87°). The terminal Au–F bonds in the ion pairs are shortened whereas the Au–F bonds to the bridging fluorine atoms are elongated. This trend is also reflected in the calculated harmonic frequencies of the ion pairs M[AuF₄] (Table 1): The terminal Au–F stretches (a₁, b₁) are predicted to appear 45–50 cm⁻¹ higher and the bridging Au–F' stretches (a₂, b₂) 40–60 cm⁻¹ lower than the AuF₂ (e₁) stretch. In the series M[AuF₄] (M = K, Rb, Cs) the terminal Au–F bonds slightly decrease from Cs to K, whereas the Au–F' bonds get longer, following the increase of the Lewis acidity of the alkali metal cations (Cs⁺ < Rb⁺ < K⁺). The observed blueshift of the terminal Au–F stretches at 640–642 and 632–634 cm⁻¹ and the redshift of the Au–F' bands at 563–564, and 542–543 cm⁻¹ in the series from Cs to K is excellently matched by the calculated spectra (Figure 1, Table 1). The IR spectra of crystalline Cat[AuF₄] (Cat⁺ = Cs⁺, Me₄N⁺, Et₄N⁺) show only one AuF₄⁻ band at 598 cm⁻¹ indicating that in the crystal the D₄h symmetry of the anion is preserved.

The assignment of the stretching bands of the M[AuF₄] ion pairs was further confirmed by IR spectra obtained after pulsed laser deposition of KF/K[AuF₄] mixtures (Figure S1, Supporting Information), and CsF/Cs[AuF₄] mixtures (Figure S2) in excess neon. The solid M[AuF₄] salt was found to eliminate fluorine (F₂) during laser-ablation to yield matrix-isolated M[AuF₄] ion pairs [Eq. (4)]. With Cs[AuF₄] for example, Cs[AuF₄] and particularly high amounts of CsF ion pairs were obtained (Figure S2, Supporting Information). At the SCS-MP2 level, reaction (4) is endergonic by ΔG (0 K) = 154 to 157 kJ mol⁻¹ for M = K, Rb, Cs (Table S2).

\[ \text{M[AuF₄]} \xrightarrow{hv, \Delta T} \text{M[AuF₄]} \quad + \quad \text{F₂} \]  
(4)

The free anions AuF₄⁻, predicted at 615 cm⁻¹, and AuF₆⁻, predicted at 651 cm⁻¹ (CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPPD, Table 1), were not observed in any of the experiments. It is, however, possible that the e₁ stretch of the free AuF₄⁻ ion overlaps with a broad band of oligmeric (M[AuF₄])ₙ, as shown in Figure S1, Supporting Information. Matrix-isolation of laser-ablated CsF/Cs[AuF₄]
did neither yield free $\text{AuF}_6^-$ nor the molecular ion pair $\text{Cs}[\text{AuF}_3]$.

In the present study, we report the complete sets of experimental IR stretching bands of molecular $\text{AuF}_6$ and $\text{M}[\text{AuF}_3]$ ion pairs with $\text{M}=\text{K, Rb, and Cs}$ for the first time. These species were produced by laser-ablation of solid mixtures of MF salts with $\text{AuF}_3$ and isolated in solid neon under cryogenic conditions. The metal dependence of the IR active $\text{Au–F}$ stretches in the ion pairs for the different alkali metals is fully consistent with their structural changes obtained by high-level quantum-chemical calculations. With these results at hand, we have shown that pulsed-laser deposition from a mixed salt target is an excellent method to facilitate the reaction of two crystalline nonvolatile reactants under cryogenic conditions. By this new approach, using mixed salt targets, the investigation of larger ion paired species becomes viable.

**Experimental Section**

Matrix-isolation experiments were performed using a self-built matrix chamber in which a vacuum of at least $6 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar was maintained by an oil diffusion pump connected to a rotary vane pump. The matrix support was kept at a temperature of 6 K using a Sumitomo Heavy Industries cold head with a helium compressor unit. IR spectra were recorded using 1000 scans at a resolution of $\leq 0.5$ cm$^{-1}$ on a Bruker Vertex 80v FTIR vacuum spectrometer equipped with a KBr beam splitter and a liquid nitrogen cooled MCT detector (4000-350 cm$^{-1}$).

A typical experiment 97–98% MF ($\text{M}=\text{K, Rb, Cs}$) and $\approx 2$–3% of a fluorido gold species ($\text{AuF}_3$, $\text{M}[\text{AuF}_3]$, or $\text{M}[\text{AuF}_3]$) were mixed and ground under an argon atmosphere and subsequently pressed into a cylindrical pellet using a hydraulic lab press. The target was mounted onto a rotatable target holder and transferred into the matrix chamber. The solid MF/$\text{AuF}_3$ mixture was evaporated using a focused pulsed Nd:YAG IR laser (1064 nm) with pulse energies of $\leq 50$ mJ and a pulse length of 3–7 ns, and co-deposited with pure neon at 6 K using deposition times varying between 90 and 180 min.

$\text{AuF}_3$ and $\text{M}[\text{AuF}_3]$ were prepared as published.$^{[7]}$ For the $\text{Cs}[\text{AuF}_3]$ preparation, $\text{Cs}[\text{AuF}_3]$ (200 mg, 0.49 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous HF. Fluorine (2 bar, 25 equiv) was added and the mixture was irradiated with UV light for 12 h under constant stirring. Finally, excess $\text{F}_2$ and anhydrous HF were removed at low pressure to obtain the product $\text{Cs}[\text{AuF}_3]$ in quantitative yields.

Calculations were carried out at the B3LYP$^{[10]}$, SCS-MP2,$^{[11]}$ and CCSD(T) levels ($\text{M}[\text{AuF}_3]$, $\text{M}=\text{K, Rb, Cs}$) using the Orca 4.0.1$^{[12]}$ program package. CCSD(T) calculations for the free anions $\text{AuF}_6$ and $\text{[AuF}_3]^-$ were performed using Molpro 2015.1.$^{[13]}$ The frozen core approximation was applied in all SCS-MP2 and CCSD(T) calculations. The ion pairs $\text{M}[\text{AuF}_3]$ were calculated using def2-TZVPP$^{[14]}$ basis sets of triple-$\xi$ quality for all atoms. These basis sets include effective core potentials for Rb (ECP-28)$^{[15]}$, Cs (ECP-46),$^{[16]}$ and Au (ECP-60).$^{[17]}$ For the free anions $\text{AuF}_6$ and $\text{[AuF}_3]^-$, def2-TZVPPD$^{[18]}$ basis sets with additional diffuse functions were used for Au and F.
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