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In this paper, we discuss the solvability of a class of multiterm initial value problems involving the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative via the Laplace transform. We derive necessary and sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of solutions to the problem. We also obtain the solutions in closed forms. We present two examples to illustrate the validity of the obtained results.

1. Introduction

Recently, there is great interest to develop new types of fractional derivatives of nonsingular kernel. Motivated by applications, Caputo and Fabrizio were the first to introduce such types of fractional derivatives with nonlocal and nonsingular kernel [1]. The Caputo–Fabrizio derivative is connected with a variety of applications (see [2–6]). Stability analysis of fractional differential equations without inputs was studied in [7], where exponential stability is obtained for the Caputo–Fabrizio derivative. Since their kernels are nonlocal, fractional derivatives preserve memories, and therefore, they have been used to model several (SIR) epidemic models (see [8–14]). Several analytical techniques have been implemented to study various fractional equations with fractional derivatives without singular kernels, such as the Laplace transform, reduction to initial value problems with integer derivatives, maximum principles, and fixed point theorems (see [15–21]), just to mention a few out of many in the literature. The following definitions are required to state our problem.

Definition 1. A function \( f \) is said to be absolutely continuous on \([a, b]\), if there exists a function \( f' \in L^1(a, b) \) such that
\[
    f(t) = f(a) + \int_a^t f'(x)dx, \quad \forall t \in [a, b].
\]
(1)

In the following, we will use the notation \( AC([a, b]) \) to denote the space of absolutely continuous functions on \([a, b]\).

Definition 2. A function \( f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be of exponential order, if there exists three constants \( T, M, C \geq 0 \) such that \( |f(t)| \leq Ce^{Mt}, \forall t \geq T \).

Then, we define the following space.

Definition 3. The space \( \mathcal{M}^1 \) is defined by
\[
    \mathcal{M}^1 = \{ f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}: f \text{ is absolutely continuous on } [0, T], \text{ for any } T > 0, \text{ and } f, f' \text{ are of exponential order} \}.
\]
(2)

In this paper, we consider the multiterm fractional initial value problem:
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \left( C_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^k y \right) (t) = a_{n+1} y(t) + f(t), \quad t > 0, n \geq 2,
\]
(3)

\[
y(0) = y_0,
\]
(4)

where \(1 > \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_n > 0, a_k > 0, k = 1, \ldots, n\), and \(C_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^k\) is the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative of Caputo sense. Here, we assume that \(y, f \in \mathcal{M}^1\), so their Laplace transforms are well defined.

**Definition 4** (see [1]). For \(0 < \alpha < 1, t > 0\) and \(f \in \mathcal{M}^1\), the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative of Caputo sense is defined by

\[
\left( C_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^n f \right) (t) = \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} f' (t)e^{-(\alpha(1-\alpha)) (t-t')} \, dt,
\]
(5)

where \(B(\alpha) > 0\) is a normalization function satisfying \(B(0) = B(1) = 1\).

For the corresponding fractional integral and more properties of the derivative, we refer the readers to [1, 5, 22–24]. In [15, 17], the fractional initial value problems were transformed to equivalent initial value problems with integer derivatives. However, the technique is not valid for the multiterm initial value problems. The single term of the problem with \(n = 1\) was discussed in [23], and the solution of the problem was obtained in a closed form. In this paper, we apply the Laplace transform to analyze the solutions of the fractional initial value problems (3) and (4). This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present some preliminary results about the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative and derive necessary and sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of solutions to problems (3) and (4). We also obtain the exact solutions in closed forms using the Laplace transform. In Section 3, we present two examples to illustrate the validity of our results. Finally, we close up with some concluding remarks in Section 4.

\[\mathcal{M}^2 = \{ f \in C^1 ([0, +\infty)); f' (0^+) = f' (0^-) \in \mathbb{R}, f' \in AC ([0, T]), \text{for any } T > 0, \text{and } f, f', f'' \text{ are of exponential order} \} .\]

**Lemma 2.** The following holds for multiterm fractional initial value problems (3) and (4).

1. If a solution \(y \colon [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) exists such that \(y \in AC ([0, T])\) for any \(T > 0\), then \(c_{n+1} y(0) + f(0) = 0\).
2. If \(f \in \mathcal{M}^1, c_{n+1} y(0) + f(0) = 0, \) and \(c_{n+1} \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k\), then a unique solution \(y \in \mathcal{M}^1\) exists.
3. If a solution \(y \in \mathcal{M}^2\) exists for \(y_0 \neq 0\) and \(f \in \mathcal{M}^2\), then \(c_{n+1} \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k\).

**Proof.**

1. Let \(y \in C ([0, +\infty))\) be a solution to multiterm fractional initial value problems (3) and (4) with \(y \in AC ([0, T])\) for any \(T > 0\). By Lemma 1, \(C_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^n y (t) = 0\) for any \(k = 1, \ldots, n\). Then, from equation (3), one gets

\[
c_{n+1} y(0) + f(0) = 0.
\]

2. Let \(y_0 \neq 0, f \in \mathcal{M}^1, c_{n+1} y(0) + f(0) = 0, \) and \(c_{n+1} \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k\). Then, applying the Laplace transform...
to equation (6) and using the convolution result, we have
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{s + \mu_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_j}{s + \mu_j} \right) + sL(y)(t) - y_0 = c_{n+1}L(y)(t) + F(s), \]
(10)
where \( F(s) = \mathcal{L}(f)(t) \). The above equation yields
\[ \mathcal{L}(f)(t) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{s + \mu_k} - c_{n+1} \right) = y_0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{s + \mu_k} + F(s). \]
(11)
We have
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{s + \mu_k} = \frac{c_1}{s + \mu_1} + \frac{c_2}{s + \mu_2} + \cdots + \frac{c_n}{s + \mu_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{c_k}{s + \mu_k} = \frac{Q_{n+1}(s)}{P_n(s)}, \]
(12)
where \( Q_{n+1}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n} (s + \mu_j) \) and \( P_n(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (s + \mu_k) \) are polynomials of degrees \( n-1 \) and \( n \), respectively. Substituting in equation (11), we have
\[ \mathcal{L}(y)(t) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}}{P_n(s)} \right) = y_0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{s + \mu_k} + F(s), \]
(13)
or
\[ \mathcal{L}(y)(s) = y_0 \frac{Q_{n+1}(s)}{sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s)} F(s). \]
(14)
Now, the leading coefficient of the polynomial \( Q_{n+1}(s) \) is \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \), and the leading coefficient of the polynomial \( P_n(s) \) is 1. If \( c_{n+1} \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \), then \( sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n \). Let
\[ H_1(s) = \frac{Q_{n+1}(s)}{sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s)} F(s), \]
(15)
\[ H_2(s) = \frac{1}{s} \frac{P_n(s)}{sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s)} F(s) \]
so that \( \mathcal{L}^{-1}(H_1(s)) = h_1(t) \) and \( \mathcal{L}^{-1}(H_2(s)) = h_2(t) \) are well defined. Then,
\[ \mathcal{L}(y)(t) = y_0H_1(s) + H_2(s)F(s) = y_0H_1(s) + H(s)\mathcal{L}(f')(t) + f(0). \]
(16)
Using the uniqueness result of the inverse Laplace transform, we have
\[ y(t) = y_0h_1(t) + h_2(t) * f'(t) + f(0)h_2(t), \]
(17)
which completes the proof.

(3) Let a solution \( y \in \mathcal{H} \) exists for \( y_0 \neq 0 \) and \( f \in \mathcal{M}^2 \).

Starting from equation (14), let us define
\[ \tilde{H}_1(s) = y_0 \frac{Q_{n+1}(s)}{sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s)} F(s), \]
(18)
\[ \tilde{g}(s) = \frac{P_n(s)}{s^2(sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s))} F(s). \]
(19)
\[ \tilde{H}_2(s) = \frac{P_n(s)}{s^2(sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s))}. \]
(20)
\[ \tilde{H}_2 \] admits an inverse Laplace transform since \( sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s) \) is of degree \( n-1 \). Moreover, since \( f \in \mathcal{M}^2 \), also \( s^2\tilde{F}(s) \) admits an inverse Laplace transform, thus also \( \tilde{g}(s) \) (by the convolution rule). One can rewrite equation (14) as
\[ \mathcal{L}(y)(s) - \tilde{g}(s) = \tilde{H}_1(s). \]
(21)
However, since \( sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s) \) is of degree \( n-1 \) and \( y \neq 0 \), the right-hand side does not admit an inverse Laplace transform while the left-hand side does, which is a contradiction.

\[ \Box \]

Lemma 3. Let \( y \in AC([0, T]), T > 0, \) be a solution to the multiterm fractional initial value problem (3) with \( y(0) = 0, \) then \( f(0) = 0. \)

Proof. From Lemma 1, since \( y_0 = y(0) = 0, \) then \( f(0) = 0. \)

\[ \Box \]

Remark 2. If \( y(0) = f(0) = 0, f \in \mathcal{M}^1, \) and \( c_{n+1} \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k, \) then a solution \( y \in \mathcal{M} \) exists by Lemma 2. If \( f \in \mathcal{M}^2, \) a solution exists even if \( c_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k, \) and it can be evaluated using the Laplace transform in the following manner.

Lemma 4. Let \( y(t) \) be a possible solution to multiterm fractional initial value problems (3) and (4), with \( y(0) = f(0) = 0, c_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k, \) and \( f \in \mathcal{M}^2. \) If \( G(s) = s^2\mathcal{L}(f)(t) \) has an inverse Laplace transform, then \( y(t) = h_3(t) \) is \( \mathcal{L}^{-1}(h_3(s)) \), where \( h_3(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(H_3(s)) \) is defined in equation (22).

Proof. Substituting \( y_0 = 0, \) in equation (14), we have
\[ \mathcal{L}(y)(t) = \frac{P_n(s)}{sQ_{n+1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s)} F(s). \]
Since \( c_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \), we have \( sQ_{n-1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n - 1 \). Let
\[
H_3(s) = \frac{sQ_{n-1}(s) - c_{n+1}P_n(s)}{s^2},
\]
so that \( \mathcal{L}^{-1}(H_3)(s) = h_3(t) \) is well defined. Then, equation (14) yields
\[
\mathcal{L}(y)(t) = H_3(s)s^2F(s).
\] (23)
If \( f \in \mathcal{M}^2 \), then \( \mathcal{L}(y)(t) \) in equation (23) can be written as
\[
\mathcal{L}(y)(t) = H_3(s)(\mathcal{L}(f^n)(t) + sf(0) + f'(0)).
\] (24)
Applying the inverse Laplace transform, we have
\[
y(t) = h_3 \ast f^n(t) + f'(0)h_3(t).
\] (25)
We summarize the obtained results in the following main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Consider multiterm fractional initial value problems (3) and (4).

1. For \( y_0 = 0 \), if a solution \( y \in AC([0, T]), T > 0 \), exists, then \( f(0) = 0 \).
2. If \( f \in \mathcal{M}^1, c_{n+1}y(0) + f(0) = 0 \), and \( c_{n+1} \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \), then a solution \( y \in \mathcal{M}^1 \) exists.
3. If \( f \in \mathcal{M}^2 \) and \( y_0 \neq 0 \), then a solution \( y \in \mathcal{M}^1 \) exists if and only if \( c_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \).
4. If \( y_0 = 0, f \in \mathcal{M}^2, \) and \( f(0) = 0 \), then a solution \( y \in \mathcal{M}^1 \) exists.

**3. Illustrative Examples**

We discuss two main examples. The first one is a two-term problem where it holds that \( c_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \). We show the existence of a solution for a specific case by imposing extra conditions. The second example is a three-term problem where it holds that \( c_{n+1} \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \). So, the existence of a unique solution is guaranteed. We present the solution in a closed form and discuss several special cases.

**Example 1.** Consider the two-term fractional initial value problem:
\[
(e^{-\mu_1 t} + e^{-\mu_2 t}) \ast y'(t) = 2y(t) + f(t),
\]
\[
y(0) = y_0.
\] (26)
Since \( c_1 + c_2 = c_3 \), then the problem has no solution for \( y(0) \neq 0 \). For \( y(0) = f(0) = 0 \) and \( s^2 \mathcal{L}(f)(t) \) has Laplace inverse, the problem admits a solution. To verify, let us find the solution given by equation (25). We have
\[
Q_1(s) = 2s + \mu_1 + \mu_2,
\]
\[
P_2(s) = (s + \mu_1)(s + \mu_2),
\]
\[
H_3(s) = \frac{s(s + \mu_1)(s + \mu_2)}{(s + \mu_1)(s + \mu_2)s + 2\mu_1\mu_2}
\]
\[
= \frac{R_1}{s} + \frac{R_2}{s^2} + \frac{R_3}{(s + \mu_1)(s + \mu_2)s + 2\mu_1\mu_2},
\] (27)
where
\[
R_1 = \frac{1}{4} \mu_1 + \mu_2,
\]
\[
R_2 = \frac{1}{2},
\]
\[
R_3 = \frac{1}{4} \mu_1 \mu_2.
\] (28)
Thus,
\[
h_3(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(H_3)(s) = R_1 + R_2t + \frac{R_3}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} e^{-2(\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3)t},
\]
and the solution \( y(t) = h_3(t) \ast f^n(t) + f'(0)h_3(t) \) is obtained, provided that \( f \in \mathcal{M}^2 \).

As a special case, let us consider \( f(t) = t^2 \). Then, \( f(0) = 0, \mathcal{L}(f)(t) = (2t^2), \) and \( s^2 \mathcal{L}(f)(t) = (2s^2) \) have Laplace inverse. Thus,
\[
y(t) = h_3(t) \ast f^n(t) + f'(0)h_3(t) = h_3(t) \ast 2
\]
\[
= 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left( R_1 + R_2r + \frac{R_3}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} e^{-2(\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3)t} \right) dr
\]
\[
= 2R_1t + R_2t^2 - \frac{R_3}{\mu_1\mu_2} \left( e^{-2(\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3)t} - 1 \right).
\] (30)
For \( \mu_1 = (2/3) \) and \( \mu_2 = (1/3) \), we have
\[
R_1 = -(9/8), R_2 = -(1/2), R_3 = (1/8), \text{ and}
\]
\[
y(t) = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{9}{16} e^{-4(9/8)t} + \frac{9}{16}
\] (31)

**Example 2.** As a second example, we consider the three-term initial value problem:
\[
(e^{-\mu_1 t} + e^{-\mu_2 t} + e^{-\mu_3 t}) \ast y'(t) = y(t) + f(t),
\]
\[
y(0) = y_0.
\] (32)
Since \( c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 1 \) and \( c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \neq c_4 \), the problem has a unique solution given by equation (17) provided that \( y(0) + f(0) = 0 \). We have
\[ Q_2(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} c_k \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{3} (s + \mu_j) = (s + \mu_2)(s + \mu_3) + (s + \mu_1)(s + \mu_3) + (s + \mu_1)(s + \mu_2), \]
\[ = \prod_{k=1}^{3} (s + \mu_k) = (s + \mu_1)(s + \mu_2)(s + \mu_3). \]

Let
\[ T_3(s) = sQ_2(s) - c_4P_3(s) = 2s^3 + (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3)s^2 - \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3, \]
then
\[ H_1(s) = \frac{Q_2(s)}{T_3(s)}, \]
\[ H_2(s) = \frac{1}{s} \frac{P_3(s)}{T_3(s)}, \]
with
\[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} (H_1) (s) = h_1(t), \]
\[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} (H_2) (s) = h_2(t), \]
and the solution is given by
\[ y(t) = y_0h_1(t) + h_2(t) \ast f'(t) + f(0)h_2(t). \]

For \( f(t) = t \), we have \( y(0) = -f(0) = 0 \), and thus
\[ y(t) = h_2(t) \ast 1 = \int_0^t h_2(r)dr. \]

For \( f(t) = 1 \), we have \( y(0) = -f(0) = -1 \), and thus
\[ y(t) = h_2(t) - h_1(t). \]

As a specific case, if we consider \( \mu_1 = (7/5), \mu_2 = (1/2), \) and \( \mu_3 = (1/3), \) we have
\[ Q_2(s) = 3s^3 + \frac{67}{15}s + \frac{4}{3}, \]
\[ P_3(s) = s^3 + \frac{134}{30}s^2 + \frac{2}{15}s + \frac{7}{30}, \]
\[ T_3(s) = 2s^3 + \frac{67}{30}s^2 - \frac{7}{30}, \]
\[ H_1(s) = \frac{Q_2(s)}{P_3(s)} = \frac{90s^2 + 134s + 40}{60s^3 + 67s^2 - 7} = \frac{A_0}{s + 1} + \frac{A_1}{s + \Delta_1} + \frac{A_2}{s + \Delta_2}, \]
\[ H_2(s) = \frac{1}{s} \frac{P_3(s)}{T_3(s)} = \frac{30s^3 + 67s^2 + 40s + 7}{s(s + 1)(s + \Delta_1)(s + \Delta_2)} = \frac{1}{s} + H_1(s), \]
where \( \Delta_1 = (7 + \sqrt{1729})/120, \Delta_2 = (7 - \sqrt{1729})/120, A_0 = -(2/23), A_1 = (73\sqrt{1729} - 3113)/92\sqrt{1729}, A_2 = (73\sqrt{1729} + 3113)/92\sqrt{1729}, h_1(t) = A_0e^{-t + A_1}e^{-\Delta_1t} + A_2e^{-\Delta_2t}, \) and \( h_2(t) = h_1(t) - 1. \)

For \( f(t) = t \), we have \( y(t) = \int_0^t h_2(r)dr = (A_0t + (A_1/\Delta_1) + (A_2/\Delta_2)) - t - A_0e^{-t} - (A_1/\Delta_1)e^{-\Delta_1t} - (A_2/\Delta_2)e^{-\Delta_2t}. \)

4. Concluding Remarks

We obtained the solutions of a class of multiterm fractional initial value problems in closed forms using the Laplace transform. We have also discussed several necessary and sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of solutions to the problem. Whether the results are extendable to wider classes of multiterm initial value problems or systems of fractional equations is left for future work.
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