Study of Nutrient Foramina of Human Radii of Saurashtra Region
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Abstract

Introduction: In long bones including radius, surface opening of nutrient canal is known as nutrient foramen, which transmits nutrient artery to supply cortical bone and medullary cavity. Topographical information about nutrient foramen is very crucial during various orthopedic surgical procedures. Objective: Present study was conducted to study number of nutrient foramina, its location and direction in relation to growing end of bone and to calculate foraminal index of human radius. Subjects and Methods: In present study 63 (31 right and 32 left sided) radii bone of unknown age and sex were studied. Only fully intact bone without any disease were included. Surface location and direction of nutrient foramina were recorded. Total length of radius was measured with osteometric board. Distance of nutrient foramen from proximal end was measured with digital vernier caliper. And foraminal index was calculated. All data was tabulated and analyzed statistically. Results: All radii had single nutrient foramen. Majority (82.54%) of the nutrient foramina were detected on the anterior surface, 14.29% were on posterior surface and only two bone have nutrient foramen on other surface. Zone I contained 30.16% and Zone II contained 66.67% and Zone III contained 3.17% foramina. Direction of all foramina were towards upper end of radius except two bones. Conclusion: Almost all the results coincided with previous studies from different geographical regions. Thorough knowledge of morphology of nutrient foramina is necessary for preserving circulation. Because it is very crucial in bone grafting, fracture healing, joint replacement therapy and vascularized bone micro surgeries.
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Introduction

Radius is the lateral bone of forearm and is homologus with the tibia of the lower limb.[1] Shaft of appendicular long bones are usually supplied by one or two arteries known as nutrient arteries. Nutrient arteries enters in the bone through nutrient foramina to travel through nutrient canal and provide blood supply to inner cortex and medullary cavity of long bone. Surface opening of nutrient canal is called nutrient foramen.[2] Nutrient canal is directed obliquely, so on surface of bone nutrient foramen is also obliquely placed and directed toward one of the end of the bone. Generally nutrient foramen is directed away from growing end but it is not always the case. The topographical knowledge of nutrient foramina is very crucial in operative procedures particularly in orthopedic surgeries to preserve the circulation.[3] Nutrient arteries must be preserved in bone grafting, fracture healing joint replacement and vascularized bone micro surgeries.[4,5] In Radius Nutrient foramen is usually single and located at middle of its anterior surface and directed toward upper end of bone.[6] Aim of present study was to observe and measure the nutrient foramina of radii of saurashtra region and to find out that observation coincides or differs from other studies.

Subjects and Methods

In this study 63 dry human radii of unknown age and sex (31 right and 32 left sided) from Department of Anatomy, P.D.U. Medical College, Rajkot were added. The bones having gross pathological deformities and damaged and unossified bones were excluded from the study. In each bone surfaces of shaft were observed with hand lens to find out nutrient foramina. Criteria for identification of foramen were a bony groove leading to foramen and diameter of foramen. [Figure 1]. Foramina allowing insertion of 24 gauge or thicker needle were noted and studies. Total length of bone was measured with osteometric board. [Figure 3]. Distance between proximal end of radius and nutrient foramen (DNF) was measured with digital vernier caliper. [Figure 2]. It was measured from upper surface of head of radius to margin of nutrient foramen. Criteria for identification of foramen were a bony groove leading to foramen and diameter of foramen. Diameter were measured by inserting needles of various gauge. [Figure 1]. Foramina allowing insertion of 24 gauge or thicker needle were noted and studies. Total length of bone was measured with osteometric board. [Figure 3]. Distance between proximal end of radius and nutrient foramen (DNF) was measured with digital vernier caliper. [Figure 2]. It was measured from upper surface of head of radius to margin of nutrient foramen. Then Foraminal index was calculated using formula FI= (DNF/TL) ×100 by Hughes 1952,[7] and Shulman 1959,[8] where FI is Foraminal Index, TL is total length of radius and DNF is distance of proximal end of radius from nutrient foramen. Location of nutrient foramen on shaft was classified in three Zones by
value of foraminal index. Zone-I: FI less than 33.33, foramen in upper 1/3rd of shaft, Zone-II: FI between 33.33 and 66.66, foramen in middle 1/3rd of shaft, Zone-III: FI more than 66.66 foramen in lower 1/3rd of shaft. All the data was tabulated and analyzed statistically.

**Results**

Data of number of nutrient foramen in radius is tabulated in Table-1. In this study, we observed that all radius had only one nutrient foramen.

| Table 1: Number of nutrient foramina of radius. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| No of nutrient foramen | Right | Left | Total |
|-----------------|-------|------|-------|
| No. of Radius | % No. of Radius | % No. of Radius | % |
| 0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 1 | 31 | 100 | 32 |
| 2 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Total | 31 | 100 | 32 |

As [Table 2] shows, in this study, we found that 82.54% of radius have nutrient foramen on its anterior surface, 14.29% of radius have foramen on posterior surface. Only one bone of right side have nutrient foramen on lateral surface and one having foramen on interosseus border.

| Table 2: Location of nutrient foramen (NF) of radius. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Location of NF | Right | Left | Total |
|-----------------|-------|------|-------|
| No. of radius | % No. of radius | % No. of radius | % |
| Anterior Surface | 26 | 83.87 | 26 | 81.25 | 52 | 82.54 |
| Posterior Surface | 3 | 9.68 | 6 | 18.75 | 9 | 14.29 |
| Lateral surface | 1 | 3.22 | 0 | 00 | 1 | 1.59 |
| Interosseus border | 1 | 3.22 | 0 | 00 | 1 | 1.59 |

[Table 3] shows that 30.16% of radii have foramen in upper 1/3rd of shaft, 66.67% of radii have foramen in middle 1/3rd of shaft and 3.17% radii have foramen in lower 1/3rd of shaft.

| Table 3: Showing distribution of nutrient foramen in respect to zone of Radius |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Zone | Right (31) | Left (32) | Total (63) |
| Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Zone I | 7 | 22.58 | 12 | 37.5 | 19 | 30.16 |
| Zone II | 23 | 74.19 | 19 | 59.38 | 42 | 66.67 |
| Zone III | 01 | 03.23 | 01 | 03.12 | 02 | 03.17 |

Nutrient foramina were directed towards the upper end of all radii [Figure 1] except two bone having foramina directed towards lower end.

[Table 4] shows the mean value and standard deviation (SD) and range of values of TL, DNF and foraminal index of radius. Total length of radius have mean±SD of 24±2.12 cm; DNF have mean±SD of 8.65±1.76 cm and foraminal index have mean±SD of 36.14±7.11 %.

| Table 4: Mean values, SD and range of statistical measurements of nutrient foramina of radius. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Parametre | Right 31 | Left 32 | Total 63 |
| Mean±SD of TL | 24.06±2.18 cm | 23.89±2.10 cm | 24±2.12 cm |
| Range of TL | 20.4-30.5 cm | 20.7-29.9 cm | 20.4-30.5 cm |
| Mean±SD of DNF | 8.94±2.11 cm | 8.38±1.33 cm | 8.65±1.76 cm |
| Range of DNF | 6.18-17.81 cm | 6.22-12.06 cm | 6.18-12.06 cm |
| Mean±SD of FI | 37.27±8.54% | 35.18±5.36% | 36.14±7.11% |
| Range of FI | 22.46-72.99 % | 27.86-88% | 22.46-88% |

TL= total length, DNF=Distance from proximal end to NF; FI= Foraminal Index

Figure 1: Nutrient foramen in radius

Figure 2: Measuring DNF
Number of nutrient foramina
All the radii had single nutrient foramen. Which correspond with other studies on radius like Pereira et al.[9] Dr. Mani Arora and Swati Shah1 et al.[10,11]

Direction of nutrient foramen
All nutrient foramina were directed towards upper end of radius except two bones which correlates with other studies.

Position of nutrient foramina
In 66.67% radii foramina were lying on middle 3rd of shaft and in 30.16 % on upper 3rd of shaft. In this study mean and SD of foraminal index was 36.14±7.11 %. These results are confirmatory with the other studies like Forriol Campos F et al.[12] Pereira et al.[9]

Clinical relevance
Morphometry of nutrient foramina in long bones is very important in surgical procedures like joint replacement therapy, fracture repair, bone grafts and vascularized bone microsurgery. During fracture and dislocation nutrient arteries get commonly injured. During treatment of fracture and dislocation due care must be taken to prevent injury to nutrient artery. So this study will help orthopedic surgeons regarding number and position of nutrient arteries of radius.
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