Molecular Analysis of Enterovirus C Species Using the 5’ Untranslated Region and VP1 Region

Abstract

Enteroviruses (EVs) of EV-C species evolve quickly, mainly due to high mutation and recombination rates. The 5’ untranslated region (UTR) is fundamentally important for efficient viral replication and for virulence; the VP1 region correlates well with antigenic typing by neutralization, and can be used for virus identification and evolutionary studies. In order to investigate the evolutionary diversity and molecular epidemiology in EV-C species, the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions were analyzed in 16 clinical isolates from a single public-health laboratory (serving New South Wales, Australia), representing seven types (serotypes/genotypes) in EV-C. Sequences were compared with the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions of 183 strains available in GenBank, representing the same seven types. The genetic relationships were analyzed using MEGA. The sequence analyses of the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions of 199 EV-C strains demonstrated that:

a) Comparing the two regions provides strong evidence of epidemiological linkage of EV-C strains in some types.

b) The intratypic genetic variation within each gene reveals that they evolve distinctly, largely due to their different functions.

c) Mutation and possible recombination in the two regions play significant roles in the evolutionary diversity of EV-C.
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Introduction

Enteroviruses (EVs) (genus Enterovirus, family Picornaviridae) are small RNA viruses associated with a variety of human diseases ranging from asymptomatic or mild infections to more severe diseases such as aseptic meningitis and acute flaccid paralysis [1]. The EV genome is a positive single-stranded RNA molecule of approximately 7,500 nucleotides, comprising a single open reading frame flanked 5’ and 3’ by untranslated regions (UTRs). In the 5’ UTR, the cloverleaf structure of domain (stem-loop) I is important for viral replication [2,3] while domains II to VI encompass the internal ribosome entry site (IRES) which directs translation of the mRNA by internal ribosome binding [4]. The 5’ UTR is relatively conserved at the nucleotide level, and is often used for nucleic acid testing to diagnose EV infections [5,6].

The coding region, divided into three sub regions (P1, P2 and P3), encompasses a single open reading frame encoding a poly protein. The P1 region encodes four structural proteins (VP4, VP2, VP3 and VP1); the non-structural proteins are encoded in the P2 (2A, 2B and 2C) and P3 (3A, 3B, 3C and 3D polymerase) regions. VP1, VP2 and VP3 are located at the surface of the viral capsid and are exposed to immune pressure, whereas VP4 is internal [7]. The VP1 capsid protein is the most external and immuno dominant of the picornavirus capsid proteins [8] and contains most neutralization epitopes.

Currently, EVs are classified to twelve species, Enterovirus A to H, J and Rhinovirus A to C (http://www.picornaviridae.com). Seven of the species, Enterovirus A to D (formerly named Human Enterovirus A to D) and Rhinovirus A to C (formerly named Human rhinovirus A to C) are known to infect humans [9]. EV-C species comprises three poliovirus (PV) serotypes (PV1, -2 and -3), nine coxsackie virus A (CVA) serotypes (CVA1, -11, -13, -17, -19, -20, -21, -22 and -24) and 11 new types. VP1 sequences correlate well with antigenic typing by neutralization, and can be used for virus identification and evolutionary studies [10,11]. Molecular typing methods largely depend on reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) amplification and nucleotide sequencing of the entire or 3’ half of the VP1 gene [1]. Comparison of individual VP1 sequence with databases of VP1 sequences of EV prototype and variant strains allows genotype assignation and identification of new EVs [12].

Four EV-C serotypes (including three PV serotypes and CVA24) have been identified from sero typed isolates in a single laboratory (serving New South Wales, Australia) from 1979 to 2007. In addition, 3.6% (227/6383) of EVs were non sero type able by neutralization. Three EV-C genotypes (CVA11, -20 and -21) were identified from non sero type able EV isolates by partial VP1 sequencing [13]. Therefore, a total of seven EV-C types (serotypes/genotypes) have been identified in our laboratory over 29 years. In order to investigate evolutionary diversity and molecular epidemiology in EV-C, the nucleotide sequences of 5’
UTR and VP1 regions of 16 clinical isolates (representing these seven EV-C types) were compared with those of the 5' UTR and VP1 regions of 183 strains (representing the same seven types) available in GenBank.

**Methods**

Viruses: The laboratory database contains 6383 EVs ('local isolates') between 1979 and 2007 from clinical samples. Isolates were obtained by inoculation of clinical specimens, including specimens in which EV had been detected by real-time fluorescence PCR, into cultures of monkey kidney cells (primary, secondary or cell lines) and various continuous cell lines, including A549 (human lung carcinoma), MRC-5 (human embryonic lung fibroblast), and RD (human rhabdomyosarcoma) cells. Prior to 2002, the titer of each cell culture with Enterovirus cytopathic effect (CPE) was first determined, and then a neutralization assay was performed in tissue culture tubes by using intersecting pools of hyper immune horse sera (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) against 100 50% tissue culture infective doses (TCID50) of each isolate. If necessary, further identification was performed using a monovalent horse antiserum corresponding to the serotype identified by the intersecting pools. After 2002, group specific monoclonal pools and/or type specific monoclonal antibodies (Chemi-Con, Temecula, CA, USA) were used as a rapid identification alternative and neutralization was performed on isolates that were not identified. EV isolates that were not identifiable by standard sero neutralization procedures were labeled as 'nonserotypeable' isolates. Virus isolates were stored as unpurified cell culture supernatants at -70°C after initial isolation and sero typing [13,14]. Beyond this they were usually not further passages. This study analyzed 16 EV-C local isolates representing seven EV-C types, (Table 1), including five initially classified as nonserotypeable by neutralization.

### Table 1: The 16 EV-C local isolates used for analysis of 5’ UTR and VP1 regions.

| Serotype/genotype | No. of sequences from GenBank (prototype strain GenBank no.) | Laboratory identifiera | Age (months-M or years-Y) | Specimen type | 5’ UTR GenBank accession number of local isolate | VP1 GenBank accession number of local isolate |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| PV1               | 69 (wild prototype strains [V01149 and AY560657], Sabin 1 vaccine strain [V01150 or AY194219]) | 03.295.3171           | 3M                        | Nasopharyngeal aspirate | GU236309                                       | -                                             |
|                   |                                                             | 05.354.2186           | 5M                        | Nasopharyngeal aspirate | GU236310                                       | -                                             |
|                   |                                                             | 03.309.2667           | 6M                        | Nasopharyngeal aspirate | -                                              | -                                             |
| PV2               | 60 (wild prototype strain [M12197], Sabin 2 vaccine strain [X00595 or AY184220]) | 102373.91             | 5M                        | Stool | GU236311                                       | -                                             |
|                   |                                                             | 90181.91              | 3M                        | Stool | -                                             | FJ868310                                      |
|                   |                                                             | 21554.91              | 4M                        | Stool | -                                             | -                                             |
| PV3               | 13 (wild prototype strain [K01392], Sabin 3 vaccine strain [X00925, AY184221 or X00596]) | 50660.91              | 6M                        | Stool | GU236312                                       | FJ868312                                      |
|                   |                                                             | 82635.91              | 4M                        | Stool | GU236313                                       | -                                             |
|                   |                                                             | 106210.91             | 6M                        | Stool | GU236314                                       | FJ868311                                      |
|                   |                                                             | 9245.91               | 5M                        | Stool | -                                             | FJ868336                                      |
| CVA11             | 3 (AF499636)                                                | 03.105.2708*          | 2Y                        | Stool | GU236304                                       | GU142908                                      |
| CVA20             | 12 (AF499642)                                               | 00.193.2216*          | 1Y                        | Stool | GU236305                                       | GU142909                                      |
|                   |                                                             | 3867.921*             | 2Y                        | Swab | GU236306                                       | GU142910                                      |
| CVA21             | 14 (AF546702)                                               | 98.072.1407*          | 6Y                        | Swab | GU236307                                       | GU142911                                      |
| CVA24             | 12 (EF026081)                                               | 06.278.4269.1*        | 34Y                       | Eye   | GU236308                                       | FJ868371                                      |
|                   |                                                             | 06.278.4269.2         | 34Y                       | Eye   | -                                             | -                                             |

**Note**

a) Nucleotide sequences of 183 strains available in GenBank, representing the seven types from EV-C, were trimmed and downloaded separately into two databases for 5’ UTR and VP1 region, using Bio manager. The database of these prototype strains is based on previously published sequences [1].

b) A total of 16 EV local clinical isolates from EV-C, including five nonserotypeable isolates (labelled ‘#’), were sequenced separately for 5’ UTR and VP1 regions.

---
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Figure 1a: Phylogenetic trees showing the genetic relationships among 72 PV1 strains, 63 PV2 strains and 17 PV3 strains (including 10 local isolates, labeled 'a', 'b' and 'c', respectively, with laboratory identifiers provided in parentheses) based on alignment of the complete VP1 (906, 903 and 900 nucleotides, respectively) and partial 5' UTR (489, 490 and 492 nucleotides, respectively) sequences. Trees were constructed by neighbor joining using Nucleotide/Kimura 2-parameter method with MEGA, version 5.0 [21]. Bootstrap values (percentage of 1,000 pseudo replicate data sets) of ≥75% supporting each cluster are shown at the nodes. The scale bars represent the genetic distance.

Figure 1b: The corresponding VP1 groups or subgroups are indicated. Strain names indicate a GenBank accession no./country or area/year of isolation.

ALB: Albania; AUS: Australia; Belarus; BYE: Byelorussian Republic; CHN: The Chinese mainland; DOM: Dominican Republic; EGY: Egypt; EST: Estonia; FI: Finland; GER: Germany; GRE: Greece; HAI: Haiti; IRA: Iran; ISR: Israel; ITA: Italy; MAD: Madagascar; ME: The Middle East; NIG: Nigeria; NOR: Norway; PER: Peru; PHI: Philippines; RUS: Russia; SPA: Spain; TW: Taiwan; UK: The United Kingdom
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Figure 2a: Phylogenetic trees showing the genetic relationships among 7 PV1, 6 PV2, 8 PV3, 14 CVA11, 14 CVA20, 15 CVA21 and 14 CVA24 strains (including 3, 3, 4, 1, 2 and 2 local isolates, respectively, labelled 'a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f' and 'g', respectively), with laboratory identifiers provided in parentheses based on alignment of the partial VP1 (322 to 328 nucleotides) and partial 5'UTR (487 to 493 nucleotides) sequences. Trees were constructed by neighbour joining using Nucleotide/Kimura 2-parameter method with MEGA, version 5.0 [21]. Bootstrap values (percentage of 1,000 pseudo replicate data sets) of ≥75% supporting each cluster are shown at the nodes. The scale bars represent the genetic distance.

Figure 2b: The corresponding VP1 groups are indicated. Strain names indicate a GenBank accession no./country or area/year of isolation.

AUS: Australia; BAN: Bangladesh; BEL: Belgium; BRA: Brazil; DOM: Dominican Republic; GUA: Guatemala; JAM: Jamaica; MOR: Morocco; NET: Netherlands; PR: Puerto Rico; SIN: Singapore; SA: South Africa; UK: the United Kingdom
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PCR primers and sequencing primers for 5’ UTR and VP1: published primers for PCR amplification (5UTR-S and 5UTR-A1) and sequencing (5UTR-S and 5UTR-A2) of partial 5’ UTR were used [15]. Published primers 494 to 497 for PCR amplification and sequencing of complete VP1 were obtained [16], as well as PCR amplification and sequencing primers 292 and 222 for analysis of partial VP1 sequences [16]. Primer sequences were evaluated using the Sigma DNA Calculator (Sigma, http://www.sigma-genosys.com/calc/dnacalc.asp), and synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich (Sydney, NSW, Australia).

RT-PCR for 5’ UTR and VP1: Viral RNA was extracted from 200 µl of virus-infected cell culture supernatant by use of a High Pure viral RNA kit (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s procedures and eluted with 50 µl elution buffer. Reverse transcription (RT) was performed as described previously [17]. EV-specific 5’ UTR PCR (using primers 5UTR-S and 5UTR-A1) for all 16 EV local isolates and partial VP1 PCR (using primers 292 and 222) for four isolates were performed as described previously [15]. The methods of complete VP1 PCR for 12 EV-C isolates were as described previously [17].

Sequencing and analysis for 5’ UTR and VP1: Sequencing methods were described previously [17]. For 5’ UTR sequencing of all 16 local isolates, primers 5UTR-S and 5UTR-A2 were used; for sequencing partial VP1 of four isolates, primers 292 and 222 were employed [15]. The complete VP1 gene for each of 12 EV-C isolates was sequenced in two fragments using primers 494 to 497, followed by assembly of the sequences of the two fragments. The previously tested serotype for each of 11 sero typed isolates or the genotype for each of five nonserotypeable isolates (Table 1) was confirmed by pair wise comparison of the complete or partial VP1 sequence with a database containing VP1 sequences for the prototype and variant strains of all known EV serotypes [12].

Comparison with GenBank database sequences: For molecular analysis, the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions of the 16 local isolates were compared with the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions of 183 strains (Table 1) available in GenBank representing the same seven types. The length for each of the 183 GenBank sequences was at least 3,200 nucleotides, including both 5’ UTR and VP1 regions. Sequences were trimmed and downloaded separately into two databases for 5’ UTR and VP1 using Bio manager [17]. Most of the 183 GenBank sequences represent strains isolated from disease outbreaks from different locations over time; a small number of sequences were generated from asymptomatic or healthy people [18]. Several strains were isolated from the same patient over time (e.g., in a study of long-term evolution of Sabin PV1 in an immuno deficient patient [19], and a small number were laboratory-modified strains [20]). Where available, further information (including isolation dates and countries of origin) that could not be found directly from GenBank was obtained from the associated references.

Data analysis for 5’ UTR and VP1: Two groups (PVs; CVA11, -20, -21, -24 and PVS) were analyzed separately. Alignment of all 5’ UTR and VP1 nucleotide sequences was undertaken intra-typically and inter-typically for EVs from EV-C using the ClustalW (accurate) program of Bio manager. Phylogenetic trees of 5’ UTR and VP1 regions were reconstructed by neighbor joining using the nucleotide/Kimura two-parameter method with MEGA, version 5 [21] (Figures 1 & 2). Bootstrap analysis with 1,000 pseudo replicates provides an estimate of reliability for phylogenetic reconstructions. The bootstrap values in 1,000 pseudo replicates within trees are shown as percentages.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers: The GenBank accession numbers for the 5’ UTR sequences of the local isolates are GU236304 to GU236314; and for the VP1 sequences are FJ868310 to FJ868312, FJ868336, FJ068371, and GU142908 to GU142911 (Table 1).

Results

Sequencing results for 5’ UTR and VP1

The length of the 5’ UTR sequences for the 16 local isolates ranged between 487 and 493 nucleotides, covering the relatively conserved major part of the IRES. The relatively long 5’ UTR sequences amplified by one consensus primer pair (5UTR-S and 5UTR-A1) provided a more accurate and systematic interpretation for 5’ UTR [15].

The deduced amino acid sequences from the partial or complete VP1 sequences included the B-C loop, a region needed for type-specific antibody reactivity [22]. The VP1 genotypes of all 11 sero typed isolates corresponded with their respective serotypes; for the five nonserotypeable isolates, genotypes were determined by VP1 sequencing. All seven types (serotypes/genotypes) analyzed in this study are listed in (Table 1).

Background of 16 local EV-C isolates

Ages of the patients (or asymptomatic PV Sabin vaccine recipients) and specimen types of the 16 local EV-C isolates are presented in (Table 1). These EV-C isolates were cultured from stool, nasopharyngeal aspirates, eye or other swabs.

Data analysis for PV1, -2 and -3

The phylogenetic relationships among 72 PV1 strains, 63 PV2 strains and 17 PV3 strains, including 10 local isolates (Table 1) isolated from asymptomatic Sabin vaccine recipients, were inferred by the neighbor-joining method using the nucleotide/Kimura two-parameter method with MEGA, based on alignment of the complete VP1 and partial 5’ UTR nucleotide sequences (Figure 1).

Three primary groups for PV1, -2 and -3 were evident from the VP1 phylogenetic tree (Figure 1b). The PV1 group could be classified into three subgroups, PV1-A, -B and -C. The largest subgroup was PV1-A, which contained two wild prototype PV1 strains (V01149 and AY560657), the Sabin 1 vaccine strain (V01150 or AY184219) and other PV1 strains isolated from different countries or areas. Three local strains (labelled ‘a’) isolated from 2003 to 2005, with high nucleotide identity (ranging from 99.4 to 100%) to the Sabin 1 strain (V01150), were included in PV1-A. Several poliomielitis outbreaks associated with type 1 circulating vaccine-derived PVs (VDPVs) have been reported in the Philippines in 2001 [23] and in Hispaniola from 2000 to 2001 [24]. Three special examples are interesting. Nine isolates (labelled’d’) from an immuno deficient Taiwanese patient over a 337-day period after onset of paralysis [25] differed from Sabin 1 by 2.4 to 3.5% in VP1. In another case,
12 strains (labelled 'e') isolated over a 649-day period from a hypogamma globulinemic patient after oral vaccination with Sabin 1 in the United Kingdom [19] showed high nucleotide identity (98 to 100%) to the Sabin 1 strain. In the third example, PV1 was isolated from an immuno deficient patient four days after onset of paresis and 66 months (5.5 years) of prolonged enteral virus replication [26]. These two isolates (labelled 'f') differed from Sabin 1 by 5.3% and 9.2%, respectively. The second subgroup, PV1-B, comprised seven strains (including five strains labelled 'g') isolated on the Chinese mainland from 1989 to 1993 [27], with ≥ 93.5% nucleotide identity. The third subgroup was PV1-C, which only comprised three strains from Albania during the 1996 outbreak [28].

The PV2 group in the VP1 tree (Figure 1b) could be divided into PV2-A and -B subgroups. PV2-A was composed of three strains, including a wild prototype PV2 strain (M12197), another wild-type strain (MEF-1) and an attenuated, mouse-adapted strain W-2, differing from each other by 0.4 to 1.6%. These three PV2-A strains showed 16.3 to 21.6% nucleotide difference in relation to the PV2-B strains. The large PV2-B subgroup encompassed the Sabin 2 vaccine strain (X00595 or AY184220) and other PV2 strains isolated from different parts of the world at different periods. Three local strains (labelled 'b') isolated in 1991 were clustered in this subgroup, with high nucleotide identity (99.2 to 100%) to the Sabin 2 strain (X00595). Several outbreaks of poliomyelitis associated with type 2 circulating VDPVs have been recorded; for instance, in Egypt from 1988 to 1993 (labelled 'i') [29] and in Madagascar (MAD) between 2001 and 2002 [30]. Moreover, from 1963 to 1966, widespread circulation of VDPVs took place in a region of Byelorussia (BYE) [18]. Another isolate (labelled 'm') was from an immuno deficient patient with poliomyelitis in Italy [31]. Israeli (ISR) environmental surveillance of sewage from populations with high documented vaccine coverage (>95%) of confirmed efficacy identified two separate evolutionary clusters of type 2 VDPVs from 1998 to 2006 [32].

The PV3 group in the VP1 tree (Figure 1b) could be divided into two subgroups, PV3-A and -B. PV3-A consisted of the wild prototype PV3 strain (K01392), the Sabin 3 vaccine strain (X00925, AY184221 or X00596) and several PV3 strains from different countries, such as Norway (labelled 'h'), Greece (labelled 'i'), Estonia (EST) [33] and Belarus (BEL). Four local strains (labelled 'c') from 1991 were also included in this subgroup, with high nucleotide identity (99.6 to 99.9%) to the Sabin 3 strain (X00925). Two strains (labelled 'j') were isolated from an Iranian child with X-linked α gammaglobulinemia [34]. PV3-B included two strains; one (labelled 'n') was isolated during an outbreak of poliomyelitis in Finland in 1984 [35], and the other one (AJ293918) caused the 1968 poliomyelitis epidemic in Poland [36]. The relatively close relationship on the capsid coding region between these two strains was confirmed by a recent study [37].

In comparison with the VP1 tree (Figure 1b) of PV1, -2 and -3, most PV strains showed concordant clustering in the 5’ UTR tree (Figure 1a), with some exchanges in tree branching for some strains. Variation in the 5’ UTR usually involved nucleotide substitutions or insertions/deletions. Three local VP1 strains (labelled 'a'), three PV2 strains (labelled 'b') and four PV3 strains (labelled 'c') had high nucleotide identities in partial 5’ UTR sequences to their respective Sabin strains, ranging from 99.6 to 99.9%, 98.8 to 99.6%, and 99.6 to 99.8%, respectively. However, a few strains exhibited inconsistency in both trees. For example, two strains (AJ293918 and X04468-FIN-1994 [labelled 'm']) belonging to PV3-B in VP1 were separated in the 5’ UTR tree. In the 5’ UTR tree, the strains determined to be the most closely related to one of the PV3-B strains (AJ293918) were seven PV1-B isolates from the Chinese mainland, with nucleotide identity between 86.5 and 88.8%. Another five strains (DQ909386 [labelled 'k'], AF448782 [labelled 'l'], AF448783 [labelled 'l'], AY560657 and AY278553), which located between PV1-B and PV2-A in the 5’ UTR tree, also displayed different clustering compared with their positions in the VP1 tree.

Data analysis for CVA11, -20, -21, -24 and PVs

The PVs are distinct from most of other members in EV-C only in the capsid region [30,38]. The phylogenetic relationships among 7 PV1, 6 PV2, 8 PV3, 4 CVA11, 14 CVA20, 15 CVA21 and 14 CVA24 strains including 16 local isolates, (Table 1) were inferred by the neighbor-joining method using the nucleotide/Kimura two-parameter method with MEGA, based on alignment of the partial VP1 and partial 5’ UTR nucleotide sequences (Figure 2).

In the VP1 dendrogram (Figure 2b), all strains were monophyletic with respect to their homotypic prototype strains, and clusters were supported by high (>90%) bootstrap values. Strains generally segregated into seven distinct groups according to type (i.e., CVA11, -20, -21 and -24; PV1, -2 and -3). Several types, such as PV1, -2 and -3 (which were composed of respective wild prototype and Sabin vaccine strains, and 10 local PV strains (labelled ‘a’, ‘b’ or ‘c’), formed relatively tight and well defined groups.

Other types, such as CVA20 and -21, formed discernible subgroups, but still existed independently. CVA20 was divided into two subgroups; one was made up of five strains (two local strains [labelled ‘e’] and three from the Netherlands or Bangladesh), and the other subgroup consisted of nine strains (the prototype CVA20 IH35 strain, CVA20a-Tulane strain, CVA20b-Cecil strain and other strains from Morocco or Bangladesh). The partial VP1 nucleotide and amino acid identities of the two CVA20 local strains (00.193.2216/38672.91, labelled ‘e’) to the prototype CVA20 IH35 strain were 75.3/75.4% and 90.5/91.7%, respectively. CVA21 was also divided into two subgroups. The first consisted of six strains from Bangladesh and Guatemala; the second subgroup contained a local strain (labelled ‘f’), the prototype CVA21 Kuykendall strain, a variant strain (Coe strain) and another five strains isolated in the USA. The CVA21 local strain (labelled ‘g’) showed 90.3% partial VP1 nucleotide identity and 98.1% partial VP1 amino acid identity to the prototype CVA21 Kuykendall strain, and was closely related to five strains isolated in the USA from 1986 to 1996, differing from them by 1.4 to 3.2% of nucleotides.

CVA24 group contained two local strains (labelled ‘g’), the prototype CVA24 Joseph strain, the prototype CVA24 variant (EH24/70 strain), and other strains from Dominican Republic, Jamaica, Brazil, Singapore (labelled ‘j’), Puerto Rico and the
USA. The partial VP1 nucleotide and amino acid identities of both CVA24 local strains (labelled ‘g’, with 100% VP1 nucleotide identity) to the prototype CVA24 Joseph strain were 77.4% and 91.5%, respectively. Furthermore, both CVA24 local strains had 97.7% and 98.0% VP1 nucleotide identities to another two CVA24 strains (DQ443001 and DQ443002, labelled ‘f’) isolated in Singapore in 2005 [39], respectively. Compared to the other groups, the structure of CVA11 was uncomplicated, and only comprised four strains (a local strain [labelled ‘d’], the prototype CVA11 Belgium-1 strain and two Bangladeshi strains). The partial VP1 nucleotide and amino acid identities of the CVA11 local strain (labelled ‘d’) to the prototype CVA11 Belgium-1 strain were 78.9% and 92.7%, respectively.

As expected, in the 5’ UTR tree (Figure 2a), the vast majority of the strains belonging to their respective types were in different clusters except for the PV3 strains, which still formed a compact group. Ten local PV strains (labelled ‘a’, ‘b’ or ‘c’) were still positioned in the respective PV1, -2 or -3 group. Similar to the VP1 dendrogram, both CVA24 local strains from the USA, differing by 12.1 to 12.4% of nucleotides. Similarly, the prototype PV2 strain (M12197, labelled ‘i’) was also segregated from the major PV2 group, and grouped with a CVA24 subgroup (containing two local strains [labelled ‘g’] and other strains from Dominica Republic and Singapore [labelled ‘j’]), respectively. As in the VP1 tree, the CVA21 local strain (labelled ‘f’) was also clustered tightly in the 5’ UTR with five strains isolated in the USA from 1986 to 1996, differing by 0.8 to 3.3%.

In addition, the prototype PV1 strain (AY560657, labelled ‘h’) was separated from the major PV1 group in the 5’ UTR tree, and was closely related to two CVA24 strains from the USA, differing by 12.1 to 12.4% of nucleotides. Similarly, the prototype PV2 strain (M12197, labelled ‘i’) was also segregated from the major PV2 group, and grouped with a CVA24 subgroup (containing two local strains [labelled ‘g’] and other strains from Dominican Republic and Singapore [labelled ‘j’]), with nucleotide identity to this subgroup ranging from 90.7 to 91.2%.

Discussion
Evolutionary diversity of PV1, -2 and -3

Poliomyelitis was a seasonal disease, with peak transmission in the summer and autumn [40]. Immunization with attenuated oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) of Sabin is the cornerstone of the World Health Organization (WHO) program for the global eradication of poliomyelitis.

A common feature of the Sabin OPV strains is the presence of nucleotide substitutions in the IRES of 5’ UTR, which in serotypes 1 and 3 are critical attenuating mutations. Additional mutations encoding amino acid substitutions in the capsid region (including VP1) contribute to and stabilize the attenuated phenotype [40]. For example, the single most important determinant of the attenuated phenotype of Sabin 1 was the A→G substitution at position 480 (abbreviated A480G) in the IRES [41]. Four other substitutions (one in VP4, one in VP3 and two [G2795A and C2879U] in VP1) also contributed to the attenuated phenotype, and one substitution in the 3D polymerase region contributed to the temperature-sensitive (but not attenuated) phenotype [42-44]. Three local VP1 strains (labelled ‘a’ in Figure 1) also showed nucleotide substitutions (A480G) in the 5’ UTR. In the VP1 region, two local PV1 strains (03.295.3171 and 03.309.2667) had G2795A substitutions, and one (05.354.2186) contained a ‘G’ at position 2795. In addition, all three isolates had C2879U substitutions in their VP1 regions.

For the Sabin 2 vaccine strain, one nucleotide substitution (G481A) in the IRES and another one (C2909U encoding a Thr→Ile substitution at position 143 of VP1) in VP1 appear to be responsible for the attenuated phenotype [45,46]. Of three local PV2 strains, one (21554.91) showed a G481A substitution in the 5’ UTR; whilst another two (102373.91 and 90181.91) had a ‘G’ at position 481. In the VP1 region, two (102373.91 and 21554.91) showed substitutions (C2909U) and the third (90181.91) had a ‘C’ at position 2909.

Three substitutions (C472U in the IRES, C2034U in VP3 and U2493C encoding an Ile→Thr substitution at position 6 of VP1) appear to be the main determinants of the attenuated phenotype of Sabin 3 vaccine strain [47-49]. All four local PV3 strains (labelled ‘c’ in Figure 1) had a ‘C’ at position 472 in the 5’ UTR, consistent with the observed rapid reversion of this nucleotide in the human gut [47]. In the VP1 region, one local strain (106210.91) had a substitution (U2493C) and three contained a ‘U’ at position 2493.

PV surveillance is important in the final stage of global polio eradication. From 2001, the WHO polio network laboratories routinely sequence the complete VP1 gene of all wild-type PV and VDPV isolates for comparison, based on the observation that VP1 genes encode several serotype-specific antigenic sites [50] and evolve primarily by successive fixation of nucleotide substitution [40]. Using VP1 sequence analysis, the genetic diversity of PV strains can be exploited in molecular surveillance [33]. Moreover, the rapid evolution of PVs permits the use of comparative VP1 nucleotide sequencing to resolve the fine structure of a poliomyelitis outbreak, and to elucidate individual chains of transmission [51]. As shown in Fig. 1, phylogenetic trees or lineage maps can be used for demonstration of sequence relationships [24,33,29].

PVs are among the most rapidly evolving viruses known, with most evolution appearing to be random genetic drift, since >80% of nucleotide substitutions within the coding region generate synonymous codons. Most nucleotide substitutions of 10 local PV isolates (Figure 1b) existed at synonymous sites in the VP1 genes; however, some mutations were non-synonymous, which altered the amino acid sequences compared to the Sabin strains. For instance, at position 54 of the VP3 PV1 amino acid sequence, one isolate (9245.91, labelled ‘c’) had a Val instead of Ala in Sabin 3, whilst another two (106210.91 and 82635.91, labelled ‘c’) had a Thr (not shown). Nucleotide substitutions accumulate at an overall rate of approximately 1% per year at all sites, and at approximately 3% per year at synonymous sites [25,27,52]. Evolution rates appear to be similar across serotypes and between wild-type PVs and VDPVs [24,52]. The study on the evolution of Sabin 1 strains (labelled ‘e’ in Figure 1) in a hypo gamma globulinemic patient over 649 days demonstrated that, during the early period, the main driving force for genetic diversity appeared to be the selection of mutations at attenuation sites, particularly in the 5’ UTR and the VP1 BC loop [19].
PVs recombine actively with each other and with other closely related EV-C members, a process which might offset the effects of accumulation of deleterious mutations arising in some lineages [25,38,53,54]. In PV1-B of the VP1 dendrogram (Figure 1b), five (labelled ‘g’) of seven strains were type 1 (intra serotypic) wild-vaccine recombinant PVs, with a 367-nucleotide block of sequence (position 3271 to 3637) derived from the Sabin 1 strain spanning the 3’ terminal sequences of VP1 (115 nucleotides) and the 5’ half of 2A (252 nucleotides); however, another two strains (AF111983 and AF111984) were wild-type PVs. These recombinants are unusual because they involve a crossover within the VP1 capsid region. Homotypic natural infections involving different PV genotypes are presumably rare, except for those involving wild-type and VDPVs [27]. Additionally, in PV1-A of the VP1 tree with the nine Taiwanese isolates (labelled ‘d’) collected over a 337-day period from an immunodeficient patient after onset of paralysis, relationships among the aligned complete sequences of the day 5, day 18 and day 52 isolates (i.e., different lineages) revealed a possible recombination site between nucleotide positions 2659 and 2678, near the 5’ terminus of the VP1 region [25].

Natural interserotypic recombination within the capsid region appears to be rare, probably because structural incompatibilities restrict the fitness of most interserotypic recombinants [53]. Some examples demonstrated the uncommon recombination within VP1. One strain (PV3 AF541919-NOR-2001) (labelled ‘h’ in PV3-A of Figure 1) was a Sabin3/Sabin 2/Sabin3 interserotypic recombinant PV, whose recombination junctions were at the 3’ end of VP1 region and in the 3D polymerase region [55]. Another example is the strain (PV3 EF456707-GRE-2002) (labelled ‘i’ in PV3-A), whose Sabin 3/Sabin 2 recombination event was at the 3’ end of VP1 region [56]. By contrast, interserotypic recombinants exerted by recent vaccines exposed to trivalent OPV and recombinants among circulating wild-type PVs and circulating VDPVs usually have crossovers restricted to the non capsid region [53,57].

In this study, a few strains demonstrated significant incongruence of clustering in 5’ UTR and VP1 trees (Figure 1). For instance, recombination could explain the observation of one strain (PV2 DQ990386-NIG-2001) (labelled ‘k’) in the 5’ UTR tree that was separated from PV2-B in the VP1 tree: sequences upstream of nucleotide position 620 within the 5’ UTR and downstream of nucleotide position 5840 in the 3C region were derived from EV-C EVs [58]. Another two strains (PV2 AF448782-EGY-1988 and PV2 AF448783-EGY-1993) (labelled ‘l’) in the 5’ UTR tree also suggested possible recombination. Complete genomic sequences of these two isolates revealed that their 5’ UTR and noncapsid-3’ UTR sequences were derived from other EV-C EVs [29]. Moreover, the 5’ UTR and the non capsid region of the strain (PV3 X044668-PIN-1994, labelled ‘n’ in the 5’ UTR tree) appeared to be composed of variable-length stretches of nucleotides with closest resemblance to different EV-C strains [37]. In fact, the recombination between PVs and other EV-C viruses is a normal feature of PV evolution in nature.

At present, three categories of PV isolates are recognized [40]:

(i) OPV-like isolates, which differ from the respective parental Sabin strains at <1% of VP1 nucleotides.

(ii) VDPVs, which differ from the parental Sabin strains at 1% to 15% of VP1 nucleotides (i.e., having ≥10 nucleotide substitutions).

(iii) Wild-type PVs, which differ from the Sabin strains at >15% of VP1 nucleotides. All 10 local PV strains (Figure 1) from asymptomatic OPV recipients belonged to OPV-like strains as they differed from their respective parental Sabin strains at <1% of VP1 nucleotides. Most OPV isolates from healthy individuals, the environment, or patients with vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis are OPV-like isolates [23,59].

WHO declared the Western Pacific region, including Australia, polio free in 2000. Transition to exclusive use of inactivated polio vaccine (IPV) eliminates the risk of new cases of vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis and also suggested possible recombination. Complete genomic sequences of these two isolates revealed that their 5’ UTR and noncapsid-3’ UTR sequences were derived from other EV-C EVs [29]. Moreover, the 5’ UTR and the non capsid region of the strain (PV3 X044668-PIN-1994, labelled ‘n’ in the 5’ UTR tree) appeared to be composed of variable-length stretches of nucleotides with closest resemblance to different EV-C strains [37]. In fact, the recombination between PVs and other EV-C viruses is a normal feature of PV evolution in nature.

At present, three categories of PV isolates are recognized [40]:

(i) OPV-like isolates, which differ from the respective parental Sabin strains at <1% of VP1 nucleotides.

(ii) VDPVs, which differ from the parental Sabin strains at 1% to 15% of VP1 nucleotides (i.e., having ≥10 nucleotide substitutions).

(iii) Wild-type PVs, which differ from the Sabin strains at >15% of VP1 nucleotides. All 10 local PV strains (Figure 1) from asymptomatic OPV recipients belonged to OPV-like strains as they differed from their respective parental Sabin strains at <1% of VP1 nucleotides. Most OPV isolates from healthy individuals, the environment, or patients with vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis are OPV-like isolates [23,59].

WHO declared the Western Pacific region, including Australia, polio free in 2000. Transition to exclusive use of inactivated polio vaccine (IPV) eliminates the risk of new cases of vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis and new immune deficient VDPV infections, and may maintain population immunity in many countries [40]. As of November 2005, Australia moved to the exclusive use of IPV [60]; therefore, PV strains are no longer isolated from clinical specimens in our laboratory. The local PV1 strain ([05.354.2186]-AUS-2005, labelled ‘a’ in Figure 1) was isolated just before OPV was stopped in Australia. However, the importation of wild-type PV1 from Pakistan to Melbourne, Australia, in July 2007 represented the first case of wild PV infection in Australia for 30 years [60], and highlights the need for continued vigilance for polio-like illness.

Evolutionary diversity of CVA11, -20, -21, -24 and PVs

CVA-Cs of the EV-C species cause mild respiratory tract infections, and sometimes infantile diarrhea, epidemic myalgia, infectious hepatitis, acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis or poliomyelitis [1]. The CVA24 variant, together with EV70, has caused several outbreaks of acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis [39,61]. CVA24 can occasionally be associated with acute flaccid paralysis [62], while CVA21 induces mainly mild respiratory infections and can be associated with encephalitis [63].

EV-C isolates (excluding PVs) have been poorly studied due to the inability of certain serotypes (e.g., CVA1, -19 and -22) to grow in cell culture [38,59,66,67]. The lack of specific antisera [63] or the existence of antigenic variants. There are several examples of antigenic variants within an EV-C type, such as three CVA20 variants (CVA20a, CVA20b [Figure 2] and CVA20c) and the prototype CVA24 variant (EH24/70 [Figure 2]) isolated during an extensive epidemic of acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis in 1970 in Singapore [64]. However, analyses based on the comparison of VP1 nucleotide sequences with databases of EV prototype and variant VP1 sequences allowed us to search for such EV-C isolates. A partial or complete VP1 nucleotide sequence identity of ≥75% (>85% or 88% amino acid sequence identity) between two CVA-Cs confirmed the serotype of the clinical isolate, with the proviso that the second highest score is <70% [12,65]. Three genotypes (CVA11, -20 and -21) were identified in our laboratory by partial VP1 sequencing of nonserotypeable isolates (Table 1).

The major worldwide CVA24 epidemics have been attributed to four genotypes of CVA24 variant strains (I to IV) on the basis of phylogenetic analysis of the 3C protease or VP1 region [66,67]. In CVA24 variant strains, the estimated evolutionary
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Mutation and recombination are important in EV evolution and diversity. Studies of EVs suggested that sequence variations in the UTRs, the VP1 region and the 3D polymerase could affect virus infection and replication capability in vitro and in vivo [72,73]. Base miss incorporation during chain elongation and lack of 3’ to 5’ exonuclease proofreading ability in RNA polymerases can cause very high error rates; there is a spontaneous mutation rate of approximately one mutation per genome per replication [74]. The evolution of EVs occurs through genetic drift and, over much longer periods, antigenic diversification in the structural gene region encoding the virus capsid (including VP1) [75]. Studies have shown that EV5’ UTR mutations markedly decrease multiplication efficiency [76], alter cell tropism [77], and attenuate virulence [78,79]. The mean nucleotide substitution rates of EV-C subgroup B (ranging from 1.170 to 3.625 × 10-3 with combined high-probability distribution interval of 1.170 to 3.625 for partial VP1) are slightly lower than the corresponding estimates for other EV types [9]. Intratypic genetic change of VP1 is dominated by silent mutations accompanied by amino acid polymorphism occurring dominantly at immunogenic sites, while intertypic differences of VP1 include permanent fixation and insertion/deletion of distinct ‘signature’-amino acids that could be a result of larger scale changes in the capsid structure [9]. In this study, the relatively high sequence diversity among the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions of EV strains reveals that nucleotide substitution is probably the dominant evolutionary mechanism in these regions of the genome.

Evolutionary diversity of EV-C

Molecular typing approaches (including PCR and sequencing) allow the rapid and accurate identification of EV-C viruses. Phylogenetic analysis of sequences is the best method to discriminate between variants within a type, to confirm the common source of isolates during an outbreak, and to study the evolution of a given type or among different types [14]. The genetic comparison of different EV genes (such as 5’ UTR and VP1) provides strong evidence of epidemiological linkage of EV-C strains in some types (such as PV1, -2 and -3 in Fig. 1; CVA21 and CVA24 in Figure 2). The combination of molecular typing and phylogenetic sequence analysis will guide individual patient diagnosis and benefit the investigation of EV evolution.

The intratypic genetic variation of the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions in EV-C viruses reveals that distinct genome regions evolve differently, reflecting their different roles. Structural integrity of the 5’ UTR is fundamentally important for efficient viral replication and for virulence [71], while the VP1 capsid protein contains most neutralization epitopes, and VP1 sequence correlates well with antigenic typing by neutralization. In the VP1 region, EV-C types cluster into three subgroups [9,38] which are designated as A to C. CVA21 and -24 belong to subgroup B, while PV1 to -3 and CVA20 are included in subgroup C. CVA-11 groups together with the strains of subgroups B or C depending on the method of phylogenetic tree construction [9].

Mutation and recombination are important in EV evolution and diversity. Studies of EVs suggested that sequence variations in the UTRs, the VP1 region and the 3D polymerase could affect virus infection and replication capability in vitro and in vivo [72,73]. Base miss incorporation during chain elongation and lack of 3’ to 5’ exonuclease proofreading ability in RNA polymerases can cause very high error rates; there is a spontaneous mutation rate of approximately one mutation per genome per replication [74]. The evolution of EVs occurs through genetic drift and, over much longer periods, antigenic diversification in the structural gene region encoding the virus capsid (including VP1) [75]. Studies have shown that EV5’ UTR mutations markedly decrease multiplication efficiency [76], alter cell tropism [77], and attenuate virulence [78,79]. The mean nucleotide substitution rates of EV-C subgroup B (ranging from 1.170 to 3.625 × 10-3 with combined high-probability distribution interval of 1.170 to 3.625 for partial VP1) are slightly lower than the corresponding estimates for other EV types [9]. Intratypic genetic change of VP1 is dominated by silent mutations accompanied by amino acid polymorphism occurring dominantly at immunogenic sites, while intertypic differences of VP1 include permanent fixation and insertion/deletion of distinct ‘signature’-amino acids that could be a result of larger scale changes in the capsid structure [9]. In this study, the relatively high sequence diversity among the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions of EV-C viruses suggests that nucleotide substitution is probably the dominant evolutionary mechanism in these regions of the genome.

 Genetic recombination of EVs was first described with PVs [80]. The majority of recombination occurs within P2 and P3 regions, with little or no recombination within the P1 [81]. Generally, only the capsid P1 region (especially PV2-PV3-PV1) is inherited as a single unit, suggesting that the capsid is the primary determinant of EV identity [10,82]. The frequency of recombination differs between EV species, being higher in EV-B species than in EV-A species [83] and very low in EV-D species [84,85]. The evolutionary diversity of EV-C is also due to intratypic/intertypic (or interspecies) recombination between the structural and non-structural coding regions and the 5’ UTR [30,38,86,87]. In particular, the prototype EV-C strains are recombinant relative to each other [37], and circulating EVs commonly recombine with wild-type and vaccine PVs [53]. The phylogenetic pattern of the EV-C strains in a recent study suggests non-random recombination between strains of the same species. The frequency of recombination appears to differ between species, types and intra species groupings, which is possibly due to cell tropism, sequence similarity and the phylogenetic position of a given virus strain [86]. In this study, 5’ UTR phylogenetic trees from EV-C showed apparent non-convergence among respective different sequences within many types, compared with VP1 trees (Figure 2), indicating that intertypic recombination may play a major role in the evolution of some of these viruses. Moreover, PVs appear to recombine actively with each other and with other closely related EV-C members (Figures 1 & 2).

This study focuses on molecular sequence analyses of the 5’ UTR and VP1 regions of 199 EV-C strains. Understanding the tempo and pattern of molecular diversity and epidemiology is of great importance in the pathogenesis of EV-C Enteroviruses, information which will assist in disease prevention and control.
Molecular Analysis of Enterovirus C Species Using the 5′ Untranslated Region and VP1 Region

We thank Kenneth McPhie for assistance with provision of clinical samples.

References

1. Pallansch M, Reo R (2007) Enterovirus: polioviruses, coxsackieviruses, echo viruses, and newer enteroviruses. In: Knipe DM, et al. (Eds.), Fields virology. (4th edn) Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA, pp. 839-894.
2. Barton DJ, O'Donnell BJ, Flanagan JR (2001) 5′-cloverleaf in poliovirus RNA is a cis-acting replication element required for negative strand RNA synthesis. EMBO J 20(6): 1439-1448.
3. Murray KE, Steil BP, Roberts AW, Barton DJ (2004) Replication of poliovirus RNA with complete internal ribosome entry site deletions. J Virol 78(3): 1393-1402.
4. Nicholson R, Pelletier J, Le SY, Sonenberg N (1991) Structural and functional analysis of the ribosome landing pad of poliovirus type 2: in vivo translation studies. J Virol 65(11): 5886-5894.
5. Romero JR (1999) Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction detection of the enteroviruses. Arch Pathol Lab Med 123(12): 1161-1169.
6. Thoelen L, Lemey P, Van DD, Beuselinck K, Lindberg AM, et al. (2003) Molecular typing and epidemiology of enteroviruses identified from an outbreak of aseptic meningitis in Belgium during the summer of 2000. J Med Virol 70(3): 420-429.
7. Muir P, Kammerer U, Korn K, Mulders MN, Poyry T, et al. (1998) Molecular typing of enteroviruses: current status and future requirements. The European Union Concerted Action on Virus Meningitis and Encephalitis. Clin Microbiol Rev 11(1): 202-227.
8. Rossmann MG, Arnold E, Erickson JW, Frankenberg EA, Griffith JP, et al. (1985) Structure of a human common cold virus and functional relationship to other picornaviruses. Nature 317(6033): 145-153.
9. Smura T, Blomqvist S, Vuorinen T, Ivanova O, Samoilovich E, et al. (2014) The evolution of VP1 gene in Enterovirus C species sub-group that contains types CVA-21, CVA-24, EV-C95, EV-C96 and EV-C99. PLoS One 9(4): e93737.
10. Oberste MS, Maher K, Pallansch MA (2004) Evidence for frequent recombination within species enterovirus B genus based on complete genomic sequences of all thirty-seven serotypes. J Virol 78(2): 855-867.
11. Oberste MS, Maher K, Kilpatrick DR, Pallansch MA (1999) Molecular evolution of the human enteroviruses: correlation of serotype with VP1 sequence and application to picornavirus classification. J Virol 73(3): 1941-1948.
12. Oberste MS, Michele SM, Maher K, Schnurr D, Cisterna D, et al. (2004) Molecular identification and characterization of two proposed new enterovirus serotypes, EV74 and EV75. J Gen Virol 85(11): 3205-3212.
13. Zhou F, Kong F, McPhie K, Ratnamohan M, Gilbert GL, et al. (2010) Molecular identification and analysis of nonserotypeable human enteroviruses. J Clin Microbiol 48(8): 1276-1282.
14. Zhou F, Wang Q, Sintchenko V, Gilbert GL, O'Sullivan MVN, et al. (2014) Use of the 5′ untranslated region and VP1 region to examine the molecular diversity in enterovirus B species. J Med Microbiol 63(10): 1339-1355.
15. Zhou F, Kong F, Wang B, McPhie K, Gilbert GL, et al. (2011) Molecular characterization of enterovirus 71 and coxsackievirus A16 using the 5′ untranslated region and VP1 region. J Med Microbiol 60(3): 349-358.
16. Oberste MS, Maher K, Williams AJ, Dybdahl-Sissolo N, Brown BA, et al. (2006) Species-specific RT-PCR amplification of human enteroviruses: a tool for rapid species identification of uncharacterized enteroviruses. J Gen Virol 87(7): 119-128.
17. Zhou F, Kong F, McPhie K, Ratnamohan M, Donovan L, et al. (2009) Identification of 20 common human enterovirus serotypes by use of a reverse transcription-PCR-based reverse line blot hybridization assay. J Clin Microbiol 47(9): 2737-2743.
18. Korotkova EA, Park R, Cherkasova EA, Lipskaya GF, Chumakov KM, et al. (2003) Retrospective analysis of a local cessation of vaccination against poliomyelitis: a possible scenario for the future. J Virol 77(23): 12460-12465.
19. Odoom JK, Yunus Z, Dumm G, Minor PD, Martin J (2008) Changes in population dynamics during long-term evolution of sabin type 1 poliovirus in an immunodeficient patient. J Virol 82(18): 9179-9190.
20. Burns CC, Shaw J, Campagnoli R, Jorba J, Vincent A, et al. (2006) Modulation of poliovirus replicative fitness in HeLa cells by deoptimization of synonymous codon usage in the capsid region. J Virol 80(7): 3259-3272.
21. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, et al. (2011) MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 28(10): 2731-2739.
22. Norder H, Bjerrregaard L, Magnius L, Lina B, Aymard M, et al. (2003) Sequencing of 'untypable' enteroviruses reveals two new types, EV-3211 and EV-3212. J Gen Virol 84(10): 3205-3212.
23. Shimizu H, Thorley B, Paladini FJ, Brussen KA, Stambos V, et al. (2004) Circulation of type 1 vaccine-derived poliovirus in the Philippines in 2001. J Virol 78(24): 13512-13521.
24. Kew O, Morris-Glasgow V, Landaverde M, Burns C, Shaw J, et al. (2002) Outbreak of poliomyelitis in Hispaniola associated with circulating type 1 vaccine-derived poliovirus. Science 296(5566): 356-359.
25. Yang CF, Chen HY, Jorba J, Sun HC, Yang SJ, et al. (2005) Intratypic circulating type 1 vaccine-derived poliovirus. Science 296(5566): 1169.

Acknowledgements

In conclusion, EV-C viruses evolve quickly, mainly due to high mutation and recombination rates. The 5′ UTR is fundamentally important for efficient viral replication and for virulence; the VP1 region can be used for virus identification and evolutionary studies. The sequence analyses of the 5′ UTR and VP1 regions of 199 EV-C strains demonstrated that:
(i) Comparing the two regions provides strong evidence of epidemiological linkage of EV-C strains in some types.
(ii) The intratypic genetic variation within each gene reveals that they evolve distinctly, largely due to their different functions.
(iii) Mutation and possible recombination in the two regions play significant roles in the evolutionary diversity of EV-C.
emerging during chronic infection of an immunodeficient patient. J Virol 79(20): 12623-12634.

26. Bellmunt A, May G, Zell R, Pring-Akerblom P, Verhagen W, et al. (1999) Evolution of poliovirus type I during 5.5 years of prolonged enteral replication in an immunodeficient patient. Virology 265(2): 178-184.

27. Liu HM, Zheng DP, Zhang LB, Oberste MS, Pallansch MA, et al. (2000) Molecular evolution of a type 1 wild-poliovirus recombinant during widespread circulation in China. J Virol 74(23): 11153-11161.

28. Marturano J, Fiore L. (2002) Investigation of the presence of recombinant polioviruses in the hit population in Albania during the 1996 outbreak. J Clin Microbiol 40(1): 316-317.

29. Yang CF, Naguib T, Yang SJ, Nasr E, Jorba J, et al. (2003) Circulation of endemic type 2 vaccine-derived poliovirus in Egypt from 1983 to 1993. J Virol 77(15): 8366-8377.

30. Rakoto-Andrianarivo M, Guillot S, Iber J, Balanant J, Blondel B, et al. (2007) Co-circulation and evolution of polioviruses and species Enteroviruses in a district of Madagascar. PLoS Pathog 3(12): 191.

31. Buttinelli G, Donati V, Fiore S, Marturano J, Plebani A, et al. (2003) Nucleotide variation in Sabin type 2 poliovirus from an immunodeficient patient with poliomyelitis. J Gen Virol 84(5): 1215-1221.

32. Shulman LM, Manor Y, Sofer D, Handsher R, Swartz T, et al. (2006) Neuroviral vaccine-derived polioviruses in sewage from highly immune populations. PLoS One 1: 69.

33. Blomqvist S, Savolainen C, Laine P, Hirttio P, Lamminsalo E, et al. (2004) Characterization of a highly evolved vaccine-derived poliovirus isolated from sewage in Estonia. J Virol 78(9): 4867-4883.

34. Shahmahmoodi S, Pathan A, Burns C, Aggarh H, Mamishi S, et al. (2008) Isolation of a type 3 vaccine-derived poliovirus (VDPV) from an Iranian child with X-linked agammaglobulinemia. Virus Res 137(1): 168-172.

35. Hughes PJ, Evans DM, Minor PD, Schild GC, Almond JW, et al. (1986) The nucleotide sequence of a type 3 poliovirus isolated during a recent outbreak of poliomyelitis in Finland. J Gen Virol 67(10): 2093-2102.

36. Martin J, Ferguson GL, Wood DJ, Minor PD (2000) The vaccine origin of the 1960 epidemic of type 3 poliomyelitis in Poland. Virology 270(1): 42-49.

37. Simonen ML, Rosvainen M, Iber J, Burns C, Hovi T (2010) Outbreak of poliomyelitis in Finland in 1984-85 - Re-analysis of virus sequences using the current standard approach. Virus Res 147(1): 91-97.

38. Brown B, Oberste MS, Maher K, Pallansch MA (2003) Complete genomic sequencing of Sabin polioviruses and members of human enterovirus species C are closely related in the noncapsid coding region. J Virol 77(6): 8973-8984.

39. Yeo DS, Seah SG, Chew JS, Lim EA, Liaw JC, et al. (2005) Molecular identification of coxsackievirus A24 variant, isolated from an outbreak of acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis in Singapore in 2005. Arch Virol 152(11): 2005-2016.

40. Kow OM, Sutter RW, de Gourville EM, Dowdle WR, Pallansch MA (2005) Vaccine-derived polioviruses and the endgame strategy for global polio eradication. Annu Rev Microbiol 59: 587-635.

41. Kawamura N, Kohara M, Abe S, Komatsu T, Tago K, et al. (1989) Determinants in the 5’ noncoding region of poliovirus Sabin 1 RNA that influence the attenuation phenotype. J Virol 63(3): 1302-1309.

42. Bouchard MJ, Lam DH, Racieliello VR (1995) Determinants of attenuation and temperature sensitivity in the type 1 poliovirus Sabin vaccine. J Virol 69(8): 4972-4978.

43. Omata T, Kohara M, Kuge S, Komatsu T, Abe S, et al. (1986) Genetic analysis of the attenuation phenotype of poliovirus type 1. J Virol 58(2): 348-358.

44. Paul AV, Mugavero J, Yin J, Hobson S, Schultz S, et al. (2000) Studies on the attenuation phenotype of polio vaccines: poliovirus RNA polymerase derived from Sabin type 1 sequence is temperature sensitive in the uridylylation of Vpg. Virology 272(1): 72-84.

45. Macadam AJ, Pollard SR, Ferguson G, Skuce R, Wood D, et al. (1993) Genetic basis of attenuation of the Sabin type 2 vaccine strain of poliovirus in primates. Virology 192(1): 18-26.

46. Ren RB, Moss EG, Racielliello VR (1991) Identification of two determinants that attenuate vaccine-related type 2 poliovirus. J Virol 65(3): 1377-1382.

47. Macadam AJ, Arnold C, Howlett, John A, Marsden S, et al. (1989) Reversion of the attenuated and temperature-sensitive phenotypes of the Sabin type 3 strain of polioviruses in vaccines. Virology 172(2): 408-414.

48. Westrop GD, Wareham KA, Evans DM, Dunn G, Minor PD, et al. (1989) Genetic basis of attenuation of the Sabin type 3 oral poliovirus vaccine. J Virol 63(3): 1338-1344.

49. Weeks-Levy C, Tatem JM, DiMichele SJ, Waterfield W, Georgiou AF, et al. (1991) Identification and characterization of a new base substitution in the vaccine strain of Sabin 3 poliovirus. Virology 185(2): 934-937.

50. Minor PD, Ferguson M, Evans DM, Almond JW, Icenogle JP (1986) Antigenic structure of polioviruses of serotypes 1, 2 and 3. J Gen Virol 67(7): 1283-1291.

51. Shulman LM, Handsher R, Yang CF, Yang SJ, Manor J, et al. (2000) Resolution of the pathways of poliovirus type 1 transmission during an outbreak. J Clin Microbiol 38(3): 945-952.

52. Gavrill GV, Cherkaevova EA, Lipskaya KY, Kew OM, Agol VI (2000) Evolution of circulating wild poliovirus and of vaccine-derived poliovirus in an immunodeficient patient: a unifying model. J Virol 74(16): 7381-7390.

53. Liu HM, Zheng DP, Zhang LB, Oberste MS, Kew OM, et al. (2003) Serial recombination during circulation of type 1 wild-vaccine recombinant polioviruses in China. J Virol 77(20): 10994-11005.

54. Joffret ML, Jegoic S, Bessaud M, Balanant J, Tran C, et al. (2012) Common and diverse features of cocirculating type 2 and 3 recombinant vaccine-derived polioviruses isolated from patients with poliomyelitis and healthy children. J Infect Dis 205(9): 1363-1373.

55. Blomqvist S, Bruu AL, Stenvik M, Hovi T (2003) Characterization of a recombinant type 3/2 poliovirus isolated from a healthy vaccinee and containing a chimeric capsid protein VP1. J Gen Virol 84(3): 573-580.

56. Dedepsidis E, Plaka V, Kyrakopoulos Z, Brakoulias C, Levidiotou-Stefanou S, et al. (2008) Complete genomic characterization of an intertypic Sabin 3/Sabin 2 capsid recombinant. PEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 52(3): 343-351.

57. Guillot S, Caro V, Cuervo N, Korotkova E, Combinescu M, et al. (2000)
Natural genetic exchanges between vaccine and wild poliovirus strains in humans. J Virol 75(18): 8434-8443.

58. Adu F, Iber J, Bukbuk D, Gumede N, Yang SJ, et al. (2007) Isolation of recombinant type 2 vaccine-derived poliovirus (VDPV) from a Nigerian child. Virus Res 127(1): 17-25.

59. Matsuura K, Ishikura M, Yoshida H, Nakayama T, Hasegawa S, et al. (2000) Assessment of poliovirus eradication in Japan: genomic analysis of polioviruses isolated from river water and sewage in toyama prefecture. Appl Environ Microbiol 66(11): 5087-5091.

60. Roberts JA, Grant KA, Ibrahim A, Thorley BR (2008) Annual report of the Australian National Poliovirus Reference Laboratory, 2007. Commun Dis Intell Q Rep 32(3): 308-315.

61. Khan A, Sharif S, Shaukat S, Khan S, Zaidi S (2008) An outbreak of acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis (AHC) caused by coxsackievirus A24 variant in Pakistan. Virus Res 137(1): 150-152.

62. Chaves SS, Lobo S, Kennett M, Black J (2001) Coxackie virus A24 infection presenting as acute flaccid paralysis. Lancet 357(9256): 605.

63. Rakoto-Andrianarivo L, Mouset D, Razafindratsimandresy R, Chevaliez S, Guilhot S, et al. (2005) High frequency of human enterovirus species C circulation in Madagascar. J Clin Microbiol 43(1): 242-249.

64. Supanaranond K, Takeda N, Yamazaki S (1992) The complete nucleotide sequence of a variant of coxsackievirus A24, an agent causing acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis. Virus Genes 6(2): 149-158.

65. Brown BA, Maher K, Flemister MR, Naraghi-Arani P, Uddin M, et al. (2009) Resolving ambiguities in genetic typing of human enterovirus species C clinical isolates and identification of enterovirus 96, 99 and 102. J Gen Virol 90(7): 1713-1723.

66. Lin KH, Chern CL, Chu PY, Chang CH, Wang HL, et al. (2001) Genetic analysis of recent Taiwanese isolates of a variant of coxsackievirus A24. J Med Virol 64(3): 269-274.

67. Wu D, Ke CW, Mo YL, Sun LM, Li H, et al. (2008) Multiple outbreaks of acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis due to a variant of coxsackievirus A24: Guangdong, China. J Med Virol 80(10): 1762-1768.

68. Miyamura K, Takeda N, Tanimura M, Ogino T, Yamazaki S, et al. (1990) Evolutionary study on the Coxsackievirus B3 strain: A24 variant causing acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis by oligonucleotide mapping analysis of RNA genome. Arch Virol 114(1-2): 37-51.

69. Chu PY, Ke GM, Chang CH, Lin JC, Sun CY, et al. (2009) Molecular epidemiology of coxsackie A Type 24 variant in Taiwan, 2000-2007. J Clin Virol 45(4): 285-291.

70. Hughes PJ, North C, Minor PD, Stanway G (1989) The complete nucleotide sequence of coxsackievirus A21. J Gen Virol 70(11): 2943-2952.

71. Bailey JM, Tapprich WE (2007) Structure of the 5' nontranslated region of the coxsackievirus b3 genome: Chemical modification and comparative sequence analysis. J Virol 81(2): 650-668.

72. Cordy S, Petty TJ, Schibler M, Martinez Y, Gerlach D, et al. (2012) Identification of site-specific adaptations conferring increased neural cell tropism during human enterovirus 71 infection. PLoS Pathog 8(7): e1002826.

73. Kung CM, King CC, Lee CN, Huang LM, Lee PI, et al. (2007) Differences in replication capacity between enterovirus 71 isolates obtained from patients with encephalitis and those obtained from patients with herpangina in Taiwan. J Med Virol 79(1): 60-68.

74. Drake JW, Holland JJ (1999) Mutation rates among RNA viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96(24): 13910-13913.

75. Martin J, Dunn G, Hull R, Patel V, Minor PD (2000) Evolution of the Sabin strain of type 3 poliovirus in an immunodeficient patient during the entire 637-day period of virus excretion. J Virol 74(7): 3001-3010.

76. Willian S, Tracy S, Chapman N, Leser S, Romero J, et al. (2000) Mutations in a conserved enteroviral RNA oligonucleotide sequence affect positive strand viral RNA synthesis. Arch Virol 145(10): 2061-2086.

77. Shiroki K, Ishii T, Aoki T, Ota Y, Yang WX, et al. (1997) Host range phenotype induced by mutations in the internal ribosomal entry site of poliovirus RNA. J Virol 71(1): 1-8.

78. Tu Z, Chapman NM, Hufnagel G, Tracy S, Romero JR, et al. (1995) The cardiotoxic phenotype of coxsackievirus B3 is determined at a single site in the genomic 5' nontranslated region. J Virol 69(8): 4607-4618.

79. De JN, Franco D, Paul A, Wimmer E, Cello J (2005) Mutation of a single conserved nucleotide between the cloverleaf and internal ribosome entry site attenuates poliovirus neurovirulence. J Virol 79(22): 14235-14245.

80. Hirst GK (1962) Genetic recombination with Newcastle disease virus, polioviruses, and influenza. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 27: 303-309.

81. Tokarz R, Haq S, Sameroff S, Howie SR, Lipkin WI (2013) Genomic analysis of coxsackieviruses A1, A19, A22, enteroviruses 113 and 104: viruses representing two clades with distinct tropism within enterovirus C. J Gen Virol 94(9): 1995-2004.

82. Lukashev AN, Lashlekhiev VA, Ivanova OE, Koroleva GA, Hinkkanen AE, et al. (2005) Recombination in circulating human enterovirus B: independent evolution of structural and non-structural genome regions. J Gen Virol 86(12): 3281-3290.

83. Simmonds P, Welch J (2006) Frequency and dynamics of recombination within different species of human enteroviruses. J Virol 80(1): 483-493.

84. Smura TP, Junttila N, Blomqvist S, Norder H, Kajilainen S, et al. (2007) Enterovirus 94, a proposed new serotype in human enterovirus species D. J Gen Virol 88(3): 849-858.

85. Harvala H, Sharp CP, Ngole E, Delaporte E, Peeters M, et al. (2011) Detection and genetic characterization of enteroviruses circulating among wild populations of chimpanzees in Cameroon: Relationship with human and simian enteroviruses. J Virol 85(9): 4480-4486.

86. Smura T, Blomqvist S, Vuorinen T, Ivanova O, Samoilovich, et al. (2014) Recombination in the evolution of enterovirus C species subgroup that contains types CVA-21, CVA-24, EV-C95, EV-C96 and EV-C99. PLoS One 9(4): e94579.

87. Bessaud M, Joffret ML, Holmblad B, Razafindratsimandresy R, Delpeyrroux F (2011) Genetic relationship between cocirculating Human enterovirus species C. PLoS One 6(9): 24823.

Citation: Zhou F, O’Sullivan MVN, Iredell JR, Sintchenko V, Gilbert GL, et al. (2015) Molecular Analysis of Enterovirus C Species Using the 5' Untranslated Region and VP1 Region. J Hum Virol Retrovirol 2(2): 00038. DOI: 10.15406/jhvrv.2015.02.00038