Abstract

A simple and economical procedure for the destruction of human organic material for the diatom examination is presented.

The Author has tested a minimal amount of H₂SO₄ diluted solution to detect diatoms in several tissues from human corpses under crime investigation, immersed in the sea and river water.

The method was compared with a traditional method that includes digestion with a large amount of strong mixture of sulphuric and nitric acid (90%).

The new procedure showed that all siliceous frustules of sea and river diatoms are more resistant to the H₂SO₄ diluted treatment and are still recognizable after digestion, and observation under the microscope is better than the other procedure.

Moreover, the microscopical observation of amoeboid protozoa (radiolarians) was also possible.
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Introduction

Diatoms are unicellular, eukaryotic microorganism measuring from 5 micron to 3 mm. They appeared in the prehistoric era, colonize all aquatic or simply moist environments and can also be found in dust.

The first observation of diatom was made in 1703 by an english country gentleman with a simple microscope. His paper was communicated to the Royal Society of London and published in its Philosophical Transaction [1].

The hallmark of the diatom is its cell wall is highly differentiated and almost always heavily impregnated with silica. There are two principal habitats for diatoms: moist or submerged surface (Benthic) and open water (Planktonic).

In forensic science a fairly important and difficult issue is the diagnosis of drowning in the case of submerged corpses specially when a corpse is heavy putrid or decomposed. The "diatom test" is based on the recovery of the siliceous cell wall diatoms in high concentration in the organs of drowned persons because diatoms pass through the alveoli into the great circulation. A great number of tests have been proposed to support a confirmation or exclusion of drowning [2-9]. In the case of corpse putrefaction the diagnosis of drowning is rather difficult, for this purpose the diatom analysis could provide supplementary evidence.

Limits affecting diatom testing usually arise from the specific type of analysis; identification of diatoms generally follows a digestion of tissues by strong acids: this treatment may destroy diatoms with the risk of a false negative [10]. On the other hand, the use of other methods such as enzymatic digestion with proteinase K are very uneconomical and not for the exhaustive extraction of some species of diatoms [11-12].

In this paper a comparative analysis was performed: in the first procedure (classic method) the extraction with strong acids (sulphuric and nitric acid digestion at 90°C); in the second only the addition of H₂SO₄ diluted 30% with a small amount of organic matter, maintaining overnight at room temperature.

Two digestion procedures were applied to a total of ten cases of drowning in rivers and the sea. Only for two cases the examination of the drowning medium (water and sediment) was possible.

Sample

The analytical procedures were performed on ten dead bodies under crime investigation at the Forensic Toxicology laboratory of the Institute of Legal Medicine on behalf of the judiciary. They were eight cases of bodies found in the river and two found in the sea. The bodies had been found many days after their disappearance. At the beginning of the autopsy an aliquot of different organs (liver, lung, kidney, brain) was taken and transferred to the forensic laboratory. Moreover the drowning medium was available for only two cases; the water and sediment was taken on the seabed where the dead bodies had been found.

Materials and Method

One gram of kidney, liver, lung and brain were taken and placed in glass baker containing an equal amount of a mixture of strong sulphuric and nitric acid and placed at 90°C overnight. For the other procedure ten milliliters of H₂SO₄ diluted at 30% at room temperature overnight was added to dissolve the organic matter. A negative control was also performed (sample taken by a dead body for other cause) on both extraction procedures. An aliquot of distilled water added to all the samples. Then the extracted samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm, and the supernatant removed twice. After sedimentation a quantity of 100 microliter for each sample was collected, spread on a slide and the supernatant removed twice. After sedimentation a quantity of 100 microliter for each sample was collected, spread on a slide and dried in an oven at 90°C. Slides obtained from all extracted organs were analyzed with light microscope. The diatoms were identified according to "The diatoms biology and morphology of the genera" [1].
Results

The digestion performed with the classic method appeared to be very aggressive with a high presence of destroyed diatom fragments. On the contrary, the other digestion procedure is less aggressive and no presence of precipitates was observed.

Diatoms taxa present in the digestion tissues were: Fragilaria and Navicula. The presence of Asterionella was revealed only in the drawing medium. In Table 1 the diatoms identified in different tissues samples are summarized employing the two different extraction procedures.

The genera identified came from the aquatic environment, navicula, fragilaria and asterionella which are typical diatoms that can be found in marine and river environments. In addition to the diatoms in the organ extracts were detected in the presence of abundant radiolarians [13] amoeboid protozoa. There are characterized by a siliceous skeleton with spicules arranged in rays that observed under a microscope give them a starry look. Their structure consists of numerous fine needles that emanate radially from the core. These organisms are part of the marine plankton, can be detected at all depths and are found on the sea surface in abyssal areas, both near the coast and offshore but are most abundant at depths not exceeding a few hundred meters. As regards the two cases of drowning, where the drowning medium was available, the presence of the same taxa as those identified in the tissues was revealed. Moreover the number of distinctive types of diatoms in the drowning medium greatly exceeded in the number of species in the tissues samples. In fact, the presence of asterionella was not shown in the tissues extracted with both extraction procedures.

In Figure 1,2,3,4 all the types of microorganisms identified are shown, respectively navicula, fragilaria, asterionella, radiolarians. According to literature data [14,15] to assess the diagnosis of drowning, the analysis was considered positive when the presence of 20 diatoms was identified in each 100 microliter slide of a pellet obtained from one gram of kidney, lung, liver and brain. No false positive results were observed for the cases of death from other causes. In the ten cases examined the diatom analysis gave positive results supporting the hypothesis of drowning.

Discussion

The diatom test is based on the inhalation of microorganisms suspended in the fluid medium in the process of drowning. Diatoms can enter the ruptured pulmonary alveolar and capillaries, and reach the organs in the greater circulation [16]. Postmortem extraction and detection of diatoms are possible because their silica-based-extra-cellular coat or frustules are resistant to different digestive reagents. However the problem is to have an extraction system that is not too aggressive and will not destroy the siliceous material, but that will ensure the complete extraction of these algae from the human organs.

Table 1: Diatoms identified in different tissues samples employing the two different extraction procedures.
The system employed in this study of diluted H$_2$SO$_4$ showed better results than the classical method with sulphuric acid and nitric acid at high concentration. The classic extraction caused aggressive digestion with a decrease of diatoms and high presence of destroyed diatom fragments. Moreover, the proposed procedure is less chemically hazardous for the operator and the laboratory, it is also cheaper and yields more reliable results than those for the enzyme [11,12]. The advantage over technique proposed in the literature is that it is also possible to use a small amount of organ and small volume of already diluted acid without increasing sediment and avoiding the consequent difficulties observed in microscopic analysis. Another advantage of the proposed procedure is the possibility to observe other microorganisms (radiolarians) in human organs supporting the diagnosis of drowning. However even if several methods have been presented in the scientific literature, in accordance with other Authors [17] I think that the main problem is a lack of a standardized method for both digestion and for viewing under the microscope as well as the evaluation of a positive result. The real problem in this context is a lack of operational protocols, including the collection of drowning medium every time a corpse is found submerged in water with a suspicious death of drowning, therefore guidelines are desirable.
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