Protective Ability of Biogenic Antimicrobial Peptide Microcin J25 Against Enterotoxigenic Escherichia Coli-Induced Intestinal Epithelial Dysfunction and Inflammatory Responses IPEC-J2 Cells
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Poison of intestinal induce severe health problems in human infants and young animals due to contaminating foods and feedstuffs. With the emergence of public health concerns and high-speed diffuse of drug-opposition of bacteria, the adoption of antimicrobial peptides as potential candidates in treating pathogen infections raised up. Nature Microcin J25 (MccJ25), a class of lasso peptides separated from a fecal strain of E. coli, has been replied to display powerful antimicrobial behavior. Herein, the study was to assess the usefulness of biogenic MccJ25 in the prophylaxis of ETEC K88 infection in IPEC-J2 cells. In vitro antimicrobial activity against ETEC K88 and cytotoxicity of biogenic MccJ25 were determined first. To further understand how biogenic MccJ25 mediates its impact, ETEC K88 adhesion in cells, membrane permeability [as indicated by reduced release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)], transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER), barrier function, and proinflammatory cytokines levels were determined in IPEC-J2 cells after treatment with biogenic MccJ25 and challenge with ETEC K88. Biogenic MccJ25 had a minimum inhibitory concentration of 0.25 µg/mL against ETEC K88, decreased ETEC K88 adhesion in cells and did not cause cytotoxicity toward cells. Furthermore, biogenic MccJ25 protects against ETEC-induced barrier dysfunction by increasing the TEER, decreasing the LDH and promoting tight junction proteins (TJPs) by promoting the assembly of occludin and claudin-1 in the tight junction complex. Biogenic MccJ25 was further found to relieve inflammation responses through modulation of interleukine-6, IL-8 and tumor necrosis factor-α levels via inhibition of mitogen-activated...
INTRODUCTION

The intestinal upper protective screen is the primary platoon of ward against the incursion of cause of disease microorganisms or poison components (Farhadi et al., 2003). The increase in pathogen bacteria which adhesion in intestinal epithelial cells influence intestinal function of permeable changes the structure of gallbladder microbiota, also causes inflammation (Yuhan et al., 1997; Ahmed et al., 2016; Landy et al., 2016). In particular, impaired upper protective screen effect cause damage to immune homeostasis, and increases flame in the bowel. Disruption of gut micro-ecology and barrier function is related to many intestinal illness, including phlogistic intestine illness and intestinal cause of disease infection, and autism spectrum disorders, Parkinson’s disease and anxiety disorder (Lu et al., 2014; Fuente-Nunez et al., 2018).

There is increasing evidence that human infants and young animals experience a high rate of intestinal diseases caused by enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) acquired by ingestion of contaminated food or water (Black, 1990). This well-known ETEC can destroy epithelial barrier, increase intestinal permeability, cause inflammatory responses to human or mammals, which intensifies the systemic inflammation and finally damages intestinal health (Johnson et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2016; Brown et al., 2018). Intestinal epithelial cells can also maintain immune homeostasis through interacting with commensal bacteria. The gut microbiota has significant effects on animal or human health, when the intestinal epithelial barrier is damaged, the gut microbiome will have a risk of inflammatory responses and infection (Hooper and Gordon, 2001; Park et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015; Fuente-Nunez et al., 2018). Due to the important statue of crosstalk among gut microbiota, intestinal barrier, and inflammatory responses in gut micro-ecology (Chen et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017; Fuente-Nunez et al., 2018), it is critical to understand the antibacterial effect on pathogens to fulfill the full potential and consequences of antimicrobial agents.

Generally, antibiotics are usually regarded as the most effective intervention in human and veterinary medicine. However, the appearing of antibiotic counteractive bacteria has effected in an enhance in curing miscarriage proportion for infectious illness causing a global public health disturbance (Boucher et al., 2009). In addition, in recent years, in despite of the remarkable increase in an attempt to find new medicine, no new antibiotics have been approved for clinical use, particularly those to treat Gram-negative enteric bacteria (Boucher et al., 2009; Rabanal et al., 2015). Therefore, the emergence of antibiotic-counteractive microorganism has stressed a demand of find another to cure human and mammal infection.

Exploiting antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) as an antibiotic-single way to heighten the hosts’ resistance and dominate infections is of particular concern. The application of AMPs as a potential intervention has attracted widespread attention to restrict the use of antibiotics in food and animal operation (Wu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014, 2017; Yu et al., 2017). Nature Microrin J25, a plasmid-encoded, small antimicrobial peptide synthesized in the ribosome, is a well-studied member of the class of lasso peptides (Salomón and Farias, 1992). Due to the high stability of the fascinating lasso structure and strong antibacterial activity, biogenic MccJ25 has attracted considerable interest for further applications (Blond et al., 1999; Sable et al., 2000).

In our laboratory, we design a biogenic MccJ25 high-efficiency expression vector using standard recombinant DNA (Figure S1). The biogenic MccJ25 has been shown to have pleiotropic functions not only to eradicate pathogens in the gastrointestinal tract but also to maintain homeostasis, as well as decrease in intestinal permeability and stimulates an anti-inflammatory response (Yu et al., 2017). Consequently, biogenic MccJ25 can be favorable to the whole gallbladder health and offer converson against pathogen infections. Comparing to some other synthesis or recombinant expression antimicrobial peptides (Herbel et al., 2015; Zong et al., 2016; Cao et al., 2018), the in vitro efficacy against ETEC K88 and cytotoxicity of biogenic MccJ25 have not been investigated. Here, we evaluated the in vitro antibacterial activity of biogenic MccJ25 against ETEC K88 and investigated the protective capacity of biogenic MccJ25 against ETEC K88-induced the intestinal protective screen disfunction and inflammatory responses model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biogenic MccJ25 and Bacterial Strain
Comparing chemical composite, recombinant expression was always used to produce AMPs in many laboratories (Chen et al., 2009; Herbel et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2018). Escherichia coli is the mass home and abroad used master bacteria for the expression of AMPs (Pan, 2012; Herbel et al., 2015), thus in our laboratory, biogenic MccJ25 was generated using a highly efficient expression vector as depicted before with secondary mitigation (Yu et al., 2017). Briefly, pMJ25 expression vector
was engineered via an efficient recombinant DNA technology (Figure S1). In particular, the codon-optimized genes coding for ligated construct uptake vector pMJ25. Then pMJ25 was altered into E. coli B21. The recombinant bacteria were incubated in sucrose-compound medium at 37°C with 100 µg/L ampicillin in 10L fermenter for 22 h. After hatch, cell supernatant was cropped by centrifuge. The purity of the biogenic MccJ25 was above 99.95% and determined by high-performance juice color-process (HPLC). The approach of purity of biogenic MccJ25 was provided in Supplementary section. The amine sour array of the peptide was GGAGHVPYEYFCIGITPSFYG determined by automatize Edman degradation (model 494 Procise Protein/Peptide Sequencer; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and a mass spectrometer. The biogenic MccJ25 was emerged as lyophilized dust and deposited at −20°C.

The ETEC K88 (serotype O149:K91, K88ac) strain, also named ETEC F4, conveying F4ac fimbriae is deemed the major pathogen related with human infants and neonatal diarrhea (Black, 1990; Osek, 2000). The ETEC K88 strain was gained from China Institute of Veterinary Drug Control (Beijing, China) and increased in Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth (Difco, England) on a rotary shaker at 180 rpm for 6 h until reaching the mid-logarithmic phase of growth. Then the cultures were centrifuged at 8500 rpm for 5 min, washed, and re-suspended in frosty PBS to obtain a final bacterial density of 2 × 10⁶ colony forming units (CFU)/mL. The ETEC K88 was the test living creature used from beginning to end this study.

**Minimum Inhibitory Concentration**

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the biogenic MccJ25 was determined in sterilized 96-well microplates (Costar, Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) using microdilution assays as described previously (Wang et al., 2017). Briefly, biogenic MccJ25 was dismissed in distilled water, and serial 2-fold dilutions were made in MH broth using 96-well microplates. Finally, the concentration of biogenic MccJ25 ranged from 0.125 to 256 µg/mL. Ten mL of bacteria stay overnight culture mixture were made in MH broth until reaching 80% confluence. Subsequently, the medium was measured using the LDH release assay (Promega, USA). Each group consists of 6 replicates (wells) and the data is expressed as a percentage of control cells.

**Time-Killing Assay**

The ETEC K88 (1.0 × 10⁶ CFU/mL) was evolved in MH broth including a range of density of biogenic MccJ25 (0, 0.25, and 0.5 × MIC). All cultures were hatched at 37°C in a 180 rpm shaker bath. Sampling times included 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110, and 120 min. Then, time-kill curves of biogenic MccJ25 were determined as before depicted (Wang et al., 2017) and the killing rate was determined by plotting the log CFU/mL against time.

**Antibacterial Activity**

As mentioned before (Wang et al., 2017), the method of determining biogenic MccJ25 antibacterial activity is Agarose Diffusion method. ETEC K88 was inoculated into the MH medium with a content of 1% agar, and the duration of the culture was a whole day. The concentration of bacteria obtained by culture was close to 10⁶ CFU/ml, and then pour it into the culture dish. The agar medium was first solidified, and then the aseptic cork drill was used to drill it. Finally, the biogenic MccJ25 powder was put into the sterilized water and fully stirred to make the biogenic MccJ25 evenly distributed in the sterilized water. At this time, its concentration was about 0.25 µg/mL. Take the sample of 200 µL and introduce it into the 8-mm wells. After that, wells were incubated in room temperature for 1 h and then put in a temperature of about 37°C for 24 h. A negative control using water was also included.

**Cells Culture**

The IPEC-J2 cells were kindly provided by Dr. Guoyao Wu (College Station, Texas A&M University, US). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle medium/Nutrient Mixture F-12, 1:1 mixture of DMEM and Ham’s F-12 (DMEM/Ham’s F12 1:1) (Gibco, Merelbeke, Belgium) supplemented 5% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA), 1% streptomycin (10,000 g/mL)/penicillin (10,000 U/mL) (Gibco), 5 µg/L ITS (ScienCell, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 5 µg/L epidermal growth factor (ScienCell, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and maintained under a 95% humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were maintained with media replenished every day.

**Cytotoxicity Studies**

To assess whether biogenic MccJ25 affects cell viability, IPEC-J2 cells were seeded on 96-well cell culture plates (Costar, Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at a density of 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL per well. The cell culture plates were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 24 h until 80% confluence was reached. The cells were then treated with the indicated concentration (2–256 µg/mL) of biogenic MccJ25 solution containing DMEM/F12 (1:1) medium for 24 or 48 h. Wells containing untreated cells served as a control. Then, cell viability was determined by the cell counting kit (CCK-8) as previously described (Wang et al., 2017) and the data was expressed as a percentage of control cells.

To identify whether biogenic MccJ25 caused cell membrane damage, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in IPEC-J2 cell culture medium was measured using the LDH release assay (Promega, Wisconsin, USA). DMEM/F12 medium (2 × 10⁶ cells/well) containing IPEC-J2 cells was inoculated on a 96-well assay plate (Costar, Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂, 24 or 48 h until reaching 80% confluence. Subsequently, biogenic MccJ25 with a concentration range between 2 and 256 µg/mL was added to each well. The cells were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 24 or 48 h. After this time, the medium was collected and measured for LDH activity using the CytoTox 96® Reagent and LDH Detection Kit (Promega, USA). Each group consists of 6 replicates (wells) and the data is expressed as a percentage of control cells.
**Differentiation of Intestinal Epithelial Cells**

IPEC-J2 cells (2 × 10^5) were transformed into a 6-well transwell collagen-coated PTFE filter (pore size 0.4 μm; 4.7 cm²; Costar, Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) according to the standard protocol. The bottom side of each well and 2.6 mL were on the basolateral side. IPEC-J2 cells were incubated in culture medium for 24 h and freshly re-fed daily before confluence. After that, the cells were fed with a medium containing no FBS to differentiate the cells. To monitor differentiation, transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) was measured every other day using the Millicell resistance system (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) until it usually reached about 2,000 cm².

**Bacteria Adhesion Assay**

The IPEC-J2 cells were seeded onto a 6-well transwell collagen-coated PTFE filter (pore size 0.4 μm; 4.7 cm² Costar, Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and increased to confluence. Before infection, cells were incubated with medium alone or with medium containing MccJ25 (2 μg/mL) for 1 h. The ETEC K88 (10^7 CFU/mL) was grown to mid-logarithmic phase, sected and resuspended in central, then increased to the IPEC-J2 cells for 3 h. We select the bacterial concentration and occasion of incubation based on prime tests to allow for bacterial adhesion and film without interruption of the cell monolayers. Then, bacteria adhesion was evaluated as before depicted (Xia et al., 2015). Briefly, after hatch, cells were washed five times with PBS to clear other bacteria. Then the cells were bused five times with PBS, and the cells were were to a Triton X-100 condition, following a 5-min incubation and a serious of addition of 800 μL PBS. Ten μL simples of serial 10-fold thinning of cell lysates were placed on Bismuth Sulphite Agar to quantify bacteria. The number of CFU was concluded after all-night trained at 37°C.

**In Vitro ETEC K88 Challenge IPEC-J2 Cells Experiments**

The IPEC-J2 Cells (2 × 10^5) were planted onto a 6-well transwell fiber-lidded PTFE luter (pore size 0.4 μm; 4.7 cm²; Costar, Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and grown to confluence. After simples were distinguished, cells were treated. The cells were separated into the following four groups: control (untreated), ETEC K88 (treated with a final concentration 4.2 × 10^6 ETEC K88 for 3 h), biogenic MccJ25 (treated with 2 μg/mL biogenic MccJ25 for 24 h), and biogenic MccJ25 + ETEC K88 (treated with 2 μg/mL biogenic MccJ25 for 24 h before layout to a final concentration 4.2 × 10^6 ETEC K88 for 3 h).

To study membrane damage induced by ETEC K88 and protective effect of biogenic MccJ25, the differentiated IPEC-J2 cells were adopted with or without biogenic MccJ25 as indexed for 24 h in the existence or shortage of ETEC K88 for 3 h. LDH activity and TEER were measured, respectively. All data are showed as the significance opposing to those for the control group.

**Determination of Proinflammatory Cytokines**

The levels of cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8) were evaluated after the addition of ETEC K88 to IPEC-J2 cells in the presence or absence of biogenic MccJ25 in DMEM/F12 supplemented with serum (no antibiotics). Cytokine secretion was measured in the culture supernatant using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit purchased from Liangshichangyan Bioengineering Institute (Beijing, Chian). The concentration was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 450 nm on a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

**Real-Time PCR Analysis**

Cells were lysed directly in TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Extract total RNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The first strand cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription of 1 μg of total RNA using the PrimeScript First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and stored at −80°C. Real-time PCR was performed on an Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Singapore) using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Takara, Dalian, China) as previously described (Liu et al., 2017). β-actin was used as an endogenous control. The primers used are listed in Table S1. All reactions were performed in triplicate.

**Western Blot and Immunofluorescence Analysis**

As described previously (Wang et al., 2017), cells were harvested by Western blot to analyze the abundance of the protein. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies [occludin, claudin-1, ZO-1, P38, p-P38 and NF-κB (P65, P-p65) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA)] overnight at 4°C and then washed. TBST 3 times in 15 min. The membrane was then incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Applygen Technology, Inc., Beijing, China) for 1 h at room temperature. Signals were detected using the ImageQuant LAS 4000 mini-system (GE Healthcare Bio-sciences AB, Inc., Sweden) using Western Blot Brightness Reagent (Applygen, Beijing, China) and passed through Image Quant TL Software (GE Healthcare Life Science) for the gel imaging system.. The expression levels of intercellular tight junction proteins (TJPs) occludin, and claudin-1 were evaluated by immunofluorescence microscopy as previously described (Qin...
et al., 2009; Donato et al., 2010). Briefly, IPEC-J2 cells were incubated with a rabbit anti-occludin Ab and a rabbit anti-claudin-1 Ab (Abcam, USA) and then with FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary Ab. After washing with PBS, Cells were removed from the plastic support, mounted on glass slides with Vectashield containing DAPI, and examined on a Leica TCS SP5 confocal laser microscope (Keyence, Osaka, Japan).

Statistical Analysis
The results were described as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). The data was analyzed by one-way ANOVA using a SAS system (version 9.2, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Student-Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test was used to determine the difference between treatments. All data was visualized using GraphPad Prism 6 software (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Statistical significance was expressed using $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

In Vitro Antimicrobial Effects of Biogenic MccJ25
First, the antimicrobial activity of biogenic MccJ25 toward ETEC K88 was evaluated using an MIC assay. Biogenic MccJ25 was highly effective against ETEC K88 at MIC of 0.25 µg/mL. No growth was observed in the wells supplemented with biogenic MccJ25 in the MIC (data not shown). In addition, the antimicrobial effect of biogenic MccJ25 was also evaluated by the agar diffusion method (Figure 1A). When the biogenic MccJ25 at the MIC was transferred to a well prepared on a solidified agarose mixed with ETEC K88, a distinct zone of inhibition was observed. The time-killing kinetic curve showed that an obvious decrease in bacterial growth appeared after 20 and 30 min exposure to biogenic MccJ25, indicating that ETEC K88 was rapidly killed by biogenic MccJ25 within 0.5 h (Figure 1B).

Cytotoxicity Studies
Since the basic goal of this study was to develop biogenic MccJ25 as a safe alternative antibacterial agent, the cytotoxicity of biogenic MccJ25 was tested. Cell viability was determined using CCK-8 assay after 24 and 48 h of treatment with different concentrations of biogenic MccJ25. As shown in Figures 2A,B, at 24 and 48 h, various biogenic MccJ25 concentrations increased cell viability compared to the control group ($P < 0.001$). Even at a concentration of 256 µg/mL, no significant effect of cultured cells on the cells was detected.

To further quantify the toxicity of biogenic MccJ25, the LDH assay was conducted. The cells treated with different concentrations of biogenic MccJ25 for 24 and 48 h, did not significantly increase LDH release compared with control group even at a concentration of 256 µg/mL (Figures 2C,D). These results indicated that the treatment with biogenic MccJ25 maintains the integrity of the cellular membrane in IPEC-J2 cells.

Effects of Biogenic MccJ25 on ETEC K88 Adhesion in Cells
To determine whether biogenic MccJ25 protects cell monolayers from ETEC K88 adhesion in IPEC-J2 cells through its antibacterial activity, in vitro bacterial adhesion assay ETEC K88 was performed. This experiment was conducted in two environments. IPEC-J2 cells were treated with biogenic MccJ25 for 1 h and then washed off before addition of bacteria, or kept in culture medium during infection. Compared to the control group, the two washed-out and consistent biogenic MccJ25 groups significantly reduced the ETEC K88 count at 2 µg/mL ($P < 0.01$) (Figure 3). However, consistent biogenic MccJ25 significantly decreased ($P < 0.01$) ETEC K88 adhesion compared to the flushed biogenic MccJ25 treated group.

Effects of Biogenic MccJ25 on ETEC K88-Induced Cellular Damage
The intestinal permeability and TEER of biogenic MccJ25 were first tested. As expected, biogenic MccJ25 treatment
FIGURE 2 | CCK-8 and LDH assay with IPEC-J2 cells shows that antimicrobial peptide biogenic MccJ25 do not induce cytotoxicity. IPEC-J2 cells were cultured with or without biogenic MccJ25 (2–256 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \)) for 24 or 48 h. Viability of IPEC-J2 cells after treatment with different MccJ25 concentrations for 24 h (A) and 48 h (B). LDH activity in IPEC-J2 cell culture medium after treatment with different MccJ25 concentrations for 24 h (C) and 48 h (D), respectively. Data are means ± SEMs of three independent experiments, \( n = 6 \). The asterisk denotes a significant difference compared with control group (* \( P < 0.05 \), ** \( P < 0.01 \), *** \( P < 0.001 \)).

FIGURE 3 | Protective effects of antimicrobial peptide biogenic MccJ25 against ETEC K88 adhesion to IPEC-J2 cell monolayers. IPEC-J2 cells were cultured with or without biogenic MccJ25 (2 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \)) for 1 h in the absence or presence of ETEC K88 for 3 h. The adhesion of ETEC K88 in the IPEC-J2 cells was determined. Data are means ± SEMs of three independent experiments, \( n = 8 \). Different superscript lowercase letters within each group mean significantly different (\( P < 0.05 \)).

significantly reduced (\( P < 0.001 \)) LDH release into the medium compared to the control group (Figure 4A). However, the number of LDH in the culture medium of ETEC K88-treated IPEC-J2 cells pretreated with biogenic MccJ25 was significantly reduced compared to the ETEC K88-treated group (\( P < 0.001 \)).

To clarify the role of biogenic MccJ25 on promoting the intestinal junction, the classical TEER method was used to indicate the tight junction integrity. Compared with control group, TEER increased significantly for cells treated with biogenic MccJ25 (\( P < 0.01 \)) at 12 h. The ETEC K88 decrease in induced TEER was attenuated (\( P < 0.01 \) pretreatment biogenic MccJ25 as compared to that of ETEC K88 treatment group at 12 h (Figure 4B). These findings indicated that biogenic MccJ25 maybe function on the epithelial physical barrier.

**Effects of Biogenic MccJ25 on ETEC K88-Induced Disruption of Tight Junction Gene Expression**

The intestinal barrier is mainly formed by tight connections. To further investigate the protective effect of biogenic MccJ25 on ETEC K88-induced TJP disruption, such as Claudin-1, occludin,
We performed Western blotting experiments to verify the effects of biogenic MccJ25 on ETEC K88-induced disruption of tight junction proteins expression and distribution. Pretreatment with biogenic MccJ25 significantly inhibited the down-regulated expression of claudin-1, occludin, and ZO-1 induced by ETEC K88. However, the biogenic MccJ25-treated group did not significantly increase ZO-1 protein expression compared to the control group (Figure 6B).

Differences in claudin-1 and occludin expression levels were further confirmed by confocal immunohistochemistry (Figure 6C), where the expected co-localization of these proteins was confirmed. Consistent with Western blot analysis, biogenic MccJ25 exposure was associated with distribution and irregular cell distribution of claudin-1 and occludin compared to the control group. In addition, pretreatment with biogenic MccJ25 prevented ETEC K88 from disrupting the distribution of claudin-1, occludin, and ZO-1.

**Concentrations and Gene Expression of Proinflammatory Cytokines**

The fact that ETEC K88 causes inflammation while biogenic MccJ25 whether has a potent anti-inflammatory effects which prompted us to investigate the role of ETEC K88 on pro-inflammatory cytokines, we analyzed the levels of TNF-α, IL-8, and IL-6 (Figure 7A). Compared with control group, biogenic MccJ25 treatment group significantly decreased (P < 0.05) TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8 secretions. However, there was an increase (P < 0.001) in TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8 levels in IPEC-J2 cells after treatment with ETEC K88 for 3 h compared with all treatment groups (Figure 7A). Pre-treatment with biogenic MccJ25 before exposure to ETEC K88 significantly reduced the levels of IL-6 (P < 0.05), IL-8 (P < 0.001), and TNF-α (P < 0.001).

In an agreement with the proinflammatory cytokines secretion results, when ETEC K88 challenged there was an increase (P < 0.001) in TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8 gene expression in IPEC-J2 cell culture supernatants compared with control group (Figure 7B), whereas ETEC K88 increased the TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8 gene expression appeared to be prevented by biogenic MccJ25. Compared with control group, biogenic MccJ25 treatment group did not significantly decreased TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8 expression.

**Effects of Biogenic MccJ25 on Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase and Nuclear Factor κB Pathways in IPEC-J2 Cells**

Following the above experiments, biogenic MccJ25 can relieve inflammation responses which prompted us to examined the interaction between biogenic MccJ25 in the NF-κB and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways, which is a key cellular cascade involved in inflammation. The phosphorylated NF-κB (Figures 8A,C) and P38 (Figures 8A,B) protein abundance was significantly increased (P < 0.001) in ETEC K88 group compared with all treatment groups. Compared...
FIGURE 5 | Lasso peptide biogenic MccJ25 increased the mRNA expression of the tight junction proteins ZO-1 (A), occludin (B), and claudin-1 (C) in ETEC K88-challenged IPEC-J2 cells. IPEC-J2 cells were cultured with biogenic MccJ25 (2 µg/mL) or without biogenic MccJ25 for 24 h, and then treated with ETEC K88 for 3 h. Cells were collected and relative mRNA expression was analyzed by Real-Time PCR. Data are means ± SEMs of three independent experiments, n = 9. Different superscript lowercase letters within each group mean significantly different (P < 0.05).

with the control group, biogenic MccJ25 significantly reduced \((P < 0.05)\) the phosphorylated nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) and P38 protein abundance. Additionally, cells pre-treated with biogenic MccJ25 showed significantly decreased \((P < 0.01)\) phosphorylated NF-κB protein abundance compared with ETEC treatment group. Importantly, pretreatment with biogenic MccJ25 significantly reduced \((P < 0.01)\) the phosphorylated P38 protein abundance compared with ETEC and Control groups.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was to examined the potential protective ability of biogenic MccJ25 against ETEC K88-induced intestinal barrier dysfunction in IPEC-J2 cells. Our findings in this study revealed that the biogenic MccJ25 showed no cytotoxicity in the IPEC-J2 cell line based on LDH and CCK-8 assays, while it exerted strong antimicrobial activity on ETEC K88 and significantly reduced ETEC K88 adhesion in cells. In addition, the biogenic MccJ25 was shown to be effective against ETEC K88-induced intestinal barrier dysfunction and to reduce inflammatory responses.

In view of the increase in antibiotic-resistant microorganisms (such as E. coli, Salmonella, Campylobacter jejuni, and Staphylococcus aureus) and the lack of alternative treatments for infectious diseases (Xiao et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). It is necessary to actively develop alternative methods to control the spread of this pathogen and homologous infections. Nature MccJ25 is a well-studied member of the class of lasso peptides. It was isolated from a falc strain of E. coli AY25 and had strong antibacterial activity (Salomón and Farias, 1992; Blond et al., 1999; Sable et al., 2000). In our laboratory, the biogenic MccJ25 was generated using a highly efficient expression vector as described previously (Yu et al., 2017). Some studies have been showed that recombinant expression is always conducted to produce therapeutic proteins, which are used in many laboratories for large scale expression of AMPs (Chen et al., 2009; Herbel et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2018). A previous study has also been indicated that microcins can conceivably be utilized as a targeted strategy to treat infection disease and enterobacterial colitis during administration to pathogen-infected and inflamed animals (Sassone-Corsi et al., 2016). In summary, there is a need to thoroughly evaluate biogenic MccJ25 to assess its impacts on pathogens. To address this need, in the first phase of the present study, we worked on evaluating the antibacterial activity against ETEC K88 in vitro. We found that both the clear zones of inhibition and the low MIC \( (0.25 \mu g/mL) \) demonstrated that biogenic MccJ25 can effectively inhibit the growth of ETEC K88. Time-kill assays also illustrated that biogenic MccJ25 had a powerful killing effect on ETEC K88. Consistent with the previous studies, biogenic MccJ25 had been shown to extremely strong bactericidal activity in the 5–500 nanomolar range against E. coli, Salmonella, and Shigella strains (Blond et al., 1999; Sable et al., 2000). Compared to animal experiments, in vitro studies of cell culture are always the first step in studying how drugs respond because they are relatively cost-effective and simple, easy to handle, and ethically unclear (Ma et al., 2017). In this study, we selected highly similar IPEC-J2 cells between pigs and humans, and because it is a better model for normal intestinal epithelial cells to study pathogen-host interaction and gut barrier function (Skjolaas et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2016). In our study, we found that biogenic MccJ25 exert strong antimicrobial activity without raising IPEC-J2 cells cytotoxicity that did not cause cellular membrane damage and reduction in cell activity even at high concentrations. Consistent with the previous studies which found that AMPs sublancin, porcine lactoferrin peptide LF-6 or chitosan microparticles also did not cause cytotoxicity in intestinal cells (jiang et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). On the basis of the cytotoxicity findings, we choose the lowest doses \( (2 \mu g/mL) \) biogenic MccJ25 to investigate the impacts on following experiments.

Because of the antimicrobial ability of biogenic MccJ25 against ETEC K88, we investigate the biogenic MccJ25 on the
FIGURE 6 | The protective effects of antimicrobial peptide biogenic MccJ25 on intestinal tight junction proteins structure and function in IPEC-J2 cells. IPEC-J2 cells were cultured in the presence or absence of biogenic MccJ25 (2 µg/mL) for 24 h and then treated with ETEC K88 for 3 h. (A) Representative panels of ZO-1, Occludin and Claudin proteins in IPEC-J2 cells. (B) Cells were collected and protein abundance was determined by Western blot. (C) Visualization of the occludin and claudin-1 expression (shown in red) in IPEC-J2 cells and its combination with DAPI to visualize the nuclei (shown in blue). Data are means SEMs of three independent experiments, n = 3. Different superscript lowercase letters within each group mean significantly different (P < 0.05).
FIGURE 7 | Antimicrobial peptide biogenic MccJ25 decreased proinflammatory cytokines production and mRNA expression in the ETEC K88-challenged IPEC-J2 cells. IPEC-J2 cells were treated with or without biogenic MccJ25 (2 µg/mL) for 24 h and then treated with ETEC K88 for 3 h. Cell supernatant and cells were collected, then proinflammatory cytokines concentrations (A) and mRNA expression (B) were analyzed. Data are means ± SEMs of three independent experiments, n = 9. Different superscript lowercase letters within each group mean significantly different (P < 0.05).

surface of the IPEC-J2 cells inhibits the capacity of potential pathogens. The previous research which suggested that AMP CB-F on the surface of the intestinal epithelial cells inhibits the capacity of pathogens to exert their impact (Xia et al., 2015). Thus, the present experiment was conducted in two settings as previous described (Xia et al., 2015). First, IPEC-J2 cells were treated with biogenic MccJ25 for 1 h, then cells were washed off before bacterial addition or in culture medium during infection. We observed that washed out or consistent organism biogenic MccJ25, at a concentration of 2 µg/ml, can significantly reduce the number of ETEC K88. Consistent with our study, a report from Brown et al. (2018) has been showed that salivary peptide can block adhesion of ETEC to intestinal epithelial cells.

Intracellular or extracellular pressure can lead to impaired mucosal barrier function and increased release of LDH from cell culture media (Jiao et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2017). Another typical and convenient indicator of epithelial integrity is TEER, which is an indicator of intestinal epithelial permeability. High TEER means low cell-cell epithelial permeability (Jiao et al., 2015). To address this hypothesis, the LDH and TEER were determined. We observed that pretreatment with biogenic MccJ25 can significantly reduce ETEC K88 adhesion in cells and attenuate ETEC K88-induced increases in LDH release and decreases in the TEER in epithelial cells even without the contact with the ETEC K88. These studies suggest that biogenic MccJ25 Physical barriers can be formed between pathogens and intestinal cells or induce intestinal epithelial cell characteristics that may be opposed to infection.

Based on our analysis above, to further elucidate how biogenic MccJ25 mediates its impact on barrier function, we determined the expression of TJPs in IPEC-J2 cells. Notably, several AMPS, including porcin β-defensin 2 (pBD2) and Cathelicidin-WA (CWA) appear to affect the expression of ZO, occludins and claudin in vivo and in vitro (Han et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Consist with these findings, in our study, ETEC K88 challenge reduced mRNA and protein abundance of claudin-1 and occludin, but these reductions were prevented by pre-treatment with biogenic MccJ25. Interestingly, compared with control group, biogenic MccJ25 treatment group did not significantly affect the protein expression of ZO-1, this is not surprising, because this discrepancy may be a possible variation in the origin of the peptides (natural or synthetic) or mode of action of peptides. Additionally, immunofluorescent staining of IPEC-J2 cells for claudin and occludin indicated a distinct organization of these intercellular tight junction proteins, considering the lack of well-developed TJPs in IPEC-J2 cell monolayers. Therefore, these findings indicated that biogenic MccJ25 can protects the intestinal epithelial integrity by direct killing the pathogens and forms a physical barrier (increased in the assembly of claudin-1 and occludin in the tight bound complexes or TEER) between pathogens and intestinal cells and/or induce intestinal cell characteristics that are resistant to infection. This confirms
FIGURE 8 | Western blotting analysis of P38 MAPK and NF-κB pathway activation in IPEC-J2 cells. Differentiated monolayers IPEC-J2 cells were pretreated or not with biogenic MccJ25 (2 μg/mL) for 24 h and then treated with ETEC K88 for 3 h. Cells were collected after ETEC K88 challenge. (A) Western blotting bands of P38, p-P38 and phosphorylated NF-κB. (B) Relative protein abundance of p-P38 and (C) phosphorylated NF-κB. Results are given as means ± SEMs of three independent experiments, n = 3. Different superscript lowercase letters within each group mean significantly different (P < 0.05).

previous studies that reported that AMP can increase tight junction integrity and enhance intestinal barrier function and permeability to fight pathogen infections (Xia et al., 2015; Yi et al., 2016).

Meanwhile, epithelial barrier damage is usually associated with immune-mediated disorders (Ling et al., 2016; Yi et al., 2017; Fuente-Nunez et al., 2018). The ability of the peptides to skew host responses to favor cellular recruitment. At the same time, controlling excessively harmful inflammation makes these peptides ideal candidates for treating acute infections and conditions of the intestinal tract (Oshitani et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2017). Various studies reported that humans cathelicidin LL-37 and AMPs sublancin and biogenic MccJ25 are known to exert several immunomodulation activities in cell lines and in animals (Zasloff, 2002; Nijnik and Hancock, 2009; Brown et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017). In the present study, pretreatment with the biogenic MccJ25 reduced the ETEC K88-induced the secretion and gene expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α. Previous studies have also demonstrated that ETEC induces the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in epithelial cells that may alter attachment sites and cytoskeletal reorganization, regulate cell barrier function, and create an inflammatory environment around the epithelial barrier (Wu et al., 2016).

Regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines expression involves MAPK and NF-κB signaling activation (Ling et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016). Various studies have established correlations between TNF-α, IL-6, or IL-8 and intestinal permeability, and speculated that NF-κB and MAPK signaling molecules play a role in the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Zhang et al., 2005; Suzuki et al., 2011; Al-Sadi et al., 2014), for instance, activation of the p38 MAPK and NF-κB pathways and promotes TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8 production and gene expression in vitro and in vivo (Wang et al., 2008; Ulluwishewa et al., 2011). In this study, our results clearly show that biogenic MccJ25 may significantly inhibit the expression of ETEC-triggered inflammatory cytokines by down-regulating MAPK and NF-κB pathways. This explanation is consistent with the reduction of pathogen-induced IL-8, IL-6 AMP, and TNF-α production in intestinal epithelial cells by affecting NF-κB pathway in cells (Nijnik and Hancock, 2009; Wang
et al., 2017). Additionally, some previous reports have shown the link between MAPK activation and barrier dysfunction, which may be mediated/linked by pro-inflammatory cytokines. TJ adjustments (repair, assembly, and disassembly) were proposed for different physiological and pathological conditions (Uluwishesha et al., 2011; Ling et al., 2016). Therefore, further investigation of other signaling pathways is necessary to elucidate the possible other effects of biogenic MccJ25 on TJ regulation.

In summary, our findings suggest that biogenic MccJ25 exhibited strong antimicrobial activity to ETEC-K88 without toxicity toward IPEC-J2 cells. Additionally, the present study also demonstrates that pretreatment of intestinal cells with biogenic MccJ25 prevented ETEC K88-induced intestinal damage and reduced inflammation. Despite the many limitations of this in vitro method, it is important to use this data to inform development of more detailed animal models (e.g., mice or pigs) and subsequent studies in humans. This may provide biogenic MccJ25 with great potential for the treatment of infectious diseases caused by pathogens and contribute to the development of potentially effective antibiotic-independent methods to control the use of ETEC K88 in human and other animal depots.
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