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Plasma Levels of Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End Products and Coronary Atherosclerosis: Possible Correlation with Clinical Presentation
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Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-products (RAGE) is a multi-ligand receptor ubiquitous present on epithelial, neuronal, vascular and inflammatory cells, usually expressed at low levels in homeostasis and to increased degrees at sites of stress or injury. The aim of the present study was to evaluate sRAGE plasma levels in patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) and to assess its diagnostic efficacy in identification of patients with acute events. Plasma levels of sRAGE were determined in 860 patients with Coronary Artery Disease (CAD): 530 patients presented stable angina and 330 were observed during acute ischemic event (147 with unstable angina and 183 with myocardial infarction). sRAGE plasma levels were significantly lower in patients with ACS than in patients with stable angina: [median 584 pg/mL (IQR: 266–851 pg/mL) in MI patients, median 769 pg/mL (IQR: 394–987 pg/mL) in patients with unstable angina, median 834 pg/mL (IQR 630–1005 pg/mL) in patients with stable angina; \( P < 0.001 \)]. sRAGE levels did not differ among ACS patients stratified by the extent of coronary artery disease. In conclusion, this study confirm the role of sRAGE in activation and progression of inflammatory process and suggests the possibility that sRAGE can be considered an indicator of destabilization of vulnerable plaque.

1. Introduction

The inflammatory process is actively involved in atherosclerosis and underlies all phases of atherosclerotic plaque development: the beginning, the progression, and the plaque rupture [1]. In the last years, mediators and effectors of this cascade are deeply studied in order to better define the mechanism that leads to acute clinical events, and systemic approaches are pursued to discover serum biomarkers useful to identify patients with plaque at risk of future vascular events [2, 3].

Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) are a heterogeneous and complex group of biochemical compounds, resulting from nonenzymatic glycation and oxidation of protein, nucleic acids, and lipids [4, 5]. The receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) is a multiligand member of the immunoglobulin superfamily ubiquitous present on epithelial, neuronal, vascular, and inflammatory cells, usually expressed at low levels in homeostasis and to increased degrees at sites of stress or injury [6].

RAGE-mediated mechanism plays a role in ischemic myocardial injury through triggering RAGE-dependent cellular activation, inducing oxidative stress, and promoting inflammatory proliferative responses leading to vascular dysfunction [7, 8]. Via activation of signal transduction cascades and transcription factors such as nuclear factor (NF)-κB, AGE-RAGE interaction yields oxidative stress, and increased
expression of inflammatory and prothrombotic species in atherosclerosis-prone vessels [9].

In addition to AGEs, RAGE binds certain members of the amyloid-b (Ab) peptide cleavage product of b-amyloid precursor protein [10], the S100/calgranulin family [11], and the high mobility group 1 DNA binding protein (HMGB1) amphoterin [12, 13]. Numerous studies suggested that the interaction between S100/calgranulin or HMGB1 and RAGE may activate inflammatory and stress signaling pathways of inflammatory cells, vascular cells, epithelial cells, terminally differentiated cells such as neurons and cardiomyocytes, and transformed cells [11, I2, 14]. These data supported the hypothesis that S100/calgranulin and HMGB1 ligands may exert profound effects on cellular phenotype [15].

Besides the full-length RAGE protein in humans, nearly 20 natural occurring RAGE splicing variants were described on mRNA and protein level. It is thought that these isoforms, characterized by either N-terminally or C-terminally truncations, are possible regulators of the full-length RAGE receptor either by competitive ligand binding or by displacing the full-length protein in the membrane. The soluble C-truncated RAGE isoforms are the most focused isoforms in research and clinics; the deregulations of the circulating levels of soluble RAGE forms were reported in several RAGE-associated pathological disorders [16]. These soluble isoforms may be formed by two processes: alternative splicing forming the endogenous secretory receptor for AGE (esRAGE) or proteolytic cleavage mediated by disintegrins and metalloproteinases that produce sRAGE [17].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate sRAGE plasma levels in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and to assess its diagnostic efficacy in the identification of patients with acute events.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population. This study included 860 Caucasian subjects (681 males and 179 females) consecutively recruited among subjects with coronary artery disease (CAD) referred to the Department of Cardiology of University Hospital. The patients included in the study presented at least one angiographically documented coronary stenosis (≥75% stenosis). Patients underwent accurate examinations and a gathering of physiological, pathological, remote and proximal, familiar, and pharmacological anamnestic data. One hundred and eighty-three patients present acute myocardial infarction (MI), 147 present unstable angina, and 530 patients had a stable angina. Patients with heart failure, cardiomiopathy, infective diseases, or chronic inflammation and patients affected by renal failure, severe liver complications, cancer, or haematological disorders were excluded from the study.

All cardioactive assumed drugs were registered, with particular regard to β-blockers, calcium-antagonists, ACE-inhibitors, antiaggregant, and nitrates. The gathered data included age and mass body index (calculated dividing the weight measured in Kg by the height squared measured in meters). Cardiovascular risk factors were defined as follows: gender, hypertension (systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure >90 mmHg or antihypertension therapy), and family history of cardiovascular pathology (documented CAD in parents or siblings manifested before 60 years of age in men and 70 years of age in women); cigarettes smoking was dichotomized into ever versus never, with ever smoking defined as having smoked daily for 1 year or more; patients’ lipid range (total cholesterol, LDL and HDL, and triglycerides, defining hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia as cholesterol and triglycerides plasma concentrations, respectively, higher than 200 mg/dL and 150 mg/dL) and patients’ glycaemia (normal values were considered if less than 100 mg/dL, reduced glycaemic tolerance if glycaemia was 100–110 mg/dL, and diagnosed diabetes if the plasma concentration of glucose was >126 mg/dL) were considered.

All participants gave written informed consent. The study protocol is conformed to the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration for human research and was approved by our local ethics committee.

2.2. Coronary Angiography. The coronary angiography was delivered in all of the patients using the Sones technique. We considered as hemodynamically significant the presence of a ≥75% stenosis in the principle coronaries. The angiographic severity of the CAD was measured by the number of the coronaries with stenosis and assigning a number between 0 and 3 corresponding to the number of obstructed vases. A ≥75% lesion of the left main coronary was considered a two-vessel disease. The left ventriculography was affected before the coronary angiography. On the basis of the result of coronary angiography, the CAD patients were classified as having one, two, or three major epicardial coronary arteries with a ≥75% luminal obstruction.

2.3. Laboratory Methods. Venous blood samples were collected at time from symptom onset, before revascularization, in Vacutainer tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid as anticoagulant for determination of plasma levels of sRAGE and lipid parameters. The samples were centrifuged at 1000 g for 30 and immediately divided into aliquots. All laboratory tests were performed in blind.

The serum total cholesterol and triglycerides were determined using a standard enzymatic procedure. HDL cholesterol was determined enzymatically after precipitation of other lipoproteins with dextran sulfate magnesium. Blood glucose was determined by the method of glucose oxidase. Plasma levels of sRAGE were determined using a kit for the immunoadsorption enzyme that is commercially available (Quantikine, R and D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, a monoclonal antibody against sRAGE has been used to capture sRAGE from plasma. sRAGE captured was marked with a polyclonal anti-human sRAGE. After washing, the plates were incubated with streptavidin-HRP, developed on an appropriate substrate, and OD450 was determined using a plate reading immunoadsorption enzyme. The measurements were made in duplicate and the results compared. The values of the coefficients of
variation intra- and interdetermination were, respectively, less than 6% and <8%.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Data were analysed with the statistics program 8.0 (StatSoft Software 8.0, Tulsa, OK, USA). We used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov analysis to test if the continuous variables presented a normal distribution. The continuous variables were expressed by an average ± standard deviation or by a median and interquartile range if data did not fall into a normal distribution pattern. The categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. The differences between the groups were valued with the Student's t-test for normally distributed variables, with Mann-Whitney's U Test for the not normally distributed variables, and with the chi-squared test for the categorical variables.

The correlations among the study variables were evaluated calculating the correlation coefficient according to Spearman analysis. The correlations between the variables of our study were valued calculating the correlation coefficients. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Subject Characteristics. Our population is composed of 860 patients: demographic, clinical, echocardiographic, and biochemical characteristics of the studied population are shown in Table 1. Five hundred and thirty of the 860 patients observed in our study presented a stable angina and three hundred thirty were observed during acute ischemic event (unstable angina and MI). The common cardiovascular risk factors are distributed equally in the three subpopulations, whilst patients with stable angina are more dyslipidemic and have a higher mean age than those with acute events ($P < 0.01$).

3.2. sRAGE Plasma Levels. Plasma levels of sRAGE have a non-Gaussian distribution in CAD patients and were significantly lower in ACS patients (MI and unstable angina) (median 632 pg/mL (IQR: 335–947 pg/mL)) than in stable group median (834 pg/mL (IQR 630–1005 pg/mL); $P < 0.001$). In particular, patients with MI had lower value of sRAGE plasma levels than patients with unstable angina (median 584 pg/mL (IQR: 266–851 pg/mL) in MI patients, median 769 pg/mL (IQR: 394–987 pg/mL) in patients with unstable angina; $P < 0.001$).

The correlation between sRAGE plasma levels and the clinical and biochemical parameters was evaluated. Plasma concentrations of sRAGE were not associated with age, diabetes, smoke, hypertension, BMI, creatinine, eGFR and CRP. We observed an inverse correlation between sRAGE plasma levels and total cholesterol values ($r = -0.75; P = 0.0004$) and triglycerides values ($r = -0.79; P = 0.0005$) as reported in Table 2.

We have also evaluated the possible effect of statin therapy on sRAGE plasma levels separately. Eighty percent of patients with stable angina and 67% of ACS patients were on statins therapy. Similar levels of soluble RAGE were found between patients in statins therapy and patients not users of statins, and we found that patients with ACS had lower plasma levels of sRAGE in those with stable angina in both groups of subjects treated with statins (median 624 pg/mL (IQR: 315–938 pg/mL) versus 863 pg/mL (IQR: 618–1089 pg/mL), respectively, $P$ value < 0.001) than in what they did not undertake this therapy (median 681 pg/mL (IQR: 491–942 pg/mL) versus 943 pg/mL (IQR: 740–1349 pg/mL), respectively, $P < 0.001$) (Figure 1). In particular, 21% of patients were taking rosuvastatin, 50% were taking atorvastatin, and 29% were taking simvastatin. Nevertheless, our data showed that patients under treatment with different types of statins have no significant different levels of sRAGE in plasma.

3.3. Extension of Coronary Artery Disease. Among the 330 patients with ACS, 139 (42%) had one-vessel disease, 120 (36%) had two-vessel disease, and 71 (22%) had three-vessel disease. The extent of CAD was different in the 530 patients with stable angina: 90 (17%) had one-vessel disease, 164 (31%) had two-vessel disease, and 276 (52%) had three-vessel disease.

Median sRAGE levels did not differ among ACS patients stratified by the extent of coronary artery disease: those with one epicardial coronary involved (672 pg/mL (IQR: 491–942 pg/mL)), those with two-vessel disease (667 pg/mL (IQR: 170–1005 pg/mL)), and those with significant stenosis involved three principle coronaries sRAGE (657 pg/mL (IQR: 375–874 pg/mL)). Age, gender, BMI, and the main cardiovascular risk factors and the clinical, biochemical, and demographic characteristics considered in the study are not statistically different in these groups.

4. Discussion

Our present study evidenced a strong correlation between plasma sRAGE levels and acute coronary events (MI and unstable angina) confirming clinical relevance to this biomarkers as indicator of inflammatory status. Plasma concentrations of sRAGE result inverse correlated with total cholesterol values and triglycerides values. In addition, sRAGE levels did not differ among ACS patients stratified by the number on coronary artery disease.

The role of sRAGE in systemic and coronary atherosclerotic disease has been amply demonstrated both in animal and human studies [18–20]. The present study confirms the role of sRAGE in humans and extends the analysis of the role of sRAGE in patients with ACS. Two recent researches investigating the role of RAGE and its ligands in ACS showed conflicting data. Cai et al. found higher sRAGE levels in ACS patients compared with controls; they also reported an association between three RAGE ligands (S100B, S100A6, and S100P) and ACS and demonstrated that, in patients and in rat models of myocardial infarction, the expression of these protein is related to myocardial injury [21]. On the contrary, McNair et al. showed lower serum levels of sRAGE in patients with NSTEMI compared to controls and demonstrated its negative correlation with those of hs-CRP [22].
### Table 1: Demographic, clinical, and biochemical characteristics of the studied population.

|                      | Myocardial infarction patients (n = 183) | Unstable angina patients (n = 147) | Stable angina patients (n = 530) | P value |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Age, y               | 59.9 ± 5.7                               | 60.6 ± 7.1                        | 64 ± 10                         | <0.01   |
| Male, n (%)          | 146 (80%)                                | 109 (74%)                         | 428 (81%)                       | Ns      |
| BMI, kg/m²           | 27 ± 3                                   | 28 ± 3                            | 27 ± 5                          | Ns      |
| Total cholesterol    | 190 ± 38                                 | 192 ± 41                          | 189 ± 40                        | Ns      |
| Triglycerides        | 148 ± 51                                 | 155 ± 49                          | 152 ± 54                        | Ns      |
| HDL cholesterol      | 49 ± 19                                  | 45 ± 23                           | 48 ± 22                         | Ns      |
| Hypertension, n (%)  | 126 (69%)                                | 94 (64%)                          | 334 (63%)                       | Ns      |
| Diabetes, n (%)      | 37 (20%)                                 | 37 (25%)                          | 151 (28%)                       | Ns      |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)  | 123 (67%)                                | 91 (61%)                          | 434 (82%)                       | <0.01   |
| Ever smoking, n (%)  | 106 (58%)                                | 82 (56%)                          | 314 (59%)                       | Ns      |
| Creatinine, mg/dL    | 0.9 ± 0.3                                | 1 ± 0.1                           | 1.1 ± 0.5                       | Ns      |
| Hemoglobin, g/L      | 12.9 ± 1.7                               | 13.5 ± 1.4                        | 12.3 ± 2.5                      | Ns      |
| sRAGE, pg/mL         | 584 (266–851)                            | 769 (394–987)                     | 834 (630–1005)                  | <0.001  |

### Table 2: Correlation between sRAGE plasma levels and clinical and biochemical parameters in the studied population.

|                      | R  | P value |
|----------------------|----|---------|
| Age                  | 0.09 | Ns      |
| Diabetes             | 0.14 | Ns      |
| Smoke                | −0.08| Ns      |
| Hypertension         | 0.19 | Ns      |
| BMI                  | 0.18 | Ns      |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL | −0.75 | 0.0004  |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL | 0.12 | Ns      |
| Triglycerides, mg/dL | −0.79 | 0.0005  |
| Creatinine, mg/dL    | −0.05| Ns      |
| eGFR, mL/min         | 0.08 | Ns      |
| CRP, mg/dL           | 0.5 | Ns      |

In the present study, we found lower sRAGE plasma levels in patients with acute event than patients with stable angina. Our data seems to support the hypothesis that increases in production of oxygen radicals are present in acute events, mediated by uninhibited increase interaction of RAGE to its ligands. RAGE-AGE axis may be involved in plaque rupture and endothelial erosion, two major causes of coronary thrombosis and hence ACS. Low levels of sRAGE would promote increase interaction AGEs-RAGE on the cells surface, resulting in increased production of cytokines [23] and reactive oxygen species [24]. Overexpression of matrix metalloproteinases, activated by reactive oxygen species, weakens the atherosclerotic plaque and produces its rupture [25]. In addition, the interaction between S100/calgranulin or HMGB1 and RAGE may activate inflammatory and stress signaling pathways causing profound effects on cellular phenotype [15].

In the present study, we found lower sRAGE plasma levels in patients with acute event than patients with stable angina. Our data seems to support the hypothesis that increases in production of oxygen radicals are present in acute events, mediated by uninhibited increase interaction of RAGE to its ligands. RAGE-AGE axis may be involved in plaque rupture and endothelial erosion, two major causes of coronary thrombosis and hence ACS. Low levels of sRAGE would promote increase interaction AGEs-RAGE on the cells surface, resulting in increased production of cytokines [23] and reactive oxygen species [24]. Overexpression of matrix metalloproteinases, activated by reactive oxygen species, weakens the atherosclerotic plaque and produces its rupture [25]. In addition, the interaction between S100/calgranulin or HMGB1 and RAGE may activate inflammatory and stress signaling pathways causing profound effects on cellular phenotype [15].

Several studies have shown that the association between inflammatory markers and the severity of CAD are weak and are mostly explained by concomitant burden of cardiovascular risk factors. Our data show that, in our study population, the extent of coronary artery disease is different between acute and stable angina patients. In patients with acute event, there is prevalence of one-vessel disease, while patients with stable angina have higher rate of three-vessel coronary heart disease. sRAGE levels did not differ among ACS patients stratified by the number on coronary artery disease. The putative theory is that the clinical syndrome of unstable angina is caused by rupture of the atherosclerotic plaque with superimposed thrombus formation. Vulnerable (rupture prone) plaques contain a soft, lipid-rich core that is covered by a thin and inflamed cap of fibrous tissue and greater plaque burden than stable plaques [30]. Our data support the theory of “culprit lesions caused by ruptured”, eroded, or spot-calcified plaques and often containing thrombus,
which is responsible for acute myocardial infarction event. The structure of culprit lesions in patients with ACS has been evaluated by different imaging techniques, and it was demonstrated that these lesions had significantly greater plaque area and a higher remodeling index than nonculprit lesions [31]. In addition, other studies have identified in culprit lesion area a greater activation of the inflammatory process, while the levels of inflammatory markers did not differ greatly between normal coronaries and nonculprit lesions [32].

Taken together, our data seems to confirm the role of sRAGE as a marker for inflammation and provides an interesting explanation for its prognostic value in the prediction of future cardiac events in patients with CAD. Our findings suggest that sRAGE is probably linked to the process transforming chronic atherosclerosis into an active state leading to unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction. So sRAGE, know maker of chronic activation as expression of a process that repeats over time in stable CAD, may be also considered an indicator of destabilization of vulnerable plaque in ACS.

In the interpretation of our data, is necessary to consider several important limitations. We measured in our population only the share of total sRAGE, having used a detection system that cannot discriminate between specific variants of sRAGE. We should therefore be aware that decreased levels of sRAGE measured by this method could be due to a reduction in circulating sRAGE isoforms. The results of our research will also share the limitations of observational compared studies. Indeed, we have evaluated an association and not a causal relationship or predictability.

In conclusion, this study shows association between acute coronary event and lower value of soluble RAGE which confirms the role of sRAGE in the activation and progression of inflammatory process and suggests the possibility that sRAGE can be considered an indicator of destabilization of vulnerable plaque. Our study indicated that sRAGE plasma levels in patients with CAD were correlated to clinical manifestation of the disease and not to the extension of the disease.

Is sRAGE only a biomarker for coronary atherosclerosis or a new risk factor? Other studies are necessary to evaluate this hypothesis.
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