EUV and X-ray observations of Abell 2199: a three-phase intracluster medium with a massive warm component
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Abstract. Various independent ways of constraining key cosmological parameters yielded a consensus range of values which indicates that at the present epoch the bulk of the universe’s baryons is in the form of a warm ($\sim 10^6$ K) gas - a temperature regime which renders them difficult to detect. The discovery of EUV and soft X-ray excess emission from clusters of galaxies was originally interpreted as the first direct evidence for the large scale presence of such a warm component. We present results from an EUVE Deep Survey (DS) observation of the rich cluster Abell 2199 in the Lex/B (69 - 190 eV) filter passband. The soft excess radial trend (SERT), shown by a plot against cluster radius $r$ of the percentage EUV emission $\eta$ observed above the level expected from the hot intracluster medium (ICM), behaves as a simple function of $r$ which decreases monotonically towards $r = 0$; it smoothly turns negative at $r \sim 6$ arcmin, inwards of this radius the EUV is absorbed by cold matter with a line-of-sight column density of $\geq 2.7 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. The centre of absorption is offset from that of the emission by $\sim 1$ arcmin, and the area involved is much larger than that of the cooling flow. These facts together provide strong evidence for a centrally concentrated but cluster-wide distribution of clumps of cold gas which co-exist with warm gas of similar spatial properties. Further, the simultaneous modeling of EUV and X-ray data requires a warm component even within the region of absorption. The phenomenon demonstrates a three phase ICM, with the warm phase estimated to be $\sim 5$-10 times more massive than the hot.
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Abell 2199 is a well-studied X-ray bright cluster of galaxies (e.g. Siddiqui et al. 1998). In a recent study (Lieu et al. 1999a; LBM99) we presented a first observation of the cluster with the *Extreme Ultraviolet Explorer* (EUVE; Bowyer & Malina 1991); the cluster showed clear evidence of *excess* emission in the DS Lex/B filter (∼ 65-190 eV passband) above the thermal contribution from the hot intra-cluster medium (ICM). The A2199 sky area was re-observed by EUVE for ∼ 57 ksec in February 1999 (see Lieu et al. 1999b; L99). The program featured an *in situ* background measurement by pointing at small offset from the cluster, which asserted the correctness of the original method of determining (and subtracting) the background from an asymptotically flat region of the radial profile. Complementary data in the X-ray (0.2 - 2.0 keV) passband, as gathered by a ROSAT PSPC observation which took place in July 1990, with an exposure of 8.5 ksec, were extracted from the public archive[1]. For correct comparison between the EUV and X-ray emissions, the Galactic HI column density was measured at \( N_H = (8.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-2}\) by a dedicated observation at Green Bank (Kaastra et al. 1999), and was found to be spatially smooth. The EUV and X-ray data were simultaneously modeled with a thin plasma emission code (Mewe et al. 1985; Mewe et al. 1986) and appropriate line-of-sight Galactic absorption (Morrison & McCammon 1983) for the above value of \( N_H \). At a given radius the hot ICM was assumed to be isothermal, with the abundance fixed at 0.3 solar apart from the cooling flow region where the parameter became part of the data fitting in order to account for any possible abundance gradient within this region (a different way of handling the abundance does not sensitively affect the results presented in this work).

The forementioned model, when applied to the PSPC spectra at all radii, generally leads to acceptable fits. At low energies the EUV measurements gave crucial new information. The overall effect is a soft excess as reported previously. A plot of the SERT indicates, however, that the percentage EUV excess at a given radius is less at the centre. In fact, the trend takes the form of a negative central excess (i.e. absorption, see Fig. 1), which steadily rises with radius until the 6 arcmin point, beyond that the fractional excess turns positive and continues to increase until the limiting radius of EUV detection (∼ 20 arcmin, Lieu et al. 1999b). In the present paper we interpret the results physically, and demonstrate that such a trend, together with the spectral data, provide compelling reasons for a three phase model of the ICM, with the warm intermediate phase as origin for the soft excess.

---

1 See the High Energy Astrophysics Archive available at [http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/rosat/archive.html](http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/rosat/archive.html). Same PSPC observation (RP number 150083) as in L99 and LBM99 was consulted for the present work.
We first address the outer parts of the cluster, where the data present formidable difficulties to the non-thermal interpretation of the soft excess which postulates a large population of intrachannel relativistic electrons undergoing inverse-Compton (IC) interaction with the cosmic microwave background (CMB, see Ensslin & Biermann 1999, Sarazin & Lieu 1998). Fig. 2 shows a composite plot of the EUV and X-ray data for the 12 - 15 arcmin annulus. The prominent EUV excess, unaccompanied by any similar effect in soft X-rays, implies that the bulk of the relativistic electrons have energies below 200 MeV, a cut-off which is most obviously understood as due to aging (i.e. synchrotron and inverse-Compton losses): the electrons are at least $3 \times 10^9$ years old. However, in order to account for the large EUV excess the highly evolved electron spectrum at the present epoch must still include sufficient particles ahead of the cut-off. This means that for the region of concern, at injection (when the power-law differential number index is assumed to be 2.5, in accordance with our Galactic cosmic ray index) the relativistic electron pressure would have exceeded that of the hot ICM by a factor of $\sim 4$, leading to a major confinement problem for the hot gas. The inclusion of cosmic ray protons exaggerates the difficulty, as protons carry 10 - 100 times more pressure than electrons. Thus the only other viable alternative, viz. the originally proposed thermal (warm) gas scenario (Lieu et al. 1996), must now be considered seriously. This is especially so in the light of the recent constraints on cosmological parameters, which point to the existence of a warm and massive baryonic component (Cen & Ostriker 1999, Maloney & Bland-Hawthorn 1999).

Turning to the core of the cluster, one sees in Fig. 1 that the EUV is absorbed. For more details, in Fig. 3 is shown an image of the brightness of the EUV excess. The data suggest an intermixed model (Jacobsen & Kahn 1986) of the ICM: the lack of soft excess at small radii is due entirely to the larger amount of cold absorbing matter collected in this region. Our inference of the state of the ICM is reinforced by the behavior of the SERT: the trend depicted in Fig. 1 follows a simple parametric profile which applies equally satisfactorily to the absorption and soft excess regions, with no change of behavior at the transition radius of $\sim 6$ arcmin. In fact, there is no particular significance in this radius (it is much larger than the cooling flow radius of $\sim 2$ arcmin; Siddiqui et al. 1998). The observation is naturally interpreted as the combined effect of clumped emission regions containing a warm component, absorbed by clouds of cold gas (neutral H at $T \sim 10^4$ K) in between them (statistical quality of the data can not well constrain the size of the clouds. However, if pressure equipartition with the hot gas is assumed, the HI mass estimates derived in the following convert to an approximate cloud radius of 1-10 kpc). Both the warm and the cold gas distributions are cluster-wide and centrally condensed, but with increasing radius the lines-of-sight are more transparent to EUV
photons created at locations along them. For comparable intrinsic emission profiles of
the soft excess and the hot ICM, the result is an outwardly rising SERT.

The argument for an intermixed ICM also rests upon direct evidence for the presence
of soft excess even in the absorbed regions. We show in Fig. 4 a core spectrum, where it
can be seen that by the time intrinsic absorption accounts for the EUV decrement, an
excess is seen in soft X-rays (which are less absorbed). This clearly indicates a complex
ICM where the various gas phases co-exist. The apparent negative soft excess within the
absorption radius is simply due to an abundance of cold clouds masking EUV emissions
from the warm and hot components.

The thermal origin of the EUV is compelling for another reason: the widespread
absorption reported here implies the existence of a cold phase in the midst of the well
known hot phase, and then the question naturally arises concerning why a warm phase
is absent, and is not the cause of the soft excess. At the very least, mixing layers on the
surface of the cold clouds would suffice to produce the intermediate phase (Fabian 1997).

The mass budgets of the three ICM components in consideration are estimated as
follows. The intrinsic HI column density as inferred from the central EUV absorption
converts to a density of cold clouds of \( \sim 5 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}_\odot \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \). This gives a mass ratio of
1:2000 between the cold and hot gas along the line-of-sight. Any estimate of the mass of
warm gas at the centre is likely to be inaccurate, since the soft emission is significantly
absorbed. We therefore considered the 12 - 15 arcmin region where this complication is
not as severe as in the centre. The extreme softness of the emission (Fig. 2) limits the gas
temperature to \( kT < 100 \text{ eV} \) (or \( T < 10^6 \text{ K} \)), with a correspondingly large mass estimate
of \( 1.25 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{14} \text{ M}_\odot \) \(^2\), under the assumption of 100 % filling factor of the warm gas
in the spherical shell of concern; this is \( \sim 43 \pm 13 \times 29 \) times more massive than the hot ICM
in this region, as obtained from the hot gas density of Siddiqui et al. (1998). The 1-\( \sigma \)
lower limit ratio implies \( \sim 3 \) times more missing baryons than expected (Cen & Ostriker
1999), although it must be emphasized that both the mass and bolometric luminosity
can be substantially reduced if the gas turns out to be warmer. This can be realized by
adopting alternative emission models for the warm phase, especially those which involve
an underionized gas, since the EUV emission efficiency is then enhanced, and the gas can
be warmer than the above temperature constraint. Plasma in such an ionization state is
easily produced by mixing layers or shock heating.

\(^2\) Errors are estimated from the statistical uncertainties in the determination of the warm gas
emission measure, as obtained by spectral modelling with XSPEC 10.0.
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Fig. 1. The SERT effect illustrated by a plot against cluster radius $r$ of the EUV fractional excess $\eta$, defined as $\eta = (p - q)/q$, where $p$ is the DS Lex/B observed signal and $q$ is the expected EUV emission from the hot ICM ($\eta=0$ is expected if no absorption/soft-excess emission is present). $q$ is determined from the best model of the PSPC data (single temperature fits were found to be satisfactory at all radii) with details of Galactic absorption as quoted in the text. The data follow a parametric profile $\eta \propto r^{2.5}$ (solid line). Similar results were found in LBM99 (see Fig. 1b therein, first EUVE observation of the cluster with Galactic absorption cross section from Balucinska-Church & McCammon 1992) and in L99 (EUVE reobservation, see Fig. 5b therein), where the DS Lex/B-to-PSPC R2 band count rate ratio was plotted instead. The PSPC R2 band (see Snowden et al. 1994 for definition) count rate relates to $q$ via a radially dependent numerical factor, typically in the vicinity of a few $\times 10^{-2}$, which scales the R2 band emissivity of the hot gas to that in the DS Lex/B passband.
Fig. 2. Emission models (solid line) used to simultaneously fit the EUVE/DS and ROSAT/PSPC data of the 12 - 15 arcmin annular region of A2199. Left Panel: isothermal thin plasma spectrum (Mewe et al. 1985; Mewe et al. 1986, Kaastra 1992) at kT = 4.08 keV (Siddiqui et al. 1998) and an abundance of 0.3 solar. Note the strong EUV excess recorded by the DS (left most data point) which is not seen in soft X-rays by the PSPC (remaining data points). Right Panel: same as the previous model, except with an additional non-thermal component due to the IC/CMB effect (see text). The electron population (assumed to have an initial differential number index of 2.5, similar to that of Galactic cosmic rays) is ∼ 3.5 Gyr old, as during this period the IC/CMB and synchrotron losses would have secured the necessary high energy cut-off to avoid emissions in the PSPC passband. At the present epoch the electron pressure is ∼ 25 % that of the hot ICM, while the initial value of this ratio was ∼ 400 %.
Fig. 3. An image of the surface brightness of EUV excess \((p(x, y) - q(x, y))\), using the notation of Fig.1) for the central region of Abell 2199, obtained after subtraction of background and contributions from the hot ICM emission \((q(x, y))\), see text). The pixel units (color coded) are in photons arcmin\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). Pixels of negative excess correspond to areas where the EUV from warm and hot components are absorbed by a cold component.

The common centroid of the cluster EUV and soft X-ray emissions is marked by a cross. In LBM99 (see Fig.2 bottom therein) we showed a contour plot of the DS Lex/B-to-PSPC R2 band ratio. Given that the hot ICM contribution is a significant fraction of the soft fluxes, the present plot (with the hot ICM contribution removed) can highlight fainter EUV absorption/excess emission features.
Fig. 4. Data are as in Fig. 2, except for the 1–2 arcmin radius of A2199. **Left Panel:** single temperature emission model (kT = 3.58 keV, abundance = 0.56 solar) showing the EUV signal in absorption. **Right Panel:** Plasma properties as above, with an intrinsic cold gas of line-of-sight HI column density $N_H = 2.7 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ invoked to account for the depleted EUV flux. Note this correction reveals a soft X-ray excess in the PSPC 1/4-keV band, thus clearly indicating the presence of an underlying warm component which is masked by the cold absorbing phase.