TP53 codon 72 Polymorphism and bladder cancer risk: a meta-analysis and emphasis on the role of tumor or smoking status
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Methods: Systematical and comprehensive retrieval of online databases PubMed, PMC, EMBASE and Web of Science were conducted to obtain eligible studies, up to May 30th, 2018. Pooled odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were utilized to assess the associations between TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and BC susceptibilities under five genetic comparison models.

Results: Ultimately, this meta-analysis enrolled 22 applicable studies with 3,791 BC cases and 4,917 controls. Our results suggested that the variant genotypes were associated with BC risk in Asian subgroup (allele model: OR=1.19, 95% CI=1.04-1.34; dominant model: OR=1.27, 95% CI=1.06-1.52; homozygote model: OR=1.36, 95% CI=1.03-1.80), while negative outcomes were presented in Caucasians. In the relationship between TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and BC tumor stage in Asian group, positive results were presented in allele model: OR=1.68, 95% CI=1.04-2.72; dominant model: OR=2.46, 95% CI=1.08-5.61; heterozygous model: OR=2.32, 95% CI=1.04-5.14; homozygote model: OR=2.66, 95% CI=1.04-6.81. However, no evidence was revealed between this polymorphism and BC tumor grade. Besides, significant associations were displayed between TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and smoking status (allele model: OR=1.40, 95% CI=1.06-1.84; dominant model OR=1.72, 95% CI=1.18-2.50; heterozygous model: OR=1.77, 95% CI=1.19-2.64).

Conclusion: Taken together, our results shed light on that TP53 codon 72 polymorphism was significantly associated with the susceptibility to BC in Asians. In addition, positive associations were also revealed between this polymorphism and tumor stage/smoking status in BC cases.
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Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) is the fifth most prevalent malignancy in both sexes and the 4th in male, the 11th in female, with an expected 81,190 new cases and 17,240 death in the United States, 2018 [1]. The incidence rates of BC show imparities in worldwide with the highest morbidity in Europe, Northern America, Western Asia, and Northern Africa, but the lowest morbidity in Eastern, Middle and Western
Africa [2]. However, the etiology of BC remains unclear. Previous epidemiological studies verified that many risk factors significantly associated with the occurrence of BC, included tobacco smoking, exposure to certain carcinogens like aromatic amines, long-term inflammation stimulation and genetic factors [2-5]. Therein, smoking as an important risk factor, was reported to increase approximately 2-fold to 6-fold risks suffering from BC [6]. In the past decades, various researches had been carried out to explore the roles of genetic susceptibility in the occurrence of BC and some susceptible genes were identified, containing tumor protein p53 (TP53) gene [7-10].

TP53 gene (p53 gene), located at 17p13, is extensively regarded as a tumor suppressor gene. As a 53 kDa protein encoded by TP53 gene, p53 had been demonstrated to play an essential role in regulating cell cycle, cell growth, differentiation, proliferation and apoptosis [11, 12]. Besides, p53 was also involved in maintaining DNA integrity and repairing DNA damage, thus exerting powerful inhibition effect on tumorigenesis [13]. As a result, the mutation of the TP53 might result in the loss of function of p53 protein and then induce carcinogenesis [14]. Accumulating data have explored the relationship of TP53 polymorphisms with the risk of various cancers. One of these widely studied polymorphisms is a G>C transition in codon 72 of exon 4, leading to an arginine (Arg) to proline (Pro) amino acidic substitution and generating three different genotypes (Arg/Arg, Pro/Pro and Pro/Arg) [15, 16].

Various cancers had been demonstrated to be significantly associated with TP53 codon 72 gene polymorphisms, including lung cancer [17], nasopharyngeal cancer [18], hepatocellular carcinoma [19], prostate cancer [20], BC [21] and so on. Nevertheless, their results remained inconsistent, and the definite role of smoking or tumor status in association with this polymorphism in BC cases was seldom involved. Therefore, we conducted an updated meta-analysis to perform a more precise assessment on the association between this polymorphism and the risk of BC based on all applicable case-control studies.

**Materials and Methods**

**Literature search strategy**

We comprehensively retrieved available papers associated with P53 codon 72 polymorphisms and susceptibility to BC in the online databases PubMed, PMC, EMBASE and Web of Science, published up to May 30th, 2018. The following keywords in combination with Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and text words were utilized: ‘p53’ or ‘TP53’, ‘codon 72’ or ‘Arg72pro’ or ‘R72P’ or ‘rs1042522’ or ‘exon 4’, ‘polymorphism’ or ‘mutation’ or ‘variant’, ‘bladder cancer’, or ‘bladder carcinoma’ or ‘bladder tumor’. In addition, potentially eligible articles were identified via meticulously searching from the reference lists of relevant reviews and original literature.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

All eligible articles included in this meta-analysis must meet following criteria: (1) Used a case-control or cohort study design; (2) Evaluated the association between TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and BC risk; (3) Presented sufficient genotype data of both cases and controls to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs); (4) Enrolled patients with BC confirmed by histopathological examination and controls with no history of any other malignancies. Besides, the exclusive criteria were in accordance with the follows: (1) No case-control or cohort study; (2) Duplicated or unavailable data; (3) Studies not related to TP53 codon 72 or BC.

**Data Extraction**

All available data from the identified studies were extracted respectively by two reviewers (L.Z and Y.W) and then checked by each other. If any disagreement achieved, a third reviewer (ZQ.Q) would join in and reached a consensus. Extracted data were recorded in a predefined form including following items: first author’ name, publication year, ethnicity, source of controls, genotypic method, the number of cases and controls, concrete numbers of Arg/Arg, Arg/Pro and Pro/Pro genotypes and the results of the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

HWE was assessed in the control groups based on Pearson’s goodness-of-fit chi-square test ($\chi^2$ test) and significant equilibrium was considered if the P value was more than 0.05. In addition, pooled ORs with 95% CIs were presented to evaluate the strength of association between TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and susceptibility to BC, by using five different genetic comparison models: allele model (Pro vs. Arg), homozygous model (Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg), heterozygous model (Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg), dominant model (Pro/Pro+Pro/Arg vs. Arg/Arg) and recessive model (Pro/Pro vs. Pro/Arg+Arg/Arg). The heterogeneity among these papers was tested by Cochrane Q test and Higgins I$^2$ statistic. Accordingly, the fixed effect model (a Mantel-Haenszel method) was applied if the heterogeneity was acceptable ($I^2 < 50\%$ or $P>0.10$) and
the random effect model was performed (a DerSimonian-Laird method) if the heterogeneity was unwelcome ($I^2 > 50\%$ or $P<0.10$). Although the random effect model was one way to manage heterogeneity among studies, it could not explain the source of heterogeneity. Hence, subgroup analysis was further utilized to minimize heterogeneity to obtain more credible evidence. Moreover, sensitivity analysis was adopted to determine the stability and reliability of the results by recounting the pooled ORs via consecutively excluding each study once a time. Furthermore, Begg’s funnel plots and Egger’s linear regression test were used to check out the publication bias among all studies, and a significantly bias was considered when the $P$ value was less than 0.05. STATA 12.0 software (StateCorporation, College Station, TX, USA) was used to conduct statistical analysis.

Results

Studies characteristics

A total of 551 potentially available studies were initially collected from a primary literature retrieval from PubMed, PMC, EMBASE, Web of Science and other sources. Based on above-mentioned inclusion and exclusion criteria, 22 studies were ultimately included in this meta-analysis for further assessment [21-42]. Detailed literature searching and screening steps were shown in Figure 1. Generally, 3,791 patients with BC and 4,917 controls were involved in this meta-analysis to evaluate the association between TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and BC risk, as well as the role of tumor status or smoking status in association with this mutation. The population among these studies was comprised of Asian, Caucasians and Africans. Meanwhile, main characteristics of eligible studies were presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

Association between TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and BC risk

Generally, the pooled ORs with 95% CIs were calculated to evaluate the relationship between TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and the risk of BC, according to five genetic comparison models. The main results of this meta-analysis were detailed in Table 3, containing overall analysis and stratified analysis by ethnicity. The overall analysis showed no remarkable results under five genetic models: allele model (OR=1.07, 95% CI=0.95-1.20), dominant model (OR=1.08, 95% CI=0.91-1.27), heterozygous model (OR=1.06, 95% CI=0.89-1.27), homozygous model (OR=1.15, 95% CI=0.91-1.46) and recessive model (OR=1.10, 95% CI=0.88-1.36) (Figure 2). However, significant associations were revealed in Asian group, when stratified by ethnicity: allele model (OR=1.19, 95% CI=1.06-1.34), dominant model (OR=1.27, 95% CI=1.06-1.52), homozygous model (OR=1.36, 95% CI=1.03-1.80). Nevertheless, no positive results were presented in Caucasians. These results indicated that TP53 codon polymorphism was an ethnicity related factor relating to BC susceptibility.

![Figure 1: Flow diagram of the literature selection process.](http://www.jcancer.org)
The sensitivity analysis for the results of TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and BC risk indicated that the sensitivity analysis was conducted to access the stability of results by deleting one single study each time, to reflect the impact of the individual to overall. As displayed in Figure 5, significant associations were displayed between TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and smoking status (allelic model: OR=1.40, 95% CI=1.18-2.50; dominant model: OR=1.77, 95% CI=1.19-2.64). The concrete quantitative values were also presented in Table 3.

Smoking status associated with TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms in BC cases

Smoking, as a well-known risk factor of BC susceptibility, was studied among 5 studies to shed light on that whether or not it could lead to the G>C mutation. Accordingly, an additional analysis was conducted to evaluate the relationship between them. As displayed in Figure 5, significant associations were displayed between TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and smoking status (allelic model: OR=1.40, 95% CI=1.18-2.50; dominant model: OR=1.25, 95% CI=0.90-2.32; recessive model: OR=1.77, 95% CI=0.58-2.66). The concrete quantitative values were also presented in Table 3.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was conducted to access the stability of results by deleting one single study each time, to reflect the impact of the individual to overall. The sensitivity analysis for the results of TP53 codon 72 genetic polymorphisms and BC risk indicated that...
no single study significantly influenced the pooled OR and 95% CIs. Namely, our results were robust (Figure 6).

**Publication bias**

The Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s test were applied to assess the publication bias in this meta-analysis. According to the results of them, *P* values were all above 0.05 in all models, indicating no significant bias were identified. In other words, our results were reliable based on the available articles (Figure 7).

### Table 3: Meta-analysis results of individual studies included in this meta-analysis

| Variables                  | No. of studies | Allele model | | | | Dominant model | | | | Heterozygote model | | | | Homozygote model | | | | Recessive model | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
|                            |               | OR(95%CI)    | *P* value    | F (%)        | OR(95%CI)    | *P* value    | F (%)        | OR(95%CI)    | *P* value    | OR(95%CI)    | *P* value    | F (%)        | OR(95%CI)    | *P* value    | F (%)        | OR(95%CI)    | *P* value    | F (%)        |
| Ethnicity                  |               |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| Asian                      | 15            | 1.19(1.06,1.34) | 0.057 | 39.7% | 1.27(1.06,1.52) | 0.042 | 42.4% | 1.23(0.99,1.51) | 0.013 | 50.3% | 1.36(1.03,1.80) | 0.021 | 47.6% | 1.21(0.92,1.59) | 0.002 | 59.1% |
| Caucasian                  | 6             | 0.84(0.68,1.04) | 0.012 | 67.5% | 0.78(0.56,1.07) | 0.001 | 76.1% | 0.79(0.56,1.12) | 0.001 | 76.3% | 0.81(0.59,1.11) | 0.240 | 25.9% | 0.84(0.67,1.06) | 0.544 | 0.0% |
| Africa                     | 1             | 0.97(0.45,1.70) | - | - | 0.77(0.31,1.88) | - | - | 0.75(0.30,1.88) | - | - | 0.93(0.14,6.32) | - | - | 1.09(0.17,6.91) | - | - |
| Tumor stage                |               |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| 2pT2 vs <pT2               | 9             | 1.39(0.94,2.05) | 0.001 | 70.1% | 1.66(0.90,2.94) | 0.000 | 71.7% | 1.60(0.87,2.94) | 0.002 | 67.6% | 1.94(0.93,4.05) | 0.013 | 58.8% | 1.43(0.98,2.10) | 0.396 | 4.7% |
| Ethnicity                  |               |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| Asian                      | 6             | 1.68(1.04,2.71) | 0.001 | 76.5% | 2.46(1.08,5.61) | 0.000 | 77.5% | 2.32(1.04,5.14) | 0.002 | 73.4% | 2.66(1.04,6.81) | 0.006 | 69.4% | 1.46(0.92,2.33) | 0.287 | 19.3% |
| Caucasian                  | 3             | 0.89(0.57,1.39) | 0.556 | 0.00% | 0.76(0.43,1.36) | 0.980 | 0.0% | 0.74(0.40,1.37) | 0.867 | 0.0% | 1.05(0.41,2.68) | 0.452 | 0.0% | 1.28(0.48,3.39) | 0.337 | 8.0% |
| Tumor grade                |               |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| G2 vs G1+G2                | 5             | 1.16(0.86,1.58) | 0.195 | 33.9% | 1.29(0.75,2.23) | 0.100 | 48.6% | 1.25(0.76,2.07) | 0.184 | 35.6% | 1.55(0.70,3.41) | 0.110 | 47.0% | 1.25(0.78,1.99) | 0.379 | 4.9% |
| Ethnicity                  |               |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| Asian                      | 4             | 1.20(0.86,1.68) | 0.139 | 45.4% | 1.40(0.75,2.62) | 0.057 | 60.0% | 1.33(0.73,2.41) | 0.106 | 51.0% | 1.66(0.69,3.86) | 0.064 | 58.7% | 1.27(0.74,2.19) | 0.258 | 25.7% |
| Caucasian                  | 1             | 0.74(0.22,2.47) | - | - | 0.82(0.21,3.26) | - | - | 0.94(0.23,3.79) | - | - | 0.63(0.03,15.46) | - | - | 0.65(0.03,15.50) | - | - |
| Smoking status             |               |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| No-smoking vs smoking      | 5             | 1.40(1.06,1.84) | 0.076 | 0.0% | 1.72(1.18,2.50) | 0.087 | 0.0% | 1.77(1.19,2.64) | 0.090 | 0.0% | 1.53(0.83,2.79) | 0.501 | 0.0% | 1.21(0.70,2.11) | 0.425 | 0.0% |

**Figure 2**: Forest plots of association between TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and bladder cancer risk. (A) allele model; (B) dominant model; (C) heterozygote model; (D) homozygote model; (E) recessive model.
Figure 3: Forest plots of tumor stage associated with TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms. (A) allele model; (B) dominant model; (C) heterozygote model; (D) homozygote model; (E) recessive model.

Figure 4: Forest plots of tumor grade associated with TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms. (A) allele model; (B) dominant model; (C) heterozygote model; (D) homozygote model; (E) recessive model.
Discussion

Genetic susceptibility has been generally recognized as one of the important risk factors of BC [43]. TP53 as a well-known tumor suppressor gene, has been demonstrated to play a vital role in regulating cell growth and maintaining DNA integrity [13]. TP53 Codon 72 as a Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) in exon 4, was found to be able to disturb the normal function of p53 protein in various aspects and induce tumorigenesis [44]. Accumulating data had been carried out to explore this polymorphism and BC susceptibility. However, their results remained inconsistent. Although previous meta-analysis had revealed a significant association in Asians [10, 45], this perspective was
lack of persuasion due to limited enrolled studies and deficient genetic comparison model. In addition, the definite role of this polymorphism in different tumor stage or grade of BC had not been fully clarified. What’s more, as a vital risk factor of BC, whether long-term exposure to cigarette can lead to the G>C mutation of TP53 codon 72 remained to be defined. Hence, this updated meta-analysis was performed with the larger sample size to evaluate the mutual association between this polymorphism and BC susceptibility and to clarify the above-mentioned doubts.

Our findings verified the relationship between TP53 codon 72 and BC risk in Asians but not Caucasians. It indicated that this polymorphism might be an ethnicity related factor of susceptibility to BC. From another point of view, it seemed that different ethnic groups with multiple genetic backgrounds might have different gene polymorphism risk in the occurrence and development of BC. Sjalander et al. found that the genotype of p53 codon 72 was differentially distributed in different ethnic populations, originating from different regions of the world. The Arg allele was more common among people in northern parts than southern [46]. In addition, Shi et al. found that this polymorphism was closely correlated with environmental climate [47]. It has been confirmed that the TP53 Pro72 isoform was less active in regulating cellular function than TP53 Arg72 [48]. Based on our results, Pro allele was less common in Asians, compared with Arg allele. As a result, we speculated that TP53 Pro 72 could not exert normal tumor suppressor function in Asians, while TP53 Arg72 could maintain the activity.

What’s more, it was the first time for us to investigate whether or not this polymorphisms was correlated with the tumor status and smoking status in BC cases. Hosen et al. found a difference of TP53 codon 72 genotypes distribution among people with different tumor stage and grade [25]. Besides, Kuroda et al. discovered that the Pro72 genotype was more common in ever-smoking population [36]. Thus, we decided to explore the definite role of this polymorphism in tumor stage/grade and smoking status.

When it comes to the relationship between TP53 codon 72 genotypes and tumor status in case of BC, Basu et al. proved that the presence of Pro72 could enhance the invasive and metastatic properties of mutant p53 by regulating the reactions with PGC-1α, one important regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis and oxidative phosphorylation [49]. Therefore, we retrieved papers and kept an eye on related articles that researched the difference of this polymorphism among BC patients with different tumor stages or grade. Ultimately, 9 articles were found to describe the association of TP53 codon 72 polymorphism with invasive BC (≥pT2) or superficial cancer (<pT2). Besides, 5 relevant articles compared the different TP53 genotypes distribution between high grade tumor (≥G3) and low grade tumor (G1+G2). Based on our results, patients with invasive bladder cancer (≥pT2) were more likely having the Pro genotype than those with superficial cancer (<pT2) in Asian groups. Nevertheless, no significant difference was found in this polymorphism among patients with different tumor grade. Therefore, we thought that the Pro in Asians might lead to escalating invasion of BC.

Saikia et al. shed light on that the potential effect of TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms on the risk of cancer via interacting synergistically with environmental factors and lifestyles like alcohol drinking and tobacco smoking [50]. Smoking is a defined risk factor of BC, but it was unknown if it could lead to a mutation on TP53 codon 72. Although no specialized study was found focusing on the differential distribution of TP53 codon 72 genotypes in smoking or non-smoking groups, we unexpectedly found that some included studies investigated it in BC cases. Thus, we conducted this extra analysis to explore the potential relationship of smoking status and this polymorphism. As our results shown, there was a
significant difference of this polymorphism between smoking or non-smoking groups. Accordingly, we hypothesized that long-term exposure to cigarette could lead to an Arg to Pro transition. Nevertheless, it remained to be established by a more population-based TP53 codon 72 genetic screening.

Recently, a large number of studies have investigated the association between TP53 codon 72 polymorphisms and BC, including mechanism research, cancer susceptibility and prognosis [22, 44, 51]. As reported by Kung, increased growth arrest and decreased apoptosis were found in R72 cells compared with P72 cells. Because this SNP could influence the phosphorylation of p53, the transactivation of the key p53 target (p21), the activation of the kinase AMPK and the change of cell metabolism [52]. Finally, the dysfunction of p53 led to elevated risk of carcinogenesis. Except for cancer susceptibility, this polymorphism was also extensively studied as a prognostic factor in various cancers. Zha et al. found that Pro/Pro genotypes correlated with poor prognosis in advanced gastric cancer patients treated with paclitaxel plus capecitabine chemotherapy, while Kumari et al. considered Pro/Pro as a better predictor in the survival of lung cancer patients treated with platinum-based chemotherapy [53, 54]. Thus, the role of tp53 codon 72 in cancer prognosis remained unclear, and whether or not it could predict the survival of BC needed to be further explored.

To a certain degree, several limitations of this meta-analysis should not be ignored: Firstly, some published studies enrolled in this article did not conform to the HWE, leading to potential bias during genotypic errors or population selection; Secondly, the amount of included studies to explore the relation of TP53 codon 72 polymorphism with tumor stage or grade and smoking status were relatively small. Thus, these results need to be confirmed in more studies with larger sample sizes; Thirdly, adjusted estimates by some other covariances like age and gender could not be conducted in this meta-analysis; Fourthly, included studies all used retrospective study designs and they were less persuasive than prospective studies. Last but not least, BC was a multifactorial disease and persistent interactions between genetic and environmental factors, which might affect the occurrence and progression. The exploration of single gene region could not clarify the association of BC risk comprehensively. Accordingly, more attention should be devoted to interactions of gene-gene and gene-environment in future large multi-centric studies.

Conclusion

In summary, our results shed light on that TP53 codon 72 polymorphism was significantly associated with the susceptibility of BC in Asians, but not in Caucasians. Besides, positive associations were also revealed between this polymorphism and tumor stage/smoking status in BC cases. Based on the positive findings, we hypothesized that long-term exposure to smoking might lead to escalating possibility of a G>C mutation. Nevertheless, due to aforementioned limits, larger-sample with higher-quality and multi-centric studies were required to clarify these points.
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