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Abstract

Links weave web documents together in a complex, structured hypertext corpus. Web links studies have been conducted by the scholars and information professionals all over the world since its introduction. The main objective of the study is to investigate the web presence of repositories of Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs) as a part of the World Wide Web. To investigate the web presence the few sub-objectives have been framed such as to identify IITs which are having their repositories; to find out the TLD, cc TLD and file formats use by the repositories; to find out total number of Web Pages, Link Web Pages, Self Link Web Pages, External Link Web Pages and Inlink Web Pages; to calculate Overall Web Impact Factor, Simple Web Impact Factor, Self Link Web Impact Factor, External Link Web Impact Factor and Inlink Web Impact Factor. Google covers more hyperlinks with advanced search facilities to count links possessed by the websites. Therefore, the present study has used Google to collect raw data, i.e., number of web pages, number of inlinks, self links and external links etc. Boolean operators are also used to form query syntaxes for retrieving data. SocSciBot web crawler is also employed. It is used to create network map of all the websites. URLs/websites of repositories are tested through command line textual queries like link, linkdomain, site, etc. Findings have been derived after analyzing the data.
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According to Cronin and McKim (1996) the web is reshaping the ways in which scholars communicate with one another. New kinds of scholarly and proto-scholarly publishing are emerging. Thanks to the Web, work-in-progress, broadsides, early drafts and refereed articles are now almost immediately sharable. A study of the web has been named webometrics by Almind and Ingwersen (1997). It is a new research field now passing through a necessary tentative and exploratory phase. Webometric analyses nature, structures and content properties of web sites and pages, as well as link structures are important to understand the virtual highway and their interconnections. According to Bjornborn & Ingwersen (2004) Webometric is the study of the quantitative aspects of the construction and use of information resources, structures and technologies on the web drawing on bibliometric and informetric approaches. This definition covers quantitative aspects of both the construction side and the usage side of the web embracing four main areas of Webometric research: (1) Web page content analysis; (2) Web link structure analysis; (3) Web usage analysis (including log files of users’ searching and browsing behavior); (4) Web technology analysis (including search engine performance). According to Thelwall (2009) webometric is concerned with measuring aspects of the web: web sites, web pages, parts of web pages, words in web pages, hyperlinks, web search engine results. The importance of the web itself as a communication medium and for hosting an increasingly wide array of documents, from journal articles to holiday brochures, needs no introduction. Given this huge and easily accessible source of information, there are limitless possibilities for measuring or counting on a huge scale the number of web sites, the number of web pages, the number of blogs or on a smaller scale e.g., the number of web sites in Australia, the number of web pages in The Library of Congress web site, the number of blogs mentioning Mr. Donald Trump before the presidential campaign.

Theoretical overview

Link structure

Web link research in Webometric research started with Larson, Rousseau and Almind & Ingwersen. Larson (1996) was one of the first information scientists to perform an exploratory analysis of the intellectual structure of cyberspace.
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In his classic Webometric article on citations, that is inlinks, Rousseau (1997) analyzes the patterns of distribution of web sites and incoming links. Almind and Ingwersen (1997) applied a variety of bibliometric like methods to the Nordic portion of the web in order to observe the kinds of page connections and define the typology of web pages actually found at national Nordic level. Links weave web documents together in a complex, structured hypertext corpus. Link structures represent implicit human annotations that can be exploited for knowledge discovery. For example, inferring web communities, identifying authoritative web pages, topic distillation, or improving search engine ranking algorithms. The creator of the WWW at CERN in 1989-1990, Berners-Lee, envisaged this development. (Bjornborn & Ingwersen, 2001) Links as a ranking factor are what allowed Google to start to dominate the search engine market back in the late 1990s. One of Google's founders, Larry Page, invented PageRank, which Google used to measure the quality of a page based in part on the number of links pointing to it. This metric was then used as part of the overall ranking algorithm and became a strong signal because it was a very good way of determining the quality of a page. It was so effective because it was based upon the idea that a link could be seen as a vote of confidence about a page, i.e., it wouldn't get links if it didn't deserve to. The theory is that when someone links to another website, they are effectively saying it is a good resource. (Moogan, 2014). Self Link, external links or outgoing link, in-links or incoming links, reciprocal link, back link are different types of links. The web link structure analysis provides hyperlinks or self-links between documents and records of user behavior, counts and analysis of outgoing links, out links or external links from web pages, links to web pages or links coming from the other websites called in-links or incoming links. An incoming link is similar to receiving a citation in a document. These links are also known as backward links or ingoing links.

**Web Impact Factor**

WIF is a quantitative indicator developed by Peter Ingwersen in 1998 which is based upon link frequencies. It is used to assess the impact of a website or other areas of the web. Prior to Ingwersen, Rodríguez i Gairín introduced the concept of information impact on the Internet in a Spanish documentation journal in 1997. But his study was not as influential as Peter Ingwersen (Noruzi, 2006). Almind & Ingwersen (1997) defined WIF as the ratio of links made to a website, to the number of pages at the website. According to him, there are three types of Web Impact Factor such as simple, overall and external WIFs. Revised Web Impact Factor, Self Link Web Impact Factor (SLWIF) and In-link Web Impact Factor (ILWIF) can also be calculated by obtaining the statistics from well-known search engines. Overall Web Impact Factor (OWIF) can be calculated by dividing the total links to a website (all inlinks, outlinks, and selflink pages) with the total number of Web pages present in the website at a time. Simple Web Impact Factor (SWIF) can be calculated by adding the total number of inlinks and self link and divided by the total number of web pages published in the web site which are indexed by the search engine, not all web pages available in the web site. External Link WIF for each website is calculated by dividing the external links web pages with the total number of web pages. Self-Link WIF for each website is calculated by dividing the self links web pages with the total number of web pages. In-Link (Revised) WIF for each website is calculated by dividing the in-links web pages with the total number of web pages.

Mukhopadhyay (2004) stated that Ingwersen followed the same method, adopted by ISI (the Institute for Scientific Information, Philadelphia) for calculating Impact Factor. According to Noruzi (2006) JIF measures citations made in journals published during one time period to articles published in another period while the WIF is a "snapshot" of a search engine database at a specific time. Compared with the content of a journal paper, the content of a web resource lacks peer review and thus lacks quality control. The WIF is therefore not exactly the equivalent of the JIF. However, the WIF was inspired by the JIF. The Web Impact Factor provides quantitative tools to rank, evaluate, categorize and compare websites of Top Level Domain, Sub Level Domains and Host Level Domain.

**Top Level Domain**

A TLD is one of the domains at the highest level in the hierarchical domain name system of the internet. It is the last part of the website that we enter. Originally the TLD space was organized into three main group- countries (ccTLD), categories (gTLD) and multi organizations (iTLD). Countries are designated in the domain name system by their two-letter code set in the ISO 3166-1 standard. For example .in for India, .jp for Japan, .fr for France and so on.

**Sub Level Domain**

A sub domain is related to the main or root domain. It is an extension of the domain name that will take the browser to a particular place on a webpage.
Host Level or Site Level domain

Each file on the internet is located on a certain “host”, a computer connected to the internet. A host can be used to set up individual domains for specific purposes such as a domain for web access. For example in www.lkouniv.ac.in host level domain represented for the University of Lucknow is .lkouniv.

| Domain            | Search statement                        | Example               | Result                                                                 |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ccTop Level Domain (ccTLD) | domain:domainname                           | domain.in             | Retrieve a total number of web pages under the ccTLD of India.          |
| Sub Level Domain (SLD) | domain:subdomainname:domainname           | domain.ac.in         | Report the total number of web pages under ac.in domain. Here, ac is subdomain academic and in is India. |
| Host Level Domain (HLD) or Site Level Domain (SLD) | domain:url or site address                     | domain:iitr.ac.in    | Retrieve the total number of pages on the server of IIT,Roorkee.       |

Chukwunonso et al. (2013) pointed out that the advent of the Internet and the World Wide Web has changed the face of technology especially in the way we communicate and interact. The increasing use of this technology especially in teaching and learning has attracted enormous research efforts. These web-based technologies contribute to collaborative learning by enabling people to interact with each other from different locations. As Alexander & Tate (1999) described that over the past decade, the Internet or the World Wide Web has established itself as the key infrastructure for information administration, exchange, and publication. Wang & Kitsuregawa (2002) reported that search engines are the most commonly used tool to retrieve that information.

Since the mid-1990s increasing efforts have been made to investigate the nature and properties of the World Wide Web by applying modern informetric methodologies to its space of contents, link structures, and search engines. Web-links studies have been conducted by scholars and information professionals all over the world since its introduction. Studies conducted by Sujitha & Jeyshankar (2013), Jeyshankar & Sujitha (2014), Beerappa & Shesadri (2016), Borgman & Furner(2002), Björneborn & Ingwersen (2001), Thelwall (2002a and 2002b) Mukhopadhyay (2004), Björneborn (2004), Tang and Thelwall (2004), Noruzi,(2005) Qiu, Chen, & Wang (2004) and Nwagwu & Omovere (2008) are notable among them.

Indian Institutes of Technology

The IIT system has twenty three Institutes of Technology. The first of these to be instituted are at Kharagpur (1951), Mumbai (1958), Chennai (1959), Kanpur (1959), Delhi (1961), Guwahati (1994) and Roorkee (1847, Joined IITs in 2001). The IITs are known for their rigorous admission process, which attracts high-achieving Indian students. The academic and research programs of these institutes are comparable to those of leading institutions worldwide. Each IIT may have its own statement of its vision, mission, and core values, but all share a common theme. These statements are essentially excellence driven, holistic in scope, covering education, research, and outreach, emphasizing national relevance yet global understanding, nurturing academic freedom, creativity, innovation, integrity, and the overall development of their students. Quality in all its endeavors is the hallmark of the IIT system. Keeping in view the glorious history of IITs as centre of learning the present study is an initiative to identify the web presence of one of the major component of any modern academic institution i.e. repositories.

Objectives

The main objective of the study is to investigate the web presence of repositories of Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs) as a part of the World Wide Web. To investigate the web presence the following sub-objectives have been framed:

- To identify IITs having their repositories.
- To find out profile details of repositories such as name, URL, etc.
- To find out the TLD, cc TLD and file formats used by the repositories.
- To find out the total number of Web Pages, Link Web Pages, Self Link Web Pages, External Link Web Pages, and Inlink Web Pages.
- To calculate Overall Web Impact Factor, Simple Web Impact Factor, Self Link Web Impact Factor, External Link Web Impact Factor and Inlink Web Impact Factor.
- To establish the ranking of repositories by evaluating their Web Impact Factors.
- To find out the link pattern among the repositories’ websites of IITs.
The results of investigations can be of particular value to the government, governing bodies of IITs system and website developers.

Methods and tools

The following procedures were used to study the repositories of Indian Institutes of Technology:

1. Selection of IITs

There are 23 IITs in India. Among them 13 IITs have created their repositories. Therefore, the present study measured repositories of 13 IITs. Table 2, 3 and 4 provides information about the number of IITs, IITs having repositories and profile of repositories respectively.

Table 2: List of IITs

| S.N. | Name               | Year | URL               |
|------|--------------------|------|------------------|
| 1    | IIT (BHU) Varanasi | 1919 | http://www.iitbhu.ac.in/ |
| 2    | IIT (ISM) Dhanbad  | 1926 | https://www.iitism.ac.in/ |
| 3    | IIT Kharagpur      | 1951 | http://www.iitkgp.ac.in/ |
| 4    | IIT Bombay         | 1958 | http://www.iitb.ac.in/ |
| 5    | IIT Madras         | 1959 | https://www.iitm.ac.in/ |
| 6    | IIT Kanpur         | 1959 | http://www.iitk.ac.in/ |
| 7    | IIT Delhi          | 1963 | http://www.iitd.ac.in/ |
| 8    | IIT Guwahati       | 1994 | http://www.iitg.ac.in/ |
| 9    | IIT Roorkee        | 2001 | https://www.iitr.ac.in/ |
| 10   | IIT Roper          | 2008 | http://www.iitrpr.ac.in/ |
| 11   | IIT Gandhinagar    | 2008 | https://www.iitgn.ac.in/ |
| 12   | IIT Bhubaneswar    | 2008 | https://www.iitbbs.ac.in/ |
| 13   | IIT Jodhpur        | 2008 | http://www.iitj.ac.in/ |
| 14   | IIT Patna          | 2008 | https://www.iitp.ac.in/ |
| 15   | RAITH (Hyderabad)  | 2008 | http://raiith.iith.ac.in/ |
| 16   | IIT Indore         | 2009 | https://www.iiti.ac.in/ |
| 17   | IIT Mandi          | 2009 | http://www.iitmandi.ac.in/ |
| 18   | IIT Palakkad       | 2015 | https://iitpkd.ac.in/ |
| 19   | IIT Tirupati       | 2015 | http://www.iittp.ac.in/ |
| 20   | IIT Bhilai         | 2016 | http://www.iitbhilai.ac.in/ |
| 21   | IIT Goa            | 2016 | http://www.iitgoa.ac.in/ |
| 22   | IIT Jammu          | 2016 | http://www.iitjammu.ac.in/ |
| 23   | IIT Dharwad        | 2016 | http://www.iitdh.ac.in |
Table 3: List of IITs having their repositories

| S. N. | IIT                                      |
|------|------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi    |
| 2    | Indian Institute of Technology, Ropar    |
| 3    | Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar |
| 4    | Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur  |
| 5    | Indian Institute of Technology, Bhubaneswar |
| 6    | Indian Institute of Technology, Indore   |
| 7    | Indian Institute of Technology, Jodhpur  |
| 8    | Indian Institute of Technology, Patna    |
| 9    | Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay   |
| 10   | Indian Institute of Technology, Madras   |
| 11   | Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati |
| 12   | Indian Institute of Technology, (Hyderabad) |
| 13   | Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee  |

Table 4: Profile of Repositories

| S. N. | Name of IIT | Repositories | URL                                      |
|------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1    | IIT Delhi   | C L IITD     | eprint.iitd.ac.in/                      |
| 2    | IIT Ropar   | DSpace R IITPR | http://dspace.iitrpr.ac.in/            |
| 3    | IIT Gandhinagar | D R IITGN | https://www.iitgn.ac.in/                |
| 4    | IIT Kharagpur | IDR (D L KGP) | http://www.idr.iitkgp.ac.in             |
| 5    | IIT Bhubaneswar | IDR@IITBBS | idr.iitbbs.ac.in/                      |
| 6    | IIT Indore  | IITI IDR     | dspace.iiti.ac.in/                     |
| 7    | IIT Jodhpur | IITJ IR      | ir.iitj.ac.in/                         |
| 8    | IIT Patna   | IR of IITP   | http://idr.iitp.ac.in/jspui/           |
| 9    | IIT Bombay  | IR of IITB   | dspace.library.iitb.ac.in/             |
| 10   | IIT Madras  | IRepose IITM | http://irepose.iitm.ac.in/             |
| 11   | IIT Guwahati | LBCL D R    | gyan.iitg.ernet.in/                   |
| 12   | RAIITH      | RAIITH       | http://raith.iith.ac.in                |
| 13   | IIT Roorkee | S B IITR     | shodhbgirathii.iitr.ac.in/             |

2. Webometric tools

For conducting a webometric study selection of search engines is required for counting the number of pages on the website and pages linked to the websites. Smith (1999a) stated that a search engine should have a large database, covering as much of the Web as possible. Presently, Google has the largest databases and search commands both for links and for the number of pages at a web site. Jalal, Biswas and Mukhopadhyay (2010), Beerappa and Sheshadri(2016),Chakravarty & Wasan(2015) made their study by using Google. As Google covers more hyperlinks with advanced search facilities to count links possessed by the websites the present study also used Google to collect raw data, i.e., number of webpages, number of in-links, self links and external links etc. Boolean operators are also used to form query syntaxes for retrieving data. SocSciBot web crawler is also employed. It is used to create a network map of all the websites. URLs/websites of repositories are tested through command line textual queries like link, linkdomain, site, etc.

3. Collection of Data

An excel sheet was created to record the data. The following data were collected and recorded on the excel sheet:

- Number of Webpages
- Number of Link pages
• Number of Self Link Web Pages
• Number of Inlink Web Pages
• Number of External Link Web Pages

3.1 Query syntaxes
Query syntaxes which are being used in webometric studies for retrieving the data are given in the Table 5.

Table 5: Query syntax

| S.N. | Query syntaxes | Search results |
|------|----------------|----------------|
| 1    | domain: URL    | No. of web pages at the websites under the URL |
| 2    | Link domain: URL | No. of link pages linking to the websites under the URL |
| 3    | link domain: URL AND domain: URL | No. of self-link pages from the same website (hyperlink) |
| 4    | link domain: URL AND NOT domain: URL | No. of web pages not under the URL but provide a hyperlink to URL hence called external link pages |
| 5    | link domain: URL NOT domain: URL | No. of links incoming from other websites (In-links) |

These query syntaxes are designed to retrieve information about links available on the web. Ayoub et.al. (2019), Ahmad et.al.(2018), Khan & Idrees (2015), Prabakaran & Lihitkar (2015), Jalal, Biswas & Mukhopadhyay (2010), Noruzi (2006) & Mukhopadhyay(2004) have used these query syntaxes to collect webometric data. The present study also used these syntaxes to collect data. The specific search syntaxes which are used for different repositories are given in Table 6.

Table 6: Search Syntax used to collect data about repositories

| S.N. | Name of IR | domain: URL | Link domain: URL | link domain: URL AND domain: URL | link domain: URL AND NOT domain: URL | link domain: URL NOT domain: URL |
|------|------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1    | C I ITD    | domain:prnt.iitd.ac.in | Linkdomain:prnt.iitd.ac.in | Link domain: prnt.iitd.ac.in AND domain: prnt.iitd.ac.in | Link domain: prnt.iitd.ac.in AND NOT domain: prnt.iitd.ac.in | Link domain: prnt.iitd.ac.in NOT domain: prnt.iitd.ac.in |
| 2    | CL IITB PR Depac | domain:dspace.iitb.ac.in | Linkdomain:dspace.iitb.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in AND domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in AND NOT domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in NOT domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in |
| 3    | D R IITGN  | domain:repository.iitgn.ac.in | Linkdomain:repository.iitgn.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitgn.ac.in AND domain: repository.iitgn.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitgn.ac.in AND NOT domain: repository.iitgn.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitgn.ac.in NOT domain: repository.iitgn.ac.in |
| 4    | EDRI(IITB GP) | domain:idr.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in AND domain: idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: idr.iitr.ac.in |
| 5    | EDR( IITB BS) | domain:idr.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in AND domain: idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: idr.iitr.ac.in |
| 6    | IIT(IITB)  | domain:dspace.iitb.ac.in | Linkdomain:dspace.iitb.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in AND domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in AND NOT domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in NOT domain: dspace.iitb.ac.in |
| 7    | IIT(IIT)   | domain:ir.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:ir.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: ir.iitr.ac.in AND domain: ir.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: ir.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: ir.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: ir.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: ir.iitr.ac.in |
| 8    | ITR of IITP | domain:idr.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in AND domain: idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: idr.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: idr.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: idr.iitr.ac.in |
| 9    | ITR of IITB | domain:dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in AND domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in |
| 10   | IRepose IITM | domain:repository.iitm.ac.in | Linkdomain:repository.iitm.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitm.ac.in AND domain: repository.iitm.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitm.ac.in AND NOT domain: repository.iitm.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitm.ac.in NOT domain: repository.iitm.ac.in |
| 11   | IBC(IITB)  | domain:dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in AND domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: dspace.library.iitr.ac.in |
| 12   | RAITH     | domain:repository.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:repository.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitr.ac.in AND domain: repository.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: repository.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: repository.iitr.ac.in |
| 13   | S B IITR  | domain:repository.iitr.ac.in | Linkdomain:repository.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitr.ac.in AND domain: repository.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitr.ac.in AND NOT domain: repository.iitr.ac.in | Link domain: repository.iitr.ac.in NOT domain: repository.iitr.ac.in |
4. Calculation of Web Impact Factor (WIF)

| S.N. | Web Impact Factor                          | Formula                                                                 |
|------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | OWIF (Overall Web Impact Factor)          | Total links to a website (all inlinks, Outlinks and selflink pages)     |
|      |                                           | Total number of Web pages present in the website at a time               |
| 2    | SWIF (Simple Web Impact Factor)           | Number of in-Link & self-links Web Pages                                 |
|      |                                           | Total Number of Web Pages                                               |
| 3    | SLWIF (Self-Link Web Impact Factor)       | Number of Self-Link Web Pages                                           |
|      |                                           | Total Number of Web Pages                                               |
| 4    | ELWIF (External-Link Web Impact Factor)   | Number of External Link Web Pages                                       |
|      |                                           | Total number of Web Pages                                               |
| 5    | ILWIF (In-Link Web Impact Factor)         | Number of In-Link Web Pages                                             |
|      |                                           | Total Number of Web Pages                                               |

Table 7: Formula for calculating Web Impact Factor

The WIF can be regarded as a tool for measuring the accuracy of web search engine performance, web site organization, linking, and structuring of pages. Formulas used for calculation of WIF are given in the table 7. In the present study WIF is calculated by keeping the fact in mind that Web Impact Factor depends on the coverage of search engines. According to Nourzi (2006) the impact factor of any website will be proportional to the search engines’ coverage. Furthermore, the web site sets in search engines’ databases are not constant but may vary in composition from month to month. So, the results of analyses of the Web by search engines can only be regarded as rough indications rather than definite conclusions.

5. Data Analysis

5.1 General Information about IITs’ Repositories

| Software | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------|-----------|------------|
| Dspace   | 12        | 92         |
| Eprints  | 1         | 8          |
| Total    | 13        | 100        |

Table 8 shows software used by IITs to create Institutional Repository. Open-source digital library packages are gaining popularity nowadays. The Institutional Repositories in India are rapidly growing with the help of open-source software like DSpace, Greenstone, Eprint, Nitya, HTML etc. It is found that 12(92%) IITs use DSpace for maintaining IR. It is because DSpace customizes the application to fit their needs, as it is a free and open-source. DSpace can manage and preserve all types of digital content and frequently used by educational, government, private and commercial institutions. RAITH, Hyderabad use Eprint software.

Figure-1: Software used by IR
Table-9: Generic Top-level domain used by IRs

| GTLD     | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------|-----------|------------|
| .ac      | 12        | 92         |
| .ernet   | 1         | 8          |
| Total    | 13        | 100        |

Table-10: URL analysis of Institutional Repository websites

| S.N. | Name of IIT       | URL                    | Top-level domain          | Country Code TLD (ccTLD) |
|------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1    | C L IITD          | eprint.iitd.ac.in/     | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 2    | CL IITR PR DSpaceR | http://dspace.iitrpr.ac.in/ | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 3    | D R IITGN         | https://www.iitgn.ac.in/ | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 4    | IDR (D L KGP)     | http://www.idr.iitkgp.ac.in | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 5    | IDR@IITBBS        | idr.iitbbs.ac.in/      | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 6    | IIT I IDR         | dspace.iiti.ac.in/     | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 7    | IITJ IR           | ir.iitj.ac.in/         | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 8    | IR of IITP        | http://idr.iitp.ac.in/jspui/ | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 9    | IR of IITB        | dspace.library.iitb.ac.in/ | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 10   | IRepose IITM      | http://irepose.iitm.ac.in/ | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 11   | LBCL D R          | gyan.iitg.ernet.in/    | .ernet                     | .in                      |
| 12   | RAIITH            | http://raith.iith.ac.in/ | .ac                       | .in                      |
| 13   | S B IITR          | shodhdbhagirathi.iitr.ac.in/ | .ac                       | .in                      |

A uniform resource locator (URL), which is also known as Web address, is reference to a web resource. The first part of the URL consists of the protocol, the second specifies the domain names which is followed by directory and file name. For studying the URLs of the IITs Institutional repositories websites, the domain names have been taken into account. Table 9 and 10 reveals most frequently used TLDs of IITs IR websites. The TLD .ac.in is used by 12 (92%) IR websites, whereas LBCL Digital Repository of IITG has used .ernet.in. The TLDs are further divided into generic TLD (gTLD) and country code TLD (ccTLD). The TLD .ac stands for academic domain, whereas .ernet stands for Education and Research Network. The ccTLD .in, which stands for the country India, has been used by all of the IITs library websites (i.e., 100%).

Figure-2: URL analysis of Institutional Repository websites
Table 11: Types of File Formats Supported by IITs Repository

| S. No. | Name                  | HTML | PDF | DOC | Excel | PPT | JPG/JPEG | PNG | GIF | Total |
|--------|-----------------------|------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1      | C.L. IITD             | ✗    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 2      | CL IITR DSpace R      | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 3     |
| 3      | D R IITGN             | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 4     |
| 4      | IDR (DL KGP)          | ✗    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 5      | IDR@IITBBS            | ✗    | ✓   | ✗   | ✗     | ✗   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 6      | IITI IDR              | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 7      | IIT J I R             | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 8      | IR of IITP            | ✓    | ✓   | ✗   | ✗     | ✗   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 9      | IR of IITB            | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 10     | IRepose IITM          | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 1     |
| 11     | LBCI D R              | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 1     |
| 12     | RAIITH                | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| 13     | S B IITR              | ✓    | ✓   | ✓   | ✗     | ✓   | ✗        | ✗   | ✗   | 2     |
| Total  |                       | 9    | 11  | 5   | 0     | 1   | 0        | 0   | 0   | 27    |

A file format is a standard way wherein information is encoded for storage in a computer file. The format of a file is based on the end of its name, i.e., the letters following the final period. This portion of the filename is known as the filename extension. For example, HTML documents are identified by names that end with .html (or .htm), pdf files (pdf) and GIF images by .gif. Table 11 shows the type of file formats used by repositories. After analyzing all the Web Pages inside the repository of IITs it is found that document file formats (.html, .pdf, .doc, .ppt), image file formats (.jpg/.jpeg, .png, .gif, etc.) are used to display the information about the repository. Table shows that D.R. IITGN uses highest number of file format i.e. 4 followed by C.L. IITR DSpace that uses 3 file formats. The most popular file format is PDF as 11 repositories use this format. HTML is used by 9 repositories. Other file formats are not very popular. No repository uses JPG/JPEG, PNG and GIF and MS Excel file.

Figure-3: File formats supported by Repositories
5.2 Link Analysis

Table-12: Web Pages (WP), Link Web Pages (LP) and Overall Web Impact Factor (OWIF)

| S.N. | Name of IR | Total Number of Web Pages (A) | Links to Web Pages (B) | OWIF (A/B) | OWIF (A/B*100)% | Rank |
|------|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|------|
| 1    | C L IITD   | 9,840                         | 8650                   | 1           | 87.9             | 6    |
| 2    | CIITR DSpace R | 7,990 | 7790                   | 0.974       | 97.4             | 2    |
| 3    | DR IITGN   | 7,440                         | 6560                   | 1           | 88.1             | 5    |
| 4    | IDR (DI. KGP) | 30,000 | 9                      | 0.0003      | 0.03             | 12   |
| 5    | IDR@IITBBS | 345                           | 308                    | 0.892       | 89.2             | 4    |
| 6    | IITI IDR   | 33,400                        | 28000                  | 1           | 83.8             | 7    |
| 7    | IIIT IR    | 34,100                        | 11900                  | 0           | 34.897           | 11   |
| 8    | IR of IITP | 5,450                         | 2500                   | 0.458       | 45.8             | 9    |
| 9    | IR of IITB | 187000                       | 124000                 | 0.663       | 66.3             | 10   |
| 10   | IRepose IITM | 28,700 | 5                      | 0.0002      | 0.02             | 13   |
| 11   | LBCL D R   | 20,600                        | 19500                  | 1           | 94.6             | 3    |
| 12   | RAIIITH    | 4,630                         | 3420                   | 1           | 73.8             | 8    |
| 13   | SB IITR    | 27,700                        | 27200                  | 0.981       | 98.1             | 1    |

“Web impact factor (WIF) is the number of outside web pages linking to a website which is divided by the number of web pages in that very website at a time”. (Ingwersen, 1998). Table 12 shows that the overall web impact factor of the repositories. Based on WIF, each repository is ranked. It is clear from the data that SB IITR has got first rank with the highest 98.1% OWIF. CIITR DSpace with 97.4% OWIF and LBCL D R with 94.6% OWIF secured second and third rank respectively. IRepose IITM has the lowest WIF at 0.02%.
Table-13: Link Pages (LP) and Simple Web Impact Factor (SWIF)

| S.N. | Name of IR       | Total Number of Web Pages (A) | Self-Link Web Pages (C) | In-Link Web Pages (E) | SWIF (self+in/TNWP) | SWIF (C+E/A*100)% | Rank |
|------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| 1    | C L IITD         | 9,840                         | 9,040                   | 8,730                | 1.805               | 18.05            | 8    |
| 2    | CL IITR Dspace R| 7,990                         | 7,780                   | 7,760                | 1.944               | 19.44            | 6    |
| 3    | DR IITGN         | 7,440                         | 9,190                   | 6,350                | 2.088               | 20.88            | 4    |
| 4    | IDR (D L KGP)    | 30,000                        | 29,600                  | 29,400               | 1.966               | 19.66            | 5    |
| 5    | IDR@IITBBS      | 345                           | 339                     | 277                  | 1.843               | 18.43            | 7    |
| 6    | IITJ IDR         | 33,400                        | 22,700                  | 16,500               | 1.173               | 11.73            | 12   |
| 7    | IITJ IR          | 34,100                        | 23,300                  | 16,100               | 11.588              | 115.88           | 1    |
| 8    | IR of IITP       | 5,450                         | 4,610                   | 4480                 | 0.67                | 16.7             | 9    |
| 9    | IR of IITB       | 187000                        | 150000                  | 135000               | 1.524               | 15.24            | 10   |
| 10   | IRepose IITM     | 28,700                        | 10                      | 7                    | 0.0006              | 0.06             | 13   |
| 11   | LBCL D R         | 20,600                        | 23,900                  | 20,800               | 2.169               | 21.69            | 3    |
| 12   | RAITH            | 4,630                         | 2,830                   | 2,740                | 1.203               | 12.03            | 11   |
| 13   | SB IITR          | 27,700                        | 30,900                  | 30,700               | 2.223               | 22.23            | 2    |

Table 13 shows Simple Web Impact Factor of the repositories. It is clear from the table that IITJ IR has got first rank with the highest 115.88% SWIF. SB IITR with 22.23% SWIF and LBCL D R with 21.69% SWIF secured second and third rank respectively. On the other hand, RAIITH 12.03%, IITI IDR 11.73% and IRepose IITM are lowest in rank.

![Figure-5: Simple Web Impact Factor](image-url)
Table-14: Self Link Web Pages (SLWP) and Self Link Web Impact Factor (SLWIF)

| S.N. | Name of IR       | Total Web Pages (A) | No. Web Pages (C) | SLWIF(A/C) | SLWIF(A/C*100)% | Rank |
|------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|------|
| 1    | C L IITD         | 9,840               | 9,040             | 0.918      | 91.8            | 7    |
| 2    | CL IITR Dspace R| 7,990               | 7780              | 0.973      | 97.3            | 6    |
| 3    | D R IITGN        | 7,440               | 9,190             | 1.235      | 123.5           | 1    |
| 4    | IDR (D L KGP)    | 30,000              | 29,600            | 0.986      | 98.6            | 5    |
| 5    | IDR@IITBBS       | 345                 | 359               | 1.04       | 104             | 4    |
| 6    | IITI IDR         | 33,400              | 22,700            | 0.679      | 67.9            | 11   |
| 7    | IITJ IR          | 34,100              | 23,300            | 0.683      | 68.3            | 10   |
| 8    | IR of IITP       | 5,450               | 4,610             | 0.845      | 84.5            | 8    |
| 9    | IR of IITB       | 187000              | 150000           | 0.802      | 80.2            | 9    |
| 10   | IRepose IITM     | 28,700              | 10                | 0.0003     | 0.03            | 13   |
| 11   | LBCL D R         | 20,600              | 23900             | 1.16       | 116             | 2    |
| 12   | RA IITH          | 4,630               | 2,830             | 0.611      | 61.1            | 12   |
| 13   | S B IITR         | 27,700              | 30900             | 1.115      | 111.5           | 3    |

Table 14 shows the Self Links Web Impact Factor of the institutional repository. It is clear from the data that DR IITGN has secured first rank with 9,190 self link web pages and its web impact factor is 123.5%. LBCL D R with 116% SLWIF secured second rank while SB IITR secured third rank with 111.5% SLWIF. IRepose IITM with 10 self link web pages got lowest rank.

![SLWIF(A/C*100) %](image)

**Figure-6: Self-Links Web Impact Factor**
Table-15: External Link Web Pages and External Web Impact Factor

| S.N. | Name of IR       | Total Web Pages (A) | No. External Web Pages (D) | ELWIF(A/D) | ELWIF (A/D*100)% | Rank |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------|------|
| 1    | C L IITD        | 9,840               | 8,830                       | 0.897      | 89.7             | 5    |
| 2    | CL IITR Dspace R| 7,990               | 7780                        | 0.973      | 97.3             | 3    |
| 3    | D R IITGN       | 7,440               | 6,390                       | 0.858      | 85.8             | 7    |
| 4    | IDR (D L KGP)   | 30,000              | 29,700                      | 0.99       | 93.2             | 4    |
| 5    | IDR@IITBBS      | 345                 | 329                         | 0.953      | 68.4             | 8    |
| 6    | IITI IDR        | 33,400              | 24,500                      | 0.733      | 73.3             | 9    |
| 7    | IITJ IR         | 34,100              | 19,600                      | 0.574      | 57.4             | 12   |
| 8    | IR of IITP      | 5,450               | 4490                        | 0.823      | 82.3             | 8    |
| 9    | IR of IITB      | 187000              | 128000                      | 0.684      | 68.4             | 10   |
| 10   | IRepose IITM    | 28,700              | 6                           | 0.0002     | 0.02             | 13   |
| 11   | LBCL D R        | 20,600              | 18,200                      | 0.883      | 88.3             | 6    |
| 12   | RAIIITH         | 4,630               | 2,740                       | 0.591      | 59.1             | 11   |
| 13   | S B IITR        | 27,700              | 30800                       | 1.112      | 111.2            | 1    |

Table 15 reveals that S B IITR has 30800 external web pages and got first rank with the 111.2% EWIF. IDR (D L KGP) with 99% EWIF secured second rank. Table reveals RAIIITH, IITJ IR, and IRepose IITM are lowest in rank.

![ELWIF(A/D*100)%](chart.png)

Figure-7: External-Links Web Impact factor
Table-16: In-link Web Pages (ILWP) and In-Link Web Impact Factor (WIF)

| S.N. | Name of IR         | Total No. Web Pages (A) | In-Link Web Pages (E) | ILWIF(A/E) | ILWIF (A/E*100)% | Rank |
|------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------------|------|
| 1    | C L IITD          | 9,840                   | 8,730                 | 0.887      | 88.7             | 5    |
| 2    | CL IITR Dspace    | 7,990                   | 7760                  | 0.971      | 97.1             | 4    |
| 3    | D R IITGN         | 7,440                   | 6,350                 | 0.853      | 85.3             | 6    |
| 4    | IDR (D L KGP)     | 30,000                  | 29400                 | 0.98       | 98               | 3    |
| 5    | IDR@IITBBS       | 345                     | 277                   | 0.802      | 80.2             | 7    |
| 6    | IITJ IDR          | 33,400                  | 16,500                | 0.494      | 49.4             | 10   |
| 7    | IITJ IR           | 34,100                  | 16,100                | 0.472      | 47.2             | 11   |
| 8    | IR of IITP        | 5,460                   | 4480                  | 0.822      | 82.2             | 7    |
| 9    | IR of IITB        | 187000                  | 135000                | 0.722      | 72.2             | 8    |
| 10   | IRe pose IITM     | 28,700                  | 7                     | 0.0002     | 0.02             | 12   |
| 11   | LBCL D R          | 20,600                  | 20,800                | 1.009      | 100.9            | 2    |
| 12   | RAIITH            | 4,630                   | 2,740                 | 0.591      | 59.1             | 9    |
| 13   | S B IITR          | 27,700                  | 30700                 | 1.108      | 110.8            | 1    |

Table 16 shows the In-links Web Impact Factor of repositories. SB IITR has got the first rank with the 110.8% ILWIF. LBCL D R and IDR (D L KGP) with 100.9% and 98% ILWF secured second and third rank respectively. IITJ IR with 47.2% ILWIF is lowest in rank order.

**Figure-8: In-links Web Impact Factor**
Figure 9: Network map of 13 repositories

The diagram shows the networking map of all the 13 repositories and reveals only IIT Delhi repository has an external-link to IIT Bombay repository.

Findings

- DSpace open source software is one of the most popular software as 92% repositories use DSpace software for maintaining IR.
- The Top Level Domains of IITs Institutional repositories websites are .ac.in and .ernet.in. The TLD .ac.in is used by 91% repositories.
- 84.62% IIT Repositories use PDF files followed by HTML 69.23% and DOC files 38.46% and MS-PowerPoint 7.69%.
- D.R. IITGN uses highest number of file formats i.e. 50% followed by C L IITR DSpace which uses 37.5% file formats.
- SB IITR has the highest OWIF (Over All Web Impact Factor) followed by CL IITR DSpace and LBCL D R.
- IITJ IR has secured the highest rank with 115.88 % SWIF (Simple Link Web Impact Factor).
- DR IITGN has the highest 123.5% SLWIF (Self-link Web Impact Factor) followed by LBCL DR with 116% SLWIF and SB IITR with 111.5% SLWIF.
- SB IITR secured first rank with the 1121.2% ELWIF (External Link Web Impact Factor) followed by IDR (D L KGP) with 99% and CL IITR DSpace R with 97.3% ELWIF.
- SB IITR with 110.8% ILWIF (In-link Web Impact Factor) secured first rank while LBCL D R with 100.9% and IDR (D L KGP) with 98% ILWIF secured second and third rank respectively.

Conclusion

The web is playing a very significant role in the entire arena of industry and business, agriculture and particularly in education. For Libraries and repositories, WWW is a boon through which they offer a wide range of information services to their users. Therefore, Webometric has become an important segment in the field of Library and Information Science through which analysis of websites has been conducted by information professionals to find the best websites and to rank websites based on Web Impact Factor. Findings of the
Webometric study are helpful for librarians and webmasters to redesigning the library websites in a more interactive and informative way. This study is also an effort in this direction.
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