Z-plasty in the treatment of unilateral cleft lip: review of its history

Plástica em Z no tratamento da fissura labial unilateral: revisão de sua história

ABSTRACT

The study reviews the use of Z-plasty in the cheiloplasty techniques used to treat unilateral cleft lip. It highlights the contribution of Brazilian authors, especially that of Perseu Lemos. It also reports the conduct adopted by the senior author in this type of cheiloplasty.
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INTRODUCTION

This article aims to clarify and disseminate the history of cheiloplasty in the treatment of unilateral lip fissures. It intends to demonstrate the fundamental importance of eminent Brazilian plastic surgeons in their creation, execution and teaching.

About the origins of Z-plasty

The first reference we found of a Z-plasty is credited to Horner in 1837. As professor of anatomy at the University of Pennsylvania, he reported the case of a left lower eyelid ectropion caused by a burn scar four years ago (Figure 1).

Some authors attribute to Denonvilliers (1863) the first execution of a Z-plasty when he operated on a young man with ectropion outside the lower right eyelid. The case was presented on 20/09/1854 at the Société de Chirurgie in Paris. This description was reported in Cazelles' thesis in 1860 (Figure 2).

McCurdy was who first used the term Z-plasty at the University of Pittsburg in 1913. There are doubts that McCurdy has been aware of previous work on Z-plasty.

In 1836, Millard called for the treatment of unilateral cleft lip fissures by curved incisions, but which excised a lot of lip tissue, although stretching was effective. In 1891, Rose proposed a design similar to Millard (1836), with concave incisions on both sides of the fissure and preserving more labial tissue. The incisions went from the nostril to the vermilion, and the technique became popular (Figure 4).

Later, in 1912, Thompson described his technique with incisions angled at the edges of the fissure in an attempt to outline the curves of a normal lip. It performs curved incisions similar to Rose's (1891) at the fissure edges, making accurate measurements with a calibrated compass. Today the straight excision and scar technique is known as the Rose & Thompson technique (Figure 5).
Zetaplasty in the treatment of unilateral cleft lip

Millard (1836)\textsuperscript{9} reports that Veau, in 1925\textsuperscript{9}, published a unilateral cheiloplasty technique in which for the first time a Z-plasty was used, but located on the vermilion, slightly surpassing this and reaching the lip, which could impair the continuity of the cutaneous-mucosal line. At the time, Z-plasty was accepted as a procedure to solve straight line contractures, and according to Veau (1925) X could be used to attenuate the straight line of cheiloplasty secondarily.

It is important to note that although Masters et al., in 1954\textsuperscript{12}, have advocated using intermediate Z-plasties to repair incomplete labial fissures, it was Lemos in 1956\textsuperscript{13} who first proposed a cheilo-Z-plasty for the repair of unilateral labial fissures. The technique of Lemos (1956)\textsuperscript{13} was modified by Spina and Lodovici in 1960\textsuperscript{14} and came to bring contributions to the technique of Lemos (1956)\textsuperscript{13}. In 1961, Petit et al.\textsuperscript{15} proposed a technique with two triangular flaps; they preached that a double Z produced a better rotation of the nasal wings and a better release of the lip.

The technique of Perseu Lemos

We considered that Perseu Castro de Lemos (1956)\textsuperscript{13} was the first to recommend repairing unilateral cleft through a Z-plasty of the entire lip thickness: skin, musculature and mucosa. Its first publication was in the journal O Hospital in Rio de Janeiro (1956)\textsuperscript{13} soon after the technique was presented at the International Congress of Plastic Surgery in Rome (1967)\textsuperscript{16}.

We also found in the literature reference of a previous note made by Lemos (1956)\textsuperscript{13} recorded at the 5\textsuperscript{th} State Medical Congress of Pernambuco: “A new technique for the correction of the leporine lip\textsuperscript{17}”.

Interesting to know the fundamentals of the technique by the author’s own description: “We then conceived our operation which consisted essentially of the excision of the edges of the fissure, respecting the beginning of the cupid’s bow, and then performing a simple Z-plasty to lengthen the lip and break the suture line. This Z-plasty, which was initially described in the middle third of the lip, can be placed where it is most necessary, that is, in the upper, middle or lower thirds. Equally, the branches’ angulation may vary in such a way as to obtain a more satisfactory result,
according to the case. The resulting triangular flaps, incised throughout their lip thickness, are sutured between crossed, restraining the resulting small excesses. As a final result, we have a preserved cupid’s bow lip, with minimal tissue removed and a broken scar, with no tendency to shrink.

The author adds: “Surgery is above all of easy to do, no longer requiring the surgeon than knowledge of the technical bases of Z-plasty. However, the only resulting drawback is the interruption of the common filter crest to all techniques with non-rectilinear scars.”

Dr. Perseu13 (this is how he was better known) refers to having applied the technique for the first time in 1953, performing the technique for all types of unilateral lip fissures: complete or incomplete, with or without cleft palate, in secondary repairs, always obtaining satisfactory results. To do so, he said, it is enough to have common sense in the positioning of the lateral branches of the Z, in the most convenient length, height and direction. In a 26-year retrospect, he assesses having operated around 1,000 cases19.

Still, in the words of the master himself: “Although we initially described the Z in the middle third of the lip, we immediately evolved into the concept that it can be positioned lower or higher or preferably in the upper third with Z branches arranged so that the external triangular flap created promotes the rotation alar up and in, better reconstructing the nasal introitus. In fact, we think that the wide variety of positions, angles, height and orientation of the branches are the best thing of the technique.”18,19 (Figure 6).

The technique of Victor Spina and Orlando Lodovici

In 1959 and 1960, Victor Spina, together with Orlando Lodovici14,20, proposed the Z-plasty only to the cutaneous plane, which seemed sufficient to determine the proper increase in lip height.

In his Z-plasty, the upper arm is medial, and the lower arm is lateral. He presents an ingenious repair of the vermillion without resections and the entire mucosa’s use in part decorticated and buried in the contralateral slope (Figure 7). Spina and Lodovici (1960)20 recognize that their method corresponds to Lemos’s (1956)13 with its own modifications to obtain better results. He spread the technique a lot throughout Brazil, which became known to some as the Lemos & Spina technique. It is a procedure widely used to this day21.

Figure 7. Spina and Lodovici technique (1960)14.

Other authors

Davies, in South Africa, published in 196522, cheiloplasty with two equal flaps of pure Z-plasty. It also employs a Z-plasty of all planes and curiously does not cite Lemos (1956)13. He admits that the biggest snarl of his technique is that the final scar crosses the filter crest.

In 1959, Clifford and Pool23 reviewed the principles of Z-plasty in cleft lip surgery, an article that deserves to be consulted. In 1949, Huffman and Lierle24 described a cleft repair technique based only on the principle of Z-plasty, mainly using accurate geometric measurements.

Today, it is known that in the use of Z-plasties in cheiloplasties, its principles cannot be violated. When the design of Z-plasty is not well planned, some valuable lip tissue can be redried, and the final scar may violate the repair lines of the lip filter. So, therefore, the importance of Lemos (1956)13 and Spina and Lodovici (1959, 1960)14,20.

Repair of the musculature of the unilateral cleft

The mentioned cheilo-Z-plasties, at the time of their performance, did not consider the adequate treatment of muscle deformities of the unilateral cleft lip to be performed. This point seems important for us to update these techniques.
Fara, in 1968\cite{25}, performed dissections in stillborns with fissures. He described the anomalous anatomical disposition of the muscular bundles of the fissure. He also noticed that there was hypoplasia (poverty) of fibers on the medial side. According to Randall et al., in 1974\cite{26}, “the functional treatment of cleft lip clefts implies reorienting the lip muscles, regardless of the type of skin incision to be used.” Another proposal for surgical reorientation of orbicular fibers described by Skoogin 1974\cite{27} emphasizes the complete restoration of the lip’s muscular anatomy.

Nicholas, in 1983\cite{28}, described the anatomy of the orbicularis of the lips with two layers: one superficial whose fibers are related to facial mimicry and the other deep whose fibers account for the sphincter function of the lip, having importance in eating and retaining food in the mouth. The surface layer presents an important alteration of its insertions in patients with cleft lip\cite{29} (Figure 8).

Both Nicholas (1983)\cite{28}, and Randall et al. (1974)\cite{26} and Kernahan (1978, 1983)\cite{30,31,32} highlight that the modern treatment of unilateral fissure undergoes an adequate orbicular muscle reconstitution because the result of cheiloplasty should be evaluated not only in the resting situation of the lip but also and, mainly, in activities such as smiling and whistling.

Although they attach the same importance to the musculature’s reconstitution, all these authors approach the musculature differently. We have always been interested in the technique proposed by Kernahan (1978, 1983)\cite{30,31} with which we obtained functionally and aesthetically very satisfactory results (Figure 9).

The proposal for a cheilo-Z-plasty

The use of Z-plasty in the treatment of unilateral fissures swelled with great benefits. For its simplicity, ease of execution and learning will always be a resource that can be used.

To keep alive the principles of Lemos (1956)\cite{13} and Spina and Lodovici (1959, 1960)\cite{14,20}, with Z-plasties on the skin and labial mucosa and conservative treatment of lip vermilion flaps, appropriate treatment of the orbicular musculature should be added to them. In our hands, what offered us better results was the Kernahan technique (1978, 1983)\cite{30,31}. We used this technique for incomplete cracks, narrow and aligned complete fissures.

Here is the scheme of the technique that seems to us the most appropriate:
- Marking of Z-plasty;
- Z-plasty made on the skin and mucosa;
- The treatment of the musculature;
- The closure with the vermilion detail.

CONCLUSION

In 1996, Dr. Perseu\cite{19} considered that despite the new techniques that emerged over time that seek to reconstruct the filter crest on the fissure side, some prefer Z-plasty for producing less scar retraction, a preserved cupid’s bow, with minimal tissue removed. The drawback is the interruption of the filter crest, common, however, to all techniques with non-rectilinear scars. It also points out that philosophically all its concepts that remain valid:
  a) Preservation of the cupid’s bow;
  b) Perfect alignment of the cutaneomucosal line;
  c) Minimal resection of lip tissue;
  d) Scar in alternating directions, without the tendency to retraction;
  e) (ease of teaching and implementation.
DEDICATION

Work dedicated to Perseu Castro de Lemos, master and friend of the senior author and who, in 1994, expressed: “I consider all my contributions to plastic surgery, cheilo-Z-plasty as the most important”10.
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