Identification, subcellular localization, biochemical properties, and high-resolution crystal structure of \textit{Trypanosoma brucei} UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase
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The protozoan parasite \textit{Trypanosoma brucei} is the causative agent of the cattle disease Nagana and human African sleeping sickness. Glycoproteins play key roles in the parasite’s survival and infectivity, and the de novo biosyntheses of the sugar nucleotides UDP-galactose (UDP-Gal), UDP-\textit{N}-acetylgalactosamine, and GDP-fucose have been shown to be essential for their growth. The only route to UDP-Gal in \textit{T. brucei} is through the epimerization of UDP-glucose (UDP-Glc) by UDP-Glc 4'-epimerase. UDP-Glc is also the glucosyl donor for the unfolded glycoprotein glucosyltransferase (UGGT) involved in glycoprotein quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum and is the presumed donor for the synthesis of base J (\(\beta\)-d-glucosylhydroxy-methyluracil), a rare deoxynucleotide found in telomere-proximal DNA in the bloodstream form of \textit{T. brucei}. Considering that UDP-Glc plays such a central role in carbohydrate metabolism, we decided to characterize UDP-Glc biosynthesis in \textit{T. brucei}. We identified and characterized the parasite UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (TbUGP), responsible for the formation of UDP-Glc from glucose-1-phosphate and UTP, and localized the enzyme to the peroxisome-like glycosome organelles of the parasite. Recombinant TbUGP was shown to be enzymatically active and specific for glucose-1-phosphate. The high-resolution crystal structure was also solved, providing a framework for the design of potential inhibitors against the parasite enzyme.
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Introduction

Human African trypanosomiasis (HAT), commonly known as “sleeping sickness”, is a vector borne-parasitic disease caused by the kinetoplastid \textit{Trypanosoma brucei}. The disease progresses through two stages following an asymptomatic period of several weeks or months. The early stage is usually characterized by malaria-like symptoms, including fatigue, headache, recurrent fever, and swollen lymph nodes. In advanced stages, the disease affects the central nervous system, causing severe neurological and mental disorders and making the individual dependent on others. Infected individuals are weakened, often for many years, causing economic loss, poverty, and social misery. HAT is completely fatal if untreated, and it constitutes a major public health problem in sub-Saharan Africa (Favre et al. 2008). Given the resurgence of both human and animal trypanosomiasis, its epidemic potential, high fatality rate, and significant impact on socioeconomic development, there is a clear need for new therapeutics to control the disease.

The trypanosome life cycle alternates between a mammalian host and the tsetse fly \textit{Glossina} spp., and the different life cycle stages are adapted to survive in each host. In the host-dwelling bloodstream form of the parasite, a protective coat of 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{6} glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) homodimers is expressed on the plasma membrane. The parasite survives the immune attack of the host because it undergoes antigenic variation, a process that involves replacement of the VSG coat by another composed of antigenically different VSG molecules (Cross 1996). In addition to VSG, the bloodstream form parasite also expresses less abundant but equally essential glycoproteins, such as the transferrin receptor and the lysosomal p67 glycoprotein (Kelley et al. 1999; Alexander et al. 2002). This has lead to the investigation of potential therapeutic targets against parasite glycoprotein biosynthesis, such as enzymes of GPI and sugar nucleotide biosynthesis (Turnock et al. 2007; Turnock and Ferguson 2007).

Sugar nucleotides are the ultimate source of sugar for the majority of glycosylation reactions. They are formed in two main ways: by salvage pathways, involving activation of the sugar using a kinase and subsequent condensation with a nucleotide via a pyrophosphorylase, or by de novo pathways,
involving the bioconversion of an existing sugar/sugar nucleotide. In most cases, sugar nucleotides are synthesized in the cytoplasm and used there and/or transported through specific transporters into the lumen of the Golgi apparatus and/or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) where they are used by glycosyltransferases as donor substrates in glycosylation reactions (Freeze and Elbein 2008). In T. brucei, our knowledge of sugar nucleotide biosynthesis has expanded in the last few years and it has been shown that several steps in the de novo biosynthesis of GDP-fucose (Turnock et al. 2007), UDP-galactose (UDP-Gal) (Roper et al. 2002, 2005; Urbaniai et al. 2006), and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc) (Stokes et al. 2008) occur in the glycosome and are essential for parasite growth. However, several issues remain unsolved, including the pathway and location of UDP-glucose (UDP-Glc) biosynthesis.

UDP-Glc is the sugar nucleotide acting as a donor of glucose in diverse biochemical pathways and is a central metabolite both in prokaryota and eukaryota. In eukaryotic cells, UDP-Glc is essential in the synthesis of diverse glucose-containing glycolipids, glycoproteins, and a variety of secondary metabolites (Flores-Diaz et al. 1997). Furthermore, it plays a crucial role for the “quality control” of newly synthesized glycoproteins taking place in the ER (Hammond and Helenius 1995). Thus, UDP-Glc is the glucosyl donor for the unfolded glycoprotein glycosyltransferase (UGGT) involved in the calnexin- and/or calreticulin-mediated glycoprotein quality control refolding cycles in the ER. In T. brucei, UGGT has been shown to be essential for parasite growth and survival at 40°C, and a UGGT null mutant was hypersensitive to the effects of the N-glycosylation inhibitor tunicamycin (Izquierdo et al. 2009).

UDP-Glc is also the presumed donor for the synthesis of base J (β-D-glucosylhydroxymethyluracil), a rare deoxynucleotide of unknown function found in telomere-proximal DNA in the bloodstream form of T. brucei (van Leeuwen et al. 1998). The de novo UDP-Glc biosynthetic pathway involves three steps starting from glucose: (a) generation of Glc-6-P, via a hexokinase activity; (b) transfer of the phosphate group from position 6 to position 1, through the action of a phosphoglucomutase; and (c) coupling of Glc-1P to UTP. This last, reversible, step is catalyzed by UDP-Glc pyrophosphorylase (UGP; EC 2.7.7.9).

In this paper, we confirm that the putative T. brucei UDP-Glc pyrophosphorylase (TbUGP) gene (GeneDB ID: Tb10.389.0330) encodes a functional and highly specific UGP enzyme, localize native TbUGP to the glycosomes and describe its high-resolution crystal structure in complex with UDP-Glc.

Results
Identification and expression of TbUGP
A BLASTp search of the T. brucei-predicted protein database with Homo sapiens, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and Leishmania major UDP-Glc pyrophosphorylase (UGP) amino acid sequences (NCBI accession nos. NP_001001525, NP_018151, and XP_001682505.1, respectively) revealed a single putative TbUGP gene (GeneDB ID: Tb10.389.0330). This single putative TbUGP locus was also confirmed by Southern blotting of restriction endonuclease digested genomic DNA (data not shown). The predicted amino acid sequence of Tb10.389.0330 contains the highly conserved nucleotide binding (NB) loop (KNGGLGTXMGX_K) and 16 of the 17 residues shown to

Fig. 1. Alignment of UGP-Glc pyrophosphorylase predicted amino acid sequences. The sequences of T. brucei, T. cruzi, L. major, Rattus norvegicus, H. sapiens, and Candida albicans were aligned using Clustal W (http://www.ebi.ac.uk) and Jalview (http://www.jalview.org/). Residues participating in contacts with the nucleoside (black circles), phosphate (triangles), and glucose residue (square) are highlighted. The highly conserved nucleotide-binding (pyrophosphorylase motif) loop (dashed line) and substrate-binding loop (full line) are boxed.
be involved in substrate binding (SB) in the UGP crystal structures from other organisms (Figure 1) (Lamerz et al. 2006). The only residue involved in catalytic activity that is different in the T. brucei sequence is Gly221, which is an Ile in the H. sapiens and Bos taurus orthologues. TbUGP was found to have 37% amino acid identity with the human enzyme.

The TbUGP open reading frame was amplified from T. brucei strain 427 genomic DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using a high-fidelity polymerase, and the consensus sequence (EMBL nucleotide sequence database accession no. FN662556) predicts a 485-amino acid protein of 54,507 Da. The same sequence was also obtained when the gene was amplified from cDNA (EMBL nucleotide sequence database accession no. FN662557) using the same TbUGP 5’-primer and a 5’-spliced leader primer described in Materials and methods. T. brucei genes are generally free of introns and are transcribed in polycistronic units that are processed by trans-splicing with a common 35-nucleotide “minixenon” or “spliced leader” sequence (LeBowitz et al. 1993). Comparison of the cDNA and genomic sequences allowed us to determine the precise site of trans-splicing for this gene, which, as expected, occurs in a polypyrrolidinetract, 113 bases upstream of the ATG codon. The predicted amino acid sequence for TbUGP from T. brucei strain 427 was almost identical to that in the T. brucei strain 927 genome database, except for substitution of Ser for Phe at position 54 and Ser for Asn at position 473. Neither residue is believed to be involved in the active site.

Semi quantitative RT (reverse transcription)–PCR, using RNA from T. brucei bloodstream and procyclic form, showed that the TbUGP mRNA is present in both life cycle stages (Figure 2A).

Polyclonal antibodies against the recombinant enzyme (see Materials and methods) were raised in mice and affinity purified against immobilized recombinant protein. These antibodies failed to detect any relevant cross-reactivity with other proteins present in the cell (Figure 2B, lane 2) and due to the low abundance of TbUGP in the cell, it could only be detected in immunoprecipitates of 2 × 10^6 bloodstream form cells (Figure 2B, lane 3). These results show the monomericity of the antibodies used in the cellular localization experiment (Figure 5) and also suggest that TbUGP protein expression level is similar to other enzymes involved in sugar nucleotide biosynthesis (Roper et al. 2002).

Expression of monomeric TbUGP in Escherichia coli
The TbUGP ORF was cloned into a modified pET15b vector so that it contains a PreScission™ protease site between the protein and the His_6_ tag. This plasmid was expressed in E. coli and the protein purified as described in Materials and methods (Figure 3A). After purification by nickel affinity chromatography, the recombinant TbUGP eluted as a single peak by gel filtration with a retention time consistent with a monomeric 55 kDa protein. Protein with the His_6_ tag removed by PreScission™ protease also eluted as a monomer by gel filtration, indicating that the N-terminal His_6_ tag does not influence quaternary organization. Since oligomerization has a key role in the regulation of activity for other UGPs (Martz et al. 2002), UDP-Glc synthetic activity was assayed in each fraction from gel filtration and this demonstrated that the monomeric state is active. Furthermore, analysis of the pooled active fractions of recombinant His_6_-TbUGP protein by analytical ultracentrifugation (at 0.75 mM Mg^2+ (data not shown)) indicated that the majority of TbUGP is monomeric at this concentration (Figure 3B), although some dimer and possibly higher oligomers were detected. The ultracentrifugation data were unaffected by the presence or absence of UTP and Glc-1-P (data not shown). This result is in agreement with the data previously published for L. major and barley UGP, which were also found to be active as monomers (Martz et al. 2002; Lamerz et al. 2006; Steiner et al. 2007) but is in contrast with human UGP which is octameric (Chang et al. 1996).

TbUGP enzyme kinetics and specificity
We measured the TbUGP forward reaction (i.e., the production of UDP-Glc and pyrophosphate [PPi] from Glc-1-P and UTP) using recombinant His_6_-TbUGP. The reactions were started by adding the enzyme and stopped by boiling. After adding an excess of 2 mM NaOH, aliquots of the resulting solutions were analyzed by anion exchange high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), as described in Materials and methods, allowing the resolution and detection by ultraviolet (UV) absorbance of UDP-Glc and UTP. Using this method, we determined the optimal pH for the TbUGP forward reaction to be between pH 6.0 and 7.5 (Figure 4A) and showed that TbUGP was, as expected, divalent cation-dependent (with an optimal assay condition of 10 mM Mg^2+). The enzyme could also be activated by Mn^2+ and Co^2+, but not by Zn^2+ or Cu^2+ (data not shown).

The substrate specificity of TbUGP was also defined with the HPLC assay using a variety of sugar-1-phosphate sub-
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strates (Figure 4B). Using Glc-1-P as a substrate, a single peak was observed with a retention time of 25.5 min, coeluting with an authentic UDP-Glc standard. No sugar nucleotide peaks were observed in the absence of TbUGP or when GalNAc-1-P, GlcNAc-1-P or Gal-1-P was used as a substrate. These data showed that Glc-1-P was the preferred substrate of TbUGP under these conditions.

The purified recombinant TbUGP protein was then kinetically characterized for the forward reaction using a discontinuous colorimetric coupled assay (Stokes et al. 2008). In this case, the PPi product is converted to inorganic phosphate (Pi) by pyrophosphatase, and the Pi is subsequently reacted with malachite green. Using this assay, the specificity of TbUGP was determined. The linearities of the Lineweaver–Burke plots showed that the T. brucei enzyme obeyed simple Michaelis–Menten kinetics for both substrates. The specific activity of the recombinant TbUGP was 5.2 ± 10^3 μmol PPi released/min mg protein, and the apparent K_m values for UTP and Glc-1-P were 53 ± 8 μM and 122 ± 17 μM, respectively (data not shown). These values are well within the normal ranges reported for UGPs from other eukaryotic organisms, including L. major UGP (Lamerz et al. 2006).

**Subcellular localization of TbUGP in bloodstream form T. brucei**

Localization studies were conducted using affinity-purified polyclonal mouse anti-TbUGP antibody together with either rabbit anti-GAPDH antibody (as a glycosomal marker) or rabbit anti-enolase (as a cytosolic marker). The secondary antibodies were anti-mouse Alexa 488 (green) and anti-rabbit Alexa 594 (red). The data show substantial co-localization of the anti-TbUGP with anti-GAPDH signals (Figure 5A, B, C) and an absence of co-localization of the anti-TbUGP with anti-enolase signals (Figure 5E, F, G). These data indicate that TbUGP localizes to the glycosome microbodies of bloodstream form T. brucei. Interestingly, although all of the GAPDH-staining glycosomes appear to also contain TbUGP (compare Figure 5A and B), the ratio of TbUGP to GAPDH staining may be variable. Although the majority of TbUGP staining is glycosomal, we cannot rule out that some TbUGP may be also present in the cytosol.

**Crystal structure of recombinant TbUGP**

The high-resolution crystal structure of a TbUGP construct lacking only the N-terminal Met-Pro sequence and complexed with UDP-Glc was solved (PDB accession no. 3GUE) by mo-
molecular replacement using the *L. major* UGP/UDP-Glc complex closed conformation structure (Steiner et al. 2007). The crystallographic statistics related to the structure determination of TbUGP and its complex with UDP-Glc are listed in Table I. The asymmetric unit contains two protein chains, labeled A and B. The crystal contacts between A and B are not substantial. Several crystal contact interfaces are mediated by moieties from the crystallization buffer (i.e., glycerol, PEG, and sulfate), and thus protein subunit oligomerization is not implied by the crystallographic data (Figure 6A). Several surface loops and residues were not modeled due to insufficient electron density. These include the first eight N-terminal residues in both chains and residues between Asp264 and Gln272 in monomer A. In the case of monomer B, the areas not modeled are (corresponding to PDB numbering) B61–B70, B123–124, B266–B271, and the carboxy-terminal residue B483. Because monomer A is more complete, we use herein this model for our analysis. Although the resolution is fairly high, no peaks corresponding to magnesium could be found in the electron density.

The TbUGP structure is similar to those of other previously described eukaryotic UGP structures (Roeben et al. 2006; McCoy et al. 2007; Steiner et al. 2007). It contains an N-terminal domain (amino acids Pro2–Thr45, Asn164–Val188, Pro328–Ala352, green) and a C-terminal domain (Asp388–Glu485, yellow) flanking the central catalytic domain (red, Figure 6B). The central catalytic domain forms a Rossman fold consisting of an eight-stranded mixed beta-sheet, flanked by an outer layer of helices that then branches off into the C-terminal left-handed beta helix (residues 408–485), containing a strongly hydrophobic core. Density is missing in the...
Table I. Crystallographic data of TbUGP (PDB accession no. 3GUE)

| Data collection               |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Space group                   | C2     |
| a (Å)                         | 167.7  |
| b (Å)                         | 77.49  |
| c (Å)                         | 112.21 |
| alpha                        | 90.00  |
| beta                         | 117.88 |
| gamma                        | 90.00  |
| Wavelength                    | 1.5418 |
| Resolution                    | 25–1.92 (1.99–1.92) |
| Measured reflections          | 1,716,578 |
| Unique reflections            | 96,124 |
| Resym                        | 0.120 (0.706) |
| Isigl                        | 10.38 (1.33) |
| Completeness (%)              | 98.7 (90.6) |
| Redundancy                    | 3.1 (3.0) |
| PDB code                      | 3GUE   |

The structure of TbUGP in complex with the product of the enzyme (Figure 6C and D) shows that the active site is located in the central catalytic domain, a pocket with distinctive positive electrostatic potential (Figure 6D).

The SB loop (Thr251–Gly258) aligns well with LmUGP which is expected since both structures were obtained in the presence of UDP-Glc. The NB loop also moves closer to the substrate pocket, however, less dramatically compared to the 12 degree motion of the C-terminus lobe. The LBH as well is compressed in towards the pocket. The overall effect of all these motions is to occlude the SB pocket, which can be seen in Figure 6C and D.

Discussion

UGPs have been purified and characterized from a wide range of prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms, including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Lai et al. 2008), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (McCoy et al. 2007), and *B. taurus* (Stevens and Phelps 1976). The eukaryotic enzymes have no significant sequence similarity to their prokaryotic counterparts and, whereas other enzymes of sugar nucleotide metabolism in *T. brucei*, like UDP-Glc 4′-epimerase, appear to have a bacterial origin (Roper et al. 2002), TbUGP clearly belongs of the eukaryotic family of UGPs and no putative bacterial UGP homologues could be found in the *T. brucei* genome. The kinetoplastid UGPs belong to their own phylogenetic clade (Lamerz et al. 2006).

There is nothing particularly remarkable about the enzymatic properties of TbUGP, apart for its strict specificity for Glc-1-P which differentiates it from the UGPs of the parasite’s human and bovine hosts that can also utilize Gal-1-P for the synthesis of UDP-Gal (Turnquist et al. 1974). The molecular geometries observed in the TbUGP crystal structure around the OH-2 and OH-4 of the glucose residue of bound UDP-Glc are probably

The UDP-Glc is bound in a deep cleft located at the center of the catalytic domain that consists of highly conserved residues. The SB loop (Thr251–Gly258) and the NB loop (Lys82–Lys97), both located in the central catalytic domain, are well conserved (Figure 1).

The uridine ring stays parallel to Gly85, Gly86, and Gly191, which probably fixes the ring by hydrophobic interactions. Gln163 side chain oxygen and nitrogen also contribute by interaction with the heteroatoms in the ring.

In the case of the ribose ring, O-2 seems to be interacting with the backbone nitrogen of Gly86 residue and O-3 is interacting with the backbone nitrogen of Gly221. The rest of the interactions are involving water molecules so, as proposed for the *L. major* structure, analogues of UDP-Glc modified in the ribose residue could be inhibitory (Steiner et al. 2007).

The phosphates are fixed by a mixture of hydrogen bonds, with Lys377 stabilizing both the α-phosphate and the oxygen in the phosphate diester link. The α-phosphate also interacts closely with a water molecule and a glycerol that appears inside the active site (which is probably replaced by water in solution). The β-phosphate interactions include several water molecules, Lys 256 and His192.

The glucose residue is fixed via several hydrogen bonds, as observed in other UGPs. In this case, the OH-4 of glucose is involved in three different possible interactions, with Gly258 (with both the CO and N from the amide bond) and with Asn303; the OH-2 interacts with Glu281 and His192.

### Accession numbers

Nucleotide sequences have been deposited in the EMBL nucleotide sequence database. Accession no. FN662556 corresponds to TbUGP ORF. Accession no. FN662557 corresponds to the sequence obtained by gene amplification from cDNA, as described in Materials and methods.

Coordinate and structure factors for TbUGP in complex with UDP-Glc have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank (www.pdb.org) with accession number 3GUE.
responsible for its strict substrate specificity. Thus, the tight network of interactions with the OH-4 of the glucose residue explains why UDP-Gal (an epimer of UDP-Glc at the OH-4 position) is not a substrate, and the interactions of the OH-2 of the glucose residue with residues Glu281 and His192 provide the steric constraints that prevent the binding of 2-deoxy-2-N-acetamido sugars (as in UDP-GlcNAc and UDP-GalNAc). The strict sugar-specific substrate specificity of TbUGP is reminiscent of other T. brucei sugar nucleotide biosynthetic enzymes, for example, TbGalE (UDP-Glc 4′-epimerase) and TbUAP (UDP-GlcNAc pyrophosphorylase) interconvert and utilize exclusively UDP-Glc/UDP-Gal (Roper et al. 2005) and GlcNAc-1-P (Stokes et al. 2008), respectively, whereas their mammalian counterparts can also interconvert and utilize UDP-GlcNAc/UDP-GalNAc and GalNAc-1-P, respectively.

Another difference between the parasite and human UGPs relates to quaternary structure: TbUGP, like L. major UGP, is predominantly monomeric and fully active in its monomeric state whereas the human enzyme, like that of other mammals and of fungi, is active as an octamer (Turnquist et al. 1974; Chang et al. 1996; Roeben et al. 2006). Interestingly, both AGX1, the extensively characterized dimeric human UDP-GlcNAc pyrophosphorylase (Mio et al. 1998), and Arabidopsis UGP (McCoy et al. 2007) have a C-terminal domain loop responsible for dimerization, and in S. cerevisiae UGP, this feature has been shown to be essential for stabilization of the octameric active form. The absence of a comparable C-terminal domain loop in TbUGP may explain its natural monomeric state.

Sequestration by oligomerization has been suggested to be important in the regulation of UGPs, particularly in plants (Chen et al. 2007). Other possible methods of regulation include O-GlcNAc-ylation, as found for human UGP (Wells et al. 2003), differences in N-terminal acetylation status, as demonstrated for UGPase isoforms in rice (Chen et al. 2007), and phosphorylation, as shown by the down-regulation of yeast UGP by phosphorylation by a serine/threonine kinase (Roeben et al. 2006). Since cytosolic O-GlcNAc-ylation does not occur in T. brucei, this may be ruled out as a regulatory mechanism for TbUGP. Furthermore, a recent analysis of the bloodstream form T. brucei phosphoproteome (Nett et al. 2009) did not identify TbUGP as a phosphoprotein (although its low abun-
dance and glycosomal location might have prevented its detection. It may be that TbUGP and metabolic flux into the UDP-hexose pathways, like the non-allosteric regulation of glycolysis (Haanstra et al. 2008), is principally regulated by glycosomal compartmentation in T. brucei.

Glycosomes of trypanosomatids belong to the microbody family of organelles, containing the glycoxyysomes of plants and peroxisomes of all other eukaryotes. The organelles resemble each other with respect to morphology and metabolic functions, but a unique feature of trypanosomatid glycosomes is their essential role in carbohydrate metabolism, i.e., glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, glycerol metabolism, the pentose-phosphate pathway (Michels et al. 2006), and much of sugar nucleotide biosynthesis (Roper et al. 2002; Turnock et al. 2007; Stokes et al. 2008), including TbUGP (this paper). Glycosomal proteins are targeted to these organelles via a peroxisomal targeting sequence (PTS), which can be located in the C-terminus (PTS-1) or N-terminus (PTS-2) of the protein. Internal PTS sequences have also been proposed as another targeting mechanism, with T. cruzi phosphoglucomutase as an example (Penha et al. 2009). TbUGP does not contain traditional trypanosome PTS sequences (Opperdoes and Szikora 2006). However, several internal PTS-1-like sequences can be found, such as -NVR- (N164–V166), -GKL- (G203–L205), -SNG- (S219–G221), or -SDL- (S380–L382). Of these four sequences, SNG is in the active site, and only GKL seems to be exposed to the solvent in the crystal structure. Nevertheless, considering that the apo-structure will be different to the protein in complex with UDP-Glc (Steiner et al. 2007), none of these sequences can be discounted until appropriate mutagenesis experiments are performed. In cases where no targeting sequence exists, it has been proposed that proteins can traffic to the glycosome by “piggybacking” on other PTS-targeted proteins (Purdue and Lazarow 2001; Titorenko et al. 2002). One such candidate for TbUGP is UDP-Glc 4′-epimerase, TgGAlE, which has a TKL C-terminal PTS-1 sequence and which works in partnership with TbUGP in the biosynthesis of UDP-Gal (Roper et al. 2002). In any case, it would now appear that all enzymes involved in the UDP-hexose biosynthetic pathway exist in the glycosome, since hexokinase (Glc → Glc-6-P), TbUGP (Glc-1-P → UDP-Glc), and TgGAlE (UDP-Glc ↔ UDP-Gal) have all been experimentally localized there. The only missing link is the identity and location of the T. brucei phosphoglucomutase (Glc-6-P → Glc-1-P) activity, for which there is no obvious candidate gene in T. brucei, although the T. cruzi enzyme has been identified and localized to glycosomes (Penha et al. 2009). The fact that UDP-Gal, UDP-Glc, and UDP-GlcNAc are all synthesized in the glycosome and used in the ER and/or nucleus reinforces the hypothesis that glycosomal sugar nucleotide transporters and/or antiporters may exist (Stokes et al. 2008).

The related parasite L. major has a salvage pathway whereby it can take up galactose and convert it, via galactokinase and Isslbacher pathway UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase, to UDP-Gal (Damerow et al. 2010). In contrast, this salvage pathway is completely absent in T. brucei, and the organism is entirely dependent on the de novo synthesis of UDP-Gal for glycoprotein biosynthesis (Roper et al. 2002; Urbaniak et al. 2006). We may therefore assume that TbUGP, which provides UDP-Glc for epimerization to UDP-Gal, is also essential and a potential drug target for human African sleeping sickness. Indeed, TbUGP may be a superior target to the TgGAlE epimerase because UDP-Glc is also required as the glucosyl donor for the UGGT and for the synthesis of base J (β-D-glucosylhydroxymethyluracil) (van Leeuwen et al. 1998; Izquierdo et al. 2009). The crystal structure of TbUGP described here will help in the design of TbUGP inhibitors and in assessing their modes of binding. The therapeutic potential of TbUGP as a drug target will obviously depend on our ability to find drug-like parasite-specific TbUGP inhibitors.

Materials and methods
DNA isolation and manipulation
Plasmid DNA was purified from E. coli (DH5α) using the Qiagen Miniprep or Maxiprep kit as appropriate. Gel extraction was performed using QIAquick kits. Custom oligonucleotides were obtained from the University of Dundee oligonucleotides facility. T. brucei genomic DNA was isolated from 1 × 10⁶ bloodstream form cells using DNAzol (Helena Biosciences, Gateshead, UK). All T. brucei cell cultures are mycoplasma free.

Cloning and sequencing of TbUGP
The TbUGP open reading frame identified in the T. brucei genome database (Tb10.389.0330) was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA with Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using a forward primer containing an Ndel site (underlined), 5′-ggaatccatatgcctaaaactccc-3′, and a reverse primer containing a Xhol site (underlined), 5′-cgcctcgagc-tactgctcagacacct-3′. The products of three separate PCR reactions were cloned into a modified pET15B (Invitrogen) vector with a PreScission™ protease site replacing the thrombin cleavage site (a kind gift of Jon Urch and Daan van Aalten, College of Life Sciences, University of Dundee), and a representative clone from each PCR was sequenced in both directions. All three clones were identical.

The TbUGP sequence was also obtained when the gene was amplified from cDNA using the same TbUGP 3′-primer and a 5′-spliced leader primer 5′-ggccgtattataaacagttgta-3′.

All plasmids were verified by sequencing (DNA Sequencing Service, College of Life Sciences, University of Dundee; www.dnaseq.co.uk).

RT–PCR
Total RNA from bloodstream form (BSF) and procyclic form (PCF) T. brucei was extracted using the RNeasy extraction kits with on-column DNase digestion (RNase-free DNase; Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA samples were treated with Omniscript reverse transcriptase (Qiagen) to generate cDNA. The cDNAs were then amplified by PCR using Taq polymerase and TbUGP ORF primers (described in Cloning and sequencing of TbUGP) and Dol-P-Man synthetase primers (forward 5′-atgatggccatt-gcaccacctcc-3′, reverse 5′-tagaagcctggacgctgcctaatc-3′) as a constitutively expressed positive control.

Protein expression and purification
The aforementioned modified pET15B plasmid containing the TbUGP ORF was used to transform E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells. Selected clones were grown aerobically at 37°C in Lysogeny
broth (LB) medium containing ampicillin (100 μg/mL) to a culture density of OD 0.6. The expression of His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP was induced by adding isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 0.5 mM. Cells were grown overnight at 16°C and harvested by centrifugation. The *E. coli* cells were resuspended in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.7), 0.15 M NaCl (buffer A), containing 50 mM imidazole, 1 mg/mL lysozyme, and Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (Roche Diagnosis, GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). After lysis in a French press, the lysate was cleared by centrifugation (20,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C) and the supernatant passed through a 0.45-μm filter. Purification of His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP was performed using a 5-mL His-Trap column (GE Healthcare, Upplasa, Sweden) previously equilibrated with 30 mL of buffer A containing 50 mM imidazole. After sample loading, the column was washed and His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP eluted by application of a 50–350-mM imidazole gradient (AKTA purifier, GE Healthcare, Upplasa, Sweden). The fractions obtained were checked by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE), and those containing His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP were pooled and concentrated using a Vivaspin concentrator (Sartorius Stedi, UK Ltd., Epson, UK 10 kDa molecular weight cut off) at 4°C. As a second step, recombinant His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP was loaded on a Superdex 200 HR30 HPLC column (GE Healthcare, Upplasa, Sweden). Proteins were eluted at 0.5 mL/min in buffer A and monitored by absorbance at 280 nm. Fractions (2 mL) were collected and the presence of His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP in peak fractions verified by SDS–PAGE. The final yield was 10 mg/L culture. After gel filtration, His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP can be stored at −80% in buffer A, 10% glycerol for several months. To cleave the His<sub>6</sub> tag, the fusion protein was digested with GST-PreScission protease (a kind gift of Daan Van Aalten, University of Dundee) in 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, and 5% glycerol. To remove the His<sub>6</sub> tag, the protein was treated with TEV protease and dialysed in 10 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, and 5 mM DTT overnight. The sample was passed through the Ni-NTA column one more time before being loaded onto a Sephadex S200 26/60 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 and 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT. The collected fractions corresponding to the correct eluted protein peak were concentrated using a 1.5-mL Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter device (Millipore, Billerica, MA).

**Analytical ultracentrifugation**
Recombinant TbUGP (0.75 mg/mL in buffer A) was analyzed by sedimentation velocity using a Beckman Optima XL-1 Analytical Ultracentrifuge with an AN50-Ti rotor at 32,000 rpm at 20°C. Absorbance data (72 scans at 280 nm) were collected and analyzed using the SEDFIT program (Schuck 2004). TbUGP was assumed to be globular, and its density was predicted from its amino acid composition.

**UDP-Glc pyrophosphorylase activity assays**
Two methods were used to assay TbUGP, an HPLC assay and a discontinuous coupled colorimetric assay, as previously described for *T. brucei* UDP-GlcNAc pyrophosphorylase (Stokes et al. 2008).

Briefly, the HPLC assay used 0.05 μg His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP incubated in 100 μL of the HPLC assay buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2, 250 μM UTP, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM DTT, 250 μM Glc-1-P) for 8 min, terminated by boiling for 5 min. The samples were diluted in 2 mM NaOH and analyzed using a Dionex high-pH anion exchange chromatography HPLC system. To determine substrate specificity, Glc-1-P was substituted by Gal-1-P, GlcNAc-1-P, or GalNAc-1-P (all at 250 μM). For metal ion dependence, MgCl<sub>2</sub> was replaced with CuCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>, or MnCl<sub>2</sub>. For pH dependence, the Tris–HCl buffer was replaced with a 50-mM sodium acetate for pH 4.0–6.0 and 50-mM N-cyclohexyl-3-aminopropanesulfonic acid buffer for pH higher than 9.0.

The TbUGP colorimetric assay was performed with 0.0125 μg His<sub>6</sub>-TbUGP in a 96-well plate format (Nunc™) in 12.5 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2, NaCl 150 mM, 500 μM UTP, 500 μM Glc-1-P, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM DTT, bovine serum albumin (BSA) 0.1 mg/mL, and 1 U/mL pyrophosphatase (Sigma) to a final volume of 50 μL. The reaction was left for 8 min at room temperature and terminated by the addition of 100 μL of the color reagent (0.2% ammonium molybdate, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.7 N HCl, 0.03% malachite green). Absorbance at 620 nm was measured after 20 min using a SpectraMax 340 PC (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).
TbUGP localization

Two Balb/c adult mice were used to raise polyclonal antibodies against His6-tagged TbUGP protein (about 0.1 mg per mouse) with Freund’s complete adjuvant. Each mouse received two further immunizations with Freund’s incomplete adjuvant over 2 months. Antibodies were then affinity purified on CNBr-Sepharose-immobilized TbUGP that had had its His6-tag removed with PreScission™ protease. Similar protocol was used to immunize two New Zealand rabbits with 0.5 mg of purified TbUGP protein per animal.

Wild-type bloodstream form T. brucei cells were grown in HMI-9 medium to a density of $1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL, harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in trypanosome dilution buffer (0.1 M Na2HPO4, 0.01 M NaH2PO4, 0.025 M KCl, 0.4 M NaCl, 5 mM MgSO4, 0.1 M glucose adjusted to pH 7.45 with HCl) to a density of $4 \times 10^7$ cells/mL. Aliquots (15 μL) were added to 13 mm coverslips, left at 4°C for 15 min, and fixed in 1 mL 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 min following three 5-min washes in 2 mL PBS. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were then blocked in 5% fish skin gelatin (FSG) in PBS containing 10% normal goat serum. The coverslips were incubated with immunopurified mouse anti-TbUGP (0.5 μg/mL) and rabbit anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) antiserum at 1:2000 dilution in 1% FSG in PBS, 0.05% TX-100. Both anti-GAPDH and antienolase were kind gifts of Paul Michels (Catholic University of Louvain). Samples were then washed as above in PBS and incubated with 50 μL of Alexa 594-conjugated anti-mouse IgG and Alexa 488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG for 1 h. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides, sealed with Hydromount and left to dry in the dark for 30 min. Microscopy was performed on a Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope.

Immunoprecipitation and western blotting of TbUGP

T. brucei bloodstream form cells ($2 \times 10^8$ cells) purified from rat infected blood were washed with trypanosome dilution buffer and hypotonically lysed in 200 μL of water containing 0.1 mM 1-chloro-3-tosylamido-7-amino-2-heptanone and 1 μg/mL leupeptin. The lysate was adjusted to final concentrations of 0.03% SDS and 1% Triton X-100, and an EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet (Roche) was added, incubated at 4°C for 15 min and centrifuged (20,000 × g for 30 min). The pellet was discarded and the supernatant was incubated (2 h, 4°C) with 12 μg of affinity-purified rabbit anti-TbUGP previously coupled to 50 μL of 30 mg/mL protein G Dynabeads (Invitrogen). The beads were recovered by placing on the magnet for 2 min and washed three times with cold 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2, 0.15 M NaCl, containing 0.03% SDS and 1% Triton X-100. The bound antigen was eluted with 50 mM sodium citrate pH 2.8 and immediately neutralized with 1.2 M Tris–HCl pH 8.8. The elution was boiled in SDS-sample buffer containing 0.1 M DTT, loaded onto 4–12% gradient NuPage gel (Invitrogen) for SDS–PAGE, and western blotted onto nitrocellulose. Briefly, the membrane was blocked with 5% BSA, 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma), 0.15 M NaCl in 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, and subsequently probed with 5 μg/mL affinity-purified mouse anti-TbUGP as the primary antibody, washed and then incubated with 1:10,000 horse radish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch, Strattech, Newmarket Suffolk, UK). After washing, the membrane was developed by chemiluminescent detection (ECL-plus, GE Healthcare).

Crystallization and data collection

Crystals of TbUGP were obtained from a hanging drop vaporization method consisting of 17 mg/mL protein mixed one to one with a crystallization buffer of 22% PEG 3350, 0.1 M ammonium sulfate, and 0.1 M Bis–Tris pH 5.5. Crystals were flash-cooled in crystallization buffer supplemented with 18% glycerol.

X-ray diffraction data were collected on a home source Rigaku FRE with wavelength 1.5418 Å. An initial native dataset was collected using the Rigaku software then indexed and scaled using the HKL2000 package (Otwinowski et al. 2003). A molecular replacement solution was found using the program BALBES (Long et al. 2008), which found a solution using a model from the UPG-bound form of UGP from L. major (PDB accession no. 2OEG-A). This initial dataset was partially twinned and subsequent refinement statistics were poor. Additional crystals were screened and a second dataset was collected on a less pathogenic crystal. The BALBES model was used again and refinement statistics with this dataset were much improved. The final model was built by iterative rounds of manual model building in Coot (Emsley and Cowtan 2004) followed by refinement in the CCP4 program refmac5.2 (Collaborative Computational Project Number 4, 1994). The final model has overall good geometry, with 98% of all residues favored Ramachandran regions and no outliers. Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited to the RCSB protein data bank (PDB accession no. 3GUE). Final statistics are shown in Table I. Ligand interactions, close contacts, and oligomerization state within the crystal were analyzed using the program PISA (Krissinel and Henrick 2007) and crystal figures created with the Pymol package (DeLano 2005).
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