Down-Regulation of NDRG1 Promotes Migration of Cancer Cells during Reoxygenation
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Abstract

One characteristic of tumor microenvironment is oxygen fluctuation, which results from hyper-proliferation and abnormal metabolism of tumor cells as well as disorganized neo-vasculature. Reoxygenation of tumors can induce oxidative stress, which leads to DNA damage and genomic instability. Although the cellular responses to hypoxia are well known, little is known about the dynamic response upon reoxygenation. In order to investigate the transcriptional responses of tumor adaptation to reoxygenation, breast cancer MCF-7 cells were cultured under 0.5% oxygen for 24 h followed by 24 h of reoxygenation in normoxia. Cells were harvested at 0, 1, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h during reoxygenation. The transcriptional profile of MCF-7 cells upon reoxygenation was examined using Illumina Human-6 v3 BeadChips. We identified 127 differentially expressed genes, of which 53.1% were up-regulated and 46.9% were down-regulated upon reoxygenation. Pathway analysis revealed that the HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network and validated targets of C-MYC transcriptional activation were significantly enriched in these differentially expressed genes. Among these genes, a subset of interest genes was further validated by quantitative reverse-transcription PCR. In particular, human N-MYC down-regulated gene 1 (NDRG1) was highly suppressed upon reoxygenation. NDRG1 is associated with a variety of stress and cell growth-regulatory conditions. To determine whether NDRG1 plays a role in reoxygenation, NDRG1 protein was overexpressed in MCF-7 cells. Upon reoxygenation, overexpression of NDRG1 significantly inhibited cell migration. Our results revealed the dynamic nature of gene expression in MCF-7 cells upon reoxygenation and demonstrated that NDRG1 is involved in tumor adaptation to reoxygenation.

Introduction

Tumor populations need to overcome distinct microenvironmental barriers prior to metastasizing to other organs. Invasive cancers, therefore, could be viewed as a series of adaptations in phenotype to their microenvironments. All tumor microenvironments are characterized by nutrient deprivation, low pH, and hypoxia [1]. These changes were linked to perfusion deficits in solid tumors, which came from rapid tumor growth and profoundly disorganized vasculature [2]. It has been suggested that the tumor microenvironment is a unique setting for tumor progression, which requires genetic adaptations in cancer cells for further survival and proliferation. Cell stresses induced by the microenvironment, especially hypoxia [3,4] and reoxygenation [5,6], might cause these genetic changes.

Regions of hypoxia are a common feature in solid tumors. Oxygen is a limiting factor because of the imbalance between O₂ delivery and consumption [7]. The O₂ deficiency is attributed to insufficient vasculatures and oxygen depletion in successive cell layers distal to the vessel lumen; simultaneously, there is an increase in O₂ consumption due to the high metabolic rate of tumor cells. Many studies have reported that hypoxic tumors were more malignant and resistant to therapy, and thus had a worse prognosis [8]. This phenomenon has been demonstrated in many tumor types [9,10].

Moreover, the oxygen concentration within a hypoxic region is highly variable. Since tumor vasculatures are highly inefficient and unstable, red blood cells flux to the hypoxic regions, resulting in reperfusion or reoxygenation [11]. Reoxygenation not only increases oxygen supply but also induces oxidative stress in the cells. This oxidative stress could cause damage to cellular macromolecules and lead to increased genomic instability [12]. If tumor cells survive after exposure to hypoxia/reoxygenation insults, they may demonstrate increases in malignancy [13], DNA over-amplification [14], drug resistance [15], and metastatic potential [16].

Cellular adaptation to hypoxia is well documented, but little is known about adaptive mechanisms to reoxygenation. Therefore, we used genome-wide expression microarrays to investigate the dynamics of transcriptional profiling during reoxygenation in...
MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Our microarray results showed that N-MYC down-regulated gene 1 (NDRG1) had the maximal response after reoxygenation. Therefore, we focused on investigating its functional role in reoxygenation. The functional assays revealed that cell migration of breast cancer cells during reoxygenation was driven by down-regulation of NDRG1. Lastly, the regulatory model of NDRG1 using in silico analysis was proposed for further investigation.

**Results**

**Identification of genes responsive to reoxygenation**

MCF-7 human breast cancer cells were incubated under hypoxia (0.5% O2 concentration) for 24 h and then shifted to normoxia. Cells were harvested respectively at 0 (hypoxia control), 1, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h after reoxygenation. Each time series was independently carried out in triplicate. After extracting total RNA, Illumina Human-6 v3 BeadChips were used to examine the dynamics of transcriptional profiling upon reoxygenation. Background-adjusted signals were normalized by a quantile normalization algorithm. In order to identify differentially expressed genes, Student’s t-test was used to examine the expression levels of every time point after reoxygenation versus that of time zero. The genes responsive to reoxygenation were selected by choosing genes whose mean P-value at a given time point was \(< 10^{-4}\). In total, we identified 127 genes whose transcript levels deviated significantly from time zero. Among them, 53.1% were up-regulated and 46.9% were down-regulated upon reoxygenation. Most of these genes (n = 112) were identified at only one time point, but 13 were identified at two time points, and two genes were identified at more than two time points.

Next, principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to examine the reproducibility between different replicates and the times when specific genes were activated. As shown in Figure 1a, replicates of the same time point aggregated together, indicating high reproducibility of our data. Also, different time points distributed sequentially according to the amount of time under reoxygenation. The time points of 8 h, 12 h, and 24 h were clustered together, indicating similar gene expression patterns at later time points.

**Dynamic responses of gene expression profiling upon reoxygenation**

To quantitatively characterize the O2-responsive genes at each time point during acclimatization to reoxygenation, statistical
analysis (Student’s t-test) of each time point versus time 0 was applied for each gene. The number of genes that were significantly different ($P<0.0001$) from the hypoxia control were plotted in Figure 1b. The numbers of $O_2$-responsive genes, including both up- and down-regulated genes, were $0$ at $1$ h, $17$ at $4$ h, $44$ at $8$ h, $49$ at $12$ h, and $35$ at $24$ h. At $8$ h, only $7\%$ of genes (3/44) had been identified at 4 hours, whereas, $22\%$ of genes (11/49) at $12$ h had been identified at 4 or 8 hours. Thus, this result showed that transcriptional responses were activated between $8$ and $12$ h after reoxygenation, and then diminished at $24$ h after reoxygenation.

In order to comprehend the expression profiles of these $O_2$-responsive genes, their expression values at each time point were normalized to that of time 0 (Figure 1c). The heatmap showed that, in general, the intensity of up- or down-regulated genes increased as cells stayed longer under reoxygenation. Next, the $10$ genes with the largest expression changes upon reoxygenation were selected to validate the microarray results using quantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 1d, the expression values of these genes, except one, at the time points with maximal response were very consistent with the microarray results.

Pathway analysis of genes responsive to reoxygenation

In order to understand which pathways were involved in adaptation to reoxygenation, pathway analysis was performed using the NCI-Nature Pathway Interaction Database [17]. Among the $127$ identified genes, pathway analysis revealed that, as expected, the most significantly ($P<0.01$) enriched pathway was the HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network. The second most significant pathway was validated targets of C-MYC transcriptional activation (Table 1). Furthermore, to investigate which pathway was activated at each time point, pathway analyses were done separately using $O_2$-responsive genes identified at each time point. The results showed that genes activated at $8$ h were mainly involved in the HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network, ceramide signaling pathway, and coregulation of androgen receptor activity.

Down-regulation of NDRG1 promotes cell migration under reoxygenation

Since NDRG1, which is regulated by the MYC signaling pathway, had the greatest change in expression following reoxygenation, and that these reoxygenation genes were enriched in validated targets of C-MYC transcriptional activation, we wanted to further investigate the response of NDRG1 to reoxygenation. It was not clear whether NDRG1 could affect the metastatic ability of tumor cells. Therefore, transwell assays were conducted to examine the migration ability of MCF-7 cells at different $O_2$ concentrations. As shown in Figure 2, the transcript levels of NDRG1 were significantly decreased upon reoxygenation (Figure 2a), whereas the migration ability of MCF-7 significantly increased (Figure 2b). A western blot confirmed that C-MYC and N-MYC increased, and NDRG1 decreased, under reoxygenation conditions (Figure 2c). These results indicate that NDRG1 can affect migration of transformed cells via the MYC signaling pathway.

Next, since NDRG1 was down-regulated upon reoxygenation, we overexpressed NDRG1 in MCF-7 cells to investigate its physiological function. To confirm overexpression, mRNA and protein levels of NDRG1 were examined by quantitative RT-PCR (Figure 3a) and western blotting (Figure 3b). The transcript and protein levels of NDRG1 in NDRG1-transfected cells were significantly higher than those in cells transfected with the empty vector control. MCF-7 cells transfected with NDRG1 or empty vector were then inoculated into transwells for a second round of cell migration assays under reoxygenation. The results showed that overexpression of NDRG1 significantly ($P<0.001$) inhibited cell migration under reoxygenation (Figure 3c).

Table 1. $P$-values of pathways enriched in differentially expressed genes responsive to reoxygenation.

| Enriched pathway* | Genes expressed at any time point (n = 127) | Genes expressed at the following time points: |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                   |                                          | 1 h (n = 0)                               | 4 h (n = 17)                               | 8 h (n = 44)                               | 12 h (n = 49)                              | 24 h (n = 35)                              |
| HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network | 2.02E-03                         | -                                        | -                                        | -                                        | 6.27E-03                                   | -                                        |
| Validated targets of C-MYC transcriptional activation | 4.19E-03                         | -                                        | -                                        | 3.07E-03                                 | -                                        | -                                        |
| Ceramide signaling pathway | -                                        | -                                        | -                                        | -                                        | 3.56E-03                                  | -                                        |
| Coregulation of androgen receptor activity | -                                        | -                                        | -                                        | -                                        | 5.57E-03                                  | -                                        |

*Pathway analysis was done using the NCI-Nature Pathway Interaction Database [17].

**Prediction of MYC-associated transcription factors and hypoxia-related microRNAs in regulating NDRG1**

In order to understand the mechanisms regulating NDRG1 expression, we used bioinformatics tools and a literature survey to predict the binding motifs of MYC-associated transcription factors in the promoter of NDRG1 and the binding sites of hypoxia-related microRNAs (miRNAs) in the 3'UTR. Using MatInspector and criteria stated in the Materials and Methods, 170 binding motifs of transcription factors were identified in the promoter of NDRG1. Among these transcription factors, MYC-associated transcription factors that were reported previously were selected. These transcription factors included E2F-MYC activator, MYC-associated zinc fingers, and E-box binding factors. Their binding motifs, location, and sequence logo are listed in Table S1. These results indicated NDRG1 might be regulated by these transcription factors, although more experiments are warranted.

Lastly, the expression levels of miRNAs are known to change under hypoxia. To investigate the possibility of NDRG1 being subject to miRNA regulation under different $O_2$ conditions, binding sites of hypoxia-related miRNAs were searched in the 3'UTR of NDRG1. The search criteria allowed one mismatch, wobble, deletion, or gap between the second and seventh nucleotides of the miRNAs. As shown in Table S2, six binding sites for the seed regions of four hypoxia-related miRNAs—miR-25,
miR-93, miR-106a, and miR-210—were identified in the 3’ UTR of NDRG1, suggesting that NDRG1 could be regulated by these four miRNAs. This result could be used to design experiments investigating the post-transcriptional regulation of NDRG1 by miRNAs.

Discussion

Several studies have reported that tumor cells display increased drug resistance and metastatic potential after exposure to hypoxia/reoxygenation insults [13,15]. Although cellular adapta-
tions to hypoxia are well documented, little is known about adaptive mechanisms to reoxygenation. Here, we examined the dynamics of genome-wide gene expression during reoxygenation, and found that the differentially expressed genes were involved in the HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network and C-MYC transcriptional activation.

In this study, principal component analysis of the oxygen-responsive genes showed high reproducibility over time. Based on the number of O2-responsive genes at different time points, the active period of transcription in response to reoxygenation appears to be between 8 and 12 hours. Furthermore, pathway analysis revealed that the O2-responsive genes at 12 hours were involved in the HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network, the ceramic signaling pathway, and coregulation of androgen receptor activity. It is not surprising that the HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network was involved in reoxygenation, because it has been reported in a similar situation, i.e., irradiation. Following radiotherapy, tumor reoxygenation leads to nuclear accumulation of HIF-1 in response to reactive oxygen species [10]. One of genes, namely NDRG1, in the HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network draw our attention because it had the greatest change in expression following reoxygenation.

NDRG1 is expressed ubiquitously in tissues stimulated under a wide variety of stresses and cell growth-regulatory conditions, such as hypoxia [19,20]. DNA damage [21], cellular differentiation [22,23], proliferation and growth arrest [23]. It has been reported that NDRG1 is strongly up-regulated under hypoxic conditions. An oncocgenic and tumor-promoting role of NDRG1 has also been reported, because it was overexpressed in various human cancers, including lung, brain, skin, kidney, and breast cancers [25,26]. However, NDRG1 functioned as a metastatic suppressor in prostate and colon cancers [24,27]. The contradictory roles of NDRG1 in cancer remained to be clarified, although they might be explained by its multiple cellular localizations and complex regulation by diverse physiological and pathological factors. Recently, Toffoli et al. indicated that NDRG1 can be induced under intermittent hypoxia to promote cell migration [28]. Several studies also suggested that NDRG1 is induced by hypoxia and associated with metastasis, but the regulatory mechanism of NDRG1 remains elusive and its function under reoxygenation is still unclear.

NDRG1 had the maximal transcriptional response to reoxygenation in this study, which we felt warranted further investigation. We observed that the expression of NDRG1 had an inverse relationship with cell migration upon reoxygenation. These results implicate NDRG1 as a metastasis suppressor, consistent with the findings of Maruyama et al. [8]. The discrepancy between our results and those of Toffoli et al. [28] may be due to different type of cells and experimental settings.

In order to understand more fully the possible regulatory mechanisms of NDRG1 under reoxygenation, in silico sequence analysis was performed to predict DNA binding motifs of transcription factors in the promoter of NDRG1. Among the MYC-associated binding motifs identified, zinc finger proteins, E2F-MYC activator/cell cycle regulators, and E-box binding factors could affect gene expression [29,30,31,32]. These candidate transcription factors can be further validated by constructing various promoters using luciferase assays. Furthermore, the expression levels of several miRNAs have been shown to change in hypoxia [33,34,35]. In particular, miR-210 is induced during hypoxia via a HIF1-dependent mechanism, and the expression of miR-210 had a strong correlation with the expression of NDRG1 [34]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the expression of NDRG1 was also regulated by miRNAs. Indeed, the binding sites of the seed regions of four hypoxia-related miRNAs (miR-106a, miR-93, miR-25, and miR-210) were identified in the 3’UTR of NDRG1.

Therefore, we proposed a working model based on the bioinformatic prediction and literature survey (Figure 4). This model provides a framework for future biological experiments.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Human breast cancer cell line MCF-7 was obtained from Bioresource Collection and Research Center (Hsinchu, Taiwan). MCF-7 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM, Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) containing 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, Gibco) and with 1% antibiotic solution (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). For hypoxic cultures, cells were incubated in a hypoxia chamber (InVivO2-200, Ruskin Technology, Leeds, UK) for 24 h with a

Figure 4. The proposed model of NDRG1 regulation by MYC-associated transcription factors and miRNAs in response to changes in O2 concentration. TSS: transcription start site. White box: transcription factor binding site at + strand; black box: transcription factor binding site at - strand; gray box: miRNA binding site.
gas mixture containing 5% CO₂, 95% N₂ at 37°C. The oxygen concentration in the hypoxia chamber was maintained at 0.5%. After 24 h of hypoxic growth, cells were incubated in a well humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ and 95% room air at 37°C. Six samples were collected respectively at 0, 1, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h after reoxygenation. The cells were washed with cold PBS, flash-frozen in liquid N₂, and stored at −80°C for later RNA isolation. Each experiment was carried out in triplicate.

RNA extraction
Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and purified by RNeasy Micro cleanup kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration and quality were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA), which calculates an RNA integrity number (RIN). Total RNA (500 ng) with A₂₆₀/A₂₈₀ = 1.7–2.1 and RIN>7.0 were used to synthesize the first strand cDNA via reverse transcription.

Illumina human whole-genome expression beadchips
The total RNA was primed with the T7 Oligo(T) primer and amplified by Illumina TotalPre RNA Amplification Kit (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX) to synthesize the cDNA containing a T7 promoter sequence. Following the first strand cDNA synthesis, second strand cDNA was synthesized by converting the single-stranded cDNA into a double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) template for transcription. The reaction employed DNA polymerase and RNase H to simultaneously degrade the RNA and synthesize second strand cDNA. The double-stranded cDNA then underwent a clean-up process to remove excess RNA, primers, enzymes, and salts that would inhibit in vitro transcription. Thereafter, in vitro transcription was conducted using the double-stranded cDNA as a template and T7 RNA polymerase to synthesize multiple copies of biotinylated cRNA. After amplification, the cRNA was mixed with an equal volume of hybridization buffer and hybridized to Illumina Human-6 v3 BeadChips (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at 58°C for 16 h. After hybridization, the BeadChip was washed and stained with streptavidin-Cy3 dye. The intensity of the bead’s fluorescence was detected by the Illumina BeadArray Reader, and the results were analyzed using BeadStudio v3.1 software. All data is MIAME compliant and that the raw data has been deposited in a MIAME compliant database. Microarray data of this study have been submitted to the GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus) database (accession number GSE30019).

Data mining and statistical analysis
After scanning, the intensity data of Illumina Human-6 v3 BeadChips (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at 58°C for 16 h. After hybridization, the BeadChip was washed and stained with streptavidin-Cy3 dye. The intensity of the bead’s fluorescence was detected by the Illumina BeadArray Reader, and the results were analyzed using BeadStudio v3.1 software. All data is MIAME compliant and that the raw data has been deposited in a MIAME compliant database. Microarray data of this study have been submitted to the GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus) database (accession number GSE30019).

Furthermore, NCI-Nature Pathway Interaction Database [17] was applied to identify the biological functions of the differentially expressed genes.

Overexpression of NDRG1 in MCF-7
The human NDRG1 gene was inserted between the EcoRI and BamHI I sites of the eukaryotic expression vector pcDNA3.1+ (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). MCF-7/NDRG1 cells were created by transfection of MCF-7 cells with pcDNA3.1+ encoding the NDRG1 gene using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). MCF-7/NDRG1 cells were then selected by 200 μg/ml of Zeocine for two weeks. The mRNA expression of NDRG1 was examined by quantitative real-time PCR, and NDRG1 protein expression was examined by western blotting.

Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR
Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription of total RNA was performed with a High Capacity cDNA RT Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using random primers and 1 μg total RNA as template. The reaction mixture was incubated at 25°C for 10 min, 37°C for 2 h and 85°C for 5 sec. Real time PCR was detected by SYBR Green (Sigma) and was performed using the ABI 7300 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The reactions were performed using the following program: 40 cycles of denaturing at 95°C for 15 sec and 1 min of annealing and elongating at 60°C. Each cDNA sample, an internal control, 18s rRNA, was also measured by the SYBR Green probe to ensure comparable amounts of cDNA in all wells. Relative expression of NDRG1 compared with 18s rRNA in each sample was calculated (∆Ct) and relative expression of NDRG1 among samples was determined by calculating the difference in ∆Ct between samples (∆∆Ct). All measurements were made in triplicate (5 ng of total RNA per well).

Western blotting
Whole cell extracts were prepared using RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with 1% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40) and Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Cell debris was collected by centrifugation at 8,000 x g at 4°C for 20 minutes. Protein concentration was measured by the bicinchoninic acid method (BCA assay), and 20–50 μg protein were loaded on a 10% denaturing sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel. After electrophoresis, protein was electrophoretically transferred to PVDF membranes overnight at 55 mA. The membranes were blocked with Tris-Buffered Saline Tween-20 (TBST) with 5% non-fat powdered milk at room temperature for an hour. Detection of specific proteins was done by probing membranes with primary antibodies in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 for 1.5 hours at room temperature. These antibody included NDRG1 (AbCam, 1:5000), HIF1α (Millipore, 1:1000), C-MYC (Millipore, 1:1000), N-MYC (Millipore, 1:250), and loading control GAPDH (GeneTex, 1:10000) or β-actin (1:5000). After incubation with the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated IgG secondary antibodies (1:5000), the immunoreactivity was visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence with Luminol Reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA, USA).

Cell migration assay
Migration assays were carried out using 24-well transwell migration chambers (Corning, Corning, New York, USA) with 8 μm pore size polyethylene membranes. Cells were first starved 24 h and were harvested by Accutase (PAA Laboratories, Linz,
Austria. The upper chambers were inoculated with 5 x 10⁴ cells/well in 0.1 ml serum-free DMEM cell solution, and lower chambers were filled with 0.6 ml DMEM containing 10% FBS as chemoattractant. Cells were allowed to migrate for 24 h at 37°C. For measuring the migrated cells, 2 μg/ml Calcine-AM (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) Cell Dissociation Solution (Trevigen) was added into the lower chamber. After incubation at 37°C for 60 min, inserts were removed and plates were read at 485 nm for excitation and 520 nm for emission. Cell numbers were calculated by comparing the absorbance to the standard curve. MCF-7 cells transfected with empty vector were used as the control for each experiment.

In silico analysis of NDRG1

To identify potential binding motifs of MYC-associated transcription factors in the promoter of NDRG1, the MatInspector program was utilized [37]. Two predefined groups of transcription factors, including general core promoter elements and vertebrates, were analyzed in the matrix library version 8.3 using the following parameters: (1) matrix families were matched instead of individual sequences; (2) core similarity was at least 0.75; and (3) matrix similarity was optimized. The core region was defined as four consecutive nucleotides with the highest conservation scores [38]. The core and matrix similarity were calculated by comparing the query sequence with the most conserved nucleotide in the matrix. After identifying the potential transcription factor candidates, the MYC-associated transcription factors were further selected based on a literature survey.

For identifying the binding sites of hypoxia-related miRNAs, the NDRG1 3'UTR sequence was downloaded from UCSC's Genome browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway; GRCh37/hg19 assembly), and was aligned to hypoxia-related miRNAs. The criteria for searching binding sites of the seed region were allowing one mismatch, wobble, deletion, or gap between the second and seventh nucleotides of hypoxia-related miRNAs.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Predicted binding sites of MYC associated transcription factors in the promoter of NDRG1.

Table S2 Predicted binding sites of miRNAs in the 3'UTR of NDRG1.

Acknowledgments

Our special thanks are due to the technicians at National Center of Excellence for Clinical Trials and Research and the Center of Genomic Medicine, National Taiwan University, for their technical assistance. Melissa Stauffer, PhD, of Scientific Editing Solutions, edited the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LCL EYC. Performed the experiments: YYS KCC. Analyzed the data: YYS KCC TPL CYL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MHT YPS. Wrote the paper: LCL EYC.

References

1. Williams KJ, Cowen RL, Stratford IJ (2001) Hypoxia and oxidative stress. Tumour hypoxia-therapeutic considerations. Breast Cancer Res 3: 328–331.
2. Vauuel P, Kallinowski F, Okanueff P (1989) Blood flow, oxygen and nutrient supply, and metabolic microenvironment of human tumors: a review. Cancer Res 49: 6649–6645.
3. Corn PG, El-Deiry WS (2007) Microarray analysis of p53-dependent gene expression in response to hypoxia and DNA damage. Cancer Biol Ther 6: 1858–1866.
4. Bristow RG, Hill RP (2008) Hypoxia and metabolism. Hypoxia, DNA repair and genetic instability. Nat Rev Cancer 8: 180–192.
5. Prabhakar NR (2001) Oxygen sensing during intermittent hypoxia: cellular and molecular mechanisms. J Appl Physiol 90: 1986–1994.
6. Welbourn CR, Goldman G, Paterson IS, Valeri CR, Shepro D, et al. (1991) Pathophysiolog of ischaemia-reperfusion injury: central role of the neutrophil. Br J Surg 78: 631–635.
7. Gulledge CJ, Dewhirst MW (1996) Tumor oxygenation: a matter of supply and demand. Anticancer Res 16: 741–749.
8. Maruyama Y, Ono M, Kawahara A, Yokoyama T, Basaki Y, et al. (2006) Tumor growth suppression in pancreatic cancer by a putative metastasis suppressor gene Cap3/NDRG1/Drg-1 during modulation of angiogenesis. Cancer Res 66: 6235–6242.
9. Brown JM, Wilcox WR (2004) Exploiting tumour hypoxia in cancer treatment. Nat Rev Cancer 4: 437–447.
10. Vauuel P, Mayer A, Heckel M (2004) Tumor hypoxia and malignant progression. Methods Enzymol 381: 335–354.
11. Brown JM, Giaccia AJ (1998) The unique physiology of solid tumors: opportunities and problems for cancer therapy. Cancer Res 58: 1408–1416.
12. Kurihata P, Soini Y (2007) Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant mechanisms in human tissues and their relation to malignancies. Antioxid Redox Signal 9: 81–103.
13. Hockel M, Schlenger K, Aral B, Mitze M, Schaffer U, et al. (1996) Association of an angiogenic factor with hypoxia responsive genes without p53/p21-dependent checkpoint in aggressive prostate cancer. Cancer Res 60: 5630–5634.
14. Hockel M, Schlenger K, Aral B, Mitze M, Schaffer U, et al. (1996) Association of an angiogenic factor with hypoxia responsive genes without p53/p21-dependent checkpoint in aggressive prostate cancer. Cancer Res 60: 5630–5634.
15. Sanna K, Rofstad EK (1994) Hypoxia-induced resistance to doxorubicin and death. Cell Cycle 2: 333–338.
16. Karihtala P, Soini Y (2007) Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant mechanisms in human tissues and their relation to malignancies. Antioxid Redox Signal 9: 81–103.
17. Schafer CF, Anthony K, Kruipa S, Bachoff J, Day M, et al. (2009) PID: the Pathway Interaction Database. Nucleic Acids Res 37: D674–679.
18. Moeller BJ, Cao Y, Li CY, Dewhirst MW (2004) Radiation activates HIF-1 to regulate vascular radioresistance in tumors: role of reoxygenation, free radicals, and stress granules. Cancer Cell 5: 429–441.
19. Gulledge CJ, Dewhirst MW (1996) Tumor oxygenation: a matter of supply and demand. Anticancer Res 16: 741–749.
20. Fujita Y, Terai Y, Saito T, Ishii S, Hisada M, et al. (2005) Identification of NDRG1 as an early inducible gene during in vitro maturation of cultured mast cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 330: 345–349.
21. Kurdistani SK, Arizi P, Reimer CL, Sugrue MM, Aaronson SA, et al. (1998) Inhibition of tumor cell growth by RTP/mtf2 and its responsiveness to p53 and DNA damage. Cancer Res 58: 4439–4444.
22. Takemori Y, Sugaki T, Saito T, Iishi H, Hisada M, et al. (2005) Identification of NDRG1 as an early inducible gene during in vitro maturation of cultured mast cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 330: 345–349.
23. Komatsu M, Li HO, Tsutsui H, Itakura K, Matsumura M, et al. (1997) MAZ, a Myc-associated zinc finger protein, is essential for the ME1a1-mediated induction of apoptosis. EMBO J 16: 457–465.
24. Weng Q, Yu Y, Ma H, Chen Y, Chen J, et al. (2006) Identification of p21 as a novel hypoxia-responsive gene in gastric cancer cells. Cancer Res 66: 1153–1159.
25. Cangul H (2004) Hypoxia upregulates the expression of the NDRG1 gene leading to its overexpression in various human cancers. BMC Cancer 5: 27.
26. Gao J, Li J, Zhang H, Liu X, Zeng L, et al. (2008) Hypoxia-induced angiogenesis and tumor growth by a putative metastasis suppressor gene. Cancer Res 68: 4810–4817.
27. Gomez-Casero E, Navarro M, Rodriguez-Puebla ML, Larcher F, Paramio JM, et al. (2001) Regulation of the differentiation-related gene Drg1 during mouse skin carcinogenesis. Mol Carcinog 32: 100–109.
28. Bandyopadhyay S, Pat SK, Gross SC, Hirota S, Hosobe S, et al. (2003) The Drg1 gene suppresses tumor metastasis in prostate cancer. Cancer Res 63: 1731–1736.
29. Toffoli S, Delaive E, Dieu M, Feron O, Raes M, et al. (2009) NDRG1 and CRK-L/II are regulators of endothelial cell migration under Intermittent Hypoxia. Angiogenesis 12: 339–354.
30. Komatsu K, Ito H, Tsuchita H, Itakura K, Matsumura M, et al. (1997) MAZ, a Myc-associated zinc finger protein, is essential for the ME1a1-mediated induction of angiogenesis in prostate cancer. Cancer Res 60: 749–755.
31. Wang K, Zhu B, Li Y, Wang J, Fan W, et al. (2008) Drg1 as a transcription factor and a putative metastatic suppressor gene in human colon cancer. Cancer Res 68: 4810–4817.
32. Cangul H (2004) Hypoxia upregulates the expression of the NDRG1 gene leading to its overexpression in various human cancers. BMC Cancer 5: 27.
33. Gao J, Li J, Zhang H, Liu X, Zeng L, et al. (2008) Hypoxia-induced angiogenesis and tumor growth by a putative metastasis suppressor gene. Cancer Res 68: 4810–4817.
34. Cangul H (2004) Hypoxia upregulates the expression of the NDRG1 gene leading to its overexpression in various human cancers. BMC Cancer 5: 27.
35. Cangul H (2004) Hypoxia upregulates the expression of the NDRG1 gene leading to its overexpression in various human cancers. BMC Cancer 5: 27.
36. Cangul H (2004) Hypoxia upregulates the expression of the NDRG1 gene leading to its overexpression in various human cancers. BMC Cancer 5: 27.
31. Zajac-Kaye M (2001) Myc oncogene: a key component in cell cycle regulation and its implications for lung cancer. Lung Cancer 34 Suppl 2: S43–46.

32. Adhikary S, Eilers M (2005) Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6: 635–645.

33. Kuhlbroth A, Ferrari M, Wojdik SK, Garzon R, Alder H, et al. (2007) A microRNA signature of hypoxia. Mol Cell Biol 27: 1859–1867.

34. Huang X, Ding L, Bennewith KL, Tong RT, Welford SM, et al. (2009) Hypoxia-inducible mir-210 regulates normoxic gene expression involved in tumor initiation. Mol Cell 35: 856–867.

35. Guimbellot JS, Erickson SW, Mehta T, Wen H, Page GP, et al. (2009) Correlation of microRNA levels during hypoxia with predicted target mRNAs through genome-wide microarray analysis. BMC Med Genomics 2: 15.

36. Sturn A, Quackenbush J, Trajanoski Z (2002) Genesis: cluster analysis of microarray data. Bioinformatics 18: 207–208.

37. Cartharius K, Frech K, Grote K, Kloeck B, Haltmeier M, et al. (2005) MadInspector and beyond: promoter analysis based on transcription factor binding sites. Bioinformatics 21: 2933–2942.

38. Quandt K, Frech K, Karas H, Wingender E, Werner T (1995) MatInd and MatInspector: new fast and versatile tools for detection of consensus matches in nucleotide sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res 23: 4878–4884.