Dietary and metabolomic determinants of relapse in ulcerative colitis patients: A pilot prospective cohort study
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Abstract

AIM
To identify demographic, clinical, metabolomic, and lifestyle related predictors of relapse in adult ulcerative colitis (UC) patients.

METHODS
In this prospective pilot study, UC patients in clinical
remission were recruited and followed-up at 12 mo to assess a clinical relapse, or not. At baseline information on demographic and clinical parameters was collected. Serum and urine samples were collected for analysis of metabolomic assays using a combined direct infusion/liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resolution spectroscopy. Stool samples were also collected to measure fecal calprotectin (FCP). Dietary assessment was performed using a validated self-administered food frequency questionnaire.

RESULTS
Twenty patients were included (mean age: 42.7 ± 14.8 years, females: 55%). Seven patients (35%) experienced a clinical relapse during the follow-up period. While 6 patients (66.7%) with normal body weight developed a clinical relapse, 1 UC patient (9.1%) who was overweight/obese relapsed during the follow-up ($P = 0.02$). At baseline, poultry intake was significantly higher in patients who were still in remission during follow-up (0.9 oz vs 0.2 oz, $P = 0.002$). Five patients (71.4%) with FCP > 150 µg/g and 2 patients (15.4%) with normal FCP (< 150 µg/g) at baseline relapsed during the follow-up ($P = 0.02$). Interestingly, baseline urinary and serum metabolomic profiling of UC patients with or without clinical relapse within 12 mo showed a significant difference. The most important metabolites that were responsible for this discrimination were trans-aconitate, cystine and acetamide in urine, and 3-hydroxybutyrate, acetoacetate and acetone in serum.

CONCLUSION
A combination of baseline dietary intake, fecal calprotectin, and metabolic factors are associated with risk of UC clinical relapse within 12 mo.
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Core tip: This was a pilot prospective cohort study to evaluate the determinants of clinical relapse in adult ulcerative colitis patients. We found that different dietary, anthropometric, and metabolic factors at baseline were associated with the risk of disease relapse within 12 mo of follow-up.
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INTRODUCTION
Ulcerative colitis (UC), a subtype of the inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), is a chronic relapse-remitting inflammatory condition that affects the colon in a diffuse, continuous, and superficial pattern. It often presents in young adulthood and is more common in developed countries. UC affects both genders equally and its presenting symptoms are usually rectal bleeding, urgency, and tenesmus, with diarrhea. UC prevalence is estimated at 5-500 people per 100000 worldwide[1]. In addition, the incidence of UC is increasing and its health-care burden is considerable[2]. The incidence and prevalence of IBD (including UC and Crohn’s disease (CD) in Canada are amongst the highest in the world[3]. The pathogenesis of IBD is largely unknown. Current evidence suggests that environmental factors and microbial dysbiosis may interact to trigger a dysregulated immune response which induces chronic intestinal inflammation in genetically susceptible hosts[4].

Patients with UC can experience multiple disease relapses in spite of receiving adequate standard treatment. It has also been shown that poor disease control and multiple relapses result in deteriorated quality of life[5] and an increased probability of colitis-associated colorectal cancer[6]. Although determinants of UC relapse have not been fully elucidated, a variety of demographic, clinical, endoscopic, psychosocial, serologic and fecal biomarkers have been investigated in several studies with inconsistent findings[7-13].

Metabolomics is the systemic identification and quantitation of all metabolites in a given organism or set of biological samples[14]. Similar to other “omic” approaches that are used to study the pathophysiology of different diseases, metabolomics has the potential to reveal the underlying multifactorial mechanisms of diseases, including IBD[15], especially if measured before disease relapse occurs. Other investigators have shown that urinary, serum, and fecal metabolomic profiles of IBD patients differ from healthy controls[15,16]. In addition, it has been suggested that metabolomics has the potential to identify novel biomarkers that could be useful for surveillance and early detection of IBD relapse[15].

Understanding predictors of UC relapse is of great importance for both patients and healthcare providers and only few prospective studies have been done in this regard. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the roles of multiple clinical, demographic, dietary and metabolomic factors that may predict UC relapse.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient cohort
This pilot prospective cohort study was performed in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. Using a convenience non-
probability sampling method, adult UC patients who were able to read and write in English were recruited consecutively from the IBD clinic at the University of Alberta. The diagnosis of UC was confirmed using a combination of clinical, endoscopic and histological criteria. All patients were included if they were in clinical remission at the time of enrollment determined by a validated partial Mayo score of less than 3\textsuperscript{[17]}. Subjects were excluded if they had used oral corticosteroids in the previous four weeks, used corticosteroids within the previous two weeks before enrollment, used any biological agents for UC management within 3 mo before the enrollment, or had a history of colectomy. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study protocol was approved by the Health Research Ethics Board-Biomedical Panel, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada (Pro00032213).

Participants were asked to come to the research clinic for the first visit (Baseline). At baseline visit participants’ demographic and clinical information was obtained and participants completed a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) that assessed their food intake in the past 12 mo. Anthropometric assessments (as described below), clinical information, and urine and blood samples were collected for metabolomics analyses, and stool was collected for fecal calprotectin (FCP). Twelve months after the baseline visit, patients were followed-up by a telephone interview and their clinical files were reviewed to determine if they had experienced a clinical UC relapse (partial Mayo score of 3 or more) during these 12 mo. Comparisons were made between patients who remained in clinical remission versus those who experienced a clinical relapse.

**Demographic and clinical information**

At baseline, demographic (age, gender), and clinical information was collected. Long-term dietary intake was assessed using the National Cancer Institute’s self-administered Diet History Questionnaire II (DHQ)\textsuperscript{[18,19]}. This validated semi-quantitative FFQ included questions about 134 food items and accounts for seasonal intake of a variety of foods, portion size and frequency of intake for each food item. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 kg (Health o Meter Professional 752KL medical scale) and height was measured (HM200P Portstad portable stadiometer, Charder Electronic Co, Ltd) without shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Waist circumference (at the narrowest part of abdomen over light clothing using a non-stretch measuring tape and recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm). Waist to height ratio was calculated as the ratio of waist circumference/height. Body composition (i.e., total fat mass and body fat percentage) was determined by air displacement plethysmography (BodPod) (COSMED Concord, CA, United States).

**Sample collection and analysis**

Subjects were provided appropriate materials and instructions to collect morning urine and stool samples. In addition, fasting blood samples were collected from each participant at baseline. Urine and serum samples were assayed using a combined direct infusion (DI-)/liquid chromatography (LC-) tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) (AbsolutIDQ p180 kit, Biocrates Life Sciences AG, Innsbruck, Austria) and nuclear magnetic resolution (NMR) spectroscopy, using the previously described protocol\textsuperscript{[20]} in order to identify and quantify metabolites. All metabolomic assays were performed at the Metabolomics Innovation Centre (Edmonton, Canada). Fecal calprotectin (FCP) was measured in stool samples using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with monoclonal antibodies specific to calprotectin (Bühlmann Laboratories AG, Basel, Switzerland). FCP levels above 150 µg/g stool were used to define “high FCP” due to its association with increased risk of UC relapse\textsuperscript{[21]}.  

**Metabolomic analysis**

For the metabolomic analysis, concentrations of urinary metabolites (µmol/L) were normalized by creatinine (mmol/L) and reported as a ratio (µmol/mmol). Concentrations of identified metabolites were normalized using logarithmic transformation and pareto scaling. Metabolites with a P value less than 0.1 in the univariate analyses were selected for generating the logistic regression model. Multivariate statistical analysis was performed using partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA). A 10-fold cross-validation technique was used to ensure that the logistic regression models were robust. Permutation analysis using random resampling (n = 2000) of the two groups of patients (i.e., clinical relapse versus remission) was conducted to determine the probability that the observed separation was a result of chance or not, and a P value that represents the probability of a random finding was generated. To identify the major metabolites that were responsible for the discrimination between patients with clinical relapse and patients in clinical remission variable importance in projection (VIP) values were used. The VIP value indicates the contribution of each feature to the regression model. MetaboAnalyst 3.0\textsuperscript{[22]} was used for the metabolomic statistical analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical and numerical variables are presented as percentage and median (interquartile range (IQR), respectively. Fisher’s exact test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare categorical and numerical variables between two groups of UC patients (i.e., clinical relapse vs remission), respectively. To test the relationship between overweight/obesity and disease...
relapse, we used binary logistic regression analysis after adjusting for age and gender. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed in order to calculate the accuracy of FCP in predicting UC patients who developed a clinical relapse (partial Mayo score > 2\(^{17}\)) versus those who remained in clinical remission during the 12-mo follow-up. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, United States) was used for statistical analysis. A two-tailed \(P\) value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Subject demographics
Twenty UC patients in clinical remission were recruited with a mean age of 42.7 ± 14.8 years; 11 (55%) were females. Two (10%) patients were current smokers. Eleven patients (55%) were diagnosed to have pancolitis and 13 (65%) subjects were on either oral or rectal 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) medications. Twenty-three percent of patients were on no UC-related medication (Table 1).

UC relapse and demographic, clinical, and anthropometric parameters
Patients were followed for 12.1 ± 1.9 mo and during this time 7 (35%) patients experienced a clinical relapse. The comparison between different demographic, anthropometric, and clinical characteristics of patients (at the time of recruitment) with clinical relapse and those who were still in clinical remission at the time of follow-up is presented in Table 1. There was no significant difference between these two groups of patients in terms of age, gender, and UC-related factors (age at diagnosis, months since last relapse, UC subtype, and UC medication) at baseline. However, UC patients who developed a clinical relapse within 12 mo had significantly lower BMI, waist circumference, waist to height ratio, and fat mass compared to patients with no clinical relapse. Six out of 9 (66.7%) patients with normal BMI (18.5-24.9 kg/m\(^2\)) had a clinical relapse, whereas 1 out of 11 (9.1%) patients with overweight/obesity (BMI > 25 kg/m\(^2\)) at baseline relapsed during the follow-up (RR = 7.3, 95%CI: 1.1-50.3, \(P\) = 0.02) and this was still statistically significant (\(P\) = 0.03) after adjusting for age and gender.

Effect of dietary intake
There was no statistically significant difference between intake of different macro-, micronutrients as well as food groups at baseline in patients with clinical relapse versus remission within 12 mo of follow-up, except for poultry and maltose intake which were significantly higher in patients who remained in remission (Table 2). There was a positive correlation between maltose intake and total grain (\(r = 0.50, P = 0.03\)) and whole grain intake (\(r = 0.47, P = 0.04\)) suggesting that the main source of maltose in our patients was grain or grain products.

Fecal calprotectin
The median (IQR) level of fecal calprotectin (FCP) at baseline in UC patients with clinical relapse and remission at 12 mo of follow-up was 195.9 (41.2-347.9) and 23.3 (12.9-84.5) \(\mu g/g\), respectively (\(P\) = 0.05). Five (71.4%) patients with high FCP versus 2 (15.4%) patients with normal FCP at baseline relapsed during the follow-up (RR = 4.6, 95%CI: 1.2-18.1, \(P\) = 0.02). ROC curves for FCP as a predictor of clinical relapse in

| Table 1  Comparison of demographic, anthropometric, body composition, and clinical characteristics of ulcerative colitis patients at baseline according to their relapse status after 12 mo n (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Remission (\(n = 13\)) | Relapse (\(n = 7\)) | \(P\) value |
| Age, yr | 46.0 (32.5-56.5) | 33.0 (28.0-52.0) | 0.18 |
| Females | 7 (53.8) | 4 (57.1) | 1.00 |
| Current smoker | 2 (15.4) | 0 (0.0) | 0.52 |
| Body mass index, kg/m\(^2\) | 28.1 (25.3-32.7) | 22.0 (20.3-22.8) | < 0.01 |
| Overweight/obese | 10 (76.9) | 1 (14.3) | 0.02 |
| Waist circumference, cm | 99.1 (84.4-105.3) | 82.8 (70.1-89.0) | 0.03 |
| Waist to height ratio | 0.6 (0.5-0.6) | 0.5 (0.4-0.5) | 0.02 |
| Body fat percentage | 35.8 (27.0-47.6) | 29 (20.4-34.1) | 0.16 |
| Fat mass, kg | 34.1 (20.4-45.5) | 20.1 (16.3-24.6) | 0.04 |
| Age at diagnosis, yr | 26.0 (22.5-44.5) | 25.0 (17.29) | 0.39 |
| Months since last relapse | 12.0 (4.5-33) | 11.0 (6.0-40) | 0.76 |
| UC subtype | | | |
| Proctitis | 1 (7.7) | 1 (14.3) | |
| Left-sided | 4 (30.8) | 3 (42.9) | 0.71 |
| Pancolitis | 8 (61.5) | 3 (42.9) | |
| Medication | | | |
| 5-ASA | 9 (69.2) | 4 (57.1) | 0.65 |
| Immunosuppressants | 4 (30.8) | 2 (28.6) | 1.00 |
| No medication | 3 (23.1) | 1 (14.3) | 1.00 |

Numerical variables are presented as median (interquartile range). UC: Ulcerative colitis.
UC is presented in Figure 1. An FCP concentration of 124 µg/g resulted in a sensitivity of 71.4%, a specificity of 84.6%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 71.4, and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 84.6% in predicting UC clinical relapse.

### Table 2  Dietary intake of nutrients and food groups at baseline in ulcerative colitis patients with and without clinical relapse

| Nutrients                  | Remission (n = 13) | Relapse (n = 7) | P value<sup>3</sup> |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Energy (Kcal/d)            | 1530.8 (1258.3-2121.4) | 1820.2 (1430.8-2337.1) | 0.70 |
| Carbohydrate (g/d)         | 229.6 (217.0-253.4)  | 201.5 (156.4-237.1)  | 0.21 |
| Protein (g/d)              | 61.6 (55.0-74.9)    | 62.0 (40.6-70.0)    | 0.70 |
| Fat (g/d)                  | 59.0 (50.7-61.6)    | 62.3 (46.2-76.0)    | 0.21 |
| Cholesterol (g/d)          | 198.0 (155.9-275.4) | 166.8 (117.6-207.4) | 0.18 |
| SFA (g/d)                  | 18.5 (16.4-20.3)    | 20.4 (11.9-23.8)    | 0.52 |
| MUFA (g/d)                 | 21.3 (17.3-23.1)    | 21.7 (15.0-30.4)    | 0.64 |
| PUFA (g/d)                 | 14.4 (12.9-14.8)    | 12.9 (9.1-15.5)     | 0.70 |
| Vitamin C (mg/d)           | 112.3 (101.2-166.7) | 100.1 (93.6-124.3)  | 0.52 |
| Vitamin B6 (mg/d)          | 1.8 (1.3-2.5)       | 1.7 (1.3-1.9)       | 0.70 |
| Total folate (mg/d)        | 401.3 (289.5-540.9) | 402.3 (222.8-474.9) | 0.77 |
| Vitamin B12 (mg/d)         | 3.8 (3.3-5.7)       | 4.6 (2.3-5.7)       | 0.90 |
| Calcium (mg/d)             | 832.0 (730.8-1234.0) | 719.9 (551.7-924.0) | 0.21 |
| Iron (mg/d)                | 13.4 (10.4-25.6)    | 11.7 (7.4-15.0)     | 0.42 |
| Zinc (mg/d)                | 9.7 (9.4-16.2)      | 9.8 (6.9-10.2)      | 0.64 |
| Sodium (mg/d)              | 2589.6 (2175.3-2900.6) | 2521.9 (1818.8-2810.8) | 0.77 |
| Potassium (mg/d)           | 2948.8 (2216.0-3624.5) | 2951.9 (1890.6-3330.1) | 0.83 |
| Fibre (g/d)                | 16.1 (13.5-26.0)    | 14.1 (9.7-22.2)     | 0.32 |
| Sucrose (g/d)              | 40.2 (29.9-47.3)    | 27.6 (20.6-40.4)    | 0.11 |
| Fructose (g/d)             | 31.1 (18.4-42.3)    | 25.5 (11.7-38.5)    | 0.64 |
| Lactose (g/d)              | 13.6 (9.4-19.0)     | 9.8 (1.8-17.5)      | 0.32 |
| Maltose (g/d)              | 3.9 (3.1-4.3)       | 1.6 (1.1-3.0)       | < 0.01 |
| Fruit (cup equivalents/d)  | 2.1 (0.8-2.6)       | 1.4 (0.7-2.0)       | 0.28 |
| Vegetable (cup equivalents/d) | 1.8 (1.5-2.4) | 1.8 (1.0-3.1)     | 0.77 |
| Whole grain (oz equivalents/d) | 1.0 (0.5-1.3) | 0.7 (0.2-1.1)     | 0.32 |
| Meat (oz/d)                | 1.0 (0.6-1.3)       | 1.0 (0.3-1.8)       | 1.00 |
| Processed meat (oz/d)      | 0.3 (0.1-0.5)       | 0.2 (0.0-1.0)       | 0.83 |
| Fish (oz/d)                | 0.3 (0.2-0.8)       | 0.5 (0.2-2.2)       | 0.64 |
| Poultry (oz/d)             | 0.9 (0.1-1.3)       | 0.2 (0.0-3.5)       | < 0.01 |
| Dairy (cup equivalents/d)  | 1.4 (1.0-1.8)       | 1.2 (0.3-2.0)       | 0.52 |
| Eggs (oz equivalents/d)    | 0.4 (0.2-0.4)       | 0.2 (0.0-0.4)       | 0.42 |
| Alcohol (drink/d)          | 0.6 (0.0-0.8)       | 0.6 (0.0-0.3)       | 0.90 |

<sup>1</sup>Values are presented as median (interquartile range); <sup>2</sup>Intake of different nutrients and foods were adjusted for total energy intake using the residual method; <sup>3</sup>Obtained from Mann-Whitney U test. SFA: Saturated fatty acids; MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids; TFA: Trans fatty acids.

Figure 1  Receiver operating characteristic curve for fecal calprotectin concentration in predicting ulcerative colitis relapse. The area under the curve was 0.78 (95%CI: 0.55-1.0). ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.

UC is presented in Figure 1. An FCP concentration of 124 µg/g resulted in a sensitivity of 71.4%, a specificity of 84.6%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 71.4, and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 84.6% in predicting UC clinical relapse.

### Metabolomic analysis

Using the described metabolomic assays, we identified and quantified 216 and 247 metabolites in serum and urine samples, respectively. After conducting univariate analysis, 16 candidate metabolites were candidate for further statistical analysis based on the P value of < 0.1. As presented in Figure 2, UC patients who experienced clinical relapse or stayed in clinical remission during follow-up could be discriminated in different clusters from each other by their metabolomic profile at baseline. Using the permutation testing, we showed that this separation was statistically significant (P = 0.04). The $R^2$ and $Q^2$ of the model was 0.84 and 0.59, respectively. VIP values of six metabolites were above 1.0, showing their important role in the discrimination between metabolomic profiles of the two UC groups. The median (IQR) levels and VIP scores of these...
metabolites are presented in Table 3. In comparison to UC patients who were still in remission during follow-up, those study patients with clinical relapse had significantly higher levels of trans-aconitate (urine), 3-hydroxybutyrate (serum), acetoacetate (serum), acetone (serum), and lower levels of acetamide (urine) and cystine (urine).

**DISCUSSION**

In this small pilot study, we identified potential predictors of clinical relapse in UC patients. We found that a history of higher dietary poultry and maltose intake, and high BMI, body fat mass, and waist circumference at baseline were associated with UC clinical remission during a 12-mo follow-up. Of significant interest, we found that the baseline serum and urinary metabolomic profile of patients who relapsed during follow-up was significantly different from those patients who did not develop a relapse.

The clinical course of UC includes periods of remission and relapse. Although mucosal healing (macroscopic or microscopic) as determined by endoscopic and histologic evaluation is shown to be a strong predictor of long-term remission\[^{11}\], due to the invasive nature of colonoscopy and its burden on the healthcare system there has been considerable interest in identifying non-invasive predictors of disease relapse in UC. However, so far, only a limited number of clinical, lifestyle-related factors or biomarkers have been identified in relation to UC relapse\[^{7-13}\].

Interestingly, we found that baseline serum and urine metabolomic profiles of UC patients who developed UC relapse versus those who did not, were significantly different from each other. Metabolomics which is the science of studying metabolites in the different biological samples, has recently been used in IBD-related research. However, the focus of most previous studies was to identify “biomarkers” in urine, serum, or stool\[^{15,16}\] samples of IBD patients that could discriminate them from non-IBD cohorts. To date, only one study has used a metabolomic approach to find metabolites in relation to risk of clinical relapse in UC patients. In a prospective cohort study, Hisamatsu et al\[^{23}\] measured plasma levels of nineteen amino acids and found that decreased histidine level in plasma free amino acids was associated with increased risk of relapse in UC patients during a one-year follow-up. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first one that used NMR and DI- LC- MS/MS methods to identify metabolites in urine and serum samples that had the potential to predict UC relapse. Although we could identify and quantify specific serum and urine amino acids using NMR, we did not find any statistically significant difference in serum histidine levels between the two UC groups which might be due to sampling from a different population of UC patients or the result of small sample size.

In the present study, higher levels of trans-aconitate (urine), 3-hydroxybutyrate (serum), acetoacetate (serum), and acetone (serum) were found in the patients who relapsed within 12 mo. In a previous study by Stephens et al\[^{24}\], it was reported that urinary trans-aconitate, which is a tricarboxylic acid, was decreased in IBD patients in comparison to non-IBD controls. Previously, 3-hydroxybutyrate (ketone body) was shown to be higher in serum samples of UC\[^{25}\] or IBD\[^{26}\] patients than in controls. Elevated serum levels of acetoacetate (ketone body) were also reported in IBD patients in comparison to controls\[^{26}\]. The large
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increase in concentration of ketone bodies (acetoacetate, acetone and 3-hydroxybutyrate) was previously reported in DSS-induced colitis mice which may reflect the higher demand of the body for energy[27] and changes in cellular energy metabolism that occur in IBD patients[26], in our population even before disease relapse. In addition, we noticed a negative correlation between some of these ketone bodies with BMI which highlights the role of energy-related metabolic alterations before UC relapse (data not shown).

In our study, we also found that relatively lower levels of cystine and acetamide in urine were associated with increased risk of relapse. Cystine is an oxidized dimeric form of cysteine (a semi-essential proteinogenic amino acid). Cystine and cysteine are limiting substrates in the biosynthesis of tripeptide glutathione (GSH), which is known to be the most important intracellular antioxidant. It was shown that low plasma cysteine and cystine levels were associated with decreased mucosal synthesis of GSH, increased oxidative damage, and presence of inflammation in UC and CD patients[28]. In the present study, decreased cystine levels were associated with disease relapse possibly through reduction in GSH synthesis and increased oxidative damage. Acetamide is the amide of acetic acid. It has been shown that acetamide has antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antibiotic functions[29,30]. Acetamide has dietary sources. A significant increase in urinary acetamide level was reported in rats that were fed a diet enriched with sweet potato residue as dietary fibre[31]. In another study, rats on a wheat bran fibre diet had significantly higher urinary acetamide than the control group[32]. Interestingly, in the present study a history of high dietary intake of non-whole grain products, and thus less fibre, was inversely correlated with urinary acetamide levels (data not shown). These findings suggest a role for specific dietary components in the pathophysiology of UC relapse and should be examined in future perspective larger cohort studies and clinical trials.

In the present study, we indicated that FCP at baseline could predict UC clinical relapse. We found that UC patients who had elevated FCP levels at baseline had 4.6 times higher risk of developing clinical relapse during follow-up than patients with low FCP. This finding is in agreement with several previous studies[9,17,21,33].

Our small pilot study indicated that overweight/obesity at baseline was protective for development of clinical relapse. In addition, waist circumference, waist to height ratio, and fat mass was also higher in patients who stayed in remission 12 mo after the initiation of the study. The relationship between body weight and IBD is controversial. Although obesity is associated with a pro-inflammatory state[34] and increased intestinal permeability[35], increased BMI was not related to incidence of UC in EPIC study[36]. In contrast, in a large prospective cohort of US women higher indicators of adiposity were associated with an increased risk of CD, but not UC[37]. In a recent study by Flores et al[38] it was found that obese UC patients were significantly less likely to receive anti-TNF treatment or experience a hospitalization for their UC. The authors concluded that obesity is a marker of less aggressive or less severe UC[38].

Interestingly, a higher intake of poultry and maltose was found to be related to decreased risk of UC clinical relapse in the present study. However, we did not find any association between intake of other macro/micronutrients and development of relapse. So far, there have been only a few prospective cohort studies to investigate the dietary determinants of relapse in IBD patients. In a prospective cohort study by Jowett et al[39], 191 UC patients in remission where followed for one year. The authors reported that consumption of meat, red meat and processed meat, protein, alcohol, sulphur and sulphate were related to increased risk of relapse. However, similar to our pilot study, they did not find any association between consumption of dairy products, fibre, carbohydrate, and fat and increased risk of UC relapse. In another recent study of 489 UC patients, Brotherton et al[39] did not find any association between fibre intake and disease relapse. In another recent study, higher intake of lactose, alpha linolenic fatty acid, and myristic fatty acid were related to increased risk of relapse in UC patients[40]. In comparison to red meat, poultry has less saturated fat and heme iron, both being inducers of oxidative stress and DNA damage[41]. In addition, poultry consumption was shown to be inversely related to inflammation[42] and is suggested to be a healthier source of animal protein than red meat[43].

We also found maltose consumption decreases risk of UC relapse. Maltose is a disaccharide derived from two units of glucose and is found largely in vegetables, fruits and grains. There are scarce data on the beneficial effects of maltose intake for human health in comparison to other types of sugars. However, maltose is among the preferred carbohydrate energy sources for specific colonic bacteria that have beneficial properties[44,45]. It should also be mentioned that in the present study we also observed a positive correlation between maltose intake and total grain and whole grain intake (data not shown) which suggests that the main source of maltose in our patients could have been grain or grain products. Although fruit and whole grain intake in our study was numerically higher at baseline in patients with clinical remission than patients who developed a UC clinical relapse, this difference was not statistically significant.

Age, gender, UC subtype, UC medication, age at diagnosis, and months since last clinical relapse were not related to UC clinical relapse over a 12-mo period in our study. Similarly, Zenlea et al[46] did not find any relationship between age, gender, type of medication, and increased risk of UC relapse. Also, median duration of remission before study and disease extent was not related to increased risk of UC relapse in another
prospective cohort study by Bessisow et al\(^\text{47}\) which is in agreement with our findings. However, younger age, shorter duration of remission before study, and greater number of prior relapses were associated with earlier time to relapse in\(^\text{17}\). In addition, relapse was more frequent in females during a 5-year follow-up in IBSEN study\(^\text{48}\). Our finding of no relationship between smoking status and UC relapse was also shown in previous studies\(^\text{7,46-48}\). However, Höie et al\(^\text{49}\) showed that UC patients who were current smokers had a lower relapse rate than nonsmokers during a 10-year follow-up.

Although in our pilot prospective cohort study we tried to investigate several potential contributors of relapse in UC patients which makes the findings valuable, our study has several limitations as well. The major limitation of the study is the small sample size. Thus, for several parameters between two groups of patients our study did not have the enough statistical power to detect true differences. Due to this limitation, we could not perform more complex statistical analysis (e.g., comparison of relapse between tertiles of dietary intake for each nutrient and adjusting for several confounding variables). In addition, since we did not correct our analyses for multiple testing, some of the findings in this study might have been “false positive” findings which needs to be considered before interpreting our results. However, despite this limitation, the separate clusters with significant difference between urinary and serum metabolomics as a predictor for clinical relapse versus remission remains striking. In addition, using a FFQ to assess dietary intake during the past 12 mo is subject to recall bias which is another limitation of this epidemiological study. However, we have tried to overcome this limitation by using a validated tool which has been shown to assess long-term dietary intake among adult population in several settings. Since this project is considered to be a pilot study, we believe that these findings should be regarded as hypothesis-generating findings, deserving further evaluation in future studies.

In conclusion, we identified several metabolites, as well as dietary parameters that are related to the development of clinical relapse in UC patients within 12 mo. Due to the importance of this topic in the management of UC patients, we suggest that further well-designed prospective cohort studies studying these parameters with larger sample size should be performed.
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