COVID-19 Preoperative Assessment and Testing: From Surge to Recovery
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The COVID-19 pandemic and post-surge planning highlights the significance of preoperative optimization in a way previously unrecognized in the perioperative period. Published protocols have largely emphasized planning for the intraoperative period with less attention afforded to reporting the design, implementation, and scaling of preoperative considerations and testing for long-term safety strategy. We present 2 transformative and sustained changes to our preoperative assessment process initiated during the COVID-19 surge that were pivotal to our success in resuming elective surgeries. These preoperative interventions are the “overnight” transition to 100% virtual clinic appointments and the widespread implementation of multiple COVID-19 testing pathways. The improvements were accomplished by redeployment of preoperative clinic staff to a work-at-home model, moving to preoperative video visits, incorporating universal COVID-19 screening, and the development and refinement of previously non-existent preoperative respiratory pathogen testing protocols.

In the post-COVID pandemic era, federal, state, and county regulators and national medical societies have established principles to resuming elective surgery. These center around evaluation of timing of reopening based on local cases, availability of COVID-19 testing in the facility, supply of personal protective equipment (PPE), and prioritization of cases and scheduling.1,4 When the California ban on elective surgery was lifted on April 23, 2020, Stanford Health Care (SHC) and Lucille Packard Children’s Hospital had already drafted preliminary plans for resuming elective procedures. Our health system’s thoughtful and preemptive perioperative preparation was based on rapid iterations from multidisciplinary teams that had commenced a month earlier when we ceased performing elective cases in mid-March.
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preoperative COVID-19 testing. The second hurdle was to coordinate testing at multiple drive-through sites in the 3-day window before surgery, to minimize exposure to patients and staff and to ensure the tests were resulted before surgery. Third was the challenge of rapidly iterating the protocols to a dynamic situation and communicating evolving changes effectively to the clinical team. Finally, before the shelter-in-place mandate, only selected groups of patients scheduled for surgery underwent advanced preoperative evaluation before sedation and anesthesia. The COVID-19 pandemic revealed the need for establishing consistent standards for preoperative assessment of any patient undergoing sedation and anesthesia. As a result, all patients, regardless of anesthesia or sedation class, were evaluated virtually by the APEC staff, which also allowed for universal COVID-19 symptom screening.
A pilot program was conducted where preoperative testing was performed for all high-risk patients for aerosol production with consensus approval from department chairs and perioperative leadership. Guiding principles for preoperative screening were developed, stratifying the high-risk patients (Fig. 1B), including those having aerodigestive procedures, thoracic surgeries, otolaryngology, and head and neck procedures. Patients undergoing surgeries deemed to pose a higher risk of transmission due to prolonged intraoperative exposure of aerosol with the use of powered instrumentation in the airway received dual testing. Same-day testing was offered to...
1. Created APEC team for scheduling and communications with patients and staff

2. Developed staff training materials regarding current guidelines and protocols

3. Prioritized preprocedural specimens to ensure overnight turnaround times at drive through sites

4. Deployed rapid testing workflow on day of surgery at ambulatory surgery sites

5. Added routine and rapid COVID-19 testing to preoperative orders sets, including new default options appropriate for testing asymptomatic pre-procedural patient

6. Displayed status of preoperative COVID-19 testing on OR dashboard

7. Standardized scheduling templates at all drive-through sites to facilitate scheduling testing up to one month ahead of time

8. Patients and APEC staff given access to schedule drive-through appointments.

9. Coordinated with other health care organizations to share testing results allowing patient to be tested in their own communities when possible
patients undergoing urgent procedures and outpatients where geographic or physical limitations precluded testing before the day of surgery. All inpatients would undergo testing before any procedures needing monitored anesthesia care, given the possible need for bag-mask ventilation.

During the pilot, results from the “routine” (RT-PCR) test were obtained within 24 to 48 hours, compared to 2 to 6 hours for the “rapid” (Cepheid, Xpert) test. At the end of the 2-week pilot, we were able to offer widespread testing availability and a turnaround time of 12 hours for routine tests and <2 hours for the rapid test. We quickly developed multiple preoperative pathways for outpatients, inpatients, day of surgery, and low-risk procedures with moderate sedation (Fig. 1C-F). Two key decision nodes in the protocols were deciding when to order routine versus rapid tests and whether the results were needed before surgery based on the infectivity risk and procedure urgency.

Protocol for COVID-19 Preoperative Testing of Outpatients

The APEC staff coordinated the outpatient pathway through virtual visits with the patients, communication with the drive-through testing sites, and follow-up with the virology laboratory for all test results (Fig. 1C). If the screening was positive, APEC staff ordered a COVID-19 test. If the patient was symptomatic or had a positive test, surgery was postponed, and the patient was referred to their primary care physician. Depending on test results, the patient proceeded with the protocol based on risk of infectivity and type of hospital stay post-procedure. If patients were unable to complete drive-through testing due to a physical or geographic limitation or hardship, then day of surgery testing was scheduled. Asymptomatic outpatients presenting for low-risk procedures with nurse administered moderate sedation were not tested unless they had a positive screening (Fig. 1D).

Protocol for COVID-19 Preoperative Testing of Inpatients

In our inpatient pathway (Fig. 1E), all patients were tested before procedures. Although patients undergoing emergent and urgent cases received the same day test, procedures were not delayed for test results, and they proceeded with appropriate PPE and precautions. At the time of the pilot, we had a limited supply of Cepheid tests used on our same day pathway, and procedures that could wait 24 hours had routine tests sent. All other inpatient procedures received a same day test.

Post-Surge Preoperative Assessment and Testing Workflow Stabilization

As we transitioned to post-surge planning, we continued to adapt and optimize our COVID-19 preoperative pathways. New
We anticipate the unparallelled emergence of COVID-19 will alter the future of perioperative medicine, with increased emphases on preoperative virtual visits and screening and testing pathways for COVID-19 and other possible viral pathogens. Before post-surge planning, preoperative reports were limited to recommending fever screening and using PPE for in-person preoperative visits. Recent medical specialty society recommendations have proposed universal symptom screening and further evaluation and testing based on a population risk assessment. Our multidisciplinary IP team rapidly implemented and expanded an innovative preoperative telehealth platform and widespread testing pathways using an accelerated plan-do-study-act (PDSA) model. Robust preoperative viral respiratory pathogen testing was previously non-existent. Our experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic represent the evolving changes with technology and the strong impetus to develop pragmatic preoperative testing pathways and virtual assessment to provide protection to patients, health care workers, and the community.

Several barriers and lessons emerged during implementation. Earlier challenges to video visit adoption became more evident including enrolling patients into our electronic health portal, patients’ lack of adequate internet access or usable electronic devices, and access to interpreter services. Patients previously deemed too complex for virtual visits now had no in-person option for preoperative assessment. Vital signs such as blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and heart rate were rarely assessed during the virtual visit. Consistent with published studies on telehealth visits, our patient experience surveys showed strong acceptance for virtual preoperative appointments.

Challenges with preoperative testing included resolving demographic and financial hardship in accessing testing sites, increasing access to tests performed outside of SHC, and assessing patient requests for day of surgery rapid testing exceptions. The inability to test certain patients, either due to patient refusal or other contraindication, poses ethical concerns. Clinician agreement on the appropriate preoperative testing windows continues to evolve as testing availability and accuracy improves, best-practice patterns emerge from increased preoperative testing, and as our local prevalence changes over time. Additional considerations in the post-surge COVID-19 era include increasing access to telehealth and testing in underserved areas or for vulnerable patient populations, assessing efficacy of telehealth versus in-person evaluations on clinical outcomes, establishing sustainable reimbursement models for virtual health visits, and expanding options to obtain reliable remote physical examination and vital signs.

Our multipronged approach led to successful and widespread implementation at our health system with universal preoperative virtual assessments and accessible COVID-19 testing for all patients presenting for any procedural intervention. These protocols may provide guidance when determining emerging best practice COVID-19 pathways for preoperative optimization during the post-surge era while ensuring patient and health care provider safety.
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