Characteristics of Neisseria Species Colonized in the Human’s Nasopharynx
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Abstract

Context: Neisseria meningitidis is the causative agent of a life-threatening infection with high mortality and morbidity worldwide. The most common types of this bacterium are serogroups A, B, C, W135, X, and Y. Although in some countries, such as Iran, the meningococcal meningitis has been well monitored and controlled by the use of divalent and quadrivalent vaccines, other fatal infections caused by these bacteria are still an important threat. For the above reason, this review focused on the differences of Neisseria characteristics, particularly in capsular composition, pathogenic and commensal stages to a better understanding of how to manage Neisseria infections.

Evidence Acquisition: In this review, PubMed, EMBASE, ScienceDirect, Scopus, and Google Scholar were searched for English-language publications on pathogenic or commensal strains of Neisseria, meningococcal disease, Neisseria biology, genetic diversity, molecular typing, serogroups, diagnostic, and epidemiology around the world up to July 2019. All articles and academic reports in the defined area of this research were considered too. The data were extracted and descriptively discussed.

Results: We included 85 studies in the survey. The data analysis revealed that the distribution of meningococcal serogroups was different regionally. For example, the serogroups C and W-135 accounted for Africa and Latin America regions, serogroup B in the European countries, and rarely in the Western Pacific, and serogroups A and C were dominant in Asian countries. Although data set for laboratory-based diagnosis of N. meningitidis are available for all countries, only 30% of the countries rely on reference laboratories for serogroup determination, and more than half of the countries lack the ability of surveillance system. Nevertheless, molecular detection procedure is also available for all countries. The use of the meningococcal vaccine is a variable country by country, but most countries have applied the meningococcal vaccine, either divalent or quadrivalent, for the protection of high-risk groups.

Conclusions: Owing to the geographical distribution of N. meningitidis serogroups in circulating, each country has to monitor for changes in serogroups diversity and its control management. Furthermore, laboratories should scale up the epidemiology and disease burden. It should be mentioned that quadrivalent meningococcal vaccines reduce the meningococcal disease burden sharply.
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1. Context

One of the most important agents of the human’s oral microbiome is Neisseria spp., which may cause infectious diseases globally (1). Although immunization programs have been introduced worldwide, in order to maintain surveillance and a better understanding of the changing nature of disease pertaining to Neisseria population would be crucial for identifying disease control (2). In addition, extensive efforts have been made to design an effective vaccine against Neisseria disease, and several trials have been reported regarding this issue (3), but their results have revealed new challenges of which inadequate epidemiologic information, especially in developing countries. Commensal Neisseria strains colonized in the nasopharynx, species diversity, and circulating serogroups in some areas highly necessitate enhancing surveillance systems for Neisseria differentiation with more efficiency and precision (4). However, a few studies have mentioned the role of commensal Neisseria strains in some diseases.

The two most important pathogenic Neisseria species are the Neisseria gonorrhoea and N. meningitidis. Meanwhile, many Neisseria species are commensal. Neisseria is the resident of the human’s nasopharynx (5). The commensal Neisseria, including N. mucosa, N. cinerea, N. lactamica, N. polysaccharea, N. subflava, N. sicca, N. mucosa, N. flavescens, and N. elongata are not well-known yet. It may be due to the lack of accessible research detailed as well as the unpublished information. Plenty of research has been reported on the isolation and determination of Neisseria serotypes.
This review aimed to determine the characteristics of the Neisseria microbiome both in the healthy and disease condition.

2. Characteristics of Neisseria genus

Neisseria members are Gram-negative diplococci, which reside in the mucous membranes of man and animals (8). All Neisseria species are aerobic, chemoorganotrophic, and positive oxidase and catalase, as well as have the potential for carbohydrate fermentation. Some strains of N. elongate are catalase-negative (9). The oxidation-fermentation of carbohydrates, which is the main mechanism for utilization sugars by Neisseria species, is the hallmark diagnostic feature (Table 1). Some Neisseria species can utilize carbohydrates such as glucose, maltose, lactose, sucrose, and fructose (10). Other differential characteristics are shown in Table 1. The cultivation, isolation, and differentiation of colonized species in the nasopharynx of patients and healthy carriers are of great importance. Neisseria’s fimbriae (pili) and other surface antigens are associated with attachment, twitching motility, and genetic competence of the bacterium (11). Pathogenic Neisseria strains nutritionally are fastidious and grow at 35 - 37°C. Some species are capsule producers, and others produce a greenish-yellow pigment (12). Although the role of the commensal Neisseria species in the nasopharynx is not well understood yet, opportunistic members of the Neisseria genus were characterized (13).

3. Growth Inquiry

All Neisseria species grow well in the atmosphere containing 5% - 10% carbon dioxide and the incubation temperature of 35 - 37°C and pH of 7 - 7.5; however, pathogenic Neisseria species do not grow at 22°C. In addition, Neisseria species may have complex growth requirements such as five amino acids and two vitamins; however, some require glucose and lactate, or both glucose and lactate for optimal growth (14). Some species may produce large amounts of ammonia during their growth in selective media, which will interfere with the detection of acid production from sugars such as glucose (15). For many years, researchers have stated that the Neisseria strains can grow on media containing mineral salts, lactate, and amino acids (16). In some cases, cysteine is required by less than 10% of the strains (17). For example, the N. gonorrhoea as a fastidious organism could not grow in the absence of glucose, pyruvate, or lactate (18). Furthermore, essential factors such as cysteine, glutamine, and carboxylase are required for primary isolation of gonococcal strains (19). Growth factor requirements for differentiating gonococcal strains are a useful tool for epidemiological studies (20). So design new protocols may be helpful for the identification of new diversities in this bacterium.

4. Laboratory Diagnosis

4.1. Conventional Identification

Over the past fifty years, the diagnostic methods of Neisseria have developed. The phenotypic and biochemical observation (Gram staining of urethral specimens is accurate only for symptomatic males), oxidase and catalase test, as well as carbohydrate utilization, will be decisive. In recent years, the gonococcal nucleic acid amplification tests, which have their own sensitivity and specificity compared with the value of bacterial cultures, are inevitable in the diagnosis of N. gonorrhoea as the gold standard tests (21). However, more than 25% of infected patients with N. gonorrhoea strains have missed in current culture-dependent surveillance. It may be due to non-cultivable forms of bacteria, which help the dissemination of multidrug-resistant N. gonorrhoea strains. However, the genomes of Neisseria species have been completely sequenced (22), and several determinants of biochemical reaction and enzymatic properties have been suggested for detection, prevention, and vaccine candidates (23). High homology has been reported between genes that are encoded by N. gonorrhoea and N. meningitides in the past several decades. For example, mechanisms of iron acquisition and chromosomal regions shared by N. meningitidis and N. gonorrhoea involved in the host interaction of both pathogens are expressing in more than 90% of genes (24).

4.2. Molecular Diagnosis

A few targeted genes have been proposed for the detection of Neisseria pathogenic species (25). Nucleic acid hybridization assay based on the pilin gene of N. gonorrhoea has reported, and the results of different studies revealed that the probes APTIMA Combo 2 and APTIMA for N. gonorrhoea had shown 100% specificity and 100% sensitivity for gonococcal and nongonococcal Neisseria species, respectively (26). However, molecular studies, based on 16S RNA sequence analysis and DNA hybridization technique, have revealed similarities between some species of Eikenella and Simonsiella genera with Neisseria genus and vice versa (27). Another protocol for Branhamella catarrhalis (formerly Neisseria catarrhalis) and Moraxella genus have been assigned well (28). Despite these, 16S RNA sequence homology analysis has been used for the diagnosis of Neisseria species. The great problem for this approach is the method that cannot be used for monitoring antibiotic resistance and MIC determinant of the identified pathogens.
4.3. Bacteriological Assay

Some essential precautions have to be considered. Collecting and processing the specimens are crucial, e.g., *N. gonorrhea* can be readily isolated from genital specimens. As recommended in Table 1, all genital, rectal, and pharyngeal specimens must be inoculated on both selective and non-selective media because of interferences with commensal *Neisseria* species, which normally reside in mucosal surfaces. A non-selective medium should be used because some gonococcal strains are inhibited by the different antibacterial agents such as vancomycin present in selective media. The organisms may be inhibited by the fatty acids and trace elements present in the peptone hydrolysates and agar in laboratory media (29). Thus, it is necessary to use Muller Hinton agar as a base medium and then add growth enrichment materials to cultivate and isolate the gonococcus. The results of a research suggested that gonococcus is more sensitive than the meningococcal bacterium. Therefore, gonococci could die rapidly if the specimens are allowed to dry; thus, dry and cold temperatures should be avoided by directly inoculating the specimen on to pre-warmed media at the time of sample collection. Furthermore, failure to perform the isolation and antibiotic susceptibility testing of gonococcal species may lead to the development of antibiotic resistance and consequently, children and even adults’ eye infection and blindness (30).

5. Pathogenicity and Spectrum of Diseases

During the last two centuries, *N. meningitidis*, the causative of meningitis and meningococcemia with rapid death and consequent disabilities, has feared human populations (31). However, this bacterium has been recognized as a commensal organism found in human’s mucous membrane surfaces. Therefore, the pathogenesis study of human commensal *Neisseria* represents important data, especially in meningococcal carriage (12). In recent years, researches have revealed that the spectrum of diseases caused by *N. meningitidis* has been raised sharply. Thus, pieces of evidence for meningococcal myogenic squamous ranges have increased. Because *N. meningitidis* is involved in the invasive pyomyositis (PM) (32), meningococcal sepsis, and septic arthritis in HIV-positive patients. In addition, septic shock, pneumonia, and fulminant purpura have been reported in adults with complement deficiency (33).

On the other hand, Gonococci attach to mucous membrane and penetrate and multiply into the cells, then pass through the subepithelial cells, where the infection is established (34). Pili, PorB, and Opa proteins mediate the attachment and penetration into the host cells (35). The gonococcal LOS stimulates the release of the pro-inflammatory cytokines, i.e., tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α), which causes most of the symptoms associated with gonococcal diseases (36). The IgG3 is the predominant antibody formed in response to gonococcal infection, while the antibody to PorB and serum antibodies to pilin, Opa protein, and LOS were reported at a minimal level. Furthermore, antibodies to LOS can activate complement, thereby releasing complement component C5a, which has a chemotactic effect on neutrophils. However, IgG and secretory IgA1 antibodies directed against Rmp protein can block this bactericidal antibody response (37).

6. *Neisseria meningitidis*: Pathogenesis and Immunity

Cellular microbiology, genomics, and immunological studies have shed light on the pathogenic mechanisms...
and new prophylactic interventions (38). Recently, researchers have analyzed a gene library consisting of 2,850 insertion mutants of *N. meningitidis* in a rat model to show the essential step in the pathogenesis of *N. meningitidis*. They constructed and modified Neisseria genomic DNA by components of Tn10 transposon and revealed that out of 73 genes in the *N. meningitidis* genome only eight insertion components are essential for bacterial pathogenesis (39). However, these findings provide new insights for discussion of the meningococcal infection’s mechanisms. It has been now clarified that *N. meningitidis* has evolved a number of surface structural determinants (Figure 1), which mediate host cells’ interactions, as well as inhibiting the immune system and complement-mediated killing of bacteria (40, 41).

In addition to the above surface antigens, a lot of other outer membrane antigenic determinants exist, which were characterized during the molecular epidemiology and functional genomics studies by “omics” technologies in carriages and invasive isolated strains. However, it is important to implement laboratory animal models (in vivo) and in vitro studies for the study of mucosal and systemic interactions (42). Nasopharyngeal tissue organ studies have shown that the role of a meningococcal capsule interferes with epithelial cell attachment. It resulted in the enhanced ability of bacteria to penetrate into the host cells and release into the airways; thus, the person-to-person spread of the disease potentially has increased (43).

Meningococcal disease occurs in patients who lack specific antibodies directed against the polysaccharide capsule and other bacterial antigens. In addition, the central nervous system (CNS) is very susceptible to microorganisms such as *N. meningitidis*, which can activate polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMCs) and is transferred to the subarachnoid space; subsequently, initiates an inflammatory response and spreads to the brain tissue (44).

Based on available data, the immune responses can be stimulated by the colonization of *N. meningitidis*. The antigenic components of none capsulated Neisseria species and *Escherichia coli* K1 can cross-react with the group B capsular polysaccharide. The analysis of cross-reaction of different Gram-negative bacteria, including Neisseria, indicated that some common proteins with a range of 60 - 75 KDa and proteins p100, p41, Ospa, as well as pC, have cross-reacted with immune sera against those bacteria (45). One of the outer membrane proteins is FeRPs70, which is strongly immunogenic and cross-react with different strains of bacteria (46).

These data demonstrated new, promising approaches to design and develop a wide-range vaccine; however, further investigation is needed to confirm these data. However, it is important to know that each *N. meningitidis* serogroup contains several serotypes. The hallmark characteristic of *N. meningitidis* serogroup is antigenic polysaccharide capsules. While the serotypes of other serogroups are characterized by antigenic properties of the outer surface membrane proteins. However, there are several reports on the cross-reactivity between *N. meningitidis* and *N. lactamica* (47). The relationship between serotypes and clonality of them remained unknown. For example, Lipooligosaccharides (LOS) of the eight immunotypes found in the serogroup B of Neisseria meningitidis, have similar cross-reactivity with *N. meningitidis* M986 (immunotype 7 epitope), a strain used for the production of a serotype 2a vaccine (48), which has no protective effects on the disease caused by serogroup B. In this regard, further advanced molecular studies are needed.

7. *Neisseria* Adhesion as Attachment Tools

A few *Neisseria* adhesion molecules have a crucial role in the survival of the bacterium in the blood. For example, the Opa and PorB as mannose-binding lectin (MBL) have caused a decrease in fixing the complement system and blocking the bacterial killing (49). Models of human dermal microvessel studies showed that the Pil, Opa, and Opc proteins are the most important adhesion molecules for the pathogenesis of *Neisseria* (50). A few *Neisseria* adhesion molecules and their host cell’s receptors are illustrated in Figure 2. Glycemic research results have suggested that *N. meningitidis* strains express a range of surface glycosylate components, including polysaccharide, lipooligosaccharide, and O-linked glycoproteins, While *N. gonorrhoea* does not have the same antigens. In addition, the *N. gonorrhoea*, as a scavenger of host sialic acids, play a key role in the serum resistance and survival in the host anyway (51).

A study revealed that the complexity of outer membrane heterodimer leads to the provocation of signaling pathways of actin polymerization and accumulation of ezrin, which are crucial for *N. meningitidis* colonizing in blood vessels as well as G protein receptor activation (33). Another study revealed human glycan as an important target (Figure 2) for interactions with bacteria such as *N. meningitidis*, which binds to the host and may occur meningococcal infection and colonization (54). Thus, the meningococcal surface proteins and/or glycans that are responsible for all interactions can potentially be targeted for the development of novel antibiotics or vaccines to prevent meningococcal diseases.

Furthermore, the bacterial outer membrane antigens as auto transporter and secretion systems have critical roles in host-pathogen interactions, evasion from immunity, activation of iron-binding proteins of the host’s cell, prevention of complement activation, neutralization of
Figure 1. Antigens on the outer membrane of Neisseria meningitidis are shown. In this figure, the outer membrane proteins of N. meningitides, which interact with complement components, have illustrated. LOS (lipooligosaccharide); NalP (Neisseria autotransporter serine protease); porA (porin A); fhbp (factor heparin-binding protein); NspA (Neisseria surface protein A); porB2 (porin B); NHBA (Neisseria I heparin-binding antigen) (41).

Figure 2. A; The Neisseria meningitidis surface antigens and their interaction with host epithelial cells are shown. Based on available data, these structures are absent in gonococci, whereas OmpA is specific for this organism. B; shows Neisseria Opa and Opc proteins involved in binding of the ligands. It must be mentioned that Opa proteins are expressed by meningococci and gonococci equally, while Opc is only expressed by meningococcal strains (52).

antimicrobial peptides, degradation of immunoglobulins, permeabilization of epithelial layers, the formation of biofilms and even the suppression of the same ecological niche (55). Numerous encoding genes of Neisseria surface antigens have been identified (Figure 2). For example, in the pathogenic Neisseria strains, more than 20 genes are involved in the biology and function of the Type IV pilus (Tfp, RpoD) and the integration host factor (IHF) proteins (52).
8. Capsular Polysaccharides and Serotyping

The *N. meningitidis* strains can produce polysaccharide capsules and exopolysaccharides (Table 2) with immunogenic properties and as a tool associated with pathogenicity, virulence, and typing of the bacterial strains, while *N. gonorrhoea* strains cannot produce immunogenic polysaccharide capsules. However, the capsule production studies suggested that *N. gonorrhoea* strains may express unusual capsule layer, such as polyphosphate, which may be involved in *N. gonorrhoea* infection but their prevention has not been demonstrated yet (56).

Instead, *N. meningitidis* expresses and produces polysaccharide capsules, which have shown major antigenic differences and have provided an essential tool for serogrouping of *N. meningitidis* strains. There are 13 serogroups of *N. meningitidis*, which are alphabetically named as follows: A, B, C, D, E-29, H, I, K, L, W-135, X, X, and Y, six serogroups out of which mostly cause fatal diseases; these serogroups are A, B, C, W-135, and Y (63). The essential characterizations of *N. meningitidis* serogroups’ exopolysaccharides are presented in Table 2; however, the crucial point for meningococcal meningitides disease by serogroups A, B, C, W, X, Y is the reliance on the overexpression of exopolysaccharides and switching to the acetylation by which evades from the immune system and enhances the pathogenicity (64).

An important question is, why only 6 out of 13 *N. meningitidis* serogroups can produce immunogenic and protective capsules. Although the answer to the question is not clear; likely, the addition of the residual acetyl groups to the capsular polysaccharide could increase immunogenic properties. While other serogroups and *Neisseria* commensal strains lack this ability as well as different capsule compositions (Table 2). So, because the acetylated component is more common in different strains, it results in cross-reactivity and enhances protective properties (65). The results of researches have indicated that biochemistry and genetics of group B polysaccharide capsules are also different.

Based on bacterial genome sequences, 24 invasive strains of *N. meningitidis* serogroup C from Brazil were mapped, and enzyme-encoding gene studies for the synthesis of meningococcal polysaccharide capsule showed that these genes are located on pathogenicity islands and organized as an operon (66). Furthermore, the whole genome sequencing (WGS) method leads to advances in the detection of isolated *N. meningitidis* strains (67).

Other research results suggested that the capsular manufacturing unit of *N. meningitidis* may relatively be similar to nonpathogenic *Neisseria* species, including *N. polysacchareae*, *N. canis*, *N. cinerea*, and *N. subflava*. They all have possessed the enzymes that can synthesize extracellular polysaccharides, which are glycogen-like when growing on a medium containing 1-5% sucrose (68). The components and types of capsule constituent of the different Neisseria strain are shown in Table 2.

9. State of *Neisseria* Carriage

Genomic study of *Neisseria* strains showed relatively a type II-C CRISPR/Cas system similarity and, thus, invasiveness lineages in comparison to knock-out strains, which lack the Cas9 protein (69). Although the commensal species have not the ability to damage the host, recent researches indicated that the pathogenic serogroups are different from the commensal species, which may be dependent on the site of colonization and adaptive immunity (70). This is established for healthy people. Likewise, the commensal *Neisseria* strains can cause meningococcal infection in people with immune deficiencies, especially in complement disorders and or with low levels of personal hygiene.

To date, it is not fully understood why the use of new biological drugs provokes the pathogenesis of some commensal *Neisseria* strains. Up to now, seven cases of disease from commensal *Neisseria* species in Eculizumab recipients have reported (71). *Neisseria* microbiome studies have shown that the people carried *N. lactamica*, the amount of meningococcal carriage has reduced. An experimental study showed that the modified strains of *N. lactamica* was able to express the meningococcal antigen NadA, which their potential pathogenicity was similar to *N. meningitides* (72). In recent years, the encoded capsular genes were identified in non-pathogenic *Neisseria* species, including *N. subflava* and *N. elongate*. These species were homologous to those of *N. meningitidis*, as well as the novel synthetic capsule exopolysaccharides (73).

10. Molecular Typing

In recent years, the WGS method was reported for molecular surveillance of bacteria. This new method for the identification of gene variations has been considered (74). The WGS has performed by using the Illumina MiSeq platform, and data were processed by the Velvet software so that detailed characteristics of *N. meningitidis* isolates are provided for researchers. However, they believed that high-quality WGS data made it possible to identify gene diversity that could not be recognized by conventional sequencing methods (75). The most accurate information on the genetic diversity of isolates was obtained by the comparison of WGS data with conventional sequencing data.
Table 2. The Basic Structural and Major Chemical Compositions of *Neisseria meningitidis* and other *Neisseria* Species Polysaccharide Capsule

| Neisseria Species | Serogroups | Basic Structural Units and Their Ligands | Acetylation | Ref |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-----|
| *N. meningitidis* | Serogroup A | ManNac(1→6)-3-OAc | + | (57) |
| | Serogroup B | NeuNac(2→8) | - | (58) |
| | Serogroup C | NeuNac(2→9), 7-OAc & 8-OAc | + | |
| | Serogroup H | →4α-D-Gal(1→2-Gro(3→40-OAc) | + | (59) |
| | Serogroup I | →4α-L-GalNAcA(1→3)β-D-ManNacA(1→40-OAc) | - | |
| | Serogroup K | →3→3β-D-ManNacA(1→4)β-D-ManNacA(1→40-OAc) | + | (60) |
| | Serogroup L | →3→3β-D-GlcNAc(1→3)β-D-GlcNAc(1→3)α-D-GlcNAc(1→40-OAc) | - | (60) |
| | Serogroup W-135 | 6-D-Glc(1→4)-NeuNac(2→6) | + | |
| | Serogroup X | GlcNAc(1→4)- | + | (60) |
| | Serogroup Y | D-Glc(1→4)-NeuNac(3→p→4) and OAc | + | |
| | Serogroup Z | D-GalNac(1→3)-Gro(3→p→4) | - | |
| | Serogroup E-29 | D-GalNac(1→3)-KDO(2→3)-4 and 5-OAc | + | (62) |
| *N. gonorrhoea* | Not detected yet | Not detected yet | ND | ND |
| *N. lactamica* | Not detected yet | Antigenically similar to capsular polysaccharide of *N. meningitidis* serogroup B | ND | ND |
| *N. polysaccharea* | Not detected yet | Glycogen by branches, 1,4-linked to α-D-glucopyranosyl residues; and about 6% 4,6-di-O-substituted α-D-glucopyranosyl branch point | ND | ND |
| *N. Sicca* | Not detected yet | Polymer of GalNAc | ND | ND |
| *N. subflava* | Not detected yet | Similar to *N. polysaccharea* | ND | ND |

Abbreviation: ND, not detected

Thus, the WGS method showed higher potential for accurately determining the genetic characteristics of *N. meningitidis* that may be desirable as a routine molecular method to detect invasive meningococcal disease (76). In addition, the genome assembly of an invasive *N. meningitidis* (IMD) isolate revealed the usefulness of this method. In fact, WGS can also be used for not only the diagnosis but also epidemiological and antibiotic resistance surveillance of IMD (77). Therefore, this method seems to be applied to microbiological studies for the future and may be replaced with other existing methods. It should be mentioned that a few countries had made more scientific databases than ever before and asserted their sovereignty around the world.

11. Epidemiology

There is no comprehensive epidemiological study covering worldwide of pathogenic *Neisseria* species. While regional epidemiological information is abundant. For example, the data of outbreaks was stratified by geographical region by the World Health Organization (WHO). The major outbreaks identified were in the United States (41/83) and then in the European region (30/83). While in the Western Pacific, Eastern Mediterranean, and South-East Asian regions, there were < 10 outbreaks reported. The predominant serogroups in the majority of outbreaks were serogroup C (61%), followed by serogroup B (29%), serogroup A (5%), and serogroup W-135 (4%) (78). Currently, the epidemiological studies of meningococcal meningitis in the Islamic Republic of Iran over the past 10 years indicate that the disease subsides over the age of 15 years and is under control (79). However, *N. meningitidis* is the leading global cause of meningitis and rapidly fatal sepsis.

A recent report indicates that serogroups B and C are the predominant serogroups among meningococci causing invasive diseases in Italy from 2013 to 2016. Moreover,
an increase in serogroup W-135 of N. meningitidis (MenW) was observed, which showed co-circulation of the Hajj pilgrims and the South American sublineages, belonging to MenW/clonal complex and might gradually surpass in Italy (80). However, continued surveillance supported by genomic characterization and pathogen dissemination and the detection of epidemic-associated strains would be crucial for control of the meningococcal diseases.

12. Other Meningeal Diseases

Recently, a significant increase in meningococcal urethritis primarily among active heterosexual men has reported in the United States. The causative clone of the bacterium was a unique none-encapsulated of N. meningitidis, which belonged to the cci1/ET-15 hyperinvasive lineage (81). The new emergence of meningococcal disease has been reported in both developed and developing countries; thus, the absence of a universal vaccine covering all pathogenic strain necessities further investigations (82). However, antibiotic eradication of N. meningitidis in healthy carriers is ineffective. Thus, the prevention of disease has focused on the enhancement of immunity by the use of vaccines directed against the serogroups most commonly associated with disease (83).

Two quadrivalent vaccines (a polysaccharide vaccine and a polysaccharide-protein conjugate vaccine) were effective against A, C, Y, and W-135 serogroups. Which currently licensed in the United States (84). The latter is recommended for all adolescents aged 11 or 12 years, and a booster should be given at age 16. Other at-risk adults increased for meningococcal disease should be vaccinated with aivalent or quadrivalent vaccine. It is reported that the serogroup B polysaccharide is a weak immunogenic and is antigenically related to a polysaccharide in human neurologic tissues (85). Efforts are ongoing to develop the serogroup B protein vaccines. However, a new meningococcal A conjugate vaccine has been recently demonstrated in some Africa regions, and it planned that by 2016 all 26 African meningitis belt countries will be provided a new meningococcal A conjugate vaccine.
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