College Students' Perception on College Quality of Life: A Study on Constructivist-Grounded Theory
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ABSTRACT
This study analyzes the dynamics of student life in Jakarta based on a constructivist grounded theory approach. Measurements are made by testing the aspects of the variable construct of college quality of life or college life for students. This study identified several themes whose main purpose was to assess aspects of college life's dynamics to students in Jakarta. Data collection was done by using a convenience sampling technique. This type of sampling is non-probability sampling. This sampling technique is based on the availability of available participants. The selection of this sampling technique is based on considerations of the characteristics of the general population, the efficiency of time and energy in conducting research. The research was conducted on 213 students in Jakarta. Data collection was carried out in February 2020. The results showed that the college life quality of college students in Jakarta included six themes. Thus, the quality of college life is related to various things that are about scientific and academic knowledge and contained social aspects of student college life.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Every human being is obliged and has the right to receive an education because a person obtains knowledge [1]. Law of the Republic of Indonesia No. 20 of 2003 concerning the Education System ("Government of the Republic of Indonesia", 2003) explains that education is a conscious and planned effort to create an atmosphere of learning and the learning process so that students actively develop their potential to have religious-spiritual strength, self-control, personality, intelligence, noble character, and skills needed for themselves, society, nation and state. The level of formal education in Indonesia consists of primary education, secondary education, and higher education. Before discussing constructs and measures of quality of life in higher education on campus, it is necessary to distinguish between three types of studies involving students and quality of life: (a) studies examining the relationship between student quality of life and factors such as personality, health, and the environment, (b) studies to develop the quality of life measures adapted explicitly for students, and (c) studies to develop quality measures of student life. College or campus is an integral component of a student's life, and thus the quality of life in college for students is an essential part of the overall quality of life. Based on the bottom-up spillover theory, one of the subdomains in students' quality of life, namely the quality of college life, can affect the overall quality of life [2].

2. BACKGROUND
The literature on the dynamics of student college life is vast and is based on various measurements with different approaches. The operationalization of the variables is emphasized on various responses regarding aspects of satisfaction with the quality of a student's life. Benjamin argues that students struggle to meet the academic requirements to get a degree, but at the same time, students are also required to fulfill the wishes of their parents, friends, and other relatives. In addition, students can also experience conflicts in life-related to health and financial conditions at unexpected times. Therefore, the handling carried out by students to overcome various problems varies. Understanding the complexities of students' quality of life has become essential for universities to plan their expenditures efficiently [3]. The research was conducted in Turkey by Arslan and Akkas, which showed a model test used to assess campus life satisfaction and evaluate the overall impact on the quality of campus life (social, academic, and service satisfaction), life satisfaction, and identification. The study also assessed the impact of university academic programs, social life, facilities, and services on students' college quality of life in this sample in relation to similar studies from Turkey and other countries. The survey that had been conducted involved 1,260 students who attended public universities in Turkey. The measurement tools include the quality of the campus life scale (QCL), the satisfaction with the campus life scale, the
identification satisfaction scale with the life scale, and the form of personal information. The results show social satisfaction has the strongest positive impact on QCL [4]. The description of the quality of college life for students is one of the feedbacks for students and universities to review student experiences and the results obtained by students while students are at the tertiary level. According to Elliott, the quality of college life in students is determined by several main factors, including the students’ sense of belonging and the quality of education provided by the college. In addition, the increase in the quality of college life is also influenced by interactions with faculty who can give well-structured and fair instructions [5]. Sirgy et al. argued that several aspects that could affect the quality of college life consisted of student satisfaction with social, academic and university facilities [2]. Another research conducted by Yang and Fan [6] states that students’ quality of life is a priority in many universities. Their research was conducted to investigate the effect of quality of life on learning in tertiary institutions among Chinese students at Uncle Sam State University, particularly at National Quemoy University, Taiwan, using a questionnaire and interview techniques. The quantitative data collected revealed that 311 college participants who were randomly selected felt a 70.5% influence on the quality of life and academic growth on a scale of 0 to 100. Furthermore, the qualitative data collected showed that students viewed the quality of life as important for learning achievement. And their academics, and various aspects of life, such as sleep quality or diet, peer relationships, or time management, were shown to interact in influencing their learning. Next, Schibeci’s research [7] found a relationship between academic achievement and student attitudes towards science. Martin et al. [8] argued that the perceptions of early adult individuals, including those who became students of higher education institutions, were significantly influenced by their first impressions of higher education, such as tuition fees, facilities, the environment where they live around the college, the material presented by lecturers, and so on. On the other hand, according to Wilder, the attitudes and perceptions of students towards higher education can affect determining the level of student resilience in higher education. However, if the attitudes and perceptions of students tend to be negative, then the students are likely to drop out of college. The main factor that causes attitudes and perceptions is the sense of inequality that students feel about the interaction between lecturers and students.

3. METHOD

3.1. Participants

This research is qualitative survey research or constructionist approach philosophy, which seeks to explain and find variations in the research variables in the population under study. Usually, the research characteristics are open-ended questions, emerging approaches, text, and image data. The characteristic of the constructionist approach is that the researcher positions himself as a researcher in research. They collect the meaning produced by research participants, focus on a concept or phenomenon, bring personal values into research, study the context or background of research participants, interpret data, and engage the researcher in collaborating. The instrument in this study was designed to measure the dynamics of student college quality of life. The number of participants in this study was 213 people, age (M = 19.23; SD = .778). Participants consisted of 32 males and 181 females.

| Table 1 Demographic Data of Participants by Age |
|---|---|---|
| Age | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
| 18 | 19 | 8.9 |
| 19 | 144 | 67.6 |
| 20 | 37 | 17.4 |
| 21 | 8 | 3.8 |
| 22 | 4 | 1.9 |
| 23 | 1 | .5 |
| Total | 213 | 100.0 |

The following table contains the demographic data of study participants related to gender. In summary, it can be seen in Table 2.

| Table 2 Demographic Data Participants Based on Sex |
|---|---|---|
| Sex | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
| Male | 32 | 15.0 |
| Female | 181 | 85.0 |
| Total | 213 | 100.0 |

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Thematic related research findings college climate is a measure of the real or perceived quality of interpersonal, academic, and professional interactions on campus. It consists of the attitudes, behavior, and standards of faculty, staff, administrators, and students regarding the level of appreciation for individual needs, abilities, and potential [9]. Based on the thematic analysis, six thematic quality of student life in Jakarta was obtained as summarized in the following figure.

**Figure 1** Thematic description of the college quality of life in Jakarta.

Based on the thematic analysis of the quality of college students in Jakarta, the following findings were obtained:
Friendship is the most frequently experienced indicator of lecture quality, (b) knowledge acquisition, (c) self-development, (d) quality of lectures, (e) positive environment, and (f) organizational dynamics. Friendship is the most positive theme that students get during lectures (29.5%), followed by acquiring knowledge in college life (26%). Organizational dynamics is the least frequent theme of positive quality experience in student lectures (2.5%). The following will discuss each general theme of the quality of student lectures in Jakarta.

**Friendship.** Friendship is the most positive experience experienced by students in Jakarta. In friendship, the following sub-themes are obtained.
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**Figure 2** Friendship theme of the college quality of life in Jakarta.

The quality of friendships in lectures is built by enthusiasm in the experience of meeting new friends during lectures (47.2%), having classmates who carry out social support functions (26.9%), and carrying out activities with friends (25.9%). Friendship is an individual relationship based on the concern of each friend for the welfare of the other party, for the other’s goals, and is in some level of closeness. Social connections, friendships within them, are essential for the health and well-being of an individual. People whose social needs are not met have a greater incidence of depression, weaker immune systems, and higher mortality rates than those with close connections [10]. Whether friends or lovers, brief exposures provide benefits by enhancing one’s positive mood and overall well-being [4].

**Acquisition of Knowledge.** The study participants felt the quality of their college life and the experience of gaining knowledge during their studies. Participants feel the atmosphere of the lecture, which is certainly different from the atmosphere of other social environments. In addition, the knowledge gained can also be applied in the participants’ personal and social lives. Apart from self-enriching knowledge, the knowledge gained during the study is knowledge related to other people. In this case, participants are increasingly able to understand other people around them based on the knowledge they acquire informally through social and formal relationships in lectures.
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**Figure 3** The knowledge acquisition theme of the college quality of life in Jakarta.

The scientific atmosphere during the study was felt by the research participants as a source, especially in obtaining a variety of knowledge (67.4%) and was followed by knowledge from the application of knowledge obtained during class learning (28.4%). Research participants express the application of the knowledge they learn in everyday life as the quality of the lecture climate as follows: “Learn how to solve problems” (participant 9). “There is more to practicum than material” (participant 119). “I can make my college useful for my life” (participant 175). Understanding other people is also a sub-theme found in the theme of acquiring knowledge, as expressed by participant 15: “Understand the different nature of people”.

**Self-improvement.** Self-improvement is a lifelong process. It is a way for people to assess their skills and qualities, consider their goals in life and set goals to realize and maximize their potential. Being in higher education is also a process that exists in self-development. Participants express academic achievement, autonomy, self-understanding, and discipline as part of their self-improvement. The subtheme is summarized in the following figure.
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**Figure 4** Self-improvement theme of the college quality of life in Jakarta.

**Quality of Class.** In matters related to participant achievement, it is stated as: "Get good grades" (participant 108) "I always want to know more" (participant 123). Achievement is expressed as a motive to continue to face challenges and achievement from motivated learning outcomes. Quality of class is the 4th theme which is the theme of the college quality of life.
student achievement, the rules and policies of the organization, and the facilities themselves [14]. A researcher designed a perception questionnaire that was used to obtain data from teachers about the effects of building conditions on their performance. Teachers in buildings in poor condition stated that the design and appearance of the facilities harmed the learning climate. Conversely, teachers in good condition teachers reported that the building had a positive influence on the learning climate. These findings support the quality of facilities that support lectures adds to the positive perception of the environment that supports the teaching and learning process.

Organizational Dynamics. The quality of the participant lecture climate is also built by the participant's organizational life consisting of leadership (22.2%) and student organization work programs (78.8%). The following figure shows a summary of the sub-themes of organizational dynamics.

Figure 7 Organizational dynamic themes in the college quality in Jakarta.

The college quality of life is about scientific and academic knowledge and contains the role of organizational dynamics for students. Students can develop leadership skills and work together to carry out work programs for student organizations. 25 participants expressed involvement in work programs to assess their quality in their studies on campus: "Work program in the organization", "Participate in fun activities like join in college student organization" (Participant 37). Besides that, leadership is also an interesting thing for participation in completing the quality of their lectures. "A large organization and train the spirit of leadership" (Participants 74). The main aim of educational institutions in providing academic learning to students is to ensure that they can achieve their personal and professional goals, appropriately maintain their livelihood opportunities, and become effective citizens of the country. Apart from academic learning, students need to develop leadership skills among themselves. When they develop leadership skills, they can lead and guide others in the right direction, instill the traits of perseverance and conscience and distinguish between what is appropriate and what is not. The development of leadership skills among students is an integral part of education. Through the development of leadership skills, individuals can generate awareness in terms of direction, how to practice procedures to carry out various tasks and activities, and achieve desired goals [15]. Thus, the quality of college life is related to various things,
which are not only about scientific and academic knowledge but also contain social aspects of student life such as organizational dynamics, the environment, and friendship for students.

This study attempted to identify themes related to the initial study of college quality of life among students. This study used open-ended questions related to students' perceptions of college quality of life. Thematic analysis is used in analyzing respondents' answers. Quality of college life affects the overall quality of life and affects the reputation of the college. Quality of college life can affect two aspects, namely the identification of universities and word of mouth [16].

Based on the research findings, several themes are resulting from data processing. In contrast, the quality of college life is related to various things about scientific and academic knowledge and contains non-academic aspects. This is following the statement of Yu and Kim [16], adding two aspects that can affect the quality of college life, namely cognitive and affective aspects. Therefore, this is closely related to the discussion of friendship, which is perceived to be more colorful in the class. Friendship also means the desire to be with other people, especially when faced with unpleasant experiences. Friendship is also needed to make the learning environment more enjoyable [17].

This study attempted to identify themes related to the initial study of college quality of life among students. This study used open-ended questions related to students' perceptions of college quality of life. Thematic analysis is used in analyzing respondents' answers. Quality of college life affects the overall quality of life and affects the reputation of the college. Quality of college life can affect two aspects, namely identification of universities and word of mouth [16,18]. According to Yu and Kim [16], higher education identification will be higher if students recognize higher education, such as feeling happy when they hear praise about their college or feeling that higher education progress is a personal achievement for these students. Meanwhile, word of mouth can be described through the tendency of students to share positive and negative reviews related to the quality given by higher education, such as when students spread positive comments about higher education with great enthusiasm [18]. Sirgy [18], argues that the cognitive aspect of quality of college life consists of meeting the basic needs of college students. These students' basic needs include health and security needs, economic and family needs, social needs, self-esteem needs, self-actualization needs, knowledge needs, and aesthetic needs. The affective aspect of the quality of college life, according to Diener [16], consists of two components, namely positive affection and negative affection felt by students during the last few months. The feelings contained in positive affection include enthusiasm, interest, determination, passion, inspiration, alert, activity, pride, and caring. Negative affection consists of feelings of fear, resentment, depression, anxiety, nervousness, shame, guilt, irritability, and a tendency to have the desire to argue.

Based on the research findings, several themes are resulting from data processing. In comparison, the quality of college life is related to various things that are about scientific and academic knowledge and contain non-academic aspects. This is following the statement of Yu and Kim [16], adding two aspects that can affect the quality of college life, namely cognitive and affective aspects. Sirgy [16,18], argues that cognitive aspects that affect the quality of college life include satisfaction with the level of meeting the basic needs of students in tertiary institutions, including health and security, economy and family, social, self-esteem, self-actualization, knowledge, and aesthetics (beauty).

According to Erikson [19], the psychosocial stage of early adulthood is characterized by personal intimacy that affects development. The intimacy marked in early adulthood is part of the individual maturity process to find a partner and achieve the desired identity. The process of personal transition from adolescence to early adulthood will be marked by individual wholeness seeking identity. However, not many early adults fail in intimacy and cause individuals to feel lonely and refuse to commit to anyone. The two stages are known as intimacy vs. isolation, which is where individuals learn how to interact with other people more deeply. At this stage, the individual will be open to others to know himself more deeply and form romantic relationships.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of data processing on the thematic analysis of 213 participants, themes related to the quality of lectures (college quality of life) of students in Jakarta obtained the following findings: (a) friendship is an indicator of the quality of lectures most often experienced, (b) acquisition knowledge, (c) self-development, (d) quality of lectures, (e) positive environment, and (f) organizational dynamics. Thus, the quality of college life is related to various things that are about scientific and academic knowledge and contain social aspects of student life such as organizational dynamics, the environment, and friendship for students.
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