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Abstract
The study was carried out to determine the economic assessment of lemon-based agroforestry systems established in the Madhupur Sal forest during the period from May 2017 to September 2018. The study selected five lemon-based agroforestry systems viz. Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid, Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth and Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana along with three replications having 0.13 ha area for each sample plot. The control plots (without lemon plant) for each of the five lemon-based agroforestry systems were also selected. In order to calculate the economic profitability of respective agroforestry systems as well as sole cropping performance, data related to incurred cost, total yield and income from lemon and crop components were collected through practical observation, key informant interview and focus group discussion. The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) and land equivalent ratio (LER) for each of the selected agroforestry systems were also determined. From the study, it has been found that all of the lemon-based agroforestry systems were profitable than their sole cropping in respect of income, BCR, and LER. The net profit (460032 Tk/ha) indicated that the Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana based agroforestry systems were financially more profitable followed by Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry systems. But the BCR and LER (3.515 and 1.73) revealed that Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid based agroforestry system was more productive followed by Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana, Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry systems. Prior to considering the obtained results from the study, it can be concluded that lemon-based agroforestry systems are more profitable than the cultivation of sole cropping.
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Introduction
Forest is an important natural resource of any country requiring 25% forest land of the total area of the country for its socio-economic upliftment and maintenance of environmental equilibrium. Of the total geographic area of Bangladesh, agricultural land makes up 65% and forest land accounts only 17.08%; while urban areas cover 8% of the land (FAO, 2015; BFD, 2017; BBS, 2014). In Bangladesh, the Sal forests are one of the three most important forest resources (other kinds are tropical evergreen and coastal forests) covering an area of about 120,000 ha which money owed about 0.81% of the whole land and 7.5% forest insurance. Sal (Shorea robusta) is the dominant species
of this woodland and usually forms 75-90% of the upper canopy in the natural habitat (BFD, 2017).

The Madhupur Sal forest is representing the major patches of Bangladesh Sal forests which are valuable in ecological as well as economic aspects that have been degraded due to destructive anthropogenic activities. About 50,000 forest-dependent households including ethnic minorities are living in and around 21 villages of this forest area (Islam et al., 2012, 2013, 2015). The local farmer of the Madhupur Garh area relies on agroforestry practices that play a vital role in offering multiple alternatives and opportunities with a view to improving farm production and income and also providing productive and conservation functions to the ecosystems (Alam et al., 2010). Some researchers have noted the benefits of Mango, Banana, Pineapple, Lemon, Jackfruit, and different seasonal crops cultivation along with agroforestry practices at the Madhupur Garh area (Akter et al., 2020; Rana, 2017; Kibria and Saha, 2011; Roy et al., 2011; Hasan et al., 2008; Safa, 2004). Farmers of Bangladesh, like many other Asian countries, have been growing cereals, root crops, fiber, vegetables, and fruit in association with the trees and another woody perennial (Alam et al., 1990).

Scientists and experts have worked with farmers throughout the world to identify and develop improved agroforestry practices that build on local practices and offer substantial benefits to communities and to the environment (Franzel and Scherr, 2002). The most important crop and tree products from the Madhupur Garh areas agroforestry practices are Pineapples, Ginger, Aroid, Turmeric, Banana, Papaya and poles, pulpwood and firewood as these trees are mostly short-rotation species (Ghosh et al., 2011; Islam et al., 2013; Chakraborty et al., 2015; Kibria and Saha, 2011). In Bangladesh, it is revealed that about 2% family income comes from Agroforestry practices (Chakraborty et al., 2015); however, the agroforestry programs at the Madhupur Sal forest area contributed more than 46% of the forest dependents people’s household income (Islam et al., 2011).

According to past research evidence, Akter et al. (2020) conducted research in Madhupur Sal forest on productivity analysis of timber and fruit tree-based agroforestry practices where they found that integrated agroforestry systems are more productive than monoculture. In addition to that in Narsingdi district, Jackfruit and Egg-plant based agroforestry practices showed that the positive result in the case of BCR and LER (Rahman et al., 2017). Again Lemon, Pineapple, and Banana-based agroforestry systems practiced in private or participatory programs are also profitable (Kibria and Saha, 2011). Hasan and Karim (2020) found that agroforestry practices are more economically profitable than the cultivation of their non-agroforestry systems in the case of Eucalyptus and Gamar based agroforestry practices. However, the number of research studies related to productivity evaluation of timber and fruit tree-based agroforestry systems were studied in the Madhupur Sal forest area but the economic aspects of Lemon based agroforestry systems in the study area was negligible. Therefore, considering the aforementioned facts, this piece of research work has been taken with the objective of evaluating the economic assessment of Lemon based agroforestry systems established in the Madhupur Sal forest of Bangladesh.

Materials and Methods

Geographical description of the study area: The Madhupur Sal forest lies between 23º50' to 24º50' North latitude and 89º54' to 90º50' East longitude (Figure 1). The total area of Madhupur Sal forest is about 18447.44 ha. The topography of the area is characterized by plain land and low hills rising 3.0-4.5 m above the surrounding field locally known as 'chala', which are intersected by numerous depressions or ‘baids’. The soils are characterized by low organic matter and low fertility. The annual rainfall ranges from 203-229 cm and the annual temperature ranges from 10-34°C. The humidity of the areas varies from 60 to 86% (Hasan et al., 2016).

Specific study area and sampling design: Administratively Madhupur Sal forest comprising four ranges namely Madhupur National Park, Dokhla,
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Arunkhola, and Madhupur (Rahman et al., 2013). The study was conducted in the three villages namely Gaira, Jolai and Magontinagar of Madhupur Sal forest were selected for the study area because Lemon-based agroforestry systems are dominant in this area (Figure 1). The study was carried out from May 2017 to September 2018 under the competitive research grant (CRG) sub-project of the National Agricultural Technology Project Phase-2 (NATP-2) coordinated by BARC. This study dealt with five different Lemons based existing agroforestry systems with three replications having a 0.13 ha area for each sample plot along with a control plot (except tree) for each combination. The total numbers of plots were 30.

Selection of lemon-based agroforestry practices: The following five lemons based established agroforestry systems along with their control (without lemon plant) were selected randomly through secondary data, practical observation and consult with the community people in the study area (Table 1).

Collection of data from the selected plots: From each selected plots, the following parameters of crops and shrubs/trees were collected through practical observation, key informant interview and focus group discussion.

Crop parameters: In order to calculate crop produce, following parameters like the number of fruits/plant, the weight of fruits/plant (kg), fruits price (Tk/kg), crop price (Tk/kg), cost of production (Tk/ha), income (Tk/ha) and crop yield (Tk/ha) was recorded.
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**Shrubs/tree parameters:** The productivity of tree components was measured by collecting the following parameters- number of lemon plant/plot, number of fruits/lemon plant or tree, the weight of fruits/lemon plant or tree (kg), fruit price (Tk/kg), cost of production (Tk/ha), income (Tk/ha) and tree yield (Tk/ha).

**Economic assessment of lemon based agroforestry systems:** In order to perform an economic assessment of the selected lemon-based agroforestry practices and their control plots; investment analysis was carried out considering the timing of benefit and cost during the study period.

### Table 1. A list of selected lemon-based agroforestry systems with their control (without lemon plant) systems.

| Cropping systems | Combinations                                           | Plot number |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Agroforestry systems | Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                   | 3           |
|                   | Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid                    | 3           |
|                   | Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                          | 3           |
|                   | Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth                      | 3           |
|                   | Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana                             |             |
| Control plot (without lemon plant) | Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                     | 3           |
|                   | Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid                          | 3           |
|                   | Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                                | 3           |
|                   | Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth                            | 3           |
|                   | Litchi-Papaya-Banana                                   | 3           |
| **Total**         |                                                        | **30**      |

**Procedure for determination of cost of production:**
The farmers practicing lemon-based agroforestry systems had to incur costs for different inputs, which were used in the production process. In order to calculate the production cost, the costs of various components like labor, seedlings or planting materials, manure or mulch, fertilizer, transport, and other related costs were collected from the farmer.

**Procedure for determination of component income:**
The lemon plant bear fruits at the age of 2 years if perfectly hardened saplings are planted. At the age of 4 years, lemon becomes fully matured to give maximum production. To calculate the income obtained from the selected plots, the price of each component will be collected according to the selling price from the farmer.

**Determination of total income:** The total income of the individual agroforestry systems was computed by multiplying the total yield of components (tree and crops) with their market price.

Total income = Total yield × Market price

**Determination of net return:** The net return of the individual agroforestry systems were computed by subtracting the total cost of agroforestry components from the total income or gross income.

Net return = Total income – Total cost of production

**Calculation of benefit-cost ratio (BCR):** In this study, the benefit-cost ratio was estimated by using the following formula:

Benefit-cost ratio (BCR) = Gross benefit ÷ Cost

The BCR greater than 1 indicates that the land-use system is profitable.

**Calculation of land equivalent ratio (LER):** The land equivalent ratio (LER) is the ratio of the area under sole cropping to the area under intercropping needed to give equal amounts of yield at the same management level. It is the sum of the fractions of the intercropped yields divided by the sole-crop yields. In this study, the LER was calculated according to the following formula:

LER = C_i/C_s + T_i/T_s
systems was 1.62 during 2017 Mango showed that Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) of indicated that this agroforestry system was much more systems was 3.193 during 2017 Mango (Table 2). The benefit production Tk. 65500 ha\(^{-1}\) in the year 2017 which was reduced in the next year of cropping. The benefit-cost ratio of fruit-tree based agroforestry practice, ginger, maize with sweet potato and teff with taro sequential monocropping was 7.22, 2.92, and 2.88 and respectively. From this fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has higher BCR than other monocropping land uses. This implies that fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has more profitable land use than the monocropping system which was found by Anshiso et al. (2017).

**Lemon-pineapple-papaya-banana-aroid based agroforestry system:** The result showed that the cost of production of the Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid based agroforestry system for 2017 and 2018 year was Tk. 113470 ha\(^{-1}\) and Tk. 57690 ha\(^{-1}\) respectively were the highest (Tk. 113470 ha\(^{-1}\)) in the year 2017 which was reduced in the next year of production (Table 2). The result showed that the total yield of Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid based agroforestry systems was Tk. 332500 ha\(^{-1}\) and 269050 ha\(^{-1}\) for the year 2017 and 2018 respectively with a total yield of Tk. 601550 ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid based agroforestry systems was 3.515 which clearly indicated that this agroforestry system was more profitable than sole cropping. The result showed that the Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) calculated from 2017 to 2018 of the Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid based agroforestry system was 1.73 (Table 2). In accordance with the obtained result of LER of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems, LER more than 1 indicated that Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems are profitable. Rahman et al. (2017) found similar findings in the case of fruit tree-based agroforestry systems which clearly indicated that combined production of the sweet gourd with mango, guava, jujube, and lemon was profitable than sole cropping. The benefit-cost ratio of fruit-tree based agroforestry practice, ginger, maize with sweet potato and teff with taro sequential monocropping was 7.22, 2.92, and 2.88 and respectively. From this fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has higher BCR than other monocropping land uses. This implies that fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has more profitable land use than the monocropping system which was found by Anshiso et al. (2017).

**Results and Discussion**

**Economic assessment of lemon-based agroforestry systems**

**Lemon-mango-pineapple-papaya-ginger based agroforestry system:** The result showed that the incurred cost of production of lemon-mango-pineapple-papaya-ginger based agroforestry systems for the year of 2017 and 2018 were Tk. 118700 ha\(^{-1}\) and Tk. 58390 ha\(^{-1}\) respectively where the initial cost of establishment of the Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry system was the highest of Tk. 118700 ha\(^{-1}\) in the year 2017 which was reduced in the next year of production Tk. 58390 ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). The result also showed that the total yield of Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems was Tk. 305260 ha\(^{-1}\) and 260240 ha\(^{-1}\) for the year 2017 and 2018 respectively with a total yield of Tk. 565500 ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems was 3.193 during 2017-2018 which clearly indicated that this agroforestry system was much more profitable than sole cropping (Table 2). The result also showed that Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems was 1.62 during 2017-2018 (Table 2). In accordance with the obtained result of LER of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems, LER more than 1 indicated that Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems are profitable. Rahman et al. (2017) found similar findings in the case of fruit tree-based agroforestry systems which clearly indicated that combined production of the sweet gourd with mango, guava, jujube, and lemon was profitable than sole cropping. The benefit-cost ratio of fruit-tree based agroforestry practice, ginger, maize with sweet potato and teff with taro sequential monocropping was 7.22, 2.92, and 2.88 and respectively. From this fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has higher BCR than other monocropping land uses. This implies that fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has more profitable land use than the monocropping system which was found by Anshiso et al. (2017).

**Data analysis:** The obtained data were scrutinized and edited before putting the data in analyzing sheets. Then data were entered into the computer and analyzed by using the MS Excel software package. The obtained data were scrutinized and edited before putting the data in analyzing sheets. Then data were entered into the computer and analyzed by using SPSS and MS Excel.

Where,

\[C_i = \text{crop yield under intercropping}\]
\[C_s = \text{crop yield under sole cropping}\]
\[T_i = \text{tree yield under intercropping, and}\]
\[T_s = \text{tree yield under sole cropping}.\]

If LER=1, there is no advantage (i.e., neutral) to intercropping or agroforestry in comparison to sole cropping. If LER>1, indicate better use of resources or positive interaction between the components. If LER<1, indicate the competition i.e., negative interactions between the components.

**Results and Discussion**

**Economic assessment of lemon-based agroforestry systems**

**Lemon-mango-pineapple-papaya-ginger based agroforestry system:** The result showed that the incurred cost of production of lemon-mango-pineapple-papaya-ginger based agroforestry systems for the year of 2017 and 2018 were Tk. 118700 ha\(^{-1}\) and Tk. 58390 ha\(^{-1}\) respectively where the initial cost of establishment of the Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry system was the highest of Tk. 118700 ha\(^{-1}\) in the year 2017 which was reduced in the next year of production Tk. 58390 ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). The result also showed that the total yield of Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems was Tk. 305260 ha\(^{-1}\) and 260240 ha\(^{-1}\) for the year 2017 and 2018 respectively with a total yield of Tk. 565500 ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems was 3.193 during 2017-2018 which clearly indicated that this agroforestry system was much more profitable than sole cropping (Table 2). The result also showed that Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems was 1.62 during 2017-2018 (Table 2). In accordance with the obtained result of LER of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems, LER more than 1 indicated that Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry systems are profitable. Rahman et al. (2017) found similar findings in the case of fruit tree-based agroforestry systems which clearly indicated that combined production of the sweet gourd with mango, guava, jujube, and lemon was profitable than sole cropping. The benefit-cost ratio of fruit-tree based agroforestry practice, ginger, maize with sweet potato and teff with taro sequential monocropping was 7.22, 2.92, and 2.88 and respectively. From this fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has higher BCR than other monocropping land uses. This implies that fruit-tree based agroforestry practice has more profitable land use than the monocropping system which was found by Anshiso et al. (2017).
Banana based agroforestry system. Rahman et al. (2018) found that higher net return, BCR and LER from jackfruit based agroforestry system were BDT 557863, 4.56 and 2.17 respectively than their sole cropping systems in the Narsingdi district of Bangladesh.

Table 2. An economic assessment of selected lemon-based agroforestry systems.

| Economic parameters | Lemon-mango-turmeric-red amaranth | Lemon-mango-papaya-amaranth | Lemon-pineapple-papaya-areoid | Lemon-pineapple-papaya-ginger | Lemon-pineapple-papaya-areoid | Lemon-pineapple-papaya-areoid |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cost of production (Tk/ha) | 2017 | 118700 | 113470 | 79096 | 71560 | 121731 |
|                      | 2018 | 58390 | 57690 | 42840 | 31066 | 61737 |
|                      | Total | 177090 | 171150 | 121935 | 183468 | 183468 |
| Gross return (Tk/ha) | 2017 | 305260 | 332500 | 158250 | 121890 | 325130 |
|                      | 2018 | 260240 | 269050 | 181290 | 148010 | 318370 |
|                      | Total | 565500 | 601550 | 339540 | 269900 | 643500 |
| Net return (Tk/ha) | 2017 | 186560 | 219030 | 79154 | 50330 | 203399 |
|                      | 2018 | 201850 | 211360 | 181290 | 116944 | 256633 |
|                      | Total | 388410 | 430390 | 339540 | 167274 | 460032 |
| BCR | 3.193 | 3.515 | 2.785 | 2.63 | 3.507 |
| LER | 3.68 | 1.73 | 1.60 | 1.59 | 1.72 |

**Lemon-pineapple-papaya-ginger based agroforestry system:** From the result, it showed that the cost of production of the Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry system during 2017 and 2018 year was Tk. 79069 ha<sup>-1</sup> and Tk. 42840 ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively and the total cost was Tk. 121935 ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2017-2018 (Table 2). The result also showed that the total yield of the Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry system was Tk. 158250 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 181290 ha<sup>-1</sup> for the year 2017 and 2018 respectively with a total yield of Tk. 339540 ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of the Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry system was 2.785 which clearly indicated that this agroforestry system was much more profitable than sole cropping (Table 2). The result showed that the Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) during 2017-2018 of Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger based agroforestry system was 1.60 indicated that this agroforestry system is profitable (Table 2). The calculated Net Present Value (NPV) and Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) of the pineapple plantation were BDT 487010.79 and 5.35 respectively at 10% interest rate which indicated the maximum profitability of Pineapple agroforestry practice in Madhupur Sal forest that reported by Rana (2010). Hanif et al. (2010) found that Litchi based agroforestry system ensured a higher return and more sustainable than sole cropping system. Kibira and Saha (2011) found that the Pineapple agroforestry is much more suitable than the other two agroforestry than lemon and banana-based agroforestry in the Madhupur Sal Forest.

**Lemon-mango-turmeric-red amaranth based agroforestry system:** From Table 2 it showed that the incurred cost of production for the cultivation of 1 ha land of the Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry systems for the year of 2017 and
2018 was Tk. 71560 and Tk. 31066 respectively where the initial cost of establishment of the this agroforestry system was the highest of Tk. 71560 in 1st year this was reduced in the next year of production. According to the result of yield analysis, the total yield of 2017 and 2018 year of the Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry system was Tk. 121890 ha\(^{-1}\) and Tk. 148010 ha\(^{-1}\) respectively with a total yield of Tk. 269900/ha (Table 2). The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of the Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry system was 2.630 which clearly indicated that this agroforestry system was much more profitable than sole cropping (Table 2). The result also showed that the Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) calculated from 2017 and 2018 year of Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry system was with an average of 1.59 (Table 2). In accordance with the obtained result of LER of Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry system, LER more than 1 indicated that this agroforestry system is profitable than monocropping. Similar results were observed by Rawat et al. (2002) for the Dendrocalamus strictus plantation in North India. Another study by Chakraborty et al. (2015) reported that farmers practicing agroforestry better off than those not practicing agroforestry, both socially and economically.

**Lemon-litchi-papaya-banana based agroforestry system:** The result of the study revealed that the incurred cost of production of 2017 and 2018 years of Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana based agroforestry system was Tk. 64859 ha\(^{-1}\) and Tk. 30294 ha\(^{-1}\) respectively where the highest of Tk. 121731 ha\(^{-1}\) in the year 2017 which was reduced in the next year 2018 (Tk. 61737 ha\(^{-1}\)) of production (Table 2). On the other hand, the result of yield analysis found that the total yield of 2017 and 2018 years of Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana based agroforestry system was Tk. 325130 ha\(^{-1}\) and Tk. 318370 ha\(^{-1}\) respectively with a total yield of Tk. 643500 ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). From the results, it observed that the benefit-cost ratio (BCR) and Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) of Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana based agroforestry system were 3.507 and 1.72 which revealed that this agroforestry system was profitable than sole cropping (Table 2). Hasan et al. (2008) found that the cost was very high due to inputs during the initial year in case of the agro-economic performance of the Jackfruit-Pineapple agroforestry system in the Madhupur tract. The benefits from the Jackfruit-Pineapple agroforestry production system started in the second year of planting, which was Tk. 457449/ha. Sharma (2012) found that the benefit-cost ratio was higher (7.69) in Bamboo-Papaya agroforestry practices and followed by the Bamboo-Banana (6.56) based agroforestry practices in Sonitpur, Assam, India.

**Comparison of economic assessment of lemon based agroforestry systems versus control (without lemon plant) systems:** The result of the study revealed that the total cost of production, gross return and net return of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid, Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth and Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana based agroforestry systems was Tkha\(^{-1}\) 177090, 171150, 121935, 102626, 183468; Tkha\(^{-1}\) 565500, 601550, 339540, 269900, 643500 and Tkha\(^{-1}\) 388410, 430400, 217935, 167274, 460032, respectively which were higher than their sole cropping (except lemon plant) (Table 3) because superior income was obtained when crops cultivation is associated with lemon than sole cropping. According to the results of the benefit-cost ratio analysis, it has been found that all the selected Lemon based agroforestry systems obtained higher BCR compare to their control (without lemon plant) systems which clearly indicates that all the selected agroforestry systems were economically more profitable than their control systems (Table 3). Bari et al. (2016) reported that maximum BCR (5.20) was found in the Litchi based agroforestry systems over sole cropping (BCR=4.38). The highest benefit-cost ratio (3.54) was recorded from coconut+guava based multistoried agroforestry which was higher than their sole cropping (1.65) observed by Bari and Rahim (2012) reported that the highest (3.54) benefit-cost ratio was calculated from Coconut + Guava based multistoried agroforestry which was higher than their sole cropping (1.65). Akter et al. (2020) studied on productivity analysis of timber and fruit tree-based
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agroforestry practices in the Madhupur Sal forest of Bangladesh and found that the selected agroforestry practices were more profitable than their non-agroforestry systems in terms of their total benefits, net profit and BCR.

Table 3. Comparison of economic assessment of lemon-based agroforestry systems vs. control (no lemon plant) systems.

| Combinations                                      | Nature of combination | Production Cost (Tk/ha) | Gross Return (Tk/ha) | Net Return (Tk/ha) | BCR  |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------|
| Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                | Agroforestry          | 177090                  | 565500               | 388410             | 3.19 |
| Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                      | Control (except lemon plant) | 89530                   | 231682               | 142530             | 2.59 |
| Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid                | Agroforestry          | 171150                  | 601550               | 430400             | 3.51 |
| Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid                      | Control (except lemon plant) | 95500                   | 248500               | 153000             | 2.60 |
| Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                      | Agroforestry          | 121935                  | 339540               | 217935             | 2.78 |
| Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                            | Control (except lemon plant) | 63750                   | 153200               | 89450              | 2.40 |
| Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth                  | Agroforestry          | 102626                  | 269900               | 167274             | 2.63 |
| Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth                        | Control (except lemon plant) | 49990                   | 109270               | 59280              | 2.19 |
| Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana                         | Agroforestry          | 183468                  | 643500               | 460032             | 3.51 |
| Litchi-Papaya-Banana                               | Control (except lemon plant) | 93260                   | 203500               | 110240             | 2.18 |

Selection of the best lemon based agroforestry systems: The results showed that BCR and LER of Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid, Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana, Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger, and Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry system were 3.515, 3.507, 3.193, 2.785, 2.630 and 1.73, 1.72, 1.62, 1.60, 1.59, respectively (Table 4).

Table 4. Selection of the best lemon-based agroforestry systems with respect to BCR and LER.

| Agroforestry systems                          | BCR    | LER    | Ranking |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid           | 3.515  | 1.73   | 1<sup>st</sup> |
| Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana                    | 3.507  | 1.72   | 2<sup>nd</sup> |
| Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger           | 3.193  | 1.62   | 3<sup>rd</sup> |
| Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger                 | 2.785  | 1.60   | 4<sup>th</sup> |
| Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth             | 2.630  | 1.59   | 5<sup>th</sup> |
Among the selected lemon-based agroforestry systems, it has been found that Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid based agroforestry system was most profitable having BCR of 3.515 and LER 1.73 followed by Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana> Lemon-Mango-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger> Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Ginger> Lemon-Mango-Turmeric-Red amaranth based agroforestry systems (Table 4). The study also revealed that the highest economic benefit, as well as resource conservation, is possible when crops are associated with different tree species rather than a monoculture.

**Conclusion**

From the result of the study, it has been found that the gross return and net return of the Lemon-Litchi-Papaya-Banana based agroforestry system was financially more profitable than other lemon-based agroforestry systems. While BCR and LER were higher in Lemon-Pineapple-Papaya-Banana-Aroid based agroforestry system which indicates that this lemon-based agroforestry system was more economically productive than other selected lemon-based agroforestry systems. Moreover, it has been found that all of the selected lemon-based agroforestry systems were profitable than their sole cropping in respect of returns, BCR and LER. Therefore, it can be concluded that the amount of components available in the system has a direct effect on economic productivity as well as the presence of lemon also increases the overall yield of respective lemon-based agroforestry systems.
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