A novel alternatively spliced isoform of the mu-opioid receptor: functional antagonism
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Abstract

Background: Opioids are the most widely used analgesics for the treatment of clinical pain. They produce their therapeutic effects by binding to μ-opioid receptors (MORs), which are 7 transmembrane domain (7TM) G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), and inhibiting cellular activity. However, the analgesic efficacy of opioids is compromised by side-effects such as analgesic tolerance, dependence and opioid-induced hyperalgesia (OIH). In contrast to opioid analgesia these side effects are associated with cellular excitation. Several hypotheses have been advanced to explain these phenomena, yet the molecular mechanisms underlying tolerance and OIH remain poorly understood.

Results: We recently discovered a new human alternatively spliced isoform of MOR (MOR1K) that is missing the N-terminal extracellular and first transmembrane domains, resulting in a 6TM GPCR variant. To characterize the pattern of cellular transduction pathways activated by this human MOR1K isoform, we conducted a series of pharmacological and molecular experiments. Results show that stimulation of MOR1K with morphine leads to excitatory cellular effects. In contrast to stimulation of MOR1, stimulation of MOR1K leads to increased Ca²⁺ levels as well as increased nitric oxide (NO) release. Immunoprecipitation experiments further reveal that unlike MOR1, which couples to the inhibitory Gαi/o complex, MOR1K couples to the stimulatory Gαs complex.

Conclusion: The major MOR1 and the alternative MOR1K isoforms mediate opposite cellular effects in response to morphine, with MOR1K driving excitatory processes. These findings warrant further investigations that examine animal and human MOR1K expression and function following chronic exposure to opioids, which may identify MOR1K as a novel target for the development of new clinically effective classes of opioids that have high analgesic efficacy with diminished ability to produce tolerance, OIH, and other unwanted side-effects.

Background

The μ-opioid receptor (MOR) is the primary target for both endogenous and exogenous opioid analgesics, mediating basal nociception as well as agonist responses [1-4]. While opioids are the most frequently used and effective analgesics for the treatment of moderate to severe clinical pain, their prolonged use leads to a number of adverse side-effects, including tolerance, dependence, and post-dosing induced hyperalgesia, which is commonly referred to as "opioid-induced hyperalgesia" (OIH) [5-7]. Several hypotheses have been advanced to explain the mechanisms underlying tolerance and OIH, including opioid receptor downregulation, receptor desensitization, and/or a decreased efficiency in G protein coupling. The currently held hypotheses fail to fully explain the mechanisms that contribute to tolerance and OIH. For example, receptor downregulation does not parallel the development of tolerance to opioids [8]. Additionally, the desensitization of opioid receptor signaling following repeated or prolonged opioid treatment [9] is unlikely to account for opioid-induced tolerance as it has been reported to suppress the development of tolerance [10]. Thus, the molecular mechanisms underlying opioid tolerance and OIH require further investigation. One important, yet underemphasized, cellular consequence of chronic opioid treatment is the unmasking of excitatory signaling and the suppression of the canonical inhibitory signaling pathways [11-13].
The canonical signaling pathway for MOR agonists is facilitated through a pertussis toxin (PTX)-sensitive inhibitory G protein (G\(_{\alpha ij}\)), where analgesia reflects the inhibition of synaptic transmission via inhibition of pre-
synaptic and postsynaptic voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (VGCC) and/or a decrease in neuronal excitability via 
activation of inwardly rectifying K\(^+\) channels. While opioid-induced regulation of K\(^+\) current in sensory neurons 
[14] and inhibition of adenylyl cyclase (AC) have been implicated in suppressing the activity of pronociceptive 
sensory primary neurons [15,16], the VGCC appears to be the primary target underlying rapid opioid mediated 
effects in these neurons [17,18]. This rapid inhibition of 
VGCC reflects both a voltage-dependent and -independent inhibition of high threshold channels[19-22]. MOR-
mediated inhibition of VGCC on central presynaptic ter-
inals of primary afferent nociceptors is thought to be 
one of the primary mechanisms mediating analgesia at 
the spinal level. However, opioid-induced hyperalgesic 
responses have also been shown in animals and man fol-
lowing both acute and chronic dosing [23-26]. These 
hyperalgesic effects are associated with concentration-
and time-dependent cellular excitation [15,16,27] as well 
as with biphasic effects on cAMP formation and Sub-
stance P release [13,16,27-30]. Available evidence sug-
gests these excitatory effects reflect the activation of a 
stimulatory G protein (G\(_{\alpha j}\)) [11,31].

Using new bioinformatic approaches, we have recently 
established the existence of previously undetected exons 
within the human \(\mu\)-opioid receptor gene OPRM1 [32]. 
These exons were discovered in a human genetic associa-
tion study that identified several single nucleotide pol-
ymorphisms (SNPs) associated with the individual 
variability in pain sensitivity and responses to the MOR 
agonist morphine. We found that exons carrying these 
functional SNPs are spliced into a OPRM1 variant named 
MOR1K that encodes for a 6TM rather than a canonical 
7TM G-protein coupled receptor. The extracellular N-
terminus and first cytoplasmic domain are missing from 
this isoform. Instead, MOR1K possesses a cytoplasmic N-
terminus followed by 6 transmembrane domains and C-
terminus homologous to MOR1. Thus, MOR1K should 
retain the ligand binding pocket that is distributed across 
the conserved TMH2, TMH3, and TMH7 domains [33] 
and be capable of binding MOR agonists. Genetic analy-
ses revealed that allelic variants coding for higher 
MOR1K expression are associated with greater sensitivity 
to noxious stimuli and blunted responses to mor-
phine[32]. This relationship is opposite to that expected 
for MOR and suggests a pronociceptive function for 
MOR1K. We thus hypothesized that MOR1K contributes 
to hyperalgesic effects of MOR agonists through the activ-
ation of cellular excitatory pathways. To test this 
hypothesis, we first characterized tissue-specific expres-
sion levels of MOR1K, its cellular localization, and ago-
nist binding capacity to confirm potential functionality of 
this new receptor isoform. We then employed pharmaco-
logic and molecular biologic methods to measure and 
compare intracellular cAMP and Ca\(^{2+}\) levels as well as 
nitric oxide (NO) release in response to stimulation of 
canonical MOR1 and alternative MOR1K isoforms. 
Immunoprecipitation experiments were carried out to 
test if the MOR1K isoform couples to the stimulatory G\(_{\alpha j}\) 
complex.

Results And Discussion
MOR1K expression and binding pattern
First, we characterized the relative expression of MOR1K 
in human brain and spinal cord as well as peripheral leu-
ocytes using real-time PCR (RT-PCR). Results from RT-
PCR revealed that MOR1K is expressed in the frontal 
lobe, medulla oblongata, insula, nucleus accumbens, 
pons, spinal cord, and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) 
(Fig.1A-B). These brain regions are known to also express 
MOR1 and contribute to the pharmacological effects of 
MOR agonists. MOR1K expression was not observed in 
the connective tissue surrounding DRG or in peripheral 
leukocytes. Additionally, MOR1K was expressed at high 
levels in the human neuroblastoma cell lines Be2C and 
SH-SY5Y, while no expression was observed in monkey 
kidney COS-1 and human astrocytoma H4 cell lines. 
These data suggest that human MOR1K expression is 
restricted to neuronal cells. As the highest relative 
expression levels are in transformed neuronal cell lines, 
MOR1K expression is likely to be suppressed in native 
cellular conditions.

To characterize the cellular location and function of the 
new human MOR1K isoform, expression vectors with 
cloned coding regions of MOR1 (7TM) or MOR1K (6TM) receptor isoforms, with or without MYC (MOR1) 
or FLAG (MOR1K) tags at their N-termini, were trans-
iently transfected into a cell line that expresses endoge-
 nous MORs (i.e., Be2C human neuroblastoma cell line) 
and a cell line that does not express endogenous MORs 
(i.e., african green monkey kidney COS1 and human 
embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells). Overexpression of 
MOR1K in mammalian cells revealed that this 6TM 
receptor is not expressed at the cell membrane, but 
instead is retained in the intracellular compartment 
(Fig.1C). As intracellular localization of several receptor 
systems does not prevent receptor-mediated signalling 
[34,35], we examined whether the intracellular localized 
MOR1K binds MOR ligands using flow cytometry [36] to 
measure binding of fluoresceinly labeled naloxone 
(Fig.1D-E). Be2C cells transfected with either MOR1 or 
MOR1K retained a significantly higher proportion of flu-
orescently-labeled naloxone in comparison with cells
transfected with an empty vector control. This retention was abolished in the presence of excess of unlabelled naloxone demonstrating the specificity of binding. These data suggest that MOR ligands, such as naloxone, can cross the plasma membrane and MOR1K is a functional intracellular receptor that binds MOR ligands. Although this set of studies has employed naloxone, a movement of morphine across the cellular membrane by means of active transport or passive diffusion has also been shown [37,38]. Furthermore, the rate of passive diffusion of weak base ligands like morphine across the cell membrane can be examined by the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation [39,40], estimating that approximately 25% of the total amount of morphine present in the medium can diffuse across membranes to enter or exit cells.

**Effect of MOR1K activation on cAMP, Ca^{2+} and NO levels**

Next, we characterized the cellular effects of MOR1K stimulation using cAMP, Ca^{2+}, and NO signaling assays. It is known that stimulation of the MOR1 leads to the dissociation of the heterotrimeric G_{ia/o} protein complex, where release of the α subunit results in the inhibition of the adenyl cyclase/cAMP pathway and release of the βγ subunits inhibits VGCC [15,16]. Therefore, the cellular characterization of cAMP accumulation and intracellular Ca^{2+} levels were used to assess the functional effects of MOR1K activation [16,27,41-44]. In agreement with an inhibitory function of MOR1, COS1 cells transfected with this isoform demonstrated a significant decrease in forskolin-induced cAMP levels following treatment with 1 μM of morphine. In contrast, COS1 cells transfected with MOR1K did not show a morphine-dependent decrease in forskolin-induced cAMP levels. Instead, they showed a trend towards an increase in cAMP levels (Fig.2A). Furthermore, COS1 cells transfected with MOR1K showed a substantial increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} release following morphine treatment, which was
not observed in MOR1 expressing cells (Fig. 2B). These findings were replicated in Be2C neuroblastoma cells (Fig. 2C).

Because VGCC appears to be the primary target underlying the rapid inhibitory effects of opioids[17,18] and morphine stimulation of MOR1K increases intracellular Ca^{2+} levels in COS1 cells (Fig. 2B), we examined the dose-dependent regulation of Ca^{2+} levels in neuroblastoma BE2C cells transiently transfected with MOR1K (Fig. 2C). In BE2C cells, stimulation of MOR1K with morphine produced a robust dose-dependent increase in Ca^{2+} levels that was blocked by naloxone. A moderate increase in morphine-evoked Ca^{2+} levels was also observed in Be2C cells transfected with MOR1 or empty vector, however this was likely due to the high endogenous expression of MOR1K in this cell line (Fig. 1B). Consistent with this view, the increase in Ca^{2+} levels in cells transfected with MOR1K was significantly higher than the increases observed in cells transfected with either MOR1 or empty vector, all morphine-dependent Ca^{2+} increases were sensitive to opioid-receptor blockade with naloxone and MOR1-dependent morphine-evoked increases in Ca^{2+} were not observed in COS1 cells that do not express endogenous MOR1K (Fig. 1B, 2B).

Because the main cellular response mediated by another reported 6TM isoform, MOR-3, is a morphine-dependent increase in nitric oxide (NO) production [45], we examined the effects of morphine on NO release from immortalized cell lines transfected with MOR1 or MOR1K isoforms (Fig. 3). There were marked differences between MOR1 and MOR1K with respect to morphine-induced NO production in transfected Be2C cells. In contrast to MOR1, activation of MOR1K with morphine produced a substantial dose-dependent increase in NO that was blocked by naloxone (Fig. 3A). Also, the time required for NO to reach its maximum level occurred more rapidly in MOR1K relative to MOR1 transfected cells. For MOR1K expressing cells, maximum NO production (16 pM) occurred at ~25 seconds and returned to a baseline at ~80 sec following 1 μM morphine administration. For MOR1 expressing cells, maximum NO production (7.5 pM) occurred at ~50 sec after administration of 1 μM morphine (Fig. 3C). Similar results were obtained in COS1 cells (data not shown). Importantly, morphine-dependent release of NO promotes a reduction in opioid analgesia as well as an increase in analgesic tolerance and OIH in animal models [46-49].

MOR1K coupling to G-protein complexes

GPCR signaling is initiated by activating heterotrimeric G-protein complexes. Uncoupling of Gα_i-Gα_o inhibits AC resulting in decreased cAMP production, while the release of βγ subunits inhibits Ca^{2+} channels so as to

---

**Figure 2** MOR1K activation stimulates cAMP and Ca^{2+}. COS1 (A,B) or BE2C (C) cells were transiently transfected with MOR1K, MOR1, or empty vector control expressing constructs. (A) Forskolin (FSK, 10 μM) was used to increase cAMP levels prior to morphine treatment. Following morphine treatment, cells expressing MOR1 exhibited reduced cAMP levels, while those expressing MOR1K did not. In fact, cells expressing MOR1K exhibited a trend towards an increase in cAMP levels. (B) Following morphine treatment, COS1 cells expressing MOR1K exhibited substantial increases in Ca^{2+} levels, while those expressing MOR1 did not. (C) Stimulation of MOR1K with morphine produced a robust dose-dependent increase in Ca^{2+} levels in BE2C cells. This increase was significantly different from the moderate increase in morphine-evoked Ca^{2+} levels observed in Be2C cells transfected with MOR1 or empty vector, which were likely due to the high endogenous expression of MOR1K in Be2C cells. For all panels, both MOR1 and MOR1K, morphine-dependent effects were antagonized in the presence of naloxone (0.1 μM). Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M from at least 6 experiments. $P < 0.05$ different from control and *P < 0.05 different from control and MOR1.
inhibit neural activity. Conversely, uncoupling of G\alpha_s and G\alpha_q subunits results in increased cAMP production and cellular excitation. Electrophysiological studies of the effects of opioids on isolated nociceptive-like dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons provides in vivo evidence that the inhibitory effects (e.g. shortening of the Ca^{2+}-dependent component of the action potential duration and inhibition of transmitter release) are mediated by G\alpha_i/o-dependent pathways. In contrast, the excitatory effects (e.g. prolongation of the action potential duration and stimulation of transmitter release) are mediated by G\alpha_s-dependent pathways. In contrast, the excitatory effects are mediated by G\alpha_s-dependent pathways. In contrast, the excitatory effects (e.g. prolongation of the action potential duration and stimulation of transmitter release) are mediated by G\alpha_s-dependent pathways.

Molecular and medical implications

Our results have very broad basic molecular and medical implications. First, they significantly contribute to our emerging understanding of the molecular and cellular biology of MOR receptor and GPCRs in general. MOR1K is one of several human and mouse MOR alternatively spliced variants coding for a truncated 6TM receptor lacking an extracellular N-terminal domain and transmembrane domain I [45,50,51]. To date, the functional significance of truncated 6TM MOR receptors has not been examined. The results of our studies are the first to show that activation of this 6TM MOR isoform results in increased production of mediators (Ca^{2+} and NO) associated with cellular excitation. Furthermore, immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that the MOR1K 6TM receptor couples to G\alpha_s rather than G\alpha_i. Unlike the stimulation of the MOR1 7TM, which inhibited the production of cAMP, morphine stimulation of the MOR1K 6TM failed to inhibit cAMP production and instead tended to increase cAMP production. The finding that MOR1K receptor couples with G\alpha_s suggests that we were not able to fully assess the extent of the cAMP regulation by MOR1K, which will require additional optimization of the cellular conditions. However, the robust effect of

Figure 3 MOR1K activation stimulates NO production. Be2C cells were transiently transfected with MOR1K or MOR1 expressing constructs. (A) MOR1K produced robust concentration-dependent increases in the release of NO. (B) The time course associated with morphine-induced (1 μM) NO release was markedly different in response to stimulating MOR1K and MOR1. NO production was blocked by pretreatment with naloxone (0.1 μM) and was significantly different from the respective controls. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 different from controls.
morphine on MOR1K-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) and NO release, in conjunction with a primary role of VGCC in mediating the analgesic effects of opioids [17,18] and the observation that MOR1K couples to Ga\(_s\), supports the view that MOR1K, and perhaps other 6TM isoforms, function to counteract the cellular actions mediated by canonical 7TM isoforms.

Although there are several examples in other receptor systems where truncated isoforms modulate the activity of [52-56] or display opposite biological activity relative to the canonical receptor [57], the functional significance of this interaction has not been fully appreciated. Because GPCRs are the major targets for therapeutic drugs commonly used in clinical practice, and only a few other 6TM GPCRs isoforms have been reported (e.g., histamine H\(_3\)[55], prostanoid [58] and adrenergic alpha1A [56] receptors), our data open up a new vista for a fuller investigation of the functional importance of 6TM truncated GPCR isoforms in GPCR signaling and drug responses.

The observed intracellular localization of the MOR1K receptor isoform, while relatively rare, is well described for other functional receptor systems. One well known example is the sigma receptor (Sigma-1) that reside primarily at the endoplasmic reticulum and whose ligands include cocaine, (+)-benzomorphans like (+)-pentazocine, (+)N-allyl-normetazocine, and endogenous neurosteroids like progesterone and pregnenolone sulfate [35]. Many pharmacological and physiological actions have been attributed to sigma-1 receptors. These include the regulation of IP3 receptors and calcium signaling at the endoplasmic reticulum, mobilization of cytoskeletal adaptor proteins, modulation of nerve growth factor-induced neurite sprouting, modulation of neurotransmitter release and neuronal firing, modulation of potassium channels as a regulatory subunit, promotion of psychostimulant-induced gene expression, and blockade of spreading depression [59]. Among GPCRs, a well known example of an intracellularly located receptor is GPR30 that is localized to the endoplasmic reticulum, where it specifically binds estrogen. Activation by estrogen results in intracellular calcium mobilization and synthesis of phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3) in the nucleus [34]. However, the possibility that MOR1K cell surface expression is very transient or/and requires a chaperone for plasma membrane co-expression [60,61] can not be ruled out.

Finally, our results also suggest that MOR1K may mediate the molecular processes that underlie OIH and possibly pharmacological tolerance commonly observed in response to opioids. Because stimulation of MOR1K results in the production of excitatory mediators such as Ca\(^{2+}\), and NO that have been shown to contribute to tolerance and OIH [16,46-49,62], the up-regulation of this isoform or desensitization of the major 7TM MOR- and 6TM MOR1K-mediated activities and thus dictate the effects of MOR stimulation on physiological processing of nociceptive information. Thus, further investigation of MORK1 expression and function, in conjunction with major MOR1 isoform expression and function under chronic opioid exposure in animal and human models is required.

Figure 4 MOR1K couples to Ga\(_s\). COS1 cells were transiently transfected with MYC-tagged MOR1 or FLAG-tagged MOR1K constructs. (A) Immunoprecipitation was performed with anti-FLAG (raised in rabbit) and anti-MYC (raised in rabbit) antibodies. Immunoblotting was conducted with specific anti-Ga antibodies as described. The MOR1K-FLAG construct was found to couple to Ga\(_s\), while the MOR1-MYC construct coupled only to Ga\(_i/o\). The exposure time for MOR1K-FLAG experiments were ~2 times longer to see band intensities comparable to MOR1-MYC. (B) Consistent with Ga\(_s\), binding to the MOR1K isoform, morphine-mediated increases in Ca\(^{2+}\) levels were not prevented by pre-treatment of MOR1K expressing cells with PTX (100 ng/ml for 15min).
Conclusion
In summary, MOR agonists are among the most widely prescribed analgesics for both acute postoperative pain and chronic pain conditions; yet, there are substantial drug-induced side-effects of which we have very limited understanding. Our results provide substantial evidence that the 6TM MOR1K isoform is not just another alternatively-spliced form of MOR1, but instead it represents a functional receptor that contributes to the net cellular response of MOR agonists by facilitating excitatory effects. Thus, MOR1K may represent a new molecular target that mediates OIH, and analgesic tolerance. Elucidating the biological and cellular properties of 6TM and 7TM MOR receptor variants may ultimately lead to the identification and development of new classes of opioid analgesics, such as 7TM-selective agonists and/or 6TM-selective antagonists, that show a high degree of analgesic efficacy with fewer treatment limiting side-effects.

Methods
Cloning
MOR1K and MOR1 expression constructs were sub-cloned into pIRES2-EGFP (Clontech) expression vector using SacI/SacII restriction sites. MOR PCR products were generated using the following primers: for MOR1 TATCGAGCTCGCCACCATG-GACAGCAGCGCTGC CCCCCGCGGTTAGGGCAACGGAG-CAGTTTCTGCTTC; for MOR1K ATGCTCTAGATTAGGGCAACGGAGCAGTTTCTGGCTTC and AAGCTTGCCACCATGAAACTGCCAC CAAACTTACATTCC). The following primers were used to fuse Myc and Flag sequences to 5’ end of MOR1 and MOR1K: ATATCGAGCTCGCCACCATGGAGCAAAAGCTCATTTCTGAAGAGGACTTGATGG AACGAG-GCTATTGCAGGGAGGAAATGCTTGATGG ACAG and ATATCGAGCTCGCCACCATGGATACAAGGAGCACGAAGACTGCCAC CAAACTTACATTCC). The following primers were used to fuse Myc and Flag sequences to 5’ end of MOR1 and MOR1K: ATATCGAGCTCGCCACCATGGAGCAAAAGCTCATTTCTGAAGAGGACTTGATGG AACGAG-GCTATTGCAGGGAGGAAATGCTTGATGG ACAG and ATATCGAGCTCGCCACCATGGATACAAGGAGCACGAAGACTGCCAC CAAACTTACATTCC. All constructs were sequence verified.

Cell culture
Be2C, COS1, SH-SY5Y, HEK293 and H4 cell lines were obtained from ATCC. The cells were grown to 90% confluence and transfected with MOR1K, MOR1 or GFP expression constructs with Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s guidelines.

RT-PCR analysis
RNA from cell lines was extracted using a Qiagen RNeasy kit. The human tissues RNA samples were purchased from Takara Bio. The 2-3 μg of RNA was treated with TURBO-DNA free (Ambion) and reverse transcribed using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) kits. The cDNA was amplified with SYBR Green PCR Master mix (Applied Biosystems Inc) using forward and reverse PCR primers using 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. 7900HT Fast real-Time PCR system (ABI) was used for measuring RNA transcripts amplification. The same exon 2-specific reverse primer (GCCAGAGCAAGGTTGAAATG) was used in combination with either exon 1-specific forward primer (CTTCCTGGT CATGTATGTGATTGTC) or exon 13-specific forward primer (AGTGGTTCGAGATGAAACTGA).

Confocal microscopy
HEK293 or Be2C cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde (4%) and subsequently permeabilized with Triton X-100 (0.03%). Permeabilized cells were stained using Anti-Myc-Tag Antibody (Alexa Fluor 647 Conjugate), Anti-DYDDDDK Tag Antibody (Alexa Fluor 555 Conjugate) (Cell Signaling), Anti-FLAG M2 Antibody Alexa Fluor Conjugate or fluorescent-labeled naloxone (FNAL, Sigma) and coated with Prolong Gold Anti-Fade Reagent (Invitrogen). Images were obtained on an Olympus FV500 confocal laser scanning microscope with excitation wavelengths of 546 nm and 647 nm.

Flowcytometry analysis
Be2C cells were stained on ice in PBS containing 2% FBS. Fluorescence intensities were acquired using a CyAn ADP high resolution cytometer (DAKO, Fort Collins, CO). Concentration of unlabeled naloxone was maintained at 10 μM throughout the experiment. Dead cells were excluded using forward and side scatter characteristics and at least 2 × 10^4 live cells were acquired. The intensity of staining was expressed in arbitrary units of fluorescence. Four independent experiments were performed in triplicate. Mean values were compared using two way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test.

cAMP assay
For the cAMP accumulation assay, MOR1 or MOR1K expressants were plated on 12 well plates and grown to 90% confluence. On the day of sample preparation, cells were washed with DMEM to remove serum and incubated with serum-free DMEM containing the phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX (100 μM)(Sigma) for 30 min. Morphine was then added and cells incubated for a further 15 min. Following this, forskolin FSK (50 μM) was added to the wells and the cells were incubated for 15 min to stimulate cAMP production. DMSO alone was used as a vehicle control. After incubation, reactions were terminated by aspiration of the medium and addition of 0.1 M HCl followed by 20 min incubation at room temperature. After centrifugation of the cell samples at 10,000 × g for 10 min, protein content of the supernatant was assessed and the samples were diluted to protein concentrations of...
20 μg/ml. The levels of cAMP were determined using an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) cAMP EIA kit (Cayman Chemical) according to the manufacturer specifications.

Calcium measurement
Be2C human neuroblastoma cells were grown to near confluence in black 96-well poly-D-lysine coated plates. The cell cultures were grown in DMEM/F12 media. The indicator Fluo-4 NW dye (Invitrogen) was prepared as outlined in manufacturer instructions. 100 μL of Fluo-4 NW dye was added to each well. The plate was then incubated with the lid on at 37°C for 30 minutes, then at room temperature for an additional 30 minutes. The fluorescence was measured using Victor-3 (Perkin Elmer) microplate reader with settings for emission at 515 nm and excitation at 500 nm.

Nitric oxide (NO) measurement
Cells were grown in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C. NO release from the transfected and untransfected cell lines was directly measured using an NO-specific amperometric probe (Innovative Instruments). The system was calibrated daily by nitrosothiol donor S-nitroso-N-acetyl-D,L-penicillamine (World Precision). The amperometric probe was allowed to equilibrate for at least 10 min before being transferred to the well containing the cells. Morphine-stimulated NO release was evaluated in response to increasing morphine concentrations in the range of 10^{-5}-10^{-8} M. Each experiment was repeated four times along with a control (cells transfected with vector alone).

Co-Immuo precipitation
The cells were lysed using RIPA buffer and centrifuged at maximum RPM for 20 minutes. Supernatants were collected and used for co-IP experiments. Following the overnight incubation on the rotary shaker at 4°C with anti-FLAG (MOR1K) or anti-MYC (MOR1) antibodies, the beads (Pierce) were added, and the samples were incubated for 6 hours at 4°C. The samples were then centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatants were discarded, and the beads were re-suspended in 50% RIPA/PBS buffer. The procedure was repeated 3 times. The samples were then boiled and run on 12% SDS-PAGE gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Hybond ECL; GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). After blocking overnight at 4°C with non-fat dried milk in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1% Tween 20), the membranes were probed with primary antibodies [anti-Gαs (K-20): sc-823, anti-Gαs (C-10): sc-262 and anti-Gαi (K-20): sc-378; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1,000] overnight at 4°C. After washing in TBS-T (three times, 5 min each), the blots were incubated for 4 hours at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:15,000; GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). All antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer. The antibody-antigen complexes were detected using the ECL system (Amersham) and visualized with photosensitive film (Kodak).
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