Enhancement of Surface Plasmon Fiber Sensor Sensitivity Through the Grafting of Gold Nanoparticles
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Abstract: The optical fibers, coated with plasmonic active metal films, represent the simple and unpretentious sensors, potentially useful for measurements of physical or chemical quantities and wide range of analytical application. All fiber-based plasmonic sensors operate on the same physical principle based on changes in the position of the plasmon absorption peak induced by a variation of surrounding medium refractive index. However, the observed spectral differences are often weak, and thus an enhancement of sensor sensitivity is strongly required. In this paper, we propose the immobilization of gold nanoparticles with sharp edges on the thin gold layer, deposited on the multimode fiber surface for improvement of the sensor functionality. The morphological and compositional changes in the gold covered fiber surface were determined by using the atomic force microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy methods. As a result of gold nanoparticles immobilization, the pronounced plasmon energy concentration near the fiber surface occurred, thus enhancing the response of the proposed hybrid plasmonic system to the variation of ambient refractive index. The position of plasmon absorption in the case of the created plasmonic structure was shown to be more sensitive to the changes in the surrounding medium in comparison with the standard sensors based on the bare gold layer.
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1. Introduction

Plasmonics and related applications possess a range of advantages in the highly precise detection field [1]. Utilization of surface plasmon resonance provides extremely sensitive detection tool with quintessential applications in life sciences, environmental monitoring, clinical diagnostics, pharmaceutical developments, and food safety [2–4]. The unpretentious sensitivity, achieved with plasmon waves, arises due to the large localization of electromagnetic energy near the metal surface [5–7]. Additionally, compared with another conventional analytical method, including chromatographic and spectrometric techniques, optical based plasmonic sensors are simpler in their
configuration as well as in the setup crucial for working activity and the data acquisition [8–10].

Especial interesting is the utilization of the plasmon-based sensing technique in a label-free manner [1, 11]. In this field, the optical fiber sensors based on the surface plasmon resonance, excited in the thin metal film deposited on the top of the optical fiber, provide a range of advantages [12, 13]. Such structure is sensitive to the refractive index changes of the external environment [14, 15] and can be used as cost-effective and very simple-to-implement alternatives to well established bulky prism configurations, which is often used in the plasmon-based detection/recognition [16, 17]. The fiber optic surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensors are advantageous for their simple structure, low cost, small sample volume, and remote sensing applications [18, 19]. Detection of pH [20], temperature [21] and various relevant properties of (bio)chemical compounds [22] was reported with the SPR fiber system.

The construction of fiber optics supporting SPR excitation involves the utilization of single or multimode optical fibers, covered with a metal layer [23, 24]. For optical reasons, the single-mode based system provides the greatest sensitivity, but the mechanical manipulation with these fibers is complicated due to their high fragility [25]. In contrast, the multimode fibers provide a higher mechanical resistance, but the wavelength position of plasmon resonance is less sensitive to the dielectric environment [4, 8]. Thus, one of the actual challenges in the field of the SPR based optical sensor system is related to a sensitivity increase, in terms of the shift in the resonance wavelength per unit change in the refractive indices.

In this paper, we propose a utilization of gold nanoparticles immobilization on the plasmon-active fiber surface, which potentially leads to surface plasmon resonance coupling [26–28], achieved between the thin metal layer and grafted nanoparticles with sharp edges. Such experimental route provides the strong enhancement of plasmon resonance and can enhance the SPR sensor sensitivity. It should also be noted that plasmon supported fiber sensors, whose responses are based on the excitation of surface plasmon resonance on the surface of the thin metal film, are usually considered more sensitive to refractive index changes than localized surface plasmon resonance-based sensors (i.e., metal nanoparticles based sensors) [29, 30]. However, their combination can significantly increase the general structure sensitivity, as demonstrated previously [28].

2. Experiment

2.1 Materials

Multimode plastic-clad silica optical (PCS) fiber was purchased from CeramOptec (Germany) with core and buffer/cladding diameters of 200 μm and 230/500 μm, respectively.

All chemical reagents were used as received without further purification. Chloroauric acid tetrahydrate (HAuCl₄·4H₂O, 99.9%), silver nitrate (AgNO₃, 99.0%), ascorbic acid (AA, 99.0%), and biphenyl-4,4′-dithiol (BFDT) (95.0 %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2 Samples preparation

The 1 cm of PCS fiber shell was thermally removed, and the naked PCS core was purified by using washing with deionized water, acetone, and methanol. A thin film of Au was deposited on the PCS fiber core by sputtering (thickness approx. 40 nm). The deposition of Au was accomplished from Au target (DC Ar plasma; gas purity: 99.995%; pressure: 4 Pa; discharge power: 7.5 W; sputtering time: 400 s). The fibers were fixed with the replaceable SubMiniature version A (SMA) connectors.

AuMs (Au multibranched nanoparticles) were prepared according to the procedure published in [31]. Freshly prepared fibers with Au layer were immersed into a methanol solution of BFDT
(5×10⁻³ mol·L⁻¹) for 24 h. After incubation, the samples modified by BFDT were washed with methanol and immersed into solutions of AuMs for 24 h. Finally, the samples were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath (in distilled water) and dried under a N₂ flow.

2.3 Measurement techniques

The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) (LYRA3 GMU, Tescan, CR) were used to determine the surface morphology and elemental composition. For quantitative element analysis, the scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX) spectra were collected for 20 min at the operating voltage of 10 kV and a beam current of 600 pA.

For sample surface characterization, the peak force atomic force microscopy (AFM) technique was applied. Surface mapping was performed with icon (Bruker) set-up on the areas of 2.5×2.5 µm².

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the AuMs were obtained with a JEOL JEM-1010 instrument (JEOL Ltd., Japan), with an SIS MegaView III digital camera (Soft Imaging Systems, acceleration voltage: 80 kV), and the analysis was performed by using AnalySIS Software 2.0.

Absorption spectra of the samples were obtained by using an HR2000 (Ocean Optics) spectrometer in the 400 nm – 1000 nm wavelength range by using the AvaLight-DHS light source (Avantes).

Raman spectra were measured by using a proRaman-L spectrometer (laser power: 25 mW) and a Raman spectrometer with 785 nm excitation wavelengths. Spectra were measured 30 times, each of them with 3 s accumulation time.

2.4 Sensor sensitivity calculation

The sensor sensitivity was defined by calculating the shift in the resonance wavelength per unit change in refractive index according to the formula

$$S_{\lambda}=\frac{\partial \lambda_{\text{res}}}{\partial n} \text{ (nm/RIU)},$$

where $S_{\lambda}$ is the SPR fiber sensor sensitivity, $\partial \lambda_{\text{res}}$ is the shift in the SPR resonance wavelength, and $\partial n$ is the change in the refractive index.

3. Results and discussion

In this paper, we propose the immobilization of Au nanoparticles with sharp edges (so-called multibranched Au nanoparticles – AuMs) on a thin Au layer deposited on the surface of the multimode optical fiber. It was expected that the potential plasmonic coupling phenomenon [28], between the thin Au layer and grafted AuMs, would create the high amplification of the plasmon-related electric field and enhance in this way the sensitivity of the created hybrid structure to the surrounding refractive index. The grafting of AuMs procedure was performed through the dithiol bridges and is schematically presented in Fig. 1. Firstly, the thin Au film was deposited on the naked optical fiber core and immersed in the solution of biphenyl-4,4'-dithiol (BFDT) to immobilize the organic moieties on the Au surface. The remained free BFDT thiol groups were further utilized for grafting of AuMs from the solution. As a result, the AuMs were immobilized in the close vicinity to Au covered fiber core and efficiently interacted with the evanescent plasmon wave, excited by the light propagating through the optical fiber.

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the preparation of the hybrid plasmonic sensor based on the plasmon coupling between the thin gold layer deposited on the multimode fiber surface and grafted with (i) dithiol and (ii) AuMs with sharp edges.

The shape and size of AuMs were estimated from TEM images [Fig. 2(a)]. As is evident, the
AuMs have sharp edges and can effectively contribute to so-called plasmon hot-spots excitation (the places where the local plasmon response was strongly excited). The surface morphology measured by the AFM on the fiber core covered with the Au layer, before and after AuMs grafting, is presented in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c). The surface of optical fiber covered with the thin Au film represented a relatively smooth surface, without apparent structural features [Fig. 2(b)]. The significant influence of the grafted AuMs on the surface morphology is evident from Fig. 2(c). AuMs grafting resulted in the appearance of the pronounced surface features and a significant increase in the \( R_a \) (arithmetical mean deviation from an ideally flat surface) value. The observed differences in the surface roughness after the grafting could be attributed to the non-closed packing of grafted AuMs as well as their sharp edges.

Additional SEM measurements confirmed the creation of a smooth surface after the deposition of Au on the fiber core [Fig. 3(a)] and conservation of the surface morphology after the BFDT grafting [Fig. 3(b)]. The monomolecular BFDT layer did not affect the surface morphology. A significant increase in the surface topography was observed after the AuMs immobilization on the Au surface [Fig. 3(c)]. Simultaneously with the SEM, the series of EDX measurements were performed to estimate the surface composition at all stages of samples preparation (see Table 1). The analysis of EDX results confirmed that as-deposited thin Au layer represented the non-contaminated Au layer. After the BFDT grafting, an increase in the carbon concentration as well as an appearance of sulfur on the fiber surface was observed. Further immobilization of AuMs resulted in a slight decrease in the measured sulfur and carbon concentrations, which was due to the screening effect. The appearance of the Ag-related EDX peak was attributed to the AuMs preparation route.

| Element | PCS/Au | PCS/Au/BFDT | PCS/Au/BFDT/AuMs |
|---------|--------|-------------|------------------|
| C       | 7.61   | 25.51       | 17.44            |
| O       | 2.69   | 2.53        | 3.95             |
| S       | 0      | 2.86        | 3.1              |
| Ag      | 0      | 0           | 1.94             |
| Au      | 89.7   | 69.1        | 73.57            |

The BFDT grafting and AuMs immobilization were examined by using the surface-enhanced
Raman spectroscopy (SERS) measurements (Fig. 4). The pristine Au covered fiber surface did not show any Raman band in the present measurement wavenumber range. Grafting of BFDT resulted in the appearance of weak Raman bands, whose wavenumbers positions corresponded well with the BFDT chemical structure (bands at 1580 cm\(^{-1}\) and 400 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to benzene ring, band at 1278 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the stretching of C-C between two benzene rings, bands at 1198 cm\(^{-1}\), 1079 cm\(^{-1}\), and 1008 cm\(^{-1}\) represented the C-H vibration in benzene ring, and band at 535 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated the presence of the S-S group. The weak intensity of the received Raman signal was obviously due to the formation of the monomolecular organic layer, typical for thiol compounds. Further deposition of AuMs resulted in the significant enhancement of BFDT related SERS signal. This phenomenon confirmed the significant enhancement of electric field intensity between the Au film and grafted AuMs, which led to a gigantic Raman response from sandwiched BFDT molecules.

The sensitivity of the created hybrid plasmonic structures to the changes in environmental refractive index was measured in the mixed solution of water/glycerol. The results were compared with the response of Au optical fiber covered with the bare Au layer (without grafted AuMs). The normalized results on the light absorption are presented in Figs. 5(a) (fiber covered with the bare Au layer, no AuMs) and 5(b) (fiber covered with the Au layer and grafted with AuMs). The AuMs grafting resulted in the red-shift of plasmon absorption wavelength. Further addition of glycerol resulted in a gradual increase in the surrounding medium refractive index and induced the shift of SPR resonance position in both optical fibers covered with bare Au and with Au/AuMs. However, observed spectral changes (i.e., variation of plasmon absorption maximum positions as a function of the surrounding refractive index) were rather different for bare Au and Au/AuMs cases. The SPR fiber sensor with grafted AuMs showed the greatest wavelength shift, indicating an increase in the sensor sensitivity to the changes in the surrounding refractive index.

Figure 6 summarizes the results of SPR measurements and gives the position of the SPR maximum as a function of the surrounding refractive index. In the case of simple SPR fibers, the position of the maximum SPP is shifted from 598 nm to 707 nm when the refractive index increases from 1.333 to 1.393. In the case of Au/AuMs fibers, the same change in the refractive index leads to the shift of the SPP maximum position from 667 nm to 860 nm. These results show that the Au/AuMs SPP sensors are two times more sensitive to the changes in the surrounding refractive index.

The present experimental results are summarized in Table 2, together with calculated sensor sensitivity values (\(S_{\lambda n}\)). Since the SPR sensor sensitivity is not a constant and can vary with refractive index change, the \(S_{\lambda n}\) values are given for several measured refractive index intervals. It is evident that the Au/AuMs SPR sensor exhibited better sensitivity than the simple SPR sensor with the bare Au layer for all measured refractive index intervals. The higher sensitivity was explained by the strong interaction between the thin Au layer and grafted AuMs. This phenomenon led to an efficient excitation of plasmon hot spots and an increase in
the SPP sensor sensitivity. The similar phenomenon was observed in the case of SERS enhancement, but to our present knowledge, the utilization of phenomenon for enhancement of SPP fiber optic sensor sensitivity was reported in this work for the first time. In turn, the comparison of obtained results with previously published results indicated that observed enhancement of RIU (2 times – 3 times, depending on the wavelength range) was similar, where the sensitivity enhancement was reached by using the deposition of the additional layer of silicon or oxide [32, 33].

Finally, we calculated the relation of sensor sensitivity to half-width of the plasmon absorption maximum (since the widening of the absorption band was observed in Fig. 5). Results are also presented in Table 2, and as is evident, slightly worse results were observed in these terms of sensor sensitivity interpretation. Apparently, in this case, the widening of plasmon absorption band took place

Table 2 Sensitivity values of plasmon-supported optical fibers with/without grafted AuMs for different refractive indices intervals.

| Refractive indices (RIU) | Δn (RIU) | SPR resonance wavelength | SPR sensor sensitivity Sλ (nm/RIU) | Sλ/FWHM |
|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
|                         |          | Pristine fiber sensor    | Fiber sensor with AuMs             |          |
| 1.3329                  | 0.0191   | 602                      | 672                                |          |
| 1.3520                  | 0.0319   | 614                      | 705                                | 0.628×10³ | 1.727×10³ | 4.94 | 9.18 |
| 1.3648                  | 0.0456   | 668                      | 793                                | 1.128×10³ | 2.507×10³ | 8.00 | 11.93 |
| 1.3785                  | 0.0591   | 710                      | 859                                | 1.447×10³ | 2.653×10³ | 9.04 | 13.53 |
| 1.3920                  |          | 710                      | 859                                | 1.827×10³ | 3.164×10³ | 10.81 | 22.12 |
due to the higher distribution of AuMs size and resulted in an insignificant increase in sensor figure of merits after nanoparticles immobilization. This drawback could be further compensated by the utilization of AuMs with narrow distribution (in the case of appearance of the relevant and scalable methods of their preparation) or utilization of narrow light source for probing of refractive index changes.
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