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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to investigate OCB among physicians in China and explore whether their job satisfaction mediates the association between doctor-patient relationship (DPR) and organizational citizenship behavior (OCB).

Methods: This cross-sectional, questionnaire-based survey was conducted among 1400 physicians in Shaanxi, China in 2014. The subjects were selected using a multi-stage cluster sampling methodology. The self-administered questionnaires included OCB Scale, DDPRQ, and PJSQ. Hierarchical linear regression analysis was used to estimate the effects of job satisfaction on the association between DPR and OCB.

Results: DPR negatively predicted four dimensions of OCB, including conscientiousness, sportsmanship, civic virtue, and altruism. DPR was negatively related to five job satisfaction dimensions, namely work satisfaction (WS), promotion satisfaction (PS), reward satisfaction (RS), supervision satisfaction (SS), and environment satisfaction (ES). WS was positively correlated with conscientiousness and civic virtue; PS and SS were positively related to all four OCB dimensions; RS was positively related with civic virtue and altruism, and ES was positively correlated with conscientiousness and civic virtue. WS and PS partially mediated the association between DPR and conscientiousness; PS and SS partially mediated the relation between DPR and sportsmanship; PS, SS, and ES mediated the association between DPR and civic virtue; and PS, RS and SS partially mediated the relation between DPR and altruism.

Conclusion: Job satisfaction mediated the association between DPR and OCB among Chinese physicians. The poor DPR possibly reduce physicians’ job satisfaction, thereby causing a decline of OCB in hospitals. Therefore, DPR improvement and job satisfaction have a great potential to promote physicians’ job performance in China.
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Introduction

In China, physicians practicing in hospitals are the major providers of medical services, playing a vital role in determining health care quality (1). To improve the overall performance of healthcare delivery, it is important to promote the organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) of
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physicians. Doctor-patient relationship (DPR) is a robust predictor of physicians’ OCB (2, 3). Physician-patient communication directly influences the OCB of specialty physicians (4). Although DPR may influence OCB indirectly through intervening job satisfaction (5, 6), the triadic relationship among DPR, OCB, and job satisfaction remains largely unknown and lacks empirical evidence (7).

OCB is considered discretionary work behavior that improves the effective functioning of an organization (8). OCB includes the following dimensions: conscientiousness, sportsmanship, civic virtue, altruism, and courtesy (9). There are increasing data supporting the notion that, in hospital setting, OCB is capable to improve the quality of care delivered, facilitate achievement of the hospital’s goals, and enhance physicians’ job performance, reflected by more efficient medical care and betterment of relations with patients and colleagues (6,10).

DPR is described as a therapeutic relationship in which physicians build an alliance with patients (11). Effective physician-patient interaction is related to a wide range of positive work behaviors, such as increased diagnostic accuracy (12), improved use of health resources and better medical care (13). DPR may have both direct and indirect effects on physicians’ OCB (4,7).

Job satisfaction is identified as an evaluative state that expresses contentment with and positive feeling about one’s job (14). Physician job satisfaction may be affected by at least five factors, including job demands, job control, collegial support, income, and incentives (15). Doctor-patient interaction is a major source of satisfaction in physicians (16,17). And DPR has strong power in predicting physicians’ job satisfaction (18). In addition, job satisfaction has been found to be associated with OCB among hospital employees (19, 20). Increases in physician job satisfaction often lead to greater organizational performance for patient care (21).

The potential impact of job satisfaction on the association between DPR and OCB has not been examined in physicians. The aim of this study was to investigate OCB among physicians in China and determine whether the association between DPR and OCB is mediated by job satisfaction.

Materials and Methods

Participants
This cross-sectional study was conducted in Shaanxi Province (population, 37.75 million), China, between Aug and Sep 2014. Participants were selected using a multi-stage cluster-sampling method. At the first stage, one city in each region of the province was randomly selected. Then, two large general hospitals (>500 beds) were randomly selected if the sampling city was a capital city, or one large general hospital was randomly selected from each city. At the third stage, 50% of the physicians from each hospital were randomly sampled. In total, 1400 physicians were selected from six large general hospitals in five cities. Effective responses were obtained from 1017 individuals, which formed the study sample for analyses.

Measurements
A) OCB questionnaire: The OCB scale used in this study was previously reported (22). It was a 24-item tool, measuring the five dimensions of OCB. This instrument used a five-point Likert scale with anchors of 1 to 5. Total score measured OCB, and higher scores indicated better OCB. In this study, the four factors that emerged after factor analysis were conscientiousness, sportsmanship, civic virtue, and altruism. The Cronbach’s alpha value of the OCB scale was 0.894. After revising three items, the results of confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) showed that the fit of the four-factor model was acceptable, goodness-of-fit index (GFI) = 0.953, normed fit index (NFI) = 0.940, comparative fit index (CFI) = 0.957, and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.049.

B) Difficult Doctor-Patient Relationship Questionnaire (DDPRQ): The DPR was measured with the 10-item version of the DDPRQ, which was proposed (23) to reflect physicians’ percep-
tion of difficulties in the DPR. Each item was scored from 1 to 6, except for items 1, 7, and 9, reverse-scored. Lower scores reflected less difficulty in caring for patients than higher scores. The Cronbach’s alpha value of the DDPRQ was 0.773, and CFA confirmed that the DDPRQ had a satisfactory goodness-of-fit (GFI = 0.985, NFI = 0.972, CFI = 0.981, RMSEA = 0.046).

C) Physicians’ Job Satisfaction Questionnaire (PJSQ): The PJSQ was used to measure job satisfaction (24). The total scale consisted of 58 items, and each item was rated from 1 to 5, except for items 5, 7, 51, 52, and 53, reverse-scored. Higher scores indicated greater job satisfaction. The Cronbach’s alpha value of the PJSQ was 0.965, and CFA confirmed that the PJSQ had a satisfactory goodness-of-fit (GFI = 0.943, NFI = 0.961, CFI = 0.975, RMSEA = 0.040).

Ethical Consideration
This survey and protocols were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee, Xi’an Jiaotong University. Verbal informed consent was obtained from each participant after the study objective, sampling methods and analysis, and reporting procedure were explained to eligible physicians. Verbal informed consent was considered appropriate over written informed consent because this anonymous study was very low risk and among educated healthcare professionals.

Statistical Analysis
The research variables were compared between various groups by gender, age, education, and length of employment by an independent-sample t-test and one-way analysis of variance. Pearson’s correlation analysis was used to examine correlations among research variables. Hierarchical linear regression analysis was applied to estimate the mediation effect of job satisfaction on the association between DPR and OCB. According to the criteria, to establish mediation, the following conditions must hold: First, the independent variable must affect the dependent variable; second, the independent variable must be shown to affect the mediator; third, the mediator must affect the dependent variable; fourth, the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable must be reduced when the mediator is included (25).

The concerned variables were entered in the hierarchical linear regression equation in three steps. In the first step, demographic characteristics (gender, age, education, and length of employment) were added as the control variables. The significant DPR was included as the independent variable in the second step. All significant job satisfaction variables were involved as the mediators in the third step.

Results
The participant characteristics and distributions of OCB dimensions for the categorical variables are indicated in Table 1. 51.43% of the participants were females; 49.16% were 26–35 yr old; 68.73% had a graduate or higher degree; and 39.63% had up to 5 yr of work experience.

There were significant differences in all four OCB dimensions for the length of employment (all \(P < 0.05\)). The conscientiousness (\(P < 0.05\)), sportsmanship (\(P < 0.01\)) and civic virtue (\(P < 0.001\)) scores differed across age groups. However, no significant difference was observed among the age groups with respect to altruism. Between genders, there were significant differences in scores for conscientiousness (\(P < 0.05\)), sportsmanship (\(P < 0.05\)), and altruism (\(P < 0.01\)), however, there was no significant difference in the score for civic virtue. There were no significant differences in all four OCB dimensions among different education groups.

The correlations between the research variables are shown in Table 2. DPR was negatively related to all five job satisfaction dimensions and all four OCB dimensions, respectively. WS was positively correlated with conscientiousness (\(r = 0.140, P < 0.01\)) and civic virtue (\(r = 0.264, P < 0.01\)), respectively. PS and SS were positively related to all four OCB dimensions, respectively. RS was positively related with civic virtue (\(r = 0.279, P < 0.01\)) and altruism (\(r = 0.101, P < 0.01\)), respectively. ES was positively correlated with conscientiousness
(r =0.084, P<0.01) and civic virtue (r =0.303, P < 0.01), respectively. The results of hierarchical linear regression analysis are presented in Table 3.

Table 1: Participant characteristics and the distributions of OCB dimensions by categorical variable

| Category          | Subcategory          | N (%)  | Conscientiousness Mean (SD) | OCB Dimensions | Civic virtue Mean (SD) | Altruism Mean (SD) |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Gender            | Male                 | 494(48.57) | 2.99(0.63) | 3.01(0.54) | 2.33(0.52) | 2.79(0.37) |
|                   | Female               | 523(51.43) | 3.09(0.63) | 3.09(0.52) | 2.27(0.50) | 2.86(0.38) |
| t                 |                      |        | -2.441               | -2.342        | 1.737        | -2.677          |
| P                 |                      |        | 0.015                | 0.019         | 0.083        | 0.008            |
| Age (yr)          | ≤25                  | 123(12.09) | 2.95(0.66) | 2.93(0.55) | 2.23(0.51) | 2.80(0.40) |
|                   | 26–35                | 500(49.16) | 3.01(0.64) | 3.02(0.53) | 2.25(0.52) | 2.83(0.40) |
|                   | 36–45                | 260(25.57) | 3.09(0.60) | 3.13(0.55) | 2.38(0.49) | 2.84(0.34) |
|                   | 46–55                | 116(11.41) | 3.07(0.64) | 3.12(0.44) | 2.38(0.49) | 2.85(0.38) |
|                   | >55                  | 18(1.77) | 3.39(0.54) | 3.26(0.45) | 2.63(0.41) | 2.95(0.33) |
| F                 |                      |        | 2.603               | 4.700         | 6.372        | 0.857            |
| P                 |                      |        | 0.035                | 0.001         | 0.000        | 0.489            |
| Education         | Junior college or    | 38(3.74) | 3.13(0.70) | 3.06(0.60) | 2.24(0.43) | 2.85(0.44) |
|                   | lower                |         |                      |               |             |                  |
|                   | College              | 280(27.53) | 3.10(0.68) | 3.09(0.51) | 2.32(0.51) | 2.86(0.37) |
|                   | Graduate or higher   | 699(68.73) | 3.01(0.61) | 3.04(0.53) | 2.30(0.51) | 2.82(0.38) |
| F                 |                      |        | 2.554               | 0.703         | 0.407       | 1.286            |
| P                 |                      |        | 0.078                | 0.495         | 0.666       | 0.277            |
| length of employment (yr) | ≤5 | 403(39.63) | 2.97(0.64) | 2.96(0.52) | 2.23(0.52) | 2.80(0.40) |
|                   | 6–10                 | 273(26.84) | 3.09(0.61) | 3.10(0.60) | 2.30(0.54) | 2.83(0.38) |
|                   | 11–15                | 128(12.59) | 3.04(0.67) | 3.05(0.44) | 2.40(0.49) | 2.84(0.33) |
|                   | 16–20                | 93(9.14) | 3.01(0.58) | 3.11(0.44) | 2.34(0.45) | 2.85(0.33) |
|                   | >20                  | 120(11.80) | 3.16(0.63) | 3.20(0.47) | 2.42(0.44) | 2.90(0.39) |
| F                 |                      |        | 2.681               | 6.309         | 5.301       | 2.664            |
| P                 |                      |        | 0.030                | 0.000         | 0.000       | 0.026            |

Table 2: Means, standard deviations (SD) and correlations of DPR, job satisfaction, and OCB variables

|              | Mean (SD) | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |
|--------------|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| DPR          | 2.22(0.51)|    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 1. Doctor–patient relationship | 2.24(0.64) | -0.196** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2. Work satisfaction | 2.27(0.58) | -0.109** | 0.509** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 3. Promotion satisfaction | 2.16(0.85) | -0.176** | 0.620** | 0.650** |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4. Reward satisfaction | 2.30(0.56) | -0.153** | 0.584** | 0.627** | 0.606** |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5. Supervision satisfaction | 2.20(0.90) | -0.175** | 0.512** | 0.503** | 0.623** | 0.587** |    |    |    |    |
| 6. Environment satisfaction | 3.04(0.63) | -0.144** | 0.140** | 0.158** | 0.061 | 0.128** | 0.084** |    |    |    |
| 7. Conscientiousness | 3.05(0.53) | 0.032 | 0.078** | 0.047 | 0.100** | 0.051 | 0.376** | 0.230** |    |    |
| 8. Sportsmanship | 2.30(0.51) | -0.142** | 0.264** | 0.387** | 0.279** | 0.319** | 0.303** | 0.359** | 0.273** |    |
| 9. Civic virtue | 2.83(0.38) | -0.203** | 0.009 | 0.082** | 0.101** | 0.079** | 0.052 | 0.438** | 0.563** | 0.275** |

* P <0.05, ** P <0.01 (two-tailed)
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To test the mediation effect of job satisfaction on the association between DPR and OCB, regression analyses with three steps were performed. First, the control variables significantly predicted conscientiousness \( (R^2 = 0.019) \), sportsmanship \( (R^2 = 0.026) \), civic virtue \( (R^2 = 0.023) \), and altruism \( (R^2 = 0.015) \), respectively. Second, DPR significantly predicted conscientiousness \( (\beta = -0.148, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.022) \), sportsmanship \( (\beta = -0.139, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.020) \), civic virtue \( (\beta = -0.145, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.021) \), and altruism \( (\beta = -0.208, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.043) \), respectively. Third, WS and PS positively predicted conscientiousness \( (WS: \beta = 0.118, P < 0.01; PS: \beta = 0.162, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.034) \); PS and SS positively predicted sportsmanship \( (PS: \beta = 0.125, P < 0.01; SS: \beta = 0.231, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.051) \); PS, SS, and ES positively predicted civic virtue \( (PS: \beta = 0.282, P < 0.001; SS: \beta = 0.101, P < 0.05; ES: \beta = 0.145, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.170) \); PS, RS, and SS positively predicted altruism \( (PS: \beta = 0.191, P < 0.001; RS: \beta = 0.293, P < 0.001; SS: \beta = 0.171, P < 0.001; \Delta R^2 = 0.066) \). When calculated including WS and PS, the association between DPR and conscientiousness was significantly diminished \( (\beta: from -0.148 to -0.120, P < 0.001) \); in case PS and SS were included, the relationship between DPR and sportsmanship was significantly attenuated \( (\beta: from -0.139 to -0.116, P < 0.001) \); when PS, SS, and ES were included, the association between DPR and civic virtue was significantly removed \( (\beta: from -0.145 to -0.063, P < 0.001) \); if calculated including PS, RS, and SS, the relationship between DPR and altruism was significantly weakened \( (\beta: from -0.208 to -0.169, P < 0.001) \). In addition, DPR was significantly correlated to WS, PS, RS, SS and ES \( (P < 0.01) \). Therefore, WS and PS partially mediated the association between DPR and conscientiousness; PS and SS played partially mediating roles in the relation between DPR and sportsmanship; PS, SS, and ES mediated the association between DPR and civic virtue; PS, RS, and SS partially mediated the relation between DPR and altruism.

### Discussion

In this study, most Chinese physicians frequently demonstrated four kinds of OCB, including conscientiousness, sportsmanship, civic virtue, and altruism. This result was similar to study among hospital nurses (26). Health policy makers and administrators should realize the significance of OCBs, and make efforts to attract and retain physicians exhibiting these behaviors. According to the results of this study, the relationship between DPR and the five dimensions of Chinese physicians’ job satisfaction was statistically significant. These findings were in accordance with previous studies (27, 28). A better DPR was correlated with higher job satisfaction among healthcare staff (29). In recent years, DPR in Chinese healthcare

---

To extract the data into a table format, we would need to convert the text into a structured format. However, the provided text is not clearly structured into a table. As requested, here's how we might represent the data in a table format:

**Table 3: Results of Hierarchical linear regression analysis (n =1017; beta coefficients were presented)**

|                      | Conscientiousness | Sportsmanship | Civic virtue | Altruism |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|
| **Step 1**           |                   |               |              |          |
| Gender               | -0.074*           | -0.076*       | 0.044        | 0.042    |
| Age(yr)              | 0.115*            | 0.112*        | 0.055        | 0.022    |
| Education            | -0.006*           | -0.009*       | -0.009       | -0.026   |
| Length of employment | 0.098**           | 0.078*        | 0.132**      | 0.099*   |
| Doctor-patient       | -0.148**          | -0.120**      | -0.116**     | -0.063   |
| Work satisfaction    | 0.118*            | 0.046         | 0.169*       | 0.084    |
| Promotion satisfaction| 0.162**           | 0.125**       | 0.144**      | 0.108**  |
| Reward satisfaction  | 0.061             | 0.054         | 0.282**      | 0.191**  |
| Supervision satisfaction | 0.043           | 0.231**       | 0.144**      | 0.171**  |
| Environment satisfaction | 0.009         | 0.038         | 0.144**      | 0.057    |
| R²                   | 0.019             | 0.041         | 0.075        | 0.026    |
| ΔR²                  | 0.019             | 0.022         | 0.034        | 0.026    |
| F                    | 4.797***          | 8.550***      | 8.140***     | 6.884*** |

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (two-tailed)*

---
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industry is increasingly worsened. Insults and violent attacks have become common strategies of disappointed patients to fight against doctors (30). This problem can be explained in part by the geographical inequity of health resources, super-specialization of medicine, and high medical fees for ordinary people (31, 32). Furthermore, from the perspective of the physicians, lack of communication skills, usage of difficult medical terms, and failure in thoroughly comprehending patients’ complaints also hinder a good DPR (33). Eventually, in China, the ordinary patients have limited access to a reliable and stable healthcare service in public hospitals. The short-term relationship between under-training physicians (interns and residents) and the patients may be further disrupted by frequent rotations and shift changes, resulting in patients’ disappointment.

The association between DPR and OCB among Chinese physicians was demonstrated in this study. The negative effects of difficult DPR on conscientiousness, sportsmanship, civic virtue, and altruism were statistically significant. These results were parallel to a previous study, which revealed that interpersonal communication had significant correlation with OCB and its four dimensions in hospitals (34). Hence, effective interventions to enhance physicians’ work performance should be established based on a good DPR. Several aspects on the doctor’s part should be emphasized. These aspects include understanding patients’ needs and expectations better, showing respect, empathy, and interest in patients’ ideas, fears, and opinions, accepting patients’ wish to share decisions, and passing on clear and adequate information to patients (35).

We clarified the positive relationship between the five dimensions of job satisfaction and four kinds of OCB behaviors. These findings were consistent with the previous studies, which showed significant positive association between specific facets of job satisfaction and different dimensions of OCB in American and Thai medical staff (19, 20). Among Chinese physicians, high job satisfaction seems to make them exhibit more OCBs, which potentially promote the organizational efficiency of the hospital. Thus, hospital management should focus on elevating physicians’ job satisfaction by improving their working condition, promotion, pay, and supervision.

This study was the first to demonstrate that job satisfaction mediates the association between DPR and OCB among Chinese physicians. Apart from the direct effect of DPR on OCB behaviors, DPR also affects OCB through the mediation of job satisfaction. Two primary reasons should be involved to comprehend this triadic relationship. On the one hand, due to the norm of reciprocity, people tend to reciprocate toward others who help or benefit them (36). If patients provide good compliance, trust, and support where physicians experience job satisfaction, the physicians may reciprocate the favor. On the other hand, ample psychological studies have illustrated that persons who experience higher levels of job satisfaction tend to engage in citizenship behaviors (37, 38). Therefore, hospital administrators should develop strategies to increase physicians’ job satisfaction in the workplace. Additionally, hospital managers develop a healthy climate in which physicians and patients trust and respect each other mutually.

Conclusion

DPR was significantly associated with four dimensions of OCB and five job satisfaction dimensions, respectively. WS, along with PS, partially mediated the association between DPR and conscientiousness. PS and SS played partially mediating roles in the relationship between DPR and sportsmanship. PS, SS, and ES were mediators between DPR and civic virtue. In addition, PS, RS, and SS partially mediated the relation between DPR and altruism. Altogether, the difficult DPR possibly reduce physicians’ job satisfaction, thereby causing a decline of OCB in hospitals. Therefore, DPR improvement and job satisfaction have a great potential to promote physicians’ job performance in China.
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