Contribution of Storage and Currently Assimilated Nitrogen to Vegetative and Reproductive Growth of Rabbiteye Blueberry
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Abstract. The relative contribution of storage and currently assimilated N to reproductive and vegetative growth of ‘Bonita’ and ‘Climax’ rabbiteye blueberry (Vaccinium ashei Reade) was estimated immediately before and during the fruit development period. Total and storage N decreased in roots and shoots of both cultivars during dormancy and early fruit development. The principal N storage form appeared to be protein, as indicated by a significant decline in total shoot and root protein during this same period. Storage N from roots and shoots in both cultivars was remobilized to flowers and/or fruit and new vegetative growth. At anthesis, 90% of the total N present in reproductive organs was estimated to come from storage N. By fruit maturity, the contribution of storage N to new vegetative growth had decreased to 20% in both cultivars, indicating that currently assimilated N became the principal N supply for vegetative growth. Differences in timing of floral and vegetative budbreak between the two cultivars did not appear to affect allocation of either storage or currently assimilated N to new vegetative or reproductive growth.

Two sources of N are important in perennial crops: that which has been taken up during the previous year(s) and stored (i.e., storage N) and that currently available from the soil. Assessing the relative importance of storage and currently assimilated N pools to vegetative and reproductive growth can be approached by tracing either storage N or the N taken up by the plant during the current growing season (Deng et al., 1989). ‘N-labeling of spring applied fertilizer has been used to monitor current-year N uptake in apple (Malus domestica Borkh.) (Grasmanis and Nicholas, 1971), almond (Prunus dulcis (Mill.) D.A. Webb) (Weinbaum et al., 1984, 1987), walnut (Juglans regia L.) (Deng et al., 1989), and highbush blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum L.) (Retamales and Hanson, 1989). In general, uptake of fertilizer is minimal during dormancy, then increases with spring shoot and leaf expansion. In highbush blueberry, 32% of the fertilizer applied during dormancy was recovered by the plant during the growing season (Retamales and Hanson, 1989). Leaves accounted for the highest proportion of fertilizer N in the plant, with moderate amounts located in the crown, roots, and stems produced during the current season. Stems over 2 years old and fruit received the least amount of currently assimilated N.

N-labeling has been used to assess the contribution of storage vs. currently assimilated N in orange (Citrus sinensis L.) (Legaz et al., 1981) and walnut (Deng et al., 1989). In ‘Valencia’ orange, flowers acquired 79% of their N from storage pools, fruit at fruit set contained 62%, and vegetative growth at the end of the summer flush contained 44% storage N (Legaz et al., 1981). The percentage of storage N accumulated in new vegetative growth declined from 70% to 40% between flowering and the summer flush. In walnut, remobilization of storage N to new vegetative growth continued throughout the spring flush, although the extent of remobilization decreased as the spring growth flush progressed (Deng et al., 1989). Before pistillate flower maturation, development of vegetative and reproductive organs depended heavily on storage N, with flowers and vegetative growth acquiring 95% and 91%, respectively, of their N from storage pools. Leaves preferentially accumulated currently assimilated N during expansion; however, walnut fruit subsequently acquired a higher percentage of currently assimilated N relative to leaves. Although ‘N-labeling has been used to assess the efficiency of fertilizer use in highbush blueberry (Retamales and Hanson, 1989), there is no information on the relative contribution of storage vs. currently assimilated N to reproductive and/or vegetative growth in blueberry.

Amino acids and/or protein are the primary storage forms of N in plants. Protein has been reported by Tromp (1983) and Tromp and Ovaa (1971) to be the principal form of N stored in apple. Stassen et al. (1981) suggested that N is stored as both protein and amino acids in peach [Prunus persica (L.) Batsch.]. Amino acids have been reported to be the major N storage form only when plants are under stress (Millard, 1988). Nitrogen storage forms and their remobilization in blueberry have not been previously reported.

The purpose of this study was to compare the relative contribution of storage and currently assimilated N to reproductive and vegetative growth during the fruit development period for ‘Bonita’ and ‘Climax’ rabbiteye blueberries. These cultivars were selected for differences in budbreak pattern; floral and vegetative budbreak occur concomitantly in ‘Bonita’, while floral budbreak precedes vegetative budbreak in ‘Climax’. By selecting cultivars with contrasting spring growth patterns, temporal differences in allocation of storage and currently assimilated N could be compared. Additionally, the changes of free amino acids and total protein in shoots and roots were determined to assess the importance of these N storage forms to spring growth.
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Abbreviations: DAA, days after anthesis; DW, dry weight.
Materials and Methods

Plant material. ‘Bonita’ and ‘Climax’ rabbiteye blueberries were propagated by softwood cuttings in May 1988 and field grown from June 1988 until Feb. 1989. Twenty-eight plants of each cultivar were dug, potted into 22-liter pots containing a 1:1 pine bark mix, pruned to one basal stem, and maintained outdoors in Gainesville, Fla., from Feb. 1989 until plant harvest the following year. During the 1989 growing season, plants were watered as needed and fertilized with 3.5 g Peters 20N-6.1P-11.6K water soluble fertilizer/liter every 2 weeks through July and every 3 weeks from August through the second week of September, when fertilization was stopped. Fertilization at the same rate resumed at anthesis the following spring.

15N labeling. Labeling of the storage N pool began after completion of the fertilization regime in September. Labeling was done with nitrate-N so that residual 15N could be readily leached from the potting medium. Each plant received 0.6 g of N in the form of KN03, with 4.93% enrichment of 15N. This amount was equally divided into three aliquots, dissolved in 300 ml of water, and applied on 7 and 21 Oct. and 7 Nov. 1989. Leaf abscission occurred by 4 Jan. Containers were leached before the first plant harvest to remove residual 15N fertilizer that might be taken up by the plant during the following spring. Analysis immediately before the first plant harvest indicated there was no residual 15N in the soil.

Plant harvest and handling. Four individual plant replicates from each cultivar were randomly selected and harvested at each of five dates in 1990. The initial plant harvest was during dormancy (2 Feb., 31 days before anthesis), with the second harvest at 80% anthesis (9 Mar. for both cultivars). The third harvest was 28 days after anthesis (DAA)(6 Apr.), when the majority of fruit drop occurred and vegetative growth differences were manifested between the cultivars. At this time, the vegetative canopy of ‘Bonita’ was rapidly expanding, while vegetative budbreak in ‘Climax’ was just beginning. The fourth harvest occurred 51 DAA (29 Apr.), when both cultivars had rapidly expanding vegetative canopies with high N requirements. The final plant harvest for both cultivars took place at fruit maturity (26 May), 78 DAA. Plants were harvested on these dates and divided into roots, shoots (produced during the previous growing season), flowers or fruit, and new vegetative growth. Roots and shoots formed during 1988 and 1989 contained the labeled storage pools used for the 1990 vegetative and reproductive growth. Leaves that dropped during the fall and winter were collected by encircling each plant with wire mesh. Following fresh weight measurements of all plant parts, samples were freeze dried, weighed, ground through a 0.5 mm square (40 mesh) screen in a Wiley mill, and stored in airtight vials. Fruit sub-samples used in determining N and 15N content also were oven dried at 60C for 24 h before analysis. Analysis of covariance was used for shoot and root dry weight data, using total bud number as the covariate to account for preexperiment variability in plant size.

Control plants. Estimation of storage N contribution to vegetative and reproductive growth requires that the storage N pool be homogenously labeled. Eight plants from each cultivar were used as controls to determine if labeling of the N storage pool was uniform. These plants were labeled with 14N at the same time as their experimental counterparts; however, extensive dilution of the N pool by unlabeled N was prevented by withholding fertilization in the spring. Four plants of each cultivar were randomly sampled at harvest dates four (51 DAA) and five (78 DAA). Analysis of the 15N : 14N ratios in shoots and roots of dormant plants and fruits of control plants was used to determine if the storage N had been homogeneously labeled. A constant ratio in shoots and roots of dormant plants, and fruit of control plants throughout the experimental period, indicated that storage N pools were uniformly labeled.

Nitrogen analysis. Nitrogen content was determined by combustion of 3 mg dried tissue, followed by oxidation, and quantification of the evolved N2 gas on a Carlo Erba Model NA 1500 gas chromatograph (Carlo Erba Strumentazione, Milan, Italy) (Birkhold et al., 1992). The percentage of 15N-nitrogen was determined by mass spectrometer (Vacume Generators 602E, England). Analysis of covariance was used for plant N content, using fall leaf N concentration as the covariate to account for preexperiment differences in total N content.

Free amino acid concentrations of shoots and roots were determined by extracting 25 mg of dried tissue in boiling 80% ethanol (1:100w:v) for 2 min. Extracts were shaken for 20 min, centrifuged, the supernatant decanted, and the pellet re-extracted twice. The supernatants were combined and final volumes measured. Amino acid concentration was quantified via the ninhydrin procedure as described by Marks et al. (1985), using a leucine standard.

Total amino acids (free amino acids and protein amino acids) in shoots and roots were determined by hydrolyzing 20- mg dry tissue in 5 ml of 6N HSO4, containing 0.1% phenol (w:v) and 0.05% ß-mercaptoethanol (Ozols, 1990). Sample tubes were flushed with N2 gas, sealed, and incubated at 110C for 22 h. After being cooled, samples were neutralized with 4.6 ml of 28% NaOH (Marks et al., 1985) and analyzed for total amino acids by the procedure described above, with hydrolyzed BSA as the standard. Total protein was calculated by subtracting the free amino acid concentration from the total amino acid concentration.

Calculation of storage N. The quantity of storage and currently assimilated N within plant parts was estimated using the approach described by Deng et al. (1989). The isotopic labeling of the N pool during dormancy was used as the value for the storage N pool and assumes a uniform labeling of all N pools within the plant. The validity of this assumption was verified by monitoring 15N levels in control plants throughout the fruit development period, where it was determined that the 15N : 14N ratio in shoots and roots of dormant plants, and fruits of the control plants, were constant. The total N contribution from the storage N pool was calculated by dividing the excess 15N found in tissues at subsequent plant harvest dates by the initial excess 15N measured at the first plant harvest (2 Feb.), and multiplying by the total N per plant part. Currently assimilated N was determined by subtracting the storage N from the total.

Results

Plant development. Root and shoot dry weight (DW) in ‘Bonita’ declined significantly from 60 to 40 g and 40 to 30 g, respectively, between dormancy (31 days preanthesis or -31 DAA) and anthesis (0 DAA), then remained steady until increasing slightly between 51 DAA and fruit maturity (78 DAA) (Fig. 1A). ‘Climax’ root DW declined continuously between dormancy and fruit maturity, decreasing from 70 to 40 g (Fig. 1B). ‘Climax’ shoot DW declined between dormancy (-31 DAA) and 51 DAA before increasing at fruit maturity (78 DAA). Spring vegetative growth of ‘Bonita’ began concomitantly with floral budbreak, with the most rapid increase in new vegetative growth occurring between 28 and 51 DAA (Fig. 1C). The rate of vegetative DW accumulation decreased between 51...
and 78 DAA. Total spring vegetative growth per plant at fruit maturity (78 DAA) averaged 70 g DW. Flower and/or fruit DW on a whole plant basis did not change between anthesis and 28 DAA, but began increasing steadily 28 DAA. On an individual fruit basis, DW of ‘Bonita’ fruit increased from = 5 to 185 mg between anthesis and fruit maturity. ‘Bonita’ plants bore = 1660 florets per plant at anthesis but only 109 fruit at 78 DAA. Vegetative budbreak in ‘Climax’ did not begin until 28 DAA (Fig. 1D). Once growth began, however, a rapid linear increase in new shoot and leaf DW was observed throughout the remaining fruit development period. At fruit maturity, total new vegetative growth of ‘Climax’ averaged 80 g DW. Total flower and/or fruit DW for ‘Climax’ plants did not change significantly until after 51 DAA. Individual fruit DW increased from = 7 to 95 mg between anthesis and fruit maturity. ‘Climax’ plants carried = 1250 florets per plant at anthesis but only 143 fruit at 78 DAA.

Nitrogen concentration. Root N concentration in ‘Bonita’ remained constant at = 10 µg·mg⁻¹·DW until 28 DAA, when it began increasing gradually through fruit maturity (Fig. 2A). Nitrogen concentration in ‘Bonita’ shoots declined from = 7 to 4 µg·mg⁻¹·DW during the 31 days leading up to anthesis, then increased to 6 µg·mg⁻¹·DW by fruit maturity (78 DAA). Root N concentration in ‘Climax’ rose steadily from = 11 µg·mg⁻¹·DW during dormancy (-31 DAA) to = 15 µg·mg⁻¹·DW by fruit maturity (Fig. 2B). ‘Climax’ shoot N concentration declined significantly over the 112 day period, decreasing from 8 to 5 µg·mg⁻¹·DW.

Total N concentration in the earliest developed spring vegetative growth averaged 26 µg·mg⁻¹·DW for both cultivars (Fig. 2 C and D). By 51 DAA, the average N concentration declined to 15 µg·mg⁻¹·DW, where it remained until fruit maturity. Nitrogen concentration in flowers and/or fruit of both cultivars decreased from 20 µg·mg⁻¹ at anthesis to 10 µg·mg⁻¹ at fruit maturity.

Total shoot and root N content in ‘Bonita’ declined from dormancy (-31 DAA) until 28 DAA, then increased through fruit maturity (Fig. 3A). Shoots lost 150 mg and roots lost 280 mg of N during the period of decline and gained = 100 mg and 150 mg, respectively, during the period of increase. Spring vegetative growth of ‘Bonita’ acquired 1.0 g N from anthesis to fruit maturity, the most rapid period of gain occurring between 28 and 51 DAA. Reproductive organs accounted for = 220 mg of the total plant N content from anthesis through fruit maturity. On an individual fruit basis, N content increased from 0.13 mg N/berry at anthesis, to 0.28 mg at 28 DAA, 1.30 mg at 51 DAA, and 1.85 mg at fruit maturity (78 DAA) (Fig. 4).

Total shoot and root N in ‘Climax’ declined from dormancy (-31 DAA) to fruit maturity (78 DAA), with shoots and roots losing 170 and 150 mg of N, respectively (Fig. 3B). Unlike in ‘Bonita’, no period of rapid N loss or gain was observed in ‘Climax’ roots. Nitrogen content in spring vegetative growth of ‘Climax’ increased rapidly, gaining 1.1 g in 50 days. Total N content in ‘Climax’ fruit declined from 180 to 90 mg between 28 and 51 DAA, then increased to 155 mg at fruit maturity. Nitrogen content of individual fruit increased from 0.14 mg at anthesis to 0.34 mg at 28 DAA, 0.54 mg at 51 DAA, and 1.09 mg at fruit maturity (Fig. 4).

Isotopic labeling of the N pool in both cultivars decreased for all plant parts between dormancy (-31 DAA) and fruit maturity (78 DAA) (Fig. 3 C and D). Isotopic percentages in new vegetative growth declined at a greater rate than in other plant parts for both cultivars. Based on total N content and percent ¹⁵N, total storage N was calculated for each plant part. Amounts of storage N in shoots and roots of ‘Bonita’ decreased sharply from 280 to 130 mg and 620 to 390 mg, respectively, during the interval leading up to anthesis, indicating remobilization of this N source (Fig. 3E). There was no significant decline in shoot storage N after 28 DAA; however, root storage N continued to decline slightly throughout the fruit development period, reaching 260 mg by
fruit maturity (78 DAA). Total storage N content in flowers and/or young fruit was highest at anthesis (200 mg) and declined to 100 mg by fruit maturity, due to flower and fruit abscission. On an individual fruit basis, storage N was remobilized to fruit up to 51 DAA, after which N contribution to fruit arose solely from currently assimilated N (Table 1). Storage N accounted for 92% of the total N supplied to ‘Bonita’ reproductive organs by anthesis. This value decreased to 84% at 28 DAA, 69% at 51 DAA, and 48% at fruit maturity. The amount of storage N remobilized to new vegetative growth increased linearly up to 51 DAA, before plateauing at ≈200 mg. From 52 to 78 DAA, essentially all the N imported into new vegetative growth was derived from currently assimilated N (Table 1). New vegetative growth of ‘Bonita’ derived 91% of its total N from storage N at anthesis. This proportion declined to 58% at 28 DAA, 29% at 51 DAA, and 19% at fruit maturity, as currently assimilated, unlabeled N was taken up.

Storage N pools in shoots and roots of ‘Climax’ declined steadily from ≈400 to 100 mg and 800 to 300 mg between dormancy (-31 DAA) and fruit maturity (78 DAA), respectively, again indicating remobilization (Fig. 3F). Storage N in flowers and/or fruit declined from a maximum of 160 mg at anthesis to 75 mg at 78 DAA due to fruit abscission. The percentage contribution of storage N to the total N in ‘Climax’ flowers and/or fruit was similar to that of ‘Bonita’, decreasing from 87% at anthesis to 67% at 28 and 51 DAA and 49% at fruit maturity. Unlike the situation in ‘Bonita’ fruit, however, the amount of storage N remobilized to ‘Climax’ fruit was similar throughout all three stages of fruit development (Table 1). Remobilization of storage N contributed a decreasing percentage of the total N in new vegetative growth, declining from 66% at 28 DAA (i.e., vegetative budbreak for ‘Climax’) to 30% at 51 DAA, and 20% at fruit maturity. However, absolute amounts of storage N remobilized to new vegetative growth increased from 30 mg at 28 DAA to ≈200 mg at fruit maturity (78 DAA).

Protein content. Total root protein concentration in ‘Bonita’ declined sharply between dormancy (-31 DAA) and 28 DAA, decreasing from ≈100 µg·mg\(^{-1}\) DW to 60 µg·mg\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5A). Root protein concentration then increased rapidly, reaching a final concentration of 115 µg·mg\(^{-1}\). Total shoot protein concentration declined gradually from 65 to 40 µg·mg\(^{-1}\) DW throughout fruit development, with the largest decrease occurring between dormancy (-31 DAA) and anthesis (0 DAA). Although total protein concentrations changed in shoot and root tissue throughout fruit development, there were no concomitant changes in the concentration of total amino acids. Total protein concentration in shoots and roots of ‘Climax’ declined significantly between dormancy (-31 DAA) and anthesis, from an average of 70 to 40 µg·mg\(^{-1}\) DW and 70 to 50 µg·mg\(^{-1}\) DW for shoots and roots, respectively (Fig. 5B). Changes in shoot protein concentration following anthesis were not significant. However, root protein concentration increased from 50 to 60 µg·mg\(^{-1}\) between 28 and 51 DAA. Free amino acid concentration in shoots did not change significantly during fruit development, however; free amino acid concentration in roots increased significantly from ≈20 to 40 µg·mg\(^{-1}\) DW. Amino acid and total protein concentrations for both cultivars were consistently higher in roots than in shoots.

In ‘Bonita’, shoots lost 1.5 mg protein between dormancy (-31 DAA) and anthesis, after which the protein content remained relatively constant (Fig. 5C). Total root protein decreased from ≈6 to 2 mg between dormancy (-31 DAA) and 28 DAA, then increased to ≈4 mg by fruit maturity (78 DAA). Total protein in ‘Climax’ declined from 4 to 2 mg and 5 to 3 mg for shoots and roots, respectively, during the interval leading up to anthesis (Fig. 5D). There was little change in total root protein.
and shoot free amino acids during fruit development in either cultivar, with the exception of free amino acids in 'Climax' roots, which increased slightly between dormancy (-31 DAA) and anthesis.

**Discussion**

Losses in shoot and root DW, particularly in ‘Bonita’ roots between dormancy (-31 DAA) and anthesis, suggest that storage compounds are being remobilized to new growth during this period. Much of the loss in shoot and root DW is probably due to remobilization and respiration of carbohydrates, which comprise 25% to 45% of DW in woody plants (Tromp, 1983). However, the decline in total and storage N in roots and shoots of both cultivars from dormancy (-31 DAA) until 28 DAA suggests significant remobilization of N compounds as well. The large decrease in storage N and protein in roots of both cultivars indicates that roots were the primary storage site. In general, the percentage decline in levels of total and storage N in roots and shoots of ‘Bonita’ was similar through 28 DAA, suggesting little uptake of external N until this time. Similarly, Weinbaum et al. (1978) found that nitrate uptake by prune (Prunus domestica L.) trees was low during bud swell in the spring, increased dramatically during rapid shoot elongation, and remained high until leaf abscission in the fall. They reported a high correlation between nitrate uptake and the presence of leaves. Such a correlation was not readily apparent in our study. Storage N in roots of ‘Climax’ declined by 40% through 28 DAA, while total N declined by only 20%, suggesting that external N was taken up earlier by ‘Climax’ than by ‘Bonita’, even though the vegetative canopy of ‘Bonita’ was more fully established. This difference may be due to the larger root system of ‘Climax’ compared to ‘Bonita’ throughout early development.

Storage N content in ‘Bonita’ roots and ‘Climax’ roots and shoots continued to decline throughout fruit maturity, even as total N levels in these plant parts remained constant or increased. Thus, N stored from the previous growing season was used to support new growth even when currently supplied N was available. Similarly, Oland (1959) reported that N storage pools in apple trees decreased throughout the growing season, despite adequate external N supply.
Protein N appears to be the principle N storage form in blueberry, as indicated by the significant decline in shoot and root protein during the early stages of reproductive and vegetative development for both cultivars. Proteins are reported to be a primary N storage form in other fruit crops (Stassen et al., 1981; Tromp, 1983; Tromp and Ovaa 1971), as well as in other deciduous, woody perennials (Langheinrich and Tischner, 1991; Wetzel et al., 1989). The decrease in total protein concentration and content in shoots and roots of both cultivars between dormancy (-31 DAA) and 28 DAA mirrored the decrease in storage N, and suggests that remobilization of storage protein may be a particularly important source of N for new growth before establishment of a complete vegetative canopy. The increase in protein concentration and content after 28 DAA in roots of ‘Bonita’ (but to a much more limited extent in roots of ‘Climax’) may reflect the decreased sink demand and/or increased source supply of N after establishment of the vegetative canopy. This pattern of protein degradation and accumulation in storage tissue is similar to that found by Coleman et al. (1991) in stems of *Populus deltoides* Bart. ex Marsh. They suggested that storage protein accumulation may be regulated, at least partially, by source/sink relationships.

Table 1. Estimated N cost and N supply for fruit and vegetative (veg.) growth of rabbiteye blueberry at various developmental stages.

| Developmental stage | 0-28 DAA | 29-51 DAA | 52-78 DAA | Total (0-78 DAA) |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Cultivar            | Fruit N  | Veg. N    | Fruit N  | Veg. N          | Fruit N  | Veg. N |
| Bonita              | 0.15     | 133       | 1.02     | 577            | 0.55     | 290    | 1.72 | 1000 |
| N cost (mg)         |          |           |          |                |          |        |      |      |
| N supply (mg)       |          |           |          |                |          |        |      |      |
| Current             | 0.03(20) | 62(47)    | 0.36(35) | 454(79)        | 0.55(100)| 290(100)| 0.94(55)| 806(81)|
| Storage             | 0.12(80) | 71(53)    | 0.66(65) | 123(21)        | 0.0      | 0      | 0.78(45)| 194(19)|
| Climax              | 0.2      | ---       | 0.20     | 546            | 0.55     | 548    | 0.95 | 1094 |
| N cost (mg)         |          |           |          |                |          |        |      |      |
| N supply (mg)       |          |           |          |                |          |        |      |      |
| Current             | 0.09(45) | ---       | 0.07(35) | 396(73)        | 0.38(69) | 502(92)| 0.54(57)| 898(82)|
| Storage             | 0.11(55) | ---       | 0.13(65) | 150(27)        | 0.17(31) | 46(8)  | 0.54(43)| 196(18)|

*DAA = days after anthesis.*

*Values represent milligrams N per fruit or milligrams N in new vegetative growth accumulated during each stage of development. Data were calculated from Fig. 3.*

*Values in parentheses represent the percentage contribution of storage or currently assimilated N for each stage of development.*
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Fig. 4. Nitrogen content in rabbiteye blueberry fruit from anthesis (0 DAA) to fruit maturity (78 DAA) (means ± se, n = 4, se bars present only when larger than symbol).
Nitrogen concentration in reproductive and new vegetative growth was highest early in development and decreased with time. This result resembles findings by Retamales and Hanson (1989) for blueberry and Taylor and van den Ende (1969) for peach and suggests a strong N demand by rapidly growing organs, probably due to high rates of nucleic acid and protein synthesis in dividing tissues.

Storage N from shoots and roots in both cultivars was remobilized to flowers and young fruit; 90% of the total N present in reproductive organs at anthesis was estimated to come from storage N. Total and storage N content of the crop decreased after anthesis as a result of petal and fruit drop. On an individual fruit basis, total N content increased throughout development for both cultivars. Storage N content increased throughout development in ‘Climax’ fruit, indicating that remobilization of storage N into fruit continued throughout fruit development. However, storage N remobilization to ‘Bonita’ fruit ceased by 51 DAA, with currently assimilated N supplying the N requirements of the fruit during the last stage of growth. Currently assimilated N supplied an increasing percentage of total N in developing fruit, increasing from ≈10% at anthesis to 50% at fruit maturity for both cultivars. Deng et al. (1989) also concluded that currently assimilated N became increasingly important for walnut fruit during leaf expansion. Following leaf expansion, however, developing fruits accumulated a higher percentage of currently supplied N than did leaves. This pattern did not hold with blueberry, where currently assimilated N accounted for a higher percentage of the total N for vegetative compared to reproductive growth at all stages of development. This difference may reflect the overall lower N requirements of blueberry fruit compared to walnut during leaf expansion. Following leaf expansion, however, developing fruits accumulated a higher percentage of currently supplied N than did leaves. This pattern did not hold with blueberry, where currently assimilated N accounted for a higher percentage of the total N for vegetative compared to reproductive growth at all stages of development. This difference may reflect the overall lower N requirements of blueberry fruit (Birkhold et al., 1992) compared to nut crops (calculated from Weinbaum et al., 1984).

There appeared to be little effect of temporal differences in floral and vegetative budbreak on allocation of storage vs. currently assimilated N to new vegetative or reproductive growth in blueberry. Nor was there any significant effect of budbreak differences on N concentration in the various plant parts throughout fruit development. Although there was a difference in patterns of root protein degradation and accumulation between the two cultivars, the apparent delay in protein accumulation observed in ‘Climax’ roots, although possibly related to the delay in vegetative budbreak, does not appear to limit either vegetative or reproductive growth of blueberry.
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