ABSTRACT

Aims: Vegetables provide a favourable habitat for diverse populations of microorganisms. Some vegetables, especially the ones used in salads are ready-to-eat food products and some phyllosphere bacteria might contribute to the prolonged presence of human food-borne pathogens in these vegetables.

Methodology: Phyllosphere bacteria associated with Cnidoscolus aconitifolius were evaluated using a culture-independent approach, Illumina MiSeq platform of 16S rRNA gene sequencing and then compared with publicly available data obtained from Spinacia oleracea (spinach) and Lactuca sativa (lettuce) on GenBank.

Results: The results from this study showed that the three vegetables harbor diverse bacterial organisms. Eighty-three (83) Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) assigned to five phyla were
The most prevalent phyla across studied vegetables were: Proteobacteria (74.79%), Actinobacteria (8.69%) and Firmicutes (7.37%). Potential human pathogenic species such as *Bacillus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., and *Pseudomonas* spp. were also present in lettuce and spinach. Bacteria with potential for antibiotic production, anti-microbial and antibiotic resistant genes belong to the families Bacillaceae, Streptomycetaeae, Pseudomonaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcaceae, Enterococcaceae and Streptococcaceae. The most abundant taxa obtained from this study were *Pseudomonas, Erwinia, Brachybacaterium, Megasphaera, Janthinobacterium, Sphingomonas* and *Lactobacillus*.

**Conclusion:** Our result successfully determined the relative abundance of potential human and plant pathogens in the leafy vegetables and also showed the bacterial community structure in the studied vegetables.
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**1. INTRODUCTION**

*Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* (Mill.) I. M. Johnston commonly called “chaya” is an evergreen drought-resistant leafy perennial shrub that originated from the Maya region of Guatemala, Belize, and Southeast Mexico as a leafy vegetable during the pre-cambrian period [1]. Today, chaya is found growing in the wild and in cultivation in all the regions in Nigeria. The plant has both medicinal and nutritional values. It is believed to possess blood-building capacity. Azeez et al. [2] reported that ethanolic extract of chaya leaves increases motility of sperm cells and can be used for management of diabetes. According to Orji et al. [3], *C. aconitifolius* contains phenols which are well-known anti-cancer agents with high ability to fight against cancer. Chaya leaves have been reported by many authors to have anti-microbial and hepatoprotective properties, and also induces increase in white blood cells which are defensive against diseases [4,5,6].

Phyllosphere refers to the interior and exterior of the above-ground (aerial) parts of vascular plants [7]. The phyllosphere is colonized by diverse microorganisms that have the capacity to adapt to water or nutrient-limited environments, high temperatures and UV exposure [7,8]. Bacteria are known to colonize the phyllosphere to a greater extent than other microorganisms with the density of about $10^{26}$ cells g$^{-1}$ leaf [9]. Microorganisms in the phyllosphere have been shown to play a vital role in maintaining the total development and health of their host plant [7,10,11]. The phyllosphere is also colonized by several bacteria that play significant roles in human health. The causative agent of bacterial leaf spot disease of lettuce, *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *vitiens*, co-existed positively with bacteria from the genus *Alkanindiges*, but antagonistic to species belonging to the genera, *Bacillus, Erwinia*, and *Pantoea* [12]. Certain strains of *Sphingomonas, Pseudomonas* and *Erwinia* have been recorded to confer protection on plants against some plant pathogens through certain mechanisms such as competition for limited nutrients in the phyllosphere [13,14]. Even though the phyllosphere is not an ideal habitat for human pathogens, *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 survive on plants in the field over long periods of time at low levels [15].

There is accumulated evidence that plant species and season determine the composition of the microbiome in the phyllosphere [16,17] among other factors. Traditionally, culture-dependent methods have been used to isolate and identify microorganisms, but these methods have a lot of limitations as they are time-consuming and painstaking. Next-generation DNA sequencing which allows the analysis of numerous sequences in a single sequencing run brought about reduction in the cost of sequencing. Illumina next generation sequencing which is a second generation sequencing technology [18] involves an ultra-high-throughput sequencing of organisms and produces very high amounts of sequence data that are far greater than those obtained from the ‘first-generation’ molecular techniques (Sanger sequencing).

There is limited information on the microbial community of raw food and vegetables and also the assessment of potential human pathogens in these food produces, hence the need for this study. The objectives of this study are to (1) characterize the bacterial community of chaya leaves in order to identify the bacterial organisms that affect its quality and could be pathogenic to
the plant and to humans, (2) to compare the bacterial community of chaya with that of lettuce and spinach, and to determine the relative abundance of potential human and plant pathogens in the leafy vegetables.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

*Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* leaves were obtained from Choba, Rivers State, Nigeria in April 2018. The coordinates of the sample collection location is 4.89°N and 6.91°E. The leaves were transferred to a zip bag and taken to the lab prior to DNA extraction.

2.2 DNA Extraction

Culture-independent approach was used for DNA extraction. DNA was extracted directly from *C. aconitifolius* leaves using Zymo Fungal/Bacterial DNA Extraction kit (Zymo Research Group, California, USA) with a slight modification as described in a previous study [19]. To analyze the total bacterial community on the leaves, 0.50g of leaves was used. This was transferred into a sterilized mortar containing 750 µl of Bashing bead buffer. The sample was homogenized using liquid nitrogen and then transferred into a micro-centrifuge tube (1.5 ml).

2.3 Illumina Sequencing and Data Processing

To assess the bacterial communities, the samples were analyzed with 300 bp paired-end read, Illumina MiSeq, at Inqaba Biotechnology Limited, South Africa. Genomic DNA were PCR amplified using forward primer 341F (5´-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3´) and reverse primer 785R (5´-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3´). This primer pair is known to amplify nearly full length 16S rDNA gene sequence targeting variable regions; V3 and V4. The resulting amplicon was gel purified, end repaired and Illumina specific adapter sequence added to the 5´ end of each primer.

Raw sequences obtained were checked for PCR artifacts and low-quality reads and trimmed using *ngsShoRT* (next-generation sequencing Short Reads) trimmer as described by Chen et al. [20]. The sequences were trimmed on the basis of average quality score (<25). The 16 S rRNA gene sequences were processed using the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME v.1.9.0) pipeline as described by Caporaso et al. [21]. After sorting, sequence reads containing less than 200 nucleotides and reads with 7% of homopolymers or more than 2% of ambiguities were excluded from the data used for the final analysis. The UCLUST
algorithm [22] was used to cluster sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at a 97% identity threshold. Each OTU sequence was represented by the most abundant read. The GREENGENES reference database was used for both open reference OTU picking and taxonomic assignment for the sequences. The OTU table was removed of singletons and rarefied to an even sampling depth (minimum sequence count) prior to diversity analysis. Also, sequences classified as chloroplast and mitochondria were removed from the OTU table. Alpha-diversity analysis based on observed OTUs, Shannon, Chao1 indices was done using the vegan R package [23]. PICRUSt (phylogenetic investigation of communities by reconstruction of unobserved states) [24] was used to predict the functions of the bacterial communities associated with the vegetables, based on Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Orthology (KO) Database. The predicted functions were subsequently collapsed into KEGG pathways and visualized using STAMP [25].

The sequence data for Cnidoscolus aconitifolius has been deposited in Sequence Read Archive (SRA) on GenBank as BioProject ID PRJNA592305. The sequence data of lettuce and spinach are available on the European Nucleotide Archive database under BioProject number PRJEB6233 and GenBank (Sequence Read Archive) under the BioProject number PRJNA517014 respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Bacterial Population among Samples

The results from this study showed a high bacterial diversity across the three vegetables analyzed. A total of 783,646.00 sequences was obtained from the three vegetables; Cnidoscolus aconitifolius, Spinacia oleracea and Lactuca sativa. After the trimming and filtering of sequences, 13,555 OTUs from 664,774.00 quality reads were used for further analysis. Eleven thousand, six-hundred and eighty-five (11685) sequences clustered into eighty-three (83) OTUs at 97% similarity on GREENGENES database were obtained from C. aconitifolius. This was compared with those obtained from S. oleracea and L. sativa.

The alpha diversity indexes (Chao 1 and Shannon) showed that S. oleracea had the highest richness in bacterial organisms and the highest diversity of bacteria species (Figs. 2-3).

![Fig. 2. Alpha diversity analysis of bacteria organisms obtained from chaya, lettuce and spinach leaves](image-url)
3.2 Bacteria Phyla across the Three Vegetables

The most predominant phyla across the entire bacterial population of the three vegetables were Proteobacteria (74.79%), Actinobacteria (8.69%), Firmicutes (7.37%), Bacteroidetes (5.38%) and Fusobacteria (1.13%). The most dominant phyla in *C. aconitifolius* phyllosphere were Firmicutes (40.11%), Actinobacteria (19.77%), Proteobacteria (17.51%) and Bacteroidetes (7.91%). In *S. oleracea*, Proteobacteria (92.70%) was however the most prevalent phylum, followed by Bacteroidetes (4.65%) and Firmicutes (1.93%) while in *L. sativa*, the most predominant phyla were Proteobacteria (75.96%), Actinobacteria (13.54%), Bacteroidetes (5.28%) and Firmicutes (1.88%). Acidobacteria occurred only in *L. sativa* while the phylum Fusobacteria was only observed in *C. aconitifolius*. Proteobacteria has been reported to dominate spinach and lettuce phyllosphere [17,26,27]. Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria are found to usually dominate the phyllosphere of many plant species [11,12,28,29]. The three vegetables had almost similar taxonomic profiles (Fig. 4).

3.3 Bacteria Genera across the Three Vegetables

*Pseudomonas* was the most abundant genus obtained. Sequences assigned to the genus *Pseudomonas* obtained 35.84% of the total OTUs. This genus was however not obtained from *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* but was in abundance on *Spinacia oleracea* and *Lactuca sativa* (Fig. 2). The genus *Erwinia* was observed on all the vegetables with a relative abundance of 7.72%, *Brachybacterium*, *Megasphaera*, *Ochrobactrum* and *Brevibacterium* which obtained 4.52%, 3.39%, 2.83% and 2.82% of the total OTUs respectively were only observed in *C. aconitifolius*. *Janthinobacterium* (4.06%), *Sphingomonas* (4.82%) and *Acinetobacter* (2.19%) were present only on *S. oleracea* and *L. sativa*. *Lactobacillus* obtained 3.96% of the total OTUs and was present in *S. oleracea* and *C. aconitifolius*. *Sphingobacterium* which obtained 2.63% was only obtained from *C. aconitifolius* and *L. sativa* leaves.

The most predominant genera on *C. aconitifolius* were *Lactobacillus* (11.86%), *Sphingobacterium* (7.34%), *Brachybacterium* (4.52%), *Megasphaera* (3.39%), *Erwinia* (3.39%). Other genera and other unidentified bacteria obtained 69.5% of the total OTUs observed on *C. aconitifolius*. The most abundant genera on *S. oleracea* were *Pseudomonas* (32.72%), *Erwinia* (14.56%), *Acinetobacter* (3.64%) and *Janthinobacterium* (3.38%), and others were assigned 45.7% of the OTUs. *Pseudomonas* (38.97%), *Sphingomonas* (7.91%), *Janthinobacterium* (4.24%), *Agrobacterium* (4.12%), *Arthrobacter* (3.23%), were the most predominant genera on *L. sativa*, and other genera were assigned 41.53% of the OTUs.
Fig. 4. Distribution of bacteria phyla across *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*, *Spinacia oleraceae* and *Lactuca sativa* leaves

Fig. 5. Distribution of bacteria genera across *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*, *Spinacia oleraceae* and *Lactuca sativa* leaves
*Pseudomonas* species exist as parasites and/or saprophytes, living in terrestrial habitats, including plants [30]. Symptoms observed on plants include: blight, galls, leaf spots and stem spots, cankers and soft rots [31]. There are about twenty-one plant pathogenic *Pseudomonas* species known with *P. syringae* being the most important of the species; having more than 50 pathovars [31]. *P. syringae*, *P. orientalis*, *P. viridiflava*, *P. lurida*, *P. simiae*, *P. monteilii*, *P. syringae* and *P. moraviensis* have been reported as phytopathogens [32,33,34]. Some *Pseudomonas* species are pathogenic to both human and plants [35,36]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is both a human and animal pathogen and has been reported on dogs as the cause of urinary tract infections and otitis, on horses for endometritis disease and the cause of hemorrhagic pneumonias in mink [37,38].

The rhizosphere of several plants is colonized by *Pseudomonas putida* strains in a symbiotic relationship. The strains are also found in fresh water and have the ability to metabolize biogenic and xenobiotic compounds [33,39,40]. Motility is important for attachment of *Pseudomonas* sp. to roots of plants as seen in the case of wheat roots under conditions of nutrient limitation [41]. *P. putida* is also a bio-control agent. Matilla et al. [33] demonstrated that *Pseudomonas putida* can protect *Arabidopsis thaliana* against the disease caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato. *Pseudomonas putida* strains have also been reported by Fernandez et al. [40] as opportunistic human pathogens. They showed a cytotoxic effect on cells of the human skin and damaged the skin epithelial layer. They severely damaged the epidermis and the underlying connective tissue on wounds in rat and were also harmful to an insect, *Chrysoperla carnea*.

*Lactobacillus* is composed of 152 species [42]. The species are the most important organisms used in human nutrition and food microbiology. *Lactobacillus* species may be useful or detrimental to plants and other products. *Lactobacillus plantarum* has been reported to act as a bio-control agent against some bacteria [43]. Paradh et al. [44] detected *Lactobacillus* and *Megaphaera* as spoilage organisms in United Kingdom using multiplex PCR methodology.

The genus *Megaphaera* is a member of the Veillonellaceae family [45]. Species of this genus are usually found in the rumen and also in contaminated foods and sometimes in the liver, oral and vaginal samples [46]. *Megasphaera cerevisiae*, *Megasphaera paucivorans* and *Megasphaera sueciensis* are beer-spoilage species. *Megasphaera* species are important to human health, the environment, food, and production of renewable energy for the future [47].

*Brachybacterium* sp. has been isolated from human bloodstream as a causative pathogen of bloodstream infection [48]. *Brachybacterium* spp. have the ability to promote plant growth [49]. The genus *Erwinia* consists of well-known plant pathogenic species of economic importance causing severe damage to fruit crops [50]. Diseases caused by *Erwinia* species is one of the most devastating diseases of vegetables which results in the decay of the produce both in the field, on transit and in storage [51]. Doolotkeldieva and Bobusheva [52] reported that *Erwinia amylovora* caused fire blight on apple, pear and hawthorn trees in Kyrgyzstan and many other countries.

*Sphingobacterium* species rarely cause infectious diseases in humans though few cases have been reported. *Sphingobacterium spiritivorum*, *S. multivorum* and *S. thalpophilum* have been reported to be associated with various infections such as urinary tract infections, wound infections, peritonitis and abscesses [53]. *Sphingobacterium spiritivorum* caused bacteremia in an elderly man who was suffering from severe obstructive pulmonary disease [54].

Species of the genus *Janthinobacterium* are usually regarded as non-pathogenic microorganisms. The first isolation of *Janthinobacterium lividum* as a pathogenic bacterium infecting rainbow trout was reported in Korea [55]. The bacterium was also reported to be resistant to several antibiotics based on the antibiotic susceptibility tests. *J. lividum* was previously considered to be non-pathogenic to amphibians, and this may be the reason the organism has been used for treating fungal diseases associated with amphibians.

The genus *Acinetobacter* is made up of saprophytic microorganisms that are found almost everywhere. Though, different species of the genus occupy different habitats such as sewage, soil, foods, water, human and animals [56,57,58]. A member of the genus, *A. baumannii* has been isolated in various food items, such as milk, raw vegetables, fruits and dairy products [59]. *Acinetobacter* spp. are the major cause of
nosocomial infections. *A. baumannii* is resistant to a variety of antibiotics and has been reported in hospital settings to have led to enhanced nosocomial outbreaks linked with high death rates [60].

*Sphingomonas* spp. have been isolated from rice seed [61] and also found on the leaves of twenty-six (26) plant species; though a few are recognized as plant pathogens. Three species have been reported to be pathogenic to plants [62,63,64]. *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* has been reported to cause bacteraemia/ septicaemia as a result of contaminated solutions such as haemodialysis fluid and sterile drug solutions [65].

The genus *Agrobacterium* is a group of soil bacteria associated with plants. Many species in this genus are pathogenic to plants. Infections of wound sites by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* are responsible for crown gall tumors on a variety of plants including some gymnosperms, monocots and most dicots. *A. rhizogenes* causes hairy root disease in plants and *A. vitis* causes necrotic lesions and tumors on grape vines. Regardless of the general perception that most *Agrobacterium* spp. cause diseases, *A. radiobacter*, which is mostly isolated from the soil, is not pathogenic to plants [66].

*Arthrobacter* spp. have been isolated from human clinical specimens. Some of these species have been reported to have exhibited antimicrobial susceptibility [67]. Some members of the genus *Arthrobacter* are known to degrade organic pollutants, and have a high potential as phylosphere-based bioremediation agents as they can prevent pesticides from reaching the soil surface or groundwater [68].

Several factors influence the microbial composition of the phyllosphere. Redford et al. [69] reported differences in the bacterial communities of the phyllosphere strongly differ depending on the plant species. This may be due to differences in the physical characteristics of plants, variations in metabolites and symbiotic relationships between the host plant and other microbes [70]. Microbial populations on plant leaves have also been observed to be affected by management practices such as nitrogen fertilization and storage [27,28]. Nitrogen content has been reported to affect the microbial community structure in the phyllosphere of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) and rocket (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia*) [27]. In a study by Lopez-Velasco [28], there were changes in spinach phylloepiphytic bacteria communities following minimal processing and refrigerated storage. Also, farming practice and time has the potential to affect the bacteria diversity of vegetables as was obtained with spinach and lettuce in a study by Leff and Fierer [71]; and rocket salad (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia*) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) in a study by Dees et al. [17] respectively.

All the vegetables were found to inhabit opportunistic human pathogens. Tatsika et al. [72] reported that household washing methods are inefficient in the removal of these pathogens. Newton et al. [7] suggested the manipulation of phyllosphere microbial communities through the application of agrochemicals or through plant breeding in order to ensure the safety of food products.

### 3.4 Functional Prediction of Genes Obtained

The functional analysis was focused on the detection of genes concerned with the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites such as those involved in antibiotics production, antibiotics resistance and growth regulation in plants. The biosynthesis of secondary metabolites was highly observed in the bacterial community of spinach, very low in lettuce and not observed at all in chaya. Therefore, the organisms responsible for the synthesis of these metabolites were present in large numbers in spinach, few in lettuce and absent in chaya leaves.

A total of 611753 genes and 179 genes linked to antibiotics production, antibiotics resistance, and growth regulation in plants were obtained from spinach and lettuce respectively. None of these genes were observed on chaya. In these pathways, twelve different KEGG numbers represented by 611932 genes were identified in our dataset (Table 1). A Heatmap showing the different KEGG pathways at the different levels is presented in Fig. 6.

Butirosin is an aminoglycoside antibiotic produced by *Bacillus circulans* [73] belonging to the family Bacillaceae. Butirosin synthesis was observed in the bacterial communities of spinach and lettuce. The aminoglycosides (including kanamycin, neomycin and gentamicin) are very effective agents for the treatment of complicated infections, especially those caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) [74].
Novobiocin, an aminocoumarin antibiotic is synthesized by the genus *Streptomyces* which belongs to the family Streptomycetaceae. *Streptomyces* species such as *S. spheroids* and *S. rishiriensis* synthesize novobiocin. Organisms associated with novobiocin synthesis were observed in spinach and lettuce phyllosphere. Novobiocin has been reported to have a synergistic effect with antitumor drugs [75]. The antibiotic, streptomycin is synthesized by the bacterium, *Streptomyces griseus* [76]. Streptomycin biosynthesis was observed in the bacterial communities of spinach and lettuce. *Streptomyces* spp. obtained from soil sample was found to possess antibacterial activity. The antibacterial agent from this isolate was very effective against some gram-negative bacteria but was less effective on gram-positive bacteria. The isolate was able to inhibit *Proteus vulgaris, Proteus mirabilis, Salmonella typhi, Salmonella paratyphi, Staphylococcus aureus, Shigella sonnei, Klebsiella oxytoca, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*. The chemical feature of the antibacterial agent was similar to streptomycin [77].

Beta lactams resistance in bacteria is brought about by one or a combination of the following mechanisms; enzymatic degradation by β-lactamases, target modification of the PBPs (penicillin-binding proteins) leading to lack of β-lactam binding, and regulation of β-lactam entrance and efflux [78]. Gram-Positive Bacteria such as: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Pseudomonaceae), *Enterobacter* sp. (Enterobacteriaceae), *Staphylococcus aureus* (Staphylococcaceae), *Enterococcus faecium* (Enterococcaceae), *Streptococcus pneumonia* (Streptococcaceae) and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mycobacteriaceae) have been reported to possess β-lactam resistance [79]. Bacteria organisms involved in β-Lactams resistance were obtained from both spinach and lettuce leaves in this study. *Pseudomonas* is one of the multi drug resistant saprophytic bacteria. The major *Pseudomonas* species involved in opportunistic human infections are *P. fluorescens, P. putrefaciens, P. cepacia, P. maltophilia, P. aeruginosa, P. putida* and *P. stutzeri*. *P. aeruginosa* plays a major role in nosocomial infections. It is resistant to antibiotics such as aminoglycosides and β-lactams [80]. Some of the identified genes and the antibiotic resistant mechanisms involved in the resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to drugs have been reported by Synder et al. [81] and Feliziani et al. [82].

Indole alkaloids, a secondary metabolite produced by plants are known to carry out antimicrobial activities [83]. These substances have also been reported to be synthesized by *Streptomyces* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and cyanobacteria [84,85,86]. Genes that are involved in indole alkaloids biosynthesis were present only in the bacterial community of spinach in this study. Flavonoids and isoflavonoids are believed to play essential roles in adaptation of legumes to their biological environments, as compounds involved in defensive mechanisms (phytoalexins) and also as chemicals that signal nitrogen fixation in a symbiotic relationship with rhizobia [87]. Microorganisms belonging to the family

**Table 1**/ KEGG pathways associated with biosynthesis of secondary metabolites detected in the phyllosphere of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius, Spinacia oleracea* and *Lactuca sativa* leaves

| KEGG Ortholog no | KEGG Pathway | Spinach | Lettuce | Chaya |
|------------------|--------------|---------|---------|-------|
| KO 00965         | Betalain biosynthesis | 2777    | 1       | 0     |
| KO 00524         | Butirosin, Kanamycin, Gentamicin and neomycin biosynthesis | 26299   | 8       | 0     |
| KO 00944         | Flavone and flavonol biosynthesis | 186     | 0       | 0     |
| KO 00941         | Flavonoid biosynthesis | 11554   | 8       | 0     |
| KO00901          | Indole alkaloid biosynthesis | 1064    | 0       | 0     |
| KO 00941         | Isoflavonoid biosynthesis | 79      | 0       | 0     |
| KO 00950         | Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis | 71165   | 19      | 0     |
| KO 00401         | Novobiocin biosynthesis | 122533  | 34      | 0     |
| KO 00311         | Penicillin and cephalosporin biosynthesis | 49046   | 16      | 0     |
| KO 00940         | Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis | 94585   | 19      | 0     |
| KO 00521         | Streptomycin biosynthesis | 198866  | 62      | 0     |
| KO 00550         | Beta-Lactam resistance | 33599   | 12      | 0     |
Enterobacteriaceae can be used as platforms (in form of recombinant microorganisms) that aid in the increased synthesis of secondary metabolites (such as: flavone, flavonoid, isoflavonoid, isoquinoline alkaloid and phenylpropanoid) in plants [88,89,90]. The utilization of recombinant yeast and bacteria in the production of various classes of flavonoid compounds such as: flavanones, anthocyanins, stilbenes, flavones and isoflavones was reported by Chemler et al. [89]. Flavonoid, isoquinoline alkaloid and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis were observed in both spinach and lettuce bacterial communities while isoflavonoid, flavone and flavonol
biosynthesis were observed only on spinach leaves in this study. Betalains are antioxidant pigments believed to be synthesized by only flowering plants belonging to the order, Caryophyllales. This belief was defeated by the discovery of a betalain-forming bacterium called Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus [91]. Among the three vegetables studied here, genes responsible for betalains biosynthesis were highly present in spinach but was only one in lettuce.

4. CONCLUSION

Our results show that the microbial communities in vegetables are diverse and the relative abundance of potential human and plant pathogens in the vegetables was determined. The microbiome of the vegetables comprises of opportunistic human pathogens. Washing methods used locally at home cannot remove most of the organisms. In future studies, we hope to determine the level of contamination of these vegetables at which these organisms pose as a health risk especially for immune-compromised individuals. Also, an in-depth understanding of the interaction between microorganisms in the phyllosphere is necessary in order to develop better preventive or control strategies to reduce the population of pathogenic and/or spoilage bacteria in the phyllosphere. No food borne pathogenic organisms were found in the present study. The use of Illumina next generation sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene proved to be very reliable in the determination of the bacterial community structure in the three vegetables studied and also in the identification of human pathogens and organisms responsible for the spoilage of food products.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ross-Ibarra J and Molina-Cruz A. The ethnobotany of chaya (Cnidoscolus aconitifolius): A nutritious maya vegetable. J. Ethnobot. 2002;56(4):350-364.
2. Azeez Ol, Oyagbemi AA, Oyeyemi MO and Odetola AA. Ameliorative Effects of Cnidoscolus aconitifolius on alloxan toxicity in wistar rats. Afr Health Sci. 2010;10(3):283-291.
3. Orji OU, Ibiah UA, Aja PM, Ugwu PC, Uraku AJ, Aloke C, Obasi OD and Nwali BU. Evaluation of the phytochemical and nutritional profiles of Cnidoscolus aconitifolius leaf collected in Abakaliki South East Nigeria. World J. Medical Sci. 2016;13(3):213-217.
4. Fagbohun ED, Egbebi AO and Lawal OU. Phytochemical screening, proximate analysis and in-vitro antimicrobial activities of methanolic extract of Cnidoscolus aconitifolius leaves. Int J Pharm Sci. 2012;13:28-33.
5. Hamid AA, Oguntoye SO, Negi AS, Aja AO. Chemical constituents, antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant activities of the aerial parts of Cnidoscolus aconitifolius. Ife J Sci. 2016;18(2):561-571.
6. Chukwu EC, Osuocha KU and Uhegbu FO. Nutrient composition and selected biochemical effects of Cnidoscolus aconitifolius leaf extracts in male albino rats. J Forensics Res. 2018;9(1):409-415.
7. Newton AC, Fitt BD, Atkins SD, Walters DR and Daniell TJ. Pathogenesis, parasitism and mutualism in the trophic space of microbe-plant interactions. Trends Microbiol. 2010;18:365-373.
8. Knief C, Delmotte N, and Vorholt JA. Bacterial adaptation to life in association with plants-proteomic perspective from culture to in-situ conditions. Proteomics 2011;11:3086-3105.
9. Morris CE and Kinkel LL Fifty years of phyllosphere microbiology: significant contributions to research in related fields. In SE Lindow, El Hecht-Poinar and VJ Elliott (eds) Phyllosphere microbiology. APS Press, St Paul, USA. 2002; 365-375.
10. Babalola OO. Beneficial bacteria of agricultural importance. Biotechnol Lett. 2010;32:1559-1570.
11. Bulgarelli D, Schlaeppi K, Spaepen S, Loren V, Van Themaat E and Schule-Leffert P. Structure and functions of the
bacterial microbiota of plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2013;64:807-838.

12. Rastogi G, Sbodio A, Tech JJ, Suslow TV, Coaker GL and Leveau JH. Leaf microbiota in an agroecosystem: spatiotemporal variation in bacterial community composition on field-grown lettuce. ISME J. 2012;6:1812-1822.

13. Innerebner G, Knief C and Vorholt JA. Protection of Arabidopsis thaliana against leaf-pathogenic *Pseudomonas syringae* by *Sphingomonas* strains in a controlled model system. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77:3202-3210.

14. Paternoster T, Défago G, Duffy B, Gessler C and Pertot I. Selection of a biocontrol agent based on a potential mechanism of action: Degradation of nicotinic acid, a growth factor essential for *Erwinia amylovora*. Int Microbiol. 2010;13:195-206.

15. Brandl M.T. Fitness of human enteric pathogens on plants and implications for food safety. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2006;44:367-392.

16. Izhaki I, Fridman S, Gerchman Y and Halpern, M. Variability of bacterial community composition on leaves between and within plant species. Curr Microbiol. 2013;66:227-235.

17. Dees MW, Lysoe E, Nordskog B, Brurberg MB. Bacterial communities associated with surfaces of leafy greens: shift in composition and decrease in richness over time. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015;81:1530-1539.

18. Degnan PH and Ochman H. Illumina-based analysis of microbial community diversity. ISME J. 2012;6(1):183-94.

19. Iyanyi NG, Ataga AE and Nwachukwu EO. Biochemical and molecular characterization of bacteria associated with *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* (Mill.) I. M. Johnston. IOSR J Pharm. 2019;14(4):78-85.

20. Chen C, Khaleel SS, Huang H, and Wu CH. Software for pre-processing Illumina next-generation sequencing short read sequences. Source Code Biol. Med. 2014;9(8):1-11.

21. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 2011;7(5):35–36.

22. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C and Knight R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. J. Bioinform. 2011;27(16):2194-2200.

23. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O’hara RB et al. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. 2019.

24. Langille MG, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA et al. Analysis predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31(9):814-821.

25. Parks DH, Tyson GW, Hugenholtz P, and Beiko R G. STAMP: Statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles. Bioinform. 2014;30(21):3123-3124.

26. Lopez-Velasco G, Carder PA, Welbaum GE, Ponder MA. Diversity of the spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) phyllosphere and phyllosphere bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2013;346:146-154.

27. Darlisona J, Mogrena L, Rosberg A, Grudéna M, Minet A, Liné C et al. Leaf mineral content govern microbial community structure in the phyllosphere of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) and rocket (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia*). Sci Total Environ. 2019;675:501-512.

28. Lopez-Velasco G, Welbaum GE, Boyer RR, Mane SP and Ponder MA. Changes in spinach phylloepiphytic bacteria communities following minimal processing and refrigerated storage described using pyrosequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons. J Appl Microbiol. 2011;110:1203-1214.

29. Williams TR and Marco ML. Phyllosphere microbiota composition and microbial community transplantation on lettuce plants grown indoors. Mbio. 2014;5:1-8.

30. Moore ER, Tindall BJ, Martins Dos Santos VA, Pieper D H, Ramos JL, and Palleroni NJ. Nonmedical *Pseudomonas*. In: The Prokaryotes, Proteobacteria: Gamma Subclass. Springer, New York. 2006;6:21-28.

31. Höfte M and De Vos P. Plant Pathogenic *Pseudomonas* Species. In: Plant-associated bacteria. Springer, Dordrecht, the Netherlands. 2007:507-533.

32. Marcelletti S and Scortichini M. Definition of plant-pathogenic *Pseudomonas* genomospecies of the *Pseudomonas syringae* complex through multiple comparative approaches. Phytopathology, 2014;104(12):1274-1282.
33. Matilla MA, Ramos JL, Bakker PA, Doornbos R, Badri DV, Vivanco J. M. et al. _Pseudomonas putida_ KT2440 causes induced systemic resistance and changes in _Arabidopsis_ root exudation. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2010;2(3):381-388.

34. Beiki F, Busquets A, Gomila M, Rahimian H, Lalucat J and Garcia-Valdés, E. _New Pseudomonas_ spp. are pathogenic to citrus. PLoS One. 2016;11(2):1-16. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148796

35. Barreteau H, Bouhss A, Foureageaud M, Mainardi J, Touze T, Gerard F. et al. Human- and plant-pathogenic _Pseudomonas_ species produce bacteriocins exhibiting colicin M-like hydrolase activity towards peptidoglycan precursors. J Bacteriol. 2009;191(11):3657-3664.

36. Haenni M, Hoquet D, Ponsin C, Cholley P, Gueyux C, Madec J. et al. Population structure and antimicrobialsSusceptibility of _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ from animal infections in France. BMC Vet Res. 2015;11(1):9-13.

37. Poonsuk K and Chuanchuen R. Contribution of the MexXY multidrug efflux pump and other chromosomal mechanisms on aminoglycoside resistance in _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ isolates from canine and feline infections. J Vet Med Sci. 2012;74(12):1575-1582.

38. Salomonsen, C. M., Themudo, G. E., Jelsbak, L., Molin, S., Hoiby, N. and Hammer, A. S. Typing of _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ from hemorrhagic pneumonia in mink (Neovison vison). Vet Microbiol. 2013;163(1-2):103-109.

39. Fernández M, Niqui-Arroy JL, Conde S, Ramos JL and Duque E. Enhanced tolerance to naphthalene and enhance dhizoremediation performance for _Pseudomonas putida_ KT2440 via the NAH7 catabolic plasmid. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78:5104-5110. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.00619-12

40. Fernandez M, Porcel M, Torre J, Molina-Henares MA, Daddaoua A, Llamas MA, et al. Analysis of the pathogenicP otential of _Pseudomonas putida_ strains. Front Microbiol. 2015;6(871). DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00871

41. Turnbull GA, Morgan JA, Whipps JM and Saunders JR. The role of motility in the in-vitro attachment of _Pseudomonas putida_ PaW8 to wheat roots. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2001;35:57-65.

42. Salvetti E, Torriani S and Felis GE. The Genus _Lactobacillus_: A taxonomic update. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 2012;4(4):b 217-226.

43. Darañas N, Roselló G, Cabrefiga J, Donati I, Francés J, Badosa E. et al. Biological control of bacterial Plant diseases with _Lactobacillus plantarum_ strains selected for their broad-spectrum activity. Ann Appl Biol. 2018;174(1):1-14.

44. Paradh AD, Mitchell WM and Hill AE. Occurrence of _Pectinatus_ and _Megasphaera_ in the Major UK Breweries. J. Inst. Brew. 2011;117(4):498-506.

45. Marchandin H, Teyssier C, Campos J, Jean-Pierre H, Roger F, Gay B.et al. _Negativicoccus succinivorans_ gen., sp. nov., isolated from human clinical samples, emended description of the family Veillonellaceae and description of _Negativicutes_ Clasis nov., _Selenomonadales_ ord. nov. and _Acidaminococcaceae_ fam. nov. in the bacterial phylum Firmicutes. Int J Syst Evol. 2013;60:1271-1279.

46. Preza D, Olsen I, Willumsen T, Grinde B and Paster B. Diversity and site-specificity of the oral microflora in the Elderly. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2009;28:1033-1040.

47. Ohnishi A, Abe S, Nashirozawa S, Shimada S, Fujimoto N and Suzuki K. Development of a 16S rRNA gene primer and PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism method for rapid detection of members of the genus _Megasphaera_ and species-level identification. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77(15):5533-5535.

48. Tamai K, Akashi Y, Yoshimoto Y, Yaguchi Y, Takeuchi Y, Shigai M. et al. First case of a bloodstream infection caused by the genus _Brachybacterium_. J Infect Chemother. 2018;24(12):998-1003.

49. Hidayati U, Chaniago I, Munif A, Santosa S and Santosa D. Potency of plant growth promoting endophytic bacteria from rubber plants (_Hevea brasiliensis_ Mull. Arg.). J Agron. 2014;13(3):147-152.

50. Ramachandran K, Manaf UA and Zakaria L. Molecular characterization and pathogenicity of _Erwinia_ spp. associated with pineapple (_Ananas comosus_ (L) Merr.) and papaya (_Carica papaya L._). J Plant Prot Res. 2015;55(4):396-404.

51. Opara EU and Asuquo AA. An overview of characterization and Identification of soft rot bacterium _Erwinia_ in some vegetable
52. Doolotkeldieva, T. and Bobusheva, S. Fire blight disease caused by *Erwinia amylovora* on Rosaceae plants in Kyrgyzstan and biological agents to control this disease. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2016; 6:831-851.

53. Liu H, Zhang J, Chen D, Cao L, Lu P, Wu Z. et al. *Sphingobacterium changzhouense* sp. nov., A bacterium isolated from a rice field. Int J Syst Evol. 2013;63:4515-4518.

54. Hib A and Kumano Y. *Sphingobacterium spiritivorum* bacteremia due to cellulitis in an elderly man with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and congestive heart failure: a case report. J Med Case Rep. 2017;11:277.

55. Oh WT, Giri SS, Yun S, Kim HJ, Kim SG, Kim SW, Kang JW, Han SJ, Kwon J, Jun JW and Park SC. *Janthinobacterium lividum* as an emerging pathogenic bacterium infecting rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Fisheries in Korea. Pathogens 2019;8:146-155.

56. Kurcik-Trajkovska B. *Acinetobacter* spp. - A serious enemy threatening hospitals worldwide. Maced J Med Sci. 2009;2:157-162.

57. Doughari HJ, Ndakidemi PA, Human IS and Benade S. The ecology, biology and pathogenesis of *Acinetobacter* spp.: An overview. Microbes Environ. 2011;26:101-112.

58. Jung J and Park W. *Acinetobacter* species as model microorganisms in environmental microbiology: current state and perspectives. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2015;99:2533-2548.

59. Kanafani AZ. and Kanj SS. *Acinetobacter* infection, treatment and prevention. Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; 2014. Available: http://www.uptodate.com/content/s/acinetobacterinfection--treatment-and-prevention

60. Almasaudi SB. *Acinetobacter* spp. as nosocomial pathogens: epidemiology and resistance features. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2018;25:586-596.

61. Midha S, Bansal K, Sharma S, Kumar N, Patil NP, Chaudhry V. et al. Genomic resource of rice seed associated bacteria. Front Microbiol. 2016;8:1551-1558.

62. Buonaurio R, Stravato V M and Cappelli C. Brown spot caused by *Sphingomonas* sp. on yellow spanish melon fruits in Spain. Plant Pathol. 2001;50:397-401.

63. Deldavleh M, Bahmani K and Harighi B. Bacterial leaf blight of Christ's thorn in Iran: A new disease caused by *Sphingomonas* sp. J. Plant Pathol. 2013;95:75-78.

64. Kini K, Agnimonhan R, Dossa R, Soglonou B, Gbogbo V, Ouedraogo I. et al. First report of *Sphingomonas* sp. causing bacterial leaf blight of rice in Benin, Burkina Faso, The Gambia, Ivory Coast, Mali, Nigeria, Tanzania and Togo. New Dis Rep. 2017;35(32):1.

65. Ryan MP and Adley CC. *Sphingomonas paucimobilis*: a persistent Gram-negative nosocomial infectious organism. J Hosp Infect 2010;75:153-157.

66. Matthysses AG. The Genus *Agrobacterium*. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH and Stackebrandt E (eds) The Prokaryotes. Springer, New York, NY. 2006; 91-114.

67. Mages IS, Frodl R, Bernard KA and Funke G. Identities of *Arthrobacter* spp. and *Arthrobacter*-like bacteria encountered in human clinical specimens. J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46(9):2980-2986.

68. Scublin TR and Leveau JH. Isolation of *Arthrobacter* species from the phyllosphere and demonstration of the epiphytic fitness. Microbiologiopen 2013;2(1):205-213.

69. Redford AJ, Bowers RM, Knight R, Linhart Y and Fierer N. The ecology of the phyllosphere: Geographic and phylogenetic variability in the distribution of bacteria on tree leaves. Env Microbiol. 2010;12(11):2885-2893.

70. Hunter PJ, Hand P, Pink D, Whippes JM and Bending GD. Both leaf properties and microbe-microbe interactions influence within-species variation in bacterial population diversity and structure in the lettuce (*Lactuca Species*) phyllosphere. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76:8117-8125.

71. Leff JW and Fierer N. Bacterial communities associated with the surfaces of fresh fruits and vegetables. PLoS ONE, 2013;8(3):e59310. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059310

72. Tatsika S, Karamanoli K, Karayanni H and Genitsaris S. Metagenomic characterization of bacterial communities on ready-to-eat vegetables and effects of household washing on their diversity and composition. Pathogens 2019;8:37-54.
73. Llewellyn NM, Li Y and Spencer JB. Biosynthesis of butirosin: Transfer and deproteinization of the unique amino acid side chain. Chem Biol. 2007;14:379–386.

74. Tsuchizaki N, Ishino K, Saito F, Ishikawa J, Nakajima M, Hotta K. Trends of Arbekacin-resistant MRSA strains in Japanese hospitals (1979 to 2000). J Antibiots Res. 2006;59:229-233.

75. Stejensky M, Li S, Vogler B and Heide L. Novobiocin biosynthesis in Streptomyces spheroides: Identification of a dimethylallyl diphosphate: 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dimethylallyl transferase. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1998;161:69-74.

76. Wehmeier UF and Piepersberg W. Enzymology of aminoglycoside biosynthesis: deduction from gene clusters. Meth Enzymol. 2009; 459:499-491.

77. Yadav J, Shrestha UT, Tiwari KB, Sahukhal GS and Agrawal VP. Streptomycin – like antibiotic from Streptomyces spp. isolated from Mount Everest Base Camp. Nepal J Sci Technol. 2008;9:73-77.

78. King DT, Sobhanifar S and Strynadka NC. The mechanisms of resistance to β-Lactam antibiotics. In: M Gotte, M Berghuis, G Matlashewski, D Sheppard, and M Wainberg (eds) Handbook of antimicrobial resistance. Springer Science Business Media, New York. 2017;177-201.

79. Fisher JF and Mobashery S. β-Lactam resistance mechanisms: Gram-positive bacteria and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. In: L Silver and K Bush (eds) Additional perspectives on antibiotics and antibiotic resistance. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York. 2016;1-19.

80. Gunabalan S, Jacty C and Ramanathan B. Effects of single nucleotide mutations in the genome of multi-drug resistant biofilm producing Pseudomonas aeruginosa. In: R Salwan and V Sharma (eds) Physiological and biotechnological aspects of extremophiles. Academic Press, India. 2020:237-244.

81. Snyder LA, Loman NJ, Faraj LA, Levi K, Weinstock G, Boswell TC et al. Epidemiological investigation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from a six-year-long hospital outbreak using high-throughput whole genome sequencing. Euro Surveill. 2013;18(42):1-9.

82. Feliziani S, Marvig RL, Luja’n AM, Moyano AJ, Di Rienzo JA, Johansen HK et al. Coexistence and within-host evolution of diversified lineages of hypermutable Pseudomonas aeruginosa in long-term cystic fibrosis infections. PLoS Genet. 2014;10(10):1-18.

83. Medeiros M R, Prado LA, Fernandes VC, Figueiredo SS, Coppede J, Martins J et al. Antimicrobial activities of indole alkaloids from Tabernaemontana catharinensis, Nat. Prod. Commun. 2011; 6(2):193-196

84. Xu Z, Baunach M, Ding L and Hertweck C. Bacterial synthesis of diverse indole terpene alkaloids by an unparalleled cyclization sequence. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2012;51:1-6.

85. Walton K and Berry J. Indole alkaloids of the Stigonematales (Cyanophyta): Chemical diversity, biosynthesis and biological activity. Mar Drugs. 2016;14:73-100.

86. Ramkissoon A, Seepersaud M, Maxwell A, Jayaraman J and Ramsubhag A. Isolation and antibacterial activity of indole alkaloids from Pseudomonas aeruginosa UWI-1. Molecules 2020;25: 3744-3757.

87. García-Calderón M, Pérez-Delgado C, Palove-Balang P, Bettì M and Márquez A. Flavonoids and isoflavonoids biosynthesis in the model legume Lotus japonicus: connections to nitrogen metabolism and photosynthesis. Plants 2020;9:774-795.

88. Limem I, Guedon E, Hehn A, Bourgaud F, Ghedira L, Engasser J and Ghoul M. Production of phenylpropanoid compounds by recombinant microorganisms expressing plant-specific biosynthesis genes. Process Biochem. 2008;43:463-479.

89. Chemler JA, Leonard E and Koffas MA. Flavonoid biotransformations in microorganisms. In: K Gould, K Davies, and C Winefield (eds) Anthocyanins: biosynthesis, functions and applications. Springer, New York. 2009;191-255.

90. Sato F and Kumagai H. Microbial production of isoquinoline alkaloids as plant secondary metabolites based on metabolic engineering research.
betalain-producing bacteria break the exclusive presence of the pigments in the plant kingdom. mBio 2019;10(2):1-12.