In silico analysis of drought responsive transposons and transcription factors in *Solanum tuberosum* L.
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**ABSTRACT**

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is the most important non-grainfood crop and is essential for global food security. Drought is one of the major abiotic stress factors resulting in huge yield loss in the production of crops and similarly, it negatively affects the tuberization, tuber yield and tuber quality of potato. Transposable elements (TEs) account for a large portion of the genome in many eukaryotic species. Several studies have identified the molecular mechanism that cause the activation of TEs under stress. Stresses and environmental challenges, in particular, are known to alter the expression or stimulate the transposition of mobile elements in plants. Transcription of these stress-responsive genes is largely controlled by transcription factors (TFs). A number of transcription factors playing an essential role in drought tolerance of plants have been identified in the past few years. In this work, a subset of drought responsive TE families and TFs in potato at tuber bulking stage was defined, based on genome-wide transposon homology and annotation. Our results indicated that, the read numbers for TE subfamilies yielded different distributions between DNA and RNA transposons. In addition, many TFs such as bHLH, WRKY, NAC, AP2/ERF may have important functions in regulation of drought tolerance in potato. Identification of TEs and TFs which are taking part in stress can offer useful information for functional genomics and designing novel breeding strategies for developing stress tolerant plants.
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**ÖZ**

Patates (Solanum tuberosum L.) tahıl grubundan olmayan önemli bir kültür bitkisidir ve küresel gıda güvenliğinin açılarından büyük bir öneme sahiptir. Kuraklik, tarımsal üretimde büyük verim kayiplarına neden olan başlıca abiyotik stres faktörlerinden biridir ve patatesde yumru oluşumu, yumru verimi ve yumruların kalitesini olumsuz yönde etkilemektedir. Birçok ökaryotik türdeki genomun büyük bir bölümü hareketli elementler oluşturmaktadır. Transpozon elementlerin (TE) stres altında nasıl aktive oldukları moleüler mekanizması birçok çalışmada tanımlanmıştır. Stres ve çevresel zorlukların, özellikle bitkilerde hareketli elementlerin ekspresyonunu veya transpozisyonunu uyardığı bililnmektedir. Strese tepki veren bu genlerin transkripsiyonu büyük ölçüde transkripsiyon faktörleri tarafından kontrol edilmişdir. Son yillarda, bitkilerin kurağa tepkilinin düzenlenmesinde önemli role sahip bazı transkripsiyon faktörleri belirlenmiştir. Bu çalışmada, transkripsiyon homojenliği ve anotasyon yaklaşımı kullanarak yumru bütüne dönemdeki patateste kuraklığı tepki veren hareketli elementler ve transkripsiyon faktörü aileleri tanımlanmıştır. Sonuçlarımız, hareketli elementlerin alt aileleri için okuma saylarının DNA ve RNA transpozolar arasında farklı dağılımlar sağladığı ve bHLH, WRKY, NAC, AP2/ERF transkripsiyon faktörlerini kodlayan çok sayıda genin, kuraklik stresine tepkinin düzenlenmesinde önemli işlevlere sahip olabileceğini göstermiştir. Strese tepki yer alan hareketli elementlerin ve transkripsiyon faktörlerin...
Introduction

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) ranks as the thirth most predominant non-grain food crop in the world behind wheat and rice (FAOSTAT, 2017). However, cultivated potato is an autotetraploid (2n = 48) which complicates genetic studies as well as breeding efforts to improve important traits such as disease resistance, processing quality and nutritional importance (Felcher et al., 2012). In the potato, tuber accumulates high levels of starch. Additionally to starch, tubers also contain small amounts of sucrose, glucose and fructose. The quantitiy of starch and sugars present in tubers depend on the genetic and environmental factors (Draffehn et al., 2010).

Drought is one of the major abiotic stress factors due to the limited water resources resulting in huge yield loss in the production of crops and causing damage in reproductive plant development (Wu et al., 2014). To cope with the challenging aspects of drought, plants use several mechanisms and produce response at physiological and molecular levels (Tripathi et al., 2014). One of them is transposable elements (TEs), causes mutant alleles by altering the reading frame or splicing profile, frequently adversely influencing gene function (Lisch, 2013) and the other one is transcription factors (TFs), the molecules in regulation of gene expression through binding to either promoter or enhancer region of a gene.

TEs, also known as transposons, are mobile genetic elements that enlarge prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes (Wicker et al., 2007). McClintock (1950) initially cited the TEs as “controlling elements” because of their capability in effecting expression of nearby genes. Allelic variation for insertions of the transposable elements associated with stress-responsive expression can contribute to variation in the regulation of nearby genes. So novel insertions of transposable elements provide a potential mechanism for genes to obtain cis-regulatory impacts that could contribute to heritable variation for stress response. Since the discovery of TEs by Barbara McClintock in maize (McClintock, 1950) the origins, roles, and regulation of TEs have been subject to enormous interest (Biémont, 2010). Several studies have identified the molecular mechanism that causes the activation of TEs under stress. Stresses and environmental challenges, in particular, are known to stimulate the expression or the transposition of mobile elements in yeast (Rofte et al., 1986; Paguin and Williamson, 1988), animal (Strand and McDonald, 1985; Junakovic et al., 1988) and plant systems in old times (Walbot, 1992; Grandbastien et al., 1997; Cowley and Oakey, 2013). The mobilization of a transposable element or its transcriptional activation might thus represent a good indicator of the host response to stress and some TEs show as stress-responsive transcriptions (Hollister and Gaut, 2009; Ito et al., 2011). For example, expression of the tobacco Tnt1 genetic element can be triggered through biotic and abiotic stresses (Beguiristain et al., 2001). Mao et al. (2015) reported that miniature inverted-repeat transposable element (MITE) inserted in the promoter of a NAC gene (ZmNAC111) is significantly associated with natural variation in maize drought tolerance and this MITE insertion provides insight into the genetic basis for natural variation in maize drought tolerance. In rice (Oryza sativa L.) DNA transposon mPing some cases resulted in up-regulation of genes in response to cold or salt stress (Yasuda et al., 2013). In Arabidopsis the ONSEN retrotransposon activated transcriptionally by heat stress (Ito et al., 2013).

Transcription of these stress-responsive genes is largely controlled by transcription factors (TFs).
To adapt to such environmental conditions, complex response mechanisms have been evolved in plants, including transcriptional regulation networks for the transduction of stress signals. The implementation of these intricate networks depends on the participation of various TFs (Qin et al., 2011). A number of transcription factors have been identified in the past few years that have been demonstrated to play an essential role in regulating plant responses to stresses (Singh et al., 2002; Hirayama and Shinozaki, 2010). For example, osmotic stress activates several transcription factors, including NAC proteins, which activate an early response to dehydration1 (ERD1) gene. Several basic leucine zipper (bZIP) proteins have been characterized to be Absisic acid (ABA) responsive element binding protein/Absisic acid response element (ABRE) binding factor (AREB/ABF) that bind to the ABREs and have a pivotal role in ABA-dependent gene activation (Choi et al., 2000; Uno et al., 2000; Kang et al., 2002; Tran et al., 2004). Recent studies indicate that molecular dynamics, modular flexibility and posttranslational modifications determine the functional specificity of TFs in environmental adaptation. Function of central regulators such as NAC, WRKY, and zinc finger proteins may be modulated by mechanisms as microRNA (miRNA)-mediated posttranscriptional silencing and reactive oxygen species signaling (Goldack et al., 2011).

Identification of TEs and TFs responsive to drought stress

To identify the TEs responsive to drought stress, we used Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BlastN 2.8.0) to search against all sequences of transposons in Repbase database (version 20160829) by using 1e-10 E-value as a cutoff point. Repbase is known as a worldwide reference standard for annotating the existence of repetitive DNA in genomic data. To identify TFs families in all sequences, the BLASTx with a 1e-5 E-value as a cutoff point against Plant Transcription Factor Database (PlantTFDB; http://plntfdb.bio.unipotsdam.de/v3.0/download.s.php). Moreover, the identified TF reads of each sample were mapped to reference file using Bowtie (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), redundant sequences were removed on the basis of their chromosome locations and sequence similarity.

Materials and Methods

RNA-Seq data used in study

The Illumina RNA-HiSeq reads sequences were obtained from NCBI Short Read Archive. The untreated-control (CT), drought stress treatment (DT) and re-watering treatment (RWT) reads sequences were retrieved from NCBI Short Read Achieve (SRA) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accession number SRX912186, SRX912191 and SRX912192, respectively (Gong et al., 2015). The sequenced read length for forward and reverse sequences of paired-end data is 100 bp in length. All readings were obtained in raw sequence data as “.sra” format and converted to “fastq” format for Illumina by the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) SRA Toolkit. Low-quality reads and those containing adapter were removed from raw data using in-house Perl scripts. All subsequent analyses were performed on high-quality clean data. Transcriptome assembly for cleaned data was performed in Trinity with default parameters (Grabherr et al., 2011). For sequence mapping, all clean reads were aligned to the reference database using the SOAPaligner/SOAP2 v2.20 program (Xie et al., 2014).
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Results and Discussion

Transposable elements are a major component of many eukaryotic genomes, and constitute the majority of plant nuclear DNA. Previous studies in several plant species have suggested that at least some families of transposable elements may become transcriptionally activated following environmental stress (Makarevitch et al., 2015). In this present study in silico identification of TEs were performed in transcriptome libraries of control, drought treated and rewatered potato plants. Read numbers of TEs in CT, DT and RWT libraries were 44.794, 54.419 and 45.587, respectively (Figure 1).

When read numbers of TEs were taken into account it can be said that TEs expressed higher in drought and re-watering treatment samples than control samples. The read numbers for TE subfamilies yielded different distributions between DNA and RNA transposons. A slight reduction was observed in the read numbers of RNA TEs such as Gypsy, Copia and LTR, TEs after re-watering treatments, comparing to control. Interestingly, EnSpm, hAT and Harbinger showed incremental ratios after drought stress treatment while re-watering treatments revealed a declined pattern in addition (Figure 1). Also retrotransposon LINE families showed significant transcription activity in DT and RWT libraries. Mariner families showed no significant transcription activity while SINE and MuDR families showed lower transcriptionally activity under drought conditions. These results supported that genome and transcriptome orientation by transposon activity might have a role in adaptation and regulation of stress response (Negi et al., 2016).

![Figure 1. The numbers of DNA and RNA transposons obtained from RNA-seq libraries of CT, DT and RWT samples](image)

Besides, regulation of epigenetic mechanisms has an impact on transcriptional activity of TEs in the water deficit (Makarevitch et al., 2015). Although DNA transposon’s transcription activity is controlled strongly, several retrotransposons become transcriptionally active under some stresses like drought stress, wounding or other abiotic stresses (Grandbastien, 1997; Takeda et al., 1998; Capy et al., 2000). But in this current study, it was observed that RNA transposons more active than DNA transposons. In addition retrotransposon classes such as Copia and Gypsy might play an active role in *S. tuberosum* metabolism since plenty of transcript encoding them was identified in the libraries (Figure 1).

Various genes that function as stress sensors in signaling transduction pathways, which comprise a network of protein-protein reactions, TFs and
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promoters, are activated in plants under stress conditions (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005). TFs are the main components to understand the complexity of expression of stress induced genes in their signaling network as suggested in various studies (Agarwal et al., 2006; Rue et al., 2008; Ranjan et al., 2012). To assess the complex network of signaling pathways in drought stress, we further compared the profiles of the TFs in control, drought treated and rewaterd potato plants. The read numbers of TFs in CT, DT and RWT libraries were 54.186, 68.788 and 61.017, respectively (Figure 2).

bHLH (basic helix-loophelix), AP2/ERF (APETALA2/ethylene response factor), MYB (myeloblastosis protein), WRKY, C2H2 were the top five families in DT libraries while AP2/ERF, bHLH, MYB, NAC, WRKY were the top five families in RWT libraries.

Figure 2. The numbers of transcription factors obtained from RNA-seq libraries of CT, DT and RWT samples

Şekil 2. CT, DT ve RWT örneklerinin RNA-seq küütüphanelerine ait transkripsiyon faktörlerin sayısı

So, many TFs, such as AP2/ERF, MYB, WRKY and bHLH, act as key regulators in signal transduction pathways involved in plant response to drought stress (Shinozaki, and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2007; Goldack et al., 2011). Consistently, we observed four TF-encoding genes, WRKY, bHLH, AP2/ERF and MYB, which came into prominence under drought stress and re-watering conditions. In particular, genes encoding WRKY, bHLH, and ERF showed expression alterations under either condition, indicating that TF-encoding genes may have important functions in regulating the response to drought stress and re-watering stimulus in potato. These results were supported by previous studies indicating TFs have a important role in response to drought stress in potato (Schafleitner et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2013; Charfeddine et al., 2015). Singh et al. (2013), reported that, StNAC genes have an important role in tissue spesific drought stress of Solanum tuberosum L. Also, STERF genes are involved in different stress response pathways in potato, and then these genes expression showed diverse expression profiles, suggesting that they are related to different signaling pathways in the different organs and during different stresses (Charfeddine et al., 2015). In addition, four groups of TFs, MYB-related, HD-ZIP, G2-like and HSF, were up-regulated in drought and re-watering treatments. Moreover, five groups of TFs, GRAS, C3H, LBD, Dof and GATA, exhibited converse expressions between DT and RWT libraries (Figure 2). Several reports have shown that TF families in response drought stress have a role in stress adaptation of the plants (Govind et al., 2009; Gong et al., 2010; Meng et
al., 2010). Our results underlines the role of the TFs in dynamic network center as well as interaction and competition of pathways exhibit complexity of molecular links in stress adaptation.

Conclusion

In this present study, TE families and TFs were profiled to understand the molecular mechanisms occurred during the drought and re-watering stress. As a result of that study it was observed that water deficit affected the transcriptional activity of several transposons. The analysis of TEs will provide useful information about mobility genetic elements roles in potato under the drought stress. This study is also valuable for the further researches of TE-mediated gene mutation and contributes to elucidating the roles of TEs in plant genome evolution. Identification of TEs and TFs which are taking part in stress can offer useful information for functional genomics and designing novel breeding strategies in developing stress tolerant plants.
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