Effect of peripheral blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells on macrophage polarization and Th17/Treg balance in vitro
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have always been the center of the experimental exploration of regenerative therapy together with other stem cells. Among with, peripheral blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells (PBMSCs) have been regarded as promising in clinical applications for its convenience of acquisition from peripheral blood. However, few reported experiments so far to elucidate the exact mechanisms of how PBMSC influence regeneration. As the ability of immunomodulatory is one of the crucial features that influence MSC to reconstruct impaired tissue, we decided to focus on the immunomodulatory abilities of PBMSCs and conducted experiments associated with macrophages and T lymphocytes, which are two main cell types that dominate the innate and acquired immunity. Therefore, a basis can be made from these experiments for applications of PBMSCs in regenerative therapy in the future.

Methods: A Transwell system was used for the coculturing of PBMSCs with macrophages. T lymphocytes were cultured directly with PBMSCs. Flow cytometry and immunochemistry were conducted for identifying the phenotypes. Immunomagnetic microspheres, ELISA and RT-qPCR were used to detect the expressions of relevant molecules or mRNAs.

Results: After coculturing PBMSCs with M0, the anti-inflammatory IL-10 was increased whereas the proinflammatory TNF-α decreased; the expression of CD11b, CD68, CD206, Arg-1, IL-10 and CCL-22 was up-regulated whereas IL-1α down-regulated. The expression of TGF-β, RORγt, Foxp3 and IL-10 was increased in the cocultured lymphocytes whereas IL-17 and IL-6 decreased; the ratio of CD4+IL-17/TGF-β+/CD25+Foxp3+ Treg was reduced.

Conclusion: The findings demonstrated that PBMSCs promoted the anti-inflammatory features of macrophages and the Th17/Treg system. PBMSCs are able to inhibit inflammation associated with these two immune cell systems, and thus provide insight into how PBMSCs achieve their immunomodulatory ability.

© 2020, The Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have received increasing attention due to their multipotentiality, which may enable them to promote tissue regeneration and provide a novel strategy for the clinical treatment of many intractable diseases [1–3]. Nevertheless, several studies on inflammatory/immunological diseases, especially spinal cord injuries (SCI) have demonstrated that the survival rates of MSCs after implantation are extremely low (~0.1% in the spinal cord), yet they still improve prognosis in animal models [1–3]. Such therapeutic effects may be largely due to the
immunomodulatory ability of MSCs; however, the mechanism of their effects remains unclear. MSCs exhibit plastic adherence, multilineage differentiation capacity, and expression of MSC markers and pluripotent genes [4]. Postnatal organs and tissues serve as primary MSC sources; however, different sources of MSCs have varying differentiation potentials, as well as variation in the expression stem cell-related markers and other important features, including proliferation, immunomodulation and xenotransplantation abilities [4]. Therefore, MSCs should be carefully validated and studied prior to their application.

Compared to the invasiveness of the harvesting procedure of other tissue-derived MSCs, peripheral blood-derived MSCs (PBMSCs) can be easily obtained from blood samples in a sterile and less invasive manner, and thus provide an efficient method of collecting autologous MSCs for regenerative therapies [4,5]. Additionally, recent studies have identified unique biological features of PBMSCs that are different from other MSCs, and have demonstrated that PBMSCs have great potentiality for the treatment of wounds and diseases in animal models, which supports our previous work in which PBMSCs were transplanted into SCI Sprague Dawley (SD) rats [6–11]; however, the specific mechanisms of such modulatory abilities remain unclear.

Originating from the concept of Th1/Th2 polarization, it is established that macrophages can be polarized to a proinflammatory state, termed M1, or an anti-inflammatory state, termed M2 [12,13]. It has been reported that MSCs have the ability to promote macrophage polarization to an M2 phenotype in vivo, and thus suppress inflammation resulting in remodeling and disease-curing effects [14–17]; however, the secretion patterns of MSCs are complex and needed to be clarified.

CD4⁺ T cells can differentiate into distinct subtypes, including T helper 1 (Th1), T helper 2 (Th2), T helper 17 (Th17) and regulatory T (Treg) cells [18]. Tregs are regarded as immunosuppressive and have the ability to inhibit inflammation by secreting anti-inflammatory cytokines, interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) [19–21]. By contrast, Th17 cells are characterized by the production of the key proinflammatory cytokine, IL-17, and are considered to be proinflammatory cells [22,23]. Recent studies have demonstrated that the ratio of Th17/Treg cells is critical in regulating immunity [24–26]. Thus, Tregs and Th17 need to reach a balance to maintain homeostasis, otherwise, there may be excessive and invasive inflammatory reactions. Several studies have focused on using bone marrow-derived MSCs to treat autoimmune diseases; however, an exploration of how PBMSCs influence the Th17/Treg balance has never been conducted, to the best of our knowledge.

Taken together, it is necessary to further elucidate the mechanisms of the therapeutic effects of PBMSCs, especially regarding inflammatory/immunological diseases. The current study focused on the two cell systems mentioned above, with the cells co-cultured with PBMSCs in order to determine how PBMSCs influence inflammation by regulating these two important cell types. It was noted that the populations of M2 macrophages and Tregs increased, while M1 macrophages and Th17 cells were decreased by co-culture with PBMSCs. The results further confirmed the effectiveness of PBMSCs in regulating M2 polarization and the differentiation of Tregs; thus, indicating that PBMSCs could be used within the clinical treatment of inflammatory diseases in the future.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Specific pathogen-free SD rats were purchased from the Animal Center of Third Military Medical University (production license no. SCXK Yu 2012-0005). A total of 40 SD rats (age, 6–8 weeks; weight, 80–120 g; both sexes) were used in this experiment conforming to the 3Rs (Reduction, Replacement, and Refinement) principle. The animal studies were performed after receiving approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Zunyi Medical University.

2.2. Cells

2.2.1. Isolation, culture, and identification of PBMSCs

PBMSCs were processed following our previously described methods [11]. A total of 25 SD rats were subcutaneously injected with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF; 100 μg/kg/day) for 6 days. Peripheral blood of 5–10 ml was harvested from the left ventricles. Immediately, the sample was diluted with an equal volume of PBS and carefully layered onto the rat Ficoll solution (1.083 g/l; Chuanye Biochemicals). After centrifugation at 400 × g for 30 min, the layer at the interface was collected and rinsed with PBS. The pellet was pipetted and resuspended in 5 ml complete α-Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (α-MEM; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 2 mmol/l glutamine (Hyclone GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and 0.01 μg/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (Peprotech, Inc.) after centrifugation. Subsequently, the cell suspensions were transferred into a disposable plastic 25-cm² culture flask, and placed into an incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂ and saturated humidity). The medium was changed when required. The cells were subcultured once 80–90% confluent. Passage 3 (P3) cells were analyzed by flow cytometry and immunocytochemical staining for identification, and then used in the subsequent experiments. Mesoderm multi-lineage differentiation capacities were analyzed according to our previous research [27].

2.2.2. Isolation and culture of M0 macrophages

L929 conditioned medium (LCM) was collected according to previous protocols by culturing L929 cells [28]. SD rats (n = 15; age, 6–8 weeks; weight, 80–120 g) were sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and then femurs were collected under sterile conditions. The bone marrow cavities were flushed into a clean 50 ml tube using RPMI-1640 medium. After centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended with differentiation medium (10% FBS, 20% LCM and 70% RPMI-1640) then seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells/ml. The medium was first changed on the 2nd day. The macrophages obtained from the plates 6 days after culturing were regarded as M0 macrophages and were used for further experiments.

2.2.3. Isolation and culture of rat spleen lymphocytes

The spleens of the same 15 SD rats were obtained under aseptic conditions as soon as the bone marrow collection described above was completed and were ground gently in 1 ml RPMI-1640 medium in a glass grinder. The liquid was filtered through a 300-mesh nylon filter. Gently, the filtration solution was added onto the top of Ficoll solutions. The lymphocyte layer was then collected after centrifugation at 400 × g for 30 min. The pellet was resuspended with RPMI-1640 conditioned medium (10% FBS, 2 mmol/l glutamine) and centrifuged at 400 × g for 10 min. Again, the pellet was resuspended in conditioned medium. Following seeding into a 6-well plate, the lymphocytes were cultured in an incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂ and saturated humidity).

2.2.4. Indirect co-culture of PBMSCs and M0 macrophages

Purified bone marrow-derived macrophages were seeded in a 0.4-μm Transwell culture system at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells/cm². Cells were divided into three groups: PBMSCs (1 × 10⁵ cells/cm²)
group, macrophage (M0) group, PBMCs (1 × 10^5 cells/cm²) and macrophage co-culture group (PBMCs + M0). In the co-culture group, PBMCs were seeded in the upper Transwell chamber and co-cultured with M0 macrophages for 3 days. On the 4th day, the medium was changed to serum-free medium and supernatant was collected after 24 h. The supernatant was stored at −80 °C for cytokine detection using immunomagnetic microspheres (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Briefly, the standards and samples were diluted according to the Bio-Plex Cytokine Reagent Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) and incubated on ice for 30 min; the immunomagnetic microspheres were gently shaken for ~30 s then were diluted in assay buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) and 50 µl was added to plates. After adding the antibodies to standards and samples accordingly, the plates were incubated for 30 min and centrifuged at 850×g avoiding light. After washing, the plates were detected using the Bio-Plex 200 system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.).

2.2.5. Direct co-culture of PBMCs and lymphocytes

The lymphocyte co-culture was performed using two groups: Direct co-culture group (PBMCs + lymphocytes) and the lymphocyte group. Specifically, for the direct co-culture group, 1 × 10^6 PBMCs were added into a T-75 flask and cultured for ~8 h in 10 ml complete α-MEM until they became adherent. Then, mitomycin (40 µg/ml) was added to the flask. After 3 h, the medium was replaced with RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mmol/l glutamine. The lymphocytes (1 × 10^7 cells) were added to reach a ratio of 10:1 with PBMCs [29]. The supernatants were collected after 5 and 10 days of co-culturing for further experiments.

2.3. Flow cytometry

PBMC identification. P3 PBMCs were obtained and resuspended in PBS solutions (1% BSA) then added into flow tubes (1 × 10^6 cells/ml in 100 µl). FITC-conjugated mouse antibodies against CD90 (1:100; eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), CD29 (1:100; eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), CD45 (1:100; eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and CD11b (1:100; eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and the PE-conjugated mouse antibodies against rat CD11b (1:100; eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), CD79a (1:100; eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), CD105 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) antibodies were added, and then incubated with the cells overnight. Then, the samples were washed with PBS, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 35 min. After reaction with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma–Aldrich; Merck KGaA) and staining with hematoxylin (Solarbio Science & Technology), the samples were examined using an inverted microscope (Olympus Corporation).

2.4. Immunocytochemistry

P3 PBMCs were obtained and seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/cm². Once 80% confluent, mouse anti-rat CD34 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), CD73 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and CD105 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) antibodies were added, and then incubated with the cells overnight. Then, the samples were washed with PBS, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 35 min. After reaction with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma–Aldrich; Merck KGaA) and staining with hematoxylin (Solarbio Science & Technology), the samples were examined using an inverted microscope (Olympus Corporation).

2.5. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

The concentrations of IL-17 and TGF-β in the supernatants of the lymphocyte group and PBMCs + lymphocytes group were detected using ELISA kits (eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The 96-well plates were measured using the Bio-Rad Plate Reader model 680 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) and the following data were analyzed using the ELISA Calc software.

2.6. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

RT-qPCR techniques were used to examine the mRNA levels of IL-6, Foxp3, RAR-related orphan receptor γT (RORγt), TGF-β, IL-17 and IL-10 in T cells, and IL-6, IL-1β, C–C motif chemokine ligand 22 (CCL22) and IL-10 in macrophages. Total mRNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and reverse transcribed with the GoScript™ RT System (Promega Corporation), according to the manufacturer's protocol. GoFaq® Probe RT-qPCR System (Promega Corporation) was used in the subsequent amplification. The thermocycling conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, 60 °C annealing for 30 s and 72 °C extension for 10 s. The relative mRNA expressions were calculated using the ΔΔCq method. The primers used in the procedures were designed using Primer 3 software and synthesized by Sangon Biotech Company (Table 1).

2.7. Statistical analysis

All data were processed and presented as the mean ± SD, or as the percentage of controls ± SD. Means were compared using the Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA (LSD was chosen for post-hoc
multiple comparisons). $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. PBMSCs have MSC characteristics

MSCs have a characteristic fibroblast-like shape and grow in a radial manner. Morphological observations showed that the cells cultured using established procedures in the present study exhibited the typical fibroblast-like shape after primary culture for 20 days (Fig. 2A). The subcultured cells had the same spindle shape and growth pattern. Immunocytochemistry and flow cytometry revealed that CD90, CD29, CD73, and CD105 were highly expressed in the MSCs, whereas these cells were negative for CD45, CD11b, CD19a, CD34, and HLA-DR (Fig. 1B–D), which is consistent with previous research on MSCs [30].

#### 3.2. The co-culture of M0 and PBMSCs promotes the secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines

Primarily, cultured M0 macrophages grew adherently with extended rod-like pseudopodia and were highly heterogeneous in morphology (Fig. 2A). After co-culture with PBMSCs for 5 days, M0 macrophages became more spherical and uniform in shape with PBMSCs compared to the other groups ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 2B). The refraction rate increased, indicating enhanced cellular reactions, were also examined. The IL-1 family consists of 11 cytokines, which are involved in inflammatory activity. The amount of IL-10 was highly expressed in the co-culture of M0 cells with PBMSCs. There was no difference in the mRNA level of IL-6 in both co-cultured groups at the two time points was not significant difference in expression between the samples taken at the two time points ($P > 0.05$; Fig. 4A). However, there was no significant difference in expression between the samples taken at the two time points ($P > 0.05$; Fig. 4A).

Further analysis of the expression of associated genes was performed by RT-qPCR. The mRNA expression of genes associated with Th17 cells, such as IL-17, which is considered to be proinflammatory, was decreased after co-culturing ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4B). There was no difference in the mRNA level of IL-6 in both co-culturing groups; whereas, IL-17 was increased at day 10 compared with day 5 ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4B). Interestingly, RORγT, which is considered to be critical for the differentiation of Th17 cells, was increased by co-culture with PBMSCs ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4B), and expression was higher at day 10 that at day 5 ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4B). As for Tregs, crucial genes associated with differentiation or secretion, including TGF-β, IL-10 and Foxp3, were highly expressed at day 5, and even higher at day 10 ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4C).

### 4. Discussion

MSCs have the advantages of multi-differentiation potentiality and hypoimmunogenicity, and have been applied in various animal models designed to replace cells or tissues that have been damaged by various diseases and injuries. Prior to their branding as MSCs, marrow-derived fibroblasts were first used in the Dexter assay as feeder cells to sustain the survival of primitive murine hematopoietic stem cells in vitro. Regardless of their limited properties in primitive applications and mesodermal structural capacity, the profound effects of MSCs on innate and adaptive immune cells were identified [31]. Thus, given the fact that the survival rates of

| Gene   | Sequence (5’–3’), upstream                   | Sequence (5’–3’), downstream                  | Bp    |
|--------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------|
| IL-6   | TTCCAGACGGTACCCACCAACA                     | AATCAAAATTTCATGAC                           | 124   |
| IL-10  | GAAATGCAACCCACCCACAC                      | TCTCAAGCAGTCCTGCCGT                        | 99    |
| TGF-β  | CGGAACCACACGAAATCTATG                      | AGCCCTGATTTGCCCTTCTCT                      | 180   |
| IL-17A | CAAACCGGCGCACAATACAC                      | AGACTCCAGGTTAGGTAAGA                      | 130   |
| FoxP3  | AGGTCTTGCCTGCTGCAGGAG                     | GTGCTGAGTCAAGAAGCGG                        | 122   |
| RORγT  | AGGCCAAGGAGCCCTGAGATTT                    | AGCACGTGACCCATTCCACAC                      | 167   |
| IL-1β  | AATGACCTCTTTCTTTGAGGTGCTGAC               | CGATGACTGCTTGTGACATTGAAG                    | 115   |
| CCL22  | GCGAGGAAGGCAACCTACAAA                     | TCCAGAGGAGAAGAGAGATT                     | 200   |

IL, interleukin; TGF, transforming growth factor; FoxP3, forkhead box P3; ROR, retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor.
injected MSCs (intravenous or localized) are extremely low, the focus of research has switched from tissue regeneration to the immunomodulatory ability of MSCs [32,33]. At present, MSCs have been demonstrated to be efficient in numerous models of inflammation-related diseases [34–36]. In the current study, PBMSCs were selected as seed cells because of the ease of obtaining samples, which would drastically reduce the invasiveness compared to the harvesting of MSC from traditional sources (such as bone marrow-derived MSCs). Previous studies had already established the method of collecting PBMSCs using G-CSF, and confirmed that the collected cells were MSCs [27]. Flow cytometry and immunocytochemistry confirmed that the cells acquired from peripheral blood in the current study were CD90\(^+\)CD29\(^+\)CD73\(^+\)CD105\(^+\)HLA-DR- MSCs.

Fig. 1. Identification of cultured rat PBMSCs microscopy, immunocytochemical staining and flow cytometry techniques (A) Morphology of P3 PBMSCs; Osteogenesis, chondrogenesis, adipogenesis-committed differentiation of PBMSCs were determined by alizarin red, alcan blue and oil red staining, respectively (B) CD73, CD105, CD34 and HLA-DR of P3 PBMSCs treated with DAB and colored with hematoxylin, then observed under an inverted microscope (x100). Phenotypic analysis of PBMSCs analyzed by flow cytometry (C) CD29 FITC-A and CD90 FITC-A of P3 PBMSCs, together with the control isotypes Rat IgM FITC-A and Rat IgG1 FITC-A detection (D) CD45 FITC-A, CD11b PE-A and CD79a PE-A of P3 PBMSCs, together with the control isotypes Rat IgG1 FITC-A, Rat IgG APE-A and Rat IgG1 PE-A detection. PBMSCs, peripheral blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells; P3, passage 3.

Fig. 2. Morphology of rat macrophages under different culture conditions and cytokine levels in the supernatant of different culture groups (A) Morphology of M0 macrophages under an inverted microscope (x200) (B) Morphology of M0 macrophages co-cultured with PBMSCs (x200) (C) The heatmap of cytokines IFN-\(\gamma\), IL-10, IL-1\(\beta\) and TNF-\(\alpha\) in PBMSCs + M0, PBMSCs and M0 groups (n = 4) after conducting immune-microsphere detection (D) Quantitative analysis of the concentration changes of IFN-\(\gamma\), IL-10, IL-1\(\beta\) and TNF-\(\alpha\) in the supernatant of PBMSCs + M0, PBMSCs and M0 groups (n = 4; *P < 0.05). PBMSCs, peripheral blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells; IFN-\(\gamma\), interferon-\(\gamma\); IL, interleukin; TNF-\(\alpha\), tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\).
Macrophages have a pivotal role in innate immunity and participate in the entire inflammatory process throughout the body [37]. During inflammation, macrophages can polarize into different subtypes according to the environment that they are in. At the early stage of inflammation, M1 macrophages have the predominant role in destroying invading organisms and damaged cells by releasing reactive oxygen species or proinflammatory cytokines (nitric oxide, IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α and others); however, excessive inflammatory reactions may cause unrestrained expression of proinflammatory chemokines, which may hinder recovery [13,38–41]. By contrast, at the later stages, M1 macrophages are stimulated by cytokines, such as IL-4, and are reprogrammed to become M2 macrophages, which are characterized by high expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10, which stops the acute phase of tissue inflammation, and thus promotes tissue self-reconstruction and repair [13,38,39].

In the current study, macrophages co-cultured with PBMSCs had a cytokine secretion pattern similar to M2 macrophages, which express high levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10) and reduced levels of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α). Although, IL-1β was unexpectedly increased after co-culture with PBMSCs, which conflicted with the RT-qPCR results of IL-1β mRNA expression in macrophages within the co-culture system; we assumed that this may result from the time point we choose to evaluate cytokines happened to be the very time when PBMSCs were just skewing the macrophages from pro-inflammatory M1 towards the anti-inflammatory M2, similar to the circumstances of the resolving stage of inflammation when organisms’ immune systems encounter stimuli. Still, more specific researches are needed for further elucidation.

Arg-1 has a critical role in regulating the function of macrophages. Arg-1 reduces nitric oxide synthesis by consuming L-arginine, the substrate of iNOS [42,43]. Thus, the Arg-1/iNOS balance can provide a reflection of the M1/M2 ratio. Furthermore, the chemokine CCL22 is predominantly secreted by macrophages [44]. IL-4 is a well-known cytokine that promotes the polarization of M2 macrophages and induces the expression of CCL22 [44,45]. By contrast, IFN-γ promotes the M1 macrophage phenotype and decreases the production of CCL22. Therefore, the expression of CCL22 may also indicate the polarization state of macrophages [46].

Taken together, the findings of the current study indicate that PBMSCs change the ratio of M1/M2 macrophages and skew the balance towards the M2 phenotype, which provides a novel direction for further exploration of the use of PBMSCs. Additionally, the experiments were conducted using indirect co-culturing, considering the complexity of the effects of direct contact between the phagocytic macrophages and PBMSCs. If in direct contact, the effects could be caused by activation of surface receptors, such as Toll-like receptors, or through efferocytosis. Thus, the paracrine mechanisms were examined using indirect culture to provide preliminary data for further experiments.

Fig. 3. Expressions of surface markers and relevant cytokines in macrophages. Detection of surface markers and cytokines of macrophages from M0 and PBMSCs + M0 groups by flow cytometry (A) Expression of CD11b, CD68, CD206, Arg-1, iNOS and TNF-α (B) quantitative analysis of the concentration changes of CD11b, CD68, CD206, Arg-1, iNOS and TNF-α (n = 4; *P < 0.05) (C) Quantitative analysis of the expressions of IL-10, CCL22, IL-1β and IL-6 of macrophages by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR. PBMSCs, peripheral blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells; Arg-1, arginase-1; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; IL, interleukin; CCL22, C–C motif chemokine ligand 22.
T lymphocytes provide a crucial link between innate and adaptive immunity. CD4+helper T cells form the majority of T lymphocytes. Among all subtypes differentiated from CD4+ T cells, Tregs are the only subtype that has immunosuppressive effects on T cell activation or proliferation, and function through direct cell-cell contact and the secretion of cytokines [47]. The anti-inflammatory mediators, IL-10 and TGF-β, and the transcription factor Foxp3 are regarded as markers of activated Tregs [48]. Th17 cells secrete IL-17, which is a key factor that acts synergistically with other proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-1β, in most circumstances [49]. IL-17 recruits neutrophils, promotes chemokine synthesis and mediates the interactions between lymphocytes and macrophages; thus, indicating that the IL-17-producing Th17 cells promote inflammatory reactions [49]. The helper T cell family can undergo transformation between subtypes depending on the specific circumstances encountered in vivo, and together with the fact that Tregs and Th17 cells interact antagonistically with each other to maintain homeostasis, it is clear that skewing the balance of Th17/Treg cells will have a marked effect on immunity, which has already been validated in various previous studies [24–26].

In the present study, the expression levels of relevant genes and cytokines in the co-culture system were examined using ELISA, RT-qPCR and flow cytometry to determine the effect of PBMSCs on the Th17/Treg balance. The results demonstrated that IL-10, TGF-β and Foxp3 levels, which are the typical genes expressed by Tregs, were increased in the co-culture system, whereas the expression of IL-6 and IL-17 was decreased. Together with ELISA and flow cytometry analysis, the results indicated that the relative quantity of Th17 cells was reduced compared with Tregs when co-cultured with PBMSCs. Notably, the expression of RORγt is commonly associated with Th17 cells, but RORγt is also present in activated Treg cells [50]. As Th17s may partway through their transformation to Tregs, even RORγt+ cells should be considered Tregs transformed from Th17 (according to our interpretation of the increase in RORγt expression in the co-culture system); or the increase in RORγt might be the result of negative feedback created by the decline of Th17 cells.

It is clear that exaggerated, excessive synthesis of IL-6 leads to an acute, severe inflammatory response known as a ‘cytokine storm’; thus IL-6 has always been regarded as a proinflammatory cytokine. However, research on macrophages and other cells has recently revealed that IL-6 promotes the activation of STAT3, and STAT3 can stimulate target cells to have an anti-inflammatory phenotype; whether the same effect occurs in lymphocytes is still unknown. Therefore, evaluating the precise effects of IL-6 expression changes is complex and requires further investigation.

MSCs are known to produce TGF-β and IL-10 [51,52]. Despite the fact that TGF-β has effects on both Tregs and Th17 cells, it has been reported that TGF-β can only promote the differentiation of Th17 in cooperation with proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1 and IL-6), and promotes the differentiation of Tregs when combined with IL-10 [53,54]. This suggests that PBMSCs promoted Treg differentiation...
by secreting TGF-β and IL-10. However, it has been reported that direct contact between MSCs and T cells is more important for differentiation than the paracrine mechanisms [55]. Thus, it is necessary to design further experiments to block the expression of TGF-β or/and IL-10 in PBMSCs in order to understand the mechanisms involved and resolve this controversial issue. Interestingly, it has been previously reported that the pre-stimulation of MSCs with proinflammatory cytokines enhances their ability to modulate Treg differentiation. In summary, the regulatory effects of PBMSCs on Th17/Treg cells are likely to depend on paracrine mechanisms, direct contact with T cells and the stimulation state.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that PBMSCs promote the anti-inflammatory M2 macrophage phenotype and differentiation of Tregs, thereby skewing the M1/M2 ratio and the balance of Th17/Treg cells toward an anti-inflammatory state. Although the environment is far more complex, PBMSCs are transplanted into subjects, the two main immune cell types have been confirmed to be responsive to the immunomodulatory effects of PBMSCs in vitro and may be able to reduce inflammation. These findings provide preliminary evidence and novel directions for further exploring the immunomodulatory ability of PBMSCs, and thereby promoting the potential application of PBMSCs as a clinical treatment for immune or inflammatory diseases in the future.
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