Spin-polarized imaging of strongly interacting fermions in the ferrimagnetic state of Weyl candidate CeBi
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CeBi has an intricate magnetic phase diagram whose fully-polarized state has recently been suggested as a Weyl semimetal, though the role of f states in promoting strong interactions has remained elusive. Here we focus on the less-studied, but also time-reversal symmetry-breaking ferrimagnetic phase of CeBi, where our density functional theory (DFT) calculations predict additional Weyl nodes near the Fermi level $E_F$. We use spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy to image the surface ferrimagnetic order on the itinerant Bi p states, indicating their orbital hybridization with localized Ce f states. We observe suppression of this spin-polarized signature at $E_F$, coincident with a Fano line shape in the conductance spectra, suggesting the Bi p states partially Kondo screen the f magnetic moments, and this $p-f$ hybridization causes strong Fermi-level band renormalization. The p band flattening is supported by our quasiparticle interference (QPI) measurements, which also show band splitting in agreement with DFT, painting a consistent picture of a strongly interacting magnetic Weyl semimetal.

I. INTRODUCTION

1 Merging strong electron interactions with topology is a new frontier for fundamental research and advanced technology [1–3]. Kondo lattice systems are a promising platform for strongly correlated topological phenomena, exemplified by the recent observation of strongly-renormalized Dirac surface states in the Kondo insulator SmB$_6$ [4, 5], and the proposal for a Weyl-Kondo semiconductor phase [6]. In general, a Weyl semimetal [7] arises when a bulk Dirac point is split into two Weyl nodes by breaking inversion or time-reversal symmetry (TRS). However, a crystal structure that breaks inversion symmetry is typically not tunable, while an applied magnetic field $B$ that breaks TRS yields only a small Zeeman energy of $\sim 1$ Kelvin/Tesla for a typical g-factor of 2. Materials with intrinsic magnetic order may have larger energy scales that drive the Weyl nodes further apart and protect their well-defined chirality [8]. Such TRS-breaking Weyl semimetals were recently discovered in ferromagnets [9–11] and antiferromagnets [12]. The ultimate goal is to combine the higher energy scales and strong correlations with the practicality of external tunability [13]. This goal motivates the search for topological phases in Kondo lattice compounds, which often host large spin-orbit coupling, strongly interacting electrons, and proximate field-tunable magnetic order [14–16].

2 Cerium-monopnictides (CeX, X = As, Sb, Bi) are correlated low-carrier-density Kondo lattice systems [17] with cascades of magnetic phase transitions, as shown for CeBi in Fig. 1 [18–20]. Though bulk magnetic phase diagrams have been measured by neutron scattering, surface magnetic order has not been studied. Meanwhile, non-trivial band topology was predicted in CeSb [21, 22] and CeBi [23, 24], and signatures of Weyl fermions were observed in transport experiments in the fully-polarized magnetic phase of CeSb [22]. However, the Weyl fermion bands have not yet been directly resolved in any phase of CeX, because the TRS-broken phases exist only under external magnetic field, which precludes the use of angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES). In cerium monopnictides, it remains crucial to measure the surface magnetic order, its associated band splitting, and its orbital contributions, which could influence the Fermi arcs and their connectivity to Weyl cones [9]. Furthermore, characterizing the interplay between magnetic order and Kondo physics is essential to understand the possible emergence of heavy fermions and flat bands [25].

3 Here we use spin-polarized (SP) scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and spectroscopy (STS) to image the energy-resolved surface magnetic order on CeBi, at low temperature with applied magnetic field $B$. We extend previous density functional theory (DFT) calculations of Weyl nodes in the high-$B$ fully-polarized phase [24], to predict additional Weyl nodes near the Fermi level $E_F$ in the intermediate-$B$ ferrimagnetic phase. We therefore focus our experiments at $B = 3$ T, where we image the expected $(+++)$ pattern of the spin orientation of the $f$ orbitals on the Ce sites, but only above $E_F$. Surprisingly, we observe the same magnetic pattern on the Bi sites below $E_F$. The induced magnetic moments on the Bi p states, co-aligned with the adjacent Ce f states,
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Weyl nodes (see also Fig. 11) [24]. In the fully-polarized phase we find a band splitting of ~100 meV that generates Weyl nodes near the calculated $E_F$, however we caution that the true $E_F$ may be significantly shifted in low-carrier-density Kondo materials. The ferrimagnetic phase shows comparable band splitting, but is advantageous because its band folding generates additional Weyl nodes. The predicted ferrimagnetic-phase Weyl nodes are well-spaced over a larger energy range, making the Weyl phase and its low energy signatures more robust to band bending. This circumvents the problem of ill-defined chirality that arises from scattering between multiple degenerate Fermi arcs [33] or from Fermi surfaces encompassing multiple Weyl points [8]. We therefore focus our attention experimentally on the ferrimagnetic phase for the remainder of this work.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figure 3(a) shows a topography acquired with a non-magnetic PtIr tip. The corresponding Fourier transform (FT) in Fig. 3(b) shows four peaks at $Q_{lat} = (\pm1, \pm1)$, arising from the Ce sublattice (see Fig. 6). After gently dunking the tip into the sample, the new topography in Fig. 3(c) shows additional structure, suggesting that the tip has picked up magnetic material, leading to a spin-polarized tunneling current [30, 36]. The magnetic structure manifests in the FT in Fig. 3(d) as two dominant new peaks at $Q_{2a} = (0, \pm1)$, consistent with the expected bulk antiferromagnetic $(++--)$ phase that breaks the cubic symmetry of CeBi even in the absence of applied $B$ [see also Fig. 1(c)]. To verify the SP nature of the tip, we applied an in-plane field $B_z = 3$ T, perpendicular to the zero-field $(++--)$ order, to rotate the magnetic ordering vector of the sample by ninety degrees into the expected $(+++)$ phase, as shown in Fig. 3(e) [see also Fig. 1(d)]. Although the tip spin may also realign due to applied $B$, our observations in Fig. 3(c) and 3(e) show that the tip has a component that is co-aligned with the sample magnetization in both cases. This confirms two essential requirements for our study: Our tip is sensitive to the expected magnetic order of CeBi, and we can tune that magnetic order by applying magnetic field.

Figure 4. Spin-polarized conductance maps at $B_z = 3$ T of the (a) filled, (b) Fermi level, and (c) empty states. ($V_s = 300$ mV, $I_s = 4$ nA, bias modulation $V_{rms} = 7.1$ mV.) The energies of the three maps highlight the filled and Fermi level Bi $p$ orbitals, and the unoccupied Ce $d$ orbitals, respectively. As in Fig. 3(a,c,e), insets show overlaid Bi (cyan) and Ce (yellow and red) atoms, but here the triangles denote the observed induced spin orientations on the Bi $p$ (a,b) and Ce $d$ (c) orbitals. (d) Energy-dependent intensity of magnetic Bragg peaks (as defined in Fig. 1) on the (010) surface of the $(++--)$ phase of CeBi. The dip in $Q_{2a}$ around $-150$ mV corresponds to the bottom of the outer Bi $p$ band, which is marked by a red arrow in Fig. 2(d). (e) Background-subtracted, spatially-averaged $dI/dV$ curves, fit to Fano line shape (see Figs. 9 and 10).
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FIG. 3. (a) Topography of CeBi measured with spin-degenerate PtIr tip at zero field. (Sample bias $V_s = 400$ mV, current setpoint $I_s = 200$ pA.) (b) Fourier transform (FT) of (a) shows Ce sublattice peaks at $Q_{lat}$, but no magnetic peaks. (c,d) Topography and FT with spin-polarized tip (obtained by dunking to pick up magnetic material) show new structure and dominant Bragg peaks at $Q_{2a}$ (red circle), consistent with the expected bulk antiferromagnetic $(++--)$ phase that breaks the cubic symmetry even in zero applied field. ($V_s = 400$ mV, $I_s = 150$ pA.) (e,f) By applying a horizontal field of $B_x = 3$ T orthogonal to the $(++--)$ wavevector of (c,d), the spin-polarized topography and FT show reoriented structure. Bragg peaks at $Q_{2a}$ (red circle) consistent with the expected bulk ferrimagnetic $(+++) \pi$ phase ($V_s = 100$ mV, $I_s = 500$ pA, recorded in vicinity of (e) and with the same spin-polarized tip). Insets in panels (a),(c) and (e) show overlaid Bi (cyan) and Ce (yellow and red) atoms, with circles denoting expected non-magnetic orbitals and triangles denoting the expected spin orientations of the Ce $f$ orbital, from neutron scattering [18].
FIG. 5. (a) Quasiparticle interference (QPI) intensity along the Γ − Z direction of the (++) phase at $B_z = 3$ T (see Fig. 12 for details). (b) DFT band structure from Fig. 2(d) in an extended zone scheme. Predicted Weyl nodes are circled in light purple and dark green. The dominant features in the QPI data, labeled by three white arcs $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ in (a), match the three intraband scattering processes $p1$, $p2$, and $p3$ marked as gray arrows on the DFT in (b). The predominance of these three $p$-orbital band segments in the QPI signal is consistent with a prior observation that $p$ orbitals extend farther from the surface than $d$ orbitals, and are more accessible to the STM tip [34]. Our QPI data also shows that the $p3$ segment of this folded outer $p$ band is shifted up by $\sim 70$ meV (black arrow) with respect to the $p1$ and $p2$ segments, consistent with band flattening due to strong electron correlations. (c) DFT band structure close to tilted (type II) Weyl cone at $E_F$. (d) Schematic showing the renormalization (flattening) of the Bi $6p$ band upon hybridization with the Ce $4f$ states [35]. Dashed red line denotes the non-renormalized $p$ band. Gray shading denotes the renormalized $f$ state forming our observed Kondo resonance, in agreement with ARPES [25]. Dashed black line indicates a prior observation of a Bi $p$ magnetic moment of $\sim 0.01 \mu_B$.

We plot the intensity of the magnetic Bragg peaks vs. energy in Fig. 4(d). The $Q_{2\alpha}$ peak is dominant at negative energies, but strongly suppressed at $E_F$, and recovers only weakly above $E_F$. This evolution is also apparent in the colorscale spans of the SP-$dI/dV$ maps. While the (+++) pattern of induced magnetic moments is dominant at $V_s = -80$ and $+200$ meV in Figs. 4(a) and 4(c), the map at $E_F$ in Fig. 4(b) shows a different motif with maxima on every second Bi atom. We speculate that this (+++) structure may be caused by a residual out-of-plane ordering of surface spins (see Fig. 8). The suppression of the $Q_{2\alpha}$ intensity also coincides with a 180° phase flip of the real-space pattern (see Fig. 6).

Kondo screening can arise from $p − f$ hybridization, as suggested by previous Hall effect and ARPES measurements [27, 37], so we search for its possible signatures in $dI/dV$ spectroscopy. Fig. 4(e) shows three spatially-averaged $dI/dV$ spectra around $E_F$ in the (+++), (+++), and fully-polarized phases. All spectra have a similar shape with a shoulder around $-18$ meV and a dip near $E_F$, characteristic of the asymmetric Fano line shape describing a Kondo resonance,

$$F(E) \propto \frac{|q + (E - E_0)/\Gamma|^2}{1 + [(E - E_0)/\Gamma]^2},$$

where $E_0$ is the energy of the localized many-body resonance (which we find to be consistent with $E_F$; see Table 1), $q$ is the Fano factor that describes the tunnelling ratio between the localized $f$ state and the itinerant conduction electrons, and $\Gamma$ is proportional to the hybridization between the localized and itinerant states [38]. Similar line shapes have been observed in other Kondo lattice systems such as YbRh$_2$Si$_2$ [39], URu$_2$Si$_2$ [40], and SmB$_6$ [5]. In all three magnetic phases of CeBi, we find $\Gamma \sim 10$ meV, consistent with a resistivity upturn at $\sim 100$ K, above the Neél temperature [19]. However, the large residual $dI/dV$ at the Fano minimum (see Fig. 9) suggests that only $5 − 10%$ of the conduction electrons participate in Kondo screening in CeBi, consistent with the local-moment-like behavior of the Ce sublattice [18, 19].

In CeBi the itinerant electrons closest to $E_F$ are of both Ce 5d and Bi 6p character, so we do not know a priori which itinerant states participate in the partial Kondo screening of Ce $f$ moments. However, the disappearance of (+++) order from the Bi sites at $E_F$ is consistent with the involvement of Bi $p$ states in Kondo singlet formation. Furthermore, the Kondo resonance is facilitated by the shared symmetry of the Bi $6p$ orbitals.
and the Ce $f_{5/2} \Gamma_8$ multiplet [35, 41], while $d - f$ hybridization is forbidden on-site. We thus conclude that the Bi 6p states are the primary conduction electrons that couple to the Ce 4f moments and participate in the formation of Kondo singlets.

Fig. 4 highlights several competing interactions in CeBi. First, the Fano lineshape in our $dI/dV$ spectra suggests a Kondo resonance in which some conduction electrons anti-align with and partially screen the local moments. However, the observed shift of the same (+++-) order from the Ce sites in Fig. 4(c) to the Bi sites in Fig. 4(a) demonstrates that the induced magnetic moments on the Bi $p$ states are co-aligned with the local $f$ moments. These opposite magnetic interactions compete for the same $p$ states. Second, long-range order can arise when localized moments couple to each other via the polarized conduction electrons, through the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction. The competition between the screening of the local moments (Kondo) and formation of long-range magnetic order (RKKY) is typically determined by both the conduction ($c$) electron density and the coupling $J_{f-c}$ [14, 16]. However, we observe long-range order in Figs. 4(a) and 4(c) coexisting with the Kondo resonance in Fig. 4(e). Our data suggests that these competing interactions in CeBi each dominate at separate energies, in contrast with CeSb where the simultaneous observation of Kondo screening and long-range order has been explained by phase separation in momentum space [25].

To determine the effect of $p - f$ hybridization on the predicted Weyl fermion bands in the CeBi $(+++-)$ phase, Fig. 5(a) shows our quasiparticle interference (QPI) measurement of the band dispersion along $\Gamma - Z$. The dominant QPI features show excellent agreement with the calculated bands of majority Bi $p$ orbital character shown in Fig. 5(b). From this band assignment, we make several observations. First, the QPI-observed $\alpha$ and $\beta$ bands support the DFT-predicted $\sim$100 meV splitting of the hole-like Bi $p$ band around $\Gamma$ into $p_1$ ($\alpha$) at 230 meV and $p_2$ ($\beta$) at 120 meV, consistent with the formation of induced magnetic moments on the Bi $p$ sites by orbital hybridization. Second, the QPI-observed $\gamma$ band can be attributed to scattering across the BZ boundary between the folded $p\beta$ portion of the same Bi $p$ band. However, the QPI-observed $\gamma$ band is $\sim$ 70 meV higher than the corresponding DFT-predicted $p\beta$ band, supporting a scenario of $p - f$ hybridization that renormalizes (flattens) the $p$ band and the band of mixed $pd$ character that makes up half of the Fermi-level Weyl cone. We thus infer that the Weyl cones of CeBi are strongly renormalized compared to the DFT calculations, consistent with the enhanced effective mass of 4.3$m_e$ observed in quantum oscillation experiments on CeSb [42]. DFT calculations notoriously underestimate band-renormalization effects in strongly interacting materials, so our QPI experiment serves as a crucial reality check.

V. CONCLUSION

Weyl cones are expected to be robust under renormalization, which can spread their position in momentum space but not lift the degeneracy of the requisite crossings [43]. Neither $p - f$ nor $d - f$ hybridization yields an exact realization of the proposed Weyl-Kondo semimetal [6], in which the $f$ electrons are directly involved in the formation of the Weyl cones. However, our work shows (i) induced magnetic moments on the Bi $p$ states, co-aligned with the local Ce $f$ moments, from spin-polarized $dI/dV$ images of the $(+++-)$ order on the Bi sites; (ii) $p - f$ hybridization from $dI/dV$ spectroscopy of the Fano resonance; (iii) $\sim$100 meV band splitting from QPI that confirms $p - f$ hybridization and TRS breaking; (iv) and band flattening from QPI. This comprehensive evidence supports a consistent picture of CeBi as a strongly interacting magnetic Weyl semimetal.
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Appendix A: Surface atom and spin identification

To identify the atomic sublattice imaged in Figs. 3 and 4, we investigated La-doped CeBi samples, where La is expected to replace Ce. Measurements and DFT calculations in Fig. 6 show that at large positive bias voltage we are tunneling predominantly into Ce sites, while below $\sim$ 0.1 V we are tunneling predominantly into Bi sites. Fig. 7 shows the full energy dependence of the spin-polarized $dI/dV$ maps in Fig. 4. Fig. 8(a) shows
FIG. 6. (a-e) Topography of CeBi with 2.5% (nominal) La dopants expected at the Ce sites, recorded with sample bias \( V_s = 800 \) mV and tunneling current setpoint \( I_t = 600 \) pA. The spacing of the visible atomic lattice (periodic bright spots) in a clean region is 4.6 Å, consistent with x-ray diffraction measurements of the lattice constant [20]. (b) Zoom around a typical La adatom that is centered between bright lattice spots. (c) Two La dopants in the top surface layer, located on the bright lattice sites. (d) La in the subsurface layer, laterally centered on a dark lattice site of the top surface layer. (e) Vacancy of two bright adatom that is centered between bright lattice spots. (f) DFT-calculated density of states (DOS) of bulk CeBi in the ferrimagnetic \((+++)\) state, resolved according to elemental contribution. At negative energies, the DOS of Bi dominates while on the positive side, Ce dominates. (g-j) Topography and \( dI/dV \) maps of nominally pristine (undoped) CeBi, to identify the shift of dominant Bragg peaks, as shown in Fig. 8(b). Fig-}

The Fermi level \( dI/dV \) map in Fig. 8(a) shows a pattern with maxima on every second Bi atom, which is different from the \((+++)\) pattern of the bulk magnetic moments expected from the phase diagram of Fig. 1(d). This Fermi level \( dI/dV \) corresponds to dominant \( Q_{\alpha} \) and \( Q_{\beta} \) magnetic Bragg peaks, as shown in Fig. 8(b). Figure 7(c) shows a corresponding suppression of the \( Q_{2\alpha} \) Bragg peak associated with the \((+++)\) order for energies close to the Fermi level. The suppression of the \( Q_{2\alpha} \) intensity also coincides with a 180° phase flip of the real-space pattern in Fig. 7(a). The suppression of \( Q_{2\alpha} \) at the Fermi level implies that the \((+++)\) pattern seen at that energy is not connected to the \((+++)\) order, but of different origin. We suggest that this \((+++)\) struc-

Appendix B: Kondo lineshape

Figure 9(a) shows the spatially-averaged raw \( dI/dV \) spectra around \( E_F \) in the \((+++), (+++)\), and fully-polarized phase from which we subtracted the background (gray dashed lines) to obtain the Fano line shapes shown in Fig. 4(e), and re-displayed here in Fig. 9(b). The qualitative features of the Fano line shape, with a shoulder around \(-18\) meV and a dip near \( E_F \), are apparent in the raw spectra. But to quantify the Fano line shape parameters in Table I, we fit Eqn. 1 added to a polynomial background. By comparing the residual conductance at \( E_F \) with the shoulder around \(-20\) meV
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A second spin-polarized \( dI/dV \) map at the Fermi level, acquired with identical measurement parameters as Fig. 7(a11) but with different tip termination. Together, Figs. 7(a11) and 8(a) suggest a canting of the surface spins.
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A second spin-polarized \( dI/dV \) map at the Fermi level, acquired with identical measurement parameters as Fig. 7(a11) but with different tip termination. Together, Figs. 7(a11) and 8(a) suggest a canting of the surface spins.
FIG. 7. (a1-a25) All energy layers of the conductance map presented in Fig. 4, showing the (010) surface of the (+++) phase at \( B_z = 3 \) T. Sample bias is indicated in top right corner of each layer. Setup parameters are \( V_s = 300 \) mV, \( I_s = 4 \) nA, \( V_{rms} = 7.1 \) mV. (b) Simulated Fourier transform of (+++) magnetic order on the (010) surface with magnetic \((Q_a, Q_b, Q_{2a})\) and structural lattice \((Q_{lat})\) Bragg peaks as indicated. (c-d) Energy-dependent (c) intensity and (d) phase of magnetic and structural Bragg peaks, calculated by Fourier transforming each measured conductance map in panels (a1-a25).
in Fig. 9(a), it can be seen that only 5 – 10% of the conduction electrons participate in the Kondo screening. Furthermore, the point spectra in Fig. 10 recorded in the antiferromagnetic ground state (B = 0 T) and in the ferrimagnetic state (Bz = 3 T) all show a Fano-like lineshape with only slight spatial variation at larger binding energies due to the magnetic order.

TABLE I. Fano line shape parameters determined by a least-square fit. The Kondo temperature is estimated as Tk = Γ/kB where kB is the Boltzman constant.

| B [T]   | E0 [meV] | q   | Tk [K]   |
|---------|----------|-----|----------|
| B = 0 T | 10.2 ± 4 | -1.9 ± 4 | -0.3 118.37 |
| Bz = 3 T | 8.8 ± 10 | -1.6 ± 10 | -0.6 102.12 |
| Bz = 9 T | 7.7 ± 2.5 | 0.8 ± 2.5 | -0.4 98.35 |
FIG. 10. **Point conductance spectra at 0 T and 3 T in CeBi** (a) Conductance map recorded at -50 mV bias \( (B = 0 \text{ T}, V_s = 50 \text{ mV}, I_s = 1 \text{ nA}, V_{\text{rms}} = 2.82 \text{ mV}) \) indicating the location of the point spectra presented in (b). (b) Raw point spectra vertically offset for clarity. Blue spectrum is spatial average within the field of view in panel (a), gray dashed line is polynomial background fit, and black line is Fano lineshape fit plus background. (c) Point spectra vertically offset for clarity after background subtraction, indicating Fano-like lineshape at all locations. (d)-(f) Similar image and point spectra recorded at \( B_z = 3 \text{ T} \) \( (V_s = 300 \text{ mV}, I_s = 2 \text{ nA}, V_{\text{rms}} = 7.1 \text{ mV}) \).

FIG. 11. **Prediction of Weyl points in the \((+++−)\) phase of CeBi** (a) Band structure along the \( \Gamma−Z \) direction in the ferrimagnetic \((+++−)\) phase, calculated using density functional theory (DFT). Open circles indicate the locations of Weyl nodes, colored to indicate sources (pink) and sinks (green) of Berry curvature. (b) Three-dimensional Brillouin zone in the \((+++−)\) phase of CeBi, showing the Weyl nodes W1 and W2 closest to the Fermi level, around 50 meV [gray dashed line in (a)]. (c) Berry curvature field in \( k_x−k_z \) plane of the gray highlighted band in (a), confirming the source (W1) and sink (W2) of Berry curvature. (d)-(e) Zoom on Berry curvature around W1 and W2. Slight upshift of the \( γ \) band, presumably caused by electron correlations, we find a good agreement between our DFT calculations and our QPI measurements.

**Appendix C: Comparing DFT to QPI**

Figure 11(a) shows our DFT calculation of the band structure in the ferrimagnetic \((+++−)\) phase of CeBi, over a larger energy range than in Fig. 2(d). To find the Weyl nodes, we calculate the Berry curvature at each crossing, and circle the sources (pink) and sinks (green). In Figs. 11(c-e) we plot the Berry curvature of the Weyl points W1 and W2 closest to the Fermi level.

We investigate the band structure experimentally by imaging quasiparticle interference (QPI), which probes elastic momentum transfer, predominantly originating from intra-band scattering, as shown in Fig. 12(a). Therefore, all scattering vectors appear around \( q = 0 \) in a QPI measurement, as shown in Fig. 12(b). Figure 12(c) and 12(d) show energy vs. \( q \) dispersion along the \( q_z \) direction measured in two different energy ranges with similar setup conditions. The two datasets are combined in Figs. 5(a) and 12(e), where we overlay the calculated band dispersion \( (α, β, γ) \) from the Bi \( p \) orbitals. After a
FIG. 12. Quasiparticle interference (QPI) in the (+++--) phase at \( B_z = 3 \) T. (a-b) Relation between \( k \)-space and \( q \)-space in QPI measurements. (a) DFT-calculated segments of the Bi outer \( p \) band, replicated from Fig. 2(d), but with the \( \gamma \) band shifted up by \( \sim 70 \) meV to match our measured data. Intra-band quasiparticles scatter from \(-k\) to \(+k\) with a total momentum transfer of \( q = 2k \), shown as gray arrows. (b) The momentum transfer vectors (\( q \)) originate from zero at the tops of all three bands, \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \gamma \). Therefore, pockets around \( Z \) in \( k \)-space appear around zero momentum transfer in \( q \)-space. (c-e) Experimental QPI data and comparison to DFT. (c) QPI data set \#1 along the \( \Gamma - Z \) direction, reproduced in Fig. 5(a) for bias voltages above 80 mV. Setup parameters: \( V_s = 300 \) mV, \( I_s = 2 \) nA, \( V_{\text{rms}} = 7.1 \) mV. (d) QPI data set \#2 along the \( \Gamma - Z \) direction, reproduced in Fig. 5(a) for bias voltages below 80 mV. Setup parameters: \( V_s = 300 \) mV, \( I_s = 4 \) nA, \( V_{\text{rms}} = 7.1 \) mV. (e) Direct overlay of DFT-calculated band structure on combined QPI measurement. The bands with Bi \( p \) orbital character, which define the intra-band scattering vectors \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \gamma \), are consistent with the observed QPI intensity. Here the calculated \( \gamma \) bands are shifted up by \( \sim 70 \) meV with respect to the calculated \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) bands from Fig. 2(d), indicating the presence of electron correlations.

Appendix D: Zero padding

In order to improve atomic visibility, the following maps were interpolated by Fourier-transforming, zero-padding the FT, then inverting the FT: Fig. 3(a), Fig. 4(a)-(c), Fig. 6(f)-(j), Fig. 7(a), Fig. 8(a), Fig. 10(a), and Fig. 10(d). The comparison between raw and interpolated data is shown in Fig. 13.
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