Rational design and directed evolution of a bacterial-type glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase precursor
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ABSTRACT

Protein biosynthesis requires aminoacyl-transfer RNA (tRNA) synthetases to provide aminoacyl-tRNA substrates for the ribosome. Most bacteria and all archaea lack a glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase (GlnRS); instead, Gln-tRNAGln is produced via an indirect pathway: a glutamyl-tRNA synthetase (GluRS) first attaches glutamate (Glu) to tRNAGln, and an amidotransferase converts Glu-tRNAGln to Gln-tRNAGln. The human pathogen Helicobacter pylori encodes two GluRS enzymes, with GluRS2 specifically aminoacylating Glu onto tRNA Gln. It was proposed that GluRS2 is evolving into a bacterial-type GlnRS. Herein, we have combined rational design and directed evolution approaches to test this hypothesis. We show that, in contrast to wild-type (WT) GluRS2, an engineered enzyme variant (M110) with seven amino acid changes is able to rescue growth of the temperature-sensitive Escherichia coli glnS strain UT172 at its non-permissive temperature. In vitro kinetic analyses reveal that WT GluRS2 selectively acylates Glu over Gln, whereas M110 acylates Gln 4-fold more efficiently than Glu. In addition, M110 hydrolyzes adenosine triphosphate 2.5-fold faster in the presence of Glu than Gln, suggesting that an editing activity has evolved in this variant to discriminate against Glu. These data imply that GluRS2 is a few steps away from evolving into a GlnRS and provides a paradigm for studying aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase evolution using directed engineering approaches.

INTRODUCTION

Translation of the genetic information from DNA to protein is a central process in all three domains of life (bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes). Protein synthesis on the ribosome requires aminoacyl-transfer RNA (aa-tRNA) substrates, which are formed by aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) and delivered to the ribosome by elongation factors (1–3). Except for selenocysteine, each of the 22 proteinogenic amino acids has a cognate aaRS that ligates it to the corresponding tRNAs, although several aa-tRNAs use indirect synthesis pathways in defined organisms (4). For example, a number of methanogenic archaea lack a cysteinyl-tRNA synthetase and produce Cys-tRNACys via a two-step pathway (5). Many bacteria and archaea do not encode an asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase; in such organisms, synthesis of Asn-tRNAAsn begins with misacylation of aspartate (Asp) to tRNAAsn by a non-discriminating (ND) aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, followed by conversion of Asp to Asn by an amidotransferase (AdT) (4). Similarly, most bacteria and all archaea lack a glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase (GlnRS) and use a Glu-AdT to produce Cys-tRNACys via a two-step pathway (5).

In this work, we have combined rational design and directed evolution approaches to obtain a H. pylori GluRS2 variant that is capable of rescuing a glnS temperature-sensitive E. coli strain (13,14), and aminoacylating Gln to tRNA Gln in vitro. We have thus identified an important precursor that could further evolve into a bacterial-type GlnRS.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
Strains, plasmids and protein purification

*Escherichia coli* UT172* glnS* temperature-sensitive strain was used for selection of the *H. pylori* GluRS2 mutation library carried on pERS2 vector, which was derived from pUC18. *Escherichia coli* total tRNA used in this study was purchased from Roche. Wild-type (WT) and mutant *H. pylori* GluRS2 were cloned into pET20b vector for overexpression in the BL21(DE3) *E. coli* strain. Expression of WT GluRS2 was induced in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside for 1 h at 37°C when A600 reached 1.2. M110 GluRS2 formed inclusion bodies when overexpressed at 37°C. Its expression was thus induced at 30°C with 0.1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside for 5 h at A600 ~1.2. The proteins were purified with Ni-NTA affinity resin (Qiagen) according to the standard procedures and dialyzed against a storage buffer containing 50 mM Heps (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 3 mM MgCl2 and 50% glycerol.

Construction and selection of *H. pylori* GluRS2 mutation library

To construct a pERS2 plasmid, the full-length gene encoding GluRS2 was inserted into pUC18 plasmid between EcoRI and PstI sites. An additional G nucleotide was included after the EcoRI site to allow GluRS2 controlled by Plac promoter.

Seven residues (A5, S7, C178, I190, R192 and H196) located in the amino acid binding pocket of *H. pylori* GluRS2 were chosen based on the crystal structure of *Thermus thermophilus* GluRS: tRNA^Glu^ (2CV0) to generate the mutation library. I190 and R192 were rationally mutated to serine and cysteine, respectively. A5, S7, C178 and H196 were randomized. Overlap polymerase chain reaction was performed as described previously (15). 4 × 10^8 transformants were obtained for the library, which was 12 times larger than calculated library size (3.4 × 10^7).

The mutation library was selected in *E. coli* UT172 strain, in which mutants charging tRNA^Glu^ with glutamine would survive on LB plate supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin at 42°C. During the first round of selection, 1 μg plasmid was transformed into UT172 electro-competent cells to obtain 1 × 10^9 transformants. The transformants were recovered in 20 ml Super Optimal broth with Catabolite repression (SOC) at 30°C for 2 h, followed by the addition of 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 8 h of growth. 0.3 ml saturated culture was diluted into 15 ml SOC medium and grown for 5 h at 30°C. Next, 1 ml culture was spread on 20 × 20 cm LB plate supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 8 h of growth. 0.3 ml saturated culture was diluted into 15 ml SOC medium and grown for 5 h at 30°C. Next, 1 ml culture was spread on 20 × 20 cm LB plate supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 8 h of growth. 0.3 ml saturated culture was diluted into 15 ml SOC medium and grown for 5 h at 30°C. Next, 1 ml culture was spread on 20 × 20 cm LB plate supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 8 h of growth.
with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and the plate was incubated at 42°C for 24 h. Colonies growing on the plate were scraped off and extracted for plasmids. The plasmids from the first round of selection were subjected to a second round of selection with the same procedure.

Enzymatic assays

Adenosine triphosphate (ATP)–pyrophosphate (PPi) exchange reaction was performed in the buffer containing 100 mM Hepes–KOH, pH 7.2, 30 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 2 mM KF, 5 mg/ml total *E. coli* tRNA, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM PPI, 0.1 μCi [γ-32P]ATP, 9 μM enzymes and 0.24–10 mM Glu and Gln. The 1 μl reaction mixture was spotted onto thin-layer chromatography (TLC) polyethylenimine (PEI) cellulose F plates (Merck). Then, the TLC plates were developed in 1 M KH₂PO₄ and 1 M urea to separate ATP and PPi. Detection and quantification of signals were performed as described before (16).

Aminoaacylation assay was essentially performed as described before (8) with slight modifications. The 40 μl reaction mixture contained 100 mM Hepes–KOH, pH 7.2, 30 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, 5 mg/ml total *E. coli* tRNA, 5 mM ATP, 40 μM [14C]Glu and 2.3 μM enzymes; 8 μl aliquots were taken out at each time point for scintillation counting.

ATP consumption was performed in the presence of 100 mM Hepes–KOH (pH 7.2), 30 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, 5 mg/ml total *E. coli* tRNA, 0.1 μCi [γ-32P]ATP, 1 mM cold ATP, 10 mM Glu or Gln and 9 μM GluRS2. At each time spot, a 2-μl aliquot was quenched with 2 μl acetic acid. The 1 μl resulting mixture was spotted on PEI cellulose plates and separated in 0.1 M ammonium acetate and 5% acetic acid. The Adenosine monophosphate (AMP)/ATP ratios were quantified with phosphorimaging. No aminoacyl adenylate spot was observed during the reaction time course.

RESULTS

Design and evolution of a GluRS2 variant that rescues a glnS temperature-sensitive strain

Previous studies have shown that unlike ND-GluRS that aminoaacylates Glu to both tRNA^{Glu} and tRNA^{Gln}, *H. pylori* GluRS2 (*HpGluRS2*) specifically charges Glu to tRNA^{Gln}, indicating that GluRS2 may be on the way to evolve into a bacterial-type GlnRS that is distinct from the eukaryotic-type GlnRS found in modern organisms (7,8). Phylogenetic analyses have shown that GluRS2 is closely related to bacterial GluRSs but is more distant from GlnRSs present in eukaryotes and certain bacteria (9). Our sequence alignment revealed multiple active site residues that have distinct patterns in GluRS and GlnRS proteins (Figure 2). For example, position 190 (*H. pylori* GluRS2 numbering) is either an isoleucine or valine in bacterial GluRSs but is changed to a conserved serine in GlnRSs, and R192 of *HpGluRS2* corresponds to a cysteine in GlnRSs. The crystal structure of *T. thermophilus* GluRS shows that these two residues interact with the side chain of the substrate Glu in the presence of tRNA (17) (Figure 3). The structures of *E. coli* GlnRS also reveal that S227 and C229 (corresponding to I190 and R192 in *HpGluRS2*, respectively) are located close to the side chain of Gln at the active site (18,19).

To evolve *HpGluRS2* into a GlnRS, we rationally introduced mutations I190S and R192C. We further randomized five active site residues that are important for amino acid binding based on the structure of *T. thermophilus* GlnRS (17) (Figure 3). The plasmid library expressing randomized *HpGluRS2* was transformed into *E. coli* strain UT172, which harbored a temperature-sensitive *glnS* gene (13,14). This *E. coli* strain was not able to grow at 42°C due to a defect in Gln-tRNA^{Gln} synthesis, and as expected, WT *HpGluRS2* did not complement growth at 42°C (Figure 4). Selection of the transformed library at 42°C led to one GluRS2 variant (named M110) that rescued the growth of UT172 (Figure 4). Growth analysis revealed that UT172 transformed with M110 exhibited a doubling time of 94 min at 42°C, compared with 32 min for the strain transformed with *E. coli* GlnRS (Figure 4B). Sequencing results showed that M110 contained mutations A5L, S7R, E39R, C178L, I190S, R192C and H196Q. The *in vivo* complementation assay suggested that M110 GluRS2 likely supplied the *E. coli* cells with sufficient Gln-tRNA^{Gln} to support growth. Alternatively, M110 GluRS2 might possess a higher Glu-tRNA^{Glu} synthesis activity than the WT at 42°C, and Glu misincorporation at Gln codons rescued the growth of UT172. Such possibilities were further investigated using biochemical assays in vitro.

M110 GluRS2 has evolved a GlnRS activity

To probe the amino acid specificity of the evolved enzyme, we purified the WT and M110 GluRS2 variants and performed *in vitro* pyrophosphate exchange experiments, which measured the activation of amino acids with ATP. To our surprise, WT GluRS2 exhibited similar activation efficiencies (determined by the $k_{cat}/K_m$ value) for Glu and Gln (Table 1). Both the $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ values for Glu were ~2-fold lower than Glu. Conversely, the M110 variant showed 2.3-fold preference for Gln over Glu. The Gln activation efficiency was 9-fold higher for M110 than WT due to increased $k_{cat}$ and decreased $K_m$ values.

Next, we measured aminoaacylation activities of WT and M110 GluRS2. Despite similar amino acid activation efficiencies, WT GluRS2 only attaches Glu, but not Gln, to tRNA^{Gln} at both 37 and 42°C (Figure 5). M110 showed 4-fold increased aminoaacylation efficiency for Gln than Glu, confirming that this variant had evolved a GlnRS activity. M110 still maintained the Glu charging activity, although it was reduced by 30% compared with the WT GluRS2 at 37°C. The WT and M110 variants showed almost identical Glu charging efficiency at 42°C. Given that only M110 but not the WT GluRS2 rescued the *glnS* temperature-sensitive strain, our aminoaacylation data suggested that instead of Glu-tRNA^{Glu} production, the Gln-tRNA^{Gln} synthesis activity that had evolved in M110 was responsible for rescuing the growth phenotype of UT172.
M110 GluRS2 uses an editing mechanism to reduce aminoacylation of Glu to tRNA\textsuperscript{Gln}

The discrepancies between amino acid activation and aminoacylation results led us to investigate whether a quality control mechanism might help GluRS2 variants to discriminate between Glu and Gln. It has been previously shown that \textit{E. coli} GlnRS hydrolyzes glutaminyl adenylate (Gln-AMP) in the presence of tRNA (20), mimicking a pre-transfer editing activity discovered in other aaRSs (21–24). We measured the hydrolysis of [\(\alpha\text{-}^32\text{P}\)]ATP by WT and M110 GluRS2 in the presence of tRNA and Glu or Gln (Figure 6). WT GluRS2 hydrolyzed ATP about 3-fold more slowly in the presence of Gln than Glu, suggesting that the WT enzyme does not use an editing activity to prevent Gln from being attached to tRNA\textsuperscript{Gln}. Rather, the activated Gln-AMP is likely positioned in a non-productive fashion at the active site of WT GluRS2, restricting the transfer of Gln to tRNA.

DISCUSSION

Evolution of the GluRS/GlnRS family enzymes

It has been widely accepted that the indirect pathway of Gln-tRNAGln synthesis predates the transfer of Gln to tRNA. The M110 variant showed 3-fold higher ATP hydrolysis rate than the WT in the presence of Glu, and the end point of hydrolyzed ATP was 15-fold higher than the available pool of tRNAGln in the reaction (Figure 6). This suggests that M110 uses an editing mechanism to selectively hydrolyze activated Glu-AMP. Collectively, our results indicate that M110 uses both kinetic discrimination and editing mechanisms to preferentially aminoacylate Gln over Glu.
that GlnRS was absent in the last universal common ancestor (LUCA) (4,10,12). The GluRS in LUCA was an ND enzyme and charged Glu to both tRNA{sub}Glu and tRNA{sub}Gln (Figure 1). Glu-tRNA{sub}Gln was then converted to Gln-tRNA{sub}Gln by a Glu-AdT (GatCAB in chloroplasts and mitochondria of bacteria; GatFAB in mitochondria of yeast and GatDE in archaea) (4,25–28). GlnRS evolved in early eukaryotes from a duplicated ND-GluRS to gain

**Figure 3.** Active sites of GluRS and GlnRS. The crystal structures of (A) *T. thermophilus* GluRS (2CV0) and (B) *E. coli* GlnRS (1O0B) are shown. In parentheses are corresponding residues in *H. pylori* GluRS2. The rationally mutated residues are shown in purple and randomized residues are shown in blue.

**Table 1.** Pyrophosphate exchange activities of WT and M110 GluRS2 at 37°C

|       | Glu      | Gln      | Selectivity (Gln/Glu) |
|-------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
|       | k<sub>cat</sub> (×10<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) | K<sub>m</sub> (mM) | k<sub>cat</sub>/K<sub>m</sub> (×10<sup>-3</sup>mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) | k<sub>cat</sub> (×10<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) | K<sub>m</sub> (mM) | k<sub>cat</sub>/K<sub>m</sub> (×10<sup>-3</sup>mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) |          |
| WT    | 18 ± 0.1 | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 41 ± 7 | 8.9 ± 0.5 | 0.17 ± 0.04 | 49 ± 11 | 1.2 |
| M110  | 18 ± 0.4 | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 190 ± 40 | 26 ± 2 | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 430 ± 110 | 2.3 |

that GlnRS was absent in the last universal common ancestor (LUCA) (4,10,12). The GluRS in LUCA was an ND enzyme and charged Glu to both tRNA{sub}Glu and tRNA{sub}Gln (Figure 1). Glu-tRNA{sub}Gln was then converted to Gln-tRNA{sub}Gln by a Glu-AdT (GatCAB in chloroplasts and mitochondria of bacteria; GatFAB in mitochondria of yeast and GatDE in archaea) (4,25–28). GlnRS evolved in early eukaryotes from a duplicated ND-GluRS to gain
specificity for Gln and tRNA\textsuperscript{Gln} and was later transferred to certain bacteria (9,29). A group of proteobacteria, including \textit{H. pylori}, acquired a second GluRS (GluRS\textsubscript{2}) through horizontal gene transfer. GluRS\textsubscript{2} specifically recognizes tRNA\textsuperscript{Gln}, leading to the hypothesis that it has been evolving to become a bacterial-type GlnRS (7,8). We show here that WT \textit{H. pylori} GluRS\textsubscript{2} already possesses the power to activate Gln, yet the resulting Gln-AMP may not be correctly positioned for the transfer of the amino acid moiety to tRNA. Our engineered GluRS\textsubscript{2} variant (M110) has gained Gln charging activity and thus represents an important precursor towards the evolution of a bacterial-type GlnRS. The aminoacylation activities of our GluRS\textsubscript{2} variants are low compared with previously published results (30), presumably because to be consistent with our \textit{in vivo} tests, we have used total \textit{E. coli} tRNA instead of purified \textit{H. pylori} tRNA\textsuperscript{Gln}. The heterologous tRNA used and the competition from non-cognate tRNAs could decrease the aminoacylation efficiency of GluRS\textsubscript{2}.

**Structural insights into substrate recognition by WT and M110 GluRS\textsubscript{2}**

WT GluRS\textsubscript{2} specifically attaches Glu (but not Gln) to tRNA, although it also activates Gln during the pyrophosphate exchange assay (Figure 5 and Table 1). In contrast, the M110 variant acylates Gln 4-fold more efficiently than Glu (Figure 5). We propose that WT GluRS\textsubscript{2} binds activated Gln-AMP in a mode not suitable for aminoacylation. Structural studies of \textit{T. thermophilus} GluRS reveal that R205 (equivalent of R192 in \textit{H. pylori} GluRS\textsubscript{2} and C229 in \textit{E. coli} GlnRS) directly interacts with the side-chain oxygen of Glu (Figure 3). This residue might serve as a negative determinant for proper positioning of Gln-AMP during the amino acid transfer step. The evolved M110 variant contains a R192C mutation, which could be critical for glutamylation. In line with this notion, a C229R mutation has been shown to significantly improve the \(K_m\) of \textit{E. coli} GlnRS for Glu (31). It is interesting that the M110 variant maintains the same glutamylation activity as in the WT, presumably due to the cumulative effects of other mutations present in M110.

**Quality control mechanisms in natural and engineered aaRSs**

Selection of the correct amino acid is a big challenge for many aaRSs due to the structural and chemical similarities between amino acids. To maintain translational fidelity, such aaRSs use editing mechanisms to hydrolyze incorrect aminoacyl adenylates (pre-transfer editing) or aa-tRNAs (post-transfer editing) (32,33), whereas post-transfer editing requires a separate editing domain or a free-standing protein (34–36) and pre-transfer editing mainly occurs at the active site (21,37,38). It is intriguing that our engineered M110 GluRS\textsubscript{2} appears to have evolved an editing mechanism to discriminate against Glu in favor of Gln (Figure 6). GluRS\textsubscript{2} lacks a post-transfer editing domain, prompting us to hypothesize that M110 uses a pre-transfer editing strategy to hydrolyze activated Glu-AMP, presumably at the active site resembling \textit{E. coli} GlnRS (20). It is worth noting that a recent study shows that WT GluRS\textsubscript{2} modestly increases the hydrolysis of Glu-tRNA\textsuperscript{Gln} by an unknown mechanism (30). The fidelity mechanism for GluRS\textsubscript{2} thus remains to be addressed in future studies. Should editing occur at the active site before amino acid transfer, a water molecule is likely required for hydrolysis of Glu-AMP and Gln-AMP. We have recently shown that yeast mitochondrial threonyl-tRNA synthetase edits seryl adenylate faster than threonyl adenylate due to the minor movement of a potential catalytic water molecule (Ling \textit{et al}., unpublished work). A similar mechanism could explain the preferential hydrolysis of Glu-AMP over Gln-AMP by M110, which needs to be clarified by future structural studies.
ATP hydrolysis by GluRS2 variants. M110 (9 μM) hydrolyzes ATP 2.5-fold faster in the presence of Glu (10 mM) than Gln (10 mM) at 37°C, suggesting that this mutant discriminates against Glu using an editing mechanism.

Engineering aaRSs towards expanded substrate recognition

Several aaRSs have been successfully engineered to co-translationally incorporate a variety of unnatural amino acids into proteins in bacteria and eukaryotes (15,39–46). Both rational design and directed evolution methods have been used for unnatural amino acid incorporation, yet only a few studies have used such methods to understand the evolution of natural aaRSs. A rationally engineered *E. coli* GlnRS has obtained a misacylation activity to produce Glu-tRNA^Gln^ (31), and transplating the GlnRS acceptor stem loop to an archaeal ND-GluRS makes it specifically recognize tRNA^Gln^ (29). In this work, we have combined rational design and directed evolution approaches to understand the evolutionary potential from GluRS2 to GlnRS. Such a strategy could be used as a model to investigate how primordial aaRSs have acquired new functions to become the enzymes present in modern organisms.
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