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Abstract

We present a new program package for calculating one-loop Feynman integrals, based on a new method avoiding Feynman parametrization and the contraction due to Passarino and Veltman. The package is calculating one-, two- and three-point functions both algebraically and numerically to all tensor cases. This program is written as a package for Maple. An additional Mathematica version is planned later.

1 Introduction

In particle physics the calculation of one- and higher loop corrections to particle processes is necessary to keep track with the increasing accuracy of particle colliders. In this context it is very useful if a fast and automatized calculation of the contributing Feynman diagrams is provided by computer. On the most basic loop correction order, the one-loop level, several attempts for such programs are already available, like FF\textsuperscript{13} – calculating scalar one-loop integrals in Fortran – or FeynCalc\textsuperscript{12} – a Mathematica\textsuperscript{11} package – which exhibits the usual Passarino-Veltman coefficients of tensor integrals. Both programs are using the standard procedure for calculating one-loop diagrams, namely Feynman parametrization, Wick rotation and the Passarino-Veltman contraction for tensor structure (cf.\textsuperscript{8} and \textsuperscript{9}).

Although this standard procedure became very popular during the last decade, it turned out to be very inconvenient for being implemented on computer if the integral involves higher tensor structure. For that reason we investigated in a new method for calculating arbitrary one-loop integrals which is already published for the two- and three-point case (cf.\textsuperscript{3} and \textsuperscript{4}).

Our approach is completely different from the standard procedure and the structure of other packages. We are not forced to invert big matrices which is the most difficult step in computing the tensor structure of the integrals. In
2 DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

In our method the loop momentum integrations are performed directly, using the residue theorem and introducing \( \mathcal{R} \) functions (see \[3\]), a class of special functions with some nice and useful features. Instead of big matrices we get some recursion relations for the involved \( \mathcal{R} \) functions (cf. \[1\] or \[2\]), which allow a faster and more memory efficient evaluation of the integrals under consideration.

The program is designed to reduce the \( \mathcal{R} \) functions to a set of fundamental functions which are rewritten in terms of logarithms and dilogarithms. Up to now the different one-, two- and three-point functions are programmed as procedures in Maple \[10\]. Therefore our results are available numerically as well as algebraically. We tested our procedures using Maple V on a VAX 4000-90 Workstation.

2 Description of the method

A detailed description of the method may be found in \[1\] or \[2\]. Here we would like to give a brief introductory overview only. The calculation is based on the well-known dimensional regularization method, as described for example in \[4\]. Instead of introducing Feynman parameters the integral is split up into orthogonal and parallel space (cf. \[6\]), so that for example the two-point integral takes on the form

\[
B^{(p_0p_1)}(q, m_1, m_2) = \frac{2\pi^{D-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl_{\parallel} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl_{\perp} \frac{D-2}{l_{\parallel}^D - l_{\perp}^D} \frac{(l_{\parallel}^p)^p}{P_1 P_2}
\]

with

\[
P_1 = (l_{\parallel} + q)^2 - l_{\perp}^2 - m_1^2 + i\epsilon
\]
\[
P_2 = l_{\parallel}^2 - l_{\perp}^2 - m_2^2 + i\epsilon
\]

Here we present the most general case, depending on one external momentum \( q \) and two arbitrary masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \). The splitting of space requires a distinction between \( l_{\parallel} \) and \( l_{\perp} \) which we define as

\[
l_{\parallel} = \frac{l \cdot q}{\sqrt{q^2}} ; \quad l_{\perp} = \sqrt{l_{\parallel}^2 - l^2}
\]

In this notation \( l_{\parallel} \) describes the component of \( l \) which is parallel to the external momentum \( q \), whereas \( l_{\perp} \) represents the orthogonal complement.

The integrals \([1]\) can be reduced to a sum of \( \mathcal{R} \) functions by using \([A.1]\) with the help of partial fraction and residue theorem. The complete procedure is described in detail in \[2\] and \[1\]. The result in the two-point-case may be
written according to [3]:

\[
B^{(p_0 p_1)}(q, m_1, m_2) = i\pi^{3/2 - \epsilon} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{p_1}{2}} (e^{-i\pi})^{-\epsilon}}{q(-2\epsilon + p_1 + 1)}
\times \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - \frac{p_1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon + \frac{p_1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon\right)}
\times \left[ a_1 B(\varepsilon - \frac{p_1 + \left[p_0\right]}{2}, \left[p_0\right] + 1) \times \end{align*}

\[
\mathcal{R}_{-\varepsilon + \frac{p_1 + \left[p_0\right]}{2}} \left( -\frac{p_1 + 1}{2} + \varepsilon, 1; -m_1^2 + i\varrho, -(\frac{3}{2} + A)^2 \right)
\times \sum_{i=0}^{\left[p_0\right]} \binom{p_0}{i} a_2 B(\varepsilon - \frac{p_1 + [i]}{2}, \left[i\right] + 1)
\times \mathcal{R}_{-\varepsilon + \frac{p_1 + [i]}{2}} \left( -\frac{p_1 + 1}{2} + \varepsilon, 1; -m_2^2 + i\varrho, -(\frac{3}{2} - A)^2 \right)
\right]
\]
3 EVALUATION AND EXPANSION OF THE $\mathcal{R}$ FUNCTIONS

point-function it has the form:

$$\mathcal{R}_t(b_1, b_2; z_1, z_2) = \frac{-1}{t + \beta - 1} \times$$

$$[(t - 1)z_1 z_2) \mathcal{R}_{t-2}(b_1, b_2; z_1, z_2)$$

$$+ ((1 - t - b_1)z_1 + (1 - t - b_2)z_2)$$

$$\times \mathcal{R}_{t-1}(b_1, b_2; z_1, z_2)]$$ (4)

Now the power of this method is obvious. Both steps mentioned above may be performed easily by computer, due to the recursive definition of the formulae (A.2) and (A.3).

Up to now we have acquired a result which is exact to all powers of $\varepsilon$, though we are interested only in an expansion in powers of $\varepsilon$. Therefore we now substitute the expansion for the fundamental $\mathcal{R}$ functions in terms of $\varepsilon$, resulting with simply computable functions like logarithms and dilogarithms. This is a rather cumbersome task but has to be performed only once for any N-point function. The procedure, especially its subtleties concerning analytical continuation, is described in detail in another paper [7]. For completeness we here just cite the expansion for the scalar two-point case

$$\mathcal{R}_{-\varepsilon}(-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon, 1, z_1, z_2) = \frac{\pi i}{B(\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2})} \left( \frac{z_2 - z_1}{z_2^\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \left( \frac{z_1}{z_2} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \left[ \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{z_1}{z_2}} \right)^{-1+2\varepsilon}$$

$$+ \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{z_1}{z_2}} \right)^{-1+2\varepsilon} \right] + O(\varepsilon^2)$$ (5)

The three-point integrals

$$C^{(p_0, p_1, p_2)}(q_1, q_2, m_1, m_2, m_3) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{D-3}{2}\right)} \times$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl_{0||} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl_{1||} \int_{0}^{\infty} dl_{1\perp} \frac{\mathcal{P}_0 \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2}{\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = (l_{0||} + q_1)^2 - l_{1||}^2 - l_{1\perp}^2 - m_1^2 + i\theta$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = (l_{0||} + q_{20})^2 - (l_{1||} + q_{21})^2 - l_{1\perp}^2 - m_2^2 + i\theta$$

$$\mathcal{P}_3 = l_{0||}^2 - l_{1||}^2 - l_{1\perp}^2 - m_3^2 + i\theta$$

are calculated in a quite similar way (cf. [8] or [9]). Again we treat the general case with two independent external momenta $q_1, q_2$ and three masses.
m_1, m_2, m_3$. For the momentum components we write in a quite natural generalization:

\[
\begin{align*}
    l_0 &= \frac{l \cdot q_1}{\sqrt{q_1^2}} \\
    l_1 &= -\frac{l \cdot q_2}{\sqrt{q_2^2}}; \quad q_2 = q_2 - \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{q_1^2} q_1 \\
    l_\perp &= \sqrt{l_{00}^2 - l_{01}^2 - l_1^2} \\
    q_{20} &= \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{\sqrt{q_1^2}} \\
    q_{21} &= \sqrt{q_{20}^2 - q_1^2}
\end{align*}
\]

The evaluation is resulting in the fundamental $R$ functions

\[
\mathcal{R}_{-2\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 1; x, y, z) = z^{-2\varepsilon} \left\{ 1 + 2\varepsilon^2 \left[ \text{Li}_2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) + \Lambda(x, z) \right] + O(\varepsilon^3) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5)
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_{-1-2\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 1; x, y, z) = (1 - 2\varepsilon)z^{1-2\varepsilon}
\]

\[
\left[ -(x+y) + 2\varepsilon^2 \left[ -x \ln x - y \ln y + z \text{Li}_2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) \right] + z \Lambda(x, z) + z \text{Li}_2 \left(1 - \frac{y}{z}\right) + z \Lambda(y, z) \right] + O(\varepsilon^3)
\]

here we introduced the $\Lambda$-Function,

**Table 1: Input and Output for the one-loop procedures**

| input | output |
|-------|--------|
| OneLoop1Pt(p, m) | $[\varepsilon^{-1}\text{-term}, \varepsilon^0\text{-term}]$ |
| OneLoop2Pt(p_0, p_1, q, m_1, m_2) | $[\varepsilon^{-1}\text{-term}, \varepsilon^0\text{-term}]$ |
| OneLoop3Pt(p_0, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_20, q_21, m_1, m_2, m_3) | $[\varepsilon^{-2}\text{-term}, \varepsilon^{-1}\text{-term}, \varepsilon^0\text{-term}, \{\text{abb.}\}]$ |

\[
\Lambda(x, z) \equiv \ln \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) \eta \left(x, \frac{1}{z}\right) + \ln z \left[ \eta \left(x - z, \frac{1}{1-z}\right) - \eta \left(x - z, -\frac{1}{z}\right) \right]
\]

Note that our relations are valid in all kinematical regions, so that the results of [7] apply to all the cases of our program. For a detailed discussion see [7].
4 The One-loop functions

Our aim is to calculate one-loop integrals both algebraically and numerically. Therefore the algorithm is implemented in Maple [10], a ‘language’ for symbolic computations. To make the implementation for any user most comfortable, the procedures are bound to a package, which may be read from the Maple system during run-time. The user-interface consists of three elementary functions

- \text{OneLoop1Pt}(p, m)
- \text{OneLoop2Pt}(p_0, p_1, q, m_1, m_2)
- \text{OneLoop3Pt}(p_0, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_20, q_21, m_1, m_2, m_3)

The functions directly correspond to the integrals (1,6). For completeness the one-point function

\[
A^{(p)}(m) = \int d^Dl \frac{(l)^p}{l^2 - m^2 + i\rho}
\]

is implemented.

We followed again our notation which distinguishes between parallel and orthogonal space. The masses are denoted by \(m_i\) and the definitions of (2) and (7) for the momenta are of course still valid. We would like to emphasize the fact that in our notation the indices \(p_i\) represent the powers of the different components of the loop momentum \(l\) and should not be mixed with Lorentz indices of the corresponding tensor. This notation is perhaps not very often used, but it corresponds directly to our method of integration. The usual notation due to [9] may be recovered by an additional algorithm also contained in our package.

The results of the one-loop functions are Laurent expansions in terms of the ultraviolet regulator \(\varepsilon\). The output of the described procedures consists of a list where the significant coefficients of this expansion are denoted (see table 1).

In the output “\(\varepsilon^{-1}\)-term” is meant to represent the divergent part (the coefficient of \(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\)) whereas “\(\varepsilon^0\)-term” is describing the finite part. Of course the \(\varepsilon^{-2}\)-term has to vanish in any case, but is left in order to check the accuracy of the program in numerical calculations. In the three-point case “abb.” is written for some abbreviations which are introduced to get a more compact result.

As long as the arguments of the OneLoop functions are symbols the output is given algebraically, whereas of course numbers inserted for the arguments imply a numerical result. Moreover, in the numerical case, the program sets the value of \(\rho\), the imaginary part of the propagators, five digits higher than the numerical accuracy of the whole calculation. In the algebraic case just ‘rho’ is returned.

For different calculations of the same OneLoop function it is of course rather inconvenient to start the whole program again. Therefore our package includes
the functions OneLoopLib1Pt\((n)\), OneLoopLib2Pt\((n)\) and OneLoopLib3Pt\((n)\)
which generate a library of all OneLoop1Pt, OneLoop2Pt and OneLoop3Pt
functions respectively up to the tensor rank \(n\). Each function is written to one
file. The filenames correspond to the integrals in the following way:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ONE0.RES} & \quad \text{OneLoop1Pt}(0,m) \\
\text{TWO00.RES} & \quad \text{OneLoop2Pt}(0,0,q,m_1,m_2) \\
\text{TWO10.RES} & \quad \text{OneLoop2Pt}(1,0,q,m_1,m_2) \\
\text{THREE000.RES} & \quad \text{OneLoop3Pt}(0,0,0,q_1,q_2,0,q_3) \\
\text{THREE100.RES} & \quad \text{OneLoop3Pt}(1,0,0,q_1,q_2,0,q_3) \\
\text{THREE010.RES} & \quad \text{OneLoop3Pt}(0,1,0,q_1,q_2,0,q_3) \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[\cdots \cdots \]

The path of the library may be specified with the variable “tensorpath”.

5 An example

\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma(q) & = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D} \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{q + l - m} \gamma^\nu g^{\mu\nu} \\
& = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\gamma^\mu (q + l + m) \gamma^\mu}{[(l + q)^2 - m^2] l^2} \\
& = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{[(l + q)^2 - m^2] l^2} \times [\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu q^\nu \gamma^\nu + m \gamma^\mu \gamma^\mu] \\
& = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{[(l + q)^2 - m^2] l^2}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \times l \nu (2 - D) + q \nu (2 - D) + mD \]

Now we split into orthogonal and parallel space noting that the $\gamma$ matrix vector is split into $\gamma_\parallel$ and $\gamma_\perp$:

\[
\Sigma(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^D} \left\{ (2 - D)[\gamma_\parallel \cdot B^{(10)}(q, m, 0) \right.
- \gamma_\perp \cdot B^{(01)}(q, m, 0)]

+ (2 - D)q \cdot \gamma_\parallel B^{(00)}(q, m, 0)

+ DmB^{(00)}(q, m, 0) \right\}
\]

At this stage of calculation we now take the opportunity to incorporate our procedures which solve the occurring integrals $B^{(p_0, p_1)}$. To be specific we have to compute the functions OneLoop2Pt(1, 0, q, m, 0), OneLoop2Pt(0, 1, q, m, 0) and OneLoop2Pt(0, 0, q, m, 0). If we restrict ourselves in this small exercise to the divergent part $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$, we end with the following results:

OneLoop2Pt(1, 0, q, m, 0) $\equiv B^{(10)}(q, m, 0) =$

\[-\frac{i\pi^2}{2\varepsilon} q + \frac{\pi^2}{4q^3} \{ \ldots \} \]

OneLoop2Pt(0, 1, q, m, 0) $\equiv B^{(01)}(q, m, 0) = 0$

OneLoop2Pt(0, 0, q, m, 0) $\equiv B^{(00)}(q, m, 0) =$

\[-\frac{i\pi^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\pi^2}{2q^2} \{ \ldots \} \]

So our final result is

\[
\Sigma(q) = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2\varepsilon} (-q \cdot \gamma_\parallel + 4m) + \text{finite}
\]

\[
= \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2\varepsilon} (-q + 4m) + \text{finite} \quad (11)
\]

which is the well-known result for the divergent part of the electron self-energy.

6 Conclusion and further projects

Our aim was to introduce a program package that gives any computer user the possibility to calculate one-loop particle processes without much effort in manpower and hardware.

As a further project we plan to extend this package to the four- and five-point function. Moreover we want to export our program package to Mathematica [11], because from our point of view Mathematica is the more common language than Maple. Planned is also a menu-driven user interface. But this might be
6 CONCLUSION AND FURTHER PROJECTS

rather difficult, because we haven’t yet found a convenient C-language package for algebraic calculations. (For hints contact L. Brücher)

Appendix

Conventions

\[ D = 4 - 2\varepsilon \]  
Dimension in the dimensional-regularisation-method

\[ \mathcal{R}_t(b_1, b_2, z_1, z_2) \]  
\( \mathcal{R} \) function, \( t \) is called index, \( b_1, b_2 \) parameters, \( z_1, z_2 \) arguments

\[ \beta = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i \]  
sum of parameters of an \( \mathcal{R} \) function

Some fundamental properties of \( \mathcal{R} \) functions

- formula for integrals

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (z_i + w_i x)^{-b_i} \, dx \\
= B(\beta - \alpha, \alpha) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\beta}(b, \frac{Z}{w}) \prod_{i=1}^{k} w_i^{-b_i}
\]  
(A.1)

- increasing of parameters

\[
\beta \mathcal{R}_t(b, z) = (\beta + t) \mathcal{R}_t(b + e_i, z) - t z_i \mathcal{R}_{t-1}(b + e_i, z)
\]  
(A.2)

- associated functions (k is the number of parameters)

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{k} A^{(k)}_i(t, b, z) \mathcal{R}_{t-1}(b, z) = 0
\]  
(A.3)

with

\[
A^{(k)}_i(t, b, z) = \frac{(t, i)(\beta - t - k, k - i)}{t(\beta - t - k)} \times (t + i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}) E^{(k)}_i(z)
\]  
(A.4)
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\( E^{(k)}_1(z) \) is the elementary symmetric function, gained from

\[
(1 + xz_1) \cdots (1 + xz_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} x^i E^{(k)}_i(z)
\] (A.5)
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