Comparative Analysis of the Success Rate with the use of Fibrin Sealant in Tympanoplasty
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Abstract

Objective: To test the hypothesis that a Fibrin sealant (FS) can improve the success rate of Tympanoplasty surgery, a prospective trial was undertaken. The aim was to compare the graft uptake and hearing outcomes in type 1- Tympanoplasty with the use of a Fibrin sealant to secure the graft.

Methods: A prospective study was performed on 80 patients within the age group of 18 to 64 years, from April 2014 to March 2016, at our institute. Otological examination and Audiometry findings were recorded with emphasis on the duration of symptoms, size of the perforation and the degree of hearing loss. Of the 80 patients undergoing type 1 Tympanoplasty with temporalis fascia graft, 40 patients (cases) underwent the surgery with the use of FS to secure the graft in place. The rest of the 40 patients (controls) served as a control group for this study. Statistical analysis was done using the Chi-square test.

Results: In the post-operative results, the graft uptake success rate in cases was 95.00%, whereas the success rate in control group was 80.0%. This difference was statistically significant (Chi. Square=4.114, DF=1, p=0.043). Hearing outcome showed a statistically significant difference with predominance of normal hearing in cases (95.00%), whereas in the control group it was 77.50% (Chi. Square=6.155, DF=2, p=0.046).

Conclusion: The FS improves the success rate in terms of graft uptake and hearing outcome in type 1 tympanoplasty.
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Introduction

Type 1 Tympanoplasty is a surgical technique to repair a defect in the tympanic membrane alone, with the placement of a graft, either medial or lateral to the tympanic membrane annulus [1]. Over the years, various types of autologous grafts have been experimented with, with variable success rates. Shea in 1959 found that a vein could be used as a graft satisfactorily [2]. Then Hermann used the temporalis fascia as a graft in tympanoplasty in 1960. Successively Goodhill introduced the idea of grafting using tragal cartilage and perichondrium. Today, though the fascia temporalis is the most common graft adopted in tympanoplasty, newer studies have demonstrated that in terms of graft uptake and hearing outcome success rates, cartilage palisades are superior to temporalis fascia grafts with success rates of 75-80% [4-6]. Despite this, the failure rate using any of the above grafts or techniques is considerable, owing to graft displacement, post-operative bacterial infection and atrophy of middle ear mucosa etc., [7,8]. To reduce this failure rate FS has been used increasingly over the last few decades as a supplement to ontological procedures like tympanoplasty and stapedotomy.

The use of fibrin glue in otology procedures started in the 80’s with variable success rates, where some surgeons state that it is a physiological aid to the experienced skilful ear surgeon, yet not substantially interfering with the outcome of the operation.9 For example, Babighian G used the natural sealant Tissucol in oto-surgical and otoneurologic procedures, with success [9]. One laboratory study on pig’s vocal cords supported the use of FS in vocal cord reconstruction as a means of increasing collagen deposition [10]. In a study on 53 patients, the authors noted...
a 98.1% success rate for closure of large perforations of the tympanic membrane [11]. The aim of our study was to compare and report the outcome in the terms of graft uptake and hearing outcomes after type 1- Tympanoplasty, with and without the use of a FS to secure the graft.

Methods and Materials

A prospective study was carried out from April 2014 to March 2016 for a duration of 2 years in the ENT department of King Edward Memorial Hospital, Pune, India. 80 patients satisfied the inclusion criteria for the trial. A Quasi-experimental study design was used, as it was difficult to obtain a surgical consent on blinding and randomization. Out of the 80 patients, 40 patients selected the intervention and were grouped as Cases, in which FS was used after type 1-Tympanoplasty while the other 40 patients served as Controls. Both groups were proven statistically comparable to minimize selection bias.

The patients selected for the study had unilateral chronic otitis media of the tube-tympanic type with a central perforation. They had a conductive hearing loss of varying range. It was made sure that they had dry ear for at least 4 weeks prior to the procedure. Patients with active ear discharge, ossicular dysfunction, sensorineural hearing loss, attico-antral disease, complications of chronic suppurrative otitis media or with any Sino-nasal pathologies were excluded from the study. A detailed history regarding the duration of symptoms, nature of the disease, age and gender of the patient were noted. Otologic examination was performed noting the size of the perforation. Prior to the procedure, each patient underwent relevant ENT and medical investigations along with a Pure Tone Audiometry to quantify the hearing loss. The surgeries were performed by the same surgeon (Author 3) under general anesthesia, using the post auricular approach.

A Post auricular incision was made, and temporals fascia graft harvested. Tympanotomy was performed and the perforation edges freshened. A tympano-meatal flap was raised and the ossicular status checked by the round window reflex. Temporalis fascia graft was placed by the underlay technique and the tympano-meatal flap repositioned back in place. The surgical steps were identical in both the groups until this step. In the cases, a thin layer of FS (around 0.5-1ml) was applied with the cannula and allowed to settle for 2mins. This step of application of fibrin glue was not performed in the control group and instead dry gel foam pieces were used to secure the graft in place. The external ear canal was then packed with gel foam in both the groups followed by a mastoid dressing.

Postoperatively the patients were prescribed antibiotics and analgesics for 1 week. The dressing was changed at 48 hours and it was completely removed on the 7th day. Eardrops were commenced on the 7th day, which dissolved the gel foam. Follow up was done weekly for the first month and then fortnightly. The status of the neo-tympanum was recorded after the 4th week and then at 2 months by Otoscopy. Hearing assessment with pure-tone audiometry was repeated at 2 months after the surgery. The use of FS was then statistically analyzed by assessing the success of the graft uptake and the hearing outcome. Data analysis was done using Chi-squared test and degree of freedom (df) test on IBM-SPSS version 2.0.

Results

The patient’s ages ranged from 18-64 years in both the groups, with mean Age in cases was 35.4 years while it was 33.53 years in the controls (Table 1). In the study over all, there was predominance of males (57.50%), whereas females were 42.5%, in both the groups (Table 2). The duration of the disease ranged from 2.5-7.5 years. 16(40%) of the patients in the cases group and 14 (35%) of the patients in the control group had the average range of duration of symptoms of 2-3 years (Table 3). The membrane perforation size was divided into small (<15mm²) and large (>15mm²). The controls had an equal number of small and large perforations (20 each) while the cases had 23 (57.5%) small perforations while the rest. 17 (42.5%) were large perforations (Table 4). On pure tone audiometry, the degree of hearing loss was divided into mild (26-40dB), moderate (40-70dB), severe (70-90dB). Majority (57.5% in cases and 55% in controls) of subjects were noted to have mild hearing loss (Table 5).

Table 1: Age Distribution of Patients.

| Age in Years | Cases | Controls |
|--------------|-------|----------|
| 18 - 27      | 12    | 16       |
| 28 - 37      | 14    | 11       |
| 38 - 47      | 9     | 22.5     |
| 48 - 57      | 4     | 3        |
| 58 - 64      | 1     | 2.5      |
| Total        | 40    | 40       |

* p < 0.05 is statistically significant.

Table 2: Gender Distribution of Patients.

| Gender     | Cases | Controls |
|------------|-------|----------|
| Male       | 23    | 23       |
| Female     | 17    | 17       |
| Total      | 40    | 40       |

n = 80.

* p < 0.05 is statistically significant.

Chi. Square = 0.

DF = 1.

p = 1.000 - statistically not significant.
Table 3: Duration of Symptoms.

| Duration of Symptoms (in years) | Cases | Controls |
|---------------------------------|-------|----------|
| No.                             | %     | No.      | %     |
| 0.5 – 1.5                       | 10    | 25       | 12    | 30    |
| 2 – 3                           | 16    | 40       | 14    | 35    |
| 3.5 – 4.5                       | 7     | 17.5     | 9     | 22.5  |
| 05-Jun                          | 6     | 15       | 3     | 7.5   |
| 6.5 – 7.5                       | 1     | 2.5      | 2     | 5     |
| Total                           | 40    | 100      | 40    | 100   |

n = 80.
*p < 0.05 is statistically significant.
Chi. Square = 1.898.
Df = 4.
p = 0.755 - statistically not significant.

Table 4: Size of Perforation.

| Size of Perforation | Cases | Controls |
|---------------------|-------|----------|
| No.                 | %     | No.      | %     |
| Small               | 23    | 57.5     | 20    | 50    |
| Large               | 17    | 42.5     | 20    | 50    |
| Total               | 40    | 100      | 40    | 100   |

n = 80.
*p < 0.05 is statistically significant.
Chi. Square = 0.453.
Df = 1.
p = 0.501 – statistically not significant.

Table 5: Hearing profile before the surgery.

| Hearing Profile | Cases | Controls |
|-----------------|-------|----------|
| No.             | %     | No.      | %     |
| Normal          | 2     | 5        | 1     | 2.5   |
| Mild            | 23    | 57.5     | 22    | 55    |
| Moderate        | 15    | 37.5     | 17    | 42.5  |
| Total           | 40    | 100      | 40    | 100   |

n = 80.
*p < 0.05 is statistically significant.
Chi. Square = 0.481.
Df = 2.
p = 0.786 - statistically not significant.

Both cases and controls were matched adequately with no statistical difference noted between the gender distribution, duration of symptoms, size of perforation and the pre-operative hearing loss. The results were evaluated postoperatively in the form of graft uptake and hearing outcome. Postoperative and preoperative pure-tone audiograms were compared. Hearing and mean residual gaps were evaluated in speech frequencies of 500, 1000, and 2000Hz. An average hearing threshold of up to 25dB over the three frequencies was considered Normal hearing. Postoperative results within the Normal hearing range were considered a successful hearing outcome.

The results regarding success rate of the graft uptake was recorded as 95% in the cases group vs 80% in the control (Table 6), while the cases group showed a 95% gain of normal/improved hearing and the control group just 77.5% (Table 7) (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Fibrin sealant application over a Temporalis fascia graft.

a. Perforation with freshened margins.
b. Temporalis fascia graft in place.
c. Application of fibrin sealant over the graft.
d. Fibrin sealant forming a gel 2 minutes after application.
A few years later, Matras and Kuderna reported on the application of this new method in humans [15]. In composition, FS is made up of fibrinogen (lyophilized pooled human concentrate) and thrombin (human, which is reconstituted with calcium chloride), which is then mixed together and applied to tissue sites to glue them together. It is the only agent presently approved as a hemostat, sealant, and adhesive by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [16]. Added advantages include that it comes with an application device making it easy to use and that it works on various types of grafts like conjunctival-limbal autographs [17] and periodontal flaps [18].

The technique though simple is still unique, as it has not been described before in any of the published articles. When used in our study we discovered that it just took a few minutes near the end of the surgery for the glue to settle. As the results show, it added to the success rate of the graft uptake. The hearing analysis was done at 2 months post-op, as the first three months post-op are the most important for graft observation since late graft failure is rare [19]. Hearing analysis also showed a significant difference which can be attributed to better graft uptake. Only one article has been published regarding the use of Fibrin glue in tympanomastoidectomy over the last decade [20]. This is the only study from our knowledge that has compared its efficacy with a control group.

EVICEL, the FS used in our study, is composed of two main components - Frozen solutions of BAC2 (fibrinogen) and Thrombin. It is indicated as an adjunct to hemostasis in surgeries like tympanoplasty or for that matter, any surgery where the control of bleeding by routine surgical techniques like sutures and cautery are impractical. On its application, the adhesive activates the conversion of fibrinogen into fibrin, by thrombin, thus activating the last physiological step of the coagulation system. A fibrin clot is thus formed, which acts as the sealant [21].

When compared to the other used FS- TISSEEL, the mean Young modulus and tensile strength of the fibrin clots produced by EVICEL were significantly higher than those of clots produced by TISSEEL (P < 0.05 for both). Fibrin clots formed with EVICEL have a much higher resistance to stretching and tensile strength and are more capable of maintaining their structure against applied force than those formed with TISSEEL. EVICEL also allows more rapid development of fibrin clots. This superior clot strength and resilience obtained with EVICEL relative to TISSEEL may be due in large part to the presence of factor XIII [22].

The only drawbacks noticed during the study was the lack of availability of the FS in all hospitals. However, the distribution has greatly improved over the last 3 years. The efficacy of FS with the use of perichondrium or cartilage still needs to be studied. This method could be adopted by various ENT surgeons across the world and their results subsequently should be published. This will give us a broader perspective on the efficacy of this new technique.

**Discussion**

Tympanic membrane reconstruction using a variety of grafts, inclusive of fascia temporalis, palisade cartilage, cartilage island and perichondrium have been extensively studied [5,6,12]. Each graft has its own downfall, for example, the utilization of temporalis fascia as graft leads in some part to residual perforation owing to its property of poor dimensional stability, which can lead to perforation [13]. Comparing cartilage as a graft, it was found that for less severe middle ear disorders, in which the functional outcome is more essential, the use of cartilage is not recommended [14]. Various techniques like Under-lay, On-lay and Interlay have been described in the literature for tympanoplasty.

Although the type of graft used can affect the outcome of a tympanoplasty procedure, the technique of tympanoplasty implemented has been demonstrated to have no impact to its success/failure [5,6,13]. This corroborates the assertion that there is a need and advantage of using an adhesive/glue to aid the success rate of a tympanoplasty. Reduction in the graft displacement as a cause of failure of tympanoplasty is the major advantage of using the sealant. The versatility of this substance in the repair of tissues has been tremendous since its invention. Matras and colleagues successfully used a highly concentrated fibrinogen solution in combination with factor XIII, thrombin, and calcium chloride to seal severed nerves in animal experiments.

---

**Table 6: Post-operative Results (Success Rate).**

| Success Rate | Cases | Controls |
|--------------|-------|----------|
| No. | % | No. | % |
| Successful | 38 | 95 | 32 | 80 |
| Unsuccessful | 2 | 5 | 8 | 20 |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |

n = 80.

*p < 0.05 is statistically significant.
Success rate in cases - 95.00%.
Success rate in control group - 80.0%.
Chi. Square = 4.114.
Df = 1.

p = 0.046 - This difference was statistically significant.

**Table 7: Post-operative Comparison of Hearing Outcomes.**

| Hearing Outcomes | Cases | Controls |
|------------------|-------|----------|
| No. | % | No. | % |
| Mild Loss | 1 | 2.5 | 8 | 20 |
| Moderate Loss | 1 | 2.5 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Normal | 38 | 95 | 31 | 77.5 |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |

n = 80.

*p < 0.05 is statistically significant.
Normal hearing in cases - 95.00%.
Normal hearing in control group - 77.50%.
Chi. Square = 6.155.
Df = 1.

p = 0.046 - This difference was statistically significant.
Conclusion

There is potential advantage in the usage of FS as an adjunct to routine type 1 tympanoplasty procedure to improve the success rate. It not only provides a better chance of graft uptake but also results in improved hearing outcomes, as found in our study.
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