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Encapsulating soluble active species into hollow crystalline porous capsules beyond integration of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis
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complexes onto the framework by pre-synthesis of particular ligands or postsynthetic approach is feasible, this usually requires complicated procedures to modify the molecular catalysts and/or MOFs with particular groups (for example, -NH₂). This solution not only imposes a limit on the active complexes and MOFs, but also sometimes changes the intrinsic behavior of the molecular catalyst once fixed to the framework [11,12]. Therefore, the published methods usually give a compromised porosity of the heterogeneous catalysts and catalytic activity of homogeneous catalysts, and it is highly desirable yet challenging to combine the strengths of homogeneous guests and MOF hosts for enhanced and stable heterogeneous catalysis without compromise.

To completely integrate the potential strengths of these two types of materials, it would be prudent to fabricate hollow MOF capsules [27–32] for the accommodation of homogeneous active guests. The large interior cavity of a MOF capsule will behave as a nanoreactor and mimic a homogeneous environment, where the soluble guests will exert their intrinsic catalytic activity. The MOF shell with accurate pore opening sizes not only allows the diffusion/transport of substrates/products and endows size-selective catalysis, but also prevents guest leaching and guarantees recyclability [33–39]. Motivated by these advantages, several researchers have reported on the encapsulation of large functional species, such as metal nanoparticles, enzymes (normally >2 nm in size due to the large intergranular spaces in the shell), etc., into hollow MOF nanospheres to provide yolk–shell catalysts [33–39]. However, to our knowledge, thus far there have been no published studies on entrapping homogeneous molecular (or metal complexes, typically <2 nm) catalysts into hollow MOF capsules for catalysis. The main challenges might lie in the following: (i) the creation of a continuous and stable MOF shell without defect (i.e. large intergranular space) is indispensable for the perfect enveloping of soluble catalysts; (ii) the soluble guests should be encapsulated prior to the assembly of hollow MOF capsules; and (iii) the MOF shell should be thin and sufficiently permeable for mass transfer not to be disturbed [33–35].

Here, we describe the development of a template-directed strategy for the controllable fabrication of hollow MOF capsules with a defect-free and stable shell (Fig. 1A–C). To demonstrate the generality of this approach, a variety of hollow MOF capsules ZIF-8 (also named MAF-4, Zn(mim)₂, Hmim = 2-methylimidazole) [40,41], ZIF-67 (Co(mim)₂) [42] and MOF-74 (also named CPO-27, Ni₂(dhtp) or Cu₂(dhtp), H₂dhtp = 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid) [43,44] have been successfully prepared (Supplementary Fig. 1 in the online supplementary material). The hollow templates constituted by layered double hydroxides (LDHs) feature large open channels between the LDH layers, and facilitate the adsorption/introduction of diverse homogeneous guests into the hollow space; they also drive the directed MOF growth on the LDH to eliminate the open channels (Fig. 1D–F). Therefore, such an approach is favorable to the entrapment of diverse molecular catalysts into the interior of hollow MOF structures. As a result, the homogeneous catalysts (yolks) have been encapsulated into hollow MOFs (shells), resulting in yolk–shell MOF capsules (denoted YSMCs), which not only exhibit excellent activity, selectivity and recyclability toward CO₂ cycloaddition with epoxides under ambient conditions, but also achieve a one-pot cascade reaction based on the host–guest catalytic cooperation, far surpassing the corresponding single component counterparts, their physical mixture, and solid composite.

RESULTS

Synthesis and characterization of hollow H-LDH@ZIF-8 capsules

The LDHs with unsaturated metal sites featuring positively charged scaffolds are recognized as ideal templates for the directed growth of MOFs [45–47]. The positively charged scaffold induces the attachment of deprotonated ligands with negative charge onto its surface, which is followed by the coordination with metal ions and further MOF growth. The hollow LDH (H-LDH) nanostructure (Supplementary Figs 2 and 3), assembled from interlaced LDH nano flakes, was prepared by stirring the ethanol solution of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and ZIF-67 at 90°C [45]. Then the H-LDH was employed as a template for epitaxial MOF growth to provide a hollow MOF capsule. The classical MOF, ZIF-8 [40,41], was first investigated as a representative. Typically, a methanol solution of 2-methyl imidazole and Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O was added to the H-LDH solution at room temperature for ZIF-8 assembly onto H-LDH to yield H-LDH@ZIF-8 with a hollow structure.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation reveals the almost monodispersed particles of H-LDH@ZIF-8 in a polyhedral morphology with a sheet-like surface (Fig. 2A), basically inheriting the morphology of the original H-LDH template. In particular, the SEM images of broken capsules prove the presence of a hollow interior space in H-LDH@ZIF-8 (Supplementary Fig. 4). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images clearly display the
hollow interior with void-free shells of $\sim 100$ nm thickness assembled from nanoflakes (Fig. 2B). Close TEM observation of the nanoflake reveals a core–shell structure with a sharp contrast between the core and shell (Fig. 2B, inset). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis indicates a much higher Co signal in the center than in the shell where a higher Zn signal exists (Supplementary Fig. 5), revealing that part of the LDH template is left after ZIF-8 shell growth. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) confirms the ZIF-8 formation (Fig. 2C). N$_2$ sorption of the H-LDH@ZIF-8 composite reveals a type-I isotherm at low pressures, a typical micropore characteristic similar to ZIF-8 (Fig. 2D and Supplementary Fig. 6). In addition, the type-IV curve with a pronounced hysteresis loop at high pressures demonstrates the presence of meso- and even macro-pores, attributable to the H-LDH (Supplementary Fig. 7). All the results unambiguously validate that ZIF-8 nanocrystals are grown onto H-LDH, resulting in the successful fabrication of H-LDH@ZIF-8.

The formation mechanism of a hollow MOF capsule

To decode the formation mechanism of the template-directed MOF growth, the adding sequence of MOF precursors was altered for comparison. When the metal salts were added prior to the ligands, Zn$^{2+}$ was repelled from the positively charged LDH skeleton. Accordingly, ZIF-8 nucleated separately rather than on the template, as demonstrated by SEM and TEM observation that only a part of ZIF-8 nanocrystals were located on the H-LDH (Supplementary Fig. 8). In contrast, the ligand added at first would be preferentially adsorbed onto H-LDH templates, driven by the abundant basic groups (i.e. OH$^-$) on H-LDH templates to promote the deprotonation of imidazole ligand. The coordinatively unsaturated metal sites on the template, which act as growth sites, coordinate with the deprotonated ligands, facilitating the heterogeneous nucleation of a continuous ZIF-8 layer on the H-LDH surface (Fig. 2A and B). The results reveal that the adding sequence for the metal and ligand precursors plays a critical role in the growth of the MOF shell onto the H-LDH.

The above growth process was further investigated by time-dependent experiments (Fig. 3). In the first 1 min, only a few isolated ZIF-8 nanoparticles with low contrast emerged on the LDH surface (Fig. 3B and F). Subsequently, those ZIF-8 nanoparticles grew and resulted in an almost continuous layer on the LDH (Fig. 3C and G). With prolonged reaction time, the ZIF-8 particles

Figure 1. Schematic illustration showing the fabrication of yolk–shell MOF capsules. (A–C) Template-directed MOF growth on LDH. (D–F) Incorporation of soluble catalyst into H-LDH followed by MOF growth to produce the yolk–shell MOF capsules.

Figure 2. SEM and TEM images, powder XRD patterns, and N$_2$ sorption isotherms. (A) SEM and (B) TEM images of H-LDH@ZIF-8 (inset: enlarged images). (C) Powder XRD patterns and (D) N$_2$ sorption isotherms at 77 K.
continued to grow and connect with each other to afford relatively uniform, continuous and compact MOF layers on both the top and bottom of the LDH nanoflakes, producing a sandwich-like LDH@MOF microstructure (Fig. 3D and H). The above observation clearly proves the efficacy of the MOF assembly on the LDH surface based on the template-directed growth mechanism, making the final hollow MOF capsule possible (Fig. 3, inset). In addition, the molecular guests can be easily pre-introduced into the H-LDH, and then sealed up by the MOF shell, yielding YSMCs.

The generality of this template-directed strategy
To demonstrate the generality of this synthetic strategy, another two representative MOFs, ZIF-67 [42] and MOF-74 [43,44], were also employed to wrap onto H-LDH. The corresponding results, including SEM, TEM, XRD and N2 sorption, suggested that both MOFs were successfully grown on the H-LDH to produce H-LDH@ZIF-67 and H-LDH@MOF-74, respectively (Supplementary Figs 9 and 10).

Capture and size-selective release of soluble molecules
To investigate the intactness of the resulting MOF shell, we adopted a hollow MOF capsule to capture soluble large-size molecules. The Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 (R250) was employed as a visual probe for molecular uptake and release. The encapsulation of R250 into the cavity of H-LDH@ZIF-8 was performed to obtain R250@H-LDH@ZIF-8, which was then immersed in a methanol solution; the release of R250 from the capsules was monitored over time. Due to the molecular size of R250 being larger than the pore opening of ZIF-8, almost no R250 was detectable in the solvent using ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (UV/Vis spectra)—even after 1 week (Fig. 4A). The results demonstrate that the MOF shell is defect-free and thus prevents the escape of molecular guests in the hollow space. Upon acid dissolution of the capsule, the R250 loading amount can be evaluated by UV/Vis spectra (Fig. 4A). To determine whether the dye molecules are located in the capsule interior or in the MOF intrinsic pores, the R250-loaded ZIF-8 (R250@ZIF-8) was also prepared by the in situ synthetic method for control. The absorbance intensity of R250@ZIF-8 is obviously lower than that of R250@H-LDH@ZIF-8 (Fig. 4A inset and Supplementary Fig. 11), suggesting that the dye should be primarily encased in the cavity of the MOF capsules and highlighting the importance of the hollow structure for hosting homogeneous guests. On the other hand, to examine the permeability of the capsule, a small molecule (n-octylamine) was encapsulated into H-LDH@ZIF-8 (denoted n-octylamine@H-LDH@ZIF-8). Extremely rapid release can be observed by gas chromatograph detection upon
soaking the composite in methanol (Fig. 4B), implying unrestricted mass transport of the MOF shell for small molecules.

**Size-selective heterogeneous catalysis**

Given the encouraging results noted above, the hollow MOF capsule would be a promising nanoreactor for encapsulating catalytically active guests, which would guarantee their intrinsic activity, protect them from leaching, and even endow size-selective and cascade catalysis (Fig. 5A). Metalloporphyrin, such as Co-centered porphyrin (Co-TCPP), as an example of functional guests, can be readily encapsulated into H-LDH@ZIF-8 to afford yolk–shell Co-TCPP@H-LDH@ZIF-8 (Supplementary Figs 12 and 13). To demonstrate the advantages of the YSMCs, their catalytic performance in the cycloaddition of CO2 and epoxides to cyclic carbonates (Supplementary Fig. 14), an important reaction of upgrading CO2 to value-added chemicals [48–53], has been investigated. The Co-TCPP@H-LDH@ZIF-8 exhibits the highest conversion (94%) and selectivity (>99%), significantly higher activity than the solid composite of Co-TCPP in situ embedded in ZIF-8 (denoted Co-TCPP@ZIF-8, yield: 64%) and all other counterparts with a fixed catalyst amount, quantified by an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES), under very mild conditions (room temperature, 1 atm CO2) (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Fig. 15). The much-enhanced efficiency of the former should be attributed to the reduced diffusion limitation in the yolk–shell structure, offering the shortened distance of the reactants from the MOF shell to the active guests. In contrast, the bulk MOF system, where active guests are confined in the micropores of MOFs, performed a sluggish conversion especially at the early stage, caused by the considerable reduction in porosity due to the large foreign moieties occupying and even blocking the narrow apertures. Unexpectedly, the Co-TCPP@H-LDH@ZIF-8 possesses a superior activity to the free Co-TCPP (yield: 88%), which might be ascribed to the CO2 and substrate enrichment capability by the MOF shell, as indicated by adsorption experiments for CO2 and epoxide (Supplementary Figs 16 and 17). In addition, the void-free MOF shell is able to afford size-selective and recyclable catalysis, which cannot be achieved by the single Co-TCPP (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Figs 18 and 19). To examine the general applicability of the YSMCs, the small-size epoxides with various functional groups have been investigated (Supplementary Table 1). Nearly quantitative yields can be achieved in all reactions, reflecting the good substrate tolerance of the YSMCs toward the CO2 cycloaddition reaction.

To further manifest the superiority of the MOF capsule, a Mn-centered porphyrin has been encapsulated into a hollow H-LDH@ZIF-8 capsule, which also exhibits excellent activity and recyclability, surpassing the corresponding counterparts (Supplementary Figs 20 and 21).

In addition, the YSMC action of integrating active sites from host and guest might be employed for one-pot cascade reaction [54–58]. As a representative example, the amino functionalized porphyrin (TAPP) encapsulated in H-LDH@MOF-74 (denoted TAPP@H-LDH@MOF-74), where the MOF shell and the TAPP yolk behave as the active sites for the oxidation and Knoevenagel condensation of alcohols, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 22), presents excellent activity and recyclability, outperforming the corresponding single-component counterparts, their physical mixture and solid composite, in the one-pot tandem oxidation/Knoevenagel condensation of various alcohols to make α, β-unsaturated compounds (Fig. 5D, Supplementary Fig. 23 and Supplementary Table 2).
The results have unambiguously demonstrated that the hollow MOF capsule incorporating active species presents superior synergistic properties, which successfully integrate the respective strengths of the MOF hosts and the guest molecular catalysts.

**DISCUSSION**

In summary, we have presented a rational and versatile template-assisted strategy for the fabrication of various hollow MOF (i.e. ZIF-8, ZIF-67 and MOF-74) capsules with a continuous and thin MOF shell. The H-LDH template facilitates the encapsulation of diverse soluble active guests into the interior of the MOF capsule. Such a combination is able to perfectly integrate the homogeneous catalytic behavior of the guest with the heterogeneous and porous catalysis of MOF solids. As a result, such yolk (soluble)–shell (crystalline) capsules not only exert the high intrinsic activity of the homogeneous catalyst but also offer size-selective and recyclable catalysis, endowed by the void-free and crystalline MOF shell, as well as one-pot cascade catalysis by host–guest cooperation. As far as we know, this is the first report on the encapsulation of soluble species in hollow MOFs, in the absence of intergranular space, for catalysis. It is our belief that such a template-assisted strategy would open an avenue to the rational synthesis of diverse YSMCs for synergistically enhanced properties toward broad applications, especially in catalysis.

**METHODS**

**Synthesis of H-LDH@ZIF-8**

The ethanol solution of H-LDH (2 mL) was separated by centrifugation and washed with methanol several times. The resulting precipitate was then dispersed in methanol (2 mL); following this, the methanol solutions of 2-methylimidazole (45 mg/mL, 2 mL) and zinc nitrate hexahydrate (10 mg/mL, 2 mL) were added in sequence. After proceeding at room temperature for 2 h, the precipitate was recovered and washed with methanol several times. The as-prepared sample was then dried in a vacuum oven at 85°C for 12 h to yield H-LDH@ZIF-8.
The uptake and release of large-sized molecules

In a typical experiment, 2 mL of an ethanol solution of H-LDH was isolated by centrifugation and washed with methanol several times. The resulting sample (dispersed in 1.5 mL of methanol) was soaked in a methanol solution (2 mg/mL, 0.5 mL) of R250. After stirring for 2 h at room temperature, a methanol solution (45 mg/mL, 2 mL) of 2-methylimidazole was added under gentle stirring, and then a methanol solution (10 mg/mL, 2 mL) of zinc nitrate hexahydrate was added. After proceeding at room temperature for 5 h, the precipitate was washed with methanol several times and then dried in a vacuum oven at 85°C for 12 h to yield R250@H-LDH@ZIF-8.

The procedures for R250@ZIF-8 followed the preparation of R250@H-LDH@ZIF-8 described above, except that the methanol solution of H-LDH was replaced by methanol only.

For the releasing experiment, the above samples (5 mg) were immersed in methanol (3 mL) for the required time. The supernatant was monitored periodically using a UV-Visspectrophotometer. The total content of R250 within the samples was measured by the UV-Vis spectrophotometer upon dissolving R250@H-LDH@ZIF-8 and R250@ZIF-8 with 1 M HCl.

The uptake and release of small-sized molecules

The synthetic procedure for n-octylamine@H-LDH@ZIF-8 was followed by the preparation of R250@H-LDH@ZIF-8 described above, except that the methanol solution of H-LDH was replaced by n-octylamine (0.5 mL). The reaction was allowed to proceed at room temperature for 5 h, and then the precipitate was carefully washed with methanol. For releasing, the above sample was directly soaked in methanol (1 mL) for the required time. The supernatant was monitored periodically by gas chromatography (GC) analysis with 1,4-chlorobenzene as the internal standard.

Cycloaddition reaction between epibromohydrin and CO2

A round-bottomed flask was charged with the catalyst (1 mg Co-TCPP or 20 mg Co-TCPP@H-LDH@ZIF-8 containing 1 mg Co-TCPP), tetraethylammonium bromide (TEAB) and epoxides (epibromohydrin, styrene oxide or glycidyl phenyl ether) in DMF (0.1 mL) and acetonitrile (0.4 mL). The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for the required time. The reaction was monitored by analyzing the sample with GC analysis.

CO2 cycloaddition reactions with different-sized epoxides

Typically, a round-bottomed flask (5 mL) was charged with the catalyst (1 mg Co-TCPP or 20 mg Co-TCPP@H-LDH@ZIF-8 containing 1 mg Co-TCPP), tetraethylammonium bromide (TEAB) and epoxides (epibromohydrin, styrene oxide or glycidyl phenyl ether) in DMF (0.1 mL) and acetonitrile (0.4 mL). The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for the required time. The reaction was monitored by analyzing the sample with GC analysis.

Cycloaddition reaction between CO2 and small-size epoxides with various functional groups

A round-bottomed flask was charged with the catalyst (20 mg Co-TCPP@H-LDH@ZIF-8), co-catalyst (22 mg TEAB or 64 mg tetrabutylammonium bromide) and epoxides (0.2 mmol) in acetonitrile (0.4 mL) and DMF (0.1 mL). After stirring under 1 atm CO2 at room temperature for the required time, the reaction was monitored by analyzing the sample with GC analysis.

One-pot oxidation/Knoevenagel condensation of various alcohols

A round-bottomed flask was charged with the catalyst (0.5 mg of TAPP, 3.5 mg of H-LDH, 6 mg of MOF-74, 10 mg of TAPP@MOF-74 or 10 mg of TAPP@H-LDH@MOF-74), ethyl cyanoacetate (0.2 mmol), alcohol (0.04 mmol) and 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl (3 mg) in toluene (1 mL). After stirring under 1 atm of O2 at 80°C for the required time, the reaction was monitored periodically by GC analysis. For reusability investigation of TAPP@H-LDH@MOF-74, the heterogeneous mixture was centrifugated after the reaction and the recovered catalyst was then reused for the subsequent reaction with fresh substrate and solvent.
SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
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