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Abstract

Background The largest living lizard species, Varanus komodoensis Ouwens 1912, is vulnerable to extinction, being restricted to a few isolated islands in eastern Indonesia, between Java and Australia, where it is the dominant terrestrial carnivore. Understanding how large-bodied varanids responded to past environmental change underpins long-term management of V. komodoensis populations. Methodology/Principal Findings We reconstruct the palaeobiogeography of Neogene giant varanids and identify a new (unnamed) species from the island of Timor. Our data reject the long-held perception that V. komodoensis became a giant because of insular evolution or as a specialist hunter of pygmy Stegodon. Phyletic giantism, coupled with a westward dispersal from mainland Australia, provides the most parsimonious explanation for the palaeodistribution of V. komodoensis and the newly identified species of giant varanid from Timor. Pliocene giant varanid fossils from Australia are morphologically referable to V. komodoensis suggesting an ultimate origin for V. komodoensis on mainland Australia (>3.8 million years ago). Varanus komodoensis body size has remained stable over the last 900,000 years (ka) on Flores, a time marked by major faunal turnovers, extinction of the island's megafauna, the arrival of early hominids by 880 ka, co-existence with Homo floresiensis, and the arrival of modern humans by 10 ka. Within the last 2000 years their populations have contracted severely. Conclusions/Significance Giant varanids were once a ubiquitous part of Subcontinental Eurasian and Australasian faunas during the Neogene. Extinction played a pivotal role in the reduction of their ranges and diversity throughout the late Quaternary, leaving only V. komodoensis as an isolated long-term survivor. The events over the last two millennia now threaten its future survival.
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Abstract

Background: The largest living lizard species, Varanus komodoensis Ouwens 1912, is vulnerable to extinction, being restricted to a few isolated islands in eastern Australia, between Java and Australia, where it is the dominant terrestrial carnivore. Understanding how large-bodied varanids responded to past environmental change underpins long-term management of V. komodoensis populations.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We reconstruct the palaeobiogeography of Neogene giant varanids and identify a new (unnamed) species from the Island of Timor. Our data reject the long-held perception that V. komodoensis became a giant because of insular evolution or as a specialist hunter of pygmy Stegodon. Phylectic gigantism, coupled with a westward dispersal from mainland Australia, provides the most parsimonious explanation for the palaeodistribution of V. komodoensis and the newly identified species of giant varanid from Timor. Pliocene giant varanid fossils from Australia are morphologically referable to V. komodoensis suggesting an ultimate origin for V. komodoensis on mainland Australia (>3.8 million years ago). Varanus komodoensis body size has remained stable over the last 900,000 years (ka) on Flores, a time marked by major faunal turnovers, extinction of the island’s megafauna, the arrival of early hominids by 880 ka, co-existence with Homo floresiensis, and the arrival of modern humans by 10 ka. Within the last 2000 years their populations have contracted severely.

Conclusions/Significance: Giant varanids were once a ubiquitous part of Subcontinental Eurasian and Australasian faunas during the Neogene. Extinction played a pivotal role in the reduction of their ranges and diversity throughout the late Quaternary, leaving only V. komodoensis as an isolated long-term survivor. The events over the last two millennia now threaten its future survival.
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Introduction

Fossils of giant varanids (≥3 m Total Body Length) were first reported in the 1850s with the description of Megalania prisca from the Pleistocene of Australia [1,2]. Since that time, and with the discovery of living Komodo Dragons (V. komodoensis) on the east Indonesian islands of Flores, Rinca and Komodo [3] considerable attention was paid in trying to understand the evolution of body size in monitor lizards [4–6]. Though several processes are proposed to explain the evolution of gigantism in varanids, two competing hypotheses dominate the literature: autapomorphic gigantism (i.e. Island Rule) and phylectic gigantism (i.e. Cope’s Rule) [7]. Both processes were previously invoked for the evolution of V. komodoensis [4,6,7].

It is commonly thought that V. komodoensis is a classic example of autapomorphic gigantism having evolved large body size sometime in the past from a small-bodied ancestor that arrived on isolated Indonesian islands, which were devoid of predatory competition [3,8]. Some proposals suggest that V. komodoensis attained large body size on Flores as a specialist hunter of pygmy Stegodon [3,9], the only large-bodied prey inhabiting Flores throughout the middle and late Pleistocene to as recently as 12,000 years ago [10,11]. The alternative, phylectic gigantism, is supported by independent phylogenetic studies of morphology [12–14] and genetics [15,16], which post V. komodoensis within an Australopapuan clade of varanids containing the two large-bodied living species, V. salvadorii and V. variegatus, and the largest of all known lizards Megalania prisca (= Varanus prisca) [14]. Thus, large body size is a synapomorphy of the clade and is not an autapomorphic trait of V. komodoensis. The implications of the phylectic model are that: 1. The extant populations of V. komodoensis are relictual, having had a
much wider geographic distribution in the past [17,18]. *Varanus komodoensis* arrived on Flores already large and did not evolve gigantism there through the processes of insular evolution [7].

We aim to reconstruct the palaeobiogeography and geochronology of Neogene large-bodied varanids by using the fossil remains available from deposits in India, Java, Flores, Timor and Australia.

**Methods**

**Morphometrics**

Five measurements were taken of fossil and modern *Varanus* cervical, dorsal, sacral and anterior caudal vertebrae (Figure 1). Measurements were undertaken using dial or digital callipers to 0.5 mm resolution. See Table S1 for specimen list and data. Measurements are in millimetres (mm) and include:

1. Prezygaphophysis to postzygaphophysis length (Pre-Post), measured from the anterior margin of the prezygaphophyses to the posterior margin of the postzygaphophyses (Figure 1, A).
2. Centrum length (CL), measured from the posterior margin of the centrum to the anterior margin of the centrum (Figure 1, B).
3. Cotylar width (CW), measured from the left lateral margin of the centrum to the right lateral margin of the centrum (Figure 1, C).
4. Prezygaphophysis to postzygaphophysis width (Post-Post), measured from the lateral margin of the left prezygaphophysis to the lateral margin of the right postzygaphophysis (Figure 1, D).
5. Prezygaphophysis to postzygaphophysis width (Pre-Pre), measured from the lateral margin of the left prezygaphophysis to the lateral margin of the right prezygaphophysis (Figure 1, E).

Two measurements were taken of fossil and modern *Varanus* teeth (Figure 1).

1. Crown height, measured from the base of the tooth plicidentine to the crown tip if preserved (Figure 1, F).
2. Basal width, measured from the anterior margin to the posterior margin of the base of the tooth (Figure 1, G).

Two measurements were taken from the humerus of fossil and modern *Varanus* (Figure 1) [19].

1. Maximum diaphysis width of humerus (Figure 1, H).
2. Maximum width of the distal end (Figure 1, I).

**Capturing Maximal Size Variation**

Our modern sample of *V. salator* may not represent the maximal size limit seen in the largest *V. salator* individuals. Our comparative sample of *V. salator* was close to the maximal snout-vent length (SVL) recorded in a large sample of this taxon; however, the largest specimen from our sample had a total length approximately 15-20% shorter than the total length of the longest recorded *V. salator* (521 cm) [20]. Therefore, we took the

![Figure 1. Morphometric measurements. A. Pre-postzygaphophysis length. B. Centrum length. C. Cotylar width. D. Post-postzygaphophysis width. E. Pre-prezygaphophysis width. F. Tooth crown height. G. Tooth base length. H. Diaphysis width (humerus). I. Distal condyle width (humerus). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002741.g001](image)
measurements of our largest V. saluator vertebral specimens and increased them by 20%, adding these additional measurements to the main dataset. This provided a more accurate estimate of V. saluator maximal size limits.

Descriptive Statistics

Bivariate plots of morphometric data were plotted to determine the position of fossil specimens in relation to the modern samples taken of Varanus. Convex hulls were drawn to delineate the area of maximal variation observed in the samples. Due to the different preservation states of many of the specimens, only a single measurement might be available (univariate data). For these data, frequency distribution histograms or box-plots showing the median value, 25–75% quartiles and the minimal and maximal values, were produced to determine where in the range of variation the fossil specimens plotted out. Principle components analysis (PCA) was applied to analyse multivariate data; however, most multivariate analyses were uninformative due to the large amount of missing data from the fossil specimens.

Where possible statistical tests were carried out where fossil sample sizes were sufficient to return an informative result. Descriptive statistics and tests were conducted using PAST software version 1.82b [21].

Results

Varanus komodoensis Ouwens 1912

Australia (Early Pliocene - middle Pleistocene). Fossil specimens from Pliocene and Pleistocene-aged sites in Australia (Table 1) were identified as belonging to Varanus komodoensis on the basis of the following combination of unique cranial and post-cranial characteristics. Overall similar size and proportions of all preserved skeletal elements. Maxilla contributes to the labial margin of the premaxillary-maxillary aperture (pmp). Maxillary margin of the pmp shallow. Premaxillary-maxillary suture faces antero-lingually. Angulate maxillary crest. Labio-lingually compressed, closely-set recurved and serrated dentition both on maxillae and dentaries. At least 12 tooth loci in dentary. Parietal with distinct supratemporal crests, with fronto-parietal suture interlocking. Humeros stockier than all other members of Varanus, except V. priscus.

Maxillae (Figure 2, B-D and Figure 3, H-I). Three maxillae; a near complete right maxilla (QM 42105), the anterior section of a right maxilla (QM 42105) and the posterior portion of a left maxilla (QM 54605) share closest morphology and size with Varanus komodoensis. QMF42105 represents a marginally larger individual than specimens QM1874 and QM 54605. All three share with V. komodoensis closely-spaced, labio-lingually compressed, recurved dentition with finely grooved picidentine, and serrated mesial and distal margins. The teeth are morphometrically similar to the modern V. komodoensis sample (Figure 1). QMF 874 and QMF 42105 also share with V. komodoensis a distinct interlocking premaxillary-maxillary suture with an open premaxillary-maxillary aperture (pmp) and an angulate nasal crest. The circumference of the premaxillary-maxillary aperture is made up by the premaxilla and maxilla to varying degrees in different Varanus groups (Figure 8D). In the fossil maxillae only the posterior and labial margins of the pmp are enclosed by the maxilla, the remainder is enclosed by the premaxilla. This key feature allies the fossils to taxa where the premaxilla contributes to the anterior and lingual margin of the pmp (V. indicus, V. varius group and V. gouldi group).

The fossils differ morphologically from V. indicus by possessing an interlocking and antero-lingually oriented premaxillary-maxillary suture articulation, more labially angulate maxillary crest and more recurved dentition. Morphometrically, the fossils are also much larger.

| Specimen          | Registration | Location (Fauna)                  | Age             | Age Reference |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Cervical vertebra | QMF 2368     | Bluff Downs Local Fauna, north-eastern Queensland | Early Pliocene  | [32]          |
| Dorsal vertebra   | QMF 2368     | Bluff Downs Local Fauna, north-eastern Queensland | Early Pliocene  | [32]          |
| Right maxilla     | QMF 874      | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Right maxilla (partial) | QMF 42105 | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Left dentary (partial) | QMF 870+QMF 871 | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Quadrato          | QMF 42156    | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Supraorbitoanal   | QMF 25392    | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Parietal          | QMF 53956    | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Scapulocondyoid   | QMF 866      | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Left humerus (partial) | QMF 53954 | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Right humerus (partial) | QMF 53955 | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Vertebrae         | QMF Colln (numerous) | Chinchilla Sands Local Fauna, south-east Queensland | Middle Pliocene | [33]         |
| Left maxilla (partial) | QMF 54605 | Mt Etta Local Fauna, central eastern Queensland | Middle Pleistocene | [28]        |
| Supraorbitocipital | QMF 54607   | Mt Etta Local Fauna, central eastern Queensland | Middle Pleistocene | [28]        |
| Quadrato (right)  | QMF 54606    | Mt Etta Local Fauna, central eastern Queensland | Middle Pleistocene | [28]        |
| Tibia             | QMF54608     | Mt Etta Local Fauna, central eastern Queensland | Middle Pleistocene | [28]        |
| Ultra diaphysis   | QMF 54604    | Mt Etta Local Fauna, central eastern Queensland | Middle Pleistocene | [28]        |
| Dorsal vertebra   | QMF 54120    | Mt Etta Local Fauna, central eastern Queensland | Middle Pleistocene | [28]        |
| Caudal vertebra   | QMF 1418     | Marmor Quarry, eastern Queensland | Middle Pleistocene | [24]        |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.h001
Figure 2. Varanus komodoensis (Pliocene, Australia). A. Modern V. komodoensis skull in dorsal view (NNM 17504). B. QMF 874, right maxilla in lateral view. C. QMF 42105, partial right maxilla in dorsal view. D. QMF 42105, right maxilla in dorsal view. E. QMF 25392, complete left supraorbital in dorsal view. F. QMF 53956, partial parietal in dorsal view. G-H. QMF 42156, right quadrate in anterior and lateral views. I-J. QMF 870+871, partial left dentary in lingual view, J illustrating the tooth loci. Abbreviations: mcrst, dorsal maxillary crest; pmp, premaxillary-maxillary aperture; pms, premaxilla-maxilla suture; sym, dentary symphys. mg, Meckelian groove. Dashed line represents broken edge of maxilla. Scale bar = 5 cm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.g002
Figure 3. Varanus komodoensis (Neogene, Australia). A–B. Plioene V. komodoensis (Australia) A-B. QMF 53955, partial left humerus in dorsal view showing position of insertion for the latissimus dorsi (lat dors). C–D. Left and right humerus of a modern V. komodoensis (INMM 17504). E–F. QMF 53954, partial right humerus in ventral and dorsal views, showing the position of the eptepicondyle (ect). G. QMF 866, partial scapulocoracoid. H–P. Pleistocene V. komodoensis (Australia). H–I. QMF 54605, partial left maxilla in lingual and labial views. J. QMF 54606, partial right quadrate in anterior view. K. QMF 54607, suprascapular bone in posterior view. L. QMF 54608, proximal left tibia. M. QMF 54609, ulna diaphysis. N–P. QMF 1418, proximal mid-caudal in cranial, oblique lateral and dorsal views. Scale bar = 1 cm.
Morphologically the fossil specimens are most similar to members of the *V. varius* group and to some members of the *V. gouldi* group, in particular *V. varius*, *V. kamosdensis* and *V. panoptes* and *V. mertensi* (Figure S2). The maxillary margin of the premaxilla in the *V. varius* group is shallower than those from the *V. gouldi* group. The premaxilla is similarly shallow in both fossil maxillae, aligning them closer to the *V. varius* group. The only taxon present in either the *gouldi* or *varius* groups that reaches the large size of the fossils and possesses the closely-spaced, recurved dentition, is *V. kamosdensis*.

**Supraorbital** (Figure 2, E). QMF 25392 is a complete left supraorbital bar, which matches closely *V. kamosdensis*, including the possession of a thick postorbital bar which projects postero-laterally, is shallowly curved and is suboval in cross-section. Proximally, it is similar in overall morphology and size to its posterior counterpart, producing a ‘Y’ shaped bone. The dorsal surface is smooth, whilst the ventral surface preserves a rugose margin.

**Parietal** (Figure 2, F). QMF 53956 possesses distinct dorsally expressed supratemporal crests which ally this specimen to large-sized members of the *V. varius* group (*V. saladinii*, *V. kamosdensis* and *V. priscus*). QMF 53956 is smaller than *V. priscus* with less developed crests, and a less developed central roof. Based on overall size, QMF 53956 is most similar to *V. kamosdensis* and larger than *V. saladinii*. It also possesses an interlocking frontal-parietal suture articulation, which is only seen in *V. kamosdensis* and *V. priscus*.

**Quadrate** (Figure 2, G-H, Figure 3, J). Two right quadrates are known, including a complete specimen (QMF 42156) and the proximal half of another (QMF 34600). Both are similar in overall morphology and size to another and to *V. kamosdensis*. Both specimens and in *V. kamosdensis*, the proximal condyle is antero-posteriorly expanded into two articular facets, both rounded basins that are relatively smooth. One or two thin laminae run ventrally to the distal condyle which is medio-laterally expanded into two similar-sized condyles. A distinct medial crest originates from the midline of the proximal articular end and runs medio-ventrally along the medial side of the quadrate, terminating at the antero-medial corner of the disto-medial condyle. A broad, rounded and straight ridge originates at the antero-lateral corner of the proximal articular face and runs distally to the antero-lateral corner of the disto-lateral condyle.

**Supraoccipital** (Figure 3, K). QMF 54607 is an isolated but complete supraoccipital bone from the skull of a large species of *Varanus*. In dorsal view, it is trapezoidal in shape with a ventral width wider than the dorsal width. A ridge occurs in the midline of the bone oriented dorso-ventrally and constricted toward the middle. A cup-like recess is present on the dorsal face of the bone, which would have received the *processus accessorius*, which seems to have been unossified or at least not attached to the supraoccipital (as it is in *V. priscus*). The angle of the supraoccipital in relation to the parietal, and to the parapariocaps would be more acute than seen in *V. priscus*, similar to that of *V. kamosdensis* and less than so many other species of *Varanus*.

**Dentary** (Figure 2, I-L). QMF 870 and QMF 871 represent either a single left dentary, which is badly broken at its midline, or two separate fragments of two left dentaries. Although not noted as the same specimen, preservation and size indicates that these two specimens come from a very similar, if not the same, individual. QMF 871 is an anterior-most portion of a left dentary preserving the dentary symphysis and the first six tooth loci. The first tooth occurs just postero-dorsal of the dentary symphysis, which is rounded and bisected by the proximal origin of the Meckelian groove. The second tooth is complete and the best preserved of both specimens. The tooth is compressed labio-lingually, has a rounded distal margin and a constricted and serrate mesial cutting edge. QMF 870 is a portion of the posterior section of a left dentary, preserving five tooth loci. The dentary is missing below the dorsal margin of the Meckelian groove. The dorsal half of the posterior mental foramen can be seen in labial profile. Considered together, these two specimens indicate that the dentary preserved 12-13 tooth loci, where the teeth are closely-spaced, labio-ligually compressed, distinctly recurved and serrated. When compared to a range of *Varanus* species, it is clear that adult *V. kamosdensis* possesses 12 or 13 tooth loci for each dentary, whereas other species of *Varanus* possess 11 or fewer tooth loci. *V. salvator* (10–11); *V. albigularis* (9–10); *V. indicus* (9–10); *V. varius* (9–10); *V. salvaderii* (10–11); *V. panoptes* (10–11); *V. tristis* (10–11). The only complete dentary of *V. priscus* possesses 11 tooth loci.

**Humeri** (Figure 3, A-B, E-F). A right (QMF 59559) and a left (QMF 59554) humerus, both missing the proximal and distal-most epiphyses are of similar size and morphology to *V. kamosdensis*. The humeri of *V. priscus* and *V. kamosdensis* are stocky and robust when compared to humeri found in all other members of *Varanus*. Both fossil humeri indicate a stout humerus with broad proximal and distal epiphyses. When comparing the maximum diaphyseal width of the two specimens with species of extant and fossil *Varanus*, both specimens fall within the size range of *V. kamosdensis* and outside that of small and large *Varanus priscus* (Figure S3).

**Vertebræ** (Figure 3, M-P, Figure 4). Thirty-eight dorsal vertebrae were measured from two Piocene localities, Chinchilla (n = 37) and Bluff Downs (n = 1). All of these vertebrae fall within the size range of modern *V. kamosdensis* (p > 0.8) (Figure S4). In all features, the fossil sample reflects directly similar features seen in *V. kamosdensis*.

A partial dorsal vertebra (QMF 54120) and a caudal vertebra collected from middle Pleistocene-aged sites at Mt. Emu and Marmor Quarry respectively represent a large-bodied varanid which is much smaller than *V. priscus*, but larger than any living varanid on mainland Australia and New Guinea (e.g. *Varanus giganteus*, *V. varius*, *V. salvadorii*) (Figure 4). QMF 54120 is a fragmentary dorsal vertebra, preserving the left lateral half of the centrum and the left lateral portion of the postzygapophysis. On direct comparison with *V. kamosdensis* it shares similar size and morphology. QMF 41415 is a near complete proximal mid-caudal vertebra and falls within the size range of *V. kamosdensis* (Figure S9).

**Other postcranial elements** (Figure 3, L-M). In addition to the above diagnostic skeletal elements, several other postcranial remains recovered from these Piocene and Pleistocene sites match *V. kamosdensis* in over size and general morphology. These specimens include the proximal end of a left tibia (QMF 54608), the proximal end of a dorsal rib (QMF 54605), the diaphysis of an ulna (QMF 54604) and a partial scapulocoracoid (QMF866).

**Flores** (early Pleistocene - Holocene)**

Fossil specimens of *V. kamosdensis* were recovered from the early-middle Pleistocene Ola Bula Formation in central Flores (Tangi Talo, Dhozo Dhaul) and from a late Pleistocene-Holocene cave deposit in central-western Flores (Liang Bua) [10,22]. Fossil specimens of *V. kamosdensis* include many cranial and postcranial elements (Table S1).

**Teeth** (Figure 5, E). Twelve teeth were studied from the Pleistocene of Flores, including six isolated teeth from early Pleistocene Tangi Talo and six teeth from late Pleistocene-Holocene Liang Bua. Morphometrically these teeth fall within the size range of *V. kamosdensis* (Figure S1). Morphologically, the teeth bear the unique features of being greatly recurved and compressed labio-lingually. This feature is only present in modern *V. kamosdensis* and fossil specimens referable to *V. kamosdensis*.
Figure 4. *Varanus komodoensis* (Pliocene, Australia). A–F, QMF 42104, posterior dorsal vertebra compared with modern *V. komodoensis* (white), in anterior (A–B), posterior (C–D) and left lateral (E–F) views. G–L, QMF 42096, mid-dorsal vertebra compared with modern *V. komodoensis* (white), in anterior (G–H), posterior (I–J) and right lateral (K–L) views. M–R, QMF 42102, mid-dorsal vertebra compared with modern *V. komodoensis* (white), in anterior (M–N), posterior (O–P) and left lateral (Q–R) views. S–V, QMF 23684, cervical vertebra compared with modern *V. komodoensis* (white), in left lateral (S–T) and anterior (U–V) views. W–X, QMF 23686, anterior dorsal vertebra compared with modern *V. komodoensis* (white) in anterior view. Scale bar = 1 cm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.g004
Figure 5. Varanus komodoensis (Pleistocene, Flores). A–B. Sacral vertebrae from modern (A) and fossil (LB558a) V. komodoensis in anterior view. C. Articulated dorsal vertebra (LB19/20-9-04) in dorsal view. D. Cervical vertebra (LB517b) in dorsal view. E. Four isolated teeth (LB04 unreg) in lingual view. F–H. Ulna diaphysis (LB-447a/16.8.04) in medial (F), cranial (G) and lateral (H) views. I. Radius diaphysis (LB-28.7.03) in medial view. Scale bar = 1 cm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.g005

Cervical vertebrae (Figure 5, D). Four well preserved cervical specimens were used in this study, including one from early Pleistocene Tangi Talo and three from late Pleistocene-Holocene Liang Bua. The Tangi Talo specimen is only slightly larger than the modern V. komodoensis sample (Figure S5, A). Two Liang Bua specimens are only slightly larger and one is within the
morphometric range of our modern *V. komodoensis* sample (Figure S5, B). No statistical test was conducted due to the very small sample sizes for each site (1 and 3 respectively).

**Dorsal vertebrae (Figure 5, C).** Fifteen dorsal vertebrae were used in this study, all coming from Liang Bua. Due to the differing preservation states of each vertebra the only available measurement for all dorsal vertebrae was the cotylar width. When the Liang Bua fossil sample is compared to our sample of modern *V. komodoensis* the mean value for cotylar width is significantly different (p<0.001). When comparing the maximal size limits of our two samples the Liang Bua fossil sample is most-likely biased toward large individuals (Figure S6). Therefore, we consider the significant difference in mean cotylar width to be related to a taphonomic bias toward large individuals being preserved at the Liang Bua site, not an overall larger size. These large individuals are still within the maximal size limits of our modern sample of *V. komodoensis*. In addition, three well-preserved specimens were used in a bivariate plot of prezygapophysis - postzygapophysis (Pre-Post) length over prezygapophysis – postzygapophysis (Pre-pre) width. The fossils fall within the morphometric range of modern *V. komodoensis*, with the exception of a single specimen that possesses a slightly broader pre-pre width (Figure S7). Morphologically, the vertebrae are identical to modern *V. komodoensis* (Figure S8). No statistical tests were able to be carried out due to the small sample size (n=1).

**Anterior caudal vertebrae.** Six anterior caudal vertebrae were identified from Liang Bua, all of which fall within the morphometric and morphological variation of modern *V. komodoensis*; their mean sizes not significantly different (p>0.057) (Figure S9).

**Humerus.** A single humeral diaphysis represents *V. komodoensis* from Tanjung Tallo both in size and morphology. When compared to modern *V. komodoensis* and tumeri from Chincillia, the Tanjung Tallo specimen has a slightly broader diaphysis (Figure S3). This may simply reflect the biased preservation of larger individuals within this deposit, as is seen in the Liang Bua collection.

**Other postcranial elements (Figure 5, H-I).** In addition to the above diagnostic specimens several other remains recovered from Liang Bua are considered to represent *V. komodoensis*, including fragments of ilia, metapodials, a phalanx, partial right mandible, and the diaphyses of an ulna and a radius (Table S1). These remains will form part of a future review of *V. komodoensis* fossils from Liang Bua.

**Varanus sp. cf. *V. komodoensis***

**Java (Middle Pleistocene).** A single anterior dorsal vertebra (CD6392) of a large-bodied varanid is recorded from the middle Pleistocene Kedung Brabon deposit (Figure 6, A-F). Morphologically this specimen falls within the middle-range of modern and fossil *V. komodoensis* and is well outside the largest *V. salvator* (+20%) sample (Figure S7). CD6392 was considered to be *V. komodoensis* [17]. It is remarkably similar to *V. komodoensis* in both size and morphology, possessing steep zygapophyses, dorsally oriented condyles, distinct precondylar constriction and an open neural canal. Although the specimen is close in morphology, assignment to *V. komodoensis* is tentative and should await more specimens for verification.

**Varanus sivalensis** Falconer 1868

**India, (Pleocene - early Pleistocene).** Three specimens were previously described to represent *Varanus sivalensis* [23–25], but these three specimens represent a single taxon (*V. sivalensis*) and warrant its unique taxonomic status; however, the two referred dorsal vertebrae fall within the variation of modern and fossil *V. salvator*. Therefore, it is unlikely that these three specimens represent the same taxon.

**Humerus (Figure 7, C-D).** Morphologically the humerus differs from *V. komodoensis* by features already described [12]. NHRM40816 plots in the middle range of *V. komodoensis* and outside *V. salvator*.

**Dorsal vertebrae (Figure 7, F, H, J, I, N).** NHRM739 is an anterior dorsal vertebra and plots within the fossil sample of *V. salvator* and is only slightly larger than the extant sample of *V. salvator* (Figure S7). NHRM740 was originally designated as a cervical vertebra; however, it is clearly a mid-dorsal vertebra, lacking any features allying it to the cervical region. Morphometrically it plots within the lower range of *V. komodoensis* and outside the sample of modern *V. salvator*. The specimen does fit within the size range of fossil *V. salvator* from the early Pleistocene of Trinil, Java (Figures S6, S7). Both dorsal vertebrae are not considered to be significantly different to either the Trinil fossil sample or the modern *V. salvator* sample (Table 2). Both vertebrae are early Pleistocene in age, therefore, they match the Trinil specimens in morphology, size and age.

**Varanus salvator** Laurenti, 1768

**Java (Early Pleistocene).** The sample of large-bodied varanid fossils from the early Pleistocene deposits of Trinil, Java include dorsal, sacral and caudal vertebrae (Figure 6, G-I). Both the sacral and caudal vertebrae fall within the variation observed in modern *V. salvator* (Figures S8, S9). The majority of dorsal vertebrae fall within the variation of modern *V. salvator* and the largest individuals, where breadth of vertebra increases to a greater proportion with increased length (pers. obs.). Morphologically the vertebrae are similar to the comparative sample of *V. salvator*, being more gracile than *V. komodoensis* and *V. sivalensis*; the only two varanids closest in size to *V. salvator* and the fossil specimens. Statistically, the Trinil sample is not considered to be significantly different to the modern *V. salvator* sample, or the specimens derived from the Siwalik Hills (Table 2).

**Varanus sp. nov.**

**Timor (Middle Pleistocene).** Three massive varanid vertebrae are known from collections recovered from Timor, including a dorsal, sacral and anterior caudal vertebra (CV Collection, NNM). A mid-dorsal and anterior caudal were originally assigned to *V. komodoensis* [17]. The dorsal specimen falls within the upper morphometric range of *V. komodoensis*, but it possesses less vertically oriented condyles, has a reduced neural canal and is robust – features characteristic of *V. priscus* (Figures 8, S7). A sacral (not recorded previously) and an anterior caudal vertebrae are morphologically similar to *V. priscus*, possessing rounded condyle-cotyles, stout transverse processes and thick cortical bone. They are both intermediate in size between *V. komodoensis* and *V. priscus* (genus stricto) and possess zygapophyses that are at a lower angle (Figures 8, S8, S9). The combination of
intermediate size and unique morphology indicate that these specimens most likely represent a new unnamed taxon of large-bodied varanid.

Central Australia (Middle-Late Pleistocene)

Vertebræ. A large sample of dorsal vertebrae were measured from collections of Pleistocene varanids from central Australia. The morphometric variation encompassed by these specimens indicates the presence of a varanid intermediate in size between *V. komodoensis* and *V. prisca* (sensu stricto) (Figure S10, S11). The three samples of vertebrae were significantly different to one another with the central Australian sample showing significantly smaller size when compared to the eastern Australian *V. prisca* (sensu stricto) sample (p<0.0002) and significantly larger size when compared to modern and fossil *V. komodoensis* (p<0.004). Whether these specimens represent a diachronous sample across the middle to late Pleistocene, or a morphoclone of Pleistocene giant varanids from smaller central Australian forms toward larger eastern Australian forms is yet to be determined. Regardless, these specimens indicate a giant
varanid present in central Australia during the Pleistocene that resembles, at least in size, the taxon present on Timor during the middle Pleistocene.

**Figure 7. Varanus sivalensis (Pliocene, India).** A–B. NMM 17504, modern Varanus komodoensis humerus. C–D. NHMR 40819, distal humerus in dorsal (C) and ventral (D) views. E–I. NHMR 740, posterior dorsal vertebra compared with modern V. komodoensis (white) in anterior (E–F), left lateral (G–H) and dorsal (I) views. J–N. NHMR 739, anterior dorsal compared with modern V. komodoensis (white) in left lateral (J–K), anterior (L–M) and posterior (N) views. Scale bar = 1 cm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.g007

**Table 2.** Tukey’s pairwise comparisons (ANOVA) table of fossil and modern Varanus dorsal vertebrae, pre-post length measurements.

|                | Liang Bua (n = 11) | Siwaliks (n = 3) | V. komodoensis (n = 29) | V. salvator (n = 29) |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Trinit         | p ≤ 0.0004*        | p > 0.9          | p < 0.002*               | p > 0.9              |
| Liang Bua      | p ≤ 0.0006*        | p > 0.9          | p < 0.0002*              | p > 0.7              |
| Siwaliks       | p ≤ 0.03*          | p > 0.7          | p < 0.0007*              |                      |
| V. komodoensis |                    |                  |                         |                      |

*Indicates a significant difference between samples.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.t002

**Discussion**

Archaeological and paleontological excavations at sites in central and western Flores produced teeth and post-cranial elements of *V. komodoensis* dating from the early Pleistocene to the late Holocene (~5000–2 ka) [10,22]. This fossil record provides an opportunity to evaluate long-term morphological and morphometric changes in *V. komodoensis* on Flores over ca. 900,000 years. Comparisons between fossil and extant *V. komodoensis* show that there are few morphometric or morphological differences between the fossil specimens and those of modern *V. komodoensis*. Therefore, maximal body size of this species remained stable for at least 900,000 years despite the fact that the biostratigraphic sequence on Flores records at least three faunal turnovers, marked by the extinction of the giant tortoise *Calseoseches* [26], two species of *Stegodon* and *Homo floresiensis*, as well as the arrival of hominins by 880 ka and modern humans by 10 ka [22]. Even in the absence of any moderately-sized prey between the extinction of *Stegodon floresiensis insularis* (~12 ka) [10,11] and the introduction of the pig...
from Sulawesi (~7 ka) [10] V. komodoensis was able to persist on Flores. The stability of V. komodoensis body size over a long temporal sequence and during periods of major ecological change implies that insular evolutionary processes had limited effect, and more importantly illustrate the adaptive flexibility and resilience of a generalist carnivore, rather than a specialist predator of the island’s pygmy Skelton.

So, if V. komodoensis did not evolve on an isolated island in Wallacea, from where did it disperse? India and Australia are the only regions that preserve a giant varanid fossil record older than 900 ka, and are the only identifiable sources for large-bodied Varanus [2]. The oldest recorded large-bodied Varanus from both regions occur in the Pliocene, suggesting a relatively synchronous yet independent evolution of lizard gigantism. In India large-bodied
variain fossils are rare, being represented by two vertebrae and a partial humerus, each assigned to the extinct *V. siwalensis* [24]. Both vertebrae probably represent *Varanus solator*. The humerus is of similar size to *V. komodoensis* but differs morphologically [12]. The absence of *V. siwalensis* from younger deposits at the same localities suggests that large-bodied varanids were either very rare or more likely extinct on the Indian sub-continent by the end of the early Pleistocene. The same may therefore, based on both morphology and chronology, *V. siwalensis* is an unlikely source for *V. komodoensis* on Flores. *Varanus siwalensis* is associated with a Late Pliocene Siwalik fauna that includes diverse mammalian megafauna, including the placental carnivores *Crocuta*, *Hyaena* and *Panthera* [27]. This record alone demonstrates that varanids can evolve giantism on continental landmasses with competition from large placental carnivores.

Varanoids appear in the Australian fossil record by the Miocene and possess a more or less continuous record of large-bodied forms from the early Pliocene (~3.8 mya) through to the late Pleistocene [2]. Varanids most likely dispersed eastward from Asia to Australia, then radiated to produce a clade containing *V. komodoensis* [15,16,18]. Although the taxonomy of the Australian Miocene-Pleistocene varanids remains largely unresolved [2], it is most likely that they are contained within this monophyletic clade. There are at least three giant varanid taxa present in Australia during the Neogene, including one species from the Pliocene, one from the Pleistocene of central Australia and *Varanus priscus* (*vusnstrictio*) from the middle-late Pleistocene. On the basis of both size and a unique combination of morphological features shared only with *V. komodoensis* the Pliocene taxon is here considered to be conspecific with *V. komodoensis*. We also recovered large-bodied varanid fossils from middle Pleistocene deposits in northeastern Australia are also referable to *V. komodoensis*, demonstrating the longevity of *Varanus komodoensis* on mainland Australia as well as the coexistence of two giant varanids, *V. priscus* and *V. komodoensis*. In combination, the evidence from the fossil record as well as the morphological and molecular phylogenetic studies clearly supports Australia as the ancestral source for *V. komodoensis*.

Large-bodied varanid fossils were previously recovered from two middle Pleistocene sites along the Solo River in Java, west of the Wallace Line - the Trinil (~900 ka) and Kedung Brubus (800–700 ka) Faunas [22]. Although large, the Trinil vertebrae fall closest to the variation of modern *V. solator*, with a few specimens comparable in size with the smallest modern *V. komodoensis*. These few larger specimens, considered previously to be *V. komodoensis* [17], are more likely to represent very large individuals of *V. solator*. A single vertebra from the younger Kedung Brubus site is much bigger, comparable closely in both size and morphology with large *V. komodoensis*. We therefore conclude that it is likely that *V. komodoensis*, having reached Flores by the early Pleistocene, dispersed southwest, across Wallace’s Line to arrive in Java sometime during the middle Pleistocene.

Differential timing for the initial appearance of Komodo dragon in Australia, Flores and Java, therefore indicates that *V. komodoensis* dispersed from east to west, perhaps reaching Java during a period of lowered sea-level. At the time of Kedung Brubus, Java was part of the Asian mainland, and the fauna included large placental carnivores such as *Panthera* and *Hyaena* [22], further illustrating the ability of giant varanids to exist as part of a continental, placental-dominated fauna. There is currently no evidence that giant varanids survived on Java beyond the middle Pleistocene.

Further support for the westward dispersal of giant varanids comes from Timor, an island between Flores and Australia. Three vertebral specimens from Raeblia in the Atambua Basin, central Timor, represent a new unnamed species of giant varanid intermediate in size between *V. komodoensis* and *V. priscus* (*vusnstrictio*). The Timor specimens were derived from the uppermost part of the folded, regressive Noele Formation, of which the marine part correlates with plutonic foraminifera zones N18-N22 [29,30]. This suggests that the specimens are at least middle Pleistocene in age. Pleistocene varanid fossils from central Australia, usually identified as *V. priscus*, are also intermediate in size between *V. komodoensis* and *V. priscus* (*vusnstrictio*), and may represent the same intermediate taxon present in Timor. Formal description of the new Timor-Australian varanid waits until more diagnostic specimens are available.

**Conclusion**

The fossil record suggests that giant varanids evolved independently on mainland Asia and the island-continent of Australia during the Pliocene, alongside large-bodied mammalian carnivores. Only the Indonesian-Australian giant varanid appear to have survived beyond the early Pleistocene.

We conclude that *V. komodoensis* evolved in Australia by the early Pliocene and dispersed west as far as Flores by 900 ka and Java by 800–700 ka. It is likely that the Timor varanid represents another large-bodied varanid lineage, attaining a larger body size than that of *V. komodoensis*, having evolved on mainland Australia and dispersed west to Timor. Continuing along this same evolutionary trajectory, *Varanus priscus*, reached gigantic proportions by the late-middle Pleistocene, but was extinct in Australia by the end of the Pleistocene (Figure 9).

We conclude that *V. komodoensis* is the last of a clade of giant varanids that was once a ubiquitous part of Australasia, distributed from Australia across Wallacea, as far as continental Asia (Java). There is now only a relict population on Flores and a few small adjacent islands. Komodo dragon distribution has also retracted significantly on Flores itself; being present at Liang Bua in the uplands of West Flores until ~2 ka, but now only occurring in isolated habitats along the northern and western coastal lowlands [3,31]. The retraction is likely due to habitat loss and persecution by modern humans over the last few millennia and emphasizes the continuing threat of extinction to this, the last of the giant varanids.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Histogram of tooth base length measurements for modern (A–B), Pleistocene (A) and Pliocene (B) *V. komodoensis*. Tangi Talo (n = 4), Liang Bua (n = 5), Chinchilla (n = 5) and *V. komodoensis* (n = 68). Measurements in mm.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s001 (0.23 MB DOC)

**Figure S2** Morphological comparisons between Indo-Asian and Indo-Australian varanid maxillae based on the phylogenetic reconstruction of Ast (2001). *Varanus varius* group with fossil specimens for comparison (to scale with *V. komodoensis*). Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s002 (4.69 MB DOC)

**Figure S3** Histogram of humerus maximum diaphysis width with normal curve fitted to *Varanus* sample. *Varanus* spp. (n = 71), *Varanus komodoensis* (n = 18) (see Hutchinson & Reed (2005) for taxa used). Measurements in mm.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s003 (0.14 MB DOC)

**Figure S4** Histogram of dorsal vertebrae pre-post measurements with normal curve fitted. *Varanus komodoensis* modern (n = 100),
Figure 9. Palaeogeography and chronology of giant varanids. Schematic diagram illustrating the proposed taxonomy, chronology and dispersal sequence of giant varanids from mainland Australia to the Indonesian islands of Timor, Flores and Java during the Pliocene-Pleistocene. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.g009

Figure S5  Measurements of varanid cervical vertebrae. A. Bivariate Plot of pre-pre length vs pre-post length. B. Bivariate Plot of cotylar width vs centrum length. Convex hulls applied to show limits of sample variation. Measurements in mm.
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s005 (0.11 MB DOC)

Figure S6  Box-plot of dorsal vertebrae cotylar width measurements. Varanus salvator (n = 24), Triul (n = 15), Varanus similis (n = 2), modern Varanus komodoensis (n = 112), Liing Bua (n = 16). Measurements in mm.
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s006 (0.05 MB DOC)

Figure S7  Measurements of varanid dorsal vertebrae. Bivariate Plot of pre-pre length vs pre-post length. Convex hulls applied to show limits of sample variation. Measurements in mm.
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s007 (0.09 MB DOC)

Figure S8  Measurements of varanid sacral vertebrae. A. Bivariate plot of pre-pre length vs pre-post length. Convex hulls applied to show limits of sample variation. B. Box-plot of sacral vertebrae cotylar width measurements. Varanus salvator (n = 10), Triul (n = 2), Varanus komodoensis (n = 9), V. priscus (n = 4). Measurements in mm.
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s008 (0.10 MB DOC)
Figure S9  Box-plot of caudal vertebra prezygapophysis-postzygapophysis length measurements. Varanus Salvator (n = 9), Varanus Kamosensis (n = 24), Liang Bua (n = 4), V. priscus (n = 6). Measurements in mm. 
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s009 (0.06 MB DOC)

Figure S10  Box plot of dorsal vertebra pre-postzygapophysis length for V. priscus (n = 53), Varanus sp. nov. (n = 11) and modern V. Kamosensis (n = 32). Measurements in mm. 
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s010 (0.04 MB DOC)

Figure S11  Measurements of varanid dorsal vertebrae. Bivariate plot of pre-pre length vs pre-post length. Convex hulls applied to show limits of sample variation. Measurements in mm. 
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007241.s011 (0.07 MB DOC)

Table S1  Specimens used in this study.
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