Thalamic dual control of sleep and wakefulness
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Slow waves (0.5–4 Hz) predominate in the cortical electroencephalogram during non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep in mammals. They reflect the synchronization of large neuronal ensembles alternating between active (UP) and quiescent (Down) states and propagating along the neocortex. The thalamic contribution to cortical UP states and sleep modulation remains unclear. Here we show that spontaneous firing of centromedial thalamic (CMT) neurons in mice is phase-advanced to global cortical UP states and NREM–wake transitions. Tonic optogenetic activation of CMT neurons induces NREM–wake transitions, whereas burst activation mimics UP states in the cingulate cortex and enhances brain-wide synchrony of cortical slow waves during sleep, through a relay in the anterodorsal thalamus. Finally, we demonstrate that CMT and anterodorsal thalamic relay neurons promote sleep recovery. These findings suggest that the tonic and/or burst firing pattern of CMT neurons can modulate brain-wide cortical activity during sleep and provides dual control of sleep–wake states.

N

on-rapid eye movement sleep (NREM) is characterized by a predominance of slow waves (0.5–4 Hz) in the neocortex resulting from the synchronized activity of thalamocortical neuronal networks alternating between active (UP) and quiescent (Down) states1,2. During UP states, the membrane potentials of neocortical pyramidal neurons and interneurons are depolarized, which facilitates spiking activity, whereas Down states reflect cell membrane hyperpolarization and neuron spiking quiescence3,4,5,6. Both cortical1,2,7,8 and thalamic9,10 origins have been proposed for the generation of cortical UP states. For instance, isolated cortical circuits spontaneously produce UP and Down states5,6,10, and a subset of layer V ‘pacemaker’ neurons can recruit larger ensembles of pyramidal neurons to induce UP states in vitro7,9. On the other hand, pharmacological inactivation of sensory thalamic neurons11,12,13 or cortical deafferentation (i.e., from thalamic, but also brainstem, inputs) inhibits local UP-state initiation in rodents and cats14,15,16,17. Considering that slow waves in the deafferented cortical mantle have different dynamics (for example, lower frequencies and prolonged Down-state duration) than ones recording from intact brain tissue18,19, together these studies suggest a contribution of the thalamus to the temporal coordination of cortical slow waves during sleep.

Current understanding of the synaptic and cellular mechanisms underlying cortical slow waves stems primarily from investigations into sensory thalamocortical circuits15,20,21. However, the cortical heterogeneity22,23, prefrontal cortex origin24,25, and brain-wide traveling26,27 of cortical slow waves suggest the involvement of nonsensory thalamic nuclei, in particular midline and higher-order nuclei. Indeed, excitatory drive from the midline thalamus has emerged as an essential hub for control of cortical excitability28,29, NREM sleep consolidation30,31, and consciousness32; however, its precise role in sleep–wake control remains unclear.

We reasoned that midline thalamic neurons may play an important role in pacing cortical UP states during sleep and possibly wakefulness. The mammalian midline thalamus consists of five nuclei that receive extensive input from the brainstem—including from adrenergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic neurons33,34—and from the hypothalamus35, hippocampus36, and prefrontal cortex37. In turn, they project to the cingulate (CING) and insular cortex, as well as to the amygdala, zona incerta (ZI), and striatum38,39,40.

We therefore investigated the role of midline thalamic neurons in the control of cortical UP states during NREM sleep, sleep–wake behavioral transitions, and sleep recovery using multsite tetrode recordings and optogenetic perturbation in freely behaving mice. We found that burst firing of CMT neurons controlled the onset of CING UP states, while their tonic firing triggered awakening from NREM sleep. Furthermore, we show that brain-wide synchrony of cortical UP states was dependent on a higher-order thalamic relay and promoted sleep recovery. Together, our findings identify a dual role for medial and dorsal thalamic neuron firing in both sleep and wake states.

Results

CMT neurons are phase advanced to the cortical UP state. First, to identify the temporal dynamics of neuron firing from distinct midline thalamic nuclei, we simultaneously recorded the electroencephalogram, electromyogram, local field potentials (LFPs), and unit activity using linear-array electrodes across spontaneous sleep–wake states in freely moving wild-type mice (Fig. 1a,b, Supplementary Fig. 1, and Methods). Notably, we found that CMT neuron activity was significantly phase-advanced to the onset of the UP state underlying the slow wave in CING during NREM (as measured by the half-time of sigmoidal fits of their neuronal activity) in mice (Fig. 1c,d, Supplementary Fig. 1, and Methods).

The non-overlapping connectivity map between the midline and lateral (sensory) thalami suggests distinct functions in cortical control and sleep. To discriminate between these two regions in the control of local UP states in CING and global arousal, we compared neuron spiking activity concurrently in the CMT and ventrobasal complex (VB; CMT: n = 8; VB: n = 8 cells; n = 6 animals) using multisite tetrode recordings (Fig. 2a and see Methods). Both CMT and VB neuron spike rates were strongly modulated across sleep–wake states with high activity during rapid eye movement (REM) sleep (CMT: 25.9 ± 1.6 spikes per s; VB: 35.3 ± 4.1 spikes per s).
CMT neurons are phase-advanced to behavioral and sleep–wake transitions. Previous investigations of thalamic control of cortical state have focused on primary sensory thalamocortical "loops", but others have suggested different roles for midline sensory thalamic nuclei of different modalities, namely arousal and attention (CMT), sensory (VB), and higher-order thalami across sleep–wake states. In agreement with the phase advancement of CMT

over VB neurons at the onset of cortical UP states, we showed that CMT neuron spiking rates were also advanced over VB neurons during global NREM-to-wake transitions (NREM-to-wake: CMT: −9.2 ± 2.9 ms; VB: 25.9 ± 8.2 ms; P = 0.027, t = 6.39; d.f. = 6; wake-to-NREM: CMT: −54.8 ± 14.2 ms; VB: 5.6 ± 6.2 ms; P = 0.0051, t = 4.16; d.f. = 6; two-sided t test; Fig. 2h) and Supplementary Fig. 2). Note that immediately after awakening, CMT and VB neuron spike rates both increased substantially above those seen during wake maintenance and returned to baseline values after ~10 s of wakefulness (Fig. 2h). Collectively, these results show that CMT and VB neurons are differentially modulated across sleep–wake states, suggesting distinct functions in controlling cortical excitability.

Activation of CMT but not VB neurons induces rapid wakefulness. Given the phase advancement of the CMT over central and lateral (VB) thalamic neurons during sleep–wake transitions, we tested whether optogenetic activation of CMT neurons induced awakening from natural sleep. To assess this, we targeted the expression of Channelrhodopsin (ChR2-EYFP) to excitatory cells in the CMT or VB from natural sleep. To assess this, we targeted the expression of Channelrhodopsin (ChR2-EYFP) to excitatory cells in the CMT or VB using stereotactic injection of a CaMKII-ChR2-EYFP or CaMKII-EYFP (control) adeno-associated virus 2 (AAV2; Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 3, and see Methods). We then optogenetically activated these areas at a range of frequencies (5–20 Hz; 473 nm) 10 s after the onset of NREM (Fig. 3a,b and Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5 for fidelity responses; see Methods and ref. 3). We found that optogenetic activation of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons produced rapid awakening (see Methods) from NREM at all frequencies tested, as compared to control conditions.
Fig. 2 | CMT neuron spiking is phase-advanced to sensory thalamus and to sleep–wake transitions. a, Schematic of instrumentation for chronic simultaneous EEG, EMG, and tetrode recording in CMT and VB in freely moving mice. b, Averaged neuron spike rates ± s.e.m. of CMT (black) and VB (red) neurons across sleep–wake states (wake: \( p=0.032; t=3.71; \) d.f. = 5; NREM: \( p=0.041; t=3.00; \) d.f. = 5; REM: \( p=0.0087; t=4.55; \) d.f. = 5; \( n=8 \) cells per nucleus from 6 animals; one-sided t test). c, d, Averaged interspike intervals (ISI) of CMT (c) and VB (d) neurons during wake (black), NREM (blue), and REM (green) sleep states. Note the sharp peak due to the bursting activity of thalamic neurons during NREM. e, Representative EEG–EMG traces and slow oscillations (<1 Hz) are shown. f, Representative EEG–EMG traces and filtered delta (1–4 Hz) activity for one representative cell each in CMT (black) and VB (red) recorded concurrently from the same animal over 300 successive NREM-to-wake transitions during a 6-h electrophysiological recording (Zeitgeber time 3–9). g, Representative hypnogram, EEG–EMG, delta (filtered 1–4 Hz), and CMT and VB neuronal spiking activity across NREM-to-wake transitions. Raster plots show spiking activity for one representative cell each in CMT (black) and VB (red) recorded concurrently from the same animal over 300 successive NREM-to-wake transitions during a 6-h electrophysiological recording (Zeitgeber time 3–9). Note that data was scored in 1-s epochs and microarousals were scored as wake events. Neural firing rates ± s.e.m. for CMT (\( n=8 \) cells; black) and VB (\( n=8 \) cells; red; from \( n=6 \) animals) neurons are shown across NREM-to-wake transitions (bottom). Vertical lines (red dashed) indicate the onset of wakefulness. i, Averaged lags ± s.e.m. of CMT (black) and VB (red) neuron spike rates (solid) and LFPs (open) at the onset of the cortical UP states (red dashed line). Note the advancement of CMT neuron spiking to cortical UP states compared to VB neuron spiking (\( *p=0.0008; \) two-sided t test; \( t=9.7; \) d.f. = 6; one-sided t test).
Single-light-pulse activation of CMT neurons increased the likelihood of NREM–wake transitions in a stimulus-duration-dependent manner (effective dose for 50% awakening (ED$_{50}$) = 255 ± 23.7 ms; $n = 6$ animals; Fig. 3e).

To identify the circuitry involved in CMT neuron-induced awakening, we mapped the CMT efferents using ChR2-assisted mapping by targeting the expression of ChR2-EYFP to excitatory CMT neuron axon terminals (left) and representative photomicrographs of coronal brain sections showing of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT axon terminals (right) in CING, insular cortex, and ZI (minimum of 10 stimulations per frequency per animal, $n = 5$ animals per group). Note that stimulation of CING in nontransfected control animals did not induce awakening (***$P = 0.00013; F = 2567$; d.f. = 2; two-way ANOVA).
cells in the CMT neurons of wild type mice stereotactically injected with a CaMKII-ChR2-EYFP AAV2 (Supplementary Fig. 3 and see Methods). Consistent with previous studies\(^5\)\(^6\), axonal projections to cortical areas were restricted to CING and insular cortices, while few subcortical terminals were found in the striatum, amygdala, and ZI (Supplementary Fig. 3). No retrogradely labeled cell bodies were found distant from the injection site.

We further showed that optogenetic activation of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT axon terminals in CING during NREM recapitulated the rapid awakening seen upon CMT cell-body activation \((P = 0.00013; F = 2567; \text{d.f.} = 2; \text{two-way ANOVA}; n = 5 \text{ animals}; \text{Fig. 3f and see Methods})\), while no changes were observed upon activation of insular cortex or ZI \((P > 0.05; \text{insular cortex: } n = 5 \text{ animals}; \text{ZI: } n = 5 \text{ animals}; \text{Fig. 3g,h and Supplementary Table 3})\). Light stimulation of CING in nontransfected control animals did not hasten awakening \((5 \text{Hz}: 54.1 \pm 1.6 s; 20 \text{Hz}: 55.3 \pm 1.7 s; 54.0 \pm 1.3; \text{CING}–\text{control versus insular cortex or ZI: } P > 0.05; \text{one-sided } t \text{ test}; n = 6 \text{ animals}; \text{Supplementary Table 3})\). Notably, layer 5 neurons in CING showed a high-fidelity response to 1-, 5-, and 20-Hz trains of optical stimulation of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons (Supplementary Fig. 4). Optogenetic activation of ChR2-EYFP-expressing VB neurons elicited responses only in barrel cortex (BARR) and did not alter CING neuronal activity (Supplementary Fig. 5). These results show that tonic firing duration, rather than frequency, of CMT neuron activity controls NREM–wake transitions, while VB neurons seem to have minimal involvement in sleep–wake state control.

Brain-wide synchronization of CMT neuron-induced UP-like states is dependent on a dorsal thalamic relay. CMT neuron firing was phase-advanced to cortical UP states (Fig. 2). This raises the question of whether CMT neurons actually contribute to the initiation of UP states and, if so, through what neural circuit. Consistent with previous studies\(^5\)\(^6\), we found no CMT neuron projections in posterior neocortical areas, other areas of the thalamus (except the ZI), or in the brainstem (Supplementary Fig. 3), leaving open the possibility of either corticocortical or cortico-thalamocortical\(^5\)\(^6\) spreading of excitatory signals supporting the propagation of UP states\(^8\). To test for the presence of a thalamic relay, we first mapped CING neuron efferents by targeting the expression of ChR2-mCherry to excitatory cells in the CING (layer 5) of wild-type mice by stereotactic injection of a CaMKII-Chr2-mCherry AAV2 in the same anteroposterior segment in which we had found afferents from CMT neurons (Supplementary Fig. 6 and see Methods).

We found sparse, short-range cortical projections to M1 area and diffuse, low-intensity projections throughout most of the lateral and reticular thalamic nuclei, suggesting that propagation of activity from the CMT–CING network may reach distant cortices via an indirect thalamic route (Supplementary Fig. 6). Indeed, the densest terminals were observed in the anterodorsal nucleus of the thalamus (AD; Supplementary Fig. 6), suggesting a relay function for those cells. Unlike CMT cells, AD neurons have broad cortical projections\(^8\) and therefore represent a potential candidate for initiating widespread cortical UP states. Supporting this, we mapped AD efferents by targeting the expression of archaerhodopsin (ArchT-EYFP) to excitatory cells in AD of wild-type mice stereotactically injected with a CaMKII-ArchT-EYFP AAV2 and found extensive ipsilateral projections, possibly via an axonal tract ventral to the laterodorsal thalamus, across most areas of the posterior cortex in both layers 1 and 4, including the visual cortex (VIS), as well as the retrosplenial cortex as shown previously\(^8\) (Supplementary Fig. 7).

To demonstrate the relay nature of AD neurons within the CMT–CING–AD–VIS circuit, we used a combinatorial optogenetic approach, targeting expression of ChR2 and ArchT to excitatory CMT and AD neurons using stereotactic injection of CaMKII-Chr2-EYFP and CaMKII-ArchT-EYFP AAV2s, respectively. Optical fibers were chronically implanted dorsal to the CMT and AD areas, and neuron activity and LFPs were simultaneously recorded from CMT, AD, CING (layer 5), BARR (layer 5), and VIS (layer 5) areas using multisite tetrode recordings in freely moving animals (Fig. 4a,b and see Methods). We recorded neurons in layer 5 as it is the proposed site of cortically generated slow oscillations and the locus of corticofugal projections\(^9\). We first found that CMT, CING, AD, BARR, and VIS spiking rates were all modulated across sleep–wake states (Supplementary Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 1).

Confirming our initial findings (Fig. 1), phase-locking values—determined from \(t_0\) values indicated that a CMT–CING network may initiate cortical UP states (CMT: \(P < 0.01; t = 4.430; \text{d.f.} = 7; n = 9 \text{ cells}; \text{CING: } P < 0.05; t = 4.08; \text{d.f.} = 7; \text{one-sided } t \text{ test}; n = 8 \text{ cells}; n = 6 \text{ animals}; \text{Supplementary Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 1})\), as has been suggested based on recordings in anesthetized rats\(^5\). We showed that optogenetic burst-like activation of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons consistently induced UP-like states in the CING cortex (Fig. 4c,d, Supplementary Fig. 9, and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). We found no difference between spontaneous or optogenetically induced slow-wave activity, as measured by average duration of UP and Down states in CING (Fig. 4e–g; UP: \(P = 0.45; t = 0.87; \text{d.f.} = 7; \text{Down}: P = 0.56; t = 0.66; \text{d.f.} = 7; \text{two-sided } t \text{ test}; n = 8 \text{ animals})\). Notably, temporal lags of UP-like states between CMT, CING, AD, and VIS cells suggested a serial, rather than parallel, pathway (CMT-to-CING: \(P < 0.00009; t = 9.18; \text{d.f.} = 8; \text{CING-to-AD: } P = 0.000017; t = 22.35; \text{d.f.} = 8; \text{AD-to-BARR: } P = 0.0068; t = 3.621; \text{d.f.} = 8; \text{AD-to-VIS: } P = 0.0094; t = 7.18; \text{d.f.} = 8; \text{BARR-to-VIS: } P = 0.64; t = 0.49; \text{d.f.} = 8; \text{one-sided } t \text{ test}; \text{Fig. 4h and Supplementary Table 1})\). To further confirm this finding, we showed that optogenetic silencing of ArchT-EYFP-expressing AD neurons completely prevented the induction of UP-like states in VIS neurons by optogenetic driving of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons (Fig. 4d). Consistent with the low coherence between midline and lateral sensory thalamic circuit activity, UP-like states in BARR were minimally affected by CMT neuron activation and AD neuron silencing in this experiment (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 4). Notably, unilateral optical silencing of AD significantly prolonged the duration of NREM episode duration (spontaneous versus unilateral silencing, \(P = 0.0014; \text{spontaneous versus bilateral silencing}, P = 0.0025; \text{one-sided } t \text{ test}; \text{Supplementary Fig. 10})\).

To determine the contributions of each hub of the CMT–CING–AD–VIS network, we targeted expression of ArchT-EYFP to excitatory neurons of CMT, CING, and AD in separate cohorts of animals, by stereotactic injection of CaMKII-ArchT-EYFP or CaMKII-EYFP AAV2s (control) and optogenetically silencing ArchT-EYFP-expressing neurons during NREM sleep (Fig. 5a,b,j,o). Consistent with our optogenetic activation results, silencing of ArchT-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons decreased synchrony (as measured by the slope of modulation and the onset of cortical UP state) in layer 5 CING and VIS neurons, but not in layer 5 BARR cortex neurons (CING: \(P = 0.008; t = 2.66; \text{d.f.} = 16; n = 9 \text{ cells}); BARR: \(P = 0.96; t = 0.05; \text{d.f.} = 10; n = 6 \text{ cells}; \text{VIS: } P = 0.002; t = 3.87; \text{d.f.} = 14; n = 8 \text{ cells}; \text{from } n = 6 \text{ animals}; \text{two-sided } t \text{ test}; \text{Fig. 5c–f})\). Notably, optogenetic silencing of CMT neurons also reduced phase synchrony between CMT, CING, AD, and VIS in ArchT-EYFP-expressing animals compared to controls (Fig. 5g.h). This was accompanied by a decrease of CING slow-wave power \((P = 0.008; t = 4.809; \text{d.f.} = 5; \text{Fig. 5i})\) that was consistently followed by a rebound in slow-wave power \((P = 0.005; \text{two-sided } t \text{ test}; t = 5.02; \text{d.f.} = 5; n = 6 \text{ animals}; \text{Fig. 5i})\).

We further found that optogenetic silencing of CMT neurons reduced synchrony in VIS neurons \((P = 0.014; \text{two-sided } t \text{ test}; t = 3.99; \text{d.f.} = 4), \text{but not BARR neurons: } (P = 0.86; t = 1.02; \text{d.f.} = 4; n = 6 \text{ cells}; \text{from } n = 5 \text{ animals}; \text{two-sided } t \text{ test}; \text{Fig. 5j–m})\), and decreased normalized slow-wave power in VIS \((P = 0.036; \text{two-sided } t \text{ test})\).
Fig. 4 | AD neurons relay CMT-induced UP-like states to posterior cortical areas. a, Schematic of instrumentation for chronic implantation of multisite tetrode recordings from CMT, CING, AD, BARR, and VIS and optic fiber implants over CMT and AD (bilaterally). AAV2-CaMKII-ChR2-EYFP and AAV2-CaMKII-ArchT-EYFP were stereotactically injected into CMT and AD (bilaterally), respectively. b, Representative photomicrographs of coronal brain sections showing ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons (left) and ArchT-EYFP expressing AD neurons (right). Scale bar, 100 μm. c, Experimental timeline showing blue optical activation of UP-like states (5-ms pulses, 300 ms ON, 100 ms OFF, 10-s duration) in CMT neurons and green optical silencing (3 s) of AD neurons, delivered 10 s after the onset of NREM. d, Averaged traces ± s.e.m. of CMT (n = 9 cells), CING (n = 8 cells), AD (n = 9 cells), BARR (n = 9 cells), and VIS (n = 8 cells; from n = 6 animals) neuron spiking activity (black) and LFP voltage (red) during combinatorial optogenetic experiments. Note the high fidelity of CMT-induced UP-like states traveling along the CING-AD-VIS pathway and the complete blockade of spike transfer to VIS upon AD silencing (arrow). An expanded view of the traces illustrating the lag of entrainment is shown on the right. e, Representative spike waveforms for spontaneous (black) and CMT-evoked (blue) neuronal firing in CMT, CING, AD, BARR, and VIS. f, Average waveforms ± s.e.m. of spontaneous (black) and CMT-evoked (blue) UP states in CMT, CING, AD, BARR, and VIS (n = 8 animals). g, Average durations of spontaneous (black) and evoked (blue) UP and Down states in CING (UP: t = 0.45; t = 0.87; d.f. = 7; Down: t = 0.56; t = 0.66; d.f. = 7; two-sided t test; n = 8 animals; ns = not significant). h, Averaged neuron spiking and LFP lags ± s.e.m. from CMT (red), CING (blue), AD (yellow), BARR (green), and VIS (orange) upon optical activation of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons. (CMT-to-CING: ***P = 0.00009, t = 9.18, d.f. = 8; CMT-to-AD: **P = 0.00017, t = 22.35, d.f. = 8; AD-to-BARR: **P = 0.0068, t = 3.621, d.f. = 8; AD-to-VIS: **P = 0.0094, t = 7.18, d.f. = 8; BARR-to-VIS: P = 0.64; t = 0.49; d.f. = 8; one-sided t test; ns = not significant).
Fig. 5 | CMT neuron silencing is necessary for cortical UP-state synchrony. a, Experimental timeline showing optical silencing (10 s, 532 nm) of ArchT-expressing CMT neurons 10 s after the onset of NREM. b, Schematic of N-type circuit and instrumentation for chronic implantation of multisite tetrode recordings from CING, BARR, and VIS and optic fiber implants over CMT. AAV2-CaMKII-ArchT-EYFP was stereotactically injected into CMT. c–e, Average spiking rates ± s.e.m. for CING (c; n = 9 cells), BARR (d; n = 6 cells), and VIS (e; n = 8 cells; from n = 6 animals) neurons at the onset of the cortical UP states (red dashed line). Note that silencing of EYFP-expressing CMT neurons (control) did not significantly change spiking rates in CING ($P = 0.44$; t = 1.74; d.f. = 16; n = 9 cells; n = 6 animals; two-sided t test). f, Average slope ± s.e.m. of curve fits for spiking rates at the start cortical UP state (CING: **$P = 0.008$; t = 2.66; d.f. = 16; n = 9 cells; BARR: $P = 0.96$; t = 0.05; d.f. = 10; n = 6 cells; VIS: **$P = 0.002$; t = 3.87; d.f. = 14; n = 8 cells; from n = 6 animals; two-sided t test). g, h, Averaged change in phase coherence for CMT, CING, AD, BARR, and VIS for optical silencing of CMT neurons expressing ArchT-EYFP (g) and EYFP (h). i, Averaged delta power ± s.e.m. of LFP signals recorded in CING 10 s before, during, and 10 s after optogenetic silencing of ArchT- (green) or EYFP-expressing (black) CMT neurons compared to control conditions (gray). Delta power is normalized to the first 10 s of NREM. Note the rebound in delta activity after CMT neuron silencing (dotted red line: *$P = 0.005$; two-sided t test; t = 5.02; d.f. = 5; n = 6 animals compared to normalized value of 1). j, Schematic of N-type circuit and instrumentation for chronic implantation of multisite tetrode recordings from BARR and VIS and optic fiber implants over CING. AAV2-CaMKII-ArchT-EYFP was stereotactically injected into CING. k–l, Average spiking rates ± s.e.m. for BARR (k; n = 6 cells) and VIS (l; n = 6 cells; from n = 5 animals) neurons at the onset of the cortical UP states (red dashed line). m, Average slope ± s.e.m. of curve fits for spiking rates at the onset of cortical UP states (BARR: $P = 0.86$; t = 1.02; VIS: **$P = 0.014$; t = 3.99; d.f. = 4; two-sided t test). n, Averaged delta power ± s.e.m. in VIS 10 s before, during, and 10 s after optogenetic silencing of ArchT-expressing CING neurons (green; *$P = 0.036$; one-sided t test; t = 3.99; d.f. = 3; one-sided t test; normalized to 5 animals; n = 6 animals; control conditions). Delta power is normalized to the first 10 s of NREM. Note the rebound in delta activity after CMT neuron silencing (dotted red line: *$P = 0.039$; one-sided t test; t = 3.45; d.f. = 3; one-sided t test; compared to normalized value of 1). o, Schematic of N-type circuit and instrumentation for chronic implantation of multisite tetrode recordings from BARR and VIS and optic fiber implants over AD. AAV2-CaMKII-ArchT-EYFP was stereotactically injected into AD. p–q, Average spiking rates ± s.e.m. for BARR (p; n = 9 cells) and VIS (q; n = 10 cells; from n = 6 animals) neurons at the onset of the cortical UP states (red dashed line). r, Average slope ± s.e.m. of curve fits for spiking rates at the start of the cortical UP state (BARR: $P = 0.75$; t = 1.73; d.f. = 7; n = 9 cells; VIS: **$P = 0.036$; t = 6.84; d.f. = 8; n = 10 cells; n = 6 animals; two-sided t test). s, Averaged delta power ± s.e.m. in VIS 10 s before, during, and 10 s after optogenetic silencing of ArchT-expressing AD neurons (green) and control conditions (gray). Delta power is normalized to the first 10 s of NREM. Note the rebound in delta activity after CMT neuron silencing (dotted red line; *$P = 0.011$; t = 4.63; d.f. = 4; n = 6 animals; one-sided t test; compared to normalized value of 1).
Induce awakening. Similarly, optogenetic silencing of AD neurons reduced driving of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons (Supplementary Fig. 9). Accordingly, we found that optogenetic silencing of ArchT-EYFP-expressing CING neurons completely prevented the induction of UP-like states in AD and VIS cortical neurons by optogenetic stimulation of CMT neurons expressing ChR2-EYFP (blue), EYFP (black), and control conditions (gray). Delta power is normalized to the first 10 s of NREM (*P = 0.0006; t = 7.59; d.f. = 5; n = 6 animals; two-sided t test).

In accordance with the proposed role of the CMT in generating cortical UP states, UP-like states induced by burst-like optogenetic stimulation of CMT neurons (Fig. 6a,b) resulted in increased firing synchrony in CING and VIS across cortical UP states (CING: P = 0.0004; t = 3.29; d.f. = 16; n = 8 cells; BARR: P = 0.62; t = 0.51; d.f. = 12; n = 6 cells; VIS: **P = 0.013; t = 2.58; d.f. = 14; n = 7 cells; from n = 6 animals; two-sided t test). Averaged change in phase coherence for CMT, CING, AD, BARR, and VIS blue optical activation of CMT neurons expressing ChR2-EYFP (g) and EYFP (h). Averaged delta power ± s.e.m. in CING 10 s before, during, and after optogenetic activation of CMT neurons expressing ChR2-EYFP (blue), EYFP (black), and control conditions (gray). Delta power is normalized to the first 10 s of NREM (*P = 0.0006; t = 7.59; d.f. = 5; n = 6 animals; two-sided t test).

Fig. 6 | CMT neuron firing increases synchrony of cortical UP states. a. Experimental timeline showing optogenetic activation of UP-like states (5 ms, 300 ms ON, 100 ms OFF, 10 s duration) in CMT neurons delivered 10 s after the onset of NREM. b. Schematic of N-type circuit and instrumentation for chronic implantation of multielectrode recordings from CING, BARR, and VIS and optic fiber implants over CMT. AAV2-CaMKII-ChR2-EYFP was stereotactically injected into CMT. c–e. Average spiking rates ± s.e.m. for CING (c; n = 8 cells), BARR (d; n = 6 cells), and VIS (e; n = 7 cells; from n = 6 animals) neurons at the onset of the cortical UP states (red dashed line). Spontaneous, black; evoked: blue. f. Average slope ± s.e.m. of curve fits for spiking rates at the start of the cortical UP state (CING: **P = 0.004; t = 3.29; d.f. = 16; n = 8 cells; BARR: P = 0.62; t = 0.51; d.f. = 12; n = 6 cells; VIS: **P = 0.013; t = 2.58; d.f. = 14; n = 7 cells; from n = 6 animals; two-sided t test). g,h. Averaged change in phase coherence for CMT, CING, AD, BARR, and VIS for blue optical activation of CMT neurons expressing ChR2-EYFP (g) and EYFP (h). i. Averaged delta power ± s.e.m. in CING 10 s before, during, and after optogenetic activation of CMT neurons expressing ChR2-EYFP (blue), EYFP (black), and control conditions (gray). Delta power is normalized to the first 10 s of NREM (*P = 0.0006; t = 7.59; d.f. = 5; n = 6 animals; two-sided t test).

One-sided t test; t = 3.99; d.f. = 3, from n = 5 animals; Fig. 5n). Accordingly, we found that optogenetic silencing of ArchT-EYFP-expressing CING neurons completely prevented the induction of UP-like states in AD and VIS cortical neurons by optogenetic driving of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons (Supplementary Fig. 9). Similarly, optogenetic silencing of AD neurons reduced synchrony in VIS neurons (P = 0.036; two-sided t test; t = 6.84, d.f. = 8; n = 10 cells), but not BARR neurons (P = 0.75; n = 9 cells; from n = 6 animals; Fig. 5o–r), and decreased normalized slow-wave power in VIS (P = 0.011; t = 4.63; d.f. = 4; from n = 6 animals; one-sided t test; Fig. 5s). Optogenetic silencing did not induce awakening.

This was accompanied by an increased phase synchrony between CMT, CING, AD, and VIS in ChR2-EYFP-expressing animals compared to controls (Fig. 6g,h). We further found a significant increase in CING slow-wave power (P = 0.0006; t = 7.59; d.f. = 5; two-sided t test; n = 6 animals; Fig. 6i). Optogenetically evoked
UP-like states during NREM did not induce awakening. These results suggest that CMT neurons contribute to the initiation of UP states in CING, which propagate to VIS via AD relay cells. Note that this does not take account of isolated cortical slow waves originating in parietal or occipital cortices.13,19

CMT neuron activity promotes sleep recovery. During sleep recovery following a period of sleep deprivation, slow-wave power and cortical synchrony are increased15,20, a measure of sleep pressure. In this context, traveling of slow waves has been suggested to promote sleep,21, but direct involvement of mediodorsal thalamocortical networks in sleep recovery has yet to be investigated. We therefore investigated the thalamic contribution to sleep recovery. We measured LFPs and spiking activity during sleep recovery following 4-h sleep deprivation in freely moving mice (Fig. 7a and see Methods). During spontaneous recovery sleep, we found that slow-wave power (normalized to power during baseline sleep) was significantly increased in the CMT (2.0 ± 0.3; \( P < 0.05; t = 3.7; d.f. = 4 \)), CING (2.7 ± 0.4; \( P > 0.05; t = 4.1; d.f. = 4 \)), AD (2.7 ± 0.5; \( P < 0.05; t = 3.5; d.f. = 4 \)), and VIS (2.3 ± 0.3; \( P < 0.05; t = 3.9; d.f. = 4 \)), but it was not significantly increased in BARR (1.0 ± 0.1; \( P < 0.05; t = 0.6; d.f. = 4 \); one-sided t test; Supplementary Fig. 11 and see below and Discussion). Consistent with this finding, we found a significantly increased synchrony of neuron spiking, revealed by the slope of their curve fits at the onset of the cortical UP state,22, within CMT (\( P < 0.05; t = 12.12; d.f. = 12 \)), CING (\( P < 0.05; t = 4.36; d.f. = 14 \)), AD (\( P < 0.05; t = 4.78; d.f. = 14 \)), and VIS (\( P < 0.05; t = 4.53; d.f. = 14 \); one-sided t test) during sleep recovery, but reduced for neurons in BARR (\( P < 0.05; t = 4.11; d.f. = 14 \); Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12). Notably, CMT neurons conserved their phase advancement over recorded cortical, midline, and sensory thalamic neurons during sleep recovery (Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12). Note that VB, but not CMT, LFPs recordings displayed sleep-like activity—i.e., large-amplitude, low-frequency oscillations—when animals were awake during the sleep deprivation procedure, as evidenced by the increased slow-wave power (Supplementary Fig. 12f,g).

To test whether perturbation of midline thalamus activity alters sleep recovery, we induced cortical slow-wave-like activity by burst-like optogenetic activation of CMT neurons and measured the subsequent slow-wave power in CING during the sleep recovery following 4-h sleep deprivation (Fig. 7a). To exclude direct optogenetic network effects, quantification was conducted on NREM episodes in which no optical stimulation was delivered (Fig. 7a and see Methods). We found that inducing slow-wave-like activity by optogenetic activation of ChR2-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons hastened the sleep recovery process, as measured by a faster reduction in slow-wave power and return to baseline levels (\( P < 0.035; t = 4.59; d.f. = 7 \); one-way ANOVA; \( n = 6 \) animals; Fig. 7b). In contrast, optogenetic silencing of ArchT-EYFP-expressing CMT neurons retarded the process (\( P < 0.027; t = 3.86; d.f. = 5 \); one-way ANOVA; \( n = 6 \) animals; Fig. 7c). Simultaneous optogenetic activation and silencing of CMT and AD neurons, respectively, resulted in no change from control animals (i.e., no stimulation; \( P = 0.25; t = 1.74; d.f. = 5 \); one-way ANOVA; \( n = 6 \) animals; Fig. 7d). Finally, optogenetic silencing of CNG neurons similarly delayed the sleep recovery process (\( P = 0.013; t = 5.68; d.f. = 4 \); one-way ANOVA; \( n = 5 \) animals; Fig. 7e). These results indicate that the sleep recovery process is dependent on synchronized activity throughout the CMT–CING–AD–VIS circuit and the brain-wide propagation of slow waves.
Discussion
An intact midline thalamus is required for proper brain function during both wakefulness and sleep in rodents\textsuperscript{12} and humans\textsuperscript{13}. Indeed, CMT damage is associated with disturbances of arousal, cognition, and sleep\textsuperscript{13}, while electrical stimulation of the midline thalamic nuclei, including the CMT, improves behavioral responsiveness in minimally conscious patients\textsuperscript{14}.

CMT neurons are centrally positioned to contribute to cortical UP and Down states, arousal, and consciousness in the mammalian brain. Both the intrinsic and extrinsic control of CMT neuronal firing remains poorly understood, but pharmacological activation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and inhibition of potassium channels in the CMT both cause emergence from anesthesia in rats\textsuperscript{15}. This effect was not observed with activation of other midline thalamic nuclei. Together with our results, this suggests that the CMT is a strong modulator of cortical arousal, although whether other midline thalamic nuclei can cause cortical activation remains to be investigated. CMT neurons receive inputs from adrenergic, serotonergic\textsuperscript{16}, GABAergic\textsuperscript{17}, and cholinergic\textsuperscript{18} neurons. Unlike dorsal and sensory thalamic neurones\textsuperscript{17}, they are more susceptible to depolarization by external inputs originating from subcortical areas, since they do not possess the hyperpolarization-activated ($I_h$) current. Altogether, this suggests that other intrinsic currents leave them susceptible to synaptic inputs\textsuperscript{19}. In turn, neurons from the midline thalamus, and the CMT in particular, have extensive projections to the frontal cortex and striatum\textsuperscript{20}.

Here we demonstrate a dual-control function of excitatory CMT neurons over sleep slow waves and awakening from NREM sleep, depending on the firing pattern and duration of firing of CMT neurons. Optogenetic tonic activation of CMT neurons reliably induced rapid awakening from NREM when the duration of the optical stimulation was longer than 500 ms, while stimulation mimicking spontaneous burst firing triggered slow-wave-like activity and enhanced cortical synchrony but not awakening. During NREM, CMT neurons contribute to the initiation of cortical UP states in the CING that are synchronized over brain-wide cortical circuits by thalamic AD relay cells; however, despite the accurate anatomical location of our recording sites, genetic targeting of opsin expression extended beyond the anatomical boundaries of CMT and AD (i.e., rhomboideus, intermediodorsal nucleus, and laterodorsal thalamus, respectively), and therefore we cannot rule out some contribution of these structures in brain-wide synchronization. Our circuit supports the onset and synchrony of frontal cortical slow waves, but some isolated slow waves may also travel from posterior areas\textsuperscript{21} and propagate via corticocortical pathways\textsuperscript{22}. These findings suggest that CMT and AD neurons precisely synchronize local\textsuperscript{23} and global\textsuperscript{24} cortical circuits and may therefore support cognitive processes during NREM sleep, such as slow-wave-dependent memory consolidation\textsuperscript{25}. Indeed, neurodegeneration and low functional MRI activity in AD are associated with high-frequency electroencephalogram oscillations, less cortical synchronization, and reduced amplitude of sleep slow waves\textsuperscript{26} in patients with schizophrenia\textsuperscript{27} and Alzheimer’s disease\textsuperscript{28}. Thus, hypoactivity of AD neurons impairs both the quality and quantity of sleep and may support learning and memory consolidation during sleep via anteroposterior large-scale integration of information during consciousness\textsuperscript{29,30}.

We propose that these frontally generated cortical UP states propagate along an ‘N-type’ CMT–CING–AD–VIS (i.e., thalamo-cortico-thalamo-cortical) excitatory pathway that is distinct from classical thalamocortical loops in primary somatosensory feedback circuits (for example, VB–BARR cortex loop)\textsuperscript{31,32}. This pathway is important for long-range cortical synchrony of frontally generated slow waves during NREM, sleep recovery, and awakening from NREM through a polysynaptic feedforward pathway that is likely to involve local feedback circuits.

At the cortical level, our results are consistent with the frontal origin of cortical slow waves and their anteroposterior propagation in the mammalian brain\textsuperscript{33}, as well as the minimal perturbations of cortical lesions on long-range neocortical connectivity\textsuperscript{34} and the confinement of traveling slow waves to the frontal cortex\textsuperscript{35,36}. Our study provides evidence for an important contribution of the thalamus to the onset of cortical UP states. The neocortex is capable of generating slow waves when thalamic inputs have been abolished\textsuperscript{37,38}, however, the frequency of the oscillation is different between in vivo and in vitro preparations\textsuperscript{20}, suggesting the importance of extrinsic inputs in the timing of slow-wave generation. Furthermore, one study demonstrated that cortical slow-wave activity is transiently reduced during acute in vivo thalamic lesioning, recovering several days afterwards\textsuperscript{39}, while other studies demonstrate absence of effect of acute midline and intralaminar thalamus\textsuperscript{40} or chronic lesions\textsuperscript{41} on slow-wave or fast cortical activity, suggesting the existence of extrathalamic mechanisms that can support slow wave integrity, sleep–wake cycles, and overall arousal. Collectively these data, together with the present study, implicate the midline and dorsal thalamus in the timing and synchrony of cortical slow waves in the healthy brain and provide an avenue for the investigation of NREM-dependent cognitive processes such as memory consolidation\textsuperscript{42}.

During recovery sleep, the amplitude of cortical slow waves is correlated with increased synchrony of pyramidal neuron spiking\textsuperscript{43} (but see ref. 44). Our present data further implicate subcortical structures, in particular thalamic circuits, as previously suggested by the increased slow-wave activity upon disinhibition of reticular thalamic neurons in mice\textsuperscript{45}. Consistent with this, the N-type circuit provides a network mechanism for regulating increased cortical neuron spiking synchrony and emphasizes a role for midline and higher-order thalamic neurons in the sleep recovery process. Within the somatosensory VB–BARR loop, the absence of increased slow-wave power during recovery sleep may reflect the sustained slow-wave activity during quiet sleep-deprived wakefulness, which may prevent the accumulation of sleep pressure. This latter observation further supports the distinct anatomical and functional role of midline and sensory thalamus in sleep control.

Notably, neurons from CMT and AD, as well as all cortical neurones recorded, showed highest discharge rates during REM sleep\textsuperscript{46}. This may be due to strong cholinergic inputs from the laterodorsal tegmental nucleus to the midline thalamus\textsuperscript{47}. These inputs, and the restricted projections of the mediodorsal thalamus to insular and retrosplenial cortices and the adjacent claustrum\textsuperscript{48}, suggest a role for the mediodorsal thalamus in cortical processing of information during REM or consciousness during sleep, as well as memory consolidation\textsuperscript{49}, but this remains to be experimentally investigated.

Collectively, our results further distinguish the anatomical and functional roles of midline and sensory thalamus during NREM sleep and strongly suggest that burst and tonic firing patterns of CMT neuronal firing exerts dual control of sleep slow waves and NREM–wake transitions, respectively. This implicates CMT and AD neurons in brain-wide synchronization of cortical activity, in particular in the control of frontal and global cortical activity respectively, during sleep and sleep recovery.

Methods
Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0164-7.
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Methods

Animals. We used C57Bl/6 adult male mice (6–14 weeks old) from Charles Rivers Laboratories, Germany. Animals were housed in individual, custom-designed polycarbonate cages at constant temperature (22 ± 1°C), humidity (30–40%), and circadian cycle (12 h light-dark cycle, lights on at 08:00). Food and water were available ad libitum. Animals were treated according to protocols and guidelines approved by the Veterinary Office of the Canton of Bern, Switzerland (license number BE 113/13). Animals were housed in IVC cages in groups of 2–5 before instrumentation and after virus injections. After implantation, all mice were housed individually. Animals were habituated to the recording cable and optical fibers in their open-top home cages (300 × 170 mm) and kept tethered for the duration of the experiments. Animals were allowed to move freely in the cage during in vivo electrophysiology experiments. Before commencing experimental recording, baseline sleep was recorded and compared to previously published results to confirm resumption of a normal sleep–wake cycle at all recording sites.

All recordings were performed during the light period (12:00–16:00). Viral injections were performed at 6–8 weeks of age and instrumentation at 10–12 weeks of age. All recordings were performed from 11–14 weeks of age. No animals were excluded from analysis.

Stereotaxic injection of AAV. Six-week-old C57Bl6 mice were anesthetized in isoflurane (4.0% for induction, 1.0–1.5% for maintenance) in oxygen and mounted in a stereotaxic frame (Model 940, David Kopf Instruments). Saline (10 mL/kg) and meloxicam (5 mg/kg) were given subcutaneously before incision. The skin on the head was shaved and aseptically prepared, and 2 mg/kg lidocaine infused subcutaneously at the incision site. A single longitudinal midline incision was made from the level of the lateral canthus of the eyes to the lambda skull suture. Incisions were performed using a 28-gauge needle (Plastics One) connected by mineral oil-filled tubing to a 10-µL Hamilton syringe in an infusion pump (Model 1200, Harvard Apparatus). Incisions were performed at 0.1 µL/min and the needle left in situ for 10 min afterwards to allow diffusion. Incisions were performed in CMT (AP –1.7 mm, ML ±0.9 mm, DV –3.8 mm, 15°, 100 nL), AD (AP –0.9 mm, ML ±0.8 mm, DV –3.2 mm, 150 nL), and CING (AP ±1.8 mm, ML ±0.2 mm, DV –1.6 mm, 100 nL). Coordinates based on the mouse brain atlas. Animals were randomly assigned to receive either AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-ChR2-EYFP for optical stimulation or AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-EYFP as control for direct retinal stimulation of CMT and VB. For experiments in Fig. 3c,d, animals were randomly assigned to receive injections in either CMT or VB. Silencing of AD or CING was achieved with AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-Arch3.10-EYFP or AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-Chr2-mCherry was used for anatomical tracing of projections from the CING. All plasmids were obtained from University of North Carolina Vector Core Facility. Animals were allowed to recover for 3 weeks before instrumentation or being killed for histology.

Instrumentation. Animals were anesthetized by isoflurane in oxygen and mounted in a stereotaxic frame. Saline (10 mL/kg) and meloxicam (5 mg/kg) were given subcutaneously. The skin on the head was shaved and aseptically prepared, and 2 mg/kg lidocaine infused subcutaneously at the incision site. A single longitudinal midline incision was made from the level of the lateral canthus of the eyes to the lambda skull suture. Three stainless steel screws were placed in the skull to measure EEG (EEG: AP –2.3 mm, ML ±0.8 mm, DV –2.0 mm), and CING (AP ±1.8 mm, ML ±0.2 mm, DV –1.6 mm, 100 nL). Coordinates based on the mouse brain atlas. Animals were randomly assigned to receive either AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-ChR2-EYFP for optical stimulation or AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-EYFP as control for direct retinal stimulation of CMT and VB. For experiments in Fig. 3c,d, animals were randomly assigned to receive injections in either CMT or VB. Silencing of AD or CING was achieved with AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-Arch3.10-EYFP or AAV2-CaMKII-E1a-Chr2-mCherry was used for anatomical tracing of projections from the CING. All plasmids were obtained from University of North Carolina Vector Core Facility. Animals were allowed to recover for 3 weeks before instrumentation or being killed for histology.

Data acquisition. For experiments presented in Fig. 3, mice were connected to a multichannel cable. EEG data was amplified (x1) by an analog amplifier (Model 3500, AM Systems) and digitized at 200 Hz via a digital-analog converter (NIDAQ 6363, National Instruments). For all other recordings, mice were connected to a tethered digitizing headstage (RHD2132, Intan Technologies) and data sampled at 20 kHz recorded in free-open-source software (RHD2000 evaluation software, Intan Technologies). Optical fibers were connected to a patch cord using a zirconia sleeve (Doric Lenses). The patch cords were coated in black fuscation tubing and connections covered in black varnish to prevent ocular stimulation from the laser. Habituation to the cables was performed up to 8 h per day until the animals had nested and resumed a normal sleep–wake cycle. To ensure that a correct sleep–wake cycle had been regained following instrumentation, we recorded a baseline of natural sleep from ZT4 to 9 and compared the results to previously published works (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Table). All optogenetic experiments were performed between ZT8 and ZT16. Optogenetic stimulation was performed with blue light (473 nm) from a laser (LRS-0473-PFM-00100-05, Laserlight Technologies) via a patch cord, 10 s after the start of NREM, judged by an experienced experimenter in real time, as an appropriate latency to consider ongoing NREM sleep episodes as stable as described previously. Optical inhibition was performed with green light (532 nm) from a laser (LRS-0473-PFM-00100-05, Laserlight Technologies) via a patch cord. Laser output was controlled using TTL from a pulse generator (Master-9, AMPI or PulsePal 2, Sanworks). TTL signals were co-acquired with all recordings.

Awakening was assessed by an abrupt increase in EMG activation, often accompanied with movements, concurrent with cortical activation, typically assessed by increased frequency and decreased amplitude of the EEG, as previously reported by us and others.

Signal processing. Sleep scoring was performed manually, based on frequency and amplitude characteristics of the EEG and EMG in custom software written in Matlab and using 1-s epochs to allow accurate tracking of microaroas. Wake, defined as REM as highly synchronous theta (5–10 Hz) EEG, NREM was defined as the epoch containing the first slow wave of more than 200 µV amplitude, wake was defined as the first epoch with a rapid increase in muscle tone concurrent with a low-amplitude, high-frequency (>6 Hz) EEG, and defined wake as increased EMG activity. The start of wake was defined as the first epoch with a rapid increase in muscle tone concurrent with a low-amplitude, high-frequency (>6 Hz) EEG, NREM was defined as the epoch containing the first slow wave of more than 200 µV amplitude, wake was defined as the first epoch with a rapid increase in muscle tone, and REM was defined as the first epoch with a reduced EMG tone and consolidated theta/delta ratio more than 1. In cases where EEG/EMG was co-acquired with unit activity, data was downsampled to 500 Hz before scoring sleep stages by applying a low-pass filter (200 Hz, Chebyshev Type I, order 8, 0.03–dB passband ripple) to prevent aliasing. During scoring, the experimenter was blinded to the timing of any optogenetic manipulation but not to the conditions of the experiment.

Multiunit activity was first extracted from bandpass-filtered recordings (800–4,000 Hz, fourth-order elliptic filter, 0.1–dB passband ripple, 40 dB stopband attenuation). Filtering was performed in both the forward and reverse directions. The detection threshold was set at 7.5x the median of the absolute value of the filtered signal. The detected multiunit activity was then sorted using the WaveClus toolbox to obtain single units. Briefly, a four-level Haar discrete wavelet transform (waveedu, Matlab) was applied to the detected multiunit activity, and the ten most discriminative wavelet coefficients were selected using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Selected wavelet coefficients were subsequently sorted using the super-paramagnetic clustering, as described previously, to obtain single units. Sorted spikes were visually inspected and clusters with a completely symmetric shape or an average firing rate less than 0.2 Hz were discarded from the analyses. Stage-specific inter spike interval (ISI) histograms were created for individual units using a moving window. For cortical recordings, neurons with average firing rates >20 spikes/s or that had more than 200-ms firing pauses were not included in the analysis as they were likely to be interneurons. Interneurons were excluded from analysis, as VIP+ cells do not participate in cortical slow wave generation and could not be differentiated from SOM+ interneurons in our preparation.

Burst firing of single units was detected as a minimum of three consecutive action potentials with ISI < 6 ms and preceded by a quiescent hyperpolarized state of at least 50 ms. The interburst interval (IBI) was defined as the distance between the centers of two consecutive bursting activities during NREM episodes. IBI histograms during NREM sleep were created for individual units using a 200-ms bin width.

To detect UP/Down states, LFP/EEG signals were first bandpass-filtered (0.5–3 Hz) using window-based finite impulse response filter (fir1, Matlab), with an order equal to three cycles of low cutoff frequency, in forward and reverse directions to provide zero-phase distortion (filtfilt, Matlab). Individual UP–Down states were detected from zero-crossing of filtered signals. The onset of up states was defined as zero-crossing from negative to positive. To secure our analysis, we excluded individual UP–Down states that were shorter than 200 ms or had absolute amplitude < 1 s.d. from the mean.

For each unit, the average firing rate during vigilance state was calculated as the total number of action potentials during a state divided by total time spent in that state and reported in Hz. Mean firing rates during vigilance state transition were calculated by averaging firing rates across transitions using a non-overlapping moving window of 100 ms. Mean firing rates during transition from Down to UP states were calculated by averaging firing rates of all detected Down to UP transitions using a non-overlapping moving window of 10 ms. Mean firing rates...
were then fitted with a Boltzmann sigmoidal curve in GraphPad Prism using the following equation:

\[ y = \text{minimum} + \frac{\text{maximum} - \text{minimum}}{1 + \left(\frac{t - t_{1/2}}{\text{slope}}\right)^2} \]

Where \( y \) is the neuronal firing rate and \( x \) is time. The half-times of the curves (\( t_{1/2} \)) were used for comparison of lag and phase. The slopes of the curve fits at the half-time point were used as a measure of neuronal firing modulation and therefore synchrony.

Power spectral density (PSD) was estimated using Welch's method (pwelch, Matlab), using 8-s windows having 75% overlap, with 0.5-Hz resolution. Delta power in a recording segment was calculated using a modified periodogram (bandpower, Matlab), considering the estimated Welch's PSD in 0.5–4 Hz. Delta power during optogenetic perturbations was estimated for 10-s recording segments before, during, and after perturbation. NREM delta power during recovery sleep was calculated using a moving window of 10 min having 50% overlap and was normalized to the power in the same frequency bin during baseline sleep (i.e., no sleep deprivation) for each animal. This normalization corrects for variations in recorded signals across animals, which are mainly the result of different electrode impedances.

Phase synchronization between each pair of thalamic and cortical LFP recordings during spontaneous and optogenetic perturbations of NREM sleep were estimated using mean phase coherence. To measure delta phase coherence, LFP signals were first filtered in the delta range (0.5–4 Hz) using a 6,000th-order FIR filter (fir1, Matlab) in both the forward and reverse directions. Afterward, the instantaneous phases of delta oscillations were estimated using the Hilbert transform. Delta-phase coherence was obtained by averaging the instantaneous phase differences of two filtered signals projected onto a unit circle in the complex plane. The estimated measure falls within a [0, 1] interval, where zero and one indicate completely incoherent and coherent delta rhythms, respectively.

To average the data acquired from linear array electrodes, we normalized the recording spots across animals on the basis of histological findings. Changes in spike timing were averaged across reference points (behavioral transitions or detected UP states) and fitted with a Boltzmann sigmoidal curve (see equation above). The slopes and relative half-times of these curves were then extrapolated.

Synchrony was determined by the slope of neuron firing or LFPs at the onset of cortical UP state, as previously described.

Sleep deprivation. Animals were moved from the home cage to a new cage with clean bedding, food and water, and a novel red plastic object at 08:00 (ZT 0). Gentle handling was performed when animals were stationary to prevent sleep. Four hours later (ZT 4) they were returned to their original home cage and EEG/EMG and spike/LFP recordings were obtained between ZT 4 and 9.

Immunohistochemistry. Animals were deeply anesthetized with 15 mg pentobarbital (i.p.) and the heart transfused with 20 ml ice-cold, heparinized PBS, followed by 30 ml 4% formalin. Brains were removed and postfixed overnight in 4% formalin. They were then cryoprotected in 40% sucrose for 24–48 h. Sections 30 µm thick were cut in a cryostat. Free-floating sections were washed in PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBS-T) three times for 10 min each and then blocked by incubation with 4% bovine serum albumin in PBS-T for 1 h. Free-floating sections were incubated with primary antibodies for GFP (Life Technologies: A10262; 1:4,000) for 24–48 h at 4 °C. They were then washed in PBS-T three times for 10 min each and then incubated with the secondary antibody (Alexa: AR96947; 1:500) for 1 h at room temperature (20–22 °C).

Confirmation of electrode placement was performed in brain sections stained with bisbenzimide as a counter stain to the DIO that coated the electrodes. Briefly, free-floating brain slices were exposed to bisbenzimide (1 µg/mL) in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. Three washes of 15 min each in PBS were then performed, and slices were then mounted on glass slides and allowed to dry. A cover slip was placed on the slices, with a mounting medium, and they were then imaged on a confocal fluorescent microscope.

Statistical analysis. Matlab (MathWorks) and Prism 6 (GraphPad) were used for statistical analysis. No power calculations were performed to determine sample sizes, but similarly sized cohorts were used in other relevant investigations. Data were compared via one-way ANOVA, or t tests for parametric data, with post hoc Tukey’s corrections for multiple comparisons. Data distribution was assumed to be normal, but this was not formally tested. Values in the text are reported as mean ± standard error mean (s.e.m.) unless reported otherwise. Figs. were prepared in Adobe CS6 (Adobe).

Reporting Summary. Further information on experimental design is available in the Life Sciences Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data and code availability. The data that support the findings of this study and the Matlab code used for analysis are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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Data collection
Matlab 2015b and Intan RHD2000 (1.5.2) were used for the collection of data in this study.

Data analysis
Signal processing was performed using custom written algorithms in Mathworks Matlab (v. 2015b). Cell spiking activity was detected and sorted using the WaveClus toolbox in Matlab. Algorithms are not published, but will be made available to authors and reviewers on request. Fluorescence intensity was measured in ImageJ. Statistical analysis was performed using preset algorithms in Graphpad Prism (v. 6). Figures were prepared in Adobe Illustrator CS6.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.
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| Sample size | No power calculations were performed to determine sample sizes, however similar sized cohorts were used in other relevant investigations (Herrera et al., 2016. Nat. Neuroscience.). |
| Data exclusions | No animals were excluded from analysis. |
| Replication | Replication of the neuronal firing and LFPS were dependent on recording independent animals during different recording sessions. Anatomical studies (Supplementary Figs. 3, 6, 7) were replicated in 6 animals for each condition. |
| Randomization | Animals used for data collection in Fig. 3b, c, d were randomly assigned to receive injections of either AAV2-CamKII-ChR2-EYFP or AAV2-CamKII-EYFP in either VB or CMT. Animals used for data collection in Fig. 3h were randomly assigned for optic fibre implantation in either CING, ZI or insular cortex. Stimulation paradigms were administered in a randomised order. Animals for data collection in Figs. 5 & 6 were randomly assigned to receive injections of either AAV2-CamKII-ChR2-EYFP or AAV2-CamKII-EYFP in CMT. |
| Blinding | Sleep scoring was performed blinded to the timing of laser stimulations, when present. |

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Materials & experimental systems

| n/a | Involved in the study |
| - | Unique biological materials |
| - | Antibodies |
| - | Eukaryotic cell lines |
| - | Palaeontology |
| - | Animals and other organisms |
| - | Human research participants |

Methods

| n/a | Involved in the study |
| - | ChIP-seq |
| - | Flow cytometry |
| - | MRI-based neuroimaging |

Antibodies

Antibodies used
The following commercially available antibodies were used:
- Primary: Chicken IgY-anti GFP (Invitrogen A10262, lot no. 45351A), dilution 1:4000
- Secondary: Goat-anti Chicken IgY 488 (Abcam ab96947, lot no. GR263078-11), dilution 1:500

Validation
Concentrations were used based on validation in mice in previous publications (see: Jego et al., 2013 Nat. Neuroscience; Herrera et al., 2016 Nat. Neuroscience).
### Animals and other organisms

Policy information about [studies involving animals: ARRIVE guidelines](https://arriveguidelines.org) recommended for reporting animal research

| Category                | Description                                      |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Laboratory animals      | C57Bl6 male mice, 6 - 13 weeks old, were used.   |
| Wild animals            | No wild animals were used in this study.          |
| Field-collected samples | No filed samples were collected for analysis in this study. |