Pacific decadal oscillation remotely forced by the equatorial Pacific and the Atlantic Oceans
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Abstract
The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), the leading mode of Pacific decadal sea surface temperature variability, arises mainly from combinations of regional air-sea interaction within the North Pacific Ocean and remote forcing, such as from the tropical Pacific and the Atlantic. Because of such a combination of mechanisms, a question remains as to how much PDO variability originates from these regions. To better understand PDO variability, the equatorial Pacific and the Atlantic impacts on the PDO are examined using several 3-dimensional partial ocean data assimilation experiments conducted with two global climate models: the CESM1.0 and MIROC3.2m. In these partial assimilation experiments, the climate models are constrained by observed temperature and salinity anomalies, one solely in the Atlantic basin and the other solely in the equatorial Pacific basin, but are allowed to evolve freely in other regions. These experiments demonstrate that, in addition to the tropical Pacific’s role in driving PDO variability, the Atlantic can affect PDO variability by modulating the tropical Pacific climate through two proposed processes. One is the equatorial pathway, in which tropical Atlantic sea surface temperature (SST) variability causes an El Niño-like SST response in the equatorial Pacific through the reorganization of the global Walker circulation. The other is the north tropical pathway, where low-frequency SST variability associated with the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation induces a Matsuno-Gill type atmospheric response in the tropical Atlantic-Pacific sectors north of the equator. These results provide a quantitative assessment suggesting that 12–29% of PDO variance originates from the Atlantic Ocean and 40–44% from the tropical Pacific. The remaining 27–48% of the variance is inferred to arise from other processes such as regional ocean-atmosphere interactions in the North Pacific and possibly teleconnections from the Indian Ocean.
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1 Introduction
The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) is the dominant low frequency climate variability in the North Pacific and is defined as the leading mode of North Pacific detrended sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTAs) (20°–70°N) calculated by a statistical decomposition called empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs) (Mantua et al. 1997; Minobe 1997; Zhang and Levitus 1997). The positive (negative) phase of the PDO is characterized by cool (warm) sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in the Kuroshio-Oyashio Extension (KOE) region and its surrounding warm (cool) SSTs along the west coast of North America (Mantua and Hare 2002; Qiu 2002; Newman et al. 2016). Associated with these physical ocean changes, the coherent decadal variability is also observed in marine ecosystems and biogeochemical cycles in the North Pacific, such as decadal shifts in fish production, plankton biomass, and nutrient concentrations (Francis and Hare 1994; Mantua and Hare 2002; Chavez et al. 2003). In addition to these regional changes, the PDO has shown remote associations through atmospheric teleconnections with multi-decadal drought and pluvial conditions over the United States, Canada, Siberia, Australia, and
northern South America (Barlow et al. 2001; Tanimoto et al. 2003; McCabe et al. 2004; Hu and Huang 2009; Zanchettin et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2009; Taguchi et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2014; Vance et al. 2015). From the perspective of these broad impacts, improving our understanding of PDO mechanisms is essential, not only for enhancing PDO predictability, but also for extending its application to marine ecosystem predictions (Mochizuki et al. 2010; Chikamoto et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2014; Chikamoto et al. 2015a).

Two processes mainly characterize PDO mechanisms: regional air-sea interactions in the North Pacific and remote forcings from other ocean regions (Kwon and Deser 2007; Liu and Alexander 2007; Newman et al. 2016), such as the tropical Pacific and Atlantic. While it is challenging to separate regional air-sea interactions and remote impacts on the PDO due to feedback mechanisms (Zhang and Delworth 2015), there is a scientific consensus that ENSO variability is the primary forcing for the PDO on interannual timescales through the “atmospheric bridge” and subsequent changes in Aleutian low variability (Trenberth et al. 1998; Alexander et al. 2004; Strong and Magnusdottir 2009). Despite the previous research on the atmospheric bridge process through many observational analyses and modeling experiments (Alexander 1990; Lau and Nath 1994, 1996; Nakamura et al. 1997; Barlow et al. 2001; Deser et al. 2004), there is large model uncertainty regarding timing and strength of the ENSO-PDO relationship. In simulations from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP), maximum correlation coefficients of the ENSO-PDO relationship in pre-industrial control simulations range from 0.2–0.9 (Nidheesh et al. 2017), which covers the observational estimate (~0.6), yet there is still significant model diversity (Alexander et al. 2008; Hu and Huang 2009). In addition to strength, the time lag between the ENSO and PDO indices in CMIP3 and CMIP5 models ranges from almost instantaneous to 8 months with most CMIP models having a 4–8 month lag. As a result, there is still large uncertainty in the current estimate of PDO variability originating from the tropical Pacific, which ranges from 25–50% of PDO variance. However, some of the uncertainty may simply be internal variability (Alexander et al. 2002, 2010; Zhang et al. 2018).

Uncertainty of the ENSO-PDO relationship may also come from the Atlantic remote forcing through inter-basin interactions. Recent studies pointed out that Atlantic decadal variability can affect tropical Pacific climate variability through a modulation of the global Walker circulation (Rodríguez-Fonseca et al. 2009; Kucharski et al. 2011; Chikamoto et al. 2012; McGregor et al. 2014; Kucharski et al. 2016; Li et al. 2015; Cai et al. 2019). On interannual timescales, ENSO is also modulated by Atlantic SST variability through the north tropical Atlantic and the Atlantic Niño (Rodríguez-Fonseca et al. 2009; Luo et al. 2010; Ding et al. 2012; Ham et al. 2013a, b; Luo et al. 2017; Cai et al. 2019). Interestingly, most CMIP3 and CMIP5 models capture the inter-basin impact from the Atlantic to the Pacific, although the strength of the atmospheric response to Atlantic SST variability and the subsequent ENSO amplitude show vast diversity among the models (Ham and Kug 2015).

The mechanisms of the Atlantic impact on the PDO are still under debate, but three major processes have been proposed: inter-basin interactions, midlatitude jet stream activity, and an Arctic Ocean process through the Bering Strait. As described above, Atlantic Ocean variability can affect the frequency and amplitude of ENSO events through tropical inter-basin interactions (Ham and Kug 2015), which subsequently influences the PDO through the atmospheric bridge (Alexander 1990). Consistent with this mechanism, Levine et al. (2018) found that a positive Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) can favor a northward shift in the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) through changes in equatorial trade winds and precipitation anomalies, which then affect tropical Pacific SSTs. A similar inter-basin connection through a zonal circulation, but in the mid-latitude region instead of the tropics, was also proposed based on the mid-latitude sea level pressure (SLP) see-saw between the North Atlantic and North Pacific associated with the AMO (Sun et al. 2017; Gong et al. 2020). By contrast to these inter-basin interactions, other studies proposed that the AMO can affect the PDO through midlatitude jet stream activities (Zhang and Delworth 2007; Wu et al. 2011; Okumura et al. 2009). In this midlatitude process, three pathways are still under debate: a meridional shift in the midlatitude westerlies between the North Atlantic and Pacific (Zhang and Delworth 2007), changes in the Arctic Oscillation originating from North Atlantic SSTs (Zhang and Zhao 2015), and circumglobal Rossby wave propagation from the tropical Atlantic to the North Pacific via the South Asian jet (Okumura et al. 2009; Wu et al. 2011). The third process is through the Arctic and Bering Strait by introducing freshwater forcing in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Okumura et al. 2009). Because of these inter-basin and atmosphere-ocean interactions, appropriate modeling experiments using a fully coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model (AOGCM) are required to evaluate the most prominent pathway from the Atlantic to the North Pacific.

Whereas just a few studies simulated an Atlantic origin for PDO variability, many models captured the equatorial Pacific impact on the PDO through the atmospheric bridge process, including CMIP, Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP), mixed-layer, partial decoupling, and assimilation experiments. In the 1990s, AGCM experiments prescribing observed SST variability (so-called AMIP-type experiment; Lau and Nath 1994) evaluated the direct atmospheric responses to the tropical Pacific SST forcing. In the following papers, a role of
thermodynamical air-sea interaction in the North Pacific was further assessed with subsequent AMIP-type experiments coupled with mixed-layer ocean models (e.g., Lau and Nath 1996; Alexander et al. 2002; Di Lorenzo et al. 2015). To assess a role of fully dynamical atmosphere-ocean interactions, Wang et al. (2012) proposed the partial decoupling experiment using the NCEP CFSv2 model, where SSTs are damptened toward climatological states in a targeted region, yet it was still challenging to isolate the remote ENSO impact on the PDO from regional air-sea interaction. To identify the climate variability originating from the tropical Pacific, a pacemaker experiment was proposed, in which the observed SST variability in the eastern tropical Pacific was prescribed in the ocean component of a climate model through heat flux forcing at the ocean surface (Kosaka and Xie 2013). In these experiments, the ocean and atmosphere are able to evolve freely outside the region but can also interact inside the targeted region. Using this pacemaker experiment, Zhang et al. (2018) demonstrated the atmospheric bridge concept, which is consistent with previous studies.

While the atmospheric bridge process from the tropical to the North Pacific has been well simulated by prescribing SST-only forcing into atmospheric general circulation models (AGCMs), a model experiment to capture the Atlantic impacts on the PDO is more challenging due to complicated ocean dynamics relating to the AMOC variability, which may introduce systematic errors in the Atlantic (Zhang and Zhao 2015). Previous studies constrained the Atlantic Ocean using SST-only in idealized experiments by prescribing the AMO-induced SST pattern (Ruprich-Robert et al. 2017; Levine et al. 2018) or a pacemaker experiment targeted in the Atlantic (Ding et al. 2012). However, Boer et al. (2016) pointed out that pacemaker experiments forced by Atlantic SSTs may introduce energy and density imbalances due to a lack of salinity information, which causes artificial changes in atmosphere-ocean interactions and can alter the coupled model equilibrium. A recent study by Chikamoto et al. (2019) demonstrated that 3-dimensional ocean temperature and salinity information is required to constrain the Atlantic in simulating AMOC variability. To minimize the aforementioned artificial changes in the AOGCM, the partial assimilation approach is implemented here, in which 3-dimensional temperature and salinity anomalies in the targeted region are assimilated into the climate model. In this partial assimilation approach, the model-simulated climate variability corresponds to the potential predictability if the model could perfectly predict ocean variability in the assimilated region. Using this partial assimilation approach, Johnson et al. (2018) identified an Atlantic modulation on Australian precipitation variability in the interannual-to-decadal timescale.

To more quantitatively assess how much of PDO variability originates from the equatorial Pacific and Atlantic basins, we conduct partial ocean data assimilation experiments using two state-of-the-art global climate models: the Community Earth System Model (CESM1.0) and the Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC3.2m). By assimilating observed conditions in the global, equatorial Pacific, or Atlantic Oceans, respectively, we can evaluate how much each ocean basin contributes to the PDO variability. More detailed model experiments and their validations are described in Sects. 2 and 3. We then describe impacts from the tropical Pacific (Sect. 4) and the Atlantic on the PDO (Sect. 5), followed by a discussion (Sect. 6) and concluding remarks (Sect. 7).

2 Data and model experiments

2.1 Observations

This study examines observational SST datasets from the UK Met Office’s HadSST version 3 for 1950–2014 (Kennedy et al. 2011a, b), the National Centers for Environmental Prediction’s (NCEP) Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature version 4 (ERSSTv4) for 1960–2014 (Huang et al. 2015), and an objective ocean analysis compiled by the Japanese Meteorological Agency (JMA) (hereafter, referred to as ProjD) for 1950–2010 (Ishii and Kimoto 2009). In addition, we use SLP datasets derived from HadSLP version 3 for 1950–2014, JMA’s JRA55 for 1960–2014 (Ebita et al. 2011), and NCEP derived SLP for 1960–2010. The analysis periods in ERSST/JRA55 and ProjD/NCEP are identical to those in the assimilation runs in the CESM and MIROC, respectively, while the HadSST/HadSLP spans both model time periods. By choosing these time periods, we can validate our model simulated SSTs and its associated air-sea interaction, as described in Sect. 3. For the observational datasets, the linear trends at each grid point are removed from the anomalies in order to remove the climate response to the radiative forcing (i.e., the global warming component). The linear detrend method is not perfect for removing the climate response to radiative forcing (Dong and McPhaden 2017), but its impact is minor, as described in Sect. 3 (Model validation).

2.2 Models

This study uses two fully-coupled AOGCMs: the CESM1.0 (Shields et al. 2012) and the MIROC3.2m (Nozawa et al. 2007). The CESM consists of 4 physical components for atmosphere, land, ocean, and sea ice (Hunke and Lipscomb 2008; Smith et al. 2010; Lawrence et al. 2012; Rodriguez-Fonseca et al. 2009; Holland et al. 2012; Neale et al. 2013). The atmosphere and land models are T31 horizontal resolution (approximately 3.75°) with 26 atmospheric vertical levels. The ocean and sea-ice models use a horizontal
resolution of approximately 3° with a displaced North Pole and 60 ocean layers. The meridional grid in the equatorial region is approximately 1° and the zonal grid is 3°. Details of the model’s basic performance in the configuration can be found in Shields et al. (2012) and Chikamoto et al. (2015c).

In the MIROC, atmospheric and land models use a T42 spectral horizontal grid (approximately 2.8°) while the ocean and sea-ice components of the MIROC use 43 vertical levels with a zonal resolution approximately 1.41°. Its meridional grid is about 0.56° in the equatorial region but 1.4° at high latitudes. The physical processes in the ocean model of the MIROC include parameterizations for vertical convection and mixing, lateral mixing of momentum and tracer, and vertical penetration of shortwave radiation. All components of the atmosphere, ocean, land, and sea-ice modules are coupled without any flux corrections for exchanging heat, water, and momentum fluxes between the atmosphere and ocean. Details of the performance and configuration are found in Nozawa et al. (2007).

### 2.3 Partial assimilation experiments

Using these models, we first conducted two model experiments: a historical run and a global ocean assimilation run (GLOB run). In the historical run, the model was prescribed by time-varying observed natural and anthropogenic radiative external forcings (solar, aerosols, land-use change, and greenhouse gases) from 1850 to 2005. After 2005, we prescribed the RCP4.5 emission scenario for the CESM and an A1B-type scenario for the MIROC. These experiments consist of 10 ensemble members from initial conditions compiled from 10 random years of pre-industrial control simulations. Historical runs for each model correspond to the externally forced component due to radiative forcing. In the GLOB run, we prescribed the same radiative forcings as the historical run but assimilated 3-dimensional observed ocean temperature and salinity anomalies into the global climate models, which also consist of 10 ensemble members. The assimilated observed ocean data was derived from the ECMWF ocean reanalysis product (version 4) for 1958–2014 (Balmaseda et al. 2013) in the CESM run and the ProjD dataset for 1945–2010 (Ishii and Kimoto 2009) in the MIROC run. The reason for the difference in assimilated reanalysis products is because the CESM and MIROC were developed by different institutions, which are summarized in Table 1. In these observation-based datasets, the monthly mean was linearly interpolated into daily values. Next, analysis increments are estimated from a temporally, spatially, and vertically invariant model-to-observation ratio in analysis errors and added as forcing into the model’s temperature and salinity equations during the analysis interval of one day by an Incremental Analysis Update scheme (Bloom et al. 1996; Huang et al. 2002).

| Name | Assimilated region | Period |
|------|-------------------|--------|
| CESM | GLOB | Global oceans | 1960–2014 |
| | eqPAC | Equatorial Pacific (10°S–10°N) | 1960–2014 |
| | ATL | Atlantic (30°S–70°N) | 1960–2014 |
| MIROC | GLOB | Global oceans | 1950–2010 |
| | eqPAC | Equatorial Pacific (10°S–10°N) | 1950–2010 |
| | ATL | Atlantic (50°S–60°N) | 1950–2010 |

To identify the remote forcing from the equatorial Pacific and Atlantic on the PDO, we further conducted partial ocean assimilation experiments designated the eqPAC and ATL runs, respectively. In these partial assimilation experiments, we use the same model configurations as the GLOB run, except the 3-dimensional observed ocean anomalies are assimilated only in the equatorial Pacific (CESM and MIROC: 10°S–10°N) and only in the Atlantic (CESM: 30°S –70°N; MIROC: 50°S–60°N) basins. Each partial assimilation run includes 10 ensemble members with different time ranges for the CESM (1960–2014) and MIROC (1950–2010) after a model spin-up (2 years for the CESM and 5 years for the MIROC). Applications of these partial ocean assimilation experiments and how they affect regional climate are described in previous studies (Chikamoto et al. 2015c; Purich et al. 2016; Chikamoto et al. 2016; Ham et al. 2017; Johnson et al. 2018).

In this study, anomalies are defined as deviations from the climatological mean for 1960–2014 in CESM and for 1950–2010 in MIROC. We chose the climatological mean to cover the entire assimilation period, but consistent results would be obtained if we use the same period of climatology in the CESM and MIROC. To remove the externally forced component due to radiative forcing, we take the difference of ensemble-mean anomalies between the partial assimilation and the historical runs in each model similar to Zhang et al. (2018). A 13-month running mean filter is applied in our analyses to reduce atmospheric stochastic variability for model and observational data. To capture the temporal evolution of observed and model-simulated climate fields, we plot correlation and regression values associated with the PDO index. Given the 13-month running mean in our analysis and the autocorrelation of climate variability, we computed the effective degrees of freedom of the PDO index, which ranges from 12–21 in observations and model experiments (Bretherton et al. 1999). Based on the PDO index, we approximate the effective degrees of freedom to be ~15 in the following analysis, which corresponds to a statistically significant correlation coefficient of 0.48 at the 95% significance level.
3 Model validation

To define the PDO index as described in Mantua et al. (1997), we apply an empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis to monthly SSTAs in the North Pacific (20°–70°N) in observations and the GLOB runs (Fig. 1). Note that the linear trends in each grid point are removed in the observed SSTAs, whereas in the GLOB runs, SSTAs are obtained by subtracting the ensemble mean of the historical run in each model. The leading first EOF modes (EOF1) for the North Pacific SSTAs in GLOB runs capture the observed PDO-like SST pattern very well (Fig. 1e and i): the cooler SSTs in the KOE region and its surrounding warmer SSTs along the west coast of North America (Mantua and Hare 2002; Newman et al. 2016). The percentages of explained variance in EOF1 (Fig. 1) are well separated from the second and third modes (HadSST: 16.8%, 8.5%, 7.9%; ERSSST: 22.3%, 14.9%, 8.0%; CESM GLOB: 29.8%, 14.7%, 7.8%; ProjD: 22.8%, 13.7%, 8.4%; MIROC GLOB: 28.6%, 13.9%, 6.2%, in first, second, and third modes, respectively). All of the second modes of reanalysis and GLOB runs show a SST pattern similar to the North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (NPGO), as described by Di Lorenzo et al. (2008). The GLOB runs of both the CESM and MIROC have comparable variances explained that are larger than the observations, and the monthly principal components are strongly correlated with each other (R = 0.79) for the time period that overlaps between the two models (1960–2010). Whereas the principal components of EOF1 in observations are almost identical with each other for the 1950–2014 period (R > 0.97), the GLOB runs in CESM and MIROC show slightly lower correlation coefficients with observations (R = 0.88 and 0.78 for HadSST, R = 0.87 and 0.81 for ERSSSTv4, and R = 0.87 and 0.74 for ProjD, respectively). Because no atmospheric observations are assimilated into these models, higher-frequency PDO variability is filtered out based on the ensemble mean of 10 members in the GLOB runs. Alternatively, this lower correlation may arise from the linear detrend method to estimate the climate response to radiative forcing in observations. When we apply a 13-month running mean filter to the monthly principal components of EOF1, correlation coefficients of the GLOB runs in the CESM and MIROC with observations increase substantially (R = 0.93 and 0.87 in HadSST, R = 0.95 and 0.85 in ERSSSTv4, and R = 0.94 and 0.81 in ProjD, respectively). Consistent with this EOF analysis, the GLOB runs capture the observed running-mean SST variances in the North Pacific well (Fig. 2), although there are some model biases for their patterns (Oshima and Tanimoto 2009). These results support our approach in capturing the interannual-to-decadal components of the North Pacific, including the PDO. Hereafter, a 13-month running mean filter is applied to all monthly anomalies, and the PDO index is defined as the 13-month running mean for the principal component of EOF1 (black lines in the right panels of Fig. 1).

To validate the Aleutian low variability in our models, we correlated sea level pressure anomalies (SLPAs) and SSTAs with the observed and GLOB PDO indices in Fig. 3. As pointed out by previous studies (e.g., Newman et al. 2016), we find that the positive phase of the PDO (SST cooling in the KOE region) accompanies the strengthened Aleutian low variability (negative SLPAs in the North Pacific) in all of observations and GLOB runs (Qiu et al. 2007). Consistent with the SLP patterns, the GLOB runs in the CESM and MIROC correlate with the observed SLP variability in the Aleutian low region (30°S–65°N, 160°E–140°W; R = 0.41 and 0.46, respectively) otherwise known as the North Pacific Index (Trenberth and Hurrell 1994). In addition to Aleutian low variability, the PDO-like pattern accompanies positive SLPAs over the Indian and Australia region and negative SLPAs over the central and eastern tropical Pacific in the observations and GLOB runs. This zonal SLP gradient in the Indo-Pacific sector is closely related to El Niño-like SST warming in the tropical Pacific, which is the primary driver of the PDO on interannual timescales through the atmospheric bridge (Alexander et al. 2002, 2010). Even though atmospheric data are not assimilated into the models, the GLOB runs simulated the Aleutian low variability associated with the PDO index quite well, albeit overestimating positive SLPAs in the northwestern subtropical Pacific.

In the Atlantic basin, however, the SSTAs and SLPAs associated with the PDO show more diverse patterns among observations and GLOB runs. The observations and CESM GLOB run (Fig. 3a–c, e) show that the PDO index positively correlates with SLPA in the tropical Atlantic and Indian Oceans, whereas the tropical Atlantic SLP correlations are unclear in the MIROC GLOB run (Fig. 3d). Moreover, the MIROC GLOB run shows SST warming in the tropical Atlantic associated with a positive PDO-like pattern, which is differentiated from the weak SST cooling in observations and the CESM GLOB run. By examining the ProjD (Fig. 3c), we also note stronger SSTA correlations in the south tropical Atlantic compared to the other observations and GLOB simulations. In the North Atlantic, there are moderate negative SSTA correlations in observations and the CESM GLOB, which accompanies negative SLPAs correlations. These discrepancies in the Atlantic may impact the atmospheric bridge given inter-basin impacts to the Pacific.
Fig. 1 Regressed SSTA patterns (left panels) and principal components (right panels) associated with the leading EOF mode of monthly SSTAs in the North Pacific based on the (a, b) UK Met Office’s HadSST version 3 (1900–2014), (c, d) National Centers for Environmental Prediction’s ERSST version 4 (1960–2014), (g, h) Japanese Meteorological Agency ProjD (1950–2010) and the GLOB runs of the (e, f) CESM (1960–2014) and (i, j) MIROC (1950–2010). Units in regression plots are °C. The variance explained by the first EOF mode is indicated in the upper-right corner of each plot. Positive (negative) values are red (blue). A black line in the right column is a 13-month running average for the principal component, which is defined as the PDO index.
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Fig. 2 13-month running mean total SSTA variance (colors) and the ratio of 10-year low-pass filtered SSTA variance to monthly total SSTA variance (stippling) in (a, b) observations, (c, d) GLOB, (e, f) eqPAC, and (g, h) ATL runs in the CESM (left) and MIROC (right).

Observations include (a) ERSSTv4 and (b) ProjD. Stippling indicates over 40% of the variance is in the decadal component, whereas dark grey dots indicate over 50% of the variance is in the decadal component.
4 Tropical Pacific origin

4.1 Equatorial Pacific impact on PDO

To identify the tropical Pacific impacts on the PDO, we apply an EOF analysis to the ensemble mean of North Pacific SST variability in the equatorial Pacific (eqPAC) runs (Figs. 4a, b and 5a, b). The leading EOF modes in these eqPAC runs show a PDO-like SST pattern in the North Pacific (Fig. 4), which is consistent with the peaks of total SSTA variance in the North Pacific (Fig. 2e, f). Their variances explained by EOF1 are slightly larger than those in the GLOB runs and observations. The second modes (not shown) in the eqPAC runs depict an NPGO-like feature in the CESM and an unclear SST signal in the MIROC (16.9% and 8.0% for the second modes, and 7.3% and 7.5% for the third modes in the CESM and MIROC, respectively). The EOF1 principal components in the eqPAC runs also significantly correlate with the GLOB runs ($R = 0.63$ in CESM and 0.66 in MIROC, respectively). Consistent with the idea of the atmospheric bridge proposed by previous studies (Trenberth and Hurrell 1994; Trenberth et al. 1998; Liu and Alexander 2007), in particular, the eqPAC runs capture the positive phase of the PDO during the early 1980s and 1990s, and its negative phase during the mid 1970s and early 2000s.

Associated with the atmospheric bridge, Aleutian low variability is the primary factor in connecting tropical Pacific SST forcing and the PDO-like SST response in the North Pacific. To examine these connections, we produced lead-lag correlations of the PDO index (the first principal components) with Aleutian low variability (SLPA in $30^\circ N$–$65^\circ N, 160^\circ E$–$140^\circ W$).
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and the Niño 3.4 index (SSTAs in 5°S–5°N, 170°W–120°W) in the GLOB and eqPAC runs (black and blue lines in Fig. 6).

The eqPAC runs show that Aleutian low variability negatively correlates with the PDO index (Fig. 6a, b), with the strongest

Units are in °C. Note that the color scale is the same as Fig. 1. The variance explained by EOF1 in each run is denoted on the top right corner of each sub-panel.

Fig. 4 Regressed SSTA patterns (left panels) associated with the leading EOF mode of monthly SSTAs in the North Pacific (20°–70°N) in (a, b) the eqPAC and (c, d) ATL runs during 1960–2014 for the CESM (left panels) and 1950-2010 for the MIROC (right panels).

Fig. 5 Principal components associated with the leading EOF mode of monthly North Pacific SSTAs (20°–70°N) in (a, b) the eqPAC and (c, d) ATL runs during 1960–2014 for the CESM (left) and 1950-2010 for the MIROC (right panels). The variance explained by the first EOF mode in each run is denoted on the top right corner of each sub-panel.
correlation leading the PDO by 5 months. Figure 7 shows correlation maps of SSTAs and SLPAs leading the PDO index by 5 months. Similar to the simultaneous correlation maps in Fig. 3, we find the strengthened Aleutian low (i.e., negative SLPAs) and PDO-like SST patterns in the North Pacific for all of observations and model simulations (Fig. 7a-f). Associated with these North Pacific SST and SLP patterns, all of observations and model simulations demonstrate the El Niño-like SST warming and a weakened Pacific Walker circulation. These results suggest that tropical Pacific SST variability is the main driver for the PDO-like SST response in the North Pacific, confirming the results of previous studies.

The ENSO-associated atmospheric bridge is also clearly delineated by the eqPAC runs. We can find that the eqPAC runs of the CESM and MIROC show the strongest response of equatorial Pacific SSTAs with the PDO (Fig. 7e, f). The correlation coefficients between the PDO and the Niño 3.4 index in the eqPAC runs peak at 0.75 in both the CESM and MIROC, respectively, when the Niño 3.4 index leads the PDO by 5 months (blue lines in Fig. 6c, d). The equatorial Pacific SST warming enhances local precipitation, which causes the Matsuno-Gill type atmospheric response (Taschetto et al. 2010); this is shown in a quadrupole structure of upper-tropospheric streamfunctions over the tropical Indo-Pacific sectors (Fig. 8a, b). Consistent with the atmospheric bridge process (Trenberth et al. 1998), this tropical Pacific SST warming drives the PNA-like wave train pattern in the upper-troposphere and its associated wave-activity flux (arrows): positive streamfunction anomalies at 250 hPa (corresponding to the higher pressure) with the PDO over the northern tropical Pacific and alternating lower and higher pressure centers in the North Pacific and North American regions, respectively (Fig. 8a, b). In particular, the upper-tropospheric low pressure center in the North Pacific consists of an equivalent barotropic structure and affects Aleutian low variability (Yu and Zwiers 2007). Our eqPAC runs clearly demonstrate that tropical Pacific climate variability is the main driver for the PDO-like SST response with strong agreement between the CESM and MIROC, a feature that also lends credibility to our partial assimilation experiments.
Fig. 7 Correlation maps of SLPAs (contours: solid and broken lines are positive and negative correlations, respectively) and SSTAs (colors) at 5 months lead associated with the PDO index in (a, b) observations, (c, d) GLOB, (e, f) eqPAC, and (g, h) ATL runs in the CESM (left) and MIROC (right). Observations include (a) ERSSTv4 and JRA55 and (b) ProjD and NCEP. The contour interval is 0.2 with the zero contour omitted, whereas SSTAs are in °C. A 13-month running mean filter is applied to all anomalies.
4.2 Equatorial Pacific impact on Atlantic

In the eqPAC runs, we see correlations of SSTAs and SLPAs over the tropical Atlantic with the PDO index (Fig. 7e and f), suggesting an equatorial Pacific impact on the tropical Atlantic basin. According to the previous studies (Chiang and Sobel 2002; Sobel et al. 2002; Chiang and Lintner 2005; Chikamoto and Tanimoto 2005; Chang et al. 2006), El Niño events lead tropospheric warming in the entire tropics due to atmospheric Kelvin-wave propagation, which then induces SST warming in the tropical Atlantic and Indian Oceans through humidity-driven latent heat fluxes (called the TT-mechanism, where TT designates tropical tropospheric temperature). El Niño also induces SST warming in the northern tropical Atlantic through the PNA-like wave propagation from the Pacific to the North Atlantic (Wallace and Gutzler 1981; Cai et al. 2019). Consistent with these mechanisms, the eqPAC runs (Fig. 7e, f) clearly demonstrate that the El Niño-like SST warming in the equatorial Pacific induces higher SLPs in the tropical Atlantic and Indian Oceans and a subsequent ocean response as seen in the SST warming in these oceans.

In observations, by contrast, we find tropical Atlantic SST cooling associated with the PDO index despite higher SLPs at the same location (Fig. 7a, b). Because SST cooling contributes to locally higher SLPs, the higher observed SLPs in the tropical Atlantic associated with the PDO index are mainly driven by the local SST cooling rather than the remote impact from the equatorial Pacific. In other words, our results suggest that the SLP variability in the tropical Atlantic has two components: a remotely forced component from the equatorial Pacific and a locally driven component within the tropical Atlantic. Whereas the former leads the same phases of SSTAs in the tropical Pacific and Atlantic, the latter has the potential to induce the opposite phases of SSTAs between the tropical Pacific and Atlantic, as seen in the observations and CESM GLOB run (Fig. 7a–c). The discrepancy of tropical Atlantic SSTAs between observation and eqPAC runs suggest
that the Atlantic has its own variability independent from the tropical Pacific, which opens up the possibility of the Atlantic impact on the PDO.

5 Atlantic impacts on the PDO

To identify the Atlantic impacts on PDO, we apply an EOF analysis of the ensemble mean of North Pacific SST variability in the Atlantic (ATL) partial assimilation runs (bottom panels in Figs. 4 and 5). These first EOF modes explain about 30% of total variance, which are well separated from the second and third modes (CESM: 33.1%, 18.9%, 7.7%; MIROC: 28.6%, 9.1%, 7.2% of explained variances for the first, second, and third modes). The first modes in the ATL runs also positively correlate with the first EOF modes in the GLOB runs ($R = 0.54$ in the CESM and 0.35 in the MIROC, respectively). By contrast to the first EOF modes, the second and third EOF modes in the ATL runs show much weaker correlations with the first EOF modes in the GLOB runs ($R = 0.23$ and 0.24 in the second and third modes of the CESM ATL run; $R = 0.06$ and 0.14 in the MIROC ATL run). Results of these correlation coefficients suggest that in the ATL runs, the PDO-like SST pattern is the most dominant mode of North Pacific SST variability, albeit the simulated spatial pattern of the PDO in the ATL runs are slightly different with observations in terms of the meridional position of negative SSTA. Another interesting feature in the ATL runs is that there are weak and insignificant correlations of the first principal components between the ATL and eqPAC runs ($R = 0.26$ in the CESM and 0.20 in the MIROC, respectively). Higher ATL correlations with the GLOB runs rather than the eqPAC runs supports our hypothesis that the Atlantic Ocean variability can trigger the PDO-like SST pattern. In the ATL runs, we can find negative SSTA in the tropical Atlantic and Indian Oceans in both the CESM and MIROC (Fig. 7g, h), which is differentiated from the eqPAC runs (Fig. 7e, f). This sharp SSTA contrast suggests that inter-basin climate interactions originating from the Atlantic Ocean also induces the PDO-like SST response. It is noteworthy that in the ATL runs, the SST warming in the equatorial Pacific is stronger in the MIROC than the CESM, whereas the CESM shows AMO-like SST cooling in the North Atlantic more clearly than the MIROC. Additionally, in the ATL runs, both models show pronounced SSTA variance in the North Pacific, but the CESM has more dominant decadal components compared to the MIROC (dotted region in Fig. 2g, h). These different characteristics between the CESM and MIROC ATL runs imply two inter-related pathways from the Atlantic to the Pacific, as described in the following subsections.

5.1 Equatorial pathway

According to previous studies (e.g., Rodríguez-Fonseca et al. 2009; Chikamoto et al. 2012; Ding et al. 2012; McGregor et al. 2014; Chikamoto et al. 2015b; Kucharski et al. 2016; Li et al. 2015; Ruprich-Robert et al. 2017; Cai et al. 2019), Atlantic SST variability modifies tropical inter-basin climate interactions between the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans through the reorganization of the global Walker circulation. Consistent with this idea, we can find cooler SST and higher SLP in the tropical Atlantic and warmer SST and lower SLP in the tropical Pacific in both CESM and MIROC ATL runs (Fig. 7g, h). These SSTA/SLPA contrasts between the Pacific and Atlantic accompany less precipitation in the eastern tropical Pacific but more precipitation in the central tropical Pacific (Fig. 8c, d), suggesting the reorganization of the global Walker circulation. As shown by Hovmöller diagrams (Fig. 9) of SST, SLP, precipitation, and upper-tropospheric zonal wind anomalies at the equator (5°S–5°N) associated with the PDO indices in the ATL runs, SSTA and SLPA contrasts between the Pacific and Atlantic involve upper-tropospheric westerly anomalies over the eastern Pacific and Atlantic and easterly anomalies over the western and central Pacific (Fig. 9b, d). The zonal wind anomalies at 850 hPa show a similar pattern but opposite phase as U250. These features highlight the equatorial pathway of inter-basin effects from the Atlantic to the tropical Pacific.

This reorganization of the global Walker circulation through the equatorial pathway is more prominent in the MIROC ATL run compared to the CESM ATL run. In the MIROC ATL run (Fig. 9a), we see SST cooling in the equatorial Atlantic that begins about 36 months before the mature stage of the PDO. This Atlantic SST cooling accompanies locally higher SLP and then its eastward propagation from the Atlantic to the Indian Ocean. The SST cooling and higher SLPs cause decreases in precipitation over the Atlantic-Indian region (Fig. 9a, b) and its compensating atmospheric dynamical response in the equatorial Pacific, as seen in warmer SSTs, lower SLPs, and more precipitation. These atmospheric changes imply that the Atlantic SST forcing triggers strong atmosphere-ocean interactions in the equatorial Pacific through the Bjerknes feedback. The resultant precipitation changes in the equatorial Pacific lead to the Matsuno-Gill type atmospheric response as seen in the equatorial anti-symmetric structure of the upper-tropospheric streamfunction anomalies over the tropical Pacific (Fig. 8d), which induces PNA-like Rossby-wave propagation (arrows) and a PDO-like atmosphere-ocean response in the North Pacific.

In the CESM ATL run, however, equatorial Pacific SST and SLP responses to the Atlantic forcing are much weaker and have shorter timescales compared to the MIROC ATL run (Figs. 7g and 9c). For example, we can find the CESM
ATL run shows local peaks of Atlantic SSTA and SLPAs 6–18 months before the mature stage of PDO (Fig. 9c), which is a shorter time-lag compared to the MIROC ATL run that has a 36 month lag. These Atlantic anomalies accompany immediate but weaker responses of equatorial Pacific SSTA and SLPAs (Fig. 9c), suggesting weaker Bjerknes activation. In addition to the equatorial Pacific responses, we find totally opposite phases of upper-tropospheric zonal wind anomalies over the Indian Ocean among the MIROC and CESM ATL runs (Fig. 9b, d). Because of this weaker equatorial Pacific response, the upper-tropospheric streamfunction anomalies are unclear over the Indian Ocean in the CESM ATL run (Fig. 8c), compared to the quadrupole structure in the MIROC ATL run (Fig. 8d). Nevertheless, the PDO index in the CESM ATL run is strongly correlated with CESM GLOB run and displays a multidecadal timescale (Fig. 5c). These CESM results suggest that, in addition to the equatorial pathway,
there is another pathway to explain the Atlantic influence on the North Pacific.

### 5.2 North tropical pathway

As described in the previous section, the PDO index in the CESM ATL run has multi-decadal components with negative phases during the 1960s and 2000s but positive phases during the 1970s and 1980s (Fig. 5c), which reminds us of the temporal variations of the AMO (Trenberth and Shea 2006; Knight et al. 2005). In fact, we can find significant instantaneous correlations between the PDO index in the CESM ATL run and the AMO index in observations ($R = -0.60$), but even stronger if there is a 7–9 month lag ($R = -0.67$). Previous literature also pointed out that the PDO is modulated by the remote impact of the AMO (Zhang and Delworth 2007; Okumura et al. 2009; Wu et al. 2011; Kucharski et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2017; Gong et al. 2020). In addition to the multi-decadal timescales, the CESM ATL run shows AMO-like SST cooling in the North Atlantic associated with the positive PDO index (Fig. 7g), which corresponds to a large percentage of North Pacific SSTA variance in the decadal time scale (Fig. 2g). Therefore, these results lead us to examine the dynamical process that connects the AMO and PDO in the CESM ATL run more closely.

To examine the impact of the AMO on the PDO, we performed a lead-lag correlation between the AMO and PDO indices in the CESM and MIROC partial assimilation runs (Fig. 10). The AMO index is defined as SSTAs over the North Atlantic ($0^\circ$–$60^\circ$N, $0^\circ$–$80^\circ$W) subtracted from the global mean ($60^\circ$S–$60^\circ$N) SSTAs as described by Trenberth and Shea (2006). In the GLOB simulations (black lines in Fig. 10a and b), negative (positive) correlations tend to persist more than 10 years when the AMO leads (lags) the PDO, which is consistent with observed correlations (see Figs. 2 and 3 in Wu et al. (2011)). Additionally, we note the statistically significant correlations 11–12 years leading the PDO in the GLOB runs (Zhang and Delworth 2007; Wu et al. 2011); however, these correlations disappear in the CESM and MIROC ATL runs where we find a local peak of correlations when the AMO leads the PDO by 9 months ($R = -0.77$ and $-0.36$, respectively). Using the 9 month lag timeframe, we made correlation maps of SSTA and SLPA, and regression maps of precipitation and 250 hPa streamfunction anomalies at a 9 months lag with the AMO index in the ATL runs (Fig. 11). We find positive correlations of SSTA extending from the equatorial Atlantic to Greenland (shaded in Fig. 11a, b), highlighting the strong positive phase of the AMO. This SST warming accompanies negative SLPA correlations over the entire North Atlantic, particularly in the CESM ATL run (Fig. 11a). In the tropical North Atlantic, we can find increases in both precipitation and upper-level pressure (positive streamfunction anomalies) over and north of the warm SSTs (Fig. 11c, d) similar to the findings of Levine et al. (2018) and Wu et al. (2019), where they found that a positive AMO leads to a northward shift in the ITCZ and global Hadley circulation. These results suggest that the SST warming in the tropical North Atlantic associated with the positive phase of the AMO enhances local precipitation activity and leads to the atmospheric dynamical response, such as the baroclinic structure in the tropical North Atlantic and Rossby wave propagation toward extratropical Atlantic. The similar but opposite signs for baroclinic structure and extratropical Rossby wave propagation are also found in the northwestern tropical Pacific in the CESM ATL run (Fig. 11c). At $15^\circ$N, the SST cooling accompanies the decrease in precipitation, higher SLP, and upper-level lower pressure (negative streamfunction anomalies) over the northern tropical Pacific,
which is consistent with Levine et al. (2018). These features, which are unclear in the eqPAC runs of both the CESM and MIROC, highlight the strong north tropical contrast between the Atlantic and Pacific associated with the AMO.

To further examine the inter-basin connection, we produced Hovmöller plots of SST, SLP, precipitation, and 250 hPa zonal wind anomalies over the north tropics (10°–20°N) correlated with the AMO index (Fig. 12). Consistent with the correlation maps of SSTA and SLPAs with the AMO index (Fig. 11), we see significant inter-basin contrasts of SSTA and SLPAs between the northern tropical Atlantic and Pacific, particularly in the CESM ATL run (Fig. 12c). Precipitation and upper-level zonal wind anomalies also show the inter-basin contrast in the CESM ATL run with positive precipitation and negative 250 hPa zonal wind anomalies extending from the Atlantic into the eastern Pacific (Fig. 12d). These features suggest that SST warming in the tropical North Atlantic associated with the positive phase of the AMO causes lower SLP, an increase in precipitation, and upper-level easterly wind anomalies over the Caribbean Sea, which leads the atmospheric dynamical response in the subtropical North Pacific. In fact, the timing for the correlation peaks of SST cooling, decreases in precipitation, and upper-level westerly wind anomalies in the subtropical Pacific are several months later than the correlation peaks in the Atlantic (Fig. 12c, d). In the MIROC ATL run (Figs. 11b, d, 12a, b), we can find the same changes of SST, SLP, precipitation, and upper-level zonal wind anomalies as the CESM ATL run, albeit much weaker in amplitude. The reason for this weaker AMO-PDO relationship in the MIROC ATL run is unclear and may arise from model systematic error, mean-state biases, or model climate sensitivity.

6 Discussion

Our partial ocean assimilation approach demonstrates that the tropical Pacific is the primary driver for PDO variability, consistent with the atmospheric bridge process.
proposed by previous studies (Miller et al. 1994; Alexander and Scott 2008; Alexander et al. 2010; Newman et al. 2016). Moving one step further based on our eqPAC runs, we were able to attribute about 40–44% of PDO variability to the tropical Pacific, similar to the findings of Alexander et al. (2002) and Liu and Alexander (2007). Although the ENSO-PDO relationship in the GLOB runs yields a reduced correlation ranging from 0.60–0.65 and a time lag ranging from 1–4 months in the CESM and MIROC, this relationship becomes more consistent in the eqPAC runs with a correlation value of 0.75 and a time lag of 5–7 months. The results of the eqPAC partial assimilations show the following process: equatorial Pacific SST warming enhances local convection activity and then causes a Matsuno-Gill type atmospheric response in the tropical Indo-Pacific region. This atmospheric forcing, in the form of a PNA-like pattern, contributes to the strengthening of Aleutian low variability. As a result, a PDO-like SST
pattern emerges and persists on interannual-to-decadal timescales.

One of the novel results of this study is that the Atlantic Ocean also affects PDO-like SST variability through two processes: the equatorial and north tropical pathways (Fig. 13). In the equatorial pathway, Atlantic Ocean variability induces changes in the equatorial Pacific through the reorganization of the global Walker circulation, which further affects the PDO through the atmospheric bridge process, as shown by the eqPAC runs (Fig. 13a). This mechanism of the equatorial pathway is consistent with previous studies about the inter-basin interaction between the Atlantic and Pacific (Rodríguez-Fonseca et al. 2009; Ding et al. 2012; Chikamoto et al. 2012; McGregor et al. 2014; Chikamoto et al. 2015b; Kucharski et al. 2016; Li et al. 2015; Ruprich-Robert et al. 2017; Cai et al. 2019). This equatorial pathway is especially evident in the MIROC ATL run but comparably weak in the CESM ATL run. In contrast to the equatorial pathway, the north tropical pathway emphasizes an AMO-induced inter-basin connection between the north tropical Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (Fig. 13b). In the tropical North Atlantic (10°–20°N), warm AMO SSTs cause increases in precipitation and lead to higher upper-tropospheric pressure through a Matsuno-Gill type atmospheric response.

Fig. 13 Schematic figure of (a) the equatorial and (b) north tropical pathways for the Atlantic impact on the PDO. The equatorial pathway highlights the role of the Walker circulation (black vertical and horizontal arrows) and a Rossby wave train. The north tropical pathway is illustrated using the correlation maps of SSTA and SLPAs (bottom) and 250 hPa anomalies of streamfunctions, precipitation, and wind vectors (top) with the AMO index at a 9 months lag.
Pacific decadal oscillation remotely forced by the equatorial Pacific and the Atlantic Oceans

(Gill 1980). Simultaneously, decreases in precipitation and lower pressure in the upper-troposphere emerge over the northwestern subtropical Pacific through local atmosphere-ocean interactions modulated by the Atlantic forcing. These north tropical atmospheric changes in the Atlantic and Pacific provide energy sources for atmospheric Rossby wave propagation toward the North Pacific resembling the Western Pacific (WP) pattern (Wallace and Gutzler 1981) rather than the PNA pattern. Overall, our ATL runs suggest that 12–29% of PDO variability originates from Atlantic Ocean variability. Given the strong correlations between the AMO and the PDO and the associated multi-decadal signal (Liu 2012), it is suggested that persistent AMO forcing may provide a source for the low-frequency component of the PDO variability compared to higher frequency forcing from the equatorial Pacific (Fig. 2g). The north tropical pathway associated with the AMO takes about 1 year to fully impact the PDO, while the equatorial pathway takes about 3 years originating from symmetric equatorial Atlantic SSTAs.

However, a question remains on what accounts for these 1- and 3-year time lags in the north tropical and equatorial pathways from the tropical Atlantic to PDO. According to the atmospheric bridge process in the eqPAC runs, it takes about half a year for ENSO to impact the PDO. In the north tropical pathway, therefore, it is suggested that the process from the tropical Atlantic to the tropical Pacific also takes about a half year. In the equatorial pathway, by contrast, the tropical Atlantic-Pacific process takes significantly longer. In the MIROC ATL run, tropical Atlantic SST cooling and associated high pressure persist 36 months before the PDO with significantly delayed responses in upper-tropospheric westerlies and precipitation over the equatorial Atlantic (Fig. 9b). These delayed atmospheric responses to the tropical Atlantic SST forcing suggest that, in the MIROC, persistent equatorial Atlantic SST forcing is important for driving the local atmospheric response in order to overcome the remote influence from the tropical Pacific. This stronger remote influence in MIROC may explain why the MIROC GLOB run shows tropical Atlantic SST warming associated with the PDO, instead of the tropical Atlantic SST cooling demonstrated by observations and the CESM GLOB run (Fig. 7a–d). This result suggests that uncertainty in our study relates to the model dependency with respect to the strength of the atmospheric response in the tropical Atlantic accounting for local SST forcing and remote impacts.

While this study separates the Atlantic impact on the PDO through two pathways, one at the equator and one at 10°–20°N, the two pathways can involve similar physical processes. According to Li et al. (2015), tropical Atlantic warming can cause the combined changes of the strengthened Pacific Walker circulation, the Matsuno-Gill type atmospheric response to equatorial forcing, and the activation of Bjerknes feedback. We clearly see this combined response in the equatorial pathway (Fig. 9a, b). In the north tropical pathway, by contrast, we can find the Matsuno-Gill type response to the off-equatorial forcing, but an unclear response in the global Walker circulation and weak activation of Bjerknes feedback, as seen in the weaker response in the equatorial Hovmöller diagram in the CESM ATL (Fig. 9c, d). Furthermore, we can find a different pattern of the atmospheric bridge process: the WP-like pattern in the north tropical pathway and the PNA-like pattern in the equatorial pathway. These results may explain the model diversity regarding the PDO response to Atlantic forcing.

Previous studies found that the AMO negatively correlates with the low-frequency component of the PDO at an 11–12 years lag in addition to a higher frequency component at a 1 year lag (Wu et al. 2011; Zhang and Delworth 2007). Whereas our CESM and MIROC GLOB runs also show a statistically significant peak of negative correlation coefficients between the AMO and PDO indices at about −11 years, with the AMO leading the PDO, this peak disappears in both CESM and MIROC ATL runs (Fig. 10). Regarding the higher frequency component at a 1 year lag, the CESM ATL run shows Rossby wave propagation from the north tropical Atlantic to Greenland (Fig. 10) but no propagation toward the North Pacific across the Eurasian continent (Okumura et al. 2009). Instead of wave propagation, the tropical atmospheric response to the AMO in the CESM ATL run resembles a northward shift in the ITCZ described by Levine et al. (2018). Therefore, our result supports the north tropical pathway through inter-basin interaction rather than a midlatitude pathway through jet stream activities (Zhang and Delworth 2007; Okumura et al. 2009; Zhang and Zhao 2015), or an Arctic Ocean pathway through the Bering Strait (Okumura et al. 2009). Nevertheless, the discrepancy between previous studies and our results may arise from model diversity, seasonal dependency, or analyzed timescales as Zhang and Delworth (2007) found that the AMO can cause changes in the mid-latitude storm track during the boreal winter on multi-decadal timescales based on a GFDL coupled model. Whereas we suggested the AMO impacts on the PDO through the north tropical pathway explain the SLPA dipole between the North Atlantic and North Pacific, other previous studies proposed that the SLP dipole is attributed to mid-latitude zonal circulations based on intermediate complexity coupled models (Sun et al. 2017; Gong et al. 2020). More research is needed to better understand the robustness of and improve performance for the AMO-PDO relationship. Important research activities, therefore, include inter-model comparisons using CMIP6 pacemaker experiments (Boer et al. 2016; Ruprich-Robert et al. 2017) as well as partial assimilation experiments using many other climate models.
7 Concluding remarks

This study focuses specifically on the North Pacific region, but the PDO is also related to the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO), which spans both northern and southern hemispheres. Since the tropical Pacific strongly impacts southern hemispheric Pacific decadal variability (Folland et al. 2002; Newman et al. 2003; Power and Colman 2006), our mechanism regarding the Atlantic impact on the PDO may be applicable to South Pacific SST variability. Correlation coefficients between the IPO Tripole Index (Henley et al. 2015) with a 13-month running average and our PDO index in ERSST, HadSST, and ProjD are 0.73, 0.75, and 0.73, respectively. Our ATL CESM and MIROC runs also show significant correlations between those indices (0.76 and 0.75), suggesting that our ATL simulations may force decadal variability in the entirety of the Pacific ocean. Future studies need to confirm this relationship.

As others have noted (e.g., Newman et al. 2016), the PDO represents a combination of many atmosphere-ocean processes. Even though tropical Pacific climate variability is the primary driver for the PDO, it is also modulated by multi-basin interactions originating from the Atlantic, as shown by this study. The tropical Pacific and Atlantic basins explain roughly 52–73% of PDO variability; however, the remaining 27–48% may originate from regional ocean-atmosphere interactions in the North Pacific itself through locally driven stochastic forcing (Hasselmann 1976; Frankignoul and Hasselmann 1977), a reemergence mechanism (Alexander and Deser 1995; Alexander et al. 1999; Hanawa and Sugimoto 2004), and wind-driven adjustments of the ocean gyre circulation (Miller et al. 1998; Taguchi et al. 2007). Additionally, the Indian Ocean has a potential to affect PDO through the inter-basin interaction between the Indian and tropical Pacific Oceans (Yu et al. 2002; Saji and Yamagata 2003; Kug and Kang 2006; Izumo et al. 2010, 2014; Dong and McPhaden 2018). Although we suggest a total of 52–73% of PDO variability originates from the Atlantic and Pacific independently, this percentage may be lower due to the interdependency of climate modes in each ocean basin. On decadal timescales, specifically, the Atlantic and the Indian Ocean play active roles for tropical Pacific climate variability (Cai et al. 2019), which can affect the PDO through tropical-extratropical teleconnections. The potential for these timescales to be affected by climate change with respect to inter-basin impacts on the PDO needs to be further investigated (Liu 2012; Zhang and Delworth 2016). More studies regarding multi-basin interactions will contribute to advancing our understanding of PDO mechanisms and predictability as well as the recent “hiatus” of global warming (Trenberth 2015), which also involved inter-basin interactions.
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