Handball Training and Competition With Facemasks in Galicia: The FISICOVID-DXTGALEGO Protocols Experience
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Objective: COVID-19 caused a complete stop in non-professional sports. The use of face masks for team sports is not a widely used measure in non-professional sports. The study aimed to evaluate the perception about using the mask and the adaptation difficulties related to training and competition in team sports following the FISICOVID-DXTGALEGO protocol.

Methods: Seven hundred eighty-seven handball players from the Galician Handball Federation were followed during their return to participation after months of confinement through an electronic questionnaire of perception and experience on the use of a mask.

Results: There is an excellent adaptation to the mask in training and competition with medium and high correlations. The 86.41% of players reported an adaptation to the mask in 3 weeks with a three times a week training frequency. The negative opinion on the mask was drastically reduced (-66.86%) after use. The 80.44% of players considered the use of a mask as an essential measure to resume competitions.

Conclusions: It is very feasible to adapt to training and compete with a mask (hygienic or surgical) in a short period. The use of a mask following these protocols changed previous opinions on the disadvantages of the mask during training and competition.
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INTRODUCTION

The practice of amateur sports has been severely affected during the lockdowns due to COVID-19 despite its well-recognized health benefits (Bull et al., 2020; Driggin et al., 2020; Comisión Europea, 2021). Maintaining sports practice during the COVID-19 outbreak is essential due to its effects on the immune system and physical condition, increasing the immune response capacity against the disease (Barker-Davies et al., 2020; da Silveira et al., 2021). However, control over the risk of contagion must be strict (Barker-Davies et al., 2020). Professional sports have returned with high safety investments (DiFiori et al., 2021; Meyer et al., 2021), while amateur and recreational sports suffer from restrictions that will weigh them down for a long time
The challenge now is to have specific measures for this group, especially considering young people (OECD, 2020) and women (Pons et al., 2020).

Research and opinion polls reported that most of the population is willing to use the mask (Angus Reid Institute, 2020; Tendencias COFARES, 2020; Yermal, 2020; Taylor and Asmundson, 2021); however, it has not been applied as a widespread solution in sports. In Galicia, the challenge has been met through the FISICOVID-DXTGALEGO protocols (Lete Lasa et al., 2021a,b), from deconfinement to the present. These protocols have established COVID-specific operational measures for all sports federations under the command of the Galician Administration (i.e., mandatory use of mask). Its objective was to create specific measures for each sport through a risk analysis methodology with the participation of all stakeholders, which increases the perception of the risk-security continuum (Stokes et al., 2020; Kemp et al., 2021; Mora-Rodríguez and Melero-López, 2021) and its successful implementation (Prasetyo et al., 2020).

Minimizing the risks (Schweizer and Renn, 2019; United Nations, 2019) was critical even in team sports like handball or soccer, with "brief and sporadic contact" (Randers et al., 2021). Handball is characterized by high intermittent efforts (Karcher and Buchheit, 2014; Wagner et al., 2014), with high demands on the respiratory (Romer and Polkey, 2008; Janssens et al., 2013) system causing fatigue (Wells and Norris, 2009), and therefore, an adaptation period must be considered when practicing with a mask (Santos-Silva et al., 2020).

The use of a mask reduces the probability of contagion and does not cause CO₂ poisoning or O₂ deficiency (Clapp et al., 2020; Steinbrook, 2020; WHO, 2020a). Experts and entities recommend evaluating and determining the use of a mask while training and competing (Kampert et al., 2020; NCAA Sport Science Institute, 2020; SEMED CGCOM, 2020a,b; American Academy of Pediatrics, n.d.; U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, n.d.), which has been associated with a lower incidence of COVID-19 (Stutt et al., 2020; Watson et al., 2021).

An inverse relationship between protection and breathability along the fascemask spectrum (from cloth to N95) (Hamuy et al., 2020; Johnson, 2016) was critical even in team sports like handball or soccer, with "brief and sporadic contact" (Rico-Díaz et al., 2020). The present study examined athletes' self-reported perceptions of perceptual responses regarding gender, age, and sporting (Timpka, 2020; Ugboh et al., 2020). The coping strategy with stressful events such as COVID-19 is individual due to perceived ability, experiences, and sociocognitive biases (Cheng and Tang, 2004). The last published review of 348 studies in 65 journals of 85 countries on the topic of Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions against COVID-19 reported the inexistence of studies on the adaptation of masks during the practice of a team sport (Perra, 2021). Therefore, this research has been proposed with these main objectives: To evaluate the perception of the use of mask and adaptation difficulties in handball training and competition; to know the influence of perceptual responses regarding gender, age, and sporting experience; and finally, to evaluate the change in perception between the time of training without a mask and after using it. The results of this study will serve to objectively inform the new decision making in the control of contagion risks using a mask without the need to entirely suspend the practice of team sports.

METHODOLOGY

This study is carried out within the FISICOVID-DXTGALEGO methodological process (Lete Lasa et al., 2021a) of the Galician Sports Administration (Secretaría Xeral para o Deporte, Xunta de Galicia) with the Handball Galician Federation, implementing together a COVID-19 specific protocol that identifies the possible routes of contagion in sports situations.

Participants and Recruitment

The whole population of handball players with a federative handball license (4,570 players) was invited for answering the questionnaire. The inclusion criteria were being active players between 9 and 36 years old. At these ages, they regularly competed in Galician handball when the study takes place. A total of 787 active players answered the questionnaire (SE95% = 3.18%), 40.8% women and 59.2% men with a mean age of 17.2 (SD ± 5.2), of which 62.5% were under 18 years of age, and 37.5% were 18 or over.

Electronic Survey Instrument

The present study examined athletes’ self-reported perceptions about using the mask in training and competition contexts. The Galician Sports Administration developed an electronic questionnaire with a group of experts from the university (professor of statistics and research methodology) and health professionals.
The aim was to know athletes’ assessment and opinions about the use of masks incorporated into their training routines and competition events and their relationship with health in general. It was pilot tested with 80 handball players not included in this analysis. The time required to complete the questionnaire was 5 min. It was sent to the entire population of Galician handball federated players 12 weeks after starting the sport with masks. After that period, the questionnaire was administered from 31/11/20 to 05/12/20, following the CHERRIES recommendations (Eysenbach, 2004).

The questionnaire consisted of 34 questions divided into five sections for the collection of: sociodemographic and player experience data, frequency of training and sports level (Table 1), the impact of the pandemic on the continuity of sports practice, data related to their health that could be related to the use of the mask and finally a section for training and competition on aspects of adaptation to the use of the mask, comfort and perceived effort (Tables 2, 3).

Closed questions with various response categories were mainly used, except when the numerical response was requested for age. In the case of questions related to perception, a Likert-type scale of five levels were used, with 1 being the lowest value and 5 the highest.

**Data Analysis**

All data analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 26.0, IBM) with a significance level set at \( P > 0.05 \). Data were expressed as mean ±SD, or percentages, as appropriate; percentages were used to compare groups. Another non-parametric measure used to explore association in categorical and ordinal variables was Chi-Square performed to analyze gender groups, age groups, and years of practice related to training context variables and competition, mask use, and perception. Kendall’s tau-b was used for ordered values.

**Ethical Considerations**

Deputy Director General of Plans and Programs of the General Secretariat of Sports of the Xunta de Galicia approved all the procedures. Data that would allow personal identification was not requested. The athletes who responded to the questionnaire gave their express written consent; in the case of minors, those who had their guardianship were given the written consent. All participants and managers were informed that the data would be used to improve the work carried out in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic by the Administration regarding the health of athletes and citizens in general in compliance with the seventeenth additional provision of Organic Law 3/2018, of December 5, on Protection of Personal Data and guarantee of digital rights.

**RESULTS**

**Description of Participants**

**Sports Identification Data**

93.77% of the athletes who responded to the questionnaire only practice handball. 71.79% of the athletes surveyed have been practicing the sport for more than 6 years (see Table 1),
TABLE 2 | Perception of the mask in handball training.

| Perception of the mask | n  | %  |
|------------------------|----|----|
| Do you know that your club/federation is applying a protocol, approved by the Xunta de Galicia, of safety measures against the contagion of COVID-19 in your sports practice? | No | 7  | 0.89 |
| | Yes | 779 | 99.11 |
| In reference to the use of the mask in your training, indicate the option that best suits your case | I don’t train with a mask | 1  | 0.13 |
| | I train with a mask depending on the context | 23 | 2.92 |
| | I always train with a mask | 763 | 96.95 |
| What type of mask do you use to COMPETE? | Medical mask | 203 | 25.86 |
| | Fabric mask (washable) | 45 | 5.73 |
| | Elastic hygienic mask (washable) | 98 | 12.48 |
| | Semi-elastic hygienic mask (keep its shape in front of the face—washable) | 429 | 54.65 |
| | FFP2/KN95 mask | 10 | 1.27 |
| How many times a week do you train with mask? | Once a week | 14 | 1.78 |
| | 2 times a week | 186 | 23.66 |
| | 3 times a week | 287 | 29.26 |
| | 4 times a week | 170 | 21.63 |
| | 5 times a week | 24 | 3.05 |
| | More than 6 times a week | 5 | 0.64 |
| How long does your workout last on average? | Between 30 and 60 min | 51 | 6.49 |
| | Between 60 and 90 min | 534 | 67.94 |
| | More than 90 min | 201 | 25.57 |
| In your club, team or sports facility: are there rest breaks to breathe without a mask? (keeping the distance of more than 1.5 m) | No | 190 | 24.17 |
| | Yes | 596 | 75.83 |
| Do you consider that you are already adapted to the use of a mask during training? | No | 157 | 21.69 |
| | Yes | 567 | 78.31 |
| How many weeks did it take you to adapt to the use of the mask while training? | 1 week | 178 | 31.39 |
| | 2 weeks | 192 | 33.86 |
| | 3 weeks | 120 | 21.16 |
| | 4 weeks | 39 | 6.88 |
| | More than 4 weeks | 38 | 6.70 |

TABLE 2 | Continued

| Perception of the mask in handball training. | n  | %  |
|-------------------------------------------|----|----|
| 3 | 236 | 30.03 |
| 4 | 75  | 9.54  |
| 5 Very positive opinion | 56  | 7.12  |
| CURRENTLY, how do you feel TRAINING with a mask (COMFORT)? | 1 Very uncomfortable | 73 | 9.29 |
| | 2 | 108 | 13.74 |
| | 3 | 251 | 31.93 |
| | 4 | 268 | 34.10 |
| | 5 Very comfortable | 86 | 10.94 |
| In your training, using the mask do you perceive that your BREATHING (VENTILATION) is negatively altered...? | 1 Heavily altered breathing | 47 | 5.98 |
| | 2 | 190 | 24.17 |
| | 3 | 230 | 29.26 |
| | 4 | 218 | 27.74 |
| | 5 Unchanged breathing | 101 | 12.85 |
| Using the mask: do you perceive MORE OR LESS EFFORT in TRAINING? | 1 Less effort | 13 | 1.7 |
| | 2 | 51 | 6.5 |
| | 3 | 225 | 28.8 |
| | 4 | 305 | 39.0 |
| | 5 More effort | 188 | 24.0 |
| Do you think that wearing a mask during training can negatively affect your health? | No | 495 | 66.37 |
| | Yes | 229 | 31.63 |
| How much do you think can negatively affect your health? | No | 50 | 1.75 |
| | Yes | 50 | 1.75 |

85.39% of the athletes stopped doing physical exercise during the period between the declaration of the state of alarm, and 92.28% returned to participation in sport.

Description of Use and Perception of the Mask in Handball Training

Considering the distribution by gender, significant differences were found between women and men considering the training variables. The percentage distribution of responses for all questions can be found in Table 2. Regarding the type of mask used, $\chi^2 (4, N = 785) = 19.913, p \leq 0.01$, 34.06% of the women used the medical mask compared to 20.22% of the men, and 58.71% of the men used the semi-elastic hygienic mask, compared to 48.75% of the women. The number of sessions per week, $\chi^2 (5, N = 786) = 23,732, p \leq 0.001$, were 3 in 50.78% of men and 48.75% of women; the mean duration of the sessions, $\chi^2 (2, N = 786) = 6.319, p \leq 0.05$, was 60 and 90 min in 72% of women compared to 64.95% of
TABLE 3 | Description of use and perception of the mask in competition.

| Mostly you compete at the level of | n | % |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Regional competitions (only within galicia) | 604 | 90.28 |
| National competitions (in galicia and/or outside galicia) | 65 | 9.72 |

| Do you wear the mask in competition? | n | % |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| No | 18 | 2.69 |
| Yes | 651 | 97.31 |

| Do you use a different type of mask training compared to competing? | n | % |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| Different mask | 729 | 92.63 |
| Same mask | 58 | 7.37 |

| What type of mask do you use to COMPETE? | n | % |
|----------------------------------------|---|---|
| Basic surgical type mask | 4 | 0.61 |
| Hygienic cloth mask (washable) | 8 | 1.23 |
| Elastic hygienic mask (washable) | 73 | 11.21 |
| Semi-elastic hygienic mask (keep its shape in front of the face—washable) | 565 | 86.79 |
| FFP2/KN95 mask | 1 | 0.15 |

| CURRENTLY, how do you feel COMPETING with a mask (COMFORT)? | n | % |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 Very uncomfortable | 68 | 10.45 |
| 2 | 119 | 18.28 |
| 3 | 236 | 36.25 |
| 4 | 194 | 29.80 |
| 5 Very comfortable | 34 | 5.22 |

| In your competition, using the mask do you perceive that your BREATHING (VENTILATION) is negatively altered...? | n | % |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 Heavily altered breathing | 24 | 3.69 |
| 2 | 125 | 19.20 |
| 3 | 227 | 34.87 |
| 4 | 189 | 29.03 |
| 5 Unchanged breathing | 86 | 13.21 |

| Using the mask: do you perceive MORE OR LESS EFFORT in COMPETITION? | n | % |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 Less effort | 5 | 0.77 |
| 2 | 37 | 5.73 |
| 3 | 182 | 28.17 |
| 4 | 277 | 42.88 |
| 5 More effort | 145 | 22.45 |

| Since you used the mask IN THE COMPETITION, has your opinion about using it in THESE ACTIVITIES changed? | n | % |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| A lot worse | 29 | 4.45 |
| Much worse | 86 | 13.21 |
| It did not vary | 277 | 42.55 |
| Much better | 250 | 38.40 |
| A lot better | 9 | 1.38 |

| How important do you think the mask was to resume competition and continue competing? | n | % |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 Not important | 26 | 3.56 |
| 2 | 21 | 2.87 |
| 3 | 96 | 13.13 |
| 4 | 138 | 18.88 |
| 5 Very important | 450 | 61.56 |

Considering the perception of the use of the mask in training, with respect to the perceived global effort, $\chi^2 (4, N = 782) = 12.188, p \leq 0.05$, $66.09\%$ of the men valued that it was more, or something more than effort compared to $58.62\%$ of women.

Considering the distribution by age in the two established categories of under 18 years ($-18$) or 18 years and older ($+18$) in the context of training, significant differences were found between the athletes of ($+18$) and those of ($-18$) in relation to the type of mask used, $\chi^2 (4, N = 785) = 19.391, p = 0.001$, $64.16\%$ of ($+18$) used the semi-elastic hygienic mask compared to $48.89\%$ of the ($-18$) the number of sessions per week who trained with a mask, $\chi^2 (5, N = 786) = 26.069, p \leq 0.001$, were $3$ in $54.08\%$ of ($+18$) vs. $46, 34\%$ of ($-18$); mean duration of the training sessions with a mask, $\chi^2 (2, N = 786) = 18.205, p \leq 0.001$, between 60 and $90$ min, $70.07\%$ of the athletes of ($+18$) trained compared to $66.67\%$ ($-18$), considering the perception of adaptation to the mask, $\chi^2 (1, N = 724) = 14.020, p \leq 0.001$, the percentage of ($-18$) ($82.65\%$) was $>70.72\%$ of those of ($+18$). Regarding the number of weeks required to adapt to the use of the mask, $\chi^2 (1, N = 567) = 10.880, p \leq 0.05$, the ($+18$) presented higher percentages than the ($-18$) in $2, 3$ and $4$ weeks in the perception prior to starting training with a mask, $\chi^2 (4, N = 786) = 18.398, p = 0.001$, the percentages of ($+18$) were higher in negative evaluations, and much compared to ($-18$) that were higher than ($+18$) in the intermediate evaluations and on the perception of whether the use of the mask while training negatively affects their health, $\chi^2 (1, N = 724) = 6.905, p \leq 0.05$, $71.80\%$ of the group of ($-18$) considered that it does not affect them negatively, compared to $62.36\%$ of the group ($+18$).

The distribution of the responses for the use and perception of the mask in competition can be found in Table 3. A Kendall’s tau-b correlation was run to determine the relationship between participants’ previous mask perception for competition, and competition participants comfort wearing mask perception, harmful disturbance of breathing, and general perception of effort during training using a mask. Positive, weak associations were found between previous mask perception for sports practice (training and competition) and participant mask comfort perception in competition context ($r_b = 0.256, p \leq 0.001$), which was statistically significant. Negative, weak associations were found between previous mask perception for sports practice (training and competition) and harmful disturbance of breathing ($r_b = -0.182, p \leq 0.001$), and general perception of effort during training ($r_b = -0.155, p \leq 0.001$), both statistically significant. A double-entry table comparing previous users mask perception with the current perception of adaptation can be found in Table 4 shows that despite the previous perception, the current majority situation is to be adapted to the use of mask in competition.

Medium and high correlations were found between the same variables in training and competition situations for the use of the mask: Comfort (Kendall’s Tau-b $= 0.588, p < 0.0001$) Breathing (Kendall’s Tau-b $= 0.626, P < 0.0001$), Effort (Kendall’s Tau-b $= 0.672, p < 0.0001$) and Change of Opinion after use (Kendall’s Tau-b $= 0.838, p < 0.0001$).
DISCUSSION

This is the first study on a sport where the mask is mandatory for all practitioners. The study aimed to evaluate the perception of masks and adaptation difficulties in handball training and competition. The main results show an excellent adaptation to the mask in training and competition with medium and high correlations. The vast majority adapted to the mask in 3 weeks with training frequencies of 3 times a week.

Perception and Time Until the Mask Adaptation in Sport

Wearing a mask while doing sports produces discomfort. Although it has a specific effect on ventilation, effort, and perception, our results showed a more significant increase (39.8%) in positive than negative opinion (17.7%) after continuous use in training and Handball competitions of 12 weeks on average. These results are very positive even though handball is trained and competed indoors, which significantly increased perceived discomfort (Liu et al., 2020).

Our results on adaptation are similar between female and male athletes in training and competition. Most athletes were able to adapt to the mask’s sporting use in 3 weeks with an average of 3 workouts per week. These results are in line with other studies (Santos-Silva et al., 2020), for example, when the differences when performing an aerobic effort in a group were analyzed of athletes with a restrictive mask (EG) and a control group (CG) without a mask, reaching the EG to equal the CG workload after 3 weeks with cardiorespiratory (Porcari et al., 2016) adaptation. This study also reported an increase of 1.5–2 points on a perceived exertion scale (RPE), which is very similar to other studies (Kido et al., 2013) and in line with our results where 60% of athletes both in training and in competition reported an increase in perceived effort.

Social Environment and Acceptance of the Use of the Mask

More than 80% of the athletes questioned considered the masks as totally necessary for returning to the practice of their sport. Before their return to participation, previous expectations of athletes with more years of experience had a somewhat more negative perception than those with fewer years of practicing that sport. Our results show the importance of knowing athletes’ attitudes before using the mask in their practice since a significant association has been found concerning the perception and assessment of their experience after using it, training, and competing. Regardless, the perception of 40% of the athletes improved after regular use. The Galician sports administration has worked together with all the stakeholders to ensure the human factor contributed so that the adopted measures could be accepted and effective (Lete Lasa et al., 2021a).

Our results reinforce the need to create an informed and positive environment (Bhatt et al., 2020; Kasting et al., 2020;
Stokes et al., 2020), thus avoiding resistance to using a mask due to lack of comfort avoiding the delay in its proper use, as showed athletes’ opinions on the necessity of the specific measures. Regarding the use of a mask, the population’s opinion is different depending on the context. A positive majority opinion has been identified in Spain (GTM, 2020; Tendencias COFARES, 2020) between 18 and 25 (74.2%). However, negative attitudes toward masks found in the US and Canada formed a network (Taylor and Asmundson, 2021). The central nodes were first to believe that masks were not effective, which is in line with other studies in the US and UK. In these studies, respondents who considered masks as an effective measure did not exceed 40% (Bhatt et al., 2020; Geldsetzer, 2020; Kasting et al., 2020; Samannan et al., 2021). Thus, a node of psychological to facemasks reactance was conformed due to mandatory use (Taylor and Asmundson, 2021). In the USA (Pierce et al., 2020), more than 10,000 parents of athletes were surveyed in 45 states, from 13 sports, resulting in perception favoring masks of only 24.3% and against 33%. The sports managers of Galicia significantly improved their ability to identify and perceive the risk of contagion and declared high satisfaction with the participatory methodology FISICOVID-DXTGALEGO (Lete Lasa et al., 2021b). In China, it was also found that cultural differences and people’s perception styles include their ability to cope with stressful events related to COVID-19 (Cheng and Tang, 2004), so it is reasonable to think that there are athletes with different styles of coping with stress in the face of stress. COVID-19 (Lete Lasa et al., 2021b), there may also be different response levels to sports mask use.

Practitioners: Difficult Return to Sports Participation

In Galicia, the pandemic suspended the sporting activity of contact sports for about 6 months, which, together with the uncertainty, was foreseeable that it would cause the participants to either change their sport (Choi and Bum, 2020) or abandon it. The efforts of all socio-sports agents have allowed the maintenance of 55% of Handball practitioners in Galicia. Forty-Nine percentage of those under 18 years of age have returned, a percentage expected by the federative managers of Galicia (Lete-Lasa et al., 2020). Maintaining this number of practitioners during crisis (Brooks et al., 2020) is due to their motivation and because 99% of athletes have and know the FISICOVID-DXTGALEGO protocol. This has highlighted the continuum of risk and safety perceived by families and athletes. Exiting the restrictions caused by COVID-19 is a challenging and essential success that means recovering and stopping the abandonment of the practice of physical-sporting activity, with the associated physical, psychological and emotional benefits associated with it (Hughes, 2020). While in the neighboring country of Portugal, the most popular team sports (handball, basketball, soccer/football, futsal, roller hockey) have retained only the 20.9% of athletes in early ages of sports training (Barbosa, 2020).

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The following practical applications are extracted from our results:

- The participation of stakeholders in the protocols is necessary for better compliance with the rules.
- It is feasible to adapt to training and competition quickly with a mask.
- Considering the 3 weeks necessary for adaptation to the mask, starting with shorter periods of effort than regular training and competition would be advisable, reaching a greater number of practitioners with a favorable opinion.

LIMITATIONS

Although athletes were instructed to use multiple masks if the current one was too wet, it wasn’t controlled and this might affect the perception of athletes’ adaptation to the mask. Also humidity and temperature of the pavilion were not controlled. This issues should be considered in future investigations on this subject.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants or participants’ legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JR-D and his collaborators managed data collection. JG-V and RM-A performed data analysis and interpretation. RM-A and DR-R prepared the draft of the article. All authors equally contributed to the design of the study, performed critical revision, and final approval. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To the Galician Sports Administration staff, to the Presidents and Coordinators FISICOVID-DXTGALEGO of the Galician sports federations and clubs, all sports technicians, athletes and families who try not to lose their sports practice. Special thanks to the President of the Galician Handball Federation, Bruno López and his entire team for his outstanding involvement to develop this research.
REFERENCES

Alcamí, A., Val, M. D., Hernán, M., Latassa, P., Jiménez, J. L., Querol, X., et al. (2020). Informe Científico Sobre Vías de Transmisión SARS-CoV-2. American Academy of Pediatrics (n.d.). COVID-19 Interim Guidance: Return to Sports and Physical Activity. American Academy of Pediatrics.

Angus Reid Institute (2020). COVID-19: Canadian Concern Over Falling Ill on the Rise Again. Angus Reid Institute. Available online at: https://angusreid.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/07_15_Masks_Concern.pdf

Barbosa, T. M. (2020). Wearing face mask during physical exercise. Motricidade 16, 1–3. doi: 10.6063/motricidade.21849

Barker-Davies, R. M., O’Sullivan, O., Senaratne, K. P., Baker, P., Cranley, M., et al. (2020). The Stanford Hall consensus statement for post-COVID-19 rehabilitation. Br. J. Sports Med. 54, 949–959. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102596

Bhatt, N., Bhatt, B., Gurung, S., Dalah, S., Jaishi, A. R., Neupane, R., et al. (2020). Perceptions and experiences of the public regarding the COVID-19 pandemic in Nepal: a qualitative study using phenomenological analysis. BMJ Open 10, e043312. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043312

Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., et al. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet 395, 912–920. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8

Bull, F. C., Al-Ansari, S. S., and Biddle, S. (2020). World health organization 2020 COVID-19: Canadian Concern Over Falling Ill on the public during the COVID-19 pandemic. Angus Reid Institute. Available online at: https://angusreid.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/07_15_Masks_Concern.pdf

Hughes, D. (2020). In the frame, road map for Australian sport on an uncertain journey through COVID-19. J. Sci. Med. Sport 23, 636–638. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2020.05.003

Kemp, S., Cowie, C. M., Gillett, M., Higgins, R., Hill, J., Iqbal, Z., et al. (2021). Sports medicine leaders working with government and public health to plan a ‘return-to-sport’ during the COVID-19 pandemic: the UK’s collaborative five-stage model for elite sport. Br. J. Sports Med. 55, 4–5. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102834

Kido, S., Nakajima, Y., Miyasaka, T., Maeda, Y., Tanaka, T., Yu, W., et al. (2013). The assessment of inspiratory muscle fatigue in healthy individuals: a systematic review. Respir. Med. 107, 331–346. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2012.11.019

Johnson, A. T. (2016). Respirator masks protect health but impact performance: a review. J. Biol. Eng. 10, 4. doi: 10.1186/s13336-016-0025-4

Lavin, K. M., Guenette, J. A., Smoliga, J. M., and Zavorsky, G. S. (2015). Collaborative five-stage model for elite sport. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 75, 2352–2371. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.03.031

Lete Lasa, J. R., Martín-Acero, J., Rico-Díaz, J., Gómez-Varela, J., Rodrigues, D., and Clavel San Emeterio, I. (2021a). [Identification tool for potential contagious risk sports]. Rev. Esp. Salud Publica. 95, e021803037.

Lete Lasa, J. R., Martín-Acero, J., Rico-Díaz, J., Gómez-Varela, J., and Rodrigues, D. (2021b). Perception of the sports social environment after the development and implementation of an identification tool for contagious risk situations in sports during the COVID-19 pandemic. Front. Psychol. 12, 610421. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.610421

Liu, C., Li, G., He, Y., Zhang, Z., and Ding, Y. (2020). Effects of wearing masks on human health and comfort during the COVID-19 pandemic. JOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 531, 012034. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/531/1/012034

Lopes-Wolters, C., and Buchheit, M. (2014). On-Court demands of elite handball, exercise to improve endurance capacity and respiratory muscle function in healthy young adults. J. Phys. Ther. Sci. 26, 755–758. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.202008-1904CME

Meeuwisse, W., et al. (2020). Return to training in the COVID-19 era: The physiological effects of face masks during exercise. Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports 30, 615–620. doi: 10.1111/sms.13832

Rico-Díaz et al. Handball Facemask User Perception
Schweizer, P., and Renn, O. (2019). Governance of systemic risks for disaster prevention and mitigation. *Disaster Prev. Manage. Int. J.*, 28, 862–874. doi: 10.1108/DPM-09-2019-0282

SEMED CGCOM (2020a). *Guía De Reincorporación a La Práctica Deportiva*. SEMED CGCOM. Available online at: http://www.femedes.org/documentos/Guia_reincorporacion_deporte-05.pdf

SEMED CGCOM (2020b). *Comunicado Sobre El Uso de Mascarillas En La Práctica Deportiva En Relación Con La Infección Por Virus SARS-COV2 Conocido Como COVID-19*. SEMED CGCOM. Available online at: http://www.femedes.es/documentos/Uso_mascarillas_deporte-02.pdf

Shaw, K., Butcher, S., Ko, J., Zello, G. A., and Chilibeck, P. D. (2020). Wearing of cloth or disposable surgical face masks has no effect on vigorous exercise performance in healthy individuals. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 17, 8110. doi: 10.3390/ijerph171218110

Smoller, J. W., Gallagher, P. J., Duncan, L. E., McGrath, L. M., Haddad, S. A., Holmes, A. J., et al. (2014). The human ortholog of acid-sensing ion channel gene ASIC1a is associated with panic disorder and amygdala structure and function. *Biol. Psychiatry*, 76, 902–910. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.12.018

Spang, R., and Pieper, K. (2020). The Tiny Impact of Respiratory Masks—on Physiological, Subjective and Behavioral Measures Under Mental Load. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs.119883/v1

Steinbrook, R. (2020). Filtration efficiency of face masks used by the public during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Intern. Med.*, 181, 470. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkmed.2020.8234

Stokes, K. A., Jones, B., Bennett, M., Close, G. L., Gilln, N., Hull, J. H., et al. (2020). Returning to play after prolonged training restrictions in professional collision sports. *Int. J. Sports Med.*, 41, 895–911. doi: 10.1055/a-1180-3692

Stutt, R. O. J. H., Retkute, R., Bradley, M., Gilligan, C. A., and Colvin, J. (2020). A modelling framework to assess the likely effectiveness of facemasks in combination with ‘lock-down’ in managing the COVID-19 pandemic. *Proc. R. Soc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 476, 20200376. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2020.0376

Taylor, S., and Asmundson, G. J. G. (2021). Negative attitudes about facemasks during the COVID-19 pandemic: the dual importance of perceived ineffectiveness and psychological reactance. *PLoS ONE*, 16, e0246317. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0246317

Tendencias COFARES (2020). *Encuesta de Hábitos de Protección Ante La COVID En España*. Tendencias COFARES.

Timpka, T. (2020). Sports health during the SARS-Cov-2 pandemic. *Sport Med.*, 50, 1413–1416. doi: 10.1007/s40279-020-01288-7

U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (n.d.). *Considerations for Youth Sports Administrators*. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Umbach, P. A., Stough, L. R., and Holowka, D. J. (2018). Exercise-induced respiratory muscle fatigue: implications for performance. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, 104, 879–888. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01157.2007

U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (n.d.). *Recommendations for Youth Sports Administrators*. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (n.d.). *Recommendations for Youth Sports Administrators*. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (n.d.). *Recommendations for Youth Sports Administrators*. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (n.d.). *Recommendations for Youth Sports Administrators*. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (n.d.). *Recommendations for Youth Sports Administrators*. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
Yermal, B. (2020). In April, Half of the Public had Worn a Face Mask in the Past Month. Now, That Number is 95%. Available online at: https://morningconsult.com/2020/07/30/covid-face-masks-polling-update/ (accessed July 20, 2020).

Conflict of Interest: DR-R was employed by company ATP Entrenamiento Personal.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Rico-Díaz, Río-Rodríguez, Gómez-Varela and Martín-Acero. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.