Modelling the Economic Impact and Ripple Effects of Disease Outbreaks
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Abstract
The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak has had alarming effects on human lives and the economies of affected countries. With the world’s manufacturing hubs experiencing a period of extended factory closures, the economic impact transcends territorial borders via global supply chains. This paper provides a roadmap on how to evaluate the vulnerability that cascades through the supply chain due to a disease outbreak at the firm level, national level, and global scale. The final extent of losses is not yet known, but the development of economic models combined with epidemiological models and network analysis techniques can yield more realistic estimates to select appropriate strategies in a timely manner.
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The emergence of global supply chains exposes economies to risks that go beyond their territorial borders. Beyond natural hazards and man-made disasters, the world is unprepared to handle epidemic outbreaks such as the COVID-19 outbreak. From its first reported case on December 30, 2019, the number of confirmed cases has grown at an exponential rate and continues to rise to date. As of this writing, more than 89,000 individuals have been infected (with more than 7000 cases outside of China) and more than 3000 have died. The virus has spread to more than 60 countries other than China (Dong et al. 2020). Several studies have found that the transmissibility of COVID-19 has a basic reproduction number, $R_0$, of 2–6.47, on average 3.58 (Liu et al. 2020), meaning the disease can spread from an average person to anywhere in between 2 and 6.47 people. This is higher than other recent disease outbreaks such as SARS, A(H1N1), Ebola, and MERS-CoV, which have $R_0$ values of 2–5 (World Health Organization 2003), 1.4–1.6 (Baldo et al. 2016), 1.50–2.67 (Althaus 2014), and 0.6–1.3 (Maclntyre 2014) respectively.

Governments around the world have issued travel restrictions, hindering foreign nationals who have been to China to enter their territories. In addition, a lockdown has been imposed in Wuhan, China, during the peak of the Chinese Lunar New Year holiday. Compared with previous years, air travel demand in China has declined by 40% by the end of January as a result of the outbreak (International Air Transport Association (IATA) 2020a). This downtrend is expected to continue in the next 6 months should previous trends prevail (IATA 2020b). The exponential growth of confirmed cases has led to China’s State Council to declare an initial extension of the holiday to February 2, 2020, but later revised to February 9 for some provinces and February 13 for Hubei Province (Dezan Shira and Associates 2020). Wuhan, the epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak, is an automobile factory hub with global brands such as General Motors, Hyundai, and Toyota (Conelly 2020). Aside from these car manufacturing plants, multinational companies such as Apple, Alphabet, Starbucks, McDonald’s, and Proctor and Gamble have closed production facilities, offices, and restaurants across China (Cerullo 2020).

Most studies have focused on looking into the vulnerability of China as a result of its dependence on other countries for resources such as oil (Sun et al. 2017), changes in environmental policy to reduce emissions (Deng et al. 2016), and water scarcity issues (Wang et al. 2014). However, studies have failed to account for the possibility of experiencing labor shortages in China that can result from a disease outbreak. Such instances can impact not just local or regional activities
but also global markets especially since China has become an economic giant.

Aside from China, travel restrictions have been imposed for countries such as Iran, Italy, South Korea, and Japan as the number of confirmed cases in these countries continues to grow (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2020). In Japan’s Hokkaido prefecture, government went as far as asking citizens to remain indoors (Reuters 2020). These non-pharmaceutical measures lead to further losses in the hotels, restaurants, and other related sectors due to reduced number of tourists and fear of contagion. The decline in economic activity has caused share prices and commodity prices to fall. Stock markets around the world continue to suffer as the disease continues to spread. The world’s 500 richest people collectively lost $444 billion in a period of 1 week from February 21 to 28, 2020 (Metcalf et al. 2020).

Past studies have used econometric and statistical methods to estimate the economic impact of disease outbreaks. Meltzer et al. (1999) estimated the potential impact of an influenza pandemic in the USA based on the gross attack rates but without accounting for multiplier effects which can cause significant losses to the workforce. Beutels et al. (2009) employed cross-correlation functions to compare the evolution of time series data trends to measure the economic impact of SARS in Beijing and found that there is no long-term effect since consumption spending was merely postponed. Keogh-Brown and Smith (2008) conducted a retrospective estimation using macroeconomic indicators for the affected countries and found that there was no significant impact on the economy with the exception of accommodation and restaurant sectors in Hong Kong, Australia, and Canada. Joo et al. (2019) estimated the impact of the MERS-CoV to the tourism-related sectors of the Korean economy through using a seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average model. However, these failed to capture the interdependencies across various industries within the economy.

Leontief (1936) won the Nobel Prize for developing the input-output model, which accounts for direct and indirect impacts of such disruptions on the economy. This was extended into the inoperability input-output model that yields two impact assessment measures, the degree of inoperability and economic loss which has been used to assess the impact of workforce absenteeism due to the influenza pandemic in the US National Capital Region thereby capturing the ripple effects as well as the impact of introducing interventions (El Haimar and Santos 2015). Workforce absenteeism is not only caused by the absence of workers who contracted the disease, but also workers who need to take care of sick members of the household (Santos et al. 2020). School closures may also increase workforce absenteeism as parents need to care for school-age children in the absence of childcare. In the UK, evidence showed that rate of workforce absenteeism due to school closures is 16.1%, which will further amplify the shortage of health workers in times of crisis (Sadique et al. 2008) Multi-regional analysis has been used to assess trade effects (Duan et al. 2018) and sustainability performance (Wang et al. 2020) for global supply chains; however, there is a dearth of studies that use this approach to evaluate the vulnerability that cascades through the supply chain due to disease outbreak. This can be implemented on different levels:

- At the firm level, input-output analysis can be used to illustrate the interactions between departments and teams necessary to produce in the production process. Particular examples include hospital management (Correa and Parker 2005) and business process outsourcing firm operation (Aviso et al. 2017). Optimization techniques can also be employed for efficient allocation of available resources during crisis conditions (Tan et al. 2018). P-graph network analysis (Friedler et al. 1992) may also be extended to assess multi-plant firms, which may be located in different countries, to mitigate potential losses due to plant closures.

- At the national level, there is a need to develop inter-regional statistical databases that will establish the interdependence of individual regions and provinces and reflect the intensity of activities taking place between regions, especially for developing economies. Developed countries typically have this database available through their various statistics agencies. Such information will allow countries to model internal impacts of possible disruptions within the system and develop risk management measures to enhance their resilience.

- At the global level, multi-regional input-output databases have been published by international agencies such as the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), European Union (EU), and the Asian Development Bank (ADB) to account for interdependencies between sectors across economies. As production networks move towards a globalized direction, networks become even more complex such that effects are compounded by feedback loops which are difficult to anticipate by intuition. For example, travel restrictions result in less commercial activity and less demand for resources to support activities; labor shortage results in plant closures resulting in reduced productivity and disruptions in the supply chains. In addition, new interventions arising from apprehension due to incomplete information and uncertainty, further disrupt operations.

Economic models should be coupled with disease transmission models to include dynamics of the spread of outbreaks. Mathematical models such as the Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered (SIR) model and its extensions (Grassly and Fraser 2008) combined with mobility data yield epidemic curves that will ensure that the economic models will capture the impact of workforce absenteeism. In addition, sensitivity analysis may be implemented in accounting for the variability of recovery rate among the infected.
The COVID-19 outbreak has proven the centrality of human resource in economic operations. It is imperative for us to develop assessment frameworks that will account for interactions across states, countries, and regions which provide timely approximations, with appropriate disclaimers on precision, for emergency decision-making. In addition, there is a need to explore other alternative approaches to assess potential risks posed by the spread of disease outbreaks and to develop risk management strategies to hedge against the impact of pandemics. These strategies include establishing more systematic protocols (Nicoll et al. 2010) and building a database of cases for disease surveillance (Gates 2020), streamlining processes for vaccination development and deployment (Jennings et al. 2012; Chambers et al. 2008; Innis et al. 2019), putting in place emergency response plans both at the local and national levels (Chambers et al. 2012), and Instituting cost-effective measures (e.g., hospital quarantines, use of anti-viral stockpiling) drawn from lessons of past experiences (Pasquini-Descomps et al. 2017).

Furthermore, by utilizing current economic modelling techniques to develop optimization models which can select the most efficient and cost-effective option among available risk management strategies, and harnessing the emergence of big data and the advancements in network analysis, enhanced results can be achieved for better policymaking.
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