Spontaneous coronary artery dissection is a rare, life-threatening cause of acute myocardial infarction that must always be considered in the differential diagnosis, particularly in young, healthy women with a paucity of typical risk factors for heart disease. We present a case of a 39-year-old White woman, three months postpartum, presenting with severe epigastric chest pain radiating to her neck. Subsequent workup using coronary angiography revealed spontaneous dissection of the distal left anterior descending artery. The patient was successfully managed by conservative treatment using low dose aspirin, metoprolol, and captopril, highlighting that hemodynamically stable patients with lesions in distal branches of coronary arteries and single-vessel disease can be successfully managed in a conservative fashion, without the need for surgical or percutaneous revascularization.
Her EKG showed sinus rhythm with sinus arrhythmia and anterolateral T wave inversions concerning for anterior ischemia. Cardiac biomarkers included a creatine kinase level of 261 U/L (normal range, 33-211 U/L), a creatine kinase-MB fraction of 13 U/L (normal, <10 U/L), and a troponin I level of 5.22 ng/mL (normal, <0.01 ng/mL). She was transferred from an urgent care facility after aspirin and ticagrelor loading for percutaneous coronary intervention. Her peak troponin I was 5.4. Angiography revealed spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) of the distal left anterior descending (LAD) artery without any other atherosclerotic disease (Figures 2-3). The other coronary arteries were normal. Catheterization also revealed a mildly reduced left ventricular ejection fraction of 45% and akinetic apical segments of the left ventricle.

**FIGURE 1:** Electrocardiogram (EKG) on presentation showing sinus rhythm with sinus arrhythmia and T wave inversions concerning for anterior ischemia (asterisks).

**FIGURE 2:** Angiography of the left coronary artery (left and middle image) and right coronary artery (right image). Left coronary angiography showing spontaneous coronary artery dissection of the distal left anterior descending artery with TIMI 2 flow (arrows).

**FIGURE 3:** Angiography revealed akinetic apical segments (arrow) with
an estimated left ventricular ejection fraction of 45%.

She was started on medical management for SCAD, which included low dose aspirin, metoprolol, and captopril. Dual antiplatelet therapy and heparin were not given because of the risk for increased bleeding and no clear evidence of benefit. She was admitted to the cardiac critical care unit for observation and remained hemodynamically stable during her stay. Echocardiography done after one day confirmed wall motion abnormalities of the left ventricular apex with an estimated low normal left ventricular ejection fraction of 50%-55% (Figure 4). Laboratory workup for possible connective tissue disorders or vasculitis was done as underlying coronary vasculitis may increase the risk for spontaneous dissection and it was negative for rheumatoid factor, anti-CCP and ANA and only positive for atypical perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (P-ANCA). She was discharged two days after the presentation on medical therapy. The patient was doing well on the subsequent follow-up visit.

FIGURE 4: Transthoracic echocardiography on day 2 revealed regional wall motion abnormalities of the left ventricular apex with a low normal estimated left ventricular ejection fraction of 50%-55%. The right ventricle had normal systolic function and size. The left ventricle in four chamber view is shown in diastole (left) and systole (right) with an akinetic apex (arrow). No left ventricular thrombus was present.

Discussion

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection in the postpartum period is a rare but life-threatening event and several cases have been reported in the past [5-11]. The pathogenesis of pregnancy related-SCAD remains uncertain, and it is suggested that hormonal and hemodynamic changes during the peripartum period weaken the coronary artery walls, predisposing them to acute dissection. Women of advanced age are reported to have a higher risk of myocardial infarction (MI). Other risk factors for SCAD include systemic arteriopathies such as fibromuscular dysplasia, connective tissue disorders, extreme emotional stress, family history of SCAD, and, less frequently, coronary vasospasm and cocaine abuse [12,13].

Pregnancy-related SCAD is reported to occur up to three months after delivery in almost 80% of cases, at a median time of 13 days postpartum [14]. Dissection may be seen in any coronary artery; however, the LAD or left circumflex is involved in about 80% of cases [6]. The dissections may be multifocal in about 40% of the cases [6]. In this case, the combination of hormonal changes during pregnancy, advanced maternal age, history of severe emotional distress and smoking is the most likely etiology for this patient’s MI secondary to coronary artery dissection.

Clinically, SCAD can present as stable or unstable angina, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, cardiac tamponade, cardiogenic shock, or sudden cardiac death [15,16]. As in the non-pregnant population, chest pain is the most common symptom at the initial presentation. Other common symptoms include nausea, diaphoresis, and breathlessness [12]. Initial evaluation includes an electrocardiogram, cardiac biomarkers, and a transthoracic echocardiogram. The diagnosis of SCAD is typically confirmed with the use of conventional coronary angiography. Figure 5 presents an algorithm for the diagnosis and management of SCAD in a postpartum female.
Management of SCAD should be individualized, based on the patient’s hemodynamic status, the site of dissection, and the extent of vessel involvement and may range from conservative medical therapy to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass graft (CABG). For hemodynamically stable patients with lesions in distal branches of coronary arteries and single-vessel disease, medical treatment with antiplatelets (acetylsalicylic acid, clopidogrel), glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, beta blockers, and nitroglycerin may be the best choice [17]. In patients with ongoing ischemia, unstable hemodynamics, or left main artery involvement, PCI or CABG should be considered [1]. PCI in SCAD is associated with complications such as extending the dissection and guidewires entering the false lumen [1]. In patients with unstable hemodynamics, multi-vessel involvement, failure of attempted PCI, or left main stem or proximal artery dissection, CABG is typically the treatment of choice [1]. Most of the studies discourage the use of fibrinolytic agents due to the risk of extension of the coronary dissection and worsening of any coronary spasm by compressing the vascular lumen [18]. Given the location of the dissection (distal LAD) and stable hemodynamic status of our patient, we chose to proceed with conservative therapy with low dose aspirin, metoprolol, and captopril. Further, recurrence of SCAD may be seen in 5.2% to 17% of patients, and they should be closely followed for any recurrent events or cardiac complications.

**Conclusions**

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) should be strongly considered in the differential diagnosis of
for young women who present with signs and symptoms of acute coronary syndrome during pregnancy or the postpartum period. PCI has an increased risk of complications, and recurrence rates are high. Management requires a multidisciplinary approach and depends upon the clinical presentation, coronary anatomy, and hemodynamic stability of the patient.
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