Daily lifestyle behaviors and risks of sarcopenia among older adults
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Abstract

Background: Lifestyle behaviors are modifiable factors that can provide information for designing intervention strategies for sarcopenia. The present study aimed to identify the relationships between a range of daily lifestyle behaviors and sarcopenia risks among older adults.

Methods: A nationwide telephone-based survey targeting older adults (≥65 years) was performed in Taiwan. Data based on self-reported daily lifestyle behaviors (food selection, physical activity, sitting time, and sleep duration), the presence or absence of sarcopenia (measured by SARC-F), and personal characteristics were obtained. Binary logistic regression models were applied.

Results: A total of 1068 older adults participated in this survey. In the adjusted model, older adults who selected unbalanced foods (odds ratio [OR] = 1.93, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.12–3.34), engaged in insufficient physical activity (OR = 5.14, 95% CI = 3.04–8.70), and sat for longer periods of time (OR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.09–3.59) were more likely to have higher risks of sarcopenia. No significant association was observed for sleep duration.

Conclusions: The results of this study highlight that, among health behaviors, an unbalanced food selection (six nutrients), not meeting physical activity recommendations (150 min/week), and a higher sitting time (≥7 h/day) were risk factors for sarcopenia among older adults. Intervention programs for sarcopenia prevention in older adults should focus on promoting balanced food selection, sufficient physical activity, and reduced sitting time.
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Background

Sarcopenia is a geriatric syndrome caused by the progressive loss of skeletal muscle mass and function with age [1]. It is associated with increased risks of falls, disability, poor quality of life, and premature death [2, 3]. Despite the aforementioned adverse health outcomes, recent systematic reviews have revealed sarcopenia to be present in approximately 10% of the older adults worldwide [4, 5], which could lead to economic and societal burdens [6, 7]. With the rapidly growing number of older adults [8], especially in Eastern Asia, where this rate is the highest [9], sarcopenia is also expected to increase significantly [10]. Therefore, there is a need to design effective sarcopenia prevention programs or to develop strategies to prevent sarcopenia that can be incorporated in the daily lives of older adults [11].

The risk factors of lifestyle health behaviors for sarcopenia are controllable and modifiable on a daily basis and are also markedly related to the progression of the most common non-communicable diseases [12]. Therefore, it is important to investigate specific lifestyle behaviors in the everyday lives of older adults to prevent
sarcopenia. Systematic reviews have identified some lifestyle behaviors such as physical activity [13, 14] and sleep duration [15] to be associated with higher sarcopenia risks. Moreover, previous research has also investigated the association between specific nutrients [16, 17], rather than overall food selection, and sarcopenia. It is of value to know whether adherence to the current Taiwanese dietary guidelines can play a protective role against sarcopenia in older adults. Furthermore, a number of studies have targeted sedentary behavior, which may occupy considerable amounts of their time each day [18], and is a novel risk behavior for poor health in older adults [19]. Although there were some studies that examined the linear [20, 21] and non-linear associations [22] between sedentary behavior and sarcopenia among the older population, a recent meta-analysis has reported the novel evidence of a cutoff point of 7 h/day for self-reported measures of sedentary time for mortality [23]. To the best of our knowledge, no previous research has examined the cutoff point for sarcopenia risk with this new evidence; therefore, further investigation should be conducted with this updated evidence.

Taiwan provides a unique setting to investigate lifestyle factors and sarcopenia. This setting is representative of Asian countries with respect to its specific diet- and activity-related cultures. For example, a typical Asian meal usually comprises rice as a staple with several side dishes. Furthermore, some specific physical activities such as tai chi and qigong are common in the older adults living in Asian countries. Over the last four decades, the older population in Taiwan has more than tripled, with the percentage dramatically increasing from 4.4 to 16% [24]. However, to our knowledge, no study has examined the associations between a range of daily lifestyle behaviors and sarcopenia risks in Taiwan. Such evidence based on the local context is particularly critical for intervention designers and health-promotion practitioners. We hypothesized that, among older Taiwanese adults, each unhealthy lifestyle behavior was associated with an increased risk of sarcopenia. Therefore, this study examined the associations of four daily lifestyle behaviors with the risks of sarcopenia in a sample of older Taiwanese adults.

Methods
Participants and procedures
From October 2019 to January 2020, a cross-sectional telephone-based investigation targeting Taiwanese older adults (≥65 years) was conducted. The sampling was conducted by a random-digit-dialing telephone-based survey using the national household telephone directory with landline telephone numbers. The potential target population comprised 3,607,127 older adults in December 2019 [25]. We used a two-stage sampling procedure to obtain a nationwide representative sample whose basic characteristics matched those of the older adult population in Taiwan. The first stage geographically stratified Taiwan into four areas (eastern, southern, western, and northern). Further, in the second stage, the participants were randomly chosen according to sex (men or women) and age group (65–74 or over 75 years). All the telephone calls were performed by a telephone research service company. In each telephonic interview, a standardized and structured questionnaire was administered by a well-trained interviewer who had received at least 8 h of training prior to the survey. The interviewers were trained to identify the participants’ ability to comprehend and answer the questions. If any participant could not understand specific questions or answer the questions logically even after receiving an explanation, the survey was suspended. Furthermore, technical (e.g., flicker noise) and other conditions (e.g., interruptions) led to some incomplete surveys, which were excluded from our analysis. In the survey duration, a total of 2352 older adults were interviewed, 1068 of whom completed the survey (response rate: 45.4%). No incentives were provided. Verbal informed consent was obtained at the beginning of each phone survey. All the procedures used in this study were reviewed and confirmed by the Research Ethics Committee of National Taiwan Normal University (REC number: 201706HM020).

Sarcopenia
Sarcopenia was identified using SARC-F, a quick screening tool for sarcopenia that is widely used in several countries [26–28]. The SARC-F score has been validated to be inversely associated with the grip strength and the percentage of skeletal muscle mass in Taiwanese older adults [29]. The SARC-F questionnaire included 5 items: (1) sluggishness; (2) assistance in walking; (3) rising from a chair; (4) climbing stairs; and, (5) falls. Detailed descriptions of these five items have been reported previously [29]. Each item was scored from 0 to 2, and the scores were summed up to calculate the total score. Scores of 4 or higher were categorized under sarcopenia [26].

Daily lifestyle behaviors
This study examined the associations of four different daily lifestyle behaviors with sarcopenia risks.

Food selection
The participants were requested to answer the question, “Do you have a habit of the balanced intake of the six categories of food according to the Taiwanese dietary guidelines?” as yes (balanced) or no (unbalanced). The six categories of food included were...
“whole grains,” “vegetables,” “fruit,” “dairy products,” “legumes, fish, eggs, and meat,” and “oils, fats, nuts, and seeds.” If the participants enquired about the definition of a balanced diet, the interviewers were trained to provide an instruction along with the question based on the dietary guidelines [30]. The yes/no question has also been utilized in previous investigations of older Taiwanese adults [31–33].

Physical activity

Physical activity was assessed by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire—short version (IPAQ-SV). This questionnaire was widely used in surveys of older populations across countries [34–36]. Its test-retest reliability was 0.78 and the criterion validity (compared with accelerometers) was 0.31–0.41 [37]. This questionnaire comprised seven items that measured the frequency and duration of walking behavior, moderate-intensity activity, and vigorous-intensity activity. The total weekly minutes of each activity category were first summed and categorized as sufficient and insufficient physical activity levels based on the physical activity guideline of 150 min or more per week [38].

Sitting time

The sitting time was also measured by the IPAQ-SV. The participants were asked, “In the last seven days, how much time did you usually spend sitting in a day?” According to a previous review of sedentary time cutoff points, a higher sitting time was defined as ≥8 h/day [23, 39]. Accordingly, the responses in the present study were categorized as “high” (sitting ≥7 h/day) and “low” (<7 h/day).

Sleep duration

Self-reported sleep duration was measured from the responses to the following question, “In the past month, how many hours did you actually sleep on an average night?”, which was similar to that asked in a previous study [40] and a question in the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index questionnaire [41]. The overall sleep duration was categorized into “suggested sleep” (sleep duration of 7–8 h/day), recommended by National Sleep Foundation [42] and “shorter or longer sleep” (sleep duration of <7 or ≥8 h/day) [43, 44].

Covariates

The self-reported demographics were collected through a standardized questionnaire and were included as covariates in the analyses. Demographics including sex, age group (65–74 or 75+ years), residential geographic areas (eastern, southern, middle, and northern), education (elementary or below, middle or high school, and university or higher), marital status (married and unmarried/divorced/widowed), employment (full-time and not full-time), living status (alone and not alone), height, and weight were reported. Body mass index was defined as the weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of height (in meters) and the cutoffs for the different categories (underweight, normal, overweight, or obese) used were the standards provided by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Taiwan [45]. The participants were categorized as underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5–24 kg/m²), overweight (>24–30 kg/m²), and obese (>30 kg/m²).

Statistical analyses

We used chi-square tests to examine the differences in demographic characteristics and lifestyle factors between the two sarcopenia risk groups. Further analyses using binary logistic regression models estimated the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the lifestyle factors for the high-risk sarcopenia group (i.e., compared with the low-risk group), before and after adjusting for covariates. All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, 2011), and the level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

The analyses included a total of 1068 older adults among the individuals over 65 years of age in Taiwan during the study period. Approximately half of the study population comprised men (n = 505, 47.3%). The mean age was 72.1 years (range, 65–92 years), and the prevalence of sarcopenia was 7.3% (n = 78) (Table 1). Compared with individuals without sarcopenia, those with sarcopenia were more likely to be female, be older, have a lower educational level, be single, lack a full-time job, live alone, have an unhealthy body weight, report the selection of unbalanced foods, engage in insufficient physical activity, sit for less than 7 h/day, and have shorter or longer sleep durations (Table 1).

In the unadjusted model, all the lifestyle factors investigated were associated with sarcopenia risk (Table 2). Overall, older adults who selected unbalanced foods, engaged in insufficient physical activity, sat for ≥7 h/day, and slept less or more had higher risks of sarcopenia. After adjusting for all the covariates, the strengths of most of the associations were attenuated. However, there was evidence for the associations of sarcopenia risk with selecting unbalanced foods (OR = 1.93, 95% CI = 1.12–3.34), insufficient physical activity (OR = 5.14, 95% CI = 3.04–8.70), and prolonged sitting time (OR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.09–3.59). No significant association was observed between sarcopenia risk and sleep duration (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 0.74–2.16).
### Table 1: Characteristics of the study sample (n = 1068)

| Studied characteristics                        | Non-sarcopenia | Sarcopenia | χ²   | Degrees of freedom | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------|--------------------|---------|
|                                              | n             | n          |      |                    |         |
| Sex                                           |               |            |      |                    |         |
| Men                                           | 484           | 21         | 14.00| 1                  | < 0.001 |
| Women                                         | 506           | 57         |      |                    |         |
| Age group (years)                              |               |            |      |                    |         |
| 65–74                                          | 693           | 38         | 15.16| 1                  | < 0.001 |
| 75+                                           | 297           | 40         |      |                    |         |
| Residential geographic areas                  |               |            | 6.19 | 3                  | 0.103   |
| Northern                                      | 425           | 23         |      |                    |         |
| Middle                                        | 238           | 20         |      |                    |         |
| Southern                                      | 272           | 29         |      |                    |         |
| Eastern and island                            | 55            | 6          |      |                    |         |
| Education                                     |               |            | 20.07| 2                  | < 0.001 |
| Elementary or below                           | 357           | 48         |      |                    |         |
| Middle or high school                         | 374           | 19         |      |                    |         |
| University or higher                          | 259           | 11         |      |                    |         |
| Marital status                                |               |            | 12.53| 1                  | < 0.001 |
| Married                                       | 781           | 48         |      |                    |         |
| Unmarried/divorced/widowed                    | 209           | 30         |      |                    |         |
| Employment                                    |               |            | 8.84 | 1                  | 0.003   |
| Full-time                                     | 124           | 1          |      |                    |         |
| Not full-time                                 | 866           | 77         |      |                    |         |
| Living status                                 |               |            | 5.47 | 1                  | 0.019   |
| Alone                                         | 86            | 13         |      |                    |         |
| Not alone                                     | 904           | 65         |      |                    |         |
| Body mass index                               |               |            | 12.16| 3                  | 0.007   |
| Underweight (< 18.5 kg/m²)                    | 32            | 5          |      |                    |         |
| Normal weight (18.5–24 kg/m²)                 | 496           | 32         |      |                    |         |
| Overweight (> 24–30 kg/m²)                    | 312           | 19         |      |                    |         |
| Obese (> 30 kg/m²)                            | 150           | 22         |      |                    |         |
| Food selection                                |               |            | 10.78| 1                  | 0.001   |
| Balanced                                      | 791           | 50         |      |                    |         |
| Unbalanced                                    | 199           | 28         |      |                    |         |
| Physical activity                             |               |            | 74.55| 1                  | < 0.001 |
| Sufficient                                    | 861           | 39         |      |                    |         |
| Insufficient                                  | 129           | 39         |      |                    |         |
| Sitting time                                  |               |            | 10.08| 1                  | 0.002   |
| Low (< 7 h/day)                               | 145           | 22         |      |                    |         |
| High (≥7 h/day)                               | 845           | 56         |      |                    |         |
| Sleep duration                                |               |            | 4.57 | 1                  | 0.033   |
| Suggested (7–8 h/day)                         | 290           | 14         |      |                    |         |
| Shorter or longer (< 7 or > 8 h/day)          | 700           | 64         |      |                    |         |
Discussion

Given the lack of evidence regarding the modifiable risk factors for sarcopenia in Taiwan, this nationwide survey examined a range of lifestyle behaviors and their associations with sarcopenia risks in a representative sample of older Taiwanese adults. Consistent with previous findings [17, 46], the key findings of the present study indicated that, after controlling for potential covariates, older adults who selected unbalanced foods, did not achieve physical activity recommendations, and engaged in prolonged sitting times were more likely to be at a risk of sarcopenia. However, sleep duration was not related to sarcopenia risk, which is inconsistent with the previously reported findings [15]. The lack of an association between sleep duration and sarcopenia may be attributable to the small sample size. Future studies using prospective designs or meta-analyses pooling data to include a large sample size to test the associations of diverse lifestyle factors with sarcopenia are suggested. Although the associations between these daily lifestyle behaviors and sarcopenia are already known, our findings provide important local evidence with advanced cutoff points of sedentary risks and suggest that behavioral change-related interventions including education, training, and enablement should prioritize food selection, physical activity, and sitting time.

Our findings provide evidence that the older adults who selected a balanced diet following the Taiwanese dietary guideline (six categories of food) [30] were less likely to be at a risk of sarcopenia. However, this finding was inconsistent with that of a previous study in Western countries that reported that adherence to the dietary pattern was not related to sarcopenia in older adults [47]. This difference may be attributable to the variations in dietary patterns across countries. The dietary pattern in Taiwan is characterized by grains, dairy products, vegetables and fruits, meat, and fats whereas that in Western countries often features a high consumption of soy, sugar, and fast foods. A balanced food selection based on the Taiwanese guidelines may enable older adults to consume sufficient nutrients (e.g., protein, amino acids, and vitamins D and E) as a part of their daily food consumption [48].

Systematic reviews have shown that achieving the recommended levels of physical activity is an effective protective strategy against sarcopenia and has a positive impact on muscle mass/strength and physical capacities in older adults [13, 14]. The benefits of physical activity are attributed to the activation of muscle stem cells by physical activity through increased protein synthesis or new satellite cell fusions [49]. Therefore, achieving the current guideline of 150 min/week [50] is critical for sarcopenia prevention in older adults. Moreover, previous reviews and studies have indicated a lack of evidence on the relationship between food selection and sarcopenia risk in older adults, highlighting the significance of implementing lifestyle behaviors by conforming to dietary and physical activity guidelines for older adults.

Another important finding was that the older adults with daily sitting times ≥7 h were more likely to have sarcopenia risks; this was similar to previous findings that showed that the risk of sarcopenia increased by 6–33% for each 1-h increase in sedentary behavior per day [21, 22]. However, previous studies using different risk cutoffs of sedentary behavior reported that the sarcopenia risk of older adults sitting for 11 h/day or more was twice of those sitting for less than 4 h/day [22]. The study highlighted, with advanced evidence, the

Table 2: Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of daily lifestyle factors associated with sarcopenia risk (n = 1068)

| Studied characteristics | Unadjusted | Adjusteda |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
|                         | OR (95% CI)| OR (95% CI)|
| Food selection          |            |           |
| Balanced                | 1.00       | 1.00      |
| Unbalanced              | 2.23 (1.37 , 3.63) | 1.93 (1.12 , 3.34) |
| Physical activity       |            |           |
| Sufficient              | 1.00       | 1.00      |
| Insufficient            | 6.67 (4.13 , 10.80) | 5.14 (3.04 , 8.70) |
| Sitting time            |            |           |
| Low (< 7 h/day)         | 1.00       | 1.00      |
| High (≥7 h/day)         | 2.29 (1.36 , 3.87) | 1.98 (1.09 , 3.59) |
| Sleep duration          |            |           |
| Suggested (7–8 h/day)   | 1.00       | 1.00      |
| Shorter or longer (< 7 or > 8 h/day) | 1.89 (1.05 , 3.43) | 1.26 (0.74 , 2.16) |

aThe models are adjusted for sex, age group, residential geographic areas, education, marital status, employment, living status, body mass index, and all the other characteristics in the Table. OR Odds ratio; CI Confidence interval.
sarcopenia risk of sitting for more than 7 h/day in older adults. These positive associations may be explained by the relationship between a longer sitting time and increased levels of inflammatory markers and the lack of skeletal muscular contractions, which may contribute to an accelerated loss of muscle mass and strength [21, 51]. Moreover, lower muscle mass and strength were associated with lower limb dysfunction [52, 53], which leads to difficulties in performing daily errands. Therefore, these findings suggest the importance of encouraging older adults to limit their sitting time to less than 7 h/day for sarcopenia prevention.

This study had several limitations. First, this study used self-reported measures of lifestyle behaviors and risks of sarcopenia, which may lead to recall bias in older adults. Moreover, physical activity and sitting time were not assessed by different domains (i.e., leisure, transportation), which are related to different health outcomes [34, 54]. In addition, food selection was measured by a single question on general dietary consumption rather than questions on specific dietary constituents such as vitamin D and E, proteins, and amino acids, which are associated with sarcopenia risk [16, 17]. Despite these limitations, these self-reported measures have been shown to be valid and suitable for use in nationwide epidemiological surveys. Second, owing to its cross-sectional design, the present study could not determine causality. Finally, this study also had a limited representative sample size since the survey was conducted through phone calls. Thus, individuals without a household telephone (approximately 10.4% in 2018) could not be reached [55]. Moreover, during the telephonic survey, there is a potential risk of social desirability bias while answering the questions asked by interviewers.

Conclusions
The results of this study highlight that, among a range of lifestyle behaviors, selection of unbalanced foods (failure to eat six categories of food), not meeting physical activity, and sitting time were risk factors for sarcopenia among older Taiwanese adults. The study also highlights the sarcopenia risk of sitting for more than 7 h among the older population, with advanced evidence. Intervention programs for sarcopenia prevention in older adults should focus on promoting the selection of balanced foods, sufficient physical activity, and reduced sitting time.
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