CORROSION BEHAVIOR OF ANNEALED STAINLESS STEEL MESH IN DIFFERENT ELECTROLYTES
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Abstract: This study aimed to investigate the corrosion behaviour of annealed stainless steel mesh. Thermal oxidation treatments were applied to steel mesh in a muffle furnace at 500 °C, 700 °C and 900 °C. Surface morphology of annealed and non-annealed stainless steel meshes was compared before and after polarization. The roughness of the steel surface was increased after heat-treatment. The corrosion properties of non-annealed and annealed steel were determined using linear sweep voltammetry. The corrosion behaviour of annealed stainless steel was examined utilizing a potentiostat in a 3.5 wt.% NaCl, 1 M H₂SO₄ and 1 M KOH electrolytes. The corrosion susceptibility of heat-treated stainless steel was more than that of non-heat treated stainless steel in alkaline electrolyte. While pitting corrosion of non-annealed and annealed stainless steel was different, corrosion potential and current of steel mesh without heat treatment were the same as the steel meshes annealed at 500 °C and 700 °C. Corrosion current and corrosion potential of non-annealed steel were the same as 500 °C annealed steel mesh in acidic medium.
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Paslanmaz Çelik Ağının Farklı Elektrolitler İÇERİSINDEKİ ELEKTROKIMYASAL DAVRANIŞLARI

Öz: Bu çalışmanın amacı, tavlanmış paslanmaz çelik ağın korozyon davranışı incelenmektedir. Çelik ağı 500 °C, 700 °C ve 900 °C'de bir kül firını içerisinde termal oksidasyon işlemleri uygulanmıştır. Tavlanmış ve tavlanmamış paslanmaz çelik ağların yüzeye morfolojisi Elektrokimyasal polarizasyondan önce ve sonra karşılaştırılmıştır. Isıl işleminden sonra çelik yüzeyin pürüzlülüğü artmıştır. Tavlanmış ve tavlanımsız çelginin korozyon özellikleri doğrusal tarama voltametresi kullanılarak belirlenmiştir. Tavlı paslanmaz çelgin korozyon davranış, % 3,5'lik NaCl, 1 M H₂SO₄ ve 1 M KOH elektrolitlerinde bir potansiyöstat ile incelenmiştir. Isıl işlem görmüş paslanmaz çeligin korozyon duyarlılığı, alkalin elektrolitte isıl işlem görmemiş paslanmaz çelgininkinden daha fazlaydı. Tavlanmış ve tavlanımsız paslanmaz çelginin çıkur korozyonu farklıken, isıl işlem uygulanmayan çelik ağın korozyon akımı ve korozyon potansiyeli 500 °C ve 700 °C'de tavlanmış çelik ağlarla aynıydı. Tavlanmış çelinin korozyon akımı ve korozyon potansiyeli asidik ortamda 500 °C tavlanmış çelik ağıkıkyle aynıydı.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stainless steel bulk materials have been used in various engineering applications because of their passivation behaviour and lack of environmental degradation (Hermas et al., 1995). Different types of stainless steel are used for appropriate demands. The main elements (after iron) in stainless steel is chromium and nickel. Generally, they are classified into four groups (duplex, austenitic, martensitic and ferritic) depending on their structure (Lo et al., 2009). It is known that the density of stainless steels is higher than some common engineering metals including aluminium, magnesium and titanium. However, specific strength of stainless steels is high and stainless steels possess high stiffness and high corrosion prevention (Eskandari et al., 2009). Surface treatments such as boronizing, nitriding and carburizing could change properties of stainless steel (Lindner et al., 2018). Electrochemical and physical properties of materials with the environment they are exposed could be related to the life of the material. Properties of materials could be tailored by surface treatments such as thermal and electrochemical treatments (Pippenger et al., 2019).

Metal oxides can be generated as they can be used in various applications including magnetic devices, catalysts, sensors, energy storage devices (Tartaj et al., 2011). Metal oxide/hydroxide have been used as corrosion prevention (Muhaflfl and Cimenoglu, 2019; Zhang et al., 2018). Among metal oxides, iron-based oxides (FeO, Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄) are of scientific and technological importance as they are inexpensive, highly abundant, generally stable and easy to prepare (Fiore et al., 2018).

Various preparation techniques including pyrolysis (Hassanien and Akl, 2018), chemical precipitation (Lassoued et al., 2018), solvo and hydrothermal (Köckar et al., 2019), electrodeposition (Martinez et al., 2007), sol-gel (López-Sánchez et al., 2019) and RF sputtering (Lin et al., 1985) have been applied to obtain iron oxides. Surface morphology with various structure can be controlled by the formation route. Studies related to synthesis, structure and morphology of iron oxides were reported in the literature (Phul et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2018). In this study, iron oxide has been obtained by thermal oxidation and its corrosion behavior has been studied in acidic, neutral and alkaline electrolytes.

Metals/alloys can corrode because of their nature and formation process. Increasing annealing temperature cause easier oxidation (Zhou and Yang, 2004). Corrosion behaviors of different types of stainless steel have been studied (Bregliozzi et al., 2005; Pardo et al., 2008). Oxide forms of iron (FeO and Fe₂O₃) and chromium (Cr₂O₃) could occur on the outer surface of stainless steel with annealing temperature between 500 °C and 700 °C (Ferreira et al., 2001; Vesel et al., 2008). However, Cr₂O₃ coating could generally cover the stainless steel surface at the higher temperature (typically 800 °C) (Karlsson and Ribbing, 1982). The goal of this work is to measure the corrosion properties of heat-treated stainless steel mesh electrodes in NaCl, H₂SO₄ and KOH electrolyte.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Potassium hydroxide (90 % purity, Tekkim), NaCl, (purity 99 %, Tekkim) and H₂SO₄ (purity 96 %, Merck) were used without purification. The stainless steel mesh was cut and each of them was 4 cm × 1 cm size. Thermal treatment of samples was performed at 500 °C, 700 °C and 900 °C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace to produce oxidized surface. The corrosion properties of the annealed meshes were studied using a potentiostat measurement system (AMATEK, Princeton Applied Research, the USA). The results were checked by a different potentiostat (Gamry 1010E, the USA) to prove its reliability. Before the experiment, the samples were not ground and not washed. They were directly immersed in polarization electrolytes. Annealed samples of 1 cm × 1 cm were immersed in polarization solution for linear sweep voltammetry analysis. The polarization experiment was conducted in a three electrodes configuration of the electrochemical cell. Annealed samples were used as working electrodes. Ag/Ag⁺ (saturated KCl solution) was the reference electrode. Platinum coated titanium mesh was a counter electrode. All...
polarization tests were carried out at room temperature (20 ± 2 °C) Linear sweep voltammetry curves were obtained directly after the annealed samples were immersed in polarization solution. The polarization was started from the cathodic side to the direction of corrosion potential and anodic side. Images of the samples after polarization were taken by using Nikon LV150NL optical microscope.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Stainless steel meshes were annealed in a muffle furnace and then transferred into the different electrolyte to measure their corrosion current and potential. The image of stainless steel mesh before annealing is given in Figure 1a. The surface of the non-annealed steel mesh was metallic shiny and smooth. After annealing at 300 °C, the colour of the stainless steel electrode was not shiny and changed to black as shown in Figure 1b. The surface colour of 500 °C annealed steel mesh was similar to that of 300 °C annealed steel mesh presented in Figure 1c. However, the surface of the steel mesh annealed at 800 °C was changed significantly (see Figure 1d). Heat treatment could cause different surface characteristics.

![Images of steel mesh electrode](image)

**Figure 1:**
Images of steel mesh electrode a) without heat treatments; b) annealed at 500 °C; c) annealed at 700 °C and; c) annealed at 900 °C. Images were magnified 100×

Thermal oxidation of an alloy could create metal oxide layers. Heat treatment of stainless steel could form oxide layers of chromium and iron. Fe₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃ layers are most likely
surface coating after annealing of stainless steel at high temperatures (Hamadou et al., 2010). It has been reported that Fe₂O₃ itself is formed on the surface of stainless steel up to around 400 °C. When the temperature is higher than 800 °C, the outer surface is generally Cr₂O₃ as it was studied in detail (Karlsson and Ribbing, 1982). The surface of stainless steel consists of both at the temperature between 400 °C and 800 °C. Therefore, the outer surface of stainless steel mesh annealed at 500 °C (Figure 1b) and 700 °C (Figure 1c) is a combination of Fe₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃. However, the surface of the steel mesh annealed at 900 °C (Figure 1d) has mainly Cr₂O₃. Heat-treated steel mesh was transferred to a different environment (acidic, alkaline and neutral) to characterize their corrosion behaviour and these results were compared with corrosion behaviour of non-annealed steel mesh.

![Figure 2: Linear sweep voltammetry of non-annealed and annealed steel mesh in 1 M KOH electrolyte.](image)

Annealed steel mesh was immersed in alkaline (1 M KOH) solution and linear sweep voltammetry technique were applied to investigate its corrosion behaviours. Figure 2 illustrates Tafel plot of non-annealed and annealed stainless steel meshes. Corrosion current of non-annealed mesh was increased from 0.4 µA cm⁻² to 2.5 µA cm⁻² after annealing at 500 °C. Corrosion current of non-annealed and annealed steels in KOH electrolyte is tabulated in Table 1. Corrosion current of 700 °C annealed steel even increased to 7.9 µA cm⁻². Corrosion current is directly proportional to the corrosion rate. As annealing temperature of stainless steel mesh increases, corrosion current (and corrosion rate) increases in alkaline electrolyte. Non-annealed stainless steel is about six and twenty times less electroactive than 500 °C and 700 °C annealed stainless steel mesh. This means that oxide forms of iron are more active than bare iron in alkaline solution. Therefore iron oxide-based electrodes in alkaline electrolyte could be used in electrochemical applications such as
energy storage devices (Du et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2016) and hydrogen evolution reaction (Askari et al., 2019).

Table 1: corrosion current ($i_{corr}$) values of non-annealed and annealed steel mesh in the different electrolyte.

|          | In KOH      | In NaCl     | In H₂SO₄   |
|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Non-annealed | 0.4 µA cm⁻² | 2.5 µA cm⁻² | 2.5 mA cm⁻² |
| 500 °C annealed | 2.5 µA cm⁻² | 2.3 µA cm⁻² | 2.4 mA cm⁻² |
| 700 °C annealed | 7.9 µA cm⁻² | 2.2 µA cm⁻² | 1.6 mA cm⁻² |
| 900 °C annealed | 25 µA cm⁻²  | 26 µA cm⁻²  | 1.2 mA cm⁻² |

Annealing temperature also increased the corrosion potential of stainless steel. While the corrosion potential of non-heated steel mesh was -0.30 V, that of 500 °C and 700 °C annealed stainless steel mesh was -0.17 V and -0.12 V, respectively. It is shown that Fe₂O₃ surface increases the rate of corrosion. Corrosion potential of 900 °C annealed steel mesh did not follow the same trend because the surface of 900 °C annealed steel mesh mainly consists of Cr₂O₃. Corrosion potential of Cr₂O₃ coated stainless steel was -0.20 V. However, corrosion current of 900 °C annealed steel mesh was much greater than that of 500 °C and 700 °C annealed stainless steel mesh and these results show that Cr₂O₃ coated steel can be more active in alkaline media. The corrosion rate of Cr₂O₃ coated steel is more than 60 times greater than that of bare steel in alkaline media as corrosion current of non-annealed steel was 0.4 µA cm⁻² and corrosion rate of 900 °C annealed steel mesh was 25 µA cm⁻². 900 °C annealed steel mesh (photographed in Figure 3) was polarized in alkaline media utilizing linear sweep voltammetry and its surface was not changed significantly as shown in Figure 3b.

Table 2: corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) values of non-annealed and annealed steel mesh in the different electrolyte.

|          | In KOH     | In NaCl    | In H₂SO₄   |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Non-annealed | -0.30 V   | -0.39 V    | -0.40 V    |
| 500 °C annealed | -0.17 V   | -0.39 V    | -0.40 V    |
| 700 °C annealed | -0.12 V   | -0.39 V    | -0.37 V    |
| 900 °C annealed | -0.20 V   | -0.35 V    | -0.35 V    |

Corrosion behaviour of steel generally was investigated in NaCl solution (Devikala et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2018). Stainless steel mesh electrodes were immersed in 3 wt. % NaCl solution. Linear sweep voltammetry for stainless steel mesh electrode in NaCl solution is presented in Figure 4. Black line of Figure 4 is the Tafel plot of non-annealed steel mesh in NaCl solution. Corrosion potential of non-annealed steel mesh was -0.39 V. The value of corrosion potential of 500 °C and 700 °C annealed steel mesh (-0.39 V) was the same as that of non-annealed steel mesh. The main difference between annealed steel mesh (at 500 °C and 700 °C) and non-annealed steel mesh was pitting corrosion potential. Pitting corrosion (sudden anodic increase) of non-annealed stainless was started at around +0.1 V (see black line of Figure 4). However, an anodic increase of annealed steel directly started after corrosion potential of -0.39 V. No passive behaviour of annealed stainless steel (at 500 °C and 700 °C) was observed.
As it was indicated before, surface coating of stainless steel after annealing is the mixture of iron oxide and chromium oxide. Corrosion current density of bare stainless steel \( (2.5 \, \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}) \) was close to that of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \) coated stainless steel annealed at 500 °C and 700 °C. However, corrosion current density of \( (26 \, \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}) \) was more than ten times greater than non-annealed stainless steel \( (2.5 \, \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}) \) due to coated \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \) surface. The surface area of steel (Figure 3a) was increased when the steel was heated at 900 °C (see Figure 3c). The colour of homogenous electrolyte containing NaCl salt (left photo of Figure 4 inlet) became brownish after polarization experiment (right photo of Figure 4 inlet) given in Figure 4 because iron was oxidized to its ionic forms and dissolved in aqueous media.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3:**
Images of a) polarized steel mesh annealed at 900 °C; b) polarized mesh in KOH; c) polarized mesh in NaCl and; d) polarized mesh in \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \)

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4:**
Tafel plot of non-annealed and annealed steel mesh in 3 wt.% NaCl solution
The corrosion rate of steel in acidic medium is quite high and investigated in the literature (Biswas et al., 2018; Hassan et al., 2019; Saad et al., 2018) and generally, inhibitors have been suggested to decrease the corrosion rate of stainless steel. Stainless steel mesh electrodes were immersed in 1 M H₂SO₄ solution. Polarization of stainless steel mesh electrode in H₂SO₄ solution is presented in Figure 5. The Tafel plot of non-annealed steel mesh in H₂SO₄ solution is presented in Figure 5. Corrosion potential of non-annealed steel and 500 °C annealed steel mesh was the same (-0.40 V) in acidic medium. All corrosion potential of non-annealed and annealed (at a different temperature) is presented in Table 2. Corrosion characteristic of non-annealed and 500 °C annealed steel mesh is the same as their current decrease at around -0.4 V is the same as iron dominates the corrosion behaviour of thin iron oxide solution. However, high annealed temperature cause a positive shift in potential value and a negative shift in current value indicating that electroactivity of 500 °C and 700 °C annealed steel meshes is lower than that of non-heated steel mesh. Corrosion current of non-annealed and 500 °C annealed steel started to decrease at around -0.3 V after Tafel area. However, corrosion current of 700 °C and 900 °C annealed steel mesh continued to increase.

Figure 5:
Linear sweep polarization of non-annealed and annealed steel mesh in H₂SO₄

4. CONCLUSION

Stainless steel meshes were heated at 500 °C, 700 °C and 900 °C in a muffle furnace to obtain an oxidized surface. The surface of the non-annealed steel mesh became rougher after annealing. Increasing annealing temperature caused a darker surface. Steel meshes were transferred into the different electrolyte (acidic, alkaline and neutral) for corrosion studies. Corrosion behaviour of annealed stainless steel mesh was compared with that of non-annealed steel mesh. Corrosion current of annealed stainless steel increases in an alkaline electrolyte (1 M KOH) when annealing temperature of stainless steel mesh increases. The corrosion potential of stainless steel increased upon increasing the annealing temperature. Therefore, annealed stainless steel (oxidized form of iron) is more active than non-annealed stainless steel (iron) in alkaline electrolyte.

Corrosion behaviour of stainless steel mesh electrodes was investigated in 3 wt.% NaCl electrolyte utilizing linear sweep voltammetry technique. The value of corrosion potential and corrosion current of non-annealed steel mesh was the same as that of 500 °C and 700 °C annealed
steel mesh but pitting corrosion potential of them are different. The colour of polarization electrolyte containing NaCl salt was changed to brownish after linear sweep voltammetry (corrosion) experiment. Corrosion behaviour (corrosion current and corrosion potential) of non-annealed steel and 500 °C annealed steel mesh was the same in acidic medium. The potential and current value of stainless steel meshes obtained at high annealing temperature were shifted to more active sides. The research illustrates that heat-treated stainless steel (without surface removal) can be used in acidic media as corrosion behaviour of stainless steel has similar behaviour with and without heat treatment. However, corrosion susceptibility of heat-treated stainless steel is higher than non-heated stainless steel in alkaline and neutral environment.
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