Mutation of wrb, a Component of the Guided Entry of Tail-Anchored Protein Pathway, Disrupts Photoreceptor Synapse Structure and Function
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Purpose. Tail-anchored (TA) proteins contain a single hydrophobic domain at the C-terminus and are posttranslationally inserted into the ER membrane via the GET (guided entry of tail-anchored proteins) pathway. The role of the GET pathway in photoreceptors is unexplored. The goal of this study was to characterize the zebrafish pinball wizard mutant, which disrupts Wrb, a core component of the GET pathway.

Methods. Electroretinography, optokinetic response measurements (OKR), immunohistochemistry, and electron microscopy analyses were employed to assess ribbon synapse function, protein expression, and ultrastructure in 5-day-old zebrafish larvae. Expression of wrb was investigated with real-time qRT-PCR and in situ hybridization.

Results. Mutation of wrb abolished the OKR and greatly diminished the ERG b-wave, but not the a-wave. Ribeye and SV2 were partially mislocalized in both photoreceptors and hair cells of wrb mutants. Fewer contacts were seen between photoreceptors and bipolar cells in wrb<sup>+/−</sup> mutants. Expression of wrb was observed throughout the nervous system and Wrb localized to the ER and synaptic region of photoreceptors. Morpholino knockdown of the cytosolic ATPase trc40, which targets TA proteins to the ER, also diminished the OKR. Overexpression of wrb fully restored contrast sensitivity in mutants, while overexpression of mutant wrb<sup>R73A</sup>, which cannot bind Trc40, did not.

Conclusions. Proteins Wrb and Trc40 are required for synaptic transmission between photoreceptors and bipolar cells, indicating that TA protein insertion by the TRC pathway is a critical step in ribbon synapse assembly and function.
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Rod and cone photoreceptors require multiple mechanisms to ensure that different types of membrane proteins correctly associate with the appropriate membranes, which is a prerequisite for targeting to the appropriate subcellular compartments. During translation, the signal recognition particle (SRP) binds to the majority of integral membrane proteins, such as rhodopsin and the cyclic-nucleotide gated channel, and guides the nascent polypeptides to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) for membrane insertion. Following membrane insertion, distinct pathways transport these proteins to either disc membranes or the plasma membrane.1,2 Meanwhile, proteins such as transducin that associate with the membrane via posttranslational lipidation do not require membrane insertion and arrive at the outer segment via a separate pathway.3 Despite the biochemical characterization and mechanistic insight into the GET pathway in yeast, the in vivo requirements for the GET pathway in vertebrates remain unclear. Targeted deletion of Trc40 or Caml resulted in embryonic lethality between embryonic day (E)3.5 and –8.5 in mice,10,11 while morpholino knockdown of Xenopus wrb, also known as congenital heart disease protein 5 (cdh5), disrupted heart development.12
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blocked by mutation of a conserved coiled-coil domain of Wr. Finally, visual behavior was restored when wrb was expressed in cone photoreceptors. Together these results reveal that photoreceptor synapse architecture and function requires an intact GET pathway.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zebrafish Maintenance

Zebrafish were maintained on Aquatic Habitats (Apopka, FL, USA) recirculating water systems in a 14/10-hour light/dark cycle. All experimental procedures were approved by the Cleveland Clinic Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The mutant wrb<sup>j±i1182</sup> was initially identified in a forward genetic screen for mutants affecting ocular development or function. The transgenic line Tg(UAS:gap43-YFP)q16b; Tg(nyx:Gal4-VP16)<sup>j±i10a</sup>, which we will refer to as Tg(nyx: tbleed) (University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA). We confirm that all experiments adhered to the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

Transgene Generation

The transgenic line Tg(−3.2gnat2:wrb-eGFP)<sup>j±i1872</sup>, which we will refer to as the Tg(TszCurbte-eGFP), was generated using Tol2 transgenesis and the Gateway Tol2 kit. Briefly, the wrb cDNA was cloned by RT-PCR from 5 days post fertilization (dpf) zebrafish larval with the primers 5'-GGGGACCACTTTGACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCACTGACAGCTT-3' and 5'GTGGTTTCTGTGCAACCTGC-3' annealing to the intronic retroviral insert in wrb<sup>j±i1182</sup> mutants. The vector p5E7<sup>j±i1872</sup> encoding the zebrafish cone transducin promotor<sup>10</sup> was provided by Susan Brockerhoff (University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA).

Genotyping

Genotyping was performed by duplex PCR using a single forward primer annealing to exon1 of both mutant and WT wrb 5'-TGTGTTTCTGTGCAACCTGC-3', as well as a reverse strand primer 5'-TGTGTTTCTGTGCAACCTGC-3' annealing to WT intron 1 sequence and 5'-GGGGACCACTTTGACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCACTGACAGCTT-3' annealing to the intronic retroviral insert in wrb<sup>j±i1182</sup> mutants.

Touch Response Assay

We screened wrb<sup>j±i1182</sup> mutants at 5 dpf by an abnormal response to light touch with an insect pin. Responses from larvae selected randomly were classified on a 0 to 3 scale: 0, assigned to trials where touch did not elicit a response; 1, response to touch was sluggish; 2, response was to swim away vigorously; 3, larvae darted away before the tail could be touched. Responses rated 0 or 1 were classified as abnormal; responses rated 2 and 3 were classified as normal.

qPCR and In Situ Hybridization

Real-time PCR was performed with a commercial system (CFX96; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) using SYBR green detection (SYBR green supermix; Bio-Rad Laboratories) and the following probes:

```
Wrb: 5'-TTGTTTCTGTGCAACCTGC-3' and 5'-CATGGCTGCCGGGTTTAAC-3'
```

```
β-actin: 5'-TTTTGTACCTCAGCCTTAAACCTTG-3' and 5'-AGTCCCTGCAAGATCCTCAGCTT-3'
```

Values given are relative quantities normalized to β-actin expression. Estimates of wrb expression in 5 dpf wrb<sup>j±</sup> and wrb<sup>−/−</sup> relative to WT were based on seven and four datasets respectively.

In situ hybridization was performed on fixed 5 dpf larvae as described.<sup>17</sup> Antisense and sense probes were transcribed from wrb cDNA in pCS2+8 with T7 and SP6 polymerases, respectively.

Synthesis of mRNA for Rescue Experiments

Zebrafish wrb and human TRC40 cDNAs were cloned from larvae or hTERT cell total RNA using RT-PCR (Superscript II first strand synthesis; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Untagged wrb was ligated into pCS2+8 from an RT-PCR amplified with the primers: 5'-TAAGCAGAATTTCCACCATGCTCGGCGGTATTAAC-3' and 5'-TGCTTACCTGGACTAATCTGGAGGC-3'. A codon switch encoding the wrb<sup>R73A</sup> mutation was introduced into wrb in pENTR221 by site directed mutagenesis (GENEART; Life Technologies) using the primers 5'-GCGCATATGCTAGACTGCTGGAGAAGATCAACAAGATGACTGAT-3' and 5'-GCTCAATAAAAGAGCCAGTCGCTGCTGACAGCTGTAGAATGGGGG-3' and recombined into the gateway vector pENTR221. The vector p5E7<sup>j±i1872</sup> encoding the zebrafish cone transducin promotor<sup>10</sup> was provided by Susan Brockerhoff (University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA).

Morpholino Injections

Morpholino antisense oligonucleotides targeting the translation start site (TCTTCACCTGAAGCTCCATCTTG) or the exon5-intron3 splice junction of trc40 (GCGAATGCTGCTGACAGCTGTAGAATGGGGG) were diluted to 3–8 ng/mL in 1X Danieau's solution and injected into 1-cell stage embryos injected with morpholino.

ERG were extracted and analyzed with custom scripts using data analysis software (IgorPro; WaveMetrics, Portland, OR, USA). For drug treatments, 100 mM stocks of threo-beta-benzylxoyaspartate (TBOA; Tocris Biosciences, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and L-2-amino-4-phosphonobutyric acid (L-AP4; Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) were used to dilute 3–8 ng/mL in 1X Danieau's solution and injected into 1-cell stage embryos.

Optokinetic Response (OKR) Measurements

The measurements of OKR were made with the oculomotor analysis system<sup>19</sup> (VisioTracker; Tse Systems GmbH, Bad Homburg vor der Höhe, Germany). Zebrafish larvae were immobilized in 5% methylcellulose in a 35-mm petri dish and placed in the oculomotor analysis system (Tse Systems GmbH). Saccades were quantified from larvae presented with a unidirectional stimulus...
moving at a constant speed for approximately 1 minute. To measure contrast response functions, the direction of the stimulus was alternated every 3 seconds. The spatial frequency (0.06 cyc/deg) and speed (7.5 degrees/s) of the vertical stripe pattern were held constant and stepwise decrements and increments to contrast were made. Contrast sensitivity was measured using gain (the ratio of angular velocities of eye versus stimulus) as a function of log contrast percentage.20

**Immunohistochemistry and Fluorescence Imaging**

Larvae were euthanized and fixed at 4°C overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1X PBS. Larvae were cryoprotected by incubation at 4°C in 30% sucrose in 1X PBS for at least 8 hours. Cryosections (10–15 μm) were placed on gelatin coated slides and blocked 1 hour at room temperature prior to an overnight incubation with primary antibodies. Blocking solution consisted of 1% BSA, 10% normal goat serum (NGS), 0.5% Triton X-100, in 1X PBS. Whole larvae were fixed for 2 hours in 4% paraformaldehyde prepared in 1X PBS, then permeabilized for 1 hour at 4°C with 0.5% Triton X-100 in fixative, followed by blocking in 2% NGS, 1% BSA, 1% DMSO, 1X PBS. Antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer without normal serum. The following antibodies were used: calcium channel Cav1.4α (1:3000; Michael Taylor, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Arlington, VA, USA); syntaxin3 (1:100; Synaptic Systems, Atlanta, GA, USA); ribeye b (1:500; Teresa Nicolson, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA); Zpr-1 (1:200; Zebrafish International Resource Center); SV2 (1:200; monoclonal antibody developed by Kathleen M. Buckley, Harvard Medical School; Maintained by Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank University of Iowa). AlexaFluor 488 and AlexaFluor 568 conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Invitrogen Life Technologies. Optical sections were obtained with a fluorescent microscope fitted with for structured illumination (Zeiss AxioImager.Z2 with Apotome.2; Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Vienna, Austria) and stained with toluidine blue and imaged by the Lerner Research Institute Imaging Core. Electron microscopy was performed on a digital electron microscope (Tecnai 20, 200 kV; Philips Corp., Hillsboro, OR, USA) and micrographs acquired with an image filter and digital camera (Gatan, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA). Images of synaptic terminals were taken from transverse sections at the region of the optic nerve. Measurements of synaptic structures from TEM images were made in ImageJ with the ObjectJ plugin (University of Amsterdam).

**Statistical Analyses**

We used commercial software (Prism 6; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) for all statistical analyses. The statistical tests and corrections used for various analyses are provided with each experiment. Statistical significance was set at values of $P < 0.05$. Statistics are not given for nonsignificant results.

**RESULTS**

**Mutation of wrb Results in Reduced Visual Function**

The wrb (wrb<sup>þ/þ</sup>) mutant was previously identified in a forward genetic “shelf screen” of fish mutagenized by retroviral insertion<sup>23,24</sup> on the basis of a weak optokinetic response, or OKR.13 Prior to 4 dpf, wrb<sup>þ/þ</sup> (referred here as wrb<sup>+/+</sup>) mutants could not be distinguished morphologically from their phenotypically wild-type siblings. By 5 days post fertilization, wrb<sup>–/–</sup> mutants lacked swim bladders and had slightly smaller eyes (Fig. 1A). In semi-thin plastic sections of wrb<sup>–/–</sup> larvae, retinal lamination remained intact but the eyes were smaller (Fig. 1B). Acellular voids were occasionally seen in the outer nuclear layer (Fig. 1B, arrows), but this phenotype was not fully penetrant. The mutants wrb<sup>–/–</sup> exhibited a reduced touch response when briefly challenged with a light touch to the tail with an insect pin; however, mutants demonstrated normal “S-bend” escape responses to stronger tail-touches or repeated stimuli, indicating that touch perception rather than locomotion was affected. Reduction in touch avoidance correlated with reduced numbers of lateral line hair cells, as the styryl dye DASPEI failed to label neuromasts of live wrb<sup>–/–</sup> larvae (Fig. 1C). The mutation wrb<sup>–/–</sup> resulted from insertion of retroviral DNA 65 bases within the first intron of the wrb gene. As measured by qRT-PCR, wrb mRNA expression in wrb<sup>–/–</sup> mutants was reduced to less than 1% of that found in wrb<sup>+/+</sup> siblings (Fig. 1D). At 5 days post fertilization, wrb was expressed throughout the head and trunk of wild-type larvae (Fig. 1E).

For larval zebrafish, the OKR has been used to measure parameters ranging from saccade frequency<sup>25,26</sup> to more sophisticated measures, such as optokinetin gain.<sup>20</sup> We confirmed the OKR deficit in wrb<sup>–/–</sup> mutants and found that saccade frequency was reduced to ~15% of wild-type values (Fig. 1F). Next, the contrast response function was measured for 5 dpf wild-type and wrb<sup>–/–</sup> larvae. For wild-type larvae, the OKR increased linearly with the log of contrast, while no consistent OKR was detected in wrb<sup>–/–</sup> larvae, which resulted in a flat contrast response function (Fig. 1G). To confirm that the visual deficit was caused by mutation of wrb, mRNA encoding wild-type zebrafish wrb was injected into 1-cell embryos. No obvious morphologic or developmental defects were observed in larvae following injection of wrb mRNA.
suggesting that overexpression was tolerated. Contrast sensitivity was measured at 5 dpf and the animals were subsequently genotyped to identify wild-type and wrb\(^{-/-}\) mutants (\(n \geq 10\) wild-type and wrb\(^{-/-}\), \(n = 5\) wrb\(^{-/-}\) plus wrb mRNA). Injection of wrb mRNA fully restored the contrast sensitivity in wrb\(^{-/-}\) mutants, confirming that the OKR phenotype resulted from mutation of wrb (Fig. 1G).

**Loss of wrb Leads to Diminished Synaptic Transmission Between Cones and Bipolar Cells**

Abnormal OKR behavior could reflect defects at numerous points in the visual system. To determine if the reduced OKR reflected outer retina dysfunction, electroretinography (ERG) was used to measure cone-driven responses in 5 dpf zebrafish.\(^{27}\) Following a 1-second flash of light, the ERG is characterized by a corneal negative a-wave originating from the photoreceptors and a corneal positive b-wave reflecting predominantly ON-bipolar electrical activity. At the end of the light stimulus, depolarization of the OFF-bipolar cells is seen in the d-wave. At dim flash intensities, the photoreceptor a-wave was more prominent in wrb\(^{-/-}\) mutants while the b-wave amplitudes were reduced at all amplitudes (Figs. 2A, 2B). The maximal b-wave amplitude in wrb\(^{-/-}\) larvae was only 20% of wild-type (Fig. 2B). It was unclear whether the reduced b-waves resulted from disrupted phototransduction or diminished synaptic transmission to ON-bipolar cells. One hour prior to ERG recordings, 5 dpf larvae were placed in fish water containing the metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist L-AP4 and the excitatory amino acid transporter inhibitor TBOA.\(^{18,28}\) Drug-treated wild-type and wrb\(^{-/-}\) larvae exhibited an a-wave at light onset, followed by a d-wave at light offset. Quantification...
tion of a-wave amplitudes found no difference between wild-type and wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} larvae, suggesting that the reduced b-wave amplitudes in wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} mutants resulted from defective transmission between cone photoreceptors and bipolar cells.

Cone Synaptic Protein Expression and Synaptic Organization in wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} Larvae

To determine whether synaptic contacts between photoreceptors and bipolar cells were intact, the Tg(nyx:mYFP) transgenic line\textsuperscript{14} was bred onto the wrb background. The Tg(nyx:mYFP) line uses promoter elements from the nyctalin gene to drive expression of membrane-bound YFP in a subset of ON-bipolar cells. Fluorescence from YFP expression in bipolar cell dendrites can readily be observed in the knob-like protrusions projecting into the cone pedicles (Fig. 3A). At 5 days post fertilization, fewer protrusions were observed in wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} larvae sections compared with nonmutant siblings (Figs. 3A-C; 23.5 ± 2.4 vs. 12.6 ± 2.1; n ≥ 4), indicating that loss of wrb either prevents synapse formation or leads to synapse loss. Previous work has demonstrated that cone degeneration, but not rod degeneration, leads to significant

**FIGURE 2.** ERG reveals disrupted outer retina signaling in wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} mutants. (A) Averaged ERG traces from wild-type and wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} larval eyes elicited by a series of white flashes with onset and duration depicted at top. Flash intensity was incremented by log unit steps from bottom to top with log(I) = −1 corresponding to 5.3 × 10³ µW/cm² at 500 nm. The interstimulus interval was 10 seconds. (B) Response versus stimulus functions for average peak b-wave amplitudes from wild-type and wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} larva, as measured from a-wave trough to b-wave peak. (C) Individual ERG traces elicited by flashes after treatment with TBOA and L-AP4 to eliminate ERG components arising from glutamate-dependent signaling. Each flash was 0.5 seconds in duration and corresponded to log(I) = −1 intensity. (D) Average a-wave maximum amplitudes from wild-type and wrb\textsuperscript{−/−} larvae (n = 10, wild-type, n = 10, wrb\textsuperscript{−/−}). Error bars denote SEM. Significance levels are as follows: ***P < 0.0001.
FIGURE 3. Presynaptic and postsynaptic alterations in \(wrb^{-/}\) mutant photoreceptors. (A, B) Representative images of the OPL from cryosections of 5 dpf Tg(nyx:mYFP) and \(wrb^{-/-}\) Tg(nyx:mYFP) mutant retinas immunostained for red/green double cones (zpr1, red) and GFP (green). Bottom panels show bipolar dendritic projections (white arrows) within the OPL at higher magnification. (C) Quantification of dendritic invaginations inside cone pedicles across several cryosections (\(n = 4 \) and 8 sections for wild type and \(wrb^{-/-}\), respectively). (D–F) Transmission electron microscopy images of cone pedicles. Synaptic ribbons (R) were surrounded by postsynaptic processes (white arrows denote representative processes). Horizontal cells could be identified by characteristic densities and electron-lucent cytoplasm (black arrowheads). Quantification of postsynaptic processes per ribbon in wild-type and mutant cone pedicles (\(n = 26\) wild-type, \(n = 19\) \(wrb^{-/-}\) synapses). (H) Quantification of photoreceptor ribbon heights in wild-type and mutant synapses (\(n = 32\) wild-type, \(n = 18\) \(wrb^{-/-}\) synapses). (G) Quantification of average number of synaptic terminals in which 0, 1, 2, or 3 ribbons were encountered (\(n = 165\) wild-type, \(n = 216\) \(wrb^{-/-}\) synapses). (H–K’) Immunohistochemistry of 5 dpf retinal cryosections with indicated photoreceptor presynaptic markers. Images were centered at the OPL of wild-type and \(wrb^{-/-}\) mutants. Cacna1fa, pore forming alpha subunit of the presynaptic L-type calcium channel; SV2, synaptic vesicle protein 2; Sytx3, photoreceptor-specific target SNARE syntaxin 3B, compl3- exocytosis regulator complexin 3. * \(P < 0.05\). ** \(P < 0.001\). Scale bars: 5 \(\mu\)m (A, B, I–K’), 10 \(\mu\)m (J, J’); and 0.5 \(\mu\)m (D–G).
remodeling of ON-bipolar cells in zebrafish, including ectopic dendritic projections toward photoreceptor soma, thickening and thinning of regions of the OPL, and loss of these knob-like protrusions. While photoreceptor degeneration is a partially penetrant phenotype in wrb/− mutants, such ectopic bipolar projections were never observed.

To determine if the loss of bipolar projections reflected changes to the structure or molecular composition of wrb/− synapse architecture, transmission electron microscopy was used to examine photoreceptor synapses. In larval zebrafish, the cone pedicles typically contain several synaptic ribbons that denote the location of ribbon synapses. Both horizontal cell processes and bipolar cell dendrites invaginate into the cone pedicles to form postsynaptic contacts. Horizontal cell processes flank the ribbon and appear large with electron-lucent cytoplasm, and with rounded, electron-dense patches on the postsynaptic membrane. Bipolar cell dendrites, in contrast, often appear smaller and lack the electron-dense patches of horizontal cell processes. In fishes, the type I and type II on-center bipolar cells often terminate near the synaptic ribbon in cone pedicles, although a small process of center bipolar cells rarely make contact directly opposite the photoreceptor and bipolar cell make the triads difficult to observe in single transverse sections. Arc-shaped basal contacts form between photoreceptors and bipolar cells at the base of the pedicle and exhibit electron-dense deposits both presynaptically and postsynaptically.

A number of differences were noted in the cone pedicles of wrb/− mutants compared with those seen in wild-type retinas (Figs. 3D–G). Although synaptic ribbons were present and docked with arcedip densities, many fewer postsynaptic processes were observed in wrb/− mutants (Figs. 3D, 3F; white arrows). The number of processes per synapse varied considerably, in part due to the variability in the number of synaptic ribbons at each synapse. Quantifying the number of postsynaptic processes per synaptic ribbon in several pedicles revealed a significant reduction of processes per ribbon in wrb/− mutants (Fig. 3H; 7.5 ± 0.5, n = 26, vs. 5.0 ± 0.6, n = 19). Most of these processes contained the electron-lucent cytoplasm and postsynaptic densities characteristic of horizontal cells. This suggests a reduction in bipolar cell processes. We next estimated the height of those ribbons with a clearly defined arciform density and flanked by obvious horizontal cell processes. We found that ribbon height was reduced by >20% in wrb/− mutants (Fig. 3I; 0.50 ± 0.03 μm, n = 32, vs. 0.38 ± 0.05 μm, n = 18). Next we quantified the percentage of total synapses in which 0, 1, 2, or 3 ribbons profiles were observed. There appears to be a small increase in the percentage of terminals lacking ribbon profiles in wrb/− images, but this difference was not significant (Fig. 3J).

We next used immunohistochemistry to examine the ribbon-specific protein ribeye and the synaptic vesicle marker SV2. The zebrafish genome contains two ribeye homologs, ribeye a and ribeye b, with photoreceptors exclusively expressing ribeye b. Using polyclonal antibodies specific to ribeye b and a monoclonal antibody against SV2, we consistently observed a subtle mislocalization of both proteins in wrb/− mutants in photoreceptors (Figs. 3K, 3K′, 3M, 3M′). Hair cells of wrb/− mutants showed reduced ribeye b and SV2 immunoreactivities (Figs. 4A–C). Maturation of ribbon synapses requires the presence of both ribeye and L-type voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs), as well as VGCC activity. Photoreceptors express the VGCC Ca1.4 (cauna1f) while hair cells express Ca1.3 (cauna1d). Reduction of ribeye disrupts the clustering of Cav1.3 on the presynaptic membrane of hair cells, whereas loss of Ca1.4 leads to a significant reduction in ribeye in photoreceptors. Despite the altered localization pattern of ribeye, Ca1.4 localized normally to the synaptic ribbons in wrb/− mutants (Figs. 3L, 3L′). Syntxin 3B is the principal t-SNARE in ribbon-containing cells of the retina and we did not observe any changes in the
localization of syntaxin-3 at the OPL of wrb+/− mutants (Figs. 3j, 3f). Lastly, we did not observe any differences in expression of complexin3, the regulator of SNARE mediated exocytosis (Figs. 5N, 5N')

**Knockdown of the Cytoplasmic ATPase Trc40 Results in a wrb+/−-Like Phenotype**

In yeast, Wrb functions as an ER membrane-bound receptor for Trc40 during TA protein targeting.8,42 We therefore tested whether trc40 deficiency also resulted in similar visual function and mechanotransduction phenotypes observed in wrb+/− mutants. Both translation-blocking (MO1) and splice-blocking (MO2) morpholinos knocked down trc40 in wild-type fish and produced similar phenotypic effects. Morphants trc40 lacked swim bladders but did not exhibit overt developmental or morphologic abnormalities through 5 dpf (Fig. 5A). To verify the specificity of trc40 knockdown, mRNA encoding eGFP-tagged human TREC40 was coinjected with trc40 MO1. Morpholinos reduced levels of endogenous Trc40 protein by at least 97% at 3 to 5 dpf, while exogenous Trc40-GFP was clearly detectable at 5 dpf in morphants coinjected with mRNA (Fig. 5B). Injection of MO1 into wild-type fish resulted in reduced DASPEI labeling of lateral line hair cells, similar to the reduction observed in wrb+/− mutants. Injection of MO1 into nyx:YFP fish also recapitulated the loss of ON bipolar cell contacts at the OPL previously seen in wrb+/− mutants. Similar to wrb+/− mutants, we observed 50% fewer knob-like projections inside cone pedicles in trc40 MO1. The experiments above suggest that disruption of wrb and the GET pathway results in diminished synaptic communication and disrupted synaptic organization between photoreceptors and bipolar cells. It is not clear, however, whether the GET pathway is required in photoreceptors, bipolar cells, or both cell types. To determine if visual function specifically requires Wrb activity in photoreceptors, we measured contrast sensitivity in wrb+/− mutants carrying the Tg(Tsc:carb-eGFP) transgene. Optokinetic response measurements contrast sensitivity of wrb+/− mutants was substantially restored by expression of the Tg(Tsc:carb-eGFP) transgene in photoreceptors (Fig. 6E), thereby indicating that photoreceptor function requires Wrb.

**Discussion**

This study uncovers a role for Wrb and the GET pathway in photoreceptor synaptic transmission in vivo. Herein, we show that Wrb and Trc40 are required for visual function and architectural integrity of photoreceptor synapses. Mutation of wrb resulted in diminished b-waves and disrupted contacts between cones and ON bipolar cells. We also demonstrated that knockdown of trc40 mimicked the wrb+/− mutant phenotypes. Finally, we showed that the ability of Wrb to rescue OKR behavior in wrb+/− mutants required a conserved coil-coil domain arginine (R73) known to be critical for Trc40 docking. Our results are similar to those recently reported by Lin et al.,44 who found a significant reduction in auditory startle responses and microphonic potentials in the inner ear of wrb+/− mutants.

**Role of wrb in the GET Pathway**

The mechanistic framework for the insertion of TA proteins destined for the secretory pathway was first elucidated in yeast.9,45 Cytosolic targeting factor Get3 binds the transmembrane domain of nascent TA proteins and shuttles the TA cargo to the Get1/Get2 receptor complex at the ER membrane.45–48 Targeting factors Get1 and Get2 then insert TA proteins into the ER membrane upon ATP-dependent cargo release from Get3.49 Respectively, Wrb and Trc40 are the vertebrate homologues of Get1 and Get3.52,49 No vertebrate homolog to Get2 exists, but CAML serves as the functional homolog.50 Our data support the hypothesis that the GET pathway is necessary for normal visual acuity in vertebrates.

Tail-anchored proteins represent a large, diverse class of proteins that include SNAREs and the Bcl-2 family of apoptosis regulators.50–51 Given that TA proteins are numerous and ubiquitous, why are phenotypes observed in zebrafish wrb+/− mutants apparently limited to synaptic defects in photoreceptors and hair cells? First, the GET pathway is not required for viability in yeast52 and not all TA proteins require the GET pathway.53–54 Evidence suggests that redundant, as well as independent mechanisms for membrane targeting of TA proteins exist, including posttranslational recognition by the SRP and an Hsp70-Hsp40 pathway.54,55 Synaptic vesicles are at least 100-fold greater in number within ribon synapses of photoreceptors56 and hair cells compared with conventional terminals of the cortex.59 The demand for SNARE proteins in vesicle release at ribbon synapses may be substantial and therefore cells may be more sensitive to GET pathway disruption.

**Photoreceptor Function Requires an Intact GET Pathway**

The precise etiology of the visual defect of wrb+/− mutants remains unclear. Although both phototransduction and synaptic vesicle release in photoreceptors require TA proteins, the...
results thus far do not directly implicate a deficit in a particular TA protein or proteins. For example, R9AP is a TA protein that binds the RGS9-Gb5 GTPase activating complex, which is responsible for transducin inactivation. Loss of R9AP or RGS9 result in delayed flash recovery of photoreceptors in mice, which would manifest in decreased ERG waveforms. Indeed, human mutations in R9AP severely repress both ERG a- and b-waves to paired flashes of light with intervals less than 10 seconds. Furthermore, R9AP patients do not respond to low-contrast, moving stimuli. In wrb-/- mutants, however, the
ERG a-wave was intact and mutants lacked an OKR to both high and low contrast stimuli. These phenotypes were not consistent with an R9AP deficiency. Defects in membrane insertion of SNARE proteins, a class of TA proteins, could also explain the wrb\textsubscript{g0}/C0/C0 mutant phenotype. This was suggested by Lin et al., who reported subtle alterations in a number of tail-anchored proteins, including the SNARE protein syntaxin3. Surprisingly, our results found that expression and localization of syntaxin3 was normal in wrb\textsubscript{g0}/C0/C0 mutants. Lin et al. noted a subtle reduction in syntaxin3 expression in wrb\textsubscript{g0}/C0/C0 mutants, although no difference in localization was mentioned. The discrepancy in expression strength may reflect a difference in the dilution or source of the syntaxin3 antibody. We cannot rule out the possibility that other SNARE proteins may be affected.

The mutant wrb\textsubscript{g0} was identified by the complete lack of OKR behavior. The zebrafish requires an intact ON pathway to drive the OKR. We show that the mutants retain a detectable light response by ERG analysis and the OFF pathway remains largely intact. This is similar to what was reported in the nrc\textsubscript{g0}/C0/C0 mutant, which truncates the synaptojanin gene and completely disrupts synapse architecture. While only mild disruptions in the distribution of ribeye and SV2 were observed in photoreceptors, the number of properly invagi-

**Figure 6.** Photoreceptor wrb expression is critical for normal visual sensitivity. (A) Immunofluorescent images 10 \textmu m-thick transverse cryosections of 5 dpf retinas from Tg(TaC:wrb-eGFP) stained antibodies to GFP (green), KDEL (top, red) or SV2 (bottom, red) to label ER and synapse respectively. (B) OKR gain versus log contrast plots for 5 dpf wrb\textsubscript{g0} (closed triangles), wrb\textsuperscript{+}/\textsuperscript{-} mutants (open triangles), or wrb\textsuperscript{+} mutants injected with mRNA encoding wrb\textsuperscript{R73A}-eGFP (green closed triangles), or wrb\textsuperscript{+} mutants injected with mRNA encoding wrb\textsuperscript{R73A}-eGFP (green open triangles). (C) Quantification of the percentage of larval offspring from a wrb heterozygous mating showing normal avoidance responses to light tail touch. Roughly 25\% of larvae offspring (homozygous wrb\textsubscript{g0}/C0/C0 mutants) failed to show normal responses. Almost 100\% of larvae exhibited normal touch responses following injection of mRNA encoding wrb-eGFP into 1-cell embryos. 25\% of larvae failed to show a normal response following injection of mRNA encoding wrb\textsuperscript{R73A}-eGFP. (E) OKR gain versus log contrast plots from 5 dpf heterozygous and homozygous wrb mutants carrying the Tg(TaC:wrb-eGFP) transgene. \*\textit{P}<0.05. **\textit{P}<0.01. ***\textit{P}<0.001. ****\textit{P}<0.0001. Scale bar: in (A): 5 \textmu m.
nated ON bipolar cell dendrites was reduced in wrb/−/− mutants. We noted a trend that wrb/−/− photoreceptors had fewer ribbons, although this was not significant. Lin et al. noted a much more significant reduction of ribbons in hair cells of the inner ear. The reduction of ON bipolar cell invaginating dendrites coincident with relatively intact presynaptic organization has been observed after mutation of both presynaptic and postsynaptic components. Since the b-wave is derived from ON bipolar cells, the residual ERG responses in wrb/−/− may be mediated by the ~50% of ON bipolar cells contacting cone pedicles.

Photoreceptors require Wrb for proper function. We found that specific expression of Wrb-eGFP in cone photoreceptors restores the spatial contrast sensitivity by at least 50% (Fig. 5D), demonstrating a presynaptic requirement for Wrb in photoreceptors. This restoration was similar to that observed following global expression of Wrb-eGFP via mRNA injection, but less than the recovery observed following injection of mRNA encoding untagged Wrb. This may suggest a requirement for Wrb in bipolar cells, which also contain ribbon synapses, but it is possible that the C-terminal fusion of GFP partially inhibits Wrb function by limiting access to Trc40.

### Tissue Specific Roles for wrb

The gene WRB was first isolated within the region of human chromosome 21 associated with the heightened risk of congenital heart defects in Down syndrome. Referred to as congenital heart disease 5 (CHD5), Wrb localized to the nucleus of cardiac cells. In both Xenopus and medaka, morpholino knockdown of wrb caused defects in heart chamber differentiation and cardiac looping. In a drug sensitivity screen, zebrafish wrb mutants were identified as having abnormal myocardial repolarization and lower baseline heart rates, but morphologic defects were not described.

The function of Wrb in cardiac morphogenesis involves a nuclear association with the cardiac transcription factor CASZ1. The requirement of arginine-73 for Wrb function and the similar phenotypes observed following trc40 knockdown suggest that the visual system requires Wrb at the ER. Thus, Wrb may have tissue-specific functions in the visual system and heart, or can maintain dual functions even in the same tissue. The selectivity of the defects at sensory neuron ribbon synapses suggests unique biosynthetic demands for cells bearing ribbon synapses, but may also point to a novel role for Wrb acting through the GET pathway in synaptic function.
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