Accessing simply-substituted 4-hydroxytetrahydroisoquinolines via Pomeranz–Fritsch–Bobbitt reaction with non-activated and moderately-activated systems
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**Abstract**

**Background:** 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinolines (THIQs) are common motifs in alkaloids and in medicinal chemistry. Synthetic access to THIQs via the Pomeranz–Fritsch–Bobbitt (PFB) methodology using mineral acids for deactivated, electron-poor aromatic systems, is scarcely represented in the literature. Here, the factors controlling the regiochemical outcome of cyclization are evaluated.

**Results:** A double reductive alkylation was telescoped into a one-pot reaction delivering good to excellent yields of desired aminoacetals for cyclization. Cyclization of activated systems proceeded smoothly under standard PFB conditions, but for non-activated systems the use of HClO\(_4\) alone was effective. When cyclization was possible in both para- and ortho-positions to the substituent, 7-substituted derivatives were formed with significant amounts of 5-substituted byproduct. The formation of the 4-hydroxy-THIQs vs the 4-methoxy-THIQ products could be controlled through modification of the reaction concentration. In addition, while a highly-activated system exclusively cyclized to the indole, this seems generally highly disfavored. When competition between 6- and 7-ring formation was investigated in non-activated systems, 5,7,8,13-tetrahydro-6,13-methanodibenzo[c,f]azonine was exclusively obtained. Furthermore, selective ring closure in the para-position could be achieved under standard PFB conditions, while a double ring closure could be obtained utilizing HClO\(_4\).

**Conclusion:** Reactivity differences in aminoacetal precursors can be employed to control cyclization using the PFB methodology. It is now possible to select confidently the right conditions for the synthesis of N-aryl-4-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines.
Introduction

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinoline (THIQ) motifs are present in many natural alkaloids [1]. THIQ derivatives have also been investigated as potential therapeutics in a wide range of diseases and recent studies have explored their potential as steroidomimetics [2-5]. Given the success of these authors in designing highly potent non-steroidal chimeric microtubule disruptors based upon decorated THIQ-based mimics of the steroidal AB ring system that possess pendant N-substituents [2], robust routes to direct N-aryl substituted THIQs were targeted for related activities (Figure 1).

Isoquinolines can be obtained from benzaldehyde and 2,2-diethoxyethylamine under Pomeranz–Fritsch (PF) reaction conditions (Scheme 1), as first reported in 1893 [6,7]. A modification of the classic reaction reported by Bobbitt allows access to THIQ analogues (Scheme 1) [8-10]. Later, research has principally focused on the asymmetric THIQ synthesis and a substantial number of approaches to this end have been reported in the literature [1,11-14]. Notwithstanding this, application of the Bobbitt modification of the Pomeranz–Fritsch reaction, or Pomeranz–Fritsch–Bobbitt (PFB), as an approach to simply-substituted THIQs has been somewhat neglected. In fact, most literature reports of cyclization under PFB conditions have concerned strongly-activated aromatic systems [8-12,15-18] with a few examples of cyclization of deactivated, electron-deficient, aromatic systems [19] in the presence of mineral acids. Synthetic approaches to electron poor systems more often involve different chemistries [20].

The PFB reaction proceeds via reduction of an intermediate iminoacetal to provide an aminoacetal that is then cyclized and reduced to deliver the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline product. Relative to the original Pomeranz–Fritsch conditions, the Bobbitt modification features a reduced acid concentration [8-10].

The key cyclization in the PFB synthesis reaction is an electrophilic aromatic substitution that is strongly impacted by the effects of the substituents on the electron density of the aromatic ring in intermediate 4.

Given our established interest in exploring structural mimetics for the steroid nucleus we were drawn to explore whether the PFB reaction could be used to access libraries of regioisomeric N-aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives that might facilitate the design of new structural templates for steroid-binding receptors. We thus evaluated the robustness and flexibility of this approach to the THIQ system and considered, in particular, the factors controlling the regiochemical outcome of the reaction to direct synthetic design. Reaction conditions of the PFB methodology and opportune modifications necessary to direct such reactions towards the synthesis of the desired THIQ derivatives are reported here.

Results and Discussion

To address aforementioned aims, we envisaged that the synthesis set out in Scheme 2 could deliver access to substrates for the PFB reaction such as 9. After an initial, unfruitful, attempt to obtain the aminoacetals 9 from sequential condensation of aniline and alkyl and aryl halides, a double reductive alkylation that could be telescoped into a one-pot reaction was investigat-
Designed synthesis of THIQ. Conditions: (a) NaBH(OAc)$_3$, CHCl$_3$, rt; (b) 6 M HCl or 70% HClO$_4$ (see Table 1), rt.

Table 1: Double reductive alkylation.

| Cmpd | $R^1$ | $R^2$ | Yield (%) | Cmpd | $R^1$ | $R^2$ | Yield (%) |
|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|----------|
| 9a   | H    | H    | 99       | 9j   | 4-OH | 4-OMe | 90       |
| 9b   | 4-OMe| H    | 69       | 9k   | 4-OH | 4-Cl  | 66       |
| 9c   | 4-Cl | H    | 88       | 9l   | 3,4-(OMe)$_2$ | 4-OMe | 91       |
| 9d   | 4-OH | H    | 90       | 9m   | 3,4,5-(OMe)$_3$ | 4-OMe | 98       |
| 9e   | 3-Br | H    | 54       | 9n   | 2-OMe | 4-Cl  | 96       |
| 9f   | 3-OMe| H    | 79       | 9o   | H    | 3-OMe | 73       |
| 9g   | 3-OMe| 4-Cl | 90       | 9p   | H    | 3,4,5-(OMe)$_3$ | 85       |
| 9h   | 4-OH | 4-OH | –        | 9q   | H    | 3,5-(OMe)$_2$ | –        |
| 9i   | 4-OH | 4-Me | 94       |      |      |       |          |

As previously mentioned, cyclization with activated systems, such as the one for compound 9f, proceeds smoothly with 6 M HCl, whereas the stronger acids H$_2$SO$_4$ and HClO$_4$ triggered the degradation of the starting material (Table 2). In contrast, for a non-activated system, e.g., 9b, HClO$_4$ was the only acid...
able to deliver the desired THIQ 10b. However, in the presence of a deactivating, ortho/para-directing group, such as chlorine (9e) or bromine (9e), HClO₄ afforded the desired cyclized product only in the position directed by the substituent. In addition, even HClO₄ failed to catalyze the cyclization of 2-MeO compound 9n, rendering the target THIQ 10n inaccessible by this approach.

In certain cases, during scale-up of the reaction to a gram scale, a minor product became clearly identifiable and could sometimes be isolated. Whenever the cyclization was possible in both the para- and the ortho-position to the substituent, as expected, the para-position predominated and the 7-substituted THIQs (e.g., 10e–g, Scheme 4) were obtained as the major product. Nevertheless, it was possible to isolate a usable amount of the 5-substituted THIQ 14e. The ratio of the two regioisomers proved to be fairly constant, ranging from 5:1 to 4:1 for the three compounds considered. However, when more than one substituent was present, i.e., 9l, only compound 10l formed and the alternative product 14l was not observed.

A kinetic NMR study was performed by running a sample reaction directly in an NMR tube. However, the strongly ionic environment did not allow for a sufficient resolution of the NMR spectra and instead only extremely broad and convoluted peaks were observed. The kinetic study was then repeated by sampling the reaction at regular intervals, showing an almost instant hydrolysis of the acetal 9l to give the corresponding aldehyde followed by its rapid conversion into THIQ 10l within the first ten minutes of the reaction.

Despite the indication that the reaction proceeded through an initial complete conversion of the acetal to the aldehyde, in certain cases the formation of the ethers 15 was identified (Scheme 5). The formation of the two derivatives could be controlled through changes in the reaction concentration (Table 3). When 9f was dissolved in sufficient 6 M HCl necessary to provide a 1 M solution of the acetal, the main product obtained was the 4-hydroxy-THIQ. However, when 70% HClO₄ was used, the ratio between the 4-hydroxy and 4-methoxy-THIQs varied from ca. 1:1 to approaching 1:0, depending on the concentration of starting material in the reaction mixture. Ultimately, it was postulated that the ratio between formation of the ether and the alcohol is most likely a function of the water content of the reaction mixture. An acetal concentration of 0.3 M proved optimal to minimize the ether formation.

![Scheme 4: Competition between the formation of 5- and 7-substituted THIQs. Conditions: (a) 6 M HCl, rt.](image-url)
Scheme 5: Formation of the 4-hydroxy and 4-methoxy-THIQs. Conditions: (a) 6 M HCl or 70% HClO₄, rt (see Table 3).

Scheme 6: Competition between the formation of THIQs 10a, o, p and indoles 16a, o, p. Conditions: (a) 70% HClO₄, rt.

Table 3: Ratio between the formation of 10d f i j k and 15d f i j k at different reaction concentrations.

| SM  | Conc. (M) | Products | Ratio<br>a |
|-----|-----------|----------|------------|
| 9d  | 0.9       | 10d + 15d| 2:1        |
| 9d  | 0.3       | 10d + 15d| 1:0        |
| 9f  | 1.0       | 10f + 15f| 5:1        |
| 9i  | 0.7       | 10i + 15i| 1:1        |
| 9j  | 0.8       | 10j + 15j| 1:1        |
| 9k  | 0.9       | 10k + 15k| 1:1        |

aMolar ratios 10d f i j k vs 15d f i j k were calculated by 1H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixtures. bReaction was performed with 70% HClO₄. cReaction was performed with 6 M HCl.

The PF reaction conditions could possibly lead to a competition between 6-membered and 5-membered ring formation in systems such as 9a, o, p (Scheme 6). For this study, compounds bearing a different number of methoxy groups were selected in order to understand the electronic constrains that might render indole formation competitive. Upon treatment with 70% HClO₄, acetals 9a and 9o yielded the respective THIQs 10a and 10o. However, the highly-activated acetal 9p exclusively cyclized into the indole 16p. It is thus possible to infer that indole formation is highly disfavored and only the presence of a great number of activating groups can make this outcome competitive.

We then decided to expand our analysis to include competition between 6- and 7-membered ring formation. Compound 18 (Scheme 7) was generated via a double reductive amination, in analogy to the syntheses of compounds 9a–q. Because none of the aromatic rings contained any activating group, the cyclization was performed with 70% HClO₄ as catalyst. However, in the experimental conditions used it was not possible to isolate either compound 19 or 20 (Scheme 7). Instead, the reaction proceeded to give complete conversion of 18 into the doubly-cyclized 21. Hence, the experimental conditions used did not allow to discriminate between 6- and 7-membered ring formation.

Lastly, we investigated potential competition between ring formation in para- or meta-position to an activating group such as a methoxy group, when both were possible. For this, compound 22 (Scheme 8) was synthesized via a double reductive amination, as per synthesis of 9a–q. As expected, when the precursor was treated with 6 M HCl, the cyclization occurred only in the para-position. However, when 70% HClO₄ was used, compound 22 afforded exclusively the double ring-closed product 25 (Scheme 8), analogous to 21. Presumably, in this case the six-membered ring para-cyclization may occur first followed by that directed by the six ring meta-position. Therefore, under our experimental conditions it was possible to obtain selectively the desired mono-cyclized product solely when this contained the activating group in the para-position.
Conclusion

The classical PFB conditions could be used successfully when at least one activating group was present in a position para to the cyclization point. When the substitution position was not para or the group was not an electron-donating group, the PFB conditions were not successful and the target THIQ could be obtained only with the use of 70% HClO₄ as a catalyst. This difference in reactivity could be exploited to control the cyclization point when both conditions were present, e.g., compound 22. In addition, in more simply substituted substrates, e.g., 9e–g, the formation of both 5- and 7-THIQs was observed in a somewhat constant ratio. This was not observed for compounds bearing more than one substituent. We noticed a strong preference for the 6-membered ring formation over the 5-membered ring, and the latter formed only in a greatly activated system. Conversely, no preference between 6- and 7-membered ring formation was observed. In addition, a 4-MeO-THIQ side product may be identified and its formation could be controlled by modifying the concentration of the starting material in the reaction media. In summary, the reported findings reveal the possibility to select confidently the appropriate conditions to synthesize the desired N-aryl-4-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines and derivatives to be explored as steroidomimetics in medicinal chemistry. Further investigation is currently being conducted and the findings will be reported upon their availability.

Experimental

Materials and methods

All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. or Alfa Aesar. Organic solvents of A. R. grade were supplied by Fisher Scientific. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated plates (Merck TLC aluminum sheets silica gel 60 F254). Product(s) and starting material(s) were detected.
by either TLC and/or LC–MS. Flash column chromatography was performed on RediSep® prepared columns (normal phase and reversed phase) with an Isco CombiFlash® RF. NMR (400 MHz or 500 MHz) spectra were recorded with Bruker AMX systems and chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm). HPLC and low-resolution mass spectra analyses were obtained on a Waters Micromass ZQ equipped with a Waters 996 PDA detector using either a Waters Radialpack C18 reversed-phase column (8 × 100 mm), or a Symmetry C18 reversed-phase column (4.6 × 150 mm) eluting with the solvent system specified at 1.0 mL/min. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded at the Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, University of Bath, on a Bruker microTOF. Melting points were determined using an Optimelt block and are uncorrected.

2,2-Dimethoxyacetaldehyde was purchased as an aqueous solution and was extracted in CHCl₃ before use. Petroleum ether (pet. ether) used for chromatography was the 40–60 °C distillate. The general procedures were followed unless indicated otherwise. Some representative examples of spectroscopic data are reported below. The complete sets of data are reported in Supporting Information File 1.

**General method for the double reductive amination reaction**

NaBH(OAc)₃ (3.3 g, 15 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of benzaldehyde (1.0 mL, 10 mmol) and aniline (1.1 mL, 12 mmol) in CHCl₃ (60 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature (rt) for one hour (h). 2,2-Dimethoxyacetaldehyde (30 mmol) was then introduced into the reaction mixture followed by NaBH(OAc)₃ (3.3 g, 15.0 mmol) and the resultant mixture was stirred at rt for further 8 h. The mixture was then quenched with saturated aqueous solution of K₂CO₃ (60 mL) and the aqueous (aq) layer was extracted with CHCl₃ (2 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to give the crude compound 9a as a pale yellow oil (3.87 g).

**N-Benzyl-N-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)aniline (9a).** The crude compound was purified by column chromatography (eluent: from 0–30% EtOAc in pet. ether) to give 9a as a colorless oil (2.92 g, 99%) which showed: 

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.41 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.58 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 2H, NC(H)₂CH₃), 4.63 (t, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H, CH(OR)₂), 4.67 (s, 2H, CH₂Ar), 6.70 (tt, J = 0.9, 7.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.74 (dd, J = 0.9, 8.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.16–7.25 (5H, m, ArH), 7.27–7.34 (m, 2H, ArH) ppm; 

13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 53.9 (CH₂CH₃), 54.9 (CH₃), 54.9 (ArCH₂), 103.5 (CH(OR)₂), 112.3 (ArCH₂), 116.7 (ArCH₂), 126.6 (ArCH), 126.8 (ArCH), 128.7 (ArCH), 129.4 (ArCH), 138.9 (ArCH₂) and 148.7 (ArCN) ppm; LC–MS (ES⁺) tᵣ = 1.81 min (87%), m/z 226.0 (M + H)⁺; HRMS (ES⁺): (M + H)⁺ calcd. for C₁₇H₂₂NO₂, 272.1645; found, 272.1651.

**General method for the PF cyclization with HClO₄ (method A)**

Compounds 9a (3.0 g, 11.1 mmol) was dissolved in 70% HClO₄ (33 mL) and stirred for 1 h at rt. The mixture was then diluted with water (30 mL) and basified by carefully pouring the mixture over Na₂CO₃. The aq layer was then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to give a brown foam (2.97 g).

**General method for the PF cyclization with HCl (method B)**

Compounds 9f (500 mg, 1.66 mmol) was dissolved in 6 M HCl (2 mL) and stirred at rt for 1 h during which time the mixture turned red. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and then quenched by the slow addition of aq 3 M NaOH (10 mL) (a white suspension with a yellow precipitate formed). The mixture was then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to give a yellow-brown oil (445 mg).

**2-Phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-4-ol (10a).** The compound was synthesized according to method A. A sample of the crude compound was purified by column chromatography (eluent: from 0% to 10% EtOAc in pet. ether) to give a yellow oil which showed: 

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.65 (bs, 1H, OH), 3.39 (dd, J = 2.6, 12.6 Hz, 1H, H₁-TIQ), 3.86 (dd, J = 1.1, 3.8, 12.6 Hz, 1H, H₂-TIQ), 4.20 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H, H₁-TIQ), 4.49 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H, H₁-TIQ), 4.49 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H, H₁-TIQ), 4.79 (bs, 1H, H₁-TIQ), 6.94 (tt, J = 1.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H, ArH, phenyl), 7.09 (dd, J = 1.0, 8.8 Hz, 2H, ArH, phenyl), 7.17–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.32 (m, 2H, H₆-H₇-TIQ), 7.34 (dd, J = 7.3, 8.8 Hz, 2H, ArH, phenyl), 7.47–7.51 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 51.4 (C₁-TIQ), 55.6 (C₃-TIQ), 67.3 (C₄-TIQ), 116.6 (ArCH, phenyl), 120.2 (ArCH, phenyl), 126.5, 127.2, 128.2, 129.3, 129.4 (ArCH, phenyl), 136.7, 134.3 and 151.1 (ArCN) ppm; LC/MS (ES⁺) tᵣ = 1.75 min (66 %), m/z 226.0 (M⁺ + H⁺); (RP, isocratic, 90% MeOH); HRMS (ES⁺): (M + H⁺)⁺ calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄NO, 226.1226; found, 226.1234.

**Supporting Information**

Supporting Information File 1

Synthetic and purification methodologies and spectroscopic data. 

[http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/supplementary/1860-5397-13-182-S1.pdf]
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