Effect of Long-Term Consumption of Poultry Egg Products on Growth, Body Composition, and Liver Gene Expression in Zebrafish, *Danio rerio*
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Introduction

Protein is identified as one of the most important macronutrients in regulating growth and tissue production. In addition to promoting growth of lean tissue, protein quantity, quality, and source impact known markers of metabolic health. In humans, a modest increase in protein intake resulted in improved weight maintenance and glycemic index following a weight-loss regime (1). Eight weeks with an increased protein diet reduced systolic and diastolic blood pressure (2). Diets substituting protein for carbohydrate in individuals with insulin-resistant diabetes reduced blood glucose and circulating insulin in both males and females (3, 4). There is some evidence of an overall increase in risk of development of type 2 diabetes that is dependent on protein source (5). Increased animal protein intake, substituted for dietary carbohydrate, resulted in greater overall lifetime risk of type 2 diabetes development, but a modest reduction in this risk was seen when plant protein sources were supplemented instead. These observations suggest an impact of protein on human health from protein as a dietary source; however, the lack of consistency and standardization of diets among these studies is confounding. Branched-chain amino acid...
(BCAA) content, which is high in eggs and dairy protein sources, is also implicated in conferring reduced insulin resistance (6, 7). Circulating BCAA concentrations are associated with reduced insulin resistance and are proportional to dietary intake, although studies show a significant increase in blood glucose as well. Circulating concentrations of the protein zonulin, which reduces inflammation-related intestinal permeability (8), were inversely proportional to dietary protein intake. These and related studies confirm the importance of protein source and content as likely effectors of metabolic health and disease onset and progression.

In many populations, poultry eggs provide a valuable protein source containing essential macronutrients and other bioactive compounds known to promote health while supporting growth and development (9). Egg-derived bioactive compounds also confer antibacterial activity (10), antihypertensive activity (11), antioxidant capability, and mineral binding properties (12). Unfortunately, few studies have addressed the effects of long-term consumption of egg products as regulators of metabolic health in vertebrates, particularly across a series of life stages.

Direct testing of egg products by nutritional interventions is difficult and expensive to administer in human clinical trials. Ethical and economic concerns coupled with diverse and limited test populations reduce the opportunities to determine long-term nutritional management strategies for human health maintenance. For these reasons, we believe a rapid, low-cost, high-throughput evaluation model, the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*, can be used to screen various nutritional therapies as positive mediators of metabolic health (13). In the last decade, zebrafish have been identified as a relevant model for the study of human nutrition, as they are an omnivore with similar protein and lipid requirements (14). Zebrafish exhibit diet-induced obesity [DIO (15)] and have similar genomic responses as seen in human DIO (16). Additionally, zebrafish show obesity-related comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes (17). Importantly, we are able to formulate zebrafish diets that contain egg products and compare age- and size-related development as young juvenile zebrafish cohorts transition to sub-adult and reproductive adult populations. In this study we compare a typical zebrafish diet containing fish and casein protein with diets containing egg products. A diet containing a cereal-based protein source was provided for comparison.

**Methods**

**Husbandry**

Zebrafish were bred, housed, and treated in compliance with the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and the protocol has been approved by the University of Alabama at Birmingham Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC-20656). Adult fish were held at the University of Alabama at Birmingham Nutrition Obesity Research Center Lab Animal Nutrition Core in a recirculating zebrafish husbandry system (Aquaneering) at 28°C and 14 h:10 h light:dark cycle. Municipal tap water was filtered by a 5-µm string filter, followed by charcoal and reverse osmosis, and passed through an ion-exchange column to ensure the highest quality of system water. Ions are provided by the addition of synthetic sea salt (Instant Ocean) to a salinity of 0.7 ppt (∼1500 µS/cm). Water quality was monitored twice weekly (ammonia, nitrite, nitrate) and pH daily (7.4, adjusted with sodium bicarbonate). Embryos of multi-individual crosses (10 males and 20 females) were held at standard conditions (Zebrafish International Resource Center, Eugene, OR, USA). At 5 [days post-fertilization (dpf)], populations with inflated swim bladders were placed in 5-ppt salinity system water and fed in polyculture with the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis* ad libitum (*B. plicatilis* were reared on high unsaturated fatty acid–fortified Nanochloropsis; Reed Mariculture). At 10 dpf, individuals (larvae) were fed stage I nauplii of *Artemia* (INVE Aquaculture) and assigned randomly to experimental tanks (2.8-L tanks, 10 fish per tank, 8 replicate tanks per diet treatment). This density promotes excellent growth characteristics and assures an even sex ratio [Watts SA (2013)]. Population density affects zebra fish growth and sex ratios, unpublished manuscript). At 21 dpf, young juvenile cohorts were fed (twice daily at 08:00 and 16:00) experimental diets (see below). All formulated diets were fed at ∼6% body weight per day, a ration amount shown to promote weight gain. Experimental tanks were provided their respective diet for the study period. At the end of 34 wk of being fed these diets, reproductively active adult zebrafish were terminated by approved rapid ice immersion. Mixed-sex fish (n = 10) from random tanks of each dietary treatment were returned to the recirculating system for blood glucose testing (see below). Sexes were easily identified at termination, and fish of each sex were randomly selected for lipid analysis and gene expression of the liver, as described below. Power analyses for experimental design and post hoc statistics were provided by the University of Alabama at Birmingham Nutrition Obesity Research Center Statistical Core personnel. The treatment period encompassed zebrafish beginning as early juveniles until several months after reproductive maturity (older adults).

**Diet preparation**

All ingredients were weighed on an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo New Classic MF Model MS8001S or Model PG503-S; Mettler-Toledo, LLC) and mixed using a Kitchen Aid Professional 600 Orbital Mixer (Kitchen Aid); the ingredients and catalog numbers are listed in Table 1. The diets were cold extruded into strands to preserve nutrient content using a commercial food processor (Cuisinart), and strands were air-dried for 24 h on wire trays to a final moisture content of ∼8%. Protein sources were either fish protein hydrolysate (catalog no. CPS090; The Scoular Company), casein (catalog no. 904,798; MP Biomedicals), isolated soy protein (catalog no. 905,456; MP Biomedicals), wheat gluten (WG; catalog no. G5004; Sigma Aldrich), egg white (EW; catalog no. 2110; Ballas Egg Products Corp.), or whole egg (WE; catalog no. 3010; Ballas Egg Products Corp.). Diets included 1) a control diet [CON; a multisource protein diet shown previously to provide lifelong health and growth (15)]: 2) a diet in which 50% of the total protein is substituted with WG, isonitrogenous to the control; 3) a diet in which 50% of the total protein is substituted with EW, isonitrogenous to the control; 4) a diet with WE substituted for ∼50% of the total protein; and 5) an elevated-fat diet (HFCON) in which total protein and fat were isonitrogenous and isolipidic with the replacement of 50% of the total protein with the WE diet (Table 2). The amino acid compositions of these respective diets are shown in Table 3. The WG diet was provided as a comparative commercial, egg-free, cereal-based diet.

**Table 1.** The diets were cold extruded into strands to preserve nutrient content using a commercial food processor (Cuisinart), and strands were air-dried for 24 h on wire trays to a final moisture content of ∼8%. Protein sources were either fish protein hydrolysate (catalog no. CPS090; The Scoular Company), casein (catalog no. 904,798; MP Biomedicals), isolated soy protein (catalog no. 905,456; MP Biomedicals), wheat gluten (WG; catalog no. G5004; Sigma Aldrich), egg white (EW; catalog no. 2110; Ballas Egg Products Corp.), or whole egg (WE; catalog no. 3010; Ballas Egg Products Corp.). Diets included 1) a control diet [CON; a multisource protein diet shown previously to provide life-long health and growth (15)]: 2) a diet in which 50% of the total protein is substituted with WG, isonitrogenous to the control; 3) a diet in which 50% of the total protein is substituted with EW, isonitrogenous to the control; 4) a diet with WE substituted for ∼50% of the total protein; and 5) an elevated-fat diet (HFCON) in which total protein and fat were isonitrogenous and isolipidic with the replacement of 50% of the total protein with the WE diet (Table 2). The amino acid compositions of these respective diets are shown in Table 3. The WG diet was provided as a comparative commercial, egg-free, cereal-based diet.
TABLE 1  Vendors and catalog numbers of ingredients

| Ingredient                      | Vendor                          | Catalog identifier |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Dried whole egg                 | Ballas Egg Product Corp.        | 3010               |
| White egg                       | Ballas Egg Product Corp.        | 2110               |
| Fish protein hydrolysate        | The Scoular Company             | CPS90              |
| Wheat gluten                    | MP Biomedicals                  | 101815             |
| Dextrin                         | Acros Organics                  | 406285000          |
| Mineral mix AIN 76              | Envigo                          | CA.170915          |
| Casein low-trace metals         | MP Biomedicals                  | 0296012805         |
| Soy protein, isolated           | MP Biomedicals                  | 0290545605         |
| Corn oil                        | MP Biomedicals                  | 0290141401         |
| Safflower oil                   | MP Biomedicals                  | 0210288890         |
| Menhaden fish oil               | Omega Protein                   | Virginia Prime Gold|
| Vitamin diet fortification mixture | MP Biomedicals                 | 0290465401         |
| Diatomaceous earth, acid washed | Andwin Scientific               | D3877              |
| Alphacel, nonnutritive bulk     | MP Biomedicals                  | 0290045305         |
| d-(-)-glucosamine hydrochloride | MP Biomedicals                  | 0210178225         |
| Cholesterol National Formulary grade | MP Biomedicals             | 02101380-CF        |
| Lecithin, soy, refined          | MP Biomedicals                  | 0210214790         |
| Ascorbyl palmitate              | MP Biomedicals                  | 0210078180         |
| Potassium phosphate monobasic   | MP Biomedicals                  | 02195453.5         |
| Wheat starch                    | TIC Gums                        | TICA-Algin 400     |
| Alginate                        | MP Biomedicals                  | 150461             |
| Canthaxanthin                   | DSM                             | Carophyll Red      |

Body metrics

Every 2 wk following assignment to diets, survival and total tank fish weights were measured to estimate population biomass and to adjust feed ration (fish were fed at ~6% of body weight per day). At 34 wk, the growth trial was terminated, and all fish were sexed, weighed individually to 0.001 g, and terminal standard body length (measured from tip of snout to the distal end of the caudal peduncle when laid sidewise on a ruler) was recorded to 0.1 mm. Females were ovariectomized and total lipid for the remaining female carcass and intact males (n = 10 per diet) was determined using the Folch lipid extraction optimized for zebrafish (18). Total body moisture was determined by oven-drying carcasses (72 h at 50°C) used for Folch analysis.

Blood glucose

Mixed-sex fish (n = 10) from random tanks of each dietary treatment continued to be fed within their respective treatment protocols for an additional 4 wk (38 wk total). The fish were then monitored for fasting blood glucose as described previously (19). For fasting blood glucose, fish were feed-deprived for a 16-h period and anesthetized using ice water. For postprandial glucose measures, fish were fed a regular ration of assigned diet and allowed to consume the diet for a 30-min period. Blood was collected in heparinized glass pipettes punctured into the region of the dorsal aorta. Glucose concentrations in the samples were determined immediately following blood collections using Amplex Red Glucose Assay (Life Technologies).

RNA isolation

At termination of the feeding trial, males and females (n = 6 of each sex) from the CON, WG, HFCON, and WE dietary treatments had whole livers dissected out, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and transferred to a −80°C freezer for long-term storage. RNA was isolated from tissue using RNase “Lipid Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen) per the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified RNA was subjected to quantification and purity assessment via an Epoch microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek Instruments).

RNA sequencing

Four female samples from the CON and WE dietary treatments were processed for RNA sequencing (RNAseq; Genomics Core Laboratory, Helin Center for Genomic Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham). In brief, quality of the total RNA was assessed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. RNA with an RNA Integrity Number (RIN) of 7.0 or above was used for sequencing library preparation using the Agilent SureSelect Strand Specific mRNA library kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Agilent). Library construction began with ribosome reduction using the NEBNext rRNA depletion kit for human/mouse/rat as described by the manufacturer (New England Biolabs). The resulting RNA was randomly fragmented with cations and heat, which was followed by first-strand synthesis using random primers with inclusion of actinomycin D (2.4 ng/μL final concentration). Second-strand cDNA production was done with standard techniques; the ends of the resulting cDNA were made blunt, A-tailed, and adaptors ligated for amplification and indexing to allow for multiplexing during sequencing. The cDNA libraries were quantitated using RT-PCR in a Roche LightCycler 480 with the Kapa Biosystems kit for Illumina library quantitation (Kapa Biosystems) prior to cluster generation. Cluster generation was performed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations for onboard clustering (Illumina). Samples were sequenced to achieve a minimum of 30 million, single-end, 75-bp reads per sample.

Quality of the sequencing reads was assessed using FastQC (version 0.11.8) (20) and the data were filtered for quality and RNA contamination using Trimmomatic (version 0.36) (21). Sequences were aligned to the Ensembl zebrafish reference genome (GRCz11) using the full annotated (49 K genes) gtf file. Individual gene counts were obtained for
TABLE 2  Ingredient composition of diets (g/kg)¹

| Ingredient                        | CON  | EW  | WG  | HFCON | WE  |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| Casein, low-trace metals          | 217.5| 130.5| 130.5| 217.5 | 130.5|
| Fish protein hydrolysate          | 217.5| 130.5| 130.5| 217.5 | 130.5|
| Soy protein isolate               | 60   | 36  | 36  | 60    | 36  |
| Egg white                         | —    | 217.6| —   | —     | —   |
| Wheat gluten                      | —    | —   | 232 | —     | —   |
| Whole egg                         | —    | —   | —   | 352.3 | —   |
| Wheat starch                      | 120  | 120 | 120 | 60.5  | 60.5|
| Dextrin                           | 50   | 50  | 50  | 50    | 50  |
| Diatomaceous earth                | 94.8 | 65.6| 53  | 17.8  | —   |
| Alginate                          | 20   | 20  | 20  | 20    | 20  |
| Safflower oil                     | 40   | 46.4| 45.2| 40    | 40  |
| Menhaden fish oil (ARBP)          | 20   | 23.2| 22.6| 40    | 40  |
| Soy lecithin (refined)            | 40   | 40  | 40  | 40    | 40  |
| Vitamin mix (MP-VDFM)⁴            | 40   | 40  | 40  | 40    | 40  |
| Mineral mix (AIN 76)⁵             | 10   | 10  | 10  | 10    | 10  |
| Potassium phosphate monobasic     | 11.5 | 11.5| 11.5| 11.5  | 11.5|
| Alpha cellulose                   | 23.1 | 23.1| 23.1| 23.1  | 23.1|
| Glucosamine                       | 2.5  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5   | 2.5 |
| Betaine                           | 1.5  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5   | 1.5 |
| Cholesterol                       | 1.2  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2   | 1.2 |
| Ascorbyl palmitate                | 0.4  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4   | 0.4 |
| Calculated protein (% as fed)     | 41.97| 40.87| 41.38| 41.95 | 39.45|
| Calculated protein (% dry)        | 46.64| 45.41| 45.98| 46.62 | 43.83|
| Calculated lipid (% as fed)       | 10.12| 10.43| 10.48| 22.40 | 22.24|
| Calculated lipid (% dry)          | 11.25| 11.59| 11.64| 24.89 | 24.71|
| Calculated soluble digestible      | 33.00| 37.19| 37.36| 27.70 | 32.72|
| carbohydrate (% as fed)⁶          |      |      |      |       |      |
| Ash (% as fed)                    | 10.20| 6.96 | 6.03 | 4.69  | 1.04 |
| Fiber (% as fed)                  | 4.70 | 4.56 | 4.75 | 3.26  | 4.55 |
| Protein energy ratio (as fed)      | 0.558| 0.527| 0.529| 0.456 | 0.425|
| Calculated energy (kcal/kg as fed)| 4248 | 4382 | 4422 | 5195  | 5239 |

¹All ingredients are listed by g/kg in the diet as fed. Dietary protein percentages are based on crude protein values of each protein source as provided by the manufacturer. ARBP, alkali refined bleached and pressed; CON, fish and casein protein source control diet; EW, egg white; HFCON, high-fat fish and casein protein source diet; MP-VDFM, MP Biomedicals™ Vitamin Diet Fortification Mixture; WE, whole egg; WG, wheat gluten.

²MP Biomedicals 904654: vitamin A acetate (500,000 IU/g) 1.80000, vitamin D2 (850,000 IU/g) 0.12500, dl-α-tocopherol acetate 22.00000, ascorbic acid 45.00000, inositol 5.00000, choline chloride 75.00000, menadione 2.25000, riboflavin 1.00000, pyridoxine hydrochloride 1.00000, thiamin hydrochloride 1.00000, calcium pantothenate 3.00000, biotin 0.20000, folic acid 0.09000, vitamin B-12 0.00135; measures are mg/g.

³AIN 76 mineral mix for Envigo (Indianapolis, IN): sucrose, fine ground 118.03; calcium phosphate, dibasic 500.0; sodium chloride 74.0; potassium citrate, monohydrate 220.0; potassium sulfate 52.0; magnesium oxide 24.0; manganese carbonate 3.5; ferric citrate 6.0; zinc carbonate 1.6; cupric carbonate 0.3; potassium iodate 0.01; sodium selenite, pentahydrate 0.01; chromium potassium sulfate, dodecahydrate 0.55; measures are mg/g.

⁴Calculation used for soluble digestible carbohydrate: carbohydrate = 100 - (protein % + fat % + ash % + fiber %).

Real-time PCR

For real-time PCR validation, cDNA was synthesized using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) as per the manufacturer’s instructions, with a starting amount of 5 μg total RNA in a 100-μL reaction run on a SimpliAmp™ Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems). The cDNA was diluted to 1:20 and 5 μL was used for a 20-μL total reaction using TaqMan™ Fast Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and MicroAmp™ Fast Optical 96-Well Reaction Plates (Applied Biosystems). Gene-specific TaqMan primers were purchased from ThermoFisher (assay IDs: rpl13a-Dr03101114_gl, ucp2-Dr03125005_m1, elovl5-Dr03094287_m1, and gpx4a-Proprietary). Forty RT-PCR cycles were run on a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System and results analyzed with QuantStudio™ Design & Analysis Software version 1.5.1 using ribosomal protein L13a (rpl13a) as the housekeeping gene (Applied Biosystems).

Statistical modeling and analysis

Data from this study were analyzed with RStudio Statistical Software (R Core Team, 2016, v0.99.896), and graphs generated with Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 26 (IBM Corporation). All analyses for continuous outcomes were stratified by sex, with the
Table 3: Amino acid composition of diets

| Amino acids (g/100 g dry) | CON   | EW   | WG    | HFCO  | WE    |
|--------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Alanine                  | 1.88  | 2.29 | 1.63  | 1.88  | 2.08  |
| Arginine                 | 2.52  | 2.56 | 2.18  | 2.52  | 2.60  |
| Aspartic acid            | 3.10  | 3.45 | 2.49  | 3.10  | 3.63  |
| Cystine                  | 1.17  | 1.14 | 1.16  | 1.17  | 1.08  |
| Glutamic acid            | 7.04  | 6.73 | 11.43 | 7.04  | 6.48  |
| Glycine                  | 2.26  | 2.02 | 2.01  | 2.26  | 1.93  |
| Histidine                | 1.31  | 1.20 | 1.21  | 1.31  | 1.21  |
| Isoleucine               | 1.90  | 2.23 | 1.83  | 1.90  | 2.00  |
| Leucine                  | 3.69  | 3.77 | 3.52  | 3.69  | 3.67  |
| Lysine                   | 3.31  | 3.09 | 2.30  | 3.31  | 3.16  |
| Methionine               | 1.19  | 1.41 | 0.99  | 1.19  | 1.24  |
| Phenylalanine            | 2.03  | 2.34 | 2.22  | 2.03  | 2.11  |
| Proline                  | 3.16  | 2.57 | 4.60  | 3.16  | 2.54  |
| Serine                   | 2.30  | 2.70 | 2.35  | 2.30  | 2.71  |
| Threonine                | 1.72  | 1.83 | 1.58  | 1.72  | 1.78  |
| Tryptophan               | 0.54  | 0.60 | 0.56  | 0.54  | 0.60  |
| Tyrosine                 | 3.00  | 2.52 | 2.43  | 3.00  | 2.50  |
| Valine                   | 2.54  | 2.86 | 2.27  | 2.54  | 2.57  |

The amino acid composition was calculated based on amino acid composition of protein sources. The amino acid contents of soy isolate, fish protein hydrolysate, and casein protein sources were analyzed by Midwest Laboratories (Omaha, NE, USA). The amino acid contents of dry whole egg and egg whites were obtained from USDA Database for Standard References and wheat gluten amino acid content was obtained from the National Animal Nutrition Program Database. CON, fish and casein protein source control diet; EW, egg white; HFCO, high-fat fish and casein protein source diet; WE, whole egg; WG, wheat gluten.

Results

Fish in all treatments showed patterns of weight gain and body metrics that were indicative of fish with a normative phenotype. Although reproductive success was not tested in this study, female fish from all diet treatments had a body shape typical of normal reproductive females at the same age as those at study termination, and dissection revealed mature ovaries. The biweekly weights showed differences between the diets consisting of WG and EW (P = 0.009), WG and HFCO (P < 0.001), and WE and HFCO (P = 0.014) (Figure 1). Male terminal weights showed WG-fed fish weighed less than the EW-, WE-, and CON-fed exceptions.
female fish (\(P < 0.009\)) (Figure 2). Female terminal weights showed EW-fed fish weighed more than WE-, HFCON-, and WG-fed fish (\(P < 0.045\)). Terminal body lengths showed no differences between any diet group for males or females (\(P > 0.385\)) (Supplemental Figure 1). Body moisture showed no differences between any diet group for males or females (\(P > 0.421\)) (Supplemental Figure 2). Total body lipids showed a difference in females, with fish fed HFCON having a higher total body lipid concentration than those fed EW (\(P = 0.027\)), and in males, with fish fed WE having a higher total body lipid concentration than those fed CON (\(P = 0.043\)) (Figure 3). No differences were observed in feed-deprived (\(P > 0.273\)) or postprandial (\(P > 0.663\)) blood glucose between any of the diet treatments with males and females analyzed together (Figure 4).

RNAseq analysis was used to evaluate global changes in liver transcriptomics in response to long-term feeding on the CON and WE diets. PCA of the regularized log-transformed count values (rlog) for liver
gene expression of CON and WE dietary treatments across samples revealed that the majority of the samples clustered together, irrespective of diet (Figure 5A; other diet treatments were not analyzed). Additionally, no differentially expressed genes (DEGs) could be detected when comparing gene expression between diets (adjusted $P < 0.1$). Similar results were obtained after independent RNAseq analysis (performed by Sunil K. Singh at the University of Iowa). Pearson’s correlation analysis using rlog-transformed data revealed that the correlation between samples of different diets was very similar to the correlation between samples of the same diet (Figure 5B). While these observations suggest that the experimental dietary changes compared here do not elicit detectable changes in gene expression within whole liver tissue, PCA also highlighted 2 outliers from each diet that contributed to the majority of the observed variance in the PCA plot (Figure 5A; CON-2 and WE-2). Whether biological or technical outliers, removal of CON-2 and WE-2 did not improve the PCA clustering (Figure 6A), and differential expression analysis of the remaining samples again revealed no significant DEGs (adjusted $P < 0.1$). Alternatively, in the interest of identifying the DEGs responsible for the majority of variance represented by the samples, 2 overlapping samples from the different diets (CON-4 and WE-1) were removed. Genes with read counts less than 30 were also excluded to increase sensitivity. PCA of this adjusted dataset revealed modest separation of samples by diet, particularly across PC2 (Figure 6B). When comparing these remaining samples, differential gene expression analysis identified 62 DEGs between fish fed the WE and CON dietary treatments ($\log_2$ fold-change $>0.8$; adjusted $P < 0.1$; Supplementary Data File). Of these DEGs, 36 were downregulated and 26 upregulated in the WE diet compared with the CON diet (Figure 7). Three DEGs were further validated in a larger sample set—uncoupling protein 2 ($ucp2$), glutathione peroxidase 4a ($gpx4a$), and elongation of very long chain fatty acids protein 5 ($elovl5$) ($\log_2$ fold-change $= 1.25, -1.04,$ and $-1.11$, respectively).

Using RT-PCR and RNA from the same female samples evaluated by RNAseq, we found similar trends in gene expression between the WE
FIGURE 5  Principal component analysis of the gene expression from RNAseq Control (C.1–C.4) and Whole Egg (WE.1–WE.4) samples, including all samples (A). Pearson correlation analysis for correlation between Control and Whole Egg samples based on RNAseq data, including all samples (B). PC, principal component; RNAseq, RNA sequencing.

...and CON diets across all 3 genes (Supplemental Figure 3). However, expanding to additional female samples and across sexes (male) did not further corroborate the significance of the DEGs derived from our RNAseq dataset (Figure 8). No difference in liver gene expression was observed between females of the CON and WE dietary treatments for gpx4a (**P** = 0.461), elovl5 (**P** = 0.972), or ucp2 (**P** = 0.360) (Figure 8A). Similarly, liver gene expression in males of the CON and WE dietary treatment showed no difference for gpx4 (**P** = 0.383), elovl5 (**P** = 0.960), or ucp2 (**P** = 0.877) (Figure 8B). Interestingly, across these 3 genes and additional diets, we did detect increased expression of gpx4a in females fed the WG diet compared with the WE diet (**P** = 0.0177) and increased expression of elovl5 in males fed the HFCON diet compared with the CON, WG, and WE diets (**P** < 0.0262).

**Discussion**

Overall, our data support the value of poultry egg consumption for maintaining long-term metabolic health in zebrafish, as assessed by weight gain, body composition, glycemic response, and liver transcriptomics. The consistent increase in body weight among all treatments shows that zebrafish consuming egg protein were able to sustain normal patterns of growth over this portion of the lifespan as compared with fish meal hydrolysate (fish meal is considered the gold standard of protein for typical fish diets and in NIH-31 rodent diets) and casein (a highly purified and well-defined protein source). The lack of significant body weight differences between diets containing egg products indicate egg product replacement of quality protein sources supports fish maturation and health. These data would suggest that amino acids provided by egg products are sufficient and not limiting when compared with fish protein and casein. In fact, total amino acid profiles were very similar in zebrafish fed fish protein, casein, and egg products. Male fish fed WG did not maintain the same body weight gain as male fish fed egg products or fish/casein protein, despite receiving the same amount of crude protein. An evaluation of the amino acid content of the WG diet shows methionine and lysine were lower than in all other diets. Since methionine and lysine can be rate limiting for growth, we hypothesize the cereal protein diet may be nutritionally limited for zebrafish, similar to what...
FIGURE 6  Principal component analysis of the gene expression from RNAseq Control (C.1–C.4) and Whole Egg (WE.1–WE.4) samples excluding outlier samples C.2 and WE.2 (A) or the overlapping samples C.4 and WE.1 (B). PC, principal component; RNAseq, RNA sequencing.

is observed in rodents. For both sexes, the EW diet fish were significantly larger than the fish fed the WG diet, with no differences in body lipid between these diets. This suggests that the EW diet is promoting more lean body mass growth than the WG diet, furthering the hypothesis that protein quality and amino acid content are important. Historically, WG-based diets can have detrimental effects on animal health, including humans (27).

The WE and HFCON diets were formulated to contain the same caloric fat content, both of which had a higher energy content than the lower-fat CON diet. Feed intake could not be measured appropriately and, thus, nutrient (or caloric) intake could not be estimated. We did not observe a difference in adiposity between the EW diet fed fish and any of the other diets. This supports the hypothesis that higher body lipids for WE-diet–fed males were derived from the increased fat (caloric) content of the diet, which is a consequence of using WE (with yolk) as a protein source.

Moisture content of a fish carcass is often predictive of body composition and physiological status. The lack of body moisture differences between diet treatments suggests similar water retention or osmotic regulation, an issue observed in some cases of metabolic syndrome and obesity (28). The water content observed here is also comparable to studies across teleost species (29).

Excluding protein, additional differences in the ingredient composition and, thus, nutrient content of the egg product diets versus the control diet could be considered substantial. Although total protein nitrogen and total lipid content were balanced and comparable, the nutrient quantity was different, including small changes in BCAAs, fatty acid profiles, cholesterol, and unnamed bioactive food components. Despite these differences in dietary nutrient content, both feed-deprived or postprandial blood glucose concentrations were similar in fish consuming all diets. Several of the diets also contained additional wheat starch to balance the macronutrient and energy content in the diet formulation. Diets of higher wheat starch (CON, WG, and EW) also show no differences in blood glucose metrics compared with those with less or no wheat starch (HFCON and WE). It is possible that egg products were preventative or therapeutic in maintaining glycemic metrics and, thus, metabolic homeostasis.
FIGURE 7  Heatmap of expression of 62 DEGs from Control and Whole Egg samples after RNAseq analysis, excluding samples Control-4 (C.4) and Whole Egg-1 (WE.1), which overlapped. DEG, differentially expressed gene; RNAseq, RNA sequencing
Due to resource limitations, we were only able to evaluate differences in liver gene expression between CON- and WE-fed fish by RNAseq. Differences in gene expression between these diets were not apparent, despite a dramatic difference in the ingredient source of proteins and fat. DEGs that were detected when the stringency of the RNAseq analysis was relaxed were not representative of the larger sample populations when evaluated by RT-PCR. From these observations we conclude that the substitution of WE into the diet of zebrafish does not produce adverse changes in liver transcriptomics in comparison to a diet with fish meal and casein as the main protein sources. Fish meal and casein proteins are considered the highest in quality in most fish diets (30), and these data support the fact that long-term consumption of egg products provides comparable outcomes in long-term metabolic health. We recognize this RNAseq analysis represents 1 tissue and time point, and other beneficial (or deleterious) effects of WE diet may occur at another time point or in another physiological system. One notable observation is the increased expression of eloVL5 for males fed the HFCON diet compared with all other dietary groups. eloVL5 is a long-chain fatty acid elongase that has been shown previously to respond to dietary changes (31). eloVL5 knockout mice experience onset of fatty liver disease concurrent with a decrease in intercellular arachidonic acid (20:4n−6) and DHA (32). Dietary supplementation of these 2 fatty acids reversed the effects of gene knockout. Increased expression of eloVL5 exclusive to the HFCON-fed male fish and not male fish receiving the WE diet, which
contains an equal dietary fat content, suggests a potential impact of egg protein in reducing the negative effects observed in high-fat diets. This hypothesis requires further investigation.

Recent human studies on the impacts of egg consumption on the glycemic response show results consistent with lack of differences observed between egg-containing and non-egg-containing diets (33). The Diabetes and Egg (DIABEGG) Study provided dietary instruction for a 3-mo, low-energy, weight-loss program to diabetic and prediabetic persons. Diet recommendations consisted of either more than 12 eggs/wk or less than 2/wk and estimates of dietary compliance were high. Both dietary recommendation groups provided high protein content with low energy content, but with higher dietary cholesterol in the 12-egg/wk group. There were no significant differences between the 2 treatments in measures of serum glucose, serum lipids, or markers of inflammation and oxidative stress at study termination or at 12-mo follow-up. A study by Baghdasarian et al. (34) obtained participant data from the Framingham Offspring Study and separated them into dietary cholesterol intake amounts. The intake amount of dietary cholesterol was not found to be associated with fasting glucose concentration. This is particularly relevant to our study outcomes given the higher amount of dietary cholesterol contained in whole eggs. In examining liver transcriptome outcomes, we do see differences between our study and others. Zhu et al. (35) formulated EW and WE diets for Golden Syrian hamsters to investigate the impact of liver health from egg-based diets and dietary cholesterol. WE diets, even at the lowest levels of inclusion, increased liver cholesterol, whereas EW diets had no impact. Concomitant to the increased liver cholesterol were expression changes in genes that code for proteins that have direct and indirect interactions with cholesterol—namely, LDL receptor and sterol-regulatory-element-binding protein-2. For our study, liver cholesterol was not measured, but the gene expression changes related to increased cholesterol were detected.

In summation, we recognize the issues associated with human dietary studies, such as limited time span and the confounding variance among individuals. We also recognize that mouse models, while valuable, may have limitations due to differences in lipid processing, including cholesterol. For these reasons, we believe that zebrafish can be a powerful model for the investigation of nutrition-related morbidities, particularly those related to metabolic health, due to the innate similarities of zebrafish to humans in diet and metabolism. Our studies show that replacement of high-fat animal protein sources with an egg alternative does not impact growth or metabolic health in zebrafish and, if translated, to human health and metabolism. These data support the broad conclusion that long-term dietary egg consumption is suitable as a replacement of other sources of dietary protein, with potential benefits to be determined.

Acknowledgments
The authors acknowledge the participation of Hamza Hamki, Jarod Lowe, Anne Grace Ballard, and Dr James Taylor of the Watts Laboratory at the University of Alabama at Birmingham and Dr Chris Graham for assistance during this project. They also thank Sunil Singh and Rob Cornwall at the University of Iowa for validation of our RNAseq analysis and for performing the Pearson’s correlation analysis. The authors’ responsibilities were as follows—MBW: was involved in the initial inception of the project and wrote the primary draft of the manuscript, provided statistical analysis, creation of figures and tables, conducted RNA extraction and RT-PCR, and assisted in animal care; JWP: performed the RNAseq analysis and created associated figures; SBC: performed primary care of animals, blood glucose testing, lipid extractions, and animal dissection; AJH: assisted in animal care and animal dissection; RJB: contributed to the formulation and production of the diets; MLH and MLP: provided expertise in experimental design and manuscript composition; LYS: contributed to the conception of the project; SAW: provided mentorship, project supervision, and manuscript writing assistance; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript.

Data Availability

Data are available from authors upon request.

References

1. Larsen TM, Dalskov SM, van Baak M, Jeeb SA, Papadaki A, Pfeiffer AF, Martínez JA, Handjieva-Darlenksa T, Kunešová M, Pihlsgård M, et al. Diets with high or low protein content and glycemic index for weight-loss maintenance. N Engl J Med 2010;363(22):2102–13.
2. Sargrad KR, Homko C, Mozoli M, Boden G. Effect of high protein vs high carbohydrate intake on insulin sensitivity, body weight, hemoglobin A1c, and blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Am Diet Assoc 2005;105(4):573–80.
3. McAuley KA, Hopkims CM, Smith MJ, McKay RT, Williams SM, Taylor RW, Mann JI. Comparison of high-fat and high-protein diets with a high-carbohydrate diet in insulin-resistant obese women. Diabetologia 2005;48(1):8–16.
4. Gannon MC, Nuttall FQ. Effect of a high-protein, low-carbohydrate diet on blood glucose control in people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2004;53(9):2375–82.
5. Malik VS, Li Y, Tobias DK, Pan A, Hu FB. Dietary protein intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in US men and women. Am J Epidemiol 2016;183(8):715–28.
6. Zhang Y, Kobayashi H, Mawatari K, Sato J, Bajotto G, Kitaura Y, Shimomura Y. Effects of branched-chain amino acid supplementation on plasma concentrations of free amino acids, insulin, and energy substrates in young men. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol 2011;57(1):114–17.
7. Shah SH, Crosslin DR, Haynes CS, Nelson S, Turer CB, Stevens RD, Muehlbauer MJ, Wenner BR, Bain JR, Lafererre B, Gorroochurn P. Branched-chain amino acid levels are associated with improvement in insulin resistance with weight loss. Diabetologia 2012;55(2):321–30.
8. Lopez-Legarrea P, Fuller NR, Martinez JA, Caterson ID, Zulea MT. The influence of Mediterranean, carbohydrate and high protein diets on gut microbiota composition in the treatment of obesity and associated inflammatory state. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2014;23(3):360.
9. Huopalahti R, Anton M, López-Fandiño R, Schade R. Bioactive egg compounds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2007.
10. Baron F, Rehault S. Compounds with antibacterial activity. In: Bioactive egg compounds. Huopalahti R, Anton M, López-Fandiño R, Schade R (editors). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2007. p. 191–8.
11. López-Fandiño R, Recio I, Ramos M. Egg-protein-derived peptides with antihypertensive activity. In: Bioactive egg compounds. Huopalahti R, Anton M, López-Fandiño R, Schade R (editors). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2007. p. 199–211.
12. Guérin-Dubiard C, Castellani O, Anton M. Egg compounds with antioxidant and mineral binding properties. In: Bioactive egg compounds. Huopalahti R, Anton M, López-Fandiño R, Schade R (editors). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2007. p. 223–8.
13. Lieschke GJ, Currie PD. Animal models of human disease: zebrafish swim into view. Nat Rev Genet 2007;8(5):353.
No impacts of egg product replacement in zebrafish

Fowler LA, Williams MB, D’Abramo LR, Watts SA. Zebrafish nutrition—moving forward. In: The zebrafish in biomedical research. Cartner SC, Eisen JS, Farmer SC, Guillemin KJ, Kent ML, Sanders GE (editors). London, UK: Elsevier; 2020. p. 379–401.

Fowler LA, Williams MB, Dennis-Cornelius LN, Farmer S, Barry RJ, Powell ML, Watts SA. Influence of commercial and laboratory diets on growth, body composition, and reproduction in the zebrafish Danio rerio. Zebrafish 2019;16(6):508–21.

Oka T, Nishimura Y, Zang L, Hirano M, Shimada Y, Wang Z, Unemoto N, Kuroyanagi J, Nishimura N, Tanaka T. Diet-induced obesity in zebrafish shares common pathophysiological pathways with mammalian obesity. BMC Physiol 2010;10(1):1–13.

Zang L, Maddison LA, Chen W. Zebrafish as a model for obesity and diabetes. Front Cell Dev Biol 2018;6:91.

Folch J, Lees M, Stanley GS. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipides from animal tissues. J Biol Chem 1957;226(1):497–509.

Eames SC, Philipson LH, Prince VE, Kinkel MD. Blood sugar measurement in zebrafish reveals dynamics of glucose homeostasis. Zebrafish 2010;7(2):205–13.

Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Cambridge (UK): Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute; 2010.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 2014;30(15):2114–20.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 2013;29(1):15–21.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014;15(12):1–21.

Tripathy S, Jump DB. Elov5 regulates the mTORC2-Akt-FOXO1 pathway by controlling hepatic cis-vaccenic acid synthesis in diet-induced obese mice. J Lipid Res 2013;54(1):71–84.

Moon Y-A, Hammer RE, Horton JD. Deletion of ELOVL5 leads to fatty liver through activation of SREBP-1c in mice. J Lipid Res 2009;50(3):412–23.

Fuller NR, Sainsbury A, Caterson ID, Denyer G, Fong M, Gerof J, Leung C, Lau NS, Williams KH, Januszewski AS, et al. Effect of a high-egg diet on cardiometabolic risk factors in people with type 2 diabetes: the Diabetes and Egg (DIABEgg) Study—randomized weight-loss and follow-up phase. Am J Clin Nutr 2018;107(6):921–31.

Baghdasarian S, Lin HP, Pickering RT, Mott MM, Singer MR, Bradlee ML, Moore IL. Dietary cholesterol intake is not associated with risk of type 2 diabetes in the Framingham Offspring Study. Nutrients 2018;10(6):665.

Zhu H, He Z, Kwek E, Liu J, Hao W, Liang N, Zhao Y, Ma KY, He WS, Chen ZY. Dose-dependent increases in liver cholesterol but not plasma cholesterol from consumption of one to five whole eggs and no effects from egg whites on liver or plasma cholesterol in hamsters. J Agric Food Chem 2018;66(48):12805–14.