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Molecular Porous Photosystems Tailored for Long-Term Photocatalytic CO\textsubscript{2} Reduction

Florian M. Wisser,*[a] Mathis Duguet,[b] Quentin Perrinet,[c] Ashta C. Ghosh,[h] Marcelo Alves-Favaro,[a,d] Yorck Mohr,[a] Chantal Lorentz,[a] Elsje Alessandra Quadrelli,[e] Regina Palkovits,[d] David Farrusseng,[a] Caroline Mellot-Draznieks,[b] Vincent de Waele,[c] and Jérôme Canivet[a]

Abstract: Herein, we report the molecular-level structuration of two full photosystems into conjugated porous organic polymers. The strategy of heterogenization gives rise to photosystems which are still fully active after 4 days of continuous illumination. Those materials catalyse the carbon dioxide photo reduction driven by visible light to produce up to three grams of formate per gram of catalyst. The covalent tethering of the two active sites into a single framework is shown to play a key role in the visible light activation of the catalyst. The unprecedented long-term efficiency arises from an optimal photoinduced electron transfer from the light harvesting moiety to the catalytic site as anticipated by quantum mechanical calculations and evidenced by in-situ ultrafast time-resolved spectroscopy.

Introduction

In the perspective of green fuel production, non-restrictive photochemical processes using visible light as sole energy source open the appealing opportunity of a very low carbon footprint. The visible light-driven photochemical reduction of carbon dioxide catalysed by molecularly-defined species, shows high activity at short reaction times.[1–3]

So far, improvements of photocatalytic systems have been focused almost exclusively on the catalyst side. Enhancement of lifetime and efficiency of molecular catalysts has been achieved by their single-site heterogenization within molecularly-defined porous solids.[2,4,5] In most of those photosystems, Ir- and Ru-based organometallic photosensitizers were used although they suffer from photodegradation under continuous illumination.[6,7] Consequently, the catalytic activity of most photosystems is slowed down or even completely lost within less than 12 hours.

In contrast, purely organic photosensitizers are much more stable as compared to their molecular noble-metal counterparts.[6] Especially, conjugated organic solids benefit from higher photochemical stability originating from additional molecular geometry constraints.[8–11] Initiated by the seminal work of Antonietti and co-workers using carbon nitride as both heterogeneous organic photosensitizer and catalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction published in 2009,[12] conjugated polymer photocatalysts are attracting a growing interest.[8,13–17] Key for their success is the tunability of the polymers’ properties by copolymerization of tailored monomers for a careful control of light absorption or porosity.[8,10,18] Also, recently the use of porous organic polymers or poly aromatic frameworks as photosensitizers has been reported for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction into CO, using different transition metal-based catalysts.[16,19–22] However, long-term stability remains an important challenge,[16] as such photocatalysts still showed deactivation within typically less than 15 hours. In addition bottom-up approaches for the design of better performing heterogeneous photocatalysts are restricted as only little is known on the fundamental mechanisms occurring during light harvesting and activation of the catalysts.[23,24]

Here we report tailor-made three-dimensional porous polymers made from molecular organic photosensitizers in which we heterogenized an efficient molecular catalyst. As a proof of our all-in-one concept, two Rh-based fully heterogeneous catalytic systems achieve constant photoreduction of CO\textsubscript{2} into formate under visible light irradiation during at least four days of continuous illumination. This unprecedented long-term activity is achieved without any measurable degradation over time, achieving a total production of three grams of formate per gram of catalyst. The unique stability and activity arise from the perfect electronic interplay between the site-isolated species, caused by their regular interconnection on a molecular level in one framework. The ultrafast energy transfer from the photosensitizer to the catalyst has been assessed by in-situ ultrafast time-resolved spectroscopy and quantum mechanical calculations.

Results and Discussion

Our synthetic strategy here consists in integrating an active organometallic catalyst into a photosensitive porous organic matrix. We have chosen monomers based on photocative perylene or pyrene cores to synthesize microporous macroligands[5,25,26] that consist of alternating photosensitizers and metal binding sites called hereafter Perylene-alt-Bipyridine-Conjugated Microporous Polymers (PerBpyCMP) and Pyrene-alt-Bipyridine-CMP (PyBpyCMP). Then the Cp*Rh catalytic synthon was coordinated to the different frameworks to obtain the heterogeneous photocatalysts
Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP and Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP (Figure 1a), following a well-established grafting procedure.\[3]\n
Both catalysts, Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP and Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP, have a permanent porosity towards nitrogen at 77 K, with apparent surface areas of approximately 200 m²/g, as well as a high CO₂ uptake of up to 6 wt.-% (Figure 1b, c), a key feature for a high activity in the targeted catalysis.\[27\] Moreover, a good wettability of the catalysts with the reactions’ solvent (here acetonitrile) is essential to have access to all active sites.\[28\] Vapour physisorption isotherms of acetonitrile reveal similar surface wettability and high degrees of pore-filling for the two solid catalysts (Figure 1c, Table S1), allowing also for direct comparison of their activities.

Complete copolymerization of bipyridine-based monomers with pyrene and perylene cores was confirmed by solid-state NMR spectroscopy. All materials are free of residual pinacolborane moieties in contrast to similar materials reported in literature, prepared in toluene instead of DMF (Figure 1d, Figure S4 & S5).\[29\] From the quantitative $^{13}$C multiCP MAS NMR spectra, a catalyst loading in both materials of approx. 6 mol-% is obtained (in line with ICP analysis, see Table S2). Thus, one catalytic active site is surrounded by approx. 20 chromophores, ensuring sufficient photosensitization.

Solid-state UV-Vis spectroscopy demonstrates that the bandgap can be controlled by changing the chromophore. As expected from the properties of molecular building units, Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP shows a smaller bandgap than that of Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP, i.e. 1.98 ± 0.10 eV vs 2.35 ± 0.05 eV, as a result of the larger aromatic system of perylene (Figure 1e).

To gain insight into the influence of the nature of the chromophores on their electronic structure and the corresponding molecular frontier orbitals, DFT-calculations were performed on molecular clusters.\[10,30–32\] Here we anticipated that the molecular frontier orbitals of the constituent molecular unit are representative of those of the solids. Calculations on the Rh-free clusters (Figure 2a) reveal that the frontier orbitals of [py₂(bpy)] (molecular cluster of PyBpyCMP) are fully localized on both pyrene and bipyridine moieties, as a result of the expected hybridization of π-orbitals.\[33\] Remarkably, frontier orbitals are mainly found on the perylene chromophore in [per₂(bpy)] (molecular cluster of PerBpyCMP). The HOMO-LUMO gaps of [py₂(bpy)] and [per₂(bpy)] are of 3.43 and 2.92 eV, respectively (Figure S27).

In contrast, the presence of the Rh-metal center significantly reduces the HOMO-LUMO gap of both complexes down to 3.11 eV for [py₂(bpy)]Cp’RhCl₂ and 2.64 eV for [per₂(bpy)]Cp’RhCl₂. Interestingly, the LUMOs of both Rh-clusters exhibit electronic densities localized near the Rh-metal centre and the bipyridine moiety, while the HOMOs are localized exclusively on the chromophores (Figure 2b). Unlike

---

**Figure 1.** a) Scheme of the stepwise synthesis of completely heterogeneous photocatalysts: 1. Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-polycondensation of the photoactive core containing microporous macroligand, viz. pyrene core in Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP (blue) and perylene core in Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP (red). 2. Rh infiltration to integrate the Rh-based photocatalytic site [RhCp’C]Cl (green). b) N₂ physisorption isotherms measured at 77 K and CO₂ physisorption isotherms measured at 273 K (inset) of Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP (blue) and Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP (red, closed symbols represent adsorption, open symbols desorption). c) Acetonitrile vapour physisorption isotherms measured at 298 K of Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP (blue) and Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP (red, closed symbols represent adsorption, open symbols desorption). d) $^{13}$C multiCP MAS NMR spectra of Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP (blue) and Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP (red, * denote spinning sidebands) and e) solid state UV-Vis spectra of Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP (blue) and Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP (red, #,* denote signals from the experimental setup).
metal-free clusters, the Rh coordination positions a chromophore-to-bipyridine controlled HOMO-LUMO transition.\[33\] Thus, after, light absorption and relaxation processes, the photoexcited electron should be centred next to the catalytically active Rh moiety representing an efficient charge separation. This charge separation close to the active site is an important feature in the design of supramolecular photocatalysts to drive the photochemical reduction reaction.\[1,34,35\]

Moreover, knowledge of HOMO and LUMO positions with respect to the redox potential of the half-reactions under study gives insight into the thermodynamic driving forces.\[38\] The calculations on [py2(bpy)]Cp*RhCl2 and [per2(bpy)]Cp*RhCl2 show that the LUMO energy levels are well above the potential required for CO2-to-formate reduction. Even under basic conditions, the driving force for CO2 reduction is considerable (> 0.8 eV) for both catalysts (Figure 2c). However, the driving force for the oxidation of the sacrificial electron donor, the second half-reaction occurring, strongly depends on the nature of the chromophore. The energy level of the involved HOMOs, together with redox potentials in eV for formate production (pH range: 0 to 9; estimated pH of the reaction mixture), hydrogen evolution reaction and sacrificial electron donors BIH, BNAH and TEOA. Please note that the calculated LUMO position for [py2(bpy)]Cp*RhCl2 is in line with the experimentally accessible reduction potential of [py2(bpy)]Cp*RhCl2 measured in acetonitrile by cyclic voltammetry (Table S7, Figure S34).

As the Cp*Rh-based catalytic centre can be considered to be the same in both materials (see also DFT calculations above), the higher activity of the PerBpyCMP-based catalyst might be attributed to its increased capability to absorb light in the visible part (Figure 1e).\[23\] The apparent quantum efficiency (AQE)\[40\] determined in the region of visible light between 420 and 700 nm, increases from ~0.5 % for Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP to ~1 % for Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP (Figure 3a, Table S8). These values are in line with reported AQE data for other heterogeneous photocatalytic CO2 reduction systems containing metal-based dyes (Table S9, for further discussions see SI).\[41\]

To assess the importance of tethering together the photosensitizer and the catalyst and to construct a fully heterogeneous photosystem, we compared Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP to homogeneous and bi-molecular photosystems (Table 1 and Scheme S2). The highest catalytic activity was indeed achieved using Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP, reaching a TOF of 6 h\(^{-1}\). This superior catalytic activity is most likely linked to a more extended process of quenching of the excited state and electron transport in the fully heterogeneous photosystems than in the homogeneous and bi-molecular photosystems.

To study the benefit of the two fully heterogeneous photosystems on the long-term stability, we compared them to the most active literature known CO2-to-formate heterogeneous photosystems on the long-term stability, we compared them to...
Figure 3. a) Comparison of production rate $R$ (left axis, filled columns) and apparent quantum efficiency AQE (right axis, dashed columns) using BNAH or BIH as sacrificial electron donor for Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP (blue) and Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP (red) for 8 h of photocatalysis (~0.9 mM photosensitizer, ~0.05 mM Cp*Rh). b) Evolution of production rate for up to 96 h of continuous photocatalysis and c) of total productivity in photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction of Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP (blue) and Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP (red) as fully heterogeneous photosystems (~0.9 mM photosensitizer, ~0.05 mM Cp*Rh) compared to a photosystem where only the catalyst is heterogenized, here Cp*Rh@BpyMP-1$^5$ and used in the presence of the external molecular photosensitizer Ru(bpy)$_3$Cl$_2$ (green, up to 48 h, 1 mM Ru(bpy)$_3$Cl$_2$, ~0.1 mM Cp*Rh). The higher Rh concentration in BpyMP-1 photosystem did not affect its productivity as demonstrated recently.$^5$.

Catalysts having the same Cp*Rh-site but without an embedded photosensitizing moiety (viz. Cp*Rh@BpyMP-1, Scheme S2)$^{[5]}$. The Cp*Rh@BpyMP-1 system therefore requires an external photosensitizer (here 1 mM Ru(bpy)$_3$Cl$_2$). In case of the bi-molecular Cp*Rh@BpyMP-1 photosystem, the production rate remains constant for up to 4 h,$^{[5]}$ but a sharp decrease in catalytic activity is observed after more than 4 h (Figure 3b). The observed deactivation of Ru(bpy)$_3$-containing photosystem is in line with literature, reporting a loss of activity after 5 to 10 h even for heterogenized systems within various MOFs such as MIL-101 or MOF-253 (see also Table S9)$^{37,42,43}$. In contrast, the fully heterogeneous photosystems presented here, which circumvent the need for external Ru(bpy)$_3$, are exceptionally photo-stable. To highlight their durability, reactions were performed for up to 96 h of continuous illumination using Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP. No change of the catalytic activity is observed over the course of the reaction, giving rise to TONs of 140 (680 µmol/h/gcat) and 560 (690 µmol/h/gcat) after 24 and 96 h, respectively (Figure 3b). Moreover, no observable sign for degradation of the structure or the optical properties could be detected after catalysis (see SI). Regarding the stability of the Rh-based catalytic centres, ICP-OES analysis of the supernatant confirms that no quantifiable leaching of Rh occurs (< 1 ppm). To the best of our knowledge, such a long-term activity has never been achieved so far for photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction. We note that also for Cp*Rh@PyPyBpyCMP a constant, albeit lower, production rate was observed for long-term experiments (280 µmol/h/gcat, Figure 3b and Table S8$^*$) without any sign for degradation. As shown in Figure 3c, the lower initial activity of the fully heterogeneous photocatalysts Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP and Cp*Rh@PyPyBpyCMP as compared to that of the Ru(bpy)$_3$Cl$_2$/Cp*Rh@BpyMP-1 photosystem is clearly exceeded by their remarkable stability for the continuous production of formate, achieving a total productivity up to 3 gformate/gcat after four days.

In order to unravel (i) the nature of photo-excited states and (ii) the subsequent electron transfer pathways at the origin of the catalytic activities observed, we performed (i) steady-state emission spectroscopy and (ii) time-correlated single photon counting. These measurements were performed under the conditions of photocatalysis on suspensions of the materials in the acetonitrile-triethanolamine mixture (SI sections 3.6 - 3.8). Steady-state emission spectroscopy allows to study the relaxation pathways in both photosystems. After light absorption, the photo-excited electrons populate local excited as well as charge-separated states in both materials (Figure 4a, b). The steady-state emission spectra highlight an important Table 1. Comparison of fully heterogeneous photosystem $^{**}$Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP with perylene-based bi-molecular photosystems.$^*$

| Catalyst          | Photosensitizer | TOF / h$^{-1}$ |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| (bpy)Cp*RhCl$_2$  | perylene       | 4.5 ± 0.4      |
| (bpy)Cp*RhCl$_2$  | PerBpCMP       | 2.4 ± 0.7      |
| Cp*Rh@BpyMP-1     | perylene       | 1.2 ± 0.1      |
| Cp*Rh@PyPyBpyCMP  |                |                |

$^*$ 0.18 – 0.23 µmol Rh, 0.1 mM BIH in ACN/TEGA (5/1, V/V), 2 – 4 h. See also Table S8, entries 10, 24, 26 and 27.$^{[5]}$ TOF defined as $\frac{n_{\text{formate}}}{\text{gcat}}$.
difference in the population of those photo-excited states between PerBpyCMP and PyBpyCMP materials, respectively. Elucidating the role of the charge separated states formed upon photoexcitation of conjugated backbones is of great interest to elucidate and optimize the photocatalytic activity of porous materials.\[44\]

In the case of Cp’Rh@PerBpyCMP, and also of the parent PerBpyCMP, the spectrum shows the typical vibronic progression of the fluorescence of the perylene molecule (Figure 4a).\[45,46\] Thus, the emission mainly stems from a local excited (LE) state on the perylene moiety (see also the excitation spectra in Figure 4a). This point is in good agreement with the calculated HOMO and LUMO which are rather centred on the perylene moiety (Figure 2). In clear contrast the steady-state emission spectrum of Cp’Rh@PyBpyCMP, as well as the spectrum of the parent PyBpyCMP, exhibit a broad and featureless band with a maximum at 520 nm and a secondary weak peak around 450 nm (Figure 4b).\[47\] The major contribution is attributed to an ICT state in the form of (Py’bpy)\textsuperscript{+} associated with a broad excitation spectrum extending from the near UV to 500 nm and featuring a maximum at 520 nm (Figure 4b). This ICT involves a charge delocalization from the pyrene toward the bipyridine moiety,\[48–50\] and represents a stabilization of the photo-generated electron on the polymers backbone,\[54,51\] in line with the calculated LUMO for [py\textsubscript{2}(bpy)] (see Figure 2a). To sum-up, after photoexcitation and subsequent vibrational relaxation the photo-excited electron populates different states in both materials. In PerBpyCMP-based materials, the photo-excited electron occupies mostly a local excited state centred on the perylene moiety (Figure 2a), whereas in PyBpyCMP-based materials it is delocalized between bipyridine and pyrene orbitals (Figure 2a), populating in an internal charge transfer state.

To understand which pathway is more favoured in the activation of the catalytic Rh-centre, the emission lifetimes of the different excited states were characterized by time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC). For both catalysts, the
analysis of the TCSPC decays reveals that in the presence of Cp*Rh, a new reactive pathway is opened compared to pristine polymers, resulting in an efficient quenching of the emissive states (Figure 4c, d and Table S3, S6). Notably, the mean decay time for the LE state is strongly reduced from 110 ps (PyBpyCMP) to less than 11 ps (Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP), and from 2300 ps (PerBpyCMP) to 376 ps (Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP). The lifetimes of the ICT contributions are also reduced in Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP and Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP as compared to the pristine polymers. Those findings are in good agreement with the calculated electronic structure of the lowest excited state of Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP and Cp*Rh@PyBpyCMP (Figure 2) exhibiting a strong LMCT character that results from the localisation of the electron on the bipyridine moiety close to the metal centre, whereas for the non-complexed bipyridine, the excitation is localized on the chromophore moiety (pyrene or perylene, respectively). Therefore, our time-resolved emission measurements firmly establish the efficient quenching of the excited states of the chromophores to form a LE and ICT states is still a matter of investigation. However, the efficient electron transfer from LE state directly to the LMCT-like state, that can be further stabilized as a long-lived triplet state, is key to drive the photochemical reduction reaction, as the charge separation electron will be located on the catalytically active bipyridine-Rh moiety.[1,34,35]

Thus, this combined spectroscopic study demonstrates that the higher productivity of Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP correlates with its higher capability to absorb visible light (Figure 1e) in addition to the favoured formation of local excited states and a more efficient photo-induced electron transfer (quenching) from the local excited state of the organic chromophore towards the catalytically active centre.

Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that the 3-dimensional structuration of the two partners of a photosystem allows for a perfect interplay between active sites at the origin of its superior efficiency. The direct tethering of light harvesting (pyrene or perylene chromophores) and catalytically active sites (Cp*Rh) into conjugated porous polymers allow for an efficient and ultrafast electronic energy transfer, correlating with the catalytic activity, as anticipated by DFT calculations and evidenced by time-resolved spectroscopy. The calculations showed that the chromophores indeed control the HOMO electronic level, while the LUMO is metal-centred. This unique structuration of a long-term stable perylene photosensitizer and a selective Rh-based catalyst into Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP renders the photoreduction of CO$_2$ to formate possible with constant performances over several days under visible light irradiation. The formate production with Cp*Rh@PerBpyCMP around 65 mmol/gcat (i.e. $3 \text{ gformate/gcat}$, after four days) is the highest obtained so far in heterogeneous photocatalysis. Furthermore, the detailed insights into electron transport processes open new perspectives for further optimization and design of photoactive polymers taking advantage of their high synthetic versatility and guided by a computational screening at the molecular level.
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