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The article is devoted to research the Cauchy problem for singularly perturbed higher-order linear integro-differential equation with a small parameter at the highest derivatives, provided that the roots of additional characteristic equation have negative signs. The aim of this paper is to bring asymptotic estimation of the solution of a singularly perturbed Cauchy problem and the asymptotic convergence of the solution of a singularly perturbed initial value problem to the solution of an unperturbed initial value problem. In this paper the fundamental system of solutions, initial functions of a singularly perturbed homogeneous differential equation are constructed and their asymptotic estimates are obtained. By using the initial functions, we obtain an explicit analytical formula of the solution. The theorem about asymptotic estimate of a solution of the initial value problem is proved. The unperturbed Cauchy problem is constructed. We find the solution of the unperturbed Cauchy problem. An estimate difference of the solution of a singularly perturbed and unperturbed initial value problems. The asymptotic convergence of solution of a singularly perturbed initial value problem to the solution of the unperturbed initial value problem is proved.
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1 Introduction and review of literature

Singularly perturbed equations act as mathematical models in many applied problems related to diffusion, heat and mass transfer, chemical kinetics and combustion, heat propagation in thin bodies, semiconductor theory, gyroscope motion, quantum mechanics, biology and biophysics, and many other branches of science and technology.

Various asymptotic methods exist to approximate solutions of certain singularly perturbed problems: the method of matching of outer and inner expansions (Cole, 1968), (Hinch, 1981), (Kevorkian, 1981), (Nayfeh, 2008), (Van Dyke, 1964), (Lagerstrom, 1988), (Eckhaus, 1973); the boundary layer function method (or composite asymptotic expansion) (O’Malley, 1974); the method of Lomov or regularization method (Lomov, 1992); the method WKB or Liouville-Green method (Olver, 1974); the method of multiple scales (Verhulst, 2005); the averaging method (Sanders, 1985); methods for relaxation oscillations (Grasman, 1987) and others. Development of different asymptotic methods can be found, e.g., in O’Malley (O’Malley, 1991) and Vasil’eva (Vasil’eva, 1994: 440-452). Each of these methods has a certain area of applicability; it successfully works in solving certain problems and becomes inapplicable in solving other problems. The initial value problem with initial jumps for a nonlinear ordinary differential equation of the second order with a small parameter was studied by M.I. Vishik and L.A. Lyusternik (Vishik, 1960: 1242-1245) and K. A. Kassymov (Kassymov, 1962: 187-188). They show that the solution of the original initial value problem tends to the solution of the
degenerate equation with changed initial conditions, when the small parameter approaches zero. Such problems became known as the Cauchy problems with initial jumps. Solution of a singularly perturbed problems have the phenomenon of an initial jump at some point (for example, at the initial point) in given segment if the value of the solution at this point is not the same as the solution of the unperturbed problem and the fast variable of solution at this point is unbounded as the small parameter tends to zero. Singularly perturbed problems with the initial jump possess specific characteristics, which is not typical for a singularly perturbed problems, do not have the phenomenon of the initial jump.

2 Material and methods

Consider the following singularly perturbed the Cauchy problem:

$$L{\varepsilon}y \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varepsilon^{r}A_{n+r}(t)y^{(n+r)}(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k}(t)y^{(k)}(t, \varepsilon) = F(t) + \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_{j}(t, x)y^{(j)}(x, \varepsilon)dx,$$  

(1)

$$y^{(i)}(0, \varepsilon) = \alpha_{i}, \quad i = 0, n + m - 1,$$  

(2)

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, $\alpha_{i}$, $i = 0, n + m - 1$ are known constants, $A_{n+m}(t) = 1$, $l = \text{fix}\{0, 1, \ldots, n - 2\}$.

We will need the following assumptions:

(C1) $A_{i}(t) \in C^{n+m-1}([0, 1])$, $i = 0, n + m$, $F(t) \in C([0, 1])$ and $H_{j}(t, x)$, $j = 0, l + 1$ are sufficiently smooth functions in the domain $D = \{0 \leq t \leq 1, 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$.

(C2) $A_{n}(t) \neq 0$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

(C3) The roots $\mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2} \neq \ldots \neq \mu_{m}$ of "additional characteristic equation" $\mu^{m} + A_{n+m-1}(t)\mu^{m-1} + \ldots + A_{n+1}(t)\mu + A_{n}(t) = 0$ satisfy the following inequalities $\text{Re} \mu_{1} < 0$, $\text{Re} \mu_{2} < 0$, $\ldots$, $\text{Re} \mu_{m} < 0$.

Similarly the Cauchy problem (1),(2) for ordinary differential equation was considered in (Nurgabyl, 2012: 4-8). In the particular case, similarly boundary value problem with initial jumps for this case $m = 2$, $l = 2$ (Dauylbaev, 2016: 145-152), (Dauylbaev, 2017: 214-225).

2.1 Construction of the fundamental systems of solutions

We consider the following homogeneous singularly perturbed differential equation associated with (1):

$$L{\varepsilon}y \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varepsilon^{r}A_{n+r}(t)y^{(n+r)}(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k}(t)y^{(k)}(t, \varepsilon) = 0.$$  

(3)

The fundamental systems of solutions of the equation (3) has the following asymptotic representation as $\varepsilon \to 0$:

$$y_{n+r}^{(q)}(t, \varepsilon) = y_{q1}^{(q)}(t) + O(\varepsilon), \quad i = 1, n, \quad q = 0, n + m - 1,$$

$$y_{n+r}^{(q)}(t, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{q}} e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{q}}} \int_{0}^{1} \mu_{r}(x)dx \left(\mu_{r}^{q}(t)y_{n+r,0}(t) + O(\varepsilon)\right), \quad r = 1, m, \quad q = 0, n + m - 1.$$  

(4)
where \( y_{i0}(t) \), \( i = 1, n \) are solutions of the problem:

\[
L_0 y_{i0}(t) = 0, \ y_{ji0}^{(i-1)}(0) = \delta_{ij}, \ i = 1, n, \ j = 1, n, \quad (5)
\]

\( \delta_{ij} \) is a Kronecker symbol, \( y_{n+r,0}(t) \), \( r = 1, m \) are solutions of the following problem:

\[
p_r(t)y_{n+r,0}^{(r)}(t) + q_r(t)y_{n+r,0}(t) = 0, \ y_{n+r,0}(0) = 1, \ r = 1, m
\]

where

\[
p_r(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} A_{n+i}(t)(n+i)\mu_{r0}^{n+i-1}(t), \ r = 1, m,
\]

\[
q_r(t) = \mu_{r0}^{n+i}(t)C_{n+i}^2\mu_{r0}^{n+i-2}(t) + A_{-1}(t)\mu_{r0}^{n-1}(t), \ r = 1, m,
\]

\[
C_{n+i}^2 = \frac{(n+i)!}{2!(n+i-2)}, \ i = 0, m.
\]

In view of (4), for the Wronskian \( W(t, \varepsilon) \) the following asymptotic representation holds as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \):

\[
W(t, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\lambda} \overline{W}(t)\pi(t)\omega(t)\exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \overline{\mu}(x)dx\right) (1 + O(\varepsilon)) \neq 0, \quad (6)
\]

where \( \overline{W}(t) \) is the Wronskian,

\[
\overline{W}(t) = \begin{vmatrix}
  y_{10}(t) & \cdots & y_{n0}(t) \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  y_{10}^{(n-1)}(t) & \cdots & y_{n0}^{(n-1)}(t)
\end{vmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \frac{2n + m - 1}{2},
\]

\[
\overline{\mu}(x) = \mu_1(x) + \ldots + \mu_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu_k(x), \quad \pi(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} y_{n+k}(t)\mu_k^n(t),
\]

the determinant \( \omega(t) \) is the \( m \)-th order Vandermond determinant,

\[
\omega(t) = \begin{vmatrix}
  1 & \cdots & 1 \\
  \mu_1(t) & \cdots & \mu_m(t) \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  \mu_1^{m-1}(t) & \cdots & \mu_m^{m-1}(t)
\end{vmatrix} \neq 0.
\]

2.2 Construction of the initial functions

**Definition.** The functions \( K_i(t, s, \varepsilon), \ i = 1, n + m \) are called *initial functions*, if they satisfy the following problem:

\[
L_\varepsilon K_i(t, s, \varepsilon) = 0, \ i = 1, n + m, \ 0 \leq s < t \leq 1,
\]
\[ K_i^{(j)}(s, s, \varepsilon) = \delta_{i-1,j}, \ j = 0, n + m - 1, \]

and that can be represented in the form:

\[ K_i(t, s, \varepsilon) = \frac{W_i(t, s, \varepsilon)}{W(s, \varepsilon)}, \ i = 1, n + m, \quad (7) \]

\(W_i(t, s, \varepsilon)\) is the \(n + m\)-th order determinant obtained from the Wronskian \(W(s, \varepsilon)\) by replacing the \(i\)-th row with \(y_1(t, \varepsilon), y_2(t, \varepsilon), \ldots, y_{n+m}(t, \varepsilon)\).

In view of (6),(7), for the initial functions \(K_i^{(q)}(t, s, \varepsilon), \ i = 1, n + m, \ q = 0, n + m - 1\) the following asymptotic representation hold as \(\varepsilon \to 0:\)

\[ K_i^{(q)}(t, s, \varepsilon) = \frac{\overline{W}_i^{(q)}(t, s)}{\overline{W}(s)} + \varepsilon^{n-q} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{\frac{1}{2} \int s \mu_k(x)dx} \frac{y_{n+k,0}(t)\mu_k^q(t)}{y_{n+k,0}(s)\mu_k^q(s)} \frac{\omega_{1k}(s)}{\omega(s)} \cdot \frac{\overline{W}_i(s)}{\overline{W}(s)} + O\left(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{n-1-q} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{\frac{1}{2} \int s \mu_k(x)dx}\right), \ i = 1, n, \ q = 0, n + m - 1; \quad (8) \]

\[ K_{n+r}^{(q)}(t, s, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^r \left( (-1)^r \frac{\omega_{r+1}(s)}{\omega(s) A_n(s)} \cdot \frac{\overline{W}_n^{(q)}(t, s)}{\overline{W}(s)} + \varepsilon^{n-1-q} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{\frac{1}{2} \int s \mu_k(x)dx} \right), \ r = 1, m, \ q = 0, n + m - 1, \quad (9) \]

where \(\omega_{ij}(s)\) is the \(m - 1\)-th order determinant obtained from the determinant \(\omega(s)\) by deleting \(i\)-th row and \(j\)-th column, \(\omega_i(s)\) is the \(m\)-th order determinant obtained from the following determinant:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\mu_1(s) & \cdots & \mu_m(s) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mu_1^m(s) & \cdots & \mu_m^m(s)
\end{vmatrix}
\]

by deleting \(i\)-th row, \(\overline{W}_n^{(q)}(t, s)\) is the determinant obtained from the Wronskian \(\overline{W}(s)\) by replacing the \(n\)-th row with \(y_1^{(q)}(t), \ldots, y_n^{(q)}(t), \overline{W}_i(t)\) is the \(n + 1\)-th order determinant obtained from the following determinant:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
y_1(t) & \cdots & y_n(t) \\
y_1^{(q)}(t) & \cdots & y_n^{(q)}(t) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
y_1^{(m)}(t) & \cdots & y_n^{(m)}(t)
\end{vmatrix}
\]

by deleting the \(i\)-th row.
2.3 The analytical formula of solution

Let us denote by the right-hand side of the equation (1):

\[ z(t, \varepsilon) = F(t) + \int_0^{l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t, x)y^{(j)}(x, \varepsilon)dx. \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

We seek to find the solution of the differential \( L_\varepsilon y \equiv z(t, \varepsilon) \) equation in the form:

\[ y(t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} C_i K_i(t, 0, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_m} \int_0^t K_{n+m}(t, s, \varepsilon)z(s, \varepsilon)ds, \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where \( K_i(t, s, \varepsilon), i = 1, n + m \) are the initial functions, \( z(t, \varepsilon) \) is a unknown function.

Substituting (11) into (10), we obtain the following expression:

\[ z(t, \varepsilon) = F(t) + \int_0^{l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t, x) \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} C_i K_i^{(j)}(x, 0, \varepsilon)dx + \]
\[ + \int_0^{l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t, x) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_m} \int_0^x K_{n+m}^{(j)}(x, s, \varepsilon)z(s, \varepsilon)dsdx. \]

By replacing the order of sum and integral, we obatin

\[ z(t, \varepsilon) = F(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} C_i \int_0^{l+1} H_j(t, x)K_i^{(j)}(x, 0, \varepsilon)dx + \]
\[ + \int_0^1 z(s, \varepsilon)ds \frac{1}{\varepsilon_m} \int_0^{l+1} H_j(t, x)K_{n+m}^{(j)}(x, s, \varepsilon)dx. \]

By introducing of additional symbols, we obtain the following Fredholm integral equation of the second kind:

\[ z(t, \varepsilon) = f(t, \varepsilon) + \int_0^1 H(t, s, \varepsilon)z(s, \varepsilon)ds, \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where

\[ f(t, \varepsilon) = F(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} C_i \int_0^{l+1} H_j(t, x)K_i^{(j)}(x, 0, \varepsilon)dx, \]
\[ H(t, s, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_m} \int_0^{l+1} H_j(t, x)K_{n+m}^{(j)}(x, s, \varepsilon)dx. \]
(C4) 1 is not an eigenvalue of the kernel $H(t, s, \varepsilon)$.

In view of condition (C4) integral equation (12) has an unique solution, that can be represented in the form:

$$z(t, \varepsilon) = f(t, \varepsilon) + \int_{0}^{1} R(t, s, \varepsilon)f(s, \varepsilon)ds,$$

where $R(t, s, \varepsilon)$ is a resolvent of the kernel $H(t, s, \varepsilon)$.

Substituting (13) into (11), we obtain the analytical formula of solution:

$$y(t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} C_i \left(K_i(t, 0, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{0}^{t} K_{n+m}(t, s, \varepsilon)\varphi_i(s, \varepsilon)ds \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{0}^{t} K_{n+m}(t, s, \varepsilon)F(s, \varepsilon)ds,$$

where $C_i, i = 1, n + m$ are unknown constants, $K_i(t, s, \varepsilon), i = 1, n + m$ are the initial functions,

$$\varphi_i(s, \varepsilon) = \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(s, x, \varepsilon)K_i^{(j)}(x, s, \varepsilon)dx, i = 1, n + m,$$

$$H_j(s, x, \varepsilon) = H_j(s, x) + \int_{0}^{1} R(s, p, \varepsilon)H_j(p, x)dp,$$

$$F(s, \varepsilon) = F(s) + \int_{0}^{1} R(s, p, \varepsilon)F(p)dp.$$

By using initial conditions (2) in (14), we find the constants $C_i = \alpha_{i-1}, i = 1, n + m - 1$.

**Theorem 1.** Let assumptions (C1)-(C4) hold. Then the Cauchy problem (1),(2) on the interval $0 \leq t \leq 1$ has an unique solution and expressed by the formula:

$$y(t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \alpha_{i-1} \left(K_i(t, 0, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{0}^{t} K_{n+m}(t, s, \varepsilon)\varphi_i(s, \varepsilon)ds \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{0}^{t} K_{n+m}(t, s, \varepsilon)F(s, \varepsilon)ds,$$

where $K_i(t, s, \varepsilon), i = 1, n + m$ are initial functions, functions $\varphi_i(s, \varepsilon), F(s, \varepsilon), H_j(s, x, \varepsilon)$ defined by the formula (15).
2.4 Asymptotic estimations of solution

**Theorem 2.** Let assumptions (C1)-(C4) hold. Then for the solution of the Cauchy problem (1),(2) and its derivatives the following asymptotic estimation hold as $\varepsilon \to 0$:

\[
|y^{(q)}(t, \varepsilon)| \leq C \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_i| + \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varepsilon^r \cdot |\alpha_{n-1+r}| + \max_{0\leq t \leq 1} |F(t)| \right) + \\
+C\varepsilon^{-q}\varepsilon^{-\gamma t} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} |\alpha_i| + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon^r \cdot |\alpha_{n+r}| + \max_{0\leq t \leq 1} |F(t)| \right) \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\mu_k^q(t)\omega_{mk}(t)}{\mu_{k+1}^n(0)} \right\},
\]

(17)

where $q = 0, n + m - 1$, $C > 0, \gamma > 0$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$,

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\mu_k^q(t)\omega_{mk}(t)}{\mu_{k+1}^n(0)} \bigg|_{t=0} \equiv 0, \quad j = n + 1, n + m - 1.
\]

**Proof.** In view of (7)-(9) and conditions (C1)-(C3), for the initial functions $K_i(t, s, \varepsilon)$, $i = 1, n$ the following asymptotic estimation hold:

\[
|K^{(q)}_i(t, s, \varepsilon)| \leq C \left( 1 + \varepsilon^{n-q}e^{-\gamma t} \left( -\frac{t - s}{\varepsilon} \right) \right), \quad i = 1, n, \quad q = 0, n + m - 1,
\]

(18)

\[
|K^{(q)}_{n+r}(t, s, \varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^r \left( 1 + \varepsilon^{n-1-q}e^{-\gamma t} \left( -\frac{t - s}{\varepsilon} \right) \right), \quad r = 1, m, \quad q = 0, n + m - 1.
\]

(19)

By applying the asymptotic estimations of the initial functions (18),(19) in (15), we obtain the following asymptotic estimations for the function $\varphi_i(s, \varepsilon)$, $i = 1, n + m$:

\[
|\varphi_i(s, \varepsilon)| \leq C, \quad i = 1, n, \quad |\varphi_{n+r}(s, \varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^r, \quad r = 1, m.
\]

(20)

By applying (18)-(20) in (16), we obtain asymptotic estimations of the solution (17). Theorem 2 is proved.

2.5 The unperturbed initial problem

We consider the following unperturbed initial value problem:

\[
L_0\bar{y} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k(t)\bar{y}^{(k)}(t) = F(t) + \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t, x)\bar{y}^{(j)}(x)dx,
\]

(21)

\[
\bar{y}^{(i)}(0) = \alpha_i, \quad i = 0, n - 1.
\]

(22)

Let us denote by

\[
\bar{y}(t) = F(t) + \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t, x)\bar{y}^{(j)}(x)dx.
\]

(23)
We seek to find the solution of the problem (21),(22):

\[
\overline{y}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i K_i(t,0) + \frac{1}{A_n(t)} \int_{0}^{t} K_n(t,s) \overline{z}(s) ds,
\]

(24)

where \( C_i, i = 1, n \) are unknown constants, \( K_i(t, s), i = 1, n \) are the initial functions, \( \overline{z}(t) \) is a unknown function. By substituting function (24) in (23), we obtain the following Fredholm integral equation of the second kind:

\[
\overline{z}(t) = F(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t,x) \overline{K}_i^{(j)}(x,0) dx + \int_{0}^{1} \overline{H}(t,s) \overline{z}(s) ds,
\]

(25)

where

\[
\overline{H}(t,s) = \int_{s}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t,x) \left( \frac{K_n(x,s)}{A_n(x)} \right)^{(j)} dx.
\]

(C5) 1 is not an eigenvalue of the kernel \( \overline{H}(t,s) \). Then the integral equation (25) has an unique solution and that can be represented in the form:

\[
\overline{z}(t) = \overline{F}^0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \overline{\varphi}^0_i(t),
\]

(26)

where \( \overline{R}(t,s) \) is a resolvent of the kernel \( \overline{H}(t,s) \),

\[
\overline{\varphi}^0_i(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} \overline{H}_j^0(t,x) \overline{K}_i^{(j)}(x,0) dx, \quad i = 1, n,
\]

\[
\overline{H}_j^0(t,x) = H_j(t,x) + \int_{0}^{1} \overline{R}(t,s) H_j(s,x) ds, \quad j = 0, l + 1,
\]

\[
\overline{F}^0(t) = F(t) + \int_{0}^{1} \overline{F}(t,s) F(s) ds.
\]

Substituting (26) into (24) and by using initial conditions (22) into obtained solution, we obtain the solution of the initial value problem (21),(22):

\[
\overline{y}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i-1} \left( K_i(t,0) + \frac{1}{A_n(t)} \int_{0}^{t} K_n(t,s) \overline{\varphi}_i^0(s) ds \right) + \frac{1}{A_n(t)} \int_{0}^{t} K_n(t,s) \overline{F}^0(s) ds,
\]

(28)

where \( K_i(t, s), i = 1, n \) are the initial functions, functions \( \overline{\varphi}_i^0(s), \overline{F}^0(s) \) are defined by the formula (27).
Let us denote by
\[ u(t, \varepsilon) = y(t, \varepsilon) - \overline{y}(t) \Rightarrow y(t, \varepsilon) = u(t, \varepsilon) + \overline{y}(t). \] (29)

Substituting (29) into the initial value problem (1),(2), in view of the problem (21),(22), we obtain the following problem for \( u(t, \varepsilon) \):
\[
L_{\varepsilon} u \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varepsilon^r A_{n+r}(t)u^{(n+r)}(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k(t)u^{(k)}(t, \varepsilon) = - \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varepsilon^r A_{n+r}(t)\overline{y}^{(n+r)}(t) +
\]
\[
+ \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} H_j(t,x)u^{(j)}(x, \varepsilon) dx,
\] (30)
\[
\]
\[
+ C \varepsilon^{n-q} e^{-\gamma \varepsilon} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varepsilon^r \left| \alpha_{n+r} - \overline{y}^{(n+r)}(0) \right| + \varepsilon \right) +
\]
\[
+ C \varepsilon^{n-q} e^{-\gamma \varepsilon} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varepsilon^r \left| \alpha_{n+r} - \overline{y}^{(n+r)}(0) \right| + \varepsilon \right) \cdot \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\mu_k(t)}{\mu_k^{n+1}(0)} \omega_{mk}(t) \right|,
\] (32)
\[
q = 0, n + m - 1.
\]

The problem (30),(31) is of the same type as the problem (1),(2), by applying estimates (17), we obtain asymptotic estimations for the function \( u(t, \varepsilon) \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \):
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u^{(q)}(t, \varepsilon) = 0, \ 0 \leq t \leq 1, \ q = 0, n,
\]
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u^{(n+1)}(t, \varepsilon) = 0, \ 0 < t \leq 1, \ q = n + 1, n + m - 1.
\]

**Theorem 3.** Let assumptions (C1)-(C5) hold. Then for the solution \( y(t, \varepsilon) \) of the Cauchy problem the following limiting equalities hold:
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} y^{(q)}(t, \varepsilon) = \overline{y}^{(q)}(t), \ 0 \leq t \leq 1, \ q = 0, n,
\]
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} y^{(n+1)}(t, \varepsilon) = \overline{y}^{(n+1)}(t), \ 0 < t \leq 1, \ q = n + 1, n + m - 1
\]

where function \( \overline{y}(t) \) is the solution of the unperturbed problem (21),(22) and defined by the formula (28).
3 Conclusion

The article is devoted to research the Cauchy problem for singularly perturbed $n + m$ order linear integro-differential equation with a small parameter at the $m$-th derivatives. In the work the fundamental system of solutions, initial functions of a singularly perturbed homogeneous differential equation are constructed and their asymptotic representation are obtained. By using the initial functions, we obtain an explicit analytical formula of the solution. The asymptotic convergence of solution of a singularly perturbed initial value problem to the solution of the unperturbed initial value problem is proved.
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