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In the current work, the modified (2 + 1)-dimensional Hietarinta model is considered by employing Hirota’s bilinear scheme. Likewise, the bilinear formalism is obtained for the considered model. In addition, the periodic-solitary, periodic wave, cross-kink wave, and interaction between stripe and periodic wave solutions of the mentioned equation by particular coefficients are offered. The obtained results may be used in the description of the model in fruitful way. Finally, by using the available situations, the physical demeanor of solutions is discussed in the given method. We demonstrated that these solutions validated the program using Maple and found them correct. Moreover, a lot of graphs in some sections to determine the analysis of obtained findings for the aforementioned equation are given. The achieved solutions are also verified by using the Maple software. These periodic wave solutions suggest that these three methods are useful, easy to use, and effective than other methods.

1. Introduction

Research in the field of nonlinear wave theory has been become very interesting due to its applications in sciences and engineering. Many physical phenomena are represented as models in the structure of nonlinear PDEs, mostly in the form of nonlinear integrable equations. These models clearly indicate the parameters that affect the phenomenon that are not seen directly by observing the phenomenon. Various models have been made in the field of science and engineering that are representing the different phenomenon. For example, mostly naturally occurring phenomenons are modeled as modified tan(φ/2)-expansion technique [1], the homotopy perturbation scheme [2], the csch-function method [3], the Lie symmetry analysis [4], the Bäcklund transformation method [5], the sine-Gordon expansion approach [6], a new nonlinear mathematical programming model for dynamic cell formation [7], the (G/G′, 1/G), the modified (G2/G) and (1/G′)-expansion schemes [8], and imperialist competitive algorithm [9]. Except of these methods, there are other powerful methods such as the multiple exp-function method [10–13], a new fuzzy classification algorithm [14], Hirota’s bilinear method [15–21], a deterministic mathematical mixed integer linear programming model [22], the coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries equation with nonzero boundary conditions at infinity [23], the high-order rogue wave of generalized non-linear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary [24], the supersymmetric constrained B type and C type KP hierarchies of Manin-Radul and Jacobian types [25], the (3 + 1)-
dimensional extended Jimbo–Miwa equations [26], understanding by design model as useful tool for a meaningful and permanent learning [27], and the first integral method for constructing the exact solutions of the time-fractional Wu–Zhang system [28].

In Ref. [29], the authors suggested the fuzzy clustering to discover the optimal number of clusters as an innovation clustering algorithm in marketing to determine the best group of customers, similar items, and products. In a valuable research, the Bayer–Hanck cointegration test, wavelet coherence, Fourier Toda–Yamamoto, and Breitung–Candelon frequency-domain spectral causality tests were investigated the causal relationships among carbon emissions, economic growth, and life expectancy in [30]. Adinda et al. [31] studied students’ metacognitive awareness failures about solving absolute value problems (AVPs) in mathematics education, and they found that there was a significant failure, and three students were sampled from who had experienced different metacognitive awareness failures in solving AVPs. In [32], the residual power series method to solve the (3 + 1)-dimensional nonlinear conformable Schrödinger equation with cubic-quintic-septic nonlinearities along with three test applications was considered subject to different initial conditions. Two classes of lump and line rogue wave solutions for a new (2 + 1)-dimensional extension of the Hietarinta equation were obtained by means of the Hirota bilinear scheme by Manukure and Zhou [32]. In [33], the authors showed the existence of the three-periodic wave solutions numerically for the Hietarinta equation by using the direct method. Both Dirichlet and Neumann data on some part of the domain boundary for a family of quasilinear inverse problems to the Laplace equation coupled with a sequence of nonlinear scalar equations were recovered [34]. A novel integral transform involving the product of the Whittaker function and two Bessel functions of the first kind was employed to Bessel-Circular-Gaussian beam to generate a new laser beam called Exton-Gaussian beams [35]. The complete discrimination system method was used to construct the exact traveling wave solutions for fractional coupled Boussinesq equations in the sense of conformable fractional derivatives by Han and Li [36]. The periodic, cross-kink wave solutions were obtained by the authors of [37] by the help of Hirota bilinear operator, and also, the semi-inverse variational principle was utilized for the (2 + 1)-dimensional generalization Hirota–Satsuma–Ito equation. In [38], the effects of Mobile Ad Wearout on irritation, intrusiveness, engagement, and loyalty via social media outlets were studied. Author of [39] studied the mathematical models for global solar radiation intensity estimation at Shakardara area which is to estimate atmospheric transparency percentage. Fauzi and Respati [40] analyzed and studied the differences in students’ critical thinking skills utilizing the guided discovery learning model and the problem-based learning model including both theoretical and practical knowledge and skills, and also, they used quantitative methods through an experimental approach. The present research focuses on the Hirota bilinear scheme to getting the analytical solutions of nonlinear (2 + 1)-dimensional wave equation. In this considered scheme, the solutions are written as a combination of trigonometric and hyperbolic waves and also a combination of trigonometric and exponential waves so that the solutions can adapt easily made by symbolic estimations.

The fundamental work of this paper is to extract new analytical findings of (2 + 1)-D generalized Hietarinta model. For the purpose, determining the solutions of the shown model by powerful technique has been made. Many kinds of schemes have been used to determine the new kinds of solitons of this model, such as, two good papers in references [41, 42]. According to used algorithm in reference [41] the bilinear shape can be driven as follows

\[
(D_x^4 - D_y D_t^3 + h_1 D_t^2 + h_2 D_x D_t + h_3 D_y^2) \phi \phi = 0,
\]

in which \( u = u(x, y, t) \) is a unfamiliar solution and \( h_i (s = 1, 2, 3) \) are all free quantities. According to expansion and generalization of the Hietarinta-type model, [43] was studied with the below bilinear model form:

\[
(D_x^4 + D_y D_t^3 + h_1 D_t^2 + h_2 D_x D_t + h_3 D_y^2 - D_y D_t) \phi \phi = 0.
\]

In addition, by using the following relations

\[
u = 2(\ln \phi)_x, \quad \nu = 2(\ln \phi),
\]

the following nonlinear equation will be arisen as

\[
6u_x u_{xx} + u_{xxxx} + 3u_t u_{tt} + 3u_t u_{xy} + u_{xtt} + h_1 u_{xx} + h_2 u_{xy} + h_3 u_{tt} - u_{yy} = 0,
\]
singular-kink solutions for \((2 + 1)\)-D coupled Burgers system with time variable coefficients were obtained by Jaradat and coworkers.

This paper investigates new the periodic-solitary, periodic wave, cross-kink wave, and interaction between stripe and periodic wave solutions for the generalized Hietarinta equation. We seek to explore two types of soliton solutions using two different formulas according to trigonometric, hyperbolic, and rational functions. In addition, we establish singular and dark soliton findings according to trigonometric and hyperbolic, respectively.

The fundamental work of this paper is to extract new exact findings of equation (4), and the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the analysis of the governing system via bilinear form polynomials is formulated to the generalized \((2 + 1)\)-dimensional nonlinear model. In Sections 3–6, we obtain the periodic-solitary, periodic wave, cross-kink wave, and interaction between stripe and periodic wave solutions, respectively, for the generalized \((2 + 1)\)-dimensional Hietarinta equation. Some conclusions that be gained throughout the paper have been presented in Section 7.

\[
\Sigma_{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j_1, \ldots, \xi_j_j} (\lambda_1, \mu_2) = Y_{n, \ldots, n} (\lambda_1) = Y_{n, \ldots, n} (\lambda_{d_1, \xi_1, \ldots, d_j}) = e^{-\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2} e^{\lambda_1}, \tag{5}
\]

Proposition 1. Let \(\mu_1 = \lambda (n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j_1)\), then the relations between binary Bell polynomials and Hirota operator reads

\[
\Sigma_{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j_1} (\mu_1, \mu_2) |_{\mu_1 = \lambda (n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j_1)} = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1} D_{\xi_1}^{\nu_1} \cdots D_{\xi_j}^{\nu_j} \Delta \Omega_1 \Delta \Omega_2, \tag{9}
\]

with Hirota operator

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} g. \eta = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} \right)^{n_j} \Omega_1 (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j) \tag{10}
\]

The multidimensional binary Bell polynomial can be written as

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{d_1, \xi_1, \ldots, d_j}, & d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_j \text{ is odd,} \\
\mu_{2d_1, \xi_1, \ldots, d_j}, & d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_j \text{ is even.} 
\end{array} \right. \tag{8}
\]

Proposition 2. Considering \(\Xi (\gamma) = \sum \delta \Phi_{d, \xi_1, \ldots, d, \xi_j} = 0\) and \(\mu_1 = \ln (\Omega_1 / \Omega_2), \mu_2 = \ln (\Omega_1 / \Omega_2)\), we receive

\[
\sum \delta_i Y_{n, \xi_1, \ldots, n, \xi_j} (\mu_1, \mu_2) = 0, \tag{12}
\]

which need to satisfy

\[
\Re (\gamma') \Re (\gamma) - \Re (\mu_2 + \mu_1) - \Re (\mu_2 - \mu_1) = 0. \tag{13}
\]

The generalized Bell polynomials \(Y_{n, \xi_1, \ldots, n, \xi_j} (\xi)\) is as
The Cole–Hopf relation is as follows:

\[ Y_{k_1 \ldots k_n}(\mu_1) = \frac{\tau_{n_1 \ldots n_k \ldots}}{\tau} \times \prod_{j}^{n_k} \left( \frac{n_j}{k_j} \right) P_{k_1 \ldots k_n}(y) \tau_{n_1 \ldots n_k \ldots} = \tau^{- \sum_{k_1}^{n_1} \sum_{k_2}^{n_2} \ldots \sum_{k_n}^{n_n}} \]

(15)

with

\[ Y_{1}(\mu_1) = \frac{\tau_{1}}{\tau} Y_{2x} (\mu_1, \beta) = \frac{\tau_{2x}}{\tau} Y_{2x,y} (\mu_1, \mu_2) \]

\[ = \frac{Y_{2x} \tau_{y}}{\tau} + \frac{2 Y_{x,y} \tau_{x}}{\tau} + \frac{Y_{2x,y}}{\tau} \]

(16)

Also, based on the above writings, the bilinear form to the aforementioned nonlinear model will be as

\[ (D^2_t + h_1 D^2_x + h_2 D_x D_t + h_3 D^2_x - D_x D_y) \phi \phi \]

\[ = 2 \left( \frac{\phi_{xxxx} - 4 \phi_{xxx} \phi_x + 3 \phi^2_x}{\phi_{xx}} + \phi_{xxt} - 4 \phi_{xxt} \phi_x + 6 \phi_{xxt} \phi_{xx} + 4 \phi_{xxt} \phi_x \phi_{xx} \right) \]

(17)

Afterwards, the values \( \alpha_s, \beta_s, \delta_s, \epsilon_s (s = 1, 5) \) will be found by making use of equation (18) into equation (17) and taking the coefficients, each powers of \( \sin(x, y, t) \) and \( \sinh(x, y, t) \) to zero, a system of equations (algebraic) (these are not collected here for minimalist) for \( \alpha_s, \beta_s, \delta_s, \epsilon_s (s = 1, 5) \) is yielded. These algebraic equations by using the emblematic computation software like, Maple, give the following solutions with using \( u = 2(\ln \phi)_x \) and \( v = 2(\ln \phi) \).

3. Periodic–Solitary Solutions

Here, we utilize to formulate the new exact solutions to the \( (2 + 1) \)-dimensional generalized Hietnarita equation. Consider the following function for studying the periodic-solitary solutions as

\[ \phi = \epsilon \sin(\tau) + \epsilon \sinh(\tau) + \epsilon \tau \]

\[ = \alpha_x + \beta_y + \delta_t + \epsilon_s, \quad s = 1, 2. \]

Afterwards, the values \( \alpha_s, \beta_s, \delta_s, \epsilon_s (s = 1, 5) \) will be found. By making use of equation (18) into equation (17) and taking the coefficients, each powers of \( \sin(x, y, t) \) and \( \sinh(x, y, t) \) to zero, a system of equations (algebraic) (these are not collected here for minimalist) for \( \alpha_s, \beta_s, \delta_s, \epsilon_s (s = 1, 5) \) is yielded. These algebraic equations by using the emblematic computation software like, Maple, give the following solutions with using \( u = 2(\ln \phi)_x \) and \( v = 2(\ln \phi) \).

3.1. Set I Solutions

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)(\alpha_1^2 \epsilon_2 - \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_1) + 3(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2)(\alpha_1^2 \epsilon_2 - \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_1) (\alpha_1 \delta_1 + \alpha_2 \delta_2) + (\epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_1^2) (\alpha_1 \delta_2 - \alpha_2 \delta_1) - (\alpha_1 \delta_2 h_1 + \alpha_2 \delta_1 h_2 + \alpha_1 \beta_2)}{(\alpha_1 \delta_2 - \alpha_2 \delta_1) \alpha_2 (\epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_1^2)} \]

\[ h_1 = \left( \delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2 \right) \left( 3 \alpha_1 \delta_1^2 \epsilon_2 + 4 \alpha_1 \delta_1 \delta_2 \epsilon_2 + \alpha_1 \delta_1 \delta_2 \epsilon_2 - \alpha_1 \delta_1 \delta_2 \epsilon_2 - 4 \alpha_2 \delta_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_2 - 3 \alpha_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_2 \right) + \Phi_1 \]

\[ \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_1^2 \left( \alpha_1 \delta_2 - \alpha_2 \delta_1 \right)^2 \]

\[ \Phi_1 = \left( \delta_1 \left( 3 \delta_1^4 \epsilon_1 + 6 \epsilon_1 \alpha_1^2 \epsilon_2 - 6 \epsilon_1 \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_2 - 4 \epsilon_1 \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_2 \right) \right) + \delta_2 \left( 4 \delta_2^4 \epsilon_1 + 4 \epsilon_1 \alpha_1^2 \epsilon_2 - 6 \epsilon_1 \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_2 - 3 \epsilon_1 \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_2 \right) \]

\[ 4 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \delta_1 \delta_2 + \epsilon_1 \left( \alpha_1 \epsilon_2 - \alpha_2 \epsilon_2 \right) h_2 = \frac{\Phi_2}{\alpha_2 (\epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_1^2) (\alpha_1 \delta_2 - \alpha_2 \delta_1)^2} \]

\[ \Phi_2 = \left( \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 \right) \left( \alpha_1 \epsilon_2 \left( 3 \alpha_1 \delta_1^2 - 6 \alpha_1 \delta_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \delta_1 \alpha_1 - 2 \alpha_2 \delta_1 \alpha_2 \right) - \alpha_2 \epsilon_2 \left( \alpha_1 \delta_2 - 4 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \delta_1 - \alpha_1 \delta_2 \right) \right) \]

\[ \left( \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_1^2 \right) \left( \alpha_1 \delta_2 - \alpha_2 \delta_1 \right)^2 - \delta_2 h_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \alpha_2 \left( 6 \delta_2^4 \epsilon_1 + 3 \delta_2 \delta_1 \epsilon_1 + \delta_1 \delta_1 \epsilon_1 + 4 \delta_2 \epsilon_1 \right) + \alpha_1 \left( 4 \delta_2 \epsilon_1 + 4 \delta_1 \epsilon_1 + 3 \delta_1 \delta_1 \epsilon_1 + 3 \delta_1 \delta_1 \epsilon_1 \right), \epsilon_5 = 0. \]

(19)
Here, \( \alpha_d, \delta_d, \) and \( \epsilon_k \) for \( d = 1:2, k = 1:4, \beta_2 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption:

\[
\alpha_2 (\epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_4^2)(\alpha_1 \delta_2 - \alpha_2 \delta_1)^2 \neq 0, \tag{20}
\]

by substituting the received above parameters into equation (18), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_2 = 2(\ln \phi_2)_x = 2 \epsilon_3 \cos (t \delta_1 + x \alpha_1 + y \beta_1 + \epsilon_1) \alpha_1 + \epsilon_4 \cosh (x \alpha_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2) \alpha_2 \\
\epsilon_3 \sin (t \delta_1 + x \alpha_1 + y \beta_1 + \epsilon_1) + \epsilon_4 \sinh (t \delta_2 + x \alpha_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2) + \epsilon_5
\tag{25}
\]

If \( \tau_2 \rightarrow \infty \), the periodic-solitary wave outputs \( u \rightarrow 2 \alpha_1 \) at every time. Figure 2 shows the analysis of treatment of periodic and progress of soliton wave as hyperbolic function with graphs of \( \phi_2 \) with the following selected parameters:

\[
\delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = 0.5, \alpha_1 = 0.1, \alpha_2 = 0.5, \beta_2 = 1, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 2, \epsilon_3 = 4, \epsilon_4 = 2, t = 1,
\tag{26}
\]

in equation (25).
3.3. Set III Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_2 = \epsilon_5 = 0, \beta_1 &= \frac{\alpha_1(3\alpha_1^3\delta_1\epsilon_5^3 + 3\delta_1\epsilon_5 + 3\delta_1\epsilon_5^2 + 4\delta_1\epsilon_5 + 4\delta_1^4\epsilon_5^3) + \delta_1^2\epsilon_5 + \epsilon_5)(\alpha_1h_2 + \delta_1h_3)}{\delta_1(\epsilon_5 + \epsilon_5)} \\
\beta_2 &= \frac{\alpha_1^2(\alpha_1^3 + \delta_1^3 + \delta_1^2) - \delta_2^2h_1(\epsilon_5 + \epsilon_5)}{\delta_2(\epsilon_5 + \epsilon_5)} \quad h_1 = \frac{\alpha_1^2(3\delta_1\epsilon_5^2 + 4\delta_1\epsilon_5 + \delta_1\epsilon_5 + \delta_1(\delta_2^2 + \delta_2)(3\delta_1\epsilon_5^2 + 4\delta_1^2\epsilon_5 + \delta_1\epsilon_5))}{\alpha_1\delta_2(\epsilon_5 + \epsilon_5)}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1: Periodic-solitary solution (24) such that (a) 3-D design of \(u(x, y, t)\) at \(t = 1\) and (b) 2D plot of \(u(x, y, t)\) at \(t = 1\) and \(x = -1, 0, 1\).

Figure 2: Periodic-solitary solution (26) such that (a) 3-D design of \(u(x, y, t)\) at \(t = 1\) and (b) 2D plot of \(u(x, y, t)\) at \(t = 1, x = -2, 0, 2\).
Here, $\delta_2$ and $\epsilon_k$ for $d = 1, 2, k = 1: 4$, $\alpha_1$ are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

$$\alpha_1 \delta_2^2 (\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4^2) \neq 0,$$

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (18), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

$$u_3 = 2 \left( \frac{\ln \phi_3}{x} \right) = \frac{2 \epsilon_3 \cos \left( t \delta_1 + x \alpha_1 + y \beta_1 + \epsilon_1 \right) \alpha_1}{\epsilon_3 \sin \left( t \delta_1 + x \alpha_1 + y \beta_1 + \epsilon_1 \right) + \epsilon_3 \sinh \left( t \delta_2 + x \alpha_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2 \right)}.$$  \(29\)

If $r_3 \rightarrow \infty$, the periodic-solitary solution $u \rightarrow 0$ at every time.

3.4. Set IV Solutions

$$\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= \frac{\epsilon_4 \left( 2 \epsilon_3^2 \alpha_1^4 + 2 \epsilon_3^3 \alpha_1^3 + 2 \alpha_1 \delta_1 \epsilon_3^2 + 2 \alpha_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_4^2 + 2 \beta_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_4^2 \right)}{\epsilon_3 \delta_2} = \frac{\delta_1 \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_3}, \\
\beta_1 &= \frac{\epsilon_4 \left( -3 \epsilon_2^4 \alpha_2^4 + 2 \epsilon_2^3 \alpha_2^3 \epsilon_4^2 - 3 \alpha_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_4^2 + \alpha_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_3^2 + \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2^2 + \delta_2^2 \epsilon_3^2 \right)}{\delta_2^2} = \frac{\delta_2 \epsilon_3}{\delta_2 \epsilon_3}, \\
h_2 &= \frac{-3 \epsilon_2^4 \alpha_2^4 + 2 \epsilon_2^3 \alpha_2^3 \epsilon_4^2 - 3 \alpha_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_4^2 + \alpha_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_3^2 + \alpha_2^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2^2 + \delta_2^2 \epsilon_3^2 \beta_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_4^2}{\delta_2^2} = 0.
\end{align*}$$  \(30\)

Here, $\epsilon_k$ for $k = 1: 4$, and $\alpha_2$ and $\delta_2$ are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

$$\epsilon_3 \delta_2 a_2 \neq 0,$$  \(31\)

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (18), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

$$u_4 = 2 \left( \frac{\ln \phi_4}{x} \right) = \frac{-2 \cos \left( t \delta_1 \epsilon_3^2 / \epsilon_3 + x \alpha_2 \epsilon_3 / \epsilon_3 + y \beta_1 + \epsilon_1 \right) \alpha_2 \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_3 \cos \left( t \delta_2 + x \alpha_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2 \right) \alpha_2}{\epsilon_3 \sin \left( t \delta_2 + x \alpha_2 + y \beta_1 + \epsilon_1 \right) + \epsilon_3 \sinh \left( t \delta_2 + x \alpha_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2 \right)}.$$  \(32\)

If $r_2 \rightarrow \infty$, the periodic-solitary solution $u \rightarrow 2 \alpha_3$ at every time. Figure 3 offers the analysis of treatment of periodic and progress of soliton as hyperbolic function with graphs of $\phi_3$ with the following selected parameters:

$$\begin{align*}
\delta_1 &= 1, \delta_2 = 2, \alpha_1 = 0.1, \alpha_2 = 0.25, \beta_2 = 1, h_2 = 1, h_3 = 1.5, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 2, \epsilon_3 = 4, \epsilon_4 = 2, t = 1,
\end{align*}$$  \(33\)

in equation (31).

3.5. Collection V Findings

$$u_5 = 2 \left( \frac{\ln \phi_3}{x} \right) = \frac{-\epsilon_3 \cos \left( t \delta_1 - x \delta_1 + y \beta_2 \delta_1 / \delta_2 + \epsilon_1 \right) \delta_1 - \epsilon_3 \cos \left( t \delta_2 - x \delta_2 + y \beta_2 \delta_1 / \delta_2 + \epsilon_1 \right) \delta_2}{\epsilon_3 \sin \left( t \delta_1 - x \delta_1 + y \beta_2 \delta_1 / \delta_2 + \epsilon_1 \right) + \epsilon_3 \sinh \left( t \delta_2 - x \delta_2 + y \beta_2 \delta_1 / \delta_2 + \epsilon_1 \right)}.$$  \(36\)

3.6. Set VI Solutions

$$\begin{align*}
\alpha_i &= -\delta_i, i = 1, 2, \beta_i = \frac{\beta_i \delta_1}{\delta_2}, h_2 = \frac{\delta_2 \epsilon_3 h_3 - \beta_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_5 \beta_2 \delta_2}{\delta_2}, \epsilon_5 = 0, \beta_2 = 0, i = 1, 2, \alpha_i = 0.25, \beta_i = 1, h_2 = 1, h_3 = 1.5, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 2, \epsilon_3 = 4, \epsilon_4 = 2, t = 1, \end{align*}$$  \(37\)
Afterwards, the values $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \delta_i, \epsilon_i (s = 1: 5)$ will be found. By making use of equation (39) into equation (17) and taking the coefficients, each powers of $e^{\Phi_i(x,y,t)}, e^{\Phi_j(x,y,t)}$, and trigonometric function cos($\Phi(x,y,t)$) to zero yield a system of equations (algebraic) (these are not collected here)

$$u = 2(\ln \phi)_x = 2 e^{d_1} \epsilon_1 e^{\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \sin(t \delta_2 - x \delta_2 + y \delta_2(h_1 - h_2 + h_3) + \epsilon_2) \zeta_1 = -t \delta_1 + x \delta_1 - y \delta_1(h_1 - h_2 + h_3) - \epsilon_1.$$  

(a) Figure 3: Periodic-solitary solution (33) such that (a) 3-D design of $u(x, y, t)$ at $t = 1$ and (b) 2D plot of $u(x, y, t)$ at $t = 1, x = -2, 0, 2$. 

Here, $\delta_d, \epsilon_k$ for $d = 1, 2, k = 1: 5$ are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumptions and by substituting the above parameters into equation (18), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation

$$u_6 = 2(\ln \phi)_x = 2 - \epsilon_1 \cos(\zeta_1) \frac{\delta_1}{\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_4 \cosh(t \delta_2 - x \delta_2 + y \delta_2(h_1 - h_2 + h_3) + \epsilon_2) \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_3} \zeta_1 = t \delta_1 - x \delta_1 + y \delta_1(h_1 - h_2 + h_3) + \epsilon_1.$$  

(38)

4. Periodic Wave Solutions

In this paragraph, we find out some advanced exact periodic wave solutions to the (2 + 1)-dimensional generalized Hietarinta equation. Assume the stated function for studying the periodic wave solutions which is as follows:

$$\phi = \epsilon_1 e^{\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos(\tau_2), \tau_1 = \alpha_i x + \beta_j y + \delta_i t + \epsilon_i, s = 1, 2.$$  

(39)

Then, for minimalistic) for $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \delta_i, \epsilon_i (s = 1: 5)$. These algebraic equations by using the emblematic computation software like, Maple, give the solutions as follows with using $u = 2(\ln \phi)_x$ and $v = 2(\ln \phi)$.

4.1. Set I Findings

$$\{ \alpha_0 = -\delta_0, \beta_0 = \delta_0(h_1 - h_2 + h_3), l = 1, 2, \epsilon_j = 0. \}$$  

(40)

Here, $\delta_d, \epsilon_k$ for $d = 1, 2, k = 1: 4$ are the unknown parameters and by substituting the above parameters into equation (39), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

$$u = 2(\ln \phi)_x = 2 e^{d_1} \epsilon_1 e^{\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \sin(t \delta_2 - x \delta_2 + y \delta_2(h_1 - h_2 + h_3) + \epsilon_2) \delta_2 \zeta_1 = -t \delta_1 + x \delta_1 - y \delta_1(h_1 - h_2 + h_3) - \epsilon_1.$$  

(41)

If $t_1 \to \infty$, the breather outputs $u \to 2\delta_1$ at every time.

4.2. Set II Solutions
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{-4\alpha_4\varepsilon_4(3\alpha_1^4 + 2\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2 + 3\alpha_1^2\delta_1^2 - \alpha_1\alpha_2^4 - 4\alpha_2^2\delta_1^2) + \alpha_1\alpha_2^2\varepsilon_3^2(\alpha_1^3 - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2^2 + \delta_1^2) - 3\alpha_2^6\delta_1^2 - \alpha_1^2\delta_1(4\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5^2)(\alpha_1h_2 + \delta_1h_3)}{\alpha_2^2\delta_1(4\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5^2)},
\]
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{-8\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4(3\alpha_1^5 + 4\alpha_1^3\alpha_2^2 + 3\alpha_1^2\delta_1^3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2^4 - 2\alpha^2 \delta_1^3) + 4\alpha_2^2\varepsilon_3^2(\alpha_1^3 + \alpha_2^3 + \delta_1^3) - \alpha_2^2\delta_1h_3(4\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5^2)}{\alpha_2(4\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5^2)\delta_1},
\]
\[
\delta_2 = 0, h_1 = -\frac{4\alpha_1\varepsilon_4 + 6\alpha_1^2\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 + 3\alpha_1\delta_1^3\varepsilon_4 - \alpha_1^2 \varepsilon_4 + \alpha_1^2\varepsilon_3^2}{\alpha_2^2(4\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5^2)}.
\]

(42)

Here, \(\alpha_d, \varepsilon_k\) for \(d = 1, 2, k = 1: 5\), and \(\delta_1\) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
u_2 = 2(\ln \phi_2)_x = 2\frac{\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 e^{(\delta_1 x + y\beta_1 + \gamma)} - \varepsilon_4\varepsilon_5 e^{-\delta_1 x - y\beta_1 - \gamma} - \varepsilon_5 \sin (\alpha x_2 + y\beta_2 + \varepsilon_2)x_2}{\varepsilon_3 e^{(\delta_1 x + y\beta_1 + \gamma)} + \varepsilon_4 e^{-\delta_1 x - y\beta_1 - \gamma} + \varepsilon_5 \cos (\alpha x_2 + y\beta_2 + \varepsilon_2)}.
\]

(44)

If \(\tau_1 \rightarrow \infty\), the breather outputs \(u \rightarrow 2\alpha_1\) at every time. Figure 4 shows the analysis of treatment of periodic and progress of breather-wave solutions as exponential and trigonometric functions with graphs of \(\phi_1\) with the following selected parameters:

\[
\delta_1 = 1, \alpha_1 = 0.1, \alpha_2 = 0.5, h_2 = 1, h_3 = 2, \varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 2, \varepsilon_3 = 4, \varepsilon_4 = 2, \varepsilon_5 = 3, t = 1,
\]

(45)

Here, \(\delta_d, \varepsilon_k\) for \(d = 1, 2, k = 1: 5\), \(\alpha_d\), and \(\beta_d\) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
u_3 = 2(\ln \phi_3)_x = 2\frac{\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 e^{(\delta_1 x + y\beta_1 + \gamma)} - \varepsilon_4\varepsilon_5 e^{-\delta_1 x - y\beta_1 - \gamma} + \varepsilon_5 \cos (\delta_2 + x\alpha_2 + y\beta_2 + \varepsilon_2)}{\varepsilon_3 e^{(\delta_1 x + y\beta_1 + \gamma)} + \varepsilon_4 e^{-\delta_1 x - y\beta_1 - \gamma} + \varepsilon_5 \cos (\delta_2 + x\alpha_2 + y\beta_2 + \varepsilon_2)}.
\]

(48)

and by substituting the above parameters into the equation (36), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
\alpha_2(4\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5^2)\delta_1 \neq 0,
\]

(43)

in equation (41).

4.3. Collection III Outputs

Here, \(\alpha_d, \varepsilon_k\) for \(d = 1, 2, k = 1: 5\), \(\alpha_d\), and \(\beta_d\) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
u_3 = 2(\ln \phi_3)_x = 2\frac{\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 e^{(\delta_1 x + y\beta_1 + \gamma)} - \varepsilon_4\varepsilon_5 e^{-\delta_1 x - y\beta_1 - \gamma} + \varepsilon_5 \cos (\delta_2 + x\alpha_2 + y\beta_2 + \varepsilon_2)}{\varepsilon_3 e^{(\delta_1 x + y\beta_1 + \gamma)} + \varepsilon_4 e^{-\delta_1 x - y\beta_1 - \gamma} + \varepsilon_5 \cos (\delta_2 + x\alpha_2 + y\beta_2 + \varepsilon_2)}.
\]

(48)
If $r_1 \to \infty$, the periodic outputs $u \to 2\alpha_1$ at any time. Figure 5 shows the analysis of treatment of periodic and progress of periodic wave solutions as exponential and trigonometric functions with graphs of $\phi_3$ with the following selected parameters:

$$
\delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = 2, \alpha_1 = 0.1, h_2 = 1, h_3 = 2, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 2, \epsilon_3 = 4, \epsilon_4 = 2, \epsilon_5 = 3, t = 1,
$$

In equation (48).

### 4.4. Set IV Solutions

In equation (48).

If $r_1 \to \infty$, the breather outputs $u \to 2\alpha_1 \delta_1 / \delta_2$ at every time. Figure 6 shows the analysis of treatment of periodic and progress of periodic wave solutions as exponential and trigonometric functions with graphs of $\phi_4$ with the following selected parameters:

$$
\delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = 2, \alpha_1 = 0.5, \beta_2 = 0.2, h_1 = 1, h_3 = 2, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 0.1, \epsilon_3 = 2, \epsilon_5 = 3, t = 0.5
$$

In equation (48).
4.5. Collection V Outputs

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_l &= -\delta_l, \quad l = 1, 2, \\
\beta_1 &= \frac{\beta_2 \delta_1}{\delta_2}, \\
h_2 &= -\delta_1 h_1 - \delta_2 h_3 + \beta_2, \\
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \( \delta_d, \epsilon_k \) for \( d = 1, 2, k = 1: 5 \), and \( \beta_2 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\delta_2 \neq 0, \quad (55)
\]
and by substituting the above parameters into equation (39), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha_2^{2} e^\theta e^{\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 + \epsilon_1} / \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_4 \alpha_2 e^{-\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1) + \epsilon_5 \sin(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1)}{1/4 e^x e^{\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 + \epsilon_1}/\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_4 e^{-\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

4.6. Set VI Solutions

Here, \( \theta = \sqrt{3} - \delta_1^2, \delta_2, \delta_3, \epsilon_k \) for \( d = 1, 2, k = 1: 5 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\delta_2 \theta \neq 0,
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (39), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha_2^{2} e^\theta e^{\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 + \epsilon_1} / \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_4 \alpha_2 e^{-\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1) + \epsilon_5 \sin(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1)}{1/4 e^x e^{\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 + \epsilon_1}/\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_4 e^{-\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

4.7. Set VII Solutions

Here, \( \alpha_d, \epsilon_k \) for \( d = 1, 2, k = 1: 5 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\alpha_2 \theta \neq 0,
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (39), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha_2^{2} e^\theta e^{\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 + \epsilon_1} / \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_4 \alpha_2 e^{-\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1) + \epsilon_5 \sin(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1)}{1/4 e^x e^{\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 + \epsilon_1}/\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_4 e^{-\delta_1 x_0 + y_0 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos(t \delta_2 - x \delta_3 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

5. Cross-Kink Wave Solutions

In this segment, we utilize to formulate the new exact solutions to the \((2+1)\)-dimensional generalized Hietarinta equation. Consider the following function for studying the cross-kink wave solutions as

\[
\phi = e^{-t_1} + e^t e^{\tau_1} + \epsilon_5 \sin(\tau_2) + \epsilon_6 \sin(\tau_3),
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon, s = 1: 3.
\end{align*}
\]

Afterwards, the values \( \alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon (s = 1: 3) \) will be found. By making use of equation (63) into (17) and taking the coefficients, each powers of \( e^{\theta(x,y,t)}, \sin(x,y,t), \) and \( \sinh(x,y,t) \) to zero yield a system of equations (algebraic) (these are not collected here for minimalist) for \( \alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon, s = 1: 3 \). These algebraic equations by using the emblematic computation software like, Maple, give the following solutions with using \( u = 2 \ln(\phi) \) and \( v = 2 \ln(\phi) \).
5.1. Set I Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_1 &= \frac{\alpha_5^2 \epsilon_6^2 (\alpha_4^4 + 2 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5^2 + \alpha_4 \delta_1^3 - 3 \alpha_4^4) + 4 \alpha_4 \epsilon_4 (3 \alpha_5^3 - 2 \alpha_3^2 \alpha_4^2 + 3 \alpha_2^2 \delta_1^3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_4^4 + 4 \alpha_2^2 \delta_1^3) + \alpha_5^2 \delta_1 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}{\alpha_5^2 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}, \\
\beta_3 &= \frac{4 \alpha_5^2 \epsilon_6 (\alpha_4^3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_4^2 + \delta_1^3) + 8 \epsilon_4 (3 \alpha_5^3 - 4 \alpha_3^2 \alpha_4^2 + 3 \alpha_2^2 \delta_1^3 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4^4 + 2 \alpha_2^2 \delta_1^3) + \alpha_5^2 \delta_1 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}{\alpha_5^2 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}, \\
\delta_3 &= 0, h_1 = 4 \frac{- \alpha_3^2 \epsilon_6^2 - \epsilon_4 (3 \alpha_4^3 - 6 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3^2 + 3 \alpha_1 \delta_1^3 - \alpha_3^4)}{\alpha_3^2 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}, \epsilon_5 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(64)

Here, \(\alpha_d, \epsilon_k\) for \(d = 1: 2, k = 1: 6, \beta_1, \delta_1,\) and \(\delta_3\) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption, 

\[
\alpha_3 \delta_1^3 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4) \neq 0,
\]  

(65)

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_1 = 2 (\ln \phi_1)_x = 2 \frac{- \alpha_4 \epsilon_6 \alpha_5 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_6 \epsilon_4 \cosh (x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3) \alpha_5}{\epsilon_6 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_6 \sinh (x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3)} \zeta_1 = t \delta_1 + x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1.
\]

(66)

If \(\tau_1 \rightarrow \infty\), the breather outputs \(u \rightarrow 2 \alpha_3\) at every time.

5.2. Set II Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_3 &= \epsilon_5 = 0, \beta_1 &= \frac{4 \alpha_1 \delta_1^2 \epsilon_6^2 + 4 \alpha_1 \epsilon_4 (3 \alpha_5^3 \delta_1 + 3 \delta_1^4 - 3 \delta_1^2 \epsilon_6 + 4 \delta_1^4) + \delta_1^2 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}{\delta_3^2 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}, \\
\beta_3 &= \frac{-12 \alpha_1 \epsilon_4 (\alpha_4^3 + \delta_1^3 - \delta_1 \delta_3^2) - \delta_3^2 \epsilon_6}{\delta_3 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}, \\
\delta_3 &= \frac{- \delta_3^2 \epsilon_6^2 (\alpha_4^3 + \delta_1^3 - \delta_1 \delta_3^2) + 4 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_6 (3 \delta_1^2 - 4 \delta_3^2) (\alpha_4^3 + \delta_1^3 - \delta_1 \delta_3^2)}{\alpha_1 \delta_3^2 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4)}, \epsilon_5 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(67)

Here, \(\delta_1, \epsilon_k\) for \(d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \alpha_1, \alpha_2,\) and \(\beta_3\) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption, 

\[
\alpha_3 \delta_1^3 (\epsilon_6^2 + 4 \epsilon_4) \neq 0,
\]  

(68)

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_2 = 2 (\ln \phi_2)_x = 2 \frac{- \alpha_4 \epsilon_6 \alpha_5 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_6 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_6 \sinh (t \delta_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3)}{\epsilon_6 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_6 \sinh (t \delta_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3)}, \zeta_1 = t \delta_1 + x \alpha_5 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1.
\]

(69)

If \(\tau_1 > \tau_0 \rightarrow \infty\), the cross-kink outputs \(u \rightarrow 0\) at every \(t\), but if \(\tau_1 < \tau_1 \rightarrow \infty\), the cross-kink outputs \(u \rightarrow 2 \alpha_3\) at every time.

5.3. Set III Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_1 &= \frac{8 \alpha_3^3 + 8 \alpha_3 \delta_3^3 + 2 \alpha_3 \epsilon_1 h_1 + 2 \alpha_3 \delta_1 h_2 + 2 \delta_1 \delta_3 h_3 - \beta_3 \delta_3}{\delta_3} \epsilon_4, \\
\epsilon_5 &= \frac{-1}{4 \epsilon_5}, \epsilon_5 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(70)
Here, $\alpha_d, \delta_d, \epsilon_k$ for $d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

$$\delta_3 \neq 0, \quad (71)$$

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

$$u_3 = 2(\ln \phi_3)_x = \frac{2 - \alpha_1 e^{-t \delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1}}{e^{-t \delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1}}$$

$$- 1 - 1/4 \epsilon_2 e^{\delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_6 \cosh (t \delta_3 + x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3) \alpha_3 + \epsilon_6 \sinh (t \delta_3 + x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3) \alpha_3,$$  

$$72$$

If $\tau_3 > \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 2 \alpha_1$ at every time, but if $\tau_3 < \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 2 \alpha_1$ at any time.

5.4. Set IV Solutions

Here, $\theta = \sqrt{3} - \delta_3^3 + \delta_1 \delta_3^2, \alpha_d, \delta_d, \epsilon_k$ for $d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

$$\delta_3 \neq 0, \quad (74)$$

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

$$u_4 = 2(\ln \phi_4)_x = \frac{2 - \alpha_1 e^{-t \delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1}}{e^{-t \delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1}}$$

$$- 1 - 1/4 \epsilon_2 e^{\delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_6 \cosh (t \delta_3 + x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3) \alpha_3 + \epsilon_6 \sinh (t \delta_3 + x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3) \alpha_3,$$  

$$75$$

If $\tau_3 > \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 2 \alpha_1$ at every time, but if $\tau_3 < \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 2 \alpha_1$ at any time.

5.5. Set V Solutions.

$$\alpha_1 = \theta, \alpha_3 = 0, \beta_1 = \frac{4 \theta \delta_3^3 + \theta \delta_3 h_2 + 2 \delta_1 \delta_3 h_3 - \beta_3 \delta_1}{\delta_3}, \quad h_1 = -\frac{\delta_3^3 h_3 + \delta_1 \delta_3^2 + \beta_3 \delta_2^2 - \beta_1 \delta_3^2}{\theta^2 \delta_3},$$

$$\epsilon_4 = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_6, \epsilon_5 = 0. \quad (76)$$

Here, $\theta = \sqrt{3} - \delta_3^3 + \delta_1 \delta_3^2, \alpha_d, \delta_d, \epsilon_k$ for $d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

$$\delta_3 \neq 0, \quad (77)$$

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

$$u_5 = 2(\ln \phi_5)_x = \frac{2 - \alpha_1 e^{-t \delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1}}{e^{-t \delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1}}$$

$$- 1 - 1/4 \epsilon_2 e^{\delta_3 - x \alpha_1 - y \beta_3 + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_6 \cosh (t \delta_3 + x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3) \alpha_3 + \epsilon_6 \sinh (t \delta_3 + x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3) \alpha_3,$$  

$$78$$

If $\tau_3 > \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 2 \alpha_1$ at every time, but if $\tau_3 < \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 2 \alpha_1$ at any time. Figure 7 show the analysis of treatment of cross-kink wave as periodic and hyperbolic function with graphs of $\phi_3$ with the following selected parameters:
\delta_1 = 1, \delta_3 = 0.5, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 2, \alpha_3 = 3, \beta_3 = 0.2, h_3 = 1, \\
h_3 = 2, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 0.1, \epsilon_3 = 2, \epsilon_4 = 3, \epsilon_5 = 2, t = 0.5,

in equation (74).

5.6. Set VI Solutions

\begin{align*}
\alpha_2 &= \epsilon_6 = 0, \beta_1 = -4\alpha_1\delta_1\epsilon_2 e_2 + 4\alpha_1\epsilon_4 \left(3\alpha_1^3 \delta_1 + 3\delta_1^4 + 3\delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 + 4\delta_2^4\right) - \delta_2^5 (-\epsilon_2^2 + 4\epsilon_4) \left(\alpha_1 h_2 + \delta_1 h_3\right) \\
\beta_2 &= \frac{12\alpha_1\epsilon_4 \left(\alpha_1^3 + \delta_1^3 + \delta_1 \delta_2^3\right) - \delta_2^5 h_3 \epsilon_4^2 + 4\delta_2^4 h_3 \epsilon_4}{\delta_2 (-\epsilon_2^2 + 4\epsilon_4)} \\
h_1 &= -\frac{-\delta_2^5 \left(\alpha_1^3 + \delta_1^3 + \delta_1 \delta_2^3\right) + 4\epsilon_4 \left(3\delta_1^4 + 4\delta_2^4\right) \left(\alpha_1^3 + \delta_1^3 + \delta_1 \delta_2^3\right)}{\alpha_1 \delta_2^5 (-\epsilon_2^2 + 4\epsilon_4)}.
\end{align*}

Here, \delta_d, \epsilon_k for \(d = 1, 3, k = 1, 6, \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \) and \beta_3 are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 \delta_2^5 (-\epsilon_2^2 + 4\epsilon_4) &\neq 0, \\
\text{and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:}
\end{align*}

\begin{equation}
u_6 = 2 (\ln f_6) = 2 \frac{-\alpha_1 e^{-t\delta_1 - x\alpha_1 - y\beta_1 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_4 \alpha_1 e^{t\delta_1 + x\alpha_1 + y\beta_1 + \epsilon_1}}{e^{-t\delta_1 - x\alpha_1 - y\beta_1 - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_4 e^{t\delta_1 + x\alpha_1 + y\beta_1 + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \sin \left(t\delta_2 + y\beta_2 + \epsilon_2\right)}.
\end{equation}
If $\tau_2 > \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 0$ at every time, but if $\tau_2 < \tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink outputs $u \to 2\alpha_1$ at every time.

5.8. Set VIII Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= \frac{\alpha_2 \delta_1 - \beta_1}{\delta_2}, \\
\beta_1 &= -\frac{\delta_1 (2\alpha_2^2 \delta_1^2 + 2\alpha_3^2 \delta_2^2 + 2\alpha_2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 + 2\alpha_3 \delta_1^3 \delta_2 + 2\beta_2 \delta_2^3)}{\delta_2^2}, \\
\beta_2 &= \frac{3\alpha_2^2 \delta_1^2 - 2\alpha_3 \delta_2^2 + 3\alpha_2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3^2 - \alpha_2 \delta_2 \delta_3^2 + \alpha_2 \delta_2 \delta_3^2 \delta_4}{\alpha_3^2 \delta_2^2}, \\
\delta_4 &= -\frac{1}{4} \delta_2^2 \delta_2^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(83)

Here $\delta_4, \epsilon_k$ for $d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
u_7 = 2(\ln f_7)_x = \frac{-\alpha_1 e^{-t \phi} - \chi \Delta_3 - \phi \beta + \epsilon_1}{e^{-t \phi} - \chi \Delta_3 - \phi \beta + \epsilon_1} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_2 e_2^2 \alpha_2 / \delta_1 e_{\epsilon_1}^{\phi} + \chi \Delta_3 + \phi \beta + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos (t \beta_2 + x \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \epsilon_2) \alpha_2,
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_2 \Delta_2 &\neq 0, \\
\beta_2 &\neq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(84)

If $\tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink wave outputs $u \to 2\alpha_1 \delta_1 / \delta_2$ at any time.

6. Interaction between Stripe and Periodic Wave Solutions

In this paragraph, we find out some advanced exact interaction between stripe and periodic wave solutions to the $(2 + 1)$-dimensional generalized Hietarinta equation. Assume the stated function for studying the interaction of solutions as

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= -\delta_1 \phi_1 \beta_1 = \frac{\beta_1 \delta_1}{\delta_2} \phi_2 = -\frac{\delta_2 h_1 - \delta_2 h_3 + \beta_2}{\delta_2}, \\
\epsilon_6 &= 0, \theta = \frac{\sqrt{3} (\alpha_4 - 2\alpha_2^2 \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_4^2)}{\alpha_1}.
\end{align*}
\]

(86)

\[
u_8 = 2(\ln \phi_8)_x = \frac{-\alpha_1 e^{-t \phi} - \chi \Delta_3 - \phi \beta + \epsilon_1}{e^{-t \phi} - \chi \Delta_3 - \phi \beta + \epsilon_1} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_2 e_2^2 \alpha_2 / \delta_1 e_{\epsilon_1}^{\phi} + \chi \Delta_3 + \phi \beta + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos (t \beta_2 + x \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \epsilon_2) \alpha_2
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_2 \Delta_2 &\neq 0, \\
\beta_2 &\neq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(87)

By substituting the above parameters into equation (63), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_8 = 2(\ln \phi_8)_x = \frac{-\alpha_1 e^{-t \phi} - \chi \Delta_3 - \phi \beta + \epsilon_1}{e^{-t \phi} - \chi \Delta_3 - \phi \beta + \epsilon_1} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_2 e_2^2 \alpha_2 / \delta_1 e_{\epsilon_1}^{\phi} + \chi \Delta_3 + \phi \beta + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos (t \beta_2 + x \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \epsilon_2)
\]

(88)

If $\tau_1 \to \infty$, the cross-kink wave outputs $u \to 2\alpha_1$ at any time.

Afterwards, the values $\alpha_s, \beta_s, \epsilon_s$ ($s = 1: 3$) will be found. By making use of equation (18) into (17) and taking the coefficients, each powers of $\cos (x, y, t)$ and $\cosh (x, y, t)$ and exponential function to zero yield a system of equations (algebraic) (these are not collected here for minimalist) for $\alpha_s, \beta_s, \epsilon_s$ ($s = 1: 3$). These algebraic equations by using the emblematic computation software like, Maple, give the following solutions with using $u = 2(\ln \phi)_x$ and $\nu = 2(\ln \phi)$. 

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi &= e^{-\tau_1} + \epsilon_4 e^{\tau_1} + \epsilon_5 \cos (r_2) + \epsilon_6 \cosh (r_3), \\
\tau_s &= \alpha_s x + \beta_s y + \delta_s t + \epsilon_s, s = 1: 3.
\end{align*}
\]

(89)
6.1. Set I Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_1 &= -\alpha_3^2 \varepsilon_2 \left( \alpha_1^3 + 2\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 + 3\alpha_1^2 \alpha_3^2 - 2\alpha_2^3 - 3\alpha_2^3 - 9\alpha_3^4 \right) + 8\alpha_1 \varepsilon_4 \left( 3\alpha_3^5 - 2\alpha_3^5 + 3\alpha_3^5 \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_1 \alpha_4^4 + 4\alpha_2 \delta_3^5 \right) + \alpha_3^2 \delta_1 \left( -\varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right) \left( \alpha_1 h_2 + \delta_1 h_2 \right), \\
\beta_2 &= 4\alpha_3^2 \varepsilon_2 \left( \alpha_3^3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2^2 + \delta_1^2 \right) + 8\varepsilon_4 \left( 3\alpha_1^2 - 2\alpha_1^2 + 3\alpha_1^2 \alpha_3^2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4^4 + 2\alpha_2 \delta_3^5 \right) + \alpha_3^2 \delta_1 h_2 \left( -\varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right), \\
\delta_3 &= 0, h_1 = 4 \alpha_3^2 \varepsilon_2 + 3\alpha_1^2 \varepsilon_4 - 6\alpha_1^2 \alpha_3^2 \varepsilon_4 + 3\alpha_1 \delta_3^5 \varepsilon_4 - \alpha_4^2 \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \( \alpha_4, \varepsilon_4 \) for \( d = 1: 2, k = 1: 6, \beta_3, \delta_1, \) and \( \delta_3 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\alpha_3^2 \delta_1 \left( \varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right) \neq 0,
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_1 = 2 \left( \ln \phi_1 \right)_x = 2 \frac{-\alpha_1 e^{-\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon_2}} + \varepsilon_4 \alpha_1 e^{\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon_2}} + \varepsilon_4 \sinh \left( \varepsilon_4 + y\beta_1 + \varepsilon_4 \right) \alpha_3 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \varepsilon_4 \right) \left( \alpha_1 h_2 + \delta_1 h_2 \right), \xi_1 = t \delta_1 + x \alpha_1 + y \beta_1 + \varepsilon_1.
\]

If \( \tau_1 \to \infty \), the breather outputs \( u \to 2\alpha_2 \) at every time.

6.2. Set II Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_3 &= \varepsilon_5 = 0, \beta_1 &= -4\alpha_3 \delta_3^2 \varepsilon_6 + 4\alpha_1 \varepsilon_4 \left( 3\alpha_3 \delta_1^2 + 3\delta_3 \delta_1^2 + 4\delta_3^2 \right) + \delta_3^2 \left( -\varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right) \left( \alpha_1 h_2 + \delta_1 h_2 \right), \\
\beta_2 &= \frac{-4 \alpha_3 \delta_3^2 \left( \alpha_3^3 + \delta_1^3 - \frac{\delta_1}{\varepsilon_2} \right) + 4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \varepsilon_4 \left( 3\delta_2 \delta_1^2 + 4\delta_3^2 \right) \left( \alpha_1 h_2 + \delta_1 h_2 \right)}{\delta_3 \left( -\varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right)}, \\
\delta_3 &= \frac{\delta_3^2 \varepsilon_6 + 3 \alpha_1^2 \varepsilon_4 - 6 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3^2 \varepsilon_4 + 3 \alpha_1 \delta_3^5 \varepsilon_4 - \alpha_4^2 \varepsilon_4}{\alpha_1 \delta_3^5 \left( -\varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \delta_3, \varepsilon_5 \) for \( d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \alpha_3, \alpha_3, \beta_2 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\alpha_3 \delta_3^2 \left( \varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right) \neq 0,
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_2 = 2 \left( \ln \phi_2 \right)_x = 2 \frac{-\alpha_1 e^{-\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon_2}} + \varepsilon_4 \alpha_1 e^{\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon_2}} + \varepsilon_4 \cosh \left( \varepsilon_4 + y\beta_1 + \varepsilon_4 \right) \alpha_3 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \varepsilon_4 \right) \left( \alpha_1 h_2 + \delta_1 h_2 \right), \xi_1 = t \delta_1 + x \alpha_1 + y \beta_1 + \varepsilon_1.
\]

If \( \tau_2 > \tau_1 \to \infty \), the interaction between stripe and periodic wave outputs \( u \to 0 \) at every time, but if \( \tau_3 < \tau_1 \to \infty \), the cross-kink outputs \( u \to 2\alpha_1 \) at every time.

6.3. Set III Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_1 &= 4 \alpha_3 \delta_3^2 \varepsilon_6 + 4 \alpha_3 \delta_3^2 \varepsilon_6 + \alpha_3 \delta_3^2 h_2 + \delta_3^2 h_2, \\
\beta_2 &= \frac{-4 \alpha_3 \delta_3^2 \left( \alpha_3^3 + \delta_1^3 - \frac{\delta_1}{\varepsilon_2} \right) + 4 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \varepsilon_4 \left( 3 \delta_2 \delta_1^2 + 4 \delta_3^2 \right) \left( \alpha_1 h_2 + \delta_1 h_2 \right)}{\delta_3 \left( -\varepsilon_2^2 + 4\varepsilon_4 \right)}, \\
\delta_3 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Here, \(\alpha_i, \delta_i, \epsilon_k\) for \(d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \beta_2,\) and \(\beta_3\) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,
\[
\delta_3 \neq 0,
\]
and by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:
\[
u_3 = 2(\ln \phi_3) x
\]
\[
= \frac{-\alpha_1 e^{-\tau \delta_3} + \alpha_2 e^{\tau \delta_3} + \epsilon_3 \sinh (\epsilon_3 x + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3) \delta_3}{e^{\tau \delta_3} - x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3} + \epsilon_4 \cosh (\epsilon_3 x + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3)
\]
(98)

If \(\tau_3 > \tau_1 \rightarrow \infty\), the interaction of outputs \(u \rightarrow 2\alpha_3\) at any \(t\), but if \(\tau_3 < \tau_1 \rightarrow \infty\), the interaction of outputs \(u \rightarrow 2\alpha_1\) at any time.

6.4. Set IV Solutions
\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= -\alpha_3, \beta_1 = 2\delta_3 h_3 - \beta_3, h_1 &= -4\alpha_3^2, \epsilon_4 = \frac{1}{4}\delta_3, \epsilon_3 = 0.
\end{aligned}
\]
(99)

Here, \(\delta_i, \epsilon_k\) for \(d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2,\) and \(\beta_3\) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption and also by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:
\[
u_4 = 2(\ln \phi_4) x
\]
\[
= \frac{-\alpha_3 e^{-\tau \delta_3 h_3} - \epsilon_3 \sinh (\epsilon_3 x + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3) \delta_3}{e^{\tau \delta_3} - x \alpha_3 + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3} + \epsilon_4 \cosh (\epsilon_3 x + y \beta_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3 + \epsilon_3 \alpha_3)
\]
(100)

6.5. Set V Solutions
\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= -\alpha_3, \beta_1 = -4\alpha_3^2 + 4\alpha_3 \delta_3^1 + \alpha_3^2 \gamma_2 + \alpha_3 \delta_3 \beta_2 + \delta_3^3 \beta_3 \\
\beta_3 &= \frac{4\alpha_3^4 + 4\alpha_3 \delta_3^1 + \alpha_3^2 \gamma_2 + \alpha_3 \delta_3 \beta_2 + \delta_3^3 \beta_3}{\delta_3}
\end{aligned}
\]
(101)

If \(\tau_3 > \tau_1 \rightarrow \infty\), the interaction of solution \(u \rightarrow 2\alpha_3\) at any \(t\), but if \(\tau_3 < \tau_1 \rightarrow \infty\), the interaction of solution \(u \rightarrow 2\alpha_1\) at any time.

6.6. Set VI Solutions
\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_2 &= \epsilon_6 = 0, \beta_1 &= -4\alpha_3 \delta_3^2 \epsilon_2 + 4\alpha_3 \epsilon_6 (3\alpha_3^3 \delta_3 + 3\delta_3^2 \beta_2 + 4\delta_3^4) - \delta_3 (-\epsilon_2 + 4\epsilon_4) (\alpha_3 h_2 + \beta_1 h_3) \\
\beta_2 &= \frac{12\alpha_3 \epsilon_6 (\alpha_3^3 + \delta_3^3 \beta_2 + \delta_3^5 \gamma_2) \delta_3 (-\epsilon_3 + 4\epsilon_3)}{\delta_3 (-\epsilon_3 + 4\epsilon_6)} \\
h_1 &= -\delta_3^2 \epsilon_2 (\alpha_3^3 + \delta_3^3 \beta_2 + 4\epsilon_6 (3\alpha_3^3 + 4\delta_3^3 \beta_2) (\alpha_3^3 + \delta_3^3 \beta_2)}
\end{aligned}
\]
(104)
Here, \( \delta_d, \epsilon_k \) for \( d = 1: 3, k = 1: 6, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \) and \( \beta_3 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\alpha_i \delta_i^2 \left(-\epsilon_i^2 + 4 \epsilon_i \right) \neq 0, \quad (105)
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_6 = 2 \left( \ln \phi_6 \right)_x = 2 - \alpha_1 e^{-t \delta_1 - x \delta_2 - y \delta_3} + \epsilon_4 e^{t \delta_1 + x \delta_2 + y \delta_3} + \epsilon_5 \cos \left( t \delta_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2 \right)
\]  

(106)

If \( \tau_1 \to \infty \), the interaction between stripe and periodic wave solution \( u \to 2 \alpha_1 \) at any \( t \). Figure 8 shows the analysis of treatment of interaction of solutions as periodic and hyperbolic functions with graphs of \( \phi_6 \) with the following selected parameters:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_1 &= 0.3, \delta_2 = 2, \delta_3 = 1, \alpha_1 = 0.1, \alpha_3 = 0.5, \beta_3 = 1, h_2 = 2, \\
h_3 &= 3, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 2, \epsilon_3 = 4, \epsilon_4 = 2, \epsilon_5 = 1, t = 0.1,
\end{align*}
\]

in equation (102).

6.7. Set VII Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= 0, \alpha_2 = \epsilon_6 = 0, \beta_1 = \frac{4 \delta_1^3 - 3 \delta_3 h_3 - 2 \delta_1 \delta_2 h_3 + \beta_2 \delta_3}{\delta_2} \\
h_1 &= -\delta_3^2 h_3 + \beta_2 \delta_3 + \epsilon_4 = 1, \eta_1 = \frac{1}{\delta_2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(108)

\[
u_7 = 2 \left( \ln \phi_7 \right)_x = 2 - \alpha_1 e^{-t \delta_1 - x \sqrt{3} \delta_1 - y \delta_3} + \epsilon_4 e^{t \delta_1 + x \sqrt{3} \delta_1 + y \delta_3} + \epsilon_5 \cos \left( t \delta_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2 \right)
\]  

(110)

If \( \tau_1 \to \infty \), the interaction between stripe and periodic wave solution \( u \to 2 \alpha_1 \) at any \( t \). Figure 9 shows the analysis of treatment of interaction of solutions as periodic and hyperbolic functions with graphs of \( \phi_7 \) with the following selected parameters:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_1 &= -1.2, \delta_2 = 1, \delta_3 = 1, \alpha_3 = 0.5, \beta_3 = 1, h_2 = 2, h_3 = 3, \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 0.2, \epsilon_3 = 4, \epsilon_5 = 1, t = 0.01,
\end{align*}
\]

in equation (106).

6.8. Set VIII Solutions

Here, \( \theta = \sqrt{3} - \delta_2^3 - \delta_2 \delta_2 \epsilon_k, \) for \( d = 1: 3, k = 1: 5, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \) and \( \beta_3 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\delta_2 \neq 0, \quad (113)
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_i &= -\delta_2, i = 1, 2, \beta_1 = \frac{\beta_2 \delta_3}{\delta_2}, h_3 \\
\beta_1 &= -\delta_2 h_1 - \delta_3 h_3 + \beta_3 \delta_2 \epsilon_6 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(112)

Here, \( \delta_3, \epsilon_k \) for \( d = 1: 3, k = 1: 5, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \) and \( \beta_3 \) are the unknown parameters. By considering the necessary assumption,

\[
\delta_2 \neq 0, \quad (113)
\]

and by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:
\[ u_9 = 2 \left( \ln \phi_8 \right)_x = 2 \frac{\delta_1 e^{-x \delta_1 - y \beta_2 - \delta_2 - \epsilon_1} - \epsilon_1 \delta_1 e^{x \delta_1 - x \delta_1 + y \beta_2 \delta_2 + \epsilon_1}}{e^{x \delta_1 + y \beta_2 \delta_2 + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_4 e^{x \delta_1 - x \delta_1 + y \beta_2 \delta_2 + \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_5 \sin(t \delta_2 - x \delta_2 + y \beta_2 + \epsilon_2) \delta_2} \]

(114)
6.9. Set IX Solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_2 &= \theta_1, \\
\beta_1 &= -\frac{4\alpha_3^5 + 4\alpha_3^3\delta_3^3 + \theta^2(4\alpha_3^3 - 4\alpha_3\delta_3^2 - \alpha_3h_2 - \delta_3^2h_3)}{\theta^2\delta_3}, \\
\beta_2 &= -\frac{4\alpha_3^5 + 4\alpha_3^2\delta_3^3 + \theta^2(4\alpha_3^3 - 4\delta_3^3)}{\theta^2\delta_3}, \\
\beta_3 &= -\frac{4\alpha_3^5 + 4\alpha_3^3\delta_3^3 + \theta^2(4\alpha_3^3 - 4\alpha_3\delta_3^2 - \alpha_3h_2 - \delta_3^2h_3)}{\theta^2\delta_3}, \\
\delta_2 &= 0, \\
h_1 &= -4\alpha_3(2\alpha_3\theta^2 + \alpha_3^3 + \delta_3^3), \\
\alpha_1 &= \alpha_3, \\
\delta_1 &= \delta_3.
\end{align*}
\]

(115)

Here, \(\epsilon_k\) for \(k = 1: 6\), \(\alpha_3\), and \(\delta_3\) are free values. Also, \(\theta\) solves the \(\theta^4 + 2\theta^2\alpha_3^2 + \alpha_3^4 + \alpha_3\delta_3^2 = 0\). By considering the necessary assumption, \(\theta\delta_3 \neq 0\), (116) and by substituting the above parameters into equation (89), we obtain an analytical form of rational equation:

\[
u_9 = \frac{2 - \alpha_3e^{-t\delta_3 - x\alpha_3 - y\beta_1 - \epsilon_3} + \epsilon_4\alpha_3e^{(t\delta_3 + x\alpha_3 + y\beta_1) + \epsilon_3} - \epsilon_3\sin(x\theta + y\beta_2 + \epsilon_3)\theta + \epsilon_6\sinh(x\delta_3 + x\alpha_3 + y\beta_3 + \epsilon_3)\alpha_3}{e^{-t\delta_3 - x\alpha_3 - y\beta_1 - \epsilon_3} + \epsilon_4e^{(t\delta_3 + x\alpha_3 + y\beta_1 + \epsilon_3)} + \epsilon_3\cos(x\theta + y\beta_2 + \epsilon_3) + \epsilon_6\cosh(x\delta_3 + x\alpha_3 + y\beta_3 + \epsilon_3)}.
\]

(117)

If \(t_3 > t_1 \to \infty\), the the interaction between stripe and periodic wave solution \(u \to 2\alpha_3\) at any \(t\), but if \(t_3 < t_1 \to \infty\), the interaction between stripe and periodic wave solution \(u \to 2\alpha_1\) at any \(t\). Figure 10 shows the analysis of treatment of interaction of solutions as periodic and hyperbolic functions with graphs of \(\phi_9\) with the following selected parameters:
\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_1 &= -1.2, \delta_2 = 1, \delta_3 = 3, \alpha_3 = -0.5, \beta_2 \\
&= 1, \beta_3 = 1, h_2 = 2, h_3 = 3, \\
\epsilon_1 &= 1, \epsilon_2 = 0.1, \epsilon_3 = 3, \epsilon_4 \\
&= 4, \epsilon_5 = 5, \epsilon_6 = 4, t = 1,
\end{align*}
\]

in equation (117).

7. Conclusion

This article investigated the soliton and periodic solutions of the generalized Hietarinta equation. The Cole–Hopf algorithm has been described by means of binary Bell polynomials. The governing equation is translated to nonlinear ODE using Hirota transformation. Various types of soliton, breather, and periodic solutions have been constructed in terms of exponential, hyperbolic, trigonometric, and rational functions. The dynamic features of different types of traveling waves are analyzed in detail through numerical simulation. Meanwhile, the profiles of the surface for the deduced solutions have been depicted in 2D and 3D for the obtained solutions. The gained solutions may be applied to explain the model in simple and straightforward way. At the end, it is concluded that, to handle nonlinear partial differential equations, Hirota bilinear technique suggested an effective and well-built mathematical tools. These solutions are also verified by using Maple software.
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