Power Quality Issues of Induction Generators in Different Operating Modes
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Abstract  Fast wind energy developments and their integration in existing power network may result in power quality problems. Therefore, in this paper, an attempt has been made to tackle the major power quality issues related to induction generators operating as grid connected induction (GCIG) and self excited induction generator (SEIG). MATLAB SIMULINK models as developed for GCIG and SEIG operation are found to be useful to analyse these effects. Simulated results as obtained on these induction generators have been used to identify the machine parameters affecting the power quality issues such as active & reactive power, total harmonic distortion etc. Further analysis of simulated results for two operating modes i.e. GCIG and SEIG seems to be useful for the design of such generators for overall power quality improvements.
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Nomenclature

Rᵣ = per unit rotor resistance
Rₛ = per unit stator resistance
Lₛ = per unit stator leakage inductance
Lᵣ = per unit rotor leakage inductance
Lₘ = per unit magnetizing inductance
THD(I) = total harmonics distortion of current
THD(V) = total harmonics distortion of voltage
f = frequency in Hz
P = active power in kW
Q = reactive power in kVAR
V = Voltage in volts
p = pole pairs

1. Introduction

Wind energy has been harnessed since the first wind mill was developed by the ancient Persians in 7th century AD. However it has been ignored as major source of electrical power for long time. In recent years wind power generation has experienced a very fast development in the whole world[1]. As the wind power share is rapidly increasing in grid, the influence of wind turbines & induction generators on power quality is becoming an important issue. It is observed that the power generated by wind turbine fluctuates much more than produced by conventional generators. The voltage and frequency variations becomes more predominant when it is operating in isolated mode i.e. SEIG. Singh et al[2] presented a review of grid code requirements for wind power interconnection in various countries. Grantham et al[3] observed that in case of SEIG operation the final steady-state self-excitation voltages and frequencies were found varying with the load demand and causing poor quality of supply. Melicio et al[4] presented the harmonic behaviour of currents injected in the electrical network by wind generation using fast Fourier transform technique. Yin Lee et al[5] given a case study choosing different connected location and installed capacity of wind generators and adopting fixed capacitors, SVC and STATCOM, respectively, for compensation to observe the influence on the power quality. The study was conducted using MATLAB/ SIMULINK as analysing tool. Sharma et al[6-10] in their papers reviewed various power quality issues and discussed power quality issues arising due to induction generators. Excessive reactive power import from the power network is found to be one of the reasons behind the power quality problems. Chicco et al[11] presented an experimental analysis of two Italian wind farms for power quality requirements in frequency and voltage variations, harmonics etc. in high voltage grids. Grunbaum[12] given some salient design features of SVC and STATCOM and highlighted three cases of application in distribution systems where Static Var Compensator (SVC) and STATCOM were found suitable devices for voltage stability and power quality improvement in grids connected with wind generation. Singh[13] presented an analysis of the three-phase self-excited induction generator with static compensator.
(STATCOM) as a voltage regulator. Current controlled voltage source inverter was used as STATCOM, to provide fast dynamic response to maintain constant voltage at SEIG terminals during severe load perturbations. It also acts as a source and sink of reactive power. Sharaf et al[14] presented a novel FACTS-modulated power filter compensator for voltage stabilization and power quality enhancement using a PWM switching dynamic tri-loop error driven PID controller. With such costly and complex controls, induction generators may loose their basic attraction of simple construction and cost. This may be avoided by proper design of such machines from power quality point of view.[15-17] are found to be helpful to develop the MATLAB Simulink models for present analysis.

This paper presents new proposals to improve the power quality by analysing the simulated results on induction generators. An attempt has been made to analyse the effect of some of the machine parameters effecting power quality issues for the machines operating in grid and isolated mode. Recommendations as proposed for the proper design of machine may be helpful to control the reactive and active power, thus resulting in to an overall improvement of power quality.

2. System Modelling

Capacitor model is shown in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b) [15] may be used at stator terminals of induction machine during self-excitation along with resistive load.

MATLAB/ SIMULINK schematic models as developed for the analysis of grid connected machines and for self excited mode are shown in fig 2 & 3 Power quality issues which are considered during the analysis are listed as:

- Voltage related
- Frequency Related
- Harmonics
- Transients
- Active power
- Reactive power

Three machines[Appendix –I] with different power rating have been selected for the simulation purposes. The behaviour of induction machine may be described in any frame of reference. The voltage equations for each of these reference frames may be obtained from the voltage equations in arbitrary reference frame by assigning the appropriate speed to ω. That is ω=0 for stationary, ω=ωr for the rotor and ω = ωe for synchronously reference frame. Machine may be modelled[16&17] using following equation in arbitrary reference frame:

Voltage equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_{ds} &= \frac{p}{\omega_b} \psi_{ds} - \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{qs} + R_s i_{ds} \\
v_{qs} &= \frac{p}{\omega_b} \psi_{qs} + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{ds} + R_s i_{qs}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
v_{dr} &= \frac{p}{\omega_b} \psi_{dr} - \left(\frac{\omega - \omega_r}{\omega_b}\right) \psi_{qr} + R_r i_{dr} \\
v_{qr} &= \frac{p}{\omega_b} \psi_{qr} + \left(\frac{\omega - \omega_r}{\omega_b}\right) \psi_{dr} + R_r i_{qr}
\end{align*}
\]

Flux linkage equations:

Equations in terms of flux linkages per sec and reactances at base frequency are described as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi_{ds} \\
\psi_{qs} \\
\psi_{dr} \\
\psi_{qr}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
X_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & X_m & 0 & 0 \\
X_m & 0 & X_r & 0 \\
0 & X_m & 0 & X_r
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
i_{ds} \\
i_{qs} \\
i_{dr} \\
i_{qr}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where Xs, Xm and Xr stand for stator reactance and referred rotor reactance respectively.

Torque equations:

\[
T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{p}{2 \omega_r} \left[ \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \left( \psi_{ds} i_{ds} - \psi_{qs} i_{qs} \right) + \frac{\omega - \omega_r}{\omega_b} \left( \psi_{dr} i_{dr} - \psi_{qr} i_{qr} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{2} \frac{p}{2 \omega_b} \left( \psi_{ds} i_{ds} - \psi_{qs} i_{qs} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{2} \frac{p}{2 \omega_b} \left( \psi_{dr} i_{dr} - \psi_{qr} i_{qr} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{2} \frac{p}{2 \omega_b} \left( \psi_{ds} i_{qs} - \psi_{qs} i_{ds} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{2} \frac{p}{2 \omega_b} \left( \psi_{dr} i_{qr} - \psi_{qr} i_{dr} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{2} \frac{p}{2 \omega_b} \left( \psi_{ds} i_{qs} - \psi_{qs} i_{ds} \right)
\]
Figure 2. SIMULINK model of induction generator operating in grid connected mode

Figure 3. SIMULINK model of induction generator operating in self excited mode
3. Simulated Results

3.1. Grid Connected Operation

As induction generator is connected to a strong grid hence no variations / fluctuations are observed in voltage and frequency. Fig 4 to 17 shows the effect of machine parameters as observed on the power quality issues listed in section 2.

The effect of rotor resistance on active power for different values of speed is shown in Fig 4 to Fig 6. Active power output decreases with an increase in rotor resistance and maximum variation is observed for low value of rotor resistances it becomes almost constant for higher value of rotor resistances. Further nature of variations is found to be independent of the ratings of the machines and the operating speeds.

From the analysis of Fig 4 to 6 it is recommended to design GCIG with low values of rotor resistance irrespective of the size of the machine.

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**Figure 4.** Effect of Rotor resistance (pu) on Active power (pu), wind speed 1.01pu

![Figure 5](image2.png)

**Figure 5.** Effect of Rotor resistance (pu) on Active power (pu), wind speed 1.03pu

![Figure 6](image3.png)

**Figure 6.** Effect of Rotor resistance (pu) on Active power (pu), wind speed 1.04pu

The response of active power with any change in stator inductance at different speeds is shown in Fig 7 to Fig 10. It is observed that with the increase in $L_s$ real power output is found decreasing. Nature of variations is found to be dependent upon the operating speeds and size of the machine.

Analysis of Fig 7 to Fig 10 gives the effect of variations in stator inductance on active power as;

![Figure 7](image4.png)

**Figure 7.** Effect of Stator leakage inductance (pu) on Active power (pu), wind speed 1.01pu

![Figure 8](image5.png)

**Figure 8.** Effect of Stator leakage inductance (pu) on Active power (pu), wind speed 1.02pu
There is hardly any effect on active power in case of low rating machines. It is significant for large rating machines.

Low value of stator leakage reactance is desirable to deliver maximum power output in case of large machines.

The variations in active power at different speeds with change in stator resistance are shown in Fig 11 to Fig 12. Decrease in real power is observed with an increase in the value of $R_s$ due to additional power loss at any operating speed. Therefore machine is to be designed with low value of stator resistance.

Magnetizing reactance “$L_m$” is found to be the most effective parameter effecting the reactive power consumption of GCIG. The effect of $L_m$ on reactive power is shown in Fig 13 to 16.

It is observed that that with increase in $L_m$ there is reduction in reactive power requirement of the machine. This variation is due to the shifting of operating point on the magnetization curve of induction generator due to any change in $L_m$. Therefore the value of $L_m$ should be high which indicates the low saturation level in the magnetic parts of the machine.

Rotor resistance is found to be effective to control the total harmonic distortion THD (I).
Figure 15. Effect of Magnetizing Inductance (pu) on reactive power requirement (pu), wind speed of 1.02 pu

Figure 16. Effect of Magnetizing Inductance (pu) on reactive power requirement (pu), wind speed of 1.02 pu

Figure 17 and Fig 18 shows its variation in respective phases with rotor resistance for different operating speeds.

From the figures for any operating speed it is observed that

● THD (I) increases with increase in rotor resistance for every machine.
● Change is more effective in bigger machines in contrast to medium and small rating machines.

Figure 17. Effect of Rotor resistance (pu) on % THD (I), wind speed of 1.01 pu

Figure 18. Effect of Rotor resistance (pu) on % THD (I), wind speed of 1.01 pu

Therefore in order to reduce THD (I) low value of rotor resistance is found to be desirable for wind energy conversion.

3.2. Self Excited Operation

As the induction generator is operating in SEIG mode the variations in terminal voltage and frequency are observed. Fig 19 to 35 shows simulated results on 160 kW machine to look into the effect of machine parameters on power quality issues. The effect of rotor resistance on active power for two different values of operating speed is shown in Fig 19. Active output power decreases with an increase in rotor resistance and it is observed that the drop is uniform. Therefore in order to deliver more output similar to GCIG here also low values of rotor resistance are desirable.

Figure 19. Effect of rotor resistance (pu) on active power, wind speed of 1.01 pu and 1.04 pu

The response of active power with any change in stator resistance, stator inductance and rotor inductance are shown in Fig 20-22
The stator resistance is required to be varied within the limits as increasing the stator resistance beyond certain limits the IG output active power drops sharply. Low values of stator and rotor leakage reactance are desirable to deliver maximum power output. With the increase in speed the active power output of the IG also increases.

The variation in reactive power demand of the SEIG with machine parameters is shown in Fig 23-26.

- **Figure 20.** Effect of stator resistance (pu) on active power, wind speed of 1.01 pu and 1.04 pu
- **Figure 21.** Effect of stator leakage inductance $L_s$ (pu) on active power, wind speed of 1.01 pu and 1.04 pu
- **Figure 22.** Effect of rotor leakage inductance $L_r$ (pu) on active power, wind speeds of 1.01 pu and 1.04 pu
- **Figure 23.** Effect of rotor resistance (pu) on reactive power requirement, wind speeds of 1.01 pu and 1.04 pu
- **Figure 24.** Effect of stator resistance (pu) on reactive power requirement, wind speed of 1.01 pu and 1.04 pu
- **Figure 25.** Effect of stator leakage inductance $L_s$ (pu) on reactive power, wind speed of 1.01 pu and 1.04 pu
The reactive power requirement of SEIG is decreases with increase in rotor resistance, stator resistance, stator leakage reactance and rotor leakage reactance. The effects are more predominant than GCIG as in this case there is no grid present to maintain the voltage and to supply reactive power.

For a fixed value of excitation capacitor, its reactive power requirement suddenly falls to a lower level due to sudden fall in terminal voltage.

Similarly Fig 31 shows the effect of rotor resistance on generated frequency. It falls with the increase in rotor resistance. All other parameters have not shown any significant effect on the frequency of generated voltage.
All the parameters except magnetizing inductance are found to be effective to control the total harmonics distortion in voltage and current THD (I) & (V).

Fig 32 shows total harmonics distortion (current & Voltage) in three phases at operating speed 1.01(pu). It is clear that harmonics distortion is increasing with increase in per unit rotor resistance.

Fig 33 shows the effect of variation of stator resistance on THD (I) & THD (V). Lower range of stator resistance is preferred to control THD in current and voltage wave forms.

The effect of Increase in \( L_r \) and \( L_s \) on THD (I) & (V) is recorded and shown in fig 34 and fig 35. Low value of these parameters is favourable to reduce THD current & voltage.

4. Discussions on Results

Using the simulated results as shown in Fig 4 to fig 35 the following conclusions may be drawn:

I) Grid connected induction generators:

- For Injecting more active power to the grid machine may be designed with low value of rotor resistance but on the other hand it results into excessive reactive power demand. Therefore one machine may be opted as slip ring induction machine i.e. master controller for active and reactive power control.

- Any increase in stator resistance results into a drop in active power output of the machine due to increased power loss in the stator. Low value of stator and rotor leakage reactance is desirable to deliver maximum power output in case of large machines.

- Magnetizing reactance “\( L_m \)” is found to be the most effective parameter affecting the reactive power consumption of GCIG. Increase in \( L_m \) reduces the reactive power requirement of the machine. Therefore the value of \( L_m \) should be high which indicates the reduction in magnetizing current for the same value of air gap flux. This may be achieved during the selection of core material for the construction of the machine.

- \%THD (I) increases with increase in rotor resistance for every machine. Therefore in order to reduce \%THD (I) low value of rotor resistance is found to be desirable.

II) Self excited induction generators:

- Active output power decreases with an increase in rotor resistance & stator resistance. Therefore in order to deliver more output similar to GCIG here also low values of rotor & stator resistance are desirable.

- Generated frequency is found to be dependent upon rotor resistance only. It is due to the reduction of speed of rotating air gap flux to meet the additional generation at constant speed operation.

- All the machine parameters are found more effective to control the power quality problems in SEIG in contrast to grid connected operation. The reactive power requirement of SEIG decreases with increase in rotor & stator resistance. For a fixed value of excitation capacitor, its reactive power requirement suddenly falls to a lower level due to sudden fall.
● Terminal voltage is found to be very sensitive to all machine parameters. Hence proper design of SEIG can lead to better voltage regulation.

● Rotor parameters are found to be most effective in controlling the %THD, its value increases with increase in Rr & Lr. Stator resistance effect on %THD is comparatively less.

5. Conclusions

As per discussions in section 4 it may be concluded that the machine parameters affect the power quality when induction generators are operating in grid connected or self excited modes. For better quality supply in terms of active power and THD most of the machine parameters (such as stator and rotor parameters) must be on the lower side for two operations i.e. grid and self excited. Whereas a high value of Lm i.e. magnetizing reactance is recommended for the reduction of magnetizing current or corresponding reactive power import from power network. As far as possible the core construction should be such that the operation is always near to knee point on the saturation curve of the machine i.e. in a low saturation zone. Proposals as made above may be useful to control the power quality issues by proper design of induction generators.

Appendix I:

Induction Generator no 1

Nominal power: 160kW
Voltage (line-to-line): 400 V
Frequency: 50 Hz
Stator resistance (pu): 0.01379
Rotor resistance (pu): 0.007728
Stator inductance (pu): 0.04775
Rotor inductance (pu): 0.04775
Magnetizing inductance (pu): 2.46
Pole pairs: 2

Induction Generator no 2

Nominal power: 7.5kW
Voltage (line-to-line): 400 V
Frequency: 50 Hz
Stator resistance (pu): 0.03461
Rotor resistance (pu): 0.03470
Stator inductance (pu): 0.04484
Rotor inductance (pu): 0.04484
Magnetizing inductance (pu): 1.89
Pole pairs: 2

Induction Generator no 3

Nominal power: 75kW
Voltage (line-to-line): 400 V
Frequency: 50 Hz
Stator resistance (pu): 0.01665
Rotor resistance (pu): 0.009804
Stator inductance (pu): 0.04933

Rotor inductance (pu): 0.04933
Magnetizing inductance (pu): 1.827
Pole pairs: 2
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