SQUARES IN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSION OVER NUMBER FIELDS

XAVIER XARLES

Abstract. We show that there exists an upper bound for the number of squares in arithmetic progression over a number field that depends only on the degree of the field. We show that this bound is 5 for quadratic fields, and also that the result generalizes to $k$-powers for $k > 1$.

In this note we are dealing with the following natural problem: Given a number field $K$, is there a maximum for the number of distinct elements $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ in $K$ such that $a_i^2 - a_{i-1}^2 = a_{i+1}^2 - a_i^2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$? We will prove that there is a bound for this maximum, and that this bound only depends on the degree of the field $K$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. In fact we will show that the same result is also valid for $k$-powers, now the bound depending also on $k$.

The problem has a long history for $K = \mathbb{Q}$. In a letter written to Frenicle in 1640, Fermat proposed the problem of proving that there are no four squares in arithmetic progression. Euler gave the first published proof of this result in 1780. In a different direction, in 1970 Szemerédi proved that there exists at most $o(N)$ squares in an arithmetic progression of length $N$, and this result was improved by Bombieri, Granville and Pintz in 1992 [BGP92] to $O(N^{2/3} (\log N)^A)$ for a suitable constant $A$ studying the arithmetic progressions that contain 5 squares, and by Bombieri and Zannier in 2002 in [BZ02] to $O(N^{3/5} (\log N)^A)$ for a suitable constant $A$ studying the ones that contain 4 squares.

The question for higher powers has also a long history. It is known that it does not exists a nontrivial three term arithmetic progression of $k$-th powers for $k \geq 3$. Observe that, when $k$ is odd, we do have non constant three term arithmetic progression of $k$-th powers, the ones of the form $-a^k$, 0 and $a^k$ for $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. In these cases, for non-trivial three term arithmetic progression we mean non constant and with $a_1 \neq 0$. The cases $k = 3$ and $k = 4$ are mentioned in Carmichael's 1908 book on diophantine equations. The cases $k = 5, \ldots, 31$ were done by Denes in 1952 [De52]. The cases that $k \geq 17$ is a prime number congruent to 1 modulo 4 where done by Ribet [Ri97], and the rest of the cases by Darmon and Merel in 1997 [DM97].

The problem is related to some concrete curves having only trivial rational points (trivial in some sense). The rational points of this curves determine

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11G30, 14H25, 11B25, 11D45.

Key words and phrases. arithmetic progression, uniform bounds, gonality.

partially supported by the grant MTM2006–11391.
arithmetic progressions having squares at the first $n$ terms, and the trivial points correspond to the constant arithmetic progression.

For example, four consecutive squares in an arithmetic progression give a rational point in an elliptic curve, that one can show has only 8 solutions, all coming from the constant arithmetic progression.

The main result of this note is the following theorem.

**Main result.** For any $d \geq 1$, there exists a constant $S(d)$ depending only on $d$ such that, if $K/\mathbb{Q}$ verifies that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ and $a_i := a + ir$ is an arithmetic progression with $a$ and $r \in K$, and $a_i$ are squares in $K$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, S(d)$, then $r = 0$ (i.e. $a_i$ is constant).

Furthermore, if $d = 2$, then $S(2) = 6$.

In some forthcoming papers it is studied over which quadratic fields we have fourth squares in arithmetic progression (by E. González-Jiménez and J. Steuding [GJS09]), and five squares in arithmetic progression (by E. González-Jiménez and X. Xarles [GX09]).

This note is organized as follows. In the first section we translated the problem to some problem concerning the determination of all the rational points of some algebraic curves $C_n$, and we prove some preliminary results. The second section we give a lower bound for the gonality of these curves, which we use in section 3 in order to obtain the existence of the constant $S(d)$. In section 4 we investigate the value $S(2)$, proving some results concerning the rational points of $C_4$ and $C_5$ over quadratic fields. Finally, in the last section we show how to proof the result on $k$-powers, and we comment some generalizations of the problem.

This paper had its origin in a question asked by Ignacio Larrosa Cañestro, which I could not answer satisfactorily (see the last section). I thank him, and also Adolfo Quirós, Enric Nart, Joaquim Roé, Javier Cilleruelo and Henry Darmon for some conversations and comments on the subject. I especially thank Andrew Granville and Qing Liu for some fruitful comments and for giving me some useful references and Enrique González Jiménez for some comments and corrections.

1. **Translation to algebraic curves**

   We say that some elements $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ on a field $K$ are in arithmetic progression if there exists $a$ and $r$ elements of $K$, $a \cdot r \neq 0$, such that $a_i = a + ir$ for any $i = 0, \ldots, n$. This is equivalent, of course, of having $a_i - a_{i-1} = r$ fixed for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

   First of all, observe that, in order to study squares in arithmetic progressions, we can and will identify the arithmetic progressions $\{a_i\}$ and $\{a_i'\}$ such that there exists a $c \in K^*$ with $a_i' = c^2 a_i$ for any $i$. In case that $K = \mathbb{Q}$, we can suppose then that $a$ and $r$ are coprime integers.
Now, suppose that there exists three squares $x_0^2$, $x_1^2$ and $x_2^2$ in arithmetic progression over $K$. This means that

$$x_1^2 - x_0^2 = x_2^2 - x_1^2$$

and so $(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ is a solution of the equation

$$f(X_0, X_1, X_2) := X_0^2 - 2X_1^2 + X_2^2 = 0.$$ 

We are not interested in the trivial solution $(0, 0, 0)$, and solutions that are equal up to multiplication by an element in $K^*$ (in fact, in $(K^*)^2$) we consider them equal. So we will work with solutions of the projective curve $f(X_0, X_1, X_2)$ in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Similarly, in order to consider $n + 1$ squares in arithmetic progression, with $n \geq 2$, we will take the curve $C_n$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ determined by the $n - 1$ equations

$$f(X_i, X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \ldots, n - 2.$$ 

The assignment of the arithmetic progression corresponding to any point induces the following map $\varphi_n : C_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $\varphi_n(X_0, \ldots, X_n) = [X_0^2 : X_i^2 - X_0^2]$.

The curve $C_n$ is a non-singular projective curve over any field $K$ of characteristic bigger that $n$, as we will prove in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let $n \geq 1$ and let $K$ be any field, $p = \text{char}(K)$, with $p > n$ or $p = 0$. Then the curve $C_n$ is a non-singular projective curve of genus $g_n := (n - 3)2^{n-2} + 1$.

Moreover, let $\varphi_n : C_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $\varphi_n(X_0, \ldots, X_n) = [X_0^2 : X_i^2 - X_0^2]$. Then $\varphi_n$ has degree $2^n$, and it is ramified at the points above $[i : 1]$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$.

**Proof.** We use first the Jacobian criterium in order to show non singularity. The Jacobian matrix of the system of equations defining $C_n$ is

$$A := \left( \frac{\partial f(X_i, X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}) / \partial X_j}{0 \leq i \leq n-2, 0 \leq j \leq n} \right).$$

For any $j_1 < j_2$, denote by $A_{j_1, j_2}$ the matrix obtained by $A$ by deleting the columns $j_1$ and $j_2$; it is an square matrix of size $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$. It is easily shown that its determinant verifies that

$$|A_{j_1, j_2}| = \pm 2^{n-1} \left( \prod_{i \neq j_1, j_2} X_i \right) (j_2 - j_1).$$

We want to show that, for any $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in C(K)$, there exists $\{j_1, j_2\}$ such that $|A_{j_1, j_2}|(x_0 : \cdots : x_n) \neq 0$.

The first crucial observation is that any point $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in C(K)$ can have at most one $i = 1, \ldots, n$ such that $x_i = 0$. If the characteristic of the field is zero this is clear. If the characteristic is $p$, suppose that $x_i = x_j = 0$ with $i < j$. Since $x_i^2 = a + ir$ for certain $a$ and $r \in K$, we will have that $(i-j)r = 0$, so $r = 0$, which implies $a = 0$, which is not possible, or $i-j = 0$ in $K$, so $i + kp = j$ for certain $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which again is not possible if $p > n$. 
So, if \( p > n \) or \( p = 0 \), for any point \([x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in C(K)\), if all \( x_i \) are different from 0 then \(|A_{j_1,j_2}| \neq 0 \ \forall j_1 \neq j_2\), and if \( x_i = 0 \), then \(|A_{i,j_1}| \neq 0 \ \forall j_1 \neq i\), hence the rank of \( A \) is \( n - 1 \).

The genus of the curve \( C_n \) can be computed by induction on \( n \) applying the Hurwitz formulae to the natural forgetful cover of degree 2

\[
C_n \to C_{n-1},
\]

which is ramified on the 2 points with \( x_n = 0 \). Or can be computed by using the map \( \varphi_n : C_n \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) which has degree \( 2^n \). The ramification points are the points \([x_0 : \cdots : x_n]\) such that there exists some \( i \) with \( x_i = 0 \). Such points have image by \( \varphi_n \) equal to \([i : 1]\), and have ramification index equal to 2. \( \Box \)

We will call the \( 2^n \) points \([\pm 1 : \cdots : \pm 1]\) the trivial points. They correspond to the points \( P \) such that \( \varphi_n(P) = [0 : 1] = \infty \), so giving the constant arithmetic progression. So the first aim of this note is to prove that for \( n \) sufficiently large with respect to \( d \), they are the only \( K \)-rational points for any extension \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) of degree \( d \).

Firstly, one can easily prove the existence of such a bound but depending on the field \( K \). Observe that, if \( n > 3 \), then the genus is bigger than 1, so, by Faltings’ Theorem (previously known as the Mordell Conjecture), for any number field \( K \) and \( n > 3 \), \( C_n(K) \) is finite. One can prove even more.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) be a finite extension. Then there exists a constant \( n_K \) such that \( C_{n_K}(K) \) has only the trivial points.

**Proof.** Consider first the finite set of points in \( \varphi_4(C_4(K)) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K) \). We want to show that \( \varphi_n(C_n(K)) \subset \varphi_4(C_4(K)) \) is equal to \( \{\infty\} \) for \( n \) sufficiently large. This is equivalent to show that for any \( P := [a : r] \in \varphi_4(C_4(K)) \) not equal to \( \infty \), there exists some \( n_P \) such that \( P \) is not in \( \varphi_n(C_n(K)) \). But this is obvious from the following sublemma. \( \Box \)

**Sublemma 3.** Let \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) be a finite extension, and let \{\( a_i \)\} a non-constant arithmetic progression. Then there exists some \( n \) such that \( a_n \) is not a square in \( K \).

**Proof.** We can reduce to the case that all \( a_n \) are in the ring of integers in \( K \). Consider a prime ideal \( \mathfrak{p} \) in the ring of integers of \( K \) with residue field a prime field \( \mathbb{F}_p \), \( p > 2 \), and such that the sequence \{\( \tilde{a}_i \)\}, reduction modulo \( \mathfrak{p} \) of \{\( a_i \)\}, is not constant. Then the sequence \{\( \tilde{a}_i \)\} can have only \((p+1)/2\) consecutive squares, and hence also \{\( a_i \)\}. So there exists some \( n \leq (p+3)/2 \) such that \( a_n \) is not a square in \( K \). \( \Box \)

**Remark 4.** The constant \( n_K \) in the lemma is not constructive since it depends on being able to find all the \( K \)-rational points of \( C_n \) for some \( n > 3 \).

So we have proved that there exists a bound depending only on the field \( K \). In order to show that one can find a bound depending only on the degree of the field, we will apply a criterium of Frey that is a consequence
of Faltings’ Theorem. To do this we need to give a lower bound for the
gonality of the curves $C_n$.

2. The gonality of $C_n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Recall that the gonality $\gamma(C_K)$ of a curve $C$ over a field $K$ is the minimum
$m$ such that there exists a morphism $\phi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $m$ defined over $K$.
For example, hyperelliptic curves have gonality 2. The aim of this section is to give a lower bound for the
gonality $\gamma_n$ of $C_n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

From the forgetful map $C_n \to C_{n-1}$, and using that $C_0$ has genus 0, and
hence it has gonality 1, we get the upper bound $2^{n-2} \geq \gamma_n$. In order to get
a lower bound, we will use the following result which is well known for the
experts (see, for example, Proposition 3 in [Fre94]).

**Proposition 5.** Let $C$ be a curve over a number field, and let $\wp$ be a prime
of good reduction of the curve, with residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Denote also by $C'$ the
reduction of the curve $C$ modulo $\wp$. Then the gonality $\gamma$ of $C$ verifies that
$$\gamma \geq \#C'(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$$
for any $n \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Suppose there is a map $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ defined over $K$ of degree $\gamma$.
First, we want to show that there is a morphism $f' : C' \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree
$\gamma' \leq \gamma$. This result is well-known, and can be deduced from results by
Abhyankar (see for example [Na67]), and also from Deuring [Deu42] or from
Lemma 5.1 in [NS99], but we write a short proof for completion.

I learned these proof form Q. Liu. By applying Lemma 4.14 in [LL99],
with $X = C$, $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$, and $X'$ a smooth model of $X$, we get a model $Y'$
together with a rational map $X \to Y$ which is quasi-finite on the (good) reduction $C$
of $X$. Considering the image $D$ of $C'$ in the reduction of $Y$, then $D$ is rational
curve (because the reduction of $Y$ has arithmetical genus 0). The degree of
$C' \to D$ is less than the total degree $\gamma$. Now the normalization $D'$ of $D$ is
smooth rational and geometrically irreducible because $C'$ is geometrically
irreducible. Since the curve $C$ is a conic over a finite field, we get a rational
point on $D'$ (because of Waring’s result), hence $D' = \mathbb{P}^1$.

Consider this morphism $f' : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\gamma' \leq \gamma$.
Hence, at most $\gamma'$ elements in $C(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ can go to the same point in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$,
which have $q^n + 1$ points. So $(q^n + 1)\gamma \geq (q^n + 1)\gamma' \geq \#C(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$. □

**Corollary 6.** For any $n \geq 3$, let $\gamma_n$ be the gonality of $C_n$. Then $2^{n-2} \geq \gamma_n \geq 2^{n-1}/n$.

**Proof.** Let $p$ be a prime such that $2n > p > n$. Then $C_n$ has good reduction
over $p$, and its reduction is given by the same curve $C_n$. Consider the $2^n$
trivial points $\varphi_n^{-1}(\infty) \subset C_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. By applying the proposition we get that
$$\gamma \geq \frac{\#C_n(\mathbb{F}_p)}{p+1} \geq \frac{2^n}{p+1} \geq \frac{2^n}{2n}$$
by using that \( p \leq 2n - 1 \). □

Remark 7. The result in the corollary can be improved for some concrete values of \( n \) by considering the some prime such that \( p > n \) and some \( m \)
(usually \( m = 1 \) or \( 2 \)), and consider also all the points in \( C_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}) \). On the other hand, one can also use some results concerning the gonality over \( \mathbb{C} \), and using that \( \gamma(C_{\mathbb{Q}}) \geq \gamma(C_{\mathbb{C}}) \).

3. Proof of the main theorem, first part

First of all, observe that in order to show the existence of a constant \( S(d) \) such that for any degree \( d \) extension \( K/\mathbb{Q} \), the only arithmetic progressions with \( S(n) \) consecutive squares is the constant ones, we only need to show that the existence of such a constant such that \( C_n(K) \) contains only the trivial points for \( n = S(d) \).

We will use the following criterion of Frey [Frey94], proved also by Abramovich in his thesis.

**Theorem 8 ((Frey)).** Let \( C \) a curve over a number field \( K \), with gonality \( \gamma > 1 \) over \( K \). Fix an algebraic closure \( \overline{K} \) of \( K \) and consider the points of degree \( d \) of \( C \),

\[
C^d(K) := \bigcup_{[L:K] \leq d} C(L) \subset C(\overline{K})
\]

where the union is over all the finite extensions of \( K \) inside \( \overline{K} \) of degree \( \leq d \). Suppose that \( 2d < \gamma \). Then \( C^d(K) \) is finite.

Hence, by proposition 6 we get that, if \( 2d < 2^{n-1}/n < \gamma_n \), then there exists a finite number of points in \( C^d_n(\mathbb{Q}) \). So, there exists only a finite number of extensions \( K_i/\mathbb{Q} \) of degree \( d \) such that \( C(K_i) \) contains some non trivial point, and for any other \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) of degree \( d \), \( C(K) \) contains only the trivial points.

For any such extension, we apply now the lemma 2 so there exists some constant \( n_{K_i} \) such that \( C_{n_{K_i}}(K) \) contains only the trivial points. Hence, considering \( S(d) := \max_i \{ n_{K_i} \} \) we get the result.

Remark 9. The constant \( S(d) \) as defined above is not explicit since it depends on Faltings’ theorem twice, one for the construction of the extensions \( K_i \), and, second, in the computation of the constants \( n_{K_i} \), as remarked before.

However, one could guess that the constant \( S(d) \) will not be much bigger than the one verifying \( d < 2^{S(d) - 2}/S(d) \), so the correct value of \( S(d) \) should be \( O(\log(d)) \).

4. Proof of main theorem, second part: the case \( d = 2 \)

In this section we want to calculate explicitly the constant \( S(2) \). Observe that we do have 5 squares in arithmetic progression over quadratic fields, for example

\[
7^2 = 49, 13^2 = 169, 17^2 = 289, 409, 23^2 = 529
\]
over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{409}) \), so \( S(2) > 5 \). In fact, we have an infinite number of examples of such progressions, even we do have only a finite number over any fixed field.

**Lemma 10.** There is an infinite number of different quadratic extensions \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) such that \( C_4(K) \) contains non trivial points.

**Proof.** Consider the curve parametrizing the arithmetic progressions \( a_i \) such that \( a_i \) is an square for \( i = 0, 1, 2, 4 \). This curve is a genus 1 curve given by equations

\[
X_0^2 - 2X_1^2 + X_2^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 3X_0^2 - 4X_1^2 + X_4^2 = 0.
\]

Since it has a (trivial) point, it is isomorphic to an elliptic curve, that can be given by the Weierstrass equation

\[
y^2 = x(x + 2)(x + 6)
\]

One shows by standard methods that this curve has an infinite number of rational points, and in fact \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \), being \((2,8)\) a generator of the torsion free part.

Now, for any point \( P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \), we consider the associated progression \( a_0 := x_0^2, a_1 := x_1^2 \), and so on. By considering the field \( K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_3}) \), we get that in \( K \) the arithmetic progression \( a_i \) has 5 consecutive squares. Equivalently, consider the degree 2 map \( \psi : C_4 \to E \), and the points \( Q \in C_4(K) \) such that \( \psi(Q) = P \). Since by Faltings theorem there are only a finite number of points in \( C_4(K) \), and we have a infinitely many points in \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \), we get infinitely many such fields \( K \).

In a forthcoming paper [GX09], we study over which quadratic fields one has 5 squares in arithmetic progression, an we get, for example, that \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{409}) \) is the smallest (in terms of the discriminant) of such fields.

Observe that the gonality \( \gamma \) of \( C_5 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is bounded below by \( 2^4/5 \) by using corollary 6, but in fact one can show the gonality is strictly bigger that 4. So by Frey result, Theorem 8 we get that there is only a finite number of quadratic fields having non trivial points. We will show that in fact there is none.

In order to show this, we will study in more detail the case of 5 squares. We will prove that, if \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) is a quadratic extension and \( P \in C_4(K) \), then \( \phi(P) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \), hence the progression is defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (as they are all the ones obtained from the lemma 10). This result will imply that \( C_5(K) \) contain only the trivial points.

To show the result on \( C_4 \) we need first to study how are the points of \( C_3(\mathbb{Q}) \) and \( C_3(K) \) for \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) of degree 2. Observe that \( C_3 \) is isomorphic to an elliptic curve once fixed a rational point, and we will take always \([1 : 1 : 1 : 1] \). We get then a group operation \( \oplus \) on \( C_3 \). The following lemma describes some easy cases.

**Lemma 11.** For any field \( K \), consider \( P := [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \in C_3(K) \), and let \( Q = [\pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1] \) be any trivial point. Then \( \oplus P = [x_3 : x_2 : \)
where the Case 1 is when the number of \(-1\) in \(Q\) is even, and the signs of the \(x_i\)’s are the same that the signs of the corresponding coordinate of \(Q\); and the Case 2 is when the number of \(-1\) in \(Q\) is odd, with the same rule for the signs. Moreover, in the case 1 the point \(Q\) has order 2, and in Case 2 has order 4.

**Proof.** This is elementary, and we will show only some cases. Moreover, it can be shown using two distinct strategies.

The first one is to work out the formulae for the addition on an elliptic curve given as intersection of two quadrics in \(\mathbb{P}^3\), together with a rational point \(O\). We explain the general procedure. Consider the plane that passes through \(O\) with multiplicity three or four; there is only one, which is called the osculating plane. This plane intersects the curve in another point \(O’\), the osculation point, that is the same \(O\) if the multiplicity of intersection is equal to four. In order to compute the sum of two points \(P_1\) and \(P_2\), consider the plane that passes through \(P_1\), \(P_2\) and \(O’\) (in case \(P_1 = P_2\), it should cut the curve in \(P_1\) with multiplicity two). Denote the fourth intersection point by \(P_3\). Finally, consider the plane that passes through \(O\), \(O’\) and \(P_3\). The other intersection point is the point \(P_3\). Observe that what this procedure states is that three (different) points \(P_1\), \(P_2\) and \(P_3\) add to 0 if and only if all three together with \(O’\) are coplanar. If we want to compute \(-P\) for a given point \(P\), we consider the plane that passes through \(P\), \(O\) and \(O’\); the fourth intersection point is \(-P\), as is easily seen from the procedure for the sum.

In our case, \(O = [1 : 1 : 1 : 1]\), the osculating plane is given by the equation \(-X_0 + 3X_1 - 3X_2 + X_3\) and the osculating point by \(O’ := [1 : -1 : -1 : 1]\). Then the symmetric of a point \(P = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3]\) is \(\ominus P = [x_3 : x_2 : x_1 : x_0]\), since \(P, \ominus P, O\) and \(O’\) are coplanar.

Consider now the point \(Q = [-1 : 1 : 1 : 1]\). For any given point \(P = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3]\), let \(P’ := [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : -x_3]\). Then \(P, P’, O’\) and \(Q\) are coplanar, so \(P \oplus Q \oplus P’ = 0\). This means that \(P \oplus Q = -P’ = [-x_3 : x_2 : x_1 : x_0]\).

Using the same argument one shows that

\[
\begin{align*}
P \oplus [-1 : 1 : 1 : 1] &= [-x_3 : x_2 : x_1 : x_0], \\
P \oplus [1 : -1 : 1 : 1] &= [x_3 : -x_2 : x_1 : x_0], \\
P \oplus [1 : 1 : -1 : 1] &= [x_3 : x_2 : -x_1 : x_0],
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
P \oplus [1 : 1 : 1 : -1] = [x_3 : -x_2 : x_1 : -x_0].
\]

The other cases are easily obtained from these. For example, one has that

\[
[-1 : 1 : 1 : 1] \oplus [1 : -1 : 1 : 1] = [-1 : 1 : -1 : 1],
\]

so

\[
P \oplus [-1 : 1 : -1 : 1] = P \oplus [-1 : 1 : 1 : 1] \oplus [1 : -1 : 1 : 1] =
\]
Observe that the operation \( \oplus [-1 : 1 : 1 : 1] \) has order 4, hence the point \([-1 : 1 : 1 : 1]\) has order 4. One gets that a trivial point has order 2 if it has an even number of \(-1\), and order 4 otherwise. Observe that \(Q + Q = [-1 : 1 : 1 : -1]\) in all order 4 cases.

The second approach uses the following observation: since \(C_3\) has no CM (a fact that can be shown just by having a non integral \(j\)-invariant, which is \(2^4 13^3/3^2\)), the only automorphisms of order 2 of \(C_3\) (as a genus 1 curve) which fix the 0 are the identity automorphism and negation, and the other automorphisms of order 2 are the translations by a 2 torsion point and negation followed by translations. We get then that

\[
[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \mapsto [x_3 : x_2 : x_1 : x_0]
\]

is the negation, since it fixes \(O\) and its not the identity. Once we know this, we get that \(\ominus Q = Q\) if and only if \(Q\) is a trivial point with an even number of \(-1\), hence in this case \(Q\) has order 2. But

\[
[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \mapsto [\pm x_0 : \pm x_1 : \pm x_2 : \pm x_3]
\]

has order 2, and imposing that \(O + Q = Q\) and \(Q + Q = Q\), we get the correct choice of signs, solving the Case 1. Now, for the case 2, consider first the point \(Q = [-1 : 1 : 1 : 1]\). One has that \(\ominus Q = \ominus [-1 : 1 : 1 : 1] = [1 : 1 : 1 : -1]\), and that \([-1 : 1 : 1 : 1] \oplus [1 : -1 : -1 : 1] = [1 : 1 : 1 : -1]\), so \(2 \ast [-1 : 1 : 1 : 1] \oplus [1 : -1 : -1 : 1]\) and hence \(Q\) has order 4. From this fact one easily deduce that all the other 2 points have order 4. The formulae are then easily obtained once we know it for some specific \(P\).

Now we need to recall the classical result of Fermat, concerning squares in arithmetic progressions over \(Q\), together with some other cases.

**Lemma 12.** Let \(F\) be one of the following genus 1 curves, given as intersection of two quadrics in \(\mathbb{P}^3\):

\[
C_3 : X_0^2 - 2X_1^2 + X_2^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X_1^2 - 2X_2^2 + X_3^2 = 0,
\]

\[
F_1 : 2X_0^2 - 3X_1^2 + X_2^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X_1^2 - 3X_2^2 + 2X_3^2 = 0,
\]

\[
F_2 : X_0^2 - 3X_1^2 + 2X_2^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2X_1^2 - 3X_2^2 + X_3^2 = 0,
\]

Then \(F(Q) = \{ \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1\}\).

As a consequence, if \(\{a_i\}\) is an arithmetic progression over \(Q\) such that \(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3\) are squares, or \(a_0, a_1, a_3\) and \(a_4\) are squares, or \(a_0, a_2, a_3\) and \(a_5\) are squares, then \(\{a_i\}\) is constant.

**Proof.** We consider all the cases at the same time. Being \(F\) a genus one curve with some rational point, for example \([1,1,1,1]\), \(F\) is isomorphic to its jacobian. One shows by standard methods that their jacobian are the elliptic curves given respectively by the Weierstrass equations \(y^2 = x(x-1)(x+3)\), \(y^2 = x(x-1)(x+8)\) and \(y^2 = x(x-4)(x+5)\). These elliptic curves have only a 8 rational points, as proved by standard descent methods. Hence \(F(Q)\) has only 8 points, which must be \([\pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1]\).
The assertion about the arithmetic progressions is easy now. The first case we already considered, so we consider the second one. Suppose \( a_0 = x_0^2, a_1 = x_1^2, a_3 = x_3^2 \) and \( a_4 = x_4^2 \) are squares. Then \( 2(x_1^2 - x_0^2) = x_2^2 - x_1^2 = 2(x_3^2 - x_2^2) \), getting the two equations defining \( F_1 \). Since the only rational solutions of \( F_1 \) correspond to having \( a_i = 1 \) for all \( i \), the progression is constant. The case of \( F_2 \) is similar. □

**Corollary 13.** Let \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) a degree 2 extension, and let \( \sigma \) the generator of the Galois group. Let \( P = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \in C_3(K) \) be a non trivial point. Then

\[
P^\sigma := [\sigma(x_0) : \sigma(x_1) : \sigma(x_2) : \sigma(x_3)] = \begin{cases} 
\text{Case 1:} & [\pm x_3 : \pm x_2 : \pm x_1 : \pm x_0] \\
\text{Case 2:} & [\pm x_0 : \pm x_1 : \pm x_2 : \pm x_3].
\end{cases}
\]

Furthermore, in the case 2, \( \phi_3(P) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( P = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \in C_3(K) \), and consider \( Q := P \oplus P^\sigma \). Since \( Q^\sigma = Q, Q \in C_3(\mathbb{Q}) \), so \( Q = [\pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1] \). Hence \( P \oplus Q = \oplus P^\sigma \), and by the lemma above we have that either \( P \oplus Q = [\pm x_0 : \pm x_1 : \pm x_2 : \pm x_3] \) in the case 1, hence \( P^\sigma = [\pm x_3 : \pm x_2 : \pm x_1 : \pm x_0] \), or \( P \oplus Q = [\pm x_3 : \pm x_2 : \pm x_1 : \pm x_0] \); hence \( P^\sigma = [\pm x_0 : \pm x_1 : \pm x_2 : \pm x_3] \). In this last case we have that \( \sigma(x_i^2) = x_i^2 \) (may be after rescaling the coordinates), and, therefore, the corresponding arithmetic progression is defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \). □

**Example 14.** We is easy to give examples of the case 2, for example the one given by \( x_0 = 1, x_1 = 5, x_2 = 7 \) and \( x_3 = \sqrt{61} \), in \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{61}) \). There are also examples of the case 1: take \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13}) \), and consider \( x_0 = 1, x_1 = 10 + 3\sqrt{13}, x_2 = 15 + 4\sqrt{13} \) and \( x_3 = 18 + 5\sqrt{13} \). Then \( P^\sigma = [-x_3 : x_2 : -x_1 : x_0] \).

Now we apply this results to study the curve \( C_4 \). There are two different forgetful maps from \( C_4 \) to \( C_3 \), forgetting the first term and forgetting the last term. We will use this assertion in order to show the following result.

**Proposition 15.** Let \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) a degree 2 extension, and let \( P \in C_4(K) \) be a non trivial point. Then \( \phi_4(P) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( P = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] \in C_4(K) \), that we can suppose with \( x_i \neq 0 \forall i = 0, \ldots, 4 \), and consider \( P_0 := [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \) and \( P_1 = [x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] \in C_3(K) \). Suppose that \( P_i \) for some \( i = 0, 1 \) is in case 2 of the previous corollary. Then, may be after rescaling the coordinates, we have that \( x_1^2, x_2^2 \) and \( x_3^2 \) are in \( \mathbb{Q} \), hence the arithmetic progression is defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), which is equivalent to \( \phi_4(P) \) being in \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \).

So we can suppose both \( P_i \) are in case 1. We can take then that \( x_2 = 1 \). Then there exists \( \lambda_0 \) and \( \lambda_1 \) in \( K \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( \sigma(x_0) = \pm \lambda_0 x_3, \sigma(x_1) = \pm \lambda_0 = \pm \lambda_1 x_4, 1 = \sigma(1) = \pm \lambda_0 x_1 = \pm \lambda_1 x_3, \sigma(x_3) = \pm \lambda_0 x_0 = \pm \lambda_1 \) and \( \sigma(x_4) = \pm \lambda_1 x_1 \). Hence we get that \( x_1 = \pm 1/\lambda_0, x_3 = \pm 1/\lambda_1, \sigma(x_0) = \pm \lambda_0/\lambda_1 \) and \( \sigma(x_4) = \pm \lambda_1/\lambda_0 \). Using now that the \( x_i \) belong to \( C_4 \) we get easily that \( \lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2 = 2 \) and \( 1/\lambda_0^2 + 1/\lambda_1^2 = 2 \). From these one easily deduce
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that $\lambda_i = \pm 1$ or $\pm 2$ for $i = 0$ and 1. But this implies that all the $x_i = \pm 1$, hence $P$ is already defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. □

The content of the last proposition is that all the rational points of $C_4$ defined over quadratic extensions are obtained taking square roots of some arithmetic progressions over $\mathbb{Q}$, and essentially with the method explained in the proof of lemma 10.

Now, we are going to use this result to show the non existence of 6 squares in arithmetic progressions over quadratic fields.

**Theorem 16.** Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ a degree 2 extension, and suppose $P \in C_5(K)$. Then $P = [\pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1]$.

**Proof.** Let $D$ a square free integer, and consider $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. Suppose we have a point $P = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5] \in C_5(K)$. By the previous proposition, we have that $x_i^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, 5$. So we can and will suppose that $x_i^2 = a_i := a + i \, r$ for some $a$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime integers. If all the $x_i$ are in $\mathbb{Q}$, then we are done by Fermat’s result. So suppose we have some $x_i \not\in \mathbb{Q}$, and hence $x_i^2 = Dy_i^2$ for some $y_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Observe first that no integer $D > 5$ can divide two of the $x_i^2$’s; since if a prime $p > 5$ divides $x_i^2 - x_j^2 = (i - j)r$, then it divides $(i - j) < 6$, which is not possible, or it divides $r$, and hence it divides $a = x_i^2 - i \, r$, which again is not possible being $a$ and $r$ coprime.

So, if $D > 5$, we must have that $x_i \mathbb{Q}$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, 5$, except may be for some $i = j$. If $j = 0, 1, 4$ or 5, then we will have 4 squares in arithmetic progression over $\mathbb{Q}$, so $x_i^2 = 1$ for all $i$ by Fermat (or lemma 12), giving $x_j^2 = 1$, contrary to the hypothesis. Now, if $j = 2$, then we will have $a_0$, $a_1$, $a_3$ and $a_4$ are squares over $\mathbb{Q}$, so again $x_i^2 = 1$ by lemma 12. The same argument shows the case $j = 3$.

Hence we are reduced to the cases $D = 2$, 3, 4 and 5. The case $D = 4$ is obviously trivial, being 4 an square. The case $D = 5$ is again easy, since if 5 divides two elements of the progression, they must be $a_0$ and $a_5$, and then $a_1, \ldots, a_4$ will be 4 squares in arithmetic progression over $\mathbb{Q}$. For the case $D = 3$, $D$ dividing two elements of the progression, we have that, or $a_0$, $a_1$, $a_3$ and $a_4$ are squares over $\mathbb{Q}$, a case that we already considered, or $a_0$, $a_2$, $a_3$ and $a_5$ are squares over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is the third case considered in lemma 12 or, finally, $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_4$ and $a_5$ are squares, which is equivalent to the first case.

So we are reduced to the case $D = 2$. First, suppose that $a_0 = 2y_0^2$, $a_2 = 2y_2^2$ and $a_3 = y_3^2$ for some $y_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then we have that $y_0^2 + y_2^2 = 3y_3^2$, which has no solutions over $\mathbb{Q}$. This implies that we cannot have that 2 divides $a_i$ and $a_{i+2}$ for any $i = 0, \ldots, 3$. Second, suppose we have $a_0 = 2y_0^2$, $a_1 = y_1^2$ and $a_3 = y_3^2$. Then we have $4y_0^2 + y_2^2 = 3y_3^2$, which again has no solutions over $\mathbb{Q}$. With these fact we solve the remaining cases, proving that 2 cannot divide two terms of the $a_i = x_i^2$. □
5. Some generalizations and conjectures

In order to compute explicitly the constant \( S(d) \) for \( d > 2 \) one cannot use the same argument we did in the last section. In fact, we do not even know if \( S(3) > 4 \), since we don’t know a way to produce 5-terms arithmetic progressions of squares over cubic fields. One possible idea could be to use the natural maps from \( C_n \) to sufficiently many elliptic curves with rank 0, and then showing that it is a formal immersion at \( p \) for some prime \( p > 2 \), as it is done (in a different context) in [Pre94]. But this result will not be sufficient to conclude, because this curves contain always non trivial points over finite fields with cardinal a power of \( p^2 \), since all the elements of \( \mathbb{F}_p \) are squares over such fields. We do not know any other general argument to show this type of results, which essentially are the computation of all the rational points in the symmetric product of some curve \( C \).

As we mention in the introduction, one can ask also for higher powers the same question we did for squares. And, in fact, the same type of arguments work for solving the existence of a uniform bound. So we get the following result.

**Theorem 17.** Given \( d \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq 2 \), there exists a constant \( S(d,k) \) depending only on \( d \) and \( k \) such that, if \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) verifies that \([K: \mathbb{Q}] = d \) and \( a_i := a + ir \) is an arithmetic progression with \( a \) and \( r \in K \), and \( a_i \) are \( k \)-powers in \( K \) for \( i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, S(d,k) \), then \( r = 0 \) (i.e. \( a_i \) is constant).

**Proof.** First, consider the case \( d = 1 \). In this case, as we mention in the introduction, it is known after the work of Denes [De52], Ribet [Ri97], and Darmon and Merel [DM97], that the only three term arithmetic progression of \( k \)-th powers for \( k \geq 3 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) are the constant ones if \( k \) is even, and the constant plus the ones of the form \(-a^k, 0 \) and \( a^k \) for \( a \in \mathbb{Q} \) if \( k \) is odd. Using these it is clear that there are no non constant fourth term arithmetic progression of \( k \)-th powers for \( k \) odd, and hence \( S(1,k) = 3 \) if \( k \) even and \( = 4 \) if \( k \) odd.

Now, fix \( k > 1 \) and \( d > 1 \). The assertion of the theorem is equivalent to showing that for \( n \) large enough in terms of \( d \), the only points of degree \( d \) of the curves \( C_{n,k} \) in \( \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Q}} \) determined by the \( n - 1 \) equations

\[
f_k(X_i, X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, \ldots, n - 2,
\]

where \( f_k(X, Y, Z) := X^k - 2Y^k + Z^k \) are the trivial points \([\pm 1 : \pm 1 : \cdots : \pm 1]\) if \( k \) is even, or \([1 : 1 : \cdots : 1]\) is \( k \) is odd. The same arguments used to show lemma 1 shows that \( C_{n,k} \) has good reduction at any prime \( p > n \) and not dividing \( k \). Using the same argument than in section 3, we only need to show that the gonality of the curves \( C_{n,k} \) tends to infinity when \( n \) goes to infinity, so Theorem 8 applies again.

If \( k \) is even, the exact same argument than in corollary 6 concerning the lower bound of the gonality applies if \( n > k \) (in order to avoid the primes \( p \) dividing \( k \), so we get the result.
If \( k \) is odd, the curve \( C_{n,k} \) contains only one trivial point in the reduction, unless the field \( \mathbb{F}_p \) contains some \( k \)-roots of unity. In order to have these, we consider primes \( p \) of good reduction such that \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \). In this case we have that \( C_{n,k}(\mathbb{F}_p) \) contains \( k^n \) points. Now, we apply a well-known consequence of Dirichlet’s theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions asserting that there exists a constant \( c(k) \) depending on \( k \) such that, for any \( n > c(k) \), there exists a prime \( p \) verifying that \( n < p < 2n \) and \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \).

Now the argument works as follows: choose an \( n > c(k) \) and a prime \( n < p < 2n \) with \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \). Then the gonality \( \gamma_{n,k} \) of \( C_{n,k} \) verifies, by proposition 5, that

\[
\gamma_{n,k} \geq \frac{\#	ext{C}_{n,k}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{p+1} \geq \frac{k^n}{2n}.
\]

Hence, for \( n \) large enough with respect to \( d \) we get \( \gamma_{n,k} > 2d \), so \( C_{n,k} \) contains only a finite number of points of degree \( d \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and the proof is finish by using an analog of lemma 2.

In fact, one can ask even more general questions, not just restricting to powers, but, for example, to images of a fixed polynomials. In a paper in preparation [Xa09], we study in an even more general context when similar questions have sense and when can be asked affirmatively, and also in which cases one can give explicit uniform upper bounds.

On the other hand, once looking for the computation of specific bounds, like the ones for \( d = 1 \) or \( d = 2 \), one cannot use the same type of reasoning we used. As we already mention, the case \( d = 1 \) is known, getting \( S(1,k) = 2 \), but the proof uses for most cases the same type of ideas that for proving Fermat’s last theorem, i.e. Wiles and others ideas. However, we think that the case \( k = 3 \) and \( d = 2 \) could be solved by a similar argument we did for the case \( k = 2 \) and \( d = 2 \).

A. Granville observed in a personal communication that one can use the main theorem to prove that there are always \( o_d(N) \) squares in any arithmetic progression over any number field of degree \( d \), just as a simple application of Szeméredi’s theorem on arithmetic progressions, as he did for the case \( d = 1 \) using Fermat’s result. More generally, we have the following result.

**Corollary 18.** Let \( d \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq 2 \) be integers. Then there are \( o_{d,k}(N) \) \( k \)-powers of a field of degree \( d \) in any arithmetic progression \( a + im \) with \( m \neq 0 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq N \).

**Proof.** Let \( I \) be the set of \( i \) for which \( a + im \) is a \( k \)-power. Then \( I \) does not contain any \( S(d,k) \)-term arithmetic progressions by the theorem[17] Hence \( |I| = o_{d,k}(N) \) by Szemérdi’s theorem, which states for any \( \delta > 0 \), if \( N \) is sufficiently large, then any subset of \( \{1,2,..,N\} \) of size \( M > \delta N \) has an \( M \)-term arithmetic progression. \( \square \)
This last result can probably be improve to some bound of the type $O_{d,k}(N^{1-c_{d,k}})$, for some constant $0 < c_{d,k} \leq \frac{k-1}{k}$, by using similar arguments of the ones used in [BGP92] and [BZ02]. One could even guess if $c_{d,k}$ can always be taken equal to $\frac{k-1}{k}$, which will generalize Rudin’s conjecture which asserts this in the case $k = 2$ and $d = 1$.

Finally, let us mention that one can ask a 2-dimensional (or even $s$-dimensional) analogous question. By these we mean the following natural question (which it was ask to me, in a different form, by Ignacio Larrosa Cañestro and it was the origin of this paper): is there a constant $S$ such that, the only degree 2 polynomials $f(x)$ with rational coefficients verifying that $f(i)$ is a square for $i = 0, \ldots, S$ are the squares of a (degree 1) polynomials? This question can be translated to the computation of all the rational points of some algebraic surfaces. Such question is investigated for example in [A86], an it seems that the natural guess is $S = 8$. But a positive answer of the existence of such $S$ is related to the so called Lang’s (and Bombieri) conjecture, which asserts that for a general type surface the rational points are all contained in a finite number of strict subvarieties, in our case curves and points. In fact, for $S = 8$ one gets that the corresponding surface is of general type. But the only known cases of this conjecture, corresponding to subvarieties of abelian varieties, does not apply in these cases, being all these surfaces complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

References

[A86] D. Allison, *On square values of quadratics*. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 99 (1986), Issue 03, pp 381-383.
[BGP92] E. Bombieri, A. Granville, J. Pintz, *Squares in arithmetic progressions*. Duke Math. J. 66 (1992), no. 3, 369–385.
[BZ02] E. Bombieri, U. Zannier, *A note on squares in arithmetic progressions. II*. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 13 (2002), no. 2, 69–75.
[DM97] H. Darmon, L. Merel, *Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat’s last theorem*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 490 (1997), 81–100.
[De52] P. Dènes, *Über die Diophantische Gleichung $x^l+y^l=cz^l$*. Acta Math. 88, (1952). 241–251.
[Deu42] M. Deuring, *Reduktion algebraischer Funktionkörper nach Primdivisoren des Konstantenkörper*. Math. Z. 47 (1942), 643-654.
[Fre94] G. Frey, *Curves with infinitely many points of fixed degree*. Israel J. Math. 85 (1994), no. 1-3, 79–83.
[GJS09] E. González-Jiménez, J. Steuding, *Arithmetic progressions of four squares over quadratic fields*, preprint 2009.
[GX09] E. González-Jiménez, X. Xarles, *Five squares in arithmetic progression over quadratic fields*, in preparation.
[LL99] D. Lorenzini and Q. Liu, *Models of curves and finite covers*, Compositio Math., 118 (1999), 61-102.
[Na67] M. Nagata, *A Theorem on valuation rings and its applications*, Nagoya Math. J. 29 (1967), 85-91.
[NS99] K. V. Nguyen and M. H. Saito, *D-gonality of modular curves and bounding torsions*, preprint.
[Ri97] K. Ribet, *On the equation* $a^p + 2^p b^p + c^p = 0$. Acta Arith. **79** (1997), no. 1, 7–16.

[Xa09] X. Xarles, *Trivial points on towers of curves*, in preparation.

*E-mail address: xarles@mat.uab.cat*

Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Catalonia