Eco-friendly printing is important for mass manufacturing of thin-film photovoltaic (PV) devices to preserve human safety and the environment and to reduce energy consumption and capital expense. However, it is challenging for perovskite PVs due to the lack of eco-friendly solvents for ambient fast printing. In this study, we demonstrate for the first time an eco-friendly printing concept for high-performance perovskite solar cells. Both the perovskite and charge transport layers were fabricated from eco-friendly solvents via scalable fast blade coating under ambient conditions. The perovskite dynamic crystallization during blade coating investigated using in situ grazing incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) reveals a long sol-gel window prior to phase transformation and a strong interaction between the precursors and the eco-friendly solvents. The insights enable the achievement of high quality coatings for both the perovskite and charge transport layers by controlling film formation during scalable coating. The excellent optoelectronic properties of these coatings translate to a power conversion efficiency of 18.26% for eco-friendly printed solar cells, which is on par with the conventional devices fabricated via spin coating from toxic solvents under inert atmosphere. The eco-friendly printing paradigm presented in this work paves the way for future green and high-throughput fabrication on an industrial scale for perovskite PVs.

1. Introduction

Metal-halide hybrid perovskite solar cells (PSCs) have recently emerged as a highly promising photovoltaic candidate on account of their continuously improved efficiency with certified solar cell efficiency surpassing 25% [1]. The performance already rivals those of other thin-film photovoltaic (PV) devices. One significant advantage of PSCs is they can be fabricated via simple solution processing using scalable and low-cost vacuum-free PV technologies. It is
reported that annual PV installations will rapidly increase from ~650 GW in 2019 to a target of 21.9 TW in 2050 [2]. The corresponding required fast expansion of PV manufacturing demands high-throughput scalable fabrication paradigms for PSCs.

In order to fabricate PSCs on a large scale, scalable deposition of active perovskite and charge transport layers has been developed. Scalable solution deposition methods for perovskite layers have been demonstrated recently, including blade coating, slot die coating, and spray coating [3]. These strategies are compatible with roll-to-roll setups, and a relatively small portion of the precursor ink is wasted in the process. The PCEs of solar cells based on blade-coated perovskite layers have rapidly increased by over 21% [4]. The scalable deposition of charge transport layers is also crucial to scaling up PSCs. Both the inorganic [5–7] and organic charge transport materials [8–10] have been used in PSCs previously, which should have appropriate interfacial energy alignment with the perovskite layer and exhibit compatibility with the solution printing strategy.

One important issue that arises for high-throughput scalable fabrication is the use of toxic solvents. Large amounts of toxic solvents are released during high-throughput continuous deposition and the following post-annealing process for the perovskite and charge transport layers. Exposure to toxic solvents in the air of the workplace can be quantified by the workplace exposure limit (WEL). The WEL value is calculated from the time-weighted average (TWA) of the exposure time and can be monitored by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE). From the perspective of human safety in the workplace and environmental issues, exposure to toxic solvents must be regulated. However, toxic solvents have been widely involved in the current reported fabrication processes for perovskite solar cells. For instance, dimethylformamide (DMF) [11–15], gamma-butyrolactone (GBL) [16–18], N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) [19, 20], and 2-methoxyethanol [21, 22] have been used for deposition of perovskite layers. Other solvents, including toluene or halogenated ones (e.g., chlorobenzene (CB)), are commonly used for the fabrication of the 2,2′,7,7′-tetakis-(N,N-di-p-methoxyphenylamine)-9,9′-spirobi fluorene (Spiro-OMeTAD) hole transport layer (HTL) or the phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PC61BM) electron transport layer (ETL). These toxic solvents have been selected as the first choice to meet the requirements of favorable morphology of films on relatively small-scale substrates under an inert atmosphere. This morphology is of vital importance for both the perovskite and charge transport layers to realize highly efficient charge generation and extraction. However, exposure to toxic solvents in the air during high-throughput fabrication of PSCs would lead to TWAs far beyond their limits. It is clearly impractical for humans to work in such a workplace. In addition, in order to avoid environmental pollution when using the toxic solvents at an industrial scale, capital expense is required for purification equipment, energy input, and labor for maintenance. Apparently, eco-friendly printing of perovskite solar cells without using toxic solvents is critical to realize industrial-scale fabrication while preserving human health and the environment and reducing energy consumption and capital expense.

Here, we report, for the first time, fast and eco-friendly printing of high-performance perovskite solar cells via blade coating the charge transport and perovskite layers under ambient conditions. The perovskite crystallization during blade coating was investigated *in situ* using grazing incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS). The perovskite crystalline morphology can be regulated *via* changing the processing temperature. The eco-friendly printed solar cells exhibit a power conversion efficiency of 18.26%, which is even above those of their conventional counterparts fabricated *via* spin coating from toxic solvents.

### 2. Results and Discussion

The solvents H2O, 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (DMI), and ethyl acetate (EA) were used to prepare the solutions of SnO2 (1.5% mol aqueous solution), perovskite precursors (Pb(Ac)2+MAI, 1.2 mol L−1), and Spiro-OMeTAD (45 mg mL−1), respectively. Photos of the solutions are shown in Figure 1(a), and the corresponding molecular structures of the solvents are illustrated in Figure 1(b). The solvent properties including toxicity, boiling point, WEL, waste issues, fate and effects on the environment, and acute and chronic effects on human health and exposure potential are compared and listed in Table S1 for DMI, EA, and other conventional toxic solvents currently used for perovskite PV manufacturing. Note that we compared tens of solvents and found the DMI solvent to be the best example showing potential for eco-friendly printing of perovskite films because of no substances known to be hazardous to the environment and low volatility (Table S1). Meanwhile, the DMI and EA solvents exhibit much less toxicity to humans or/and much higher WEL than the conventional solvents dimethylformamide (DMF), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), 2-methoxyethanol, toluene, and chlorobenzene. The use of lower WEL solvents is beneficial for people working in such a workplace, and it can reduce energy consumption and capital expense for the manufacture of perovskite solar cells under realistic conditions using high-throughput roll-to-roll technology. However, the DMI solvent is a marginal solvent for PbI2 +MAI precursors and can only be introduced as a solvent additive with a small amount into the DMF system. The resultant PCE of the related solar cells was less than 15% [23]. In contrast, we found that the perovskite precursors Pb(Ac)2 and MAI have a high solubility in the DMI solvent, which can be evidenced from the yellow transparent solution (Figure 1(a)). The Spiro-OMeTAD also has a high solubility in the EA solvent. In short, these solvents with low toxicity, high solubility, and high WEL hold promise for the eco-friendly printing of perovskite solar cells. MAPbI3 solar cells were realized based on blade-coated perovskite and charge transport layers under ambient conditions with relative humidity (RH) of ca. 35% (Figure 1(c)). Films of SnO2, Spiro-OMeTAD, and MAPbI3 (except the Au electrode) were fabricated at a high speed of 1.5 m/min, which were used as the ETL, HTL, and photoactive layer, respectively.
A large-scale (20 × 25 cm²) sample composed of glass/FTO/SnO₂/MAPbI₃ is shown in Figure 1(d). The stacked layers appear uniform and dark without noticeable blemishes, suggesting a high-quality film. This can be further verified from photos of the SnO₂/SnO₂/MAPbI₃ and SnO₂/MAPbI₃/Spiro-OMeTAD films (Figure 1(e), top), which are free of pinholes. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images show densely packed grains for the SnO₂ films (Figure 1(e), bottom). In contrast to ~200-300-sized grains for spin-coated MAPbI₃ films [24], the blade-coated MAPbI₃ films exhibit grains as large as ~3 μm. Large perovskite grains were also observed previously when blade coating PbI₂:MAI precursors from DMSO:GBL solvent or DMF solvent [25]. This morphological difference was attributed to crystallization nucleation and growth during blade coating, which were different from that of conventional spin coating.

The addition of the Spiro-OMeTAD layer fabricated from the EA solvent does not destroy the uniformity of the SnO₂/MAPbI₃ films. However, some pinholes with size ~20-50 nm are observed in the Spiro-OMeTAD layer, which was ascribed to the presence of lithium salt, as reported previously [26, 27]. Note that the root-mean-square (RMS) roughness is significantly decreased from 32.10 to 3.77 nm for the SnO₂/MAPbI₃ films with the addition of the Spiro-OMeTAD layer (Figure S1). This helps improve contact with the Au electrode and charge extraction layer. Figure 1(f) shows a cross-sectional SEM image of a complete solar cell with the architecture FTO/SnO₂/perovskite/Spiro-OMeTAD/Au. The thicknesses of the blade-coated SnO₂, perovskite, and Spiro-OMeTAD layers are approximately 30 nm, 500 nm, and 150 nm, respectively. The perovskite layer is compact without noticeable lateral grain boundaries. These results indicate high-quality films of the three layers blade-coated from eco-friendly solvents.

Perovskite crystallization plays a critical role in crystalline morphology and optoelectronic properties. In order to understand how Pb(Ac)₂:MAI precursors transition to perovskite during blade coating from the DMI solvent, we first performed in situ grazing incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) analysis during blade coating. A thin perovskite ink sheet was formed when blade coating was conducted on a room temperature substrate, which has a long solvent evaporation duration longer than 15 min due to the relatively high boiling point of the DMI solvent (225°C). Faster evaporation of the solvent at elevated temperatures allows faster film formation and less moisture attack during perovskite crystallization, which is beneficial for suppressing defects. Figure 2(a) shows the time evolution of the diffraction features against scattering vector q and time (with 0.2 s intervals) when blade coating Pb(Ac)₂:MAI precursors on a 150°C substrate. The two-dimensional (2D) snapshots taken at different times are shown in Figure S2. We observed a strong scattering halo at low q values of ~2-5 nm⁻¹ for the disordered colloidal sol-gel during the initial 30 s. This sol-gel state was observed when solution casting MAI:PbI₂ precursors from DMF or DMSO:GBL solvents.
[25, 28–31], during which perovskite precursors in the liquid films grow into the polycrystalline films. Note that the sol-gel window is significantly longer when blade coating precursors from the DMI solvent in contrast to the DMF or DMSO:GBL cases. For instance, the DMF or DMSO:GBL cases exhibit a flash transformation from sol-gel to perovskite within ca. 2-3 s after the blade spreads the solution on a 150°C substrate [25, 30]. In contrast, the DMI case shows a significantly prolonged sol-gel window to ca. 30 s at the same processing temperature. This increase of the sol-gel window is because of less solvent evaporation from the perovskite ink sheet. The sol-gel

![Figure 2: (a) In situ GIWAXS analysis showing the dynamic transformation from Pb(Ac)$_2$ : MAI precursors in the DMI solvent to perovskite. (b) 2D GIWAXS for the thermally annealed perovskite films. (c–h) SEM images of perovskite films blade-coated at different processing temperatures ranging from 130°C to 230°C. (i) Processing temperature-dependent crystalline features of perovskite films. (j) The influences of processing temperature (fixed speed of 1.5 m/min) and coating speed (fixed temperature of 210°C) on film thickness.](image-url)
state is critical for the nucleation and growth of perovskite crystals or intermediates because the fast ionic diffusion determines the assembly behavior between the inorganic framework and the organics including cations and intercalated solvent.

We further observed the formation of intermediate phases at \( q = 4.4 \) and 5.9 nm\(^{-1}\). The formation of intermediate phases indicates a strong interaction between precursors and solvent. However, these intermediate phases are distinct from the previous observation when solution casting MAI: PbI\(_2\) precursors from DMF or DMSO: GBL solvents. The PbI\(_2\)-DMF and PbI\(_2\)-DMSO solvated intermediate phases exhibit diffraction features at \( q = (4.4, 5.5, \) and 6.6 nm\(^{-1}\)) and \( q = (4.4, 5.0, 6.4, \) and 8.1 nm\(^{-1}\)) \([25, 30]\), respectively. This indicates that the solvent molecule plays a key role in the crystal structure of the intermediate phases. The diffraction at \( \sim 10-11 \) nm\(^{-1}\) accompanied by vanished sol-gel state indicates the formation of MAPbI\(_3\), which is generally described by the following equation \([32]\):

\[
3\text{MAI} + \text{Pb}(\text{Ac})_2 \rightarrow \text{MAPbI}_3 + 2\text{MAAc}.
\] (1)

Note that intermediate phases are absent after thermal annealing, along with a stronger diffraction intensity of MAPbI\(_3\) (Figure 2(b)). This indicates that intermediate phases decompose during thermal annealing and provide a scaffold to initiate further crystallization for released precursors. Meanwhile, the intermediate phases have a higher crystallographic orientation than the MAPbI\(_3\), which suggests the absence of a template effect provided by intermediate phases on further crystallization.

The influence of the processing temperature on the crystalline morphology of perovskite films was evaluated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) as shown in Figures 2(c)–2(h) and Figure S3. The films fabricated at a 25°C substrate exhibit isolated islands with a domain size of several micrometers and exposure of the SnO\(_2\) layer to air (Figure S3). This phenomenon has been also observed previously and well investigated in the case of blade coating MAI: PbI\(_2\) precursors from DMF or DMSO: GBL solvents on a low-temperature substrate \([25, 30]\), and it was ascribed to the phase transition of sol-gel state \( \rightarrow \) intermediate solvates and/or PbI\(_2\) crystals \( \rightarrow \) perovskite crystals during film formation. Elevating the processing temperature suppressed the formation of intermediate solvates and/or PbI\(_2\) crystals, leading to direct transition from the sol-gel state to perovskite crystals. The direct phase transition enabled uniform and compact stacking of perovskite grains. Indeed, we observed improved film uniformity with increasing processing temperature. Note the radial pattern within the polygon grains (Figure S4). Such a phenomenon was attributed to periodic precipitation resulting from solution flow, solute diffusion, solvent drying, and crystal growth \([33, 34]\). The films blade-coated at 130°C exhibit elongated grains with a domain size of several micrometers with some pinholes interspersed. These pinholes were gradually diminished at higher temperatures. However, PbI\(_2\) needle crystals were observed when the processing temperature increased to 230°C, suggestive of decomposition of the MAPbI\(_3\) crystals. This is further verified from X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, as shown in Figure 2(i). As a result, we achieved nice films at 210°C, which are free of pinholes and have grain boundaries passivated by some small PbI\(_2\) crystals with size \( \sim 20-50 \) nm. The self-passivation is beneficial for suppressing defects in the grain boundaries, which in turn decreases the charge recombination loss in a complete device.

The influence of perovskite fluid flow on film formation was further investigated. The meniscus-guided flow during blade coating arises mainly from the capillary flow and viscous forces \([35]\). The competition between these two driving forces leads to the variation between the evaporation regime for the slow-speed blade coating and the Landau-Levich (LL) regime for the high-speed blade coating \([36]\). The film thickness obtained at 210°C exhibits an approximate quadratic relation with the coating speed as shown in Figure 2(j). A higher thickness of >800 nm was obtained for both the evaporation and Landau-Levich regimes, while there was a sharp decline to ca. 400 nm at the transition between the two regimes. This decline is because the curvature of the meniscus changes before the contact line in the transition regime, where the liquid is partially dragged out due to the increasingly viscous forces in the presence of solvent evaporation \([37]\). In the Landau-Levich regime at the coating speed of 1.5 m/min, the contact line is infinitely far away, and therefore, the bulk perovskite liquid sheet is completely dragged out. Note that the processing temperature in the Landau-Levich regime plays a negligible influence on the contact line and the subsequent film thickness \( \sim 400-600 \) nm because the temperature-dependent solvent evaporation in this regime is negligible. In short, blade coating at a high speed leads to Landau-Levich (LL) flow where the viscous force dominates. In the subsequent quiescent drying stage, the assembly is determined mainly by ionic interactions between precursors because of the absence of meniscus-assisted strain. The sol-gel state, which plays a role in the formation of intermediate solvates and MAPbI\(_3\) crystals, can be regulated via controlling the processing temperature. The films with relatively good uniformity and PbI\(_2\) passivation are achieved at the processing temperature of 210°C.

We carried out a range of complementary characterization measurements to understand the photophysical properties of the perovskite films blade-coated from the DMI solvent (abbreviated as eco-printed). The films fabricated from the toxic solvent DMSO: GBL via antisolvent dripping (abbreviated as tox-spin-coated), which has been widely used for lab-scale fabrication, are also shown for comparison. The UV-Vis spectra of the eco-printed and tox-spin-coated films show that, although the former has a higher intensity, they have an identical band edge at ca. 780 nm \([38]\) (Figure 3(a)). This indicates an identical crystal structure irrespective of precursor and solvent properties. This can be further verified from the similar diffraction features located at 14.20° and 28.50° (Figure S5). The eco-printed films exhibit a photoluminescence (PL) peak at ca. 785 nm (Figure 3(b)), which is blue-shifted by 3 nm compared to...
the tox-spin-coated one. This indicates relatively fewer defects in the eco-printed films partially due to larger grains [39]. Time-resolved PL (TRPL) measurements performed on both the perovskite films help us evaluate the charge carrier dynamics, specific differences in the charge carrier lifetimes (Figure 3(c)). We have used the following biexponential function to determine the lifetimes [40]:

\[
f(t) = A_1 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right) + A_2 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_2}\right) + B,
\]

where \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\) are the slow and fast decay time constants, respectively, while \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are the corresponding decay amplitudes, and \(B\) is a constant. The carrier dynamics derived...
from the transient PL behavior provides information about the
defect concentration, which is related to nonradiative charge
recombination losses. The eco-printed films exhibit an average
carrier lifetime ($\tau_{\text{ave}}$) of 371.7 ns, which is even higher than the
tox-spin-coated one (ca. 222.8 ns, Table S2). The long carrier
lifetime of the eco-printed films indicates a high-quality film,
which can be ascribed to large grains and PbI$_2$ passivation
within the grain boundaries, as reported previously [41].

The trap densities and charge mobilities of the eco-
printed films were further evaluated. The dark $I$–$V$ character-
istics of electron-only devices were obtained based on the
architecture glass/FTO/SnO$_2$/perovskite/PCBM/Ag and are
presented in Figure 3(d) for the blade-coated films. The trap
density was determined using the following equation [42]:

$$n_{\text{trap}} = \frac{2\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r V_{\text{TFL}}}{e L^2},$$

where $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative dielec-
tric constant, $V_{\text{TFL}}$ is the onset voltage of the trap-filled limit
region, $e$ is the elementary charge, and $L$ is the distance
between the electrodes. The electron mobility was further
extracted using the Mott-Gurney law [43]:

$$\mu = \frac{8\beta L^3}{9e_0\varepsilon_r V^2},$$

where $I_D$ is the current density and $V$ is the applied voltage.
The mobilities were estimated to be 14.95±1.00 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$
for the eco-printed and tox-spin-coated films (Figure 3(e)), respectively. The trap densities are 0.66±0.06 × 10$^{-16}$ and 1.31±0.12 × 10$^{-16}$ cm$^{-3}$ for the
eco-printed and tox-spin-coated films, respectively. Appar-
etently, the films fabricated from the eco-friendly solvent under
ambient conditions exhibit even higher carrier mobility and
lower trap density than those carefully fabricated under inert
conditions via spin coating from commonly used toxic sol-
vents. These results agree well with the TRPL observation
and suggest a low charge recombination loss in a complete
solar cell.

The electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of complete
devices further provides values of the recombination resis-
tance ($R_{\text{rec}}$) and the contact resistance ($R_c$) at the perovskite-
contact interface (Figure 3(f)). The Nyquist plots of the tox-
spin-coated and eco-printed MAPbI$_3$ films were measured
under dark conditions at potential biases of 0.8 and 1.0 V,
respectively. The $R_c$ values were determined to be 10.99
and 4.72 $\Omega$, along with $R_{\text{rec}}$ values of 278 and 718 $\Omega$ for the
tox-spin-coated and eco-printed films, respectively (Table S3).
The lower $R_c$ and higher $R_{\text{rec}}$ values indicate lower charge
recombination within the eco-printed films and lower
contact resistance at the perovskite-contact interface.

Solar cells composed of an n-i-p structure were fabricated
based on eco-printed SnO$_2$, MAPbI$_3$, and Spiro-OMeTAD
layers (Figure 4(a)). The conventional devices are also shown
for comparison and contain a tox-spin-coated MAPbI$_3$ layer
from DMSO: GBL, a Spiro-OMeTAD layer from chloroben-
zenzene, and a SnO$_2$ layer. A histogram of PCEs is shown in
Figure 4(b) for two batches of cells with an active size of
0.09 cm$^2$. The eco-printed cells achieve PCEs of 17.15 ±
0.44%, which is on par with the tox-spin-coated batches
(16.70 ± 0.62%). The champion cell delivers a PCE of
18.26%, a short-circuit current density ($J_{\text{sc}}$) of 22.52 mA cm$^{-2}$,
an open-circuit voltage ($V_{\text{oc}}$) of 1.11 V, and a fill factor (FF) of
72.89% (Figure 4(c) and Table S4). Typically, the transfer from
spin coating in inert conditions to ambient printing results in
lower device performance [35]. This behavior is inverted here
with the demonstration that the eco-friendly printable solar
cells in ambient conditions achieve performance comparable
with or higher than the conventional devices tox-spin-coated
from toxic solvents in inert conditions (18.26% vs. 17.99%).
It is important to note that the high $V_{\text{oc}}$ of 1.11 V for the
eco-printed printed cells is impressive as this high value is
directly related to nonradiative charge recombination
losses. These results prove that this eco-friendly printing is
suitable for future green and high-throughput fabrication
at an industrial scale for high-performance perovskite
solar cells.

The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of the
corresponding eco-printed and tox-spin-coated cells are
evaluated (Figure 4(d)). We observed a higher EQE in the
~650-750 nm range for the eco-printed cell than for the
tox-spin-coated cell. This suggests a higher photogenerated
current in the eco-printed cell, leading to a higher integrated
$J_{\text{sc}}$ from 20.41 to 22.23 mA cm$^{-2}$ due to the higher carrier
mobility for the eco-printed perovskite. The stable output
PCE of the champion cell was measured under standard 1
sun illumination (Figure 4(e)) in the air (humidity ~40-50% RH).
We observed a drop of the PCE from 18.04% to 16.44% with 80 s of illumination, which highlights an oppor-
tunity to further improve the output via decreasing the num-
er of surface defects, which are possibly induced by
moisture attack during ambient fabrication. It was reported
that the interaction between H$_2$O and CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ is much
stronger than that between CH$_3$NH$_2$ and PbI$_2$ [44], which
might yield trap formation or halide vacancies after film fab-
rication and therefore degradation of solar cells. The strate-
gies include surface treatment, additive modification, trap
engineering, and dimensional control. Figure 4(f) presents
the normalized PCE for the unsealed PSCs after aging for
1680 h in an ambient environment with 40-50% RH at room
temperature. The eco-printed device exhibits ambient stabil-
ity with a 13.5% loss of the initial PCE, which outperforms
the conventional tox-spin-coated cell.

In summary, this work demonstrates, for the first time,
an eco-friendly printing concept for high-performance
perovskite solar cells. High-quality perovskite and charge
transport layers were fabricated via Landau-Levich flow
under ambient conditions from nontoxic and high-WEL sol-
vents. With the aid of in situ GIWAXS measurement, we
decoupled the phase transition from Pb(Ac)$_2$: MAI precursors
to intermediate solvates and perovskite crystals during
blade coating from the eco-friendly solvent. The perovskite
liquid flow and crystalline morphology can be well regulated
via controlling the coating speed and processing tempera-
tures, respectively. Compact perovskite films with self-
passivation were obtained, which show comparable or even
superior optoelectronic properties, including high charge mobility and low trap density compared with the films fabricated via spin coating from conventional toxic solvents in inert conditions. The excellent optoelectronic properties finally translate to an unprecedented PCE of 18.26% for solar cells based on eco-friendly printed charge transport and perovskite layers, and this PCE is on par with or even superior to that of the conventional solar cells fabricated via spin coating from toxic solvents under inert conditions. Since eco-friendly printing preserves human health and the environment and reduces capital expense, the current work is believed to be helpful for future industrial-scale, green manufacturing of perovskite-based solar cells and electronics without sacrificing device performance.

Figure 4: (a) Solar cell architecture. (b) Device performance distributions of 30 eco-printed and tox-spin-coated perovskite solar cells. (c) J-V curves of the champion cell for eco-printed and tox-spin-coated solar cells. (d) The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum and the corresponding integrated current for eco-printed and tox-spin-coated perovskite solar cells. (e) The stabilized power output of the eco-printed champion cell measured at a fixed maximum power point (MPP) voltage as a function of time. (f) Comparison of stability of the corresponding nonencapsulated solar cells exposed to the ambient environment with 40-50% humidity in the dark at room temperature.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Material Preparation. CH$_3$NH$_3$I (MAI, 99.5%) and Pb(Ac)$_2$ (99.5%) were purchased from p-OLED. The solvent 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidione (DMI, 98%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Ethyl acetate (EA, 99.9%) and chlorobenzene (CB, 99.9%) were purchased from Acros. The solvents dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99.8%), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8%), γ-butyrolactone (GBL, 99%), and chlorobenzene (99.8%) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The Spiro-OMeTAD solution was prepared by dissolving 90 mg of Spiro-OMeTAD, 22 μL of lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide solution (520 mg in 1 mL acetonitrile), and 30 μL of 4-tert-butylpyridine in 1 mL of ethyl acetate. The SnO$_2$ solution was prepared by diluting the original solution ten times. The traditional Spiro-OMeTAD solution was prepared by dissolving 45 mg of Spiro-OMeTAD, 1 mL of chlorobenzene, and 30 μL of 4-tert-butylpyridine in 1 mL of chlorobenzene.

3.2. Solution Preparation. The mixed perovskite precursor was prepared by dissolving a 1.2 mol L$^{-1}$ mixture of metal lead salts, which were composed of 0.39 g Pb(Ac)$_2$ and 0.572 g MAI, in a solvent of DMI (1 mL) which was prepared and then stirred at 40°C for 12 hours. The traditional MAPbI$_3$ precursor solution was prepared in a glove box in a mixed solvent of DMSO and GBL with a volume ratio of 7:3. The solution was filtered prior to solution casting. The Spiro-OMeTAD solution was prepared by dissolving 45 mg of Spiro-OMeTAD, 20 μL of lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide solution (320 mg in 1 mL acetonitrile), and 30 μL of 4-tert-butylpyridine in 1 mL of ethyl acetate. The SnO$_2$ solution was prepared by diluting the original solution ten times. The traditional Spiro-OMeTAD solution was prepared by dissolving 90 mg of Spiro-OMeTAD, 22 μL of lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide solution (320 mg in 1 mL acetonitrile), and 36 μL of 4-tert-butylpyridine in 1 mL of chlorobenzene.

3.3. Device Fabrication. The FTO-coated glass (2.9 cm × 2.9 cm) was cleaned by sequential sonication in acetone, isopropyl, and ethanol for 30 min each and then was dried under N$_2$ flow and treated by ozone plasma for 18 min.

3.4. The Spin-Coated Films. The TiO$_2$ was prepared by chemical bath deposition with the clean substrate immersed in a TiCl$_4$ (CP, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.) aqueous solution with the volume ratio of TiCl$_4$ : H$_2$O equal to 0.0225 : 1 at 70°C for 60 min. The spin coating was accomplished under an inert atmosphere inside a nitrogen glove box. The procedure was performed at 1000 rpm for 10 s followed by 4000 rpm for 40 s. At 25 s before the end of the last spin coating step, 250 μL of neat chlorobenzene or loaded solution was dropped onto the substrate, which was then put onto a hot plate for 10 min at 100°C. Subsequently, the Spiro-OMeTAD solution (CB) was deposited on the top of the perovskite by spin coating at 4000 rpm for 15 s followed by evaporation of the 100 nm gold electrode on the top of the cell.

3.5. The Eco-Printed Films. The blade coating was conducted in ambient conditions (30-50% RH, 25-30°C). The SnO$_2$ solution was drop-cast onto the substrate with a coating speed of 1500 mm min$^{-1}$, and the stage temperature was 100°C. The blade-coated SnO$_2$ films were then thermally annealed at 150°C for 30 min. The perovskite precursor solution (6-10 μL) was drop-cast onto the SnO$_2$ substrate with a coating speed of 1500 mm min$^{-1}$ at various temperatures. The blade-coated perovskite films were then thermally annealed at 100°C for 7 min. The Spiro-OMeTAD solution (EA) was drop-cast onto the perovskite substrate with a coating speed of 1500 mm min$^{-1}$ at 40°C. The angle between the substrate and the blade was 70°.

3.6. Characterizations

3.6.1. Optical Metrology. UV-Vis absorption spectra were acquired on a PerkinElmer UV-Lambda 950 instrument. Steady-state photoluminescence (PL) (excitation at 510 nm, front-side excitation) and time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) (excitation at 510 nm, front-side excitation) were measured with a PicoQuant FT300.

3.6.2. Electron Microscopy. The surface morphology and structure of the perovskite films were characterized by SEM (FE-SEM; SU8020, Hitachi).

3.6.3. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD). The crystal structures of perovskite films were characterized using XRD on Rigaku SmartLab (X-ray source: Cu Ka, λ = 1.54 Å).

3.6.4. Grazing Incidence Wide-Angle X-Ray Scattering Measurements. GIWAXS measurements were performed at D-line of the Cornell High-Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS). The wavelength of the X-rays was 0.972 Å with a bandwidth Δλ/λ of 1.5%. The scattering signal was collected by a Pilatus 200K detector, with a pixel size of 172 μm placed 184.0066 mm away from the sample position. The incidence angle of the X-ray beam was 0.50°. For the blade coating process, the blade passes throughout the substrate spreading the perovskite ink. When the blade is in the frame, it blocks all the scattering signals; the scattering signal reaches the detector as soon as the blade passes and unblocks the path of the beam. The exposure time was kept at 0.2 s to obtain detailed information about the process. Ambient conditions at CHESS were approximately 23°C and ca. 30% relative humidity.

3.6.5. Carrier Mobility Measurements. Electron-only devices (glass/FTO/c-TiO$_2$ or SnO$_2$/perovskite/PCBM/Ag) were fabricated to measure the electron mobilities of the devices. The dark J-V characteristics of the electron-only devices were measured using a Keithley 2400 SourceMeter. The mobility was extracted by fitting the J-V curves in the space-charge-limited current (SCLC) regime with the Mott-Gurney equation. The trap state density was determined from the trap-filled limit voltage using the equation given in the supporting information.

3.6.6. Device Characterization. The J-V performance of the perovskite solar cells was analyzed using a Keithley 2400 SourceMeter under ambient conditions at room temperature, and the illumination intensity was 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ (AM 1.5G Oriel solar simulator). The scan range was 2 V to -0.1 V. The scan rate was 0.3 V s$^{-1}$. The delay time was 10 ms, and the bias step was 0.02 V. The power output of the lamp was
calibrated using an NREL-traceable KG5-filtered silicon reference cell. The device area of 0.09 cm² was defined by a metal aperture to avoid light scattering from the metal electrode into the device during the measurement. The EQE was characterized on a QTest Station 2000ADI system (Crowntech Inc., USA), and the light source was a 300 W xenon lamp. The monochromatic light intensity for the EQE measurement was calibrated with a reference silicon photodiode.

3.6.7. EIS Measurement. EIS measurements were conducted using the electrochemical workstation (IM6ex, Zahner, Germany) with the frequency range from 10 Hz to 4 MHz under 0.8 V bias in the dark.
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