Optical follow-up of high-energy neutrinos detected by IceCube
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Abstract. Three-quarters of the 1 km$^3$ neutrino telescope IceCube is currently taking data. Current models predict high-energy neutrino emission from transient objects like supernovae (SNe) and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). To increase the sensitivity to such transient objects we have set up an optical follow-up program that triggers optical observations on multiplets of high-energy muon-neutrinos. We define multiplets as a minimum of two muon-neutrinos from the same direction (within 4°) that arrive within a 100 s time window. When this happens, an alert is issued to the four ROTSE-III telescopes, which immediately observe the corresponding region in the sky. Image subtraction is applied to the optical data to find transient objects. In addition, neutrino multiplets are investigated online for temporal and directional coincidence with gamma-ray satellite observations issued over the Gamma-Ray Burst Coordinate Network. An overview of the full program is given, from the online selection of neutrino events to the automated follow-up, and the resulting sensitivity to transient neutrino sources is presented for the first time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

When completed, the in-ice component of IceCube will consist of 4800 digital optical modules (DOMs) arranged on 80 strings frozen into the ice, at depths ranging from 1450m to 2450m [1]. Furthermore there will be six additional strings densely spaced at the bottom half of the detector. The total instrumented volume of IceCube will be 1 km$^3$. Each DOM contains a photomultiplier tube and supporting hardware inside a glass pressure sphere. The DOMs indirectly detect neutrinos by measuring the Cherenkov light from secondary charged particles produced in neutrino-nucleon interactions. IceCube is most sensitive to neutrinos within an energy range of TeV to PeV and is able to reconstruct the direction of muon-neutrinos with a precision of $\sim 1^\circ$. The search for neutrinos of astrophysical origin is among the primary goals of the IceCube neutrino telescope. Source candidates include galactic objects like supernova remnants as well as extragalactic objects like Active Galactic Nuclei and Gamma-Ray Bursts [9] [10].

Offline searches for neutrinos in coincidence with GRBs have been performed on AMANDA and IceCube data. They did not lead to a detection yet, but set upper limits to the predicted neutrino flux [13]. While the rate of GRBs with ultra-relativistic jets is small, a much larger fraction of SNe not associated with GRBs could contain mildly relativistic jets. Such mildly relativistic jets would become stalled in the outer layers of the progenitor star, leading to essentially full absorption of the electromagnetic radiation emitted by the jet. Hence, with the postulated presence of mildly relativistic jets one is confronted with a plausible but difficult-to-test hypothesis. Neutrinos may reveal the connection between GRBs, SNe and relativistic jets. As was recently shown, mildly relativistic jets plowing through a star would be highly efficient in producing high-energy neutrinos [5]–[7]. The predicted neutrino spectrum follows a broken power law and Fig. 1 shows the expected signal spectrum for neutrinos produced in kaon and pion decay in the supernovae-jet model of Ando and Beaum [5].
network of robotic telescopes. These telescopes monitor the corresponding part of the sky in the subsequent hours and days and identify possible transient objects, e.g. through detection of rising supernova light-curves lasting several days. If in this process a supernova is detected optically, one can extrapolate the lightcurve or afterglow to obtain the explosion time [2]. For SNe, a gain in sensitivity of about a factor of 2-3 can be achieved through optical follow-up observations of neutrino multiplets [4]. In addition to the gain in sensitivity, the follow-up program offers a chance to identify its transient source, be it a SN, GRB or any other transient phenomenon.

II. NEUTRINO ALERT SYSTEM

IceCube’s optical follow-up program has been operating since fall of 2008. In order to match the requirements given by limited observing time at the optical telescopes, the neutrino candidate selection has been optimized to obtain less than about 25 background multiplets per year. The trigger rate of the 40 string IceCube detector is about 1000 Hz. The muon filter stream reduces the rate of down-going muons created in cosmic ray showers dramatically by limiting the search region to the Northern hemisphere and a narrow belt around the horizon. The resulting event stream of 25 Hz is still dominated by misreconstructed down-going muons. Selection criteria based on on track quality parameters, such as number of direct hits, track length and likelihood of the reconstruction, yield a reduced event rate of 1 event/(10 min). The optimized selection criteria are relaxed to improve the signal efficiency, 50% of the surviving events are still misreconstructed down-going muons, while 50% are atmospheric neutrinos. During the antarctic summer 2008/2009, 19 additional strings were deployed, which have been included in the data taking since end of April 2009. To take into account an enhancement in the rate due to the increased detector volume, the selection criteria have been adjusted and will yield a cleaner event sample containing only 30% misreconstructed muons. From this improved event sample, neutrino multiplet candidates with a time difference of less than 100 s and with an angular difference (or ‘space angle’) of less than 4° are selected. The choice of the time window size is motivated by jet penetration times. Gamma-ray emission observed from GRBs has a typical length of 40 s, which roughly corresponds to the duration of a highly relativistic jet to penetrate the stellar envelope. The angular difference is determined by IceCube’s angular resolution. Assuming single events from the same true direction, 75% of all doublets are confined to a space angle of 4° after reconstruction. Once a multiplet is found, a combined direction is calculated as a weighted average of the individual reconstructed event directions, with weights derived from the estimated direction resolution of each track. The resolution of the combined direction is up to a factor of $1/\sqrt{2}$ better than that of individual tracks. The multiplet direction is sent via the network of Iridium satellites from the South Pole to the North, where it gets forwarded to the optical telescopes. At this point in time, due to limited parallelization of the data processing at the South Pole a delay of 8 hours is accumulated. In the near future, the online processing pipeline will be upgraded, reducing the latency drastically to the order of minutes.

A total of 14 alerts have passed the selection criteria and were sent to the telescopes within 7 months of operation.

III. OPTICAL FOLLOW-UP OBSERVATIONS

At the moment IceCube alerts get forwarded to the Robotic Optical Transient Search Experiment (ROTSE) [3]. Additions to the list of participating telescopes are planned. ROTSE-III is dedicated to observation and detection of optical transients on time scales of seconds to days. The original emphasis was on GRBs while it more recently has also started a very successful SN program. The four ROTSE-III telescopes are installed around the world (in Australia, Namibia, the USA and Turkey). The ROTSE-III equipment is modest by the standards of modern optical astronomy, but the wide field of view and the fast response permit measurements inaccessible to more conventional instruments. The four 0.45 m robotic reflecting telescopes are managed by a fully-automated system. They have a wide field of view (FOV) of $1.85^\circ \times 1.85^\circ$ imaged onto a 2048 × 2048 CCD, and operate without filters. The cameras have a fast readout cycle of 6 s. The limiting magnitude for a typical 60 s exposure is around 18.5 mag, which is well-suited for a study of GRB afterglows during the first hour or longer. The typical full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the stellar images is smaller than 2.5 pixels (8.1 arcseconds). Note that ROTSE-III’s FOV matches the size of the point spread function of IceCube well. Once an IceCube alert is received by one of the telescopes, the corresponding region of the night sky will be observed within seconds. A predefined observation program is started: The prompt observation includes thirty exposures of 60 seconds length. Follow-up observations are performed for 14 nights. Eight images with 60 seconds exposure time are taken per night. The prompt observation is adjusted to the typical rapidly decaying lightcurve of a GRB afterglow, while the follow-up observation of 14 days permits the identification of an increasing SN lightcurve. Once the images are taken, they are automatically processed at the telescope site. Once the data is copied from the telescopes, a second analysis is performed off-line, combining the images from all sites. Image subtraction is performed according the methods presented in [8]. The images of the

---

1 Hits that are measured within [-15ns,75ns] from the predicted arrival time of Cherenkov photons, without scattering, given by the track geometry.

2 Once the delay caused by data processing at the South Pole (see section II) is reduced to the order of minutes, the prompt observation will include ten short observations of 5 seconds, ten observations of 20 seconds and twenty long exposures of 60 seconds.
first night serve as reference, while the images from the following nights are used to search for the brightness of a SN lightcurve.

IV. SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity of the optical-follow up program is determined by both IceCube’s sensitivity to high energy neutrino multiplets and ROTSE-III’s sensitivity to SNe. We will distinguish two cases: The first being that no optical counterpart is observed over the course of the program (assuming 25 alerts per year) and the second that a SN is identified in coincidence.

A. No Optical Counterpart Discovered

With no coincident SN observed, one obtains an upper limit on the average number of SNe that could produce a coincidence: \( N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN} < 2.44 \) (for 90% confidence level). Constraints on a given model are obtained by demanding that the model does not predict a number in excess of the SN event upper limit. We construct a simple model based on Ando & Beacom type SNe [5]. We introduce two parameters: The first being that no SN is identified in coincidence.

\[
\rho_{2e-4}^{SN} \lesssim 10^{-3}\,\text{yr}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-3}\text{yr}^{-1}
\]

Note that \( \rho_{2e-4}^{SN} \) is in a subset of SNe to propagate high energy emission, one can assume \( \rho_{2e-4}^{SN} < 1 \). The second parameter is the hadronic jet energy \( E_{jet} = 3 \cdot 10^{51} \text{ergs} \) and we choose to scale the flux normalization of the model of Ando & Beacom, \( F_0 \), by \( \epsilon_{35\text{eV}} \). Fig. 2 shows the constraints that one can place on the density and jet kinetic energy \( E_{jet} - \rho \) plane. The basic shape of the constraints that can be obtained in the \( E_{jet} - \rho \) plane can be understood from the following considerations.

The number of neutrinos depends on the jet energy and the distance: \( N_{\nu} \propto \epsilon_{35\text{eV}} r^{-2} \). The program requires at least \( N_{\nu,\min} = 2 \) detected neutrinos in IceCube. A SN with jet energy \( \epsilon_{35\text{eV}} \) produces \( N_{\nu,\min} \) neutrinos if it is closer than \( r_{\max} \), \( N_{\nu,\min} \propto r_{\max}^{-2} \), which yields \( \rho_{2e-4}^{SN} < 1 \). The volume \( V \) limited by \( r_{\max} \) contains \( N_{SN} \propto \rho_{2e-4}^{SN} r_{\max}^{3} \) SN that can produce two neutrinos. Therefore the number of detection \( N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN} \) is given by \( N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN} \propto \rho_{2e-4}^{SN} r_{\max}^{3} / 2 \). For normalization we use Ando & Beacom-like SNe, which occur at a rate of \( \rho_{2e-4}^{SN} = 1 \) with GRB-like energies \( \epsilon_{35\text{eV}}^{\text{jet}} = 1 \) and yield \( N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN} = 200 \)

expected IceCube/ROTSE coincidences per year.

\[
N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN} = N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN,AB} \rho_{2e-4}^{SN,IC/ROTSE} \epsilon_{35\text{eV}}^{\text{jet}}^{3/2}
\]  

(1)

According to [11] a non-detection limits the number of IceCube/ROTSE coincidences at a 90% confidence level to \( N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN} < 2.44 \). Using Eq. (1) one obtains the two-dimensional constraints on density and hadronic jet energy for this model:

\[
\rho_{2e-4}^{SN} \epsilon_{35\text{eV}}^{\text{jet}}^{3/2} < 2.44 / N_{IC/ROTSE}^{SN,AB} < 0.012,
\]

which is a reasonably good representation of the two dimensional constraints for not too small densities \( \rho_{2e-4}^{SN} > 10^{-3} \). For GRB-like energies \( \epsilon_{35\text{eV}}^{\text{jet}} = 1 \), it follows that at most one out of 80 SNe produces Ando & Beacom-like jets in its core. Phrased in absolute terms, if no SN will be detected, the rate of SNe with a mildly relativistic jet should not exceed \( \rho_{2e-4} < 3.1 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1} \) (at 90% confidence level) in our program. The cut-off at small densities visible in Fig. 2 is due to ROTSE-III’s limiting magnitude. The sphere (i.e. effective volume) within which ROTSE-III can detect Supernovae has a radius of about 200-300 Mpc. ROTSE-III effectively cannot probe SN subclasses that occur less then once per year within this sphere.

B. Significance in case of a detection

Next we address the case where a SN was detected in the follow-up observations. The task mainly consists of computing the significance of the coincidence. We compute this for one year of data and 25 alerts. Each alert leads to the observation of a \( \Delta \Omega = 1.85^\circ \times 1.85^\circ = 3.4 \) square degree field, hence over the course of the year ROTSE-III covers a fraction of the sky given by \( \Delta \Omega / 4\pi \times N_{alerts} = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-3} \). Next assume that the time window for a coincident of an optical SN detection and candidate neutrino multiplet is given by \( \Delta t \), the accuracy with which we can determine the initial time of the supernova explosion. Studying the lightcurve of supernova SN2008D, which has a known explosion start-time given by an initial x-ray flash, we have developed an accurate way to estimate \( \Delta t \) from a SN lightcurve [2]. We fit the light curve data to a model that postulates a phase of blackbody emission followed by a phase dominated by pure expansion of the luminous shell. Explosion times can be determined from the lightcurve with an accuracy of less than 4 hours. A detailed description of this method can be found in [2].

The number of accidental SNe found will be propor-
tional to $\Delta t_d$ and the total number of SNe per year that ROTSE-III would have sensitivity to detect, if surveying the sky at all times, $N_{\text{ROTSE}} \approx 10^4$. Putting all this together the number of random coincidences is:

$$N_{\text{bg}} = N_{\text{alerts}} N_{\text{ROTSE}} \frac{\Delta \Omega}{4\pi} \times \frac{\Delta t_d}{\text{yr}} = 0.056 \frac{\Delta t_d}{d}. \ (3)$$

For $N_{\text{bg}} \ll 1$ this corresponds to the chance probability $p = 1 - \exp(-N_{\text{bg}}) \approx N_{\text{bg}}$ of observing at least one random background event. For $\Delta t_d = 1 \text{d}$ and no other information, the observation of a SN in coincidence with a neutrino signal would have a significance of about $2\sigma$. The significance can be improved by adding neutrino timing information as well as the distance information of the object found. We first discuss the extra timing information. So far we have only required that two neutrinos arrive within 100 s to produce an alert. Thus, in the analysis presented above, the significance for two events 1 s apart would be the same as for 99 s difference. Since the probability $p_t$ to find a time difference less than $\Delta t_d$ due to a background fluctuation is given by $p_t = \Delta t_d / 100 \text{s}$ assuming a uniform background, we include the time difference in the chance probability. Next we discuss the use of the SN distance. One can safely assume that there will be a strong preference for nearer SNe, since these are most likely to lead to a neutrino flux large enough to produce a multiplet in IceCube. Using the distance $d_{\text{SN}}$ as an additional parameter one can compute the probability to observe a background SN at a distance $d \leq d_{\text{SN}}$. The probability is given by the ratio of SNe observed by ROTSE-III within the sphere $d_{\text{SN}}$ to all SNe: $p_t = N_{\text{ROTSE}}(d) / N_{\text{ROTSE}}$. In case of a detection both $d_{\text{SN}}$ and $\Delta t_d$ will be available. We use a simple Monte Carlo to obtain the significance of this detection. For example the detection of two neutrinos with a temporal difference of $\Delta t_d = 10 \text{s}$ in coincidence with a SN in $d_{\text{SN}} = 20 \text{Mpc}$ distance has a p-value of $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$, which corresponds to $3.5\sigma$, assuming a total of $N_{\text{alerts}} = 25$ alerts found in the period of one year.

V. COINCIDENCES WITH GCN-GRBS

According to current models, about every 15-20th GRB that can be detected by IceCube will produce a neutrino doublet. Hence there is a small possibility that we will find a doublet in coincidence with a GCN alert, a case that we consider separately here. The significance of such a coincidence can be estimated with calculation analogous to Eq. [3] The number of accidental coincidences with a time difference less than $\Delta t$ is given by:

$$N_{\text{bg}} = N_{\text{alerts}} N_{\text{GCN}} \frac{\Delta \Omega}{4\pi} \times \frac{\Delta t}{\text{yr}} = 3.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \frac{\Delta t}{1 \text{s}}, \ (4)$$

where we have assumed 200 GCN notices and 30 multiplets a year. A coincidence occurs whenever the neutrinos and the GRB overlap within predefined windows in direction and time. For illustrative purposes, if we choose a 1.5-degree directional window and a 4-hour time window (corresponding roughly to IceCube’s point spread function and to GRB observations and modeling), Eq. [4] yields an expected background count of $N_{\text{BG}} = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$. This corresponds to a $3.5\sigma$ effect, or equivalently the expectation of a false positive from background once every 2100 years. We can further reduce the expected background by assuming that the neutrino signal is most likely to be emitted at the same time as the gamma rays. Since the background multiplets will be distributed uniformly across the 4-hour window, we can multiply the chance probability above by the factor

$$p_t = \frac{t_{\text{GRB}} - t_{\nu}}{4 \text{ hours}} \ (5)$$

where the absolute value is taken since we assume the neutrinos are equally likely to be emitted before the gamma-rays as they are after. Note that our flat probability assumption for the relative emission times of gamma rays and neutrinos from GRBs can, of course, be modified to follow any particular theoretical model. With all these assumptions, if we observe a coincidence that is 300 seconds from the GRB onset time, the chance probability is then given by $N_{\text{BG}} \cdot p_t = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 300/14400 = 9.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$, which corresponds to a $4.4\sigma$ result.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have presented the setup and performance of IceCube’s optical follow-up program, which was started in October 2008. The program increases IceCube’s sensitivity to transient sources such as SNe and GRBs and furthermore allows the immediate identification of the source. Non-detection of an optical counterpart allows the calculation of a limit on model parameters such as jet energy and density of SN accompanied by jets. In addition multiplets of neutrinos are tested for coincidences with GCN messages. Even a single coincidence detection would be significant.
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