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In this work, the outward and inward accessibilities of individual nodes are defined and their potential for application is illustrated with respect to the investigation of 6 different types of networks. The outward accessibility quantifies the potential of an individual node for accessing other nodes through random walks. The inward accessibility measures the potential of a given node of being accessed by other nodes. Both the inward and outward accessibilities are measured with respect to successive time steps along the walks, providing an interesting means for the characterization of the transient non-linear dynamics of accessibility. Self-avoiding walks are considered here because they are more purposive and necessarily finite (unlike traditional random walks). The results include the identification of the fact that the inward values tend to be much smaller than the outward values, the tendency of the inward accessibility to be highly correlated with the node degree while the outward values are mostly uncorrelated with that measurements, the distinct behavior of the accessibility in geographical networks, the dominance of hubs in scale free networks, as well as the enhanced uniformity of the accessibilities for the path-regular model. Also investigated was the possibility to predict the accessibility of a given node in terms of its respective degree. The concepts of inward and outward accessibility, as well as the several obtained results, have several implications and potential for applications to several real-time problems including disease spreading, WWW surfing, protein interaction, cortical networks and network attacks, among others.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main current issues in complex systems, defined by an intersection between dynamic systems and complex networks, regards the interaction between structure and dynamics (e.g. [1,2]). A great deal of the current attention has been concentrated on linear dynamics, especially synchronization (e.g. [2]), allowing interesting results on how the several structural features of networks affect this type of dynamics. Yet, while linear dynamics involving diffusion (related to traditional random walks) and synchronization are of extreme importance to a wide range of important natural and artificial systems, while also providing a first order approximation to several non-linear dynamics, growing attention is poised to focus the extension of the structure-dynamics investigations to intrinsically non-linear dynamical systems. Previous related approaches include the studies of associative memory capacity in Hopfield networks with connectivity is underlain by complex networks [3,4], the study of Ising and Potts dynamics in complex networks (e.g. [5,6,7]), opinion formation (e.g. [6,8,9]), among others.

Because of their simplicity of implementation in computer systems, random walks stand out as a particularly interesting approach to investigate the relationship between structure and dynamics in non-linear dynamical systems. While many works have addressed traditional random walks in complex networks (e.g. [10,11,12,13,14,15]), relatively fewer articles have reported studies related on non-linear random walks (e.g. [16,17,18,19,19,20]). More recently, the transient evolution of self-avoiding walks on several types of networks was quantified in terms of diversity entropy signatures [21].

The current work extends and complements the previous investigations reported in [21] by considering the accessibility of each individual node in the network as quantified by the frequencies of visits along self-avoiding walks initiating at all the other nodes and introducing the concept of inward accessibility. While the concept of diversity adopted in that work related to the diversity of nodes (not edges) reached by random walks, here we use the term accessibility in order to quantify the estimated number of accesses received or made by specific nodes along successive steps of the walks. Two situations are considered regarding node accessibility: (i) how many nodes can be potentially accessed after starting a self-avoiding random walk from a specific node; and (ii) how many accesses a particular node can potentially receive from self-avoiding random walks starting from all other nodes. These two properties are henceforth called outward and inward accessibilities. In both cases, it is interesting to quantify objectively the accessibility with respect to each subsequent number of steps. The current article proposes intuitive and sound means to quantify the inward and outward accessibilities. The definition of these two features naturally motivates the investigation of possible asymmetries of accesses, e.g. quantified by the ratio between the outward and the inward accessibilities. We also consider networks from 6 families of...
models presenting distinctive structures, namely Erdős-Rényi, Barábasi-Albert, Watts-Strogatz, a simple geographical type of network, as well as two knitted networks (PN and PA) introduced recently [22]. Several interesting results are reported and discussed regarding the inward and outward accessibilities, which are shown to present properties intrinsic to each type of network. Another main result is that the outward accessibility tends to be substantially larger than the inward accessibility. In order to try to relate the accessibilities (a dynamical property of the networks) with structural features, we consider the possible correlations between the accessibilities and the degree of a node in the network. It is shown that though a strong positive correlation is verified between the inward accessibility and degree (especially at the later steps of the walks), while the outward accessibility can only be predicted from the node degree (i.e. strong correlation between these two measurement) for the very first step of the self-avoiding walks.

The article starts by presenting the basic concepts, including the motivation and definition of the inward and outward accessibilities, and proceeds by presenting and discussing the results with respect to individual node accessibility and correlations between the latter and the node degree.

II. BASIC CONCEPTS

This section presents the basic concepts and methods used in this article regarding complex network representation and characterization, complex network models, as well as the definitions of inward and outward accessibilities and a simple computational method for their estimation.

A. Network Basics

Complex networks can be understood as graphs with particularly intricate structure. A unweighted, undirected complex network can be completely represented in terms of its adjacency matrix $K$ of dimension $N \times N$, so that each edge extending from node $i$ to node $j$ implies $K(i,j) = K(j,i) = 1$, with $K(i,j) = K(j,i) = 0$ being otherwise imposed. The immediate neighbors of a node $i$ are those nodes which are linked to $i$ through a single respective edge. Two nodes are said to be adjacent if one is the immediate neighbor of the other, and vice versa. Two edges are said to be adjacent whenever they have at least one of their extremities attached to a same node. The degree of a node $i$ corresponds to the number of its immediate neighbors. Nodes with degree one are called extremity nodes.

A walk is a sequence of adjacent links, starting at an initial node and proceeding along successive steps $h$. A self-avoiding walk is a walk which never visits any node or edge more than once. A path is the subgraph associated to a self-avoiding walk. Because of their intrinsic nature, paths represent the most economic way, i.e. involving the smallest number of edges, to interconnect a set of nodes. In addition, unlike traditional random walks, self-avoiding walks necessarily terminate (i.e. the moving agent always reaches a point from which it can no longer proceed because of lack of unvisited edges or nodes). The nodes where the self-avoiding walks end are henceforth called termination nodes. In the present work, the moving agents are assumed to stay at the terminal nodes after reaching them. This choice allows the conservation of the number of moving agents along all time steps. See [21] for an additional discussion of such an assumption.

B. Complex Network Models

Six different types of networks [1, 2, 22, 23, 24, 25] are considered in this article, exhibiting markedly distinct structural properties. The Erdős-Rényi (ER) (see also [26]) are obtained by unidirectionally connecting $N$ initially isolated nodes with a constant probability $\gamma$. The average degree of this network is $\langle k \rangle = (N - 1) \gamma$. A Barabási-Albert (BA) network can be obtained by starting with $m_0$ nodes and incorporating additional nodes, one at a time, with $m$ edges, which are attached to the previous nodes with probability proportional to their respective degrees. The average degree of this network is $\langle k \rangle = 2m$. The Watts-Strogatz networks (WS) can be generated by starting with a completely regular network, henceforth a linear regular structure, where each node is connected to its neighbors at each side. Afterwards, a percentage of edges (in our case 10 of existing edges) are randomly rewired. The geographical type of network considered in this article is obtained by distributing $N$ nodes uniformly along a two-dimensional space and connecting every pair of nodes with distance is smaller or equal to a maximum distance $d_{max}$. The two knitted networks [22] used in this article are the path-transformed BA network (PA) and the path-regular network (PN). The former is obtained by transforming the star-connections BA network into respective paths [22, 27], so that the resulting network contains a power law distribution of path lengths. The latter type, i.e. path-regular network, is particularly simple and is obtained by applying the following procedure several times: (a) start with $N$ isolated nodes; (b) choose an initial node and make it the current node; (c) select randomly, but without repetition, a new node among the remaining nodes and connect it to the current node; (d) repeat step (c) until all nodes are connected through a self-avoiding path. This kind of network has been found [22, 28] to exhibit remarkable uniformity with respect to a large series of measurements which is much higher than that presented by the ER model.

All networks are grown so as to have approximately the same average degree $\langle k \rangle$ and number of nodes $N$. We assume $K(i,i) = 0$ in all networks considered in this
work. Also, only the largest connected component of each network is considered. However, because of the relatively large the average node degree adopted in this work ($\langle k \rangle = 2m = 6$), most nodes will be typically contained in the principal connected component.

C. Inward and Outward Accessibility

Consider the simple but particularly important network shown in Figure 1(a), involving a central node 1 connected to 7 surrounding nodes, totaling $N = 8$ nodes. Let a moving agent start a non-preferential self-avoiding walk from node 1. After one step, the agent may be at any of the surrounding nodes 2 to 8. If we repeat this walk $M$ times and count the number of times $Q(2)$ that the agent reaches node 2, we can define the rate of accesses to that node as

\[
\frac{Q(2)}{M} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbf{1}(\text{agent reaches node 2})
\]

which has standard deviation given as $\sigma_{Q(2)}/M$ (observe that $\lim_{M \to \infty} \sigma_{Q(2)}/M = 0$). The mean period of accesses to node 2 is given as

\[
\frac{Q(2)}{M} = \frac{M P_2(1,2)}{M} = P_2(1,2) = 1/7
\]

where $P_2(1,2) = 1/7$. Actually, if we define the sequence of outcomes of the successive walks after a given number of steps as corresponding to a visit to node 2 or otherwise, we have a Bernoulli process with $M$ observations and $p = P_2(1,2)$ to which we can associate the random variable $Q(2)$ corresponding to number of times the agent reaches node 2. It can be shown that the random variable $Q(2)$ follows the binomial distribution, with mean $\langle Q(2) \rangle = Mp$ and standard deviation $\sigma_{Q(2)} = +\sqrt{Mp(1-p)}$. We can now define the mean frequency of accesses to node 2 as

\[
\langle Q(2) \rangle = \frac{M P_2(1,2)}{M} = P_2(1,2) = 1/7
\]

which has standard deviation given as $\sigma_{Q(2)}/M$ (observe that $\lim_{M \to \infty} \sigma_{Q(2)}/M = 0$). The mean period of accesses to node 2 is given as

\[
\langle R(2) \rangle = \frac{M}{\langle Q(2) \rangle} = \frac{M}{M P_2(1,2)} = \frac{1}{P_2(1,2)} = 7
\]

Now, let us consider the situation in which $M$ self-avoiding walks start not only at node 1, but at all nodes (because the walks do not interact one another, they can be performed simultaneously or not). More specifically, $M$ walks are initiated at each node of the network. We consider that each moving agent avoids only the nodes already visited by itself, ignoring visits by the other nodes. Observe that several real systems of interest can be modeled by such walks, including the disease spreading and WWW surfing. After one step, all the moving agents starting from nodes 2 to 8 will necessarily be at node 1, so that the frequency of access to node 1 remains as before and equal to 1/7. A completely different situation arises at the second step of the walks, in which case we have:

\[
P_2(1, w) = 0; \quad P_2(w, 1) = 0; \quad P_2(v, 2) = 1/6;
\]

where $w \in \{2, 3, \ldots, 8\}$ and $v \in \{3, 4, \ldots, 8\}$. Because we have a total of $N$ independent self-avoiding walks, it becomes reasonable to redefine the frequency of accesses to a node $i$, henceforth called inward accessibility of node $i$ after $h$ steps, as

\[
\langle IA_h(i) \rangle = \sum_{q=1}^{N} \frac{P_h(q, i)}{M(N-1)} \sum_{q=1, q\neq i}^{N} \frac{P_h(q, i)}{N-1}
\]

FIG. 1: A simple, but fundamental, network (a) involving a central node and 7 surrounding nodes. Other simple networks considered for illustration of the accessibilities (b-d). Please refer to the text for discussion.
where the normalizing factor \((N - 1)\) is used instead of \(N\) because this corresponds to the maximum number of nodes which can reach node \(i\) after a single step (i.e. node \(i\) is naturally excluded from the normalization). Therefore, the inward accessibility of any node varies from 0 to 1. Because of the non-linear dynamics of self-avoiding walks, the values of \(P_h(i,j)\) cannot be easily calculated in analytical fashion. In the current article, these probabilities are calculated computationally as described below. Observe also that \(\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(i,j) = 1\) and, generally, \(P_h(i,j) \neq P_h(j,i)\).

The above definition of the inward accessibility measurement has as its main motivation the quantification of how accessible a given node \(i\) is by moving agents performing self-avoiding random walks, all of which starting from all nodes simultaneously. As the number of such walks increase (or more agents are liberated simultaneously at each node), the inward accessibility of a node \(i\) will provide an indication of the intensity of visits to that node.

Let us now address the motivation and definition of the outward accessibility of a node \(i\) after \(h\) steps of self-avoiding walks. Consider again the situation in Figure 1 and let’s assume that a self-avoiding random walk started at node 1. After the first step, any of the nodes 2 to 8 will have an equal probability \(P_1(1,w) = 1/7\) of being accessed. Such a non-preferential, equiprobable transition from node 1 to the other nodes corresponds to the optimal situation favoring all the surrounding nodes to be accessed more uniformly and more speedily as successive (or simultaneous) self-avoiding walks are performed starting at node 1. Such an effectiveness of accesses can be conveniently expressed [21] in terms of the entropy (e.g. [29]) of the probabilities \(P_1(1,w)\). Therefore, in the above example we have this entropy \(E_h(i)\) is given as:

\[
E_1(1) = -\sum_{q=2}^{N} P_1(1,q) \ln(P_1(1,q))
\]

Though such a feature provides a sound basis for quantifying the diversity of the walks originating at \(i\), explored partially in [21], it has the shortcoming of exhibiting values comprehended between 0 and \(\ln(N - 1)\), and not between 0 and 1 as is the case with the above defined inward accessibility. The possibility of normalizing \(E_h(i)\) by dividing it by \(\ln(N - 1)\) presents the inconvenient that the inward and outward accessibilities involving transitions with the same probabilities would have different values. In order to illustrate such a problem, refer to the situation depicted in Figure 1(b), where the central node 1 is connected to 4 surrounding nodes (1, 2, 3 and 4), while other nodes are connected to node 4. The inward accessibility and diversity entropy of node 1 can be calculated as

\[
IA_1(1) = \frac{\sum_{q=2}^{5} P_1(q,1)}{N - 1} = 4/7 \approx 0.571
\]

\[
E_1(1) = \frac{-\ln(0.25)}{\ln(7)} \approx 0.712
\]

In order to avoid such a problem, in this work, we therefore define the outward accessibility as

\[
OA_h(i) = \frac{\exp(E_h(i))}{N - 1}
\]

Observe that a node having just one immediate neighbor will have the smallest entropy value \(OA = 1/(N - 1)\) (we are not considering networks with a single isolated node).

Now, going back to the example in Figure 1(b), we have:

\[
E_1(1) = -\ln(0.25)
\]

so that

\[
IA_1(1) = \frac{\sum_{q=2}^{5} P_1(q,1)}{N - 1} = 4/7
\]

\[
OA_1(1) = \frac{\exp(-\ln(0.25))}{7} = 4/7
\]

\[
E_1(1) = \frac{-\ln(0.25)}{\ln(7)} \approx 0.712
\]

i.e. the inward and outward accessibilities will be equal for the specific situation involving equiprobability transitions from a central node. Also, we necessarily have that \(0 \leq IA \leq 1\). A situation involving non-equiprobable transitions is shown in Figure 1(c). In this case:

\[
IA_1(1) = \frac{\sum_{q=2}^{4} P_1(q,1)}{N - 1} = 2/2 = 1
\]

\[
OA_1(1) = \frac{\exp(0.1\ln(0.1) + 0.9\ln(0.9))/2}{7} \approx 0.692
\]

Such values properly reflect the fact that node 1 in the network in Figure 1(c) has greater (actually optimal) potential to receive accesses from other nodes after one step than to access other nodes after that same number of steps. Actually, in the limit when \(P_1(1,2)\) becomes very small, we have

\[
\lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1}{\exp(aln(a) + (1-a)ln(1-a))} = 0.5
\]

which, as could be expected, corresponds to the situation illustrated in Figure 1(d).

It is interesting to observe that the quantity \(1/\exp(-E_h(i))\) can be understood, from the perspective of outward accessibility, as the 'equivalent number
of nodes’ attached to the reference node $i$, a real value instead of an integer. In other words, the outward accessibility of node 1 in the network in Figure 1 would be equivalent to the accessibility of that node connected to $1/\exp(-E_1(1))$ ‘fractionary nodes’ with equiprobable transitions $\exp(-E_1(1))$. In that case, we have 1.384 equivalent nodes and transition probabilities 0.722.

It should be observed that the above definitions of the inward and outward accessibilities are independent of the type of walk under consideration and can be easy and immediately extended to all other types of random walks and Markovian systems, linear or not, provided the transition probabilities $P_h(i, j)$ can be estimated or calculated. Actually, such probabilities can be understood as corresponding to the strengths of respectively defined virtual networks, in the sense that each probability $P_h(i, j)$ can be interpreted as a virtual link $[20]$ between nodes $i$ and $j$ at distance $h$. Consequently, it becomes reasonable to speak of nodes with high probabilities as hubs for the respect $h$.

Because of the non-linear dynamics of the self-avoiding walks, the transition probabilities $P_h(i, j)$ between all pairs of distinct nodes are difficult to be analytically calculated. In this work, we adopt the simple computational procedure described in [21], which involves performing several self-avoiding walks from each node and accumulating the relative frequencies of access to each visited node in terms of the number of steps $h$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A representative network has been chosen for each of the 6 considered network types in order to illustrate the estimation and interpretation of the inward and outward accessibilities of nodes. All networks had $(k) \approx 6$ and $N = 100$, except for the GG case, which has $N = 91$ nodes. Because the length of the self-avoiding walks were observed to be almost always equal to the maximum number of steps considered in this work (i.e. 10 steps), the obtained results are reasonably devoid of border effects (i.e. most of the walks did not reach the extremity nodes). This does not mean, however, that the following results will not exhibit specific scaling effects with the network size $N$.

Figures 4 to 9 show the respective measurements for the ER, BA, WS, GG, PN and PA networks. The $y$-axes corresponds to the number of steps along the self-avoiding walks (i.e. $h$). The gray intensity in these images indicate higher values of the measurements, normalized with respect to the maximum and minimum values for the sake of better visualization. A total of 2000 self-avoiding random walks were performed for each node by the algorithm for numeric estimation of the transition probabilities $P_h(i, j)$.

The first important result is that the inward accessibility values were verified to be much smaller than the respective outward accessibilities, as can be verified from Table I. Observe that the mean $IA$ values are necessarily 0.001 = 1/$N$ because of the normalization of the probabilities. Part of the reasons for the asymmetry of accesses shown in this table is readily clear from the example in Figure I(a): after two steps along the walks, any of the surrounding nodes will have $OA_2 = 6/7$ and $IA_2 = 1/7$. In this case, the marked asymmetry is caused by the difference of degrees between the central and the surrounding nodes as well as the fact that the surrounding nodes are attached to the central node only.

Because the regularity of networks is always a characteristic affecting several of the topological properties, let us consider how the accessibilities behave in a lattice network such as that shown in Figure 2. Such a network is highly regular with respect to the node degrees, except at its borders. Let us study the central node. At step $h = 1$, we have $OA_1 = 4/48$ and $IA_1 = 1/48$. For $h = 2$, we have $OA_2 = 8/48$ and $IA_2 = 1/48$. Observe that the asymmetry tends to increase strongly with $h$. Similar situations are verified for the other nodes in the network. Note that in the case of the network in Figure 2, the accessibilities to the central node for $h = 1$ and $h = 2$ are not affected by border effects.

A little reasoning reveals that the marked asymmetry between the outward and inward accessibilities in many networks is ultimately a consequence of the degree regularity and high average degree, implying that usually each node in a network tends to be connected to many additional distinct nodes as $h$ increases. Observe also a striking difference between the situations defined by $P_1(central, surround)$ in the networks in Figure I(a) and II while the surrounding nodes in the former case can only move to the central node after one step (implying the central node to have maximum inward accessibility), in the latter situation the nodes surrounding the reference node can move to many other places (therefore decreasing substantially the inward accessibility of the central node). This effect, which acts as a ‘diode’ on the flow of accesses, tends to increase substantially with $h$ and is the main cause of accessibility asymmetry in typical complex networks.

Additional insights about the nature of asymmetries in specific cases can be gathered by inspecting Figure 3 which show the PCA outward (a) and inward (b) accessibilities for the considered GG network. These measurements were obtained by applying principal component analysis (PCA) [23, 31] considering all number of steps, so that the resulting principal variables corresponded to the most relevant uncorrelated linear combination of measurements. First, observe that a group of nodes (in green) at the center of the graph resulted with the largest $OA$ values (Figure 3(a)). This is because such nodes have more neighbors along the initial steps of the self-avoiding walks than the nodes which resulted in smaller $OA$ values, which correspond to the ‘border’ of the graph. Observe that the $OA$ values can therefore be used to define the border of the network as corresponding to the
nodes with the smallest $OA$ values. Now, let us analyse the $IA$ values shown in Figure 3(b). An opposite tendency is clearly observed in this case, with the ‘border’ nodes yielding the highest $IA$ values. This is because such groups of nodes tend to act as traps for the self-avoiding walks (recall that the moving agents remain at the termination nodes). Observe also that the nodes leading to such traps (e.g. 3, 75 and 82) tend to have the smallest values of $IA$, as they do not accumulate the agents. The presence of traps, i.e. nodes or group of nodes loosely connected with the rest of the network, contributes greatly to decreasing the $IA$ values at the other nodes. In the specific case of the type of GG network considered in this work, such border (in the topological sense) nodes and groups of nodes tend to result at the spatial border of the graph.

A series of interesting results and insights can be inferred from Figures 4 to 10 which shows the evolution (bottom-up, along the vertical direction in the figures) of the $OA$, $IA$ and outward/inward ratios along the 10 steps ($y$-axes) for each of the network nodes (along the $x$-axes). We discuss such results from the general to the specific perspective as follows. To start with, $OA$ increases with $h$ in all cases. In agreement with previous results considering the diversity entropy signatures [21], the increase of $OA$ with $h$ tended to be more gradual for the WS and GG networks, possibly for the same reasons identified in [21], namely the fact that pairs of nodes in these two types of networks tend to be less adjacent regarding longer paths [32]. $IA$ tended to remain nearly constant with $h$ for ER, WS, PN and PA. This is a surprising result, because it indicates that the inward accessibility does not seem to depend on the length of the walks. As we will discuss later in this section, the $IA$ values are strongly positively correlated with the individual node degree in most cases, especially for $h \geq 3$. In the case of GG (see Figure 7(b)), the $IA$ values tended to increase, decrease or to present a peak along the steps $h$. Such a marked difference between this model and the 5 other types of networks suggests that the invariance of $IA$ with $h$ in the latter models seems to be related to some intrinsic feature of the GG type of network, possibly its strictly local node adjacency and lack of bypasses shortening the distance between nodes, so that the $IA$ values in this case are more dependent on the local connectivity structure of the network.

As shown in Figure 11 the $IA$ in the case of the BA network was dominated by the hub corresponding to node 2, which has degree 38. Interestingly, the $IA$ values tended to oscillate with $h$ for this node, decreasing for the largest values of $h$. Another interesting result regards the fact that $OA$ tended to get more uniform among the nodes for the highest values of $h$, except for the GG model. This is a consequence of the fact that the $OA$ values tend to increase steeply for most models (except WS and GG) towards plateaux of similar heights for most nodes in the networks. Therefore, after 2 or 3 time steps, most nodes in the ER, BA, WS, PN and PA will tend to access a large portion of the network nodes. The long range connections and path-adjacencies in these networks seem to play an important role in this effect. In the case of the GG structure, some nodes (e.g. nodes 4, 6, 8, 9, etc.) resulted with markedly higher values of $OA$ along the highest values of $h$ – see Figure 7. This implies that some nodes in the network acquire an especially important role.
FIG. 3: The $OA$ (a) and $IA$ (b) accessibilities for the GG network considered in this article. The color bar increases from bottom upwards.

in accessing nodes as the number of steps increase in this type of networks.

Unlike $OA$, the values of $IA$ tend to vary little with $h$ for each node, except for the WS and PN cases, in the sense that substantial similarity is observed among the $IA$ values of several nodes. As a matter of fact, $IA$ becomes intensely uniform for the largest values of $h$ for the PN network (see Figure 8), corroborating the enhanced uniformity of this type of network (see also [21, 22, 28]). The few nodes in Figure 8 presenting smaller values of $IA$ have been verified to correspond to the initial and final nodes of the self-avoiding walks which are used to construct that type of network [22].

Because the inward accessibilities are largely smaller than the respective outward counterparts, the values of the ratios outward/inward tended to mostly reflect the latter measurement, except mainly for the PN case. More specifically, the outward/inward ratios in this network indicates that the extremity nodes used to build this type of network tend to present a tendency to access more than being accessed, a trend which increases with $h$.

Having investigated the overall properties of the inward and outward accessibilities for examples of the 6 considered models, we now turn our attention to the particularly relevant problem of trying to predict the dynamical property of accessibility by considering exclusively topological features of the networks. Observe that this issue is intrinsically related to the structure-dynamics paradigm in complex networks research. Though such an analysis can be performed while considering several network measurements [23], in the current article we focus our attention on correlations between the individual node accessibility and the respective degree $k$. A similar investigation targeting the correlation between linear diffusion (simulated by traditional random walks) and node degree has been reported in [15]. That work showed that though full positive correlation is verified between visits received by nodes and the respective degree in the case of undirected, connected networks, such a correlation is generally broken in the case of directed networks. A sufficient condition, namely that the in and outdegree of all nodes be identical, was identified in that work. The consideration of non-linear dynamics implemented by self-avoiding networks in the current article naturally breaks the full correlation between node accessibility and degree. Yet, a strong positive correlation between the inward accessibility and node degree has been verified for several of the 6 networks studied in the current work, especially for $h$ larger than 3 or 4 steps.

Figures 10 to 14 illustrate the scatterplots of $OA \times k$ and $IA \times k$ respectively to the ER, BA, WS, GG, PN and PA networks and $h = 1$ (the initial step), 5 (the middle step) and 10 (the final steps in our self-avoiding walks). Figure 16 shows the evolution of the Pearson correlation coefficients for the correlations $IA \times k$ and $OA \times k$ in terms of $h$ for all networks. Recall that the Pearson correlation coefficient varies from -1 (extreme negative correlation) to 1 (extreme most positive correlation), with null value
FIG. 4: The outward accessibility (a), inward accessibility (b) and the outward/inward ratio (c) for the 10 initial steps obtained for the considered ER network with $N = 100$ nodes and $\langle k \rangle = 6$.

FIG. 5: The outward accessibility (a), inward accessibility (b) and the outward/inward ratio (c) for the 10 initial steps obtained for the considered BA network with $N = 100$ nodes and $\langle k \rangle = 6$.

indicate that the two measurement are uncorrelated.

The first important conclusion regarding the relationship between the outward accessibility and the individual node degree concerns the fact that a full positive correlation is obtained between these two features for $h = 1$ (see Figures 4 to 9 and Figure 16). However, such a correlation decreases steeply with $h$, and becomes almost irrelevant after 4 or 5 steps (see Figure 16). These results imply that the outward accessibility of each node can only be used to predict the respective outward ac-
FIG. 6: The outward accessibility (a), inward accessibility (b) and the outward/inward ratio (c) for the 10 initial steps obtained for the considered WS network with $N = 100$ nodes and $\langle k \rangle = 6$.

FIG. 7: The outward accessibility (a), inward accessibility (b) and the outward/inward ratio (c) for the 10 initial steps obtained for the considered GG network with $N = 91$ nodes and $\langle k \rangle = 6$.

Interestingly, an opposite trend has been observed for the correlation between the inward accessibility and the degree, which is generally high at $h = 1$ and tends to increase further with $h$ for most cases, except WS and GG (see Figure 10). This result implies that the inward accessibility of a node can be predicted with remarkable accuracy from the respective node degree, especially for relative high values of $h$. This is surprising because the higher the value of $h$, the more global the dynamics is un-
folded along the topology of the network. The surprise therefore resides in the fact that the node degree, an intrinsically local property of the network connectivity, can be used to predict accurately (except for WS and GG) the global transient dynamics of the self-avoiding walks for most values of $h$. Such a result has particularly important practical implications. For instance, in a system properly modeled by the self-avoiding walks unfolding on complex networks similar to ER, BA, PN and PA, it is possible to predict the number of visits to each node in

FIG. 8: The outward accessibility (a), inward accessibility (b) and the outward/inward ratio (c) for the 10 initial steps obtained for the considered PN network with $N = 100$ nodes and $\langle k \rangle = 6$.

FIG. 9: The outward accessibility (a), inward accessibility (b) and the outward/inward ratio (c) for the 10 initial steps obtained for the considered PA network with $N = 100$ nodes and $\langle k \rangle = 6$. 
FIG. 10: The scatterplots of the outward and inward accessibilities against the node degree in the ER network, with respective Pearson correlation coefficients.

FIG. 11: The scatterplots of the outward and inward accessibilities against the node degree in the BA network, with respective Pearson correlation coefficients.
FIG. 12: The scatterplots of the outward and inward accessibilities against the node degree in the WS network, with respective Pearson correlation coefficients.

FIG. 13: The scatterplots of the outward and inward accessibilities against the node degree in the GG network, with respective Pearson correlation coefficients.
FIG. 14: The scatterplots of the outward and inward accessibilities against the node degree in the PA network, with respective Pearson correlation coefficients.

FIG. 15: The scatterplots of the outward and inward accessibilities against the node degree in the PN network, with respective Pearson correlation coefficients.
The above result has major implications for several real-world problems. For instance, in the case of disease spreading or node attack, the more susceptible nodes can be easily identified and protected. If the dynamics is used to model neuronal or dissemination of cortical activation, the more active nodes can be immediately identified from their degrees. The strong correlation between the inward accessibility and the individual node degree also paves the way for interfering in the local network topology (e.g., changing the degree of specifically critical nodes) in order to address specific needs. For instance, in the case of WWW surfing, a site (i.e., a node) can get more accessed provided it can motivate additional links (however, note that this type of network would typically be directed, so that such an application would require additional studies on self-avoiding walks on that type of asymmetric connectivity). The correlation between inward accessibility and degree verified for most of the networks is strongly related to the fact that, once the walks have become spread along the network as $h$ increases (the ER, BA, PN and PA networks tend to have strong and regular path-adjacency), most edges tend to exhibit similar rates of accesses, so that the final inward accessibility is ultimately defined by the individual node degree, which taps visits with an intensity which is almost linear with the number of incoming edges. The strategy of enhancing the $IA$ correlation by adding new connections with other nodes will not generally work in the GG case because in that case a node typically receives connections only from nearby nodes (regarding spatial distance), so that it will tap accesses from nodes with similar — and possibly low — accessibility. Ultimately, it is such a possibility of segregation of levels of accessibility which contributes to the break of the $IA \times k$ correlation in the case of the GG (and also to a certain extent in the WS) model.

Interestingly, the importance of uniformity of edge activation for the inward correlation also explains why it is so difficult to predict the outward accessibility from the degree larger values of $h$: the problem is that the dissemination of the accesses tends to become uniform as the walks unfold along longer lengths irrespectively of the local connectivity along the initial steps of the walks. These results and interpretations suggest investigations of the accessibility to edges, in addition to the studies targeting nodes reported in the current work.

Still considering the positive correlation between the inward accessibility and degree, it can be verified from Figure 4 that the correlation in the scatterplot for $h = 10$ suggests a saturation of that relationship, manifested by the sigmoid bending at the right-hand side of the curve. Another interesting issue regards the reasons for the lack of correlation between the inward accessibility and the degree observed for the GG model. Strictly speaking, some significant correlation is observed for $h = 1$ to 3 or 4 (see Figure 10), but it tends to fade away for larger values of $h$. One possible explanation is that the more local adjacency between nodes in this type of network (a consequence of spatial adjacency, which tends to present communities for the considered size — see Figure 3) implies that the flow of accesses along the edges of the network for large values of $h$ will not be so uniform, making it impossible to predict the inward accessibility in terms of the individual node degrees.

If the GG network implied the worst predictability, the PA allowed the opposite feature, exhibiting Pearson correlation coefficient for $IA \times k$ very close to 1 except for $h = 1$. This result seems to be related to the fact that paths of several lengths are guaranteed to exist among several of the nodes in this type of networks as a consequence of the way in which they are generated, i.e., by transforming the star connectivity into path connectivity.

### IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

One particularly interesting means to investigate the relationship between the dynamics and structure in complex networks involves the study of non-linear random walks such as the self-avoiding walks. Unlike traditional walks, that type of walk is more purposive and effective in the sense of economically visiting nodes, i.e., with the minimal possible number of edges. Because such a kind of walk cannot repeat nodes or edges, it implies in a more effective diffusion of visits away from the original node, without the returns implied by the traditional random walks. In addition, unlike their traditional counterparts, self-avoiding walks are necessarily finite. Despite such important and useful features, self-avoiding walks have received relatives little attention from the complex networks literature. Even less frequently studied is the transient dynamics in such systems.

Another important aspect regarding the study of dynamics in complex networks regards the accessibility of a node. This property is critical because it underlies a series of important theoretical and real-world problems, including disease spreading, WWW navigation, protein interaction, transportation, urban planning, communications, distributed computing, cortical network activity, neuronal networks (where the refractory period of neuronal firing can be modeled by the self-avoiding criterion), amongst many other cases.

The current article has brought together these two relevant issues, namely self-avoiding walks and node in ward and outward accessibility. More specifically, we build up from previous results in order to propose two sound and meaningful definitions of the accessibilities. Therefore, by outward accessibility we quantify the potential of each node in a given network to be accessed by self-avoiding walks originating at all other network nodes. The inward accessibility has an analogue meaning regarding the accesses received by each specific node. Both such measurements can be calculated from the transition probabilities between any pair of distinct nodes for each considered number of steps. In this work, these probabilities have been estimated by using a simple algorithm.
which consists exactly in implementing the considered dynamics, i.e. performing a large number of self-avoiding walks from each node. The particularly relevant issue of trying to predict the dynamical property of accessibility by considering exclusively topological features of the networks (in the case of the present work the individual node degree) has also been addressed. This issue is at the very center of the structure/dynamics relationship paradigm.

Because of the quantity of results, the reader is kindly requested to refer to Section III for a complete listing and discussion of the results. Only the most relevant results and interpretations are listed in the following. The first result which stands out regards the fact that the outward accessibility is invariably much larger than the inward accessibility for most nodes. This has been verified to be a consequence of the relatively large degrees and regularity observed for most of the considered networks, which enhances the possibilities that the outgoing walks diverge among the network. As a matter of fact, observe that the outward accessibility presents an analogy with the Lyapunov coefficient in dynamics systems, in the sense that it quantifies the divergence of the dynamics along the consecutive steps of the walks. Another particularly relevant result obtained in this work concerns the fact that most accessibility values for each individual node tend to increase with $h$. A series of results and insights were additionally obtained regarding the application of the measurements to 6 distinct types of complex networks, namely Erdős-Rényi, Barabási-Albert, Watts-Strogatz, a simple geographical type of network, as well as two knitted networks (PN and PA). Out of these models, the GG case led to the most different dynamics, as a consequence

FIG. 16: The Pearson correlation coefficients obtained between the outward and inward accessibilities and the node degrees for each of the considered networks.
of its intense local adjacency. The accessibilities were found to increase more abruptly along \( h \) for the ER, BA, PN and PA structures. Except for the GG model, the outward accessibility was moderately correlated with the node degree along the initial steps for all models, fading away after 3 or 4 steps. Surprisingly, the inward accessibility tended to be high and to increase further with the number of steps (except of the WS and GG case), implying that such a global dynamical property can be accurately predicted from the degree of individual nodes. This important result is related to the uniformization of the flow accesses among the edges typically achieved for larger values of \( h \).

Several are the possibilities for future investigations motivated by the currently reported concepts, methods and results. To begin with, it would be interesting to consider other values of average degree and network sizes, though this will require considerable computational resources. Because of the intrinsic importance of accessibility, it would be particularly interesting to characterize the inward and outward accessibility of real-world networks, such as those related to disease spreading, transportation, biological interactions, amongst many others. Also, as the definition of accessibilities proposed in this article are independent of the type of network and random walk, it would be easy and immediate to extend such analyses to additional theoretical network models and less conventional random walks such as those described in [18], including direct and weighted structures. Particularly promising is the application of random walks formulation to model and study synchronization in linear and non-linear systems, e.g. by considering nodes with similar effective period (estimated as the inverse of the respective transition probabilities, which play a role of mean frequency of accesses) of received visits. Because of the correlations between the accessibilities and the individual node degrees are to a large extent related to the uniformity of access rates (or flows) along the edges, it would be particularly interesting to investigate in a systematic way the dynamics of the accesses along edges, extending the concept of accessibility to edges in addition to nodes. Another possibility worth being pursued is to allow the walks to start only at specific subsets of nodes, so that more specific aspects of the dynamics and its relationship with specific connectivity patterns of the network can be dissected. Of particular interest among all the possibilities defined by the current work is possibly to use the strong correlations between the inward accessibility and node degree in order to make predictions about the former in terms of the latter, allowing a series of important applications to problems involving real-world networks. Actually, the identification of such a close relationship between a global dynamical feature and a inherently local topological property provides a striking example of the importance that structure-dynamics studies can have for complex networks research.
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