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ABSTRACT

The introduction of various robotics technology has made it easier to apply these approaches to agricultural procedures. However, due to the enormous differences in shape, size, rate and type of growth, kind of yield, and environmental needs for different types of crops, implementing this technology on farms has proven difficult. Agricultural processes are a series of time-dependent, methodical, repeated actions. Tilling, soil analysis, seeding, transplanting, crop scouting, insect management, weed removal, and harvesting are all major processes in open arable farming, and robots can help with all of them. By shrinking the range of the search grayscale range, the new method efficiently shortens the algorithm's search speed and reduces computation processing time. The edge contour picture of the corn and weed targets is used as the study object, and we built an algorithm to achieve an accurate selection of the 2D coordinate points of the corn and weed targets in the field crop image. A quadratic traversal algorithm is proposed in this paper for selecting target 2D coordinate points in the pixel coordinate system, as well as the related traversal search box. To achieve real-time target recognition and complete automatic cut classification of targets, the Faster R-CNN deep network model based on the VGG-16 feature extraction network is deployed. The use and implementation of our ideas in this study can help intelligent weeding robots perform more precise weeding operations and increase their efficiency.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Weed management and control are critical for high-yielding, high-quality crops, and developments in weed control technologies have had a significant impact on agricultural output. Any weed control method that is effective must be both durable and versatile. Despite the variety in field circumstances, robust weed control technologies will successfully manage weeds. Adaptable weed management technology can adapt its method in response to changing weed populations, genetics, and environmental circumstances [1].

Agricultural robotics focuses on crucial work in robotic weeder development, such as weed sensing systems and weed control methods. After a lengthy introduction, the chapter focuses on the issues of robotic weed management, including perception systems that can recognise and categorise weed plants from crop plants, as well as weed control strategies that include chemical and mechanical weed control. [2] Provides a case study of an automated weeding system.

Agricultural robots have a lot of promise in terms of delivering weed control methods that are much more adaptive, even at the plant level. They might be able to target weed plants directly using chemical or horticultural instruments. These features can be seen in agricultural robots because they use current breakthroughs in artificial intelligence (AI) to the control of weeds in crop fields. Bringing AI and robotics technology to weed management, on the other hand, poses a number of obstacles that, at least in the current state of technology, may restrict the robustness of robotic weed control. Weeds are plants that are out of place or are harmful to the crop plants in the field. Crop plants are cultivated because they have a monetary worth to the grower. As a result, any plant that is not assisting the producer's management scheme, such as volunteer corn growing in a soybean field, might be considered a weed. Plants are classified as weeds based on their location and competition with agricultural plants. As a result, robotic weed control is an ill-posed problem until the agricultural producer's goals for a field are communicated to the robot, which will then recognise and make judgements about which plants are weeds that must be controlled [3-6].
Another issue is that, while crop plants are manually planted in a structured manner compatible with agricultural machinery, weed plants emerge and flourish in patterns that are natural to their ecology. As a result, weed plants grow in haphazard patterns on a field. The plants that make up the weed collection in the field are diverse. At different scales, this variability varies as well. The appearance of weed plants varies on a meter-by-meter basis, as well as at larger scales: field, farm, county, state, region, and climatic zone [7].

Weed management solutions take this heterogeneity into account and apply weed control approaches that are both generic and robust enough to control weeds effectively. There are apparent obstacles to overcome in the development of robotic weed management technologies. These difficulties include instructing the robot which plants must be controlled and identifying the unique characteristics of those plants. To keep weed plants under control, their growth must be slowed or stopped without harming surrounding agricultural plants [8].

While there are numerous approaches to weed management, the majority of weed control machines use mechanical or chemical weed control methods. For many years, these methods have been utilised in traditional mechanised agriculture, and they have lately been combined with automation technology to either reduce inputs or impose more accurate control of weed plants. Other weed control strategies exist, such as flame, hot water or steam, or high voltage, but their adoption has been modest, and no study on automating them has been recorded. As a result, only chemical and mechanical weed control strategies will be discussed in this section [9].

When the weeds are growing close to the agricultural plants, it's even more difficult to control them. Figure 1 depicts the five key tasks performed by task-based agricultural robots.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Scholz et al. [16] an automatic soil penetrometer was built and integrated into an autonomous mobile robot named Bonirob. The soil penetrometer features a probing rod with a force sensor that uses a linear actuator to penetrate the soil to a depth of 80 cm. This robot also has surface moisture and temperature sensors, as well as the ability to measure the soil's physical qualities. Their findings demonstrated a significant similarity to data from a commercial penetrometer, with RMSEs of 0.185, 0.145, and 0.120 MPa for loamy sand, sand, and silt soil textures, respectively.

Pobkrut & Kercharoen et al. [17] To assess specific chemical qualities of soil, researchers created a soil-sensing survey robot based on an electronic nose. TGS 825 for hydrogen sulphide; MQ2 for combustible gas; MQ5 for LPG and natural gas; MQ135 for ammonia, benzene, and carbon dioxide; TGS 2600 for air pollutants; and TGS 2602 for volatile organic compound (VOCs) and odorous gases. To receive data from the sensors and manage the entire system, an Arduino Mega 256 controller was used.

Chapman et al. [18] A pheno-copter, or autonomous robotic helicopter for plant phenotyping, was developed. To evaluate images in numerous spectra, this equipment was fitted with two digital cameras and one far infrared camera. One of the tests used a pheno-copter at a height of 60 metres to estimate the ground cover of hybrid sorghum and investigate the link between the number of plants per plot and the green cover for 100 plots. Using data from visible and thermal cameras, the canopy temperature and relative transpiration index in sugarcane were calculated under various irrigation settings. Approximation was used to calculate the prospective transpiration index for 40 sugarcane clones based on green cover and relative crop temperature. Images from an NIR filtered camera were combined with information on longitude, latitude, elevation, and flight log to create a point cloud elevation model, from which the canopy height was calculated.

Polder et al. [19] A totally enclosed, manually propelled platform with a diffused fluorescent lamp and a multispectral camera was designed (RGB & NIR). As an image of each tulip plant is obtained, the platform is manually moved over the plant. Images in the near-infrared region aid in segmenting the image and distinguishing the plant from the dirt. Fisher's linear discriminant classification techniques are used to identify diseased plants amid healthy plants. The outcome is then compared to the results of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and an expert survey. The findings of this study revealed that agricultural experts correctly recognized 80 percent of ill plants and misclassified healthy plants 10% of the time. The machine vision system, on the other hand, accurately recognized over 90% of the infected plants and misclassified 10% of the healthy plants as diseased. The author also made suggestions about how to improve this platform for robotics.

Griepentrog et al. [20] To construct an autonomous mechanisation system, a Hakotrac 3000 was equipped...
with a GNSS for navigation and an electro-hydraulic valve for steering (AMS). Interfacing with a data logging system that maintained maps for seeding using a grid seeder and punch plater was used to establish crops. The GNSS system was utilised to precisely place seeds in the field. The experimental results revealed a mean standard deviation of 2.53 mm, with 95 percent of the data falling within 5.1 mm based on a normal distribution.

Hossain and Ferdous et al. [21] The bacterial foraging optimization (BFO) technique was used to create a new algorithm. They also looked at how BFO could be used in mobile robot navigation to find the shortest path between the present position and the goal position in an unknown environment with moving obstacles.

Contreras Cruz et al. [22] To overcome the problem of mobile robot path planning, an evolutionary strategy was presented. The suggested method combines the artificial bee colony algorithm as a local search operation with the evolutionary programming algorithm to refine the feasible path discovered by a series of local procedures. The approaches they presented above are mostly for robotic path design, and the majority of them overlooked the fact that weed eradication also necessitates path planning.

Xu et al. [23] For row crops, researchers developed a real-time weed location and variable-speed herbicide spraying (VRHS) system. They suggested an improved particle swarm optimization (IPSO) approach for segmenting wild cornfield weed photos, which improves on the traditional particle swarm optimization algorithm to fulfill field management's real-time data processing needs. Using typical machine learning methods, the aforementioned researchers were able to recognise and segment weeds. They did not, however, provide a method for accurately locating weeds. In order to shorten the length of the trip and the time it takes to complete it.

Liu et al. [24] to identify crop and weed targets, an on-site image spectrometer system was created. Using a small number of spectral bands, multiclass differentiation between weeds or crops and weeds can be achieved. In general, several of the algorithms or specialised systems created above have produced useful experimental findings, but none of them have qualitatively assessed and quantified the distance between crops and weeds, as well as weeds and weeds. Furthermore, the above research was missing the weed eradication path planning guidelines for protecting target crops. This study presents an effective quadratic traversal method for the field weeding robot to tackle the aforesaid challenges as well as provide efficient and accurate weed removal guidance.

III. METHODOLOGY

The system structure for agricultural mobile robots for cornfield weeding is depicted in Figure 1. The proposed system’s detailed function introduction is as follows. The depth camera is used to extract real-time RGB colour images from the video stream. It's also used to achieve multitarget depth range and path planning for a weeding path that’s as efficient as possible. Target recognition and grayscale image processing are two of the most important aspects of data preprocessing. Target recognition and automatic cutting are utilised for corn and weed images, respectively, and grayscale image processing is based on the EXG approach to generate grayscale images in the RGB colour space.

![Figure 2. Overview of the system framework](image)

Using our improved OTSU algorithm can achieve the generation and optimization of binary images. Compared with the traditional OTSU algorithm, the algorithm compresses the range of the search grayscale interval. The search speed of the algorithm is effectively improved, and the proposed path planning calculation is time efficient. It meets the demand of the real-time data processing requirements, which allows that our method can be further applied to the mobile agricultural weeding robot in the field.

IV. QUADRATIC TRAVERSAL ALGORITHM

The edge contour picture of the corn and weed targets is used as the research object in order to achieve an accurate selection of the 2D coordinate points of the corn and weed targets in the field crop image. A quadratic traversal algorithm is proposed in this paper for selecting target 2D coordinate points in the pixel coordinate system, as well as the related traversal search box. The following are the main phases in implementing the algorithm:

**Step 1:** Define a traversal search box size of pi pixels, a row step size of qi pixels, and a row step size of pi pixels. In the target contour edge picture of size M N, calculate the number of row direction traversal search boxes and the number of column direction traversal search boxes.
Step II: The priority row traversal method is used to explore the edge contour image of corn and weed objects using the traversal search box. Store the number of pixels that fulfill the set conditions in the database C in order for the traversal search box.

Step III: Obtain the serial number for the traversal search box in the database with the maximum number of pixels that matches the stated conditions. It's worth noting that the serial number is a positive integer that starts at one.

Step IV: Using the appropriate serial number of the traversal search box, calculate the position information of the traversal search box on the edge contour image of the target.

V. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The global positioning system is abbreviated as GPS. It is an omnidirectional, all-weather, all-time, high-precision satellite navigation system capable of providing low-cost, high-precision three-dimensional position, speed, and accurate timing navigation information to users all over the world. The Lidar (VLP-16) is in charge of creating a real-time 2D or 3D navigation map of the cornfield at close range, as well as giving real-time 3D point cloud information around it, which may be used to offer precise navigation information for the cornfield mobile robotics platform. A pair of left-eye and right-eye stereo infrared cameras, infrared dot-matrix laser emitters, and RGB cameras make up the RGB-D depth camera [25].

The size is 90mm 25mm 25mm and is suitable for both indoor and outdoor use. The depth camera is based on the triangulation method for binocular stereo distance measurement, in which a pair of stereo infrared cameras collect depth information from the target and a dot-matrix infrared laser emitter projects certain structural features of light on the target in the visual scene. Color image data is collected using an RGB camera, which can align colour image video streams and depth image video streams. The maximum distance that can be covered is ten metres [26]. It's widely used in research fields like drones, robots, and augmented reality/virtual reality.

Universal robots (UR5) have six rotary joints (degrees of freedom) and can perform automated tasks with a maximum load of 5 kg as a collaborative robotic arm. It has a maximum working radius of 850 mm. The cornfield mobile robotics platform's mobile carrier is a robotic mobile base (Husky A200). It works with four-wheel drive. The maximum payload is 75 kilograms, and the top speed is 1 metre per second. The workstation is essentially an industrial personal computer because it is a high-performance processing unit [27]. On the one hand, it's used to run the algorithm programme that we created. It is, on the other hand, used to communicate with the key devices mentioned above [11-13].

Run the supporting software development programme based on camera on a Windows 10 workstation and compile and generate image acquisition and target ranging software again. The camera's driver is included in the image acquisition and target ranging software, allowing the depth camera to collect image depth and RGB information at a rate of not less than 20 frames per second (fps). The pixels of the collected image are converted to 640 480 pixels at the same time. Its goal is to calculate the distance between corn and weeds, as well as between weeds and weeds, using the image depth information currently available, and then plan the shortest weeding path. Figure 3 depicts the specifics.
Figure 4. Schematic diagram of corn and weed recognition as well as automatic weed removing.

Novelty of the proposed method and unique feature

- Using our quadratic traversal algorithm which can achieve the generation and optimization of binary images. The search speed of the algorithm is effectively improved, and the proposed path planning calculation is time efficient.
- Lidar (VLP-16) is responsible for constructing a real-time 2D or 3D navigation map of the cornfield at close range and providing real-time 3D point cloud information around it, which can further provide precise navigation information for the cornfield mobile robotics platform.
- Therefore, the various performances of our proposed method can basically meet the real-time processing requirements of agricultural weeding robots using our proposed quadratic traversal algorithm.

Comparison between the proposed and the existing one

| Algorithms            | Number of targets | Running time (s) | Diversity rate (%) |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Path planning algorithm | 7                 | 8.63             | 32.4               |
| Quadratic traversal algorithm | 7 | 9.10             | 3.90               |
| Genetic algorithm     | 7                 | 4.20             | 18.3               |

RCNN is considered, though others are available

The Faster R-CNN deep network model based on the VGG-16 feature extraction network is used to realize real-time target recognition and complete automatic cut classification of targets. By returning the predicted parameter information of the border regression and the color of the prediction border, the target category in the image can be accurately determined.

VII. CONCLUSION

The task of weed one-time removal operations in cornfields is investigated in this study. To achieve real-time target recognition and complete automatic cut classification of targets, the Faster R-CNN deep network model based on the VGG-16 feature extraction network is deployed. The target category in the image can be accurately determined by returning the predicted parameter information of the border regression and the color of the prediction border, and we realized the data connection between deep learning and traditional algorithms. The use and implementation of our ideas in this study can help intelligent weeding robots perform more precise weeding operations and increase their efficiency. In the meantime, it has significant practical implications for promoting the use of intelligent weeding robots in the field.

VIII. FUTURE ENHANCEMENT

The following are two aspects of our future work: (1) A quantitative analysis of the robot's power consumption. (2) Take into account the impact of outdoor dynamic environmental factors.
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