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Background: The final third of the year 2019 was marked by the outbreak of the deadly coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. This virus paralyzed almost all economic sectors, including governments, forcing educational institutions to close. School closures resulted in significant learning losses and increased inequality in the education sector across the world. Despite these disruptions, however, available evidence reveals that some countries quickly developed adaptive mechanisms of emergency remote learning systems and other alternative methods to recover from learning losses, such as televisions, telephones, Zoom, social media, Google Classrooms, email, and even the post office. These learning recovery programs are instrumental in preparing world economies for future shocks. Various studies are being conducted to assess the impact of this pandemic from different sectors and perspectives. However, systematic literature reviews documenting the adaptive behaviors in educational institutions before and after COVID-19 are either sparse or nonexistent. Additionally, systematic reviews provide a synthesis of the available evidence, indicate directions for further research, and inform decision-making. This systematic literature review focuses on documenting the adaptation strategies and subsequent positive outcomes emerging from the COVID-19 pandemic.

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to review published articles on adaptive behavior in educational institutions, and, in particular, review the outcomes of adaptive behavior and coping strategies/mechanisms over time.

Methodology: The study used a systematic literature review approach as a core methodology for defining answerable research questions, searching the literature for the best available evidence, appraising the quality of the evidence, and collecting and aggregating available data for answering the identified questions. The material was collected using the Science Direct and Emerald databases, which are highly regarded as comprehensive and authoritative. Other documents, especially the reports, were collected from the Google Scholar search engine. Search strings used include “COVID-19 adaptive behavior in educational institutions”, “COVID-19 coping strategies in educational institutions”, “COVID-19 adaptation mechanisms in the education sector”, “adaptive work behavior and COVID-19”, “COVID-19...
positive outcomes”, “adaptive work behavior in educational institutions”, and “adaptation strategies in educational institutions”.

**Findings and conclusion:** Although the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic wreaked havoc on many aspects of life, the education sector was hit more than most other enterprises, and most, if not all, educational institutions were closed as all students were sent home. Educational institutions transitioned to remote teaching and learning strategies. Several studies report a number of positive outcomes ranging from personal (individuals) and institutional (organizations) to technology-based. Among the key technology-based positive outcomes frequently reported in the literature are increased innovations and increased digital resilience in educational institutions, among others.
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**Introduction**

The world has been gripped by coronavirus disease (COVID-19) since the first half of 2020, resulting in unprecedented changes in people’s way of life (Kniffin et al., 2021). The mitigation strategies, including limiting social contacts, physical distancing, and the temporary shutdown of businesses in all sectors (i.e. lockdowns), had enormous negative economic and health effects (Tusl et al., 2021; Karakose and Malkoc, 2021; Kniffin et al., 2021). As the virus ravaged the world, there was a dramatic increase in unemployment despite the joint efforts of governments and firms to prevent work interruptions (Kniffin et al., 2021). New measures such as “working at home”, teleworking, use of essential workers approach, or rearranging the workspace to maximize physical distancing were implemented (Kniffin et al., 2021).

Previous studies have used the concept of adaptive work behavior with less emphasis on the education sector. For example, a number of studies have been conducted on employee adaptive and nonadaptive outcomes in dynamic work situations (Neal and Griffin, 1999; Pulakos et al., 2000, 2002; Griffin et al., 2007; Bednall and Henricks, 2021), adaptive performance, task proficiency, and proactivity (Griffin et al., 2007) and dimensions of adaptive performance and measurement scales (Charbonnier-Voirin and Roussel, 2012). A number of these studies were also conducted before the outbreak of the coronavirus pandemic in 2019, yet there is also a need to document the adaptive work behavior practices during this period, especially in the education sector given the diverse effects of COVID-19 on the education sector.

Among the highly affected sectors, especially in developing economies, were educational institutions (Mncube et al., 2021). In countries like Uganda, educational institutions were closed for 2 years, and during that period, no normal classes and lectures were organized, and learners were confined to their homes for this period of time (Olum et al., 2020; Twinamasiko et al., 2021). To date, many institutions are facing the challenge of normalizing. In addressing this anomaly, a number of educational institutions had to create different adaptive work behaviors to thrive through the hard times (Karanika-Murray and Biron, 2020; Twinamasiko et al., 2021), and these included virtual learning technologies and tools to facilitate remote learning (Meletiou-mavrotheris et al., 2022), home-schooling (Omara, 2020), radio and televised classes, and school holiday packages (Manyiraho and Atibuni, 2021), among others. Following this trend, the concept of adaptive work behavior has become very important in the education sector, and therefore, understanding its dynamics is of great importance.

Griffin et al. (2010) explained the concept of adaptive work behavior in different spheres, including the degree to
which individuals cope with, respond to, and/or support changes resulting in more effective contributions in their roles as individuals, team members, or members of the organization (Griffin et al., 2010), the effectiveness of an individual’s response to new demands resulting from the novel and often ill-defined problems created by uncertainty, complexity, and rapid changes in work situations (Schmitt and Chan, 2014), an effective change in response to an altered situation (Dorsey et al., 2017), and employees capability to adapt to rapidly changing work situations (Neal and Griffin, 1999).

Notably, the concept of adaptation is rooted in Darwin’s theory of change (Darwin and Kebler, 1859), where issues related to adaptive work behavior and continuous adaptation to changing environmental needs were introduced by Nelson et al. (2007), and since then a number of studies have advanced these concepts in the literature. The American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities explains adaptive work behavior as the collection of conceptual, social, and practical skills that individuals need to function in their daily lives (Tassé et al., 2012). Martin et al. (2012) indicated that adaptive work behavior is the capacity to modify one’s cognition, affect, and behavior constructively, reflecting an individual difference in the way that one responds to changing, new, and uncertain conditions. To summarize, Zhang et al. (2021) posited that adaptability has three main components, i.e., cognitive adjustment, which is the modification of one’s thoughts; behavioral adjustment, defined as the modification of one’s actions; and effective adjustment, which refers to altering one’s effective response (Martin et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2021).

Adaptive work behavior has been positioned as one of the most relevant change agents in organizations because of its significance to a company’s sustainable development, contribution to the company’s profitability, long-term continuity, and enhancement of firm competitiveness and performance (Ali et al., 2020). Adaptive work behavior

TABLE 2  Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

| Inclusion                                                                                      | Exclusion                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • Peer reviewed journal articles written in English                                            | • Non-peer reviewed journal articles                                      |
| • Articles published between 2000 and 2022.                                                    | • Literature review articles,                                             |
| • Research articles aimed at assessing adaptive work behavior,                                 | • Book chapters, magazines, and conference papers                        |
| performance/work outcomes are considered.                                                     |                                                                          |

FIGURE 1  Flowchart for the selection of studies.
TABLE 3 Analytical categories.

| Category                | Description of the category                                      |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Year                    | The year in which the article/document was published             |
| Publication             | The name of the journal in which the article was published       |
| Analysis technics       | Type of analysis techniques used in the study                   |
| Thematic analysis       | Adaptation strategies, tools and positive outcomes emerging from COVID-19 |

performance can also lead to improved organizational outcomes, including managing change, organizational learning, and keeping up with changing customer expectations (Shoss et al., 2011, 2012). At the individual level, it can facilitate positive outcomes such as enhanced performance capability and career success (Griffin et al., 2007; Shoss et al., 2012) and help employees adapt to change by demonstrating excellence in problem-solving, uncertainty/stress/crisis control, new learning, and adaptability related to people, culture, and environment. Adaptive work behaviors that are necessary for the individual to function well in society may include conceptual, social, and practical skills (Karanika-Murray and Biron, 2020). However, to be adaptive, a person needs to develop cumulative skills to respond to social and community expectations (Karanika-Murray and Biron, 2020).

Studies that have attempted to address the concept of adaptive behavior in the context of educational institutions have focused on a few specific variables like adaptive behavior of students with disabilities (Santos, 2014), adaptive behavior in teaching, adaptive behavior skills for kids with special needs (Godber et al., 2021), adaptive behavior assessment systems, and learning adaptation during COVID-19 (Supena and Hasanah, 2020), impact of COVID-19 on teaching and learning (Kumar et al., 2021), coping strategies, and adaptive behaviors (Orfao et al., 2020), teacher educators as adaptive experts during COVID-19 (Rosehart et al., 2022), influence of COVID-19 confinement on students' performance in higher education (Gonzalez et al., 2020), resilience in higher education (Bento et al., 2021), factors influencing study engagement during the COVID-19 (Koob et al., 2019), and the contribution of COVID-19 to employee negative performance outcomes (Park and Park, 2019; Martin et al., 2020; Raj and Renumol, 2022), among others. These studies did not go further to discuss the positive outcomes emerging from the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. Similarly, although there is evidence of adaptive behavior and coping strategies that existed in educational institutions before the outbreak of COVID-19, the existing literature above have not attempted to document the studies using a systematic literature review approach.

To fill the gaps and increase knowledge in the area of adaptation before and after the COVID-19 period, this study reviews published articles on adaptive behavior in educational institutions. In particular, the study provides a review of existing definitions of adaptive behavior and coping strategies/mechanisms to better understand the topic.

Materials and methods

A systematic literature review has been developed and used as a core methodology for defining answerable research questions, searching the literature for the best available evidence, appraising the quality of the evidence, and collecting and aggregating available data for answering the identified questions (Petticrew and Roberts, 2008). Unlike traditional literature reviews, systematic literature review methodology employs a transparent but rigorous approach to identify and synthesize all the available research findings of sufficient quality concerning already specified research questions (Higgins and Green, 2008). Although there are biases associated with the systematic literature approach, for example, the use of limiters (time, databases, and journal restrictions), using more than one database makes it possible to capture a wide number of articles to answer the research questions. The steps proposed by Tranfield et al. (2003) include question formulation, locating studies, study selection and evaluation, analysis and evaluation, reporting, and using results.

Research steps

Step 1: Question formulation

To be able to gain an understanding and knowledge of adaptive work behavior, the following questions were formulated to guide the study:

- How is adaptive behavior conceptualized?
- What adaptive mechanisms/strategies were adopted by educational institutions during and after the COVID-19 pandemic?
- What adaptation tools were mostly used in the education sector during and after the COVID-19 pandemic?
- What positive outcomes emerged during and after the COVID-19 pandemic?

Step 2: Locating studies

The material was collected using Science Direct and Emerald databases, which are highly regarded as comprehensive and authoritative. These databases cover a wide range of peer-reviewed journals, making it easy to access the articles needed for the study. The choice for Emerald and Science Direct was due to the fact that they are the most extensively used databases in literature searches, and most of the bibliometric analysts
use these databases for their searches (Aghaei Chadegani et al., 2013; Mongeon and Paul-Hus, 2016). Other search engines, especially Google Scholar, were considered and used during the search process. The databases were searched using search strings or key words (see Table 1) and entire process of article selection is presentation (see Figure 1) in the flow chart.

Regarding journal selection, emphasis was put on the major leading journals. Such journals were identified using the Clarivate (2021) journal ranking and the impact and citation factors. The journals classified and ranked in A, B, and C categories were considered credible journals and were used for content collection. Furthermore, if the Clarivate Analytics classification was not applicable, the journal impact and cite factor were used to identify credible journals from which the articles and records were extracted.

### Step 3: Study selection and evaluation

In this section, papers were identified and then screened based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria (see Table 2). Given the high volume of papers identified in the first stage, the first exclusion focused on the discipline as indicated in the databases. All papers whose topics were not in line with the main theme of adaptive work behavior were excluded. Papers were further scrutinized alongside the aim of the study. The screening was done using the title and abstract keywords. After the final review and screening, the papers that met the criteria were included in the dataset for analysis.

### Step 4: Analysis and synthesis

The final step of the analysis summarizes the papers/documents based on the content, type of the study, and field of the research. The full-text articles (records) were analyzed in several steps. Following the steps of Siva et al. (2016), we first established the categories: year, publication, theories, nature of the study, and analysis techniques, as shown in Table 3. The thematic analysis included the conceptualization and adaptation strategies used in different institutions.

### Step 5: Reporting

The final step involves reporting the findings of the study and identifying the key research gaps that exist in the literature.

### Analysis and synthesis

This section provides an analysis of reviewed papers along various dimensions. The findings are summarized and presented in tables and figures under different categories.

#### Distribution of papers according to the year of publication

Figure 2 shows the annual numerical trend for the set of 51 documents reviewed in this study. Findings presented in Figure 2 suggest that a greater percentage (39.2%) of the articles were published in the year 2020.

A good number (29.4%) of the articles were published in 2021, while 17.6% of the articles were published in the year 2022. The remaining 13.8% of the articles were published between 2006 and 2019.

#### Journal sources

The 51 documents included in this study were published across 50 different journals, while one document is a report published by United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), United Nations
Table 4: Distribution of the articles by journal.

| Publication                                             | No. of papers | %    |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------|
| A JOINT UNESCO, UNICEF, AND WORLD BANK REPORT           | 1             | 1.96 |
| African Journal of Social Work                          | 1             | 1.96 |
| Alliance for African Partnership, Michigan State University | 1             | 1.96 |
| Arab World English Journal                              | 1             | 1.96 |
| Asian Education and Development Studies                  | 1             | 1.96 |
| BMC Medical Education                                    | 1             | 1.96 |
| Comprehensive Psychiatry journal                         | 1             | 1.96 |
| Computers in Human Behavior journal                      | 2             | 3.92 |
| Edtech                                                   | 1             | 1.96 |
| Education Research International                         | 1             | 1.96 |
| Educational Psychology Review                            | 1             | 1.96 |
| Frontiers in Education                                   | 2             | 3.92 |
| Frontiers in Psychology                                  | 2             | 3.92 |
| Heliyon                                                  | 3             | 5.88 |
| Higher Education in the GCC Countries                    | 1             | 1.96 |
| Higher Education Studies                                 | 1             | 1.96 |
| Humanities and social sciences communications             | 1             | 1.96 |
| Information Systems Management                           | 1             | 1.96 |
| International Journal of Asian Management                | 1             | 1.96 |
| International Journal of Current Research                | 1             | 1.96 |
| International Research Journal on Advanced               | 1             | 1.96 |
| Science Hub                                              |               |      |
| International Social Work Journal                        | 2             | 3.92 |
| Journal of optometry                                     | 1             | 1.96 |
| Journal of Pedagogy, Andragogy and Heutagogy in Practice | 1             | 1.96 |
| Journal of Professional Nursing                           | 1             | 1.96 |
| Journal of Public Health Research                        | 1             | 1.96 |
| Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science     | 1             | 1.96 |
| Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods (MJLTM)      | 1             | 1.96 |
| Nurse Education in Practice Journal                      | 1             | 1.96 |
| Pharmacy Education                                        | 1             | 1.96 |
| PLOSE ONE                                                | 1             | 1.96 |
| Policy and Society                                       | 1             | 1.96 |
| Policy Futures in Education                              | 1             | 1.96 |
| Sage journal                                             | 1             | 1.96 |
| Social Sciences journal                                  | 1             | 1.96 |
| Southern African Journal of Environmental Education      | 1             | 1.96 |
| Sustainability                                           | 3             | 5.88 |
| Sustainable Cities and Society                           | 3             | 5.88 |
| Technology in Society Journal                            | 1             | 1.96 |
| Tertiary Education and Management                        | 1             | 1.96 |
| The online Journal of Issues in Nursing                  | 1             | 1.96 |
| **Total**                                                | **51**        | **100.00** |

Distribution of the studies by region

Figure 3 shows the distribution of the articles by region (continent and country). The results show that 15 (31.3%) of the studies were done in Africa. The Asian continent followed closely with 15 (29.4%) studies. A total of 13 studies (25.5%) were carried out in Europe. Findings indicate that the African continent dominated the research on adaptive behavior. It was also found that two studies (3.9%) were conducted in more than one region, while the other 2 studies (3.9%) did not indicate the region from which the study was conducted.

In terms of countries, 8 (15.69%) were conducted in more than one country. China topped the chart with six (11.76%). A significant number of countries (Australia, China, Israel, Kenya, Nigeria, Poland, and Saudi Arabia) published at least two articles included in our dataset. Within the period of study, nineteen countries had at least one article published.

Data type and data analysis techniques

Figure 4 presents the findings based on the type of study. The findings show that 31 (70.45%) studies were qualitative in nature, and 17 (38.64%) articles were quantitative in nature. A mixed-methods approach was used in three of the studies (6.8%).

Regarding the data analysis tools used (refer to Table 5), descriptive analysis is the most popular data analysis technique (338 papers) (67.9%). This is followed by the Pearson correlation regression technique with three papers (5.4%). Other models used in the papers synthesized included linear regression (2), correlational analysis (3), a k-means cluster analysis (1), ordinal logistic regression analysis (1), meta-analysis (1), inferential analysis (1), and DHW model (1).
Conceptualization of adaptation behavior

Several authors have studied and conceptualized adaptation behavior differently, as depicted in Table 6. Although different authors view adaptive behavior differently, they emphasize one’s ability to cope with and modify their response to a particular situation with which they are faced (Griffin et al., 2010; Schmitt and Chan, 2014).

Adaptation strategies in educational institutions before the COVID-19 pandemic

Adaptation is the tendency to adjust to the environment (Piaget, 2015). Adaptability is essential for teachers and learners, given the constantly changing demands in the school environment (Bandura, 1977). Table 7 shows different adaptation strategies adopted by both students and teachers in educational institutions before the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. Common among the many adaptation strategies are having regular meetings with supervisors, especially for the students doing higher degrees (18.2%) and actively changing of self (9.1%). Other adaptation behaviors reported in different studies include “active change of environment” and negative “passive subordination to environmental conditions”, increased time of work and commitment, and increased interaction with supervisors and researchers, among others.

Adaptation strategies/mechanisms and tools used during and after the COVID-19 pandemic

Tables 8, 9 show the adaptation strategies/mechanisms adopted by different educational institutions during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. From the findings, education adopted both virtual and non-virtual strategies. The common virtual strategies/mechanisms adopted by educational institutions include virtual online lectures (9), virtual meetings (7), audio conferencing (3), video conferencing (2), and documents posted on the platform (1).

The common non-virtual adaptation mechanisms employed by educational institutions include working from home (4),
behavioral disengagement (2), acceptance of the situation (2), active coping (2), using the social values and practices of the indigenous knowledge (2), mental disengagement (1), narrative construction and open communication (2), practicing yoga and meditation (2), and promoting awareness (2).

The other coping or adaptation strategies adopted during the pandemic, as shown in Table 8, include storytelling (2), religious coping (2), venting (1), values and collective responses such as the African theory of ubuntu (1), positive reframing (1), and constituting a social network (1).

In terms of the tools used by different institutions while coping with the COVID-19 crisis, Table 9 shows that Zoom (27) was the most used, followed by Google Meet (19). Other tools extensively used include Facebook (10), Webex (10), Microsoft Teams (8), WhatsApp (8), Instagram (7), and the Moodle learning app (6).

**Thematic analysis**

Table 10 presents the findings from the thematic analysis. Although COVID-19 caused severe negative consequences as documented in the literature, there were also quite a number of positive outcomes that emerged as a result of the pandemic. These positive outcomes were categorized into three themes (individual-based outcomes, organizational-based outcomes, and technology-based outcomes) as shown in Table 11. Under individual-based outcomes, a majority of the studies reported increased autonomy in the learning process (13) as one of the positive outcomes. This was followed by improved personal hygiene (4), digital resilience (4), and students investing more time and effort in their self-study (4). Other positive outcomes under individual-based positive outcomes include enhanced digital literacy (1), improved use of electronic media for sharing information (1), ease and comfort of attending online sessions from home without physically traveling (1), learners are actively searching and bringing the relevant online content to the session (1), being independent of place and time leads to better educational prospects (1), COVID-19 has stimulated stronger activism from learners (1), teachers and students are more engaged than in traditional mode (1), worldwide exposure (interact with peers worldwide wide) (1), and better time management (1).

Under organizational-based outcomes, findings show that improved hygiene (both personal and environmental) was recorded in at least two (2) studies. Other positive outcomes under organizational-based outcomes include the adoption of teleworking by many organizations (1), improved capacity for technology-based learning (1), growth of virtual organizations (1), development of digital pedagogy (1), more contextualized responses to education and learning (1), providing a roadmap for teachers to teach differently in hard areas while learning digitally (1), evolving education systems into something fit for the twenty-first century (1), the overcoming of information flow impediments (1), fostering of responsible educational activism (1), and increased university collaboration and partnerships (1), among others.

The other category (theme) emerging from this study is technology-based outcomes. Under this theme, the most reported positive outcome was an increase in innovation (10). Other positive outcomes emerging from the COVID-19 pandemic under this category include a rise in the use of learning management systems (1),

---

**TABLE 5 Data analysis tools and techniques.**

| Analysis techniques                  | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| A k-means cluster analysis           | 1         | 1.8        |
| ANOVA                                | 1         | 1.8        |
| Content analysis                     | 1         | 1.8        |
| Correlational analysis               | 3         | 5.4        |
| Descriptive analysis                 | 38        | 67.9       |
| Inductive content analysis           | 1         | 1.8        |
| Inferential analysis                 | 1         | 1.8        |
| Linear regression                    | 2         | 3.6        |
| Logistic regression                  | 1         | 1.8        |
| Metanalysis                          | 1         | 1.8        |
| Ordinal logistic regression analysis | 1         | 1.8        |
| Pearson correlation regression       | 3         | 5.4        |
| RMSEA                                | 1         | 1.8        |
| SRMR                                 | 1         | 1.8        |

**TABLE 6 Conceptualization of adaptive behavior.**

| Conceptualization                                                                 | Author(s)                  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| The capacity to modify one's cognition, affect, and behavior constructively reflecting an individual difference in the way that one responds to changing, new, and uncertain conditions. | Martin et al. (2012)       |
| The degree to which individuals cope with, respond to, and/or support changes resulting in more effective contributions in their role as individuals, team members, or members of the organization. | Griffins et al. (2010)     |
| The effectiveness of an individual's response to new demands resulting from the novel and often ill-defined problems created by uncertainty, complexity, and rapid changes in work situations. | Schmitt and Chan (2014)    |
TABLE 7 Adaptation behavior before the COVID-19 pandemic.

| Adaptation behavior                                                                 | Freq. | %   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|
| Active change of environment                                                       | 1     | 4.5 |
| Active change of environment” and negative “Passive subordination to environmental conditions” | 1     | 4.5 |
| Active change of self                                                               | 2     | 9.1 |
| Avoiding contact with the environment and immersion in his/her inner world          | 1     | 4.5 |
| Practicing emotional and intellectual openness                                       | 1     | 4.5 |
| Freedom in expression of views                                                      | 1     | 4.5 |
| Goal setting                                                                        | 1     | 4.5 |
| Having regular meetings with the supervisor                                         | 4     | 18.2|
| Increase time of work and commitment, and researchers                               | 1     | 4.5 |
| Increased interaction with supervisors and researchers                               | 1     | 4.5 |
| Pacing lesson for pupils with Learning                                              | 1     | 4.5 |
| Disabilities in regular classroom                                                   | 1     | 4.5 |
| Planning                                                                            | 1     | 4.5 |
| Providing immediate and explicit feedback during lessons                             | 1     | 4.5 |
| Providing relevant examples during lessons                                           | 1     | 4.5 |
| Adopting self-regulation strategy                                                   | 1     | 4.5 |
| Seeking support in daily routine activities                                         | 1     | 4.5 |
| Task analysis                                                                        | 1     | 4.5 |
| Using positive reinforcement effectively during lessons                              | 1     | 4.5 |
| **Total**                                                                           | **22**| **100.0**|

increased diffusion of new technologies in education (1), increased innovation (10), catalyzing the digitalization of education (1), enhanced level of knowledge in technology (1), and diversification in tools used for online learning autonomy (1).

Affiliated institutions of the authors

Table 12 shows a list of the institutions with which the authors are affiliated. Although Maastricht University (2) and Malmö University (2) had two authors each publishing a paper included in this study, the remaining institutions as shown in Table 12 had one other affiliated with them.

TABLE 8 Strategies adopted by different institutions.

| Virtual learning and teaching strategies | Freq. | %   |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-----|
| Video conferencing                       | 2     | 7.4 |
| Virtual meetings                         | 7     | 11.1|
| Virtual online lectures                  | 9     | 14.8|
| Audio conferencing                       | 3     | 11.1|
| Documents posted on the platform          | 1     | 3.7 |
| Offering 24 hours of e-library services  | 1     | 3.7 |

TABLE 9 Non-technology adaptation strategies during and after COVID-19.

| Non-technology adaptation strategies | Freq. | %   |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-----|
| Working from home                     | 4     | 12.90|
| Behavioral disengagement              | 2     | 6.45 |
| Acceptance of the situation           | 2     | 6.45 |
| Active coping                         | 2     | 6.45 |
| Arranging meetings between learners and their teachers at home | 1 | 3.23 |
| Engaging in physical activities       | 1     | 3.23 |
| Using the social values and practices of the indigenous knowledge | 2 | 6.45 |
| Mental Disengagement                  | 2     | 6.45 |
| Narrative construction and open communication | 2 | 6.45 |
| Practicing yoga and meditation        | 2     | 6.45 |
| Promoting awareness                   | 2     | 6.45 |
| Providing social support              | 1     | 3.23 |
| Story telling                         | 2     | 6.45 |
| Religious coping                      | 2     | 6.45 |
| Venting                               | 1     | 3.23 |
| Values and collective responses as the African theory Ubuntu | 1 | 3.23 |
| Positive reframing                    | 1     | 3.23 |
| Constituting a social network         | 1     | 3.23 |
| **Total**                             | **31**| **100.0**|

Discussion

Given the unprecedented consequences of COVID-19, including the deaths of many people within a short period of time, whether there is anything positive emerging out of this pandemic is a crucial question that needs to be answered. In an effort to answer this question, our study attempted to document what researchers have reported as positive outcomes emerging as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.
TABLE 10 Adaptation tools.

| Adaptation tools          | Freq | %  | Freq | %  |
|---------------------------|------|----|------|----|
| Zoom                      | 27   | 23.5 | BigBlueButton | 2 | 1.7 |
| Google Meet               | 19   | 16.5 | Email messaging | 2 | 1.7 |
| Facebook                  | 10   | 8.7  | Skype       | 2 | 1.7 |
| Webex                     | 10   | 8.7  | TV lessons, | 2 | 1.7 |
| Microsoft Teams           | 8    | 7.0  | Twitter     | 2 | 1.7 |
| WhatsApp                  | 8    | 7.0  | YouTube     | 2 | 1.7 |
| Instagram,                | 7    | 6.1  | Cisco WebEx | 1 | 0.9 |
| Moodle learning app       | 6    | 5.2  | Instant messaging app | 1 | 0.9 |
| Cellphone/telephone       | 3    | 2.6  | LearnCube  | 1 | 0.9 |
| The flip classroom        | 1    | 0.9  | ODell applications | 1 | 0.9 |

This section discusses the positive outcomes that emerged from the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, as presented in Table 11. As demonstrated in the table, three themes (individual-based outcomes, organizational-based outcomes, and technology-based outcomes) were generated, and the subsequent discussion is based on the themes above.

Technology-based outcomes

This study identified several technology-based outcomes, with a majority of the studies identifying and reporting increased innovations as one of the main positive outcomes that emerged from the COVID-19 outbreak in the world. Educational institutions such as universities are for teaching and learning (Adelowotan, 2021). To ensure the continuity of teaching and learning, educational institutions have deployed a lot of resources to conduct teaching electronically through their websites or learning management systems like Moodle. This innovative technology has enabled learners to interact using online modes of teaching and learning (Adelowotan, 2021).

The development of digital pedagogy became the contingency plan to achieve teaching and learning during the COVID-19 crisis. The advantage is that even after the crisis, digital pedagogy has continued to operate in educational institutions (Zhang and Yu, 2021). It is crucial to note that the education sector worldwide is undergoing a technological reform using hybrid teaching and learning featuring productivity, flexibility, and connectivity guided by different technological applications (Ng et al., 2017; Zhang and Yu, 2021). Researchers (Anderson, 2020; Zhang and Yu, 2021) are in agreement that the increased adoption of technology in education is a strong ingredient in augmenting teaching and learning. A pedagogy using technology has the potential to build resilience to future educational challenges (Anderson, 2020; Zhang and Yu, 2021). Digital and educational philosophy changed with emerging technologies. This has helped in connecting formal institutions with wider communities that transcend social and regional differences. The advantage of digital pedagogy is the promotion of learner-centered instruction and a flexible learning environment that minimizes burnout among learners and their instructors (Teräs et al., 2020).

Other than the growth in innovations in line with teaching and learning, COVID-19 created a fertile breeding ground for novel solutions and approaches (Ramalingam and Prabhu, 2020). Universities have been involved in developing COVID-19 test kits (Ramalingam and Prabhu, 2020). For example, Makerere University’s department of immunology and molecular biology, during the time of the pandemic, developed COVID-19 Rapid Antibody Test Kits. This innovation was a response to the high cost of using imported items. This outcome was a result of the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic (Diaz et al., 2021; Sahito et al., 2022).

While many educational institutions worked independently to develop their own innovations, the outbreak of COVID-19 drove a number of educational institutions, especially universities, into multi-university collaboration and partnerships. In choosing to collaborate during the crisis, educational institutions were able to demonstrate that they can work together and create innovations and lasting solutions to the problems facing the world. As a result, educational institutions not only generate valuable innovations to sustain education and improve it but also contributed to transforming internal processes in a way that enhanced their own ability to fulfill the mandate placed upon many of the universities to serve as research institutions (Ramalingam and Prabhu, 2020).

Organizational-based positive outcomes

Along with other important behaviors such as physical distancing, hygiene became one of the key positive outcomes that resulted from the COVID-19 crisis. Several
The following table presents some technology-based positive outcomes emerging from the COVID-19 pandemic. These outcomes can be categorized into individual and organizational levels.

### Individual-based outcomes
- Enhanced digital literacy
- Improved the use of electronic media for sharing information
- Ease and comfort of attending online sessions from home without physically traveling
- Learners are actively searching and bringing the relevant online content to the session
- Being independent of place and time leads to better educational prospects
- COVID-19 has stimulated stronger activism from learners
- Increased autonomy in learning process
- Improved personal hygiene
- Students investing more time and effort in their self-study
- Teachers and students are more engaged than in traditional mode
- Worldwide exposure (interact with peers worldwide)
- Better time management

### Organizational based outcomes
- Teleworking adopted by many organizations
- Build capacity for technology-based learning
- Growth of virtual organizations
- Development of digital pedagogy
- More contextualized responses to education and learning
- Roadmap for teachers to teach differently in hard areas
- Evolve education systems into something fit for the 21st century
- The overcoming of information flow impediments
- Fostering of responsible educational activism
- Increased university collaboration and partnerships
- Development and implementation of online and blended programs
- Equal learning opportunity is provided to each student by online teaching
- Use of online learning activities facilitate greater engagement of the learner
- Improved environmental hygiene (markets and shops)
- Indigenous knowledge promoted
- Improved environmental hygiene

### Technology-based outcomes
- Increase in use of Learning Management Systems

The table continues with more entries that are not fully visible in the image.
TABLE 12  Affiliated universities/institutions of the authors.

| University/Institutions | Freq. | University/Institutions | Freq. |
|------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| Maastricht University   | 2     | Qassim University       | 1     |
| Malmö University       | 2     | Queensland University   | 1     |
| Akdeniz University     | 1     | Rhodes University       | 1     |
| Askhelon Academic College | 1   | Rush University         | 1     |
| Auckland University of Technology | 1 | Sukkur IBA University | 1 |
| Ben-Gurion University of the Negev | 1 | The American University in Cairo | 1 |
| Bielefeld University   | 1     | The Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education | 1 |
| Bina Nusantara University | 1 | Transilvania University of Brasov | 1 |
| Busitema University    | 1     | Umm al-Qura University  | 1     |
| Cadi Ayyad University  | 1     | University of Botswana  | 1     |
| Chouaib Doukkali University | 1 | Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona | 1 |
| Deakin University      | 1     | Universiti Putra Malaysia | 1 |
| Delta State University | 1     | University of Cape Coast | 1     |
| Eliat University       | 1     | University of Delhi, India | 1 |
| Guangdong University of Foreign Studies | 1 | University of Education Winneba | 1 |
| Hebrew University of Jerusalem | 1 | University of Gdańsk | 1 |
| IGNOU Regional Center  | 1     | University of KwaZulu-Natal | 1 |
| Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University | 1 | University of Ljubljana | 1 |
| Institute of Management Studies, Mumbai | 1 | University of Manchester | 1 |
| International University of Business Agriculture and Technology | 1 | University of Melbourne | 1 |
| Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University | 1 | University of Nairobi | 1 |
| JIMMA UNIVERSITY       | 1     | University of Nigeria  | 1     |
| Jordan University of Science and Technology | 1 | University of North Texas | 1 |
| Kenyatta University    | 1     | University of Oslo     | 1     |
| Makerere University    | 1     | University of          | 1     |
| Business school        | 1     | South Eastern Norway   |       |
| Malagaen Camp Dist.   | 1     | University of Southern Queensland | 1 |
| Nashik India           | 1     | University of Stirling  | 1     |

(Continued)

TABLE 12  (Continued)

| University/Institutions | Freq. | University/Institutions | Freq. |
|------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| Manipal College of Health Professions | 1 | University of Wollongong | 1 |
| MGM School of Biomedical Sciences | 1 | Victoria University | 1 |
| Mohammed VI University | 1 | Vrije Universiteit Brussel | 1 |
| Polytechnic University | 1 | Zhongnan University of Economics and Law | 1 |
| North-West University  | 1     | University of           | 1     |
| Pomeranian University  | 1     | Prince Sultan University | 1 |

where the learners were required to study on their own. Learner autonomy requires that a student take control and responsibility for his or her own learning, both in terms of what he/she learns and how to learn it. The beauty in this is that the student becomes independent and can develop proactive approaches to his/her studies.

Another positive individual-based positive outcome emerging from the COVID-19 pandemic was an enhancement of digital literacy in both instructors and learners (Lusinga and de Groot, 2019; Middleton et al., 2019; Sankhyayan and Dasgupta, 2019). Although a number of universities were using online platforms even before COVID-19, when lockdowns were instituted, most, if not all, educational institutions had to close, and that meant that for learning to continue, both teachers and learners had to adapt to the online system to ensure continuity of teaching and learning. This, in a way, enabled the enhancement of digital skills for both the learners and teachers. It should also be noted that in the process of enhancing digital skills, learners also found it not only easy to attend lectures at home without physically attending class but also with active involvement in searching relevant content online, they benefited more as compared to the traditional ways of learning. Increased digital skills have also been widely recognized as one of the positive outcomes arising from the outbreak of the pandemic (Jena, 2020). Teachers and learners have the opportunity to interact with peers around the world, and as a result, learners get adapted to the international community (Jena, 2020). This was made possible because educational institutions built the capacity for technology-based learning with diverse tools that enhance online learning (Diaz et al., 2021; Sahito et al., 2022).

**Concluding remarks**

**Conclusion**

Although the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic wreaked havoc on many aspects of life, the education sector was significantly impacted by the pandemic, and most, if not all,
educational institutions were closed and their students sent to their respective homes. Educational institutions transitioned to remote teaching and learning strategies. With the pandemic's glaring negative consequences, it became difficult to imagine any positive outcomes emerging from this pandemic. This systematic literature study sought to document some of the positive outcomes reported in the literature as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Several studies report a number of positive outcomes ranging from personal (individuals), and institutional (organizations) to technology-based outcomes. Key among the technology-based positive outcomes frequently reported in the literature include increased innovations and increased digital resilience in educational institutions. It is therefore necessary to insist that although digital innovations existed in educational institutions even before the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, there was an unprecedented level of increase in innovations with the emergence of COVID-19, resulting in positive adaptations among students and teachers.

Limitations

There are a few limitations to this study. First, there is bias in the selection of databases, papers, and publications. This means that the papers found in other databases that were not consulted during the search were left out. Second, the bias in the search strings meant that all publications that were without the search strings could not be collected and evaluated for this study. Finally, the bias from rejecting all the papers published in languages other than English. Useful publications not in the English language were left out even though they seemed to contain the content the researchers were interested in.

To ensure that the above biases were controlled, the authors ensured that, other than the database, other search engines, including Google, were consulted to collect the publications that could have been missed from the main databases used for data collection. The authors also ensured that the keywords/search strings were developed based on the objectives of the study and that the inclusion and exclusion procedures were planned in such a way that the objectives of the study were met.

Feature research

Our study focused on the adaptation behavior and work outcomes in educational institutions before, during, and after the COVID-19 pandemic. Our findings show that educational institutions responded swiftly by adopting adaptation strategies and mechanisms to ensure the continuity of teaching and learning. We went further to profile the tools that were adopted by educational institutions before, during, and after the COVID-19 pandemic. Adopting these tools, mechanisms, and strategies is one thing, but the successful use of such technologies is another thing. Future studies should be done to document how successful were these strategies and what the rate of academic outcomes compared with the traditional methods of instruction. Furthermore, scholars could consider doing similar studies in other sectors and profile the adaption and behavioral work outcomes before, during, and after the COVID-19 pandemic.
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