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ABSTRACT

Background: Tumors are highly plastic metabolic entities composed of cancer and host cells that can adopt different metabolic phenotypes. For energy production, cancer cells may use 4 main fuels that are shuttled in 5 different metabolic pathways. Glucose fuels glycolysis that can be coupled to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) in oxidative cancer cells or to lactic fermentation in proliferating and in hypoxic cancer cells. Lipids fuel lipolysis, glutamine fuels glutaminolysis, and lactate fuels the oxidative pathway of lactate, all of which are coupled to the TCA cycle and OXPHOS for energy production. This review focuses on the latter metabolic pathway.

Scope of review: Lactate, which is prominently produced by glycolytic cells in tumors, was only recently recognized as a major fuel for oxidative cancer cells and as a signaling agent. Its exchanges across membranes are gated by monocarboxylate transporters MCT1-4. This review summarizes the current knowledge about MCT structure, regulation and functions in cancer, with a specific focus on lactate metabolism, lactate-induced angiogenesis and MCT-dependent cancer metastasis. It also describes lactate signaling via cell surface lactate receptor GPR81.

Major conclusions: Lactate and MCTs, especially MCT1 and MCT4, are important contributors to tumor aggressiveness. Analyses of MCT-deficient (MCT+/− and MCT−/−) animals and (MCT-mutated) humans indicate that they are druggable, with MCT1 inhibitors being in advanced development phase and MCT4 inhibitors still in the discovery phase. Imaging lactate fluxes non-invasively using a lactate tracer for positron emission tomography would further help to identify responders to the treatments.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Tumors are metabolic entities that comprise cancer and host cells. Their metabolic activities depend on their access to nutrients, biological activities, and spatiotemporal localization. While most cells in the body are oxidative and fully oxidize glucose to CO₂, cells exposed to hypoxia (i.e., a local concentration of O₂ below physiological cell needs) and proliferating cells preferentially convert glucose to lactate in processes known as anaerobic and aerobic glycolysis, respectively. These metabolic phenotypes are at the core of tumor biology. In solid tumors, the glycolytic switches associated with adaptation to hypoxia and cell proliferation operate via different mechanisms. Indeed, hypoxic adaptation is a survival mechanism that involves hypoxia-inducible transcription factors (HIFs), whereas metabolic adaptation to cell proliferation involves growth factors and their effectors, such as c-Myc and Ras, with reported overlaps [1]. Other cancer cells are oxidative. However, at the whole tumor level, increased conversion of glucose to lactate associated with a high glycolytic rate generates millimolar concentrations of lactic acid that is released to the extracellular compartment [2]. Because lactic acid is hydrophilic and a weak acid, its transport across membranes necessitates transporters that belong to the monocarboxylate transporter (MCT) family. Their contribution to tumor progression deserves attention.

MCTs are encoded by the solute carrier 16 (SLC16) family of genes. Among the 14 members of the family, MCT1/SLC16A1, MCT2/SLC16A7, MCT3/SLC16A8, and MCT4/SLC16A3 (hereafter referred to as MCTs) convey monocarboxylate ions together with protons (hereafter referred to as MCTs) convey monocarboxylate ions together with protons (Figure 1). These passive transporters are primarily localized at the plasma membrane where they can operate bidirectionally depending on the concentration gradient of their substrates [3–5]. They comprise 12 transmembrane (TM) helices, intracellular N- and C-termini and a large cytosolic loop between TM6 and TM7 (hereafter referred to as MCTs). Their structure has not been resolved by X-ray crystallography yet but has been modeled on the basis of the structure of E. coli glycerol-3-phosphate transporter (GlpT) and site-directed mutagenesis experiments [4,7,8]. Human MCT1 modeling determined that lysine 38, aspartate 302, and arginine 306 are of particular importance for substrate binding and transporter activity [4].

While MCTs share common substrates, including pyruvate, l-lactate, ketone bodies aceto-acetate and β-hydroxybutyrate, and short chain fatty acid propionate and butyrate, they differ by their relative affinities. MCT2/SLC16A7 is the transporter with the highest affinity for monocarboxylates (Kₘ range = 0.1–1.2 mM according to the substrate; 0.5–0.75 mM for lactate), followed by MCT1/SLC16A1 (Kₘ range = 1–12.5 mM according to the substrate; 3.5–10 mM for lactate), MCT3/SLC16A3 that has an affinity for lactate comparable to...
MCT1 \( (K_m = 5 - 6 \text{ mM}) \), and MCT4/SLC16A3 that has a low affinity for lactate \( (K_m = 22 - 28 \text{ mM}) \) and pyruvate \( (K_m = 153 \text{ mM}) \) [9,10] (Figure 1). Although lactate is not the only substrate of MCTs, it is the most characterized in the literature and the most abundant in vivo, particularly in tumors where it reaches concentrations up to 40 mM [11]. Hence, this review mainly addresses MCT-mediated lactate transport in cancer.

2. MCT EXPRESSION

2.1. MCT expression in normal tissues

MCTs are most often described to be functionally active at the cell membrane, but expression in organelle membranes (mitochondria and peroxisomes) has been reported as well. For example, MCTs have been proposed to mediate lactate uptake by mitochondria for \textit{in situ} oxidation by lactate-dehydrogenases (LDHs) and putative mitochondrial lactate oxidases [12–17], and lactate release by peroxisomes following \textit{in situ} production by LDHs [18]. However, the validity of these observations has been questioned [3], and neither a peptidic sequence nor chaperones sending MCTs to organelles have been identified to date.

MCTs have different patterns of expression related to different functions in normal tissues. Notably, none of them are expressed by \( \beta \)-cells of the islets of Langerhans in the pancreas, which prevents lactic acid-induced ATP generation and insulin secretion during exercise, as it would result in hypoglycemia [3]. MCT1 is ubiquitously expressed. In the gut epithelium, its localization at both apical and basolateral membranes has been suggested to promote the absorption of short chain fatty acids produced by the gut microbiota [19]. MCT1 mediates lactic acid flux in the proximal convoluted tubule of the kidney and in the liver parenchyma, where lactate fuels gluconeogenesis after exercise [23]. However, rather than flux, MCT1 facilitates lactic acid efflux in glycolytic cells, including white skeletal muscle fibers, erythrocytes, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, hypoxic cells, and immune cells, such as activated T-lymphocytes [4]. Compared to MCT1, MCT2 expression is restricted to specific tissues that differ when considering different species [4–6]. In humans, MCT2 is, \textit{e.g.}, expressed in the liver parenchyma where it participates in lactate-fueled gluconeogenesis (the Cori cycle) and in the proximal convoluted tubule of the kidney for the clearance of lactic acid [24]. In neurons, MCT2 fuels mitochondrial respiration with lactic acid produced by astrocytes, a metabolic pathway associated with memory [25].

MCT3 is only expressed in the retinal pigment and choroid plexus epithelia of the eye [26]. By facilitating lactic acid exchanges between...
the retinal pigment epithelium and the choroid, it regulates the pH of the subretinal space.

MCT4, which has the lowest affinity for lactate among MCTs, primarily facilitates lactate efflux from glycolytic cells, including exercising white skeletal muscle fibers, astrocytes, immune cells, chondrocytes, and hypoxic cells [3,6]. It has a very low affinity for pyruvate and a higher affinity for lactate, which ensures that pyruvate is converted to lactate before export [10], thus promoting NAD+ regeneration by the LDH-5 reaction, which is necessary for maintaining a high glycolytic flux.

In some tissues, MCTs facilitate lactate exchanges between lactic acid-producing cells and lactic acid-consuming cells. It is the case for the central nervous system, where MCT1- and MCT4-expressing glycolytic astrocytes and oligodendrocytes provide lactate to MCT2-expressing oxidative neurons [28–30].

### 2.2. MCT expression in cancers

MCT1, MCT2, and MCT4 expression has been extensively characterized in cancer cell lines and in multiple tumor types from patients. Their presence and cell type localization in human cancers are displayed in Table 1. Upregulation of MCT1, MCT2, and MCT4 during tumor progression from normal to tumor epithelium has also been repeatedly observed in human samples (Table 2). In one study, decreased MCT2 expression was reported in hepatocellular carcinomas compared to healthy parenchyma [31] (Table 2). Surprisingly, MCT2 expression has often been observed in the cytosol rather than at the plasma membrane of cancer cells in breast [32], cervix [33], colorectal [32,34], lung [32], ovary [32], prostate [35], and soft tissue [36] cancers. Whether such atypical localization results from impaired trafficking or from targeted expression at the membrane of organelles is not yet known.

### 2.3. Regulation of MCT expression

#### 2.3.1. Physiological regulation of MCT expression

Physiologically, MCTs are subject to transcriptional, posttranscriptional, translational, and posttranslational regulations (summarized in Figure 1).

At the transcriptional level, exercise upregulates MCT1/SLC16A1 and MCT4/SLC16A3 gene expression in muscles through a putative mechanism implicating activation of the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator 1-α (PGC-1-α) pathway [37,38]. Conversely, AMPK activation downregulates MCT1/SLC16A1 and MCT4/SLC16A3 transcription in Sertoli cells [39]. Further investigations are thus required to understand the dual role of AMPK in different tissues. The observation that PGC-1-α promotes MCT1/SLC16A1 transcription in skeletal muscles [40] is interesting, knowing that lactate induces both PGC-1-α and/or AMPK has not been characterized in these independent studies. However, it is tempting to propose the existence of a lactate/GPR81/PGC-1-α/MCT1 or of a lactate/AMPK/PGC-1-α/MCT1 signaling axis through which lactate would induce its own metabolism in target cells, in a way similar to what butyrate does in the colon epithelium [42].

Hypoxia has been reported to stimulate MCT4/SLC16A3 transcription owing to the presence of hypoxia response elements (HREs) in the promoter region of the gene, making it a direct HIF-1-target gene [43]. The hypoxic induction of MCT4 could be particularly important for

### Table 1 — Presence of MCTs in human cancers.

| Cancer type                  | Cell type                      | MCT1 | MCT2 | MCT4 | Ref. |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Adenocortical carcinoma      | Bulk tissue                   | ±    | ±    | ±    | [152]|
| Bladder cancer               | Cancer cells                  | ±    | ±    | ±    | [220,221]|
| Brain cancer                 | Cancer cells in all tumor types| +    | ±    | +    | [89,160,188,190,222]|
|                              | Cancer cells in glioblastoma  | ±    | ±    | ±    |       |
|                              | Cancer cells in diffuse astrocytoma| + | +    | ±    |       |
| Breast cancer                | Bulk tissue                   | ++   | +    | +    | [32,223–225]|
|                              | Cancer cells in basal-like breast cancer| + | +    | +    |       |
|                              | Cancer cells in TNBC          | +    | +    | +    |       |
|                              | Cancer cells in invasive ductal carcinoma| + | +    | +    |       |
| Cervix cancer                | Cervix cancer cells           | ++   | ±    | ±    | [33,150]|
| Colorectal cancer            | Cancer cells in colon adenocarcinoma| ± | ±    | ±    | [32,156,157,226]|
| Gastric cancer               | Bulk tissue                   | ±    | ±    | ±    | [153,155]|
| Head and neck cancer         | Cancer cells in well-differentiated cancers| + | +    | +    |       |
|                              | Cancer cells in esophageal carcinoma| ± | ±    | +    | [151,162]|
|                              | Cancer cells in oral squamous cell carcinoma| + | +    | +    |       |
| Kidney cancer                | Cancer cells in clear renal cell carcinoma| ± | ±    | ±    | [227]|
| Liver cancer                 | Cancer cells in hepatocellular carcinoma| ± | ±    | ±    | [31]|
| Lung cancer                  | Cancer cell in NSCLC          | +    | +    | +    | [32]|
| Lymphoma                     | Cancer cells in B-cell lymphoma,| +    | +    | +    | [198]|
|                              | Cancer cells in Burkitt lymphoma| +    | +    | +    |       |
| Ovary cancer                 | Bulk tissue                   | ±    | ±    | ±    | [182]|
| Prostate cancer              | Bulk tissue (primary tumors)  | −    | +    | +    | [35,93]|
|                              | Bulk tissue (metastasis)      | ±    | ±    | ±    |       |
| Skin cancers                 | Cancer cells in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia| ± | ±    | ±    | [96,159,222]|
|                              | Melanoma cells                | ±    | ±    | ±    |       |
| Soft tissue cancers          | Cancer cells in squamous cell skin cancer| + | +    | +    | [36,80]|
|                              | Cancer cells in soft tissue sarcoma| + | +    | +    |       |

--, no expression; ±, mild expression; +, high expression; ++ very high expression.

---
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wound healing, where cells downstream of damaged blood vessels must switch to a glycolytic metabolism, hence export lactic acid, to survive [44]. However, experimental evidence of the contribution of MCT4 to wound healing is currently lacking. Interestingly, although their respective promoters, genes, and 3’-UTR sequences do not contain HREs, several reports clearly indicate that hypoxia is also capable of inducing MCT1/SLC16A1 and MCT2/SLC16A7 expression [45–50]. Expression studies performed in cancer cells suggested the involvement of other hypoxia-activated transcription factors, such as HIF-2 (which enhances Myc transcriptional activity) [51] and other hypoxia-activated transcription factors, such as transcription factor metastasis-associated in colon cancer 1 (MMAC1) [52, 81]. MCT1/SLC16A1 and MCT4/SLC16A3 were shown to be upregulated in all reported cases; (+), non-systematic upregulation; –, downregulation.

### Table 2 – Changes of MCT expression during progression from normal to tumor epithelium in humans.

| Cancer type                        | MCT1 | MCT2 | MCT4 | Ref.       |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Adenocarcinal cancer              | +    | +    | +    | [192]     |
| Brain cancer                      | +    | +    | +    | [99,160,188,190,222] |
| Breast cancer                     | (+)  | +    | +    | [32,54,223] |
| Cervix cancer                     | +    | +    | +    | [33]      |
| Colorectal cancer                 | (+)  | +    | +    | [34,157,229] |
| Esophageal adenocarcinoma         | +    | +    | (+)  | [151]     |
| Gastric cancer                    | +    | +    | +    | [153,155] |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma          | +    | –    | +    | [31]      |
| Melanoma                          | +    | +    | +    | [96]      |
| Oral squamous cell carcinoma      | +    | +    | +    | [162]     |
| Prostate cancer                   | (+)  | +    | +    | [35,83]   |

The stability of MCTs, their subcellular localization at the plasma membrane and their functions require their physical interaction with chaperone glycoproteins of the multifunctional immunoglobulin family. CD147/basigin is the main chaperone of MCT1, MCT3 and MCT4 [62,63], and gp70/embigin the main chaperone of MCT2 [64] (Figure 1). Interactions of MCT1 with gp70 and of MCT2 with CD147 have also been observed and could be species-dependent [65]. CD147 and gp70 transmembrane and intracellular C-terminus domains interact with MCTs TM3-6 and C-terminus domains, respectively [7,62,65,66]. An elegant study using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) further revealed that functionally active MCT1 forms dimers that interact with two CD147 proteins at the cell membrane [67]. These functional units can recruit additional proteins to form supercomplexes. Hence, MCT1 was reported to interact with cytochrome oxidase (COX) in L6 cell mitochondria [14]; MCT1 and MCT4 with carbonic anhydrases CA2 [68] and CA6 [69] that facilitate lactic acid transport across the cell membrane independently of CA catalytic activities; and MCT4 with β1-integrin in epithelial cells, where the complex polarized at the basolateral membrane and in the leading edge lamellipodia of migrating cells [70]. Additional interactions have been described in cancer cells (see Section 2.3.2).

The stability of MCTs and their associated chaperone proteins is interdependent, as silencing one often reduces the expression of the other [45,71–73]. Loss of the chaperone leads to inappropriate expression of MCTs in intracellular vesicles, indicating that CD147 and gp70 target the transporters to the plasma membrane [62,74]. In epithelial cell monolayers, CD147 was shown to ensure MCT1 polarization, whereas MCT3 and MCT4 reciprocally influenced CD147 polarity [75–77]. Of note, CD147 gene expression is induced by hypoxia [78], which could account for hypoxia-inducible MCT1 expression. There is no report addressing the sensitivity of gp70 to hypoxia.

Finally, the abundance of MCTs at the cell plasma membrane depends on their turnover and recycling rates. c-AMP signaling has been shown to target MCT1 to autophagosomes and lysosomes in endothelial cells, thus decreasing the pool of functional MCT1 at the plasma membrane [79].

2.3.2. Regulation of MCT expression in cancer

Human cancers often express MCTs at high level (see Tables 1 and 2). From a transcriptional standpoint, at least nine different mechanisms have been evidenced to date to explain this observation (summarized in Figure 1). Hypoxia can induce MCT1/SLC16A1, MCT2/SLC16A7, and MCT4/SLC16A3 gene expression directly via HIF-1 activation for MCT4/SLC16A3 [43], or indirectly for the two other isoforms [45,67,48,50,80]. Oncogenic Myc signaling can trigger MCT1/SLC16A1 and MCT2/SLC16A7 either directly of via the loss of translation repressors miR-29a and miR-29c [52,81]. MCT1/SLC16A1 is also a direct Wnt-target gene, coupling Wnt activation to increased lactate export in glycolytic colon cancer cells [82], NF-κB signaling and loss of function of p53 can further trigger MCT1/SLC16A1 transcription [46]. Transcription factor metastasis-associated in colon cancer 1 (MACC1) signaling was reported to induce MCT1/SLC16A1 transcription in gastric cancer cell lines [83], PGC-1α-estrogen-related receptor α (ERRα) signaling supports MCT1/SLC16A1 transcription, and this axis was repressed in exercising tumor-bearing mice [84]. Extracellular ascorbic acid can activate HIF-2 and Myc, which then stimulate MCT1/SLC16A1 transcription [53,85]. Glutamine availability supports HIF-1 activity and MCT4/SLC16A3 expression [86]. Finally, GPR81 stimulation by extracellular lactate can trigger a yet unknown signaling cascade increasing MCT1/SLC16A1 and MCT4/SLC16A3 gene expression in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cell lines [87]. Conversely, decreased MCT expression can be due to hypermethylation of gene promoters, leading to the silencing of MCT1/SLC16A1 in breast cancer [54] and of MCT4/SLC16A3 in colorectal carcinoma [88].
Increased MCT1/SLC16A1 mRNA stability can be due to a loss of MCT1 translation repressor miR-124, as observed in medulloblastoma [89] and in PDAC [82]. Loss of miR-342-3p, which normally acts downstream of the estrogen receptor, was further found to increase MCT1 expression in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells [90]. This study revealed that MCT1/SLC16A1 mRNA is a direct target of the miRNA. An indirect regulation of MCT4 expression was reported for miR-1, which acted by decreasing Smad3-HIF-1 signaling, ultimately resulting in decreased MCT4 expression in glycolytic colorectal cancer cells [91]. Posttranslational MCT1 stabilization has been observed in nutrient stress conditions. It involves a poorly characterized mechanism dependent on mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS) [48]. Interestingly, glucose deprivation induces autophagy and activates Wnt-β-catenin signaling, whereas β-catenin downregulation was recently found to reduce MCT1 expression in hepatocarcinoma cells, thus positively coupling autophagy to high MCT1 expression [92]. Furthermore, interactions of MCT1, MCT4, and CD147 with hyaluronan transporters in breast cancer cell lines [94]. In the complex, CD44 would act as an additional chaperone for MCT1 and MCT4, and impairment of CD44 signaling decreased the plasma membrane expression and impaired the activity of both transporters in breast cancer cell lines [94].

3. MCT FUNCTIONS IN CANCER

As reported in Tables 1 and 2, MCTs are widely expressed in different tumor types, not only in cancer cells but also in stromal cells. It is therefore not surprising that they exert multiple activities in cancer, including in metabolic exchanges, metabolic signaling, and cancer metastasis.

3.1. MCTs facilitate lactate exchanges in tumors

In solid tumors, lactate accumulating in the extracellular matrix has for a long time been considered as a mere metabolic waste. However, there is now strong evidence that this energy-rich metabolite is a substrate for a subpopulation of oxygenated cancer cells [Figure 2]. That oxidative cancer cells expressing MCT1 are capable of taking up lactate secreted by glycolytic cancer cells expressing MCT4 has initially been reported in 2008 [95] and has since been confirmed in several studies [49,96-102]. Because oxidative cancer cells preferentially use lactate as an oxidative fuel compared to glucose, they spare glucose that becomes more available for glycolytic cancer cells [95]. This cooperative relationship has been coined ‘metabolic symbiosis’ [95,103]. In addition to MCTs, lactate can be transferred efficiently from cell to cell through connexin 43 that forms intercellular channels allowing lactate diffusion from its production to its consumption site in a tumor syncitium [104], and stromal cells can be hijacked by oxidative cancer cells to produce lactate [105-107]. Of note, this stromal contribution has been found to be dispensable in a model of tongue cancer in MCT4/SLC16A3-knockout mice [108]. Once present in the cytosol of oxidative cancer cells, lactate is oxidized to pyruvate by LDH-1, which implies the simultaneous reduction of NAD+ to NADH + H+. Pyruvate and NADH (through the malate-aspartate shuttle) can then fuel the TCA cycle [95,97,109]. In non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) models, especially upon orthotopic transplantation in mice, the contribution of lactate to the TCA cycle exceeds that of glucose [101]. An opposite situation can be encountered in metastatic breast cancer, where glycolytic cancer cells in the bone fuel oxidative osteoclast metabolism with lactate, promoting bone resorption [110]. Compared to glucose-fueled respiration, oxidative lactate metabolism could offer at least four advantages to oxygenated cancer cells. First, the yield of ATP produced per molecule of lactate consumed is up to 7.5 times higher as compared to aerobic glycolysis. Then, cancer cells preferentially utilizing lactate spare the energy required for glycolytic enzyme synthesis and maintenance and for the phosphorylation of glucose and fructose-6-phosphate during glycolysis. Lactate oxidation by LDH-1 also provides the cell with energy-rich NADH, which can fuel the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) through the malate-aspartate shuttle [109]. Finally, the LDH-1-catalyzed reaction promotes lysosome acidification and autophagic vesicle maturation [111]. In the process, LDH-1 physically interacts with vacuolar-type proton-ATPase (V-ATPase) at the lysosome surface, which suggests that the LDH-1 reaction aliment a pool of H+ that feed V-ATPase in order to acidify lysosomes. Autophagy would be of particular importance for oxidative cancer cells, because this process is necessary for the recycling of oxidized proteins and organelles, including mitochondria that can be damaged by their own production of mtROS [112]. To track oxidative lactate metabolism in tumors, (±)-[18F]-3-fluorooxypropionate (18-Flac) was developed as a tracer of lactate for positron emission tomography (PET) imaging [113]. Imaging lactate uptake could be of particular interest in the context of antiangiogenic therapy evasion that can occur, notably, when oxidative cancer cells establish a metabolic symbiosis with glycolytic ones, as observed in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and in renal cell carcinomas [98-100].

Figure 2: Metabolic symbiosis and commensalism based on the exchange of lactate in cancer. The cartoon depicts a tumor-feeding blood vessel delivering glucose and oxygen to cancer cells. An oxidative cancer cell is represented close to the blood vessel, a hypoxic cancer cells remotely, and a host cell on the bottom. From a metabolic standpoint, the hypoxic cancer cell has no choice but to perform anaerobic glycolysis to survive, which implies having access to high amounts of glucose. Comparatively, the oxidative cancer cell can use several different metabolic fuels. When nearby glycolytic cells provide lactate (usually a MCT4-dependent process), it uses lactate as an oxidative fuel preferentially to glucose (usually a MCT1-dependent process), which increases glucose availability for the glycolytic cancer cell. The oxidative cancer cell can obtain additional lactate by forcing the host cell to adopt a glycolytic metabolism. When lactate is not available or when MCTs are inhibited, the oxidative cancer cell switches to a glucose-based metabolism, thus depriving other cells from this important resource, which ultimately kills the hypoxic cancer cell. MCT1 and MCT4 inhibitors can, thus, destroy both the metabolic symbiosis and the commensalism based on the exchange of lactate in cancer. For abbreviations, see list. Adapted from reference [219].
Importantly, several cancer cell lines, mostly highly glycolytic cancer cells, are not able to import and/or to oxidize lactate in normoxia [95,97,98]. This could result from low oxidative and high glycolytic activities and/or from inadequate MCT and LDH expression patterns, resulting in a net outward lactate flux that opposes lactate uptake. Moreover, because MCTs are passive transporters, a high concentration of exogenous lactate can inhibit the efflux of lactate from highly glycolytic cells. This constitutes a serious problem for anticancer immunity, where extracellular lactate impairs the glycolytic activity of cytotoxic T-lymphocytes and activated monocytes, their proliferation and their function, thus promoting immune resistance [114,115].

Of note, MCTs transport other monocarboxylates than lactate. In particular, β-hydroxybutyrate released by adipocytes is consumed by MCT2-expressing breast cancer cells [116]. In addition to its role as a metabolic fuel, β-hydroxybutyrate is a HDAC inhibitor that induces the expression of tumor promoter genes in breast cancer cells, such as cytokine IL-1β and growth factor lipocalin 2. MCT inhibition at large could thus interfere with the transfer of monocarboxylates different than lactate between distinct cancer cell subpopulations and between stromal and cancer cells.

3.2. MCTs and lactate receptor GPR81 control lactate signaling

In addition to its role as a metabolic substrate, lactate is also a signaling molecule regulating gene expression and protein activation. Lactate can indeed be considered a tumor-promoting metabolite that influences angiogenesis, amino acid metabolism, histone deacetylases (HDACs), GPR81 signaling, and immunity in processes associated with tumor progression (Figure 3).

3.2.1. Lactate induces tumor angiogenesis

Lactate is a pro-angiogenic agent. In oxidative cancer cells and in endothelial cells, lactate influx through MCT1 and its oxidation by LDH-1 generates pyruvate, which acts as a pro-angiogenic cytosolic signal. Comparatively, owing to an unfavorable transmembrane gradient, highly glycolytic cancer cells are resistant to lactate influx [117]. Pyruvate, but not lactate itself, has been described as a pseudo-hypoxic signal that acts on HIF prolylhydroxylases (PHDs), especially PHD2 [118,119]. In the presence of oxygen and cofactors α-ketoglutarate and vitamin C, this family of enzymes catalyzes the hydroxylation of HIF-1α on two proline residues, targeting this HIF-1 subunit to proteasome-mediated degradation (see reference [44] for a review). Thus, even in the presence of enough oxygen, PHDs can be inhibited either by oxidants or by competitors of α-ketoglutarate. In this molecular context, pyruvate competes with α-ketoglutarate, resulting in PHD inhibition in normoxic cancer cells [117,120,121]. Yet, some doubts persist on the exact nature of the competition [122]. PHD inhibition by lactate-derived pyruvate exerts different effects according to the cell type: it stabilizes HIF-1α in both oxidative cancer cells and in endothelial cells, and subsequently activate HIF-1 and triggers the transcription of vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) in cancer cells [117] and of VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) in endothelial cells [121,123].

Figure 3: Lactate is a signaling molecule in cancer and endothelial cells. The cartoon depicts on endothelial cell in close proximity of an oxidative cancer cell, and a hypoxic/glycolytic cancer cell is further away. Lactate is produced from glucose in the hypoxic/glycolytic cancer cell, and intracellular lactate activates a NDRG3-Raf-ERK1/2 tumor growth-promoting pathway. Once exported (usually a MCT4-dependent process), lactate diffuses along its concentration gradient and influences the oxidative cancer cell and the endothelial cell. Extracellular lactate can bind to lactate receptor GPR81 in the oxidative cancer cell, supporting mitochondrial biogenesis, lactate transport and signaling. It also enter into the cell (usually a MCT1-dependent process), where it promotes pro-angiogenic signaling and glutaminolysis. Similarly, the endothelial cell expresses MCT1 and can therefore take up lactate. There, lactate triggers additional pro-angiogenic pathways. Plain lines and dotted lines represent well-established and presumptive cascades, respectively. + refers to stimulation. For abbreviations, see list.
Both VEGF-A and bFGF are well characterized pro-angiogenic factors, and activation of their receptors expressed by endothelial cells stimulates endothelial cell proliferation and migration, leading to neovessel formation from pre-existing blood vessels [124]. In endothelial cells, PHDs not only tag HIF-1α for proteasomal degradation but also negatively regulate inhibitor of IκB-α (IκKβ) expression and activity [125]. It follows that lactate activates IκKβ, resulting in the phosphorylation of inhibitor of IκB (IκBα) and its subsequent proteasomal degradation, the nuclear translocation of NF-κB and the transcription of pro-angiogenic factor interleukin-8 (IL-8) [120]. Of note, together with pyruvate, NADH produced by the LDH-1 reaction is required for NF-κB activation: in the cytosol, NADH fuels NAD(P)H oxidases (NOXs) that start to generate ROS, contributing to activation of IκK and/or inhibition of PHDs [120]. This signaling pathway is not activated in oxidative cancer cells because NADH generated by the LDH-1 reaction preferentially fuels OXPHOS rather than NOXs in these cells [109]. Altogether, lactate produced e.g. by hypoxic cancer cells elicits a coordinated pro-angiogenic transcriptional program that induces neovessel formation in tumors [11].

N-myc downstream-regulated gene 3 (NDRG3), another PHD2 target, has also been described as an angiogenesis regulator in tumors [126]. In normoxic conditions, NDRG3 is targeted by PHD2 to the proteasome for degradation. However, under prolonged hypoxia, intracellular lactate accumulation is responsible for NDRG3 activation and the consecutive stimulation of the Raf-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathway, supporting tumor growth and angiogenesis [126]. Interestingly, although NDRG3 is negatively regulated by PHD2, intracellular lactate does not stimulate NDRG3 via PHD2 inhibition, but primarily via direct binding to NDRG3. The relevance of NDRG3 in the pseudo-hypoxic response to extracellular lactate is questionable, because exposure of normoxic cancer cells to high levels of exogenous lactate led only to limited NDRG3 stabilization and signaling when compared to hypoxia. Consequently, whether MCTs control this pathway is unknown.

In endothelial cells and macrophages, additional pro-angiogenic effects of lactate have been described and are linked to a decrease in VEGF (poly)ADP-ribosylation, i.e., a NAD⁺-dependent posttranslational modification that limits VEGF activity. LDH-1 and (poly)ADP-ribosyltransferases indeed compete for NAD⁺: lactate oxidation to pyruvate decreases NAD⁺ levels, and, thereby, VEGF (poly)ADP-ribosylation [127–130]. If the pathway is well described, the involvement of MCTs would be logical but has not been demonstrated to date. Overall, by various mechanisms, cancer cell, endothelial cell, and/or macrophage exposure to lactate was phenotypically shown to induce endothelial cell proliferation [123,131], migration [120,121,123,131,132], tube formation [120,121,123,133], vessel sprouting from aortic explants [121], angiogenesis in the egg chorioallantoic membrane [130,131] and rabbit cornea [127] models, and tumor angiogenesis in mice [117,120,121,134].

3.2.2. Lactate stimulates amino acid metabolism

In cancer cells expressing MCT1, a second signaling activity of lactate is linked to its positive regulation of amino acid metabolism. In these cells, PHD inhibition by lactate-derived pyruvate not only leads to HIF-1α but also to HIF-2α stabilization and HIF-2 activation [135]. HIF-2 was reported to enhance Myc signaling, thereby promoting glutamine uptake and metabolism through enhanced expression of the inward glutamine transporter ASC2 and of glutamine-metabolizing enzyme glutaminase 1 (GLS1) [135]. Moreover, lactate-induced glutamine metabolism was shown to activate mTOR [98,136], a key nutrient sensor and master regulator of cell growth, which e.g. stimulates protein synthesis. Whether lactate can simultaneously stimulate autophagy via LDH-1 and protein synthesis via mTOR in cancer cells is an open question.

3.2.3. Lactate inhibits histone deacetylations

Extracellular lactate inhibits HDACs, resulting in histone hyperacetylation, reduced chromatin compactness, and changes in gene expression [137,138]. In particular, lactate-induced hyperacetylation was reported to facilitate DNA repair and to promote cancer cell resistance to chemotherapy. GPR81 silencing and MCT1 inhibition were both shown to interfere with this process [138]. Lactate-induced histone hyperacetylation thus links extracellular lactate to intracellular epigenome regulation, genome stability and therapy evasion.

3.2.4. Lactate activates lactate receptor GPR81

Besides intracellular activities depending on its uptake, extracellular lactate can bind to GPR81, a lactate-activated G-protein-coupled receptor. In a physiological context, GPR81 activation inhibits lipolysis in adipose cells in vitro and decreases the concentration of circulating free fatty acids in vivo [139,140]. However, to our knowledge, neither an impact of GPR81 on cancer cell lipolysis nor a potential involvement of GPR81 on a systemic release of fatty acid to fuel cancer cells have been investigated to date.

GPR81 is expressed in colon, lung, hepatocellular, salivary gland, PDAC, and breast cancer cell lines, and in PDAC and breast tumors in patients [87,141]. In PDAC cells, GPR81 stimulation was found to transcriptionally induce the expression of MCT1, MCT4, CD147 and PGC-1α, thereby promoting lactate uptake and oxidative (lactate) metabolism [87]. Accordingly, GPR81 expression promoted, whereas its downregulation decreased, PDAC xenograft growth in mice. In breast cancer cells, GPR81 silencing reduced ATP production, stimulated apoptosis and decreased c-AMP response element binding protein (CREB)-dependent transcription of pro-angiogenic factor amphiregulin [141]. In agreement with the latter observation, conditioned medium of GPR81-silenced breast cancer cells decreased endothelial cell tube formation, and GPR81-silenced breast tumor xenografts showed reduced angiogenesis and growth [142]. These observations suggest that GPR81 stimulation by lactate supports both lactate uptake by cancer cells and lactate-induced angiogenesis, thus collaborating with intracellular lactate processing to sustain tumor growth and progression through non-redundant molecular mechanisms.

3.2.5. Lactate induces immune tolerance

In tumors, lactic acid impairs antitumor immunity by repressing T-cell proliferation, dendritic cell (DC) maturation, and natural killer (NK) cell activity.

Lactic acid inhibits the proliferation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) in a dose-dependent manner [114]. When proliferating, activated CTLs indeed depend on glycolysis and export lactate via MCT1 [143]. Because the activity of the transporter is driven by the concentration of lactate and protons across the cell membrane, lactic acid accumulating in the extracellular compartment of tumors opposes lactate efflux from these cells, hence their proliferation [114,144,145]. Molecularly, lactic acid was further shown to repress NFAT expression in T and NK cells [146]. These observations could have applications in other fields than cancer: given the importance of MCT1 for CTL proliferation in immune responses, MCT1 inhibitors were both shown to interfere with this process [138]. Lactate-induced histone hyperacetylation thus links extracellular lactate to intracellular epigenome regulation, genome stability and therapy evasion.
DNA [148]. Immature DCs displayed an anti-inflammatory phenotype. Conversely, lactate promoted the differentiation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells in myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which contributed to immunosuppression [149]. It also decreased NK cell activity [146,149], and the authors showed that knocking down LDHA expression in cancer cells resulted in a smaller tumor size, an increased number of NK cells and a decreased number of MDSCs. A ketogenic diet, which, to some extent, prevents intratumoral lactate accumulation, partially recapitulated this effect [149].

3.3. MCTs promote cancer metastasis

The clinical significance of MCT expression patterns has been evaluated in patient tumor samples. In humans, high MCT1 and high MCT4 expression is usually associated with poor prognosis, whereas MCT2 expression correlates with a favorable outcome (prognostic values of MCT expression are reported in Table 3). In the same line, clinical data expression correlates with a favorable outcome (prognostic values of

| Cancer type            | High MCT1 | High MCT2 | High MCT4 | Ref.         |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Adrenocortical carcinoma | good      |           |           | [152]        |
| Bladder cancer         | poor       |           |           | [154,220,221]|
| Breast cancer          | poor       |           |           | [196,223–225]|
| Clear renal cell cancer | poor       |           |           | [227,230]    |
| Colorectal cancer      | poor       |           |           | [231]        |
| Glioblastoma           | poor       |           |           | [203]        |
| Head and neck cancer   | good       |           |           | [31]         |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | good       |           |           | [31]         |
| Lung cancer            | poor       |           |           | [193,196]    |
| Melanoma               | poor       |           |           | [96,159]     |
| Neuroblastoma          | poor       |           |           | [188]        |
| Osteosarcoma           | poor       |           |           | [80]         |
| Ovarian Cancer         | poor       |           |           | [182]        |
| Prostate cancer        | poor       |           |           | [35,93]      |
| Soft tissue sarcoma    | poor       |           |           | [36]         |
| Testicular germ cell cancer | poor       |           |           | [164]        |

Table 3 – Prognostic value of MCT expression in human cancers.

4. THERAPEUTIC MCT INHIBITION

4.1. MCT inhibitors

Several compounds non-specifically inhibit MCTs (Table 4). Among known modes of actions, 4′-diisothiocyanato-2,2′-stibenedisulphonate (DIDS) irreversibly binds to a lysine residue on MCT1 and MCT2, thus inactivating the transporters, but not on MCT4 [8]; and organomercurial compounds such as p-chloromercuribenzenesulphonate (p-CMB) disrupt MCT-CO2 interactions, thus interfering with MCT1, MCT3, and MCT4 expression and activity, but not with MCT2 [64]. These compounds do not present a high clinical hope for disrupting MCT functions in cancer.

Pharmacological interest for MCT1 inhibitors rose in 2007 with the identification of MCT1 as the target of a new class of immunomodulatory drugs [145]. These compounds and optimized compounds AR-C15S858 and AZD3965 were shown to inhibit lactate efflux from activated T-lymphocytes [145,168]. They reduce the glycolytic rate of
4.2. Targeting MCT-mediated lactic acid influx by cancer cells

The metabolic symbiosis based on the exchange of lactate is of particular importance for cancer cell adaptation to glucose deprivation [95] and for tumor resistance to anti-angiogenic therapies [98—100]. When MCT1 gates lactate uptake by oxidative cancer cells, MCT1 targeting can result in lactate influx impairment, a metabolic switch from lactate-fueled OXPHOS to aerobic glycolysis in these cells, and the indirect death of hypoxic cancer cells consecutive to glucose deprivation [95]. In particular, the use of small interfering RNAs validated the key role exerted by MCT1 in such symbiont. In murine models of cancer, daily administration of MCT inhibitor α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamate (CHC) resulted in decreased tumor growth, an increased tumor necrotic core associated with the eradication of hypoxic tumor areas, and a higher sensitivity of the remaining oxygenated tumor rim to radiotherapy [95]. The antitumor selectivity of the approach relies on the metabolic interdependency of oxidative and glycolytic cancer cells in a same tumor: while oxidative cancer cells adapt to MCT1 inhibition by switching to alternative substrates (as would also do other oxidative cells in the body), glycolytic cancer cells depending on the symbiosis for survival cannot.

MCT1 inhibition can also interfere with oxidative cancer cells hijacking stromal cells to get additional lactate (see Section 3.1). While in normal conditions the co-culture of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) would fuel cancer cell proliferation, the administration of CHC or a MCT1 knockdown was sufficient to disrupt this relationship; thus impairing cancer cell proliferation [107,175]. Similarly, MCT1 inhibition or knockdown delayed the growth of mixed cancer cell-CAF xenografts in mice. Interestingly, other studies reported MCT1 expression and the presence of markers of an oxidative metabolism in CAFs, and the expression of markers of a glycolytic metabolism in cancer cells [176—178]. These features may reflect metabolic heterogeneity in cancer. Acid clearance by CAFs was reported in an independent study [179] and might be beneficial for cancer cells.

MCT1-mediated lactate uptake is also a characteristic of angiogenic endothelial cells (see Section 3.2.1). Consequently, MCT1 targeting in endothelial and cancer cells, using a silencing approach or CHC administration, impaired lactate-induced angiogenesis in vitro and in murine models of cancer in vivo [117,120,121]. Intriguingly, in the only study to our knowledge where angiogenesis was assessed upon AZD3965 treatment, the drug had no effect on small cell lung carcinoma xenograft vascularization [180].

In the context of combination therapy, MCT1 was identified as a main transporter facilitating the uptake of anticancer agent 3-bromopyruvate by cancer cells [181]. A potential interference between MCT1 inhibitors and 3-bromopyruvate should thus be addressed in future studies. The evaluation of combination treatments should further take into account the potential involvement of MCT1 in multidrug resistance [93,182] and the observation that MCTs can transport additional exogenous molecules [183].

4.3. Targeting MCT-mediated lactic acid efflux by cancer cells

MCTs facilitate lactic acid efflux from glycolytic cancer cells and are, therefore, important pH regulators [184,185]. It is tempting to inhibit this function in order to acidify the cytosol of glycolytic cancer cells, inducing their death. To achieve that aim, dozens of studies used non-specific MCT inhibitors, selective MCT1 inhibitors and genetic approaches targeting MCT1 and/or MCT4 [45,49,50,52,72,73,101,160,162,172,180]. Impairment of MCT-mediated efflux generally resulted in decreased pyruvate and/or lactic acid release from glycolytic cancer cells, increased intracellular pyruvic and/or lactic acid content resulting in cytosol acidification, inhibition of glycolysis and an increased dependence of the cells on mitochondrial oxidative metabolism sometimes associated with increased oxidative stress and a drop in ATP levels [6,11]. These effects were found to be exacerbated by hypoxia, when cancer cells mainly relied on glycolysis, produced important amounts of lactic acid, and expressed high MCT levels [45,49,50,180]. In several studies, targeting CD147 recapitulated the metabolic effects of MCT impairment [45,72,199—201]. Interestingly, in cases when MCT1 inhibition increased oxidative mitochondrial metabolism, cancer cells generally became more sensitive to ETC Complex I inhibitors metformin, phenformin and...
cancer cells of NAD. Synthetic lethality is possible, as exemplified by the combination of a dual MCT1 and MCT4 inhibitor with metformin, which depleted intracellular NAD 

In breast and prostate cancer cells, MCT1 knockdown decreased cancer cell migration and invasion, it was concluded that the acute and transient phosphorylation of hepatic growth factor (HGF) receptor c-Met, which was reduced in MCT1-deficient mice and patients. This effect could be attributed to an interference with lactate-induced angiogenesis, but a specific MCT1 inhibitor would be required for further validation. In the brain, MCT2 is preferentially expressed in neurons where it conveys lactate uptake. Adult rats injected with antisense oligonucleotides in the hippocampus showed memory defects. MCT2-deficiency did not alter short-term memory but significantly disrupted long-term memory. Neither glucose nor lactate rescued amnesia, indicating that yet unknown processes dependent on MCT2 are essential for long-term memory. Accordingly, MCT2 expression was found to be decreased in animal models of Alzheimer's disease. In eyes, MCT3 facilitates lactate export by the retina. It is therefore not surprising that MCT3/SLC16A3-knockout mice developed visual defects. They were attributed to a decrease in photoreceptor currents in response to light and associated to a fourfold decrease in lactate levels in the retina and, possibly, acidification of the subretinal space. However, histological features of the eyes were preserved. MCT4/SLC16A4-knockout mice have been generated in 2018 and are viable. A detailed analysis of phenotypic changes and possible compensation of the defect by other MCT isoforms has not yet been performed. In humans, genetic polymorphisms of MCT1/SLC16A1 impact the oxidative clearance of lactate by slow-twitching muscle fibers, with carriers of 1470T>A, 2917(1414)C> T, and IVS3-17A>C variants showing poorer lactate clearance during high intensity exercise. In the same line, a single case report concluded that lactate transport deficiency caused lactate accumulation in the exercising muscle, which was potentially responsible for episodes of rhabdomyolysis and myoglobinuria. However, the patient was not genotyped. In 3 additional patients with "cryptic exercise intolerance," heterozygous missense mutations of the MCT1/SLC16A1 gene have been reported to cause muscle and chest pain after prolonged exercise, but, surprisingly, one of these mutations did not impair MCT1 function in Xenopus oocytes. Assuming that cryptic exercise intolerance symptoms result from an impairment of MCT1 functions, they could be caused by impaired lactate acid efflux in skeletal muscles and/or impaired lactate acid clearance by various tissues. More recently, novel MCT1 mutations (either homozygous or heterozygous) have been identified in 9 patients. They resulted in recurrent and severe episodes of ketoadiposis, i.e., accumulation of ketone bodies in the blood due to an imbalance between their production in the liver and their use in peripheral tissues, possibly resulting from a decreased uptake capacity of ketone bodies by MCT1-deficient cells. Thus, ketoacidosis is important to consider upon therapeutic MCT1 inhibition as well. To our knowledge, no
polymorphisms and no missense mutations have been found for MCT2, MCT3 and MCT4 in patients. MCT4 polymorphism has been reported in horses, but it was silent [218].

5. CONCLUSIVE REMARKS

Whereas glycolysis coupled to lactic fermentation has been reported as the preferential metabolic mode adopted by hypoxic and proliferating cancer and host cells, tumors also contain cells relying on an oxidative metabolism. Like other oxidative cells in the body, oxidative cancer cells may use several metabolic substrates, of which the main ones are glucose, lipids, glutamine, and lactate. What distinguishes tumors from normal tissues is that they accumulate lactate owing to a high glycolytic activity in some tumor areas and inefficient clearance by the abnormal tumor vasculature in general. Lactate levels in cancer may reach 40 mM with an average concentration in human tumors of about 10 mM [2,11]. Therefore, this metabolic resource is of particular importance for tumor growth and development. Importantly, the export of lactate by glycolytic cells and its import by oxidative cells are gated by MCTs.

In this review, we summarized the current knowledge about the regulation of MCT expression, their functions in cancer, and their druggability. We emphasized that they are expressed not only in cancer cells but also in host cells, where they exert multiple pro-tumoral activities. Via MCTs, lactate sustains metabolic cooperation and commensalism (Figure 2), as well as metabolic and pro-angiogenic signaling (Figure 3). MCTs, especially MCT1, can further promote cancer metastasis independently of their transport activities. As such, high MCT expression is generally correlated with poor prognosis for cancer patients (Table 3). However, lactate may also exert a large part of its pro-tumoral activity independently of MCTs, notably by binding to the GPR81 receptor at the cell surface [87]. This information is included in our review, because it has not been covered previously. For therapy, it is therefore important to consider MCTs as well as other potential therapeutic targets.

Based on their functions in cancer and analyzes of the phenotypes of MCT-deficient mouse models and patients, we believe that MCTs, especially MCT1 and MCT4, have high MCT expression is generally correlated with poor prognosis for cancer patients (Table 3). However, lactate may also exert a large part of its pro-tumoral activity independently of MCTs, notably by binding to the GPR81 receptor at the cell surface [87]. This information is included in our review, because it has not been covered previously. For therapy, it is therefore important to consider MCTs as well as other potential therapeutic targets.

In our opinion, a key parameter to solve and about which the literature yet, and little is known about the role of other MCT substrates than lactate, including pyruvate, ketone bodies and exogenous drugs, on tumor progression. Conclusively, the last 10 years have witnessed an impressive increase in the scientific knowledge about lactate and MCTs in cancer. MCTs are promising anticancer targets. However, several grey zones and black boxes are still present, that range from the (epi)genetic control of cancer and host cells by lactate in tumors to the potential contribution of MCTs to cancer growth at the systemic level (for example, the Cori cycle of lactate-fueled gluconeogenesis in the liver [23]) and the contribution of other substrates than lactate.
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ABBREVIATIONS

18-FLAC (±)-[18F]-3-fluoro-2-hydroxypropionate ALS Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis AMPK AMP-activated protein kinase BDNF Brain-derived neurotrophic factor bFGF Basic fibroblast growth factor BPTES Bis-2-(5-phenylacetamido-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)ethyl sulfide CAF Cancer-associated fibroblast CHC α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamate COX Cytochrome oxidase CREB c-AMP response element binding protein CTL Cytotoxic T lymphocyte DC Dendritic cell DIDS 4′-diisothiocyanato-2,2′-stilbenedisulphonate EGFR Epithelial growth factor ERK Extracellular signal-regulated kinase ERRx Estrogen-related receptor x ETC Electron transport chain FRET Fluorescence resonance energy transfer GhrT Glycerol-3-phosphate transporter GLS1 Glutamase 1 GLUT Glucose transporter GPR82 G protein-coupled receptor 81 HDAC Histone deacetylase HGF Hepatic growth factor HIF Hypoxia-inducible transcription factor HRE Hypoxia response element IGF1 Insulin-like growth factor 1 ikBα Inhibitor of κBα iκBβ Inhibitor of κB-kinase β IL Interleukin LDH Lactate dehydrogenase MACC1 Metastasis-associated in colon cancer 1
| Acronym | Definition |
|---------|------------|
| MCT | Monocarboxylate transporter |
| MDSC | Myeloid-derived suppressor cell |
| MMP | Matrix metalloproteinase |
| mTOR | Mammalian target of rapamycin |
| mtROS | Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species |
| miR | MicroRNA |
| NDRG3 | N-myc downstream-regulated gene 3 |
| NF-κB | Nuclear factor-κB |
| NFAT | nuclear factor of activated T-cells |
| NK | Natural killer |
| NOX | NAD(P)H oxidase |
| NSCLC | Non-small cell lung carcinoma |
| OXPHOS | Oxidative phosphorylation |
| p-CMBs | p-chloromercuribenzenesulphonate |
| PDAC | Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma |
| PET | Positron-emission tomography |
| PGC-1α | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator 1-α |
| PHD | (HIF) prolylhydroxylase |
| ROS | Reactive oxygen species |
| SLC16A | Solute carrier 16A |
| SMCT | Sodium-dependent monocarboxylate transporter |
| TNBC | Triple negative breast cancer |
| V-ATPase | Vacular-type proton-ATPase |
| VEGF | Vascular endothelial growth factor |
| VEGFR2 | Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 |

**APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2019.07.006.
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