Antimicrobial properties of diethylamine NONOate, a nitric oxide donor, against *Escherichia coli*: a pilot study
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Abstract
The emergence of SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19, highlights the increasing need for new and effective antiviral and antimicrobial agents. The FDA has recently banned several active ingredients used in hand sanitizers, including triclosan and benzethonium chloride. Nitric oxide (NO) is involved in the innate immune response and is a major component of macrophage-mediated attack on foreign viruses and bacteria. The specific aim of this study was to assess the antibacterial effects of 2-((N,N-diethylamino)-diazenolate-2-oxide (DEA-NONOate) against *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*). A bacterial growth assay was compared to an adenosine triphosphate (ATP) activity assay at various time points to assess effects of DEA-NONOate on *E. coli* growth. A UV/Vis spectrophotometer was used to determine concentration of *E. coli* by measuring optical density (OD) at 630 nm. A luminescent assay was used to measure ATP activity correlating to viable cells. DEA-NONOate at a concentration of 65 mM was able to inhibit the growth of *E. coli* with the same efficacy as 1 μg ml⁻¹ concentration of ciprofloxacin. Both the OD and ATP assays demonstrated a 99.9% reduction in *E. coli*. Both a 1 μg ml⁻¹ concentration of ciprofloxacin and a 65 mM concentration of DEA-NONOate achieved 99.9% inhibition of *E. coli*, verified using both optical density measurement of bacterial cultures in 96 well plates and a luminescent ATP activity assay. The bactericidal effects of DEA-NONOate against *E. coli* is proof-of-concept to pursue evaluation of nitric oxide-based formulations as antimicrobial and antiviral agents as hand sanitizers.

Introduction

One of the challenges of evaluating antimicrobial agents as hand sanitizers is the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) gold standard use of the viable plate count method, which utilizes bacteria diluted on agar plates to quantify colony forming units (CFU) following 20–24 h of incubation [1]. Interestingly, the counting of CFUs to assess antimicrobial efficacy has remained relatively unchanged since the seminal work of microbiology [2], which established the validation criteria for CFU counting. Based on counting of more than 1000 agar plates, Breed and Dotterrer determined that the number of CFUs at the end of an experiment should be between 40 and 200 colonies per plate to validate antimicrobial activity. Other microbiologists have recommended ranges between 25 and 250 CFUs [3]. Thus, dilutions of 1:100,000 (10⁻⁵), 1:1,000,000 (10⁻⁶) or even 1:10,000,000 (10⁻⁷) of bacteria are generally required to achieve a valid number of CFUs for testing antibiotic efficacy. Such dilutions are, however, tedious to perform and prone to errors.

Both the FDA and the field of microbiology are interested in developing new assays to aid in the development of hand sanitizers by replacing outdated serial dilutions and agar plate incubations that rely on manual or computer-aided colony counts. Several high-throughput assays, including broth dilution microplate assays, quantitative polymerase chain reaction assays, enzymatic assays and luminescent assays have been used to complement the viable plate count method for evaluation of antimicrobial activity [4–10]. The BacTiter-Glo™ assay is a luminescent assay that determines the number of viable bacterial cells by quantitation of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), which is a vital measure of mitochondrial cellular respiration required for cell survival. ATP bioluminescent assays have been used to effectively evaluate antibacterial properties of various antimicrobial test agents [11–14]. The efficacy of antibacterial agents is associated with a
decrease in cellular respiration, which correlates to a decrease in ATP activity [15].

Nitric oxide (NO), is a free radical and ubiquitous signaling molecule which inhibits cellular respiration [16]. NO was discovered alongside oxygen by chemist Joseph Priestly [17]. Several studies have shown that NO and its surrogates are involved in a variety of physiologic as well as pathologic processes including cardiovascular disease [18], vascular dysfunction [19], asthma [20], pulmonary disorders [21], sepsis [22], retinopathy [23], and cancer [24, 25]. NO-generating drugs such as glyceryl trinitrate (nitroglycerin) [26] and sodium nitroprusside [27] were first used clinically for treatment of angina and regulation of hypertension respectively. More recently, NO has been used to treat Sudden Acute Respiratory Syndrome [28], Pediatric Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome [29] and COVID-19 [30, 31], shedding light on the clinical importance of harnessing NO in a biologically compatible and useful form as a therapeutic intervention.

Nitric oxide (NO) is one of the most functionally diverse, ubiquitous, and biologically dichotomous molecules in nature, contributing to physiology as well as pathology in almost every biological setting. The double-edged nature of NO is a function of three principle factors: (1) site of NO production/delivery, (2) NO concentration, and (3) duration of NO production/delivery. NO is generated enzymatically in vivo by three enzymes known as NO synthases: NOS-1, NOS-2, and NOS-3 [32]. In addition, NO can be produced by a NO-recycle pathway from nitrate and nitrite precursors [33–35], elevating these once-considered inert end products to biological surrogates of NO. Nitric oxide is a crucial component of both innate and adaptive defense mechanisms and is involved in T cell-mediated immunity as well as in macrophage-mediated killing of intracellular bacteria [36–38]. As a free radical, NO can react with other molecules to produce reactive oxygen species (ex. Superoxide) and reactive nitrogen species (ex. peroxynitrite), which together contribute to the regulation of the immune response [39].

NO exists as a gas, and as such has proved challenging to manage for biomedical applications. Discovery of the widespread biological activities of NO have ultimately led to the development of solid, convenient dosage forms to harness NO gas, namely “NO-donors.” The first class of NO donors, which were tested for biological activity were NONOates or diazeniumdiolates [40, 41]. NONOates can be distinguished from each other based on the half-life of NO release (seconds to hours) as well as the number of moles of NO released. Thus, the physiologic or pathologic effects of NO from a NONOate can be controlled by selecting a specific NO-donor to promote cell death or invoke cellular responses. NO donors have demonstrated antibacterial, antiviral, and antitumor properties and have been included in biofilms, nanoparticles and hydrogels to expand delivery options [42–48]. Current trends in overcoming antibiotic resistance have utilized NO donors as prodrugs in combination with antibiotics to achieve enhanced bacterial killing [49].

In this study, we set out to evaluate the antimicrobial efficacy of diazeniumdiolate diethylamine NONOate (DEA-NONOate) against E. coli using both broth dilution and luminescent ATP assays to assess proof-of-concept for further evaluation of NO as a potential hand sanitization agent.

**Methods**

**Reagents**

DEA-NONOate (Enzo Biochem; Farmingdale NY), high performance liquid chromatography-grade water, and consumables were purchased from VWR Scientific (Radnor, PA). The BacTiter-Glo™ kits were provided as a generous donation from Promega Corporation (Madison, WI).

**Preparation of E. coli and Broth dilution and CFU assays**

We chose to examine the effects of DEA-NONOate on E. coli as previous studies have shown that E. coli is susceptible to NO [50]. Briefly, E. coli ATCC® 11229™ KWIK-STIK™ was inoculated on agar plates according to manufacturer’s instructions using Mueller–Hinton (MH) medium (MilliporeSigma; Burlington, MA). An individual colony was selected and grown to midlog phase, in accordance with standard microbiological techniques, by growing the culture in MH medium overnight at 37°C and 275 rpm in a shaking incubator. The E. coli broth was then diluted to an absorbance of 0.01 (corresponding to ~10 million cell counts) for the broth dilution assay and transferred to 96 well plates. OD was determined using a spectrophotometer (BioTek Instruments, Inc.; Winooski, VT) and samples were analyzed at 630 nm as previously described for MH medium [51]. Each treatment, including the untreated control, was evaluated in sextuplicate (n = 6). For qualitative comparison of each treatment, CFUs were manually counted after 20 h of incubation on three plates in accordance with FDA guidelines [1].

DEA-NONOate stocks were prepared in water and diluted in MH broth to achieve final concentrations of 8 and 65 mM. DEA-NONOate (65 mM) was selected as the high dose as it represented the theoretical maximum for the solubility of NO gas in water from a commercial gas cylinder at 500 psig [52]. DEA-NONOate (8 mM) was selected as the low dose as scouting studies demonstrated bactericidal activity assessed after 3 h (data not shown). Ciprofloxacin was used as a
positive control at a concentration of 1 μg ml⁻¹, which has shown to be effective against E. coli [53].

**BacTiter-Glo™ ATP assay**

A BacTiter-Glo™ Microbial Cell Viability assay was conducted according to manufacturer’s instructions (Promega; Madison, WI). E. coli was diluted to a starting absorbance of 0.01. BacTiter-Glo™ Reagent was added directly to the bacterial cells, supporting generation of a luminescent signal. Samples were transferred to 96 well white tissue culture plates and analyzed using a GloMax Navigator luminometer (Promega; Madison, WI). Each treatment, including the untreated control, was evaluated in sextuplicate (n = 6). Quantitation of cellular ATP, as indicated by luminescent signal, was proportional to the number of viable bacterial cells in each sample.

**Results**

At 20 h, both ciprofloxacin (1 μg ml⁻¹) and DEA-NONOate (65 mM) inhibited bacterial growth, resulting in between 0 and 2 CFUs after manual counts of three agar plates. Untreated plates and DEA-NONOate (8 mM)-treated plates were fully confluent and could not be counted (data not shown).

Consistent with the plate counts, the broth dilution assay showed the greatest inhibition of E. coli growth by DEA-NONOate (65 mM) at 20 h, resulting in 99.9% reduction in E. coli (3 log reduction) (Fig. 1a, b). DEA-NONOate (65 mM) outperformed ciprofloxacin (1 μg ml⁻¹) at every time point in the BacTiter-Glo™ assay (Fig. 2a, b).

Growth inhibition assessing the optical density (OD) was comparable to the decrease in ATP activity illustrating the usefulness of the BacTiter-Glo™ assay as a viable addition to bacterial counting assays. Of interest, the antibacterial effects of DEA-NONOate at a concentration of 8 mM were significant at all times points compared to untreated controls assessing the broth dilution assay similar to the ATP assay with the exception of the 20 h time point (BacTiter-Glo™) which was not significant.

**Discussion**

Currently, several hand sanitizers, including alcohol-based hand sanitizers [54], are not effective at killing bacteria and viruses as labeled, and are currently under review by the FDA. Antimicrobial resistance is among the top three threats to global health [55] and must be considered when designing a hand sanitization agent.
The use of NO as a hand sanitizing agent is warranted based on the antimicrobial properties of NO and its proven use in various formulations [56]. Several studies have demonstrated antimicrobial activity of NO using diazeniumdiolates [47], the most widely available solid-dose form capable of generating NO. The diazeniumdiolate PROLI-NONOate [57], which very quickly releases NO, has demonstrated 99% growth inhibition (3 log reduction) of both Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans and Porphyromonas gingivalis at a concentration of 25 mM examined after a 2 h treatment period with no additional time points measured. Our study evaluated 8 mM DEA-NONOate, which demonstrated ~80% inhibition of E. coli at 2 h; this effect was not sustained at 20 h. DEA-NONOate has a slower release of NO ($t_{1/2} = 2$ min. at 37°C) compared to PROLI-NONOate ($t_{1/2} = 1.8$ sec. at 37°C), which may account for differences in bactericidal effect. As well, treatments should ideally be examined at 20–24 h to appropriately determine log reduction [1].

Other NO-donors, including modified S-nitroso-penicillamine, have demonstrated inhibition of E. coli and S. epidermidis growth when used at concentrations in the low mM range [58]. A 2.5 mM concentration of polyethyleneimine-based NO donor had some efficacy against E. coli and Staphylococcus aureus bacterial suspensions [59] but failed to achieve 3 log reduction. The NO-donor nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (SNAP) exhibited some activity against Coxsackievirus [60]; interestingly, this NO-donor induced nitrosylation of a critical cysteine residue in the active site of Coxsackievirus protease 3 C, and this reaction was proposed to be its mechanism of antibacterial action.

Both nitrate and nitrite have been shown to generate NO in vivo. Studies have examined the efficacy of nitrite as well as NO derived from a 0.002 mM concentration of DETA-NONOate against E. coli and Shewanella oneidensis [50]; nitrite was more effective against S. oneidensis whereas NO was more effective against E. coli [50], which may be a result of nitrite reduction mechanisms. The antimicrobial action of NO derived from sodium nitrite against verotoxigenic E. coli O157:H7 was demonstrated at NO concentrations of 84 mM [61] which compares more closely to the results of this study. Some studies have also shown that nitrite at 1 mM can inhibit bacteria such as Helicobacter pylori [62]. Although our results demonstrate that much higher millimolar concentrations of NO are required to achieve 99.9% growth inhibition, differences in NO-donor antibacterial efficacy can most likely be attributed to the release characteristics specific to each NO donor.

Direct use of NO gas has been shown to be effective against E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and S. aureus [63] as well as other bacterial pathogens [64]. A Phase I clinical trial of patients with cystic fibrosis demonstrated that 160 ppm (5.3 mM) NO delivered for 30 min three times daily for 5 days, administered in 2 cycles, reduced CFU of several bacteria (including E.coli) and lessened lung infection [65]. Inhaled NO (6.6 mM) was also effective in treating patients with pneumonia [66]. A quantitative modeling study evaluated continuous in vitro NO generation and determined that a concentration of at least 0.002 mM was required for optimal antibacterial activity [67]. Another in vitro study [68] examined NO gas (5.3 mM), which demonstrated efficacy against S. aureus with 6 cycles of NO gas every 30 min via continuous exposure; however, the antibacterial effects may have been due to the production of nitrogen dioxide (derived from NO when reacted with oxygen) or the formation of peroxynitrite [69] (a product of NO) and superoxide [70], both of which have been shown to be antibacterial. Other studies have demonstrated an antibacterial response by peroxynitrite derived from NO [71].

Our study demonstrates the utility of NO against E. coli at concentrations of 8 mM and 65 mM. A concentration of 65 mM DEA-NONOate after 20 h of exposure resulted in a 3-log reduction in bacterial growth in accordance with FDA guidelines. DEA-NONOate at 8 mM was effective at reducing bacterial load at all time points compared to untreated controls which supports the use of low doses of DEA-NONOate, or other NO-donors, for use against lower bacterial loads such as those found on human hands. Hand sanitizers are tested on human hands at bacterial loads below 1 million CFUs, which is tensfold below the starting CFUs used in this study [72]. Normal bacteria skin flora is highest on the scalp at 1 million CFUs/cm² and has been shown to exceed 4 million CFUs on the hands of medical personnel [72, 73]. It will be interesting to assess 8 mM DEA-NONOate in the clinical setting evaluating lower bacterial loads, which may support its use as an effective hand sanitizing agent with single or multiple uses in hand washing.

Differences in effective antibacterial concentration between this study and by other studies can be attributed to the nuances of each bacterial strain, bacterial load, growth conditions, exposure times, differences in NO donor release profiles, production of NO-derived oxidants such as peroxynitrite, measurement techniques or other confounding variables not readily identifiable.

Our study supports the use of the BacTiter-Glo™ assay as a viable alternative to gold standard CFU plate counts. The BacTiter-Glo™ assay correlated to the CFU counts as did OD of bacterial suspensions. The BacTiter-Glo™ assay thus represents a high-throughput method for evaluation of antimicrobial agent efficacy.

In conclusion, the application of NO as an antimicrobial agent is promising. Use of NO, in combination with antibiotic agents, may be a viable option to address antibiotic resistance. FDA approval of NO as a hand sanitizing agent.
will require formal evaluation against a panel of bacteria and fungi, as well as clinical testing, but is much needed as effective hand sanitizing agents in great demand.
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