OSCILLATION CRITERIA OF THIRD-ORDER NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DELAY DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH NONcanonical OPERATORS
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In this paper, we present some new oscillation criteria for nonlinear neutral difference equations of the form
\[ \Delta(b(n)\Delta(a(n)\Delta z(n))) + q(n)x^\alpha(\sigma(n)) = 0 \]
where \( z(n) = x(n) + p(n)x(\tau(n)) \), \( \alpha > 0 \), \( b(n) > 0 \), \( a(n) > 0 \), \( q(n) \geq 0 \) and \( p(n) > 1 \). By summation averaging technique, we establish new criteria for the oscillation of all solutions of the studied difference equation above. We present four examples to show the strength of the new obtained results.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of solutions of the third-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation
\[ \Delta(b(n)\Delta(a(n)\Delta z(n))) + q(n)x^\alpha(\sigma(n)) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0 \]
where \( z(n) = x(n) + p(n)x(\tau(n)) \), and \( n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) = \{n_0, n_0+1,\ldots\} \), \( n_0 \) is a positive integer. We use the following assumptions:

\((H_1)\) \( \{a(n)\}, \{b(n)\} \) and \( \{p(n)\} \) are positive real sequences with \( p(n) \geq p_1 > 1 \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \), where \( p_1 \) is a constant;

\((H_2)\) \( \{q(n)\} \) is a nonnegative real sequence and does not vanish eventually;
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\((H_3)\) \(\{\sigma(n)\}\) and \(\{\tau(n)\}\) are strictly increasing sequences of integers with \(\tau(n) \leq n - 1, \sigma(n) \leq n - 1\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tau(n) = \infty\); 

\((H_4)\) \(\alpha\) is a ratio of odd positive integers; 

\((H_5)\) \(h(n) = \tau(n) - 1 < n\).

We make use of the following operators in our subsequent discussion: 
\[
\begin{align*}
D_0 z(n) &= z(n), \\
D_1 z(n) &= a(n) \Delta z(n), \\
D_2 z(n) &= b(n) \Delta (a(n) \Delta z(n)), \\
D_3 z(n) &= \Delta (b(n) \Delta (a(n) \Delta z(n))).
\end{align*}
\]

and further assume that \(D_3 z(n)\) is of noncanonical type, that is,

\[
\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(n)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(n)} < \infty.
\]

The real sequence \(\{x(n)\}\) is said to be a solution of (1) if it is defined and satisfies (1) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)\). A nontrivial solution of (1) is said to be oscillatory if the terms of the sequence \(\{x(n)\}\) are neither eventually all positive nor eventually all negative, and nonoscillatory otherwise. As usual, we say that (1) has property A if any solution \(\{x(n)\}\) of (1) is either oscillatory or satisfies \(\lim_{n \to \infty} x(n) = 0\).

Determining oscillation criteria for neutral type difference equations attracted a large portion of research interest in recent years. The oscillatory and asymptotic properties of equations of type (1) with \(p(n) \equiv 0\) were extensively investigated in the literature, see e.g., [1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 13, 18] and the references contained therein. Most of the papers deal with the examination of so called canonical type equations, where conditions opposite to (2) hold, namely

\[
\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(n)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(n)} = \infty.
\]

Further, most of the results obtained in [1, 2, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17] for neutral type third order difference equations ensure that every solution is either oscillatory or tends to zero monotonically.

In [15], the authors considered equation of the form (1) under condition (3) with \(0 \leq p(n) \leq p < \infty\) and established conditions that guarantee every solution of (1) is oscillatory. To the best of authors’ knowledge, there is no result regarding property A or the oscillation of all solutions of (1) under the conditions \((H_1) - (H_2)\). Motivated by this observation, we attempt to obtain some new oscillation results for equation (1) under the condition (2) which ensures that all solutions of (1) are oscillatory. Four examples are presented to show the strength of the main results. It should be noted that the research in this paper was motivated by the recent results in [3, 5] established for differential equations.
2. MAIN RESULTS

For convenience, we use the following notation. For \( n \geq N \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) \), we define

\[
A(n) = \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a(s)}, \quad B(n) = \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b(s)}, \quad C(n) = \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{B(s)}{a(s)},
\]

\[
\Pi_1(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)}, \quad \Pi_2(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(s)}, \quad \Pi(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi_1(s+1)}{b(s)},
\]

\[
C(n, N) = \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b(t)}.
\]

Furthermore, in view of \((H_5)\), we see that the sequence \( \{h(n)\} \) is nondecreasing with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} h(n) = \infty \) which follows from \( h(n) \geq \sigma(n) \) and

\[
\Delta h(n) = \tau^{-1}(\sigma(n) + 1) - \tau^{-1}(\sigma(n)) > 0,
\]

since \( \tau^{-1}(n) \) and \( \sigma(n) \) are strictly increasing.

We begin with the following lemma which provides classification of nonoscillatory solutions of (1).

**Lemma 1.** Assume that \((H_1) - (H_5)\) hold and \(\{x(n)\}\) is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then

\[
(4) \quad z(n) \geq x(n) \geq \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(n))} \left( z(\tau^{-1}(n)) - \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))}{p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))} \right)
\]

and the corresponding sequence \(z(n)\) satisfies one of the following cases

- \( z(n) \in T_1 \iff z(n) > 0, \ D_1 z(n) < 0, \ D_2 z(n) < 0, \)
- \( z(n) \in T_2 \iff z(n) > 0, \ D_1 z(n) < 0, \ D_2 z(n) > 0, \)
- \( z(n) \in T_3 \iff z(n) > 0, \ D_1 z(n) > 0, \ D_2 z(n) > 0, \)
- \( z(n) \in T_4 \iff z(n) > 0, \ D_1 z(n) > 0, \ D_2 z(n) < 0, \)

eventually.

**Proof.** Let \( N \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) \) be such that \( x(\sigma(n)) > 0 \) and \( x(\tau(n)) > 0 \) for all \( n \geq N \). By the definition of \( z(n) \), we have \( z(n) > x(n) > 0 \) and

\[
x(n) = \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(n)) - x(\tau^{-1}(n))}{p(\tau^{-1}(n))} \geq \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(n))} \left( z(\tau^{-1}(n)) - \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))}{p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))} \right)
\]
for \( n \geq N \). Clearly, \( D_3 z(n) \) is nonincreasing for all \( n \geq N \), since
\[
D_3 z(n) = -q(n)x'(\sigma(n)) \leq 0.
\]

Hence \( \{D_1 z(n)\} \) and \( \{D_2 z(n)\} \) have the same sign eventually, which implies that the cases \( T_1 \) to \( T_4 \) are possible for \( z(n) \). The proof of the lemma is complete. \( \square \)

In the next lemma, we state and prove a criterion for the nonexistence of positive increasing solutions of \( (1) \). In the proof, we use the following fact
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{C(\tau^{-1}(n))}{C(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{A(\tau^{-1}(n))}{A(n)} = 1,
\]
which follows from \( (2) \).

**Lemma 2.** Assume that \( (H_1) - (H_5) \) hold. If
\[
\sum_{s=N}^{\infty} \Pi_2(s)q(s) p(s)^2(h(s)) = \infty,
\]
then \( T_3 \cup T_4 = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Let condition \( (6) \) be satisfied but \( z(n) \in T_3 \cup T_4 \). Choose \( N \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) \) such that \( x(n) > 0 \), \( x(\sigma(n)) > 0 \) and \( x(\tau(n)) > 0 \) for \( n \geq N \).

First assume that \( z(n) \in T_3 \). Since \( \{D_3 z(n)\} \) is decreasing, we have
\[
D_1 z(n) \geq \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b(s)} D_2 z(s) \geq B(n) D_2 z(n).
\]

Thus,
\[
\Delta \left( \frac{D_1 z(n)}{B(n)} \right) = \frac{B(n) D_2 z(n) - D_1 z(n)}{b(n) B(n) B(n + 1)} \leq 0.
\]

Therefore, \( \left\{ \frac{D_1 z(n)}{B(n)} \right\} \) is nonincreasing for all \( n \geq N \) and also this fact implies
\[
z(n) \geq \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{B(s)}{a(s) B(s)} D_1 z(s) \geq \frac{D_1 z(n)}{B(n)} C(n)
\]
for all \( n \geq N \). Hence, \( \left\{ \frac{z(n)}{C(n)} \right\} \) is nonincreasing for all \( n \geq N \), since
\[
\Delta \left( \frac{z(n)}{C(n)} \right) = \frac{C(n) D_1 z(n) - z(n) B(n)}{a(n) C(n) C(n + 1)} \leq 0.
\]

From \( \tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)) > \tau^{-1}(n) \), we have
\[
z(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n))) \leq \frac{C(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))}{C(\tau^{-1}(n))} z(\tau^{-1}(n)).
\]
Using (7) in (4), we obtain
\[ x(n) \geq \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(n))}{p(\tau^{-1}(n))} \left(1 - \frac{C(\tau^{-1}(n))}{C(\tau^{-1}(n))p(\tau^{-1}(n))}\right), \quad n \geq N. \]

In view of \((H_2)\) and (5), there is an integer \(N_1 \geq N\) such that for any constant \(\epsilon \in (0, p_1 - 1)\) and \(n \geq N_1\), we get
\[ \frac{C(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))}{C(\tau^{-1}(n))p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))} \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p_1}, \]
which implies
\[ x(n) \geq \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(n))}{p(\tau^{-1}(n))} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p_1}\right) > 0. \]

Using (8) in (1), we obtain
\[ 0 \geq D_3z(n) + \left(1 - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p_1}\right)^\alpha q(n) p^\alpha(h(n)) z^\alpha(h(n)) \]
\[ \geq D_3z(n) + M^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p_1}\right)^\alpha q(n) p^\alpha(h(n)), \]
where we have used the fact that \(z(n)\) and \(h(n)\) are increasing and set \(M = z(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(N_1))) < z(h(n))\). Summing up (9) from \(N_1\) to \(n\), we obtain
\[ D_2z(n + 1) \leq D_2z(N_1) - M^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p_1}\right)^\alpha \sum_{s=N_1}^{n} q(s) p^\alpha(h(s)). \]

Now, from (2) and (6), it follows that
\[ \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{q(n)}{p^\alpha(h(n))} = \infty, \]
which, in view of (10), contradicts the positivity of \(D_2z(n)\).

Next, assume that \(z(n) \in T_4\) for \(n \geq N_1\). From the monotonicity of \(D_1z(n)\), we get
\[ z(n) \geq \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a(s)} D_1z(s) \geq A(n)D_1z(n). \]
Thus
\[ \Delta \left( \frac{z(n)}{A(n)} \right) = \frac{A(n)D_1z(n) - z(n)}{a(n)A(n)A(n + 1)} \leq 0, \]
which implies \(\left\{ \frac{z(n)}{A(n)} \right\}\) is nonincreasing for all \(n \geq N_1\). Hence
\[ z(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n))) \leq \frac{A(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))}{A(\tau^{-1}(n))} z(\tau^{-1}(n)). \]
Again, in view of (5) we obtain (9), which holds for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( n \geq N_2 \geq N_1 \).

Summing up (9) from \( N_2 \) to \( n - 1 \), we get

\[
-\Delta(D_1 z(n)) \geq M^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{p_1}\right)^\alpha \frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{s=N_2}^{n-1} q(s) \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha(h(s))}.
\]

Summing up the above inequality from \( N_2 \) to \( n - 1 \), we obtain

\[
D_1 z(n) \leq D_1 z(N_2) - M^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{p_1}\right)^\alpha \frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{s=N_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b(s)} \sum_{t=N_2}^{s-1} \frac{q(t)}{\rho^\alpha(h(t))}.
\]

Letting \( n \to \infty \), by changing the order of summation, and using (6), one obtains

\[
0 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} D_1 z(n) \leq D_1 z(N_2) - M^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{p_1}\right)^\alpha \frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{s=N_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b(s)} \sum_{t=N_2}^{s-1} \frac{q(t)}{\rho^\alpha(h(t))} = D_1 z(N_2) - M^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{p_1}\right)^\alpha \sum_{s=N_2}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi_2(s)q(s)}{\rho^\alpha(h(s))} = -\infty,
\]

which is a contradiction. The proof of the lemma is complete. \( \square \)

**Theorem 1.** Assume that \((H_1) - (H_5)\) hold. If

\[
\sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi(s)g(s)}{\rho^\alpha(h(s))} = \infty,
\]

then (1) has property \( A \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{x(n)\} \) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). With no loss of generality, we can assume \( x(n) > 0 \), \( x(\sigma(n)) > 0 \) and \( x(\tau(n)) > 0 \) for all \( n \geq N_1 \) where \( N_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) \) is large enough. By Lemma 1, we see that \( z(n) \in T_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) for all \( n \geq N_1 \). First, in view of (2), we see that condition (11) yields

\[
\sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi_2(s)q(s)}{\rho^\alpha(h(s))} = \sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{q(s)}{\rho^\alpha(h(s))} = \infty.
\]

Therefore, by Lemma 2, we have \( T_3 = T_4 = \phi_1 \) and so \( z(n) \in T_1 \) or \( z(n) \in T_2 \). Since \( z(n) \) is decreasing in (4), we have

\[
x(n) \geq \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(n))}{p(\tau^{-1}(n))} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(n)))}\right) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))}.
\]

Therefore, there is a constant \( M > 0 \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} z(n) = M < \infty.
\]
If $M > 0$, there is an integer $N_2 \geq N_1$, such that $z(n) \geq M$ for $n \geq N_2$. Hence from (12), we have

$$x(n) \geq M \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{p_1} \frac{1}{p(r^{-1}(n))}, \quad n \geq N_2.$$  

Using this in (1), we obtain

$$D_3z(n) + M^n \frac{(p_1 - 1)^{\alpha}}{p_1^{\alpha}} \frac{q(n)}{p^p(h(n))} \leq 0, \quad n \geq N_2.$$  

If $z(n) \in T_1$, then by summing up (13) from $N_2$ to $n - 1$ one gets

$$-\Delta(D_1z(n)) \geq M^n \frac{(p_1 - 1)^{\alpha}}{p_1^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{s=N_2}^{n-1} q(s) \cdot \frac{1}{p^p(h(s))}.$$  

Summing up the above inequality from $N_2$ to $n - 1$, we have

$$-\Delta(z(n)) \geq M^n \frac{(p_1 - 1)^{\alpha}}{p_1^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s=N_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b(s)} \sum_{t=N_2}^{s-1} \frac{q(t)}{p^p(h(t)).}$$  

Summing up (14) from $N_2$ to $n - 1$, letting $n \to \infty$ and by changing the order of summation in the resulting inequality, and taking (11) into account, we obtain

$$M = \lim_{n \to \infty} z(n) \leq z(N_2) - M^n \frac{(p_1 - 1)^{\alpha}}{p_1^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(s)} \sum_{n=N_2}^{s-1} \frac{q(t)}{p^p(h(t))}$$

$$= z(N_2) - M^n \frac{(p_1 - 1)^{\alpha}}{p_1^{\alpha}} \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi(n)q(n)}{p^p(h(n))} = -\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $M = 0$.

If we take $z(n) \in T_2$, then by summing up (13) from $N_2$ to $n - 1$, and using (11), we get

$$D_2z(n) \leq D_2z(N_2) - M^n \frac{(p_1 - 1)^{\alpha}}{p_1^{\alpha}} \sum_{s=N_2}^{\infty} \frac{q(s)}{p^p(h(s))} \to -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

which contradicts the positivity of $D_2z(n)$ and so $M = 0$. Since $z(n) \geq x(n)$, we obtain $\lim_{n \to \infty} x(n) = 0$. The proof of the theorem is complete. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.** Assume that $(H_1)-(H_5)$ and (6) hold. If for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and for any $N \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$ large enough

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s=N}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{\Pi(s+1)q(s)}{p^p(h(s))M_1^{\alpha-1}} - \left( \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \right)^\alpha \frac{\Pi_1(s+1)}{4H(s+1)b(s)} \right] > \left( \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \right)^\alpha$$

for any $M_1 > 0$, then $T_1 \cup T_3 \cup T_4 = \phi$.  
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Proof. Suppose \( z(n) \in T_1 \cup T_3 \cup T_4 \). Choose \( N \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) \) such that \( x(n) > 0, \ x(\tau(n)) > 0, \) and \( x(\sigma(n)) > 0 \) for all \( n \geq N \).

First assume that \( z(n) \in T_1 \). From Theorem 1, we see that (12) holds and using this in (1) yields

\[
D_3 z(n) + \left( \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right)^\alpha \frac{q(n)}{p^\alpha(h(n))} z^\alpha(h(n)) \leq 0. \tag{16}
\]

Define the sequence

\[
w(n) = \Pi_1(n) D_1 z(n) + z(n). \tag{17}
\]

From

\[
z(n) \geq - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} D_1 z(s) \geq - \Pi_1(n) D_1 z(n)
\]

and

\[
\Delta w(n) = \frac{\Pi_1(n + 1)}{b(n)} D_2 z(n) < 0,
\]

one can see that \( \{w(n)\} \) is an eventually strictly decreasing positive sequence for all \( n \geq N_1 \geq N \). Using (17) in (16), we obtain

\[
\Delta \left( \frac{b(n)}{\Pi_1(n + 1)} \Delta w(n) \right) + \left( \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right)^\alpha \frac{q(n)}{p^\alpha(h(n))} w^\alpha(h(n)) \leq 0. \tag{18}
\]

Also

\[
w(n) \geq - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi_1(s + 1)}{b(s)} \frac{b(s)}{\Pi_1(s + 1)} \Delta w(s) \geq - \frac{b(n)}{\Pi_1(n + 1) \Pi(n)} \Delta w(n). \tag{19}
\]

Consider the sequence \( \{\mu(n)\} \) defined by

\[
\mu(n) = \frac{b(n) \Delta w(n)}{\Pi_1(n + 1) w(n)}, \quad n \geq N_1. \tag{20}
\]

Then \( \mu(n) < 0 \) for all \( n \geq N_1 \). From (19), it is clear that

\[
-1 \leq \Pi(n) \mu(n) < 0. \tag{21}
\]

Combining (20) and (18), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mu(n) & \leq - \left( \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right)^\alpha \frac{q(n)}{p^\alpha(h(n))} w^\alpha(h(n)) - \frac{b(n) (\Delta w(n))^2}{\Pi_1(n + 1) w(n) w(n + 1)} \\
& \leq - \left( \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right)^\alpha \frac{q(n)}{p^\alpha(h(n))} w^\alpha(h(n)) - \frac{\Pi_1(n + 1) w(n) w(n + 1)}{b(n) \Pi_1(n + 1) \Delta w(n)}
\end{align*} \tag{22}
\]

where we have used that \( w(n) \) is decreasing and \( w(n) \leq M_1 \) for \( M_1 > 0 \).
Proof. Assume that 

\[ T \]

Lemma 4. Assume that \((H_1) - (H_5)\) hold and \(0 < \alpha \leq 1\). If

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{s=h(n)}^{n} \frac{q(s)C^\alpha(h(n),h(s))}{p^\alpha(h(s))} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \\
\infty & \text{if } \alpha < 1,
\end{cases}
\]

then \(T_2 = \phi\).

Proof. Assume that \(z(n) \in T_2\). Choose \(N \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)\) large enough so that \(x(n) > 0\), \(x(\tau(n)) > 0\), and \(x(\sigma(n)) > 0\) for all \(n \geq N\). Using (12) in (1), one gets

\[
D_3z(n) + \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1}\right)^\alpha \frac{q(n)}{p^\alpha(h(n))} z^\alpha(h(n)) \leq 0.
\]

From the monotonicity of \(D_2z(n)\), we can easily see that

\[
-D_1z(j) \geq D_1z(i) - D_1z(j) = \sum_{s=j}^{i-1} \frac{D_2z(s)}{b(s)} \geq D_2z(i) \sum_{s=j}^{i-1} \frac{1}{b(s)}
\]

for \(i \geq j \geq N\). Summing up (25) from \(j\) to \(i - 1\), we obtain

\[
z(j) \geq D_2z(i) \sum_{s=j}^{i-1} \frac{1}{a(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{i-1} \frac{1}{b(t)} = C(i,j)D_2z(i).
\]
Letting \( j = h(s) \) and \( i = h(n) \), \( n \geq s \geq N \) in (26), we get
\[
(27) \quad z(h(s)) \geq C(h(n), h(s)) D_2 z(h(n)).
\]
Now, summing up (24) from \( h(n) \) to \( n \) and using (27), we have
\[
D_2 z(h(n)) \leq D_2 z(h(n)) - D_2 z(n + 1) \geq \left( \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right)^\alpha \sum_{s=h(n)}^{n} \frac{q(s)(h(n), h(s))}{p^\alpha(h(s))} z^\alpha(h(s)) \]
\[
\geq \left( \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right)^\alpha (D_2 z(h(n)))^\alpha \sum_{s=h(n)}^{n} \frac{q(s)(h(n), h(s))}{p^\alpha(h(s))}.
\]
Dividing the last inequality by \((D_2 z(h(n)))^\alpha\), we obtain
\[
(28) \quad [D_2 z(h(n))]^{1-\alpha} \geq \left( \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right)^\alpha \sum_{s=h(n)}^{n} \frac{q(s)(h(n), h(s))}{p^\alpha(h(s))}.
\]
Since \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( D_2 z(h(n)) \) is positive and decreasing, by taking lim sup of (28), we are led to a contradiction with (23). This completes the proof.

Using Lemmas 2 to 4, we obtain the following main result of the paper.

**Theorem 2.** Assume \((H_1) - (H_5)\) hold and \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \). If conditions (6), (15) and (23) hold, then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

### 3. Examples

In this section, we present four examples to show the importance of the main results.

**Example 1.** Consider the third-order neutral difference equation
\[
(29) \quad \Delta(n+1) \Delta((n+1)(n+2)) \Delta(x(n) + p_1 x(\tau(n)))) + \lambda nx(\sigma(n)) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.
\]
This equation is of the form (1). Thus \( b(n) = n(n+1), \ a(n) = (n+1)(n+2), \ p(n) = p_1 > 1, \ q(n) = \lambda n, \ \lambda > 0, \ \alpha = 1 \) and the delay functions \( \sigma(n) \) and \( \tau(n) \) satisfy \((H_3)\). Simple computation shows that \( A(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}, \ \Pi(n) = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}. \) Using this, one can easily see that condition (11) reduces to
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{2p(n+1)} = \infty.
\]
Hence all assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, and therefore \( (29) \) has property \( A \).
Example 2. Consider the nonlinear third-order neutral difference equation

\[ \Delta(n(n+1))\Delta((n+1)(n+2)\Delta(x(n) + (n+1)x(n-1)))) + \lambda n^4 x^3(n-2) = 0, \]

for \( n \geq 1 \). This equation is of the form (1). Thus \( b(n) = n(n+1), a(n) = (n+1)(n+2), p(n) = n+1, q(n) = \lambda n^4, \lambda > 0, \alpha = 3, \sigma(n) = n-2, \tau(n) = n-1 \) and \( h(n) = n-1 \). Simple calculation shows that \( A(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}, \Pi(n) = \frac{1}{2n(n+1)} \) and \( p(h(n)) = n \). Using this, we see that condition (11) reduces to

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda n^4}{2n^4(n+1)} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} = \infty. \]

Thus, all conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, and therefore (30) has property A.

Example 3. Consider the linear third-order neutral difference equation

\[ \Delta(2^n \Delta(2^n \Delta(x(n) + (n+1)x(n-1)))) + \lambda n^4 x(n-2) = 0, n \geq 1. \]

This equation is of the form (1). Thus \( b(n) = a(n) = 2^n, p(n) = n+1, q(n) = \lambda n^4, \lambda > 0, \alpha = 1, \sigma(n) = n-2, \tau(n) = n-1 \) and \( h(n) = n-1 \). Here

\[ \Pi(n) = \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{1}{4^n} \right), \quad C(h(n), h(s)) = \left( \frac{16}{3} (4^{-n}) - 16(2^{-n-s}) + \frac{32}{3}(4^{-s}) \right). \]

Since condition (11) is satisfied, by Theorem 1, (31) has property A. By simple calculations, conditions (15) and (23), take the form

\[ \lambda > \frac{9}{4} \frac{p_1^2}{(p_1 - 1)} \]

and

\[ \lambda > \frac{p_1^2}{4(p_1 - 1)}. \]

respectively. By Theorem 2, we conclude that (31) is oscillatory if \( \lambda > \frac{9}{4} \frac{p_1^2}{(p_1 - 1)} \).

Example 4. Consider the nonlinear third-order neutral difference equation

\[ \Delta(2^n \Delta(2^n \Delta(x(n) + p_1 x(n-1)))) + \lambda n^4 x\frac{1}{3}(n-2) = 0, n \geq 1. \]

This equation is of the form (1). Thus \( b(n) = a(n) = 2^n, p(n) = p_1 > 1, q(n) = \lambda n^4, \lambda > 0, \alpha = \frac{1}{3}, \sigma(n) = n-2, \tau(n) = n-1 \) and \( h(n) = n-1 \). Here

\[ \Pi(n) = \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{1}{4^n} \right), \quad C(h(n), h(s)) = \left( \frac{16}{3} (4^{-n}) - 16(2^{-n-s}) + \frac{32}{3}(4^{-s}) \right). \]

Since condition (11) is satisfied, by Theorem 1, (32) has property A. By simple calculations, conditions (15) and (23), take the form

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{\lambda n}{3M_1^2 p_1^2} - 3 \left( \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \infty. \]
and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \sum_{s=n-1}^{n} \frac{\lambda s 4^s}{p_1^s} \left( \frac{16}{3} 4^{-n} - 16(2^{-n-s}) + \frac{32}{3} 4^{-s} \right)^{1/4}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{\lambda}{p_1^n} (n-1) \frac{2^{n-1}}{n} = \infty,$$

respectively. By Theorem 2, we conclude that (32) is oscillatory.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have established some new oscillation criteria for (1) when $p(n) > 1$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The main results ensure that all solutions are only oscillatory. Therefore our main results are new and complement to those in [9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 15]. It is also interesting to extend the results of this paper when $-1 < p(n) < 1$ or $\{p(n)\}$ is oscillatory.
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