Cohesion promotes nucleolar structure and function
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ABSTRACT The cohesin complex contributes to ribosome function, although the molecular mechanisms involved are unclear. Compromised cohesin function is associated with a class of diseases known as cohesinopathies. One cohesinopathy, Roberts syndrome (RBS), occurs when a mutation reduces acetylation of the cohesin Smc3 subunit. Mutation of the cohesin acetyltransferase is associated with impaired rRNA production, ribosome biogenesis, and protein synthesis in yeast and human cells. Cohesin binding to the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) is evolutionarily conserved from bacteria to human cells. We report that the RBS mutation in yeast (eco1-W216G) exhibits a disorganized nucleolus and reduced looping at the rDNA. RNA polymerase I occupancy of the genes remains normal, suggesting that recruitment is not impaired. Impaired rRNA production in the RBS mutant coincides with slower rRNA cleavage. In addition to the RBS mutation, mutations in any subunit of the cohesin ring are associated with defects in ribosome biogenesis. Depletion or artificial destruction of cohesion in a single cell cycle is associated with loss of nucleolar integrity, demonstrating that the defects at the rDNA can be directly attributed to loss of cohesion. Our results strongly suggest that organization of the rDNA provided by cohesin is critical for formation and function of the nucleolus.

INTRODUCTION

The cohesin complex forms a ring that interacts with chromatin at many different locations in the genome. These interactions are important for DNA replication, segregation, organization, condensation, repair, and gene expression. The complex, composed of four subunits—Smc1, Smc3, Scc3, and Mcd1/Scc1—is regulated by the acetylation activity of Eco1 and the loading activity of Scc2. Mutations in cohesin affect the clustering of some sequences, such as telomeres, but not centromeres, despite cohesin’s association with centromeres (Gard et al., 2009). One method by which cohesin may contribute to gene expression is through the localization of cohesion-bound genes, such as GAL2, to the nuclear periphery upon transcriptional activation in budding yeast (Gard et al., 2009). Long-distance interactions between sequences depend on cohesin, which could also influence their expression (Bose and Gerton, 2010; Kirkland and Kamakaka, 2013). Cohesin contributes to chromosome condensation, including the condensation of the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) in budding yeast (Guacci et al., 1997; Gard et al., 2009; Heidinger-Pauli et al., 2010). Thus cohesin and its regulators are important for organization of cohesin-associated regions in the nucleus. The association of cohesin with the rDNA repeats is evolutionarily conserved from bacteria to human cells, making rDNA an interesting locus at which to understand cohesin’s contribution to rDNA function.

Acetylation of the Smc3 subunit of the cohesin complex by Eco1 locks the ring, making it cohesive (Rolef Ben-Shahar et al., 2008; Unal et al., 2008). In addition to the cohesin complex, Eco1 can acetylate Mps3, a subunit of the spindle pole body (SPB). Mutations that block acetylation left SPB duplication intact but reduced sister chromatid cohesion, telomere tethering, and insertion of Mps3 into the nuclear envelope (Ghosh et al., 2012), suggesting that
additional targets of Eco1 could influence nuclear function. Mutations that disrupt the acetyltransferase activity of ESCO2, one of the two human homologues of ECO1, are associated with Roberts syndrome (RBS; Vega et al., 2005). Heterochromatic repulsion, one hallmark of metaphase chromosomes derived from RBS cells, includes both centromere and rDNA sequences. The appearance of these heterochromatic regions suggests that they lack cohesion. A mutation in the acetyltransferase domain of ECO1 in budding yeast (eco1-W216G) disrupts cohesion at the rDNA as well as nuclear morphology (Gard et al., 2009; Bose et al., 2012). These defects in both yeast and human cells correlate with decreased rRNA production and lower ribosome function (Bose et al., 2012). ECO1 may influence nuclear processes through its effect on cohesion as well as other targets, but the disruption of cohesion at the rDNA in both yeast and human cells in acetyltransferase mutants suggests that this will be an important phenotype to understand.

The nucleolus is the cellular organelle responsible for ribosome production. Organization within the nucleolus is critical for its efficiency function (Nemeth and Langst, 2011). RBS cells have highly fragmented nucleoli, consistent with a loss of nucleolar function (Xu et al., 2013). Within the single budding yeast nucleolus, RNA polymerase I transcribes about half of the 100–200 rDNA repeats. Loops form in the rDNA, which may be important for transcription reinitiation (Mayan and Aragon, 2010). Understanding the factors that contribute to the efficient transcription of the rDNA repeats is critical because it is the most highly transcribed locus in a cell, and the assembly of ribosomes can be limited by the level of rRNA (Laferte et al., 2006). Furthermore, defects in ribosome function are associated with a group of human diseases known as ribosomopathies (Narla and Ebert, 2010). We address how cohesion contributes to nucleolar function. We find that cohesion is necessary for 35S and 5S gene loop formation and the integrity of the nucleolus.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
RNA polymerase I levels and stoichiometry are normal in the eco1-W216G mutant
One possible mechanism for poor rRNA production is a low level of RNA polymerase I. Given the major gene expression changes associated with the eco1-W216G mutant (Bose et al., 2012), we compared the levels of RNA polymerase I to that in wild-type (WT) cells. Reduced RNA pol I in the mutant cells could explain the lower level of transcript observed. RNA pol I is composed of 14 subunits. We used Western blotting to determine that WT and mutant cells had similar levels of the large subunit, Rpa190, indicating no significant defect in protein level (Figure 1A). Furthermore, we purified RNA pol I via Rpa190 from both the mutant and WT strain and could not detect any differences in composition by either silver stain or semi-quantitative proteomic analysis (Figure 1, B and C). Because the eco1-W216G mutant had normal RNA pol I levels and no difference in the stoichiometry of the subunits, a simple reduction in the amount of RNA pol I is not likely to explain the transcriptional defect at the rDNA in the mutant. It has been suggested that cohesion facilitates the transition from paused RNA polymerase II to an elongating form at active genes in Drosophila melanogaster (Schaaf et al., 2013). We decided to further investigate the behavior of RNA polymerase I in the eco1-W216G mutant background.

rRNA transcription and cleavage are defective in the eco1-W216G mutant
The 35S transcript is made as a single transcript by RNA polymerase I and then cleaved and modified by a host of RNAs and proteins termed the processome to make the final 18S, 5.8S, and 25S RNAs, which are assembled with protein components of the 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits. We previously used two independent methods, metabolic labeling and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), to show that the production of rRNA was reduced in the eco1-W216G mutant background as compared with WT, and ribosome biogenesis was impaired (Bose et al., 2012). Production of rRNA transcripts can be regulated at many levels, including polymerase recruitment, elongation, processing, and decay. Because rRNA cleavage occurs both during and after elongation (Osheim et al., 2004; Schneider et al., 2007; Kos and Tollervey, 2010), we postulated that cleavage would be delayed in the mutant if the production defect was after polymerase recruitment.

Cells were pulse labeled for 2 min with [3H]methyl-methionine and then chased with cold methionine for 0, 2, 5, or 15 min. The [3H] methyl group acts as a donor for rRNA methylolation, so transcripts in any phase of transcription can be labeled (unless they are already fully methylolated). RNA was isolated and the various forms compared (Figure 2A). In the eco1-W216G mutant, the persistence of the 35S transcript labeled during the pulse is apparent at 2 and 5 min. In rapid labeling kinetic experiments, the 35S gene took <3 min to be synthesized and processed (Kos and Tollervey, 2010), so the observed delay is significantly longer than the normal time. Furthermore, more of the precleaved 27S form persists at the 5-min time point in the mutant relative to the WT strain. We conclude that cleavage of the 35S transcript occurs more slowly in the eco1-W216G strain and that the production defect is at least partly after polymerase recruitment.

We previously showed that the eco1-W216G mutation does not affect rDNA array size or percentage of active genes (Bose et al., 2012). Given the lower rates of rRNA production in the eco1-W216G strain, we asked whether we would detect a decrease in RNA pol I association with the 35S gene by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Surprisingly, we found an increased association of RNA pol I at both the promoter region (primer pair 4) and across the body of the 35S gene (primers pairs 7–14) in the eco1-W216G mutant (Figure 2B). This increase was statistically significant for every primer pair—even the TUB1 locus that was used as a negative control. The signal at the TUB1 locus was very low relative to the signal at the 35S gene, as expected. The difference in signal between the mutant and WT samples may be explained in part by the increase in nuclear-nucleolar overlap in the eco1-W216G mutant, which could allow RNA pol I to cross-link to the TUB1 locus at a low level (see later discussion). These results suggest that recruitment of RNA pol I to the rDNA repeats is not compromised in the eco1-W216G mutant background.

The ChIP signal is displayed as IP/input, which should eliminate any bias in repeat recovery in the fractionation procedure. Nevertheless, we decided to further investigate the behavior of the rDNA repeats in chromatin fractionation. If the rDNA repeats were not partitioning normally in standard chromatin fractionation procedures in the mutant strain, our interpretation of the ChIP results might need to be adjusted. Chromatin fractionation was carried out after cross-linking in order to assess the behavior of the rDNA repeats in low- and high-speed centrifugation (Rougemaille et al., 2008). Normal ChIP fractionation procedure recovers the soluble high-speed fraction. We quantified the amount of rDNA in the fractions by quantitative PCR (qPCR) and found very similar levels of rDNA in the high-speed supernatant fraction used for ChIP (Figure 2C), suggesting that differential fractionation of rDNA sequences cannot explain the difference in ChIP signal in mutant versus WT.

Miller spreads were used as a measure of polymerase occupancy on rDNA genes (Figure 2D). We did not observe significant changes.
Mutations that decrease the number of polymerases per repeat include mutations that affect RNA pol I. Deletion of the nonessential Rpa49 subunit results in significantly reduced polymerase occupancy on rDNA genes (Beckouet et al., 2008; Albert et al., 2011). We compared steady-state distributions of RNA produced from a single rDNA repeat using FISH (Tan and van Oudenaarden, 2010) in the eco1-W216G and the rpa49Δ mutants. Whereas RNA pol I levels at the rDNA are compromised in the rpa49Δ but not the eco1-W216G mutant, both mutants had similar reductions in rRNA production by FISH (Figure 2E). If we assume that the decay rate is similar in all three strains, we can calculate a transcriptional burst size.

FIGURE 1: RNA polymerase I levels are not altered in the eco1-W216G mutant background. (A) For the Western blot, equal amounts of protein from whole-cell extracts were loaded to monitor Rpa190-FLAG. Pgk1 was used as a loading control. The level of Rpa190 is shown as a percentage of the Pgk1 signal. (B) After tandem affinity purification (TAP) of Rpa190 in WT and eco1-W216G mutant strains, either 2 or 4% of the eluate was loaded onto a polyacrylamide gel and silver stained. The identity of the bands is inferred from their migration. (C) The TAP-purified material was subjected to MudPIT analysis. dNSAF values were calculated based on the spectral counts for two biological replicates (merged spectral counts shown below each subunit) and the size of the protein (Zhang et al., 2010). Error bars, SD.
**FIGURE 2:** Evaluation of RNA polymerase 1 in the eco1-W216G mutant background suggests that elongation is impaired. (A) Equal numbers of WT and mutant cells were pulse labeled for 2 min with [3H]methyl-methionine, and then chased with cold methionine for various times as indicated. RNA was isolated, and equal counts were loaded per lane in order to more easily compare cleavage products. 25S and 18S represent the final form of the 35S transcript. 27S and 20S are cleavage intermediates. 35S and 27S products persist in the mutant background. (B) ChIP was performed for Rpa190-FLAG in the WT and eco1-W216G mutant backgrounds. qPCR was used to monitor several DNA sequences, as indicated. The black bar below the schematic of the rDNA indicates the region of cohesin binding. Percentage IP was calculated by dividing the mean value for the immunoprecipitated sample by the mean value from the input. Two biological replicates were done, each with two technical replicates, and each technical replicate was used in three

---

**Table:**

|          | WT       | eco1-W216G |
|----------|----------|------------|
| min      | % of total | % of total |
| 35S      | 4.0      | 0.4        |
| 27S      | 26.5     | 19.4       |
| 25S      | 24.0     | 31.4       |
| 20S      | 25.2     | 14.1       |
| 18S      | 20.2     | 35.5       |

**Graphs:**

- **Graph A:** Comparison of counts per cell between WT and eco1-W216G.
- **Graph B:** Comparison of DNA amounts in the high-speed supernatant between WT and eco1-W216G.
- **Graph C:** Proportion of DNA amount in the high-speed supernatant.
- **Graph D:** Comparison of DNA content between W303 and eco1-W216G.
- **Graph E:** Proportion of DNA content between WT, eco1-W216G, and rpa49Δ.
We find 247 ± 14 molecules/burst for WT, 80.1 ± 5.6 for eco1-W216G, and 77.2 ± 8.7 for rpa49Δ. Thus it seems that different mechanisms can contribute to a similar reduction in the production of rRNA. Although the recruitment of RNA pol I to the repeats seems normal in the eco1-W216G mutant, the slower cleavage of rRNA, combined with the higher cross-linking of RNA pol I, suggests that the rate of elongation by RNA pol I may be compromised in the eco1-W216G mutant, but this will require further experiments.

**Nucleolar structure in the eco1-W216G mutant is aberrant**

A nucleolar defect in the eco1-W216G mutant was previously reported, based on indirect immunofluorescence for a nucleolar protein (Gard et al., 2009). We wanted to examine the nucleolar structure more directly and at higher resolution using electron microscopy (EM). The nucleoli in the eco1-W216G mutant cells were disrupted, with many nucleoli having an ill-defined border (Figure 3A). We compared the appearance of the nucleoli in the eco1-W216G mutant to an rpa49Δ mutant, which was previously shown to have defects in nucleolar structure (Albert et al., 2011). The nucleoli in the rpa49Δ mutant also tended to be irregularly shaped. In addition, several nucleoli in the rpa49Δ mutant were detached from the nuclear periphery, a phenotype never observed in WT or eco1-W216G mutant cells.

We used a fluorescent reporter, Ssk1-red fluorescent protein (RFP), to demonstrate that the nucleolar signal in the eco1-W216G mutant displayed significantly more overlap with the bulk chromatin (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole [DAPI]; Figure 3B), as might be expected based on EM and previous analysis (Gard et al., 2009). The EM and fluorescence experiments demonstrate that nucleolar integrity is compromised in the eco1-W216G mutant. Consistent with these observations in budding yeast, there is a high degree of nucleolar fragmentation in human RBS cells (Xu et al., 2013), suggesting that ESCO2 may be especially important for organization of the rDNA repeats in human cells. The comparison between the phenotypes of the rpa49Δ and eco1-W216G mutants demonstrates that mutations that are expected to act through distinct mechanisms can have similar effects on rRNA production and nucleolar morphology.

The 35S and 5S genes form loops that can be detected by chromosome conformation capture (3C; Mayan and Aragon, 2010). These loops have been proposed to facilitate transcription reinitiation. Given that cohesin binds to the nontranscribed region of the rDNA (Laloraya et al., 2000), we speculated that the gene loops depend on cohesin. We investigated cross-linking efficiency for the loops in the eco1-W216G mutant. We found that the cross-linking efficiency for both the 35S (primers R7 and R6) and the 5S (R2 and R4) loops was significantly lower in the eco1-W216G mutant (Figure 3C). The cross-linking efficiency for a primer pair in the rDNA that does not detect a loop (R7 and F4) showed no difference between the WT and mutant and was used for normalization. In addition, the cross-linking efficiency for a primer pair on chromosome VI did not show any difference between WT and mutant (unpublished data), further suggesting a locus-specific effect. Our results support the idea that rDNA architecture partly depends on the acetylation activity of Eco1. The acetylated form of the cohesin complex may contribute to the efficient production of RNA from the 35S gene loop.

**Mutations in cohesin ring subunits cause defects in ribosome biogenesis**

We previously demonstrated defects in ribosome biogenesis in yeast and human cells with the eco1-W216G mutation (Bose et al., 2012). We asked whether defects in ribosome biogenesis are found in other cohesin mutants. We used ribosomal protein green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter plasmids to test the aberrant accumulation of ribosomal proteins in strains with mutations in the cohesin ring (Figure 4). Normally Rps2-GFP (small 40S subunit) and Rpl25-GFP (large 60S subunit) are evenly distributed in the cytoplasm, but an assembly or export defect will cause them to accumulate in bright foci in the nucleus or nucleolus (Hurt et al., 1999; Li et al., 2009). Several commonly used temperature-sensitive mutants were tested at 30°C, including irr1-1, mcd1-73, smc1-259, and smc3-1. We collected images to visualize GFP (Figure 4, A and B), as well as cytometry to quantitate peak fluorescence (Figure 4, C–F). We found that mutations in any subunit of the cohesin ring show defects compared with WT, as did eco1-W216G (Bose et al., 2012), arguing that defects in ribosome biogenesis are a general feature of cohesin mutant strains.

**Cohesion at the rDNA establishes and maintains nucleolar morphology**

The eco1-W216G mutant exhibits a mild reduction in cohesion at centromeres, chromosomes arms, and telomeres (Lu et al., 2010) and a more significant reduction at rDNA (Bose et al., 2012), despite the fact that cohesin still associates with chromosomes in a normal pattern (Gard et al., 2009). Although this mutation is associated with nucleolar defects, it is also associated with hundreds of changes in gene expression, raising the possibility that the nucleolar defect is an indirect consequence of the altered gene expression program (Bose et al., 2012). We wanted to know whether formation of the nucleolus required cohesion. We explored nucleolar structure in a
single cell cycle in the event that 1) cohesion was not established or 2) cohesion was prematurely destroyed in metaphase. For the first condition, we used a strain in which the sole copy of Mcd1 was under the control of the GAL1–10 promoter (Figure 5A). Although this strain can grow in the presence of galactose, it is unable to grow on dextrose. We arrested the strain in G1 with α-factor and then maintained the arrest for an additional 1 h either in galactose or dextrose. Mcd1 is depleted in dextrose relative to galactose. After G1 arrest, we released the cells into the cell cycle and allowed replication to occur. The dextrose culture lacked cohesion. We then analyzed the nucleolus by EM. Whereas most of the nucleoli in the galactose culture looked normal, the nucleoli for the dextrose culture were highly abnormal. This experiment shows that when cohesion is not established during S phase, the nucleolar morphology is aberrant.

To test whether cohesion would be required to maintain nucleolar structure in G2/M, we used a strain in which the sole copy of Mcd1 contains a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease cleavage site (Figure 5B). The expression of TEV protease is controlled by the GAL1–10 promoter. The strain was grown in raffinose and arrested in G2/M with nocodazole. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and resuspended in mounting medium containing DAPI. One hundred cells from two biological replicates were counted for each strain. Scoring is described in Materials and Methods. (C) For 3C, un-cross-linked DNA was used as a negative control. Primers pairs were used to detect the 35S loop, the 5S loop, and a random primer pair in the rDNA. The values for 35S and 5S primer pairs were normalized to the values obtained for the random primer pair in the rDNA, which does not show any difference between strains. Data from two independent biological replicates are shown. Error bars, SE from PCRs performed in triplicate. A paired t test was performed; *p < 0.05.

FIGURE 3: Nucleolar morphology and rDNA looping are disrupted in the eco1-W216G mutant. (A) Asynchronous cultures were grown in YPD, and 28–30 nucleoli were examined for each strain by transmission electron microscopy. Both samples contained nuclei without detectable nucleoli. Undetectable or dispersed nucleoli not having a compact crescent shape were scored as abnormal. (B) Cells containing the nucleolar protein Sik1-RFP were grown in YPD and arrested in G2/M with nocodazole. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and resuspended in mounting medium containing DAPI. One hundred cells from two biological replicates were counted for each strain. Scoring is described in Materials and Methods. (C) For 3C, un-cross-linked DNA was used as a negative control. Primers pairs were used to detect the 35S loop, the 5S loop, and a random primer pair in the rDNA. The values for 35S and 5S primer pairs were normalized to the values obtained for the random primer pair in the rDNA, which does not show any difference between strains. Data from two independent biological replicates are shown. Error bars, SE from PCRs performed in triplicate. A paired t test was performed; *p < 0.05.
We found that there was significantly more overlap in the condition under which cohesion was cleaved with TEV protease, similar to what was observed for the eco1-W216G mutant. This result suggests that cohesion is needed to maintain the separation between bulk chromatin and the nucleolus and helps to organize the rDNA into the nucleolus.

A number of mutations have been identified in cohesin genes that result in a spectrum of human diseases termed cohesinopathies (Liu and Krantz, 2008). Cornelia de Lange syndrome is caused by mutations in Smc1, Smc3 genes (Deardorff et al., 2007), Scc2/Nipbl genes (Krantz et al., 2004; Tonkin et al., 2004), and Hidac8/Hos1 genes (Deardorff et al., 2012a), and a related syndrome is caused by mutations in Rad21/Mcd1/Scc1 (Deardorff et al., 2012b). Mutations in the cohesin acetyltransferase, ESCO2 (ECO1 in yeast), are associated with RBS (Vega et al., 2005). In these syndromes, chromosome segregation is relatively unimpaired. However, the gene expression landscape is altered. Disease mutations in SMC1 and ECO1 genes in budding yeast and the ESCO2 gene in human cells affect translation (Bose et al., 2012). Furthermore, mutations in cohesin are associated with myeloid neoplasms (Kon et al., 2013; Ley et al., 2013). Here we demonstrate faulty nucleolar structure and function when cohesion is defective. Combined with previous findings, we hypothesize that the lack of nucleolar organization that occurs when cohesion is compromised results in defects in rRNA production, as well as in other transcriptional changes. Nucleolar organization in mammalian cells contributes not only to Pol I transcription, but also to Pol III transcription and to repression of perinucleolar heterochromatin (Nemeth et al., 2010; van Koningsbruggen et al., 2010; Fedoriv et al., 2012). Cohesion within the rDNA may normally help to organize the nucleolus and coordinate expression of nucleolar-associated sequences.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All strains are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

**Transmission electron microscopy**

Cultures were grown in 200 ml of yeast extract/peptone/dextrose (YPD) to an OD_{600} of ~0.8. Samples were frozen on the EM-Pact (Leica) at ~2050 bar, transferred under liquid nitrogen into 2% osmium tetroxide/0.1% uranyl acetate/acetone/2% water, and then transferred to the Leica AFS2. The freeze-substitution protocol
Cells were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of $\sim 0.6$, fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 15 min, and then quenched with glycine (final concentration 0.125 M) for 5 min. Samples were then removed from the AFS2 and allowed to come to room temperature for 1 h before going through three changes of acetone over 1 h. They were removed from the planchettes and stepwise embedded in acetone/Epon/Araldite mixtures to the final 100% Epon/Araldite over several days. Sections were then cut at 80 nm on a Leica UC6, stained with uranyl acetate and Sato's lead, and imaged on a Tecnai Spirit (FEI).

**ChIP-qPCR**

Cells were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of $\sim 0.6$, fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 15 min, and then quenched with glycine (final concentration 0.125 M) for 5 min. Cells were frozen, then lysed for 1 h at 4° in...
FA-lys buffer (50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate). The lysate was sonicated by a Biorupter for 30 min, 30 s on/off, at medium intensity. Protein concentration was normalized after chromatin shearing and before proceeding with the immunoprecipitation. A 2.5-μl amount of anti-FLAG antibody (F3165; Sigma) was added to 400 μl of chromatin extract and incubated at 4°C overnight. A 50-μl amount of Protein G Dynabeads (100-04D; Invitrogen) was added and incubated at 4°C for 2 h, then washed with FA-lys buffer, twice in FA-lys buffer with 500 mM NaCl, TEL buffer (0.25 M LiCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate), and then twice with 1× TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Chromatin fragments were eluted by adding 200 μl of elution buffer (1% SDS, 250 mM NaCl, TE) and shaking the beads at 65°C. Two elutions were combined and treated with Proteinase K for 1 h at 55°C. Cross-linking was reversed, and the DNA was extracted and precipitated. qPCR was performed in triplicate using a Perfecta SYBR Green FastMix (Quanta Biosciences). Primer sequences for rDNA are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

Chromatin fractionation
This method was performed as previously described (Rougemaille et al., 2008). Cells were grown, cross-linked, and lysed according to the ChiP method. After lysis, the lysate was transferred to a 2-ml tube, put at 4°C, and rotated for 2 h. The lysate was then spun down for 20 min at 4°C at 12,000 rpm. The resulting chromatin pellet was resuspended in 1.8 ml of FA-lys buffer and sonicated according to the ChiP method. The sheared chromatin was then rotated at 4°C for 30 min and then divided into two aliquots. One aliquot was spun at 4°C for 15 min at 2000 × g, and the other aliquot was spun at 4°C for 15 min at 18,000 × g. Both pellets were resuspended in 100 μl of FA-lys buffer. All samples were then treated with Proteinase K, cross-links were reversed, and DNA was extracted as in the ChiP method. DNA pellets were resuspended in 100 μl of 1× TE buffer and concentrations determined. Equal amounts of DNA were used, and qPCR was performed as in the ChiP method.

Miller spreads
Miller spreads, polymerase counts, and determination of percentage of active genes were performed as previously described (French et al., 2003), with the exception that the spreads were not rotary shadow-cast with platinum.

3C
The 3C method for rDNA was performed as previously described (Mayan and Aragon, 2010).

Sik1-RFP imaging and analysis
For Figure 2, images were collected using confocal microscopy (Ultraview Spinning Disc; PerkinElmer) with a 100×/1.45 numerical aperture (NA) Plan Apochromat objective, along with a 405/488/561–nm dichroic. Emission was collected with a Chroma oil objective with a 405/488/561–nm main dichroic. DAPI for Figure 4, microscopy images were obtained with the APD imaging module of a Confocor3 (Zeiss) using a 63×/1.4 NA Plan Apochromat oil objective with a 405/488/561–nm main dichroic. DAPI and RFP emission were collected through 420–475-nm and LP 580-nm filters, respectively. Excitation at 405 nm (DAPI) and 561 nm (RFP) was alternated in multitrack mode to eliminate cross-talk. The pinhole was set at 1.0 Airy unit. In both cases Z-slices were acquired with 0.5-μm steps. Pixel size was 60 nm.

Overlap between DAPI and nucleolar signals was estimated using custom macros and plug-ins written in ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). First, background intensity was subtracted by manually selecting a region away from cells and subtracting its average from every image in the three-dimensional (3D) stack. Next, each image slice was Gaussian smoothed with a SD of 3 pixels. The resulting image was thresholded in three dimensions at a fraction of the maximum smoothed 3D intensity. This threshold was chosen for each sample as the level at which spots were segmented fully without segmenting regions outside cells. The resulting masks were replaced with the z-dimension size and summed to obtain the integrated z-volumes for each pixel. Overlapping regions were summed in a similar manner. Spots with areas of <15 pixels were assumed to be noise. DAPI and nucleolar masks were combined and dilated twice to obtain nuclear masks. As a result, spots separated by >4 pixels were considered to be in separate nuclei. Finally, DAPI, nucleolar, and overlapping volumes within each nucleus were integrated.

Cytometric quantification and analysis of peak GFP fluorescence
This method was performed as previously described (Bose et al., 2012).

FISH
FISH was performed as previously described (Tan and van Oudenaarden, 2010; Bose et al., 2012). rRNA count distributions were fitted to models assuming first-order gene activation/inactivation (α/γ). rRNA production (μ) and degradation (δ) rates were calculated in a similar manner to that of Tan and van Oudenaarden (2010) and expressed in units of the degradation rate. The size of each burst (in numbers of RNA molecules), given by μ/γ, remains essentially constant for all possible fit combinations. The δ is assumed to be constant. To calculate error, we used Monte Carlo simulation of the best fit with random errors corresponding to our data error level and then fitted the simulated data. The simulation and fit process was repeated 100 times with a fixed μ. The reported error is the SD of the burst size from 100 simulated fits.
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