Edem1 activity in the fat body regulates insulin signalling and metabolic homeostasis in *Drosophila*
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Abstract

In Drosophila, nutrient status is sensed by the fat body, a functional homolog of mammalian liver and white adipocytes. The fat body conveys nutrient information to insulin-producing cells (IPCs) through humoral factors which regulate Drosophila insulin-like peptide (DILP) levels and insulin signalling. Insulin signalling has pleiotropic functions, which include the management of growth and metabolic pathways. Here, we report that Edem1 (endoplasmic reticulum degradation-enhancing α-mannosidase-like protein 1), an endoplasmic reticulum-resident protein involved in protein quality control, acts in the fat body to regulate insulin signalling and thereby the metabolic status in Drosophila. Edem1 limits the fat body derived Drosophila TNFα Eiger activity on IPCs and maintains systemic insulin signalling in fed conditions. During food deprivation, edem1 gene expression levels drop, which aids in the reduction of systemic insulin signalling crucial for survival. Overall we demonstrate that Edem1 plays a vital role in helping the organism to endure a fluctuating nutrient environment by managing insulin signalling and metabolic homeostasis.
Introduction

Energy homeostasis, the sum of all processes which maintain the balance between energy inflow and outflow; is vital for normal functioning, reproduction as well as longevity. Energy homeostasis in animals is brought about by the activity and interplay of various endocrine and neuroendocrine systems. Insulin/Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signalling pathway plays a significant role in the maintenance of energy balance and is well conserved in both vertebrates and invertebrates (Britton, Lockwood et al., 2002, Brogiolo, Stocker et al., 2001, Clancy, Gems et al., 2001, Fabrizio, Pozza et al., 2001, Fernandez & Torres-Aleman, 2012, Kenyon, Chang et al., 1993, Kimura, Tissenbaum et al., 1997). The perturbations in insulin signalling result in a plethora of effects like diabetes, obesity, reduced body size, resistance to starvation and oxidative stress (Accili, Drago et al., 1996, Bonafe, Barbieri et al., 2003, Britton et al., 2002, Clancy et al., 2001, Giannakou, Goss et al., 2004, Giannakou & Partridge, 2007, Holzenberger, Dupont et al., 2003, Ikeya, Galic et al., 2002, Kahn, Hull et al., 2006, Katic & Kahn, 2005, Liu, Baker et al., 1993, Rulifson, Kim et al., 2002, Shimokawa, Higami et al., 2003, Sonntag, Carter et al., 2005, Tatar, Kopelman et al., 2001). *Drosophila melanogaster*, a widely used genetic model organism, has 8 insulin-like peptides (DILPs 1-8), which share structural and functional similarities with mammalian insulin and IGFs (Gronke, Clarke et al., 2010). Among these DILPs; DILP2, DILP3 and DILP5 are produced mainly by a subset of the median neurosecretory cells (mNSCs), the insulin-producing cells (IPCs), in the fly brain (Broughton, Slack et al., 2010, Geminard, Rulifson et al., 2009, Ikeya et al., 2002, Nassel, 2012). The major effector tissue of insulin signalling is the fat body, which is also the main energy reserve and nutrient sensor in flies (Geminard et al., 2009, Hwangbo, Gershman et al., 2005). The fat body relays information about the nutrient status of the organism through humoral factors, which act on the IPCs directly or indirectly to control systemic insulin signalling (Agrawal,
Delanoue et al., 2016, Bai, Kang et al., 2012, Colombani, Raisin et al., 2003, Delanoue, Meschi et al., 2016, Droujinine & Perrimon, 2016, Geminard et al., 2009, Ghosh & O’Connor, 2014, Koyama & Mirth, 2016, Rajan & Perrimon, 2013, Sano, Nakamura et al., 2015, Sun, Liu et al., 2017). The fat derived signals that control IPC function include DILP6, a *Drosophila* insulin-like peptide; Unpaired2 (Upd2), a functional homolog of leptin in *Drosophila* and activator of JAK-STAT pathway; Eiger, the *Drosophila* Tumour Necrosis Factor α/ TNFα, which activates JNK signalling; CCHamide2, a nutrient responsive peptide hormone; Growth blocking peptide (GBP), a *Drosophila* cytokine; Stunted, a circulating insulinotropic peptide; female-specific independent of transformer (FIT) and activin-like ligand Dawdle. The molecular mechanisms that regulate the synthesis and secretion of the fat body derived signals (FDSs) is currently under intense investigation.

The Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) serves many functions in the eukaryotic cell, foremost of which is the synthesis and folding of nascent proteins with the help of molecular chaperones and folding enzymes. Hence, the ER is considered as the major quality-control site which ensures that only correctly folded proteins are allowed to leave to other cellular compartments. The ER is also considered to be the first storage site of secretory proteins and the ER activity is high in cells of endocrine and exocrine tissues due to the heavy protein trafficking in such cells. Genetic factors, physiological changes and fluctuations in the cellular environment might lead to misfolding of proteins (Liu & Kaufman, 2003) and the ER aids in eliminating proteins, which remain misfolded even after multiple rounds of folding attempts. Thus, a proper balance between the influx of proteins and the folding machinery in the ER is crucial for efficient protein quality control. When the ER homeostasis is upset misfolded proteins accumulate in the ER triggering an adaptive response called unfolded protein responses (UPR). The UPR signalling mainly involves three ER residing transmembrane sensors: inositol-requiring protein 1 (IRE1), activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) and PKR-like ER kinase (PERK). The UPR sensors
would initiate ER-associated degradation (ERAD) of terminally misfolded proteins, expand the ER membrane, increase the folding capacity of the ER and decrease the overall protein load in the ER (Liu & Kaufman, 2003). Permanently unfolded glycoproteins are recognised by ERAD-enhancing α-mannosidase-like proteins (Edem), which aid in the degradation of the misfolded proteins (Araki & Nagata, 2011, Kroeger, Chiang et al., 2012, Molinari, Calanca et al., 2003). Glycoproteins constitute a large proportion of proteins in a cell, hence the function of Edem is crucial for cellular homeostasis.

Here, we report that Edem1 activity in the Drosophila fat body is crucial for maintaining systemic insulin signalling. Down-regulation of edem1 gene expression in the fat body resulted in the accumulation of DILP2 in the IPCs, a decrease of dilp3 mRNA levels and reduced systemic insulin signalling, which led to nutrient imbalances and altered sensitivity to starvation. Our results also show that Edem1 regulates fat body derived Drosophila TNFα Eiger activity in the IPCs, crucial for managing systemic insulin signalling and metabolic status. Activation of target of rapamycin (TOR) signalling, the main amino acid sensor and a key regulator of Eiger activity rescued the effects of edem1 down-regulation. Furthermore, in response to nutrient deprivation, edem1 transcripts were found to be low, which we show is critical to the reduction of systemic insulin levels and better survival of flies during starvation. We propose that Edem1 acts as a key factor in the fat body, which maintains nutrient homeostasis by controlling the activity of the IPCs through Eiger.
Results

Edem1 maintains metabolic homeostasis

We embarked on a large scale genetic screen in *Drosophila* to identify factors that control nutrient homeostasis and insulin signalling. Towards this, we blocked various candidate genes, reported to be differentially expressed in the *miR-14* mutants that exhibited metabolic imbalances, in the *Drosophila* fat body using RNAi lines (Varghese, Lim et al., 2010). In this screen we identified Edem1, an ER-resident protein involved in protein quality control, as a putative regulator of metabolic status in *Drosophila*. Down-regulation of *edem1* transcripts in the fat body led to a significant increase in the levels of energy stores - triglycerides and glycogen, in adult flies (Fig. 1A and B, Fig. EV1). In response to knock down of *edem1* in the fat body flies survived better during nutrient deprivation (Fig. 1C). The higher energy stores in response to reduction of *edem1* levels in the fat body could account for the better survival of flies during nutrient deprivation (Fig. 1D and E). Along with changes in stored nutrient levels, circulating glucose levels were high in the larval hemolymph (Fig. 1F). In addition, blocking *edem1* in the fat body led to enhanced feeding responses in the larvae (Fig. 1G), similar to responses reported earlier in food deprived larvae (Chouhan, Wolf et al., 2017). We also observed an increase in lifespan of the adults upon *edem1* down-regulation in the fat body (Fig. 1H). These data show that Edem1 function in the fat body is crucial in regulating metabolic homeostasis in *Drosophila*. The phenotypes observed in response to blocking *edem1* levels in the fat body indicated a reduction in insulin signalling, as similar phenotypes were observed in response to low insulin signalling (Bai, Kang et al., 2013, Bai, Post et al., 2015, Clancy et al., 2001, Giannakou & Partridge, 2007, Hwangbo et al., 2005, Min, Yamamoto et al., 2008, Partridge, Alic et al., 2011, Post, Karashchuk et al., 2018). Next, we tested if insulin signalling is reduced in response to blocking *edem1* levels in the fat body.
Edem1 function in the fat body maintains systemic insulin signalling

To measure the insulin signalling activity in response to blocking edem1 in the fat body we checked gene expression of key downstream target genes of insulin pathway. Transcription of 4ebp (eIF4E-binding protein), inr (insulin receptor) and dilp6 (Drosophila insulin-like peptide 6) is suppressed by insulin signalling and these insulin target genes can be used as a read out for insulin signalling activity (Puig, Marr et al., 2003, Slaidina, Delanoue et al., 2009). Blocking edem1 in the fat body increased transcript levels of the insulin responsive genes, which indicate low insulin signalling (Fig. 2A). We speculated if Edem1 activity in the fat body could regulate IPC function and control systemic insulin signalling, as fat body is known to remotely control IPCs. To address whether Edem1 in the fat body regulates IPC function, the transcript levels of IPC specific DILPs - dilp2, dilp3 and dilp5 were measured. In response to the expression of edem1-RNAi in the fat body, dilp3 mRNA levels were found to be low, however, there were no detectable changes in the mRNA levels of dilp2 and dilp5 (Fig. 2B). Previous studies report that nutrient deprivation would block DILP secretion from the IPCs into the hemolymph leading to an accumulation of DILPs and reduction in systemic insulin signalling (Geminard et al., 2009). We observed an increase of DILP2 puncta in IPCs in response to reducing edem1 levels in the fat body when compared to that of control (Fig. 2C), which suggested an accumulation of DILP2 protein in the IPCs. Together, these observations suggest that edem1 function in the fat body maintains systemic insulin signalling by the regulation of IPC activity.

As the next approach we tested whether the reduction of insulin signalling in response to blocking edem1 in the fat body was responsible for the metabolic phenotypes. A constitutively active form of insulin receptor (InRA1325D) was co-expressed with edem1-RNAi in the fat body. InRA1325D, which harbours a mutation at the Ala 1325 residue, would
activate downstream insulin signalling independent of DILP ligand and hence should alleviate phenotypes caused by low insulin signalling (Broughton, Piper et al., 2005, DiAngelo & Birnbaum, 2009, Kannan & Fridell, 2013, Tettweiler, Miron et al., 2005). As expected, expression of $\text{InR}^{A1325D}$ was sufficient to alleviate high triglyceride levels and starvation resistance observed in response to knock down of $\text{edem1}$ levels in the fat body (Fig. 2D and E). Thus, blocking $\text{edem1}$ in the fat body reduced systemic insulin signalling, which led to metabolic phenotypes. These experiments confirm that Edem1 function in the fat body is crucial to maintain systemic insulin signalling and metabolic homeostasis.

**Fat body derived signals are involved in Edem1 mediated regulation of IPCs**

*Drosophila* fat body controls IPC function with the aid of a set of humoral factors, which relays the nutritional status of the organism to the IPCs. The fat body derived signals (FDSs) control DILP release from the IPCs into the hemolymph leading to effects on growth and maintenance of metabolic balance. In addition, changes in $\text{dilp}$ gene expression has also been reported in response to fat body derived signals. We next investigated whether blocking Edem1 led to changes in the levels of FDSs and thereby the function of IPCs.

To test the role of FDSs in $\text{edem1}$ knock down phenotypes we measured the levels or activity of various FDSs. We saw an increase in the transcript levels of $\text{dilp6}$ in response to knock down of $\text{edem1}$ in the fat body (Fig. 2A'). Next, we measured the mRNA levels of $\text{totA}$ and the levels of STAT92E-GFP as read outs for activity of JAK-STAT pathway, a cell signalling pathway activated by Upd2 (Rajan & Perrimon, 2013). Blocking $\text{edem1}$ expression in the fat body led to a decrease in $\text{totA}$ mRNA levels (Fig. EV3A) and STAT92E-GFP expression (Fig. EV3B). We also measured the transcript levels of $\text{tace}$, the TNF$\alpha$ converting enzyme, and $\text{Nlaz}$, a target of JNK signalling (Agrawal et al., 2016, Hull-Thompson, Muffat et al., 2009, Pasco & Leopold, 2012), and found an increase in their
levels in response to edem1-RNAi (Fig. 3A and B). Eiger and Dilp6 are considered to be negative regulators of IPC function, whereas, Upd2 is expected to activate IPCs (Agrawal et al., 2016, Bai et al., 2012, Rajan & Perrimon, 2013), the gene expression changes observed here suggested that these FDSs might mediate the effects of edem1 knock down on insulin signalling.

**Eiger is involved in Edem1 mediated regulation of IPCs**

To identify the FDS(s) involved in mediating the metabolic phenotypes observed by blocking edem1 levels, we expressed eiger-RNAi, dilp6-RNAi or upd2, together with edem1-RNAi in the fat body. Down regulation of eiger mRNA and over expression of upd2 rescued edem1 knock down phenotypes, however, there were no effects with dilp6-RNAi (Fig. 3C and D, Fig. EV3C-F). We did not see any significant changes to DILP2 levels in the IPCs, dilp3 mRNA levels and insulin target genes by overexpression of upd2 in fat body that express edem1-RNAi (data not shown). However, when we down-regulated eiger mRNA in edem1-RNAi expressing fat body, we observed a reduction of DILP2 puncta in the IPCs seen in response to edem1-RNAi expression in the fat body (Fig. 4A). In addition, transcript levels of dilp3 (Fig. 4B) and insulin target genes dilp6 and inr (Fig. 4C) were partially restored by reducing Eiger levels in the edem1-RNAi back ground. Furthermore, the increase of glucose levels in the hemolymph seen in response to blocking edem1 were suppressed (Fig. 4D). Thus, Edem1 mediated regulation of Eiger is crucial for managing insulin levels and nutrient homeostasis, whereas the Edem1 mediated regulation of Upd2 function manages nutrient homeostasis but doesn’t do so by acting at the level of insulin signalling.

The fat body derived cytokine Eiger is an upstream activator of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway in flies and previous studies have shown that JNK signalling extends life span and limits growth by antagonizing cellular and organism-wide responses to insulin
signalling (Agrawal et al., 2016, Andersen, Colombani et al., 2015, Hirosumi, Tuncman et al., 2002, Oh, Mukhopadhyay et al., 2005, Wang, Bohmann et al., 2005). The increase of \( NLaz \) transcript levels in response to blocking \( \text{edem1} \) levels in the fat body was rescued completely by the co-expression of \( \text{eiger-RNAi} \), showing that the increase of JNK signalling in response to blocking \( \text{edem1} \) expression in the fat body is due to enhanced Eiger levels (Fig. 3B). These experiments confirm that Edem1 function in the fat body regulates Eiger activity and JNK signalling.

Next, we carried out experiments to confirm if regulation of Eiger by Edem1 is crucial for maintaining IPC function and metabolic homeostasis. Towards this we used two approaches: we activated TOR signalling pathway in \( \text{edem1-RNAi} \) expressing fat body (Fig. 5), as TOR signalling has been shown to block Eiger activation and we performed co-culture experiments by blocking the TNF receptor \( \text{grindelwald} \) in the IPCs (Fig. 6). TOR signalling pathway regulates a plethora of cellular processes including cell growth, proliferation cell survival, etc., depending on nutrient levels. Recently TOR has been reported to repress \( tace \) transcription which would in turn suppress the production of active Eiger from the fat body (Agrawal et al., 2016). Rheb (Ras homolog enriched in brain), a member of Ras superfamily of GTP binding proteins, activates TOR kinase and results in growth and regulation of metabolic pathways (Garami, Zwartkruis et al., 2003, Oldham, 2011, Oldham, Montagne et al., 2000, Saucedo, 2003). Increase in the levels of JNK pathway target \( NLaz \) in response to \( \text{edem1} \) downregulation in the fat body was abrogated by over-expression of \( \text{rheb} \) (Fig. 5A), which confirms that activating TOR signalling is sufficient to suppress JNK signalling possibly by the regulation of Eiger activity. Changes in the reduction of insulin signalling (Fig. 5B) and \( \text{dilp3} \) transcript levels (Fig. 5C); excess fat levels (Fig. 5D) and starvation sensitivity (Fig. 5E) in response to blocking Edem1 levels were rescued by the over expression of \( \text{rheb} \) in the fat body. This confirmed that
Edem1 mediated regulation of Eiger is crucial for managing systemic insulin signalling, fat storage and starvation sensitivity.

Next, we tried to show that Edem1 function in the fat body regulates Eiger activity on IPCs. In low protein diet, the soluble form of Eiger binds to its receptor Grindelwald (grnd) in the IPCs, thereby activates JNK signalling and suppresses dlp transcript levels (Agrawal et al., 2016, Andersen et al., 2015). We performed ex vivo culture experiments, using hemolymph isolated from the edem1-RNAi expressing larvae and incubated it independently with brains dissected from wild type larvae and larvae with grnd knocked down in the IPCs. We observed that DILP2 puncta were less in grnd-RNAi IPCs when compared to control IPCs (Fig. 6A and B). Thus, accumulation of DILP2 in the IPCs in response to blocking edem1 levels in the larval fat body was due to Eiger activity on the IPCs through TNF receptor Grindelwald. Together these results confirm that Edem1 activity in the fat body regulates Eiger mediated JNK signalling in the IPCs and manage systemic insulin signalling and metabolic status of flies.

**Reduction in edem1 levels during starvation is crucial for survival**

Insulin signalling aids an organism to respond to changes in the nutrient environment by managing various biological functions. In response to nutrient deprivation insulin signalling is reduced, which would allow an organism to manage its energy stores and induce various hunger triggered behavioral responses (Erion & Sehgal, 2013). Our experiments show that lowering edem1 levels improved survival against starvation by reducing insulin signalling (Fig. 1C and Fig. 2E). Hence, we tested if edem1 levels are lowered during nutrient deprivation, which may aid in better survival of flies by the reduction of insulin levels. Moreover, blocking edem1 in the fat body in larvae was sufficient to enhance the appetite, similar to hunger induced responses observed in food deprived larvae (Fig. 1G). As expected, in response to food depletion we observed a reduction of edem1 mRNA
levels (Fig. 7A). To test whether reduction of edem1 levels would aid in protection against starvation we over expressed edem1 in the fat body in food deprived flies. Overexpression of edem1 in the fat body reduced the survival of flies during food deprivation (Fig. 7B, Fig. EV7), confirming that the reduction of edem1 levels is crucial for survival in response to nutrient depletion. Next, we checked if starvation responses was affected in flies that over-express edem1 in the fat body. The changes in the transcript levels of dilp3; insulin signalling, and JNK signalling in response to starvation was abrogated by edem1 over-expression in the fat body (Fig. 7C - E). Here, we conclude that lowering of edem1 transcripts in the fat body during starvation facilitates reduction of insulin signalling, which results in enhanced survival of flies.
Discussion

Fluctuations in nutrient levels would trigger organism-wide changes, which includes alterations to various metabolic pathways. Changes in the metabolic pathways would aid the organism in managing the growth and maintenance of nutrient stores according to the availability of food. Apart from these biochemical changes, hunger elicits stereotypic behavioral responses, which includes an enhanced urge to feed, increased foraging, acceptance of unpalatable food, etc (Chouhan et al., 2017). Several of the crucial responses like mobilisation of stored nutrients and enhanced urge to feed, which aids the organism to survive nutrient deprivation is triggered by the reduction of systemic insulin signalling (Broughton et al., 2005, DiAngelo & Birnbaum, 2009, Kannan & Fridell, 2013, Rulifson et al., 2002, Tettweiler et al., 2005). In *Drosophila*, IPCs respond to changes in the availability of food and modulate DILP levels under the control of the fat body, which acts as a nutrient sensor. Various fat body derived signals act on IPCs directly or indirectly, and the regulation of these signals in response to changes in the nutrient status of *Drosophila* plays a key role in maintaining systemic insulin levels (Droujinine & Perrimon, 2016). Here, we report a novel means by which the activity of a fat body derived signal on IPCs is regulated.

While investigating the mechanisms that function in the fat body to control *Drosophila* IPCs, we identified Edem1, an ER-resident protein involved in ERAD mediated protein quality control. Edem1 in the fat body maintains the activity of *Drosophila* TNFα Eiger and controls JNK signalling, which allows normal functioning of IPCs, maintain systemic insulin signalling and metabolic homeostasis (Figs. 4 and 5). Eiger is activated by TACE, which cleaves the transmembrane form of Eiger and releases a soluble active form of Eiger into the hemolymph. TOR kinase, a key nutrient sensor, has been reported to control *tace* transcript levels and Eiger activation. During low protein diets, due to reduced TOR
signalling, fat body releases the soluble form of Eiger, which would act on IPCs and activate JNK signalling to regulate dilp gene expression (Agrawal et al., 2016). Here, we identify Edem1 as a regulator of Eiger through regulation of tace gene expression (Fig. 3). We also show that activation of TOR signalling blocked the effects of suppression of edem1 levels in the fat body (Fig. 5), substantiating the role of Edem1 in regulating Eiger activity. At the moment, it is not clear if the TOR pathway acts through Edem1 to manage tace gene expression. More efforts are also needed to identify the exact molecular mechanism by which Edem1 regulates tace levels.

Edem1 function in the fat body maintains systemic insulin signalling, reduction of edem1 levels in the fat body resulted in low systemic insulin signalling which led to metabolic phenotypes, enhanced resistance to starvation, enhanced feeding and increase in life span (Fig 1). A significant part of the impact of reducing edem1 levels on insulin signalling is due to the accumulation of DILP2 protein (Fig. 2C) and reduced dilp3 transcript levels in the IPCs (Fig. 2B). However, it should be noted that we did not observe any changes at the protein and mRNA levels of other mNSC DILPs. DILPs are known to be regulated in a context specific manner, gene expression as well as protein levels in IPCs vary based on nutritional cues, developmental stages and various neural and endocrine signals that act on the IPCs (Gronke et al., 2010, Hallier, Schiemann et al., 2016, Hong, Lee et al., 2012, Ikeya et al., 2002, Kim & Neufeld, 2015, Luo, Lushchak et al., 2014, Soderberg, Carlsson et al., 2012, Varghese et al., 2010). Strictly the roles of individual DILPs are not understood, however, the effects of ablating IPCs, on growth and metabolism could be rescued by DILP2 expression alone(Haselton, Sharmin et al., 2010, Rulifson et al., 2002). Many reports hint at effects on insulin signalling caused by an individual DILP or more than one DILP (Bai et al., 2012, Sudhakar, Pathak et al., 2019).
Managing insulin signalling during nutrient withdrawal is crucial for mobilization of nutrient stores and survival. In response to starvation, we report that edem1 transcripts are reduced (Fig. 7A), which aids in lowering insulin signalling, an essential step in the induction of survival responses during starvation (Fig. 8). Thus, Edem1 regulation plays an important role in eliciting responses to starvation and enhancing Edem1 levels affected survival during starvation, probably due to a failure in reducing insulin signalling (Fig. 7B). Moreover, reducing Edem1 levels in fed conditions led to enhanced feeding responses, similar to starvation conditions, further suggesting an active role for Edem1 in survival against food deprivation (Fig. 1G). However, it is not yet clear if the function of Edem1 in regulating Eiger activity in the IPCs and systemic insulin signalling has any links to the ER stress pathway. Reduced edem1 levels during starvation could be an outcome of reduced ER stress in response to low protein synthesis. Furthermore, reduction of edem1 could cause aggregation of misfolded proteins in the ER, which might be responsible for the changes we report here on Eiger levels. To summarize, we show that Edem1, a key ERAD regulator, aids in the maintenance of nutrient homeostasis by managing the activity of Eiger in the IPCs.
Materials and Methods

Fly strains

Fly stocks were reared in vials with standard food which consisted of 5.8% cornmeal, 5% dextrose, 2.36% yeast, 0.8% agar and 10% Nipagen in 100% ethanol. The flies were maintained at 25 °C with 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle. UAS-InR^{A1325D} (stock# 8263) was obtained from Bloomington Drosophila stock center (BDSC). The RNAi lines used were obtained from Vienna Drosophila resource center (VDRC): UAS-edem1-RNAi (stock# 6923), UAS-eiger-RNAi (stock# 45253), UAS-grnd-RNAi (stock # 43454), UAS-dilp6-RNAi (GD) (stock# 45218). dilp2-Gal4/CyOGFP, pumpless-Gal4 and w^{1118} were obtained from Stephen Cohen. UAS-dEDEM1 was from Koichi Iijima, UAS-rheb was obtained from Jagat. K. Roy, UAS-upd2-EGFP/TM3Sb and 10XSTAT92E-GFP were obtained from Akhila Rajan.

Triglyceride and glycogen measurements

All the metabolism-related experiments were carried out in controlled growth conditions. 50 1st in-star larvae were collected in fresh food vials avoiding overcrowding within 2–3 h of hatching. GFP balancers were used wherever required to aid in genotyping. Freshly emerged adult male flies were collected (15 per vial) and 5-day old flies were used for triglyceride and glycogen measurement unless mentioned otherwise. 5 flies in triplicates per genotype were homogenized in 0.05% Tween-20 using Bullet Blender Storm BBY24M from Next Advance. Each experiment was replicated independently, number of independent biological replicates is mentioned for each experiment in the figure legends. The homogenate was heat inactivated at 70 °C for 5 min and then centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 3 min. Serum triglyceride determination kit (Cat. # TR0100) from Sigma was used to quantify triglyceride levels and protein levels were measured using the Quick Start™
Bradford 1X Dye Reagent (Cat. # 500-0205) from BioRad. This was followed by colorimetric estimation using TECAN Infinite M200 pro-multimode plate reader in 96-well format. The absorption maximum of 540 nm and 595 nm were used for triglyceride and protein content respectively. The triglyceride content of the flies was then normalized to the total protein content of the flies. Sample preparation for glycogen measurement was similar to triglycerides, following the manufacturer's protocol (Cat. # MAK016 from Sigma). The absorbance was measured at 570 nm. For triglyceride and glycogen utilization assay, 5 day old males (15 per vial) were transferred to vials containing 1% agar, were collected at the indicated time points and homogenized as mentioned above. Each experiment was replicated independently, number of replicates (n) is mentioned for each experiment in the figure legends.

**Starvation sensitivity assay**

For starvation sensitivity assay, 15 (5-day old) male flies were transferred to vials containing 1% agar and the number of dead flies was counted every 2 hours. These experiments were replicated independently and number of independent biological replicates are mentioned in the figure legends.

**Lifespan assay**

Adult lifespan assay was estimated with data obtained from three independent biological replicates for each genotype. These flies were flipped into fresh media every 3 days and the dead flies and the escapers were scored.

**Glucose assay**

Larvae at 3rd in-star stage (five larvae for every prep) larvae were used to isolate hemolymph using Zymo-Spin™ IIIC (C1006-250) from Zymo Research. 1 µl of hemolymph
was diluted to 50 μl with autoclaved milli-Q water. 100 μl of glucose assay reagent (Cat. # GAGO20) from Sigma was added and the reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The reaction was stopped with 100 μl of 12 N H₂SO₄. The glucose content was analyzed using colorimetric quantification at 540 nm using TECAN Infinite M200 pro-multi-mode plate reader in 96-well format. Hemolymph glucose measurements were replicated independently and number of replicates are mentioned in the figure legends.

**Feeding assay**

Larvae at 3rd in-star stage (10 each) or 5-day old flies (5 each) were fed for 3 h or 30 min respectively, with colored food with Orange G dye (Cat. # 1936-15-8) from Sigma. The larvae/flies were homogenized using 0.05% Tween-20. The homogenate was analyzed colorimetrically at 492 nm using TECAN Infinite M200 pro-multi-mode plate reader in 96-well format. The absorbance of the homogenate was directly proportional to the larval food intake. The feeding experiments were replicated independently and number of replicates are mentioned in the figure legends.

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

Third in-star wandering larvae for each genotype were collected and were flash-frozen. For fly RT-PCR experiments with, the flies were starved for 24 h before flash freezing them and two sets of RNAs were isolated independently from the heads and the body. These experiments were replicated independently and number of replicates (n) are mentioned in the figure legends. Total RNA was isolated with QIAGEN RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Cat. # 74134) and was quantified using Qubit™ RNA HS Assay Kit (Cat. # Q32852). An equal amount of RNA from each sample was reverse transcribed using SuperScript® III First-Strand Synthesis System (Cat. # 18080051) from ThermoFisher Scientific. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using Bio-Rad CFX96™ with the cDNA template, Power SYBR®
Green PCR Master Mix (Cat. # 4368702) from ThermoFisher Scientific and a primer concentration of 312.5 nM. The data were normalized to rp49. Total RNA was isolated from three separate biological samples for each experiment and quantitative RT-PCR reactions were performed with 3 technical replicates for each biological replicate. The sequences of the primers used are mentioned below.

**Table 1 List of primer sequences used in the manuscript.**

| Primer | Forward Primer Sequence | Reverse Primer Sequence |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| dilp2  | 5'-GGCCAGCTCCACAGTGAAGT-3' | 5'-TCGCTGTCGGCACCCGGCAT-3' |
| dilp3  | 5'-AAGCTCTGTGTGTAGGCTT-3' | 5'-AGCACAATAATCTCAGCACCT-3' |
| dilp5  | 5'-TCCGCCCACGGCGAAACTC-3' | 5'-TAATCGAATAGGCCCAAGGT-3' |
| dilp6  | 5'-CGATGTTATTTCCCCAAGTTCG-3' | 5'-AAATCGGTTACGTTCTGCAAGTC-3' |
| inr    | 5'-CACCACGTTCTATACTCCA-3' | 5'-GTTAGGATGTTGCGCCTGTC-3' |
| 4ebp   | 5'-CCTGCAAGGAGACACCA-3' | 5'-GAAGTCTCCCCCTCAAGCAA-3' |
| tace   | 5'-TGGGACACATTTTTGGAGCA-3' | 5'-CCTCCTTGTCCTAGCTGTCG-3' |
| NLaz   | 5'-GGTGAATGCGGCCATCAATC-3' | 5'-AATGGGCCTGCTGGGTAAGA-3' |
| edem1  | 5'-CAATCCGGCACAAGCCTACCATGG-3' | 5'-CCTCAGGAGTTGCTGGTGGTCT-3' |
| rp49   | 5'-GCTAAGCTGTCGACGCAA-3' | 5'-TCCGTTGGCGCAGCATGT-3' |
| totA   | 5'-AATTCTCAACTCGCTTATGTC-3' | 5'-TTTGGAGCTCATCCTCGGG-3' |

**Immunohistochemistry**

DILP2 peptide corresponding to the sequence TRQRQGIVERC (amino acids 108-118) was used as an immunogen to raise DILP2 polyclonal antibody in rabbit (Eurogentec, Belgium). Rabbit anti-GFP (Cat. # A-6455 from Invitrogen) was used. About 10 larvae (3rd
in-star wandering) were used to dissect the brains in ice-cold 1X phosphate buffered saline PBS (Cat. # P4417 from Sigma) per genotype for each experiment. The dissections were repeated independently and number of replicates (n) are mentioned in the figure legends. The tissue samples were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Cat # P6148 from Sigma) at room temperature for 20 min. PFA was removed and the tissues were washed with 1X phosphate buffered saline + 0.1% Triton X-100 (Cat. # 161-0407 from Bio-Rad) PBT. Blocking solution [PBT+ 0.1% bovine serum albumin BSA (Cat. # A2153 from Sigma) BBT] was added to the tissues and the tissues were incubated at room temperature for 45 min. Primary antibody against DILP2 and GFP were diluted in BBT in 1:1000 and 1:500 dilutions respectively. The samples were incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4 °C with constant rotation. Then the tissues were washed extensively with 1X PBT and incubated with secondary antibody at room temperature for 2 h. The secondary antibody used was Alexa Fluor® 488 Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (Cat. # A27034) diluted in 1:500 dilution in BBT. After 2 h the samples were washed extensively and mounted with a drop of SlowFade® Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI (Cat. # S36939) from ThermoFisher Scientific. The tissues were imaged using a Leica DM6000B upright microscope and processed using ImageJ software. Corrected total cell fluorescence (CTCF) was calculated using the formula CTCF = Integrated Density – (Area of selected cell X Mean fluorescence of background readings).

**ex-vivo organ co-culture**

For the ex-vivo organ co-culture, larval hemolymph was isolated from 10 (3rd in-star crawling) larvae and was incubated with 10 brains from 3rd in-star crawling larvae of the desired genotypes in Shields and Sang medium (Cat. # S3652 from Sigma) at room temperature for 2 h with constant shaking. The larval brains were then fixed in 4 % PFA and stained for DILP2 as mentioned above and imaged.
Statistical analysis

All the experiments were done in biological replicates as indicated and the error bars represent the standard error mean (SEM). The graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism8 software. Data was analysed using Shapiro-Wilk test for normal distribution. Significance was tested using Welch t-test for the data with normal distribution and using Mann-Whitney test for the data which was not normally distributed, with * representing p-value < 0.05, ** p-value < 0.01, *** p-value < 0.001. The statistical analyses for starvation sensitivity and lifespan assays were performed using OASIS2 software. The starvation and lifespan datasets were subjected to Log rank test and trends in the lifespan of flies in both the assays were analyzed by Mantel-Cox procedure.
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Figure legends:

Fig 1. Edem1 maintains metabolic homeostasis

(A) Blocking edem1 expression using RNAi in the fat body led to enhanced triglyceride levels in adult male flies. Data is shown as % ratio of triglyceride to total protein levels, normalised to 100% in pplGal4>W1118 (control) and increase in experimental conditions pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi [independent biological replicates = 17, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is <0.001 (Mann-Whitney test)].

(B) Enhanced levels of glycogen in adult male flies caused by blocking edem1 expression in the fat body. Data is shown as % of total glycogen levels, normalised to 100% in pplGal4>W1118 (control) and increase in experimental conditions pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi [independent biological replicates = 6, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is <0.001 (Welch t-test)].

(C) Enhanced resistance to starvation in adult male flies caused by blocking edem1 expression in the fat body. Data shown as percentage of flies of pplGal4>W1118 (control) and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi which were alive at various time points of starvation [independent biological replicates = 4, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is <0.001 (Log-rank test)].

(D) and (E) Utilisation of triglycerides and glycogen at different stages of starvation upon edem1 knockdown. Data is shown as % ratio of triglyceride to total protein levels in adult male flies, data is normalised to 100% in pplGal4>W1118 (control) fed condition and change in response to indicated hours of starvation in control and experimental conditions pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi is shown [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.3844 (Log-rank test)]. Glycogen levels at different stages of starvation upon edem1 knockdown. Data is
normalised to 100% in *pplGal4>w^{1118} (control)* fed condition and change in response to indicated hours of starvation in control and experimental conditions *pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi* is shown [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and *UAS-edem1-RNAi* is 0.0082 (Log-rank test)].

(F) Expression of *edem1* RNAi in the fat body led to enhanced glucose levels in the circulation. Data is shown as % of Glucose levels in the hemolymph, normalised to 100% in *pplGal4>w^{1118} (control)* and increase in experimental conditions *pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi* [independent biological replicates = 4, P-value between control and *UAS-edem1-RNAi* is <0.001 (Mann-Whitney test)].

(G) Blocking *edem1* gene expression in the fat body led to enhanced feeding responses. Data is shown as % food consumption in larvae, normalised to 100% in *pplGal4>w^{1118} (control)* and increase in experimental conditions *pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi* [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and *UAS-edem1-RNAi* is 0.0329 (Welch t-test)].

(H) *edem1-RNAi* in the fat body led to enhanced life span in adult male flies. Data is shown as percentage of input flies *pplGal4>w^{1118}, pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi* which were alive across the days. [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and *UAS-edem1-RNAi* is <0.001 (Log-rank test)].

*P-value *<0.05; ** <0.01, *** <0.001.; Data information: In (A-B and F-G) data are presented as mean ± SEM*.
Fig 2. Blocking edem1 in the fat body reduced insulin signalling.

(A) Blocking edem1 expression using RNAi in the fat body led to an increase of mRNA levels of insulin target genes dilp6 (Fig. 2A'), 4ebp (Fig. 2A'') and inr (Fig. 2A'''') in larvae. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to pplGal4>w1118 and fold change in pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi is shown. [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0128 for dilp6, for 4ebp independent biological replicates = 10 and P-value is 0.0521, for inr independent biological replicates = 3 and P-value is 0.2138 (Welch t-test)].

(B) Blocking edem1 expression using RNAi in the fat body also led to a decrease in the levels of IPC specific dilp3 mRNA in larvae. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to pplGal4>w1118 and fold change in pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi is shown. [n=9, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.1809 for dilp2 (Mann-Whitney test), 0.0432 for dilp3 (Welch t-test) and 0.8187 for dilp5 (Welch t-test)].

(C) DILP2 protein in the larval IPCs shown as a representative image of anti-DILP2 antibody staining in larval brains of pplGal4>w1118 [independent biological replicates = 15] and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi [independent biological replicates = 14].

(D) Over expression of a constitutively active form of inr (InRA1325D) with edem1 RNAi in the fat body led to the rescue of fat phenotype in adult male flies. Data is shown as % ratio of triglyceride to total protein levels, normalised to 100% in pplGal4>w1118 (control) and changes in experimental conditions pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-InRA1325D [independent biological replicates = 4, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0006, P-value between UAS-
edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi, UAS-InR\textsuperscript{A1325D} is <0.001 and P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi, UAS-InR\textsuperscript{A1325D} is 0.3969 (Mann-Whitney test).

(E) Starvation resistance in adult male flies shown as percentage of input flies \textit{pplGal4}>w\textsuperscript{1118}, \textit{pplGal4}>UAS-edem1-RNAi, and \textit{pplGal4}> UAS-edem1-RNAi, UAS-InR\textsuperscript{A1325D} which were alive at various time points of starvation [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi, UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-InR\textsuperscript{A1325D}, control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-InR\textsuperscript{A1325D} is <0.0001 (Log-rank test)].

[P-value *<0.05; ** <0.01,***/ <0.001.; Data information: In (A—B and D) data are presented as mean ± SEM].

**Fig 3.** Reduction of edem1 levels in the fat body enhanced JNK signalling.

(A) Blocking edem1 expression using RNAi in the fat body led to an increase of mRNA levels of tace. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to \textit{pplGal4}>w\textsuperscript{1118} and fold change in \textit{pplGal4}>UAS-edem1-RNAi is shown. [independent biological replicates = 4, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.2184 (Welch t-test)].

(B) Expression of eiger RNAi in the fat body rescued increase in NLaz mRNA levels caused by blocking edem1 levels in the fat body, data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to \textit{pplGal4}>w\textsuperscript{1118} and fold change in \textit{pplGal4}>UAS-edem1-RNAi and \textit{pplGal4}>UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is shown. [independent biological replicates = 3 for \textit{pplGal4}>UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi and 14 for \textit{pplGal4}>w\textsuperscript{1118} and \textit{pplGal4}>UAS-edem1-RNAi. P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0014, P-value between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi
is 0.0071 and between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.9006 (Welch t-test)].

(C) Expression of eiger RNAi in the fat body rescued increase in triglyceride caused by blocking edem1 levels in the fat body. Data is shown as % ratio of triglyceride to total protein levels, normalised to 100% in pplGal4>w1118 (control) and changes in experimental conditions pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is shown. [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0358, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.1582, P-value between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.0086 (Welch t-test)].

(D) Enhanced starvation resistance shown as percentage of flies which were alive at various time points of starvation in the following genotypes - pplGal4>w1118, pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi and pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is shown. [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi and between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is <0.0001, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.2159 (Log-rank test)].

[P-value *<0.05; ** <0.01,*** <0.001.; Data information: In (A—C) data are presented as mean ± SEM].

Fig 4. Knock down of eiger in the fat body rescued the metabolic phenotypes.

(A) DILP2 levels in the IPCs in response to edem1-RNAi was rescued by reducing eiger in the fat body. Shown are representative images of anti-DILP2 antibody staining in larval brains of pplGal4>w1118 [independent biological replicates = 15]; pplGal4>UAS-
edem1-RNAi [independent biological replicates = 14] and pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi [independent biological replicates = 9].

(B) Reduction of dilp3 mRNA levels, in response to edem1-RNAi was rescued by reducing eiger in the fat body, data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to pplGal4>w1118 fold change in pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi and pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is shown [independent biological replicates = 8 for pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi and 11 for pplGal4>w1118 and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi. P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0648, between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.9036 and between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.0709 (Mann-Whitney test)].

(C) Increase in dilp6, in response to edem1-RNAi was rescued by reducing eiger in the fat body (Fig. 4C') and inr (Fig. 4C''). Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to pplGal4>w1118 and fold change in pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi and pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is shown [independent biological replicates = 7 for dilp6 and independent biological replicates = 3 for pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi and 6 for pplGal4>w1118 and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi for inr. P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0973 for dilp6 (Mann-Whitney test) and 0.7455 for inr (Welch t-test). P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.989 for dilp6 and 0.5441 for inr, P-value between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-eiger-RNAi is 0.1375 for dilp6 and 0.3248 for inr].

(D) Increase in Glucose levels in the hemolymph in response to edem1-RNAi was rescued by reducing eiger in the fat body. Data is shown as % of Glucose levels in the hemolymph, normalised to 100% in pplGal4>w1118 (control) and changes in
Experimental conditions \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) and \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-eiger-RNAi} \) is shown [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) is 0.0022, P-value between control and \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-eiger-RNAi} \) is 0.8182, P-value between \( \text{edem1-RNAi} \) and \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-eiger-RNAi} \) is 0.0087 (Mann-Whitney test)].

\[ \text{P-value} \ast <0.05; \ \ast \ast <0.01, \ast \ast \ast <0.001,; \ \text{Data information: In (B-D) data are presented as mean \pm SEM}. \]

Fig 5. Activating TOR signalling rescued \text{edem1} \) mediated phenotypes.

(A) Increase in mRNA levels of \text{NLaz} \ in response to blocking \text{edem1} \ expression was rescued by co-expression of \text{UAS-rheb}. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{w}^{1118} \) and fold change in \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) and \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-rheb} \) is shown. [independent biological replicates = 4 for \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-rheb} \) and 8 for \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{w}^{1118} \) and \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \). P-value between control and \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) is 0.0084, P-value between \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) and \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-rheb} \) is 0.0373, P-value between control and \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-rheb} \) is 0.5737 (Welch t-test)].

(B) Increase of mRNA levels of \text{4ebp} \) (Fig. 5B’) and \text{inr} \) (Fig. 5B”) in response to blocking \text{edem1} \ expression was alleviated by co-expression of \text{UAS-rheb}. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{w}^{1118} \) and fold change in \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) and \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-rheb} \) is shown. [independent biological replicates = 3, for \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \); \text{UAS-rheb} \) and 12 for \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{w}^{1118} \) and \( \text{pplGal4} \rightarrow \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) for \text{4ebp}. P-value between control and \text{edem1-RNAi} is 0.0082, P-value between \( \text{UAS-edem1-RNAi} \) and \( \text{UAS-}
edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is 0.0967, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is 0.5363 (Mann-Whitney test); independent biological replicates = 3, for pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb and 6 for pplGal4>w1118 and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi for inr. P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.2437, P-value between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is 0.9373, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is 0.1550 (Welch t-test)].

(C) Decrease in mRNA levels of dilp3 in response to blocking edem1 expression was rescued by co-expression of UAS-rheb. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to pplGal4>w1118 and fold change in pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi and pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is shown. [independent biological replicates = 3, for pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb and 11 for pplGal4>w1118 and pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi. P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0648, P-value between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is 0.1648, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is 0.8846 (Mann-Whitney test)].

(D) Over-expression of rheb in the fat body rescued enhanced stored fat levels. Data is shown as % ratio of triglyceride to total protein levels, values are normalised to pplGal4>w1118 and fold change in pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi and pplGal4> UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb is shown. [independent biological replicates = 4, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is 0.0006, P-value between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-Rheb is 0.0637, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-Rheb is 0.7259 (Mann-Whitney test)].

(E) Over-expression of rheb in the fat body rescued increased starvation resistance. Data is shown as percentage of flies which were alive at various time points of starvation in the following genotypes - pplGal4>w1118, pplGal4>UAS-edem1-RNAi
and pplGal4 > UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-rheb. [independent biological replicates = 5, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi is <0.0001, P-value between UAS-edem1-RNAi and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-Rheb is 0.027, P-value between control and UAS-edem1-RNAi; UAS-Rheb is 0.202 (Log-rank test)].

[P-value *<0.05; ** <0.01, *** <0.001,; Data information: In (A—D) data are presented as mean ± SEM].

Fig. 6 Blocking grindelwald in the IPCs rescued the increased accumulation of DILP2

(A) Blocking Eiger receptor grnd in the IPCs could rescue DILP2 levels in the IPCs. Representative images of anti-DILP2 antibody staining in larval brains of Dilp2Gal4 > w1118 [independent biological replicates = 22] and Dilp2Gal4 > UAS-grnd-RNAi [independent biological replicates = 12] are shown.

(B) Corrected total cell fluorescence values are normalised to Dilp2Gal4 > w1118 and fold change in Dilp2Gal4 > UAS-grnd-RNAi is shown. [P-value between control and UAS-grnd-RNAi is 0.0443 (Mann-Whitney test)].

[P-value *<0.05; ** <0.01, *** <0.001,; Data information: In (B) data are presented as mean ± SEM].

Fig. 7 Reduction in edem1 levels during starvation is crucial for survival.

(A) Fold change in the mRNA levels of edem1 upon starvation in pplGal4 > w1118 larvae. Values are normalised to pplGal4 > w1118 fed control and changes in the control starved are shown. [independent biological replicates = 14, P-value between control fed and starved larvae is <0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test)].
(B) Over-expression of *edem1* leads to enhanced sensitivity to starvation, shown are percentage of male flies which were alive at various time points of starvation in the following genotypes - *pplGal4>UAS-edem1* [independent biological replicates = 3, P-value between control and *UAS-edem1* is <0.0001 (Log-rank test)].

(C) Overexpression of *edem1* in the fat body increased the *dilp3* mRNA levels when compared to control starved flies. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to *pplGal4>w^{1118}* and fold change in *pplGal4>w^{1118}* starved and *pplGal4>UAS-edem1* starved is shown. [independent biological replicates = 4. P-value between control fed and starved is 0.0286, P-value between control fed and *UAS-edem1* starved is 0.2857 and P-value between control starved and *UAS-edem1* starved is 0.1143 (Mann-Whitney test)].

(D) Overexpression of *edem1* in the fat body led to decreased levels of *4ebp* (Fig. D'), *dilp6* (Fig. D'') and *inr* (Fig. D''') when compared to control starved flies. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA levels, values are normalised to *pplGal4>w^{1118}* and fold change in *pplGal4>w^{1118}* starved and *pplGal4>UAS-edem1* starved is shown. [independent biological replicates = 4 for *4ebp*, independent biological replicates = 5 for *dilp6* and independent biological replicates = 3 for *inr*. P-value between control fed and starved is 0.0286 for *4ebp* (Mann-Whitney test), 0.1829 for *dilp6* (Welch t-test) and 0.3127 for *inr* (Welch t-test). P-value between control fed and *UAS-edem1* starved is 0.4857 for *4ebp*, 0.4035 for *dilp6* and 0.9056 for *inr*. P-value between control starved and *UAS-edem1* starved is 0.0286 for *4ebp*, 0.4197 for *dilp6* and 0.2861 for *inr*].

(E) Overexpression of *edem1* in the fat body led to decreased levels of *NLaz* mRNA when compared to control starved flies. Data is shown as fold change in mRNA
levels, values are normalised to $pplGal4>w^{1118}$ and fold change in $pplGal4>w^{1118}$ starved and $pplGal4>UAS$-edem1 starved is shown. [independent biological replicates = 3 P-value between control fed and starved is 0.0275, P-value between control fed and $UAS$-edem1 starved is 0.3937 and P-value between control starved and $UAS$-edem1 starved is 0.0746 (Welch t-test)].

[P-value *<0.05; ** <0.01, *** <0.001; Data information: In (A and C-E) data are presented as mean ± SEM].

**Fig. 8 Working model.**

During fed conditions Edem1 block tace gene expression and inhibit Eiger release. This maintains the insulin signalling as JNK signalling is kept low in the IPCs. During starvation edem1 levels decrease, tace gene expression is increased and leading to Eiger secretion into the hemolymph. Soluble Eiger binds to the Grnd receptors in the IPCs and activate JNK signalling which inhibits insulin signalling. Reduction of insulin signalling mediated by reduction of Edem1 in the fat body during starvation aid in survival of flies.
**Fig. 3**

(A) **tace**

(B) **NLaz**

(C) Triglyceride/Protein (%)

(D) Flies alive (%)
Fig. 4

- **A**: Images of DILP2 expression under different conditions.
  - pplG4>UAS-eiger-RNAi
  - pplG4>UAS-edem1-RNAi

- **B**: Fold change in mRNA levels for dilp3 under different conditions.

- **C**: Fold change in mRNA levels for dilp6 under different conditions.

- **D**: Glucose content in larval hemolymph (%).

The graphs show the fold change in mRNA levels for dilp3 and dilp6, and the glucose content in larval hemolymph. The data is presented as mean ± SEM, and statistical significance is indicated by ns (not significant) and asterisks.
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