Recent Applications of Molecular Structures at Silicon Anode Interfaces
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Abstract: Silicon (Si) is a promising anode material to realize many-fold higher anode capacity in next-generation lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). Si electrochemistry has strong dependence on the property of the Si interface, and therefore, Si surface engineering has attracted considerable research interest to address the challenges of Si electrodes such as dramatic volume changes and the high reactivity of Si surface. Molecular nanostructures, including metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), covalent–organic frameworks (COFs) and monolayers, have been employed in recent years to decorate or functionalize Si anode surfaces to improve their electrochemical performance. These materials have the advantages of facile preparation, nanoscale controllability and structural diversity, and thus could be utilized as versatile platforms for Si surface modification. This review aims to summarize the recent applications of MOFs, COFs and monolayers for Si anode development. The functionalities and common design strategies of these molecular structures are demonstrated.
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1. Introduction

Mobile power systems have become fundamental components in the operation of modern society. Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are the most attractive solution for powering up portable digital devices as well as electric vehicles [1,2]. Nevertheless, due to the fast-increasing demand of battery energy density, conventional LIBs constructed with graphite anodes could hardly meet the future requirements of the energy storage market [3]. Consequently, significant efforts have been devoted to the development of next-generation rechargeable batteries. For example, lithium–sulfur (Li-S) batteries have received considerable research interest due to the high specific capacity of sulfur, which is close to five times as that of graphite [4–6]. Yet, practically, the capacity of Li-S battery is often significantly suppressed by a series of problems such as polysulfide dissolution, shuttle phenomenon and anode fading [7–9]. To achieve the highest energy density, LIBs with silicon (Si) anodes could be a competitive candidate. Si has an impressive theoretical capacity of 3579 mAh g⁻¹, and thus Si could possibly improve the anode capacity of current LIBs by about ten-fold [10,11]. In addition, the electrochemical set up of Si anodes in LIBs is very similar to that of the commercialized graphite anodes, where Si and graphite could share the same electrolyte system and cell fabrication protocols and could also be employed in combination [12,13]. Therefore, LIBs with Si-based anode materials are promising in fast commercialization [14].

The successful release of the full energy potential of Si anodes in LIBs is still hindered by a few factors. Si anode accommodates lithium with alloying mechanism, leading to major structural changes of Si materials with a reversible volume expansion of over three-fold [15]. The repeated large volume fluctuation of Si during electrochemical cycling is the most outstanding issue, and it could lead to Si pulverization [15,16]. Other problems could also arise from volume change, including the loss of electrical contact of Si materials and extensive electrolyte consumption due to continuous exposure of new Si interface [16–18].
Therefore, it is essential to minimize the impacts of Si volume variation [19]. Nano Si materials such as Si nanowires have been developed to resist alloying-induced electrode cracking as the nanowires could form a porous medium to tolerate Si expansion [15,20]. In addition, tuning the electrochemistry at the Si electrode interface, especially the formation and growth of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI), is also a critical research topic for Si-based LIBs [21–24]. Sophisticated nanostructures and binder systems have been developed to serve these purposes and thus to improve the performance of Si anodes [25–31]. However, the synthetic complexity of these nano materials and structures could very possibly bring significant challenges in actual large-scale application. Moreover, Si materials have low conductivity and sluggish ion diffusion properties in lithiation and delithiation processes, and thus, silicon has been incorporated into carbonaceous matrices or mixed into carbon materials to improve the kinetic properties of Si, although at the sacrifice of the theoretical capacity of the electrode materials [20,32,33].

Molecular structures fabricated in a bottom-up manner are attractive alternatives for engineering Si electrodes. Such materials include metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), covalent–organic frameworks (COFs) and monolayers, which could be readily prepared in a self-organized manner, often under relatively mild conditions or via spontaneous reactions [34–36]. These types of materials have well-defined molecular structures and thus two-dimensional (2D) or three-dimensional (3D) nano-ordered configurations. They provide a versatile platform for the rational engineering of Si electrodes with wide tunability and nano precision. One of the main applications of the molecular structures is the modification of the Si anode interface. Despite the vast structural differences of various Si anodes, they always observe the formation of SEI layers on electrode surfaces, which could play critical roles in determining the long-term cycling stability of the cells. Therefore, developing interface engineering strategies could potentially provide universal solutions for enhancing SEI properties or creating artificial SEIs in numerous Si anodes without changing their existing configuration. The relatively simple preparation of molecular structures at Si anode surfaces could also be a key benefit for their practical application. In this review, the recent progresses of Si anode surface structures constructed by MOF, COF and monolayers are summarized. Detailed descriptions of each material are provided in the corresponding sections, along with their properties and applications.

2. Metal–Organic Framework

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of networks sustained by the coordination bonding between metal ions (nodes) and organic molecule linkers (ligands) [37]. By using various combinations of metal and linkers, tens of thousands of MOFs have been developed [38]. Due to the diversity of MOF structures, these materials have been employed for various types of applications such as gas storage, separation, biomedical imaging, drug delivery, catalysis, proton conduction and energy storage [38–40]. The common advantageous properties of MOFs include high surface area, nanoscale porosity, controllable pore structure and wide structure tunability [38,41,42]. These features allow MOFs to be incorporated as functional materials in rechargeable batteries.

Han et al. [43] reported the application of MOF as a protective cushion on the Si anode. The electrodes were fabricated with a simple sandwich-coating method and presented a layered structure at the anode side with the configuration of Cu foil, conductive carbon, Si and a separator (Figure 1a). With micro-sized Si and ZIF-8 framework (zinc 2-methylimidazolate), the areal capacity reached 1.7 mAh cm$^{-2}$ and presented a slower decay trend (from about 1.7 to 0.85 mAh cm$^{-2}$ after 50 cycles) compared to pristine micro-Si (from about 1.3 to 0.05 mAh cm$^{-2}$ over 20 cycles). The nano-Si/ZIF-8 electrode delivered enhanced cycling stability at 0.6 mAh cm$^{-2}$ with minor decay over 100 cycles (Figure 1b). By examining a series of other MOFs, it was found that flexible MOFs (MIL-53 and NH$_2$-MIL-53) or small-aperture MOFs (ZIF-8, ZIF-67) could more effectively help to improve the cycling stability of Si in comparison to open-channel MOFs (MOF-5, HKUST-1). It was argued that the MOF cushion served to isolate the bulk electrolyte from the Si anode but
still allowed fast Li\textsuperscript{+} ion diffusion by holding electrolyte in its porous structure. In another work, silica was coated on ZIF-8 as sacrificial template to create inner void spaces in the final mesoporous silicon hollow nano cubes (by magnesiothermic reduction to convert silica to Si)\cite{44}. Such thin Si shells could self-accommodate its volume expansion with internal voids, shorten electronic and ionic pathways and improve electrolyte penetration with the porous structure. A long-term cycling capacity of 850 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} after 800 cycles was maintained.

Figure 1. (a) Schematic presentation of MOF/Si/Super P electrodes. (b) Cycling performance of C/Si/ZIF–8 (nano–Si) electrode in comparison with pure Si electrode. (a,b) Reprinted with permission from Ref.\cite{43}. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (c) The cage–like UiO–67 structures on Si anode form a stable and flexible porous network to facilitate Li\textsuperscript{+} ion flux, thus leading to enhanced cell performance and mitigated anode degradation. Reprinted with permission from Ref.\cite{45}. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

Si could be incorporated with MOF during the electrode composite preparation process as well. Malik et al.\cite{45} used MOF as a porosity-enhancing additive in a Si electrode. In their work, the pre-synthesized UiO-67 (zirconium 4,4'-Biphenyldicarboxylate) was directly incorporated into the electrode material slurry of Si, carbon and binder, followed by blade casting to produce the electrode sheet. It was proposed that the UiO-67 framework could generate a flexible pore network to facilitate Li\textsuperscript{+} flux throughout the electrode, release the tortuosity induced by Si volume change, and provide extra capacity as a lithium host (Figure 1c). The UiO-67 additive helped the Si electrode to achieve capacity retention that was a few times higher than that of the non-additive electrode.

Park et al. recently reported a composite anode of Si nanoparticles and 2D porous conductive Ni\textsubscript{3}(2,3,6,7,10,11-hexaminotriphenylene)\textsubscript{2} MOF (Si/Ni\textsubscript{3}(HITP))\textsubscript{2} prepared by grinding the mixture of the pre-synthesized Si and Ni\textsubscript{3}(HITP)\textsubscript{2} materials together\cite{46}. The Si/Ni\textsubscript{3}(HITP)\textsubscript{2} composite delivered a good capacity of 2657 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} (0.1C) after 100 cycles and also maintained a reversible capacity of 876 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} (1C) after 1000 cycles. It was proposed that the MOF facilitated Li\textsuperscript{+} movement with the innate open channels and mitigated Si volume expansion as well. The same group also reported Cu\textsubscript{3}(HITP)\textsubscript{2} MOF grown in situ on Si nanoparticles via a simple solution process for Si anode applications, where the Cu\textsubscript{3}(HITP)\textsubscript{2} minimized Si volume changes and particle pulverization to deliver a capacity of 1039 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} (1C) after 1000 cycles\cite{47}.

MOFs have also been employed for engineering Si nanorod electrodes. Yu et al. described TiN/Ti/Si nanorod (NR) arrays with embedded ZIF-8 nanoparticles as anodes in micro-LIBs\cite{48}. The ZIF-8 coating was formed by solution growth without pyrolysis.
With hindered SEI formation, improved lithiation properties and mitigated Si volume expansion, this Si nanorod anode realized a capacity of about 1.65 mAh cm$^{-2}$ with good Coulombic efficiency (CE) of about 99%. Similarly, metal–organic segments (MOSs) on Si nanorod arrays have been reported for LIB applications [49]. MOS could inhibit SEI formation around Si nanorod surface and restrict Si volume expansion, thus improving Si anode performance.

Another major application of MOFs is to serve as nano-structured precursors for the generation of favored carbon coatings on the Si anode surface. Bai et al. [50] developed 3D cage-like Si@ZIF-67 silicon electrode materials with one-step liquid phase preparation of the active materials followed by thermal treatment at 600 °C. In the liquid phase preparation, the dodecahedral ZIF-67 (cobalt 2-methylimidazole) shell was formed on the surface of a 20-nanometer Si core to deliver a mesoporous core–shell nanostructure, and the subsequent thermal treatment led to the formation of a carbon layer on Si and produced abundant pores with average size of about 4 nm. Consequently, the composite presented good electrical conductivity as well as fast Li$^+$ transport capability. This MOF-derived coating allowed the Si active materials to maintain good capacities of 1230 mAh g$^{-1}$ (after 200 cycles) and 1180 mAh g$^{-1}$ (after 400 cycles) at the rates of 0.5 and 1 A g$^{-1}$, respectively. Another report also employed ZIF-67 for embedding Si nanoparticles with similar solution-based preparation [51]. The obtained Si@ZIF-67 material was carbonized by annealing at 800 °C, which generated Si composite materials with Si particles encapsulated in carbon shell (Si@c-ZIF). Like that in the previous example, Si@c-ZIF could also promote electrical conduction across the interface and allow efficient Li$^+$ diffusion along the abundant pores (1 to 4 nm). Si@c-ZIF exhibited reversible capacities of 820 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 1000 cycles at 5 A g$^{-1}$. The good performance was attributed to the MOF-derived carbon shell as buffer to accommodate Si volume change and also to the good Li$^+$ transportation property of the shell enabled by the pores. Li et al. [52] employed a similar strategy and prepared N- and Co-doped hollow-structured Si/C composite (Si@Co–NC) with Si@ZIF-67 precursor, which delivered a reversible capacity of 191 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$ after 3000 cycles. Jin et al. [53] pyrolyzed Si@ZIF-67 under a hydrogen atmosphere, which led to the formation of metallic Co that catalyzed the conversion of organic residual units of the collapsed ZIF-67 network into carbon nanotubes (CNTs). The obtained Si@N-doped CNTs delivered 1144 mAh g$^{-1}$ capacity at 1 A g$^{-1}$ over 750 cycles.

Liu et al. also selected ZIF-67 to encapsulate Si materials, but with phenolic resin precoated Si nanoparticles [54]. Carbonization was performed at 800 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere to obtain the mesoporous yolk–shell composite Si@C@ZIF-67-800N. The resin and the MOF formed double carbon coating, which helped to deliver reversible capacities of 1107 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.5 A g$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles and 852 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$ over 300 cycles. Qiao et al. reported the decoration of Si nanoparticles with CNTs, which assisted their subsequent encapsulation in ZIF-67 [55,56]. After pyrolysis, the obtained Si@CNTs@c-ZIF composite delivered a capacity of 568.8 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$ after 200 cycles (60.1% retention) [55].

The other ZIF-type framework that has been widely studied as a carbon coating precursor on Si is ZIF-8. Han et al. developed Si@ZIF-8 composite prepared with one-spot mechanochemical synthesis by grinding the ZIF-8 starting materials with Si nanoparticles upon the addition of solvent initiator [57]. The obtained composite was further processed with simple pyrolysis at 700 °C over a period of 1 h under nitrogen (for carbonization) to yield the final electrode material Si@ZIF-8-700N (Figure 2). This anode material delivered specific capacity up to 1050 mAh g$^{-1}$ and also exhibited good reversible capacity of 830 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 500 cycles at 0.2 A g$^{-1}$ (minor capacity fading observed). The proposed factors leading to the favorable cell performance include carbonized MOF coating mitigating Si volume change, metal centers (from MOF) improving conductivity and storage capacity, and open channels in the matrix facilitating Li$^+$ transport. A similar nano-Si@ZIF-8 composite was reported by Song et al. [58], where ZIF-8 was grown on benzoic acid-functionalized nano-Si. Subsequent pyrolysis was performed at 550 °C, and
the nano-Si/C composites showed specific capacity of 1168 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 100 cycles at 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\). Wei et al. [59] employed ZIF-8 to prepare a spongy carbon matrix to anchor sac-like silicon materials as Si anodes. The carbon matrix could maintain a stable reaction interface and good electrical conductivity, and it could also buffer the Si volume change. The synthesized composite contained about 77% active Si materials, and delivered good capacities of 1448 and 848 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 2 and 4 A g\(^{-1}\), respectively, after 500 cycles.

Figure 2. Schematic depiction of ZIF–8 formation on Si surface and subsequent pyrolysis to yield Si@ZIF–8–700N electrode material with amorphous carbon. Color code: carbon, black; nitrogen, green; zinc, blue; silicon, yellow. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [57]. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

MOFs have been applied to a series of silicon oxide materials as well. To address the Si volume change issue, Wei et al. [60] applied a silica sacrificial template layer over Si nanoparticles before their encapsulation with ZIF-8, which could eventually be removed by HF after thermal treatments. This strategy allowed the formation of extra empty buffer space around Si nanoparticles and incorporated a high Si content of 71 wt%. This Si/C material presented a good rate performance of 1155 and 815 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 2 and 5 A g\(^{-1}\), respectively, and also maintained 1109 and 804 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 3 and 5 A g\(^{-1}\), respectively, after 500 cycles. Similarly, Majeed et al. [61] directly employed silica to fabricate a Si anode with ZIF. In this work, SiO\(_2\) nanospheres were uniformly covered with Zn/Co-ZIFs as coating precursor, and the subsequent magnesiothermic reduction simultaneously carbonized the ZIF layer and transformed SiO\(_2\) to Si. The remaining MgO could be removed by etching to yield the final mesoporous Si/SiO\(_x\) nanospheres with a N-doped carbon coating, which exhibited about 700 mAh g\(^{-1}\) capacity after 100 cycles. SiO\(_x\) anode materials have also been decorated with ZIF-67 [62], and simple solution-based precursor preparation and annealing protocols were employed to yield a Co-containing N-doped carbon-coated SiO\(_x\), (SiO\(_x@\)CoC) composite, which delivered 900 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 1.0 A g\(^{-1}\) after 350 cycles. Similarly, the carbonization of ZIF (Zn, Co) was performed to prepare a SiO anode with improved cycling performance [63].

Wang et al. developed a self-sacrificing template strategy with Si-Al alloy as the starting material to fabricate Si anodes [64]. 1,4-naphthalic acid was used to etch the
Si-Al alloy microspheres, where the produced Al\textsuperscript{3+} ions could then form Al-MOF with the organic acid on the Si-Al particles. The Al elements could eventually be removed by etching to create porous Si structures, while the Al-MOF could generate carbon coating upon thermal treatment. The obtained anode materials presented a reversible capacity of 1027.8 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} at the rate of 1 A g\textsuperscript{−1} over 500 cycles (79% retention).

Another MOF used for Si anodes is Prussian blue analogues (PBAs) [65], where the PBA-derived carbon coating helped the Si nanocomposite to present a reversible capacity of 725 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} after 200 cycles. MIL-88-Fe MOF (iron terephthalate) has also been applied to Si nanoparticles’ surface as a precursor to produce Si@Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/C composite upon annealing [66]. The Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/C coating served to improve conductivity, SEI stability and electrode cycling stability. Xu et al. [67] reported the porous double-shell Si electrode material Si/Ag@PM@MIL-100. The inner shell was made of porous carbon nanosheets and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (PM), which could buffer the large volume expansion of Si upon lithiation and prevent Si particle pulverization. The inner shell and the nano-Ag particles served to maintain good electrical contact of Si materials. The outer shell based on MIL-100 framework (iron 4,5-imidazole dicarboxylate) could promote the generation of a stable SEI layer. The highly porous double shell structure allowed rapid Li\textsuperscript{+} transportation. At the rate of 1 A g\textsuperscript{−1}, the Si/Ag@PM@MIL-100 electrode delivered a specific capacity of 615 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} after 500 cycles with a retention rate of 62.9%. In comparison, the Si electrode prepared without MIL-100 framework presented significantly lower capacity in long-term cycling.

3. Covalent Organic Frameworks

Covalent organic frameworks (COFs) are a class of organic molecule-based networks that are similar to MOFs but do not contain metal nodes. COF networks are commonly interconnected by stable covalent bonding and bear conjugated structures [34]. COFs also have the properties of porous structures and high surface areas like MOFs [68]. COFs often have good structural rigidity and could thus be used as protective layers with good mechanical properties on electrodes [69].

Ai et al. [70] developed a lithium-conducting COF coating on Si nanoparticles as an artificial SEI layer, which served to inhibit electrolyte decomposition and thus to improve the cycling stability of the Si electrode. The COF layer was constructed on Si particles via solvothermal polymerization between 1,3,5-triformylphloroglucinol (Tp) and 1,4-phenylenediamine-2-sulfonic acid (Pa-SO\textsubscript{3}H), followed by a Li\textsuperscript{+} ion exchange at the R-SO\textsubscript{3}H groups to realize the Li\textsuperscript{+}-conducting property of the network (Figure 3a). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement showed no outstanding electrolyte reduction peak of the Si@COF electrode, confirming the effective protection of Si particles by COF. Consequently, Si@COF presented significantly higher cycling performance than pristine Si at 1 A g\textsuperscript{−1}. Due to the lithium conducting properties, this COF network could facilitate Li\textsuperscript{+} transportation throughout the Si active materials and thus allowed good rate performances of 2761 and 962 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} at 0.5 and 5 A g\textsuperscript{−1}, respectively, with about full reversibility when restored to 0.5 A g\textsuperscript{−1} (Figure 3b). In the long-term cycling test, the Si@COF anode delivered 1864 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} capacity at a high rate of 2 A g\textsuperscript{−1} after 1000 cycles with a retention ratio of about 60% (Figure 3c). These results demonstrate that COF coating is an effective strategy to protect Si materials to reduce electrolyte decomposition and SEI formation, and thus to realize good electrochemical performance of Si anodes.
COF-type materials could also be utilized as precursors of carbon coating on Si materials. Zhou et al. [71] reported the trimerization of p-benzenedinitrile to produce porous covalent triazine framework (CTF) polymer shells on Si nanoparticles, which could undergo pyrolysis to yield N-doped porous carbon coatings (Si@NPC). The N-doped carbon shell helped to realize a capacity of 1390 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.5 A g\(^{-1}\), good cycling stability over 200 cycles at 1 A g\(^{-1}\) (107\% retention) and high-rate performance of about 420 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 16 A g\(^{-1}\). The advantages of CTF as carbon coating precursor include high surface area, large pore volume and permanent porosity. The produced N-doped porous carbon coating could accommodate Si volume expansion, promote homogeneous SEI formation and realize good kinetics with its conductive properties.

4. Monolayers

The term monolayers refers to single layers of molecules on surfaces or at interfaces, and it is sometimes used interchangeably with the term self-assembled monolayers (SAMs). It is worth pointing out that neither term has strict definition, and in practice, they can both be used in a wide range of scenarios of interface-based layers of organic molecules. Classically, SAMs were developed as chemisorbed molecular single-layers on surfaces such as thiol molecules on gold substrate [72]. However, SAMs in recent developments have also included physisorbed organic molecule layers [73,74], which could create complicated patterns as nano-templates for bottom-up fabrication [75]. SAMs can also refer to layers of molecules on sphere surfaces such as nanoparticles [76]. Monolayers have a broader scope than SAMs, as the preparation of monolayers may not always strongly depend on self-assembly processes [77]. This section discusses monolayer-type coatings on silicon anodes, which are layers of organic molecules chemically bonded on the Si surface.

The large surface area and high reactivity of silicon (especially nano Si materials) pose challenges for Si electrode stability due to the unwanted reactions of organic electrolytes on the Si surface, and consequently, it is desirable to modify the silicon surface for tuning the contact between electrolyte and Si active materials [78]. For example, a parylene-based artificial SEI has recently been reported for engineering Si electrode interface [79]. The deposition could be uniformly controlled down to 30 nm, leading to the formation of highly
stable and elastic parylene layer to protect the Si electrodes. With the parylene layer, a high Si content of over 10 mg cm\(^{-2}\) has been achieved with a good capacity retention of 95.5\% after 480 cycles. This is a representative example of Si interface modification with polymer materials. The functionalization of Si surfaces with monolayers has also been well established in the literature [80,81]. An extra organic layer on the Si surface could effectively protect the Si active material to improve cell performance [82,83]. Qian et al. [84] developed the electrolyte-phobic surface for the application of Si anodes. In this work, porous Si materials were prepared with metal-assisted chemical etching (MaCE) and were further decorated with fluorinated monolayer-type coating via hydrosilylation reaction with 1H,1H,2H-perfluoro-1-decene (PFD, and product denoted as pSi:PFD, see Figure 4a). In electrochemical testing, pSi:PFD presented a capacity of 1750 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 100 cycles, while non-decorated Si (pSi) faded quickly in about 30 cycles. Additionally, the initial CEs of pSi:PFD and pSi were 88\% and \(~60\%\), respectively, showing mitigated side reactions on pSi:PFD. The improved performance was attributed to the porous structure of pSi:PFD that helped to self-accommodate Si volume expansion and also to the fluorinated layer that minimized the accessible electrode surface to bulk electrolyte (Figure 4b). Similarly, Jiang et al. [83] reported surface modification of Si nanoparticles with monolayers bearing organic cyclic ethylene carbonate groups. Such functionalized Si electrode materials allowed the formation of sturdy and regulated SEIs and reduced the parasitic reactions of the electrolyte at the electrode interface. This monolayer-coated Si anode demonstrated higher initial capacity and enhanced capacity retention in both half-cell and full-cell testing in comparison to pristine Si anode.

Schulze et al. [85] systematically examined Si anodes with hydrophilic polyethylene oxide (PEO) and hydrophobic alkyl interfaces (Figure 5). The different molecular coatings on Si nanoparticles were prepared with the corresponding precursors on hydride-terminated Si surfaces via radical reaction. It was proposed that the dodecyl-based (C\(_{12}\)) hydrophobic interface inhibited both electron and Li\(^+\) ion conduction, leading to negligible lithium storage capacity. In contrast, the hydrophilic PEO-based coating facilitated Li\(^+\) transport across the Si interface with minimized parasitic reactions. Thick PEO coatings (1.7–2.6 nm) were found to cause electronic insulation of the Si materials from the conductive network,

Figure 4. (a) Scheme of preparation of porous silicon nanoparticles decorated with fluorinated molecules. (b) Demonstration of the role of electrolyte-phobic coating (minimizing accessible surface area). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [84]. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.
but PEO length (corresponding to coating thickness) would not strongly impact lithium consumption during SEI formation. Thus, the Si surface could not be sufficiently passivated simply by increasing the coating thickness.

Reactive monolayers have also been reported for the application of Si anodes. Jiang et al. [86] developed epoxy monolayers on Si nanoparticles that were produced via silanization reaction between epoxy-trialkoxysilane precursors and silanol-enriched Si nanoparticles. The epoxy monolayer helped to improve the Si anode performance by forming covalent bonding with polyacrylic acid (PAA) binder and by preventing the parasitic reactions of electrolyte. Kang et al. [87] reported Si nanoparticles decorated with amine layers as Si anode materials. The amine layer was prepared by the reaction between the hydroxyl-terminated Si surface and the amine-bearing trimethoxysilane precursor. Instead of covalent bonding, the amine groups could form ionic bonding with the PAA binder, which realized stable long-term cycling for 400 cycles at 2.1 A g⁻¹ with about 80% capacity retention. This is because the dynamic ionic bonding could better mitigate the capacity fading of the electrode caused by Si volume change. Amine-terminated SAM has also been reported for the application of SiO anode [88]. The amine-SAMs were grafted onto micron-size SiO particles, which further formed covalent bonding with the polyimide (PI) coating. This SAM/PI coating served to maintain the integrity of the active materials as well as the electrode and also helped to prevent side reactions for higher CE. A capacity of 873 mAh g⁻¹ was maintained after 300 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹.

5. Conclusions

Electrode surface engineering is an important research area for the practical application of Si-based LIBs. Molecular structures fabricated in a bottom-up manner is a facile strategy for constructing complex surface architectures using simple building blocks of organic molecules. MOFs, COFs and monolayers have been reported for the surface functionalization of Si anode materials. MOFs are produced by spontaneous coordination between metal ions and organic molecule linkers, yielding 2D or 3D porous structures. MOFs could allow good Li⁺ conductivity across the Si surface, prevent parasitic electrolyte reactions and accommodate Si volume changes during lithiation and delithiation. These properties make MOFs a promising platform for interface optimization of Si anodes. In addition, MOFs could also serve as the precursors of porous carbon shells on Si anode. Such carbon structures could also function as a buffer layer for Si volume change and could provide good electrical and ionic conductivities as well. COFs are similar to MOFs but are fully constructed with organic molecules through rigid connections, which provide good mechanic strength to tolerate Si volume variation. Functionalized COFs could provide good ion conductivity and effective protection of Si surface. Monolayers are different than MOFs and COFs, as they are directly attached to the Si surface. The close covalent bonding of monolayers helps to realize highly efficient tuning of Si surface properties, where layers of few-nanometer thickness could dramatically change the surface properties based on the monolayer’s molecular structures. In addition, reactive monolayers could also be applied.
to realize a higher level of the chemical complexity of the Si surface, and thus allow more favored interactions between Si active material and electrode composite.

It is worth noting that the number of reports on molecular structures on Si anodes is still limited, and there has not been wide exploration of different types of MOFs, COFs and monolayers for Si surface engineering in LiBs. On the other hand, there has been a tremendous number of such molecular structures developed in the literature with various properties and applications. The flexibility in structural properties is the key advantage of molecular architectures for surface modification of Si materials. Therefore, the toolbox of MOFs, COFs and monolayers can provide a rich and diverse content to assist future research of Si anode surface engineering.
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