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The centromedian (CM) nucleus is an intralaminar thalamic nucleus that is considered as a potentially effective target of deep brain stimulation (DBS) and ablative surgeries for the treatment of multiple neurological and psychiatric disorders. However, the structure of CM is invisible on the standard T1- and T2-weighted (T1w and T2w) magnetic resonance images, which hamper it as a direct DBS target for clinical applications. The purpose of the current study is to demonstrate the use of quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) technique to image the CM within the thalamic region. Twelve patients with Parkinson’s disease, dystonia, or schizophrenia were included in this study. A 3D multi-echo gradient recalled echo (GRE) sequence was acquired together with T1w and T2w images on a 3-T MR scanner. The QSM image was reconstructed from the GRE phase data. Direct visual inspection of the CM was made on T1w, T2w, and QSM images. Furthermore, the contrast-to-noise ratios (CNRs) of the CM to the adjacent posterior part of thalamus on T1w, T2w, and QSM images were compared using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. QSM dramatically improved the visualization of the CM nucleus. Clear delineation of CM compared to the surroundings was observed on QSM but not on T1w and T2w images. Statistical analysis showed that the CNR on QSM was significantly higher than those on T1w and T2w images. Taken together, our results indicate that QSM is a promising technique for improving the visualization of CM as a direct targeting for DBS surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

The centromedian nucleus (CM) or centromedian–parafasicular nucleus complex, located in the caudal intralaminar thalamic nuclei, has been reported to be a potentially effective target for deep brain stimulation (DBS) or ablative surgeries for the treatment of various neurological and psychiatric diseases, e.g., Parkinson’s disease, Tourette syndrome, generalized epilepsy, and intractable neuropathic pain (Ilyas et al., 2019). However, the surgeries targeting CM still relied on the indirect targeting method by registering a normalized atlas to the patient’s magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data and then the CM coordinates are used for target localization (Krauss et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2017). This indirect targeting method may lead to suboptimal targeting since significant variations exist in brain structures between patients, and this variation causes unpredictable registration errors (Kennedy et al., 1998) and may sub-optimize treatment effect and increase the rate of surgical complications and adverse side effects (Chan et al., 2009).

Direct targeting can improve the targeting accuracy in certain aspects as revealed by some studies (Tonge et al., 2016; Fenoy and Schiess, 2018). Direct targeting requires that the anatomical locations can be visible on certain image contrast. However, direct visualization of the CM nucleus using the standard T1w and T2w MRI sequences is challenging. On one hand, the volume of the CM is small (smaller than 10 mm in most dimensions; Ilyas et al., 2019). On the other hand, the contrast between the CM nucleus and its surrounding thalamic structures is pretty low. The absence of an imaging technique for direct visualization of CM hampers the targeting accuracy of CM for DBS surgery.

Some researchers have made considerable efforts to improve the individualized depiction of thalamic substructures. Lemaire et al. (2010) reported that high-resolution T1w images could be used to image the substructures of the thalamus, which were very comparable to myelin-stained histologic sections. However, the scan time for the protocol was approximately 14 h, which is not suitable for routine clinical scans. Kanowski et al. (2010) showed that the CM is identifiable in a reasonable measurement time of 13–26 min with two-dimensional high-resolution proton-attenuation-weighted images at 3 T. However, only a few slices in axial plane covering the localized areas were acquired, which still challenges targeting localization when using the surgical planning software involving the 3D image registration procedure. Bender et al. (2011) demonstrated that the CM could be roughly identified by optimized 3D MPRAGE protocol, which would take about 20 min to be acquired; however, clear discrimination of all thalamic substructures were not achievable. If anatomic imaging-based targeting methods can be further improved, the accuracy and efficiency of target selection for DBS or ablative surgeries may further increase.

Quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) reconstructed from the MRI phase images of the 3D gradient recalled echo (GRE) sequences could improve tissue contrast compared to T2w images. QSM employed deconvolution of GRE phase images and removed the non-local susceptibility effects, depicting more accurate structural delineation (Liu et al., 2015). QSM has been clinically used to assess important tissue functions and disease (Wang et al., 2017), and recently it has been demonstrated for improving the depiction of DBS target structures with iron-rich nucleus (paramagnetic), e.g., the subthalamic nucleus (Liu et al., 2013; Alkemade et al., 2017) and the globus pallidus internus (Wei et al., 2019), with the surrounding white matters (diamagnetic). The thalamus contains different subregions that are known to have various iron deposits and different degrees of myelinated white matters (Morris et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2018), which indicates that QSM, by using the susceptibility differences existing between substructures, may be a proper imaging technique to identify CM.

The aim of this study is to examine whether QSM could delineate the CM nucleus from its adjacent thalamic structures and thus generate a direct visualization of the CM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human Subjects

Twelve patients (six males and six females, mean age 41.8 ± 21.2 years old) with Parkinson’s disease (n = 5, mean age 61.0 ± 16.6), dystonia (n = 4, mean age 32.8 ± 8.6), or schizophrenia (n = 3, mean age 21.7 ± 10.3) were included as convenient samples in this study. Demographic information collection and neuroradiological investigation were performed by specialized movement disorder neurologists or psychiatrists. The study was approved by the ethics committee of Ruijin Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University. All subjects provided written consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Acquisition

Imaging was performed on a 3.0-T MR scanner equipped with a 24-channel head coil. Each subject lay supine with their head snugly fixed with foam pads. The subject was asked to keep still as long as possible. 3D T1w and axial T2w images were acquired. A multi-echo GRE sequence was also performed. Detailed imaging parameters, including the time of repetition, time of echo, field of view, voxel size, and total duration of scanning for the three imaging modalities, are summarized in Table 1.

Image Processing

QSM images were reconstructed from GRE phase data. The details of QSM processing has been documented in the previous articles (Wei et al., 2015, 2017). In brief, three major steps were taken for the reconstruction of the QSM image. First, the phase images of GRE were unwrapped using a Laplacian-based phase unwrapping. Afterward, the magnitude images were used to extract the brain tissue using the FMRIB Software Library Brain Extraction Tool1. Then, the background phases were removed using the V_SHARP method to obtain the local tissue phase images (Li et al., 2015). Finally, susceptibility maps were generated after dipole inversion using streaking artifact reduction for QSM method (STAR-QSM; Wei et al., 2015).

1https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/BET
**Image Inspection and Data Analysis**

Firstly, we compared the QSM images to a schematic drawing referenced from the overlay of Schaltenbrand and Wahren histologic atlas (Schaltenbrand et al., 1977) to confirm whether the CM can be visible on the QSM image. To calculate the contrast-to-noise ratios (CNRs), QSM and T2w images were firstly registered to the T1w image. Then, the regions of CM and the adjacent posterior thalamic tissues were manually defined as masks on the QSM image (Supplementary Figure S1). Afterward, the masks of CM and posterior thalamus were applied to the T1w and T2w images. The CNRs of the CM nucleus referenced to the posterior thalamus were measured: CNR = |S_CM−S_PTH|/σ, where S_CM and S_PTH, respectively, represent the mean signal intensities of the CM nucleus and posterior part of thalamus. σ represents noise measurement calculated as the standard deviation of the signal intensities in the posterior part of thalamus. The volumes of the CM nucleus were also calculated on QSM images, by multiplying the number of CM voxels and the voxel size.

**Statistical Analysis**

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the difference in CNRs among the three MR image modalities (IBM SPSS Statistics, version 22). If the one-way ANOVA gave a significant result, independent two-sample t-tests were further used as the post hoc tests to reveal the CNR differences between each two modalities (T1w vs. T2w, T1w vs. QSM, and T2w vs. QSM). Two-way repeated-measure ANOVAs were also performed to examine the significance of interaction between image modality (T1w, T2w, and QSM) and patient type (Parkinson’s disease, dystonia, and schizophrenia), and the significance of interaction between CM volume (left CM and right CM volumes) and patient type. The threshold of significance was set at p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1A shows a schematic drawing of thalamus that is referenced to the Schaltenbrand and Wahren atlas (Schaltenbrand et al., 1977). The diamagnetic CM is surrounded by the relatively paramagnetic medial, lateral, and posterior parts of the thalamus (Figure 1A). Figures 1B,D show the QSM image of one representative patient. As shown, the QSM image provides a clear visualization on the anatomical structure of the CM (as indicated by an orange arrow) in a patient with Parkinson’s disease. The anatomical boundaries of the medial, lateral, and posterior parts of the thalamus are also visible owing to different magnetic susceptibility values, as delineated in Figure 1C.

Figure 2 compares the contrast of CM on T1w, T2w, and QSM images at one representative section of a representative patient. The location of CM nucleus is difficult to be identified on the T1w or T2w images. However, QSM image clearly shows the substructures of the thalamus, for example, medial, lateral, and posterior parts of the thalamus. The CM nucleus is delineable from its surroundings on the QSM image. Clear delineation of CM and the surrounding tissues is attributed to the susceptibility difference existed between iron-rich nucleus and the adjacent myelinated white fiber axons. The QSM image exhibits a diamagnetic susceptibility within the CM and a relatively paramagnetic susceptibility of its surrounding thalamic tissues. The T1w, T2w, and QSM images at one representative section containing CM nucleus on each patient are presented in the Supplementary Figure S2.
The CNRs of the CM nucleus to the posterior part of thalamus are $0.37 \pm 0.35$, $0.67 \pm 0.43$, and $3.43 \pm 0.49$, respectively, on T1w, T2w, and QSM images (Figure 3). The ANOVA reveals significant differences among T1w, T2w, and QSM images in terms of the CNR, $F(2) = 177.14$, $p < 0.001$ (Figure 3). Post hoc tests (independent two-sample $t$-tests) indicate significant different CNRs between QSM and T1w ($t(11) = 16.66$, $p < 0.001$), and between QSM and T2w ($t(11) = 17.44$, $p < 0.001$). The mean CNRs for each type of patients are illustrated in the Supplementary Table S1, in which increased CNRs on QSM images are indicated in each of the three patient types. The mean volumes of the left and right CM nuclei are $160.95 \pm 29.98$ mm$^3$ and $169.73 \pm 50.34$ mm$^3$, respectively, as detected on the QSM images. No significant main effects of patient type on CNR value or CM volume, or interactions between patient type and CNR value, or between patient type and CM volume were found in our sample ($p$s $> 0.142$, Supplementary Tables S1, S2).

**DISCUSSION**

The results demonstrate that with the QSM technique, the CM can be clearly delineated from the surrounding subthalamic nuclei. Compared with commonly used T1w and T2w images for DBS planning, QSM significantly improved the CNR of CM nucleus compared to its surrounding thalamic structures, suggesting that a QSM-based image is more suitable to target the patient-specific CM in DBS surgery directly.

Aside from the surgical targets routinely used in clinical treatment (e.g., subthalamic nucleus, nucleus accumbens), there are some other targets with potential effectiveness in treating...
neurological and psychiatric diseases. The CM nucleus or centromedian–parafascicular nucleus complex, situated within the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus, has abundant fiber connections with other thalamic nuclei, basal ganglia, and cerebral cortex (Ilyas et al., 2019). In several studies, the CM nucleus has been suggested as a potentially effective DBS target for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease (Caparros-Lefebvre et al., 1999; Mazzone et al., 2006; Peppe et al., 2008; Stefani et al., 2009) and Tourette syndrome (Houeto et al., 2005; Savica et al., 2012; Testini et al., 2016; Marano et al., 2019). The clinical surgeries targeting at CM also show treatment effect for the generalized epilepsy (Fisher et al., 1992; Velasco et al., 2007; Valentin et al., 2013; Li and Cook, 2018) and intractable neuropathic pain (Young et al., 1995; Hollingtonworth et al., 2017) by means of DBS or thalamotomy. The DBS surgery targeting the CM nucleus currently uses indirect ways in which a two-dimensional stereotactic atlas of the thalamus is superimposed on a CT or MRI scan relative to coarse anatomical landmarks including anterior and posterior commissures (Stefani et al., 2009; Son et al., 2016; Testini et al., 2016). The indirect method of targeting the CM nucleus is due to the small volume of the CM, measuring smaller than 10 mm in most dimensions (Ilyas et al., 2019), and low image contrast between the CM nucleus and its surrounding thalamic structures on conventional MRI images. The challenge of precisely locating the nucleus would limit the clinical application and the efficacy of CM-DBS. Inter-patient variability may affect the accuracy of the placement DBS electrodes, and may sub-optimize treatment effect and increase the rate of surgical complications and adverse side effects (Chan et al., 2009). Direct imaging CM can be of great help for direct targeting of this intralaminar thalamic nucleus.

Recently developed QSM image reconstructed from the GRE-sequence image is an effective technique that takes advantage of differentiated iron concentration in different subcortical microstructures to identify their locations (Deistung et al., 2017). Thalamic nuclei have sufficient iron concentration and different nuclei are with different levels of iron deposits (Drayer et al., 1986; Morris et al., 1992). Thus, QSM can delineate one nucleus from its adjacent myelinated white matter axons, such as for imaging the CM in this study. The delineation of CM is attributed to the susceptibility difference existed in iron concentration compared to the adjacent myelin sheath fibers. Although CM nucleus is also visible on high-resolution T1w images, 2-D proton-attenuation-weighted images, or images acquired by optimized 3D MPRAGE protocol (Kanowski et al., 2010; Lemaire et al., 2010; Bender et al., 2011), those images usually would take at least 20 min (or even hours) to be acquired. GRE image of the whole brain can be acquired within less than 10 min, which is more realistic for routine clinical scans for DBS planning.

Based on our finding that QSM could provide direct visualization on CM nucleus, together with the recent findings that QSM could also provide superior anatomical delineation in subthalamic nucleus (Liu et al., 2013; Alkemade et al., 2017) and globus pallidus internus (Wei et al., 2019), the implementation of QSM imaging in clinical settings for relevant diseases should be given consideration by radiologists, neurosurgeons, MR manufacturers, and engineers. On the other hand, the QSM technique has plenty of room to improve on for clinical applications, including shortening acquisition time and reducing streaking artifacts to further improve the image quality (Wang et al., 2017).

The signal intensity on a QSM image depends on the tissue magnetic susceptibility (Wang and Liu, 2015). Due to the rich abundance of iron in the blood, the blood vessel on a QSM image has a much higher intensity than gray matter, white matter, or cerebrospinal fluid (Haacke et al., 2015). The visual identification of the CM nucleus in the present sample is unaffected by the blood vessels nearby. Furthermore, strong QSM signal can be observed in the structures with bleeding or vascular dysmorphia (Liu et al., 2012, 2015; Chen et al., 2014). Although not being observed in the individuals of our sample, the delineation of the thalamic structures, including the CM nucleus, could be blurred in individuals with microbleeding or vascular dysmorphia at or around the regions of interest.

There are some limitations in the current study. The 3D GRE sequences is quite sensitive to patients’ motion during the scan, and thus the application in patients with obvious tremor might be limited. The next limitation is that the scanning process for whole-brain QSM takes nearly 5–10 min. Although it is faster than the other methods that can also demonstrate the CM nucleus (Kanowski et al., 2010; Lemaire et al., 2010; Bender et al., 2011), more rapid QSM techniques are yet to be invented for DBS targeting in clinical application (Wei et al., 2019). Another limitation is that the segmentations were done manually in this study. In future studies, the QSM images could be normalized to MNI space and segmented based on available subcortical 3D atlasses, e.g., using Lead-DBS toolbox2. Finally, the sample size of the present study is relatively small. However, even with small sample size, the superiority of QSM for depicting CM nucleus can still be observed. The negative results of CNR values and CM volumes between different types of patients may be attributed to the limited sample size. Future studies with large sample sizes are needed to reveal the profiles of CNR values and CM volumes in different types of patients, particularly in the patients where DBS has shown potential effectiveness (e.g., Parkinson’s disease, Tourette syndrome, generalized epilepsy, and intractable neuropathic pain).

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have demonstrated that the QSM images provide a significantly clearer visualization of the CM nucleus than T1w and T2w images, suggesting that a QSM image is likely more suitable to aid directly determining patient-specific CM coordinates in the DBS and ablative surgeries. Future studies are highly needed to evaluate the QSM imaging CM nucleus on a large sample size, particularly in the

2www.lead-dbs.org
types of patients who might potentially benefit from DBS treatment, and confirm whether QSM technique can improve DBS targeting accuracy or effectiveness compared with indirect targeting methods.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Ruijin Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

**REFERENCES**

Alkemade, A., de Hollander, G., Keuken, M. C., Schafer, A., Ott, D. V. M., Schwarz, J., et al. (2017). Comparison of T2*-weighted and QSM contrasts in Parkinson's disease to visualize the STN with MRI. *PLoS One* 12:e0176130. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176130

Bender, B., Manz, C., Korn, A., Nagele, T., and Klose, U. (2011). Optimized 3D magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo: identification of thalamic substructures at 3T. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 32, 2110–2115. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.a2705

Caparros-Lefebvre, D., Blond, S., Feltin, M. P., Pollak, P., and Benabid, A. L. (1999). Improvement of levodopa induced dyskinesias by thalamic deep brain stimulation is related to slight variation in electrode placement: possible involvement of the centre median and parafascicularis complex. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 67, 308–314. doi: 10.1136/jnp.67.3.308

Chan, D. T., Zhu, X. L., Yeung, J. H., Mok, V. C., Wong, E., Lau, C., et al. (2009). Complications of deep brain stimulation: a collective review. *Asian J. Surg.* 32, 258–263. doi: 10.1016/S1015-9584(09)60404-8

Chen, W., Zhu, W., Kovanlikaya, I., Kovanlikaya, A., Liu, T., Wang, S., et al. (2014). Intracranial calcifications and hemorrhages: characterization with quantitative susceptibility mapping. *Radiology* 270, 496–505. doi: 10.1148/radiol.13122640

Deistung, A., Schweser, F., and Reichenbach, J. R. (2017). Overview of quantitative susceptibility mapping. *NMR Biomed.* 30:e3569. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3569

Drayer, B., Burger, P., Darwin, R., Riederer, S., Herfken, R., and Johnson, G. A. (1986). MRI of brain iron. *Am. J. Roentgenol.* 147, 103–110. doi: 10.2214/ajr.147.1.103

Fenoy, A. J., and Schiess, M. C. (2018). Comparison of tractography-assisted to atlas-based targeting for deep brain stimulation in essential tremor. *Mov. Disord.* 33, 1895–1901. doi: 10.1002/mds.27463

Fisher, R. S., Uematsu, S., Krauss, G. L., Csyok, B. J., McPherson, R., Lesser, R. P., et al. (1992). Placebo-controlled pilot study of centromedial thalamic stimulation in treatment of intractable seizures. *Epilepsia* 33, 841–851. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1157.1992.tb01929.x

Haacke, E. M., Liu, S., Buch, S., Zheng, W., Wu, D., and Ye, Y. (2015). Quantitative susceptibility mapping: current status and future directions. *Magn. Reson. Imaging* 33, 1–25. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2014.09.004

Hollingsworth, M., Sims-Williams, H. P., Pickering, A. E., Barua, N., and Patel, N. K. (2017). Single electrode deep brain stimulation with dual targeting at dual frequency for the treatment of chronic pain: a case series and review of the literature. *Brain Sci.* 7:59. doi: 10.3390/brainsci7010009

Houeto, J. L., Karachi, C., Mallet, L., Pillon, B., Yelnik, J., Mesnage, V., et al. (2005). Tourette’s syndrome and deep brain stimulation. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 76, 992–995. doi: 10.1136/jnp.2004.043273

Ilyas, A., Pizarro, D., Romeo, A. K., Riley, K. O., and Pati, S. (2019). The centromedian nucleus: anatomy, physiology and clinical implications. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 63, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2019.01.050

Kanowski, M., Voges, J., and Tempelmann, C. (2010). Delineation of the nucleus centralis medianus by proton density weighted magnetic resonance imaging at 3 T. *Oper. Neurosurgy.* 66:ons-E121-ons-E123. doi: 10.1227/01.neu.0000348560.85056.65

Kennedy, D. N., Lange, N., Makris, N., Bates, J., Meyer, J., and Caviness, V. S. Jr. (1998). Gyri of the human neocortex: an MRI-based analysis of volume and variance. *Cereb. Cortex* 8, 372–384. doi: 10.1093/cercor/8.4.372

Kim, S. H., Lim, S. C., Yang, D. W., Cho, J. H., Son, B. C., Kim, J., et al. (2017). Thalamo-cortical network underlying deep brain stimulation of centromedian thalamic nuclei in intractable epilepsy: a multimodal imaging analysis. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* 13, 2607–2619. doi: 10.2147/ndt.s148617

Krauss, J. K., Pohl, T., Weigel, R., and Burgunder, J. M. (2002). Deep brain stimulation of the centre median-parafascicular complex in patients with movement disorders. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 72, 546–548. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.72.4.546

Lemaire, J. J., Sakka, L., Ouchchane, L., Caire, F., Gabrillargues, J., and Bonny, J. M. (2010). Anatomy of the human thalamus based on spontaneous contrast and microscopic voxels in high-field magnetic resonance imaging. *Neurosurgery* 66, 161–172. doi: 10.1227/01.neu.0000365617.41061.a3

Li, M. C. H., and Cook, M. J. (2018). Deep brain stimulation for drug-resistant epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 59, 273–290. doi: 10.1111/epi.13964

Li, W., Wang, N., Yu, F., Han, H., Cao, W., Romero, R., et al. (2015). A method for estimating and removing streaking artifacts in quantitative susceptibility mapping. *Neuroimage* 108, 111–122. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.12.043

Liu, C., Wei, H., Gong, N. J., Cronin, M., Dibb, R., and Decker, K. (2015). Quantitative susceptibility mapping: contrast mechanisms and clinical applications. *Tomography* 1, 3–17. doi: 10.18383/tom.2015.00136

Liu, T., Surapaneni, K., Lou, M., Cheng, L., Spincemaille, P., and Wang, Y. (2012). Cerebral microbleeds: burden assessment by using quantitative susceptibility mapping. *Radiology* 262, 269–278. doi: 10.1148/radiol.11101251

Liu, T., Eskeiris-Winkler, S., Schweitzer, A. D., Chen, W., Kaplitt, M. G., Tsouris, A. J., et al. (2013). Improved subthalamic nucleus depiction with quantitative susceptibility mapping. *Cereb. Cortex* 23, 1510–1518. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhs066

Liu, C., Wei, H., Gong, N. J., Cronin, M., Dibb, R., and Decker, K. (2015). Quantitative susceptibility mapping: contrast mechanisms and clinical applications. *Tomography* 1, 3–17. doi: 10.18383/tom.2015.00136

Marano, M., Migliore, S., Squitieri, F., Insole, A., Scarnati, E., and Mazzone, P. (2019). CM-Pf deep brain stimulation and the long term management of motor and psychiatric symptoms in a case of Tourette syndrome. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 62, 269–272. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2018.12.029

Mazzone, P., Stocchi, F., Galati, S., Insole, A., Altibrandi, M. G., Modugno, N., et al. (2006). Bilateral implantation of centromedian-parafascicularis complex and

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

YW, DL, BS, CZ, and HW conceived and designed the study. WX and HW collected the data. JL, YL, LG, WX, and CL analyzed the data. JL, YL, LG, YW, CL, DL, BS, CZ, and HW interpreted the data and wrote the article.

**FUNDING**

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China Grant (grant number 61901256) and SJTU Trans-med Award Research.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2019.00447/full#supplementary-material.
gpi: a new combination of unconventional targets for deep brain stimulation in severe Parkinson disease. *Neuromodulation* 9, 221–228. doi: 10.1111/1525-1403.2006.00063.x

Morris, C. M., Candy, J. M., Oakley, A. E., Bloxham, C. A., and Edwordson, J. A. (1992). Histochemical distribution of non-haem iron in the human brain. *Acta Anat.* 144, 235–257. doi: 10.1159/000147312

Peppe, A., Gasbarra, A., Stefani, A., Chiavalon, C., Pierantozzi, M., Fermi, E., et al. (2008). Deep brain stimulation of CM/ PF of thalamus could be the new elective target for tremor in advanced Parkinson’s disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 14, 501–504. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2007.11.005

Savica, R., Stead, M., Mack, K. J., Lee, K. H., and Klassen, B. T. (2012). Deep brain stimulation in tourette syndrome: a description of 3 patients with excellent outcome. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 87, 59–62. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2011.08.005

Schaltenbrand, G., Wahren, W., and Hassler, R. (1977). *Atlas for Stereotaxy of the Human Brain*. Stuttgart: Thieme.

Sharma, V. D., Mewes, K., Wichmann, T., Bueteisch, C., Willie, J. T., and DeLong, M. (2017). Deep brain stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nucleus for essential tremor: a case report. *Acta Neurochir.* 159, 789–793. doi: 10.1007/s00701-017-3143-y

Son, B. C., Shon, Y. M., Choi, J. G., Kim, J., Ha, S. W., Kim, S. H., et al. (2016). Clinical outcome of patients with deep brain stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nucleus for refractory epilepsy and location of the active contacts. *Stereotact. Funct. Neurosurg.* 94, 187–197. doi: 10.1159/000446611

Stefani, A., Peppe, A., Pierantozzi, M., Galati, S., Moschella, V., Stanzone, P., et al. (2009). Multi-target strategy for Parkinsonian patients: the role of deep brain stimulation in the centromedian-parafascicularis complex. *Brain Res. Bull.* 78, 113–118. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.08.007

Testini, P., Zhao, C. Z., Stead, M., Duffy, P. S., Klassen, B. T., and Lee, K. H. (2016). Centromedian-parafascicularis complex deep brain stimulation for tourette syndrome: a retrospective study. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 91, 218–225. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.11.016

Testini, P., Min, H. K., Bashir, A., and Lee, K. H. (2016). Deep brain stimulation for tourette’s syndrome: the case for targeting the thalamic centromedian-parafascicular complex. *Front. Neurol.* 7:193. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2016.00193

Tonge, M., Kocabacak, E., Ackermans, L., Kuijf, M., and Temel, Y. (2016). Final electrode position in subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation surgery: a comparison of indirect and direct targeting methods. *Turk. Neurosurg.* 26, 900–903. doi: 10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.13739-14.11

Valentin, A., Garcia Navarrete, E., Chelvarajah, R., Torres, C., Navas, M., Vico, L., et al. (2013). Deep brain stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nucleus for the treatment of generalized and frontal epilepsies. *Epilepsia* 54, 1823–1833. doi: 10.1111/epi.12352

Velasco, F., Velasco, A. L., Velasco, M., Jimenez, F., Carrillo-Ruiz, J. D., and Castro, G. (2007). Deep brain stimulation for treatment of the epilepsies: the centromedian thalamic target. *Acta Neurochir.* Suppl. 97, 337–342. doi: 10.1007/978-3-211-33081-4_38

Wang, Y., and Liu, T. (2015). Quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM): decoding MRI data for a tissue magnetic biomarker. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 73, 82–101. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25358

Wang, Y., Spincemaille, P., Liu, Z., Dimov, A., Deh, K., Li, J., et al. (2017). Clinical quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM): biometal imaging and its emerging roles in patient care. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 46, 951–971. doi: 10.1002/jmri.25693

Wei, H., Zhang, C., Wang, T., He, N., Li, D., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Precise targeting of the globus pallidus internus with quantitative susceptibility mapping for deep brain stimulation surgery. *J. Neurosurg.* 11, 1–7. doi: 10.3171/2019.7.jns191254

Wei, H., Dibb, R., Zhou, Y., Sun, Y., Xu, J., Wang, N., et al. (2015). Streaking artifact reduction for quantitative susceptibility mapping sources with large dynamic range. *NMR Biomed.* 28, 1294–1303. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3383

Wei, H., Cao, S., Zhang, Y., Guan, X., Yan, F., Yeom, K. W., et al. (2019). Learning-based single-step quantitative susceptibility mapping reconstruction without brain extraction. *NeuroImage* 202:116064. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116064

Wei, H., Zhang, Y., Gibbs, E., Chen, N. K., Wang, N., and Liu, C. (2017). Joint 2D and 3D phase processing for quantitative susceptibility mapping: application to 2D echo-planar imaging. *NMR Biomed.* 30:e3501. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3501

Young, R. F., Vermeulen, S. S., Grimm, P., Posewitz, A. E., Jacques, D. B., Rand, R. W., et al. (1995). Gamma knife thalamotomy for the treatment of persistent pain. *Stereotact. Funct. Neurosurg.* 64, 172–181. doi: 10.1159/000098777

Zhang, Y., Wei, H., Cronin, M. J., He, N., Yan, F., and Liu, C. (2018). Longitudinal atlas for normative human brain development and aging over the lifespan using quantitative susceptibility mapping. *NeuroImage* 171, 176–189. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.01.008

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Li, Li, Gutierrez, Xu, Wu, Liu, Li, Sun, Zhang and Wei. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.