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Abstract: During the search for a general, efficient route toward the synthesis of C-1 analogues of narciclasine, natural narciclasine was protected and converted to its C-1 enol derivative using a novel semi-synthetic route. Attempted conversion of this material to its triflate in order to conduct cross-coupling at C-1 resulted in a triflate at C-6 that was successfully coupled with several functionalities. Four novel compounds were fully deprotected after seven steps and subjected to evaluation for cytotoxic activity against three cancer cell lines. Only one derivative showed moderate activity compared to that of narciclasine. Spectral and physical data are provided for all new compounds.
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1. Introduction

Narciclasine (1) and pancratistatin (2) (Figure 1), have been among the most studied constituents of the Amaryllidaceae family of alkaloids since their isolation from Narcissus bulbs in 1967 [1], and Pancratium littorale in 1984 [2,3], respectively. Their unique antitumor activity has led not only to many synthetic approaches, but also to investigations of unnatural derivatives with a focus on providing more bioavailable compounds. The syntheses of these natural products and their unnatural derivatives, and the study of their biological activities have been reviewed on many occasions, with the last major reports published in 2016 and 2017 [4–15]. Synthetic activity continued, and further creative approaches to both 1 and 2 and their related congeners have been reported since [16–19].

The minimum pharmacophore for the Amaryllidaceae alkaloids has been suggested [20], and changes in structure are allowed in the “northwest bay region,” the space outside C-1 and C-10 indicated in Figure 1, without detriment to biological activity. Many derivatives of pancratistatin have been made and tested [21–26]. Some of the unnatural derivatives showed enhanced biological activities, namely the pancratistatin C-1 benzoate reported by Pettit [27] and the C-1 benzoyloxyethyl compounds reported by us [28,29] (both with nanomolar activity). These findings provided further impetus to continue this research with pancratistatin as well as narciclasine. There are not as many unnatural derivatives reported for the latter alkaloid compared to the research volume with pancratistatin. We have published several fully synthetic approaches to C-7 and C-10 analogues, namely compounds 3, 4, and 5, shown in Figure 1 [30–33]. Only one of these, 10-azanarciclasine (4), displayed activity comparable to the natural product.
was both unusual and surprising, but it provided the means for potential cross-coupling. This approach was met with failure because of the instability of 7 and its isomerization to enamide 8. Initial attempts to open epoxide 10 as narciclasine to the corresponding enone 7. We expected to be able to prepare the C-1 vinyl bromide for cross-coupling by bromination, followed by a dehydrobromination process. This approach was met with failure because of the instability of 7 and its isomerization to enamide 8.

Epoxidation of narciclasine, as previously reported by Pettit [27], seemed to be another viable option for functionalizing the C-1 position. Initial attempts to open epoxide 10 with various nucleophiles proved to be a more difficult task than originally accounted for. Epoxide 10 was inert to several conditions, and upon treatment with KCN, the reaction yielded a compound that was later identified as a C-1 enol C-2 nitrile (11).

When trying to form the syn-epoxide with N-bromoacetamide (NBA), the reaction afforded the C-1 enol 12a instead of the desired bromohydrin intermediate [34]. Hydrogenation of this intermediate was attempted as it would provide a short conversion of narciclasine to panaratistatin. The enol, or, more accurately, the vinylogous phenol, did not undergo hydrogenation even under high pressure (500 psi). The formation of the stable enol was both unusual and surprising, but it provided the means for potential cross-coupling through pseudohalide groups (either tosyllate or triflate) at C-1, and we have focused on this particular strategy.

Narciclasine (1) was protected as an acetonide at C-3/C-4, and the C-2/C-7 hydroxyl groups were acylated to furnish diacetate 9 along with monoacacetate 14 in a ratio of 2.2:1, respectively, and trace amounts of triacetate 15 (Scheme 2). In addition, narciclasine was also converted to its peracetate 16 (Scheme 3) in order to compare the steric influence of the acetonide group versus acetates on the chemical events taking place at C-1.
Scheme 1. Originally planned routes to C-1 analogues. Reagents and solvents abbreviations: Dess-Martin Periodinane (DMP); Dichloromethane (DCM), Acetic anhydride (Ac₂O), meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (m-CBPA), Triethylamine (NEt₃), 4-Dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), Acetonitrile (MeCN), N-bromoacetamide (NBA), Tetrahydrofuran (THF).

Scheme 2. Initial protecting group steps. Reagents and solvents abbreviations: 2,2-Dimethoxypropane (2,2-DMP), p-Toluenesulfonylic acid (p-TsOH), Dimethyformamide (DMF).

Treatment of either narcilasine peracetate (16) or narcilasine diacetate (9) with NBA (or NBS) provided the C-1 enols 17a and 12a, respectively, along with the C-2 epimers 17b and 12b, as shown in Scheme 3. It is believed that this reaction proceeds by the formation of a bromonium species and its opening by water at the C-1 position. Elimination of the benzylic bromine follows, yielding the C-1 enol (Figure 2). This is accompanied by the partial epimerization at C-2 through keto-enol tautomerization, facilitated by the generation of HBr and the resulting acidic medium. The suggested mechanism for this transformation is shown in Figure 2. The ratio of the corresponding C-2 epimers appears to depend on the reaction time and the protecting groups on the C-ring. The ratio of enols 17a/17b was 4:1 after five minutes, while the ratio of 12a/12b was 1:2 after 30 min. The reaction proceeds almost instantly and can be quenched within minutes. The faster it is quenched, the lesser the amount of C-2 epimer observed.
2.2. Synthesis of Cross-Coupling Substrates

With the enols 12ab, 17ab in hand, we set out to prepare the C-1 triflate and subjected the resulting compounds to cross-coupling. However, early trials resulted in sluggish reactions with low yields of the desired vinyl triflate. It was believed that such results were observed because of potential competition between the C-1 enol and the ring-B lactam. The mixture of C-1 enols 12a and 12b was treated with acetic anhydride in order to obtain imide 22 that would then be converted to the C-1 triflate (Scheme 4). Surprisingly, upon treating together the C-2 epimers 12a and 12b with acetic anhydride, the mixture equilibrated under these conditions and produced the enol acetate 24 with the correct C-2 stereochemistry rather than the expected diastereomeric mixture of imide 22. The enol acetate 24 was erroneously further functionalized under triflation conditions, as shown on Scheme 4.
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Figure 2. Suggested mechanism for the formation of C-1 enol. We noted that C-N cross-couplings would explain how the stereochemistry at C-2 has been “fixed” and “locked”, since the presence of an enol acetate would prevent any further keto-enol tautomerization and the C-6. The latter scenario is the most likely to be the correct one as the coupling constants occur in two situations: if the vinyl group was directly bound to nitrogen, or if it was at C-6. Upon analysis with 1H-15N NMR HSQC and HMBC experiments, it was determined that the imide had been misassigned, and it is in fact acetate 24. The structure of enol acetate 24 is consistent with the experimental data and would explain how the stereochemistry at C-2 has been “fixed” and “locked”, since the presence of an enol acetate would prevent any further keto-enol tautomerization and the epimerization at C-2, which is only possible while the C-1 functionality is a free enol. We therefore had to re-evaluate the structures of the expected cross-coupling substrate 23.

Additional 1H-15N NMR HSQC and HMBC experiments were performed on 23 and showed the absence of -NH and a large change in δN chemical shift from 150 ppm (amide) to 315 ppm (pyridine-like nitrogen atom). Upon inspection of IR, the characteristic band for lactams was absent (~1670 cm⁻¹). The cross-coupling conditions (Sonogashira, Suzuki) were still working, although in low to moderate yield. We noted that C-N cross-couplings of that nature have not been reported in the literature and that such a scenario would not fit in Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling conditions [35,36]. Based on these additional data, we have proposed that the cross-couplings occurred at the C-6 position of triflate 25 (Scheme 4).

The synthesis of the vinyl cross-coupling product (26) provided more data useful to elucidate the cross-coupling process at the C-6 position. Upon analysis with 1H-15N NMR HMBC, two correlation signals were observed: N-H (4a) and N-H (vinyl) (Figure 3).

A correlation signal between the vinylic proton and nitrogen could only logically occur in two situations: if the vinyl group was directly bound to nitrogen, or if it was at C-6. The latter scenario is the most likely to be the correct one as the coupling constants agree with the structure. After such findings, further investigations of the structure of the fully deprotected products obtained from the cross-couplings performed on the C-6 triflate was thus required. It is important to note the lack of a carbonyl peak from 13C-NMR in the

Scheme 4. The unexpected synthesis of C-6 triflate from C-1 enol narcislasine diacetate 12a/b.

Three initial cross-coupling partners were selected (phenylboronic acid, phenyl acetylene and potassium vinyl trifluoroborate), and cross-coupling reactions were further performed on what was believed to be “triflate” 23, later determined to be 25. “Triflate” 23 reacted with moderate yields with all three reagents.

During further investigation of the reactivity of the C-1 triflate 23 and in the cross-coupling reactions, we noticed that the experimental data of the expected imide 22 and the product of a Prévost reaction of diacetate 9 were identical. This fact cast serious doubt on the identity of the C-1 cross-coupled products. From 1H-15N NMR HSQC and HMBC experiments, it was determined that the imide 22 had been misassigned, and it is in fact acetate 24. The structure of enol acetate 24 is consistent with the experimental data and would explain how the stereochemistry at C-2 has been “fixed” and “locked”, since the presence of an enol acetate would prevent any further keto-enol tautomerization and the epimerization at C-2, which is only possible while the C-1 functionality is a free enol. We therefore had to re-evaluate the structures of the expected cross-coupling substrate 23.

Additional 1H-15N NMR HSQC and HMBC experiments were performed on 23 and showed the absence of -NH and a large change in δN chemical shift from 150 ppm (amide) to 315 ppm (pyridine-like nitrogen atom). Upon inspection of IR, the characteristic band for lactams was absent (~1670 cm⁻¹). The cross-coupling conditions (Sonogashira, Suzuki) were still working, although in low to moderate yield. We noted that C-N cross-couplings of that nature have not been reported in the literature and that such a scenario would not fit in Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling conditions [35,36]. Based on these additional data, we have proposed that the cross-couplings occurred at the C-6 position of triflate 25 (Scheme 4).

The synthesis of the vinyl cross-coupling product (26) provided more data useful to elucidate the cross-coupling process at the C-6 position. Upon analysis with 1H-15N NMR HMBC, two correlation signals were observed: N-H (4a) and N-H (vinyl) (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Structure of C-6 vinyl 26 and detected 1H-15N NMR correlations highlighted by red arrows.
region around 169 ppm on the fully deprotected products. This region is where the lactam carbonyl peak of narciclasine is expected.

Deprotection of the C-1 enol 17a, as well as the C-6 derivatives, was relatively straightforward. In the case of 17a, treatment with NaOMe in methanol did not promote the formation of product 31, so it was decided to use concentrated HCl in THF. After quenching the reaction with solid NaHCO₃, it yielded the desired deprotected product 31. In the case of the C-6 derivatives, treatment with potassium carbonate in methanol followed immediately by treatment with 3 M HCl gave the desired deprotected products, as shown in Scheme 5.

![Scheme 5](image)

Scheme 5. General strategy for the global deprotection of the C-6 derivatives 26–28 and C-1 enol 17a.

However, in the case of compound 26, the standard conditions shown in Scheme 5 promoted cyclization of the vinyl group (Scheme 6). It is believed that the deprotection of 26 produces 32, an α,β-unsaturated imine that could undergo intramolecular Michael addition with the phenol to yield dihydropyran 33.

![Scheme 6](image)

Scheme 6. Unexpected cyclization of 26 under deprotection conditions.

The four deprotected compounds 29, 30, 31 and 33 (Figure 4), together with narciclasine (1) and pancratistatin (2) controls, were subjected to biological evaluation. The results are shown in Table 1.

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. Structures of biologically evaluated C-1/C-6 narciclasine analogues.
Table 1. Results of biological evaluation.

| Compound | IC$_{50}$, µM $^{a}$ | BE(2)-C $^{b}$ | H157 $^{c}$ | A549 $^{d}$ |
|----------|----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| 1        | 0.036                | 0.033          | 0.061      |
| 2        | 0.285                | 0.173          | 0.527      |
| 29       | 5.42                 | 5.37           | 21.9       |
| 30       | 112.4                | 113.0          | 26.6       |
| 31       | >500                 | >500           | >500       |
| 33       | >500                 | >500           | >500       |

$^{a}$ Concentration required to reduce the viability of cells by 50% after 4 days of treatment with the indicated compounds relative to a DMSO control, as determined by the MTT assay. $^{b}$ Human neuroblastoma cell line ATCC CRL-2268. $^{c}$ Human lung squamous cell carcinoma ATCC CRL-5802. $^{d}$ Human lung adenocarcinoma cell line ATCC CCL-185.

We used three in vitro cancer models—neuroblastoma BE(2)-C, lung squamous cell carcinoma H157 and lung adenocarcinoma cells A549 (Table 1, Supplementary Figure S1). As expected [33], the narciclasine and pancratistatin controls showed good nanomolar activity against all cancer cell lines. Out of the synthesized compounds, only phenyl derivative 29 showed moderate single digit micromolar activity against BE(2)-C and H157 cells. Phenylacetylene derivative 30 also displayed activity, albeit of significantly diminished potency, and most likely through a different mode of action due to marked structural differences. Surprisingly, enol 31 failed to register any pronounced activity against any of the cell lines. Although structurally, 31 resembles both 1 and 2, the stability of such enol functionality in a biological medium is an important consideration. Overall, the B-ring lactam appears to be an important part of the cytotoxic pharmacophore and the removal of the lactamic carbonyl has a deleterious effect on activity, although it does not abolish it altogether.

3. Materials and Methods

All solvents were distilled and kept dry before usage. Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were done in an inert atmosphere (Ar or N$_2$). All reagents were obtained from commercial sources. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyses were performed on Bruker Avance AV 300, Bruker Avance III HD 400 and Bruker Avance AV 600 digital NMR spectrometers, running Topspin 2.1 and 3.5 software. The probes are furnished with VT (variable temperature) and gradient equipment. Chemical shifts are given in δ, relative integral, multiplicity (singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), multiplet (m)) and coupling constants (J) in Hz. Melting points (m.p.) were measured in a capillary apparatus. Mass spectra (HRMS) measurements were determined on an LTQ Orbitrap XL. The molecular mass-associated ion was measured by electron ionization, electrospray ionization or fast atom bombardment. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrophotometer as neat and are reported in wave numbers (cm$^{-1}$) and intensity (broad (br), strong (s), medium (m), weak (w)). Column chromatography was performed on flash grade 60 silica gel. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F254-coated aluminum sheets. TLC plates were visualized using UV and stained with iodine, cerium ammonium molybdate (CAM), KMnO$_4$ solutions, FeCl$_3$ solutions, ninhydrin solutions or 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (2,4-DNP) solutions.

(3aS,3bR,12S,12aR)-6,12-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-3b,4,12,12a-tetrahydrobis([1,3]dioxolo [4,5-c4′,5′-j]phenanthridin-5(3aH)-one (6) [37].

Narciclasine 1 (515 mg, 1.67 mmol) was solubilized in DMF (5 mL) under inert conditions, and 2,2-DMP (1 mL, 8.16 mmol) was added, followed by a catalytic amount of p-TSA. The solution was left stirring overnight at room temperature, and on the next day, a white precipitate was present. The reaction was quenched with pyridine (1 mL, 12.4 mmol)
and water (5 mL), left it stirring for one extra hour and the mixture was filtered through vacuum filtration. Acetone-narcilascine 6 (350 mg, 1.53 mmol, 91% yield) was collected as a white solid and used without further purification.

6: $\alpha_{D}^{22} = -30.16$ (c = 0.45, DMF), lit. [37] $\alpha_{D}^{20} = -33$ (c = 0.35, THF); m.p. 270 °C (from DMF) (decomposition), lit. [12] 270-274 °C (from DMF); $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 13.74 (s, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.47 (t, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 6.12-6.03 (m, 2H), 5.81 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (td, J = 5.7, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.13-4.02 (m, 2H), 3.97 (dd, J = 7.3, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 167.65, 152.55, 145.22, 133.35, 128.89, 128.25, 125.93, 109.83, 104.27, 102.05, 94.22, 79.01, 78.46, 71.03, 54.63, 27.07, 24.80; LRMS (EI) calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{17}$NO$_{7}$ 347.1005; found 347.1002. The spectral data were matched and are in agreement with the literature data [37].

(3aS,3bR,12S,12aR)-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxo-3a,3b,4,5,12a-hexahydrobis([1,3]dioxolo)[4,5-c,4',5'-j]phenanthridine-6,12-diyli diacetate (9) [37].

Acetone-narcilascine 6 (460 mg, 1.32 mmol) was flushed with the Schlenk technique and suspended in DCM (10 mL). The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C in an ice-bath and triethylamine (1.3 mL, 9.32 mmol) was added, followed by addition of acetic anhydride (0.4 mL, 4.24 mmol) and a catalytic amount of recrystallized DMAP. The reaction was left stirring overnight and the formation of the products was confirmed by TLC. The solution was quenched with a saturated solution of NH$_4$Cl (10 mL) and extracted with DCM (3 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined and dried over MgSO$_4$ and adsorbed on 10% deactivated silica gel. Products were purified through flash column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 150:1 to 100:1). Monoacetate 14 (100 mg, 0.25 mmol, 19% yield) was obtained as a white solid, diacetate 9 (246 mg, 0.57 mmol, 43% yield) was collected as a pale-yellow foam solid and the triacetate 15 (10 mg, 0.02 mmol, 1% yield) was obtained as an oil.
5.58–5.50 (m, 1H), 5.47 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (dd, J = 7.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 4H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H); 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 170.87, 170.52, 169.13, 163.66, 153.77, 141.45, 133.57, 131.42, 130.28, 125.41, 115.74, 112.74, 103.45, 100.22, 79.90, 76.58, 74.72, 53.75, 23.45, 23.25, 23.16, 20.96; LRMS (EI) m/z 473.25 (M+, 5%), 441.38 (23), 373.13 (29), 169.21 (47) 155.20 (61), 153.18 (100), 141.19 (58), 127.16 (68), 99.14 (69), 85.14 (68), 71.15 (55); HRMS (EI+) calculated for C21H23O10N: 473.1316. Found 473.1318.

(3aS,3bS,10bS,11aR,12R,12aS)-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxo-3a,3b,4,11a,12,12a-hexahydro-5H-bis(1,3)dioxolo)[4,5-c′:4,5-c′][oxireno][2,3-n]phenanthridine-6,12-diyl diacetate (10) [27].

Diacetate 9 (250 mg, 0.58 mmol) was solubilized in DCM (15 mL) and an equal volume of phosphate buffer (pH = 8.0) was added to the solution. The biphasic system was cooled to 0 °C, recrystallized m-CPBA (350 mg, 2.02 mmol) was added, and the reaction was left stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of Na2S2O3 (15 mL), transferred to a separatory funnel and further washed with NaHCO3 and extracted with DCM (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO4, concentrated and adsorbed on 10% deactivated silica gel. The product was purified through flash column chromatography (n-hex:EA 1:1). Title compound was obtained (130 mg, 0.29 mmol, 50% yield) as a white solid.

10: Rf = 0.3 (n-hex:EA 1:1); m.p. 212–214 ºC (from acetone), lit. [7] 224–225 ºC; αD20 = 109.6 (c = 1.0, CHCl3), lit. [7] αD21 = 138 (c = 1.06, DCM), 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.10 (dd, J = 4.9, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 5.90 (s, 1H), 5.36 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (dd, J = 7.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.10–3.95 (m, 2H, 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H); 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 170.76, 169.06, 161.57, 152.68, 128.63, 118.83, 110.05, 103.43, 102.26, 75.93, 75.66, 74.27, 58.37, 55.25, 53.65, 27.05, 24.41, 21.24, 21.00; LRMS (ESI+) m/z 448.2 [M+H]+, 470.2 [M + Na]+, 486.1 [M + K]+; LRMS (EI) m/z 447.11 (M+, 5%), 405.08 (base peak), 345.05 (13), 327.05 (14), 287.03 (21), 258.04 (12), 234.05 (35); HRMS (EI) calculated for C21H23O10N: 447.1160; found 447.1164. The spectral data were matched and are in agreement with the literature data [27].

(3aS,3bR,12S,12aR)-12-Cyano-11-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxo-3a,3b,4,5,12,12a-hexahydrobisis(1,3)dioxolo)[4,5-c′:4,5-c′][oxireno][2,3-n]phenanthridin-6-yl acetate (11).

The epoxide 10 (25 mg, 0.05 mmol) was suspended in a 9:1 mixture of MeCN:DCM (2 mL) under argon and KCN (10 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, followed by LiClO4 (18 mg, 0.17 mmol). The reaction was left stirring overnight and no progress was observed via TLC. The reaction was brought to reflux for 4 h, filtered on a celite plug and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was adsorbed on 10% deactivated silica gel and purified through flash column chromatography (DCM:MeOH:Acetone 100:1:1 to 20:1:1). Product 11 was obtained as a colorless film (5 mg, 0.01 mmol, 22% yield).

11: Rf = 0.4 (DCM/MeOH/Acetone (20:1:1)); αD20 = −6.53 (c = 0.15, DCM); IR (neat) νmax/cm⁻¹: 3198, 3090, 2925, 2241, 1775, 1658, 1483, 1207, 1028, 873.3; 1H-NMR (600 MHz, Acetone) δ 10.36 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 6.26 (dd, J = 5.0, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 5.35 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.09–4.03 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H); 13C-NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) δ 169.07, 160.03, 154.42, 140.96, 134.53, 134.27, 134.08, 118.48, 115.91, 111.30, 105.01, 104.51, 100.08, 77.81, 72.89, 69.33, 33.69, 28.28, 26.00, 20.92; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 446.06 (M+, 10), 372.03 (80), 314.02 (20), 194.11 (20), 149.09 (39), 121.08 (57), 85.36 (54), 71.45 (84), 57.64 (100); HRMS (EI) calculated for C20H19N2O5: 444.1058; found 444.1058.

(3aS,3bR,12R,12aS)-11-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxo-3a,3b,4,5,12,12a-hexahydrobis([1,3]dioxolo)[4,5-c′:4,5-c′][oxireno][2,3-n]phenanthridine-6,12-diyl diacetate (12a).

Diacetate-acetonide narcicasine 9 (287 mg, 0.66 mmol) was solubilized in a 2.3:1 mixture of THF:H2O (7 mL/3 mL) and cooled to 0 °C in an ice-salt bath, and the flask was covered with aluminum foil to protect it from light. Recrystallized NBS (142 mg, 0.80 mmol) was added in the solution and the colorless solution turned yellow. The reaction was left
stirring in the dark and at 0 °C for 30 min and quenched with 1 mL of a saturated solution of Na₂S₂O₅. The yellow color should fade, and the reaction mixture is concentrated on a rotary evaporator to remove THF, while the remaining solid product with the aqueous solution is diluted with DCM (10 mL) and extracted with DCM (5 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers are dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to yield 200 mg (0.44 mmol, 66% yield) of a mixture of C-1 enol (C-2 epimer) 12a and C-1 enol 12b as in a 1:2 ratio.

After purification through flash column chromatography (DCM:MeOH:Acetone 200:1:1 to 50:1:1), 12a was obtained as a white foam and 12b as a white film. Note: these compounds epimerize in solution.

12a: Rf = 0.2 (DCM:MeOH:Acetone 20:1:1); δ²¹⁷O = 20.65 (c = 0.15, MeOH); IR (neat) νmax/cm⁻¹: 3310 (br, -OH), 2985 (w, -CH₃), 2926 (w, -OCH₃), 1742 (s, -COO-), 1660 (s, -CO-N- lactam); 1H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 10.22 (s, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 6.21 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 5.20 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dd, J = 6.9, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (dd, J = 9.7, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (dd, J = 9.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) δ 170.84, 169.09, 154.02, 140.32, 139.81, 133.86, 115.96, 111.34, 104.21, 100.44, 74.71, 73.85, 73.02, 65.05, 64.94, 28.10, 26.16, 21.09, 20.94; LRMS (EI) m/z 447.00 (M⁺, 5%), 405.99 (26), 344.96 (100), 326.92 (65), 286.92 (65), 258.91 (35).

HRMS (EI) calculated for C₂₁H₂₈O₁₈N 447.1160; found 447.1162.

(3aS,3bR,12S,12aS)-11-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxo-3a,3b,4,5,12a-hexahydrobis[1,3]dioxolono[4,5-c:4',5'-j]phenanthridine-6,12-diyl diacetate (12b).

12b: Rf = 0.3 (DCM:MeOH:Acetone 20:1:1); δ²¹⁷O = 11.45 (c = 0.35, MeOH); m.p. decomposes to a yellow oil at 179–181 °C (from DCM). IR (neat) νmax/cm⁻¹: 3275 (br, -OH), 2985 (w, -CH₃), 2937 (w, -OCH₃), 1734 (s, -COO-), 1663 (s, -CO-N- lactam); ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 11.44 (s, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 6.24 (s, 1H), 6.21 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 5.48 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (dd, J = 9.3, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (ddd, J = 9.6, 6.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, DMSO) δ 170.58, 168.51, 159.32, 152.77, 134.49, 133.94, 132.03, 114.18, 109.63, 107.13, 103.40, 98.80, 75.21, 71.56, 69.22, 68.22, 39.52, 27.93, 25.87, 20.86, 20.73; LRMS (EI) m/z 447.15 (M⁺, 15%), 405.15 (72), 345.11 (100), 287.09 (95), 174.14 (54), 149.09 (31), 60.55 (18); HRMS (EI) calculated for C₂₁H₂₁O₁₈N 447.1160; found 447.1163.

(2S,3R,4S,4aR)-6-oxo-2,3,4,4a,5,6-hexahydro-[1,3]dioxolono[4,5-4',5'-j]phenanthridine-2,3,4,7-tetrayl tetraacetate (16) [38,39].

Narciclasine 1 (100 mg, 0.33 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (3 mL) in an RBF under argon atmosphere. NET₃ (0.5 mL), acetic anhydride (1 mL, 1 mmol) and a few crystals of DMAP were then added immediately to the solution, which was left stirring for 4 h. The reaction mixture was rotary evaporated, and the resulting mixture was then purified using a silica gel column (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). This resulted in a white powder as the product 16 (102 mg, 0.21 mmol, 64%).

16: Rf = 0.5 (20:1 DCM:MeOH); m.p.: 235 °C, lit. [38] 229–231 °C. δ²¹⁷O = 218.5 (c = 1.67, CHCl₃); lit. [39] δ²¹⁷O = 229 (c = 0.33, CHCl₃); IR (neat) νmax/cm⁻¹: 3380, 2924, 2921, 2231. 1742, 1731, 1695, 1666, 1505, 1481; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.38 (s, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 6.03 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 5.16 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.52, 169.09, 154.02, 152.77, 134.49, 133.94, 132.03, 114.18, 109.63, 107.13, 103.40, 98.80, 75.21, 71.56, 69.22, 68.22, 39.52, 27.93, 25.87, 20.86, 20.73; LRMS (EI) m/z 447.15 (M⁺, 15%), 405.15 (72), 345.11 (100), 287.09 (95), 174.14 (54), 149.09 (31), 60.55 (18); HRMS (EI) calculated for C₂₁H₂₁O₁₈N 447.1160; found 447.1163.

(2R,3S,4S,4aR)-1-hydroxy-6-oxo-2,3,4,4a,5,6-hexahydro-[1,3]dioxolono[4,5-4',5'-j]phenanthridine-2,3,4,7-tetrayl tetraacetate (17a).

Narciclasine tetraacetate 16 (50 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 3:1 THF:H₂O (3 mL THF, 1 mL water) in an RBF under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to 0 °C using an ice bath. When at 0 °C, NBA (35 mg, 0.25 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture then turned yellow and was left for 5 min.
The reaction mixture was worked up by the addition of H2O, followed by liquid–liquid extraction. The aqueous layer was extracted with 3 × 10 mL DCM. The combined organics were then extracted with 10 mL of brine and dried over Na2SO4. The crude mixture was purified using flash column chromatography (1:1 Hexanes:EA). The resulting product was a beige powder with a 4:1 ratio (17a:17b) (35 mg, 0.07 mmol, 70%).

17a: Rf = 0.4 (20:1 DCM:MeOH); δH 1H-NMR (neat) 114.32, 110.45, 105.73, 103.56, 98.18, 71.94, 71.45, 71.04, 64.77, 27.62, 25.86, 20.70, 20.52, 20.45; δC 141.54, 135.70, 135.03, 134.37, 116.63, 110.22, 104.93, 100.56, 69.38, 68.79, 68.32, 66.67, 31.12, 13.198 (s, 3H).

washed with 5% aq. NaCl was stirred for 20 min, and then it was carefully diluted with EtOAc/satd. NaHCO3. When the gas evolution ceased, the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 5% aq. Na2SO4 (2 × 10 mL), then brine (10 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO4, filtered and concentrated to afford a crude solid residue of enol acetate that was recrystallized 18-crown-6 ether (catalytic amount) and KH (30% in oil, one drop) were added to a stirred solution of NAR acetate (30 mg, 0.06 mmol) and a catalytic amount of recrystallized DMAP. The reaction mixture was left stirring in the ice bath for one hour, and the TLC showed full consumption of starting material. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography with 10% deactivated silica gel (DCM:MeOH 120:1). Finally, 6 mg of title compound (0.03 mmol, 16% yield) was obtained as a white solid (68%).

24: m.p. 171–173 °C (from hexane/ethyl acetate); δH 1H-NMR (neat) 68.10 (c = 0.50, MeOH); IR (neat) νmax/cm−1: 3196 (w, O–H), 2988 (w, –CH3), 1771 and 1739 (s, –CO–O–), 1657 (s, –CO–N–). The reaction mixture was purified using flash column chromatography (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). The resulting product was a beige powder with a 4:1 ratio (17a:17b) (35 mg, 0.07 mmol, 70%).

Method A: Enol acetate 24 (30 mg, 0.06 mmol) was charged into a flame-dried Schlenk flask, solubilized in dry 1,2-DME (1 mL) and cooled to –5 °C in an ice–salt bath. Recrystallized 18-crown-6 ether (catalytic amount) and KH (30% in oil, one drop) were added at –5 °C under argon, and the reaction mixture turned yellow. A solution of TfCl (3.63 M in DCM, 50 μL, 0.18 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, and the color changed to a bright yellow. The mixture was left stirring for 2 h in the ice–salt bath. The reaction progress was observed through TLC (DCM:MeOH 30:1), and new spots were observed but starting material was still present. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM and filtered through a plug of celite; the organic phase was collected and concentrated in the rotavap. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography with 10% deactivated silica gel (DCM:MeOH 200:1). Finally, 6 mg of title compound (0.03 mmol, 16% yield) was obtained as a film, and 18 mg of starting material was recovered.

Method B: NBS (124 mg, 0.70 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of NAR acetate diacetate 9 (150 mg, 0.35 mmol) in THF/HOAc (2 mL/2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min, and then it was carefully diluted with EtOAc/satd. NaHCO3 (15 mL/15 mL). When the gas evolution ceased, the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 5% aq. Na2SO4 (2 × 10 mL), then brine (10 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO4, filtered and concentrated to afford a crude solid residue of enol acetate that was...
used as is in the next step. To the crude product from the previous step dissolved in DCM (3 mL) at 0 °C was added pyridine (226 mL, 2.80 mmol) and Ts$_2$O (235 mL, 1.40 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 3 h, after which it was quenched by the addition of 10% aq. citric acid solution (5 mL). The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (20 mL) and water (5 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was further washed with 10% aq. citric acid solution (2 × 5 mL) and brine (5 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc as an eluent (2:1 to 1:1) to afford the enol triflate product 25 as a yellow film (135 mg, 60.3% yield).

25: $R_f = 0.8$ (DCM:MeOH 30:1); $\delta_{1}^{1}J = 15.85$ (c = 0.20, MeOH); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ cm$^{-1}$: 2926 (w, -CH$_3$), 1750 (s, -CO-O-), 1170 (m, C-F); $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 7.20 (s, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 5.39 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 9.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 170.32, 169.69, 167.99, 154.43, 142.40, 137.06, 124.60, 123.71, 111.35, 110.32, 104.94, 98.24, 74.77, 72.37, 70.73, 64.67, 27.50, 25.93, 20.54, 20.33, 20.06; $^{19}$F NMR (565 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ -71.20; LRMS (EI) $m/z$ 621 (M$^+$, 5%), 579 (100), 419 (42), 286 (47), 251 (40), 165 (48), 151 (56); HRMS (EI) calculated for C$_24$H$_{22}$NO$_{13}$F$_3$: 621.0758. Found 621.0761.

(3aS,3bR,12R,12aS)-2,2-dimethyl-5-vinyl-3a,3b,12,12a-tetrahydrobis[[1,3]dioxolo][4,5-c:4',5'-j]phenanthridine-6,11,12-triyli triacetate (26).

To a stirred solution of triflate 25 (66 mg, 0.10 mmol) in dioxane (1 mL) potassium vinyl trifluoroborate (20 mg, 0.15 mmol), Pd(PPh$_3$)$_3$ (8 mg, 0.06 mmol), and triethylamine (21 µL, 0.15 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was heated to 85 °C for 45 min, and it turned dark red in color. It was then cooled to RT and filtered through a celite plug. The celite cake was washed with DCM, and the organic filtrate was concentrated and adsorbed on 10% deactivated silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 200:1 to 150:1). The product was obtained as a light-yellow film (37 mg, 0.07 mmol, 69% yield).

26: $R_f = 0.3$ (DCM:MeOH 30:1); $\delta_{1}^{1}J = 79.61$ (c = 0.65, DCM); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ cm$^{-1}$: 2990, 2992, 1783, 1746, 1463, 1240, 1217, 1172, 1069; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, Acetone) $\delta$ 7.65 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (dd, J = 13.4, 0.5 Hz, 2H), 6.20 (dd, J = 16.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.67–5.58 (m, 1H), 5.47 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, Acetone) $\delta$ 171.23, 170.73, 168.21, 155.14, 153.50, 145.82, 142.11, 136.94, 135.03, 128.93, 121.61, 121.36, 119.18, 111.10, 104.79, 98.28, 77.43, 73.99, 72.52, 66.47, 28.29, 26.36, 20.78, 20.71, 20.63; LRMS (EI) $m/z$ 499 (M$^+$, 40%), 457 (55), 298 (30), 297 (75), 193 (50), 149 (100), 122 (55), 121 (35), 82 (35), 71 (35); HRMS (EI) calculated for C$_{25}$H$_{25}$F$_2$ON: 499.1473, found 499.1474.

(3aS,3bR,12R,12aS)-2,2-dimethyl-5-phenyl-3a,3b,12,12a-tetrahydrobis[[1,3]dioxolo][4,5-c:4',5'-j]phenanthridine-6,11,12-triyli triacetate (27).

To a stirred solution of triflate 25 (60 mg, 0.096 mmol) in dioxane (1.0 mL), phenylboronic acid (17 mg, 0.139 mmol), Pd(PPh$_3$)$_3$ (8 mg, 0.066 mmol), and Net$_3$ (20 µL, 0.143 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was heated to 85 °C for 2 h. It was then cooled to RT and filtered through a plug of celite and washed with DCM. The organic filtrate was concentrated to afford a residue that was adsorbed on 10% deactivated silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography using DCM:MeOH as eluent (220:1 to 200:1) to afford the phenyl coupling as a white solid (32 mg, 60% yield).

27: $R_f = 0.3$ (DCM:MeOH 100:1); $\delta_{1}^{1}J = 32.87$ (c = 0.5, DCM); m.p. 270–272 °C (MeOH/pentane); IR (film) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ cm$^{-1}$: 2927, 2778, 1743, 1462, 1216, 1173, 1045; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, Acetone) $\delta$ 7.54–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.45 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (s, 2H), 6.28 (s, 1H), 5.47 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.09 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, Acetone) $\delta$ 171.26, 170.75, 167.99, 159.03, 153.88, 145.33, 143.46, 141.93, 135.17, 128.75, 128.55, 121.28, 119.14, 111.05, 104.71, 98.29, 77.33, 73.96, 72.54, 66.49, 54.96, 28.25, 26.25, 20.78, 20.65, 19.28; LRMS (EI) $m/z$ 549.18 (M$^+$, 41%), 507.14
To a stirred solution of triflate 25 (40 mg, 0.056 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) Pd(PPh₃)₄Cl₂ (1.4 mg, 0.002 mmol), phenyl acetylene (9.5 µL, 0.086 mmol) and NEt₃ (27 µL, 0.195 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was heated to 75 °C for 1 h. It was then cooled to RT and diluted with water (1 mL) and ether (10 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous was further extracted with ether (2 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated to afford the residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc as eluent (2:1 to 1:1) to afford the acetylene product as yellow solid (26 mg, 73% yield).

28: Rf = 0.6 (1:1; hexanes/EtOAc); αD²⁰ = 29.5 (c = 1.3, DCM); m.p. 142–144 °C (toluene/pentane); IR (film) νmax/cm⁻¹: 3583, 2921, 2209, 1775, 1743, 1460, 1371, 1238, 1216, 1173, 1063; ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.72–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.52 (dd, J = 5.1, 1.9 Hz, 3H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (s, 1H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 5.49 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) δ 170.37, 169.87, 168.29, 152.95, 145.28, 141.21, 138.59, 133.30, 131.63, 129.85, 129.06, 126.36, 121.24, 121.01, 120.05, 110.00, 104.30, 97.25, 92.58, 88.83, 75.38, 72.36, 71.06, 65.05, 27.63, 25.93, 20.57, 20.49, 20.37; LRMS (EI) m/z 573.06 (M⁺, 22%), 531.01 (20), 370.88 (22), 296.14 (35), 261.89 (35), 182.97 (43), 168.98 (44), 154.93 (84), 152.96 (86), 140.97 (55), 126.95 (71), 124.93 (77), 110.91 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₆3H₄₇O₂N 573.1629, found 573.1619.

(2R,3S,4S,4aR)-6-phenyl-2,3,4,4a-tetrahydro-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-j]phenanthridine-1,2,3,4,7-pentaol (29).

To a stirred solution of Sonogashira product 27 (40 mg, 0.072 mmol) in MeOH (4 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (40 mg, 0.29 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 2 h, then the reaction was filtered through a cotton plug. To the filtrate was added 3M HCl (1.5 mL) and the crude product was adsorbed on 10% deactivated silica gel and chromatographed on 10% deactivated silica gel (MeOH/EtOAc = 0.4, MeOH in hexanes/EtOAc = 1:1); m.p. 142–144 °C (decomp., MeOH); IR (film) νmax/cm⁻¹: 3288, 2924, 2855, 1624, 1579, 1454, 1375, 1091, 1040; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 9.82 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 5H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 6.14 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (dd, J = 12.8, 5.1 Hz, 3H), 4.78–4.68 (m, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 4.51–4.46 (m, 1H), 3.98 (s, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) δ 173.33, 151.22, 145.61, 141.14, 135.47, 135.37, 133.29, 128.79, 126.68, 123.35, 116.07, 101.59, 93.25, 71.76, 68.28, 66.18; LRMS (EI) m/z 277.05 (33%), 262.06 (60), 119.08 (85), 118.08 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₀H₁₀N 283.0989, found 283.0998.

(2R,3S,4S,4aR)-6-(phenylethynyl)-2,3,4,4a-tetrahydro-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-j]phenanthridine-1,2,3,4,7-pentaol (30).

To a stirred solution of Sonogashira product 28 (10 mg, 0.019 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (10 mg, 0.072 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 30 min, then the reaction was filtered. To the filtrate was added 3M HCl (0.4 mL, 1.2 mmol). After stirring at RT for 3 h, it was directly evaporated on silica gel and chromatographed on silica gel using DCM/MeOH (3:1) as eluent to afford the desired product as a yellow solid (3 mg, 39.2% yield over two steps.)
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152.33, 149.39, 148.82, 134.10, 132.09, 130.51, 130.00, 129.00, 124.93, 122.07, 115.47, 104.21, 102.79, 95.21, 71.78, 68.32, 67.98, 65.85; LRMS (ESI) m/z 152.24, 152.11, 147.66, 134.93, 132.88, 131.31, 123.50, 114.13, 102.19, 94.19, 71.82, 68.24, 68.07, 67.19, 65.35; LRMS (EI) m/z 134.81, 130.90, 112.59, 108.07, 102.06, 94.02, 67.72, 67.37, 65.79, 65.35; LRMS (EI) m/z 104.21, 102.79, 95.21, 71.78, 68.32, 67.98, 65.85; LRMS (EI)

White solid (15 mg, 0.045 mmol, 60% yield over 2 steps).

A549 (all obtained from American Type Culture Collection). BE(2)-C cells were main-

viability, 3000 cells per well were plated into a 96-well plate, and cells were treated with a

phy on 10% deactivated silica gel using CHCl$_3$. The reaction mixture was filtered through a cotton plug. To the filtrate was added 3M HCl (1.5 mL, 4.8 mmol). After stirring at RT for 3 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated, and the crude mixture was then purified via flash column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 10:1), resulting in a white amorphous solid as the product (5 mg, 0.015 mmol, 14%).

(2R,3S,4S,4aR)-1,2,3,4,7-pentahydroxy-3,4,4a,5-tetrahydro-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-j]phenanthridin-

To a solution of 17a (52 mg, 0.11 mmol) in THF (4 mL), concentrated HCl (1 mL) was added dropwise. The solution was left to stir for 16 h. Solid NaHCO$_3$ was added until the gas stopped evolving, and the pH was neutral. The solution was then filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was then purified via flash column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 10:1), resulting in a white amorphous solid as the product (5 mg, 0.015 mmol, 14%).

31: R$_f$ = 0.2 (CHCl$_3$:MeOH 4:1); $\alpha^2_{D} = 13.89$ (c = 0.10, MeOH), IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3301 (br, free -OH), 2927 and 2858 (s, m, -CH-), 1672 (s, -CO-N- lactam); $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 13.55 (s, 1H), 11.59 (s, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 2H), 5.11 (d, $J = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.46 (dd, $J = 5.0$, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.83-3.79 (m, 2H); $^1$C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 165.82, 153.39, 143.41, 135.35, 134.81, 130.90, 112.59, 108.07, 102.06, 94.02, 67.72, 67.37, 67.19, 65.35; LRMS (ESI$^+$) m/z 322 [M + H]$^+$, 346 [M + Na]$^+$. (7aR,8aS,10aR)-5,6,7a,8,9,10-hexahydro-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-j]pyrano[4,3,2-gh]phenan-
thridine-8,9,10,11-tetraol (33).

To a stirred solution of 26 (37 mg, 0.075 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) was added K$_2$CO$_3$ (37 mg, 0.26 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT overnight (18 h), then the reaction was filtered through a cotton plug. To the filtrate was added 3M HCl (1.5 mL, 4.8 mmol). After stirring at RT for 3 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated, and the crude product was adsorbed on 10% deactivated silica gel and purified by column chromatography on 10% deactivated silica gel using CHCl$_3$:MeOH (10:1 to 6:1) as eluent to afford the product as an orange solid. The product was further recrystallized in methanol to obtain a white solid (15 mg, 0.045 mmol, 60% yield over 2 steps).

33: R$_f$ = 0.3 (CHCl$_3$:MeOH 4:1); $\alpha^2_{D} = -1.63$ (c = 0.13, DMSO); m.p. 230$^\circ$C (decomp, rec. from MeOH); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3350, 2925, 1697, 1659, 1459, 1243, 1097, 1031; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 7.15 (s, 1H), 6.18 (s, 2H), 5.08 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d, $J = 5.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.73-4.68 (m, 1H), 4.66 (s, 1H), 4.52 (dd, $J = 9.1$, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 4.49 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 2H), 3.24 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 5.5 Hz, 2H); $^1$C-NMR (150 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 152.24, 152.11, 147.66, 134.93, 132.88, 131.31, 123.50, 114.13, 102.19, 94.19, 71.82, 68.24, 68.07, 76.01, 65.92, 31.76. LRMS (EI) m/z 333.07 (M$^+$, 10%), 281.11 (20), 355.06 (20), 227.04 (20), 262.04 (90), 182.98 (40), 68.93 (100), 50.85 (40); HRMS (EI) calcd for C$_{22}$H$_{17}$O$_7$N$_2$, 407.1000 found 407.1000.

Cell Viability Assay: Cell viability was measured by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-
yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay in three cell lines: neuroblastoma cell line BE(2)-C, lung squamous cell carcinoma cell line H157 and lung adenocarcinoma cell line A549 (all obtained from American Type Culture Collection). BE(2)-C cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium/Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F12) media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). H157 and A549 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 10% FBS. For measuring the IC$_{50}$ values of cell viability, 3000 cells per well were plated into a 96-well plate, and cells were treated with a series of dilutions (from 500 µM to 0.32 nM) of individual compounds in triplicate for four days. After four days, cells were replaced with MTT reagent (at 0.25 mg/mL in culture media) in each well and incubated with cells for 2 h at 37 $^\circ$C. Culture media was removed after microplates were spun at 2000 rpm for 5 min. DMSO was then used to dissolve the crystals formed in each of the 96 wells. Optical density values at wavelength 570 nm and 630 nm were measured using SpectraMax 190 (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). The difference in the two optical density values was used to analyze the relative cell survival in each well. IC$_{50}$ values were calculated using Graphpad Prism software.
4. Conclusions

Throughout our attempts to synthesize C-1 derivatives of narciclasine, whose biological activity could be compared with those of the corresponding C-1 unnatural derivatives of pancratistatin, four novel C-1 and C-6 analogues were obtained. It was observed that installation of the C-1 enol moiety enhanced the reactivity of the B-ring lactam, so that triflation reactions yielded an imino triflate/triflyl imidate and subsequent cross-couplings occurred at the C-6 position. The four new analogues were subjected to biological testing and the SAR database was expanded with results that indicate that the removal of the lactam carbonyl has a deleterious effect on activity, although it does not abolish it altogether. Our efforts will continue in order to design a new route taking such activity into consideration and to hopefully synthesize C-1 analogues of narciclasine that could be directly compared to similar pancratistatin derivatives developed and evaluated previously [8,9]. We will report any new results in due course.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27134141/s1. Figure S1: Biological Activity; S2: $^1$H-NMR of 6; S3: $^{13}$C-NMR of 6; S4: $^1$H-NMR of 9; S5: $^{13}$C-NMR of 9; S6: $^1$H-NMR of 10; S7: $^{13}$C-NMR of 10; S8: $^1$H-NMR of 11; S9: $^{13}$C-NMR of 11; S10: $^1$H-NMR of 12a; S11: $^{13}$C-NMR of 12a; S12: $^1$H-NMR of 12b; S13: $^{13}$C-NMR of 12b; S14: $^1$H-NMR of 14; S15: $^{13}$C-NMR of 14; S16: $^1$H-NMR of 15; S17: $^{13}$C-NMR of 15; S18: $^1$H-NMR of 16; S19: $^{13}$C-NMR of 16; S20: $^1$H-NMR of 17a; S21: $^{13}$C-NMR of 17a; S22: $^1$H-NMR of 24; S23: $^{13}$C-NMR of 24; S24: $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC of 24; S25: $^1$H-$^{15}$N HMBC of 24; S26: $^1$H-NMR of 25; S27: $^{13}$C-NMR of 25; S28: $^1$F-NMR of 25; S29: $^{1}$H-NMR of 26; S30: $^{13}$C-NMR of 26; S31: $^1$H-$^{15}$N HMBC of 26; S32: $^1$H-NMR of 27; S33: $^{13}$C-NMR of 27; S34: $^1$H-NMR of 28; S35: $^{13}$C-NMR of 28; S36: $^1$H-NMR of 29; S37: $^{13}$C-NMR of 29; S38: $^1$H-NMR of 30; S39: $^{13}$C-NMR of 30; S40: $^1$H-NMR of 31; S41: $^{13}$C-NMR of 31; S42: $^1$H-NMR of 33; S43: $^{13}$C-NMR of 33.
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