Highly efficient CD4+ T cell targeting and genetic recombination using engineered CD4+ cell-homing mRNA-LNPs
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Nucleoside-modified messenger RNA (mRNA)-lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) are the basis for the first two EUA (Emergency Use Authorization) COVID-19 vaccines. The use of nucleoside-modified mRNA as a pharmacological agent opens immense opportunities for therapeutic, prophylactic and diagnostic molecular interventions. In particular, mRNA-based drugs may specifically modulate immune cells, such as T lymphocytes, for immunotherapy of oncologic, infectious and other conditions. The key challenge, however, is that T cells are notoriously resistant to transfection by exogenous mRNA. Here, we report that conjugating CD4 antibody to LNPs enables specific targeting and mRNA interventions to CD4+ cells, including T cells. After systemic injection in mice, CD4-targeted radiolabeled mRNA-LNPs accumulated in spleen, providing ~30-fold higher signal of reporter mRNA in T cells isolated from spleen as compared with non-targeted mRNA-LNPs. Intravenous injection of CD4-targeted LNPs loaded with Cre recombinase-encoding mRNA provided specific dose-dependent loxP-mediated genetic recombination, resulting in reporter gene expression in about 60% and 40% of CD4+ T cells in spleen and lymph nodes, respectively. T cell phenotyping showed uniform transfection of T cell subpopulations, with no variability in uptake of CD4-targeted mRNA-LNPs in naive, central memory, and effector cells. The specific and efficient targeting and transfection of mRNA to T cells established in this study provides a platform technology for immunotherapy of devastating conditions and HIV cure.

INTRODUCTION
Modulation of immune cells through activation, inhibition or modification to alter their properties has become a popular and high-demand class of therapy, called immunotherapeutics. Today’s immunotherapeutics largely rely on biological protein-based agents, which are expensive and challenging to manufacture,1,2 or require ex vivo modification of immune cells.3,4 Some examples include antibodies or cytokines for modulating immune cell function, monoclonal antibodies for redirecting immune function, genetic editing of T cells for preventing viral infections, and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy.5,6 One of the most relevant applications of cancer immunotherapeutics are CAR T cell therapies. Currently, CAR T cells are generated ex vivo, which is costly, as it requires extended cell culture in GMP cell processing facilities. Additionally, it is not a treatment option for patients with solid tumors, very low T cell counts, or settings requiring large-scale use.5–10 There is a vital need for development of in vivo T cell-targeted messenger RNA (mRNA) delivery systems for robust and rapid generation of CAR T cells. mRNA-based CAR T cell therapeutics could also provide a safer platform by reducing the risk of CAR T cell-induced toxicities, because of their transient nature, as well as avoiding the risk of genomic integration, when so desired.11–14 Moreover, mRNA-based therapeutics could offer gene-editing tools for treating viral infections and cancer or correcting genetic defects, such as knocking out the C-C chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) gene for preventing HIV infection of T cells15,16 or knocking out the programmed cell death-1 (PDCD-1) gene for engineering superior tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs).17 One of the key obstacles in development of mRNA-based immunotherapeutics is efficient in vivo delivery. Development of an efficient, safe and immune-cell-specific mRNA delivery system could lead to the introduction and widescale use of current and the generation of a new class of robust mRNA-based immunotherapeutics. We previously developed a PECAM-targeted mRNA-lipid nanoparticle (LNP) platform that effectively and specifically delivered mRNA to endothelial cells18, and a VCAM-targeted platform for delivery to
the inflamed brain. Here, we report on the development and evaluation of CD4-targeted LNPs containing nucleoside-modified mRNA for efficient and specific in vitro and in vivo delivery. The nucleoside-modified and purified (to remove double-stranded RNA [dsRNA]) mRNA used does not activate RNA sensors and does not induce type 1 IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines. Comprehensive radioactivity- or luminescence-based biodistribution analysis of CD4-targeted mRNA-LNPs demonstrates significant targeting of CD4+ T cells in lymphoid organs. The CD4-targeted mRNA-LNP platform induces potent and specific genetic editing using a Cre/loxP reporter system in vivo. The present nucleoside-modified mRNA-LNP platform offers a promising tool for in vivo T cell manipulation.

RESULTS

Anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs target CD4+ T cells in vitro

Considering that T cells do not naturally endocytose nanoparticles, we initially examined surface antigens that endocytose after mAb or ligand binding, and selected CD4. CD4 receptor targeting has also been shown to be capable of uptake and internalization upon nanoparticle binding. The binding capacity of the targeted mRNA-LNPs was first evaluated on human CD4+ T cells obtained from healthy donors. Anti-CD4 antibody (anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs) or non-specific isotype control IgG (control IgG/mRNA-LNPs) was conjugated to LNPs. As shown in Figure 1A, radiolabeled anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs selectively bound to human CD4+ T cells, while control IgG counterparts did not. Selective targeting to CD4+ T cells was also confirmed using flow cytometry (Figures 1B). Human CD4+ T cells were incubated with either anti-CD4/Poly(C) RNA-LNPs or control IgG/Poly(C) RNA-LNPs, and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-tagged antirat IgG was used to monitor binding of antibody-conjugated LNPs to cells. Dose-responsive binding of anti-CD4/Poly(C) RNA-LNPs was observed. In order to determine internalization and functional activity (mRNA translation) of the targeted mRNA-LNPs, anti-CD4 antibody- or control IgG-conjugated LNPs carrying firefly luciferase (Luc)-encoding mRNA were incubated with human CD4+ T cells. Efficient translation of the mRNA in cells targeted by anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs was demonstrated compared to control IgG/mRNA-LNPs. Incubation of CD4+ T cells with higher doses of Luc mRNA-LNPd yielded higher Luc activity, demonstrating a dose-response correlation (Figure 1C).

In order to directly assess targeting efficiency on the single-cell level, and to test the targeting platform for gene-editing purposes, we next harvested splenocytes from mice harboring Ai6 (a Cre reporter allele with a loxP-flanked STOP cassette, which upon Cre-mediated recombination expresses robust ZsGreen1 fluorescence), and treated them with different amounts of targeted or non-targeted (unconjugated or control IgG-conjugated) Cre mRNA-LNPs. The cells were then collected and stained with antibodies against CD3 and CD8 (antibodies are listed in Table S1) and analyzed by flow cytometry. Gating strategy to identify ZsGreen1+ cells among the CD3+CD8- population is presented in Figure 2B, with the corresponding ZsGreen1+ cells shown in Figure 2A. We used CD3+CD8- staining instead of direct CD4 staining to identify CD4+ T cells because of the transient disappearance of CD4 upon administration of the anti-CD4 antibody-conjugated nanoparticles (Figure S1). A very low percentage of CD3+CD8- cells exhibited positive ZsGreen1 signal when non-targeted LNPs were used, while approximately 80% of this cell population took up and translated Cre mRNA delivered in anti-CD4 antibody-conjugated LNPs, even at the lowest amount of mRNA-LNPs administered. We performed the same experiment on splenocytes harvested from A9 mice, which express robust tdTomato fluorescence following Cre-mediated recombination and
obtained similar results (data not shown). This shows the potential of the targeted anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs to efficiently transfect CD4+ T cells in vitro.

Anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs target CD4+ T cells in vivo

We next analyzed the biodistribution of anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs in mice after retro-orbital intravenous (i.v.) administration. LNPs were directly labeled with 125I prior to conjugation with anti-mouse CD4 or control IgG; therefore, measured radioactivity only showed distribution of particles without any detached targeting antibodies affecting the outcome. To measure tissue uptake, the amount of radioactivity in various tissues (percent of injected dose per gram of tissue: %ID/g) was calculated.

As expected, a substantial number of control IgG/mRNA-LNPs were still circulating in the blood (19.35%ID/g ± 2.2%ID/g) 0.5 h post injection, representing a significant change in the biodistribution with a reduction in liver targeting of control IgG/LNPs (Figure 3A). For anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs, lower numbers of particles were circulating (10.84%ID/g ± 0.42%ID/g). The majority of the anti-CD4/mRNA-LNP uptake occurred in the spleen (131.59%ID/g ± 9.71%ID/g), representing a 3.5-fold increase in splenic uptake compared to the control IgG/mRNA-LNPs (37.6% ID/g ± 8.67%ID/g). The localization ratio (LR), defined as the ratio of %ID/g of a given organ to that in the blood, was also calculated for both CD4-targeted and control IgG/mRNA-LNPs. The spleen being part of the reticuloendothelial system contributes to non-specific splenic uptake that is observed with the untargeted mRNA-LNPs. Anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs were localized in spleen at a 6-fold higher level than their control IgG counterparts (Figure 3B; Figure S2A).

To further explore and quantitate the kinetics of in vivo tissue uptake of anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs, targeted and non-targeted 125I-labeled poly(C) RNA-LNPs were injected i.v. into mice. Groups of animals were sacrificed at 0.5, 1, and 24 h after injection, and selected tissues (blood, spleen, liver, lung, and kidneys) were harvested. The highest circulating amount of targeted mRNA-LNPs was 10.84%ID/g ± 0.42%ID/g in blood at the earliest time point tested (Figure 3C). At later time points, the concentration of targeted particles in blood quickly dropped to a %ID/g of 6.86 ± 1.34 and 0.51 ± 0.07 at 1 and 24 h, respectively. Specific splenic uptake of targeted particles peaked at 0.5 h post injection (131.59%ID/g ± 9.71% ID/g) (Figure 3C), and the localization ratio increased over time, reaching to 61.15 at the last time point tested, 24 h (Figure 3D).

mRNA in targeted LNPs is efficiently delivered to CD4+ T cells in vivo

mRNA translation after i.v. administration of Luc mRNA-LNPs was then analyzed in mice. Control IgG/Luc mRNA-LNPs and anti-CD4/Luc mRNA-LNPs were first administered at a dose of 8 µg (0.32 mg/kg) mRNA. Five hours after injection, various organs were harvested, and luciferase activity was either measured from tissue lysates or was detected by direct luminescent imaging of whole
To demonstrate that we delivered mRNA specifically to the T cell population, we isolated CD3+ T cells (as CD4 selection could not be performed) from the spleen of mice treated as above. Luc activity of the CD3+ population after anti-CD4/Luc mRNA-LNP administration was 33-fold higher than in control IgG-Luc mRNA-LNP-treated samples. We concluded that with Luc activity concentrated in T cells (Figure 4C), CD4+ T cells are being specifically and efficiently targeted after i.v. delivery of targeted nanoparticles.

To confirm the targeting efficiency of the CD4-targeted mRNA-LNP platform with LNP formulations other than ALC-0307 LNPs, we applied the same antibody conjugation strategy on the Acuitas LNP formulation containing the ionizable lipid ALC-0315 (ALC-0315 LNP), which is the LNP formulation in the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID vaccine. 26,27 The list of ingredients in this LNP formulation is provided in Table S2. Five hours after injection of anti-CD4-targeted Luc mRNA-ALC-0315 LNPs, we observed a very similar Luc expression pattern to CD4-targeted ALC-0307 LNPs (i.e., a higher luminescence signal in the spleen and a lower signal in liver) (Figure S3) when compared to control IgG counterparts. These data prove that we can achieve similar targeting efficiency with CD4 targeting of other LNP formulations, such as ALC-0315 LNP.

CD4-targeted Cre-mRNA-LNPs mediate genetic recombination in CD4+ T cells in vivo

A principal use for targeted mRNA therapy would be gene editing and insertion to express therapeutic proteins or correct genetic deficiencies. To evaluate the efficiency of delivery of mRNA using CD4-targeted LNPs at the cellular level for in vivo genetic modification, we administered Cre mRNA-LNPs to Ai6 mice (Figure 5A). In these mice, the Cre/loxP-mediated expression of a reporter gene encoding the fluorescent protein ZsGreen1 allows for easy readout of successfully transfected and LoxP-recombined target cells using flow cytometry (Figures 5B and 5C). A wide range of doses (3, 10, 30, and 90 µg) were tested. Mice were injected i.v., then spleens and lymph nodes were harvested the next day, and single-cell suspensions were prepared from each tissue. Cells were stained for fluorescent protein ZsGreen1 and subsequent Cre/loxP recombination (up to approximately 20% of ZsGreen1+ cells in the CD3+CD8− cell population) when we observed with targeted mRNA-LNPs at all tested doses and is
likely due to expression of an ApoE receptor by some T cells.\textsuperscript{28,29} While administration of 90\,mg of anti-CD4/Cre mRNA-LNPs resulted in an even higher percentage of ZsGreen\textsuperscript{1+} CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells (not shown), this amount of LNPs proved to be toxic in all groups (both unconjugated and control IgG-conjugated, and CD4-targeted LNP treatments); thus, we eliminated that dose from further experiments. This was not a surprise, since cationic lipids are well known to cause toxicity at high doses.\textsuperscript{30} Selective CD4 targeting versus control of untargeted LNPs did not increase the uptake of nanoparticles in macrophages and dendritic cells (Figure S4), likely due to their extensive natural phagocytic uptake of nanoparticles, whereas with CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells, there is significant increase in targeted mRNA-LNP uptake compared to uncontrolled mRNA-LNPs. The number of ZsGreen1-expressing cells in non-T cell splenocytes, such as dendritic cells and macrophages, did not differ among the range of doses in this study (Figure S4).

A similar experiment was performed using CD4-targeted ALC-0315 LNPs. When we i.v. injected A16 mice with these targeted LNPs carrying Cre mRNA, targeting efficiency comparable to CD4-targeted ALC-0307 LNP-Cre mRNA was observed (increase in the number of ZsGreen1-expressing cells in mice treated with anti-CD4/ALC-0315 LNP-Cre mRNA treatment compared to control IgG counterparts) (Figure S5).

**CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cell targeting with anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs is not T cell-subtype specific**

We investigated whether the uptake of the targeted LNPs was favored by certain T cell subtypes. One day after the administration of a 10\,µg dose of Cre mRNA-LNPs, spleens were harvested, and single-cell suspensions were stained with antibodies against CD3, CD8, CD44, and CD62L to identify naive, memory, and effector memory T cell subpopulations. We found no significant preference for the CD4-targeted...
mRNA-LNPs to be taken up and expressed by any specific CD4+ T cell subpopulation examined: CD4+ naive T cells (CD44+CD62L+), central memory T cells (CD44+CD62L-), and effector memory T cells (CD44++CD62L-) (Figure 6A). The majority of T cells in vivo are not activated. We analyzed the expression of the T cell activation marker (CD25) on the CD4+ T cells receiving Cre mRNA-LNPs. Notably, CD4-targeted
mRNA-LNPs induced Cre recombination in ~57% of resting (CD25−), compared to ~40% of activated (CD25+) CD4+ T cells (Figure 6B), thus demonstrating efficient targeting, transfection, and gene recombination in resting CD4+ T cells.

**Number of recombined cells decreases over time after CD4-targeted delivery in the spleen**

ZsGreen1 expression was tracked for 7 days after a single i.v. administration of 10 μg of Cre mRNA-LNPs. Spleens and lymph nodes were harvested 1, 4, or 7 days post-injection, and single-cell preparations were stained for flow cytometric analysis as above. Four days after administration of 10 μg of anti-CD4/Cre mRNA-LNPs, the number of ZsGreen1-expressing splenic CD4+ T cells dropped significantly (from ~50% at day 1 to ~32% at day 4). However, it held at a similar level of around 26% at the last time point tested (day 7), still significantly above the values observed with IgG and unconjugated counterparts (Figure 5D). Blood and spleen are sites of transient-recirculating T cells, which these data reflect.31,32 The ZsGreen1 expression for all treatments did not significantly change over 7 days in T cells extracted from lymph nodes (Figure 5E). This reflects the longer residence time of T cells in lymph nodes.31,32

**In vivo targeted mRNA-LNP-induced specific genetic recombination shows an additive effect**

We also tested the potential additive effect of targeted mRNA delivery by serial administrations of mRNA-LNPs (Figures 7A and 7B). Mice received three or five i.v. injections of 10 μg doses of Cre mRNA-LNPs, one injection every 24 h. Spleens and lymph nodes were harvested the day after the last injection. Five injections resulted in a significantly higher number of ZsGreen1+ cells when compared to three injections. Interestingly, a steady increase in ZsGreen1-expressing CD4+ T cell numbers was observed for both control IgG/ and unconjugated mRNA-LNPs. However, the expression increased to 28% in the unconjugated group, still relatively lower than the anti-CD4/
mRNA-LNPs at any number of injections tested. Overall, the sequential administrations of the targeted mRNA-LNPs resulted in increasing Cre-induced genetic recombination with increased number of injections in both the spleen and lymph nodes.

**DISCUSSION**

mRNA-based therapeutics offer numerous advantages that address challenges with the current protein- or viral-based immunotherapy approaches, such as difficult manufacturing, instability, lack of control over amount and duration of expression, high toxicity, and, in some cases, genomic integration or off-site effects. Efficient in vivo delivery has been the key obstacle in development of mRNA-based immunotherapeutics. To date, T cell modification for clinical application has required extraction of autologous T cells, expansion, and genomic editing ex vivo, which is expensive and time-consuming and precludes widespread use for more common diseases, such as HIV.

T cells are known as hard-to-transfect cells. Here, we demonstrated that targeting human T cells with anti-CD4 antibody-conjugated Luc mRNA-LNPs, but not with control IgG-conjugated LNPs, resulted in strong binding and luciferase expression in human CD4+ T cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 1A–1C). Similarly, when injected systemically into C57BL/6 mice, anti-mouse CD4/ mRNA-LNPs specifically accumulated, and the mRNA was translated in T cell-enriched tissues, such as spleen and lymph nodes (Figures 3 and 4A–4C). Furthermore, we functionally evaluated the potential of our T cell-targeted mRNA-LNP system to mediate genome editing using a Cre/loxP reporter system. We were able to successfully induce Cre-mediated genetic recombination in CD4+ T cells in vivo. Interestingly, the signal from non-targeted mRNA-LNPs in both splenic and lymphatic tissues at high dose (30 µg per mouse) was not zero, as observed in untreated mice. This observation is likely due to expression of an ApoE receptor by certain T cells, as LNPs bind ApoE and typically target the liver. We could further increase the percentage of gene-edited cells by multiple injections of anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs. This high level of in vivo T cell-targeted genetic recombination has not been reported elsewhere. An important finding for potential gene-editing therapies was that similar levels of gene recombination were observed in resting and activated CD4+ T cells. Evaluation of the presence of successfully targeted T cells over time showed a gradual decrease of ZsGreen1 signal in spleen during 1 week post-treatment with anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs. This trend was expected, considering the lifespan of circulatory T cells, which are a predominant population of T cells in spleen. However, ZsGreen1 expression in lymph nodes remained minimally changed over the 7-day experiment time after treatment with anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs. A report analyzing the migration of 51Cr-labeled thoracic duct lymphocytes (TDLs) in major lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues of rats revealed that lymphocytes have longer residence time in the lymph nodes than the spleen. Comparable residence times were reported for mice as well. Finally, we evaluated if various T cell subtypes differed in being targeted. There was no significant difference in uptake and recombination among naive, memory, and effector memory subtypes when treated with anti-CD4/Cre mRNA-LNPs.

Our anti-CD4/LNP-mRNA system allows for CD4+ T cell targeting in the tissues, such as spleen and lymph nodes, which is critical for T cell therapies. Our current T cell-targeting platform has great potential for many in vivo T cell manipulation-based applications by making T cell-targeted therapeutic mRNA delivery possible. In vivo delivery to specific cell types (e.g., T lymphocytes, among others) is an intensely developing field, evidenced by many recent studies. LNPs modified with antibodies have been used for delivery of small interfering RNA (siRNA) to lymphocytes for gene silencing purposes. Ramishetti et al.66 surface modified siRNA-loaded LNPs with anti-CD4 monoclonal antibodies for targeting CD4+ T lymphocytes. They observed gene silencing in approximately 30% of CD4+ T cells isolated from spleen, which is only half of the targeted functional activity we observed with our CD4-targeted Cre mRNA-LNPs (~60% of CD4+ T cells in spleen). It is of note that because of their use of siRNA-LNPs and the non-binary readout of their experiments, direct comparison of targeting efficiencies of the two platforms is not straightforward. Other attempts have been made for lymphocyte targeting with other lipid- and polymer-based carriers. McKinlay et al. reported on a combinatorial chemical approach of mRNA delivery using hybrid lipid-based amphiphilic charge-altering releasable transporters (CARTs), achieving approximately 1.5% T
lymphocyte transfection efficiency in mice. Similarly, Fenton et al.13 described specific LNP design for delivering mRNA to B lymphocytes without using active targeting ligand. They showed an enhanced luminescence signal from the B cell targeted-Luc mRNA-LNP formulation library. Veiga et al.45 delivered mRNA in surface-modified LNPs to inflammatory Ly6C+ leukocytes using their ASSET platform, which also employs monoclonal antibody targeting. Delivery and expression of mRNA encoding IL-10 showed significant therapeutic effect in a colitis model. While some T cells also express Ly6c, as do monocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils, it was not determined which populations of leukocytes take up and express Ly6c-targeted LNPs and to what extent. To our knowledge, our targeted mRNA-LNP platform is the first report of an LNP-based mRNA delivery system for selective and functional CD4+ targeting.

Overall, the CD4-targeted mRNA-LNP platform presented here offers tremendous opportunity for a wide range of in vivo T cell manipulations. The great potential of this system to reach all T cell subtypes in difficult-to-access tissues, such as lymph nodes, will make the targeting platform available for many types of T cell manipulation in vivo. The application potentials include delivering mRNA therapeutics to T cells for potential HIV cure. In particular, targeted delivery of engineered genomic editing enzymes has the potential to cure HIV, by excising the HIV integrated provirus from the genome of latently infected cells.18 Additionally, targeted modification of lymphocytes has numerous applications for development of fast-acting and cost-effective immunotherapeutics for a range of cancers, infectious diseases, and immunological disorders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics statement

The investigators faithfully adhered to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by the Committee on Care of Laboratory Animal Resources Commission on Life Sciences, National Research Council.

Mice

Mouse studies were conducted under protocols approved by the University of Pennsylvania (UPenn) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The animal facilities at the University of Pennsylvania are fully accredited by the American Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

C57BL/6J mice

Equal numbers of male and female C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory.

Ai6 (RCL-ZsGreen) mice

Ai6 (RCL-ZsGreen) mice on C57BL/6J background were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (stock no: 007906) and bred homozygous in-house. Ai6 is a Cre reporter allele with a loxP-flanked STOP cassette preventing transcription of a CAG promoter-driven enhanced green fluorescent protein variant (ZsGreen1), all inserted into the Gt(Rosa)26Sor locus. Upon Cre-mediated recombination, Ai6 mice express robust ZsGreen1 fluorescence.

mRNA production and LNP preparation

Coding sequences of Cre recombinase or firefly luciferase were codon-optimized, synthesized, and cloned into the mRNA production plasmid (pUC-ccTEV-Cre-A101 and pUC-ccTEV-Luc2-A101, respectively). mRNAs were produced using T7 RNA polymerase (Megascript, Ambion) on linearized plasmids. mRNAs were transcribed to contain 101-nucleotide-long poly(A) tails. m1Ψ-5′-triphosphate (TriLink) instead of UTP was used to generate modified nucleoside-containing mRNA. Capping of the in vitro transcribed mRNA was performed co-transcriptionally using the trinucleotide cap1 analog, CleanCap (TriLink). mRNA was purified by cellulose purification, as described.47 All mRNAs were analyzed by native agarose gel electrophoresis and were stored frozen at −80°C.

m1Ψ-containing mRNAs were encapsulated in LNPs using a self-assembly process in which an aqueous solution of mRNA at pH 4.0 is rapidly mixed with a solution of lipids dissolved in ethanol.50 LNPs used in this study were similar in composition to those described previously,50 which contain an ionizable cationic lipid (proprietary to Acuitas)/phosphatidylcholine/cholesterol/PEG-lipid (50:10:38.5:1.5 mol/mol) and were encapsulated at an RNA to total lipid ratio of ~0.04 (wt/wt).

Unless otherwise mentioned, Acuitas LNPs containing the ionizable lipid ALC-0307 (ALC-0307 LNP) were used for the experiments. Poly(C) RNA (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. P4903) was used as mRNA replacement in experiments when we traced carrier behavior, and we did not aim to measure mRNA activity.

Monoclonal antibody-conjugated LNPs

LNPs were conjugated with monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) specific for CD4. Purified NA/LE Rat anti-mouse CD4 (BD PharMingen), purified rat anti-human CD4 antibody, clone A161A1 (BioLegend), and control isotype-matched IgG were coupled to LNPs via SATA (N-succinimidyl S-acethylthioacetate)-maleimide conjugation chemistry, as described earlier.18 Briefly, LNPs were modified with DSPE-PEG-maleimide micelle by a modified post-insertion technique. The antibody was modified with SATA (Sigma-Aldrich) to introduce sulfhydryl groups allowing conjugation to maleimide. SATA was deprotected using 0.5 M hydroxylamine followed by removal of the unreacted components by G-25 Sephadex Quick Spin Protein columns (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The reactive sulfhydryl group on the antibody was then conjugated to maleimide moieties using thioether conjugation chemistry. Purification was performed using Sepharose CL-4B gel filtration columns (Sigma-Aldrich). mRNA content was calculated by performing a modified Quant-iT RiboGreen RNA assay (Invitrogen). LNPs were frozen at −80°C prior to addition of targeting ligands. After addition of the targeting ligand, all the targeted and non-targeted LNP preparations were kept at 4°C and were used within 3 days after preparation.
Particle size measurements were carried out in PBS (pH 7.4) at 25°C using dynamic light scattering (DLS) on a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK). Diameters of unconjugated and antibody-conjugated mRNA-LNPs were interpreted as normalized intensity size distribution for particle preparations. The diameter of the unconjugated nanoparticles was ~80 nm. To evaluate antibody conjugation efficiency to LNPs, fluorescently labeled LNPs and antibodies were monitored throughout conjugation steps. Antibody-conjugated particles had a hydrodynamic diameter of 88.37 ± 4.2 with narrow size distribution (PDI = 0.1) and contained ~3.5 antibodies per LNP.

**In vitro cell-binding studies**

For cell binding studies using radioactivity measurements, LNPs were first radiolabeled with Na125I using Iodination Beads (Pierce) as described earlier. Human CD4+ T cells (obtained from the Human Immunology Core at University of Pennsylvania) were then incubated with increasing quantities of either anti-CD4/ or control IgG/RNA-LNPs for 1 h at room temperature. Incubation medium was then removed, and cells were washed with PBS buffer three times to remove the unbound nanoparticles from the cell surface. The cells were lysed with 1% Triton X-100 in 1 N NaOH, and the cell-associated radioactivity was measured by a Wallac 1470 Wizard gamma counter (Gaithersburg, MD, USA) and compared to total added activity.

For cell binding studies using flow cytometry, human CD4+ T cells were seeded at 150,000 cells per well in 24-well plates. LNPs carrying Poly(C) RNA were added to the media at increasing quantities of RNA per well, and cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Incubation medium was then removed, and cells were washed with PBS buffer three times to remove the unbound nanoparticles from the cell surface. FITC-tagged anti-rat IgG (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) was used to monitor binding of antibody-conjugated LNPs on a BD LSR II flow cytometer.

**In vitro cell transfection studies**

For cell transfection studies using firefly luciferase mRNA, human CD4+ T cells were plated in 48-well plates. After 18 h, LNPs carrying reporter luciferase mRNA were added at increasing concentrations to the cells and incubated for 1.5 h. Plates were then washed three times with PBS, and complete medium was added to the cells. After culturing for 24 h in complete media, cells were washed with PBS, lysed in luciferase cell culture lysis reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and the luciferase enzymatic activity as luminescence (Luciferase assay system, Promega) was measured. Transfections were performed in triplicate.

For cell transfection studies using Cre recombinase mRNA, spleens from two Ai6 mice were harvested, and a pooled single-cell suspension was produced. 2 million splenocytes were then plated in each well of 6-well plates. Cells were incubated with 1, 3, 6, or 9 μg of CD4-targeted or non-targeted (unconjugated or control IgG-conjugated) Cre mRNA-LNPs overnight. Cells were then collected and stained with Live/Dead Aqua (Thermo Fisher Scientific, L34966) and antibodies against CD3 and CD8 (and CD4, which was omitted from later experiments; see Figure S1), and the percentage of ZsGreen1-expressing CD3+CD8+ cells was determined using flow cytometry.

**Biodistribution of anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs in C57BL/6J mice: tissue uptake**

125I-radiolabeled mRNA-LNPs were administered by i.v. (retro-orbital) injection into C57BL/6 mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Blood was collected at 0.5, 1, and 24 h post-injection from the inferior vena cava. Specific organs (liver, spleen, lung, kidney, and heart) were also harvested at the same time points, rinsed with saline, blotted dry, and weighed. The amount of radioactivity in each organ as well as in 100 μL samples of blood was measured in a gamma counter (Wallac 1470 Wizard gamma counter, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Tissue uptake as %ID/g and localization ratio as organ-to-blood ratio were calculated using radioactivity values and weight of the samples. Immunospecificity index (ISI) was also calculated as the ratio of the LR of CD4-targeted mRNA-LNPs to that of control IgG-modified ones.

**Biodistribution of anti-CD4/mRNA-LNPs in C57BL/6J mice:** luciferase mRNA translation at tissue and cellular level

C57BL/6j mice were i.v. (retro-orbital) injected with anti-CD4/mRNA-LNP or control IgG/mRNA-LNP formulations. At 5 h after injection, animals were euthanized, and selected organs (liver, spleen, lung, kidney and heart) were harvested, rinsed with PBS, and stored at ~80°C until analysis. When thawed, tissue samples were homogenized in appropriate volumes of cell lysis buffer (1×) (Promega Corp, Madison, WI, USA) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (1×) and mixed gently at 4°C for 1 h. The homogenates were then subjected to cycles of freeze/thaw in dry ice/37°C and centrifuged for 10 min at 16,000 g at 4°C. Luciferase activity was then measured in the supernatant using a Victor 3 1420 Multilabel Plate Counter (Perkin Elmer, Wellesley, MA, USA). We further analyzed the mRNA expression in the CD3+ cell population. CD3+ cells were isolated from the spleens or lymph nodes of injected mice using the MagniSort Mouse CD3+ Selection Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) based on manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, a biotinylated anti-mouse CD3 antibody and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads were utilized for CD3+ cell isolation. CD3+ cells were bound to the antibody and then to magnetic beads. When placed in a magnetic field, the undesired cells were separated from CD3+ cells by decanting. Luciferase activity measurements were performed on the cell lysate of the CD3+-enriched cell population.
intraperitoneally at a dose of 150 mg/kg. After 5 min, the mice were euthanized; desired tissues were harvested and immediately placed on the imaging platform. Tissue luminescence was measured on the IVIS imaging system using an exposure time of 5 s or longer to ensure that the signal obtained was within operative detection range. Bioluminescence values were also quantified by measuring photon flux (photons/s) in the region of interest using LivingImage software provided by Caliper.

**Determination of targeting efficiency of anti-CD4/mRNA-LNP using a Cre/loxP reporter system**

To analyze delivery efficiency to targeted cell populations within the spleen and lymph nodes, mRNA translation was tracked with single-cell resolution. The targeted and non-targeted LNPs containing Cre recombinase mRNA were i.v. (retro-orbital) injected into Aii6 mice carrying a Cre reporter allele with a loxP-flanked STOP cassette preventing transcription of a green fluorescent protein variant (ZsGreen1). Cre recombinase excises the loxP-flanked STOP cassette, therefore allowing the transcription of ZsGreen1. At desired time points after injection, animals were euthanized, and spleens and lymph nodes were harvested. The number of CD3^+^CD8^+^ cells emitting green fluorescent signal in organ single-cell suspensions was evaluated using flow cytometry.

**Single-cell suspension preparation and flow cytometry**

Single-cell suspensions were prepared from spleens and lymph nodes. Briefly, the tissues were crushed using the frosted end of glass microscope slides and then passed through a 70-μm filter. Following centrifugation and removal of supernatant, cells were resuspended in RPMI + 10% FBS medium and were then allowed to attach for 2 h at 37°C. Non-adherent cells were removed by repeated centrifugation and removal of supernatant. Cells were then stained with a mixture of anti-mouse antibodies (Table S1) and the stained single-cell populations were characterized on a BD LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). 500,000 events were collected per sample. Compensation of multicolor flow was carried out using ArC Amine Reactive beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for Live/Dead Aqua, Compbead anti-Rat, and anti-Hamster Ig κ/Negative Control Compensation Particles set (BD Biosciences) for all antibodies, and GFP BrightComp eBeads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. A10514) for ZsGreen1. Data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Ashland, OR, USA).
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