A modified p-median model to optimize the location of facilities considering the source plant
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Abstract

The present general model of the distribution of the logistics value chain considers the production processes, as well as the economic factors and logistics costs operating in the different stages of a purified water company. The model has a general application for companies that distribute goods and services, and serves as support in the choice of policies and operating scenarios. Operating conditions typical of the industry in Mexico are contemplated, specifically of bottled water purification companies. It is intended to evaluate the different operating conditions that optimize delivery points, distribution centers and production plants, considering a holistic vision to meet the objectives of a distribution system, considering their random variations and their impact on the global system to take the preventive and corrective actions to improve the logistics of operation. The above is exemplified with some adjustments that are made on the P-median model where it is shown how this variation would be applied, not considering the source or parent plant as a distribution center option. The improved model offers fundamental advantages over the rest of the techniques reviewed in the literature, such as introducing the information obtained into the model by making the distribution network more optimal, thus collecting not only objective data but also the preferences and forecasts of the decision maker himself.
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Resumen

El presente modelo considera los procesos de producción, así como también los factores económicos y costos logísticos operando en las diferentes etapas de una compañía de agua purificada. Tiene una aplicación general para empresas repartidoras de bienes y servicios, y sirve como apoyo en la elección de políticas y escenarios de operación. Se contemplan condiciones operativas propias de la industria en México, específicamente de las empresas purificadoras de agua embotellada. Se pretende evaluar las diferentes condiciones operativas que optimicen los puntos de entrega, centros de distribución y plantas de producción considerando una visión holística para cumplir con los objetivos de un sistema de distribución, considerando sus variaciones aleatorias y su impacto en el sistema global para tomar las acciones preventivas y correctivas que permitan mejorar la logística de operación. Lo anterior se ejemplifica con unas adecuaciones que se realizan sobre el modelo de la P-médiana donde se muestra cómo se aplicaría esta variación no considerando la fuente o planta matriz como una opción centro de distribución. El modelo ofrece ventajas fundamentales respecto al resto de técnicas revisadas en la literatura, como introducir la información obtenida haciendo más óptima la red de distribución, recogiendo de este modo no sólo los datos objetivos sino también las preferencias y previsiones del propio tomador de decisiones.

Modelo de la p-médiana, Ubicación de instalaciones, Optimización

Citation: SOLÍS-JIMÉNEZ, Miguel Ángel, CALDERÓN-PALOMARES, Luis Antonio, PIÑA-MARTÍNEZ, Ana Laura and GONZÁLEZ-SOBAL, Martín. A modified p-median model to optimize the location of facilities considering the source plant. ECORFAN Journal-Democratic Republic of Congo. 2020, 6-10: 14.21

† Researcher contributing first author.
Introduction

The logistical problems of location consist of locating one or several services to meet the demand of a series of known points, usually called demand points, seeking to optimize some measure of effectiveness, however, the theory of location of facilities is relatively recent, to Despite the fact that problems related to the location of different types of facilities have accompanied man throughout history (Torres, 2006), since he has had to face deciding where to locate his facilities, taking into account the relationship that they will have with other facilities or with the clients they intend to serve. In general terms, location problems try to find out the location of the facilities of an entity say, company, warehouse, facility or customer, so that costs are minimized or benefits are maximized.

The formulation of these problems may include geographical considerations, social, economic, and personal policies, among which can be mentioned: proximity of raw material sources, availability of resources, existence of competitors or proximity between facilities, among others, however, The design, development and solution of models that adequately represent reality and that serve as a tool to make the best location decision is related to the work carried out by Hakimi (Chaudhuri, 1998) (1964-1965) for the location problem of facilities, factories, warehouses, branches, stations, etc., in which the p-median problem and its extensions have been used to optimally locate these entities.

Two of these most used models in network localization are the p-median problem and the p-center problem. The first consists of minimizing the total sum of the weighted distances by calculating the location of p-service centers in a way that minimizes the total (or average) weighted distance traveled to meet all demand (efficiency), while the second tries to minimize the maximum weighted distance from a service center to its assigned users when trying to find the location of p-service centers in a way that minimizes the maximum distance between a demand point and its closest service center (fairness).

The objective of the p-median makes it efficient but not equitable, while the bound implicit in the problem of the p-center makes it equitable, but not efficient (Toro, 2011).

Most of the aforementioned applications start from deterministic models, in which all the data of the problem are perfectly known. However, the uncertainty in the weights of the vertices or edges is inherent in most of the problems, either because these data are an estimate of future behavior for which historical data are not available, or because the economic environment is not predictable through the statistical techniques in use or because other external factors not controllable by the decision maker influence them (Darós, 1998).

The median p and extents of it often model real-world situations, such as the location of industrial plants, warehouses, and public facilities (Hansen, 1997).

The p-median problem with deterministic data has been extensively studied. The median p problem is one of the basic models in discrete location theory. As with most location problems, it is classified as NP-hard (Kariv, 1979) and therefore heuristic methods are generally used to solve it (Mladenovic, 2005). A compilation of these studies can be consulted in the works of Krarup and Pruzan (Krarup, 1983) where the approaches and methods for solving both the p-median and other related problems appear. However, in many real problems the data, that is, the demands associated with the vertices and / or the distances associated with the edges, are not exactly known.

For example, if a company wants to access new markets, the demands will be estimated from some market research. If the edges represent roads and the associated weights, the time it takes to travel them, it is impossible to know them exactly, since the time will depend on the traffic circumstances, the state of the road, etc. (Darós, 1997). The work on vehicle routing for messaging problems and sending small packages is aimed at improving mainly two indicators: time of arrival, service-oriented; and operating costs, oriented towards efficiency (Arboleda, 2018).

Considering the above, it should be noted that the problem of the p-median is fundamental to a large part of the discrete location theory.
It is motivated by a series of real situations, such as having to install several plants in some points of a transport system to minimize production and shipping costs or having to install different hospitals in a city so that most of its population be covered in case you need one. In short, the p-median problem tries to locate p facilities within a network in such a way as to minimize the weighted distance between the demand points and the closest open facility (Jiménez, 2018).

In markets that demand great competitiveness, business management faces decisions and problems that must be solved strategically for the successful maintenance of companies. Among those decisions, it is of great relevance to satisfy the needs of external customers in terms of product quality and service, with delivery compliance being an element of great impact (Davis-Sramek, Mentzer and Stank, 2008), cited in (Pantoja,

\textbf{Distances from the main plant to different clients (km).}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Def.} & \textbf{A} & \textbf{B} & \textbf{C} & \textbf{D} & \textbf{E} & \textbf{F} & \textbf{G} & \textbf{H} & \textbf{J} \\
\hline
\hline
\textbf{A} & 0.0 & 63.2 & 35.5 & 107.6 & 43.8 & 87.5 & 54.7 & 59.8 & 30.4 & 97.1 \\
\textbf{B} & 63.2 & 0.0 & 56.2 & 69.5 & 49.0 & 16.9 & 16.9 & 28.0 & 76.6 & 35.7 \\
\textbf{C} & 35.5 & 56.2 & 0.0 & 76.7 & 66.4 & 86.4 & 64.8 & 27.0 & 36.7 & 91.0 \\
\textbf{D} & 107.6 & 69.5 & 76.7 & 0.0 & 115.1 & 78.8 & 99.0 & 72.0 & 87.2 & 79.2 \\
\textbf{E} & 43.8 & 49.0 & 16.9 & 16.9 & 0.0 & 36.4 & 23.3 & 38.3 & 31.4 & 71.5 \\
\textbf{F} & 87.5 & 16.9 & 78.8 & 36.4 & 0.0 & 21.0 & 70.3 & 13.1 & 49.7 & \\
\textbf{G} & 54.7 & 86.4 & 99.0 & 23.3 & 38.3 & 0.0 & 89.8 & 28.6 & 48.7 & \\
\textbf{H} & 59.8 & 64.8 & 72.0 & 38.3 & 31.4 & 21.0 & 0.0 & 63.2 & 108.2 & \\
\textbf{J} & 30.4 & 27.0 & 71.8 & 23.3 & 70.3 & 28.6 & 63.2 & 0.0 & 66.7 & \\
\textbf{I} & 97.1 & 35.3 & 79.2 & 17.1 & 99.0 & 48.7 & 108.9 & 66.7 & 0.0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Distances from the main plant to different clients (km).}
\end{table}

The parent plant will be considered by the variable \textit{X1} (A). Suppose that the company can condition up to 3 distribution centers, and it may turn out that the parent plant is also selected as the distribution center, this implies that in case the variable \textit{X1} is selected as CEDI, then it will have its own clients that attend, in addition to the other centers that are selected.

\textbf{Methodology}

The p-Median model originally formulated in Revelle and Swain (ReVelle, 1970), in its most general form, is defined in a network of nodes and areas where it is assumed that each node represents a local area of demand or can also represent a potential location for a plant installation. The objective is to locate exactly “p-plants”, to minimize the total transport distance to meet all the demand. It is assumed that the plants are not limited in service by maximum capacity (they have infinite capacity) so that each demand can be served by the nearest plant. We can formulate this model with the following notations.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textit{i}, \textit{j} = indices used to refer to a node or point numbered as 1,2,3, 4,… n.
\item \textit{dij} = minimum distance from node \textit{i} to node \textit{j}.
\item \textit{ai} = demand at node \textit{i}.
\item \textit{Xij} = 1, if the demand at \textit{i} is assigned to plant \textit{j}; 0, otherwise.
\item \textit{Xjj} = 1, if a plant is located at site \textit{j} and week \textit{j}, it is also assigned; 0, otherwise.
\item \textit{p} = number of plants to be placed.
\end{itemize}

Considering the above, the logistic distribution model for the water distribution company can be formulated as follows.

\begin{equation}
\text{Median model}
\end{equation}
This model aims, in addition to minimizing travel distances, both from the plant to the distribution centers and from the distribution centers to customers.

The model is formulated with the following notations:

\[ i, j = \text{Indices used to refer to a node or point numbered as 1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots n.} \]

\[ d_{ij} = \text{Minimum distance from node i to node j.} \]

\[ X_{ij} = 1, \text{ if demand at i is assigned to distribution center j; 0, otherwise.} \]

\[ X_{jj} = 1, \text{ if a distribution center is located at site j and demand is assigned to j, it is also assigned; 0, otherwise.} \]

\[ p = \text{Maximum number of distribution centers that can be assigned.} \]

\[ X_{0101} = \text{Total distance from the distribution centers to the plant} \]

**Formulation of the model using the p-median**

The next step is to formulate using the P-median method. For this purpose the following considerations will be used:

1. The objective function must minimize the distances from each of the possible distribution centers to the rest of them, including the parent plant.
2. The first set of restrictions ensures that each customer is assigned to a distribution center, provided it is activated as such.
3. The second set of restrictions indicates how many customers can be served by a CEDI, for the example it is considered that each distribution center will serve three customers, including itself.
4. The last restriction determines the maximum number of CEDIS that can be enabled.
5. All the decision variables must be binary, that is, they indicate if the location is selected as CEDI and if the location is served by CEDI.

Taking the previous information, the model is as follows:

\[ \text{Min} \ Z = X_{0101} + \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} d_{ij} X_{ij} \]  

\[ \sum_{i}^{10} X_{ij} = 1 \text{ for each } i = 1,2,3, \ldots n \]  

\[ \sum_{j}^{10} X_{ij} = 1 \text{ for each } j = 1,2,3, \ldots n \]  

\[ X_{0101} = \sum_{j=1}^{10} d_{ij} X_{jj} \text{ for each } j = 2,3,4, \ldots n \]  

\[ X_{ij} \leq X_{jj} \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \ldots n; \ j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \ldots \ldots n, \ \text{where } i \neq j \]  

\[ X_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \ldots \ldots n; \ j = 1, 2, \ldots \ldots n \]

**Results**

**Solution and interpretation of the model**

Once the situation has been modeled, the next point is to solve the model, for this purpose, the Excel® Solver is used. It can be mentioned that the locations corresponding to variable (B), as well as locations (C) and (G) are those selected as distribution centers. The distribution center (B) must serve the markets of (D), (F) and (J), on the other hand, the distribution center (C) must serve the markets of (A) and (H); finally, the distribution center (G) must serve the markets of (I) and (E). The above can be seen in the following Table 2:

| Selected Distribution Center | customers |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| B                           | D F J     |
| C                           | A H       |
| G                           | E I       |

**Table 1** Selected distribution center with their respective customers

The distances from each Distribution Center to their respective customers are:

| Center of distribution | Customer | Distance to the distribution center (Km) |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| B                      | D        | 69.9                                    |
|                        | F        | 16.1                                    |
|                        | J        | 35.3                                    |
| Total                  |          | 120.9                                   |

**Table 2** Distance from CEDI (B) to its clients

The distances from CEDI (C) to its clients are:

| Center of distribution | Customer | Distance to the distribution center (Km) |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| C                      | A        | 35.1                                    |
|                        | H        | 27.3                                    |
| Total                  |          | 62.4                                    |

**Table 3** distance from CEDI (C) to its clients
Now, once the model is restructured, without considering (A), and it is solved, the distance from the plant to the distribution centers that have been selected by the algorithm must be added, since they must necessarily be provide product distribution centers from the production plant. According to the results obtained, the variables (B), (C) and (G) are those selected as distribution centers. The clients that will serve each of these are: (B) will serve (D), (F) and (J), while (C) will only serve (H); finally (G) attends to (I) and (E). The total route of these distribution centers is 199.96 km. To this quantity, the distance from the matrix (A) to the nodes selected as distribution centers must be added, which is 153.42 km, leaving a total of 353.37 km. The following image shows how the distribution centers and their respective customers are distributed:

**Figure 2** Resulting structure of the distribution system

### Improved model

Starting from the idea presented in point 3.E, the behavior of the improved model is now shown, which, unlike the previous model, does include node (A) as part of the model, but now, (A) only considers the possibility of delivering to the possible distribution centers, for this purpose, a restriction is constructed with (A) so that it is equal to the sum of all the points where a distribution center can be placed multiplied by the distance from the plant to the possible centers. Subsequently, this variable is taken to the objective function, thereby seeking for the model to reduce the distance from the plant to the possible distribution centers and at the same time select the best distribution centers and their possible customers. The way in which the model is structured is as follows:

\[
\text{Min } Z = X_{0101} + \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} d_{ij}X_{ij}
\]  \( (7) \)
\[ \sum_{i}^{2} X_{ij} \leq 3 \text{ for each } i = 2, \ldots, 10, j = 2, \ldots, 10 \text{ where } i = j \]  
(8)

\[ X_{010} = \sum_{j=2}^{10} \sum_{i=2}^{10} d_{ij} X_{ij} \text{ for each } i = 2, 3, \ldots, 10; j = 1, 2, \ldots, 10 \text{ where } i \neq j \]  
(9)

\[ X_{ij} \leq X_{jj} \text{ for each } i = 2, 3, \ldots, 10; j = 2, 3, \ldots, 10 \text{ where } i \neq j \]  
(10)

\[ \sum_{j}^{10} X_{ij} = 1 \text{ for each } j = 2, 3, \ldots, 10 \]  
(11)

\[ X_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n; j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  
(12)

The result of the improved algorithm indicates that the nodes that will act as distribution centers are (C), (I) and (F) and the clients that will serve each of these can be seen in the following figure:

| Selected Distribution Center | customers |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| C                           | D, H      |
| F                           | B, G, J   |
| I                           | E         |

Table 6 Selected distribution centers with their respective customers

Graphically the distribution can be seen in Fig. 3.

\[ n = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]

Figure 3 Result of the improved algorithm

As can be seen, node (C) will serve nodes (H) and (D), node (F) will serve nodes (B), (G) and (J) and finally node (I) will serve to node (E). The distances of each CEDI to its clients are observed in Tables 8, 9 and 10.

Table 7 distance from CEDI (C) to its clients

| Center of distribution | Customer | Distance to the distribution center (Km) |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| C                      | D, H     | 76.7                                    |
|                        |          | 103.7                                   |
| Total                  |          |                                         |

Table 8 Distance from CEDI (F) to its clients

| Center of distribution | Customer | Distance to the distribution center (Km) |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| F                      | B        | 16.1                                    |
|                        | G        | 21                                      |
|                        | J        | 49.7                                    |
| Total                  |          | 86.8                                    |

Table 9 distance from the CEDI (I) to its client

Note that the total distance traveled from the CEDIS to their clients adds up to 221.9 km, without considering the distance from the parent plant to them, which is reflected in table 10.

| Center of distribution | Customer | Distance to the distribution center (Km) |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| A                      | C        | 35.5                                    |
|                        | F        | 47.5                                    |
|                        | I        | 30.4                                    |
| Total                  |          | 113.4                                   |

Table 10 Distance from the matrix to the CEDIS

Adding the 221.9 km from the CEDIS to the clients plus the 113.4 from the parent plant to the CEDIS, there is a total of 335.3 km. This distance is less than that presented in the two previous cases. In this particular case, an improvement can be seen in terms of the distance traveled in relation to the first model of 13.75% and in relation to the second model of 5.1%.

Conclusions

The p-median problem is central to much of discrete location theory. It is motivated by a number of real-world situations, such as having to install multiple plants at some points in a transportation system to minimize production and shipping costs or having to install different hospitals in a city so that most of your population is covered in case you need one.
Ultimately, the p-median problem tries to locate p facilities within a network in a way that minimizes the weighted distance between demand points and the closest open facility.

In the formulation of the model, the total distance traveled to cover these markets is 235.47 km, not counting the distance to take the products from the source to the distribution centers, so that including these distances that are 153.42 km, we have a total of 388.88 km. In the model without using the plant as CEDIS, the total route of these distribution centers is 199.96 km.

To this quantity, the distance from the matrix (A) to the nodes selected as distribution centers must be added, which is 153.42 km. And finally, in the improved model, the total distance in this case will be 335.41 km. This distance is less than the one presented in the two previous cases. In this particular case, an improvement can be seen in terms of the distance traveled in relation to the first model of 13.75% and in relation to the second model of 5.1%.

The improved model offers two fundamental advantages over the rest of the techniques discussed above. The first of these is that it allows us to focus on the information obtained by making the distribution network more optimal, thus establishing an adequate policy for the conformation of the distribution network. The second is that it allows the decision-maker to intervene in the resolution of the model, thus collecting not only the objective data but also the preferences and forecasts of the decision-maker himself.
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