Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers in Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy
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Abstract.

Background: There is limited data on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers in sporadic amyloid-β (Aβ) cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA).

Objective: To determine the profile of biomarkers relevant to neurodegenerative disease in the CSF of patients with CAA.

Methods: We performed a detailed comparison of CSF markers, comparing patients with CAA, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and control (CS) participants, recruited from the Biomarkers and Outcomes in CAA (BOCAA) study, and a Specialist Cognitive Disorders Service.

Results: We included 10 CAA, 20 AD, and 10 CS participants (mean age 68.6, 62.5, and 62.2 years, respectively). In unadjusted analyses, CAA patients had a distinctive CSF biomarker profile, with significantly lower (p<0.01) median concentrations of Aβ38, Aβ40, Aβ42, sAβPPα, and sAβPPβ. CAA patients had higher levels of neurofilament light (NFL) than the CS group (p<0.01), but there were no significant differences in CSF total tau, phospho-tau, soluble TREM2 (sTREM2), or neurogranin concentrations. AD patients had higher total tau, phospho-tau and neurogranin than CS and CAA groups. In age-adjusted analyses, differences for the CAA group remained for Aβ38, Aβ40, Aβ42, and sAβPPβ. Comparing CAA patients with amyloid-PET positive (n=5) and negative (n=5) scans, PET positive individuals had lower (p<0.05) concentrations of CSF Aβ42, and higher total tau, phospho-tau, NFL, and neurogranin concentrations, consistent with an “AD-like” profile.
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Conclusion: CAA has a characteristic biomarker profile, suggestive of a global, rather than selective, accumulation of amyloid species; we also provide evidence of different phenotypes according to amyloid-PET positivity. Further replication and validation of these preliminary findings in larger cohorts is needed.
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INTRODUCTION

Sporadic amyloid-β (Aβ) cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) can be reliably diagnosed during life using the clinico-radiological Boston criteria [1, 2], but nearly all of its associated imaging features are likely to be irreversible markers of late stage disease [3]. Body fluid biomarkers, using either cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or blood, are of interest as important candidate biomarkers which can be sampled repeatedly, allow for measurement of a variety of different disease-related processes, and provide insights into disease dynamics.

To date, most data on CSF biomarkers in CAA have focused on Aβ40, Aβ42, and tau (total tau, t-tau, and phospho-tau, p-tau) measures. However, there is limited information on other amyloid species in CAA, including smaller proteins such as Aβ38, soluble amyloid-β protein precursor (sAβPP) α and β. Additionally, a number of newer fluid biomarkers are of potential interest in CAA. Neurofilament light (NFL), soluble TREM2 (sTREM2) and neurogranin are promising new biomarkers for Alzheimer’s disease (AD), but it is not clear whether they are specific for parenchymal amyloid.

Our aim was to perform a detailed comparison of amyloid markers (Aβ38, Aβ40, Aβ42, sAβPPα, and sAβPPβ) and other markers studied in neurodegenerative disease (t-tau, p-tau, NFL, sTREM2, and neurogranin) in the CSF of patients with AD, CAA, and control (CS) participants in an exploratory hypothesis-generating study. To explore the heterogeneity of CAA CSF profile and relationship with parenchymal amyloid deposition, we then performed post-hoc analyses comparing the CSF profiles of CAA patients with amyloid-PET positive and negative scans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection

Participants were included from two sources. Firstly, we included participants from the cross-sectional prospective observational BOCAA (Biomarkers and Outcomes in Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy) study (10 patients with CAA, 5 CS participants). Ethical approval for the BOCAA study was granted in October 2015 by the NHS Health Research Authority London (REC reference 15/LO/1443). Secondly, we included samples collected by the Specialist Cognitive Disorders Service at the National Hospital of Neurology and Neurosurgery (NHHN), University College London Hospitals (UCLH) NHS Trust, London, UK (20 samples from patients with AD, 5 samples from age-matched CS participants). This study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee at UCL.

In all cases, informed written consent was obtained for each participant, and inclusion criteria were standardized to be consistent with the BOCAA study (further details below).

Patients with CAA

All patients with CAA were recruited from the BOCAA study [4]. Consecutive patients with CAA were identified from a prospectively collected research database. Patients with CAA all met at least probable modified Boston Criteria [2], and were not included if they had evidence of co-existing AD or deep perforator (hypertensive) arteriopathy [4]. Further inclusion criteria were: age ≥55 years, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥23, modified Rankin scale (mRS) ≤3 and capacity to give informed consent. Those with contraindications to PET or MRI scanning or lumbar puncture were excluded.

Control (CS) participants

CS participants were included from two sources. In all cases, CS participants were required to have no prior history of significant neurological disease. Further inclusion criteria were: age ≥55 years, MMSE score ≥23, and modified Rankin scale (mRS) ≤3.

Firstly, we included CS participants recruited as part of the BOCAA study, where patient partners were invited to participate as healthy volunteers. CS participants were also identified from a prospectively collected database of patients attending the ambulatory transient ischemic attack (TIA) service, provided
by the NHNN; patients whose final diagnosis was not stroke, TIA, or any other significant neurological condition (and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria) were invited to participate. Those with contraindications to PET or MRI scanning or lumbar puncture were excluded.

Secondly, we included samples collected by the Specialist Cognitive Disorders Service at the NHNN. Samples for age-matched CS participants were included if their final diagnosis, made on the basis of clinical assessment, imaging, and CSF, was not one of dementia or any other neurodegenerative condition [5]. MR imaging (acquired as part of routine clinical care) was reviewed for evidence of previous infarction (including lacunes), cerebral microbleeds, and cortical superficial siderosis; participant samples were only included in the absence of all of these features. Atrophy (medial temporal [6] and global cortical [7]) and white matter hyperintensities [8] were assessed on brain imaging, and those with evidence of moderate or severe grades of these imaging features were excluded.

Patients with Alzheimer’s disease

Patients with AD presented with “typical” [9] amnestic symptoms, were aged ≥55 years, and had a final diagnosis (on the basis of clinical assessment, imaging, and CSF) that was in keeping with AD; additionally, all imaging was reviewed for the presence of cerebral microbleeds and cortical superficial siderosis, and samples from patients with these features were not included (in order to avoid patients with mixed CAA and AD pathology). The CSF criteria for AD diagnosis were the presence of a t-tau/Aβ42 ratio >0.88 together with Aβ42 < 630 pg/ml [5].

CSF analysis

All CSF analyses were performed by the Biomarker Laboratory of the UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL (Group Lead: Professor Henrik Zetterberg). CSF was collected, processed, and stored according to standardized procedures, and was identical for all diagnostic groups [10]. Samples were collected in polypropylene tubes, immediately transported to the laboratory by hand, and centrifuged (at 1,750 for 5 min at 4°C) within 30 min from collection; samples were then aliquoted and stored at −80°C until testing. All biochemical assays were performed by operators blinded to the clinical diagnosis.

Amyloid markers

Aβ38, Aβ40, and Aβ42 were measured by electrochemiluminescence (ECL) using a Meso Scale Discovery V-PLEX Aβ peptide panel 1(6E10) kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (MSD, Rockville, MD). Briefly, samples were diluted 1:2 with diluent 35 and added in duplicate to microplate wells coated with mouse monoclonal peptide specific capture antibodies for human Aβx-38/x-40/x-42. Samples were incubated with anti-Aβ (amino acids 1–16 epitope) antibody (6E10 clone) as the detection antibody conjugated with an electrically excitable SULFO-TAG. Concentrations were calculated from ECL signal using a four-parameter logistic curve fitting method with the MSD Workbench software package. Intra-assay CVs (coefficients of variation) were less than 10%. All samples were measured on the same day by a single operator using the same reagents.

sAβPPα and sAβPPβ were measured by ECL using a Meso Scale Discovery sAβPPα/sAβPPβ Kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (MSD, Rockville, MD). Briefly, samples were diluted 1:4 with 1% Blocker A and added in duplicate to microplate wells coated with mouse (sAβPPα) and rabbit (sAβPPβ) monoclonal peptide specific capture antibodies. Samples were incubated with anti-sAβPPα and anti-sAβPPβ detection antibodies conjugated with an electrically excitable SULFO-TAG. Concentrations were calculated from ECL signal using a four-parameter logistic curve fitting method with the MSD Workbench software package. Intra-assay CVs were less than 20%. All samples were measured on the same day by a single operator using the same reagents.

Tau markers (t-tau and p-tau)

The levels of CSF t-tau and p-tau181P were determined using a sandwich ELISA (INNOSTEST® hTAU-Ag p-Tau181P; Fujirebio Europe N.V., Gent, Belgium) constructed to measure both normal tau and phosphorylated tau. Briefly, for the hTAU Ag assay, tau protein is captured from CSF samples by a monoclonal anti-tau antibody (AT120) bound to a microtiter plate. Captured tau is detected with two biotinylated tau-specific monoclonal antibodies (HT7 and BT2). Similarly, for the t-tau assay, p-tau181P is captured from CSF samples by anti-tau antibody HT7 bound onto a microtiter plate. Captured p-tau181P is detected with a biotinylated monoclonal anti-p-tau antibody (AT270). In both assays, peroxidase-labelled strep-
tavidin and tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate are also added. Peroxidase-catalyzed hydrolysis produces a colorimetric signal. Sample concentrations are extrapolated from a standard curve, fitted using a 4-parameter logistic algorithm. Intra-assay CVs were less than 20%.

**Neurofilament light**

NFL was measured using the commercially available NF-Light ELISA, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (UmanDiagnostics, Umeå, Sweden). Briefly, samples were diluted 1:2 with sample diluent and added in duplicate to microplate wells coated with a monoclonal capture antibody specific for NFL. Samples were incubated with a biotinylated NFL-specific monoclonal detection antibody. The detection complex was completed with the addition of horseradish peroxidase-labelled streptavidin and TMB substrate. Peroxidase-catalyzed hydrolysis produces a colorimetric signal. Sample concentrations were extrapolated from a standard curve, fitted using a 4-parameter logistic algorithm. Intra-assay CVs were less than 10%. Samples were run on two different days by different operators; the inter-assay CV was below 16%.

**sTREM2**

Samples were analyzed using an immunoassay protocol adapted from a previously published protocol [11]. Streptavidin-coated 96-well plates (Meso-Scale Discovery (MSD), Rockville, MD, USA) were blocked overnight at 4°C in block buffer (0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS; pH 7.4). The plates were then incubated with the biotinylated polyclonal goat anti-human TREM2 capture antibody (0.25 µg/ml; BAF1828, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) diluted in block buffer, shaking for 1 h at room temperature. They were subsequently washed five times with wash buffer (0.05% Tween 20 in PBS) and incubated for 2 h shaking at room temperature with 50 µL per well of the standard curve constructed from recombinant human TREM2 protein (11084-H08H-50, Sino Biological Inc., Beijing, China) diluted in assay buffer (0.25% BSA and 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS; pH 7.4) to produce concentrations ranging between 4000 pg/ml and 62.5 pg/ml, or CSF samples diluted 1 in 4 in assay buffer. Standards and CSF samples were assayed in duplicate. Plates were again washed five times with wash buffer before incubation for 1 h shaking at room temperature with the detection antibody, monoclonal mouse anti-human TREM2 antibody (1µg/ml; B-3: sc373828, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TX, USA), diluted in block buffer. After five additional washing steps, plates were incubated with the secondary antibody (SULFO-TAG-labelled goat anti-mouse secondary antibody, R32AC-5, MSD) and incubated shaking for 1 h in the dark. Lastly, plates were washed three times with wash buffer then twice in PBS alone. The electrochemical signal was developed by adding MSD Read buffer T 4× (R92TC-2, MSD) diluted 1 in 2, and the light emission measured using the MSD Sector Imager 6000. The concentration of sTREM2 was calculated using a five-parameter logistic curve fitting method with the MSD Workbench software package. Intra-assay CVs were less than 10%, and all samples were measured on the same day by a single operator using the same reagents.

**Neurogranin**

Neurogranin was measured with the EUROIMMUN Elisa (EQ6551-9601-L) according to manufacturer’s instructions (EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, Germany). Briefly, samples were incubated with biotinylated monoclonal anti-neurogranin antibody, followed by addition to microplate wells coated with monoclonal antibodies specific for human neurogranin truncated at P75. Finally, streptavidin peroxidase conjugate was added to initiate the color-changing reaction. Intra-assay CVs were less than 10%, and all samples were measured on the same day by a single operator using the same reagents. Sample concentrations are extrapolated from a standard curve, fitted using a 5-parameter logistic algorithm.

**Amyloid-PET acquisition and interpretation**

All participants in the BOCAA study underwent PET (using the amyloid ligand 18F-Florbetapir, Amyvid) and MR scanning, acquired using the same hybrid Siemens Biograph PET/MR scanner; the protocol for acquisition and initial processing has been previously described [12]. Visual reads were performed by a trained individual who was blind to all participant clinical details (including diagnosis).

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata (Version 15.1). Group characteristics were compared using one-way ANOVA (age), chi squared (sex), or
Kruskal-Wallis (MMSE) tests. Median and interquartile range values were calculated for each biomarker, and, given the non-normal distribution of the data, comparisons between groups were made using the Kruskal-Wallis test. If a significant difference was identified (defined as $p < 0.05$), Dunn’s test was used for post-hoc comparisons, and a Bonferroni correction (resultant $p$ value multiplied by 3) was applied.

In order to perform age-adjusted analyses, we used quantile regression (comparing group medians) and calculated predicted medians. We then performed post-hoc pairwise comparisons of the age-adjusted medians; statistical significance was defined as Bonferroni-corrected $p < 0.05$.

Finally, we performed exploratory post-hoc analyses comparing biomarkers between PET positive and negative CAA patients using Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests.

**RESULTS**

We included 20 patients with AD, 10 patients with CAA, and 10 CS participants in this analysis; baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients with CAA were older than the two other groups (mean age ± SD: CAA 68.6 ± 3.0 years, AD 62.5 ± 4.1 years, and CS 62.2 ± 5.4 years). As expected, those in the AD group had a lower MMSE (median score 24, compared with 29 for CAA and CS groups).

Of the patients with CAA, 5 (50%) presented with intracerebral hemorrhage, and the remainder presented with transient focal neurological episodes associated with convexity subarachnoid hemorrhage. All CAA patients had been clinically asymptomatic in the 6 months prior to their study visits. Amongst the CAA group, 7 patients (70%) showed evidence of cortical superficial siderosis (focal in three cases, disseminated in four cases) and 9 (90%) had lobar microbleeds (median number, 3.5). All CAA patients showed evidence of both deep and periventricular white matter hyperintensities on MRI (median Fazekas scores, 1 in deep and 2 in periventricular regions [8]).

**Individual markers**

**Univariable comparisons**

Univariable comparisons are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1. There were significant differences between the three groups for the following markers: $A_\beta_{38}$, $A_\beta_{40}$, $A_\beta_{42}$, s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\alpha$, s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\beta$, t-tau, p-tau, NFL, and neurogranin. There was no significant difference in sTREM2 levels between the three groups.

In post-hoc comparisons (Table 1, Fig. 1), patients with CAA had significantly lower CSF levels of $A_\beta_{38}$, $A_\beta_{40}$, $A_\beta_{42}$, s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\alpha$ and s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\beta$ than both the AD and CS groups. Patients with AD had lower CSF $A_\beta_{42}$ than the CS group, but this was not statistically significant after Bonferroni correction; there were no significant differences between the AD and CS groups for the other amyloid markers. For the tau markers (t-tau, p-tau), there were no statistically significant differences between the CAA and CS groups; patients with AD had significantly higher levels than both CAA and CS group. Patients with both CAA and AD had significantly higher CSF NFL than the CS group; there was no statistically significant difference between the CAA and AD groups. There was no difference in neurogranin levels between CAA and either the AD or the CS groups; patients with AD had significantly higher levels of CSF neurogranin than the CS group.

**Age-adjusted quantile regression**

Results from the age-adjusted quantile regression are shown in Table 2; scatter plots demonstrating the distribution of each biomarker by age are shown in Supplementary Figure 1. For the amyloid markers, there were significant differences between the three groups for $A_\beta_{38}$, $A_\beta_{40}$, $A_\beta_{42}$, and s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\beta$, but not s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\alpha$. Pairwise comparisons of the age-adjusted medians found significant differences between CAA and AD groups for $A_\beta_{38}$ (higher in AD group; median difference 1,480 pg/ml), $A_\beta_{40}$ (higher in AD group; median difference 3,540 pg/ml) and s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\beta$ (higher in AD group; median difference 48.6 pg/ml); for $A_\beta_{42}$, the difference between the CAA and AD groups did not reach statistical significance (higher in AD group; median difference 162 pg/ml). There were significant differences between the CAA and CS groups for $A_\beta_{38}$ (higher in CS group; median difference 1,650 pg/ml), $A_\beta_{40}$ (median difference 4060 pg/ml), $A_\beta_{42}$ (higher in CS group; median difference 394 pg/ml), and s$\alpha_\beta$PP$\beta$ (higher in CS group; median difference 58.8 pg/ml). For $A_\beta_{42}$, there was a significant difference between the AD and CS groups (higher in CS group; median difference 232 pg/ml).

There were significant differences between the three groups for both t-tau and p-tau. Pairwise comparisons of the age-adjusted medians found significant differences for both t-tau (higher in AD group; median difference 357 pg/ml) and p-tau (higher in AD group; median difference 40.9 pg/ml)
Table 1
Comparison of characteristics and biomarkers by group. Group comparison p values were obtained using one-way ANOVA (age), chi squared tests (sex), or Kruskal-Wallis tests (remainder). *Post-hoc* comparisons were made using Dunn’s test; the presented p values are Bonferroni corrected.

| Group          | CAA (n = 10) | AD (n = 20) | CS (n = 10) | Group comparison, p | Post-hoc comparisons; p |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Age, y, mean (SD) | 68.6 (3.0)   | 62.5 (4.1)  | 62.2 (5.4)  | 0.001              | –                      |
| Sex, female, n (%) | 2 (20%)      | 11 (55%)    | 5 (50%)     | 0.18               | –                      |
| MMSE, median (IQR)  | 29 (28 to 30) | 24 (19.5 to 26) | 29 (29 to 30) | <0.001             | –                      |
| **Biomarkers**      |              |             |             |                    |                         |
| Aβ38, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 1490 (1350 to 2450) | 2740 (2360 to 3270) | 2840 (2150 to 3280) | 0.002             | 0.002                  |
| Aβ40, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 3150 (2940 to 4140) | 6470 (5760 to 7330) | 6890 (5080 to 7600) | <0.001            | <0.001                 |
| Aβ42, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 115 (91.4 to 134)  | 323 (264 to 376)     | 520 (280 to 814)     | <0.001            | <0.001                 |
| sAβPPα, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 88.6 (67.9 to 100)   | 115 (99.0 to 137)    | 117 (105 to 136)    | 0.008             | 0.007                  |
| sAβPPβ, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 85.8 (66.3 to 104)   | 118 (102 to 142)     | 124 (97.5 to 144)    | 0.009             | 0.006                  |
| t-tau, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 316 (247 to 440)     | 657 (497 to 869)     | 250 (206 to 266)     | <0.001            | <0.001                 |
| p-tau, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 62.1 (45.8 to 72.1)   | 92.8 (73.6 to 112)   | 49.5 (42.0 to 52.4)  | <0.001            | 0.014                  |
| NFL, pg/ml, median (IQR)  | 2780 (2390 to 8380)  | 3270 (1920 to 2730)  | 1470 (1150 to 1630)  | <0.001            | 0.15                   |
| sTREM2, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 7040 (6240 to 9230)   | 6580 (5640 to 8120)   | 7960 (6130 to 9790)   | 0.52              | –                      |
| Neurogranin, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 432 (349 to 491)    | 565 (454 to 702)    | 409 (309 to 431)     | 0.012             | 0.076                  |

Footnotes:
- CAA: Corticosteroid-associated amyloidosis
- AD: Alzheimer’s disease
- CS: Control subjects
- SD: Standard deviation
- IQR: Interquartile range
- pA D: Post-hoc comparisons
- t-tau: Total tau
- p-tau: Phosphorylated tau
- NFL: Neurofilament light chain
- sTREM2: Soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2
- Neurogranin: A protein involved in synaptic plasticity
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between the CAA and AD groups. There was no significant difference between the CAA and CS groups for either t-tau (median difference 53.1 pg/ml) or p-tau (median difference 2.2 pg/ml). There were significant differences between the AD and CS groups for t-tau (higher in AD group; median difference 410 pg/ml) and p-tau (higher in AD group; median difference 43.1 pg/ml).

There was a significant difference in neurogranin between the three groups, but no significant differences were identified in pairwise post-hoc comparisons of the adjusted medians. There were no
Table 2

| Biomarker          | Group   | Age-adjusted difference in medians (SE) | p       |
|--------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Aβ38, pg/ml        | CAA     | Reference group                        | <0.001  |
|                   | AD      | 1480 (370)                             |         |
|                   | CS      | 1650 (417)                             |         |
| Aβ40, pg/ml        | CAA     | Reference group                        | <0.001  |
|                   | AD      | 3540 (846)                             |         |
|                   | CS      | 4060 (954)                             |         |
| Aβ42, pg/ml        | CAA     | Reference group                        | <0.001  |
|                   | AD      | 162 (83.9)                             |         |
|                   | CS      | 394 (94.5)                             |         |
| sAβPPα, pg/ml      | CAA     | Reference group                        | 0.47    |
|                   | AD      | 18.9 (16.1)                            |         |
|                   | CS      | 20.0 (18.1)                            |         |
| sAβPPβ, pg/ml      | CAA     | Reference group                        | 0.024   |
|                   | AD      | 48.6 (19.1)                            |         |
|                   | CS      | 58.8 (21.5)                            |         |
| t-tau, pg/ml       | CAA     | Reference group                        | <0.001  |
|                   | AD      | 357 (115)                              |         |
|                   | CS      | −53.1 (129)                            |         |
| p-tau, pg/ml       | CAA     | Reference group                        | <0.001  |
|                   | AD      | 40.9 (12.6)                            |         |
|                   | CS      | −2.20 (14.2)                           |         |
| NFL, pg/ml         | CAA     | Reference group                        | 0.36    |
|                   | AD      | −511 (844)                             |         |
|                   | CS      | −1310 (952)                            |         |
| sTREM2, pg/ml      | CAA     | Reference group                        | 0.55    |
|                   | AD      | −76.9 (1270)                           |         |
|                   | CS      | 1100 (1430)                            |         |
| Neurogranin, pg/ml | CAA     | Reference group                        | 0.021   |
|                   | AD      | 210 (91.5)                             |         |
|                   | CS      | 24.0 (103)                             |         |

 Associations with amyloid-PET positivity

We then performed post-hoc analyses comparing the CSF profiles of CAA patients with amyloid-PET positive and negative scans. Half of the patients in the CAA group (n = 5; 50%) were PET positive by visual read. There were no differences in age, sex or MMSE score between the PET positive and negative groups. When comparing CSF biomarkers (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 3), PET positive CAA patients had lower CSF levels of Aβ42 than PET negative CAA patients (median 92.5 versus 134 pg/ml, p = 0.047), and higher CSF t-tau (median 440 versus 247 pg/ml, p = 0.016), p-tau (median 72.1 versus 45.8 ng/ml, p = 0.009), NFL (median 8380 versus 2390 pg/ml, p = 0.016), and neurogranin (median 491 versus 349 pg/ml, p = 0.016).

Given these results, we performed a further comparison, in which amyloid-PET negative CAA patients were compared with AD and CS groups; these results are provided in the Supplementary Material. Patients with amyloid-PET negative CAA had lower Aβ38, Aβ40, and Aβ42 than both AD and CS groups, with no statistically significant differences between the AD and CS groups for these markers. There were no significant differences in sAβPPα and sAβPPβ between the three groups; the results for t-tau, p-tau, NFL, and sTREM2 were similar to those identified in the original analyses. In contrast to the original analysis, neurogranin in amyloid-PET negative CAA was significantly lower than the AD group.

DISCUSSION

In this exploratory, hypothesis-generating study, we found that patients with CAA had a distinct-

Table 3

Comparison of PET positive and negative patients with CAA. p-values were obtained using one-way ANOVA (age), chi squared (sex) tests, or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests (remainder)

|                      | PET positive (n = 5) | PET negative (n = 5) | p     |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Age, y, mean (SD)    | 69.4 (3.1)           | 67.8 (2.9)           | 0.43  |
| Sex, female, n (%)   | 1 (20.0)             | 1 (20.0)             | 1.00  |
| MMSE, median (IQR)   | 29 (29 to 29)        | 29 (28 to 30)        | 0.83  |
| Aβ38, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 2450 (1350 to 2500) | 1480 (1440 to 1500) | 0.46  |
| Aβ40, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 4140 (2800 to 4370) | 3130 (3040 to 3170) | 0.60  |
| Aβ42, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 92.5 (89.4 to 105)  | 134 (131 to 140)    | 0.047 |
| sAβPPα, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 81.0 (67.9 to 96.2) | 98.5 (69.4 to 100)  | 0.60  |
| sAβPPβ, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 82.8 (79.0 to 104)  | 88.7 (66.3 to 94.1) | 0.75  |
| t-tau, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 440 (431 to 458)    | 247 (222 to 258)    | 0.016 |
| p-tau, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 72.1 (70.8 to 87.8) | 45.8 (42.1 to 49.1) | 0.009 |
| NFL, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 8380 (7260 to 10400) | 2390 (2230 to 2440) | 0.016 |
| sTREM2, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 8500 (7300 to 9780) | 6660 (5420 to 6780) | 0.12  |
| Neurogranin, pg/ml, median (IQR) | 491 (489 to 604)    | 349 (334 to 373)    | 0.016 |
tive CSF profile compared with CS participants and patients with AD. In unadjusted analyses, patients with CAA showed lower levels of all amyloid components measured (Aβ38, Aβ40, Aβ42, sAβPPα, and sAβPPβ) and higher NFL, but did not show differences in CSF t-tau, p-tau, sTREM2, or neurogranin profile. Patients with AD had higher t-tau, p-tau and neurogranin than both control participants and patients with CAA. In age-adjusted analyses, differences for the CAA group remained for Aβ38, Aβ40, Aβ42, and sAβPPβ. Finally, we performed exploratory post-hoc comparisons within the CAA group, comparing those with amyloid-PET positive and negative scans, and found that those who were PET positive showed differences in Aβ42, t-tau, p-tau, NFL, and neurogranin, in an AD-like profile.

Our results for Aβ40, Aβ42, t-tau, and p-tau in CAA are in keeping with previously reported data [13–19]; however, we extend this earlier work further by demonstrating that Aβ40 and Aβ42 are not the only amyloid species to be reduced in CAA. The processing pathway from AβPP to pathological Aβ is well described [20–22]. However, CAA differs from AD in that parenchymal Aβ plaques are predominantly composed of Aβ42, whereas the vascular Aβ deposits in CAA are a mixture of Aβ40 and Aβ42, with the former being more common [16, 23]. The reduced levels of CSF Aβ40 and Aβ42 previously described in CAA are thought to be secondary to “selective trapping” of both these species in the vasculature, in contrast with AD, where only Aβ42 is found (“trapped”) in the parenchyma [16]. Aβ peptides of other lengths, including Aβ38, have also been shown to be deposited in the leptomeningeal vasculature [24]. Our finding of reductions in CSF Aβ38 and sAβPPβ (and sAβPPα, in our unadjusted analyses) are novel, and support the protein elimination failure hypothesis for CAA [25], which proposes that CAA results due to failed Aβ clearance via intramural periarterial drainage pathways [26]. Our results might suggest that a range of AβPP and Aβ elements are trapped within the cerebral vasculature, potentially the result of a generalized (rather than selective) protein clearance failure. An alternative interpretation is that the reduction in all AβPP and Aβ species we measured reflects decreased AβPP expression, processing and release, rather than altered clearance of the proteins.

Our results also provide new information on non-amyloid biomarkers in CAA. We found significant elevations in t-tau, p-tau, and neurogranin in patients with AD, but not in patients with CAA compared with healthy controls. This is in contrast with other studies which have found that t-tau and p-tau levels in CAA are higher than controls but lower than patients with AD [13, 16, 18, 27], and may reflect our small sample size. Pathological aggregation of tau protein is important in AD [28, 29]; tau aggregation is thought to result in synaptic dysfunction and subsequent neuronal loss, and in AD, it is tau (rather than Aβ) pathology that most closely correlates with cognition [29]. The intermediate tau levels described in CAA might thus be reflective of coexistent AD pathology. Cognitive impairment is a recognized feature of CAA [30] and while there is evidence that CAA is associated with atrophy (presumably secondary to neuronal loss [31]), cognitive impairment in these patients might be secondary to other mechanisms, such as network disruption [32] or impaired blood flow responses [33]. Our CSF findings suggest that synaptic dysfunction is a less prominent feature of CAA compared with AD, and that these markers might be useful for distinguishing these two Aβ pathologies in patients with cognitive impairment.

We also provide new data on other markers that have not been tested in CAA. NFL has shown great promise as a biomarker in a large number of neurological conditions [34], including sporadic cerebral small vessel disease [35–37], although age-adjusted analyses were only performed in one study [36]. We did not find a difference between the AD, CAA, and CS groups in age-adjusted analyses for CSF NFL, but in unadjusted analyses, the CAA group had higher NFL than the control participant group, and in the post-hoc analyses, patients with CAA who were amyloid-PET positive had particularly high levels, raising the possibility that this is a useful marker for co-existing AD and CAA pathology. As seems to be the case for many other conditions, including cerebral small vessel disease [37], NFL in CAA is likely to find utility as a marker of the intensity of neurodegeneration and so a useful measure of prognosis rather than diagnosis, particularly as it can be measured in the serum; further work is needed to investigate this. We did not find any differences in sTREM2 between the AD, CAA, or control groups; this is in contrast with previous studies, in which sTREM2 was found to be elevated in the CSF of AD patients [38–42]. Again, our inability to identify a statistically significant difference might result from our relatively small sample size.

Our exploratory post-hoc analyses comparing amyloid-PET positive and negative patients with CAA raise new questions about the interactions
between AD and CAA pathologies. Although the group sizes are small (with only five participants per group), these findings confirm that not all patients with neuroimaging evidence of CAA are PET positive (in keeping with previous reports; a recent meta-analysis found that amyloid-PET sensitivity in CAA ranged from 60% to 91%) [43], and suggest that there is a sub-group with an AD-like profile—lower CSF Aβ42, higher CSF t-tau, phospho-tau, NFL, and neurogranin—who have evidence of additional fibrillary amyloid deposition. As described above, the marked increase in NFL in PET positive CAA patients might suggest that NFL is particularly sensitive for dual AD and CAA pathology. Neurogranin was able to discriminate between PET negative CAA, AD, and CS groups, with elevations only evident in the AD group, providing further evidence that this might be a very specific marker for fibrillary AD pathology. Together, these data support the hypothesis that amyloid-PET positivity in CAA is a measure of co-existent parenchymal Aβ rather than a measure of vascular amyloid and raises the possibility that the degree or extent of co-existent AD pathology in CAA can be measured using these CSF markers. An alternative explanation is that these markers, together with amyloid-PET positivity, are indicative of a higher vascular amyloid burden that results in neurodegeneration via non-AD mechanisms. Further work in larger cohorts is needed, both to replicate these findings and explore these hypotheses further.

Our work has a number of strengths. We were able to evaluate a large number of markers and to reduce false-positive errors we have used two separate statistical methods, one rank-based (Kruskal-Wallis) and the other an age-adjusted analysis based upon comparison of medians. However, there are some limitations. As mentioned earlier, this was a small exploratory study which may not have been powered to detect differences for all the biomarkers considered. Given our small sample size, we acknowledge the possibility of false-positive results due to multiple comparisons as a limitation of our work. While larger studies have considered some of the CSF markers we describe (in particular Aβ40, Aβ42, t-tau, and p-tau), we provide new data in CAA for a number of other markers, which we hope will inform future research with regard to effect sizes and sample size calculations. We acknowledge that there can be discrepancies between clinical and pathological diagnoses of CAA and AD, as well as significant overlap in these pathologies. While we attempted to avoid this on the basis of clinical and radiological findings, we did not have autopsy data, which would be the gold standard. However, we would argue that most clinicians who review patients with AD or CAA make their diagnosis on the basis of clinical and radiological (rather than autopsy) findings, and therefore our results remain of relevance. We specifically selected non-demented CAA patients with “early” or mild disease; these patients may not have such marked biomarker perturbations as those with more severe disease. The AD patients selected from the Specialist Cognitive Disorders Service may not be fully representative of AD patients more generally; this is a highly specialist tertiary service, which often sees younger patients or those with atypical presentations. We used CSF criteria (t-tau and Aβ42) in order to identify patients with AD and half of the control group, and so these markers are not fully independent in our analyses. Many of our control participants presented to neurology services (either the TIA clinic, or the Specialist Cognitive Disorders Service), and although we only included individuals without clinical, radiological, or CSF evidence of significant neurological disease, we acknowledge that they cannot be described as true “healthy” controls; this is a further limitation of our work. We selected control participants with minimal radiological evidence of brain pathology (WMH, atrophy), and this may not be truly representative of unselected age-matched individuals without AD or CAA. We determined PET positivity on qualitative (i.e., based on visual reads) rather than quantitative grounds, and the group sizes are particularly small in this post-hoc analysis, which precludes from age-adjustment. However, despite these limitations, we provide important new data on these body fluid markers in CAA, and in particular provide data on effect sizes that will be critical for determining sample sizes for larger future studies.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that patients with CAA appear to have a CSF profile distinct from both patients with AD and age-matched control participants, characterized by a global reduction in secreted AβPP and Aβ species, but normal neuronal protein levels (tau, neurogranin) compared with AD patients. Replication of these findings in larger cohorts with longitudinal cohort measurements is required to confirm these markers as effective biomarkers for CAA, and their value for monitoring disease progression in clinical trials.
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