Management of occipitocervical junction and upper cervical trauma

ABSTRACT

Objective: The treatment modality of occipitocervical junction (OCJ) and upper cervical traumas carries great importance because of unique form of bone, complex ligamentous, and neurovascular structure.

Materials and Methods: Eighty-eight patients were admitted to Mersin University Department of Neurosurgery between January 2007 and January 2017 for injuries of the OCJ and upper cervical spine and evaluated retrospectively. In the group, there were 60 male, 28 female patients in the mean age of 42.9 (18–87) years. Among those, 2 occipital condyle fractures, 28 C1 fractures (26 isolated and 2 with transverse ligament injury), 9 combined C1/C2 fractures, 6 rotatory C1/C2 dislocations, and 43 C2 fractures (32 odontoid, 5 Hangman’s, and 6 miscellaneous fractures) were diagnosed. In addition to clinical cases, ten cadavers were used to study the OCJ in a step-wise manner.

Results: Occipital condyle fractures, isolated C1 fractures, and rotatory C1/C2 dislocations were treated conservatively. Two patients with C1 fracture including transverse ligament injury were operated in one of the methods of C1–C2 fusion which is posterior sublaminar wiring. Five patients having Type II odontoid fracture were treated surgically. One instable Hangman’s fracture patient was treated as anterior cervical disectomy and fusion.

Conclusions: Cases with isolated C1 fracture with intact transverse ligament should be conservatively treated without surgical approach. Atlas fractures with transverse ligament rupture, odontoid Type II fractures with dislocation >6 mm, and unstable Hangman’s fractures required surgical treatment. Vital neurovascular, ligamentous, and accompanying bone structures should be evaluated for diagnosis and treatment modality. In addition, patient’s health status, patient’s treatment preference, and surgical team experience are the affecting factors for the decision of surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

The occipitocervical junction (OCJ) includes the region from the occipital bone to the C2–C3 intervertebral disc space. This region is embryologically, anatomically, and biomechanically different from the other cervical region.1-4

OCJ is composed of multiple complex bones, joints, and ligaments. The atlas and the axis vertebrae are called “atypical vertebrae.” The first cervical vertebra is named as atlas which is a ring-shaped vertebra composed of right and left lateral masses connected by anterior and posterior arches [Figure 1a]. The axis as the second cervical vertebra forms a pivot on which the atlas rotates. The most distinctive characteristic of this bone is the strong odontoid process which rises perpendicularly from the upper surface of the body [Figure 1b and c]. Transverse ligament is the most important and strong structure of this region and contributes...
to the stabilization of OCJ [Figure 1d-f]. Atlas and axis have unique shape and important relationship with vertebral artery (VA). Moreover, VA is the most important vital vascular structure in the evaluation of OCJ and upper cervical traumas during preoperative stage [Figure 1g-j].

It is useful to classify injury of the OCJ as isolated ligamentous injuries, isolated bone fractures, or mixed ligamentous and bony injuries. The extent of the injuries to the bones and ligaments is important for predicting the results of the treatment.[7] Isolated ligamentous instabilities, C1 fracture with injured transverse ligament, Type II odontoid fractures with dislocation >6 mm, and Type IIA odontoid fractures are treated surgically. In addition, Type II odontoid fractures that had used external orthosis without fusion also need surgical treatment.[5,7‑10] Levine–Edwards Type II, Ila, and III lesions are unstable fractures and treatment modality is still controversial. Although these types of fractures are unstable, the rigid immobilization is recommended as initial treatment. However, this procedure may result significant deformity of C2–3 level. Therefore, early surgical intervention has been increasingly performed.[11]

The aim of this study is to consider the OCJ injuries and to discuss the treatment modalities of these traumas and detailed evaluation of cadaveric studies for a better understanding of this complex anatomy in the light of literature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During a 10-year period (2007–2017), 88 adult patients were admitted to our clinic for injuries of the OCJ and upper cervical spine. Sixty male and 28 female patients in the mean age of 42.9 years (18–87) were retrospectively evaluated and followed for 26 (12–60) months in average. Two occipital condyle fractures, 28 C1 fractures (26 isolated and 2 with transverse ligament injury), 9 combined C1/C2 fractures, 6 rotatory C1/C2 dislocations, and 43 C2 fractures (32 odontoid, 5 Hangman’s, and 6 miscellaneous fractures) were diagnosed [Table 1]. Patients were treated at the Department of Neurosurgery, University of Mersin, Turkey. The radiological investigation included plain radiography, flexion-extension radiography, three-dimensional computerized tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Follow-up data included the accurate clinical and radiographic examination of the patients before discharge and also control scans at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after trauma. All patients were
followed for a period of at least 1 year. The first follow-up examination after 1 month included plain radiography, and the second follow-up examination after 3 months included plain radiography, flexion-extension radiography, and CT. Bony fusion was defined by the absence of motion on functional flexion/extension views and the absence of sclerotic borders adjacent to the fracture site.

In addition to clinical cases, ten cadavers were dissected to study the microsurgical anatomy and to demonstrate OCJ in a step-wise manner. Microsurgical anatomical dissections were performed with a Leica, Wild M695 Surgical Microscope from ×3 to ×40 magnification at the Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Wisconsin–Madison, USA.

RESULTS

Occipital condyle fractures
Two occipital condyle fractures were Type I fractures. The treatment of this fracture was achieved with orthesis without surgical need. Type I occipital condyle fractures were followed conservatively. Any complications were not observed, and the patients did not have any complaints after treatment.

Atlas fractures
The neurological examination was intact in all atlas fracture cases. Isolated fractures of atlas without transverse ligament rupture healed satisfactorily with an orthesis. Twenty-six patients improved with the external orthesis without any problem. Philadelphia neck collar was used in isolated anterior and posterior arch fractures while sternal-occipital-mandibular immobilization (SOMI) was used as a treatment modality in combined ring fractures or lateral mass fractures. A patient with widely displaced fractures was treated with halo brace. Posterior wire fixation of C1–C2 was performed in two cases of C1 fractures with transverse ligament injury without complication.

| Types of fractures                  | Patients No |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Occipital condyle fractures        | 2           |
| Atlas fractures                     |             |
| Isolated                           | 25          |
| With transverse ligament injury    | 2           |
| Combined C1/C2 fractures           | 9           |
| Rotatory C1/C2 dislocations        | 6           |
| Axis fractures                      |             |
| Odontoid fractures                 |             |
| Type I                             | 3           |
| Type II                            | 18          |
| Type III                           | 11          |
| Hangman’s fractures                | 5           |
| Miscellaneous fractures            | 6           |
| All Patients                       | 88          |

Odontoid fractures
Odontoid fractures included Type I in 3 patients (9.3%), Type II in 18 patients (56.3%), and Type III in 11 patients (34.4%). All Type I and III odontoid fractures were followed by Philadelphia and SOMI with a satisfactory prognosis.

Nine patients with odontoid Type II fractures <6 mm dens displacement were managed by halo or SOMI. One patient who was in the terminal stage of malignancy was put on halo and died in 1-month period and the other too elderly (87 years old) patient died in the early stage of treatment. The last patient in this group, previously diagnosed as traumatic os odontoideum had been followed with external orthesis by another healthcare center underwent C1-C2 posterior segmental stabilization [Figure 3a-d].

Rotatory atlantoaxial dislocations
Six patients with rotatory atlantoaxial dislocations were chosen with a treatment modality of reduction with or without traction and subsequent immobilization with a SOMI for 10–12 weeks. The neurological examination was intact in all cases. Early reduction (6 h after trauma) was performed in two patients with cervical traction by Gardner-Wells tongs. The patients were awake and did not report neurological deterioration during the procedure. After the reduction, immobilization using only a SOMI were performed and provided good clinical results [Figure 2 a-f]. The reduction is achieved in the other four patients without cervical traction. The same procedure is applied to these patients after reduction.

Figure 2: A 25-year-old female patient had a traffic accident diagnosed as traumatic rotatory C1-C2 dislocation. (a) Axial sequence computerized tomography bone window describing a subluxation of C1–C2 about 30°. (b) Intact transverse ligament observed in patient’s T2 axial sequence magnetic resonance imaging. (c-e) Reduction is totally achieved after being lifted with 3 kg by Gardner-Wells tong at the end of 3 days in the axial, sagittal, and coronal sequence of computerized tomography, respectively. Type II nondisplaced odontoid fracture was also seen. (f) Axial sequence computerized tomography postoperative 1 year
Eight patients with Type II fracture >6 mm dense displacement were indicated for surgery, whereas there was a high risk of operation for 3 elderly patients (over 75 years) that have been indicated for surgery who were followed by external orthosis. Meanwhile one of the young patient was put on halo since she did not give consent for operation although surgery was indicated. A moderate reduction was detected as a result of this fusion in follow-up radiological examination [Figure 4a-e]. Four patients with Type II fractures of >6 mm displacement were treated surgically; C1–C2 wire/graft in two patients and occipitocervical stabilization in two patients were performed as a surgical treatment modality [Figure 5a-d]. In one of the patients that we performed occipitocervical stabilization had dysphagia in the 5th day of postoperation but improved in the follow-up period. The treatment modality of odontoid fractures in our series is summarized in Table 2.

**Hangman’s fractures**

In Hangman’s fracture, three patients were accepted as Type I and one patient as Type II. Improvement in treatment without surgery was achieved in these patients. Type III fracture was determined in one patient who had excess angulation, and severe anterior displacement was primarily treated with anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with plating. In the postoperative period, when anterior and posterior alignment was not achieved in the malposition of the graft, reoperation was applied. Stabilization was done with adding below level vertebrae to fusion. Satisfactory decompression and fusion were achieved despite the insufficient alignment [Figure 6a-f].

| Type of odontoid fracture | Treatment modality                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Type I                    | Philadelphia collar by 4 weeks (3 patients)             |
| Type II Dense displacement with less than 6 mm | Halo or SOMI for 12 weeks (9 patients) C1-C2 screw fixation and fusion with traumatic odontoid (1 patient) |
| Type II Dense displacement with more than 6 mm | Indicated surgery (8 patients) Low KPS (3 patient Halo or SOMI for 12 weeks) Patient’s treatment choice (1 patient Halo for 12 weeks) Surgical treatment (4 patients) C1-C2 wire fixation (2 patients) Occipitocervical stabilization (2 patients) |
| Type III                  | SOMI for 10-12 weeks (11 patients)                      |

KPS: Karnofsky Performance Scale

Figure 3: A 52-year-old female patient with traumatic odontodeum and severe atlantoaxial instability. (a) Traumatic odontodeum in sagittal view of computerized tomography. (b) Note the severe atlantoaxial instability in the extension lateral X-ray graphy. (c) In the flexion, lateral X-ray graphy demonstrated distraction of the C1 posterior arch and C2 spinous process. (d) C1 lateral mass-C2 transpedicular screw fixation has been showed in lateral plain radiography

Figure 4: A 24-year-old female patient with Type II odontoid fracture. (a) Type II odontoid fracture >6 mm in the sagittal sequence of computerized tomography is shown. (b) View of T2 sagittal magnetic resonance imaging. (c) Moderate reduction was seen after halo in sagittal computerized tomography. (d and e) No sign for instability was reported in the dynamic graphics end of the 1st year
Combined C1/C2 fractures
In combined C1/C2 fractures, the treatment was planned according to the existence of injury of the transverse ligament and type of odontoid fracture. The transverse ligament was intact in all nine patients who were treated successfully with external orthosis.

Miscellaneous C2 fractures
Instability was not detected in none of all six miscellaneous fracture patients with intact ligament. All patients were undergone to a successful treatment with external orthosis without neurological sequela.

DISCUSSION
The evaluation of these traumatic injuries of this region and treatment modality includes difficulties because of the complex anatomical structure and complicated biomechanical properties. Therefore, different classifications and treatment modalities were performed in recent years.8,12

The assessment of transverse ligament injury has a great importance in the occipitocervical region traumas. If the midpoint or lateral periosteal insertion of transverse ligament is injured, the treatment choice should be surgery.7 In our series, there were totally two transverse ligament injuries. These two patients with this type of injury among the atlas fractures were operated.

Occipital condyle fractures were first described by Bell in 181713 and classified as Anderson-Montesano Type I, Type II, and Type III.14 For occipital condyle fractures, only Type III is unstable and needs to be treated surgically. In our series, both of the two occipital condyle Type I fracture patients were followed by Philadelphia collar for 6–12 weeks without any complication.

Acute traumatic atlas fractures account for 10% of all cervical spine fractures.15 Isolated atlas fractures were found in 30% (26 patients) in our OCJ and upper cervical trauma patients. These fractures commonly were treated conservatively which the fractures were nondisplaced or minimally displaced. The degree of fracture angulation and displacement gives an idea for stability of the transverse ligament. Minimally or moderately displaced fractures can usually be treated successfully with a cervical orthosis.7 Surgical treatment is generally preferred lateral mass displacement with >7 mm, ruptured transverse ligament, and concomitant C1–C2 fractures.16 The isolated anterior or posterior arch fracture of atlas patients was followed with Philadelphia collar for 4–6 weeks. In this group of traumas,

Figure 5: A 73-year-old male patient with Type II odontoid fracture. (a) Type II odontoid fracture in computerized tomography. (b) T2 sagittal magnetic resonance imaging of the patient. (c) Lateral X-ray in the early postoperative period. (d) Lateral X-ray graphy end of 1st year

Figure 6: A 32-year-old female patient with Type III Hangman’s fracture. (a) C2 bilateral pars interarticularis fracture seen in the axial view of computerized tomography. (b) Note the subluxation of C2 on C3 in the sagittal plan of computerized tomography. (c) T1 sagittal sequence of magnetic resonance imaging. (d) C2–C3 interbody fusion was done whereas the alignment cannot be achieved in the 1st day after operation. (e) C3 and C4 were added to fusion with reoperation. (f) Decompression and stabilization were achieved with partial alignment in magnetic resonance imaging end of 2nd year
the lateral mass and ring (combined anterior posterior arch fractures) fractures with minimal displacement were followed with SOMI brace. Only one patient with lateral mass fracture including a major displacement was put on halo for 12 weeks. Although this type of fractures that has greater than 7 mm lateral mass displacement is advised to be operated in literature, all isolated atlas fractures without transverse ligament injury could be managed conservatively according to our clinical experience.

Traumatic rotatory atlantoaxial subluxation generally occurs in young children and adolescents.\[^7\] The patient is often presented with cervical pain, head tilt, and limited and painful neck movement (cocked robin position). There are four different types of rotatory atlantoaxial subluxation in classification. In Type I, the atlas is rotated on the odontoid without anterior displacement. In Type II, the atlas is rotated on one articular process with 3–5 mm with anterior displacement. Type III consists of rotation of the atlas with anterior displacement >5 mm. Type IV is explained by rotatory fixation with posterior displacement of atlas.\[^17,19\] Type I and II subluxations are most commonly seen and have no neurological deficits. In our clinical experience, Type I (4 patients) and Type II (2 patients) subluxations were the most common diagnosed traumas like in the literature with normal neurological examination. In this type of traumas with intact transverse ligament, treatment modality consists of reduction with traction and subsequent immobilization with a SOMI or a cervical collar for 10–12 weeks.\[^7,20\] The reduction was performed with cervical traction by Gardner-Wells tongs in two patients with excess rotational subluxation. Between Type I and II subluxation, the degree of rotation was the main radiological criteria in the determination of the treatment procedure in our experience. After the reduction, SOMI was performed for 12 weeks and observed satisfactory clinical results [Figure 2a-h]. Meanwhile, in our series, we observed no Type III and IV subluxation. Particularly, the transverse ligament must be evaluated carefully by multisliced MRI before reduction in order not to have neurological deterioration according to our experience.

Axis fractures can be classified in odontoid, Hangman’s, and miscellaneous C2 fractures. Isolated odontoid fractures are the most common fracture of the axis.\[^21\] In our data, similar to literature, odontoid fractures were the most common axis fracture. The Anderson and D’Alonzo classification is usually used for odontoid fractures.\[^22\] Among the odontoid fractures, Type I is the rarest whereas Type II is the most common fracture of the axis.\[^22,23\] Similarly, in our patients, Type II was the most diagnosed fracture of the odontoid with 56.3%, 34.4% Type III, and 9.3% Type I. For odontoid fractures, Type II fractures with 6 mm or greater displacement and comminuted fracture of the base of odontoid (Type IIA) are unstable and require surgical treatment. Type II fractures with a dislocation <6 mm and Type I and Type III odontoid fractures may usually improve properly when treated with a suitable orthesis by immobilization.\[^21,22\]

In our series, Type I and III odontoid fractures were successfully conservatively managed. However, between these two types of fractures, duration and type of orthesis show differences, and in Type I, odontoid fractures were followed with Philadelphia collar by 4 weeks and in Type III were treated with SOMI for 10–12 weeks.

Type II fractures who has dense displacement with <6 mm in nine patients were treated with halo or SOMI for 12 weeks. The last patient in this group with traumatic os odontoideum was operated to avoid severe atlantoaxial instability.

Eight patients with Type II fracture >6 mm dense displacement were indicated for surgery. According to literature and our knowledge, all Type II odontoid fractures with >6 mm dense place ment require surgery; however, in our clinical experience, we could only operate 50% (4 patients) of patients of Type II odontoid fractures. As a result of this, Karnofsky performance scale and patient’s treatment choice are one of the main determining factors for the treatment modality.

Occipitocervical fixation, C1–C2 wire fixation, C1–C2 transarticular screw fixation, and C1–C2 segmental screw fixation are the main types of posterior stabilization techniques for odontoid fractures. For all these occipitocervical stabilization techniques, thickness of occipital bone, pedicle of C2, lateral mass of C3–C6, and VA anomalies should be evaluated preoperatively by CT images. In case of high riding of VA, it is inevitable to damage the VA during screwing to C2 pedicle and also unilateral absence [Figure 1i] or hypoplasia of VA, and an alternative surgical method should be considered. For C1–C2 wire fixation, procedure is a common and a well-known procedure and has the advantage of VA protection without any risk. The requirement of an intact posterior arch of atlas-axis, the use of a halo west for 10–12 weeks after surgery, and risk of spinal cord injury (5%–7%) during procedure are the disadvantages in this technique.\[^24\] We performed this technique in Type II two odontoid fractures with >6 mm dense displacement and other 2 atlas fractures with ruptured transverse ligament patients. In the beginning of our series, we chose posterior wiring method as a safe and well-known treatment modality, but after our own clinical experience, we also do not prefer to choose this method anymore because of the disadvantages mentioned above.
C1–C2 segmental screw fixation is the main approach to atlanto-occipital instability. This surgical technique is first described by Goel and Laheri.[25] It was modified by Harms and Melcher.[26] Low risk of VA injury and biomechanically high fusion rates and the unnecessary intact posterior arch of C1–C2 are the advantages; however, it is a long-lasting learning procedure to get well experienced.[25,27,28] We applied this method to one of our traumatic os odontoideum patient successfully after having an enough experience by cadaveric dissection of this complex region [Figure 3a-d].

Traumatic spondylolisthesis of the axis or so-called Hangman’s fracture is a fracture which involves both of pars interarticularis of C2. The most common used classification system of Hangman’s fracture is the grading system of Effendi et al.[29] This grading system was revised by Edwards and Levine.[30] The rigid immobilization is the accepted treatment modality of all Levine–Edwards types. Four of five patients with Hangman’s fractures were treated with external orthesis successfully. Meanwhile, assessment of radiological alignment has a great importance for the decision of the early surgery. Surgery was preferred who has Type III fracture with severe translational and excess angulation. In postoperative 5-year period, although the patient has a residual deformity, no functional restriction or any symptomatic pain was signified [Figure 6a-f].

The treatment decision of combined C1–C2 fractures should be given according to transverse ligament intactness and type of odontoid fracture.[31,32] In our series, nine patients were followed with external orthesis without any problem. Combined C1–C2 fractures are account for approximately upper cervical spine fractures with 12%.[32] Similarly combined C1–C2 fracture rates were determined as 10.3% in our series. However, in the literature, neurological complications were reported in between 12% and 34% in this group of patients.[32] Controversial to the literature, no neurological problems were detected in our experience.

The description of miscellaneous C2 fractures was defined by Hadley et al. in 1985 to exclude all odontoid and Hangman’s fractures.[21] Miscellaneous C2 fractures represent about one-quarter of all C2 fractures.[21] In our study, miscellaneous fractures of six patients had no ligament injury who were treated successfully with external orthesis.

CONCLUSION

There are different diagnostic and treatment modalities in the injured structures of the upper cervical spine and craniovertebral junction due to the complex ligamentous and vital neurovascular structures. During the surgical planning of this complex region, the bone and vascular structures particularly VA should be carefully radiologically considered for a detailed examination for every patient. Determination of fracture pathophysiology and type of fracture are the leading factors in choosing treatment modality. On the other hand, according to our clinical experience, patients’ health condition, patients’ treatment preferences, and also surgeons’ experience are the other influencing parameters. We believe that C1–C2 segmental stabilization is the most appropriate surgical modality for atlantoaxial stabilization after having sufficient knowledge of this complex area and clinical experience.
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