Subcellular metabolomics: the choice of method depends on the aim of the study
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Dedicated to Ulrich Heber, who pioneered the non-aqueous fractionation of leaves. Ulrich Heber passed away on 16 June 2016.

Plant metabolism is compartmentalized at the level of organs, cells, subcellular compartments and molecular assemblies. The rapid progress of in-depth metabolome profiling and kinetic flux analysis has elucidated the environmentally dependent dynamics of metabolism. However, for a full understanding of metabolism and compartmentation, including modelling and simulation (e.g. of photosynthesis), metabolome analysis must consider subcellular localization. Here, the advantages and drawbacks of methods developed to analyze this are assessed. It is argued that the selection of method depends on the aim of a particular study, and results (using different methods) should ideally be compared: no single method is best.

The methods that have been developed to scrutinize subcellular compartmentation for metabolome analysis include aqueous isolation of organelles, non-aqueous isolation of chloroplasts and non-aqueous fractionation of tissues.

Aqueous fractionation

Aqueous fractionation necessarily processes tissue in an unquenched metabolic state. Two major potential drawbacks for metabolome analysis following this process need to be considered: the continuation of metabolism and the loss of metabolites to the suspension medium. Metabolites may be lost during irreversible or reversible permeabilization of the organelles and by physiological transport mechanisms present in the boundary membranes. Thus the experimentalist takes advantage of (i) low temperature, usually close to 0 °C, to slow down metabolism and transport; and (ii) fast processing to minimize the time elapsed between mechanical homogenization or maceration of the tissue and isolation of the final fraction for analysis.

Aqueous isolation of intact chloroplasts with subsequent sedimentation and freezing can be achieved in less than a minute (Schröppel-Meier and Kaiser, 1988). However, turnover times of intermediates such as those of the Calvin–Benson cycle are in the range of seconds (Szecowka et al., 2013), and calculated turnover times of ATP and NADPH are significantly below a second. Thus metabolism-dependent alterations and metabolite loss will occur during preparation. Aqueous isolation of other organelles such as mitochondria and vacuoles usually requires even longer time periods. Rapid fractionation of protoplasts by lysis and passage through filters of different cut-offs is an interesting and quick method of assessing subcellular metabolite levels (Gardeström and Wigge, 1988). However, protoplasts isolated in this way behave differently from cells in intact tissue, with drastically changed metabolite levels and altered metabolism, as the process usually involves enzymatic altered maceration over hours.

Non-aqueous fractionation

For non-aqueous fractionation, metabolism is rapidly arrested by deep freezing in liquid nitrogen (Behrens and Thalaker, 1957; Heber, 1957). Freeze drying at less than −40 °C maintains the metabolic state and metabolite distribution of the tissue in vivo. Homogenization in organic solvents such as petrolether or hexane combined with tetrachloromethane (or nowadays the less-toxic tetrachloroethylene), followed by fractionation in density gradients made from these organic compounds at proper mixing ratios, allows the isolation of a chloroplast fraction which can further be freed from lighter and heavier cellular material by sedimentation centrifugation. The non-aqueous chloroplast fraction obtained is highly enriched and a very good proxy for the metabolite contents of the chloroplast in vivo. The accuracy can be further increased by introducing corrections for contamination based on marker enzymes. The stability of the selected marker enzymes during lyophilization and fractionation should be tested, as any differential loss could affect the final results. Thus up to 80% of NADP-GAPDH activity, a commonly used chloroplastic marker, can be lost during lyophilization and a further 60% during fractionation. The loss of hydrophilic metabolites is extremely low and even lipophilic metabolites leak out slowly, probably due to their incorporation into precipitated, denatured protein particles. Non-aqueous chloroplasts have also been used to dissect dynamic processes of metabolites of low abundance and high turnover (Heber and Santarius, 1965).

The method of non-aqueous isolation of chloroplasts was subsequently advanced to the level of whole-tissue fractionation. Following freeze-drying, the plant tissue is homogenized and subjected to continuous density-gradient centrifugation using hexane and tetrachloroethylene. Several fractions are collected from the gradient and analyzed for both the...
distribution of specific subcellular markers and the distribution of the metabolite(s) of interest. This approach was first developed by Gerhardt and Heldt (1984), who collected six fractions. The subcellular distribution of metabolites is calculated on the bases of assumed fixed ratios of compartment-specific metabolite levels and compartment-specific markers. Commonly used markers are α-mannosidase for the vacuole, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase for the cytoplasm and NADPH-dependent glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase for the chloroplast. The subcellular metabolite levels are estimated using an iterative calculation.

With the advent of metabolite profiling by GC-MS and LC-MS, non-aqueous fractionation has received revived attention (Arrivault et al., 2014; Krueger et al., 2014; Fürtauer et al., 2016; Hoermiller et al., 2017). The non-aqueous isolation of highly purified chloroplasts developed by Ulrich Heber remains a valuable approach for determining chloroplast metabolite levels ex vivo and characterizing the chloroplast metabolome as it varies with different physiological conditions (Heber et al., 1986). Another advantage of this method is that it can easily be implemented in any laboratory. An example of this kind of approach is presented by Hossain et al. (2017), investigating the subcellular distribution of various metabolites in sugar beet exposed to high salt concentrations.

### Criteria and problems to consider

When interpreting the results from gradient fractionation and selecting a proper method for subcellular metabolomics, six criteria and problems need to be discussed (Table 1).

(1) **Cellular heterogeneity of plant tissues**

A plant tissue consists of different, often specialized, cells such as the mesophyll, epidermis, guard cells and several cell types of the vascular bundle (Box 1). These cell types have distinct metabolic programs, accumulate unique metabolite profiles and participate in whole-tissue metabolite exchange at different rates. Thus barley leaves accumulate 5–15% of the leaf glucose and fructose pool in the epidermis during the day, while sucrose remains absent from the epidermis (Dietz et al., 1994). Likewise certain epidermal amino acid pools such as Gly and Phe reach more than 20% of the total leaf pool, while the Arg, Gln and Lys pools represent as little as 1, 6 and 8% of the leaf content, respectively.

Such differences in concentrations and dynamics prevent any assumption of cellular uniformity when calculating subcellular metabolite levels: a constant ratio of compartment-specific metabolite level and marker level is an oversimplification. Metabolite-to-marker ratios often differ between the mesophyll, epidermis, phloem parenchyma cell and guard cell.

(2) **Missing cellular compartments**

Several compartments have so far been omitted from the analysis of subcellular metabolomics, in particular the peroxisomes, cell wall, endomembrane compartments, and also often mitochondria (Box 1). However, these represent significant volumes in the cells and also accumulate specific metabolite profiles. The omission is necessary with the methodology currently available, but weakens the accuracy of iterative approximation of metabolite distribution. It would be extremely valuable to develop methods which include peroxisomes in such analyses, such as in the context of assessing metabolite exchange during photorespiration (Obata et al., 2016).

(3) **The lack of reliable markers**

The commonly used vacuolar markers are not exclusively localized to the vacuole. Thus α-mannosidase, like other hydrolases, is found in the vacuoles of mesophyll cells and any other vacuolated cell type such as the epidermis, but in addition is associated with the cell wall and endomembrane compartments (Von Schaewen et al., 1993; Brune et al., 1994). The α-mannosidase activity on a protein basis is >7-fold higher in epidermal cells than in the mesophyll (Dietz et al., 1992; 1994). Thus there exist several compartment-specific ratios of metabolite to marker (e.g. sucrose to α-mannosidase). Recently, other vacuolar markers have been used such as soluble acid invertase and nitrate (Krueger et al., 2014), but the drawback of non-uniform distribution remains. Despite this, calculation of

### Table 1. Comparison of methods for assessing subcellular metabolomics

| Parameter | Aqueous organelles | Non-aqueous chloroplasts | Non-aqueous fractionation |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Purity of fractions | (Often) very high | High | Low |
| Leakage | Leakage of compounds with active transport and breakage | No leakage of hydrophilic compounds, low leakage of lipophilic compounds | No leakage of hydrophilic compounds, low leakage of lipophilic compounds |
| Continuation of metabolism | Yes | No | Preferred |
| Contamination correction | Not needed | No | Fundamental to method |
| Accuracy | High for stable compounds, low for metabolites with high turnover and efflux transporters | High for compounds absent from the vacuole | Iterative solution, approximation |
| Advantages | Proper determination of stable compounds | Proper estimate of chloroplast levels | Estimates metabolite levels in various compartments |
| Disadvantages | Instability of organelles, duration of preparation | Little definition of extra-chloroplast levels | Simplified assumption of uniform distribution of marker enzymes |
subcellular metabolite distribution from gradient fractions is based on a single value for this decisive parameter.

(4) Uneven leakiness and metabolite turnover

Metabolite efflux to the medium occurs even during rapid isolation of aqueous organelles. Therefore rapidly isolated aqueous chloroplasts only appear suitable for assessing element and ion distribution and for quantifying abundant metabolites with long turnover times, such as sugars (Schröppel-Meier and Kaiser, 1988). Efflux of any specific metabolite depends on the presence and type of transporters in the boundary membrane and the degree of transient or permanent leakiness or breakage of the organelles during isolation. Levels of metabolites with low abundance and significant turnover cannot be estimated from aqueously isolated organelles.

(5) Condition-dependent and circadian dynamics

The accumulation, distribution and turnover of metabolites change with developmental state, environmental conditions and circadian status. Rapid changes in metabolite levels, for example in mesophyll cells, will affect the level of these metabolites in other cells with non-uniform kinetics. Such kinetic uncoupling aggravates the estimation of subcellular metabolite concentrations from non-aqueous gradient fractions because the assumed constant metabolite-to-marker ratio is, in reality, a function of time and space (as additional variables).

(6) Lack of an exact mathematical solution

An iterative search for the best solution of the metabolite-to-marker distribution in subcellular compartments is a reasonable approach when using the fractionation method. However, it should be kept in mind that this will only provide an approximation of the real distribution in the light of the many variables and the limited number of analytical fractions. An exact mathematical solution is impossible.

Conclusions

From these considerations it is concluded that there is no single and exclusively valid method for subcellular metabolomics.
It is necessary to compare the results obtained with different and competing methods to allow dispassionate scrutiny. The choice of method must depend on the aims of any particular study. Naturally, this choice also depends on the feasibility and availability of resources in a particular laboratory. The isolation of highly purified non-aqueous chloroplasts can be established in most biochemical labs within short periods of time, and allows a good approximation of metabolite changes in the chloroplast. By contrast the analysis and evaluation of continuous non-aqueous gradient fractions requires extensive optimization and considerable resource investment. The advantage is that this method approximates the in vivo metabolite state of additional cellular compartments. However, the reliability of data must be considered in the light of the critical points discussed.
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