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Abstract:
Background: Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) is a common obstetric complication. Knowledge of etiopathogenesis, diagnosis, complications & management of PROM has increased due to extensive research in the recent past. Yet, there is no unanimous opinion regarding optimum management of PROM. The aim of the study was to observe the patients during labor and compare the latent period, duration of first stage of labor, modes of deliveries and the use of oxytocin and its outcome in PROM cases with controls.

Materials and methods: In this study, the definition of PROM used is – rupture of fetal membranes before the onset of true labor pain. The minimum gestational age was taken to be 28 weeks. Diagnosis of PROM was mainly clinical. A cohort study was conducted in the Dept. of Obs & Gyne of KPL Medical College & Hospital, Kolkata on 100 cases of PROM and 100 cases of comparison group, over a period of one year.

Results: It was observed that onset of labor was more rapid with increasing gestational age, use of oxytocin for induction & augmentation of labor & operative deliveries were higher in PROM cases.

Conclusion: Individualized management of PROM cases depending on the gestational age and risk of complications is the best way to achieve a good feto-maternal outcome.
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Introduction:
Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) is a challenging problem to the obstetricians. During the last three decades it has taken a new dimension because of identification of clinical risk factors and improved fetomaternal outcome due to better management (use of antenatal corticosteroids, improved tocolysis, availability of safer antibacterial agents, safer modes of delivery and improved neonatal care). When membranes rupture before the onset of labor, it is known as premature rupture of membranes (PROM). When PROM occurs before 37 completed weeks of gestation it is termed as preterm premature rupture of membranes (p PROM).¹ In majority of PROM cases approaching term, labor starts within 24 hours (85-90%), but in 10-15% cases, labor may be delayed. When membranes remain ruptured for more than 24 hours (prolonged rupture of membranes) fetomaternal complications are substantial. The latent period (time interval between rupture of membranes and onset of labor) is inversely proportional to the gestational age and directly proportional to the incidence of infection. Most of the problems of PROM are infection related and also due to gestational immaturity. Hence this study is directed towards optimum management of labor in PROM cases, and operative interventions, if necessary.

Materials and methods:
This cohort study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, KPC Medical College & Hospital, Kolkata, over a period of 1 year (10/9/2011- 9/9/2012). Study group consists of 100 cases with
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PROM with duration of gestational period beyond 28 completed weeks. Control group consists of 100 patients having rupture of membranes after the onset of true labor pain with duration of gestational period beyond 28 weeks. In both the groups, both booked & unbooked cases were included. Diagnosis of PROM was diagnosed from history, clinical examination & investigations. Examination included inspection using Sim’s vaginal speculum. Aseptic per vaginal digital examination was done to exclude the possibility of cord or fetal limb prolapse and to assess cervical dilatation & effacement. The patients were thoroughly monitored at intervals during latent period for the conditions of the mother and fetus. Preterm pregnancy was allowed to continue upto beginning of term except when there is cord or fetal limb prolapse, fetal distress, active labor and chorioamnionitis. If labor pain still failed to start, induction of labor was done. Term pregnancies were induced within 12-24 hrs if labor pain did not start by that time. Condition of the mother & fetus and progress of labor were observed & recorded in details. Labor was induced or augmented where required. Lower segment caesarean section (L.S.C.S.), low forceps or ventouse operation were undertaken where required. All data were recorded on an Excel spreadsheet and statistical analysis was done using Chi Square test.

Results:
Latent period or lag period was calculated from the time of rupture of membranes till the onset of true labor pain. Among 100 study cases, latent period was calculated in 67 cases. In 13 cases, L.S.C.S. was done before the onset of labor. Indications of L.S.C.S. in these cases were previous twice L.S.C.S. (1 case), post Caesarean Section (C.S.) with breech presentation (1 case), transverse lie (2 cases), post C.S. with Intra Uterine Growth Retardation (IUGR) (1 case), breech presentation (3 cases) & fetal distress (5 cases). In 20 other cases, oxytocin drip was given to induce labor. So these 33 cases were excluded during calculation of latent period.

Table-I
Distribution of PROM cases according to latent period in 67 cases (n=67)

| Latent period | No. of cases | Percentage |
|---------------|--------------|------------|
| <8 hrs        | 28           | 41.8%      |
| 8-12 hrs      | 20           | 29.9%      |
| 12-24 hrs     | 13           | 19.4%      |
| >24 hrs       | 6            | 9%         |

Table I shows that maximum number of patients with PROM (91%) started labor within 24 hours after rupture of membranes.

Table-II
Distribution of PROM cases according to latent period in primigravida & multigravida (n=67)

| Latent period | Primigavida | Multigravida |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| <24 hrs       | 8 (66.6%)   | 53 (96.4%)   |
| >24 hrs       | 4 (33.4%)   | 2 (3.6%)     |

It appears from table II that majority of patients with PROM, both primi and multigravida, had latent period <24 hrs.

Table-III
Gestational period and latent period distribution in PROM cases

| Gestational period | Latent period <24 hrs | Latent period >24 hrs |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <37 weeks (4)      | 1 (25%)               | 3 (75%)               |
| >37 weeks (63)     | 60 (95.2%)            | 3 (4.8%)              |

It is seen from table III that with increasing gestational age there is more rapid onset of labor. The Chi Square test shows that the Chi Square value (22.63) and df 1, the p value is <0.05; which is statistically significant.

Table-IV
Duration of first stage of labor in study cases & control group

| Duration of 1st stage <12 hrs | Duration of 1st stage >12 hrs |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Study                         | Control                       |
| 67 (77%) (6 primi + 61 multi) | 67 (67%) (2 primi + 65 multi) |
| 20 (23%) (11 primi + 9 multi) | 33 (33%) (15 primi + 18 multi) |
It appears from table IV that the duration of 1st stage of labor is shortened with PROM. The Chi Square Test done on table IV shows that for the obtained Chi Square value (2.3) and df 1, the p value is >0.05. So the apparent shortening of duration of 1st stage of labor with PROM compared to control but the difference is not statistically significant.

**Table-V**
*Modes of deliveries of the study group compared with control series*

| Vaginal delivery including assisted breech delivery (Non operative) | Operative delivery (forceps + L.S.C.S.) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Study 71            | 29 (14 + 15)                           |
| Control 90          | 10 (6 + 4)                             |

It appears from table V that there was increased rate of operative interferences in PROM cases (29%) compared to controls (10%). A Chi Square Test done which shows Chi Square value (11.5) and df 1, the p value is <0.05. So the increase in operative modes of delivery in PROM cases compared to controls is found to be statistically significant. Here, 8 L.S.C.S. were done in primigravida & 5 L.S.C.S. in multigravida patients with PROM, before the onset of labor; 2 more women of the PROM group needed L.S.C.S. during labor – (1 primi & 1 multigravida).

**Table-VI**
*Use of oxytocin in the study cases & control group*

| Oxytocin used | Study | 56 (20 induction + 36 augmentation) |
|---------------|-------|------------------------------------|
| Not used      | Control| 11 (5 induction + 6 augmentation)  |

Table VII shows that intrapartum fetal distress (manifested by fetal bradycardia, meconium stained liquor etc.) appears to be more in PROM cases than in the control group. A Chi Square Test done on TABLE 7 shows that for the obtained Chi Square value (0.87) and df 1, the p value is >0.05. So the apparent increase in intrapartum fetal distress in PROM cases compared to controls is not statistically significant.

**Table-VII**
*Incidence of intrapartum fetal distress in study & control series*

| Intrapartum fetal distress | Study | 7  | 93  |
|----------------------------|-------|----|-----|
| No Fetal distress          | Control| 4  | 96  |

Table VIII shows only 2 cases of cord prolapse in study group and no such cases in control group.

**Table-VIII**
*Incidence of cord prolapse in study & control group*

| Cord prolapse | Study | 2  | 98  |
|---------------|-------|----|-----|
| No Cord prolapse| Control| 0  | 100 |

From Table VI, we found that the use of oxytocin to induce & augment labor was more in PROM cases than the control series. The Chi Square value (57.64) and df 1, the p value is <0.05. So the increase in use of oxytocin for induction & augmentation of labor in PROM cases compared to controls is found to be statistically significant. Of the 56 PROM patients where oxytocin was used, 48 delivered vaginally and 8 by L.S.C.S. Of the 11 controls where oxytocin was used, 8 delivered vaginally and 3 by L.S.C.S.

Discussion:

In this series, majority of PROM cases went into spontaneous labor (67%). This simulates the findings of Lebherz TB et al (1963) – 61%, Sacks et al (1967) – 52.7%, David I Conway (1984) – 79%, Raut MD et al (1988) – 82.4% & Cammu H et al (1990) – 80-90%. This study shows that majority of patients with PROM, both primi and multigravida have latent period <24 hrs.

It is observed that latent period is inversely related to the gestational age when PROM has occurred (statistically significant). This finding is consistent with that of Donnelly JF (1957), who reported longer latent period with shorter gestational age.7

The present study shows that the shortening of duration of 1st stage of labor with PROM compared to controls is not statistically significant. A study by Calkins LA (1952) showed that duration of 1st stage of labor is shortened with PROM.8 Traditional concept of role of the bag of membranes acting as cervical dilator is of great debate. In fact, Kelsey HA (1956) pointed out that “the membranes are a liability rather than an asset” during labor.9 Apparent shortening of
the duration of 1st stage of labor in PROM cases is probably due to direct pressure on dilatation of the cervix by the presenting part.

In the present study, in the PROM group, vaginal deliveries were noted in 71% cases. Sanyal MK et al (1990) reported 87% vaginal deliveries in PROM cases. In this study, forceps deliveries were undertaken in 14% of PROM cases & 7% of controls (mostly in fetal distress in second stage of labor, non descent of presenting part and/or maternal distress in second stage of labor, or prolonged second stage of labor). The caesarean section rates reported by different authors in PROM patients are as follows: James Schreiber et al (1980) – 24%, Joseph A Spinnato (1987) – 15.4%, Egan & O’Hehirlihy (1988) – 8% in primigravida, 2% in multigravida, Sanyal et al (1990) – 3.5%, Chua S et al (1991) – 14.9-19.1%, Shalev E et al (1995) – 4.7-6.7%, Ladfors L et al (1996) – 2-4%, Hannah ME et al (1996) – 9.6-10.9%. Caesarean section was undertaken in 15% of PROM cases in the present series, which is nearly consistent with the findings of Joseph A Spinnato (1987), Chua S et al (1991) & Hannah ME et al (1996). In the present study, the incidence of caesarean section among PROM cases was 1.5 times higher in primigravida than multigravida, which corroborates with the findings of Egan et al (1988) (section rate 4 times higher in primigravida). The incidence would have approached the reported 4 times higher in primigravida, but, it is only 1.5 times here because post caesarean pregnancies with obstetric complications were more common indications of caesarean section in multigravida PROM cases of the present study.

In both PROM cases & controls where labor was induced or augmented with oxytocin, caesarean section was needed in a few cases for fetal distress or non progress of labor. The requirement of oxytocin infusion for induction & augmentation of labor was found to be significantly more in PROM cases than controls in this study. The reason for this is that, if labor does not start within 12 hrs after rupture of membranes, chance of infection leading to maternal & neonatal morbidities will be higher. So, oxytocin infusion is widely used to manage such PROM cases. Continuation of oxytocin infusion depends on progress of labor, mostly upto 12 hrs.

The higher incidence of fetal distress in PROM cases compared to controls is not statistically significant, according to the present study. The apparent higher incidence may be due to drainage of liquor leading to reduction of size of gravid uterus causing reduction of uteroplacental circulation, prematurity, infection, induction & augmentation with oxytocin.

Ill fitting presenting part due to malpresentation, malpositions and non engaged presenting part may be the reasons for cord prolapse in PROM. Occult cord prolapse is a distinct possibility. The results of the present study are not statistically significant, but higher number of case studies are essential to conclude the association of cord prolapse with PROM. Studies conducted by Russel and Anderson (1962), Sacks and Baker (1967) & Gunn et al (1970) reported overall incidence of cord prolapse in 0.3-0.5% of cases and in 2-4% of PROM cases. The present study where 2% cord prolapse was detected in PROM cases was consistent with the incidence reported by above authors.

Conclusion:
The following observations were made from the present study:

• Majority of PROM cases started labor within 24 hours of rupture & onset of labor is more rapid with increasing gestational age

• Induction and augmentation of labor with slow oxytocin drip were more often needed during pregnancy and labor respectively in PROM cases.

• Majority of the PROM cases delivered vaginally, but the operative interferences were more often needed in PROM cases, when compared with controls.

Hence the following conclusion may be drawn from the present study:

Once PROM has occurred, controversy lies regarding whether or not to deliver the baby at that particular gestational age. The concern is that, not delivering the baby exposes the fetus to the risk of infection. Alternately, delivering the baby increases the risk of prematurity & its complications. Careful identification of present or impending complications, and individualizing the management based on gestational age and the presence or likelihood of these complications currently holds best hopes for optimizing fetomaternal outcome in PROM cases.
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