Galactic cartography with SkyMapper – I. Population substructure and the stellar number density of the inner halo
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ABSTRACT

The stars within our Galactic halo presents a snapshot of its ongoing growth and evolution, probing galaxy formation directly. Here, we present our first analysis of the stellar halo from detailed maps of Blue Horizontal Branch (BHB) stars drawn from the SkyMapper Southern Sky Survey. To isolate candidate BHB stars from the overall population, we develop a machine-learning approach through the application of an Artificial Neural Network (ANN), resulting in a relatively pure sample of target stars. From this, we derive the absolute \( u \) magnitude for the BHB sample to be \( \sim 2 \) mag, varying slightly with \((v - g)\)0 and \((u - v)\)0 colours. We examine the BHB number density distribution from 5272 candidate stars, deriving a double power law with a break radius of \( r_b = 11.8 \pm 0.3 \) kpc, and inner and outer slopes of \( \alpha_{in} = -2.5 \pm 0.1 \) and \( \alpha_{out} = -4.5 \pm 0.3 \), respectively. Through isochrone fitting of simulated BHB stars, we find a colour-age/metallicity correlation, with older/more metal-poor stars being bluer, and establish a parameter to indicate this age (or metallicity) variation. Using this, we construct the three-dimensional population distribution of BHB stars in the halo and identify significant substructure. Finally, in agreement with previous studies, we also identify a systemic age/metallicity shift spanning \( \sim 3 \) kpc to \( \sim 20 \) kpc in Galactocentric distance.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Our own Milky Way presents us with the most detailed view of a large galaxy structure. In his seminal work, Baade (1954) initially proposed the hierarchical assembly of the Galaxy, picturing that our galaxy has accreted and merged with other galaxies to grow; several key pieces of evidence support this theory, such as the colour gradient in the globular cluster population (Searle & Zinn 1978). More recently, high-resolution simulations have revealed the scars that hierarchical merging and accretion will leave on a galaxy. Bullock & Johnston (2005) suggest that our halo should be almost entirely built up from tidally disrupted systems. The different duration and time-scale of accretion and merging – in the inner and outer parts of the halos – lead to a picture of younger, discrete substructures superimposed on an older, well-mixed background. Font et al. (2006) suggest that the accretion history of galaxies stands behind the shape of the metallicity distribution of stars in the halo and in surviving satellites. The most-metal rich halo could have accreted a large number of massive satellites, indicating different grow up histories between the metal-rich M31 and the metal-poor Milky Way. Observations could examine the conclusions from simulations. With the advancement in imaging and spectroscopic technologies, a number of extensive surveys of the Galactic stellar halo have been undertaken such as: the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS, Skrutskie et al. 2006), Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, York et al. 2000), the Sloan Extension of Galactic Understanding and Exploration (SEGUE, Yanny et al. 2009), Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fibre Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST, Deng et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2012), and the Canada–France Imaging Survey (CFIS, Ibata et al. 2017). Among targets in these observations, blue horizontal branch (BHB) stars have been proven to effectively indicate Galactic structure (Sirko et al. 2004a; Clewley & Kinman 2006; Bell et al. 2010; Xue et al. 2011; Deason, Belokurov & Evans 2011), kinematics (Sirko et al. 2004b; Kafle et al. 2012; Kinman et al. 2012; Deason et al. 2012; Kafle et al. 2013; Hattori et al. 2013), and dynamics (Xue et al. 2008; Kafle et al. 2014). These stars, having evolved off the main sequence and possessing stable core helium...
stellar and non-stellar sources – has been published. In this paper, we use the SkyMapper Southern Sky Survey to provide the first detailed population and number distribution maps of BHB stars, exploring and examining the properties of the Galactic halo.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents details of the data selection, outlining our approach to the identification of BHB stars from colour–colour relationships drawn from the SkyMapper DR1 Southern Sky Survey. In Section 3, we present the absolute magnitude calibration of our BHB sample based on several stellar clusters and parallax separately. We discuss the correlation of BHB stellar ages and metallicity with their SkyMapper colour in Section 4, and finally, present our southern sky colour/population map and BHB number density distribution in Section 5. In closing, Section 6 summarizes our results and conclusions.

2 DATA

2.1 Observational data

The SkyMapper filter set has six bands, with its $r$, $i$, and $z$ bands being similar to corresponding SDSS bands. Its $u$ band is relatively bluer than the corresponding SDSS filter, emulating the Strömgren $u$ band, while the $g$ band is redder than the SDSS $g$ band. Between these redefined $u$ and $g$ bands, SkyMapper has a relatively narrow $v$ band, which is metallicity sensitive (Bessell et al. 2011). In its DR1, SkyMapper has reached limits of 17.75, 17.5, 18, 17.75, 17.5 mag for its $u$, $v$, $g$, $r$, $i$, and $z$ band photometry, respectively.

The aim is to find the BHB stars in SkyMapper DR1 as much as possible, with the contamination kept as less as possible. The Blue Straggler (BS) stars are the primary contamination in BHB sample set selected by colour (Clewley et al. 2002; Sirko et al. 2004a, and references therein), which cannot be ignored considering that the ratio of BS stars to BHB stars is $\sim 1.5-2.0$ in the inner halo (Santucci et al. 2015a). Additionally, though less significantly, the hot Main Sequence (MS) stars could be mixed up in the selected BHB sample (see later in the colour–colour diagram). To distinguish BHB and BS, as well as MS stars, some earlier works, such as Clewley et al. (2002) and Sirko et al. (2004a), presented a robust method based on the Balmer $H_\gamma$ and $H_\delta$ line profile parameters to cull the contaminants. Though this is not feasible to SkyMapper since it only has the photometric measurement, we applied the method to SEGUE spectrum data and acquired a clean SkyMapper BHB/BS/MS sample by crossmatch in the overlap region of SEGUE and SkyMapper.

This sample is then used as a training set to help train an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) to locate the BHB stars in the SkyMapper colour–colour diagram, which were exerted on the entire SkyMapper DR1 catalogue to isolate candidate BHB stars.

We note that the extinction has been corrected throughout based on the Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998, hereafter S98).1

2.2 SEGUE BHB stars

SEGUE (including SEGUE-1 and SEGUE-2) library contains more than 300 000 spectra, which makes it impossible to profile all stars.

---

1S98 are known to overestimate the amount of reddening, and we exclude the low Galactic latitude stars where the extinction is significant. For our sample, the difference of $E(B-V)$ of our sample predicted by the more recent Schlafly et al. (2010, hereafter S10) and S98 is less than 0.06 mag (mostly less than 0.02 mag) and we employ S98 for consistency with previous studies.
with limit computational time; hence, before we could select BHB sample from SEGUE, we apply a geometry and a colour cut to reduce the sample size. In detail, a geometry cut of the star in J2000 from SkyMapper. The fifth to tenth column presents the SkyMapper u, v, and g bands photometric result and uncertainty, which are used as an indicator for classification. The last column is the star type as determined as part of this study.

Table 1. An example table of the BHB/BS/MS sample set drawn from SkyMapper. The first column is the SkyMapper object ID, which can be used to locate stars. The second column is the corresponding Spectral ID in SEGUE, in the format of PLATE-MJD-FIBER. The third and fourth columns give the location of the star in J2000 from SkyMapper. The fifth to tenth column presents the SkyMapper u, v, and g bands photometric result and uncertainty, which are used as an indicator for classification. The last column is the star type as determined as part of this study.

| Object id | SDSS SPECID | R.A. | Dec. | $u_{gpf}$ | $e_{u_{gpf}}$ | $v_{gpf}$ | $e_{v_{gpf}}$ | $g_{gpf}$ | $e_{g_{gpf}}$ | Type |
|-----------|-------------|------|------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------|
| 068139812 | 0513-51989-0528 | 174.654 | 3.149 | 16.602 | 0.009 | 15.820 | 0.005 | 15.133 | 0.014 | BHB |
| 47695470 | 2057-53816-0126 | 124.320 | -0.469 | 15.475 | 0.024 | 14.835 | 0.012 | 14.222 | 0.010 | BHB |
| 60798240 | 2176-54629-0474 | 209.700 | -8.977 | 14.988 | 0.006 | 14.395 | 0.027 | 13.819 | 0.021 | BHB |
| 47666082 | 2806-54425-0124 | 122.583 | -8.684 | 15.014 | 0.026 | 14.861 | 0.019 | 14.199 | 0.018 | BHB |
| 49653790 | 2806-54425-0259 | 122.251 | -8.599 | 15.596 | 0.020 | 15.744 | 0.007 | 15.142 | 0.009 | BHB |
| 100270164 | 0922-52426-0571 | 231.901 | -1.435 | 17.774 | 0.035 | 16.961 | 0.078 | 16.439 | 0.021 | BS |
| 100910930 | 0924-52409-0281 | 236.479 | -1.223 | 17.158 | 0.042 | 16.527 | 0.055 | 15.828 | 0.005 | BS |
| 5347974 | 0926-52413-0408 | 335.443 | -0.123 | 18.089 | 0.243 | 17.712 | 0.026 | 17.092 | 0.013 | BS |
| 48442794 | 0984-52442-0259 | 129.310 | 4.299 | 16.471 | 0.029 | 15.870 | 0.028 | 15.156 | 0.006 | BS |
| 48443046 | 0990-52465-0452 | 129.713 | 3.896 | 16.644 | 0.014 | 15.838 | 0.012 | 15.294 | 0.002 | BS |
| 65406019 | 2178-54629-0442 | 182.693 | -0.233 | 16.254 | 0.011 | 15.916 | 0.011 | 15.306 | 0.009 | MS |
| 65396598 | 2178-54629-0512 | 181.608 | -0.566 | 18.110 | 0.054 | 17.478 | 0.052 | 16.848 | 0.006 | MS |
| 65393432 | 2186-54327-0437 | 180.421 | -0.652 | 16.958 | 0.027 | 16.628 | 0.034 | 15.715 | 0.004 | MS |
| 68375791 | 2198-53918-0007 | 182.443 | 0.938 | 16.047 | 0.017 | 15.729 | 0.011 | 14.945 | 0.004 | MS |
| 65430024 | 2198-53918-0027 | 184.064 | -0.295 | 17.640 | 0.018 | 17.378 | 0.078 | 16.427 | 0.008 | MS |

Before we train the ANN and find all the BHB stars in SkyMapper DR1, we crossmatch between SkyMapper and SEGUE with the position difference less than 1.8 arcsec, from which we find 374 BHB stars, 156 BS stars, and 4917 MS stars in SkyMapper. In Fig. 2, we compare the colour–colour diagrams of BHB/BS/MS stars from SkyMapper and SDSS. SkyMapper colours, $(u - v)$ versus $(v - g)$ and $(r - i)$ versus $(i - z)$, are presented; SDSS colours, $(u - g)$ versus $(g - r)$ and $(r - i)$ versus $(i - z)$, are shown as comparison. For both SkyMapper and SDSS, we find that BHB stars are indistinguishable from BS stars in the red colour diagrams; in the blue colour diagrams, the BHB stars are more clearly isolated from BS stars in SkyMapper than in SDSS – due to the difference between SkyMapper and SDSS filter sets – with which we expect to train a reliable ANN.

We randomly select 5 per cent of our sample as a test set, which contains 19 BHB stars, 8 BS stars, and 246 MS stars; the training set, with test set excluded, has 355 BHB stars, 148 BS stars, and 4671 MS stars. Then we apply a 2-hidden-layer ANN with 32 neurons – an algorithm from scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al. 2011) with multilayer perceptron – with $(u - v)$ and $(v - g)$ as indicators, which, as in Fig. 2, depict the boundary of BHB stars clearly.

When fitting the training set, we assume the probability of a star being a BHB star to be zero when the star is located far enough from our sample in colour–colour diagram. The top panel of Fig. 3 shows the ANN fitting result, where the probabilities of being BHB/BS/MS stars are drawn as contours. We were concerned that the selection effect may influence the fitting considering the size of training/test set, and to avoid that, we perform the fitting 100 times with randomly...
selected training/test set. The bottom panel of Fig. 3 demonstrates the ratio of successfully identified BHB in each test, which tells us that most tests have a success rate higher than 80 per cent. Later in this study, we select a star if its probability of being a BHB star is larger than 60 per cent, providing a good agreement with predicted distributions.

When preparing the SkyMapper data, we select stars whose latitude $|b|$ is larger than 25° to avoid contamination from the Galactic disc. Additionally, following photometric quality labels are considered:

\[
\begin{align*}
nimaflags &= 0, \\
flags &= 0, \\
ngood &> 1, \\
ngood_{\text{min}} &> 1 \text{ and} \\
nch_{\text{max}} &= 1
\end{align*}
\]
to ensure a clean and reliable data set. The photometric uncertainties in the $u$, $v$, and $g$ bands are shown in Fig. 4, which clearly shows that most stars in our sample have photometric uncertainties smaller than 0.1 mag.

In total, the ANN selected 16 970 BHB stars from SkyMapper DR1. We present their colour distribution in Fig. 5, where probabilities of the stars being BHB stars are colour coded. The training set and selected BHB stars are available online as supplementary material.

3 DISTANCE CALIBRATION

The BHB stars are wildly used as standard candle (see references in Section 1); the actual absolute magnitude of each BHB star is influenced by the properties of the stellar envelope, particularly the metallicity and temperature (Wilhelm, Beers & Gray 1999; Sirkö et al. 2004a; Fermani & Schönrich 2013), which also vary the colour of BHB stars. We measure BHB stars’ absolute magnitude in SkyMapper photometry with two independent methods: calibrate the absolute magnitude with stellar clusters and with Gaia DR1 parallax (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016a,b). Following in this section, we present the details of each method.

3.1 Scaling relation from clusters

The distances to globular clusters are reliable due to many aspects: the globular clusters are compact so that the distance dispersion per system is negligible, and varied distance measurements are feasible to globular clusters like by RR Lyrae stars and isochrone fitting. The trustful distance inspires us to calibrate the absolute magnitude of BHB stars with well-studied globular clusters.

For this study, we choose four fiducial globular clusters as distance calibrators: NGC 5139 ($\omega$ Centauri), M22, NGC 6397, and M55. They are all located at roughly $\sim10$ kpc with respect to the Sun, each possessing well-measured distance moduli. Here we adopt four latest work on the distance moduli of the above four clusters: Braga et al. (2018) use RR Lyrae stars to constrain NGC 5139’s distance and extinction; Kunder et al. (2013) also use RR Lyrae stars for M22; McNamara (2011) takes the mean of the results from RR Lyrae stars and $\delta$ Scuti for M55; Brown et al. (2018) calibrate the distance for NGC 6397 through trigonometric parallax. Table 2 presents the resultant extinction and distance moduli of the four clusters used in this study.
Table 2. The four globular clusters used as the distance calibrators in this study. The first column presents their name, while the second is their extinction and the last column is their distance modulus. The distance to NGC 5139 (ω Centauri), M22, and M55 are calculated based on RR Lyrae stars where NGC 6397 is based on main sequence fitting. The distance moduli (DM) are extinction corrected.

| Name    | $E(B - V)_{SFD}$ / mag | DM / mag   |
|---------|------------------------|------------|
| NGC 5139 | 0.138                  | 13.67 ± 0.045 |
| M22     | 0.328                  | 12.67 ± 0.125 |
| NGC 6397| 0.198                  | 11.89 ± 0.115 |
| M55     | 0.148                  | 13.62 ± 0.05 |

Figure 6. The CMD of stars in NGC 5139 (blue), NGC 6397 (green), M22 (orange), and M55 (red) with uncertainties marked. Stars that belong to these clusters concentrate around $M_u = 2$ mag, and foreground and background stars sit distinct from this sequence.

We employ the trained ANN to select BHB stars within $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ regions that centred at each cluster. The crowding issues could be crucial at the centre of globular clusters, which we avoid by requiring the PSF photometric quality being better than 0.9 so that most stars in the centre of the clusters are ignored. Following this, we applied the adopted distance moduli to each field to calculate the absolute magnitude of our sample and assemble them in Fig. 6, where the BHB stars concentrate around $M_u = 2$ mag, with some foreground and background stars dispersed.

The absolute magnitude in Fig. 6 varies slowly with colour, which is what we expect for old BHB stars. To describe that, we use an MCMC (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) routine (see the parameter probability distribution in Fig. 7) to fit the distribution with a simple linear function:

$$M_u = p_0 + p_1 \times (v - g)_0$$

$$p_0 = 2.14_{-0.10}^{+0.19}, \quad p_1 = -0.34_{-0.49}^{+0.50}.$$  (7)

Here in this fitting, we exclude foreground and background stars by selecting stars in the range $1.5 < M_u < 2.5$, which is a broad cut to encompass the entire BHB population as shown in Fig. 6.

We compare the absolute magnitude inferred from this fitting result with the original value from cluster calibration, and in Fig. 8, we present the residual against inferred absolute magnitudes. The $\text{rms}$ of the residual is 0.124 mag, corresponding to a distance uncertainty of 5 per cent. We assume the intrinsic uncertainties – like the actual properties and environment of each star, the size of the globular clusters – are included in above 5 per cent distance uncertainty. Additionally, we mulled over the cluster distances uncertainty due to differing approaches and found it beyond the scope of this paper. In considering the potential influence on our final results, we adopt another 5 per cent systematic distance error (corresponding to 0.124 mag, assuming they are at the same scale of the $\text{rms}$) in our final calculations.

Equation (7), as well as the sky position, locate each BHB star in three-dimensional space. We present the heliocentric radial number distribution of the BHB stars from our sample in Fig. 9, where they extend to $\sim 11$ kpc.

3.2 Scaling from Gaia DR1 parallax

Parallax is the most straightforward way to determine distance, which has been part of Gaia project (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016a,b). Given the overlap between Gaia and the SkyMapper foot-
prints, we searched for BHB stars with parallax measured by Gaia DR1, and further determine the absolute magnitude for those BHB stars. This acts as an independent measurement, complementing that from the clusters calibration.

We found 270 BHB stars with parallaxes listed in Gaia DR1, combined SkyMapper photometry, whose absolute magnitudes were calculated with

$$\begin{align*}
M &= m + 5 \log_{10}(0.01 \times \sigma) \\
\sigma_M &= \frac{5 \sigma}{\ln 10} \times \sigma_m.
\end{align*}$$

(8)

The distribution of the absolute magnitude of BHB stars calibrated with Gaia parallax and clusters are presented in Fig. 10. We find a single peak at ~2 mag for both distributions while the result from Gaia disperses relatively larger due to parallax uncertainties. From equation (8), we find the absolute magnitude uncertainty from parallax is $\sigma_{mag} = 1.46$ mag. No prominent second peak means that there is no distinct different population of stars in our selected BHB sample set.

### 4 COLOUR–COLOUR RELATIONSHIP FOR BHB STARS

While their luminosities will be roughly constant, the temperature, and hence the colour of old BHB stars will be influenced by their metallicity and envelope mass. Metal-rich BHB stars will be cooler since it increases the opacity of the stellar envelope; different envelope masses, resulted from processes such as mass-loss in the RGB phase, will further vary the temperature of a population of BHB stars.

To investigate how the physical properties of BHB stars influence their photometric properties, previous studies employ stellar-evolution code to derive the correlation between BHB colour and age (Santucci et al. 2015b), with the MESA isochrone (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015). In this work, we generate a series of MIST (MESA Isochrone and Stellar Track, Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016) isochrone from $[\text{Fe/H}] = -4$ to $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.5$ with Kroupa initial mass function (IMF, Kroupa 2001). We select those stars that are:

- On the core helium burning branch
- Core mass larger than 10 per cent of stellar mass
- Effective temperature of $7000 < T < 13000$ K

These selections exclude massive core helium burning stars and red horizontal branch stars. In Fig. 11 we present the selected MIST stars in terms of $(u - v)_0$ versus $(v - g)_0$ colours, colour-coded with metallicity (upper panel) and age (lower panel), respectively. These demonstrate that $(u - v)_0$ colour is sensitive to the properties of the BHB stars, with metal-poor/older stars being bluer in $(u - v)_0$ colour, but clearly age and metallicity are degenerate.

Given that there is not a simple relationship for BHB stars in this colour–colour space, we consider a simple re-parametrization of the properties seen in Fig. 11. For this, we define the quantity $(u - v)_0 + 5\sigma[(v - g)_0 - 0.35]^2$, to indicate the different properties, with the red line in each panel denoting a fiducial case where $(u - v)_0 = -5\sigma[(v - g)_0 - 0.35]^2 + 1.2$. A larger value of this quantity indicates more metal-rich/younger BHBs, while conversely a smaller value indicates a metal-poor/older population. This relationship will be used in the discussion of the results of this paper to show metallicity/age variability through the stellar halo as seen in the southern sky.

### 5 RESULTS

#### 5.1 Number density distribution

The stellar number density distribution of the Galactic halo is thought to trace the accretion history of the Galaxy, and there has been a number of key studies using different indicators to depict the profile. For inner Galactic halo, Xue et al. (2015) used RGB stars to find a power-law index $\alpha_{in} = 2.1 \pm 0.3$ and flattening $q_{in} = 0.70 \pm 0.02$, with a break radius $r_1 = 18 \pm 1$ kpc. Pila-Díez et al. (2015) found a steeper $\alpha_{in} = 2.5 \pm 0.04$, $q_{in} = 0.79 \pm 0.02$ ($r_1 = 19.5 \pm 0.4$ kpc) with MSTO stars. An even steeper stellar halo of $\alpha_{in} = 2.8 \pm 0.4$, $q_{in} = 0.7$ (fixed) ($r_1 = 28.5 \pm 5.6$ kpc) was found by Faccioli et al. (2014) with RR Lyrae Stars. BHB stars were used as a tracer by Deason et al. (2011), who found an intermediate $\alpha_{in} = 2.3 \pm 0.1$, $q_{in} = 0.59 \pm 0.03$ ($r_1 = 27.1 \pm 1$ kpc).

It is insightful to examine the BHB halo number density with our sample derived from Fig. 9. To do this, we first select stars with Galactic altitude $|Z| > 4$ kpc to avoid contamination from the disc and $u < 17.1$ mag to avoid incompleteness issues. This finally selects 5272 BHB stars, with which we calculate the stellar density...
Figure 11. The colour–colour distributions of BHB stars drawn from MIST isochrones with $-4 < [\text{Fe/H}] < 0.5$, colour-coded in terms of metallicity (upper panel) and age (lower panel). These clearly reveal colour-metallicity/colour-age correlations. The metal-poor/old stars are bluer $(u-v)_0$ in colour, while metal-rich/young stars are redder. To represent the observed correlation, we define the quantity, $(u-v)_0 + 5\sigma[(v-g)_0 - 0.35]^2$ represented as a red line in both panels.

\begin{equation}
\rho(r) = \frac{N(r)}{\epsilon(r)}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
r^2 = X^2 + Y^2 + \left(\frac{Z}{q}\right)^2
\end{equation}

where $N(r)$ is the number of stars at $r$, $\epsilon(r)$ is the surface area at radius $r$ covered by our sample, and $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ are Galactocentric coordinates of the stars, and $q$ represents a flattening of the distribution.

With equation (9), we find a break in the number density profile around $r = 11$ kpc. To depict the profile, we run an MCMC routine (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) with a double power law and a fixed $q = 0.76$ consistent with star counts at high Galactic latitude (see Sharma et al. 2011, and reference therein). Fig. 12 demonstrates the profile and the MCMC results; the best-fitting break radius is $r_s = 11.8 \pm 0.3$ kpc. Within the break radius, the power-law index is $\alpha_{\text{in}} = -2.5 \pm 0.1$. Outside of this radius, the power-law index is $\alpha_{\text{out}} = -4.5 \pm 0.3$.

This implies that in the concentration of old/metal-poor stars, there still is an age fluctuation $\sim 1$ Gyr or metallicity fluctuation of $\sim 0.1$ dex, with a scale of $\sim 1$ kpc.

The lower right-hand panel of Fig. 13 presents the distance-colour diagram, where we saw a colour shift of 0.05 mag in the distance range $0 - 15$ kpc, indicating a systematic metallicity ($\sim 0.2$ dex) or age ($\sim 1 - 2$ Gyr) change outwards, and in agreement with the results from Preston et al. (1991).

5.2 Colour distribution

In the following text, we present the colour (the redefined quantity in Section 4) distribution of BHB stars in the Galactic halo as revealed by SkyMapper, in particular focusing on the large-scale variations and inhomogeneities in the BHB population.

To obtain an overview of the colour distribution, we create a binned map with the bin size of 1 kpc$^2$, and apply a Gaussian smoothing with kernel $\sigma = 2\sigma$ pixel length. In Fig. 13, we present the distributions in the X–Z, X–Y, and Y–Z Galactic planes: most stars in the centre are relatively blue, which are either old or metal-poor; superimposed on this background, colour fluctuations are clear – those substructures are relatively young or metal-rich.

With a rough estimation from Fig. 11, a change of 0.1 mag in colour corresponds to a change of $\sim 3 - 4$ Gyr in age or $\sim 0.5$ dex in [Fe/H]. That implies that in the concentration of old/metal-poor stars, there still is an age fluctuation $\sim 1$ Gyr or metallicity fluctuation of $\sim 0.1$ dex, with a scale of $\sim 1$ kpc.

The lower right-hand panel of Fig. 13 presents the distance-colour diagram, where we saw a colour shift of 0.05 mag in the distance range $0 - 15$ kpc, indicating a systematic metallicity ($\sim 0.2$ dex) or age ($\sim 1 - 2$ Gyr) change outwards, and in agreement with the results from Preston et al. (1991).

6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

SkyMapper is providing us with a new view of the southern sky, with which, in this paper, we present a new colour map of the Galactic halo distribution of BHB stars. The BHB stars in the SkyMapper colour–colour diagram are separated from other stars so that it is easier to isolate the target stars with the ANN, which is more straightforward than that applicable to SDSS since SkyMapper has a bluer and narrower filter set. With this, future data releases of
SkyMapper offer great promise for providing a precise number density profile and detailed maps of the colour-age/metallicity distribution of the halo. Using the current version of SkyMapper we have reached following conclusions with BHB stars:

We calculate the absolute magnitudes of BHB stars based on several well-measured globular clusters. These span a narrow range $\sim M_v = 2$ mag, varying slightly with colour, and the dispersion of the magnitude of BHB stars is 0.124 mag. Incorporating our adopted systematic distance uncertainty of 5 per cent, the overall distance uncertainty increases to $\sim 8$ per cent. This change does not significantly influence the conclusions of this paper, with very little influence on the colour distributions and other presented properties. We note for completeness that the largest resulting impact is an increase of the outer power-law index by $\sim 0.1$.

As a comparison, we also derive the absolute magnitude of a subset of BHB stars with a measured parallax from Gaia DR1. Though they have a different dispersion, we find these two methods give the same result within the uncertainties. Since the averaged absolute magnitude of BS stars is $\sim 2$ mag fainter than BHB stars (Deason et al. 2011), we expect the absolute magnitude of BS stars from Gaia should peak at $M_v = 0$. As no such peak is apparent, it is clear that our selection has produced a relatively clean sample of BHB stars.

The BHB properties, in particular, mass, metallicity, and age will influence its colour, and to consider the colour–colour properties of BHB stars, we generated synthetic stellar samples using the MIST isochrones. From these, it is clear that the colour influences due to age and/or metallicity cannot be uniquely distinguished from photometric data only. Our conclusions, therefore, reflect potential variation in either, or both, of these quantities.

We estimated the halo stellar number density based on BHB stars and found a break at Galactocentric distance $r_s = 11.7 \pm 0.3$ kpc. Within the break radius, we found a power-law index of $\alpha_{\text{in}} = 1.10$. This indicates a steepening of the density profile inward from the break radius.
−2.5 ± 0.1, while the index beyond the break radius is \( \alpha_{\text{out}} = −4.5 ± 0.3 \). The inner index agrees with previous results, but the break radius is much smaller than previous claims of \( r_s = 25 ± 10 \) kpc (Deason et al. 2011; Pila-Diez et al. 2015; Xue et al. 2015; Bland-Hawthorn & Gerhard 2016). Wolf et al. (2018) suggest the median point source completeness limits is \( \mu < 17.75 \). While we set the magnitude cut at \( \mu < 17.1 \) to avoid the impact of incompleteness, the full survey photometric limits and zero-points of the SkyMapper Survey will be investigated in later data releases.

Finally, we present a three-dimensional colour map of BHB stars in the southern sky, revealing substantial substructures that indicate significant age (\( \sim 1 \) Gyr) or metallicity (\( \sim 0.1 \) dex) variations which are important as they are the potential signatures of the accretion history of the Galaxy (Bullock & Johnston 2005). Accompanying those substructures, we find a systematic colour shift from the centre of the Milky Way outwards, suggesting a large-scale metallicity/age variation through the halo. Such variations are natural predictions of an accreted stellar halo in hierarchical cosmological formation models (Bullock & Johnston 2005). This result resembles some previous works’ conclusions. Most recently, Grady, Belokurov & Evans (2018) found a similar age gradient with O-Mira stars. Carollo et al. (2016) and Santucci et al. (2015b) presented the BHB colour distribution in the northern sky based on SDSS, interpreting these substructures as age fluctuations. Ibata, Mouhcine & Rejkuba (2009) found significant small-scale variations of colour and metallicity in NGC 891, an edge-on galaxy that is an analogue of the Milky Way. Font et al. (2006) suggest that in their simulation, most metal-poor stars in the Galactic halo are buried within the central \( \sim 5 \) kpc of the Galaxy, indicating that BHB colour substructure could also be resulted from metallicity variation.

It is also clear that the SkyMapper DR1 is not yet deep enough for a thorough exploration of the Galactic halo. Assuming that BHB stars possess an absolute magnitude of \( M_v = 2 \) mag, based on Section 3, a BHB star with an apparent magnitude \( u_0 = 17.75 \) mag will be at a distance of 14.12 kpc. However, SkyMapper clearly has the advantage of a narrower filter set to select a better BHB sample and future data releases hold great promise for expanding our understanding of the Galactic halo.
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