1. Introduction

The problem of the motion of an artificial satellite of the Earth was not given serious attention until 1957. At this time, little was known about the magnitudes of the coefficients of the tesseral and sectorial harmonics in the Earth’s gravitational potential. It was pretty well known at this time (1957–1960) that the contributions of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th zonal harmonics were of order higher than the contribution of the 2nd zonal harmonic, but the values of the coefficients $C_{30}$, $C_{40}$, and $C_{50}$ were not very well established. No reliable information was available for the tesseral or the sectorial coefficients except that the observations of orbiting satellites indicated that these coefficients must be small, certainly no more than the first order with respect to $C_{20}$.

For low Earth orbits within an altitude less than 480 km, if the satellite attitude is stabilized, or at least a mean projected area could be estimated, the perturbative effects of atmospheric drag should be included. Unfortunately, the literature is still void of even a mention of this topic of balancing this kind of very low Earth orbits. The reason may be the present increased interest in space communications and broadcasting, which still make use of the geostationary orbits that lie beyond the effects of atmospheric drag, though they still suffer the effects of drift solar radiation pressure.

With the advance of the space age, it became clear that most space applications require fixing, as strictly as possible, the areas covered by the satellite or the constellation of satellites. In turn, fixing the coverage regions requires fixed nodes and fixed apsidal lines. This in turn leads to the search for orbits satisfying these requirements. The families of orbits satisfying such conditions are called “frozen orbits” [1–5]. Clearly, the design of such orbits includes the effects of the perturbing influences that affect the motion of the satellite. As the present work is interested in low Earth orbits, only the effect of Earth oblateness is taken into concern. These have been extensively treated in the literature [6–10].

This paper is aiming at constructing an atlas of the balanced low Earth satellite orbits, which fall in the range from 600 km to 2000 km above sea surface, in the sense that the variations of the elements are averaged over the fast angle to keep only long periodic and secular variations that affect the orbit accumulatively with time. In this paper, a model is given for the averaged effects (over the mean anomaly) of
Earth oblateness. Then, the Lagrange planetary equations for perturbations of the elements are investigated to get sets of orbital values at which the variations of the elements can be cancelled simultaneously.

2. Earth Potential

The actual shape of the Earth is that of an eggplant. The center of mass does not lie on the spin axis, and neither the meridian nor the latitudinal contours are circles. The net result of this irregular shape is to produce a variation in the gravitational acceleration to that predicted using a point mass distribution. This variation reaches its maximum value at latitude 45 deg and approaches zero at latitudes 0 and 90 deg.

The motion of a particle around the Earth can be visualized best by resolving it into individual motions along the meridian and the latitudinal contours. The motion around the meridian can be thought of as consisting of different periodic motions called “zonal harmonics.” Similarly, the motion along a latitudinal contour can be visualized as consisting of different periodic motions called “tesseral harmonics.” The zonal harmonics describe the deviations of a meridian from a great circle, while the tesseral harmonics describe the deviations of a latitudinal contour from a circle.

At points exterior to the Earth, the mass density is zero, thus, at external points the gravitational potential satisfies

\[ V^2 V = 0, \]  

where \( V \) is a scalar function representing the potential. Also, the gravitational potential of the Earth must vanish as we recede to infinitely great distances. With these conditions on the above equation, the potential \( V \) at external points can be represented in the following form [11]:

\[ V = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left( \frac{R^n}{r} \right) P_m^n(\sin \delta) (C_{nm} \cos m \alpha + S_{nm} \sin m \alpha). \]  

(2)

This expression of the potential is called “Venti potential,” and it was adopted by the IAU (International Astronomical Union) in 1961. The terms arising in the above equation are \( C_{nm} \) and \( S_{nm} \) are harmonic coefficients (they are bounded as is always the case in physical problems), \( R \) is the equatorial radius of the Earth, \( \mu = GM \) is the Earth’s gravitational constant, \( G \) is the universal constant of gravity, \( M \) is the mass of the Earth, and \( (r, \alpha, \delta) \) are the geocentric coordinates of the satellite (Figure 1, [12]) with a measured east of Greenwich, and \( P_m^n(\sin \delta) \) represents the associated Legendre polynomials.

The terms with \( m = 0 \) are called “zonal harmonics.”

The terms with \( 0 < m < n \) are called “tesseral harmonics.”

The terms with \( m = n \) are called “sectorial harmonics.”

The case of axial symmetry is expressed by taking \( m = 0 \), while if equatorial symmetry is assumed, we consider only even harmonics since \( P_{2n+1}(-x) = -P_{2n+1}(x) \). Also, the coefficients \( C_{21} \) and \( S_{21} \) are vanishingly small. Further if the origin is taken at the center of mass, the coefficients \( C_{10}, C_{11}, \) and \( S_{11} \) will be equal to zero.

Considering axial symmetry, with origin at the center of mass, we can write

\[ V = -\frac{\mu}{r} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{R^n}{r} \right) P_n(\sin \delta)C_{n0} \]

\[ = -\frac{\mu}{r} + \sum_{n \geq 2} J_n \left( \frac{R^n}{r^{n+1}} \right) P_n(\sin \delta), \]

(3)

where \( J_n = -C_{n0} \).

Taking terms up to \( j_4 \), we can write \( V \) in the following form:

\[ V = \sum_{i=1}^{4} V_i, \]

(4)

where

\[ V_0 = -\frac{\mu}{r}, \]

\[ V_1 = 0, \]

\[ V_2 = J_2 \left( \frac{R^2}{r^3} \right) P_2(\sin \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R^2}{r^3} \right) (3 \sin^2 \delta - 1), \]

\[ V_3 = J_3 \left( \frac{R^3}{r^4} \right) P_3(\sin \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R^3}{r^4} \right) (5 \sin^3 \delta - 3 \sin \delta), \]

\[ V_4 = J_4 \left( \frac{R^4}{r^5} \right) P_4(\sin \delta) = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{R^4}{r^5} \right) (3 - 30 \sin^2 \delta + 35 \sin^4 \delta). \]

(5)

It is a purely geometrical transformation to express the potential function \( V(r, \delta) \), given by the above equations, as a function of the Keplerian orbital elements \( a, e, i, \Omega, \omega, \) and \( I \) in their usual meanings (Figure 2, [12]), where \( a \) and \( e \) are the semi-major axis and the eccentricity of the orbit, respectively, \( i \) is the inclination of the orbit to the Earth’s equatorial plane, \( \Omega \) and \( \omega \) describes the position of the orbit in space where \( \Omega \) is the longitude of the ascending node and \( \omega \) is the argument of perigee, and finally, \( I \) is the mean anomaly to describe the position of the satellite with respect to the orbit.

Then, \( V(a, e, i, \Omega, \omega, I) \) is in a form suitable to use in Lagrange’s planetary equations, and in canonical
perturbations methods through the relation. From the spherical trigonometry of the celestial sphere, we have
\[
\sin \delta = Si \sin(f + \omega),
\]
where \(f\) is the true anomaly, \(\omega\) is the argument of perigee, and \(Si = \sin i, Ci = \cos i\).

Substituting for \(\delta\) in \(P_n(\sin \delta)\), we get
\[
P_2(\sin \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 3Si^2 \sin^2(f + g) - 1 \right] \\
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ (1 - 3Ci^2) - 3Si^2 \cos(2g + 2f) \right], \\
P_3(\sin \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 5Si^3 \sin^3(f + g) - 3Si \sin(f + g) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{8} \left[ (15Si^3 - 12Si) \sin(f + g) - 5Si^3 \cos(3f + 3g) \right], \\
P_4(\sin \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 3 - 30Si^2 \sin^2(f + g) + 35Si^4 \sin^4(f + g) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{64} \left[ (9 - 90Ci^2 + 105Ci^4) \\
+ (\cos(2f + 2g) + 3Si^4 \cos(4f + 4g)) \right].
\]

(7)

Thus, we get \(V_2, V_3,\) and \(V_4\) as functions of the orbital elements.

We now proceed to evaluate the effects of Earth oblateness, considering the geopotential up to the zonal harmonic \(J_4\).

In the present solution, we consider only the secular and long periodic terms, averaging over the mean anomaly \(l\).

2.1. The Disturbing Function. The disturbing function \(R\) is defined as follows:
\[
R = -(V_2 + V_3 + V_4),
\]
where \(V_2, V_3,\) and \(V_4\) are the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th terms of the geopotential, namely
\[
V_2 = \frac{\mu j_2 R^2}{4r^3} \left[ (1 - 3Ci^2) - 3Si^2 \cos(2f + 2\omega) \right],
\]
\[
V_3 = \frac{\mu j_3 R^3}{8r^4} \left[ (15Si^3 - 12Si) \sin(f + \omega) - 5Si^3 \sin(3f + 3\omega) \right],
\]
\[
V_4 = \frac{\mu j_4 R^4}{64r^5} \left[ (9 - 90Ci^2 + 105Ci^4) \\
+ (\cos(2f + 2\omega) + 3Si^4 \cos(4f + 4\omega)) \right] + 3Si^4 \cos(4f + 4\omega),
\]
\]
where \(Ci = \cos(i), Si = \sin(i),\) and \(f\) is the true anomaly.

Since terms depending only on the fast variable \(l\) do not affect the orbit in an accumulating way with time, we average the perturbing function \(R\) over the mean anomaly with its period \(2\pi\). The average function is defined by
\[
\langle F(l) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(l) dl.
\]
\[
\langle F(l) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^2 F(f) df.
\]

(12)

Applying the required integrals, we get the averaged disturbing function \(\langle R \rangle\)
\[
\langle R \rangle = -\langle V_2 \rangle + \langle V_3 \rangle + \langle V_4 \rangle,
\]
where
\[
\langle V_2 \rangle = \frac{\mu j_2 R^2}{4a^3} \left[ (1 - e^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (1 - 3Ci^2) \right],
\]
\[
\langle V_3 \rangle = \frac{\mu j_3 R^3}{8a^4} \left[ (1 - e^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (15Si^3 - 12Si) \sin(\omega) \right],
\]
\[
\langle V_4 \rangle = \frac{\mu j_4 R^4}{64a^5} \left[ (1 - e^2)^{-\frac{7}{2}} (1 + \frac{3e^2}{2}) (9 - 90Ci^2 + 105Ci^4) \\
+ \frac{3\mu j_4 R^4}{256a^6} (1 - e^2)^{-\frac{7}{2}} (\cos(2\omega) + 3Si^4 \cos(4\omega) \right],
\]
\]

(14)

2.2. Lagrange Equations for the Averaged Variations of the Elements. The Lagrange planetary equations for the variations of the elements for a disturbing potential \(R\) are as follows [13]:
\[\dot{a} = \frac{2}{n} \frac{\partial R}{\partial t},\]
\[\dot{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{n a^2 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \omega} + \frac{1-e^2}{n a^2 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \varepsilon},\]
\[i = \frac{1}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \Omega} + \frac{\cot(i)}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \omega} - \frac{\cot(i)}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \varepsilon},\]
\[\dot{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \Omega},\]
\[\dot{\omega} = \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{n a^2 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \omega} - \frac{Ci}{n a^2 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \varepsilon},\] (15)

where \(n\) is the mean motion given by \(n = \sqrt{\mu/a^3}\).

Substituting for the averaged disturbing function \(R\) due to Earth oblateness, the Lagrange equations become
\[
\dot{a} = 0, \quad \dot{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{n a^2 e} \frac{\partial \langle R \rangle}{\partial \omega}, \quad \dot{i} = \frac{1}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial \langle R \rangle}{\partial \Omega} + \frac{\cot(i)}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial \langle R \rangle}{\partial \omega} - \frac{\cot(i)}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial \langle R \rangle}{\partial \varepsilon}, \quad \dot{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n a^2 S} \frac{\partial \langle R \rangle}{\partial \Omega}, \quad \dot{\omega} = \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{n a^2 e} \frac{\partial \langle R \rangle}{\partial \omega} - \frac{Ci}{n a^2 e} \frac{\partial \langle R \rangle}{\partial \varepsilon}, \] (16) (17) (18) (19) (20)

where the equation for \(i\) is neglected because we concentrate on balancing the orbit position not the satellite motion in the orbit.

2.3. Variations of the Elements due to Earth Oblateness. Substituting for the required derivatives in equations (16) to (20) yields
\[
\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{3}{2a^{9/2}} \frac{\mu j_3 R^3}{a^{9/2}} (1-e^2)^{-2} \left(\frac{5}{4} S_i^2 - 1\right) S_i \cos(\omega) - \frac{15}{32a^{13/2}} \frac{\mu j_4 R^4}{a^{13/2}} (1-e^2)^{-3} \left(-1 + 8C_i^2 - 7C_i^4\right) \sin(2\omega). \] (21)

Defining
\[
\eta = (1-e^2), \quad c_1 = \frac{3j_3}{2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{15j_4}{32}, \quad F_{Si} = \left(\frac{5}{4} S_i^2 - 1\right) S_i, \quad F_{Si} = -4 + 7C_i^2; \]

We get
\[
\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{3}{2a^{9/2}} \frac{\mu j_3 R^3}{a^{9/2}} (1-e^2)^{-2} \left(\frac{5}{4} S_i^2 - 1\right) S_i \cos(\omega) - \frac{15}{32a^{13/2}} \frac{\mu j_4 R^4}{a^{13/2}} (1-e^2)^{-3} \left(-1 + 8C_i^2 - 7C_i^4\right) \sin(2\omega) - \frac{15}{16a^7} \frac{\mu j_4 R^4}{a^{13/2}} (1-e^2)^{-4} \left(-1 + 8C_i^2 - 7C_i^4\right) \sin(2\omega), \] (22) (23)

or
\[
i = \eta \frac{e \cot(i)}{\eta}, \quad \dot{\Omega} = \frac{15}{16a^7} \frac{\mu j_4 R^4}{a^{13/2}} (1-e^2)^{-4} \left(-1 + 8C_i^2 - 7C_i^4\right) \sin(2\omega), \] (24)

Defining
\[
c_3 = \frac{3j_3}{2}, \quad c_4 = \frac{3j_3}{8}, \quad c_5 = \frac{15j_4}{16}, \quad F_{Si} = 15S_i - \frac{4}{S_i}, \quad F_{Si} = 3 - 7C_i^2; \]

We get
\[
i = \eta \frac{e \cot(i)}{\eta}, \quad \dot{\Omega} = \frac{15}{16a^7} \frac{\mu j_4 R^4}{a^{13/2}} (1-e^2)^{-4} \left(-1 + 8C_i^2 - 7C_i^4\right) \sin(2\omega), \] (25)
\[ \dot{\Omega} = -\frac{C_i \sqrt{\mu R^4}}{\eta a^{11/2}} \left\{ c_s \left( \frac{a^2}{R} \right)^2 \eta^2 + c_i \frac{a}{R} e \eta F_{3i} \sin(\omega) + c_5 \left[ 1 + \frac{3e^2}{2} \right] F_{4i} + e^2 F_{5i} \cos(2\omega) \right\} , \]

(26)

\[ \dot{\omega} = -\frac{\mu j_i R^2}{4na^3} (1 - e^2)^{-1} (1 - 3C_i^2) - \frac{3\mu j_i R^2}{32na^3 e^i} \left( 1 + 4e^2 \right) (1 - e^2)^{-1} \left[ \frac{5}{4} S_i^2 - 1 \right] \sin(\omega) - \frac{15\mu j_i R^4}{32na^4} (1 - e^2)^{-1} \left[ 1 + \frac{3e^2}{4} \right] (3 - 30C_i^2 + 35C_i^4) + \left( 1 + \frac{5e^2}{2} \right) \left( -1 + 8C_i^2 - 7C_i^4 \right) \cos(2\omega) \right] \]

(27)

Defining as in equation (28), we get equation (29):

\[ c_s = \frac{3j_2}{4} \]

\[ F_{6i} = (1 - 3C_i^2), \]

\[ F_{7i} = 3 - 30C_i^2 + 35C_i^4, \]

(28)

\[ \dot{\omega} = -\frac{\sqrt{\mu R^4}}{\eta a^{11/2}} \left\{ c_s \left( \frac{a^2}{R} \right)^2 \eta^2 F_{6i} + c_i \frac{a}{R} e \eta F_{1i} \sin(\omega) + c_5 \left[ 1 + \frac{3e^2}{4} \right] F_{7i} + \left( 1 + \frac{5e^2}{2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{5e^2}{2} \right) F_{5i} \cos(2\omega) \right\} - C_i \dot{\Omega}. \]

(29)

Equations (22)–(29) give the average effects of Earth oblateness including the zonal harmonics of the geopotential up to \( J_4 \) on the Keplerian elements of the satellite orbit.

3. Balanced Low Earth Satellite Orbits

In what follows, we try to find orbits that are balanced in the sense that the averaged (over the fast variable \( t \)) variations of the orbit elements are set equal to zero. In equation (23), we put it equal to zero and get a relation between the argument of perigee \( \omega \) and the inclination \( i \), while treating the eccentricity \( e \) and the semi-major axis \( a \) as parameters. This will give a range of values for \( \omega \) and \( i \) at different values of \( e \) and \( a \), which are all give balanced orbits with respect to both the eccentricity \( e \) and the inclination \( i \). The same is done with equations (26) and (29), while putting \( \dot{\Omega} = 0 \) and \( \dot{\omega} = 0 \).

The applicable ranges for this model of the semi-major axis \( a \) are \( 1.1R \leq a \leq 1.3R \), where the range \( 1.4R - 2R \) is avoided due to the predominance of the radiation belts at these levels, to avoid the damages of the equipment that it may produce, besides its fatal effects on human life (for inhabited spacecrafts). The values for the eccentricity \( e \) are taken between 0.01 (almost circular orbit) and 0.5.

3.1. Orbits with Fixed Eccentricity and Inclination. By equating the variation of \( e \) by zero, we get

\[ \dot{e} = -\frac{\sqrt{\mu R^4}}{\eta a^{11/2}} \left( c_i \frac{a}{R} e \eta F_{1i} \cos(\omega) + c_5 e F_{5i} \sin(2\omega) \right) = 0. \]

(30)

This implies

\[ c_i \frac{a}{R} e \eta F_{1i} \cos(\omega) + c_5 e F_{5i} \sin(2\omega) = 0. \]

(31)

So either \( \cos(\omega) = 0 \) or

\[ \sin(\omega) = \frac{a}{eR} \left( 1 - e^2 \right) F(i), \]

(32)

where

\[ C_i = \frac{8J_3}{5J_4} \]

\[ F(i) = \frac{(1 - (5/4)\sin^2(i))\sin(i)}{1 - 8\cos^2(i) + 7\cos^4(i)} \]

(33)

Equations (32) and (33) give the family of low orbits that have both the eccentricity and the inclination fixed.

The condition for the existence of such orbits is clearly that

\[ -1 \leq \sin(\omega) \leq 1, \]

(34)

which is guaranteed by

\[ -1 \leq \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{eR} C_i F(i) \leq 1. \]

(35)

We put it as a condition on the eccentricity \( i \) only when

\[ -\frac{eR}{C_i a (1 - e^2)} \leq F(i) \leq \frac{eR}{C_i a (1 - e^2)}. \]

(36)

3.2. Orbits with Fixed Node. The families of orbits for which \( d\Omega/dt = 0 \) (i.e. with fixed nodes) are obtained from

\[ \frac{-C_i \sqrt{\mu R^4}}{\eta a^{11/2}} \left\{ c_s \left( \frac{a^2}{R} \right)^2 \eta^2 + c_i \frac{a}{R} e \eta F_{3i} \sin(\omega) + c_5 \left[ 1 + \frac{3e^2}{2} \right] F_{4i} + e^2 F_{5i} \cos(2\omega) \right\} = 0. \]

(37)

This implies

\[ c_s \left( \frac{a^2}{R} \right)^2 \eta^2 + c_i \frac{a}{R} e \eta F_{3i} \sin(\omega) + c_5 \left[ 1 + \frac{3e^2}{2} \right] F_{4i} + e^2 F_{5i} \sin(2\omega) \sin(\omega) = 0. \]

(38)
Table 1: Real values of $i$ (in degree) corresponding to the given values of $F(i)$.

| $F(i)$ | $i_1$  | $180 - i_1$ | $i_2$  | $-180 - i_2$ |
|--------|--------|------------|--------|-------------|
| 0.01   | 63.63  | 116.37     | -63.22 | -116.78     |
| 0.05   | 64.27  | 115.73     | -62.11 | -117.89     |
| 0.10   | 64.84  | 115.16     | -59.76 | -120.24     |
| 0.15   | 65.25  | 114.75     | -55.14 | -124.86     |
| 0.20   | 65.56  | 114.44     | -47.16 | -132.84     |

Figure 3: The curve $\omega(e)$ at which $\dot{i} = 0$ for $F(i) = 0.01$.

Figure 4: Curves of $\dot{\Omega}$ and $\dot{\omega}$ with $e$ for the 4 cases corresponding to $F(i) = 0.01$. (a) $i = 63.63$, (b) $i = -63.22$, (c) $i = 116.37$, and (d) $i = 116.78$. 

---

**Advances in Astronomy**
This can be arranged as a second order equation for \( \sin(\omega) \), giving a family of orbits with fixed argument of perigee for different values of \( \omega \) as a function of the inclination \( i \), the semi-major axis \( a \), and the eccentricity \( e \).

\[
- 2ce^2F_{SI} \sin^2(\omega) + c_5 a \eta F_{SI} \sin(\omega) \\
+ c_4 \left( \frac{a}{R} \right)^2 \eta^2 + c_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{3e^2}{2} \right] F_{SI} + e^2 F_{SI} = 0.
\] (39)
When solving for \( \sin(\omega) \), we get the family of orbits for which the longitude of the node is balanced,

\[
\sin(\omega) = \frac{2c_z z F_{3i} \pm \sqrt{4c_z^2 z^2 F_{3i}^2 + 16c_z^2 z^2 F_{3i}^2 (2 + 3e^2) + 2c_z^2 e^2 F_{5i}}}{8c_z e F_{5i}},
\]  

(40)

Figure 7: The curve \( \omega(e) \) at which \( \dot{e} = \dot{i} = 0 \) for \( F(i) = 0.01 \).

Figure 8: Curves of \( \dot{\Omega} \) and \( \dot{\omega} \) with \( e \) for the 4 cases corresponding to \( F(i) = 0.1 \). (a) \( i = -59.76 \), (b) \( i = 64.84 \), (c) \( i = -120.24 \), and (d) \( i = 115.16 \).
where
\[ z = \frac{a}{R} \eta. \]  
(41)

The condition for having the orbit if real solution exists is again that \(-1 \leq \sin(\omega) \leq 1\), which gives

\[ -1 \leq \frac{2cz^2 + (2 + 3c^2)c_F + 2c^2(c_5 - 1)F_{3i}}{c_1cF_{3i}} \leq 1, \quad F_{3i}, F_{3i} \neq 0. \]  
(42)

3.3. Orbits with Fixed Perigee. For the argument of perigee to balance, we solve \( \dot{\omega} = 0 \). We substitute from equation (26) into equation (29) then expand \( \cos(2\omega) \) and collect terms with respect to \( \sin(\omega) \). We get

\[ \dot{\omega} = A \sin^2(\omega) + B \sin(\omega) + C. \]  
(43)

Thus for \( \dot{\omega} = 0 \), we get

\[ \sin(\omega) = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}, \]  
(44)
where

\[
A = -2c_5e^2F_5 \cos^2(i) + 2c_2\left(1 + \frac{5}{2}e^2\right)F_2, \quad (45)
\]

\[
B = c_4eiF_3 \cos^2(i) - c_1z\left(4e + \frac{1}{e}\right)F_1, \quad (46)
\]

\[
C = \cos^2(i) \left( c_5z^2 + c_5\left(e^2F_5 + \left(1 + \frac{3e^2}{2}\right)F_4\right) \right)
- c_6e^2F_6 - c_2\left(1 + \frac{3e^2}{4}\right)F_7 + \left(1 + \frac{5e^2}{2}\right)F_2. \quad (47)
\]
Equations (44)–(47) give the relation between \( \sin(\omega) \) and the inclination \( i \), the semi-major axis \( a \), and the eccentricity \( e \), which gives the family of orbits that balance the argument of perigee \( \omega \), subject of course to the restriction that \( \sin(\omega) \) is a real value between \(-1\) and \(1\).

4. Numerical Results

In this section, numerical results and graphs are obtained for the case of Seasat \( a = 7100 \) km by putting \( \omega \) as a function of \( e \) and \( i \) from equations (32) and (33). The curves are plotted within the possible range given by condition (36) to give curves of balanced \( e \) and \( i \). The curves are against the eccentricity \( e \) in the range \([0.01, 0.5]\). The numerical values involved are \( I_2 = 0.001082645, I_3 = -0.00002546, I_5 = -0.00001649, R = 6378.165 \) km, \( a = 7100 \) m, and \( \mu = 398600.5 \) km\(^3\)sec\(^{-2}\).

The condition (36) gives the upper and lower bounds of the function \( F(i) \) as a function of \( e \), and since the function \( eR/(C_{aj}(1 - e^2)) \) is increasing with \( e \) and has no critical points in the interval \([0.01, 0.5]\), then the minimum value occurs at \( e = 0.01 \) and the maximum at \( e = 0.5 \), which gives \(-0.24 \leq F(i) \leq 0.24 \). The curves are plotted for different values of \( F(i) \), which corresponds to specific values of \( i \) found by solving the equation resulting from setting \( F(i) \) equal to the required values. After that we plot \( \Omega = 0 \) and \( \dot{\omega} = 0 \) simultaneously for the same values of \( F(i) \) at each specific \( i \)-value, to find the orbit values at which we have nearest values for \( \Omega = 0 \) and \( \dot{\omega} = 0 \). In the graphs of \( \Omega \) and \( \dot{\omega} \), the relation (32) was kept to ensure that \( e \) and \( i \) are already balanced.

Five selected values of \( F(i) \) are chosen: \( F(i) = 0.01, 0.5, 0.10, 0.15, \) and \( 0.20 \). Negative values will give the same results with negative sign since \( F(i) \) is odd with respect to \( i \). Also for each value, the solution of \( F(i) = x \), with \( x \) equals one of the above values we get four real values of \( i \) on the form: \( i_1, i_2 \), \( 180 - i_1, i_3, i_4 \), and \( 180 - i_2, i_5, i_6 \), where \( i_1 \) and \( i_2 \) are near the critical inclination one of them is positive and the other is negative. Table 1 gives the values of \( i \) corresponding to the selected values of \( F(i) \).

We note that as \( F(i) \) increases, \( i \) gets away from the critical inclination, and the curve gets shorter indicating less stability of \( \omega \) as expected.

The graphs are plotted for each value of \( F(i) \) first for the balanced values of \( e \) and \( i \), then for the corresponding four values of \( i \), four graphs are plotted for \( \Omega \) and \( \dot{\omega} \) to find the nearest values of zero variation for both elements.

Figures 3–12 show the possibility of balancing \( \omega \) with \( e \) and \( i \), while \( \Omega \) will have a variation of order \( 10^{-8} \) sec or it must be balanced alone at \( i = 90 \) deg (as shown in equation (26)), according to the orbit kind. Figures 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 show the possible values of \( \omega(e) \) that balance \( e \) and \( i \), while Figures 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 show the curves of \( \Omega \) and \( \dot{\omega} \) at the values of \( i \) at which \( e = \dot{i} = 0 \).

5. Conclusion

Let balanced orbits be defined as those for which the orbital elements are set equal to zero under the effect of secular and long periodic perturbations. In this work, the effect of Earth oblateness is the considered perturbing force because of dealing with low Earth orbits. The above analysis then shows that such an orbit will be balanced within a reliable tolerance only for few weeks since we are forced to accept the motion of either the node or the perigee by about \( 10^{-6} \) deg/sec. The reason is that under the influence of the Earth oblateness, \( \Omega = 0 \) (exactly) for \( i = (\pi/2) \), while \( \omega = 0 \) only near the critical inclination \( i_c \) of 63.4 deg. Hence, the best procedure is to design a satellite constellation for which the nodal shifts due to the perturbative effects and Earth rotation are modeled to yield continuous coverage. The perigees are either fixed or arranged to realize that the perigee (or the apogee) be overhead the coverage region (regions) in due times. This may require near commensurability with the admitted nodal periods.
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