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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study was to compare the effect between alginate (Alg)-based raft-forming and Alg liquid on healing gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and gastric ulcer in rats.

Methods: Each of the 18 fasted rats was given 1 ml acidified pepsin. Then, rats were divided into three groups. Each group consisted of six rats. Group 1 (negative control) was orally given 1 ml distilled water, Group 2 was given 1 ml Alg-based raft-forming liquid, and Group 3 was given 1 ml Alg liquid. Then, the abdomen of rats was incised under anesthesia with ketamine, and then both their pylorus and forestomach were ligated to form gastric reflux. After 4 hrs, all rats were killed with chloroform and their esophagus and stomach were examined macroscopically and microscopically (histopathology).

Results: On macroscopic observation, all of the Group 1 rats (negative control) showed esophageal lesions and gastric lesions. Four rats of Group 2 (given Alg-based raft-forming) showed no esophageal lesion and two more rats showed a slight lesion, but all of the tested rats showed gastric lesions. All of the rats of the Group 3 (given Alg liquid) showed esophageal lesions, but no gastric lesion on four rats and slight lesion on two rats. Microscopic observations showed that all of the Group 1 rats (negative control) showed esophageal erosion and gastric mucosa lesions. Rats of the Group 2 (given Alg-based raft-forming) showed almost no esophageal erosion, but all of them showed erosion of gastric mucosa. Rats of the Group 3 (given Alg liquid) showed esophageal erosion in all tested rats, but almost no gastric mucosa lesion.

Conclusion: Alg-base raft-forming liquid is more effective in healing of GERD than Alg liquid. However, Alg-liquid is more effective in healing of gastric ulcer than Alg-based raft-forming liquid.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a retrograde flow of gastric or duodenal contents across the gastroesophageal junction into esophagus [1]. The predominant presenting symptom of reflux is burning chest pain (heartburn) resulting from recurrent mucosal injury, often worse at night, when lying supine, or after consumption of foods [2]. Medical treatment to relieve symptoms of GERD includes administering antacids, H2-receptor antagonists, and proton-pump inhibitor. In general, a drug used in dealing with GERD is antacids. Most of foods [2]. Medical treatment to relieve symptoms of GERD includes administering antacids, H2-receptor antagonists, and proton-pump inhibitor. In general, a drug used in dealing with GERD is antacids. Most

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Sodium Alg 300-400 cP (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Japan). Canada balsam (Entellan), HCl, sodium bicarbonate, peparin, sodium hydroxide, chloroform, ethanol, liquid paraffin, xylol, xylene, hematoxylin, glycerin, and eosin were products of Merck. Formaldehyde, sucrose, calcium carbonate, nigagin, and nipaol were obtained from PT. Rudang. Ketamine injection was the product of Gordian Parmatama.
Preparation of Alg-based raft-forming liquid
Alg-based raft-forming liquid was prepared according to the formula previously reported [17].
- R/sodium Alg - 1 g
- Sodium bicarbonate - 2.67 g
- Calcium carbonate - 1.6 g
- Carbopol934 - 0.65 g
- Nipagin - 0.025 g
- Simplex syrup - 25 g
- Distilled water to 100 ml

Weight each required ingredient. Sodium Alg was dissolved in a portion of distilled water, then allowed to stand for 24 hrs. Once coagulated, sodium Alg stirred until homogenous, added calcium carbonate, and mixed until homogenous. Nipagin was dissolved in hot water. Sodium bicarbonate and carbopol were dissolved in distilled water. Mixed all ingredients and added simplex syrup and distilled water until 100 ml.

Preparation of Alg liquid
- R/sodium Alg - 1 g
- Nipagin - 0.025 g
- Simplex syrup - 20 g
- Distilled water to 100 ml

Sodium Alg was dissolved in a portion of distilled water, then allowed to stand for 24 hrs and was stirred until homogenous. Nipagin was dissolved in hot water. Mixed all ingredients with the addition of simplex syrup and distilled water until 100 ml.

Preparation of acidified pepsin
A total of 0.1% (w/v) pepsin was weighed, then mixed with 0.1 N HCl solution until 100 ml

Determination of floating lag time and floating time of Alg-based raft
The floating time of Alg-based raft was determined by pouring 10 ml of Alg-based raft-forming liquid into 100 ml of simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2), and the time Alg-based raft-formed to float was recorded. The Alg raft was floated in surface of simulated gastric fluid. The floating time was the time that Alg-based raft was still remained floating in the surface of medium.

Determination of preparation pH
The pH of floating Alg-based liquid and Alg liquid were determined using a pH meter (Hanna).

Determination of preparation viscosity
The viscosity of Alg-based raft-forming liquid and Alg liquid was determined using Brookfield viscometer.

Treatment of GERD and gastric ulcer
Animal experiments
Experiment animals used were healthy male rats *Rattus norvegicus* weighing 150-200 g that were kept in a cage, given standard pellet diets and tap water ad libitum. Ethical clearance for experimental protocol and handling the animals was obtained from the Animal Research Ethics Committees of Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Sumatera Utara, before the beginning of the project work.

Induction and healing of GERD and gastric ulcer
The procedure of induction and treatment of GERD followed the previous method [18] with modification. Rats were fasted for 18 hrs to get the esophagus was relatively clean of food. Rats were divided into three groups. Each group consisted of six animals and each rat was kept separately in a cage during the experiment.
- Group 1 (negative control): Rats were induced with 1 ml acidified pepsin solution; after 1 hr, they were given 1 ml Alg-based, raft-forming liquid. Then, the experiment was continued as conducted in Group 1.
- Group 2: Rats were induced with 1 ml acidified pepsin solution; after 1 hr, they were given 1 ml Alg-based, raft-forming liquid. Then, the experiment was continued as conducted in Group 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
Floating lag time and floating time of Alg-based raft
When the Alg-based, raft-forming liquid was poured to simulate gastric fluid (pH 1.2), the floated Alg-based raft was formed immediately (after 3 seconds), as shown in Fig. 1. In the medium of simulated gastric fluid, sodium Alg was immediately converted to insoluble alginic acid gel. The floated alginic acid gel on the surface of preparation was called "Alg based raft” [5]. The floating Alg-based raft was due to the presence of CO₂ in the preparation as a result of the reaction of sodium bicarbonate and calcium carbonate from the preparation with HCl from simulated gastric fluid. This Alg-based raft floated on liquid content of the gastric due to low density and due to the formation of CO₂. In unstirred condition, the Alg-based raft remained floated during 60 hrs as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, in unstirred condition, the floating time was 60 hrs.

pH of the Alg-based raft-forming preparation
The average obtained pH of Alg-based raft-forming liquid obtained was 9 (n=3). This shows that the liquid Alg-based raft-forming as floating liquid dosage is alkaline. The pH of Alg liquid obtained was 6.

pH of Alg-based raft-forming liquid after mixing with simulated gastric fluid
When 10 ml of Alg-based raft-forming liquid was poured into 30 ml of simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2), the obtained pH was 5.8, and when it was poured into 50 ml of simulated gastric fluid, the obtained pH was 5.6. It indicates that the Alg-based raft-forming liquid neutralized the simulated gastric fluid.

Viscosity of Alg-based raft-forming liquid
Viscosity Alg-based raft-forming was 15 cP (n=3), and the obtained viscosity of Alg liquid was 150 cP.

The comparison of healing effect between Alg-based raft-forming liquid and Alg liquid on GERD
Macroscopic observation of rats' esophagus
The reflux causes the stomach contents flow to the esophagus and the stomach contents contact to the surface of esophagus. The severity of reflux was induced by double ligation of the pylorus and forestomachs.
of rats [18]. However, in this experiment, the esophagitis of the rat was not obtained by only the ligation of pylorus and forestomach. Therefore, 1 ml acidified pepsin was orally administered to the rats before ligating of pylorus and forestomach to induce the esophagitis. After the reflux, the esophagitis is obtained as shown in Fig 2a. The administration of rats with acidified pepsin before ligating caused the esophagitis and gastric ulcer.

The comparison of rats’ esophagus which received only distilled water, Alg-based raft-forming liquid, and Alg liquid is shown in Fig. 2. The rats that received distilled water (Group 1) show a black color in almost of the esophagus. It is caused by stomach contents moved toward the esophagus and acidified pepsin induced the esophagus so caused the esophageal lesions and inflammation. Inflammation is characterized by the swelling of the esophagus that looks larger than normal esophagus. On the other hand, the esophagus of rats of Group 2 that received Alg-based raft-forming liquid four of six rats that received Alg-base raft-forming liquid showed no lesion, in other two rats, there were slight lesions of esophagus as shown in Fig. 2b. Rats of Group 3 that received Alg liquid, all of the six tested rats showed lesion with black color on their esophagus as shown in Fig 2c.

In Table 1, it can be seen that in rats of Group 1 (negative control and given distilled water), the average area of esophagus was 217.17±28.39 mm²; in rats of Group 2 (given Alg-based raft-forming), the average lesion of esophagus was much reduced to 6.33±1.41 mm². In rats of Group 3 (given Alg liquid), the average esophagus lesion area was 199.53±27.72 mm². This lesion area is not significantly different with lesion area of rats of Group 1.

In the presence of gastric acid, Alg acid was precipitated forming a gelatinous Alg acid gel. Alg-based raft-forming formulation contains sodium bicarbonate and calcium carbonate. In the presence of gastric acid, the carbonate was converted to CO₂ which entrapped within the precipitated Alg acid gel so that it converting into a foam which floated on the surface of the gastric contents such as a raft on water, as shown in Fig. 1. Several studies have demonstrated that the Alg raft can preferentially move into the esophagus in place, or ahead, of acidic gastric contents during episodes of gastroesophageal reflux; but some studies further suggest that the raft can act as a physical barrier to reduce reflux episodes [5]. Possible mechanisms of action proposed Alg-based, raft-forming formulations include: (i) preferential reflux of the Alg raft into the esophagus; (ii) coating of the esophagus by the Alg raft which could then act as a muco- or cytoprotectant; (iii) formation of a physical barrier to prevent gastroesophageal reflux; and/or (iv) a combination of these effects [5].

In this study, the effect of Alg-based raft-forming was thought due to the suppression of gastric reflux due to the physical barrier by Alg raft as reported previously [17]. In the case of Alg liquid, although it contained Alg, it could not suppress the gastric reflux because the Alg in Alg liquid did not float in the stomach.

**Microscopic observation of rats’ esophagus**

The comparison of the effect between Alg-based raft-forming, Alg liquid, and without treatment (given distilled water) based on the microscopic observation is shown in Fig. 3. Rats that receive distilled water show

| Rat | Area of lesion (mm²) |
|-----|---------------------|
|     | Distilled water | Alg-based raft-forming liquid | Alg liquid |
| 1   | 181.00           | 20.00                        | 208.25     |
| 2   | 249.28           | 0                            | 198.25     |
| 3   | 190.35           | 18.00                        | 242.82     |
| 4   | 220.84           | 0                            | 160.00     |
| 5   | 247.95           | 0                            | 205.53     |
| 6   | 213.61           | 0                            | 182.38     |
| Mean| 217.17±28.39     | 6.33±1.41                    | 199.53±27.72 |

Alg: Alginate
the erosion of the mucosal lining of the esophagus as shown in Fig. 3a. However, rats that receive Alg-based raft-forming shows the good cohesion between mucosal cells and no damage of mucosa as shown in Fig. 3b. Rats that receive Alg-liquid shows the damage of mucosa, where the cohesion between mucosal cells is still not good as shown in Fig. 3c. Based on this microscopic observation, it can be concluded that the Alg-based raft-forming prevents the formation of the GERD, but Alg liquid is not effective to heal the GERD.

### Table 2: Comparison of the gastric lesion number in rats

| Rat | Number of gastric lesion |
|-----|--------------------------|
|     | Rats Group 1 (negative control, given distilled water) | Rats Group 2 (given Alg-based raft-forming liquid) | Rats Group 3 (given Alg liquid) |
| 1   | 15                        | 0                        | 2                        |
| 2   | 8                         | 5                        | 1                        |
| 3   | 12                        | 5                        | 0                        |
| 4   | 9                         | 4                        | 0                        |
| 5   | 5                         | 3                        | 0                        |
| 6   | 8                         | 0                        | 0                        |
| Mean| 9.5±3.5                   | 2.8±2.3                  | 0.5±0.8                  |

Alg: Alginate

### Table 3: Comparison of the rat gastric lesion area (mm²)

| Rat | Lesion area (mm²) |
|-----|-------------------|
|     | Rats Group 1 (negative control, given distilled water) | Rats Group 2 (given Alg-based raft-forming liquid) | Rats Group 3 (given Alg-liquid) |
| 1   | 3.0               | 0                        | 1.6                       |
| 2   | 1.0               | 1.0                      | 1.5                       |
| 3   | 6.0               | 1.9                      | 0                        |
| 4   | 1.5               | 1.5                      | 0                        |
| 5   | 1.0               | 1.0                      | 0                        |
| 6   | 2.1               | 0                        | 0                        |
| Mean| 2.4±1.9           | 0.9±0.7                  | 0.5±0.8                  |

Alg: Alginate

the comparison of healing effect between Alg-based raft-forming liquid and Alg liquid on gastric ulcer

**Observation of stomachs morphology**

In this experiment, after induction with acidified pepsin solution, beside, esophagus of the rats’ stomach was also observed. The induction caused the swelling of rats’ stomach due to the accumulation of gas as shown in Fig. 4a. This gas is thought come from the product of
the reaction between hydrochloric acid and sodium bicarbonate that is secreted from the surface of epithelial cells of mucosa. Hydrochloric acid and pepsin caused damaged of mucosa barrier and then cause the back diffusion of hydrochloric acid and lead to the destruction of the capillary and venous blood so that resulting stomach bleeding [19].

Fig. 4 shows the comparison of rats’ stomach that received distilled water, Alg-based raft-forming, and Alg liquid. The stomach of rats that received distilled water swelled (arrow) and the degree of swelling was higher than that received Alg-based raft-forming liquid as shown in Fig. 4a and b. On the other hand, rats that given Alg liquid showed the lowest of swelling as shown in Fig. 4c.

**Macroscopic observation of rats stomach**

Rats that received only distilled water (negative control), beside the swelling of stomachs, the gastric mucosa lesions were formed as shown in Fig. 5a. All of the rats that received only distilled water got gastric lesions, the average number of lesion was 9.5±3.5 (Table 2) and the average lesion area was 2.4±1.9 mm (Table 3). For rats that received Alg-based raft-forming liquid, two of the 6 rats tested had no lesion (Fig. 5b), the average number of lesion was 0.5±0.8, and the average lesion area was 2.8±2.3 mm. While rats that received Alg liquid, four of the six tested rats had no lesion (Fig. 5c), the average number of lesion was 0.5±0.8, and the average lesion area was 0.5±0.8 mm. These results show that Alg liquid is more effective in treating gastric ulcer than Alg-based raft-forming liquid.

**Microscopic observation of rats’ stomach**

The microscopic observation of rats’ stomach as shown in Fig. 6. In the rats that were given distilled water (negative control) (Fig. 6a) and Alg-based raft-forming (Fig. 6b) show the cohesion between the cells are damaged, and the erosion of the lining of the stomach mucosa, but the damage of stomach mucosa is more serious in the negative control group. Meanwhile, in rats that received Alg liquid show good gastric mucous layer and no erosion (Fig. 6c). Therefore, based on the results of microscopic (histopathology) observation, it can be concluded that Alg liquid is more effective than Alg-based raft-forming for the treatment of gastric ulcer that caused by exposure to acid and pepsin to mucosa of stomachs.

In the stomach, in the presence of gastric acid, sodium Alg was changed to alginic acid gel. This alginic acid gel has mucoadhesive properties [20]. Therefore, alginic acid adhered to the surface of gastric mucosa and acted as a mucoprotective agent by providing a physical barrier to hydrochloric acid and pepsin to contact the gastric mucosa, thereby improving the lesion or ulcer-healing [21]. Besides, Alg increases the hexosamine levels, which are glycoprotein constituting of gastric mucus [21].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Alg-based raft-forming liquid form Alg acid gel which float on the surface of gastric contents such as raft in water and it suppresses the gastric reflux. Alg liquid although it contains Alg, it could not form float Alg acid gel in the stomach, thereby it could not suppress the gastric reflux. Therefore, Alg-based raft-forming liquid is effective in healing GERD, but Alg liquid is not effective. Alg-based raft-forming is also effective in healing gastric ulcer, but it is less effective than Alg liquid.
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