System-level Study on Synergism and Antagonism of Active Ingredients in Traditional Chinese Medicine by Using Molecular Imprinting Technology
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In this work, synergism and antagonism among active ingredients of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) were studied at system-level by using molecular imprinting technology. Reduning Injection (RDNI), a TCM injection, was widely used to relieve fever caused by viral infection diseases in China. Molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) synthesized by sol-gel method were used to separate caffeic acid (CA) and analogues from RDNI without affecting other compounds. It can realize the preparative scale separation. The inhibitory effects of separated samples of RDNI and sample combinations in prostaglandin E2 biosynthesis in lipopolysaccharide-induced RAW264.7 cells were studied. The combination index was calculated to evaluate the synergism and antagonism. We found that components which had different scaffolds can produce synergistic anti-inflammatory effect inside and outside the RDNI. Components which had similar scaffolds exhibited the antagonistic effect, and the antagonistic effects among components could be reduced to some extent in RDNI system. The results indicated MIPs with the characteristics of specific adsorption ability and large scale preparation can be an effective approach to study the interaction mechanism among active ingredients of complex system such as TCM at system-level. And this work would provide a new idea to study the interactions among active ingredients of TCM.

The mechanism of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) was mainly caused by the interactions between complex TCM system and biological system. TCM has been used for anti-inflammatory for thousands of years and accumulated lots of clinical experience. TCM is a complex system which contains lots of components with diversities in chemical structures, biological activities and interactions among compounds, and the content for each component varies greatly. Typically, an herbal formula which consists of several herbs would comprise hundreds of compounds and can affect the biological systems through interactions with multiple cellular targets. TCM is so complicated that it’s almost impossible to explore the molecular mechanism thoroughly. It’s time-consuming and laborious to explore the efficacy of each compound. Moreover, compounds in TCM exert therapeutic effects in combination rather than as individuals. To understand biology and chemistry at system level, we must identify the compounds of the systems and gain insights into emergent properties through interactions among compounds in the TCM systems.

Molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) is tailor-made adsorption material used to separate template and analogues from complex matrix. The binding sites of MIP have high affinity for the template by interacting with its complementary functional groups or structural elements. It can be grafted to the surface of silica beads by sol-gel process to realize semi-preparative scale, even preparative scale separation and preparation, and can retain the specific adsorption performance at the same time. In recent years, several researches were carried out for caffeic acid-MIPs (CA-MIPs), and the CA-MIPs were used to determine and extract CA from complex media. Therefore, a method by using MIP to selectively remove the template or a group of analogues from TCM can be a promising approach to study the emergent properties in the TCM systems.
Reduning Injection (RDNI) is a TCM injection which was prepared by Artemisia annua L., Lonicera japonica Thunb. and Gardenia jasminoides L.. It is widely used in clinical to relieve fever caused by viral infection diseases, such as upper respiratory tract infection and hand-foot-mouth disease. The main constituents and contents in RDNI had been determined in previous work. It mainly included caffeoylquinic acid compounds (CACs), coumarins, iridoids, flavonoids. In this work, MIP was used to separate CA and CACs from RDNI. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) release in RAW264.7 cells based on phenotypic assays maintained reasonable experimental efficiency and related to inflammatory diseases. So it was carried out to study the anti-inflammatory effects of separated samples and sample combinations. Combination index (CI), which was proposed by Chou and Talalay, was used to evaluate the interaction between the components.

Results
Synthesis and Characterization of MIPs. In this section two kinds of polymers were synthesized by the sol-gel method. 8 polymers were prepared to optimize MIP1 (Table 1). The results of chromatographic evaluations showed that MIP1e had the highest imprinted factor (9.07) and good capacity factor (15.96) for CA (Table 2). The preparation condition of MIP2 (Table 1), which was used to separate all CACs in one step, was optimized from the composition of MIP1.

The chromatographic conditions for separating CA by using MIP1 as solid phase were investigated. When the mobile phase was CH3OH-HOAc (500:1, v/v), the retention time of acetone and CA were about 2 min and 19 min, respectively. But the chlorogenic acid (CGA) was not cleared out within 50 min (Fig. 1A). When CH3OH-HOAc (9:1, v/v) was used as the mobile phase, the retention time of acetone, CA, and CGA were about 1 min, 2 min, and 11 min, respectively (Fig. 1B). The possible reason of this phenomenon was that the molecular structures of CA, Isochelogenic acid B (IsoB), and other CACs contain caffeic acid groups, so those compounds can partly embedded in the three-dimensional cavities of MIP. The molecular structures of CA and other 6 CACs of RDNI were shown in Fig. 2. The another reason was that the numbers of hydrogen bond donors and acceptors of CGA, IsoB and other CACs were more than those of CA, so the binding affinities for those compounds with MIP were stronger than that of CA. Finally, gradient elution was used to separate CA and other CACs. The column was firstly washed with CH3OH-HOAc (500:1, v/v) to elute CA. Then it was washed with CH3OH-HOAc (9:1, v/v) to elute other CACs.

Adsorption Isotherms and Adsorption Kinetics. The calibration curve of CA solution was y = 17.745x - 0.0491 (R^2=0.9992), where y was concentration of the solution and x was the absorbance at 324 nm. The concentration range was from 0.0050 to 0.60 mM. Adsorption isotherms of MIP1 and NIP1 were shown in Fig. 3A. The saturated adsorption equilibrium of CA and CGA on MIP2 column was tested. Fig. 4A was the chromatogram of MIP1 column for the separation of CA from RDNI. Peak 1 was comprised of the other compounds of RDNI except CACs. Peak 2 represented CA of RDNI. Peak 3 contained the CACs except CA of RDNI. To separate the CACs from RDNI, MIP2 were packed into the glass chromatographic column (13 mm ID. × 200 mm). First, the separation properties of MIP2 column for CA and CGA were tested. Fig. 4C and Fig. 4D were the chromatograms of CA and CGA on MIP2 column by using CH3OH-HOAc-HCOOH (100:1:3, v/v/v) as mobile phase. The peak of about 20 min was the matrix. The retention time of CA and CGA on MIP2 column was about 100 min and 125 min, respectively. When RDNI was loaded, there were two peaks in the chromatogram. Peak 4, which was the same with Peak 1, contained the other compounds of RDNI except CACs. Peak 5 was comprised of the CACs of RDNI. Finally, the effluent liquid of Peak 1 and Peak 3 were collected and freeze dried as sample of RDNI which lacked of CA (S1). The effluent liquid of Peak 2 was collected and freeze dried as CA of RDNI. Peak 4, Peak 5 was collected and freeze dried as sample of RDNI which lacked of CACs (S2), and CACs of RDNI (S3), respectively. SN1 and SN2 were the freeze-dried powder of effluent liquid of RDNI by NIP1 and NIP2 column, respectively.

To evaluate the separation effect of MIP1 and MIP2, HPLC fingerprints and component contents of samples were determined. The linear equation of CA, CGA, IsoB, and gardenoside (GAR) were y = 52.155x - 88.519 (R^2=0.9999), y = 30.118x - 178.39 (R^2=0.9999), y = 33.051x - 102.16 (R^2=0.9999), and y = 14.369x - 67.05 (R^2=0.9999), respectively. Y was the concentration of each compound in the chromatogram. Peak 4, Peak 5 was the same with Peak 1 and 2, respectively. When RDNI was loaded (Fig. 4B), there were two peaks in the chromatogram. Peak 4, which was the same with Peak 1, contained the other compounds of RDNI except CACs. Peak 5 was comprised of the CACs of RDNI. Finally, the effluent liquid of Peak 1 and Peak 3 were collected and freeze dried as sample of RDNI which lacked of CA (S1). The effluent liquid of Peak 2 was collected and freeze dried as CA of RDNI. Peak 4, Peak 5 was collected and freeze dried as sample of RDNI which lacked of CACs (S2), and CACs of RDNI (S3), respectively. SN1 and SN2 were the freeze-dried powder of effluent liquid of RDNI by NIP1 and NIP2 column, respectively.

Table 1 | Optimization of preparation conditions for MIP1 and MIP2

| CA (mmol) | APTS (mmol) | TEOS (mmol) | Particle size of silica (μm) |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| MIP1a     | 0.2         | 0.6         | 12.0                        | 62–105                      |
| MIP1b     | 0.2         | 1.2         | 12.0                        | 62–105                      |
| MIP1c     | 0.2         | 2.4         | 12.0                        | 62–105                      |
| MIP1d     | 0.2         | 4.8         | 12.0                        | 62–105                      |
| MIP1e     | 0.2         | 2.4         | 6.0                         | 62–105                      |
| MIP1f     | 0.2         | 2.4         | 24.0                        | 62–105                      |
| MIP1g     | 0.2         | 2.4         | 6.0                         | 19–37                       |
| MIP1h     | 0.2         | 2.4         | 6.0                         | 33–63                       |
| MIP2      | 0.4         | 13.0        | 27.2                        | 62–105                      |

Table 2 | t, k and IF of MIP1s and NIP1s

| tlocation (min) | tCA (min) | Capacity factor (k) | Imprinted factor (IF) |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| MIP1a           | 1.135     | 3.197               | 1.82                  | 3.82                   |
| MIP1b           | 1.017     | 5.218               | 4.13                  | 3.44                   |
| MIP1c           | 0.976     | 9.580               | 8.82                  | 4.24                   |
| MIP1d           | 0.845     | 20.310              | 23.04                 | 4.92                   |
| MIP1e           | 0.989     | 16.773              | 15.96                 | 9.07                   |
| MIP1f           | 1.065     | 8.478               | 6.96                  | 7.53                   |
| MIP1g           | 0.979     | 7.513               | 6.67                  | 2.90                   |
| NIP1a           | 1.080     | 1.594               | 0.48                  | -                      |
| NIP1b           | 1.018     | 2.240               | 1.20                  | -                      |
| NIP1c           | 0.920     | 2.832               | 2.08                  | -                      |
| NIP1d           | 0.804     | 4.566               | 4.68                  | -                      |
| NIP1e           | 1.056     | 2.914               | 1.76                  | -                      |
| NIP1f           | 0.995     | 1.915               | 0.92                  | -                      |
| NIP1g           | 1.002     | 3.370               | 2.36                  | -                      |
| NIP1h           | 0.957     | 3.161               | 2.30                  | -                      |
In Fig. 5D (fingerprint chromatogram of S1), there was no chromatographic peak of CA in about 26 min, but the chromatographic peak of other compounds were not changed. 2.1 mg CA were collected from 10 mL RDNI. 500 mg of separated CA were dissolved into 10 mL methanol to detect and calculate the purity. The fingerprint chromatogram and mass spectrogram of CA which was separated from RDNI was shown in Fig. 6A and Fig. 6B. The purity of CA separated from RDNI was calculated through the linear equation, and it was 90.5%. The ion peak m/z179.0 was almost the only peak in Fig. 6B. The main absorption peak of Fig. 5E (fingerprint chromatogram of S2) was GAR in about 27 min under 237 nm, and the absorption peak in about 13, 22, and 36 min under 237 nm were other non-caffeoylquinic acid compounds of RDNI. Absorption peak of seven caffeoylquinic acid compounds (CA, CGA, NCGA, CQA, IsoA, IsoB and IsoC) were shown in Fig. 5F (fingerprint chromatogram of S3). The results indicated that MIP1 and MIP2 were suitable to separate CA and CACs from RDNI, respectively, and MIPs synthesized by sol-gel method can realize preparative scale separation.

Inhibitory Effects of Single Compound and Collected Sample. The compounds or samples were added and cells of each group were incubated for another 18 h. The status of cells were observed and the results showed that cells cultured with samples at the concentration of 500 µg mL⁻¹ or compounds at the concentration of 500 µM did not change the cell viability.

The EC₅₀ of SN1 and SN2 (104.2 and 105.1 µg mL⁻¹, Fig. 7D) were almost the same with that of freeze-dried powder of RDNI (RDN, 104.0 µg mL⁻¹, Fig. 7D), indicated that the non-specific adsorptions of MIP1 and MIP2 had no significant influence on the activity of RDNI.

CA, IsoB and scopoletin (SCO) showed the inhibitory effects against LPS induced PGE₂ release. The dose-effect curves and EC₅₀ values of CA, IsoB and SCO were shown in Fig. 7A, B, and C. When CA was removed from RDNI, the activity of S1 (EC₅₀ was 119.3 µg mL⁻¹, Fig. 7D) against the release of PGE₂ was decreased compared with RDNI. It indicated that CA was an important anti-inflammatory compound in RDNI system. EC₅₀ of S2 (54.7 µg mL⁻¹, Fig. 7D) was lower than that of RDNI. But the EC₅₀ of S3 (119.8 µg mL⁻¹,
Figure 3 | Adsorption equilibrium curves (A) and adsorption kinetic curves (B) of MIP1 and NIP1. The concentration of CA solution used in the adsorption kinetic test was 0.2 mM.

Figure 4 | Separating chromatograms of MIP1 column and MIP2 column. (A) was the chromatogram of the separation of CA from RDNI by MIP1 column. The chromatographic conditions were as follows: 0 ~ 50 min: CH$_3$OH-HOAc (500:1, v/v), after 50 min: CH$_3$OH-HOAc (9:1, v/v); the flow rate of mobile phase was 2.4 mL min$^{-1}$; the detective wavelength was 324 nm. (B) was the chromatogram of the separation of CAGs from RDNI by MIP2 column. (C) and (D) were chromatograms of CA solution and CGA solution by MIP2. The mobile phase was CH$_3$OH-HOAc-HCOOH (100:1:3, v/v/v); flow rate of mobile phase which controlled by gravity was about 1.5 mL min$^{-1}$; the detective wavelength which subject to the conditions of the instrument was 340 nm.
Fig. 7D) was larger than that of RDNI. The possible reason was that compounds which had the similar skeletons could competitively bind with the same target protein in the pathway, so the activity of sample S3 (CACs from RDNI) was weaker than that of RDNI. And when the S3 was separated from RDNI, the activity of S2 was enhanced.

Inhibitory Effects of Drug Combinations. Cell experiments of combinations of compounds and samples were studied. The combination index (CI) value of combinations of CA and S2, CA and RDNI, IsoB and S2, IsoB and RDNI were all less than 1.0, so these four combinations showed the synergistic effects (Table 4). The CI value of CA and S2, IsoB and S2 were smaller than that of CA and RDNI, IsoB and RDNI with the increase of concentration of CA and IsoB. So the synergisms of CA and S2, IsoB and S2 were stronger than that of CA and RDNI, IsoB and RDNI, respectively. Combination of SCO and S2 produced strong antagonistic effects (CI values of 5 concentrations were all larger than 1.0). But combinations of SCO and S3, SCO and RDNI both showed synergistic effects (Table 4). The possible reason for the results could be that compounds with different skeletons can produce synergistic effect and meanwhile the antagonism of similar skeleton compounds can be reduced to some extent in the RDNI system.

To study the mechanism of the synergistic and antagonistic effects, and verify the above inference, cell experiments of combinations among CA, IsoB, and SCO were carried out. We found that combinations of CA and SCO, IsoB (4.5 ~ 35.6 μM) and SCO (6.0 ~ 47.9 μM) were both exhibited synergism to decrease the release of PGE2 with the increasing of concentration (Table 5). However, when IsoB was 71.3 μM and SCO was 95.7 μM, the combination showed antagonism and the inhibition rate of this concentration level was basically the same with the concentration level of 35.6 μM and 47.9 μM. Since CA and SCO, IsoB and SCO (each structure was shown in Fig. 2) had the different skeletons, they could interact with different target proteins in the pathway of LPS induced PGE2 release process, so that to produce synergism. But when the concentration reaches a certain level, the inhibition rate will not increase with the increasing of the concentration, so it became antagonism. Combination of CA and IsoB showed antagonism in all cases (Table 5). Because both CA and IsoB were CACs with similar skeleton, they would competitively bind to the same active sites. Meanwhile, due to large molecular structure of IsoB, it may hinder the approaching of CA to the binding sites. So the reason for the reducing of activity of S3 was the increasing of competitive inhibition of compounds with similar skeleton and the decreasing of synergism of compounds with different skeletons. The reason for the increasing of activity of S2 was that after CACs were separated, the concentrations of other active compounds were relatively increased (e.g. Gardenoside and SCO), and the disappearance of antagonism of S3.

After experiments of combinations of single compound with samples, series of combinations of equimolar CA and SCO with different concentrations of RDNI were performed. The results (Table 6) indicated that combinations of CA and SCO produced synergism not only in the compound combination but also in the RDNI system.
Compared with combination of CA and SCO, the synergism of combination of CA, SCO and RDNI was weakened. The possible reason was that the antagonism between CA and CACs, SCO and S2 both existed in the RDNI system. On the other hand, the results also indicated the antagonism can be reduced to some extent in the RDNI system.

**Discussion**

We have studied the synergistic and antagonistic anti-inflammatory effects of active compounds in a traditional Chinese medicine (RDNI) at system-level by using molecular imprinting technology for the first time. The core-shell MIPs were used as stationary phase of liquid chromatography to separate CA and CACs from RDNI without affecting other compounds.

The *in vitro* experiment results showed that both synergism and antagonism among compounds and separated samples existed inside and outside the RDNI (TCM) system. Compounds with different skeletons can produce synergistic effect through binding with different target proteins in the pathway. Compounds with similar skeletons can produce antagonistic effect due to competitive binding with the same target protein in the pathway.

It also indicated that TCM as a system could regulate the synergism and antagonism by interactions among compounds. And molecular imprinting technology could be an effective approach to study the interaction mechanism of TCM. This work would provide a novel approach for studying the interaction mechanism of TCM at system-level and provide new insights for the further study of TCM to enhance effectiveness by improving synergism and reducing antagonism.

**Methods**

**Reagents and materials.** RDNI was provided by Jiangsu Kanion Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Lianyungang, PR China). Caffeic acid (CA, 98%) was purchased from Zelang Medical Technology Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, PR China). Chlorogenic acid (CGA, 98%), Gardenoside (GAR, 98%) were purchased from National Institute for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, PR China). Isochlorogenic acid A (IsoA, 98%), Isochlorogenic acid B (IsoB, 98%), Neochlorogenic acid (NCGA, 98%), and 4-Caffeoylquinic acid (CQA, 98%) were purchased from Tianjin Yifang Science and Technology Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, PR China). Scoletin (SCO, 98%) was purchased from Shanghai PureOne Bio technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, PR China). Silica beads (19 ~ 37 μm, 33 ~ 63 μm, and 62 ~ 105 μm), 3-amino propyl triethoxysilane (APTS, 98%), and tetra ethoxysilane (TEOS, 98%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar Co. Ltd. (Tianjin, PR China). Acetone was obtained from Beijing Chemical Works (Beijing, PR China). Hydrochloric acid and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purchased from Guangdong Xilong Chemical Co., Ltd. (Guangdong, PR China). Methanol was purchased from J&K Scientific Led. (Beijing, PR China). Glacial acetic acid (HOAc) was purchased from Tianjin Kemiu Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, PR China). Methanol and HOAc were of HPLC grade. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade. Water used in the experiment was deionized water.

The sieve (500-mesh) was purchased from Fengxing Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, PR China). The Luna C18 (2) analytical column (4.6 mm I.D. × 250 mm, 5 μm) was purchased from Phenomenex Inc. (USA). The glass chromatographic column (13 mm I.D. × 200 mm) was purchased from Beijin Syltheware Glass Co., Ltd (Beijing, PR China). Empty stainless steel column (4.6 mm I.D. × 50 mm) and syringe filter (0.45 μm) were purchased from Dikma Technology Inc. (Beijing, PR China). Empty stainless steel column (10.0 mm I.D. × 100 mm) was purchased from Dalian Elite Analytical Instruments Co., Ltd (Dalian, PR China).

Murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 was purchased from Cell Culture Center (CCC) of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing, PR China). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with penicillin (100 U mL⁻¹) and streptomycin (100 U mL⁻¹) was purchased from Gibco (Carlsbad, CA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Hangzhou Siqings Biological Engineering Materials Co. Ltd. (Hangzhou, PR China). PGE₂ ELISA kit was purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (Farmingdale, USA). LPS was purchased from Nanjing Baikang Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Nanjing PR China).

**Equipment.** Separation and collection of CA were performed on a Gilson analytical and semi-preparative high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) which comprised a 322 Pump and a 152 UV/Vis detector (Gilson Science & Technology, Beijing, PR China). Separation and collection of caffeoylquinic acid compounds (CACs) were performed on a HD 21C-B UV detector and a HDC-01 signal acquisition unit from Shanghai Jihui Scientific Analytical Instruments Co., Ltd (Shanghai, PR China). The HPLC experiments were conducted on a HP 1100 series HPLC (Agilent Technologies, USA). A Shimadzu UV-2550 Ultraviolet and visible spectrophotometer was used for the batch adsorption experiments (Kyoto, Japan). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were detected by a Bruker Apex IV FTMS mass spectrometer (ESI) (Bruker Daltonics, Germany).

---

**Figure 6** HPLC fingerprint (A) and Mass Spectrum (B) of CA which was separated from RDNI.
The optical density of cell experiment was measured by a SpectraMax M2e Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Menlo Park, USA).

Synthesis of Molecular Imprinting Polymers. The MIPs were synthesized by using CA, silica beads, APTS, TEOS, and THF as template, supporting matrix, functional monomer, cross-linker, and solvent, respectively. Before use, silica beads (100 g) were suspended in aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid (2.0 mol L$^{-1}$, 600 mL) at 60°C for 6 hours to activate the surface hydroxyl groups. Then the silica beads were washed with deionized water and anhydrous ethanol, and dried at 120°C.

The solution of CA and APTS in THF (7 mL) was magnetic stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. Then activated silica beads (3.5 g) were added into the solution and kept stirring for 2 hours. Then added TEOS and kept stirring for 1 hour. At last aqueous solution of glacial acetic acid (1.0 mol L$^{-1}$, 1 mL) was added into the suspension and kept stirring for 18 hours to finish the polymerization. The resultant MIPs were filtrated and washed with methanol for 3 times and dried at 70°C. Then the polymers were sieved through a 500-mesh sieve. To remove the template, the obtained MIPs were washed by CH$_3$OH-HOAc (9:5, v/v). Finally, the polymers were washed with methanol and dried at 70°C. The non-imprinted polymers (NIPs) were prepared using the same protocol without addition of template.

HPLC Evaluation of MIPs. MIP or NIP was fully packed into an empty stainless steel column (4.6 mm I.D. × 50 mm). Then the column was connected to HPLC system and washed with CH$_3$OH-HOAc (9:1, v/v). After no template was detected, the column was washed to baseline by methanol. Chromatographic experiment was carried out under 324 nm by using CH$_3$OH-HOAc (500:1, v/v) as mobile phase. The flow rate of mobile phase was 0.6 mL min$^{-1}$. The injection volume of sample solutions and acetone were 20 μL and 5 μL, respectively. Capacity factor ($k$) and imprinted factor ($IF$) were used to evaluate the retention behavior of the polymers. $k$ and $IF$ were calculated by Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), respectively.

$$k = \frac{t_{\text{analyte}} - t_{\text{acetone}}}{t_{\text{acetone}}}$$

$$IF = \frac{k_{\text{MIP}}}{k_{\text{NIP}}}$$

where $t_{\text{analyte}}$ and $t_{\text{acetone}}$ were the retention times of analyte and acetone, respectively; $t_{\text{acetone}}$ was regarded as dead time; $k_{\text{MIP}}$ and $k_{\text{NIP}}$ were the capacity factors of MIP and NIP, respectively.

Adsorption Experiments. Adsorption experiments were studied by ultraviolet and visible spectrophotometer. To investigate the adsorption equilibrium, 100 mg of polymer particles (MIP or NIP) were mixed with 5 mL methanol or CA solution in a 10 mL tube, respectively. The concentrations of CA solution were 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, and 10.0 mM. The methanol was used as the control to eliminate the influence of solvent. The tubes were slightly shaken for 24 h at room temperature. After sedimentation the supernatants were filtered by syringe filter (0.45 μm). The filtrate was diluted by methanol before detection. The detection wavelength was 324 nm. Equilibrium adsorption capacity ($Q$, mg g$^{-1}$) was calculated according to Eq. (3).

$$Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{M}$$

where $C_0$, $C_e$ (mM) were the initial concentration, t time concentration and equilibrium concentration of CA solution, respectively; $V$ (mL) was the volume of solution; $M$ (180.15) was the molecular weight of CA; $m$ (mg) was the weight of MIPs. Figure 7 | Dose-effect curves of three compounds and EC$_{50}$ of samples. (A), (B) and (C) were the dose-effect curves of CA, IsoB and SCO, respectively. (D) was EC$_{50}$ of samples separated from RDN by MIP1 and MIP2 columns.
Separation of CA and CACs from RDNI. Separation of CA from RDNI was performed on a Gilson analytical and semi-preparative high performance liquid chromatography. First, MIP1 was fully packed into the empty stainless steel column (10.0 mm I.D. × 100 mm). Then the column was connected to Gilson HPLC system and washed with CH₃OH-HOAc (9:1, v/v) until no template was detected. The column was washed with mobile phase (CH₃OH-HOAc, 500:1, v/v) to baseline before loading samples. RDNI (100 mL) was frozen dry and redissolved in 50 mL of 90% methanol solution. The injection volume was 200 µL.

The separation of CACs from RDNI was carried out on a glass chromatographic column (13 mm I.D. × 200 mm). 20 g of MIP2 was dispersed in 20 mL methanol and then the suspension was poured into the glass column. After natural sedimentation, the column was connected with a UV detector, a signal acquisition unit and a computer to assemble the LC system for separation. The column was washed on-line by CH₃OH-HOAc (9:1, v/v) and methanol until no template was detected and a stable baseline was obtained. The injection volume of RDNI was 1.0 mL.

Determination of HPLC Fingerprint and Component Contents of Samples. The HPLC chromatographic conditions for fingerprints and determinations of compounds were as follows: a Phenomenex Luna C18 (2) chromatographic column (4.6 mm I.D. × 250 mm, 5 µm), mobile phase A was methanol, mobile phase B was 0.1% phosphoric acid solution (v/v), the gradient elution program of mobile phase was that: 0 ~ 20 min, 12% ~ 30% A; 20 ~ 60 min, 30% ~ 50% A. The detection wavelengths were 237 nm and 324 nm. The velocity of mobile phase was 1.0 mL/min. 1 8.

CA, CGA, IsoB and GAR were accurate weighed and dissolved with 50% methanol (v/v) to prepare the mixed standard solution. The concentrations of CA, CGA, IsoB

| Table 4 | Inhibition rate and Cl of sample and compound combinations (n=3) |
| Combinations | CA* | SCO* | IsoB* | S2* | S3* | RDN* | Inhibition rate (%) | Cl |
| CA + S2 | 0.8 | - | - | 80.0 | - | - | 67.25 ± 2.74 | 0.855 |
| | 1.7 | - | - | 80.0 | - | - | 70.72 ± 0.69 | 0.793 |
| | 3.3 | - | - | 80.0 | - | - | 72.65 ± 0.99 | 0.773 |
| | 6.6 | - | - | 80.0 | - | - | 75.28 ± 0.12 | 0.761 |
| | 13.3 | - | - | 80.0 | - | - | 93.58 ± 0.50 | 0.299 |
| CA + RDN | 4.8 | - | - | - | - | 104.0 | 54.13 ± 4.10 | 0.967 |
| | 6.8 | - | - | - | - | 104.0 | 55.48 ± 5.26 | 0.983 |
| | 13.6 | - | - | - | - | 104.0 | 67.33 ± 2.31 | 0.722 |
| | 27.3 | - | - | - | - | 104.0 | 72.51 ± 1.29 | 0.793 |
| | 54.6 | - | - | - | - | 104.0 | 77.50 ± 3.32 | 0.962 |
| IsoB + S2 | - | - | 0.6 | 80.0 | - | - | 73.22 ± 3.84 | 0.708 |
| | - | - | 1.2 | 80.0 | - | - | 74.21 ± 3.09 | 0.688 |
| | - | - | 2.5 | 80.0 | - | - | 75.75 ± 3.58 | 0.657 |
| | - | - | 5.0 | 80.0 | - | - | 78.38 ± 2.08 | 0.606 |
| | - | - | 10.0 | 80.0 | - | - | 87.57 ± 1.97 | 0.408 |
| IsoB + RDN | - | - | 3.6 | - | - | 104.0 | 49.03 ± 6.68 | 1.020 |
| | - | - | 8.4 | - | - | 104.0 | 50.71 ± 13.40 | 0.993 |
| | - | - | 13.1 | - | - | 104.0 | 51.84 ± 9.41 | 0.989 |
| | - | - | 26.1 | - | - | 104.0 | 62.66 ± 3.59 | 0.671 |
| | - | - | 52.2 | - | - | 104.0 | 65.27 ± 0.44 | 0.718 |
| SCO + S2 | - | 19.5 | - | 80.0 | - | - | 36.56 ± 16.09 | 2.532 |
| | - | 39.0 | - | 80.0 | - | - | 41.61 ± 14.06 | 2.829 |
| | - | 78.1 | - | 80.0 | - | - | 61.65 ± 16.06 | 2.286 |
| | - | 156.1 | - | 80.0 | - | - | 82.43 ± 5.06 | 1.656 |
| | - | 312.2 | - | 80.0 | - | - | 84.57 ± 4.89 | 2.487 |
| SCO + S3 | - | 19.5 | - | - | 119.7 | - | 82.56 ± 1.98 | 0.321 |
| | - | 39.0 | - | - | 119.7 | - | 85.01 ± 0.96 | 0.398 |
| | - | 78.1 | - | - | 119.7 | - | 88.43 ± 0.96 | 0.506 |
| | - | 156.1 | - | - | 119.7 | - | 91.01 ± 0.21 | 0.716 |
| | - | 312.2 | - | - | 119.7 | - | 93.78 ± 1.16 | 0.981 |
| SCO + RDN | - | 19.5 | - | - | - | 104.0 | 73.46 ± 2.26 | 0.517 |
| | - | 39.0 | - | - | - | 104.0 | 82.96 ± 4.37 | 0.438 |
| | - | 78.1 | - | - | - | 104.0 | 84.98 ± 0.97 | 0.631 |
| | - | 156.1 | - | - | - | 104.0 | 90.84 ± 0.73 | 0.715 |
| | - | 312.2 | - | - | - | 104.0 | 94.57 ± 0.13 | 0.863 |

*Concentration units of CA, SCO and IsoB were µM. Concentration units of S2, S3 and RDN were µg mL⁻¹.

| Table 5 | Inhibition rate and Cl of compound combinations (n=3) |
| Combinations | CA (µM) | SCO (µM) | IsoB (µM) | Inhibition rate (%) | Cl |
| CA + SCO | 5.9 | 6.0 | - | 50.35 ± 3.71 | 0.410 |
| | 11.9 | 12.0 | - | 84.87 ± 6.93 | 0.173 |
| | 23.8 | 23.9 | - | 85.53 ± 4.23 | 0.332 |
| | 47.6 | 47.9 | - | 94.96 ± 1.89 | 0.233 |
| | 95.1 | 95.7 | - | 97.45 ± 0.63 | 0.247 |
| IsoB + SCO | - | 6.0 | 4.5 | 22.47 ± 9.47 | 0.589 |
| | - | 12.0 | 8.9 | 56.21 ± 0.72 | 0.309 |
| | - | 23.9 | 17.8 | 62.74 ± 0.11 | 0.489 |
| | - | 47.9 | 35.6 | 71.69 ± 0.57 | 0.690 |
| | - | 95.7 | 71.3 | 73.34 ± 1.45 | 1.288 |
| | - | 23.8 | 8.9 | 24.69 ± 1.40 | 1.993 |
| | 47.6 | - | 35.6 | 40.54 ± 7.14 | 3.754 |
| | 95.1 | - | 71.3 | 63.66 ± 5.72 | 2.851 |
and GAR were 218, 838, 390 and 1016 μg mL⁻¹, respectively. Then the mixed solution was diluted 40, 20, 10, 5 and 2.5 times by 50% methanol (v/v), respectively.

A certain amount of RDNI samples which separated by MIP or NIP were accurate weighed. Then the samples were dissolved with 50% methanol (v/v) to 10 mL for the determination of CGA and GAR. The mixed standard solutions (10 μL) and sample solutions (10 μL) were injected each time to determine the fingerprints and contents of compounds, respectively. The contents of CA, CGA and IsoB were determined under 324 nm, and contents of GAR were determined under 237 nm.

Cell Culture and LPS Stimulation. RAW264.7 cells were cultured in plastic dishes containing high-glucose DMEM supplemented with antibiotics (100 U mL⁻¹ streptomycin and penicillin) and 10% (v/v) FBS. The cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂.

Cell culture supernatants were collected and diluted 5 times with FBS-free DMEM and assayed with PGE₂ EIA kit according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The inhibition rate of each sample for PGE₂ release was calculated by Eq. (5):

\[
\text{Inhibition rate} = \left( 1 - \frac{B}{A} \right) \times 100\% 
\]

where \( A \) was the average content of PGE₂ in the model group; \( B \) was the average content of PGE₂ in the sample group; \( C \) was the average content of PGE₂ in the DMSO group.

Calculation of Combination index (CI). For the drug combinations (two or more drugs), there may be three effects: synergism, additive effect and antagonism. If the effect of drug combination was stronger than the addition effect of each drug individual, it was synergism. And if the effect of drug combination was weaker than the addition effect of each drug individual, it was antagonism. To determine the synergistic or antagonistic effect of sample combinations in this work, Chou and Talalay analysis was used. The combination index (CI) was calculated by Eq. (6) to quantify the synergism or antagonism for two or more drugs. The calculation was carried out by CompuSyn.

\[
CI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{D_i}{L_{50i}} \right) 
\]

where \( CI < 1 \) and > 1 indicated synergism, additive effect and antagonism, respectively. \( D_i \) is the concentration of Drug i when the inhibition is \( x\% \) for \( "D_i + D_2 + D_3 + \ldots + D_n" \) combination. \( L_{50i} \) is the concentration of Drug i when the inhibition is \( x\% \) for Drug i “alone”.

Table 6 | Inhibition rate and CI of combinations of CA, SCO and RDNI (n=3)

| Sample combination | CA (μM) | SCO (μM) | RDNI (μg mL⁻¹) | Inhibition rate (%) | CI |
|--------------------|---------|---------|----------------|--------------------|----|
| CA + SCO + RDNI    | 12.0    | 12.0    | 52.0           | 69.70 ± 1.48       | 0.578 |
|                    | 24.0    | 24.0    | 52.0           | 77.28 ± 6.21       | 0.668 |
|                    | 48.0    | 48.0    | 52.0           | 83.40 ± 0.47       | 0.849 |
|                    | 96.0    | 96.0    | 52.0           | 90.24 ± 0.11       | 0.643 |
|                    | 12.0    | 12.0    | 104.0          | 70.59 ± 1.08       | 0.731 |
|                    | 24.0    | 24.0    | 104.0          | 75.00 ± 0.17       | 0.892 |
|                    | 48.0    | 48.0    | 104.0          | 84.45 ± 0.39       | 0.859 |
|                    | 96.0    | 96.0    | 104.0          | 93.25 ± 0.26       | 0.668 |
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