Current Update on Intrinsic and Acquired Colistin Resistance Mechanisms in Bacteria
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Colistin regained global interest as a consequence of the rising prevalence of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae. In parallel, colistin-resistant bacteria emerged in response to the unregulated use of this antibiotic. However, some Gram-negative species are intrinsically resistant to colistin activity, such as Neisseria meningitides, Burkholderia species, and Proteus mirabilis. Most identified colistin resistance usually involves modulation of lipid A that decreases or removes early charge-based interaction with colistin through up-regulation of multistep capsular polysaccharide expression. The membrane modifications occur by the addition of cationic phosphoethanolamine (pEtN) or 4-amino-L-arabinose on lipid A that results in decrease in the negative charge on the bacterial surface. Therefore, electrostatic interaction between polycationic colistin and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is halted. It has been reported that these modifications on the bacterial surface occur due to overexpression of chromosomally mediated two-component system genes (PmrAB and PhoPQ) and mutation in lipid A biosynthesis genes that result in loss of the ability to produce lipid A and consequently LPS chain, thereafter recently identified variants of plasmid-borne genes (mcr-1 to mcr-10). It was hypothesized that mcr genes derived from intrinsically resistant environmental bacteria that carried chromosomal pmrC gene, a part of the pmrCAB operon, code three proteins viz. pEtN response regulator PmrA, sensor kinase protein PmrAB, and phosphotransferase PmrC. These plasmid-borne mcr genes become a serious concern as they assist in the dissemination of colistin resistance to other pathogenic bacteria. This review presents the progress of multiple strategies of colistin resistance mechanisms in bacteria, mainly focusing on surface changes of the outer membrane LPS structure and other resistance genetic determinants. New handier and versatile methods have been discussed for rapid detection of colistin resistance determinants and the latest approaches to revert colistin resistance that include the use of new drugs, drug combinations and inhibitors. Indeed, more investigations are required to identify the exact role of different colistin resistance determinants that will aid in developing new less toxic and potent drugs to treat bacterial infections. Therefore, colistin resistance should be considered a severe medical issue requiring multisectoral research with proper surveillance and suitable monitoring systems to report the dissemination rate of these resistant genes.
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OVERVIEW OF COLISTIN AND EMERGENCE OF RESISTANCE

The antibiotics have been widely used in human, animal husbandry, and aquaculture, aiming to fight bacterial infections. The unmonitored and continued use of antibiotics has led to the unprecedented increase in the use of approved colistin to treat various types of diarrhea and urinary tract infections. Antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative bacteria are emerging as a greater threat. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria and associated antibiotic resistance genes are gradually considered as diverse environmental contaminants. These antibiotic resistance genes are no longer limited to point sources, e.g., hospitals, sewage, and farms, but can also get disseminated in other relatively pristine environments, including rivers, lakes, and soils (8, 9). The occurrence of extremely drug-resistant and multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria has led to the reuse of polymyxin, a last-resort drug against severe bacterial infections (10–12). Polymyxins, non-ribosomal, cyclic oligopeptides antimicrobials structurally comprised a cyclic heptapeptide with five major chemical compounds: polymyxins A, B, C, D, and E. These compounds are differentiated based on variation in their amino acid sequences and fatty acid side chains. The prime representatives of polymyxin that have been used in clinical practice are polymyxin B and polymyxin E (colistin) (Figure 1) (12–16). Colistin is a polypeptide antibiotic isolated in 1947 from the bacterium Paenibacillus polymyxa subspecies Colistinus (17). Thereafter, it was reported from Japan (1947) that colistin is a secondary metabolite of the Gram-positive bacteria P. polymyxa subspecies Colistinus (18). In the 1950s, colistin was used as an intravenous formulation. In 1959, the US Food and Drug Administration approved colistin to treat various types of diarrhea and urinary tract infections. Failure of carbapenems against Gram-negative bacteria has led to the unprecedented increase in the use of colistin (one of the last-resort drugs) and subsequent emergence and dissemination of colistin resistance (14). Resistance to polymyxins has mainly emerged against polymyxin E class (colistin), a cationic polypeptide drug with cyclic decapetapeptide ring attached by an amide linkage to a fatty acyl chain, which is differentiated by single-amino-acid phenylalanine (D-Phe) in polymyxin B peptide structure with a leucine (D-Leu) in colistin (Figure 1) (16, 19). Until 2015, colistin resistance was known to be caused by chromosomal genes (phoPQ, pmrAB, and mgrB) (19–21). After the first report of plasmid-mediated mcr-1 gene from China in 2015 (14), more than 27 bacterial species have been identified from six continents (Asia, Europe, Africa, North America, South America, and Oceania). It is interesting to note that after 2015, the new reports about plasmid-mediated mcr-1 were made in isolates dating back to as early as 1980. The rise in the number of reports may be attributed to the long-term use of colistin in veterinary medicine. Similarly, global trade and travel to countries such Canada, United States, Japan, and Tunisia and over prescription of colistin in human medicine to treat highly resistant bacterial infection are likely reasons for colistin resistance (22–27). There is a high prevalence of colistin resistance that requires further studies to evaluate the factors involved, mechanism of acquisition, and dissemination (28, 29).

We present herein an overview of recognition of alternative mechanisms of colistin action, the spread of acquired colistin resistance determinants, and diverse strategies taken by bacteria to extend resistance against colistin antibiotic.

MECHANISM OF COLISTIN ACTIVITY

Antibacterial activity of colistin occurs on the outer membrane (OM) of Gram-negative bacteria. In addition, Gram-negative bacteria are well-characterized by the existence of an outer lipopolysaccharide (LPS) membrane that constitutes cell surface, which limits the entry of hydrophobic components and antibiotics (30, 31). Moreover, bacterial anionic LPS confers stability and integrity of the outer LPS membrane. But polymyxins are polycationic peptides crucial for their interaction with lipid A, a hydrophobic constituent of the LPS layer (32, 33). The antibacterial activity of colistin occurs through two-step mechanisms that are initial binding and employed permeabilization of the outer LPS membrane induces the displacement of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions from the phosphate groups of LPS in a competitive way resulting in destabilizing cytoplasmic membrane, leading to disruption of the outer LPS and the loss of inner cellular contents, hence bacterial killing. The critical step of colistin action is based on the electrostatic interaction of cationic colistin peptide and anionic lipid A membrane also known as endotoxin component of LPS layer (Figure 2) (16, 19, 34, 35). Furthermore, It has been reported that bactericidal activity is independent of the passage of colistin into a bacterial cell (36) but inhibited in the presence of these divalent cations (33). However, LPS is the initial target for bacterial killing, but still, the exact mode of colistin action remains uncertain. Another antibacterial mechanism of colistin occurs by a potent antiendotoxin activity where the lipid A portion of LPS represents an endotoxin in Gram-negative bacteria. Therefore, colistin inhibits the endotoxin activity of lipid A by binding to and neutralizing the LPS molecules. This antibacterial activity mechanism occurs in vivo only (12, 37, 38). Moreover, another mechanism of action occurs by vital respiratory enzymes (type II NADH-quinone oxidoreductases NADH-2) inhibition by colistin drug in Gram-negative bacteria (39). The alternative strategy of colistin action occurs by induction of rapid cell death via hydroxyl radical production through colistin binding to the lipid membrane. The free radicals are generated when colistin crosses the OM.
and IM of LPS. The hydroxyl radical generation occurs via the production of the reactive oxygen species; hydroxyl radicals (•OH), superoxide (O₂⁻), and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which cause oxidative stress. O₂⁻ is generated when colistin enters into and crosses the OM and IM, followed by the conversion of O₂⁻ into H₂O₂ by superoxide dismutase. After that, H₂O₂ oxidizes ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) into ferric iron (Fe³⁺), besides the formation of •OH; this process is known as Fenton reaction. This reaction can induce oxidative damage in bacterial DNA, proteins, and lipids, leading to cell death. This mechanism of killing has been shown to occur in the colistin-sensitive and MDR isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii and Escherichia coli but does not take place in polymyxin-resistant strains (40, 41).

**SPECTRUM OF ACTIVITY**

Colistin has a narrow bactericidal activity spectrum against the most common Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae. Colistin has antibacterial activity against members of the Enterobacteriaceae family, including Klebsiella species, *E. coli*, Citrobacter species, *Shigella* species, Enterobacter species, and Salmonella species. Colistin also has significant bactericidal activity against prevalent non-fermentative Gram-negative bacteria, including *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, *A. baumannii*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (12, 42, 43). Moreover, some species are resistant naturally to colistin, such as *Proteus* species, *Providencia* species, *Morganella morgani*, *Pseudomonas mallei*, *Chromobacterium* species, *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Edwardsiella* species, *Campylobacter*, *Vibrio cholerae*, and *Brucella*, *Legionella*. Moreover, colistin antibiotic is not active against Gram-negative cocci (*Neisseria* species), anaerobic bacteria (12, 35), and *Aeromonas* species (except *Aeromonas jandaei*), whereas *Aeromonas hydrophila* was found to have inducible resistance (44, 45).

**PHARMACHEMISTRY OF COLISTIN**

The pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) determine the related dosage and therapeutics of colistin. It is imperative to determine the effective dosage of a drug to treat dreadful infections. With increasing resistance, it has become necessary to study the PKPD relation of colistin to determine proper treatment regimen. Until now, PK and PD data of colistin are not well-reported, especially in patients suffering from renal replacement therapy (46, 47). Therefore, time-bound studies are needed further to understand the PD/PK relationship of colistin to determine fixed doses to critically ill patients. Randomized controlled trials are urgently required to clarify further the issues surrounding the efficacy and safety of colistin. Two decades ago, non-specific microbiological assays were applied to estimate the colistin concentrations in biological fluids (38). Moreover, it has been reported that bactericidal activity is dependent on colistin concentration (11, 48–50). The plasma colistin concentration of 2 µg/mL has been found to be a reasonable dose for bacteria having minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of ≤1 µg/mL (51). Postantibiotic effect was noticed among *P. aeruginosa*, *A. baumannii*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, although the main antibacterial activity is noticed only when susceptible strains are exposed to colistin. However, in *A. baumannii* and *K. pneumoniae*, regrowth has been reported for static time-kill studies (49, 50). It has also been reported that the emergence of colistin-resistant subpopulation is dependent on colistin heteroresistance that enables growth at ≥4 µg/mL of colistin within a sensitive population with a MIC of
Action of colistin on bacterial membrane. The cationic cyclic decapeptide structure of colistin binds with the anionic LPS molecules by displacing calcium and magnesium from the outer cell membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, leading to permeability changes in the cell envelope and leakage of cell contents.

**FIGURE 2**

**ONE HEALTH PERSPECTIVE FOR COLISTIN RESISTANCE**

Antimicrobial resistance is a public health problem of complex epidemiology suitable for a comprehensive study as part of the One Health perspective. One Health is defined as the collaborative effort of multiple disciplines working locally, nationally, and globally to attain optimal health for people, animals, and the environment through policy, research, education, and practice (56, 57). The drivers of antimicrobial resistance include antimicrobial use and abuse in humans, animals, and the environment followed by the dissemination of resistant bacteria and resistance determinants between and among these sectors around the globe. Major concerns in the animal health and agriculture sectors are mass medication of animals with antimicrobials that are critically important for humans, like colistin, one of the last-resort drugs to tackle Gram-negative bacterial infection. The unmonitored use of colistin in human and veterinary medicine results in the emergence of colistin resistance among *Enterobacteriaceae*. The emergence of *mcr*-1 has almost certainly been exacerbated by the use of colistin on Chinese and Southeast Asian farms (14) and subsequent spread to dozens of other countries (35, 58, 59). The colistin resistance has risen in Spain, Italy, and Greece with 31, 43, and 20.8%, respectively (60–62). Colistin resistance makes it difficult to use it as a therapeutic option for multidrug-resistant bacteria. Therefore, the use of colistin is dependent on the type of infection, sensitivity phenotype of bacteria, and the target of PK/PD antibiotic, including possible side effects (63). The most adverse effects of colistin are nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity due to parenteral use (18, 64, 65). In addition to human medicine, colistin has been widely used to prevent and treat various veterinary infectious diseases. Colistin use in veterinary varies extensively among different countries, e.g., in Spain, it is used during lactation, gestation, and control of metaphylactic intestinal diseases (66). In France, Austria, and Sweden, colistin is used during the postweaning time in pig farms (67–69). A German and Netherlands study reported that colistin is the most widely used antibiotic in animal
farming next to trimethoprim/sulfonamides, tetracyclines, lincosamides, and macrolides (70). In Asian countries, colistin and other antibiotics are used extensively for veterinary infections and agriculture purposes (71, 72). The increasing trend of colistin consumption is assumed to reach 16,000 tons in China by the end of 2021 (72). There are reports of colistin resistance in the Enterobacteriaceae from fruits and vegetable samples (73–77). Reports revealed the association between the incidence of food-borne diseases and the food production chain that occurs via eating contaminated raw vegetables (9, 73–75, 78).

The emergence and rapid geographic dissemination of colistin-resistant bacteria and colistin resistance genes have become a health concern. Therefore, an integrated and holistic multisectoral approach is the need of the hour to combat colistin resistance, in particular, better integration of human health, veterinary, and environment (79–81). Several countries and international agencies have included a One Health perspective within their action plans to address antimicrobial resistance. Necessary actions include improvements in antimicrobial use regulation and policy, surveillance, stewardship, infection control, sanitation, animal husbandry, and alternatives to antimicrobials. World Health Organization (WHO) has recently launched new guidelines on the use of medically important antimicrobials in food-producing animals, recommending that farmers and the food industry should stop using antimicrobials routinely to promote growth and to prevent disease in healthy animals. These guidelines aim to preserve the effectiveness of antimicrobials that are important for human medicine by reducing their use in animals (82–84). Different monitoring systems were established in European countries for surveillance to check colistin consumption and the emergence of resistance (85–87). Recent multinational strategies to address the urgency of AMR include the US National Action Plan for combating antibiotic-resistant bacteria and WHO Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance (88, 89). WHO’s GLASS (Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System) is helping countries strengthen national surveillance systems and provides more comprehensive standardized AMR surveillance data (90). The countries and networks at the forefront of AMR efforts should engage additional stakeholders in developing an effective strategy that will have far-reaching benefits in minimizing the impact of this urgent problem on human and animal health, environment, global economy and national and global security.

CHALLENGES OF TESTING AND DETECTING COLISTIN RESISTANCE DETERMINANTS

It is critical to design phenotypic tests capable of detecting colistin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Until now, there was no agreement on the methodology for colistin susceptibility testing. Because of the weak diffusion of colistin in agar, the disc diffusion process and gradient tests were inaccurate (91–95). As a result, disc diffusion and gradient diffusion are ineffective in determining polymyxin susceptibility. Both the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) and the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute recommended the International Standard Organization 20776 standard broth dilution method for testing colistin MIC values (96, 97). The reference broth microdilution method, on the other hand, is difficult to apply in routine microbiological diagnostics. The EUCAST does not recommend using automated systems to determine the phenotype of bacterial sensitivity, such as Vitek 2 (bioMérieux, France), WalkAway (Beckman Coulter, USA) or BD Phoenix (Becton Dickinson, USA) for the analysis of Gram-negative bacteria sensitivity to colistin. This is because these systems’ accuracy in determining colistin MIC is minimal compared to the reference method especially in the 2–4-mg/L range (98–101). There is currently insufficient understanding of acquired colistin resistance mechanisms to design a sensitive molecular test specific enough to be recommended as best practice. Genotypic methods, in particular, are unlikely to detect any of the chromosomal defects known to cause most phenotypic colistin resistance in clinical settings (20). A negative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) molecular test result cannot be used to predict colistin susceptibility because the test cannot rule out the existence of chromosomal mechanisms of resistance or even novel mcr genes not included in the test. As evidence of this limitation, high colistin resistance rates have been reported among K. pneumoniae strains that produce carbapenemase but lack mcr genes (102–105). In these circumstances, a negative PCR result for mcr genes would have poor predictive value for a colistin-susceptible phenotype. However, if the results are intended to guide clinical management, inference of phenotype based solely on a genotypic result may be valid only when the genotypic result is positive (i.e., mechanisms or genes detected) with the caveat that the resistance may not be detected.

METHODS FOR RAPID DETECTION OF COLISTIN RESISTANCE

The rapid polymyxin NP is an innovative technique for identification of colistin resistance among Gram-negative bacteria (98). The researchers are currently working on tests to detect colistin resistance in non-fermenting bacilli. In the presence of a given concentration of polymyxin E and B, the rapid polymyxin NP test detects glucose fermentation associated with bacterial growth; the presence of acid metabolites is shown by a shift in pH and the color of the indicator (red phenol) turning from orange to yellow. The test’s sensitivity and specificity are similar to the reference broth microdilution method (99.3 and 95.4%, respectively). This test is simple to perform and yields a result in <2h (98). Chromogenic media are widely used for screening because they enable bacteria to develop as properly colored colonies. The super polymyxin screening medium was the first agar medium for detecting colistin-resistant Gram-negative rods from bacterial cultures and rectal swab samples (106). The commercial version of this medium is super polymyxin medium (ELITechGroup, Puteaux, France) for the identification of colistin-resistant Enterobacteriales strains, including those with the low MIC values (mg/L) that contain the...
resistible strains were recently developed, e.g., loop-mediated DNA amplification (LA-PCR) using the LBJMR Enterobacteriales medium for the isolation and selection of colistin-resistant bacteria and vancomycin-resistant bacteria (109). This medium was developed by combining colistin sulfate salt (4 g/mL), vancomycin (50 g/mL), and a fermentation substrate (7.5 g/L of glucose) with purple agar base (31 g/L). Moreover, new chromogenic medium, CHROMID Colistin R agar (COLR; bioMérieux, France), was introduced in the market in early 2018, allowing the screening of colistin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in clinical samples such as rectal swabs and stools. The COLR is a manual qualitative diagnostic test that distinguishes colistin-resistant isolates from susceptible isolates. Colistin-resistant strains form colored colonies on chromogenic media, with the color varying according to the species. However, colistin-susceptible isolates, on the other hand, do not grow on the COLR plate (110). The chromogenetic method is based on agar dilution. Still, EUCAST does not recommend it for determining bacterial susceptibility to colistin because the detectability threshold increases with the growth of the bacterial inoculums (100). Moreover, Turlej-Rogacka et al. (111) reported that when compared to broth dilution methods, the agar dilution method yields more accurate results in evaluating colistin MIC values (111). Behera et al. (93) confirmed the strong correlation between the reference and agar dilution methods (93, 94). The biggest challenge is the adhesion of colistin to plastic during handling (112). According to the above authors, the agar dilution process reduces the colistin plastic-binding process significantly, and the MIC results obtained by the agar dilution method are exact (93, 112, 113). The COLR medium uses the borderline concentrations of colistin to qualify strains as susceptible or resistant. This chromogenic medium is a qualitative detection method for Enterobacteriaceae and does not permit colistin MIC values to be determined against the test bacterial strains; thus, it should be considered as a screening test only. The clinical interpretation is, by contrast, significant in the treatment of infections caused by colistin-resistant bacteria. This means that the colistin resistance is categorized rather than the MIC value determined as maximum dosages are prescribed irrespective of the precise sensitivity levels. However, MIC values are important to monitor the rise in colistin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Other new-generation methods for detecting colistin susceptible strains were recently developed, e.g., loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) for nucleic acid detection (114) and CT103XL microarray (115). It has been demonstrated the sensitivity of the LAMP test is 10 times higher than conventional PCR and confirmed its usefulness in the detection of the mcr-1 gene from Enterobacteriaceae (114). Similarly, the new microarray CT103XL has been demonstrated by simultaneously identifying mcr-1, mcr-2, and clinically important ESBL genes (115). Whole-genome sequencing would allow screening for mcr genes and known chromosomal mutations that confer colistin resistance. Bioinformatics analysis could be conducted by applying the Center for Genomic Epidemiology Web tools (116) and ResFinder 4.0 (117). Although the sensitivity and negative predictive value would be affected by the inclusion of strains with novel mechanisms of resistance, this is the most comprehensive method for detecting all currently known putative colistin resistance mechanisms. It will also enable a retrospective analysis of sequencing data as new resistance mechanisms are described. Whatever the molecular method used, it is critically important to ensure that either the PCR detects all currently known mcr genes or the databases used to impute resistance mechanisms from whole-genome sequencing data are up to date. As our understanding of colistin resistance mechanisms improves, so will the concordance between phenotypic and genotypic test results. As for many other antimicrobial agents, molecular testing may eventually offer an alternative to phenotypic testing for the surveillance of colistin resistance.

RESISTANCE MECHANISMS IN ENTEROBACTERIACEAE

Mechanisms of Intrinsic Resistance

Resistance to colistin occurs naturally in S. marcescens and Proteus mirabilis by amnBCADTFE and ebp gene expression and consequently addition of phosphoethanolamine (pEtN) and 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose (L-Ara4N) cationic groups on LPS, respectively. This modification increases the cationic charge on the LPS membrane, which is the initial target of the colistin. It therefore decreases colistin antibiotic binding results in intrinsic resistance of these bacterial strains (12, 28, 118, 119).

Acquired Resistance in Enterobacteriaceae

Chromosomal Modulation of PmrAB and PhoPQ Two-Component Systems

The acquired colistin resistance has been reported in some Gram-negative bacteria such as Enterobacter, E. coli, Salmonella, and K. pneumoniae but remains unclear for other bacterial strains (35, 120). Colistin resistance mechanism occurs by chromosomal modulations similar to bacteria that are naturally resistant to colistin. The various molecular mechanisms have been determined, and the most common modifications occur via cationic groups (L-Ara4N and pEtN) to the lipid membrane of bacterial strains (14, 35). The several operons and genes are related to the LPS membrane modifications (Figure 3). The two-component systems PhoPQ and PmrAB are extensively responsible for LPS modifications by addition of cationic groups to the LPS membrane. The operons coding enzymes are responsible for modifications in pmrC gene, pmrE gene, and the pmrHFIJKLM operon regulatory genes. Moreover, two-component systems are regulated by the mgrB gene, which negatively controls the expression of the two-component PhoPQ system. The pmrCAB operon codes three proteins: (a) the pEtN response regulator PmrA, (b) sensor kinase protein PmrAB, and (c) phosphotransferase PmrC (121, 122). However, the synthesis of the L-arabinoarabinose group on LPS occurs by activation of pmrHFIJKLM and pmrE gene expression (123). Likewise,
PmrAB two-component regulatory system encoded by PmrA and pmrB is activated by various environmental stimuli such as low pH (5.5), ferric (Fe$^{3+}$) iron, macrophage phagosomes aluminum (Al$^{3+}$) etc., and results in PmrB activation via periplasmic domain (121). In turn, pmrB activates PmrA by phosphorylation through tyrosine kinase protein of the pmrB gene. The PmrA activates transcription of the pmrCAB operon and the attached pmrE gene result in LPS modifications with the addition of cationic pEtN and L-Ara4N moieties (Figure 3) (121, 124). Reportedly, Specific mutations within the PmrA and pmrB genes have been found responsible for acquired colistin antibiotic resistance in K. pneumoniae (13, 98, 125–127), Enterobacter aerogenes (128), and Salmonella enterica (129, 130) (Table 1). Another PhoPQ two-component system encoded by PhoP and PhoQ genes, which expresses two proteins: (a) regulator protein PhoP and (b) sensor protein kinase PhoQ. The PhoPQ system is activated in acidic conditions (low pH) by various environmental stimuli such as low magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$) and macrophage phagosomes and intercede PhoQ activation through its periplasmic lipid domain (124). Moreover, transcription activation of the pmrHFIJKLM operon occurs by PhoP resulting in L-Ara4N addition to the LPS membrane (180, 181). The PmrA protein is also activated by the PhoP gene either directly or indirectly via the PmrD (connector protein), causing the addition of pEtN to the LPS. However, acquired colistin resistance was reported in K. pneumoniae by mutations in the PhoP and PhoQ genes (13, 98, 126, 140, 182, 183) (Table 1). It has been observed in E. coli that a potential mutation in PmrAB causes acquired colistin resistance (131). The sum of constitutive activation of PhoPQ through modulations causes overexpression of the pmrHFIJKLM operon, thus synthesizing lipid-modifying moieties L-Ara4N that binds to lipid A membrane.

CrrAB Two-Component System
CrrAB is a two-component regulatory system modulating the PmrAB system. It encodes two protein products as CrrA as a regulatory protein and CrrB as a sensor kinase protein. Colistin resistance in K. pneumoniae was reported by mutation of the crrB part of two-component systems (183). The glycosyltransferase-like protein was expressed through mutation of the CrrB protein that leads to modification on the outer LPS membrane (183). However, colistin resistance was observed by six amino acid substitutions in two-component crrB protein with MIC range 512–2,048 µg/mL. The expression analysis of pmrHFIJKLM operon with pmrC and pmrE leads to overexpression of PmrAB operon indirectly controlled by mutation of crrB gene and hence
### TABLE 1 | Characteristics of colistin resistance mechanisms and modifications associated with most known bacteria.

| Family               | Bacteria         | Genes/determinants | Resistance mechanisms                                                                 | References       |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Enterobacteriaceae   | pmrA/pmrB        | Modification of lipid A by pmrAB, pmrC and pmrE genes          | (98)                                                                  |
|                      | phoP/phoQ        | Modification of lipid A by activation of the pmrHFKL ML operon | (131)                                                               |
|                      | arnBCADTEF       | Modification of lipid A by arnBCADTEF operon                  | (35)                                                                  |
|                      | mcrB mutation    | Overexpression of phoPQ and activation of pmrHFKL              | (132)                                                               |
|                      | acrB mutation    | Efflux pump                                                  | (133)                                                               |
|                      | mcr-1,2,3,4,5,   | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (21, 134–139)                                                       |
|                      | and mcr-9        |                                                                  |                                                                      |
| E. coli              | pmrA/pmrB        | Modification of lipid A by pmrAB, pmrC and pmrE genes          | (127)                                                               |
|                      | phoP/phoQ        | Modification of lipid A by activation of the pmrHFKL           | (140)                                                               |
|                      | arnBCADTEF       | Modification of lipid A by arnBCADTEF operon                  | (35)                                                                  |
|                      | mcrB mutation    | Overexpression of phoPQ and activation of pmrHFKL              | (21, 132)                                                           |
|                      | acrB mutation    | Efflux pump                                                  | (133)                                                               |
|                      | mcr-1,2,3,4,5,   | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (143–145)                                                          |
|                      | and mcr-9        |                                                                  |                                                                      |
| K. pneumoniae        | pmrA/pmrB        | Modification of lipid A by pmrAB, pmrC, and pmrE genes         | (147)                                                               |
|                      | phoP/phoQ        | Modification of lipid A by activation of the pmrHFKL           | (140)                                                               |
|                      | arnBCADTEF       | Modification of lipid A by arnBCADTEF operon                  | (35)                                                                  |
|                      | mcrB mutation    | Overexpression of phoPQ and activation of pmrHFKL              | (21, 132)                                                           |
|                      | crB mutation     | Modules lipid A biosynthesis                                 | (37, 142)                                                          |
|                      | mcrA             | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (144–145)                                                          |
|                      | mcr-1,7 and 8    | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (110, 148–152)                                                      |
| S. enterica          | pmrA/pmrB        | Modification of lipid A by pmrAB, pmrC, and pmrE genes         | (119)                                                               |
|                      | phoP/phoQ        | Modification of lipid A by activation of the pmrHFKL           | (140)                                                               |
|                      | arnBCADTEF       | Modification of lipid A by arnBCADTEF operon                  | (35)                                                                  |
|                      | mcr-1,2,3,4,5,   | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (110, 148–152)                                                      |
|                      | and mcr-9        |                                                                  |                                                                      |
| Enterobacter species | pmrA/pmrB        | Modification of lipid A by pmrAB, pmrC, and pmrE genes         | (119)                                                               |
|                      | phoP/phoQ        | Modification of lipid A by activation of the pmrHFKL           | (140)                                                               |
|                      | arnBCADTEF       | Modification of lipid A by arnBCADTEF operon                  | (35)                                                                  |
|                      | mcrB mutation    | Overexpression of phoPQ and activation of pmrHFKL              | (21, 132)                                                           |
|                      | crB mutation     | Modules lipid A biosynthesis                                 | (37, 142)                                                          |
|                      | mcrA             | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (144–145)                                                          |
|                      | mcr-1,7 and 8    | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (110, 148–152)                                                      |
| Citrobacter freundii | mcr-1 and 3      | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (156, 157)                                                         |
| Aeromonadaceae       | mcr-1,3, and 5   | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (134, 158–160)                                                      |
| Aeromonas species    | pmrF operon      | Modifications of the LPS moiety by pmrF operon                | (161)                                                               |
| Moraxellaceae        | lpxA, lpxC, lpxD | LPS additions in response to high Zn^{2+}                    | (19, 162, 163)                                                      |
|                      | pmrAB            | Modulations of the LPS moiety by pmrAB                        | (147, 164, 165)                                                    |
|                      | adeABC, HlyD     | Efflux pump                                                  | (162, 166, 167)                                                     |
|                      | family, emrA,   | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (168, 169)                                                         |
|                      | emrB             |                                                                  |                                                                      |
|                      | mcr-1,2,3,       | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (168, 169)                                                         |
|                      | and 4            |                                                                  |                                                                      |
| A. baumannii         | mcr-1 and 3      | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (156, 157)                                                         |
| Pseudomonadaceae     | mcr-1 and 2      | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (172, 173)                                                         |
| P. aeruginosa        | coIR/coIS,      | LPS additions in response to high Zn^{2+}                    | (35, 170)                                                          |
|                      | cprRS            | Modulations of the LPS moiety by pmrAB                        | (167)                                                               |
|                      | pmrAB, phoPQ     | LPS additions in response to low Zn^{2+}                      | (35, 171)                                                          |
|                      | mcr-1 and 2      | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (172, 173)                                                         |
| Morganellaceae       | pmrB             | Overexpression of phoPQ and activation of pmrHFKL              | (132)                                                               |
| P. mirabilis         | mcr-3            | Phosphoethanolamine transferase                               | (176)                                                               |

(Continued)
excess production of cationic pEtN and 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose to lipid A membrane that leads to colistin resistance (141, 183). Additionally, CrrAB and PmrAB two-component systems are indirectly connected via CrrC; mutations in the crrB gene system result in increased expression of CrrC. The amino acid substitution on the crrB protein leads to higher activation of protein by autophosphorylation that results in colistin resistance (141).

**MgrB Regulator of the PmrAB and PhoPQ Two-Component Systems**

The regulator of PmrAB and PhoPQ two-component system gene mgrB encodes a 47-amino-acid transmembrane protein that exerts negative feedback regulation of the PhoPQ two-component system and inhibits the kinase activity of PhoQ resulting in repression of the PhoQ gene (132, 184, 185). However, upregulation of PhoPQ operon occurs by inactivation and mutation of mgrB gene and consequent activation of pmrHFIJKL operon, leading to excess production of cationic L-Ara4N that results in blocking colistin binding to LPS membrane. The various missense and non-sense mutations resulted in amino acid substitutions with truncated mgrB protein causing acquired resistance to colistin in Gram-negative bacteria, particularly *K. pneumoniae*. Moreover, it has been reported that other modes of modifications such as deletion or insertion in the mgrB gene sequence cause complete elimination of the mgrB locus (182, 184). In addition, insertional inactivation of the mgrB gene was found by several insertion sequences belonging to various families and inserted at different positions in the mgrB gene locus (13, 76, 98, 182, 184–188). Recently, colistin resistance was reported by transposition of genes encoding carbapenemase and extended-spectrum of β-lactamases, which leads to chromosomal mgrB gene disruption (189, 190). Moreover, reports suggest that coselection of colistin resistance with β-lactamase genes occurs with selection pressure, and deletion of the mgrB gene led to upregulated expression of the PhoP gene in *E. coli* resulting in colistin resistance (132).

**Resistance by a Mutation in LPS Synthesis Genes**

Resistance to colistin antibiotic was reported by loss of the initial colistin and membrane binding by electrostatic interactions with lipid A component of LPS in Gram-negative bacteria (14, 35). The binding is lost by complete loss of LPS membrane target site for colistin antibiotic. The LPS synthesis is governed by ramA gene locus containing three subgenes: ramA, romA, and ramR. The ramA and romA genes were downregulated by ramR; moreover, ramA regulator is known to be present in some Gram-negative bacteria such as *Citrobacter* species, *Salmonella* species, *K. pneumoniae*, and *Enterobacter* species. However, ramA modifies lipid A membrane biosynthesis that regulates permeability barriers (191). Recently, it has been reported that higher levels of RamA cause LPS modulations and hence increased colistin resistance (191). The loss of LPS results in colistin resistance in *A. baumanii*. The mutations in lipid A biosynthesis genes, *lpxA*, *lpxC*, and *lpxD*, cause total loss of LPS production halting colistin binding to membrane and hence colistin resistance. There are mutations in the first three genes of the LPS production and therefore complete loss of the LPS layer (19, 192).

**Role of the Capsule in Colistin Resistance**

The capsule in bacteria acts as a defensive and protective covering against an antimicrobial peptide including colistin (20, 193), and capsular polysaccharide is released by the bacteria from their surface (194). However, it has been reported that resistance pattern is dependent on the number of capsule layers that the bacteria can produce. Gram-negative bacteria such as *K. pneumoniae* with multiple capsule layers were found more resistant than bacteria with only a few CPS layers (10, 195). Moreover, the unregulated expression of CPSsyntheses decreases colistin electrostatic interaction with the target site in *K. pneumoniae*, resulting in increased colistin antibiotic resistance (193). Subsequently, there are Cpx (conjugal pliкус expression) and Rcs (regulator of capsule synthesis) regulators of capsule layer formation located on the LPS membrane (196). It has been reported that two-component PhoPQ and efflux pump KpnEF are activated by Rcs and Cpx, respectively (20, 197). Additionally, the ugd gene was found in CPS and L-Ara4N biosynthesis via phosphorylation causing assembly of capsules in bacterial strains and hence colistin resistance (198, 199).

**Role of Efflux Pumps**

There are reports of efflux pumps such as KpnEF, AcrAB, and Sap proteins systems involved in colistin resistance among bacterial isolates. The activation of these efflux pumps resulted in the increase of colistin resistance (20, 189, 200–203). The KpnEF
In 2018, new mcr-protein family (10). It has been revealed that efflux pumps are activated by colistin resistance to other related antibiotics such as rifampicin, ceftriaxone, and erythromycin (201). Moreover, the mutation in KpnEF results in more susceptibility and double reduction in the MICs with colistin antibiotic sensitivity (201). From the same pipeline, efflux pump AcrAB is a small part of the AcrAB-ToLC multifaceted structure involved in colistin resistance. Accordingly, AcrAB-mutant E. coli revealed an 8-fold increase in colistin antibiotic sensitivity. In addition, it has been observed that PhoPQ TCS is dependent on the expression of AcrAB pump proteins (204). Similarly, SapABCDF operon constitutes five proteins in P. mirabilis resulted in increasing sensitivity to colistin by a mutation in Sap ABCDEF operon (175, 204). It has been shown that the use of efflux-pump inhibitors in the test medium carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenyl-hydrazone leads to a reduction in MIC for colistin-resistant strains (203).

Emergence of Plasmid-mediated mcr-Like Genes
The acquired resistance from chromosomal to plasmid DNA coded on transposable genetic elements on plasmids with mcr-1 and several variants has been reported first in E. coli from China; after that, plasmid-mediated mcr-1 and variants have been detected in other Gram-negative bacterial isolates (134, 148). The resistance pattern was found to be the same as the chromosomal pmrC gene that codes mcr-1 protein pEtN transferase. It was hypothesized that mcr genes derived from intrinsically resistant environmental bacteria, e.g., Paenibacillus species, but mcr genes disseminated worldwide with an extremely transmissible plasmid (14). However, epidemiological and molecular studies have observed that mcr-1 in diversified Enterobacteriaceae family includes K. pneumoniae (14, 205), E. aerogenes (154), Shigella sonnei (206), Enterobacter cloacae (154), Salmonella (207, 208), Klyuyera species (209), Cronobacter sakazakii (210), Citrobacter species (156, 211), and Raoultella ornithinilytica (75) (Table 1). Additionally, mcr-1 harboring bacterial isolates exhibited complex resources including human linked environments and natural ecosystem (134, 212-214), food (26, 75, 148, 215), animals (216-218), and human (219-221). The LPS is modified by mcr-1 expression by adding cation pEtN transferase (pEtN transferase) (10). However, new variants of mcr-1 (mcr-1.0 to mcr-1.30) were reported with expression by modification of LPS membrane (Figure 4). Additional mcr variants were also reported such as mcr-2 (mcr-2.1 to mcr-2.7) (135). Phylogenetic studies observed that it is a new variant of mcr-1 with 80% identity. Subsequently, three more plasmid-mediated mcr-like gene variants were reported in E. coli and Salmonella as mcr-3 (mcr-3.1 to mcr-3.41) (136), mcr-4 (mcr-4.1 to mcr-4.6) (222), and mcr-5 (mcr-5.1 to mcr-5.4) (149). Phylogenetic studies reported mcr-3, mcr-4, and mcr-5 were descent genes of mcr-1/mcr-2. In 2018, new mcr gene variants, mcr-6 (mcr-6.1), mcr-7 (mcr-7.1), and mcr-8 (mcr-8.1-mcr-8.5), were identified that caused increasing spectrum of colistin resistance (143, 144, 223). Carrol et al. reported a novel mcr homolog, i.e., mcr-9 (mcr-9.1 to mcr-9.3), in multidrug-resistant colistin-susceptible S. enterica serovar Typhimurium isolates (150). Surprisingly, S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strain was phenotypically sensitive to colistin with an MIC value of 2 mg/L, according to (EUCAST) guidelines. Comparison analysis revealed that protein structures of all nine mcr homologs (mcr-1 to mcr-9) depicted that mcr-3, mcr-4, mcr-7, and mcr-9 genes have a high degree of resemblance at the structural level (150). Recently, mcr-10 (mcr-10.1) variant has been identified on an IncFIA plasmid of an Enterobacter roggenkampii clinical strain. This mcr variant has the highest nucleotide identity (79.69%) with mcr-9 and encodes mcr-10 with 82.93% amino acids identical to mcr-9 (29). The steep increase in plasmid-mediated mcr gene variants has raised a serious public health concern during the last few years.

Current Development to Revert Colistin Resistance
Several approaches are being developed for the treatment of colistin-resistant superbugs (224). To date, three primary approaches to reducing mcr-1 associated colistin resistance have been investigated. The first solution is the development of new antibiotics against mcr positive organisms, such as eravacycline (225), plazomicin (226), and artilysin (226, 227). Another technique tends to be the standard strategy that involves effective colistin administration and the possible use of combination therapies with additional agents to produce synergistic associations. These agents can include antibiotics that are typically restricted for use against Gram-positive bacteria, such as amikacin (228, 229), aztreonam (229), rifampin (230), azithromycin (230, 231), clarithromycin (232), linezolid (230), azidothymidine (233), tigecycline (234), and derivatives of tryptamine (235). Natural products can also be used to act as adjuvants, some of which might interact with LPSs, such as pentamidine and meridiane D analogs, to disturb the outer bacterial membrane (236, 237). In contrast, other adjuvants do not have specific roles so far, such as resveratrol (238), pterostilbene (239), osthole (240), and niclosamide (241). The last but most important and focused direction is to identify specific drugs targeting mcr. There are several methods identified to reduce mcr expression at the gene level, such as the use of peptide conjugated phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers to target mcr-1 mRNA (224), peptide nucleic acid against the mcr-1 gene (242), and the CRISPR/Cas9 system to target mcr-1 harboring plasmids (243). However, few studies have examined specific drugs targeting mcr, with promising results having been observed only for 1-phenyl-2-(phenylamino) ethane derivatives (244) and the lipid A analog ethanolamine (245). The inhibitory action of ethanolamine against bacteria that produce mcr-1 was also tested in vivo to further confirm that it can be used as an inhibitor of mcr-1 activity. In the presence of 4 mg/mL polymyxin B, the results clearly showed that ethanolamine could inhibit mcr-1 expression in a concentration-dependent manner. Furthermore, ethanolamine can be used as an inhibitor of mcr-1 activity in light of the structural model and functional unification within the mcr family. Reports depicted that ethanolamine acts as an inhibitor of other mcr members. However, this would require further experimentation to validate. EptA catalyzes the transfer of PEA from phosphatidylethanolamine to lipid A at 1 and/or 4′ head
group positions. EptA is an integral membrane protein consisting of an N-terminal transmembrane domain and a C-terminal soluble periplasmic-facing domain (246, 247). Moreover, EptA enzymes are found in many Gram-negative pathogens, e.g., *E. coli*, *S. enterica*, *K. pneumoniae*, etc. (248). In-depth studies are being undertaken to identify and optimize potential EptA inhibitors that suppress expression (224). In addition, similar approaches to EptA inhibition in *Neisseria* species are helpful for developing new therapies. Promising therapies are currently under development to boost phagocytic cells bactericidal activities (249), which could be used as novel combination therapies combined with anti-EptA compounds to effectively decrease transmission of multidrug-resistant bacteria. One strategy to counter this problem is to develop novel antivirulence agents that inhibit lipid A modification by EptA. Inhibition of EptA will hopefully restore the efficacy of polymyxin, support the clearance of infection by the immune system, and minimize the proliferation of colistin resistance (250).
FUTURE PROSPECTS OF RESISTANCE

The emergence of colistin resistance occurs via various mechanisms against the last line defense among carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. Several studies led detection of different colistin resistance mechanisms in Enterobacteriaceae. However, there is still a lack of knowledge regarding colistin binding and initiating bactericidal activity. As new resistant variants of plasmid-mediated genes emerge that express new mechanisms of LPS modifications, on another side, many bacterial strains have inbuilt intrinsic colistin antibiotic resistance. Such a type of drug resistance occurs by modification of LPS with LaRA4N. Moreover, naturally occurring resistant bacteria against colistin were found to have an expression of some specific chromosomal mediated genes such as eptA of N. meningitides. It becomes a need of the hour to decipher other possible mechanisms of colistin resistance that are still unknown. Reports revealed that colistin resistance follows only when bacteria are exposed to colistin antibiotics, but other reports suggest that colistin resistance can occur without earlier colistin antibiotic exposure. This represents a serious threat that obstructs the application of colistin as the last line therapy against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. A perspective of this phenomenon is crucial and fundamental to protect against the future possibility of the deadly development of bacteria conferring colistin resistance.

CONCLUSION

The current epidemiological situation with multiple drug resistance results in the reuse of last-resort antibiotic (colistin) to treat bacterial infection. Moreover, colistin in combination with other drugs becomes a therapy against pathogenic bacteria. The unprecedented use of colistin drug in human medicine, animal husbandry, aquaculture, and agriculture has a serious impact on the emergence and dissemination of colistin resistance among Gram-negative bacteria. The resistance to this lifesaving drug has become a serious public health problem. The primary mechanism of colistin resistance occurs by modulation of chromosomal two-component PmrAB and PhoPQ systems, resulting in modification of the bacterial OM. Additionally, rising risks occur in heteroresistance by colistin attributed in suboptimal colistin dosages and represent a mean potential source of colistin resistance. The emergence of the plasmid-mediated mcr-1 gene encoding colistin resistance in bacteria can transfer horizontally from one bacteria to another and further disseminate among animals, humans, and the environment. Moreover, identification of new mcr variants such as mcr-2, mcr-3, mcr-4, mcr-5, mcr-6, mcr-7, mcr-8, mcr-9, and recently detected mcr-10 becomes a more concern to health. There is a clear indication of the rapid spread of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance variants, which requires further studies to evaluate the factors involved, the mechanism of acquisition and dissemination among bacteria. The handler, versatile, and robotic methods are needed to identify different new deadly mcr determinants rapidly. Indeed, more investigations are required to identify the exact role of different colistin resistance determinants that will potentially aid in developing new less toxic and potent drugs to treat infections caused by resistant Gram-negative bacteria. Therefore, colistin resistance should be distinguished as a serious medical issue that requires multisectoral research with proper surveillance and suitable monitoring systems to report the rate of dissemination of these resistant genes.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FG and QH conceived the idea. FG and MS searched and reviewed the literature and summarized. FG wrote the draft of the paper. FG, MS, and IS contributed to graphics and references. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

FG wishes to acknowledge Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), India, for financial support in the form of fellowship (File No. AMR/Fellowship/1/2019-ECD-II). Authors wish to acknowledge Mohd Younis Bhat, postdoctoral fellow, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden for assistance in graphics and designing.

REFERENCES

1. Peterson E, Kaur P. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms in bacteria: relationships between resistance determinants of antibiotic producers, environmental bacteria, and clinical pathogens. Front Microbiol. (2018) 9:2928. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02928
2. Siddiqui MT, Mondal AH, Gogry FA, Husain A, Alsalme A, Haq QMR. Plasmid-Mediated ampicillin, quinolone, and heavy metal co-resistance among ESBL-producing isolates from the Yamuna River, New Delhi, India. Antibiotics. (2020) 9:826. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9110826
3. Davies I, Davies D. Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. (2010) 74:417–33. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00016-10
4. Paterson DL, Lipman J. Returning to the pre-antibiotic era in the critically ill: the XDR problem. Crit Care Med. (2007) 35:1789–91. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000269352.39174.A4
5. WHO. Antimicrobial Resistance. Geneva: World Health Organization (2020). Available online at: https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html (accessed June 20, 2021).
6. Steenbergen JN, Alder J, Thorne GM, Tally FP. Daptomycin: a lipopeptide antibiotic for the treatment of serious Gram-positive infections. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2005) 55:283–8. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkh546
7. Koulenti D, Xu E, Mok IYS, Song A, Karageorgopoulos DE, Armaganidis A, et al. Novel antibiotics for multidrug-resistant gram-positive microorganisms. Microorganisms. (2019) 7:270. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms7080270
8. Siddiqui MT, Mondal AH, Sultan I, Ali A, Haq QMR. Co-occurrence of ESBLs and silver resistance determinants among bacterial isolates inhabiting polluted stretch of river Yamuna, India. Int J Environ Sci Technol. (2019) 16:5611–22. doi: 10.1007/s13762-018-1939-9
9. Zurfuß K, Poirel L, Nordmann P, Nüesch-Inderbinen M, Hächler H, Stephan R. Occurrence of the plasmid-borne mcr-1 colistin resistance gene in extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae in river water and imported vegetable samples in Switzerland. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2016) 60:2594–5. doi: 10.1128/AAC.0066-16
10. Baron S, Hadjiadji L, Rolain JM, Olaian AO. Molecular mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: knowns and unknowns. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2016) 48:583–91. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.06.023

11. Li J, Nation RL, Turnidge JD, Milne RW, Coulthard K, Rayner CR, et al. Colistin resistance mechanisms in Klebsiella pneumoniae strains from Taiwan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2015) 59:2909–13. doi: 10.1128/AAC.04763-14

12. Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK. Colistin: the revival of polymyxins for the management of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections. Clin Infect Dis. (2005) 40:1333–41. doi: 10.1086/429323

13. Cheng YH, Lin TL, Pan YJ, Wang YP, Lin YT, Wang JT. Colistin resistance mechanisms in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Emerg Infect Dis. (2013) 19:557–6. doi: 10.3238/fmicb.2019.01689

14. Liu YY, Wang Y, Walsh TR, Yi LX, Zhang R, Spencer J, et al. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. Lancet Infect Dis. (2016) 16:161–8. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00424-7

15. Vaara M. Polymyxins and their potential next generation as the rapeutic agents. Annu Rev Biochem. (1977) 46:723–63. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.46.070177.003451

16. Evans ME, Feola DJ, Rapp RP. Polymyxin B sulfate and colistin: old antibiotics for emerging multiresistant gram-negative bacteria. Ann Pharmacother. (1999) 33:960–7. doi: 10.1348/1842

17. Storm DR, Rosenhal KS, Swanson PE. Polymyxin and related peptide antibiotics. Annu Rev Biochem. (1977) 46:723–63. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.46.070177.003451

18. Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK. Toxicity of polymyxins: a systematic review of the evidence from old and recent studies. Crit Care. (2006) 10:R287. doi: 10.1186/cc5395

19. Moffatt JH, Harper M, Harrison P, Hale JD, Vinogradov E, Seemann T, et al. Colistin resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii is mediated by complete loss of lipopolysaccharide production. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2010) 54:4971–7. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00834-10

20. Olayian AO, Morad S, Rolzin JM. Mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: acquired and intrinsic resistance in bacteria. Front Microbiol. (2014) 5:643. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00643

21. Cannatelli A, D’Andrea MM, Giani T, Di Pilato V, Arena F, Ambretti S, et al. In vivo emergence of colistin resistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae producing KPC-type carbapenemases mediated by insertional inactivation of the PhoQ/PhoP mrgf regulator. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2013) 57:5321–6. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01480-13

22. Payne M, Croxen MA, Lee TD, Mayson B, Champagne S, Leung V, et al. mcr-1-Positive colistin-resistant Escherichia coli in travelers returning to Canada from China. Emerg Infect Dis. (2016) 22:1673–5. doi: 10.3201/eid2209.160177

23. Macesic N, Green D, Wang Z, Sullivan SB, Shim K, Park S, et al. Detection of mcr-1-carrying Escherichia coli causing bloodstream infection in a New York City hospital: avian origins, human concerns? Open Forum Infect Dis. (2017) 4:fox115. doi: 10.1093/ofid/fox115

24. Tada T, Uechi K, Nakasone I, Shimada K, Nakamatsu M, Kirikae T, et al. Emergence of a colistin-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2012) 56:4856–61. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05996-11

25. Zgurskaya HI, López CA, Gnanakaran S. Permeability barrier of gram-negative cell envelopes and approaches to bypass it. ACS Infect Dis. (2015) 1:512–22. doi: 10.1021/acsiinf.5b00097

26. Becoero A, Moreno A, Fernández N, Vallejo JA, Aranda J, Adler B, et al. Biological cost of different mechanisms of colistin resistance and their impact on virulence in Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2014) 58:518–26. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01597-13

27. Falagas ME, Rafaillidou PI, Matthaiou DK. Resistance to polymyxins: mechanisms, frequency and treatment options. Drug Resist Updat. (2010) 13:138–2. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2010.05.002

28. Mendes CA, Burdmann EA. [Polymyxins - review with emphasis on nephrotoxicity]. Rev Assoc Med Bras. (1992) 38:552–9. doi: 10.1590/S0104-42301990000600023

29. Poirel L, Aminoud P, Poirel M. Polymyxins: antibacterial activity, susceptibility testing, and resistance mechanisms encoded by plasmids or chromosomes. Clin Microbiol Rev. (2017) 30:557–96. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00064-16

30. Gales A, Jones RN, Sader HS. Global assessment of the antimicrobial activity of polymyxin B against 54 731 clinical isolates of Gram-negative bacilli: report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance programme (2001-2004). Clin Microbiol Infect. (2006) 12:315–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2005.01351.x

31. Sherry N, Howden B. Emerging Gram-negative resistance to last-line antimicrobial agents fosfomycin, colistin and ceftazidime-avibactam - epidemiology, laboratory detection and treatment implications. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. (2018) 16:289–306. doi: 10.1080/14783682.2018.1453807

32. Li J, Nation RL, Milne RW, Turnidge JD, Coulthard K. Evaluation of colistin as an agent against multi-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2005) 25:11–25. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2004.10.001

33. Deris ZZ, Akter I, Sivanesan S, Roberts KD, Thompson PE, Nation RL, et al. A secondary mode of action of polymyxins against Gram-negative bacteria involves the inhibition of NADH-quinone oxidoreductase activity. J Antimicrob. (2014) 67:147–51. doi: 10.1038/ja.2013.111

34. Velkov T, Roberts KD, Nation RL, Thompson PE, Li J. Pharmacology of polymyxins: new insights into an ‘old’ class of antibiotics. Future Microbiol. (2013) 8:711–24. doi: 10.2217/fmb.11.9

35. Talbot GH, Bradley J, Edwards JE, Jr., Gilbert D, Scheld M, et al. Bad bugs need drugs: an update on the development pipeline from the antibacterial availability task force of the infectious diseases society of America. Clin Infect Dis. (2006) 42:657–68. doi: 10.1086/499819

36. Lim LM, Ly N, Anderson D, Yang JC, Macander L, Jarkowski A, et al. Resurgence of colistin: a review of resistance, toxicity, pharmacodynamics, and dosing. Pharmacotherapy. (2010) 30:1279–91. doi: 10.1592/phco.30.12.1279

37. Sultan I, Ali A, Gogry FA, Rather IA, Sabir JSM, Haq QMR. Bacterial isolates harboring antibiotics and heavy-metal resistance genes co-existing with mobile genetic elements in natural aquatic water bodies. Saudi J Biol Sci. (2020) 27:2660–8. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.06.002

38. Fosse T, Giraud-Morin C, Madinier I. Induced colistin resistance as an identifying marker for Aeromonas phenospecies groups. Lett Appl Microbiol. (2003) 36:25–9. doi: 10.1046/j.1472-765X.2003.01257.x

39. Yahav D, Farbman L, Leibovici L, Paul M. Colistin: new lessons on an old antibiotic. Microbiol Infect. (2012) 16:18–29. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03734.x

40. Garonzik SM, Li J, Thamlikitkul V, Paterson DL, Shoham S, Jacob J, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and formed colistin in critically ill patients from a multicenter study providing dosing suggestions for various categories of patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2011) 55:3284–94. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01733-10

41. Tran TB, Velkov T, Nation RL, Forrest A, Tsuji BT, Bergen PJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics of colistin and
Gogry et al. Molecular Mechanisms of Colistin Resistance

polyoxymyxin B: are we there yet? Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2016) 48:592–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.09.010

48. Bulitta JB, Yang JC, Yohonn L, Ly NS, Brown SV, D’Hontd RL, et al. Attenuation of colistin bactericidal activity by high inoculum of Pseudomonas aeruginosa characterized by a new mechanism-based population pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2010) 54:2051–62. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00881-09

49. Owen RJ, Li J, Nation RL, Spelman D. In vitro pharmacodynamics of colistin against Acinetobacter baumannii clinical isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2007) 59:473–7. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkl512

50. Poudyal A, Howden BP, Bell JM, Gao W, Owen RJ, Turnidge JD, et al. In vitro pharmacodynamics of colistin against multidrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2008) 62:1311–8. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkn425

51. Landersdorfer CR, Nation RL. Colistin: how should it be dosed for the critically ill? Semin Respir Crit Care Med. (2015) 36:126–35. doi: 10.1055/s-0034-1398390

52. Li J, Rayner CR, Nation RL, Owen RJ, Spelman D, Tan KE, et al. Heteroresistance to colistin in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2006) 50:2946–50. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00103-06

53. Yau W, Owen RJ, Poudyal A, Bell JM, Turnidge JD, Yu HH, et al. Colistin heteroresistance in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii clinical isolates from the Western Pacific region in the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance programme. J Infect. (2009) 58:138–44. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2008.11.002

54. Meletis G, Tzampaz E, Sianou E, Tzavaras I, Sofianou D. Colistin heteroresistance in carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2011) 66:946–7. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt007

55. Bergen PJ, Li J, Nation RL. Dosing of colistin-back to basic PK/PD. Curr Opin Pharmacol. (2011) 11:464–9. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2011.07.004

56. Rubin C, Myers T, Stokes W, Dunham B, Harris S, Lautner B, et al. Review of institute of medicine and national research council recommendations for One Health initiative. Emerg Infect Dis. (2013) 19:1931–7. doi: 10.3201/eid1912.121659

57. Gronvall G, Boddie C, Knutsson R, Colby M. One Health security: an important component of the global health security agenda. Biosecur Bioterror. (2014) 12:221–4. doi: 10.1089/bsp.2014.0044

58. Wang R, van Dijk L, Sha P, Bradley P, Wang Q, Wang X, et al. The global distribution and spread of the mobilized colistin resistance gene mcr-1. Nat Commun. (2018) 9:1179. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03205-2

59. Marston HD, Dixon DM, Kinsey JM, Palmore TN, Fauci AS. Antimicrobial resistance. JAMA. (2016) 316:1193–204. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.11764

60. Monaco M, Giani T, Raffone M, Arena F, Garcia-Fernandez A, et al. What do we know about resistance to colistin in Enterobacteriaceae in avian and pig production in Europe? Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2013) 42:379–83. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.06.012

61. Kempf I, Fleury MA, Drider D, Bruneau M, Sanders P, Chauvin C, et al. Against 60 Swedish farrow-to-finish pig herds. Prev Vet Med. (2015) 121:257–64. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2015.07.005

62. Trauffer M, Taverne FJ, van Geelwijkm J, Mouton JW, Mews DJ, Heederik D. Consumption of antimicrobials in pigs, veal calves, and broilers in the Netherlands: quantitative results of nationwide collection of data in 2011. PLoS ONE. (2013) 8e77525. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077525

63. Catry B, Camaleri M, Baptiste K, Grave K, Grein K, Holm A, et al. Use of colistin-containing products within the European Union and European Economic Area (EU/EEA): development of resistance in animals and possible impact on human and animal health. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2015) 46:297–306. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.06.005

64. Centre QMR. The Global Polyoxymyxin Industry Report Ottawa (Canada) (2015). Available online at: http://www.qyresearch.com (accessed February 19, 2021).

65. Liu BT, Li X, Zhang Q, Shan H, Zou M, Song FJ. Colistin-Resistant mcr-Positive Enterobacteriaceae in fresh vegetables, an increasing infectious threat in China. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2019) 54:89–94. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.04.013

66. Jones-Dias D, Manageo V, Ferreira E, Barreiro P, Vieira L, Moura IB, et al. Architecture of class 1, 2, and 3 integrons from gram negative bacteria recovered among fruits and vegetables. Front Microbiol. (2016) 7:1400. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01400

67. Luo J, Yao X, Lv L, Doy I, Huang X, Huang S, et al. Emergence of mcr-1 in Raoultella ornithinolytica and Escherichia coli isolates from retail vegetables in China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2017) 61(10). doi: 10.1128/AAC.01139-17

68. Ghafur A, Shankar C, GanaSnoudari P, Venkatesan M, Mani D, Thirunarayanan MA, et al. Detection of chromosomal and plasmid-mediated mechanisms of colistin resistance in Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae from Indian food samples. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. (2019) 16:48–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jgar.2018.09.005

69. Zhou HW, Zhang T, Ma JH, Yang Y, Wang HY, Huang ZX, et al. Occurrence of plasmid- and chromosome-carried mcr-1 in waterborne enterobacteria in China. FEMS Microbiol Lett. (2017) 17:00017-17. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00017-17

70. Jung V, Jung H, Matthews KR. Effect of the food production chain from farm practices to vegetable processing on outbreak incidence. Microb Biotechnol. (2014) 7:517–27. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12178

71. Andersson D, Hughes D. Microbiological effects of sublethal levels of antibiotics. Nat Rev Microbiol. (2014) 12:65–75. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3270

72. Robinson TR, Bu DP, Carrique-Mas J, Fève EM, Gilbert M, Grace D, et al. Antibiotic resistance is the quintessential One Health issue. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. (2016) 110:377–80. doi: 10.1093/trstmh/trw048

73. Larsson DGJ. Pollution from drug manufacturing: review and perspectives. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. (2017) 362:20130571. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0571

74. Hoelzer K, Wong N, Thomas J, Talkington K, Jungman E, Coukell A. Antibacterial drug use in food-producing animals and associated human health risks: what, and how strong, is the evidence? BMC Vet Res. (2017) 13:211. doi: 10.1186/s12917-017-1131-3

75. Tang KL, Caffrey NP, Nóbrega DB, Cork SC, Ronksley PE, Barkema HW, et al. Restricting the use of antibiotics in food-producing animals and its associations with antibiotic resistance in food-producing animals and human beings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Planetary Health. (2017) 1:e316–27. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5197(17)30141-9

76. Scott AM, Beller E, Glasiou P, Clark J, Ranakussa RW, Byambasuren O, et al. Is antimicrobial administration to food animals a direct threat to
human health? A rapid systematic review. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2018) 52:316–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.04.005

85. Borck Hag K, Helle Bisgaard; Wolff Sönksen, Uti; Bager, Flemming: Bortolaia, Valeria; Ellis-Iversen, Johanne; Hendriksen, et al. DANMAP 2016 – Use of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from food animals, food and humans in Denmark. In: Statens Serum Institut, National Veterinary Institute, Technical University of Denmark National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark. (Lyngby) (2017). 130 p.

86. Veldman K, Mevius D, Wit B, Pelt W, Franz E, Heederik D. MARAN 2019: Monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and antibiotic usage in animals in the Netherlands in 2018. In: Combined with NETHMAP-2019: Consumption of Antimicrobial Agents and Antimicrobial Resistance Among Medically Important Bacteria in the Netherlands (Leiden) (2019).

87. SWEDRES/SVARM. Consumption of Antibiotics and Occurrence of Antibiotic Resistance in Sweden. Solna/Uppsala (Sweden): PublicHealth Agency of Sweden and National Veterinary Institute (2018). Available online at: https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/d8f6bd3d187a94682a1d50d4804a5fbd3/swedres-svarm-2018.pdf (accessed June 20, 2021).

88. White House. National Action Plan for Combating Antimicrobial-Resistant Bacteria. Washington, DC: White House. (2015). Available online at: https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/docs/national_action_plan_for_combating_antimicrobial-resistant_bacteria.pdf (accessed June 20, 2021).

89. World Health Organization. Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance. World Health Organization (2015). Available online at: http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/193736 (accessed June 20, 2021).

90. Gennimata V, Pournaras S, et al. Comparative evaluation of colistin susceptibility testing methods among carbapenem-nonsusceptible Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from South Korea. Curr Microbiol. (2020) 77:2307–11. doi: 10.1007/s00284-020-02074-4

91. Di Tella D, Tamburro M, Guerrizio G, Fanelli I, Sammarco ML, Ripabelli G. Molecular epidemiological insights into colistin-resistant and carbapenemases-producing clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates. Infect Drug Resist. (2019) 12:3783–95. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S226416

92. Janssen AB, Doorduijn DJ, Mills G, Rogers MRC, Bonten MJM, Roodvijkers SM, et al. Evolution of colistin resistance in the Klebsiella pneumoniae complex follows multiple evolutionary trajectories with variable effects on fitness and virulence characteristics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2020) 65:e01958-20. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00754-21

93. Rojas LJ, Salim M, Cober E, Richter SS, Perez F, Salata RA, et al. Colistin resistance in carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae: laboratory detection and impact on mortality. Clin Infect Dis. (2016) 64:711–8. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciw805

94. Nordmann P, Joly A, Poirel L. A universal culture medium for screening polymyxin-resistant gram-negative isolates. J Clin Microbiol. (2016) 54:1395–9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00446-16

95. Joly A, Poirel L, André C, Dubois V, Nordmann P. Detection of colistin-resistant Gram-negative rods by using the SuperPolymyxin medium. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. (2018) 92:95–101. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2018.05.008

96. Abdul Momin MHF, Bean DC, Hendriksen RS, Haenni M, Phee LM, Wareham DW. CHROMagar COL-APSE: a selective bacterial culture medium for the isolation and differentiation of colistin-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. J Med Microbiol. (2017) 66:1554–61. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.006602

97. Bardet L, Le Page S, Leangapichart T, Rolain JM. LB(M)R medium: a new polyclent culture medium for isolating and selecting vancomycin- and colistin-resistant bacteria. BMC Microbiol. (2017) 17:220. doi: 10.1186/s12866-017-1128-x

98. García-Graells C, De Keersmaecker SCJ, Vanneste K, Pochet B, Vermeersch K, Roosens N, et al. Detection of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance, mcr-1 and mcr-2 Genes, in Salmonella spp. isolated from Food at Retail in Belgium from 2012 to 2015. Foodborne Pathog Dis. (2018) 15:114–7. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2017.2329

99. Turlei-Rogacka A, Xavier BB, Janssens L, Lammens C, Zarkotou O, Pournaras S, et al. Evaluation of colistin stability in agar and comparison of four methods for MIC testing of colistin. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. (2018) 37:345–53. doi: 10.1007/s10096-017-3140-3

100. Humphries RM. Susceptibility testing of the polymyxins: where are we now? Pharmacotherapy. (2015) 35:22–7. doi: 10.1002/pha.1505

101. Matuschek E, Ahman J, Webster C, Kahlmeter G. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of colistin – evaluation of seven commercial MIC products against standard broth microdilution for Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2018) 24:865–70. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01106-17

102. Zou D, Huang S, Lei H, Yang Z, Su Y, He X, et al. Sensitive and rapid detection of the plasmid-encoded colistin-resistance gene mcr-1 in enterobacteriaceae isolates by loop-mediated isothermal amplification. Front Microbiol. (2017) 8:2356. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02356

103. Bernalson OJ, Principe L, Tinguely R, Karczmarek A, Perreten V, Luzzaro E, et al. Evaluation of a new commercial microarray platform for the simultaneous detection of β-Lactamase and mcr-1 and mcr-2 genes in enterobacteriaceae. J Clin Microbiol. (2017) 55:3138–41. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01056-17

104. Zankary E, Hasman H, Kaas RS, Seyfarth AM, Agerer Y, Lund O, et al. Genotyping using whole-genome sequencing is a realistic alternative...
to surveillance based on phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2013) 68:771–7. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt496

117. Bortolai V, Kaas RS, Ruppe E, Roberts MC, Schwarz S, Cattoir V, et al. ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2020) 75:3491–500. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkaa245

118. Li J, Milne RW, Nation RL, Turnidge JD, Smeaton TC, Couthard K. Pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and colistin in rats following an intravenous dose of colistin methanesulfonate. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2004) 53:837–40. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkh167

119. Dixon RA, Chopra I. Leakage of periplasmic proteins from Escherichia coli mediated by polymyxin B nonapeptide. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (1986) 29:781–8. doi: 10.1128/AAC.29.5.781

120. Stefanie EM, Tyski S. Colistin resistance in enterobacteriales strains - a current view. Pol J Microbiol. (2019) 68:417–27. doi: 10.33073/pjm-2019-055

121. Gunn JS. The Salmonella PmrAB regulon: lipopolysaccharide modifications, antimicrobial peptide resistance and more. Trends Microbiol. (2008) 16:284–90. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2008.03.007

122. Hua J, Jia X, Zhang L, Li Y. The characterization of two-component signaling system by a membrane peptide. 5:e1000788. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000788

123. Yan A, Guan Z, Raetz CR. An undecaprenyl phosphate-aminoarabose transferase gene, mcr-2, in Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2015) 59:727–5. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00515-14

124. Chin C-Y, Gregg K, Napier B, Ernst R, Weiss D. A PmrB-regulated machinery mediates colistin resistance in K. Pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulphonate and colistin in rats following an intravenous dose of colistin methanesulfonate. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2004) 53:837–40. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkh167

125. Cannatelli A, Di Pilato V, Giani T, Arena F, Ambretti S, Gaibani P, Stefaniuk EM, Tyski S. Colistin resistance in enterobactereles strains - a current view. Pol J Microbiol. (2019) 68:417–27. doi: 10.33073/pjm-2019-055

126. Choi MJ, Ko KS. Mutant prevention concentrations of colistin for Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2014) 69:275–7. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt315

127. Jayol A, Nordeumann P, Brink A, Poirel L. Heteroresistance to colistin in Klebsiella pneumoniae associated with alterations in the PhoPQ regulatory system. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2015) 59:2780–4. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00555-14

128. Cheng YH, Lin TL, Lin YT, Wang JT. Amino acid substitutions of CrfB responsible for resistance to colistin through CrrC in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemoter. (2016) 60:3709–16. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00009-16

129. De Majumdar S, Yu J, Fookes M, McAtee SP, Lloret E, Finn S, et al. Elucidation of the RamA regulon in Klebsiella pneumoniae reveals a role in LPS regulation. PLoS Pathog. (2015) 11:e1004627. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004627

130. Wang X, Wang Y, Zhou Y, Li J, Yin W, Wang S, et al. Emergence of a novel mobile colistin resistance gene, mcr-8, in NDM-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. Emerg Microbes Infect. (2018) 7:122. doi: 10.1038/s41426-018-0124-x

131. Yang YQ, Li XY, Lei CW, Zhang AY, Wang HN. Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance gene mcr-7.1 in Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2016) 73:1791–5. doi: 10.1093/jac/dky111

132. Du H, Chen L, Tang YW, Kreiswirth BN. Emergence of the mcr-1 colistin resistance gene in carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Lancet Infect Dis. (2016) 16:287–8. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30506-9

133. Rhouma M, Beaudry F, Theriault W, Letellier A. Colistin in pig production: chemistry, mechanism of antibacterial action, microbial resistance emergence, and one health perspectives. Front Microbiol. (2016) 7:1789. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01789

134. Lima WG, Alves MC, Cruz WS, Paiva MC. Chromosomally encoded and plasmid-mediated polymyxins resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii: a huge public health threat. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. (2018) 37:1009–19. doi: 10.1007/s10096-018-3223-9

135. Dornabat M, Godbole G, Ashton P, Larkin L, Dallman T, Day M, et al. Detection of the plasmid-mediated mcr-1 gene conferring colistin resistance in human and food isolates of Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli in England and Wales. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2016) 71:2300–5. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw093

136. Borowiak M, Fischer J, Hammerl JA, Hendriksen RS, Szabo I, Malorny B. Identification of a novel transposon-associated phosphoethanolamine transferase gene, mcr-5, conferring colistin resistance in E. coli, Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2017) 61:e00593-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00593-18

137. Carroll LM, Gaballa A, Guldmann C, Sullivan G, Henderson LG. Identification of novel mobilized colistin resistance gene mcr-9 in a multidrug-resistant, colistin-susceptible Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium isolate. Mbio. (2019) 10:e00853-19. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00853-19
153. Kang KN, Klein DR, Kazi MI, Guérin F, Cattoir V, Brodbelt JS, et al. Colistin resistance.
155. Li XP, Fang LX, Jiang P, Pan D, Xia J, Liao XP, et al. Emergence of the colistin resistance.
157. Börjesson S, Greko C, Myrenås M, Landén A, Nilsson O, Pedersen K. A new colistin resistance gene mcr-5 in porcine Acinetobacter baumannii isolates from human infections, Denmark, 2009-17. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.31.30587
159. Ma S, Sun C, Hulth A, Li J, Nilsson LE, Zhou Y, et al. Mobile colistin resistance gene mcr-1 in Acinetobacter baumannii (2016) 6:26233. doi: 10.1038/srep26233
161. Jeannot K, Bolard A, Plésiat P. Resistance to polymyxins in Gram-negative organisms. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2017) 49:526–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.11.029
165. Cheah SE, Johnson MD, Zhu Y, Tsui BT, Forrest A, Bullita JB, et al. Polymyxin resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii: genetic mutations and transcriptomic changes in response to clinically relevant dosage regimens. Sci Rep. (2016) 6:26233. doi: 10.1038/srep26233
167. Lin QY, Tsai YL, Liu MC, Lin WC, Hsueh PR, Liaw SJ. Serratia marcescens serovar Typhimurium PhoP/PhoQ regulatory system. Infect Immun. (2013) 81:542–51. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00704-12
169. Ma F, Shen C, Zheng X, Liu Y, Chen H, Zhong L, et al. Identification of a Novel Plasmid Carrying mcr-4-3 in an Acinetobacter baumannii Strain in China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2019) 63:e0133-19. doi: 10.1128/AAC.0133-19
171. Miller AK, Brannon MK, Stevens I, Johansen HK, Selgrade SE, Miller SI, et al. PhoQ mutations promote lipid A modification and polymyxin resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa bound in colistin-treated cystic fibrosis patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2011) 55:5761–9. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05391-11
175. Morey P, Viadas C, Euba B, Hood DW, Bertrand M, Gil C, et al. Relative contributions of lipooligosaccharide inner and outer core modifications to nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae pathogenesis. Infect Immun. (2013) 81:4100–11. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00492-13
177. Mlynarcik P, Kolar M. Molecular mechanisms of polymyxin resistance and their implications. J Microbiol. (2014) 91:135–44. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12449
179. Morey P, Viadas C, Euba B, Hood DW, Bertrand M, Gil C, et al. Relative contributions of lipooligosaccharide inner and outer core modifications to nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae pathogenesis. Infect Immun. (2013) 81:4100–11. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00492-13
181. Park SY, Groisman EA. Signal-specific temporal response by the Salmonella PhoP/PhoQ regulatory system. J Microbiol. (2015) 59:33–42. doi: 10.1007/s12275-015-6408-5
183. Olaitan AO, Morand S, Rolain JM. Emergence of colistin-resistant bacteria in humans without colistin usage: a new worry and cause for vigilance. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2017) 47:1–3. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.11.009
185. Olaitan AO, Diene SM, Kempf M, Berrazeg M, Bakour S, Gupta SK, et al. Worldwide emergence of colistin resistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae from healthy humans and patients in Lao PDR, Thailand, Israel, Nigeria and France owing to inactivation of the PhoP/PhoQ regulator mcrB: an epidemiological and molecular study. Int J Antimicrob Agents. (2014) 44:500–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.07.020
187. Wright MS, Suzuki Y, Jones MB, Marshall SH, Rudin SD, van Duijn D, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic analyses of colistin-resistant clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae reveal multiple pathways of resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2015) 59:536–43. doi: 10.1128/AAC.04037-14
189. López-Camacho E, Gómez-Gil R, Tobeis R, Manrique M, Lorenzo M, Galván B, et al. Genomic analysis of the emergence and evolution of multidrug resistance during a Klebsiella pneumoniae outbreak including...
carbenapenem and colistin resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* (2014) 69:632–6. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt419

187. Ilay O, Poirel L, Villegas MV, Nordmann P. Mutations of mgrB gene expression as a source of colistin resistance in Klebsiella oxytoca. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* (2015) 46:108–10. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.02.015

188. Olaitan AO, Rolain JM. Interruption of mgrB in the mediation of colistin resistance in Klebsiella oxytoca. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* (2015) 46:354–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.06.003

189. Ilay O, Nordmann P, Desroches M, Decousser JW, Poirel L. Acquisition of broad-spectrum cephalosporin resistance leading to colistin resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2016) 60:3199–201. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00237-16

190. Zowawi HM, Forde BM, Alfaresi M, Alzarouni A, Farahat Y, Chong TM, et al. Contribution of efflux to colistin heteroresistance in a multidrug resistant negative bacteria. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 6. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt419

191. Zhai YJ, Huang H, Liu J, Sun HR, He D, Pan YS, et al. CpxR overexpression increases the susceptibility of acrB and cpxR double-deleted *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigs in Great Britain from 2013 to 2015. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2017) 23:529–32. doi: 10.3201/eid2303.161553

192. Fernandes MR, Sellera FP, Esposito F, Sabino CR, Cerdeira L, Lincopan N. Colistin-Resistant mcr-1-Positive *Escherichia coli* strains on public beaches, an infectious threat emerging in recreational waters. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2017) 61:e00234-17. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00234-17

193. Trung NV, Matamoros S, Carrique-Mas JJ, Nghia NH, Nhung NT, Chieu TT, et al. Zoonotic transmission of mcr-1 resistant *Escherichia coli* gene from ready-to-eat food from a market in the Chaco region of Bolivia. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* (2017) 72:2127–9. doi: 10.1093/jac/djx078

194. Guenther S, Falgenhauer L, Semmler T, Imirzalioglu C, Chakraboty T, Roesler U, et al. Environmental emission of multiresistant *Escherichia coli* carrying the colistin resistance gene mcr-1 from German swine farms. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* (2017) 72:1289–92. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw585

195. Monte DF, Mem A, Fernandes MR, Cerdeira L, Esposito F, Galvão JA, et al. Chicken meat as a reservoir of colistin-resistant *Escherichia coli* strains carrying mcr-1 genes in South America. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2017) 61:e00874-16. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00874-16

196. Wang Q, Sun J, Li D, Ding Y, Li XP, Lin J, et al. Expanding landscapes of the diversified mcr-1-bearing plasmid reservoirs. *Microbiome.* (2017) 5:70. doi: 10.1186/s40168-017-0288-0

197. Chiou CS, Chen YT, Wang YW, Liu YY, Kuo HC, Tu YH, et al. Dissemination of mcr-1-carrying plasmids among colistin-resistant salmonella strains from humans and food-producing animals in Taiwan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2016) 61:e00338-17. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00338-17

198. Duggett NA, Sayers E, AbuOun M, Ellis RJ, Nunez-Garcia J, Randall L, et al. Occurrence and characterization of mcr-1 harbouring *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigs in Great Britain from 2013 to 2015. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* (2017) 72:691–5.

199. Zhang XF, Doi Y, Huang X, Li HY, Zhong LL, Zeng KJ, et al. Possible transmission of mcr-1-1Harbouring *Escherichia coli* between companion animals and human. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2016) 22:1679–81. doi: 10.3201/eid2209.160646

200. Ye H, Li Y, Li Z, Gao R, Zhang H, Wen R, et al. Diversified mcr-1-harbouring plasmid reservoirs confer resistance to colistin in human gut microbiota. *MBio.* (2016) 7:e01177. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01177-16

201. Wang Y, Tian GR, Zhang R, Shen Y, Tyrell JM, Huang X, et al. Prevalence, risk factors, outcomes, and molecular epidemiology of mcr-1-positive Enterobacteriaceae in patients and healthy adults from China:
an epidemiological and clinical study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2017) 17:390–9. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30527-8

222. Carattoli A, Villa L, Feudi C, Curcio L, Orsini S, Luppi A, et al. Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mcr-1 gene in Salmonella and *Escherichia coli*. Italy 2013, Spain and Belgium, 2015 to 2016. *Euro Surveill.* (2017) 22:30589. doi: 10.2649/EU.17.22.31.30589

223. AbuOun M, Stuberfeldt EJ, Duggett NA, Kirchner M, Dormer L, Nunez-Garcia J, et al. mcr-1 and mcr-2 variant genes identified in Moraxella species isolated from pigs in Great Britain from 2014 to 2015. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* (2017) 72:2745–9. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx286

224. Dally SM, Sturge CR, Felder-Scott CF, Geller BL, Greenberg DE. MCR-1 inhibition with peptide-conjugated phosphorodiamidate morpholinoglycimer oligomers restores sensitivity to polymyxin in *Escherichia coli*. *MBio.* (2017) 8:e01315-17. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01315-17

225. Fyfe C, LeBlanc G, Close B, Nordmann P, Dumas J, Grossman TH. *FadC2* pentamidine sensitizes Gram-negative pathogens to antibiotics and overcomes acquired colistin resistance. *ACS Med Chem Lett.* (2018) 9:1808. doi: 10.3389/fmchem.2018.01808

226. Zhou Y, Liu S, Wang T, Li L, Tang S, Wang J, et al. Pterostilbene, a potential MCR-1 inhibitor that enhances the efficacy of polymyxin B. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2018) 62:e02146-17. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02146-17

227. Zhou Y, Wang J, Guo Y, Liu X, Liu S, Niu X, et al. Discovery of a potential MCR-1 inhibitor that reverses polymyxin activity against clinical mcr-1-positive Enterobacteriaceae. *J Infect.* (2019) 78:364–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2019.03.004

228. Nezhadi J, Narenji H, Soroush Barbaghli MH, Rezaee MA, Ghotaslu R, Pirzadeh T, et al. Peptide nucleic acid-mediated re-sensitization of colistin resistance *Escherichia coli* K81 harboring mcr-1 plasmid. *Microb Pathog.* (2019) 135:10364. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103646

229. Dong H, Xiang H, Mu D, Wang D, Wang T. Exploiting a conjugative CRISPR/Cas9 system to eliminate plasmid harboring the mcr-1 gene from *Escherichia coli*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 51:528–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.08.027

230. Brenman-Krohn T, Pironti A, Kirby JE. Synergistic activity of colistin-containing combinations against colistin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2018) 62:e00873-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00873-18

231. Li Y, Lin X, Yao X, Huang Y, Liu W, Ma T, et al. Synergistic antimicrobial activity of colistin in combination with rifampin and azithromycin against *Escherichia coli* producing MCR-1. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2018) 62:e01631-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01631-18

232. MacNair CR, Stokes JM, Carrfai LA, Fiebig-Comyen AA, Coombes BK, Mulvey MR, et al. Overcoming mcr-1-mediated colistin resistance with colistin in combination with other antibiotics. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:458. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-02875-z

233. Hu Y, Liu Y, Coates A. Azidothymidine produces synergistic activity in combination with colistin against antibiotic-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2019) 63:e01630-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01630-18

234. Zhou Y-F, Liu P, Zhang C-J, Liao X-P, Sun J, Liu Y-H. Colistin combined with tigecycline: a promising alternative strategy to combat *Escherichia coli* harboring blaNDM-5 and mcr-1. *Front Microbiol.* (2020) 10:2957. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02957

235. Barker WT, Chandler CE, Melander RJ, Ernst RK, Melander C. Tryptamine derivatives disarm colistin resistance in polymyxin-resistant gram-negative bacteria. *Bioorg Med Chem.* (2019) 27:1776–88. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2019.03.019

236. Stokes JM, MacNair CR, Ilyas B, French S, Côté JP, Bouwman C, et al. Pentamidine sensitizes Gram-negative pathogens to antibiotics and overcomes acquired colistin resistance. *Nat Microbiol.* (2017) 2:17028. doi: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.28

237. Huggins WM, Barker WT, Baker JT, Hahn NA, Melander RJ, Melander C. Meridionan D analogues display antibiotic activity against MRSA and increase colistin efficacy in gram-negative bacteria. *ACS Med Chem Lett.* (2018) 9:792–7. doi: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00161

238. Cannatelli A, Principato S, Colavecchio OL, Pallecchi L, Rossolini GM. Synergistic activity of colistin in combination with resveratrol against colistin-resistant gram-negative pathogens. *Front Microbiol.* (2018) 9:1808. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01808

239. Zhou Y, Liu S, Wang T, Li H, Tang S, Wang J, et al. Pterostilbene, a potential MCR-1 inhibitor that enhances the efficacy of polymyxin B. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2018) 62:e02146-17. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02146-17

240. Zhou Y, Wang J, Guo Y, Liu X, Liu S, Niu X, et al. Discovery of a potential MCR-1 inhibitor that reverses polymyxin activity against clinical mcr-1-positive Enterobacteriaceae. *J Infect.* (2019) 78:364–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2019.03.004

241. Domanov R, Silva PDM, Kumar A, Zhanel GG, Schweizer F. The Anthelmintic Drug Niclosamide Synergizes with Colistin and Reverses Colistin Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacilli. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2019) 63:e02574-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02574-18

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.