Luminescence and fluorescence of essential oils. Fluorescence imaging in vivo of wild chamomile oil
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Abstract

Essential oils are currently of great importance to pharmaceutical companies, cosmetics producers and manufacturers of veterinary products. They are found in perfumes, creams, bath products, and household cleaning substances, and are used for flavouring food and drinks. It is well known that some of them act on the respiratory apparatus. The increasing interest in optical imaging techniques and the development of related technologies have made possible the investigation of the optical properties of several compounds. Luminescent properties of essential oils have not been extensively investigated. We evaluated the luminescent and fluorescent emissions of several essential oils, in order to detect them in living organisms by exploiting their optical properties. Some fluorescent emission data were high enough to be detected in dermal treatments. Consequently, we demonstrated how the fluorescent signal can be monitored for at least three hours on the skin of living mice treated with wild chamomile oil. The results encourage development of this technique to investigate the properties of drugs and cosmetics containing essential oils.

Introduction

Essential oils are composed of different mixtures of organic compounds extracted from plants, having many biological activities. Most essential oils (55%), are used in the food industry for the production of aroma extracts, while 20% are used as fragrances in perfumery and cosmetics, or in pharmaceutical or natural products (5%); a consistent percentage of these oils is used in transdermal administration, fluorescence, phosphorescence, luminescence, in vivo. The remaining 20% are used as fragrances in perfumery, while 20% are used as fragrances in perfumery, and 20% are used as fragrances in perfumery. It is well known that some of them act on the respiratory apparatus. The increasing interest in optical imaging techniques and the development of related technologies have made possible the investigation of the optical properties of several compounds. Luminescent properties of essential oils have not been extensively investigated. We evaluated the luminescent and fluorescent emissions of several essential oils, in order to detect them in living organisms by exploiting their optical properties. Some fluorescent emission data were high enough to be detected in dermal treatments. Consequently, we demonstrated how the fluorescent signal can be monitored for at least three hours on the skin of living mice treated with wild chamomile oil. The results encourage development of this technique to investigate the properties of drugs and cosmetics containing essential oils.

Materials and Methods

Fluorescence and luminescence images were acquired using VivoVision System IVIS® 200 (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA, USA), made up of a camera sensor back thinned, back illuminated grade CCD 1 (2.7 × 2.7 cm, 2048×2048 pixels, pixel dimension 13.5 µm, cooled at 90°C) and a 150W Quartz halogen 3250° Kelvin lamp. Images were acquired using Living Image 2.6 software (Xenogen Corporation).

Compounds tested

Wild Chamomile, Lavender (named here Lavender 1), Marjoram, Melissa, Mentha, Oregano, Pine, Rosemary, and Tea Tree (purchased from Dolisos, Pomezia, RM, Italy),...
Lavender (named here Lavender 2) and Lemon (purchased from Just, Grezzana, VR, Italy) were tested. For each compound 300 μL were placed in a non-fluorescent 96 multiwell plate and imaged using the optical instrument.

**Luminescence imaging**

Luminescent images of the compounds were acquired with the subsequent parameters: quartz halogen lamp off, field of view = 12.8x12.8 cm, f/stop = 1, binning factor = 1, exposure time = 60 s; excitation filters: five bands, 515-575 nm, 575-650 nm, 650-700 nm, 700-750 nm, ICG (810-875 nm); emission filters: five bands, 515-575 nm, 575-650 nm, 650-700 nm, 700-750 nm, ICG (810-875 nm) and six narrow band filters: four wide-band filters 515-575 nm, 575-650 nm, 650-700 nm, 700-750 nm, ICG (810-875 nm) and six narrow band filters 560 nm (550-570 nm), 580 nm (570-590 nm), 600 nm (590-610 nm), 620 nm (610-630 nm), 640 nm (630-650 nm), 660 nm (650-670 nm). Essential oils were handled in a daylit laboratory with blinds and placed in the dark room of the instrument for 30 min before the first luminescence acquisition (pre); then, the samples were exposed to sunshine for 5 min, after which three luminescent images (post1, post2 and post3) with exposure times of 10 min were acquired consecutively.

**Fluorescence imaging**

Fluorescent images of the compounds were acquired with the subsequent parameters: quartz halogen lamp on, field of view = 12.8x12.8 cm, f/stop = 2, binning factor = 4, exposure time = 1 s; excitation filters GFP (445-490 nm), DsRed (500-550 nm), Cy5.5 (615-665 nm) and ICG (710-760 nm); emission filters: four wide-band filters GFP (515-575 nm), DsRed (575-650 nm), Cy5.5 (695-770 nm), ICG (810-875 nm) and six narrow band filters 560 nm (550-570 nm), 580 nm (570-590 nm), 600 nm (590-610 nm), 620 nm (610-630 nm), 640 nm (630-650 nm), 660 nm (650-670 nm). Essential oils were handled in a daylit laboratory with blinds and placed in the dark room of the instrument for 30 min before the fluorescent images were acquired.

**Fluorescence imaging in vivo**

For the in vivo acquisitions we used the subsequent parameters: quartz halogen lamp on, field of view = 12.8x12.8 cm, f/stop = 2, binning factor = 4, exposure time = 1 s; excitation filter GFP (445-490 nm); emission filters: GFP (515-575 nm) and DsRed (575-650 nm). Two adult female Balb-C mice were treated three times (at 18-min intervals) with 200 μL of wild chamomile oil. The oil was applied using a brush on the furless skin. The dose administered to the mice was arbitrarily chosen because no pertinent data was found in the literature. One other mouse was kept for control measurements. All the animals were put in prone position on the heated stage of the optical instrument. Chamomile oil is popular worldwide and frequently used in pediatrics against eczema and dry skin because of its anti-inflammatory and antiseptic properties. For more than two weeks before the experiment the animals had been fed an alfalfa-free diet to reduce tissue autofluorescence. The research was conducted in accordance with the regulations of the Italian Ministry of Health and to the European Communities Council (86/609/EEC) directives.

**Results**

**Luminescence imaging**

The data of the luminescent oil emissions are shown in Figure 1. In the pre sunshine acquisition we found that the flux emitted from the essential oils was in the range 1.5 10^9 p/s, with Melissa and Lavender 2 proving to be the most luminescent compounds. In the first image after sunshine exposure, almost all the essential oils showed an increase in emission, followed by a continuous decrease in the subsequent acquisitions. Lavender 2 and wild chamomile emitted the greatest signal intensity in all post images.

**Fluorescence imaging**

The fluorescent emission measurements of the essential oils are shown in Figure 2. They are grouped on the basis of the excitation filters used. The measurements show efficiency of the signal that is the radiance of the subject divided by the illumination intensity.

When wide band filters were applied, all the essential oils showed the maximum emission with GFP excitation and GFP emission filters, or GFP excitation and DsRed emission filters. Excitation light with a longer wavelength than GFP produced lower fluorescent signal intensity. Using the GFP-GFP setup, the efficiency of all the compounds was in the range 0.65 10^4 p/s and the corresponding flux was in the range 0.14 10^4 p/s. The greatest signal intensity with the GFP-GFP setup was found with Lavender 2 and Wild Chamomile.

When narrow band emission filters were applied, all the essential oils presented a decrease of the signal from 560 nm to 660 nm.

**Fluorescence imaging in vivo**

The in vivo treatment with wild chamomile increased the emission coming from the skin of the animals compared to the pre treatment image. Particularly, in Figure 3, it is possible to compare the emission from the treated animals 3 h after the end of the treatment and the emission before treatment, with respect to the control animal.

The measurements of fluorescent emission from a region of interest (ROI) drawn on images and corresponding to the furless back of the mice are presented in Figure 4. The enhancement of the average efficiency (AF), defined as:

Enhancement = (AF(t) - AF(pre))/AF(pre)

where t is the time reported.

It is of major importance that all measurements relating to the treated animal group dif-

![Figure 1. Luminescence emission of the essential oils tested. Four measurements were made: pre-sunshine exposure, 5, 15 and 25 min after sunshine exposure, and refer to the total flux emitted by the wells containing the essential oils measured in photons for seconds.](image1)

![Figure 2. Fluorescence emission of the tested essential oils. Data are grouped according to the excitation filter used. Measurements refer to the total efficiency, the number of emitted photons divided by the number of incident photons on the wells containing the essential oils.](image2)
Figure 3. Fluorescent in vivo emission of wild chamomile from the skin of mice pre (panel left) and three hours after (panel right) administration of the essential oil. Treated animals are indicated by white arrows; the control mouse is in the center of the pictures. The treated region was the furless back of the animals. Images were acquired using GFP-GFP filters.

Differences in the intensities in luminescence and fluorescence emissions can be related to chemical composition: the main components of the extracted oils, terpenoids, are mixtures of cyclic and acyclic species with different degrees of conjugation. The composition of these mixtures (monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and diterpenes) changes according to the plant and the method used for oil extraction. The differences observed between the two types of lavender oil, for example, could be explained by hypothesising the use of different extraction processes, or of different species of the same plant. Therefore, the possible differences of the chemical composition of the different lots must be taken into account in future studies.

The in vivo experiments demonstrated that the fluorescent emission of Wild Camomille oil is detectable on the skin of animals for at least three hours after treatment. We would like to emphasise that occasionally the essential oil dripped from the shaved back of the animals to the fur. This could explain the increasing fluorescent emission of the untreated parts of the animals along the experimental time.

Our results encourage development and improvement of the imaging technique hereby described in the investigation of drugs and cosmetics to be administered transdermally to living organisms.

The present work lays the foundation for a real in vivo histochemistry. With the advantage of the non-invasiveness, the investigated method allows to evaluate tissue staining using the interaction with specific biomarkers.
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The experimental data showed that some essential oils have luminescent properties. It might be interesting to extend this study, and investigate the possible dependence of phosphorescence emission on sunshine exposure. The incidence of uncontrolled external light was a problematic issue for the aim of this study.

The experiments also showed that some essential oils fluorescent properties can easily be detected with commercial optical instruments. Moreover, the measured flux in the case of fluorescence emission is several orders of magnitude greater than the flux emitted without an exciting source. So we believe that fluorescent properties are more suitable for the study of small living animals.
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