Chronic instability of the anterior tibiofibular syndesmosis of the ankle. Arthroscopic findings and results of anatomical reconstruction
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Abstract
Background: The arthroscopic findings in patients with chronic anterior syndesmotic instability that need reconstructive surgery have never been described extensively.

Methods: In 12 patients the clinical suspicion of chronic instability of the syndesmosis was confirmed during arthroscopy of the ankle. All findings during the arthroscopy were scored. Anatomical reconstruction of the anterior tibiofibular syndesmosis was performed in all patients. The AOFAS score was assessed to evaluate the result of the reconstruction. At an average of 43 months after the reconstruction all patients were seen for follow-up.

Results: The syndesmosis being easily accessible for the 3 mm transverse end of probe which could be rotated around its longitudinal axis in all cases during arthroscopy of the ankle joint, confirmed the diagnosis. Cartilage damage was seen in 8 ankles, of which in 7 patients the damage was situated at the medial side of the ankle joint. The intraarticular part of anterior tibiofibular ligament was visibly damaged in 5 patients. Synovitis was seen in all but one ankle joint. After surgical reconstruction the AOFAS score improved from an average of 72 pre-operatively to 92 post-operatively.

Conclusions: To confirm the clinical suspicion, the final diagnosis of chronic instability of the anterior syndesmosis can be made during arthroscopy of the ankle. Cartilage damage to the medial side of the tibiotalar joint is often seen and might be the result of syndesmotic instability. Good results are achieved by anatomic reconstruction of the anterior syndesmosis, and all patients in this study would undergo the surgery again if necessary.

Background
The distal tibiofibular syndesmosis consists of the interosseous tibiofibular ligament (IL), the anterior tibiofibular ligament (ATiFL), and the posterior tibiofibular ligament (PTiFL) with the transverse ligament (TL) [1-3].

In 1% to 11% of the soft tissue injuries of the ankle, the syndesmosis is reported to be affected [4,5]. Injury to the syndesmosis occurs through rupture or bony avulsion of the syndesmotic ligament complex [2,6,7]. These injuries result most often from an external rotation trauma [5,8]. Other trauma mechanisms that have been found to cause syndesmotic injury are abduction, dorsiflexion and inversion [5,9,10]. During external rotation of the foot the fibula is translated posteriorly and rotated externally, which results in a high tension of the ATiFL. This may attribute to the isolated rupture of the ATiFL [11].

Rupture of the ATiFL, in its turn, causes instability of the ankle mortise [2,6,10-14]. Following an injury to the syndesmosis, pain during activity, a feeling of instability and weakness of the ankle (most often without ‘frank’ giving way) are also commonly experienced symptoms. Furthermore, tenderness over the ATiFL, and swelling at the level of the syndesmosis, a ‘high sprain’, are common signs [8,15-17]. The recovery period after a rupture of the distal tibiofibular syndesmosis is described to be considerably longer than in patients suffering from a ‘normal’ lateral ankle sprain [5,8,15,18].

While complete instability of the syndesmosis may be recognised during fluoroscopy or on radiographs by diastasis of the mortise, the diagnosis ‘chronic instability of the
Methods

This is a prospective review of 12 patients in whom during the arthroscopy of the ankle anterior instability of the distal tibiofibular joint was confirmed [11,18,27]. There were 3 men and 9 women with a mean age of 32 years (range 17 to 54 years) at the moment of arthroscopy. In 11 of these patients the clinical suspicion of injury of the syndesmosis, based on medical history and physical examination as described by Beumer et al. [20] had been the indication for arthroscopy. In the other patient suspected osteochondritis dissecans was the indication for the arthroscopy.

The physical examination before the arthroscopy included inspection for swelling and tenderness at the level of the syndesmosis, and evaluation of ankle range of motion, the alignment of the ankle, and the specific syndesmotic stress tests as described in the introduction, except for the Cotton test which was only performed during the follow-up. Furthermore, the anterior drawer test was performed to rule out lateral instability of the ankle, and clinical evaluation to exclude abnormal ligament laxity according to Beighton et al. [28] was performed. The Clinical Rating Index for Ankle-Hindfoot [29] was scored in all patients pre- and post-operatively. A score of 95 to 100 was scored as excellent, 85 to 94 as good, 65 to 84 as fair, and less than 65 was scored as poor. Standard weight bearing anterior-posterior and lateral radiographs were made in all patients. These were evaluated for osseous abnormalities, and the presence of heterotopic ossifications. Further assessment of parameters indicating syndesmotic instability was performed. These parameters are: unilateral absence of tibiofibular overlap in the AP radiograph [30,31], and a medial clear space that is larger than the superior clear space, furthermore the distance between the medial side of the fibula and the deepest point of the tibial incisure should not exceed 5 mm [19,30]. Patients’ details are displayed in Table 1.

At the time of arthroscopy, the average time after the initial injury was 24 months (range 6 to 84 months). The arthroscopies were performed in the supine position through standard anteromedial and anterolateral portals with a 30° 2.7-mm arthroscope, a tourniquet around the upper leg and an adjustable distraction. The diagnosis chronic anterior instability of the distal tibiofibular syndesmosis was made when the 3 mm transverse end of the test probe could easily be inserted and turned around in the syndesmosis [11,18,21] (Figure 1).

When present, location, and severity of damage to cartilage of the ankle joint were recorded. Articular cartilage lesions were graded according to the Outerbridge classification [32]: Grade 0, normal cartilage; Grade I, cartilage with softening and swelling; Grade II, cartilage with irregular deep fissures and villous-like cartilaginous
| Patent No | Age (y) | Gender | Side  | Clinical rating preop | Rating preop | Radiograph preop | Synovitis visible | Sydesmosis accessible for test probe | Tibiofibular ligament visibly damaged | Cartilage damage | Clinical rating index postop | Rating postop | Sefton score postop | Radiograph postop |
|-----------|--------|--------|------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| 1         | 33     | M      | Right | 85                     | Good        | -               | + (S)            | +                | 0                                  | MTa            | 100                 | Excellent      | 1                    | -              |
| 2         | 36     | F      | Right | 72                     | Fair        | -               | + (S)            | +                | +                                  | -              | 90                  | Good           | 1                    | -              |
| 3         | 45     | F      | Left  | 59                     | Poor        | -               | + (S&J)          | +                | 0                                  | MTa            | 87                  | Good           | 2                    | -              |
| 4         | 18     | F      | Right | 75                     | Fair        | -               | + (S&J)          | +                | +                                  | -              | 100                 | Excellent      | 1                    | -              |
| 5         | 26     | F      | Right | 72                     | Fair        | MCS+            | + (J)            | +                | +                                  | MMa            | 100                 | Excellent      | 1                    | TFCS+ & TFO    |
| 6         | 37     | F      | Left  | 72                     | Fair        | TFCS+           | + (S&J)          | +                | +                                  | LTa & LTi      | 76                  | Fair           | 3                    | -              |
| 7         | 17     | F      | Left  | 75                     | Fair        | -               | + (S)            | +                | -                                  | LTa            | 100                 | Excellent      | 1                    | -              |
| 8         | 22     | F      | Left  | 75                     | Fair        | -               | -               | +                | -                                  | -              | 85                  | Good           | 2                    | -              |
| 9         | 54     | F      | Left  | 61                     | Poor        | TFCS+           | + (S&J)          | +                | -                                  | -              | 85                  | Good           | 2                    | TFCS+          |
| 10        | 27     | F      | Left  | 70                     | Fair        | TFCS+           | + (S&J)          | +                | -                                  | MTi & LTi & MMa| 100                 | Excellent      | 1                    | TFCS+          |
| 11        | 42     | M      | Right | 72                     | Fair        | TFCS+           | + (S)            | +                | -                                  | MTa            | 85                  | Good           | 2                    | -              |
| 12        | 26     | M      | Left  | 72                     | Fair        | -               | + (S&J)          | +                | +                                  | MTa            | 100                 | Excellent      | 1                    | -              |

MCS+, medial clear space larger than superior clear space; TFCS+, distance between the medial side of the fibula and the deepest point of the tibial incisure of more than 5 mm; TFO+, absence of tibiofibular overlap; S, synovitis in the syndesmosis; J, synovitis in the joint; MTa, medial side of the talus; LTa, lateral side of the talus; MTi, medial side of the tibial pilon; LTi, lateral side of the tibial pilon; MMa, medial malleolus.
flakes attached to the subchondral bone; Grade III, increase of the affected area with erosions down to the bare bone; Grade IV, fully exposed subchondral bone.

The presence and location of synovitis and scar tissue in the syndesmosis was recorded. When considered necessary, intra-articular shaving was performed. Ruptured portions of the intra-articular ATiFL were resected. Post-operatively full mobilisation was allowed. Arthroscopic findings were discussed with the patient and if the complaints were not resolved by resection of synovitis and torn ligament ends, advice to undergo reconstruction of the anterior syndesmosis was given.

The mean time between arthroscopy and reconstructive surgery was 15 weeks (range 0 to 29 weeks). All reconstructions of the syndesmosis were performed by the same surgeon (BAS) as described by Beumer et al. [18] (Figure 2). Paying close attention to the intermediate dorsal cutaneous nerve, an anterolateral approach starting over the fibula directed towards the distal tibia was used. After identification of the slack, but continuous, anterior tibiofibular ligament the insertion in the tibia was osteotomized and mobilized with a bone block of approximately 1 x 1 cm. A gutter, running medially and slightly proximal to the original location of the bone block, was made in the tibia and after maximal compression of the mortise with a pelvic clamp the bone block was fixated in the gutter more medial and proximal than its original insertion with maximal tension on the ATiFL. Thereafter a syndesmotic screw was inserted through 4 cortices. The syndesmotic screw was removed after at least 6 weeks of non weight-bearing in a below knee cast.

All 12 patients who had had surgical reconstruction were seen for follow-up at an average of 25 (range 6 to 51) months after reconstructive surgery. The follow-up was performed by the 2 other authors who had not performed the surgery. During follow-up the same tests as in the preoperative physical examination were performed. Standard non-weight bearing anterior-posterior and lateral radiographs were made. For comparison with the study performed by Beumer et al. [18] in which 9 patients underwent the same anatomical reconstruction of the anterior syndesmosis, a postoperative ankle score...
according to Sefton et al. [33] was added. The local hospital review board granted permission for this study.

**Results**

All 12 patients showed an improvement of the pain and limitations, and they all would undergo the surgery again in the same circumstances.

**Clinical findings before arthroscopy**

At the first visit all patients experienced pain, and limitation of the function of their ankle which subsequently resulted in limitations during their daily activities. Walking on an uneven surface caused problems in all but one patient. Demographic and clinical information on all 12 patients are given in Table 1. Information on the physical examination is given in Table 2. No patients showed signs of hyperlaxity. At initial contact the average AOFAS score was 72. Radiographs of the ankle showed only 5 ankles with an abnormality of one of the parameters that may indicate syndesmotic instability (Table 1). In 5 ankles other osseous abnormalities were seen: one old avulsion fracture of the lateral malleolus, 2 times status after bimalleolar ankle fracture, and 2 ankles showed irregularities at the level of the syndesmosis.

**Arthroscopic findings**

In all patients included in this study the diagnosis ‘chronic instability of the anterior syndesmosis’ was confirmed during arthroscopy. In only 5 ankles the intra-articular part of the ATiFL was visibly damaged and 8 ankles had synovitis and/or scar tissue bulging from the syndesmosis. Cartilage damage was found in 8 ankles, all Outerbridge stage 1 except in patient 5 where the inside of the medial malleolus was bare after a fracture in the past. No treatment for the cartilage damage like forage was performed in any patient. No correlation between the time that the syndesmotic injury had occured and the presence of the cartilage damage was found. Further information on the localisation of the damaged cartilage seen during arthroscopy is given in the results summary table (Table 1).

**Follow-up after reconstruction**

At an average of 25 months after reconstruction 11 patients showed an excellent or good result. In 6 patients all complaints had disappeared, all other patients showed an improvement of complaints. Only 4 patients showed slight limitations when walking on uneven ground. After the reconstruction the average AOFAS score was 92. Further information on the physical examination during follow up can be found in the physical examination table (Table 2). Standard AP and lateral radiographs after reconstruction showed 3 ankles with an abnormality of one of the parameters that may indicate syndesmotic instability (See Table 1). All patients were satisfied with the improvement of the symptoms as a result of the surgery. All patients would choose to have the reconstructive surgery again.

**Complications**

There we no complications after the arthroscopies and the reconstruction surgery. Unfortunately, there was one wound infection after removal of the syndesmotic screw 6 weeks after the reconstruction. It was treated with antibiotics, proper wound care was applied, and with time the wound healed without further problems.

**Discussion**

Previous studies have shown that arthroscopic evaluation of the stability of the distal tibiofibular joint is of considerable value in the diagnosis of injuries of the syndesmosis [18,21,23,24,26,27].

| Table 2 Physical examination pre/postoperatively |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Patient | Tenderness at the level of the ATiFL | Fibula translation test | External rotation stress test | Squeeze test | Anterior drawer sign | Impaired dorsal flexion |
|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 1       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 2       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | -/ +/-              | +/-                    |
| 3       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 4       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 5       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 6       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 7       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 8       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 9       | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 10      | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 11      | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |
| 12      | +/-                                 | +/-                    | +/-                         | +/-         | +/-                 | +/-                    |

+ positive test; - negative test.
In the intact situation the intermalleolar distance increases with 1, 0 - 1, 1 mm during the movement from plantar flexion to dorsiflexion when the ankle is forced in dorsal flexion [34-37]. In previous studies [23,24,26] acute injury of the syndesmosis was diagnosed when a widening of 2 mm between the tibia and fibula was found during arthroscopy. Based on the knowledge that the radiographic boundaries of the syndesmosis (medial site fibula - deepest point tibial incisures) do not exceed 5 mm in non-injured specimens [30] and on the study of Bartonicek [1], in which an 2 mm wide V-shaped synovial plica is described that starts at the fibular notch and becomes narrower as it reaches the IL, in this study injury of the syndesmosis was confirmed only when the 3 mm transverse end of the probe could easily be turned around in the syndesmosis.

During arthroscopy the presence and extent of chondral pathology can easily be assessed. In this study cartilage damage in the ankle joint was seen in 10 ankles. In 1 of these ankles the damage appeared to be the direct result of an old bimalleolar ankle fracture. The cartilage damage in the other 9 ankles could be the indirect result of the instability of the ankle mortise, caused by the injury of the syndesmosis [11,12,21].

It is of interest that in the 6 patients with a positive squeeze test, during the arthroscopy no scar tissue or synovitis was found inside the syndesmosis in five of them, and only very little in one. In all patients with a negative squeeze test a considerable amount of synovitis and/or scar tissue was seen bulging out from the syndesmosis. The negative result of the squeeze test could possibly be explained by an impaired mediolateral movement of the fibula during the squeeze as a result of the scar tissue filling the syndesmosis or by a buffer function of the fibrofatty tissue, which results in a diminished stress and thus pain.

In this study none of the specific syndesmotic stress tests was uniformly positive in the presence of a syndesmotic rupture. This is in accordance with earlier findings [20] and confirms that no definite diagnosis should be based on the medical history and the physical examination.

Beumer et al. [30] showed that no single optimal radiographic parameter exists to assess syndesmotic integrity. In the present study the measurements performed in the standard AP and lateral radiographs of the ankle before the reconstruction showed only 5 ankles with abnormalities that could indicate injury of the syndesmosis. This shows that the diagnosis cannot be dismissed based on the absence of radiologic abnormalities. CT, ultrasound and MRI have been shown to be useful in acute syndesmotic injuries, but we are not aware of studies proving their usefulness in chronic instability.

Cartilage injury is frequently found but none of the triad of findings described by Ogilvie-Harris et al. [21], torn PTFL, and an avulsion of posterior tibial dome was seen in this group of patients, nor in the other 2 groups described by us [11,18], so that we must conclude that Ogilvie-Harris et al. [21] have described a different condition than ‘chronic instability of the anterior syndesmosis’. This might explain why their patients recovered from symptoms without stabilisation of the mortise.

A substantially better result of the anatomical reconstruction is seen in the present study when the post-operative Sefton-score [33] is compared to the results of the study of Beumer et al. [18] in which the same surgical reconstruction was performed in nine patients. This last study also reported 3 complications in performing the reconstruction. Transient sympathetic reflex dystrophy was seen in 2 patients and entrapment of the intermediate dorsal cutaneous nerve in scar tissue was seen in 1 patient. In this study we only had one complication, namely a wound infection after removal of the syndesmotic screw 6 weeks after the reconstruction. Less complications and a better result could be explained by the surgeon paying closer attention to the intermediate dorsal cutaneous nerve as advised [18], and the learning curve which is present for all surgical procedures.

The main limitations of this study concern the small number of patients, and the absence of a control group. However, syndesmotic instability was until recently an underdiagnosed and poorly defined condition, and the present study helps to clarify diagnostic and therapeutic aspects. The long duration of complaints after the initial injury makes a self-limiting natural history less obvious.

**Conclusion**

The combination of the patients’ medical history, physical examination, and diagnostic tests can give a good indication of the function of the syndesmotic ligaments. When syndesmotic injury is suspected based on medical history and physical examination, the diagnosis can be confirmed during arthroscopy of the ankle. This is done by inserting a probe with a 3 mm transverse end into the syndesmosis to test the width of the distal tibiofibular joint by turning the probe around its longitudinal axis. Reconstruction of the ATFL by a tensioning procedure can give very good results even if the interosseous ligament would have been ruptured as well.
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