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Abstract

Charge Coupled Devices (CCDs) have been successfully used in several high energy physics experiments over the past two decades. Their high spatial resolution and thin sensitive layers make them an excellent tool for studying short-lived particles. The Linear Collider Flavour Identification (LCFI) collaboration is developing Column-Parallel CCDs (CPCCDs) for the vertex detector of a future Linear Collider. The CPCCDs can be read out many times faster than standard CCDs, significantly increasing their operating speed. An Analytic Model has been developed for the determination of the charge transfer inefficiency (CTI) of a CPCCD. The CTI values determined with the Analytic Model agree largely with those from a full TCAD simulation. The Analytic Model allows efficient study of the variation of the CTI on parameters like readout frequency, operating temperature and occupancy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Charge transfer inefficiency (CTI) is an important aspect in the CCD development for operation in High Energy Physics colliders [1]–[3]. The LCFI collaboration has been developing new CCD chips and testing them for about 10 years [1]–[5]. Recently the focus of the simulations has been on CCDs with column parallel readout (CPCCD). Full TCAD [6] simulations for a CPCCD were performed for different readout frequencies and operating temperatures [7]–[9]. An example of the CTI temperature dependence is shown in Fig. 1 (from [9]). Full TCAD simulations are very CPU intensive. This has already been noted for the CCD simulations with a sequential readout [10]–[12]. The CTI depends on many parameters, such as readout frequency and operating temperature. Some parameters are related to the trap characteristics like trap energy level, capture cross-section and trap concentration (density). Other factors are also relevant, such as the occupancy of the pixels (hits). It is well known that analytic charge transfer models can be used to study the CTI dependence on readout frequency and operating temperature [13]–[15]. For a comparison with full TCAD CTI simulation results, as shown in Fig. 1 we have developed Analytic Models for the CPCCD [7]–[9]. The further development of these Analytic Models leads also to better understanding of the relevant parameters in order to reduce the CTI in future CPCCD prototypes. This paper addresses the inclusion of signal shape and clock voltage amplitude which leads to an improved Analytic Model for a CPCCD. The CTI obtained from the improved Analytic Model is compared with results from full TCAD simulations.

II. ANALYTIC MODEL FOR CTI DETERMINATION

The Analytic Models [7]–[9] describe the different steps in the charge transfer process and the amount of the trapped charge with respect to the charge cloud in transfer. Figure 2 shows the consecutive charge transfer for a two-phase CPCCD in one pixel (2 nodes). Following the treatment by Kim [16], based on earlier work by Shockley, Read and Hall [17] a defect at an energy below the bottom of the conduction band is considered. Our model considers one single energy level and includes the emission time $\tau_e$, and capture time $\tau_c$, in the
differential equation
\[ \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{1 - r}{\tau_c} - \frac{r}{\tau_e} \]  
(1)

where \( r \) is the fraction of filled traps. Initially the fraction of filled traps is \( r(0) \). At stage A the signal charge packet arrives and interacts with traps under node 1 during the time \( t_1 \). This interaction leads to the capture and emission process. By resolving the differential equation (1), the fraction of filled traps \( r_{1A} \) under node 1 during the time \( t_1 \) (when the signal packet is present) is given by

\[ r_{1A}(t_1) = [r(0) - \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_e}] \exp\left(-\frac{t_1}{\tau_s}\right) + \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_e} \]  
(2)

where \( \tau_s = \tau_e/\tau_c \).

At stage B charge moves to the next node and interacts with traps during the time \( t_2 \) under this node. During this time electrons emitted from node 1 join the signal charge packet in the second node. Thus, the fraction of filled traps \( r_{1B} \) under node 1 during the time \( t_1 \) in the presence of the signal packet is given by

\[ r_{1B}(t_2) = r_{1A}(t_1) \exp\left(-\frac{t_2}{\tau_e}\right). \]  
(3)

At the same stage B, \( r_{2B} \) is defined as the fraction of filled traps under node 2 during the time \( t_2 \), thus,

\[ r_{2B}(t_2) = [r(0) - \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_e}] \exp\left(-\frac{t_2}{\tau_s}\right) + \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_e}. \]  
(4)

When the signal charge moves to the first node of the next pixel, stage C, electrons emitted during the time \( t_1 \) can join the signal present at this node and the fraction of filled traps \( r_{2C} \) under node 2 during the time \( t_1 \) is given by

\[ r_{2C}(t_1) = r_{2B}(t_2) \exp\left(-\frac{t_1}{\tau_e}\right). \]  
(5)

The CTI is defined by the ratio of the charge loss under each node to the signal charge density \( n_s \), thus,

\[ CTI = \frac{N_t}{n_s} [r_{1B}(t_2) + r_{2C}(t_1) - 2r(0)] \]  
(6)

where \( N_t \) is the trap concentration, and \( r(0) = \exp(-t_w/\tau_e) \) which is determined by considering the fact that initially all traps are filled and electrons are emitted during the waiting time \( t_w \) between two signal charge packets. For the case \( t_1 = t_2 = t \), the combination of the previous equations leads to

\[ CTI = \frac{2N_t}{n_s} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-t\left(\frac{1}{\tau_e} + \frac{2}{\tau_c}\right)\right) \right] \times \]
\[ \left[ \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_c} (1 - \exp(-t/\tau_e)) \right] \exp(-t/\tau_e) \]
\[ - \exp\left(-\frac{t_w}{\tau_e}\right). \]  
(7)

III. Analytic Model CTI Results

The CTI dependence on readout frequency and operating temperature has been explored using an Analytic Model based on Eq. (7). Figure 3 shows the CTI results from the Analytic Model at different frequencies for temperatures between 100 K and 550 K. The CTI increases as the readout frequency decreases. For higher readout frequencies there is less time to trap the passing signal, thus the CTI is reduced. At high temperatures the emission time is so short that the trapped charges can rejoin the passing signal.

IV. Comparison Between Full TCAD Simulation and Analytic Model Regarding Signal Shape Effect

The signal charge profile varies in the signal cloud as illustrated in the upper part of Fig. 3. The signal packet does not have well defined boundaries and the charge concentration decreases gradually from the centre of the signal packet. Therefore, the signal packet will interact with a varying fraction of the traps within the pixel and this affects the CTI determination. The implementation of a more realistic signal
shape into the Analytic Model is expected to improve the agreement with the full TCAD simulation. Figure 5 shows the profile of the signal charge under the node from a full TCAD simulation. Two-dimensional and one-dimensional signal charge density profiles are extracted as shown in Figs. 6 and 7 respectively.

Figures 8 and 9 show the CTI dependence on the signal charge profile for the 0.17 eV and 0.44 eV traps at 50 MHz. These figures also show the CTI values for different signal shapes in comparison with the full TCAD simulation. The CTI is reduced as the width of the potential well becomes smaller. This behaviour is expected, as illustrated in the lower part of Fig. 4. The CTI values calculated with the Analytic Model including the signal charge profile agree better with the full TCAD simulation results. The relatively shallow traps (0.17 eV) are more affected by the signal charge shape than the deeper ones (0.44 eV). The inclusion of the approximate signal shape in the Analytic Model reduces the CTI value in the peak region by about 10 to 20% compared to assuming a square-shape signal.
Fig. 9. CTI from Analytic Model (AM) including the shape of the signal packet as a function of temperature for 0.44 eV traps with a concentration of $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ and 1% hit (pixel) occupancy at 50 MHz readout frequency in comparison with full TCAD simulation results. Three different signal shapes are compared with the full TCAD simulation. For the 0.44 eV traps the inclusion of the signal shape in the Analytic Model has only a small effect to improve the agreement with the full TCAD simulation.

Fig. 10. Diagram of clock voltages in a two-phase CPCCD. $V_1$ and $V_2$ (dashed lines) show the applied voltages under node 1 and 2, respectively. The solid line shows the difference between the two applied voltages. $V_B$ is the barrier potential (horizontal dashed line). $T_1$ and $T_3$ are time periods with no charge transfer and $T_2$ is the time period with charge transfer.

V. COMPARISON BETWEEN FULL TCAD SIMULATION AND ANALYTIC MODEL REGARDING CLOCK VOLTAGE EFFECT

In this study the effect of different clock voltage amplitudes on CTI values are investigated. A sine-form voltage is applied to consecutive nodes as shown in Fig. 10. The following variables are defined:

$V_1$: voltage applied to a first node of a pixel,
$V_2$: voltage applied to a second node of a pixel,
$V_B$: potential barrier created between two successive gates by the doping profile,
$T_{1,3}$: time interval where $|V_1 - V_2| < V_B$,
$T_2$: time interval where $|V_1 - V_2| > V_B$.

The signal is not transferred until the absolute difference between the two clock voltages $V_1$ and $V_2$ reaches the potential barrier created between two consecutive nodes. This affects the CTI determination and it is now included in the Analytic Model. The time intervals $T_1$ and $T_3$ are defined by the intersection point between the $|V_1 - V_2|$ curve and the barrier potential $V_B$ (horizontal dashed line): $V_M \sin(wt) = V_B$, thus,

$$T_1 = T_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi f} \times \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{V_M}{V_B}\right)$$

where $V_M$ is the amplitude of the clock voltage. The CTI determined with the Analytic Model including the clock voltage effect is shown in Figs. 11 and 12 for 0.17 eV and 0.44 eV traps, respectively. These results are compared to full TCAD simulations. Two different clock voltages ($V_M$) are shown.

$0.44$ eV traps

$0.17$ eV traps

Fig. 11. CTI from Analytic Model (AM) including clock voltage effects as a function of temperature for 0.17 eV traps with a concentration of $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ and 1% hit (pixel) occupancy at 50 MHz readout frequency in comparison with full TCAD simulation results. Two different clock voltages ($V_M$) are shown.

The CTI decreases as the amplitude increases until it saturates and no further decrease can be observed. This result is shown in Fig. 13 for two examples, 0.17 eV traps at a temperature of 200 K and 0.44 eV traps at a temperature of 460 K.
average.

In summary, the Analytic Model has been extended to give smaller CTI values only above the CTI peak position. The inclusion of the clock voltage effects leads to a more realistic description of the CTI for a CPCCD and the effect of realistic clock voltage amplitudes for CTI calculations. The signal shape affects the CTI mostly in the peak region. A smaller width of the potential well decreases the CTI. The inclusion of the clock voltage effects leads to smaller CTI values only above the CTI peak position. In summary, the Analytic Model has been extended to give a more realistic description of the CTI for a CPCCD and the results agree better with full TCAD simulations. Overall, the Analytic Model predicts well the CTI peak position in comparison with a full TCAD simulation. It can produce CTI values almost instantly while the full TCAD simulation is very CPU intensive. Generally, agreement between the Analytic Model and full TCAD simulation results is better for the 0.17 eV traps than for the 0.44 eV traps. The Analytic Model is suited to contribute to future CPCCD developments.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Our previous Analytic Models for a CPCCD have been extended to include the effect of non-uniform signal shape and the effect of realistic clock voltage amplitudes for CTI calculations. The signal shape affects the CTI mostly in the peak region. A smaller width of the potential well decreases the CTI. The inclusion of the clock voltage effects leads to smaller CTI values only above the CTI peak position. In summary, the Analytic Model has been extended to give a more realistic description of the CTI for a CPCCD and the results agree better with full TCAD simulations. Overall, the Analytic Model predicts well the CTI peak position in comparison with a full TCAD simulation. It can produce CTI values almost instantly while the full TCAD simulation is very CPU intensive. Generally, agreement between the Analytic Model and full TCAD simulation results is better for the 0.17 eV traps than for the 0.44 eV traps. The Analytic Model is suited to contribute to future CPCCD developments.
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