Regulatory effect between HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma
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Abstract. Due to the high incidence of colorectal cancer worldwide, the underlying molecular mechanisms have been extensively investigated. The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway plays a key role in the carcinogenesis of colorectal adenoma. In addition, the high mobility group AT-hook 2 (HMGA2) protein, which is involved in several biological processes, such as proliferation, differentiation, transformation and metastasis, is expressed at significantly high levels in colorectal cancer tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues. Currently, the role of HMGA2 in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma remains unclear. The downstream Wnt/β-catenin signaling molecule, T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancing factor (TCF/LEF), shares a similar domain with HMGA2, which enhances β-catenin transcriptional activity and TCF/LEF binding. Thus, the present study investigated the association between HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, and their role in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma via immunohistochemistry, siRNA, quantitative PCR and western blot analyses. The results demonstrated that the positive rate of HMGA2 expression gradually increased during tumor progression. Furthermore, HMGA2 expression was positively correlated with Wnt/β-catenin signaling protein expression [Wnt, β-catenin, cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) and cyclin D1], suggesting its involvement in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma and its potential to synergistically interact with the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway.

HMGA2 knockdown in the human colorectal cancer cell line, HCT 116 decreased β-catenin expression and its downstream targets, CDK4 and cyclin D1. Furthermore, silencing of Wnt or β-catenin decreased HMGA2 expression. Taken together, the results of the present study suggest the coordinated regulation of HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma.

Introduction

Colorectal adenoma is a relatively common benign lesion with potential for carcinogenesis (1-3). Its incidence increases with age after 30 years, and it is common in Chinese people >40 years (~40-50%), including sporadic and familial cases (4). Currently, four types of colorectal adenoma have been defined based on histology, tubular adenomas, villous adenomas, tubulo-villous adenomas and serrated adenomas, whereby tubular adenomas are the most common subtype (5).

Colorectal adenoma is associated with colorectal cancer, and at least 80% of colorectal carcinomas undergo neoplastic progression via the normal epithelium-adenoma-adenocarcinoma sequence (6). Cancer-associated mortality can be reduced by early detection and removing clinically significant adenomas (7). Thus, it is important to understand the progression from adenomas to colorectal carcinomas to facilitate the development of novel treatment strategies and improve clinical outcomes. However, most studies investigating the carcinogenesis of colorectal adenomas have focused on villous adenoma and familial adenomatous polyposis, which have the highest rates of carcinogenesis (8,9). Only a few studies have investigated sporadic tubular adenoma, which has the highest clinical incidence (10,11). Thus, systematic and comprehensive investigations of the molecular mechanisms of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma carcinogenesis, including evaluations of its etiology and pathogenesis, are required.

Carcinogenesis is a complex process involving multiple genes and genetic interactions, such as Wnt, Ras and TGF-β signaling pathways (12,13). The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway plays a key role in the carcinogenesis of colorectal adenoma (14). Previous studies have reported the nuclear accumulation of β-catenin early and consistently in the adenoma-carcinoma sequence of colorectal cancers (15,16). The regulation of transcription factors in the Wnt signaling pathway plays an important role in the
carcinogenesis of adenoma (17,18). In addition, high mobility group AT-hook 2 (HMGA2), an architectural transcription factor that regulates several genes, such as E2F1 and T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancing factor (TCF/LEF) (19,20), is considered a potential tumor marker for several human malignant neoplasms, including lung (21-23), breast (24,25), gastric (26,27) and colorectal (28) cancers. Previous studies have also reported shared regulatory mechanisms between HMGA2 and β-catenin (29,30). However, whether HMGA2 is involved in the Wnt/β-catenin pathway-mediated carcinogenesis of adenomas remains unclear.

The present study aimed to investigate HMGA2 expression and its clinical significance in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma. In addition, the association between HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in colorectal cancer cells was assessed. Understanding the molecular mechanisms by which HMGA2 functions in the carcinogenesis of colorectal adenoma may enable the development of effective targeted therapies for colorectal cancer.

Materials and methods

Human tissue samples. A total of 263 formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded colorectal tissue samples were obtained by surgical resection and biopsy from the Department of Pathology at the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University (Shijiazhuang, China) between December 2010 and May 2013. The patients included 141 men and 122 women (mean age, 53.0 years; age range, 23-84 years). Of these samples, 105 were sporadic colorectal tubular adenomas with cancerous changes (SCTA-Ca) and 121 were SCTA with different dysplasia (SCTA-D), which included 46 adenomas with low-grade dysplasia, 44 adenomas with moderate-grade dysplasia and 31 adenomas with high-grade dysplasia. According to pathological morphology, SCTA-D was divided into three grades, which were low-grade dysplasia, moderate-grade dysplasia and high-grade dysplasia. Low-grade dysplasia showed that the number of goblet cells in the glandular duct decreased, the cells were arranged in multiple layers, and the nucleus was pen rod-shaped, located at the base of epithelial cells, with a height less than 1/2 of that of epithelial cells. Moderate-grade dysplasia showed that the nuclei were arranged in multiple layers, accounting for 2/3 of the height of epithelial cells. The glandular tubes were elongated and distorted, with different sizes. High-grade dysplasia showed obvious enlargement of nuclei, disappearance of polarity, rare or disappearance of goblet cells, obvious sprouting of glandular branches, and common wall and back-to-back phenomena. A total of 37 normal colorectal mucosa (NCM) tissues (5 cm from the corresponding cancer or adenoma tissues) were used as the control group. All TNM stage data were obtained from the clinical and pathological diagnoses (31). None of the patients had received chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior to surgery. Familial colonic polyposis and juvenile polyposis should be excluded from the medical history and serrated and villous colorectal adenomas should be excluded from the histopathological type. All pathological sections were examined and verified by two senior pathologists. In subsequent experiments, the tissue sections were stored at room temperature. The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University (Shijiazhuang, China; approval no. 2014057) and written informed consent was provided by all participants prior to the study start.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis. Histological sections (4-µm thick) were prepared from the 4% formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections for 48 h at room temperature. Following deparaffinization, antigen retrieval was performed with citrate buffer for 15 min at 120°C. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked using 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 10 min at room temperature. Goat serum (OriGene Technologies, Inc.) was used for blocking non-specific binding sites for 1-2 h at room temperature. Slides were then incubated with primary antibodies against HMGA2 (1:300 dilution; GTX100519; GeneTex,Irvine), Wnt (1:200 dilution; FNab09517; FineTest,Wuhan Fine Biotech Co.); β-catenin (1:50 dilution; AF6266; Affinity Biosciences), cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4, 1:200 dilution; ab137675; Abcam) and Cyclin D1 (1:100 dilution; ab16663; Abcam) overnight at 4°C. As a negative control, the primary antibody was omitted. After washing three times with PBS for 5 min, a Biotin-Streptavidin HRP Detection system (working solution; OriGene Technologies, Inc.; SP-9000/9001/9002) was used for detection of the antigen-antibody complex. Subsequently, tissues sections were stained using the Elivision™ Plus kit (Fuzhou Maixin Biotech Co., Ltd.), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Counterstaining was performed using hematoxylin for 2 min at room temperature. Parallel staining was performed in the absence of a primary antibody as the negative control (NC) for 2 min at room temperature.

Immunohistochemical staining evaluation and immunohistochemical score. The immunoreactivity of stained tissue sections was independently scored by two experienced pathologists using an Olympus BX53 light microscope (Olympus Corporation) in at least three fields of view at x200 magnification, who were blinded to the clinicopathological characteristics and clinical outcomes of the patients. The pairs of scores were compared, and any discrepancies were resolved through re-examination of the stains by both pathologists to achieve a consensus score.

HMGA2 was identified as brown nuclear staining. Immunoreactivity to HMGA2 was scored semi-quantitatively by evaluating both the percentage of positive cells and staining intensity. A percentage-based approach was used to estimate the proportion of positively stained tumor cells as follows: 0, none; 1, <1%; 2, 1-10%; 3, 11-33%; 4, 34-66% and 5, 67-100%. Average estimated intensity of staining in positive cells was scored as follows: 0, no signal; 1, weak; 2, intermediate and 3, strong. The final score was calculated as the sum of the proportion and intensity scores (32).

Wnt was identified as brown cytoplasmic staining. With regards to Wnt, the percentage of positive cells was scored as follows: 0, 0%; 1, 1-10%; 2, 11-50%; 3, 51-70% and 4, 71-100%. The intensity of staining was scored as follows: 0, no signal; 1, weak; 2, moderate and 3, strong. The immunoreactivity score was calculated as the sum of the percentage of positive cells and the staining intensity scores (33).

Only nuclear staining is considered positive for β-catenin. Staining intensity was scored as follows: 0, negative; 1, weak;
2, moderate and 3, strong. The percentages of positive nuclei were recorded in 10% increment from 0-100%. A histological score was calculated as the sum of the staining intensity and percentage scores (34).

CDK4 was identified as brown nuclear staining. With regards to CDK4, the percentage of positive tumor cells was scored as follows: 0, ≤5%; 1, 6-25%; 2, 26-50%; 3, 51-75% and 4, ≥76%. Staining intensity was scored as follows: 0, no color; 1, pale yellow; 2, tan and 3, brown. The final score was calculated as the sum of the percentage of positive cells and intensity scores (35).

Cyclin D1 was identified as brown nuclear staining. With regards to Cyclin D1, staining intensity in both the cytoplasm and nuclei were scored and stratified as follows: grade 0, no staining or negative; grade 1, light yellow or weak positive; grade 2, yellow or moderate positive and grade 3, yellow/brown or strong positive, respectively. Staining score was defined as follows: score 0, ≤5%; score 1, 6-25%; score 2, 26-50%; score 3, 51-75% and score 4, ≥76% of cells were stained. The final immunoreactivity score was calculated as the sum of the grade and staining scores (36).

Cell culture. The human colorectal cancer cell line, HCT 116 was purchased from The Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of The Chinese Academy of Sciences. HCT 116 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 (Hyclone; Cytiva) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone; Cytiva), at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 95% air and 5% CO₂. Cells cultured to 80% confluence were passaged by trypsinization.

Small interfering (si)RNA transient transfection. SiRNAs against HMGA2, Wnt, β-catenin and NC were synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. The following sequences were used: siRNA-HMGA2 forward, 5'-GGACAUCUACUACC AAGATT-3' and reverse, 5'-UCUUGUAUGAUUGUC CCTT-3'; siRNA-Wnt forward, 5'-GGCAGUAUGUGGAUG CAAATT-3' and reverse, 5'-UUUGAUCCCAAUUGGCG CCTT-3'; siRNA-β-catenin forward, 5'-GUCCGUAGUG UGGAACTT-3' and reverse, 5'-GUUCCCAUCUACA GAAGCTT-3' and siRNA-NC forward, 5'-GGACACCACCU ACCAAGTT-3' and reverse, 5'-UCCUGGUUGAUAGAU GUCCCT-3'. HCT 116 cells were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 1x10⁵ cells/well in DMEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cells were reverse-transfected with siRNA-NC or siRNAs targeting HMGA2, Wnt and β-catenin at a concentration of 100 pmol using Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 2 h at 37°C, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cell media was supplemented with 1% FBS 24 h post-transfection and cells were incubated for an additional 6 h. Following incubation, the transfection complex was replaced with fresh cell media supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were harvested, and transfection efficiency was assessed via reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q)PCR and western blot analyses 48 h post-transfection. The experiment was repeated at least four times.

RT-qPCR. Total RNA was extracted from HCT 116 cells using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration and integrity were determined via spectrophotometry (NanoDrop, ND-1000). Synthesis of cDNA from 1,000 ng of total RNA and PCR amplification were performed using SYBR PrimeScript RT-PCR kit (Takara Bio, Inc.). The temperature protocol for RT were as follows: 37°C for 15 min, 85°C for 5 sec and then cooled down to 4°C. The amplification and detection were performed with an Strategene Mx3005p (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 30 sec denaturation step at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 5 sec denaturation at 95°C, 20 sec annealing at 60°C and 20 sec extension at 72°C. The following primer sequences were synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd., and used for qPCR: HMGA2 forward, 5’-AAGCAGCAG CAAGAACCAAC-3’ and reverse, 5’-AGTCTCTTCTGCGAC ACTCTT-3’; Wnt forward, 5’-TGAAATTGCAACACCCTG GA-3’ and reverse, 5’-TTGGCGCTTCCCCATCTTCTT-3’; β-catenin forward, 5’-TATCGTTCTTTTCACCTGTTG-3’ and reverse, 5’-GCAAGTTCAACAATACGCTAAGG-3’; CDK4 forward, 5’-CTGGTGTGTGAGCATGTGACC-3’ and reverse, 5’-GATCCTTTAGCTGTCCCGCT-3’; cyclin D1 forward, 5’-ATGCCAACCCTTCAACGACC-3’ and reverse, 5’-TACGGGGATGTGTCTCCTCCA-3’ and β-actin forward, 5’-GATCCACATCTGTCGAAAGG-3’ and reverse, 5’-AAG TGTGACGTGGACATCCG-3’. Semi-quantitative RT-qPCRs were repeated 2-4 times with reproducible results. Relative expression levels were calculated using the 2^ΔΔCt method (37).

Western blotting. HCT 116 cells were harvested and washed two times with ice-cold PBS. Total protein was solubilized using lysis buffer (Applygen Technologies, Inc.) containing 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH, 7.5) and 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). The lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C. Protein concentration was determined using a standard Coomassie Brilliant Blue Total Protein Assay kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute). Protein (90 μg/lane) was subjected to 15% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes (MilliporeSigma) after electroblotting at 4°C. The membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk for 90 min at room temperature and incubated with primary antibodies against HMGA2 (GeneTex; cat. no. GTX100519), Wnt (Epitomics; cat. no. 3169-1), β-catenin (Affinity; cat. no. AF6266), CDK4 (Epitomics; cat. no. 3830-1), Cyclin D1 (Epitomics; cat. no. 1677-1) (all 1:1,000 dilutions) and β-actin (1:2,000; OriGene Technologies, Inc; cat. no. TA238070) overnight at 4°C. Following the primary incubation, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG or sheep anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies (1:5,000; OriGene Technologies, Inc.) at 60°C for 20 sec extension at 72°C. The amplification and detection were performed with an Strategene Mx3005p (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 37°C for 15 min, 85°C for 5 sec and then cooled down to 4°C. The amplification and detection were performed with an Strategene Mx3005p (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 30 sec denaturation step at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 5 sec denaturation at 95°C, 20 sec annealing at 60°C and 20 sec extension at 72°C. The following primer sequences were synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd., and used for qPCR: HMGA2 forward, 5’-AAGCAGCAG CAAGAACCAAC-3’ and reverse, 5’-AGTCTCTTCTGCGAC ACTCTT-3’; Wnt forward, 5’-TGAAATTGCAACACCCTG GA-3’ and reverse, 5’-TTGGCGCTTCCCCATCTTCTT-3’; β-catenin forward, 5’-TATCGTTCTTTTCACCTGTTG-3’ and reverse, 5’-GCAAGTTCAACAATACGCTAAGG-3’; CDK4 forward, 5’-CTGGTGTGTGAGCATGTGACC-3’ and reverse, 5’-GATCCTTTAGCTGTCCCGCT-3’; cyclin D1 forward, 5’-ATGCCAACCCTTCAACGACC-3’ and reverse, 5’-TACGGGGATGTGTCTCCTCCA-3’ and β-actin forward, 5’-GATCCACATCTGTCGAAAGG-3’ and reverse, 5’-AAG TGTGACGTGGACATCCG-3’. Semi-quantitative RT-qPCRs were repeated 2-4 times with reproducible results. Relative expression levels were calculated using the 2^ΔΔCt method (37).

Statistical analysis. All experiments were performed at least three times and data are presented as the mean ± SD. Statistical analyses of the datasets were performed using ANOVA, χ² test, Fisher's exact test and correlation analyses in SPSS 20.0 software (IBM Corp.). One-way ANOVA followed by LSD post-hoc test was used to compare differences between groups. The χ² test was used to compare the staining results for groups.
target proteins in different groups, and assess the association between protein expression levels and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients with colorectal cancer (Table I). Pearson's (Tables II and III), and Spearman's (Table IV) correlation analyses were performed. Pairwise comparisons was used to compare differences between multiple groups. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

**HMGA2 protein expression in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma.** The fraction of HMGA2-positive cells was significantly higher in SCTA-Ca (74 cases) and SCTA-D (47 cases) samples compared with NCM (0 cases) (70.48 vs. 0.00% and 38.84 vs. 0.00%, respectively; P<0.05). Notably, significantly more HMGA2-positive cells were observed in SCTA-Ca samples (70.48 vs. 38.84%; P<0.05). Furthermore, HMGA2 expression was markedly lower in adenomas with mild dysplasia (8 cases, 17.4%) (Fig. 1F) and moderate dysplasia (17 cases, 38.6%) (Fig. 1K) compared with severe dysplasia (22 cases, 70.97%) (Fig. 1P) (P<0.05), and significant differences were observed between tubular adenomas with mild dysplasia and severe dysplasia, mild dysplasia and moderate dysplasia, and moderate dysplasia and severe dysplasia (P<0.05).

**Clinicopathological significance of HMGA2 in colorectal cancer.** Colorectal cancer cases with lymph node metastasis had a significantly higher proportion of HMGA2-positive cells than cases without lymph node metastasis (84.5 vs. 41.2%; P<0.05). In addition, the percentage of HMGA2-positive cells were closely associated with tumor stage in SCTA-Ca; specifically, it was significantly higher in advanced tumor stages (III/IV) than in early tumor stages (I/II) (79.5 vs. 41.2%; P<0.05). Taken together, these results suggest that HMGA2 is significantly associated with lymph node metastasis and colorectal cancer tumor stage (Table I).

**Effect of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway on the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma.** Wnt expression levels in SCTA-D (53/121, 43.8%) and SCTA-Ca (85/105, 81.0%; Fig. 1V) cases were significantly higher compared with the results for NCM (2/37, 5.40%; P<0.05; Fig. 1B). Furthermore, Wnt expression levels were significantly lower in cases of adenoma with mild dysplasia (15/46, 32.6%; Fig. 1G) and moderate dysplasia (17/44, 38.6%; Fig. 1L) compared with severe dysplasia (21/31, 67.7%; Table I. Clinicopathological parameters of the HMGA2, Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 proteins in colorectal cancer.

| Parameter               | HMGA2, n (%) | P-value | Wnt, n (%) | P-value | β-catenin, n (%) | P-value | CDK4, n (%) | P-value | Cyclin D1, n (%) | P-value |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------|------------|---------|------------------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Age, years              |              |         |            |         |                  |         |             |         |                 |         |
| <40                     | 39           | 26 (66.7)| 0.511      | 30 (76.9)| 0.419            | 31 (79.5)| 0.419       | 29 (74.4)| 0.348           | 34 (87.2)|         |
| ≥40                     | 66           | 48 (72.7)| 55 (83.3)  | 56 (84.8)| 55 (83.3)        | 53 (80.3)|            |         |                 |         |
| Sex                     |              |         |            |         |                  |         |             |         |                 |         |
| Male                    | 60           | 43 (71.7)| 0.757      | 50 (83.3)| 0.473            | 53 (88.3)| 0.45        | 45 (75.0)| 0.49            | 49 (81.7)|         |
| Female                  | 45           | 31 (68.9)| 35 (77.8)  | 34 (75.6)| 39 (86.7)        | 38 (84.4)|            |         |                 |         |
| Tumor size, cm          |              |         |            |         |                  |         |             |         |                 |         |
| <4                      | 29           | 19 (65.5)| 0.491      | 22 (75.9)| 0.412            | 21 (72.4)| 0.119       | 26 (89.7)| 0.175           | 24 (82.8)| 0.987     |
| ≥4                      | 76           | 55 (72.4)| 63 (82.9)  | 65 (85.5)| 58 (76.3)        | 63 (82.9)|            |         |                 |         |
| Location                |              |         |            |         |                  |         |             |         |                 |         |
| Colon                   | 36           | 24 (66.7)| 0.536      | 31 (86.1)| 0.331            | 29 (80.6)| 0.651       | 32 (88.9)| 0.126           | 27 (75.0)| 0.123     |
| Rectum                  | 69           | 50 (72.5)| 54 (78.3)  | 58 (84.1)| 52 (75.4)        | 60 (87.0)|            |         |                 |         |
| Tumor stage             |              |         |            |         |                  |         |             |         |                 |         |
| I+II                    | 27           | 12 (44.4)| <0.05      | 14 (51.9)| <0.05            | 20 (74.1)| 0.188       | 15 (55.6)| <0.05           | 15 (55.6)| <0.05     |
| III+IV                  | 78           | 62 (79.5)| 0.062      | 71 (91.0)| 0.005            | 67 (85.9)| 0.694       | 66 (93.0)| <0.05           | 72 (92.3)| <0.05     |
| Lymph node metastasis   |              |         |            |         |                  |         |             |         |                 |         |
| Negative                | 34           | 14 (41.2)| <0.05      | 26 (76.5)| 0.418            | 20 (58.8)| <0.05       | 18 (52.9)| <0.05           | 21 (61.8)| <0.05     |
| Positive                | 71           | 60 (84.5)| 0.062      | 59 (83.1)| 0.005            | 67 (94.4)| 0.664       | 66 (93.0)| <0.05           | 66 (93.0)| <0.05     |
| Depth of invasion       |              |         |            |         |                  |         |             |         |                 |         |
| Shallow                 | 41           | 32 (78.0)| 0.173      | 25 (61.0)| <0.05            | 26 (63.4)| <0.05       | 23 (56.1)| <0.05           | 37 (90.2)| 0.121     |
| Deep                    | 64           | 42 (65.6)| 60 (93.8)  | 61 (95.3)| 0.05             | 61 (95.3)| 0.05        | 50 (78.1)| 0.05            | 50 (78.1)|         |

aP<0.05 (I/II vs. III/IV of colorectal carcinoma); bP<0.05 (without lymph node metastasis vs. with lymph node metastasis in colorectal carcinoma); cP<0.05 (shallow invasion vs. deep invasion in colorectal carcinoma). HMGA2, high mobility group AT-hook 2; CDK4, cyclin-dependent kinase 4.
P<0.05; Fig. 1Q), with significant differences observed between tubular adenomas with mild dysplasia and severe dysplasia, and between moderate dysplasia and severe dysplasia (P<0.05).

β-catenin, a key factor in the Wnt signaling pathway, can initiate the activation of the Wnt pathway via nuclear translocation (38,39). The incidence of positive nuclear expression of β-catenin was (0/37) 0.00%, (61/121) 50.4% and (87/105) 82.9% in NCM (Fig. 1C), SCTA-D and SCTA-Ca (Fig. 1W) cases, respectively (P<0.05).

β-catenin-positive cells accounted for (10/46) 21.7%, (23/44) 52.3% and (28/31) 90.3% of cells in mild (Fig. 1H), moderate (Fig. 1M) and severe dysplasia adenomas (Fig. 1R), respectively, with significant differences observed between tubular adenomas with mild dysplasia and severe dysplasia, mild dysplasia and moderate dysplasia, and moderate dysplasia and severe dysplasia in the nucleus (P<0.05).

In NCM (CDK4, Fig. 1D; cyclin D1, Fig. 1E), SCTA-D and SCTA-Ca (CDK4, Fig. 1X; cyclin D1, Fig. 1Y) cases, the incidence of positive CDK4 and cyclin D1 expression levels were (3/37) 8.10%, (51/121) 42.1% and (84/105) 80.0%; and (7/37) 18.9%, (60/121) 49.6% and (87/105) 82.9%, respectively. Statistically significant differences were observed in pairwise comparisons between NCM, SCTA-D and SCTA-Ca cases.

Table II. Correlation between the expression levels of HMGA2 and Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 in sporadic colorectal tubular adenomas with different dysplasia based on immunohistochemistry analysis.

|             | Wnt | β-catenin | CDK4 | Cyclin D1 |
|-------------|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| HMGA2       |     |           |      |           |
| +           | 25  | 45        | 29   | 31        |
| -           | 17  | 16        | 14   | 20        |
| r           | 0.296 | 0.573     | 0.408 | 0.384     |
| P-value     | <0.05* | <0.05*     | <0.05* | <0.05*    |

*P<0.05. HMGA2, high mobility group AT-hook 2; CDK4, cyclin-dependent kinase 4.

Table III. Correlation between the expression levels of HMGA2 and Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 in sporadic colorectal tubular adenomas with cancerous changes.

|             | Wnt | β-catenin | CDK4 | Cyclin D1 |
|-------------|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| HMGA2       |     |           |      |           |
| +           | 70  | 69        | 68   | 64        |
| -           | 14  | 10        | 9    | 12        |
| r           | 0.335 | 0.614     | 0.562 | 0.458     |
| P-value     | <0.05* | <0.05*     | <0.05* | <0.05*    |

*P<0.05. HMGA2, high mobility group AT-hook 2; CDK4, cyclin-dependent kinase 4.

Table IV. Correlation between the expression levels of HMGA2 and Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 according to the immunohistochemical score.

| Variable | n   | I     | II    | III   | Ca     | CC     | P-value |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| HMGA2    | 0   | 0.35±0.77 | 2.23±1.49 | 4.68±1.43 | 6.11±1.38 |        |         |
| Wnt      | 0.05±0.23 | 0.33±0.47 | 1.86±1.13 | 3.55±1.15 | 5.61±1.33 | 0.933<ab | <0.01   |
| β-catenin | 0   | 2.17±0.77 | 4.16±0.89 | 6.13±1.15 | 8.81±1.63 | 0.870<ac | <0.01   |
| CDK4     | 0.08±0.28 | 0.76±1.06 | 3.10±1.46 | 7.50±1.50 | 10.4±1.21 | 0.957<ad | <0.01   |
| Cyclin D1 | 3.19±0.40 | 3.39±0.80 | 5.41±1.72 | 8.42±1.40 | 10.4±1.58 | 0.915<ae | <0.01   |

n, normal colorectal mucosa; I, colorectal tubular adenoma with mild dysplasia; II, colorectal tubular adenoma with moderate dysplasia; III, colorectal tubular adenoma with severe dysplasia; Ca, colorectal carcinoma; CC, correlation coefficient. *Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed). #HMGA2 vs. Wnt; †HMGA2 vs. β-catenin; ‡HMGA2 vs. CDK4; §HMGA2 vs. cyclin D1. HMGA2, high mobility group AT-hook 2; CDK4, cyclin-dependent kinase 4.
Let al: HMGA2 INTERACTS WITH THE WNT/β-CATENIN SIGNALING PATHWAY

For CDK4 and cyclin D1, the positive expression rates were (7/46) 15.2%, (16/44) 36.4% and (28/31) 90.3%; and (9/46) 19.6%, (23/44) 52.3% and (28/31) 90.3% in mild (CDK4, Fig. 1I; cyclin D1, Fig. 1J), moderate (CDK4, Fig. 1N; cyclin D1, Fig. 1O) and severe dysplasia adenomas (CDK4, Fig. 1S; cyclin D1, Fig. 1T), respectively. Significant differences were observed between tubular adenomas with mild dysplasia and severe dysplasia (P<0.05) (Fig. 1D, I, N, S, X, d, E, J, O, T, Y and e).

**Differential clinicopathological significance of Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 in colorectal cancer.** SCTA-D cases with lymph node metastasis had a significantly higher proportion of β-catenin-positive cells than cases without lymph node metastasis (94.4 vs. 58.8%; P<0.05). Similar results were obtained for CDK4 and cyclin D1 positive expression with respect to lymph node metastasis (93.0% in the metastatic group vs. 52.9% for CDK4, and 93.0% in the metastatic group vs. 61.8% for cyclin D1; P<0.05). The percentages of positive cells were significantly higher in cases of deep invasion depth than in cases of shallow invasion depth (93.8 vs. 61.0% for Wnt; 95.3 vs. 63.4% for β-catenin, and 95.3 vs. 56.1% for CDK4; P<0.05) (Table I).

**Correlation between the expression levels of HMGA2, Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 in colorectal cancer.** Correlation between the expression levels of HMGA2 and Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 were assessed in the present study. As presented in Table II, in SCTA-D cases, HMGA2 expression increased as the expression levels of Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 increased (r values, 0.296 for Wnt; 0.573 for β-catenin, 0.408 for CDK4 and 0.384 for cyclin D1; P<0.05). As presented in Table III, in SCTA-Ca cases, HMGA2 expression increased as the expression levels of Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 increased (r values, 0.296 for Wnt; 0.573 for β-catenin, 0.408 for CDK4 and 0.384 for cyclin D1; P<0.05).

As presented in Table IV, the expression levels of HMGA2 were positively correlation with the expression levels of Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1, respectively, in both SCTA-Ca and SCTA-D cases according to the immunohistochemical...
score (P<0.05). Taken together, these results suggest that there is a positive correlation between the expression levels of HMGA2 and Wnt, β-catenin, CDK4 and cyclin D1 in both SCTA-Ca and SCTA-D cases.

**HMGA2 inhibits activation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in HCT 116 cells.** To further investigate the contribution of HMGA2 to the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, the present study used specific siRNA to knockdown HMGA2 expression in HCT 116 cells. Compared with the control group (mRNA, 1.05±0.13; protein, 1.11±0.15), transfection with siRNA-HMGA2 significantly decreased HMGA2 protein (0.32±0.04; P<0.05) and mRNA (0.29±0.03; P<0.05) expression levels (Fig. 2A and B). Notably, transfection with siRNA-HMGA2 significantly decreased β-catenin protein (0.59±0.03, to ~45% after 48 h) and mRNA (0.61±0.01, to ~40%; P<0.05) expression levels compared with the control group (mRNA, 0.99±0.01; protein, 0.97±0.15) (Fig. 3). Furthermore, transfection with siRNA-HMGA2 significantly decreased the expression levels of the β-catenin downstream target genes (40), CDK4 (0.40±0.03, to ~55% for protein; 0.41±0.02, to ~60% for mRNA; P<0.05) and cyclin D1 (0.41±0.02, to ~53% for protein; 0.43±0.01, to ~58% for mRNA; P<0.05) (Fig. 3). Notably, HMGA2 knockdown had no effect on Wnt expression (0.90±0.01, to ~9.1% for protein; 0.92±0.01, to ~9% for mRNA; P>0.05) (Fig. 3). Collectively, these results suggest that HMGA2 protein regulates Wnt/β-catenin signaling in colon cancer cells.

**Wnt- or β-catenin-specific siRNA inhibit HMGA2 expression in HCT 116 cells.** To determine whether knockdown of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway inhibits HMGA2, HCT 116 cells were transfected with siRNA-Wnt or siRNA-β-catenin, and HMGA2 protein expression levels were detected via RT-qPCR and western blot analyses. Transfection with siRNA-Wnt significantly decreased Wnt protein (0.27±0.02; P<0.05) and mRNA (0.25±0.04; P<0.05) expression levels compared with the control group (mRNA, 1.01±0.11; protein, 1.10±0.12) (Fig. 2C and D). In addition, transfection with siRNA-β-catenin significantly decreased β-catenin protein (0.22±0.03; P<0.05) and mRNA (0.19±0.01; P<0.05) expression levels compared with the control group (mRNA, 1.03±0.43; protein, 1.09±0.11) (Fig. 2E and F).

As presented in Fig. 4, transfection with siRNA-Wnt significantly decreased HMGA2 protein (0.49±0.02; P<0.05) and mRNA (0.50±0.03; P<0.05) expression levels compared with the control group (mRNA, 1.05±0.13; protein, 1.09±0.18). Similarly,
transfection with siRNA-β-catenin significantly decreased HMGA2 protein (0.35±0.02; P<0.05) and mRNA (0.38±0.01; P<0.01) expression levels compared with the control group (mRNA, 1.10±0.11; protein, 0.99±0.04). Taken together, these results suggest that suppressing Wnt or β-catenin expression levels decreases HMGA2 protein expression. Thus HMGA2 expression is strongly regulated by the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway.

Combining the experimental results of histology and cytology, both the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway and HMGA2 play important roles in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma. In addition, the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway and HMGA2 were suggested to form a two-way feedback loop. The proposed model for Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway and HMGA2 interactions is presented in Fig. 5. This model shows that transfection with siRNA-Wnt and siRNA-β-catenin decreases HMGA2 expression. HMGA2, high mobility group AT-hook 2; si, small interfering; NC, negative.

Discussion

The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway mediates the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma, and the strength and duration of Wnt/β-catenin signaling are dependent on the translocation of β-catenin from the membrane/cytoplasm to the nucleus and on the formation of the β-catenin-TCF/LEF complex (41). Previous studies have reported that HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin member, TCF/LEF, contain a similar domain, the HMG-containing DNA binding domain, which enhances the transcriptional activity of β-catenin and TCF/LEF binding (30,42). However, whether there is a direct association between HMGA2 and Wnt/β-catenin in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma remains unknown. The results of the present study demonstrated that HMGA2 expression gradually increased from the normal mucosa and tubular adenomas, with different degrees of dysplasia, to converted carcinomatous tissues. This supports the role of HMGA2 as a tumor activator in the carcinogenesis of SCTA. In addition, the results demonstrated that HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway can form a bi-directional regulation feedback loop in colorectal cancer cells. These results help us better understand carcinomatous conversion.

The role of HMGA2 in tumorigenesis has been extensively studied in recent years. It has been reported that HMGA2 expression is upregulated in different types of human cancer, such as esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (43), gastric cancer (39) and bladder cancer (44). A previous study demonstrated that the nuclear expression of HMGA2 increases in advanced stages of colorectal cancer (23). In the present study, IHC analysis was performed to detect HMGA2 expression in normal colorectal mucosa, tubular adenomas with different degrees of dysplasia.
and tubular adenomas with carcinomatous changes. The gradual increase in HMGA2 expression suggests it is involved in the progression to carcinomatous adenomas. Notably, a greater number of SCTA-D cases were assessed (n=121), and the results revealed an association between HMGA2 protein expression and SCTA-D. In SCTA-Ca cases, HMGA2 expression was significantly associated with tumor stage and lymph node metastasis. In addition, the results demonstrated a positive correlation between HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Taken together, these results suggest that HMGA2 functions as a tumor activator by strengthening Wnt signaling in the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma. Both HMGA2 and Wnt/β-catenin play important roles in the formation of colorectal adenomas and in carcinomatous conversion, and there is a synergy between the two in these processes.

The control mechanism of HMGA2 and the Wnt pathway is not uniform, Yang et al (45) demonstrated that HMGA2 activates the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in acute myeloid leukemia; however, the Wnt pathway exhibits epistatic interactions with HMGA2 in transgenic murine breast cancer (20). In the present study, HMGA2 and the Wnt pathway clearly played a role in the carcinogenesis of adenomas. To further clarify the association between HMGA2 and the Wnt pathway, a colorectal cancer cell line was used to examine the effects of siRNA on HMGA2, Wnt and β-catenin expression. Mechanistically, the results demonstrated that Wnt activates canonical β-catenin signaling, leading to the regulation of HMGA2, and HMGA2 may regulate proliferation by modulating the expression levels of CDK4 and cyclin D1 in colorectal cancer. Accordingly, HMGA2 is essential and necessary for proliferation in colorectal cancer (28). Furthermore, using siRNA-HMGA2 transfected colorectal cancer cells, the results demonstrated that HMGA2 also regulated β-catenin. Taken together, these results suggest that there is an interaction between HMGA2 and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in colorectal cancer, indicating that Wnt/β-catenin and HMGA2 form a two-way feedback loop. Thus, it can be speculated that HMGA2 interacts with the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma carcinogenesis.

Given that the critical role of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the etiology of colorectal cancer (CRC) has been established, several studies have been performed to identify key molecular players that can represent concrete targets for CRC chemoprevention and therapy (46). The Wnt/β-catenin pathway, also known as the canonical Wnt pathway, controls the self-renewal of intestinal stem cells and is crucial for preserving intestinal homeostasis. Sustained Wnt/β-catenin signaling activation triggers hyperproliferation and oncogenic transformation of intestinal epithelial cells, resulting in the onset of CRC (47). Increasing evidence suggests that HMGA2 participates in several processes of cancer development and progression, such as proliferation, differentiation, transformation, metastasis and angiogenesis (48). Most studies have focused on the oncogenic role of HMGA2 (28,49). The results of the present study demonstrated that HMGA2 regulated the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in HCT 116 cells. Thus, it was hypothesized that HMGA2 may also play an indirect role in cell proliferation and other functional changes via the Wnt signaling pathway. This is also a limitation of the present study. Thus, prospective studies will assess proliferation and metastasis.

In conclusion, the results of the present study confirmed that both HMGA2 and Wnt/β-catenin play important roles in the formation of colorectal adenomas and in carcinomatous conversion, and have a synergistic effect. In addition, Wnt/β-catenin/HMGA2 form a two-way feedback loop. With regards to the Wnt/β-catenin/HMGA2 signaling axis, the results of the present study enrich our understanding of the carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal tubular adenoma.
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