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Abstract: The genus Bactrocera (Diptera: Tephritidae) is endemic to the monsoonal rainforests of South-East Asia and the western Pacific where the larvae breed in ripe, fleshy fruits. While most Bactrocera remain rainforest restricted, species such as Bactrocera dorsalis, Bactrocera zonata and Bactrocera tryoni are internationally significant pests of horticulture, being both highly invasive and highly polyphagous. Almost universally in the literature it is assumed that Bactrocera breed continuously if temperature and hosts are not limiting. However, despite that, these flies show distinct seasonality. If discussed, seasonality is generally attributed to the fruiting of a particular breeding host (almost invariably mango or guava), but the question appears not to have been asked why flies do not breed at other times of the year despite other hosts being available. Focusing initially on B. tryoni, for which more literature is available, we demonstrate that the seasonality exhibited by that species is closely correlated with the seasons of its endemic rainforest environment as recognised by traditional Aboriginal owners. Evidence suggests the presence of a seasonal reproductive arrest which helps the fly survive the first two-thirds of the dry season, when ripe fruits are scarce, followed by a rapid increase in breeding at the end of the dry season as humidity and the availability of ripe fruit increases. This seasonal phenology continues to be expressed in human-modified landscapes and, while suppressed, it also partially expresses in long-term cultures. We subsequently demonstrate that B. dorsalis, across both its endemic and invasive ranges, shows a very similar seasonality although reversed in the northern hemisphere. While high variability in the timing of B. dorsalis population peaks is exhibited across sites, a four-month period when flies are rare in traps (Dec–Mar) is highly consistent, as is the fact that nearly all sites only have one, generally very sharp, population peak per year. While literature to support or deny a reproductive arrest in B. dorsalis is not available, available data is clear that continuous breeding does not occur in this species and that there are seasonal differences in the availability of suitable host fruits.
in reproductive investment. Throughout the paper we reinforce the point that our argument for a complex reproductive physiology in Bactrocera is based on inductive reasoning and requires specific, hypothesis-testing experiments to confirm or deny, but we do believe there is ample evidence to prioritise such research. If it is found that species in the genus undergo a true reproductive diapause then there are very significant implications for within-field management, market access, and biosecurity risk planning which are discussed. Arguably the most important of these is that insects in diapause have greater stress resistance and cold tolerance, which could explain how tropical Bactrocera species have managed to successfully invade cool temperate regions.
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1. Introduction

Endemic to the tropical rainforests of South-east Asia and the western Pacific [1], Bactrocera Macquart species are invasive in both tropical and temperate zones [2,3] where they are highly disruptive to crop production and trade [4]. While not yet established in either mainland Europe or North America, Bactrocera dorsalis (Hendel) (Oriental fruit fly) is regularly detected as incursive populations on both continents [5–7] and has the potential to establish in both under current [8] and future climates [9]. In Australia and China, which are large enough to have distinct tropical and temperate regions, Bactrocera tryoni (Froggatt) (Queensland fruit fly) and B. dorsalis, respectively, are endemic to their tropics but invasive in temperate areas [10,11].

Because of their impact and invasive potential, a large amount of pest management and biosecurity risk reduction activities are carried out against Bactrocera species. Examples of the types of biosecurity activities taken against Bactrocera include predictive range mapping [8,12,13], optimisation of trapping arrays [14,15], post-entry spread models [16], landscape mapping [17], predictive crop impacts [18], and infield management [19,20]. Other than climate-matching models which make no assumptions about underlying organism biology, nearly all such studies make, either explicitly or implicitly, a key critical assumption: that the polyphagous Bactrocera species breed continuously so long as environmental factors, most commonly temperature and breeding hosts, are not limiting. An explicit statement to this effect is made by Baker et al. [5]: “The ‘dorsalis complex’ is one of the most destructive pest species complexes in global fruit production due to polyphagy, invasiveness, high reproductive potential, multivoltinism and continuous activity throughout the year” [our emphasis]. Implicitly it can be seen in models where temperature is the primary driver of population cycles [21–24], or in population models which seek correlation between fly numbers and environmental variables [25–27].

Year-round breeding in tropical insects assumes that reproduction is limited by neither temperature nor host availability, yet despite ambient temperatures in the tropics being high and relatively stable, tropical insect populations can show strongly seasonal dynamics [28–30]. Many tropical forest communities are ecologically driven by monsoonal wet and dry seasons where predictable changes in rainfall and relative humidity drive the timing of plant growth, flowering and fruiting [31,32] which, in turn, drive the population dynamics of rainforest insects [33,34] and higher animals [35]. Further, and in parallel with temperate insects [36–38], tropical insects in monsoonal regions often have evolved diapause and quiescence mechanisms which allow them to cope with the long dry season when oviposition and feeding substrates (e.g., new shoots, young foliage, flowers, fruit) are not available [39–42]. Tropical diapause is not just restricted to the first trophic level but extends to higher-trophic level insects such as parasitoids [43]. So common is tropical diapause that for both of the dipteran families Drosophilidae and Sacrophagidae it is possible that their well-studied diapause mechanisms first evolved in the tropics [44,45], while radiations of tropical butterflies have also been linked to their ability to diapause [46].
In this forum paper, focusing initially on the Queensland fruit fly but then expanding to Oriental fruit fly, we identify ‘clues’ which suggest that, like many other tropical insects, these flies may also have a diapause or quiescence mechanism that leads to a seasonal reproductive arrest, plus other adaptive mechanisms which, while initially evolved for surviving and maximising reproduction in their endemic rainforest habitat, are still expressed in human-modified landscapes. The available data is insufficient to confirm that such adaptations exist, but we consider the circumstantial evidence is sufficient to justify targeted research to confirm or deny the hypothesis. If confirmed, the findings would greatly impact on population modelling and risk analysis for these species, a topic which we address in the last section of the paper.

1.1. Phenology of Queensland Fruit Fly

1.1.1. Background I: Queensland Fruit Fly and Its Temperate ‘Overwintering’

*Bactrocera tryoni*, the Queensland fruit fly or just Qfly, is historically native to the tropical and subtropical east-coast Australian rainforests [47] but is now a horticultural pest in both tropical and temperate parts of Australia [10,48] and is invasive in Oceania [19]. The movement of the species into temperate Australia occurred gradually during the 20th century and, up to the 1970s, much of the phenology work on the species focused on the question of how a tropical fly survived cold temperate winters. The lack of a pupal diapause but adult survival over winter has been confirmed many times [49], with the physiological basis of the adult overwintering researched intensively during the 1960s and 1970s by Drs Alfred (Alfie) Meats and Brian Fletcher. Fletcher identified that adult Qfly generated in the late autumn/early winter did not sexually mature until the following spring, while females that were already mature and mated leading into winter resorbed their ovaries and emptied their spermatheca during winter [50–52]. The resorption of ovaries was a presumed adaptive response for energy saving and reallocation during cold periods when food foraging was restricted [50]. Meats focused more broadly on how a tropical insect could survive in temperate areas and carried out pioneering research on insect cold acclimation [53–58]. As part of his research he developed an early bioclimatic model for Qfly, predicting that the species could have between seven to nine generations per year in subtropical and tropical Australia as temperature was rarely limiting [21]. Meats and Fletcher invariably interpreted their results in terms of temperate biology; for example Meats [56,59] and Meats and Fitt [60] discuss Qfly seasonal cold acclimatization in terms of frost tolerance, without asking why a tropical insect should have an evolved mechanism for avoiding frost.

Despite the extensive research carried out on Qfly overwintering, the species’ phenology in temperate Australia can still not be fully explained. Using temperature to explain Qfly ovarian development, Fletcher [50] acknowledged that while a day-degree model based on a critical threshold temperature of 13.5 °C could adequately explain ovarian resorption or maturation for most of the winter period, there were several datasets where it failed to predict ovarian changes leading into, or coming out of winter. A cohort-based Dymex model for *B. tryoni*, incorporating all phenological and demographic knowledge then available for Qfly, had similar problems with the winter period: “The five simulations all point to the need to understand what causes fruit flies to over-winter … We explored numerous mechanisms, including accumulating degree-days below various thresholds, accumulating insufficient warmth (i.e., degree-days of above various thresholds), and accumulating net cold (i.e., number of degree-days below a threshold temperature minus the number of degree-days above the same temperature threshold), but could find no mechanism that would work consistently … The various mechanisms tested indicate that over-wintering is not just temperature-dependent, but is probably linked to a variety of factors, including temperature, fruit availability and fruit suitability. … Until the mechanisms determining the onset and the ending of over-wintering are understood, it will be difficult to improve on the model predictions of fly numbers from about May to August” [22]. The model of Yonow et al. [22], developed and validated against temperate Qfly phenology data, was subsequently shown to fail when run against Qfly tropical phenology data [61].
1.1.2. Background II: Queensland Fruit Fly and Its Tropical Phenology

In the Australian tropics and subtropics, in historical datasets [61], modern production systems [62,63] and native rainforest systems [64], Qfly shows a very significant depression in trap catch in the four-month period from May to August. From late August and early September populations begin to increase dramatically until they peak by November, at which time they begin a gradual decline, sometimes with a second peak, towards May (Figure 1). In the southern hemisphere May through August are late autumn/winter months and so the seasonal disappearance of Qfly is not, perhaps, unexpected. However, in tropical Queensland ‘winter’ is cool only relative to the hot months of the remainder of the year and, as identified by both Meats [21] and Yonow and Sutherst [65], temperature should not be limiting to B. tryoni population growth in the tropics and subtropics even in the winter months, let alone during the summer and early autumn when populations are nevertheless declining.
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(A) Illustrative phenology patterns of Bactrocera tryoni in Australia against (B–E) climate variables and seasonal patterns. Atherton is in the wet tropics of Far-north Queensland (graph is the mean of four years data, 1955–1958); Rockhampton is in a dry zone located on the Tropic of Capricorn (mean of two year’s data 1955–1956); Toowoomba is a subtropical site in southern Queensland (mean of four year’s data 1950–1953). Rainfall (mm), temperature (°C) and day length (hrs) are for Cairns, a tropical city very near Atherton. Fruit abundance is a simple relative estimation (low, medium, high) of the amount of mature fruit in the tropical Australian rainforest forest based on literature [66]. The colours represent the local seasons of the Cairns district as recognised by the Yirrganydji people of Far-North Queensland. From left to right these are: (blue) Jimburralji [cyclone time], (green) Jinjim [cool time], (yellow) Wumbulji [hot and humid time]. Phenology data from Muthuthantri et al. [61], weather data from Australian Bureau of Meterology (www.bom.gov.au accessed on 7 September 2022), indigenous calander from http://www.bom.gov.au/iwk/calendars/yirrganydji.shtml (accessed on 7 September 2022).
1.2. So If Its Not Temperature, What Is It? Evidence for Reproductive Diapause in B. tryoni

While relying heavily on inference we believe there is sufficient literature evidence to suggest that B. tryoni has a complex reproductive physiology, involving seasonal life-history trade-offs between longevity and reproduction, which explains its phenology and demography in both tropical and temperate areas. We consider the phenology to be adaptive for its endemic, tropical monsoonal rainforest habitat, but that it continues to be expressed in human-modified landscapes and even shows some expression after long-term laboratory rearing. In the following sections we first explain the endemic habitat of the species and demonstrate that the phenology of the fly closely aligns with the local seasons as recognised by first nations owners. We then present demographic data which shows that the fly has longevity and reproduction patterns which can (at least partially) act independently of temperature and explain how the fly is capable for living for periods between fruiting seasons and then maximally utilising hosts when they are available.

1.2.1. Native Habitat Conditions

*Bactrocera tryoni*, as for most other members of the genus, is considered endemic to tropical rainforest [47]. The Australian east-coast rainforests are monsoonal, with the wet season running from early December running through to March [67]. As recognised by the Yirrganydji people of Far-North Queensland, the seasonality of the tropical wet forests is complex consisting of not just Kurrabana (wet season, December to May) and Kurraminya (dry season, May to December), but also within those Jinjim (cool time, May to late August), Wumbulji (hot and humid time, September to November) and Jimburralji (cyclone time, December to May) (Figure 1 and http://www.bom.gov.au/iwk/calendars/yirrganydji.shtml accessed on 7 September 2022). These rainforests have peak flowering during Wumbulji [32], while insects are most abundant from November through to February [34]. Fruit development rate is variable and at least some fruit is available year-round [68], but in the tropical wet forest peak fruit fall is between August and February [66]. In the subtropical east-coast forests the fruiting cycle is a little later and ripe fruit is scarce from June to October [69]. Important to all aspects of the ecology of tropical tephritids [70–72], fruit and leaf phylloplane microbial communities are also seasonal and based on work in other tropical systems would be expected to grow during the hot and humid time of Wumbulji [73–75]. The temporal alignment of the Yirrganydji calendar with the phenology of B. tryoni in Atherton (Figure 1), which sits just outside Yirraganydji country, is extraordinary. The period of population increase occurs during the hot and humid period of Wumbulji, the period of population decline occurs during Jawarranyji and Jimburralji, and the period when the population is effectively absent from traps is the cool time of Jinjim.

1.2.2. Demography of the Queensland Fruit Fly

Queensland fruit fly are known to be long lived. In the field in cool temperate Australia, 50% of adult flies emerging from mid-April to mid-May were still alive 100 days later, and 10% still alive 160 days later [76]. However, importantly, adult longevity is not just dependent on ambient conditions but can vary with time of year even when temperature is constant. Under constant laboratory conditions, Tasnin et al. [77] demonstrated that B. tryoni adults collected as maggots from field infested fruit and then held under the same constant laboratory conditions had different longevities: flies collected in mid-August lived up to 240 days, flies collected in mid-May for 200 days, and flies collected in mid-September and mid-March less than 120 days. This seasonal difference in longevity even occurs in long-term culture lines. In an analysis of 16 years of quality assurance data from a constant-condition Qfly mass-rearing facility, adult lifespan and adult longevity under nutritional stress (no food or water) were both significantly affected by month, being longest in June, July and August, and shortest in December and January [78]. Dominiak et al. [79] provides a second stress-survival data set for adult Qfly with very similar seasonal results. Dominiak et al. [80] links increased adult longevity during winter with seasonal declines in Qfly pupal weight (in cultured flies) and adult weight (in both wild flies and culture flies)
and suggests the weight/longevity relationship is a mechanism for surviving winter. However, like the earlier work of Meats and Fletcher, the analysis done by Dominiak et al. [80] is done within a temperate, four-season year and does not consider alternative interpretations of the data—for example weight loss during a dormancy period.

As for adult longevity, there is also evidence that juvenile development, sexual maturation and egg development in B. tryoni are not fully explained by temperature and may be seasonally influenced. Working in the environs of Sydney, in cool temperate Australia, Pritchard [81], Fletcher [50] and Meats and Koo [58] all studied B. tryoni sexual maturation and ovarian development with respect to temperature, with Fletcher developing a day-degree model with a critical threshold temperature of 13.5 °C to explain ovarian development (above 13.5 °C) or egg resorption (below 13.5 °C). However, these studies also identified issues that temperature could not explain. Both Pritchard and Fletcher reported flies not sexually maturing in the autumn when temperatures should not have been limiting for maturation; while Pritchard reported rapid sexual maturation of flies in August, a month for which Fletcher’s model predicted minimal ovarian development. In the same region but looking at different questions, Bateman and Sonleitner [82] could also not explain why B. tryoni populations greatly declined in mid-March despite temperature and hosts not being limiting. At a subtropical coastal site where temperature should not be limiting for Qfly development [21] and hosts were always available [83], Tasnin et al. [77] found demographic data that could not be explained by temperature, detecting an autumn through winter breeding cessation which meant that instead of the site supporting the seven generations per year predicted by both Meats [21] and Yonow and Sutherst [65] based on day degree accumulation, it had only three. Tasnin et al. [77] also reported that the population surviving at the end of winter consisted primarily of old individuals, which poses a paradox. While old to very old B. tryoni can lay viable eggs, their daily egg production is very limited [84]. How these individuals, if they dominate in the population, can generate an explosive increase in the population within a matter of weeks is not clear.

1.2.3. So What Do We Think Is Happening?

We suspect an understanding of the phenology of B. tryoni has been confounded by the very early [85,86] and ongoing [22,50,56,80,82] pattern of explaining Qfly’s phenology within the context of a temperate, four-season year, rather than within the context of its evolutionary endemic environment—the monsoonal tropical rainforest. Once a pupal diapause in B. tryoni was dismissed and adults had been shown to be able to survive in the field all year round [49] no attention was paid to other forms of arrested development, yet we consider that an adult reproductive quiescence or reproductive diapause (sensu [87]) best explains the data.

An arrest in reproduction, through diapause or quiescence, is well documented in tropical insects and involves an arrest in oocyte development and an absence of oviposition [40]; for species with a female reproductive diapause, a corresponding male diapause may or may not occur [88]. Additional to the direct reproductive effect, reproductive arrest can also result in significantly delayed senescence [89], increased stress resistance and enhanced somatic maintenance [90], and decreased metabolic rates [38]. While reproduction is halted, the adult insect may retain mobility and feeding [91,92] or they may aggregate and have limited feeding [39,41]. Reproductive diapause may be facultative rather than absolute: in a study of the tropical butterfly Hypolimnas bolina L., Pieloor and Seymour [93] found 18% of females did not enter diapause which they argued was an adaptation to take advantage of the unpredictability of tropical seasons. For tropical environments the cues associated with the entry and exit of the different eco-physiological phases of diapause (sensu [37]) are complex and can include both increasing and decreasing photoperiod, rainfall, temperature, humidity and the availability of hosts [40].

It is probable that an inadequate knowledge of B. tryoni’s tropical phenology, and of tropical diapause/quiescence, led earlier workers to misinterpret their findings on ‘winter’ ovarian change in the species. Quoting from the Introduction of Fletcher [51]: “In the
northern parts of its range B. tryoni breeds more or less continuously throughout the year, but in the southern part breeding is curtailed by low temperatures during the winter months . . . . . They do not have a true diapause, but they become sexually inactive and in the females developing oocytes are resorbed”. In the 1970s no published tropical phenologies of B. tryoni were available for Fletcher to compare with, and even by the mid-1980s diapause was still “frequently viewed as a developmental strategy unique to insects of the temperate zone” [40]. It is thus not surprising that the reproductive shut-down observed by Fletcher [50] and Meats and Khoo [58] was interpreted by them as a direct cold temperature effect, rather than a tropical dry-season reproductive arrest which temporally over-laps with the temperate winter. However, an interpretation of reproductive arrest better explains the reported early shutdown in B. tryoni breeding and/or ovarian development prior to the onset of cold winter [50,81,82], ovarian development commencing again before the winter cold is over [81], and the breeding cessation/suppression now known to occur in the tropics [61–64,77]. As an example of a similar insect frugivore system where modern knowledge has allowed interpretation not possible in the 1970s, reproductive arrest in Drosophila melanogaster is now considered a trait which evolved in the tropics rather, than as originally assumed, in the temperate zone [45].

Further to explaining the direct reproduction effects, a reproductive arrest helps explain other anomalies in the demography and physiology of B. tryoni. Insects in reproductive arrest age more slowly than when not in arrestment [89], which would explain the seasonal differences in adult longevity under constant temperature conditions [77,78]. Tropical insects in reproductive arrest also have to suppress metabolism as they cannot rely on cold temperatures to minimise the consumption of their internal energy reserves [38], which would explain B. tryoni’s greater longevity under nutritional stress during the cooler months [78,79]. Meats [59] also records that metabolism rates of ‘cold acclimated’ B. tryoni were reduced compared to non-acclimated flies, a result opposite to which he was expecting to see, but unfortunately his cited sources are unpublished student theses which are no longer available.

Additional to aiding longevity, we also believe a reproductive arrest helps explain the sudden build-up of B. tryoni populations during the short, hot-humid period of Wumbulji. Yap et al. [94] identified a highly unusual reverse-aging mechanism in B. tryoni. They found that adult female Qfly of up to 30 days of age (the longest of their test periods) which had been denied yeast, sugar and access to mates, had reversed actuarial aging (i.e., they became physiologically young again) if supplied diet that allowed sexual maturation and were then allowed to mate. Females treated in this way subsequently had longevity and egg-production equivalent to newly matured flies. The authors considered the presence of male accessory gland fluid as the likely trigger for the effect which they proposed “ . . . allows Qflies to synchronize reproduction and mortality schedules.” In the context of a putative reproductive dormancy in B. tryoni, Fletcher [50] identified that female B. tryoni empty their spermatheca at the same time as resorbing their ovaries, but could not provide a reason why. However, if those females survive the shut-down period and become active again in August, then new mating and filling of the spermatheca should act to make them physiologically young again, maximising their potential to utilise seasonally available fruit resources and so start the dramatic population increases seen in trap data.

In summary, we feel there is sufficient evidence to support a case that B. tryoni has the capacity to enter a reproductive arrest, which in temperate areas allows it to survive cold winters but is almost certainly an evolved response for surviving the tropical late dry season when breeding hosts are scarce. In comparison to the well-studied reproductive arrest in Drosophila melanogaster Meigen, the phenotypic expression of the putative reproductive arrest in B. tryoni is almost identical (Table 1).
Table 1. Comparison of the recognised non-dormancy and dormancy reproductive states of *Drosophila melanogaster* with the proposed non-dormancy and dormancy reproductive states for *Bactrocera tryoni*. The information for *D. melanogaster* is extracted from Figure 3 in Flatt et al. [87] and is fully referenced by them: the references provided are just for *B. tryoni*.

| *Drosophila melanogaster* | *Bactrocera tryoni* |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| **Normal Reproductive Function** | **Reproductive Dormancy** | **Normal Reproductive Function** | **Reproductive Dormancy** | References |
| Normal mature ovary | Non-vitellogenic, immature ovary | Normal mature ovary | Non-vitellogenic, immature ovary | [50,81] |
| Normal fecundity | Ovarian arrest | Normal fecundity | Ovarian arrest | [58] |
| Relatively low stress resistance | Stress-resistant | Relatively low stress resistance | Stress-resistant | [78,79] |
| Normal metabolism | Reduced metabolism | Normal metabolism | Reduced metabolism | [59] |
| Quite short lifespan | Long lifespan | Shorter lifespan | Long lifespan | [77,79] |
| | Able to overwinter | | Able to overwinter | [51,76] |

1.2.4. What Don’t We Know?

A lot. As for Rossi-Stacconi et al.’s [92] paper proposing a reproductive diapause in *Drosophila suzukii* in northern Italy, we consider that there are sufficient lines of evidence to suggest a reproductive arrest in *B. tryoni*. However, we reiterate that the interpretation is based on inductive reasoning and specific experiments need to be run to confirm or deny the hypothesis. If a reproductive arrest is confirmed, then future research needs to: determine if it is a diapause or a quiescence; explore the triggers for arrest induction, initiation and termination; if there is a diapause component then if a post-arrest quiescence stage occurs; and if arrest is obligatory or facultative (terminology follows [37]). Observation suggests that a *B. tryoni* reproductive arrest would be facultative rather than obligatory, as eggs can be recovered from the field all year round even if at reduced levels [83], while laboratory cultures can be continued all year round even if culture quality-assurance attributes vary seasonally [78]. The ability to culture flies year-round suggests an exogenous environmental cue triggering reproductive arrest that is not experienced by lab cultures, or that a percentage of a Qfly population never enters reproductive arrest and these individuals become selected for within culture lines: both signs of facultative diapause [95]. Variation in the initiation of timing of *B. tryoni* population peaks around Australia [22,61] is suggestive that if there is a true reproductive diapause then there may be a post-diapause quiescent stage where flies are a primed for sexual activity but wait for an exogenous cue before becoming fully active [37,40], or alternatively that the entire reproductive arrest is a quiescence driven entirely by exogenous cues. Additionally, the direct effects of local temperature will influence populations regardless of whether individuals are in reproductive arrest or not and so also needs to be considered.

1.3. Is There a Reproductive Arrest in Other Dacine Fruit Fly Species?

Fitt [96,97] presents strong evidence that *B. opiliae* (Drew & Hardy), a monophagous non-pest fruit fly of the dry tropics of northern Australia, survives the months between the annual fruiting cycle of its host as a quiescent adult and that the fly’s maturation rate is greatly reduced during the quiescent period. Fletcher [98], in his seminal review of the Dacinae, states that “No known dacine have a true diapausing stage, but the adults of some species are able to pass unfavourable periods of the year in a facultative reproductive ‘diapause’ during which they aggregate in suitable refuges and remain in or revert to a sexually immature state.” Thus Fletcher recognised 35 years ago that at least some dacines have a reproductive arrest, even if at the time it did not count as a ‘true’ diapause. However, of the three references that Fletcher cites in support of his statement only Fitt [96] provides direct evidence for reproductive arrest: the other two papers provide evidence for cool/dry season aggregations of adult *B. zonata* (Saunders) [99], and multiple African *Dacus* species [100] but they make no comment about the flies’ reproductive state. So what evidence is there that other *Bactrocera* species, especially polyphagous species such as *B. dorsalis*, might have a *B. tryoni* like reproductive arrest, versus having “continuous activity throughout the year” [5].
While very noisy, phenology data for *B. dorsalis* from across its native and invasive range in the northern hemisphere clearly shows that the fly does not have continuous activity throughout the year; rather it shows a phenology pattern very similar to *B. tryoni*—except reversed (Figure 2). Following a period of very low or zero catches running from December through to March the population rapidly increases over the next two to three months, before gradually declining back to the December low. There is a great deal of variation in this pattern across sites, but three key elements are consistent: (i) most sites have only one peak per year, very rarely two; (ii) there is a consistent four-to-five month period when flies are rare or absent from traps; and (iii) population peaks for individual sites during the active period are not normalised around the warmest months of the year which would be expected if temperature was the dominant system driver. In the tropics, a population peaking around January/February (see Figure 6b in De Villiers et al. [8]) plus the average plot of those nine. Data for plots was read from tables or graphs in the source papers and then transformed as a proportion of the total year’s catch for that site. Variance data from the original sources is not included, and where data had to be read from graphs exact values are not guaranteed. Sources [106–113]; these sources were selected simply for the ease by which their data could be read.

In Hawaii, in addition to detecting seasonality in adult abundance, Bess and Haramoto [114] also identified that fruit infestation by *B. dorsalis* was seasonal, with maximum infestation be-
between April and September, and minimum between November and March. This was despite fruit being available for breeding all year round. Subsequently, Haramoto and Bess [115] showed that ‘summer’ infestation of guava by *B. dorsalis* was up to 80 times more than ‘winter’ infestation of guava. Further, Newell and Haramoto [116] documented that *B. dorsalis* adult peaks and oviposition peaks did not align. Rather, the rarer adults early in the season were laying as many as 30 times more eggs than the more abundant adults later in the season. “Maximum egg production occurs at times of increasing or maximum fruit abundance and the fly populations present at such times are usually of only moderate size. They appear to comprise flies which for the most part have survived in the field for considerable periods of time and which become concentrated in areas in which there is a maturing crop of fruit. Declining fruit crops are paralleled by declining ovipositional activity.” While the ‘cool’ winter months have been used to explain the seasonality of *B. dorsalis* fruit infestation in Hawaii from as early as 1961 [114], winter versus summer temperatures vary minimally on the Hawaiian Islands (winter average daily min-max 18.3–26.1 °C; summer 21.1–28.9 °C, https://www.weather-us.com/en/hawaii-usa-climate accessed on 7 September 2022) and all are within the favourable to optimal range for *B. dorsalis* development, survival and fecundity [24,117,118]. Thus ‘winter’ cannot explain the seasonality of oviposition by *B. dorsalis* in Hawaii. Rather, the phenology and demography of *B. dorsalis* in Hawaii appears to align with our model for *B. tryoni*, that in the field flies seasonally switch their physiological investment between longevity and reproduction and this has continued to occur even in human-modified landscapes where hosts are now always available [119].

Over the last decade numerous researchers have applied increasingly sophisticated multivariate, neural network and fuzzy-logic analyses to seek correlations between *B. dorsalis* population numbers and weather and/or crop variables [25–27,120–125]. In some cases correlations have been very high and it could be argued that such analyses are evidence against *B. dorsalis* having anything more than a ‘simple’ weather/host-availability driven phenological cycle. While this may be so, looking closely at the papers we do not think this is the case. Firstly, some of these papers model changes of fly abundance within a single cropping season [26], or even as little as one week ahead [123], where we would expect daily weather variation to play an important part in explaining trap-catch variation. Secondly, important correlative variables are not always those that might be expected in a ‘weather and breeding-up-within-crop’ phenology. Notably, Kamala Jayanthi and Verghese [120], Kamal Jayanthi et al. [122] and Ibrahim et al. [25] all demonstrate strong correlations between fly trap catches and the abundance of immature fruit, but not the abundance of mature fruit. Thus these studies show that fly populations are correlated with the crop still to come, rather than being directly correlated with breeding within that crop, a subtle but important difference that reinforces the early work of Newell and Haramoto [116] in understanding the difference between adult abundance and adult reproduction. Finally, as some of these models use ‘hidden layer’ components and are only tested against internal data [26,27,121], evaluating their predictive capacity against independent data sets is not possible.

Summarising this section, we feel that the many published phenologies of *B. dorsalis* demonstrating strong seasonality (Figure 4), evidence of differential reproductive investment at different times of the year [116], and populations that are best correlated with the developing crop rather than the mature crop [120] are all clues that this species may also have a seasonally complex phenology akin to what we think is happening in *B. tryoni*. Certainly for *B. dorsalis* there is not continuous activity throughout the year in the tropics and that belief should be dismissed. What about other dacine species? Unfortunately for most species, even other major pest species, there is simply insufficient knowledge to know.

2. Why Is All This Important?

Additional to simply better understanding the biology of dacine fruit flies, confirming or denying the presence of repeatable, seasonal changes in the reproductive activity of pest fruit flies, happening independently of temperature or host availability, has significant
ramifications for many areas of plant biosecurity. These are addressed below under the sections: in-field control, market access, and risk reduction.

In-field control: Confirming if flies are investing more or less in reproduction versus longevity at different times of the year, especially if there are critical cues that cause switching between the two, would enhance the ability to create predictive population models for pest management. We are aware of only three predictive population models for polyphagous Bactrocera species that have been tested against independent data sets: one for B. tryoni [22] and two for B. dorsalis [124,126]. All three models consistently over-predicted the size of fly populations for large periods of the year. If seasonal changes in longevity/reproduction trade-offs are occurring, then this over-prediction of populations will not just be because of the reproduction predicted to occur but not occurring during a reproductive shut down period, but also because investment in egg production appears not to be consistent even across the breeding period and appears to decline after only a few months. Even without better predictive modelling, benefits for in-field control are still gained if a reproductive arrest is confirmed. If populations do reset themselves demographically each year, as suggested by Yap et al. [94] and demonstrated in the field by Tasnin et al. [77], then it becomes far easier to time the application of behaviour-based controls, such as protein bait sprays or SIT, so that they target populations when most demographically susceptible. For example, B. tryoni in the field have minimal protein hunger late in season and respond very poorly to protein bait sprays [127], but with the demographic knowledge gained by Tasnin et al. [77] we might now reasonably predict that flies will be very protein hungry in September/October as they rebuild nutritional reserves and invest heavily in egg production.

Market access: Crops which are harvested during a period of reproductive shutdown may need reduced levels of pre- and post-harvest risk reduction to access markets. For example, ‘winter’ strawberries exported from Queensland to other Australian states prior to the 10th of August have a reduced in-field risk reduction step compared to those exported after the 10th [128]: this is based on the very low risk of fruit fly infestation prior to mid-August, but an increasing risk of fruit fly infestation by late August [129]. Similarly, market access of tomatoes and capsicums from the tropical Queensland horticultural production area of Bowen has been argued (although not gained) based on a ‘winter’ window running from March to early August when flies are largely absent from traps and fruit infestation is almost entirely absent [63]. Reinstatement dates, i.e., the time after the last detection of a regulated pest before an area is declared ‘pest free’, may also be impacted if populations have a cessation in breeding which is independent of temperature. For market access purposes, much more detailed information would be needed about the role (if any) of predictable environmental cues in a reproductive arrest, so regulatory dates could be set with confidence and transparency.

Risk reduction: If polyphagous Bactrocera are confirmed to have a reproductive arrest then there are several issues to consider with respect to biosecurity risk reduction. Many planning activities rely on underlying population models [15,16,130,131] and the accuracy of the outputs of those models will impact on the robustness of preparedness planning and response. However, beyond modelling which has already been discussed, the proven presence of a reproductive arrest is of particular importance for risk assessments based on climatic suitability [5,132–134]. The presence of a reproductive arrest which allows adults to survive a stressful period without hosts will also provide the flies greater ability to survive stressful periods when the stress is caused by something different—notably cold. The work of Meats [54–57,135], while confounded by his assessment of the drivers of what he observed, clearly shows that B. tryoni has high cold tolerance during its period of reproductive quiescence. This is most likely due to the fact that insects in diapause, even tropical diapause, have greater cold tolerance [136] and stress handling capacity [38,40]. The migration of B. dorsalis into cold temperate China [11], not originally predicted by climate driven models [137], may be partially explained by this mechanism. The rapid global invasion of Drosophila suzukii and its ability to tolerate harsh winter conditions has
been attributed to its facultative adult diapause [92], and this attribute may unfortunately prove to be just as important for the spread of invasive *Bactrocera*.

3. Conclusions

We are not the first to suggest that dacines may have an adult reproductive arrest. Direct statements to that effect are already in the literature [51,96–98], while indirect evidence is hidden in plain sight in multiple publications spanning the last 60 years. Hernandez-Ortiz and Perez-Alonso [138] also suggest an adult diapause to explain the phenology of *Anastrepha* species in tropical rain forests of Mexico, while Aluja et al. [139] touch on the potential of “aestivating or long-lived adults” to explain seasonality in Mexican *Anastrepha obliqua* (Macquart). Species of the genus *Rhagoletis* survive the long periods between host availability on the tropics and subtropics through a pupal diapause which can extend from eight to 12 months [140]. Further, the now well-recognised diapause known to occur in many fruit fly parasitoid species [141–143] is also suggestive that their hosts must have a regular breeding break, as parasitoid life histories and seasonality are closely linked to those of their hosts [144,145]. However, the current international fruit fly and plant-biosecurity communities, including ourselves until very recently, appear to have missed these clues entirely. Because no researcher or research group has ever explicitly tested for a reproductive arrestment in a *Bactrocera* species (although the work of Fitt comes close) we fully accept that this remains a hypothesis waiting to be tested, not a proven fact. The purpose of this paper is not to convince people that a reproductive arrest exists, but to raise the experimental confirmation or denial of a reproductive arrest in *Bactrocera* as a priority research area which has significant flow-on implications for both in-field management and invasion preparedness.
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