Phylogenetic Relationships and Evolutionary Patterns of the Order Collodaria (Radiolaria)

Yoshiyuki Ishitani1,*, Yurika Ujiie2, Colomban de Vargas3, Fabrice Not3, Kozo Takahashi4

1 Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Yokosuka, Kanagawa, Japan, 2 Center for Advanced Marine Core Research, Kochi University, Nankoku, Kochi, Japan, 3 UMR CNRS 7144 Evolution du Plancton et PaleOceans, Station Biologique, Roscoff, France, 4 Earth and Planetary Sciences, Department of Sciences, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Higasi-ku, Fukuoka, Japan

Abstract

Collodaria are the only group of Radiolaria that has a colonial lifestyle. This group is potentially the most important plankton in the oligotrophic ocean because of its large biomass and the high primary productivity associated with the numerous symbionts inside a cell or colony. The evolution of Collodaria could thus be related to the changes in paleo-productivity that have affected organic carbon fixation in the oligotrophic ocean. However, the fossil record of Collodaria is insufficient to trace their abundance through geological time, because most collodarians do not have silicified shells. Recently, molecular phylogeny based on nuclear small sub-unit ribosomal DNA (ISSU DNA) confirmed Collodaria to be one of five orders of Radiolaria, though the relationship among collodarians is still unresolved because of inadequate taxonomic sampling. Our phylogenetic analysis has revealed four novel collodarian sequences, on the basis of which collodarians can be divided into four clades that correspond to taxonomic grouping at the family level: Thalassicollidae, Collozoidae, Collosphaeridae, and Collophidae. Comparison of the results of our phylogenetic analyses with the morphological characteristics of each collodarian family suggests that the first ancestral collodarians had a solitary lifestyle and left no silica deposits. The timing of events estimated from molecular divergence calculations indicates that naked collodarian lineages first appeared around 45.6 million years (Ma) ago, coincident with the diversification of diatoms in the pelagic oceans. Colonial collodarians appeared after the formation of the present ocean circulation system and the development of oligotrophic conditions in the equatorial Pacific (ca. 33.4 Ma ago). The divergence of colonial collodarians probably caused a shift in the efficiency of primary production during this period.
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Introduction

Radiolaria are classified in the Rhizaria super group together with Foraminifera, Endomyxa, and Filosa [1]. Radiolaria have characteristic cell structures that include axopodia, an internal endoplasm that contains the nucleus and major metabolic organelles, and an external ecoplasm that is separated from the endoplasm by a central capsule [2]. Photosynthetic symbionts are typically found in the ectoplasm. On the basis of a combination of morphological and molecular phylogenetic analyses, the Radiolaria have been assigned to five distinct orders: Spumellaria, Acantharia, Taxopodida, Nassellaria, and Collodaria [3].

Taxonomically, Collodaria have unique morphological and ecologically features among Radiolaria, because this order includes species with colonial lifestyles and without silification (i.e., naked). On the basis of these features, Collodaria have been classified into three families: Thalassicollidae, Collosphaeridae, and Collozoidae [2]. Only Thalassicollidae are characterized by solitary cell without a silica skeleton. The other two families have colonial lifestyles, and some of them have silica skeletons. Morphological characterization of the siliceous skeletons divides the Collozoidae and Collosphaeridae on the basis of whether the cells possess siliceous spines or an irregular latticed shell, respectively [2]. Moreover, taxonomical schemes [4–7] have been used as a basis for subdividing the family Collozoideae into three genera according to the morphological characteristics of the spines: *Collozoum* has no spines; *Rhaphidezoa* possesses simple spines; and *Sphaeroum* has spines with a characteristic triangular shape (spicule) [4]. Radiolarian morphological taxonomy has thus been based on some of the characteristics of the siliceous skeletons (spines or shells) outside the endoplasm. The other shell-bearing radiolarian orders (Spumellaria, Nassellaria, and Acantharia) are generally classified on the basis of the structure of the inner shell, which is located inside the endoplasm [8]. Indeed, molecular phylogenetic assessment has shown the distinct lineages of Spumellaria to be congruent with their inner shell structure [9]. However, there is a lack of comparable taxonomic criteria for Collodaria because of the absence of the inner shell structure. Exterior morphological analysis has led to the inclusion of species of the collodarian genus *Collophidium* in the genus *Collozoum*, because both taxa consist of naked colonial cells. In contrast, an ultra-structural study (e.g., of the shape of the central capsule and nucleus) has shown that the characteristics of the nucleus and central capsule of *Collophidium* and *Collozoum* differ sufficiently to recommend re-establishment of the genus *Collophidium* [7]. Although some studies have tried to approach collodarian
taxonomy on the basis of cell structure characteristics [10,11], these studies have been examined in few taxa and are insufficient to classify all collodarians.

Instead, a molecular phylogenetic study has recently reported the phylogenetic relationship among collodarians [12]. The collodarian taxa form a robust monophyletic clade at the order level in the radiolarian phylogeny [3], as same as in the phylogeny including diverse group of eukaryotes [12]. However, the monophyletic clade of family Collosphaeridae has been nested in the multidivergent clade of family Collozoidea [12]. Moreover, the phylogenetic position of the genus Collophidium is unknown, because there has been only one taxonomic sampling.

Collodarians, which are a highly diverse order of Radiolaria in the oligotrophic tropical and subtropical oceans, are ecologically categorized as persistent obligatory acquired phototrophic marine protists bearing photosynthetic endosymbionts [13]. The high rate of carbon fixation by photosynthetic endosymbionts supplies collodarians with nutrition. The fact that colonial collodarians (Collophacaeidae and Collodacidae) possess substantial numbers of symbionts (2 × 10^6 cells in a large colony [14]) results in a high rate of primary production in a colony (e.g., 1400–41,000 ng carbohydrate colony^{-1} hour^{-1} [15]). Collodarians are able to survive in oligotrophic environments by exploiting this high potential for carbon fixation (e.g., carbohydrate in Collosphaera huxleyi: 91.16 µg [16]). Even in the solitary group (Thalassicollidae), collodarians have the potential to keep carbon reserves inside a cell (carbohydrate in Thalassicolla nuclea, 0.16 µg [16]) and achieve high primary production rates (10–64 ng carbohydrate hour^{-1} [16]). Both solitary and colonial collodarians tolerate oligotrophic conditions. Collodarians could thus contribute substantially to carbon fixation in the oligotrophic ocean. In the Gulf of Aden, for example, the amount of carbon fixed by collodarian endosymbionts is estimated to be three times the carbon fixed by free phytoplankton in the water column surrounding the colony [17,18]. This high carbon fixation ability could affect biogeochemical cycles in oligotrophic waters. Collodarians have probably evolved specific adaptations that enable them to flourish in oligotrophic environments. However, the adaptive responses of collodarians to oligotrophic environments have not been evaluated on the basis of fossil evidence, because most collodarians (nearly all species of Thalassicollidae and Sphaerozoidae) have no siliceous structures. Molecular divergence time estimates, however, will improve our understanding of their ecological impact in the paleoceanographic history.

Here, we inferred the SSU rDNA phylogeny of Collodaria concerning four novel sequences. Our analysis revealed phylogenetic relationships among the collodarian families and led us to revise the taxonomic scheme and criteria for classification of Collodaria. Divergence time estimates of major collodarian lineages showed diversification to be well correlated with paleoceanographic events. In our discussion we have examined the co-evolution of collodarian lineages and the development of the oligotrophic oceans throughout the Cenozoic Era.

**Results**

**SSU rDNA phylogeny**

We confirmed that all 19 collodarian SSU rDNA sequences were associated with the single monophyletic clade of Collodaria in the radiolarian phylogeny (Fig S1). The Bayesian phylogeny of these collodarian sequences showed two clades supported by high posterior probabilities (PP) and bootstrap values (BV) (Fig 1). One monophyletic clade was represented by the family Thalassicollidae, and another clade was composed of three families, Collozoidea, Collophidae, and Collosphaeridae. The monophyletic Collosphaeridae clade was a sister to Collophidae, though three species of Collophidae were multidivergent. The clade consisting of Collophidae and Collosphaeridae was a sister to Collozoidea. This topology was almost the same as that inferred from maximum likelihood (ML) analysis.

In the clade consisting of Collophidae and Collosphaeridae, Collodarium spongipum branched at the basal node. The clade Collosphaeridae nested within Collodarium elliptoides and an environmental sequence AT8-54. In the clade Collozoidae, Collophora globularis and Acrosphaera sp. formed a monophyletic clade and branched together with Siphonophora cyathina.

The Collozoidea clade was composed of six species (Collozoon inermis, C. pelagicum, Thalassophysa pelagica, Sphaerozoum ovodimare, Sphaerozoum punctatum, and C. amoeboides) and an environmental sequence (IEA.ACTG.2022727) (Fig 1). Collozoon inermis formed a monophyletic clade with an environmental sequence IEA.ACTG.2022727 (moderate statistical support: 0.61 PP and 76% BV). The Collozoon pelagicum and Thalassophysa pelagica clade and the Sphaerozoum ovodimare and S. punctatum clade were also monophyletic. These three monophyletic clades formed a polyphyletic group and branched with Collozoon amoeboides. The phylogenetic relationships of the genus Collozoon were multidivergent.

The Thalassicollidae clade consisted of two species, Thalassicolla pellucida and T. nuclea (Fig 1). Three individual sequences of T. nuclea were monophyletic with 1.00 PP and 100% BV, though each of them was obtained from different geographic areas (North Pacific and North Atlantic). The T. nuclea clade branched with T. pellucida.

**Divergence time estimation**

We estimated the divergence times of four families (Thalassicollidae, Collozoidea, Collophidae, and Collosphaeridae) identified as belonging to Collodaria (Fig 2). The common ancestor of Collodaria appeared around 45.6 Ma, and the credible interval (CI) was 35.9–49.9 Ma. The Thalassicollidae lineage and other collodarians diverged around 33.4 Ma ago (CI: 24.7–40.1 Ma). The divergence between the lineages of Collozoidea and a common ancestor of the clade consisting of Collophidae and Collosphaeridae was around 27.1 Ma ago (CI: 19.1–34.0 Ma), and that between Collophidae and Collosphaeridae was around 18.1 Ma ago (CI: 11.8–24.9 Ma).

**Discussion**

**New taxonomic criteria for Collodaria inferred from molecular phylogeny**

The molecular phylogeny of the SSU rDNA sequences formed four clades corresponding to classification at the family level (Fig 1). These families were clearly divided into two groups: (1) Thalassicollidae, and (2) Collozoidea, Collophidae, and Collosphaeridae. Notably, Thalassicollidae was the only collodarian family with a solitary lifestyle, whereas the other three families formed colonies (Table 1). Moreover, the cell structures of these two groups are consistent within each group. Thalassicollidae (solitary) have a hyaline gelatinous layer bounded by a central capsule and rhizopodia radiating outward [10], whereas the colonials have many interconnected cells linked inside by rhizopodia that protrude from the gelatineous envelope [11]. These morphological differences are consequently congruent with the molecular phylogenetic relationships between Thalassicollidae and the clade consisting of Collozoidea, Collophidae, and Collosphaeridae.

Among the colonial collodarians, our molecular phylogeny separated the family Collozoidea from two others (Collophidae
and Collosphaeridae), a separation consistent with morphological differences in the shapes of nuclei (Table 1). Collozoideae have spherical nuclei [11], whereas Collophidae and Collosphaeridae possess irregularly shaped nuclei (Collophidae [7]; Collosphaeridae [19]). On the basis of morphological taxonomy, the genus Collophidium has been assigned to the family Collozoidae, though the fact that this species has an irregularly shaped nucleus is different from the other Collozoidae [7]. Our phylogeny suggests that the genus Collophidium is independent from Collozoidae, a conclusion that supports attributing taxonomic significance to the shape of the nucleus. On the other hand, the genus Collophidium is also morphologically different from Collosphaeridae, because it has no siliceous skeleton, and the shape of the Collophidium central capsule is elongated (Table 1). The integration of molecular and morphological information thus argues that the genus Collophidium be separated from both Collosphaeridae and Collophidium. We hence propose that the genus Collophidium be elevated to family status (Collophidae).

Molecular phylogeny has thus helped to clarify the morphological phylogenetic relationships among four collodarian families: Thalassicollidae, Collozoideae, Collophidae, and Collosphaeridae. Collophidae and Collosphaeridae can be distinguished by the presence or absence of siliceous shells (Table 1). Use of such a new taxonomic scheme could help advance understanding of collodarian phylogeny and evolution.

Evolutionary pattern of Collodaria

Our estimated divergence time of the common ancestor of Collodaria (Fig 2; the middle Eocene) is much older than the oldest fossil record of collodarians (the Oligocene). The first appearance dates (FADs) of silicified Collozoidae and Collosphaeridae (32 and 22 Ma ago, respectively [20,21]), although based on only a few fossil records, are almost consistent with our estimation. A paucity of taxonomic sampling has sometimes caused an inconsistency between molecular clock estimates and fossil records [22]. The divergence time of Collosphaeridae was slightly underestimated relative to the FAD of its fossils, probably because two of five genera in this family were excluded in the present study. However, the 95% credible interval at the Collosphaeridae node included the FAD of its fossils. Therefore, our estimated divergence times help to inform examination of the pattern of collodarian evolution throughout geological history.

The large gap between our estimation of the collodarian origin and the fossil record suggests that most ancestral collodarians lacked siliceous shells. Indeed, there are no siliceous deposits

Figure 1. Bayesian phylogeny of the SSU rDNA sequences obtained from the collodarians. Four novel collodarian sequences and two environmental sequences (<3 μm) are shown in bold and light gray text, respectively. Family names are shown to the right of the black bars. Numbers on nodes indicates posterior probabilities of the Bayesian method and bootstrap values of the ML analysis. Scale bar at lower left shows 0.1 substitutions per site for the Bayesian analyses. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035775.g001
associated with most collodarian species, and such deposits are completely lacking in the family Thalassicollidae, which is directly descendant from the most ancestral lineage of Collodaria. The absence of a siliceous shell could have been one survival strategy in the first collodarian evolution, because some descendant families of Collodaria form siliceous shells.

We estimated the first divergence of the most ancestral collodarians (45.6 Ma ago) to have occurred in the middle Eocene (Fig 3). Since the middle Eocene the shell weights of other radiolarian fossils have been gradually decreasing [23]. Another study inferred that the extent of radiolarian skeletal silicification has decreased since the middle Eocene [24]. Contrarily, another silica consumer, diatoms, extended the range of their geographic distribution from the late Cretaceous and have spread into the pelagic ocean with large biomass since then [25–28]. Under these conditions the ancestral collodarians probably lost or suppressed their capacity to deposit silica owing to competitive selection pressures from other silica-secreting organisms.

We estimated the divergence of the first colonial collodarians to have occurred ca. 33.4 Ma ago in the early Oligocene (Fig 2). This date is consistent with the FAD of silicified Collozoidae, which are directly descended from the common ancestor of colonial collodarians in our phylogeny. Our data indicate that those collodarian ancestors became colonial approximately in the early Oligocene.

During the early Oligocene, the Antarctic region was cooling, and major ice sheets began to develop on the Antarctica [29]. Accordingly, the sea level was low [30] and a combination of weathering and erosion increased the export of silicates from the exposed shelf into the oceans [31]. The influx of lithogenic materials changed the equatorial Pacific to a silica-rich ocean. Diatoms were highly diverse during this period [32]; however,

---

*Figure 2. Divergence times among 12 collodarian sequences, based on the estimation of the partitioned Bayesian approach.*

Estimated divergence ages (million years ago) are shown at the root of each node. Gray shaded boxes on the nodes indicate 95% credible intervals. Star symbols represent the first appearance dates of Collosphaeridae and Collozoidea, as inferred from the fossil record [20,21]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035775.g002
they did not become dominant in equatorial Pacific sediments until 17–15 Ma ago in the Miocene [33]. Therefore, competition with diatoms probably did not constrain reactivation of the ability of collodarians to silicify in the silica-rich equatorial Pacific during the early Oligocene.

During the same period of time, the Drake Passage opened [34]. This gateway allowed the establishment of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, which promotes the thermal isolation of the Antarctica [35], and the development of deep bottom currents into the Pacific [36]. The present oceanic circulation system has been established since then [37]. Furthermore, the decrease in nutrient and productivity indicators (Ba, CaCO₃) suggests that the equatorial and subtropical areas of the ocean became oligotrophic [38]. As a result of these oceanic environmental changes, the faunal composition of Radiolaria has drastically changed in the equatorial Pacific [39]. The first colonial collodarians could have evolved from ancestral collodarians (solitary) by acquiring a co- 

The occurrence of colonial collodarians could enable high primary productivity even in the oligotrophic subtropical and tropical oceans. Despite diminished nutrient supplies to tropical seas in the Oligocene, productivity was slightly higher than was the case during other low-productivity events in the Eocene [38]. The evolution of Collodaria may therefore have had an impact on primary productivity in the oligotrophic ocean.

### Materials and Methods

#### Sampling

Samples to be analyzed were taken from four stations: one station in the North Pacific, two in the South Pacific, and one in the Mediterranean Sea with a North Pacific Standard Net (NORPAC) system (Table 2). After each net tow, we immediately isolated single cells or colonies from the samples and incubated them at 4°C for 2 to 6 hours to allow time for digestion of organisms that had been consumed by the Collodaria; the presence of these organisms would otherwise have contaminated the samples. After incubation, a photograph of each sample was taken (Fig S2; there are no pictures for Collossomatium inerme GFC Colony 3, and an isolated single cell of a colony, or a whole colony (see Table 2), was put into a 0.2-ml tube and kept at –80°C.

#### Extraction and PCR

For DNA extraction, we crushed a cell in 50 μl of guanidine buffer (4 M guanidine isothiocyanate, 50 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 10 mM EDTA, 2% sarcosyl, 1% 2-mercaptoethanol) and incubated it at 70°C for 20 minutes. The supernatant was collected and used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification carried out with a denaturation step of 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 amplification cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds, 56°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 2 minutes, and then a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. PCR amplification for the most complete SSU rDNA was amplified by two overlapping fragments of combinations of the following primers: universal forward primer SA (5’-AAC-CTG-GTT-GAT-CCT-GCC-AGT-3’) and newly designed reverse primer SB (5’TCA-CAG-ACC-TGT-TAT-TGC-HW-3’) and newly designed forward primer SA (5’-GGG-GAG-AGG-GCA-CCA-3’) and universal reverse primer SB (5’TCA-TGC-TTC-GCC-AGG-TTC-ACC-TAC-3’). PCR products were ligated and cloned with a TOPO TA-Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and purified with an AMPure Kit (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). We sequenced these samples with a Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) at Station Biologique de Roscoff (France). From each PCR product, we sequenced more than 16 clones to confirm the absence of polymorphisms of the SSU rDNA in a single cell. A representative sequence was selected from the clones of four individuals, and four sequences excluding the regions of primers at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the SSU rDNA were deposited in the DDBJ DNA databank (accession numbers AB690554 to AB690557).

#### Phylogenetic analysis

We aligned two datasets of the SSU rDNA sequences. The first dataset contained 19 collodarian sequences (four species obtained in this study, 13 from isolated samples, and two environmental collodarian sequences from other studies), eight other radiolarians,
Figure 3. Schematic evolutionary model of Collodaria compared in relation with paleoceanographic environmental changes. (a) The black line shows the change of paleotemperature calculated from the stable oxygen isotopic ($\delta^{18}$O) values of the benthic foraminifera from the Eocene to recent [50]. The vertical bars indicate the presence of ice sheets and icebergs in each hemisphere, with the solid bar representing permanent presence of ice-sheets and the dash bar representing ephemeral presences [50,51]. Two key geological events associated with the discussion are enclosed in the boxes [25–28,31–34]. (b) Schematic evolutionary model of Collodaria and their divergence times. The estimated divergence time were shown on the nodes. Pictures on the tree represent schematic models of each collodarian cell characteristic. Abbreviations: Colloz, Collozoideae; Colloph, Collophidae; Collosph, Collosphaeridae; Thalla, Thalassicollidae. Each schematic cell structure is shown on the evolutionary tree.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035775.g003

Table 2. Sample IDs and sampled locations.

| Sample ID                | Area         | Long.   | Lat.    | Depth | Mesh size | Mesg type         | Date       |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| Collozoum inerme OS293   | North Pacific| 173°59'E | 44°00'N | 0–200m| 100 μm    | NORPAC           | July 2007  |
| Collophidium ellipsoides | Mediterranean Sea | 07°19'E | 43°42'N | 0–80m | 100 μm    | Open-closing net | July 2008  |
| Collozoum inerme GF Colony 3 | South Pacific | 161°12'E | 43°0'S  | 0–200m| 63 μm    | Open-closing net | March 2008 |
| Sphaerozoum ovodimare GF Colony 6 | South Pacific | 160°01'E | 80°9'S  | 0–200m| 63 μm   | Open-closing net | March 2008 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035775.t002
and two cercozoans as outgroups. The second dataset was composed of 19 collodarians and three nassellarian sequences as outgroups listed in Table 3. These two datasets were aligned by using CLUSTALX version 2.0 [40] and manually refined. We used 1241 and 1407 unambiguous nucleotide positions for phylogenetic analysis of the first and second datasets, respectively. The best-fit model of nucleotide substitution for these datasets was selected by using MrModeltest [41] and Treefinder [42]. The F84 [47] + G model (the most parameter-rich model in T3) was used with parameters estimated by using the PAML ver. 3.14 package [48]. Then the divergence time was estimated by using the multidivtime program T3. The MCMC approximation was made within a burn-in period of 1.0 × 106 proposal cycles. Samples of the Markov chain were taken every 100 cycles until a total of 10,000 samples were obtained. To diagnose possible failure of the Markov chains to converge to their stationary distribution, we performed two replicate MCMC runs with different initial starting points for each analysis. The multidivtime program requires a value for the mean of the prior distribution for the time separating the ingroup root from the present (rtn). We used 91 Ma ago for this estimation, on the basis of the first appearance of the genus *Lithomelissa* [49].

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 Bayesian phylogeny of the SSU rDNA sequences obtained from the radiolarians. Four novel collodarian sequences and two environmental sequences (<3 μm) are shown in bold and light gray text, respectively. Order names are shown to the right of the black bars. Numbers on nodes indicate posterior probabilities of the Bayesian method [46]. The analyses were performed by using MrBayes3.1.2. Branch lengths of this topology were estimated from the pooled trees. The MLs of the first and second datasets were performed by using Treefinder. Bootstrap support was based on 1000 replicates.

**Divergence time estimation**

Analyses of divergence times were conducted with the program Thornian Time Traveler ver.1.0 (T3; see http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk) in accord with the Bayesian method [46]. The analyses were applied to the 13 sequences *Collozoa* *inerme* OS293, *Collozoa* *pelagica*, *Thalassophysa pelagica*, *Sphaerocozium ovodimare* GF Colony6, *Collophidium serpentinum*, AT9-54, *Collophidium ellipsoides* VF167, *Collophere globularis*, *Acrosphaera* sp, *Siphonosphaera cyathina*, *Thalassicolla pellucida*, and *Thalassicolla nucleate*, with a final rooting based on *Lithomelissa* sp. 2003. We used the same dataset with phylogenetic reconstruction and obtained the tree topology for 14 sequences by using MrBayes3.1.2. Branch lengths of this topology were estimated with the estbNew program (T3). The F84 [47] + G model (the most parameter-rich model in T3) was used with parameters estimated by using the PAML ver. 3.14 package [48]. Then the divergence time was estimated by using the multidivtime program T3. The MCMC approximation was made within a burn-in period of 1.0 × 106 proposal cycles. Samples of the Markov chain were taken every 100 cycles until a total of 10,000 samples were obtained. To diagnose possible failure of the Markov chains to converge to their stationary distribution, we performed two replicate MCMC runs with different initial starting points for each analysis. The multidivtime program requires a value for the mean of the prior distribution for the time separating the ingroup root from the present (rtn). We used 91 Ma ago for this estimation, on the basis of the first appearance of the genus *Lithomelissa* [49].

**Figure S2 Photographs of specimens.** Black bar is 30 μm. (a) *Collozoa inermis* OS293, (b) *Collophidium ellipsoides* VF167, (c) *Sphaerocozium ovodimare* GF Colony6.
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Table 3. Sequences used for phylogenetic analysis.

| Species name                        | Accession number |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| *Collozoa inermis* OS293            | AB690555         |
| *Collozoa inermis* GFColony03       | AB690554         |
| *Collozoa inermis*                  |                  |
| *Thalassophysa pelagica*            | AY266209         |
| *Collozoa pelagicum*                | AF091146         |
| *Rhaphidocystis acerum*             | AF091147         |
| *Sphaerocozium punctatum*           | AB613246         |
| *Sphaerocozium ovodimare* GFColony6 | AB690556         |
| *Collozoa amoeboides*               | AB613245         |
| *Collophidium serpentinum*          | AF018162         |
| *Collophidium ellipsoides* VF167    | AB690557         |
| *Collophere globularis-huxleyi*     | AF018163         |
| *Acrosphaera* sp. CR6A              | AF091148         |
| *Siphonosphaera cyathina*           | AF091145         |
| *Thalassophysa pelagica*            | AY266297         |
| *Thalassicolla nucletae*            | AF018160         |
| *Thalassicolla nucletae* W10.79     | AF057744         |
| *Thalassicolla nucletae* W10.74     | AF057743         |
| IBEA.CT.G20022727                   | 2002727          |
| AT8-54                              | AF530524         |
| *Lithomelissa* sp. 8012             | AB246694         |
| *Lithomelissa* sp. 2003             | AB246683         |
| *Eucyrtidium hexagonalatum*         | AB179735         |
| *Dictyococycy profunda*             | AB101540         |
| *Larcopyle butschii*                | AB613231         |
| *Sticholanche* sp.                  | AY268045         |
| *Halomonatidium* sp.                | AF018159         |
| *Amphicoan denticulatus*            | AB178585         |
| *Phagomyxa odontellae*              | AF310904         |
| *Cercomonas longicauda*             | AF411270         |

Bold species are from this study.

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0035775.t003
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