Psychoradiological investigations of gray matter alterations in patients with anorexia nervosa
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Abstract
Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a severe psychiatric disorder with high mortality. The underlying neurobiological mechanisms are not well understood, and high-resolution structural magnetic resonance brain imaging studies have given inconsistent results. Here we aimed to psychoradiologically define the most prominent and replicable abnormalities of gray matter volume (GMV) in AN patients, and to examine their relationship to demographics and clinical characteristics, by means of a new coordinate-based meta-analytic technique called seed-based d mapping (SDM). In a pooled analysis of all AN patients we identified decreased GMV in the bilateral median cingulate cortices and posterior cingulate cortices extending to the bilateral precuneus, and the supplementary motor area. In subgroup analysis we found an additional decreased GMV in the right fusiform in adult AN, and a decreased GMV in the left amygdala and left anterior cingulate cortex in AN patients without comorbidity (pure AN). Thus, the most consistent GMV alterations in AN patients are in the default mode network and the sensorimotor network. These psychoradiological findings of the brain abnormalities might underpin the neuropathophysiology in AN.

Introduction
Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a serious and distinctive psychiatric disorder, particularly affecting adolescent girls and young adult women¹. Although relatively rare (prevalence ~0.3%), AN has serious medical consequences (mortality ~10%) and thus poses a major clinical, psychological, and societal burden². As defined in the fifth revision of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5; American Psychiatric Association, 2013), AN is characterized by an intense fear of weight gain and a distorted view of body shape, which motivates severe dietary restriction or other weight loss behaviors, such as purging or excessive physical activity³. Cognitive and emotional functioning are markedly disturbed, and serious medical morbidity and psychiatric comorbidity are common⁴. AN has a complex etiology, involving genetic/neurobiological, social–environmental and psychological factors⁵.

Radiological techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an important psychoradiologic technique (https://radiopaedia.org/articles/psychoradiology)⁶⁴–⁶⁷. In AN, a number of MRI studies have employed the analytical technique of voxel-based morphometry (VBM); this avoids some limitations of region-of-interest (ROI) approaches, which focus on selected regions and preclude the exploration of other brain regions that may be involved.

A significant global loss of brain volume in AN, regarding both gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM), has been identified in several studies⁶⁻⁹. However, studies investigating regional changes in AN have yielded less
consistent results, reporting reduced volumes in a wide variety of areas including cingulate cortex (anterior cingulate cortices (ACC), median cingulate cortices (MCC), posterior cingulate cortices (PCC)), frontal lobe (supplementary motor area (SMA), inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), and frontal operculum), temporal lobe (the superior/middle temporal gyrus (STG/MTG), fusiform, and temporoparietal junction), parietal lobe (precuneus and inferior parietal cortex), occipital cortex, cerebellum, and striatum\textsuperscript{6,7,10–23}. While one recent study found increased gray matter volume (GMV) in the left orbitofrontal gyrus rectus, bilateral fusiform gyrus, bilateral hippocampus, right insula, and bilateral parahippocampal gyrus\textsuperscript{24}, another found no significantly reduced GMV in the hypothalamus\textsuperscript{25}. Taken together, these studies have not identified any common theme with respect to functionally important regions that throw light on the neurobiological factors underlying AN.

Meta-analysis is a powerful tool which integrates multiple studies of a particular problem to derive insights often unavailable from the studies individually. To our knowledge only one meta-analysis has compared GMV differences between AN patients and healthy control (HC) subjects using the method of activation likelihood estimation (ALE)\textsuperscript{8}. This impeded reward and somatosensory abnormalities in AN, reporting decreased GMV in hypothalamus, striatum (caudate nucleus, lentiform nucleus) and the inferior parietal lobe, with no significant GMV increases. However, the number of published primary VBM studies in AN at that time was small, only seven being included; furthermore, the meta-analysis did not consider confounding factors, such as differences in age, psychiatric, and medical comorbidity, and duration of illness\textsuperscript{8}. Now a further 14 primary AN VBM studies have been published, it is timely to conduct an updated meta-analysis to help define GMV alterations in AN.

The aims of this paper were threefold. First, we performed pooled meta-analyses of all included studies to identify consistent GMV changes in AN. Second, we conducted subgroup meta-analyses to assess the robustness and heterogeneity of the main findings. Finally, we used meta-regression methods to examine the effects of demographics and clinical characteristics. We hypothesized that AN patients would show reduced GMV in some functionally important regions, such as cingulate cortex and striatum which may help to account for the symptomatology. We also hypothesized that the two subgroups of adult AN and AN without comorbidity would show distinctive GMV abnormalities.

**Methods**

**Study selection**

A systematic strategy was used to search for relevant studies published in PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Google Scholar up to May 2018 using combinations of the terms “anorexia nervosa” or “AN” or “eating disorder” plus “VBM” or “voxel-based morphometry” or “whole brain” or “morphometric”. The reference lists of these studies were manually checked to identify additional studies.

The following were criteria for inclusion: (i) an original article in a peer-reviewed journal; (ii) including patients with a primary diagnosis of AN based on DSM criteria; (iii) reporting a VBM case-control study on AN patients and HC subjects; (iv) reporting whole-brain GMV alterations in a stereotactic space in three-dimensional standard coordinates; (v) using significance thresholds for data that were either corrected for multiple comparisons or uncorrected with spatial extent thresholds. If necessary, corresponding authors were contacted by e-mail to provide details not in the original manuscripts. Studies were excluded if: (i) it was impossible to obtain the three-dimensional coordinates in stereotactic space; (ii) the data overlapped with those of other publications (if so, the study with the larger sample size was selected); (iii) there was no HC group; (iv) only region of interest (ROI) findings were reported; (v) the findings were based on small-volume correction; (iv) studies reported recovered AN patients. We followed the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines\textsuperscript{26}.

Three authors (Z.S.M., W.W.N., and S.X.R.) independently searched the literature, examined the retrieved articles, extracted and cross-checked data. The results were compared, and any inconsistencies were resolved by consensus. The coordinates in each study were extracted for meta-analysis according to the SDM method.

**Voxel-wise meta-analysis by SDM**

The analytical processes are described in the SDM tutorial (http://sdmproject.com/software/Tutorial.pdf) and related publications. SDM has been widely applied to e.g. childhood maltreatment\textsuperscript{27}, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)\textsuperscript{28}, and major depressive disorder (MDD)\textsuperscript{29}. The approach creates effect size and variance maps based on reported peak coordinates, which are then analyzed with traditional random-effects meta-analytic methods. In addition, this technique allows heterogeneity maps to be generated and meta-regressions to be conducted across the whole brain. Importantly, SDM also allows meta-analytic group comparisons, which provide an indication of whether computed effect sizes differ significantly between groups\textsuperscript{30,31}. In the current version of SDM\textsuperscript{31}, a standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) map of the differences in GMV was separately recreated for each included study using an anisotropic Gaussian kernel, which assigns higher effect sizes to the voxels that are more correlated with peaks. These anisotropic kernels optimize the recreation of the effect size maps and
provide greater robustness, because they do not depend on a full width at half maximum (FWHM).

We planned to conduct pooled meta-analysis of all the included studies, and then four subgroup analyses: adult AN patients; adolescent AN patients; AN patients with comorbidity; and AN patients without comorbidity (‘pure AN’). However, there were too few studies to allow subgroup analyses of adolescents and AN with comorbidity (a minimum of 10 studies is recommended for SDM meta-analyses32). To ensure that only the most replicable and robust of the results were retained, a jackknife sensitivity analysis was conducted. The meta-regression analyses were conducted with relevant clinical variables, including BMI, age, illness duration, percentage of females, and percentage of medicated patients as regressors.

A threshold of $p < 0.005$ with peak $Z > 1$ and a cluster extent of $>10$ voxels was used for the meta-analyses and heterogeneity analyses30.

Results

Included studies and sample characteristics

The search strategy initially identified 145 studies, of which 21 studies met the inclusion criteria (summarized in Fig. 1). Our final sample comprised 389 AN patients and 410 HC. Table 1 summarizes clinical and demographic data from all included studies. Table 2 summarizes technique details from all included studies. In no study was there any significant difference in age and sex between AN and HC groups.

Voxel-wise meta-analysis

Pooled meta-analyses of all included studies (21 studies)

AN patients showed decreased GMV in the bilateral MCC and PCC, extending to bilateral SMA and precuneus. GMV was also decreased in bilateral cerebellum. No regions were identified with increased GMV in AN (Table 3 and Fig. 2).

Subgroup meta-analyses of adult AN studies (14 studies)

The adult AN subgroup showed decreased GMV in bilateral MCC and left PCC, extending to bilateral SMA and precuneus. GMV was also decreased in right fusiform gyrus and bilateral cerebellum. No regions were identified with increased GMV in AN (Table 4 and Fig. 3).

Subgroup meta-analyses of pure AN studies (12 studies)

The pure AN patient subgroup showed decreased GMV in bilateral MCC and PCC extending to bilateral SMA and precuneus. GMV was also decreased in the left amygdala and left ACC (Table 4 and Fig. 4).

Jackknife sensitivity analysis

The main findings remained largely unchanged in jackknife sensitivity analysis: the detailed results are provided in Tables 3 and 4.

Meta-regression analysis

We examined the potential effect of relevant clinical variables by means of simple linear regression using SDM. The mean age, percentage of female patients, BMI, illness duration, and percentage of medicated patients were not linearly associated with GMV changes. Limited data precluded meta-regression analysis of structural change for the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) score. We were unable to assess the relationship to AN symptom severity, because this was reported using a variety of incompatible measures.

Discussion

The present study is an up-to-date meta-analysis using the powerful technique of SDM to define the differences of GMV between AN patients and HCs, based on 21 VBM studies of 389 AN and 410 HC. There are three robust findings. First, the whole group of AN patients showed decreased GMV in bilateral MCC, PCC extending to the bilateral SMA, precuneus, and cerebellum. Second, GMV was decreased in the right fusiform gyrus only in adult AN. Third, GMV was decreased in the left amygdala and left ACC only in pure AN. Overall, the cingulate cortex, the frontal, and parietal lobes seem to be especially involved in AN.

Implications of findings in AN patients as a whole

As hypothesized, the results robustly demonstrated significantly decreased GMV in the cingulate cortex. We did not find differences in areas primarily underlying reward processing, such as the striatum, often reported as most susceptible to decreased GMV10,33,34, this difference
may be due to our larger number of studies and more accurate methodology. The key regions showing decreased GMV were the bilateral MCC, PCC extending to the bilateral precuneus, and SMA. These results accord with previous structural neuroimaging findings. What might they mean?

The MCC is involved in identifying the emotional significance of a stimulus to produce an appropriate affective state and behavioral response; the anterior sub-region of MCC seems to be particularly involved in fear and avoidance behavior, and it has greater amygdala input than other cingulate regions. AN features disturbance in both emotional and cognitive function, and the involvement of MCC could explain much of the specific symptomatology, such as inhibition, anxiety, depression, and alexithymia.

The precuneus and the PCC are key components of the default mode network (DMN), which is mainly involved in self-reflection. Studies using task-based fMRI have shown reduced DMN activity in women with AN. Thus, the alteration in DMN may point to an abnormality of the regulation of subjectivity and conscious self-monitoring, perhaps underlying these patients’ rigid cognitive strategies to control food intake, and lack of recognition of starvation.

Table 1  Demographic and clinical characteristics of subjects in the 21 voxel-based morphometry data sets included in the meta-analysis

| Study                  | Number (female) | Age (years) | Duration (years) | BMI | BDI score | Onset age (years) | Medication (%) | Comorbidity |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-----|-----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|
|                         | AN   | HC       | AN   | HC       | AN   | HC       | AN   | HC       |
| 
| Samples from adults    |                 |             |                 |     |           |                   |                |             |
| Amianto et al. (2013)  | 17(17)          | 14(14)     | 20 24 1.08      | 16.0| 21.0     | 13 NA            | Drug naive     | No          |
| Bar et al. (2015)      | 26(23)          | 26(23)     | 22.9 24 1.86    | 16.9| 21.7     | 20 NA            | Drug naive     | No          |
| Bjornsdotter et al. (2018) | 25(25)     | 25(25)     | 20.3 21.2 4.14  | 16.2| 21.6     | 26.88 NA         | S2 Yes         |
| Boghi et al. (2010)    | 21(21)          | 27(27)     | 29 30.8 11.3    | 15.5| 21.9     | NA NA            | 100 (SSRI) NA  |
| Brooks et al. (2011)   | 14(14)          | 21(21)     | 26 26 9.2       | 15.6| 21.4     | NA NA            | NA Yes         |
| Cicerale et al. (2013) | 10(10)          | 8(8)       | 22 24 1.33      | 15.9| 21.1     | NA 20            | Drug naive No  |
| D’Agata et al. (2015)  | 21(21)          | 17(17)     | 21 23 <2        | 16.1| 21.5     | NA NA            | Drug naive No  |
| Fonville et al. (2014) | 31(NA)         | 31(NA)     | 23 25 7         | 15.8| 21.8     | NA 16            | 39 Yes         |
| Friederich et al. (2011)| 12(12)        | 14(14)     | 24.3 25.6 6.3   | 15.9| 21.1     | NA NA            | Drug naive No  |
| Joos et al. (2010)     | 12(12)          | 18(18)     | 25 26.9 4.7     | 16.0| 21.2     | 26.5 NA          | 8.3 Yes        |
| Kohmura et al. (2017)  | 23(23)          | 29(29)     | 28.5 28.2 10.5  | 13.2| 21.5     | 25.3 18          | NA No          |
| Phillipou et al. (2018)| 26(26)         | 27(27)     | 22.8 22.5 6.42  | 16.6| 22.6     | NA 16.04 NA      | 100 Yes        |
| Suchan et al. (2010)   | 15(15)          | 15(15)     | 26.8 29.5 5.5   | 16.0| 22.0     | NA NA            | NA NA          |
| Van Opstal et al. (2015)| 10(10)       | 11(11)     | 22.1 20.8 3.54  | 15.6| 20.3     | NA 25            | No             |
| Samples from adolescents|               |             |                 |     |           |                   |                |             |
| Bomba et al. (2015)    | 11(11)          | 8(8)       | 13.6 13.2 1.20  | 12.7| 19.8     | NA NA            | Drug naive No  |
| Castro Fornieles et al. (2009) | 12(11) | 9(8)     | 14.5 14.6 0.69  | 14.8| NA NA     | NA 25            | Yes            |
| Frank et al. (2013)    | 19(19)          | 22(22)     | 15.4 14.8 NA    | 16.2| 21.3     | NA 58            | Yes            |
| Fujisawa et al. (2015) | 20(20)         | 14(14)     | 14.1 14.9 1.96  | 14.3| NA NA     | NA Drug naive No |
| Gaudio et al. (2011)   | 16(16)          | 16(16)     | 15.2 15.1 0.44  | 14.2| 20.2     | 14.7 100         | No             |
| Martin Monzon et al. (2017) | 26(26)    | 20(20)     | 16.5 17.2 <3    | 16.6| 22.6     | NA NA            | No             |
| Olivo et al. (2018)    | 22(22)          | 38(38)     | 14.7 14.8 0.66  | 19.3| 20.7     | NA NA            | Drug naive No  |

BMI body mass index, AN anorexia nervosa, HC health control, BDI Beck Depression Inventory, R restrictive subtype of anorexia nervosa, NA not available.
SMA volumetric decrease has previously been reported in AN. The SMA is related to the planning and control of motor actions, and plays a pivotal role in task switching, particularly in proactive behavioral switching. An impairment in this region may contribute to the patients’ cognitive-behavioral inflexibility, which may underlie their self-induced starvation. Furthermore, a task-related fMRI study of female AN patients also identified reduced sensorimotor network (SMN) activity in the SMA; this may imply that SMN impairments in AN reflect dysfunctional processing of somatosensory information regarding body size. A decrease of activation in this area may therefore facilitate the body dissatisfaction which is a core symptom of AN.

Our finding of decreased cerebellum GMV is consistent with previous studies and a recent resting state fMRI study demonstrated altered intrinsic connectivity of the cerebellar vermis in AN patients. There is accumulating evidence that the cerebellum is involved in the regulation of various visceral functions including feeding control. Patients with AN present both misperception of visceral feedback, such as feeling of fullness, and an inflexible cognitive pattern that prevents them from modifying their behavior. We hypothesize that GMV changes and dysfunctional neural patterns in the cerebellum might contribute to core symptoms of AN, such as self-induced starvation and food aversion.

**Implications of findings in the adult AN subgroup**

A noteworthy finding is decreased GMV in the right fusiform gyrus in adult AN. The fusiform gyrus is involved in body size perception and food processing, and abnormalities in it could underlie AN patients’ impaired perception of their own body, as well as their cognitive bias in food imaging and processing. There is also evidence of reduced effective connectivity between the left fusiform body area and the extrastriate body area in AN. However, because it was impossible to perform meta-analysis of the adolescent AN group, it remains unclear whether the fusiform area is more vulnerable in adult AN than in adolescent AN.

| Study                  | MRI scanner (T) | Software | Smoothing (FWHM) (mm) | p-Value | Voxels | Coordinates |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|---------|--------|-------------|
| Amianto et al. (2013)  | 1.5             | FSLVBM   | 7                     | <0.005  (uncorrected) | 60     | 10          |
| Bar et al. (2015)      | 1.5             | SPM8     | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 5           |
| Boghi et al. (2010)    | 1.0             | SPM2     | 12                    | <0.05   (FDR)          | NA     | 19          |
| Bomba et al. (2015)    | 1.5             | SPM5     | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 5           |
| Brooks et al. (2011)   | 1.5             | SPM5     | 12                    | <0.05   (FDR)          | NA     | 6           |
| Bjornsdotter et al. (2018) | 3.0       | SPM8     | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 0           |
| Cicerale et al. (2013) | 1.5             | FSLVBM   | 7                     | <0.005  (uncorrected) | 60     | 0           |
| D’Agata et al. (2015)  | 1.5             | FSLVBM   | NA                    | <0.05   (NA)           | 50     | 3           |
| Fonville et al. (2014) | 1.5             | SPM5     | 7                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 10          |
| Friederich et al. (2011)| 3.0            | SPM5     | 8                     | <0.05   (corrected)    | NA     | 8           |
| Joos et al. (2010)     | 3.0             | SPM5     | 8                     | <0.05   (corrected)    | NA     | 7           |
| Kohmura et al. (2017)  | 3.0             | SPM8     | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 9           |
| Philippou et al. (2018) | 3.0          | SPM12    | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 10          |
| Suchan et al. (2010)   | 1.5             | SPM5     | 12                    | <0.05   (FDR)          | NA     | 2           |
| Van-opstal et al. (2015)| 3.0          | FSLVBM   | NA                    | <0.05   (NA)           | NA     | 2           |
| CastroFornieles et al. (2009) | 1.5    | SPM5     | 12                    | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 6           |
| Fujisawa et al. (2015) | 3.0             | SPM8     | 12                    | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 2           |
| Gaudio et al. (2011)   | 1.5             | SPM2     | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 3           |
| MartinMonzon et al. (2017)| 3.0     | SPM12    | 6                     | <0.05   (FDR)          | NA     | 25          |
| Frank et al. (2013)    | 3.0             | SPM8     | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 12          |
| Olivo et al. (2018)    | 3.0             | SPM12    | 8                     | <0.05   (FWE)          | NA     | 0           |

*FDR* false discovery rate, *FWE* family-wise error correction, *NA* not available, *VBM* voxel-based morphometry.
| Region                         | Maximum MNI coordinates x, y, z | SDM z-score | p-Value uncorrected | Number of voxels | Cluster                                                                 | Breakdown (no. of voxels) | Jackknife sensitivity analysis |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| L median cingulate           | −4, −30, 44                     | −3.223      | −0                 | 2348            | L median cingulate (849)                                                | L median cingulate (849) | 21 of 21                      |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | R median cingulate (363)                                                | R median cingulate (363) |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | L precuneus (847)                                                       | L precuneus (847)         |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | R precuneus (269)                                                       | R precuneus (269)         |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | L supplementary motor area (250)                                       | L supplementary motor area (250) |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | L posterior cingulate gyrus (138)                                      | L posterior cingulate gyrus (138) |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | R supplementary motor area (82)                                        | R supplementary motor area (82) |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | R posterior cingulate gyrus (50)                                       | R posterior cingulate gyrus (50) |                             |
| L cerebellum                 | −28, −54, −32                   | −2.137      | 0.00114726          | 181             | L cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI, BA 37                              | L cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI, BA 37 | 18 of 21 (Amianto et al.; D’Agata et al.; Fonville et al.) |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | (96)                                                                     | (96)                      |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | L cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI (59)                                | L cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI (59) |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | L cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI, BA 19                              | L cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI, BA 19 |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | (18)                                                                     | (18)                      |                             |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | L cerebellum, crus I (8)                                                | L cerebellum, crus I (8) |                             |
| R cerebellum, crus I         | 30, −50, −22                    | −2.011      | 0.001207650         | 85              | R cerebellum, crus I (42)                                               | R cerebellum, crus I (42) | 19 of 21 (Fonville et al.; Phillipou et al.) |
|                              |                                 |             |                     |                 | R cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI (43)                                | R cerebellum, hemispheric lobule VI (43) |                             |

The jackknife sensitivity analysis column gives the number of studies whose omission does not affect the finding, and abbreviated reference citations for the remainder which do. BA Brodmann area, GM gray matter, MNI Montreal Neurological Institute Space, L left, R right, SDM seed-based d mapping.
Implications of findings in the pure AN subgroup

Nearly three-quarters of AN patients report a lifetime mood disorder, such as MDD, anxiety disorder or OCD. Investigating the pure AN patient subgroup therefore offers the best opportunity to gain evidence for neural pathology directly associated with the disease. Interestingly, the pure AN subgroup demonstrated decreased GMV in the left amygdala and the left ACC, confirming previous studies. The amygdala is involved in the expression of fear and anxiety, and also influences emotional processes, such as emotional learning and emotional regulation. Furthermore, previous fMRI research has revealed hyperactivation of the amygdala in AN patients in response to looking at their own body image. Thus, our findings suggest that morphometric alterations in amygdala may underlie an intense fear of weight gain in AN.

The ACC is involved in reward networks and affective processing. It could also be related to the deficit in set-shifting which is a neuropsychological trait in AN. In a functional MRI study of this, AN patients showed less activity in the ACC. However, we did not observe any GMV alteration in amygdala and ACC in the pooled whole-group results. The reason for this discrepancy is unclear, although it could be that changes associated with comorbidity, such as MDD, anxiety disorder, and OCD may normalize, or at least obscure, the intrinsic changes in these sensitive regions.

Implications of non-significant findings in meta-regression analysis

Although no significant correlations were found between clinical variables and GM changes, some potential factors may impact on GMV, among which illness duration and BMI were of particular interest to us.

Three studies demonstrated that illness duration was related to GM volume changes, however, this was not confirmed in other studies. These inconsistent results can be read in two ways: (1) because of heterogeneous patient groups with respect to AN subtype ratio, presence of medication, and comorbidity in the included studies, it may be that our meta-regression lacked sufficient power to detect any such effect; (2) GM changes in AN patients might emerge before the onset and continue in the same way, regardless of the duration.

Previous VBM studies reported either significant correlations or no correlations between BMI and GMV in different brain regions. It is possible that these divergent findings may simply have canceled out in our meta-analysis. Alternatively, morphological impairments might best be considered not as a direct consequence of malnutrition, but rather as a premorbid symptom of AN that accompanies neuropsychological impairments. However, these preliminary results need confirmation in more longitudinal studies.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, like most voxel-wise meta-analyses, it was based on the published coordinates rather than raw statistical brain maps, which may result in less accurate results. Second, we could not take AN-subtypes into account. The restricting subtype and the binge-purging subtype may have different etiologies, but this was impossible to investigate because the information was not available in the included studies. Third, some of patients in the meta-analysis were taking antidepressant medication, which may itself affect brain structure. Finally, although we found gray matter changes which were different from the pooled results in adult AN and pure AN, it cannot be concluded that these changes are characteristic of these subgroups, because the changes in their comparative groups (adolescent AN and AN with comorbidity) are still unknown. More studies on these subgroups are needed to reach the minimum requirement for reliable meta-analysis.
### Table 4: Regional differences in gray matter volume in AN patients compared with HC subjects identified in the two subgroup meta-analyses

| Region                  | Maximum MNI coordinates x, y, z | SDM z-score | p-Value uncorrected | Number of voxels | Jackknife sensitivity analysis |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Samples from AN adults (14 datasets)** |                                |             |                     |                  |                               |
| Decreased GMV (AN < HC) |                                  |             |                     |                  |                               |
| R fusiform gyrus        | 32, −56, −18                    | −2.113      | 0.001207650         | 137              | R fusiform (137)              | 12 of 14 (D’Agata et al.; Fonville et al.) |
| R cerebellum            | 30, −52, −22                    | −2.045      | 0.001744330         | 433              | R cerebellum (433)            | 14 of 14                                      |
| L cerebellum,           | −24, −54, −28                   | −2.432      | 0.000108361         | 898              | L cerebellum (733)            | 14 of 14                                      |
| L median cingulate      | −4, −30, 46                      | −2.455      | 0.000098050         | 839              | L median cingulate (415)      | 14 of 14                                      |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R median cingulate (84)       |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | L supplementary motor area    |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | (155)                         |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R supplementary motor area    |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | (60)                          |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R precuneus (36)              |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | L precuneus (82)              |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R posterior cingulate gyrus   |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | (7)                           |                                              |
| **Samples from pure AN patients (12 datasets)** |                                |             |                     |                  |                               |
| Decreased GMV (AN < HC) |                                  |             |                     |                  |                               |
| L supplementary motor area | −4, −18, 52                    | −2.784      | ~0                  | 1841             | L median cingulate (660)      | 12 of 12                                      |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R median cingulate (422)      |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | L supplementary motor area    |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | (335)                         |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R supplementary motor area    |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | (189)                         |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | L posterior cingulate gyrus   |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | (94)                          |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R posterior cingulate gyrus   |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | (41)                          |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | R precuneus (82)              |                                              |
|                         |                                  |             |                     |                  | L precuneus (18)              |                                              |
| L amygdala              | −30, −4, −20                     | −1.773      | 0.002250135         | 130              | L amygdala (130)              | 9 of 12 (Amianto et al., Friederich et al., MartinMonzon et al.) |
| L anterior cingulate    | 2, 38, 24                        | −1.746      | 0.002699077         | 43               | L anterior cingulate (43)     | 9 of 12 (Kohmura et al., MartinMonzon et al., Van-opstal et al.) |

The jackknife sensitivity analysis column gives the number of studies whose omission does not affect the finding, and abbreviated reference citations for the remainder which do.

- **BA**: Brodmann area
- **GM**: gray matter
- **MNI**: Montreal Neurological Institute space
- **L**: left
- **R**: right
- **SDM**: seed-based d mapping
Conclusion

The present results robustly suggest that patients with AN have significantly decreased GMV in brain regions which are involved in DMN and SMN. These structural abnormalities are consistent with previously reported functional changes, and may therefore underpin the pathophysiological alternations and thus offer some explanation of the core symptomology of AN. Future longitudinal studies in at-risk populations are needed to validate these findings and to clarify whether the observed changes are the cause or the consequence of this illness. This may help development of strategies that strengthen resilience, as well as treatments to normalize these alterations.
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