Mutational bias and the protein code shape the evolution of splicing enhancers
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Exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs) are enriched in exons relative to introns and bind splicing activators. This study considers a fundamental question of co-evolution: How did ESE motifs become enriched in exons prior to the evolution of ESE recognition? We hypothesize that the high exon to intron motif ratios necessary for ESE function were created by mutational bias coupled with purifying selection on the protein code. These two forces retain certain coding motifs in exons while passively depleting them from introns. Through the use of simulations, genomic analyses, and high throughput splicing assays, we confirm the key predictions of this hypothesis, including an overlap between protein and splicing information in ESEs. We discuss the implications of mutational bias as an evolutionary driver in other cis-regulatory systems.
Splicing refers to the process of removing long intervening sequences (i.e. introns) from pre-mRNAs and is catalyzed by the spliceosome, a large dynamic macromolecule that rivals the ribosome in complexity. Living cells are comprised of many such complex networks of interacting parts. These interactions arise from two macromolecular surfaces encoded by separate loci that co-evolved to specifically recognize and bind each other. They can be protein-protein contacts. They can also be trans-acting factors that bind a nucleic acid recognition element. Prior studies of the evolution of cis-regulatory networks often focused on later events such as the evolution of new specificity in existing trans-acting factors1-8. The evolutionary reconstruction of large families of similar transcription or splicing factors suggests that gene duplication followed by neo-functionalization was a primary mechanism for the recent expansion of trans-acting factor/cis-element networks in higher eukaryotes1-10. In contrast to later events, there is less insight into how initial recognition partnerships between trans-acting factors and cis-elements can form11-13. For example, how can a category of cis-elements acquire the correct ensemble of locations in the genome prior to the evolution of the recognition event?

This study investigates how exonic splicing enhancers (ESE) became enriched in exons relative to introns prior to their recognition by splicing activators. ESEs are short motifs that are located in exons and are necessary for pre-mRNA splicing13. A key property of ESEs is their enrichment in exons relative to introns13. ESEs are often recognized by SR proteins, a family of splicing factors that typically act as activators when bound in exonic sequence and repressors when bound in the intron13. ESEs also occur infrequently in introns. It has been demonstrated that ESE motifs disrupt splicing when relocated to an intron16-18. This result suggests that intronic occurrences of ESE motifs should be subject to purifying selection14.

The sequences that can function as exonic splicing enhancers (ESE) have been identified through a variety of methods. Some approaches are purely computational and infer k-mer function based on distribution around splice sites14,19-21, whereas other ESE models are determined empirically,22-26. A recent empirical approach was used to assign an Enrichment Index (EI) score to all possible hexamers based on their ability to enhance splicing from several exonic positions in multiple minigene substrates24.

Similar minigenes have been used to screen mutations for their ability to cause splicing defects27-30. Although most disease-causing exonic mutations are presumed to affect the protein code, approximately one in three disease-causing mutations also affect splicing21. Mutations do not occur uniformly in a sequence but are strongly influenced by sequence context31-34. Moreover, mutational biases have evolved over time35-38. In many vertebrates, CpG nucleotides are highly mutable because of cytosine methylation, where a spontaneous deamination of methylated cytosine results in a C to T transition during replication36. The CpG motif has been associated with mutational hotspots for disease39-41 and stronger purifying selection42. Because of loss to mutation, CpG dimers are depleted in all regions of the human genome, but are more abundant in exons because many of the remaining exonic CpGs are evolving under strong purifying selection42. CpGs are one example of context dependent mutation rates. More sophisticated models leveraging large variant datasets have recently been used to estimate the relative mutation rate of nucleotides based on different k-mer sequence contexts32,33.

In this study, we test the hypothesis that mutational bias in conjunction with purifying selection on the protein code created precursor ESEs (pre-ESEs), motifs that were enriched in exons relative to introns which later evolved into ESEs. As the immediate sequence context can strongly influence the probability of a mutation, we reasoned that hypermutable motifs are rapidly depleted from the genome. However, hypermutable motifs that encode important protein motifs should be retained in exons by purifying selection. Since ESEs need to be enriched in exons relative to introns, we hypothesize this passive mechanism created pre-ESEs with an ESE-like distribution to which RNA binding proteins could later adapt. If this hypothesis is correct, ESEs would be (a) hypermutable (to facilitate their depletion from introns) and (b) retained by purifying selection on the protein code. Here we demonstrate mutable sequence motifs are evolving under higher levels of purifying selection. We utilize high-throughput bio-chemical assays, genomic analyses, and simulations to show that ESEs are highly mutable sequences that have been retained in exons because of selection on their protein-coding function. The passive role of background mutational processes in shaping cis-regulatory networks is also explored for other types of recognition elements.

Results

Mutational bias and protein-based selection create pre-ESEs. Initially, simulations were used to test the hypothesis that mutational bias in conjunction with purifying selection on the protein code could create motifs that were precursors to ESEs (pre-ESEs). These pre-ESE motifs would be short k-mers that are enriched in exons relative to introns. It has recently been shown that mutation rates can vary >400-fold across different sequence contexts32 (Fig. 1a). A program was written to simulate realistic substitution probabilities in a genome of random sequence over many generations (Methods). This synthetic genome was allowed to evolve without selection (i.e. genetic drift) or with negative selection that disallows any change to the protein sequence (i.e. strict purifying selection). Substitutions were drawn in proportion to recently published estimated relative mutation (ERM) rates based on heptamer contexts32. Mutational bias has an enormous impact on sequence composition during simulated evolution. Sequence motifs, like CGTACG (Fig. 1b), associated with a high mutation rate are rapidly depleted (i.e. mutated to sequences associated with a lower mutation rate) (Fig. 1c, Non-coding, red lines), whereas sequences with low mutability, like TTTTTT (Fig. 1b), tend to accumulate in the synthetic genome (Fig. 1c, Non-coding, blue lines). Applying purifying selection by disallowing non-synonymous mutations reduces the magnitude but not direction of these changes (Fig. 1c, Protein-coding) such that the most mutable k-mer becomes ~3-fold enriched in regions under protein selection (i.e. regions analogous to coding exons) and the least mutable sequence becomes ~6-fold under-represented in regions under protein selection (Fig. 1d). This experiment demonstrates how mutational bias in conjunction with selection on the protein code can generate k-mers that have an ESE-like distribution, enriched in exons relative to introns.

To explore evidence of this phenomenon in human exons, several comparisons were undertaken. The simulation demonstrates highly mutable sequences are preferentially lost to mutation (Fig. 1c). However, the constraint of the protein code prevents the loss of some exonic sequences, leaving exons with a higher average mutation rate than introns (Fig. 2a). To test whether human exons have an elevated level of mutation relative to introns, the average mutation rate (for all three possible substitutions) at each position in human exons and introns was calculated and plotted as a function of distance from splice sites (Fig. 2b). The estimation of mean mutation rates in human exons and their flanking introns suggests human protein-coding sequence is ~25% more mutable than non-functional introns (Fig. 2b). This finding was independently validated by an analysis of a large exome variant dataset43. Rare variants occurred at sites with a higher average mutability than common variants, suggesting stronger purifying selection is
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Selection against stop gain mutations preserve ESEs. It was surprising that the correlation between hexamer composition in the simulation and human exons was strong. The stringent implementation of purifying selection on protein sequence (disallowing all non-synonymous mutations) is an obvious simplification of the selective forces under which proteins evolve. To understand the nature of the protein-based selection, a closer examination of mutations that disrupt splicing was undertaken. We reasoned that if ESEs were shaped by purifying selection on proteins, mutations that disrupt splicing should also be disruptive to the protein code. A dataset of naturally occurring de novo mutations was used because they capture endogenous mutational bias with a minimal role of selection in their ascertainment46. This dataset of 707 de novo mutations was engineered into a high-throughput splicing reporter assay and analyzed using the MaPSy protocol29 (Methods, Supplementary Data 1). Briefly, the wild-type and mutant version of the exon with intron flanks was incorporated into a splicing minigene reporter (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). All 1414 reporters were pooled for transfection into HEK 293T tissue culture cells in four replicates (Supplementary Fig. 5). Sequencing was used to estimate the skew in mutant/wild-type allelic ratio (M/W splice ratio) in the starting pool relative to the successfully spliced fraction (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Within this set of 707 exonic mutations, 54 mutations fell within the exonic portion of the 3′

The most mutable sequences in human exons are ESEs. To determine whether these highly mutable sequences enriched in exons can bind any of the numerous splicing activators present in cells, each hexamer was associated with an empirically determined enhancer activity score, the EI24. A hexamer’s EI score was found to correlate reasonably well with a hexamer’s enrichment in human exons relative to introns (Fig. 2d, $R^2 = 0.45$ positive slope). An ESE would be expected to be enriched in exons relative to introns and have a high EI score (Fig. 2d, upper right quadrant). The ERM rate of possible mutations was associated with each hexamer. The subset of hexamers predicted to possess ESE activity coincide with the hypermutable regions of the genome (Fig. 2d, red dots in upper right quadrant), suggesting that human ESEs are made of the most mutable sequences. The same effect was observed to the same (or greater) extent with two other ESE models25 (Supplementary Fig. 2). This phenomenon is not strictly a function of CpG-containing k-mers as repeating the analysis without CpG resulted in the same trend (solid line) in all studies (Supplementary Fig. 3a–c). Furthermore, the high correlation between exon enrichment in vivo and in silico (Fig. 2c) suggests that the ESE motifs observed in human exons arose spontaneously through the background mutation process in exons evolving under purifying selection. This result demonstrates ESEs are highly mutable and ESE-like distributions will form passively without requiring selective forces related to their eventual function in splicing.
**Fig. 2** Protein-coding regions exhibit higher mutability than non-coding regions. a Simulations initialized on a genome of random sequence (t_initial) were run to model evolution with or without the constraint of maintaining protein identity (t_final). Mean ERM rate (y axis) in aggregate simulation data with (exonic) or without (intronic) constraint was plotted as a function of position (Methods). b Mean ERM rate (y axis) in aggregate human exon and intron data was plotted as a function of distance from annotated 3′ and 5′ splice sites. c Hexamers enriched in protein-coding versus non-coding simulations are positively correlated with hexamers enriched in human exons versus introns (Pearson’s correlation test, p < 2.2 × 10⁻¹⁶, n = 4096 hexamers), and exhibit higher mutability (greater ERM rate quintiles). d Mutable motifs are enriched in exons versus introns and exhibit high ESE activity: hexamers with higher exonic splicing enhancer activity, as measured by EI scores (x axis), are positively correlated with higher enrichment in human exons versus introns (y axis) (Pearson’s correlation test, p < 2.2 × 10⁻¹⁶, n = 4096 hexamers), and exhibit higher mutability (greater ERM rate quintiles). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

and 5′ splice site regions (i.e. the first and final 3 nucleotides of the exon). As expected, this class of variant was associated with the highest degree of disrupted splicing. Scoring both allelic versions for agreement to the splice site consensus indicated that a decrease in splicing occurs when the mutation reduces the consequence of protein selection as a driver of ESE evolution is that certain protein motifs should be associated with splicing. To determine whether such motifs exist, each exonic occurrence of a hexamer was mapped and translated in the appropriate reading frame. The two amino acids with the greatest overlap with the hexamer was associated with the hexamer’s EI score. An average EI score for all 400 possible amino acid pairs was encoded the amino acid pair in the human genome. Some amino acid pairs are overrepresented in the proteome (i.e. occur more frequently than the product of their single amino acid frequencies). It is possible that these amino acid pairs are enriched because of the need to encode ESEs. It is also possible these amino acid pair enrichments reflect nearest-neighbor correlations driven by requirements of protein structure. We reasoned that protein-based patterns would also occur in prokaryotic proteomes, whereas enrichments driven by splicing would be restricted to species that had introns. To make this distinction, an amino acid pair’s EI score was compared to its enrichment in the human proteome and also an aggregate of non-splicing proteomes (Methods). Amino acid pairs associated with high ESE activity are enriched in the human proteome but not in non-splicing bacterial proteomes (Fig. 4a). The greatest observed difference in enrichment in amino acid pairs are consecutive occurrences of glutamic acid, EE (Fig. 4a). This amino acid pair corresponds to the classic GARGAR ESE motif14.

**Mutation’s effects on protein and splicing are correlated.** One consequence of protein selection as a driver of ESE evolution is that certain protein motifs should be associated with splicing. To determine whether such motifs exist, each exonic occurrence of a hexamer was mapped and translated in the appropriate reading frame. The two amino acids with the greatest overlap with the hexamer was associated with the hexamer’s EI score. An average EI score for all 400 possible amino acid pairs was encoded the amino acid pair in the human genome. Some amino acid pairs are overrepresented in the proteome (i.e. occur more frequently than the product of their single amino acid frequencies). It is possible that these amino acid pairs are enriched because of the need to encode ESEs. It is also possible these amino acid pair enrichments reflect nearest-neighbor correlations driven by requirements of protein structure. We reasoned that protein-based patterns would also occur in prokaryotic proteomes, whereas enrichments driven by splicing would be restricted to species that had introns. To make this distinction, an amino acid pair’s EI score was compared to its enrichment in the human proteome and also an aggregate of non-splicing proteomes (Methods). Amino acid pairs associated with high ESE activity are enriched in the human proteome but not in non-splicing bacterial proteomes (Fig. 4a). The greatest observed difference in enrichment in amino acid pairs are consecutive occurrences of glutamic acid, EE (Fig. 4a). This amino acid pair corresponds to the classic GARGAR ESE motif14.

EE is by far the most abundant amino acid ESE motif and the second most abundant amino acid pair in the human proteome. Simulating the mutation process using human exons...
Mutational bias and the protein code drive evolution of ISEs. The observation that selection on the protein code coupled with mutational bias can create pre-ISEs raises questions about other types of gene expression signals. For example, intronic splicing enhancers (ISE) are enriched in introns relative to exons. Our initial exploration of the effects of mutation rate on intron/exon sequence composition suggests sequences of low mutability tend to accumulate in introns (Fig. 1c, blue line). Reimplementing the analysis of Fig. 2a confirms intronically enriched motifs that possess predicted ISE activity have a low level of mutability (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 3d, e). This offers further examples of how selection against variants that alter the protein code can shape the evolution of non-coding signals.

A model for ESE and ISE evolution. In summary, we present the hypothesis that mutational bias in conjunction with selection on the protein code gave rise to pre-ISE (and pre-ISE) motifs with exonic (and intronic) distributions to which splicing activators later adapted their binding specificity (Fig. 6). A mathematical model of motifs evolving with varying degrees of mutational bias and selection (Supplementary Note 1) demonstrates that both forces are required to generate pre-ISE (and pre-ISE) distributions (Supplementary Fig. 8). As mutational bias increases, motif enrichment in introns becomes more variable (Supplementary Fig. 8a). However, as strength of purifying selection increases, motif enrichment in exons becomes more constrained and less variable (Supplementary Fig. 8b), resulting in greater differences in motif enrichment in exons versus introns (Supplementary Fig. 8c). The main ESE, the GARGAR motif, illustrates both of these properties (i.e. strong selection against and high mutation rate for stop gains). It is interesting to consider the utility of high mutability in reducing background occurrences of a signal sequence, and perhaps consider other major signals, such as CpG islands in vertebrate promoters, as additional examples of this phenomenon. It is somewhat counterintuitive to regard certain classes of functional elements as intrinsically more fragile than others. There is great interest for clinical genetics in predicting the splicing effects of variants outside the canonical splice sites. This work suggests exonic splicing mutations may occur more frequently than intronic splicing mutations in clinical genetics.
**Fig. 4 ESE activity is associated with specific amino acid pairs.** a All 4096 hexamers were associated with exonic splicing enhancer activity (mean EI) and the amino acid (AA) doublet motifs they encode in genomes with splicing (human) or without splicing (bacteria). The enrichment of AA pairs in human relative to bacterial genomes was analyzed as a function of mean EI score. AA pairs with EI score >0.3 and human enrichment >1 are highlighted (upper right). Cubic polynomial regression (95% confidence band). b EE corresponds to the GARGAR ESE. The mutability of GARGAR mutations at each position was plotted (mean ERM rate based on an average over flanking contexts of GARGAR in the human genome). Stop gains have high mutability (black boxes). c–e All possible mutations in the human genome were binned by their predicted effect on protein function, scored for their change in ESE activity (mean ΔEI), and assigned to the amino acid pair in which they belong. Severity of splice disruption was found to be concordant with severity of protein disruption (synonymous < missense < stop gain) for c EE/GARGAR (n = 8 synonymous, 56 missense, 8 stop mutations), d high EI (>0.3) (n = 306 synonymous, 980 missense, 64 stop gain mutations), e but not low (<0.3) EI AA pairs (n = 16,010 synonymous, 46,732 missense, 2742 stop gain mutations). c–e The boxplots indicate the median (middle line), first and third quartiles (box), and 1.5× IQR (whiskers); pairwise p-values from Mann–Whitney two-tailed test. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Fig. 5 Mutational bias and selection on the protein code shape the evolution of ISEs.** Scores of intronic splicing enhancer (ISE) activity (x axes) are negatively correlated with motif-level mutability (ERM rate quintiles) and exonic versus intronic enrichment in the human genome (y axis). This is the opposite of the positive correlation seen in Fig. 2c, d and Supplementary Fig. 2a, b for ESEs. Rosenberg a intronic A3SS and b intronic A5SS scores of ISE activity are based on the effect (log2 odds ratio) of intronic hexamers on alternative 3′/5′ splice site usage as measured by high-throughput minigene experiments. a, b Squared Pearson’s correlations (n = 4096 hexamers). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
Although the effects of these uncertainties can be explored by stringency of selection varies across and even within proteins. Moreover, the ever reaches a mutation-selection equilibrium. Moreover, the functional bias, and there is evidence for evolution in mutational resolution is that splicing assays return both mutations that create missense mutations (Fig. 3). One explanation for this loss of against stop gain mutations is a primary driver of ESE evolution, but a signature of protein-based selection was not seen for synonomous mutations have been shown to disrupt splicing and contribute to disease\textsuperscript{38,30,53,54}, and studies of selective constraint\textsuperscript{48,49,51} and distributions of fitness effects\textsuperscript{55} support the functional role of synonomous sites in ESEs. In addition, ESEs, once established, will co-evolve with their trans-acting partners due to selection on the splicing phenotype\textsuperscript{2,5}, and differences in genetic drift and genome size across eukaryotic lineages can affect the efficacy of mutation and selection processes\textsuperscript{46}. Thus, there are many additional forces that shape the evolution of the splicing code. Nevertheless, mutational bias and protein selection should continue to act passively to maintain favorable ESE distributions, and changes to either can provide novel opportunities for ESE evolution.

For non-coding recognition elements, we propose mutational bias can play a profound, indirect role in their evolution. Although the co-evolution of an element and the binding specificity of an activator is important, functional specificity is impossible if the element occurs ubiquitously. The emergence of well-known recognition elements such as CpG islands and ESEs may have been driven by their mutability, which conferred a high signal-to-noise ratio by ensuring a low frequency of occurrence in the genomic background.

**Methods**

**Estimated relative mutation (ERM) rates.** Estimated relative mutation (ERM) rates for heptamers were downloaded from a previous study\textsuperscript{52}. Each heptamer is associated with three ERM rates for each of the three single nucleotide changes at the middle position (e.g. AAAA[T][AAA], AAA[CA][AAA], AAA[G]AAA). Mean hexamer-level ERM rates were calculated as the average of heptamer-level ERM rates over all 18 possible single nucleotide changes in the hexamer (3 mutations for each position), and assuming uniform occurrence of all ±3 nt flanking sequence contexts (e.g. NNN[AAAAA][NNN]).

**Exonic and intronic splicing enhancer scores.** Hexamer-level scores of ESE/ESE activity based on high-throughput minigene assays were downloaded from two previous studies. Enrichment index (EI) scores were downloaded from a previous study\textsuperscript{24}. Rosenberg scores of ESE (exonic A3SS, exonic A5SS) and ISE (intronic A3SS, intronic A5SS) activity\textsuperscript{56} were recalculated by running Notebook 4: Estimating Motif Effects available at https://github.com/Alex-Rosenberg/cell-2015/tree/master/python/.

**Simulations with mutational bias and protein selection.** Simulations were initialized on a genome of 5000 random DNA sequences each of length 999 nt. Each sequence was translated into their corresponding amino acid sequence for simulations with selection. Sequences were evolved one substitution at a time. In each time step, a position was mutated as follows: Step (1) each position was assigned the mean ERM rate of the three possible single nucleotide changes based on current heptamer context; Step (2) a position was selected to mutate based on its ERM rate relative to that of other positions; Step (3) a mutant allele was selected based on the ERM rates of the three possible single nucleotide changes; Step (4a) in simulations with protein-coding constraint, a mutant allele is introduced if it does not change the amino acid sequence and if it does not create a stop codon, otherwise the mutation is rejected; Step (4b) in simulations without constraint, the mutation is introduced. Sequences were circularized in silico to account for edge effects at positions ±3 nt from the ends.

As the simulations progressed, the state of the simulation was recorded at time intervals of 0.2 mutations per base (mutations per base = number of mutations introduced scaled by the total length of sequences in base pairs) up to 10 mutations.

---

**Fig. 6 A two-step model for the evolutionary origins of ESEs and ISEs.** (Step 1) Genomes consist of a mixture of more mutable (red) and less mutable (blue) motifs. More mutable motifs are removed from the genome over time and replaced by less mutable motifs (arrows from red to blue). In coding exons, more mutable motifs may instead be retained by purifying selection acting to preserve the protein sequence (blocked arrows from red to blue motifs). Thus, mutation and selection jointly drive a skewed enrichment of motifs in exons relative to introns. More mutable motifs become enriched in exons (pre-ESEs) and less mutable motifs become enriched in introns (pre-ISEs). (Step 2) the initial evolution of binding specificity between splicing factors and cis-elements should be predicated on the background motif distributions shaped by mutational bias and protein-level selection. Exonic splicing activators (pink) will tend to evolve to bind pre-ESEs, which are already enriched in exons. Intronic splicing activators (green) will tend to evolve to bind pre-ISEs, which are already enriched in introns.

**Discussion**

We present a parsimonious model for the evolution of exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs). Counter to intuition, purifying selection leaves functional elements more mutable than non-functional regions of the genome. Constraint imposed by purifying selection on the protein code\textsuperscript{47}. High-throughput splicing analysis indicated selection against stop gain mutations is a primary driver of ESE evolution, but a signature of protein-based selection was not seen for missense mutations (Fig. 3). One explanation for this loss of resolution is that splicing assays return both mutations that create silencers and mutations that disrupt enhancers. Analogously, mutation in enriched amino acid pairs is instead focused on disruption of positive signals (i.e. ESEs), and in this analysis it can be seen that missense mutations disrupt splicing more than synonomous variants (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 7). It has also recently been shown that exons co-regulated by the same splicing factors have similar nucleotide composition bias and code for physiochemically similar amino acids\textsuperscript{52}. Together, these results support the predicted overlap between protein and splicing codes in ESEs. After the establishment of a network of ESEs and their trans-acting splicing factors, it is certainly possible that the splicing code has broadened to include information at synonomous sites. Synonymous mutations have been shown to disrupt splicing and contribute to disease\textsuperscript{38,30,53,54}, and studies of selective constraint\textsuperscript{48,49,51} and distributions of fitness effects\textsuperscript{55} support the functional role of synonomous sites in ESEs. In addition, ESEs, once established, will co-evolve with their trans-acting partners due to selection on the splicing phenotype\textsuperscript{2,5}, and differences in genetic drift and genome size across eukaryotic lineages can affect the efficacy of mutation and selection processes\textsuperscript{46}. Thus, there are many additional forces that shape the evolution of the splicing code. Nevertheless, mutational bias and protein selection should continue to act passively to maintain favorable ESE distributions, and changes to either can provide novel opportunities for ESE evolution.

For non-coding recognition elements, we propose mutational bias can play a profound, indirect role in their evolution. Although the co-evolution of an element and the binding specificity of an activator is important, functional specificity is impossible if the element occurs ubiquitously. The emergence of well-known recognition elements such as CpG islands and ESEs may have been driven by their mutability, which conferred a high signal-to-noise ratio by ensuring a low frequency of occurrence in the genomic background.
cDNA was generated with SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase. RNA was extracted using TRIzol (ThermoFisher) and treated with DNase.

To vary mutational bias, the ERM rates used in Step 1 of the simulation were modified as follows: Step (1) the ERM rates were log-transformed; Step (2) the log-transformed ERM rates were multiplied by a mutational bias parameter (1: original mutational bias, 0: no mutational bias, 0.5: reduced mutational bias, and 2: increased mutational bias); Step (3) the rescaled transformed ERM rates were inverse-log-transformed. This approach was used because: (a) it allows for the dynamic range of mutation rates (ERM rates) between the most and least mutable heptamers to be tuned with a single scaling parameter (the mutational bias parameter); (b) it preserves non-negativity and the rank order of ERM rates; and (c) it returns the original ERM rates when the mutational bias parameter is 1 and uniform ERM rates when it is 0. In Supplementary Fig. 9c, d, simulations were instead initialized on a genome of human exonic sequences based on UniProt SwissProt coding domain sequences for 21,328 protein-coding genes downloaded from the UCSC Table Browser. UniProt SwissProt intron sequences from the UCSC Table Browser were also used to code genome-wide means ERM rates.

ERM rates and EI scores of genomic regions. GENCODE V17 exon-intron coordinates and sequences were downloaded from the UCSC Table Browser. Genomic windows were defined to the exon and intron of each 3′ and 5′ splice site were annotated for their ERM rate. All positions were assigned an ERM rate based on the heptamer sequence spanning +3 to −3 nt of the given position. For exonic or intronic positions within 3 nt of an exon boundary, the heptamer was extended into the neighboring intron or exon. For a given position relative to the exon boundaries, ERM rates associated with that position were averaged across genome-wide.

For simulated exons and introns, ~1/3 of the 5000 sequences were used as middle exons (using the simulations with protein-coding constraint), and ~2/3 of the remaining sequences were used as flanking introns (using the simulations without constraint). Each sequence was trimmed to the first 300 nt. Distinct triples of intron, exon, intron sequences were concatenated into 900 nt sequences (these are analogous to the concatenation of 3′ and 5′ genomic windows based on GENCODE exons). For a given pseudo-position from 1 to 900, ERM rates associated with that position were averaged across all concatenated sequences.

De novo variant splicing assay. De novo variants from the Simons Simplex Collection were downloaded and mapped to the human reference GRCh37/hg19. 707 variants that mapped to exons ≤115 nt in length were selected for the splicing assay (Supplementary Fig. 4). A 180 nt window of endogenous sequence, which includes the exon of interest and either the wild-type or mutant allele, 15 nt of the downstream intron, and at least 50 nt of the upstream intron, was flanked by 25 nt primer sequences (forward primer: 5′-GTCCACATCTGTTGATGCTGGCC-3′, reverse primer: 5′-ACCGTGCACCTACCGAAATCTCCTTA-3′), yielding a 230-mer oligonucleotide ligated by Agilent 1500 walls to the template. The in vivo splicing minigene reporter construct includes a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter, exon 7 of ACTN4 exon with part of its downstream intron, the 230-mer de novo library, exon 10 of ACTN4 with intron 9 and the bGH poly(A) signal sequence (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Common sequences (everything except the 230-mer de novo library) were concatenated by overlapping PCR and cloned with TOPO TA (Invitrogen) to generate a 5′ common sequence and a 3′ common sequence. Equimolar amounts of the 5′ common sequences, the 3′ common sequences, and the oligonucleotide library (de novo 230-mers) were concatenated in a single PCR reaction. The PCR product was purified and size selected with Agencourt AMPure beads (Beckman Coulter). The in vivo minigene constructs were transfected into human embryonic kidney HEK 293T cells obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC® CRL-3216) in four cell culture replicates using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) in a 6-well plate. HEK 293T is not listed in the ICLAC Register of Misidentified Cell Lines (v10), and was confirmed mycoplasma free in previous passage. Thirty hours after transfection, RNA was extracted using TRIzol (ThermoFisher) and treated with DNase (Invitrogen). cDNA was generated with SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random 9-mers. 20 cycles of PCR reactions (GoTaq, Promega) were carried out using the cDNA as template. Input minigene reporter sequences (de novo replicates) and output spliced species for each of the four transfection were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 (2 × 150). Reads from input and output sequencing were aligned to minigene reporter sequences using the STAR aligner (version 2.5.1b). For input alignment, split reads were not allowed, whereas for output alignment, split reads were allowed, DAF was not allowed, but whereas for output alignment, split reads were allowed, DAF was not allowed, but whereas for output alignment, split reads were allowed.

Analysis of nonsense-mediated decay (NMD). MSy data for disease-causing mutations in HGMD and in vitro splicing assay results for stop gain mutations should not be affected by NMD (which occurs in the cytoplasm), whereas NMD may be present in vivo (depending on if the minigene preserves the native reading frame of the exon).

De novo variants were annotated with Agencourt AMPure beads (Beckman Coulter). The in vivo minigene constructs were transfected into human embryonic kidney HEK 293T cells obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC® CRL-3216) in four cell culture replicates using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) in a 6-well plate. HEK 293T is not listed in the ICLAC Register of Misidentified Cell Lines (v10), and was confirmed mycoplasma free in previous passage. Thirty hours after transfection, RNA was extracted using TRIzol (ThermoFisher) and treated with DNase (Invitrogen). cDNA was generated with SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random 9-mers. 20 cycles of PCR reactions (GoTaq, Promega) were carried out using the cDNA as template. Input minigene reporter sequences (de novo replicates) and output spliced species for each of the four transfection were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 (2 × 150). Reads from input and output sequencing were aligned to minigene reporter sequences using the STAR aligner (version 2.5.1b). For input alignment, split reads were not allowed, whereas for output alignment, split reads were allowed, DAF was not allowed, but whereas for output alignment, split reads were allowed, DAF was not allowed, but whereas for output alignment, split reads were allowed.

Annotations of splicing assay variants. MalSy data for de novo mutations generated in this study were combined with previously published high-throughput splicing data from a study of disease-causing variants in HGMD (original MalSy paper, and two studies of ExAC exome variants (Vex-seq and MFASS). All variants were annotated for their functional effect using SnpEff tool (version 4.3T) with default parameters and the -canon option.

Amino acid pair enrichment in humans versus bacteria. Using human exonic sequences from the Consensus Coding Sequence (CCDS) Project, each occurrence of a heptamer was identified. The two codons with the largest overlap with the heptamer in the reading frame were translated into a human acid acid pair and associated with that heptamer instance. The mean EI score for each amino acid pair was determined by taking the mean of the EI scores of the ensemble of associated hexamers weighted the number of times the hexamer was translated to that particular amino acid pair.

Amino acid pair enrichment in humans versus bacteria. Using human exonic sequences from the Consensus Coding Sequence (CCDS) Project, each occurrence of a heptamer was identified. The two codons with the largest overlap with the heptamer in the reading frame were translated into a human acid acid pair and associated with that heptamer instance. The mean EI score for each amino acid pair was determined by taking the mean of the EI scores of the ensemble of associated hexamers weighted the number of times the hexamer was translated to that particular amino acid pair.

ΔEI of mutation types by amino acid pairs. Each amino acid pair can be coded by multiple codon pairs. Each codon pair can be mutated at each of three different nucleotides. For each of these possible mutations, we labeled the mutation as synonymous, misense, or stop gain; we computed a mean ERM rate for the mutation based on the heptamer contexts of all relevant positions in the human genome; and we computed a mean ΔEI score for the mutation as follows: each mutation was assigned the EI scores of the two hexamers where the mutation occurs in the third or fourth position, the difference between the mean EI score of the mutated and unmuted hexamers was assigned to that mutation, and finally the mean ΔEI was calculated over all relevant potential mutations in the human genome. We plot the distribution of mean ΔEI score for synonymous, misense, and stop gain mutation classes for individual amino acid acids (Figs. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 7), and aggregates of amino acid pairs with high or low mean ΔEI scores (Figs. 4d, e).

Statistical methods. Statistical tests were performed using R software (version 3.6.1) for Pearson’s correlation tests and Mann–Whitney two-tailed tests handled in figure legends.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.
Data availability
The authors declare the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its supplementary files, and are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The source data underlying Figs. 2c, d, 3a–d, 4a–e, 5a, b and Supplementary Figs. 1, 2a, 3a–e, 4a, 5a–g, 6, and 7 are provided as a Source Data File. Other datasets referenced in this study are available from the following web links: ERM rates [https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-018-05936-5#Sec22], ES scores [https://genome.cshlp.org/content/21/8/16002_supp/DCl], Rosenberg intronic and AXS AX55 scores [https://github.com/Alex-Rosenberg/cell-2015/blob/master/ipyrhon_notebooks/Cell2015_N4_Motif_Effect_Sizes.py], ExA variant annotations [https://github.com/macarthur-lab/exac_2015], MaxEntScan scores [http://hollywood.mit.edu/burgelab/maxent/MxaxentScan_scores.html], MapPsy in vivo and in vivo splicing assay results for HGMD pathogenic variants [http://fairbrother.biomed.brown.edu/data/bulk_download.txt], Vex-seq splicing assay results [https://github.com/scottiadomson/Vex-seq/blob/master/processed_files/delta_PSI_values.txt], and MAFSS splicing assay results [https://github.com/KourilLab/MAFSS/blob/master/processed_data/snv/snv_data_clean.txt]. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability
All custom scripts for the evolutionary simulations and the mathematical model are available at https://github.com/stephenrong/mutation-paper (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3727198, released under the MIT License). Source data are provided with this paper.
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