The roles of DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation at short interspersed nuclear elements in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus during puberty
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INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is characterized by a myriad of physical and biological changes driven by release of pubertal hormones, which are triggered by activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis. Hypothalamic gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), which is secreted in the preoptic area in a pulsatile manner during sleep, can stimulate the pituitary gland to release substantial amounts of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH), which can facilitate gonadarche and stimulate sex steroid hormone production.

The discovery of the kisspeptin system showed that the KISS-1 metastasis suppressor (Kiss1) and its receptor Kiss1r (also known as Gpr54) contribute to activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis during puberty. The exocrine kisspeptin secreted from the arcuate nucleus (ARC), anteroventral periventricular nucleus, and the preoptic area interacts with Kiss1r on the membrane surface of GnRH neurons, which further activates canonical transient receptor potential (TRPC)-like potassium channels and continuously depolarizes GnRH neurons. Over the past decade, cellular insights into the Kiss1r/Kiss1 regulatory axis in GnRH activation have attracted attention for explaining the mechanism of puberty initiation.

Epigenetics is a powerful mechanism that can control gene expression in a temporal and spatial manner. Previous studies have suggested that DNA methylation, the most acknowledged epigenetic modification, shows a puberty-specific pattern in the promoters Kiss1 and Kiss1r. However, the genomic demethylation processes during puberty onset are largely unknown. DNA hydroxymethylation has been suggested as an intermediate of the active DNA demethylation process catalyzed by the ten-eleven translocation (TET) family of proteins. TET2 has been implicated in GnRH transcription activation and maintenance of reproductive function. In addition to epigenetic regulation of certain gene promoters, DNA methylation and demethylation contribute to maintenance of genomic integrity in somatic cells, in part through silencing or activation of transposable retroelements (REs). REs are found predominantly in the human genome, and approximately 13% are short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), 20% are long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), and 8% are long-terminal repeats (LTRs).
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promoters containing CpG islands are densely methylated in adult somatic cells, but in many types of cancer, global hypomethylation of genomic elements, including REs, is implicated in disease progression, especially metastasis, indicating that DNA methylation and de-methylation in REs are associated with organ development and cell differentiation.

To better understand the mechanisms surrounding epigenetic regulation of REs underlying pubertal initiation, we investigated the global DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation landscape as well as the transcriptome in a female mouse ARC at the early (4 weeks of age, postnatal) and late (8 weeks of age, postnatal) pubertal stages. Our previous study described DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation of coding genes spanning puberty. In this study, we focused on REs associated with differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and studied the connection between DNA hydroxymethylation and DNA methylation of REs as well as their target gene expression. Additionally, the mouse hypothalamic GnRH neuron cell line GT1-7, a genetically edited cell line that has high expression of the mouse hypothalamic GnRH neuron cell line GT1-7, a genetically edited cell line that has high expression of Kiss1r in response to kisspeptin stimulation and stably produces GnRH, has been used widely to study neuroendocrine regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis. In this study, GT1-7 cells were employed to establish an in vitro model for deficiency of SINEs near Kiss1r using CRISPR-Cas9 and to detect transcription of Kiss1r after DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) and TET knockdown. Our data shed light on a novel mechanism of pubertal gene expression modulated by DNA hydroxymethylation of REs.

RESULTS
Overview of DNA hydroxymethylation and methylation on REs in the ARC during puberty
Initially, we investigated changes in 5-methylcytosine (5mC) and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment at the promoters of protein-coding genes. However, the DNA hydroxymethylation and DNA methylation signatures in non-coding regions, such as transposons that are distributed in cis-regulatory elements, can also be implicated in transcription during embryonic development or disease progression, but their association with gene expression during puberty has not yet been revealed. First we summarized the REs with significantly different 5mC levels between ARCs of 4 and 8 weeks of age (Figure 1A). We found that 30.4% of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) (fold change [FC] > 10% or < −10%, false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.05) were distributed in repetitive elements, including 584 LINEs, 93 low-complexity sequences, 408 LTRs, 4 satellites, 200 simple repeats, and 522 SINEs, between 4- and 8-week-old ARCs. Similarly, 51.8% of differentially hydroxymethylated regions (DHMRs) (log2 FC > 1 or < −1, FDR < 10⁻⁴) containing 22,555 LINEs, 7,539 low-complexity sequences, 24,233 LTRs, 360 satellites, 17,872 simple repeats, and 46,044 SINEs were observed in 4- and 8-week-old ARCs, as shown in Figure 1B. We found that LINES, SINES, and LTRs comprise the majority of variable DNA-hydroxymethylated and DNA-methylated REs. Moreover, the variation in DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation had a completely opposite tendency in REs, especially SINES (χ² = 231.92, p < 0.001) between 4- and 8-week-old ARCs. On the other hand, 0.037% and 2.4% of the REs underwent DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation reprogramming, respectively, of a total of 4.9 million REs annotated by REBASE (https://www.girinst.org/repbase/update/index.html) of the Genetic Information Research Institute (Figure 1C). SINES were still the most variable RE, followed by LTRs and LINES. These results suggest that genomic REs undergo DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation across puberty and that the variations in 5hmC and 5mC patterns in these repetitive elements might not be random events but may participate in modeling gene expression during puberty.

The role of DNA methylation of REs at the coding regions in regulating gene expression
We further analyzed the positions of the REs with differential DNA hydroxymethylation (DHMRs) and DNA methylation (DMRs), and found that 46.0% of DMREs and 51.4% of DHMRs were located near or in DEGs (log2 FC > 1 or < −1, p < 0.05), which were closely associated with synapse connection, neuron development, hormone response, and epigenetic regulation (Figures 2A and 2B). Unexpectedly, DNA methylation of REs at promoters showed a strong positive correlation with gene expression (Pearson correlation coefficient [r] = 0.473, p < 0.001) (Figure 2C, Table S1), whereas the correlation between DNA methylation of REs in exons and RNA levels had no...
statistical significance ($p > 0.05$). However, 5’ UTRs and “downstream elements” (within 3 kb downstream of the 3’ UTR) were too few for the correlation coefficient to be determined statistically (Figure 2C). Thus, DNA hydroxymethylation of REs failed to correlate with gene expression (Figure S1). The positive correlation between DNA methylation of REs in gene promoters and gene expression was likely contradictory to the conventional understanding that the transcription of adjacent genes is usually inactivated by the DNA methylation of SINEs.16

Htr6 expression was controlled by methylation of SINEs in vitro

Previous studies have revealed that 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin), a metabolite of tryptophan, mediated through 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors (HTRs), acts on components of the hypothalamus-hypophysis-gonadal axis and induces a delay in sexual development in mammals, whereas HTR antagonists can exert a potent inhibitory responses to facilitate pubertal maturation during postnatal development.17,18 In the current sequencing data, Htr6 was significantly downregulated ($\log_{2} FC = -2$, FDR < 0.001), accompanied by an extremely hypomethylated SINE within its promoter region (chromosome 4 [chr4]: 139,075,874–139,075,961; the genomic coordinates in this study were all referred to as GRCm38) ($FC = -14.5\%$, $p = 0.005$) in 8-week-old ARCs compared with that in 4-week-old ARCs.

To study the epigenetic mechanism of REs during the pubertal process, transcription of Htr6, potentially regulated by DNA methylation of SINEs, was investigated in mouse hypothalamic GnRH-producing GT1-7 cells. First, GT1-7 cells were treated with Dnmt1, Dnmt3a, and Dnmt3b small interfering RNA (siRNA) (Figures S2A and S2B). We
failed to find any significant changes in DNA methylation in the two CpG islands at the Htr6 promoter (Figure 3A; Figure S3). Similarly, the only CpG site of a certain SINE within the Htr6 promoter presented a slight change in methylation because of Dnmt RNA interference (Figure 3B; Figure S4), indicating that DNA methylation of this SINE might not be regulated by DNMTs. Interestingly, when GT1-7 cells with the “CG” locus deleted from this SINE (chr4: 139,075,888–139,075,889) (GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO1) were prepared using a CRISPR-Cas9 system (Figure S5A), we determined that Dnmt1 made a greater contribution to DNA methylation on promoters compared with Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b (Figure 3C; Figure S6), and a reduction in Dnmt1 treatment levels could enhance expression of Htr6 in GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO1 cells (Figures 3D and 3E). These results suggest that SINE methylation affects Dnmt1-mediated promoter methylation and Htr6 expression in GT1-7 cells. Moreover, GT1-7 cells were treated with 10 mg/mL L-tryptophan for 4 h to block GnRH secretion. We observed that, after tryptophan treatment, the promoter of Htr6 became hypomethylated in wild-type GT1-7 cells while still maintaining hypermethylation in GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO1 cells (Figure 3F; Figure S7). Htr6 expression was
also upregulated in wild-type GT1-7 cells after tryptophan treatment but not in GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO1 cells (Figures 3G and 3H). DNA methylation of SINEs was likely an independent mechanism of action of Dnmt that suppressed DNA methylation of the promoter of Htr6.

**Dnmt1 recruitment to the promoter of Htr6 excluded by methylated SINE via NR5A2**

The consensus DNA motifs of 83 REs whose DNA methylation was positively correlated with transcription of adjacent genes were analyzed. Given the SINE sequence (Figure 4A), nuclear receptor subfamily 5 group A member 2 (NR5A2) was considered the top binding protein by a search of the JASPAR database (http://jaspar.genereg.net/).19 Previous studies have reported that members of the NR5A family of proteins are early gonadal markers that regulate adrenal and gonadal development and function in vivo.20,21 Enrichment of NR5A2 and DNMT1 at the promoter of Htr6 was investigated via a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR assay (Figure 4B). We determined that NR5A2 was substantially enriched at the promoter of NR5A2 and DNMT1 in GT1-7 cells by ChIP-qPCR assay. 

†, ectopic overexpression; ††, RNA interference. The qPCR data are presented as the mean ± SEM of three separate experiments. *p < 0.05 compared with L-tryptophan treatment. #p <0.05, representing significant differences between NR5A2 overexpression or blocking treatment.

**Effect of 5hmC of SINEs on enhancers and gene expression in the ARC during pubertal maturation**

In addition to the transcribed genes, enhancers are also considered to play a distal regulatory role in gene expression. Neuron-specific enhancers were annotated by “Enhanceratlas.”22 For DMRs, only five SINEs and one simple repeat linked with the promoters of UCK2, selenoprotein (SELENOW), PRDM10, and MAP1B were likely to be involved with the annotated enhancers. PRDM10 has been reported previously as an essential transcription factor for maintaining global translation during cell differentiation and ontogenesis.23,24 MAP1B is crucial for synaptic maturation, including dendritic spine and axon development.25,26 However, for DHMREs, 10,685 enhancers containing 38,405 REs (5,302 LINEs, 6,802 LTRs, 2,812 low complexity sequences, 114 satellite repeats, 16,844 SINEs) showed significant differential hydroxymethylation (Figure 5A). In particular, the change in 5hmC in SINEs rather than other REs at the enhancers was positively correlated with the nearest gene expression between 8-week and 4-week ARCs (r = 0.615, p = 0.016) (Figure 5B).

**Correlation between chromatin accessibility and hydroxymethylated SINEs in enhancers**

To further investigate the role of hydroxymethylated REs at the enhancers in epigenetic regulation of gene transcription, assay for transposase-accessible chromatin (ATAC-seq) was employed to study the change in chromatin accessibility during puberty. The insert fragment size distribution of the ATAC-seq libraries indicates good quality control of these samples (Figure S9). The coverage of most genomic regions in the 4- and 8-week ARCs were annotated in the distal intergenic regions (Figures 5C and 5D; Figure S10). Combined with reduced representation hydroxymethylation profiling (RRHP) data, we focused on REs in enhancers and determined that the significant changes in chromatin accessibility (log2 FC > 0.585 or ≤-0.585, p < 0.05) of SINEs were positively correlated with changes in 5hmC (r = 0.638, p = 0.009) (Figure 5E). In other words, an increase in DNA hydroxymethylated REs was usually accompanied by open chromatin in enhancer regions, such as Kiss1, Agmat, Slitrk1, and Srp9 (Figure 5F; Table S2).

**Figure 4. Effect of NR5A2 on SINE methylation at the Htr6 promoter**

(A) DNA motif analysis of SINEs whose DNA methylation was positively correlated with transcription of adjacent genes using the JASPAR tool.

(B) The occupancy of NR5A2 and DNMT1 on the Htr6 promoter in GT1-7 cells by ChIP-qPCR assay.
Kiss1 transcription activated by hydroxymethylated SINEs in upstream enhancers in GT1-7 cells
To verify the effects of the DNA hydroxymethylation of SINEs on the transcription activity of enhancers, two known distal enhancers (chr1: 133,308,985–133,319,710; chr1: 133,339,139–133,339,571) around Kiss1 modulated by estrogen27,28 were considered in our study. Coincidentally, the upstream enhancer of Kiss1 also contains two SINEs (chr1: 133,304,850–133,305,026 and 133,305,119–133,305,238), the first of which had significantly higher hydroxymethylation at 8-week-old than at 4-week-old ARCs (log2FC = 1.86, FDR < 0.0001). We also created GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO2 cells with two CpG deletions at the first SINE via CRISPR-Cas9 (Figure S5B). The expression of Kiss1 was slightly downregulated and was not upregulated after estradiol induction in GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO2 cells with two CpG deletions at the first SINE via CRISPR-Cas9 (Figure S5B). The expression of Kiss1 was slightly downregulated and was not upregulated after estradiol induction in GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO2 cells compared with wild-type cells (Figures 6A and 6B). The levels of DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation at these two CpGs, as detected with a bisulfite assay, showed that these CpGs were always stably methylated, whereas they were substantially hyper-hydroxymethylated in wild-type GT1-7 cells treated with estrogen (Figure 6C; Figure S11), indicating that only 5hmC might be attributed to the enhancer activity in this system. The results of the DNase I-qPCR assay indicated that the chromatin accessibility of the entire enhancer region and Kiss1 promoter was compromised in GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO2 cells (Figure 6D). Likewise, ChIP-qPCR assay results also revealed decreased levels of acetylation of histone H3 at lysine 27 (H3K27ac) and estrogen receptor α (ESR1) as well as increased levels of tri-methylation of histone H3 at lysine 27 (H3K27me3) in the enhancer region, indicating that loss of hydroxymethylation in SINEs excluded ESR1 recruitment and resulted in primed enhancer and silenced Kiss1 transcription activity in GT1-7SINE-CpG-KO2 cells (Figure 6E). Our data show that hydroxymethylated SINEs might facilitate transcriptional activation of enhancers in the case of Kiss1.

DISCUSSION
REs are important components in mammalian genomes that profoundly affect chromatin structure and gene expression activity. Although a vast majority of REs are termed “inactive molecular fossils,”29 a small part can be rapidly amplified via RNA intermediates throughout the genome. In the current study, we determined the changes in DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation of REs, especially SINEs, during the pubertal process (Figure 1). As an intermediate of the DNA demethylation process, DNA hydroxymethylation usually contributes to activation of gene expression, in contrast to DNA methylation. Although we analyzed the functional enrichment
of DHMRs and DMRs containing REs across puberty and found that most associated Gene Ontology and signaling pathways overlapped (Figures 2A and 2B), a controversial observation after further analysis revealed that DNA methylation of REs located at the promoters of adjacent genes is beneficial for gene expression (Figure 2C), whereas DNA hydroxymethylation of intragenic REs does not seem to affect gene expression levels (Figure S1). Thus, the presence of a large number of REs with DNA hypomethylation in 8-week-old compared with 4-week-old ARCs indicates that only a small proportion of REs may indeed affect gene expression in neuronal cells during sexual maturation. We still have no idea about the function and biological significance of other REs, although they show remarkably different (hydroxyl)methylation patterns.

In this study, we show two cases of **Htr6** and **Kiss1** expression regulated by (hydroxyl)methylation of SINEs. From the results, we conclude that a complicated regulatory machinery of DNA (hydroxy)methylation on SINEs coordinates with transcription factors and affects chromatin structure. SINEs are a heterogeneous group of genetic elements derived from transfer RNA (tRNA), characterized by an internal RNA polymerase III (RNA Pol III) promoter within their 5' terminus as well as a poly(A) repeat at their 3' terminus. When SINEs integrate into new sites, the regulatory sequences from SINEs may simultaneously affect the transcription activity of adjacent genes in addition to controlling RNA Pol III for the SINE per se. Epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation on SINEs are likely to be linked with gene expression but are still obscure and controversial.31,32

Our study reveals a novel mechanism for DNA methylation of SINEs for gene expression. Initially, we found that DNA methylation of the SINE at the **Htr6** promoter was positively correlated with **Htr6** expression, which is unexpected and contrary to common sense. Further molecular experiments revealed that SINE methylation may protect the **Htr6** promoter from DNMT1 (Figure 3C), maintaining control of **Htr6** expression beyond DNA methylation (Figures 3D and 3E). Until the SINE loses its methylation, **Htr6** expression can be normally controlled by DNMT1 (Figure 3F). Moreover, SINE methylation per se was not affected by DNMT knockdown (Figures 3A and 3B). These results suggest that dramatic DNA methylation is expected to affect the activities of SINES, and a certain level of DNA methylation at SINES may particularly stabilize and maintain DNA methylation in surrounding genomic areas. As observed in previous studies, because persistent hypermethylation of SINES may inhibit their mobilization in mice and humans,33–35 SINES and other types of REs need to keep themselves from being methylated by mammalian DNMTs. Instead, SINE methylation was thought to be necessary for tryptophan-induced **Htr6** expression coordinated by NR5A2 (Figures 3G, 3H, and 4B), which cannot be mechanistically addressed by current data. In this light, epigenetic regulation of SINES (or other types of REs) and their effects on expression of nearby genes are still rather complicated, with the exception of DNA methylation.

There is a lack of studies on DNA hydroxymethylation in SINES, and here we report that SINE hydroxymethylation is beneficial for transcriptional activation and chromatin opening (Figure 5B, 5E, and 5F), which is similar to the results from other studies on embryonic development and pluripotent stem cells.36,37 Our results show that DNA hydroxymethylation of SINES at intergenic regions is independent of DNA methylation (Figure 6C). Knockout of CpG sites to block DNA hydroxymethylation caused **Kiss1** silencing via enhancer
activity and histone modification (Figures 6D and 6E). The alteration of chromosomal spatial architecture and DNA looping structure affecting the distal interaction between enhancers and target genes likely explains this outcome. The coordination between DNA hydroxymethylation of SINEs and the chromatin accessibility organized by epigenetic regulators, such as CCCTC binding factor (CTCF), cohesin complexes, and non-coding RNAs, and multiple transcription factors needs to be explored in future research.

Our results showed a novel regulatory mechanism of REs, especially for SINEs with DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation modifications, emphasizing the importance of epigenetic alterations in regulating gene transcription for pubertal onset and development. We also provide a safer and more efficient strategy for in situ genetic editing at CpG sites on REs compared with epigenetic drug utilization for puberty-associated diseases and treatment of other diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental animals

C57BL/6 female mice purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. (Shanghai, China) were housed in clean cages and maintained at 22°C ± 2°C with a constant 12 h light/dark cycle. The animals were allowed free access to food and water. Four- and eight-week-old mice (n = 10 per group) were used in this study. Preliminary experiments employing dye injection were performed to target the location of the ARC using its initial orientation (0.4 mm lateral, 1.60 mm posterior to the bregma, 7.40 mm below the surface of the dura), as described previously. Mice were sacrificed via cervical dislocation, and their whole brains were immediately isolated. The hypothalamic ARC tissues in each group were harvested and collected for subsequent experiments according to the previously mentioned dye staining procedure. All procedures were performed in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

RNA-seq library construction and data analysis

ARC tissues were stored in 1 mL TRIZOL (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). They were then ground in liquid nitrogen. 100 μL chloroform was added to the ground tissue, and the mixture was centrifuged at the highest speed at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred into a new tube, one volume of isopropanol was added, and the solution was centrifuged again at the highest speed at 4°C for 10 min. The precipitate was washed with cold 75% ethanol and dissolved in diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC) water. The concentration and quality of RNA were measured using a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Four micrograms of RNA from each group was used for library preparation using the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq platform.

In the raw data, adaptors were trimmed, and low-quality reads were filtered out using Trimomatic, and the quality of the clean reads was checked using FastQC. Next, the clean reads were aligned to the latest mouse genome assembly, mm10, using Hisat2. The transcripts were assembled, and the expression levels were estimated through fragments per kilobase per million (FPKM) values using the StringTie algorithm with default parameters. Differential mRNA and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) expression among the groups was evaluated using the R package Ballgown. The statistical significance of the differences was computed using the Benjamini and Hochberg (BH) p value adjustment method (log2 FC > 1 or < −1, p < 0.05). Gene annotation was performed using the Ensembl Genome Database (http://www.ensembl.org/ useast.ensembl.org/index.html?redirectsrc=//www.ensembl.org%2Findex.html). The R package ClusterProfiler was used to annotate DEGs using Gene Ontology (GO) terms and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways.

Reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS), RRHP library construction, and data analysis

The genomic DNA of the ARCs in the two groups was extracted using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). High-quality DNA (200 ng) was then digested by the restriction endonuclease MspI (NEB) and subjected to 3′ end blunting, single-nuclease (A) addition, and adaptor ligation. For RRHP, the 5hmC positions at the adaptor junctions were modified by T4 phage β-glucosyltransferase (NEB), and non-glucosyl-5hmCs were removed through another round ofMspI digestion. The 250–500-bp fragments were then selected and treated through bisulfite conversion using an Epitect Bisulfite Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

A bisulfite pyrosequencing assay was performed using PyroMark Q24 Tests (QIAGEN), following the protocol provided in the PyroMark Q24 CpG Line-1 handbook. For high-throughput sequencing, the converted DNA was eluted, and PCR amplification was performed to enrich for fragments with adapters on both ends. The constructed libraries were quantified using an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and subjected to high-throughput sequencing using an Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform with paired-end 50-bp sequencing (PE50).

Trim Galore v.0.5.0, Cutadapt v.1.18 (non-default parameters:–max-n 0–minimum-length 35), and Trimomatic v.0.38 (non-default parameters: SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 LEADING:10 TRAILING:10 MINLEN:35) were used to filter adapters, short reads (length < 35 bp), and low-quality reads. FastQC (with default parameters) was used to ensure high read quality. The trimmed reads of RRBS data were aligned to the reference genome (assembly GRCh38) using Bismark v.0.7.0, and the DNA methylation profiles were analyzed using the methylKit package. DMRs were selected when their FDR value was less than 0.05 and their methylation percentage change between the control and test groups was more than 10%. For RRHP, clean reads were mapped to the mouse genome (assembly GRCh38) using Bowtie2 v.2.3.4.1 software (with default parameters).
parameters). Aligned reads with a CCGG tag at the 5′ end were counted. DMR analysis was performed using methylKit. DHMRs were determined using the diffReps software. DHMRs were characterized as having a log2 FC greater than 1 or less than −1 and FDR less than < 10−4.

**Assay for transposase-accessible chromatin (ATAC) library construction and data analysis**

Freshly harvested ARC tissues (1 × 10⁵) were washed with cold PBS, ground in liquid nitrogen, filtered using a 40-µm cell strainer (Corning, New York City, NY, USA), and centrifuged at 12,000 × g at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube and incubated with 50 µL cold hypotonic buffer (10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5]) containing 0.1% NP40, 0.1% Tween 20, and 0.01% digitonin on ice for 3 min, after which 1 mL cold hypotonic buffer containing 0.1% Tween 20 was added, the resulting mixture was centrifuged at 500 × g at 4°C for 5 min, and the supernatant was discarded. The precipitate was incubated with 50 µL transposition mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) at 37°C and then centrifuged at 1,000 rpm. Transposed DNA of the mixture was isolated using a QIAGEN MinElute PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) and amplified under appropriate PCR conditions. The library was subjected to high-throughput sequencing using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform with paired-end 150-bp sequencing (PE150).

Data quality control and pre-treatment procedures were similar to those done in RRHP. Peak calling was performed using MACS2.47 Credible peaks were screened using irreproducible discovery rate (IDR)48 and annotated using ChiPseeker.49 Motifs were analyzed using Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME, https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/memeg),50 and the differential peaks (log FC > 0.585 or < −0.585, p < 0.05) were analyzed using MAnorm.51

**Data deposits**

The raw sequencing data were deposited to ArrayExpress with accession numbers E-MTAB-9420, E-MTAB-9421, and E-MTAB-10263.

**Cell culture**

GT1-7 cells purchased from the Cell Bank of Shanghai Institutes of Biological Sciences (Shanghai, China) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The siRNA sequences for DNMTs and NR5A2 and the pEGFP-NR5A2 plasmid were purchased from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). One million cells were harvested and transfected with 25 pmol siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 18 h. The spent medium was replaced with regular DMEM, and the cells were cultured for another 48 h. The oligonucleotides of guide RNA (gRNA) were designed (https://zlab.bio/guide-design-resources) and inserted into the px330 plasmid (73131) obtained from Addgene (Watertown, MA, USA). GT1-7 cells were transfected with px330 linked with gRNA and donor DNA using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and screened with 10 µg/mL puromycin. The target genomic region was verified via PCR. The cells were treated with 10 µg/mL L-tryptophan (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 4 h,52 and GT1-7 cells were treated with 1 nM 17β estradiol (Sigma-Aldrich) for another 48 h.53

**Western blot (WB) assay**

Cells (5 × 10⁶) were harvested, 500 µL radio immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Beyotime Biotechnology, Nantong, Jiangsu, China) was added to the cells, and the total protein concentration was quantified using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method. Aliquots of proteins (40 µg) were added to the lanes of a 10% SDS polyacrylamide gel, and the proteins were separated via electrophoresis and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Subsequently, the membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in 0.01 M PBS buffer (pH 7.4) and 0.05% Tween 20 for 1 h at room temperature (RT). The blocked membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies against Dnmt1 (1:2,000, NB100-56519, Novus Biologicals, Centennial, CO, USA), Htr6 (1:1,500, NBP1-46557, Novus), Kiss1 (1:2,000, NBP1-45672, Novus), and Gapdh (1:5,000, 5174, Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with the appropriate secondary antibodies (horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit antio mouse diluted at 1:10,000 and donkey anti-rabbit diluted 1:5,000, Cell Signaling Technology) for 30 min at RT. The protein bands were observed through enhanced chemiluminescence using the Amersham Imager 600 system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), and the density of the immunoblots was measured with Quantity One 4.62 software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

**ChIP assay**

Briefly, 5 × 10⁶ cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde, quenched with 0.125 M glycine at RT, and then lysed in 500 µL of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH] 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, and 0.2% IGEPA CA 630 [Thermo Fisher Scientific]) on ice for 30 min. Genomic DNA was sonicated to 200–500 bp. Ten percent of each whole-cell lysate was stored as the input, and the rest of the lysate was incubated with 1 µg of primary antibodies against NR5A2 (ab18293, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), Dnmt1, H3K27ac (NBP1-45672, Novus), and ESR1 (ab32063, Abcam) at 4°C overnight. Then, an additional 2-h pull-down was performed at 4°C using protein-A beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primers designed to encompass approximately 150 bp around the target GnRH genomic regions were used to detect the enrichment of histone deacetylases (HDACs) using qPCR.

**Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assay**

The DNA templates were detected using a Fast Universal SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix (Roche) under the following conditions: 95°C for 2 min, 50 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 10 s, 72°C for
Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). For qPCR data, the 2^ΔΔCt method was used to calculate the expression, enrichment, or probable DNA content. Student’s t test was used to evaluate differences between groups. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a significant difference.
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Supplementary figure 1. The Pearson correlation analysis between DNA hydroxymethylation of retroelements and adjacent genes expression in pubertal ARC.
Supplementary figure 2. The efficacy of DNMTs siRNA confirmed by qPCR (A) and WB (B). The qPCR data and WB data by gray analysis is presented as the mean ± SEM of three separate experiments. “***” represents the significant difference with a $p$-value less than 0.05 by Student’s t-test.
Supplementary figure 3. Pyrosequencing data of DNA methylation of two CpG islands at HTR6 promoter in GT1-7 cells induced by DNMTs siRNA. Wild type (A), Dnmt1 siRNA (B), Dnmt3a siRNA (C), Dnmt3b siRNA (D). Red “A/G” or “T/C” represent the detected CpG loci. The percentages represent the probable proportion of cytosine (sense strands) or guanine (antisense strands) at the certain sites. This interpretation also applies to Supplementary figure 3, 5, 6 and 9.
Supplementary figure 4. Pyrosequencing data of DNA methylation of SINE at HTR6 promoter in GT1-7 cells induced by DNMTs siRNA. Wild type (A), Dnmt1 RNA interference (B), Dnmt3a siRNA (C), Dnmt3b siRNA (D).
Supplementary figure 5. Sanger sequencing data of CpG loci deletion of SINEs by CRIPSPR-Cas9 system. Sequences of SINEs containing with CpG loci highlighted by red frames in HTR6 promoter (A) and enhancer (B) are shown (wild type above, knockout below).
Supplementary figure 6. Pyrosequencing data of DNA methylation of two CpG islands at HTR6 promoter in GT1-7<sup>SINE-CpG-KO1</sup> cells induced by DNMTs siRNA. Wild type (A), Dnmt1 siRNA (B), Dnmt3a siRNA (C), Dnmt3b siRNA (D).
Supplementary figure 7. Pyrosequencing data of DNA methylation of two CpG islands at HTR6 promoter in GT1-7^{SINE-CpG-KO1} cells induced by L-tryptophan. Wild type (A), L-tryptophan (B).
Supplementary Figure 8. The efficacy of Nr5a2 siRNA or ectopic expression in GT1-7 cells confirmed by qPCR (A) and WB (B). The qPCR data and WB data by gray analysis is presented as the mean ± SEM of three separate experiments. “*” represents the significant difference with a $p$-value less than 0.05 by Student’s t-test.
Supplementary figure 9. Quality control of different fragment size distribution for ATAC-seq data. 4-week ARC (A), 8-week ARC (B).
Supplementary figure 10. The overview of ATAC peaks across all chromosomes at 4- (A) and 8- (B) week ARC.
Supplementary figure 11. Pyrosequencing data of DNA hydroxymethylation of two CpG loci at SINE in enhancer region in GT1-7 cells induced by estradiol. 5mC in normal control GT1-7 cells (A), 5hmC in normal control GT1-7 cells (B), 5mC in GT1-7 cells treated with estradiol (C), 5hmC in GT1-7 cells treated with estradiol (D).
Supplementary table 1. DMREs at promoter regions of DEGs. Column B and C indicate the coordinates of the differential methylation peak. Column F and G indicate the coordinates of the annotated REs. Column L and M indicate the coordinates of the adjacent genes. All coordinates are referred to GRCm38.
Supplementary table 2. DHMREs at enhancers around DEGs. DEGs with significantly differential hydroxymethylated RE at up/downstream enhancers and chromatin accessibility are listed. All coordinates are referred to GRCm38.
Supplementary table 3. All nucleotides used in this study are listed above. gRNA: guide RNA; BSP: bisulfite PCR.

| Purpose                                           | Sequence                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Dnmt1 siRNA                                       | 5’- GGUAGAGAGUUAACGAGCAATT -3’                |
| Dnmt3a siRNA                                      | 5’- GCCGUCACAGAAGCAUAATT -3’                  |
| Dnmt3b siRNA                                      | 5’- GCAUGAGGGGCGAUAAATT -3’                   |
| Nr5a21 siRNA                                      | 5’- GAUUGUUGCCUCUAGAAGUTT-3’                  |
| gRNA for SINE at HTR6 promoter                    | 5’- GGATCTCAAAGGACTTGTCGG -3’                 |
| Donar DNA1                                        | 5’- GTGTGGTAGAATATTATTTACCTGTATAGATCCAGAAGCTGAGG |
|                                                  | CTCAGAGGGATCTCAAGAGACTTGTCATATCTCCAGAAGCTGACTT |
|                                                  | CTCTGTGAAAGCTCGGTGATTTCACTTACGTGAGTGG -3’     |
| gRNA for SINE at Kissl promoter                   | 5’- ACTCCAGTTCAAGAAAATCCG -3’                 |
| Donar DNA2                                        | 5’- TTAGGAAGACTGCGATGCGACAGCCAGCCAGCCACAGGAGCTGAGG |
|                                                  | CGTGTCTAAACCTGAGG -3’                        |
| qPCR for Kissl                                    | F: 5’- GTGTCGCCACGCCTATGAGGGAGG -3’          |
|                                                  | R: 5’- TCAGGAGCACTGCGAGGGAGCAGCCAGG -3’       |
| qPCR for Htr6                                     | F: 5’- ACTGTAATAGCACCAGCATGACCTCATCTCAACTCCAGGCTGAGG |
|                                                  | R: 5’- AAGCTGGGCTGTGAGCTCGAGCCCGAGGTGC -3’    |
| ChIP for Htr6                                     | F: 5’- ATGTTGCGAGGGAGCACAGCCA -3’            |
|                                                   | R: 5’- GCGGGGCTGGAGACTACAGGCGG -3’           |
| DNase I/ ChIP assay for enhancer                  | F: 5’- AGTAAAGCCGACTGCGAGGGAGCAGGCAGGG -3’   |
|                                                   | R: 5’- TTTATTATTATTGCAGTTATGAGTATTT -3’      |
| DNase I/ ChIP assay for Kissl promoter            | F: 5’- AAGAGAAACACTGAGACACCCAGGGGAG -3’      |
|                                                   | R: 5’- CCCTGGGTGTCAGGTAAGTAACTGAGTTAA-3’    |
| BSP for Htr6 promoter1                            | F: 5’- TTTTATTAGTTAGGTATTAAA -3’             |
|                                                   | R: 5’- CCCCCCACAACACTTCCAACA -3’             |
|                                                   | S: 5’- GAGGGGTTGATTTTG -3’                   |
| BSP for Htr6 promoter2                            | F: 5’- TTAGTGTCTAGGGAGAAAAGGAG -3’           |
|                                                   | R: 5’- AAATAAAACTCCTAACCACAAACAC -3’         |
|                                                   | S1: 5’- CATAAAATAAACCACAA -3’                |
|                                                   | S2: 5’- TTTTGGTTATTTATTG -3’                 |
S3: 5’-TTAGAGAGATTTTT-3’

BSP for SINE at *Htr6* promoter
F: 5’-GTGTGGTAGAATTATTTTTT-3’
R: 5’-CCACTCACTATAAAAATCACC-3’
S: 5’-AACTTTCAAAAAA-3’

BSP for SINE at *Kiss1* promoter
F: 5’-AGTTAGGAGTTTTGAGGGATTTAGG-3’
R: 5’-TTATTTTTATTTTTAGTAGATTT-3’
S: 5’-TTAGAGGTAGATAT-3’