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Abstract

We show how to do semiclassical nonperturbative computations within the worldline approach to quantum field theory using “worldline instantons”. These worldline instantons are classical solutions to the Euclidean worldline loop equations of motion, and are closed spacetime loops parametrized by the proper-time. Specifically, we compute the imaginary part of the one loop effective action in scalar QED using “worldline instantons”, for a wide class of inhomogeneous electric field backgrounds. We treat both time dependent and space dependent electric fields, and note that temporal inhomogeneities tend to shrink the instanton loops, while spatial inhomogeneities tend to expand them. This corresponds to temporal inhomogeneities tending to enhance local pair production, with spatial inhomogeneities tending to suppress local pair production. We also show how the worldline instanton technique extends to spinor QED.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The worldline path integral formulation of quantum field theory provides a powerful computational approach to both perturbative and nonperturbative phenomena. Originally due to Feynman [1], it has in recent years attracted renewed attention due to its relation to string theory methods [2, 3, 4, 5] (see [6] for a review). Among other advantages, it provides a particularly efficient way of taking constant external fields into account nonperturbatively [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Very recently, numerical techniques have been developed for the calculation of worldline path integrals [12, 13] which raise the prospect of doing calculations which are nonperturbative in the coupling [14].

One of the most interesting nonperturbative phenomena is vacuum pair production [15, 16, 17], which has applications in many fields of physics [18], ranging from particle and nuclear physics [19, 20] to cosmology [21, 22, 23]. Already in 1981 Affleck et al. [24] studied pair production in a constant field in scalar QED by applying instanton techniques to Feynman’s worldline path integral. As is well-known, the QED pair creation by an external field can be concisely described in terms of the imaginary part of the effective lagrangian. In scalar QED at one loop and for a constant field this imaginary part is given by Schwinger’s formula [17],

\[
\text{Im} \mathcal{L}[E] = \frac{e^2 E^2}{16 \pi^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{m^2 \pi n}{eE} \right]
\]  

(1)

This expression is clearly non-perturbative in terms of the field. The \( n \)th term in the sum directly relates to the probability for the coherent production of \( n \) pairs by the field [17, 25]. Usually one derives the formula (1) by a proper treatment of the poles appearing in the standard integral representation of the one-loop Euler-Heisenberg lagrangian (see, e.g., [26, 27]). Affleck et al. showed in [24] that the same formula can be obtained in the spirit of instanton physics by a stationary phase approximation of the corresponding worldline path integral. In this paper we further develop this semiclassical approach to worldline computations of pair production in QED, based on special stationary worldline loops which we call worldline instantons.

Worldline instantons also provide the worldline formulation of the conventional field theoretic WKB computations of Brezin et al. [28] and Popov et al. [29], which were in turn based on the pioneering ionization studies of Keldysh [30]. We note that Kim and Page [31] have
discussed pair production in the WKB approach using the language of quantum mechanical instantons. These quantum mechanical instantons are not the same as our "worldline instantons", which are instantons in the proper-time, rather than in the imaginary time of quantum mechanical tunneling computations. Although both approaches are related, from the point of view of relativistic field theory the use of proper-time seems more natural. The numerical worldline approach has recently also been applied directly to pair production phenomena [32].

In Section II we discuss the general idea of worldline instantons as a semiclassical approximation to the worldline effective action. In Sections III and IV we present some explicit examples for electric fields that are time and space dependent, respectively. Section V explains how worldline instantons appear in the imaginary part of the spinor effective action, and Section VI contains a unified summary and outlines future work.

II. STATIONARY PHASE APPROXIMATION IN THE WORLDLINE EFFECTIVE ACTION

The Euclidean one-loop effective action for a scalar particle in an abelian gauge background $A_\mu$ is given by the worldline path integral expression

$$\Gamma[A] = \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \int_{x(T)=x(0)} Dx \exp \left[ - \int_0^T d\tau \left( \frac{\dot{x}^2}{4} + ieA \cdot \dot{x} \right) \right]$$

(2)

Here the functional integral $\int Dx$ is over all closed spacetime paths $x^\mu(\tau)$ which are periodic in the proper-time parameter $\tau$, with period $T$. The effective action $\Gamma[A]$ is a functional of the classical background field $A_\mu(x)$, which is a given function of the space-time coordinates. Rescaling $\tau = Tu$, and $T$ by $m^2$, the effective action may be expressed as

$$\Gamma[A] = \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-T} \int_{x(1)=x(0)} Dx \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{m^2}{4T} \int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2 + ie \int_0^1 du A \cdot \dot{x} \right) \right]$$

(3)

where the functional integral $\int Dx$ is now over all closed spacetime paths $x^\mu(u)$ with period 1. With this rescaling we can perform the proper-time integral explicitly, leading to

$$\Gamma[A] = 2 \int_{x(1)=x(0)} Dx \int_0^1 du \frac{1}{\sqrt{m\sqrt{\int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2}}} \exp \left[ -ie \int_0^1 du A \cdot \dot{x} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{m^2}{4T} \int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2 + ie \int_0^1 du A \cdot \dot{x} \right) \right] \right]$$

(4)

$$\simeq \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{m}} \int Dx \frac{1}{\left( \int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2 \right)^{1/4}} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{m^2}{4T} \int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2 + ie \int_0^1 du A \cdot \dot{x} \right) \right]$$

(5)
Here we have used the approximation

\[ m \sqrt{\int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2} \gg 1 \]  

(6)

which, as we will see later, corresponds to a weak field condition. Alternatively, the second line in (5) could be obtained by evaluating the \( T \) integral in (3) using Laplace’s method, with critical point

\[ T_0 = \frac{m}{2} \sqrt{\int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2} \gg 1 \]  

(7)

The functional integral remaining in the effective action expression (5) may be approximated by a functional stationary phase approximation. The new, nonlocal, worldline “action” \[ S \]

\[ S = m \sqrt{\int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2} + ie \int_0^1 du A \cdot \dot{x} \]  

(8)

is stationary if the path \( x_\alpha(u) \) satisfies

\[ m \frac{\ddot{x}_\mu}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2}} = ie F_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}_\nu \]  

(9)

We call a periodic solution \( x_\mu(u) \) to (9) a “worldline instanton”. Recall that \( F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \) is in general a function of \( x_\alpha(u) \), so the stationarity condition (9) is a highly nontrivial set of coupled nonlinear (ordinary) differential equations. Note, however, that by contracting (9) with \( \dot{x}_\mu \) we learn that, for any \( F_{\mu\nu}(x) \), the stationary instanton paths satisfy

\[ \dot{x}^2 = \text{constant} \equiv a^2 \]  

(10)

Thus, the condition (9) can be written as \( ma \gg 1 \).

In a background electric field the fluctuations about the worldline instanton paths lead to an imaginary part in the effective action \( \Gamma[A] \), and the leading behavior is

\[ \text{Im} \Gamma[A] \sim e^{-S_0} \]  

(11)

where \( S_0 \) is the worldline action (8) evaluated on the worldline instanton. This imaginary part of the effective action gives the pair production rate \[ 16, 17 \]. In a future paper \[ 33 \] we discuss the subleading prefactor contributions to (11).
III. TEMPORALLY INHOMOGENEOUS ELECTRIC FIELDS

In this Section we consider a class of time dependent background fields for which we are able to find the stationary instanton paths explicitly. Consider a classical electromagnetic background which in Minkowski space corresponds to a time-dependent electric field pointing in the \( x_3 \) direction. In Euclidean space, we choose a gauge in which the only nonzero component of the gauge field is \( A_3 \), and it is a function only of \( x_4 \):

\[
A_3 = A_3(x_4) \quad ; \quad A_\mu = 0 \; \text{for} \; \mu \neq 3
\]  

(12)

Since \( F_{\mu 1} = F_{\mu 2} = 0 \), the stationarity conditions (9) imply that

\[
\ddot{x}_1 = \ddot{x}_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{x}_1 = \text{constant} \quad , \quad \dot{x}_2 = \text{constant}
\]

(13)

For \( x_1(u) \) and \( x_2(u) \) to be periodic, we require \( \dot{x}_1 = \dot{x}_2 = 0 \). Hence, by (10), we have

\[
a^2 = \dot{x}_3^2 + \dot{x}_4^2
\]

(14)

Thus, the stationarity conditions (9) reduce to two coupled (in general nonlinear) equations for \( x_3(u) \) and \( x_4(u) \):

\[
\ddot{x}_3 = \frac{iea}{m} F_{34} \dot{x}_4
\]

(15)

\[
\ddot{x}_4 = -\frac{iea}{m} F_{34} \dot{x}_3
\]

(16)

For background fields of the form (12), the stationary conditions (15,16) can be integrated as follows. First, (15) implies, using the periodicity of the solutions, that

\[
\dot{x}_3 = -\frac{iea}{m} A_3(x_4)
\]

(17)

Given this solution for \( \dot{x}_3 \), we can use (14) to write

\[
|\dot{x}_4| = a \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{e A_3(x_4)}{m} \right)^2}
\]

(18)

For certain functions \( A_3(x_4) \), this equation (18) can be explicitly integrated to find the unique periodic solution for \( x_4(u) \). (Alternatively, it can be integrated numerically if no explicit closed-form solution is available). Given this solution, we can then integrate (17) to obtain the corresponding unique periodic solution for \( x_3(u) \).
Also, note that for background fields of the form (12), the worldline action (8) can be re-written in a simpler form when evaluated on solutions of the stationary conditions (15,16):

\[
S_0 = m \sqrt{\int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2 + ie \int_0^1 du \frac{dx_3}{du} A_3(x_4)}
\]

\[
= ma + ie \int_0^1 du x_3 F_{34} \dot{x}_4
\]

\[
= \frac{m}{a} \int_0^1 du (\dot{x}_4)^2
\]

(19)

where we have integrated by parts, making use of the periodicity of the solutions in \( u \), the stationarity equation (15), and the solution property (14). In the following subsections we present some explicit examples.

A. Constant electric background

For a constant electric background, of magnitude \( E \), the Euclidean gauge field is \( A_3(x_4) = -i E x_4 \). Then the stationary solution for \( x_4(u) \) is obtained by integrating (18):

\[
\left| \frac{dx_4}{du} \right| = a \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{eE}{m} \right)^2 x_4^2}
\]

(20)

which has the solution

\[
x_4(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \sin \left( \frac{eEa}{m} u \right)
\]

(21)

The stationary solution for \( x_3 \) is then obtained by integrating (17),

\[
\frac{dx_3}{du} = -a \sin \left( \frac{eEa}{m} u \right)
\]

(22)

which has the solution

\[
x_3(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \cos \left( \frac{eEa}{m} u \right)
\]

(23)

These solutions for \( x_3(u) \) and \( x_4(u) \) are periodic with period 1 provided the constant \( a \) satisfies

\[
a = \frac{m}{eE} 2n \pi \quad , \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+
\]

(24)

Having determined the constant \( a \), we can now understand the physical meaning of the approximation (6), which given (10) is equivalent to \( ma \gg 1 \). The condition (6) becomes

\[
\frac{m^2}{eE} 2\pi n \gg 1
\]

(25)
This must be true for all \( n \), so this is a weak-field condition. With physical constants reinstated it reads:  
\[ E \ll \frac{2\pi m^2 c^3}{e\hbar} \sim 10^{16} V/cm \], which is strongly satisfied for experimentally accessible electric fields \[ 18 \].

The stationary worldline instanton paths trace out circles (see Figure 1) of radius \( \frac{m}{eE} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
x_3(u) &= \frac{m}{eE} \cos(2n\pi u), \quad x_4(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \sin(2n\pi u)
\end{align*}
\]  

(26)

The integer \( n \) simply counts the number of times the closed path is traversed. The corre-

FIG. 1: Parametric plot of the stationary worldline instanton paths in the \((x_3, x_4)\) plane for the case of a constant electric field of strength \( E \). The paths are circular and the radius has been expressed in units of \( \frac{m}{eE} \).

sponding instanton action \[ 19 \] is

\[
S_0 = \frac{m}{a} \left( \frac{2n\pi m}{eE} \right)^2 \int_0^1 \cos(2n\pi u)^2
\]

\[
= n \frac{m^2 \pi}{eE}
\]  

(27)

Thus we recover the \( n \)-instanton contribution to the imaginary part of the effective la-

grangian, eq. \[ 10 \].
B. Single-pulse time dependent electric background: \( E(t) = E \text{sech}^2(\omega t) \).

The Minkowski time-dependent electric field \( E(t) = E \text{sech}^2(\omega t) \) corresponds to the Euclidean space gauge field

\[
A_3(x_4) = -i \frac{E}{\omega} \tan(\omega x_4) \tag{28}
\]

This case is soluble in the sense that the imaginary part of the effective action can be expressed as a momentum integral of a known function \[34\]. It has also been analyzed using WKB \[29\]. It is useful to introduce the “adiabaticity parameter”, \( \gamma \), (motivated by Keldysh’s pioneering work on ionization in time dependent fields \[30\]), defined by

\[
\gamma \equiv \frac{m\omega}{eE} \tag{29}
\]

Then the stationary \( x_4(u) \) is determined by integrating (18),

\[
du = \frac{dx_4}{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \tan^2(\omega x_4)}}
\]

which has the solution

\[
x_4 = \frac{1}{\omega} \arcsin \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma} \omega a u \right) \right] \tag{31}
\]

Then, given this solution for \( x_4(u) \), the stationary \( x_3(u) \) is determined by integrating (17)

\[
\frac{dx_3}{du} = -a \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma} \omega a u \right)}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma} \omega a u \right)}} \tag{32}
\]

This has the solution

\[
x_3 = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \arcsinh \left[ \frac{\gamma \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma} \omega a u \right)}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \right] \tag{33}
\]

It is easy to verify that \( \dot{x}_3^2 + \dot{x}_4^2 = a^2 \) is satisfied. Demanding that the solutions \[31\] and \[33\] be periodic in \( u \), with period 1, fixes the constant \( a \) to be

\[
a = \frac{\gamma}{\omega \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} 2\pi n \quad , \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \tag{34}
\]

In this inhomogeneous case, the approximation condition \[34\] becomes

\[
m^2 \frac{1}{eE \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} 2\pi n \gg 1 \tag{35}
\]
As in the constant field case \([25]\), this is a weak-field condition, although it also includes the adiabaticity parameter \(\gamma\), which cannot be too large for a given peak field \(E\).

The periodic stationary worldline instanton paths are:

\[
x_3(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \frac{1}{\gamma \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \text{arcsinh} \left[ \gamma \cos (2n\pi u) \right]
\]

\[
x_4(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \frac{1}{\gamma} \text{arcsin} \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \sin (2n\pi u) \right]
\]

These instanton paths are plotted in Figure 2 for various values of the adiabaticity parameter \(\gamma\) with \(\omega = m e E\) defined in \([29]\), and \(x_3\) and \(x_4\) have been expressed in units of \(\frac{m}{eE}\). Note that in the static limit, \(\gamma \to 0\), the instanton paths reduce to the circular ones of the constant field case shown in Figure 1.

In the static limit, when \(\gamma \to 0\) with \(\frac{\omega}{\gamma} \equiv \frac{m}{eE}\) fixed, we recover the circular stationary paths of the constant field case. In the short-pulse limit, \(\gamma \to \infty\) with \(\frac{m}{eE}\) fixed, the paths become narrower in the \(x_3\) direction, and shrink in size.
To evaluate the stationary action $S_0$ we need $\dot{x}_4$:

$$\dot{x}_4(u) = a \frac{\cos(2n\pi u)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \sin^2(2n\pi u)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)$$

Thus the stationary action $S_0$ is

$$S_0 = ma \int_0^1 du \frac{\cos^2(2n\pi u)}{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \sin^2(2n\pi u)}$$

$$= n \frac{m^2\pi}{eE} \left( \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)$$

$$\sim \begin{cases} 
  n \frac{m^2\pi}{eE} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma^4}{8} + \ldots \right) & , \quad \gamma \ll 1 \\
  n \frac{2m\pi}{\omega} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} + \ldots \right) & , \quad \gamma \gg 1
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)$$

This instanton action (38) is plotted in Figure 3, in units of $\frac{m^2}{eE}$, as a function of the adiabaticity parameter $\gamma$. Contrast this plot with the behavior in Figure 7 for a spatial inhomogeneity of the same form.

Note that as $\gamma \to 0$, we recover the familiar instanton action of the constant field case. But as $\gamma$ increases, $S_0$ decreases, which means that the pair production rate is locally enhanced, relative to the locally constant field approximation with a field of the same peak magnitude. This is in full agreement with the WKB results [29].
C. Sinusoidal time dependent electric background: \( E(t) = E \cos(\omega t) \).

The Minkowski space time dependent electric field \( E(t) = E \cos(\omega t) \) corresponds to the Euclidean space gauge field

\[
A_3(x_4) = -i \frac{E}{\omega} \sinh(\omega x_4) .
\]  

(40)

Then the stationary \( x_4(u) \) is determined by integrating (18), using the adiabaticity parameter \( \gamma \) as defined in (29),

\[
du = \frac{1}{a} \frac{dx_4}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}} \sinh^2(\omega x_4)}
\]

(41)

This has the solution

\[
x_4 = \frac{1}{\omega} \arcsinh \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \text{sd} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma} \omega a u \left| \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right. \right) \right]
\]

(42)

Here \( \text{sd}(x|\nu) \) is the Jacobi elliptic function \[35\] with real elliptic parameter \( 0 \leq \nu \leq 1 \). Then the stationary \( x_3(u) \) is determined by integrating (17):

\[
\frac{dx_3}{du} = -a \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \text{sd} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma} \omega a u \left| \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right. \right)
\]

(43)

This has the solution

\[
x_3 = \frac{1}{\omega} \arcsin \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \text{cd} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma} \omega a u \left| \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right. \right) \right]
\]

(44)

It is straightforward to verify that \( \dot{x}_3^2 + \dot{x}_4^2 = a^2 \) is satisfied. Demanding that the solutions be periodic in \( u \) with period 1 fixes the constant \( a \) to be

\[
a = \frac{\gamma}{\omega \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} 4K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) n , \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+
\]

(45)

where \( K(\nu) \) is the complete elliptic integral, which is the real quarter-period of the Jacobi elliptic functions \[35\]. In this inhomogeneous case, the approximation condition (6) becomes

\[
\frac{m^2}{eE} \frac{4K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) n}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \gg 1
\]

(46)

As in the constant field case (25), this is a weak-field condition, although it also includes the adiabaticity parameter \( \gamma \), which cannot be too large for a given peak field \( E \).
The periodic stationary worldline instanton paths are:

\[ x_3(u) = \frac{1}{\omega} \arcsin \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \, \text{cd} \left( 4 n K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) u \bigg| \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) \right] \]  

(47)

\[ x_4(u) = \frac{1}{\omega} \arcsinh \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \, \text{sd} \left( 4 n K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) u \bigg| \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) \right] \]  

(48)

These stationary instanton paths are plotted in Figure 4 for various values of the adiabaticity parameter \( \gamma \). In the static limit, when \( \gamma \to 0 \) with \( \frac{\omega}{\omega} \equiv \frac{m}{eE} \) fixed, we recover the circular stationary paths of the constant field case. In the high frequency limit, the instanton paths shrink in size and become narrower in the \( x_3 \) direction, a behavior that is qualitatively similar to the single-pulse case depicted in Figure 2.

FIG. 4: Parametric plot of the stationary worldline instanton paths (48) in the \((x_3, x_4)\) plane for the case of a time dependent electric field \( E(t) = E \cos(\omega t) \). The paths are shown for various values of the adiabaticity parameter \( \gamma = \frac{m}{eE} \) defined in (29), and \( x_3 \) and \( x_4 \) have been expressed in units of \( \frac{m}{eE} \). Note that in the static limit, \( \gamma \to 0 \), the instanton paths reduce to the circular ones of the constant field case shown in Figure 1.
To evaluate the stationary action $S_0$ we need $\dot{x}_4$:

$$\dot{x}_4(u) = a c d \left( 4 n K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) u \right) \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right)$$

Thus the stationary action $S_0$ is

$$S_0 = m a \int_0^1 du c d^2 \left( 4 n K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) u \right) \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{m^2}{eE} \frac{4 \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}{\gamma^2} \left[ K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) - E \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2} \right) \right]$$

$$\sim \begin{cases} 
  \frac{m^2}{eE} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{8} + \frac{3 \gamma^4}{64} + \ldots \right), & \gamma \ll 1 \\
  \frac{4 m}{\omega} \left( \ln(4\gamma) - 1 + \frac{\ln(4\gamma)}{4\gamma^2} + \ldots \right), & \gamma \gg 1
\end{cases}$$

This instanton action is plotted in Figure 5, in units of $n \frac{m^2}{eE}$, as a function of the adiabaticity parameter $\gamma$. In the static limit, $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ with $\frac{m^2}{eE}$ fixed, we recover the familiar instanton action of the constant field case. In the high frequency limit, $S_0$ decreases monotonically, which means that the pair production rate is locally enhanced. This behavior is qualitatively similar to the single-pulse case considered in the previous subsection, and plotted in Figure 4. It is also in full agreement with the WKB results [28, 29].

![Figure 5: Plot of the instanton action $S_0$, in units of $n \frac{m^2}{eE}$, for the time-dependent electric field $E(t) = E \cos(\omega t)$, plotted as a function of the adiabaticity parameter $\gamma$.](image)
Notice that in the large $\gamma$ limit of the sinusoidal case, the logarithmic behavior shown in (51) means that the leading exponential part of the pair production rate is

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-S_0} \sim \left( \frac{eE}{m\omega} \right)^{\frac{4\pi m}{\omega}}$$

This has a perturbative multi-photon form, being $\left( \frac{eA}{m} \right)^2$ raised to the number of photons of frequency $\omega$ needed to make up the pair production energy $2m$. Thus, remarkably, the instanton action (50) interpolates between the nonperturbative constant-field form (27) and the perturbative multi-photon form (52) as the adiabaticity parameter $\gamma$ goes from 0 to $\infty$. This was noted in WKB analyses [28, 29], and generalizes to a relativistic context behavior first found by Keldysh [30] in WKB studies of ionization processes. The large $\gamma$ limit of the $E(t) = E \text{sech}^2(\omega t)$ case, shown in (39), is also perturbative, as it has a simple expansion in $E$. However, it is not as obviously of a multi-photon form such as (52), since the background is not monochromatic.

**IV. SPATIALLY INHOMOGENEOUS ELECTRIC FIELDS**

An appealing feature of the worldline instanton approach is that it may be applied also to spatially inhomogeneous electric fields. The instanton condition is still given by (9). Consider a spatially inhomogeneous electric field directed along the (negative) $x_3$ direction in Minkowski space. In Euclidean space, we choose a gauge in which the only nonzero component is $A_4$, which is a function of $x_3$ only:

$$A_4 = A_4(x_3) ; \quad A_\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \neq 4$$

(53)

Then the instanton equations (15), (16) reduce to

$$\dot{x}_3 = a \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{eA_4(x_3)}{m} \right)^2}$$

(54)

$$\dot{x}_4 = -\frac{iea}{m} A_4(x_3)$$

(55)

which are very similar in form to the worldline instanton equations (17) and (18) for a time dependent electric field. Similarly, when evaluated on a worldline instanton path, the stationary action is

$$S_0 = m \sqrt{\int_0^1 du \dot{x}^2 + ie \int_0^1 du \frac{dx_4}{du} A_4(x_3)}$$

(54)
\[ = \frac{m}{a} \int_0^1 du \,(\dot{x}_3)^2 \]  

(56)

A. Constant electric field

For a constant electric field, we could have chosen the static gauge, \( A_4 = -iEx_3 \), in which case we find instanton paths

\[
x_3(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \sin(2\pi nu) \]
\[
x_4(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \cos(2\pi nu) \]  

(57)

which are once again circular paths of radius \( \frac{m}{eE} \). And, as before, the stationary action is \( S_0 = n \frac{m^2 \pi}{eE} \), for instanton number \( n \).

B. Single-bump electric field : \( E(x_3) = E \, \text{sech}^2(kx_3) \)

Now consider a time-independent but spatially inhomogeneous electric field with a single-bump profile of the form \( E(x) = E \, \text{sech}^2(kx) \). This case is also soluble in the sense that the pair production rate can be expressed as an integral over momenta of a known function \[36\]. It has also recently been analyzed numerically in the numerical worldline approach \[32\]. This case can be realized with a gauge field

\[
A_4 = -i\frac{E}{k} \tanh(kx_3) \]  

(58)

where \( 1/k \) characterizes the length scale of the spatial inhomogeneity. The instanton equations are

\[
\dot{x}_3 = a \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}^2} \tanh^2(kx_3)} 
\]
\[
\dot{x}_4 = -\frac{a}{\tilde{\gamma}} \tanh(kx_3) \]  

(59)

where we have defined an inhomogeneity parameter

\[
\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{mk}{eE} , \]  

(60)

in analogy with Keldysh’s adiabaticity parameter \[20\]. It is easy to verify that the periodic worldline instanton paths are:

\[
x_3(u) = \frac{m}{eE} \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}} \arcsinh \left( \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\sqrt{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}} \sin(2\pi nu) \right) 
\]  

\( [20] \)
\[ x_4(u) = \frac{m}{eE \tilde{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}} \arcsin \left( \tilde{\gamma} \cos(2\pi nu) \right) \]  

These instanton loops are plotted in Figure 6 for various values of the inhomogeneity parameter \( \tilde{\gamma} \). Note that they reduce once again to the circular constant field loops in the constant limit \( \tilde{\gamma} \to 0 \), but as \( \tilde{\gamma} \) approaches 1 the loops grow in size, becoming very elongated in the \( x_4 \) direction. Recall \([32, 36]\) that there is a cutoff value of \( k \) beyond which there is no pair creation, and this cutoff value corresponds to \( \tilde{\gamma} = 1 \). Physically, this is where the spatial width of the electric field is smaller than the electron Compton wavelength, at which point a virtual pair is not able to extract enough energy from the electric field to become real asymptotic particles. The worldline instanton approach naturally incorporates this cutoff as the instanton paths are real only for \( \tilde{\gamma} < 1 \).

Given the instanton path \((59)\), the stationary action is

\[ S_0 = \frac{n m^2 \pi}{eE} \left( \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}} \right) \]  

This stationary action is plotted in Figure 7, in units of \( n \frac{m^2 \pi}{eE} \), as a function of the inhomogeneity parameter \( \tilde{\gamma} \).

Observe that this spatially inhomogeneous case is closely related to the temporally inhomogeneous case considered in section \(III B\). Indeed, they are related by the analytic continuation \( \gamma \to i \tilde{\gamma} \), as can be seen in the expressions for the instanton paths and in the expressions for the stationary actions. This analytic continuation is similar to one connecting time dependent electric fields with spatially inhomogeneous magnetic backgrounds \([37, 38]\). But we note here that as \( \tilde{\gamma} \) increases from 0, the stationary action \( S_0 \) grows, and becomes infinite as \( \tilde{\gamma} \to 1 \). This is precisely the opposite of the behavior in the temporally inhomogeneous case. So, it appears that while a temporal inhomogeneity locally enhances the pair production, a spatial inhomogeneity locally decreases the pair production rate. Correspondingly, the behavior is also opposite for the instanton paths: as \( \tilde{\gamma} \) grows from 0 the paths grow from the circular constant field instanton paths (see Figure 7), while in the temporally inhomogeneous case as \( \gamma \) grows from 0 the instantons loops shrink from the circular constant field instanton paths (see Figure 3).

Finally, including the WKB prefactor, the ratio of the pair production rate in the inhomogeneous field relative to the locally constant field value (obtained by substituting the inhomogeneous field in the constant field answer and integrating) is given by the ratio of
FIG. 6: Instanton paths for the spatially inhomogeneous electric field $E(x) = E \text{sech}^2(kx)$ for various values of the inhomogeneity parameter $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined in (60). As $\tilde{\gamma} \to 0$ we recover the circular paths of the constant field case, but as $\tilde{\gamma} \to 1$ the loops become infinitely large.

the imaginary parts of the effective action in each case:

$$\frac{\text{Im}\Gamma_{\tilde{\gamma}}}{\text{Im}\Gamma_0} = \left(1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2\right)^{5/4} \exp \left[\frac{m^2\pi}{eE} \left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}} - 1\right)\right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

This is plotted in Figure 8, and it compares very accurately with the numerically integrated exact result of Nikishov [36] and with the recent numerical results of Gies et al (see Figure 3 in [32]).
FIG. 7: The stationary action $S_0$, in units of $n m^2 e E$, plotted as a function of the inhomogeneity parameter $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined in (60). Note that $S_0$ increases with $\tilde{\gamma}$ and diverges as $\tilde{\gamma} \to 1$. Contrast this plot with the behavior in Figure 3 for a temporal inhomogeneity of the same form.

C. Spatially sinusoidal electric field: $E(x) = E \cos(kx)$

A similar analysis can be done for a spatially inhomogeneous electric field of sinusoidal form. This case can be realized with a gauge field

$$A_4 = -i \frac{E}{k} \sin(kx_3)$$

where $1/k$ characterizes the length scale of the spatial inhomogeneity. The instanton paths are:

$$x_3(u) = \frac{m}{eE \tilde{\gamma}} \arcsinh \left[ \frac{4nK \left( -\tilde{\gamma}^2 \left/ \left( 1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 \right) \right. \right) u - \tilde{\gamma}^2 \left/ \left( 1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 \right) \right. \right]$$

$$x_4(u) = \frac{m}{eE \tilde{\gamma}} \arcsin \left[ \frac{4nK \left( -\tilde{\gamma}^2 \left/ \left( 1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 \right) \right. \right) u - \tilde{\gamma}^2 \left/ \left( 1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 \right) \right. \right]$$

These instanton loops are plotted in Figure 9 for various values of the inhomogeneity parameter $\tilde{\gamma}$. Note that they reduce once again to the circular constant field loops in the constant limit $\tilde{\gamma} \to 0$, but as $\tilde{\gamma}$ approaches 1 the loops grow in size, becoming very elongated in the $x_3$ direction, and flattening off to the constant values $\pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ in the $x_4$ direction. Once again, there is a cutoff value of $k$ beyond which there is no pair creation, and this cutoff value corresponds to $\tilde{\gamma} = 1$. 
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FIG. 8: The ratio \( \frac{\text{Im} \Gamma}{\text{Im} \Gamma_0} \) of \( \text{Im} \Gamma \) for the spatially inhomogeneous electric field \( E(x) = E \sech^2(kx) \) to the locally constant field result, in the single instanton loop approximation, plotted as a function of the inhomogeneity parameter \( \tilde{\gamma} \) defined in (60).

Given the instanton path (65), the stationary action is

\[
S_0 = n \frac{m^2}{eE} \frac{4\sqrt{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}}{\tilde{\gamma}^2} \left[ E \left( -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2} \right) - K \left( -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2} \right) \right] \tag{66}
\]

\[
\sim \begin{cases} 
  n \frac{m^2\pi}{eE} \left( 1 + \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{8} + \frac{3\tilde{\gamma}^4}{64} + \ldots \right), & \tilde{\gamma} \ll 1 \\
  n \frac{m^2}{eE} \left( 4 + 2(1 - \tilde{\gamma}) \left[ 3 + \log \left( \frac{1 - \tilde{\gamma}}{8} \right) \right] + \ldots \right), & \tilde{\gamma} \to 1
\end{cases} \tag{67}
\]

This stationary action is plotted in Figure [10] in units of \( n \frac{m^2\pi}{eE} \), as a function of the inhomogeneity parameter \( \tilde{\gamma} \). As in the spatially inhomogeneous case considered in Section [IV.B], the instanton paths grow with the inhomogeneity parameter \( \tilde{\gamma} \), and the instanton action also grows, which means that the pair production is suppressed by the increasing inhomogeneity. Finally, including the WKB prefactor, our worldline instanton result for the ratio of the pair production rate relative to the locally constant field value is

\[
\frac{\text{Im} \Gamma}{\text{Im} \Gamma_0} = \frac{\pi^{3/2} \tilde{\gamma}(1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2)^{3/4}}{4K\sqrt{E - K}} \exp \left[ -\frac{m^2\pi}{eE} \left( \frac{4\sqrt{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}}{\tilde{\gamma}^2} [E - K] - 1 \right) \right] \tag{68}
\]

where \( E \equiv E \left( \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2} \right) \), and \( K \equiv K \left( \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2} \right) \). This ratio is plotted in Figure [11].
FIG. 9: Instanton paths for the spatially inhomogeneous electric field $E(x) = E \cos(kx)$ for various values of the inhomogeneity parameter $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined in (60). As $\tilde{\gamma} \to 0$ we recover the circular paths of the constant field case, but as $\tilde{\gamma} \to 1$ the loops become elongated in the $x_3$ direction.

V. WORLDLINE INSTANTONS FOR SPINOR QED

In this Section we show that all our considerations can be easily generalized from the scalar to the spinor loop case. In fact, we will show that the worldline instanton for the spinor case is identical to the worldline instanton for the scalar case.

Recall that the path integral representation of the one-loop effective action due to a spin half particle in the loop differs from (2) only by a global factor of $-\frac{1}{2}$ and the insertion of the following ‘spin factor’ $S[x, A]$ under the path integral (1),

$$S[x, A] = \text{tr}_\Gamma \mathcal{P} \ e^{\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \int_0^T d\tau F_{\mu\nu}(x(\tau))}$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)

Here $\text{tr}_\Gamma$ denotes the Dirac trace and $\mathcal{P}$ the path ordering operator. For the special cases considered in the present paper the path ordering has no effect, since the $F_{\mu\nu}(x(\tau))$ at
FIG. 10: The stationary action $S_0$, in units of $\frac{n\hbar^2}{eE}$, plotted as a function of the inhomogeneity parameter $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined in (60). Note that $S_0$ increases with $\tilde{\gamma}$ and approaches 4 as $\tilde{\gamma} \to 1$. Contrast this plot with the behavior in Figure 5 for a temporal inhomogeneity of the same form.

different proper-times commute. The spin factor then immediately reduces to

$$S[x, A] = 4 \cos\left[ e \int_0^T d\tau E(x(\tau)) \right] = 4 \cos\left[ eT \int_0^1 du E(x(u)) \right]$$

(70)

where $E(x(u))$ is the electric field evaluated on the path $x(u)$.

Our analysis in this paper has involved doing the $T$ integral in (2) first, and then making a semiclassical instanton approximation for the remaining path integral in (5). Recall that (5) is obtained by evaluating the $T$ integral at the critical point (7). The key observation is that in Euclidean space the exponent in the spin factor (70) is imaginary. Therefore the spin factor does not affect the determination of the stationary point $T_0$, nor does it modify the stationary (worldline instanton) equations (9). The only effect of the spin factor is that we need to include the factor (70) evaluated on the worldline instanton path.

For example, in the constant field case, the spin factor is trivially

$$4 \cos\left[ eT_0 E \right] = 4 \cos(\pi n) = 4(-1)^n$$

(71)

where we have used the expression (24) for the parameter $a$ in the constant field case. Including this additional alternating sign factor, along with the global factor of $-\frac{1}{2}$, we see
FIG. 11: The ratio of $Im \Gamma$ for the spatially inhomogeneous electric field $E(x) = E \cos(kx)$ to the constant field result, in the single instanton loop approximation, plotted as a function of the inhomogeneity parameter $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined in (60).

that the imaginary part of the effective Lagrangian is modified from the scalar QED form in (1) to the well-known spinor QED form [17]:

$$\text{Im} L_{\text{spin}}[E] = \frac{e^2 E^2}{8\pi^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{m^2 \pi n}{eE} \right]$$

(72)

Remarkably, this simple alternating sign expression (71) for the spin factor applies not just for the constant field case, but actually extends to our more general class of inhomogeneous background electric fields where the single nonzero component of the gauge field is either $A_3(x_4)$ or $A_4(x_3)$. Consider, for example, the time-dependent electric field considered in Section III B which has Minkowski electric field $E(t) = E \sech^2(\omega t)$. This corresponds to a Euclidean electric field $E(x_4) = E \sec^2(\omega x_4)$. Evaluating $E(x_4(u))$ on the worldline instanton solution (36), and recalling the expression (34) for the parameter $a$, we find that the argument of the spin factor is

$$\frac{ea}{2m} \int_0^1 du E(x_4(u)) = \pi n \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{1 + \gamma^2 \cos^2(2\pi nu)}$$

(73)

Similarly, consider the time-dependent electric field considered in Section III C which has Minkowski electric field $E(t) = E \cos(\omega t)$. This corresponds to a Euclidean electric field
\[ E(x_4) = E \cosh(\omega x_4). \]

Evaluating \( E(x_4(u)) \) on the worldline instanton solution, and recalling the expression for the parameter \( a \), we find that the argument of the spin factor is

\[ \frac{e a}{2m} \int_0^1 du E(x_4(u)) = 2n \frac{K \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2} \right)}{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}} \int_0^1 du \left[ 1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2} \right] \sqrt{1+\gamma^2} \left( \frac{4nK \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2} \right) u \gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2} \right) \]

\[ = \pi n \quad (74) \]

To see that this holds in general, we use the periodicity of the worldline instanton solution to restrict the interval of the \( u \) integral to a single interval on which \( \dot{x}_4 \) has the same sign. For a worldline instanton path of winding number \( n \), there are \( 2n \) such intervals, and each contributes the same amount to \( \int_0^1 du E(x_4(u)) \). Without loss of generality we take the sign of \( \dot{x}_4 \) to be positive on this interval. On this interval we use (18) and change integration variable from \( u \) to \( y = ieA_3/m \), noting from (18) that \( \dot{x}_4 \) vanishes at \( y = \pm 1 \) for the instanton path. Thus

\[ \frac{e a}{2m} \int_0^1 du E(x_4(u)) = \frac{ie a}{2m} \int_0^1 du \frac{dA_3}{dx_4} \bigg|_{x_4(u)} \]

\[ = 2n \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \right) \]

\[ = n\pi \quad (75) \]

A similar analysis applies for the spatially inhomogeneous electric fields with \( A_4 = A_4(x_3) \), which were discussed in Section IV for scalar QED. The implication is that for spinor problems we find the worldline instanton just as in the scalar case, and simply include a factor of \( -2(-1)^n \) inside the sum over winding numbers of the instanton paths. We note that this result is consistent with Nikishov’s virial representation of the imaginary part of the effective action,

\[ \text{Im } \Gamma = \mp \frac{(2s + 1)}{2} \text{Tr}_p \ln \left( 1 \mp \bar{n}_p \right) \quad (76) \]

where the upper/lower signs refer to the spinor/scalar case, \( s \) is the spin, and \( \bar{n}_p \) is the mean number of pairs produced in a state with momentum \( p \).

VI. CONCLUSION

To conclude, we note that the worldline instanton technique gives the leading instanton action in agreement with the standard WKB approach. For the classes of inhomogeneous electric fields, we find that the argument of the spin factor is given by

\[ \frac{e a}{2m} \int_0^1 du E(x_4(u)) = \pi n \quad (74) \]

To see that this holds in general, we use the periodicity of the worldline instanton solution to restrict the interval of the \( u \) integral to a single interval on which \( \dot{x}_4 \) has the same sign. For a worldline instanton path of winding number \( n \), there are \( 2n \) such intervals, and each contributes the same amount to \( \int_0^1 du E(x_4(u)) \). Without loss of generality we take the sign of \( \dot{x}_4 \) to be positive on this interval. On this interval we use (18) and change integration variable from \( u \) to \( y = ieA_3/m \), noting from (18) that \( \dot{x}_4 \) vanishes at \( y = \pm 1 \) for the instanton path. Thus

\[ \frac{e a}{2m} \int_0^1 du E(x_4(u)) = \frac{ie a}{2m} \int_0^1 du \frac{dA_3}{dx_4} \bigg|_{x_4(u)} \]

\[ = 2n \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \right) \]

\[ = n\pi \quad (75) \]

A similar analysis applies for the spatially inhomogeneous electric fields with \( A_4 = A_4(x_3) \), which were discussed in Section IV for scalar QED. The implication is that for spinor problems we find the worldline instanton just as in the scalar case, and simply include a factor of \( -2(-1)^n \) inside the sum over winding numbers of the instanton paths. We note that this result is consistent with Nikishov’s virial representation of the imaginary part of the effective action,

\[ \text{Im } \Gamma = \mp \frac{(2s + 1)}{2} \text{Tr}_p \ln \left( 1 \mp \bar{n}_p \right) \quad (76) \]

where the upper/lower signs refer to the spinor/scalar case, \( s \) is the spin, and \( \bar{n}_p \) is the mean number of pairs produced in a state with momentum \( p \).
geneous background fields considered here, the instanton action can be expressed as

\[
S_0 = \begin{cases} 
ma \int_0^1 du \left( 1 + \left( \frac{e^{A_3(x_4)}}{m} \right)^2 \right), & \text{time dependent field} \\
ma \int_0^1 du \left( 1 + \left( \frac{e^{A_4(x_3)}}{m} \right)^2 \right), & \text{space dependent field}
\end{cases}
\]  

(77)

Express the gauge field in the temporally or spatially dependent case as

\[
A_3(x_4) = -i \frac{E}{\omega} f(\omega x_4), \quad A_4(x_3) = -i \frac{E}{k} f(k x_3)
\]  

(78)

for some function \( f \). Then

\[
S_0 = \frac{4m^2n}{eeE} \int_0^1 dy \frac{\sqrt{1 - y^2}}{|f'|}
\]  

(79)

where \( y = \frac{1}{\gamma} f(v) \) or \( y = \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}} f(v) \), respectively, and where \( f'(v) \) is to be re-expressed as a function of \( y \). This expression (79) agrees with the WKB expression \([28, 29]\) for the exponential part of the pair production rate in an electric field of the form \([12]\) or \([53]\), respectively. The unified worldline instanton approach gives an interesting spacetime picture of the dependence of the pair production on the form of the inhomogeneity. The worldline instanton loops shrink with increasing temporal inhomogeneity of the background electric field, and expand with increasing spatial inhomogeneity of the background electric field. Correspondingly, the local pair production, compared to a locally constant field of the same peak magnitude, is increased or suppressed, respectively.

Several important issues remain and will be addressed in future work. First, the full pair production rate involves also a determinant prefactor corresponding to the contribution of fluctuations about the stationary worldline instanton path(s). This prefactor can be deduced from the WKB approach \([28, 29]\), as was done for the plots in Figures 8 and 11, but it can also be computed directly in the worldline approach \([33]\). In the constant \( E \) field case the fluctuation operator has simple eigenvalues and the determinant prefactor can be computed straightforwardly \([24]\).

Another important question is what can be said about higher loop effects. It is particularly interesting that the worldline instanton approach has the potential to address higher loops, while it is not at all clear how to address higher loops in the WKB language. The main result of Affleck et al’s work \([24]\) is that for a constant \( E \) field, the instanton approach provides a way to resum the leading effect of all higher loops in the situation where the constant field \( E \) is weak, but the coupling \( e \) is arbitrary. This is because the instanton
solution remains a stationary point even after taking the additional interaction term into account which in the worldline formalism represents virtual photon exchanges in the loop. Although this property is presumably specific to the constant field case, it would be very interesting if this type of analysis could be extended to the general worldline instanton loops for inhomogeneous background fields. Some steps in this direction in formulating such a strong-coupling expansion were taken already in the second paper of [2]. Finally, Gies et al have recently shown how one can study the imaginary part of the worldline effective action using a Monte Carlo numerical method, summing over an ensemble of closed spacetime loops. The precise role of the worldline instanton loops in this Monte Carlo approach remains to be clarified, but the close agreement of Figure 8 with the results in [32] is suggestive.
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