ABSTRACT

Background: Patient hygiene of intubated patients is important to the prevention of infection and patient pain. However, barrier to oral entrance and patient oral care patient hygiene have little bit interest.

Aim: The aim of the study was to educate successfully new health professionals and untrained people in intensive care to use of protocols of patient hygiene in critical condition.

Materials and Methods: Descriptive cross-sectional study conducted. Setting: Intensive care units (ICU’s), the 150 health professionals involved in this study. Data correlated with patient hygiene, oral care, hand hygiene, skin care, and catheterization care untrained persons in ICU given knowledge about skin care, ulcers. Untrained staff members were observed by participants before and after given knowledge about patient hygiene. Before and after survey health professionals and non-licensed staff members are observed that they improve their selves.

Results: Main results derived from this those health professionals who are not following the proper way or untrained staff from zero to reach 100% result.

Conclusion: Knowledge gives best to untrained and health professional’s incontinence results, hence good communication between health professionals gives good outcome.
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Introduction

Critical care nurses are very hardworking, they always face tough time to integrate interventions, complicated technologies and apply care based on present evidence, while at the same time care for the overall person is a big challenge and morally conflicts associated with critical illness.

Interventional patient hygiene (IPH) has been characterized as a complete, proof based intercession and estimation model for diminishing the bioburden of both patient and human services specialists. The study design is descriptive by convenient sampling technique. Sample size was 150 respondents. Questionnaire was used for data collection. Data entered on Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Study approved by ethical committee written consent taken from 150 health professionals. IPH parts incorporate hand cleanliness, oral consideration, skincare/antisepsis, and catheter site care. These proof based intercessions can diminish the rate of certain human services procured diseases (HAIs), including urinary tract infections (UTIs). The result derived from this study is that maximum participants agreed that patient hygiene in intensive care units is very difficult. Critical care nurses are confronting difficulties to coordinate intercessions, refined advances and actualize care dependent on current proof, while all the while thinking about the entire individual by tending to the psychosocial challenges and moral clashes related with basic ailment. Most nosocomial contaminations are believed to be transmitted by the hands of social insurance laborers. It has for quite some time been realized that hand cleanliness
among social insurance laborers assumes. Hand washing is the best method for forestalling the spread of irresistible ailments.[2] The reasons of absence of consistence to hand washing include: Absence of suitable hardware, low staff to understanding proportions, sensitivities to hand washing items, inadequate information among staff about dangers and systems, and the time required and easygoing mentalities among healthcare workers (HCWs) toward bio-security.[3] Hand hygiene is a central component of patient security for the anticipation of Health Care Associated Infection (HAIs) and spread of hostile to microbial obstruction. Its advancement speaks to a test that requires a multi model methodology. Hand cleanliness forestalls cross contamination in emergency clinics, yet HCWs adherence to hand cleanliness rules is poor. Simple, convenient access to both hand cleanliness and skin assurance is important for palatable hand cleanliness conduct. Liquor based hand rub might be better than customary hand washing as they require less time, acts quicker, are less bothering, and add to continued improvement in consistence related with diminished disease rates.[4] The hand cleanliness practices of social insurance laborers (HCWs) have long been the principle vector for nosocomial disease in emergency clinics. Hence, study to analyze effects on hazard judgment from the individual contrasts in information levels what is more; well-being convictions among HCWs are significant. Medical clinic obtained diseases groups an undeniable and genuine risk to all who are conceded in medical clinics. Pathogens are promptly transmitted through the hands of HCWs, and hand cleanliness generously diminishes the opportunity this transmission. Confirm based rules for HCWs, hand cleanliness practice exist, however, consistence with these are globally low.[5] Transmission of micro-organisms from the hands of HCWs is the principle wellspring of cross disease in emergency clinic and can be forestalled by hand washing. Consistence with hand washing is moderate. Variety across clinic wards and kinds of HCWs recommends that focused instructive program might be valuable. The relationship between rebelliousness and power of care propose that under staffing may diminish the nature of patient consideration.[6] Hand washing is a demonstrated advantage in forestalling transmission of disease, yet consistence with hand washing, particularly in emergency unit significant.[7] Oral hygiene in mechanically ventilated patients is a main problem in intensive care units. Oral procedure such as (ETT) endotracheal tubes and orogastric tubes for keep open mouth, harm salivary flow, and correct the bacterial natural balance of the oropharynx.[8] Changes in oral flora related to ventilator patient are microbes. They are main reason of changes in normal flora especially in pneumonia.[9] Changes in bacterial and physical changes, environment of oral flora become painful and cause disease such as xerostomia, fungal infection, mucositis, caries, periodontitis, and gingivitis. [10] Outcome of mechanical ventilation is tooth loss after long time.[11] Cooperation of the limited patient in oral care, behavioral barriers play main role unconsciousness, at the same the presence of pain, agitation, and delirium.[12] Barriers contributing to insufficient or ineffective application of antimicrobial pneumonia prophylaxis are reported in 30% of ICU patient.[13] In critically ill patients barrier of the skin care is infection and injury. Giving proper skin care gives impacts skin integrity, it plays important role in infection and pressure ulcers. Giving comforts to the patients in pressure ulcer are main priority for nurses. Nurses are main person to prevent from pressure ulcer and skin infection. Nurses take care of skin and gives therapeutic effects. In critical care units nurses spend their time intervention to prevent various complication belongs to skin integrity.[14] In the United States, skin injuries/pressures ulcers are the main leading escapable error. Pressure ulcer remains minimum 4 days with pain. In hospital-acquired infection pressure ulcer increased. Results of a national survey from 1999 to 2004, due to result of survey the percentage of hospital-acquired pressure ulcer remains constant.[15,16] Catheterization steps of insertion and ongoing care. The steps of catheterization cleaning of the urethral meatus, sterilization, drainage closed system, perform hand washing, an aseptic technique, and wearing gloves which are used and remove immediately after procedure to protect eyes and face in case of splash. The steps of performing hand hygiene, performing catheter hygiene (cleaning catheter site regularly as unit policy), aseptic technique is performing the catheter port.[17] According to this article a conceptual framework for IPH is given.[18]

Significance of the study

Principally, the nursing activity plan was centered on the fortifying of patients’ host safeguards concerning healthy skin, oral consideration furthermore, and the board of self-control, at that point the IPH idea has been extended to hand cleanliness, catheter care, and skin antisepsis. The arrangement of cleanliness and of being clean is the focal point of IPH that ought to have an equivalent need to all other nursing mediations. On the off chance that fundamental nursing care is not preceded as suggested, it is essential to distinguish the obstructions that upset attendants from executing it. Fundamental nursing care might be cheapened or missing by slender or on the other hand confined structures that help the significance of essential nursing care, inability to consider medical caretakers responsible or reward/acknowledgment for doing them. This may require changing nursing society with an accentuation on the essentialness of fundamental nursing care.

Objectives of the study

The purpose of this study is to investigate knowledge and care practices of nurses for IPH. Specific objectives are as follows:

- Do nurses implement IPH practices in critical care units?
- Do nurses have IPH knowledge?
Materials and Methods

Study design

A descriptive cross-sectional study will be conducted.

Study technique

It will be a convenience sampling.

Study area and study population

The study population will be carried out at tertiary care hospitals of Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan. All nurses who are working in the selected tertiary care hospitals and involved in a direct contact with patients in critical care units will be invited to participate in this study.

Sample size

Epidemiological information system (EPI) will be utilized to calculate the sample size of the study. A total number of 150 respondents will be considered to fulfillment of our work.

Research subjects

Inclusion criteria

Health professionals who work at least 2 months in the direct care of CCU/ICU patients were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Health workers who were extremely ill and on annual leave during data collection were excluded from the study.

Duration the study

The study of duration was 6 months after approval of synopsis.

Data collection

A self-administered questionnaire will be used for the purpose of data collection which contains items related to knowledge and practice of regarding the knowledge and care practices of nurses for IPH. It is divided into the following two sections:

- Section I – IPH observational checklist
- Section II – IPH knowledge questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 23.0 IBM Corporation Armonk, New York, USA will be used for data entry and analysis. Initial analysis will be included; computing frequency distribution for categorical variables, mean values (±standard deviation), and median values (with interquartile range) to describe the continuous data with and without normal distribution, respectively. Univariate analyses including t-test and Chi-square were used to test the significance of results of quantitative and qualitative variables. A significant P-value was considered as 0.05.

Ethical considerations

- The approval for the study will be obtained from the educational authorities of University of Lahore
- The approval for the study will be obtained from the research and ethics committees of the university and the participating hospitals
- Confidentiality, anonymity, and privacy of all participants will be guaranteed at all levels of this study
- Written informed consent will also be obtained from each participant.

Results

A total of 150 health professionals were interviewed yielding a response rate of 95% and majorities, 87 (58%) were male. More than half of, 79 (52.7%) were aged 25 or >25. The mean age of the respondents was 25.25 (SD ± 4.5) and a higher proportion (50%) of the respondents was bachelor’s degree holder and 88% of healthcare worker were staff nurses [Table 1].

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of nurse’s working in Tertiary Care Hospitals, Lahore, Pakistan (n=150)

| Characters                  | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Age                         |           |            |
| >25                         | 71        | 47.3       |
| ≤25                         | 79        | 52.7       |
| Sex                         |           |            |
| Male                        | 87        | 58.0       |
| Female                      | 63        | 42.0       |
| Educational status          |           |            |
| Diploma degree              | 45        | 30.0       |
| Bachelor’s degree           | 75        | 50.0       |
| Masters or above            | 30        | 20.0       |
| Work experience (year)      |           |            |
| <5                          | 32        | 21.3       |
| 5–10                        | 96        | 64.0       |
| >10                         | 22        | 14.7       |
| Current position            |           |            |
| Head nurse                  | 18        | 12.0       |
| Staff nurse                 | 132       | 88.0       |
| Had taken training of hand hygiene | 81   | 54.0 |
| Yes                         |           |            |
| No                          | 68        | 46.0       |
| Is there is any hand hygiene protocol in the ICU | 97 | 64.7 |
| Yes                         |           |            |
| No                          | 53        | 35.3       |
Only 42% of nurses carried out proper hand hygiene actions prevent transmission of microorganisms to the patients. About 39% jewelry wearing is associated with increased the risk for colonization of hands with microorganisms. The majority of the respondents (38%) mentioned that oral care is important for proper administration of oral medications followed by the oral care position of supine. Forty-two percent respondents agreed that chlorhexidine is the best solution for oral care followed by Gauze pad. Less than half of the respondents (38%) said that the proper technique used for indwelling urinary catheter insertion is with sterile equipment, followed by petroleum jelly is not a risk factor associated for catheter associated UTI [Table 2].

It has been that the mean level of information the score is higher than the mean rate practice score in all IPH things (hand cleanliness (71.28 ± 25.46, contrasted and 46.15 ± 17.87), oral consideration (100.0 ± 0.0, contrasted and 25.32 ± 24.25), catheter care (75.76 ± 9.40, contrasted and 8.97 ± 24.14), and skincare (47.80 ± 6.79, contrasted and 26.28 ± 16.57). The distinctions between medical caretakers’ practices and information with respect to IPH things were factually noteworthy ($P < 0.0001$). The connection between nurture/understanding proportion and IPH attendants rehearses was measurably huge in all IPH things aside from hand cleanliness [Table 3]. The comparison of comparison between the mean percentage practice score and the mean percentage knowledge score of nurses toward items of IPH [Table 4].

### Discussion

This study tells us how to prepare the skin for insertion of catheter, although is estimated that 30 million people

**Table 2**: Demonstrated the proper hand hygiene actions prevent transmission of microorganisms to the patients

| Characters                              | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Material used in oral care              |           |            |
| Tooth brush                             | 47        | 27.3       |
| Cotton swabs                           | 46        | 30.7       |
| Gauze pad                              | 63        | 42.0       |
| Immediately before a clean/aseptic procedure | 0        | 0.00       |
| Characteristics of ideal oral cleaning solution |       |            |
| Contains alcohol                       | 33        | 22.0       |
| Antibacterial                          | 63        | 42.0       |
| Maintains oral moistness               | 16        | 10.7       |
| Increases viscosity of oral mucus      | 30        | 20.0       |
| Promotes wound healing                 | 8         | 5.3        |
| Which of the following is not a risk factor for catheter associated urinary tract infection? |       |            |
| Prolonged catheterization              | 33        | 22.0       |
| Hypertension                           | 63        | 42.0       |
| Impaired immunity                      | 30        | 20.0       |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 24        | 16.0       |
| Which is not an indicator for indwelling urinary catheterization in critically ill? |       |            |
| Acute urinary retention                | 33        | 22.0       |
| Need for accurate measurements of urinary output | 63 | 42.0 |
| Patients who receive large volume infusions | 16 | 10.7 |
| Obtaining urine for culture            | 30        | 20.0       |
| Which is the proper technique used for indwelling urinary catheter insertion? |       |            |
| Clean technique                        | 48        | 32.0       |
| Aseptic techniques with sterile equipment | 44     | 29.3       |
| Clean technique with sterile equipment | 58        | 38.7       |
| Which of the following is not a risk factor associated for catheter associated urinary tract infection? |       |            |
| Altered urinary PH                     | 33        | 22.0       |
| Hypertension                           | 63        | 42.0       |
| Impaired immunity                      | 16        | 11.0       |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 15        | 10.0       |
| Petroleum Jelly                        | 8         | 5.0        |
| Oxygen peroxide                        | 15        | 10.0       |

**Table 3**: Demonstrate that the mean level of information the score is higher than the mean rate practice score in all IPH things

| Characters                              | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| The bag should be emptied every (hour) |           |            |
| 8                                       | 47        | 27.3       |
| 12                                      | 46        | 30.7       |
| 24                                      | 63        | 42.0       |
| Others                                  | 0         | 0.00       |
| Urine culture should be obtained from   |           |            |
| The catheter                           | 83        | 55.3       |
| The bag                                 | 67        | 44.7       |
| The bags should be collected in a separate container for each patient |       |            |
| Yes                                     | 69        | 46.0       |
| No                                      | 81        | 54.0       |
| Bed path should be performed for patients every (hour) |       |            |
| 8                                       | 47        | 27.3       |
| 12                                      | 63        | 42.0       |
| 24                                      | 30        | 20.0       |
| Others                                  | 24        | 16.0       |
| Each patients should have disposable basin for bed bath |       |            |
| Yes                                     | 81        | 54.0       |
| No                                      | 69        | 46.0       |
| Basins can be reused between patients   |           |            |
| Yes                                     | 63        | 42.0       |
| No                                      | 87        | 58.0       |
| ICU staff gets rid of basins after patients discharge |       |            |
| Yes                                     | 81        | 54.0       |
| No                                      | 69        | 46.0       |
| It is important to use sterile water in bed bath |       |            |
| Yes                                     | 81        | 54.0       |
| No                                      | 69        | 46.0       |
| Bed bath is the most important procedure for skin assessment |       |            |
| Yes                                     | 63        | 42.0       |
| No                                      | 87        | 58.0       |
| The skin should be rubbed forcefully for better cleanliness |       |            |
| Yes                                     | 81        | 54.0       |
| No                                      | 69        | 46.0       |
inserted folys and use chlohexidine to prevent from infection. Although chlorhexidine is consider the most helpful antiseptic for reducing catheter related infections. The poor technique of hand washing, which is necessary in preventing the transmission of infections between patients, will become too rapid spread source of healthcare related infections in the hospitals. Use of the clean gloves was better than hand washing the nursing staff.

ICU nurses supposed that they checked patients’ oral cavities daily. According to researcher ICU nurses are responsible for ensuring that oral care assessments are thoroughly carried out and that symptoms of complications are well-known. During performing oral health assessments identifies patient’s oral problems and to check for intervention’s effectiveness\(^9\)\(^\text{-}\)\(^{12}\) the results show that educating staff members related to basic skin care, implement an available and easy tool, and implement a IPH plan about bathing and incontinence protocols for reduction of impaired skin integrity. The educational results show for staff knowledge about skin care.\(^{19}\)

**Conclusion**

This study shows results that nurses have improper IPH practices. In addition, according to this study, knowledge patient hygiene was satisfactory. Related to skin care and unsatisfactory about hand hygiene, oral care, and catheter care. Barriers for IPH in ICUs are workload, shortness of resources, insufficient knowledge/training, and lack of policy for implement IPH.
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