Modeling the nucleus laminaris of the barn owl: achieving 20 ps resolution on a 85-MHz-clocked digital device

Ralf Salomon, Enrico Heinrich * and Ralf Joost
Faculty of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

1. INTRODUCTION

The barn owl auditory system constitutes an impressive localization system (Kempter et al., 1996). Its performance is mainly due to the architecture of the nucleus laminaris (see, also, Figure 1). It employs a rather large number of neurons, which all operate as coincidence detectors. These coincidence detectors connect to two reciprocal (anti-parallel) axonal “delay”-lines. These axonal delay lines induce additional delays on the acoustic signals as they travel from one coincidence detector to the next one. In so doing, the axonal delay lines change the mutual timing between the two signals that are originating at the two ears; actually, the timing between the two signals is unique at every coincidence detector. As a consequence all coincidence detectors evaluate a different timing of the external sound signal, and their spatial distribution corresponds to the location of the external sound signal.

Localization is not only relevant in nature but also in numerous technical applications. The global positioning system (GPS, Misra and Enge, 2006; and in the near future, Galileo, European Commission, 2003, as well) is one of those examples that have penetrated our everyday life. Despite its wide acceptance, GPS has also a few shortcomings. For example, GPS is not available as freely interconnected at the programmers dispense. Section IV briefly discusses a first prototypical implementation on such a digital hardware. The first prototype has been tested in various configurations. Section V summaries the experimental results, which indicate that the prototype is able to achieve a resolution as small as 20 ps even though the chosen digital device is clocked at only 85 MHz, which corresponds to a duty cycle of approximately 12 ns. In addition, this paper also explores the utility of an advanced sampling scheme, known as unfolding-in-time. It turns out that with this sampling method, the prototype can easily process input signals of up to 300 MHz, which is almost four times higher than the sampling rate.
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A further challenge is induced, if electromagnetic signals are to be used, which is often the case; because electromagnetic signals travel with the speed of light \( c = 3 \times 10^8 \) m/s, a resolution of 1 cm corresponds to approximately 30 ps, which cannot be achieved with currently available low-cost digital systems. Because of these requirements, this paper investigates to which extent the main principles of the nucleus laminaris can be realized in digital hardware. To this end, Section II summarizes the localization problem from a technical point of view.

Section III approaches the digital implementation of the neuronal coincidence detectors. Basically, the digital coincidence detector consists of a simple exclusive-or gate, which “mixes” the two incoming signals, and an additional counter, which evaluates the gate’s activation time, which directly corresponds to the signals’ difference, i.e., the phase shift. Because of its internal structure, this localization system is called exclusively XOR-ed counter array, or X-ORCA for short.

X-ORCA’s internal structure is simple and quite regular. These properties make X-ORCA particularly suitable for the implementation on field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs; Altera Document C2251002-3.1, 2007) and application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs). An FPGA is a digital circuit that consists of thousands of logical gates, which all can be freely configured as well as freely interconnected at the programmers dispense. Section IV briefly discusses a first prototypical implementation on such a digital device.

The first prototype has been tested in various configurations. Section V summaries the experimental results, which indicate that the prototype is able to achieve a resolution as small as 20 ps even though the chosen digital device is clocked as slow as 85 MHz, which corresponds to a duty cycle of about 12 ns.

The initial tests have employed a standard sampling scheme, where the sampling rate \( f_{\text{sample}} \gg f_i \) is much higher than the signal frequency. Section VI explores an additional sampling scheme, known as unfolding-in-time (Hertz et al., 1991). It turns out that
this scheme allows for signal frequencies way above the sampling rate; the first prototype easily processes up to 300 MHz as is shown in Section VI, even though the sampling rate is still at 85 MHz. Finally, Section VII concludes this paper with a brief discussion.

2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: LOCALIZATION

Localization is a process that derives new, so-far unknown points from a set of given reference points by evaluating angles and/or distances. Generally, such localization systems come in two flavors: (1) a set of receivers (passive infrastructure) determines the location of an active sender, or (2) a passive receiver derives its own position from the signals emitted by a set of active transmitters. In accordance with the barn owl (and almost all other animals), this paper assumes a one-dimensional setup as is illustrated in Figure 2. Since this traveling happens with a finite speed, the localization system has further internal delays, such as switches, cables of different lengths, repeaters, and further logical gates. However, these internal delays can all be omitted, since they can be easily eliminated in a proper calibration process.

Localization by measuring the time-difference-of-arrival becomes particularly challenging, if the system is based on electromagnetic signals, which travel with the speed of light $c \approx 3 \times 10^8$ m/s. For example, an electromagnetic signals travels 1 cm in approximately 33 ps.

3. THE X-ORCA LOCALIZATION SYSTEM

This section proposes a localization system called X-ORCA, which is an acronym for XOR-ed counter array. On an abstract level, this system has quite the same architecture as the barn owl auditory systems. It consists of two inputs (the two ears), two regular wires (the two axonal delays), and quite a number of coincidence detectors (the neurons).

The description of the (digital) coincidence detectors requires a little more care. First of all, simple logic gates cannot be operating as coincidence detectors. But, “coincidence” of two signals can be interpreted such that there is no difference between them; in other words, they are identical at all times. The difference of two signals is easy to detect, a simple XOR gates can do the job. An XOR gate delivers a logical one, if either of the two inputs has a logical one but not both. Thus, the proportion of a logical one with respect to a logical zero at the output of an XOR gate expresses the phase shift $\psi$ between the two inputs (see, also, Section II).

With an XOR gate as the combiner of the two inputs, the task is to measure the average duration of the logical ones at its output. This can be achieved with the circuitry illustrated in Figure 3.
The output of the XOR gate is connected to the enable input of a simple counter. This counter increases its value, if at the clock signal (actually the transition from a logical zero to a logical one), the enable input is activated. Thus, the counter value is proportional to the timely proportion of logical ones at the XOR gate’s output and the total number of clock cycles. This value is thus proportional to the phase shift $\Delta \varphi$ between both input signals.

For example, let us assume an input signal with a frequency of $f = 100$ MHz and a phase shift of $\Delta \varphi = \pi/4 = 45^\circ$. Then, if the counter is clocked at a rate of 10 GHz over a signal’s period $T = 1/(100$ MHz) = 10 ns, the counter will assume a value of $v = 25$. In this example, all the given numbers, particularly the chosen frequencies, are for educational purposes only, and may not be realistic in a specific implementation.

As has already been seen in the barn owl auditory system, X-ORCA also employs a large number of coincidence detectors (see Figure 4), which are all connected to two reciprocal (anti-parallel) “delay” wires $w_1$ and $w_2$ on which the two signals $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ travel with approximately two third of the speed of light $c_{w} = 2/3c$.

The mode of operation of the X-ORCA system is quite identical to that of the barn owl. Let us start with the coincidence detector (neurons) at which the two inputs match, i.e., both inputs have a vanishing phase shift $\varphi = 0$. Then, due to the “delays” along the two wires $w_1$ and $w_2$, the coincidence detectors to the right and left observe non-matching inputs, i.e., non-vanishing phase shifts $\varphi \neq 0$. If the delay wires cause a time delay $\delta$ between two coincidence detectors, then these detectors observe a total time shift of $2\delta$. That is, the duration of a logical one is also increased or decrease by $2\delta$.

Normally, the time difference $2\delta$ is much smaller than the duty cycle of the counter, and would thus not have any effect. However, if sampling over a sufficiently long time span, this tiny time delay will eventually affect the final counter value.

4. THE FIRST PROTOTYPE

The first X-ORCA prototype was implemented on an Altera Cyclone II FPGA (Altera Document MNLN051805-1.3, 2007). This device charges about 50 USD, offers 33,216 logic elements, and can be clocked at about 85 MHz. The development board, which is required only during research and development, charges about 500 USD and features all the required components, interfaces, and development tools.
FIGURE 4 | X-ORCA places all phase detectors along two reciprocal (anti-parallel) “delay” wires \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \), on which the two signals \( r_1(t) \) and \( r_2(t) \) travel with approximately two thirds of the speed of light \( c_r \approx \frac{2}{3}c \). Because the two wires \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are reciprocal, all phase detectors have different internal delays \( \tau_i \).

Figure 5 shows a top-level view of the X-ORCA prototype. It consists of 140 phase detectors, a common data bus, a Nios II soft core processor (Altera Document NII5V1-7.2, 2007), and a system PLL that runs at 85 MHz. The Nios II processor manages all the counters of the phase detectors, and reports the results via an interface to a PC.

Due to the limited laboratory equipment, the transmitter is realized as a simple function generator that emits a sinusoidal signal. In order to focus on the core system, wireless communication capabilities were not employed; rather, the prototype is connected to the function generator via a regular wire as well as a line stretcher (Microlab, 2008). Such a line stretcher can be extended or shortened, and can thus change the signal propagation time accordingly.

It should be noted, though, that X-ORCA’s internal “delay wires” \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are realized as pure passive internal wires, connecting the device’s logic elements, as previously announced in Section III.

5. RESULTS
Figures 6–11 summarize the experimental results that were achieved with the first X-ORCA prototype under different configurations. Unless otherwise stated, these figures present the counter values \( v_i \) of \( n = 140 \) different phase detectors, which were clocked at a rate of 85 MHz.

Figure 6 shows the behavior of the X-ORCA architecture when using the external 19 MHz localization signal. In this experiment, one of the connections from the function generator to the input pad of the development board was established by a line stretcher (Microlab, 2008), whereas the other one was made of a regular copper wire. Figure 6 shows the values \( v_i \) of the \( n = 140 \) counters, which were still clocked at 85 MHz over a measurement period of 10,000,000 ticks.
neighboring phase detectors do not steadily increase or decrease, which makes the curve look a bit rough. This effect can be compensated. Each counter has a unique and constant internal delay, therefore, the counter values $v_i$ can be plotted over the internal delay $\tau_i$ that results in a flat curve as shown in Figure 7.

The three graphs in Figure 7 refer to a phase shift of $\Delta \varphi \in [-0.3', 0, +0.3']$, which corresponds to time delays $\Delta t \in -0.02, 0, +0.02$ ns. It should be noted that the graph of this figure appears as a straight line, since the internal time delays $\tau_i$ span much less than an entire period of the 19 MHz signal.

Figure 8 presents a different view of Figure 7: In the graph, every dot represents the sum $v_{tot} = \sum v_i$ of all $n = 140$ counter values $v_i$; that is, an entire graph of Figure 7 is collapsed into one single dot. The graph shows 29 measurements in which the line...
stretcher was extended by 1 cm step by step. It can be seen that a length difference of \( \Delta x = 1 \text{ cm} \) decreases \( v_{\text{tot}} \) by about 2 million. This result suggests that with a localization of 19 MHz, X-ORCA is able to detect a length difference of about \( \Delta x = 1 \text{ mm} \), which equals a time resolution of about 0.003 ns.

The second focus of the practical experiments was to explore the lower limit of the normalized time delay \( \Delta t \cdot f \). To this end, the prototype was exposed to two localization signals with varying time delays. The localization frequencies were set to \( f \in \{1.14 \text{ MHz}, 111 \text{ kHz}, 11 \text{ kHz}\} \). The results are plotted in Figures 9–11.

All three figures show the very same qualitative behavior. The only difference is the absolute value of the delay value indicator: a decrease of the localization frequency by a factor of 10 leads to a reduction of the delay value indicator by the very same amount; with the identical time delay \( \Delta t \) the phase shift is only a tenth, if the frequency is also just a tenth.

6. BEYOND THE LIMIT \( f_{\text{sample}} \gg f_e \)

From a technical point of view, it might be interesting to explore the upper limit of the frequency \( f_e \) of the input signal, which
FIGURE 10 | This figure shows the delay value indicator for two 111 kHz localization signals for various lengths of the utilized line stretcher.

FIGURE 11 | This figure shows the delay value indicator for two 11 kHz localization signals for various lengths of the utilized line stretcher.

was illustrated as the transmitter $T$ in Figure 2. At first glance, it should be $f_e \ll f_{\text{sample}}$ way smaller than the sampling frequency $f_{\text{sample}}$ in order to obtain a reasonable resolution of the phase shift $\Delta \varphi \geq \pi f_e / f_{\text{sample}}$. That is, the higher the input frequency the more the resolution degrades. However, since both the input and the sample signal are periodic by their very nature, X-ORCA offers other options. One option is known as unfolding-in-time (Hertz et al., 1991), and is described in Subsection VI-A. With this option, the input frequency is not anymore bound to the sampling frequency; rather, it is only limited by the processing speed of the very first stage of the digital implementation device. Subsection VI-B reports results for $f_e = 300$ MHz, which is simply the limit of the chosen FPGA device.

6.1. UNFOLDING-IN-TIME

The upper part of Figure 12 illustrates the “standard” form of sampling a signal $Q(t)$ that has frequency $f_e$ and has thus a signal period $T = 1/f_e$. Then, the samples could be taken at $0, t, 2t, \ldots,$
The available laboratory equipment did not allow for external localization frequencies \( f_e \) larger than 19 MHz. Therefore, the second set of experiments utilized a second system-internal PLL (see, also, Figure 5), which runs at 300 MHz.

**Figure 13** shows the \( n = 140 \) counter values \( v_1 \) for two 300 MHz (localization) signals that have a zero phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = 0 \) (solid line), a phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = -30.9^\circ \) (dotted line), and a phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = +29.5^\circ \) (dashed line). The input signals were sampled 1,000,000 times, which corresponds to an averaging over 196 periods, with virtually 5100 samples per period of the localization signal (please, see also the discussion presented in Subsection VI-A). It can be clearly seen that the minimum is at counter index no. 32 with increasing counter values to the right and left as can be expected from X-ORCA’s internal architecture.

**Figure 13** shows the same qualitative behavior already shown in **Figure 7**. That is, already the first prototype is able to determine the phase shift of two 300 MHz signals, even though these signals are sampled at only 85 MHz. The figure also shows that a time difference of \( \Delta t \approx 0.3 \) ns consistently shifts the “counter curve” by about 15 counters. This results suggest that at 300 MHz, the prototype would be able to detect a time delay as small as \( \Delta t = 0.02 \) ns, which equals to a length resolution of about \( \Delta x \approx 6 \) mm.

Unfortunately, the available FPGA device does not allow for higher frequencies of the localization signal, and thus does not allow for finding the true limits for \( f_e \).

### 6.2. Results for \( f_e = 300 \) MHz

The available laboratory equipment did not allow for external localization frequencies \( f_e \) larger than 19 MHz. Therefore, the second set of experiments utilized a second system-internal PLL (see, also, Figure 5), which runs at 300 MHz.

**Figure 13** shows the \( n = 140 \) counter values \( v_1 \) for two 300 MHz (localization) signals that have a zero phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = 0 \) (solid line), a phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = -30.9^\circ \) (dotted line), and a phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = +29.5^\circ \) (dashed line). The input signals were sampled 1,000,000 times, which corresponds to an averaging over 196 periods, with virtually 5100 samples per period of the localization signal (please, see also the discussion presented in Subsection VI-A). It can be clearly seen that the minimum is at counter index no. 32 with increasing counter values to the right and left as can be expected from X-ORCA’s internal architecture.

**Figure 13** shows the same qualitative behavior already shown in **Figure 7**. That is, already the first prototype is able to determine the phase shift of two 300 MHz signals, even though these signals are sampled at only 85 MHz. The figure also shows that a time difference of \( \Delta t \approx 0.3 \) ns consistently shifts the “counter curve” by about 15 counters. This results suggest that at 300 MHz, the prototype would be able to detect a time delay as small as \( \Delta t = 0.02 \) ns, which equals to a length resolution of about \( \Delta x \approx 6 \) mm.

Unfortunately, the available FPGA device does not allow for higher frequencies of the localization signal, and thus does not allow for finding the true limits for \( f_e \).

### 7. Discussion

This paper has presented a digital implementation, of the barn owl’s nucleus laminaris. The model is called X-ORCA, and is intended to be used in technical applications, such as localization. In its core, X-ORCA exploits the finite traveling speed of signals along anti-parallel wires, and estimates the timing landscape by employing a large number of rather imprecise correlation detectors.

The first prototype runs on a rather old Altera Cyclone II board, and achieves a resolution of about 20 ps for input signals of up to 300 MHz. The obtained resolution in time corresponds to a spatial resolution of about 6 mm, if using electromagnetic signals and having suitable sensors.

Unfortunately, the available laboratory equipment did not allow to test the true limits of the first prototype. This particularly applies to the maximal frequency \( f_e \) of the localization signal.
FIGURE 13 | The figure shows the counter values \( v \) of \( n = 140 \) phase detectors when fed with two 300 MHz signals with zero phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = 0 \) (solid line), with a phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = -30.9^\circ \) (dotted line), as well as with a phase shift \( \Delta \varphi = +29.5^\circ \) (dashed line).

and to the achievable resolution with respect to \( \Delta x \). These tests will be certainly subject of future research.

Future research will also be devoted to the integration of wireless communication modules. The best option for that approach seems to be the utilization of a software-defined radio module, such as the Universal Software Radio Peripheral 2 (USRP2; Ettus Research LLC\(^3\)). Finally, future research will port the first prototype onto more state-of-the-art development boards, such as an Altera Stratix V FPGA (Altera Document SV5V1-1.0, 2010).
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