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Abstract Peptide signaling in plants is a rapid developing area of research which focuses on so called peptide hormones. These signaling molecules are utilized for inter-cellular communication in different developmental processes, beside the usage of the more well-known phytohormones. Probably the best studied peptide ligands in plants are the CLAVATA3 (CLV3)/ENDOSPERM SURROUNDING REGION (ESR)-related (CLE) proteins. This family of signaling polypeptides is comprised of 32 members in Arabidopsis and, with the exception of the presence of related proteins in some parasitic worms, is restricted to the plant kingdom. CLV3 is one of the founding CLE genes and is involved in stem cell niche maintenance in apical meristems during plant development. While the CLV signaling pathway is well characterized with the identification of three receptors and a stem-cell-promoting transcription factor as target, the functioning of other family members is not or poorly understood. The recent discoveries of a new type of receptor involved in CLV signaling and a functional pathway for CLE40 in root development mark the rapid progress that is made in the area of CLE peptide signaling. This review gives an overview how CLE peptides are used as signaling molecules, and how they are involved in cell-to-cell communication in concert with different known and unknown receptors in a range of developmental processes during plant development.
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Abbreviations
AA amino acids
CLE CLV3/ESR
CLV clavata
CRN coryne
CZ central zone
FEA fasciated ear
FON floral organ number
FOS FON2 SPARE1
LRR leucine-rich repeat
ESR endosperm surrounding region
RLP receptor-like protein
PZ peripheral zone
RLK receptor-like kinase
SAM shoot apical meristem
TD THICK TASSEL DWARF
WUS wuschel

Introduction

In multicellular organisms, cell-to-cell communication is essential for coordinating growth and differentiation of cells into new tissues and organs. Hormones have been known for a long time to act as signaling molecules in plants for mediating physiological responses. Beside hormones, secreted signaling peptides have also been shown to be involved in inter-cellular communication in plants. SYSTEMIN was the first functional
peptide ligand isolated from plants and is involved in the wounding response. In the last decade, several peptide ligands have been discovered in plants that are involved in a broad range of developmental processes like cell division (PHYTO-SULFOKINE), pollen–stigma interaction, and stem cell maintenance (CLAVATA3 (CLV3)). Based on these studies, small secreted peptide ligands, also called peptide hormones, have become an important family of signaling molecules in plant development.

A well-known family of peptide ligands is encoded by the CLE gene family. One of the founding family members in Arabidopsis is CLV3, which was shown to be active as a secreted peptide ligand and has a function in stem cell communication. The maintenance of stem cells during plant development requires a delicate balance between cell growth and restriction of cell proliferation, enabling maintenance of a constant pool of stem cells. CLV3 is involved in this process as a mobile peptide ligand, used as a short-range signal in inter-cellular communication. CLV1 and CLV2 are known to be the receptors involved in perception of the CLV3 peptide and translocation of the signal into the cell. Both are part of large and diverse gene families in plants. More recently the receptor-like kinase CORYNE (CRN) was identified as a new type of receptor involved in CLV3/endosperm surrounding region (ESR)-related (CLE) signaling. The identification of a third type of receptor increases the number of possible receptor pairs for CLE-type ligand perception, and, in combination with the different CLE peptides, this results in an extensive signaling potential that can be exploited during the different phases of plant development.

CLE signaling in the shoot apical meristem of Arabidopsis

The shoot apical meristem (SAM) in plants provides the founder cells for the initiation of new organs and can be divided into various zones and layers. The central zone (CZ) in the middle of the meristem is responsible for meristem maintenance, with an upper stem cell cluster marked by CLV3 expression that provides cells for leaf formation and stem growth, together with a lower cell cluster: the rib zone (Fig. 1). The slow-dividing multipotent stem cells are located in a micro-environment (niche) in the CZ of the SAM where new cells are formed for the peripheral zone (PZ), but also for its own replenishment. The stem cells maintain simultaneously two antagonistic events: cell propagation and cell differentiation. The descendants of the CZ cells move into the PZ where the organs are initiated, which are leaves during the vegetative phase of development and flowers in the generative phase. In addition to its division into zones, the SAM can also be separated into different cell layers. The L1 and L2 layers represent the tunica layers whereas L3 represents the internal layers or corpus (Fig. 1).

CLV3 is expressed in the stem cells of Arabidopsis meristems and encodes a secreted precursor protein, which is processed into a functional peptide hormone (Figs. 1 and 2; Kondo et al. 2006; Ohyama et al. 2009). CLV3 interacts with the underlying CLV1/CLV2 CRN/CLV2 receptor complexes to restrict the number of stem cells in the SAM (Fig. 1; Fletcher et al. 1999; Rojo et al. 2002). Where the stem cells are marked by CLV3 expression, the underlying SAM organizing centre is marked by the expression of the stem cell-promoting WUSCHEL (WUS) gene (Fig. 1; Schoof et al. 2000). WUS, a homeobox transcription factor, provides a positive signal to maintain an undifferentiated state and functions antagonistically to the CLV pathway, which restricts stem cell development by negatively regulating WUS expression (Brand et al. 2000; Schoof et al. 2000). CLV3 in turn is positively regulated by WUS, creating a feedback regulatory loop between CLV3 and WUS that regulates the number of stem cells in the SAM. As such, clv1, clv2, and clv3 mutants have enlarged SAMs, while the wus mutation or CLV3 over-expression results in differentiation of the stem cells and subsequently the termination of SAM development (Laux et al. 1996; Brand et al. 2000).

Induction of CLV3 expression in wild-type Arabidopsis results in a decrease of endogenous CLV3 and WUS expression already 3 h after induction (Müller et al. 2006). The balance between CLV3 and WUS expression is therefore essential for a proper specification of the CZ and
PZ domains; when this balance is disturbed it affects the rate of cell division accompanied by a re-specification of cells from CZ to PZ or vice versa (Reddy and Meyerowitz 2005; Müller et al. 2006).

CLV3/ESR gene family

CLV3 belongs to the CLV3/ESR (CLE) family of genes and is named after the first two founder genes, namely CLV3 and endosperm surrounding region. ESR genes were first identified in maize as being expressed in the endosperm regions surrounding the embryo (Opsahl-Ferstad et al. 1997). All three ESR genes encode extracellular proteins with unknown function, but with a conserved CLE motif similar to CLV3 near their C-termini (Bonello et al. 2002; Cock and McCormick 2001). In Arabidopsis, the CLE family consists of 32 members of which the majority are transcribed in one or more tissues (Cock and McCormick 2001; Hobe et al. 2003; Sharma et al. 2003; Fiers et al. 2004; Strabala et al. 2006). All CLE genes share three characteristics with CLV3 and the ESR proteins: they encode a small protein (<10 kD) with a putative secretion signal at their N-termini and contain a conserved 14-AA motif (CLE motif) at or near their C-termini (Fig. 2; Cock and McCormick 2001).

It is surprising to note that mutation of CLE genes does not appear to result in visible mutant phenotypes except for the clv3 mutation, which results in an enlarged SAM and increased number of carpels inside the flowers (Clark et al. 1995). This could be due to redundancy of the CLE ligands, mature peptides, in which the first and second proline is hydroxylated (as indicated by asterisks) and in case of MCLV3 the second proline is arabinosylated (indicated by a)
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Fig. 2 Alignment of several CLE proteins from different plants and organisms including the mature peptide of CLV3 and TDIF. The signal sequences are underlined and the CLE box is framed. Note the four extra CLE domains in OsCLE32. MCLV3 and TDIF represent the
Exchanging the CLE domain of CLV3 with those from other CLE proteins resulted in variable degrees of complementation in *clv3-1* background, from almost complete complementation in the case of CLE1 and CLE6, to hardly any effect with CLE25 and CLE26 (Ni and Clark 2006). Taking an over-expression approach using 17 CLE genes from *Arabidopsis* resulted in termination of the SAM similar to CLV3 for 10 CLE genes, revealing functional similarity between the CLE ligands (Strabala et al. 2006). Because the CLE motif was the only commonly shared domain among CLE proteins and CLE proteins are secreted, synthetic peptides comprising the CLE motif were tested for their functionality. Experiments using in vitro applied peptides showed that the *clv3* defect in the SAM could be restored with the use of different CLE peptides. Testing 26 CLE peptides, comprising all 32 CLE peptide sequences in *Arabidopsis*, resulted for 10 CLE peptides in a reduction of the SAM size as seen with the CLV3 peptide (CLV3p; Fiers et al. 2006; Kinoshita et al. 2007).

These peptide and domain swapping experiments revealed an interesting redundancy among the CLE family members, in which the receptors seem to be able to tolerate a certain degree of CLE sequence variants. Several CLEs are able to replace CLV3 in vitro or when placed under the CLV3 regulatory elements, but there is no evidence that this also occurs in planta. Since the secreted CLE molecules are not able to travel over long distances (Lenhard and Laux 2003), a biologically relevant redundancy requires an overlapping or adjacent expression pattern, and a sufficiently high expression level.

The quest for the mature CLV3 peptide was thought to come to an end with the identification of a functional CLV3 (MCLV3) peptide using callus over expressing CLV3. This MCLV3 peptide consists of 12 amino acids (AAs; RTVPDSGDPDHH) comprising the CLE motif, of which the first two prolines were modified to hydroxyproline (Kondo et al. 2006). More recently the CLV3 peptide was isolated from culture media derived from whole-plants of *Arabidopsis* over expressing CLV3. Interestingly, this isolated CLV3 peptide was composed of 13 AA comprising the CLE motif (RTVPDSGPDHPLHHH), in which the second hydroxyproline on position 7 was arabinosylated (Ohyama et al. 2009, Fig. 2). This arabinosylation was shown to be critical for the biological activity of CLV3 and CLE2 and the binding of CLV3 to the CLV1 receptor even at nano-molar concentrations (Ohyama et al. 2009).

Beside the identification of CLE peptides in *Arabidopsis*, a CLE-like peptide, tracheary element differentiation inhibitory factor (TDIF), was isolated from *Zinnia Elegans* mesophyll cell culture medium and identified as a suppressor of xylem development (Ito et al. 2006). This 12-AA CLE peptide (HEVPDSGPDPNISP), with two hydroxylated prolines, as seen for MCLV3, is identical to the CLE domain of CLE41/44. Three CLE peptides, CLE41, 42, and 44 containing two hydroxyproline residues, were shown to independently suppress tracheary element differentiation, but were unable to terminate development of the root apical meristem (Fig. 2; Ito et al. 2006). CLV3 and other CLE peptides involved in the suppression of stem cell development in root and shoot development are named A-type CLE peptides; this in contrast to the B-type CLE peptides, CLE41 and CLE44, in the case of *Arabidopsis*, which do not (Whitford et al. 2008). B-type CLE peptides suppress the differentiation of xylem cells from stem-cell-like procambial cells and promote cell division. Interestingly, a functional CLV3 peptide was able to promote xylem cell differentiation in a *Zinnia* cell culture revealing two counteracting pathways in vascular development, one that promotes and one that inhibits stem cell differentiation (Ito et al. 2006). The initial hypothesis that these two classes of peptides work antagonistically was shown to be too simple. CLE41 (a B-type CLE peptide) promoted the proliferation of vascular cells, but combining the A and B-type CLE peptides had a synergistic effect resulting in a massive proliferation of vascular cells which was shown to rely on auxin signaling (Whitford et al. 2008). The combination of A and B-type classes of peptides resulted in a disturbed ratio between cell division and cell differentiation revealing a complex crosstalk of different types of CLE peptides during vascular development.

There are no A-type peptides reported to be involved in vascular development, but noteworthy in this respect is one of the phenotypes observed after mis-expression of CLE19. This A-type CLE peptide caused, among other phenotypes, a failure in the connection of the xylem network (Fiers et al. 2004). CLE19 mis-expression seems to induce a differentiation into xylem cells resulting in vascular islands in the flower bud without a connection to the main stem. Interesting in this respect is the endogenous expression of CLE19 in root pericycle cells facing the protoxylem poles which points to a function in vascular development in roots (Fiers et al. 2004).

Is a CLV-like signaling pathway involved in root meristem maintenance?

There are several similarities between stem cell populations in the root and shoot meristem which raises the question as to whether similar stem-cell-maintaining pathways, particular the CLV pathway, are present both in shoot and root meristems? While endogenous CLV3 is not expressed in roots, over-expression of CLV3 does result, as in the SAM, in the consumption of the root meristematic cells. This phenotype is shared in vivo by several CLE genes such as CLE40 and CLE19 upon over-expression (Hobe et al. 2003; Fiers et al. 2004; Casamitjana-Martinez et al. 2003; Strabala...
et al. 2006). Both these genes, like many other CLEs, are expressed during root development but their function is unknown (Fig. 3; Fiers et al. 2004; Hobe et al. 2003; Birnbaum et al. 2003). To find regulators in the CLE signaling machinery during root development a transgenic line that over-expresses CLE19, was used as basis for a mutagenesis approach (Casamitjana-Martinez et al. 2003). This resulted in the identification of two mutants involved in the CLE perception namely Suppressors Of LLP1 (sol1) and sol2 (Casamitjana-Martinez et al. 2003). The SOL1 locus was cloned and encodes a Zn$^{2+}$ carboxypeptidase which is expressed throughout the plant and supposed to play a role in ligand processing (Casamitjana-Martinez et al. 2003). Remarkably, the sol1 mutant does not display any phenotype, beside the suppression of CLE19 over-expression, making it unlikely that SOL1 is generally involved in CLE processing (Casamitjana-Martinez et al. 2003). SOL2 was later identified as CRN, a membrane-bound receptor kinase lacking an extracellular domain (Müller et al. 2008; Miwa et al. 2008).

Several CLEs were shown to cause a consumption of the root upon over-expression independent of their endogenous expression pattern; though the effect seems to correlate with a particular level of sequence similarity (Fiers et al. 2005; Strabala et al. 2006; Kinoshita et al. 2007). This termination of the root meristem can be mimicked by the exogenous application of synthetic CLE peptides comprising the CLE motif (Fiers et al. 2005; Ito et al. 2006). An in vitro root assay using CLE peptides revealed a mis-specification of the pericycle, endodermis, and cortex cell-layers in root development upon CLE treatment (Fiers et al. 2005). Also the cortical daughter cells were affected and increased in number, but lost their stem cell identity and obtained a cortex fate (Fiers et al. 2005). An excess of CLE protein seemed to interact with or block an unknown cell-identity-maintaining receptor complex in the roots. Direct evidence for a CLV pathway in roots comes from the fact that CLV receptors are involved in perception of the CLE signal. Two of these receptors, namely the CLV2 receptor-like protein and CRN were shown to be involved in the CLE signal perception, suggesting the presence of a CLV-like dependent signaling pathway in the root meristem (Fiers et al. 2005; Müller et al. 2008). CLE-mis-expression effects seem to be mediated by CRN and CLV2 but except for this, the function of both proteins in normal root development remains unclear.

All of the above experiments rely on the over-expression of CLE genes or exogenous application of CLE peptides, mimicking mis-expression. Although informative, the results from these experiments do not necessarily reflect the endogenous function of the individual CLE peptides during root development. Many CLE genes are expressed in the root but up till now their function remains elusive (Fig. 3; Birnbaum et al. 2003). Actually, the only CLE gene in root development with a mutant phenotype upon knock out is CLE40 (Hobe et al. 2003). CLE40 was shown to be expressed in the columella cells, and the mutant shows multiple layers of columella stem cells together with an enlarged WOX5 expression pattern (Stahl et al. 2009). WOX5 is a root-specific WUS-like homeobox transcription factor. The cle40 mutant resembles the phenotype of the acr4 (ARABIDOPSIS CRINKLY4) mutant which encodes a membrane-localized receptor-like kinase (De Smet et al. 2008). In vitro application of the synthetic CLE40p or the related CLV3p, rescued the cle40 mutants, but not the acr4 mutants. Therefore, Stahl et al. (2009) proposed that CLE40 and ACR4 form a ligand–receptor pair that regulates WOX5 expression levels and controls the balance between proliferation and differentiation of distal root stem cells (Stahl et al. 2009).

This is the first example of a CLE peptide/receptor/transcription factor signaling cascade that influences stem cell development in the root meristem. How other CLE peptides influence this process, and perhaps other types of stem cells in the root, from which the individual cell lineages originate, remains to be investigated.

CLE genes in the plant kingdom

CLE genes have been identified in a wide variety of plant species including both dicotyledons (Arabidopsis, tomato, and soybean), monocotyledons (rice and wheat), and even in the moss Physcomitrella (Oelkers et al. 2008). An interesting observation in the domain organization of CLE genes is the presence of a multi-CLE domain in some
species, as seen in rice, *Medicago*, and *Tritium* (Oelkers et al. 2008; Cock and McCormick 2001). This multidomain organization is not observed in *Arabidopsis* and the significance of having multiple CLE domains in one protein, even up to six domains as seen in the case of CLE75 in rice, is not known but could be used to amplify or even diversify the CLE signal (Fig. 2; Oelkers et al. 2008).

Several studies have revealed the conservation of the CLV signaling pathway in monocotyledons as observed for rice and maize. An interesting difference in meristem maintenance was observed in rice where the regulation of stem cells in the vegetative stage and inflorescence/floral meristems during the generative stage of development seems to be uncoupled (Suzaki et al. 2008). Mutation of *FLORAL ORGAN NUMBER 1* (*FON1*) or *FON2* (also known as *FON4*) causes an enlargement of the floral meristems and an increase in the number of floral organs (Nagasawa et al. 1996; Suzuki et al. 2004, 2006; Chu et al. 2006). Where *FON1* is the CLV1 orthologue in rice, *FON2* is a small secreted protein containing a CLE domain which is very similar to *Arabidopsis* CLV3 (Fig. 2; Suzuki et al. 2006; Chu et al. 2006). *FON2* was shown to signal via the *FON1* receptor and is able to complement the *clv3-1* mutant in *Arabidopsis*, revealing a CLV pathway involved in meristem maintenance in rice (Suzaki et al. 2006; Chu et al. 2006). The diversification of meristem maintenance between rice and *Arabidopsis* came with the observation that while *fon2* causes an enlargement of the floral meristem, vegetative meristems were not enlarged. Nevertheless, this observation is in disagreement with that of Chu et al. (2006) and Suzuki et al. (2006). The missing CLE signal came with the identification of FON2-LIKE CLE PROTEIN1 (FCP1) and FON2 SPARE1 (FOS1) which were shown to be involved in maintenance of the vegetative meristems (Suzaki et al. 2008). While *FON2* signals via the *FON1* receptor in floral meristems, both FCP1 and FOS1 use a different receptor to relay their signal. Taken together, these results show an uncoupling of the vegetative and inflorescence/floral meristem maintenance by diversification of the CLE signal and receptor (Suzaki et al. 2008). This is in contrast to *Arabidopsis* in which the stem cell maintenance of all meristems above ground is regulated by the CLV signaling machinery.

**CLE signaling in nematodes**

While CLE peptides are known to be involved in inhibiting or promoting cell differentiation in plant meristems, surprisingly this family of signaling peptides is not restricted to the plant kingdom (Mitchum et al. 2008). The first CLE gene that was identified outside the plant kingdom is *HgsYV46*, from the parasitic soybean cyst nematode *Heterodera glycines* (Wang et al. 2005; Olsen and Skriver 2003). This *HgsCLE* gene contains all the CLE characteristics namely a putative signal sequence at its N terminus and a 14 AA CLE domain near its C terminus (Fig. 2). The functionality of this nematode CLE was shown upon expression in *Arabidopsis*, by complementation of the *clv3-1* mutant and mis-expression in wildtype causing a termination of the shoot and root meristems similar to mis-expressed *CLV3* (Wang et al. 2005).

*HgsYV46* was shown to be specifically expressed within the dorsal esophageal gland cell (Wang et al. 2005; Olsen and Skriver 2003). Interestingly, from the dorsal esophageal gland cell of a potato cyst nematode five different CLE genes were identified. Four of these genes contained multiple CLE motifs as has also been seen for rice, *Medicago*, and *Tritium* multidomain CLE genes (Lu et al. 2009; Oelkers et al. 2008).

The presence of these CLE genes in parasitic nematodes raises the question as to whether these CLE peptides are used by these worms to mimic plant signals. In this regard, the esophageal gland cells of nematodes actively synthesizes secretions which are injected through the stylet (hollow oral feeding spear) into cells to change the cell identity into specific feeding cells (Davis and Mitchum 2005). The hypothesized ligand mimicry of CLE signaling peptides by nematodes is a beautiful example of adaptation by a worm making use of plant endogenous signals for reprogramming of cell identity for its own benefit.

**Receptors that function in meristem maintenance**

Insight into the maintenance of stem cells in the SAM has revealed two receptor complexes, which probably act independently of each other (Müller et al. 2008; Andrea and Rüdiger 2009; Butenko et al. 2009). The *CLV1* gene encodes a leucine-rich repeat–receptor-like protein (LRR–RLP) with 23 consecutive LRRs, a transmembrane domain and a functional cytoplasmic kinase domain (Clark et al. 1997). *CLV3* and *CLV1* were demonstrated as a ligand–receptor pair in stem cell maintenance by showing that *CLV3* binds to the extracellular LRR domain of *CLV1* (Ogawa et al. 2008). *CLV2* is an LRR–RLP lacking a cytoplasmic kinase domain (Jeong et al. 1999), which acts with CRN in a parallel pathway to transmit the *CLV3* signal (Müller et al. 2008). The *crn/sol2* mutant, like the *clv* mutants, shows an enlarged SAM and is defective in floral organ development (Casamitjana-Martinez et al. 2003; Miwa et al. 2008; Müller et al. 2008). This suggests that CRN/SOL2 is implicated in the repression of WUS signaling. However, whereas the *clv1 crn* double mutant has an additive effect on carpel number, the *clv2 crn* mutant has carpel numbers similar to each single mutant, implying that CRN and *CLV2* act together, but independently of *CLV1* (Müller et al. 2008). *CRN* encodes a membrane-
bound receptor kinase containing a short non-LRR extracellular domain and a cytoplasmic kinase domain (Miwa et al. 2008; Müller et al. 2008). Thus, CRN/SOL2 has a kinase domain which might create a fully functional transmembrane receptor kinase together with CLV2 through dimerization by the transmembrane domain regions (Müller et al. 2008), while the extracellular LRR domain of CLV2 might interact with a putative ligand such as CLV3. However, whether CLV3 peptide can directly bind to the extracellular domain of CLV2 remains to be proven. Nevertheless, the CLV3 signal is probably transduced through two separate receptor complexes, one comprising CLV1 and the other one comprising CRN and CLV2 (Müller et al. 2008; Andrea and Rüdiger 2009; Butenko et al. 2009).

Unlike CLV1 that has a restricted expression domain, CLV2 and CRN/SOL2 are widely expressed in many plant tissues (Jeong et al. 1999; Miwa et al. 2008; Müller et al. 2008). Specifically, the roots of clv2 and crn/sol2 mutants are unaffected upon CLE peptide treatment, suggesting that CRN, like CLV2, is involved in transmitting CLE signals in root meristems (Fiers et al. 2005; Miwa et al. 2008; Müller et al. 2008). While both CLV2 and CRN/SOL2 are expressed in root apical meristems, no alteration on root development was observed in crn and/or clv2 mutant plants (Müller et al. 2008; Wang and Fiers, unpublished data; Kayes and Clark 1998; Miwa et al. 2008).

Three CLV1-related receptor-like proteins BAM1-3 (BARELY ANY MERISTEM1-3) have been found to counteract CLV1 in stem cell maintenance (DeYoung et al. 2006). As such, the mutants of these receptors exhibit partial loss of stem cell identity within the shoot and flower meristem (DeYoung et al. 2006). Conversely, weak phenotypes of clv1 null alleles were enhanced by the bam mutations, resulting in enlarged SAM formation (DeYoung and Clark 2008). The differences of CLV1 and BAM receptors are largely determined by differences in their transcriptional patterns, with BAMS being expressed in the periphery zone of the meristem and therefore outside of the CLV1 expression domain (DeYoung et al. 2006; DeYoung and Clark 2008). Therefore, it is proposed that the BAM receptors function to sequester CLE ligands produced in the periphery zone. As such, BAM receptors bring into the CLV1 signaling pathway the necessary control over the delicate balance required for stem cell maintenance (DeYoung and Clark 2008; Butenko et al. 2009).

ER (ERECTA), which encodes an LRR-RLK, is a versatile modulator of responses to environmental stimuli and a multiple regulator of developmental and physiological processes (van Zanten et al. 2009). The loss-of-function of ER results in a compact inflorescence, suggesting a role in meristem regulation (Torii et al. 1996; Yokoyama et al. 1998). ER is predominantly expressed in the SAM and organ primordia in a cell-specific and developmentally regulated manner (Yokoyama et al. 1998). In addition, it has been shown that transfer of clv1 alleles into Ler strongly enhanced the clv1 phenotype (Diévant et al. 2003), suggesting an overlap in function between CLV and ER in SAM regulation.

A screening of LRR-RLK genes T-DNA insertion mutant plants for insensitivity to TDIF led to the identification of the putative TDIF receptor TDR (Hirakawa et al. 2008). TDR is identical to PHLOEM INTERCALATED WITH XYLEM (PXY), which maintains cell polarity required for the orientation of cell division during vascular development together with two closely related RLKs, PXL1 (PXY-LIKE1) and PXL2 (Fisher and Turner 2007). Furthermore, TDIF binds in vitro specifically to TDR/PXY, suggesting that the fate of vascular stem cells may also be controlled by a similar CLV receptor system as in the SAM (Hirakawa et al. 2008).

Like the CLE ligands, CLV-like receptor signaling is also conserved in the angiosperms.

There are several similarities between meristem maintenance between mono- and dicotyledonous plants. For example, the CLV1 orthologue in rice, FON1, causes enlarged floral meristems when mutated, resulting in an increased number of floral organs similar to clv1 (Suzaki et al. 2004). Beside FON1, a functional rice CLV2 orthologue remains to be determined, although it has been suggested that CLV2 is functionally conserved in vascular plants (Miwa et al. 2009).

In maize, the fea2 (fasciated ear2) mutant has a phenotype that resembles the meristem enlargement found in Arabidopsis clv mutants, although its role differs in some aspects (Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001). FE2 encodes an LRR-RLP that has many structural features in common with CLV2 (Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001). The presence of FE2A as a CLV2 orthologue suggests a conserved CLV pathway in maize. In line with this, maize THICK TASSEL DWARF1 (TD1) most likely encodes a functional orthologue of Arabidopsis CLV1 (Bommert et al. 2005). A mutation in TD1 leads to a dramatic fasciation of the ear tip, resulting in an enlarged inflorescence meristem. In Arabidopsis, the CLV1 and CLV2 proteins are proposed to form a heterodimeric receptor complex as supported by genetic analyses (Jeong et al. 1999). The resemblance between phenotypes of td1 and fea2, and the similarity of their protein features with CLV1 and CLV2, respectively, suggests that TD1 and FE2A may also occur as heterodimers in a receptor complex. However, the genetic analyses on td1 fea2 double mutants suggests that td1 and fea2 do not likely function in a single pathway as described for CLV1 and CLV2 (Bommert et al. 2005). Together with the data from rice, these results suggest that the CLV
signaling pathway which regulates meristem maintenance is basically conserved, although there are some diversifications between mono- and dicotyledenous plants, as has also been seen with the CLE genes.

RLKs and the RLP family

In Arabidopsis, about 600 RLKs have been identified, representing 2% of the organism’s protein-coding genes (Shiu and Bleecker 2001). RLKs participate in a range of diverse processes, including disease resistance, hormone, or peptide perception and regulation of many developmental processes, such as meristem development, stem elongation, pollination, and defense (Afzal et al. 2008; De Smet et al. 2009; Table 1). However, for only a few of the Arabidopsis RLKs has a function has been assigned (Afzal et al. 2008; De Smet et al. 2009; Table 1). Fifty-seven and ninety RLPs that are similar to CLV2 were identified by in silico analyses in the Arabidopsis and rice genome, respectively (Fritz-Laylin et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2008). Like the CLE genes, the function of only a very few of these RLPs functions have been ascribed (Wang et al. 2008).

A recurrent theme in RLK and RLP signaling is the role of homo- or heterodimerization in the formation of an active receptor complex. Although heterodimerization of an RLP with an RLK seems a crucial step for receptor-based signaling, only a few examples of dimerization have been confirmed so far (Butenko et al. 2009; De Smet et al. 2009). It has been proposed that one RLK recruits one RLP for the formation of a functional receptor complex (Stein et al. 1991; Shpak et al. 2005).

The large RLK and RLP family results in theory in a huge number of putative receptor complexes of which the CLE peptides are putative ligands. For the moment this remains speculative and it will be of great interest to identify more receptor complexes in future studies.

Table 1 Receptor-like kinases and receptor-like proteins with a function in meristem maintenance

| Gene   | Organism | Function                                                                 | Family | Putative ligand(s) | References |
|--------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| CLV1   | Arabidopsis | Meristem maintenance                                                     | LRR-RLK XI | CLV3/CLEs         | Clark et al. 1995, 1997; Trotochaud et al. 1999; Ogawa et al. 2008 |
| CLV2   | Arabidopsis | Meristem maintenance and organ development                                | LRR-RLP | CLV3/CLEs?         | DeYoung et al. 2006; Hord et al. 2006 |
| BAM1   | Arabidopsis | Meristem, gametophyte, ovule, and vascular development                   | LRR-RLK XI | CLEs?              | DeYoung et al. 2006; Hord et al. 2006 |
| BAM2   | Arabidopsis | Meristem, gametophyte, ovule, and vascular development                   | LRR-RLK XI | CLEs?              | DeYoung et al. 2006; Hord et al. 2006 |
| BAM3   | Arabidopsis | Meristem, gametophyte, ovule, and vascular development                   | LRR-RLK XI | CLEs?              | DeYoung et al. 2006; Hord et al. 2006 |
| ERECTA | Arabidopsis | Meristem/organ/stomatal development/resistance to Ralstonia solanacearum | LRR-RLK XIII | EPF1?              | Torii et al. 1996; Groß-Hardt and Laux 2003; Shpak et al. 2005; Shpak et al. 2005; Hara et al. 2007 |
| ACR4   | Arabidopsis | Cell layer organization                                                  | LRR-RLK CR4L | CLE40              | Gifford et al. 2005; Watanabe et al. 2004; De Smet et al. 2008; Stahl et al. 2009 |
| SCM    | Arabidopsis | Positional development in root                                            | LRR-RLK V | ?                  | Kwak et al. 2005 |
| RPKI   | Arabidopsis | ABA signaling/embryonic and postembryonic development; positional development in root | LRR-RLK UC | ?                  | Osakabe et al. 2005; Nodine et al. 2007; Nodine and Tax 2008 |
| RPK2/Toad2 | Arabidopsis | Anther, embryonic, and postembryonic development; positional development in root | LRR-RLK UC | ?                  | Mizuno et al. 2007; Nodine et al. 2007; Nodine and Tax 2008 |
| PXY/TDR| Arabidopsis | Vascular stem cells maintenance                                          | LRR-RLK XI | CLE41/CLE44        | Fisher and Turner 2007; Hirakawa et al. 2008 |
| FEA2   | Maize     | Meristem and vegetative development                                      | LRR-RLP | ?                  | Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001 |
| TD1    | Maize     | Meristem and vegetative development                                      | LRR-RLK XI | ?                  | Bommert et al. 2005 |
| FON1   | Rice      | Floral meristem maintenance                                              | LRR-RLK XI | FON2?              | Suzuki et al. 2004 |
Conclusions and perspectives

In the last years, research on CLE signaling has been boosted through several interesting discoveries on the identification of the active peptide, together with the functioning and expression of several CLE genes. However, despite all of these new findings a recurring issue remains the lack of phenotypes after mutagenesis of individual CLE genes. A possible explanation might be that knock-out phenotypes are subtle, or are only visible under certain environmental conditions. Another aspect to take into consideration is the possibility of widespread redundancy among the CLE genes. CLE specification seems to rely, at least in part, on their expression pattern and level. This is demonstrated by the fact that upon misexpression, multiple CLE genes can activate (or repress) similar pathways. The idea that CLE peptides can be used as short-range signaling molecules fits with the observation that CLE peptides seem to act locally. However, there is no evidence that these peptides can travel over longer distances like phytohormones. At any rate, the relationship between CLE signaling and the phytohormones is poorly understood: there seems to be no connection between CLE peptide and hormone signaling, but this is unlikely and awaits further investigation.

The LRR receptors used to perceive the CLE signal belong to a large family of receptors in plants potentially resulting in numerous CLE-receptor combinations. These combinations enable specific functions and responses for each CLE-receptor interaction. Interesting in this respect are the BAM receptors, whose mutants show a reduction in the size of the shoot meristem, this in contrast to the clv mutants. Though a CLE ligand for the BAM receptors has not yet been found, it is an intriguing hypothesis that CLE peptides, beside their involvement in the suppression of stem cell development as shown for CLV3, may also involved in the promotion of stem cell development in the SAM using the BAM receptors to relay their signal.

The CLV pathway is an example of a pathway in plants that is relatively well understood, but almost entirely based on genetic information. The identification of the endogenous CLV3 peptide ligand in combination with an in vitro CLE peptide approach opens new avenues for the isolation and characterization of functional ligand–receptors complexes. It has also become more and more evident that CLE peptides are involved in many aspects of plant development. The identification of CLE genes in pathways other than meristem maintenance provides new insights into CLE signaling and encourages considering CLE peptide as ligands in many other pathways. Since CLE peptides have proven to be very instrumental in cell-to-cell communication in plants, we can expect many new exiting findings in the future.
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