Increased risk of synchronous colorectal lesions in patients referred for endoscopic mucosal resection of lateral spreading tumors
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ABSTRACT – Background – Endoscopic mucosal resection is one of the most frequent therapeutic alternatives for large colorectal lateral spreading tumors. There are few data on the prevalence of synchronous lesions on these patients. Objective – To describe the prevalence of synchronous colorectal lesions in patients referred for endoscopic mucosal resection of lateral spreading tumors >20 mm. Methods – We reviewed the endoscopic database of our Department and identified adult patients who were referred for the resection of a colorectal lateral spreading tumor >20 mm and had a diagnostic colonoscopy performed up to six months before. The proportion of patients with at least one synchronous lesion was estimated. The following features were compared between patients with and without synchronous lesions: age, gender, bowel preparation quality and cecal intubation on index colonoscopy and therapeutic colonoscopy, serrated adenoma as index lesion. Results – From December 2016 to November 2017, we identified 70 patients who fulfilled inclusion criteria. Median size of lesions was 25 mm (20–45). Eighty percent were located in the right colon and 35.71% were serrated adenomas. Synchronous lesion rate was 38.57%. Bowel preparation quality was similar in both groups when comparing both index and therapeutic colonoscopies. Patients with synchronous lesions had a higher proportion of serrated adenoma as index lesion than patients without synchronous lesions [51.85% vs 25.58%, OR 3.13 (1.13–8.68), P=0.03]. Conclusion – We found a high prevalence of synchronous lesions among patients with a large colorectal lateral spreading tumor. This risk seems to be increased if index lesions are serrated adenomas. 

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related mortality worldwide(1). It is well known that the vast majority of these tumors derive from lesions called adenomas, which can be both detected and resected at an early stage(2). Such interventions have shown to decrease CRC mortality as well as its incidence(3,4).

Therapeutic colonoscopy allows proper identification of colon adenomas as well as their resection. Many adenomas adopt a polypoid aspect, but a non-neglectable proportion of adenomas are classified as flat or non-polypoid(5). Flat lesions, especially those with a diameter over 10 mm – which are called lateral spreading tumors – constitute a diagnostic challenge for endoscopists(6), since they can be frequently overlooked. Additionally, these lesions are predominantly located throughout the right colon, an anatomical site in which the benefit of colonoscopy for the prevention of CRC is marginal(7).

Nowadays, most lateral spreading tumors are treated by means of endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR)(8). This therapeutic procedure, when compared to conventional polypectomy, carries a higher risk of adverse events, such as hemorrhage or colonic perforation(9). This is why it is a common practice to refer those patients with a diagnosis of a large lateral spreading tumor on screening colonoscopy for a subsequent programmed therapeutic EMR.

Colonoscopy as a screening method is not flawless: its diagnostic efficacy depends on bowel cleansing quality, operator accuracy in detecting mucosal lesions and endoscope withdrawal time to mention a few(10,11). As a matter of fact, mucosal lesions – even polypoid adenomas – can be missed, which constitute a significant risk factor for interval CRC. Adenoma miss rate(12) is cumbersome to estimate: it has been better evaluated by means of back-to-back colonoscopies under experimental settings(13,14).

Adenoma miss rate in the context of patients with a diagnosis of a large lateral spreading tumor has not been well established; those patients referred for EMR with a recent diagnosis of lateral spreading tumors represent an ideal population for the study of such synchronous lesions.

As a consequence, we sought to describe the prevalence of synchronous colorectal lesions in patients referred for EMR of lateral spreading tumors over 20 mm of diameter and to determine potential variables associated with synchronous lesion detection.

METHODS

Study design and population

Study protocol was properly reviewed and approved by our Institution’s Internal Review Board and the study was carried out following the ethical principles stated in the declaration of Helsinki. We reviewed our Department of Gastroenterology’s endoscopic database from December 2017 to November 2018 to identify eligible subjects.
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Inclusion criteria consisted of adult patients referred to our Endoscopy Unit for the resection of a colorectal lateral spreading tumor with a diameter of at least 20 mm or higher. Patients would be included if diagnostic colonoscopy (index colonoscopy) was performed up to six months before EMR. We excluded patients with an incomplete index colonoscopy.

Outcome measures

According to the information provided by index colonoscopy report, we identified the index lesion to be resected; regardless of its location, endoscopists were advised to perform a complete colonoscopic examination in order to identify synchronous lesions – polypoid or non-polypoid. The proportion of patients with at least one synchronous lesion was estimated. The number, size, location and histological findings of such lesions were registered.

The following features were compared between those patients with or without synchronous colorectal lesions: age, gender, bowel preparation quality (assessed by the Boston Bowel Preparation Scale) on both index colonoscopy as well as therapeutic colonoscopy and cecal intubation in both colonoscopies. Histological findings of index lesion were also registered.

Statistical analysis

For this purpose Stata software was used (v11.1, Statacorp, College Station, Texas, USA). Categorical variables were described as percentages; numerical variables, as median with their range. We estimated the 95% confidence interval (95%CI) of synchronous lesion rate. For the comparison of categorical variables between patients with and without synchronous lesions, we used Fisher test; in the case of numerical variables, Mann-Whitney test was used. Odds ratios (OR) with their corresponding 95%CI for the comparison of bowel cleansing quality between index and therapeutic colonoscopies, a Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test was used. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. We hypothesized that a significant proportion of patients would have an unidentified synchronous lesion (35%), hence the estimated sample size needed would be 70 patients.

RESULTS

During the study period, we identified 178 patients who required EMR of a colorectal lesion. As shown in FIGURE 1, 70 patients finally fulfilled inclusion criteria and were considered for further analysis. All colonoscopies were performed at our Endoscopy Unit by seven experienced endoscopists; all of them have an adenoma detection rate of over 25%. TABLE 1 shows the main features of included patients as well as their index lesions. As it can be observed, median size of index lesions was 25 mm (20–45). Eighty percent of these lesions were located in the right colon. Major adverse event rate (major bleeding or colonic perforation) was 2.85%. No loss of follow up was reported.

FIGURE 2 shows the histological findings of index lateral spreading tumors. The most common finding was tubular adenoma (46%). Overall, 38.57% of patients showed at least one synchronous lesion which was not identified in the previous colonoscopy: 70% were categorized as flat non-polypoid lesions with a median size of 10 mm (5–16) and 50% were located in the right colon. It is noteworthy that the most common histological finding in this case was serrated adenoma (35.71%), followed by tubular adenoma (34.28%), tubule-villous adenoma with high-grade dysplasia (15.71%) and hyperplastic polyps (14.28%).

TABLE 1. Main characteristics of included patients.

| Variable | % (n/N) |
|----------|---------|
| Age*     | 64 (28-69) |
| Gender (%M) | 40 (28/70) |
| Median size (mm)* | 25 (20-45) |
| Location  |         |
| Right colon | 80 (56/70) |
| Left colon  | 14.28 (10/70) |
| Rectum     | 5.71 (4/70) |

*Values expressed as median and range.

FIGURE 1. Flow chart showing patient selection process.

FIGURE 2. Histological findings of lateral spreading tumors.
Torella MC, Duarte B, Villarroel M, Lasa J, Zubiaurre I. Increased risk of synchronous colorectal lesions in patients referred for endoscopic mucosal resection of lateral spreading tumors

---

### TABLE 2. Comparison of patients with and without synchronous colorectal lesions.

|                          | Patients with synchronous lesion (% n/N) | Patients without synchronous lesion (% n/N) | OR (CI95%) | P     |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Age*                     | 60 (39-67)                              | 63 (48-68)                                | N/A      | 0.2   |
| Gender (%M)              | 37.07 (10/27)                           | 41.86 (18/43)                             | 0.81 (0.31–2.19) | 0.8   |
| Boston Bowl Preparation Scale** | 8 (8-9)                                 | 8 (8-9)                                  | N/A      | 0.9   |
| Serrated adenoma as index lesion | 51.85 (14/27)                           | 25.58 (11/43)                             | 3.13 (1.13–8.68) | 0.03  |

*Expressed as median and range. **Expressed as median and 25–75 interquartile range.

---

a significantly higher proportion of serrated adenomas as index lesions when compared to patients without synchronous lesions [51.85% vs 25.58%, OR 3.13 (1.13–8.68), P=0.03].

### DISCUSSION

According to our results, patients with large lateral spreading tumors present an increased risk of synchronous lesions, which is a relevant finding with significant implications on the management and surveillance of these patients.

Patients with large lateral spreading tumors constitute a special population, since these lesions are at increased risk of developing CRC and endoscopic treatment can be challenging(15). Nevertheless, EMR is regarded as a potential curative procedure which can replace surgery in most cases(16). It is important, however, to bear in mind that EMR is associated with a non-negligible risk of recurrent disease, a risk that seems to be higher if piecemeal EMR is performed(17).

It is a common practice to refer patients with a diagnosis of a large lateral spreading tumor to a second endoscopic procedure for EMR. This scenario allows for further exploration of the colon, which theoretically would decrease the odds of lack of adenoma detection. It is interesting that a high proportion of these patients showed synchronous lesions when exploring the colon after index colonoscopy. These findings are consistent with previous studies(10), suggesting an elevated adenoma miss rate in these particular population.

It is worth mentioning that the conditions under which both index and therapeutic colonoscopies were performed did not differ significantly: the same experienced endoscopists, using the same equipment, with similar cecal intubation rates as well as similar bowel cleansing quality. This finding excludes the possibility that adenoma miss rate may be due to differences in terms of coloscopic quality indicators.

In our study, a significant association between serrated adenomas as index lesions and the odds of synchronous lesions was observed. In previously published studies, the detection of large serrated adenomas at screening colonoscopy has been shown to be independently associated with an increased risk of synchronous advanced neoplasia(19). This has significant implications in terms of surveillance, since patients with a diagnosis of these type of lesions should be followed closely. Coincidentally, sporadic sessile serrated adenomas have been associated with an increased risk of metachronous advanced lesions(20). Our study confirms that the finding of a serrated adenoma – or at least, a lesion that macroscopically could resemble a serrated adenoma – has an increased risk of synchronous lesion that may be easily overlooked.

There are some possible reasons that could explain the high proportion of synchronous lesions missed during index colonoscopy. First of all, the vast majority of these lesions were non-polypoid and located in the right colon: these type of lesions can be easily overlooked even if experienced hands. On the other hand, the finding of a large lateral spreading tumor may produce an effect on the endoscopist that may undermine the exhaustive search of further lesions – a phenomenon described as “one-and-done” effect. This is proposed as one of the reasons why adenoma detection rate as a sole quality indicator of the endoscopist performance in screening colonoscopy may not be sufficient: according to recently published studies, the mean number of adenomas per patient as well as the rate of proximal adenomas or the adenoma miss rate can vary in a significant way among endoscopists with similar adenoma detection rates(21). Our study highlights the importance of being extremely cautious when finding a large lateral spreading tumor and not to undermine the need to perform a thorough exploration of the colonic mucosa – regardless the decision of programming a further therapeutic colonoscopy for the resection of the aforementioned lesion.

Our study has some limitations that should be mentioned. First of all, it is a retrospective study, with all the logical limitations that studies of this kind have. Some relevant quality indicators such as withdrawal time was not registered. On the other hand, we included a relatively scarce number of patients, since most of the lesions identified had a diameter <20 mm. Nevertheless, there is a relatively scarce amount of evidence on this subject to date, and the findings have a profound impact on the management of these patients: it should be noticed that the identification of a large lateral spreading tumor carries an increased risk of synchronous lesions, most of them non-polypoid lesions and as a consequence, these patients should be subject to thorough exploration of the colon mucosa, especially of the right colon. On the other hand, patients referred for EMR of such lesions should undertake a complete colonic exploration, regardless of the lesion location or the quality of the previous endoscopy. Last but not least, these patients with a high risk of synchronous lesions may benefit from a shorter surveillance interval.

In conclusion, we found a high proportion of patients referred for EMR of large lateral spreading tumors with synchronous colorectal lesions, especially when index lesion is a serrated adenoma. Hence, these special population should undergo an exhaustive and thorough screening procedure as well as a special surveillance strategy.
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