Approaches to Investigate Selective Dietary Polysaccharide Utilization by Human Gut Microbiota at a Functional Level
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The human diet is temporally and spatially dynamic, and influenced by culture, regional food systems, socioeconomics, and consumer preference. Such factors result in enormous structural diversity of ingested glycans that are refractory to digestion by human enzymes. To convert these glycans into metabolizable nutrients and energy, humans rely upon the catalytic potential encoded within the gut microbiome, a rich collective of microorganisms residing in the gastrointestinal tract. The development of high-throughput sequencing methods has enabled microbial communities to be studied with more coverage and depth, and as a result, cataloging the taxonomic structure of the gut microbiome has become routine. Efforts to unravel the microbial processes governing glycan digestion by the gut microbiome, however, are still in their infancy and will benefit by retooling our approaches to study glycan structure at high resolution and adopting next-generation functional methods. Also, new bioinformatic tools specialized for annotating carbohydrate-active enzymes and predicting their functions with high accuracy will be required for deciphering the catalytic potential of sequence datasets. Furthermore, physiological approaches to enable genotype-phenotype assignments within the gut microbiome, such as fluorescent polysaccharides, has enabled rapid identification of carbohydrate interactions at the single cell level. In this review, we summarize the current state-of-knowledge of these methods and discuss how their continued development will advance our understanding of gut microbiome function.
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INTRODUCTION

Human diets contain a vast diversity of complex carbohydrates; yet the human genome encodes only 17 known digestive enzymes to digest lactose, starch, and sucrose (El Kaoutari et al., 2013). To compensate for this catalytic deficiency, humans rely on their gut microbiome – a dynamic community of bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and viruses – which encodes proteins designed to sense and consume dietary glycans. The gut microbiome also helps stimulate host immunity, regulate
pathogen growth (e.g., competitive exclusion), synthesize essential amino acids, and provides other health outcomes (Krajimalnik-Brown et al., 2012; Honda and Littman, 2016; Gentile and Weir, 2018; Li et al., 2018; Valdes et al., 2018), including improving responsiveness to chemotherapy (Mager et al., 2020). Cultural habits (Sonnenburg and Sonnenburg, 2019; Ozkul et al., 2020), seasonal variability (Smits et al., 2017), geography (De Filippo et al., 2010), and individual lifestyle preferences (Dahl et al., 2020; Pittman, 2020) influence the structure, function, and diversity of the gut microbiome. This is demonstrated by the striking differences in microbiome composition between hunter-gatherer and agricultural societies; societies that typically consume diets with high and low levels of microbial accessible carbohydrates, respectively (Jha et al., 2018; Sonnenburg and Sonnenburg, 2019). Although easy to determine, microbial composition alone provides little insight into the mechanisms that govern taxonomic shifts, and deciphering glycan-microbe interactions requires advanced -omic techniques. In this review, we highlight research methods to: (i) characterize the chemical structure of carbohydrates in personalized diets; (ii) define the catalytic potential of gut microbiomes using high-throughput sequencing and improve in silico tools to more accurately decode functional information contained within sequence datasets; and (iii) develop next-generation physiology approaches that enable the rapid identification of active microbial cells to verify the functional predictions emerging from sequencing data. These advanced techniques have and will continue to accelerate our understanding of carbohydrate utilization in the gut, and ultimately, determine how diet shapes the structure, function, and diversity of the gut microbiome.

PROFILING THE CHEMICAL STRUCTURE OF CARBOHYDRATES IN FOOD

Structural Diversity of Complex Carbohydrates in Human Food

Human diets contain structurally diverse carbohydrates that vary between food groups (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Plant-based foods (e.g., cereals, legumes, vegetables, fruits, roots, tubers; Figure 1A) are composed up to 50–70% of glycans, dry weight, that are present as storage polysaccharides (e.g., starch and fructans) and cell wall structural polysaccharides (e.g., cellulose, hemicelluloses, and pectins) (Burton and Fincher, 2012; Padayachee et al., 2017; Saffer, 2018; Anderson and Kieber, 2020; Marcotuli et al., 2020). Moreover, glycans are produced as exudates and mucilage used as gums in food industries (e.g., gum arabic, guar gum, konjac gum) (Mirhosseini and Amid, 2012; Xing et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017). Glycogen is a common storage polysaccharide for microbial (Figure 1C), fungal (Figure 1D), and animal (Figure 1E) cells and is the major glycan in meats (Mellor et al., 1958; Fernandez and Tornberg, 1991; Komatsu et al., 2014). Many foods and beverages contain a complex mixture of polysaccharides, oligosaccharides, and monosaccharides from multiple biological sources (Saha and Bhattacharya, 2010; Sivam et al., 2010); whereas, some products, such as milk, honey, beer, wine, and maple syrup, have a simpler carbohydrate profile, being primarily composed of oligosaccharides (e.g., fructooligosaccharides, rhamnogalacturonan II, sialyllectose) with various chemistries and degrees of polymerization (dp) (Figure 1E; Morales et al., 2006; Doco et al., 2015; Oliveira et al., 2015; Kanyer et al., 2017; Sato et al., 2019). More specialized food groups, such as edible seaweeds (Figure 1B), bacteria and microalgae (Figure 1C), fungi (Figure 1D), and seafood (Figure 1E), present structurally unique polysaccharides that have a range of bioactivities within the host (Gow et al., 2017; Pandya et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019) and are also used commercially as functional food ingredients and additives (Usov, 2011; Forján et al., 2014; Schmid et al., 2015; Villarruel-López et al., 2017; Pangestuti and Arifin, 2018; Thinh et al., 2018; Ustyuzhanina et al., 2018; Alba and Kontogiorgos, 2019; Cherry et al., 2019; Hsieh and Harris, 2019; Kidgell et al., 2019; Praveen et al., 2019; Becker et al., 2020; Osemwegie et al., 2020; Xiong et al., 2020). The wide array of structurally diverse food carbohydrates not absorbed by the host become substrates for the human gut microbiome.

It is common knowledge that dietary fiber is good for digestive health and the abundance of available supplements, such as Metamucil® derived from the Plantago ovata seed husks, reflect this. However, deciphering which carbohydrates elicit beneficial effects on the gut microbiome and the mechanisms behind these effects are less understood. The prebiotic effect is one of most well studied aspects of dietary carbohydrate bioactivity. Prebiotics are defined as “substrate[s] that [are] selectively utilized by host microorganisms conferring a health benefit” (Gibson et al., 2017). Beneficial bacterial species, such as those belonging to the Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium genera, will ferment these prebiotics and produce short-chain fatty acids. Selectively feeding these species can improve host gastrointestinal health through pathogen exclusion, increased expression of tight junction proteins, and downregulation of inflammation (Plaza-Díaz et al., 2017; La Fata et al., 2018; Monteagudo-Mera et al., 2019). These beneficial health outcomes can begin to take place immediately after birth. The consumption of human milk oligosaccharides in breast milk, such as 3′sialyllactose and fucosyllactose (Figure 1E), promote the growth of beneficial species, such as Bifidobacterium spp. (Gnoth et al., 2000; LoCascio et al., 2007). As humans physically develop and transition to solid food, sources of prebiotics can be found in foods such as fruits, vegetables, and cereal and pulse crops.

Among the currently accepted sources of prebiotics, inulin-type fructans and fructooligosaccharides (Figure 1A) are the most studied and frequently consumed (Flamm et al., 2001; Davani-Davari et al., 2019). These prebiotics naturally occur in chicory, onions, asparagus, garlic, and bananas. As the commercial landscape for prebiotics continues to develop, the term “candidate” prebiotics is being used for carbohydrates (e.g., pectin, xyl-o-oligosaccharides, and β-glucan) that show potential prebiotic effects in vitro or in animal experiments, but lack sufficient data from human studies (Scott et al., 2020).
FIGURE 1 | Examples of carbohydrates in human foods grouped by source. (A) Higher plants (B) Seaweeds (C) Microbial Sources (D) Fungi (E) Animal Sources, including Human Milk Oligosaccharides (e.g., 2′-O-Fucosyllactose, 3′-Sialyllactose, and Lacto-N-Neohexaose). Carbohydrate symbols follow the Symbol Nomenclature for Glycans guidelines (Varki et al., 2015; Neelamegham et al., 2019). Note, glycogen is displayed in (D), but is also found in (C,E) (not shown). Saccharomyces cerevisiae mannanprotein (Cuskin et al., 2015) and Tremella mesenterica glucuronoxylomannan (Vinogradov et al., 2004) selected as examples of fungal carbohydrate diversity. Asparagine (Asn) and Serine/Threonine (Ser/Thr) protein link shown for N- and O-glycans, respectively, and core structures highlighted with dark gray background. Sialic acids are a diverse group of nine carbon sugars with varying levels and positions of acetylation depending on the animal source. Importantly, Figure 1 highlights representative carbohydrates found in different food groups and is intended to demonstrate the structural diversity present within food; other excellent reviews exist that provide comprehensive structural information for each group [e.g., Pectins (Saffer, 2018), Human Milk Oligosaccharides (Oliveira et al., 2015)].
Monosaccharide chemistry, glycosidic linkage, chemical modification, dp, and degree and site of branching that exists within the structure of prebiotic carbohydrates ultimately determines which microbial species have the genetic tools to utilize the substrate as an energy source (Scott et al., 2014). Therefore, defining the fine-chemistry of complex carbohydrates in foods and candidate prebiotics is a pivotal step to elucidating their effect on the microbiome.

Current Glycomics Methods to Study Food Carbohydrates

Different glycomic methods are required to study unique structural features of carbohydrates, such as linkage, monosaccharide content, or dp, and selecting the appropriate preparative and analytical method needs to be carefully considered (Tingley et al., 2021). Dietary polysaccharide analysis begins with hot water extraction from source material, followed by sequential fractionation by preparative column chromatography, solvent extraction, and ethanol precipitation. Some glycomic analyses (e.g., glycosidic linkage), however, are conducted on unfraccionated food polysaccharide mixtures (Usov, 2011; Xing et al., 2013, 2014, 2015b; Yu et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Xiong et al., 2020). Dietary oligosaccharides are typically analyzed after crude extraction using water or ethanol solutions and purification by solid phase extraction (Jaeger et al., 2017; Xing et al., 2017; Roberts et al., 2018; Little et al., 2019). Ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry can be used to colorimetrically quantify total carbohydrates in food samples (e.g., total starch in a plant, total glycogen in meat) (Xing et al., 2009, 2013, 2014). Additionally, monosaccharide composition of food carbohydrates can be determined using high-performance anion exchange chromatography coupled to pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD), reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (RP-HPLC-UV), or gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry or flame ionization detection (GC-MS/FID) (Usov, 2011; Xing et al., 2014, 2015b, 2017; Roberts et al., 2018; Little et al., 2019; Xiong et al., 2020).

Several methods exist to provide a more detailed understanding of carbohydrate structures. For example, GC-MS/FID is a preeminent tool for linkage analysis (methylation analysis) of food carbohydrates (Usov, 2011; Xing et al., 2013, 2014; Jaeger et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017; Roberts et al., 2018; Wood et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Little et al., 2019). While HPAEC-PAD is a conventional tool for the analysis of food oligosaccharides composition, high-resolution mass spectrometry (e.g., MALDI-TOF-MS, LC-ESI-MS/MS) using MS and tandem MS (MS/MS) acquisition modes are becoming common methods to determine the accurate mass and linkage sequence of food oligosaccharides (Xing et al., 2015a; Jaeger et al., 2017; Roberts et al., 2018; Little et al., 2019). Notably, recently developed ESI-MS/MS fragmentation methods (e.g., charge transfer dissociation) have proven powerful for the detailed structural characterization of natural complex oligosaccharides, such as mixed linkage glucan and sulfated anions (Ropartz et al., 2016, 2017; Buck-Wiese et al., 2020). High-performance size-exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) systems coupled to multiple detectors including refractive index (RI) detector, viscometer, and multiple-angle laser light scattering detectors are used to determine molecular weight, size, intrinsic viscosity, and conformational parameters of dietary polysaccharides (Xing et al., 2013, 2014, 2015b; Yu et al., 2017). The detailed structural features of food carbohydrates can be further elucidated using solution-state 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopy (Xing et al., 2014, 2015a,b, 2017; Jaeger et al., 2017). Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) is used for non-destructive characterization of food carbohydrates, and the determination of the degree of substitution of polysaccharides (Duarte et al., 2002; Xing et al., 2014, 2015b). Glycomic approaches can be used to define the structure of carbohydrates before (substrate) and after (products) interaction with the gut microbiome, providing higher-level insights into the microbial processes governing its metabolic function.

DECODING THE CATALYTIC POTENTIAL OF GUT MICROBIOMES

Carbohydrate-Active Enzymes

The human gut microbiome encodes a wealth of carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) designed for the biosynthesis and modification of glycans and their derivatives, as well as the saccharification of dietary glycans to promote the growth of metabolically capable microbes. CAZymes have evolved to accommodate the diversity of monosaccharide composition, stereoochemical linkage, and branching of dietary glycans (Figure 1). CAZymes are categorized into five classes: glycoside hydrolases (GHs), polysaccharide lyases (PLs), carbohydrate esterases (CEs), auxiliary activities (AAs), and glycosyltransferases (GTs) (Lombard et al., 2014). GHs, PLs, and CEs play important roles in the digestion of carbohydrates by the human gut microbiome. GHs depolymerize carbohydrate substrates by hydrolyzing glycosidic linkages, and are composed of 168 sequence-related families (CAZY, 2021). Microorganisms depend on these enzymes to saccharify and metabolize polysaccharides in the human diet, such as starch (Tancula et al., 1992) and complex pectins, such as rhamnogalacturonan II [which can contain up to 21 distinct linkages (Ndeh et al., 2017)]. PLs act by β-elimination to cleave uronic acid containing carbohydrates, such as pectin backbone (Figure 1A), alginic acid (Figure 1C), and heparan sulfate (Figure 1E). CEs cleave carbohydrate esters, such as N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc) found in microbial, fungal, and animal food groups.

The CAZy database, established in 1999, is responsible for the curation of CAZyme classes and families (Lombard et al., 2014) and has provided a digital framework for CAZyme annotation (Zhang et al., 2018). CAZymes are grouped into families that have conserved tertiary structures, mechanisms, and catalytic residues; however, this does not necessarily translate into redundant functions. In polyspecific families, members partition into groups termed “subfamilies” that can differ in their mode of action (e.g., endo- verses exo-hydrolysis) or substrate specificity (Stam et al., 2006; St John et al., 2010; Aspeborg et al., 2012; Mewis et al., 2016). For example, the GH16 family
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is currently divided into 27 subfamilies active on β-glucans, xylglucans, and β-galactans (Figure 2B; Viborg et al., 2019). The presence or abundance of these subfamilies within the genome of an organism can be highly reflective of its metabolic response to geospatially restricted diets: GH16 subfamily 3, found to be distributed throughout the globe, is primarily active on β-1,3 and β-1,3(4)-glucans that can be found in cereal polysaccharides (Tamura et al., 2017), whereas in Asian cultures, human gut Bacteroides spp. have acquired GH16 subfamily 12 (i.e., porphyranase) and 16 (i.e., agarase) members from red algal microbiome species through lateral gene transfer (Hehemann et al., 2012; Pluvinage et al., 2018). Furthermore, GH16 members from Bacteroides spp. have been shown to be upregulated when grown on human milk oligosaccharides (Marcobal et al., 2011), and recent studies have demonstrated GH16 members which prefer milk oligosaccharides to mucin oligosaccharides (Crouch et al., 2020). However, more research needs to be done to determine the phylogenetic structure-function relationships of these novel GH16s and to determine their prevalence in infant vs. adult microbiota.

Not all polyspecific families have been divided into subfamilies. This is the case for GH92s, which have been shown to be tailored for saccharification of α-mannans in fungal polysaccharides and human glycans, with members tailored for α-1,2, α-1,3, α-1,4, α-1,6 linked and α-1-mannosyl-phosphate substrates (Zhu et al., 2010; Cuskin et al., 2015). The absence of defined subfamilies can be a challenge for the prediction of CAZyme activity and further characterization, which can be alleviated by detailed inspection of phylogenetic relationships that provide further insight into the specificity of uncharacterized CAZymes.

Methods for Decoding Uncharacterized CAZyme Function

In silico tools for CAZyme curation, annotation, and phylogenetic comparison have aided in the functional prediction of the consistently expanding -omic and meta-omic (i.e., genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic) sequence space. Gene annotation tools and databases have expanded with the growth of -omic datasets, bringing with them their own advancements and limitations (Salzberg, 2019; Lobb et al., 2020). However, novel and polyspecific families continue to convolute functional CAZyme annotation. Integrated software tools and online resources have come online to enable users to analyze data within the CAZy framework, including dbCAN for the annotation of uncharacterized CAZyme modules (Figure 2A). Multiple tools have been generated originating from dbCAN annotations, such as: PULpy (Stewart et al., 2018) and DRAM (Shaffer et al., 2020) for the prediction and annotation of polysaccharide catabolism, and SACCARIS (Figure 2B; Jones et al., 2018) and CUPP (Barrett and Lange, 2019) for high-resolution phylogenetic analyses within CAZyme families. CAZyme phylogenies are used to determine the similarity of uncharacterized user sequences to characterized CAZyme sequences. These peptide- and protein-based sequence alignments can help to elucidate the catalytic residues based their relatedness to previously characterized enzymes, as represented in Figure 2C which shows absolute conservation of catalytic residues between a user sequence and characterized GH16, and strengthens the prediction that these enzymes have a similar activity. Furthermore, the analysis of the entire set of CAZymes encoded in a bacterial genome (i.e., CAZome) can provide insight into the catalytic potential of individual populations within the gut microbiome (Jones et al., 2018). Although the development of in silico tools has increased the throughput of parsing -omics data, predicted functions are still hypothetical and often rely on the level of relatedness between user sequences and CAZymes with defined catalytic specificities. Biochemical characterization is the final attributor of function, and continued efforts and development of platforms to discover new enzyme specificities will improve the accuracy of in silico pipelines to predict CAZyme function from sequence datasets (Helbert et al., 2019).

NEXT-GENERATION PHYSIOLOGY TO IDENTIFY THE FUNCTION OF SINGLE CELLS

Visualizing Microbiome-Carbohydrate Interactions With Carbohydrate Probes

Although the accuracy and ease of functional gene prediction is rapidly improving, advances in functional assignment of microbial-glycan interactions have been limited. This is because cultivation can be difficult and low throughput (Alain and Querellou, 2009; Börner, 2016), even with the development of culturomic techniques [e.g., iChip (Berdy et al., 2017)]. Recently, phenotype-based methods have gained popularity because they enable functional screening of microbial cells in complex microbial communities. Furthermore, they can be non-destructive, thereby facilitating single-cell isolation for downstream characterization in an approach called next-generation physiology (NGP) (Hatzenpichler et al., 2020). Carbohydrates have physical properties that make them difficult to detect analytically. Derivatization alters the physical characteristics of glycans to facilitate analytical tracing of these substrates in vivo and in situ. Recent advances in carbohydrate probe design, together with contemporary microbiological techniques, such as Raman microspectroscopy (Lee et al., 2019), bioorthogonal non-canonical amino acid tagging (BONCAT) (Glenn et al., 2017; Reichard et al., 2020), and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Bayani and Squire, 2004), have led to paradigm shifts in how microbial-glycan interaction can be studied.

Most carbohydrate probes are produced by isotope or chemical labeling. Historically, radioactive and stable isotope probes have been used to characterize cellular function, most commonly with isotopic monosaccharide and oligosaccharides that are commercially prepared (Wagner, 2009). Importantly, isotope labels do not alter the size or structure of the carbohydrate, which makes them ideal for studying metabolic pathways involving transporters and enzymes that have strict recognition determinants. More recently, a Raman-based cell
sorting approach was developed to extend the use of stable isotope probes to isolate metabolically active cells (Lee et al., 2019). Selective isolation of single cells enables downstream cultivation and single-cell genomics to identify the target bacteria. Additionally, these labels have been used alongside FISH probes to taxonomically identify active cells (Li et al., 2008). Although stable isotope labeling is valuable, widespread use has been limited due to restrictions around isotope work,
radioactive waste produced, and the highly specialized equipment necessary for analysis (e.g., microautoradiography and Raman microspectroscopy).

Relative to isotopic labels, chemical labels are often less expensive, more easily detected, and include a wider variety of adducts that can be used simultaneously to create multi-colored images. Several strategies exist to conjugate a carbohydrate to a chemical adduct. One example is click chemistry, which is a unique approach to study biomolecules in living systems (Kolb et al., 2001). Bioorthogonal click chemistry is used to conjugate a modified substrate, most commonly through an azide reaction, to a probe, such as a fluorophore. The reaction rapidly produces a detectable signal that can be used to isolate the substrate. Unfortunately, most azide click reactions rely on a copper catalyst, which is highly toxic to microbes, although some work has been done to mitigate this detrimental side effect (Zhang and Zhang, 2013). Despite this limitation, copper-catalyzed alkene-azole click chemistry has been shown to successfully label living cells (Hong et al., 2010). More recently, this technique was extended for the in vivo visualization of bacteria in the mouse gut microbiome (Wang et al., 2020).

Another commonly used chemical labeling method to study carbohydrates in biological systems is fluorescence-based labeling (Yan et al., 2015), for example, boronic acid-based fluorescence detection, fluorogenic labeling agents, fluorescently labeled glycolipids, fluorescence monosaccharide derivatives or analogs (Tao et al., 2019), and fluorescently labeled polysaccharides (Arnosti, 2003). Fluorescent monosaccharide probes, such as the glucose analog 2-NBDG, have been around for some time, but have only recently been used to detect bacteria in the rumen microbiome that uptake and metabolize glucose (Tao et al., 2019). Use of 2-NBDG and similar monosaccharide probes is limited, however, because transport proteins are typically unable to accommodate the bulky fluorophore and transport it into the cell. In contrast, fluorescently labeled polysaccharides (FLA-PS) (Glabe et al., 1983) provide more flexibility to study substrate uptake, and have been very successful when fluoresceinamine is used as the conjugate fluorophore (Figure 2D: Reintjes et al., 2017; Hehemann et al., 2019; Klassen et al., 2021). In contrast to methods that only label the reducing end, using a stochastic method to label hydroxyl groups of monosaccharide residues generates a library of related probes that are sampled by enzymes and transporters (Figure 2E); probes that are amenable to importation can be visualized by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 2F). Fluoresceinamine derivatives are stable and exhibit activity equal to underivatized polysaccharides when used in inhibition assays (Glabe et al., 1983) with potential for bacteria-glycan research applications.

**FLA-PS in Gut Microbiome Research**

Although FLA-PS have been used to study glycan metabolism in marine systems since the 1990s (Arnosti, 1995, 1996, 2003; Cuskin et al., 2015; Reintjes et al., 2017), studies in the gut microbiome have just commenced. In 2017, FLA-PS uptake was visualized for rhamnogalacturonan II and yeast mannan in the human gut symbiont, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron (Hehemann et al., 2019). This study demonstrated that FLA-PS can be used to correlate metabolic phenotypes with genotypes and to differentiate between foraging strategies (Grondin et al., 2017). More recently, FLA-PS were successfully used in combination with FISH to detect and identify metabolically active cells in rumen community, respectively, and to quantify differences in glycan uptake rates between Bacteroides strains (Klassen et al., 2021).

Moving forward, FLA-PS has great potential to streamline the identification and isolation of metabolically active microorganisms in microbiome research. FLA-PS could be used to visualize spatial distribution and mobility of fluorescent carbohydrates in the gastrointestinal tract of animals using whole-body imaging systems or as a means to sort metabolically active cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (Figure 2F). Sorted cells could be sequenced or cultivated for applications in enzyme discovery, probiotics, or synthetic microorganisms. In addition, if fluorescent conjugates can be found with different emission wavelengths, multiple FLA-PS glycans could be tracked simultaneously; a breakthrough that would allow researchers to visualize and study carbohydrate preferences of gut bacteria and study nutrient competition dynamics in complex microbial communities.

**CONCLUSION**

Dietary glycans shape the structure, function, and diversity of the gut microbiome. New methods have provided a welcomed refresh to the toolkit researchers have to study glycan structure and determine how they interact with members of the gut microbiome. These recent technical advances will provide unprecedented insight into processes by which glycans are selectively consumed by bacterial populations within complex microbial communities. Combined use of glycomics, CAZyme bioinformatic tools, and chemical probes to study next-generation physiology approaches will be pivotal for deciphering sequence datasets and open a new frontier for prescribed use of glycans as drivers of microbiome function and human health.
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