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Abstract

The Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons of the SYK model are described by a coset space $\text{Diff}/\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, where $\text{Diff}$, or Virasoro group, is the group of diffeomorphisms of the time coordinate valued on the real line or a circle. It is known that the coadjoint orbit action of $\text{Diff}$ naturally turns out to be the two-dimensional quantum gravity action of Polyakov without cosmological constant, in a certain gauge, in an asymptotically flat spacetime. Motivated by this observation, we explore Polyakov action with cosmological constant and boundary terms, and study the possibility of such a two-dimensional quantum gravity model being the AdS dual to the low energy (NG) sector of the SYK model. We find strong evidences for this duality: (a) the bulk action admits an exact family of asymptotically AdS\textsubscript{2} spacetimes, parameterized by $\text{Diff}/\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, in addition to a fixed conformal factor of a simple functional form; (b) the bulk path integral reduces to a path integral over $\text{Diff}/\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ with a Schwarzian action; (c) the low temperature free energy qualitatively agrees with that of the SYK model. We show, up to quadratic order, how to couple an infinite series of bulk scalars to the Polyakov model and show that it reproduces the coupling of the higher modes of the SYK model with the NG bosons.
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1 Introduction and Summary

The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model and other tensor models that have universal IR properties [1–8], are quantum mechanical models of large \( N \) fermionic particles, described by a Hamiltonian which, for Euclidean time \( \tau = it \), can be viewed alternatively as a one-dimensional statistical model of fermions. The SYK model has random couplings \( J_{i_1i_2...i_q} \), representing disorder, and does not correspond to a unitary quantum mechanics. A different version without the random disorder, but with the same leading large \( N \) behaviour, has been proposed by Gurau [6, 9], Witten [7], and Klebanov and Tarnopolsky [8]. Here we are interested only in the large \( N \) behaviour and will call the set of models SYK-type models. More recently, higher dimensional generalizations of such models have also been a subject of study with the expectation that various interesting properties that make such models a good playground to study black hole physics can be carried over to the higher dimensions, [10–12].

SYK-type models have drawn a lot of attention in the literature recently (see, [1, 5–8, 10–23] for a partial list of related developments), primarily because of the following features in a large \( N \) limit:

1. There is an infrared fixed point with an emergent time reparametrisation symmetry, denoted henceforth as \( \text{Diff} \). The symmetry is spontaneously broken, at the IR fixed point, to \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \) by the large \( N \) classical solution, leading to Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons characterized by the coset \( \text{Diff}/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \). At the IR fixed point all these are precise zero modes of the action as one might expect from a one-dimensional CFT. Slightly away from the IR fixed point, the \( \text{Diff} \) symmetry is explicitly broken, the ‘Nambu-Goldstone’ modes cease to be zero modes and their dynamics is described by a Schwarzian term (which is the equivalent of a ‘pion mass’ term). It has been conjectured that (see, e.g. [24]) that this situation is similar to a bulk model in which the AdS\(_2\) symmetry is slightly broken (this is called a \textit{near AdS}\(_2\) geometry, in the sense of an s-wave reduction from higher dimensions, as in [25]).

2. The possibility of a gravity dual is further reinforced by the fact that the Lyapunov exponent in the SYK model saturates the chaos bound, which is characteristic of a theory of gravity that has black hole solutions [23, 26, 27].

3. The full model has an approximately linearly rising (‘Regge-type’) spectrum of conformal weights near the IR fixed point, with \( O(1) \) anomalous dimension even for operators with spin higher than two. This behaviour is unexpected both from string theory in the limit \( \alpha' \to 0 \), or from Vasiliev theory (see, for example, [5]). Thus while the dynamics of the soft modes appears to have a simple dual gravity description, it is not clear if it can naturally incorporate the rest of the Regge-type spectrum description. In this paper we primarily concern ourselves with a bulk gravity dual which describes the soft modes. We leave the larger issue for later work.

The strategy we pursue for the proposed bulk dual is as follows.

As explained in [1, 5], the NG modes of the SYK-type model can be characterized by \( \text{Diff} \) orbits of the classical solution \( G_0 \) (at the IR fixed point \( J = \infty \)) or \( \text{Diff} \) orbits of \( G_0' \), which is the deformed value of \( G_0 \) after turning on a small value of \( 1/J \) (see figure [1]). Any given point on the \( \text{Diff} \) orbit can be obtained from the reference point, \( G_0 \) or \( G_0' \), by the action of an appropriate one-dimensional diffeomorphism.

\footnote{We use \( \text{Diff} \) to denote either \( \text{Diff}(R) \) or \( \text{Diff}(S^1) \), depending on whether we are at zero temperature or finite temperature. This group is alternatively called the \textit{Virasoro} group.}

\footnote{As explained later in more detail, unlike in higher dimensions where Nambu-Goldstone modes are zero modes of the action promoted to spacetime fields, here they remain zero modes (do not acquire kinetic terms) since they cannot be made dependent on any other dimension.}
In the left panel, the top curve represents the Diff(\(R\))-orbit (or a Diff(S\(^2\))-orbit) at finite temperature, at the IR fixed point \(J = \infty\), of the classical large \(N\) solution for the fermion bilocal \(G_0(\tau_1, \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-2}\); this represents the Nambu-Goldstone orbits of Diff(\(R\))/SL(2, \(\mathbb{R}\)) . The lower curve represents the orbit of a deformed solution \(G_0\) slightly away from the IR fixed point, with a small positive 1/J. In the right panel, the top curve represents the orbit of the AdS\(_2\) spacetime (these are asymptotically AdS\(_2\) spacetimes, the two-dimensional equivalent of Brown-Henneaux geometries, which we will describe explicitly in Section 4). The bottom curve represents the orbit of a slightly deformed AdS\(_2\) spacetime \(N\)AdS\(_2\), with a controlled non-normalizable deformation (see Section 3).

Figure 1: In the left panel, the top curve represents the Diff(\(R\))-orbit (or a Diff(S\(^2\))-orbit) at finite temperature, at the IR fixed point \(J = \infty\), of the classical large \(N\) solution for the fermion bilocal \(G_0(\tau_1, \tau_2) \sim (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-2}\); this represents the Nambu-Goldstone orbits of Diff(\(R\))/SL(2, \(\mathbb{R}\)) . The lower curve represents the orbit of a deformed solution \(G_0\) slightly away from the IR fixed point, with a small positive 1/J. In the right panel, the top curve represents the orbit of the AdS\(_2\) spacetime (these are asymptotically AdS\(_2\) spacetimes, the two-dimensional equivalent of Brown-Henneaux geometries, which we will describe explicitly in Section 4). The bottom curve represents the orbit of a slightly deformed AdS\(_2\) spacetime \(N\)AdS\(_2\), with a controlled non-normalizable deformation (see Section 3).

It is shown in [28,29] that the space of coadjoint orbits of Diff\(_c\) can be quantized using a natural symplectic form \(a la\) Kirillov [30], leading to Polyakov's two-dimensional quantum gravity action [31]. This observation is reminiscent of the emergent two-dimensional bulk description from the \(c = 1\) model, which is a matrix quantum mechanics. It was found in [32,33] that the semiclassical (large \(N\)) singlet configurations of the matrix quantum mechanics, described by fermion droplets on a two-dimensional phase plane, could be understood as coadjoint orbits of \(W\)\(_c\) algebra generated by bi-local boson operators made out of fermions. A representation of this algebra in \(c = 1\) was found in [34]. The coadjoint orbit action \(a la\) Kirillov [30] in the space of these configurations gave rise to a two-dimensional action whose low energy sector reproduced the (massless) tachyons of two-dimensional string theory. A similar approach was taken in [35] to arrive at a moduli space action of LLM geometries [37] describing half-BPS giant gravitons.

Following the above examples, one might wonder whether such a two-dimensional quantum gravity action, obtained by the coadjoint orbit method, naturally describes a bulk dual to the SYK model. It turns out that \textit{a priori} it is not possible since the gravity action does not have a cosmological constant and it describes asymptotically flat spaces. This prompts us to consider a generalization of the Polyakov action, which includes a cosmological constant and boundary terms (the boundary terms are found by requiring the existence of a well-defined variational principle; these are also the terms required by consistency with the Weyl anomaly in a manifold with a boundary, see Appendix G for details). The new action, described in Section 2, has asymptotically AdS\(_2\) geometries as solutions (see Section 4 and 3), which are all generated from AdS\(_2\) by the action of Diff. The schematics of these solutions is described in the right panel of Figure 1.

The main point of the paper is that the two-dimensional quantum gravity theory, arrived at in this fashion, provides a bulk dual to the Nambu-Goldstone sector of the SYK models. We find a number of strong evidences for this duality:

(a) the space on which path integral of the bulk theory is performed reduces to Diff/SL(2, \(\mathbb{R}\)) , which is the same as that of the Nambu-Goldstone bosons in the SYK model. In the bulk theory these degrees of freedom emerge as the space of large diffeomorphisms (analogous to Brown-Henneaux diffeomorphisms in AdS\(_3\)). In addition to these, the bulk metric admits a fixed, non-dynamical conformal factor of a simple functional form. In the SYK theory this parameterizes the departure from strong coupling.

(b) The bulk path integral reduces to a path integral over Diff/SL(2, \(\mathbb{R}\)) with a Schwarzian action characterized by a non-zero overall coefficient coming from the conformal factor.

(c) the low temperature free energy qualitatively agrees with that SYK model. In the Discussion section, we show how to go beyond the low energy sector, and describe the higher mass modes of the SYK model, by introducing bulk matter fields. We show, up to quadratic order, how to couple an infinite series of bulk scalars to the Polyakov model and show that it reproduces the coupling of the higher modes of the SYK model with the NG bosons.

---

The precise correspondence required some additional structure (‘leg-poles’); see [35] for some recent insight.
Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present a motivation for our proposed bulk action \(^7\) from the viewpoint of coadjoint orbits of \(\text{Diff}/\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\). In the two subsequent sections, we analyze the theory in the conformal gauge \(ds^2 = e^{2\phi}d\bar{s}^2\). In Section 3, we describe solutions of the equation of motion where \(d\bar{s}^2\) represents pure AdS\(_2\) geometry; it turns out that the ‘Liouville mode’ \(\phi\) gets completely fixed by the equations of motion (in fact, by just the Virasoro constraints, as shown in Appendix D), up to three real parameters which define boundary conditions for the metric. In Section 4 we find a larger class of solutions, which represent large diffeomorphisms of AdS\(_2\) (similar to Brown-Henneaux geometries in asymptotically AdS\(_3\) spacetimes). These are normalizable modes of the metric (‘boundary gravitons’) and represent dynamical variables of the path integral, which is described in Section 4.1. In Section 5 the effective action of these boundary gravitons is obtained by an on-shell evaluation of the path-integral; it is found to be given by a Schwarzian \(^9\). Thus, the boundary gravitons are found to represent the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone modes of the SYK model. In Section 6, we focus on a large diffeomorphism which leads to a Euclidean black hole geometry (this turns the boundary direction into a circle). On-shell action for this geometry reproduces the qualitative features of the free energy of the SYK models. Detailed comparison with the SYK model is carried out in Section 7. Finally, in Section 8 we discuss how to describe the ‘hard’ modes of the SYK model in terms of external probe scalars coupled to the metric. The Appendices contain detailed derivations of some formulae and supplementary arguments.

2 2D quantum gravity action

In this section, we briefly review some of the material on coadjoint orbits of \(\text{Diff}\) in \([28, 29, 38]\), focussing on the emergence of 2D quantum gravity represented by the Polyakov action \([31]\).

As explained in \([16]\), and briefly mentioned in the Introduction, the zero modes of the SYK model at the IR fixed point (we suggestively call these the Nambu-Goldstone (NG) modes, although they differ somewhat from their higher dimensional counterpart, as explained below) are given by \(\text{Diff}\) transforms of the large \(N\) condensate of the bilocal ‘meson’ variable \(G(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \psi_1(\tau_1)\psi_1(\tau_2)\)\(^8\)

\[
G_0(\tau_1, \tau_2) \sim \frac{1}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{2\Delta}} \int_{\text{Diff}(R^1)} f_{\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})} G_0[f](\tau_1, \tau_2) G_0[f](f(\tau_1), f(\tau_2)) \equiv G_0(\tau_1, \tau_2) \left( \frac{\partial f(\tau_1)}{\partial \tau_1} \frac{\partial f(\tau_2)}{\partial \tau_2} \right)^{-\Delta}
\]

(1)

Here \(f : \tau \rightarrow f(\tau)\) represents an element of \(\text{Diff}(R^1)\). This orbit is represented pictorially by the top curve in the left panel of Fig 1. In case of finite temperature, the time direction is considered Euclidean and compactified into a circle of size \(\beta = 1/T\): in that case the appropriate group of transformations is \(\text{Diff}(S^1)\).

The second line of the above equation essentially says that \(G\) transforms as a bilocal tensor of weight \(2\Delta\) under the diffeomorphism \(f\). For later reference, we give the infinitesimal version of this transformation as represented in the space of bilocal variables. (for \(f(\tau) = \tau + \epsilon(\tau)\))

\[
\delta_{\epsilon} G(\tau_1, \tau_2) = [\Delta (\partial_1 \epsilon(\tau_1) + \partial_2 \epsilon(\tau_2)) + \epsilon(\tau_1) \partial_1 + \epsilon(\tau_2) \partial_2] G(\tau_1, \tau_2)
\]

(2)

Note that \(G_0\), as defined in the first line, is invariant under \(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\), i.e. under \(\text{Diff}\) elements of the form \(h(\tau) = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)\), with \(ad - bc = 1\). This implies that the orbit described above parameterizes a coset \(\text{Diff}/\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\), namely the set of \(\text{Diff}\) elements quotiented by the identification \(f(\tau) \sim f(h(\tau))\).

An important issue in the context of the SYK model is the quantum mechanical realization of the \(\text{Diff}\) algebra; in particular, it is an important question what the central charge of the corresponding Virasoro algebra is. We will find below, in terms of the bulk dual described by \([7]\), that the central charge of the two-dimensional realization is proportional to \(N\)\(^8\)

\(^{7}\)We are using a generalized notation here, in which ‘\(T\)’ denotes the appropriate indices of a given SYK/tensor model. For example, in SYK model it denotes the ‘flavour indices’ of fermions \(\psi_i\), while in Witten-Gurau model it denotes the tri-fundamental index the fermions carry.

\(^{8}\)More precisely, the \(\text{Diff}\) group is realized here as a subgroup of a two-dimensional conformal algebra which is unbroken by the presence of the boundary.
In higher dimensions, such as in pion physics, the elements of the coset represent Nambu-Goldstone bosons, with kinetic terms given by a nonlinear sigma model (see, e.g. the discussion of pions in [39], Chapter 19). The Nambu-Goldstone bosons are zero-modes promoted to spacetime-dependent fields. In the SYK model, the zero-modes are described by \( f(\tau, \sigma) \), or in the infinitesimal form \( \epsilon(\tau) \). Their definition already uses up the only dimension available in the model, and hence they cannot be made dependent on any other coordinate and remain zero modes (do not pick any kinetic terms). As explained in [11][5], when we move away from the strict IR limit (i.e. when we turn on a small value of \( 1/J \)), these cease to be zero modes and pick up a non-zero action, given in terms of the Schwarzian derivative

\[
S_{\text{eff}} \sim \frac{N}{J} \int d\tau (f, \tau), \quad \{f, \tau\} \equiv \frac{f'''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \right)^2 
\]

In spite of the appearance of the derivatives, the above is a ‘potential’ term for the zero modes, similar to a pion mass term.\(^9\)

2.1 Coadjoint orbits

The above discussion shows that the degrees of freedom of the low energy (NG) sector of the SYK theory are characterized by elements of \( M = \text{Diff}/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \). In particular, the free energy is given by a path integral over \( M \) with the above Schwarzian action.

In this subsection, we address the question of possible quantization of this configuration space. This question has a natural interpretation in terms of AdS/CFT correspondence, since the bulk path integral can, in a sense, be regarded as a radial quantum evolution of boundary data \([20][22], [40][42][19]\).

The quantum theory envisaged above has a configuration space given by the group of paths in \( M \) (the group of closed paths in \( M \) is called loop(\( M \))). An action functional on this space was formulated in [28][29], using the formalism of coadjoint orbits and the resulting symplectic form in \( M [30][38] \). Let us consider a path \( P(\sigma) \) in the space of \( \text{Diff} \) elements, with \( P(0) = P_0, P(1) = P_1 \). Since each point of the path is represented by a diffeomorphism, we can label the path as \( f(\tau, \sigma) \) where the initial point \( P_0 \) corresponds to some diffeomorphism \( f_0(\tau) \) and the final point \( P_1 \) to another diffeomorphism \( f_1(\tau) \). The above mentioned action functional for such a path, also called the coadjoint orbit action or the Kirillov action, is given by [28][29] (where the symplectic form is \( \Omega = d\Theta \))

\[
S_{\text{Kirillov}} = \int d\sigma \Theta(\sigma, \{f(\tau, \sigma)\})
\]

\[
= \int d\sigma d\tau \left[ -b_0(\{f(\tau)\}) f' \dot{f} + \frac{c}{48\pi} f' \dot{f} \left( \frac{\ddot{f}}{f} - 2\frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} \right) \right]
\]

where \( f = \partial_\tau f, f' = \partial_\sigma f \) etc. Here \( c \) represents a possible central term in the coadjoint representation of \( \text{Diff} [28][29][38] \); \( b_0 \) is an arbitrary functional, representing the choice of a reference point on the orbit (different inequivalent orbits correspond to different inequivalent choices of \( b_0 \)).

It was observed in [28][29] that, with the choice \( b_0 = 0 \) (we discuss this more later), the Kirillov action becomes the same as the two-dimensional quantum gravity action of Polyakov [31]

\[
S[g] = \frac{c}{24\pi} \int \sqrt{g} R \frac{1}{\Box} R
\]

where the metric is [28][14]

\[
ds^2 = \partial_\sigma f \, d\sigma d\tau
\]

Here, \( R \) is the Ricci scalar of the geometry, \( \frac{1}{\Box} \) is a notation used for the inverse of the scalar Laplacian in the geometry.

\(^9\)One way to appreciate this is to regard the Euclidean time as a discrete lattice and think of the ‘time’ derivatives in terms of discrete differences \( f'(\tau) \sim f_{i+1} - f_i \) where \( f(\tau) \) is regarded as a collection of constant zero modes \( f_i \).

\(^{10}\)See [33] for a detailed treatment of the boundary wavefunction which represents the CFT data accurately.

\(^{11}\)The function \( f(\tau, \sigma) \) here should be compared with \( F(x, t) \) of [28].
2.2 Two-dimensional quantum gravity action

It is rather remarkable that the two-dimensional quantum gravity action of Polyakov emerges from the quantization of the $\text{Diff}$ configuration space. Identifying such a quantization with the holographic path integral, as mentioned in the previous subsection, one would tend to identify (5) with a possible bulk dual for the Nambu-Goldstone sector of the SYK model. This does not work, however, since the action (5) does not have a cosmological constant and therefore pertains to asymptotically flat spaces without a boundary.

To qualify as the bulk dual, the classical action must admit asymptotically AdS spaces without a cosmological constant and therefore pertains to asymptotically flat spaces without a boundary. For the Nambu-Goldstone sector of the SYK model. This does not work, however, since the action (5) does not admit such solutions?

It turns out that there is such an action, given by (7) which admits such solutions?

\[
S_{\text{cov}}[g] = \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int \sqrt{g} \left[ R - \frac{1}{4} R - 16\pi \mu \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \sqrt{\mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{2} R + \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \sqrt{\mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K} \tag{7}
\]

Here $\mathcal{K}$ is the extrinsic curvature of the boundary. The constant $b^2 = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}$ is the dimensionless Newton’s constant in two dimensions; we are interested in the classical limit $b \to 0$. A bulk cosmological constant, $(-\mu) < 0$, is also included (to accommodate asymptotically AdS$_2$ spaces). The boundary terms are dictated by the requirement of a well-defined variational principle (see Appendix C for derivation); these terms can also be independently derived from the considerations of Weyl anomaly on manifolds with a boundary, see Appendix G.

We have presented a discussion of the quantum corrections contributing to the action in Appendix F.

We propose that the modified quantum gravity action (7) describes a bulk dual of the low energy sector of the SYK model. In the rest of the paper, we present strong evidence in favour of this duality.

In the next section, we will discuss more details of the above action. We will discuss in the subsequent section the $\text{Diff}$ orbit of AdS$_2$ (asymptotically AdS$_2$ metrics) in detail, and show that they are solutions of the equations of motion. We should note that the specific realization of this $\text{Diff}$ orbit will differ somewhat from that of the above discussion. The most important difference is that in the above discussion (which assumes spacetime without a boundary) various points of the $\text{Diff}$ orbit are actually diffeomorphic in 2D; in our construction below, the $\text{Diff}$ orbits involve large diffeomorphisms in 2D which are nontrivial near the boundary, and hence constitute physically distinguished configurations.

It is important to emphasize the following points:

1. The action (5) involves the dynamical variables $f(\tau, \sigma)$ representing the loop space $L(\text{Diff})$ (more precisely, $L(M)$, $M = \text{Diff}/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$). It describes a quantization of $M$, which is different from simply integrating over $M$. The latter emerges in the description of the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone modes of the SYK model. It is possible to identify the quantization of $M$ as the two-dimensional boundary dual to gravity on AdS$_3$ (see, e.g., [44]).

2. In this work, however, we consider a different variant of the model, namely (7), which, in addition to the term in (5) includes a negative cosmological constant and boundary terms, and consequently defines a theory of gravity in asymptotically AdS$_2$ spaces.

3. As we will find, the only physical degrees of freedom of (7), reduce to $M$, parametrized by $f(\tau)$ (see, e.g., [24]) which lives on the boundary. The bulk-boundary correspondence in this case essentially follows from two-dimensional diffeomorphism (this is somewhat reminiscent of Chern-Simon theories on a manifold with boundaries, or of AdS$_3$/CFT$_2$ duality). We will also find that the action describing the modes $f(\tau)$ is the Schwarzian action of SYK-type model and that the low temperature thermodynamics also have qualitative agreement with that of SYK.

\[^{12}\text{In the foregoing discussion, the fact that the } \text{Diff symmetry is slightly broken does not appear to be taken into account. Shortly we discuss how the broken } \text{Diff symmetry gets incorporated from the 2D gravity perspective.}\]

\[^{13}\text{One might wonder whether other non-local terms like } (\frac{1}{2} R)^{2n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ are allowed in the action. It can be shown that including such higher order terms in general leads to equations of motion that do not admit an asymptotically AdS$_2$ spacetime.}\]

\[^{14}\text{We have already incorporated a negative sign while writing the action, thus leaving } \mu > 0.\]

\[^{15}\text{We thank D. Stanford and E. Witten for illuminating correspondences on these points.}\]
4. We would like to emphasize that while (5), in the gauge (6), arises from a coadjoint orbit action of Diff, we do not yet have an explicit proof that our proposed bulk dual, described by (7), is also a coadjoint orbit action of Diff for asymptotically AdS geometries in some gauge. While this may eventually turn out to be true, the verification of our proposed duality in the rest of paper is independent of such a connection.

3 Solutions of equations of motion and the Liouville action

In this section we will first discuss the equations of motion from the action (7). We will find that the solutions describe spacetimes of constant negative curvature, which include AdS\(_2\) as well as a three-parameter `non-normalizable' deformation, which correspond to geometries whose boundary is displaced with respect to the original boundary of AdS\(_2\). We will subsequently discuss the on-shell action.

3.1 Equations of motion

We now discuss the solutions of the above action, (7). We relegate the details of the computations of the equations of motion to Appendix C and summarize only the important results here. The equations of motion are,

\[
0 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2b^2} \left( g_{\mu\nu}(w) \left( 2R(w) + 8\pi\mu \right) + \int^x \Gamma \left[ -2\nabla^w_{\mu}\nabla^w_{\nu}G(w, x)R(x) \right] \right) + \int^x \int^y \Gamma \left[ \frac{\partial G(w, x)}{\partial w^\mu} \frac{\partial G(w, y)}{\partial w^\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(w)g^{\alpha\beta}(w) \frac{\partial G(w, x)}{\partial w^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w, y)}{\partial w^\beta} \right] R(x)R(y) \right)
\]

(8)

It is more instructive to study the trace and traceless part of the equations separately

\[
\text{Trace part: } R(x) = -8\pi\mu
\]

(9)

\[
\text{Traceless part: } 0 = \int^x \Gamma \left[ -2\left( \nabla^w_{\mu}\nabla^w_{\nu}G(w, x) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(w)\Box^wG(w, x) \right)R(x) \right] \right) + \int^x \int^y \Gamma \left[ \frac{\partial G(w, x)}{\partial w^\mu} \frac{\partial G(w, y)}{\partial w^\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(w)g^{\alpha\beta}(w) \frac{\partial G(w, x)}{\partial w^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w, y)}{\partial w^\beta} \right] R(x)R(y)
\]

(10)

Note that since \(\mu > 0\), the first equation, (9), signifies that the metric must have a constant negative curvature, which of course includes AdS\(_2\). Does AdS\(_2\) also satisfy (10)? What is the most general solution of both equations?

We will leave details to Appendix C and state the main results here. Let us write the metric in conformal gauge around an AdS\(_2\) background, \(g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2\phi} \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}\), where

\[
\hat{ds}^2 \equiv \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta = \frac{1}{\pi\mu(z + \bar{z})^2} dz d\bar{z} = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu\zeta^2} (d\zeta^2 + d\tau^2)
\]

(11)

Eq. (9) then becomes the same as Liouville equation of motion (see below for detail),

\[
2\Box \phi = \hat{R} + 8\pi\mu e^{2\phi}
\]

(12)

which has the general solution \(15\),

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{z + \bar{z}}{(g(z) + \bar{g}(\bar{z}))^2} \right)
\]

(13)

\(^{16}\)We will subsequently write the action, (7) itself as sum over the trace and traceless part.
where \(g, \bar{g}\) are arbitrary complex functions, conjugate of each other\(^{17}\). In the same gauge and background, \(^{10}\) gives us the following Virasoro constraints

\[
\partial^2 \phi(z, \bar{z}) - (\partial \phi(z, \bar{z}))^2 \frac{2}{z + \bar{z}} = 0, \quad \partial^2 \phi(z, \bar{z}) - (\partial \phi(z, \bar{z}))^2 \frac{2}{z + \bar{z}} = 0
\]  

(14)

Solving (9) and (10) (or, equivalently (14)) simultaneously, we get solutions (13) with following conditions on \(g, \bar{g}\),

\[
\{g(z), \bar{z}\} = 0, \quad \{\bar{g}(\bar{z}), \bar{z}\} = 0 \Rightarrow g(z) = \frac{a z + ib}{ic z + d}, \quad \bar{g}(\bar{z}) = \frac{\bar{a} \bar{z} - ib}{-ic \bar{z} + d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}. \quad \text{\textsuperscript{18}} \tag{15}
\]

Here, and subsequently in this paper we denote the Schwarzian derivative of a function, \(f(\tau)\), by \(\{f(\tau), \tau\} = \frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)}\right)^2\). Of these solutions, the choice \(a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\) corresponds to SL(2, \(\mathbb{R}\))transformations of AdS\(_2\) coordinates, and are the exact isometries of the geometry.

The remaining 3-parameter set of solutions, which corresponds to the point marked NAdS\(_2\) in Figure \textsuperscript{7} are the solutions of our primary interest. These do not preserve the boundary of AdS\(_2\). In general, the boundary of the spacetime is given by the curve, \(g(z) + \bar{g}(\bar{z}) = 0\), which for a general function of the kind, \(\text{\textsuperscript{15}}\), is not the same as \(z + \bar{z} = 0\). These solutions will subsequently be referred to as non-normalizable solutions following the standard AdS/CFT language.

The set of non-normalizable solutions obtained above is clearly parameterized by \((a, b, c, d) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})\), which can be identified with a hyperboloid (see Appendix \textsuperscript{D} especially \textsuperscript{103} for more details). The point \((a, b, c, d) = (1, 0, 0, 1)\) corresponds to the identity transformation \(g(z) = z\) in \(\text{\textsuperscript{15}}\). We are interested in small non-normalizable deformations near the identity transformation. It is possible to choose a set of coordinates of \(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})\), in which such deformations are given by

\[
a = 1 + i \delta a^I, \quad b = i \delta b^I, \quad c = i \delta c^I, \quad d = 1 - i \delta a^I, \quad \text{\textsuperscript{16}} \tag{16}
\]

where \(\delta a^I, \delta b^I, \delta c^I\) are real numbers. With these parameters, the solution for the metric becomes

\[
ds^2 = e^{2 \phi} \tilde{ds}^2 \tag{17}
\]

with \(\tilde{ds}^2\) given by the AdS\(_2\) metric \(\text{\textsuperscript{11}}\), and \(\phi\), using \(\text{\textsuperscript{13}}\) and \(\text{\textsuperscript{15}}\) has the near-boundary form

\[
\phi = -\frac{\delta g(i \tau)}{\zeta} + \mathcal{O}(\delta a^2, \delta b^2, \delta c^2), \quad -\delta g(i \tau) = \delta b^I + 2 \delta a^I \tau + \delta c^I \tau^2 \tag{18}
\]

Eventually, we will choose \(\delta a^I = \delta c^I = 0\), so that \(\delta g = -\delta b^I\), and \(\phi = \delta b^I / \zeta\). We will find that the \(\delta b^I\) deformation (more precisely, \(-\delta b^I\)) corresponds to the irrelevant coupling \(1/J\) of the SYK model. The other parameters \(\delta a^I\) and \(\delta c^I\) are physically distinct; it would be interesting to explore their significance, which we leave for future work.

For the Liouville factor \(e^{2 \phi}\) not to destroy the asymptotic AdS\(_2\) structure altogether, we will assume here that \(\delta g \lesssim \delta\); this ensures that \(\delta g < \zeta\text{\textsuperscript{19}}\). Note that the expression for the Liouville field in \(\text{\textsuperscript{15}}\) is similar to that of the dilaton in \(\text{\textsuperscript{21}}\), and plays a somewhat similar role as we will see later. In the next section, we will generate more solutions from the above three-parameter solutions by using large diffeomorphisms, which we cannot capture staying within the conformal gauge.

\(^{17}\)In Lorentzian signature, these functions can be chosen to be two independent real functions.

\(^{18}\)Here the independent set of parameters are constrained by \(ad + bc = 1\), which is the same as \(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\).

\(^{19}\)There is a natural RG interpretation of this inequality in terms of the boundary theory. We will later identify \(\delta g\) with \(\sim 1/J\) (see \textsuperscript{20})). Together with the natural identification of \(1/\zeta\), for small \(\zeta\), with a Wilsonian floating cut-off \(\Lambda\) (to be distinguished from the bare cut-off \(\Lambda_0 = 1/\delta\), see \(\text{\textsuperscript{11}(\text{\textsuperscript{22})}}, \text{\textsuperscript{also \textsuperscript{15}}}), \text{\textsuperscript{we find}} \delta g/\zeta \sim 1/J = 1/J, \text{\textsuperscript{where}} J = J/\Lambda\text{\textsuperscript{is the dimensionless coupling. Since}} J\text{\textsuperscript{grows}}\text{\textsuperscript{large}}\text{\textsuperscript{near}}\text{\textsuperscript{the}}\text{\textsuperscript{IR}}\text{\textsuperscript{cut-off, it follows that}} \delta g/\zeta \sim 1\text{\textsuperscript{near}}\text{\textsuperscript{the}}\text{\textsuperscript{IR}}\text{\textsuperscript{cut-off.}}
3.2 Liouville action

We now show that the above analysis of equations of motion with separation into trace and traceless parts also works for the classical action. Writing the induced gravity action in a \textit{conformal gauge} around an arbitrary fiducial metric, $\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$, we get the action:\footnote{Later in this paper we will choose the fiducial metric from a class of Asymptotic AdS$_2$ (AAdS$_2$) geometries. Although none of the analysis depends on the choice of this fiducial metric, it is only economical for a classical analysis that we choose it to be one of the saddle point solutions.}

$$S_{\text{cov}}[g] = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \left[ \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left( \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\beta} \phi + \hat{R} \phi + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} \right) + 2 \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{K} \phi + \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{n}^{\mu} \phi} \partial_{\mu} \phi \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{\gamma} \hat{n}^{\mu} \hat{\nabla}_{\mu} \left( \phi \frac{\hat{R}}{\hat{\gamma}} \right)} + \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{R} \frac{\hat{R}}{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \left[ \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left( \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\beta} \phi + \hat{R} \phi + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} \right) + 2 \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{K} \phi + \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{n}^{\mu} \phi} \partial_{\mu} \phi \right]$$

$$(19)$$

In all the above equations, the coordinate dependence of the functions is understood. In the second line above, we have dropped the terms boundary terms containing the Green’s function. $\frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}}$, given the fall-off properties of the Green’s function. We identify the part of the action in \textit{19} which depends on $\phi$ field with Liouville action on a background with metric $\hat{g}$. \footnote{\textit{Note:}}

$$S_{L}[\phi, \hat{g}] = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \left[ \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left( \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\beta} \phi + \hat{R} \phi + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} \right) + 2 \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{K} \phi + \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{n}^{\mu} \phi} \partial_{\mu} \phi \right]$$

$$(20)$$

We are interested in computing the above action in the classical limit, $b \to 0$. The classical equation of motion for the $\phi$ field turns out to be exactly the same as \textit{12}, the trace part of the equations of motion coming from the Polyakov action, as expected. We emphasize the fact that if one chooses to study \textit{19} as a theory of quantum gravity, then the trace of background metric appearing there should not be treated as independent degree of freedom.

One-dimensional Liouville equation of motion has appeared in \cite{13, 47, 47} in the context of boundary dynamics. However, their connection to the induced gravity action that we have discussed here is not clear.

\textbf{No dynamical Liouville mode:} It is important to note that in our problem there are no dynamical Liouville modes at all. The Liouville mode is entirely fixed in terms of three parameters which, furthermore, correspond to non-normalizable modes. These are specified as boundary conditions of the path integral and are not dynamical variables. We elaborate on this point further in Appendix \textit{12} where we show that the form of the Liouville mode, with three real constants, is completely fixed by the Virasoro constraints alone.

4 Asymptotically AdS$_2$ geometries

In this section, we will construct asymptotically AdS$_2$ geometries as a \textit{Diff} orbit of the solutions constructed in \textit{17} \textit{(see the orbits in the right panel of Figure 1)}. To begin with, we will construct these asymptotic geometries purely kinematically, from an analysis of asymptotic Killing vectors (AKV) of AdS$_2$ geometry (also see \textit{Appendix E} for some details). Later, we argue that they solve the equations of motion and evaluate the on-shell action for these configurations. AKV’s of AdS$_2$ have been studied earlier in \textit{15, 49} in the near-boundary region, inspired by earlier work of Brown and Henneaux in one higher dimension \textit{50}. We show below that it is possible to integrate the infinitesimal diffeomorphisms exactly to find the full nonlinear
solution. This will lead to a class of AAdS$_2$ geometries that are related to each other by diffeomorphisms that become tangential at the boundary. These geometries are dual to the conformally transformed states in the 1-D field theory.\footnote{As indicated before, precisely at the conformal point, the stress tensor vanishes trivially; hence all states are ground states. However, slightly away from the conformal point, the (broken) conformal transformations lead to nontrivial states.} We mainly consider Euclidean metrics below.

Euclidean AdS$_2$ metric in Poincare coordinates is defined by \cite{41}. The AAdS$_2$ geometries are defined by the fall-off conditions \cite{48,49},

$$g_{\zeta \zeta} = \frac{1}{4\pi \mu \zeta^2} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^0), \quad g_{\zeta \tau} = \mathcal{O}(\zeta^0), \quad g_{\tau \tau} = \frac{1}{4\pi \mu \zeta^2} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^0)$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

Variation of the metric under most general diffeomorphism is,

$$\delta g_{\alpha \beta} = \nabla_\alpha \epsilon_\beta + \nabla_\beta \epsilon_\alpha = \left( -\frac{e^\zeta(\zeta, \tau) - \zeta \partial_\zeta e^\zeta(\zeta, \tau)}{2\pi \mu \zeta^3} - \frac{\partial_\tau e^\zeta(\zeta, \tau) + \partial_\zeta e^\tau(\zeta, \tau)}{4\pi \mu \zeta^2} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

The asymptotic Killing vectors can be solved for by imposing on (22) the fall-off conditions in (21), \cite{48,49}. However, we choose to work in Fefferman-Graham gauge which is defined by,

$$\delta g_{\zeta \zeta} = 0, \quad \delta g_{\zeta \tau} = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

The solution for the asymptotic Killing vectors is given in terms of an arbitrary function, $\delta f(\tau)$,

$$e^\zeta(\zeta, \tau) = \zeta \delta f'(\tau), \quad e^\tau(\zeta, \tau) = \delta f(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 \delta f''(\tau)$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)

It is clear from the above solution, that the diffeomorphism is tangential at the boundary of AdS$_2$, $\zeta = 0$. The integrated form of the coordinate transformations is,

$$\tilde{\tau} = f(\tau) - \frac{2\zeta^2 f''(\tau)f'(\tau)^2}{4f'(\tau)^2 + \zeta^2 f''(\tau)^2}, \quad \tilde{\zeta} = \frac{4\zeta f'(\tau)^3}{4f'(\tau)^2 + \zeta^2 f''(\tau)^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)

Although we think that this choice of gauge should not be necessary and it should be possible to integrate the diffeomorphisms more generally, we found it easier to do so with this gauge choice. This was largely motivated by \cite{51,52} who performed similar integrations of diffeomorphisms in AdS$_3$ case. The details of this computation are presented in Appendix E.

The result of this diffeomorphism can be stated as follows. If we start with the AdS$_2$ metric in the $\tilde{\zeta}$-$\tilde{\tau}$ coordinates

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi \mu \zeta^2} (d\tilde{\zeta}^2 + d\tilde{\tau}^2),$$

in the original $\zeta$-$\tau$ coordinates it becomes

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi \mu \zeta^2} \left( d\zeta^2 + d\tau^2 \left( 1 - \zeta^2 \frac{f(\tau, \tau)}{2} \right)^2 \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (26)

Recall that \{f(\tau, \tau) = \frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \right)^2\} is the standard notation for Schwarzian derivative that we use throughout this paper. We want to emphasize that the class of geometries given by \cite{26} also have constant negative curvature, $\tilde{R} = -8\pi \mu$. As in AdS$_3$, it should be possible to identify these geometries as different sections of the global AdS$_2$ geometry. Some discussion of how various AdS$_2$ geometries are related is provided in \cite{49}.
One can carry out the above diffeomorphism in the presence of the non-normalizable solutions described in the previous section. To do this, we begin with the metric (17) in the $\zeta$, $\tau$ coordinates:

$$ds^2 = e^{2\phi} \widetilde{ds}^2, \quad \widetilde{ds}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi \mu} \zeta^2 \left( d\zeta^2 + d\tau^2 \left( 1 - \zeta^2 \frac{f(\tau)}{2} \right)^2 \right)$$

and transform to $\zeta$, $\tau$ coordinates, yielding the metric

$$ds^2 = e^{2\phi} \widetilde{ds}^2, \quad \widetilde{ds}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi \mu} \zeta^2 \left( d\zeta^2 + d\tau^2 \left( 1 - \zeta^2 \frac{f(\tau)}{2} \right)^2 \right),$$

$$\phi = -\frac{\delta g(i\bar{\tau})}{\zeta(\zeta, \tau)} + O(\delta a^2, \delta b^2, \delta c^2), \quad -\delta g(i\bar{\tau}) = \delta b + 2\delta a \bar{\tau} + \delta c \bar{\tau}^2, \quad \bar{\tau} = f(\tau)$$

In terms of the Figure 1, the above solutions (26), (27) represent the Diff orbit of AdS$_2$ and NAdS$_2$ on the right panel.

As remarked below (13), we eventually choose only the one-parameter deformation parameterized by $\delta b$, which will turn out to correspond to the $1/J$ deformation of the strong coupling fixed point of the SYK theory. However, for the sake of generality, we will for now continue with the more general form of $\delta g$.

### 4.1 Proper treatment of the bulk path integral

To this point we have not discussed the issue of gauge fixing inside the quantum mechanical path integral. While we are largely interested in a classical computation in the bulk, where the path integral measure due to gauge fixing is not important, we now shed some light on this issue. The computation of the ghost action is discussed in detail in Appendix F. The gauge fixing $\delta$-function and the corresponding Faddeev-Popov determinant is given by,

$$1 = \Delta_{FP} \left[ \bar{g}[f(\tau)], \phi \right] \times \int [D\phi][Df(\tau)] \delta \left( e^{i\phi} - e^{2\phi} \bar{g}[f(\tau)] \right) \times \delta \left( \epsilon^{(s)}(z_1) \right) \delta \left( \epsilon^{(s)}(z_2) \right) \delta \left( \epsilon^{(s)}(z_3) \right)$$

(28)

In line with the discussion of the previous sections, we gauge fix an arbitrary metric to be conformally related to the AAdS$_2$ metrics. In the choice of this gauge, there is an additional SL(2,$\mathbb{R}$)residual gauge freedom that has been fixed using the $\delta$-functions that anchor three arbitrary points in the geometry. Going through the standard procedure of introducing the fermionic ghosts, we obtain a ghost action (108).

This procedure should not only capture the correct Jacobian required for the gauge fixing, but also for defining an invariant measure on the space of $f(\tau)$ integrations.

With the above ingredients, the path integral is given by

$$Z = \int \frac{Df(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \exp[-S_{\text{hydro}} + ...]$$

(29)

where $S_{\text{hydro}}$ is the effective action (10), describing the hydrodynamic modes (see the next section). The terms in the ellipsis denote subleading terms which get contribution from the Faddeev-Popov determinant mentioned above and discussed in detail in Appendix F. The integration measure is the invariant integration measure in the space of $f(\tau)$ functions. The prime on the measure denotes the exclusion of the integration over SL(2, $\mathbb{R}$) degrees of freedom due to the treatment of SL(2, $\mathbb{R}$) modes discussed above.

---

22 This is the standard prescription followed in open-string path integral computations. See also the relevant discussion in [5].

23 This measure should appear from a proper treatment of the Faddeev-Popov procedure which is sketched in Appendix F.

We leave details of this to subsequent work.
5 Action of hydrodynamics modes

We now compute the on-shell action of the above geometries to determine the contribution of the large \( \text{diffeomorphisms} \) discussed in this section to the partition function of the system.

5.1 Boundary action

We know from our analysis of equations of motion in \[\text{Appendix C}\] that all of AAdS\(_2\) geometries satisfy the bulk equations of motion. Thus we can safely anticipate that the major contribution to the action of hydrodynamics modes will come from the boundary terms in \[\text{(19)}\]. The boundary terms of the action are given by,

\[
S_{L}^{\text{bdy}}[\phi, g] = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \left[ 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \sqrt{-\gamma} \kappa \phi + \int_{\partial\Gamma} \sqrt{-\gamma} n^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi \right]
\]  

(30)

The second term above doesn’t contribute at the leading order. The contribution of this term starts at, \( O(\delta g)^2 \) and hence won’t contribute to the leading order answers that we subsequently compute.

We also emphasize on the correct way to regulate the geometries for the subsequent computations. To keep our notations unambiguous, we will denote the coordinates of AdS\(_2\) by \( \tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\tau} \) and that of AAdS\(_2\) geometries by \( \zeta, \tau \). We know that AAdS\(_2\) geometries are related to AdS\(_2\) geometry by large diffeomorphisms. If we put a radial cut-off in AdS\(_2\) at \( \zeta = \delta \) and apply these large diffeomorphisms, then the cut-off at constant \( \tilde{\zeta} \) is mapped to some \textit{wiggly-curves} in \( \zeta, \tau \) coordinates.

\[
\delta = \frac{4\zeta f'(\tau)^3}{4f'(\tau)^2 + \zeta^2 f''(\tau)^2}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \zeta = \frac{2f'(\tau)}{\delta f''(\tau)^2} \left[ f'(\tau)^2 - \sqrt{f'(\tau)^4 - \delta^2 f''(\tau)^2} \right] 
\]

(31)

These are the same wiggles as discussed in \[\text{(24)}\]. To consider physically distinct geometries in \( \zeta, \tau \) coordinates, we put a cut-off at \( \zeta = \delta \) and compare the action with that of geometry corresponding to \( \tilde{\zeta} = \delta \).

In AAdS\(_2\) On the boundary \( \tilde{\zeta} = \delta \), \( \sqrt{-\gamma} \kappa = \frac{1}{b} \)

\[
S_{L}^{\text{bdy}}[\phi, \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}] = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \sqrt{-\gamma} \kappa \phi = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tau \left[ \frac{\delta g(i\tilde{\tau})}{\delta^2} - \frac{1}{2} \delta g''(i\tilde{\tau}) + O(\delta^2) \right] + O[\delta g(i\tilde{\tau})^2]
\]

(32)

where, \( \delta g(i\tilde{\tau}) \) was defined in \[\text{(23)}\]. To be able to compare with the AAdS\(_2\) answer later, we do the coordinate transformation from \( \tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \) coordinates,

\[
S_{L}^{\text{bdy}}[\phi, g_{\alpha\beta}] = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \sqrt{-\gamma} \kappa \phi = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \delta \int d\tau \left\{ \left( \frac{\delta g(i\tilde{\tau}(\tau))}{\delta} - \frac{\delta}{2} \delta g''(i\tilde{\tau}(\tau)) + O(\delta^2) \right) \right\} + O[\delta g(i\tilde{\tau}(\tau))^2]
\]

(33)

\[
\tilde{\tau} = f(\tau) = \frac{f'(\tau)^2}{f''(\tau)} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \delta^2 \left( \frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)^2} \right)^2} \right)
\]

(34)

Here, it is important to note that we need to implement the coordinate transformation at the \( \tilde{\zeta} = \delta \) slice. To this effect, we need to solve for \( \zeta \) at \( \zeta = \delta \) using the second equation in \[\text{(25)}\] and substitute it back in the first equation there.

\[\footnote{There are two solutions for \( \zeta \) satisfying \( \zeta = \delta \) (because the second equation in \[\text{(25)}\] is a quadratic in \( \zeta \), one of which doesn’t satisfy the boundary condition, \( \zeta \rightarrow 0 \) as \( \delta \rightarrow 0 \).} \]
In AAdS$_2$ On the boundary $\zeta = \delta, \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta f(\tau)}{2}$
\[
S_{L}^{\text{bdy}}[\phi, g_{ab}] = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int_{\partial}\sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{K} \phi = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tau \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta f(\tau)}{2} \right] \times \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\delta g(i f(\tau))}{f'(\tau)} \right.
- \delta \left( -\delta g(i f(\tau)) f''(\tau)^2 + 2 f'(\tau)^4 \delta g''(i f(\tau)) + 2 i f'(\tau)^2 f''(\tau) \delta g'(i f(\tau)) \right) \frac{4 f'(\tau)^3}{4 f'(\tau)^3}
+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) + \mathcal{O}[\delta g(i f(\tau))^2] \right]
\]
Hence,
\[
\delta S_{L}^{\text{bdy}} = S_{L}^{\text{bdy}}[\phi, g_{ab}] - S_{L}^{\text{bdy}}[\phi, \tilde{g}_{ab}] = \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tau \left[ \frac{\delta g(i f(\tau))}{f'(\tau)} \left( f'(\tau) - \frac{1}{f'(\tau)} \right) - \frac{\delta g(i f(\tau))}{f'(\tau)} \{ f(\tau), \tau \} \right.
+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) + \mathcal{O}[\delta g(i f(\tau))^2] \right]
\]
(35)
The $O(1/\delta^2)$ divergent term can be subtracted by introducing following counterterm in the action \[20\],
\[
S_{ct} = \frac{4\sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{R}}{4\pi b^2} \int_{\partial} \sqrt{\gamma} \phi
\]
which essentially replaces $\sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma} (\mathcal{K} - 1)$ \[23\]. To the linear order in $\delta g$ under consideration here, this is the same as the fully covariant counterterm $-4\sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{R} = \int_{\partial} \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{R}$. The finite part of the answer is,
\[
\delta S_{L}^{\text{bdy}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tau \frac{\delta g(i f(\tau))}{f'(\tau)} \{ f(\tau), \tau \} = \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tau \delta g(i \tilde{\tau}) \{ \tilde{f}(\tilde{\tau}), \tilde{\tau} \}
\]
(38)
Here the third term is written in terms of the $\tilde{\tau}$ coordinate, the boundary coordinate of the unperturbed AdS$_2$ \[24\]. Also, note that we have defined $\tilde{f}(\tilde{\tau}) = \tau$ as the reparametrized coordinate starting with the unperturbed AdS$_2$ coordinate $\tau$ \[27\].

The function $\delta g(\tau)$ is given by \[13\]. As indicated below that equation, we will henceforth choose $\delta g =$ constant. One might wonder if one can absorb the $\tau$ and $\tau^2$ deformations in $\delta g$, parameterized by $\delta \alpha^I$ and $\delta c^I$, by a possible reparameterization of the boundary coordinate $\tau$; this, however, turns out impossible for any value of these parameters since the corresponding transformation turns out to be singular. Thus, the $\delta b^I, \delta \alpha^I, \delta c^I$ represent different physics, and we will find that it is only the $\delta b^I$ deformation, that is, a constant $\delta g$, which will correspond to the SYK model. We will see that the non-normalizable mode corresponding to constant $\delta g$, will correspond to the irrelevant coupling $1/f$ of the SYK model. As remarked before, it is an important open question what the other parameters $\delta \alpha^I$ and $\delta c^I$ correspond to.

In section 7, we will do the detailed matching with the boundary field theory. Note that the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformations that correspond to the ‘global conformal transformations’ of one dimensional space remain the symmetry of this action. We have presented a discussion of the correct measure of integration over the $f(\tau)$ modes in subsection 4.1 and Appendix A.
5.2 Bulk action

The bulk part of the Liouville action is,

\[ S_{\text{bulk}}^L[\phi, \hat{g}] = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_\Gamma \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left( \hat{g}^{\alpha \beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \hat{R} \phi + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{\partial \Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left( -\phi \Box \phi + \hat{R} \phi + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{\partial \Gamma} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{R} \phi + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} (1 - \phi) \right) \]

here in the second line we have shifted the derivatives, while in the second term we have used the equation of motion of the \( \phi \) field, \( \Box \phi = \frac{1}{4} \hat{R} + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} \). In the last line we have substituted the value of \( \hat{R} = -8\pi \mu \).

The first term in the above equation contributes at subleading order, as argued under (30). Using the on-shell value of the \( \phi \) we evaluate the above action in AdS\(_2\) and AAdS\(_2\) backgrounds.

**In AdS\(_2\)**  For AdS\(_2\) background metric, the action is given by,

\[ S_{\text{bulk}}^L[\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta}] = -\frac{\mu}{b^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{\zeta} \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left( -\tilde{\phi} + e^{2\tilde{\phi}} (1 - \tilde{\phi}) \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{\mu}{b^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_{\tilde{\zeta} = \delta}^{\infty} d\zeta \sqrt{g} \left( -\phi + e^{2\phi} (1 - \phi) \right) \]

Here, in the second line we have used the coordinate transformations, (25) and the boundary in \( \zeta \) coordinates is now given by the wiggly curve, (31).

\[ \partial \Gamma \equiv \zeta = \frac{2}{\delta} \frac{2}{f' (\tau)^2 - \sqrt{f' (\tau)^2 - \delta^2 f'' (\tau)^2} f'' (\tau)^2} \]

**in AAdS\(_2\)**  Similarly for the AAdS\(_2\) background we have the action,

\[ S_{\text{bulk}}^L[\phi, g_{\alpha \beta}] = -\frac{\mu}{b^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_{\zeta = \delta}^{\infty} d\zeta \sqrt{g} \left( -\phi + e^{2\phi} (1 - \phi) \right) \]

Thus we have,

\[ \delta S_{\text{bulk}}^L = S_{\text{bulk}}^L[\phi, g_{\alpha \beta}] - S_{\text{bulk}}^L[\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{g}_{\alpha \beta}] = -\frac{\mu}{b^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_{\delta}^{\partial \Gamma} d\zeta \sqrt{g} \left( -\phi + e^{2\phi} (1 - \phi) \right) \]

It is easy to approximate this expression close to the boundary of the geometry, i.e. when \( \delta \to 0 \). In that case the difference between \( \zeta = \partial \Gamma \) and \( \zeta = \delta \) reduces to a small strip as shown in [Figure 2].
Moreover \((-\phi + e^{2\phi}(1 - \phi)) \sim 1 + \mathcal{O}[\delta^3, \delta g(i\tau)^2]\) so that part of the integrand becomes trivial. Hence we can approximate the integrand by,

\[
\delta S^\text{bulk}_L = \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{\tau} \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} \left( f'\tilde{\tau} - 1 \right) + \frac{\delta}{4} \left( \frac{2\tilde{f}'f'^2 - 2\tilde{f}^2f'''}{f'^3} \right) \right] \tag{43}
\]

The first term is linearly divergent\(^{28}\) however while considering the coordinate transformations which approach identity transformations asymptotically this term integrates to zero. In other words if we consider a transformation, \(\tilde{f}(\tilde{\tau}) = \tilde{\tau} + \epsilon(\tilde{\tau})\), then \(f'(\tilde{\tau}) = 1 + \epsilon'(\tilde{\tau})\). In this case the first term becomes,

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{\tau} \frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon'(\tilde{\tau}) = \frac{1}{4\pi b^2 \delta} [\epsilon(\infty) - \epsilon(-\infty)] \tag{44}
\]

Clearly a good coordinate transformation has to be monotonically increasing. Additionally we require, for the transformation to remain invertible, that \(\epsilon(\infty) = 0 = \epsilon(-\infty)\). In fact, the transformation that we use to map the theory on a line to a theory on a thermal circle is not of this kind and the regulation scheme adopted in that case is explained in section 6. Leaving aside the issue of the regulation, the reparametrization of a thermal quantum mechanical theory can be achieved starting from a quantum mechanical theory in two steps: firstly, the straight line is mapped to a thermal circle using the map \(\tau = \tan(\pi f(\tilde{\theta})/\beta)\); then, one reparametrizes the thermal circle with appropriate boundary conditions, ensuring reparametrization doesn’t change the winding around the circle and is invertible. In this case, the Schwarzian action becomes\(^{29,24}\):

\[
S^\beta = \frac{\delta g}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tilde{\theta} \left\{ \frac{\beta}{2} \tan \left( \frac{\pi f(\tilde{\theta})}{\beta} \right), \tilde{\theta} \right\} \tag{45}
\]

### 5.3 Summary

We thus find that the following low energy effective action (in the leading large \(1/b\) limit) for the ‘hydrodynamic modes’

\[
S_{\text{hydro}} = \frac{\delta g}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tau \left\{ \tilde{f}(\tilde{\tau}), \tilde{\tau} \right\} \tag{46}
\]

\(^{28}\)We thank Shiraz Minwalla for a crucial discussion on this point.

\(^{29}\)Here \(\epsilon(\tilde{\tau})\) is not necessarily small, but just a rewriting of the coordinate transformations.
In section 7 we will compare this with the Schwarzian term which appears in the SYK-type models.

It is important to mention that the bulk dual discussed in [24, 25], leads to a similar Schwarzian term starting from a dilaton gravity model, while the bulk dual discussed in this paper has only the metric field described by the Polyakov action. The source of the hydrodynamic modes in both cases involves the large diffeomorphisms which are nontrivial at the boundary. In a very recent paper [53], another proposal for a bulk dual has appeared which has a Liouville field and the Almheiri-Polchinski action [25]. They also appear to get a Schwarzian term rather differently, from the Liouville fluctuations similar to our functions $g(z), \bar{g}(\bar{z})$ in (13). However, as we found above, except for an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ worth of degrees of freedom (see (15), (16)), these Liouville fluctuations are frozen by the Virasoro gauge conditions (14). It is also pertinent here to mention the theorems due to Schwarz and Pick [54]; these restrict the class of conformal transformations that map the boundary of Poincare half-plane to itself to only $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformations.

6 Thermodynamic partition function from bulk dual

In this section we compute the Euclidean bulk partition function in the classical limit for a black hole geometry. We use the standard prescription of [55] to renormalize the bulk partition function by subtracting the partition function of thermal AdS$_2$ geometry from the Euclidean black-hole geometries that we describe below. Following [5, 24], we can do a reparametrization of the Euclidean time to study a field theory defined on a thermal circle of length $\beta$,

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tan\left(\frac{\pi \tilde{\theta}}{\beta}\right)$$  (47)

Using (20), we can compute the Euclidean geometry that is dual to the thermal field theory,

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu\zeta^2} \left[ d\tilde{\zeta}^2 + \left(1 - \pi^2 \frac{\tilde{\zeta}^2}{\beta^2} \right) d\tilde{\tau}^2 \right], \quad \tilde{\tau} \in \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \text{ and } \tilde{\zeta} \in \left(0, \frac{\beta \pi}{2}\right)$$  (48)

This geometry is a capped AdS$_2$ geometry in two dimensions. There is no deficit angle near the horizon of the geometry, which can be easily checked by doing a near horizon expansion, $\tilde{\zeta} = \beta/\pi - \rho$,

$$ds^2 \sim \frac{\pi}{4\mu\beta^2} \left[ d\rho^2 + 4\frac{\pi^2}{\beta^2} \rho^2 d\tilde{\theta}^2 \right]$$

Analytically continuing this geometry to Lorentzian space we get,

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu\zeta^2} \left[ d\zeta^2 - \left(1 - \pi^2 \frac{\zeta^2}{\beta^2} \right) dt^2 \right]$$  (49)

which is a geometry with a horizon at $\zeta = \beta/\pi$.

To get the free energy of the theory, we compute the on-shell bulk action for this geometry, but with a small non-normalizable deformation turned on (smallness is understood as explained in the previous section).

**Bulk action** We first compute the bulk part of the action given in (20). The bulk part of the Liouville action is,

$$S_L^{bulk}[\phi, \bar{g}] = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_\Gamma \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left( \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \bar{\phi} + \bar{R} \bar{\phi} + 4\pi \mu e^{2\phi} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_\Gamma \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left( \bar{\nabla}^2 \phi \partial_\alpha \phi - \frac{1}{4b^2} \right)$$

[30] Thermal AdS$_2$ geometry is obtained simply by identifying the boundary time coordinate in (11) over a period $\beta$. 
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One last piece that needs to be evaluated is the bulk term inclusion of the counterterm discussed in (37).

In both the above expressions we have taken the boundary value of the field, \( \hat{e}_n \), explained earlier, and have denoted it by \( \delta g \).

As was the case with the previous Hydrodynamics calculation, all the divergent as well as finite terms above are cancelled by subtraction of the thermal AdS\(_2\) partition function. This is the standard prescription to regulate the partition function of the black hole geometries (see \([55]\)). Thus the bulk contribution starts only at \( \mathcal{O}(\delta g^3) \).

**Boundary action** Computing the boundary terms of the action (20). Again, as argued above, the last term in (20) doesn’t contribute at leading order. The term containing extrinsic curvature when evaluated on the boundary gives,

\[
S_{L}^{bdy}\left( \hat{\phi}, g_{\alpha \beta} \right) = \frac{\delta g}{2b^2} - \frac{\beta}{4\pi b^2} \frac{\delta g}{\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\delta g^2)
\]

In both the above expressions we have taken the boundary value of the \( \delta g(i\tau) \) field to be constant, as explained earlier, and have denoted it by \( \delta g \). Again, the quadratically divergent term is cancelled by inclusion of the counterterm discussed in (37).

One last piece that needs to be evaluated is the bulk term \( \int \sqrt{g} \delta g \frac{1}{2} \hat{R} \) that depends only on the background geometry. The Green’s function in hyperbolic spaces is a well studied subject. In Green’s function can be evaluated by taking a limit of the ‘resolvent’ of the Laplacian. The resolvent of the Laplacian on right half Poincare-plane, \( \mathbb{H} \), is given by,

\[
R_{\mathbb{H}}(s; z, w) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s)^2}{\Gamma(2s)} \left( 1 + \frac{|z-w|^2}{4\text{Re}(z)\text{Re}(w)} \right)^{-s} {}_2F_1 \left[ s, s; 2s; \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|z-w|^2}{4\text{Re}(z)\text{Re}(w)}} \right]
\]

Here, \( z, w \) are the complexified coordinates, \( z = \zeta_1 + i\tau_1 \) and \( w = \zeta_2 + i\tau_2 \). The \( s \to 1 \) limit of this function is,

\[
G(\{\zeta_1, \tau_1\}; \{\zeta_2, \tau_2\}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left( 1 - \frac{4\zeta_1\zeta_2}{(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)^2 + (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2} \right)
\]

However, the above results are in \( \mathbb{H} \), while we are interested in solving the Green’s function for the geometry in \( \mathbb{D} \). The Green’s function can be obtained easily using the coordinate transformations in (26) with the choice of function in (47). We get,

\[
G = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left[ 1 - \frac{8\pi^2\beta^2\zeta_1\zeta_2}{\beta^4 + \pi^2\beta^2(\zeta_1^2 + 4\zeta_1\zeta_2 + \zeta_2^2) - (\beta^2 - \pi^2\zeta_1^2)(\beta^2 - \pi^2\zeta_2^2) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\beta} \right) + \pi^4\zeta_1^2\zeta_2^2 \right]
\]
With this Green’s function we solve the
\[ \int \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{\Box} R = \int \sqrt{g(\zeta, \tau)} \int \sqrt{g(\zeta_2, \tau_2)} R_1 G(\{\zeta_1, \tau_1\}; \{\zeta_2, \tau_2\}) R_2 \]
term for the geometry. We get,
\[ \int \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{\Box} R = \frac{\beta}{\pi b^2 \delta} - \frac{2}{b^2} \log(\beta/\delta) + \frac{2 \log(4\pi) - 3}{b^2} \pi \delta - \frac{\pi \delta}{3b^2} \] (56)
Again, the linearly divergent piece that appears above is cancelled by the contribution coming from the thermal AdS$_2$ partition function.

Thus, the total action is
\[ \log(Z) = -\beta F = -\frac{2}{b^2} \log(\beta/\delta) + \frac{2 \log(4\pi) - 3}{b^2} + \frac{\delta g}{2b^2} + O(\delta g^2) \] (57)

7 Comparison with field theory

In this section, we will make some remarks comparing our gravity dual we discussed above and the SYK model.

7.1 Hydrodynamics and a double scaling

The gravity dual leads to the following low energy effective action
\[ S_{\text{hydro}} = \frac{\delta g}{2\pi b^2} \int d\tilde{\tau} \{ \tilde{f}(\tilde{\tau}), \tilde{\tau} \} \] (58)
while the SYK model has the following expression for the same quantity
\[ S_{\text{hydro}} = N \frac{\alpha(q)}{J} \int d\tau \{ \tilde{f}(\tau), \tau \} \] (59)
As we argued above, $\delta g$ plays the role of the explicit symmetry breaking parameter $1/J$ in the SYK model. Further, the classical limit in the bulk model corresponds to $b \to 0$, which, therefore corresponds to the limit $N \to \infty$. Therefore, we identify these quantities up to constants, thus:
\[ \frac{1}{b^2} = c_1 N, \delta g = c_2 \frac{1}{J} \] (60)
For the two hydrodynamic expressions above to match, we need to have $c_1 c_2 = \alpha(q)$. A $q$-dependence in the coefficients $c_1, c_2$ may appear strange; however, it may indicate the existence of a double scaling in the theory. Note that at large $q$, $\alpha(q) a_0/q^2$ ($a_0=$constant). A possible choice of the coefficients is $c_1 = \alpha(q)$, $c_2 = 1$. In this case, we are essentially identifying
\[ \frac{1}{b^2} = a_0 N/q^2, \delta g = c_2 \frac{1}{J} \] (61)
Thus, if we take the limit $N \to \infty$, and $q^2/N$ fixed (cf. appendix B), the corresponding scaled quantity corresponds to the bulk Newton’s constant:
\[ q^2/N = a_0 b^2 \]
7.2 Thermodynamics

At low temperatures, the bulk partition function is given by (57), with a divergence of the form \( \log(\beta/\delta) \). With the logarithmically divergent term we might typically be left with finite parts, say \( P_0 \), after cancellation of the divergence. The low temperature partition function will then be given by, ignoring subleading order terms in \( \delta g/\delta \),

\[ \log(Z) = -\beta F = \frac{1}{b^2} \left[ (-2P_0 + \frac{4\log(4\pi) - 5}{2}) + \frac{\delta g}{2\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\delta g^2) \right] \]  
(62)

The corresponding expression in the SYK model is [5, 18, 19]

\[ \log(Z) = -\beta F = N \left[ \beta J \frac{1}{q^2} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{4q^2} + \frac{1}{\beta J} \frac{\pi^2}{2q^2} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{q^4}) \right] \]  
(63)

It is then possible that by suitably adjusting the finite part \( P_0 \) and the constant \( c_2 \) introduced above, one can match the zero-temperature entropy and the low temperature specific heat. The SYK zero-temperature entropy here does not seem to be universal; however, in the double scaling limit mentioned above, the \( N/q^2 \) term is universal.

A more detailed understanding of the low energy thermodynamics is clearly desirable and is under investigation presently.

8 Discussion and open questions

In this work, we arrive at a proposal for a gravity dual of the low energy sector of SYK-type models from symmetry considerations, more precisely from the fact that the coadjoint orbit action of the \( \text{Diff} \) group is the Polyakov action (7). We solve the classical equations of motion and find that the solutions are parametrized by a large diffeomorphism together with a specific conformal factor (value of the Liouville mode) representing a non-normalizable deformation. We compute the on-shell action which evaluates the classical contribution to \( \log Z \). The computation leads to a Schwarzian action for the low energy hydrodynamic modes and a specific heat which is linear at low temperatures. Thus, the low energy behaviour of our proposed gravity dual reproduces that of SYK-type models.

We will end with some remarks about possible UV properties of the bulk dual. Recall that in usual AdS/CFT, such as in the example of \( N = 4 \) SYM theory on \( S^3 \times R \), states with spin \( > 2 \) acquire very large anomalous dimensions \( \gamma \sim (g_YM_N)^{1/4} \) at strong coupling [33]. The energy grows as \( E \sim \gamma/R_{\text{AdS}} \) and the corresponding bulk state is identified as a string state with mass \( m_s = (g_Y^2 M_N)^{1/4}/R_{\text{AdS}} \). This corresponds to the fact that the UV completion of the gravity theory is string theory in AdS. In case of SYK-type models, the anomalous dimensions of operators with spin higher than two, which form an approximately Regge trajectory, remain \( O(1) \) even at strong coupling. From the point of the bulk dual, the usual mass-dimension formula (which follows by using the relation between the AdS\(_2\) Laplacian and Casimir of \( \text{SL}(2, R) \)) implies \( E \sim \Delta/R_{\text{AdS}} \) (in our model, \( R_{\text{AdS}} \sim 1/\sqrt{\mu} \), see (9)). If we wish to identify the ‘Reggeons’ with possible string states, this would imply that the ‘string length’ is of the same order as the AdS radius. It is not clear what such a dual string theory of light strings is. On the other hand, the spectrum of these massive modes suggests that it may be possible to incorporate these states in our bulk dual by adding to the Polyakov action (7) an infinite number of matter fields \( \eta_r \) minimally coupled to the metric (see [24] for related ideas), with masses \( m_r \) given in terms of the conformal dimensions \( \Delta_r \). In such a scenario, the Polyakov action (7) would still continue to represent the physics of the ‘Nambu-Goldstone’ modes. The full action will have the structure

\[ S = S_{\text{cov}}[g] + S_{\text{matter}}[g, \{\eta_r\}] \]  
(64)

[33] Primary operators with spin \( \leq 2 \) retain \( O(1) \) anomalous dimensions. These correspond to spherical harmonics of gravitons with \( E \sim O(1)/R_{\text{AdS}} \).
where $S_{\text{cov}}[g]$ is the Polyakov action, given by (7). The matter action

$$
S_{\text{matter}}[g, \{ \eta_r \}] = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \left[ \sum_r \left( g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \eta_r \partial_\beta \eta_r + m_r^2 \eta_r^2 \right) + \ldots \right]
$$

where in the second step, we have used (27). Note that since the metric \( \hat{g} \) contains the Nambu-Goldstone modes \( f \) (see (26)), the above action automatically incorporates a coupling between these modes and the higher mass modes \( \eta_r \); this fact plays an important role in computing the chaotic growth of the out-of-time correlator. Using the action (8.1) we can derive the exponentially growing behaviour of the out-of-time ordered 4-point functions, \( \langle O(\tau) O(0) O(\tau) O(0) \rangle \) (where \( \tau > 0 \)), which gives the Lyapunov exponent, \( 2\pi/\beta \), consistent with the bound on chaos derived in [26]. Note also the appearance of the Liouville factor in the mass term (this is to be contrasted with proposed bulk duals based on Jackiw-Teitelboim models, e.g. in [24]). This implies subleading correction to the mass term proportional to \( 1/J \) (see (27)). However, as shown in [5, 56] one doesn’t need to break the conformal symmetry explicitly to study the physics of these excited states. In fact, the \( 1/J \) corrections for these states are truly subleading. The terms in the ellipsis above denote interaction terms, which are suppressed in large \( N \) counting. Whether the procedure of incorporating bulk fields outlined above can be consistently extended to an interacting level with local interactions in the bulk, of course, remains an open question.
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Appendices

A Some identities

For $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2\phi} \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$

$$
R = e^{-2\phi} \left( \hat{R} - 2 \hat{\Box} \phi \right) \quad (66a)
$$

$$
\Box = e^{-2\phi} \hat{\Box} \quad (66b)
$$

$$
g := \det(g_{\alpha\beta}) = e^{4\phi} \hat{g} \quad (66c)
$$

$$
n_\mu = e^{\phi} \hat{n}_\mu \quad (66d)
$$

$$
n^\mu = e^{-\phi} n^\mu \quad (66e)
$$

$$
\gamma := \det\{ \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \} = e^{2\phi} \hat{\gamma} \quad (66f)
$$

$$
\sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{K} = \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}} + \hat{n}^\mu \partial_\mu \phi \right) \quad (66g)
$$
B Green’s function of Laplacian in AdS$_2$

Green’s functions in hyperbolic spaces are well studied. Therefore, in this appendix, following [58], we only provide a quick review of some results that are important for this paper. In the Poincare half plane, $\mathbb{H}$, the Laplacian is given by,

$$\hat{\Box} = c^2 (\partial_t^2 + \partial_z^2)$$

We are interested in solving the Green’s function equation,

$$\hat{\Box} G(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \zeta^2 \delta^{(2)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$$

It is convenient to work with the coordinates, $z = \zeta + i\tau, \bar{z} = \zeta - i\tau$. Geodesic distances between two points, $z, z'$, on $\mathbb{H}$ are given by,

$$d(z, z') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \mu}} \arccos \left( 1 + \frac{|z - z'|^2}{2 \text{Re}[z] \text{Re}[z']} \right)$$

Hyperbolic symmetry implies that the Green’s function depends only on the geodesic distance, $G(z, z') = f(d)$. Switching to geodesic polar coordinates centered around $z'$,

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \sinh^2(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r) d\theta^2$$

where,

$$\frac{1}{\sinh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r)} \partial_r (\sinh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r) \partial_r) f(r) = \frac{\delta(r)}{\sinh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r)}$$

We regulate the above equation by first solving the resolvent for the operator $-\hat{\Box} + 4\pi \mu s(s-1)$, and then taking the limit, $s \to 1$. Moreover, we first solve the homogeneous condition and then impose an appropriate condition on the discontinuity of the resolvent at origin to solve for the Green’s function. The solution to the regulated homogeneous equation,

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\sinh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r)} \partial_r (\sinh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r) \partial_r) + s(s-1) \right] f_s(r) = 0$$

is given by,

$$f_s(r) = a_1 P_{s-1}(\cosh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r)) + a_2 Q_{s-1}(\cosh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r))$$

where, $P_s, Q_s$ are Legendre functions of first and second kind respectively, and $a_1, a_2$ are some constant of integrations. To fix the normalization and the discontinuity at the origin, we substitute (73) into (71) and integrate on both sides. This fixes the solution for the resolvent to be,

$$f_s(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} Q_{s-1}(\cosh(2\sqrt{\pi \mu} r))$$

$$G_s(z, z') = \frac{1}{2\pi} P_{s-1} \left( 1 + \frac{|z - z'|^2}{2 \text{Re}[z] \text{Re}[z']} \right)$$

Taking $s \to 1$, the Green’s function is given by,

$$G(z, z') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{|z - z'|^2}{4 \text{Re}[z] \text{Re}[z']} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

In terms of the $\zeta - \tau$ coordinates, this is,

$$G([\zeta_1, \tau_1], [\zeta_2, \tau_2]) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left[ \frac{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)^2 + (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2}{(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)^2 + (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2} \right]$$

The Green’s function is quite instructive in this form. It is same as the flat space Green’s function in 2-dimensions with an additional contribution coming from the ‘mirror charge’ at $\{-\zeta_2, \tau_2\}$. This is not surprising because AdS$_2$ is Weyl scaled flat metric and hence has the same Green’s function up to imposition of boundary conditions.
B.1 Green’s function for thermal AdS

Thermal AdS is defined by periodic identification of $\tau$ coordinate over a length $\beta$. Thus the metric remains same as pure AdS and so does the Laplacian given in (67). However, now the Green’s function should be invariant under the shift $\Delta \tau = \tau_1 - \tau_2 \rightarrow \Delta \tau + n\beta$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This can be achieved by taking using the method of images,

$$G_{\text{thermal}}(\{\zeta_1, \tau_1\}, \{\zeta_2, \tau_2\}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \log \left[ \frac{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)^2 + (\Delta \tau + n\beta)^2}{(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)^2 + (\Delta \tau + n\beta)^2} \right]$$ (77)

This sum can be computed explicitly,

$$G_{\text{thermal}}(\{\zeta_1, \tau_1\}, \{\zeta_2, \tau_2\}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left[ \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{2\pi(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)}{\beta} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{2\pi \Delta \tau}{\beta} \right)}{\cosh \left( \frac{2\pi(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)}{\beta} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{2\pi \Delta \tau}{\beta} \right)} \right]$$ (78)

This Green’s function was used in the computations of the partition function in section 6 which was then subtracted from the partition function in black hole geometries discussed in that section.

C Variation of the induced gravity (Polyakov) action

In this appendix we will study the exact variation of the Polyakov action, (7). We haven’t found a discussion of these covariant equations of motion anywhere in literature, we think that it might have been worked out personally, they haven’t been presented in published form. Since the action is non-local, so are the equations of motion.

1. That the diagonal part of the equations of motion are the same as the one we obtain for the Liouville mode, $\phi$, in conformal gauge. These is the equation of motion that one obtains for Liouville field theory with a background metric, $\hat{g}$.

2. AdS$_2$ and AAdS$_2$ geometries that we have discussed in the paper satisfy the equations of motion.

3. The most general solutions (45,46) of the Liouville mode, $\phi$, in AdS$_2$ background,

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[ (z + \bar{z})^2 \frac{\partial g(z) \partial \bar{g}(\bar{z})}{(g(z) + \bar{g}(\bar{z}))^2} \right]$$

obtain further constraints from the equations of motion. That is not surprising because the above solutions were obtained from solving only the Liouville equation. This also bodes well with the degree of freedom counting in 2d theory of gravity. These constraints force the solutions of $g(z), \bar{g}(\bar{z})$ to be $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ transformations of complex plane, (15). However, the boundary conditions reduce it to $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ transformations, which are the isometries of the geometries that we are interested in. The remaining solutions that don’t satisfy the boundary conditions are what we call non-normalizable solutions.

4. This exercise also justifies the boundary terms that we have introduced in (7) that we have argued are required for a well defined variational principle.

We use following notations to avoid clutter in the forthcoming equations:

$$\int_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma} \equiv \int_{\Gamma} d^2 x \sqrt{g(x)}$$ (79a)

$$\int_{\partial s}^{\partial s} \equiv \int_{\partial s} ds \sqrt{\gamma(s)}$$ where $s$ is the boundary coordinate (79b)

34This also makes this section pretty ugly in terms of the equations.
\[ G(x, y) \] is the Green’s function of the Laplacian satisfying, \( \Box^{(x)} G(x, y) = \frac{\delta^2(x - y)}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \) (79c)

\( \nabla_\mu^{(x)} \) denotes the covariant derivative with respect to variable \( x \) \hspace{1cm} (79d)

**Bulk Term**  We start with varying the bulk term in (7).

\[
\delta S_{\text{cov}}^{\text{bulk}}[g] = \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_G \delta \left( \sqrt{g} \left[ R \frac{1}{\Box} R - 16\pi \mu \right] \right) \\
= \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ \delta \left[ \sqrt{g(x)} \sqrt{g(y)} R(x) G(x, y) R(y) \right] + \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_G d^2x \ \delta \left[ \sqrt{g(x)} \right] (-16\pi \mu) \\
= \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ \left( 2 \delta \left[ \sqrt{g(x)} R(x) \right] \sqrt{g(y)} R(y) G(x, y) + \sqrt{g(x)} R(x) \sqrt{g(y)} R(y) \ \delta [G(x, y)] \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_G d^2x \ \delta \left[ \sqrt{g(x)} \right] (-16\pi \mu)
\]

Here, in the last equation on RHS we have used the symmetry of Green’s function in \( x - y \) coordinates to multiply the first term by 2. In the above equation, the first and last term are very easy to compute while the second term is slightly more non-trivial. The variations of the Ricci scalar and metric determinant are:

\[
\delta \left[ \sqrt{g(x)} \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g(x)} g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \\
\delta [R(x)] = R_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu \nu^{(x)}
\]

where, \( v^\sigma = g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma (\delta g^{\mu\nu}) - \nabla_\sigma (\delta g^{\mu\nu}) \)

Henceforth, we are dropping the overall factor of \( 1/16\pi b^2 \) and will reinstate it at the end.

\[
\delta S_{\text{cov}}^{\text{bulk}}[g] = 2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \left( R_{\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(x) R(x) \right) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) R(y) G(x, y) + 2 \int_G d^2y \int_G d^2y \nabla_\mu^{(x)} \nu^{(x)} R(y) G(x, y) \\
+ \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ R(x) R(y) \ \delta [G(x, y)] + \int_G d^2x \ 8\pi \mu g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \\
= 2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \left[ g_{\mu\nu}(x) \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} \left( \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \right) - \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} (\delta g^{\alpha\sigma}(x)) \right] R(y) G(x, y) \\
+ \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ R(x) R(y) \ \delta [G(x, y)] + \int_G d^2x \ 8\pi \mu g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)
\]

where, in the second line we have dropped the term containing Einstein tensor which is identically zero in 2 dimensions. Subsequently, we integrate by parts, keeping track of all the boundary terms that we pick in the process.

\[
\delta S_{\text{cov}}^{\text{bulk}}[g] = -2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \left[ \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} \left( g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \right) - \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} (\delta g^{\alpha\sigma}(x)) \right] R(y) \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} G(x, y) \\
+ 2 \int_G d^2y \int_G d^2y \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} \left[ v^\sigma (x) R(y) G(x, y) \right] + \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ R(x) R(y) \ \delta [G(x, y)] + \int_G d^2x \ 8\pi \mu g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \\
= -2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \left[ \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} (g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \right) - \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} (\delta g^{\alpha\sigma}(x)) \right] R(y) \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} G(x, y) \\
+ 2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ n_\sigma (s) v^\sigma (s) R(y) G(x, y) + \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ R(x) R(y) \ \delta [G(x, y)] + \int_G d^2x \ 8\pi \mu g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \\
= -4 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ \delta K R(y) G(x, y) - 2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} \left( g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \right) \ R(y) \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} G(x, y) \\
+ \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \left( 2R(x) + 8\pi \mu \right) + 2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} \left( \delta g^{\alpha\sigma}(x) \right) \ R(y) \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} G(x, y) \\
- 2 \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g^{\alpha\sigma}(x) \nabla_\sigma^{(x)} \left( \nabla_\alpha^{(x)} G(x, y) \right) R(y) + \int_G d^2x \int_G d^2y \ R(x) R(y) \ \delta [G(x, y)] \hspace{1cm} (80)
\]
in the second line on RHS, we have used the Gauss’s law to make the bulk integral into a surface integral. The first term in the second line is also the term that needs to be cancelled because it involves derivatives of variation of metric. Using \(\hat{n}^\sigma \nu_\sigma = -2\delta K\), one can clearly see that this term is cancelled by the variation of second term in (78). In the third line, we have used integration by parts in the second term of the second line. We have obtained two boundary terms in the process (2nd and 4th term in the third line), both of which involve variation of the metric on the boundary, and under Dirichlet boundary condition, are zero. They will be dropped from here onwards.

\[
\delta S_{\text{conv}}[g] = -4 \int_\Gamma \int_\gamma^y \delta K \ R(y)G(x,y) + \int_\gamma^x g_{\mu\nu}(x)\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \left( 2R(x) + 8\pi\mu \right)
- 2 \int_\gamma^y \delta g^{\sigma\sigma}(x) \nabla^{(x)}_\sigma \nabla^{(x)}_\sigma G(x,y) \ R(y) + \int_\Gamma \int_\gamma^y \nabla^{(x)}_\sigma G(x,y) \ R(y) \ \delta(G(x,y)) \tag{81}
\]

Now we embark upon the computation of \(\delta G(x,y)\). The Green’s function in a curved background is defined in a covariant manner by (79b). Varying this equation with respect to metric,

\[
\delta \square^{(x)} G(x,y) + \square^{(x)} \delta G(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g(x)}} g_{\mu\nu}(x)\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \ \delta^2(x-y)
\]

\[
\delta G(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(y)\delta g^{\mu\nu}(y) \ G(x,y) - \int_\Gamma G(x,w)\delta \square^{(w)} G(w,y) \tag{82}
\]

Here in the second line we have integrated both sides with a Green’s function. The action of Laplacian on a scalar is also given by, \(\square^{(x)} f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \partial_\mu \left( \sqrt{g(x)} g^{\mu\nu}(x) \partial_\nu f(x) \right)\). Thus the variation of the \(\square\) operator is,

\[
\delta \square^{(x)} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(x)\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \ \square^{(x)} f(x) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g(x)}} \partial_\mu \left( \sqrt{g(x)} g_{\rho\sigma}(x)\delta g^{\rho\sigma}(x)g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x) \right)
+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g(x)}} \partial_\mu \left( \sqrt{g(x)}\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x) \right)

= -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x) \ \nabla_\mu \left( g_{\rho\sigma}(x)\delta g^{\rho\sigma}(x) \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \partial_\mu \left( \sqrt{g(x)}\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x) \right)

= -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x) \ \nabla_\mu \left( g_{\rho\sigma}(x)\delta g^{\rho\sigma}(x) \right) + \partial_\mu(\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)) \ \partial_\nu f(x) + \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \ \partial_\mu \partial_\nu f(x)
+ \frac{1}{2g(x)} \partial_\mu(g(x)) \ \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x)

= -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x) \ \nabla_\mu \left( g_{\rho\sigma}(x)\delta g^{\rho\sigma}(x) \right) + \partial_\mu(\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)) \ \partial_\nu f(x) + \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \ \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f(x)
+ \Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho} \delta g^{\rho\sigma}(x)\partial_\nu f(x)
\Rightarrow \delta \square^{(x)} f(x) = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu f(x) \ \nabla_\mu \left( g_{\rho\sigma}(x)\delta g^{\rho\sigma}(x) \right) + \nabla_\mu(\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)) \ \partial_\nu f(x) + \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \ \nabla_\mu \partial_\nu f(x) \tag{83}
\]

We have used chain rule of differentiation to come from the first line on RHS to the second line. We have also changed the normal derivative acting on \(g_{\rho\sigma}(x)\delta g^{\rho\sigma}(x)\) into a covariant derivative because it is a scalar. In the fourth line we have used the identity, \(\partial_\mu g(x) = 2g(x)\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_\nu\). We have also converted some of the differentiations into covariant derivatives in last line. For our computations, the role of \(f\) in the above computations is played by, \(\int_\gamma^y \sqrt{g(y)} R(y)G(w,y)\). Using (83) in (82) and substituting back into last term
\[
\int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} R(x)R(y) \delta[G(x,y)] = \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} R(x)R(y) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mu\nu}(y) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(y) G(x,y) - \int_{\Gamma}^{w} G(x,w) \delta \square^{(w)} G(w,y) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} R(x)R(y) \gamma_{\mu\nu}(y) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(y) G(x,y)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y)G(x,w) \left[ g^{\mu\nu}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\mu} G(w,y) \nabla^w \left( g_{\rho\sigma}(w) \delta g^{\rho\sigma}(w) \right) \right.

\]

\[
- 2 \nabla^w \left( \delta g^{\mu\nu}(w) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\mu} G(w,y) - 2 \delta g^{\mu\nu}(w) \nabla^w \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\sigma} G(w,y) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} R(x)R(y) \gamma_{\mu\nu}(y) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(y) G(x,y)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y) \left[ G(x,w) \square^{(w)} G(w,y) g_{\rho\sigma}(w) \delta g^{\rho\sigma}(w) \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y) \left[ g_{\rho\sigma}(w) g^{\mu\nu}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\mu} G(w,y) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\nu} G(w,y) \right] \delta g^{\rho\sigma}(w)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y) \nabla^w \left[ G(x,w) g^{\mu\nu}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\mu} G(w,y) \right]
\]

\[
- \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y) \nabla^w \left[ G(x,w) \delta g^{\rho\sigma}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\rho} G(w,y) \right]
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y) \nabla^w \left[ \nabla^w G(w,y) \delta g^{\rho\sigma}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\rho} G(w,y) \right]
\]

\[
- \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y) G(x,w) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(w) \nabla^w \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\sigma} G(w,y)
\]

\[
\text{in the third line on RHS, the first two terms cancel between themselves, while the last two terms also cancel between themselves. The fourth and the fifth terms are total derivative terms that are essentially some boundary terms. These terms vanish since we are working with Dirichlet boundary conditions such that the Green's function vanishes on the boundary.}
\]

\[
\int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} R(x)R(y) \delta[G(x,y)] = \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{w} R(x)R(y) \left[ \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial w^\mu} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial w^\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) g^{\alpha\beta}(w) \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial w^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial w^\beta} \right] \delta g^{\mu\nu}(w)
\]

\[
\text{(84)}
\]

Thus, the final expression of the variation of the bulk action is (with the reinstating of the overall } \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \text{ factor},

\[
\delta S_{\text{cov}}^{\text{bulk}} [g] = - \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \delta K R(y) G(x,y) + \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} g_{\mu\nu}(w) \delta g^{\mu\nu}(w) \left( 2R(w) + 8\pi \mu \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{8\pi b^2} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} \delta g^{\rho\sigma}(w) \nabla^w \alpha \nabla^w \beta G(w,y) R(y)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_{\Gamma}^{y} \int_{\Gamma}^{x} R(x)R(y) \left[ \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial w^\mu} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial w^\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) g^{\alpha\beta}(w) \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial w^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial w^\beta} \right] \delta g^{\mu\nu}(w)
\]

\[
\text{(85)}
\]
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The bulk equations of motion are non local and given by:

\[
0 = \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \left( g_{\mu\nu}(w) \left( 2R(w) + 8\pi \mu \right) + \int^x_{x'} \left[ -2\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu G(w,x) R(x) \right] + \int^x_{x'} \left[ \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial \mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial \mu^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial \mu^\beta} \right] R(x) R(y) \right) \tag{86}
\]

Now let us look at the trace part of the equations of motion. The last term in the above equation doesn’t contribute in that case.

\[
0 = \left( 2[2R(w) + 8\pi \mu] + \int^x_{x'} \left[ -2\Box(w) G(w,x) R(x) \right] \right)
= R(w) + 8\pi \mu \tag{87}
\]

In conformal gauge, where \( g_{\mu\nu}(x) = e^{2\phi(x)} \delta_{\mu\nu}(x) \), this is same as, \[12\],

\[
R(x) - 2\Box \phi(x) = -8\pi \mu e^{2\phi(x)} \tag{88}
\]

which is also the equation of motion for the Liouville mode \( \phi \) with background metric \( \delta_{\mu\nu} \). In AdS \( 2 \) background \( (ds^2 = (1/\mu(z + \bar{z}))^2 dz d\bar{z}) \), the most general solution of this equation is, \[15,40\],

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[ (z + \bar{z})^2 \frac{\partial g(z) \partial \bar{g}(\bar{z})}{g(z) + \bar{g}(\bar{z})^2} \right] \tag{89}
\]

where, in Euclidean space, \( g(z), \bar{g}(\bar{z}) \) are complex function which are complex conjugate of each other. Equivalently, in Lorentzian space, they can be chosen to be two independent real functions.

Solving \[15\] in full generality is a daunting task that we don’t undertake. We show that AdS \( 2 \) satisfies these equations of motion, and also provide an argument that AAdS \( 2 \) geometries satisfy them too. Traceless part of \[15\] is,

\[
0 = \int^x_{x'} \left[ -2 \left( \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu G(w,x) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) \Box G(w,x) \right) R(x) + \int_{x'}^x \left[ \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial \mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial \mu^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial \mu^\beta} \right] R(x) R(y) \right) \tag{90}
\]

One way to check that AdS \( 2 \) satisfies the on-shell equations of motion is to directly use the \[17\] in the above expression and do the exact computation. However, it is much easier if we think of AdS \( 2 \) as Weyl scaling of flat space, \( g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2\Omega} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \), where \( \Omega = -\log(\sqrt{\eta}(z + \bar{z})) = -\log(\sqrt{4\pi \mu}) \). We use the formula for Ricci scalar, \( R(x) = -2e^{-2\Omega} \Box_{flat} \Omega(x) \) to write \[17\] as,

\[
0 = 4 \int d^2x \sqrt{\Omega} \left[ \left( \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu G(w,x) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) \Box G(w,x) \right) \left( e^{-2\Omega} \Box_{flat} \Omega(x) \right) + 4 \int d^2x \int d^2y \sqrt{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial \mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial G(w,x)}{\partial \mu^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w,y)}{\partial \mu^\beta} \right) \right] 
\times \left( e^{-2\Omega} \Box_{flat} \Omega(x) \right) \left( e^{-2\Omega} \Box_{flat} \Omega(y) \right) \tag{91}
\]

\[
= 4 \int d^2x \left[ \left( \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \Box_{flat} G(w,x) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) \Box_{flat}^2 G(w,x) \right) \Omega(x) \right] + 4 \int d^2x \int d^2y \left[ \frac{\partial \Box_{flat} G(w,x)}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial \Box_{flat} G(w,y)}{\partial \mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(w) g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \Box_{flat} G(w,x)}{\partial \mu^\alpha} \frac{\partial \Box_{flat} G(w,y)}{\partial \mu^\beta} \right] \Omega(x) \Omega(y) 
= 0
\]
In the second line we have used integration by parts to shift $\Box_{\text{flat}}$ on the corresponding Green’s functions; we have dropped the vanishing boundary terms on our way. We also use the fact discussed at the end of Appendix B that the Green’s function remain unchanged for the Weyl scaled metrics, up to impositions of boundary condition. In this case the boundary condition, $G(\{\zeta, \tau\}, \{0, \tau_2\}) = 0$, is imposed by adding a contribution of a ‘mirror charge’ at a point reflected across the boundary. Thus the flat space Laplacian acting on this Green’s function gives two $\delta$-functions, one each for the ‘original charge’ and ‘mirror charge’\footnote{The $\delta$-function is a flat space $\delta$-function.}

The $\delta$-function of the mirror charge lies outside the region of integration and hence doesn’t contribute.

The equations of motion (88), (90) are covariant equations under diffeomorphisms. Thus they will also be satisfied for the class of geometries that we constructed in section 4.

The equations of motion (88), (90) are covariant equations under diffeomorphisms. Thus they will also be satisfied for the class of geometries that we constructed in section 4.

We can still do slightly better and solve the equations of motion for Weyl scaled metrics around a given background. Around $\text{AdS}_2$ background, from (90) we get following Virasoro constraints for $\phi$,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
4 \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z, \bar{z}) - (\partial \phi(z, \bar{z}))^2 + 2 \frac{\partial \phi(z, \bar{z}) \bar{\partial} \phi(z, \bar{z}) - (\bar{\partial} \phi(z, \bar{z}))^2 + 2 \frac{\bar{\partial} \phi(z, \bar{z})}{z + \bar{z}}}{z + \bar{z}}}{0}
\end{pmatrix} = 0
$$

(92)

Solving (88) and (92) simultaneously, we get solutions of the type (89), but with $g, \tilde{g}$ additionally restricted by the conditions,

$$
0 = \begin{pmatrix}
2 \left( \frac{g^{(3)}(z)}{g'(z)} \right) & \frac{3 g''(z)^2}{2 g'(z)^2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(93)

which is basically the Schwarzian derivatives of $g(z)$ and $\tilde{g}(z)$. This restricts $g(z)$ to be of the form,

$$
g(z) = \frac{az + ib}{icz + d}
$$

(94)

for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\tilde{g}(z)$ is its complex conjugate. Imposing the boundary condition, $g(z) + \tilde{g}(z)|_{z + \bar{z} = 0} = 0$ further restricts $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. These precisely corresponds to the isometries of the geometries that we are considering. However, more general choice of these parameters gives us solutions that we call non-normalizable in this paper. These solutions diverge as $1/\zeta$ for small deviations around identity,

$$
\begin{align*}
a &= 1 + \delta a \\
b &= \delta b \\
c &= \delta c \\
d &= 1 - \delta a
\end{align*}
$$

(95)

**Boundary Term** A similar analysis for the variation of boundary terms in (7) gives,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta S_{\text{bound}}[g] &= \frac{1}{16\pi b^2} \int_0^\infty \delta \left( 4\sqrt{7} K \right) R \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^s \delta K(s) G(x, s) R(x) - \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_0^s \int_0^s \delta g^{\mu\nu}(x) \left[ \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu G(x, s) K(s) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_0^s \int_0^s \delta g^{\mu\nu}(w) \left[ \frac{\partial G(w, x)}{\partial w^\mu} \frac{\partial G(w, s)}{\partial w^\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta}(w) \frac{\partial G(w, x)}{\partial w^\alpha} \frac{\partial G(w, s)}{\partial w^\beta} \right] K(s) R(x) \\
&- \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int_0^s \int_0^{s'} \delta K(s) G(s, s') K(s')
\end{align*}
$$

(96)

Note that the first term in RHS of (96) exactly cancels the last term in RHS of (80). Moreover, the last term in (96) exactly cancels the variation arising from the last term in (7). Also in writing the above expressions we have made use of the fact that we are imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions on the metric, $\delta g_{\mu\nu}|_{\partial \Gamma} = 0$.


D Analysing off-shell constraints

In this section we demonstrate that the constraints coming from the traceless part of the equations of motion in the conformal gauge, \textit{viz.} the ‘Virasoro constraints’ \cite{13}, do not permit any off-shell degrees of freedom apart from those representing large diffeomorphisms of AdS$_2$ geometry.

It is enough to carry out this analysis in absence of the large diffeomorphisms, with \( ds^2 \) as in \cite{11}. The generalization to \cite{20} is obtained by applying the large diffeomorphism \cite{23}, in the manner explained in Section 4.

Simplifying the holomorphic part of the constraints,
\[
\partial^2 \phi(z, \bar{z}) - (\partial \phi(z, \bar{z}))^2 + 2 \partial \phi(z, \bar{z}) \frac{z + \bar{z}}{z + \bar{z}} = 0
\]
\[\Rightarrow \partial \left( (z + \bar{z})^2 \partial \left( e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} \right) \right) = 0 \tag{97}\]
\[\Rightarrow \partial \left( e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} \right) = - \frac{A(\bar{z})}{(z + \bar{z})^2}
\]
\[\Rightarrow e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} = - \frac{A(\bar{z})}{(z + \bar{z})} + B(\bar{z}) \tag{98}\]

Similarly, solving the anti-holomorphic part gives,
\[e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} = - \frac{C(z)}{(z + \bar{z})} + D(z) \tag{99}\]

In the above equations, the functions \( A, B, C, D \) are arbitrary and independent, to begin with, as they appear as ‘constants’ of integration. However, they must satisfy the requirement that the two expressions \cite{95} and \cite{99} for the same quantity \( e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} \) must be (i) equal to each other and (ii) real. Assuming a general power series form of each of the functions, we find that these two requirements can only be met if \( A, C \) are quadratic and \( B, D \) are linear, and, in particular, of the form
\[
A(\bar{z}) = ax^2 + 2ibx + c, \quad B(\bar{z}) = ax + d + ib
\]
\[C(z) = az^2 - 2bzz + c, \quad D(z) = az + d - ib, \tag{100}\]

leading to the following solution for the Liouville field,
\[
\phi(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{a \bar{z} z + (d + ib)z + (d - ib)\bar{z} - c}{z + \bar{z}}
\]
\[\Rightarrow \phi(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[ \frac{(z + \bar{z})^2}{(a \bar{z} z + (d + ib)z + (d - ib)\bar{z} - c)^2} \right] \tag{101}\]

Here the constants \( a, b, c, d \) are real. Out of these four, only three are physical. The reason is that the Virasoro constraints, expressed as in \cite{97} (and the similar, antiholomorphic equation) are homogeneous linear equations in the variable \( e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} \), which implies that \( e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} \rightarrow \text{constant} \times e^{-\phi(z, \bar{z})} \) is a symmetry of the equations. Hence, the constants \( a, b, c, d \) are only determined up to a (real) scale factor.

It is important to check that the solution \cite{101} of the Virasoro constraints satisfies the equation of motion \cite{12}. This can be done in two ways:

(i) By direct substitution of \cite{101} into \cite{12}, we find that \cite{12} is satisfied up to a term proportional to \( ac + (d + ib)(d - ib) - 1 \). By using the scale symmetry mentioned above, we can clearly make this vanish, e.g. by treating \( a, b \) and \( d \) as independent variables and fixing \( c = (1 - (d + ib)(d - ib)) / a \) (this is equivalent to choosing a gauge).

(ii) Alternatively, one can match \cite{101} with the solution \cite{13}. We find that the parameters of the two solutions are related as follows
\[\tilde{a}c - ac = -ia, \quad \tilde{b}d - bd = -ic, \quad \tilde{b}c + ad = d - ib \tag{102}\]
The $$\mathbb{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$ conditions $$ad + bc = 1$$ translate to the condition

$$ac + (d + ib)(d - ib) = 1,$$

(103)

As mentioned before, on this surface [101] solves the equation of motion (12). Furthermore, in the analysis of [13], we found that the $$\mathbb{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$ subgroup, parameterized by real values of $$a, b, c, d$$, correspond to trivial isometries of AdS$_2$, and did not generate a new solution; there is a natural interpretation of this fact according to [102]: real $$a, b, c, d$$ translate to $$a = b = c = 0, d = 1$$, leading to the trivial solution $$\phi = 0$$. Thus the variables $$a, b, c, d$$, given by [102] actually parameterize the nontrivial coset $$\mathbb{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$. In fact, the hyperboloid [103] parameterizes this coset.

As mentioned before, the above analysis can be generalized to the case of the reference metric [20] by applying the large diffeomorphism [25] to the solution [101].

**Conclusion:** The Virasoro constraints completely fix the Liouville field $$\phi$$ (up to three real constants). As explained in the text (see Section [1]), the three constants need to be fixed as boundary conditions for the path integral (since they correspond to non-normalizable deformations). Thus, there are no off-shell variables (i.e., variables appearing in the path integration) that come from the Liouville field $$\phi$$. The only off-shell variables are represented by the large diffeomorphisms as in [29].

### E Exact computation of asymptotic AdS$_2$ geometries

We use the knowledge of exact asymptotically AdS$_3$ geometries to construct AAAdS$_2$ geometries. In AdS$_3$ the space of solutions of spacetimes with constant negative curvature is given by, [51][52],

$$ds^2 = L^2_{(AdS_3)} \left( \frac{d\zeta^2 + 2dxd\bar{x}}{\zeta^2} + L(x)d\bar{x}^2 + L(\bar{x})dx^2 - \frac{\zeta^2}{2}L(x)L(\bar{x})dxd\bar{x} \right)$$

(104)

where, $$L(x), \bar{L}(\bar{x})$$ are holomorphic and anti-holomorphic functions, and related to the holographic stress tensor, [59]. In the above references it is discussed how following large diffeomorphisms generate the above class of geometries from the Poincare AdS$_3$ geometry ($$ds^2 = L^2_{(AdS_3)}(da^2 + 2dyd\bar{y})/a^2$$),

$$y = f(x) + \frac{2\zeta^2 f'(x)^2 f''(\bar{x})}{8f'(x)f'(\bar{x}) - \zeta^2 f''(x)f''(\bar{x})}$$

$$\bar{y} = \bar{f}(\bar{x}) + \frac{2\zeta^2 \bar{f}'(\bar{x})^2 \bar{f}''(x)}{8f'(x)f'(\bar{x}) - \zeta^2 f''(x)f''(\bar{x})}$$

$$u = \zeta \frac{(4f'(x)f'(\bar{x}))^{3/2}}{8f'(x)f'(\bar{x}) - \zeta^2 f''(x)f''(\bar{x})}$$

(105)

In general, in any arbitrary dimensions, it is not discussed for the asymptotic Killing vectors for any spacetime. The special feature of AdS$_3$ is the fact that these infinitesimal diffeomorphisms can be integrated to non-linear order. AdS$_2$ being a more constrained geometry, also enjoys this same feature. Here, we use the known results of the exact non-linear diffeomorphisms in AdS$_3$ to construct the class of asymptotic AdS$_2$ solutions. In Cartesian coordinates, $$y = (x + i\tau)/\sqrt{2}, \bar{y} = (x - i\tau)/\sqrt{2}$$, one can obtain AdS$_2$ as a reduction of AdS$_3$ by restricting to $$x = 0$$ slice. Restricting ourselves to those transformations that keeps this AdS$_2$ slice invariant, i.e., for $$f(x) + \bar{f}(\bar{x})|_{x+\bar{x}=0} = 0$$, we find that the following coordinate transformations are precisely those which generate large diffeomorphisms in AdS$_2$, [25], while keeping us within Fefferman-Graham gauge,

$$\tilde{\tau} = f(\tau) - \frac{2\zeta^2 f''(\tau)f'(\tau)^2}{4f'(\tau)^2 + \zeta^2 f''(\tau)^2}, \quad \tilde{\zeta} = \frac{4\zeta f'(\tau)^3}{4f'(\tau)^2 + \zeta^2 f''(\tau)^2}$$

These transformations map the AdS$_2$ metrics, $$ds^2 = (d\tilde{\zeta}^2 + d\tilde{\tau}^2)/(4\pi \mu \zeta^2)$$ to AAAdS$_2$ geometries, $$ds^2 = \left( d\zeta^2 + \left(1 - \frac{4}{\pi}f(\tau, \tau) \right)^2 d\tau^2 \right)/(4\pi \mu \zeta^2)$$. 28
F Quantum corrections to the classical action

In this section we discuss the issue of gauge fixing the action \(\mathcal{L}\). The idea and Faddeev-Popov procedure to arrive at the same: We introduce a functional delta-function in our path integral using the Faddeev-Popov prescription. The corresponding determinant is then written in terms of fermionic ghosts, which gives rise to new ghost-graviton interaction vertices.\[\text{36}\]

The Faddeev-Popov determinant is defined in terms of the gauge-fixing \(\delta\)-function as follows,

\[
1 = \Delta_{FP} \left[ \hat{g}[f(\tau)], \phi \right] \times \int [\mathcal{D}\hat{g}[\tau][\mathcal{D}f(\tau)] \delta \left( \hat{g}^{(s)} - e^{2\phi} \hat{g}[f(\tau)] \right) \times \delta \left( \epsilon^{(s)}(z_1) \right) \delta \left( \epsilon^{(s)}(z_2) \right) \delta \left( \epsilon^{(s)}(z_3) \right)
\]

(106)

Here, we are denoting the small diffeomorphisms (these are the gauge-symmetry of the theory) by \(\epsilon^{(s)}\). In the subsequent discussion we will drop the \((s)\) superscript to conciseness. \(\phi\) denotes the Weyl degree of freedom and will eventually become the Liouville mode. Since our theory is not Weyl-invariant, unlike in String theory, we don’t factor out these degrees of freedom. Finally, \(f(\tau)\) denotes the degree of freedom due to large diffeomorphisms that is discussed in section 4. We are gauge fixing (using only small diffeomorphisms) an arbitrary metric to a metric that is Weyl equivalent to metrics \([26]\). This procedure will give us the Jacobian corresponding to change of integration ‘variable’ from \([\mathcal{D}g] \) to \([\mathcal{D}\hat{g}][\mathcal{D}f(\tau)]\). The \(\delta\)-functions have been included in the above expression to fix the residual gauge symmetry corresponding to our gauge choice. This is precisely the \(\mathbb{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})\) isometry of the geometries \([26]\), and hence we choose to fix three arbitrary points in the interior of \(\text{AAdS}_2\) geometries.

The path integral that we are interested in computing is formally written as,

\[
Z = \int \frac{[\mathcal{D}g]}{V_c} e^{-S[g]}, \quad V_c \text{ is the volume of the symmetry group}
\]

and inserting (106) into this path integral, we get,

\[
Z = \int \frac{[\mathcal{D}\hat{g}][\mathcal{D}g][\mathcal{D}f(\tau)]}{V_c} \times \Delta_{FP} \left[ \hat{g}[f(\tau)], \phi \right] \delta \left( \hat{g} - e^{2\phi} \hat{g}[f(\tau)] \right) \times e^{-S[g]} \times (\delta\text{-functions})
\]

\[
= \int \frac{[\mathcal{D}\hat{g}][\mathcal{D}g][\mathcal{D}f(\tau)]}{V_c} \times \Delta_{FP} \left[ \hat{g}[f(\tau)], \phi \right] \delta \left( \hat{g} - e^{2\phi} \hat{g}[f(\tau)] \right) \times e^{-S[\hat{g}]} \times (\delta\text{-functions})
\]

\[
= \int \frac{[\mathcal{D}g][\mathcal{D}f(\tau)]}{V_c} \times \Delta_{FP} \left[ \hat{g}[f(\tau)], \phi \right] \times e^{-S[e^{2\phi}\hat{g}[f(\tau)]]} \times (\delta\text{-functions})
\]

(107)

In the second line on RHS, we have changed integration ‘variables’ from \(\mathcal{D}g\) to \(\mathcal{D}\hat{g}\), where, \(g := \hat{g}^{-1}\) and used the fact that action and measure are both gauge invariant. In the third line we have integrated over the metric degrees of freedom using the \(\delta\)-function. In the last line we have used the fact that the integrand of third line doesn’t depend on \(\epsilon\) anymore, integration over which simply gives us the volume of the symmetry group.

Faddeev Popov determinant can be easily written in terms of the \(b\) and \(c\) ghosts as,

\[
\Delta_{FP} \left[ \hat{g}[f(\tau)], \phi \right] = \int \mathcal{D}c_\alpha \mathcal{D}b^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{D}l_\alpha \exp \left[ - (b^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{P}c)_{\alpha\beta} - \hat{b}^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{P}f)_{\alpha\beta}) \right] \times \frac{c(z_1)c(z_2)c(z_3)}{(z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_3)(z_3 - z_1)}
\]

(108)

here, \(c\)-insertions are equivalent to the \(\delta\)-functions appearing in the previous expressions. \(b^{\alpha\beta}\) is a symmetric-traceless tensor, and thus has only 2 degrees of freedom. We have defined operator \(\hat{P}\) such that,

\[
(\hat{P}x)_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \hat{f} \rangle \nabla_{(\alpha} x_{\beta)} - \langle \hat{f} \rangle \nabla \cdot x \hat{g}[f(\tau)]_{\alpha\beta}
\]

\[\text{36}\]In the subsequent discussion in this particular appendix, we call the \(f\) degree of freedom of section 4 corresponding to large diffeomorphisms of AdS2 as ‘gravitons’.
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\((f)\nabla\) is the covariant derivative w.r.t geometries in \((24)\). \(f\) is defined in terms of the fermionized large diffeomorphisms \((24)\) as,
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where again, \(\tilde{f}\) are the fermionized ghost counter-part of the field appearing in \((24)\). The above action can be expanded and written in terms of the components:
\[
\hat{P}_f = \begin{pmatrix}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( \left( f(f,\tau) \right) + 2 \right) \left( \zeta \right) + 4 \zeta^2 \delta \left( f(f,\tau) \right) \left( \zeta \right) - 4 \left( f(f,\tau) \right) \left( \zeta \right) - 2 \left( \delta \left( f(f,\tau) \right) \left( \zeta \right) \right)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\hat{P}_c = \begin{pmatrix}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( \left( f(f,\tau) \right) - 1 \right) \left( \zeta \right) + 4 \zeta^2 \delta \left( f(f,\tau) \right) \left( \zeta \right) - 4 \left( f(f,\tau) \right) \left( \zeta \right) + 2 \left( \delta \left( f(f,\tau) \right) \left( \zeta \right) \right)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**G Weyl anomaly in manifolds with boundary**

In this section we compute the most general boundary term for Weyl anomaly in 2-dimensions on a manifold with a boundary allowed by the Wess-Zumino consistency condition. Let us start with the variation of \((7)\) under a Weyl transformation,
\[
\delta_W S_{\text{cov}} = -\frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int \sqrt{g} (R + 8\pi \mu) \delta \omega + \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int \sqrt{g} (s) \delta \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} G(x, y)|_{y=s} + \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int \sqrt{g} (s_1) \delta \omega (s_2) K(s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} G(s_1, y)|_{y=s_2} - \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int \sqrt{g} (s_1) K(s) \delta \omega (s)
\]

Under a second Weyl transformation,
\[
\delta_{W_2} (\delta_W S_{\text{cov}}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int \sqrt{g} (s) \partial \mu \delta \omega (s) \partial \mu \delta \omega (s) - \frac{4\mu}{b^2} \int \sqrt{g} \delta \omega_2 (x) \delta \omega_1 (x)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \int \sqrt{g} (s_1) \delta \omega_1 (s_1) \delta \omega_2 (s_2) \delta \omega (s_2) \delta \omega (s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} G(s_1, s_2)
\]
therefore, since all the terms in the above equation are symmetric in \(\delta\omega_1\) and \(\delta\omega_2\), we have,

\[
\delta W_2(\delta W_1 S_{\text{cov}}) - \delta W_1(\delta W_2 S_{\text{cov}}) = 0
\]

Thus the boundary terms that we have introduced are consistent with the Wess-Zumino conditions. All the boundary terms that we have introduced are consistent with the general analysis in \([60]\).
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