Abstract: The application of liver stiffness measurement (LSM) by transient elastography (TE) in general population remains to clarify. This cohort study aimed to examine the usefulness of TE and to identify factors associated with significant liver fibrosis in community-based population.

We conducted a hepatitis screening program in 2 remote villages of Southern Taiwan. All residents participated voluntarily and received questionnaire evaluation, blood tests, abdominal sonography, and LSM by TE. Residents with any one of following criteria including hepatitis B virus infection, hepatitis C virus infection, more than moderate alcohol drinking, and failure to obtain valid or reliable LSM were excluded.

There were 831 residents participated in program. The valid and reliable LSM were obtained in 98.3% and 96.3% of residents, respectively. Finally, a total of 559 residents including 283 residents with nonalcoholic steatotic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) were enrolled for analysis. The mean liver stiffness was 4.9 ± 1.9 kPa. The liver stiffness increased in residents with diabetes mellitus (DM), higher body mass index (BMI), hypertension, abnormal waist–hip circumference ration (WHR), higher waist circumference (WC), and presence of fatty liver. Higher body weight, higher BMI, higher WC, abnormal WHR, abnormal aspartate aminotransferase (AST), abnormal alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and DM were the factors associated with significant fibrosis (liver stiffness ≥7 kPa) in either all participants or NAFLD residents. As determined by multivariate analysis, abnormal AST values and DM were the 2 independent factors in all participants (abnormal AST: OR 3.648, 95% CI 1.134–11.740, P = 0.03; DM: OR 2.882, 95% CI 1.282–6.478, P = 0.01) and in residents with NAFLD (abnormal AST: OR 4.197, 95% CI 1.154–15.262, P = 0.03; DM: OR 3.254, 95% CI 1.258–8.413, P = 0.02).

LSM by TE is a useful screening tool in community. In residents, who were absence of chronic hepatitis virus infection or consumed less than moderate alcohol drinking, exhibited DM or abnormal AST values may consider a substantial group with significant fibrosis in community.
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INTRODUCTION

In patients with chronic liver diseases, determination of liver fibrosis stages is an important indicator in either prediction of outcomes or consuming treatment.1–2 Traditionally, liver biopsy to obtain liver tissue for histology evaluation is the golden standard of fibrosis staging.3 However, risks accompanied with the invasive procedure such as bleeding, or even death drive the development of noninvasive measurement. Among those noninvasive methods, liver stiffness measurement (LSM) by transient elastography (TE; FibroScan, Echosens, France) is widely used and applied in clinical situations and has been approved to be an alternative and reliable tool in assessing liver fibrosis.4 There are strong correlation between liver histology and TE in various liver diseases including chronic viral hepatitis5–8 or nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.9 In addition, TE also presented a useful tool to predict disease outcomes.10,11

There were few reports addressing the role of TE in healthy subjects.12–15 The participated subjects mainly are those who received medical check-up in hospital, not in community. In addition, many tests accompanied with TE of the studies may not be feasible or available in community. Tests or measurements that can be easily applied in community are preferred. Hence, in addition to TE, factors that obtain from baseline characteristics of participated subjects and those tests that are commonly used in community are analyzed targets of our study.

We conducted a specialized directed screening program in community. Residents participated in program voluntarily and received evaluation of liver diseases. This community-based cohort study aimed to investigate the role of TE measurement in the application of screening of liver diseases and to identify factors that were associated with significant liver fibrosis demonstrated by abnormal LSM values in subjects without overt liver diseases.

METHODS

Screening Activities

This is an observational study. Residents who participated in a hepatology specialist directed screening program for liver disease in 2 remote counties (J-J and C-K) of Tainan City of southern Taiwan from August 2014 to May 2015 were the study targets. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of National Cheng Kung University Hospital. All participants signed informed written consent.

The Application of Liver Stiffness Measurement in Residents Without Overt Liver Diseases Through a Community-Based Screening Program

Pin-Nan Cheng, MD, Yen-Cheng Chiu, MD, Hung-Chih Chiu, MD, and Shih-Chieh Chien, MD

OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

Medicine

© 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0, where it is permissible to download, share and reproduce the work in any medium, provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially.

ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000003193
Members of screening team composed of hepatology specialists, nursing staffs, assistants, and volunteers. The program aimed to comprehensively evaluate liver disease that included questionnaire to survey knowledge of liver diseases and demography of participated residents, measurement of body weight, body height, and waist/hip/forearm circumferences, fasting blood collections, abdominal sonography survey, and LSM by TE.

Study Subjects
Those residents, who were absence of hepatitis B virus or hepatitis C virus infection and consumed less than moderate alcohol drinking, were the subjects of this study.

Questionnaire
Participated residents were asked to complete a questionnaire addressing issues such as baseline demography and knowledge of chronic liver diseases. We specially focused on the detail history of alcohol and viral hepatitis that included years and amount of alcohol consumption, treatment or follow-up of viral hepatitis, and history of occurrence of complications of liver diseases. Drinking up to 1 drink per day for women and up to 2 drinks per day for men is defined as moderate alcohol drinking according to the Dietary Guidelines for Americans.16

Waist/Hip/Forearm Circumference Measurement
For waist and hip measurements, subject was requested to stand in the manner of feet apart about shoulder-width and body weight equally distributed on both legs. Both waist and hip measurements were taken under normal breath, at the end of a normal expiration, and with the tap parallel to the floor.

For waist circumference (WC), a stretch-resistant tape that provides a constant 100 g tension was gently placed in a horizontal plane at the level of the midpoint between the lower margin of the palpable rib and the top of iliac crest. Measurement of hip circumference was taken at the maximal width of the buttocks. Data were expressed as centimeter (cm). Two consecutive measurements were taken. Average values were obtained if the difference of the 2 measurements was less than 1 cm. If the difference between the 2 measurements was more than 1 cm, 2 measurements were repeated. Abnormal WC was defined as >90 cm in males or >80 cm in females. Abnormal waist–hip circumference ratio (WHR) was defined as ≥0.9 in males or ≥0.85 in females.17

Abdominal Sonography
Abdominal sonography was performed by hepatology specialists who had more than 5-year experience in practicing sonography. Fatty liver on sonography was defined as the presence of liver-renal echo contrast and bright liver.18 Those residents who showed fatty liver on sonography were considered to have nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).

Liver Stiffness Measurement
LSM was performed by the device of FibroScan (EchoSens, France). The device generates a vibration to the intercostal spaces of the right lobe of the liver. The propagation velocity of the shear wave subsequently could be measured and expressed as kilopascals (kPa) that indicated and directly related to liver stiffness. All of the LSM were performed by a single experienced operator. M-probe was applied according to manufacturer’s instruction. The valid measurement is considered as at least consecutive 10 valid measurements with at least 80% of successful rate at the same examined location. Interquartile range (IQR), an index of intrinsic variability of LSM, corresponds to the interval of LSM results containing 50% of the valid measurements between the 25th and 75th percentiles. The reliable measurement of LSM was defined as those valid measurements with less than 30% of IQR/median. Liver stiffness ≥7 kPa was considered as significant fibrosis according to previous report.19

Laboratory Tests
Blood tests including aspartate aminotransferase (AST, upper limit of normal is 40 U/L), alanine aminotransferase (ALT, upper limit of normal is 40 U/L), hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg; Architect HBsAg QT assay, Abbott, Chicago, IL), anti-hepatitis C virus antibody (Anti-HCV; ARCHITECT Anti-HCV, ABBOTT, Diagnostics Division, Germany) were assessed.

Statistical Analysis
Data were expressed as mean plus standard deviation. Groups were compared for distributed data by Student’s t-test and for category data by Chi-square test. Different groups were compared by one way ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis test. Moreover, independent factors associated with higher liver stiffness (defined as ≥7 kPa) were identified using multivariate logistic regression analysis. P values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant. Finally, data handling and analysis were performed with SPSS software for Windows, version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS
Selection of Patients
Overall, 831 residents were participated in screening program voluntarily. Figure 1 shows the processes of selection of healthy residents. We excluded residents who exhibited positive HBsAg or positive Anti-HCV, or consumed alcohol exceeded moderate alcohol drinking. A total of 590 residents fulfilled the criteria of inclusion. Among them, 10 (1.7%) residents failed to perform valid LSM and 21 (3.6%) residents obtained unreliable LSM. Finally, 559 (94.7%) residents with valid and reliable LSM were enrolled for analysis.

Baseline Characteristics
The characteristics of these residents are shown in Table 1. Of the enrolled residents, they were female predominant (62.1%). In addition, obesity (defined as body mass index >27 kg/m²), diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia, and hypertension were observed in 21%, 11.1%, 26.8%, and 10.9% of residents, respectively. Normal AST values were present in 514 residents (91.9%) and normal ALT values in 513 residents (91.8%). Females exhibited significant smaller circumferences of waist and hip and WHR, lesser values of AST and ALT, and smaller percentage of having smoke behavior. The percentage of overweight and obesity was higher in males than in females. About half of participated residents had NAFLD with similar distribution between males and females.

Results of liver Stiffness
The mean liver stiffness was 4.9 ± 1.9 kPa. The LSM were significantly higher in males than in females (5.1 ± 2.3 vs
Residents participated in screening program
(n = 831)
- HBV infection (n = 83)
- HCV infection (n = 106)
- HBV and HCV co-infections (n = 12)
- More than moderate alcohol drinking (n = 40)

Residents included in study
(n = 590)
- Failed to measure liver stiffness (n = 10)
  IQR/Me = 30% (n = 21)

Residents enrolled for analysis
(n = 559)

**Figure 1.** Flow chart of the study. The 1st step was to exclude those residents exhibited hepatitis B or hepatitis C virus infection, or consumed more than moderate amount of alcohol. The 2nd step was to exclude those residents with invalid or unreliable liver stiffness measurement (LSM).

- Liver stiffness 4.7 ± 1.6 kPa, \( P = 0.03 \). There was a weak correlation between age and liver stiffness \((r = 0.099, P = 0.02)\).

- The distribution of liver stiffness is depicted in Figure 2. The number of residents in different liver stiffness were 196 (35.1%) exhibited ≤4 kPa, 174 (31.1%) of 4 to 5 kPa, 99 (17.7%) of 5 to 6 kPa, 50 (8.9%) of 6 to 7 kPa, 14 (2.5%) of 7 to 8 kPa, and 14 (2.5%) of 8 kPa. Among the 26 residents with liver stiffness ≥8 kPa, 19 exhibited fatty liver under abdominal sonography. Seven residents (1.3%) exhibited liver stiffness values more than 13 kPa that indicated advanced liver fibrosis or even cirrhosis.

- We compared the liver stiffness between or among residents with different characteristics. Liver stiffness increased significantly as the increment of BMI from 4.6 ± 1.5 kPa of normal BMI (<24 kg/m²), 4.7 ± 1.5 kPa of overweight (BMI between 24 and 27 kg/m²), and 5.8 ± 2.9 kPa of obesity (BMI > 27 kg/m²) \((P < 0.001)\). Those residents with DM also presented significantly higher LSM than those without DM (6.1 ± 3.1 vs 4.7 ± 1.7 kPa, \( P = 0.001 \)). Hypertension, WHR, and WC also had impact on LSM in either males or females (Figure 3). Interestingly, fatty liver change shown on sonography (NAFLD) increased liver stiffness significantly \((P = 0.01)\). No interaction between residents with smoking versus non-smoking (4.8 ± 1.8 vs 5.3 ± 2.8 kPa, \( P = 0.26 \)) and with or without dyslipidemia (4.9 ± 1.9 vs 4.9 ± 2.3 kPa, \( P = 0.81 \)) was observed for the association with liver stiffness.

**Table 1.** Baseline Characteristics of Participated Residents

|                       | All       | Male     | Female   | P Values |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Number of patients    | 559       | 212      | 347      | 0.63     |
| Age, years            | 56.2 ± 16.4 | 56.6 ± 17.1 | 55.9 ± 15.9 | 0.001     |
| Body weight, kg       | 62.7 ± 12.5 | 69.6 ± 12.7 | 58.4 ± 10.3 | <0.001   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²| 24.1 ± 4.1 | 24.6 ± 4.0 | 23.9 ± 4.1 | 0.04     |
| <24, No               | 297       | 100      | 197      | 0.05     |
| 24–27, No             | 145       | 66       | 79       | <0.001   |
| ≥27, No               | 117       | 46       | 71       | <0.001   |
| Waist circumference, cm| 82.7 ± 11.8 | 88.2 ± 11.1 | 79.4 ± 10.9 | <0.001   |
| Hip circumference, cm | 96.8 ± 9.2 | 98.2 ± 8.0 | 95.9 ± 9.7 | <0.001   |
| Waist–hip circumference ratio | 0.85 ± 0.08 | 0.90 ± 0.07 | 0.83 ± 0.08 | <0.001   |
| ALT, U/L              | 26.5 ± 11.1 | 27.7 ± 9.2 | 25.8 ± 12.0 | 0.05     |
| AST, U/L              | 24.6 ± 18.7 | 28.0 ± 19.3 | 22.5 ± 18.0 | 0.001   |
| Smoking, No           | 52        | 40       | 12       | <0.001   |
| Diabetes Mellitus, No | 62        | 25       | 37       | 0.68     |
| Hypertension, No      | 150       | 59       | 91       | 0.70     |
| Dyslipidemia, No      | 61        | 17       | 44       | 0.09     |
| NAFLD, No             | 283       | 111      | 172      | 0.52     |
| Liver stiffness measure, kPa | 4.9 ± 1.9 | 5.1 ± 2.3 | 4.7 ± 1.6 | 0.03     |

ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, NAFLD = nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.
Predictive Factors Associated With Significant Liver Fibrosis

Of the 559 residents, 40 (7.2%) had liver stiffness \( \geq 7 \) kPa that suggested significant fibrosis. General characteristics and univariate/multivariate analysis of residents according to liver stiffness of 7 kPa are shown in Table 2. In univariate analysis, residents who presented with higher body weight, obesity (BMI > 27 kg/m\(^2\)), abnormal AST values, abnormal ALT values, abnormal WC or WHR, higher hip circumference, and DM were significantly associated with liver stiffness \( \geq 7 \) kPa. Furthermore, multivariate logistic regression analysis
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showed that residents with DM or abnormal AST values at screening were independent factors associated with liver stiffness ≥7 kPa. The odds ratio, 95% confidence interval, and P values were 2.882, 1.282 to 6.478, 0.01 for DM and 3.648, 1.134 to 11.740, 0.03 for abnormal AST values.

We further analyzed to investigate factors associated with liver stiffness ≥7 kPa in residents with NAFLD shown on sonography. In total of 283 residents, 28 (9.9%) exhibited liver stiffness ≥7 kPa. Similar to the findings that were observed in the 559 residents, higher body weight, obesity, abnormal or higher AST values or ALT values, higher or abnormal WHR, and DM were the factors associated with liver stiffness ≥7 kPa in NAFLD. Abnormal AST values (OR 4.197, 95% CI 1.154–15.262, P = 0.03) and DM (OR 3.254, 95% CI 1.258–8.413, P = 0.02) were also the independent factors that associated with high liver stiffness (≥7 kPa) in resident with NAFLD in community under multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

LSM by TE (FibroScan) has been widely used in clinical practice to evaluate liver fibrosis severity in chronic viral hepatitis or nonalcoholic steatotic hepatitis.4–11 The application of LSM in community is less addressed. This cohort study investigated the application of LSM by TE as a screening tool of liver diseases in community.

LSM by TE (FibroScan) has been widely used in clinical practice to evaluate liver fibrosis severity in chronic viral hepatitis or nonalcoholic steatotic hepatitis.4–11 The application of LSM in community is less addressed. This cohort study investigated the application of LSM by TE as a screening tool of liver diseases in community. TE exhibits advantages in that it is quite easy to operate, noninvasive, and time-saving. The accuracy of TE mainly based on valid and reliable measurement which could be defined as rate of successful measurement, numbers of consecutive measurement, and IQR/M ratio. An experienced operator is also important to minimize technical variation. In this study, the valid LSM was achieved in 98.3% of enrolled residents and reliable LSM in 96.3% of those residents with valid LSM. The comparable valid and reliable LSM of this study to previous reports15,20 indicated that LSM could be efficiently applied to general population in community.

The 2 counties we screened are well-known high prevalent to hepatitis virus infection. Indeed, there were 201 residents exhibited positive HBsAg and/or positive Anti-HCV. In order to investigate the role of TE in community, we excluded those residents with hepatitis virus infection and consuming moderate amount of alcohol drinking. In the 559 residents enrolled for analysis in this study, the majority of residents had lower LSM values that indicated the presence or absence of mild fibrosis.

### TABLE 2. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Factors Associated With Significant Fibrosis by LSM

|                        | Univariate | Multivariate |
|------------------------|------------|--------------|
|                        | Liver Stiffness, kPa | P Values | OR 95% CI | P Values |
|                        | <7         | ≥7           |            |          |
| Number of patients     | 519        | 40           | 0.61      |          |
| Gender (M/F)           | 195/324    | 17/23        | 0.17      |          |
| Age, years             | 55.9 ± 16.4| 59.6 ± 15.9  | 0.04      |          |
| Body weight, kg        | 62.2 ± 11.8| 68.6 ± 18.6  | 0.04      |          |
| <70, No                | 400        | 27           | 0.17      |          |
| ≥70, No                | 119        | 13           |           |          |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 23.9 ± 3.8 | 26.3 ± 6.0   | 0.01      |          |
| ≤27, No                | 420        | 22           | <0.001    |          |
| >27, No                | 99         | 18           | 1.789     |          |
| Waist circumference, cm | 82.2 ± 11.3| 89.5 ± 16.1  | 0.01      |          |
| Normal, No             | 234        | 22           | 0.06      |          |
| Abnormal, No           | 285        | 18           |           |          |
| Hip circumference, cm  | 96.5 ± 9.0 | 100.2 ± 11.0 | 0.01      |          |
| Waist–hip circumference ratio | 0.85 ± 0.08 | 0.89 ± 0.01 | 0.02      |          |
| Normal, No             | 258        | 13           | <0.001    |          |
| Abnormal, No           | 261        | 27           | 1.090     |          |
| AST, U/L               | 25.8 ± 10.0| 35.8 ± 17.7  | 0.001     |          |
| Normal                 | 488        | 26           | <0.001    |          |
| Abnormal               | 31         | 14           | 3.509     |          |
| ALT, U/L               | 23.6 ± 17.9| 36.5 ± 24.2  | 0.002     |          |
| Normal                 | 486        | 27           | <0.001    |          |
| Abnormal               | 33         | 13           | 1.893     |          |
| Smoking, No/Yes        | 473/46     | 34/6         | 0.25      |          |
| Diabetes mellitus, No/Yes | 469/50   | 28/12        | <0.001    | 2.858 |
| Hypertension, No/Yes   | 384/135    | 15/25        | 0.14      |          |
| Dyslipidemia, No/Yes   | 462/57     | 36/4         | 0.85      |          |
| NAFLD: absence/presence | 264/255 | 12/28        | 0.01      | 1.356 |

ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, CI = confidence interval, LSM = liver stiffness measurement, NAFLD = nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, OR = odds ratio.

Abnormal waist circumference was defined as >90 cm in males or >80 cm in females.

Abnormal waist–hip circumference ratio was defined as ≥0.9 in males or ≥0.85 in females.
Progression of liver fibrosis is associated with poor outcomes regardless of etiology. In this community-based study, there were 7.2% and 4.7% of residents exhibited liver stiffness ≥7 and ≥8 kPa, respectively. Comparable prevalence of liver stiffness ≥8 kPa in our study and other reports were observed.20,27 High prevalence of significant liver fibrosis indicated that the application of LSM by TE could consider as a part of screening program and provide the opportunities to discover a substantial proportion of residents who should receive regular follow-up or further investigate the etiology of liver diseases in community. In other hand, this result also indicated an upcoming important public health issue that needs more emphasizing and addressing.

In accordance with previous studies that used TE in general population, factors that associated with metabolic syndrome, including BMI or obesity and DM, seem to link to significant liver fibrosis.11–15,20 The presence of fibrosis in NAFLD represents a group of residents with progressive liver diseases. In other hand, this result also indicated an upcoming important public health issue that needs more emphasizing and addressing.

In accordance with previous studies that used TE in general population, factors that associated with metabolic syndrome, including BMI or obesity and DM, seem to link to significant liver fibrosis.11–15,20 The presence of fibrosis in NAFLD represents a group of residents with progressive liver diseases. In other hand, this result also indicated an upcoming important public health issue that needs more emphasizing and addressing.

Similar to previous reports,20 gender could influence the liver stiffness, and age also showed weak association with liver stiffness in this study.

In present study, indicators such as WC or WHR were shown to have association with higher liver stiffness. WC and WHR are considered as indicators of abdominal obesity and are associated with cardiovascular diseases, type 2 DM, hypertension, and overall mortality.21–24 In our study, abnormal WC and higher WHR in either females or males were associated with higher liver stiffness. WC and WHR are frequently linked with metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, and steatohepatitis and also associated with histology severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.25,26 These clinical parameters may indicate the probable linkage among glucose metabolism, insulin resistance, body fat distribution, and fatty liver diseases. Indeed, of those residents with abnormal WC or high WHR, the prevalence of type 2 DM was significantly higher than those with normal WC (15.3% vs 5.7%, P < 0.001) or normal WHR (17.0% vs 4.8%, P < 0.001). Similar observation was obtained between WC or WHR and NAFLD. Abnormal WC (69.2% vs 27.2%, P < 0.001) or high WHR (62.5% vs 38.0%, P < 0.001) were linked to higher prevalence of NAFLD in present study. However, the association of liver stiffness with clinical outcomes in patients with abnormal WC or high WHR is not clear at present and may need long-term observational study to clarify.

### TABLE 3. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Factors Associated With Significant Fibrosis by LSM in Residents With NAFLD

| Univariate | Multivariate |
|------------|--------------|
| Liver Stiffness, kPa | P Values | OR | 95% CI | P Values |
| < 7 | 255 | 28 | |
| ≥ 7 | 99/156 | 12/16 | 0.68 |
| Age, years | 57.3 ± 14.5 | 59.9 ± 13.9 | 0.37 |
| Body weight, kg | 66.9 ± 11.2 | 73.3 ± 19.3 | 0.10 |
| < 70, No | 168 | 16 | 0.36 |
| ≥ 70, No | 87 | 12 | 0.36 |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 25.7 ± 3.4 | 28.6 ± 5.6 | 0.01 |
| < 27, No | 174 | 11 | 0.002 |
| ≥ 27, No | 81 | 17 | |
| Waist circumference, cm | 87.1 ± 9.5 | 94.3 ± 15.2 | 0.02 |
| Normal, No | 62 | 5 | 0.45 |
| Abnormal, No | 193 | 23 | 0.13 |
| Hip circumference, cm | 100.1 ± 8.9 | 102.5 ± 11.4 | 0.23 |
| Waist–hip circumference ratio | 0.87 ± 0.07 | 0.92 ± 0.09 | 0.01 |
| Normal, No | 98 | 5 | 0.03 |
| Abnormal, No | 157 | 23 | 0.30 |
| AST, U/L | 27.4 ± 12.5 | 39.9 ± 16.8 | 0.001 |
| Normal | 233 | 15 | < 0.001 |
| Abnormal | 22 | 13 | 0.03 |
| ALT, U/L | 28.1 ± 21.4 | 43.6 ± 23.6 | 0.002 |
| Normal | 230 | 16 | < 0.001 |
| Abnormal | 25 | 12 | 0.37 |
| Smoking, No/Yes | 235/50 | 25/3 | 0.60 |
| Diabetes mellitus, No/Yes | 225/30 | 18/10 | 0.001 |
| Hypertension, No/Yes | 170/85 | 15/13 | 0.17 |
| Dyslipidemia, No/Yes | 218/37 | 25/3 | 0.58 |

ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, CI = confidence interval, LSM = liver stiffness measurement, NAFLD = nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, OR = odds ratio.

Abnormal waist circumference was defined as > 80 cm in females.

Abnormal waist–hip circumference ratio was defined as > 0.9 in males or > 0.85 in females.
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associated with high liver stiffness in overall study subjects or in NAFLD subjects. The key features of DM are insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia. These 2 features of DM were also the most common metabolic features of NAFLD and could enhance disease progression through lipogenesis, inflammation, and fibrogenesis. Insulin also could stimulate the proliferation and activation of hepatic stellate cells. In NAFLD patients, insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia plus glycemic variation were important predictive factors in glucose impairment for the progression of hepatic fibrosis and associated with lower overall survival when compared with general population. DM also exerts its impact on liver fibrosis in other liver diseases. In chronic hepatitis B, presence of DM could prevent fibrosis regression after antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis B and also associated with progression of liver fibrosis and complications of liver cirrhosis. Taking together of lines of evidence indicated that DM seems to play an universal role in liver fibrosis progression either in general population or in coexisting chronic liver diseases.

AST is an enzyme located in cytoplasmic and mitochondrial of hepatocytes. Insults to hepatocytes could release AST into blood and cause abnormal AST values. However, AST could come from various tissues including heart, muscle, liver, and kidneys. In this study, participated residents all had well and independent performance. Only 1 of 46 the residents reported to have heart disease exhibited abnormal AST values. Four residents reported to have kidney diseases and none of them had abnormal AST values. Therefore, liver-related AST manifestation is a reasonable feature. One recent report demonstrated that AST levels could predict the risk of liver fibrosis progression in HCV/HIV coinfected patients. However, the exact mechanism of AST on liver fibrosis are not fully understood currently. In clinical application, AST measurement is a simple and cheaper test that is able to widely use in large population screening of liver diseases.

There are limitations should be acknowledged in this study. First, we did not check thorough biochemical tests including lipid profiles and insulin resistance for analysis. As this screening program aimed to investigate the application of TE in community, we utilized parameters that are simple, money-saving, easy available such as waist and hip circumferences as instead. The results showed WC or WHR are important factors associated with higher liver stiffness. Second, there is lack of correlation between histology evaluation and LSM. We hope that liver biopsy could be accepted by some of the residents exhibited high liver stiffness after a period of follow-up at outpatient clinic of our hospital. Direct comparison and correlation of fibrosis stages under histology evaluation and LSM values could be possible.

In conclusions, LSM evaluated by TE is feasible and practical in community. A substantial proportion of residents, after exclusion of chronic viral hepatitis and alcoholic liver diseases, exhibited significant liver fibrosis in community. DM and abnormal ALT values are 2 predictive factors of significant fibrosis, defined as liver stiffness ≥7 kPa, in either total enrolled residents or in residents with NAFLD.
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