Changes of Esophagogastric Junctional Adenocarcinoma and Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Among Surgical Patients During 1988–2012
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Objective: To evaluate the changes of esophagogastric junctional adenocarcinoma (EGJA) and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) among surgical patients from 1988 to 2012 in a Chinese high-volume hospital.

Background: The incidence of EGJA in Western countries has rapidly increased in recent decades. However, recent data from China remain sparse.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was performed on the basis of 5053 patients who underwent surgery for gastric and distal esophageal adenocarcinoma. Total of 1723 patients with EGJA who underwent surgery were included. Changes of the prevalence of GERD and the clinicopathological features and surgical treatment of EGJA were longitudinally analyzed by a 5-year interval.

Results: The proportion of EGJA was increased from 23.3% in period 1 (1988–1992) to 35.7% in period 5 (2008–2012) (P < 0.001). The proportion of Siewert type III (35.9% vs 47.0%, P < 0.001) and type I (8.7% vs 15.8%, P = 0.002) tumors of EGJA was also increased during the past 25 years. The prevalence of GERD had increased gradually from 6.5% in period 1 to 10.9% in period 5 for the 3 subgroups without significant difference (P = 0.459). There was an upward tendency with significant difference between the proportion of EGJA and the prevalence of GERD (r = 0.946, P < 0.001). Instead of type II and type III tumors, there was a positive correlation with change in GERD for type I tumors (r = 0.438, P = 0.029). Total gastrectomy was more preferred among patients with EGJA in period 5 than in period 1 (42.0% vs 19.6%, P < 0.001).

Conclusions: An increasing trend of EGJA is observed during the past 25 years in West China Hospital. The prevalence of GERD among EGJA had showed a gradually increased trend. However, the causality between GERD and EGJA still needs to be researched further. Total gastrectomy is becoming more preferred procedure in patients with EGJA.
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Gastric and esophageal cancers are 2 of the most common malignancies in the world. More than 70% of gastric cancer cases and deaths occur in developing countries, and most occur in Eastern Asia.1,3 There have been distinct changes in the incidences of cancer at different anatomical subsites of the stomach in Western countries.2,4 Many population-based studies showed that the incidence rates of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus and esophagogastric junction (EGJ) were increased in Western countries and Asian countries, such as Japan and Korea.2,3 Although squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus is still predominant, more than half of newly diagnosed tumors are adenocarcinoma of distal esophagus and EGJ in Western countries and Japan.2,4,5,6 Incidence of adenocarcinoma at the upper third of the stomach and EGJ has increased over the past 2 decades, with a rate which has exceeded that at any other site of stomach.2,3 In contrast, incidence of adenocarcinoma at distal stomach has gradually declined over the past 50 years.3 However, investigations on changes of esophagogastric junction adenocarcinoma (EGJA) in China remains sparse.

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) was one of the most common gastrointestinal diseases in Europe and the United States with prevalence rates of 10% to 25% in population-based studies.9,10 Reports from many other regions have also demonstrated an increase in the prevalence of GERD.11,12 Some of those with GERD may develop Barrett esophagus, which can lead to esophageal adenocarcinoma. In addition, the prevalence of GERD was also found to be closely associated with the risk of EGJA in some Asian countries.13 Patients with Barrett esophagus need more intensive screening and surveillance for EGJA. Therefore, it is necessary to understand the changes of GERD and EGJA in China over time. However, there were rare reports that simultaneously assessed the trends of EGJA and GERD in China over time. A study by Zhou et al14 showed that there was an increasing trend of gastric cardia cancer over a 12-year period in a northwest province of China.

With the increasing acceptance of Siewert’s definition of EGJA, more and more researchers have studied this kind of clinicopathological entity.15 However, there have been few investigations into the change over time of 3 subtypes of EGJA in China. The volume of surgeries done for gastric and esophageal cancers at our institution is large, and the sources of patients come from the Western China, which may serve as a reference for a large population-based study.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the changes of clinicopathological features and surgical treatment of EGJA on the basis of the Siewert classification16 and the prevalence of GERD among the...
with total or subtotal gastrectomy or esophagectomy. For type I tumors, a left transthoracic proximal (PG) or total gastrectomy (TG) and esophagectomy was routinely performed in our department of thoracic surgery. The Ivor Lewis thoracoabdominal approach was selectively used for tumor locating at higher level that required anastomosis in the region of the aortic arch. For type II adenocarcinomas invading the distal of esophagus, transthecal TG or PG combined with mediastinal lymphadenectomy was performed. Thoracoabdominal incision might be performed for subtotal esophagectomy to guarantee curability, if the frozen section of proximal esophageal cutting edge was positive even after repeating resection of distal esophagus. For type III tumors, transabdominal PG or TG was performed in our department of gastrointestinal surgery. D2 lymphadenectomy was routinely performed, whereas D1/D1+ lymphadenectomy was selectively used. The inferior mediastinal or extended (en bloc) lymph node dissection was performed for patients with esophageal involvement. Intraoperative frozen section was a routine procedure aiming to secure the tumor cells free from the resection margins. For the reconstruction, Roux-en-Y anastomosis was mainly adopted for TG and esophagogastric anastomosis after PG. Combined organ resection was selectively performed to achieve a possible curative resection.

Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables were shown as mean ± standard deviation and compared by 1-way analysis of variance test. Changes of EGJA subgroup and the categorical variables were analyzed by χ² tests. The correlation between the proportion of EGJA and the prevalence of GERD for patients was investigated by linear regression. All statistical analyses were 2-sided and performed at less than 0.05 level of significance using software SPSS (SPSS version 19.0 for Windows, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

RESULTS
Anatomical Distribution
From 1988 to 2012, a total of 6612 cases of gastric and distal esophageal cancer were included in our gastric and esophageal database; among them, 1590 patients were excluded because of remnant gastric cancer (n=132), other malignancy of stomach (n=223), distal esophageal squamous carcinoma (n=1075), and multicentric gastric or esophageal malignancy (n=129), leaving a final study cases of 5053. Among all these patients, 413 were treated in period 1, 518 in period 2, 1104 in period 3, 1038 in period 4, and 1980 in period 5 (Table 1).

The proportion of distal esophageal adenocarcinoma was 18.7% among all distal esophageal cancers. Among the 5053 cases, 1723 (34.1%) cases were classified as EGJA, whereas the other 3330 cases were not: tumors of 523 cases were located at the body of the stomach (10.4%), 2605 at the antrum (51.6%), and 202 cases invaded the entire stomach (4.0%). There were just 92 patients with EGJA undergoing surgical resection in the period 1, whereas this number had increased to 707 in the period 5. A gradual increasing in proportion of EGJA was seen: from 22.3% in period 1 to 35.7% in period 5 (P<0.001).

By contrast, a significant decrease was observed in gastric adenocarcinoma of antrum, from 63.7% in period 1 to 50.5% in period 5 (P<0.001). The proportion of adenocarcinoma in the entire stomach remained relatively stable during the past 25 years (P=0.324) (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

Proportion Changes of EGJA According to Siewert’s Classification
The changing trends in subtypes of EGJA according to Siewert’s classification were shown in Figure 2. Among all the 1723 patients with EGJA (all periods), 679 (39.4%) had type III
tumors, 797 (46.3%) had type II tumors, and 247 (14.3%) had type I tumors. The proportion of type II tumors had declined from 55.4% in period 1 to 37.2% in period 5, whereas the proportion of type III tumors rose from 35.9% in period 1 to 47.0% in period 5 ($P < 0.001$). And the proportion of type I tumors also rose from 8.7% in period 1 to 15.8% in period 5 ($P = 0.012$). The proportion of type III tumors had increased rapidly during the past 25 years and had exceeded the proportion of type II tumors in the last period (47.0% vs 37.2%).

Changes of Prevalence of GERD in Patients With EGJA

The changing trends of prevalence of GERD in patients with EGJA are shown in Figure 3. The prevalence of GERD was 6.5% in period 1 and had increased gradually to 10.9% in period 5 for the 3 subgroups without significant difference ($P = 0.459$) (Table 2). In patients with Siewert I tumors, the prevalence of GERD fluctuated from 41.3% to 57.1% ($P = 0.456$). The prevalences of GERD were nearly stable for Siewert II (ranged from 2.4 to 5.9, $P = 0.768$) and III tumors (ranged from 1.5 to 3.4, $P = 0.846$), respectively. As regard to the correlation analyses, we analyzed the linear correlation between the proportion of EGJA and the prevalence of GERD for all the patients with EGJA. The results demonstrated that there was an upward tendency with significant difference ($r = 0.946, P = 0.000$). And there was also a positive correlations with change in GERD for type I tumors ($r = 0.438, P = 0.029$). However, no such tendencies were observed in type II tumors ($r = 0.158, P = 0.449$) and type III tumors ($r = -0.153, P = 0.466$).

Changes of Clinicopathological Features for EGJA

The general information of patients with EGJA is shown in Table 2. In the 1723 cases with EGJA, the ratio of male to female was 4.4:1, which was much higher than that of overall patients with gastric cancer (2.6:1, data were not shown in the tables). The mean age that was significantly increased from period 1 (55.2 ± 10.0) to period 5 (61.0 ± 9.8) ($P < 0.001$) for all EGJA cases was (59.5 ± 10.2) years. The clinicopathological features of patients with EGJA are also listed in Table 2. A decreased trend was seen in the maximal tumor size of EGJA from period 1 (6.4 ± 3.0) cm to period 4 (5.3 ± 2.7) cm ($P = 0.001$). Comparing with period 1, the proportion of Borrmann 2 was increased from 32.6% to 53.3%, whereas the proportion of Borrmann 3 was decreased from 46.7% to 26.6% in period 5 ($P < 0.001$). The proportion of differentiated tumors decreased from 45.7% in period 1 to 29.8% in period 5, whereas the proportion of undifferentiated tumors increased from 54.3% to 70.2% ($P < 0.001$). Among the 1723 cases with EGJA, the overall proportion of pT1 tumors was 5.9%. The proportion of pT1 tumors increased gradually with time, from 1.1% in period 1 to 7.5% in period 5 ($P = 0.023$). Constituent ratios for pTNM stage were shown in Figure 4. The proportion of patients with stage I increased from

| TABLE 1. Anatomical Distribution of Gastric Adenocarcinoma in the 25-Year Period Between 1988 and 2012 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Tumor Location                  | Period 1, 1988–1992 | Period 2, 1993–1997 | Period 3, 1998–2002 | Period 4, 2003–2007 | Period 5, 2008–2012 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Esophagogastric junction        | 95 (22.3%) | 175 (33.8%) | 388 (35.1%) | 361 (34.8%) | 707 (35.7%) |
| Body                            | 35 (8.5%) | 60 (11.6%) | 106 (9.6%) | 127 (12.2%) | 195 (9.9%) |
| Antrum                          | 263 (63.7%) | 259 (50.0%) | 567 (51.4%) | 516 (49.7%) | 1000 (50.5%) |
| Entire stomach                  | 23 (5.6%) | 24 (4.6%) | 43 (3.9%) | 34 (3.3%) | 78 (3.9%) |

$P$-Value

$^a$The definition of tumor location was according to Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma: 3rd English edition.

$^b$Comparisons were performed with $x^2$ test for categorical variables.
Changes of EGJA and GERD in China

Surgery-related information is described in Table 3. Among 1723 patients, the rate of transabdominal TG had increased from 19.6% in period 1 to 42.0% in period 5 ($P < 0.001$), whereas the rate of PG had declined to 42.7% in period 5 ($P < 0.001$). Corresponding with these changes, the Roux-en-Y reconstructions had gradually become a dominating mode with the rate increasing to 41.2% in period 5 ($P < 0.001$). The proportion of R0 resection was also gradually increased from 71.7% to 85.3% during the past 25 years ($P = 0.004$). The mean number of harvested lymph nodes was significantly increased to 25.2 \pm 11.6 in period 5 ($P < 0.001$). The rate of combined organ resection has dropped from 22.8% to 6.4% in

### FIGURE 3. Time trend of GERD among esophagogastric junctional adenocarcinoma (EGJA) and the proportion trend of GERD among subtype of EGJA according to Siewert’s classification. GERD indicates gastroesophageal reflux disease.

### TABLE 2. Demographics and Clinicopathological Features of 1723 Patients With Esophagogastric Junctional Adenocarcinoma

|                     | Period 1 (n = 92) | Period 2 (n = 175) | Period 3 (n = 388) | Period 4 (n = 361) | Period 5 (n = 707) | $P^*$ |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| **Sex**             |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |      |
| Male                | 78 (84.8%)        | 154 (88.0%)       | 321 (82.7%)       | 286 (79.2%)       | 566 (80.1%)       | 0.085|
| Female              | 14 (15.2%)        | 21 (12.0%)        | 67 (17.3%)        | 75 (20.8%)        | 141 (19.9%)       |      |
| **Age, yr**         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |      |
| <40                 | 6 (6.5%)          | 9 (5.1%)          | 17 (4.4%)         | 12 (3.3%)         | 18 (2.5%)         | <0.001|
| 40–60               | 56 (60.9%)        | 82 (46.9%)        | 180 (46.4%)       | 164 (45.4%)       | 281 (39.8%)       |      |
| >60                 | 30 (32.6%)        | 84 (48.0%)        | 191 (49.2%)       | 185 (51.2%)       | 408 (57.7%)       |      |
| **Age, yr**         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |      |
| <40                 | 55.2 ± 10.0       | 57.5 ± 9.9        | 59.1 ± 10.8       | 59.4 ± 9.9        | 61.0 ± 9.8        | <0.001|
| **Siewert’s classification** |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.459|
| Type I              | 6 (6.5%)          | 14 (8.0%)         | 33 (8.5%)         | 32 (8.9%)         | 77 (10.9%)        |      |
| Type II             | 51 (55.4%)        | 102 (58.3%)       | 212 (54.6%)       | 169 (46.8%)       | 263 (37.2%)       | <0.001|
| Type III            | 33 (35.9%)        | 59 (33.7%)        | 126 (32.5%)       | 129 (35.7%)       | 332 (47.0%)       | <0.001|
| Maximal tumor size (cm) | 6.4 ± 3.0         | 5.9 ± 2.8         | 5.5 ± 2.3         | 5.3 ± 2.7         | 5.9 ± 3.3         | 0.001|
| **Macroscopic type**|                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |      |
| Superficial         | 3 (3.3%)          | 3 (1.7%)          | 14 (3.6%)         | 20 (5.5%)         | 46 (6.5%)         | 0.027|
| **Borrmann classification** |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001|
| Type 1              | 5 (5.4%)          | 15 (8.6%)         | 24 (6.2%)         | 21 (5.8%)         | 29 (4.1%)         | 0.178|
| Type 2              | 30 (32.6%)        | 58 (33.1%)        | 161 (41.5%)       | 176 (48.8%)       | 377 (53.3%)       | <0.001|
| Type 3              | 43 (46.7%)        | 83 (47.4%)        | 155 (40.0%)       | 113 (31.3%)       | 188 (26.6%)       | <0.001|
| Type 4              | 11 (12.0%)        | 16 (11.1%)        | 34 (8.8%)         | 31 (8.6%)         | 67 (9.5%)         | 0.887|
| **Histological grade§** |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001|
| Differentiated      | 42 (45.7%)        | 75 (42.9%)        | 147 (37.9%)       | 99 (27.4%)        | 211 (29.8%)       |      |
| Undifferentiated    | 50 (54.3%)        | 100 (57.1%)       | 241 (62.1%)       | 262 (72.6%)       | 496 (70.2%)       |      |
| **Depth of invasion§** |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.010|
| T1                  | 1 (1.1%)          | 4 (2.3%)          | 22 (5.5%)         | 21 (5.8%)         | 53 (7.5%)         | 0.023|
| T2                  | 9 (9.8%)          | 17 (9.7%)         | 36 (9.3%)         | 33 (9.1%)         | 70 (9.9%)         | 0.995|
| T3                  | 10 (10.9%)        | 20 (11.4%)        | 31 (8.0%)         | 41 (11.4%)        | 107 (15.1%)       | 0.013|
| T4                  | 72 (78.3%)        | 134 (76.6%)       | 299 (77.1%)       | 266 (73.7%)       | 477 (67.5%)       | 0.003|
| **Lymph node metastasis** |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.046|
| Negative            | 14 (15.2%)        | 31 (17.7%)        | 76 (19.6%)        | 86 (23.8%)        | 176 (24.9%)       |      |
| Positive            | 78 (84.8%)        | 144 (82.3%)       | 312 (80.4%)       | 275 (76.2%)       | 531 (75.1%)       |      |
| **TNM stage§**      |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.002|
| I                   | 4 (4.4%)          | 13 (7.4%)         | 41 (10.6%)        | 43 (11.9%)        | 96 (13.6%)        | 0.028|
| II                  | 9 (9.8%)          | 21 (12.0%)        | 62 (16.0%)        | 68 (18.8%)        | 147 (20.8%)       | 0.009|
| III                 | 64 (69.6%)        | 119 (68.0%)       | 246 (63.4%)       | 215 (59.6%)       | 396 (56.0%)       | 0.005|
| IV                  | 15 (16.3%)        | 22 (12.6%)        | 39 (10.1%)        | 35 (9.7%)         | 68 (9.6%)         | 0.283|

*Continuous variables are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Comparisons were performed with 1-way analysis of variance test for continuous variables and $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables.

†Type of esophagogastric junctional adenocarcinoma was according to Siewert’s classification.

‡Borrmann classification suits only for advanced gastric cancer (type II and type III tumors).

§Histologic grade and TNM stage are based on the Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma: 3rd English edition.

GERD indicates gastroesophageal reflux disease.
continuous variables are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Comparisons were performed with analysis of variance for continuous variables and \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical variables.

**TABLE 1. Surgical Information of 1723 Patients With Esophagogastric Junctional Adenocarcinoma**

| Method of operation | Period 1 (n = 92) | Period 2 (n = 175) | Period 3 (n = 388) | Period 4 (n = 361) | Period 5 (n = 707) | \( P^* \) |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Transhiatal total gastrectomy | 18 (19.6%) | 36 (20.6%) | 87 (22.4%) | 106 (29.4%) | 297 (42.0%) | <0.001 |
| Transhiatal proximal gastrectomy | 67 (72.8%) | 99 (56.6%) | 193 (49.7%) | 155 (42.9%) | 302 (42.7%) | <0.001 |
| Proximal gastrectomy with distal esophagectomy | 5 (5.4%) | 38 (21.7%) | 104 (25.8%) | 97 (26.9%) | 88 (12.4%) | <0.001 |
| via left thoracotomy | 1 (1.1%) | 2 (1.1%) | 1 (0.3%) | 1 (0.3%) | 0 (0%) | <0.001 |
| Total gastrectomy with distal esophagectomy | 1 (1.1%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (0.3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | <0.001 |
| via left thoracotomy | 1 (1.1%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (0.3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | <0.001 |
| Ivor-Lewis operation | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (0.5%) | 2 (0.5%) | 2 (0.6%) | 20 (2.8%) |
| Thoracoabdominal esophagectomy and gastrectomy | 1 (1.1%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (0.3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | <0.001 |
| Mode of reconstruction | \( EGA \) | \( Roux-en-Y \) | \( Roux-en-Y + Pouch \) | \( JIPA \) | \( Mean number of harvested lymph nodes \) | \( Combined organ resection^1 \) | \( Radiculity \) |
| Roux-en-Y | 19 (20.7%) | 37 (21.1%) | 82 (21.1%) | 101 (28.0%) | 252 (69.8%) | 390 (55.2%) |
| Roux-en-Y + Pouch | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (0.5%) | 4 (1.1%) | 19 (2.7%) | <0.001 |
| JIPA^1 | 1 (1.1%) | 1 (0.6%) | 7 (1.8%) | 4 (1.1%) | 7 (1.0%) | <0.001 |
| Mean number of harvested lymph nodes | 6.1 ± 2.9 | 8.1 ± 3.6 | 10.3 ± 4.6 | 16.6 ± 7.1 | 25.2 ± 11.6 | <0.001 |
| Combined organ resection^1 | 21 (22.8%) | 19 (10.9%) | 36 (9.3%) | 26 (7.2%) | 45 (6.4%) | <0.001 |
| Radiculity | 66 (71.7%) | 136 (77.7%) | 309 (79.6%) | 292 (80.9%) | 603 (85.3%) | <0.001 |
| R1/R2 | 26 (28.3%) | 39 (22.3%) | 79 (20.4%) | 69 (19.1%) | 104 (14.7%) | 0.004 |

**FIGURE 4.** Time trends of esophagogastric junctional adenocarcinoma in distribution of TNM stage during the past 25 years in West China Hospital. TNM stage is based on the Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma: 3rd English edition.

In the past 2 decades, we have noticed significant changes in epidemiological characteristics and treatment approaches for EGJAC. However, the incidence of EGJA was rarely reported in China. In our retrospective cohort study, we analyzed the clinicopathological features derived from 5053 cases who underwent surgical resection for gastric and distal esophageal adenocarcinomas during a period of 25 years. In line with previous studies, we find a significant increasing trend of incidence of EGJA, and the operative method for EGJA has also significantly changed during the past 25 years. Total gastrectomy is becoming a mainstay of surgical treatment of patients with EGJA. The subtype of EGJA had also changed significantly during the past 25 years according to Siewert classification that the proportions of type I and type III tumors were significantly increased whereas type II tumors decreased during the past 25 years. The rate of postoperative complications among patients with EGJA was also significantly decreased during the past 25 years.

In this single-institution series, EGJA accounted for 34.1% of all gastric and esophageal adenocarcinomas after surgical resection. Our study showed a significant higher prevalence of EGJA in China than in Western countries. In consistent with a previous report that indicated a rising trend of gastric cardia cancer in Gansu province of China from 1993 to 2004 by Zhou et al., the proportion of EGJA indicated a dramatic rising trend during the past 25 years in our institution. The proportion of EGJAs in our institution was substantially higher than available reports from the United States, Japan, and Korea. From our analysis, most of this increase occurred between the first period and the second period. The increasing trend of EGJA may have direct association with the increased detection rate of gastric and esophageal cancers in recent years in China because of the increased applications of various diagnostic modalities such as endoscopy in symptomatic patients. Furthermore, with the strengthened examination by endoscopy in our country, the proportion of early-stage tumors among patients with EGJA was also significantly increased.

The proportion of EGJA was increased in recent years; this might be related to changes in risk factors such as alcohol abuse and the increased incidence of GERD. The prevalence of GERD has been reported to range from 10% to 48% in Asia, which is slightly lower than that in Western countries and increasing year by year.

**DISCUSSION**

In this single-institution series, EGJA accounted for 34.1% of all gastric and esophageal adenocarcinomas after surgical resection. Our study showed a significant higher prevalence of EGJA in China than in Western countries. In consistent with a previous report that indicated a rising trend of gastric cardia cancer in Gansu province of China from 1993 to 2004 by Zhou et al., the proportion of EGJA indicated a dramatic rising trend during the past 25 years in our institution. The proportion of EGJAs in our institution was substantially higher than available reports from the United States, Japan, and Korea. From our analysis, most of this increase occurred between the first period and the second period. The increasing trend of EGJA may have direct association with the increased detection rate of gastric and esophageal cancers in recent years in China because of the increased applications of various diagnostic modalities such as endoscopy in symptomatic patients. Furthermore, with the strengthened examination by endoscopy in our country, the proportion of early-stage tumors among patients with EGJA was also significantly increased.

The proportion of EGJA was increased in recent years; this might be related to changes in risk factors such as alcohol abuse and the increased incidence of GERD. The prevalence of GERD has been reported to range from 10% to 48% in Asia, which is slightly lower than that in Western countries and increasing year by year.
Although our results had showed no significant difference, the prevalence of GERD had increased gradually from 6.5% in period 1 to 10.9% in period 5 for the 3 subgroups. Epidemiological studies have consistently suggested that symptom of GERD is the main risk factor for Barrett esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Obesity has also been reported to be associated with development of EGJA at an early age, and it is suspected that increased reflux may account for the association. It has been reported that the increasing prevalence of GERD among population of Asia might be closely associated with the increasing trend of EGJA in Eastern countries. And our results also demonstrated that there was a tendency between the proportion of EGJA and the prevalence of GERD for all the patients with EGJA with significant differences. However, cohort studies demonstrated that symptoms of GERD were found monthly in almost 50% of US adults and weekly in nearly 20%, but EGJA is not popular in these patients. Therefore, the causality between GERD and EGJA still needs to be researched further.

It should be noted that the prevalences of GERD in patients with Siewert type I tumors in each period accounted nearly 50%, which indicated that there might be closed relationships between GERD and type I tumors. Actually, Lagergren et al reported that there was a strong and probably causal relation between gastro-esophageal reflux and esophageal adenocarcinoma, including type I EGJA. Wang et al also found that the annual detection rate of type I EGJA seemed to be positively correlated with reflux esophagitis in time trend. Although our results found the positive correlations with change in GERD for type I tumors, the correlation was not very strong (correlation coefficient = 0.438). Hence, we consider that evidence is inadequate to show the causality between GERD and type I tumors. Wang et al also consider that the similarities in change over time in the rate of detection of EGJA and reflux esophagitis could not easily account for causal relationship.

The widespread application of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in hospitals of China has made the detection rate of gastric and esophageal cancer increasing. Because of the improvement of our upper gastrointestinal endoscopic technology, the detection rate of tumors located in cardia and fundus has also distinctly increased during the past 25 years. On the contrary, the healthy consciousness of Chinese people has greatly shifted during the past 2 decades. The upper gastrointestinal endoscopic examination is becoming more and more acceptable in our country. We also advocated the cancer-screening propaganda to promote the early diagnosis of upper gastrointestinal tumors in recent years. In accordance with these changes, the rate of pT1 or pN0 tumors and stage I disease among patients with EGJA was also significantly increased, whereas the maximal diameter of tumor and the proportion of stage III tumors showed a decreased trend during the past 25 years.

The Siewert’s classification for EGJA is now widely accepted. We classified EGJA into 3 subtypes according to Siewert’s classification. We found significant higher prevalence of type II and type III tumors and lower rate of type I tumors in China when compared with data from Western countries. Among all the EGJAs in our series, 14.3% were type I, 46.3% were type II, and 39.4% were type III by reviewing the database of gastric and esophageal cancer of our series, demonstrated a decreased trend during the past 25 years. The Siewert’s classification for EGJA is now widely accepted.

Although our results had showed no significant difference, the prevalence of GERD had increased gradually from 6.5% in period 1 to 10.9% in period 5 for the 3 subgroups. Epidemiological studies have consistently suggested that symptom of GERD is the main risk factor for Barrett esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Obesity has also been reported to be associated with development of EGJA at an early age, and it is suspected that increased reflux may account for the association. It has been reported that the increasing prevalence of GERD among population of Asia might be closely associated with the increasing trend of EGJA in Eastern countries. And our results also demonstrated that there was a tendency between the proportion of EGJA and the prevalence of GERD for all the patients with EGJA with significant differences. However, cohort studies demonstrated that symptoms of GERD were found monthly in almost 50% of US adults and weekly in nearly 20%, but EGJA is not popular in these patients. Therefore, the causality between GERD and EGJA still needs to be researched further.

It should be noted that the prevalences of GERD in patients with Siewert type I tumors in each period accounted nearly 50%, which indicated that there might be closed relationships between GERD and type I tumors. Actually, Lagergren et al reported that there was a strong and probably causal relation between gastro-esophageal reflux and esophageal adenocarcinoma, including type I EGJA. Wang et al also found that the annual detection rate of type I EGJA seemed to be positively correlated with reflux esophagitis in time trend. Although our results found the positive correlations with change in GERD for type I tumors, the correlation was not very strong (correlation coefficient = 0.438). Hence, we consider that evidence is inadequate to show the causality between GERD and type I tumors. Wang et al also consider that the similarities in change over time in the rate of detection of EGJA and reflux esophagitis could not easily account for causal relationship.

The widespread application of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in hospitals of China has made the detection rate of gastric and esophageal cancer increasing. Because of the improvement of our upper gastrointestinal endoscopic technology, the detection rate of tumors located in cardia and fundus has also distinctly increased during the past 25 years. On the contrary, the healthy consciousness of Chinese people has greatly shifted during the past 2 decades. The upper gastrointestinal endoscopic examination is becoming more and more acceptable in our country. We also advocated the cancer-screening propaganda to promote the early diagnosis of upper gastrointestinal tumors in recent years. In accordance with these changes, the rate of pT1 or pN0 tumors and stage I disease among patients with EGJA was also significantly increased, whereas the maximal diameter of tumor and the proportion of stage III tumors showed a decreased trend during the past 25 years.

The Siewert’s classification for EGJA is now widely accepted. We classified EGJA into 3 subtypes according to Siewert’s classification. We found significant higher prevalence of type II and type III tumors and lower rate of type I tumors in China when compared with data from Western countries. Among all the EGJAs in our series, 14.3% were type I, 46.3% were type II, and 39.4% were type III by reviewing the database of gastric and esophageal cancer of our hospital. These findings are similar to reports from Hasegawa et al in Japan and Bai et al in China. The increased trend of type I tumors could be explained by the improvement on the rate of detection and changed etiological factors over time. The proportion of Siewert type II tumors was significantly decreased whereas type III increased during the past 25 years in our study. This trend was similar to report from Siewert et al in Germany and Chung et al in Korea. However, Wang et al had indicated that type II and type III tumors just exhibited a fluctuated trend from 2000 to 2009 in China. There are still some controversies on the changing trend of type II and III tumors in China. Therefore, the epidemiological survey for large population is necessary to conduct in the future. From our analysis, type III tumors are gradually becoming a dominant type of EGJA in recent decades.

For the operative procedures, we found that transabdominal TG was gradually becoming more and more common for EGJA in our institution. In Western countries, PG is a traditional procedure to preserve a gastric stump as much as possible for upper-third cancer. Proximal gastrectomy has also been generally accepted by most gastrointestinal surgeons for tumors in an early stage providing that a sufficient distal resection margin can be ensured. However, Yoo et al had found that the recurrence rate of TG group was lower than PG group, because it might have been associated with more radical resection extent. In addition, the rate of postoperative complications was higher in patients who underwent PG since the higher incidence of reflux esophagitis and anastomotic stenosis after PG. Proximal gastrectomy with distal esophagectomy via transhiatal operation.
esophagogastrectomy approach was common for EGJA for a time in our institution. However, Sasako et al.\textsuperscript{22} reported that an abdominal-transhiatal approach for Siewert II/III tumors achieved a better survival. Therefore, EGJA could be removed safely by TG from an abdominal approach. Transhiatal with mediastinal lymphadenectomy plus TG comprises a more radical resection margin that prevents residual disease at the esophageal margin and allows removal of all the perigastric and peridaphragmatic hiatus lymph nodes.\textsuperscript{42} Nowadays, for patients with advanced diseases, many surgeons recommend abdominal-transhiatal approach with TG to be the standard procedure to achieve a more radical effect for Siewert II/III tumors of advanced EGJA.\textsuperscript{43} These may partly explain the decreased rate of left thoracotomy approach that was selected for type I tumors, and a significant decreased trend was observed in our study.

Surgical resection plus D2 lymph nodes resection is becoming a standard treatment of gastric cancer. With these changes, the mean number of harvested lymph nodes has significantly increased from 6.1 ± 2.9 in period 1 to 25.2 ± 11.6 in the last period in our institution. The dissection and checkout of lymph nodes had become more and more standard during the past 2 decades. The increase of harvested nodes may be due to the improvements in surgical technique and the improvement in examining lymph nodes. Accompanying these aforementioned changes, our radical degrees has also significantly increased during the past 25 years. Although lymph nodal dissection has been reported to increase morbidity,\textsuperscript{45} the rate of postoperative complications in our institution has fallen from 34.8\% in period 1 to 17.1\% in period 5. This change may be related to the improvement in surgical skills and postoperative care. Improvements in surgical skills include the surgeon’s accumulated experience with large volume of patients, the use of monopolar electrocautery and ultrasound scalpel throughout the procedure, and the adoption of standardized lymph nodes dissection techniques. The advancements in perioperative nutritional support may also be associated with the decrease of postoperative complications. The application of total parenteral nutrition after operation can reduce the risk of postoperative complications among patients with poor nutritional status.\textsuperscript{46}

One limitation of this study is that it comes from a single institution, so the results may not represent the Chinese population well. However, the volume of surgeries done for gastric and esophageal cancers at our institution is large and the sources of patients come from the area of Western China, which may serve as a reference for a large population-based study. This study strove to describe the changing trend of clinicopathological features, surgical treatment, and proportion of EGJA with the data of patients who underwent resection. Another limitation of our study is that it was confined to operated cases, and patients who underwent nonresection surgery (bypass or biopsy only) were excluded.

CONCLUSIONS

There seems to be a similar increased trend in the incidence of EGJA in China compared with other regions. The Siewert type I and type III had indicated an increased trend, whereas type II had decreased among past 25 years. The prevalence of GERD among these aforementioned changes, our radical degrees has also significantly increased during the past 25 years. This change may be related to the improvement in surgical skills and postoperative care. Improvements in surgical skills include the surgeon’s accumulated experience with large volume of patients, the use of monopolar electrocautery and ultrasound scalpel throughout the procedure, and the adoption of standardized lymph nodes dissection techniques. The advancements in perioperative nutritional support may also be associated with the decrease of postoperative complications. The application of total parenteral nutrition after operation can reduce the risk of postoperative complications among patients with poor nutritional status.

One limitation of this study is that it comes from a single institution, so the results may not represent the Chinese population well. However, the volume of surgeries done for gastric and esophageal cancers at our institution is large and the sources of patients come from the area of Western China, which may serve as a reference for a large population-based study. This study strove to describe the changing trend of clinicopathological features, surgical treatment, and proportion of EGJA with the data of patients who underwent resection. Another limitation of our study is that it was confined to operated cases, and patients who underwent nonresection surgery (bypass or biopsy only) were excluded.
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