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ABSTRACT

Dye-sensitized titanium oxide electrodes were prepared by immobilizing a novel ruthenium complex, di(isothiocyanato)bis(4-methyl-4′-vinyl-2,2′-bipyridine)ruthenium(II) [(NCS)2(mvbpy)2Ru(II)] or the ruthenium complex/sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate onto the surface of a titanium oxide-coated, fluorine-doped tin oxide (TiO2/FTO) electrode through a new electrochemically initiated film formation method, in which the electrolysis step and the film deposition step were individually performed. The incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) of the Ru complex film on a TiO2/FTO electrode was disappointingly insufficient (1.2% at 440 nm). In sharp contrast, the Ru(II) complex/sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate composite film deposited on the surface of a TiO2/FTO electrode showed maximum IPCE of 31.7% at 438 nm.
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1. Introduction

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have been attracting abundant attention as technically and economically credible alternatives to traditional silicon-based solar cells [1-5]. As a key component of DSSCs, photosensitizers that can absorb sunlight and inject an electron into the conducting band of nanocrystalline TiO2 have been mainly focused in DSSC research; photosensitizers having a general structure of RuL2(X)2, where L stands for functionalized 2,2′-bipyridine such as 4,4′-dicarboxyl-2,2′-bipyridine and X presents halide, cyanide, thiocyanate, thiolate, thienyl or ancillary water, have been extensively investigated over the past two decades [6-13]. Incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) is one of critical parameters for the evaluation of the performance of solar cells [14,15]. Among solar cells developed so far, that fabricated with N3 dye (cis-(dcbpy)2(NCS)2 ruthenium(II)): dcbpy = 4,4′-dicarboxyl-2,2′-bipyridine) shows IPCE of about 85% in a visible light region [16].

Dyes are commonly immobilized onto a semiconductor (typically TiO2) surface with a functional group, such as carboxyl, phosphono or thio group in the dyes. Up to now, Ru(II) complexes without these functional groups cannot be candidates in DSSC research due to impossibility of immobilization; this drawback has limited the development of effective photosensitizers.

Herein, we report a new, electrochemically induced film formation method, which can immobilize di(isothiocyanato)bis(4-methyl-4′-vinyl-2,2′-bipyridine) ruthenium(II), and a novel type of dye-sensitized solar cells with sufficient IPCE.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Instruments

1H NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Ascend 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts were determined with respect to the residual solvent peak (δ in ppm, J in Hz). Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectra were acquired on a Bruker autoflex speed-KE in a reflection mode with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CCA) as a matrix. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-610 spectrometer as KBr pellets. Ultraviolet-visible absorption (UV-vis)
spectra were measured on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 19 UV/vis/NIR spectrometer. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded on a CH instrument 701 electroanalytical analyzer at a sweep rate of 100 mV·s⁻¹ by using 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) as an electrolyte solution, Pt wire as a counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode.

2.2. Materials

All reactions were performed under argon atmosphere. All reagents were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd except for NH₄SCN and sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate, which were purchased from Nacac Tesque, Inc. The reagents were used as received, except for 4,4’-dimethylbipyridine which was recrystallized from methanol prior to use. Dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled over Na and benzophenone. 2-(4’-Methyl-2,2’-bipyridin-4-yl)ethanol [17,18] and 4-methyl-4’-vinyl-2,2’-bipyridine [19] were synthesized according to the procedures in the literatures with some modifications. Di(isothiocyanato)bis(4-methyl-4’-vinyl-2,2’-bipyridine)-ruthenium(II) [20] was prepared by a method similar to that of bis(2,2’-bipyridine)diothiocyanatouruthenium(II) [20].

2.2.1. 2-(4’-Methyl-2,2’-bipyridin-4-yl)ethanol

4,4’-Dimethyl-2,2’-bipyridine (6.8 g, 36.91 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (400 mL), and the solution was cooled down to 0°C by ice/water. Lithium diisopropylamide (20.3 mL, 2 M in THF, 40.60 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution. The purple solution was stirred at 0°C for 2 h, and then a large excess amount of dry paraformaldehyde (5.5 g, 183 mmol as formaldehyde) was added all at once to the solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 24 h. The color of the solution gradually turned from purple to light yellow. The reaction was quenched with distilled water (200 mL), and the solution was concentrated to about 50 mL under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL), and the extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure. The yellow viscous liquid remained was purified on neutral alumina gel column chromatography; after washing off unreacted 4,4’-dimethyl-2,2’-bipyridine with hexane/ethyl acetate (19:1, v/v), the yellow-colored fraction eluted with hexane/ethyl acetate (2:1, v/v) was collected. The corresponding fractions were concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a colorless solid (1.0 g, 48%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.62 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz, PyH), 8.55 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz, PyH), 8.40 (s, 1H, PyH), 8.24 (s, 1H, PyH), 7.30 (dd, 1H, J₁ = 5.1 Hz, J₂ = 1.8 Hz, PyH), 7.14 (d, 1H, J = 4.9 Hz, PyH), 6.77 (dd, 1H, J₁ = 14.32 Hz, J₂ = 6.8 Hz, PyH), 6.09 (d, 1H, J = 17.7 Hz, CH=CH₂), 5.53 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH=CH₂), 2.44 (s, 3H, CH₃).

2.2.2. 4-Methyl-4’-vinyl-2,2’-bipyrididine (mvbpy)

2-(4’-Methyl-2,2’-bipyridin-4-yl)ethanol (2.27 g, 10.7 mmol), 4-t-butylpyrocatechol (0.1 g, 0.6 mmol) and finely powdered sodium hydroxide (4.28 g, 107 mmol) were successively added to benzene (5 mL). The blue mixture was then heated at 115°C for 2 h under reduced pressure (<1 mmHg). The crude product was purified on silica gel column chromatography by using dichloromethane/methanol (100:1, v/v) as an eluent. The corresponding fractions were concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a colorless solid (1.0 g, 48%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.62 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz, PyH), 8.25 (s, 1H, PyH), 7.13 (d, 1H, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₂CH₂OH), 2.95 (t, 2H, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₂CH₂OH), 2.44 (s, 3H, CH₃).

2.2.3. Di(isothiocyanato)bis(4-methyl-4’-vinyl-2,2’-bipyridine)-ruthenium(II) [(NCS)₂(mvbpy)₂Ru(II)]

4-Methyl-4’-vinyl-2,2’-bipyridine (0.400 g, 2.04 mmol) was added to a solution of RuCl₃ (0.211 g, 1.01 mmol) in DMF (20 mL). After the mixture was refluxed for 8 h in the dark, NH₄NCS (6.367 g, 8.36 mmol) was added all at once at room temperature. The solution was refluxed again for 12 h and cooled down to room temperature. Upon adding methanol (200 mL) to the reaction mixture, a purple red powder was deposited, which was collected by filtration over a G4 glass filter. The purple powder was thoroughly washed with cold methanol (20 mL) and diethyl ether (15 mL), and dried for 12 h under reduced pressure to give (NCS)₂(mvbpy)₂Ru(II) (0.24 g, 38%). νmax (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2104 (CN, s), 1400 (CH₃, s). MALDI-TOF MS: calculated for C₃₈H₂₅N₆S₂Ru: [M⁺] = 610.0548; found 610.0517 with isotope peaks in agreement with those obtained by a calculation.

2.3. Immobilization

The immobilization was performed in three steps under argon atmosphere. All solvents used were purged with argon for 15 min.

Step 1: The electrochemical treatment of an electrode was performed in a one-compartment cell according to the three-electrode method (TiO₂-coated, fluorine-doped tin oxide (TiO₂:FTO) as a working electrode, Pt wire as a counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode) by using a CH instrument 701 electrochemical analyzer. These electrodes were dipped in an electrolytic solution containing 0.1 M of TBAP, and swept between 0-n-0 V (n = 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10) at a rate of 100 mV·s⁻¹ with bubbling with argon during the electrolysis.
Step 2: After the electrolysis, the working electrode was soaked in a washing solvent for several seconds to remove adsorbents on the TiO₂/FTO surface.

Step 3: The electrode was dipped into a solution of (NCS)₂(mvbpy)₂Ru(II) (1 mM) or the Ru complex/sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate (1 mM and 1 mM, respectively) in DMF (30 mL), and kept for appropriate hours in the dark under argon atmosphere. Then, the electrode was taken out, washed with acetone (30 mL), and dried at room temperature in air to give the Ru complex film.

2.4. Measurement of Incident Photon-to-Current Conversion Efficiency (IPCE) of the Ru(II) Complex Films

A fluorometer (Horiba Jobin Yvon-Spez fluorolog, Horiba Inc.) equipped with a xenon lamp (Fluorolog instruments S.A., Inc.) was used in order to generate monochromatic lights from 350 nm to 750 nm with a width of 5 nm. The photon number was counted by an optometer (Photometer S370 UDT, Gamma Scientific Inc.). The electrical quantities were measured with an electrometer (Keithley 6514 system electrometer, Keithley Instruments Inc.). The DSSC was fabricated from TiO₂/FTO coated with the Ru(II) complex film (photo-anode), FTO (photocathode), and LiI/I₂ (0.5 M/1.1 × 10⁻³ M) in CH₃CN (mediator).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Formation of Di(isothiocyanato)bis(4-methyl-4'-vinyl-2,2'-bipyridine) Ruthenium(II) film on the Surface of a Titanium Oxide-Coated, Fluorine-Doped Tin Oxide Electrode

Because the present Ru(II) complex, di(isothiocyanato)bis(4-methyl-4'-vinyl-2,2'-bipyridine)ruthenium(II) [(NCS)₂(mvbpy)₂Ru(II)], has no functional group reactive with TiO₂ such as carboxyl, phosphono or thio group, this complex cannot be immobilized onto the surface of TiO₂-coated, fluorine-doped tin oxide (TiO₂/FTO) electrode with conventional film formation methods that have been adopted in the fabrication of DSSCs. Paying our attention to the fact that the Ru complex has vinyl groups, we at first tried to immobilize the Ru complex onto a TiO₂/FTO electrode using an electrochemical method with conventional film formation methods. However, the attempt resulted in failure, most likely due to the decomposition of the Ru complex during the electrolysis. After numerous trials, we finally found a stepwise, electro-chemically induced film formation method to give a film-like deposit of the Ru complex on the surface of TiO₂/FTO. This method is composed of three steps: Step 1, the electrolysis of a TiO₂/FTO electrode only; Step 2, the washing of the electrode with a solvent; Step 3, the immobilization of the Ru complex upon immersing the washed electrode in a solution of the Ru complex. Figure 1 schematically represents the immobilization procedure.

The immobilization was found to be very sensitive to the history of the potential scanned onto a TiO₂/FTO electrode (Step 1). Figures 2 and 3 show the cyclic voltammograms during the electrolysis of the electrodes in ranges of 0-n-0 V (n = 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10) at a rate of 100 mV·s⁻¹ and the UV-vis spectra of the resultant electrodes. As shown in Figure 2, an irregular trace in the cyclic voltammograms was observed in a range of 1 - 3.5 V independent on the potential applied, which would correspond to irreversible oxidation.

Moreover, it is clear from Figure 3 that the electrochemically initiated film deposition of the Ru complex took place only when the electrode, potentially swept between 0-8-0 V at a rate of 100 mV·s⁻¹, was used. As shown in Figure 4, the electrode was colored after Step 3, undoubtedly indicating the film deposition. Moreover, the film deposited was insoluble in any solvents including aprotic polar solvents such as DMF and DMSO. These changes strongly support the deposition of the Ru complex through some reaction.

The electrochemically initiated film deposition method was also affected by solvents used in Steps 1-3. As shown in Figure 5, the solvent used in Step 1 influenced, to considerable extent, the quantity of the Ru complex deposited.
complex which finally immobilized on the surface of a TiO₂/FTO electrode; although dichloromethane gave a better result than acetonitrile or methanol did, it was very hard to maintain steady conditions for the electrolysis due to the evaporation of dichloromethane upon bubbling argon during the electrolysis. Then, acetonitrile, which was better than methanol, was used in Step 1. In Step 2, no film was formed on the surface of TiO₂/FTO, when the electrode was washed with DMF instead of acetonitrile. Because the Ru complex is soluble only in DMF and DMSO among common organic solvents, the immobilization, Step 3, was carried out by using DMF or DMSO. To our surprise, the film was just formed on a TiO₂/FTO surface, only when DMF was used as the solvent.

Figure 6 shows the effect of the dipping time in Step 3 on the electrochemically initiated film deposition. The absorption arising from the Ru complex on the surface of TiO₂/FTO increased continuously with prolonging the dipping time. In sharp contrast, when a TiO₂/FTO electrode without any electrochemical treatment was directly dipped into a DMF solution of the Ru complex for 24 h, no absorption of the Ru complex was observed, indicating that the film was not formed at all on the TiO₂/FTO surface without electrochemical treatment.

3.2. Active Species Generated on the Surface of TiO₂/FTO by the Electrochemical Treatment

In order to know the active species generated on the TiO₂/FTO surface by the electrochemical treatment (Step 1), 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO), a typical radical capture, was added to the washing solvent in Step 2 (acetonitrile); after the electrolysis in Step 1, the electrode was transferred into acetonitrile containing...
TEMPO (50 mM) for 2 h in Step 2. Then, the electrode was immersed into a DMF solution of Ru complex for 24 h (Step 3). As shown in Figure 7, the deposition of the Ru complex was considerably inhibited by TEMPO. On the basis of this result, we supposed the formation of a radical species, which may react with the vinyl group in the Ru complex. The radical formation would be consistent with the fact that irreversible oxidation took place during the electrolysis of a TiO₂/FTO electrode. The real character of the active species on the TiO₂/FTO surface and the reaction mechanism for the deposition of the Ru complex are not clear at present; we will report elsewhere.

3.3. Incident Photon-to-Current Conversion Efficiency of the Ru Complex Film

Incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE), which defines light to electricity conversion efficiency at a certain wavelength (λ), is one of important parameters for the evaluation of a dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC) performance. The maximum IPCE of the Ru complex film, obtained by the present method, was disappointingly only 1.2% at 440 nm, under the standard AM 1.5 G irradiation conditions. The low efficiency would arise from a back electron transfer. In general, electron transport through films takes place in association with a successive electron transfer between neighboring redox centers [21]. After an electron transfer from an excited dye to a neighboring ground-state dye, two kinds of charged moieties, positively and negatively charged moieties, were formed. Strong electrostatic interaction between the two charged dyes can accelerate electron recombination and decrease the IPCE.

3.4. Improvement of Incident Photon-to-Current Conversion Efficiency by Using the Ru Complex/Sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate Composite

Then, we conversely considered that IPCE would be improved when the electron recombination between the layers is blocked. On the basis of the consideration, we coexisted sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate with the Ru complex in the immobilization (Step 3) with an expectation that the sodium cations and the sulfonate groups would partially stabilize electron-accepting dye moieties and electron-donating dye moieties, respectively. Composite films consisting of the Ru complex and sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate were similarly deposited by using a DMF solution containing the Ru complex and sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate (1:1 molar ratio) in the immobilization (Step 3). Although the UV-vis absorption of the composite film decreased with the addition of sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate, the IPCE of the composite film was dramatically increased to show maximum IPCE of 31.7% at 438 nm under the standard AM 1.5 G irradiation conditions. The highly improved IPCE would arise from the electronic neutrality of the composite even after charge separation, which was secured by sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate, that is, sodium cations would neutralize electron-accepting dyes while sulfonate groups would neutralize electron-donating dyes. Thus, the composite film could provide much higher IPCE by the inhibition of a back electron transfer.

4. Conclusion

A novel ruthenium complex di(isothiocyanato)bis(4-methyl-4’-vinyl-2,2’-bipyridine)ruthenium(II) [(NCS)₂(mvbpy);Ru(II)] having vinyl groups was synthesized by a method similar to that of (bpy)₂(NCS)₂Ru(II), in which 4-methyl-4’-vinyl-2,2’-bipyridine (mvbpy) was used in place of 2,2’-bipyridine (bpy). The Ru complex could be immobilized on the surface of a TiO₂-coated, fluorene-doped tin oxide (TiO₂/FTO) electrode by a newly developed, electrochemically induced film formation method, which consists of three steps: the electrolysis of an elec-
trodies, the washing of the electrode, and the film deposition of the Ru complex upon immersing the electrode in a solution of the Ru complex. This is the first example of the immobilization of a Ru(II) complex without a functional group reactive with TiO₂, such as -COOH, -PO(OH)₂, -OH, and -SH. The Ru(II) complex film on TiO₂/FTO thus obtained gave maximum incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) of 1.2%. In contrast, the composite film consisting of the Ru(II) complex and sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate showed much higher maximum IPCE of 31.7% at 438 nm. The new method for the generation of active sites on the surface of a TiO₂/FTO electrode by electrolysis and the new strategy for the stabilization of charge separated dyes would bring about the development of highly efficient dye-sensitized solar cells.
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