Trends in endocrine therapy prescription and survival in patients with non-metastatic hormone receptor positive breast cancer treated with endocrine therapy: A population based-study
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To identify prognostic factors of invasive disease-free survival (iDFS) in women with non-metastatic hormone receptor positive (HR+) breast cancer (BC) in daily routine practice.
Methods: We performed a retrospective study using data from the Côte d'Or breast and gynecological cancer registry in France. All women diagnosed with primary invasive non-metastatic HR+ BC from 1998 to 2015 and treated by endocrine therapy (ET) were included. Women with bilateral tumors or who received ET for either metastasis or relapse were excluded. We performed adjusted survival analysis and Cox regression to identify prognostic factors of iDFS.

Results: A total of 3976 women were included. Age at diagnosis, ET class, SBR grade, treatment, stage and comorbidity were independently associated with iDFS. Women who had neither surgery nor radiotherapy had the highest risk of recurrence (HR = 3.75, 95%CI [2.65–5.32], p < 0.0001). Receiving aromatase inhibitors (AI) was associated with a lower risk of recurrence (HR = 0.70, 95%CI [0.54–0.90], p = 0.055) compared to tamoxifen. Compared to women with no comorbidities, women with 1 or 2 comorbidities were more likely to receive AI (OR = 1.63, 95%CI [1.22–2.17], p = 0.0009).

Conclusions: Comorbidities, age at diagnosis and previous treatment were associated with iDFS in non-metastatic HR+ BC patients. This study also showed that women who received tamoxifen for their cancer experienced worse iDFS compared to women treated with AI.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In patients with hormone receptor positive (HR+) early breast cancer (BC), endocrine therapy (ET) is currently the standard of treatment, and reduces both recurrence and mortality rates [1]. Tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors (AI) are the two main categories of ET, and are typically prescribed for a period of at least 5 years [2]. Treatment for 5 years with tamoxifen reduces recurrence rates in ER-positive early BC by about half during years 0 through 4, and by about one third in the subsequent 5 years. It also reduces the annual rate of death by 31% among women with ER+ BC, regardless of age [1]. AI have shown benefit in terms of both efficacy and safety [3], particularly among post-menopausal BC patients [4,5]. AI have
also shown efficacy in reducing 10-year BC mortality rates [6]. Many randomized clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of ET in reducing recurrence, but few, if any data exist on the efficacy of ET in daily routine practice and the trends in prescription of ET over time.

To assess the real-life efficacy of drugs, population-based cancer registries and medical databases are important tools. In France, cancer registries collect information about cancer cases occurring in a given local, regional or national area in a systematic manner. They provide exhaustive information regarding the actual care pathways of cancer patients, as well as epidemiological data. We performed a population-based study using data from the Côte d’Or Breast and Gynecological cancer registry to identify prognostic factors of invasive–disease free survival (iDFS) in women with non-metastatic HR + BC in real life. We also describe trends in ET use over time.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

This retrospective study was performed on data from the Côte d’Or breast and gynecological cancer registry. This registry is the only one in France to focus on breast and gynecological cancers. It has been collecting comprehensive data on all cases of breast and gynecological cancers occurring in residents of the Côte d’Or Department since 1982. The registry is licensed by the French national data protection authority.

Fig. 1. Flow chart.
2.2. Study population

All women with primary invasive non-metastatic HR + BC diagnosed from 1998 to 2015 and treated by ET were included. Patients with either unknown HR status or unknown type of ET were excluded. Patients who received ET for either metastasis or relapse were not included. Women with bilateral tumors were also excluded. The cut-off date for analyses was set at November 1, 2018. This study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the national research committee and the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments.

2.3. Invasive-disease free survival (iDFS)

iDFS was defined as the time from diagnosis to first recurrence (locoregional or distant recurrence), including second invasive primary BC or death, whichever came first [7].

2.4. Variables

Age at diagnosis, stage at diagnosis, histological type, surgery, treatments (including type of ET and date of initiation), Scarff-Bloom-Richardson (SBR) grade, comorbidities, HER2 status, and menopausal status were extracted from the registry of breast and gynecological cancers of the Côte d’Or. Tumor stage was based on pathological stage information, supplemented by clinical stage information if pathological stage was unavailable or unknown. In case of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, tumor stage was based on clinical stage information only. Patients who did not experience a recurrence or who were alive at the cut-off date were censored. Tumor stage was categorized according to American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC). The time between diagnosis and introduction of ET was calculated. Surgery was defined in three categories: None, conservative and mastectomy. Receiving chemotherapy (yes versus no) was defined as the administration of any kind of chemotherapy. We classed treatment in five categories: Breast-conserving Surgery (BCS) followed by radiotherapy, BCS alone, mastectomy alone, mastectomy followed by radiotherapy, and neither surgery nor radiotherapy. Comorbidities was assessed using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI).

2.5. Statistical analyses

Clinical and sociodemographic data are described as mean ± standard deviation or median (range) for quantitative variables, and as number and percentage for categorical variables. Percentages for subcategories were calculated from the available data; missing values were not taken into account. Charlson Comorbidity Index was categorized in three classes: 0, 1–2 and ≥3. Menopause status was classified as premenopausal and postmenopausal. SBR grade was categorized as low, intermediate or high. Two periods of diagnosis were defined, namely diagnosis before or after 2004. Age at diagnosis respected the log-linearity hypothesis and was therefore included in the analyses as a quantitative variable. Adjusted survival curves were generated by applying the “DIRAD” option in the PROC PHREG statement of SAS. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to identify factors associated with the choice of ET. The frequency of ET prescriptions was estimated according to ET class or type. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to identify the prognostic factors of iDFS. The Cox model was adjusted for the period of diagnosis, and the time between diagnosis and ET initiation. Variables with a p-value <0.20 by univariate analyses were included in the multivariable model, and backward selection was applied. Correlations and

Table 1
Demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics of the study population.

| Variable | Whole population |
|----------|------------------|
| Age (years) | 61.9 (13.6) |
| Median (IQR) | 61.5 (51.2–72) |
| Time between diagnosis and ET initiation (days) | 150 (103.4) |
| Median (IQR) | 127 (81–230) |
| Year of diagnosis | 1998 103 (26) |
| | 1999 108 (27) |
| | 2000 146 (37) |
| | 2001 185 (46) |
| | 2002 203 (51) |
| | 2003 240 (62) |
| | 2004 228 (57) |
| | 2005 236 (59) |
| | 2006 246 (62) |
| | 2007 207 (52) |
| | 2008 206 (52) |
| | 2009 190 (48) |
| | 2010 266 (67) |
| | 2011 245 (62) |
| | 2012 297 (75) |
| | 2013 308 (77) |
| | 2014 280 (70) |
| | 2015 276 (69) |
| Stage | 1938 (48.8) |
| | 1866 (47.0) |
| | 169 (4.2) |
| | 3 |
| AJCC Stage | 1938 (48.8) |
| | 2035 (51.2) |
| | 3 |
| Scarff-Bloom Richardson Grade | 1149 (29.5) |
| | 2117 (54.3) |
| | 632 (16.2) |
| | 78 |
| HER2 Status | 503 (14.1) |
| | 3077 (85.9) |
| | 396 |
| Histologic Type | 3160 (79.6) |
| | 628 (15.8) |
| | 628 (15.8) |
| | 181 (4.6) |
| | 7 |
| Hormonal status | 2634 (72.7) |
| | 988 (27.3) |
| | 354 |
| Charlson comorbidity Index | 2713 (79.6) |
| | 642 (18.9) |
| | 52 (1.5) |
| | 569 |
| Type of ET | 1235 (31.1) |
| | 160 (4) |
| | 1021 (25.7) |
| | 1560 (39.2) |
| | 2416 (60.8) |
| | 1560 (39.2) |
| | 2416 (60.8) |
| | 328 (8.6) |
| | 538 (13.5) |
| | 2944 (74.0) |

(continued on next page)
interactions were tested between variables. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. We performed a sensitivity analysis using propensity score method in order to assess the real effect of ET class on iDFS. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

3. Results

3.1. Clinical and pathological features

A total of 3976 women treated by ET for HR + non-metastatic BC were identified (Fig. 1). Average age was 61.9 ± 13.6 years old. Most women were post-menopausal (73%), with high grade tumors...
(54%), without comorbidities (78%), and 76% had conservative surgery. Three thousand four hundred and thirty-eight women (86.5%) had radiotherapy. Overall, 39.2% and 60.8% of women received tamoxifen and AI respectively. Twenty-three percent had either local or regional recurrence, distant metastasis or death. The clinical and pathological features of women are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Patient characteristics according to endocrine therapy

Among the 3976 patients included, 1560 (39.2%) received tamoxifen, 1235 (31.1%) received anastrozole, 1021 (25.7%) had letrozole and only 160 (4%) women had exemestane (Table 2). Compared to women who received tamoxifen, women who received AI were older (66.9 ± 11.4 vs. 54.2 ± 12.9, p < 0.0001), had a longer mean time between diagnosis and initiation of ET (153.6 vs 144.3 days, p < 0.0001), were more frequently postmenopausal and had more comorbidities (p < 0.0001) (Table 2).

3.3. Invasive-disease free survival

After a median follow-up of 8.27 years (IQR: 4.92–13.07), 929 (23.4%) patients had an event. In total, 701 patients died, 116 had contralateral breast cancer, 30 had loco-regional relapse and 82 had distant metastasis. Fig. 2 shows iDFS adjusted for age according to ET class and type. AI administration was associated with a lower risk of recurrence than tamoxifen use (HR = 0.85, 95%CI [0.74–0.98], p = 0.03).

3.4. Prognostic factors of iDFS

Table 3 shows the independent prognostic factors for iDFS identified by multivariable Cox regression analysis. Age, ET class, SBR grade, stage, treatment, and comorbidity were all found to be independent predictors of iDFS. Women who had neither surgery nor radiotherapy had the highest risk of recurrence (HR = 3.75, 95% CI [2.65–5.32], p < 0.0001). Treatment with AI was associated with a reduced risk of recurrence (HR = 0.70, 95%CI [0.54–0.90], p = 0.0055). When looking at each type of ET separately, letrozole and anastrozole were associated with a reduced risk recurrence compared to tamoxifen (supplementary file S1).

3.5. Frequency of endocrine therapy over time

Fig. 3 shows the trend in ET prescriptions over time according to class and type of ET. Before 2003, almost all women were treated with tamoxifen; thereafter, the percentage of women treated with...
tamoxifen decreased from almost 99% in 1998 to 68% in 2003. By 2004, women received mainly anastrozole, and this trend continued until 2008 and the release of letrozole. Around 2010, we noted an increase in the use of tamoxifen and anastrozole, and the introduction of exemestane. In 2015, all molecules were in use, with letrozole being the most frequently prescribed.

3.6. Factors associated with the choice of ET

Age and comorbidities were found to be significant predictors of the choice of ET. Compared to women with a CCI = 0, women with a CCI of 1 or 2 were more likely to receive AI (OR = 1.63, 95%CI [1.22–2.17], p = 0.0009). Histological type and surgery were also predictors of the choice of ET class. Indeed, women with lobular cancer (OR = 1.36, 95%CI [1.04–1.77], p = 0.02) were more likely to receive AI compared to those with ductal cancer. Both mastectomy (OR = 3.73, 95%CI [1.36–10.25], p = 0.0107) and BCS (OR = 5.14, 95% IC [1.85–14.26], p = 0.0017) were associated with an increased likelihood of receiving AI (supplementary File S2).

4. Discussion

The aim of this population-based study was to identify the prognostic factors of iDFS among women treated by ET for invasive non metastatic HR+ BC. We also investigated trends in prescription of ET over the whole study period.

We found that women who received tamoxifen experienced worse iDFS compared to women treated with AI. In our study, after adjustment, there was an independent relation between ET class and iDFS, whereby AI were associated with a lower risk of recurrence. This result is concordant with the results of clinical trials [6,8,9]. In the Early Breast Cancer Trialists’ Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) study [8], the authors performed a meta-analysis of individual data from 31,920 post-menopausal women with estrogen-receptor positive early breast cancer in randomized trials comparing 5 years of AI versus 5 years of tamoxifen; 5 years of AI
versus 2–3 years of tamoxifen then AI to year 5; and 2–3 years of tamoxifen then AI to year 5 versus 5 years of tamoxifen. The authors found that AI reduced recurrence rates by about 30% compared with tamoxifen. Two other trials [8,9] among premenopausal women with HR+ early BC reported that adjuvant treatment with exemestane plus ovarian suppression significantly reduced recurrence, as compared with tamoxifen plus ovarian suppression.

We found that comorbidity status was an independent predictor of iDFS in women with BC treated with ET. Aside from the fact that comorbidities are an important prognostic factor in early BC [10], they are generally also associated with the presence of polypharmacy, defined i.e. the use of several medications per day [11]. Indeed, women who had comorbidities mostly had chronic diseases requiring use of more than one drug, and over time, this can hamper medication compliance. ET medication is no exception to this phenomenon [12]. Poor compliance with ET has been shown to be associated with decreased survival [13,14], increased risk of recurrence [13], as well as poor prognosis [15] in BC patients. Polypharmacy is known to be a key factor in adherence to adjuvant ET [16], while comorbidity has also been shown to influence ET adherence [17].

In our study, women who underwent mastectomy were more likely to experience recurrence than women who received BCS in combination with radiotherapy. The European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) guidelines strongly recommend postoperative radiotherapy after BCS [18]. Many studies have shown the importance of BCS with postoperative radiotherapy in reducing in-breast tumor recurrence and in improving overall survival in early stage BC [19–22]. In a meta-analysis, the EBCTCG group showed that radiotherapy reduced the 10-year risk of first recurrence from 35.0% to 19.3%, and reduced the 15-year risk of BC death from 25.2% to 21.4% among women who underwent BCS [19]. Furthermore, in a Swedish multicenter cohort study, de Boniface et al. reported that BCS followed by whole-breast irradiation was superior to mastectomy without irradiation in terms of both overall survival (79.5 versus 64.3% respectively at 13 years) and breast cancer-specific survival (90.5 versus 84.0% at 13 years) [20]. Killander et al. were unable to identify any subgroup that could be spared postoperative radiotherapy after BCS [22]. On the contrary, the PRIME II study, published by Kunkler et al. showed that omission of radiotherapy could be considered in older patients with lower risk tumors after BCS [23].

Concerning the frequency of ET over time, tamoxifen was the only type of ET prescribed before 2003, while AI became the most frequently prescribed type of ET after 2008. This is likely because tamoxifen was the only ET available for three decades. With the reports of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) in 2004 [24] and the St Gallen Conference in 2005 [25], the ET landscape in BC changed dramatically, particularly with the introduction of third-generation AI for the treatment of early BC. With the use of AI recommended in postmenopausal women, and BC occurring around 60 years of age, this may explain why AIs were the most frequently prescribed form of ET after 2008.

Age and comorbidity were found to be strong predictors of the choice of ET by multivariable logistic regression analysis. These findings are congruent with clinical guidelines [18,26]. Indeed, the ASCO recommends taking account of age and baseline comorbidities when choosing ET [26], while ESMO guidelines also recommend that preexisting comorbidities should help determine the choice of ET. Moreover, Kemp et al. found that comorbidities, particularly osteoporosis and arthritis, were predictors of the choice of therapy after adjustment for other covariates [27].

Patients with lobular BC were more likely to receive AI in our study. Like other BCs with either estrogen or progesterone receptors, HR+ lobular BC responds well to ET. In the Breast International Group (BIG) 1-98 study, comparing the relative effectiveness of letrozole and tamoxifen in patients with invasive ductal or lobular carcinoma, the authors found that patients with lobular BC benefited more from AI compared to tamoxifen [28].

Our study highlights the utility of cancer registries for purposes other than cancer surveillance, namely providing epidemiological data, with estimates of incidence and mortality. The strengths of this study include the large sample size and the use of adjusted survival curves to compare iDFS according to ET class. However, this study also has some limitations. We did not assess compliance with ET, which may play an important role in BC. Thus, we are unable to determine whether the better survival observed in the AI group was due to the efficacy of AI compared to tamoxifen, or to the fact that women on AI may be more compliant than women taking tamoxifen.

In summary, this study identified prognostic factors of iDFS in women with non-metastatic HR+ BC in daily routine practice using data from the Côte d’Or breast cancer registry. We also described trends in ET prescription over time. ET class, age at diagnosis, comorbidities, and stage were independently associated with iDFS. In patients treated with ET, clinicians must assess the individual risk for each patient in order to provide optimal care. Further studies that investigate patient compliance and side effects are necessary to confirm these findings.

Declaration of competing interest

None.

Acknowledgements

We thank Fiona Ecarnot, PhD (EA3920, University of Franche-Comté, Besançon, France) for English language editing.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.breast.2021.06.003.

Funding

This study received no specific grant from any funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethical approval

The Côte d’Or breast and gynecological cancer registry is licensed by the French national data protection authority for the treatment of data on women who have had breast cancer.

Informed consent

“Not applicable”.

References

[1] Early Breast Cancer Trialists’ Collaborative Group (EBCTCG). Relevance of breast cancer hormone receptors and other factors to the efficacy of adjuvant tamoxifen: patient-level meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet 2011;378(9793):771–84. Aug 27.

[2] Hershman DL, Shao T, Kushi LH, Buono D, Tsai WY, Fehrenbach B, et al. Early discontinuation and non-adherence to adjuvant hormonal therapy are associated with increased mortality in women with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011;126(2):529–37. Apr.

[3] Howell A. The “Arimidex”, Tamoxifen, Alone or in Combination (ATAC) Trial: a step forward in the treatment of early breast cancer. Rev Recent Clin Trials 2006;1(3):207–15. Sep.
A comparison of letrozole and tamoxifen in postmenopausal women with early breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2005;353(26):2747–57. Dec 29.

Devks MGM, Blok EJ, Seyneuve C, Norrier JWR, Kranenburg EM-K, Liefers G-J, et al. Adjuvant tamoxifen and exemestane in women with postmenopausal early breast cancer (TEAM): 10-year follow-up of a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2017;18(9):1211–20. Sep 1.

Aromatase inhibitors versus tamoxifen in early breast cancer: patient-level meta-analysis of the randomised trials. Lancet 2015;386(10001):1341–52. Oct 3.

Gourgou-Bourgade S, Cameron D, Poortmans P, Asselain B, Azria D, Cardoso F, et al. Guidelines for time-to-event end point definitions in breast cancer trials: results of the DATECAN initiative (Definition for the Assessment of Time-to-event Endpoints in CANcer trials). Ann Oncol 2015;26(12):2505–6. Dec 1.

Pagani O, Regan MM, Walley BA, Fleming GF, Colleoni M, Láng I, et al. Adjuvant exemestane with ovarian suppression in premenopausal breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2014;371(2):107–18. Jul 10.

Francis PA, Pagani O, Fleming GF, Walley BA, Colleoni M, Láng I, et al. Tailoring adjuvant endocrine therapy for premenopausal breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2018;379(2):122–37. Jul 12.

Land LH, Dalton SO, Jørgensen TL, Ewertz M. Comorbidity and survival after early breast cancer. A review. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2012;81(2):196–205. Feb 1.

Stribhlow C, Smeikal M, Fasching P. Polypharmacy and excessive polypharmacy in octogenarians and older acutely hospitalized patients. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2014;126(7):195–200. Apr 1.

Vetrano DL, Bianchini E, Onder G, Cricelli I, Cricelli C, Bernabei R, et al. Poor adherence to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease medications in primary care: role of age, disease burden and polypharmacy. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2017;17(12):2500–6.

Weaver KE, Camacho F, Hwang W, Anderson R, Kimmick G. Adherence to adjuvant hormonal therapy and its relationship to breast cancer recurrence and survival among low-income women. Am J Clin Oncol 2013;36(2):181–7. Apr.

Hsieh K-P, Chen L-C, Cheung K-L, Chang C-S, Yang Y-H. Interruption and non-adherence to long-term adjuvant hormone therapy is associated with adverse survival outcome of breast cancer women—an Asian population-based study. PloS One 2014;9(2):e87027.

Taketani K, Tokunaga E, Yamashita N, Tanaka K, Akiyoshi S, Okada S, et al. Early discontinuation of adjuvant endocrine therapy is associated with a poor prognosis in Japanese breast cancer patients. Surg Today 2014;44(10):1841–6. Oct.

Calip GS, Xing S, Jun D-H, Lee W-J, Hoskins KF, Ko NY. Polypharmacy and adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy for breast cancer. J Oncol Pract 2017;13(5):e451–62. May.

Wulaningsih W, Garino H, Ahlgren J, Holmberg L, Folkvaljon Y, Wigertz A, et al. Determinants of non-adherence to adjuvant endocrine treatment in women with breast cancer: the role of comorbidity. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2018;172(1):167–77.

Senkus E, Kyräkiades S, Ohno S, Penault-Llorca F, Poortmans P, Rutgers E, et al. Primary breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Sep 1 Ann Oncol 2015;26:v8–30.

Effect of radiotherapy after breast-conserving surgery on 10-year recurrence and 15-year breast cancer death: meta-analysis of individual patient data for 10 801 women in 17 randomised trials. Lancet 2013;378(9804):1707–16. Nov 12.

de Bonafice J, Fristell J, Bergkvist L, Andersson Y. Breast-conserving surgery followed by whole-breast irradiation offers survival benefits over mastectomy without irradiation. Br J Surg 2018;105(12):1607–14. Nov.

Corradini S, Reitz D, Pabon M, Schonecker S, Braun M, Harbeck N, et al. Maspectomy or breast-conserving therapy for early breast cancer in real-life clinical practice: outcome comparison of 7565 cases. Cancers 2019;11(2):160. Feb.

Killander F, Karlsson P, Anderson H, Mattsson J, Holmberg E, Lundstedt D, et al. No breast cancer subgroup can be spared postoperative radiotherapy after breast-conserving surgery. Fifteen-year results from the Swedish Breast Cancer Group randomised trial, SweBCG 91 RT. Eur J Cancer 2016;67:57–65. Nov 1.

Kunkler IH, Williams L, Jack WJ, Cameron DA, Dixon JM. PRIME II investigators. Breast-conserving surgery with or without irradiation in women aged 65 years or older with early breast cancer (PRIME II): a randomised controlled trial. Mar Lancet Oncol 2015;16(3):266–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)71221-5. Epub 2015 Jan 28. Erratum in: Lancet Oncol. 2015 Mar; 16(3):e105. PMID: 25637340.

Winer EP, Hudis C, Burstein HJ, Wolff AC, Pritchard KL, Ingle JN, et al. American society of clinical Oncology technology assessment on the use of aromatase inhibitors as adjuvant therapy for postmenopausal women with hormone receptor–positive breast cancer: status report 2004. J Clin Oncol 2005;23(3):619–29. Jan 20.

Ponzio-Prion A. 9e Conférence de Saint-Gall sur la prise en charge des stades précoces du cancer du sein - 26-29 janvier 2005.pdf. Lettre du Cancéropôle; 2005.

Visvanathan K, Fabian CJ, Bantug E, Brewer AM, Davidson NE, DeCensi A, et al. Use of endocrine therapy for breast cancer risk reduction: ASCO clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol 2019;37(33):3152–65. Sep 3.

Kemp A, Proen DR, Saunders C, Boyle F, Bulsara M, Holmiao CDJ, et al. Women commencing anastrozole, letrozole or tamoxifen for early breast cancer: the impact of comorbidity and demographics on initial choice. Jan 2 PloS ONE [Internet] 2014;9(1). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3879327/.

Metzger Filho O, Giobbie-Hurder A, mallon E, Gusterson B, Viale G, Winer EP, et al. Relative effectiveness of letrozole compared with tamoxifen for patients with lobular carcinoma in the BIG 1-98 trial. J Clin Oncol 2015;33(25):2772–9. Sep 1.