Micro-Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate in Neonatal Sepsis of a Tertiary Hospital: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Neonatal sepsis is the most important cause of morbidity and mortality among low birth weight and preterm babies in developing countries. The main objective of this study is to find the level of micro-Erythrocyte sedimentation rate in neonatal sepsis.

Methods: This is a descriptive cross-sectional study conducted at the neonatal unit over six months period (November 2019 to April 2020). All preterm, term and post-term babies with neonatal sepsis delivered at Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital were enrolled. Ethical clearance was received from the Institutional Review Committee of Kathmandu Medical College (Ref: 181020191). Convenient sampling method was applied and statistical analysis was done with Statistical package for social sciences 19 version.

Results: Out of 75 babies, confirm sepsis is 13 (17.3%), probable sepsis is 40 (53.4%) and suspected sepsis is 22 (29.2%). Micro-Erythrocyte sedimentation level is elevated (≥15mm in 1st hr) in 25 (33.3%) babies with a mean micro-Erythrocyte sedimentation level 9.32±5.4 (2-18) mm in 1hr. The elevated micro- Erythrocyte sedimentation level was seen in relation to sepsis types and C-reactive protein.

Conclusions: The bedside micro-Erythrocyte sedimentation level aids in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis.
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INTRODUCTION

Neonatal sepsis is the most important cause of mortality among low birth weight and preterm babies in developing countries.1 In Nepal, the neonatal mortality rate is still high (21 per 1000 live births). Causes of neonatal deaths are sepsis, perinatal asphyxia, prematurity, and low birth weight.2,3 Maternal risk factors eg. Prolonged rupture of membranes (PROM) > 18 hrs., positive high vaginal swab culture, intrapartum fever, and neonatal risk factors eg. birth weight <1500gm, gestational age <34 wks, low APGAR score contributes for sepsis.4 Sepsis is define as signs and symptoms of infections with or without accompanying bacteremia with the growth of bacteria within first month of life and consists of septicemia, meningitis, pneumonia, and urinary tract infection.5 Prompt diagnosis, good nursing care, and antibiotics aids to save babies with sepsis.6 Blood culture is the gold standard for sepsis diagnosis, but it is time-consuming and needs equipped lab.7,8

So, the main objective is to find out the micro-ESR level
in neonatal sepsis at a tertiary hospital.

METHODS

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out on neonates with neonatal sepsis at 10 bedded Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) of the Pediatrics Department of Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital over six months period (November 2019 - April 2020). Perinatal Mortality Rate (PMR) of this tertiary hospital is 10 per 1000 births and Neonatal mortality rate (NMR) is 4.5 per 1000 live births.9

All preterm, term and post-term neonates admitted in the NICU with a diagnosis of suspected sepsis, probable sepsis and confirm sepsis were enrolled. Risk factors and laboratory criteria for the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis is mentioned in Box 1.10

A. Maternal Risk Factors 1
- History of PROM for ≥ 18 hours
- Foul smelling liquor
- Spontaneous preterm labor < 37 weeks
- Intrapartum fever ≥ 38°C

B. Neonatal Risk Factors 1,4,6
- Prematurity ≤ 34 wks
- Birth weight ≤ 1.5 kg
- Low Apgar score
- Respiratory distress (tachypnea, grunting, increase oxygen requirement)
- Apnea/cyanosis
- Unstable temperature–hyperthermia (Fever) / hypothermia
- Cardiovascular disturbance (tachycardia / bradycardia, poor peripheral perfusion)
- Poor feeding
- Lethargy, irritability and seizures

D. Laboratory diagnostic criteria for neonatal sepsis 4
I) Direct method: Isolation of microorganisms in blood or urine or CSF culture.
Ii) Indirect method: Septic screen consider to be positive if any two or more out of following five parameters are present:
- Leucopenia (TLC < 5000 /mm3)
- Neutropenia (ANC < 1800/mm3)
- Immature neutrophils to total neutrophils (I/T) ratio: > 0.2
- Micro ESR: ≥ 15mm in 1st hour
- C-Reactive Protein (CRP): positive

Box 1. Risk factors, clinical features and laboratory criteria for neonatal sepsis.10

Suspected sepsis is define as neonates who fulfill the following minimum three signs and symptoms eg. sclerema, lethargy, apnea, hypotonia, poor cry, poor feeding, respiratory distress, grunting, vomiting, fever, mottling of the skin and irritability with normal five laboratory septic screening test mentioned in Box 1.4,10

A neonate clinically have above mentioned clinical signs and symptoms with at least two of the five laboratory screening tests positive mentioned above in box 1 with negative blood culture is diagnosed as probable sepsis.11 Confirm sepsis is define as neonate with above mentioned clinical sign/symptoms with positive two out of the five laboratory screening tests mentioned above with having blood, urine or cerebrospinal fluid culture yielding an organism.11

Neonates fulfilling inclusion criteria were drawn venous blood for blood culture and sensitivity (CS), total leukocyte count (TLC), differential count (DC), absolute neutrophil count (ANC), hemoglobin(Hb), micro-erythrocyte sedimentation rate (micro-ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) and peripheral smear for band cells. Blood parameters TLC/ DC/ Hb were performed by the coulter method. In a suspected case of pneumonia, a chest x-ray is done and lumbar puncture (LP) is done in suspected case of meningitis. Blood CS was done via a BACTEC 9050 automation system, Becton Dickinson, Ireland. In which 3ml venous blood was inoculated into BACTEC Ped Plus culture vial under complete aseptic conditions. Then blood was kept in the BACTEC 9050 blood culture instrument within 2 hr of collection and subcultures were done in positive cases to identify the causative organism according to the standard methods.

The following procedure was done for the estimation of micro-ESR at bedside Resuscitaire of newborns in the NICU. Blood was collected in a pre-heparinized micro hematocrit tube of 75 mm length with an internal diameter of 1.1mm and an external diameter of 1.5mm by heel prick technique. Air is not allowed to interrupt the column of blood to avoid false normal result and one end was sealed using clay wax. The micro hematocrit tube was then fixed vertically on a clay tray near the bedside with the identification of patient and the time of blood collection noted and left undisturbed for one hour. Then after the distance from the highest point of the plasma column to the meniscus of the packed red cell column (height of the plasma column) of each tube was measured with a ruler after one hour. micro-ESR level was said to be elevated if the height of the plasma column measured were greater than 15mm/hr for all neonates irrespective of age.12,13

The clinical details and results of laboratory investigations were recorded in a pre-designed proforma. The management of neonatal sepsis was done as per the neonatal unit protocol.
Babies with a lethal congenital malformation (e.g., Meningomyelocele, Anencephaly, Gastrochisis, Diaphragmatic Hernia) and Syndromic babies were excluded. Ethical clearance was received from the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of Kathmandu Medical College (Ref: 181020191) and written consent was taken from the parents and possible complications of neonatal sepsis were explained. The neonates were evaluated by a thorough history from mother, maternal parameters at birth, and detail clinical examinations. Gestational age assessment was done by using modified new Ballard score and maternal and neonatal risk factors were assessed as mentioned in Box 1. Data were analyzed in Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS 19) version in the form of frequency, tables along with mean and standard deviation. Relation of Micro ESR with respect to types of sepsis and CRP were analyzed. The sample size was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Sample size (n)} = \frac{Z^2 \times p \times q}{e^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 0.05 \times (1 - 0.05)/0.05)^2}{0.05^2} = 72.96 \]

Where,

- \( n \) = Sample size
- \( Z \) = 1.96 at 95% Confidence Interval
- \( p \) = Prevalence of Neonatal sepsis in previous study = 5% (Gomez B et al)\(^1\)
- \( q \) = 1 - p
- \( e \) = Margin of error, 5%

A total of 75 neonates were enrolled and a convenient sampling method was applied.

### RESULTS

A total of 2160 babies were delivered at KMCTH over six months period and 75 babies full filling the inclusion criteria were enrolled in this study. Among them, 45 (60%) babies were male and most of them were term 46 (61.3%) babies with 50 (66.7%) delivered by primi mothers. The mean birth weight observed was 2611±79 gms and the mean gestational age was 36.35±3.2 weeks. While analyzing clinical parameters, mean respiratory rate of enrolled babies was 76.63±18.7 breaths /min (20 -110) and mean heart rate was 162.71±23.6 beats /min (82- 200). During treatment in NICU, due to respiratory problem, 63 (84%) babies required Bubble CPAP ventilation with mean duration 58.25±33.4 hrs. (12-160) and 20 (26.6%) babies required mechanical ventilation with mean duration 35.55±18.7 hrs. (4-72). The mean maternal age was 26.52±3.6 yrs. (19-39) and 33 (44%) mothers had history of PROM with a mean duration 43.97±56.5 hrs. (18 -288). Similarly, the mean age of babies admitted in NICU was 3.99±4.8 days and mean hospital stay for 70 babies were 8.5±4.8 days and five babies were expired during treatment of sepsis. (Table1).

### Table1. Neonatal and maternal characteristics (n = 75).

| Variables                        | Mean       | Range            |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| **Neonatal Characteristics**     |            |                  |
| Gestational Age                  | 36.35±3.2  | (24-40) wks.     |
| Birth weight                     | 2611±79    | (600–4200) gms   |
| Apgar Score at 1 min (45 babies) | 7.05±1.6   | (1–8)            |
| Apgar Score at 5 min (45 babies) | 8.23±1.3   | (3–9)            |
| Age of baby during NICU admission| 3.99±4.8   | (1st day–22nd days) |
| **Clinical parameter of newborns** |           |                  |
| Respiratory rate                 | 76.63±18.7 | (20–110) breaths/min |
| Heart rate                       | 162.71±23.6| (82–200) beats/min|
| Bubble CPAP duration (63 babies) | 58.25±33.4 | (12-160)hrs      |
| Mechanical ventilation duration (20 babies) | 35.55±18.7 | (4–72) hrs    |
| Antibiotics use duration (75 babies) | 8.1± 4    | (3-21) days |
| Hospital Stay (70 babies)        | 8.5±4.8    | (3–28) days      |
| **Maternal Characteristics**     |            |                  |
| Maternal Age                     | 26.52±3.6  | (19–39) yrs.     |
| Prolonged rupture of membrane (PROM) (33 mothers) | 43.97±56.5 | (18–288) hrs    |
Analyzing the maternal and neonatal risk factors for sepsis, in 33 (44%) babies, PROM was the commonest maternal risk factor whereas prematurity 22 (29.3%) followed by fast breathing 19 (25.3%) were the commonest neonatal risk factors (Table 2).

Table 2. Risk factors for sepsis (n = 75).

| Maternal Risk Factor          | n (%)  |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| PROM                          | 33 (44.0) |
| No risk                       | 23 (30.6) |
| Maternal fever                | 5 (6.7) |
| Antepartum hemorrhage (APH)   | 3 (4.0) |
| Preeclampsia                  | 3 (4.0) |
| Maternal Gestational diabetes | 2 (2.7) |
| Maternal hypothyroidism       | 2 (2.7) |
| Eclampsia                     | 1 (1.3) |
| Foul-smelling liquor          | 2 (2.7) |
| Rh Iso-immunization           | 1 (1.3) |
| Total                         | 75 (100) |

| Neonatal Risk Factor          | n (%)  |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Preterm                       | 22 (29.3) |
| Fast breathing                | 19 (25.3) |
| Perinatal Asphyxia            | 7 (9.3) |
| Fever                         | 7 (9.3) |
| Lethargy                      | 7 (9.3) |
| LBW (Low Birth Weight)        | 5 (6.7) |
| Meconium aspiration           | 5 (6.7) |
| ELBW (Extremely LBW)          | 2 (2.7) |
| Big Baby                      | 1 (1.4) |
| Total                         | 75 (100) |

In this study, out of 75 babies, confirmed sepsis is 13 (17.3%), probable sepsis is 40 (53.4%) and suspected sepsis is 22 (29.3%) (Figure 1). The micro-ESR level is normal (≤ 15 mm in 1st hr) in 50 (66.7%) babies and elevated (> 15 mm in 1st hr) in 25 (33.3%) babies. The mean micro-ESR level observed in this study is 9.32 ±5.4 (2-18) mm in 1st hr. (Figure 2).

Among 50 babies with normal micro-ESR level, one (2%) baby was diagnosed as confirm sepsis, 27 (54%) as probable sepsis and 22 (44%) babies as suspected sepsis. Whereas out of 25 babies with elevated micro-ESR level, 12 (48%) babies were diagnosed as confirm sepsis and 13 (52%) as probable sepsis. Similarly, among 50 babies with normal micro-ESR level, CRP was positive in 26 (52%) babies and negative in 24 (48%). Whereas out of 25 babies with elevated micro-ESR level, all 25 (100%) babies had positive CRP (Table 3).

Table 3. Micro–ESR level in accordance with sepsis types and CRP.

| Micro ESR Level | Normal ≤15mm in 1st hr | Elevated >15mm in 1st hr |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sepsis Types    | n (%)                  | n (%)                    |
| Confirm Sepsis   | 1 (2)                  | 12 (48)                  |
| Probable Sepsis  | 27 (54)                | 13 (52)                  |
| Suspected Sepsis | 22 (44)                | 0 (0)                    |
| Total            | 50 (100)               | 25 (100)                 |
| C-Reactive Protein (CRP) |          |                          |
| Negative         | 24 (48)                | 0 (0)                    |
| Positive         | 26 (52)                | 25 (100)                 |
| Total            | 50 (100)               | 25 (100)                 |

DISCUSSION

Neonatal Sepsis is the commonest cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity resulting in 30-50% of total neonatal deaths in developing countries.16,17 Considering its high mortality and morbidity, a specific diagnostic marker eg. Interleukin 6, Interleukin 8, Procalcitonin with high sensitivity and specificity is desirable in
neonatal sepsis. However, these tests are not easily available in our country and also not cost-effective. Apart from other septic screening tests mentioned in Box 1, micro ESR is a single, cheap, easy to perform, less time consuming with sensitivity and specificity of 63.3 % and 60% respectively.

A study done by Kafle R et al. in Universal College of Medical Sciences, Bhairahawa, Nepal found 6% of babies with confirm sepsis and 12% had elevated micro –ESR level. Similarly, a study done by Ghaliyah AZ et al in Yenepoya Medical College Teaching Hospital, Mangalore, India found 32% confirm sepsis with a 38% elevated micro-ESR level. In our study also 13 (17.3%) babies had confirmed sepsis and a total of 25 (33.3%) babies had raised micro-ESR level showing the significance of micro-ESR level concerning confirm sepsis.

Shah GS et al. at BPKIHS, Dharan, Nepal described the maternal history of PROM, maternal history of foul-smelling liquor, prematurity, low birth weight and low Apgar score at birth were the strong risk factors for early-onset neonatal sepsis. Similarly, in this study, also maternal PROM (44%) and preterm (29.3%) were the commonest risk factors highlighting its major role for sepsis in babies.

CRP is an inflammatory acute-phase reactant that promotes the healing of the injured tissue. CRP is also a good marker of sepsis with sensitivity and specificity of 77.8% and 66.7% respectively. In Ghaliyah AZ et al study, out of 19 babies with elevated micro-ESR level, 12 (63.2%) has positive CRP whereas in our study all twenty-five (100%) babies with elevated micro-ESR had positive CRP showing the significance of CRP with the raised micro-ESR level in sepsis diagnosis.

CONCLUSIONS

Neonatal Sepsis is the commonest cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity. The bedside micro-ESR level test showed significance in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis for better management in the NICU. Since this is a study of a single institution with convenient sampling, the outcome cannot be generalized.
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