Formation of an extended CoSi₂ thin nanohexagons array coherently buried in silicon single crystal
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A Co-doped silica film was deposited on the surface of a Si(100) wafer and isothermally annealed at 750°C to form spherical Co nanoparticles embedded in the silica film and a few atomic layer thick CoSi₂ nanoplatelets within the wafer. The structure, morphology, and spatial orientation of the nanoplatelets were characterized. The experimental results indicate that the nanoplatelets exhibit hexagonal shape and a uniform thickness. The CoSi₂ nanostructures lattice is coherent with the Si lattice, and each of them is parallel to one of the four planes belonging to the [111] crystallographic form of the host lattice. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3683493]

The ever-growing demand of methods for the fabrication of nanostructured materials is one of the most exciting challenges in modern materials science and nanotechnology. Self-assembly and lithography techniques—including those using synthetic or biological templates—are paradigms for these architectures¹,² that can lead to commercial applications of nanoelectronics³ for the development, for example, of sensors⁴ and magneto-optical devices.⁵ On the other hand, effective large-scale integration of such nanoobjects needs high-throughput strategies. In this context, reproducible, controlled, and clean alternatives for bottom-up growth of nanostructured materials over large areas are nowadays highly relevant issues.

Transition metal silicides such as TiSi₂, CoSi₂, and NiSi have been extensively studied during the past decade due to their importance as contact materials for microelectronic devices. Since CoSi₂ exhibits high electrical conductivity and good thermal stability, this material has attracted the attention of many researchers because it is a good candidate for nanocomponents requiring high electrical conductivity.⁶ Furthermore and due to the low mismatch (−1.2%) with respect to the Si lattice, CoSi₂ films can be epitaxially grown on the surface of Si(111) wafers, thus displaying attractive properties for potential applications in high-speed devices.

Unfortunately, the classical processes for polycrystalline CoSi₂ growth have serious drawbacks such as agglomeration, silicon consumption, and leakage current. Clearly, agglomeration becomes critical for ultra-large scale integration in nanotechnology because of the limitation in line width and shallowness source/drain junction. Moreover, agglomeration induces the formation of large CoSi₂ grains and consequently to resistance degradation.⁷,⁸

The specific type of Co-Si compounds that develops during the growth processes is also a relevant issue because the different phases of the equilibrium phase diagram of this binary system exhibit quite different resistivities, namely 10–20, 110, 140, and 20 μΩ/cm for Co, Co₂Si, CoSi, and CoSi₂, respectively.⁹ Previous investigations established that the silicide phase nucleates locally on structural defects of the Si surface during an exothermic reaction, this first step being followed by a self-ordered lateral growth.¹⁰–¹⁹ Wang et al.²⁰ reported the fabrication of micro/nanoscale pits with facile shape, orientation, and size controls on a Si surface via an Au-nanoparticles-assisted vapor transport method. The pit dimensions can be continuously tuned from 70 nm up to several μm. Triangles, squares, and wire/hexagons morphologies were detected in Si(111), Si(001), and Si(110) substrates, respectively.

Here, we describe a simple, controlled, and reproducible procedure for obtaining thin and non-agglomerated CoSi₂ nanoplatelets coherently grown inside a silicon (001) single crystal. A precise structural characterization of the CoSi₂ thin structures was performed by using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and grazing incidence small angle x-ray scattering (GISAXS) techniques.

Surface of a Si(001) substrate (2 × 1 cm²) was cleaned with ethanol and dried by blowing N₂ prior to depositing a SiO₂-based thin film. To obtain the Co impregnated SiO₂ thin film, a precursor solution was prepared by dissolving
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cobalt(II) nitrate (11 μmol/g) and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, 240 μmol/g) in isopropanol. The pH of the aforementioned solution was then adjusted to 2-3 with HCl. A volume of 8 μL of such solution was dropped to the center of the cleaned silicon wafer sitting in a Petri dish. A lid was then put on the top of the dish to let the solvent to evaporate slowly and uniformly. After 1 h, the resulting still wet deposited film was dried during 10 min at 100 °C and calcined in air during 15 min at 500 °C.

Subsequently, the sample was reduced under hydrogen flow (500 sccm) for 1 h at 500 °C. After this step, the oven was purged using a He flux (1000 sccm), while the temperature was increased up to 750 °C at 10°C/min. The sample was finally kept 1 h under these conditions to promote the diffusion of Co atoms and to approach the thermodynamic equilibrium. The oven was then let to cool down to room temperature under He flow.

The thermal treatment for 1 h at 500 °C in H2 atmosphere was applied in order to promote the chemical reduction to metallic Co state of the as impregnated cobalt nitrate. A further heat treatment for 1 h at 750 °C induced the up-hill diffusion of Co atoms and their precipitation as metallic Co nanoparticles inside the SiO2 film volume or deposited on the Si(001) surface. In addition to the expected clustering of Co atoms inside the SiO2 thin film, these atoms also penetrated into the Si(001) wafer to form buried thin CoSi2 nanoplatelets.

The initial step of our preparation procedure was to deposit a Co-doped SiO2 thin film onto a Si(001) flat substrate. The basic idea was to build up a Co-doped buffer layer and then to promote diffusion of Co atoms into the Si wafer by a controlled thermal treatment.

Fig. 1(a) exhibits a TEM image obtained from a cross-sectioned sample at [110] zone axis. TEM cross-section specimens with their faces normal to the Si[110] zone axis were prepared using manual and dimple polishing, followed by liquid nitrogen cooled Ar⁺ ion-beam thinning with energies ranging between 3.5 and 2 keV, at incidence angles gradually decreasing from 7° to 4°. The sample features result from a heat treatment of the Si(001) wafer covered with a homogeneously Co-doped silica film. This image shows the presence of small Co nanoparticles embedded in the silica thin film and also small nanoplatelets buried into the Si(001) single crystal. Fig. 1(b) displays a TEM image where two similar buried nanoplatelets can be observed. On the right a low-contrast nanoplatelet exhibiting a hexagonal shape and on the left side another one in a lateral view with a rod-like form (both oriented at [110] zone axis). By using a sample tilting procedure, it is possible to demonstrate that both structures present the same form but with different growth planes and orientation (see supplementary Fig. S1 for images of tilted sample). Fig. 1(c) shows a front view image of a nanoplatelet, which clearly evidences the hexagonal shape of the buried nanostructures.

The analysis of a number of TEM images taken at different sample orientations indicated that the buried nanoplatelets exhibit four different orientations, with their large flat surfaces parallel to one of the four planes of the Si{111} crystallographic form. The nanoplatelets exhibit an overall 4-fold rotational symmetry around the Si[001] axis, normal to the silicon surface, as schematically shown in Fig. 1(d). Note that the hexagons are not rigorously regular. As depicted in Fig. 1(c), the nanoplatelets present a truncated shape at the side in contact with the silica/silicon interface.
In order to establish the chemical composition of the nanoplatelets, TEM with x-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS) analyses was performed by using a 3 nm electron probe. A JEM-2100 HTP TEM with a LaB6 electron gun was used at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The images were recorded by using CCD cameras. XEDS measurements were performed by using a Si-Li detector coupled to the TEM. Complementary results of XEDS and high-resolution (HR) TEM analyses indicated that the composition and the structure of the buried nanoplatelets are those of the stoichiometric CoSi2 phase with a cubic CaF2-like structure.

Fig. 2(a) shows a HRTEM image of a nanoplatelet in a specific defocus value. At this condition, the high-intensity peaks can be directly related to the atomic column positions, as supported by HRTEM image simulation. Fig. 2(b) indicates the atomic position at the interfaces Si:CoSi2:Si in a magnified region from Fig. 2(a). This atomic model was verified by HRTEM image simulation and indicates the occurrence of a twinned interface between the Si:CoSi2 phases. These Si:TMSi2 (TM = transition metal) interface structures have been extensively studied by different authors. From these studies, it is possible to attribute an 8B-type interface structure. This means that the transition metal at the interface is in an 8-fold coordination with the Si atoms, and the silicide is rotated by 180° about the [111] Si axis (a twinned structure).

A quantitative analysis of our TEM images indicated that the CoSi2 nanoplatelets have a homogeneous thickness of 3.17 ± 0.23 nm and an average length of the hexagon sides of 24 ± 3 nm. The crystal lattice of the cubic CaF2-like structure of the CoSi2 nanoplatelets exhibits a low lattice mismatch with respect to the cubic lattice of the Si diamond-like structure (Δa/a = 1.2%, a being the lattice parameter). This feature favors a particular process of growth during which the crystal lattice of the CoSi2 nanoplatelets establishes and maintains its coherence with respect to the host Si lattice, as can be clearly seen by HRTEM in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

In order to achieve a global characterization of the nano-objects individually observed in TEM images, we have additionally GISAXS experiments performed at the XRD2 x-ray diffraction beam line of the Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory (LNLS), Campinas, Brazil, using a 0.1612 nm wavelength beam. The two-dimensional patterns of the GISAXS intensity were recorded by using a 10 × 10 cm² imaging plate 2D detector with a 10⁶ dynamical range and a 0.2 × 0.2 mm² pixel size, following the geometry proposed by Salditt et al. In this geometry, the incidence angle of the x-ray beam on the sample external surface is kept constant, and the 2D scattering intensity is measured using an imaging-plate or 2D photon detector. For additional description of the GISAXS procedures, analysis, and modeling, see supplementary information. The shape and size distribution of the CoSi2 nano-hexagons buried in the Si wafer—together with their orientations averaged over a large sample volume—were analyzed over an irradiated area of about 3 × 20 mm² (footprint of the x-ray beam on the surface of the flat samples).

GISAXS intensity patterns were determined at different azimuthal rotation angles φ around the Si(001) axis—normal to the sample surface—allowing us to establish the orientation of the three-dimensional structure associated to the dispersers embedded in the Si substrate. The angle ϕ = 0° was chosen in such a way that the projection of the incoming x-ray beam on Si(001) plane coincides with the Si[110] crystallographic axis (see Fig. 3(h) for angle reference). The incidence angle between the incoming beam and the surface of the sample χ1 = 0.3° was selected in order to achieve an adequate value for the primary x-ray beam penetration optimizing the scattering intensity.

Figs. 3(a)–3(g) display one among a series of GISAXS 2D images experimentally determined. These patterns correspond to the sample laterally oriented at different azimuthal angles. Most of those images exhibit two elongated scattering lobes, between −12° and 12°, with a maximum intensity at 0°, extending from the origin of the reciprocal space to rather large values of transferred momentum. The major axes of both elongated lobes make the same angle with the plane that contains the Si[110] crystallographic direction. Since this angle is approximately equal to the expected angle
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between the Si[110] and Si[111] crystallographic directions, i.e., equal to 35.3°, we could safely conclude that the main directions of the observed elongated scattering lobes shown in Fig. 3(a) are normal to two lattice planes belonging to the Si{111} crystallographic form. The lobe feature disappears when azimuthal angle increases up to 12° (Fig. 3(d)). This same GISAXS pattern repeats every time the azimuthal angle is incremented by 90°, as is expected from the 4-fold rotational symmetry around Si[001] axis.

In addition to the GISAXS intensity produced by the hexagonal nanoplatelets, the isotropic scattering due to the uncorrelated set of spherical nanoparticles embedded in the SiO₂ was also observed. Because of the quasi random spatial distribution of the spherical particles, their contribution to the total scattering intensity is expected to be isotropic.

Taking into account the preliminary conclusions from our GISAXS measurements mentioned above and the TEM analysis previously described, a modeled GISAXS function was calculated. This simulated function assumes that the scattering intensity is produced by (1) thin hexagonal CoSi₂ nanoplatelets inserted in four different planes of the Si lattice corresponding to the {111} crystallographic form and, additionally, (2) spherical Co nanoparticles without spatial correlation embedded in the silica thin film. A lognormal function was proposed to describe the radius distribution of the spherical Co nanoparticles, and same thickness (t) and lateral sizes (d) were assumed for all CoSi₂ nanohexagons.

Fig. 4(a) displays a 2D GISAXS pattern corresponding to the studied sample for an azimuthal angle ϕ = 0°. The simulated 2D image displayed in Fig. 4(b) evidences a good qualitative agreement with the experimental pattern shown in Fig. 4(a). Experimental and modeled GISAXS profiles shown in Fig. 4(c)—corresponding to the different qz values (see Fig. 3(h))—exhibit an excellent agreement. All relevant structural parameters of the studied material were determined as those of the simulated function that exhibited the best fit to the experimental results.

The best fit procedure yielded a thickness and a lateral side of the CoSi₂ nanoplatelets buried into the Si wafer t = (2.5 ± 0.3) nm and d = (19.5 ± 0.5) nm, respectively. The average radius of the spherical nanoparticles embedded in the silica thin film was established to be <R> = (0.43 ± 0.03) nm, and its relative standard deviation σR/<R>, being equal to 0.58 ± 0.06. The non-perfect symmetry at the curves in Fig. 4(c) is due to the non-centered position of the sample at the azimuthal angle, which was fitted in 1.5°.

In summary, the diffusion of Co atoms from silica thin films deposited on a Si(001) wafer induces the formation of thin hexagonal CoSi₂ nanoplatelets embedded in the host Si single crystal. These buried structures exhibit four different orientations, each of them strictly parallel to one of the four planes of the Si{111} crystallographic form. The CoSi₂ nanohexagons are parallel only to planes of the {111} form, because the free energy of their (coherent) interface is in this case lower than in the case of nanoplatelets parallel to other dense planes of the Si lattice such as those of the {100} or {110} crystallographic forms.

Relevant information of local features of the studied material was obtained by TEM and over a large sample volume by GISAXS. This joint use of TEM and GISAXS techniques provided complementary and essential information, both being indispensable for achieving a clear and detailed description of the studied nanostructured material. The orientations and the geometrical features of the nanoplatelets determined from both TEM and GISAXS analyses are equivalent. The slight differences in the thickness and lateral
Our results show that the process of diffusion of cobalt atoms from a cobalt-doped thin film into a Si(001) single crystalline wafer and the consequent formation of oriented CoSi$_2$ nanoplatelets—coherently related to the crystallographic lattice of the host Si single crystal with well defined thickness and lateral sizes—can be achieved and well controlled.

Since the described process can be reproducibly achieved, it can also be considered as an original and simple alternative for the production of nanostructured materials with relevant physical properties, over a large area, which may find useful applications for developments of nano-integrated devices.
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