Elucidating the Role of Injury-Induced Electric Fields (EFs) in Regulating the Astrocytic Response to Injury in the Mammalian Central Nervous System
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Abstract

Injury to the vertebrate central nervous system (CNS) induces astrocytes to change their morphology, to increase their rate of proliferation, and to display directional migration to the injury site, all to facilitate repair. These astrocytic responses to injury occur in a clear temporal sequence and, by their intensity and duration, can have both beneficial and detrimental effects on the repair of damaged CNS tissue. Studies on highly regenerative tissues in non-mammalian vertebrates have demonstrated that the intensity of direct-current extracellular electric fields (EFs) at the injury site, which are 50–100 fold greater than in uninjured tissue, represent a potent signal to drive tissue repair. In contrast, a 10-fold EF increase has been measured in many injured mammalian tissues where limited regeneration occurs. As the astrocytic response to CNS injury is crucial to the reparative outcome, we exposed purified rat cortical astrocytes to EF intensities associated with intact and injured mammalian tissues, as well as to those EF intensities measured in regenerating non-mammalian vertebrate tissues, to determine whether EFs may contribute to the astrocytic injury response. Astrocytes exposed to EF intensities associated with uninjured tissue showed little change in their cellular behavior. However, astrocytes exposed to EF intensities associated with injured tissue showed a dramatic increase in migration and proliferation. At EF intensities associated with regenerating non-mammalian vertebrate tissues, these cellular responses were even more robust and included morphological changes consistent with a regenerative phenotype. These findings suggest that endogenous EFs may be a crucial signal for regulating the astrocytic response to injury and that their manipulation may be a novel target for facilitating CNS repair.
Introduction

The regenerative potential of the vertebrate central nervous system (CNS) is, in large part, determined by the astrocytic response to the injury [1,2]. Common among all vertebrates studied, astrocytes begin migrating toward the lesion within hours of injury [3,4], and they proliferate beginning within 24 hours and peaking after 48 hours [4–10]. This initial response is necessary to reestablish the blood-brain barrier (BBB), and impairing either migration or proliferation allows the lesion to expand into the surrounding healthy tissue [11–15]. Subsequently, astrocytes in mammals decrease their rate of proliferation towards baseline levels within 72 hours of the lesion [5,6,8,15], they increase their expression of the intermediate filaments glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and vimentin over the first 1–5 days after the injury [5,10,13,16], and they release molecules that limit spontaneous axon sprouting and inhibit regeneration [1,17–21]. In contrast, astrocytes in non-mammalian vertebrates sustain an increased rate of proliferation for over a week post injury [7,22], they decrease their expression of GFAP relative to astrocytes in the uninjured CNS and instead increase their expression of nestin [7], they migrate into the injury site and form a cellular bridge across the lesion [4,23,24], and they assume a bipolar morphology with highly-aligned cellular processes that guide sprouting axons and facilitate robust regeneration [4,25]. The fact that the initial astrocytic response to injury is highly conserved among vertebrates suggests that the stimulus initiating this response may be similarly conserved, but that this stimulus does not reach the threshold in mammals that is necessary to sustain those astrocytic behaviors that facilitate robust regeneration in non-mammalian vertebrates [2]. If this is the case, this stimulus would be an ideal therapeutic target to modify the mammalian astrocytic response towards that seen in successfully regenerating animals and thus enhance regeneration in the mammalian CNS.

Direct-current extracellular electric fields (EFs), which are voltage gradients within tissues produced by spatial variations in epithelial cell ion pump activity [26–30], may be the stimulus that directs astrocyte behavior after injury in the vertebrate CNS. EFs have been shown to have an intensity-dependent effect that directly induces cellular behaviors—including migration [31–37], proliferation [38–42], differentiation [33,43,44], and morphology [37,45–49]—among a variety of ectodermally- and mesodermally-derived cell types [26–28,50]. EFs, which are typically less than 10 mV/mm in intact tissues [51–53], increase substantially upon injury. In non-mammalian vertebrates, a 50- to 100-fold increase in EFs has been measured upon injury in the skin [54–56], bone [57], cornea [58,59], lens [60–62], spinal cord [63], tail [64,65], and limb [66–71], and this EF increase has been shown to be both necessary and sufficient to induce regeneration [66,72–83]. In mammals, EFs only increase approximately 10-fold upon injury—including in the skin [29,52,53,84,85], respiratory epithelium [86], cornea [30,40,59], lens [87,88], bone [57,89,90], and finger amputation [91]—where injury resolution occurs by scar formation. Interestingly, increasing the EF intensity towards levels found in non-mammalian vertebrates promotes regeneration in these mammalian tissues [40,80,92–94]. Within the mammalian CNS, EFs of 3.5–5.0 mV/mm have been recorded ex vivo in the rostral migratory stream [51], slice culture induces a 10-fold EF increase to 31.8 ± 4.5 mV/mm in the subventricular zone [95], and current density—which is directly proportional to the EF [96]—increases 10-fold upon spinal cord injury [97,98]. If endogenous EFs in the CNS have an intensity-dependent effect on regeneration that is analogous to their established role in peripheral tissues in both mammalian and non-mammalian vertebrates [99–102], then EFs may be the stimulus that regulates the astrocytic response to injury and therefore determines the regenerative potential of the CNS.

EF intensities associated with regenerating tissues have been shown to promote a regenerative phenotype in neurons by enhancing neurite outgrowth in vitro and axon sprouting in vivo.
but the physiologic relevance of this neuronal response is unknown as astrocytes determine whether these sprouting axons can regenerate past a lesion site. Previous research by our lab and others has demonstrated that EF intensities associated with regenerating tissues induce process alignment in mammalian astrocytes similar to that facilitating regeneration in non-mammalian vertebrates [112,115,116]. Moreover, these EF-exposed astrocytes facilitate robust neurite outgrowth [115]. However, as these studies were limited in the EF intensities evaluated, the exposure times tested, and the cell behaviors observed, it remains unclear to what extent EFs can modulate mammalian astrocyte behavior. In the current study, we tested the hypotheses that physiologic EFs produced by the injured mammalian CNS are sufficient to induce behaviors associated with the astrocytic injury response, and that increasing these EFs to levels found in regenerating non-mammalian vertebrates will modify the behavioral response towards one associated with a regenerative phenotype in vivo. Our findings suggest that injury-induced EFs are an important stimulus for the astrocytic response to injury, and that the EF intensity determines whether the induced behaviors reflect a phenotype associated with glial scar formation or with regeneration. Thus, EFs may represent a novel target to enhance the regenerative potential in the mammalian CNS.

**Methods**

**Cell Source and Culture Methods**

Rat cortical astrocytes harvested from P2 cerebral cortex were purchased from ScienCell (cat # R1800). Cultures have greater than 99% purity as determined with GFAP immunolabeling by ScienCell. All of the astrocytes used for these experiments came from the first five passages after the initial thaw. Astrocyte cultures were maintained according to the protocol recommended by ScienCell. Briefly, astrocytes were thawed into poly-L-lysine (ScienCell # 0413) coated T75 culture flasks containing astrocyte media (pH 7.4; ScienCell AM-a 1831) supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum (ScienCell # 0010) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (ScienCell # 0503). Cultures were maintained in a humidified 37°C incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere, and culture media was changed every 2–3 days. Once the cultures reached confluence, approximately 5,000 astrocytes were sub-cultured into each EF chamber (see description below) for migration, proliferation, and morphology experiments (Fig 1 illustrates the time points used for each of the behavioral assays). For proliferation assays, 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU; Invitrogen, cat # 00–0103 diluted 1:100 in astrocyte media) was added to the culture for the last 6 hours of the EF exposure. Each experiment was replicated at least three times.

![Flow chart outlining study methodology](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0142740.g001)
using cortical astrocytes derived from different animals (different lot numbers of astrocytes were purchased from ScienCell), with all cells used in a given experiment being sister cultures derived from the same passage.

Electric Field Chamber Design and Application

Electric field chambers were constructed in a similar manner to those described by Babona-Filipos et al (2012) and Song et al (2007) [82,117], with some modifications as described below (Fig 2). EF chambers were constructed using 50 x 7 mm glass-bottom petri dishes (Ted Pella, #14027)
Acid-washed 22 mm x 22 mm—1.5 glass coverslips (average thickness 0.17 mm) were cut into two equal rectangles (11 mm x 22 mm) using a diamond knife. These coverslips were adhered to the bottom of the petri dish with hot dental wax to create a 10 mm x 22 mm x 0.17 mm central chamber. EF chambers were then sterilized under a UV light for at least 30 minutes, coated with fibronectin (ScienCell # 8248) for 30 minutes (10 μg/mL in 0.1M phosphate-buffered saline, PBS), rinsed twice with deionized water, and then allowed to dry. 5000 astrocytes were seeded onto these chambers and allowed to adhere to the dish overnight (at least 16 hours). At the start of the experiment, a 22 mm x 22 mm—1.5 glass coverslip was used to create a roof for the EF chamber by using sterilized silicone vacuum grease (Dow corning # 1966898–0712) to seal it to the cut coverslip spacers on either side of the cell culture lane. Double-sided tape placed over the coverslip roof on either end of the lane created wells for additional culture media; the junction between the double-sided tape and the edges of the petri dish were made water-tight by sealing the gaps with additional silicone vacuum grease to prevent any media from leaking around the barriers. It is important that a good seal is maintained so that the only aqueous connection between the wells on either end of the culture dish is through the central trough containing the cells; otherwise, the applied current may leak around the area where the cells are cultured, which would cause the actual applied electric field to be less than the calculated EF.

Constant-current EFs were applied to the cells by connecting the EF chamber to a power supply; the position of the anode and cathode determine the orientation of the electric field and are indicated in figures as either A/C or +/-, respectively. Two constant current power supplies were used, including Bio-Rad Power Packs 1000, and Stoelting Precision Current Source 51413, in order to provide the full range of current needed for these experiments. The power-packs were connected to Ag/AgCl electrodes (made by washing silver wire, Alfa Aesar 45852, in an HCl/HNO₃ solution for 15 seconds and then rinsing it in dH₂O), which were immersed in 50 mL flasks containing Steinberg Solution (54 mM NaCl, 0.7 mM KCl, 1.6 mM MgSO₄, 0.4 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 1.4 mM Tris); these were connected to the EF chambers through salt bridges made from a 2% agarose solution suspended in 2 mL plastic pipets that were bent into a U shape. For EF experiments lasting longer than 24 hours, culture media and salt bridges were completely replaced every 24 hours.

The magnitude of the electric field strength (E) (units: millivolts per millimeter, mV/mm) is calculated according to the formula $E = \rho \frac{I}{A}$, where the resistivity of the media ($\rho$) was measured as 700 Ωmm, the applied current ($I$) is specified by the power supply, and the cross-sectional area of the EF chamber ($A$) is calculated in mm² (as a function of the thickness of the coverslip spacers ($h$) and the width of the cell culture chamber as defined by the distance between the spacers ($w$); Fig 2B). EF strength is controlled by specifying the applied current and by changing the cross-sectional area of the cell culture chamber (by using spacers of different thickness, or by changing the width of the cell culture chamber). We applied an EF of 0, 4, 40, or 400 mV/mm throughout the entire experiment by delivering a constant current of either 0, 10, 100, or 1000 μA. Ammeters in series with the EF chambers were used to monitor the value of the applied current throughout the experiments.

**Time-lapse imaging**

Electric field chambers were placed on a Zeiss AxioObserver Z1 inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena Germany) equipped with a fully automated and programmable Mährhäuser scanning stage, an Axiocam MRm camera, and a stage incubator system that regulates temperature, oxygen, and carbon dioxide throughout the experiment. The cell culture chamber was placed on the stage and the incubation chamber was maintained with a humidified 5% CO₂ environment at 37°C. A 20x 0.8 NA Plan-Apochromat objective lens was used to acquire images with
differential interference contrast (DIC) optics every 3 minutes for the duration of the experiment, and these images were subsequently stitched together into time-lapse videos. Image acquisition was automated using the Zen Blue (2012, version 1.1.2.0) software package. We began imaging the first time-lapse video approximately 16 hours after the cells were seeded into the EF chambers. Cells were imaged for at least 30 minutes prior to the start of the EF exposure to establish baseline cellular behavior, and then for at least 12 hours after EF onset. As only one dish could be imaged at a time and each experiment ran for 15 hours, the last group that was imaged had been growing in the EF chamber for up to 36 hours longer than the first culture had been. To control for potential sequence effects (i.e. cells changing their responsiveness to EF exposure as a function of the length of time that has elapsed since they were subcultured into the EF chamber), we specified a different order of exposure to each of the EF strengths between experiments. We also directly tested whether the delay between sub-culturing the cells and beginning the EF exposure had any effect on the cellular response to the EF by exposing sister cultures to 40 mV/mm for 12 hours beginning either 16 or 48 hours after sub-culturing into the EF chamber. We found no evidence to suggest that this delay affected the response to the EF exposure, so data were pooled across experiments for the analysis.

Migration Analysis

To analyze astrocyte migration, time-lapse videos were imported into ImageJ and analyzed using the plugin MTrackJ [118]. To track each cell, the point corresponding to the center of each cell’s nucleus was manually selected in every 5th frame (15 minutes) throughout the 12-hour experiment, and these positions were used to calculate the magnitude of the velocity (i.e. speed) and the direction of migration at each 15-minute interval. At least 8 representative fields of view were acquired for each experiment, and 4–7 cells with representative morphologies were selected from each field of view and tracked every 15 minutes; when a cell moved out of the field of view, we began to track a new cell at the second-to-last time point before the first cell moved out of the field of view. Thus, a minimum of 30 cells were tracked for each time point for each experiment (thus allowing the use of parametric statistical inference regardless of potential skew of the population distributions for cell speed), resulting in an overall \( n \geq 90 \) cells for each EF strength at each time point once data for all 3 experiments were pooled. All data on cell tracking produced by MTrackJ for the migration analysis were compiled in Microsoft Excel 2011, saved as comma separated values files, and then imported into the statistical program R [119]. All data analyses were performed using R (including the packages Circular [120], Ggplot2 [121], Pastecs [122], Reshape [123], and Multcomp [124]), with RStudio [125].

The vector representing each cell’s velocity was broken down into the speed and direction components, and each component was analyzed individually. Mean cell speed was compared at each time point for statistical significance using a 1-way ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc tests, with an overall threshold for significance at each time point of \( p = 0.05 \). The ability of each EF to induce directional cell movement was assessed at each time point using Rayleigh’s test, using a \( p \)-value = .05 with a Bonferroni correction for the number of comparisons (196 comparisons: 4 EF levels; 49 time points). For those EF strengths and time points where there was directional migration we measured the mean direction of alignment (\( \mu \pm \text{SEM} \)) and the dispersion of direction about the mean angle with the concentration parameter (\( \kappa \pm \text{SEM} \)). The precision of migration was compared at each time point between EF-exposure groups that demonstrated directional migration using the equal kappa test, which evaluates the null hypothesis that the concentration parameter is equal for each of the two groups against the alternative hypothesis that the concentration parameters differ between the two groups using an overall threshold for significance at each time point of \( p = 0.05 \).
Analysis of Electric Field Effects on the Orientation of the Axis of Cell Division

To determine whether the EF exposure aligned the axis of cell division, each mitotic event in the time-lapse live cell videos was identified. The orientation of the axis of cell division was measured by drawing a line between the center of each of the daughter nuclei in the first frame where the two daughter nuclei are distinctly identifiable. Image analysis was completed using the program Fiji [126]. The angle of this line relative to the axis of the EF was measured. Alignment of the mitotic axis was determined for each EF strength using a Rayleigh’s test, with \( p < 0.01 \) as the threshold for determining significance (\( p < 0.01 \) was chosen as a conservative adjustment for multiple comparisons based on a nominal \( p < 0.05 \) for 4 different groups). If the sample showed statistically significant alignment, the mean angle, concentration parameter (\( \kappa \)), and angular standard deviation are reported.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells from each independently-derived population were immunolabeled for GFAP, vimentin, and nestin to determine the purity and maturational state of the astrocyte population. To assess EF-induced effects on astrocyte morphology and hypertrophy, astrocytes were exposed to an EF of either 0, 4, 40, or 400 mV/mm for either 12 or 72 hours. For immunolabeling astrocytes after EF exposure, cells were rinsed with ice-cold 0.1M PBS (pH 7.4) and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M PBS for at least 12 hours. Cells were washed 3 times with 0.1M PBS, blocked and permeabilized (4% normal goat serum, 0.5% bovine serum albumin, and 1% Triton X100 in 0.1M PBS) for 30 minutes at 25°C, and then incubated with the primary antibodies diluted in this blocking solution either for 2 hours at 25°C or overnight at 4°C. Cells were then washed 3 times with 0.1M PBS, incubated with fluorescent-tagged secondary antibodies (diluted in 0.1M PBS) for 2 hours, counter-stained with DAPI (NucBlue Fixed Cell ReadyProbes kit, diluted per manufacturer’s instruction; Molecular Probes # R37606), and mounted under glass coverslips with Vectashield (Vector Labs # H-1000). For cells immunolabeled for BrdU, an additional acid wash series was used to expose the BrdU for antibody binding prior to the start of the immunolabeling protocol: cell DNA was denatured for 10 minutes in 1N HCl on ice, 10 minutes in 2N HCl at 25°C, and 20 minutes in 2N HCl at 37°C, and then neutralized with 0.1M borate buffer for 10 minutes at 25°C. Primary antibodies used in the immunocytochemistry studies included the following: mouse IgG1k anti-BrdU (1:1,000; Dako # M0744), polyclonal rabbit anti-GFAP (1:5,000; Dako # Z0334), polyclonal chicken IgY anti-vimentin (1:1,000; Millipore # AB5733), mouse IgG1 anti-nestin (1:1,000; clone rat-401, Millipore # MAB353). Secondary antibodies used were Goat IgG anti-rabbit Alexa-488 (Molecular Probes # A-11008), Goat IgG anti-chicken IgG Alexa-568 (compatible with chicken IgY primary antibody; Molecular Probes # A-11041), and Goat IgG anti-mouse Alexa-647 (Molecular Probes # A-21236). All secondary antibodies were diluted at 1:200. All cells except those stained for BrdU were counterstained with DAPI NucBlue Fixed Cell Stain (Molecular Probes, # R37606).

Confocal Microscopy

Immunolabeled cells were imaged by laser-scanning confocal microscopy (LSM-710, Zeiss, Jena, Germany) configured around an AxioObserver 21 (inverted) stand with a motorized XY stage. Image acquisition was performed using the Zen Black edition (Carl Zeiss, 2011; 64 bit, version 8.1.5.484) software package. 16-bit images were acquired with a 20x/0.8 NA plan apochromat objective lens, with a pixel dwell time of 0.99 μsec and a pixel size of 0.13 μm². Images were acquired using 4x line averaging, with simultaneous scanning of the 405 Diode and 633
HeNe lasers, and a sequential scan for the 488 Argon and 561 DPSS lasers. The 488 laser line was also used to generate a transmitted light DIC image. At least 5 fields of view (424.84 μm²) were acquired for each condition (EF strength x time), and each experiment was repeated at least 3 times. Detector windows for each channel were adjusted to assure no cross talk between channels as follows: 405 nm (410–483 nm), 488 nm (492–560 nm), 561 nm (580–629 nm), and 633 nm (637–735 nm).

Image Analysis

Images were imported into Fiji (an ImageJ distribution built for the Life Sciences; http://fiji.sc/Fiji) [126] for quantifying cellular and nuclear morphology. To assess alignment of cell processes, gray-scale images of Vimentin expression were analyzed using the 2D Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm and Oval Profile plugin (authored by Bill O’Connell, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/plugins/oval-profile.html) as described previously [127–129]. The 2D FFT produces an image that is the graphical representation of the spatial frequencies of the original images, which is related to directionality. With the Oval Profile Plugin, the radial summation of pixel intensities is used to determine whether these pixels are randomly distributed around the axis (i.e. are unaligned), or show clustering around a particular orientation (i.e. demonstrate alignment). The pixel intensities (in arbitrary greyscale units) are normalized for each image by dividing the value at each angle measure by the minimum radial pixel intensity sum for that image and then subtracting 1). Normalized pixel intensities for each angle measure in the oval profile are averaged across all images acquired from each group, and then those averaged values were normalized again. A graphical representation of orientation in the original image is obtained by plotting the summed pixel intensities between 0° and 180° (the directionality information is axial and does not distinguish between objects pointing in opposite directions; the data were plotted from 0° to 360° because double-plotting the data helped aid in visualizing directionality). It should be noted that the FFT image was first rotated 90° counterclockwise because the results of the FFT yields frequencies orthogonal to those in the original image. In our experiments, this rotation also defines the direction of the electric field application along the 0–180° axis (horizontal).

Fluorescence Microscopy and Proliferation Assay

Astrocytes were exposed to an electric field of either 0, 4, 40, or 400 mV/mm for either 12, 24, 48, or 72 hours. BrdU was added to the culture media for the last 6 hours of EF exposure. At the end of the experiment, the cell cultures were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and then immunolabeled for BrdU. Digital images of BrdU-immunolabeled cells were acquired with a 25x/0.8 NA Plan-Neofluar objective lens using DIC optics and a GFP filter cube (filter set FS 38HE, Zeiss, Jena Germany) using a Zeiss Axiovert 200 inverted microscope (Zeiss, Jena Germany) equipped with a Hamamatsu ORCA ER CCD camera, Colibri LED illumination unit (blue, green, red), and a white light LED. Image acquisition was performed using the Zeiss Axiovision (version 4.8.2 sp1) software package. At least 20 fields of view were randomly acquired for each slide, allowing at least 1,000 cells to be counted for each group. The number of BrdU-positive or negative cells were counted using the Cell Counter plugin for Fiji (authored by Kurt De Vos, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/plugins/cell-counter.html). For each experiment, we compared our hypothesis that EFs induce proliferation at each time point against the null hypothesis that they have no effect on proliferation using a test of homogeneity of proportions; if a significant effect was detected, we then performed individual X² tests between EF strengths to determine which specific groups were different. Results from individual experiments were used to develop a sense of trends of how EFs affect proliferation over time. To evaluate the
effects that EF exposure has on proliferation at each time point, we compiled the percentage of BrdU-positive cells from each of the individual experiments and compared these percentages between EF exposures using a Kruskal-Wallis test ($p < 0.05$ at each time point) with nonparametric comparisons between each EF exposure and the 0 mV/mm control at each time point using the Dunn Method for Joint Ranking.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data analyses and graphing were performed using R (including packages Circular, Ggplot2, Pastecs, Reshape, and Multcomp) [119–124], with RStudio [125]. Directional data were evaluated using the Rayleigh test, which tests the research hypothesis of non-random directionality against a null hypothesis of random directionality based on the test statistic of the mean resultant vector ($R$). Circular statistics, including the circular mean direction ($\mu$), circular standard deviation, and concentration parameter ($\kappa$), were calculated using the R package Circular [120]. For all experiments, the nominal threshold for significance was set at $^*p < 0.05$, unless otherwise noted. Unless otherwise noted, data are reported as mean ± SEM. All figures were prepared using the ImageJ plugin FigureJ [130].

**Results**

**Characterizing the astrocytic population**

The cortical astrocytes (rat primary cultures) used in these experiments were purified populations (>99%) as verified by the provider (ScienCell), with GFAP staining. Immunofluorescence labeling against GFAP, vimentin, and nestin, as well as morphological characteristics as visualized with DIC microscopy, were used to evaluate the purity and maturation of these astrocytes before each experiment (Fig 3). These cultured astrocytes expressed GFAP at varying levels of intensity, while vimentin and nestin were more consistently expressed in all cells. Morphologically, the astrocytic population is heterogeneous, consisting of both lamellipodial and process-bearing cells. Together, this confirmed that >99% of the cells were astrocytes at varying degrees of maturation.

**Elevated electric fields affect the speed of astrocyte migration**

To test our overall hypothesis that electric fields are capable of directing the astrocytic response to injury, we explored how EF exposure affects each of the characteristic behaviors that astrocytes display after injury in both mammalian and non-mammalian vertebrates. The first of these behaviors is migration, as astrocytes must move towards the lesion as they are recruited.

---

**Fig 3. Characterizing the astrocyte population.** Representative confocal images used to characterize the astrocyte population based on immunolabeling. (A) GFAP, (B) vimentin, (C) nestin, and (D) an overlay. Scale bar = 20 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142740.g003
to restore BBB integrity and isolate the lesion environment from the surrounding healthy tissue. To assess the extent to which EFs influence astrocyte migration, time-lapse DIC live cell microscopy was used to record the astrocytic response to direct current electric field exposure over a 12–15 hour period (S1–S4 Videos). The migration of astrocytes following exposure to EF intensities associated with intact (4 mV/mm), injured mammalian (40 mV/mm), and injured non-mammalian vertebrate tissues (400 mV/mm) were compared to an untreated control (0 mV/mm). No evidence of cell death was found during these experiments as a function of either EF exposure or of phototoxicity from repeated exposure to light.

Time-lapse videos show that, in the absence of any EF (S1 Video), astrocytes displayed heterogeneous morphologies (bipolar, stellate and lamellipodial) and displayed non-directional movement. Cells exposed to 4 mV/mm (S2 Video) showed similar morphologies but displayed reduced movement as compared to astrocytes cultured in the absence of any EF. In contrast, time-lapse videos showed that cells exposed to 40 mV/mm (S3 Video) or 400 mV/mm (S4 Video) responded rapidly to the EF exposure, with the entire cell population migrating towards the anode of the EF within the first hour of the EF exposure.

To qualitatively evaluate the EF-induced effect on migration, the paths of migration over the first six hours of EF exposure were plotted for individual astrocytes relative to the orientation of the EF (Fig 4). An analysis of the mean speeds for astrocytes exposed to each of the EF intensities showed that the mean speed of cells in the control group (0 mV/mm) did not change over time, while there were different effects on speed for each of the EF exposures (Fig 5A). Differences in cell speeds were compared among all EF exposure groups at each time point using a 1-factor ANOVA with a Tukey-HSD post-hoc test (Fig 5B graphs this analysis for cells at the start of the experiment, and after 30 minutes and 4 hours of EF exposure). We found that the mean cell speed was equivalent among all groups prior to the EF onset (1-factor ANOVA: $F_{(3, 471)} = 1.54, p = 0.20$; mean speed $\pm$ SEM: 0 mV/mm: 13.6 $\pm$ 0.99 $\mu$m/hr; 4 mV/mm: 14.4 $\pm$ 1.08 $\mu$m/hr; 40 mV/mm: 16.5 $\pm$ 1.19 $\mu$m/hr; 400 mV/mm: 15.2 $\pm$ 0.95 $\mu$m/hr; Fig 5B, left panel). However, astrocytes exposed to 40 and 400 mV/mm displayed a rapid increase in migration speed within 30 minutes of EF exposure as compared to astrocytes exposed to 0 or 4 mV/mm (1-factor ANOVA: $F_{(3, 474)} = 10.5, p = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$; mean speed $\pm$ SEM: 0 mV/mm: 13.0 $\pm$ 1.07 $\mu$m/hr; 4 mV/mm: 13.5 $\pm$ 1.07 $\mu$m/hr; 40 mV/mm: 22.3 $\pm$ 1.68 $\mu$m/hr; 400 mV/mm: 21.5 $\pm$ 1.59 $\mu$m/hr; Fig 5B, center panel). Interestingly, astrocytes exposed to 40 mV/mm sustained this increased speed for only one hour and returned to the same speed as astrocytes exposed to 0 mV/mm 1.75 hours after the EF onset. In contrast, astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm...
mm sustained an increased speed for over 4 hours, after which time it decreased towards base-
line and maintained an elevation that hovered between statistically significant and a non-sig-
ificent trend. Astrocytes exposed to 4 mV/mm did not show an initial change in migration
speed upon EF exposure, but the mean speed decreased relative to cells exposed to 0 mV/mm
beginning 3.5 hours after the EF onset. EF-induced changes in migrational speed became stable
after 4 hours (1-factor ANOVA: \( F(3, 483) = 11.8, p = 1.8 \times 10^{-7} \); mean speed ± SEM: 0 mV/mm:
16.2 ± 1.29 \( \mu \)m/hr; 4 mV/mm: 11.0 ± 0.72 \( \mu \)m/hr; 40 mV/mm: 15.2 ± 1.13 \( \mu \)m/hr; 400 mV/
mm: 20.5 ± 1.46 \( \mu \)m/hr; Fig 5B, right panel) and persisted for the remainder of the experiment.

Thus, EF intensities comparable to those present in intact tissue induced cortical astrocytes to

---

**Fig 5. Electric field exposure affects astrocyte migration speed.** (A) Astrocyte migration speed is plotted
over time, with mean speed measured every 15 minutes for 12 hours. (B) Effects of EF exposure on speed
were assessed at each time point and 3 representative time points are shown corresponding to the start of
the experiment (0 hours), and 30 minutes and 4 hours after EF onset. Mean speed was compared between
EF strengths at each time point with a one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey-HSD post hoc comparison. All
data are expressed as mean ± SEM. \# p = 0.0509; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142740.g005
decrease their speed, whereas EF intensities comparable to those present in injured mammalian tissue initiated a rapid increased speed of migration by these cells. This migrational response was more pronounced and sustained in astrocytes exposed to EF intensities associated with regenerating tissues in non-mammalian vertebrates.

As the migrational assays were done sequentially, it was necessary to assess whether the time interval between when the cells were sub-cultured in the EF chamber and when EF exposure began had any effect on the cellular responsiveness to the electric field. Consequently, sister cultures were exposed to 40 mV/mm for 12 hours, beginning either 16 or 48 hours after the cells were sub-cultured into the EF chamber and migration speed assessed. No difference in the mean migration speed between these groups was found (S1 Fig), indicating that the sequence in which groups were exposed to each EF within an experiment does not serve as a confounding variable in these studies.

Electric fields are a directional cue for astrocyte migration

Having demonstrated that EF exposure alters cell speed in an intensity- and time-dependent manner, we assessed to extent to which EFs also serve as an orientational cue by causing directional migration. Directionality was assessed for each EF intensity at each time point using Rayleigh’s test (which tests the hypothesis of a non-random direction about a circle against the null hypothesis of a random direction), using an overall $p$-value $= 0.05$ with a Bonferroni correction for the total number of factor levels analyzed (196 comparisons: 4 EF levels at each of 49 time points). No directional migration was detected by astrocytes exposed to EF intensities of either 0 or 4 mV/mm at any time point (Fig 6). In contrast, astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm displayed anodally-directed migration within only 30 minutes of exposure (400 mV/mm 30 minutes after EF onset: $R_{106} = 0.317$, $p = 2.13 \times 10^{-5}$; $\mu = 63.5 \pm 12.0^\circ$, $\kappa = 0.669 \pm 0.148$); after 1 hour of exposure, astrocytes continued to migrate towards the anode ($R_{106} = 0.623$, $p = 9.76 \times 10^{-19}$; $\mu = 71.1 \pm 5.55^\circ$, $\kappa = 1.6 \pm 0.203$) with greater precision (i.e. less dispersion) than they had been migrating with after only 30 minutes (equal kappa test: $X^2 = 13.9$, $p = 1.94 \times 10^{-4}$). Astrocytes exposed to 40 mV/mm also displayed anodally-directed migration, but it
did not emerge until 1 hour after EF onset (40 mV/mm 1 hour after EF onset: $R_{156} = 0.232$, $p = 2.21 \times 10^{-4}; \mu = 85.2 \pm 13.8^\circ, \kappa = 0.478 \pm 0.118$) (Fig 6). Once it emerged, directional migration continued throughout the remainder of the recording period. Moreover, astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm moved with greater precision towards the anode as compared to astrocytes exposed to 40 mV/mm as demonstrated by a larger concentration parameter ($\kappa$) after 1 hour of EF onset (equal kappa test: $X^2 = 27.4, p = 1.64 \times 10^{-7}$). This difference in the precision of directional migration persisted at 2 hours (40 mV/mm: $\kappa = 0.477 \pm 0.119$; 400 mV/mm: $\kappa = 1.75 \pm 0.214$; equal kappa test: $X^2 = 33.8, p = 1.28 \times 10^{-9}$), at 3 hours (40 mV/mm: $\kappa = 0.551 \pm 0.117$; 400 mV/mm: $\kappa = 1.35 \pm 0.183$; equal kappa test: $X^2 = 14.7, p = 1.28 \times 10^{-4}$), after 4 hours (40 mV/mm: $\kappa = 0.889 \pm 0.129$; 400 mV/mm: $\kappa = 1.8 \pm 0.22$; equal kappa test: $X^2 = 14.2, p = 1.62 \times 10^{-4}$), and thereafter throughout the duration of the experiment.

As EFs rapidly induced directional migration by cortical astrocytes, we next set out to determine whether the cells remained sensitive to changes in the extracellular EF orientation. This was tested by exposing cells to 400 mV/mm for 6 hours, and then reversing the polarity of the EF exposure for another 6 hours (Fig 6, panel 400(R) mV/mm). We found that, upon reversing the direction of the EF, cells stop moving towards the position that used to be the anode within 15 minutes, and reestablished directional migration towards the new anode position within 2 hours. This 2-hour loss of directionality occurred while the cells were reorienting to the new direction of the imposed EF, during which time half of the population turned clockwise and the other half turned counter-clockwise (as indicated by the phase-shift in the directionality data from hours 6–8; Fig 6, panel 400(R) mV/mm). Together, these results indicate that the cells are capable of detecting the external EF and move towards the anode, with the strength of the EF affecting the directionality and speed of migration.

**Electric fields induce astrocyte proliferation**

After an injury in the mammalian CNS, astrocytes around the lesion site proliferate with a well-described time course that begins within 24 hours of the injury, peaks after 48 hours, and begins to decline by 72 hours [5,6,8,15]. This newly-proliferating population helps reestablish the damaged blood brain barrier [11,12,131], and serves to replenish some of the cells lost to injury. We tested the hypothesis that EFs associated with injured tissues (40, 400 mV/mm) may actually drive this proliferative response. Specifically, astrocytes were exposed to an EF of either 0, 4, 40, or 400 mV/mm for either 12, 24, 48, or 72 hours to determine whether EFs can induce astrocyte proliferation, and whether this effect mirrors the temporal profile of the proliferative response of astrocytes following injury in vivo. BrdU was added to the culture media for the last 6 hours of the EF exposure, and proliferating astrocytes were identified using BrdU immunocytochemistry (Fig 7A–7D). Cells were counted as either BrdU-positive or negative, and the percentage of BrdU-labeled astrocytes was calculated for each factor level (4 EF strengths x 4 time points) within each experiment. The percentage of BrdU-labeled astrocytes was compared among the EF-exposure groups within each time point using a Kruskal-Wallis test, with a Wilcoxon test for post-hoc comparisons between each EF exposure group and the 0 mV/mm control (Fig 7E).

At all 4 time points, 5–10% of cells exposed to 0 mV/mm were BrdU-positive, and there was no statistically significant difference in BrdU labeling of these cells over time (Kruskal-Wallis: $X^2_3 = 6.68, p = 0.828$). For all EF strengths, there was no change in the percentage of astrocytes labeled with BrdU after 12 hours’ exposure (Kruskal-Wallis: $X^2_3 = 0.7400, p = 0.8638$); there was still no change after 24 hours (Kruskal-Wallis: $X^2_3 = 4.4643, p = 0.2155$), although a trend towards increased proliferation began to emerge for astrocytes exposed to 40 and 400 mV/mm that was likely non-significant because of the conservative nature of the non-parametric
A statistical test was used. After 48 hours of astrocyte exposure to either 40 or 400 mV/mm EF, there was a statistically significant effect on proliferation (Kruskal-Wallis: $X^2_3 = 13.5526, p = 0.0036$), with a significant increase in astrocytes exposed to 40 mV/mm (Wilcoxon: $p = 0.0088$) and 400 mV/mm (Wilcoxon: $p = 0.0481$) relative to 0 mV/mm; in contrast, 4 mV/mm had no effect on proliferation ($p = 1.0000$). After 72 hours, there was still an observed increase in proliferation (Kruskal-Wallis: $X^2_3 = 13.0060, p = 0.0046$), but only cells exposed to 400 mV/mm remained elevated (Wilcoxon: $p = 0.0386$) relative to 0 mV/mm; 4, 40 mV/mm had no effect on proliferation ($p = 1.0000$; 40 mV/mm: $p = 0.1452$). Thus, EFs are capable of stimulating proliferation by astrocytes in an intensity- and time-dependent manner, and the time-course over which proliferation increases mirrors that observed for astrocytes at sites of injury to the CNS. EFs associated with injured mammalian tissues (i.e. 40 mV/mm) induce astrocyte proliferation in vitro that peaks at 48 hours and declines by 72 hours, consistent with the peak in astrocyte proliferation following cortical injury that has been reported in mammals in vivo. Moreover, EFs associated with
regenerating non-mammalian vertebrate tissues (i.e. 400 mV/mm) induce astrocyte proliferation in vitro at 48 hours that is sustained through 72 hours, consistent with the sustained increase in astrocyte proliferation throughout regeneration in non-mammalian vertebrates that has been reported in vivo [7,22]. Thus, EFs associated with injured mammalian tissues may contribute to the proliferative astrocytic response to injury, while EFs associated with regenerating non-mammalian vertebrates can modify astrocyte proliferative activity towards that associated with successfully regenerating animals.

One additional observation that was apparent in the time-lapse videos of astrocyte cultures exposed to 400 mV/mm EF is that the axis of division was related to the orientation of the EF vector. As the orientation of division is known to influence cellular activity and the subsequent fate of daughter cells in the developing neural tube during embryogenesis [132], we set out to determine the extent to which EF exposure influences the axis of division in mammalian astrocytes. Using the DIC time-lapse videos, mitotic cells were identified and the angle of the axis of division was measured relative to the EF vector by drawing a line between the two daughter nuclei in the first frame where they became distinctly visible (Fig 8A–8D). The distributions of these axes relative to the EF vector are plotted for each EF strength (Fig 8E). Each EF strength was evaluated for alignment with Rayleigh’s test, and we found that only 400 mV/mm induced alignment (n = 124, R = 0.3740, p = 2.93 x 10^{-8}), with a mean direction μ ± SEM = 82.1 ± 3.53°, κ = 2.71, and SD = 39.7° (the EF axis runs from 0° to 180°, with 90° being perpendicular to the EF vector). There was no significant alignment for cells exposed to 0 mV/mm (n = 176, R = 0.1127, p = 0.1071), 4 mV/mm (n = 186, R = 0.1071, p = 0.1183), or 40 mV/mm (n = 260, R = 0.0437, p = 0.6092).

**Electric fields alter astrocyte morphology**

Having shown that EFs can control behaviors that are necessary for the initial astrocytic recruitment to the injury response, we tested the hypothesis that EFs can also regulate the

![Electric field exposure aligns the axis of cell division](https://example.com/figure8)

**Fig 8. Electric field exposure aligns the axis of cell division.** (A-D) Mitotic cells (arrow, A) were identified in time-lapse DIC microscopy videos. The axis of division was defined for each cell by drawing a line through the center of each of the daughter nuclei in the first frame where they become distinctly visible (line, D) and measuring the angle of this axis relative to the applied EF (cathode (-) at the top of each image, anode (+) at the bottom of each image). The time (in minutes) of each image is provided to illustrate the duration of mitosis. Scale bar = 20 μm. (E) Frequency histograms representing the relative number of nuclei counted at each orientation relative to the anode (A) and cathode (C) (histogram bin width of 15°), with a density curve superimposed on each graph. Orientation of the mitotic axis is double-plotted along the x-axis relative to the anode (A) and cathode (C) to aid in observing the alignment of these data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142740.g008
hypertrophic and morphologic changes characteristic of the astrocytic response to injury in non-regenerating and regenerating animals, respectively. Following an injury, astrocytes in non-regenerating animals characteristically up-regulate the expression of the intermediate filament GFAP, relative to the intermediate filaments vimentin and nestin, while astrocytes in regenerating animals do not undergo this hypertrophic change [4,5,7,10,13,16]. As this response is delayed, we exposed astrocytes to 0, 4, 40, or 400 mV/mm for 72 hours, and then used immunofluorescence labeling for GFAP, vimentin, and nestin to determine whether EFs affect hypertrophy (Fig 9A–9P). We found that astrocytes exposed to 0 mV/mm, which is the unexposed control, expressed low levels of GFAP, with greater intensity of both vimentin and nestin. Astrocytes exposed to 4 mV/mm, which is an EF-intensity associated with uninjured tissues, had an expression pattern of intermediate filaments very similar to that for the unexposed controls. However, astrocytes exposed to 40 mV/mm displayed elevated levels of both GFAP and vimentin, suggesting that EFs associated with injured mammalian tissues caused robust hypertrophy. Interestingly, we found that GFAP and vimentin expression in astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm was unchanged compared to that observed for astrocytes exposed to 0 or 4 mV/mm. Thus, EFs associated with injured mammalian tissues are sufficient to induce a hypertrophic response characteristic of reactive gliosis, while 400 mV/mm induces no such change.

Having found that EF strengths associated with non-regenerating tissues induce cytoskeletal hypertrophy of astrocytes, we next set out to determine whether EFs associated with regeneration induce morphological changes in astrocytes consistent with their regenerative phenotype in vivo. Our group and others have shown that astrocytes align their processes perpendicularly to a 500 mV/mm applied EF within 24 hours, and we have previously shown that these EF-aligned astrocytes enhance the extent of neurite outgrowth compared to unaligned 0 mV/mm controls [112,115,116]. Our time-lapse videos from the migration studies indicate that EF exposure to 400 mV/mm induces astrocytes to transform into a bipolar morphology and to align their processes within the first 12 hours of EF exposure. In the current study, we exposed astrocytes to 0, 4, 40, or 400 mV/mm for either 12 or 72 hours and performed FFT analysis for alignment on vimentin immunolabeled images to determine how quickly alignment occurs, whether this alignment is consistent over time, and whether physiologic EFs found at the injury site in mammals affects process alignment (Fig 9Q–9T). Astrocytes exposed to 0, 4, or 40 mV/mm EF showed no change in their alignment at either 12 or 72 hours of exposure. However, astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm showed a robust alignment of their processes perpendicular to the electric field orientation within 12 hours (S2 Fig) and this alignment persisted through the 72 hour EF exposure (Fig 9T). These results demonstrate that only EF intensities associated with regenerating non-mammalian vertebrates induce dramatic changes in astrocyte morphology that mirror those demonstrated by astrocytes within the injury site, which facilitates regeneration in these animals following trauma.

Discussion

Physiologic electric fields have an intensity-dependent effect on wound repair [28,50,77,79,83,99,101,102,110]. A 50- to 100-fold EF increase in non-mammalian vertebrates induced by injury to the skin [54–56], bone [57], cornea [58,59], lens [60–62], spinal cord [63], tail [64,65], and limb [66–71] is necessary and sufficient for regeneration [66,72–83]. In contrast, EFs only increase 10-fold upon injury in mammalian skin [29,52,53,84,85], respiratory epithelium [86], cornea [30,40,59], lens [87,88], bone [57,89,90], and finger amputation [91] where wounds health through scar formation, but increasing these EFs towards levels found in regenerating animals induces a more robust regenerative response [40,79,80,92–94]. The
Fig 9. **EF effects on intermediate filament expression and morphology.** Representative confocal images of astrocytes after 72 hours’ exposure to 0, 4, 40, or 400 mV/mm. (A-D) DAPI-labeled nuclei, with an overlay indicating the orientation of the EF vector (+) and (-), corresponding to the anode and cathode, respectively. Immunolabeling for GFAP (E-H), vimentin (I-L), and nestin (M-P). (Q-T) FFT analysis of normalized pixel intensity from vimentin-labeled images (averaged over 6–8 images) plotted as a function of direction relative to the anode and cathode. The high peaks for astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm demonstrate that astrocytes preferentially align their processes perpendicularly to the EF vector, while the absence of peaks for astrocytes exposed to 0, 4, or 40 mV/mm demonstrates the random alignment of their processes. All FFT graphs are plotted with the same scale on the vertical axis; the horizontal axis indicates directionality relative to the anode (+) and cathode (-). Scale bar: 50 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142740.g009
mammalian CNS also produces EFs [51], which increase only 10-fold upon injury [95,97–99,101], and previous research has suggested that EFs associated with regenerating tissues in non-mammalian vertebrates may regulate CNS regeneration by influencing axon outgrowth [47,49,74,103–114], and neural progenitor cell migration and differentiation [33,117,133–136]. Although the astrocytic response to injury determines whether sprouting axons are capable of regenerating past a lesion site, the ability of EFs to regulate this astrocytic behavior has not been explored. We tested the hypotheses that physiologic EFs produced by the injured mammalian CNS are sufficient to induce behaviors associated with the astrocytic response to injury, and that increasing these EFs to levels found in regenerating non-mammalian vertebrates will modify the behavioral response towards one associated with a regenerative phenotype in vivo.

We evaluated how mammalian astrocytes respond to EF exposures within the ranges previously recorded in intact tissues (4 mV/mm) [51,52], injured mammalian tissues (40 mV/mm) [40,59], and regenerating non-mammalian vertebrate tissues (400 mV/mm) [54,63,67,73,137]. Specifically, we hypothesized that both of the injury-associated EFs would induce behaviors characteristic of the astrocytic response through which they restore the BBB integrity, but that only astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm would demonstrate a more robust, long-lasting behavioral response that is associated with successful regeneration.

Our results demonstrate that EFs are capable of inducing multiple behaviors associated with the astrocytic response to injury. Moreover, the EF intensity determines whether the induced behaviors reflect those associated with glial scar formation (i.e. cytoskeletal hypertrophy [1,5,13–15]) or regeneration (i.e. process alignment [4,25]). We found that the only behavioral effect induced by the lowest EF strength was a decrease in migrational speed; 4 mV/mm represents the EF within the intact adult CNS [51] where astrocytes are not migratory and are thought to remain in distinctly defined domains [16], so the decreased speed that this EF exposure induced in astrocytes suggests that these low level EFs may sustain a quiescent non-migratory state among astrocytes in vivo. In contrast, both 40 and 400 mV/mm induced anodally-directed migration within an hour of EF onset, but 400 mV/mm induced migration with significantly greater speed and a more precise directionality than that induced by 40 mV/mm. As previous literature suggests that the injury site in the mammalian cortex becomes the anode of the injury-induced EF [51,63,97,98], endogenous EFs may thus recruit astrocytes to respond to the injury by inducing their migration towards the lesion in vivo. We also found that EFs have an intensity-dependent effect on proliferation: both 40 mV/mm and 400 mV/mm induce a robust proliferative response that begins to emerge after 24 hours and peaks after 48 hours of exposure; at 72 hours, proliferation decreases towards baseline for astrocytes exposed to 40 mV/mm, but proliferation was sustained at an elevated rate for those exposed to 400 mV/mm. This proliferative response closely follows the temporal profile of astrocyte proliferation following an injury in vivo, which peaks two days after injury in both mammalian and non-mammalian vertebrates but is sustained at subsequent time points only in non-mammalian vertebrates [4–15]. Moreover, only EFs associated with regeneration induced morphological changes in astrocytes that mirror those that facilitate regeneration in non-mammalian vertebrates [4,7,25]; in contrast, astrocytes exposed to EFs associated with injury in mammals maintained a heterogeneous morphology and instead demonstrated cytoskeletal hypertrophy that is associated with glial scar formation and the failure of regeneration in vivo [1,5,13,15]. The physiologic relevance of EFs as the stimulus for the astrocytic response to injury is supported by our observations that these EF-induced behaviors emerged along the same time line as they emerge following an injury in vivo [4,6–8,10,14,15]. Thus, injury-induced EFs may be capable of, and responsible for, driving the astrocytic response to injury and determining the regenerative potential of the vertebrate CNS. Consequently, manipulating the EF intensity in the CNS may represent a therapeutic strategy to promote CNS regeneration.
Based on the criteria for causality as defined by Hill in 1965 [138], our hypothesized causal relationship between EFs and each of the astrocytic behaviors associated with the response to injury is independent of identifying the physiologic mechanisms underlying these effects. The scope of these experiments was thus intentionally limited to EF-induced astrocytic behaviors—migration, proliferation, hypertrophy, and process alignment—because identifying the underlying mechanisms is ancillary to first establishing that EFs regulate each of these behaviors. Having now established that EFs induce each of these behaviors, the plausibility of our hypothesis that EFs regulate the regenerative potential in the mammalian CNS through their influence over these behaviors will be supported by better understanding how astrocytes may transduce EFs.

The EF vector conveys information about both orientation and intensity, each of which can be transduced independently each of which cells may transduce independently through non-specific electrostatic interactions with their membrane proteins [31,32,39,50,77,102,139]. External EFs induce a proportional change in the activity of voltage-sensitive membrane proteins by affecting the cell’s resting membrane potential (V_m) [39,96,139,140]; although EFs may only have a small effect on V_m, small changes in V_m can have large changes in ion channel conductance and intracellular ion concentration because many of the ion channels that determine V_m are themselves affected by both pH and V_m and thus the relationship between V_m and the activity of voltage-sensitive membrane proteins is non-linear [39,141]. EFs also induce electroosmosis, the process through which electrostatic forces redistribute charged membrane proteins to either the anodal or cathodal side of the cell [42,48,135,142–145]; each protein’s net charge determines the side of the cell to which it redistributes and thus allows the cellular transduction of EF orientation, while the degree of membrane protein clustering is proportional to the intensity of the external EF [144,145].

Although the particular physiologic pathways through which astrocytes transduce EFs have not been explored, myriad pathways have been implicated in EF transduction in a variety of other cell types and the general trend is that EFs affect cellular behaviors through the same physiologic mechanisms through which these cells otherwise respond to chemical stimuli [27,28,32,135,146]. Directional migration such as we observed in astrocytes exposed to 40 and 400 mV/mm requires the directional extension of cellular processes; localized activation of Ca^{2+} second messenger cascades drives polarization of the Golgi apparatus and microtubule organizing center (MTOC) within the cytosol, facilitating assembly of actin and microtubules beneath the leading edge of the cell and the breakdown of these cytoskeletal elements at the trailing edge of the cell [36,147]. In hippocampal neurons, EFs induce MTOC and Golgi polarization, and thus directional migration, through the antagonistic second messengers phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) and phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN), which become activated at the leading and trailing edges of the cell, respectively [42,135,148]. In astrocytes, EF-induced migration may be mediated by membrane receptors for integrins [149] as well as the transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 [150], as each of these proteins mediates chemotaxis through Ca^{2+}-induced protein kinase C signaling at the leading edge of the cell [151–153]. EFs may also influence astrocyte proliferation by affecting V_m, which exerts a causal role in cell cycle regulation: hyperpolarization induces a reversible mitotic block, while depolarization induces DNA synthesis and mitosis in mature neurons [39,141,154–158]. In mammalian astrocytes, the voltage-gated sodium channel Na_1.5 is necessary for astrocyte migration and proliferation after injury: Na^+ conductance increases, which causes intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration to rise through a Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchanger and subsequently activates downstream second messenger cascades [159]. In Xenopus tadpoles, another voltage gated sodium channel, Na_1.2, is necessary to induce tail regeneration after amputation: increased intracellular Na^+ activates the salt-inducible kinase (SIK), which subsequently induces multiple pathways associated with
regeneration, including Notch; regeneration is lost during a refractory period when NaV1.2 expression concurrently declines, but transfecting human NaV1.5 rescues regeneration in these animals [160]. The transcriptome of mammalian astrocytes demonstrates that they also express SIK [161], so the same pathway by which EFs regulate regeneration in Xenopus is also conserved in astrocytes.

Our observation that astrocytes exposed EFs in the range associated with regenerating tissues (400 mV/mm) induced cells to divide with an orientation perpendicular to that of the applied EF further supports our hypothesis that EFs may regulate CNS regeneration. The absence of regeneration in the mammalian CNS has been attributed in part to the absence of astrocytic neurogenesis [31,32,162,163]; however, mammalian astrocytes retain a latent capacity for neurogenesis that is regulated by Notch signaling, and astrocytes can function as neural progenitor cells (NPCs) in the hippocampus of the adult mammalian CNS [164–170]. Immature astrocytes also function as NPCs in the embryonic neural tube, and the orientation of their mitotic axis relative to the ventricular surface is important for determining the maturational fate of their daughter cells [171]. EFs over 400 mV/mm affect mammalian hippocampal NPC differentiation [172] and EFs as large as 1800 mV/mm have been measured in the embryonic neural tube [173], so these endogenous EFs may contribute to progenitor differentiation during embryogenesis in part by directing the orientation of the mitotic axis. EFs have been shown to regulate Notch signaling through SIK [160], which is expressed in mammalian astrocytes [161], so EFs may regulate CNS regeneration in part through affecting this latent neurogenic program in astrocytes; therefore, the absence of robust regeneration in the mammalian CNS may be a result of the lower EFs induced by injury being insufficient to reach a threshold of NaV1.5 activation that is necessary to regulate Notch-mediated neurogenesis in vivo. Thus, the fact that only EFs associated with regeneration induce alignment of the mitotic axis in dividing astrocytes similar to that associated with progenitor cells and neurogenesis supports the hypothesis that these elevated EFs can stimulate a regenerative phenotype in mammalian astrocytes.

We have shown that electric fields within the physiologic ranges reported in injured mammalian tissues and in regenerating non-mammalian vertebrate tissues are able to elicit multiple behaviors in astrocytes that are necessary for their normal response to injury. Furthermore, EFs induce these behavioral changes along the same timeline over which astrocytes express these behaviors following injury in vivo. EFs are an ideal physiologic stimulus to drive tissue repair because they are induced immediately upon injury and remain elevated throughout wound healing [50,65]. The physiologic relevance of EFs to the injury response is further supported by our observations that the difference in responses induced by 40 mV/mm and 400 mV/mm closely correspond to the differences between astrocytic behaviors in the injured mammalian CNS and those in the regenerating non-mammalian vertebrate CNS. It has been previously established that elevated EFs promote a regenerative phenotype in neurons by stimulating axon sprouting, and our results expand on this picture by demonstrating that the EF intensity may also determine whether astrocytes inhibit or facilitate axon regeneration past the lesion site. As specific astrocytic behaviors are induced by specific EF strengths, and as behaviors associated with regeneration are induced only at EF strengths greater than those reported in injured mammalian tissues, regeneration in the mammalian CNS may be improved by therapeutically supplementing the physiological EFs produced at the injury site. Together, this suggests that therapeutically-applied EFs are a strong therapeutic candidate to promote regeneration in the mammalian CNS by inducing an astrocytic response more favorable to regeneration.
Supporting Information

S1 Video. Time-lapse video of cortical astrocytes exposed to 0 mV/mm for 12 hours. The time stamp in the top left corner indicates the hours and minutes since the onset of the electric field. The 30 minutes of time-lapse video prior to the onset of the electric field are indicated by negative time stamp (note, astrocytes exposed to 0 mV/mm were recorded for 12.5 hours; the first 30 minutes of this are indicated with a negative time stamp for consistency). The electric field was turned on at 00:00. Each image was taken 3 minutes apart. The anode (+) and cathode (-) of the applied EF are indicated at the bottom and top of the center of the image, respectively. Scale bar (bottom right): 20 μm.

S2 Video. Time-lapse video of cortical astrocytes exposed to 4 mV/mm for 12 hours. The time stamp in the top left corner indicates the hours and minutes since the onset of the electric field. The 30 minutes of time-lapse video prior to the onset of the electric field are indicated by negative time stamp (note, astrocytes exposed to 0 mV/mm were recorded for 12.5 hours; the first 30 minutes of this are indicated with a negative time stamp for consistency). The electric field was turned on at 00:00. Each image was taken 3 minutes apart. The anode (+) and cathode (-) of the applied EF are indicated at the bottom and top of the center of the image, respectively. Scale bar (bottom right): 20 μm.

S3 Video. Time-lapse video of cortical astrocytes exposed to 40 mV/mm for 12 hours. The time stamp in the top left corner indicates the hours and minutes since the onset of the electric field. The 30 minutes of time-lapse video prior to the onset of the electric field are indicated by negative time stamp (note, astrocytes exposed to 0 mV/mm were recorded for 12.5 hours; the first 30 minutes of this are indicated with a negative time stamp for consistency). The electric field was turned on at 00:00. Each image was taken 3 minutes apart. The anode (+) and cathode (-) of the applied EF are indicated at the bottom and top of the center of the image, respectively. Scale bar (bottom right): 20 μm.

S4 Video. Time-lapse video of cortical astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm for 12 hours. The time stamp in the top left corner indicates the hours and minutes since the onset of the electric field. The 30 minutes of time-lapse video prior to the onset of the electric field are indicated by negative time stamp (note, astrocytes exposed to 0 mV/mm were recorded for 12.5 hours; the first 30 minutes of this are indicated with a negative time stamp for consistency). The electric field was turned on at 00:00. Each image was taken 3 minutes apart. The anode (+) and cathode (-) of the applied EF are indicated at the bottom and top of the center of the image, respectively. Scale bar (bottom right): 20 μm.

S1 Fig. Analysis of sequence effects on astrocyte migration speed. Sister cultures of astrocytes were exposed to a 40 mV/mm EF for 12 hours, with the EF exposure beginning either 16 (labeled 40 mV/mm (1)) or 48 (labeled 40 mV/mm (2)) hours after the cells were sub-cultured. No difference in the mean migration speed between these groups was found, indicating that the sequence in which astrocytes are exposed to each EF within an experiment does not serve as a confounding variable in these studies. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM.

S2 Fig. FFT analysis of process alignment after 12 hours of EF exposure. FFT analysis of normalized pixel intensity from vimentin immunolabeled images (averaged over 6–8 images)
plotted as a function of direction relative to the anode and cathode. The high peaks for astrocytes exposed to 400 mV/mm demonstrate that astrocytes preferentially align their processes perpendicularly to the EF vector, while the absence of peaks for astrocytes exposed to 0, 4, or 40 mV/mm demonstrates that their processes are not aligned. All FFT graphs are plotted with the same scale in arbitrary units on the vertical axis; the horizontal axis indicates directionality relative to the anode and cathode.
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