A positive feedback loop between EBP2 and c-Myc regulates rDNA transcription, cell proliferation, and tumorigenesis
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The oncoprotein c-Myc is a key transcription factor with essential functions in the nucleus (NO) to regulate ribosomal RNA (rRNA) synthesis, ribosome biogenesis, and cell proliferation. Yet, the mechanism that regulates the distribution and function of nucleolar c-Myc is still not completely understood. In this study, we identified nucleolar protein ENBA1 binding protein 2 (EBP2) as a novel functional binding partner of c-Myc. We found that coexpression of EBP2 markedly relocalized c-Myc from the nucleus to the NO, whereas depletion of EBP2 reduced the nucleolar distribution of c-Myc. Further study indicated that EBP2 is a direct binding partner of c-Myc and can block the degradation of c-Myc in a FBW7 (F-box and WD repeat domain containing 7)-independent manner. Moreover, EBP2 is a transcriptional target of c-Myc. c-Myc can bind to the promoter of EBP2 and positively regulate the EBP2 expression. Both protein and mRNA levels of EBP2 are upregulated in lung cancer samples and positively correlated with c-Myc expression. Functionally, EBP2 promotes c-Myc-mediated rRNA synthesis and cell proliferation. Collectively, our study indicates that EBP2 is a novel binding partner of c-Myc that regulates the function of nucleolar c-Myc, cell proliferation, and tumorigenesis via a positive feedback loop.
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cell proliferation through c-Myc and identified that EBP2 may be a novel therapeutic target to cancers.

Results

Relocalization of c-Myc into the NO by EBP2. c-Myc is a key transcriptional factor with important functions in the nucleoli.\textsuperscript{2,8,9} Its stability and activity in the nucleoli are tightly controlled by its binding partners such as E3 ubiquitin ligase Fbw7\textsuperscript{g} and NPM.\textsuperscript{10,11} Recent study showed that EBP2 is a Fbw7\textsuperscript{g} pseudosubstrate and is essential for nucleolar localization of Fbw7\textsuperscript{g}.\textsuperscript{23} However, it is still unclear whether EBP2 affects the stability/function of c-Myc. To this end, we coexpressed HA-c-Myc with EBP2 in HeLa cells. Our data from an immunofluorescence assay showed that c-Myc is hardly detected in the NO when expressed alone (Figure 1a). Consistent with previous report,\textsuperscript{10} treatment with proteasome inhibitor MG132 resulted in the nucleolar localization of c-Myc in 17% cells (Figure 1a and Supplementary Figure S1A). Surprisingly, we found that when coexpressed with EBP2, c-Myc was translocated into the NO where it is well colocalized with EBP2 in 30% cells (Figure 1a). Moreover, treatment with MG132 further increased the nucleolar distribution of c-Myc. This result suggests that EBP2 may redistribute c-Myc from the nucleoplasm (NP) to the NO independent of protein degradation. To confirm this result, we used a density-gradient centrifugation-based cell fraction assay to separate the whole-cell extracts into the NO, NP, and cytoplasm. As shown in Figure 1b, the amount of c-Myc in the NO was significantly increased in the presence of EBP2. Conversely, knockdown of EBP2 markedly reduced the amount of endogenous c-Myc in the NO (Figure 1c).

Figure 1 EBP2 redistributed c-Myc into the nucleolus. (a) c-Myc was redistributed into the nucleolus when EBP2 was coexpressed. HA-c-Myc was transfected into HeLa cells with or without FLAG-EBP2. The cells were treated with or without MG132, and subcellular localization of c-Myc and EBP2 was analyzed using immunofluorescence assay. The ratio of cell with nucleolus localized c-Myc was measured (around 200 cells were counted for each panel). (b) Cell fractions of HEK293T cells transfected with empty vector or EBP2 were analyzed using western blotting. WCL, whole-cell lysate; NO, nucleolus; NP, nucleoplasm; CP, cytoplasm. Dilution: WCL:NO:NP:CP = 1:5:1:1. The relative levels of c-Myc were quantified using Image J. (c) Cell fractions of A549 cells depleted with EBP2 were analyzed using western blotting. Dilution: WCL:NO:NP:CP = 1:5:1:1. The relative levels of endogenous c-Myc were quantified using Image J. *P<0.05 was deemed statistically significant.
Collectively, these data suggest that EBP2 is a positive regulator of the nucleolar distribution of c-Myc.

c-Myc binds to EBP2 directly. Several studies indicated that the subcellular localization of c-Myc can be changed by its binding partner. Thus, we examined whether EBP2 is a binding partner of c-Myc. Our data from co-immunoprecipitation (IP) assay showed that c-Myc and EBP2 interacted with each other in the transfected cells (Figure 2a and Supplementary Figure S2A). Endogenous EBP2 was also able to be co-purified with endogenous c-Myc in A549 cell (Figure 2b). Previous study indicates that EBP2 binds to Fbw7 through a CPD motif in EBP2 N-terminus. To test the possibility that EBP2 binds to c-Myc is independent of Fbw7, we mutated the CPD of EBP2 (EBP2-T3A) and its interaction with c-Myc was examined. Our data showed that both wild-type and T3A mutant EBP2 efficiently bound to c-Myc (Figure 2c), suggesting that binding EBP2 to c-Myc is independent of Fbw7. Their direct interaction was also examined using a GST pull-down assay. Our data showed that purified c-Myc can associate with purified GST-EBP2 as well (Figure 2d). Taken together, these results indicate that EBP2 is a direct binding partner of c-Myc.

Next, we examined the molecular basis of their interaction. Our data from co-IP assay showed that the N-terminal but not C-terminal domain of EBP2 bound to c-Myc (Figure 2e). Interestingly, both N-terminal and C-terminal domains of c-Myc could bind to EBP2 (Figure 2f). This result was confirmed by the immunofluorescence assay showing that EBP2 could recruit the deletion mutants of c-Myc containing either N-terminus or C-terminus to the nucleoli (Supplementary Figure S2B). These data indicate that N-terminus of EBP2 binds to the multiple sites of c-Myc.

EBP2 stabilizes c-Myc independent of Fbw7. It has been reported that c-Myc is degraded in the nucleoli. As EBP2 can relocate c-Myc to the nucleoli, we thus measured whether EBP2 affects c-Myc stability. To this end, we co-expressed c-Myc with an increasing amount of EBP2 in HEK293T cells and the protein levels of c-Myc were detected. As shown in Figure 3a, the protein levels of c-Myc were increased on EBP2 coexpression. Moreover, coexpression with EBP2 prolonged the half-life of c-Myc when measured using a CHX-chase assay (Figure 3b). Conversely, the protein levels of c-Myc, but not β-catenin or IκB-α, were decreased on EBP2 knockdown by two specific
small interfering RNA (siRNA) in both A549 and H1299 cells (Figure 3c), indicating that c-Myc protein was specifically regulated by EBP2 via degradation. In contrast, the mRNA levels of c-Myc were not significantly affected by EBP2 knockdown (Supplementary Figure S3A). Knockdown of EBP2 also promoted the turnover of endogenous c-Myc proteins as measured using a CHX-chase assay (Figure 3d). Treatment with proteasome inhibitor MG132 for 6 h completely abolished the effect of EBP2 knockdown on c-Myc protein levels (Figure 3e). Collectively, these results indicate that EBP2 can block the degradation of c-Myc via a proteasome activity-dependent manner rather than protein synthesis.

EBP2 has been shown to be able to recruit Fbw7 to the nucleoli, which is a well-established E3 ubiquitin ligase for c-Myc.11,30,31 Thus, there is a possibility that EBP2 stabilizes c-Myc through blocking the binding of Fbw7 to c-Myc. To test whether the effect of EBP2 on c-Myc is dependent on Fbw7, we measured the protein levels of c-Myc and EBP2 in A549 cells with vector or an increasing dose of FLAG-EBP2. The protein levels of c-Myc were analyzed using western blotting (Figure 3a). HA-c-Myc was co-expressed with or without EBP2 in HEK293T cells. Cells were then treated with CHX for the indicated time. The expression of c-Myc, EBP2, and actin were determined using western blotting. The protein levels of c-Myc, EBP2, β-catenin, IκB-α, and actin were determined using western blotting. The relative levels of c-Myc and EBP2 were quantified using Image J. (d) A549 cells were transfected with siNC, siEBP2-1, and siEBP2-2 for 72 h. Cells were then treated with CHX for indicated times. The expression of c-Myc, EBP2, and actin were determined using western blotting. The relative level of c-Myc was quantified by Image J. (e) A549 cells were transfected with siNC, siEBP2-1 and siEBP2-2 for 72 h. Cells were divided into two wells and one well was treated with proteasome inhibitor MG132 (10 μM) or DMSO as control for 8 h before collection.

However, knockdown of EBP2 still reduced the c-Myc protein levels in Fbw7-depleted cells (Supplementary Figure S3B). The CHX-chase assay indicated that EBP2 regulated the c-Myc turnover in a Fbw7-independent manner (Supplementary Figure S3C). Moreover, both wild-type and CPD-mutated form of EBP2 (EBP2-3TA) could stabilize c-Myc (Supplementary Figure S3D). These results together indicate that EBP2 affects the c-Myc stability independent of Fbw7.

c-Myc regulates EBP2 mRNA expression. During our experiment, we also noticed that knockdown of c-Myc markedly reduced the protein levels of EBP2 (Figure 4a). As a transcription factor, c-Myc is known to regulate the expression of numerous genes.1,4 Thus, we asked whether c-Myc affects the mRNA level of EBP2. As shown in Figure 4b, knockdown of c-Myc reduced the mRNA levels of EBP2 and overexpression of c-Myc significantly increased the mRNA levels of EBP2 (Figure 4c). These results indicate that c-Myc is a positive regulator of EBP2 mRNA transcription.

As a transcription factor, c-Myc can regulate the gene expression via direct binding to the promoter of targets via an E-box sequence.32 To further examine whether c-Myc can
affect the EBP2 mRNA at transcriptional level, we analyzed the EBP2 promoter using Transcription Element Search System (http://www.cbil.upenn.edu/tess) and identified four conserved c-Myc binding sequences in EBP2 promoter (Figure 4d). Therefore, we examined whether c-Myc can directly bind to these E-box sequence of EBP2 using a ChIP assay. We designed five pairs of primers in the 2-kb promoter region corresponding to the four predicted binding sites, and one locates in the region without E-box sequence as control (Figure 4f). Our data showed that c-Myc can strongly bind to the third E-box and with a weaker affinity to the fourth E-box, but not other regions (Figure 4c and data not shown).

To further confirm that c-Myc is a transcription factor of EBP2, we employed a luciferase-based reporter gene system. The 1-kb promoter from start codon of EBP2 was cloned into pGL3 vector and coexpressed with empty vector or with an increasing amount of c-Myc in HEK293T cells. Our data showed that the report gene of EBP2 promoter was activated by c-Myc in a dosage-dependent manner (Figure 4f). Simultaneous mutation of the third and fourth E-box significantly abolished the c-Myc-mediated transactivation of EBP2 promoter (Figure 4g). Taken together, we conclude that c-Myc can promote EBP2 gene expression by direct binding to its promoter via conserved E-box DNA-binding sites.

**EBP2 overexpression promotes cell proliferation and tumorigenesis in vivo.** c-Myc is a critical transcriptional factor that can regulate cell proliferation. Thus, we examined whether EBP2 may also regulate cancer cell proliferation. We first measured the correlated expression of EBP2 with c-Myc in various lung cancer cells. As shown in Figure 5a, the protein levels of both EBP2 and c-Myc were relatively low in normal human lung epithelial cell line BEAS-2B. In contrast, EBP2 was highly expressed in most lung cancer cells that we examined (Figure 5a). Importantly,
the protein levels of c-Myc were positively correlated with the levels of EBP2 in cancer cell lines (Figure 5a).

We next examined whether EBP2 can affect cancer cell proliferation. EBP2 and c-Myc were overexpressed in H1299 cells, and cell proliferation was measured using an MTT assay. As shown in Figure 5b, overexpression of EBP2 can significantly promote H1299 cell growth similar to c-Myc. EBP2 was also depleted using two different siRNAs in A549 cells and the cell proliferation was measured. Our data showed that cell proliferation was sharply repressed on EBP2 knockdown (Figure 5c). This result was confirmed using a colony formation assay (Figure 5d). To examine whether the promotion of cell proliferation by EBP2 is dependent on c-Myc, we overexpressed EBP2 with or without c-Myc depletion in H1299 cells. Our results showed that EBP2 can hardly promote H1299 proliferation when c-Myc was deleted (Figure 4e).

We then examined whether EBP2 promoted tumorigenesis. EBP2 was stably expressed in H1299 cells, and cells stably expressing EBP2 or empty vector were injected subcutaneously into nude mouse. The tumorigenesis was measured. Our result showed that overexpression of EBP2 strongly promoted tumorigenesis (Figure 5f). Taken together, our data indicate that EBP2 is required for cell growth of lung cancer cells and can promote tumorigenesis in nude mice.

**The levels of EBP2 are positively correlated with c-Myc in human lung cancer tissues.** c-Myc is an oncoprotein and is highly expressed in various cancers, including lung cancers.33,34 Our data indicated that EBP2 can promote tumorigenesis. Thus, we measured the expression levels of EBP2 in lung cancer tissues using an IHC assay. The specificity of EBP2 antibody was confirmed by IF and IHC analysis (Supplementary Figure S4). EBP2 was stained in both carcinoma adjacent tissues and lung cancer tissues. Our data showed that the expression levels of EBP2 were significantly higher in lung cancer tissues than in adjacent tissues (Figure 6a). Moreover, through staining of serial sections from the same tumor samples, we found that the expression levels of EBP2 were positively correlated with c-Myc in lung cancer tissue (Figures 6b and c). This is consistent with our conclusion that EBP2 is a transcriptional...
target of c-Myc. These data indicated that the expression levels of EBP2 are correlated with c-Myc in lung cancer.

**EBP2 enhances c-Myc-mediated rDNA transcription.**

It has been reported that c-Myc can stimulate the rDNA transcription, which is essential for cell proliferation.\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) Thus, we examined whether EBP2 can affect rRNA synthesis mediated by c-Myc. To this end, we overexpressed c-Myc or EBP2 in HEK293T cells, and the rRNA levels were measured using qRT-PCR. Our data showed that the rRNA levels were markedly increased in both c-Myc- and EBP2-transfected cells (Figure 7a). Conversely, knockdown of either c-Myc or EBP2 in A549 cells dramatically reduced the rRNA levels (Figure 7b), suggesting that EBP2 is a regulator of rRNA synthesis. Moreover, coexpression of EBP2 significantly increased the c-Myc-promoted rRNA levels in HeLa cells (Figure 7c). This indicates that EBP2 is a positive regulator of c-Myc-mediated rRNA synthesis.

**Discussion**

c-Myc has essential functions in the NO to regulate the synthesis of rRNA, RNA polymerase I activity, and cell proliferation.\(^35\) In this study, we have identified EBP2 as a novel nucleolar regulator of c-Myc. We found that EBP2 can directly bind to c-Myc and regulate the c-Myc nucleolar localization, cell proliferation, and rRNA transcription. Moreover, we found that c-Myc positively regulates the expression of EBP2 via directly binding to the EBP2 promoter. Thus, our study suggests a positive feedback loop to regulate the c-Myc function by EBP2.

Although it is well known that c-Myc is able to be localized in the nucleoli, the mechanism that controls the c-Myc nucleolar localization is still not completely understood. In our study, we found that overexpression of EBP2 can also relocalize c-Myc to the NO. Importantly, depletion of EBP2 reduced the nucleolar distribution of endogenous c-Myc, suggesting that EBP2 is also required to keep the protein levels of c-Myc in the nucleoli. Our study indicated that EBP2 can directly bind to c-Myc, providing possible mechanism that EBP2 recruit c-Myc to the nucleoli via direction interaction. Recent study provided clear evidence to show that nucleolar protein NPM is essential for c-Myc nucleolar localization.\(^10\) However, it has been shown that EBP2 cannot interact with NPM and the distribution of EBP2 is not affected by NPM,\(^23\) suggesting that recruitment of c-Myc to the nucleoli by EBP2 is not possibly through the NPM. Thus, binding to EBP2 may be a novel mechanism that recruits c-Myc to the nucleoli.

It is known that c-Myc is degraded rapidly in the nucleoli.\(^11\) Yet, E3 ubiquitin ligase Fbw7\(^7\) is the only reported E3 to promote the c-Myc degradation in the nucleoli.\(^11\) Whether there is any other mechanism to regulate the c-Myc stability is not clear. However, recent study indicates that an unknown mechanism may exist to control c-Myc degradation in the nucleoli.\(^10\) In our current study, we found that EBP2 can stabilize c-Myc through the Fbw7-independent way. Although the exact mechanism that controls c-Myc degradation is still unclear, our study suggests that EBP2, together with other nucleolar regulator of c-Myc, such as NPM, may cooperatively

---

**Figure 6** Protein levels of EBP2 were upregulated and positively correlated with c-Myc in human lung cancer tissues. (a) Expression of EBP2 in carcinoma adjacent tissues and lung cancer tissues were examined using an immunohistochemical staining assay of two lung cancer patient samples. (b) The protein levels of EBP2 were positively correlated with c-Myc. Serial sections of lung cancer samples were stained with EBP2 and c-Myc separately using an immunohistochemical staining assay. Representative examples were shown. (c) Statistical analysis of the correlation between the protein levels of EBP2 and c-Myc in the lung cancer tissue array.
keep the protein levels of c-Myc in the NO. Deregulated expression of nucleolar binding partner of c-Myc may promote tumorigenesis.

Although previous study indicated that overexpression of EBP2 can promote the cell proliferation of HEK293 cells, 21 in our study, we identified that EBP2 is upregulated in lung cancer cells and tissues. Moreover, EBP2 is required for cell proliferation. Importantly, we found that EBP2 is a c-Myc binding partner of c-Myc and required for keeping the amount of c-Myc in nucleoli. Thus, our study uncovers a novel function of EBP2 in cancers and identifies EBP2 as a potential oncoprotein.

In summary, we identified EBP2 as a novel nucleolar regulator of c-Myc and demonstrated that EBP2 may regulate c-Myc nucleolar localization, stability, and cell proliferation. On the other hand, c-Myc positively regulates EBP2 expression at the transcriptional level. Thus, EBP2 and c-Myc forms a positive feedback regulatory loop (Figure 7d), which has important roles in cell proliferation and tumorigenesis.

Materials and Methods
Plasmids and cell culture. The expression plasmid of EBP2 was cloned into pcDNA3.1 vector with a FLAG tag at the C-terminus and c-Myc was cloned into pcDNA3.1 vector with an HA tag at the N-terminus by standard cloning methods. All vectors were confirmed by DNA sequencing. HEK293T and HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco, Mount Waverley, VIC, Australia) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Human lung epithelial cell BEAS-2B and human lung cancer cells, including H358, H441, PC9, H197, H1299, A549, H460, SPC-A1, and 95D cells, were cultured in 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS. Transfections were performed using calcium phosphate DNA coprecipitation for 293T cells and SunBioTransEZ for HeLa cells (Shanghai Sunbio Medical Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China).

Small interfering RNA. A549 and H1299 cells were transfected with siRNA oligonucleotides using Lipofectamine. Two different oligonucleotides: siEBP2-1 (273: 5'-GGACCGAAAGTCGTTGGATCCAGAA-3') and siEBP2-2 (640: 5'-GAGGAGTACGAAACCTCTGGCAC-3') against EBP2 were used. Oligonucleotides against FBW7 were used as described previously. 24

Antibodies, immunoprecipitation, and western blotting. IP and western blotting were performed as our previous report. 25 Briefly, cells were transfected, treated with 10 μM MG132 for 6 h, and lysed using 2 × RIPA buffer (Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 (100 mM), NaCl (300 mM), 1% NP-40, 2% sodium deoxycholate, 10 mM NaF, and 10 mM Na vanadate). The cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation and incubated with 1 μg antibody for 1 h at 4°C followed by incubation with 15 μl protein A and G beads (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) for 2 h at 4°C. Immunoprecipitates were subjected to western blotting. For western blotting analysis, cells were scraped from the dishes into the lysis buffer. A total of 25 mg of total protein was separated by SDS-PAGE and blotted with anti-c-Myc (Epitomics, Burlingame, CA, USA), anti-NPM (Epitomics), anti-EBP2 (Anbowa, Taipei City, Taiwan, China), anti-β-catenin (CST, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-IκB-α (CST), anti-α-tubulin (Abmart, Shanghai, China), anti-actin (Abmart), or anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Abmart).

Ethics statement. Human lung cancer specimens and carcinoma adjacent area specimens were collected from the Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center. All specimens were encoded to protect patients under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Fudan University Cancer Center. All participants gave written informed consent. 25

Immunofluorescence. Immunofluorescence was performed as described previously. 26 Briefly, cells cultured on coverslips were transfected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100, blocked with 1% BSA in PBS for 1 h, and stained with corresponding antibodies. The slides were then stained with Texas Red-labeled anti-rabbit secondary antibody and FITC-labeled anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:100; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The nuclei were stained with 0.5 μg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Images were analyzed using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope (East China Normal University, Shanghai, China).

Nucleolar isolation. This experiment was performed according to the Lamond’s protocol. 27 Briefly, 10⁶ cells were collected, washed twice with PBS, and resuspended in 1 ml buffer (10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, 1.5 M MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, and 0.5 mM DTT) for 30 min on ice. Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM, and the mixture was then Dounce homogenized until all cytoplasmic membranes were disrupted. For cytosolic isolation, cells were centrifuged at 228 × g for 5 min at 4°C to obtain the supernatant. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 0.45 ml of 0.25 M sucrose–10 mM MgCl₂, layered onto 0.45 ml of 0.35 M sucrose–0.5 mM MgCl₂, and centrifuged at 1430 × g for 5 min at 4°C. Pelleted nuclei were then resuspended in 0.75 ml of 0.35 M sucrose–0.5 mM MgCl₂ with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Nuclei were sonicated to disrupt the nuclear membrane. The nuclear isolate was layered on the top of 0.75 ml of 0.86 M sucrose–0.5 mM MgCl₂ and centrifuged at 2800 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 0.5 ml of 0.35 M sucrose–0.5 mM MgCl₂, and sucrose layering was repeated as described above. Nucleoli were fractionated as the subsequent pellet.

RNA extraction and qPCR. Total mRNA was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and 500 ng RNA were used to synthesize cDNA using PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit (Takara, Dalian, China, DRR037A) according to the manufacturer’s
A549 or H1299 cells were transfected with plasmids or siRNA for 48 h. MTpromo assay was performed on 96-well plates at an initial cell density of 500 cells per well, a standard curve built with 10^0 to 10^6 cells. The absorbance was measured at 490 nm using a microplate photometer (SpecraMAX 190, Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis was performed as described. In brief, ∼10^7 cells were resuspended in 10 ml warm medium containing 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (1% SDS, 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM Na-butyrate, and protease inhibitor cocktail) and sonicated 15 times for 15 s to achieve a chromatin size of 100–500 bp. c-Myc was immunoprecipitated using c-Myc antibody (Epitomics). The extracted DNA from each IP was resuspended in 50 µl of TE (10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA) in parallel, an input DNA sample was prepared and resuspended in 100 µl TE. Real-time PCR was used to quantify the immunoprecipitated DNA relative to a standard curve built with 1 µl of input dilutions. The fold enrichment was calculated relative to the background detected with nonspecific rabbit IgG for each primer set.

MTT assay. A549 or H1299 cells transfected with plasmids or siRNA for 48 h were seeded in 96-well plates at an initial cell density of 500 cells per well. DMSO to each well. The absorbance was measured at 490 nm using a microplate photometer (SpecraMAX 190, Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA).
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