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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Background/aims:} During the COVID-19 pandemic, pregnant people have experienced disruptions to prenatal care, as well elevated rates of mental health problems and distress. The current longitudinal study aims to understand how different forms of prenatal distress (mental health problems, COVID-19 stressful experiences, and access to prenatal services) impact infant birth outcomes during the pandemic.

\textbf{Methods:} Participants were 265 pregnant individuals from Ontario, Canada. Maternal depression, pregnancy-related anxiety, COVID-related stressors (i.e., financial difficulties, social isolation), and disruptions to prenatal and health services were assessed during pregnancy. Delivery experiences and birth outcomes were assessed in the early postpartum period. Associations between pregnancy stressors and birth outcomes were assessed using path analyses.

\textbf{Results:} Participants reported experiencing substantial changes to their prenatal care due to COVID-19; 23.0\% had prenatal appointments cancelled, 47.9\% had difficulty accessing prenatal classes, and 60.8\% reported changes to their birth plans. Results of path analyses showed a unique effect of pregnancy-related anxiety during the pandemic on lower birth weight, younger gestational age at birth, and more infant birth problems. Further, multi-group path analysis revealed these effects were more pronounced in male infants.

\textbf{Conclusions:} Findings demonstrate that pregnant individuals in Ontario, Canada have experienced considerable disruptions to services during pregnancy. In addition, pregnancy-related anxiety was uniquely linked to elevated risk for adverse birth outcomes, which more heavily impacted male infants. These findings underscore the need for additional mental health support and access to services for pregnant people and their infants, to reduce long-term adverse maternal and fetal health outcomes.

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic, including nationwide lockdowns, employment changes, and disruption to health-care services, has changed the daily lives of many and resulted in concerningly high levels of distress and mental health problems \cite{1,2}. Pregnant and postpartum women, as well as mothers of young children have been particularly burdened by the pandemic, with documented elevated rates of depression (25–31\%), anxiety (34–42\%) and psychological distress (70\%) \cite{3–5}. During the pandemic, pregnant people have faced distress and concern for the health and wellbeing of their unborn child \cite{6}, unavailability of perinatal medical care, feelings of uncertainty and unpreparedness for birth, and limited social services or access to support \cite{7,8}. A scoping review indicated that reductions in prenatal care visits, the strain on healthcare infrastructure, and early policies to isolate pregnant individuals during labour and delivery have heavily impacted maternal and perinatal health and wellbeing \cite{9}. For example, individuals giving birth during COVID-19 pandemic report lower cognitive functioning compared to those who delivered prior to the pandemic \cite{10}. Although it is well-established that pregnant people are
experiencing elevated distress and mental health problems during the pandemic [3,4,11], it remains unclear whether mental health problems and COVID-related distress, including disrupted access to care and services, are associated with adverse delivery experiences and birth outcomes. As the pandemic continues into a third year, it is crucial to understand these perinatal effects.

The perinatal period is a time of vulnerability – maternal mental health problems and distress can have detrimental effects on fetal health problems and distress can have detrimental effects on fetal stress (retrospectively reported at birth) were indirectly associated with adverse perinatal outcomes. Additional longitudinal studies have understood these perinatal effects.

Inconsistent findings have been reported when comparing the rates of birth outcomes during the pandemic to pre-pandemic periods [18]. For example, some studies have not found a significant difference in the prevalence of preterm births, stillbirths, or lower birth weight during COVID-19 compared to before the pandemic [19,20], others have found that preterm birth was less common during the pandemic compared to before the pandemic [21,22], while others found elevated risk for fetal distress during COVID-19 [23]. To our knowledge, only two longitudinal studies have directly examined the impact of prenatal distress on birth outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic [24]. Preis et al. (2021) showed that prenatal distress was associated with higher risk for preterm birth and maternal stress was associated with higher risk of small for gestational age in a sample of 1367 women who gave birth in Summer 2020 across the United States [24]. In addition, Giesbrecht et al. (2022) found that fear of COVID-19 during pregnancy was associated with lower infant birthweight and gestational age at birth in a Canadian sample [25]. These studies provide initial evidence that stress during the COVID-19 pandemic is associated with elevated risk of adverse perinatal outcomes. AA additional longitudinal studies have demonstrated the impact of COVID-19 on child development outcomes after birth. Provenzi et al. (2021) found that higher levels of prenatal stress (retrospectively reported at birth) were indirectly associated with infant regulatory capacity at age 3 months, in a sample from Northern Italy [26]. In addition, in a not-yet published paper, Deoni et al. found that children born during the pandemic (2020–2021) in the United States, compared to before 2019, had lower cognitive development scores [27]. However, Deoni et al. did not assess the impact of prenatal stress on infant cognitive development. Thus, further research, conducted in countries differently impacted by the pandemic, is needed to further examine and generalize the longitudinal effects of prenatal stress, mental health and service disruptions, on infant birth outcomes.

The impact of prenatal stress on infant outcomes can differ by fetal sex [27,28]. Sex-specific effects may be related to genetic, epigenetic, or hormonal influences during gestation [29,30]. Greater prenatal stress has been associated with shortened gestational age and increased risk of preterm birth in male, but not female, infants [31]. In addition, higher maternal prenatal cortisol levels were associated with lower infant birth weight and smaller head circumference only among male infants [32]. In contrast, several other studies have found stronger associations between prenatal stress and adverse birth outcomes [33], child brain volume [34], and endocrine functioning (i.e., cortisol levels [16,35]) in females compared to males. Others have failed to find sex-specific effects of prenatal stress on infant birth outcomes [36]. Findings likely vary based on the type of prenatal stress, the child outcome of interest, and the age at which child development was assessed.

1.1. Study Aims

This longitudinal study seeks to examine the unique impact of varying forms of prenatal stress, occurring during the COVID-19 pandemic, on infant birth outcomes in a Canadian sample. The primary objectives of this study were to: 1) provide descriptive information regarding prenatal care and delivery outcomes; 2) assess the unique impact of prenatal maternal mental health problems, COVID-19 stressful experiences, and access to prenatal care and services, on infant birth outcomes; and 3) examine whether there are sex-specific associations between prenatal stress and infant birth outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

A total of 304 pregnant women from Ontario, Canada participated in the first survey (T1) for the COVID-19 and Wellbeing During Pregnancy Study between June and August 2020. Initial recruitment was conducted through social media advertisements, pamphlets distributed to midwifery groups, and word of mouth. At T1, inclusion criteria were that individuals (1) live in Ontario, Canada, (2) read and write English, (3) be at least 18 years of age, and (4) be ≤36 weeks’ gestation. A total of 6 participants withdrew after T1 (4 due to miscarriage, 2 for undisclosed reasons). Participants were also invited to complete surveys each trimester of their pregnancy and during the early postpartum period. Of the remaining 298 eligible participants for follow-up, 265 participants (89 %) completed a postpartum survey between July 2020 and May 2021 (T2). For context, a state of emergency was declared by the provincial government of Ontario three times between March 17, 2020 and April 7, 2021, which included over 300 days of lockdown.

The participants who completed the post-delivery survey did not differ from those who did not complete the survey on parent age, race, ethnicity, education, income, or number of children (ps range 0.10 to 0.80). However, PA participants who entered the study earlier in their pregnancy were less likely to complete the postpartum survey (t(302) = −2.54, p < .05, corresponding to an effect size of r = 0.14).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. COVID-19 stressful experiences

Participants answered a number of questions regarding the personal impact of the COVID-19 pandemic (see [6,37] for additional details). Some items were dichotomous (yes (1)/no (0)) and others were continuous ranging from 1 (Not at all) to 7 (A lot). A COVID isolation composite was created by summing four dichotomous items related to quarantine, not going to place of work, and not seeing friends or family. A financial difficulties composite was created by averaging six continuous items related to loss of income, reduced job security, and difficulty paying bills and buying groceries.

2.2.2. Centre for epidemiologic studies depression scale (CES-D)

The 10-item CES-D (Anderson et al., 1994) was used to assess the presence of depressive symptoms over the past 7 days. The CES-D total score ranges from 0 to 30; a cut off score of ten or higher indicates the presence of clinically significant depressive symptoms [38]. The CES-D has shown good reliability and validity in pregnant and postpartum samples [39]. The CES-D showed good internal consistency (Cronbach’s α = 0.87) in the current sample.

2.2.3. Cambridge Worry Scale (CWS)

The 16-item CWS (40) was used to assess pregnancy-specific anxiety (e.g., something being wrong with the baby, possibility of miscarriage, giving birth), as well as general anxiety (e.g., health, money problems, relationship with partner). Participants indicated the degree to which they worry about each item, on a scale of 0 (not a worry) to 5 (major worry). In the current sample, the internal consistency of the CWS was good (Cronbach’s α = 0.88).

This longitudinal study seeks to examine the unique impact of varying forms of prenatal stress, occurring during the COVID-19 pandemic, on infant birth outcomes in a Canadian sample. The primary objectives of this study were to: 1) provide descriptive information regarding prenatal care and delivery outcomes; 2) assess the unique impact of prenatal maternal mental health problems, COVID-19 stressful experiences, and access to prenatal care and services, on infant birth outcomes; and 3) examine whether there are sex-specific associations between prenatal stress and infant birth outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic.
2.2.4. Prenatal and delivery outcomes

Participants reported the types of services they had difficulty receiving throughout the duration of their pregnancy, due to COVID-19 restrictions, including prenatal classes, lactation consultation, massage therapy, chiropractic services, and psychological counselling. A cumulative variable was computed by tallying each type of service (possible range = 0–5). Participants also reported whether they experienced complications during pregnancy (e.g., high blood pressure, gestational diabetes, virus or infection). Participants reported on several delivery outcomes, including mode of delivery, infant sex, number of births, gestational age at birth, birth weight, and whether their infants experienced birth problems, including breathing problems/needling oxygen, jaundice, low glucose, NICU treatment, prolonged hospital stay, or malformation. A total score was computed by summing the number of birth problems experienced for each infant (possible range = 0–6).

2.2.5. Sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics

Participants reported sociodemographic characteristics at T1, including maternal age, race, education, income, parity, and gestational age at T1. Throughout pregnancy, participants also reported tobacco use and alcohol consumption.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics and preliminary correlations were conducted using SPSS 27. Bivariate correlation analyses were conducted to determine relevant covariates (based on prior research) to include in subsequent path analyses. Covariates that had significant bivariate correlations with any of the three infant birth outcomes were controlled for in the path model. Path analyses were conducted in Mplus Version 8 using full information maximum likelihood (FIML) to account for missing data [41] and maximum likelihood ratio (MLR) estimation to derive standard errors. A single path analysis model was derived to simultaneously test the direct paths between prenatal access to care, maternal anxiety and depression, and COVID-19 financial difficulties and social isolation, in relation to infant birthweight, gestational age at birth, and infant birth problems. Given the associations between these birth outcomes, each was correlated with the other. In addition, to assess sex-specific effects, a multi-group path analysis (split by infant sex) was conducted. Model fit was assessed using the comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI), root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA) and Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR).

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics, prenatal care and delivery outcomes

At the onset of the study, participants ranged from 19 to 44 years old (M = 32.02, SD = 4.11 years). Participants were between 4- and 26 weeks gestation (M = 21.93, SD = 8.83 weeks) and 54 % were primiparous. On average, the postpartum survey was completed 48.60 days (SD = 16.44) post-delivery. See Table 1 for additional sample characteristics.

At some point during pregnancy, 91.7 % of the sample was not allowed to bring a support person to their prenatal appointments, 23.0 % had prenatal appointments cancelled, and 73.2 % had prenatal appointments occur by phone or video (3.77 % had cancelled appointments with no virtual visits). In addition, participants reported difficulty accessing services during pregnancy, including prenatal classes (47.9 %). The majority (60.8 %) of the sample also reported experiencing change to their birth plan. Additional details regarding pregnancy and postdelivery experiences are reported in Table 2.

In total, 24.2 % of the sample reported experiencing complications during pregnancy (Table 2). In terms of delivery method, 40.4 % of the sample had a spontaneous vaginal delivery, 28.3 % had an assisted vaginal delivery, 17.7 % had a combination of labour and Cesarean (C)-section, and 13.6 % had a C-section only. In total, 60.2 % of the C-sections were unplanned.

In terms of infant delivery outcomes, 262 women (98.9 %) had a single birth and 3 women (1.1 %) had multiple births (twins). Birth outcomes were assessed for all infants (n = 268), 53 % of (n = 141) infants were male. Infants were born between 28.00 and 42.14 weeks gestation (M = 39.15, SD = 1.90); 7.5 % of the sample were born premature (<37 weeks). Infants weighed between 1.33 and 5.10 kg at birth (M = 3.46, SD = 0.53), 3.7 % were low birth weight (<2.5 kg) and 12.4 % had fetal macrosomia (birthweight >4.0 kg). Mothers reported that 28 % of infants experienced problems during their delivery and 46.6 % experienced at least one problem after birth (e.g., breathing problems, jaundice; see Table 2). A total of 4.5 % of the infants were tested for COVID-19, all were negative for the virus.

| Table 1 |
| Sample characteristics. | N (%)/M (SD) |
| Weeks gestation T1 | M = 21.93 (SD = 8.83) |
| Trimester T1 |  |
| First trimester | 62 (23.4 %) |
| Second trimester | 116 (43.8 %) |
| Third trimester | 87 (32.8 %) |
| Number of children |  |
| 0 | 122 (46 %) |
| 1 | 100 (37.7 %) |
| 2 | 35 (13.2 %) |
| >3 | 8 (3.1 %) |
| Marital status |  |
| Married | 217 (81.9 %) |
| Common-law | 37 (14.0 %) |
| In a relationship, but not married or common law | 6 (2.3 %) |
| Divorced | 1 (0.4 %) |
| Separated | 1 (0.4 %) |
| Single | 3 (1.1 %) |
| Race |  |
| White | 228 (86.0 %) |
| Asian | 18 (6.8 %) |
| Indigenous | 2 (0.8 %) |
| Mixed Race | 6 (2.3 %) |
| Other Race | 11 (4.2 %) |
| Education |  |
| Less than high school | 1 (0.4 %) |
| High school | 9 (3.4 %) |
| Non-university postsecondary | 69 (26.2 %) |
| Bachelor's degree | 99 (37.5 %) |
| Above Bachelor's degree | 86 (32.6 %) |
| Annual Family Income |  |
| <$20,000 | 3 (1.2 %) |
| $20,000 to $34,999 | 13 (5.0 %) |
| $35,000 to $69,999 | 29 (11.2 %) |
| $70,000 to $89,999 | 36 (14.0 %) |
| $90,000 to $109,999 | 41 (15.9 %) |
| $110,000 to $149,999 | 76 (29.5 %) |
| $150,000 to $199,999 | 39 (15.1 %) |
| ≥$200,000 | 21 (8.1 %) |

Note: Gestation at T1, N = 265.

3.2. Descriptive results for COVID-19 stress and mental health outcomes

See Table 2 descriptive results for the mental health and COVID-19 experiences scales. In terms of COVID-19 experiences, at the onset of the study (T1), 1.1 % of the sample (n = 3) reported being diagnosed with COVID-19, 43.4 % (n = 115) reported being under self-quarantine, and 71.3 % (n = 189) reported not going to their place of work because of COVID-19. At T1, 57.4 % (n = 152) of the sample scored ≥10 on the CES-D, indicating potentially clinically significant levels of depression. There is no established cut off for CWS scores.
found that participants who reported difficulty accessing services during pregnancy were significantly associated with higher prenatal anxiety, depression, and COVID-related financial difficulties.

As shown in Table 3, number of weeks gestation at T1, education, and income were related to different birth outcomes. In addition, males had higher birth weight compared to females. Given the high correlation between education and income ($r = 0.46$, $p < .01$), only education was retained in subsequent path analyses. Thus, number of weeks gestation at T1, maternal education, and infant sex were included as covariates in the path model.

In addition, there were significant bivariate associations between difficulty accessing services, pregnancy complications, prenatal mental health, and COVID-specific stressful experiences (Table 3). For example, complications during pregnancy were associated with higher levels of prenatal anxiety and depression, as well as lower infant birth weight, gestational age at birth and more infant birth problems. Similarly, difficulty accessing services during pregnancy was significantly associated with higher prenatal anxiety, depression, and COVID-related financial difficulties. At the bivariate level difficulty accessing services and prenatal mental health problems were also associated with more birth problems, but not significantly associated with birth weight or gestational age at birth.

To follow-up these bivariate correlations, we dichotomized the sample to include participants with and without difficulty accessing prenatal care services and conducted an independent samples t-test to assess difference in mental health, COVID-stress and birth outcomes. We found that participants who reported difficulty accessing services endorsed higher prenatal anxiety ($t (259) = -3.40, p < .001$) and higher

| Difficulty accessing services | 1.2  | 3.4  | 5.6  | 7.8  | 9.10 | 11.12 | 13.14 | 15.16 | 17. |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Prenatal classes             | 127  | 47.9%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Lactation consultation       | 32   | 12.1%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Massage therapy              | 159  | 60.0%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Chiropractic services        | 78   | 29.4%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Psychological counselling    | 39   | 14.7%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Changes to birth plan        | 35   | 13.2%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Delivery location            | 150  | 56.6%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Support people               | 62   | 23.4%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Childcare arrangements for other children at home | | | | | | | | | |
| Pregnancy complications      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| High blood pressure/preeclampsia | 10   | 3.8% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Gestational diabetes         | 22   | 8.3% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Excessive bleeding           | 12   | 4.5% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Accidents/falls              | 29   | 10.9%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Bed rest                     | 18   | 6.8% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Virus or infection           | 34   | 12.8%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Miscarriage                  | 1    | 0.4% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Mode of delivery             |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Spontaneous vaginal delivery | 107  | 40.4%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Assisted vaginal delivery    | 75   | 28.3%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Combination of labour and C-section | 47 | 17.7%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| C-section only               | 36   | 13.6%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Maternal problems after delivery |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Infection                    | 17   | 6.4% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Inconvenience                | 37   | 14.0%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Vaginal tearing              | 109  | 41.1%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Excessive vaginal bleeding   | 17   | 6.4% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Pain while breathing         | 1    | 0.4% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Infant problems after delivery |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Jaundice                     | 90   | 33.6%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Low glucose                  | 30   | 11.2%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Breathing problems/needed oxygen | 29 | 10.8%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| NICU treatment               | 15   | 5.6% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Prolonged hospital stay      | 29   | 10.8%|      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| Malformation                 | 1    | 0.4% |      |      |      |       |       |       |     |
| CES-D T1                     | 11.52 | 3.7 |       |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| CWS T1                       | 30.06 | 6.37 |       |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| COVID isolation              | 3.00  | 6.37 |       |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| COVID financial difficulties | 2.38  | 6.37 |       |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| Note: Weeks gestation T1 = number of weeks gestation at the onset of the study (T1); race (White = 0, Non-White = 1); infant sex (male = 1, female = 2); Num. children = number of children (continuous); Preg complications = pregnancy complications (range = 0–4); Smoking = maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy; Alcohol = maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy; Gestational age = number of weeks gestation at birth; Birth problems = infant problems after birth (range = 0–4); ** $p < .01$, * $p < .05$; \( r = 0.46 \)
depressive symptoms (t (263) = −2.67, p < .01). However, participants with and without difficulty accessing prenatal care services did not differ in their reported COVID-19 financial difficulties (t (263) = −1.93, p = .05), social isolation (t (263) = −0.95, p = .34), infant birth weight (t (265) = 1.27, p = .20), gestational age at birth (t (266) = 0.24, p = .81) or birth problems (t (266) = −1.48, p = .14).

3.4. Path model: associations between prenatal experiences and birth outcomes

The path model included prenatal difficulty accessing services, prenatal anxiety and depression, COVID-19 financial difficulties and social isolation, as predictors of infant birth weight, gestational age at birth, and birth problems. As shown in Table 4 and Fig. 1, in the final model, gestational age at T1 remained a significant covariate for gestational age at birth, and infant sex was a significant covariate for infant birth weight and total birth problems (as below). Higher maternal anxiety during pregnancy was significantly associated with lower infant birth weight, younger gestational age at birth, and more infant birth problems. Difficulty accessing services during pregnancy, prenatal depression, and COVID-related isolation and financial difficulties were not significantly associated with any of the birth outcomes.

3.4.1. Sex-specific associations

A multi-group path analysis was conducted to explore sex-specific effects. In terms of infant birth weight, higher prenatal maternal anxiety was significantly associated with lower infant birth weight for male infants (β = −0.230, SE = 0.101, p = .022) and marginally significant for female infants (β = −0.223, SE = 0.117, p = .053). In addition, higher maternal depression was associated with higher birth weight for males (β = 0.209, SE = 0.101, p = .027) but not females (β = 0.077, SE = 0.108, p = .249). Similarly, in male infants only, higher prenatal anxiety was associated with more birth problems (β = 0.224, SE = 0.105, p = .033; females: β = 0.071, SE = 0.109, p = .516). In addition, higher levels of isolation were associated with fewer birth problems in male infants (β = −0.207, SE = 0.097, p = .034), but not associated with birth problems in female infants (β = 0.094, SE = 0.086, p = .272). Regarding gestational age at birth, despite significant effects in the overall model (Table 4), higher prenatal anxiety was only marginally associated with lower gestational age for male infants (β = −0.196, SE = 0.112, p = .081) and not associated with gestational age in female infants (β = −0.147, SE = 0.098, p = .135).

4. Discussion

This longitudinal study provides descriptive data regarding the prenatal care disruptions and delivery experiences of pregnant people during the pandemic, as well as evidence of the impact of prenatal psychological distress and COVID-related stressful experiences on infant birth outcomes. Descriptive results demonstrate widespread disruptions to service availability and changes to birth plans. Results from the path analysis (after accounting for the impact of prenatal service disruptions, mental health problems and COVID-specific stressful experiences) indicated that higher levels of maternal anxiety during pregnancy uniquely predicted younger gestational age at birth, lower birth weight, and more parent-reported birth problems. This association remained significant after adjusting for confounders of maternal education, gestational age at T1, and infant sex. In addition, multi-group path analyses revealed sex-specific effects, indicating stronger associations between prenatal distress and birth outcomes for male infants. Taken together, the findings of this longitudinal study highlight the direct impact of the disruptions and distress caused by the COVID-19 pandemic on pregnant individuals and their infants.

The first notable finding is the degree of disruption to social and health services experienced by participants – almost one quarter of the sample (23 %) had prenatal appointments cancelled and 73.2 % switched to telehealth care. Furthermore, nearly half of the sample (47.9 %) reported difficulty accessing prenatal classes as well as other services during pregnancy. These restrictions to social and health services are in response to the Canadian government recommendations for virtual health care appointments, to limit the spread of COVID-19 early in the pandemic [42]. These changes to prenatal care can potentially contribute to elevated uncertainty and distress experienced by pregnant individuals. Notably, the percentage of the current sample who

Table 4

| Path model regression coefficients. | Birth weight | Gestational age at birth | Total birth problems |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | B           | SE          | β       | B           | SE          | β       | B           | SE          | β       |
| Gestational age at T1             | −0.003      | 0.004       | −0.043  | −0.025      | 0.013       | −0.117  | −0.005      | 0.006       | −0.044  |
| Education                         | −0.014      | 0.024       | −0.036  | −0.066      | 0.081       | −0.049  | −0.058      | 0.043       | −0.083  |
| Infant sex                        | −0.143      | 0.063       | −0.136  | −0.210      | 0.232       | −0.055  | −0.226      | 0.114       | −0.116  |
| Difficulty accessing services    | −0.008      | 0.027       | −0.022  | 0.044       | 0.074       | 0.031   | 0.055       | 0.045       | 0.076   |
| Depression (CES-D)                | 0.011       | 0.006       | 0.133   | 0.020       | 0.020       | 0.068   | 0.009       | 0.011       | 0.058   |
| Anxiety (CWS)                     | −0.007      | 0.003       | −0.211  | −0.020      | 0.009       | −0.159  | 0.010       | 0.005       | 0.152   |
| COVID-19 isolation                | 0.025       | 0.035       | 0.045   | −0.014      | 0.114       | −0.007  | −0.067      | 0.073       | −0.064  |
| COVID financial                   | 0.005       | 0.022       | 0.013   | 0.064       | 0.080       | 0.047   | −0.030      | 0.043       | −0.043  |

Note: The model had good fit: CFI = 1.00; TLI = 1.00; RMSEA = 0.00; SRMR = 0.00. Regression coefficients from path model simultaneously including all independent and dependent variables. CES-D = Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale, CWS = Cambridge Worry Scale. Infant sex (male = 1, female = 2).

* p < .01.
\* p < .05.
--- p < .10.

Fig. 1. Path Model: Prenatal experiences in association with infant birth outcomes
Note: Model controls for gestational age at T1, infant sex, maternal education. All predictor variables were intercorrelated, only single correlation arrows are included for a simplified visual depiction. Bolded regression lines indicate significant effects (p < .05), dashed lines are not significant.
experienced disruptions to prenatal care corresponds to a nation-wide Canadian sample [43], where, for instance, 40 % experienced cancelled prenatal care appointments. The national study by Groulx et al. [43] also found that disruptions to prenatal care increased the risk of experiencing clinically significant anxiety and depression. Similarly, in the present study we found significant bivariate associations between difficulty accessing services and higher prenatal anxiety and depression. In addition, at the bivariate level, disruptions to services were associated with more birth problems. However, these associations were no longer significant in the path model, after considering maternal mental health and COVID-related stress. Nonetheless, these findings, in combination with prior research, suggest that pregnant Canadians experienced significant disruptions to prenatal care and other health/social services, and that these disruptions were linked to distress.

In terms of delivery and birth outcomes, 68.7 % of the sample had a vaginal or assisted vaginal delivery and 31.3 % had a C-section (some with partial labour), most of which (60.2 %) were unplanned. The rate of C-sectiions in this sample is only modestly higher than Canada’s national rate of 29.1 % during 2016–2017 [44]. In addition, the gestational age and rate of premature births (7.5 %) in the current sample is comparable to Canadian perterm birth rates from 2019 (8.06 %) [45] and Ontario perterm rates between 2006 and 2016 (6.01 %) [46]. Only 3.7 % of the sample had low (< 2.5 kg) birth weight, which is lower than the Canadian (6.5 %) and Ontario (6.7 %) rates from 2017 [47]. These findings are in line with prior research showing both non-significant differences in preterm birth rates during the pandemic [19,20] and reduced rates of low birthweight infants [48].

Finally, our primary findings indicate that after accounting for difficulty accessing services in pregnancy, prenatal experiences of depression and anxiety, and COVID-19 stressful experiences, pregnancy-related anxiety emerged as a significant prospective predictor of lower infant birth weight, younger gestational age at birth, and more birth problems. These findings are in line with the large literature showing that prenatal mental health problems are associated with elevated risk to perinatal outcomes [12,14]. We demonstrate that anxiety in pregnancy is uniquely associated with birth outcomes. Our measure of pregnancy-related anxiety (CWS) included specific concerns related to giving birth, whether partner will be present at birth, and the infant’s and mother’s health (in addition to broader anxiety, e.g., relationship with partner, financial difficulties). Thus, it is likely that this anxiety measure captured unique distress caused by the pandemic, including health concerns and uncertainty related to birth experiences. In fact, levels of anxiety on the CWS were elevated in the current sample, compared to samples prior to the pandemic [6]. In addition, two prior studies showed that prenatal maternal stress and anxiety [24] and COVID-specific fears [25] were linked to more adverse birth outcomes for births occurring in the summer 2020 in the USA [24]. The current findings build on this prior work by showing that pregnancy-related anxiety early in the pandemic (June–July 2020) predicts birth outcomes spanning from July 2020 to May 2021 in a Canadian sample, after accounting for other forms of pregnancy distress.

In addition, we demonstrated sex-specific associations. Specifically, we found that higher prenatal maternal anxiety was only significantly associated with lower infant birth weight and more birth problems for male infants. We also found that higher maternal depression was associated with heavier birth weight for males only. Perhaps inactivity and changes in appetite, both associated with depression, might contribute to the differential findings. Prior research shows similar sex-specific effects, such that prenatal stress was only associated with shortened gestational age and lower birthweight in male infants, but not female infants [29]. Furthermore, recent findings indicate more pronounced effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on cognitive deficits in male infants [27]. In contrast, in the context of natural disasters, prenatal stress has been associated with higher risk for preterm birth and lower birth weight in females, but not males [32]. The chronicity of the COVID-19 pandemic, as compared to most natural disasters, might contribute to these differing findings. Sex-specific effects may be related to genetic influences, differential placental effects, and fetal sex hormones [29,30,49,50]. Given these inconsistencies, future research is needed to understand how COVID-19 distress is associated with sex-specific birth effects.

There are several potential mechanisms through which prenatal distress can adversely impact birth outcomes. One mechanism involves prenatal exposure to stress hormones, namely cortisol. Although cortisol is essential for fetal growth, excess cortisol exposure can be damaging to fetal development [51]. For example, higher cortisol levels during pregnancy have been associated with lower birth weight [52]. In addition, excessive stress during pregnancy is also associated with dysregulated immune activity, which can adversely impact fetal development [53]. Future research is needed to examine the biological mechanisms linking prenatal distress and infant outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic.

4.1. Strengths and limitations

This study has several strengths, including the prospective, longitudinal design which spans nearly one year of the COVID-19 pandemic (from June 2020 to May 2021) and permits assessment of prospective associations between prenatal stress and later birth outcomes. However, the current findings must be understood in the context of the study limitations. First, this is a convenience sample who were predominantly low risk in terms of their level of education and income. In addition, mothers who entered the study earlier in their pregnancy were more likely to drop out before the postpartum follow up. Future research is needed to determine the effects of prenatal stress on infant birth outcomes in more diverse samples. Second, data were collected through online questionnaires, therefore birth outcomes were self-reported and not verified by health records. These findings should be validated with future multi-method research that combines self-reported questionnaires and review of health records. Lastly, there are specific pregnancy-related variables that were not assessed in the current study, such as previous C-sections, fertility treatments and more specific details related to pregnancy complications (e.g., placenta previa), and should be taken into account in future research.

5. Conclusions

This study adds to the voluminous prenatal stress literature by demonstrating the role of prenatal stressful experiences on birth outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. Findings demonstrate that pregnant individuals in Ontario, Canada have experienced significant disruptions to social and health services during pregnancy. In addition, pregnancy-related anxiety was uniquely linked to elevated risk for adverse birth outcomes, which more heavily impacted male infants. These findings underscore the need for additional mental health support and access to services for pregnant people and their infants, as they navigate the stressful experiences associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, this work underscores the need to develop protocols for future public health crises and pandemics [54], to prevent adverse maternal and child outcomes.
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