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Abstract

Healthcare dashboards make key information about service and clinical outcomes available to staff in an easy-to-understand format. Most dashboards are limited to providing insights based on group-level inference, rather than individual prediction. Here, we evaluate a dashboard which could analyze and forecast acute neurosurgical referrals based on 10,033 referrals made to a large volume tertiary neurosciences center in central London, U.K., from the start of the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown period until October 2021.

As anticipated, referral volumes significantly increased in this period, largely due to an increase in spinal referrals. Applying a range of validated time-series forecasting methods, we found that referrals were projected to increase beyond this time-point. Using a mixed-methods approach, we determined that the dashboard was usable, feasible, and acceptable to key stakeholders. Dashboards provide an effective way of visualizing acute surgical referral data and for predicting future volume without the need for data-science expertise.

Introduction

Healthcare dashboards provide a visual, interactive presentation of clinical and service data that has been gathered in a way that facilitates interpretation and decision-making. They offer staff an efficient means to audit data without requiring much technical ability and can function as a catalyst for quality improvement initiatives. Dashboards have been used in various surgical departments to measure and improve key in-hospital patient outcomes, theater utilization and intraoperative performance.

Common to all of these examples is the ability to visually describe data, permitting group-level inference but not individual-level prediction.

Alongside data curation, dashboards have a clear opportunity to incorporate more predictive capabilities. Advanced, real-time predictive visualizations are currently being employed to aid with the Covid-19 pandemic response effort (https://covid19.healthdata.org), but to the best of our knowledge no such comparable examples exist within surgical healthcare. If such technologies were available, they would be well placed to offer surgical decision-makers with not only a snap-shot of historical data, but also a contemporaneous, accessible forecast of resource availability and demand. Staffing, bed and theater availability, emergency admissions and referrals represent predictive metrics of relevance. Given the current growing backlog of surgical procedures due to the Covid-19 pandemic, as well as rising acute referral volumes before and after the pandemic, the need for such tools has become more pressing. They would enable surgical departments to evaluate and anticipate workload, allocate resources more dynamically and ensure timely access to treatment.

To that end, we present an interactive dashboard that not only offers important audit insights into historical surgical data but can also make robust machine learning forecasts about future surgical demand. In this study, we use large-scale data from an electronic referral system (ERS), which is a
barometer of acute service demand. Acute referrals greatly contribute to the throughput of specialities like neurosurgery in which a considerable proportion of patients present with life or limb threatening injury, and require prompt transfer and emergency intervention. Now ubiquitous among U.K. neurosurgical centres\textsuperscript{12}, ERS can help with on-call triage by transmitting salient patient information between the referring site and neurosurgical center. ERS also provide a formal record of referrals that can be evaluated, permitting exploration into their volume, type, timing and geographical distribution as well as the potential to make data-driven predictions regarding future referral volume. A lack of data science expertise among clinicians, however, limits this type of analysis, providing an incentive for the usage of the software discussed in this work.

As a test case, we evaluated acute neurosurgical referrals in a large-volume tertiary neurosciences center in central London, U.K from the start of the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown period to October, 2021. We anticipated that referrals would significantly increase during this time period as surgical services returned to pre-Covid levels of capacity. We also determined whether the dashboard was usable, feasible and acceptable for typical users using a mixed-methods approach.

**Methods**

**Ethics and regulations**

Our retrospective study and use of anonymised referral data was approved by the institutional review board (National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK) as a service evaluation (121-202021-CA) with the requirement for informed consent being waived. All methods were conducted in accordance with local and national guidelines and regulations.

**Data collection**

A series of data acquisition and processing steps were followed and have been outlined graphically in Figure 1. Data processing and analysis was performed in Python 3.8.6, using a MacBook Pro (2017, 2.9GHz, 16GB RAM). Raw referral data from the center's cloud-based referral platform (referapatien.org) was securely obtained and extracted in *comma separated values* format and downloaded to a hospital workstation before fully de-identifying the data and transferring to the system aforementioned.

*referapatien* is used in the overwhelming majority of neurosurgical centers in the U.K.

**Data analysis and visualization**

Data was cleaned and pre-processed to remove erroneous and duplicated entries using *numpy* (v=1.19.5) and *pandas* (v=1.2.3) libraries. All Python scripts were run using Visual Studio Code (Microsoft, v=1.62.0) with results passed into a locally hosted Plotly Dash architecture (v=1.20.0) built for visualization and data interpretation. Interactive dashboard figures were created using *plotly* (v=5.3.1). The dashboard allows users to interact with figures through drill-down menus and sliders, which can modify the plots in real time according to categories such as time-interval and diagnosis (Supplementary Video). Dashboard
features which would offer high-yield audit and prediction capability were decided in agreement with local faculty, with avenues for further improvement at the feasibility-usability testing stage.

Users could visualize data in seven formats: (i) ‘Summary’ - a set of figures including violin plots and pie-charts offering a descriptive analysis of the data; (ii) ‘Flow’ - a Sankey plot illustrating patient triage and on-call decisions; (iii) ‘Heatmap’ - depicting timing and distribution of referrals in the week; (iv) ‘Geography’ - mapping volume and type of referrals by the referring hospital; (v) ‘Timeline’ of weekly referral volumes; (vi) ‘Time difference’ which statistically compared weekly referral volumes by diagnosis between two time periods and (vii) ‘Forecasting’ which applied artificial-intelligence based time-series predictions to describe future volumes of referral (Figure 1, Supplementary Video).

Statistics and evaluation of forecasting algorithm performance

Statistical comparisons of weekly referral volumes were implemented through scipy (v=1.6.2). Tests of normality were performed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If parametric, an independent-samples t-test was applied, otherwise a Mann-Whitney U-test was performed. The choice of forecasting algorithm was limited to options which would: (i) train rapidly while the user is interacting with the dashboard, (ii) automatically parameterise and tune the algorithm without user input and (iii) handle anticipated seasonality within the data seen after exploratory time-series analysis (Supplementary Results). Three algorithms were felt to meet these criteria: an automated pipeline which combined Seasonal and Trend decomposition using Loess (STL) with an automated regression integrated moving average (Auto-ARIMA) model, a Convolutional Neural Network - Long Short-Term Memory (CNN-LSTM) network and Prophet (see Supplementary Methods for model implementation).

Forecasting algorithms were evaluated using two methods with evaluations subdivided to forecast volumes for one week, four week and twelve week periods. First, a ‘blocked’ cross-validation using all available training data (June, 2014 to July, 2021), randomly divided into 5 folds with 15-month time-frames, with a 12-month training window and 3-month validation window. Second, a train-test approach with a three month period (August to October, 2021) withheld from the outset as a testing sample and training data as the year preceding this i.e. the post-pandemic period. Mean absolute error (MAE), mean percentage error (MPE) and root mean squared error (RMSE) were used as scoring metrics, in addition to the computing time taken to run the algorithm.

User experience and implementation

In line with other studies in the field of health informatics, we tested user experience using a mixed-methods approach with semi-structured interviews and an electronic questionnaire which incorporated the System Usability Scale (SUS): a validated tool for usability testing of systems including healthcare dashboards. In addition, we gauged information relating to user implementation through the acceptability of intervention (AIM) and feasibility of intervention measures (FIM) (Supplementary Methods).
Results

All figures presented in this section are available as interactive and adjustable graphs within the dashboard platform and can be trialed with the web application which uses synthetic data (Supplementary material).

A summary of acute neurosurgical referrals in the post-lockdown period

10,033 acute referrals were made to our neurosurgical center between March, 2020 to October, 2021 (female = 4938, mean age [SD] = 61.1 years [18.8]). As would be expected, age and gender distribution varied widely according to diagnosis (Figure 2). For example, patients with a subdural hemorrhage presented with a mean age of 76.8 years [13.6] and male bias (male = 68.3%) as compared to patients classified as being suspected of cauda equina syndrome (mean age = 53.5 years [17.9], male = 43.4%).

The majority of referrals were classified as a brain tumor, degenerative spine or neurovascular diagnosis (Figure 3A) in line with the center’s main subspecialties. 96% of referrals were stated as an ‘emergency’ or ‘urgent’ by the referring team (Figure 3B) and 79% of referrals were made by a junior registrar or intern (Figure 3C). In terms of how referrals were triaged, 9.5% of referrals were accepted for immediate hospital transfer, 1% were placed on a transfer wait-list and 6.3% were assigned to outpatient review. 36.4% of referrals required additional clinical or imaging information from the referrer in order to make a triage decision. 32.1% of referrals were completed by triaging to conservative treatment or by offering only advice (Figure 4).

Weekly referral timing was found to be concentrated between 2-6PM on weekdays (Figure 5A), particularly for brain tumor referrals. Referrals for other high-volume categories such as degenerative spine and neurovascular diagnoses were more distributed but still significantly less over the weekend (Figure 5B, Supplementary Table 1).

During the aforementioned time period, referrals were received from 116 hospital sites and clinical institutions from across the U.K. (Figure 6A). Five hospitals in the Greater London catchment area accounted for more than 70% of overall referral volume (Figure 6B).

Choice of forecasting algorithm

Prioritizing computational time and test performance, Prophet was selected as the dashboard forecasting algorithm of choice (Figure 7). Although the CNN-LSTM algorithm demonstrated better performance across cross-validation scoring metrics, it was found to require a longer computational training and fitting time making it unsuitable under real-world computational constraints. ARIMA models are often considered as a benchmark model in forecasting. Here, with the addition of STL and an auto-hyperparameter tuning function, the cross-validation performance was comparable with Prophet, however its test performance was worse across time periods and was also marginally slower.

Change in referral volumes
Weekly referral volumes were compared between the first 6 months after the announcement of the U.K. Covid-19 pandemic lockdown as compared to the same time frame after one year. There was a significant increase between these periods, mainly driven by an increase in spinal referrals (Table 1) which include spinal trauma, suspected cauda equina syndrome and degenerative diagnoses. Out-of-sample forecasting by all three time-series algorithms using all available training data demonstrated a consistent increasing long-term referral trend (Figure 7B, Supplementary Figure 1).

Table 1. Median weekly volumes and group-wise differences between the first 6 months of lockdown (March to August, 2020) and the same corresponding months after one year.

All p-values are Bonferroni multiple comparison corrected following univariate Mann-Whitney U tests.

(NS = not significant; *Aggregate of cranial trauma, subdural hemorrhage, stroke, brain tumor, neurovascular disease, congenital diseases and hydrocephalus diagnoses; ** = spinal trauma, cauda equina syndrome, degenerative spine and spinal tumor diagnoses; † = central nervous system infection, non-neurosurgical diagnosis)

| Diagnosis   | Early Covid-19 period median weekly volume | Late Covid-19 period median weekly volume | Difference | p   |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|-----|
| All         | 104                                       | 121                                       | 17         | 0.02|
| Cranial*    | 59                                        | 62                                        | 3          | NS  |
| Spinal**    | 34                                        | 44                                        | 10         | 0.02|
| Other†      | 8                                         | 13                                        | 5          | <0.0001 |

Usability, feasibility and acceptability

20 participants were recruited for feasibility testing, including 5 neurosurgical consultants, 12 registrars and 3 members of management or administration staff. All were blinded to the development of the dashboard. Table 2 lists the average SUS, AIM and FIM scores among participants. An SUS score of 70 or above has previously been defined as a threshold for good usability. In this study, all user groups had mean SUS scores above this benchmark and high mean acceptability (AIM) and feasibility (FIM) scores were also recorded.

Analysis of user feedback explored possible reasons why the dashboard scored well (Supplementary Results, Supplementary Table 2). In brief, users highlighted the figures and interactivity as particularly useful features and felt that the dashboard would be useful to explore referral data, identify current areas for service improvement and suggest future directions for research. The use of time-series forecasting was commented as useful in anticipating service demand. In contrast, users expressed concerns
regarding how the dashboard would be hosted and wished for additional functionality to review the data in more detail.

Table 2. Usability, feasibility and acceptability scores among main user groups

(SUS = System Usability Scale, scored out of 100; AIM = acceptability intervention measure, scored out of 5, FIM = feasibility intervention measure, scored out of 5; SE = standard error)

| User group                      | n  | SUS mean [SE] | AIM mean [SE] | FIM mean [SE] |
|---------------------------------|----|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| All                             | 20 | 77.1 [3.0]    | 4.7 [0.2]     | 4.6 [0.2]     |
| Registrar / Resident            | 12 | 78.0 [3.1]    | 4.8 [0.1]     | 4.7 [0.1]     |
| Consultant / Attending          | 5  | 74.2 [6.8]    | 4.4 [0.4]     | 4.2 [0.4]     |
| Management & Administration     | 3  | 78.3 [10.4]   | 4.9 [0.1]     | 5.0 [0]       |

Discussion

In this study, we present and evaluate an acute neurosurgical referral dashboard that allows users with little to no data-science experience to audit referral data using highly interactive features and visualizations. Referrals can be filtered according to diagnosis, time-frame, age, sex, geographical location, decision-making, referrer information and timing. Even more novel is the dashboard's ability to estimate future referral volumes nearly instantly using novel time-series forecasting techniques. While preliminary, these findings demonstrate our dashboard's versatility and functionality for drawing critical insights into an acute neurosurgical service as well as suggesting various avenues for future quality improvement and research. We confirmed, using a mixed-methods approach that key stakeholders who could benefit from this software, deemed it as usable, acceptable and feasible.

We found that, as anticipated, referral volumes significantly increased between the first 6 months after the Covid-19 pandemic began and a corresponding time period one year later. This was consistent with the findings of ElGhamry et al., who discovered increased referral and operative volumes were apparent in the post-wave period as services were restored to pre-pandemic levels. Changes in referral volume at our center were mostly related to an increase in spinal referrals such as for degenerative spine and suspected cauda equina syndrome. Spinal activity in particular, was previously shown to be reduced during the initial pandemic period. This is most likely due to patient avoidance of healthcare services, governmental self-isolation advice and lower vehicular traffic, however lower referrals did not necessarily translate into fewer spinal procedures.

Beyond the dates of our testing set (from October, 2021), we forecasted that weekly referral volumes would continue to rise over the next year. To evaluate our forecasting functionality, we compared 'standard' models of time-series forecasting (STL + ARIMA) against novel methods, including deep learning algorithms with the stipulation that they could be fitted quickly while the dashboard was in use.
Although the combination of CNN and LSTM methods produced the best cross-validation results it was discovered they were computationally intensive to fit. Similar to previous work \textsuperscript{26}, we found that Prophet was the most time-efficient and had comparable cross-validation scores as compared to STL + ARIMA. Prophet also outperformed both in the withheld testing sample. A shortcoming shared by all forecasting methods was that tuning was performed over a narrow parameter space, implying that there was scope to improve the models with a wider grid search, or via other techniques such as ensemble methods \textsuperscript{27}. Nevertheless, our intention was to improve generalisability by reducing hyperparameter optimization time, and making the software ‘plug and play’.

Although there is no shortage of time-series forecasting examples within the recent surgical literature \textsuperscript{27,28}, there are few that precisely describe acute referral numbers. Chandrabalan et al. trained a forecasting model using Prophet to estimate the pandemic-related deficit in colorectal cancer referrals and found that their predictions overestimated referral volumes as compared to actual data in the early post-pandemic period \textsuperscript{29}. The fact that all algorithms examined in our work had 12-week mean percentage error scores of less than 10 and 15\% for testing and cross-validation respectively and that similar trajectories and volumes were forecasted out-of-sample, lends credibility to our predictions (Supplementary Figure 1).

Low-code and no-code development platforms have recently been gaining traction, permitting users to build, test and share applications with minimal expertise \textsuperscript{30}. Data dashboards are an example of a low-code platform with modular components that allow people to engage with big-data via an easy-to-use graphical user interface. They facilitate audit and exploratory data analysis without the need for programming or spreadsheets. For developers, each module can be iteratively configured with ease to meet the needs of the user-base, allowing for an almost limitless scope for innovation.

Although dashboards have previously been used to explore healthcare big-data \textsuperscript{31,32}, we believe our work is the first to combine surgical large-scale data with machine learning (ML) methods within a dashboard platform. A key strength of this design is that it considerably reduces the gap between the requisite level of technical ability needed to understand ML methods and their actual implementation. Indeed as two study participants commented: “the new AI tool was really user friendly [R5]” and “it was impressive that AI could be implemented and used [in the dashboard] so easily [R8]”.

Despite this, our study has limitations. Accuracy of referral data is highly reliant on the referring doctor and on-call neurosurgical team. Often, there is a change in referral status that is not represented in the record (for example, a pending scan may have been received but the status was not altered) or the specialist working diagnosis was incorrect. Still, some of these inaccuracies are likely to be compensated for, once the data has been aggregated. Although a number of design features were implemented to make the model and software generalisable, further testing on other referral data-sets is required to confirm the tool’s validity. In addition, user feedback from other neurosurgical centers is needed. Furthermore, because our center lacked an existing method for auditing large-volume referral data, there
was no control to compare the dashboard's user experience, making it difficult to gauge the relative utility of our software.

Our focus in this study was to showcase an analytics dashboard that could efficiently audit and predict acute neurosurgical referral volume. In addition to the prospective work aforementioned, there are several opportunities for future development. Setting up a pipeline that can accept referral data, fit models and make predictions contemporaneously would contribute toward a widely-held objective of a dynamic, flexible surgical service. Delaying this are a number of obstacles including practical concerns regarding streamlining access to multiple information pools and data-regulation issues about how and where this type of clinical dashboard would be hosted. Nevertheless, having a dashboard ‘front-end’ for big-data sets that can both describe as well as predict, would expand accessibility and stimulate improvements in the quality of patient care.
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Figures
Figure 1

Data acquisition, processing, analysis and visualization pipeline.

(CNN-LSTM = convolutional neural network - long short-term memory; STL = seasonal and trend decomposition using Loess; ARIMA = automated regression integrated moving average)

Figure 2

Diagnostic Classification

Age (years)
Age and sex distribution of referrals presented by diagnostic classification.

(SDH = subdural hemorrhage; M = male, F = female)

Figure 3
Referral classification.

Referral proportion by diagnostic classification (A), urgency (B), referrer type and seniority (C).

(SDH = subdural hemorrhage; F1/F2 = intern/foundation year 1/2; SHO = senior house officer; SpR = specialist registrar/resident; ANP = advanced nurse practitioner; CNS = clinical nurse specialist; GP = general practitioner)

Figure 4

Referral triage decisions.

Sankey plot illustrating decisions made by the on-call registrar sorted by diagnostic classification (blue bars). Triage decisions are grouped according to whether the patient was accepted (green bars), further information was requested (purple bars), advice or conservative management was suggested (orange bars), an additional neurosurgical review was needed to make a decision (yellow bars) or whether the referral was rejected (red bars).
(MDT = multidisciplinary team meeting)

Figure 5

Referral heatmap.

Referral volumes sorted by day and time for all (A) and for the four highest referring diagnostic categories (B).
Figure 6

Geographic referral visualization.

(A) Map of referring sites (red dots) to the neurosurgical center from across the U.K. between March, 2020 to October 2021. (B) Northern Greater London referral catchment area with referring sites (red circles) size proportional to referral volume. The five highest volume main referring sites are highlighted with black borders. † denotes approximate location of the receiving neurosurgical center.
Figure 7

Time-series evaluation and prediction of future referral volume.

(A) Evaluation of time-series forecasting algorithms using 5-fold block cross-validation (CV) and train-test split (Test) using mean absolute error (MAE), mean predictive error (MPE) and root mean squared error (RMSE) with 1, 4 and 12 week forecasting periods. Algorithm legend: computational time ($t$) taken to run one fold of each algorithm. (STL = Seasonal and Trend decomposition using Loess; CNN-LSTM = ...
Convolutional Neural Network - Long Short-Term Memory, ARIMA = automated regression integrated moving average. (B) Timeline of weekly referral volumes plotted since the start of the Covid-19 pandemic with in-sample forecasting (prediction: yellow dashed line) and 12-week out-of-sample forecasting (future: red dashed line) determined by Prophet with 95% confidence intervals shown in gray.
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