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Deep neuromuscular block does not improve surgical conditions in patients receiving sevoflurane anaesthesia for laparoscopic renal surgery
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Abstract

**Background:** Deep neuromuscular block is associated with improved working conditions during laparoscopic surgery when propofol is used as a general anaesthetic. However, whether deep neuromuscular block yields similar beneficial effects when anaesthesia is maintained using volatile inhalation anaesthesia has not been systematically investigated. Volatile anaesthetics, as opposed to intravenous agents, potentiate muscle relaxation, which potentially reduces the need for deep neuromuscular block to obtain optimal surgical conditions. We examined whether deep neuromuscular block improves surgical conditions over moderate neuromuscular block during sevoflurane anaesthesia.

**Methods:** In this single-centre, prospective, randomised, double-blind study, 98 patients scheduled for elective renal surgery were randomised to receive deep (post-tetanic count \(1\)–\(2\) twitches) or a moderate neuromuscular block (train-of-four \(1\)–\(2\) twitches). Anaesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane and titrated to bispectral index values between 40 and 50. Pneumoperitoneum pressure was maintained at 12 mm Hg. The primary outcome was the difference in surgical conditions, scored at 15 min intervals by one of eight blinded surgeons using a 5-point Leiden-Surgical Rating Scale (L-SRS) that scores the quality of the surgical field from extremely poor\(^1\) to optimal\(^5\).

**Results:** Deep neuromuscular block did not improve surgical conditions compared with moderate neuromuscular block: mean (standard deviation) L-SRS 4.8 (0.3) vs 4.8 (0.4), respectively \((P=0.94)\). Secondary outcomes, including unplanned postoperative readmissions and prolonged hospital admission, were not significantly different.

**Conclusions:** During sevoflurane anaesthesia, deep neuromuscular block did not improve surgical conditions over moderate neuromuscular block in normal-pressure laparoscopic renal surgery.

**Clinical trial registration:** NL7844 (www.trialregister.nl).
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Neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs) are routinely administered during general anaesthesia to facilitate tracheal intubation and to optimise surgical conditions. Increasing data suggest superiority of deep neuromuscular block (defined by a post-tetanic count of 1–2 twitches) in creating optimal working conditions for the surgical team. However, it is unknown whether other aspects of anaesthetic technique, most notably choice of anaesthetic (e.g. total intravenous anaesthesia), influence the relationship between the degree of the neuromuscular block and surgical conditions, particularly in laparoscopic surgery. Volatile anaesthetics are known to potentiate NMBAs, an effect that is less evident with propofol. We have shown that surgical working conditions in laparoscopic surgery during propofol anaesthesia are highly reliant on the degree of neuromuscular block. Whether such a relationship also exists for inhalation anaesthetics is unknown. To investigate this, we conducted a prospective, randomised, double-blinded study in which patients scheduled for laparoscopic renal surgery were randomised to receive either moderate or a deep neuromuscular block during sevoflurane anaesthesia. The primary outcome was intraoperative surgical condition assessed by a surgeon using the Leiden-Surgical Rating Scale (L-SRS). We hypothesised that use of a volatile inhalation anaesthetic would obviate the need for deep neuromuscular block because of the intrinsic neuromuscular blocking agent potentiating properties of the anaesthetic to improve surgical working conditions.

**Methods**

**Study design and patients**

The study, known as BLISS4, was carried out between May 2018 and March 2020 at the Leiden University Medical Centre in Leiden, The Netherlands after approval of the local ethics committee (Medische Ethische Toetsingscommissie Leiden-Den Haag-Delft). The trial was performed according to Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and was registered in the trial register of the Dutch Cochrane Centre (trialregister.nl; identifier NL7844). Eligible procedures were limited to elective laparoscopic renal surgeries. Data from previous studies showed that these procedures benefit from deep neuromuscular block in terms of improved surgical work conditions. Eligible patients received oral and written information 2 weeks before surgery. If a patient was willing to participate, written informed consent was obtained. Inclusion criteria were: 18 yr of age or older, ASA physical status 1–3, and elective laparoscopic surgery (e.g. donor-nephrectomy, renal tumour resection, or pyeloplasty). Exclusion criteria were inability to give informed consent, BMI >35 kg m⁻², previous surgery at procedure site, neuromuscular disease, pregnancy, and contraindications to study medications. Just before surgery (in the operating room), subjects were randomised between a moderate neuromuscular block (train-of-four [TOF] count 1–3 twitches) or deep neuromuscular block (post-tetanic count 1–2 twitches). Randomisation was performed within the electronic data capture system CASTOR (https://www.castoredc.com) just before induction of anaesthesia. This system was also used for collection and storage of data. The attending anaesthesiologist was unblinded and was responsible for rocuronium administration. The study team and surgeons were fully blinded and remained so until all the data were analysed.

**Scoring of surgical conditions**

The surgeon scored the quality of the intra-abdominal conditions at 15 min intervals using the L-SRS (see Martini and colleagues and Boon and colleagues). In brief, the L-SRS is a 5-point Likert scale that enables quantification of surgical conditions in a standardised fashion. The scale runs from 1 to 5: extremely poor (score=1), poor (2), acceptable (3), good (4), and excellent (5) surgical working conditions. The L-SRS has been internally and externally validated by our own group and other teams. In our institution, surgeons have ample experience in using this scale. A dedicated team of eight surgeons performed all procedures and gave their L-SRS scores. As intra-abdominal pressure influences the quality of the surgical field, a target intra-abdominal pressure of 12 mm Hg was used in all cases.

**Perioperative protocol**

All patients received standardised general anaesthesia with propofol/sufentanil/rocuronium for induction and sevoflurane/sufentanil/rocuronium for maintenance of anaesthesia. Routine monitoring was applied including bispectral index (BIS; Philips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), noninvasive blood pressure, heart rate, ECG, pulse oximetry, and measurement of nasopharyngeal temperature. Sevoflurane administration was such that BIS values were kept between 40 and 50. Temperature was maintained between 36°C and 37°C with forced warm air blankets. In addition, noicception was monitored with the nociception level index (NOL; PMD200 Medasense, Ramat Gan, Israel). Sufentanil was dosed during surgery aimed at keeping NOL between 10 and 25. Depth of neuromuscular block was measured with the TOF-Cuff brachial plexus compresso-myography (RGB Medical Devices, Madrid, Spain) applied on an upper arm and calibrated according to the manufacturer’s guidelines before administration of rocuronium. All data were collected at 15 min intervals during the procedure, simultaneously with the scoring by the surgeon.

Subjects received pre-emptive postoperative analgesia (acetaminophen 1 g i.v. and morphine 0.1–0.15 mg kg⁻¹ i.v.), 1 h before the end of surgery. After surgery, subjects were admitted to the PACU for routine monitoring. Data on nausea, vomiting, sedation level, oxygen saturation, and pain (visual analogue scale, 0–10) were collected at 15 min intervals. Pain scores represented overall pain level and were not related to body area (i.e. no distinction was made between shoulder vs abdominal pain). Intravenous morphine was the opioid of
choice for treatment of pain scores of 4 or greater. Data collection ended at the time of discharge to the ward, except for follow-up of (serious) adverse events, which continued for 7 days after surgery.

Neuromuscular block and reversal

Moderate neuromuscular block

In subjects randomised to receive moderate neuromuscular block, a bolus dose of rocuronium 0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.v. was administered, followed by intermittent injections of rocuronium 10–20 mg, aimed at keeping the TOF count at 1–2 twitches. At the end of the procedure, reversal of the neuromuscular block was by administration of sugammadex (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.v.). Patients were extubated when the TOF ratio reached 1.0, were breathing spontaneously, and were awake.

Deep neuromuscular block

In subjects randomised to receive deep neuromuscular block, a bolus dose of rocuronium 1.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.v. was administered, followed by a continuous infusion started at 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) and titrated to keep the post-tetanic count at 1–2 twitches throughout the procedure. In case the surgeon scored L-SRS at 1 or 2 (extremely poor or poor conditions), a bolus of rocuronium 10 mg could be administered. At the end of the procedure, reversal of the neuromuscular block was achieved with administration of sugammadex 2–4 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.v. Patients were extubated when the TOF ratio reached 1.0.

Sample size calculation and data analysis

The study was powered to detect a minimum clinically relevant mean difference between the treatment groups of 0.5 point on the 5-point L-SRS scale. Assuming a standard deviation of 0.75, a sample size of 47 subjects per group would provide at least 90% power to observe the expected difference at \(\alpha=0.05\). We chose to study 50 subjects per group to consider any margin of uncertainty around the effect size and standard deviation and possible subject withdrawal or loss of patients for other (e.g. logistic) reasons.

Generalised linear models were fitted to the data using the generalised estimating equations method (GEEGLM) in R (version 3.6.3, 2020, package “geepack”; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) to compare L-SRS data over time. Variability of the L-SRS was analysed by mean coefficient of variation of individual ratings. Comparisons of scores at fixed time points were analysed with the Mann–Whitney U-test. Other data were analysed with standard parametric or non-parametric tests as appropriate. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package (version 26.0; IBM SPSS statistics, Armonk, NY, USA). A \(P\) value <0.05 was considered significant. Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise stated.
**Systematic review**

We performed a search on May 26, 2020 using PubMed to identify manuscripts that studied the effect of deep neuromuscular block on surgical working conditions, and examined their relationship with intravenous or inhalation anaesthesia. Only original randomised controlled trials, written in English, specifically assessing intraoperative surgical conditions during deep neuromuscular block were considered. Eligible manuscript titles and abstracts were screened independently by MH and MB; inconsistencies in final full-text selection were resolved by consensus. The search strategy and PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) study selection flow chart are provided in Supplement 1 and Figure 1.

**Results**

A total of 129 patients were screened for eligibility, of whom 102 were enrolled in the study. Reasons for exclusions were: not meeting inclusion criteria (n=3), declining to participate (n=19), or cancellation of the surgical procedure (n=5). Four enrolled subjects were not randomised, and consequently not studied, because of logistic reasons (e.g. postponement of surgery owing to the coronavirus disease 2019 [COVID-19] pandemic). The remaining 98 subjects were allocated, randomised, and treated according to protocol. All subjects were included in the data analysis and none were lost during follow-up. Figure 2 depicts the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) flow chart of the study.

**Perioperative measurements**

Baseline characteristics and intraoperative measurements did not differ between groups apart from depth of neuromuscular block (Tables 1 and 2). Importantly, depth of anaesthesia and NOL index were not significantly different between groups, both on average and at all individual time points (Table 2). Intraoperative opioid consumption was similar between groups. The median (inter-quartile range) level of neuromuscular block in the deep neuromuscular block group was PTC 2 (1–3) twitches and TOF 1 (1–1) twitches in the moderate neuromuscular block group.

The primary outcome, surgical working conditions as rated by surgeons on the L-SRS, was recorded 826 times during the
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Table 1 Subject baseline characteristics. Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise stated. NMB, neuromuscular block.

| Procedure, n (%) | Moderate NMB (n=49) | Deep NMB (n=49) |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| (Donor) nephrectomy | 36 (74) | 45 (92) |
| Pyeloplasty | 13 (28) | 4 (8) |
| Sex (M/F) | 21/28 | 20/29 |
| Age (yr), median (range) | 57 (20–77) | 51 (22–84) |
| Height (m) | 1.75 (0.1) | 1.75 (0.1) |
| Weight (kg) | 80.0 (13.5) | 81.4 (13.9) |
| BMI (kg m⁻²) | 26.2 (3.7) | 26.4 (3.2) |
| ASA physical status, n (%) | 1 | 22 (45) | 28 (57) |
| 2 | 26 (53) | 20 (41) |
| 3 | 1 (2) | 1 (2) |

Table 2 Intraoperative measurements. Moderate NMB (n=49) vs Deep NMB (n=49).

| Intraoperative measurements | Moderate NMB | Deep NMB |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Duration of surgery (min) | 164 (70–251) | 177 (84–298) |
| Train-of-four count | 1 (1–3) | 0 |
| Post-tetanic count | – | 2 (0–14) |
| Leiden-Surgical Rating Scale (1–5) | 4.8 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.3) |
| Mean (°) | 5 (3–5) | 5 (4–5) |
| Median (range) | 42 (33–50) | 42 (29–51) |
| Bispectral index | 1.77 (1.5–2.2) | 1.78 (1.4–2.3) |
| End-tidal sevoflurane concentration (vol%) | 1.1 (0.8–1.2) | 1.0 (0.8–1.4) |
| Minimum alveolar concentration | 84 (67–103) | 85 (69–105) |
| Mean arterial blood pressure (mm Hg) | 11 (1–42) | 13 (4–27) |
| Nociception level index | 110 (50–210) | 206 (110–401) |
| Total rocuronium (mg) | 200 (150–400) | 320 (200–600) |
| Total sufentanil (µg) | 10 (5–15) | 10 (5–15) |
| Total morphine (mg) | 60 (30–100) | 60 (40–115) |

Table 3 Measurements and drug administration in the PACU. Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise indicated. NMB, neuromuscular block; SpO₂, blood oxygen saturation.

| PACU measurements | Moderate NMB (n=49) | Deep NMB (n=49) |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Time to discharge readiness (min) | 51 (36) | 53 (35) |
| NRS | 2.9 (1.9) | 3.2 (1.8) |
| Patients with NRS >5, n (%) | 15 (31) | 16 (33) |
| Sedation score | 0.9 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.6) |
| SpO₂ (%) | 98 (1) | 98 (1) |
| Oxygen dependency, n (%) | 21 (43) | 24 (49) |
| Nausea, n (%) | 7 (14) | 14 (29) |
| Total administered morphine (mg) | 3.4 (4.8) | 4.4 (4.6) |

The distribution of scores was comparable between groups: L-SRS=5 was scored in 84.0% vs 83.6% during deep and moderate neuromuscular block, respectively; L-SRS <4 were not scored during deep neuromuscular block compared with 3.8% of all scores in the moderate neuromuscular block group. L-SRS <3 was not reported in any group. A graphical display of the results is available in Supplementary Figure S1. The variability of ratings (mean coefficient of variation of ratings) was 2.8% in deep neuromuscular block and 4.7% in moderate neuromuscular block group. In 5 out of 98 procedures the surgeon noted sudden deterioration of the surgical working field from L-SRS 5 to lower values (3 or 4). This happened four times during moderate neuromuscular block and once during deep neuromuscular block. In two cases (one in each group), the laparoscopic procedure was converted to an open procedure because of technical difficulties unrelated to the quality of the surgical field (e.g. uncontrollable bleeding).

Postoperative measurements and outcomes

No statistically significant differences were observed in the PACU with regard to pain and total morphine requirement, sedation level, oxygen saturation level, and need for supplemental oxygen (Table 3). During the 7 day follow-up, five subjects (10%) in the moderate neuromuscular group suffered a serious adverse event: three subjects required prolonged hospital stay of which one was related to postoperative pneumonia and two subjects were readmitted after discharge because of ureter obstruction (n=1) or postoperative infection (n=1). In the deep neuromuscular block group, two subjects (4%) suffered a serious adverse event, in both cases prolonged hospital stay (one related to the conversion to open surgery and one because of deterioration of renal function). As judged by the local ethics committee, all adverse events were unrelated to the study.

Systematic review

The literature search yielded 393 papers. After a careful selection process (Fig. 1, PRISMA study selection flow chart), 22 papers were included in the review (Table 4). We identified 12 that used propofol as primary anaesthetic agent, and six identified a benefit of deep neuromuscular block on surgical working conditions, and six did not. Seven out of these 10 studies reported a benefit of deep neuromuscular block during inhalation anaesthesia on surgical working conditions. Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise indicated. Discharge readiness at PACU is defined by time to an Aldrete score >9 and pain score <5 as measured by the numerical rating scale (NRS). Sedation score: Leiden Observer’s Assessment of Alertness/Sedation; 7-point scale from 0 (no sedation) to 6 (not arousable). Differences are not statistically significant (P>0.05) NMB, neuromuscular block; SpO₂, blood oxygen saturation.

Table 4 Selection process (Fig. 1, PRISMA study selection flow chart), 22 papers were included in the review (Table 4). We identified 12 that used propofol as primary anaesthetic agent, and six identified a benefit of deep neuromuscular block on surgical working conditions, and six did not. Seven out of these 10 studies reported a benefit of deep neuromuscular block during inhalation anaesthesia on surgical working conditions. Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise indicated. Discharge readiness at PACU is defined by time to an Aldrete score >9 and pain score <5 as measured by the numerical rating scale (NRS). Sedation score: Leiden Observer’s Assessment of Alertness/Sedation; 7-point scale from 0 (no sedation) to 6 (not arousable). Differences are not statistically significant (P>0.05) NMB, neuromuscular block; SpO₂, blood oxygen saturation.
Discussion

Suboptimal surgical working conditions during procedures under general anaesthesia are commonly encountered. These events are often benign and self-limiting but may potentially induce harm, especially when they occur unexpectedly at critical moments. Therefore, strategies that reduce these incidences may improve patient outcome. Deep neuromuscular block on surgical working conditions during procedures, but differed with respect to the hypnotic that was used for maintenance (propofol vs sevoflurane in the current study). When we compare the results of these two studies, the effects of deep vs moderate neuromuscular block on surgical working conditions were compared for similar types of surgical procedures, but differed with respect to the hypnotic that was used for maintenance (propofol vs sevoflurane in the current study). When we compare the results of these two studies, the results of the deep neuromuscular block group during propofol anaesthesia are comparable with the results of the moderate neuromuscular block group during sevoflurane anaesthesia with similar L-SRS scores, distributions of scores, and low variability of individual ratings. Importantly, variability of ratings in the moderate neuromuscular block group during propofol anaesthesia was 26%, a sharp contrast with the current observation made during sevoflurane anaesthesia (3.3%). Although the current study did not directly compare the effect of depth of neuromuscular block during propofol vs sevoflurane and therefore does not allow for definitive conclusions, the general picture is that similarly optimal surgical conditions are obtained with moderate neuromuscular block during sevoflurane anaesthesia and deep neuromuscular block during propofol anaesthesia. Therefore, application of a deep neuromuscular block when using sevoflurane does not offer significant advantages in this respect.

Table 4 Overview of RCTs evaluating deep neuromuscular block (NMB) in abdominal surgery. *Surgical working conditions not the primary outcome of the study. †Paediatric population. BIS, bispectral index; MAC, minimum alveolar concentration; SE, state entropy.

| Authors                              | Surgical working conditions improved with deep NMB? | Comparator to deep NMB | Hypnotic agent | Depth of anaesthesia: BIS/MAC/vol.% | Low pressure pneumoperitoneum? |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Inhalation anaesthesia               |                                                    |                        |                |                                   |                               |
| Blobner and colleagues14             | Yes                                                | No NMB                 | Desflurane     | BIS 40–50                         | No                            |
| Dubois and colleagues15              | Yes                                                | Single Shot            | Desflurane     | MAC 1.0                           | No                            |
| Fuchs-Buder and colleagues16         | Yes                                                | Moderate               | Desflurane     | 4.0–6.0%                          | No                            |
| Kim and colleagues17                 | Yes*                                               | Moderate               | Desflurane     | 4.0–7.0%                          | Yes                           |
| Koo and colleagues18                 | Yes*                                               | Moderate               | Desflurane     | BIS 40–60                         | Yes                           |
| Ozdemir-van Bunschot and colleagues19| Yes                                                 | Moderate               | Sevoflurane    | MAC 1.0                           | Yes                           |
| Yoo and colleagues21                 | Yes*                                               | Moderate               | Sevoflurane    | BIS 40–60                         | Yes                           |
| Staehr-Rye and colleagues22          | No                                                 | On Demand              | Sevoflurane    | MAC 1.0                           | No                            |
| Williams and colleagues23            | No*                                                | Moderate               | Unknown        | Unknown                           | No                            |
| Kim and colleagues24                 | No*                                                | Moderate               | Desflurane     | 4.0–8.0%                          | No                            |
| Total intravenous anaesthesia        |                                                    |                        |                |                                   |                               |
| Koo and colleagues25                 | Yes*                                               | Moderate               | Propofol       | Unknown                           | Yes                           |
| Koo and colleagues19                 | Yes*                                               | Moderate               | Propofol       | BIS 40–50                         | Unknown                       |
| Madsen and colleagues26              | Yes                                                | Single Shot            | Propofol       | SE 30–50                          | Not applicable                 |
| Martini and colleagues5              | Yes                                                | Moderate               | Propofol       | BIS 45–55                         | No                            |
| Rosenberg and colleagues27           | Yes                                                | Shallow (TOF ratio 0,1)| Propofol       | BIS 40–50                         | Yes                           |
| Torensma and colleagues10            | Yes                                                | Moderate               | Propofol       | BIS 45–55                         | No                            |
| Baete and colleagues28               | No                                                 | Moderate               | Propofol       | Unknown                           | No                            |
| Barrio and colleagues29              | No                                                 | Moderate               | Propofol       | BIS 40–60                         | Yes                           |
| Brunntjes and colleagues30           | No*                                                | Single Shot            | Propofol       | BIS 40–50                         | Yes                           |
| Klucka and colleagues31,14           | No                                                 | Moderate               | Propofol       | Unknown                           | Yes                           |
| Soderstrom and colleagues32          | No                                                 | No NMB                 | Propofol       | BIS 40–60                         | No                            |
| Staehr-Rye and colleagues33          | No                                                 | Single shot            | Propofol       | SE                               | Yes                           |
In a systematic review of the literature, we considered papers that studied the effect of muscle relaxation on surgical working conditions based on the primary anaesthetic agent (i.e. propofol vs inhalation anaesthetic). Seven (of 10) studies reported improved surgical working conditions with deep neuromuscular block during inhalation anaesthesia.\textsuperscript{14–18,20,21} At first, this appears to contradict with our current results; however, the context of these studies differed markedly from our study. For example, four of the seven positive studies found a beneficial effect of deep neuromuscular block during low pressure pneumoperitoneum,\textsuperscript{17–18,20,21} and two studies had an insufficient comparator to deep neuromuscular block (i.e. deep neuromuscular block was compared with groups in which no or single dose neuromuscular block was used).\textsuperscript{14,15} One study showed that surgical conditions improved when switching from moderate to deep neuromuscular block in bariatric surgery during desflurane anaesthesia.\textsuperscript{16} It may be that the beneficial effects of volatile anaesthetics on the quality of the surgical field are less pronounced when laparoscopic surgery is performed at a low insufflation pressure or in bariatric surgery, still requiring deep neuromuscular block for optimal surgical exposure in these settings. The degree of potentiation of neuromuscular block differs between various inhalation anaesthetics, with others being more potent than halogenated hydrocarbons\textsuperscript{42} such that effects on surgical working conditions may likewise differ between various inhalation agents. However, we contend that for laparoscopic kidney surgery, and possibly other less complex laparoscopic procedures using a normal insufflation pressure, moderate neuromuscular block is sufficient when sevoflurane is used. Observations made during open surgery endorse a similar approach. For instance, King and colleagues\textsuperscript{43} investigated the effect of muscle relaxation (vecuronium aimed at TOF count 1 twitch vs placebo) during open retropubic prostatectomy under isoflurane–fentanyl anaesthesia. They found that surgical scores were acceptable without muscle relaxation; however, moderate neuromuscular block reduced the incidence of unacceptable surgical conditions.

Our systematic review identified 12 studies that used propofol as a primary anaesthetic, of which half found a benefit of deep neuromuscular block,\textsuperscript{6,10,18,25–27} whereas the other half did not (Table 4).\textsuperscript{28–32} Significant methodological differences and the large heterogeneity in surgical procedures prevents drawing definitive conclusions from these studies. However, the results of our current (sevoflurane) and previous (propofol) studies\textsuperscript{6,7} give an indication of the differential effects of propofol and sevoflurane on surgical working conditions in laparoscopic renal surgery. The controlled anaesthesia protocol of the current study, using predetermined bispectral and nociception level index targets, decreased the chance of under- or over-dosing of sevoflurane and opioids. These aspects increase the likelihood that the absence of benefit of deep neuromuscular block was indeed determined by the choice for sevoflurane.

Our study has several limitations. First, the high degree of anaesthetic standardisation may limit generalisation to real-world practice, as this set-up may not always be possible. In addition, findings on the L-SRS are in essence subjective scorings, which have unclear relationships with other outcomes. Although there is subjectivity in every rating system, the L-SRS has shown good internal and external validity.\textsuperscript{5,10,11} The current study, however, does not answer the question whether the absence of benefit of deep neuromuscular block on surgical working conditions translates into the absence of benefit on other outcomes that were observed in earlier (exploratory) prospective and retrospective studies. For example earlier studies suggested that deep neuromuscular block is associated with less postoperative pain and reduced incidence of unplanned readmission.\textsuperscript{20,17,35} The effect of deep neuromuscular block given with sevoflurane anaesthesia on other endpoints and under different circumstances (e.g. during low-pressure laparoscopy or bariatric surgery) needs to be addressed in future studies. Finally, the application of deep neuromuscular block may increase the risk for residual neuromuscular block or prolonged reversal times, especially if high doses of rocuronium are administered. Use of NMBAs in general and, application of deep neuromuscular block in particular, should only be used with guidance of TOF monitoring, and a threshold TOF ratio of 0.9 should always be regarded as minimum recovery before tracheal extubation is attempted.\textsuperscript{34,35}

In conclusion, this study shows that during normal-pressure laparoscopic renal surgery, deep neuromuscular block has no benefit over a moderate neuromuscular block with regard to surgical working conditions when anaesthesia is maintained with sevoflurane.

**Authors’ contributions**

Data collection: GH, CM, RB, VH, IA, MN, MV, AD, MB  
Statistical analysis: GH, EO, MV  
Writing of the manuscript: GH, AD, MB  
Inception of the study idea: CM, AD, MB  
Study design: CM, EO, RB, VH, IA, MN, MV, LA, AD, MB  
Revision of the manuscript: CM, EO, RB, VH, IA, MN, MV, LA  
All authors approved the final manuscript.

**Declarations of interest**

MB, AD, and CM received consultancy fees, speaker fees, or both from Merck Sharp Dome. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Funding**

Investigator initiated trial grant from Merck Sharp Dome (Kenilworth, NJ, USA).

**Acknowledgements**

The authors thank all involved surgeons and anaesthesiologists for their contribution to this trial.

**Appendix A. Supplementary data**

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.09.024.

**References**

1. Raval AD, Deshpande S, Rabar S, et al. Does deep neuromuscular blockade during laparoscopy procedures change patient, surgical, and healthcare resource outcomes? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS One 2020; 15, e0231452

2. Bruintjes MH, van Helden EV, Braat AE, et al. Deep neuromuscular block to optimize surgical space
conditions during laparoscopic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth 2017; 118: 834–42

3. Shin YS, Miller RD, Caldwell JE, Eger 2nd EJ. The neuromuscular effects of sevoflurane and isoflurane alone and in combination with vecuronium or atracurium in the rat. J Anesth 1992; 6: 1–8

4. Wright PM, Hart P, Lau M, et al. The magnitude and time course of vecuronium potentiation by desflurane versus isoflurane. Anesthesiology 1995; 82: 404–11

5. Wulf H, Ledowski T, Linstedt U, Proppe D, Sitzlack D. Neuromuscular blocking effects of rocuronium during desflurane, isoflurane, and sevoflurane anaesthesia. Can J Anaesth 1998; 45: 526–32

6. Martini CH, Boon M, Bevers RF, Aarts LP, Dahan A. Evaluation of surgical conditions during laparoscopic surgery in patients with moderate vs deep neuromuscular block. Br J Anaesth 2014; 112: 496–505

7. Boon M, Martini C, Hellinga M, Bevers R, Aarts L, Dahan A. Influence of variations in arterial FCO2 on surgical conditions during laparoscopic retroperitoneal surgery. Br J Anaesth 2016; 117: 59–65

8. Boon M, Martini CH, Aarts LP, Bevers RF, Dahan A. Effect of variations in depth of neuromuscular blockade on rating of surgical conditions by surgeon and anesthesiologist in patients undergoing laparoscopic renal or prostatic surgery (BLISS trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2013; 14: 63

9. Fuchs-Buder T, Claudius C, Skovgaard LT, et al. Good clinical research practice in pharmacodynamic studies of neuromuscular blocking agents II: the Stockholm revision. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2007; 51: 789–808

10. Torensma B, Martini CH, Boon M, et al. Deep neuromuscular block improves surgical conditions during bariatric surgery and reduces postoperative pain: a randomized double blind controlled trial. PLoS One 2016; 11, e0167907

11. Nervil GG, Medici R, Tomesen JLD, et al. Validation of subjective rating scales for assessment of surgical workspace during laparoscopy. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2017; 61: 1270–7

12. Martini CH, Boon M, Broens SJ, et al. Ability of the nociception level, a multiparameter composite of autonomic signals, to detect noxious stimuli during propofol–remifentanil anaesthesia. Anaesthesiology 2015; 123: 524–34

13. Rodiera J, Serradell A, Alvarez-Gomez JA, Aliaga L. The cuff method: a pilot study of a new method of monitoring neuromuscular function. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2005; 49: 1552–8

14. Blobner M, Frick CG, Stauble RB, et al. Neuromuscular blockade improves surgical conditions (NISCO). Surg Endosc 2015; 29: 627–36

15. Dubois PE, Putz L, Jamart J, Marotta ML, Gourdin M, Donnez O. Deep neuromuscular block improves surgical conditions during laparoscopic hysterectomy: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2014; 31: 430–6

16. Fuchs-Buder T, Schmartz D, Baumann C, et al. Deep neuromuscular blockade improves surgical conditions during gastric bypass surgery for morbid obesity: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2019; 36: 486–93

17. Kim MH, Lee KY, Lee KY, Min BS, Yoo YC. Maintaining optimal surgical conditions with low insufflation pressures is possible with deep neuromuscular blockade during laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group clinical trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016; 95, e2920

18. Koo BW, Oh AY, Na HS, et al. Effects of depth of neuromuscular block on surgical conditions during laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a randomised controlled trial. Anaesthesia 2018; 73: 1090–6

19. Koo BW, Oh AY, Ryu JH, et al. Effects of deep neuromuscular blockade on the stress response during laparoscopic gastrectomy Randomized controlled trials. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 12411

20. Ozdemir-van Brunschet DMD, Braat AE, van der Jagt MFP, et al. Deep neuromuscular blockade improves surgical conditions during low-pressure pneumoperitoneum laparoscopic donor nephrectomy. Surg Endosc 2018; 32: 245–51

21. Yoo YC, Kim NY, Shin S, et al. The intraocular pressure under deep versus moderate neuromuscular blockade during low-pressure robot assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in a randomized trial. PLoS One 2015; 10, e0135412

22. Veelo DP, Gisbertz SS, Binnekade JM, et al. On-demand versus continuous rocuronium infusion for deep neuromuscular relaxation in patients undergoing thoracolaparoscopic esophagectomy: a randomized-controlled clinical trial (DEPTH). Can J Anaesth 2019; 66: 1062–74

23. Williams 3rd WH, Cata JP, Lasala JD, Navai N, Feng L, Gottumukkala V. Effect of reversal of deep neuromuscular block with sugammadex or moderate block by neostigmine on shoulder pain in elderly patients undergoing robotic prostatectomy. Br J Anaesth 2020; 124: 156–72

24. Kim HJ, Lee KY, Kim MH, Kim HJ, Bai SJ. Effects of deep vs moderate neuromuscular block on the quality of recovery after robotic gastrectomy. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2019; 63: 306–13

25. Koo BW, Oh AY, Seo KS, Han JW, Han HS, Yoon YS. Randomized clinical trial of moderate versus deep neuromuscular block for low-pressure pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic colorectectomy. World J Surg 2016; 40: 2898–903

26. Madsen MV, Scheppan S, Mork E, Kissmeyer P, Rosenberg J, Gatke MR. Influence of deep neuromuscular block on the surgeons assessment of surgical conditions during laparotomy: a randomized controlled double blinded trial with rocuronium and sugammadex. Br J Anaesth 2017; 119: 435–42

27. Rosenberg J, Herring WJ, Blobner M, et al. Deep neuromuscular blockade improves laparoscopic surgical conditions: a randomized, controlled study. Adv Ther 2017; 34: 925–36

28. Baete S, Vercruysse G, Vander Laenen M, et al. The effect of deep versus moderate neuromuscular block on surgical conditions and postoperative respiratory function in bariatric laparoscopic surgery: a randomized, double blind clinical trial. Anesth Analg 2017; 124: 1469–75

29. Barrio J, Errando CL, Garcia-Ramon J, Selles R, San Miguel G, Gallego J. Influence of depth of neuromuscular blockade on surgical conditions during low-pressure pneumoperitoneum laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized blinded study. J Clin Anesth 2017; 42: 26–30

30. Bruintjes MHD, Krijtenburg P, Martini CH, et al. Efficacy of profound versus moderate neuromuscular blockade in enhancing postoperative recovery after laparoscopic donor nephrectomy: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2019; 36: 494–501

31. Klucka J, Szturzova K, Kosinova M, et al. Depth of neuromuscular blockade and the perioperative conditions in...
Deep neuromuscular block does not improve surgical conditions

38. Paul M, Fokt RM, Kindler CH, Dipp NC, Yost CS. Characterization of the interactions between volatile anesthetics and neuromuscular blockers at the muscle nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. Anesth Analg 2002; 95: 362–7
39. Bock M, Klippel K, Nitsche B, Bach A, Martin E, Motsch J. Rocuronium potency and recovery characteristics during steady-state desflurane, sevoflurane, isoflurane or propofol anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2000; 84: 43–7
40. Eger 2nd EI, Raines DE, Shafer SL, Hemmings Jr HC, Sonner JM. Is a new paradigm needed to explain how inhaled anesthetics produce immobility? Anesth Analg 2008; 107: 832–48
41. Pilge S, Jordan D, Kreuzer M, Kochs EF, Schneider G. Burst suppression-MAC and burst suppression-CP(5)(0) as measures of cerebral effects of anaesthetics. Br J Anaesth 2014; 112: 1067–74
42. Waud BE. Decrease in dose requirement of d-tubocurarine by volatile anesthetics. Anesthesiology 1979; 51: 298–302
43. King M, Sujirattanawimol N, Danielson DR, Hall BA, Schroeder DR, Warner DO. Requirements for muscle relaxants during radical retropubic prostatectomy. Anesthesiology 2000; 93: 1392–7
44. Eriksson LI. Evidence-based practice and neuromuscular monitoring: it’s time for routine quantitative assessment. Anesthesiology 2003; 98: 1037–9

Handling editor: Hugh C Hemmings Jr