Supplemental Materials

Supplementary method

**COMT rs4633 genotyping**

The PCR was operated at a 20 μL volume using 1 μL genomic DNA, 0.4 μL primer mixture, 2 μL dNTPs, 0.6 μL Mg²⁺, 2 μL buffer, 4 μL Q-Solution, and 0.3 μL Taq DNA polymerase. The amplification protocol included an initial denaturation and enzyme activation phase at 95°C for 15 mins, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 secs, annealing at 59°C for 1 min and 30 secs, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and then a final extension at 72°C for 7 mins. Afterwards, PCR products were affirmed in 3% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide to make sure the amount of DNA added to the LDR.

Three probes were developed for the LDR reactions, including a common probe (rs4633_modify: P-TGGTTTCAGATCGTGCTCTTTGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT

The resting-state fMRI data were preprocessed using the SPM12 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) with the following steps. The first 10 volumes were discarded for signal reaching equilibrium and participants adapting to the scanning noise. Acquisition time delays were corrected between slices for remaining 170 volumes and these volumes were realigned to the first volume to correct head motions. During the correction of head motion, we only included subjects with translational or rotational motion parameters lower than 2 mm or 2°. What’s more, we calculated the frame-wise displacement (FD), an index representing volume-to-volume changes in head position (Power et al., 2012). We obtained FD from the derivatives of the rigid
body realignment estimates that were used to realign functional MRI data (Power et al., 2012; 2013). These functional images were spatially normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using steps described below: we first linearly co-registered individual structural images into the mean motion-corrected functional image; then we segmented the co-registered structural images into gray matter, white matter and CSF, meanwhile non-linearly co-registered gray matter to the MNI space; and finally we normalized the motion-corrected functional volumes into the MNI space by using the parameters estimated during non-linear co-registration. Then, we resampled the normalized functional volumes into a voxel size of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm$^3$. At last, functional images were band-pass filtered with a frequency range of 0.01-0.1 Hz and nuisance covariates (including six head motion parameters and average BOLD signals of the ventricular, and white matter) were regressed out.

**FCD calculation**

To minimize unwanted effects from susceptibility-related signal-loss artifacts, a gray matter (GM) mask was applied to restrict the calculation of the FCD to voxels only in the GM regions with a signal-to-noise $>50$ (Tomasi and Volkow, 2010). To enhance the normality of the distribution, grand mean scaling of FCD was obtained by dividing by the mean FCD value of the qualified voxels in the whole brain. Finally, the normalized FCD maps were spatially smoothed with a $6 \times 6 \times 6$ mm$^3$ full-width at half maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel.

**Gene expression analysis**

There were four brains only having left-hemispheres and two brains having two hemispheres. Each donated brain had MRI scans before being dissected, so each sample had MNI coordinate. And importantly, mRNA microarray analysis was done for each sample to depict the transcriptional profiles in human brain (detailed information please see http://help.brain-map.org/display/humanbrain/Documentation). The steps actually performed in this analysis are as follows. Firstly, To get the $DRD2$ and $DRD3$ expression values in each sample of six donated brains, we chose one probe to represent gene expression of $DRD2$ and $DRD3$ by calculating which probe was most correlated with other probes as done in previous studies (Hawrylycz et al., 2015; Forest et al., 2017), which could make the results more reliable. Secondly, we extracted the average F values indicating group differences of FCD within spherical ROIs (radius $= 6$mm) centered at MNI coordinate of each sample in each brain. Thirdly, we performed sample-wise spatial correlation between gene expression profiles and effect of $COMT$ on brain FCD for $DRD2$ and $DRD3$. Lastly, we did one sample T test for correlation coefficient to compare the consistency among six donated brains. Because we performed two spatial correlation analyses, Bonferroni method was applied to correct for multiple comparisons ($P < 0.05$).
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Supplementary results

Demographic information in 279 subjects

After excluding 44 subjects with genotyping failure (n=29) and without cognitive data (n=15), 279 healthy young Chinese Han subjects were included in the cognitive analysis. The genotypic distributions of COMT rs4633 was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P = 0.431$). There was significant difference in years of education ($P = 0.009$), but not in gender and age ($P > 0.05$) among the three genotypic subgroups (Table S1).

Cognitive analysis in 279 subjects

COMT genotypic subgroups showed significant differences in VIQ ($P = 9\times 10^{-6}$), FIQ ($P = 1.06\times 10^{-4}$) and 3-back accuracy ($P = 0.01$), but not in PIQ ($P = 0.195$), 2-back accuracy ($P = 0.094$) and PPE ($P = 0.226$). After regressing out the effects of years of education and 3-back accuracy, VIQ ($P = 0.004$) and FIQ ($P = 0.02$) still significantly differed among these groups. The post-hoc analysis revealed that TT group had significantly lower VIQ than CC ($P = 0.003$) and TC ($P = 0.035$) groups, and lower FIQ than CC group ($P = 0.026$) (Figure S1). Using chi-square test, we found significant frequency differences in genotype ($P = 0.001$) between high (VIQ $\geq 120$) and normal (VIQ $< 120$) VIQ subgroups ($\chi^2 = 14.175$, $P = 0.001$).
Supplementary table

Table S1. Demographic and behavioral data of the 279 participants in cognitive analysis

| COMT rs4633 genotypic group | F/χ² value | P value |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------|
| **Age (years)**             |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 22.72(2.345) |         |
| TC (n=120)                  | 22.65(2.595) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 22.68(2.840) |         |
| **Education (years)**       |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 15.85(1.869) |         |
| TC (n=120)                  | 15.65(2.226) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 14.64(2.127) |         |
| **Gender (M: F)**           |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 50/73      |         |
| TC (n=120)                  | 59/61      |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 22/14      |         |
| **FIQ**                     |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 118.77(7.697) | 9.461   | 1.06×10⁻⁴ |
| TC (n=120)                  | 116.32(9.194) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 111.69(10.386) |         |
| **VIQ**                     |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 119.24(8.358) | 12.127  | 9×10⁻⁶   |
| TC (n=120)                  | 117.26(9.780) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 110.31(12.439) |         |
| **PIQ**                     |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 113.86(9.244) | 1.647   | 0.195    |
| TC (n=120)                  | 111.78(11.027) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 111.25(10.286) |         |
| **2-back accuracy**         |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 89.34(5.74) | 2.381   | 0.094    |
| TC (n=120)                  | 88.40(5.49) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 87.13(5.50) |         |
| **3-back accuracy**         |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 82.67(6.22) | 4.699   | 0.01     |
| TC (n=120)                  | 81.81(6.41) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 79.01(6.10) |         |
| **PPE**                     |            |         |
| CC (n=123)                  | 16.32(9.55) | 1.496   | 0.226    |
| TC (n=120)                  | 15.21(8.72) |         |
| TT (n=36)                   | 18.27(11.64) |         |

Note: data are presented as mean (standard deviation), otherwise as indicated. COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; F, female; FIQ, full-scale intelligence quotient; M, male; PIQ, performance intelligence quotient; VIQ, verbal intelligence quotient; PPE, percentage of perseverative errors.
**Table S2.** Power analysis of lrFCD differences among three genotypic groups in left superior frontal gyrus and left inferior parietal gyrus respectively.

| Left superior frontal gyrus | Left inferior parietal gyrus |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **F tests - ANOVA:** Fixed effects, omnibus, one-way | **F tests - ANOVA:** Fixed effects, omnibus, one-way |
| Analysis: Post hoc: Compute achieved power | Analysis: Post hoc: Compute achieved power |
| Input: Effect size f | Input: Effect size f |
| α err prob | 0.05 | α err prob | 0.05 |
| Total sample size | 256 | Total sample size | 256 |
| Number of groups | 3 | Number of groups | 3 |
| Output: Noncentrality parameter λ | 34.098087 | Output: Noncentrality parameter λ | 26.23034 |
| Critical F | 3.0314859 | Critical F | 3.031485 |
| Numerator df | 2 | Numerator df | 2 |
| Denominator df | 253 | Denominator df | 253 |
| Power (1-β err prob) | 0.9997560 | Power (1-β err prob) | 0.997315 |


### Table S3. Correlation coefficient and $P$ value for each donor of DRD2 and DRD3

| Donor ID    | $r/T$ | $P$ value   | $r/T$ | $P$ value   |
|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|
| H0351.1009  | -0.215| 4.809×10^{-4}| 0.007 | 0.912       |
| H0351.1012  | -0.142| 0.010       | -0.161| 0.003       |
| H0351.1015* | -0.216| 1.499×10^{-4}| -0.197| 5.535×10^{-4}|
| H0351.2001  | -0.247| 7.016×10^{-6}| -0.168| 0.002       |
| H0351.2002  | -0.225| 3.797×10^{-8}| -0.163| 7.457×10^{-5}|

One sample T test -14.099 6.460×10^{-5}, Bonferroni corrected -3.93 0.022, Bonferroni corrected

Note: Donors H0351.1009, H0351.1012, H0351.1015 and H0351.1016 only have left hemispheres, donors H0351.2001 and H0351.2002 have two hemispheres. Asterisk indicates female brain.
Supplementary figures legends:

**Figure S1. Intelligence differences among COMT genotypes in 279 subjects.** Bar plots depict the mean value and standard error. *P < 0.05. FIQ, COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; full-scale intelligence quotient; VIQ, verbal intelligence quotient.

**Figure S2. Brain regions with significant COMT genotypic difference on brain lrFCD with permutation test.** (A) LSFG (peak MNI coordinates: x = −15, y = 12, z = 69, cluster size = 16 voxels, peak F = 14.25); (B) LIPL (peak MNI coordinates: x = −39, y = −42, z = 48, cluster size = 2 voxels, peak F = 8.47). (Permutation test, 10,000 times, voxel P < 0.001). COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; L, left; R, right. LSFG, left superior frontal gyrus; LIPL, left inferior parietal lobule; lrFCD, long range functional connectivity density.