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ABSTRACT

Progeria is a rare genetic disease that causes accelerated aging and death in children at a mean age of 13.5 years. An aminobisphosphonate-statin combination has been shown to reduce the toxicity of the mutated protein, progerin, in progeria patient cell cultures and in a mouse model of the disease. This combination is currently being tested in a European Therapeutic Trial for progeria in Marseille (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00731016). Progerin has been shown to be produced by skin cells during physiological aging. The objective of this study was to assess the efficiency of a new and original cosmetic formulation containing alendronate and pravastatin sodium salts, reduce crow’s feet wrinkles, and cheek hollow in a double blind, randomized and placebo controlled comparative study. Three cosmetic preparations were evaluated using Fast Optical in vivo Topometry of human Skin (FOITS): one containing sodium alendronate and sodium pravastatin, a placebo, and a commercial anti-aging product. Fifty-seven female and twenty-five male volunteers between 51 and 71-year-old were selected. Each subject tested two of the three products once a day, in the evening, by spreading each selected product on one side of the face. Skin micro-relief was analyzed at 0, 28, 56 and 84 days. Statistical analysis of 7 clinical qualitative (left or right side of face, gender, and 3 skin types) and 6 quantitative parameters (age, weight at each test time, wrinkle clinical grade at inclusion time) showed no statistical differences between the three tested products. In contrast, most of the 8 quantitative FOITS parameters describing skin micro-relief were statistically improved by the alendronate-pravastatin combination compared to the placebo or to the commercial anti-aging product. A cosmetic preparation containing alendronate and pravastatin sodium salts exhibited anti-aging effects by reducing crow’s feet wrinkles and restoring cheek volume.
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1. Introduction

The human life span has more than doubled over the last two centuries. With this increase in life expectancy, a growing segment of the aging population seeks to preserve a youthful appearance for as long as possible [1]. For thousands of years cosmetic products have been used around the world to improve the physical appearance and hide flaws. In response to growing consumer demand in this sector, numerous companies have developed active “anti-aging” ingredients, which are claimed to have topical anti-aging effects. These active ingredients are often well known molecules (e.g., vitamin A or C, glycolic acid, etc.), which have been used for many years to reduce the signs of aging [2].

Research on progeria provides a new source of active anti-aging ingredients. Progeria, also known as Hutchinson-Gilford Progeria Syndrome (HGPS), is one of 7000 identified rare diseases [3]. Progeria is characterized by premature and accelerated aging in children. The first signs of the disease appear in the first 12 - 18 months of
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The progeria mutation activates a cryptic splicing site,
which leads to the synthesis of an mRNA deleted of 150
nucleotides that is translated into a protein deleted of 50
residues called progerin. Because the deletion encompasses
the mRNA splicing machinery via the cryptic splicing
site in exon 11 of the pre-mRNA. Progerin is probably a
pro-aging factor [8]. The expression of progerin or far-
esylated prelamin A by stem cells from adult tissues be-
longing to either epidermal [9] or mesenchymal [10] li-
neas leads to rapid exhaustion of the stem cell pool,
another pro-aging event. Finally, progerin may be a mar-
ker of skin aging because progerin was shown to be ex-
pressed by both epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fi-
broblasts in skin biopsies from aged subjects [11].

Previously, a synergistic combination of an aminobis-
phosphonate (sodium alendronate, Aln) and a statin (so-
dium pravastatin, Pra) was incorporated into a cosmetic
oil-in-water emulsion product with the required charac-
teristics for topical application. A preliminary in vitro
permeability study using this cosmetic vehicle revealed
that both molecules were able to diffuse through the skin
barrier into the dermis in small amounts despite their
high hydrophilicity and strong ionization [13].

In this study, we present the results of a placebo-con-
trolled double blind study using fringe projection and
show that the cosmetic preparation containing alendro-
nate and pravastatin sodium salts reduces crow’s feet and
restores cheek volume, both of which are characteristics
of physiological skin aging.

2. Subjects and Methods

2.1. Subject Selection

2.1.1. Inclusion Criteria

Eighty-two healthy participants between 51 and 71-
year-old (57 females, 25 males) were enrolled in the stu-
dy. They exhibited a caucasian healthy skin type on the
anatomic area studied.

The subjects displayed wrinkles and/or fine lines on
the crow’s feet with a grade from 3 to 5 for the women
and from 4 to 6 for the men [14], as well as hollow
cheeks. Weight remained stable for at least 3 months
(variation, less than 2.0 kg).

A homogeneous distribution was ensured between
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each group (each group represented the testing of one product on one side of the face) for the following criteria: skin type (dry or combination skin), gender (female or male), age, and wrinkle clinical grade.

2.1.2. Exclusion Criteria
All participants were dedicated to the present study and signed an informed consent form. Subjects were excluded when they were unable to comply with the following protocol requirements: body weight variation less than 2 kg during the study time course; for female subjects, neither enter into, nor change or stop, a hormone replacement therapy; no entry into a dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA)-based treatment; no changes in sport habits; no modification of way of life; no use of products, techniques or surgery with an anti-wrinkle action; no sunbathing.

2.2. The Three Topical Products
Of the three products tested, two were oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions: one emulsion contained sodium alendronate and sodium pravastatin (Aln-Pra); the second emulsion was the corresponding drug-free placebo. Both possessed a light, non-oily texture that is easy to apply, penetrates well and is suited for all skin types. Therefore, the emulsions exhibited the characteristics that influence consumer acceptance and subject compliance (i.e., visual appearance, odor and residual impression after application) for a cosmetic product [15].

The O/W emulsions were prepared by stepwise addition of the inner oily phase to the aqueous phase at 85°C. The addition of oil was performed under rotor stator agitation at 1700 rpm (Turbotest, VMI Rayneri, France). The chemical products used in the formulations are listed elsewhere [13]. The final concentrations of alendronate and of pravastatin in the cosmetic product were adjusted from the transdermal delivery measurements [13], to obtain a margin of safety of >100, in accordance with European guidelines [13]. The final concentration of Aln and Pra was not detailed for industrial privacy.

The Aln-Pra containing cosmetic and its placebo were compared to a third product (Cosmetics X), a commercial anti-aging cosmetic product. Cosmetics X contains the following ingredients: water, hydrogenated polyisobutene, glycerin, cyclohexasiloxane, shea butter, poly C10-30 alkyl acrylate, aluminum starch octenylsuccinate, sucrose stearate, beeswax, stearic acid, triethanolamine, dimethicone, dimethyloctyl, caffeine, sodium cocoyl glutamate, sodium hydroxide, sodium polyacrylate, silica, palmite acid, viconaconitifolia seed extract, hydrolyzed soy protein, caprylic/capric triglyceride, acetyl trifluoromethylphenylvalylglycine, crithmummaritimum extract, oxothiazolidine-carboxylic acid, acrylates/C10-30 alkyl acrylate crosspolymer, retinylpalmitate, pentaerythrityl tetradi-t-butyl hydroxyhydrocinnamate, methylparaben, phenoxyethanol, chlorohenesin, ethylparaben, linalool, geraniol, alpha-isomethyl ionone, amyl cinnamal, limonene, citronellol, butylphenylmethylpropional, hexyl cinnamal, benzyl alcohol, benzyl benzoate, benzyl salicylate, fragrance.

2.3. Product Application in a Double Blind Protocol
Neither the participating subjects nor the investigator were aware of the product type being applied throughout the study. The subjects served as their own controls for product comparison in time. The study lasted for 84 days following the first application of the products.

The study took place between mid-November and mid-February in the north of France. Application of any anti-wrinkle cosmetic product was prohibited two weeks prior to the start of the study. During the three months of the study, the subjects did not apply other cosmetic products to the studied areas (only the usual cleanser, the usual moisturizing cream in the morning, and make up for the lips, eyes and blusher powders were accepted).

Each subject applied one product on each side of the face (randomly chosen at the start of the study) after washing and drying, once daily in the evening. The applied quantities corresponded to normal conditions of use. On the day of the measurements, no other cosmetic products were used (only facial cleanser with water was accepted). The two products tested by each subject were randomly selected.

2.4. Skin Micro-Relief Measurements
Evaluation was carried out on day 0 and after 28, 56 and 84 days of treatment. In a dark room, the subjects wore a mobcap on their head, kept the eyes open and looked straight ahead. The positioning of the sensor and of the subject were made easier with the use of a measurement bench (VisioFace®), which enabled the face to be kept in the same position and provided reproducible positioning of the sensor throughout the study.

2.4.1. Fringe Projection on Crow’s Feet: Rugosity, Area and Volume Parameters
The Fast Optical in vivo Topometry of human Skin (FOITS) technique allows modifications in the skin microroughness to be quantified [16,17]. The measurements were taken using an optical system dedicated to the metrology of the skin surface relief. The measuring sensor comprised a projector and a high-resolution CCD camera (Dermatop system, Breukmann, Germany; field of view: 30 × 40 mm; averaged lateral resolution: 32 µm).
and Optocat acquisition software (EoTech, France). The wrinkles in both crow’s feet were measured separately. The visualization of the initial measurement on the screen (at day 0) ensured a good repositioning of the studied area on days 28, 56 and 84.

Skin surface topography was analyzed by calculating the standard roughness parameters, which were extracted from a surface of 43 × 32 mm (12 cm²). Fringe projection data analysis was carried out using both the TopoSurf and Optocat analysis systems. The principle involves quantifying the micro-relief of the studied area by analyzing the deformation of a network of high contrast fringe lines.

The rugosity parameters were quantified on a sample of profiles perpendicular to the wrinkles and fine lines on the area of interest:

- **SQ**: roughness with regard to the average quadratic variation (mm). Averaged variations in amplitude of the relief integrated into the studied surface. An SQ decrease indicates smoothing of the surface and a decrease in the wrinkles and fine lines.
- **ST**: maximum amplitude of the relief (mm). An ST decrease shows a reduction in the main wrinkle.
- **SA**: averaged roughness (mm). Averaged variations in amplitude of the relief integrated into the studied surface. An SA decrease evidences smoothing of the surface and a decrease in wrinkles and fine lines.
- **Stm**: mean difference between peaks and valleys (mm). An Stm decrease indicates smoothing of the studied surface.

The area and volume (morphology) parameters were also quantified—wrinkles and fine wrinkles were detected after the use of several filters, and a polynomial correction for flattening of the area of interest was applied:

- **Ar**: Area of the main wrinkle (mm²).
- **Vol**: Volume of the main wrinkle (mm³).

### 2.4.2. Fringe Projection: Cheek Volume

Fringe projection technology, adapted to the analysis of face morphology, allows the characterization of the cheek morphology before (day 0) and after treatment (days 56 and 84). The 3 dimensional (3D) measurements were carried out using the fringe projection system (halogen projector) coupled to a high-resolution CCD camera (black and white—768 × 512 pixels; field of view: 360 × 210 × 270 mm³) (EoTech, France) linked to Optocat acquisition software (EoTech, France). The lighting system coupled to a camera supply enabled the projection of a sequence of lattice lines onto the object (fringes). Three-dimensional information regarding the object was calculated from the deformation of the fringes on the object’s surface and recorded by the digital camera. Both cheeks were analyzed separately.

Analysis of these 3D acquisitions consisted of matching the envelope of the studied area obtained at different times with the kinetics of the envelope determined at the initial time. Then a region of interest (ROI) was defined on the studied area (cheek). This ROI, located at the same position for all acquisitions from a given subject, allowed the volume within the ROI (relative Volume, relVol, in mm³) and its projection in the reference plane (Standardized relative Volume: StrelVol) to be calculated (Optocat software, EoTech, France). An increase in the relVol indicated cheek “filling” in response to the cosmetics.

### 2.4.3. Data Analysis and Statistics

XLSTAT® software (AddinSoft) was used for statistical analysis and box plot drawings. Rugosity and morphology parameters were compared between groups using the Kruskall-Wallis test. Comparison between groups with discriminant analysis was performed using Roy’s greatest root test [18]. Percentage changes for each parameter ([D56-D0]/D0, [D84-D0]/D0 and [D84-D56]/D56) were calculated using mean values. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Multiparametric discriminant analysis showed no statistical difference (p = 0.458) between the three treatment groups (Figure 1) for the six quantitative and six qualitative clinical parameters. In contrast, discriminant analysis using changes in rugosity and morphological parameters during the study time-course revealed a statistical difference (p = 0.022) between the three treatment groups (Figure 2).

The changes in both morphological and rugosity parameters during the study time-course are shown in Figure 3.

The topical application of the placebo preparation, which lacked the active drugs, showed no improvement in rugosity parameters with time (+ 5% changes) or morphological parameters (+ 20% in both volume and area of main wrinkle; ± 5% in relative volume, relVol, and standardized relative volume, StrelVol, of the hollow cheek).

The commercial cosmetic also showed no improvement in rugosity and morphological skin parameters.

Interestingly, topical application of a product containing the alendronate-pravastatin combination for 56 days improved the rugosity parameters, ST, SA and SQ (in the range –5% to –10%) and the morphological parameters, Vol and Area (–20%), which were stable after 84 days of treatment (Figure 4). Cheek morphological parameters (relative Volume, relVol, and Standardized relative Volume, StrelVol) were improved (–8% and –10%, respectively) after 84 days of topical alendronate-pravastatin
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Figure 1. Discriminant analysis shows no statistical differences between the three treatment groups according to six quantitative and six qualitative clinical parameters. The 95% confidence circle around the means delineates the three treatment groups. The perpendicular axes describe the combined variance of the parameters analyzed (F1 and F2 axis = 100%). The contributions of each parameter to the variances on the X and Y axes are shown in the insets. Inset: The six quantitative parameters: 1, age at day 0; 2, weight at day 0; 3, weight at day 28; 4, weight at day 56; 5, weight at day 84; 6, wrinkle grade at day 0. The six qualitative parameters: 7, left side analysis; 8, right side analysis; 9, female gender; 10, male gender; 11, combination skin type; 12, dry skin type.

Figure 2. Discriminant analysis shows statistical differences for percentage changes in the four rugosity parameters and the four morphological parameters between the three treatment groups. The 95% confidence circle around the means delineates the three treatment groups. The perpendicular axes describe the combined variance of the parameters analyzed (F1 and F2 axis = 100%). The contribution of each of the 24 parameters to the variances on the X and Y axes are shown in the insets. Percentage changes in rugosity parameters: 1, 4, 7, 10: [D56−D0]/D0. 2, 5, 8, 11: [D84−D0]/D0. 3, 6, 9, 12: [D84−D56]/D56. 1, 2, 3: ST (maximum amplitude of the relief). 4, 5, 6: SA (average roughness). 7, 8, 9: SQ (average roughness with regard to the average quadratic variation). 10, 11, 12: Stm (mean difference between peaks and valleys). Percentage changes in morphological parameters: 13, 16, 19, 22: [D56−D0]/D0. 14, 17, 20, 23: [D84−D0]/D0. 15, 18, 21, 24: [D84−D56]/D56. 13, 14, 15: Vol (volume of the main wrinkle). 16, 17, 18: Ar (area of the main wrinkle). 19, 20, 21: rdVol (relative volume of the hollow cheek). 22, 23, 24: StrelVol (standardized relative volume of the hollow cheek).

treatments.

The improvement in aging-related skin micro-relief produced by the alendronate-pravastatin combination results from several mechanisms. The percutaneous absorption of alendronate has already been shown to be increased by fatty acids [19], some of which are components of our cosmetic excipient [13]. The percutaneous absorption of the alendronate-pravastatin combination has already been demonstrated [13].

Alendronate has been shown to act both extracellularly and intracellularly. Indeed, alendronate binds to the extracellular domain of connexin 43 expressed by keratinocytes [20] and dermal fibroblasts [21, 22].

In osteoblasts and osteocytes, activation of the Src-ERK signaling pathway by alendronate results in cell survival [23]. Alendronate may elicit the same effect in skin cells. Furthermore, alendronate has been shown to enter cells through fluid-phase endocytosis [24], to inhibit lysosomal H+-ATPase and several protein tyrosine phosphatases [25], and to activate the PI3K/NFkappaB signaling pathway. However, the main activity of alendronate and of other aminobisphosphonates is to inhibit the farnesylpyrophosphate synthase [26, 27], thus impairing the isoprenoid biosynthesis pathway whose two products, farnesyl and geranylgeranyl groups, anchor several proteins to membranes, including the biomarker of skin aging, progerin [11]. In bone and cartilage tissues, alendronate has been shown to inhibit the synthesis and activity of various matrix metalloproteases (MMP), thus decelerating collagen and proteoglycan degradation.

Skin keratinocytes and fibroblasts also express several MMPs [28, 29]. Skin fibroblasts and keratinocytes synthesized several proteoglycans [30] that contribute to, e.g., skin volume and hydration [31, 32].

The pharmacokinetics of statins are best understood in hepatocytes [33, 34]. Regarding skin keratinocytes and fibroblasts, several plasma membrane transporters of the OATP family [35], allow statin entry [36, 37], whereas ATP-driven transporters of the MRP family [38, 39] are involved in statin efflux [40, 41]. Besides their pleiotropic effects observed in various dermatological conditions [42], statins are also inhibitors of the isoprenoid biosyn-
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| Placebo | Cosmetics X | Aln-Pra |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| D28     | D56         | D84     | D28     | D56         | D84     | D28     | D56         | D84     |
| ST      | +10%        | +5%     | -5%     | +10%        | +5%     | -5%     | +10%        | +5%     | -5%     |
| % change | mean ± 95% confidence interval |

| WVol    | +60%        | +30%     | -10%    | +60%        | +30%     | -10%    | +60%        | +30%     | -10%    |
| % change | mean ± 95% confidence interval |

| WArena  | +30%        | +15%     | -5%     | +30%        | +15%     | -5%     | +30%        | +15%     | -5%     |
| % change | mean ± 95% confidence interval |

| SQ      | +15%        | +10%     | 0%      | +15%        | +10%     | 0%      | +15%        | +10%     | 0%      |
| % change | mean ± 95% confidence interval |

| Stm     | +15%        | +10%     | 0%      | +15%        | +10%     | 0%      | +15%        | +10%     | 0%      |
| % change | mean ± 95% confidence interval |

Figure 3. Changes in rugosity and morphological parameters induced by topical cosmetic treatments. Rugosity and morphological parameters (crow’s feet): \([D28-D0]/D0, [D56–D0]/D0, [D84–D0]/D0\) expressed as percentages. ST (maximum amplitude of the relief). SA (average roughness). SQ (average roughness with regard to the average quadratic variation). Stm (mean difference between peaks and valley). Vol (volume of the main wrinkle). Ar (area of the main wrinkle). Morphological parameters (cheek): \([D56–D0]/D0, [D84–D0]/D0\) expressed as percentages. relVol (relative volume of the hollow cheek). StrelVol (standardized relative volume of the hollow cheek). Parameter mean ± 95% confidence interval. Horizontal pink lines: statistically significant difference \((p < 0.05)\).

expression of p53 target genes) in cultured fibroblasts from progeria patient skin biopsies, as well as skin defects in a progeria mouse model [12]. The same zoledronate-pravastatin combination corrects the shape of skin keratinocyte nuclei in model mice expressing progerin under the control of the keratin 14 promoter [47]. Through the inhibition of two enzymes of the isoprenoid biosynthesis pathway, HMG-CoA reductase and farnesylpyrophosphate synthase, respectively, statin and aminobisphosphonate decreased the synthesis of farnesylpyrophosphate, the precursor of the farnesyl anchor on proteins [7]. Mass spectrometry experiments have demonstrated that progerin is neither farnesylated nor geranylgeranylated in skin fibroblasts from progeria patients or from a mouse model of progeria, treated with zoledronate and pravastatin [12]. Thus, the zoledronate-pravastatin combination reduces the cellular toxicity and pro-aging pathways in mesenchymal cells [43]. Pravastatin has been shown to induce collagen synthesis and to modulate the expression of MMPs and of their TIMP inhibitors in blood vessel walls [44,45].

Because statins and aminobisphosphonates exert a synergistic effect on bone and cartilage cells, and on bone and cartilage extracellular matrices [46], our alendronate-pravastatin topical combination probably elicited similar effects on human skin, thus explaining the anti-wrinkle activity observed in our study.

Progerin has already been detected in both keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts in human skin biopsies sampled from several anatomical regions of aged patients [11]. Furthermore, the combination of another aminobisphosphonate (zoledronate) and pravastatin restores several morphological parameters (nuclear shape and size) and numerous biological parameters (DNA repair, over-
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Figure 4. Improvement of wrinkle micro-relief by the alendronate-pravastatin topical combination. Original pictures before (D0, a) and after 84 days of treatment (D84, c). Digitized and flattened pictures before (b) and after treatment (d). Changes in crow’s feet rugosity and morphological parameters (expressed as percentages) from one subject [maximal change; minimal change recorded in the whole subject sample]. ST −22.7% [−28.2%; 17.1%], SA −41.1% [−41.1%; 15.3%], SQ −40.6% [−40.6%; 17.4%], Stm −28.7% [−28.7%; 19.5%], Vol −60.4% [−74.4%; 109.0%], Ar −41.1% [−50.8%; 25.0%].

activity of progerin [12].

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study showed that a cosmetic preparation containing a combination of the aminobisphosphonate, alendronate, and pravastatin exhibited anti-aging properties on human skin.
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