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In this recent study, we shall investigate the wormhole models with a Hu–Sawicki model in the framework of $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity. Spherically static symmetric space-time is considered to construct wormhole models with the anisotropic fluid distribution. The traceless matter is discussed by imposing a particular equation of state. To address the important conditions of the shape function of the wormhole geometry, we have used the particular values of the involved parameters. Furthermore, different energy conditions are discussed to check the nature of matter against two specific models. The null energy condition is observed to be violated for both of the models. It is mentioned that our inquired results are acceptable.

1. Introduction

In modern cosmology, considerable independent high-precision observational data have confirmed with startling evidence that our universe is in the accelerating phase [1–5]; this is due to several astronomical probes, such as Ia supernova [6, 7], cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR) [8, 9], and large structure [10]. This phenomenon led to the breakdown of Einstein’s theory of relativity; it is regarded as an outstanding critical riddle of contemporary physics and becomes the focus of interest for researchers. In this regard, to incorporate this issue, different proposals have appeared which can be grouped into two classes. First, the cosmological constant has been introduced in the field equation [11–14]; second, the approach is to modify the gravitational part of the action by including some extra degree of freedom there. To deal with this problem, several efforts from researchers provided a group of extended theories of gravity [15–25].

After the formulation of general relativity, the $f(\mathcal{R})$ theory is regarded as one of the most promising candidates for mysterious dark energy to exploring the accelerated expansion aspect of the cosmos; for cosmological importance, the $f(\mathcal{R})$ model is used by replacing the Ricci scalar $\mathcal{R}$ with an arbitrary function of Ricci scalar, i.e., $f(\mathcal{R})$, in the Einstein–Hilbert Lagrangian function. This theory has been widely used in the literature. Nojiri and Odintsov [26, 27] have explored the accelerated expansion of the universe by introducing the term $f(\mathcal{R}) = (1/\mathcal{R})$, which is essential at small curvature. Feng [28] reconstructed the $f(\mathcal{R})$ theory from Ricci dark energy, and Felice and Tsujikawa [15] presented several ways to distinguish the several forms of $f(\mathcal{R})$ theory from general relativity. Harko et al. [29] provided a more generic form of $f(\mathcal{R})$ extended gravity by plugging an extra term of matter. Rahaman and his co-authors [30] have tried to calculate the exact wormhole solutions in $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity in the framework of noncommutative geometry source. In another paper, Harko et al. [31] have calculated some solutions for wormholes in extended $f(\mathcal{R})$. Pavlovic and Sossich [32] have carried out a wormhole study by employing different models of $f(\mathcal{R})$ without considering the exotic matter. Bronnikov [33] has explored the wormhole models in two different theories (scalar tensor theory and $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity). Saiedi and Nasr Esfahani [34] have discussed the null and weak energy bounds in the background of wormhole $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity. Hochberg et al. [35] have presented wormhole...
and calculated the appropriate field equations. Furthermore, Kuhfittig [36] discussed noncommutative geometry to investigate the distinct forms of \(f(\mathcal{R})\) function by taking different shape functions in \(f(\mathcal{R})\) theory.

A wormhole is a topological passage, which links two discrete chunks of the same or diverse universes through a shortcut way, which is called a bridge or channel. The discussion on wormhole geometry is a hot topic among researchers in the different modified theories of gravity. In 1916, Flamm [37] expressed the notion of wormholes for the first time. After that, in 1935, Einstein and Rosen [38] explored wormhole mathematics. They acquired wormhole solutions, which are known as Lorentzian wormhole. In classical general relativity, the existence of wormholes involves the exotic matter, which requires a stress-energy tensor that violated the null energy condition (NEC). Much interest has been provoked in the existence and construction of wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Interest has been provoked in the existence and construction of wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory. Capozziello et al. [39–41] examined the energy condition for wormhole solutions in modified gravity theory.

In this work, we assumed that \(\kappa = 1\). On variation of the above action, we have the following actual system of equations:

\[
T_{\mu\nu}^m = f(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f(\mathcal{R})g_{\mu\nu} + \left(\frac{g_{\mu\nu} \Box - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu}{f(\mathcal{R})}\right) f(\mathcal{R}),
\]

(2)

The trace of energy momentum tensor is calculated as

\[
T = f(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{R} + 3\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}} - 2f(\mathcal{R}),
\]

(3)

where \(\Box, \nabla, \) and \(f(\mathcal{R})\) are defined as the d’Alembert operator, covariant derivative operator, and the derivative with respect to \(\mathcal{R}\), respectively in the above two lines. By using equation (3) in equation (2), the following expression can be obtained:

\[
T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} g_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu},
\]

(4)

where \(T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}} = T_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}^m\) represents the effective stress-energy tensor and \(T_{\mu\nu}^m\) is calculated as

\[
T_{\mu\nu}^m = f(\mathcal{R})\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f(\mathcal{R})g_{\mu\nu} + \left(\frac{g_{\mu\nu} \Box - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu}{f(\mathcal{R})}\right) f(\mathcal{R}).
\]

(5)

The anisotropic fluid distribution is given as

\[
T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + P_t)u_\mu u_\nu - P_t g_{\mu\nu} + (P_r - P_t)\xi^a\xi_a,
\]

(6)

where \(u_\mu\) mentions the 4-velocity vector with

\[
u^\mu = e^{-\alpha} \delta^\mu_0, \quad \xi^\mu = e^{-b} \delta^\mu_1, \quad \nu^\nu u_\nu = -\xi^\mu \xi_\mu = 1.
\]

The space-time for a wormhole geometry is defined as

\[
ds^2 = -e^{-2\varphi_J(z)}dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{b_j(z)}{r}ight)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2,
\]

(8)

where \(\varphi_J\) and \(b_j(z)\) represent the red-shift function and shape function, respectively. The expression \(d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2\) provides the remaining coordinate quantities. The shape function \(b_j(z)\) must satisfy the following conditions: (i) \((b_j(z) - b_j(z)')/b_j(z)^2) > 0\), (ii) \((b_j(z)(r_0) = r_0)\), and (iii) \((b_j(z)')(r_0) < 1\). The last one condition is known as flaring-out condition.

By using (6) and (8) in (4), the following expressions are calculated for the energy density and pressure components:

\[
\frac{b_j'(z)}{r^2} = \frac{\rho}{f(\mathcal{R})},
\]

(9)

\[
\frac{b_j(z)}{r^3} = \frac{P_r}{f(\mathcal{R})} + \frac{1}{f(\mathcal{R})} \left(1 - \frac{b_j(z)}{r}ight) \left(f(\mathcal{R}) - f(\mathcal{R}) \frac{b_j'(z) - b_j(z)}{2r(1 - b_j(z)/r)}\right) - \frac{\psi}{f(\mathcal{R})},
\]

(10)
\[-\frac{b_s'(r)r - b_s(r)}{2r^3} = \frac{P_t}{f_\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{R})} + \frac{1}{f_\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{R})} \left( 1 - \frac{b_s(r)}{r} \right) \frac{f_\mathcal{R}''(\mathcal{R})}{r} \]

(11)

where

\[
\psi = \psi(r) = \frac{1}{4} \left( f_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}) \mathcal{R} + \Box f_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}) + T \right),
\]

(12)

with

\[
\mathcal{R} = \frac{2b_s'(r)}{r^2}
\]

(13)

\[
\Box f_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}) = \left( 1 - \frac{b_s(r)}{r} \right) \left[ f_\mathcal{R}''(\mathcal{R}) - f_\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{R}) \right] + \frac{2f_\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{R})}{r}
\]

(14)

The Hu–Sawicki model [52], which fulfills the cosmological and local gravity conditions, was proposed by Hu and Sawicki and is given as

\[
f(\mathcal{R}) = R - \frac{\alpha y (R/\gamma)^{2m}}{(R/\gamma)^{2m} + 1},
\]

(15)

where \(\alpha\), \(y\), and \(m\) are the dimensionless positive parameters. This model has passed all the local tests, and it is a viable \(f(\mathcal{R})\) gravity model.

By utilizing equations (12)–(15) in equations (9)–(11), we have the following modified field equations:

\[
\rho = \frac{b_s'(r)}{r^2} - \frac{\alpha y 4^m m X_1^{2m}}{(4^m X_1^{2m} + 1)^2},
\]

(16)

\[
P_t = \frac{1}{2r^3} \left( 4^m X_1^{2m} + 1 \right)^2 \left( b_s(r) \left( -2^{8m+1} X_1^{8m} b_s'(r)^3 \right) - 2b_s'(r)^3 + 4^m X_1^{2m} + 2^{8m+1} X_1^{8m} + 64^m X_1^{4m} \right)
\]

\[
+ \alpha y 4^m m r^3 \left( 2^{2m+1} X_1^{2m} + 16^m (2m + 1) X_1^{4m} \right)
\]

\[
+ (2m + 1) X_1^{2m} \right),
\]

(17)

\[
P_t = \frac{(1 - X_2) b_s'(r)}{2r^2} - \frac{(1 - X_2) b_s'(r)}{2r^2} + X_9 + X_{10},
\]

(18)

where

\[
X_1 = \frac{b_s'(r)}{y r^2},
\]

\[
X_2 = \frac{\alpha y 4^m m X_1^{2m-1}}{(4^m X_1^{2m} + 1)^2},
\]

\[
X_3 = 4(m - 1)r^2 (b_s'(r))^2 + (b_s'(r))^2 \left( -rb_s'(r) + 16m - 4 \right) + 2rb_s'(r) \left( (4 - 8m)b_s'(r) + rb_s^{(3)}(r) \right) + 2(b_s'(r))^3,
\]

\[
X_4 = 4(m + 1)r^2 (b_s'(r))^2 + (b_s'(r))^2 \left( rb_s'(r) + 16m + 4 \right) - 2rb_s'(r) \left( (8m + 4)b_s'(r) + rb_s^{(3)}(r) \right) - 2(b_s'(r))^3,
\]

\[
X_5 = 4(1 - 4m^2)r^2 (b_s'(r))^2 + (b_s'(r))^2 \left( rb_s'(r) - 64m + 4 \right) - 2rb_s'(r) \left( (1 - 8m^2)b_s'(r) + rb_s^{(3)}(r) \right) - 2(b_s'(r))^3,
\]

\[
X_6 = 4\alpha y m^2 (5 - 8m)r^2 (b_s'(r))^2 - 4\alpha y (m - 1)m(2m - 1)r^4 (b_s'(r))^2 + \alpha y m (2m - 1)r^3 b_s'(r)
\]

\[
\times \left( (16m - 7)b_s'(r) - 2b_s^{(3)}(r) \right) - 8(b_s'(r))^3,
\]

(19)

\[
X_7 = -4\alpha y m (8m + 5)r^2 (b_s'(r))^2 - 4\alpha y (m + 1)(2m + 1)r^4 (b_s'(r))^2 + \alpha y m (2m + 1)r^3 (b_s'(r))
\]

\[
\times \left( (16m + 7)(b_s'(r)) + 2(b_s^{(3)}(r)) \right) - 8(b_s'(r))^3,
\]

\[
X_8 = 64\alpha y m^2 (b_s'(r))^2 + 4\alpha y m (4m^2 - 1)r^4 (b_s'(r))^2 + \alpha y m (b_s'(r)) \left( (7 - 64m^2)(b_s''(r)) + 2r(b_s^{(3)}(r)) \right) - 6(b_s'(r))^3,
\]

\[
X_9 = \frac{\alpha y 4^m m X_1^{2m}(4^m (2m + 1) X_1^{2m} - 2m + 1)(2(b_s'(r)) - r(b_s''(r)))}{(4^m X_1^{2m} + 1)^3 (b_s'(r))^2},
\]

\[
X_{10} = \frac{\alpha y 4^m m X_1^{2m}(4^m (2m + 1) X_1^{2m} - 2m + 1)b_s'(r)(r(b_s''(r)) - 2(b_s'(r)))}{r(4^m X_1^{2m} + 1)^3 (b_s'(r))^2}.
\]
3. Wormhole Solutions

In this current section, we shall discuss the energy conditions and develop a new technique to explore the wormhole construction.

3.1. Energy Conditions. The null, weak, strong, and dominant energy conditions are considered main energy conditions in the background of \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) gravity. These energy conditions are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NEC} & \iff T_{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu \geq 0, \\
\text{WEC} & \iff T_{\mu\nu}\Xi_\mu \Xi_\nu \geq 0, \\
\text{SEC} & \iff \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{T}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\right)\Xi_\mu \Xi_\nu \geq 0, \\
\text{DEC} & \iff T_{\mu\nu}\Xi_\mu \Xi_\nu \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The above conditions can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NEC: } & \rho + P_r \geq 0, \quad \rho + P_t \geq 0, \\
\text{WEC: } & \rho \geq 0, \quad \rho + P_r \geq 0, \quad \rho + P_t \geq 0, \\
\text{SEC: } & \rho + P_r \geq 0, \quad \rho + P_t \geq 0, \quad \rho + P_r + 2P_t \geq 0, \\
\text{DEC: } & \rho \geq 0, \quad \rho - |P_t| \geq 0, \quad \rho - |P_t| \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

3.2. Traceless Matter for the Hu–Sawicki Model. Now, we shall discuss the traceless matter [53–55], for the Hu–Sawicki model for \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) gravity. A special form of equation of state (EoS) for traceless matter is defined as

\[
T = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \rho - P_r - 2P_t = 0.
\]

By using equations (16)–(18) in equation (23), we obtain a nonlinear differential equation, which is calculated as

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{2r^2(4^mX_1^{2m+1} + 1)^4(b'_1(r))^3}\left(ay^{2m+1}m^3\left(2^{2m+1}(4m^2 - 1)X_1^{2m} - 2m^2 - 16m(m + 1)(2m + 1)X_1^{2m} + 3m - 1\right)\right) \\
&\times X_1^{2m}(r - b_1(r))b''_1(r)^2 + ay^{2m+1}m^2X_1^{2m}b''_1(r)\left(2^{2m+1}X_1^{2m}\left(64m^2 - 11\right)b_1(r) + 4(3 - 16m^2)r\right)b''_1(r) + 2r \\
&\times (r - b_1(r))b''_3\left(r\right)^3 + 16m(2m - 1)X_1^{2m}\left(4(4m + 3)r - (16m + 11)b_1(r)b''_1(r) + 2(r - b_1(r)b''_3\left(r\right))\right) + ay^{2m+1}mrX_1^{2m}(b''_1(r))^2 \\
&\times (-2^{2m+1}X_1^{2m}(r(26r''(r) - 64m^2 + 12) + 2(32m^2 - 5)b_1(r)) - 16m(2m + 1)X_1^{2m}(r(26r''(r) + 16m + 12) \\
&- 2(8m + 5)b_1(r) + (2m - 1)(r(26r''(r) - 16m + 12) + 2(8m - 5)b_1(r))) + a2^{2m+1}m\left(4^mX_1^{2m} + 1\right) \\
&\times \left(4^m(2m - 1)X_1^{2m} - 2m - 1\right)X_1^{2m-1}(b''_1(r))^4 + 4(4^mX_1^{2m} + 1)^4(b''_1(r))^4\right) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Equation (24) is a nonlinear differential equation, and we solve it numerically with the following initial conditions: \( b'_1(0.01) = 0.001, b''_1(0.01) = 0.0002 \), and \( b''_1(0.01) = 0.07 \).

3.3. Discussion. In this section, we shall discuss the physical analysis of our acquired results. To improve the real impact of the current study, we have tried to provide a graphical analysis of the intrinsic properties of shape function and energy conditions under particular values of involved parameters. In the Hu–Sawicki model, the parameter \( \alpha \) has an important role in our study. The different values of parameter \( \alpha \) between zero and one provide different results, which are seen in Figures 1–5. However, the other parameter \( \gamma \) does not change the results. Therefore, we have chosen only one value for \( \gamma \), i.e., \( \gamma = 0.07 \) km for the current study.
Figure 1: Behavior of $b_s(r)$ and $b'_s(r)$. Here, $\alpha = 0.20$ (black), $\alpha = 0.40$ (red), $\alpha = 0.60$ (blue), $\alpha = 0.80$ (green), and $\alpha = 0.80$ (orange).

Figure 2: Development of $b_s(r) - r$ and $(b_s(r)/r)$. Here, $\alpha = 0.20$ (black), $\alpha = 0.40$ (red), $\alpha = 0.60$ (blue), $\alpha = 0.80$ (green), and $\alpha = 0.80$ (orange).

Figure 3: Graphical analysis of $(\rho + P_r)$ and $(\rho + P_t)$. 
In this study, there is another parameter $m$ involved. We have taken a very small value of parameter $m$, i.e., $m \approx 0.05$. The left part of Figure 1 shows the graphical behavior of the shape function, i.e., $b_s(r)$. It is observed to be positive and increasing, which describes the realistic nature of wormhole geometry under the Hu–Sawicki model. The flaring-out condition, i.e., the derivative of the shape function concerning radial coordinate $r$ at $r_0$, can be revealed as less than one, which can be confirmed from Figure 1. It is checked from Figure 2 that the expression $b_s(r) - r$ gives the values of $r_0$, which shows the wormhole throat location for the distinct values of parameter $\alpha$, which are approximately observed at $r_0 \approx 0.0015, r_0 \approx 0.0016, r_0 \approx 0.0017, r_0 \approx 0.0018$, and $r_0 \approx 0.0019$, for $\alpha = 0.20, \alpha = 0.40, \alpha = 0.60, \alpha = 0.80$, and $\alpha = 1.00$, respectively. Figure 2 provides the graphical analysis of $(b_s(r)/r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, which is not observed clearly. It shows that the wormhole geometry is not asymptotically flat, and this conduct agrees with the already prevailing cases described in the literature (see, for example, [43–46]). In the reference of energy conditions, it is verified from Figure 3 that the condition $(\rho + P_r)$ is seen negative, which shows the presence of exotic matter. From the same figure, it is confirmed that the expression $(\rho + P_t)$ remains positive. From Figures 4–5, it is confirmed that the expressions $(\rho - P_r), (\rho - P_t)$, and $\rho$ remain positive.

4. Analysis of Energy Conditions for Two Specific Shape Functions

In this section, we shall analyze the behavior of energy condition to check the nature of matter for two specific shape functions.

4.1. First Model. Here, we consider a specific model of shape function, i.e., $b_s(r) = ((r_0\log(r + 1))/\log(r_0 + 1))$ [56]. By plugging this special function-based specific model of shape function into equations (16)–(18), we obtain the following expressions for energy density and pressure components:
\[
\rho = \frac{r_0}{r^2(r + 1)\log(r_0 + 1)} - \frac{\alpha y 4^m m\psi^2_1}{(4^m\psi^2_1 + 1)^2},
\]

\[
P_r = \frac{1}{2r^3 r + 1_r(4^m\psi^2_1 + 1)^2\log(r_0 + 1)}(\alpha y 4^m m r^2(-2^{3m+1}\psi_3\psi^2_1 + 16^m(2m + 1)\psi_4\psi^4_1 + (2m - 1)\psi_5))
\]

\[
\psi_1^2\log(r_0 + 1) + r_0(4^m\psi^2_1 + 1)^4(\alpha y 4^m m r^2\psi^2_1\log(r_0 + 1) - 2(r + 1)r_0(4^m\psi^2_1 + 1)^4)
\]

\[
P_t = \frac{8\alpha y m^2(3r + 2)r^2(r\log(r_0 + 1) - r_0\log(r + 1))(4^m\psi^2_1 + 1)^4 + ((r_0^2((r + 1)\log(r + 1) - r))/((r + 1)\log(r_0 + 1))) + \psi_6 + \psi_7}{2r^3 r_0}.
\]

where

\[
\psi_1 = \frac{r_0}{yr^2(r + 1)\log(r_0 + 1)}
\]

\[
\psi_2 = -8m^2(3r + 2)(-4^{3m+1}\psi^2_1 + 16^m\psi^4_1 + 1) - 7r(r + 1)(4^m\psi^2_1 + 1)^2 - 2m(r(27r + 35) + 10)\psi_1
\]

\[
\psi_3 = 4(m(3r + 2) - (r + 1)(3r + 1))\log(r_0 + 1) + (3r + 2)r_0
\]

\[
\psi_4 = 4(m(3r + 2) + (r + 1)(3r + 1))\log(r_0 + 1) - (3r + 2)r_0.
\]

4.2. Second Model. Now, we take another specific model of shape function, i.e., \(b_2(r) = (r/e^{\epsilon r})\) [57]. By using \(b_2(r) = (r/e^{\epsilon r})\) in equations (16)–(18), the expressions for energy density and pressure components are calculated as follows:

\[
\rho = \frac{\alpha y 4^m m\Omega^2_1}{(4^m\Omega^2_1 + 1)^2} - \frac{e^{\epsilon r - r}}{r^2},
\]

\[
P_r = \frac{1}{2(r + 1)^2r^3(4^m\Omega^2_1 + 1)^4}(e^{3\epsilon - 3r}(\alpha y 4^m m e^{3\epsilon - 3r}\Omega_2\Omega^2_1 + \alpha y 2^{3m+1}me^{2\epsilon - 3r}\Omega_3\Omega^2_1 + 2e^{4\epsilon - 4r}(r - 1)^4(4^m\Omega^2_1 + 1)^4))
\]

\[
P_t = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{8\alpha y m^2(e^\epsilon - e^\epsilon)(r^2 - 2)}{(r + 1)^2(4^m\Omega^2_1 + 1)^4} + \frac{e^{2\epsilon r - r}}{r} + \Omega_4 + \Omega_5\right).
\]

where

\[
\Omega_1 = \frac{e^{\epsilon r - r}(r - 1)}{y^2}
\]

\[
\Omega_2 = -8m^2(r^2 - 2)^2(-4^{3m+1}\Omega^2_1 + 16^m\Omega^4_1 + 1) - (r(r^2 + r - 10) + 102)(4^m\Omega^2_1 + 1)^2
\]

\[
-2m(r(3r^2 + r - 16) + 12)(16^m\Omega^4_1 - 1),
\]
4.3. Discussion. Here, we shall discuss about the behavior of energy conditions for two different specific models of shape function. For the first model, i.e., \( b_1(r) = (r_0 \log (r+1))/(\log (r_0 +1)) \), we have shown the graphical analysis of energy conditions in Figures 6–8. To explore the wormhole models, we check the behavior of the energy conditions, specially NEC and WEC. The NEC violation is the important requirement for the existence of wormhole solutions. From Figure 6, the violation of NEC can be confirmed, which shows the presence of exotic matter. The presence of exotic matter is responsible to open the wormhole throat. Other energy conditions are seen satisfied. The positive nature of energy density shows the supremacy of this study. The validity of other remaining energy conditions has no effect on the wormhole solutions. In the second case, i.e., \( b_2(r) = (r/\alpha - r_0) \), the violation of NEC is also perceived for small radial coordinate, which can be checked from Figure 9. The graphical behavior of \( (\rho - P_\rho) \) and \( (\rho - P_t) \) can be checked from Figure 10 for the second model. The behavior of energy density for the second model can be depicted from Figure 11. Furthermore, the detailed summary for both models can be seen from Table 1.

\[
\Omega_3 = 4m^2\left(r^2 - 2\right)^3\left(-4^{m+1}\Omega_1^{2m} + 16^m\Omega_1^{4m} + 1\right) + \left(r^3 - 5r + 4\right)^2\left(4^m\Omega_1^{2m} + 1\right)^2
\]
\[
+ 2m \times \left(r\left(9r^2 - 9r + 4\right) + 6\right)\left(16^m\Omega_1^{4m} - 1\right),
\]
\[
\Omega_4 = \frac{\alpha m \left(26m + 1\right) \varepsilon \left(r^2 - 2\right) + \varepsilon \left(-3\left(4m + 1\right)r^2 + 24m + r + 4\right)}{\left(r - 1\right)^2 \left(4^m\Omega_1^{2m} + 1\right)^2},
\]
\[
\Omega_5 = \frac{\alpha m \left(4m\varepsilon r^2 - r + 1\left(3r - 1\right) - 2\left(2m + 1\right)\varepsilon \left(r^2 - 2\right)\right)}{\left(r - 1\right)^2 \left(4^m\Omega_1^{2m} + 1\right)},
\]

(28)

5. Outlook

In this recent work, we have employed the Hu–Sawicki model in \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) gravity to explore the wormhole construction. In this regard, the anisotropic source of matter is used with spherically symmetric static space-time. We have tried to calculate the modified equations for energy density and pressure components by using the Hu–Sawicki model. By employing the Hu–Sawicki model, our obtained solutions under the particular values of parameters show the possible way to explore traversable asymptotically hyperbolic and flat wormhole solutions that respect NEC around the throat. In the case of the other models, asymptotically flat wormholes can also admire the WEC through the whole space outside the throat. The Hu–Sawicki model also satisfied the cosmological and local gravity constraints. Furthermore, we have plugged the idea of traceless matter to calculate the shape function. The following important outcomes have been presented

(1) The wormhole throat location for the distinct values of parameter \( \alpha \) are calculated at \( r_0 = 0.0015, r_0 = 0.0016, r_0 = 0.0017, r_0 = 0.0018, \) and \( r_0 = 0.0019, \) for
Figure 7: Graphical analysis of \((\rho - P_r)\) and \((\rho - P_t)\).

Figure 8: Behavior of \(\rho\).

Figure 9: Graphical analysis of \((\rho + P_r)\) and \((\rho + P_t)\).
The ratio of shape function and radial coordinate approaches a very small number, i.e., $(b_s(r)/r) \approx 0.067$, $(b_s(r)/r) \approx 0.095$, $(b_s(r)/r) \approx 0.115$, $(b_s(r)/r) \approx 0.135$, and $(b_s(r)/r) \approx 0.150$ for $\alpha = 0.20$, $\alpha = 0.40$, $\alpha = 0.60$, $\alpha = 0.80$, and $\alpha = 1.00$, respectively.

(2) The shape function, i.e., $b_s(r)$, with positive behavior can be verified from Figure 1.

(3) The flaring condition can be confirmed from Figure 1, which is observed at less than 1.

(4) The condition $(\rho + P_r)$ is noticed negative, which can be verified from Figure 3. The negative nature of $(\rho + P_r)$ shows the presence of exotic matter. The expression $(\rho - P_r)$ has been depicted positively.
(6) The expressions \((\rho + P_r)\) and \((\rho - P_r)\) have remained positive.

(7) The energy density \(\rho\) has remained positive in all cases.

(8) The graphical behavior of energy conditions for both models can be checked from Figures 6–11.

Furthermore, the NEC has been revealed to be violated for two different specific shape functions. The negative nature of NEC shows the presence of exotic matter which is necessary for wormhole construction. All our calculated results can be seen from the summary, which is presented in Table 1 for two different specific shape functions. It is very interesting to comment here that our calculated results in \(f(\mathcal{R})\) gravity with the Hu–Sawicki model for anisotropic fluid sources are physically acceptable.
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