AUTOLOGOUS CHONDROCYTE IMPLANTATION IN BRAZIL
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Objective: To describe the first series of cases of autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) in collagen membrane performed in Brazil. Methods: ACI was performed in 12 knees of 11 patients, aged 32.1 ± 10.9 years, with 5.3 ± 2.6 cm² full-thickness knee cartilage lesions, with a six-month minimum follow-up. Two surgical procedures were performed: arthroscopic cartilage biopsy for isolation and expansion of chondrocytes, which were seeded onto collagen membrane and implanted in the lesion site; the characterization of cultured cells and implant was performed using immunofluorescence for type II collagen (COL2) for cell viability and electron microscopy of the implant. Clinical safety, KOOS and IKDC scores and magnetic resonance imaging were evaluated. We used repeated-measures ANOVA and post-hoc comparisons at α = 5%. Results: COL2 was identified in the cellular cytoplasm, cell viability was higher than 95% and adequate distribution and cell adhesion were found in the membrane. The median follow-up was 10.9 months (7 to 19). We had two cases of arthrofibrosis, one of graft hypertrophy and one of superficial infection as complications, but none compromising clinical improvement. KOOS and IKDC scores and magnetic resonance imaging were evaluated. For resection of the membrane, biopsy of articular cartilage was taken. The median follow-up was 10.9 months (7 to 19). We had two cases of arthrofibrosis, one of hypertrophy of the graft and one of superficial infection as complications, but none compromising clinical improvement. KOOS and IKDC scores and magnetic resonance imaging were evaluated. We used repeated-measures ANOVA and post-hoc comparisons at α = 5%. Results: COL2 was identified in the cytoplasm of the cell, cell viability was higher than 95% and adequate distribution and cell adhesion were found in the membrane. The median follow-up was 10.9 months (7 to 19). Conclusion: ACI in collagen membrane was feasible and safe in a short-term follow-up, presenting regenerated formation visualized by magnetic resonance imaging and improved clinical function. Level of evidence IV, Case series.
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INTRODUCTION

Articular cartilage defects can impose limiting symptoms, loss of function and predisposition for osteoarthritis. Furthermore, it is a challenging clinical problem, as cartilage damage has limited biological capacity for regeneration. Nowadays, there are several techniques for treating these injuries with proven medium and long-term clinical results, including bone marrow stimulation (subchondral perforations and microfracture), autologous osteochondral transfer, fresh allogeneic osteochondral transplantation and autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI). Each of these techniques has advantages and limitations, but ACI is currently considered first-line surgical treatment for large defects with intact subchondral bone.

ACI was introduced in 1994 by Brittberg et al. The procedure is performed in two surgical procedures: arthroscopic biopsy of normal cartilage from a non-weight-bearing area, in which chondrocytes are isolated and expanded in a cell culture laboratory; implant of the cultured chondrocytes onto defect site. ACI first-generation technique used a periosteum patch harvested or laboratory; implant of the cultured chondrocytes onto defect site. ACI second-generation technique containing the solution with suspended cells and delimiting the area for cartilage formation. Second-generation technique used a collagen membrane to replace the periosteum patch. Third-generation used previously chondrocytes-seeded membranes, and last days of cell culture are performed directly on the membrane scaffold.

In Brazil, experiences with ACI and other cellular therapies for cartilage regeneration are incipient. After the initial application of first-generation technique, there was a period in which other techniques were not available. The aim of this study was to establish an ACI clinical routine for knee cartilage injuries and report the experience with a six-month minimum follow-up, focusing on feasibility, patient safety and evaluation of adverse events and functional outcome.

METHODS

From January 2017 to December 2018, eleven patients were consecutively recruited to attend an outpatient clinic in a tertiary health service. Inclusion criteria were: aged between 14 and 55 years; and symptomatic chondral lesions larger than 2 cm², visualized on magnetic resonance imaging, classified as III or IV grade by the International Cartilage Regeneration & Joint Preservation Society (ICRS) score with previous conservative treatment failure. Non-inclusion criteria were: patients with BMI > 30 kg/m² and ligamentous instability or limb malalignment greater than 5° which were not correctable during surgery. Menciscal deficiency was not an exclusion criterion.

All patients were informed about their voluntary participation in the research by the application of the Informed Consent Form. The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee (protocol 1123) and registered in the National Clinical Trials database.

Cartilage biopsy

In the first surgical stage, cartilage biopsy was performed on the superolateral aspect of the intercondylar notch, outside the loading area. A specific arthroscopic gouge was used to remove a 5 mm by 10 to 15 mm full-thickness fragment (Figure 1). The fragment was immediately placed in a 50 ml conical tube containing DMEM/F12 transport culture medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 50 µM gentamicin (Hypofarma). The samples were promptly transported to the cell culture laboratory, with facilities approved by the Brazilian national sanitary authority (ANVISA) for cell therapy clinical trials (Center for Cellular Technology II – CTC II), where they were kept refrigerated at 4°C for a maximum of 48 hours.

Isolation and culture

Biopsy specimen was washed three times using phosphorus-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 50 µM gentamicin and fragmented to obtain small cartilaginous tissue explants. These were placed in 25 cm² culture bottles, with 5 ml of DMEM/F12 mixture ( Gibco , supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum ( Gibco ) and 50 uM gentamicin. The bottles were kept in an incubator at 37°C, with relative humidity close to 100% and atmosphere of 5% CO2. After 72 hours, culture medium was changed, and non-adherent fragments were discarded. New culture medium consisted of DMEM/F12 mixture supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and 50 µM gentamicin until chondrocyte implantation in collagen membrane. Chondrocytes were removed from the culture bottles by TRYPLE enzyme (5 min at 37°C) and transferred to 15 ml conical tubes with culture medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum. Then, they were centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 minutes. Supernatant was discarded and chondrocytes were resuspended in 5 ml DMEM / F12 culture medium supplemented with 50 µM gentamicin and distributed evenly over the surface of the double-layer type t/III (Chondro-gide® – Geistlich Pharma). Cultures were kept for 3 to 4 days in an incubator at 37°C, with relative humidity close to 100% and atmosphere of 5% CO2 until the second surgical procedure.

All samples were subjected to quality control. For microbiological control, approximately 2 ml of culture medium was extracted from the chondrocyte culture flasks and added to the microbiological growth flask ( BD Bactec Peds Plus™ ), to detect the growth of aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms in culture medium samples. Fungal culture tests were also performed on culture medium. Immunofluorescence evaluation with human monoclonal antibody anti-collagen was used in cell cultures to assess the presence of type II collagen as a biological marker of chondrocytes. The final implantation of chondrocyte-seeded collagen membrane was subjected to scanning electron microscopy to assess the presence of adhered cells. Cell viability was assessed by DNA-intercalating assays using hoechst 33342 (0.1 µg/ml) and iodide propidium (50 µg/ml).

Second surgical stage

Through a parapatellar knee arthrotomy, the chondral lesion was identified and debrided to remove all injured tissue,
calcified cartilage layer and any intralesional osteophytes. The objective was to obtain perpendicular edges of healthy cartilage at the edge of the lesion. Then chondrocyte-seeded collagen membrane was implanted with the porous part facing the bed and fixed with absorbable points on the adjacent cartilage and fibrin glue at the lesion bottom and edges. Additional clinically indicated procedures were performed, as shown in Table 1. Intraoperative clinical images are exemplified in Figures 2 to 4.

### Table 1. Patients undergoing autologous chondrocyte implantation.

| Patient | Age | Defect location | Etiology | Total defect size (cm²) | Associated procedures |
|---------|-----|----------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1       | 31  | LFC            | ligament injury | 2.2                   | revision ACL reconstruction |
| 2       | 21  | MFC            | ligament injury | 3.9                   | high tibial osteotomy, ACL reconstruction |
| 3       | 38  | patella MFC    | patellar instability | 5.4                   | MPFL reconstruction, tibial tuberosity osteotomy |
| 4 (right knee) | 36  | MFC, LFC, trochlea | ligament injury | 8.2                   | revision ACL reconstruction, anterolateral ligament reconstruction, medial meniscectomy |
| left    | 36  | MFC, LFC, trochlea | ligament injury | 6.7                   | revision ACL reconstruction, anterolateral ligament reconstruction |
| 5       | 27  | patella, trochlea | fracture sequela | 7.6                   | - |
| 6       | 34  | MFC            | Osteochondritis dissecans | 3.9                   | - |
| 7       | 55  | MFC            | ligament injury | 8.4                   | - |
| 8       | 14  | trochlear      | Osteochondritis dissecans | 2.4                   | bone grafting |
| 9       | 25  | patella        | patellar instability | 4.7                   | MPFL reconstruction, tibial tuberosity osteotomy |
| 10      | 19  | trochlear      | ligament injury | 2.1                   | ACL reconstruction |
| 11      | 40  | MFC, trochlear | ligament injury | 10.1                  | valgus tibial osteotomy, ACL reconstruction |

LFC: lateral femoral condyle; ACL: anterior cruciate ligament; MFC: medial femoral condyle; MPFL: medial patellofemoral ligament; SD: standard deviation.

**Figure 2.** Trochlea and medial femoral condyle injury before and after treatment.

**Figure 3.** Defect in lateral femoral condyle after debridement and membrane implantation.

**Figure 4.** Defects in patella and medial femoral condyle before debridement, after debridement, and after fibrin glue implant.
Postoperative follow-up

All patients received initial rehabilitation with assisted gait training with crutches and continuous passive motion (CPM) device at the hospital, for at least three days. For tibiofemoral lesions, immediate partial weightbearing was allowed, progressing to total weightbearing at six weeks. Range of motion (ROM) progression was: 0-30° in the hospital, 0-90° up to three weeks, 0-120° at six weeks, and full ROM after that. For patellofemoral lesions, immediate partial weightbearing with extension immobilizer and crutches was used, progressing to full weightbearing at three weeks and immobilizer removal at six weeks. ROM progression was: 0°-20° at admission, 0°-60° at three weeks and 0°-120° up to six weeks. Running started between 9 and 12 months, and normal sports activities after 12 to 18 months.

KOOS (Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score) and IKDC (International Knee Documentation Committee Score) functional outcomes questionnaires were used. \(^{14}\) T2-mapping magnetic resonance images were performed after six months for all patients. Preoperative and postoperative patient’s functional scores were compared. Repeated-measures ANOVA and Bonferroni method for multiple comparisons were performed using the SPSS 22 statistical package (IBM, New York) to verify statistically significant differences. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation for normal distribution variables, considering a statistically significant P value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Twelve knees of eleven patients aged 32.1 ± 10.9 years underwent treatment. Average size of lesions was 5.3 ± 2.6 cm\(^2\). Location and associated lesions treated are summarized in Table 1. Patient’s median follow-up was 10.9 months (7 to 19). Two patients followed up for less than two months by our group were not included in this series. We were successful in isolating and culturing all biopsy specimens performed. Average cell culture period was 35 days. There was no microbiological contamination in any of the samples. Immunofluorescence images of cultured chondrocytes showed the presence of type II collagen distributed throughout the cell cytoplasm, demonstrating chondrogenic profile (Figure 5). Membrane scanning electron microscopy at the time of implantation showed the presence of chondrocytes uniformly adhered to the membrane matrix through thin cytoplasmic extensions (Figure 6). There was good cell distribution in the membrane, and cell viability tests showed at least 95% of viable cells (Figure 7).

KOOS: Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee Score. *Repeated-measures ANOVA with Greenhouse-Geisser correction; † Bonferroni correction t-test; ‡ statistically significant.

| Preoperative | three months | six months | p* | p (preop vs 6 months) † |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|----|------------------------|
| KOOS         | 71.2 ± 11.44| 77.7 ± 16.2| 85.0 ± 4.4 | p = 0.031 ‡ | p = 0.007 ‡ |
| IKDC         | 50.72 ± 14.10| 62.3 ± 19.2| 70.5 ± 8.0 | p = 0.009 ‡ | p = 0.005 ‡ |

Functional results are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 8. KOOS and IKDC scales were 71.2 ± 11.44 and 50.72 ± 14.10 preoperatively; at three months 77.7 ± 16.2 and 62.3 ± 19.2; and six months 85.0 ± 4.4 and 70.5 ± 8.0. KOOS (p = 0.031) and IKDC (p = 0.009) scores were significantly different between preoperative and 6-month scores (p = 0.007 for KOOS and p = 0.005 for IKDC).
Magnetic resonance imaging at six-month follow-up showed regen-
erated tissue at lesion site, good filling and signs of compatibility
with hyaline cartilage (Figures 9 and 10).

There were four cases of complications. Two patients presented
stiffness and difficulty in ROM progression, with flexion less than
120 degrees at the tenth week. After arthroscopic release and
joint manipulation, full ROM was achieved. One patient presented
dehiscence and superficial infection in a pelvic surgical wound for
iliac graft removal, used for a high tibial osteotomy. He was treated
with wound debridement and antibiotic therapy until complete
resolution. There was only one complication due to the chondrocyte
implantation itself: a graft hypertrophy observed through magnetic
resonance imaging but asymptomatic.

DISCUSSION
This study reported the first cases of third-generation ACI using
collagen membrane in Brazil.
Isolation and cell cultivation of samples from all patients were
successful on chondrocyte growth and positive expression of
collagen type II. The quality control of the implants demonstrated
good distribution, viable cells adhering to the extracellular matrix
of the collagen membrane and no microbiological contamination.
The primary outcome assessed in this short-term follow-up was
safety. All surgeries were performed successfully. Although there
were four cases of treatment complications, all were successfully
treated, without functional outcome impairment. Three cases were
due to complex reconstructive knee surgery; post-operative stiffness
and wound complication. Only one was related to the implantation
itself, with graft hypertrophy observed through magnetic resonance
imaging, but not presenting symptoms. This complication has been
reported in ACI international literature as occurring in up to 27% of
cases, and unrelated to clinical outcomes or cartilage quality. The
functional result obtained was adequate until the sixth month,
with KOOS and IKDC scores improvement in relation to the preop-
erative, consistent with previous literature. Gommol et al. reported
an increase in IKDC from 45.6 to 68, and KOOS from 45.86 to 70.14
in 2.46 years. Saris et al. reported an increase in IKDC 32.9 to
65.7, and KOOS from 32.5 to 74.1 in 24 months.
As in other literature series, we expect function to improve further
with longer follow-ups, as returning to sports activities takes long
after ACI application, fully allowed after 12 to 18 months. Our study has limitations. This is a case series with no control group
and short-term follow-up. To evaluate the effectiveness of cartilage
treatments, a minimum two-year follow-up is desirable. However, the
work was fully adequate to its main objective: to report the develop-
ment of a clinical routine for ACI with feasibility and safety evaluation
as a secondary outcome for the initial efficacy. At this follow-up, it
was possible to observe the regeneration in imaging exams, initial
clinical improvement in all patients and no serious complications.
Patients recruited for this study represent the reality of cartilage
treatment defects in Brazil: large chondral lesions requiring additional
procedures to treat associated lesions. Using patients with isolated
chondral lesion would result in less varied outcomes. However, for the evaluation of safety and effectiveness, we preferred to include the patient profile in which the technique is most likely to be applied in our country, including associated injuries and previous surgeries. We expect ACI to be frequently used as a combined procedure, and even as a salvage one, after several previous surgeries. Therefore, this choice increases the external validity of our study.21

ACI is considered a first-line method in the international literature for the treatment of large unipolar chondral knee injuries in active patients.14,15 With third-generation technique, chondrocyte adhesion in scaffold occurred in vitro, needless of cell manipulation in the operating room.20

Cell therapy as a treatment for cartilage injury has generated widespread clinical and research interest worldwide.22 ACI was the first cell therapy for cartilage defects developed and routinely used worldwide and is a first-line therapy for large chondral lesions. Gaining national experience allows us to glimpse the possibility of having this technique available for routine clinical use in near future. It is also the first necessary step towards the future of cell and orthobiologic therapy in Brazil.

CONCLUSION

ACI in collagen membrane was feasible and safe in short-term follow-up for the treatment of cartilage defects larger than 2 cm², presenting regenerated formation, visualized through magnetic resonance imaging, and improvement of clinical function.
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