Investigation of hypertensive arteriopathy-related and cerebral amyloid angiopathy-related small vessel disease scores in patients from a memory clinic: a prospective single-centre study
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ABSTRACT

Objective The severity of cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) is assessed through neuroimaging findings, including hypertensive arteriopathy (HA)-SVD and cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA)-SVD. HA-SVD and CAA-SVD have been collectively estimated as total scores: the HA-SVD and CAA-SVD scores, respectively. Previous reports suggest that HA-SVD scores are associated with cognitive function; however, the relationship between CAA-SVD scores and cognitive function remains unclear. Therefore, we examined the association between CAA-SVD scores and cognitive function. Furthermore, we developed a modified CAA-SVD score considering cortical microinfarcts and posterior dominant white matter hyperintensities, which are imaging findings of CAA, and examined the association between these scores and cognitive function in the same patient group.

Design Prospective study.

Setting Single centre study from a memory clinic.

Participants Subjects were diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or mild dementia in our memory clinic between February 2017 and July 2019 and underwent clinical dementia rating scale and brain MRI assessment. A total of 42 patients (aged 75.3±9.12 years) were registered prospectively.

Primary and secondary outcome measures We evaluated intellectual function, memory, frontal lobe function and constructional ability. Furthermore, the relationship between each score and cognitive function was examined.

Results The CAA-SVD score showed significant associations with cognitive function ($R^2=0.63, p=0.016$), but the HA-SVD score did not ($R^2=0.41, p=0.35$). The modified CAA-SVD score was also significantly associated with cognitive function ($R^2=0.65, p=0.008$).

Conclusion Cognitive function is associated with the CAA-SVD score, and more efficiently with the modified CAA-SVD score, in memory clinic patients. Although we have not validated the weighting of the modified CAA-SVD score, these scores can be a predictor of cognitive deterioration in patients with MCI and mild dementia.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) is a comprehensive term that describes small vessel pathological conditions, including ischaemia and haemorrhage, in the brain. Patients with SVD share common pathological, clinical and neuroimaging features.1 Neuroradiological findings of SVD are examined using brain MRI, which shows various vascular lesions, including white matter haemorrhage, lacunae, white matter hyperintensities, and more.
hyperintensities (WMH), lacunar infarcts, enlargement of perivascular spaces (PVS), microbleeds (MBs), cortical superficial siderosis (cSS) and cortical microinfarcts (CMIs). SVD is the main cause of vascular dementia in older people, among which, SVD with dementia comprises nearly half of all patients with vascular dementia. Moreover, SVD is also present in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Although ageing is one of the main causes of SVD, several other diseases such as arteriosclerosis, cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), genetic predispositions and inflammation also cause SVD. In particular, arteriosclerosis and CAA are the two major causes of SVD. SVD due to arteriosclerosis is particularly associated with hypertension (hypertensive arteriopathy; HA); this SVD type is also named sporadic non-amyloid microangiopathy. In contrast, CAA is characterised by the progressive deposition of amyloid beta (Aβ) protein in the cerebral vessels, and the major peptide isoforms of Aβ mainly consist of Aβ40 and Aβ42. Although both HA and CAA share common MRI features (figure 1), including WMH, enlargement of PVS and MBs, the location and distribution of these radiological findings are different. The anteroposterior distribution of WMH in CAA is posterior-dominant. The enlargement of PVS in the basal ganglia (BG-PVS) is associated with hypertension, and patients with CAA show centrum semiovale PVS (CSO-PVS). MBs located in the basal ganglia, thalamus or brainstem indicate HA (deep MBs) and MBs within the lobar brain compartment are associated with CAA. Moreover, lacunar infarcts are associated with hypertension, whereas cSS is a representative MRI biomarker in CAA. CMIs are caused by different pathological backgrounds, including CAA, arteriosclerosis and microembolism; however, neuroradiological findings obtained using 3 Tesla (3T) MRI may enable distinction between CMIs related to CAA and those due to microembolisms.
Recently, two types of MRI-based assessment scores have been developed for SVD. Klarenbeek et al. enrolled patients with lacunar stroke and assessed different MRI features, including lacunar infarct, MBs, BG-PVS and WMH. One point was awarded for the presence of each marker, producing a score between 0 and 4. This HA-SVD score was mainly used for the evaluation of patients with lacunar stroke and/or vascular risk factors, and was associated with intellectual function. Charidimou et al. developed a novel SVD score for patients with CAA (CAA-SVD score), which was associated with clinical symptoms of transient focal neurological episodes. However, the relationship between CAA-SVD scores and cognitive function remains unclear.

In this study, we investigated the relationship between the two types of SVD scores and cognitive function in patients who visited our memory clinic. Moreover, we added other radiological biomarkers of CAA to the CAA-SVD score and investigated its usefulness in evaluating cognitive function in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and mild dementia.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

We prospectively registered patients who consulted our hospital’s memory clinic. Of the 50 subjects, 42 fulfilled the inclusion criteria. A complete description of all procedures was provided to patients, and written informed consent was obtained directly from them or from their caregivers. All patients were comprehensively examined by a neurologist with sufficient experience in examining patients with dementia. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) and MRI were performed after obtaining written informed consent. We collected data from patients who fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: (1) consulted with our hospital’s memory clinic between February 2017 and July 2019, (2) underwent neuroimaging examinations using 3T MRI, (3) completed neuropsychological assessments and (4) had a global CDR score of 0.5 or 1.0. Neuropsychological tests and CDR were performed within 3 months of MRI. No neurological events occurred between these tests and MRI.

We diagnosed MCI according to the National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer’s Association (NIA-AA) criteria for patients with MCI. MCI was classified into MCI due to AD or other types of MCI. The global CDR score was 0.5. We diagnosed AD according to the NIA-AA guidelines. Vascular dementia was diagnosed according to the criteria set forth by the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association.

Neuropsychological assessments

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Japanese Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices (RCPM) were used to quantify intellectual function. Memory was evaluated using the Rivermead Behavioural Memory Test (RBMT). The scores included a standard profile score (SPS) and screening score (SS). Constructive ability was assessed using the Mic Constructional Apraxia Scale (MCAS). Frontal lobe function was assessed using two tasks: word fluency (WF) and trail making test (TMT) -A/-B. The WF test consisted of category and letter domains. In the category WF task (WF-category), participants were asked to name as many animals as possible in 1 min. In the letter WF task (WF-letter), participants were asked to name as many objects as possible in 1 min, beginning with each of the following four phonemes: ka, sa, ta and te. The average scores for these four phonemes were used for statistical analyses.

CDR was performed by two speech therapists, and results were evaluated through a discussion between two neurologists and three speech therapists based on the CDR determination rules.

MRI protocol

We followed the MRI protocol by Li et al. Briefly, MRI studies were performed with a 3T MRI unit (Achieva, Philips Medical System, Best, the Netherlands) using an 8-channel or 32-channel phased-array head coil. We used T1-weighted and T2-weighted images and three-dimensional (3D)-fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images for the evaluation of WMH, lacunar infarcts and PVS. Susceptibility-weighted image (SWI) sequences were used for the detection of MBs and cSS. 3D-double inversion recovery (DIR) and 3D-FLAIR were used for the detection of CMIs. Axial DIR imaging was performed using two different inversion pulses. The long inversion time and the short inversion time were defined as the intervals between the 180° inversion pulse and the 90° excitation pulse, respectively, which had been optimised for human brain imaging and were provided by the vendor.

Details of the two-dimensional (2D)-DIR and 3D-DIR protocols were as follows: field of view, 230 mm; matrix, 320×256 (512×512) after reconstruction; in-plane resolution, 0.45 mm×0.45 mm; section thickness, 3 mm with no intersection gap; no parallel imaging; repetition time (ms)/echo time (ms), 15 000/28; long inversion time (ms)/short inversion time (ms), 3400/325; number of signals acquired, two; and acquisition time, 4 min 30 s for 2D, and field of view, 250 mm; matrix, 208×163 (256×256) after reconstruction; in plane resolution, 0.98 mm×0.98 mm; section thickness, 0.65 mm with over contiguous slice; turbo spin echo factor (TSE) factor 173; repetition time (ms)/echo time (ms), 5500/247; long inversion time (ms)/short inversion time (ms), 2550/450; number of signals acquired, two; and acquisition time, 5 min 13 s for 3D.

The SWI details were as follows: field of view, 230 mm; matrix, 320×251 (512×512) after reconstruction; in-plane resolution, 0.45 mm×0.45 mm; section thickness, 0.5 mm with over contiguous slice; repetition time (ms)/echo time (ms), 22/11.5 (in-phase), 33 (shifted); number of signals acquired, one; flip angle 20°; and acquisition time, 5 min 45 s. 3D-FLAIR imaging was obtained in a sagittal
direction, and then the axial and coronal images were reconstructed. The 3D-FLAIR details were as follows: field of view, 260 mm; matrix, 288×288 (364×364) after reconstruction; in-plane resolution, 0.68 mm×0.67 mm; section thickness, 1 mm with 0.5 mm overlap; no parallel imaging; repetition time (ms)/echo time (ms), 6000/40; inversion time, 2000 ms; number of signals acquired, two; and acquisition time, 5 min 12 s.

**SVD scores**

The HA-SVD score was determined by Klarenbeek et al.14 where one point was awarded for each of the four markers (lacunar infarcts, MBs, BG-PVS and WMH), with a minimum score of 0 and a maximum score of 4. The CAA-SVD score was proposed by Charidimou et al17 (table 1), with one point awarded for each of the four markers (lobar MBs, cSS, CSO-PVS and WMH). For lobar MBs, one point was awarded if two to four MBs were present and two points for five or more MBs. The presence of cSS was awarded with one point if focal and two points if disseminated. The presence of CSO-PVSs was confirmed if there were moderate-to-severe (>20) PVSs (one point if present), with a minimum score of 0 and a maximum score of 6. Both scores were independently assessed by four raters.

**Modified CAA-SVD scores**

We tried to modify CAA-SVD scores by adding one point each in the presence of posteriorly dominant WMH and CMIs related to CAA (table 1).

Tissue quantification was performed using a novel in-house software (FUSED Software for Imaging Of Nervous system: FUSION)29 that yielded an individualised volumetric brain tissue profile. The obtained T1-weighted and FLAIR images were imported from the Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine format files for processing. To increase the accuracy of segmentation, we used the Lesion Segmentation Tool for lesion filling.30 Lesion filling was applied to T1-weighted images that were aligned with the lesion probability map. For pre-processing, the T1-weighted images were co-registered to the FLAIR images. Next, to separate out the white matter, segmentation was performed using the T1-weighted images and a mask covering the cerebral ventricles. The pre-processing function was based on SPM 8 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, UCL). Second-level tissue segmentation was then performed to separate WMH from white matter using a semi-automated operation that extracted the pixels falling within a predetermined WMH value. The WMH volume, which appeared as hyperintense areas on FLAIR images, was quantified for each area. Brain tissue was classified into four areas based on the division of the longitudinal fissure of the cerebrum and central sulcus. WMH were automatically classified as periventricular hyperintensity or deep WMH, and their corrected volumes were quantified in cubic centimetres.29 The anteroposterior centre of WMH was calculated in the following way. To determine the reference point, we identified two anatomical landmarks (anterior, A and posterior, P). Point A was defined as the most anterior part on the wall of the frontal horn of the lateral ventricle. Point P was defined as the most posterior part of the dura mater covering the occipital cortex.8 If there was a large amount of posterior WMH, one point was added to the CAA-SVD score.

CMIs were defined as small cortical hyperintense lesions non-adjacent to WMH. When CMIs were localised within the cortex, predominantly in the occipital lobe, they were smaller than 5 mm in diameter, and had fewer than three lesions, they were defined as CMIs related to CAA (Ishikawa score).15 When there were any CMIs related to CAA, we added one point to the CAA-SVD score.

**Statistical analyses**

The association between each SVD score (dependent variable) and cognitive function (independent variable) was analysed using linear regression analysis. Clinical and radiological characteristics are presented as numbers with percentages and means with SD. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistics software V.20. Differences with p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

| MRI marker                          | Cut-off   | Points |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| **CAA-SVD score**                   |           |        |
| Lobar microbleeds                   | 2–4       | 1      |
|                                     | ≥5        | 2      |
| Cortical superficial siderosis      | Focal     | 1      |
|                                    | Disseminated | 2      |
| Centrum semiovale-perivascular spaces | >20      | 1      |
| White matter hyperintensities (WMH)| Deep WMH (Fazekas 2 or 3) | 1 |
|                                    | Periventricular WMH (Fazekas 3) | 1 |
| **Modified CAA-SVD score**          |           |        |
| Posterior distribution of WMH      | 1         |        |
| Cortical microinfarcts due to CAA  | ≥1        | 1      |

Table 1  Cerebral amyloid angiopathy-small vessel disease (CAA-SVD) score and modified CAA-SVD score
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Patient and public involvement
There was no patient involvement.

RESULTS

Patients
In total, 50 patients were registered for this study, and 42 fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Clinical characteristics, neuropsychological test results and MRI findings of the participants are shown in table 2. The mean age was 75.3±9.12 years, and there were 23 men (54.7%). Regarding vascular risk factors, 22 patients had hypertension (52.3%), 4 had diabetes mellitus (9.5%) and 11 smoked and had dyslipidaemia (26.1%). Fourteen patients had a history of lacunar stroke (33.3%) and 24 patients (57.1%) met the modified Boston criteria (V1.5).

The global CDR score was 0.5 for 30 patients (71.4%) and 1.0 for 12 patients (28.6%). Of the 12 patients with a global CDR score of 1.0, 10 met the criteria reflecting probable AD and 2 had vascular dementia. Among 30 patients with MCI, 20 had MCI due to AD and 10 had other types of MCI. Regarding MRI findings, 31 patients had ≥1 MBs (73.8%), 16 had ≥2 and ≤4 lobar MBs (38.0%) and 10 had ≥5 lobar MBs (23.8%). Three patients had focal cSS (7.1%), 25 had >20 BG-PVSs (59.5%), 30 had >20 CSO-PVSs (71.4%), 26 had deep WMH (Fazekas 2 or 3) (61.9%) and 11 had periventricular WMH (Fazekas 3) (26.1%).

WMH were divided according to whether they were anterior or posterior and were analysed using FUSION. There were seven posterior superiorities (16.6%). CMIs related to CAA were detected in three patients (7.1%), and two of these patients met the modified Boston criteria for probable CAA. The patients with CMIs did not have any evidence of CAA except for CMIs, such as atrial fibrillation and cerebral artery stenosis.

Results of each SVD score
As for each SVD score (table 3), the HA-SVD score was 0 in 3 patients (7.1%), 1 in 7 patients (16.6%), 2 in 14 patients (33.3%), 3 in 11 patients (26.1%) and 4 in 7 patients (16.6%). The CAA-SVD score was 0 in 5 patients (11.9%), 1 in 6 patients (14.2%), 2 in 13 patients (30.9%), 3 in 12 patients (28.5%) and 4 in 6 patients (14.2%). Moreover, the modified CAA-SVD score was 0 in 1 patient (2.3%), 1 in 6 patients (14.2%), 2 in 8 patients (19%), 3 in 13 patients (30.9%), 4 in 11 patients (26.1%), 5 in 2 patients (4.7%) and 6 in 1 patient (2.3%). A significant difference was observed when the HA-SVD scores and CAA-SVD scores were analysed using Pearson’s χ² test (p=0.000).

Cognitive function and the three types of SVD scores

HA-SVD score
With regard to the relationship between each cognitive function and the HA-SVD score, no significant difference was found across any function (table 4), such as MMSE (p=0.52), RCPM (p=0.47), RBMT-SPS (p=0.15), RBMT-SS (p=0.11), TMT-A (p=0.85), TMT-B (p=0.23), WF-category (p=0.10), WF-letter (p=0.17) or MCAS (p=0.05). Additionally, the linear regression models of the associations between the HA-SVD scores and cognitive function revealed that the coefficient of determination was R²=0.409 (p=0.35), and the regression equation did not hold. The Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) was 122.493.

CAA-SVD score
With regard to the relationship between each cognitive function and the CAA-SVD score, a significant difference was found in three out of nine items (table 4), including MMSE (p=0.006), WF-category (p=0.04) and MCAS (p=0.03), while there was no significant difference in six out of nine items, including RCPM (p=0.15), RBMT-SPS (p=0.20), RBMT-SS (p=0.06), TMT-A (p=0.69), TMT-B (p=0.05) and WF-letter (p=0.71). The results of the linear regression models of the associations between CAA-SVD scores and cognitive function demonstrated that the coefficient of determination was R²=0.639 (p=0.016) and the AIC was 104.269.

Modified CAA-SVD score
With regard to the relationship between each cognitive function and the modified CAA-SVD score, a significant difference was found in four out of nine items (table 4), including MMSE (p=0.001), RBMT-SS (p=0.04), WF-category (p=0.02) and MCAS (p=0.03), which was found in five out of nine items (WF-category (p=0.02), MCAS (p=0.03), while no significant difference was found in five out of nine items, including RCPM (p=0.38), RBMT-SPS (p=0.33), TMT-A (p=0.48), TMT-B (p=0.11) and WF-letter (p=0.63). The results of the linear regression models of the association between the CAA-SVD scores and cognitive function revealed that the coefficient of determination was R²=0.645 (p=0.008) and the AIC was 103.43.

On assessing the relationship between each cognitive function and each SVD score, a significant difference was found in MMSE, WF-category, MCAS and RBMT-SS. Among these four items, the WF-category had the highest coefficient of determination for the HA-SVD score (R²=0.0004), and the RBMT-SS had the highest coefficient of determination for the CAA-SVD score (R²=0.0142) and modified CAA-SVD scores (R²=0.0161). In the linear regression models of the associations between each SVD score and cognitive function revealed that the coefficient of determination was R²=0.645 (p=0.008) and the AIC was 103.43.

In the following order: HA-SVD score<modified CAA-SVD score<CAASVD score<modified CAA-SVD score (figure 2).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated a novel association between the CAA-SVD score and cognitive function in memory clinic patients, whereas no significant association was found between the HA-SVD score and cognitive function. Additionally, there was a significant difference between the HA-SVD score and CAA-SVD score; that is, WF-category had the highest coefficient of determination for the HA-SVD score, and the RBMT-SS had the highest...
### Table 2  Participant characteristics

| Clinical characteristics | All participants, n=42 |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Age, years, mean (SD)    | 75.3 (9.12)            |
| Education, years, mean (SD) | 11.9 (2.34)         |
| Male sex (n, %)          | 23 (54.7)              |
| Vascular risk factors    |                        |
| Hypertension (n, %)      | 22 (52.3)              |
| Dyslipidaemia (n, %)     | 11 (26.1)              |
| Diabetes mellitus (n, %) | 4 (9.5)                |
| Smoking (n, %)           | 11 (26.1)              |
| History of any stroke (n, %) | 19 (45.2)            |
| Lacunar (n, %)           | 14 (33.3)              |
| Medication               |                        |
| Anti-hypertensive (n, %) | 7 (16.6)               |
| Statin (n, %)            | 6 (14.2)               |
| Anti-platelet or anti-coagulation (n, %) | 8 (19.0) |
| Meets modified Boston criteria |               |
| Probable CAA             | 11 (26.1)              |
| Possible CAA             | 13 (30.9)              |
| Neuropsychological tests |                        |
| Global CDR               | 0.5 (n, %)             |
|                        | 1.0 (n, %)             |
| MMSE                    | Score (SD)             |
|                        | 25.2 (2.39)            |
| RCPM                    | Score (SD)             |
|                        | 24.2 (5.73)            |
|                        | Time, s (SD)           |
|                        | 440 (198)              |
| RBMT                    | Standard profile score (SD) | 11.5 (5.49) |
|                        | Screening score (SD)   | 4.5 (2.78)  |
| TMT                     | A, s (SD)              |
|                        | 257 (156)              |
|                        | B, s (SD)              |
|                        | 265 (95.6)             |
| WF, /min                | Category (SD)          |
|                        | 10.9 (3.93)            |
|                        | Letters (SD)           |
|                        | 5 (1.72)               |
| MCAS                    | Score (SD)             |
|                        | 3.3 (1.68)             |
|                        | time, s (SD)           |
|                        | 49.6 (37.4)            |
| MRI findings            |                        |
| MBs; all                | ≥1 (n, %)              |
|                        | 31 (73.8)              |
| MBs; lobar              | 2–4 (n, %)             |
|                        | 16 (38.0)              |
|                        | ≥5 (n, %)              |
|                        | 10 (23.8)              |
| cSS                     | Focal (n, %)           |
|                        | 3 (7.1)                |
|                        | Disseminated (n, %)    |
|                        | 0                      |
| BG-PVSs                 | >20 (n, %)             |
|                        | 25 (59.5)              |
| CSO-PVSs                | >20 (n, %)             |
|                        | 30 (71.4)              |
| WMH                     | Deep WMH (Fazekas 2 or 3) (n, %) | 26 (61.9) |
|                        | Periventricular WMH (Fazekas 3) (n, %) | 11 (26.1) |
| Posterior distribution of WMH (n, %) | 7 (16.6)             |
| CMI(s) due to CAA (n, %) | 3 (7.1)               |

Continued
The HA-SVD score and CAA-SVD score share common components including WMH, PVS and MBs. The HASVD score includes lacunar infarcts, whereas the CAA-SVD score includes cSS. Moreover, the location of PVS and MBs differ between the HA-SVD and CAA-SVD scores. Previous reports have shown that CSO-PVS is negatively correlated with memory and that BG-PVS is negatively correlated with processing speed, executive function and memory. Additionally, the presence and number of MBs have been associated with cognitive impairment. The incidence of cSS is extremely low and difficult to study in healthy individuals; however, cSS is highly-specific for CAA. As described above, the CAA-SVD score was produced by adding cSS to the WMH and region-specific MBs and PVS and was more related to cognitive function than the HA-SVD score.

The modified CAA-SVD score improved the prediction accuracy of the regression equation, reduced the AIC and slightly improved the prediction accuracy compared with the CAA-SVD score. CMIs are an important risk factor for dementia, and it has been reported that the presence of CMIs approximately doubles the risk of dementia. One of the major causes of CMIs is CAA. Additionally, several reports have described the relationship between WMH and cognitive function, and WMH due to CAA have been reported to be posterior-dominant. Therefore, it was thought that incorporation of these two markers may have affected relationship with cognitive function in an additive manner.

On observing the results for each test item, the CAA-SVD score was found to have significant associations with constructional ability and memory. This observation is in line with the diagnostic criteria of NIA-AA, which includes constructional ability and memory as an essential cognitive domain. These results in our study may be dependent on the background of the patients in our memory clinic. In this study, 24 patients (57.1%) met the modified Boston criteria (V.1.5), 10 of 12 patients with mild dementia
had AD and MCI due to AD was present in 20 out of 30 patients with MCI. MCI due to AD has been reported to have a high rate of progression to AD.\textsuperscript{36} Low prevalence of vascular risk and advanced ageing in the present study may indicate that our memory clinic’s patients had a higher burden of amyloid pathology. Therefore, the CAA-SVD score and modified CAA-SVD score may reflect the pathological background of AD. The CAA-SVD score may be a useful tool for memory clinic patients whereas the SVD scores may not, rather being suited for the patients with vascular risk factors. Additionally, there may be a possibility that cognitive dysfunction can be detected earlier by evaluating patients with a score that is well-tailored to them, thereby enabling appropriate subsequent patient treatment.

This study had several limitations. First, it was based on a relatively small sample size. Second, deep MBs is common in Japan,\textsuperscript{37} but the patients included in this study mostly

| Table 4 | Linear regression models of associations between cognitive function and SVD score |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | Unstandardised beta (SE) |  | P value | Unstandardised beta (SE) |  | P value |
|          | MMSE | RCPM | RBMT-SPS | RBMT-SS | TMT-A | TMT-B | WF (Category) | WF (Letters) | MCAS |
| HA-SVD score | 0.191 | −0.185 | 1.057 | −1.148 | 0.065 | 0.395 | 0.426 | −0.38 | −0.686 |
| CAA-SVD score | 0.713 | −0.295 | 0.732 | −1.055 | 0.107 | 0.516 | 0.414 | −0.079 | −0.584 |
| Modified CAA-SVD score | 0.771 | −0.17 | 0.622 | −1.005 | 0.192 | 0.412 | 0.448 | −0.097 | −0.564 |
| HA-SVD score | 0.521 | 0.474 | 0.159 | 0.111 | 0.854 | 0.239 | 0.104 | 0.17 | 0.052 |
| CAA-SVD score | 0.006 | 0.153 | 0.209 | 0.064 | 0.698 | 0.057 | 0.047 | 0.71 | 0.036 |
| Modified CAA-SVD score | 0.001 | 0.384 | 0.267 | 0.048 | 0.476 | 0.11 | 0.028 | 0.634 | 0.026 |

P-values less than 0.05 are shown in bold
CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy; HA, hypertensive arteriopathy; MCAS, Mie Constructional Apraxia Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; RBMT, Rivermead Behavioural Memory Test; RCPM, Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices; SPS, standard profile score; SS, screening score; SVD, small vessel disease; TMT, trail making test; WF, word fluency.

**Figure 2** Linear regression models of the associations between each cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) score and the Rivermead Behavioural Memory Test-screening score. CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy; HA, hypertensive arteriopathy.
had strictly lobar MBs, and we believe that there was selection bias due to recruiting patients from a memory clinic. Third, we were unable to carry out pathological examinations. The patient who did not meet the modified Boston criteria but meet the CAA due to CMI criteria are scored as CAA-related CMI. In the previous report, 17% of the pathological patients had a CAA but a CAA score of 0, and most of the pathological changes were mild.17

CMI is also detected by mild CAA.38 The Ishikawa score is based on the characteristics of patients with CAA, and we considered that there is no problem with this addition, but this case also requires pathological findings.

These issues should be addressed in future studies. Fourth, currently, FUSION has its limits and cannot distinguish small infarcts and enlarged PVS. At present, the radiologist visually confirmed whether the results of FUSION were likely to be affected, and it was determined that the results were not affected. We aim to improve the software so that it can distinguish small infarcts and enlarged PVS in the future. Fifth, we have not validated the weighting of the modified CAA-SVD score; this needs further investigation. Finally, there was no significant association between the HA-SVD score and cognitive function in this study, possibly due to the limited number of patients with hypertension included in this study. Furthermore, even though there is a possibility that a larger number of cases may allow a significant correlation, further and larger studies would be required to validate this.

Despite these limitations, our study shows that patients with MCI or mild dementia should be evaluated with the CAA-SVD score. The modified CAA-SVD score may also be applicable to these patients.
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