Evaluation of the root and canal morphology of mandibular permanent molars in a south-eastern Turkish population using cone-beam computed tomography
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this retrospective study was to determine the root and canal morphology of the mandibular first and second permanent molars in a Turkish population using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT). Materials and Methods: CBCT images of mandibular first (n = 966) and second molar (n = 1165) teeth from 850 Turkish patients were evaluated. The root canal configurations were classified according to the method of Vertucci. The data were analyzed by Pearson’s Chi-square test. Results: The majority of mandibular molars were two rooted with three canals; however, three roots were identified in 0.05% of the first molars and 0.01% of the second molars, and 100% of the additional root canals were of type I configuration. Mesial roots had more complex canal systems with more than one canal, whereas most distal roots had a type I configuration. Conclusions: Within the limitations of this study, it can be concluded that CBCT scanning provides supplemental information about the root canal configurations of mandibular molars in a Turkish population. This study may help clinicians in the root canal treatment of mandibular molars.

Key words: Cone-beam computed tomography, mandibular permanent molars, root and canal morphology, south-eastern Turkish population

INTRODUCTION

The morphology of the root canal systems of mandibular molars may vary according to ethnic differences and origin, age, gender, and study design.¹⁻³ The knowledge of morphological characteristics and variations of root canal plays an important role in the success of endodontic diagnosis and treatment.⁴

The quality of root canal fillings is associated with ideal biomechanical instrumentation and followed by homogeneity obturation of the root canal structure.⁵⁻⁶ On the other hand, the type of roots and the morphology characteristics of mandibular molar teeth present clinical complications that often jeopardize the endodontic therapy. Therefore, clinicians must be able to identify the root canal structure before or during a root canal treatment.⁶ It is known that the presence of additional canals or deviations of the main root canals can cause endodontic flare-ups and failures.⁷⁻⁹ Successful treatment of endodontic complications is associated with diagnostic imaging techniques that provide information about the teeth and
their surrounding structures. These techniques include panoramic, full-mouth periapical radiography and cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT). Conventional radiograph images are widely used in endodontic treatment, but they are not very beneficial in the assessment of complex root canal anatomies where anatomic structures may be confused with periapical pathosis. Recently, the use of three-dimensional (3D) imaging techniques such as CBCT provides much more detail about the root canal morphology and is more sensitive to detection of supplemental canals than are radiographic images. Studies suggest that CBCT potentially provides the clinician a more accurate assessment of the outcome of root canal treatments. Recently, some studies have shown that CBCT scanning is able to evaluate the morphology of root canals. A review of the literature on root and canal morphology using the PubMed database identified several reports on the root and canal morphology with different techniques in a Turkish population. However, there is one study that evaluated root and canal morphology of the mandibular molars in a Turkish population by using CBCT.

The aim of this retrospective study was to analyze the root and canal morphology of mandibular molar teeth in a Turkish population from the south-eastern region of Turkey by using CBCT images.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

CBCT images of mandibular molars were obtained from patients who visited the Faculty of Dentistry, Dicle University, Diyarbakir, Turkey, for various purposes between May 2009 and April 2012. All records were selected from a Turkish population from the south-eastern region of Turkey. CBCT images of 421 women and 429 men between the ages of 14 and 70 years were examined. In total, 2131 teeth (966 first mandibular molars and 1165 second mandibular molars) were evaluated in this study. This study was based on the retrospective evaluation of CBCT, and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Sifa University (Protocol #33-2013).

The following were the inclusion criteria for selecting the teeth:

a. Permanent molars with no periapical lesions
b. No root canals with open apices, resorption, or calcification
c. Fully erupted teeth
d. No root canal fillings, posts, and crown restorations
e. CBCT images of good quality.

The exclusion criteria included the following:

a. Image deformity on CBCT
b. Incomplete root formation
c. Permanent mandibular third molars that had shifted mesially to the position of early lost second molars were identified by tooth morphology and tilting and were excluded.

The CBCT images were obtained using a CBCT scanner (I-CAT Vision TM; Imaging Science International, Hatfield, PA, USA, 2008) at 120 kVp, 18.54 mA with an exposure time of 8-9 s. The voxel size of the images was 0.3 mm. An experienced radiologist acquired the images according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Intra-examiner calibration of the CBCT images was first performed to evaluate the reliability of the assessment. All the images were assessed separately twice by two examiners (one endodontist and one maxillofacial radiologist) with a 2-week interval between the assessments. The specimens were investigated radiographically by CBCT and the following were observed:

a. The number of roots
b. The number of canals per root
c. The canal configuration in each root using Vertucci’s classification

The statistical significant differences were evaluated using the Chi-square test with SPSS (Version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software, and \( P < 0.05 \) were considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The results of this study are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, and variants in the root canal morphology of the mandibular first and second permanent molars are shown in Figure 1.

**Mandibular first molars**

The majority of first molars (99.2%) had one mesial and one distal root. Only five patients (three females and two males) were detected as having three-rooted molars and three patients were detected as having one-rooted molars. A type I configuration. There was no statistical difference related to gender in the number of roots of mandibular first molars.
The frequency and distribution of all root canals are listed in Table 1. In total, 96.8% of the mesial roots had two canals, 3% had one canal, and 0.2% had three canals. In the distal root, 49.8% had two canals, 49.8% had one canal, and 0.4% had three canals. There were six variants in the root canal morphology of the mandibular first molars. The distribution and percentages of the six categories of variants in the root canal anatomy of the mandibular first molars are listed in Table 2. Type IV canal configuration was the most prevalent in the mesial roots, whereas type I canal configuration was the most prevalent in the distal roots. Additionally, type II, type III, type V, and type VIII canal configurations were observed in mesial and distal roots. Moreover, the incidences of varying root canal configurations did not statistically differ between females and males ($P > 0.05$).

**Mandibular second molars**

Most of the second molars (90%) had one mesial and one distal root, whereas 10% had one root. No statistical gender-related difference ($P > 0.05$) was detected in the incidence of root numbers of mandibular second molars.

The incidences of root canals are listed in Table 1. In total, 90% of the mesial roots had two canals and 10% had one canal. The majority of distal roots had one canal (97%) and 3% had two canals. Additionally, three patients (0.01%) had additional (distolingual or

---

**Table 1: The frequency and distribution of root canals in mandibular first and second permanent molar teeth**

| Variables | First molar | Second molar |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Number of canals | Mesial root | Distal root | Extra root | Mesial root | Distal root | Extra root |
| Single canal | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |
| Two canals | 22 (4.6) | 6 (1.3) | 167 (55) | 150 (44.7) | 3 (100) | 5 (55.6) | 70 (12) | 44 (7.6) | 553 (97.7) | 553 (96) | 1 (50) | 1 (100) |
| Three canals | 458 (95) | 484 (98.7) | 135 (44.4) | 185 (55.2) | 0 | 4 (44.4) | 512 (88) | 539 (92.4) | 13 (2.3) | 22 (4.4) | 1 (50) | 0 |
| Four canals | 1 (0.4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Five canals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 481 | 490 | 303 | 335 | 3 | 9 | 582 | 583 | 566 | 575 | 2 | 1 |

---

**Table 2: The frequency distribution and percentage of root canal configurations in mandibular permanent molar teeth**

| Variables | First molar | Second molar |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Canal configuration | Mesial root | Distal root | Extra root | Mesial root | Distal root | Extra root |
| F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |
| Type I | 27 (5) | 25 (5) | 282 (60) | 349 (59) | 3 (100) | 5 (100) | 86 (15) | 59 (10) | 562 (95) | 537 (93) | 1 (50) | 1 (100) |
| Type II | 5 (1) | 5 (1) | 65 (14) | 68 (11) | 0 | 0 | 10 (2) | 15 (2.6) | 19 (3.2) | 20 (3) | 0 | 0 |
| Type III | 1 (0.2) | 0 | 9 (2) | 12 (2) | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.2) | 7 (1.2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Type IV | 428 (89) | 455 (93) | 95 (20) | 110 (19) | 0 | 0 | 440 (76) | 487 (84) | 10 (1.7) | 17 (2.9) | 1 (50) | 0 |
| Type V | 14 (3) | 3 (0.6) | 20 (4) | 50 (8) | 0 | 0 | 45 (8) | 15 (2.6) | 2 (0.3) | 1 (0.2) | 0 | 0 |
| Type VI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Type VII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Type VIII | 1 (0.2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 476 | 488 | 471 | 589 | 3 | 5 | 582 | 583 | 593 | 575 | 2 | 1 |
mesiolingual) canals. The frequency distribution of the number of root canals did not differ between females and males ($P > 0.05$).

There were five variants in the root canal morphology of the mandibular second molars. The distribution and percentages of the five categories of variants in the root canal anatomy of the mandibular second molars are listed in Table 2. Type IV canal configuration was the most prevalent in the mesial roots, whereas type I canal configuration was the most prevalent in the distal roots. Additionally, type II, type III, and type V canal configurations were also observed in mesial and distal roots, and the incidences of varying root canal configurations did not statistically differ between females and males ($P > 0.05$), with the exception being the mesial canal of the left mandibular second molars ($P < 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

It is essential to have a thorough knowledge of root canal morphology and configuration for successful endodontic treatment.

The failure to determine additional canals and incomplete instrumentation are the most likely causes of endodontic flare-ups and failures.

Many studies have examined root and canal morphology using various methods such as canal staining and clearing technique, cross-sectioning technique, contrast medium-enhanced radiography, modified canal staining and clearing, radiographic examination, and computed tomography scanning. However, canal staining and clearing technique and cross-sectioning technique are invasive and result in irreversible damage to samples. CBCT is a non-invasive method compared with cross-sections and the clearing technique, and can be used directly to evaluate patients. Conventional intraoral periapical radiographs provide only two-dimensional images, and in some cases, anatomic structures can be superimposed in these images; therefore, they are not beneficial in the evaluation of complex root canal anatomies due to their natural limitations.

CBCT has been widely used to evaluate the endodontic applications by clinicians in the past few years, and provides clinicians with three-dimensional information about the external and internal morphology of the root and canal systems. In the present study, CBCT provides a comprehensive report on the root canal morphology of mandibular first and second molars in a Turkish population.

It has usually been accepted that mandibular first molars have two roots located mesially and distally and three canals (one root canal in the distal root and two root canals located in the mesial root); but in populations with Mongoloid traits, the additional root in a mandibular first molar is considered to be a normal morphologic version and may be defined as a Mongolian trait or an Asian trait. It has been described that the Mongoloid population exhibits significantly more mandibular first molars with three roots (3:1) than the other populations, and this version could be considered a genetically determined characteristic.

In this study, we found that 0.5% of mandibular first molars and 0.01% of mandibular second molars had an additional root located distolingually. This frequency is less than that reported in a previous study of Turkish population (2.06%), but is very less than that reported in Japanese (22.7%), Koreans (22.3%), Hong Kong population (15.0%), and by Zhang et al. (29%) who reported a high prevalence of three roots in an Asian population. Furthermore, this variant has a frequency of lower than 5% in European people (British, Dutch, German, Finnish), African (Bushmen, Bantu, Senegalese) and Indian populations.

In the case of mandibular second molars, the majority (90%; 1051 teeth) had two roots located mesially and distally and 114 teeth (10%) had one root. The incidence of two separate roots is similar to that reported in a previous study of Turkish population by Demirbuga et al. (85.4%); however, it is higher than that reported in Burmese (58.2%) and Thai (54%) populations. In the present study, the frequency of two canals in the mesial and distal roots of the first molar tooth was 96.8% and 49.8%, respectively, whereas the second molar tooth had two canals in 90.2% and 3.15% of the mesial and distal roots, respectively. This incidence is similar to those reported in the previous studies of Turkish and Western Chinese populations by using CBCT, except for the distal roots of the first molar tooth.

In the present study, type IV configuration was the most prevalent (89% in females and 93% in males) in mesial roots. This is consistent with the findings of many earlier studies. However, Zaatar et al. and al-Nazhan reported type II being the most prevalent followed by type IV. Only one patient (0.2% of females) had a type VIII configuration in the mesial root, which is in agreement with the study by Chen et al. (0.2-5%).
Likewise, in our study, type I configuration was the most prevalent in females (60%) and males (59%) in the distal roots. Furthermore, in this study, type II, type IV, and type V configurations were found to be higher than that reported by Demirbuga et al.\textsuperscript{[6]} Gu et al.\textsuperscript{[41]} examined 20 extracted three-rooted mandibular first molars in a micro-computed tomography study of Chinese patients. They found that all the additional roots contained a type I (100%) canal configuration. In the present study, all the additional roots contained a type I canal configuration. The results of the present investigation are in agreement with previous studies.\textsuperscript{[3,4,6,37]}

The most common root canal configuration of second molar teeth in the present study was type IV (76% for females and 84% for males) in the mesial roots and type I (95% for females and 93% for males) in the distal roots [Table 2]. This finding agrees with those of Demirbuga et al.\textsuperscript{[6]} and Ahmed et al.\textsuperscript{[42]} but contrasts with those of Gulabivala et al.\textsuperscript{[36]} Vertucci and Williams,\textsuperscript{[21]} and Pineda and Kuttler\textsuperscript{[26]} who observed type I as the most frequent canal configuration in the mesial root of the second molar tooth in a Caucasian population. Several possible reasons may account for the differences, such as ethnic population, study design (the samples in the other studies were extracted teeth),\textsuperscript{[21]} and the sample size. These variables may result in different frequencies of configuration of the mandibular molars.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The root number and morphology of 850 Turkish mandibular molars were examined using CBCT. In the present study, type IV and type I canal configurations were the most prevalent in the mesial and distal roots, respectively, of both the mandibular first and second molar teeth. There was a low prevalence of three-rooted mandibular molars in this Turkish population. CBCT scanning provides supplemental information about the root canal configurations of mandibular molars in a Turkish population, and this study may help clinicians in the root canal treatment of mandibular molars.
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