Highly efficient method for gene delivery into mouse dorsal root ganglia neurons
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INTRODUCTION

Culture of primary cells has been extensively used to study neuronal survival, signal transduction, development, and neurite outgrowth. Gene transfer, through both viral and non-viral methods, has become a powerful technique to assess the effects of expression of selected genes. Adenovirus, herpes-simplex virus (HSV), lentivirus, and adeno-associated virus (AAV) have been reported to deliver transgenes both in vivo and in vitro (Glatzel et al., 2000; Chattopadhyay et al., 2005; Towne et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2011). While effective, these approaches are time-consuming, labor-intensive and carry some potential biohazard risk. Non-viral methods, which mainly include microinjection of DNA, biolistic, sonoporation, lipid- or chemical-based transfer or electroporation, offer faster and safer means for gene delivery (Table 1).

Electroporation, particularly because of its ease of use, combined with efficient and precise targeting in space and time, has become an effective method for introducing DNA into neurons in culture, slices and in intact neural tissue of Xenopus, chick and mouse (Kawabata et al., 2004; Falk et al., 2007; Saijilafu and Zhou, 2011). Dorsal root ganglia (DRG)-derived sensory neurons that are selectively sensitive to Nerve growth factor (NGF), Brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and Neurotrophin-3 (NT3), provide an excellent model in which to study the mechanisms of axonal regeneration, neurotrophin signaling, peripheral nervous system development and peripheral neuron disease (Melli and Hoke, 2009; Newbern et al., 2011). Existing electroporation methods of dissociated DRG neurons require relatively large amounts of cells. As example, the Amaxa Nucleofector system, one of the best known and commonly performed transfection methods now in the labs, requires 1 × 10⁶ DRG cells in 100 μl for each electroporation [e.g., Chick DRG (Chadbourn et al., 2006); Manufacturer’s instructions]. This is a major obstacle when working with embryos. Additionally, the low survival and transfection rate with the standard Amaxa system are of major concern. Though encouraging results have been reported recently with adult Rat DRG using the 4D-Nucleofector system-X from Lonza (McCall et al., 2012), electroporation of dissociated DRG neurons, from young mouse embryos, whose cell number is limiting, remains a challenge.

Herein, we describe an optimized procedure for isolation and dissociation of mouse embryonic DRG neurons and their electroporation with plasmid DNA (≈5 kb and ≈4.7 kb) in a rapid and highly effective manner with efficiencies comparable to those reported for viral infection, while maintaining high viability and transgene expression.
Table 1 | Comparison of non-viral methods commonly used to transfect mammalian neurons.

| Non-viral method                  | Model                                   | Maximal efficiency                                                | Cell survival | References |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Ca²⁺ phosphate/DNA co-precipitation | erHCn                                   | Typically between 1 and 5%; 12 and 27% under highly controlled conditions | Efficient %n.c. | %n.c.      |
| Lipofection                       | nmCGn                                   | Effective (≥50% Knock-down)                                       | %n.c.         | %n.c.      |
|                                  | erHCn/erCn                              | 20–25% / 25–30%                                                   | –             | 100% b    |
|                                  | erHCn                                   | –                                                                | 73% c         | 89–96%    |
| Biolistics                        | nt/arDRGn/SCGn                          | Typically around 2%, rarely reaches 10% in cultured neurons, up to 34% in slice culture | –             | %n.c.      |
| Sonoporation                      | DRGn                                    | 31%                                                              | 35%           | %n.c.      |
| Electroporation “Nucleofection”   | nt/ar/smDRGn/sc/SCGn                    | 5–20%                                                            | Efficient     | %n.c.      |
|                                  | mNSC                                    | 88%                                                              | 37%           | Martínez and Hollenbeck, 2003 |
|                                  | hNPCs                                   | 10–20%                                                           | 78% a         | Bertram et al., 2012d |
|                                  | arDRGn/erDRGn/EC/SCGn/erHCn             | 39–42%                                                           | 44%           | Dieterlen et al., 2009 |
|                                  |                                         |                                                                   | %n.c.         | Chadborn et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2006; MacGillavry et al., 2009; Ketschek and Gallo, 2010; McCaill et al., 2012; Pristera et al., 2012; Kirton et al., 2013 |

erHCn, embryonic rat hippocampal neurons; hNPCs, human neural progenitor cells; NSC, neural stem cells; CGn, cerebellar granule neurons; SCGn, superior cervical ganglion neurons. amDRGn, adult Mouse DRGn; emDRGn, embryonic mouse DRGn; arDRGn, adult Rat DRGn; nrDRGn, neonatal rat DRGn; ecDRGn, embryonic chick DRGn; %n.c., percent not communicated.

a Lipofectamine 2000™.
b The authors state that 100% of the cells in transfected cultures are viable.
c Stearyl-R8.
d Nucleofector® 4D.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

REAGENTS

Poly-L-Lysine (P1399, Sigma-Aldrich), Laminin (L2020, Sigma-Aldrich), calcium/magnesium free Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (14170, Life technologies), Trypsin (T5266, Sigma-Aldrich, pH = 7.2), DNAse I (DN25, Sigma-Aldrich), Nutrient Mixture F-12 (21765, Life technologies), Fetal calf serum (FCS) (10270106, Life technologies), penicillin-streptomycin (P/S) (15140, Life technologies), Nerve growth factor (NGF) (mouse 2.5S; N-100, Alomone Labs), Cytosine-arabinoside “Ara-C” (C1768, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-βIII tubulin antibody (SC-53140, Santa Cruz), Donkey anti-mouse antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor® 488 (715-545-151, Jackson ImmunoResearch), Vectashield (Vector, H-1000).

ANIMALS

Time pregnant OF1 mice were purchased from Charles River (France). The mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation according to ethical committee recommendations (Authorization # 007050).

PLASMIDS

pEGFP-N1 (4.7 kb) was from Lonza; pCDNA3.1-Cav-1 fused to RFP (pCDNA3.1-Cav-1-RFP (5.25 kb), Addgene Plasmid 14434) was a gift from R. E Pagano; (Sharma et al., 2004); pCDNA3.1-Cav-1-RFP was modified by removing the Cav1 coding sequence from pCDNA3.1-Cav-1-RFP. An Endofree DNA purification kit (Nucleobond XtraMAXi, ref. 740424.10) was used to isolate GFP, RFP and CAV1-RFP plasmids according to manufacturer’s
protocol. Plasmids were resuspended in culture grade PBS (Ca\(^{++}\), Mg\(^{++}\)-free; 14190-094, Life technologies) at 3–5 μg/μl.

**PREPARATION OF COATED COVERSLIPS**

Coverslips were soaked in 96% ethanol for 30 min, then washed with distilled water and/or air dried. Poly-L-lysine (50 μg/ml) was spread equally over the surface of the coverslip, followed by incubation for 3 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. They were subsequently rinsed with distilled water, then 50 μl Laminin (10 μg/μl) in HBSS was applied on a coverslip. A second coverslip was placed, coated side down, on the first one, then allowed to sit overnight at 37°C. After two washes with HBSS, the coverslips were placed one per well into a 24-well tissue culture plate. Wells were then filled with 500 μl of culture medium without antibiotics and plates pre-incubated in a humidified 37°C/5% CO₂ incubator prior to use.

**ISOLATION AND DISSOCIATION OF DORSAL ROOT GANGLIA FROM MOUSE EMBRYOS**

We used a modified protocol, adapted from a previously described procedure for isolation and culture of cortical neurons (Castellani et al., 2004; Falk et al., 2014). Time pregnant mice at E14.5 were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and embryos were removed from the uterus kept on ice. Heads were removed from the embryos in ice cold HBSS (Ca\(^{++}\)/Mg\(^{++}\)-free) and the bodies washed in the same. Both Male and Female embryos were used. The embryos were pinned down on the silicon-coated dish with dorsal side up in cold HBSS with 2% glucose. The skin of the embryo was cut along the dorsal midline. The cartilage was then cut along the midline and the spinal cord removed with the forceps tip by sliding them along the spinal cord. The meninge was cut along the midline and the spinal cord removed with the forceps tip by sliding them along the vertebral canal. The meninges were then rinsed with distilled water, then 50 μl Laminin (10 μg/ml) into an eppendorf tube containing 270 μl of culture medium. The DRG explants were centrifuged 3 min at 900 rpm (fixed angle rotor, radius 60 mm, Eppendorf minispin) and Trypsin-containing medium (F12, 10% FBS without antibiotics). DRG explants were added to yield a final concentration of 30 μg/ml trypsin (25 mg/ml) and 10 μml of DNase I (0.1 mg/ml) were added to a final concentration of 0.033 mg/ml, and returned to 37°C for an additional 10 min incubation, gently shaking the tube approximately every 3 min to equally distribute the DRG. Neutralization of the trypsin was performed by adding 30 μl of FBS followed by 500 μl of culture medium (F12, 10% FBS without antibiotics). DRG explants were centrifuged 3 min at 900 rpm (fixed angle rotor, radius 60 mm, Eppendorf minispin) and Trypsin-containing medium was removed. Mechanical dissociation of cells was carried out using a fire polished glass Pasteur pipette with a bulb, by triturating approximately 15 times in 200 μl F12, 10%FCS without antibiotics. Cells were counted to evaluate the number of conditions possible (6–9 × 10⁴ cells per condition) before resuspension in electroporation buffer. An average of 27 × 10⁴ cells were isolated per embryo (range 18–37 × 10⁴).

In order to achieve a high survival rate of the cells, it is essential to keep the dissection within 1 h after sacrifice of the mother.

**ELECTROPORATION**

After counting the cells, 1.3 ml Ca\(^{++}\)/Mg\(^{++}\)-free HBSS was added and the cells centrifuged for 2 min at 300 rpm (fixed angle rotor, radius 60 mm, Eppendorf minispin). The cells were resuspended with half of the electroporation buffer R (Invitrogen) that would be needed for the number of conditions estimated. Since cells are lost in this process, they were counted again to adjust the amount to 6–9 × 10⁴ cells per condition with electroporation buffer R (10 μl total per electroporation). 10 μl of cells were gently pipetted into each microfuge tube, then mixed with the appropriate plasmids, i.e., pEGFP (Lonza) (0.5 μg) and pCDNA3.1-Cav-1 fused to RFP (pCDNA3.1-Cav-1-RFP) or pCDNA3.1-RFP (1 or 2 μg). Cells were immediately electroporated using the Neon® transfection system. Electrical parameters, i.e., voltage and pulse length, were varied in order to find the optimal conditions. Cells should not be kept in the electroporation buffer for longer than 10 min. After electroporation, cells were immediately transferred into two wells with coated coverslips, containing medium without antibiotics, pre-warmed to 37°C. The culture dishes were gently rocked to ensure even distribution of the cells, which were then left to settle for 1 h in the CO₂ incubator at 37°C. NGF (50 ng/ml final) and antibiotics (1% final) were then added to the medium and 12 h later, Ara-C (10 μM final) was added to remove contaminating glial cells.

**IMAGING AND DATA ANALYSIS**

Control and caveolin-1-RFP-expressing cultures from the same dissociation batch were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 14–16 h at 4°C, 24 and 48 h after addition of NGF, and transgene expression was analyzed. Phase contrast and fluorescence images were acquired using a 20x objective as a 3 × 3 montage. 4 montages of 9 images were collected in different regions of the coverslips to minimize bias due to different cell density (Zeiss AxioImager, Z1 upright). The number of transfected neurons per image was calculated using the Cell Counter plugin for ImageJ.

For determination of the impact of electroporation on cell survival and differentiation, non-transfected control and 1 μg RFP/0.5 μg GFP expressing cultures from the same dissociation batch were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 14–16 h at 4°C, 24 and 48 h after addition of NGF. Phase contrast images were acquired using a Zeiss AxioImager, Z1 upright, 20x objective. Approximately 14 images were collected in different regions of the coverslips to minimize bias due to different cell density. The number of surviving neurons (round, bright clear) per image was calculated using the Cell Counter plugin for ImageJ.

### Table 2 | Optimization of electroporation.

| Conditions | Survival | Efficiency |
|------------|----------|------------|
| 1. 1500 V 20 ms 1 pulse | ++ | + |
| 2. 1600 V 10 ms 3 pulses | + | ++ |
| 3. 1300 V 20 ms 2 pulses | ++ | ++ |

**Table 2**

| DRG neurons (6–9 × 10⁴ cells for each condition) were electroporated with pEGFP (1 μg, Lonza). Electroporation conditions were optimized for voltage, duration and number of pulses. After 24 h, cell viability and transfection efficiency were assessed by visual inspection.
calculated using the Cell Counter plugin for ImageJ. The overall neurite outgrowth per image was calculated using the NeuronJ plugin for ImageJ (1 pixel = 0.318 μm).

For immunolabeling of neurons, cells were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 14–16 h at 4°C and permeabilized at room temperature (RT) for 5 min with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. After washing with PBS at RT, cells were blocked for 1 h at RT with 10% normal goat serum/1% BSA/0.1% Triton in PBS. Samples were incubated with anti-βIII tubulin mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz, SC-53140) 14–16 h at 4°C and visualized with secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488 (Goat-anti-mouse, Jackson ImmunoResearch, 115-485-003) to label neurons. Coverslips were mounted in Vectashield. Images were acquired using Olympus FluoView FV10i. Data acquisition was performed with Olympus FluoView software.

STATISTICS
Statistical significance between various groups was tested using the Mann-Whitney test.

RESULTS
OPTIMIZATION OF TRANSFECTION CONDITIONS FOR DISSOCIATED DRG NEURONS
To determine the protocol that would produce the highest possible transfection efficiency and greatest survival, the DRG neurons were transfected with pEGFP and each expression construct for RFP at two different concentrations and the number of transfected cells was determined by visual inspection. Results were obtained from three independent experiments. Data represent Mean ± S.E.M.

Table 3 | Percentage of RFP and Cav1-RFP positive cells with different expression constructs.

| Time point | Construct | Percentage of GFP(+) neurons/Total neurons (%) | Percentage of RFP(+) neurons/total neurons (%) | Percentage of Cav1-RFP(+) neurons/Total neurons (%) |
|------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 24 h       | 0.5 μg GFP/1 μg RFP | 58.1 ± 3.7                                      | 57.9 ± 3.6                                      | 53.9 ± 1.7                                       |
|            | 0.5 μg GFP/1 μg Cav1-RFP | 51.5 ± 2.0                                      |                                               |                                                 |
|            | 0.5 μg GFP/2 μg RFP   | 55.0 ± 3.1                                      | 55.2 ± 3.1                                     | 52.6 ± 3.6                                       |
|            | 0.5 μg GFP/2 μg Cav1-RFP | 50.5 ± 3.1                                      |                                               |                                                 |
| 48 h       | 0.5 μg GFP/1 μg RFP | 62.6 ± 2.5                                      | 62.6 ± 2.5                                     | 80.3 ± 2.8                                       |
|            | 0.5 μg GFP/1 μg Cav1-RFP | 67.0 ± 3.7                                      |                                               |                                                 |
|            | 0.5 μg GFP/2 μg RFP | 68.6 ± 3.3                                      | 68.0 ± 3.1                                    | 64.5 ± 4.8                                        |
|            | 0.5 μg GFP/2 μg Cav1-RFP | 60.1 ± 5.2                                      |                                               |                                                 |

Cells were transfected with pEGFP and each expression construct for RFP at two different concentrations and the number of transfected cells was determined by visual inspection. Results were obtained from three independent experiments. Data represent Mean ± S.E.M.

FIGURE 1 | Expression of fluorescent proteins in DRG neurons.
Neon transfection leads to efficient electroporation of E14.5 DRG neurons. Neurons were transfected with 0.5 μg EGFP along with 1 or 2 μg of RFP or 1 or 2 μg Cav1-RFP 24 h after electroporation, cells were fixed and observed under the microscope. Column A: Phase contrast image. Column B: GFP fluorescence. Column C: RFP fluorescence. Column D: Merge of GFP and RFP Fluorescence. Scale Bars represent 200 μm.
were electroporated with 1 μg pEGFP, varying voltage, duration and number of pulses. The three pulse parameters listed in Table 2 were initially tested in this work since they have been successfully applied to hippocampal, cortical and neuronal stem cells from rat embryos (Manufacturer’s protocol). After 24 h, cell viability and transfection efficiency were assessed by visual inspection. As shown in Table 2, electroporation with two pulses at 1300 V with a pulse width of 20 ms, produced high transfection efficiencies and cell viability. Therefore, this setting was utilized for subsequent experiments.

The amount of pCDNA3.1-Cav-1-RFP or pCDNA3.1-RFP DNA was then varied between 1 and 2 μg for which the volume was normalized to 10% of the total reaction volume in distilled water; 0.5 μg pEGFP was co-transfected for neurite tracing in transfected neurons. 24 and 48 h post-transfection, the cells were fixed and processed for microscopic analysis. As shown in Table 3, over 50% of the neurons were positive for GFP, while increasing RFP or Cav1-RFP plasmid concentration did not result in significantly higher transfection efficiency. On average, all conditions considered, a transfection efficiency of 54 ± 1.1% (Average ± S.E.M.) was achieved at 24 h. After 48 h, 67 ± 1.4% of fluorescent neurons were observed, indicating the survival of transfected neurons.

Essentially all of the cells carrying GFP were also transfected with RFP or Cav1-RFP (Figure 1). Both EGFP and RFP were visualized over the entire length of the axons, whereas Cav1-RFP was predominantly expressed in the cell body (Figure 2).

Other parameters, such as the cell density and plasmid purity are also important issues. Use of low cell numbers 2 × 10^4 to 4 × 10^4 cells/electroporation, resulted in decreased transfection efficiency (Not shown). Also, avoiding multiple centrifugations during the procedure effectively reduces cell loss while enhancing viability. The use of endo-free plasmids is highly recommended as they result in high cell viability and thereby complement the transfection efficiency.

Finally, as mentioned in the Materials and Methods section, it is particularly important for the high transfection efficiency and survival to use DRG isolated within 1 h after sacrifice of the mother.

**THE SURVIVAL AND DIFFERENTIATION OF DRG NEURONS AFTER ELECTROPORATION**

Since high electroporation efficiencies are often achieved at the expense of cell survival, we evaluated survival subsequent to Neon® electroporation. Under phase-contrast, healthy neuronal cells are easily distinguished since their cell bodies are round and phase bright and display extensive neurite outgrowth, as shown in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 4A, cultures of transfected neurons had approximately 35% fewer neurons than cultures of non-transfected neurons. Between 24 and 48 h, neuron number was maintained, under both conditions, indicating that the transfected neurons survived as well as non-transfected. 24 and 48 h post-electroporation, neuronal survival rates were 65% of naïve, non-transfected controls.

The extent of average neurite growth was as robust in transfected cultures as with non-transfected, increasing in both between 24 and 48 h (Figure 4B). The average neurite length in non-transfected cultures at 24 h was 885 ± 93 pixels per neuron vs. 892 ± 90 for transfected. At 48 h, average neurite length was 1077 ± 71 pixels/neuron in non-transfected and 1302 ± 95 in transfected cultures.

**DISCUSSION**

Electroporation, because of its ease of use, reproducibility and relatively high efficiency, has been developed and widely used for introducing various molecules into cells. In this study, we

![FIGURE 2 | Expression of fluorescent proteins in DRG neurons. Neurons were transfected with 1 μg RFP or Cav1-RFP combined with 0.5 μg EGFP. 24 h after electroporation, cells were fixed and observed under the microscope. Scale Bar represents 50 μm.](image-url)
Yu et al. Highly efficient DRG neuron electroporation

FIGURE 3 | Representative DRG neurons in culture. DRG neurons were dissected and maintained in culture. 24 h later, cells were fixed and labeled with anti-βIII tubulin antibody. Arrows and arrowheads point, respectively, to glial and neuronal cells. Scale Bar represents 100 μm.

FIGURE 4 | Survival and differentiation of DRG neurons after electroporation. DRG neurons were prepared and directly cultured without transfection (white bars) or transfected with 1 μg RFP combined with 0.5 μg EGFP (hashed bars). 24 and 48 h after electroporation, cells were fixed and neuron survival (A) and average neurite length per neuron (B) were calculated compared to naïve, non-transfected neurons. Results were obtained from three independent experiments. Data represent mean ± S.E.M. derived from 40 images representative of 692 non-transfected neurons (24 h) and 39 images representative of 439 GFP/RFP expressing neurons and 29 images representative of 523 non-transfected neurons and 31 images representative of 360 GFP/RFP expressing neurons of naïve, non-transfected controls (48 h).

describe an optimized method for gene delivery into embryonic DRG neurons, with high transfection efficiency of >60%, and low cytotoxicity as reflected in a 2/3 survival rate and robust differentiation, comparable to non-transfected cultures.

Primary neurons present a particular challenge to successful gene transfer. Adenovirus (Ad), adenovirus-associated virus (AAV) was successfully used for gene transfer via in vivo injection of mice (Glatzel et al., 2000). Herpes simplex virus (HSV) (Storey et al., 2002), and lentivirus (Yu et al., 2011) have been applied in rat DRG cells. Although they effectively deliver genes into DRG cells, several viruses can affect sensory neuron physiology (Fukuda and Kurata, 1981; Maehlen et al., 1991; Farkas et al., 1994) and thus limit their use in some experiments.

The Neon® transfection system applied to embryonic DRG neurons as described herein, resulted in a mean transfection efficiency for DNA plasmids well over 60% in DRG neurons, along with high viability and robust differentiation comparable to non-transfected cultures at 48 h which, to our knowledge, is the highest reported for a non-viral transfection method, yielding levels comparable to viral infection (Table 4).

Usually, 1–2 × 10⁶ cells are required for each electroporation to achieve reasonable number of transfectants and good cell survival in the first and second generation electroporation apparatuses. This is due to the necessity to have an optimal cell density and the original large volume (100 μl) of the electroporation chambers. The advent of smaller chamber volumes allows a significant reduction in cell number. As few as 3 × 10⁵ cells have been successfully used with the Nucleofector 4D in a volume of 20 μl/1 mm chamber with an efficiency of 40%, which is comparable to the results obtained with the 100 μl chamber (Manufacturer’s protocol) and survival rates of up to almost 30% (McCall et al., 2012). The Neon® system was reported to have been successfully used DRG neurons isolated from adult Rats, with a cell number (5 × 10⁴) and program similar to the one we have found to be optimal for DRG’s isolated from embryonic mice. There is no data however on the actual efficiency or survival. Using the approach presented herein 6–9 × 10⁴ cells were sufficient for each transfection of DRG neurons from mouse embryos. Thus, with the cells obtained, for example, from one E14.5 embryo, four electroporations can be performed, largely expanding the experimental conditions, while reducing the total number of embryos needed for certain types of experiments. The other main advantage of our method is that, when electroporated...
## Table 4 | Electroporation of DRG.

| Parameter               | Martinez | Ketschek | Chadborn | Dib-Hajj | Kirton | Lonza | MacGillavry | McCall | Pristera | YU |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|------------|--------|----------|----|
| Year                    | 2003     | 2010     | 2006     | 2009     | 2013   | –     | 2009       | 2012   | 2012     | This work |
| Species                 | Chick    | Chick    | Rat      | Rat or Mouse | Rat    | Mouse | Rat        | Rat    | Rat      | Mouse |
| Sex M: Male; F: Female  | –        | –        | –        | n.c.     | M      | –     | M          | n.c.   | n.c.     | M/F  |
| Age                     | embryo E9-E12 | embryo E7 | embryo E18 | neonatal or adult | 7 day old | embryonic or adult | adult | adult | adult | embryo E14.5 |
| Cell number             | 2.5–12.5 × 10^6 | 2 × 10^6 c | 1 × 10^6 | 2 × 10^6 | 2 × 10^6 | 5 × 10^4 or 2 × 10^4 | 0.2–1 × 10^6 c | 3 × 10^5 | 5 × 10^4 | 6–9 × 10^4 |
| DNA (µg)                | 0.5–1.25 | 10^d     | 5        | 2+10^a | 1+5^b | 2      | 0.1–0.6 | n.c.   | 2       | ≥ 1   |
| Electroporation volume (µl) | 25        | 100^c    | 100      | 100     | 20     | 20^c  | 20        | 10     | 10       |  |
| Apparatus               | BTX Electro Square Porator | Nucleofector® II Lonza | Nucleofector® | Nucleofector® 2B | Nucleofector® II-“S” | Nucleofector® II-96-well system | Nucleofector® 4D X-unit | Neon® Life Technologies | Neon® |
| Program                 | Phosphate Buffered Saline | G-013 | G-13 | G-013 rat DRG O-003 mouse DRG | O-03 SNC Basic Neuro Program 6 Nucleofector Solution | c DR114/P3 Buffer | Buffer R | Buffer R |   |
| Voltage V/cm            | 1120     | –        | –        | –        | –      | –     | –          | –      | –        | 1200  |
| Pulse duration (ms)     | 5        | –        | –        | –        | –      | –     | –          | –      | –        | 20   |
| Number of Pulses        | 1        | –        | –        | –        | –      | –     | –          | –      | –        | 2    |
| Efficiency (48 h)       | 12%      | n.c.     | n.c.     | 5–20%    | n.c.   | ≈60%f | siRNA ≥50% | 40%    | n.c.     | 67%  |
| Survival                | n.c.     | n.c.     | n.c.     | n.c.     | n.c.   | ≈40%f | n.c.       | 18–27% | n.c.     | >60% |

Summary of existing methodology reports describing electroporation/nucleofection of DRG from embryonic Chick, Rat (embryonic and adult) and Mouse (adult), compared to the study presented herein. Unless otherwise stipulated, efficiency and survival are at 48 h post-electroporation; n.c., not communicated.

- a adult Rat or mouse (10 = Carrier DNA);
- b neonate Rat (5 = Carrier DNA);
- c authors communicated electroporation was performed according to manufacturer’s protocol;
- d manufacturer suggests 1–2 µg DNA;
- e expression plasmids and siRNA were used. No indication of amount of DNA;
- f 24 h post-electroporation.
with mixed plasmids comprising EGFP and RFP at a ratio of 1:2–1:4; we found that essentially all of the neurons expressed both of these fluorescent proteins. As EGFP was sufficiently detectable the entire length of the axons, one can easily analyze the effect of transfected genes with a GFP-based imaging system. By combining other plasmid constructs, such as TET-ON/OFF system (Shaikh and Nicholson, 2006) and using cell type-specific promoters (Hitoshi et al., 1999; Boulaire et al., 2009; Gulick and Robbins, 2009), it should be possible to express transgenes in a spatiotemporal manner. In summary, the transfection protocol presented herein for embryonic DRG neurons, employed the Neon® transfection system and effectively enabled heterologous gene expression in DRG neurons.

While viral transduction/infection has yielded significant advances, it remains more labor-intensive than electroporation of purified plasmids. Furthermore, there are safety issues regarding the types of genes that can be introduced under standard laboratory conditions, e.g., oncogenes or genes that inhibit apoptosis or modulate autophagy. Clearly, implementation of the protocol proposed herein alleviates both issues, enabling investigation of the impact of such genes or modulators thereof on DRG survival, differentiation and function.
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