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Abstract
The coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic (COVID-19) has an important direct and indirect impact on both physical and mental health. We aim to describe the impact of an emergency state period due to COVID-19 on psychiatric emergency department (ED) visits. We conducted a retrospective observational study analysing all emergency visits occurring at a metropolitan psychiatric ED between March 19th and May 2nd 2019 and 2020 (the beginning/end date of the emergency state which Portugal was under due to COVID-19). Data regarding age, sex, diagnoses, admission date, discharge destiny and status were collected. Diagnoses were classified using the International Classification of Diseases version 9, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). There was a 52·2% decrease on the number of psychiatric emergency visits during the emergency state period (n2020 = 780 vs n2019 = 1633 episodes). The decrease on psychiatric ED visits was greater in the female sex and in the younger age groups. Episodes with a primary diagnosis of Mood disorders lead the decrease on psychiatric ED visits with 68·3% less episodes. Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders was the diagnosis group with the smaller decline (9·8% decrease). COVID-19 emergency state period had an important impact on the number and characteristics of psychiatric ED visits, reinforcing the great indirect effects of COVID-19 on mental health.
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Introduction
The coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic (COVID-19) has had a major impact on the lives of millions of people around the world not only because of its rapid spread and significant...
mortality, but also due to the changes in people’s daily lives, the devastating impact on economy and the profound reformulation of social structures and health systems. [1]

Since its appearance in China in late December, the SARS-CoV2 virus has spread rapidly to the rest of the world. On March 2, 2020, the first COVID-19 positive patient in Portugal was announced. With the continuous rise of cases reported daily, the first state of emergency in the country’s history was declared on March 18, when more than 600 infected people were announced, and was maintained for a total of 45 days, ceased only on May 2, with 25,190 diagnosed cases. [2] Considering that the most well-known and most effective measure facing the spread of this infection is social confinement [3], this decree aimed, among other measures, to establish a quarantine for the general population, seeking restriction of movement of people who had potentially been exposed to this contagious disease. As of this day, services and activities considered non-essential ceased. Simultaneously, Portuguese National Health System had to adjust to ensure response to essential care and the burden imposed by the pandemic. About half a million appointments were canceled and nearly 9,000 surgeries were postponed.

Included in this reorganization, the Portuguese Psychiatry and Mental Health units adapted their assistance to the population, reformulating their wards and limiting appointments and home visits to the most urgent cases; non-urgent outpatient appointments were performed by telephone and other digital health interventions to support continuity of care were embraced. However, these changes did not prevent the temporary closure of several ambulatory facilities for psychiatry patients, as well as the acute day hospitals (one of the main alternatives to inpatient regimen), abruptly interrupting the provision of mental health care to a large number of patients.

Bearing in mind the enormous challenges that the COVID-19 pandemic presents to our society, a profound impact on mental health is expected to occur, especially for those at risk of developing psychiatric illness and those with an already established one. [4, 5] In fact, according to The Portugal National Mental Health Survey, 1st report (Caldas-de-Almeida, 2013) [6], Portugal has a high prevalence of psychiatric illnesses, the second higher prevalence in Europe (following Northern Ireland), and considerably far from other southern European countries, which presented much higher prevalence than the northern ones. It is estimated that in Portugal the lifetime prevalence of at least one psychiatric disorder is 42.7%, surpassed only by the USA (47.4%), which also contrasts with the estimated prevalence of other European countries, such as Spain and Italy (19.4% and 18.1%, respectively). [7]

Although mental health care is available to all in Portugal, and most of the cost is covered by the National Mental Health System budget, high waiting times for specialized consultation, poor continuity of care at ambulatory level, difficulties in scheduling appointments and barriers in the access of ambulatory care after hospitalization, usually make the psychiatry emergency department (ED) a faster accessible structure for health care. Indeed, according to a study by Alves (2013), the majority of admissions in psychiatric ED were not considered urgent.

The primary aim of this study was to describe and evaluate the impact of COVID-19 pandemic and emergency state on psychiatric emergency department admissions. The secondary aims were to compare clinical and sociodemographic characteristics of patients visiting a psychiatric emergency unit during the 2020 emergency state period and the homologous period of 2019.

**Methods**

We conducted a retrospective observational study using an administrative database that collects information of adult emergency department visits at the Centro Hospitalar...
Universitário São João (CHUSJ) in Portugal. CHUSJ is a tertiary hospital center located in the North region of Portugal, with a geographical area covering 27 municipal councils and a global population of 3,000,000 inhabitants. The emergency department includes a psychiatric emergency unit responsible for the psychiatric emergency care provided in the North region of Portugal, representing a true multicentric psychiatric unit, as it incorporates emergency psychiatric care from up to 13 different hospital centers with Psychiatric assistance, open and available 24 hours a day. [8, 9]

We selected all emergency visits occurred in the CHUSJ that started or ended in the Psychiatric Unit of the ED between 19th of March and 2nd of May of the year 2019 and 2020. This time interval was selected to match with the beginning and end date of the declared emergency state which Portugal was under due to the COVID-19 pandemic. [10, 11] Two subgroups of emergency visits were formed based on the dates described in order to compare the impact of COVID-19 pandemic in the number and characteristics of psychiatric emergency visits. We selected the exact time period (month and day) between 2019 and 2020 in order to prevent the possible effects of seasonality in psychiatric disorders manifestations.

Data regarding age, sex, primary diagnoses, admission date, discharge status and destiny after discharge were collected. All patient identification details were anonymized previously to the data analysis in order to secure patients’ personal information. Primary diagnoses at discharge, classified using the International Classification of Diseases version 9, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM), were grouped using the Agency for Health Care Quality and Research (AHRQ) Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) for ICD-9-CM.

Descriptive statistical analyses, Independent Sample t tests, Chi-square tests and Pearson Correlation models were performed to assess temporal trends between 2019 and 2020, using IBM SPSS Statistics v.24 for Windows (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

Results

During the 45-days emergency state period (between March 19th and May 2nd of 2020) decreed in Portugal due to the COVID-19 pandemic there were a total of 780 psychiatric emergency visits in the psychiatric emergency unit of CHUSJ.

When comparing with the homologous period of the precedent year, where 1633 episodes were registered, there was a 52·2% relative decrease on the total number of psychiatric emergency visits between the two periods. (Table 1).

Female patients were responsible for most episodes in both periods, however a higher relative decrease was registered in female patient episodes during the emergency state period (−56·7% in female vs. -45·1% in male patient episodes; \( p = 0·007 \)). (Table 1).

The mean age of patients visiting the psychiatric emergency unit during the emergency state period was 47·35 years, an older mean age when compared to 2019 (45·60 years; \( p = 0·016 \)). When analysing relative differences per age group, the older age groups presented lower relative decreases on emergency visits when compared to the younger age groups, with a maximum decrease of 59·2% for the 18–30 years group and 44·1% to the >70 years group. Regarding the Manchester triage level there was a relative increase on the visits associated with the Orange and Green levels episodes and a decrease on the Yellow level episodes.

Around 23·7% of the psychiatric emergency visits in 2020 ended with the patient being hospitalized in a psychiatric ward (21·8% in 2019; \( p = 0·291 \)).
By analysing the top 10 primary diagnosis we found that the frequency of all diagnostic categories decreased between the compared time periods. Nevertheless, there were differences in the relative decrease on each category with *Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders* having the smaller decrease (−9.8% compared to the 2019 period) and *Mood Disorders* registering the higher relative decrease (−68.3% compared to the 2019 period). (Table 2).

By detailing the emergency visits according to the primary diagnosis, the female sex was responsible for most episodes in all categories except for *Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders* (32.7 and 36.2% in 2020 and 2019, respectively) and in *Attention-deficit conduct and disruptive behavior disorders* related episodes (48.2 and 50.6% in 2020 and 2019, respectively). Differences in sex between time periods were more prominent in the diagnostic categories of *Suicide and intentional self-inflicted injury* (47.2 and 59.3% in 2020 and 2019, respectively).

### Table 1
Sociodemographic and administrative characterization of the emergency psychiatric visits during an emergency state period (March 19th – May 2nd) in Portugal compared to the homologous period in 2019. (NA - Not applicable)

| Year | 2019 | 2020 | Δ2020–2019(%) | Total | p= |
|------|------|------|---------------|-------|----|
| Emergency visits (n=) | 1633 | 780 | −52.2 | 2413 |     |
| Sex (%; n=) | | | | | |
| Male | 38.4; 627 | 44.1; 344 | −45.1 | 40.2; 971 | p = 0.007 |
| Female | 61.6; 1006 | 55.9; 436 | −56.7 | 59.8; 1442 |     |
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 45.60 (16.68) | 47.35 (16.54) | NA | 46.17 (16.65) | p = 0.016 |
| Age group (%; n=) | | | | | |
| 18–30 | 21.6; 353 | 18.5; 144 | −59.2 | 20.6; 497 | p = 0.078 |
| 31–50 | 41.6; 680 | 39.6; 309 | −54.6 | 41.0; 989 |     |
| 51–70 | 28.4; 464 | 32.2; 251 | −45.9 | 29.6; 715 |     |
| >70 | 8.3; 136 | 9.7; 76 | −44.1 | 8.8; 212 |     |
| Manchester triage level (%) | | | | | |
| Orange | 12.1 | 17.8 | +5.7 | 14.0 | p = 0.001 |
| Yellow | 80.3 | 74.0 | −6.3 | 78.3 |     |
| Green | 7.2 | 7.8 | +0.6 | 7.4 |     |
| Admission after emergency visit (%; n=) | 21.8; 356 | 23.7; 185 | +1.9 | 22.4; 541 | p = 0.291 |

### Table 2
Primary diagnosis group rank of the emergency psychiatric visits in 2019 and 2020 (March 19th – May 2nd) according to AHRQ CCS - Clinical Classification Software

| Primary diagnosis group | 2020 | 2019 | Δ2020–2019(%) |
|-------------------------|------|------|---------------|
| **Order** | **N=** | **%** | **Order** | **N=** | **%** |
| Anxiety disorders | 1 | 157 | 20.1 | 1 | 372 | 22.8 | −57.8 |
| Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders | 2 | 147 | 18.8 | 3 | 163 | 10.0 | −9.8 |
| Mood disorders | 3 | 108 | 13.8 | 2 | 341 | 20.9 | −68.3 |
| Attention-deficit conduct and disruptive behavior disorders | 4 | 56 | 7.2 | 7 | 79 | 4.8 | −29.1 |
| Adjustment disorders | 5 | 45 | 5.8 | 4 | 111 | 6.8 | −59.5 |
| Personality disorders | 6 | 42 | 5.4 | 9 | 64 | 3.9 | −57.6 |
| Suicide and intentional self-inflicted injury | 7 | 36 | 4.6 | 6 | 81 | 5.0 | −55.6 |
| Residual codes; unclassified | 8 | 34 | 4.4 | 5 | 83 | 5.1 | −59.0 |
| Poisoning by other medications and drugs | 9 | 31 | 4.0 | 8 | 71 | 4.3 | −56.3 |
| Delirium dementia and amnestic and other cognitive disorders | 10 | 22 | 2.8 | 11 | 56 | 3.4 | −60.7 |
respectively) and Delirium, dementia, amnestic and other cognitive disorders (45.5% and 60.7% in 2020 and 2019, respectively).

Throughout the emergency state period there was a constant decrease in the number of ED visits until the end of March ($r = -0.317; p = 0.292$) with a constant increase afterwards ($r = 0.703; p < 0.001$). The day with fewer psychiatric ED visits was the day with most diagnosed COVID-19 patients, the 10th of April. (Fig. 1).

The unspecific diagnostic category of “Residual codes” was mainly formed by the ICD-9-CM code 780.52 Insomnia, unspecified (51.3% of all episodes of the Residual code category) and 799.3 Debility, unspecified (31.6% of all episodes of the Residual code category).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge this is the first study describing the effect of COVID-19 pandemic and a period of emergency state in psychiatric ED visits. The primary hypothesis of the present study was that the COVID-19 pandemic would lead to a decrease in the demand for health care at the ED, even considering that Portugal stands out as the major emergency services user per capita within the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). [12] In fact, there was a significant decrease of 52.2% in the demand for care in the Psychiatry Emergence

![Fig. 1](https://example.com/fig1.png) Distribution of COVID-19 new cases, Psychiatry ED visits and containment measures overtime
Department in our study population, when compared to the same period of the previous year. These data are in accordance with a recent study developed by the National School of Public Health of the University of Lisbon, which revealed a 45% drop in visits to emergency departments for all causes in March 2020, when compared to the homologous period in 2019. [13]

The moral conscience of not overloading the services focused on responding to the pandemic, the limitation of displacement and isolation imposed by the quarantine and the fear (and consequent avoidance of risk exposure) of contamination may have been some of the most intuitive reasons that contributed to this decrease, especially among those with non-urgent situations. ED visits are important quality indicators in Mental Healthcare, both in hospital care and primary care.

The analysis of the results shows some agreement with previous studies, such as that of Caldas de Almeida (2010), who reported that the most frequent psychiatric disorders in the Portuguese population are anxiety (16.5%) and depressive disorders (7.9%), and that there is a greater prevalence of mental illness in the female patients and in younger age populations. [6]

Interestingly, we found differences in the effect of COVID-19 pandemic and the emergency state period between primary diagnosis categories. Mood disorders arose as the most affected with a decrease on ED visits of 68.3% contrasting with 9.8% for Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders, a difference that may be due to the heterogenous impact of confinement measures, social isolation and accessibility constraints between these group of patients. Previous studies already demonstrated the delayed effect of major military events on the prevalence and incidence of mental disorders, predominantly posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depressive disorder. [14–17] Although a health pandemic period may not be directly compared to a war period, we can see the differential impact registered on emergency psychiatric care per diagnostic group. (Tables 2 and 3).

Data from this study also show a higher trend of recurrence to the emergency department of older age groups. Pandemic environments of greater social isolation, tend to make older people more vulnerable to symptoms such as anxiety and depression, through a heightened sense of disconnection from society, physical distance and loss of usual social opportunities [18]. Anxiety directly related to the possibility of contracting the virus turns out to be an important factor to consider in this study population. Although the specific routes for anxiety due to the contraction of the disease are still being investigated, it is expected that a contributory characteristic of the fear of contracting COVID-19 involves emotional reactions that are part of a broader behavioral immune system. [19] This pandemic-related anxiety, loneliness and depressive symptoms are also major factors usually related to insomnia. [20]

Unemployment and economic difficulties resulting from this newly installed financial crisis can lead to worsening anxiety and result in greater hopelessness and anger, and present themselves as possible risk factors for suicide. [21] Additionally, in the Northern Hemisphere, suicide rates tend to be higher in late spring and early summer, which coincides with the period of the current evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic, thus requiring increased concern and the need for an adequate assessment. [22] It is also important to consider the seasonal patterns of mood disorders, namely the evidence for a significant seasonal trend for manic episode rates with a peak in spring/summer, also coinciding with the studied period of the pandemic. [23]

Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders represent an expressive group, confirming a higher prevalence in males and a need for hospitalization for the majority of patients (Table 3). Although the absolute number of ED visits did not change significantly during the emergency state period, the relative frequency increased from 10.0% in 2019 to 18.8% during the outbreak
Table 3  Characteristics of psychiatric emergency visits per primary diagnosis group during the emergency state period (March 19th – May 2nd) of 2020 and its homologous period of 2019

| CCS-Clinical Classification Software Category | Anxiety disorders | Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders | Mood disorders | Attention-deficit conduct and disruptive behavior disorders | Adjustment disorders | Personality disorders |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                                               | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     |
| Diagnostic Order                              | 1     | 1     | =    | 2     | 3     | +1    | 3     | 2     | −1    | 4     | 7     | +3    | 5     | 4     | −1    |
| Female sex (%)                                | 60·5  | 68·5  | −32·7 | 36·2  | 70·4  | 78·3  | 48·2  | 50·6  | −    | 59·73 | 55·62 | +     | 66·7  | 70·3  | −83·3 |
| Age (mean)                                    | 44·25 | 41·25 | +45·83 | 42·63 | 52·43 | 49·43 | 33·9  | 44·3  | −    | 36·4  | 38·73 | −     | 46·91 | 40·27 | +36·4 |
| Admission after emergency (%)                | 1·3   | 1·3   | =    | 57·8  | 61·3  | −25·9 | 26·1  | 0     | 2·7   | −14·3 | 21·9  | −     | 61·7  | 70·3  | −83·3 |
| CCS-Clinical Classification Software Category | Suicide and intentional self-inflicted injury | Residual codes; unclassified | Poisoning by other medications and drugs | Delirium dementia and amnestic and other cognitive disorders |
| Diagnostic Order                              | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     | 2020  | 2019  | Δ     |
| Female sex (%)                                | 47·2  | 59·3  | −12·1 | 61·8  | 53·0  | +     | 74·2  | 74·6  | =     | 45·5  | 60·7  | −     | 72·59 | 75·70 | −     |
| Age (mean)                                    | 43·00 | 44·26 | −1·24 | 44·74 | 48·33 | −     | 43·03 | 43·14 | =     | 72·59 | 75·70 | −     | 55·62 | 57·62 | −     |
| Admission after emergency (%)                | 63·9  | 79·0  | −15·1 | 5·9   | 10·8  | −     | 6·5   | 4·2   | +     | 36·4  | 28·6  | +     |

(= represents a variation of less than 1 unity between 2019 and 2020; + represents 1 or more unities; − represent less than 1 unity)
which might be due to the increased need for emergency psychiatric care compared to other group of diagnosis during the COVID-19 pandemic period (Table 2). Psychotic symptoms, such as hallucinations, thought or behavioral disorganization or delusions may lead the patient to dangerous behaviour or thoughts that can represent serious risk, mainly for suicidal ideation or agitation/violent behaviour, which is why psychotic conditions represent serious psychiatric pathologies which often needs urgent intervention in Psychiatry EDs. [24] Although these individuals often lack insight for their condition (and therefore have a lesser willingness to visit emergency departments), intervention by family members or even judicial mechanisms that lead them to these services is often necessary. At the same time, taking into account these characteristics, adherence to the recommended protective measures and compliance with social isolation, may be more difficult for people with schizophrenia, making this group particularly vulnerable to the limitations imposed by the current pandemic situation and more prone to decompensation. It was also hypothesized that COVID-19 infection itself may exacerbate symptoms in people with schizophrenia, as coronaviruses may be associated with psychotic symptoms through an immune-related mechanism. [25]

The Attention-deficit conduct and disruptive behavior disorders and Residual codes; unclassified clusters represent a heterogeneous set of symptoms and pathologies. It includes patients with intellectual disabilities who represent a group whose compliance with isolation measures proves to be problematic, with a tendency to exacerbate psychomotor agitation and aggressive behavior usually aimed at family members or other cohabitants. It is common for these individuals to be integrated into day care centres, closed due to containment measures and possibly explaining the minor decline of psychiatric ED visits during the emergency state period, once the ED was one of the few and more readily available healthcare providers during the pandemic (Tables 2 and 3). The same was true for people living with dementia and other cognitive disorders, a population that is also particularly vulnerable to the pandemic situation, since they are often older people and with other medical comorbidities. These are diseases that, due to their characteristics, can lead them to contradict the confinement measures and family members’ recommendations, resulting in periods of greater distress and a tendency to a greater chance of exposure to infection. Also, for those residing in nursing homes, they ended up being deprived of visits from family members, which also contributed to greater psychopathological destabilization and periods of agitation, which often require observation in Psychiatry EDs, with an increase in hospitalization rates among this population in the 2020 period. [26]

The results also demonstrated a higher percentage demand by the Personality disorders group, often represented by a behavioral functioning pattern determined by instability and an intensely disruptive psychological conformation, with an underlying hyper-responsiveness to stress. A global crisis like this and the psychotherapeutic interruption caused by the closure of acute day hospitals, could lead to an increase in psychological destabilization among these patients on a daily basis, often associated with acting-out behaviors, with an increased frequency of drug intoxications and substance consumption.

Limitations

This study uses an administrative database that collects administrative and clinical information in a major emergency department. As so, the diagnoses are defined in an emergency care setting with all the time and organization constrains associated to it. Psychiatric diagnoses
require a longitudinal follow-up, and a short emergent evaluation may lead to an incorrect or incomplete diagnosis.

**Conclusion**

This is the first study to document the impact of an emergency state period due to the COVID-19 pandemic on psychiatric ED visits. We found a general 52.2% decrease on the number of psychiatric ED visits and heterogenous decreases between primary diagnoses groups. Mood disorders were responsible for the most significant decrease (68.3%) and Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders for the smaller decrease (9.8%).

This new pandemic appears to impact mental health through a variety of direct and indirect mechanisms, affecting people with mental disorders differently according to their primary diagnosis.
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