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ABSTRACT

Context. The mechanisms governing the opening of cavities in transition disks are not fully understood. Several processes have been proposed, but their occurrence rate is still unknown.

Aims. We present spatially resolved observations of two transition disks, and aim at constraining their vertical and radial structure using multiwavelength observations that probe different regions of the disks and can help understanding the origin of the cavities.

Methods. We have obtained near-infrared scattered light observations with VLT/SPHERE of the transition disks 2MASS J16083070-3828268 (J1608) and RXJ1852.3-3700 (J1852), located in the Lupus and Corona Australis star-forming regions respectively. We complement our datasets with archival ALMA observations, and with unresolved photometric observations covering a wide range of wavelengths. We performed radiative transfer modeling to analyze the morphology of the disks, and then compare the results with a sample of 20 other transition disks observed with both SPHERE and ALMA.

Results. We detect scattered light in J1608 and J1852 up to a radius of 0.54″ and 0.4′′ respectively. The image of J1608 reveals a very inclined disk (i ∼ 74°), with two bright lobes and a large cavity. We also marginally detect the scattering surface from the rear-facing side of the disk. J1852 shows an inner ring extending beyond the coronagraphic radius up to 15 au, a gap and a second ring at 42 au. Our radiative transfer model of J1608 indicates that the millimeter-sized grains are less extended vertically and radially than the micron-sized grains, indicating advanced settling and radial drift. We find good agreement with the observations of J1852 with a similar model, but due to the low inclination of the system, the model remains partly degenerate. The analysis of 22 transition disks shows that, in general, the cavities observed in scattered light are smaller than the ones detected at millimeter wavelengths.

Conclusions. The analysis of a sample of transition disks indicates that the small grains, well coupled to the gas, can flow inward of the region where millimeter grains are trapped. While 15 out of the 22 cavities in our sample could be explained by a planet of less than 13 Jupiter masses, the others either require the presence of a more massive companion or of several low-mass planets.
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1. Introduction

The variety of physical and structural conditions in protoplanetary disks – the birthplace of planets – might be responsible for the diversity observed in the exoplanet population. Studying disk evolution through the analysis of protoplanetary disks with depleted regions and/or clear signs of evolution could provide indirect constraints on the way in which planets form. Thanks to new capabilities of high resolution instruments such as ALMA, VLT/SPHERE and Gemini/GPI, many features have been identified in protoplanetary disks and in particular in transition disks (TDs). A number of studies reveal rings (e.g., Isella et al. 2016; Pohl et al. 2017b; Muro-Arena et al. 2018), lopsided emission (e.g., Casassus et al. 2013; Cazzoletti et al. 2018), spirals (e.g., Pérez et al. 2016; Dong et al. 2018; Muto et al. 2012; Stolker et al. 2017; Benisty et al. 2017; Uyama et al. 2018), and shadows (e.g., Marino et al. 2015; Stolker et al. 2017; Casassus et al. 2018; Benisty et al. 2018). Among other mechanisms, planets interacting with the disk can form such structures. Although challenging, the observation and/or hints of forming planets within disks have been reported in recent studies (Kepler et al. 2018; Pinte et al. 2018; Teague et al. 2018).

Interaction with a planetary or stellar companion is also thought to result in the large cavities observed in circumbinary or transition disks (e.g., Muñoz & Lai 2016; Rosotti et al. 2016; Dípiéro & Laibe 2017; Price et al. 2018). Such disks were identified from a lack of emission in the near-infrared (IR) in their spectral energy distribution (SED), which indicates a dust depleted inner region (Storm et al. 1989). Other mechanisms such as photo-evaporation (Owen et al. 2011) or the presence of a dead zone (Flock et al. 2015; Pinilla et al. 2016) are potential processes that could open such cavities. Each mechanism is expected to shape the inner disk differently, and can result in a cavity radius that depends on the dust grain size. However, their respective importance is currently not well constrained.

While μm-sized dust are coupled to the gas that orbits at sub-Keplerian speed, larger grains are increasingly decoupled. Their interactions with the gas lead simultaneously to inward radial
drift and vertical settling (Weidenschilling 1977; Dullemond & Dominik 2004). Thus, the large grains are expected to be located in a more compact region radially and to be less extended vertically than smaller grains. However, the strength of these effects is not yet well constrained. Grains of different sizes would also be trapped more or less efficiently by a pressure maximum, which can be generated at the outer edge of dead zones or by a planet in the disk.

The combination of high-resolution observations at different wavelengths is key for quantifying both the radial and vertical distribution of dust grains, and in particular, the degree of dust settling. It can therefore help to differentiate the various mechanisms that can generate cavities in transition disks. While direct imaging with SPHERE traces polarized scattered light mechanisms that can generate cavities in transition disks. It can therefore help to differentiate the various cal distribution of dust grains, and in particular, the degree of dust settling. It can therefore help to differentiate the various cal distribution of dust grains, and in particular, the degree of dust settl...
corresponding to about 30 minutes on source. Conditions were good, with a seeing between 0.65″ and 0.9″ during the night. To confirm the presence of a cavity, we also performed non-coronagraphic observations on July 23, 2017, in J-band, with about 80 seconds on source, by exposures of 2 seconds. Weather conditions were relatively poor with a seeing between 1.2″ and 1.9″ during these observations.

J1852 was observed as part of the SPHERE guaranteed time observations (GTO) program on May 15, 2017 (ID 099.C-0147, PI: Beuzit). Observations were performed in H-band, using the same coronagraph as for J1608, and consisted in 12 exposures of 64 seconds each. The seeing was around 1.2″ during the observations.

We reduced the data to generate the total intensity map and Stokes Q and U polarized maps, following the approach detailed in Ginski et al. (2016). The polarized intensity (PI) image is computed from the Stokes Q and U components:

\[ PI = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}. \]

We also define the polar Stokes components \( Q_\phi \) and \( U_\phi \) as in Schmid et al. (2006):

\[ Q_\phi = +Q \cos(2\phi) + U \sin(2\phi), \]
\[ U_\phi = -Q \sin(2\phi) + U \cos(2\phi), \]

where \( \phi = \arctan(y/x) \) corresponds to the azimuthal angle as measured north to east with respect to the position of the star (centered in the image). The positive signal in \( Q_\phi \) is the polarization in the azimuthal direction (negative signal is in the radial direction), while \( U_\phi \) represents the polarization inclined by 45° from this direction. In the case of single scattering events, a photon is expected to be polarized orthogonally to the scattering plane, defined by the light source, the scattering particle and the observer. Thus, in this scenario, all the polarized signal should be included in the \( Q_\phi \) component. Canovas et al. (2015) showed however, that if the disk is too inclined and/or multiple scattering events occurs, the polarization is not necessarily perpendicular to the scattering plane. Then, part of the astrophysical signal will be included in the \( U_\phi \) component. This effect was indeed observed in the very inclined (~69°) disk around T Cha, leading to a large \( U_\phi/Q_\phi \) peak-to-peak value (Pohl et al. 2017b).

3.2. Results

Our reduced images are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 for J1608 and J1852. J1608 appears to be very inclined, in images both with and without the coronagraph. We detect two lobes southeast and northwest of the star, as well as a faint line of scattered light\(^1\) to the southwest that we interpret as the rear-facing side of the disk closest to us. The presence of this line will be discussed further in the modeling in Sect. 4.3. In the \( Q_\phi \) maps, the disk shows emission above the noise level up to 0.54″ along the major axis. The central cavity is clearly visible in both the coronagraphic and

---

\(^1\) We show the faint southwest emission with a more favorable dynamic range in Fig. A.1.
non-coronagraphic images. We note that there is no clear emission from the northeast of the star, corresponding to emission from the far side of the disk being scattered backwards toward us. In Sect. 4.3, we will focus on the modeling of the coronagraphic H-Band image as the data were taken in better observing conditions, having a higher signal to noise ratio, and look very similar to the non-coronagraphic J-band data.

In the coronagraphic images of J1852 (Fig. 2), we observe two rings in the $Q_6$ map, with peak values located at 0.125″ and 0.295″ from the position of the star along the major axis. The inner ring is cut off by the coronagraph and the peak radius may lie within this. The outer ring seems to be slightly off-centered compared to the inner ring (~0.01″, 1 pixel), likely an effect of the inclination and flaring of the disk surface (e.g., de Boer et al. 2016; Ginski et al. 2016; Avenhaus et al. 2018). This is modeled in Sect. 4.4. The disk displays emission above the noise level as far as 0.4″ along its major axis.

### 3.3. Complementary data

**ALMA archival data.** J1608 was observed as part of a large survey of disks in the Lupus clouds. In this work, we use the Band 6 observations (Project ID: 2015.1.00222.S, PI: Williams) obtained on July 23, 2016, at a resolution of 0.24″. The data reduction of the continuum and line emission is presented in details in Ansdell et al. (2018).

We show the position-velocity (PV) diagram of the $^{12}$CO 2-1 transition in Fig. 3, obtained with a velocity resolution of 0.11 km s$^{-1}$. From the PV diagram, we retrieve the systemic velocity of the source to be $+5.2 \pm 0.4$ km s$^{-1}$ (LSR). We also note that no velocity higher than 5.3 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the star is detected, indicating the presence of an inner cavity in the gas. Modeling the disk velocities with Keplerian motion (assuming $M_\star = 1.4 M_\odot$, $i = 74^\circ$), we infer that the inner radius of the gas cavity is ~48 au, in agreement with the outcome of thermo-chemical modeling of the CO observations (47 au; van der Marel et al. 2018).

The ALMA continuum image is shown in the left panel of Fig. 4. The large axis ratio indicates that the disk is highly inclined. Moreover, the presence of two blobs with higher intensity along the major axis, located at 0.4″ from the star, denote emission coming from an optically thin ring.

For J1852, we use ALMA data observed on September 22, 2016, in Band 3 (~3 mm, Project ID: 2015.1.01083.S, PI: Morino). The four continuum spectral windows were centered respectively on 91.5 GHz, 93.4 GHz, 101.5 GHz and 103.5 GHz. We used the CASA pipeline to calibrate the data and extracted the continuum images using the CASA clean task, with a Briggs robust parameter of +0.5. The final image, after performing phase only self-calibration, is presented in the left panel of Fig. 8, with the achieved beam of 0.38″ × 0.31″. The image shows one unique ring, peaking at 0.3″ along the major axis.

**Spectral energy distributions.** We compiled the SED of J1608 using Vizier, to which we add the millimeter fluxes at 0.88 mm and 1.3 mm obtained from Ansdell et al. (2016) and Ansdell et al. (2018), respectively. The SED of J1852 was computed using the VO SED Analyser\(^2\) (VOSA; Bayo et al. 2008). We complement this SED with the low-resolution Spitzer/IRS spectrum from the CASSIS database (Lebouteiller et al. 2011). The SEDs are shown on Figs. 6 and 7.

The SEDs of the two disks show a steep increase longwards of 20 μm, typical of transition disks. Although J1852 does not

\[^2\text{http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/theory/vosa50}\]
show any clear near-IR excess, a silicate feature at 10\,\mu m is present, characteristics of the presence of small hot grains close to the star (Silverstone et al. 2006; Hughes et al. 2010).

4. Radiative transfer modeling

4.1. Methodology

In order to understand the physical structure of the two observed TDS, we construct models using the radiative transfer code 

\texttt{mcfost} (Pinte et al. 2006, 2009). This code computes the thermal structure of the disk using a Monte Carlo method and produces images by ray-tracing. An iterative process is done to find a model that reproduces well the SED and the images. Considering the complexity of our observations, we do not aim to find the best-fit model, but rather a representative one. For each set of parameters, we compute the SED, the millimeter continuum image as well as the near-IR polarized intensity, Stokes \(U\) and \(Q\) maps. All images are initially generated with infinite angular resolution, and then convolved with a point spread function reference image (PSF; in practice, a non-coronagraphic or FLUX image of the star) or the ALMA 2D Gaussian beam.

We also add noise to our scattered light predictions. We estimate it at each point of the map from the \(U_\phi\) image of our observations (as in Muro-Arena et al. 2018). For each point in the \(U_\phi\) map, we consider an aperture in which we determine the root mean square (rms) of the intensity. The aperture is taken as 4 pixels of diameter, which is close to the FWHM of the point spread functions of each target (3.5 pixels for J1608 and 4.3 pixels for J1852). As our sources are inclined, the \(U_\phi\) image might contain some physical signal (Canovas et al. 2015). Thus, in each aperture we subtract its mean value (physical signal) before extracting the rms. For each corresponding pixel in the model image, we simulate the noise by adding a Gaussian random number of the same rms centered on 0.

Since we will model emission very close to the coronagraph in the J1852 image, we apply a 2D attenuation map due to the coronagraph (Wilby et al. in prep.). This numerical mask removes all signal inside the coronagraph mask radius (0.093\arcsec), with gradually decreasing attenuation down to 5\% at a radius of 0.15\arcsec. Finally, we compute the PI, \(Q_\phi\) and \(U_\phi\) maps using Eqs. (1)–(3). In this work, we compare the observed and synthetic PI images. A comparison between the observed and predicted \(Q\) and \(U\) as well as the \(Q_\phi\) and \(U_\phi\) maps are presented in Appendix A.

4.2. Model setup

We define various axisymmetric disk zones to reproduce the observed features of the two disks and assume a gas-to-dust ratio of 100. For each region, we define the disk height as a power-law:

\[ H(R) = H_{100\,\text{au}} (R/100\,\text{au})^\beta, \]

where \(\beta\) is the flaring exponent, \(R\) the radius and \(H_{100\,\text{au}}\) is the scale height at a radius of 100 au. A simple description of the surface density profile is adopted for each region of our disks, with a single power law: \(\Sigma(R) \propto R^p\). In all our modeling we choose \(p = -1\). We use astronomical silicates (similar to those shown in Fig. 3 of Draine & Lee 1984) with a number density described with a power law of the grain size \(dn(a) \propto a^{-3.5}\) (Mathis et al. 1977). For each region, our free parameters are the inner and outer radius \((R_{\text{in}} \rightarrow R_{\text{out}})\), the dust mass and the scale height \((H_{100\,\text{au}})\).

For both disks, we mimic dust settling by modeling separately the extents of small (0.01–0.5 \mu m) and large grains (10–1000 \mu m). We fixed the flaring exponent \(\beta\) to 1.1 for all type of grains. The results are summarized in Table 2 and described in detail in the following two subsections.

4.3. Modeling J1608

\textbf{Large grains.} We first aim to reproduce the thermal emission detected in the ALMA Band 6 data with a ring of large grains, adjusting the dust mass to fit the total millimeter flux. Our convolved model prediction at 1.3 mm is presented in the center panel of Fig. 4. The right panel of this figure shows radial cuts along the major and minor axis. In our model, the radial position of the maxima as well as the radial extent in each cut are well reproduced. However, the model overestimates the flux in the inner region of the disk (i.e., inside the gap) by about 30\%.

To reproduce the observed aspect ratio of the disk, a high inclination (\(\sim 74^\circ\)) is needed. However, we find that the inclination should not be larger than 80\°, otherwise the photosphere would be occulted at short wavelengths, in contradiction with the shape of the SED (see for example the SED of the edge-on disk ESO-Ho 569; Wolff et al. 2017). The radial width of the ring made of large grains has to be sufficiently small so that the position and shape of the maxima of the cut along the major axis match the data. We find that a radial width for the large grains ring of about 10\,au is consistent with the data, with \(R_{\text{in}} \sim 77\,\text{au}\). However, a narrower ring would still reproduce the observations.
Table 2. Parameters for our radiative transfer models.

|            | J1608 | J1852 |
|------------|-------|-------|
| Inclination (°) | 74    | 30    |
| PA (°) | 19    | 34    |
| $a_{\text{min}} \sim a_{\text{max}}$ (µm) | 0.01–0.5 | 0.01–0.5 |
| $R_{\text{in}} \sim R_{\text{out}}$ (au) | 50–150 | 15–22  |
| Mass ($M_\odot$) | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $H_{100\text{au}}$ (au) | 12 | 15 |

Inclination and PA correspond to the major axis cut. Small grains: $a = 0.01–0.5$ µm, $R_{\text{in}} = 50–150$ au, $H_{100\text{au}} = 12$ au. Large grains: $a = 10–1000$ µm, $R_{\text{in}} = 77–87$ au, $H_{100\text{au}} = 5$ au. Inner disk: $a = 0.01–0.5$ µm, $R_{\text{in}} = 0.1–5$ au.

Notes. Each parameter was adjusted during the modeling, except for the grain size ($a_{\text{min}} \sim a_{\text{max}}$).

Finally, the fluxes of the peaks and depth of the gap along the minor axis depend both on the radial width and the vertical thickness of the disk. If the scale height of the large grains is too large, after convolution by the beam, the two sides of the ring would appear as connected, leading to a flat intensity profile along the minor axis. On the other hand, if the zone of large grains is too thin vertically for a given disk mass, the fluxes at the peaks of the minor axis cut would become too large. The appropriate scale height in our modeling is between 3 and 5 au at 100 au, which is similar to the value obtained for HL Tau (1 au at 100 au; Pinte et al. 2016). We note that a smaller scale height, such as in HL Tau, can not be excluded by our model. We show the non-convolved model in Appendix A. All the model’s parameters are presented in Table 2.

Small grains. The synthetic scattered light image computed assuming the same spatial distribution for small grains and large grains does not provide a good match to the images. To reproduce the observations, the radial extent of small grains and their scale height need to be larger than those of the large grains, from 50 to 150 au radially, and about 12 au vertically at 100 au. We note that this layer is already included in the millimeter predictions displayed in Fig. 4, but does not contribute significantly at millimeter wavelengths. The scattered light image of our model is presented in Fig. 5, with a cut along the major axis direction. The cuts were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel with a FWHM of 50% the measured image resolution (~10 mas). The inner radius of the small grains distribution is very close to that of the gas, as estimated from the PV diagram (48 au, see Fig. 3), consistent with the expectation that these grains are well coupled to the gas.

We note that, in the observation, no scattered light is detected from the northeast part of the disk (Fig. 5). Geometrically, the existence of the bottom line located in the southwest suggests that it traces the part of the disk nearest to us, while the northeast region would correspond to the more distant side of the disk. This implies that the phase function of the polarized intensity is such that there is no or very little backward scattering (on the assumption that the disk is axisymmetric). The polarized intensity is the product of the polarization degree and the total intensity. While the polarization degree from backward scattering is similarly low for all grain sizes (see Fig. 7 of Kepler et al. 2018), the phase function of the intensity varies significantly with the grain size. We reproduce this feature by selecting grains smaller than 0.5 µm. Grains larger than 1.2 µm also have a very small efficiency for backward scattering. However, with such grains our models showed that the position of the maximum intensity along the major axis is independent of the inner radius position and located further out than seen in the data. These grains are therefore not compatible with the observations. For grains with an intermediate size (between 0.5 and 1.2 µm), backward scattering is very efficient, which would lead to a significant signal northeast of the disk coming from its far side.

We show the SED compared to that of the model in Fig. 6. All the model parameters are presented in Table 2, and a schematic representation of our model is shown in the top panel of Fig. B.1.

We note that we are able to recreate the same general structure as seen in J1608, with two lobes along the major axis, no emission from the northeast region of the disk (backward...
scattering) and a faint line south of the disk, but these characteristics are not perfectly reproduced. For example, the southern line is brighter in our model than in the observations (see Fig A.1). To reproduce better this part of the image, the layer of small grains scattering light must be thinner vertically, but this in turn has an impact on the extent of the lobes. Moreover, the southern line is closer to the star than in the data. A way to push this line further would be for example to increase the mass of the scattering grains, the scale height or the inclination. However, each case would lead to an extinction of the photosphere that is not observed in the SED. Thus the model that we present here is a compromise to reproduce both the ALMA and SPHERE images, together with the SED. This should be considered as a working model to derive the main structural characteristics of the J1608 system. These are: (1) a high inclination, (2) large grains more concentrated vertically and radially than the small grains, and (3) a distribution of size in small grains that produces low polarized intensity in backward scattering.

4.4. Modeling J1852

The SED of J1852 shows a steep increase around 20 \(\mu\)m, typical of transition disks, due to the outer edge of the cavity. A clear silicate feature at 10 \(\mu\)m is present, characteristic of small hot grains close to the star. While scattered light image shows signal just outside of the coronagraph, there is no corresponding clear millimeter emission at the same location. The scattered light image shows a second bright ring at 0.295\(\arcsec\), which does correspond to the ring detected in the millimeter image.

To reproduce the scattered light and SED features, we consider three zones in our model: (1) a tenuous inner disk region, solely required to reproduce the silicate feature, (2) an inner ring, responsible for the sharp jump in the mid-IR SED and for the emission seen in the SPHERE image just outside of the coronagraph radius, and finally, (3) an outer ring, to account for the second brightness increase in the near-IR polarized image and the millimeter ring. The structure is illustrated in the bottom panel of Fig. B.1. The three zones are described in the following sections.

**Inner disk.** The inner region, responsible for the silicate emission around 10 \(\mu\)m in the SED, is modeled by small grains (0.01–3 \(\mu\)m) between 0.1 and 5 au, although this is not well-constrained. To reproduce the shape of the emission, we consider a mixture of silicate, composed at 65\% of olivine (Dorschner et al. 1995) and 35\% of astronomical silicates (Draine & Lee 1984).

**Inner ring.** The position of the wall in the SED traces the dust temperature, which is dependent on both the inner radius and the size of the dust. For simplicity, we chose to use the same grain size distribution as for J1608. As the inner radius of J1852 is likely located behind the coronagraph, we constrained it using the SED. We reproduce well the inner ring by defining its radial extent between 15 and 22 au, with a scale height of 15 au at 100 au.

**Outer ring.** To reproduce the peak of intensity around 0.295\(\arcsec\) (~43 au), an increase of the surface density in the small grains is needed, between 42 and 65 au. The mass of this region is adjusted to reproduce the relative brightness of the rings and the SED. The scale height is fixed to be the same as that of the inner ring.

Our model (Fig. 9) reproduces relatively well the position and the brightness of the peaks in the major and minor axis directions. The second ring shows an offset to the center as seen in the data. However, we were not able to reproduce the surface brightness inside the gap between the inner and outer ring in the southern part of the disk. This region is twice brighter in the data than in the model. In our model the gap is empty and the ring edges are sharp, while in practice, a low surface density of small grains could be filling it.

**Large grains.** Unlike J1608, we do not have sufficient high resolution data to show that the large grains are radially distributed differently to the small grains (see Fig. 8), so for now we assume that they are radially co-located. We adjusted the total mass of the large grains to reproduce the observed SMA flux at 1 mm and the ALMA 3 mm flux in the SED, allocating a small fraction of mass to the inner ring to account for the scattered light data. The large beam considered in this work also dilutes the emission of the inner ring (as modeled here), making it very difficult to detect (see Appendix A). With our assumptions on the radial structure and grain composition of the large grain population, we inferred its scale height from the effect on
5. Discussion

5.1. Dust vertical settling

Our radiative transfer modeling of J1608 indicates that small and large grains have a different spatial distribution (see Table 2). Small grains (<1 μm) are found to be more extended vertically than large grains (>10 μm). Small grains are found up to a height of 15 au at 100 au, in agreement with other results around T Tauri stars (e.g., Burrows et al. 1996; Wolff et al. 2017; Pohl et al. 2017b). In contrast, to reproduce the ALMA image and SEDs, the height of the large grain layer at 100 au has to be of a few astronomical units.

The need for stratification of dust grains was already suggested in earlier studies. Duchêne et al. (2003) showed that a perfect mixing of dust grains is not able to reproduce simultaneously HST scattered light images and IRAM millimeter images of HK Tau B, implying that vertical settling is occurring. Such a radial stratification of the dust distribution was also highlighted by Pinte et al. (2007) while modeling IR and optical scattered light images of GG Tau. In all cases, small grains are inferred to be located at the disk surface while large grains are found closer to the mid-plane, a natural outcome of vertical settling.

Vertical settling of particles occurs simultaneously with radial drift due to the effect of stellar gravity and gas drag on the dust (Weidenschilling 1977). Because the pressure force acts only on the gas, the gas rotates at sub-Keplerian speed, while decoupled dust grains rotate faster, at Keplerian speed. The gas drag on the dust particles leads to inward drift. Moreover, through the stellar gravity and the interaction with the surrounding gas, dust grains settle onto the mid-plane, with a different efficiency depending on the coupling of the grains. Small grains are well coupled to the gas and hence are located at similar scale heights; large grains are relatively decoupled from the gas, and settle to the midplane (Barrière-Fouchet et al. 2005). Laibe et al. (2014) found that vertical settling is much faster than the radial drift of the particles, even when taking into account grain growth.

Fromang & Papaloizou (2006) carried out ideal magneto-hydrodynamic (MHD) simulations to quantify the effect of magnetic field on dust settling. They consider a strongly
magnetized and turbulent disk, with a viscous coefficient of $\alpha \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ in the simulation, and no grain growth. They find that the scale height of 10 cm bodies is about $H_{100 \text{ mm}} \approx 0.23 H_{\text{gas}}$ (Eq. (43) of Fromang & Papaloizou 2006), which seems too large to account for current estimates from observations. If we assume that scattered light traces the gas and that all light at 1.3 mm is emitted by grains of similar size (Draine 2006), the modeling of J1608 gives mm dust scale heights on the order of $H_{1.3 \text{ mm}} \approx 0.41 H_{\text{gas}}$. This is similar or smaller than the predictions of Fromang & Papaloizou (2006) for particles 100 times larger, which are expected to be considerably more settled.

On the other hand, Dullemond & Dominik (2004) showed analytically that settling is more efficient for disks with low turbulence. It is also more efficient if the grain size distribution contains fewer small grains or if the gas-to-dust ratio is low (Mulders & Dominik 2012). Moreover, in the outer parts of the disk the ionization fraction might be such that a perfect coupling to magnetic field is unlikely, and non-ideal effects might be expected. In this context, Riols & Lesur (2018) showed that ambipolar diffusion allows much more efficient settling of large grains than in perfect MHD models, allowing to reproduce the constraints on HL Tau.

5.2. Dust radial distribution

**Outer radius.** Our model of J1608 shows that small grains extend to larger radii than large grains (Table 2). This is expected as small grains are predicted to be well coupled to the gas, well detected beyond the ring seen in the ALMA image. On the other hand, large grains are (partially) decoupled from the gas, and experience radial drift and dust trapping. Earlier studies have shown that the gas outer radii extend further than the ones measured in the millimeter continuum. An average ratio between the gas and dust outer disk radii of $1.96 \pm 0.04$ was found in 22 disks of the Lupus star forming region (Ansdell et al. 2018). This trend is also observed in 12 disks in Chamaeleon II (Villenave et al. in prep) and in individual disks such as PDS 70 where the gas is detected up to 160 au and millimeter dust up to 110 au (Long et al. 2018). We note, however, that the sensitivity limits between the ALMA observations in CO and those in polarized scattered light are different. The outermost radius at which scattered light is detected depends on the stellar illumination (which drops as $r^{-2}$). Besides the sensitivity limits, the difference between gas/small grains and large grains can be due to optical depth effects combined with the radial drift of the large grains (Facchini et al. 2017). Depending on the inclination of the system, the vertical height of small grains can also have a large effect on their detectable radius. If the vertical height of small grains decreases after some radius, the surface layers could be located in the shadow of the inner region and would not be detected in scattered light (Muro-Arena et al. 2018).

**Inner radius.** From the PV diagram shown in Fig. 3 and our modeling, we found that both the small grains and gas in J1608 extend inwards of the large grains, indicating that the cavity is not completely empty. Likewise, an inner ring in scattered light is detected inside the millimeter cavity of J1852. A similar conclusion was reached on several other transition disks, which show a CO cavity smaller than the millimeter dust cavity, such as Sz 91 (Canovas et al. 2015, 2016) or RXJ1604.3-2130A (Zhang et al. 2014) for example. In each of these systems, the authors found the CO to extend to at least 20 au inward of the outer edge of the millimeter dust cavity. These differences in inner radius could be related to the mechanisms responsible for the cavities in transition disks, which we explore in the next subsection.

5.3. Comparison with other transition disks

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the origin of cavities in transition disks: photoevaporation (Owen et al. 2011), dead zones (Flock et al. 2015; Pinilla et al. 2016), opacity effect via grain growth (Dullemond & Dominik 2005; Birnstiel et al. 2012), or planetary or stellar companion interacting with the disk (Crida & Morbidelli 2007; Facchini et al. 2013). Recent studies showed that most of the transition disks studied at high angular resolution (a sample biased toward the brightest objects) still have moderate accretion rates (Manara et al. 2014) and rather small CO cavities. Thus, photoevaporation might not be the main mechanism in this sample (Pinilla et al. 2018b; van der Marel et al. 2018).

Dead-zones are low ionization regions in which magneto-rotational instability is suppressed (Blaes & Balbus 1994; Flock et al. 2012). In such regions the rate of gas flow decreases, leading to accumulation of gas at the outer edge of the region. The pressure bump is able to trap particles and stop the radial drift of large grains, which leads to a ring-like morphology for the millimeter dust as observed in transition disks (Pinilla et al. 2016). Synthetic scattered light and millimeter continuum images of disk with a dead zone in the inner 30 au show that the inner edge of the ring is located at about the same radius in both tracers. If a dead zone and a MHD wind act together however, a larger difference in inner radii could be observed.

Dust depleted cavities can also be generated by planets. Planets of mass larger than 1 $M_J$ can carve gaps in the gas and induce large perturbations in the gas surface density (Dong & Fung 2017), in turn generating pressure maxima that trap dust particles. In this case, the inner region of the disk is depleted in millimeter grains, while smaller grains can flow inside the planet’s orbit, and potentially be detected in scattered light (de Juan Ovelar et al. 2013; Dong et al. 2015).

To assess the origin of the cavity in transition disks, we compiled a sample of 22 disks that have both scattered light and millimeter observations, as presented in Table 3. This sample includes two known binary systems, HD 142527 and V4046 Sgr (Biller et al. 2014; Quast et al. 2000). The eccentric binary companion in HD 142527 is likely responsible for the cavity (Price et al. 2018), but this is probably not the case for the very close binary system V4046 Sgr (2.4 days period; Quast et al. 2000; O’Drazi et al. 2018). All sources show a resolved dust cavity in the millimeter and, except Oph IRS 48 and Sz 91 for which we consider respectively VISIR and Subaru observations, all have scattered light observations with VLT/SPIRE. We report the outer radius of the scattered light cavity and millimeter peak in Table 3. We re-scale the published values using the latest distances from Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018). In this analysis, we only consider the position of the main cavity, regardless of the presence of an inner disk within the first few au. For 5 of the 22 disks considered in this analysis, the scattered light cavity may be located inside the coronagraphic mask radius, giving the upper limits in Table 3. For the small fraction of transition disks that possesses multiple rings in scattered light, we report the position of the scattered light ring that is the closest to the millimeter peak emission (for the following objects: HD 169142, V4046 Sgr, J1852, HD 97048, Lk Ca 15, Sz 91 and HD 34282). For J1608, which has a large inclination, we chose to estimate the ALMA and SPIRE peaks on our model, after computing it face-on.

From synthetic observations, calculated after hydrodynamical and dust evolution simulations and considering massive planets on a circular orbit, de Juan Ovelar et al. (2013) found...
Table 3. Position of millimeter and scattered light cavities for 22 transition disks, ordered by increasing millimeter cavity size.

| Source            | $d$ (pc) | Band | $R_{\text{peak,mm}}$ (au) | $R_{\text{in,PI}}$ (au) | $R_{\text{peak,PI}}$ (au) | Ratio |
|-------------------|----------|------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| HD 100546         | 110 ± 1  | B7 – R' | 15.0                         | 12.0                      | 14.0                      | 0.81, 0.96 |
| HD 169142         | 114 ± 1  | B6 – J | 21.7                         | 16.0                      | 20.5                      | 0.74, 0.94 |
| V4046 Sgr         | 72 ± 1   | B6 – H | 27.0                         | 15.3                      | 26.9                      | 0.57, 0.99 |
| UX Tau A          | 140 ± 2  | B6 – H | 33.2                         | < 14                      | < 0.42                    |       |
| HD 100453         | 104 ± 1  | B6 – R' | 33.3                         | 14.6                      | 18.5                      | 0.44, 0.55 |
| T Cha             | 110 ± 1  | B3 – H | 36.8                         | 28.8                      | 31.4                      | 0.78, 0.85 |
| HD 143006         | 166 ± 4  | B6 – J | 40.0                         | 18.2                      | 30.0                      | 0.45, 0.75 |
| DoAr44            | 146 ± 1  | B7 – H | 42.7                         | < 14                      | < 0.33                    |       |
| CQ Tau            | 163 ± 2  | B6 – J | 47.3                         | < 4                       | < 0.08                    |       |
| J1852             | 146 ± 1  | B3 – H | 43.8                         | 34.7                      | 43.1                      | 0.79, 0.98 |
| HD 135344B        | 136 ± 2  | B7 – R | 54.6                         | 18.3                      | 23.5                      | 0.34, 0.43 |
| HD 97048          | 185 ± 1  | B7 – J | 55.4                         | 45.9                      | 54.4                      | 0.83, 0.98 |
| LkCa 15           | 159 ± 1  | B7 – J | 64.0                         | 53.7                      | 64                        | 0.84, 1.0  |
| Oph IRS 48        | 134 ± 2  | B9 – Q | 70.6                         | 33.3                      | 59.1                      | 0.47, 0.84 |
| RY Lup            | 159 ± 2  | B7 – H | 71.9                         | < 15                      | < 0.20                    |       |
| MWC758            | 160 ± 2  | B7 – Y | 80.0                         | < 15                      | < 0.19                    |       |
| PDS 70            | 113 ± 1  | B7 – J | 81.0                         | 45.0                      | 54.0                      | 0.56, 0.67 |
| J1608             | 156 ± 6  | B6 – H | 81.0                         | 45.0                      | 49.9                      | 0.56, 0.82 |
| RXJ1604.3-2130A   | 150 ± 1  | B6 – R' | 83.0                         | 54.0                      | 63.7                      | 0.66, 0.77 |
| Sz 91             | 159 ± 2  | B7 – Ks | 87.5                        | 46.0                      | 50.9                      | 0.52, 0.58 |
| HD 34282          | 312 ± 5  | B7 – J | 133.9                        | 124.2                     | 132.7                     | 0.93, 0.99 |
| HD 142527         | 157 ± 1  | B7 – Ks | 165, 205                     | 78.5                      | 157.0                     | 0.38, 0.95 |

**Notes.** Known binary systems are indicated with the name in italic. We report the peak of the millimeter intensity beyond the cavity, along with the position of the inner radius and peak in scattered light. When the cavity is not detected down to the coronagraph radius in scattered light, we use the symbol <. The position of the scattered light cavity ($R_{\text{cav,PI}}$), defined as the mean between $R_{\text{in,PI}}$ and $R_{\text{peak,PI}}$, is used in Fig. 10.

**References.** HD 100546: Pinilla et al. (2018b); Garufi et al. (2016), HD 169142: Fedele et al. (2017); Pohl et al. (2017a); Bertrang et al. (2018), V4046 Sgr: Rosenfeld et al. (2013); Avenhaus et al. (2018), HD 100453: van der Plas et al. (2019); Benisty et al. (2017), T Cha: Hendler et al. (2018a); Pohl et al. (2017b), UX Tau: Pinilla et al. (2018b), Menard (in prep.); HD 143006: Benisty et al. (2018); Pérez et al. (2018a), DoAr44: Casassus et al. (2018); Avenhaus et al. (2018), CQ Tau: Pinilla et al. (2018b); Benisty (in prep), J1852: This work, HD 97048: van der Plas et al. (2017b); Ginski et al. (2016), LkCa 15: Andrews et al. (2011); Thalmann et al. (2015, 2016), HD 135344B: Pinilla et al. (2018b); Stolker et al. (2016), Oph IRS 48: van der Marel et al. (2013); Bruderer et al. (2014); Geers et al. (2007), RY Lup: Pinilla et al. (2018b); Langlois et al. (2018), MWC758: Marino et al. (2015); Benisty et al. (2015), RXJ1604.3-2130A: Pinilla et al. (2018a, 2015), PDS 70: Long et al. (2018); Keppler et al. (2016), J1608: Ansdel et al. (2016), This work, Sz 91: Canovas et al. (2016); Tsukagoshi et al. (2014), HD 34282: van der Plas et al. (2017a), de Boer (in prep.), HD 142527: Boehler et al. (2017); Avenhaus et al. (2014).
More recently, Subaru high-contrast observations of 68 young stellar objects were performed (SEEDS survey; Uyama et al. 2017b), reaching typical limits of 10 M_\odot at 0.5'' (\sim 70 au at 140 pc) and 6 M_\odot at 1'' . The SEEDS survey covered 12 disks of our sample\(^3\), without a planet detection. For HD 169142, T Cha, HD 135344B, HD 97048 and RY Lup, detection limits were also presented in individual studies, that reached sensitivity of \sim 10 M_\odot at 0.25'' (Ligi et al. 2018; Pohl et al. 2017b; Maire et al. 2017; Ginski et al. 2016; Langlois et al. 2018). We also note that claims of candidate companions were made in the disks of HD 100546 (Quanz et al. 2015; Currie et al. 2014), HD 169142 (Billot et al. 2014; Reggiani et al. 2014), Lk Ca 15 (Kraus & Ireland 2012; Sallum et al. 2015) and MWC758 (Reggiani et al. 2018), without having been firmly confirmed until now.

An alternative scenario to explain the small ratio between the radius of the scattered light cavity and the millimeter peak could be that the cavities are caused by several lower mass planets, which would allow small grains to fill the cavity, while large grains are retained in the outer disk (Rosotti et al. 2016; Dipierro & Laibe 2017). In this case, no gap would be detected in scattered light, while a clear ring would appear in the millimeter images.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we present new polarized scattered light observations of two transition disks, namely 2MASS J16083070-3828268 (J1608) and RXJ1852.3-3700 (J1852). The image of J1608 reveals a highly inclined disk (\sim 74\(^\circ\)) with a large cavity of about 50 au in scattered light. We also detect a faint line, in the southwest, that we interpret as tracing the rear-facing side of the disk. The second disk of our study, J1852, shows scattered light (referred to as an inner ring) just beyond the coronagraph radius, a gap between 22 and 42 au and an outer ring up to 65 au. A cavity inward of the first ring, as inferred from the SED, is located behind the coronagraph.

We modeled both scattered light and millimeter images (that trace small and large dust grains, respectively), together with the SED, using radiative transfer. Our modeling of the highly inclined disk J1608 indicates that small and large grains have a different spatial distribution. Radially, small grains are more extended inward and outward than the large grains, by respectively 30 au and 60 au. Vertically, at a radius of 100 au, we constrain the large grains to be located within a height of 5 au, while the small grains extend vertically up to 12 au. We follow a similar procedure for J1852 and propose a model with a spatial segregation between grain sizes. However, the disk is not inclined enough to allow us to strongly constrain the relative vertical extents of various grain sizes, and so our modeling of the images and SED for this object remains degenerate.

The radial and vertical segregation in particle sizes observed in J1608 is likely a consequence of both vertical settling and dust radial drift that occur during the evolution of the disks. Vertical settling in low turbulence disks and/or following non-ideal MHD effects such as ambipolar diffusion can explain the relatively small scale height inferred for the large grain population. The difference in the outer extents (as measured in scattered light and millimeter emission) could result from radial drift, optical depth and illumination effects, while the difference in the inner radius of the outer disk, might be related to the presence of planet(s).

We compile a sample of 22 transition disks imaged with both ALMA and SPHERE, and find that scattered light is detected inside the millimeter cavity in all of the disks. We use the observed spatial difference in mm and far-IR distributions to identify a segregation in particle sizes, and infer the proposed companion mass responsible for the cavity using the prescription of de Juan Ovelar et al. (2013). We show that in 15 objects, including the two disks modeled in this study, the cavities could be explained by the presence of a giant planet. The seven other disks of the sample show large ratios between the position of the scattered light and the millimeter cavity, suggestive of a companion above the planetary mass regime, or alternatively, of a multiple planetary system.

As of today, apart from PDS 70 (Kepller et al. 2018), direct imaging surveys with results available in the literature, did not provide the detection of other such objects within a transition disk. New deeper observations with direct imaging instruments, or search for non-Keplerian motions in the gas kinematics with ALMA (Pérez et al. 2015, 2018b; Teague et al. 2018; Pinte et al. 2018) might lead to further detections.
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\(^3\) Namely UX Tau A, HD 143006, DoAr44, CQ Tau, J1852, HD 135344B, Lk Ca 15, Oph IRS 48, MWC 758, RXJ1604.3-2130A, Sz 91, HD 34282.
Appendix A: Additional maps

Saturated J1608 $Q_\phi$ image. To visualize better the faint line south of J1608, we show the $Q_\phi$ image of the $H$ and $J$-band observations in Fig. A.1, while saturating the image for brightness larger than 5% of the maximum intensity of the map. The right panel also shows our $Q_\phi$ model image with the same dynamical range.

Model $Q_\phi$ and $U_\phi$ images. As we show and model the polarized intensity image in the main text, we present in Figs. A.2 and A.3, the individual $Q_\phi$ and $U_\phi$ model predictions for J1608 and J1852, respectively. The $Q_\phi$ images are very similar to our model polarized intensity images that reproduce well the data. In addition, when compared to Figs. 1 and 2, we see that the regions with positive and negative intensity in the $U_\phi$ images are also a good match to the data.

![Fig. A.1](image1)
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Model Q and U images. We show the $Q$ and $U$ maps of both data and models (without any noise) in Figs. A.4 and A.5. In J1608, the east/west asymmetry in the lobe is reproduced, and in J1852 we clearly see the two rings in the model images.

Model millimeter images before convolution by the beam. In Fig. A.6, we show our synthetic millimeter predictions for J1608 and J1852 before convolution by the corresponding ALMA beam.
Appendix B: Model schematic representation

We show a schematic representation of our models in Fig. B.1, that shows the clear spatial segregation in particle sizes for J1608. As explained in the main text, our model of J1852 remains degenerate. With a grain size distribution as given in Table 2, that uses a minimum grain size of 10 µm for the large grain population, we obtain a good model represented in the bottom panel of Fig. B.1. However, with a minimum grain size to 300 µm, both small and large grain population could be mixed up to the same height. We therefore represent the height of the small grain population with red hatches to show this uncertainty.

Fig. B.1. Modeled radial and vertical structure for small and large grains in J1608 and J1852, in linear scale. The vertical black line indicates the inner radius of the disk in CO, as measured on the PV-diagram (Fig. 3). The red hatches in J1852 represent the uncertainty on the scale height of the large grain population that is not well constrained by our model. The innermost disk of J1852, located between 0.2 and 2 au, is too small to be visible in this representation.