Detection of Pneumococcal DNA in Blood by Polymerase Chain Reaction for Diagnosing Pneumococcal Pneumonia in Young Children From Low- and Middle-Income Countries
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**Background.** We investigated the performance of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on blood in the diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia among children from 7 low- and middle-income countries.

**Methods.** We tested blood by PCR for the pneumococcal autoyisin gene in children aged 1–59 months in the Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health (PERCH) study. Children had World Health Organization–defined severe or very severe pneumonia or were age-frequency–matched community controls. Additionally, we tested blood from general pediatric admissions in Kilifi, Kenya, a PERCH site. The proportion PCR-positive was compared among cases with microbiologically confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia (MCPH), cases without a confirmed bacterial infection (nonconfirmed), cases confirmed for nonpneumococcal bacteria, and controls.

**Results.** In PERCH, 7.3% (n = 291/3995) of cases and 5.5% (n = 273/4987) of controls were blood pneumococcal PCR-positive (P < .001), compared with 64.3% (n = 36/56) of MCPH cases and 6.3% (n = 243/3832) of nonconfirmed cases (P < .001). Blood pneumococcal PCR positivity was higher in children from the 5 African countries (5.5%–11.5% among cases and 5.3%–10.2% among controls) than from the 2 Asian countries (1.3% and 1.0% among cases and 0.8% and 0.8% among controls). Among Kilifi general pediatric admissions, 3.9% (n = 274/6968) were PCR-positive, including 61.7% (n = 37/60) of those with positive blood cultures for pneumococcus.

**Discussion.** The utility of pneumococcal PCR on blood for diagnosing childhood pneumococcal pneumonia in the 7 low- and middle-income countries studied is limited by poor specificity and by poor sensitivity among MCPH cases.
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Microbiological confirmation of childhood pneumococcal pneumonia is difficult. A minority of cases are detected by blood culture even under ideal conditions [1]; sputum is not spontaneously produced by young children and can be contaminated by organisms carried in the nasopharynx; detection of pneumococcus in the nasopharynx may represent asymptomatic carriage; diagnostic serology is insensitive in children, and paired samples are difficult to obtain [2]; and urinary
antigen tests are not sufficiently specific for use in young children [3, 4].

The development of molecular tools to diagnose pneumococcal disease has been slow. Some earlier gene targets were found to be nonspecific to Streptococcus pneumoniae due to its close homology with related noninvasive species [5–9]. Consequently, pneumococcal polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays now commonly target the autolysin gene (lytA), which is regarded as more specific [9]. However, clinical specificity of this assay has been difficult to measure, particularly among children, the population who most need an improved diagnostic test. Two studies found no PCR-positive blood samples using lytA-targeted assays among healthy children, suggesting 100% specificity [4, 10].

We investigated the performance of pneumococcal PCR on blood specimens for diagnosing pneumococcal pneumonia in children from low- and middle-income countries as part of the Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health (PERCH) study.

METHODS

PERCH Participants

PERCH enrolled children aged 1–59 months from August 2011 to January 2014 (24 months at each site) at 9 study sites across 7 countries: Dhaka and Matlab, Bangladesh; Basse, The Gambia; Kilifi, Kenya; Bamako, Mali; Soweto, South Africa; Nakhon Phanom and Sa Kaeo, Thailand; and Lusaka, Zambia. Cases were hospitalized with World Health Organization (WHO)–defined severe or very severe pneumonia, and controls without case-defining pneumonia were randomly selected from the community. Controls were frequency matched to cases within the following age groups: 1 to <6 months, 6 to <12 months, 12 to <24 months, and 24 to <60 months. Identification and selection of cases and controls have been described previously [11].

Kilifi General Pediatric Admissions

The Kenya site also evaluated children aged ≤14 years not enrolled in the PERCH study who were admitted to the general medical pediatric service at Kilifi County Hospital (KCH) from December 2010 until December 2013. The definition of pneumonia severity was the same as that used in the PERCH study, except that lower chest wall indrawing was not a requirement for children aged >5 years. Supplementary Figure 1 describes the population of Kilifi general pediatric admissions studied; all such children were offered admission blood tests, including blood cultures.

Laboratory and Radiological Methods

Blood for PCR was collected at admission from both PERCH cases and KCH general admissions cases, alongside blood for culture, and from PERCH controls at enrollment. Blood samples for PCR were collected into dedicated ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tubes. Detailed methods for the processing of PERCH samples can be found elsewhere in this supplement [12]. For the additional KCH children, 200 μL of whole blood was extracted by the manual spin-column method using the QIaamp DNA blood mini kit within a week of sample collection. Extracted DNA was frozen at −80°C until it underwent pneumococcal PCR.

Whole blood was evaluated for the presence of S. pneumoniae nucleic acid using a quantitative real-time PCR assay for the lytA gene based on the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) method [9].

Blood cultures were performed as described previously at all PERCH sites [12].

Nasopharyngeal swabs for pneumococcal culture and nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal (NP/OP) swabs for respiratory pathogen multiplex PCR were collected from all cases and controls in PERCH and from those KCH general admissions cases meeting the WHO definition of severe or very severe pneumonia. Quantitative real-time PCR for respiratory pathogens was performed on NP/OP specimens, as described elsewhere [12].

In PERCH, lung aspirates were collected at select sites (The Gambia, South Africa, Mali, and Bangladesh), and pleural fluid was collected from cases when clinically indicated at all sites.

Among KCH general admission patients, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was collected from children with clinical suspicion of meningitis and from neonates with WHO-defined probable severe bacterial illness and cultured for bacterial and fungal pathogens using standard microbiological methods.

All PERCH laboratory assays were performed in the individual site laboratories in each country, with standardized quality control procedures and regular external quality assurance [12].

Chest radiographs (CXRs) were obtained from PERCH cases at enrollment and classified as consolidation, other infiltrate, both consolidation and other infiltrate, normal, or uninterpretable by a trained panel of radiologists and pediatricians [13, 14]. Chest radiographs from non-PERCH KCH children were obtained from those with pneumonia; results were reported from those interpreted according to WHO methods [13]. More non-PERCH CXRs became available after March 2012 when a mobile CXR unit was procured.

Definitions

Microbiologically confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia (MCPP) PERCH cases had pneumococcus detected by blood culture; culture, antigen detection, or PCR of pleural fluid; or culture or PCR of lung aspirate. Cases confirmed for a nonpneumococcal bacterial infection had a positive culture of blood, lung aspirate, or pleural fluid, or PCR of lung aspirate or pleural fluid, for a pathogenic bacterium other than pneumococcus. Nonconfirmed cases had no bacterial pathogen detected by blood culture; culture, antigen detection, or PCR of pleural fluid; or culture or PCR of lung aspirate.
Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) vaccinated was defined as having received at least 1 dose of vaccine.

Receipt of antibiotics prior to specimen collection was defined by having either a positive serum bioassay [12] or documented administration of antibiotics on the day of admission at the referral or study hospital prior to blood culture collection.

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection was defined in PERCH as detectable viral load or presence of HIV antibodies by serology (for children aged >12 months). In Kilifi, HIV antibody/antigen rapid immunochromatographic test results as per the Kenyan national scheme were used.

High-density pneumococcal NP/OP PCR density was defined as >6.9 log_{10} copies/mL [15].

A PERCH control was considered to have a respiratory tract illness if cough or runny nose were reported. Respiratory tract illness was also considered present if the child had (1) ear discharge, wheeze, or difficulty breathing, and (2) either fever (temperature ≥38.0°C or reported fever in the past 48 hours) or sore throat. No control satisfied the WHO criteria for severe or very severe pneumonia.

Analysis

The proportion of blood samples positive by pneumococcal PCR was studied by clinical and laboratory characteristics. PERCH analyses were conducted overall and stratified by site and by case and control status. Site-specific analyses were performed using the chi-square or Fisher's exact test. PERCH analyses with all sites combined were performed using logistic regression adjusted for PERCH site. Characteristics associated with whole-blood pneumococcal PCR positivity were evaluated among case and control groups at sites with at least 1 blood pneumococcal PCR-positive in that group. Logistic regression models used the Firth modified likelihood approach to minimize bias due to small sample size and to accommodate zero-frequency cells [16].

As part of a quality control process, the proportion of pneumococcal PCR-positive samples was examined over time by date of sample collection, date of DNA extraction, and date of PCR test for each site.

All PERCH analyses were performed using SAS 9.4; data were from the July 2015 PERCH data freeze. Analyses of the additional data from Kilifi were performed using STATA 13.1.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The PERCH study protocol was approved by the institutional review board or ethical review committee at each of the study site institutions and at The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. The analysis of additional participants at the Kilifi site was approved by the ethical review committee at the Kenya Medical Research Institute. Parents or guardians of all participants provided written informed consent.

RESULTS

PERCH Study

In PERCH, whole-blood PCR results were available from 94.4% (n = 3995/4232) cases and 93.7% (n = 4987/5325) controls; 7.3% of cases and 5.5% of controls were PCR-positive for pneumococcus in blood (P < .001). Sensitivity among pneumococcal blood culture–positive cases was 68.2% (n = 30/44) and among MCPP cases was 64.3% (n = 36/56). Positivity among nonconfirmed cases (6.3%) and CXR-positive nonconfirmed cases (7.3%) was lower than among MCPP cases (P < .001) and cases confirmed for a nonpneumococcal bacterium (n = 12/107: 11.2%; P = .07 and P = .02, respectively) (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

Positive blood pneumococcal PCR findings were observed at all sites among both cases and controls, including controls without respiratory tract illness; 2 sites (Kenya and South Africa) observed higher positivity in controls than in cases (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Blood pneumococcal PCR positivity was higher at the African sites (range, 5.5%–11.5% among cases and 5.3%–10.2% among controls) than at the 2 Asian sites (1.3% and 1.0% among cases and 0.8% and 0.8% among controls).

No association was found between pneumococcal PCR positivity in blood and age or sex. Blood pneumococcal PCR positivity tended to be higher overall among cases with HIV infection (Table 1 and Supplementary Tables 2–8). Among the 4 African sites that introduced PCV prior to PERCH, PCV use was associated with blood pneumococcal PCR positivity only in South Africa, where pneumococcal PCR positivity was lower among vaccinated versus unvaccinated cases (5.4% vs 9.5%; P = .04). No meaningful association with prior antibiotic use was observed.

Among both cases (7.4% vs 3.0%; P < .01) and controls (5.9% vs 3.7%; P = .02), blood pneumococcal PCR positivity was higher with versus without pneumococcus detected in the nasopharynx as measured by culture or PCR (Table 1). A quantitative nasopharyngeal PCR result above a threshold of 6.9 log_{10} copies/mL was significantly associated with blood pneumococcal PCR positivity among cases but not controls. The association between nasopharyngeal carriage and blood pneumococcal PCR positivity varied by site (Supplementary Tables 2–8).

Among nonconfirmed cases, higher proportions of blood pneumococcal PCR positivity were also observed for clinical findings typically associated with pneumococcal pneumonia: CXR-positive cases had higher positivity than CXR-negative cases (7.3% vs 5.4%; P = .05), with even higher positivity in the subset with consolidation on CXR (9.7%). This was also true in cases with C-reactive protein (CRP) ≥40 mg/L versus <40 mg/L (10.0% vs 4.8%; P < .001) (Table 1). Site-specific
Table 1. Characteristics Associated With Whole-Blood Pneumococcal Polymerase Chain Reaction Positivity in PERCH, by Case and Control Groups

| PERCH site               | All MCPP Cases b | Nonconfirmed Cases b | Confirmed Nonpneumococcal Bacterial Cases b | All Controls |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|
|                          | No. (%) WB+ aOR  | No. (%) WB+ aOR      | No. (%) WB+ aOR                           | No. (%) WB+ aOR |
| Overall                  | 56 (64.3)        | 3832 (243.6)         | 98 (12.2)                                 | 4987 (273.5) |
| PERCH site               |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Kenya                    | 4 (75.0)         | 556 (4.5)            | 4 (50.0)                                  | 751 (64.6)   |
| The Gambia               | 16 (37.5)        | 570 (8.9)            | 4 (25.0)                                  | 608 (7.7)    |
| Mali                     | 24 (79.2)        | 619 (9.0)            | 2 (7.7)                                   | 715 (38.3)   |
| Zambia                   | 7 (57.1)         | 494 (7.5)            | 2 (8.7)                                   | 603 (31.5)   |
| South Africa             | 5 (80.0)         | 885 (66.5)           | 1 (3.7)                                   | 963 (10.2)   |
| Thailand                 | 0 (0)            | 218 (1.4)            | 0 (0)                                     | 622 (5.8)    |
| Bangladesh               | 0 (0)            | 490 (5.1)            | 0 (0)                                     | 725 (6.8)    |
| Age                      |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| 1–5 mo                   | 11 (76.9)        | 1570 (96.1)          | 3 (75)                                    | 1534 (88.5)  |
| 6–11 mo                  | 14 (71.4)        | 869 (68.7)           | 2 (11.1)                                  | 1195 (72.6)  |
| 12–23 mo                 | 17 (52.9)        | 854 (52.6)           | 3 (15.8)                                  | 1218 (64.5)  |
| 24–59 mo                 | 14 (71.4)        | 539 (27.5)           | 3 (25.0)                                  | 1040 (49.4)  |
| Sex                      |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Female                   | 27 (77.8)        | 1614 (98.1)          | 58 (13.8)                                 | 2491 (150.6) |
| Male                     | 29 (51.7)        | 2218 (145.6)         | 4 (10.0)                                  | 2495 (123.4) |
| HIV infected             |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Yes                      | 13 (76.9)        | 211 (11.4)           | 13 (23.1)                                 | 208 (9.9)    |
| No                       | 36 (23.1)        | 3299 (198.0)         | 40 (10.0)                                 | 4224 (223.5) |
| PCV vaccinated*          |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Yes                      | 37 (59.5)        | 1949 (142.7)         | 49 (12.2)                                 | 2447 (196.8) |
| No                       | 11 (9.8)         | 574 (48.4)           | 20 (5.0)                                  | 473 (24.5)   |
| Very severe pneumonia    |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Yes                      | 32 (71.9)        | 1213 (90.7)          | 47 (12.8)                                 | 8 (61.1)     |
| No                       | 24 (54.2)        | 2619 (153.5)         | 51 (11.8)                                 | 0 (0.0)      |
| Prior antibiotic use†    |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Yes                      | 14 (64.3)        | 1504 (100.6)         | 49 (10.2)                                 | 111 (9.8)    |
| No                       | 40 (62.5)        | 2166 (132.1)         | 47 (12.8)                                 | 4582 (253.5) |
| NP culture positive for pneumococcus | | | | |
| Yes                      | 44 (63.6)        | 2000 (149.7)         | 40 (12.5)                                 | 3420 (205.6) |
| No                       | 11 (63.6)        | 1788 (91.5)          | 55 (10.9)                                 | 1518 (63.4)  |
| Pneumococcus colonized (culture or PCR positive) | | | | |
| Yes                      | 54 (64.8)        | 2882 (214.7)         | 68 (16.2)                                 | 4042 (238.5) |
| No                       | 0 (0)            | 897 (27.0)           | 26 (0.0)                                  | 908 (34.3)   |
| Pneumococcal NP/OP PCR density >6.9 log₁₀ copies/mL | | | | |
| Yes                      | 36 (72.1)        | 457 (48.10)          | 27 (3.1)                                  | 392 (27.0)   |
| No                       | 18 (50.0)        | 3306 (192.5)         | 68 (11.8)                                 | 4490 (243.5) |
| NP/OP PCR positive for any virus | | | | |
| Yes                      | 51 (62.7)        | 3353 (207.6)         | 79 (8.1)                                  | 3853 (211.5) |
| No                       | 3 (100)          | 414 (33.0)           | 16 (3.8)                                  | 1034 (59.5)  |
| Hypoxemia a              |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Yes                      | 23 (82.6)        | 1446 (102.7)         | 50 (4.8)                                  | NA (0.0)     |
| No                       | 33 (17.5)        | 2377 (141.5)         | 47 (8.0)                                  | NA (0.0)     |
| Died in hospital         |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Yes                      | 13 (76.9)        | 240 (19.7)           | 31 (15.6)                                 | NA (0.0)     |
| No                       | 43 (60.5)        | 3590 (224.6)         | 67 (10.4)                                 | NA (0.0)     |
| CXR a,b                  |                  |                      |                                           |              |
| Yes                      | 38 (55.3)        | 1745 (127.3)         | 50 (16.0)                                 | 2.01 (0.0)   |
| No                       | 6 (100)          | 1525 (82.4)          | 26 (7.7)                                  | NA (0.0)     |
Table 1. Continued

| Characteristics | All MCPP Casesa | Nonconfirmed Casesb | Confirmed Nonpneumococcal Bacterial Casesc | All Controls |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|
| No. (%) WB+     | aOR             | No. (%) WB+         | aOR                                      | No. (%) WB+  |
| Alveolar consolidation on CXR | P = .43         | P ≤ .001            | P = .81                                  | P = .93      |
| Yes             | 31 (58.1)       | 953                 | 45 (13.4)                                | 41 (12.2)    |
| No              | 13 (69.2)       | 2417                | 5 (10.0)                                 | 41 (10.5)    |
| WBC >15/mm3     | P = .27         | P = .93             | P = .02                                  | P = .58      |
| Yes             | 27 (65.6)       | 1383                | 5 (5.0)                                  | 10 (18.6)    |
| No              | 27 (70.4)       | 2277                | 2 (5.0)                                  | 10 (18.6)    |
| CRP ≥40mg/L     | P = .25         | P ≤ .001            | P = .58                                  | P = .58      |
| Yes             | 40 (65.0)       | 873                 | 5 (6.8)                                  | 5 (8.0)      |
| No              | 8 (37.5)        | 2456                | 2 (8.0)                                  | 2 (8.0)      |

Characteristics associated with whole-blood pneumococcal polymerase chain reaction positivity were evaluated among case and control groups at sites with at least 1 blood pneumococcal PCR-positive in that group. P value for association of study group with whole-blood-positivity in a logistic regression model adjusted for site: microbiologically confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia (MCPP) versus nonconfirmed: P < .001; MCPP versus all controls: P < .001; MCPP versus confirmed nonpneumococcal bacterial cases P = .93; nonconfirmed versus all controls: P = .63; nonpneumococcal bacterial case versus all controls: P = .04. Bold indicates P < .05.

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; CXR, chest radiograph; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; MCPP, microbiologically confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia; NA, not applicable; NP, nasopharyngeal; OP, oropharyngeal; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PCV, pneumococcal conjugate vaccine; PERCH, Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health; WB, whole blood; WBC, white blood cells.

aMCPP was defined as isolation of pneumococcus from blood culture; culture or PCR of lung aspirate or pleural fluid; or BinaxNOW antigen detection on pleural fluid.

bNonconfirmed cases were defined as cases without isolation of bacteria from culture of blood, lung aspirate, or pleural fluid, or PCR of lung aspirate or pleural fluid.

cConfirmed nonpneumococcal bacterial case was defined as a case with any nonpneumococcal bacterial pathogen detected by blood culture, by lung aspirate culture or PCR, or by pleural fluid culture or PCR.

dAdjusted for site.

*PCV vaccinated defined as at least 1 dose. Restricted to PCV-using sites (Kenya, The Gambia, Mali, and South Africa).

1Prior use of antibiotics defined as serum bioassay positive, antibiotic administration at the referral facility, or antibiotic administration prior to blood specimen collection at the study facility.

2Hypoxemia was defined as <92% on room air (<90% at elevation, Zambia and South Africa) or a requirement for supplemental oxygen if a room air reading was not available.

3CXR+ defined as radiographic evidence of pneumonia (consolidation and/or other infiltrates).

Figure 1. Proportion positive for pneumococcal whole-blood polymerase chain reaction (PCR), by PERCH site and case or control group. Microbiologically confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia (MCPP) cases were defined as isolation of pneumococcus from blood culture; culture or PCR of lung aspirate or pleural fluid; or BinaxNOW antigen detection on pleural fluid. Nonconfirmed cases were defined as cases without isolation of bacteria from culture of blood, lung aspirate, or pleural fluid, or PCR of lung aspirate or pleural fluid. Confirmed nonpneumococcal bacterial cases were defined as isolation of another bacteria from culture of blood, lung aspirate, or pleural fluid, or PCR of lung aspirate or pleural fluid. Chest X-ray- positive (CXR+) was defined as radiographic evidence of pneumonia (consolidation and/or other infiltrates). See Supplementary Table 1 with numeric results for each site and case/control group. P values from Fisher’s exact or chi-square test for difference in whole blood–positivity by site: MCPP: P = .09; nonconfirmed: P = .09; confirmed nonpneumococcal bacterial case: P = .04; all controls: P = .001; controls with respiratory tract illness: P = .001; controls without acute respiratory illness: P = .001. Abbreviations: AC, alveolar consolidation; BCX+, blood culture positive; CXR, chest radiograph; MCPP, microbiologically confirmed pneumococcal pneumonia; PERCH, Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health; pneu, pneumococcus; RTI, controls with respiratory tract illness.
exceptions for association with CXR and CRP findings can be seen (Supplementary Tables 2–8). Analyses restricted to the CXR-positive nonconfirmed group were similar to analyses of the entire nonconfirmed case group (data not shown). The prevalence of pneumococcal PCR positivity in blood was also significantly higher among nonconfirmed cases with positive CXR (7.3%) or CRP ≥ 40 mg/L (10.0%) than among controls (5.5%; \( P < .01 \) for each comparison).

Low oxygen saturation, an elevated white blood cell count, and death in hospital were not significantly associated with blood pneumococcal PCR positivity overall or at any site.

**Kilifi General Pediatric Admissions**

Of 6968 blood specimens collected from non-PERCH general pediatric admissions in Kilifi, 274 (3.9%) were whole-blood pneumococcal PCR-positive. Pneumococcal PCR in blood was positive among 61.7% (n = 37/60) of blood culture–positive cases and among 60.9% (n = 39/64) of blood culture– or CSF culture–positive cases. Among children least likely to have pneumococcal disease—those with a pathogen other than pneumococcus by culture of blood or CSF—4.7% (n = 7/148) were positive for pneumococcal PCR on blood, which was similar to the percentage observed in children with nonbacteremic pneumonia (4.2%) (Supplementary Table 9).

Neonates were the least likely to be pneumococcal PCR-positive in blood (2.1%; n = 29/1379), compared with children aged 29 days–59 months (4.3%; n = 177/4148) and children aged >59 months (4.7%; n = 68/1441; \( P = .003 \)) (Table 2).

Table 2 and Table 3 describe characteristics associated with blood pneumococcal PCR positivity among children aged <5 years (Table 2) and aged 5–14 years (Table 3). Among children who did not have pneumococcal bacteremia and who did not have CSF collected, pneumonia status was associated with a positive pneumococcal blood PCR, with 4.2% of children aged 1–59 months with WHO-defined severe or very severe pneumonia positive compared with 2.7% without (\( P = .03 \)). Clinical characteristics associated with blood pneumococcal PCR positivity among both children aged 1–59 months and children aged ≥60 months included HIV antibody positivity, nasopharyngeal pneumococcal carriage, and radiologically confirmed pneumonia. Children <60 months of age who died in hospital were more likely to have been blood pneumococcal PCR-positive than survivors (Table 2); this was not the case for older children (Table 3).

**Assay Quality Control**

Whole-blood pneumococcal PCR positivity was evaluated at each PERCH site over time, by date of sample collection, date of DNA extraction, and date of PCR testing, and no obvious trends in positivity were detected (Supplementary Figure 2–4).

**DISCUSSION**

Pneumococcal PCR on blood was positive among 1%–10% of community controls in 7 African and Asian countries and is therefore not 100% specific for the diagnosis of pneumococcal disease as has been previously reported [4, 10]. The utility of pneumococcal PCR on blood in diagnosing childhood pneumococcal pneumonia is further limited by the fact that positivity among controls (5.5%) was similar to that in pneumonia cases not confirmed for any bacterial pathogen (6.3%), positivity among cases confirmed for nonpneumococcal bacteria (11.2%) was greater than that among nonconfirmed cases, and sensitivity among MCPP cases was low (64.3%).

There was a clear difference in the detection of pneumococcus in blood by PCR between the African and the Asian PERCH sites, with only 1.1% of nonconfirmed cases being pneumococcal PCR-positive at the Asian sites, compared with 7.5% at the African sites, and no MCPP cases in the Asian PERCH sites, despite common nasopharyngeal pneumococcal carriage among Thailand (57%) and Bangladesh (72%) cases. The reason for these differences between African and Asian sites is unclear, although it could be due to differences in transmission dynamics, host susceptibility, or prehospital antibiotic use. Other studies of hospitalized respiratory illness in Mali [17] and South Africa [18] have reported similar positivity for pneumococcal PCR on blood; 13.6% in Mali and 4%–6% among children aged <5 years in the South African study.

Findings from the extra sample set from Kilifi were consistent with those of the PERCH study in similarly aged children, providing support for the PERCH results. Furthermore these data showed analogous findings in children aged 5–14 years and also provided results in neonates, a population not often studied.

In contrast with our findings, previous studies in Italy and South Africa observed 100% specificity of the whole-blood lytA PCR assay half the children being colonized with pneumococcus, but these were much smaller studies (n = 147 and n = 100) and the study populations had important differences from PERCH [4, 10]. Controls in those studies were not a random selection from the community but were attending hospital for allergies or celiac disease [4] or had previously been vaccinated with PCV-9 in a clinical trial [10], were older (mean age of approximately 5 years), had lower prevalence (50%) [4, 10] and density [4] of nasopharyngeal pneumococcal carriage, and possibly had higher socioeconomic status. The South African study also used serum rather than whole blood [10]. In Slovenia, pneumococcal PCR was positive from plasma in 2 of 29 (6.9%) children with a nonpneumonia acute febrile illness for which a nonpneumococcal cause was identified [19]. Both of the children who tested positive were colonized with pneumococcus.

A review of older studies using a variety of gene targets to diagnose pneumococcal bacteremia from blood samples carried out...
Table 2. Characteristics Associated With Whole-Blood Pneumococcal Polymerase Chain Reaction Positivity Among General Pediatric Admissions to Kilifi County Hospital, Aged <60 Months

The table presents data on the association of whole-blood pneumococcal PCR positivity with various characteristics among pediatric admissions to Kilifi County Hospital, aged <60 months. The table includes columns for all cases, cases aged 0–28 days, children with pneumococcal bacteremia, children with severe or very severe pneumonia, children without pneumococcal bacteremia, and confirmed for another pathogen. The data are presented in a tabular format with statistical significance levels indicated for each characteristic.

During the period 1993–2009 describes sensitivity of 57%–66% and specificity of 88%–99% [20]. The South African respiratory illness study also reported positivity of 61% among blood culture–positive cases [18]. Poor sensitivity has been thought to be due to low specimen volume used by nucleic acid amplification tests, PCR inhibitors, and pneumococcal autolysis and DNA degradation from suboptimal storage conditions [8, 9, 21]. LytA has been shown to be a specific gene target for identifying pneumococcus for PCR assays [9, 21–24], and although the autolysin gene has been found in some *Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae* [25] and *Streptococcus mitis* genomes [7], it can be differentiated from the *lytA* gene in *S. pneumoniae* [22, 25]. The pneumococcal PCR method we used was published by the CDC in 2007 [9] and is in widespread use globally, so it is possible that other investigators have also used the assay in control groups and not published the results, perhaps assuming that there was laboratory error. However, the PERCH study used 7 different laboratories and found positive controls at every site.

We carefully examined the performance of the PCR assay on whole blood. We included all positive amplification curves up to the point of cut-off. By March 2007, we had also obtained positive results from 120 children who were alive at the time of PCR and did not have any other bacterial pathogens identified. We then expanded the assay to include children with severe or very severe pneumonia who were discharged from the hospital and had a digitalized chest X-ray (CXR) and standardized reporting according to WHO methods. A greater number of CXR results became available after March 2012 when a mobile CXR unit was procured.

During the period 1993–2009 describes sensitivity of 57%–66% and specificity of 88%–99% [20]. The South African respiratory illness study also reported positivity of 61% among blood culture–positive cases [18]. Poor sensitivity has been thought to be due to low specimen volume used by nucleic acid amplification tests, PCR inhibitors, and pneumococcal autolysis and DNA degradation from suboptimal storage conditions [8, 9, 21]. LytA has been shown to be a specific gene target for identifying pneumococcus for PCR assays [9, 21–24], and although the autolysin gene has been found in some *Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae* [25] and *Streptococcus mitis* genomes [7], it can be differentiated from the *lytA* gene in *S. pneumoniae* [22, 25]. The pneumococcal PCR method we used was published by the CDC in 2007 [9] and is in widespread use globally, so it is possible that other investigators have also used the assay in control groups and not published the results, perhaps assuming that there was laboratory error. However, the PERCH study used 7 different laboratories and found positive controls at every site.

We carefully examined the performance of the PCR assay on whole blood. We included all positive amplification curves up to the point of cut-off. By March 2007, we had also obtained positive results from 120 children who were alive at the time of PCR and did not have any other bacterial pathogens identified. We then expanded the assay to include children with severe or very severe pneumonia who were discharged from the hospital and had a digitalized chest X-ray (CXR) and standardized reporting according to WHO methods. A greater number of CXR results became available after March 2012 when a mobile CXR unit was procured.
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P values obtained by chi-square or Fisher’s exact test for difference in whole blood pneumococcal PCR positivity by characteristic within each subgroup. Bold indicates *P < .05.

Abbreviations: CXR, chest radiograph; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; NA, not applicable; NP, nasopharyngeal; NP/OP, nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; WB, whole blood.

*Pneumococcus isolated on blood culture specimen.

*Children who did not have cerebrospinal fluid collected. No other pathogens were identified on blood culture.

*Not severe or very severe pneumonia syndrome includes children with any other medical cause of admission, including other respiratory illnesses. NP/OP swabs and CXRs were not obtained from these children.

*Confirmed for another pathogen by culture of blood or cerebrospinal fluid.

*Respiratory viruses tested by multiplex PCR include respiratory syncytial virus A and B; paramyxoviruses 1, 2, 3, and 4; coronaviruses OC43, NL63, 229E, and HKU; human metapneumovirus A and B; human bocavirus; influenza viruses A, B, and C; parechovirus/enterovirus; cytomegalovirus; and adenovirus.

*CXR results were included where children with WHO severe or very severe pneumonia had a digitalized CXR and standardized reporting according to WHO methods. A greater number of CXR results became available after March 2012 when a mobile CXR unit was procured.*
to a cycle threshold value of 40 cycles. The lower limit of reliable detection was 100–500 copies/mL of whole blood. Because the median lytA concentration in blood was on the lower end of this range, at 180 copies/mL among controls and 280 copies/mL among nonconfirmed cases [26], we expect that there would have been more positives if the assay were more sensitive.

The PERCH study invested in laboratory quality assurance, with implementation of a standard operating procedure aimed at reducing the potential for intralaboratory contamination and an external quality assurance program. Positive samples from control subjects were a feature of all 7 laboratories testing samples in the PERCH project, and it seems very unlikely that false positives due to intralaboratory contamination could explain all of these data. Dagan and colleagues suggested that pneumococcal DNA may be detectable in the bloodstream of healthy individuals who do not develop clinical disease from pneumococci which directly invaded the blood from the nasopharynx or which entered the bloodstream phagocytosed by lymphoid cells [5]. Although others have suggested that the positives among healthy controls in that study may have been due to the nonspecificity of the lytA target gene, our findings confirm positivity in healthy individuals with the more specific lytA target gene.
disease. Blood pneumococcal PCR positivity among controls was higher among those with nasopharyngeal carriage (5.9% vs 3.7%; P = .02): perhaps pneumococci invade through the mucosa of the nasopharynx regularly enough to explain our findings among controls. We could be detecting killed organisms within phagocytes and pneumococci processed for presentation to the immune system by antigen-presenting cells. It may be that blood stream invasion is relatively common in children but is normally eliminated by the immune system. In this model, invasive disease would only occur in the rare event that the immune system was unable to contain the infection. The high pneumococcal PCR positivity among children confirmed for bacterial pathogens other than pneumococcus suggests that illness itself might predispose to pneumococcal invasion from the nasopharynx or that pneumococcus acts as a copathogen.

The pneumococcal PCR assay in blood is not 100% specific in the diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia in all populations and should not be used as a diagnostic assay for clinical care without careful examination of test parameters, population by population. For the PERCH project, setting a quantitative threshold for positivity may be beneficial in predicting pneumococcal pneumonia. More work is needed to examine what happens when pneumococci breach the nasopharyngeal mucosa, how often this occurs, and potential host differences in handling such invasion events between different human populations. Although it is important to understand the pathophysiology, the fact remains that pneumococcal PCR on blood specimens is nonspecific in the diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia in children in low- and middle-income countries.
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