Characteristics of Carbonate Formation from Concentrated Seawater Using CO₂ Chemical Absorption Methodology
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Abstract: Carbon capture and storage is a popular CO₂-reduction technology, and carbon capture and utilization (CCU) technology has been reported frequently over the years. However, CCU has certain disadvantages, including the requirement of high energy consumption processes such as mineral carbonation. In addition, stable metal sources are required to fix CO₂. This study used concentrated seawater to supply metal ions. In addition, the selected 5 wt % amine solution changed concentrated seawater to supply metal ions. In moderate conditions, precipitates were formed because of the reaction of carbonate radicals with metal ions in the seawater. These precipitates were analyzed by X-ray diffraction and field-emission scanning electron microscopy, and they were found to mostly consist of CaCO₃ and NaCl. Furthermore, it was verified that the conversion solution maintained its CO₂-loading capacity even after the solids and liquid were filtered twice. Therefore, the proposed method permits a substantial reuse of CO₂ and waste seawater when sufficient metal ions are supplied. Therefore, methods to improve their purity will be developed in future studies.
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1. Introduction

The emission of CO₂ as a greenhouse gas (GHG) has been increasing worldwide owing to the use of fossil fuels [1]. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), CO₂ is one of the major GHGs, along with CH₄, N₂O, HFC, PFC, and SF₆ [2,3]. CO₂ is generated by human activities, such as those in coal-fired power plants and steel and cement industries. Among these sources of emission, coal-fired power plants produce the highest amount of CO₂. Consequently, several researchers have been exploring methods to reduce CO₂ emissions from such industries. From the point of view of CO₂ capture, CO₂-reduction technologies consist of pre and post-combustion as well as oxy-fuel combustion [4]. Among these methods, carbon capture and storage (CCS) is the most popular post-combustion CO₂ reduction technology used in Korea [5]. CCS can be applied to industries that are responsible for a large amount of CO₂ emission such as coal-fired power plants [6]. For example, the Korea Electric Power Research Institute has developed 50 MW pilot plants that aim for the reduction of CO₂ emission.

However, CCS technology requires additional energy to separate the CO₂ absorbed in the solvent [7]. In general, the absorbed CO₂ is separated using heat and/or decompression [8,9]. More than 80% of the energy needed for CCS is used during this process [4]. Furthermore, a storage area is required for the captured CO₂ [9]. Some countries, including South Korea, do not have sufficient space to store the captured CO₂ [10]. In addition, even if such space can be secured, the stored CO₂ is not stable [4]. Generally, as mentioned, the separated CO₂ is stored in the ocean or underground [11]. Therefore, any changes to those areas, for example, an earthquake or a volcanic eruption, could lead to the stored CO₂...
being re-emitted into the atmosphere [5,12]. For these reasons, carbon storage in solids such as carbonate minerals is crucial [13].

Recently, carbon capture and utilization (CCU) has been reported to reduce and change the emitted CO\(_2\) into more stable materials. “Mineral carbonation” is a representative CCU technology. First suggested by Sefritz in the 1990s [14], this mechanism facilitates the generation of solid-state CO\(_2\). As expressed by Equations (1)–(4), 1 mol of bivalent metal ion reacts with 1 mol of aqueous CO\(_2\) ion as follows:

Net ionic equation of carbonation system in natural water [15]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \quad (1) \\
\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 & \leftrightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (2) \\
\text{HCO}_3^- & \leftrightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \quad (3) \\
\text{M}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} & \rightarrow \text{MCO}_3 \downarrow \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

In general, CO\(_2\) is converted into aqueous CO\(_2\) in water, in forms such as H\(_2\)CO\(_3\), HCO\(_3^-\), and CO\(_3^{2-}\). Thereafter, aqueous CO\(_2\) reacts with the metal ions present in the solution. In nature, this process is referred to as weathering and it is a slow reaction [16]. Through this process, the CO\(_2\) in the atmosphere is stored semi-permanently in solid materials.

However, the natural carbonation process has certain drawbacks. First, the conversion rate of CO\(_2\) into metal carbonate is low. To form the metal carbonate, the conversion rate of CO\(_2\) (into carbonate ions, CO\(_3^{2-}\)) must be sufficient to rapidly react with the metal sources. Owing to the low conversion rate, a long residence time is required to form metal carbonates through the natural carbonation process. This means that when this process is used in industries, large reactors are required. Second, a stable metal ion supplement is required. Even if the conversion rate of CO\(_2\) is sufficient to supply the metal ions, metal carbonates will be formed slowly if the concentration of metal ions is low. According to Park et al. [4,6], most of the converted CO\(_2\) in an amine solution can be used to form metal carbonates inasmuch as there are enough metal ions. Finally, the process requires high temperature and pressure [12,17]. In general, CO\(_2\) in the gaseous state requires high energy to react with metal ions which are in the solid state. According to the results of previous studies, a pressure higher than 20 bar and temperature higher than 210 °C are required to create an excited state for each ion [9]. Therefore, a higher conversion rate and stable metal ion supplementation must be achieved under low energy consumption conditions.

The purpose of this study is to explore the possibility of reusing wastewater by applying the CCU methodology. Generally, concentrated seawater (desalination seawater) has a high concentration of NaCl and metal ions. Therefore, it could be problematic to release it in the environment; however, its use could help solve environmental problems. To improve the conversion rate of CO\(_2\), monoethanolamine (MEA), di-ethanolamine (DEA), and methyl-diethanolamine (MDEA) were selected. In our previous studies, amine solutions facilitated an increase in the rate of CO\(_2\) conversion [4–6,16]. Various amine solutions such as MEA, di-ethanolamine (DEA), and methyl-di-ethanolamine (MDEA) have been used before [4,9,16]. Further, concentrated seawater is used to supply stable metal ions [18]. As is widely known, various positive ions (such as Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and Na\(^+\)) are dissolved in seawater. These positive ions react well with aqueous CO\(_2\). Thus, solid metal carbonates (e.g., MgCO\(_3\), CaCO\(_3\), etc.) are formed. Therefore, in this study, it was assumed that, facilitated by the amine solution and concentrated seawater, CO\(_2\) would rapidly change into metal carbonates. Based on these assumptions, the possibility of recovering valuable metals from seawater was combined with the potential for CO\(_2\) reduction.
2. Theory and Assumptions
2.1. CO$_2$ Conversion Using Amine Solution

Amine solutions can aid in improving the CO$_2$ conversion rate. Generally, an amine solution is used as a solvent in the CO$_2$ capture process. According to Hook [7], the CO$_2$ absorbed in an amine solution changes into aqueous CO$_2$ in forms such as carbamate, bicarbonate, and carbonate. In the CO$_2$ absorption process, aqueous CO$_2$ is indicated by the zwitterion state. The pH of the amine solution varies continuously as CO$_2$ is absorbed. The chemical reactions of the absorbed CO$_2$ in the amine solution are represented by Equations (5)–(9). The reactions depend on the changes in pH. This means that the pH values of the amine solutions increase and as they become more alkaline the absorbed CO$_2$ decreases. The composition of aqueous CO$_2$ varies based on these reactions. On the other hand, absorbed CO$_2$ can convert to metal carbonate when metal ions are supplied [6]. Thus, the amine solution is changed into a free amine state to absorb CO$_2$ again. For this technology to be applicable, it should be capable of not only separating the absorbed CO$_2$ but also recovering the valuable metals easily.

The dominant reactions of CO$_2$ with MEA [4,6,9] can be described as follows:

$$\text{RNH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{RNH}_3^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad (5)$$

$$\text{OH}^- + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- \quad (6)$$

$$2\text{RNH}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{RNH}_3^+ + \text{RNHCOO}^- \quad (7)$$

$$\text{RNHCOO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{RNH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HCO}_3^- \quad (8)$$

$$\text{RNH}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{RNH}_3^+ + \text{HCO}_3^- \quad (9)$$

As expressed in Equations (1)–(4) and (5)–(9), the absorbed CO$_2$ is converted into aqueous CO$_2$ in forms such as H$_2$CO$_3$, HCO$_3^-$, and CO$_3^{2-}$. These aqueous forms of CO$_2$ react with the artificial metal ions supplied as follows:

Examples of metal carbonate formation:

Carbamate:

$$\text{RNHCOO}^-\text{RNH}_3^+ + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{M}^{2+} \leftrightarrow 2\text{RNH}_3^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{MCO}_3\downarrow \quad (10)$$

Bicarbonate:

$$\text{RNH}_3^+\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{RNH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{M}^{2+} \leftrightarrow 2\text{RNH}_3^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{MCO}_3\downarrow \quad (11)$$

Carbonate:

$$2\text{RNH}_3^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{M}^{2+} \leftrightarrow 2\text{RNH}_3^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{MCO}_3\downarrow \quad (12)$$

The CO$_2$ absorbed in the amine solution is indicated by the zwitterion state [Equations (10)–(12)]. These ions lose their electrons/negative charge (in this case, aqueous CO$_2$) because of the metal sources. The bonding energy of the metal carbonate is higher than that of the aqueous CO$_2$ maintained using the amine solution. Therefore, aqueous CO$_2$ easily changes into metal carbonates. Furthermore, unlike the CO$_2$ separation process implemented in the CCS technology, this process does not use additional energy to produce a metal carbonate. Therefore, the recommended processes could lead to a novel CO$_2$ removal methodology and recover valuable metal ions from a multicomponent metal ion solution, which was concentrated seawater in this case. The role of concentrated seawater is explained in the following section.
2.2. CO₂ Mineralization with Concentrated Seawater

For the implementation of the CO₂ mineralization methodology, a source of stable metal ions is required. Earlier studies have employed artificial metal ion sources such as Ca(OH)₂, BaCl₂, and CaCl₂ [6,9,16]. The authors used simulated metal solutions with a metal ion concentration of 20 wt % with balanced water. That is, the concentration of the supplied metal ion was higher than the amount of absorbed CO₂ because the concentration of the latter was approximately 0.2–0.5 mol in the amine solution [4,9,16,19]. Therefore, most of the absorbed CO₂ in the amine solution was converted into metal carbonate. For this reason, we selected concentrated seawater, which, according to Dickson and Goyet [18], is widely known to dissolve various metal ions and thus, is a suitable source of metal ions. Specifically, Na, Mg, and Ca are the major dissolved metals of seawater at a salinity of 35 [20]. Thus, the dissolved metal ions can be recovered using aqueous CO₂. Although the CO₂ mineralization method can easily be used to form a metal carbonate, in our study, the general CO₂ mineralization method could not recover the metal ions from natural seawater because the concentration of dissolved metal ions was low. However, certain processes such as salt refining and desalination produce concentrated seawater. According to Wang and Li, concentrated seawater has the potential to supply stable metal ions and form metal carbonates [21]. Equations (13) and (14) prove that concentrated seawater can produce metal carbonates:

Formation of metal carbonate with aqueous CO₂ using concentrated seawater
Carbamate:
$$ \text{RNHCO}_2^-+\text{RNH}_3^++2\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{M}^{2+}+\text{NaCl}\rightarrow 2\text{RNH}_3^++\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{MCO}_3^{↓}+\text{NaCl}^{↓} \quad (13) $$

Bicarbonate:
$$ \text{RNH}_3^+\text{HCO}_3^-+\text{RNH}_2+\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{M}^{2+}+\text{NaCl}\rightarrow 2\text{RNH}_3^++\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{MCO}_3^{↓}+\text{NaCl}^{↓} \quad (14) $$

Carbonate:
$$ 2\text{RNH}_3^++\text{CO}_3^{2-}+\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{M}^{2+}+\text{NaCl}\rightarrow 2\text{RNH}_3^++\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{MCO}_3^{↓}+\text{NaCl}^{↓} \quad (15) $$

However, concentrated seawater is expected to form two types of major precipitates, NaCl and CaCO₃ [Equations (13)–(15)], because its major component is sodium. The solubility of NaCl in the solution decreases because CO₂ is absorbed into a solution of amine and concentrated seawater. Simultaneously, a CaCO₃ precipitate is formed with NaCl. According to Sun et al. [22], the formation of MgCO₃ is an exothermic reaction. This means that additional heat is required to produce MgCO₃ from the concentrated seawater, although the Mg ion is one of the major components of concentrated seawater. For this reason, the formation of MgCO₃ was not observed under the conditions of this study. The case of CaCO₃ is different. According to Romão et al. [23], CaCO₃ was formed to absorb heat because it is an endothermic reaction. In this study, we assume that MgCO₃ does not require additional energy to produce a metal carbonate. Therefore, concentrated seawater and amine solution could produce NaCl and CaCO₃ first. Considering the metal ion concentration and CO₂ mineralization reactions, concentrated seawater is an adequate metal supplement resource.

Furthermore, the amine solution used can reabsorb the emitted CO₂ under identical experimental conditions. As expressed by Equations (13)–(15), the amine solution loses the absorbed CO₂.

3. Materials and Methods

The flow schematic of the experiment is depicted in Figure 1. To verify the results of our study, we assumed that the concentration of CO₂ was 15 vol%. A mass flow controller was used to achieve a balance between N₂ gas (purity: 99%) and CO₂ gas (purity: 99%). We used a CO₂ analyzer (Sensor Lonic Co. Ltd., Korea) to regulate the gas flow rate to 1880 mL/min and 300 mL/min for N₂ and CO₂, respectively. Before the experiment, all
reactors were purged with N$_2$ (purity: 99.999%) to remove any trace gases. Finally, we performed an experiment in which none of the residue gases in any of the reactors were detected by the analyzer. The simulated gases (N$_2$ and CO$_2$) were mixed in a saturated reactor before they were introduced into the CO$_2$ conversion reactor made of Pyrex glass. The saturated reactor was filled with water, and its temperature was maintained at 30 °C. The temperature was controlled using a thermal water bath. From the saturated reactor, the simulated gas flowed into the conversion reactor after passing through a Teflon tube, where it was dispersed using a bubble diffuser. The conversion reactor was filled with 5 wt % MEA balanced water. The selected amine with purities higher than 99% was purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co. Ltd. The conversion solution volume was 400 mL. The venting gas was passed through the condenser, which was maintained at a temperature of less than −5 °C to maintain the concentration of the conversion solution by preventing vapor loss. Throughout these processes, the MEA concentration was kept constant at 5 wt %. We added concentrated seawater to the CO$_2$-saturated conversion solution when the first conversion reaction was completed. To recover the metal carbonate, concentrated seawater was used (Table 1), because it matched the total CO$_2$-loading values and the metal ion rate. In a previous study, Park et al. [16,24] reported that most of the converted CO$_2$ could form metal carbonates when the concentration of metal ions was adequate for the reaction. Thereafter, the CO$_2$-saturated solution (amine in this study) was mixed with concentrated seawater. Next, we used a magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm for 24 h. The precipitate was separated using filter paper with a pore size of 0.7 μm. The separated precipitate was dried in an oven at 105 °C to maintain the concentration of metal ions was adequate for the reaction. The CO$_2$ conversion solution was saturated by CO$_2$ when its concentration in the venting gas was 15 vol%, as indicated by a CO$_2$ analyzer. The venting gas was passed through the condenser, which was maintained at a temperature of less than −5 °C to maintain the concentration of the conversion solution by preventing vapor loss. Throughout these processes, the MEA concentration was kept constant at 5 wt %. We added concentrated seawater to the CO$_2$-saturated conversion solution when the first conversion reaction was completed. To recover the metal carbonate, concentrated seawater was used (Table 1), because it matched the total CO$_2$-loading values and the metal ion rate. In a previous study, Park et al. [16,24] reported that most of the converted CO$_2$ could form metal carbonates when the concentration of metal ions was adequate for the reaction. Thereafter, the CO$_2$-saturated solution (amine in this study) was mixed with concentrated seawater. Next, we used a magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm for 24 h. The precipitate was separated using filter paper with a pore size of 0.7 μm. The separated precipitate was dried in an oven at 105 °C for 24 h. The dried material was washed with water to remove soluble components such as NaCl. Finally, the residue solid was dried again in an oven. The dried separated solids were analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) to determine the composition at each step (first and second dried samples). Finally, we used a desorption process to check the amount of residual CO$_2$ in the solution (separated liquid). Only N$_2$ gas at 70 °C was used in the desorption experiment, and its flow rate was maintained at 1880 mL/min until the CO$_2$ analyzer indicated 0 vol%. The retention time was maintained for 30 min after it reached 0% according to the CO$_2$ analyzer. Finally, we performed the same experiment again to confirm that the separated conversion solution could be reused twice under identical experimental conditions.

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram and apparatus for experiment.](image-url)
Table 1. Components of concentrated seawater.

| Ions              | Natural Seawater Conc. (mg L⁻¹) | Concentrated Seawater Conc. (mg L⁻¹) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Chloride          | 19,336                          | 116,016                             |
| Sodium            | 10,752                          | 64,512                              |
| Magnesium         | 1317                            | 7902                                |
| Calcium           | 421                             | 2526                                |
| Potassium         | 442                             | 2652                                |
| Total ionic strength (I) | 0.642                           | 3.855                               |

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. CO₂ Loading and Conversion

Figure 2a–c present the CO₂-loading results of the three selected types of 5 wt % amine solutions. Considering the previously obtained results, the 5 wt % MEA solution was better than the 30 wt % MEA solution for operating the general process. A higher-concentration MEA solution required a longer reaction time than a lower-concentration one. This means that the operation time could be reduced by using a lower-concentration MEA solution [4,6,16]. As mentioned above, we used absorption and desorption in experiments twice under identical conditions. We used a 5 wt % amine conversion solution because it could adapt to the absorbed CO₂ and metal ion concentrations. According to Park et al. [9], a high concentration of amines is required along with a similar concentration of metal ions to produce a precipitate. Earlier, we explained the metal ion composition of seawater as proposed by the carbon mineralization theory. The amount of converted CO₂ in the conversion solution was higher than the amount of CO₂ used in the formation of metal carbonate. Therefore, the residual CO₂ in the solution can be re-emitted into the atmosphere or concentrate if it does not react with the metal ions. Consequently, a low concentration of the conversion solution was considered advantageous. Rapid CO₂ conversion was required to provide the metal ions needed to produce the metal carbonate, and the conversion solution could be rapidly reused because the total CO₂-loading capacity was lower than that of the high-concentration solution. The low concentration of the added metal ions could also be applied to the low-concentration conversion solution. This result indicated that the carbonate precipitate and conversion solution reuse cycle time would be reduced. According to Park et al. [4], a 5 wt % amine solution had a lower CO₂-loading capacity than a 30 wt % amine solution. Therefore, experiments were conducted using a 5 wt % amine solution to reduce the reaction time. We expect that this will help reduce the process scale.

![Figure 2](image_url)

As illustrated in Figure 2, we were able to determine the amount of converted CO₂.
The first and second steps were absorption and desorption, respectively. These results were similar to those obtained by other researchers. According to Park et al. [6,24], the general CO₂-loading value in the absorbent increased when the emitted CO₂ flowed into the absorbent. The desorption process also re-emitted the absorbed CO₂ into the atmosphere by heat (70 °C), and only N₂ gas was used. Furthermore, Kang et al. [19] reported that the CO₂-loading capacities of the 5 wt % amine solution for MEA, DEA, and MDEA were 0.1545, 0.0955, and 0.0761 mol of CO₂, respectively. They reported that the CO₂-loading capacities decreased when identical experimental conditions were used to check for changes (MEA 0.1357, DEA 0.0911, and MDEA 0.0848 mol of CO₂) [19]. This result indicates that the added artificial metal sources could be attributed to a decrease in the CO₂-loading capacity because CaO was used to fix the converted CO₂ in the amine solution. According to Park et al. [24], this was caused by zwitterion complexes as they produce various types of aqueous CO₂ (HCO₃⁻, H₂CO₃, CO₃²⁻). In particular, the CO₂-loading capacity of the 30 wt % amine solution was not three times greater than that of the 5 wt % solution because it was caused by different amine molecular weights [19]. Considering the results obtained by other researchers, the CO₂ conversion results (absorption) obtained in this study also indicated a tendency similar to that of typical amines (Table 2).

Table 2. Results of CO₂ loading of amines selected for the present study.

|        | a First Absorption (mol of CO₂) | b Second Absorption (mol of CO₂) | c Difference Values (mol of CO₂) |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| MEA    | 0.1988                          | 0.0532                          | −0.1456                         |
| DEA    | 0.1089                          | 0.0409                          | −0.068                          |
| MDEA   | 0.0821                          | 0.0289                          | −0.0532                         |

This table shows the decreased CO₂ loading capacity when we used the (simulated) concentrated seawater. c Difference values (mol of CO₂) = b Second absorption (mol of CO₂) − a First absorption (mol of CO₂).

However, the amount of emitted CO₂ in the desorption process was not the same as the amount of absorbed CO₂ in the typical desorption process reported by other researchers. This result was attributed to the presence of other positive and negative ions in the seawater [20], generated by the absorbed CO₂ (aqueous CO₂), that reacted with the metal sources present in the seawater. As previously stated, various positive ions are dissolved in seawater. Among these, sodium chloride (NaCl) was the major component. We were not sure whether the highly concentrated NaCl would have a decisive effect on the CO₂ loading. In addition, we assumed that the formation of the metal carbonate would incorporate aqueous CO₂ with metal sources from the seawater. As mentioned earlier, we used artificial concentrated seawater. Therefore, several ions were involved in the reaction, and the concentration of NaCl in our study was greater than that in normal seawater. Therefore, we hypothesized that this would cause an interference response. Hence, the reaction generated a precipitate that included aqueous CO₂ in forms such as carbamate, bicarbonate, or carbonate, and similar curves were observed for each amine. In the case of MEA, there was a larger amount of converted aqueous CO₂ compared to the cases of other amines because the CO₂-loading time was longer.

In addition, the reabsorption test indicated a relative decrease in the CO₂-loading time (Figure 2). The absorption time for MDEA was shorter than that for DEA. A small amount of amine was removed from the precipitate and liquid during the separation process. However, we believe that this technique has the potential to decrease the CO₂ loading owing to the presence of other ions in the seawater when we consider the results of a previous study by Park et al. [4,9]. They assumed that the decrease in CO₂ loading was caused by the separation process. The results of their second step decreased by approximately 0.02 mol-CO₂/mol of amine. From this point of view, the large number of ions in concentrated seawater was detrimental to the reuse of the conversion solution. Kang et al. [19] indicated that the amount of absorbed CO₂ in the MEA solution was higher than that in the DEA and MDEA solutions under identical absorption time conditions. Regarding the conversion of CO₂, we assume that the CO₂ loading time is not significant
because we also assume that there is a possibility of CO\textsubscript{2} fixation when concentrated seawater is used. Other researchers have claimed that metal ion concentration is more significant when compared with the CO\textsubscript{2}-loading capacity [5,16,24]. This result indicates that the amount of metal carbonate formed depended on the amount of added metal ions to fix the CO\textsubscript{2}, and this was confirmed by our results. Therefore, the desorption curves obtained in our study were different from those obtained in other studies when we used the desorption process to check the amount of residual CO\textsubscript{2} in the amine solution. Further details are presented in the following section.

4.2. Verification of Precipitate Formation

We conducted a metal carbonate (precipitation) experiment after the CO\textsubscript{2} conversion step in the amine solution was. From the results of this experiment, we confirmed that the solution rapidly changed to opaque when the concentrated seawater was added to the CO\textsubscript{2}-saturated amine solution. We assumed that this was due to the formation of solids (metal precipitate) because the concentrated seawater was perfectly transparent. To verify the formation of precipitates, the opaque solution was separated into solids and liquids using a vacuum pump. The separated precipitates were measured by weight at each step of the experiment after the first and second reactions. In the first precipitation experiment, the weights of MEA, DEA, and MDEA precipitates were 25.8, 15.4, and 16.2 g, respectively (Table 3). In the second, their weights were 132.4, 109.1, and 89.3 g, respectively (Table 3).

Thus, it was concluded that the concentrated seawater provided enough positive ions to rapidly cause CO\textsubscript{2} precipitation.

Table 3. Amount of precipitates formed in each step and for each solution.

| Formed Precipitates | MEA | DEA | MDEA |
|---------------------|-----|-----|------|
|                     | Theo. Cal. | Act. Gen. | Theo. Cal. | Act. Gen. | Theo. Cal. | Act. Gen. |
| NaCl                | 64.51 | 124.97 | 20.7 | 127.6 | 64.51 | 126.99 | 10.4 | 104.3 | 64.51 | 126.75 | 11.6 | 84.6 |
| CaCO\textsubscript{3} | 1.26 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 1.26 | 1.52 | 5 | 4.8 | 1.26 | 1.61 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| MgCO\textsubscript{3} | 3.95 | 7.9 | - | - | 3.95 | 7.9 | - | - | 3.95 | 7.9 |
| Total               | 69.72 | 134.4 | 25.8 | 132.4 | 69.72 | 136.41 | 15.4 | 109.1 | 69.72 | 136.26 | 16.2 | 89.3 |
| Eff. (%)            | 80.6 | 63.9 | 79.3 | 63 | 72.9 | 58.6 |

Unit of formed precipitates = g. 1st Theo. Cal.: (2526 mol-Ca conc. in concentrated seawater/L × 1 L/1000 mL)/100.0869 g/mol. 2nd Theo. Cal.: (X mol-residue Ca conc. after 1st reaction/L × 1 L/1000 mL)/100.0869 g/mol. Efficiency (%): (Actual generation (Act. Gen.)/Theoretical calculation (Theo. Cal.)) × 100.

We believe that these results have significant implications. Considering the weights, more precipitate was formed in the second step than in the first one, because the second experiment used the same concentrated seawater after the first experiment. That is, the second experiment used the separated solution from the first experiment. Therefore, some of the unreacted ions in the separated solution (first experiment) were assumed to participate in the formation of the second precipitate. From Tables 1–3, we were able to calculate the amount of precipitate theoretically. However, the actual amount generated differed from the theoretical calculation. Our previous studies used only singular chemicals such as Ca, Mg, and Ba to produce metal carbonates [4,5,9,16,24]. These cases were more suitable for calculating the expected precipitates than the present case. Previous studies considered only one reaction, in which the amount of CO\textsubscript{2} dissolved in the solution and the singular chemical concentration were considered. However, in the present study, we must consider several variables because of the multi-ion solution used. A more detailed explanation of this phenomenon and its implications are discussed in Section 4.4.
XRD was used to verify the composition of the precipitate, and NaCl was found to be the major component. The used seawater had a higher concentration than natural seawater. Thus, the solubility of the total number of ions exceeded this limit.

Figures 3a and 4a,b, present similar results. The other precipitates could not be distinguished well because the concentration of NaCl in the major precipitate was very high. Ca\(^{2+}\) metal ions existed in the seawater, but their concentrations were relatively low when compared with those of NaCl. However, a certain amount of CaCO\(_3\) was formed on the reaction of aqueous CO\(_2\) and dissolved Ca ions in the seawater. We considered how this result could be verified with the precipitate. As previously stated, the aim of this study is to verify the potential of applying seawater and CO\(_2\) conversion solutions. We focused on removing the major precipitate, NaCl, which dissolves easily in water. Therefore, we dissolved the precipitate in water to examine the metal carbonates. An insoluble solid is formed by the metal carbonates depicted in Figures 3b and 5. Most of the precipitates consisted of CaCO\(_3\), for example, vaterite, aragonite, and calcite. Although there were several positive ions in seawater, the major carbonate was CaCO\(_3\) because its formation requires heat. This means that the formation of CaCO\(_3\) is an endothermic reaction [25,26]. In addition, the amount of MgCO\(_3\) formed is generally lower than that of CaCO\(_3\) because the enthalpy of CaCO\(_3\) is lower than that of MgCO\(_3\) [27]. However, the results obtained in this study indicate otherwise. As mentioned previously, additional heat is required to form MgCO\(_3\). According to Fricker et al. [28], the advantage of high temperature is that MgCO\(_3\) can be produced. On the other hand, the formation of CaCO\(_3\) is an exothermic reaction [26]. Furthermore, MgCO\(_3\) does not form well when Ca and Mg ions are mixed. In particular, the concentration of Mg ions was higher than that of Ca ions [29]. Considering the results obtained by other researchers, we can conclude that most of the precipitate was CaCO\(_3\). For this reason, the formation of MgCO\(_3\) was not observed under the conditions of this study.

**Figure 3.** XRD results for precipitate formed in 5 wt % MEA solution with simulated seawater: (a) unwashed precipitate and (b) washed precipitate. (N: NaCl, C: calcite, V: vaterite, A: aragonite).
can conclude that most of the precipitate was information of CaCO$_3$ formation. Therefore, the second CO$_2$ with the absorbed CO$_2$ not dissolve precipitate was formed, especially NaCl. This indicated that the residual metal ions were MDEA solution with concentrated seawater CO$_2$ formed sodium chloride concentration was higher than that of the other precipitates: (Equation 16)

$$\text{Ca}^{2+} \text{concentration in seawater} \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}}\right) \times \frac{\text{mol}}{40 \text{ g}} \times \frac{g}{1000 \text{ mg}} \times 1 \text{ L} = 0.6315 \text{ mol of Ca}^{2+}$$

The formation of metal carbonate using seawater at 25 °C can be expressed as follows: Sodium chloride:

$$\text{Na}^+ + \text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{NaCl} \quad \Delta G^o = -384 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

(17)

Calcium carbonate:

$$\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 \quad \Delta G^o = -1128 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

(18)

Magnesium carbonate:

$$\text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{MgCO}_3 \quad \Delta G^o = -1012 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

(19)

Potassium carbonate:

$$2\text{K}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \quad \Delta G^o = -1064 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

(20)
This can be explained by examining the thermodynamic properties. The Gibb’s free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$) for CaCO$_3$ [−1128 kJ/mol] was lower than that of other compounds. Equations (16)–(20) show the $\Delta G^\circ$ values of the other precipitate components of the representative metal ions in seawater. The precipitate was dissolved in water, and a small amount of NaCl was present in the residue. However, we believe that our proposed method has potential for CO$_2$ fixation with seawater because the amount of CO$_2$ converted in the selected amines was very small (Table 2), and the concentration of the added calcium ions in the seawater was 0.6315 mol (Equation (16)). Therefore, most of the converted CO$_2$ in the amine solution formed a metal carbonate. In particular, the amount of converted CO$_2$ was lower than that of the added calcium ions. Therefore, a large amount of the second precipitate was formed, especially NaCl. This indicated that the residual metal ions were not dissolved until the second absorption experiment. Therefore, they could react directly with the absorbed CO$_2$. Consequently, the total amount of the second precipitate was higher than that of the first precipitates. Therefore, the second CO$_2$ absorption capacity also decreased because the CO$_2$ analyzer checked the gas vented after it was passed through the absorption reactor. Considering Equations (17)–(20), the value of $\Delta G^\circ$ for calcium carbonate was lower than that for the other compounds. This result indicated that calcium carbonate formed more easily than other metal carbonates when aqueous CO$_2$ reacted with the metal ions.

### 4.3. Characteristics of Precipitates and Its Morphology

In this study, we explored the possibility of recovering useful materials and reducing CO$_2$ using concentrated seawater. Figures 5–7 present the results of scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). As depicted in Figure 5a,b, most of the formed NaCl was removed. Figure 6 shows the CaCO$_3$ formed when DEA and MDEA solutions are used. The results obtained are close to those obtained in the MEA case. This means that soluble materials can easily be removed and reused in various industries. Although with concentrated seawater we did not use the NaCl dissolution step for the DEA and MDEA, we could assume that similar results would be obtained for NaCl dissolved in water. Consequently, we confirmed that the fixation of CO$_2$ with seawater is possible. In addition, from an environmental point of view, concentrated seawater can be used after desalination. However, this phenomenon needs to be thoroughly investigated. The major limitation was the purity of the precipitate because the major components were CaCO$_3$ and NaCl. Through the first precipitates (CaCO$_3$, NaCl) formation, trace metal ions could remain in the solution, such as Li, Nd, and In. Moreover, the precipitate has potential value for reuse as a construction material or in DeSOx processes; this potential should be explored further [30,31]. However, the purity of the precipitate was too low for effective reuse and needs to be improved for use in other industries [13]. To improve the purity, additional research is needed.

![SEM image of carbonate in 5 wt % DEA and MDEA solution](image)

**Figure 6.** SEM image of carbonate in 5 wt % DEA and MDEA solution: (a) DEA solution and (b) MDEA solution. (N: NaCl, C: calcite).
Figure 7. SEM image of first carbonate in 5 wt % MEA, DEA, and MDEA solutions: (a) formed precipitates in MEA solution, (b) formed precipitates DEA solution, and (c) formed precipitates MDEA solution.

4.4. Relation of Ionic Strength and Precipitates at Each Step

To analyze the mechanism of formation of precipitates, we assumed that pH changes and ionic strength ($I$) were significant factors. Therefore, we checked the pH changes when CO$_2$ was dissolved in each amine solution. Table 4 presents the pH values at each step of the experiment. The initial pH values for the first absorption were: 11.48 for MEA, 10.92 for DEA, and 11.05 for MDEA. These values decreased to 7.84, 7.82, and 7.68, respectively, when each of the amine solutions was saturated by CO$_2$. These values increased when concentrated seawater was added to each solution and desorption was carried out. Finally, the pH values measured in the second experiment were lower than those measured in the first step. Nevertheless, these values decreased, and the final pH values were close to those measured in the first conversion and desorption experiments. In our study, we assumed that the variation in pH did not have a significant effect because the amounts of CaCO$_3$ measured in the first and second precipitates were similar. In our previous studies, pH values were not a significant factor for generating metal carbonate [16]. We used amine solutions to convert aqueous CO$_2$ into metal ions earlier as well. Thus, the pH values varied continuously as the experimental conditions changed. Additionally, the amounts of precipitate obtained at each step were similar. Consequently, we attempted to identify the factors affecting the CO$_2$ fixation with metal ions.

| Solution | 1st Absorption | 1st Conversion and Desorption | 2nd Absorption | 2nd Conversion and Desorption |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
|          | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| MEA      | 11.48  | 7.84  | 7.74   | 8.48  | 6.8   | 7.38  | 7.83   | 6.62  | 7.35  |
| DEA      | 10.92  | 7.72  | 7.49   | 8.1   | 6.61  | 7.38  | 7.83   | 6.62  | 7.35  |
| MDEA     | 11.05  | 7.68  | 7.81   | 7.83  | 6.62  | 7.38  | 7.83   | 6.62  | 7.35  |

Table 4. pH changes at each step of the experiment for each amine solution.

We expected that ionic strength would be a significant factor in determining the precipitate rather than the pH values. Nevertheless, pH values are related to ionic strength, and concentrated seawater has high ionic strength.

Ionic strength ($I = \frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{c_i z_i^2}{L}$),

where $c_i$ is the molar concentration of ion $i$ (M, mol/L) and $z_i$ is the charge on that ion.

As presented in Table 4, the ionic strength of the first CO$_2$ conversion and precipitation experiment was lower than that of the second experiment, in which the separated solution obtained from the first experiment was used. Thus, the second experiment yielded high ionic strength values. Therefore, the significant factor is the overall ionic strength value. In both experiments, the ionic strength was approximately doubled. However, the total of precipitates increased at least by a factor of six (Table 3). Generally, ionic strength is well-known to be related to ion activity. Furthermore, ion activity is affected by ionic strength. In general, ion activity is equal to 1, but it could decrease by more than 1 when
the ionic strength increased. In this study, the ionic strength was found to be high in all experiments. Thus, we conclude that the ion activity is lower than 1. This implies that the degree of dissociation dramatically increases because the various metal ions are dissolved in concentrated seawater. For these reasons, the precipitates obtained in the second experiment decreased when compared with those in the first experiment. Table 3 indicates that the amount of CaCO$_3$ formed in each solution in the first experiment was higher than that in the second step. According to Lee et al. [32], the temperature and ionic strength are closely related to the saturation. In their study, the precipitate formed faster and with a higher degree of saturation [33]. However, in our case, the amount of NaCl formed in the second experiment was larger than that in the first experiment. We assumed that this was caused by its solubility—1 g/2.8 mL in water [34]. Considering its solubility and degree of dissociation, it can be inferred that NaCl could not be formed under our experimental conditions. However, it was formed at each step and for each solution. In particular, a very large amount was formed in the second experiment. This implies that the solubility of NaCl is significantly predominant over the physicochemical reaction; nevertheless, the chemical reaction (degree of dissociation) is activated by increasing the general ion activity. Consequently, we conclude that solubility becomes significant when a multicomponent solution is used to generate a metal carbonate or to separate some target material.

5. Conclusions

The aim of this study was to convert the CO$_2$ emitted from industries into metal carbonate using concentrated seawater by applying the rapid carbonate method. Using these processes, waste seawater can be recycled to recover metal carbonates and fix CO$_2$. To accomplish our objective, we selected certain amines to rapidly convert CO$_2$ into aqueous CO$_2$, and concentrated seawater to supply the positive ions. The selected amines were sufficiently qualified for the purpose of improving the CO$_2$ conversion rate. From our experiments we concluded that low-energy consumption methods (under mild conditions, 30 °C, 1 bar) demonstrated reasonable potential for CO$_2$ removal, fixation, and utilization, with concurrent metal recovery from concentrated seawater. Consequently, in the future, we plan to improve the selectivity during separation considering real waste-concentrated seawater. We expect that future research will lead to the production of high-purity carbonates that can be used in chemical industries.
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