Does Extended Use of Clopidogrel-Based Dual Anti-Platelet Therapy Increase the Risk of Gastrointestinal Bleeding?
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Abstract

Background: Clopidogrel-based dual anti-platelet therapy (CDAPT) has shown significant benefits in the management of coronary artery disease (CAD), up to 1-year duration. Gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) is one of the limiting factors for prolonged use of CDAPT.

Methods: We identified all patients taking CDAPT from our ambulatory clinics. Demographic, clinical, laboratory and pharmacological data were abstracted. American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines were used to determine the duration of CDAPT therapy. The study population was divided into two groups based on the duration of therapy. Individuals who received CDAPT more than 12 months were deemed as extended use.

Results: A total of 351 patients with CAD were taking CDAPT. Majority of patients (276/351, 79%) were taking CDAPT beyond 1 year. There were no differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups. There was no significant difference in the incidence of GIB between the two groups. However, in subgroup analysis, there was a significant difference in the incidence of GIB in men. Men who were taking CDAPT beyond 12 months had almost three times higher incidence of GIB compared to those who were taking less than 12 months (25% vs. 8%, P = 0.04). The excess GIB in men prevailed despite adjusting for non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or direct oral anticoagulant (DOAC) use.

Conclusions: We found that a majority of patients were taking CDAPT beyond the recommended duration. We observed that men taking CDAPT for an extended period had a three times higher incidence of GIB. It would be reasonable for physicians to be aware of the higher risk of GIB in men and carefully assess the risks and benefits of extended use of CDAPT.
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Introduction

Clopidogrel-based dual antiplatelet therapy (CDAPT) comprising of aspirin and a P2Y12 inhibitor (clopidogrel) has been recommended by American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) for patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) presenting with acute coronary syndrome (ACS). The recommended duration of CDAPT use is at least 12 months in patients with or without revascularization (percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG)) [1-10]. The recommendations regarding the extended use of CDAPT beyond 1 year are not well-documented. The decision regarding continued use beyond the recommended period varies from individual to individual, based on risks versus benefits and at the discretion of the cardiologist.

Multiple studies have shown the benefits of CDAPT therapy beyond 1 year after coronary stenting in reducing the major cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events as well as stent thrombosis [11]. Additionally, the prolonged use of CDAPT in these patients also elevates the risk of bleeding (including intracranial hemorrhage and gastrointestinal hemorrhage) and related adverse outcomes [11, 12].

Gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) is a significant complication associated with CDAPT use in patients with CAD [13] that has been well established by multiple randomized controlled trials [1, 14-16]. A significant episode of GIB in such patients might pose a clinical dilemma for the physicians prompting the discontinuation of the CDAPT risking the occurrence of ischemic events. However, the continued use of CDAPT for an extended period in patients with stable ischemic heart disease exposes them to the development of adverse events such as GIB which can be fatal in certain cases [17].

With our study, we aim to evaluate the risks of significant GIB in association with extended use of CDAPT, and the need to carefully review the indications of the use beyond the recommended period to avoid dreadful complications.

Materials and Methods

Institutional Review Board Approval was obtained for this
study, and it was conducted in compliance with the ethical standards of the responsible institution on human subjects as well as with the Helsinki Declaration and institutional ethical guidelines for the care.

We conducted a retrospective observational study at our institution between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015. We identified all patients taking CDAPT from the electronic medical records (EMRs) at our ambulatory clinics during this period. The demographic, clinical, laboratory and pharmacological data were abstracted from the EMRs. Patients who had developed GIB while on CDAPT were identified using the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9/10 codes. We used ACC/AHA guidelines to determine the duration of therapy indicated for each patient.

The study population was divided into two main groups based on the duration of therapy: recommended (CDAPT use for 1 year) versus extended group (patients who received CDAPT for more than 12 months were deemed as extended use). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or direct oral anticoagulant (DOAC) use was also recorded. We compared the incidence of GIB episodes between these two groups. Subgroup analysis within each group was also performed and the results were compared. The data were analyzed using standard statistical methods and JMP 13 software.

### Results

A total of 351 patients with CAD were confirmed to be taking CDAPT. Seventy-five out of 351 (21%) were noted to have been taking the therapy for the recommended duration, while the majority of the patients (i.e. 276 out of 351, 79%) were taking CDAPT beyond 1 year without a clear indication. There were no differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups (Table 1). We found no significant difference in the incidence of GIB between these two groups. The incidence of GIB in the recommended duration group was 16% versus 22% in the extended use group (P = 0.26).

### Table 1. Comparison of the Demographic Data of the Two Groups

| Demographic feature | Standard use of CDAPT (n = 75) | Extended use of CDAPT (n = 276) | P value |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Gender              |                               |                               |         |
| Male                | 37 (49%)                      | 140 (51%)                     | 0.79    |
| Female              | 38 (51%)                      | 136 (49%)                     | 0.79    |
| Mean age            | 63                            | 65                            | 0.16    |
| Use of NSAID/DOAC/VKA | 1 (1.5%)                     | 13 (5%)                       | 0.2     |

NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; DOAC: direct oral anticoagulant; VKA: vitamin K antagonists.

### Table 2. Incidence of GIB

|                          | GIB in extended use of dual-antiplatelet therapy | GIB in recommended use of dual-antiplatelet therapy | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Total population (%)     | 22                                            | 16                                                | 0.26    |
| Male (%)                 | 25                                            | 8                                                 | 0.04    |
| Female (%)               | 20                                            | 24                                                | 0.65    |

GIB: gastrointestinal bleeding.

### Figure 1. Incidence of GIB in the subgroups. GIB: gastrointestinal bleeding.
Interestingly, in subgroup analysis, there was a significant difference in the incidence of GIB in men (Table 2, Fig. 1), who were taking CDAPT beyond 12 months. We noticed a three times higher incidence of GIB in men taking CDAPT for prolonged time compared to those who were taking CDAPT for a recommended period of 12 months (25% vs. 8%, P = 0.04). However, there was no difference in the incidence of GIB in women irrespective of the duration of the CDAPT treatment (20% vs. 24%, P = 0.65).

Discussion

Addition of antiplatelets to aspirin has been shown to significantly increase the risk of GIB as compared to aspirin alone [18, 19]. Multiple studies have confirmed the increased incidence of GIB in patients receiving aspirin and other antiplatelet agents for myocardial infarction (MI)/ACS, the use of CDAPT being the most important predictor [3, 15, 16]. The Valsartan in Acute Myocardial Infarction (VALIANT) trial studied multiple risk factors associated with increased GIB in patient post MI. Among other risk factors such as older age, comorbidities, alcohol use, non-white race, anticoagulant use, renal disease, male gender (similar to our study), the use of DAPT was the most important predictor of GIB [16]. The extended use of DAPT beyond 1 year after placement of drug eluting stent (DES) has also been shown to decrease ischemic events; however, it was associated with increased risk of bleeding [11]. As per literature review, the GIB in patients on CDAPT is mostly from upper GI tract and there is not enough data on the protective role of prophylactic use of proton pump inhibitor (PPI) [18, 20].

In our study we found that a majority of patients were taking CDAPT beyond the recommended duration. This is not consistent with the current guidelines and may vary from case to case based on the risk factors and the physicians’ decision weighing the risks versus benefits. We found that even though there was no difference in GIB between the two groups, men taking CDAPT for an extended duration had a three times higher incidence of GIB as compared to their female counterparts. This differential risk of bleeding based on gender is unclear but is similar to a previous study that found male gender to be a risk factor for GIB in patients on DAPT [16].

We understand the limitations of our study and the need for further studies to identify the unique risk of GIB in men taking CDAPT for an extended duration beyond the usual recommended time. However, it would be reasonable for physicians to be aware of the higher risk of GIB in men and carefully assess the risks and benefits of extended use of CDAPT particularly in high risk patients.

Conclusions

We conclude that men taking CDAPT for CAD and ACS are at higher risk for GIB than women. Further studies would be needed to identify the unique risk of GIB in men taking CDAPT. However, cautious use of extended duration use of CDAPT could improve outcomes.
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