Commentary

Prevalence and Therapeutic Challenges of Fungal Drug Resistance: Role for Plants in Drug Discovery

Lewis Marquez 1 and Cassandra L. Quave 2,*

1 Molecular and Systems Pharmacology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA; lewis.marquez@emory.edu
2 Department of Dermatology and Center for the Study of Human Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA
* Correspondence: cquave@emory.edu; Tel.: +1-404-727-7065

Received: 31 January 2020; Accepted: 25 March 2020; Published: 31 March 2020

Abstract: Antimicrobial resistance is a global issue that threatens the effective practice of modern medicine and global health. The emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) fungal strains of Candida auris and azole-resistant Aspergillus fumigatus were highlighted in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) 2019 report, Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States. Conventional antifungals used to treat fungal infections are no longer as effective, leading to increased mortality. Compounding this issue, there are very few new antifungals currently in development. Plants from traditional medicine represent one possible research path to addressing the issue of MDR fungal pathogens. In this commentary piece, we discuss how medical ethnobotany—the study of how people use plants in medicine—can be used as a guide to identify plant species for the discovery and development of novel antifungal therapies.
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1. Introduction

Drug resistance among fungal pathogens is continuing to develop into an increasingly serious threat to public health and health-care systems worldwide. No longer susceptible to conventional antifungal drugs, these multidrug-resistant fungal pathogens make the development of novel antifungals a public health imperative. Using the traditional knowledge of plants as medicines, or ethnobotanical knowledge, we can incorporate medically relevant plant species into the drug discovery process. This is because as far back as historical and archaeological records show, humans have used plants in the treatment of myriad diseases and ailments. The ethnobotanical knowledge found within each country and culture is a source of medical insight that has been largely overlooked by the conventional pharmaceutical industry; this knowledge can be used to address the issue of drug-resistant microbes.

2. New Emerging Fungal Threats

The especially hardy and persistent fungal pathogen Candida auris was one of the newly emerging urgent threats highlighted by the CDC’s 2019 report Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States [1]. Discovered in 2009, C. auris has been responsible for outbreaks in health-care facilities worldwide [2–7]. There was a 318% increase in the number of reported C. auris infections in 2018 compared to rates from 2015–2017 [1]. Multiple studies examining C. auris infections from hospitals in India, Colombia, and New York found that 58–76% of these lead to invasive blood stream infections [2,8,9].

With more than 34,000 cases and 1700 deaths annually, drug-resistant Candida species (such as C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. parapsilosis, C. tropicalis, C. krusei) were highlighted by the CDC’s 2019 report
as a serious threat [1]. Their designation as a serious threat is in part due to the high likelihood of inherent and acquired resistance among the related *Candida* spp. For example, *C. krusei* was found to have intrinsic resistance to fluconazole as far back as 1989 [10]. Acquired resistance can also develop after antifungal exposure, and troubling cases of cross-resistance to the azoles and echinocandins have been observed among *Candida* spp., highlighting the real threat posed by drug resistance.

Another emerging fungal threat that was placed on the CDC’s watch list is *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Development of drug resistance in this species has been linked to environmental fungicide exposure and this pathogen is now resistant to fluconazole [11,12]. The development of multidrug resistance in this species raises cause for future concerns.

*Cryptococcus neoformans* is another deadly fungal pathogen and, while infection is rare in healthy individuals, there are roughly 220,000 cases of cryptococcal meningitis in immunocompromised individuals worldwide and 181,000 deaths a year [13]. There has been an uptick in incidences of increased drug resistance seen in clinical strains of *C. neoformans*, which may exacerbate the already high mortality rate [14].

Absent from the CDC’s 2019 report is any mention of dermatophytes. These are fungal pathogens that cause superficial infections of the skin, hair, and nails. While dermatophytes do not often cause life-threatening infections, their prevalence among the population has been estimated at nearly one billion cases globally [15]. A study examining trends in dermatophyte infections in Poland from 2011 to 2016 found that 1600 patients, nearly 15% of all patients examined, presented with a form of a superficial fungal infection [16]. Cultures from these patients identified nearly 1800 different strains, of which more than 900 were dermatophytes. A separate study examining antifungal consumption rates in Belgium found that superficial fungal infections caused by dermatophytes accounted for nearly 25% of the disease burden as measured by disability-adjusted life years, or years of life lost to illness [17].

The prevalence of drug resistance among fungal pathogens is becoming increasingly common and is poised to emerge as a public health crisis in the near future. Tackling the issue of antifungal resistance will be difficult using the current set of chemical tools. There is an immediate need for the discovery and development of new drugs that display broad-spectrum activity and can effectively target drug-resistant fungal strains.

### 3. Antifungal Drugs

#### 3.1. Challenges Facing Current Antifungals

Antifungal drugs produce their effect by exploiting differences between human and fungal cells at two major targets, the cell membrane and the fungal cell wall. Three major classes of antifungals are typically prescribed: polyenes, azoles, and echinocandins. Amphotericin B and other polyenes produce pores in the fungal cell membrane leading to cell death [18]. Fluconazole and other azoles inhibit C14-α-sterol demethylase and destabilize the structural integrity of the fungal cell membrane [18]. Caspofungin and other echinocandins disrupt fungal cell wall synthesis by inhibiting the synthesis of β-1,3-D-glucan [18].

The major challenge facing antifungals is the development of drug resistance. Drug resistance in *C. auris* is a pertinent example of this problem. One study from 2017 reported that 93% of *C. auris* isolates analyzed displayed resistance to the azole fluconazole [19]. *C. auris* developed resistance to fluconazole through point mutations and increases in copy numbers of the gene *ERG11*, which codes for 14α-α-lanosterol demethylase in the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway [20]. Point mutations within *ERG11* at F126L, Y132F, and K143R are known to reduce azole susceptibility in *C. auris* [21]. Residues F126 and Y132 are within the binding site of *ERG11* and it is believed that mutations here directly reduce the binding affinity of azoles to *ERG11*. This same study found that 35% of *C. auris* isolates also displayed resistance to amphotericin B from the polyene class of antifungals [19]. Mutations within *ERG3*, another gene involved in ergosterol biosynthesis, are thought to confer resistance to amphotericin B. These mutations promote the accumulation of alternative sterols in the fungal membrane that resists the
action of amphotericin B [22]. While resistance to echinocandins was rare and seen in only 7% of clinical isolates of C. auris, it may be the beginning of a troubling trend [19]. Caspofungin and other echinocandins inhibit β-1,3-D-glucan synthase, a critical enzyme in fungal cell wall biosynthesis [23]. Mutations at S639F in FKS1, a gene that encodes glucan synthase, impart echinocandin resistance in C. auris [24]. These specific resistances are in addition to the overexpression of gene orthologs from similar Candida spp. coding for major facilitator superfamily transporters and ATP transporters that are believed to reduce the efficacy of all major classes of antifungals [25–27]. The high rates of drug resistance, coupled with delays in properly identifying C. auris, and the immunocompromised populations commonly infected by it, are major obstacles in effectively treating C. auris infections. These factors may explain the high mortality rate of 35–60% seen in patients [9,28,29].

Widespread use of antifungals has contributed to increased rates of resistance by fungal pathogens. One major example of this phenomenon can be seen among the azoles. Azoles have been widely used in agriculture since the 1960s and have been favored for their broad-spectrum activity and low cost. Environmental exposure through agricultural use is one route through which fungal pathogens can develop resistance. Researchers have successfully cultivated isolates of triazole-resistant A. fumigatus from shipments of tulip bulbs originating from the Netherlands, highlighting how antifungal resistance mechanisms can travel across the globe [30]. Another study from the Netherlands examined environmental and clinical isolates of A. fumigatus and found that both isolates shared the same resistance mechanism and displayed genetic similarity, which may provide evidence for the theory that environmental exposure is a promoter of antifungal resistance [31]. Curtailing the overuse and application of antifungals in the environment is an issue that must be addressed globally.

3.2. Antifungals in the Pipeline

Ibrexafungerp (formerly SCY-078) is a new antifungal triterpenoid glucan synthase inhibitor that has shown in vitro activity against Candida and Aspergillus [32–34]. It is currently in six clinical trials testing the efficacy of ibrexafungerp against candidiasis caused by Candida auris (CARES), vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC), and invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Ibrexafungerp is a derivative of enfumafungin, a compound highlighted in a natural product screen that is produced by an endophytic fungi from the Hormonema genus [35]. The success of ibrexafungerphighlights the potential of natural product screens.

Another novel antifungal in the pipeline is the broad-spectrum drug fosmanogepix (formerly APX001). Clinical trials are currently under way testing the efficacy of fosmanogepix against invasive forms of C. auris and Aspergillus. Fosmanogepix is the prodrug form that is metabolized into the active form mangoepix (formerly APX001A). Mangoepix acts through a novel mechanism by inhibiting the enzyme glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored wall transfer protein 1 (Gwt1), which is required for maturation and positioning of glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored structural mannoproteins to the fungal cell wall [36].

Olorofim (formerly F901318) represents a new class of antifungals, the orotomides. This novel antifungal was developed through a small molecule screen with leads modified using medicinal chemistry. Olorofim inhibits dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, a catalyst in the pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway [37]. Olorofim was shown to be very effective against many Aspergillus species and invasive forms of A. fumigatus [37,38]. While olorofim is not a broad-spectrum antifungal, its ability to treat a wide range of Aspergillus species is noteworthy.

3.3. Antifungal Activity of Previously Investigated Plant Species

Plants historically used in traditional medicine may be a source of novel compounds that can be used for the treatment of multidrug-resistant (MDR) fungal infections. There has been extensive research into the antifungal properties of plants and their natural products. We have highlighted some plants that are commonly used in traditional medicine and/or are well studied in a laboratory setting for antifungal activity (Table 1). While there are thousands of studies published on the antifungal
properties of plant extracts and plant natural products, there is a need for greater adherence to Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) guidelines for antifungal testing to ensure the rigor and reproducibility of studies.

### Table 1. Antifungal activity of select plant species.

| Botanical Source | Common Name          | Tested Sample   | MIC (µg/mL) | Fungi                          | Ref.  |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| *Cinnamomum cassia* (L.) J.Presl, Lauraceae | Chinese Cinnamon | EO              | 0.008 *     | *Candida albicans* | [39] |
| *Dorstenia mannii* Hook.f., Moraceae | Manpower (Bakossi) | Dorsmanin E     | 8           | *Candida albicans* | [40] |
| *Euphorbia tirucalli* L., Euphorbiaceae | Indian Tree Spurge | Aq              | 12.8–205.5  | *Cryptococcus neoformans* | [41] |
| *Melaleuca alternifolia* (Maiden & Betche) Cheel, Myrtaceae | Tea Tree | EO              | 0.125 *     | *Candida albicans* | [42] |
| *Nigella sativa* L., Ranunculaceae | Black Caraway | EO              | 4           | *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* | [43] |
| *Origanum vulgare* L., Lamiaceae | Oregano | EO              | 18–180      | *Candida albicans* | [44] |
| *Panax ginseng* C.A.Mey, Araliaceae | Asian Ginseng | Giresenosides | 100         | *Candida albicans* | [45] |
| *Ricinus communis* L., Euphorbiaceae | Castor Bean | Et, Me         | 12.5, 25    | *Candida albicans* | [46] |
| *Rosmarinus officinalis* L., Lamiaceae | Rosemary | Dcm, Me        | 7–15, 1–7   | *Candida sp.* | [47] |
| *Salvia ringens* Sm., Lamiaceae | Mount Olympus Sage | EO              | 3           | *Aspergillus fumigatus* | [48] |
| *Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) Merr. & L.M.Perry, Myrtaceae | Clove | EO, Eugenol    | 0.64 *, 0.32–0.64 * | *Candida albicans* | [49] |
| *Thymus vulgaris* L., Lamiaceae | Common Thyme | Thymol         | 39–78       | *Candida sp.* | [47,50] |
| *Waltheria indica* L., Malvaceae | Sleepy Morning | Waltherione E  | 4–32        | *Candida sp.* | [51] |

1 Aq—Aqueous extract; Dcm—Dichloromethane extract; Et—Ethanol extract; Me—Methanol extract; EO—Essential oil; * Measured in percent v/v.

#### 3.4. Plants from Traditional Medicine as Sources of Novel Small Molecules

To protect themselves against herbivory and infection by microbes, plants have developed a sophisticated chemical defense system composed of a diverse set of secondary metabolites. Antimicrobial secondary metabolites involved in plant innate immunity are classified based on whether they are constitutively active in plant tissues (phytoanticipins) or whether they are produced in response to microbial exposure (phytoalexins) [52]. An example of a phytoanticipins can be found in oat species from the genus *Avena* that produce saponins, such as avenacin A-1, which are concentrated in their root tips and provide fungal resistance to soil-borne microbes [53]. Plants and their diverse suite of secondary metabolites are a valuable resource that can be used to identify, isolate, and develop new chemical substrates for the formulation of innovative antifungal drugs.

There are an estimated 391,000 species of plants on Earth, and more than 28,187 of them have been used as sources of medicine by humans [54,55]. Through taking an ethnobotanical approach to drug discovery, guided by traditional knowledge of plants used as medicines, the scope of the search for novel antifungal ingredients can be strategically narrowed for inclusion in antifungal discovery screening initiatives. In addition to primary ethnobotanical studies, in which researchers interview community members about the current and recent uses of plants as medicine, other sources of relevant knowledge include historic texts that cover *materia medica* of different cultures. The
discovery of artemisinin, the anti-malarial drug, isolated from sweet wormwood (*Artemisia annua* L.) by Dr. Tu Youyou, was facilitated through examination of an ancient remedy recorded in the 4th century Traditional Chinese Medicine text *A Handbook of Prescriptions for Emergencies* by Ge Hong [56]. This treatment for malaria had been used in traditional medicine for thousands of years and it was only by revisiting this knowledge that the discovery of artemisinin was possible. Viewing the issue of antifungal resistance through an ethnobotanical lens may provide the same clarity needed to discover the next lead antifungal compound.

The high humidity and climate of the tropics make fungal skin infections a common occurrence. Traditional remedies from these areas have historically used native plants to treat fungal skin infections; yet in many cases, their potential as medicines has not been scientifically assessed. One study examining plants used in Brazilian traditional medicine found that three species of plants (*Schinus terebinthifolia* Raddi, *Piper regnellii* (Miq.) C.DC., and *Rumex acetosa* L.) were effective against multiple *Candida* spp. at ranges from 30–60 µg/mL, and three plant species (*S. terebinthifolia*, *P. regnellii*, and *Baccharis dracunculifolia* DC.) were effective against *C. neoformans* at 30 µg/mL [57]. Tropical fruits have also been used as ingredients in traditional medicine and can act as additional sources for new small molecules to combat human fungal pathogens. Malaysia is rich in understudied tropical fruits, such as the *Mangifera pajang* Kosterm. tree. Extracts made from *M. pajang* fruit were found to be preferentially active against a variety of fungal species, exhibiting MICs of 80 µg/mL against *C. albicans*, 3 µg/mL against *C. parapsilosis*, 1 µg/mL against *C. krusei*, and 20 µg/mL against *C. neoformans* [58]. The potency and broad-spectrum activity of these extracts warrants further study aimed at the isolation and identification of the most active compounds. Filling the drug discovery pipeline with novel small molecules derived from plants is one way to address the emerging challenge of drug-resistant fungal pathogens.

### 3.5. Sourcing Plant Natural Products for Drug Discovery

Plants have been used by humans throughout history as a source of medicine. Until recently, the difficulty has been in finding, sourcing, and extracting the compounds within those plants to analyze their effects. To overcome this challenge, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) started a program to develop a publicly available high-throughput screening natural product library. From this monumental work came the NCI Program for Natural Product Discovery (NPNPD) Prefractioned Library, which has more than 150,000 natural product extracts with an aim of eventually containing 1,000,000 extract fractions [59]. The NPNPD Library is a cost-free chemical library available to scientists for testing against any disease system and target. Researchers can use this in screening campaigns to identify biologically active extracts and lead compounds for drug development. The NPNPD library removes the difficult and time-consuming burden of collecting and extracting hundreds of thousands of natural products. This library integrates the richness in biodiversity and chemical diversity found in nature and incorporates these elements into the drug discovery process.

While the NPNPD library is amenable to researchers conducting high-throughput screening, the option to travel into the field and collect bulk specimens of new, intriguing plant species will always be open to researchers. Ethical bioprospecting, via collecting field specimens, is a valuable and essential process needed to create the base from which natural product libraries, such as the NCI’s, are built on. To that end, researchers and field specialists should adhere to the articles outlined by the Convention on Biodiversity and the Nagoya Protocol, which promote the development of equitable access and benefit sharing plans for the utilization of genetic resources [60]. There are many papers and guides outlining the issues surrounding the ethical sourcing of natural products and various articles describing how to process plants; some examples are the articles by Beutler [61] and Kingston [62].

### 4. Conclusions

Traditional medicine can serve as a guide during the antifungal drug discovery process. By utilizing the knowledge of plants used as anti-infectives in systems of traditional medicine from across the globe, we can uncover species that have been overlooked by modern medicine. The plants...
within these time-tested traditional remedies may contain bioactive compounds effective against drug-resistant fungal pathogens.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, L.M. and C.L.Q.; writing—original draft preparation, L.M.; writing—review and editing, L.M. and C.L.Q. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC, *Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States;* CDC: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2019.
2. Adams, E.; Quinn, M.; Tsay, S.; Poirot, E.; Chaturvedi, S.; Southwick, K.; Greenko, J.; Fernandez, R.; Kallen, A.; Vallabhaneni, S.; et al. *Candida auris* in Healthcare Facilities, New York, USA, 2013–2017. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 24, 1816–1824. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Belkin, A.; Gazit, Z.; Keller, N.; Ben-Ami, R.; Wieder-Finesod, A.; Novikov, A.; Rahav, G.; Brosh-Nissimov, T. *Candida auris* Infection Leading to Nosocomial Transmission, Israel, 2017. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 24, 801–804. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Eyre, D.W.; Sheppard, A.E.; Madder, H.; Moir, I.; Moroney, R.; Quan, T.P.; Griffiths, D.; George, S.; Butcher, L.; Morgan, M.; et al. A *Candida auris* Outbreak and Its Control in an Intensive Care Setting. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2018, 379, 1322–1331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Ruiz-Gaitan, A.; Moret, A.M.; Tasias-Pitarch, M.; Alexiandre-Lopez, A.I.; Martinez-Morel, H.; Calabuig, E.; Salavert-Lleti, M.; Ramirez, P.; Lopez-Hontangas, J.L.; Hagen, F.; et al. An Outbreak Due to *Candida auris* with Prolonged Colonisation and Candidaemia in a Tertiary Care European Hospital. *Mycoses* 2018, 61, 498–505. [CrossRef]
6. Ruiz-Gaitan, A.C.; Canton, E.; Fernandez-Rivero, M.E.; Ramirez, P.; Peman, J. *Candida auris* in Spain: A Comparison of Antifungal Activity by Three Methods with Published Data. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2019, 53, 541–546. [CrossRef]
7. Schelenz, S.; Hagen, F.; Rhodes, J.L.; Abdolrasouli, A.; Chowdhary, A.; Hall, A.; Ryan, L.; Shackleton, J.; Trimlett, R.; Meis, J.F.; et al. First Hospital Outbreak of the Globally Emerging *Candida auris* in a European Hospital. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 2016, 5, 35. [CrossRef]
8. Chowdhary, A.; Anil Kumar, V.; Sharma, C.; Prakash, A.; Agarwal, K.; Babu, R.; Dinesh, K.R.; Karim, S.; Singh, S.K.; Hagen, F.; et al. Multidrug-resistant Endemic Clonal Strain of *Candida auris* in India. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 33, 919–926. [CrossRef]
9. Sayeed, M.A.; Farooqi, J.; Jabeen, K.; Awan, S.; Mahmood, S.F. Clinical Spectrum and Factors Impacting Outcome of *Candida auris*: A Single Center Study from Pakistan. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2019, 19, 384. [CrossRef]
10. Fisher, M.A.; Shen, S.; Haddad, J.; Tarry, W.F. Comparison of *In Vivo* Activity of Fluconazole with That of Amphotericin B against *Candida tropicalis, Candida glabrata,* and *Candida krusei*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1989, 33, 1443–1446. [CrossRef]
11. Pfaffer, M.A. Antifungal Drug Resistance: Mechanisms, Epidemiology, and Consequences for Treatment. *Am. J. Med.* 2012, 125, S3–S13. [CrossRef]
12. Beer, K.D.; Farnon, E.C.; Jain, S.; Jamerson, C.; Lineberger, S.; Miller, J.; Berkow, E.L.; Lockhart, S.R.; Chiller, T.; Jackson, B.R. Multidrug-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* Carrying Mutations Linked to Environmental Fungicide Exposure—Three States, 2010–2017. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 2018, 67, 1064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Rajasingham, R.; Smith, R.M.; Park, B.J.; Jarvis, J.N.; Govender, N.P.; Chiller, T.M.; Denning, D.W.; Loyse, A.; Boulware, D.R. Global Burden of Disease of HIV-associated Cryptococcal Meningitis: An Updated Analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2017, 17, 873–881. [CrossRef]
14. Bongomin, F.; Gago, S.; Oladele, R.O.; Denning, D.W. Global and Multi-National Prevalence of Fungal Diseases-Estimate Precision. *J. Fungi.* 2017, 3, 57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Gawdzik, A.; Nowogrodzka, K.; Hrynciewicz-Gwozdz, A.; Maj, J.; Szepeitowski, J.; Jankowska-Konsur, A. Epidemiology of Dermatomycoses in Southwest Poland, Years 2011–2016. Postepy Dermatol. Alergor. 2019, 36, 604–608. [CrossRef]

17. Becker, P.; Lecerf, P.; Claereboudt, J.; Devleesschauwer, B.; Paczek, A.; Hendrickx, M. Superficial Mycoses in Belgium: Burden, Costs, and Antifungal Drugs Consumption. Mycoses 2020. [CrossRef]

18. Nett, J.E.; Andes, D.R. Antifungal Agents: Spectrum of Activity, Pharmacology, and Clinical Indications. Infect. Dis. Clin. N. Am. 2016, 30, 51–83. [CrossRef]

19. Lockhart, S.R.; Etienne, K.A.; Vallabhaneni, S.; Farooqi, J.; Chowdhary, A.; Govender, N.P.; Colombo, A.L.; Calvo, B.; Cuomo, C.A.; Desjardins, C.A.; et al. Simultaneous Emergence of Multidrug-Resistant Candida auris on 3 Continents Confirmed by Whole-Genome Sequencing and Epidemiological Analyses. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2017, 64, 134–140. [CrossRef]

20. Berkow, E.L.; Lockhart, S.R. Fluconazole resistance in Candida species: A current perspective. Infect. Drug. Resist. 2017, 10, 237–245. [CrossRef]

21. Healey, K.R.; Kordalewska, M.; Jimenez Ortigosa, C.; Singh, A.; Berrio, I.; Chowdhary, A.; Perlin, D.S. Limited ERG11 Mutations Identified in Isolates of Candida auris Directly Contribute to Reduced Azole Susceptibility. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018, 62, e01427-18. [CrossRef]

22. Kanafani, Z.A.; Perfect, J.R. Antimicrobial Resistance: Resistance to Antifungal Agents: Mechanisms and Clinical Impact. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2008, 46, 120–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Perlin, D.S. Mechanisms of Echinocandin Antifungal Drug Resistance. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 2015, 1354, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Kordalewska, M.; Lee, A.; Park, S.; Berrio, I.; Chowdhary, A.; Zhao, Y.; Perlin, D.S. Understanding Echinocandin Resistance in the Emerging Pathogen Candida auris. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018, 62, e00238-18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Ksiezopolska, E.; Gabaldon, T. Evolutionary Emergence of Drug Resistance in Candida Opportunistic Pathogens. Genes 2018, 9, 461. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Chatterjee, S.; Alampalli, S.V.; Nageshan, R.K.; Chettiar, S.T.; Joshi, S.; Tatu, U.S. Draft Genome of a Commonly Emerging Opportunistic Pathogen Candida auris on Plant Bulbs. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 2016, 147, 373–376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Sharma, C.; Kumar, N.; Pandey, R.; Meis, J.F.; Chowdhary, A. Whole Genome Sequencing of Emerging Multidrug Resistant Candida auris Isolates in India Demonstrates Low Genetic Variation. New Microbes. New Infect. 2016, 13, 77–82. [CrossRef]

28. Morales-Lopez, S.E.; Parra-Giraldo, C.M.; Ceballos-Garzon, A.; Martinez, H.P.; Rodriguez, G.J.; Alvarez-Moreno, C.A.; Rodriguez, J.Y. Invasive Infections with Multidrug-Resistant Yeast Candida auris, Colombia. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2017, 23, 162–164. [CrossRef]

29. Al-Siyabi, T.; Al Busaidi, I.; Balkhair, A.; Al-Muharrmi, Z.; Al-Salti, M.; Al’Adawi, B. First Report of Candida auris in Oman: Clinical and Microbiological Description of Five Candidemia Cases. J. Infect. 2017, 75, 373–376. [CrossRef]

30. Dunne, K.; Hagen, F.; Pomeroy, N.; Meis, J.F.; Rogers, T.R. Intercountry Transfer of Triazole-Resistant Aspergillus fumigatus on Plant Bulbs. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2017, 65, 147–149. [CrossRef]

31. Snelders, E.; Huis in ’t Veld, R.A.; Rijks, A.J.; Kema, G.H.; Melchers, W.J.; Verweij, P.E. Possible Environmental Origin of Resistance of Aspergillus fumigatus to Medical Triazoles. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2009, 75, 4053–4057. [CrossRef]

32. Pfaller, M.A.; Messer, S.A.; Rhomberg, P.R.; Borroto-Esoda, K.; Castanheira, M. Differential Activity of the Oral Glucan Synthase Inhibitor SCY-078 against Wild-Type and Echinocandin-Resistant Strains of Candida Species. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2017, 61, e00161-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Ghanoum, M.; Long, L.; Larkin, E.L.; Isham, N.; Sherif, R.; Borroto-Esoda, K.; Barat, S.; Angulo, D. Evaluation of the Antifungal Activity of the Novel Oral Glucan Synthase Inhibitor SCY-078, Singly and in Combination, for the Treatment of Invasive Aspergillosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018, 62, e00244-18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Arendrup, M.C.; Jorgensen, K.M.; Hare, R.K.; Chowdhary, A. EUCAST In Vitro Activity of Ibrexafungerp (SCY-078) against C. auris Isolates; Comparison with Activity against C. albicans and C. glabrata and with that of Six Comparators. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Pelaez, F.; Cabello, A.; Platas, G.; Diez, M.; Val, A.; Basilio, A.; Martán, I.; Vicente, F.; Bills, G.; Giacobbe, R.; et al. The Discovery of Enfumafungin, a Novel Antifungal Compound Produced by an Endophytic Hormonema Species Biological Activity and Taxonomy of the Producing Organisms. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 2000, 23, 333–343. [CrossRef]

36. Hager, C.L.; Larkin, E.L.; Long, L.; Zohra Abidi, F.; Shaw, K.J.; Ghannoum, M.A. *In Vitro and In Vivo* Evaluation of the Antifungal Activity of APX001A/APX001 against *Candida auris*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2018, 62, e02319-17. [CrossRef]

37. Oliver, J.D.; Sibley, G.E.M.; Beckmann, N.; Dobb, K.S.; Slater, M.J.; McEntee, L.; du Pre, S.; Livermore, J.; Bromley, M.J.; Wiederhold, N.P.; et al. F901318 Represents a Novel Class of Antifungal Drug that Inhibits Dihydroorotase Dehydrogenase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, 12809–12814. [CrossRef]

38. du Pre, S.; Beckmann, N.; Almeida, M.C.; Sibley, G.E.M.; Law, D.; Brand, A.C.; Birch, M.; Read, N.D.; Oliver, J.D. Effect of the Novel Antifungal Drug F901318 (Olorofim) on Growth and Viability of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2018, 62, e00231-18. [CrossRef]

39. Gucwa, K.; Milewski, S.; Dymerski, T.; Szewda, P. Investigation of the Antifungal Activity and Mode of Action of *Thymus vulgaris*, *Citrus limonum*, *Pelargonium graveolens*, *Cinnamomum cassia*, *Ocimum basilicum*, and *Eugenia caryophyllus* Essential Oils. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 1116. [CrossRef]

40. Mbaveng, A.T.; Kuete, V.; Ngameni, B.; Beng, V.P.; Ngadjui, B.T.; Meyer, J.J.; Lall, N. Antimicrobial Activities of the Methanol Extract and Compounds from the Twigs of *Dorstenia mannii* (Moraceae). *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 2012, 12, 83. [CrossRef]

41. de Oliveira, L.F.; Fuentefría, A.M.; Klein Fda, S.; Machado, M.M. Antifungal Activity against *Cryptococcus neoformans* Strains and Genotoxicity Assessment in Human Leukocyte Cells of *Euphorbia tirucalli* L. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 2014, 45, 1349–1355. [CrossRef]

42. Mertas, A.; Garbusinska, A.; Szliszka, E.; Jureczko, A.; Kowalska, M.; Krol, W. The Influence of Tea Tree Oil (*Melaleuca alternifolia*) on Fluconazole Activity against Fluconazole-resistant *Candida albicans* Strains. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 590470. [PubMed]

43. Mahmodvand, H.; Sepahvand, A.; Jahanbaksh, S.; Ezatpour, B.; Ayatollahi Mousavi, S.A. Evaluation of Antifungal Activities of the Essential Oil and Various Extracts of *Nigella sativa* and its Main Component, Thymoquinone against Pathogenic Dermatophyte Strains. *J. Mycol. Med.* 2014, 24, e155–e161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Ebani, V.V.; Nardonì, S.; Bertelloni, F.; Pistelli, L.; Mancianti, F. Antimicrobial Activity of Five Essential Oils against Bacteria and Fungi Responsible for Urinary Tract Infections. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 1668. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Sung, W.S.; Lee, D.G. *In Vitro* Candidacidal Action of Korean Red Ginseng Aaponins against *Candida albicans*. *Bioll. Pharm. Bull.* 2008, 31, 139–142. [CrossRef]

46. Suurbaar, J.; Mosobil, R.; Donkor, A.M. Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities and Phytochemical Profile of Leaf Extract from Different Extractants of *Ricinus communis* against Selected Pathogens. *BMC Res. Notes* 2017, 10, 660. [CrossRef]

47. Hofling, J.F.; Anibal, P.C.; Obando-Pereda, G.A.; Peixoto, I.A.; Furlletti, V.F.; Foglio, M.A.; Goncalves, R.B. Antimicrobial Potential of some Plant Extracts against *Candida* Species. *Braz. J. Biol.* 2010, 70, 1065–1068. [CrossRef]

48. Alimpic, A.; Pljevljakusić, D.; Šavikin, K.; Knežević, A.; Ćurčić, M.; Veličković, D.; Stević, T.; Petrović, G.; Matevski, V.; Vukojević, J.; et al. Composition and Biological Effects of *Salvia ringens* (Lamiaceae) Essential Oil and Extracts. *Ind. Crop Prod.* 2015, 76, 702–709. [CrossRef]

49. Pinto, E.; Vale-Silva, L.; Cavaleiro, C.; Salgueiro, L. Antifungal Activity of the Clove Essential Oil from *Syzygium aromaticum* on *Candida, Aspergillus* and Dermatophyte Species. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 2009, 58, 1454–1462. [CrossRef]

50. de Castro, R.D.; de Souza, T.M.; Bezerra, L.M.; Ferreira, G.L.; Costa, E.M.; Cavalcanti, A.L. Antifungal Activity and Mode of Action of Thymol and its Synergism with Nystatin against *Candida* species Involved with Infections in the Oral Cavity: *An In Vitro* Study. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 2015, 15, 1–7. [CrossRef]

51. Creton, S.; Dorzas, S.; Azzollini, A.; Favre-Godal, Q.; Marcourt, L.; Ebrahimi, S.N.; Voincescu, F.; Michellod, E.; Sanglard, D.; Gindro, K.; et al. Antifungal Quinoline Alkaloids from *Waltheria indica*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2016, 79, 300–307. [CrossRef]
52. Piasecka, A.; Jedrzejczak-Rey, N.; Bednarek, P. Secondary Metabolites in Plant Innate Immunity: Conserved Function of Divergent Chemicals. *New Phytol*. 2015, 206, 948–964. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Osbourn, A.E. Saponins in cereals. *Phytochemistry* 2003, 62, 1–4. [CrossRef]

54. Willis, K.J.; Bachman, S. *State of the World’s Plants 2016*; Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew: London, UK, 2016.

55. Willis, K.J. *State of the World’s Plants 2017*; Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew: London, UK, 2017.

56. Tu, Y. Artemisinin-A Gift from Traditional Chinese Medicine to the World (Nobel Lecture). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2016, 55, 10210–10226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Johann, S.; Pizzolatti, M.G.; Donnici, C.L.; de Resende, M.A. Antifungal Properties of Plants Used in Brazilian Traditional Medicine Against Clinically Relevant Fungal Pathogens. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 2007, 38, 632–637. [CrossRef]

58. Ong, C.; Chan, Y.; Khoo, K.; Ong, H.; Sit, N. Antifungal and Cytotoxic Activities of Extracts Obtained from Underutilised Edible Tropical Fruits. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* 2018, 8, 313–319. [CrossRef]

59. Thornburg, C.C.; Britt, J.R.; Evans, J.R.; Akee, R.K.; Whitt, J.A.; Trinh, S.K.; Harris, M.J.; Thompson, J.R.; Ewing, T.L.; Shipley, S.M.; et al. NCI Program for Natural Product Discovery: A Publicly-Accessible Library of Natural Product Fractions for High-Throughput Screening. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2018, 13, 2484–2497. [CrossRef]

60. Text and Annex of the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity; United Nations: Montreal, QC, Canada, 2015; Available online: https://www.cbd.int/abs/doc/protocol/nagoya-protocol-en.pdf (accessed on 24 January 2020).

61. Beutler, J.A. Natural Products as a Foundation for Drug Discovery. *Curr. Protoc. Pharmacol.* 2009, 46, 9–11. [CrossRef]

62. Kingston, D.G. Modern Natural Products Drug Discovery and its Relevance to Biodiversity Conservation. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2011, 74, 496–511. [CrossRef]