Administration of a large bolus of epidural chloroprocaine to hasten the spread of anesthesia is an accepted practice during emergency cesarean section. Occasionally, this practice can result in a very high block that can compromise patient’s safety. We describe a case of epidural chloroprocaine administration in a 4 point position resulting in a high dermatomal block requiring respiratory assistance. Events surrounding the case are discussed, with a view to warn the reader about the pitfalls of such a practice.

**Key words:** 4 point position, chloroprocaine, epidural

**Case Report**

A 23-year-old gravida 1 and para 1 presented in labor at about 2000 h. History included anemia (hemoglobin of 9.4 g %) and intrauterine growth retardation (4th % IOL). Her body mass index was 26.15 kg/m² and airway examination was unremarkable. After an appropriate explanation, an epidural was inserted between the 2nd and 3rd lumbar spinous processes. Aspiration was negative to both blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). A 3 cc of 1.5% lidocaine with epinephrine (1 in 200,000) was injected. Absence of tachycardia or motor blockade led us to conclude that the catheter’s tip was neither in intravascular nor intrathecal space. After about 5 min, 5 cc of 0.1% of ropivacaine with fentanyl was injected as a bolus, followed by a continuous infusion as part of patient controlled epidural analgesia protocol. The protocol involved a basal infusion of ropivacaine with fentanyl at 8 cc/h along with self-administered boluses of 6 cc with a lock out time of 10 min. Further boluses of 8 cc and 10 cc of ropivacaine 1% with fentanyl was injected at 2300 h on the same day and 0100 h next day. This was additional to frequent self-administered boluses and continuous infusion at 6 cc/h.

Anesthesia for a level 2 lower-segment caesarean section (LSCS) was requested at 6.40 next morning. As the anesthesiology resident reached the labor room with necessary medications, the emergency status was changed to level 1. The time difference between the changes was about 3 min. At the hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, a level 2 requires the fetus to be delivered under 30 min and a level 1 as soon as possible. The indication for LSCS was non-re-assuring fetal heart sounds with chorioamnionitis in the setting of latent labor. Prolonged fetal heart rate deceleration necessitated more urgent LSCS
prompting change to level 1. The lowest recorded fetal heart rate was 70/min. As per obstetrics departmental protocol, the mother was placed on a 4 point position [Figure 1], while efforts were being made to insert fetal scalp electrodes. This uncommon maternal position is rarely adapted to promote utero-placental blood flow, from removing the uterus pressure on the great vessels. Due to the urgency of the delivery, a decision was made to inject chloroprocaine, as per the protocol in such situations. As per the departmental guidelines, 10 cc of 3% chloroprocaine was administered as a single bolus while the patient was still in 4 point position. Electronic monitoring (noninvasive blood pressure and pulse oximetry) was disconnected during the transfer from the labor room to the operating room. There was no monitoring during the period of bolus administration in 4 point position, as it was deemed impractical. The patient was transferred to the operating table soon after bolus injection. It took less than 2 min for transferring to the operating table after chloroprocaine bolus injection.

As soon as the patient was placed on the operating table, it was observed that her ventilation was inadequate with an inability to move the legs. Weak hand grip raised the suspicion of high epidural. Apart from weak breathing efforts, there was no motor activity. Positive pressure ventilation was commenced immediately, pulse oximetry probe was applied and electrocardiograph (EKG) electrodes were placed. Pulse oximetry displayed a saturation of 75% that increased to 100% in less than about 15 s [Figure 2]. Simultaneously, phenylephrine infusion was started, and the blood pressure was supported appropriately. The surgical incision was made at 6:48 am, and the baby was delivered immediately with an Apgar score of 8 and 9 at 1 and 5 min respectively. Within minutes after the delivery, surgical anesthesia was induced with propofol and endotracheal intubation was performed under succinyl choline induced muscle relaxation. The remainder of anesthesia was uneventful. Subsequent aspiration of the epidural catheter (twice by different attendings) was negative for CSF and blood. The patient’s spontaneous ventilation appeared within 15-20 min and anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane and nitrous oxide. Supplementary analgesics were not administered, and extubation was performed uneventfully around 7.30 am.

During the interview in the postoperative period, it was revealed that, inability to breathe was the last event patient
recollected. Furthermore, in the postoperative period, the mother experienced headache. Although the distribution and postural relationship was suggestive of a postdural puncture headache and a recommendation was made for a blood patch, the mother did not like the choice. As a result, conservative treatment was initiated and partially successful. The women were subsequently discharged on the 3rd postoperative day.

**Discussion**

This case raises very important questions. The spread of the epidural was almost as rapid as a subarachnoid block. Accidental subarachnoid migration during the 4 point positioning is a possibility. Symptoms suggestive of a postdural puncture headache in the postoperative period allow such a suspicion. Although negative aspiration is not a reliable indicator of subarachnoid catheter position, lidocaine with epinephrine testing before every bolus administration is impractical. The possibility of subdural migration remains due to extreme rapidity of block onset.

Another unexplored area is the rate of onset and its spread, when chloroprocaine is injected in a 4 point position. A Medline search did not reveal any publications describing the spread of local anesthetic injected in this position. Theoretically, this would reduce paravertebral blood flow and increase the volume of the epidural space, thereby decreasing the spread of local anesthetic.

The patient came to no harm, and the outcome was good due to a vigilant anesthesia team. As can be seen from the timeline presented (supported with evidence), the total duration from the point of decision to proceed with LSCS to eventual fetal delivery was about 7 min. Nevertheless, some pertinent questions need to be addressed to avoid a recurrence.

Firstly, the practice of administering a rapid bolus-10 cc of 3% chloroprocaine, even for a level 1 LSCS needs re-evaluation. Apart from the possibility of subarachnoid catheter migration, breaches in the dura-arachnoid membrane can potentially hasten the cranial spread of local anesthetic at alarming rates to alarming heights. The sensitivity and specificity of testing using lidocaine with epinephrine are called into question. The incidence of catheter migration and duramater breach as factors contributing to a dangerously high block are unknown. As a result, even in dire fetal situations, it may be unsafe to administer a rapid 10 cc bolus of chloroprocaine.

The practice of administering large epidural boluses while transferring a patient from the labor room to the operating room is a common but questionable practice. In our setup, the transfer takes less than 2 min. Although it is a small window of unmonitored patient care, as typified in our patient, the oxygen saturations had dropped to 75% before reconnecting to the monitors. It might be safer to have a portable pulse oximeter attached to the patient before injecting a bolus of local anesthetic, during operating room transfer.

The third question concerns the practice of chloroprocaine administration in 4 point position. Although, in general, patient position is not known to affect the spread of epidurally administered local anesthetics, in the absence of any other explanation, it remains a possibility. If possible, until more data are available, it might be safer to avoid such a practice. In the absence of any contraindication, general anesthesia should be considered. If epidural is preferred on the grounds of safety to the fetus and the mother, incremental administration after due positioning is the preferable option.

**Conclusion**

The practice of fixed bolus administration of chloroprocaine should be revisited. Administration of epidural boluses in a 4 point position needs further study. Continuous monitoring, even in a life threatening situation is mandatory.
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