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Melittin, a honeybee venom-derived antimicrobial peptide, may target methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus
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Abstract. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is difficult to treat using available antibiotic agents. Honeybee venom has been widely used as an oriental treatment for several inflammatory diseases and bacterial infections. The venom contains predominantly biologically active compounds, however, the therapeutic effects of such materials when used to treat MRSA infections have not been investigated extensively. The present study evaluated bee venom and its principal active component, melittin, in terms of their antibacterial activities and in vivo protection against MRSA infections. In vitro, bee venom and melittin exhibited comparable levels of antibacterial activity, which was more marked against MRSA strains, compared with other Gram-positive bacteria. When MRSA-infected mice were treated with bee venom or melittin, only the latter animals were successfully rescued from MRSA-induced bacteraemia or exhibited recovery from MRSA-infected skin wounds. Together, the data of the present study demonstrated for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, that melittin may be used as a promising antimicrobial agent to enhance the healing of MRSA-induced wounds.

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is a significant human pathogen causing healthcare-associated and community-acquired infections (1). Antibiotics effectively treat these infections, however, the emergence of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) currently presents a challenge to healthcare systems worldwide (2). Globally, ~2,000,000,000 MRSA carriers exist, of whom as many as 53,000,000 suffer from overt MRSA infections. In addition, Staphylococcus aureus clones resistant to the antibiotic vancomycin have been identified; and vancomycin is the last known drug to which earlier strains had been uniformly sensitive (3). These organisms are termed vancomycin-intermediate-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (4,5). Therefore, it is becoming difficult to treat staphylococcal infections with current chemotherapeutic agents (6).

Honeybee (Apis mellifera L.) venom contains a complex mixture of therapeutic compounds, including antimicrobial peptides, allowing bees to defend their hives against predators and external threats (7). Several biological and pharmacological studies have examined bee venom components for use as potential pain relievers and treatments for inflammatory diseases (8-10). In addition, the antibacterial activities of venom against several human and animal pathogens have been evaluated (11). However, as venom contains certain complex toxic components, its human therapeutic applications have been limited. Previously, the majority of bee venom components have been individually purified and their specific pharmacological activities investigated.

The melittin peptide, the predominant component of bee venom (40-48%, w/w), has been investigated substantially, and exhibits potent cytolytic and antimicrobial activities (12). Potential actions against bacteria, viruses and cancer cells have been extensively examined in vitro, although the antimicrobial molecular mechanism remains to be elucidated (13,14). However, to date, few investigations of the in vivo antimicrobial activities of melittin have been performed. The present study investigated the antimicrobial activity of melittin from bee venom, and examined whether it can inhibit MRSA infections in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Ethical statement. All animal investigations were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of
Laboratory Animals of the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety of Korea, and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (Jeongeup Si, Korea; IACUC protocol no. 2014-023).

**Bacterial strains and reagents.** The bacterial strains examined in the present study are listed in Table I. The streptococcal and staphylococcal strains were grown at 37°C in Todd-Hewitt broth (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract and Tryptic-soy broth (BD Biosciences), respectively. Purified melittin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Synthetic melittin (GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIKRKRQQ) was chemically synthesised by A&PEP Co., Inc. (Daejeon, Korea).

**Purification of bee venom.** Controlled colonies of natural honeybees (Apis mellifera L.) were maintained at room temperature at the National Academy of Agricultural Science (Suwon, Korea). In brief, a bee venom collector apparatus (Chunglin Biotech, Ansan, Korea) was placed on the hive, and the bees that landed on the apparatus were subjected to an electric shock sufficient to cause the bees to ‘sting’ a glass plate from which dried bee venom was harvested. The collected venom was dissolved in distilled water, centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 10 min to remove insoluble materials, and stored in a refrigerator until further use (15-17).

**Bactericidal assay.** Bacteria were harvested at the early log phase (A600=0.5) and suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at ~10^7 to 10^10 CFU/ml. Subsequently, the bacterial samples were incubated with the indicated concentrations of bee venom or melittin at 25°C for 30 min, and surviving bacteria were evaluated using a plate counting method, as described previously (18). Briefly, samples were serially diluted in PBS and plated onto blood agar (Kisan Bio, Suwon, Korea). Following a 16 h incubation at 37°C, the number of surviving bacteria was counted.

**Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration.** To determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), the present study used a micro-dilution broth method, according to the recommendations of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (19). In brief, the cells of the experimental bacterial strains were collected in the logarithmic phase of growth, suspended in 30 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) with 60 mM NaCl, and adjusted to an A600 of 0.3 arbitrary units (1x10^5 cells/ml). The bee venom and the melittin samples were dissolved in 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) with 130 mM NaCl and 0.2% (w/v) bovine serum albumin prior to serial dilution. Sample aliquots (10 µl) were mixed with the diluted bacterial suspensions (190 µl) followed by incubation for 20 h at 37°C. Bacterial growth was determined by measurement of the A600 levels using a VICTOR™X3 ELISA reader (PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham MA, USA).

**Cytotoxicity assays.** The cytotoxic effects of bee venom and melittin on cultured MCF-7 cells were evaluated using a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The cells were seeded at a density of 5x10^3 cells/200 µl/well into wells of 96-well round-bottomed plates and allowed to grow for 24 h at 37°C, followed by incubation with bee venom or purified synthetic melittin for 6 h at 37°C. The culture supernatants (100 µl quantities) were harvested and mixed with 10 µl aliquots of CCK-8 solution. Following 3 h incubation at 37°C, the optical densities at A450 were measured using the VICTOR™X3 ELISA reader (PerkinElmer, Inc.).

**Mouse intraperitoneal infection.** Mouse infection with *Staphylococcus aureus* was performed, as described previously (20). Bacteria of the USA300 strain (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) were spectrophotometrically (OPTIZEN POP; Mecsys Co., Ltd., Daejeon, Korea) adjusted to the desired concentration prior to injection, and bacterial numbers were confirmed via serial dilution and Tryptic soy agar plating. The cultured USA300 bacteria were pelleted, washed and suspended in PBS at 0.5x10^7 CFU/ml. Mice (7-week-old males) of the CD1 strain were obtained from Oriental Bio, Inc. (Seongnam, Korea), with 10 animals per treatment group. The mice were infected with the USA300 strain (200 µl) via intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection, followed by i.p. injection of 100 µl bee venom or purified melittin 1 h later. The infected animals were monitored every 3 h for up to 36 h. The mice were housed in controlled conditions: Temperature, 23±2°C; humidity 55±10%; light between 07:00 and 19:00. Each group was housed separately. All animal experiments in the present study adhered to institutional guidelines upon review of the experimental protocol, and were approved by the Institutional Biosafety Committee and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute.

**Mouse skin infection.** CD1 mice (7-week old; 3 mice/group) were used to examine skin infection. Following the induction of general anesthesia, the dorsal hair was electrically shaved and the skin was cleaned with 70% (v/v) ethanol. Skin infection was induced via subcutaneous inoculation of 50 µl volumes of USA300 suspension (10^6 CFU/ml) in PBS. Subsequently, bee venom, melittin (purified or synthetic; 100 µg in 80 µl PBS), or sterile PBS was applied once daily to each surface lesion. Lesion progression was monitored at 24 h intervals for 10 days by measuring the lesion dimensions with callipers (Jeung Do Research Institute). Skin lesion dimensions were confirmed using digital images (WB5500; Samsung, Seoul, Korea).

**Statistical analysis.** The statistical significance of between-group differences was assessed with the Student’s t-test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Bee venom exhibits a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity.** The present study examined the antibacterial activities of bee venom against the *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Streptococcus gordonii*, *Streptococcus pneumonia*, *Streptococcus epidermidis*, *Streptococcus bovis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* Gram-positive bacteria. As shown in Fig. 1, when all the
bacterial strains were treated with the indicated concentrations of bee venom for 30 min, concentration-dependent death of the bacteria was evident. At venom concentrations between 1.25 and 12.5 µg/ml, bacterial viability decreased by >90%. The MIC values of the bee venom ranged between 1.56 and 12.5 µg/ml (Table II). Notably, the USA300 antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus* strain had the lowest observed MIC (1.56 µg/ml).

The present study further examined the antibacterial activities of bee venom against three MRSA clinical isolates. As shown in Fig. 2, the viabilities of all three strains decreased markedly upon treatment with bee venom for 30 min, and no bacteria survived incubation with 100 µg/ml venom. The MIC values for the three MRSA strains ranged between 0.78 and 3.13 µg/ml (Table II). Notably, the methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains (Mu50, ISP479C, PS735, PS736 and PS737) were less susceptible to bee venom (MIC=3.13-12.5 µg/ml), compared with the MRSA strains (Table II), suggesting that bee venom contains antimicrobial molecules, which specifically target MRSA strains.

**Bee venom protects against staphylococcal infection.** To measure the cytotoxicity of bee venom, human epithelial cells were incubated with venom for 24 h and cell viabilities were measured using an MTT assay. As shown in Fig. 3, bee venom was not cellulotoxic at a concentration of 0.4 µg/ml. In addition, the administration of bee venom in vivo at up to 20 mg/kg i.p., caused no signs or symptoms of toxicity in the CD1 mice (data not shown).

The i.p injection of 1x10⁶ CFU of the USA300 strain into mice caused bacteraemia and mortality rates of 100% within 18 h. When the USA300-infected mice were administered with 1.25 or 2.5 mg/kg bee venom at the time of infection, no protective effect was evident (data not shown). A low dose of USA300 (1x10⁷ CFU per mouse) was injected 1 h following the administration of PBS or bee venom. Notably, all the mice died 18 h following the injection of USA300 with bee venom, whereas only five mice of the control group had died by 24 h post-infection (Fig. 4A). These data demonstrated that, although bee venom exhibited a marked antimicrobial effect *in vitro*, *in vivo* administration enhanced MRSA propagation and infection.

In addition, the present study examined the protective effect of bee venom in a staphylococcal skin infection model (Fig. 4B). When USA300 was inoculated intradermally and the areas of infected skin treated with PBS or bee venom (10 µg) once daily, the abscesses formed by USA300 were 21.3±4.8 and 18.8±6.8 mm in diameter in the PBS and bee venom groups, respectively, by day 5, and no significant difference was observed even following 10 days of venom treatment.

**Melittin is the major antimicrobial component of bee venom.** Bee venom is a complex mixture of proteins, peptides and low-molecular-weight materials. The principal components of the venom are phospholipase A2 (PLA2; 10-12%, w/w) and the melittin peptide (40-48%, w/w). The results of the present study confirmed and extended the previous results, demonstrating that
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Melittin and PLA2 induced death in a broad range of bacteria, including MRSA strains. As shown in Fig. 5A, treatment of the USA300 and MRSA2 strains with PLA2 did not affect cell viability, whereas the viabilities of the MRSA strains treated with purified melittin decreased to levels comparable to those observed when bee venom was used. To examine whether melittin and PLA2 acted synergistically, two MRSA strains were treated with melittin admixed with PLA2 at various concentrations. When the USA300 and MRSA2 strains were treated with melittin alone (25 µg/ml), the total number of bacteria decreased by ~2.5-3 log CFU (Fig. 5B). However, when the cells were treated with melittin (25 µg/ml) in combination with various concentrations of PLA2, similar results were observed, indicating that PLA2 did not act synergistically with melittin to cause bacterial cell death.

Figure 1. Antimicrobial activities of bee venom against human pathogens.

To examine the antimicrobial activities of bee venom against various Gram-positive bacteria strains, the indicated concentrations of purified venom were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with 100 µl quantities of the bacterial suspensions (10^5-10^8 CFU/ml) in phosphate-buffered saline. Cell viability levels were determined by the plating of serial dilutions and colony counting following incubation for 24 h. (A) Streptococcus agalactiae COH1, (B) Streptococcus gordonii DL1, (C) Streptococcus pneumonia TIGR4, (D) Staphylococcus epidermidis 70660, (E) Streptococcus bovis, (F) Staphylococcus aureus USA300. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

Figure 2. Antibacterial activities of bee venom against clinical isolates of MRSA.

To examine the antimicrobial activities of bee venom against various MRSA strains, the indicated concentrations of purified venom were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with 100 µl quantities of the bacterial suspensions (10^8-10^9 CFU/ml) in PBS. Cell viability levels were determined by the plating of serial dilutions and colony counting following incubation for 24 h. (A) Staphylococcus aureus Newman, (B) Staphylococcus aureus Mw2, (C) Staphylococcus aureus MRSA2. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.

Figure 3. Cytotoxicity of bee venom.

Cell viabilities were determined using a Cell Counting Kit-8 following incubation of the MCF7 cells with the indicated concentrations of bee venom. *P<0.01. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. BV, bee venom.
Subsequently, the present study confirmed that synthetic melittin exhibited an antimicrobial activity similar to that of purified melittin. Initially, the toxicities of the two forms of melittin towards human epithelial cells were determined, as described above. As shown in Fig. 6A, synthetic melittin (99.2% pure) was ~25% less toxic than the ‘purified’ melittin (93% pure). However, the antibacterial activities of the two preparations against the MRSAs were comparable (Fig. 6B).

Protection from staphylococcal infection by melittin. The present study also investigated whether melittin can protect against MRSA skin infections. USA300 bacteria...
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(1x10⁷ CFU/mouse) were injected intradermally into CD1 mice, which were administered with either PBS, or purified or synthetic melittin (10 µg) 1 h post-infection. As shown in Fig. 7, abscesses in the PBS-treated group gradually increased in size to attain a diameter of 22±6.3 mm by day 5. When the infected areas were treated with purified or synthetic melittin once daily for 4 days, the diameters of the abscesses were significantly lower than those measured in the control group.

In addition, the protective effect of melittin was investigated in a model of MRSA bacteraemia (Fig. 8). When a high dose of USA300 was injected i.p., all the mice died following treatment with either PBS or 2.5 mg/kg melittin after 24 h. However, when the infected mice were injected with 5 mg/kg melittin 1 h post-infection, 50% of the mice survived >24 h.

Discussion

Staphylococcus aureus is an important human pathogen, which is responsible for the majority of bacterial soft skin
tissue infections and life-threatening infections, including pneumonia, abscesses, endocarditis and infections of surgical sites (2). The rapid spread of MRSA strains is cause for alarm. The rates of MSRA infections are increasing, and MRSA has become the leading cause of invasive illness, resulting in a high rate of mortality worldwide (24-26). Thus, the development of novel therapeutic methods is essential to treat chronic wounds or systemic infections caused by MRSA. In the present study, the in vitro anti-MRSA activities of the natural antimicrobial components of bee venom were investigated.

Bee venom contains several potential antibacterial toxins, including melittin, PLA2, adolpanin, dopamine and hyaluronidase (27). Each component may exert selective and specific actions on human cells and/or bacteria (16,28). Although the bee venom isolated in the present study exhibited potential antimicrobial activities against all the Gram-positive bacteria assessed in vitro, as has been reported in several previous studies (9,11,29), the i.p. administration of venom into MRSA-infected mice caused the a higher mortality rate, compared with that observed in the venom-free controls, suggesting that bee venom actually facilitated MRSA infection. Notably, the PLA2 of bee venom is central to the proinflammatory cascade by activating several physiological and pathogenic immune activities (30,31). In addition, certain hypervirulent bacteria produce and secrete PLA2, which significantly potentiates early-stage infection and inflammation (32-35). The present study also found that, although PLA2 exhibited minimal antibacterial activity, i.p. injection of MRSA-infected mice with PLA2 caused 100% mortality, whereas only 50% mortality was observed in the control animals by 24 h, which was also true of the bee venom-treated mice (data not shown). Thus, it is reasonable to suggest that PLA2 increased the susceptibility of at-risk hosts to bacterial infection.

Melittin is the principal component (40-48%, w/w) of honeybee venom (12), being a small linear peptide of 26 amino acids forming an amphipathic helix with a hydrophobic amino- and hydrophilic carboxyl-terminus. The antibacterial effects of melittin have been widely investigated in vitro (36). In the present study, synthetic melittin exhibited anti-MRSA toxicity in vitro, which was comparable to that of purified melittin. However, the synthetic melittin was less toxic towards human epithelial cells, suggesting that the purified melittin (93% pure) in the present study contained an uncharacterized component, which is either toxic and/or enhances the toxicity of melittin. Following acquisition of these in vitro results, the present study examined the protective effects of melittin in MRSA-infected mice. Unlike bee venom, melittin exhibited significantly higher protective effects in vivo in the models of bacteremia and skin infection. Although melittin directly affects microbes by damaging or destabilising cell membranes, the material appears to potentiate the innate immune and anti-inflammatory responses, preventing the development of MRSA systemic infections and facilitating wound healing around infected sites (14,37-39). Melittin exerts anti-inflammatory effects on several types of cell (38,40,41). Melittin suppresses innate immune signaling, including that mediated by nuclear factor-kB via Toll-like receptor and mitogen activated protein kinase; the synthesis of cyclooxygenase-2; and the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase (38,39). In addition, melittin stimulates pyrin domain-containing inflammasomes to activate caspase-1 and interleukin1β, which crucially recruit neutrophils to sites of expression (14,40,42). Thus, melittin may inhibit MRSA infections by several mechanisms, including the direct induction of MRSA cell death, the downregulation of the innate immune response induced by MRSA and the acceleration of neutrophil recruitment to sites of infection.

Together, the results of the present study demonstrated that bee venom, which is intrinsically toxic, exerts negative effects when used as an anti-MRSA therapy. However, the principal component of bee venom, melittin, exhibits antibacterial effects with minimal toxicity in vitro and in vivo. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to demonstrate that melittin may exert a possible therapeutic role in the treatment of MRSA infections. The mechanism of this effect requires further investigation.
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