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Abstract

Objective: This study was performed to examine the sonoelastographic features of the radial nerve in healthy subjects.

Methods: In this observational cross-sectional study, shear wave elastography was used to evaluate the radial nerve. The cross-sectional area and stiffness were measured.

Results: The study included 37 nerves in 20 healthy adult subjects. The mean cross-sectional area of the radial nerve at the arm was 6.1 mm². The mean stiffness of the radial nerve in the short axis was 30.3 kPa, and that in the long axis was 34.9 kPa. The elasticity measurements were significantly different between the long axis and short axis.

Conclusion: The elastic modulus of the radial nerve was studied in healthy subjects and can serve as a reference for future assessment of different radial nerve pathologies.
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Introduction

The radial nerve is a major nerve of the upper limb.\(^1\) The radial nerve forms as a continuation of the posterior cord of the brachial plexus and is derived from the ventral roots of spinal nerves C5 to T1. It then arises at the axilla and enters the posterior compartment of the arm. The nerve travels in the spiral groove of the humerus and then wraps around it laterally. At the level of the lateral epicondyle, the radial nerve branches into a deep motor branch and a superficial sensory branch.\(^2\) The radial nerve provides motor supply to the posterior compartment of the arm, posterior forearm compartment, and extensor muscles of the wrist and fingers. It also provides sensory supply to part of the anterolateral arm, distal posterior arm, posterior forearm, lateral half of the little finger, middle finger, index finger, and posterior aspect of the thumb.\(^1\) Injury to the radial nerve can lead to motor consequences such as the inability to extend the wrist, fingers, and arm in addition to paresthesia about the sensory distribution.

Surgery/trauma near the radial nerve is associated with a risk of injury. Radial neuropathy can result from ischemia, compression, arm fractures, and penetrating wounds. These injuries commonly present with wrist drop. The severity of the neuropathy is determined by the level of injury.\(^2\) The radial nerve can be compressed at several sites, and the clinical syndrome is dependent upon the site of compression.\(^1\) A common cause of injury to the radial nerve is humeral shaft fracture. Primary injury results from stretching or crushing of the nerve at the time of fracture, whereas secondary injury is associated with surgery during open reduction and fixation. Scar tissue can lead to tertiary injury by limiting fascial gliding and causing nerve entrapment, encasement, and sometimes adhesions.\(^3\) Radial nerve block is indicated in various upper limb surgical procedures and for patients with pain syndromes and postoperative pain. The radial nerve block procedure is performed using ultrasound (US) guidance.\(^4\)

The diagnosis of radial neuropathy/entrapment is primarily based on the physical examination findings, clinical history, and electrodiagnostic test results. In addition to magnetic resonance imaging, US was introduced to play a complimentary role in the evaluation of peripheral nerve pathologies. The nerve size can be estimated by measuring the cross-sectional area (CSA) during US examinations. Nerve fascicles and nerve echogenicity can also be assessed by US. Elastography is a relatively new technique that quantifies tissue elasticity in response to applied force. It can also be used to evaluate the mechanical properties of tissues.\(^5\)–\(^9\) Shear wave elastography (SWE) is one of two main types of elastography used to evaluate the neuromuscular system. In the first type (strain elastography), mild probe compression causes tissue displacement. This allows for a colored, semiquantitative, and scaled qualitative evaluation of elasticity. The second type is SWE, in which the probe produces a pulse that propagates through the tissue of interest, producing waves in a shear manner. SWE produces quantitative results in kilopascals (kPa, Young’s modulus), is less operator-dependent, and is more reproducible. Major peripheral nerves such as the median, sciatic, and tibial nerves have been studied by SWE, especially in patients with peripheral neuropathy.\(^5\)–\(^16\) The present study was performed to examine the sonoelastographic features of the radial nerve in healthy subjects.

Methods

Participants

In this observational cross-sectional study, 37 radial nerves of 20 healthy adult subjects...
were evaluated. The participants were recruited from March 2020 to May 2020. The inclusion criteria were a clinically healthy condition, male or female sex, and age range of 25 to 46 years. The exclusion criteria were peripheral neuropathy, a history of numbness or upper limb pain, weakness or paresthesia, and injury to the upper limb. Each participant’s sex, age, weight, body mass index (BMI), and height were recorded. All subjects enrolled in this study were free from any diseases related to the neuromuscular system as indicated by clinical examination and electrophysiologic methods.

Institutional review board approval (PSAU/COM/RC/IRB/p/70) was obtained before commencement of the study, and all participants provided written informed consent.

**Technique.** The US examination was performed with a 4- to 18-MHz linear-array transducer (L18-4 transducer, EPIQ Elite SW 5.0.1 US System; Philips, Bothell, WA, USA). A radiologist (M.B.) with 19 years of experience performed all examinations, and the images were reviewed by a neurologist (M.K). All participants were examined in the sitting position, with the participant resting his or her arm on the thigh and the wrist supinated. The radial nerve was identified at the mid-arm level. The CSA was measured in mm². For the SWE measurements, each subject was scanned three times with removal of the probe from the skin between measurements. To increase the reliability of the reported stiffness values, a confidence map was used to mask areas below a specific confidence level. A large amount of gel was used with a light touch of the probe to decrease the pressure effect on the skin. The radial nerve was first identified in the short axis and SWE measurements were taken, and the probe was then rotated 90 degrees to acquire longitudinal SWE measurements. After identifying the nerve in each examination, the probe was held stationary for 3 to 4 s, and a 2-mm-diameter circular region of interest was placed within the hyperechoic epineurium. After viewing the color map, real-time shear wave images were recorded with color coding. The readings consisted of the median, maximum, and average elasticity with standard deviation and were reported in kPa. The color scale was mapped to a 0- to 200-kPa range. The spectrum of scale colors ranged from blue for softer tissues through red for stiffer tissues (Figures 1 and 2).

**Electrophysiologic methods**

The nerve conduction studies were performed with a Neuropack device (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan). All studies were performed under a standard room temperature of 25°C. Hand temperature was maintained at ≥32°C. Electrodagnostic studies were performed on both hands and feet in all subjects by an expert neurologist.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation and range. Intraobserver variability was measured using Cohen’s kappa test. An independent-samples test was used to assess the difference in the mean elasticity between the right and left radial nerves. The correlations between the mean elasticity bilaterally and age, weight, height, and BMI were calculated by Pearson’s correlation coefficient test. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.

**Results**

The study included 37 radial nerves of 20 healthy adult subjects with a mean age of 32.9 ± 7.2 years (range, 24–46 years), mean
Figure 1. Short-axis view of radial nerve shear wave elastography (confidence map on left, color map scale on right) for measurement of stiffness in kPa.

Figure 2. Long-axis view of radial nerve shear wave elastography (confidence map on left, color map scale on right) for measurement of stiffness in kPa.
height of 158.6 ± 8.8 cm (range, 144–177 cm), mean weight of 60.4 ± 10.5 kg (range, 43–84 kg), and mean BMI of 23.8 ± 3.1 kg/m² (range, 19.0–30.9 kg/m²). Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the study participants.

The mean CSA of the radial nerve at the arm was 6.1 mm² (range, 3.5–10.6 ± 1.7 mm²). The mean shear elastic modulus of the nerve in the short axis was 30.3 kPa (range, 19.0–40.9 ± 6.4 kPa), and that in the long axis was 34.9 kPa (range, 25.5–45.4 ± 5.2 kPa). Table 2 shows the CSA and stiffness values of the nerve. The intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.80. No statistically significant differences were noted between the right and left sides regarding the CSA, shear wave elastic modulus of the nerve in the short axis, or shear wave elastic modulus of the radial nerve in the long axis. A negative correlation was noted between age and the long-axis shear modulus (P = 0.018). A positive correlation was noted between the BMI and short-axis shear modulus (P = 0.039). No significant correlation was noted between the shear modulus and any other demographic factors. The elasticity measurements were significantly different between the long axis and short axis (P = 0.001). The radial nerve elastic modulus showed no correlation with the CSA in either the long or short axis. Table 3 shows the correlations between the CSA, stiffness, and demographic characteristics in our study. Table 4 shows the results of the nerve conduction studies.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants.

| Age, years | 32.9 ± 7.2 |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 6 (30) |
| Female | 14 (70) |
| Height, cm | 158.6 ± 8.8 |
| Weight, kg | 60.4 ± 10.5 |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 23.8 ± 3.1 |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or n (%).

Table 2. CSA and stiffness values of the radial nerve.

| P | Radial CSA, mm² | 6.1 ± 1.7 (3.5–10.6) | 0.447 |
| Radial SA, kPa | 30.3 ± 6.4 (19.0–47.9) | 0.100 |
| Radial LA, kPa | 34.9 ± 5.2 (25.5–45.4) | 0.251 |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (range). CSA, cross-sectional area; SA, short axis; LA, long axis.

Discussion

We studied the radial nerve at the arm in healthy adult subjects by SWE. The relationships between elasticity and height, weight, BMI, and sex were also studied. The mean CSA of the radial nerve in our study was 6.1 mm² (range, 3.5–10.6 ± 1.7 mm²). This is comparable with the findings reported by Cartwright et al.17 (7.9 ± 2.7 mm²), Qrimli et al.18 (6.5 mm²), and Bedewi et al.19 (5.5 ± 1.9 mm²). Several studies have used SWE to evaluate important upper limb nerves. Paluch et al.20 reported a mean stiffness of 33.1 ± 10.13 kPa (range, 19–51 kPa) for the ulnar nerve at the level of the cubital tunnel. The same authors reported higher stiffness values of the ulnar nerve at the forearm (49 kPa; range, 23–68 kPa).21 Cornelson et al.22 reported a mean stiffness of 13.2 ± 11.26 kPa for the ulnar nerve at the cubital tunnel. Kantarci et al.23 used SWE to study the median nerve proximal to the carpal tunnel and revealed a mean stiffness of 32 kPa. Some authors have reported difficulty in obtaining reliable results when measurements were obtained in the short axis. Aslan et al.24 reported higher stiffness values in the long axis than in the short axis. In our study, we performed SWE measurements in both axes and found a statistically
significant difference between the elasticity in the short axis (30.3 kPa) and long axis (34.9 kPa). This difference might be attributed to the relative proximity of the radial nerve at this site to the humerus.

Battaglia et al.25 studied a single case of benign peripheral nerve schwannoma of the radial nerve and found that the elasticity ranged from 24 to 30 kPa by SWE, coinciding with its soft consistency and benign nature. The authors referred to the reference values of other musculoskeletal structures to indicate the benign nature of the lesion. Su et al.3 used SWE to identify sites of neural entrapment by scar tissue and guide perineural hydrodissection in complicated postoperative cases. Such cases are characterized by distortion of the perineural tissues, scar formation, and sometimes adhesion, making identification of the nerve difficult with conventional US. SWE can guide perineural hydrodissection and identify hardened areas. This can avoid unnecessary treatment of nerve segments that appear to be abnormal on conventional US but are not surrounded by scar tissue and therefore do not contribute to symptoms.3 Li and Snedeker26 suggested a

| Table 3. Correlations among demographic factors, CSA, and stiffness in long and short axes. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Radial CSA | Radial SA | Radial LA |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Age**        |                 |                 |
| Pearson correlation | −0.223 | 0.077  | −0.386* |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.185 | 0.651  | 0.018 |
| n               | 37 | 37  | 37  |
| **Weight**     |                 |                 |
| Pearson correlation | −0.100 | 0.239  | −0.090 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.556 | 0.155  | 0.596 |
| n               | 37 | 37  | 37  |
| **Height**     |                 |                 |
| Pearson correlation | 0.072 | 0.051  | −0.028 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.671 | 0.762  | 0.871 |
| n               | 37 | 37  | 37  |
| **BMI**        |                 |                 |
| Pearson correlation | −0.199 | 0.341* | −0.079 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.238 | 0.039  | 0.644 |
| n               | 37 | 37  | 37  |
| **Radial CSA** |                 |                 |
| Pearson correlation | 1 | −0.002 | 0.006 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.992 | 0.974  | 0.974 |
| n               | 37 | 37  | 37  |
| **Radial SA**  |                 |                 |
| Pearson correlation | −0.002 | 1 | 0.168 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.992 | 0.321  | 0.321 |
| n               | 37 | 37  | 37  |
| **Radial LA**  |                 |                 |
| Pearson correlation | 0.006 | 0.168  | 1 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.974 | 0.321  | 0.321 |
| n               | 37 | 37  | 37  |

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

CSA, cross-sectional area; SD, standard deviation; SA, short axis; LA, long axis; BMI, body mass index.
The negative correlation between age and the long-axis shear modulus in our study raises the question of decreased elasticity with age. We found a positive relationship between the BMI and the short-axis shear modulus; however, this finding cannot be generalized because of our small sample size. The proximity of the examined nerve to certain anatomical structures such as bone and synovial fluid may lead to unreliable SWE readings. In our study, we only included measurements at the mid-arm level. Although it has been recommended to include measurements of the radial nerve at the spiral groove of the humerus, another common site of radial nerve injury, we did not consider this second site because of the very close proximity of the nerve to bone. Other factors can also influence the reliability of nerve stiffness measurements. Substantial variability of nerve stiffness with different limb positions has been reported in the upper limb peripheral nerves. This could lead to recording of higher stiffness values in patients with chronically abnormal limb posture (such as patients with muscle contracture and spasticity). Additionally, the use of different machines with variable frequency transducers and acquisition depths may result in different elasticity values of the same organ.

The present study has several limitations. First, the small sample size decreases the statistical significance of our results. Second, the numbers of women and men were not equal. Third, only healthy subjects were involved without inclusion of different radial nerve pathologies. Future studies involving larger sample sizes and an even sex distribution may help to increase the validity of SWE measurements. These studies should include evaluation of elasticity in patients with different types of compressive neuropathy and comparison of these elasticity values to those of the normal radial nerve. We believe that knowledge of the normal elasticity values is necessary to establish cut-off limits for discriminating normal healthy nerves from diseased nerves.

In conclusion, we believe that SWE of the radial nerve may be a useful future tool for studying changes in the stiffness of the radial nerve in different pathologies.
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