hCOSMOS: A Dense Spectroscopic Survey of $r \leq 21.3$ Galaxies in the COSMOS field
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Abstract

We describe the hCOSMOS redshift survey of the COSMOS field conducted with the Hectospec spectrograph on the MMT. In the central 1 deg$^2$, the hCOS20.6 subset of the survey is >90% complete to a limiting magnitude $r = 20.6$. The hCOSMOS survey includes 1701 new redshifts in the COSMOS field. We also use the total of 4362 new and remeasured objects to derive the age-sensitive $D_n4000$ index over the entire redshift interval 0.001 $\leq z \leq$ 0.6. For 85% of the quiescent galaxies in hCOS20.6, we measure the central line-of-sight velocity dispersion. To explore potential uses of this survey, we combine previously measured galaxy sizes, profiles, and stellar masses with the spectroscopy. The comparison reveals the known relations among structural, kinematic, and stellar population properties. We also compare redshift and $D_n4000$ distributions of hCOS20.6 galaxies with SHELS; a complete spectroscopic survey of 4 deg$^2$ observed to the same depth. The redshift distributions in the two fields are very different, but the $D_n4000$ distribution is remarkably similar. The relation between velocity dispersion and stellar mass for massive hCOS20.6 galaxies is consistent with the local relation from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. Using measured velocity dispersions, we test a photometric proxy calibrated to galaxies in the local universe. The systematic differences between the measured and photometric proxy velocity dispersions are correlated with galaxy dynamical and stellar population properties highlighting the importance of direct spectroscopic measurements.
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1. Introduction

Dense redshift surveys of large volumes are powerful tools for studying galaxy cosmic evolution and its relation to the underlying matter distribution. Large area surveys have transformed our view of the distribution of galaxies in the nearby universe (e.g., Geller & Huchra 1989; Loveday et al. 1992; Shectman et al. 1996; Vettolani et al. 1997; da Costa 1998; York et al. 2000; Colless et al. 2001; Jones et al. 2009; Baldry et al. 2010). At high redshift ($z > 1$), spectroscopic studies of extended areas are currently not feasible. Most surveys at higher redshift are confined to smaller areas ($\lesssim 2$ deg$^2$) (e.g., Davis et al. 2003; Steidel et al. 2003; Abraham et al. 2004; Noll et al. 2004; Wirth et al. 2004; Le Fèvre et al. 2005; Newman et al. 2013; Le Fèvre et al. 2015). The VIPERS survey (Guzzo et al. 2014) covers 24 deg$^2$. At intermediate redshifts, dense, complete surveys over areas comparable to VIPERS are feasible; e.g., at 0.1 $< z <$ 0.6 the SHELS survey covers two widely separated 4 deg$^2$ fields (Geller et al. 2014, 2016). The sparser AGES survey focuses on active galactic nuclei (AGNs) and covers a 7.7 deg$^2$ area to similar depth (Kochanek et al. 2012).

High-resolution imaging adds another dimension to spectroscopic surveys targeting the intermediate-redshift universe. The combination of photometric and spectroscopic data enables evolutionary studies of relations among the spectroscopic and structural properties of galaxies. The largest extragalactic field surveyed with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), the $\sim$2 deg$^2$ COSMOS field, has thus been the preferred target for a suite of intermediate- and high-redshift spectroscopic campaigns (e.g., zCOSMOS, Lilly et al. 2007, 2009; PRIMUS, Coil et al. 2011; VUDS, Le Fèvre et al. 2015; FMOS-COSMOS, Silverman et al. 2015; LEGA-C; van der Wel et al. 2016). At 0.1 $< z <$ 0.6, broad spectroscopic wavelength coverage and a high level of completeness are critically important for accurately tracing the relations between photometric and spectroscopic properties of galaxies.

Here, we describe hCOSMOS, a dense redshift survey of the COSMOS field conducted with the MMT Hectospec multifiber spectrograph. A subset of hCOSMOS, hCOS20.6, covers the central $\sim$1 deg$^2$ of the field. hCOS20.6 includes >90% of galaxies with $r$-band magnitude $r \leq 20.6$ (i.e., hCOS20.6 is 90% complete to the limiting magnitude $r = 20.6$). Our straightforward survey design, along with the Hectospec spectroscopic survey covering the range 3700–9100 Å, enable explorations of the interplay between the dynamical, structural, and stellar population properties of galaxies and their environments at intermediate redshifts.

We used some of these data to study the properties of the quiescent galaxy population at 0.1 $< z <$ 0.6 (Damjanov et al. 2015b; Zahid et al. 2016a). Taking advantage of available high-resolution imaging, we select a sample of massive compact quiescent galaxies and explore the dependence of their properties on the surrounding galaxy density field (Damjanov et al. 2015b). Massive compact quiescent galaxies lie preferentially in denser regions at intermediate redshifts. This trend is driven mainly by the large stellar masses of these objects; compact galaxies tend to be massive and massive galaxies are preferentially located in dense regions.
We explored the dynamical properties of quiescent galaxies in hCOSMOS by examining the stellar mass fundamental plane at $0.1 < z < 0.6$ (Zahid et al. 2016a). The orientation of the stellar mass fundamental plane is independent of redshift for these systems and the zero-point appears to evolve by a small amount, $\sim 0.04$ dex. Compact quiescent galaxies fall on the same relation as the extended objects. The hCOSMOS data set confirms that the compact quiescent population does not constitute a special class of objects; the compact population is in the tail of the size and stellar mass distribution of the general quiescent population (see also Zahid et al. 2015).

Here, we provide the hCOSMOS spectro-photometric data set. To demonstrate the quality of the survey data, we provide a few applications of the data. We recover the known relations among structural, kinematic, and stellar population properties of the quiescent population. We demonstrate that the predicted and measured velocity dispersion differ systematically, potentially producing spurious effects. These differences highlight the importance of direct spectroscopic measurements.

We describe hCOSMOS and hCOS20.6 in Section 2. We examine the completeness of hCOS20.6 in Section 3. We explore the combined spectroscopic and photometric properties of the hCOS20.6 galaxies in Section 4 and compare salient characteristics of the hCOS20.6 sample with the larger area SHELPS survey to similar depth. We test the photometric proxy for inferring velocity dispersion in Section 5. We conclude in Section 6. We adopt the standard cosmology $(H_0, \Omega_m, \Omega_{\Lambda}) = (70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}, 0.3, 0.7)$ and AB magnitudes throughout.

2. Data Set

2.1. Photometry

2.1.1. Target Selection

The UltraVISTA photometric catalog (Muzzin et al. 2013) provides the basis for the spectroscopic survey. The UltraVISTA catalog covers 1.6 deg$^2$ of the COSMOS field and includes point-spread function (PSF) matched photometry in 30 photometric bands over the 0.15–24 $\mu$m wavelength range (Martin et al. 2005; Capak et al. 2007; Sanders et al. 2007; Taniguchi et al. 2007; McCracken et al. 2012). We target galaxies with $r$-band magnitudes $17.77 < r < 21.3$ for spectroscopy. The bright limit of $r = 17.77$ is the limiting magnitude of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) main galaxy sample (Strauss et al. 2002).

We selected extended objects in $HST$ images (Scarlata et al. 2007; Sargent et al. 2007). There are 10750 $17.77 < r < 21.3$ UltraVISTA targets within the $HST$-ACS footprint of the COSMOS field. A large fraction of these systems (6709 objects or 62.5%) are classified as galaxies based on the STELLARITY flag ($=0$) in the Zurich Structure & Morphology Catalog. These 6709 COSMOS galaxies are the Hectospec targets (Section 2.2.1).

We conducted observations in the spring of 2015 and 2016. For the first observing run we targeted red galaxies ($g - r > 0.8$; $r - i > 0.2$) without publicly available secure redshifts from zCOSMOS (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009) and/or SDSS DR12 (Alam et al. 2015). During the 2016 observing run (Section 2.2.1) we targeted all galaxies with $17.77 < r < 21.3$ that we did not observe previously with Hectospec. We also re-observed 2661 galaxies observed by zCOSMOS to take advantage of the broader Hectospec wavelength coverage. Our final survey, hCOSMOS, has no color selection. The spectra enable measurement of the $D_n$4000 index for all objects with spectroscopy (Section 2.2.3).

2.1.2. Structural Parameters

In addition to the extended COSMOS source selection, we also check the structural parameters reported in the Zurich Structure & Morphology Catalog (Sargent et al. 2007) measured using Galaxy IMage 2D (GIM2D) software (Simard et al. 2002). GIM2D fits a two-dimensional surface brightness model convolved with the PSF to galaxy images. Sargent et al. (2007) measure the half-light radius $R_e$, the Sérsic index $n$, and the ellipticity $e$ by fitting a single Sérsic (1968) model. In Sections 4.3 and 5 we use the circularized half-light radius $R_{e,c} = R_e \times \sqrt{(1 - e)}$ and Sérsic index $n$.

2.1.3. Stellar Masses

We derive stellar masses using publicly available $ugriz$-band photometry of the COSMOS field (Capak et al. 2007; Muzzin et al. 2013). We estimate the mass-to-light ($M/L$) ratio for each galaxy by $\chi^2$-fitting the observed photometry with synthetic spectral energy distributions (SEDs). The SED shape constrains the stellar $M/L$ ratio used to convert luminosity to stellar mass. We fit the observed photometry with LEPHARE (Arnouts et al. 1999; Illbert et al. 2006) using the stellar population synthesis models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003) and the Chabrier (2003) initial mass function. The stellar population models have three metallicities ($Z = 0.004$, 0.008, and 0.02) and exponentially declining star formation histories (star formation rate $\propto e^{-t/\tau}$) with e-folding times of $\tau = 0.1, 0.3, 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15,$ and 30 Gyr. Synthetic SEDs are generated from these models by varying the extinction and stellar population age. Extinction is applied to the synthetic SEDs by adopting the Calzetti et al. (2000) extinction law and by allowing $E(B-V)$ to range from 0 to 0.6. The stellar population ages range between 0.01 and 13 Gyr. For each set of parameters, the procedure yields a distribution for the best-fit $M/L$ ratio and stellar mass. We adopt the median of the stellar mass distribution as our estimate.

2.2. Spectroscopy

2.2.1. Observations

We observed hCOSMOS galaxies with Hectospec mounted on the 6.5 m MMT (Fabricant et al. 1998, 2005). Hectospec is a 300-fiber optical spectrograph with an $\sim 1$ square degree field of view (FOV). The instrument FOV is well-matched to the size of the COSMOS field. To maximize the completeness of hCOSMOS we targeted field positions around the center ($R.A._{2000} = 10^h40^m29^s$, decl.$_{2000} = +02^\circ12^\prime21^\prime\prime$) of the COSMOS field and prioritized galaxies according to their $r$-band brightness, filling empty fibers with $r > 21.3$ targets. Fiber positions were optimized using software developed by Roll et al. (1998).
The Hectospec spectra cover the wavelength range 3700–9100 Å at a resolution of $R \sim 1500$. At our faint limiting magnitude of $r = 21.3$, an integration time of 1 hr yields a redshift in optimal observing conditions ($\lesssim 1"$ seeing, dark time, airmass $\sim 1$). We conducted observations during dark and gray nights in 2015 February, 2015 April, 2016 February, and 2016 March, with $\sim 1"$ seeing (Hectospec fiber diameter is 1.5$^\circ$). For brighter targets with $r < 21.3$, typical observing conditions and 1 h exposure yield a redshift (Section 2.2.2), a $D_n4000$ index (Section 2.2.3), and a central velocity dispersion (for $\sim$80% of the objects; Section 2.2.4). We obtained 5492 science quality spectra (out of which 1405 are duplicates) in varying conditions. We include additional 275 spectra of galaxies in the COSMOS field from the Hectospec data archive. Thus, the hCOSMOS sample includes 4362 unique galaxies. Among these objects, 2661 have a redshift in the zCOSMOS catalog and 1701 redshifts are completely new. For the galaxies that overlap with zCOSMOS, the Hectospec spectra yield a redshift with a smaller error along with broader wavelength coverage. The broader spectral range of Hectospec allows for the $D_n4000$ index measurements across the redshift interval of the sample ($0.001 < z \lesssim 0.7$; Section 2.2.3). The spectral resolution enables velocity dispersion measurements for quiescent galaxies with high-quality spectra (Section 2.2.4).

### 2.2.2. Redshifts

Hectospec data are reduced with HSRED v2.0, developed by the Telescope Data Center. This pipeline is a revision of the original IDL pipeline by Richard Cool. The pipeline provides one-dimensional, wavelength-calibrated, and sky-subtracted spectra. We derive a relative flux calibration using an average correction for the relative throughput of the detector as a function of wavelength. This correction is based on repeat observations of standard stars. The relative throughput between 4000 and 8000 Å remains remarkably stable over years (see Figure 2 of Fabricant et al. 2008).

Redshifts are measured by cross-correlating observed spectra against a library of template spectra (Kurtz & Mink 1998). The pipeline returns the $r$-value, a relative amplitude of the cross-correlation peak (Tonry & Davis 1979), as a measure of the quality of the redshift. Based on visual inspection, we conclude that redshifts with $r$-values $>5$ are secure. To maximize completeness, we cross-match redshifts with $r$-values $<5$ with the highest quality redshifts (i.e., redshifts with a spectroscopic verification rate $>99\%$) of the 20K zCOSMOS-bright catalog (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009). We consider redshift measurements with $r$-values $<5$ reliable if they are within $\pm 2000$ km s$^{-1}$ of the corresponding zCOSMOS redshifts. Our final hCOSMOS sample includes 4362 distinct galaxies with reliable redshifts. Table 1 provides an overview of the hCOSMOS survey and Table 2 lists the spectroscopic measurements (redshift, $D_n4000$, and central velocity dispersion) and stellar mass estimates (Section 2.1.3) for the hCOSMOS sample.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of reliable hCOSMOS redshifts (blue histogram). The redshift distribution for $r < 21.3$ hCOSMOS systems shows prominent overdensities at $z \sim 0.12, 0.22, 0.26, 0.35, 0.37$. The same set of density peaks is evident in the distribution of redshifts for a complete magnitude-limited subsample hCOS20.6 covering the central $\sim 1$ deg$^2$ of the COSMOS field (red histogram, Section 3).

Figure 2 shows the $r$-value as a function of redshift for hCOSMOS galaxies (left panel) and the overall distribution of $r$-values for the sample. As expected, the cross-correlation value decreases with increasing $r$-band magnitude. Some systems (~9%) in our sample have $r$-values $\lesssim 5$. These objects have prominent spectral features in otherwise noisy spectra. As noted above, we include redshifts derived from low $r$-value spectra only if they are consistent with the values reported in the zCOSMOS catalog.

We use repeat observations to estimate the mean internal error in the Hectospec redshift. We limit the comparison to observations with $r$-values $\geq 5$ and $z < 0.7$. We estimate an error of 42 and 26 km s$^{-1}$ for absorption line and emission line systems, respectively. Our internal error estimates are consistent with estimates based on much larger Hectospec data sets (SHELS, Geller et al. 2014, 2016).

We compare the Hectospec redshift measurements with the zCOSMOS-bright (20 K) sample. There are 2661 repeat observations (Table 1) and the offset between hCOSMOS and zCOSMOS redshift measurements is $17 \pm 2$ km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 3); this is smaller than the typical statistical uncertainties in an individual measurement. The root-mean-square scatter of 96 km s$^{-1}$ is slightly lower than the typical redshift errors added in quadrature for hCOSMOS and zCOSMOS (110 km s$^{-1}$) is the typical zCOSMOS redshift error quoted by Lilly et al. 2009).

### 2.2.3. Spectroscopic Measurements: $D_n4000$ Index

The $D_n4000$ index is defined as the ratio of flux measured between 3850–3950 Å and 4000–4100 Å. These ranges are narrow to minimize the effects of reddening (Balogh et al. 1999). This spectral index measures the strength of the 4000 Å break produced by a large number of absorption lines.

### Table 1

| Property | hCOSMOS | hCOS20.6 |
|----------|---------|----------|
| $r$-band limit [mag] | 21.3 | 20.6 |
| Area [deg$^2$] | 1.59 | 0.89 |
| $N_{\text{phot}}$ | 6709 | 2041 |
| $N_{\text{spec}}$ | 4362 | 1968 |
| $z$ | (0.001, 0.7) | (0.001, 0.55) |
| $z$ | 0.32 | 0.27 |
| $D_n4000$ | 1713 | 901 |
| $D_n4000>1.5$ | 762 | 762 |
| $D_n4000>1.5$, $\sigma^d$ | 383 | 75 |
| Notes. | | |
| a | Number of SDSS spectra added to the hCOS20.6 sample (Section 3). |
| b | Number of overlaps with the zCOSMOS 20 K spectroscopic sample (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009). |
| c | Number of overlaps with the zCOSMOS at redshift $z \geq 0.44$ where $D_n4000$ index can be measured from zCOSMOS spectra. |
| d | Number of velocity dispersion measurements that report only for the hCOS20.6 quiescent sample (see Section 2.2.4 for details). |
where ionized metals are the main contributors to the opacity. In young, hot stars the elements are multiply ionized, decreasing the line opacities. Thus, the strength of the 4000 Å break is smaller for systems dominated by young stellar populations and it increases with the stellar population age. In Section 4.1 we employ the magnitude-limited subsample of hCOSMOS galaxies to demonstrate how the $D_n$ 4000 index discriminates between star-forming and quiescent galaxy populations (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2003; Woods et al. 2010; Geller et al. 2014).

We measure $D_n$ 4000 for 4341 hCOSMOS galaxies (99.5% of the targets with reliable spectroscopic redshifts, Table 1). The only other spectroscopic survey of the COSMOS field with a comparable completeness level, zCOSMOS-bright, provides $D_n$ 4000 measurements only for galaxies with redshifts $z > 0.44$. This high redshift limit results from the narrower spectral range of the VLT VIMOS grism (5500–9650 Å, Lilly et al. 2007). In hCOSMOS galaxies without $D_n$ 4000 measurements are either a) faint, with r-band magnitudes close to the $r = 21.5$ mag limit (the median r-band magnitude for these galaxies is $r = 20.9$), and/or b) have a redshift where the rest-frame wavelength range used for $D_n$ 4000 measurements is contaminated by strong night sky emission lines. Based on repeat observations of 1027 hCOSMOS objects, the typical error in $D_n$ 4000 is 0.057× the measured value (see also Fabricant et al. 2008).

### 2.2.4. Spectroscopic Measurements: Velocity Dispersion

We measure the central velocity dispersion using the University of Lyon Spectroscopic analysis Software\(^{10}\) (ULySS; Koleva et al. 2009). We fit the spectrum with single age stellar population models calculated with PEGASE-HR code (Le Borgne et al. 2004) and MILES stellar library (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006). The fit is limited to 4100–5500 Å in the rest-frame. This wavelength range minimizes velocity dispersion errors and provides the most stable results (Fabricant et al. 2013). This spectral range is accessible with Hectospec out to $z \sim 0.65$, the redshift range spanned by the hCOSMOS data. Models are convolved to the wavelength-dependent spectral resolution of the Hectospec data taking the line spread function into account (Fabricant et al. 2013). Models are parameterized by age and metallicity and are convolved with the line-of-sight velocity dispersion. The best-fit age, metallicity, and velocity dispersion are determined by a $\chi^2$ minimization. Given the S/N of our observations and the resolution of Hectospec, velocity dispersions are typically reliable down to $\sim 90$ km s$^{-1}$. The details of the velocity dispersions measurements with Hectospec along with systematic issues are discussed in Fabricant et al. (2013).

Figure 4 shows spectra for three quiescent galaxies ranging in data quality and velocity dispersion. From a larger data set of $\sim 2500$ repeat observations, we show that the velocity dispersions of quiescent galaxies agree within the observational uncertainties of the fits as shown in Figure 4 (Geller et al., in prep). From this same set of repeat observations, we determine that velocity dispersions measured for emission line galaxies are unreliable. Our sample selection criterion of $D_n$ 4000 $> 1.5$ (see Section 4.1) effectively removes emission line galaxies from the sample (Woods et al. 2010). We report 762 velocity dispersions measurements with $\chi^2 < 2$ for quiescent galaxies in the complete hCOS20.6 sample (Section 3).

### 3. Completeness

We compare the spatial and magnitude distributions of hCOSMOS galaxies and of the parent photometric UltraVISTA sample to construct a complete magnitude-limited subsample, hCOC20.6, within the central 0.89 deg$^2$ of the COSMOS field (see Table 1). We augment the hCOSMOS systems in hCOS20.6 with a small number (37) of SDSS/BOSS observations. In addition to the measurements for hCOSMOS sample, Table 2 lists previously reported redshifts and our $D_n$ 4000, velocity dispersion, and stellar mass measurements for these SDSS/BOSS targets.

The left panel of Figure 5 shows the spectroscopic completeness of hCOS20.6 and zCOSMOS. For $r < 20.6$, the sample of 1968 hCOS20.6 galaxies is >90% complete. For

---

10 http://ulyss.univ-lyon1.fr/
comparison, we plot the zCOSMOS-bright completeness over the same magnitude range (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009). The zCOSMOS-bright survey targets galaxies with $I_{AB} \leq 22.5$ and is designed to provide a uniform completeness of $\sim 60\%$ throughout this magnitude range for the full $1.7$ deg$^2$ of the COSMOS field. In contrast, the hCOSMOS survey provides $>90\%$ spectroscopic completeness in the central $\sim 1$ deg$^2$ of the COSMOS field for objects with $r < 20.6$ mag. The left panel of Figure 5 reflects these differences in these complementary surveys. The hCOSMOS sample is $\geq 90\%$ complete in every $6' \times 6'$ spatial bin within the area outlined by the black solid line in the right panel of Figure 5. Uniform, dense spectroscopic surveys like hCOSMOS are especially important for studies of the interplay between galaxy internal properties and their environments (e.g., the mapping of density fields around massive compact quiescent galaxies in hCOSMOS; Damjanov et al. 2015b) and for unbiased assessment of scaling relations among galaxy properties.

The upper panel of Figure 6 shows the absolute $r$-band magnitude as a function of redshift and $D_n4000$ for hCOSMOS. We determine the $K$-corrected and reddening-corrected magnitude by fitting the stellar population synthesis models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003) to the observed SED using the LEPHARE code just as we did to estimate galaxy stellar mass (Section 2.1). The gray dashed line in the upper panel of Figure 6 traces the limiting absolute magnitude $M_{r,\text{lim}}$ as a function of redshift:

$$M_{r,\text{lim}} = m_{r,\text{lim}} - 5 \log \left( \frac{D_l(z)}{10 \text{ pc}} \right) - K,$$

where $m_{r,\text{lim}} = 20.6$ mag is the limiting apparent magnitude for the complete sample, $D_l(z)$ is the luminosity distance, and $K$ is the average $K$-correction for star-forming ($D_n4000 < 1.5$) galaxies as a function of redshift. A small number of star-forming galaxies (56, or 3% of the complete sample) lie below the absolute magnitude limit. Galaxies scatter across the limit due to large photometric uncertainties.

In the lower panel of Figure 6 we show the distribution of stellar masses as a function of redshift and $D_n4000$. The stellar mass distribution displays the previously known trends: 1) at each redshift the most massive galaxies have large $D_n4000$, i.e., they are dominated by old stellar populations, and 2) at a given stellar mass within the $9.5 < \log(M_*/[M_\odot]) < 11$ range, the fraction of galaxies with small $D_n4000$ increases with redshift. These relations between stellar mass, galaxy quiescence, and redshift are apparent in other dense spectroscopic surveys covering a similar redshift interval (e.g., SHELS, Geller et al. 2014, 2016 or PRIMUS, Moustakas et al. 2013).

Figure 7 shows the distribution of hCOSMOS $6$ galaxies in $\Delta z = 0.1$ redshift bins projected along the R.A.2000 direction. The characteristic large-scale structure is clearly visible. Individual objects are color-coded by $D_n4000$. Figure 7 shows that galaxies with small $D_n4000$ dominate lower-density regions and galaxies with large $D_n4000$ are typically found in high-density regions, again a known relation.

4. Properties of the Magnitude-limited Sample

To demonstrate some applications of hCOSMOS and similar future surveys, we explore the distribution of $D_n4000$ indices and we examine the correlation between $D_n4000$ and galaxy rest-frame colors. We also compare the $D_n4000$ distribution of hCOSMOS with the distribution in the 4 deg$^2$ SHELS F2 field to the same limiting depth (Geller et al. 2014). Finally, we combine structural parameters based on HST imaging with galaxy stellar masses and $D_n4000$ indices to examine the size–stellar mass relation for star-forming and quiescent hCOSMOS galaxies.

4.1. $D_n4000$: Selecting the Star-forming and Quiescent Galaxy Populations

Large spectroscopic surveys demonstrate that the $D_n4000$ index distribution is strongly bimodal, with a clear division...
between quiescent and star-forming galaxies at $D_{n}4000 \sim 1.5$ (Kauffmann et al. 2003; Vergani et al. 2008; Woods et al. 2010; Geller et al. 2014). Throughout this work we define star-forming and quiescent galaxies as those with $D_{n}4000 < 1.5$ and $D_{n}4000 > 1.5$, respectively. Other methods for discriminating between star-forming and quiescent galaxies include galaxy morphology, observed colors, rest-frame colors, the shape of galaxy SEDs, and various combinations of photometric, spectroscopic, and/or morphological galaxy properties (e.g., Moresco et al. 2013).

The $UVJ$ color–color diagram is one commonly used technique to separate star-forming and quiescent galaxies (Williams et al. 2009). The left panel of Figure 8 shows the $U - V$ versus $V - J$ rest-frame colors of hCOSMOS galaxies. The solid black line shows the separation between star-forming and quiescent galaxy populations from Williams et al. (2009). Galaxies in the color–color diagram are color-coded by their $D_{n}4000$ index, demonstrating that the large majority of $D_{n}4000 > 1.5$ systems (yellow/red points) occupy the quiescent population region defined by galaxy rest-frame $(U - V)$ and $(V - J)$ colors. In contrast, galaxies with $D_{n}4000 < 1.5$ (blue and green points) have rest-frame colors of star-forming systems.

No technique can separate star-forming and quiescent galaxies perfectly. Some mixing of two galaxy populations is expected for all classifiers (e.g., Woods et al. 2010; Moresco et al. 2013). We compare the identification of quiescent and star-forming samples on the basis of their rest-frame colors with the identification based on $D_{n}4000$. The blue and red histograms in the right panel of Figure 8 show the distributions of $D_{n}4000$ for the quiescent and star-forming populations identified on the basis of rest-frame color. In the passive rest-frame color-selected sample (red histogram) less than 10% of galaxies have $D_{n}4000 < 1.5$. For the color-selected star-forming population, $\sim 13\%$ are quiescent based on their spectroscopic properties ($D_{n}4000 > 1.5$). We note that the contamination levels reported here are lower than any of the classifiers tested in Moresco et al. (2013). We thus confirm and extend the results based on the quiescent hCOSMOS sample selection (Damjanov et al. 2015b): for galaxies with measured spectroscopic redshifts the $D_{n}4000$ spectroscopic indicator and the rest-frame colors provide very similar samples of quiescent and star-forming systems.
Figure 4. Spectra of three quiescent galaxies in the spectral range used to determine velocity dispersion. The spectra range in data quality (described by \( r \)-value as in Section 2.2.2) and velocity dispersion, which are noted in each panel. The red curve in each plot is the best-fit model. The data are smoothed by 5 pixels for display purposes.

4.2. Large-scale Structure and \( D_{n4000} \): Comparison with the F2 Sample

The F2 field is one of two 4 deg\(^2\) fields of SHELS (Smithsonian Hectospec Lensing Survey), a dense complete redshift survey to a limiting \( R = 20.6 \) (Geller et al. 2014, 2016). The 95\% complete survey of the F2 field, centered at RA\(_{2000} = 09^h19^m32^s\) and decl\(_{2000} = +30^\circ00'00''\), includes \( \sim 13,300 \) objects with spectroscopic redshift, stellar mass, and \( D_{n4000} \) index measurements. For the comparison with hCOS20.6, we construct a magnitude-limited (\( r < 20.6 \)) subsample of F2 galaxies using the \( R \) to \( r \) conversion provided in (Geller et al. 2016, Equation (1)).

The upper panel of Figure 9 shows the redshift distribution for galaxies in the hCOS20.6 (blue) and F2 (red) samples; the distributions clearly differ. The F2 sample describes the structure in the field in the 0.1 \( \lesssim \z \lesssim 0.6 \) redshift range, with the median redshift \( \bar{z} = 0.3 \). The hCOS20.6 sample follows the structure of the COSMOS field in the redshift interval 0.1 \( \lesssim \z \lesssim 0.4 \), with a median value of \( \bar{z} = 0.27 \) (as shown in the cone diagram, Figure 8). The richest structures in the F2 field are concentrated around \( \z \sim 0.3 \), followed by prominent peaks at \( \z \sim 0.12, \z \sim 0.18, \z \sim 0.21, \) and \( \z \sim 0.42 \). In the hCOS20.6 sample, a large fraction of the galaxies are concentrated at \( \z \sim 0.12 \), with additional peaks in the redshift distribution at \( \z \sim 0.22, \z \sim 0.26, \) and \( \z \sim 0.35 \). Differences in the redshift distributions reflect cosmic variance.

The lower panel of Figure 9 shows the \( D_{n4000} \) distribution for galaxies in hCOS20.6 (blue) and F2 (red). In striking contrast to the redshift distributions, the F2 and hCOS20.6 samples show very similar \( D_{n4000} \) distributions. The two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test gives a \( p \)-value of 0.01 for the redshift distributions in the two fields; the hypothesis that the two distributions originate from the same parent distribution is rejected. The \( p \)-value of the two-sample K–S test performed on the two \( D_{n4000} \) distributions is much higher (\( p = 0.11 \)); thus we cannot reject the hypothesis that the distributions of \( D_{n4000} \) in F2 and hCOS20.6 have the same parent distribution. We obtain very similar results with the Anderson–Darling two-sample test (Scholz & Stephens 1987) that is more sensitive to the tails of distributions than the K–S test.

Comparison of the \( D_{n4000} \) distributions for the hCOS20.6 and F2 samples reveals that, averaged over a broad redshift range that includes both dense structures and low density regions, the fractions of quiescent (\( D_{n4000} > 1.5 \)) and star-forming (\( D_{n4000} < 1.5 \)) galaxies are consistent between the two fields (see also Geller et al. 2016). Although the hCOS20.6 survey is small and thus subject to substantial cosmic variance, the distribution of \( D_{n4000} \) indices is remarkably similar to the SHELS F2 survey that covers \( \sim 5 \times \) larger area to a similar depth. This comparison demonstrates that in spite of cosmic variance, the distribution of the \( D_{n4000} \) index is stable when averaged over volumes at least as large as hCOS20.6.
4.3. $D_{n4000}$ Index: Galaxy Size–Stellar Mass Relation

Both star-forming and quiescent galaxy populations exhibit a correlation between stellar mass and size. In recent years, many studies have explored the size–stellar mass relation and its redshift evolution (e.g., Shen et al. 2003; Trujillo et al. 2004; Malby et al. 2010; van Dokkum et al. 2010; Damjanov et al. 2011; Newman et al. 2012; Huertas-Company et al. 2013; Delaye et al. 2014; van der Wel et al. 2014; Paulino-Afonso et al. 2017). The accuracy of the relation depends on the range of stellar masses, errors in the sizes and stellar masses, the resolution of the images used to estimate galaxy sizes, surface brightness selection effects, the imaging rest-frame wavelength, and the range of environments (e.g., Lange et al. 2015; van der Wel et al. 2014; Sweet et al. 2017). Thus, the size–stellar mass relation can vary significantly between different galaxy samples. However, in comparison with their quiescent counterparts, star-forming galaxies at all redshifts exhibit a shallower trend in size with stellar mass (see, e.g., Shen et al. 2003) for $z \sim 0$ relations and van der Wel et al. (2014) for $0.5 < z \lesssim 3$ relations.

Figure 10 shows that the star-forming and quiescent populations of hCOS20.6 follow different size–stellar mass relations, $log(R_e [kpc]) \propto log(M_\ast [M_\odot])^{\alpha}$. A detailed analysis of the size–stellar mass relation is beyond the scope of this work. However, we note that the size of quiescent hCOS20.6 systems displays a trend with stellar mass ($\alpha \sim 0.45$) that is steeper than the one for star-forming galaxies in our sample ($\alpha \sim 0.22$), in qualitative agreement with other recent studies (e.g., van der Wel et al. 2014; Lange et al. 2016; Haines et al. 2017). Furthermore, the slopes of the size–mass relations for quiescent and star-forming hCOS20.6 galaxies agree within the uncertainties ($<2\sigma$) with the size–mass relations that we derive for the two galaxy populations in the much larger SHELS F2 sample based on Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam images (Damjanov et al. in prep).

The size–stellar mass diagram of Figure 10 can be used to select samples of compact galaxies as the extreme outliers from the size–stellar mass relation defined by the parent star-forming or quiescent population (e.g., Trujillo et al. 2007; van Dokkum et al. 2008; Barro et al. 2013; Poggianti et al. 2013; van der Wel et al. 2014). As in our previous analysis of the COSMOS field (Damjanov et al. 2015a, 2015b; Zahid et al. 2015, 2016a), we employ the threshold for galaxy compactness defined by (Barro et al. 2013; see the gray dashed line in Figure 10). Based on this selection, 249 hCOS20.6 galaxies (or $\sim 13\%$ of the parent sample) are compact. In addition, the color-coding of hCOS20.6 systems in Figure 10 shows that the compact systems in our $0.1 < z \lesssim 0.6$ sample tend to be quiescent ($D_{n4000} \sim 1.5$).

We explore the relation between galaxy compactness and age (quiescence) in more detail in Figure 11. Here, we select four galaxy subsamples in equally populated bins of $D_{n4000}$. Normalized distributions of galaxy compactness (defined as in Figure 10) show that the hCOS20.6 systems with the highest $D_{n4000}$ are also the most compact (red histogram in the upper panel of Figure 11). Furthermore, there is a very little overlap between the compactness distributions for the galaxies with the oldest ($D_{n4000} > 1.8$) and the youngest ($D_{n4000} < 1.3$) stellar population. The galaxies with the lowest $D_{n4000}$ indices are the least compact ones in the parent sample (blue histogram in the upper panel of Figure 11).

Cumulative distributions in the lower panel of Figure 11 illustrate even more clearly the strong positive trend in compactness with the dominant stellar population age for the galaxy. The maximum compactness levels of the four hCOS20.6 subsamples (gray dashed and dashed–dotted lines in the lower panel of Figure 11) demonstrate that quiescent galaxies can be up to a factor of four times more compact than the star-forming objects. Note that we do not perform this comparison at fixed stellar mass. Figure 10 shows that in our magnitude-limited sample star-forming galaxies dominate the low stellar mass region and quiescent systems occupy the high-mass end of the stellar mass distribution. Thus, the trend in compactness with galaxy age (Figure 11) is driven mainly by the large stellar mass of galaxies with high $D_{n4000}$.

4.4. Velocity Dispersion: Relation between Stellar Mass and Velocity Dispersion

The central stellar velocity dispersion of quiescent galaxies is correlated with stellar mass—the $M_\ast–\sigma$ relation. We derive the $M_\ast–\sigma$ relation for hCOS20.6 sample using an analysis...
approach similar to Zahid et al. (2016b). We select quiescent galaxies with \( D_n4000 > 1.5 \), \( 0.2 < z < 0.5 \) and \( \log(M_*/M_\odot) > 10.5 \); the mass selection mitigates limitations due to instrumental resolution. These selection criteria yield a sample of 597 quiescent galaxies. We have a velocity dispersion measurement for 95% of galaxies in this selected sample (565/597). We aperture-correct the velocity dispersion to a fiducial physical aperture radius of 3 kpc (see Zahid et al. 2016b for details). The aperture correction is small and does not significantly affect the results. We compare the hCOSMOS data to a consistently analyzed local sample from SDSS. The details of the SDSS sample selection can be found in Zahid et al. (2016b).

Figure 12 shows the \( M_\sigma \) relation for hCOSMOS and SDSS galaxies. We fit the two relations using \textit{linfit.pro} in IDL. The best fit is as follows:

\[
\log(\sigma)(M_{11}) = (2.285 \pm 0.006) + (0.25 \pm 0.03)M_{11},
\]

and

\[
\log(\sigma)(M_{11}) = (2.2926 \pm 0.0002) + (0.298 \pm 0.001)M_{11},
\]

for hCOSMOS and SDSS, respectively. Here, \( M_{11} = \log(M_*/M_\odot) - 11 \). The two relations are consistent. From Figure 12 we conclude that the \( M_\sigma \) relation of massive quiescent galaxies does not evolve significantly for galaxies at \( z < 0.5 \) and that the intrinsic scatter does not depend strongly on redshift.

Zahid et al. (2016b) examine the \( M_\sigma \) relation derived from a sample of \( \sim 4500 \) galaxies in the SHELS F2 at \( z \lesssim 0.7 \). They sort their sample in bins of redshift and find no significant evolution in the \( M_\sigma \) relation. They measure \( \sigma \propto M_*^{-0.3} \). The results in Figure 12 are consistent with the detailed analysis of Zahid et al. (2016b). The kinematic scaling relations measured from hCOSMOS are robust and demonstrate the power of these data to explore the spectral kinematic properties of quiescent galaxies at intermediate redshifts.

5. Testing Photometric Proxies for the Central Velocity Dispersion

Velocity dispersion is correlated with stellar mass, size, and Sérsic index (e.g., Taylor et al. 2010; Bezanson et al. 2011; Zahid & Geller 2017). Bezanson et al. (2011) calibrated a photometric proxy for stellar velocity dispersion using the SDSS DR7 galaxy sample (see also Bezanson et al. 2012). They applied this proxy to construct the velocity dispersion function and to examine the evolution of galaxy dynamical properties at \( 0 < z < 1.5 \). We use the quiescent hCOSMOS galaxies with measured velocity dispersions to test this proxy at \( 0.05 < z < 0.66 \).

We compare the measured velocity dispersion \( \sigma_0 \) with the value inferred from photometry (Bezanson et al. 2011):

\[
\sigma_{\text{inf}} = \sqrt{\frac{GM_\odot}{0.577 K_e(n)R_{e,e}}}.
\]

Here, the 0.577 factor is the average stellar-to-total mass ratio \( (M_*/M_{\text{dyn}} = 0.577) \) derived by Bezanson et al. (2011). The coefficient \( K_e(n) \) in Equation (4) is related to the Sérsic index \( n \) (Bertin et al. 2002):

\[
K_e(n) = \frac{73.32}{10.465 + (n - 0.94)^2} + 0.954.
\]

This relation is derived assuming virial equilibrium.

To estimate the inferred velocity dispersion using Equations (4) and (5), we combine our stellar mass estimates for the hCOSMOS sample with the Sargent et al. (2007) GIM2D-based galaxy size and Sérsic index measurements (see Section 2.1 for more details on these measurements).

The velocity dispersion measured from galaxy spectra depends on the aperture size. To correct our hCOSMOS velocity dispersion measurements \( \sigma_{\text{ap}} \) to an aperture radius of \( r_{e,e}/8 \) (Jorgensen et al. 1995; Bertin et al. 2002), we use the
where $0''75$ is the size of the fiber aperture for Hectospec. This correction results from the comparison between velocity dispersion measurements based on SDSS and Hectospec spectra for SHELS galaxies (Zahid et al. 2016b). The Hectospec-based correction is consistent with aperture corrections based on observations with other fiber-fed spectrographs (Jorgensen et al. 1995), long-slit spectrographs (Mehlert et al. 2003), and integral field units (Cappellari et al. 2006).

The upper panel of Figure 13 compares the inferred ($\sigma_{\text{inf}}$) with the measured ($\sigma_{m}$) velocity dispersions color-coded by Sérsic index. The root-mean-square scatter around the one-to-one relation (excluding outliers) is 0.14 dex ($\sim$38%). Belli et al. (2014) report a similar scatter (0.13 dex or $\sim$35%) based on a small sample of massive ($M_*>2.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$) quiescent galaxies at $0.9<z<1.6$. This scatter is larger than the typical 10% uncertainty of our velocity dispersion measurements; thus the difference is dominated by systematic rather than statistical uncertainty. The upper panel of Figure 13 shows that the difference depends on galaxy shape. Quiescent hCOSMOS galaxies with Sérsic indices $n \lesssim 2.5$ show the largest discrepancies between measured and inferred velocity dispersion.

The lower panel of Figure 13 shows the ratio between the inferred and measured velocity dispersion as a function of the measured central velocity dispersion. The median value of the ratio between two quantities is $\sigma_{\text{inf}}/\sigma_{m} = 1.01$. The difference between the measured and inferred velocity dispersion is correlated with the velocity dispersion measurement (with the Pearson’s correlation coefficient of $r_p^2 = -0.51$). The best linear fit to the median residuals for equally populated bins
of measured velocity dispersion (solid black line and black squares, respectively, in the lower panel of Figure 13) is

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{int}}}{\sigma_0} = (1.0 \pm 0.2) \times \left( \frac{\sigma_0}{1 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-0.46 \pm 0.08} \quad (7)$$

Figure 13 shows that the residuals depend on galaxy shape (described by the Sérsic index n). The slopes of the $\sigma_{\text{int}}/\sigma_0$ versus $\sigma_0$ relation for a subsample of quiescent galaxies with disk-like shapes ($n < 2.5$) agrees (within uncertainties) with the slope of the relation for $n > 2.5$ galaxies (spheroids). However, the offset between two relations is $\sim 0.15$ dex for the measured velocity dispersion range $2 \lesssim \log(\sigma_0) \lesssim 2.5$. The dependence of the residuals on galaxy shape suggests that $K_s(n)$ (Equation (5)) does not fully account for the dependence of velocity dispersion on Sérsic index (see, e.g., Zahid & Geller 2017).

To investigate the relation between Sérsic index and inferred velocity dispersion, we explore how this relation depends on the $D_n 4000$ index. The left panel of Figure 14 shows galaxy Sérsic index as a function of its stellar mass. Colored lines connect median Sérsic indices in equally populated stellar mass bins for different intervals of $D_n 4000$ values. At high $D_n 4000$ values ($D_n 4000 \gtrsim 1.85$), the median Sérsic index is not a strong function of stellar mass ($3 < n < 4$). In contrast, at $D_n 4000 \lesssim 1.6$ the median Sérsic index varies greatly with stellar mass. An approximately mass-complete sample of log($M_*/M_\odot$) > 10.6 quiescent hCOS20.6 galaxies at $z < 0.4$ shows a similar trend. Furthermore, Zahid & Geller (2017) find a qualitatively similar trend using a large sample of quiescent SDSS and SHELS galaxies at $z < 0.7$ (see their Figure 6). As with the quiescent hCOS20.6 galaxies, they find that the trend in $n$ with stellar mass flattens with increasing $D_n 4000$.

The relation between Sérsic index, stellar mass, and $D_n 4000$ implies a relation between the accuracy of the inferred velocity dispersion and $D_n 4000$ index (the right panel of Figure 14). For galaxies with $D_n 4000 \lesssim 1.65$ the inferred velocity dispersion is typically underestimated (e.g., for galaxies with $\sigma_0 \gtrsim 200$ km s$^{-1}$, $\sigma_{\text{int}} \sim 0.6 \times \sigma_0$). For galaxies with higher $D_n 4000$ index values ($D_n 4000 > 1.75$), the median inferred velocity dispersion is overestimated for $\sigma_0 < 230$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ accurately reproduces $\sigma_0$ (i.e., is within 10% of the measured value) only for a narrow range of $\sigma_0$.

Figure 15 shows $\sigma_{\text{int}}/\sigma_0$ as a function of stellar mass. The ratio is weakly correlated with stellar mass ($r^2 \sim 0.3$). The best-fit relation is

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{int}}}{\sigma_0} = (-1.0 \pm 0.2) \times \left( \frac{M_*/1 M_\odot}{0.09 \pm 0.02} \right) \quad \text{(8)}$$

This relation (solid black line in the upper panel of Figure 15) is the best fit to the median values of $\sigma_{\text{int}}/\sigma_0$ in equally populated stellar mass bins (black squares in the upper panel of Figure 15). hCOS20.6 quiescent systems with $n < 2.5$ (blue circles in the upper panel of Figure 15) show the largest offset from the median $\sigma_{\text{int}}/\sigma_0$ (dashed line in the upper panel of Figure 15).

To test the effect of $D_n 4000$ on the relation between the $\sigma_{\text{int}}/\sigma_0$ ratio and stellar mass, we select a subsample of galaxies with $D_n 4000 > 1.75$. Figure 14 shows that galaxies with $D_n 4000 > 1.75$ have little variation in median Sérsic index with increasing stellar mass. Consequently, the systems with large $D_n 4000$ display median ratios between inferred and measured velocity dispersions (points with vertical error bars in the lower panel of Figure 15) that consistently exceed one for $M_*/2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. However, the trend in $\sigma_{\text{int}}/\sigma_0$ with stellar mass for $D_n 4000 > 1.75$ systems is consistent (within uncertainties) with the slope of the relation for all quiescent hCOS20.6 galaxies (Equation (8)).
The velocity dispersion inferred from galaxy photometric properties differs systematically from the directly measured value. The discrepancy exceeds the statistical uncertainties in the measurements and the deviations are correlated with galaxy properties. Systematic differences depend on dynamical parameters (the measured velocity dispersion) and stellar population properties ($D_n4000$ index, stellar mass). We caution that systematic uncertainties in the photometric proxy for velocity dispersion may introduce spurious effects on galaxy scaling relations.

6. Conclusions

hCOSMOS is a dense redshift survey targeting intermediate-redshift galaxies in the COSMOS field. A subset of the survey, hCOS20.6, is uniformly >90% complete across the central 0.89 deg$^2$ of the COSMOS field to a limiting $r = 20.6$. hCOSMOS spectra cover the 3700–9100 Å wavelength range at a resolution of $R \sim 1500$. hCOSMOS20.6 includes 1968 galaxies covering the redshift range 0.01 $\lesssim z \lesssim$ 0.6 with a median redshift of $\bar{z} = 0.27$.

The completeness of the survey and the broad wavelength coverage of the spectra make hCOS20.6 unique. We measure the $D_n4000$ index, an indicator of quiescence and a proxy for galaxy age, for all hCOS20.6 galaxies. The completeness of the survey has already provided a platform for assessing the environment of compact quiescent galaxies at intermediate redshifts (Damjanov et al. 2015b).

In preparation for much larger, deeper future surveys, we demonstrate some uses of hCOS20.6. Comparison of the $D_n4000$ distribution for the magnitude-limited sample with their rest-frame $UVJ$ colors confirms that $D_n4000 = 1.5$ efficiently separates star-forming and quiescent populations. In contrast with the rest-frame colors, the $D_n4000$ index is insensitive to reddening and does not require $K$-correction. Although the small area of the hCOS20.6 survey makes cosmic variance a serious issue, comparison with a larger area complete redshift survey (SHELS F2 field, Geller et al. 2014) shows that, averaged over the hCOS20.6 volume, the fraction of star-forming and quiescent systems is insensitive to cosmic variance. Averaged over the entire field, the hCOSMOS survey probes a range of environments such that the scaling relations we explore also appear to be insensitive to cosmic variance.

The COSMOS regions (and future regions imaged by, for example, EUCLID) enable the combination of spectral parameters like $D_n4000$ indices with photometric parameters as probes of galaxy evolution. We demonstrate, for example, that compact galaxies in hCOS20.6 are generally quiescent (with $D_n4000 \gtrsim 1.5$). Furthermore, there is a trend in compactness (defined as in Barro et al. 2013) with $D_n4000$ index as a proxy of galaxy age: the most compact systems contain the oldest stellar population ($D_n4000 > 1.8$). This trend largely reflects the larger stellar masses of galaxies with high $D_n4000$ indices.

Spectroscopically determined central velocity dispersions in the COSMOS region have not been available previously. We measure velocity dispersions for 762 objects in the quiescent galaxy population of hCOS20.6 (85% of the quiescent sample). Together with galaxy size and stellar mass, these velocity dispersion measurements probe the stellar mass fundamental plane of quiescent systems at 0.1 $\lesssim z \lesssim 0.6$ as a function of galaxy compactness (Zahid et al. 2016a).
Here, we use the measured velocity dispersions to test a photometric velocity dispersion proxy (Bezanson et al. 2011, 2012; Belli et al. 2014) at these intermediate redshifts. Differences between the measured and predicted velocity dispersions depend on the spectroscopic properties of galaxies (e.g., the measured velocity dispersion itself and D_4000). These results underscore the importance of direct spectroscopic measurements for understanding the connections between galaxies and their dark matter halos (e.g., Wake et al. 2012; Belli et al. 2014; Bogdán & Goulding 2015; Schechter 2016; Belli et al. 2017; Zahid et al. 2016b; Zahid & Geller 2017).

In combination with structural properties based on high-resolution HST imaging and stellar mass estimates from broadband photometry, hCOSMOS provides unique probes of the dynamical, stellar population, and environmental properties of intermediate-redshift galaxies. The hCOSMOS survey demonstrates the power of combining a complete magnitude-limited spectroscopic survey with high-resolution imaging. Larger area surveys reaching to greater depth promise increasingly powerful fundamental constraints on the evolution of galaxies and their dark matter halos.
of the baryonic properties of galaxies. Spectroscopic surveys that provide central velocity dispersions may be a route to connecting this evolution to the underlying dark matter halos.
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Figure 14. Left: median Sérsic index as a function of stellar mass and $D_{4000}$ for the quiescent ($D_{4000} > 1.5$) galaxies in the hCOS20.6 sample. The colored solid lines follow the median Sérsic index in bins of stellar mass for galaxies with a range of $D_{4000}$ measurements. Right: median inferred velocity dispersion as a function of measured velocity dispersion and $D_{4000}$ of quiescent hCOS20.6 galaxies. The colored solid lines follow the median inferred velocity dispersion in bins of measured velocity dispersion for galaxies with a range of $D_{4000}$. The gray dashed line shows the threshold for the inferred velocity dispersion from Figure 13. The gray circles in both panels correspond to individual galaxies. The single error bars in both panels represent typical errors.

Figure 15. The ratio between predicted and measured velocity dispersions vs. stellar mass for quiescent hCOS20.6 galaxies (upper panel: all $D_{4000} > 1.5$ systems with measured velocity dispersions; lower panel: the $D_{4000} > 1.75$ subsample). In both panels points are color-coded by Sérsic index and the dashed and dotted lines show the median and the interquartile ranges for the two samples. The black points with error bars represent the median value and its bootstrapped error for $\sigma_{\text{env}}/\sigma_0$ in equally populated bins of stellar mass. The black solid lines in both panels correspond to the best fit to the trend that median $\sigma_{\text{env}}/\sigma_0$ values show with stellar mass. The single error bars in both panels represent typical errors.
