DEFINITION OF PAIN

The International Association for the Study of Pain defines pain as an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience, which is primarily associated with tissue damage or described in terms of tissue damage, or both (1). Within the context of the present paper, acute pain can be defined as an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience that follows surgically induced tissue injury; as subjective, brief in duration and persistent in nature; as moderate to severe in intensity; and as associated with those surgeries. Pain is an important and common health problem in Canada (3). Invariably, acute pain and its management is associated with increased use of health care resources (12). Eighty per cent of all doctor visits have a pain-related component. Pain remains one of the most common experiences of patients today. In an audit of hospitalized patients 87% of patients experienced moderate to severe pain (2) (Table 1). Each year, there are approximately five million surgeries performed in Canada (3). Invariably, acute pain and its management is associated with increased use of health care resources (12).

1Departments of Anesthesiology and Surgery, Queens University, Kingston General Hospital, Kingston, Ontario; 2School of Nursing, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario; 3Department of Anaesthesia, College of Physicians & Surgeons of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba; 4Acute Pain Management Services, Queens University, Kingston General Hospital, Kingston, Ontario; 5Department of Anaesthesia, Ottawa Hospital Civic Campus, Ottawa, Ontario; 6Department of Anaesthesia, Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre, Victoria General Site, Halifax, Nova Scotia; 7Departments of Anesthesiology & Community Health and Epidemiology, School of Nursing, Queens University, Kingston, Ontario; 8Appendix A

Correspondence: Dr David H Goldstein, Departments of Anesthesiology and Surgery, Queens University, 76 Stuart Street, Kingston, Ontario K7L 2V7, Telephone 613-549-6666 ext 3399, fax 613-548-1375, e-mail goldsted@kgh.kari.net

Pain Res Manage Vol 9 No 3 Autumn 2004 ©2004 Pulsus Group Inc. All rights reserved
The epidemiology of pain is not well understood (4,13). The lack of a clear differentiation between acute and chronic pain contributes to the heterogeneity of pain prevalence estimates in the literature. Acute pain that is not adequately managed is thought to sometimes lead to chronic pain (14-16). The incidence of chronic pain after procedures such as thoracotomy may be as high as 50% (15). Extrapolating from the Canadian Institute for Health Information hospital discharge data on six common surgical procedures and from the incidence and prevalence estimates of chronic postsurgical pain in the literature, estimates of new cases of chronic postsurgical pain have been calculated (Table 2). In 1999 to 2000, over 72,000 new cases of chronic postsurgical pain may have occurred in Canada after surgeries such as hysterectomy, cholecystectomy, hip and knee replacement, breast surgery and thoracotomy (Table 2). If acute postoperative pain has an impact on the development of chronic postsurgical pain, then adequate management of postoperative pain will not only decrease hospital costs through decreased length of stay postoperatively, but will decrease health care spending on the alleviation of chronic pain and suffering through the initial prevention of chronic pain.

**Recommendations**

- Generate interest and garner support from national organizations such as the Canadian Collaborative Acute Pain Initiative (CCAPI), the Canadian Pain Society, the Canadian Anesthesiology Society, the Canadian Public Health Association and the Canadian Society for Epidemiology and Biostatistics to facilitate networking and research between researchers interested in pain;
- Reach a consensus on a definition for acute pain;
- Use existing secondary data sources such as the Canadian Institute for Health Information; and
- Facilitate the collection and analysis of perioperative acute pain data.

### THE ‘IDEAL’ ACUTE PAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICE

The purpose of the Acute Pain Management Service (APMS) is to provide the hospital patient with optimal acute pain management. Optimal acute pain management is defined as a balance between effective analgesic modalities, treatment of side effects and patient safety. There is widespread support for the concept of an APMS (17-19) and the benefits that ensue. Werner et al (20) recently published a review of the effect of an APMS on postoperative outcomes and found that while

---

**TABLE 1**

| Patients (n) | % |
|-------------|---|
| Pain was present all or most of the time | 1042/3162 33 |
| Pain was severe or moderate | 2755/3157 87 |
| Pain was worse than expected | 182/1051 17 |
| Had to ask for drugs | 1065/25,981 42 |
| Drugs did not arrive immediately | 455/1085 41 |

Adapted from reference 2
pain. A clinical nurse specialist that has graduate level preparation is an ideal position to coordinate, monitor and evaluate the services provided by the APMS.

Physiotherapists can also have an impact on postsurgical patients, by reminding patients of the proper use of their pain modalities so they may actively participate in their rehabilitation. Also their feedback to the pain service when patient pain is inadequately controlled and preempting active physiotherapy can make improved care possible. The skills of biomedical engineering are needed to evaluate and maintain equipment used to deliver pain therapies. Information technologists can assist in bringing clinical decision support to the bedside in the form of test results, clinical alerts and access to equipment used to deliver pain therapies. Information technologists can assist in bringing clinical decision support to the bedside in the form of test results, clinical alerts and access to the literature.

The primary purpose of this multidisciplinary committee is to define needs and to provide guidance for the development of the APMS. A needs assessment should include: a review of the surgical procedures carried out in the institution; a review of the characteristics of the patient population; an assessment of the viability of obtaining input and support from the various stakeholders – anesthesiology, surgery, nursing, pharmacy and administration; a definition of standard pain treatment modalities; and a cost analysis for the proposed service, including the employment of a dedicated APMS nurse(s) (preferably educated at the graduate level with advanced practice qualifications, such as an advanced practice nurse [APN] [23]). The APMS committee is also responsible for selecting assessment tools and defining documentation guidelines and standards for the service. Adoption of the strategy, “Pain as the 5th Vital Sign” has been implemented in some institutions (24). This is an effective screening mechanism for identifying unrelieved pain. Positive pain scores should trigger further assessment, and prompt intervention and evaluation of the pain and its treatment. The inclusion of administration increases the likelihood of obtaining hospital endorsement for the proposed APMS, including the financial commitment necessary to proceed to the implementation phase.

**Implementation:** Implementation of the APMS is primarily dependent upon the availability of a dedicated APMS APN. While other personnel and services interact and participate in the APMS, the APN provides the continuity necessary to ensure and maintain the service. Staggered implementation of APMS modalities is necessary to allow for education, monitoring of skills and instilling the confidence necessary to deal with more complex modalities. The recommended sequence is: intravenous patient-controlled analgesia opioids; plexus infusions with local anesthetics; patient-controlled epidural analgesia with opioids; single bolus neuraxial opioids; intermittent, continuous infusions of epidural opioids; and patient-controlled epidural analgesia or continuous infusion epidurals with local anesthetics with or without opioids. Consideration may be given to restricting complex modalities to certain surgical wards, thereby developing a core group of nurses who are highly skilled in providing care to patients receiving complex pain modalities. APMS facilitators on each ward would provide the support and education for direct care staff and would be a primary source of communication for the APN.

**Maintenance:** Maintenance of the APMS is dependent on a functional APMS Steering Committee. Communication between bedside nurses should flow primarily through the facilitators and communication from other services should flow through their representative to the committee. Policies and procedures for issues such as recurring side effects, problem-solving algorithms, titration algorithms and weaning should be readily available in both hard copy and on the intranet to allow rapid access to these resources by caregivers.

Anesthesia coverage on the APMS will be dependent on many factors, including hospital size and the availability of personnel (qualified in both anesthesia and advanced practice). Larger tertiary care hospitals may have several staff with subspecialty training in acute pain to provide primary anesthesia coverage; however, most centers will likely rely on only one or two individuals with such training. Smaller centers may have attending anesthesiologists follow their own patients postoperatively. The availability of a dedicated APN allows anesthesia to work in a consultative capacity.

**Follow-up and evaluation:** A data collection structure must be implemented to allow for follow-up and evaluation of key outcome measures. Prospective data collection, preferably in an electronic format, will result in valuable, timely information for individual patient care, but also for routine audit and evaluation of the outcomes of care. Minimum data capture should include measurement of pain levels, patient satisfaction and incidence of side effects and critical events.

---

**TABLE 2**

| Procedure | Number of surgeries performed in Canada in 1999/2000 (3) | Range of incidence or prevalence estimate (%) | Range of estimated incidence or prevalence of chronic postsurgical pain |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hysterectomy (26) | 55,404 | 18–50 | 6530–27,702 |
| Cholecystectomy (17,48)* | >50,000 | 21–27 | >10,500 – >13,500 |
| Hip replacement (49,50) | 19,853 | 3–35 | 596–6949 |
| Knee replacement (51) | 21,649 | 30| 6495 |
| Breast surgery (18,52,53) | 14,438° | 13–49 | 1877–7219 |
| Thoracotomy (19,54) | 16,305 | 7–67 | 1141–10,924 |
| Total | >177,649 | 3–67 | 29,474 – >72,789 |

*Excludes Quebec, Manitoba and Alberta due to differences in how hospital data are reported; †2000/2001 surgical procedure codes 97.12-97.28 (excludes mammoplasty); ‡2000/2001 surgical procedure codes 46.01-46.5; §Additional data not available.
Recommendations

- Develop the institutional climate that supports an APMS;
- Define the structure and function of an APMS;
- Define the roles of the APMS service providers;
- Develop social marketing strategies to 'sell' the idea of an APMS to senior administration and hospital staff;
- Identify key outcome measures for evaluation of the APMS;
- National dissemination of policies, procedural guidelines, educational materials, pain scales, outcome measures and standardized order sheets related to acute pain assessment and treatment; and
- Develop an acute pain special interest group within the Canadian Pain Society to facilitate practice, education and research in this area.

EDUCATION AND THE APMS

The content and focus of education will vary depending on a number of factors, including the developmental stage of the institution with respect to best practice pain management. An APMS that is in the planning stages may start by targeting the board of directors, the chief executive officer, the chief of staff and the director of nursing or clinical services. When they understand the aims, objectives and benefits of an APMS, it is advisable to move down the organizational structure and present to the Medical Advisory Committee and senior nursing management. It is essential to include the departments of pharmacy, physiotherapy, respiratory therapy, social work and psychology in the educational sessions about the goals of an APMS because they are stakeholders in the process of developing and maintaining the service. In addition, students from all health care disciplines should be provided with patient-focused, evidenced-based information about best practice pain management and the goals of the APMS. Continued communication with senior administrators and providing them with data that reflect good pain management is a necessary feedback loop for the success of the APMS. Case studies based on actual patients are a powerful way to dramatize the negative impact of unresolved pain and the positive impact of relieved pain.

The APMS is well positioned to act as the coordinating body for pain education programs targeting both physicians/surgeons (fellows, residents, interns, clerks and medical students) and nurses (APNs, clinical nurses, nurse managers and students). Strategies and content will vary depending on the group and their needs. Clinical rounds focused on pain, case examples that describe best and worst practice in pain management, and the provision of pain workshops are examples of strategies that transmit valuable information and keep people thinking about pain management.

Patient and family education may initially be aimed at helping patients to understand that they have the right to expect good pain management, and that unrelieved pain negatively impacts on their ability to recover from illness, surgery or injury. Many hospitals across Canada and the United States already have well-developed and tested materials that are willingly shared. It is not necessary or cost-effective to reinvent the wheel each time new material is needed.

THERAPEUTIC OPTIONS

The goal of this section is to outline the important issues to be considered in delivering effective and safe acute pain therapies to patients. It is not intended to be a comprehensive reference. Major modalities of analgesia and aspects of each modality that are of importance to surgical specialties, such as orthopedic, abdominal, thoracic, vascular, cardiac and urological surgeries can be found online at <www.caj.on.ca>.

The most important over-riding principle is the individualization of care to both the patient and the care setting. It is essential to understand that individual factors affect each patient and that pain is an individual experience for each patient. One must be cognizant of additional issues such as acute-on-chronic pain and the operative use of pain and symptom management medications. It should be emphasized that the goals of acute pain management are to obtain an acceptably low pain level that enables the patient to achieve all of the goals necessary for their recovery while at the same time minimizing unwanted side effects from these pain-relieving treatments.

Choices for therapeutic management are based on symptomatology and the mechanism of pain (25,26). Once an assessment has established whether the pain is visceral, somatic or neuropathic, an appropriate treatment algorithm can be applied (Table 3). While these algorithms differ, the commonality they share is that of multimodal therapy. Acute pain interventions should not be limited to the use of systemic analgesic agents, but must also consider the value of procedures such as neuraxial or regional blocks and the addition of adjuvants such as alternative therapies (eg, hypnosis or acupuncture), physical therapy, or in particular for the treatment of neuropathic pain, anticonvulsants (25,26).

Systemic analgesic agents are considered to be the mainstay of therapy for acute pain. Medications frequently considered include opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), both cyclo-oxygenase (COX) non-specific and COX-2-specific inhibitors, and acetaminophen. Each of these

---

### TABLE 3

| Somatic pain | Visceral pain | Neuropathic pain |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Appropriate treatment options | NSAIDs/COX-2s | NSAIDs/COX-2s | Neuroblock |
| | Opioids | Opioids | Opioids |
| Local anesthesia | Intraspinal local anesthesia | Tricyclic antidepressants |
| Acetaminophen | Antidepressants | Anticonvulsants |
| Cold packs | | |
| Tactile stimulation | | |

COX-2 Cyclo-oxygenase-2; NSAIDs Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

---

---
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**Orthopedic surgery**: Issues that are specific to the management of acute pain associated with orthopedic surgery centre around the use of NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors because of their effects on prostaglandin formation. NSAIDs clearly inhibit bone healing, and there is significant controversy regarding the potential for COX-2 inhibitors to do the same. Animal data (30) suggest that COX-2 inhibitors are subject to the same limitation, while the only human study to date shows no difference, but is retrospective (31). In addition to the use of systemic pharmaceutical agents, multimodal therapy for orthopedic surgical management could include regional analgesia and rehabilitation to improve range of motion.

**Abdominal surgery**: Epidural analgesia is a very effective method of managing postoperative pain associated with abdominal surgery. Patients receiving epidural analgesia experience several advantages: they are more alert, experience fewer side effects, enjoy better pulmonary function, are usually extubated earlier and spend less time on a ventilator, which offers a cost-saving potential. Studies suggest that postoperative epidural analgesia is also associated with reduced postoperative mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients (32) and epidural infusions of local anesthetics significantly reduce the risk of leg deep vein thrombosis after surgery (33). Administration of epidural anesthesia has been associated with more rapid recovery of gastrointestinal motility than parenteral or epidural opioids. Therefore, epidural anesthesia with local anesthetics appears to be a preferable way to minimize adverse effects, enjoy better pulmonary function, are usually extubated earlier and spend less time on a ventilator, which offers a cost-saving potential. Studies suggest that postoperative epidural analgesia is also associated with reduced postoperative mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients (32) and epidural infusions of local anesthetics significantly reduce the risk of leg deep vein thrombosis after surgery (33). Administration of epidural anesthesia has been associated with more rapid recovery of gastrointestinal motility than parenteral or epidural opioids. Therefore, epidural anesthesia with local anesthetics appears to be a preferable way to minimize adverse effects, enjoy better pulmonary function, are usually extubated earlier and spend less time on a ventilator, which offers a cost-saving potential. Studies suggest that postoperative epidural analgesia is also associated with reduced postoperative mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients (32) and epidural infusions of local anesthetics significantly reduce the risk of leg deep vein thrombosis after surgery (33). Administration of epidural anesthesia has been associated with more rapid recovery of gastrointestinal motility than parenteral or epidural opioids. Therefore, epidural anesthesia with local anesthetics appears to be a preferable way to minimize adverse events following abdominal surgery (34).

**Thoracic surgery**: The most severe form of postsurgery pain is often considered to be post-thoracotomy pain. Thoracotomy can markedly reduce postoperative pulmonary function and the choice of pain management has major implications (35). Because of the limitations of single modalities, a variety of agents and techniques have been explored, including the use of systemic opioids, NSAIDs and ketamine, regional analgesia (including epidural, spinal, paraverterbral, intercostal and intrapleural techniques) and cryoanalgesia. Thoracic epidural analgesia using a combination of different local anesthetic agents and opioids has a well-proven track record of efficacy. Moreover, there is evidence that thoracic epidural local anesthetic reduces pulmonary morbidity.

**Vascular surgery**: Vascular patients typically have a high risk of comorbid disease, including ischemic heart disease and chronic lung disease as well as diabetes mellitus and renal disease. Therefore, they are typically higher risk patients. In addition, they may already be on anticoagulant therapy and these patients typically receive anticoagulant therapy intraoperatively or postoperatively.

Major vascular procedures are appropriate for intravenous patient-controlled analgesia or epidural analgesia. One major complication with major vascular surgery is postoperative renal dysfunction, and it may be prudent to rule this out before administering NSAIDs or COX-2 inhibitors in a multimodal analgesic acute pain treatment plan. A special caveat to mention is the possibility of the precipitation of ischemic events. Animal and human coagulation studies have shown an increase in platelet function due to selective prostacycline inhibition (29).

There have not yet been sufficient human data to refute this concern, and it must therefore be acknowledged until there is proof of no risk. There is also the potential for reduction in graft thrombosis with neuraxial local anesthetic techniques in lower limb procedures.

A number of studies (36-38) have demonstrated that preoperative epidural analgesia results in a decreased incidence of patients developing persistent limb pain postamputation when treated preoperatively with epidural analgesia. There is some evidence that patients receiving local anesthetic through a peripheral nerve sheath postoperatively may not develop persistent limb pain (39). Consideration of an intraoperative neuraxial technique or placement of a nerve sheath catheter for delivery of postoperative local anesthetic should be considered in this patient population.

**Cardiac surgery**: In general, cardiac patients are older patients with associated comorbid diseases. They often receive systemic doses of heparin during their operative procedures. Pain is present in multiple sites in many cardiac patients; such sites often include the sternum, chest tube sites and saphenous vein harvesting sites.

In cardiac surgery, one of the major limitations with neuraxial analgesic techniques is the administration of systemic doses of heparin and the potential subsequent risk of neurological injury related to these techniques. The potential for these...
patients to develop coagulopathy is another factor that could influence the choice of neuraxial techniques. There is no convincing evidence that neuraxial analgesic techniques provide any significant benefit to patient outcome.

Parenteral opiate administration has been used for postoperative analgesia in the cardiac surgery population. The advantages are familiarity with the techniques by staff and coverage of wide areas of pain-producing stimuli by the drug delivered parenterally. Disadvantages include all of the usual side effects of opioid drugs, including sedation, confusion, respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting, pruritus, constipation and urinary retention.

Urological surgery: Urological patients are like the vascular patients in that they are older and may experience multisystem disease. The common procedures are transurethral resection of the prostate, nephrectomy and ileal conduit patients. The pain of a patient who underwent transurethral resection of the prostate may be treated with oral NSAIDS, acetaminophen or a small dose of intrathecal morphine. For open urological procedures, epidurals improve analgesia and gut function. Following a nephrectomy or ileal conduit surgery, the patient is best served if there are no contraindications with epidural local anesthetics and opioids in addition to NSAIDS, if appropriate. This is not to say that a patient-controlled analgesia is not appropriate.

Recommendations
- Identify pain-related issues in the preoperative setting and take them into account when planning a pain management strategy for the postoperative period;
- Identify the possible therapeutic measures available for treatment of perioperative pain;
- Define care maps with specific acute pain management strategies for specific cases using multimodal therapy (NSAIDS, local anesthetics, opioids and N-methyl-D-aspartate inhibitors);
- Regularly assess these maps regarding their effectiveness and update them with the latest evidence; and
- Create a link with the epidemiologists to evaluate such tools.

SYMPTOM MANAGEMENT
Analgesic side effects can be more distressing for patients, families and health care professionals than the pain itself (40). Nausea, vomiting, sedation and pruritus (41) are the most common side effects of therapy. Antihistamines, anticholinergics, antimuscarinics, dopaminergic blockers, serotonin antagonists and steroids are commonly prescribed in the treatment of side effects. Patients at risk for severe postoperative nausea and vomiting secondary to anesthetic or analgesic drugs should be identified and a strategy put in place to prevent or reduce chances of nausea and vomiting (42), including avoidance of emetogenic agents intraoperatively and the use of prophylactic antiemetics. Opioid agonist antagonists (eg, nalbuphine) or opioid antagonists (eg, naloxone) should be kept immediately available and can be used in the treatment of side effects. The availability of preprinted order sheets is a simple strategy to enhance the treatment of side effects.

Recommendations
- Efforts are necessary to develop pain modalities that minimize side effects;
- Pain strategies must include treatment of side effects of therapy; and
- The effectiveness of side effect therapy should receive constant evaluation.

RESEARCH AND SAFETY
Acute pain and symptom management should be evidence based, involve multimodal therapy, and be provided by a multidisciplinary team that incorporates continuous quality improvement into all aspects of care. This can only be achieved when research becomes part of the acute pain strategy, and is not only driven by best practice research but contributes to best practice research. This strategy can be achieved with cooperation between all stakeholders. At the basic science level, new receptor studies are needed to develop novel pain therapies with minimal side effects. At the clinical level, scientists must identify a core data set, including a data dictionary (25) to provide support for an electronic patient assessment database that can evolve with feedback on modality effectiveness, side effect prevalence and treatment (43-45), as well as patient safety issues (46,47). Epidemiological studies would provide insight into the impact of pain on the population, including health care utilization, quality of life and impact on work. This rich resource will contribute to the quest for excellence in the management of pain in both the hospital and the community setting by creating an ongoing prospective database that would contribute valuable information for clinical, administrative and research initiatives (44,45).

Recommendations
- Ongoing clinical patient assessments recorded (surveillance) to contribute to an acute pain research strategy;
- Creation of a minimum dataset;
- Collaboration between centres on the recording of patient assessments;
- Support for the collection, analysis and reporting of assessment data; and
- Establishment of a centre for research in acute pain comprised of the assessment-contributing centres.

CONCLUSION
Collaboration between stakeholders can result in Canada strengthening its position as a global leader in pain and symptom management. Dedication to improvement and an aspiration for excellence will be realized with constant evaluation of clinical and research activity in acute pain care. The members of CCAPI are committed to the concepts outlined in this document.
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