Effects of Rikkunshito (TJ-43) on Esophageal Motor Function and Gastroesophageal Reflux
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Background/Aims
Rikkunshito (TJ-43), an herbal medicine, has been demonstrated to relieve gastroesophageal reflux symptoms. However, the effects of TJ-43 on esophageal motor functions have not been fully determined. This double-blind crossover study was performed to investigate the effects of TJ-43 on esophageal motor functions and gastroesophageal reflux.

Methods
The subjects were 10 normal male volunteers. Lower esophageal sphincter pressure and esophageal body peristaltic contractions with and without 1-week administration of TJ-43 were examined in a crossover fashion. Post-prandial gastroesophageal reflux was also determined using a multi-channel impedance pH dual monitor.

Results
TJ-43 at a standard dose of 7.5 g/day did not significantly augment esophageal peristaltic contraction pressure measured in the proximal, middle and distal segments of the esophagus, whereas increment of resting lower esophageal sphincter pressure was observed in a supine position. In addition, TJ-43 administration did not decrease post-prandial gastroesophageal acid, non-acid reflux events or accelerate esophageal clearance time.

Conclusions
TJ-43 at a standard dose did not have a significant effect on esophageal motor activity or gastroesophageal reflux in healthy adults.

(J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2012;18:181-186)
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Introduction
Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a disease frequently encountered in gastroenterology clinics in both western countries and Japan.1-4 Decreased esophageal motor function is one of the most important factors for occurrence of GERD,5 thus, prokinetic agents that increase lower esophageal sphincter...
(LES) pressure and esophageal body contraction are considered to be effective for treatment of GERD patients. The powder extract of the herbal medicine, rikkunshito, has been reported to be effective in improving various upper gastro-intestinal symptoms, and is widely administered to patients with GERD and functional dyspepsia (FD) in Japan. Rikkunshito, extracted from a mixture of Atractylodis Lanceae Rhizoma, Ginseng Radix, Pinelliae Tuber, Hoelen, Zizyphi Fructus, Aurantii Nobilis Fructus and Zingiberis Rhizoma, is manufactured and distributed as TJ-43 by Tsumura Co. (Tokyo, Japan). Several studies have demonstrated that TJ-43 stimulates gastric motor activities and accelerate gastric emptying. However, the effects of TJ-43 on esophageal motor functions have not been fully examined, though it was reported to be effective for relief of reflux symptoms, such as heartburn and acid regurgitation. We conducted the present double-blind crossover study to clarify the effects of TJ-43 on esophageal motor functions and gastroesophageal reflux events.

Materials and Methods

We studied 10 healthy male volunteers (22.8 ± 2.0 years old) who had no history of gastrointestinal surgery and received no medication which might have affected gastroesophageal function.

Esophageal motor function and post-prandial gastroesophageal reflux were determined after a 7-day administration of TJ-43 or a placebo in random order using a double-blind crossover design. TJ-43 at 2.5 g was administrated 3 times per day (commonly used for adult patients in Japan with GERD and FD symptoms) 30 minutes before each meal. Lactose was used as the placebo drug. TJ-43 or the placebo was placed in identical capsules by 2 pharmacists (Tomochika Uemura and Kohji Naora) to conceal the contents, and the contents of the capsules were unblinded transnasally using a small amount of 2% lidocaine jelly (Xylocaine jelly; AstraZeneca Co., Osaka, Japan). The measurements were started 5 minutes after insertion for stabilization with the subject in a sitting position. Initially, we determined resting LES pressure for at least 5 minutes, then measured esophageal body peristaltic contractions after the subject drank 5 mL of water. That, was repeated at intervals of 2 minutes until we obtained 5 complete contraction records. After finishing the determination of esophageal motor activity in a sitting position, the intrasophageal pressure measurements were repeated in the same manner with the subject in a supine position. For analysis, the esophageal body peristaltic contractions were divided into 3 segments from segments 1 to 3 (proximal, middle and distal) by 2 contraction troughs, as reported previously. The maximum peristaltic contractions in each segment were determined, then the mean of 5 individual contractions was calculated as used as the contraction value for each of the segments in each subject.

Following the esophageal motor function tests, we utilized a composite 6-channel impedance and 2-channel pH sensor catheter (Sandhill Scientific Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO, USA) that was inserted transnasally after calibration with a buffer solution at pH 4.0 and 7.0, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We placed the impedance sensors at 3, 5, 7, 9, 15 and 17 cm above the LES, and the esophageal pH at 5 cm above the LES. One hour after insertion of the catheter for impedance and pH determination, a standardized 1,617 kcal high caloric meal was supplied and consumed within 20 minutes. This high caloric meal consisted of a large plate of rice and curry, as well as cheese and tuna soup (S&B Foods Inc., Tokyo; Hagoromo Foods Company, Shizuoka; and Ajinomoto Company, Tokyo, Japan), and contained 49.7 g of protein, 220.3 g of carbohydrates and 59.8 g of fat. Data collection using a multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring system (Sleuth; Sandhill Scientific Inc.) was performed during the 3-hour period after the meal. We then utilized a computerized algorithm (Autoscan; Sandhill Scientific Inc.) to identify reflux episodes as changes in impedance from the distal to proximal esophagus over time. The tracings thus obtained were manually reviewed to confirm that the reflux episodes were correctly identified by the automated algorithm. Reflux episodes were classified as follows: (1) liquid only (decrease in impedance progressing proximally), (2) gas only (rise in impedance above 3,000 Ω in at least 2 channels progressing proximally) and (3) mixed (gas-liquid: a combination of liquid and gas impedance patterns). We then further analyzed the liquid only and mixed reflux episodes to determine the...
Figure 1. Resting lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressure in sitting and supine positions determined during TJ-43 and placebo administrations. LES pressure was higher during TJ-43 administration in the supine position, though the difference was not significant.

acidity of the refluxes. Finally, reflux episodes were classified as: (1) acidic, for those with a nadir pH recorded during a multichannel intraluminal impedance-detected reflux pattern that was less than 4.0; (2) weakly acidic, when pH declined more than 1.0 and the nadir was between 4.0 and 7.0; and (3) non-acidic, in instances when the pH nadir was greater than 7.0.23

A Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare paired data. All calculations were done with Stat View 5.0 software (Abacus Concepts Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA) for Macintosh. Data were expressed as the mean ± SE. A difference level of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be significant.

This study was performed according to the declaration of Helsinki and the study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of Shimane University Faculty of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from each of the study subjects before enrollment.

**Results**

Resting LES pressures in the sitting and supine positions during administration of TJ-43 or the placebo are shown in Figure 1. LES pressure determined in the supine position was significantly greater than when measured in the sitting position, as previously reported.19,20,24 There was no statistically significant difference in LES pressure values determined during the administrations of TJ-43 and the placebo in the sitting position (14.4 ± 0.7 vs 16.3 ± 1.3 mmHg; \( P = 0.798 \)), whereas those in the supine position were significantly different between them (28.0 ± 3.5 vs 20.6 ± 1.8 mmHg; \( P = 0.047 \)). Esophageal body peristaltic contractions measured at each segment 1, 2 and 3 (proximal, middle and distal) of the esophagus in the sitting and supine positions are presented in Figure 2. In the 2 positions, the distal segment (segment 3) had the greatest peak contraction pressure. In addition, contraction pressure was greater in the supine position for each segment. TJ-43 administration did not enhance the esophageal peristaltic contraction pressure in any in either the sitting or supine position.

There was no statistically significant difference between the number of total post-prandial 3-hour reflux episodes during the TJ-43 and placebo administrations, though the number of reflux episodes tended to be higher during TJ-43 administration (43.1 ± 8.0/3 hours vs 34.2 ± 6.0/3 hours; \( P = 0.308 \)). During the administration of TJ-43, the refluxate consisted of liquid only (71.7%), gas only (4.4%) and mixed (23.9%) reflux, while with the placebo the refluxate consisted of liquid only (79.8%), gas only (4.1%) and mixed (16.1%) reflux. Liquid only was the most frequently observed type of reflux in each post-prandial period, followed by mixed (Fig. 3). There were no significant differences for the ratios of weakly-acid and non-acid reflux between liquid only and mixed reflux events during administration with TJ-43 and with the placebo. The percentage times at intraesophageal pH < 4.0 during the 3-hour post-prandial period are shown in Figure 4. There were no significant differences between the TJ-43 and placebo administrations regarding the percentage of esophageal acid (pH < 4.0) exposure time during the 3-hour post-prandial period (6.5 ± 2.0% vs 5.8 ± 1.3%; \( P > 0.999 \)). On the other hand, the bolus clearance time of the gastro-esophageal refluxate tended to be shorter during TJ-43 administration (25.9 ± 4.3 vs 37.0 ± 8.8 seconds; \( P = 0.139 \)).
Figure 2. Peak peristaltic contraction pressures in sitting and supine positions in segments 1 (A), 2 (B) and 3 (C). The distal segment (segment 3) had a higher level of peristaltic pressure than the proximal segment (segment 1) in both the sitting and supine positions. There was no statistically significant difference in regard to peristaltic pressure between the TJ-43 and placebo administration periods in the sitting and supine positions.

Figure 3. Mean post-prandial gastroesophageal reflux episodes, as determined by multi-channel impedance pH monitoring. The 3-hour post-prandial period was divided into three 1-hour periods. Liquid containing reflux (liquid only and mixed) was the major type observed during both the placebo and TJ-43 administrations.

Figure 4. Percentage of time at pH lower than 4 during the 3-hour post-prandial period. TJ-43 did not significantly change esophageal acid exposure time.
In the present study, we conducted a detailed investigation of the effects of TJ-43 at a standard dose on esophageal motor activity and gastroesophageal reflux in adults. However, we did not find evidence of its efficacy for anti-reflux functions. On the other hand, TJ-43 elevated resting LES pressure in a supine position, and tended to accelerate esophageal bolus clearance and increase post-prandial reflux, though that latter finding is contrary to a previous report regarding the effect on esophageal motor function in pediatric GERD patients. We employed a placebo-controlled double blind design in the present study, and also utilized highly sensitive and reliable methods to determine esophageal motor activity and gastroesophageal reflux. Therefore, the efficacy of TJ-43 for adult patients with GERD may not be related to augmentation of esophageal motor function, while increased gastric motor function by administration of TJ-43 may relieve GERD symptoms. Investigation of the effects of TJ-43 on esophageal sensory and perception mechanisms is important in a future study.

Our study has some limitations. We administrated TJ-43 to healthy young volunteers and not GERD patients with reduced esophageal motor function and frequent gastro-esophageal reflux events, thus we did not evaluate the efficacy of TJ-43 on GERD symptoms. Additional investigations of patients with GERD are necessary to confirm the effects of TJ-43 on esophageal motor function.

In conclusion, this double-blind crossover study did not reveal a significant effect of TJ-43 on esophageal motor activity or gastroesophageal reflux events. Future studies are needed to clarify its effects in patients with GERD.
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