Variable Substrate Preference among Phospholipase D Toxins from Sicariid Spiders*
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Envenomation by brown spiders in the genus Loxosceles can induce necrotic breakdown of mammalian tissue and can occasionally lead to renal failure, circulatory shock, and even death. These cutaneous and systemic disease states are known as loxoscelism (1–5).

Loxoscelism is caused primarily by phospholipase D (PLD)2 enzyme toxins in the spider venom. Purified PLD toxins from both venom and recombinant sources induce a disease state similar to loxoscelism in animal models (6–14). The PLD toxins cleave the phosphodiester linkage between the phosphate and headgroup of certain phospholipids, forming an alcohol (often choline) and a cyclic phosphate (Scheme 1) (15). Formally, the cyclic phosphate is formed by intramolecular nucleophilic attack of a hydroxyl nucleophile at the phosphorus center, with displacement of the headgroup. This chemistry restricts the toxins to phospholipid substrates that contain a hydroxyl moiety, including ceramide-based lipids like sphingomyelin (SM) and lysophospholipids such as lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) (Scheme 1) (16–20).

Loxosceles spiders belong to the spider family Sicariidae, which also contains the genus Sicarius. Sicarius species are native to Southern Africa and South/Central America. The venom of African Sicarius can also induce loxoscelism in animal models (21–23). Although most research attention focuses on Loxosceles, Sicarius individuals also carry PLD toxins in their venoms (24). The gene family comprising the sicariid PLD toxins has been named “SicTox” to reflect this distribution and to distinguish them from nonhomologous PLDs that typically serve more general housekeeping functions.

SicTox family members show variable levels of sphingomyelin D (SMase D) activity (Fig. 1). Phylogenetic analysis of the SicTox family resolves two clades, α and β, of the venom-expressed members (24). The most thoroughly studied toxins are members of the α clade, which are abundant in venoms of New World Loxosceles. These show high SMase D activity and can induce loxoscelism in animal models. By contrast, some β

The abbreviations used are: PLD, phospholipase D; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; SM, sphingomyelin; SMase, sphingomyelinase; BisTris, 2-(bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino)-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol; GDPD, glycerophosphoryl phosphodiesterase; PDB, Protein Data Bank; LPE, 1-myristoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1′-rac-glycerol); LPS, L-α-lysophosphatidylserine.
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Materials—The following phospholipid substrates were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL): 6:0 SM (6:0 SM, N-3hexanoyl-D-erythro-sphingosylphosphorylcholine); 8:0 LPC (1-octanoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine); 14:0 LPC (1-myristoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine); 14:0 LPE (1-myristoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine); 12:0 SM (N-lauroyl-D-erythro-sphingosylphosphorylcholine); CPE (N-lauroyl-D-erythro-sphingosylphosphoethanolamine); 14:0 LPG (1-myristoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phospho-1’-rac-glycerol)), and LPS (L-lysophosphatidylserine from porcine brain). QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit was purchased from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). BugBuster and Benzonase nuclelease were purchased from Novagen (Madison, WI). Nickel-nitriilotriacetic acid resin was purchased from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). All other chemicals were obtained from standard sources.

Cloning of Coding Sequence of SicTox Isolates—Generation of cDNA libraries of SicTox homologs has been described previously (24). To compare the levels of enzyme ambiguity in the SicTox PLD family, and to investigate substrate specificity in the β clade, we chose a set of three SicTox PLD from two different sicariid species. The three toxins in this study are as follows: La_αL2bi (gb:AY699703); La_βD1 from L. arizonica (gb:KM884812); St_βB1i from S. terrosus (gb:KM884813). La_αL2bi has been identified and studied previously (15, 30) and is used here as a representative of the SicTox α clade. St_βB1i from S. terrosus was chosen as a representative of the β clade. St_βB1i is a close homolog to a previously reported (24) S. terrosus sequence, βB1i (gb:F171474.1). The full-length clone was isolated from a previously obtained cDNA pool (24) using a 5’-rapid amplification of cDNA ends kit (Invitrogen). The GSP2 primer was designed to specifically amplify the miss-

Identifying molecular target(s) for these toxins is important for understanding the evolution of functional specificity within the SicTox gene family, the biology of the sicariid spiders, and the molecular mechanism underlying the diminished toxicity of the β clade toxins in mammalian models. Here, we utilize 31P NMR-based substrate screening to identify substrates and substrate preferences for two diverse β clade members as follows: St_βB1i from Sicarius terrosus, a New World species that lacks venom SMase D activity in vitro and has no known α clade toxins (24); and La_βD1 from Loxosceles arizonica, a species that is known to cause loxoscelism and does produce α clade toxins (30–32). We include parallel characterization of La_αL2bi, a previously characterized α clade toxin from L. arizonica (15, 30), both as a positive control for activity against SM and LPC (Fig. 2) and to provide a direct comparison between substrate preferences of α clade and β clade members. Inclusion of La_αL2bi also provides an intraspecies comparison with La_βD1.

We report that St_βB1i, like other βI class IIB toxins, has low activity against SM and LPC; however, it selectively turns over both ceramide and lysolipid substrates containing ethanolamine headgroups. La_βD1, by contrast, shows little preference between choline and ethanolamine, and La_αL2bi shows a preference for choline. Our results show for the first time that 1) SicTox enzymes vary widely in substrate selectivity and ambiguity and 2) that some βI class IIB enzymes with little to no SMase D activity are actually active enzymes that prefer other substrates. To further investigate substrate preference by St_βB1i, we determined its crystal structure, the first of a class IIB PLD as well as the first structure of a SicTox PLD from a Sicarius species. Substrate docking studies using this structure did not reveal a clear basis for its different activity but did shed light on the enzyme mechanism.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

SCHEME 1. Relevant substrates and products for our study of SicTox enzymes. The reaction at left shows conversion of sphingolipid substrates to cyclic ceramide phosphate; the reaction at right shows conversion of lysophospholipid substrates to cyclic phosphatidic acid. R1, R2, and R3 represent alkyl chains of varying length. The four different headgroup alcohols (XOH) represented here are choline (SM and LPC), ethanolamine (CPE and LPE), glycerol (LPG), and serine (LPS). clade members, generally belonging to the β clade. SicTox proteins with confirmed low SMase D activity have also been termed “class IIb” PLDs (27, 28); all these are members of the SicTox I clade. Sicarius SicTox genes are particularly interesting with respect to functional diversity within this gene family, because only β clade paralogs have been found in Sicarius, and whole venom of some species of New World Sicarius exhibit diminished SMase D activity compared with Loxosceles and Old World Sicarius venom. Even though there is variability in SMase D activity, all Sicarius species express diverse β clade paralogs in their venom (24, 29). βI clade (class IIB) PLDs could be generally less active or inactive SMase D enzymes, but it seems more likely that they are specific for non-SM substrates that have yet to be identified. In support of this hypothesis, they conserve all key residues thought to be important for enzyme activity (Fig. 2) and are present in diverse sicariid venoms. In addition, βI clade enzymes are present in multiple New World species of Loxosceles (see Figs. 1 and 2), although in lower abundance than α clade enzymes. We propose that the βI clade (class IIB) proteins perform some important but undiscovered function in the venom mixture.
protocol. Amplicons were TOPO-cloned (Invitrogen) and sequenced. The amino acid sequence of St_5c was predicted to be venom based on hits to venom proteins allowing for comparison of two intraspecific paralogs, an Illuclid paralog from L. arizonica IB1i (15,30) and another SicTox PLD reported to have diminished SMase D activity compared with L. laeta SMase I (8,18,27,35). St_5c shares 69% sequence identity with LiRecDT3 (LiSicTox-IB1i) from Loxosceles scapularis (7). Because of this relatedness (Fig. 1), we named the 1239 clone “La_βD1” as outlined in the SicTox nomenclature (24).

Signal sequences and mature protein sequences were predicted by analysis of the primary sequence with SignalP (33). Cloning of DNA sequences, encoding the mature PLD toxins St_βB1i and La_βD1 obtained from cDNA libraries, into pHis8 expression vectors (34) was performed as described previously for La_αB2 (15,30). Sequence variants of St_βB1i were generated using QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Heterologous Expression and Purification of SicTox PLD Toxins**—N-terminally His_6-tagged recombinant proteins were expressed from pHis8 expression constructs in Escherichia coli strain BL21(DE3), closely following published methods (15,35). Freshly transformed cells were grown with shaking at 250 rpm at 37 °C in 1 liter of 2X YT media (20 mg/ml tryptone, 10 mg/ml Bacto yeast extract, 5 mg/ml NaCl (pH 7)) containing 30 μg/ml kanamycin. When the cultures reached an A_600 of ~0.6, the cultures were harvested by centrifugation (3500 g at 4°C for 10 minutes).
overexpression was induced by addition of isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside to a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml. After 2–3 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 10 min. To extract soluble proteins, pelleted cells were lysed with BugBuster Protein Extraction Reagent (Novagen, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cleared lysate was brought to 20 mM imidazole by addition of NE250 buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.2M NaCl, and 0.25M imidazole) and loaded onto a gravity flow column containing 3 ml of nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid-agarose resin (Qiagen) equilibrated in NW20 buffer (0.1M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.2M NaCl, and 20 mM imidazole). Resin was washed twice with 30 ml of NW20 buffer following collection of flow through. His8-tagged PLD toxin was eluted with 210-ml aliquots of NE250 buffer. Eluate fractions containing 80% pure protein, as judged by inspection of Coomassie-stained SDS-polyacrylamide gels run under nonreducing conditions, were pooled, centrifugally concentrated to a volume of 2 ml, and loaded at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min onto a HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-100 HR column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in TBS buffer (0.1M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.2 M NaCl). Fractions containing monomeric, purified protein were identified by SDS-PAGE analysis, pooled, and centrifugally concentrated (molecular mass cutoff 10 kDa) to an appropriate volume and exchanged into a low ionic strength buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 50 mM NaCl). Protein concentration was determined by ultraviolet absorption at 280 nm using appropriate estimated extinction coefficients (36). For 31P NMR assays, concentrated protein was stored in 50% glycerol at −20 °C until use. For crystallization trials, concentrated protein was either used immediately, or flash-frozen and stored at −80 °C. All enzymes could be heterologously expressed in Escherichia coli systems and 95% enzyme purity was achieved by nickel affinity purification and size exclusion chromatography.

**31P NMR Enzymatic Assays**—All one-dimensional 31P NMR spectra were recorded at 310 K on a Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer equipped with a BBO-500 MHz S2 5-mm probe with a Z gradient. Spectra were acquired at a spectrometer frequency of 202.11 MHz with 1H decoupling. Data points (32 K) were acquired per spectrum at a spectral width of 80 ppm, with signal averaging over 99 scans. Spectra were obtained with a 60° pulse width and a 7-s relaxation delay for quantitative signal integration (15). Samples for initial assays on short chain lipid substrates contained either 2 mM 6:0 SM solubilized with 2 mM Triton X-100 or 80 mM 8:0 LPC, in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1m M trimethyl phosphate as an internal chemical shift and concentration standard, and 10% D2O (15). Samples for assays on long-chain lipids were similar except that they contained 2 mM phospholipid substrate solubilized in 50 mg/ml CHAPS detergent. Each sample contained a volume of 0.6 ml in a 5-mm thin wall NMR sample tube. Following an initial spectrum obtained in the absence of enzyme, 10 g of pure recombinant enzyme was added to the sample. After a delay of 5 min to allow for magnet shimming, five consecutive spectra were obtained at 15-min intervals. Samples...
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were then stored at 37 °C for 24 h, and an NMR spectrum was obtained at that time. Data were processed and analyzed with MestReNova 7.1.1 (Mestrelab Research, Santiago de Compostela, Spain). A baseline correction was applied to the spectra, and quantitative peak integration was performed with the auto-detect function in MestReNova. All integrated peaks were normalized to the 1 mm trimethyl phosphate standard. For hexanoyl SM (6:0 SM) and octanoyl LPC (8:0 LPC), percent loss of substrate at each time point was calculated by dividing the normalized integrated peak area of substrate resonance by the initial substrate signal. Substrate and product resonances were identified based on analogous results in a previous study (15).

Crystal growth was optimized in 24-well plates using the hang-drop method with a ratio of 1:2 or 2:1 in a total drop volume of 200 nl. Tetragonal rods appeared within 24–48 h in 0.2 M magnesium chloride hexahydrate, 0.1M BisTris-HCl (pH 5.5), 25% w/v PEG-3350. Crystal growth was optimized in 24-well plates using the hanging drop method with 2 μl of 3 mg/ml purified St IB1i to 2 μl of precipitant containing between 10 and 25% PEG 3350 buffered with 0.1 M BisTris (pH 5.5) and 0.2 M magnesium chloride hexahydrate. Thin rectangular crystals grew in 6 – 8 days from precipitant solution containing 14% PEG 3350. Crystals were crushed and added to decreasing concentrations of PEG 3350 for seeding trials. Diffraction quality crystals of St IB1i grew in a precipitant solution containing 12% PEG 3350, 0.1 M BisTris (pH 5.5), 0.2 M magnesium chloride hexahydrate. Large single crystals (0.2 × 0.3 × 0.4 mm) were flash frozen using 40% PEG 3350 as a cryoprotectant.

Diffraction and Model Building of St IB1i—Diffraction data for crystals of St IB1i were collected remotely on beam line 7-1 at the Standard Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory using Blu-ice software (37). The phase problem was solved with molecular replacement using the programs Chainsaw and MR Phaser of the CCP4 suite (38). The crystal structure of a homologous toxin from L. intermedia (PDB code 3RLH, chain A) was used as a starting model. Manual model building and modification were performed using COOT (39). Bulk solvent correction and standard refinement with Refmac5 (40) yielded an Rcryst of 18% and an Rfree of 24%. Optimum TLS groups were obtained from the TLS motion determination server (41, 42). Refinement including TLS groups yielded a new Rcryst of 16% and a new Rfree of 20%. Coordinates have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank under PDB code 4Q6X.

In Silico Docking Studies—In silico docking of substrates to StSicTox-IB1i was performed using Autodock Vina (43). PDBQT files for StSicTox-IB1i receptor and substrate ligands were prepared using UCSF Chimera 1.8.1 (44) and/or AutoDockTools-1.5.6 in the MGLTools package. St IB1i contains two active site histidine residues, His-12 and His-47. In one set of runs, His-12 was deprotonated, whereas His-47 was protonated; this is the starting configuration in the mechanism proposed by Shi et al. (45) for GDPD enzymes. In a second set of runs, the opposite configuration was used. For docking of LPE and LPC substrates, the dihedral angles for the phosphoglycerol moiety of the ligand were restricted to a conformation in which the 2-hydroxy group was poised to attack the phosphorus atom, leading to CPA formation. This conformation was based on the observed geometry of sn-glycerol-3-phosphate found in the active site of a glycerol phosphodiester phosphodiesterase from Oleispira antarctica (PDB code 3QVQ). Substrate molecules were docked into a 30 × 30 × 30 Å box centered on the magnesium atom in the active site of St IB1i. The charge on magnesium was manually set at +2. The binding mode search was conducted at maximal exhaustiveness setting. For each ligand in each protonation state of the enzyme, 30–60 binding modes were calculated, depending upon the strength of structural consensus observed among the lowest five modes in a smaller initial test calculation (strong for LPE; less strong for LPC). In the docking of LPE, the lowest overall energy binding modes calculated for the two histidine protonation states were practically identical. For LPC, however, docking to the His-47 protonated version of St IB1i yielded a highly improbable lowest energy mode in which the phosphate group was ~10 Å from the magnesium center. Results were visualized using UCSF Chimera.

RESULTS

Enzymatic Activity and Substrate Preference of Three Diverse SicTox Proteins—We initially tested the three selected SicTox homologs for PLD activity on hexanoyl SM/Triton X-100 and octanoyl-LPC micelles using previously described 31P NMR assays (15). These short chain substrates give small micelles and thus minimize resonance linewidths (46). Both enzymes from L. arizonica (La α1B2b and La α1D1) showed high activity against both SM and LPC, whereas St IB1i showed much lower activity (Fig. 3A). The L. arizonica enzymes consumed all the SM within the dead time of the experiment (~10 min), whereas more than 50% of this substrate remained after ~80 min of incubation with the S. terrosus enzyme. Similar differential activity was also observed with 8:0 LPC as substrate (Fig. 3A). Both L. arizonica enzymes also consumed substantial amounts of 8:0 LPC after 80 min (initial rate of ~0.24 mm/min for La α1B2b and 0.8 mm/min for La α1D1), whereas St IB1i showed no activity against 8:0 LPC even after 24 h. These initial experiments demonstrate that the homologs have variable PLD activity against SM and LPC and are consistent with other studies showing reduced SMase D activity among some β clade members (Fig. 1).

We then tested the three enzymes against a wider panel of commercially available long-chain lysolipid and phosphosphingolipid substrates with various headgroups. In addition to LPC, the panel included the lysolipids LPE, LPG, and LPS, which are analogous to LPC but contain ethanolamine, glycerol, and serine headgroups, respectively, in place of choline (see Scheme 1). In addition to SM, the panel included the phosphosphingolipid ceramide phosphoethanolamine (CPE), which differs from SM.
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Reported rates are slopes of product accumulation in single substrate assays (see Fig. 3). Rates in parentheses derive from direct competition assays (SM/CPE or LPC/LPE) based on disappearance of the substrate signal (see Fig. 4). The two bottom rows represent rate ratios for SM and CPE or LPC and LPE, based either on comparison of the rates in single substrate assays or relative rates in a competition assay (values in parentheses). Note that values derived from single substrate and competition assays are similar. All rates were directly measured at 10 μM of enzyme and ~2 mM initial substrate concentration, with the exception of St_βBI1 with CPE. In this case, the rate was too fast to be measured, so a rate was measured at 1 μM of enzyme and multiplied by 10. In cases where the reported rate is <1 μM/min, no substrate decay was evident after ~75 min (and in the case of the single substrate assays, no product formation was evident either). For these cases, the rates were estimated from the 24-h time points, unless no product was seen even at 24 h, in which case the rate was reported as <0.1 μM/min (see Fig. 3).

**TABLE 1**

| Phospholipid | La_βBI2bi | La_βDI1 | St_βBI1i |
|--------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| SM           | 11 (9)    | 31 (20) | 0.2 (0.8) |
| CPE          | 0.3 (0.5) | 12 (10) | 410 (>90) |
| LPC          | 0.4 (0.7) | 13 (14) | <0.1     |
| LPE          | 0.1 (0.1) | 5.2 (5.3)| 21 (16)  |
| SM/CPE       | 36.7 (18)| 2.6 (2) | ~0.0005 (<0.009) |
| LPC/LPE      | 5 (7.8)   | 2.5 (2.6)| <0.005 (<0.006) |

The enzymes have strikingly different substrate preferences LPE and CPE were particularly interesting to us as possible alternative substrates to LPC and SM, because ethanolamine is a common headgroup in insect lipids (47–50). We included LPG and LPS as examples of lipids with neutral/zwitterionic headgroups. These lipids have been previously reported as substrates for *Loxosceles reclusa* protein LrSicTox-αBI1 (19).

For our panel comparison (Fig. 3, B–D), we utilized 31P NMR in mixed micelles with the non-denaturing detergent CHAPS (51). CHAPS effectively solubilizes the long-chain lipids and has precedent in phospholipase activity studies (52). The CHAPS NMR assay allows comparison of diverse long-chain lipid substrates at a common concentration of ~2 mM and allows direct detection of sharp, well resolved substrate and product resonances in all cases. It is less well suited to extraction of detailed primary kinetic parameters, partly because of the low sensitivity of NMR (53) and because such measurements are frequently problematic for interfacial enzymes in substrate/detergent mixtures (54). We regard these strengths and limitations as an acceptable compromise in an initial panel study of substrate preference across multiple SicTox family members. We thus characterize apparent substrate preferences (Table 1) by comparing initial reaction rates at a single initial concentration of substrate, both in single-substrate assays (Fig. 3) and in competition assays of selected substrate pairs (Fig. 4).

The substrate preferences of the three enzymes measured from single-substrate assays have two common features (Fig. 3 and Table 1). First, all three enzymes show activity against lyso-phospholipids with positively charged headgroups (LPC and/or LPE) but show no detectable activity against neutral/zwitterionic headgroups (LPG and LPS). Second, the enzymes show more rapid turnover of ceramide-based substrates (SM or CPE) versus the corresponding lyso-phospholipids (LPC or LPE, respectively). This latter preference is marginal in the case of La_βDI1 (~2-fold difference in measured rate) but increases to an order of magnitude or more for St_βBI1i and La_αBI2bi.

The enzymes have strikingly different substrate preferences for choline and ethanolamine, the two positively charged head-
groups. \(\text{La}_\alpha\text{IB2bi}\) shows very little discrimination between choline and ethanolamine. Meanwhile, \(\text{La}_\beta\text{IB2bi}\) is specific for choline and \(\text{St}_\beta\text{IB1i}\) is specific for ethanolamine. \(\text{La}_\beta\text{IB2bi}\) turns over SM faster than CPE by an estimated 1 to 2 orders of magnitude at \(2\text{mM}\) initial substrate concentration (Table 1). \(\text{La}_\alpha\text{IB2bi}\) also prefers LPC over LPE, although neither substrate is turned over rapidly in this assay. \(\text{St}_\beta\text{IB1i}\), however, turns over CPE and LPE at least 3 and 2 orders of magnitude faster than SM and LPC, respectively. The CPE/SM comparison is particularly striking; all CPE substrate is gone within the first 10 min, while no turnover of SM is evident up to 80 min (Fig. 3D).

Direct competition experiments between substrates with choline and ethanolamine headgroups show similar substrate preferences to those seen in single-substrate assays (Fig. 4 and Table 1). For each enzyme, we set up two competition experiments using either phosphosphingolipids (CPE versus SM) or lysolipids (LPC versus LPE). The most notable result is that we continue to observe rapid turnover of CPE, and extremely slow turnover of SM, by \(\text{St}_\beta\text{IB1i}\) in direct competition experiments. In sum, our experiments show for the first time that these venom toxins have variable substrate specificity and reveal that toxins with low sphingomyelinase activity, such as \(\text{St}_\beta\text{IB1i}\), may be highly specific for ethanolamine-containing phospholipids.

**Crystal Structure of \(\text{St}_\beta\text{IB1i}\)—**To begin exploring the basis of differences in substrate specificity, we solved the structure of SicTox protein \(\beta\text{IB1i}\) from \(S.\ terrosus\) using crystallographic methods (Table 2). Refinement of the \(\text{St}_\beta\text{IB1i}\) structure converged to a crystallographic residual of 17% \((R_{\text{free}} = 22%)\) for all
The active site of St_IB1i contains all the residues previously identified as essential for catalytic activity (Figs. 2 and 5B) (2, 27, 28, 59). With the exception of Asp-52, which is in the highly flexible loop region of the molecule (Fig. 5B), the catalytic side chains of St_IB1i also superimpose closely with those of LiRecDT1 and SMase I. The Mg$^{2+}$ cofactor is coordinated by six groups: the OD1 atom of Asp-33 (Asp-34 in SMase I numbering); the OE2 atom of Glu-31 (Glu-32 in SMase I numbering); the OD2 atom of Asp-91, and three water molecules. Thus, the inner hydration shell of the divalent magnesium is observed in the St_IB1i structure (63). The active site of St_IB1i does not show clear electron density for any ligand other than Mg$^{2+}$; all residual electron density in the active site was attributed to water molecules.

Docking and Mutational Studies—To examine possible substrate binding modes, we docked LPE and LPC ligands into the S. terrosus IB1i active site (Fig. 6) using Autodock Vina (see under “Experimental Procedures”). We focused on lysophospholipid substrates rather than sphingolipids because of their simpler structure, and because other structural data yielded clues to the binding mode for lysophospholipids. Specifically, the structure of a bacterial GDPD from O. antarctica (PDB code 3QVQ) contains a glycerol 3-phosphate bound in a mode where the 2-hydroxyl is poised to attack the phosphorus center and make a five-membered ring (see Fig. 8, below). GDPDs are distantly related to the SicTox proteins (27, 64, 65), and their mechanism has been proposed to proceed through a five-membered cyclic phosphate intermediate (45) analogous to the product of the toxin action on lysophospholipids (15). We felt that the conformation of the glycerol phosphate in the GDPD active site was a reasonable model for the phosphoglycerol portion of LPC or LPE bound to the toxin in a catalytically competent mode. Consequently, we simplified our docking calculations by restricting the dihedral angles of the phosphoglycerol moiety of LPE and LPC to mimic the glycerol phosphate structure. The lowest energy binding modes for LPE and LPC are highly similar (Fig. 6A) and suggest that the headgroup is accommodated within a pocket bordered by Val-89, Asp-91, Asn-95, Ser-132, Glu-134, Asp-165, Ser-167, Gly-195, Tyr-228, Trp-230, and Met-251 (Fig. 6B). Part of this region (residues 89, 132, 134, 165, 167 and 195) has previously been named the “distal binding pocket” and is suggested to be important for recognition of different substrates (24). This pocket is quite well conserved between St_IB1i and the α SMase D toxins of known structure. Only residues 134 (Glu versus Pro) and 195 (Gly versus Ser) differ (Figs. 6B and 2). In the lowest energy binding modes for LPE and LPC, neither position directly contacts the headgroup. Thus, the docking studies do not generate a strong sense that the active sites of these proteins should preferentially bind more strongly to choline than to ethanolamine headgroups or vice versa.

Nonetheless, we tested a variant of St_IB1i containing the substitutions E134P and G195S. In a competition experiment between SM and CPE, the variant does have measurable activity against SM during the 1st h, unlike the wild type, but it still turns over CPE much faster (Fig. 7). We also see no evidence that the variant favors LPC over LPE (data not shown). Interestingly, the rate of SM consumption in the SM/CPE competi-

**TABLE 2**

| Data collection and refinement statistics for St_IB1i (PDB code 4Q6X) |
|-----------------------------------------|
| **Space group** | Trigonal, P3$_2$1 |
| **Unit cell parameters (Å, °)** | a = b = c = 49.22, γ = 120; α = β = γ = 90 |
| Z (molecules/au) | 1 |

**Data collection**
- X-ray source: SSRL BL7-1
- Wavelength (Å): 1.127
- Detector: CCD-ADSQ Quantum 315

**Data refinement**
- Resolution range (Å)$^a$: 38.56–2.14 (2.25–2.14)
- Measured reflections$^a$: 49,403 (5,484)
- Unique reflections$^a$: 13,352 (1,828)
- Multiplicity$^a$: 37 (3.0)
- Completeness (%)$^a$: 99.2
- R$_{merge}$,ω$^a$: 0.08 (0.44)
- I/σ(I)$^a$: 13.9 (1.79)

**Structure refinement**
- Most favored regions: 97.8%
- Additional allowed regions: 2.2%
- Generously allowed regions: 0%
- In disallowed regions: 0%

$^a$ Overall/outermost shell is indicated.

---

(data between 38.5 and 2.14 Å. The refined model of St_IB1i contains 278 amino acid residues, 122 solvent water molecules, and 1 Mg$^{2+}$ ion in the active site. Model quality was assessed with SFCheck (55), and all stereochemical parameters are within acceptable ranges. A Ramachandran diagram of the model generated with RAMPAGE (56) indicates that all main-chain dihedral angles are within the most favored and additionally allowed regions.

**Structural Comparison of St_IB1i with Other SicTox Proteins**—St_IB1i has a canonical TIM (α/β)$_8$ barrel fold with close structural homology to LiRecDT1 (Fig. 5A) (PDB code 3RLH (28)). St_IB1i and LiRecDT1 superimpose with a global root mean square deviation of 1.37 Å for 275 Cα atoms (57), a sequence similarity of 63.3%, and a DALI Z-score of 44.3 (58). St_IB1i is slightly less similar to SMase I (PDB code 1X1 (59)), with a DALI Z-score of 40.6, but both comparisons indicate close structural homology.

St_IB1i contains two disulfide bonds as follows: one between Cys-51 and Cys-57, part of a hairpin turn in the catalytic loop, and one between Cys-53 and Cys-197, linking the catalytic loop to a second flexible loop region (27). This disulfide bonding pattern, along with low SMase D activity, places St_IB1i in the class IIb group (27, 28). The flexible, catalytic, and variable loop regions of St_IB1i all exhibit large temperature factors, as also seen in both LiRecDT1 and SMase I. These apparently dynamic regions are likely to be involved in substrate recognition and formation of the membrane interface (2, 27, 28, 61). Modeling of these highly flexible regions in St_IB1i required TLS refinement (40, 41, 62).
The magnesium cofactor is shown as important for substrate specificity (24). situated in a binding pocket that has been previously identified as potentially phosphate group of each substrate. For both substrates, the headgroup is enzymes, showing putatively important amino acid residues for catalysis and/or substrate binding (see also Fig. 2). The essential magnesium ion cofactor from the structure of St_β1B1i is shown as a small red sphere, along with a sulfate ion from the L. laeta SMase I structure. With the exception of Asp-52, in the flexible/variable catalytic loop, all the amino acid residues have a similar location and conformation in St_β1B1i, a β clade member, as in the two α clade representatives. Residue numbering is referenced to Ll_αII1/SMase I.

One possibility is that the SicTox mechanism is homologous to the first step of the mechanism of GDPD enzymes, which are distantly related (27, 64, 65). GDPDs hydrolyze phosphoglycerol substrates and have been proposed to form a cyclic intermediate analogous to the lipid product of the action of SicTox enzymes on lysophospholipids (45). In the proposed cyclization step, one histidine (His-17) acts as a general base, accepting a proton from the \(\text{2}^-\)-hydroxyl of the glycerol moiety, generating a nucleophile; a second histidine (His-59) acts as a general acid, donating a proton to the leaving group. This mechanism is supported by both the conformation and positioning of glycerol 3-phosphate product bound to O. antarctica GDPD (PDB code 3QVQ).

A reasonable GDPD-like mechanism for cyclization of LPC by the SicTox proteins is shown in Fig. 8C. To visualize the probable GDPD cyclization step in the context of a SicTox protein, we superimposed the O. antarctica and S. terrosus structures to position the phosphoglycerol moiety in the active site of St_β1B1i (Fig. 8, A and B). The superposition yields a reasonable binding mode for the phosphoglycerol moiety of LPC or LPE, with the two

**Mechanism of SicTox PLD Activity**—Although the above analysis of St_β1B1i does not explain its substrate specificity, it does provide an opportunity to reconsider the general mechanism of the SicTox enzymes. The currently accepted mechanism (2, 27, 59) is based on the mechanisms of two other phosphodiesterases, a bacterial SMase C (66) and hydrolytic PLD enzymes with a conserved HKD motif (67). The first step is proposed to involve nucleophilic attack by a conserved histidine at the scissile phosphodiester bond, followed by displacement of the headgroup, leading to formation of a pentacoordinated covalent intermediate. In the second step, deprotonation of water forms a nucleophile, which attacks the covalent adduct to release a monoester product. This mechanism must be reexamined in light of our recent discovery that SicTox enzymes catalyze cyclic phosphate formation rather than hydrolysis (15), combined with the lack of an evolutionary relationship between SicTox and HKD PLD enzymes (67).
accelerate the reaction by stabilizing a developing negative charge. In either case, the magnesium ion could assist by the adjacent Asp-52 residue (Fig. 8). His-47 is now in a position to activate the nucleophile, whereas His-12 can protonate the leaving group. Our in silico docking of full-length lipid substrates suggests a related but different mechanism in which the orientation of the phosphoglycerol moiety is reversed (Fig. 8, D–F). In the GDPD-like mechanism, the orientation of the phosphoglycerol moiety implies that the lipid chain of LPC or LPE would face deep into the binding pocket, although the headgroup would protrude from the active site (Fig. 8, A–C). The docking studies imply essentially the opposite positioning of lipid chain and headgroup. One advantage of this configuration is that the lipid chain may not easily fit into the deep part of the binding pocket in the GDPD-like mechanism. The reversed orientation also leads to a swapping of the roles of the two histidines as follows: His-47 is now in a position to activate the nucleophile, whereas His-12 can protonate the leaving group. His-47 could also be assisted by the adjacent Asp-52 residue (Fig. 8E). A final difference is that in the GDPD-like mechanism, the nucleophilic hydroxyl occupies one coordination site of magnesium; in the docking-based mechanism, the oxygen of the leaving group occupies this position. In either case, the magnesium ion could accelerate the reaction by stabilizing a developing negative charge.

**DISCUSSION**

We have biochemically characterized three diverse SicTox PLD toxins to compare substrate preference and ambiguity among venom-expressed enzymes in the SicTox gene family. The results demonstrate for the first time that a wide range of preference exists for choline versus ethanolamine headgroups. Most strikingly, we found that St_βB1i, a class IIb enzyme with low PLD activity against SM and LPC, is actually a highly active enzyme with strong specificity for ethanolamine-containing substrates. We determined the structure of St_βB1i, the first of a class IIb enzyme as well as the first structure of a SicTox PLD from a *Sicarius* species. The structure led to new insights into substrate binding mode and mechanism, but it did not immediately reveal the basis for differences in specificity. Nevertheless, our findings show that SicTox proteins are functionally diverse, which may in turn help to explain their variable mammalian toxicity (7–9, 25, 26).

The specificity of St_βB1i for ethanolamine headgroups may explains why other class IIb enzymes tend to show diminished sphingomyelinase activity compared with whole venoms. St_βB1i shares over 60% sequence identity with all previously reported class IIb enzymes (Figs. 1 and 2), and phylogenetic analysis groups these toxins within the βI clade of the SicTox gene family (24). It is therefore plausible that many βI SicTox PLD toxins have evolved specificity for ethanolamine-containing targets such as CPE.

Our test set also included two paralogs, La_αB2bi (15, 30) and La_βID1, from the venom of *L. arizonica*. La_αB2bi, an α clade member, strongly preferred choline-containing over ethanolamine-containing substrates. The preference of La_αB2bi for LPC over LPE resembles the specificity previously observed for another α clade toxin, α1A1 from *L. reclusa* (19). Surprisingly, La_βID1 also slightly preferred choline-containing substrates, despite belonging to the βI clade like St_βB1i. The observation of robust SMase D activity in La_βID1 is intriguing given that it shares 84% sequence identity with LiRecDT5 (B1D1) from *L. intermedia*, an enzyme with very limited SMase D activity compared with α clade toxins from the same species (9). Direct experimental comparison of La_βID1 and LiRecDT5 could further illuminate whether these two enzymes differ in SMase D activity and/or substrate specificity. Functional differences between these two proteins would suggest that the BID clade is functionally diverse and represents a transition toward ethanolamine specificity in the βI-ABC clades, beginning from either low specificity or a preference for SM in the ancestral sequences (see Fig. 1). They would also potentially lead to identification of limited sequence changes that modulate function.

At present, the determinants of substrate specificity remain a mystery. The structure of St_βB1i, including the active site, is quite similar to the previously determined structures of a class I enzyme (PDB code 1XX1) and a class IIa enzyme (PDB code 3RLH). As a class IIb enzyme, St_βB1i is most similar to the latter, as all class II enzymes contain two disulfide bonds. The largest structural variations were found in the flexible loop regions, as observed previously in comparisons of class I and class IIa structures (2, 28). Docking experiments with LPC and LPE substrates and St_βB1i suggested a location for the headgroup recognition pocket deep in the active site, away from variable loops. The sequence and structure of this pocket are largely conserved between the different enzymes, and both substrates docked in a similar mode. Mutation of variable residues in this region of St_βB1 led to at most minor alterations in its functional assignment.

Because PLDs are interfacial catalysts (67), an alternative source of specificity might involve interactions between the i-face of the enzyme (68) and a lipid interface. The i-face of the PLD toxins has not been identified experimentally but has been proposed to consist of the catalytic loop (visible in the lower left corner of Fig. 5B) and the loops following the fifth and sixth

**FIGURE 7.** 31P NMR competition assays of St_βB1i variants against SM and CPE. The data for wild-type St_βB1i (black) derive from the spectra shown in Fig. 4 and the N95G/E134P (blue) and E134P/G195S (red) variants that were assayed in the same manner. All three variants turn over CPE considerably faster than SM, although E134P/G195S may exhibit a decreased preference for CPE. See “Experimental Procedures” for details of assay conditions. Error bars are based on statistical analysis of instrument precision and represent standard deviations.
strands of the barrel (27). These regions could be targets for future mutational studies. Ethanolamine and choline could present very different interaction surfaces to the i-face of an interfacial catalyst. Although both are positively charged headgroups, they differ in hydrogen bonding capability, hydrophobicity, and size. For example, unlike their choline counterparts, ethanolamine-containing phospholipids can form a hydrogen-bonded ring structure between the positively charged amine group and a negatively charged phosphate oxygen (69).

Substrate preferences could result from changes in kinetics and thermodynamics of the enzyme-lipid interactions at the i-face, including tighter binding and/or longer residence times for processive catalysis. They may also result from allosteric coupling between the i-face and the active site, which can affect substrate binding as well as catalytic steps (70). Because of these complexities, apparent substrate preferences may themselves depend somewhat on the form of the lipid interface, e.g. micelles versus vesicles, present in the assay. This is a caveat in our study, which uses detergent-mixed micelles; we note, however, that the trends we observed were similar in most single substrate and mixed substrate assays and that the enzymes showed similar trends in SMase/LPCase activity in different micelle mixtures (Figs. 3 and 4 and Table 1).

This study suggests generally stronger substrate specificity for the SicTox enzymes in comparison with previous studies. Specifically, the three PLD toxins showed moderate to strong
preference for sphingolipid over lysolipid substrates, as well as a very strong preference for positively charged over neutral head- groups. By contrast, for an α clade enzyme from L. reclusa, Lee and Lynch (19) reported very similar kinetics for sphingolipid (SM) and lysolipid (LPC) substrates, and observed strong activity against LPG and LPS. van Meeteren et al. (18) also reported similar levels of turnover of SM and LPC for an α clade enzyme from Loxosceles laeta. These different findings may reflect variable substrate preference within the SicTox family. Indeed, one of our three proteins, La_BiD1, shows considerably lower preference for sphingolipids, and less discrimination between choline and ethanolamine, than the other two. The general preference for sphingolipids found in our study, however, may have biological significance; sphingolipid substrates exist at higher concentrations than lysolipids in the lipidome of insect prey (49, 50).

Discrimination between choline and ethanolamine head- groups may also be biologically significant for both mammalian toxicity and predation. CPE is a major phosphosphingolipid in insects (47), the main prey item of the sicariid spiders (30); SM, by contrast, dominates in mammals (71, 72). As noted above, some β clade members show diminished toxicity in mammalian models (7–10, 25, 26). Our work suggests that this reduced toxicity may relate to specificity for ethanolamine-containing lipids like CPE. Given the specificity of St_BiB1i and the paucity of CPE in humans, we also propose that St_BiB1i, or similar toxins, have potential as safe insecticides if utilized in a trans- genetic system (73).

As for predation, lipidome and genetic analyses suggest that most arthropods can produce both CPE and SM, but some insects (e.g. the Brachycera) may produce only CPE (47). The diversification of headgroup specificity in the SicTox gene family may allow some spider species to tailor venom activity specifically toward prey species in which either SM or CPE is the predominant phosphosphingolipid. A question for future research is whether variation in whole venom specificity for SM or CPE correlates with the levels of each lipid in typical prey.

Purified La_aBiB2i acts as a paralytic neurotoxin in crickets (30), and this may be one general function of the SicTox proteins, whether they are specific for SM or CPE or act on both. CPE is concentrated in neural tissue of certain insects, comprising about 4% of the total lipid content of brain tissue (49) in Drosophila and about 6–7% of total lipid content of the nervous system in Musca domestica (50). In Drosophila, CPE is required by glial cells to properly insulate axons, and lack of CPE leads to neuropathy (48). Another future research question is whether the neurotoxic effects of isolated SicTox proteins on different prey will depend on a match between enzyme specificity and the content of SM and/or CPE in neurological tissue.
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