Neurochemically distinct populations of the bed nucleus of stria terminalis modulate innate fear response to weak threat evoked by predator odor stimuli
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ABSTRACT

Anxiety and trauma-related disorders are characterized by significant alterations in threat detection, resulting in inadequate fear responses evoked by weak threats or safety stimuli. Recent research pointed out the important role of the bed nucleus of stria terminalis (BNST) in threat anticipation and fear modulation under ambiguous threats, hence, exaggerated fear may be traced back to altered BNST function. To test this hypothesis, we chemogenetically inhibited specific BNST neuronal populations (corticotropin-releasing hormone - BNST

1. Introduction

Psychiatric conditions related to exaggerated or context-inadequate fear responses exhibit significant alterations of threat detection, i.e. how actual or potential threats are perceived and interpreted (Levy and Schiller, 2021). Since detection of life-threatening signals has been essential for survival throughout phylogenetic evolution, highly conserved regulatory mechanisms have been formed in the brain (Pereira and Moita, 2016). Predatory cues are universal danger signals in all vertebrate species including humans, modulating behavioral responses on the approach-avoidance dimension (e.g. exploration vs hiding) with significant autonomic and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activation (Apfelbach et al., 2005, 2015; Bach et al., 2014; Pereira and Moita, 2016). Neurobiological mechanisms regulating defensive responses have been well-characterized across species, offering potentials for translational research by deeper understanding how...
adaptive vs maladaptive innate defensive responses are formed. Predator-related sensory signals (e.g., looming as visual, alarm calls as auditory, or urinary scent as olfactory stimuli) reach the amygdala through colliculo-thalamic or direct olfactory pathways, which conveys sensory information with additional valence to hypothalamic centres (ventromedial and premammillary regions), where defensive behavioral responses are initiated and executed via the brainstem, i.e., periaqueductal grey, autonomic centres, and motor nuclei (Pereira and Moita, 2016; Silva et al., 2016). Despite detailed neuroanatomical schematics of innate defensive responses, modulation of responses according to actual threats (i.e., along the ‘flight–approach-avoidance-immobility’ dimension) is less understood. Latter is mainly determined by the proximity of the predator in a natural setting (Fanselow and Lester, 1988). Recent findings also suggest that a major difference between adaptive vs maladaptive fear response is the low vs high threshold for threatening signals (e.g. earlier escape) (Fung et al., 2019; Mobbs et al., 2010).

Recent research pointed out the important role of the bed nucleus of stria terminalis (BNST) in the regulation of emotional states and behavioral responses under threatening conditions, particularly when the threat is more ambiguous or remote, and behavior is formed in the anticipation of potential danger (Goode et al., 2019, 2020; Klumpers et al., 2017; Mobbs et al., 2010). Neuroanatomical localization and connections of the BNST, i.e. as an interface between integrative and executive centers (Cullinan et al., 1993; Janitzky et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2019; Radley et al., 2009), also imply its modulatory role in threat detection and adequate action selection, i.e. how sensory information with negative valence is translated into adaptive behavioral response (Alheid and Heimer, 1988; Daniel and Rainnie, 2016). Moreover, BNST is a higher-order sensory center of olfactory signals, including predatory odors (kairomones), exhibiting marked activity under predatory threat conditions (Asok et al., 2013; Day et al., 2004; Fendt et al., 2002; Giardino et al., 2018; Janitzky et al., 2015; Kobayakawa et al., 2007; Rale et al., 2017). Since the chemical nature and concentration of predator odor inform prey animals about the spatio-temporal proximity of predatory threat (Apelbach et al., 2015; Fanselow and Lester, 1988), predator odor can be used as a scalable threat signal inducing innate fear responses in laboratory settings (Takahashi et al., 2005; Wallace and Rosen, 2000). As shown before, low risk signals tend to promote active risk assessment and ‘cautious’ behavior, whereas high-risk signals facilitate freezing or flight (Apelbach et al., 2005; Lima and Bednekoff, 1999). Noteworthy, predator odor stimuli are significant stressors shaping behavioral reactivity on the long-term as shown by animal models of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Cohen et al., 2014; Deslauriers et al., 2018; Janitzky et al., 2015).

Based on the above, we aimed to explore how the BNST modulates innate fear responses for predator odor, considered as an ecologically valid laboratory paradigm. In order to dissect the differential role of BNST under low and high threat conditions, we used a single molecule, 2-methyl-2-thiazoline (2MT), as a scalable threat stimulus. 2MT is a synthetic derivate of 2,5-dihydro-2,4,5-trimethylthiazoline (TMT), a widely-used fox anogenital compound evoking innate fear response (Apelbach et al., 2015; Rosen et al., 2015). We used 2MT because of its potential to reliably induce freezing behavior (with elevated corticosterone levels) besides avoidance (particularly in mice) as shown by previous studies (Cruz et al., 2020; Isosaka et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2018). Latter enabled us to monitor a more diverse behavioral repertoire by defining multiple variables on the approach-avoidance-frightening dimension. Since the BNST is a neurochemically heterogeneous structure expressing several neuropeptides (Gungor and Pare, 2014; Nguyen et al., 2016; Ye and Veinante, 2019), we focused our manipulations on distinct cell populations. We targeted somatostatin (SST) and corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) positive neurons based on previous reports showing their opposing role in active-passive fear response selection. SST neurons in the amygdala and prefrontal cortex have been shown to enhance passive fear and coping responses such as freezing and immobile states, or repress active avoidance (Ahrens et al., 2018; Cummings and Clem, 2020; Fadok et al., 2017; Hartley et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2016). Recently, we also found that SST neurons in the BNST enhance passive fear response (i.e. freezing) formation in a conditioned fear paradigm (Bruszik et al., 2021). In contrast, CRH neurons seem to exert a complementary function, predominantly facilitating fear extinction, escape/flight behavior, and active defense (Daviu et al., 2020; Fadok et al., 2017; Hartley et al., 2019). However, the role of these cell populations in the BNST in shaping behavioral responses to threatening stimuli is still unclear. To test the stimulus-dependent modulatory role of these two neuronal populations, we chemogenetically inhibited SST and CRH neurons of the BNST under low and high threat conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Adult (>8 weeks old) male C57Bl/6J mice, as well as crh-ires-cre and sst-ires-cre male mice on C57Bl/6J background (Jackson Laboratory, USA) were used in the present study (Taniguchi et al., 2011; Vong et al., 2011). All animals were group-housed (3-4 mice/cage) in Plexiglass chambers at constant temperature (22 ± 1 °C) and humidity (40–60%) under a reverse circadian light-dark cycle (lights-off at 7:00 a.m., lights-on at 7:00 p.m.). Mice were isolated 3 days before the first behavioral test and were kept single-housed during the testing period to prevent social buffering/modulatory effects. All behavioral tests were performed during the first half of the active (dark) cycle. Regular laboratory chow (Sniff, Soest, Germany) and tap water were available ad libitum.

Experiments were carried out in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive recommendations for the care and use of laboratory animals (2010/63/EU) and were reviewed and approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of the Institute of Experimental Medicine.

2.2. Stereotaxic surgery

Mice underwent stereotaxic surgery to bilaterally inject virus constructs into the BNST (anteroposterior: +0.8 mm, mediolateral: ±0.8 mm, dorsoventral: −4.2 mm to Bregma; (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001)). Animals were anesthetized with a ketamine-xylazine solution (16.6 mg/ml ketamine and 0.6 mg/ml xylazine-hydrochloride in 0.9% saline, 10 ml/kg body weight intraperitoneally-i.p.) and placed in a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA, USA). Viral vectors (20–40 nl volume/hemisphere) were microinjected through a glass pipette (tip diameter: 20–30 μm) at a rate of 100 nl/min by using a Nanoject II precision microinjector pump (Drummond, Broomall, PA, USA). The pipette was left in place for an additional 3 min to ensure diffusion before slow retraction. After the surgeries, mice received buprenorphine injection (Bupac; 0.1 mg/kg) subcutaneously as analgesic treatment. Behavioral experiments were conducted 4–6 weeks after virus injection to allow time for gene expression.

2.3. Virus vectors

Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) carrying Cre-inducible (double-inverse orientation; DIO) transgenes were purchased from Addgene (Watertown, MA, USA). We used AAV8-hSyn::DIO-hM4Di-mCherry (1.9e13 GC/ml titer, #44362) and AAV8-hSyn::DIO-mCherry (4.1e12 GC/ml titer, #50459) constructs to express inhibitory Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADD) receptors or inactive control fluorophore.
2.4. Drugs

Designer receptor-ligand clozapine-N-oxide (CNO, Tocris Bioscience; 4936, CAS No: 34233-69-7) was freshly dissolved in 0.9% saline solution at a concentration of 0.3 mg/ml and administered in 10 ml/kg volume intraperitoneally (i.e. effective dose of 3 mg/kg) 40 min before behavioral testing.

2.5. Behavioral testing

2.5.1. Open field test

We assessed exploratory activity and anxiety-like behavior without predator stimuli in an open field arena under medium-light intensity (120 lux). The arena was made of white plastic (40 × 30 × 15 cm), which was cleaned with water and wiped dry between tests. Mice were placed in the corner and were allowed to explore the arena for 10 min. The inner 20 × 15 cm zone was considered as center, and time spent here was an index of anxiety. We defined three further behavioral variables to consistently measure exploratory activity and fear response across tests (i.e. with predatory stimuli exposures): (1) locomotor/horizontal exploratory activity (total distance moved in cm), (2) vertical exploratory activity (time spent with rearing), (3) time spent with immobility/freezing. All behavioral variables were quantified using EthoVision XT 15 software except rearing, which was hand-scored by an experimenter blind to treatment groups (Solomon Coder, Hungary; https://solomoncoder.com/). Freezing was defined by lack of movement using previously defined software settings and thresholds, which were validated by correlating Ethovision output data with expert hand-scoring (Spearman R > 0.9).

2.5.2. Predator odor test using cat urine or 2-methyl-thiazoline (2MT)

We assessed innate fear response to an ecologically relevant aversive stimulus, i.e. predator odor by means of used cat litter or a synthetic analog of a fox anogenital product (2-methyl-2-thiazoline; 2MT), in a transparent Plexiglass arena (43 × 27 × 19 cm). Testing was carried out in a fume hood with medium-light intensity (120 lux) in covered arenas.

Fig. 1. 2MT elicits robust and dose-dependent innate fear responses in mice. (A) Left panel illustrates the testing apparatus. Dashed lines indicate the defined ‘odor zone’ containing a filter paper with H$_2$O (no odor) or 2MT. Right panel illustrates representative trajectory plots of individual mice exposed to H$_2$O or 2MT. (B) Innate fear response quantified by five behavioral variables on the active-passive defense dimension. 2MT induced a robust fear response indicated by reduced distance moved, less rearing and entries into the odor zone, as well as increased mean distance from the odor zone, and time spent with freezing (n = 12/group). (C) Dose-response curve of 2MT-induced fear. 2MT dose-dependently increased the innate fear response reflected by all variables. Box plots represent medians, minimum and maximum values (n = 8/group). Graph bars show means ± SEM. ***p < 0.001 (Student t-test).
to equalize odor exposure across subjects. Animals were habituated to the clean, empty arena for 10 min 24 h before testing to lower novelty stress. During testing, cat urine was presented using soiled cat litter in a perforated 50 ml conical tube affixed to the floor in the corner. 2MT (#MB3406, Sigma Aldrich) was presented on a filter paper placed in a plastic vial cap affixed to the corner. We defined a $7 \times 11\,\text{cm}$ ‘odor zone’ around the odor source to quantify avoidance and exploration of predator odor (Fig. 1A). At start, animals were placed in the corner opposing the odor zone and were left to freely explore in the covered arena for 10 min. Filter papers were immediately removed at the end of the test, then the testing arena was cleaned with water, wiped dry, and left ventilated for additional 2 min before the next test. We used five behavioral variables to characterize the innate fear response in detail on the passive-active dimension: (1) locomotor/horizontal exploratory activity (total distance moved in cm), (2) vertical exploratory activity (time spent with rearings), (3) the number of entries/approaches into the odor zone, (4) mean distance from the odor zone (cm), and (5) time spent with immobility/freezing. All behavioral variables were quantified using EthoVision XT 15 software except rearing, which was hand-scored by an experimenter blind to treatment groups (Solomon Coder, Hungary; https://solomoncoder.com/).

2.6. Immunohistochemistry and image analysis

2.6.1. Tissue processing
Mice were anesthetized with a ketamine-xylazine solution (16.6 mg/ml and 0.6 mg/ml, respectively) and transcardially perfused with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), followed by ice-cold paraformaldehyde (PFA; 4% in PBS). Brains were rapidly removed and post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA at 4°C, then incubated in a solution containing 30% sucrose in PBS before slicing. 30 μm coronal sections were collected on a sliding microtome and stored in a cryoprotectant solution (containing 20% glycerin, 30% ethylene glycol) at −20°C until immunohistochemical staining.

2.6.2. Verification of virus extensions
We labeled mCherry by immunohistochemistry using primary antibody against red fluorescent protein (RFP) to verify virus expression in the BNST. Briefly, after several rinses in PBS, sections (90 μm apart) were incubated in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (TXt, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.3% H2O2 for 30 min followed by 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in PBS for 1 h. Primary antibody solution (1:4000 rabbit anti-RFP, #600-401-379, Rockland, Limerick, PA, USA; diluted in PBS containing 2% BSA and 0.1% Triton-X) was left over on the slices for 2 days at 4°C. After several rinsing with PBS, slices were incubated in biotin-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1000 in 2% BSA and PBS, #711-065-152, Jackson Immunoresearch, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom) for 2 h. Labeling was amplified by avidin-biotin complex (1:1000; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) by incubation for 1 h at room temperature. The peroxidase reaction was developed in the presence of diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (0.2 mg/ml), nickel-ammonium sulfate (0.1%), and hydrogen peroxide (0.003%) dissolved in Tris buffer. Sections were mounted onto gelatin-coated slides, dehydrated, and coveredslipped with DPX Mountant (Sigma-Aldrich/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Regions of interest were digitalized by an Olympus DP70 Light Microscope and CCD camera system. All animals with virus expression outside of the BNST were excluded from the analysis (N = 2-9/group: N = 5-6 of control and N = 7-9 of hM4Di subjects in case of st-ires-cre mice; N = 2-3 of control and N = 4-9 of hM4Di subjects in case of cre-ires-cre mice). Generally, mCherry-positive cell bodies were observed along the whole rostrocaudal axis of the BNST similar to our previous studies (Bruusk et al., 2021).

2.6.3. C-Fos immunohistochemistry and microscopy
To verify that CNO treatment resulted in significant inhibition of DREADD-expressing neurons of the BNST, we labeled C-Fos immediately after gene product to quantify the activity of hM4Di expressing neurons. Mice were perfused 90 min after behavioral testing (with CNO injection 40 min before testing). We used fluorescent immunolabeling against C-Fos and RFP as described above (1:2000 guinea-pig polyclonal anti-c-Fos IgG, #226004, Synaptic Systems with monoclonal rabbit anti-RFP IgG 1:1000, #600-401-379, Rockland), which were detected by fluorescent-conjugated antibodies (1:500 Cy3 conjugated donkey anti-rabbit, #134845, Jackson Immunoresearch, and 1:500 Alexa-488 conjugated donkey anti-guinea-pig, #532355, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Fluorescent labeling was imaged using either C2 Confocal Laser-Scanning Microscope (CFI Plan Apo VC20X/N.A. 0.75, xy: 0.62 μm/pixel, Nikon Europe, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), or Panoramic Digital Slide Scanner (Zeiss, Plan-Apochromat 10X/NA 0.45, xy: 0.65 μm/pixel, Panoramic MIDI II; 3DHISTECH, Budapest, Hungary) equipped with LED (Lumencor, SPECTRA X light engine). RFP/c-Fos co-expression was counted manually in 3 brain sections exhibiting the highest density of RFP + expression using standardized settings (contrast, intensity) across subjects. For statistical analysis, we calculated the percentage of c-Fos+/RFP + cell number compared to total RFP + cell number.

2.7. Statistics

Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Differences between groups were analyzed using Statistica software 13.5 (TIBCO, Palo Alto, CA, USA) by means of Student’s t-test, or Mann-Whitney U test when requirements for t-tests were not fulfilled. In 2MT dose-response experiment, we used repeated measure ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s post hoc analyses. The significance level was set at p < 0.05 throughout, all p values are indicated with exact numbers.

3. Results

3.1. Establishing a scalable innate fear paradigm using synthetic predator odor component 2-methyl-2-thiazoline (2MT)
First, we exposed adult male C57BL/6J mice to either H2O or undiluted 2MT (5 μl) to validate the fear-inducing capacity of 2MT and characterize the defensive behavioral repertoire with multiple variables. All behavioral variables indicated robust fear reaction in the presence of 2MT: decreased locomotor activity/exploration (i.e. distance moved: t = −12.911, p < 0.001), decreased rearing (t = −7.432, p < 0.001), less approach to the odor source (t = −7.805, p < 0.001), higher mean distance from the odor source (t = 5.027, p < 0.001), and increased time of freezing (t = 12.563, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1A and B).

Next, we assessed the dose-response curve of 2MT to define and optimize low and high stimuli for chemogenetic manipulations, i.e. reliable fear response with differential characteristics of active-passive responses; and provide a range for bidirectional manipulations. We tested four decreasing doses on a nearly logarithmic scale, i.e. from 250 μl (equivalent with the undiluted dose used above) to 1/125 dose (250, 50, 10, 2 μl of a 50x dilution of 2MT). We observed a dose-dependent response curve (Fig. 1C): increasing locomotor activity/exploration (F (1,35) = 63.41, p < 0.001), increasing rearing/risk assessment (F(1,35) = 29.52, p < 0.001), more entries to the odor zone (F(1,35) = 40.19, p < 0.001), reduced mean distance from the odor source (F(1,35) = 6.21, p < 0.001), and reduced time of freezing (F(1,35) = 67.90, p < 0.001) by the gradual decrease of the 2MT dose. Considering that 2 μl dose was ineffective (Tukey’s posthoc for all variables: p > 0.54; except decreased approach: p = 0.025), and 50 μl dose resulted in a similar behavioral outcome as 250 μl dose (Tukey’s posthoc for all variables: p > 0.52; except somewhat lower freezing levels, p = 0.072), we selected 10 μl and 250 μl doses for further experiments as low and high stimulus intensities (accordingly we refer to them as ‘low- and high-dose’ throughout the manuscript). These two doses were effective inducer of the fear response
indicated by all variables (Tukey’s post hoc for all variables: p < 0.001, except mean distance from the odor source for 10 μl dose: p = 0.57), but they also evoked a markedly different level of fear response (Tukey’s post hoc for all variables: 0.001 < p < 0.05).

3.2. Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{SST} neurons reduces innate fear response under weak threat

To selectively test how neurochemically distinct neuronal populations of the BNST modulate innate fear responses elicited by weak and strong (i.e. uncertain and more imminent) threats, we applied cell-type specific chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{SST} neurons during 2MT exposures using sst-ires-cre mice (n = 9–10/group) (Fig. 2A, C, and D). On the behavioral level, chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{SST} neurons significantly reduced fear responses evoked by low-dose 2MT, indicated by all variables except freezing (Fig. 2C and D, distance moved: t = –2.203, p = 0.041; entries into the odor zone: t = –2.348, p = 0.031; rearing: t = –2.392, p = 0.029; mean distance from the odor zone: t = 3.203, p = 0.005; freezing: t = 1.436, p = 0.168; n = 9–11/group).

Importantly, none of these variables were changed when chemogenetic inhibition was applied in an open field arena without 2MT exposure (Fig. 2B; center time: t = –0.741, p = 0.468; distance moved: t = –0.506, p = 0.619; rearing: t = 0.140, p = 0.890; freezing: t = 0.201, p = 0.842; n = 9–10/group). Noteworthy, also freezing is significantly decreased (p = 0.034) if one considers the highest individual value as an outlier within the hM4Di group (2.12 SD above the mean), suggesting that chemogenetic inhibition effect was reflected by all behavioral variables. We also confirmed the marked reduction of neuronal activity of hM4Di-expressing neurons during low-dose 2MT exposure (40 min after CNO injection) indicated by decreased number of c-Fos + cells compared to subjects expressing control fluorophores without hM4Di (n = 6, t = 5.165, p < 0.001), although this reduction of neuronal activity was not reflected quantitatively in behavioral alterations, i.e. no significant correlation between c-Fos numbers and behavioral variables (p > 0.191) (Fig. 2E and F). In contrast, chemogenetic inhibition had no effect on high dose (250 μl of 1/50 dilution) 2MT evoked fear responses (distance moved, t = 0.039, p = 0.969; entries into the odor zone: t = 0.244, p = 0.808; mean distances from the odor zone: t = –0.078, p = 0.938; rearing: t = 1.639, p = 0.114; freezing: t = –0.671, p = 0.508; n = 9–11/group) (Fig. 2G).

3.3. Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons has no impact on innate fear response evoked by 2MT

Next, we tested the impact of chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons on the same innate fear response evoked by low- and high-dose 2MT exposure using crh-ires-cre mice (n = 9–15/group) (Fig. 3A, C and D). In contrast to BNST\textsuperscript{SST} manipulation, chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons had no effect on the fear response evoked by low-dose 2MT as indicated by unaltered distance moved (t = 0.710, p = 0.484), entries into the odor zone (t = –0.884, p = 0.386), time spent with rearing (t = 0.643, p = 0.526), freezing (t = –0.399, p = 0.693), and mean distance from the odor zone (t = –0.418, p = 0.679) (Fig. 3C).

Similarly, high-dose 2MT evoked fear response was not altered (Fig. 3D): total distance travelled (t = –1.805, p = 0.084), entries into the odor zone (t = 0.894, p = 0.380), rearing (t = –1.086, p = 0.280), freezing (t = 1.600, p = 0.123), and mean distance from the odor zone (t = 0.264, p = 0.793; n = 11–14/groups). None of these variables were changed when chemogenetic inhibition was applied in an open field arena without 2MT exposure (Fig. 3B; center time: t = 0.217, p = 0.829; distance moved: t = –1.400, p = 0.175; rearing: t = –0.297, p = 0.768; freezing: t = 1.636, p = 0.116; n = 8–16/group).

3.4. Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons enhances the innate fear response evoked by cat odor

To resolve contradiction between our results, i.e. inability to modulate 2MT-induced fear by BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} inhibition, and previous findings showing significant CRH + neuronal activation evoked by predator odor or TMT exposure (Butler et al., 2016; Giardino et al., 2018), we further explored BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} manipulation using a different predator stimulus. Since previous reports showed that the CRH system of the central amygdala is recruited by weak threats only (i.e. low-intensity footshocks, (Sanford et al., 2017), we tested if manipulation of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons is effective under lower threat conditions. Noteworthy, even low-dose of 2MT is a potent fear-eliciting agent resulting in ~30% of test time spent with freezing, and marked avoidance of the odor zone (~3–4 entries/10 min) in control mice (Fig. 3C), which could prevent ‘low threat’ effects when CRH neurons are engaged, despite our effort to define a minimal dose of 2MT for our first experiments. Previously, we found that cat urine is a mild stressor for mice eliciting low, but detectable, levels of freezing and avoidance. Accordingly, first we confirmed this effect in naïve adult male C57BL/6J mice (Fig. 4A and B). We observed significantly reduced locomotor activity (t = 3.183, p = 0.003) and increased time of freezing (t = –2.790, p = 0.008), without affecting entries into the odor zone (t = 1.126, p = 0.216), rearings (t = 0.296, p = 0.768), and mean distance from the odor zone (t = –0.237, p = 0.813) in mice exposed to soiled cat litter compared to clean litter material.

Next, we replicated our 2MT experiment with the same experimental settings, but we used cat urine as a threat stimulus (Fig. 4C and D). Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons resulted in enhanced fear response reflected by all variables: reduced distance moved (t = –4.167, p < 0.001), rearings (4.965, p < 0.001), entries into the odor zone (t = 1.820, p = 0.08), as well as higher mean distance from the cat odor (t = –2.696, p = 0.015) and freezing levels (t = –2.648, p = 0.017) (n = 8–10/groups) (Fig. 4D). The effect of chemogenetic inhibition on BNST activity was also detectable on the neuronal level indicated by reduced c-Fos expression in BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} cells (n = 8–10/groups), although again this reduction of neuronal activity was not reflected quantitatively in behavioral alterations, i.e. no significant correlation between c-Fos numbers and behavioral variables (p = 0.120) (Fig. 4E and F).

In contrast to BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons, chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{SST} neurons resulted in no alteration of any behavioral variables during cat odor exposure: distance moved (t = 0.239, p = 0.813), rearings (t = –0.636, p < 0.534), entries into the odor zone (t = –1.246, p = 0.231), mean distance from cat odor (t = 0.180, p = 0.859) and freezing levels (t = 0.010, p = 0.992) (n = 8–9/groups) (Fig. 4G).

4. Discussion

Here, we report that chemogenetic inhibition of neurochemically distinct BNST neurons bidirectionally shifted innate fear responses evoked by predator odor stimuli. Namely, inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{SST} neurons resulted in reduced fear indicated by enhanced exploratory activity and lowered avoidance of predator odor 2MT, whereas inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons enhanced fear indicated by decreased exploratory activity, increased freezing and avoidance of cat odor. Importantly, chemogenetic manipulations of BNST were effective only under weak threat conditions, i.e. exposure to low dose of 2MT or less aversive cat odor, which presumably represented less imminent threats.

The various fear-inducing potential of distinct predator odors has been well-documented, but so far few studies have investigated how stimulus and threat intensity shapes the fear response to the same predator (Perez-Gomez et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2005; Wallace and Rosen, 2000). Here, we established a dose-dependent fear response paradigm by means of 2MT exposure: higher doses gradually decreased exploratory activity and rearing, increased avoidance, and precipitated significant freezing, supposedly correlating with threat imminence.
Fig. 2. Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST<sup>SST</sup> neurons reduces fear response under weak threat. (A) Schematics of stereotaxic delivery of AAVs encoding hM4Di inhibitory DREADD receptors or control fluorophore in sst-ires-cre mice, and representative photomicrograph illustrating hM4Di-mCherry expression 4–6 weeks later. (B) Exploratory and anxiety-like behaviors in an open field arena were not altered by chemogenetic inhibition of BNST<sup>SST</sup> neurons. (C) Illustration of experimental settings and representative trajectory plots of individual 2MT-exposed mice expressing control fluorophore or hM4Di receptors. (D) Innate fear responses during low-dose 2MT exposure were blunted by chemogenetic inhibition of BNST<sup>SST</sup> neurons. (E) Representative confocal microscopic images of c-Fos expression in the BNST from 2MT-exposed mice expressing control fluorophore or hM4Di receptors (40 min after CNO injection). (F) hM4Di-expressing neurons exhibited reduced neuronal activity during 2MT-exposure compared to controls indicated by decreased cFos+/mCherry co-labeling. Scale bars represent 25 μm. (G) Chemogenetic inhibition had no effect on fear responses in case of high dose of 2MT exposure. Data are expressed as means ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (Student t-test), ***p < 0.001 (Mann-Whitney test). Abbreviations: aca: anterior commissure, am: anteromedial BNST, ov: oval nucleus of BNST, vBNST: ventral BNST.
Since predatory odor stimuli represent spatio-temporal information about potential danger (Apfelbach et al., 2015), fear response elicited by low and high dose of 2MT likely represents an adaptive coping corresponding with threat proximity (i.e. shift from active exploration towards avoidance and immobility when threat is imminent and escape becomes risky). In line with this, previous papers showed that rats exhibit differential fear responses for proximal and remote danger cues (Andraka et al., 2021; Hegab et al., 2014). Here, we showed that 2MT provides a feasible method to control predator odor threat in order to manipulate danger signals, which can be applied by future studies aiming to scale threat intensities (e.g. in PTSD models, where a major validity criterion is the correlation between stress intensity and PTSD-like sequelae (Yehuda and Antelman, 1993).

In the last decades, significant work explored specific amygdalar circuits regulating different aspects of acute and learned fear responses (Herry and Johansen, 2014; Tovote et al., 2015a). In contrast, the BNST gained significant attention only recently, partly due to the growing number of human imaging studies indicating that negative valence stimuli activate the BNST with additional hyperactivation in subjects with anxiety and trauma-related disorders (Brinkmann et al., 2017; Buff et al., 2017; Somerville et al., 2010). More specifically, imaging data in challenging situations pointed out that uncertain threats are particularly evocative for BNST activity, e.g. during anticipation of aversive stimuli, or exposure to ambiguous, less predictable threats (Herrmann et al., 2016; Mobbs et al., 2010; Naaz et al., 2019). Observations from animal models confirmed that low predictability and uncertainty are crucial factors in the engagement of BNST circuits (Goode et al., 2020; Goode and Maren, 2017), which refined previous models on extended amygdala functions (i.e. amygdala vs BNST functional division) by extending ‘phasic vs sustained fear’ functional divisions with dimensions of threat predictability and valence monitoring (Davis et al., 2010; Lebow and Chen, 2016; Shackman and Fox, 2016). In this respect,

Fig. 3. Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST^{CRH} neurons has no impact on innate fear response evoked by 2MT. (A) Schematics of stereotaxic delivery of AAVs encoding hM4Di inhibitory DREADD receptors or control fluorophore in crh-ires-cre mice mice, and representative photomicrograph illustrating hM4Di-mCherry expression 4–6 weeks later. (B) Exploratory and anxiety-like behavior in an open field arena were not altered by chemogenetic inhibition of BNST^{CRH} neurons. (C and D) Innate fear responses during low- and high-dose 2MT exposure, respectively. Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST^{CRH} neurons had no effect on any behavioral variables of the fear response. Data are expressed as means ± SEM. Abbreviations: aca: anterior commissure, am: anteromedial BNST, ov: oval nucleus of BNST, vBNST: ventral BNST.
Fig. 4. Chemogenetic inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons enhances innate fear response evoked by cat odor. (A) Illustration of experimental settings and representative trajectory plots of individual mice exposed to clean or soiled cat litter. (B) Assessment of the behavioral profile of mice exposed to cat odor. Cat odor exposure significantly decreased locomotor activity and increased freezing behavior ($n = 16$–$19$/group), without altering approaches, rearing, or mean distance from the odor zone. (C) Illustration of experimental settings and representative trajectory plots of individual cat odor exposed mice expressing control fluorophore or hM4Di receptors. (D) Inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{CRH} neurons resulted in enhanced fear response indicated by all behavioral variables ($n = 8$–$10$/group). (E) Representative confocal microscopic images of the BNST from cat odor exposed mice expressing control fluorophore or hM4Di receptors (40 min after CNO injection). (F) hM4Di-expressing neurons exhibited reduced neuronal activity during cat odor exposure compared to controls indicated by decreased cFos$^+$/mCherry$^+$ co-labeling ($n = 6$–$8$/group). (G) Inhibition of BNST\textsuperscript{SST} neurons had no impact on the fear response indicated by all behavioral variables ($n = 8$–$9$/group). Data are expressed as means ± SEM. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, #$p = 0.08$ (Student t-test).
threat-monitoring BNST circuits can be significant contributors to generalized and exaggerated anxiety-like states (Avery et al., 2016).

Our findings support that the dimension of stimulus intensity as a predictor of threat probability is a crucial factor in the recruitment of BNST circuits. Even robust chemogenetic inhibition of SST or CRH neuronal activity (down to ~15% and ~50% activity, respectively, compared to controls) had no effect on any form of the fear response, when 2MT predator stimulus was intense. In contrast, when we lowered stimulus intensity significantly, BNST inhibition became apparent in a reduced fear response in the case of SST neurons. Noteworthy, our effects seem to be specific to predatory threat since the same manipulation was ineffective in an open field arena, which also confirmed that general locomotor and exploratory activity is not affected. This conclusion is also supported by previous studies reporting minimal or no effect of chemogenetic manipulation of BNST on anxiety-like behavior and exploratory activity in the elevated plus-maze and open field tests without additional stressors (Marcinkiewicz et al., 2016; Mazzone et al., 2018). Interestingly, a comparative approach in rats pointed out that stressors and level of anxiety can be crucial in the outcome: chemogenetic inhibition of CeA

expression profiles that can be used for pharmacological manipulations.

similarity, as well as complementarity, of SST and CRH neurons across brain regions if one interprets our effects as shifts from passive to active responses as suggested previously (Daviu et al., 2020; Daviu and Bains, 2021; Fadok et al., 2017; Luchsinger et al., 2021), although we need to emphasize that our effects were more general shifts toward avoidance or approach with corresponding changes in activity and freezing. Consistency of cell type specific effects may open perspective for translational research if these specific neuronal populations exhibit specific receptor expression profiles that can be used for pharmacological manipulations.

On the other hand, our findings are also affirmative for functional similarities of CRH neurons across extended amygdala regions by showing the specific impact of BNST-CRH neurons on responses evoked by weak threats, which corresponds with the engagement of CeA
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