The hierarchical structure in the orbital entanglement spectrum of fractional quantum Hall systems
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Abstract. We investigated the non-universal part of the orbital entanglement spectrum (OES) of the $\nu = 1/3$ fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect ground state using Coulomb interactions. The non-universal part of the spectrum is the part that is missing in the Laughlin model state OES, whose level counting is completely determined by its topological order. We found that the OES levels of the Coulomb interaction ground state are organized in a hierarchical structure that mimics the excitation-energy structure of the model pseudopotential Hamiltonian, which has a Laughlin ground state. These structures can be accurately modeled using Jain’s ‘composite fermion’ quasihole–quasiparticle excitation wave functions. To emphasize the connection between the entanglement spectrum and the energy spectrum, we also considered the thermodynamical OES of the model pseudopotential Hamiltonian at the finite temperature. The good match observed between the thermodynamical OES and the Coulomb OES suggests that there is a relation between the entanglement gap and the true energy gap.
1. Introduction

Most condensed matter phases (states of matter) can be characterized using local order parameters. However, in some cases, such as systems with topological order, it is not possible to obtain a full characterization of a state using only local operators. It has been proposed that nonlocal measurements borrowed from quantum information theory, such as entanglement, can provide new insights into topological phases. The most commonly used quantifier of entanglement, the Von Neumann entanglement entropy, measures the entanglement between two blocks of the system. In systems exhibiting the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect, the first topological phases to be experimentally realized, such an entanglement measure providing a single number does not provide a unique characterization of the many possible states that can occur. A few years ago, it was suggested that generalizing the entanglement entropy to the entanglement spectrum could reveal much more about the physical properties of a FQH state.

The notion of entanglement spectrum has now been applied to many different systems: quantum Hall mono-layers, quantum Hall bilayers, quantum spin systems, superconductors, topological insulators and ultracold gases. For a system described by a density matrix $\rho$, the orbital entanglement spectrum (OES) is defined using an angular-momentum orbital decomposition that cuts the system into two regions, $A$ and $B$. Such an orbital cut mimics a geometrical cut only when the orbitals are localized in space. The reduced density matrix $\rho_A$ is obtained by tracing out the $B$ subsystem degrees of freedom, which yields $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho$. As the eigenvalues of $\rho_A$ are non-negative, one can write $\rho_A = \exp(-\mathcal{H})$, thus introducing a fictitious Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, whose spectrum is the OES.

For several FQH states, the count of the low-lying states in the entanglement spectrum was numerically shown to match that of the state’s edge theory. Moreover, for a realistic Hamiltonian ground state that has a large overlap with an FQH model wave function, the OES exhibits a low-lying branch with the same entanglement state count as the model wave function, but now accompanied by higher entanglement energy levels which were previously not believed to provide useful information about the system. In this work, we show that the higher-energy levels in the Coulomb OES are organized into branches whose structure can be related to virtual particle–hole excitations that dress the simpler entanglement spectrum of the model ground state that just characterizes (in its purest form) the topological order of the FQH state.
This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the sphere geometry, present the concept of the entanglement spectrum for the FQH effect and summarize the main results that have already been obtained in the literature. In section 3, we introduce Jain’s composite fermion wave functions and their neutral excitations. In section 4, we use the composite fermion construction to interpolate between the Laughlin state and the Coulomb interaction ground-state entanglement spectrum. This interpolation explains the hierarchical structure observed in the OES. In section 5, we investigate the behavior of the entanglement spectrum at finite temperature and conjecture, based on it, a relation between the energy gap and the entanglement gap. In section 6, we present a discussion of these results.

2. Entanglement spectrum of the fractional quantum Hall states on the sphere

We will consider a system of \( n \) particles moving on the surface of a sphere \((\theta, \phi)\) through which \( N_\phi \) magnetic flux quanta pass. The radius of the sphere is equal to \( R = \sqrt{N_\phi}/2 \). In the lowest Landau level (LLL), the one-particle orbitals can be expressed as

\[
\psi_l(u, \nu) = \left[ \frac{N_\phi + 1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{N_\phi}{l} \right) \right]^{1/2} (-1)^{N_\phi - l} u^{N_\phi - l} \nu^l,
\]

where \( \binom{n}{m} \) is the binomial coefficient and \( u = \cos(\theta/2)e^{i\phi/2} \) and \( v = \sin(\theta/2)e^{-i\phi/2} \) are the spinor variables. These orbitals are eigenstates of \( L_z \), the \( z \)-component of the angular momentum, with eigenvalues given by \( l - N_\phi/2 \), where \( l \) ranges from 0 to \( N_\phi \). The orbitals \( \psi_l \) form an approximate real-space partition of the sphere into rings: the north pole (resp. south) corresponds to \( l = N_\phi \) (resp. \( l = 0 \)).

All fermionic (bosonic) many-body wave functions of \( N \) particles and total azimuthal angular momentum \( L_z^{\text{tot}} \) can be expressed as linear combinations of Fock states in the occupancy basis of the single-particle orbitals. Each Fock state can be labeled either by \( \lambda \), a partition of \( L_z^{\text{tot}} \) into \( N \) components, or by the occupation number configuration \( n(\lambda) = \{n_i(\lambda), l = N_\phi, \ldots, 0\} \), where \( n_i(\lambda) \) is the number of times \( l \) appears in \( \lambda \). We define ‘squeezing’ as a two-particle operation on partitions that moves a particle from orbital \( l_1 \) (resp. \( l_2 \)) to orbital \( l_1' \) (resp. \( l_2' \)) such that \( l_1 + l_2 = l_1' + l_2' \) and \( l_1 < l_1' \leq l_2' < l_2 \) for bosons or \( l_1 < l_1' < l_2' < l_2 \) for fermions. Squeezing defines a partial ordering on partitions: if a partition \( \mu \) can be obtained from a partition \( \lambda \) using successive squeezing operations, the partition \( \lambda \) is said to dominate the partition \( \mu \) (\( \lambda > \mu \)). Certain model wave functions have a root partition \( \lambda_0 \); in their expansion on the Fock states, only partitions dominated by \( \lambda_0 \) can have a nonzero weight. For instance, this is the case for the \( 1/m \) Laughlin state, in which the occupation number configuration of the root partition is given by \( n(\lambda_0) = \{10^{m-1}10^{m-1}1 \ldots\} \), where \( 0^{m-1} \) denotes \( m - 1 \) consecutive empty orbitals. This root partition is ‘\((1, m)\)-admissible’—it obeys a generalized Pauli principle that does not allow more than one particle to occupy \( m \) consecutive orbitals.

The OES is obtained by cutting the sphere into two parts \( A \) and \( B \). Part \( A \) contains the \( l_A \) first orbitals from the north pole, whereas part \( B \) contains the remaining \( l_B = N_\phi + 1 - l_A \) orbitals. Due to the localized nature of the Landau level (LL) orbitals, this cut is a reasonable approximation to a spatial one [40]. Tracing over the \( l_B \) orbitals gives a reduced density matrix \( \rho_A \) with a block-diagonal structure. Each block is characterized by two quantum numbers, \((L_{zA}, N_A)\): the \( z \)-component of the angular momentum for the part-\( A \) orbitals and the number of particles in part \( A \) are the only symmetry generators present after the partial trace (the full \( \hat{L} \) symmetry of the original state is lost). For the sphere geometry, the OES is the plot of the
Table 1. Algorithm that computes a given block $A$ of the reduced density matrix $\rho = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$ defined by the quantum numbers $(L_{z,A}, N_A)$ of the reduced density matrix $\rho$ for the state $|\Phi\rangle$. The complementary space $B$ is defined by $(L_{z,B} = L_z - L_{z,A}, N_B = N - N_A)$.

For each basis states $|\Psi^B_i\rangle \in B$ ($i$ denotes the position in the basis)
for each basis states $|\Psi^A_j\rangle \in A$
create the basis state $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi^A_j\rangle \otimes |\Psi^B_i\rangle$
find $k$, the index of $|\Psi\rangle$ in the basis describing $A \otimes B$ and the coefficient $c_k$ of in the full state $|\Phi\rangle$, including sign from reordering if needed (fermionic case)
store the set $(j, c_k)$
for each set $(j_1, c_{k_1})$
for each set $(j_2, c_{k_2})$ with $j_2 \geq j_1$
add to the matrix element $\rho(j_1, j_2): c_{k_1} \ast c_{k_2}$

Figure 1. Orbital entanglement spectrum for the Laughlin state (a) and for the ground state of the Coulomb interaction (b), for $N = 8$ fermions, $N_{\Phi} = 21$, $N_A = 4$ and $l_A = 11$. A small system size has been selected for pedagogical purposes. The low-lying parts of these spectra are almost identical, and exhibit the same structure and state count. In addition to the Laughlin-like branch starting at $L_{z,A} = 24$, the Coulomb spectrum contains at least two other clearly defined branches starting at $L_{z,A} = 28$ and $L_{z,A} = 30$.

Figure 1 shows a typical OES at $v = 1/3$ for both the Laughlin state (figure 1(a)) and the Coulomb interaction ground state (figure 1(b)). In the Laughlin state, the entanglement stops at a maximum value of $L_{z,A} = L_{z,A}^{\text{max}}$, the $z$-component of the angular momentum of the complementary region $B$. At this value, a single state is found for any value of the total particle number, despite the fact that the corresponding Hilbert space dimension of states in $A$ grows.

negative logarithm $\xi_i$ of $\rho_A$ eigenvalues as a function of $L_{z,A}$ for a fixed $N_A$. The $\xi_i$’s are called ‘entanglement (pseudo)energies’.

For numerical efficiency, we use an algorithm whose simplest version is described in table 1 that computes each block of the reduced density matrix independently, as for a pair of quantum numbers of the $A$ side, $(L_{z,A}, N_A)$, the only $B$ space to consider is defined by $(L_{z,B} = L_z - L_{z,A}, N_B = N - N_A)$. When the system considered is not in a pure state, this procedure is repeated for each state involved in the density matrix. The thus obtained reduced density matrix is then diagonalized using usual full diagonalization techniques.

Figure 1 shows a typical OES at $v = 1/3$ for both the Laughlin state (figure 1(a)) and the Coulomb interaction ground state (figure 1(b)). In the Laughlin state, the entanglement stops at a maximum value of $L_{z,A} = L_{z,A}^{\text{max}}$, the $z$-component of the angular momentum of the complementary region $B$. At this value, a single state is found for any value of the total particle number, despite the fact that the corresponding Hilbert space dimension of states in $A$ grows.
exponentially with $N_A$, the number of particles in the sector investigated. When applied to the Laughlin state root configuration, the orbital partitioning results in the root configuration $10010010010$ for the region $A$, which corresponds to the single state found at the highest possible value of $L_{z,A}$. While the existence of a root partition makes it obvious that no state can be found with a higher $L_{z,A}$, the fact that there is a unique state for this value is nontrivial and is related to a strong constraint on the state decomposition on the Fock basis called the ‘product rule’ [17, 41]. The coefficient of a configuration whose two parts after a cut can be independently obtained by squeezing from the state root partition is equal to the product of the coefficients of the two disconnected pieces. When decreasing $L_{z,A}$ from this value, the counting of the eigenvalues (the number of eigenvalues at a given $L_{z,A}$) of the model state is the same as the number of levels of the corresponding edge conformal field theory (CFT) in the thermodynamic limit; empirically, it consists of counting the number of $1, 3$-admissible partitions with the correct angular momentum in $l_A + \Delta L_z$ orbitals, where $\Delta L_z = L_{z,A}^{\text{max}} - L_{z,A}$. This conjecture can be proved using bulk-edge correspondence [42]. In the finite-size systems, the equivalence between thermodynamic CFT edge counting and OES counting does not hold for all $L_{z,A}$ values. The counting of the spectrum develops finite-size effects that were recently [12] related to the encoding of the Haldane exclusion principle within the model state.

The entanglement spectrum of the Coulomb interaction ground state at filling $\nu = 1/3$ exhibits a branch of low-lying levels displaying the same CFT counting as the Laughlin state, separated from higher-energy states by an entanglement gap which was conjectured to remain finite in the thermodynamic limit [2]. However, this entanglement gap closes as $L_{z,A}$ is reduced, making its definition ambiguous. Using a different Fock state normalization in which each LL orbital is normalized by the same factor, it has been shown that, in the Coulomb ground-state OES, a full gap emerges between low-lying states whose state count is the same as that of the Laughlin state and higher-entanglement-energy states [4] that had been previously deemed nonuniversal. In this paper (in section 4), we show that even the higher entanglement energy states in fact present a universal structure related to particle–hole excitations of the Haldane pseudopotential Hamiltonian for the Laughlin state. For now, we notice in the Coulomb OES the presence of well-separated structures (‘Christmas-tree’-like branches) with states starting at a larger $L_{z,A}^{\text{max}}$ than that of the Laughlin-like branch (for example, the branches starting at $L_{z,A}^{\text{max}} = 28$ and $L_{z,A}^{\text{max}} = 30$ in figure 1(b)). To understand these branches is the aim of this study.

3. Composite fermion wave functions and their excitations

Jain’s ‘composite fermion’ (CF) picture [43] provides a nice heuristic explanation of many features of the quantum Hall effect, including the observed incompressible states at $\nu = p/(2p + 1)$ as well as the existence of a compressible state at $\nu = 1/2$ (for an extensive review on CF see [44]). The CF ansatz replaces the strongly interacting electrons or bosons by ‘composite fermions’ formed by binding them to $n$-flux quanta, where $n$ is even or odd, depending on whether the original ‘bare’ particles are bosons ($n$ odd) or fermions ($n$ even). The generic Jain states are given by

$$
\Psi_{\text{CF}} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{LLL}} \left[ \prod_{i<j} \left( u_i v_j - u_j v_i \right)^n \Phi_p^{\text{CF}} \right],
$$
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Figure 2. (a) Schematic representation of the Laughlin state as a CF-filled lowest \( \Lambda \) level for \( N = 5 \) CFs. (b) The creation of a quasiparticle–quasihole excitation above the Laughlin state in the \( L_z = 0 \) sector. (c, d) Two different possibilities to create an excited state with energy \( 2\hbar \omega_c^* \).

where \( \prod_{i<j}(u_iv_j - u_jv_i)^n \) binds \( n \)-flux quanta to each original particle. \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{LLL}} \) is the projection operator onto the LLL. \( \Phi_p^{\text{CF}} \) is the wave function of the free CF in \( p \) effective LLs, which Jain has called ‘Lambda levels’ (\( \Lambda L \)). When \( p \) such levels are fully occupied, equation (1) gives rise to a model state that remarkably accurately approximates the ground state at filling \( \nu = p/(np + 1) \). The observed incompressible states at \( \nu = p/(2p + 1) \) can then be thought of as incompressible integer QH CF states at \( \nu^* = p \), where \( \nu^* \) is the filling factor of the CFs. Moreover, the compressibility of the \( \nu = 1/2 \) state can be attributed \cite{45} to the formation of a CF Fermi sea state as the effective magnetic field felt by the CFs vanishes. In this picture, the \( \nu = 1/m \) Laughlin wave function is interpreted as the limiting case \( n = m - 1 \) with \( p = 1 \) filled CF \( \Lambda \) levels. A schematic view of this picture of the Laughlin state is shown in figure 2(a).

Except for the Laughlin state, the Jain wave functions are not known to be unique zero-energy states of a model Hamiltonian. However, it was shown recently that they do have some special ‘squeezing’ properties: the bosonic Jain states at \( \nu = p/(p + 1) \) and the bosonic counterpart \( \Psi_B = \Psi_F/\prod_{i<j}(u_iv_j - u_jv_i) \) of the fermionic one at \( \nu = p/(2p + 1) \) have a single root partition and vanish with a power 2 when \( p + 1 \) particles are brought to the same point \cite{3}.

The natural way to build quasihole–quasiparticle excitations within the CF construction is to assume that the \( \Lambda L \)’s are separated by an effective cyclotron energy \( \hbar \omega_c^* \) and to then sort the different excited states with respect to their energy. Thus, the lowest energy excited states above the Laughlin ground state, with energy \( \hbar \omega_c^* \), are obtained by exciting one CF in the second \( \Lambda L \) as shown in figure 2(b). For \( 2\hbar \omega_c^* \) energy states, there are two possibilities: two CFs can be put in the second \( \Lambda L \) (figure 2(c)) or one CF in the third \( \Lambda L \)—all other CFs remains in the lowest one (figure 2(c)). In general, the \( n \)th excited state branch involves the \( n + 1 \) lowest \( \Lambda \) levels. Although this method is just a simple phenomenological sketch (as interactions between ‘composite fermions’ should also be surely taken into account and there is no phenomenological reason for equal spacing of the levels), the resulting wave functions reproduce remarkably well the low-energy structure of the Coulomb-interaction Hamiltonian when their variational energies are evaluated using the exact Hamiltonian and CF diagonalization \cite{44}.

Monte Carlo methods have been used extensively to quantitatively explore the predictions of the CF picture \cite{46}, proving useful in computing quantities such as predicted energies of CF states and their overlaps with states obtained by exact diagonalization. However, calculations
of entanglement spectra require a high accuracy in the decomposition of the wave function on the Fock basis. With the exception of several special cases [3], Monte Carlo techniques fail to reach the accuracy needed and we needed to implement a new exact projection method. The first step of this method is to use the Jack recursion formulae of [41, 47] to expand \( \prod_{j<k} (u_j v_k - v_j u_k)^n \) (which is a Jack polynomial) in terms of symmetric monomials or Slater determinants (for \( n \) even or odd, respectively). The product with \( \Phi_\text{CF}^p \) is computed explicitly using only the resulting wave function symmetry properties to reduce the computation time. Finally, the projection is applied and only terms fully in the LLL are kept. We found that the clustering properties characterizing how ‘composite particle’ quasihole–quasiparticle excitation states vanish as particles come together are determined by the number of \( \Lambda \) levels involved: the bosonic states and the bosonic counterpart of the fermionic states (fermionic states divided by a Vandermonde determinant) constructed from the \( k \)th \( \Lambda \)L vanish when \( k + 1 \) particles are brought to the same point as the second power of the difference in the particle coordinates. Therefore, the excited states also exhibit a nontrivial root configuration. In all the cases we have studied, we noticed the following properties of states of energies less than or equal to \( 2\hbar \omega^*_c \): such excited states can be realized in a number of different ways: all CFs in the lowest \( \Delta \)L, one or two CFs in the second \( \Delta \)L or one CF in the third \( \Delta \)L. The last possibility does not introduce any new states compared to the ones that are produced using the first two ways. To obtain this result, the LLL projection is crucial, as it creates linear dependences between the projected states and greatly reduces the number of independent states. For instance, for \( N = 6 \) fermions and \( N_b = 15 \) in the \( L^{\text{tot}} = 0 \) sector, there are 51 states with two CFs or lesser in the second \( \Delta \)L and none in the third \( \Delta \)L, whereas there are 57 states whose energy is less than or equal to \( 2\hbar \omega^*_c \); after projection and re-orthonormalization these two numbers are reduced to 36 and the state spaces spanned by these two sets of states are identical.

4. From the Laughlin to the Coulomb entanglement spectrum

In this section, we use the admixture of virtual quasiparticle–quasihole excited states into the ground state to interpolate between the Laughlin state and the Coulomb interaction ground state at the same filling factor, and examine the effect of this on the OES. As both states have \( L = 0 \), we consider only the zero-angular-momentum sector. The \( \nu = 1/3 \) Laughlin state is known to be the densest zero-energy eigenstate of the Haldane pseudopotentials [48] by \( V_1 = 1 \) and \( V_{n>1} = 0 \). Using this interaction, we could obtain the low-energy \( L = 0 \) states and use them to reconstruct the Coulomb interaction ground state. However, these states do not have an exact model wave function construction that would lead to a simple understanding of the additional structure in the OES of the Coulomb state. In particular, the \( L = 0 \) excited states of this model interaction do not feature a nontrivial root configuration, contrary to the CF approach. Therefore, we first use the CF quasihole–quasiparticle excitations state whose construction was described in the previous section to iteratively construct a basis of \( L = 0 \) states (the iteration being the number of \( \Lambda \)L levels occupied) whose effective cyclotron energy is less than a given effective cyclotron energy. We approximate the Coulomb ground state using

\[
|\Psi_n\rangle = \sum_{|\Psi_{\text{CF}}^\text{CF}\rangle} \left( |\Psi_{\text{CF}}^{n\hbar \omega^*_c}\rangle |\Psi_{\text{coulomb}}\rangle |\Psi_{\text{CF}}^{n\hbar \omega^*_c}\rangle \right),
\]

where the sum runs over all the \( L = 0 \) states of the iterative basis that spans the space of states whose effective cyclotron energy is less than \( n\hbar \omega^*_c \). This state is then normalized, which
Table 2. Characteristics of the iterative basis that spans the space of states whose effective cyclotron energy is less than $n\hbar \omega_c^*$ for $N = 8$ and $N_\phi = 21$. The last column indicates the $L_{z,A}^{\text{max}}$ value corresponding to the topmost partition with respect to the cut defined by $L_A = 11$ and $N_A = 4$—shown by a vertical line in the partitions. The total Hilbert space has 31 $L = 0$ states—we are using at most 14 of them.

| $n$ | Number of $L = 0$ states | Topmost partition | $L_{z,A}^{\text{max}}$ |
|-----|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| 1   | 1                        | 10010010010001001001 | 24                      |
| 2   | 4                        | 11001001000010010011 | 28                      |
| 3   | 8                        | 11001001000010010011 | 28                      |
| 4   | 14                       | 110010010000100010011 | 30                      |

Figure 3. Orbital entanglement spectrum for different $|\Psi_n\rangle$: $n = 2$ (a), $n = 3$ (b) and $n = 4$ (c), for $N = 8$ fermions, $N_\phi = 21$, $N_A = 4$ and $l_A = 11$. The structures observed on the Coulomb state OES progressively appear as $n$ is increased and we obtained completely separated branches when $n$ is even. The last observed branch in the Coulomb spectrum, for which $L_{z,A}^{\text{max}} = 32$, is expected to be described by higher-effective cyclotron-energy CF wave functions.

A comparison of the OES for the first three interesting $|\Psi_n\rangle$ displayed in figure 3 and the Coulomb state spectrum (figure 1) shows that the CF quasihole–quasiparticle excitation basis allows a step-by-step reconstruction of the different Coulomb entanglement spectrum structures. Given the method used, it is obvious that the spectra of $|\Psi_n\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{\text{coulomb}}\rangle$ would get closer as the effective cyclotron energy is increased; in that case, we include more and more $\Lambda L$’s and, in a finite-size calculation, we start diagonalizing in larger and larger parts of the full Hilbert space. However, nontrivially, the structures of the Coulomb ground-state entanglement spectrum are sorted by the effective cyclotron energy, in the sense that the first, second, etc branches of the Coulomb spectrum can be obtained by only using CF states with $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We checked these properties for the fermionic $\nu = 1/3$ Laughlin state for up to $N = 8$ particles and for the bosonic
Figure 4. Orbital entanglement spectrum for the Coulomb interaction ground state (a) and for different $|\Psi_n\rangle$: $n = 2$ (b) and $n = 4$ (c), for $N = 10$ bosons, $N_B = 18$, $N_A = 5$ and $l_A = 9$.

$\nu = 1/2$ state for up to $N = 10$ particles (figure 4). The different scales in the eigenvalues of the Coulomb interaction ground-state density matrix seem to be linked to the different effective cyclotron energy scales involved.

5. Orbital entanglement spectrum at finite temperature

In the previous section, we have only considered excitations with the same symmetries as the ground state. Indeed, these excitations are not in general those with the lowest energies. A natural way to take all the excitations into account, irrespective of their symmetries and weighted by their energy, is to rely on the thermodynamical density matrix. For a system at finite temperature $T$, the density matrix is given by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-\beta H),$$

where $\beta = 1/T$, $Z = \text{Tr}[\exp(-\beta H)]$ and $H$ denotes the Hamiltonian of the system. In our case, we want to compare the entanglement spectrum of the Coulomb-interaction ground state to the entanglement spectrum of the density matrix describing finite-temperature corrections to the Laughlin state; hence, the simplest choice is to take $H$ to be the pseudopotential interaction Hamiltonian. At $T = 0$ we recover the pure Laughlin-state entanglement results. In contrast to the previous section, we now have to take into account not only the $L = 0$ states, but also states with all possible values of $L$, and all the $L_Z$ sectors of the pseudopotential Hamiltonian. This involves a very large number of states and equation (3) can be realized exactly only for small systems. By choosing an *ad-hoc* ‘entanglement temperature’ $T$, the entanglement spectrum of $\rho$ and that of the Coulomb ground state can be made very similar, as is shown in figure 5. The ‘entanglement temperature’ introduced here is quite a different concept than that used in [16, 49, 50], where the ‘entanglement temperature’ characterizes the relationship between the entanglement Hamiltonian and the *true* Hamiltonian of the considered subspace. Although the full shape of the spectrum is very similar, we note that the Coulomb spectrum exhibits degeneracies that are not present in the thermal density matrix approach. For example, the rightmost levels are degenerate for the Coulomb-interaction ground state and are no longer degenerate when the thermal density matrix is used, despite its rotational invariance. It can be analytically shown that these degeneracies are linked to the $L = 0$ states that enter the density matrix. When the sum of equation (3) is restricted to the $L = 0$ states, these degeneracies are recovered (figure 5(c)).
Figure 5. Orbital entanglement spectrum for the Coulomb ground state (a), for \( \rho \) defined by equation (3) with \( \beta = 7 \) (b) and for \( \rho' \) defined by equation (3) but with the sum restricted to the \( L = 0 \) states with \( \beta = 3 \) (c), for \( N = 6, N_{\Phi} = 15, N_A = 3 \) and \( L_A = 8 \). The value of the inverse temperature \( \beta \) has been chosen so that the spectra are similar to those of the Coulomb ground state. This naturally leads to a choice of two different temperature values for \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) as the number of states considered in these two cases is very different. The general shape of these spectra is very similar.

To analyze bigger systems, we consider a simpler model. In the thermal density matrix, we kept only the two lowest-energy branches of the incompressible state: the ground-state wave function and the magneto-roton mode. This is the single-mode approximation of the entanglement spectrum. The dispersion relation of the magneto-roton mode is that obtained through the \( V_1 \) interaction. The magneto-roton states \( |\Psi_{L_{\max,z}}^{\text{mag}}\rangle \), obtained by putting one CF in the second \( \Delta L \), consist of \( N - 1 \) multiplets whose total angular momentum ranges from \( L = 2 \) to \( L = N \). Even though an \( L = 1 \) state is naively expected, this multiplet is systematically suppressed by the LLL projection, as pointed out in [51]. Thus, we use the following approximation for \( \rho \):

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \left( |\Psi_{\text{Laugh}}\rangle \langle \Psi_{\text{Laugh}}| + \sum_{L,I_z} e^{-\beta E_L} |\Psi_{L,I_z}^{\text{mag}}\rangle \langle \Psi_{L,I_z}^{\text{mag}}| \right),
\]

where

\[
Z = 1 + \sum_{L,I_z} e^{-\beta E_L}.
\]

\( L \) is the total angular momentum of the multiplet states and \( E_L \) is their energy with respect to the pseudopotential interaction Hamiltonian. We then compute the OES associated with this density matrix for various \( \beta \) values and for up to \( N = 10 \) fermions (see figure 6).

In all the cases we have studied, when the temperature is infinite, the universal Laughlin-like part of the entanglement spectrum cannot be distinguished. As the temperature is decreased, two branches of levels split: a lower one whose state-count is the same as that of the Laughlin state, and an upper one whose average ‘entanglement energy’ goes to infinity as \( T \) reaches zero. In the sector \( L_{z,A} = L_{\max,z} \) (in figure 6, \( L_{\max,z} = 24 \)) and the neighboring ones, it is possible, even at infinite temperature (see figure 6), to define an entanglement gap [2], given by \( \delta_{L_{z,A}} = \xi_{n+1,L_{z,A}} - \xi_{n,L_{z,A}} \), where \( \xi_{n,L_{z,A}} \) is the highest entanglement energy at \( L_{z,A} \) that belongs to the Laughlin-state structure. For example, in the infinite temperature limit in figure 6, this can be done for \( L_{z,A} = 24, 23, 22 \), at which the Laughlin-like levels of counting 1, 1, 2, respectively,
are separated from the higher-energy states by a small but visible gap. Moreover, the upper-branch state count, and the $L_{z,A}$ value at which it starts, are the same as those of the first branch after the Laughlin one in the Coulomb ground-state entanglement spectrum. As the magneto-roton mode can be obtained from excitations to the first $\Lambda L_1$ level, only the first entanglement spectrum above the Laughlin one can be fitted in this single-mode approximation.

To more precisely characterize the behavior of the two branches as a function of temperature, we calculated the entanglement gap $\delta_{T=0}$ as a function of $\beta = 1/T$. The entanglement gap (shown in figure 7) decreases as the temperature is increased from $T = 0$ but, starting from a certain temperature value of the order of the energy gap, it reaches a plateau. This behavior is the same for all the cases we studied, independent of the number of fermions. Moreover, to see how our crude single-mode approximation affects the entanglement spectrum, we considered the next energy-level feature, taking into account all CF excitation states whose effective cyclotron energies are less than or equal to $2\hbar\omega_c^*$. These spectra are presented in figure 8, where we observe the emergence of a third branch in the thermal density matrix, matching the third branch of the Coulomb entanglement spectrum. The main behavior as the temperature is varied is not substantially affected by these additional states. However, it should be noted that an additional structure appears and that the entanglement gap at high temperature no longer exhibits a plateau but just a change of slope (shown in figure 7).

6. Discussion

The entanglement spectrum can be used to determine the universality class of realistic Hamiltonians in a topologically ordered phase: by identifying the state count of the lowest-lying...
entanglement branch of the spectrum with the state count (characters) of the CFT of an edge theory, we can in principle predict that the ground state of the system lies in a certain topological phase. In this paper, we showed that, in the case of the $\nu = 1/3$ Coulomb interaction, not only the low-lying branch (with the Laughlin-state state count), but also the higher ‘entanglement-energy’ branches exhibit a nontrivial characteristic structure, related to dressing of the simple model ground state that gives rise to the lowest branch of the entanglement spectrum by zero-point fluctuations of particle–hole collective excitations, when the difference between the model Hamiltonian and the Coulomb Hamiltonian is added back as a ‘perturbation’. We have explicitly shown that the higher-energy branches correspond to the wave functions for adding particle–hole excitations on top of the Laughlin ground state. The correspondence exhibits quantum number matching ($L_{z,A}$ and counting of the levels in a specific branch) with
the Coulomb spectrum if the model wave functions we use for the excitations are Jain’s CF wave functions. We then performed a single-mode approximation of the Coulomb spectrum by calculating the reduced thermal density matrix of the Laughlin state augmented by the magnetorotton mode. We found that by this method we could obtain the first branch above the Laughlin-state branch in the entanglement spectrum of the Coulomb state. This exercise also appears to support the idea that the entanglement spectrum of the ground state of a realistic Hamiltonian not only contains information about the universality class of the ground state but also contains information about its excitations, which in a generic Hamiltonian (unlike in free fermion Hamiltonians or FQH model Hamiltonians) will be represented in the ground-state properties through zero-point fluctuations of collective modes.
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