Successful Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement After Iatrogenic Aortic Cusp Dissection After Treatment of Complex, Unprotected Left Main Bifurcation Coronary Artery Disease
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Abstract

Currently no literature exists regarding the safety and feasibility of transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) after aortic cusp dissection. Aortic dissection is a rare, albeit potentially fatal complication related to percutaneous coronary intervention, especially when dealing with heavily calcified coronary disease involving the left main. Rapid stratification of patients in need of early invasive repair versus conservative observation is crucial to patient morbidity and mortality. We present a case of an elderly female with severe aortic stenosis who underwent TAVR after complex intervention of heavily calcified multi-vessel coronary artery disease involving the left main and bifurcation with high-risk Impella protected rotational atherectomy and culotte stenting complicated by left coronary cusp dissection and was managed conservatively without long-term sequelae. This case highlights the achievability of TAVR after aortic cusp dissection that was treated with conservative methods.

Case Presentation

An 84-year-old female with a pertinent history of Guillan-Barré Syndrome (GBS), severe symptomatic aortic stenosis (AS) and coronary artery disease with remote percutaneous intervention (PCI) to the proximal left anterior descending (LAD) and circumflex-marginal branch, presented to our facility for an elective coronary angiography in response to an abnormal stress test completed as an outpatient. The patient had been experiencing symptoms of atypical chest pain and progressive, functionally limiting dyspnea on exertion over a period of two months. Given advanced age and debility due to GBS, the patient underwent a pharmacologic nuclear myocardial perfusion scan which demonstrated a small sized, moderate intensity defect with partial reversibility in the anteroseptal wall. Cardiac catheterization demonstrated complex, eccentric and heavily calcified left main disease compromising the ostium of the LAD and left circumflex arteries, along with tandem severe lesions in the proximal and distal right coronary artery (RCA) (Figure 1. Panel A and B).

Given the severe valve disease and multi-vessel coronary artery disease involving the left main, Cardiothoracic Surgery was consulted to consider coronary artery bypass grafting and surgical valve replacement. However, given the patient's advanced age, frailty, and history of Guillan-Barré syndrome requiring prolonged ventilator support and tracheostomy, from which she had recovered with moderate residual debility, the patient was deemed inoperable.
and other options were considered. Ultimately, after extensive discussion and shared decision making involving the patient, family and multi-disciplinary Heart Team, the decision to pursue maximal medical therapy was determined to be the appropriate strategy at this time and she was subsequently discharged home with close outpatient follow up.

Unfortunately, three months later, the patient presented to the Emergency Department with severe, typical chest pain radiating to her left arm and neck that resolved with sublingual nitroglycerin. Her EKG was negative for evidence of ischemia, but serum Troponin I levels were found to be mildly elevated (0.39 ng/mL; normal < 0.30 ng/mL) prompting admission to the inpatient Cardiology service. Given her presentation, the patient and family wished to pursue more aggressive percutaneous revascularization options and the decision was made to attempt high risk, Impella-protected left main rotational atherectomy and multi-vessel revascularization. An echocardiogram performed pre-procedure demonstrated an ejection fraction of 50-55%, severe aortic stenosis with mean gradient of 37 mmHg, peak gradient of 50 mmHg and an aortic valve area of 0.84 cm².

Given the complexity of planned intervention and need for mechanical support due to unprotected left main disease, bilateral femoral artery access was obtained. The right coronary artery was engaged with a 6-French JR4 guide and wired without difficulty. The proximal and distal lesions were directly stented using 3.5 x 18 mm and 3.5 x 15 mm drug-eluting stents, respectively, and post-dilated with non-compliant balloons with excellent angiographic result (Figure 2). Right femoral artery angiography demonstrated suitable anatomy for mechanical support and the 5-French sheath was exchanged over a stiff wire for a 14-French sheath, though which the Impella CP was advanced into the left ventricle and position optimized (Level P9, Flow 3.3 L/min). After multiple attempts to wire through the left main into the LAD, an angled tip microcatheter was used to direct the wire down the LAD. During this process, the ostium of the left circumflex was dissected (Figure 3) but exhibited no evidence of compromised flow, so rotational atherectomy of the left main and ostial LAD using a 1.5 mm and 1.75 mm burr was completed without difficulty.
After atherectomy, a culotte stent technique was performed utilizing 3.5 x 15 mm and 3.5 x 12 mm drug-eluting stents in LAD and left circumflex, respectively, extending back to the ostium of the left main and finished with a final kissing balloon technique. Final angiography demonstrated excellent expansion of the stents (Figure 4), but dye staining was noted in the left coronary cusp superior to left main indicative of a dissection (Figure 5).

The Impella was successfully weaned and removed in the catheterization lab prior to the patient returning to the floor. Post-procedure echocardiogram demonstrated an ejection fraction of 60-65% but no gross evidence of aortic dissection. The patient remained asymptomatic and hemodynamically stable, so a conservative management was elected with close observation in the Cardiac Care Unit. Two days post-procedure, a CTA was completed demonstrating no further extension of the dissection (Figure 6. Panel A).

The patient was discharged home and underwent repeat imaging at one month, demonstrating complete resolution of the dissection (Figure 6. Panel B). Shortly thereafter, she was readmitted for elective transcatheter aortic valve replacement with 26 mm Edwards Sapien 3 Valve, during which there was no evidence of coronary cusp dissection on root angiography at time of valve deployment (Figure 7. Panel A and B). Intra-op echo showed mean gradient of 6 mmHg and peak gradient of 15 mmHg. The patient’s post-procedure course was uncomplicated and subsequently discharged home the next day and has had no further complications in follow up.

**Discussion**

Advancements in percutaneous techniques and equipment have provided many patients with significant co-morbidities considered inoperable or high risk for surgical procedures with minimally invasive options for the management of complex, high risk coronary artery and valve disease\(^1\). However, it comes at a price where the complication risk is much higher for these patients\(^2\).

Iatrogenic aortic dissection at the time of PCI is an uncommon complication, occurring at an overall frequency ranging from 0.03% to 1.9% and is typically due to trauma from guide catheters, high pressure balloon inflations, atherectomy, or hydraulic force of contrast injections\(^2,5\). For our patient, the combination of ostial calcification, atherectomy and aggressive kissing balloon post-dilatation were likely the main contributors to the development of the dissection. While the overall mortality for this complication is reported to be between 50-80%, those presenting with acute coronary syndrome have the highest mortality
possibly related to aggressive antithrombotic agents and anticoagulation, along with a profound pro-inflammatory state. Much like other types of aortic dissection, mortality depends on the rate of expansion and extent of dissection, which is most reliably evaluated and followed with serial CT or transesophageal echocardiography. Unfortunately, there are no established guidelines in the management of iatrogenic aortic dissection, primarily due to the low incidence and individualized, multi-disciplinary approach necessary to ensure favorable outcomes. A more conservative approach involves strict control blood pressure to limit vascular trauma, serial imaging and close follow up, while more aggressive strategies include both percutaneous and open surgical repairs. Typically, more heroic procedures are necessary if the patient demonstrates hemodynamic instability, extensive propagation of the dissection, presence of a pericardial effusion, or aortic insufficiency. While percutaneous options are limited, some studies have advocated for placement of covered stents at the site of initial vascular trauma to thrombose the false lumen and limit the dissection plane. Our patient remained hemodynamically stable evidence of dissection propagation, thus, we elected to treat her conservatively and was able to safely perform TAVR about one month later after demonstrating of healing.

To date, the feasibility of TAVR after aortic dissection has not been well described. Known aortic dissection complicates TAVR since one of the major predisposing factors for aortic wall complications include weakened arterial wall strength secondary to previous trauma. Thus, patients with previous aortic wall injuries are at highest risk for further dissection or perforation. However, due to the lack of data and literature, there isn't a clear guideline in terms of how long to wait before further intervention, what factors are absolute contraindications versus relative contraindications before proceeding to TAVR after aortic cusp dissection. Patients with proximal coronary artery lesions and severe aortic stenosis are treated with staged PCI before TAVR or concomitant. However, when complications occur, it hinders the staged procedure, limiting further intervention at worst and delaying the procedure at best. Thus

One of the advancements that is used in high risk cases include mechanical circulatory support devices, mainly the Impella (Abiomed, Danvers, MA, USA), which has multiple indications including cardiogenic shock secondary to acute myocardial infarction (AMI), acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and high risk percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). While the incidence of cardiogenic shock in respect to unprotected left main intervention remains low at 0.6-0.9%, there is a very mortality rate, in some studies as high as 80%, in the event of complications. The Impella device has been demonstrated to lessen left ventricular workload and end diastolic pressure, allowing for maintenance of systemic and coronary perfusion despite profound cardiac dysfunction, ultimately improving in-hospital and 30-day mortality. Furthermore, studies have also demonstrated that that use of the Impella device during high risk PCI reduced the risk of AKI, a major complication of cardiac catheterization with high morbidity and mortality. Given our patient’s complex and heavily calcified left main disease involving the distal bifurcation and concomitant severe aortic valve disease, owing to a lack of cardiac reserve, performing this procedure without hemodynamic support would not be recommended given potential for minor complications having catastrophic results.

Our case demonstrates a successful case of TAVR after the upfront use of the Impella device in high risk unprotected left main interventions, as well as a rare and potentially disastrous complication of iatrogenic aortic cusp dissection managed conservatively with excellent results. Finally, patients with severe aortic stenosis also have similar risk factors for coronary artery disease, approaching concomitance as high as 70%, requiring multidisciplinary heart team approach in treating this complex patient population.
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