Relationship of Duration and Intensity of Pain with Depression and Functional Disability Among Patients with Low-Back Pain
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1. Introduction

Low Back Pain (LBP) is a common musculoskeletal disorder causing huge humanitarian and economical costs (Andersson, 1999). It is often classified, according to duration of pain, as acute (short term), sub-acute (intermediate) and chronic (long-term) and is typically referred to as being specific or non-specific (Andersson, 1999; Merskey and Bogduk, 1994). Specific LBP refers to symptoms caused by ‘red flags’ such as spinal fractures, cancers, infections, and cauda equina syndrome. However, approximately 90% of cases of back pain have no identifiable cause and are designated as non-specific (Deyo and Weinstein, 2001).

Non-specific LBP is described as a “mechanical” back pain of musculoskeletal origin in which symptoms vary with physical activity. Previous studies have linked it’s origin to various sources as follows: Matthews and Yates (1962) had demonstrated, with the help of epidurography, the presence of disc hernia which was resolved following mobilization; Irritation of spinal nerves causes spinal segmental sensitization, which limits the dynamic range of spinal segment mobility (Naguszewski et al, 2001; Cassius et al, 2002); unguided movement at the spine may strain the interspinous ligament to irritate the spinal segment (Lamb 1979; Cassius et al, 2002). Similarly, disc injury or gradually progressive micro trauma ends up in motion segment fusion which facilitates the deposition of collagen, hypomobility and pain (Lamb, 1979; Gose et al, 1998). Also, degenerative changes place the sclerotome, autonomic, motor and sensory systems in a hyper-excitable state, increases blood vessel tone, thus facilitating the release of endogenous algesic chemicals that irritate nociceptors (Lamb, 1979; Shacklock, 1995; Egwu et al, 2003). In addition, degeneration of the disc leads to a loss in disc height, thus reducing interpedicular distance, neural foraminal vertical height which may become stenotic (Matthews and Yates, 1962; Naguszewski et al, 2001). Facet changes and end-plate degeneration lead to osteophytes and leaping, which may encroach on the neural foramina anteriorly and/or posterior (Naguszewski et al, 2001). All of these eventuate into irritant focus, dysfunction and distorted neuro-dynamics with
Ectopic discharge that are the problems challenging the back pain patient. (Naguszewski et al, 2001; Amir et al, 1997; Amir et al, 1999; Devor, 1999). Moreso, physiological evidence shows that ectopic discharge of noxious impulses from nerve irritation sustains pain by triggering or enhancing sinusoidal voltage oscillation in dorsal root ganglion membrane potential (Amir et al, 1997; Amir et al, 1999; Devor, 1999).

However, current reports suggest that the varieties of response to a painful experience are shaped by culture, literacy level and socio-economic status and are associated with the feelings of suffering, distress, functional disability, depression and so on (Merskey and Bogduk, 1994; Andersson, 1999). For instance Green et al (2003) observed that Caucasians report their pain promptly while African Americans with chronic pain report pain late and have more pain, depression, post traumatic stress disorders and impairment in their physical, emotional and social health. The above findings suggest that mood and other psycho-social states such as functional disability and depression may be crucial factors in determining who complains of LBP and their psycho-social response to it.

Depression (Dn) is a psychosocial condition characterized by difficulty in sleeping and concentration, decreased appetite and libido for at least 14 days. Other symptoms of depression are loss of interest and enjoyment, reduced energy, being easily fatigued, diminished activity, marked tiredness on slight effort, reduced concentration and attention on a task, reduced confidence and self-esteem, feeling of guilt and unworthiness, bleak and pessimistic views of the future and ideas or acts of self-destruction or suicide (WHO, 2001; Worz, 2003). On the other hand, Functional Disability (FD) is impairment in performing age-appropriate physical, mental, and social activities in daily life. It could be caused by pain, physical, cognitive and other mental impairments (Anthony and Schanberg, 2003). Non-specific LBP is known to have a relationship with Dn and FD; however, the influence of duration and intensity of LBP on levels of Dn and FD is not clearly understood (Dworkin and Gitlin, 1991; Croft et al., 1995; Fishbain et al, 1997).

In addition, the term ‘depression’ is a continuum that includes lower mood states lacking clinical significance. However, at the other extreme of the continuum includes major (clinical) depressive disorders requiring clinical attention. Major Depression (MDn) is a mental disorder characterized by an all encompassing low mood, accompanied by low self-esteem and by loss of interest or pleasure in normally enjoyable activities (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). It is known that patients with MDn often do not comply with prescribed treatment regime, and if not detected in time worsen the person’s physical health and slow down recovery from other ailments (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Worz, 2003).

The prevalence rate of MDn has been reported in developed countries (Sullivan et al., 1992; Banks and Kerns, 1996; Hope and Foreshaw, 1999; Caragee, 2001), however, information concerning the prevalence of MDn among Nigerian patients with Chronic LBP (CLBP) is scant. It is important to know the rate occurrence of MDn among Nigerian patients as it will help clinicians to look out for red flags indicating the presence of MDn to facilitate decision on which LBP patient will need psychoanalysis and therapy to enhance compliance and/or efficacy of chemotherapy or physiotherapy for LBP. This study therefore examined the relationship of CLBP duration and intensity with, Dn and FD and also estimated the prevalence rate of MDn among Nigerian patients with CLBP.
2. Method

Subjects: One hundred patients (41 male, 59 female) with chronic non-specific LBP (aged range 20 to 85 years, mean age 54±12.84 years) participated in this study. These were patients with LBP of not less than 3 months duration seen in the Physiotherapy Departments of Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife; Ladoke Akintola University Teaching Hospital, Osogbo; Osun State Hospital, Asubiaro and Ilesa units and National Orthopaedic Hospital, Igbobi, Lagos all in south west Nigeria between February 5 and September 19, 2010. Prior to this, ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of OAUTHC, permission was sort and obtained from the head of Physiotherapy Department of each participating hospital and informed consent was obtained from the patient after explaining the research procedure.

In order to ensure participation of indigenous Yoruba speaking patients, Beck Depression Inventory II and Oswestry Disability Index 2.0 were translated into Yoruba language at the Department of Linguistics and African language, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Similarly, to ensure validity of the translated questionnaires, ten patients with non-specific low back pain who were literate in both English and Yoruba language were requested to respond to the English version of the questionnaires and after ten minutes, they were also requested to respond to the translated one without prior knowledge that they would be filling the Yoruba translation. The translated questionnaires were found to be valid as all of them chose the same options in each of the questionnaires.

Inclusion criteria:

1. Clinical diagnosis of LBP by a physician, 
2. Pain duration not less than three months
3. Absence of any other ailment such as headache, infection, fever etc that have pain as one of its symptoms,
4. No history of mental illness,
5. No physical disability,
6. No history of recent life stressing events such as bereavement, huge financial loss or job loss,
7. literacy in either Yoruba or English language

Procedure: On arriving into the consulting room, patient’s height was measured using a validated height metre. The subjects stood erect, barefoot on a flat surface, with the occiput, upper back, buttocks and heels, touching the height metre. In line with the view of Steele & Spurgeon (1983), the upper margin of the external auditory canal opening were in the Frankfurt horizontal plane, the point of greatest height to the nearest 0.1 cm was then marked off on the height metre. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1Kg with a weighing scale (Hanson Company, Ireland) and Body Mass Index (BMI) was later calculated by dividing the respondent’s weight by the square of his or her height (Egwu et al, 2007). All measurements were taken by the same examiner with subjects on minimum clothing. Semantic differential scale (Olaogun et al, 2004) which has both English and Yoruba versions was giving to the subject to respond to alongside the above questionnaires. The subjects were then requested to choose and respond to either the English or the Yoruba version of the questionnaires and rate their pain accordingly.
3. Data analysis

Descriptive statistics of percentage, mean and standard deviation were used to summarize the subjects’ age, height, weight, BMI, pain duration, pain intensity, Dn and FD scores. Spearman rank order correlation coefficient and Chi-square test were used to assess relationships and differences respectively, among the variables. Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 16. Significance was fixed at an alpha level of 0.05.

4. Results

The minimum, maximum, range, mean and standard deviation of the physical characteristics (age, weight, height, BMI), duration and intensity of pain, Dn and FD scores of the patients are shown in table 1. It can be seen from this table that on the average, subjects were 54 years old weighing 74 kilogram with BMI of 28 Kg/m², their pain had lasted for an average of 26 months with an intensity of 6/10 in the semantic differential scale, their Dn score was 12 and FD score was 33. An analysis of the pain intensity distribution (not shown) reveals that 12% (N=12) had mild (1-3) pain, 58% (N=58) had moderate pain (4-6), while 30% (N=30) had severe pain (7-10). Also, their level of Dn was as follows: minimum (N=63, 63%), mild (N=21, 21%), moderate (N=12, 12%), and severe

| Variables Minimum | Maximum | Range  | Mean ± SD |
|-------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| Age (Years)       | 20.00   | 85.00  | 65.00     | 54.00 ± 12.84 |
| Weight (Kg)       | 35.00   | 150.00 | 115.00    | 73.89 ±17.73 |
| Height (m)        | 0.96    | 1.90   | 0.94      | 1.64 ± 0.11  |
| BMI (Kg/m²)       | 15.77   | 50.70  | 34.94     | 27.45 ±5.99  |
| Pain duration     | 6.00    | 180.00 | 174.00    | 26.06 ±32.37 |
| (months)          |         |        |           |             |
| Pain intensity    | 2.00    | 9.00   | 7.00      | 5.63 ± 1.84  |
| Depression score  | 0.00    | 37.00  | 37.00     | 12.20 ± 8.33 |
| Disability score  | 0.00    | 80.00  | 80.00     | 33.40 ± 18.10 |

BMI - Body mass index, Kg – Kilogram, m – metre, m² - metre squared
SD - Standard deviation

Table 1. General characteristics of the respondents and their psycho-physiological variables

| Functional Disability | Minimal (N=28) | Mild (N=34) | Moderate (N=32) | Severe (N=6) | χ² | p |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|----|---|
| Level of depression   |                |             |                 |              |    |   |
| Mild (N=63)           | 27             | 1           | 0               | 0            | 30.25 | 0.01* |
| Moderate (N=21)       | 17             | 13          | 4               | 0            | 0   |   |
| Severe (N=12)         | 17             | 6           | 6               | 3            | 0   |   |
| Crippled (N=4)        | 2              | 1           | 2               | 1            | 0   |   |

*Significant at 0.05 alpha level

Table 2. Chi-square test of association between levels of depression and functional disability in patients with chronic low back pain.
(N=04, 04%). 28% (N=28) had minimal FD, 34% (N=34) reported moderate FD, others had 32% (N=32) and 6% (N=6) severe and crippling FD respectively (table 2). Level of Dn significantly (P<0.05) correlated to FD and BMI while pain intensity correlated significantly (P<0.01) with both Level of Dn and FD (tables 2-4, fig. A & B). Age, gender and pain duration did not significantly relate to level of Dn and FD.

| Variables       | Relationship | ρ     | p    |
|-----------------|--------------|-------|------|
| Pain Intensity  |              | -0.147| 0.145*|
| Depression      |              | -0.049| 0.628*|
| Functional Disability |         | -0.079| 0.443*|
| Pain Intensity  |              | -0.147| 0.145*|
| Depression      |              | 0.325 | 0.001**|
| Functional Disability |         | 0.348 | 0.001**|
| Depression      |              |       |      |
| Functional Disability |         | 0.406 | 0.001**|
| Gender          |              | 0.114 | 0.257*|
| Age             |              | 0.043 | 0.668*|

*Not significant.

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3. Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients showing relationship among the studied psycho-physiological variables.

| Levels of Depression | BMI Rating                     | Minimal | Mild | Moderate | Severe | χ²   | p    |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------|----------|--------|------|------|
| Underweight (>18.5)  | 1                              | 3       | 0    | 0        | 0      | 18.84| 0.03*|
| Normal (18.5-24.9)   | 19                             | 2       | 2    | 1        |        |      |      |
| Overweight (25.0-29.9)| 34                             | 8       | 5    | 3        |        |      |      |
| Obese (30.0-39.9)    | 9                              | 8       | 5    | 0        |        |      |      |

*Significant at 0.05 alpha level.

Table 4. Chi-square test of association between levels of Depression and Body Mass Index (BMI) of subjects (N=100).

5. Discussion

Standard internationally accepted definition of chronic pain (CP) is not available (Harshall and Ospina 2003), however IASP defines CP as pain without apparent biological value that has persisted beyond the normal tissue healing time of 1-6months and recommended 3 months as a good cut off point between acute and CP (Merskey and Bogduk, 1994). Also, it is known that most LBP patients who attend physiotherapy clinics are chronic episodic back pain sufferers experiencing a flare-up and who have been on and off chemotherapy and/or physiotherapy (Egwu and Nwuga, 2008). Therefore, no attempt was made in this study to control subject’s therapy.
In this study, the relationship of duration and intensity of LBP with Dn and FD was investigated among patients whose pain had lasted for at least 3 months. The result reveals that level of Dn significantly (P<0.05) correlated to FD while pain intensity correlated significantly (P<0.01) with both Level of Dn and FD.

In order to understand and explain why rise in pain intensity and not the duration of pain affect Dn and function, the ambient mood state, culture and belief system of this cohort need to be clearly understood. Pain is known to have affective, cognitive, emotional and sensory components and it has been observed that majority of Nigerian patients with LBP are in the low-medium socio-economic status and report for treatment late (Merskey and Bogduk, 1994; Egwu and Nwuga, 2008). The reason why patients report late for treatment is believed to be due to the fact that in Africa, pain is culturally interpreted as a harmless experience that accompany ageing (Onyejeme et al, 2002; Egwu and Nwuga, 2008). Consequently, complaining of pain is seen as a sign of weekness and facial expression of pain is subdued as much as possible (fig. A) until the individual’s tolerance limit is exceeded. This behaviour had been explained by Zola (1973) who observed that people seeking help for a symptom

A = pain, B = increased pain intensity

Fig. 1. Pain is endured culturally without complain and without facial expression suggesting its presence (fig.A), until the individual’s endurance limit is exceeded (fig.B) before patient finds need to seek help to stop this suffering from rising pain intensity leading to depression and functional disability.
for the first time do so because they are unable to tolerate it any longer. Also, perception of
the nature and meaning of incoming sensory information, how the body responds
physiologically and what actions are taken, as well as anticipation of what the future holds,
are inextricably intertwined (Fordyce, 1995). Further, emotional states influence whether
and how an aversive stimulus like pain is perceived. Emotional states also influence
physiological processes such as heart rate, blood pressure and muscle tension, which then
feed back to colour the perception of what is happening, the meanings assigned to it, the
consequences inferred to follow, and the actions taken in response.

Therefore, the observed corelation between pain intensity, Dn and FD indicate that rise in
pain intensity (fig.B) [reflecting the rising ectopic discharge of noxious impulses from nerve
irritation enhancing the sinusoidal voltage oscillation in the dorsal root ganglion membrane
potentials] is one exacerabating symptom that become intolerable among patient with CLBP
driving them from the lower to the higher extremes of the Dn continuum. In addition, pain
is known to focus emotions during difficult life situations, and when we assess ourselves in
a situation and don’t like where we are, where we have been, or where we are going and we
can take no action to close the gap, we consider ourselves as suffering (Budd 1992; Worz
2003). Consequently part of the factors that determine tolerance limit is the feeling of
suffering and/or perceived threat to life both of which affect level of Dn and FD. Thus, the
level of Dn (minimum - severe) and FD (minimum – cripling) relates to the level of suffering
percieved due to the worsening impact of poverty, high number of life stressing events and
rising intensity of pain on work, motor activity and social role performance until some of the
patients become severely(endogenously) depressed and/or crippled (unable to walk
properly).

A 4% rate occurrence of severe (major) Dn was observed in this study, this is very low
compared to reports (16% - 37%) from advanced countries (Sullivan et al., 1992; Banks and
Kerns, 1996; Hope and Foreshaw, 1999; Caragee, 2001; Cairns et al, 2003; Currie and Wang,
2003). However, it falls within the prevalence range (1.5% - 57%) according to the diagnostic
and statistical manual of American Psychiatric Association (1980). This wide variation in the
estimates of MDn is said to be dependent upon the setting, population and diagnostic
instrument used.

The reason for this low prevalence of MDn in south-west Nigeria may therefore be related to
the high tolerance for pain by an average African and the peculiar Yoruba culture of denial
(American Pain Society, 2005; Green et al, 2003). It has been reported that Caucasians report
their pain promptly and take more opioids while African Americans with chronic pain
report pain late and take less quantity of opioids, have more pain, depression, post
traumatic stress disorders and impairment in their physical, emotional and social health
(Green et al, 2003, Meldrum, 2003). Thus, based on the theory of stimulus and habituation,
they have less likelihood of rating depression as severe (Green et al, 2003; Egwu and Nwuga
2008). Besides, Yoruba culture mixed with christien religion don’t admit or orally express
negative emotions. For instance, somebody who is weak or in pain will rather say ‘I am
strong’, while somebody who is penniless will say ‘I have too much money’. Consequently,
some patients whose Dn may have been of clinical level may have played it down thus
explaining the low prevalence of MDn observed in this study.

Group health cooperative centre for health studies (2006) pointed out that there is significant
differences among socio-cultural groups in the link between obesity and Dn. They noted
that in groups were obesity is more common (low-medium socio-economic status non Caucasians) there is less Dn among obese people because they are not stigmatized. This report is consistent with our current finding that despite a significant relationship between BMI and Dn, non of the 22 obese respondent was severely depressed and it is in tandem with the perception in poor countries that being fat is a sign of wealth (Onyejeme et al, 2002; Haslam and James, 2005).

6. Conclusion

Pain intensity (not duration) correlate significantly with both level of Dn and FD without age and gender bias. Level of Dn also significantly correlate to FD and BMI with a 4% rate occurrence of MDn underscoring the importance of the bio-psycho-social approach to CLBP therapy.

7. Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Mrs Adeola Faleyed and Mrs Boboye who translated the questionnaires into Yoruba; Heads and clinicians of Physiotherapy departments in OAUTHC,Ile-Ife, LAUTECH,Osogbo, Osun State Hospital,Asubiaro and Ilesa and National Orthopaedic Hospital,Igbobi, Lagos for for their support during this work and all those who responded to the questionnaires for without them this work will not have been possible.

8. References

American Pain Society (2005). Racial and ethnic identifiers in pain management: the importance to Research, clinical practice, and public health policy, Williams D A (editor), APS Bulletin 2005; 15: 2, 1-4. Available at 
http://www.ampainsoc.org/pub/bulletin/spr05/sig1.htm, Accessed 5th May, 2006.

American Psychiatric Association (1994) Staff, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of mental disorders:DSM-IV, 4th ed., American Psychiatric Association, Woshinton D.C.

Amir R, Devor M. Spike-evoked suppression and burst patterning in dorsal root ganglion neurons. Journal of physiology 501:183-196, 1997.

Amir R, Michaelis M, Devor M. Membrane potential oscillation in dorsal root ganglion neurons: Role in normal electrogenesis and neuropathic pain. The journal of Neuroscience 19:8589-8596, 1999.

Anderson BOA. (1999) The epidemiological features of chronic low back pain.Lancet 354, 581-585.

Anthony KK, & Schanberg LE (2003) Pain in children with arthritis: a review of the literature. Science 285, 409-412.

Banks, S.M. and Kerns, R.D (1996) Explaining high rates of depression in chronic pain: a diathesis-stress framework. Psychology Bulletin, 119:95-110.

Budd MA. Human suffering: the road to illness or gate-way to learning? Paper presented at Lee Travis institute for biopsychosocial research and U.S. Public Health Service, Boston Massachusset 1992; 1-17

Cairns M.C, Foster N.E, Wright C.C, Pennignton D. (2003) Level of Distress in a Recurrent Low Back Pain Population Referred for Physiotherapy. Spine 28, 953-959.
Cassius DA, Fisher A., Dubo H, Imamura M. Spinal segmental sensitization as a representation of all pain. Diagnosis by a new examination technique. Proceedings of the 10th World Congress on Pain. IASP Press, Seattle, 2002; P. 342.

Caragee E.J. (2001) Psychological and Functional Profiles in Selected Subjects With Low Back Pain. Spine1,198-204.

Croft PR, Papageorgiou AC, Ferry S, Thomas E, Jayson MI & Silman AJ (1996) Psychological distress and low back pain. Evidence from a prospective study in the general population. Spine 20, 2731-7.

Currie SR & Wang J (2004) Chronic back pain and major depression in the general Canadian population. Pain 107, 54-60.

Deyo RA & Weinstein JN (2001) low back pain. New England Journal of Medicine. 344, 363-370.

Devor M. central changes mediating neuropathic pain. In : pain research and clinical management, vol. 3, proceedings of the Vth world congress on pain(Dubner R, Ghebhart GF, Bond MR, eds) pp114-128. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1999.

Dworkin SF & Gitlin MJ (1991) Clinical aspect of depression in chronic pain patients. Clinical Journal of Pain 7, 79-94.

Egwu MO, Alabi MM, Nwuga VCB. Effect of Vertical Oscillatory Pressure on neck Pain and some cardiovascular variables. Physiotherapy 89:666-674, 2003.

Egwu MO, Nwuga VCB (2008) Relationship between low back pain and life- stressing events among Nigerian and Caucasian patients. Physiotherapy; 94:133-140.

Egwu MO, Adewale AO, Olaogun MOB. The Effect of Vertical Oscillatory Pressure on youths and Elderly adult low back Pain intensity and lumbosacral mobility. Journal of Japanese Physical Therapy Association 10:17-26, 2007.

Fishbain DA, Cutler R, Rosomoff RS (1997) Chronic pain associated depression: antecedent or consequence of chronic pain? A review. Clinical journal of pain 13, 116-137.

Fordyce WE. Back pain in work place: Management of disability in non-specific conditions. IASP press, Seattle, 1995; 35 – 70.

Green C, Baker J, Ndao-Brumblay, Nagrant A, Washinton J. Disparities between African Americans and Caucasians in pain and its effects 2003. Available at http://www.med.umich.edu/opm/newspage/2003/racialpain.htm, accessed 5th May, 2006.

Gose EE, NaguszewskiWK, Naguszewski RK. Vertebral axial decompression therapy for pain associated with herniated or degenerated disc or facet syndrome: An outcome study. Neurological Research 20:186-190.

Group Health Cooperative Centre for Health Studies (2006) Obesity and depression: link is strong among Caucasians and those with more education Xagena Medicine. Accessed march 31, 2010.10:31a.m.

Gunn CC, Milbrandt T. Early subtle signs in low back sprain. Spine 3:3, 1978.

Haslam DW & James WP (2005) ‘Obesity’. Lancet 366, 1197-1209.

Harshall C & Ospina M (2003) How prevalent is chronic pain? Pain clinical updates 11, 1-4.

Hope P & Forshaw MJ (1999) Assessment of psychological distress is important in patients presenting with low back pain. Physiotherapy 85, 563-570.

Lamb DW. The neurology of spinal pain. Physical therapy 59:971-973, 1979.

Matthews JA, YatesDAH. Reduction of lumbar disc prolapse by manipulation. British Medical Journal 3:696-697, 1962.
Meldrum ML (2003). Opioids and Pain Relief: a historical perspective. Progress in Pain Research. Seattle, IASP Press 25.

Merskey H, Bogduk N (1994) Classification of chronic pain. IASP press, Seattle; 189 – 200.

Naguszewski WK, Naguszewski RK, Crose EE. Dermatomal Somatosensory evoked potential demonstration of nerve root decompression after VAX-D Therapy. Neurological Research 2001; 23, 706-714, 2001.

Olaogun MOB, Adedoyin RA, Ikem IC and Anifaloba RO (2004) Reliability of rating low back pain with a visual analogue scale and a semantic differential scale. Physiotherapy Theory and Practice 20, 135-142.

Onyejeme BO, Onyeneke EC, Erigymremu GE (2002) The effect of social and cultural variables on the treatment of pain in Eastern Part of Nigeria – Epidemiological Study’, 10th World Congress on Pain, August 17 – 22, Sandiego, California, 79.

Steele MF, Spurgeon JB (1983) Body size, Body form and Nutritional intake of Blacks Ages 9 Living in Rural and Urban Regions of Eastern North Carolina. Growth 47, 207-216.

Sullivan, M.J.L., Reesor, K., Mikail, S.F., Fisher, R. (1992) The treatment of depression in chronic low back pain: review and recommendations. Pain 50, 5–13.

World Health Organization (2001) Mental health: New understanding, new hope. Geneva, Switzerland.

Worz R (2003). Pain in Depression – Depression in Pain. Pain clinical updates, Seattle, IASP Press 11.

Zola IK (1973) Pathways to the doctor- from person to patient. Social science medicine, 677-689.
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