Tolerance to non-opioid analgesics is opioid sensitive in the nucleus raphe magnus
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Tolerance to non-opioid analgesics can lead to a progressive loss of effect. This phenomenon is known as tolerance. Several lines of investigations have shown that systemic, intraperitoneal administration or the microinjection of non-opioid analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) into the midbrain periaqueductal gray matter induces antinociception with some effects of tolerance. Our recent study has revealed that microinjection of three drugs analgin, ketorolac, and xefocam into the central nucleus of amygdala produce tolerance to them and cross-tolerance to morphine. Here we report that repeated administrations of these NSAIDs into the nucleus raphe magnus (NRM) in the following 4 days result in progressively less antinociception compare to the saline control, i.e., tolerance develops to these drugs in male rats. Special control experiments showed that post-treatment with the μ-opioid antagonist naltrexone into the NRM significantly decreased antinociceptive effects of NSAIDs on the first day of testing in the tail-flick (TF) reflex and hot plate (HP) latency tests. On the second day, naltrexone generally had trend effects in both TF and HP tests and impeded the development of tolerance to the antinociceptive effect of non-opioid analgesics. These findings strongly support the suggestion of endogenous opioid involvement in NSAIDs antinociception and tolerance in the descending pain-control system. Moreover, repeated injections of NSAIDs progressively lead to tolerance to them, cross-tolerance to morphine, and the risk of a withdrawal syndrome. Therefore, these results are important for human medicine too.
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Institute and Guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain regarding animal experimentation were followed throughout (Zimmermann, 1983).

**SURGICAL PROCEDURES**

Under anesthesia with thiopental (55 mg/kg, i.p. “Kievmed” Ukraine) a 12-mm-long stainless steel guide cannula (Small Parts, Inc., USA) was stereotaxically implanted (AP: −9.16; L: +1; H: 9.8) according to the coordinates in the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1997) siting the tip 2 mm above the NRM. The guides were anchored to the cranium by dental cement. The guide cannula was plugged with a stainless steel stylet. Thereafter, the rats were handled every day for 3 days for 15 min to get familiar with the testing protocol and experimental environment. During this time, the stylet was removed and 14 mm-long stainless steel microinjection cannula was inserted into the guide cannula to reach the NRM, but no drug was injected. This helped to habituate the rats to the injection procedure and to reduce artifacts arising from mechanical manipulation during the test days. Five days after surgery the microinjection cannula, attached to a 5-μl Hamilton syringe (Hamilton, Inc., USA), was joined to the guide cannula, and the drug was introduced through it while the rat was gently restrained.

**DRUGS**

Analgin 150 μg/0.5 μl (metamizol sodium, “Sanitas,” Ltd., Lithuania), clodifen (diclofenac sodium, 75 μg/0.5 μl, “E.I.P.I.” Comp., Egypt), ketorolac (ketorolac tromethamine, 90 μg/0.5 μl, “Zee Drugs,” India), xefocam (lornoxicam, 12 μg/0.5 μl, “Nycomed,” GmbH, Austria) were then injected through the microinjection cannula; then the guide cannula was plugged with a stainless steel stylet. Saline was injected in the same volume (0.5 μl; “GalichPharm” Ltd., Ukraine) and manner in a separate group of rats for controls.

**BEHAVIORAL TESTING**

Twenty minutes post microinjection, i.e., 10-min before the peak of the drugs’ effect is normally reached, animals were tested with TF or HP. For the TF test, the distal part of the tail was stimulated with a light beam (IITC #33, IITC Life science, Inc., Woodland Hills, CA, USA) and the latency measured until the tail was reflexively flicked away from the beam. For the HP test, the rat was placed on a 55°C HP (IITC #39) and the latency to the first lick of the hindpaw or jumping was measured. The cut-off time was 20 s for both TF and HP latencies. Each rat was tested for both TF and HP latencies in the same session. A similar procedure was followed for the repeated microinjection of analgin, clodifen, ketorolac, xefocam, or saline for five consecutive days.

In a separate set of experiments, 20 min following NSAIDs administration we tested whether post-treatment with the μ-opioid antagonist naloxone (0.5 μl) in the NRM diminishes NSAID-induced antinociception on the first and second experimental days.

**HISTOLOGY**

At the end of each experiment, after the fifth day and the second day of the second series of experiments the microinjection site was marked with 2 μl of a saturated solution of Pontamine Sky Blue (Sigma Chemical, Co.), and the animal was killed with ester by an overdose of an anesthetic. After fixation by immersion in 10% formalin the brain was sectioned and the microinjection site was identified with the aid of Paxinos and Watson (1997) stereotaxic atlas coordinates.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All data are presented as mean ± SEM. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc Tukey–Kramer Multiple Comparison Tests were used for statistical evaluations of comparisons between treated and saline groups, and treated and naloxone groups respectively. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was applied to verify normality. The statistical software utilized was InStat 3.05 (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA). Statistical significance between vehicle control and treated groups, and naloxone and treated groups of rats was acknowledged if \( P < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

**HISTOLOGY CONTROL**

Only rats with microinjections located in the NRM were included for data analysis. Histological location of microinjection sites is shown in a simplified drawing section from the Paxinos and Watson (1997) atlas. All microinjections of NSAIDs or saline were histologically located in the NRM (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)
TOLERANCE TO NSAIDs

Our investigation showed that microinjection of NSAIDs into the NRM produced antinociception as revealed by a latency increase in TF and HP responses compared to the saline control microinjected into the same nucleus. The TF latency significantly increased for analgin [ANOVA: F(5,44) = 53.797, (P < 0.0001)], clodifen [ANOVA: F(5,39) = 43.233, (P < 0.0001)], ketorolac [ANOVA: F(5,44) = 39.952, (P < 0.0001)], and xefocam [ANOVA: F(5,39) = 41.904, (P < 0.0001)]. On the first experimental day, the differences between treated and control groups were significant for analgin (t = 11.854, P < 0.001), for xefocam (t = 11.096, P < 0.001), for ketorolac (t = 9.652, P < 0.001), and clodifen (t = 8.813, P < 0.001) respectively (Figure 2A).

We revealed similar significant differences in the HP latencies for analgin [ANOVA: F(5,44) = 79.984, (P < 0.0001)], clodifen [ANOVA: F(5,39) = 33.024, (P < 0.0001)], ketorolac [ANOVA: F(5,44) = 68.839, (P < 0.0001)], and xefocam [ANOVA: F(5,39) = 67.945, (P < 0.0001)] respectively. On the first treatment day antinociception was maximal for each drugs for ketorolac (t = 15.172, P < 0.001), for xefocam (t = 13.047, P < 0.001), for analgin (t = 10.135, P < 0.001), and clodifen (t = 9.432, P < 0.001) respectively (Figure 2B). However, subsequent NSAIDs microinjections caused progressively less antinociception, so by day 5 there was no effect, i.e., we observed a similar effect to the vehicle control of saline microinjections for both the TF (Figure 2A) and the HP (Figure 2B) tests, except analgin in TF latency. The latter did not show complete tolerance even at the fifth experimental day (t = 5.2, P < 0.05; Figure 2A).

OPIOID SENSITIVITY

Control experiments

Control experiments with saline microinjections into the NRM followed by the μ-opioid antagonist naloxone statistically did not change the latency to respond in the TF and HP tests for the first and second experimental days (P > 0.05; Figures 3A,B).
Opioid sensitivity of NSAIDs

To examine in detail an opioid sensitivity of NSAIDs action we tested whether post-treatment with the μ-opioid antagonist naloxone into the NRM diminishes NSAID-induced antinociception on the first and second experimental days. Twenty minutes after NSAIDs testing, microinjection of naloxone into the NRM significantly decreased antinociceptive effects of NSAIDs on the first day in the TF for analgin ($t = 5.432, P < 0.05$), clodifen ($t = 6.258, P < 0.01$), ketorolac ($t = 10.847, P < 0.001$), and xefocam ($t = 8.709, P < 0.001$), respectively (Figure 4). The same effects we discovered in the HP test for analgin ($t = 5.701, P < 0.05$), clodifen ($t = 5.287, P < 0.05$), ketorolac ($t = 7.303, P < 0.001$), and xefocam ($t = 5.64, P < 0.05$), respectively (Figure 5). On the second day, naloxone showed trend effects in both TF and HP tests (Figures 4 and 5).

DISCUSSION

The obtained data revealed for the first time non-opioid tolerance to NSAIDs in the NRM. These results add evidence to the hypothesis that, like opioids, non-opioid analgesics, particularly NSAIDs analgin, clodifen, ketorolac, and xefocam induce tolerance, and also by mechanisms strongly related to the PAG (Tortorici and Vanegas, 2000; Tortorici et al., 2004, 2009). It has been shown that other non-opioid analgesics, e.g., aspirin also induce tolerance after repeated microinjections into the PAG (Vanegas and Tortorici, 2002; Pernia-Andrade et al., 2004). The PAG and its descending projections to the NRM are principal components of the descending antinociceptive pain-control system (Fields et al., 2006; Henricher and Ingram, 2009; Heinricher et al., 2009; Ren and Dubner, 2009). In naive rats, microinjection of morphine and dipyridone into the PAG decrease the activity of pain-facilitating “on-cells” and increase the activity of pain inhibiting “off-cells” thus giving rise to antinociception (Tortorici et al., 2001, 2009; Fields, 2004).

Although similar effects of antinociception can be produced by direct action of morphine upon the RVM (Henricher et al., 1992), tolerance to morphine was not remarkably obtained by repeated microinjection into the RVM (Morgan et al., 2005). Furthermore, inactivation of the RVM did not prevent the development of tolerance to repeated morphine microinjections into the PAG, and toler-
Tolerance to non-opioid analgesics

The mechanisms whereby NSAIDs, in general, engage endogenous opioids are not completely understood. There is a proposed model for the PAG where γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) containing synapses act as the plausible site where NSAIDs could converge with endogenous opioids. The PAG output neurons that drive antinociception via downstream relays, like the NRM and RVM, are tonically inhibited by local GABA-ergic synapses (Henricher and Ingram, 2009; Vanegas et al., 2010). Endogenous opioids reduce presynaptic release of GABA in the PAG. Furthermore, activation of μ-opioid receptors in the PAG brings about an elevation of the intracellular concentration of arachidonic acid metabolites. One of the pathways leads to the formation of heptoxins, which increase potassium conductance. This in turn hyperpolarizes the presynaptic...
GABA-ergic terminals and decreases GABA release (Vaugan, 1998). Disinhibition of PAG output neurons would thus increase and drive antinociception in the downstream loop of the PAG–RVM–spinal dorsal horn (Wessendorf et al., 2006; Morgan et al., 2008). For this pathway to function, however, an activation of μ-opioid receptors seems to be necessary, because naloxone or CTOP block the effect of PAG-microinjected metamizol or aspirin (Pernia-Andrade et al., 2004; Tortorici et al., 2009).

As mentioned above, the action of either opioid or non-opioid analgesics in the PAG leads to an excitation of PAG output neurons and this causes an activation of RVM off-cells and an inhibition of RVM on-cells, thus leading to antinociception (analgiesia). When tolerance develops, PAG microinjections of morphine (Tortorici et al., 2001), or metamizol (Tortorici et al., 2009) are no longer capable of affecting RVM neurons and inducing analgesia. These results show further neuronal relationships between opioid and non-opioid analgesics as regards the descending pain-control and modulation system (Vanegas et al., 2010).
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