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Mice deficient in the zinc-sensor GPR39, which has been demonstrated to protect cells against endoplasmatic stress and cell death in vitro, display moderate glucose intolerance and impaired glucose-induced insulin secretion. Here, we use the Tet-On system under the control of the proinsulin promoter to selectively overexpress GPR39 in the β cells in a double transgenic mouse strain and challenge them with multiple low doses of streptozotocin, which in the wild-type littermates leads to a gradual increase in nonfasting glucose levels and glucose intolerance observed during both food intake and OGTT. Although the overexpression of the constitutively active GPR39 receptor in animals not treated with streptozotocin appeared by itself to impair the glucose tolerance slightly and to decrease the β-cell mass, it nevertheless totally protected against the gradual hyperglycemia in the steptozotocin-treated animals. It is concluded that GPR39 functions in a β-cell protective manner and it is suggested that it is involved in some of the beneficial, β-cell protective effects observed for Zn++ and that GPR39 may be a target for antidiabetic drug intervention.

1. Introduction

GPR39 is a member of the ghrelin receptor family, all of which are peptide receptors described to be involved in the peripheral and/or central control of appetite, GI tract function, energy homeostasis, and metabolism [1–5]. The GPR39 locus is rather complex with an overlapping antisense gene LYPD1 and the occurrence of alternative splicing [6]. Importantly, however, the full-length, functional GPR39 receptor is expressed exclusively in peripheral, endocrine, and metabolic organs such as the endocrine pancreas, the liver, the kidney, the GI tract, and the white adipose tissue [6, 7].

GPR39 functions as a zinc sensor being activated by physiological concentrations of Zn++ which is particularly interesting in the pancreatic islets where Zn++ is released in relatively large amounts together with insulin [8, 9]. Although it was reported that a peptide fragment of the ghrelin precursor called obestatin could act as an agonist for GPR39 [10], this could not be confirmed [11–14] and the original report was later retracted [15]. As observed for key members of this receptor family such as the ghrelin receptor and the neurotensin NT2 receptor, GPR39 signals with high ligand independent or constitutive activity [2, 3]. This is observed in the Gq pathway as measured by inositol phosphate accumulation and, for example, in activation of serum-responsive-element- (SRE-) regulated transcriptional activity mainly mediated through the G12/13 pathway [2].

Unchallenged Gpr39-deficient mice have a rather modest overall phenotype [7, 14]. However, more careful studies both by Tremblay and coworkers and by our group revealed that GPR39 deficiency is associated with β-cell dysfunction including decreased expression of key regulatory genes and impaired glucose-induced insulin secretion, for example...
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. The Transgenic Mouse. B6-TgH(tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA) transgenic mice were generated by crossing heterozygous RIP-rtTA transgenic mice (kindly provided by Dr. Yuval Dor) with heterozygous tetGPR39 transgenic mice (Figure 1). The tetGPR39 mice were acquired from Nucleis, and briefly a construct consisting of an N-terminal FLAG tag linked to the total coding region for human GPR39 followed by the SV40 polyA signal was inserted into the HPRT locus through homologous recombination.

The double transgenic mice were backcrossed into C57BL/6 for at least three generations and litters were used as control. To verify doxycycline- (DOX-) induced GPR39 expression, 3 tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic mice and 6 WT litters were given DOX in the drinking water (1 mg/mL), whilst 3 tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA mice were given normal water (mock) to evaluate leakiness of the system. After 6 days of DOX or mock treatment, mice were sacrificed and pancreas was isolated for immunohistochemistry and real-time quantitative PCR (QPCR).

2.2. Outline for the Experimental Setup. Mice were treated with multiple low-dose STZ to induce hyperglycemia [21]. Briefly, intraperitoneal injection (IP) of 40 mg/kg STZ (diluted in citric acid pH 4) was carried out on five consecutive days.

Two groups consisting of 11 tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic mice and 11 wild-type litters were treated with low-dose STZ injections. In parallel two groups consisting of 7 tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic mice and 6 wild-type litters were mock treated with vehicle injections for 5 days. DOX treatment was initiated 3 days prior to the injection and maintained throughout the whole experiment for all four groups.

Using a glucometer (Ascensia Elite XL Diabetes Care System, Bayer HealthCare) nonfasting blood glucose was measured twice a week in tail blood to follow the development of hyperglycemia. The nonfasting blood glucose levels were analyzed by repeated measures (mixed model) ANOVA using GraphPad Prism version 5.0a.

At day 30, blood glucose and insulin levels in response to feeding were measured. Mice were fasted for 16 hours prior to ad libitum feeding whilst tail blood was obtained at times −30, 0, 20, 40, and 85 minutes to monitor blood glucose levels, and blood from the orbital sinus was collected at times 0, 20, and 40 minutes to measure plasma insulin levels using the Sensitive Rat Insulin RIA kit (Millipore). Insulin and glucose levels were analyzed by repeated measures (mixed model) ANOVA using GraphPad Prism version 5.0a.

At day 35, oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed, glucose (1.5 g/kg body weight) was administered by oral gavage, at times −30, 0, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, and 120, minutes and blood glucose levels were measured in tail blood using a glucometer. Glucose levels were analyzed by repeated measures (mixed model) ANOVA and by a Mann-Whitney t-test using GraphPad Prism version 5.0a.

At day 40, the mice were sacrificed and pancreas was excised for immunocytochemistry.

All mice used in this study were male; they were housed in a light/dark cycle of 12 hours with free access to food and water. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with international guidelines and approved by the Animal Experiments Inspectorate in Denmark, which follows the EU (86/609/EEC) guidelines.

2.3. QPCR. QPCR was performed using the Mx3000P (Stratagene), and the SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) was used for SYBR green-based QPCR. Cycle threshold values were obtained using Stratagene Mx3000P software, and the delta-delta Ct method was used to calculate the relative fold change of RNA levels compared to a calibrator sample. Tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide (Ywhaz) was used as reference gene. Primer sequences for human GPR39, mice Gpr39, and Ywhaz were 5′-TCC TGA GGC TTA TTG TTG TG-3′, 5′-GTG TAC AGG AGC GGG TTG AT-3′, 5′-AGT GAG GAG AGC CGG ACA G-3′, 5′-CAG TCA TGT TTG GGT TTT GC-3′, 5′-AGA CCG AAG GTG CTG AGA AA-3′ and 5′-GAA GCA TTG GGC ATC AAG AA-3′, respectively.

Excised tissue was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until RNA was extracted using the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega) followed by cDNA synthesis using the ImProm-II Reverse Transcriptase (Promega).

2.4. Immunohistochemistry and Morphometry. The protocol has been reported [22]. Briefly, pancreata were fixed in Stefanini’s solution (2% formaldehyde and 0.2% picric acid in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.2)), rinsed in sucrose-enriched (10%) buffer, and frozen on dry ice. Thereafter sections were cut (10 μm) and mounted on slides. The sections were stained for insulin (code 9003, dilution 1:1280; Euro-Diagnostica, Malmö, Sweden), glucagon (code 7811, dilution 1:5120; Euro-Diagnostica), and FLAG (code F7425, dilution 1:800, Sigma-Aldrich) as previously [16].

To quantify β-cell area, 4 sections were taken through the whole pancreas, with a distance of >100 μm between each, according to the previously published protocols [23]. Thereafter images of all immunostained islets in each section...
were taken with a digital camera (Nikon DS-2Mv). The stained area was measured using BioPix iQ 2.0 software (BioPix AB, Göteborg, Sweden). β-cell areas were analyzed by a Mann-Whitney t-test using GraphPad Prism version 5.0a.

3. Results

The double transgenic mice strain B6-TgH(tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA) displayed tetracycline, that is, DOX inducible expression of the FLAG-tagged human GPR39 selectively in the pancreatic β cells as determined by immunohistochemistry after 6 days of DOX treatment (Figure 1). As often described in general and specifically for the proinsulin-promoter-driven Tet-On system some degree of leakiness was observed [24, 25]. In our case the leakiness corresponded to one fifth of the expression of the human GPR39 in the pancreas before DOX administration (Figure 1(b)). In accordance with this, wild-type littermates were used as controls in the functional studies.

Repeated low doses of STZ normally induce a gradual damage of β cells resulting in nonfasting hyperglycemia [21, 26, 27]. As shown in Figure 2(a), treatment of wild-type animals with 40 mg/kg STZ for five days as expected resulted in an increase in nonfasting glucose appearing after approximately 10 days (open squares) as compared to vehicle-treated animals (open circles)—all receiving DOX in the drinking water throughout the experiment. In contrast, no clear increase in blood glucose was observed after STZ treatment in the GPR39 transgenic animals (Figure 2(a), red squares). When compared to the STZ treated wild-type littermates (open squares) the GPR39 transgenic mice displayed lower blood glucose throughout the experiment (P = 0.0007, n = 11, two-way ANOVA).

A controlled feeding experiment was performed on day 30, which demonstrated that fasting blood glucose was normal and similar in all four groups, that is, around 5 mmol/L (Figure 2(b)). However, postprandial hyperglycemia was observed in the STZ treated wild-type littermates (open squares) the GPR39 transgenic mice displayed lower blood glucose throughout the experiment (P = 0.0007, n = 11, two-way ANOVA). In fact, the STZ-treated GPR39 transgenic mice displayed a postprandial glucose increase similar to that observed in the two non-STZ-treated control groups (Figure 2(b)). The fasting insulin levels were also similar in all four groups of animals (Figure 2(c)). Although the postprandial serum insulin 20 min. after food intake apparently was lower in the STZ-treated wild-type animals (0.86 ± 0.11 ng/mL, n = 11) compared to the non-STZ treated control group (1.10 ± 0.09 ng/mL, n = 6), this did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.15, t-test). Importantly, just like the glucose level the serum insulin level of the STZ-treated transgenic GPR39 animals was similar to that of the
Figure 2: Protection against STZ-induced hyperglycemia by β-cell specific overexpression of GPR39 in the tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA mice. (a) Nonfasting blood glucose levels measured twice a week. DOX was given in the drinking water to all four groups of animals from day 3. A group of tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic mice (TG + STZ (red square), n = 11) and a group of wild-type littermates (WT + STZ (open square), n = 11) were treated with low-dose STZ injections, 40 mg/kg daily from day 0 to day 4. A group of tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic mice (TG − STZ (black circles), n = 7) and a group of wild-type littermates (WT − STZ (open circles), n = 6), were mock treated with vehicle injections from day 0 to day 4. (b, c) At day 28, all animals were fasted for 18 hours before given access to food at time 0: the blood glucose (b) and insulin (c) were monitored at times indicated. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 (repeated measures (mixed model) ANOVA performed on WT + STZ versus TG + STZ).

non-STZ-treated control groups (1.2 ± 0.13 ng/mL, n = 11, Figure 2(c), red squares).

OGTT performed on day 35 revealed that the low-dose STZ treatment as expected rendered the wild-type mice glucose intolerant but not diabetic as their fasting glucose levels were normal (Figure 3(a), open squares versus open circles)—as also observed during the food test (Figure 2(b)). The transgenic over expression of GPR39 in the β cells by itself seemed to impair the glucose tolerance as determined during the OGTT (Figure 3(a), black versus open circles). Nevertheless, the glucose excursions of the STZ-treated GPR39 transgenic animals appeared to be lower as compared to their STZ-treated wild-type littermates (Figure 3(a), red squares versus open squares). Except for the effect of STZ on the wild-type animals none of these differences reached statistical significance.

Immunohistochemical analysis after 40 days of treatment demonstrated a similar but opposite pattern of effects of STZ treatment on β-cell area as observed for blood glucose during the OGTT. That is, STZ severely reduced the β-cell area to 32% in the wild-type animals (P = 0.005, n = 11 STZ treated, n = 6 mock treated, Mann Whitney), and, like the transgenic overexpression of GPR39 in itself impaired the glucose tolerance, it apparently also reduced the β-cell area, that is, to approximately 60%—although the effect was not statistically significant (Figure 4). The area of β-cells determined in the pancreas after STZ treatment of the transgenic animals was similar to that observed in the STZ-treated wild-type littermates. Thus, the relative effect of STZ was smaller in the GPR39 transgenic animals than in the wild-type animals.
Figure 3: Effect of β-cell specific overexpression of GPR39 on oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) after low-dose STZ treatment. (a) OGTT performed 35 days after STZ treatment (see Figure 2) in tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic (TG) mice with STZ treatment (red square) n = 11, in wild-type littermates (WT) with STZ treatment (open squares) n = 11, in WT mock-treated mice (open circles) n = 6, and in TG mock-treated mice (black circles) n = 7. **P < 0.01 (repeated measures (mixed model) ANOVA performed WT + STZ versus WT − STZ). (b) area under the curve, ***P < 0.001 (Mann-Whitney t-test). a.u.: arbitrary units.

Figure 4: Quantification of the β-cell area in pancreatic islets by immunohistochemistry. (a) WT mock-treated mice (empty bars − STZ) n = 7 and tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic (TG) mock-treated mice (black filled bars − STZ) n = 6. WT STZ treated (empty bars + STZ) n = 5, tetGPR39/RIP-rtTA transgenic (TG) STZ-treated mice (red filled bars + STZ) n = 5. *P < 0.05 (Mann-Whitney t-test). (b) Representative islets stained with anti-insulin (green) and anti-glucagon antibodies (red). a.u.: arbitrary units.

4. Discussion

It has previously been demonstrated that Gpr39 deficiency leads to impaired β-cell function with decreased glucose induced insulin secretion and moderate glucose-intolerance [16, 17]. GPR39 was in the present study overexpressed in a β-cell specific, inducible manner in a double transgenic strain of mice using the Tet-On system under the control of the proinsulin promoter. Although the overexpression in itself appeared to affect the β-cell mass and impaired the glucose tolerance slightly, it nevertheless totally protected against the gradual hyperglycemia observed after low-dose STZ treatment of wild-type littermates. Thus, the zinc-sensor GPR39 appears to function in a β-cell protective manner and could accordingly be a target for antidiabetic drug intervention. It was recently shown by transgenic expression of a specifically designed 7TM receptor, which could be activated by a pharmaceutical compound that acute, selective Gαq activation in the β cells leads to improved glucose tolerance and increased insulin secretion [28]. Since the GPR39 receptor signals mainly through Gαq [2] and is normally expressed in the β cells, the observation of Guettier and coworkers together with the previous studies in Gpr39-deficient mice [16, 17] and the present study with β-cell
specific overexpression of GPR39 all support the notion that GPR39 could function as an interesting antidiabetic drug target.

GPR39 and Zinc in Islet Protection. GPR39 was originally discovered by simple homology screening for novel members of the ghrelin receptor family [29]. Interestingly, however, GPR39 was recently “rediscovered” as one out of two, highly upregulated 7TM receptors in a naturally occurring, apoptosis-resistant cell line [18]. Subsequent transfection of GPR39 into other cell lines protected these cells against oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum stress, which was not the case with the other receptors [18]. The notion that the zinc-sensor GPR39 particularly in the endocrine pancreas could be involved in the adaptive, protective response to stress and cell damage is supported by the fact that apoptosis of pancreatic islet cells is associated with the release of intracellular storages of zinc from the dying cells [30]. Importantly, as we in the present study find that GPR39 overexpression protects against the development of nonfasting hyperglycemia, zinc-sulfate-enriched drinking water has been reported to prevent STZ-induced diabetes in mice [31, 32] and, importantly, to protect against the spontaneous development of diabetes in both NOD mice and biobreeding rats [31, 33]. It is tempting to suggest that the zinc-sensor GPR39 with its cell protective properties could be involved in some of these beneficial effects of zinc. However, GPR39 may not only protect islets from apoptosis but may also be involved in proliferative, adaptive responses of the islets as Tremblay and coworkers found that Gpr39-deficient mice do not display the normal pancreatic islet hyperplasia in response to diet-induced obesity [17]. In view of the documented islet protective properties of GPR39, an agonist of GPR39 could potentially be useful in the treatment of diabetes where the β cells are under oxidative stress [34, 35].

Transgenic Overexpression as a Model of Agonist Action. Overexpression of GPR39 is in the present study employed as a tool trying to mimic a situation with continuous GPR39 agonist treatment. An issue in this approach in general is whether you obtain appropriate receptor signaling and in the appropriate situation. With GPR39 we do obtain a protection of the β cells when they are challenged as judged from the prevention of the increase in nonfasting blood glucose after STZ treatment (Figure 2). However, the transgenic overexpression of GPR39 apparently in itself impairs glucose tolerance and affects β-cell mass—albeit not significantly (Figures 3 and 4). This situation, where a signal obtained through transgenic overexpression can function as both “friend and foe,” is not atypical and has, for example, been suggested for the G protein subunit αq (Gαq) where the effect of the signaling also is balancing between promoting cell survival and apoptosis [36, 37]. This could be relevant for the GPR39 receptor which signals through Gaq but probably also through G12/13 [2]. However, because GPR39 displays high constitutive signalling, the increased transgenic expression of the receptor will in itself directly lead to increased signaling activity independent of the presence of agonist. In other words, it is possible that a high level of receptor expression will lead to an inappropriate degree of signaling in the unchallenged situation, that is, when the β cells are not in a stress situation. Likewise, counter regulatory effects from constitutively Gs signaling in the β cells have been observed [28]. Post-transcriptional regulation renders the correlation of RNA to protein very complicated even when comparing the same RNA transcript under various conditions [38, 39]. Thus, predicting protein levels based on the two very different RNA transcripts endogenous Gpr39 and the transgenic GPR39 is inherently flawed. So although the expression level of the transgenic, human GPR39 appears to be rather moderate, that is, only approximately 1.5-fold on top of the endogenous mouse Gpr39 expression (data not shown), the protein level could be much higher. At least it appears that care should be taken in increasing GPR39 signaling in the β cells in the unchallenged situation as this may impair glucose tolerance in itself. However, at the end of the day when pharmacological agonist tools for GPR39 are available it may simply be a question of using the right dose and treating at the right time.
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