Temporal regulation of gene expression in the blastoderm *Drosophila* embryo
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The *Drosophila* embryo undergoes a developmental transition during cycle 14 when it initiates asynchronous mitotic cycles and markedly increases its rate of zygotic transcription. The nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio has been proposed to be the single factor that temporally regulates this developmental transition. We altered the ratio in the embryo and analyzed the consequences on the cell cycle program and on the transcripts of specific genes. These genes were chosen because their transcripts normally undergo changes in pattern during cycle 14. We found evidence that the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio is read and interpreted locally to regulate the cell cycle program. Based on the response of the transcripts to changes in the ratio, we found evidence that at least two classes of temporal regulatory mechanisms control these transcripts. We therefore propose two corresponding classes of transcripts: (1) nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio dependent; and (2) nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio independent or time correlated. The temporal regulation of the ratio-independent transcripts may be dependent on developmental time. We conclude that multiple modes of temporal regulation underlie the events of the developmental transition in *Drosophila* embryogenesis.
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Development is a four-dimensional process. Although a great deal is known about the spatial regulation of development, much less is known about the temporal regulation of early developmental events. The morphogenetic movements and molecular changes must be correlated in time and in space to produce the coherent developmental process. In several invertebrate and vertebrate organisms, mitotic synchrony is lost and the zygotic genome is transcriptionally activated in the late blastula stages just prior to gastrulation. This transition is therefore a crucial time for the coordination of morphogenetic and molecular events. The morphogenetic events of this transition have been described in several organisms. However, the temporal regulation of the concerted morphogenetic and molecular transitions is not understood.

In *Drosophila melanogaster* early development, this developmental transition occurs during cycle 14. The cell cycle program of the early embryo consists of a series of rapid, synchronous mitotic divisions as a syncytium [Zalokar and Erk 1976; Foe and Alberts 1983]. The initial nine cycles, which are ~10 min long, take place in the center of the embryo. After migration to the embryo surface, the nuclei undergo four additional blastoderm divisions prior to cellularization. The length of interphase in these cycles is progressively longer. During cycle 14, which is at least 60 min long, there is a major transition in the embryo as the nuclei are cellularized, the mitotic synchrony is lost, and gastrulation movements are initiated. These morphological changes are coincident with the segmental determination of the embryonic cells [Simcox and Sang 1983] and the transition from maternal to zygotic control of the cell cycle program [Edgar et al. 1986a, Edgar and O'Farrell 1989].

The transition from the synchronous, maternally controlled cycles to the asynchronous, zygotically controlled cycles is thought to involve the imposition of additional products that regulate a basic oscillator [for review, see Murray and Kirschner 1989]. In a number of organisms including *Drosophila*, there is evidence that the timing of this transition is regulated by the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio [Chulitskaia 1970; Signoret and Lefresne 1971; Kobayakawa and Kubota 1981; Newport and Kirschner 1982; Edgar et al. 1986]. In *Drosophila*, the ratio apparently also regulates the basic oscillator to produce the progressively longer cycle lengths observed in cycles 10–13. The nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio therefore has a major effect on the cell cycle program of the early embryo.

At the molecular level, a transition also occurs in cycle 14 when transcription of all classes of genes is massively increased and the genome reaches its greatest transcriptional activity during embryogenesis [Lamb and Laird 1976; McKnight and Miller 1976; Anderson and
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Lengyel 1979; Edgar and Schubiger 1986). Information on transcriptional activation has come from studies of pulse-labeled RNA from precisely staged embryos and from drug studies that examine the effects of alterations in the cell cycle program on the levels of zygotic transcription. These results led to the proposal that at the time of nuclear migration all genes become competent for transcription; the actual transcription levels are regulated by the length of interphase, which is in turn, regulated by the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio (Edgar and Schubiger 1986). A similar mechanism has been proposed to regulate transcriptional activation at the mid-blastula transition in Xenopus (Kimelman et al. 1987). The nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio is therefore also proposed to have a major effect on the temporal regulation of changes in transcript pattern and accumulation.

In addition to this general mechanism for temporal regulation by interphase time, there is some evidence for another level of temporal programming of transcriptional activation in Drosophila. Edgar and Schubiger (1986) noted that although transcripts from numerous genes are first detected in cycle 11 or 12, some genes reach maximal activation prior to others. Cloning and characterization of the gap class of zygotic segmentation genes has revealed that these genes are transcribed in cycle 12 and are strongly expressed in cycle 13 (Knipple et al. 1985; Tautz et al. 1987; Rothe et al. 1989). It is not known whether this temporal program of transcription is also sensitive to changes in the cell cycle program.

In principle, it is possible that the loss of mitotic synchrony and the activation of the zygotic genome characteristic of the transition in cycle 14 are both regulated by the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio. To investigate this possibility, we examined the effects of an altered nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio on transcripts that normally undergo changes in transcript pattern in cycle 14. UV irradiation and DNA injection were used to reproducibly induce the loss of nuclei and generate embryos with a decreased nuclear density. Our observations extend the hypothesis that the cell cycle program is controlled by the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio. However, we find that the transcripts from some genes were not affected by the decreased nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio. These genes were apparently regulated by developmental time. Thus, we propose that the events of the developmental transition from maternal-to-zygotic information during cycle 14 must be regulated by multiple independent modes of temporal regulation.

Results
Embryos survive the loss of nuclei induced by UV irradiation and DNA injection

Togashi and Okada (1984) demonstrated that polar UV irradiation prior to migration causes mitotic arrest of the underlying nuclei. These nuclei remain arrested with a metaphase/anaphase-like morphology. When one pole of the embryo was irradiated at cycle 6, the effect was obvious following nuclear migration to the periphery at cycle 10. The embryo in Figure 1a was irradiated at the anterior pole at cycle 6 and, in cycle 11, only has nuclei at the periphery of the unirradiated posterior half. We found that embryos irradiated at the anterior pole were able to compensate for this nuclear loss prior to cellularization. Although there were often regional differences in nuclear density across the embryo, the compensation process resulted in embryos with an overall nuclear density at cellularization that was greater than that of control cycle 13 embryos but less than that of control cycle 14 embryos. The irradiated embryos developed cuticle 92% of the time and hatched 41% of the time (n = 91) relative to controls (n = 70). Of the hatching larvae, 42% (n = 30) survived to adulthood relative to controls (n = 50).

In contrast to the UV-irradiated embryos, which only had nuclei in the unirradiated end, the DNA-injected embryos did not have a significantly lowered nuclear density at cycle 10. The mitotic cycles up to cycle 14 were rapid as in the control embryos. The mitotic waves, however, did not result in a doubling in the number of nuclei. The loss of nuclei was therefore progressive, and the difference in nuclear density between DNA-injected and control embryos increased with each division [data not shown]. The DNA-injected embryo in Figure 1b has large regions with a lowered nuclear density. In these embryos, the injected DNA becomes vesiculated and surrounded by a nuclear membrane (Yasuda et al. 1991). We believe that the titration of materials involved in membrane formation resulted in the observed loss of dividing host nuclei. The DNA-injected embryos devel-
opened cuticle 57% of the time (n = 36) relative to buffer-injected controls (n = 70).

UV-irradiated and DNA-injected embryos undergo additional mitotic divisions prior to cellularization to compensate for the loss of nuclei

UV-irradiated and DNA-injected embryos were observed by timelapse video microscopy and direct observation of living embryos on a compound microscope. We found that the embryos were able to compensate for the nuclear loss by altering their cell cycle program prior to cellularization. Careful timing of the mitotic cycles is extremely informative because there is a progressive increase in cycle length of the blastoderm divisions (Zalokar and Erk 1976; Foe and Alberts 1983). This cycle length progression provides a reliable metric for detecting changes in the development of the experimental embryos.

An example of the steps involved in the compensation process is illustrated in Figure 2. Following nuclear migration at the normal cycle 10 time, only the unirradiated posterior half of the embryo had nuclei at the surface (Fig. 2a). More than 90% of the irradiated embryos had this initial phenotype (n = 160). During the subsequent five mitotic cycles (Fig. 2b represents cycle 13; Fig. 2c represents cycle 15), the nuclei spread across the entire length of the embryo but the nuclear density was still low at the irradiated anterior pole. The mitotic waves up to this point were rapid, initiated at the anterior pole of the embryo, and included all of the nuclei. During these mitotic waves, the nuclei streamed across the embryo and filled in the empty anterior cortex. This was in contrast to the symmetrical mitotic waves initiated from both poles that were observed in control embryos. The last mitotic wave was slow and extended about one-fifth of the way across the embryo (Fig. 2d). This particular embryo, which had undergone an additional complete mitotic wave and an additional local mitotic wave, developed into a normally segmented, first-instar larva.

While the compensation process of each embryo varied in detail, the pattern of divisions fell into three basic classes [Fig. 3a], [1] Anterior 15: embryos in which only a local region at the anterior end underwent an additional [fifteenth] round of division (44% of the irradiated embryos scored by video or direct observation; n = 30). The cell cycle program prior to cycle 14 did not differ from that of the control embryos, but cycle 14 was subdivided by the additional division in the anterior portion of the embryo. [2] Complete 15: embryos in which the entire population of nuclei underwent an additional mitotic division (26% of the embryos). An additional cycle, similar in length to cycle 10, was inserted into an apparently normal cell cycle program. [3] Anterior 16: embryos that underwent both an additional complete mitotic wake, followed by an additional local mitotic wave (30% of the embryos). In these embryos the cell cycle program was altered so that cycle 14 was earlier than cycle 14 in the control embryos and cycle 15 was earlier than cycle 15 in the complete 15 class of irradiated embryos. All three classes of compensation have an altered cell cycle program during the time interval that covers cycle 14 in the unirradiated control embryos.

The pattern of compensating divisions in the DNA-injected embryos fell into two classes [Fig. 3b]. [1] Partial 15: embryos that underwent an additional mitoses of a fraction of the embryonic nuclei. [2] Complete 15: embryos that underwent an additional complete mitotic wave. In contrast to the observations on UV-irradiated embryos, the two classes of compensating DNA-injected embryos do not differ significantly from each other or from the control embryos in cell cycle program prior to cycle 14. The extra divisions subdivide a slightly extended cycle 14. This is consistent with the progressive loss of nuclei during the mitotic cycles.

In summary, the developing embryo normally undergoes a series of stereotypical, blastoderm divisions and then cellularizes and gastrulates. If the normal doubling in nuclear number was disrupted in the early embryo, the embryo changed its cell cycle program by initiating additional mitotic divisions prior to cellularization. These divisions could have involved all of the nuclei or a subset of the nuclei and resulted in a nuclear density at cellularization that was greater than that of a cycle 13 control embryo. The extra divisions did not block the continued development of the embryos.
A delay in the maternal-to-zygotic transition of the mitotic control gene string was correlated with a decrease in nuclear density and a change in the cell cycle program

Because UV irradiation and DNA injection resulted in changes in the cell cycle program, we examined the effects of these manipulations on the expression of the mitotic control gene string (stg). The stg transcript pattern undergoes a dramatic maternal-to-zygotic transition during cycle 14 (Edgar and O'Farrell 1989). The transcript is supplied maternally at high levels and is maintained stably through the beginning of cycle 14. It is rapidly degraded early in cycle 14. Shortly before the initiation of gastrulation, zygotic transcription of stg is initiated in a spatially and temporally specific pattern. This pattern accurately predicts the mitotic domains that will be activated ~30 min after each stg domain can be detected (Edgar and O'Farrell 1989; Foe 1989). This observation and results from experiments in which stg was ectopically transcribed have led to the proposal that the stg product is a dose-dependent inducer of mitosis after cycle 14 (Edgar and O'Farrell 1989, 1990).

Embryos were UV irradiated or DNA injected and fixed in parallel with the unirradiated or buffer-injected controls, respectively. stg transcript was then visualized by in situ hybridization. The embryos were scored as belonging to one of four classes [Fig. 4a]: (1) Maternal [M]—high level uniform transcript; (2) degraded [D]—no or low levels of transcript; (3) early zygotic [EZ]—a ventral stripe of transcript (the first detectable zygotic transcription); and [4] zygotic [Z]—additional zygotic pattern elements. Early in cycle 14 in the control embryos [170 ± 5 min after egg laying (AEL)], more than one-third (36%) of the control embryos had progressed to the degraded class. Only 6% of the UV-irradiated embryos had degraded stg maternal message. In the middle of cycle 14 in the controls (200 ± 5 min AEL), almost half (49%) of the control embryos had zygotic stg transcription. Only 2% of the irradiated embryos fixed at the same time had stg transcription. Late in cycle 14 in the controls (230 ± 5 min AEL), 75% of the control embryos and only 18% of the irradiated embryos had progressed to the zygotic class. The irradiated embryos were therefore significantly delayed throughout the cycle 14 time period, both in the degradation of maternal stg message and in the initiation of zygotic stg transcription. Because degradation and transcription initiation were apparently delayed to the same extent, the simplest interpretation is that the onset of the stg transition was delayed but that the programmed changes from maternal transcript to patterned zygotic transcription occurred over a normal time span. DNA-injected embryos were delayed in the maternal-to-zygotic transition of stg expression when compared to buffer-injected controls at both the 200-min time point [P < 0.01, n = 53 for controls and n = 76 for DNA-injected embryos] and the 230-min time point [P = 0.05, n = 36 for controls and n = 55 for DNA-injected embryos]. The two very different experimental manipulations both caused the loss of nuclei. Therefore, the most obvious explanation is that the decreased nuclear density and/or the resulting change in cell cycle program must somehow be read and interpreted to regulate the maternal-to-zygotic transition in stg expression.

There were also UV-irradiated and DNA-injected embryos that were mosaic in their stg expression pattern. That is, different parts of an embryo could be scored as belonging to different classes of stg expression pattern. These embryos had undergone additional local divisions and were therefore also mosaic in their mitotic pattern. The normal stg zygotic expression pattern is shown in Figure 4b. Following the ventral stripe expression, the first domains of stg zygotic expression are at the anterior end in the prospective head regions. These domains are evident prior to transcription in the posterior domains of expression. Figure 4c shows the DAPI staining of a UV-irradiated embryo. This embryo apparently underwent...
Figure 4. The maternal-to-zygotic transition in transcript pattern (TXN) of the mitotic control gene stg is delayed in the UV-irradiated embryos. UV-irradiated and unirradiated controls were fixed in parallel at 170, 200, and 230 min AEL, and the stg expression pattern was visualized by in situ hybridization. The embryos were then scored as belonging to one of four classes of stg expression pattern. The details of the scoring classes are described in the text. (a) Summary of the observations made on irradiated (hatched bars) and unirradiated (solid bars) embryos at the three time points. Sample sizes for 170-, 200-, and 230-min time points were 33, 59, and 60, respectively, for irradiated embryos and 52, 70, and 140, respectively, for unirradiated embryos. The stg expression pattern in the irradiated embryos is delayed significantly at the 170-min (P < 0.01), the 200-min (P < 0.001), and the 230-min (P < 0.001) time points when compared to the pattern in the control embryos. In situ hybridization of the unirradiated embryo in b shows the normal zygotic stg expression pattern. In b–d, the embryos are aligned with ventral in front and anterior to the right. The ventral stripe of zygotic transcript is denoted with the arrowhead. The DAPI image of the irradiated embryo in c shows the nuclear morphology differences observed as a result of the additional local mitotic division in the anterior third of the embryo. The inset shows the differences in morphology at the boundary of the region at a magnification 6× higher. In situ hybridization of this irradiated embryo in d shows the normal zygotic stg expression pattern, ventral stripe, and some posterior domains in the region of the embryo that did not undergo the extra division and a degraded expression pattern in the region that underwent the extra division. The ventral stripe of zygotic transcript is denoted by the arrowhead. Bar, 50 μm.

an anterior 15 type of compensation for nuclear loss. The inset in Figure 4c shows the clear difference in nuclear morphology at the boundary of the region that had undergone the additional mitosis. Figure 4d shows the stg expression of this embryo. The ventral stripe and posterior domains of expression are present, but there is no evidence of the anterior domains of expression. The anterior portion of the embryo, which had undergone an additional mitotic wave, has degraded stg RNA while the remainder of the embryo has a zygotic stg expression pattern. The decreased nuclear density and/or the altered cell cycle program may therefore be read and interpreted locally in the embryo to regulate the maternal-to-zygotic transition of stg expression.

A delay in the maternal-to-zygotic transition of the dorsal–ventral polarity gene Toll was correlated with a decrease in nuclear density and a change in the cell cycle program

Maternal expression of the Toll (T1) gene is required for correct dorsal–ventral patterning in the embryo (Anderson et al. 1985). In addition, zygotic transcription is required for larval viability (Gerttula et al. 1988). Transcription from the T1 gene undergoes a maternal-to-zygotic transition during cycle 14, (Gerttula et al. 1988; Hashimoto et al. 1988). However, the transition from uniform maternal message to patterned zygotic message takes place at the end of cycle 14 and there is a significant interval when both maternal and zygotic message are present.

The maternal-to-zygotic transition of the T1 transcript in UV-irradiated embryos was examined by the same method described above for stg. After in situ hybridization to visualize the T1 transcript, the embryos were scored for their transcript pattern into three classes (Fig. 5a): [1] Uniform (U)—uniform maternal transcript; [2] basal (B)—degradation of maternal message results in a distinct concentration of the remaining transcript at the basal side of the blastoderm nuclei; and [3] zygotic (Z)—zygotic transcription of T1 at the anterior and posterior poles of the embryo. At the middle cycle 14 time point (200 ± 5 min AEL), 71% of the irradiated embryos and only 44% of the control embryos had uniform maternal
transcript. In addition, 10% of the control embryos and none of the irradiated embryos had zygotic $T_I$ transcription. At the late cycle 14/early gastrulation time point (260 ± 5 min AEL), 61% of the control embryos and only 28% of the irradiated embryos had zygotic $T_I$ transcription. The irradiated embryos were therefore significantly delayed both in the degradation of maternal $T_I$ transcript and the initiation of zygotic $T_I$ transcription.

As was observed with stg, the dependence of the $T_I$ maternal-to-zygotic transition on nuclear density and cell cycle program could be observed in embryos mosaic for their cell cycle program. Figure 5b shows the DAPI nuclear staining of an embryo that underwent an anterior 15 type of compensation with a mitotic wave, which initiated at the anterior pole and spread approximately two-thirds of the way across the embryo. The $T_I$ in situ staining is shown in Figure 5c. The anterior two-thirds of the embryo has moderate levels of maternal message concentrated at the basal side of the blastoderm nuclei. The posterior one-third of the embryo has low maternal message and zygotic $T_I$ transcript at the posterior pole. There is an intermediate level of staining at the boundary, which may indicate that either $T_I$ transcript or the proteins that degrade $T_I$ transcript diffuse locally in the embryo.

A delay in the degradation of the bicoid maternal message was correlated with a decrease in nuclear density and a change in the cell cycle program

To ask whether the delay in degradation of maternal stg and $T_I$ messages was indicative of a delay in degradation of other maternal messages, we examined the transcripts of the maternal pattern control gene bicoid ($bcd$). The $bcd$ transcript is maternally loaded into the anterior end of the oocyte (Frigerio et al. 1986; Berleth et al. 1988) and is stably maintained through cycle 14. The $bcd$ message is then rapidly degraded at the beginning of cycle 14. This degradation of $bcd$ message is concurrent with degradation of the stg message.

UV-irradiated and control embryos were fixed in parallel and then hybridized in situ to reveal the pattern of $bcd$ transcript. The embryos were scored into two classes (Fig. 6): (1) Plus (+) indicates maternal transcript at the anterior pole, and (2) minus (−) indicates no transcript. As both samples at the early cycle 14 time point were predominantly in the plus class, breakdown of $bcd$ maternal message was apparently initiated later than breakdown of stg message. There was no significant difference between the two samples of embryos at this time point ($P = 0.08$). However, 5% of the unirradiated embryos and none of the irradiated embryos had degraded their $bcd$ transcript. At the other two time points, there was a significant difference between the two samples. At the middle cycle 14 time point (200 ± 5 min AEL), 83% of the irradiated embryos and only 40% of the control embryos had $bcd$ maternal transcript. At the late cycle 14 time point (230 ± 5 min AEL), 79% of the control embryos and about half (51%) of the irradiated embryos had degraded their maternal $bcd$ transcript. Therefore, the decreased nuclear density and resulting change in the

Figure 5. The maternal-to-zygotic transition in transcript pattern (TXN) of the dorsal–ventral gene $T_I$ is delayed in the UV-irradiated embryos. UV-irradiated and unirradiated controls were fixed in parallel at 200 and 260 min AEL. The $T_I$ transcript was visualized by hybridization with a nonradioactive probe, followed by detection with an antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase. The embryos were then scored as belonging to one of three classes. The details of the scoring classes are described in the text and are diagramed schematically in a. (a) Summary of the observations made on the irradiated (hatched bars) and unirradiated (solid bars) embryos at the two time points. Sample sizes for 200- and 260-min time points were 45, and 90, respectively, for irradiated and 31 and 38 for unirradiated embryos. The $T_I$ expression pattern in the irradiated embryos is delayed significantly at the 200-min ($P < 0.05$) and the 260-min ($P < 0.001$) time points when compared to the pattern in the control embryos. The DAPI image of the irradiated embryo in b shows the nuclear morphology differences observed as a result of the additional local mitotic division in the anterior two-thirds of the embryo. In situ hybridization of this irradiated embryo in c shows lower levels of maternal transcript and zygotic $T_I$ expression at the posterior pole in the region of the embryo that did not undergo the extra division and higher levels of maternal transcript in the region of the embryo that underwent the extra division. Bar, 50 μm.
The development of the seven-striped transcript pattern of the segmentation gene even-skipped was independent of the nuclear density and cell cycle program

Transcription of some of the zygotic genes important for segmentation of the embryo is initiated or modulated during cycle 14. To ask whether the delay in zygotic transcription observed for stg was indicative of an overall delay in development, we examined transcription of the zygotic pattern genes even-skipped (eve). eve is a member of the pair-rule class of segmentation genes (Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980). Although transcription of eve can be detected as a broad domain in cycle 13, the characteristic seven-striped eve expression pattern is developed during cycle 14 (Harding et al. 1986; Macdonald et al. 1986). The initial broad expression domain is broken up into two smaller broad domains. Next, stripe 7, the most posterior stripe, is defined, giving a two broad plus 7 pattern. The remainder of the stripes are defined by the subdivision of the two broad domains to give a series of intermediate patterns. The maturation of the seven-striped eve pattern can therefore be scored to mark the progression of the segmentation process.

The maturation of the eve expression pattern in UV-irradiated embryos was examined by the same method described above for stg, T1, and bcd. The material used for this analysis were other embryos from the same set of collections used to generate the stg results. After in situ hybridization to visualize the eve transcript, the embryos were scored for their eve expression pattern into four classes (Fig. 7a): (1) 2Broad—1 or 2 broad domains; (2) 2Broad + 7—2 broad domains and a distinct stripe 7; (3) Intermediate—all intermediates in subdivision of the broad domains; and (4) Mature—the complete 7-striped pattern. At both the middle cycle 14 (200 ± 5 min AEL) and the late cycle 14 (230 ± 5 min AEL) time points, there was no difference in the distribution of eve expression patterns between the irradiated embryos and the controls. Similar results were also obtained from the analysis on DNA-injected embryos at the mid-cycle 14 time point (P = 0.35, n = 19 for controls and n = 16 for DNA-injected embryos) and the late-cycle 14 time point (P = 0.44, n = 26 for controls and n = 31 for DNA-injected embryos). The maturation of the eve expression pattern was therefore not dependent on the nuclear density or cell cycle program of the embryo.

The independence of the maturation of eve expression from the effects of nuclear density and cell cycle program was underscored by the transcript pattern in embryos that were mosaic in their cell cycle program. These were embryos that had undergone an additional local mitotic wave. The mature eve expression pattern and nuclear morphology in a control embryo are shown in Figure 7b. It is possible to observe both the eve expression pattern and the consistent nuclear morphology in the embryo. The eve RNA pattern and nuclear morphology in a UV-irradiated embryo are shown in Figure 7c. This embryo apparently underwent an additional mitotic wave that was initiated at the anterior pole and spread along the ventral side of the embryo. The difference in nuclear morphology resulting from the additional mitosis is clearly evidenced by the DAPI nuclear staining. In contrast to the mosaic pattern in the cell cycle program and nuclear morphology, eve expression has the mature seven-striped pattern in both halves of the embryo. The stripes are weaker and narrower in the half of the embryo that had undergone the additional division.

Transcription of the homeotic gene Antennapedia was independent of the nuclear density and cell cycle program

The homeotic gene Antennapedia (Antp) is required for thoracic development. The P2 promoter of Antp drives a three-striped pattern of expression that is initially tran-
The transcription from the P2 promoter of Antp in UV-irradiated embryos was examined. Again, other embryos from the same collections used for the stg hybridizations were used. The embryos were scored into four classes corresponding to the number of stripes of Antp expression (0, PS4, PS4 + PS6, and PS4 + PS6 + PS14). The distribution of Antp expression patterns among the UV-irradiated embryos was not different from that among the control embryos at either the middle cycle 14 (P = 0.51, n = 113 for controls and n = 84 for UV-irradiated embryos) or the late cycle 14 time point (P = 0.50, n = 145 for controls and n = 97 for UV-irradiated embryos). It was also possible to find embryos that were mosaic for their cell cycle program and yet not mosaic for their Antp expression pattern. Figure 8b shows the DAPI nuclear staining of an embryo that underwent an anterior 15 type of compensation, with a mitotic wave initiated at the anterior pole and spreading approximately one-third of the way across the embryo. In contrast to the mosaic pattern in nuclear morphology, the stripes of Antp transcription clearly cross the mosaic boundary (Fig. 8c). Therefore, the transcription of this homeotic gene was also independent of the nuclear density or cell cycle program of the embryo.

Discussion

In the most simplified view, the concurrent changes in the pattern of transcripts seen at cycle 14 in Drosophila or at mid-blastula transition in Xenopus could result from a single regulatory signal that is cycle dependent. Nuclear density and cell cycle program have been proposed to be important regulatory factors for the overall level of zygotic transcription [Edgar and Schubiger 1986; Kimelman et al. 1987]. We have demonstrated that there is not a single, cycle-dependent switch for all gene expression in Drosophila. We examined five transcripts, which undergo changes in transcript pattern during cycle 14, in embryos with experimentally generated alterations in nuclear density and cell cycle program. From this analysis, we propose two modes for the temporal regulation of changes in transcript pattern in the early embryo: (1) Nuclear density/cycle dependent: Both the degradation of maternal message and the initiation of zygotic transcription from stg and T1 were influenced by changes in nuclear density and cell cycle program. In addition, these changes also affected the degradation of maternal bcd message. The degradation of maternal messages such as stg, T1, and bcd messages may be promoted by zygotic transcription [see below). Therefore, these processes may also serve as indicators of zygotic transcriptional activity. (2) Cycle independent or time correlated: The development of the seven-striped transcription pattern of eve and the initiation of transcription from the Antp P2 promoter was apparently independent of the nuclear density and cell cycle program of the embryo.
The nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio is read and interpreted locally to regulate the cell cycle program in the embryo.

The nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio has been proposed to regulate the mitotic cycle in the early embryo. The ratio can be changed by increasing or decreasing the amount of DNA. This change in ratio is thought to be responsible for the increased and decreased numbers of mitotic divisions observed in haploid and polyploid embryos, respectively (Signoret and Lefresne 1971; Newport and Kirschner 1982; Edgar et al. 1986). Ligation or constriction experiments change the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio by simultaneously changing both the number of nuclei and the amount of cytoplasm. The number of mitoses initiated in each embryo fragment is dependent on the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio of the fragment (Kobayakawa and Kubota 1981; Newport and Kirschner 1982; Edgar et al. 1986). UV irradiation and DNA injection both altered the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio by decreasing the number of dividing nuclei. This deficiency was corrected by the initiation of additional mitoses. Because UV irradiation and DNA injection resulted in a localized loss of nuclei in the syncytial embryo, the observed correction by local mitotic divisions indicated that the ratio was read and interpreted locally rather than globally. As the two experimental manipulations that we employed were so different, the response of the embryo seems to depend solely on the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio in the embryo and not on the manner in which the ratio was altered.

Mitotic control in the embryo normally undergoes a transition in cycle 14 between the maternally controlled early divisions and the zygotically controlled divisions that follow cellularization. This transition is mimicked by the maternal-to-zygotic transition in expression of the gene dtg, which has been proposed to be a dose-dependent regulator of the zygotically controlled divisions (Edgar and O'Farrell 1989). The observed delay in the onset of the dtg transition in the UV-irradiated and DNA-injected embryos indicated that the change in the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio prolonged the maintenance of the maternal dtg transcript as it induced an elongation of the maternally driven cell cycle program. The mosaic expression of dtg in embryos with additional local mitotic waves indicates that the dtg transition, like the cell cycle program, is controlled locally. Therefore, the observations on UV-irradiated and DNA-injected embryos suggest that the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio controls the transition in dtg expression in much the same way as it controls the cell cycle program.

How might the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio regulate changes in dtg, Tl, and bcd transcript patterns?

The nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio might directly control the degradation of dtg, Tl, and bcd messages or indirectly control degradation through changes in the cell cycle program. O'Farrell and co-workers (1989) have proposed that degradation of maternal dtg message is promoted by early transcription products and that the accumulation of these products is regulated by the cell cycle program in the embryo. This may be a general mechanism for the regulation of message stability. Consistent with this hypothesis, we have preliminary evidence that degradation of Tl and bcd messages is promoted by zygotic transcri-
The cycle dependence of *stg*, *TI*, and *bcd* maternal message stability might therefore reflect an underlying cycle dependence for the transcription of genes required for maternal message degradation. Although transcription of the genes required for degradation might initiate at cycle 10, accumulation of their transcripts might then be regulated by the length of interphase. This method of transcriptional regulation was proposed by Edgar and Schubiger (1986) as a general mechanism for control of the zygotic genome.

The delay in the initiation of zygotic *stg* and *TI* transcription observed in UV-irradiated and DNA-injected embryos is not explained as easily by a simple cycle-dependent process. Although the entire transition was delayed, the time between degradation of maternal message and initiation of zygotic transcription did not obviously change in the experimental embryos. Therefore, the cell cycle program could also indirectly regulate zygotic transcription of the *stg* and *TI* genes simply because the initiation of transcription could require degradation of the maternal message. A possible mechanism for this type of control would be that the products of the *stg* and *TI* gene negatively regulate their own transcription in some way. Possible support for such a mechanism comes from the observation that heterozygosity for *stg* has no apparent effect on cycle timing even though *stg* is believed to regulate the cycle in a dose-dependent manner. However, the feedback regulation cannot be direct because the proteins encoded by the *stg* and *TI* genes are not predicted to be transcription factors (Hashimoto et al. 1988; Edgar and O’Farrell 1989). An alternative hypothesis is that the initiation of zygotic *stg* and *TI* transcription is cycle regulated by a similar mechanism as the degradation of maternal messages. That is, zygotic *stg* and *TI* transcription may also be promoted by earlier transcription products whose accumulation is cycle dependent. The parallel delay in maternal message degradation and zygotic transcription that was observed in the irradiated embryos could therefore result from the cycle dependent accumulation of two different sets of products.

The zygotic pattern genes *eve* and *Antp* may be members of a large class of zygotically expressed genes that are regulated in response to developmental time

The embryo also undergoes a maternal-to-zygotic transition in the process of pattern formation. In contrast to our findings for the transition in mitotic control, changes in the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio did not apparently affect the expression of the zygotic pattern genes. We found that maturation of the seven-striped *eve* transcription pattern and patterned initiation of *Antp* transcription in UV-irradiated embryos occurred at the same time as in control embryos. *eve* and *Antp* transcripts are spatially regulated by the gap and pair-rule classes of genes. Both positive and negative regulation is required for the proper temporal and spatial expression of these transcripts (Carroll and Vavra 1989; Edgar et al. 1989; Goto et al. 1989; Harding et al. 1989; Irish et al. 1989; Jorgensen 1989; Bermingham et al. 1990). Because the products of the gap and pair-rule genes are required for the cycle-independent changes in *eve* and *Antp* transcript pattern, it may be possible to generalize the results obtained for *eve* and *Antp* to other segmentation genes.

The obvious interpretation of our results is that these genes are regulated in some way by developmental time. To explain the behavior of these genes, we propose a modification of the previous hypothesis that transcription is regulated by the length of interphase time (Edgar and Schubiger 1986). In this earlier study, nuclear migration at cycle 10 in the embryo was defined as the time when the entire genome becomes transcriptionally "competent." Rather, our results are consistent with selective regulation of the time when individual genes or groups of genes acquire competence. Once a gene is competent for transcription, the amount of message transcribed from that gene then depends on the length of time the nucleus is in interphase. The dependence of transcript level on interphase time may explain the narrower stripes of *eve* transcript in the part of the embryo that had undergone an extra division in Figure 6c.

The apparent contradiction between the results presented in this study and those reported by Edgar and Schubiger (1986) derives from the basic difference between the two sets of experiments. In the earlier study overall transcription was examined by injecting radioactive precursors into the embryo. However, these investigators were only able to look specifically at the transcription of a limited number of RNA species because they had to rely on message size to distinguish between transcripts. Any differences in behavior of subsets of genes encoding longer messages, such as the ones examined in this study, would be lost in the signal from transcription of other genes encoding messages of similar size. In addition, transcriptional competence was defined by experiments in which translation was repressed and the nuclei were locked in an interphase state for 60 min or longer. This led to the conclusion that cycle 10 nuclei were able to transcribe in the absence of new translation products if given 60 min in interphase. However, the experiment did not address whether different subsets of genes were transcribed at different times during this 60-min interphase. Therefore, these experiments did not examine the possibility that different genes might acquire competence at different interphase times.

If a temporal regulation system based on developmental time exists, what developmental event turns on the counting mechanism? Evidence that this event is nuclear migration comes from a reconsideration of the data from earlier studies on the interaction between transcription and the cell cycle. Edgar et al. (1986) compared transcription initiation and cell cycle program in haploid embryos to that in diploid embryos by monitoring the initiation of transcription of the large ribosomal precursor RNA (rRNA). They noted that there was not a perfect correlation between transcription and interphase time because a 16-min cycle 13 in the haploid embryos
was sufficient for rRNA transcription, yet a 16-min cycle 12 in the diploid embryos was not sufficient. This lack of expression was not an effect of nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio because a cycle 13 haploid embryo has about the same ratio as a cycle 12 diploid embryo. However, transcription of rRNA is initiated ~50 min after nuclear migration in both the haploids and diploids. In keeping with this proposed explanation, gastrulation movements are also initiated at the same time after migration in the haploid and diploid embryos. Further evidence for the triggering of the timing mechanism at the time of nuclear migration comes from observations made on transcription in embryos from mothers homozygous for the mutation gs(1)N26. This maternal-effect mutation causes a delayed migration of nuclei to the periphery of the posterior pole [Niki and Okada 1981]. In these embryos, the expression of the pair-rule gene fushi tarazu is also delayed at the posterior pole [L. Boring, pers. comm.]. These observations are therefore consistent with the proposal that the counting of developmental time is initiated at the time of nuclear migration for the rRNA and fushi tarazu genes.

Multiple regulatory mechanisms generate concerted developmental events

There are two basic ways to coordinate the regulation of separate developmental events. One is to have the events coordinately controlled either directly or indirectly by a single parameter. The regulation of all the events of the mitotic cycle by the level of cyclin protein is an example of such single parameter control [Murray and Kirschner 1989]. The second way is to have multiple regulatory mechanisms that act in parallel to produce coordinate developmental events. In Schizosaccharomyces pombe, there is evidence that the cyclical increase in the enzyme nucleotide diphosphate kinase and in the production of CO₂ is regulated independently of the cell cycle [Creanor and Mitchison 1986; Novak and Mitchison 1986]. In Drosophila, the zygotic expression of stg, T1, eve, and Antp is temporally coordinated in normal development. This synchrony, however, results from the regulation of stg and T1 by the nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio and of eve and Antp by some other mechanism that may depend on developmental time.

In higher organisms, studies of the influence of developmental time on regulation have involved predominantly descriptions of the morphogenetic movements [Cooke and Smith 1990]. In the cases where timing of molecular events was investigated, the embryos were generally arrested in their morphogenetic movements making it impossible to directly compare the temporal regulation of molecular and morphogenetic events in a developing system. Our observations provide a clear example of the independent temporal regulation of these events in the developing organism.

It has become increasingly clear that the single major developmental transition observed in a number of organisms may mask the existence of multiple, separate transitions that are normally regulated in parallel with one another. In Drosophila, the major developmental transition in cycle 14—activation of the zygotic genome and transition in the cell cycle program—can be subdivided into “minor” transitions that are regulated by different timing mechanisms. By altering a single parameter in the Drosophila embryo, we have shown that transcriptional activation alone requires at least two regulatory mechanisms that are responsible for the activation of subsets of the zygotic genome. Therefore, it would not be surprising to find that the underlying network for the temporal regulation of the events in embryonic development rivals the complexity of the network for spatial patterning of the embryo.

Materials and methods

Stocks, egg collections, and staging

A Sevelen wild-type stock was used for the majority of the observations. Eggs from 3- to 7-day-old female flies were collected for 1 hr on fresh media and then for 30, 15, and 15 min on 5% agar plates supplemented with acetic acid and yeast. All precollections were done at 25°C. Following the precollections, eggs were collected for 10 min at 25°C. Subsequent development was at 21–23°C.

UV irradiation

Irradiations were done with the TM36 Transilluminator from UVP, Inc. This unit produces a maximal output at ~320 nm. Irradiation was done at a dose rate of 13.5 J/m² per sec (determined with a Ys1-Rettering model 65 Radiometer) for 12–13 sec for a total dose of ~170 J/m². Embryos were hand-dechorionated on double-stick tape and arranged on paraffilm to expose prima- rily the anterior tip of the embryo to UV irradiation. Irradiation was done at 75 ± 5 min AEL (equivalent to cycle 6 or 7), and fixation times are noted in the text.

DNA injection

The injections were performed with a Leitz micromanipulator and a Wild compound microscope, according to Foe and Alberts [1983]. Embryos were hand-dechorionated on double-stick tape and lined up on a coverslip on a strip of double-stick tape glued dissolved with heptane. Drawn-out 50-μl Drummond capillary pipettes were used as injection needles. Similar effects on nuclear loss and cell cycle program were observed with DNA from a variety of different sources [J. Baker, C. Kiehle, and G. Schubiger, unpubl.]. In the experiments reported here, the DNA was a pBR322 plasmid containing the leu2 gene and regions of the 2µ plasmid from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, as well as the Drosophila Gart locus [provided by S. Henikoff]. The DNA was injected at a concentration of 50 μg/ml in injection buffer (Foe and Alberts 1983) at 45 ± 5 min AEL (cycle 3 or 4).

Developmental timing

Developmental timing of cycles 9–15 was followed by direct observation or by time-lapse video microscopy of developing embryos. Direct observations of a few embryos at a time were made by using phase-contrast optics. Observations were made every 2-3 min at 22°C. Time-lapse video microscopy was done according to Foe and Alberts [1983] with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics and the following components: a Mitutoyo video camera, a Gyrtr time-lapse video recorder, and a Koyo black/white monitor.
**In situ hybridization**

UV-irradiated and DNA-injected embryos were fixed in a bilayer of heptane and 4% formaldehyde in PBS (130 mM NaCl, 10 mM NaPO₄ at pH 7.0). UV-irradiated embryos were then devi-

tellinized in a bilayer of heptane and methanol. DNA-injected embryos were hand devi-
tellinized with tungsten needles. All embryos were then stored in ethanol at –20°C. Hybridizations were to whole embryos by using either ³²P-labeled RNA probes, as described in Edgar and O’Farrell (1989), or digoxigenin-la-

beled DNA probes, as described in Tautz and Pfeifle (1989) and modified by Rick Garber (pers. comm.). After scoring the em-

bryos into classes, as described in the text, the control and ex-

perimental embryos were compared by maximum-likelihood estimation of the equality of two multinomial distributions.
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