GENETIC DIVERSITY OF Digitaria horizontalis Willd. IN BUILT ENVIRONMENTS IN BENIN CITY, NIGERIA
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ABSTRACT

The influence of humans on biodiversity at the genetic, species, community, and ecosystem levels is enormous. This is even more impactful on plant populations, which, being immobile, are at the mercy of their immediate environment. Digitaria horizontalis is a very common grass species in Benin City. Its ruderal nature accounts mostly for its widespread. The current study investigated the existence of possible genetic diversity of the grass in built environment in Benin City. Plant samples were randomly collected from 21 built environment locations within 6 Local Government Council Areas that make up Benin City. Test plants were allowed to acclimatize in experimental bowls and then allowed to grow for 4 weeks after which morphological characteristics were determined. Genetic diversity was determined by Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA. Significant differences in plant height (33.0 – 59.0 cm) occurred when plants were compared based on location of collection. Changes in patterns of the association with weeds within the vicinity of the test plant was observed. The most prevalent plant species associated with the test plant was Pennisetum purpureum with a 12.18% prevalence. RAPD analysis using primer OPA 04 revealed a locus (about 600bp) that was absent in one or more of the locations with minimum disturbance. This 600pb fragment was however observed in most samples from highly built up and trampled environments. Primer OPA 03 showed monomorphic bands in 2 test samples with a band size of 400bp. It is therefore reported that there is an existence of the genetic diversity in a landscape of grass species (Digitaria horizontalis) found in different built environment in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.
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INTRODUCTION

Industrialization has always seemed to be the key to prosperity and a better life, but studies have shown that, while it enhances living conditions in certain respects, it has a detrimental effect on the ecosystem and, as a result, threatens plant biodiversity (Pariente, 2002). Not only does it involve technological innovations, it also involves economic and social transformation of the human society (Borrelli, 2017). With industrialization come prospects as well as challenges. The challenges include managing changes in the environment (Linares et al., 2011). Due to these challenges, industrialization must take into account plant biodiversity disturbances and its consequences (Mligo, 2011). Plants play a key role in conserving ecosystems. They are a source of food and medicinal compounds while also providing raw materials for many industries. Rapid deforestation and industrialization, however, threaten plant biodiversity. In turn, this threatens the ecosystem (Maynard et al., 2013). Biodiversity of plants ensures a resource for new food crops and medicines. Plant life balances ecosystems, protects watersheds, mitigates erosion, moderates climate, and provides shelter for many animal species (Shrestha et al., 2012). One of such plants with these characteristics is Digitaria horizontalis.

Digitaria horizontalis is a tropical plant that can be found in tropical America as well as West and Central Africa. It can grow rapidly and has developed itself as a dominant species in intensively cultivated fields (Johnson, 1997). In terms of socioeconomic importance, the plant species belongs to one of the most important classes of Poaceae. The use of D. horizontalis as a source of fodder (Harun et al., 2017) and in pharmacopoeia are also important socio-economic factors (Pare et al., 2016). It can be found in a variety of environments, but open areas or grasslands tend to have the most diversity. Disturbed areas, such as gardens and cultivated fields, are suitable habitats for these grasses. Digitaria horizontalis is widely used as a lawn plant in horticulture, where it provides aesthetic value while also aiding in the improvement of soil nutrient capability (Ruprecht et al., 2009). Decoction of the plant is used in the treatment of gonorrhea. It is used as folk remedy for cataracts and debility. It is also said to be emetic. Fiber from the plant can be used for making paper. Its forage has an excellent quality and palatability (Latty et al., 2004). The plant’s ability to grow and root makes it an ideal choice for soil erosion prevention strategies. The warm-season annual Digitaria horizontalis germinates, grows, and dies all in the same year. Each Digitaria horizontalis plant can produce up to 150,000 seeds during its growth. Those seeds remain in the field, ready to germinate the following season and repeat the cycle. Seeds that do not germinate right away can still be viable and germinate in subsequent years. These characteristics ensure its availability throughout the year.

Genetic diversity is of fundamental importance in the continuity of a species as it provides the necessary adaptation to the prevailing biotic and abiotic environmental conditions, and enables change in the genetic composition to cope with changes in the environment. Grasses are able to survive regular cutting or grazing as their growing points are situated at the base of the plant (Mishra et al., 2004). Lateral shoots (known as tillers) grow from the base of the main shoot, and when the upward growth of these is prevented by grazing; new buds are formed at their base and grow out laterally into new vegetative branches. In this way, grasses are adapted to tolerate grazing, cutting and trampling (Bell et al., 2016).

Many grassy areas are mown, especially in towns and cities. Mowing cuts the plants, and the cut material is often removed. Mowing may also have a crushing effect if heavy machinery and rollers are used. As a result of
trampling and mowing it is often easy to see a change in the height of the vegetation and both can result in changes to soil conditions as a result of compaction (Blanco et al., 2015). Plants growing on trampled areas have to be tolerant to soil compaction and its effects on soil conditions (Anonymous, n.d.). However, the species composition of the plant community will also be influenced by a number of other interacting factors (Bhuyan et al., 2003). Physical damage to plants by removal of growing tips and crushing occurs, so having the right growth form is important. Deposition of litter and dog fouling may cause changes in the soil mineral content though any change is difficult to measure without sophisticated equipment (Augusto et al., 2002). Relatively few species are likely to be able to survive when environmental conditions are extreme for example in most trampled areas where the chance of physical damage is high and the soil is very compacted. Also, in non-trampled areas where the vegetation is tall and there is strong competition for light. It is at the boundary between the two extremes that the greatest number of species is usually found (Bickham, 2013). At the edge of a trampled areas species are least affected by trampling but avoid too much competition with the more vigorously growing species. The aim of the study was to investigate possible existence of genetic diversity in a landscape grass species (Digitaria horizontalis) found in different built environment in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY AREA

Six Local Government Areas (LGAs) were visited with 20 built areas with the least altered environment. The LGAs included Ikpoba Okha, Ovia North East, Egor, Orhionmwon, Uhumnwonde, and Oredo LGAs respectively. The control site was the Botanic Garden located at Site B (or otherwise called Capitol) of the Ugbowo campus of the University of Benin, Benin City.

CAPITOL (A)
Botanic Garden located at Site B of the Ugbowo campus of the university, or otherwise referred to in the study as the Capitol is an area around the University of Benin that is minimally developed. It served as control because in comparison to other locations it did not contain buildings. Its bearing is 270°NW. It lies on latitude 6°02'01.32''N and longitude 5°36'05.32''E. This area is very rich in biodiversity.

UNIVERSITY OF BENIN HALL 1 HOSTEL (B)
This is a built area, a hostel in the University of Benin for females. It is a highly disturbed area because the grasses are always mowed, trampled and all other soil factors. It is 119°06'35.8'' and longitude 5.618'69''.

INE FILLING STATION (C)
Also located in Ovia North East L.G.A. It is a built area; a filling station. The area is highly disturbed. It serves the municipal town of Ovia North East. Its bearing is 83°E. It lies on latitude 6°20'4.35 and longitude 5°37'19.3''.
EVIDENCE CHURCH (D)
The area was water-disturbed at the time. It is a highly disturbed location. Its bearing is 83°E. It lies on latitude N6°25’24 and longitude E 5°36’6.

EGOR SECRETARIAT (E)
It is located at Uselu Market, along Mela Road. It is one of the serving eighteen L.G.A. Councils of the State. Court weddings are carried out here and other activities. This area is highly disturbed. It is constantly mowed and trampled. Its bearing is 336° NW. It lies on latitude N6°22’25 and longitude E 5°36’53.

MELA MOTEL (F)
This area is constantly trampled (especially by cars) and a very busy environment. Its bearing is 268°W. It lies on latitude 6°22’20”N and longitude 5°36’34”E.

EDAIKEN (G)
Although this area is built, it is not very disturbed. In fact, it is very rich in weed biodiversity. Its bearing is 251°SW. It is also located in Egor local government area. It lies on latitude 6°22’08”N and longitude 5°36’59”E.

EDOKPOLOR GRAMMAR SCHOOL (H)
This secondary school in Oredo L.G.A, around the New Benin axis of the State Capital. It is a highly disturbed area. This area is always trampled by vehicles and humans. Mowing is always done on this location. Its bearing is 305° NW’. It lies on latitude 6°21’18”N and longitude 5°37’46”E.

NEW CONVENANT GOSPEL CHURCH, NEW BENIN (I)
This place is highly developed and highly disturbed. Most part of the land has been graded and completely devoid of plant life. It is on a bearing of 40°NE. It lies on a latitude 6°21’20”N and longitude 5°37’44”E.

NEW BENIN CEMETERY (J)
Located around the New Benin axis, it is a built area with very rich biodiversity. It is 81°E. It lies on a latitude 6°21’19”N and longitude 5°37’34”E.

OANDO FILLING STATION (K)
This petrol filling station is located outside New Benin, just on the axis of Ikpoba Slope. It is highly disturbed with cars driving through and it is always trampled. Its bearing is 81°E. It lies on latitude 6°21’19”N and longitude 5°37’E.
IKPOBA BRIDGE, AKPAKPAVA (L)
This is the bridge that crosses the Ikpoba River along the Akpakpava/Ikpoba Slope axis. This area is very rich in biodiversity although quite disturbed. Its bearing is 230°SW. It lies on latitude 6.3 51’16”N and longitude 5.6 47’0”E.

AVBIAMA ROAD (M)
Avbiama is a disturbed area that is extremely trampled. There are a lot of shops in this area. Its bearing is 94°E. It lies on latitude N6°20’51 and longitude E 5°38’52.

OREGBENI (N)
Oregbeni samples were collected around the popular Oregbeni Market axis around Ramat Park, Ikpoba Hill. Its bearing is on 131°SE. This is an urbanized area that has lots of shops around it. It lies on latitude and longitude.

RAMAT PARK (O)
This is a highly urbanized area on the Ikpoba Hill, with a lot of activities. Trampling is extreme in this location by local township vehicles and also humans. It lies on latitude 6.3 50’06”N and longitude5.6 6 12°E.

BYPASS ROAD (P)
The bypass is off Eyean on the Benin-Auchi axis. There was no land mark around this area. Although the area is highly disturbed, there were a diverse species of grass in this area. Its bearing is 164°SE. It lies on latitude N 6° 22’ 48 and longitude E 5° 42’ 22’.

PIPELINE ROAD (Q)
A lot of human activities take place here. It has a lot of built areas ranging from shops to family homes. It is very rich in biodiversity regardless. Its bearing is 121°NW. It lies on latitude N 6° 23’ 18’ and longitude E 5°38’ 19’.

IGUOMO ROAD (R)
This is an area that has experienced trampling and other human activities. It is 268°W and it is located at Orhionmwon L.G.A. It lies on latitude N 6° 20’ 17 and longitude E 5°44’14.

REHEEBOOTHS FOOD AFFAIR (S)
This is a popular restaurant located off Iguomo area in Orhionmwon local government area. Trampling activities is prevalent in this area, especially with parked cars. Its bearing is 121°N. It lies on latitude N 6° 29’ 11’ and longitude E 5°33’ 15’

MAY-EWERE AND CO. GAS STATIONS (T)
This area is a gas station which means that it has lot of cars visiting the area. Trampling is also prevalent in this area. It is located at Orhionmwn L.G.A. Its bearing is 124°NW. It lies on latitude N 6° 25’ 12 and longitude E 5° 27’ 18’
BONEDO PETROLEUM NIGERIA LIMITED (U)
This is an area in Orhionmwon LGA. Trampling is prevalent in this area. 227°S. It lies on latitude N 6°13’ 11 and longitude E 5°25’ 14’.

COLLECTION OF PLANTS
Plants were collected from the various areas from A to U above. Three plants were uprooted each from these areas with a ball of earth. Care was taken to ensure that the plants collected did not show signs of chlorosis and necrosis. Also, the plant had not started flowering when they were uprooted and taken immediately to the Screen House.

TRANSPLANTING AND STABILIZATION
The plants were brought into already prepared experimental bowls measuring 68 m in diameter and 43 m in depth. Soils were collected from the botanical garden and were filled into nursery bags. The plants were transplanted into nursery bags to stabilize. Plants characteristics were eventually measured.

PLANT PARAMETERS ASSESSED
The cell elongation rate clearly influences overall plant height since cell division is restricted to a small portion of the shoots and roots. The prominent height was determined and was measured with a metre rule. The internode was determined as the portion of plant stem between nodes and was measured with a metre rule. Chlorophyll Content Index was determined by the aid of a chlorophyll content meter; CCM-200 plus, which is a non-destructive chlorophyll content measuring meter, which exploits the distinct optical absorbance characteristics of the chlorophyll in other to determine its relative concentration. The average meter reading of 3 leaves per plant was taken as the CCI. The leaves were counted and the total number recorded. The maximum length of inflorescence was measure from the point where the peduncle attached to the stem to the tip of the inflorescence. In order to determine the leaf area, the leaves were placed on a graph note book and traced. The area covered by the leaf on the graph trace were computed for leaf area.

RANDOM AMPLIFIED POLYMORPHIC DNA (RAPD)
DNA extraction from the leaf of test plants A – U was carried out using a ZR plant/seed DNA extraction kit according to manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, 150 mg of the test plant leaf was added to a ZR BashingBead™ containing 750 μl of the lysis solution. The leaf was then homogenized using a mini bead-beater for 10 minutes. After homogenization, the tubes were centrifuged at 10,000xg for 1 minute. 400 μl of the supernatant was transferred into a Zymo-Spin™ IV Spin Filter in a Collection Tube and centrifuged at 7,000 rpm for 1 minute. 1,200 μl of Plant/Seed DNA Binding Buffer was then be added to the filtrate in the Collection Tube. This mixture was transferred to a Zymo-Spin™ II Column in a Collection Tube, and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 minute. The flow through from the Collection Tube was discarded and 500 μl of Plant/Seed DNA Wash Buffer added to the Zymo-Spin™ II Column in a new Collection Tube and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 minute. After repeating the wash step, the column was transferred to a clean 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and 100 μl of sterile water was added directly to the column matrix after which the tubes was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 seconds to elute the DNA. Finally, the eluted DNA was filtered using a Zymo-
Spin™ IV-HRC Spin Filter into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 seconds. Spectrophotometric purity and quantification were carried out on the extracted DNA (Williams et al., 1990; Wilde et al., 1992; Barakat et al., 2010; Atak et al., 2011).

**POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION**

RAPD-PCR was carried out on the extracted DNA sample using the RAPD primer OPA 04 (5’- AAT CGG GCT G -3’) and OPA 03 (5’-AGTCAGCCAC-3’). The PCR reaction was carried out in a 20 μl reaction mixture containing 1X PCR buffer (Solis Biodyne), 2.5mM Magnesium Chloride, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 40 pMol of primer, 1U Taq DNA polymerase, 10-200 ng of DNA, and sterile deionized water was used to make up the reaction mixture (Williams et al., 1990; Barakat et al., 2010; Yaycili and Alikamanoglu, 2012). Amplification was carried out in an Eppendorf Nexus thermalcycler using the following cycling parameters; an initial denaturation at 95 ºC for 5 minutes which was followed by 40 consecutive cycles of 95 ºC for 1 minute, 30 ºC for 1 minute and 72ºC for 2 minutes. This was followed by a final extension of 72 ºC for 10 minutes. The PCR products were separated on a 1 % Agarose gel and 1 Kb DNA ladder (Fermentas) was used as DNA molecular weight standard.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Results were presented in Mean of 5 replicates. Analysis of variance in complete by randomized design was done using the SPSS® version 20 statistical software, and means were separated by using the Least Significant Difference. Plant diversity indices were determined using PAST version 2.17c (Hammer et al., 2001).

**RESULTS**

Morphological characteristics of test plant collected from the various sampling locations have been presented (Table 1). Prominent plant height ranged from 33.0 – 59.0 cm with significant differences occurring when plants were compared based on location of collection. There were no significant differences in internode of plant collected and stabilized (7.5 – 10.1 cm, p>0.05). Similarly, no significant differences in maximum length of inflorescence (cm) were observed in the study. An attempt was made to discover which of the measured plant parameters showed more variability when compared with the others (Fig. 1). Results showed that plant leaf area showed more variability.

The abundance of weeds associated with the test plant in the experimental bowls was reported (Table 2). A total of 13 plant taxa were identified to be associated with the test plant. The weeds included *Asystasia gangetica*, *Commelina erecta*, *Lindernia crustacea*, *Kyllinga nemoralis*, *Mariscus longibracteatus*, *Spigelia anthelmia*, *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Solenostemon monostachyus*, *Pennisetum purpureum*, *Cyperus esculentus*, *Axonopus fissifolius*, *Eleusine indica* and *Eragrostis amabilis*. Of the 21 locations, a total of 48 individual *Asystasia gangetica* species were identified, implying an average of 2 species per unit area (surface area is 79.14 cm²). Average plant per unit area was 1 in *Spigelia anthelmia*, *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Cyperus esculentus*, *Axonopus fissifolius*, *Eleusine indica*, and
Eragrostis amabilis respectively. Young Pennisetum purpureum plants were the most abundant per unit area (3 plants) in association with the test plant.

Prevalence of the weeds as well as index of citation was presented in Table 3. The most prevalent plant species associated with the test plant was Pennisetum purpureum (12.18%). This weed species however had a lower citation index (0.476) than Eleusine indica (0.762). The least prevalence was obtained in Cyperus esculentus (5.34%). Correspondence biplot and principal coordinates establishing relationship among sampling locations and associated weed species have been presented in Fig. 2(a) and 2(b) respectively. Results showed association of Lindernia crustacea with location K. Eleusine indica was mostly associated with O, M, and G locations. The association of the locations has been presented in Fig. 2(b).

Table 1: Plant morphological parameters at 1 month after transplanting from the various collection locations

| Sample codes | Prominent plant height (cm) | Internode (cm) | Chlorophyll content index (cci) | Number of leaves | Maximum length of inflorescence (cm) | Leaf area (cm²) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| A            | 35.0b                       | 8.0a           | 1.8b                            | 25.0ab           | 7.5a                                 | 6.4a           |
| B            | 45.0ab                      | 7.5a           | 5.2ab                           | 20.0abc          | 8.0a                                 | 9.8a           |
| C            | 55.0b                       | 8.3a           | 6.4a                            | 13.0d            | 8.4a                                 | 7.2a           |
| D            | 40.0b                       | 9.2a           | 5.2ab                           | 15.0d            | 7.2a                                 | 4.6a           |
| E            | 30.0b                       | 8.4a           | 4.5ab                           | 10.0d            | 4.5a                                 | 7.6a           |
| F            | 48.0a                       | 9.7a           | 5.1ab                           | 13.0             | 7.6a                                 | 5.6a           |
| G            | 42.0b                       | 8.4a           | 4.2ab                           | 18.0c            | 7.1a                                 | 5.0a           |
| H            | 44.0ab                      | 10.0a          | 6.3a                            | 17.0ed           | 8.5a                                 | 7.4a           |
| I            | 49.0a                       | 8.5a           | 6.6a                            | 19.0bc           | 8.9a                                 | 4.4a           |
| J            | 32.0b                       | 9.4a           | 4.7ab                           | 16.0ed           | 7.9a                                 | 9.3a           |
| K            | 39.0b                       | 8.5a           | 4.9ab                           | 23.0abc          | 6.2a                                 | 4.9a           |
| L            | 45.0ab                      | 7.9a           | 6.8a                            | 18.0bc           | 7.7a                                 | 5.8a           |
| M            | 44.0ab                      | 8.1a           | 1.8b                            | 16.0ed           | 8.2a                                 | 5.7a           |
| N            | 37.0b                       | 10.1a          | 6.1a                            | 26.0a            | 8.4a                                 | 6.2a           |
| O            | 32.0b                       | 9.3a           | 4.5ab                           | 22.0bc           | 8.1a                                 | 5.4a           |
| P            | 36.0b                       | 8.2a           | 5.7ab                           | 13.0d            | 7.9a                                 | 5.0a           |
| Q            | 41.0b                       | 8.4a           | 4.5ab                           | 18.0bc           | 8.3a                                 | 6.0a           |
| R            | 33.0b                       | 7.8a           | 6.3a                            | 21.0abc          | 8.1a                                 | 4.9a           |
| S            | 35.0b                       | 8.0a           | 5.2ab                           | 19.0ac           | 8.8a                                 | 5.7a           |
| T            | 59.0a                       | 8.7a           | 5.6ab                           | 16.0ed           | 7.2a                                 | 5.4a           |
| U            | 52.0a                       | 8.3a           | 6.7a                            | 17.0ed           | 8.3a                                 | 7.8a           |

p-value 0.281 0.437 0.368 0.002 0.179 0.224

Means on the same column with similar alphabetic superscripts do not differ from each (p>0.05)
Figure 1: Loading on principal component analysis establishing level of variability in the morphological parameters measured

Table 2: Prevalence and citation index of weed species associated with test plant

| Weed name                  | Family          | Prevalence (%) | Citation index |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Asystasia gangetica        | Acanthaceae     | 10.26          | 0.476          |
| Commelina erecta           | Commelinaceae   | 7.05           | 0.571          |
| Lindernia crustacea        | Linderniaceae   | 9.4            | 0.571          |
| Kyllinga nemoralis         | Cyperaceae      | 8.12           | 0.667          |
| Mariscus longibracteatus   | Cyperaceae      | 8.12           | 0.571          |
| Spigelia anthelina         | Longianaceae    | 6.62           | 0.571          |
| Phyllanthus amarus         | Phyllanthaceae  | 6.2            | 0.619          |
| Solenostemon monostachyus  | Lamiaceae       | 8.55           | 0.571          |
| Pennisetum purpureum       | Poaceae         | 12.18          | 0.476          |
| Cyperus esculentus         | Cyperaceae      | 5.34           | 0.667          |
| Axonopus fissifolius        | Poaceae         | 5.98           | 0.667          |
| Eleusine indica            | Poaceae         | 5.98           | 0.762          |
| Eragrostis amabilis        | Poaceae         | 6.2            | 0.571          |
Table 3: Weed abundance around the test plant per unit area (surface area of experimental bags = 79.14 cm²)

| Sample code/Weed name | Asystasia gangetica | Commelina erecta | Lindernia crustacea | Eragrostis amabilis | Spigelia anthelmia | Phyllanthus amarus | Solenostemon monostachyus | Cyperus esculentus | Eleusine indica | Eragrostis amabilis |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| A                     | 7                   | 0                | 12                  | 0                 | 0                 | 0               | 6                        | 4                 | 1              | 0                | 0                | 1              | 31             |
| B                     | 4                   | 3                | 0                   | 3                 | 0                 | 0               | 7                        | 0                 | 4              | 1                | 0                | 0              | 22             |
| C                     | 0                   | 3                | 0                   | 4                 | 0                 | 0               | 2                        | 0                 | 0              | 5                | 0                | 3              | 17             |
| D                     | 1                   | 2                | 0                   | 5                 | 0                 | 6               | 4                        | 0                 | 0              | 0                | 7                | 0              | 25             |
| E                     | 2                   | 0                | 0                   | 4                 | 0                 | 1               | 1                        | 11                | 0              | 2                | 0                | 0              | 21             |
| F                     | 0                   | 0                | 6                   | 0                 | 0                 | 3               | 2                        | 0                 | 0              | 5                | 0                | 0              | 4              |
| G                     | 0                   | 1                | 4                   | 2                 | 0                 | 0               | 0                        | 7                 | 2              | 0                | 0                | 0              | 16             |
| H                     | 7                   | 0                | 5                   | 0                 | 0                 | 4               | 1                        | 0                 | 0              | 0                | 0                | 0              | 17             |
| I                     | 0                   | 1                | 1                   | 0                 | 6                 | 0               | 0                        | 3                 | 0              | 9                | 0                | 0              | 20             |
| J                     | 0                   | 5                | 0                   | 9                 | 0                 | 6               | 0                        | 0                 | 4              | 0                | 7                | 0              | 31             |
| K                     | 3                   | 0                | 8                   | 0                 | 0                 | 6               | 0                        | 2                 | 0              | 0                | 3                | 0              | 22             |
| L                     | 0                   | 0                | 4                   | 0                 | 3                 | 0               | 0                        | 6                 | 0              | 8                | 0                | 2              | 23             |
| M                     | 5                   | 0                | 0                   | 0                 | 1                 | 4               | 0                        | 7                 | 2              | 0                | 0                | 3              | 22             |
| N                     | 0                   | 6                | 1                   | 0                 | 5                 | 0               | 3                        | 8                 | 0              | 0                | 0                | 0              | 23             |
| O                     | 7                   | 0                | 0                   | 5                 | 1                 | 2               | 0                        | 6                 | 0              | 8                | 0                | 2              | 29             |
| P                     | 0                   | 5                | 0                   | 3                 | 0                 | 1               | 0                        | 9                 | 0              | 0                | 2                | 0              | 0              |
| Q                     | 10                  | 0                | 0                   | 0                 | 0                 | 3               | 5                        | 1                 | 0              | 0                | 0                | 1              | 20             |
| R                     | 0                   | 0                | 3                   | 0                 | 8                 | 2               | 0                        | 0                 | 0              | 0                | 7                | 5              | 25             |
| S                     | 0                   | 7                | 0                   | 0                 | 8                 | 0               | 0                        | 5                 | 0              | 1                | 3                | 0              | 24             |
| T                     | 1                   | 0                | 0                   | 5                 | 0                 | 2               | 0                        | 8                 | 0              | 4                | 0                | 0              | 3              |
| U                     | 1                   | 0                | 9                   | 0                 | 0                 | 3               | 0                        | 4                 | 0              | 0                | 0                | 0              | 17             |
| Total                 | 48                  | 33               | 44                  | 38                | 38                | 31              | 29                       | 40                | 57             | 25               | 28               | 28             | 468            |

*Mean/ unit area

*Mean is rounded off to the nearest integer
Figure 2: Correspondence biplot (A) and Principal coordinates establishing relationship (B) among sampling locations and associated weed species.
Table 4: Diversity indices of weed species associated with test plant collected from various sampling locations

| Taxa_S | Individuals | Dominance_D | Simpson_1-D | Shannon_H | Evenness_e^H/S | Brillouin | Menhinick | Margalef | Equitability_J | Fisher_alpha | Berger-Parker | Chao-1 |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------|
| A      | 6           | 31          | 0.26        | 0.74      | 1.51           | 0.75      | 0.129     | 1.08      | 1.46           | 0.84         | 2.22          | 0.39   | 7.00 |
| B      | 6           | 22          | 0.21        | 0.79      | 1.67           | 0.88      | 1.36      | 1.28      | 1.62           | 0.93         | 2.72          | 0.32   | 6.00 |
| C      | 5           | 17          | 0.22        | 0.78      | 1.56           | 0.96      | 1.25      | 1.21      | 1.41           | 0.97         | 2.39          | 0.29   | 5.00 |
| D      | 6           | 25          | 0.21        | 0.79      | 1.65           | 0.86      | 1.37      | 1.20      | 1.55           | 0.92         | 2.50          | 0.28   | 6.00 |
| E      | 6           | 21          | 0.33        | 0.67      | 1.39           | 0.67      | 1.11      | 1.31      | 1.64           | 0.78         | 2.81          | 0.52   | 6.33 |
| F      | 5           | 20          | 0.23        | 0.78      | 1.54           | 0.94      | 1.27      | 1.12      | 1.34           | 0.96         | 2.14          | 0.30   | 5.00 |
| G      | 5           | 16          | 0.29        | 0.71      | 1.40           | 0.81      | 1.10      | 1.25      | 1.44           | 0.87         | 2.50          | 0.44   | 5.00 |
| H      | 4           | 17          | 0.31        | 0.69      | 1.23           | 0.86      | 1.00      | 0.97      | 1.06           | 0.89         | 1.65          | 0.41   | 4.00 |
| I      | 5           | 20          | 0.32        | 0.68      | 1.31           | 0.74      | 1.06      | 1.12      | 1.34           | 0.81         | 2.14          | 0.45   | 6.00 |
| J      | 5           | 31          | 0.22        | 0.78      | 1.57           | 0.96      | 1.36      | 0.90      | 1.17           | 0.98         | 1.69          | 0.29   | 5.00 |
| K      | 5           | 22          | 0.25        | 0.75      | 1.48           | 0.88      | 1.23      | 1.07      | 1.29           | 0.92         | 2.02          | 0.36   | 5.00 |
| L      | 5           | 23          | 0.24        | 0.76      | 1.50           | 0.90      | 1.25      | 1.04      | 1.28           | 0.93         | 1.97          | 0.35   | 5.00 |
| M      | 6           | 22          | 0.21        | 0.79      | 1.64           | 0.86      | 1.34      | 1.28      | 1.62           | 0.92         | 2.72          | 0.32   | 6.00 |
| N      | 5           | 23s         | 0.26        | 0.74      | 1.45           | 0.85      | 1.21      | 1.04      | 1.28           | 0.90         | 1.97          | 0.35   | 5.00 |
| O      | 6           | 29          | 0.21        | 0.79      | 1.63           | 0.85      | 1.38      | 1.11      | 1.49           | 0.91         | 2.30          | 0.28   | 6.00 |
| P      | 5           | 20          | 0.30        | 0.70      | 1.37           | 0.79      | 1.11      | 1.12      | 1.34           | 0.85         | 2.14          | 0.45   | 5.00 |
| Q      | 5           | 20          | 0.34        | 0.66      | 1.28           | 0.72      | 1.03      | 1.12      | 1.34           | 0.79         | 2.14          | 0.50   | 6.00 |
| R      | 5           | 25          | 0.24        | 0.76      | 1.50           | 0.90      | 1.26      | 1.00      | 1.24           | 0.93         | 1.88          | 0.32   | 5.00 |
| S      | 5           | 24          | 0.26        | 0.74      | 1.45           | 0.85      | 1.21      | 1.02      | 1.26           | 0.90         | 1.92          | 0.33   | 5.00 |
| T      | 6           | 23          | 0.23        | 0.78      | 1.62           | 0.84      | 1.33      | 1.25      | 1.60           | 0.90         | 2.64          | 0.35   | 6.00 |
| U      | 4           | 17          | 0.37        | 0.63      | 1.15           | 0.79      | 0.93      | 0.97      | 1.06           | 0.83         | 1.65          | 0.53   | 4.00 |

Key: A is the control location, Capitol. B is Uniben Hall 1 hostel. C is Ine filling station. D is Evidence church. E is Egor local government secretariat. F is Mela motels. G is Ediaken. H is Edokpolor grammar school. I is new convenant gospel church. J is cemetery. K is Oando filling station. L is Ikpobabridge, Akpakpava. M is Avbiama. N is Oregheni. O is Ramat park. P is bye-pass. Q is Pipeline road. R is Iguomorad. S is Rehoboths food affair. T is May-Ewere and Co. gas station. U is Bonedo petroleum Nigeria limited.
The genetic diversity of the test plant from different locations was assessed using RAPD marker. Genomic DNA was extracted from the test plants collected from 21 locations. The gel showed smeared DNA due to the weight of the DNA molecule extracted. Extract from location P appeared to be very faint while sample Q had the most intense smeared band (Figure 3). RAPD analysis was done using primer OPA 04 at an optimized annealing temperature. There were polymorphic bands in test samples I, J and K. The polymorphic pattern varied in sample J. Banding pattern of K was different, having a fragment of about 600bp absent in J. Another band of about 250bp was unique to sample J (Figure 4). Test samples from locations Q and R had monomorphic bands of 400bp which was not unique to Q and R but absent in P (Figure 5). The most diverse test samples were from location I, K, L, M and O having the highest polymorphism. There was no amplification in samples A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, S, T and U. Primer OPA 03 was also used in another RAPD polymerase chain reaction at an optimized annealing temperature. There were monomorphic bands in test samples J and P. The band size was about 400bp.

![Figure 3: Gel photograph of genomic DNA extracted from test plant from 21 locations (A - U)](image)
**Figure 4:** Gel photograph of RAPD analysis using primer OPA 04 and template from the test plant from 11 locations (A-k)

**Figure 5:** Gel photograph of RAPD analysis using primer OPA 04 and template from the test plant from 10 locations (L-U)
DISCUSSION

The genetic variation of an entire species is often called genetic diversity. Plant immediate environment play an important role in the growth and development of the plant (Upadhyaya et al., 2013). Height of a plant is essential in determining the plants life span and time to maturity. It is a major determinant of a species’ ability to compete for light (Moles et al., 2009). The height parameter is an essential trait in plant breeding and cultivar development. In this study, significant variation was observed in the height of test plants collected from various locations. Though, the internode and length of inflorescence across location did not vary significantly (Table 1). The differences in plant height may be attributed to the effect of alterations in various environments or locations examined. A similar observation was reported in the height of maize plants (Sabiel et al., 2014).

Understanding the genetic diversity of the test plant (crab grass) in relation to the various environments studied usually includes its association with other plants or organisms. This study identified weeds associated with the test plant from the sampled locations and the weed abundance. This was to further determine the level of variation of the plants between locations (Musa and Ikhajiagbe, 2020). *Pennisetum purpureum* was the most abundant weed across the built-up locations. Some locations with less human activity and more activity recorded none or minimal emergence of *P. purpureum* in pots planted out with test plants. This observation may be due to the absence or reduced presence of elephant grass seeds in the rhizophere of the test plants from the locations. It is however evident that the variation in the locations examined did not correlate with the type and abundance of weed observed.

RAPD markers were assessed in the test plants to reveal possible genetic diversity across locations. It was observed that primer OPA 04 revealed a locus (about 600bp) that was absent in location J with minimum disturbance. Location J is a cemetery and it is not regularly trampled. This 600 pb fragment was however observed in most samples from highly built up and trampled environment e.g locations I and K (See figure 4). It may be inferred that the man-
made activities in these locations examined was responsible for the presence of the 600bp band in the test plants from those locations. This marker indicates variability in the genome of the test plants across the locations investigated. The samples with the highest polymorphism were from locations characterized by commercial activities and it may be deduced that the test genotypes obtained from these locations had denser genetic base when compared with plants from the control location, cemetery and probably those samples without amplification during PCR with OPA04. Further genetic studies in the test plants will definitely unravel more information on possible mutation in the plants examined.

CONCLUSION

The result obtained from this study indicated that there was genetic diversity among Digitaria horizontalis that were obtained from the different built areas investigated in Benin City. It simply means that human activities affect the genetic diversity of this plant.
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