Altered Muscle Contributions are Required to Support the Stance Limb During Voluntary Toe-Walking
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Toe-walking characterizes several neuromuscular conditions and is associated with a reduction in gait stability and efficiency, as well as in life quality. The optimal choice of treatment depends on a correct understanding of the underlying pathology and on the individual biomechanics of walking. The objective of this study was to describe gait deviations occurring in a cohort of healthy adult subjects when mimicking a unilateral toe-walking pattern compared to their normal heel-to-toe gait pattern. The focus was to characterize the functional adaptations of the major lower-limb muscles which are required in order to toe walk. Musculoskeletal modeling was used to estimate the required muscle contributions to the joint sagittal moments. The support moment, defined as the sum of the sagittal extensive moments at the ankle, knee, and hip joints, was used to evaluate the overall muscular effort necessary to maintain stance limb stability and prevent the collapse of the knee. Compared to a normal heel-to-toe gait pattern, toe-walking was characterized by significantly different lower-limb kinematics and kinetics. The altered kinetic demands at each joint translated into different necessary moment contributions from most muscles. In particular, an earlier and prolonged ankle plantarflexion contribution was required from the soleus and gastrocnemius during most of the stance phase. The hip extensors had to provide a higher extensive moment during loading response, while a significantly higher knee extension contribution from the vasti was necessary during mid-stance. Compensatory muscular activations are therefore functionally required at every joint level in order to toe walk. A higher support moment during toe-walking indicates an overall higher muscular effort necessary to maintain stance limb stability and prevent the collapse of the knee. Higher muscular demands during gait may lead to fatigue, pain, and reduced quality of life. Toe-walking is indeed associated with significantly larger muscle forces exerted by the quadriceps to the patella and prolonged force transmission through the Achilles tendon during stance phase. Optimal treatment options should therefore account for muscular demands and potential overloads associated with specific compensatory mechanisms.
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INTRODUCTION

Heel-striking is considered a vital feature of a normal gait pattern. The gait of most children reaches a fairly mature pattern approximately one year after the initiation of walking (Bertsch et al., 2004). During the first year of bipedal walking, typically developing children learn to heel-strike, allowing them to walk more efficiently and stabilize their motion (Halleman et al., 2006; Zeininger et al., 2018). In some children, however, a heel-strike pattern is either not developed at all or lost during the maturation of gait. These children may remain on forefoot during all phases of the gait cycle, or the heel may touch the ground only at a later point later during the step. These forefoot or flatfoot patterns are referred to as toe-walking. Toe-walking is observed in children diagnosed with cerebral palsy (CP), autism, and various other neurologic and orthopedic pathologies (Schweizer et al., 2013). In the absence of neurologic, orthopedic or psychiatric diseases, it is referred to as idiopathic toe-walking (ITW) (Engelbert et al., 2011). In patients affected by CP, alterations of the gait pattern persist until adulthood, often determining a decline in mobility (Morgan and McGlinley, 2013). Toe-walking is also observed in adults who suffered a stroke (Balaban and Tok, 2014) or a traumatic brain injury (TBI) (Williams et al., 2009). Both stroke and TBI patients can present equinus foot deformities which are associated with altered joint kinematics, reduced walking speed, and difficulties in foot clearance (Kinsella and Moran, 2008; Fock et al., 2009; Boudarham et al., 2013; Schwachmeyer et al., 2013; Manca et al., 2014). Poor foot placement control can also lead to instability during gait and an increased risk of falling (Dean and Kautz, 2015). During toe-walking, stability is reduced because of the smaller contact area between the foot and the ground (Perry et al., 2003). Furthermore, several studies have reported complaints of fatigue and pain during walking tasks in toe-walkers (Baleman et al., 2015; Ariksson-Schmidt and Hägglund, 2016; Eken et al., 2019), which may lead to a reduced health-related quality of life (HR-QoL) (Williams and Haines, 2015). A better understanding of the energetic demands associated with abnormal gait patterns could help establish intervention strategies that prevent fatigue and the deterioration of gait (Opheim et al., 2009; Lundh et al., 2018).

Toe-walkers present alterations in gait kinematics and kinetics at various joints in the lower limb (Winters et al., 1987; Kelly et al., 1997; Schlough et al., 2020). These kinematic changes are associated with premature and prolonged electromyographic (EMG) activity of the ankle plantarflexors (Kalen et al., 1986; Perry et al., 2003). Similar lower-limb joint kinetics and EMG activities were also observed in able-bodied subjects during voluntary toe-walking (Davids et al., 1999; Kerrigan et al., 2000; Perry et al., 2003; Romkes and Brunner, 2007). Romkes and Brunner (Romkes and Brunner, 2007) observed similar gastrocnemius and tibialis anterior EMG activity between voluntary and obligatory unilateral toe-walking, suggesting that the altered activations of these muscles might be required to meet the altered kinetic demands at the ankle and could therefore be partially regarded as muscle activity which is necessary in order to toe-walk. Kerrigan et al. (Kerrigan et al., 2000) observed a reduction in peak joint moments at the ankle and the knee during toe-walking, suggesting that it might require less muscle strength compared to normal a heel-to-toe gait. Perry et al. (Perry et al., 2003), on the other hand, observed an increase in mean and peak EMG activity of the plantarflexors during voluntary toe-walking, despite a reduction in peak internal plantarflexion moment – and therefore in the expected plantarflexor muscle forces. The increase in muscle EMG intensity was thought to be a consequence of a reduction in the force-generation capacity of the calf muscles when the ankle was in a plantarflexed position, in line with previous studies (Herman and Bragin, 1967; Hoy et al., 1990). Computational approaches have also been used to characterize the different contributions of the lower-limb muscles to body weight support and propulsion, showing that not only the ankle plantarflexors but also knee extensors, knee flexors, and hip extensors present an altered function during toe-walking (Sasaki et al., 2008).

Gait analysis has evolved over recent years, notably through the use of musculoskeletal modeling in clinical settings (Smith et al., 2021). Musculoskeletal modeling represents a valuable non-invasive tool to estimate the internal body loads, which could not be directly measured otherwise (Vigotsky et al., 2019; Imani Nejad et al., 2020). Modeling estimates showed good agreement with experimentally measured quantities, such as joint loads from instrumented prostheses and muscle activations from EMGs (Lund et al., 2012; Marra et al., 2014; De Pieri et al., 2018, 2019; Lunn et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). Through conventional gait analysis and inverse dynamics, we are able to compute kinetic parameters such as net joint moments and joint powers, which provide us insight into the function of various muscle groups in healthy and pathological gait patterns (Sloot and van der Krogt, 2018). With current musculoskeletal modeling approaches, however, it is possible to additionally predict how the load is shared across individual muscles during a given motion (Erdemir et al., 2007; Andersen, 2021). This approach is particularly useful for the analysis of human locomotion (Sylvester et al., 2021) and reveals important information about the functional role of specific muscles, particularly of the biarticular ones (Thelen et al., 2011; Seth et al., 2018). Therefore, analyzing the muscular demands associated with toe-walking using musculoskeletal modeling could provide meaningful information for the clinical management of conditions characterized by this walking pattern.

Furthermore, a synthesis parameter that could provide an insight into the required muscular demands during gait is the support moment. This parameter was first introduced by D. Winter in 1980 and was defined as the sum of the sagittal extensive moments at the ankle, knee, and hip joints (Winter 1980). The support moment quantifies how much the limb is pushing away from the ground and can be used to estimate the muscular demands necessary to prevent a collapse of the stance limb during walking (Winter 2009). In 2000, A.L. Hof provided a mechanical interpretation for this concept, demonstrating that a slightly reformulated definition of the support moment was responsible for preventing the collapse of the knee due to external forces (Hof, 2000). The support moment seems...
well-suited to investigate the required overall extensive muscular effort to maintain stance limb stability in pathological populations (Wyers et al., 2021).

The aim of this study was to understand and characterize, from a biomechanical perspective, gait deviations occurring during voluntary unilateral toe-walking, compared to normal gait, in a cohort of healthy volunteers. In particular, the focus was to describe the functional contributions of the major flexor and extensor muscles to the kinetics of gait. For this purpose, required muscle contributions to the joint sagittal moments were calculated using musculoskeletal modeling. The analysis of healthy individuals provides a unique insight on the muscular demands solely related to the specific gait pattern, independently of any underlying neuromuscular control disorder. As toe-walking alters the alignment of the stance limb relatively the ground reaction forces (GRF), functional adaptations for posture and movement control are expected in the lower-limb muscles to counteract these external forces. It was therefore hypothesized that toe-walking is associated with higher demands on the lower-limb muscles compared to normal walking. Additionally, the support moment is suggested as a synthetic indicator of the overall muscular demands during stance, and further clarifications about its physical meaning are provided.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants and Gait Analysis**

Nine healthy adult subjects (four males, five females; age 30.1 ± 3.7 years) without a history of neurologic or orthopedic disorders underwent kinematic (motion capture system: Vicon Motion Systems Ltd., Oxford, UK) and kinetic (force platforms: Kistler Group, Winterthur, CH) 3D gait analysis, as part of a previous study (Romkes and Brunner, 2007). Gait data were acquired barefoot at a self-selected speed using the Plug-in Gait lower-body marker-set (Kadaba et al., 1990). One of the ten healthy subjects originally included in Romkes and Brunner (2007) was excluded due to an incomplete set of markers. Subjects were first tested during normal walking and were then shown a sagittal plane video of a patient with unilateral CP. They were asked to mimic the unilateral toe walking pattern seen in the video. The subjects practiced until obtaining a reproducible pattern, while care was taken by the investigators to ensure that knee and ankle positions were correct. Four to six walking trials were measured for each walking modality per subject. Further details on the experimental data collection can be found in Romkes and Brunner (2007).

**Musculoskeletal Modeling**

Marker trajectories and ground reaction force (GRF) data were used as input for an inverse dynamics analysis in the AnyBody Modeling System (AnyBody Technology, Denmark) (Damsgaard et al., 2006). Individual models for each subject were created from a detailed musculoskeletal model of the lower limb (Carbone et al., 2015; De Pieri et al., 2018), which was scaled to match the overall anthropometrics and the marker data collected during a standing reference trial (Lund et al., 2015).

Each hip joint was modeled as a 3-degrees of freedom (DOF) ball-and-socket joint, while knee and talocrural joints were modeled as 1-DOF hinges. The position of the patella was defined as a function of the knee flexion angle, while the motion of the subtalar joint was restricted due to the reduced number of markers on the foot segment (one heel- and one toe-marker). Muscles were modeled as Hill-type actuators, with calibrated tendon slack-length (Heinen et al., 2016) and instantaneous muscle strength that followed force-length and force-velocity relationships (Hill, 1938; Zajac, 1989; Andersen, 2021).

Joint kinematics were first computed from the measured marker trajectories (Andersen et al., 2009) and were reported in anatomical coordinate systems according to the International Society for Biomechanics’ (ISB) recommendations (Wu et al., 2002). An inverse dynamics analysis, based on a third-order-polynomial muscle recruitment criterion, was then performed to calculate the required muscle activations and forces, as well as the resulting joint moments (Andersen, 2021). At each joint level, the internal net sagittal moment was calculated in the proximal coordinate system according to ISB recommendations (Derrick et al., 2020). In each lower-limb joint, sagittal plane rotations were unconstrained, therefore the internal sagittal joint moment is equal to the sum of the sagittal moments generated by all the muscles spanning the joint:

$$M_{Internal}^{sagittal} = \sum_{m_j} M_{m_j}^{sagittal}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The contribution of each joint-spanning muscle to the joint net sagittal moment was computed as the product of the force exerted by the muscle times the distance of its instantaneous line of action from the center of rotation of the joint (De Pieri et al., 2018). For biarticular muscles, the contributions to the net sagittal moments around both joints were computed. Additionally, the force exerted by the quadriceps muscles on the patella and the force transmitted by the triceps surae to the Achilles tendon were calculated.

**Support and Progression Moments**

The support moment $M_S$ was calculated as a weighted sum of the lower-limb joints sagittal extensive moments, according to the definition by A.L. Hof (Hof, 2000), in which the individual sagittal moments around the ankle ($M_{A}$), knee ($M_{K}$), and hip ($M_{H}$), were considered positive when extensive. This definition of the support moment is equal to the component of the GRF acting in the direction of the hip-ankle line ($F_{p}$) times knee eccentricity ($q$):

$$M_s = \frac{1}{2} M_A + M_K + \frac{1}{2} M_H = F_p * q.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

As a corollary of this interpretation, it was suggested that the component of the GRF acting transversally to the direction of the hip-ankle line ($F_{T}$) is determined by the difference between hip and ankle sagittal moments divided by the distance between hip and ankle ($p$). We defined the difference between hip and ankle moments as progression moment $M_p$:

$$M_p = M_{Hip} - M_{Ankle} = F_T * p.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
The derivation of these relationships is reported in Hof (2000). The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths. The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths. The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths. The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths. The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths. The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths. The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths. The complete geometrical construction used trigonometric relationships, under the assumption that the thigh and shank have equal lengths.

\[
M_p = \sum_{m_{kl}} M_{m_{kl}} - \sum_{m_k} M_{m_k} = -M_{\text{Sol}_A} - M_{\text{Gas}_A} - M_{\text{TibAnt}_A} + M_{\text{GlatMax}_A} + M_{\text{HamMax}} + [\ldots].
\]

Data Analysis

Gait trials were processed and analyzed through the toolkit AnyPyTools (Lund et al., 2019) in the Python programming language (Python Software Foundation). The analysis of joint kinematics and joint kinetics, including muscle contributions, was focused on the sagittal plane as the plane of forward motion. Joint moments, muscle contributions to the joint moments, and support and progression moments were normalized by body mass. The muscle forces acting on the patella and the Achilles tendon were normalized by body weight (BW). Kinematic data (angles) were time-normalized during the gait cycle (GC) from foot strike (0%) to foot strike (100%), while kinetic data (moments and forces) were time-normalized for the duration of the loaded stance phase (ST), from foot strike (0%) to foot off (100%). Averages per subject were then calculated based on the four to six collected trials.

Statistical Parametric Mapping Analysis

Differences between normal walking and voluntary toe-walking were analyzed through statistical parametric mapping (SPM; www.spm1D.org, v0.43) (Friston et al., 1994; Pataky, 2012) for joint kinematics, joint moments, muscle contributions to the joint moments, muscle forces, and for support and progression moments. Due to the reduced number of tested subjects (n = 9), non-parametric (Pataky et al., 2015) two-tailed paired t-tests were used to identify statistically significant differences between the two walking modalities.

The comparison between support and progression moments and their respective physical equivalents, \( F_p \times q \) and \( F_f \times p \), was carried out through non-parametric, two-tailed, two-sample t-tests.

The output test statistic—SnPM[t]—was evaluated at each point of GC or ST. The significance level was set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \), and the corresponding critical thresholds—\( t^* \)—were calculated based on the temporal smoothness of the input data through random field theory. The probability that similar suprathreshold regions would have occurred from equally smooth random waveforms was then calculated. In the interest of clarity, only differences that were statistically significant for more than 2% of GC or ST are discussed.

RESULTS

Lower-Limb Kinematics

The subjects participating in this study were able to successfully reproduce a toe-walking pattern similar to the one they were shown in a video of a unilateral CP patient. In the sagittal plane, their voluntary toe-walking pattern was characterized by an initial toe-contact, and the foot-floor inclination angle significantly differed from their normal gait pattern throughout.
the GC, with the heel never touching the ground (Figure 2). During toe-walking, the ankle was significantly more plantarflexed during the whole GC, the knee was more flexed from mid-swing until terminal stance (81–47% GC), the hip was more flexed from mid-swing until loading response and during mid-stance (80–10% and 21–54% GC), and the pelvis was more anteriorly tilted from mid-stance until mid-swing (28–74% and 79–90% GC).

Joint Moments and Muscle Contributions

When walking on their toes, the subjects also presented statistically significant differences in the internal net sagittal joint moments compared to their normal gait pattern. These kinetic differences translated into altered required moment contributions in the sagittal plane from the muscles spanning each joint.

At the ankle (Figure 3A), toe-walking was associated with a significantly larger net extension (plantarflexion) moment during loading response and mid-stance (0–44% ST) and with a reduced extension moment during terminal-stance and push-off (52–90% ST). As a consequence of the altered kinetics, toe-walking required an earlier and larger extensive contribution during loading response and mid-stance from the gastrocnemius (0–38% ST) and the soleus (6–46% ST), while the gastrocnemius also provided a reduced contribution to the peak extension moment during terminal-stance and push-off (46–82% and 86–93% ST). The tibialis anterior did not provide any flexion (dorsiflexion) contribution during initial contact and loading response (0–16% ST) when toe-walking. Additional statistically significant differences in soleus (0–3% ST) and tibialis anterior (36–38% and 82–94% ST) were characterized by negligible magnitudes in both walking modalities.

At the knee (Figure 3B), the subjects presented a longer-lasting net flexion moment during loading response, decreased during 0–2% ST and increased during 6–13% ST when toe-walking. From mid- to terminal-stance (46–83% ST), the flexion moment was significantly reduced. No statistically significant differences were found during early mid-stance when the net sagittal moment was extensive for normal walking and toe-walking. In terms of muscles’ contributions to the knee net sagittal moment, the gastrocnemius provided a larger flexion moment during the first half from loading response (1–36% ST) and a reduced flexion moment during mid-stance to push-off (42–93% ST) when toe-walking. A significantly larger extension moment was required from the vasti during mid-stance (41–58% ST) when walking on the toes, while their extensive contribution was almost null during this phase while normal walking. The peak extension moment from the vasti occurred for both walking modalities during loading response and was characterized by a larger mean value and a broader variability during toe-walking, albeit not significantly different (0.88 ± 0.44 Nm/kg at 23% ST during toe walking vs 0.65 ± 0.28 Nm/kg at 22% ST during normal walking). The hamstrings provided a reduced but longer-lasting flexion contribution during loading response (lower during 0–3% ST and increased during 8–18% ST). The rectus femoris provided a significantly larger extension contribution during initial contact (0–6% ST) but of negligible magnitude.
At the hip (Figure 3C), toe-walking was characterized by a larger and delayed net extension moment during loading response (lower during 0–5% ST and increased during 11–18% ST) and by a reduced net flexion moment during terminal-stance (73–82% ST). When toe-walking, the gluteus maximus provided a larger contribution to the extension moment during initial stance (0–2% and 8–17% ST), similarly to the adductor magnus (11–21% ST), while the hamstrings provided a reduced extensive contribution during initial contact (0–4% ST) and a slightly increased one during loading response (11–21% ST). The significant flexing contribution of the rectus femoris (0–5% ST) was of negligible magnitude.

Support Moment
Toe-walking was associated with a significantly higher support moment during mid-stance (34–65% ST) (Figure 4A), as a consequence of the altered joint sagittal moments (Figure 4B).

The support moment calculated according to Hof’s formulation showed a similar trend and comparable magnitude with the product of the GRF component acting in the direction of the hip-ankle line ($F_P$) times knee eccentricity ($q$) (Figure 4C). Statistically significant differences between $M_S$ and $F_Pq$ were found during the initial transition phase and pre-swing (0–4% and 71–100% ST for normal walking, 0–6% and 72–100% ST for toe-walking). This confirms that a weighted sum of the three lower-limb joint moments is responsible for preventing the collapse of the knee during stance.

When looking at the contribution of the major lower-limb muscles to the support moment during normal walking (Figure 6A), it emerges that the hamstrings briefly provide extensive vertical support during initial heel-strike while the other major hip extensors – gluteus maximus and adductor magnus – immediately follow and provide some support throughout the initial phases of stance. The largest contributor during loading response is the vasti, with a synergistic contribution from the rectus femoris. Approximatively around
20% ST, the soleus also starts providing a positive contribution to the support moment, and it becomes the dominant contributor from mid-stance until push-off. From mid-to terminal-stance, the rectus femoris also plays a significant supporting role. During terminal stance, the mean support moment across the cohort becomes negative (~60–80% ST), corresponding with the peak knee flexion moment (Figure 4B). During this phase, the hamstrings and the gastrocnemius provide a net negative contribution to the support moment. During pre-swing, the vasti work again synergistically with the rectus femoris and the soleus to provide a net positive support moment.

During toe-walking, the hamstrings provide a prolonged negative contribution during the initial foot contact until loading response. This is counteracted by a synchronous positive contribution from the gluteus maximus and the adductor magnus. The soleus is required to provide a positive and large contribution already during loading response and lasting until push-off. The vasti remain the largest contributors to the support moment, and their activation is also continuously required from load acceptance throughout ST. The rectus femoris contribution is also qualitatively reduced, particularly during push-off. The mean support moment remains positive throughout ST when toe-walking, despite a negative contribution from the hamstring during terminal stance. The gastrocnemius provides a small positive contribution during terminal stance.

**Progression Moment**

Toe-walking was associated with a significantly reduced peak backward progression moment during the initial foot contact and loading response (0–40% ST) (Figure 5A). The mean progression moment becomes forward-oriented at 14% ST during toe-walking, compared to normal walking when this transition occurs at 31% ST. This was determined by an earlier forward contribution by the ankle sagittal moment (Figure 5B). From mid- to terminal-stance, toe-walking was characterized by a significantly reduced peak forward progression moment (53–89% ST).

The progression moment, defined as a corollary of Hof’s interpretation, showed a similar trend and comparable magnitude with the product of the GRF component acting transversally to the direction of the hip-ankle line ($F_T$) times the distance between hip and ankle ($p$) (Figure 5C). Statistically significant differences between $M_F$ and $F_T\times p$ were found during the transitions between stance and swing phases (0–2% and 92–100% ST for normal walking, 0–2% and 93–100% ST for
toe-walking), as well as during 11–24% ST for normal walking and 26–41% ST for toe-walking. This suggests that only ankle- and hip-spanning muscles are counteracting the external forces acting transversally to the stance leg.

The muscles’ contributions to the progression moment were qualitatively different between normal walking and toe-walking (Figure 6B). During normal walking, in order to counteract the forward-oriented $F_{T+p}$ (Figure 5C), the hamstrings provide a backward contribution during the initial heel-strike. Their contribution remains present albeit reduced during most of the stance phase. The other hip extensors – gluteus maximus and adductor magnus – immediately follow and provide a backward contribution during loading response, together with the tibialis anterior. This highlights a synergistic effect of hip extensors and ankle dorsiflexors in early stance in slowing down the anterior motion of the leg. From mid-stance, the ankle plantarflexors – soleus and gastrocnemius – provide a forward contribution to the progression moment (counteracting the posteriorly oriented $F_{T+p}$), as does the iliopsoas during pre-swing. The forward contribution of the rectus is smaller compared to the iliopsoas. There is an overall synergistic action of ankle plantarflexors and hip flexors in rotating the leg forward.

During toe-walking, the hamstring provided a relatively larger backward contribution for a prolonged period of ST. The lack of tibialis anterior backward contribution is compensated by a larger relative contribution of all hip extensors during early stance. At the same time, the soleus and the gastrocnemius provide a forward contribution already during the early stance. The hip extensors and the ankle plantarflexors are therefore acting antagonistically during the initial phases of ST when toe-walking. During mid-stance to late stance, the forward contribution to the progression moment of the plantarflexors remains substantial, and it is strengthened by a synergistic effect of the iliopsoas and to a smaller extent of the rectus femoris during pre-swing.

### Muscle Forces on Patella and Achilles Tendon

Toe-walking was associated with significantly larger muscle forces exerted by the quadriceps to the patella during mid-stance (49–56% ST) (Figure 7A). The significantly higher muscle forces during initial foot contact (0–3% ST) were of negligible magnitude.
Regarding the muscle forces exerted from the triceps surae to the calcaneus (Figure 7B), toe-walking was also characterized by a prolonged force transmission through the Achilles tendon, with higher forces during early stance (2–39% ST) and a reduced peak force during terminal-stance (48–92% ST).

**DISCUSSION**

The objective of this study was to describe the gait deviations occurring in a cohort of healthy subjects when they are voluntarily walking on their toes compared to their normal heel-to-toe gait pattern. The focus was to characterize the functional adaptations of the major lower-limb muscles which are required in order to toe walk. The role and importance of each muscle to the kinetics of gait was assessed by estimating its required contribution to the joint net sagittal moments using musculoskeletal modeling. Additionally, the concept of the support moment was used to evaluate the overall muscular effort necessary to maintain stance limb stability and prevent the collapse of the knee due to external forces (winter 1980, 2009; Hof, 2000).

Compared to a normal heel-to-toe gait pattern, toe-walking was characterized by significantly different lower-limb joint kinematics and kinetics (Table 1). The altered kinetic demands at each joint translated into different required moment contributions from most flexor and extensor muscles. In particular, an earlier and prolonged ankle plantarflexion contribution was required from the soleus and gastrocnemius during most of the stance phase. On the other hand, the tibialis anterior did not provide any dorsiflexion contribution during the initial stance phase when toe-walking. At the knee, a reduced net
**FIGURE 7** Mean ± 1 SD magnitude of the muscle forces transmitted from the quadriceps muscle to the patella (A) and from the triceps surae to the calcaneus through the Achilles tendon (B), during normal walking (solid lines) and toe-walking (dashed lines), normalized to body weight (BW). The phases of ST for which statistically significant differences in the SPM paired t-tests were found are indicated as red bars below each subplot. ST phases in which statistically significant differences were observed but the muscle forces were characterized by negligible magnitudes are reported in a lighter tint.

**TABLE 1** Overview of most important differences in sagittal kinematics and kinetics occurring in toe-walking compared to normal walking during different periods of the stance phase.

| Major sagittal kinematic and kinetic differences of toe-walking compared to normal walking during stance phase | Initial contact | Loading response | Mid-stance | Terminal stance | Pre-swing |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Kinematics** | Toe-contact (vs heel); Plantarflexed ankle (vs neutral); Larger knee flexion; Larger hip flexion | Toe-contact (vs heel); Plantarflexed ankle (vs dorsiflexed); Larger knee flexion; Larger hip flexion | Toe-contact (vs flat foot); Plantarflexed ankle (vs dorsiflexed); Larger knee flexion; Larger hip flexion | Toe-contact (vs flat foot); Plantarflexed ankle (vs dorsiflexed); Larger knee flexion; Larger hip flexion | Larger foot-floor inclination angle; Larger ankle plantarflexion; Lower peak hip extension; Larger anterior pelvic tilt |
| **Ankle kinetics** | Net plantarflexion (vs dorsiflexion) moment; Gastrocnemius plantarflexion contribution; Lack of tibialis anterior dorsiflexion contribution | Net plantarflexion (vs dorsiflexion) moment; Gastrocnemius plantarflexion contribution; Soleus plantarflexion contribution; Lack of tibialis anterior dorsiflexion contribution | Larger net plantarflexion moment; Prolonged and initially larger gastrocnemius plantarflexion contribution; Prolonged and larger soleus plantarflexion contribution | Larger net plantarflexion moment | Lower net plantarflexion moment; Lower gastrocnemius plantarflexion contribution |
| **Knee kinetics** | Lower net flexion moment; Lower hamstring flexion contribution | Prolonged and larger net flexion moment; Gastrocnemius flexion contribution; prolonged hamstring flexion contribution | Prolonged net extension moment; Lower gastrocnemius flexion contribution; Larger vasti extension contribution | Lower net flexion moment | Lower net peak flexion moment |
| **Hip kinetics** | Lower net extension moment; Lower hamstring extension contribution; Larger gluteus maximus extension contribution | Prolonged net extension moment; prolonged and larger hamstring extension contribution; Larger gluteus maximus extension contribution; Larger adductor magnus extension contribution | Lower net peak flexion moment | Lower net peak flexion moment | Lower peak forward progression moment |
| **Support moment** | Larger extensive support moment | Larger extensive support moment | Larger extensive support moment | Larger extensive support moment | Larger extensive support moment |
| **Progression moment** | Lower backward progression moment | Early transition backward to forward progression moment | Larger forward progression moment | Lower forward progression moment | Lower peak forward progression moment |
flexion moment during mid-to-terminal-stance corresponded with a significantly larger knee extension contribution required from the vasti during mid-stance, while the hamstrings provided a reduced but longer-lasting knee flexion contribution during loading response when toe-walking. All hip extensors provided a larger extensive moment during loading response. Overall, different muscular activations are therefore functionally required at every joint level in order to toe-walk compared to normal walking.

The higher support moment during the stance phase indicates that an overall higher muscular effort is required during toe-walking to support the stance limb. The computed muscle contributions to the support moment reveal that different muscles effectively work to maintain a stable leg during different phases of walking. In particular, when toe-walking, a higher extensive contribution is required by the soleus during initial stance, and by the vasti, which present a prolonged extensive activity during mid-stance while the contribution of the rectus during pre-swing is reduced compared to normal walking. The hamstrings, which provide an extensive contribution to the support moment in a normal heel-to-toe gait pattern, are instead providing a flexing contribution during initial contact when toe-walking. These changes indicate an overall different support strategy to maintain a stable leg during the stance phase and prevent the knee from collapsing.

The analysis of the progression moment, which was derived as a corollary of A.L. Hof formulations (Hof, 2000), showed that during normal walking, forward-oriented external forces are counteracted by hip extensors and ankle dorsiflexors (initial ST) while backward-oriented external forces are balanced by activation of ankle plantarflexors and hip flexors (mid- and terminal ST). During toe-walking, this activation pattern is altered, with the hip extensors and ankle plantarflexors being simultaneously activated during the initial phases of ST. The altered activation of gastrocnemius and soleus during early stance seems to be required to maintain a force balance transversally to the leg axis when toe-walking.

Furthermore, toe-walking was characterized by significantly larger muscle forces exerted by the quadriceps to the patella and prolonged force transmission through the Achilles tendon during the stance phase.

Prolonged muscular effort and overuse may lead to fatigue, pain, and a reduced HR-QoL (Balemans et al., 2015; Williams and Haines, 2015; Alriksson-Schmidt and Hägglund, 2016; Eken et al., 2019). In particular, the higher loads required from the vasti may explain the high prevalence of knee pain and patellofemoral symptoms observed in CP patients, especially with increasing age (Jahnsen et al., 2004; Rethlefsen et al., 2015). Overactive quadriceps muscles may lead to an overstretched patellar tendon and a further loss of functionality (Villani et al., 1988; Jahnsen et al., 2004). Similarly, the prolonged force transmission through the Achilles tendon in a plantarflexed position may be associated with tendon shortening and contractures (Tardieu et al., 1989; Solan et al., 2010). Optimal treatment options should therefore aim at addressing the primary neuromuscular disorders which are associated with an altered gait pattern in order to avoid secondary long-term adverse consequences.

The restoration of a heel-to-toe gait pattern may improve the functionality and the gait efficiency of toe-walking patients while reducing the long-term risks associated with compensatory mechanisms (Brunner et al., 2021). Several treatments aiming at restoring a heel-strike pattern are available (Graham et al., 2016), ranging from conservative options, such as physical therapy (Palmer et al., 2010), casting, and orthotic devices (Totah et al., 2019), to the use local medication (Botulinum toxin-A) (Strobl et al., 2015) and bone and soft tissue corrective surgeries (McGinley et al., 2012). Physiotherapy could also benefit from a better understanding of the compensatory mechanisms to define targeted strengthening and rehabilitation programs (Damiano and Abel, 1998; Booth et al., 2018). Therefore, the choice of treatment depends on a correct understanding of the underlying pathology and on the individual biomechanics of walking.

This study was limited to a cohort of nine healthy volunteers who were asked to mimic a pathological toe-walking pattern. Through the analysis of healthy individuals, this study isolated the influence of a specific motion pattern on the optimally-required muscular loads, without additional assumptions of altered neuromuscular control (Steele et al., 2015). Musculoskeletal modeling addresses the muscle redundancy problem by identifying an optimal set of muscle activations that can provide the necessary forces and torques to reproduce an experimentally measured motion (Crowninshield and Brand, 1981; Rasmussen et al., 2001; Andersen, 2021). The timing of the predicted muscle contributions for both walking modalities in this study is in qualitative agreement with the EMG data reported for the same healthy cohort (Romkes and Brunner, 2007), further confirming the validity of the modeling predictions in a healthy cohort. These assumptions, however, might not always hold true when analyzing the motion of patients with aberrant motor control, such as those affected by CP (Veerkamp et al., 2019).

Romkes and Brunner (2007) observed similar gastrocnemius and tibialis anterior EMG activity between voluntary (healthy) and obligatory (pathological) unilateral toe-walking. These measured activations of gastrocnemius and soleus during toe-walking may therefore be interpreted as dictated by the biomechanics of toe-walking, rather than by the pathology alone. Such muscle activations, which have a direct correspondence with the measured kinematic pattern, can also be predicted through musculoskeletal modeling. It is also expected that similar gait patterns would lead to similar predicted activation patterns in healthy and pathological subjects. These predicted activations in pathological subjects could be interpreted as optimal and minimally required activity in order to perform a given motion. In reality, patients may also present additional muscle activity in the form of antagonist or stabilizing co-activations (Solomonow et al., 1988; Unnithan et al., 1996; Ikeda et al, 1998), which cannot be predicted adequately within the current musculoskeletal modeling framework (Mortensen et al., 2018). For instance, different activations of the rectus femoris during the swing phase were previously observed between voluntary and obligatory toe-walking (Romkes and Brunner, 2007). However, the kinetic analysis in this study was limited to the stance phase. A
better understanding of the functional role of different muscles during swing phase motion is also necessary (Arnold et al., 2007; Brunner and Rutz, 2013).

Overall, the analysis of healthy individuals provides a unique insight into the gait-pattern-related muscular demands. Nevertheless, the generalization of these findings to a pathological population should be carried out cautiously. Despite being able to mimic a typical unilateral toe-walking pattern, the gait of the healthy participants in this study was characterized by slight differences in spatiotemporal parameters, leg length discrepancy, and joint kinematics compared to obligatory toe-walking CP patients (Romkes and Brunner, 2007). Future research should aim at investigating gait data of actual toe-walking patients characterized by different neuromuscular conditions to confirm whether they show similar kinetic patterns and required muscular demands. Other commonly-observed pathological gait patterns and deviations (Winters et al., 1987; Rodda and Graham, 2001) may also present altered kinetic strategies (Steele et al., 2013) and should therefore be investigated further in addition to toe-walking.

The modeling framework developed in this study was based on 3-dimensional optical motion-capture gait data and an accurate 3-dimensional geometrical representation of the lower-limb based on cadaveric data (Carbone et al., 2015; De Pieri et al., 2018). However, due to the reduced number of markers on the foot segment, the motions of the ankle joint complex were simplified by neglecting subtalar inversion and eversion, which could affect the prediction of muscle activations (Jinha et al., 2006). Additionally, bone morphology variability in the transversal and frontal planes could also affect the prediction of muscle activations and forces (Passmore et al., 2018; Kainz et al., 2020; Martelli et al., 2020; De Pieri et al., 2021; Modenese et al., 2021; Veerkamp et al., 2021).

The lower-limb muscles were modeled as Hill-type actuators (Heinen et al., 2016) characterized by a muscle strength that followed force-length and force-velocity relationships (Hill, 1938; Zajac, 1989; Heinen et al., 2016; Andersen, 2021). Previous work underlined the importance of accounting for force-length relationships as a result of non-optimal contractile conditions associated with altered joint positions when toe-walking (Neptune et al., 2007). Specifically, the ankle plantarflexors are shortened during toe-walking and present, therefore, a reduced force-generating capacity (Herman and Bragin, 1967; Hoy et al., 1990; Perry et al., 2003). Patients with spasticity, prolonged equinus postures, and/or fixed muscular contractures may also present alteration of the underlying muscle morphology and intrinsic mechanical properties (Tardieu et al., 1982; Delp, 2003; Weidensteiner et al., 2021), even though it was shown that children with diplegic CP were able to generate maximum ankle torques at similar joint angles (Engsberg et al., 2000; Neptune et al., 2007). Therefore, restoring a more optimal foot and ankle position, as during heel-to-toe gait, may lead to improvements in muscle functionality and strength. On the other hand, muscle weakness is a commonly observed symptom in pathological populations (Hanssen et al., 2021) which can lead to inefficient and compensatory activations of other muscles, thus increasing the overall energetic cost of walking (van der Krogt et al., 2012). While the muscle strength of the participants in this study could be considered unimpaired, accounting for subject-specific scaling of muscle strength and mechanical properties may play a more important role in pathological populations (Kainz et al., 2018; Vandekerckhove et al., 2021).

The definition of the support moment as the weighted sum of the lower-limb joints sagittal extensive moments was derived from the mechanical interpretation provided by A.L. Hof (Hof, 2000) rather than the original formulation by D. Winter (Winter, 1980). According to this definition, the support moment counteracts the moment generated by the vertical component of the GRF times knee eccentricity and thus prevents the collapse of the knee (Hof, 2000). The comparison between the support moment and the vertical GRF moment showed good agreement for both walking modalities, with a similar overall trend and statistically significant differences limited to heel strike and pre-swing. This strengthens the interpretation that the support moment counteracts the external forces that would flex the knee. A higher support moment during toe-walking compared to normal walking indicates an overall higher muscular effort required when walking on the toes, as suggested by previous EMG analyses (Rose et al., 1999; Perry et al., 2003). It has to be mentioned that Eqs 2, 3 was derived under the approximation of equal shank and thigh lengths and while assuming massless legs (Hof, 2000). Neglecting the inertial contributions to the joint moments might therefore have affected the accuracy of the identity between the support moment and the moment produced by the external force. For more dynamic motions, such as running and jumping, this model might no longer be valid (Hof, 2000). The self-selected walking speed and the foot-floor inclination angle may further influence the resulting balance of forces and the predicted muscle contributions. This analysis was limited to the sagittal plane. Frontal plane balance and mediolateral stability are fundamental aspects of any support and locomotion strategy (Pandy et al., 2010). The lack of trunk markers did not allow to identify support strategies associated with upper-body control (Wyers et al., 2021). The control of the contralateral limb could also play an important role in forward propulsion while maintaining stability (Bovonsunthonchai et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the support moment can serve as a synthetic parameter to identify inefficient gait patterns and quantify the overall muscular demands associated with walking in pathological populations (Holsgaard-Larsen et al., 2019; Wyers et al., 2021).

The relationship between the progression moment $M_p$, defined as the difference between hip and ankle extensive moments, and the moment generated by the transversal GRF component ($F_T$) times the distance between hip and ankle ($p$) was also further evaluated. A good agreement between these two quantities was found for both walking modalities. This suggests that the forces acting transversally to the stance leg are counteracted by muscular contributions around the ankle and the hip, and not around the knee, as already suggested by Hof (2000). During toe-walking, altered activation of ankle and hip muscles is also required to maintain a force balance.
transversally to the leg axis. The relationship between these altered muscle activation patterns counteracting transversal forces during gait and different balance strategies, known from postural analyses, should be further evaluated (Horak and Nashner, 1986).

Muscle-induced acceleration analysis is another computational approach that can reveal how much each muscle supports the weight of the body and contributes to a forward acceleration during walking (Neptune et al., 2001; Neptune et al., 2004; Arnold et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Sasaki et al., 2008; Hamner and Delp, 2013; Steele et al., 2013; Uchida and Delp, 2021). In addition to calculating the muscle-induced accelerations on the body center of mass, Neptune et al. (Neptune et al., 2001) computed the accelerations that the lower-limb muscles induce around the knee joint during walking. They observed that the vasti were the main contributors to knee stability during early stance while the rectus contributed towards terminal ST. The gastrocnemius induced the knee into flexion around mid-stance, while the soleus was the only muscle that provided knee stability throughout single-leg stance. These observations are in line with the muscle contributions to the support moment during normal walking presented in this study, further indicating that the support moment should be interpreted with a focus on knee stability rather than center of mass acceleration. The knee-extending effect of the triceps surae was previously explained through dynamic coupling (Zajac and Gordon, 1989; Uchida and Delp, 2021). This effect is clinically known as plantar flexion—knee extension couple (Gage, 1995; Brunner and Rutz, 2013; Sangeux et al., 2015). While the soleus causes an extension of the knee by accelerating the shank backwards (Anderson, 2006), the gastrocnemius can induce either a net knee flexion or extension, depending on ankle and knee positions (Zajac, 1993; Uchida and Delp, 2021). The analysis of the soleus contribution to the support moment confirmed an overall net knee-extensive activity for both walking modalities. On the other hand, the gastrocnemius worked as a net knee flexor during normal walking while it provided a small extensive contribution when walking on the toes. Neptune et al. (Neptune et al., 2001) further suggested that impaired soleus activity would require compensatory mechanisms to prevent the knee from collapsing, most probably through prolonged activation of the vasti, in agreement with clinical observations (Murray et al., 1978; Sutherland et al., 1980). The analysis of the muscle contributions to the individual joint moments and the support moment confirmed the important role of the vasti.

Nevertheless, the physical meaning of the muscle contributions determined through induced-acceleration analysis is not well-defined and should be interpreted carefully (Chen, 2006). The support and the progress moment definitions used in this study were also derived from simplified force equilibrium equations. While this study provides an intuitive interpretation of muscle forces as counteracting external forces to provide a net force and moment balance, conclusions about muscle functionality should also be drawn with caution in light of the previously-stated limitations. Further comparisons between support moment and induced-acceleration analyses of toe-walking are required to elucidate the physical meaning of the outcome measures of both analyses. Simulation frameworks able to predict de novo kinematics based on a mathematical model of the neuro-musculoskeletal system may overcome such limitations. These novel computational tools could better clarify muscle functionality by highlighting causal relationships between muscle characteristics or surgical intervention and the resulting overall motion pattern (Geijtenbeek, 2019; De Groote and Falisse, 2021; K.; Veerkamp et al., 2021).

The muscular demands and joint loads experienced during different motions and activities of daily living should also be the focus of further studies. In particular, sports activities that involve repetitive forefoot contact, such as running (Besier et al., 2009; Fredericson and Misra, 2012; Neal et al., 2016), jumping (Ferretti et al., 1983; Lian et al., 2017), or ballet (Prisk et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2015; Sobrino et al., 2015), may also lead to overuse injuries, such as patellofemoral pain syndrome, patellar tendinosis, Achilles tendinosis, and forefoot injuries. Similarly to toe-walking, the use of high-heeled shoes also leads to a plantar-flexed position of the foot during walking, thus determining alterations of joint kinetics and muscle activations (Stefanyshyn et al., 2000; Simonsen et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

Musculoskeletal modeling allows investigating the kinetic requirements placed on individual muscles in association with a specific kinematic pattern, such as toe-walking. Walking on the toes, compared to a normal heel-to-toe gait pattern, induces altered kinetic balances at each joint level, thus determining functional adaptations for most lower-limb muscles, as shown in the analysis of a cohort of healthy volunteers mimicking a unilateral toe-walking pattern. Overall, the analysis of healthy individuals provides a unique insight on the muscular demands solely related to the specific gait pattern, independently of any underlying neuromuscular control disorder. It can be expected that similar activation patterns would be predicted through musculoskeletal modeling in both healthy and pathological subjects with similar gait patterns. Modeling predictions can be interpreted as the most optimal activation pattern required from the lower-limb muscles in order to walk in a specific manner. While a generalization of these conclusions to pathological populations should still be done cautiously, the method presented in this study has the potential to provide meaningful information for the clinical management of conditions characterized by altered gait patterns.

The support moment for instance can serve as a synthetic parameter to quantify the overall muscular demands associated with specific gait patterns. A higher support moment during toe-walking indicates an overall higher muscular effort necessary to maintain stance limb stability and prevent the collapse of the knee. Higher muscular demands during gait may lead to fatigue, pain, and reduced quality of life. Musculoskeletal modeling predictions suggest that toe-walking is indeed associated with significantly larger muscle forces exerted by the quadriceps to the patella, and prolonged force transmission through the Achilles tendon during the stance phase. The restoration of a normal heel-
to-toe gait pattern may improve muscle functionality and gait efficiency, while reducing potential long-term adverse consequences, such as knee extensor overload. Optimal treatment options should therefore account for muscular demands and potential overload associated with specific compensatory mechanisms.
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