An electrostatic mechanism for Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated regulation of gap junction channels
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Gap junction channels mediate intercellular signalling that is crucial in tissue development, homeostasis and pathologic states such as cardiac arrhythmias, cancer and trauma. To explore the mechanism by which Ca\(^{2+}\) blocks intercellular communication during tissue injury, we determined the X-ray crystal structures of the human Cx26 gap junction channel with and without bound Ca\(^{2+}\). The two structures were nearly identical, ruling out both a large-scale structural change and a local steric constriction of the pore. Ca\(^{2+}\) coordination sites reside at the interfaces between adjacent subunits, near the entrance to the extracellular gap, where local, side chain conformational rearrangements enable Ca\(^{2+}\) chelation. Computational analysis revealed that Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding generates a positive electrostatic barrier that substantially inhibits permeation of cations such as K\(^{+}\) into the pore. Our results provide structural evidence for a unique mechanism of channel regulation: ionic conduction block via an electrostatic barrier rather than steric occlusion of the channel pore.
Connexins (Cx) are a 21-member family of integral membrane proteins with four transmembrane (TM) domains (M1–M4), two extracellular loops (E1 and E2), a cytoplasmic (CL) M2–M3 loop and cytoplasmic N- and C-terminal domains (NT and CT; Fig. 1a). Cx monomers assemble as hexameric hemichannels (or connexons) with a central axial pore. Hemichannels from adjacent cells dock to form intercellular, dodecameric gap junction channels (GJCs; Fig. 1b), which can cluster to form gap junction plaques in the plasma membrane (Fig. 1c)1,2. These dense, quasi-hexagonal arrays enable electron microscopy of isolated plaques and low-resolution, three-dimensional, image reconstruction3. Electron cryomicroscopy (cryoEM) of GJCs assembled from recombinant, human Cx43 at 7.5 Å (ref. 4) and 5.7 Å (ref. 5) in-plane resolution showed that each hemichannel is comprised of 24 TM α-helices, and the pore has a minimum diameter of ~15 Å. The extracellular vestibule of GJCs is bounded by a wall of protein, forming a tight seal that excludes extracellular ions and small molecules. A X-ray structure of dodecameric Cx26 at 3.5 Å resolution (Protein Data Bank (PDB) code 2ZZW) revealed that M1 and M2 form the lining of the pore6.

GJCs enable direct cell-to-cell exchange of hydrated ions, second messengers and metabolites up to ~14 Å in the minor dimension7–8, a function necessary for cell differentiation, growth, cell synchronization and coordinated metabolism. For example, GJCs make the heart a functional syncytium, mediating action potential propagation and ionic conduction between cardiac myocytes, thereby regulating the normal heartbeat and coordinated contraction of the muscle, but also mediating potentially fatal cardiac arrhythmias9. GJCs are also responsible for homo- and hetero-cellular communication in vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells, enabling orchestrated cellular activity over long distances in response to rapidly changing tissue demands10.

Owing to their diverse and specialized functions, Cx isoforms have highly variable selectivities, including moderate to significant charge preferences and distinct permeabilities to signalling molecules such as ATP and inositol triphosphate (IP3), with a molecular weight (MW) cutoff of about 1,000 Da and a size limit of up to ~14 Å (refs 11,12). Many of the processes involving GJCs and hemichannels require proper and tightly controlled regulation, as even modest changes in channel activity or permeability can have a large influence on intercellular signalling and cell viability. A variety of physiological processes and pathological states affect channel activity, including pH8,13, phosphorylation14, membrane lipids1,2, membrane-active agents3, membrane potential16, trans-junctional voltage (Vj)17, loop-gating18,19 and intracellular divalent cations20 including Ca2+ (ref. 21). In some cases, regulation by intracellular Ca2+ may involve calmodulin21.

In the setting of tissue injury, exemplified by myocardial infarction and stroke, cellular ischaemia impairs membrane integrity, resulting in release of Ca2+ from intracellular stores such as the sarcoplasmic reticulum. Ca2+ overload during myocardial ischaemia is characterized by a variety of pathological manifestations, including contractile dysfunction, exacerbation of tissue injury and heart failure22,23. Amelioration of this process represents a potential therapeutic goal for cardiac and cerebral tissue protection during myocardial infarction and stroke. Under normal physiological conditions, ventricular myocytes are electrically coupled by GJCs. Ca2+ overload closes GJCs, which likely contributes to the electrical instability and arrhythmias that occur during myocardial ischaemia.

This structural study is focused on the effects of Ca2+ on Cx26 (Fig. 1a), which forms homonomic and heteromeric channels (for example, with Cx30 or Cx32) that are permeable to cyclic AMP, IP3, glucose and ions with a moderate selectivity for cations11,12,25. Cx26 is involved in hepatic, neural, respiratory, secretory gland, cutaneous and inner ear systems. There are two cochlear gap junction systems (epithelial and connective tissue) in which Cx26 GJCs contribute to K+ recycling and glucose homeostasis26,27. Over 100 Cx26 point mutations have been identified that result in syndromic and non-syndromic deafness in humans. A cluster of deafness-causing mutations is located at the M1/E1 boundary28 (Fig. 1a), which coincides with the location of Ca2+ coordinating residues identified in this study. Previous experimental studies involving multiple Cx isoforms revealed this segment as uniquely important for loop-gating29–31 and regulation by divalent metals30,32.

Low-resolution X-ray scattering32, negative-stain electron microscopy33 and cryoEM and image analysis3 of isolated liver gap junction plaques suggested that Ca2+ elicits large-scale conformational changes. Viewed along the channel axis, the sliding and tilting of Cx subunits within each hemichannel was likened to the closure of a camera iris. Indeed, this has been the prevailing model for Ca2+–induced GJC gating over the last three decades. Projection images along the pore axis of hemichannels by atomic force microscopy supported the model34. The 2ZZW structure provided valuable insight into the architecture of the channel but did not reveal a mechanism for regulation by Ca2+.

To explore the mechanism by which Ca2+ blocks intercellular communication during tissue injury, we determined the X-ray crystal structures of the human Cx26 GJC with and without bound Ca2+. Strikingly, the Ca2+-bound and Ca2+-free channels are nearly identical, ruling out a large-scale sterically induced mechanism for channel block, as well as a local constriction of the pore. Instead, computational analysis suggests that the basis for Ca2+-mediated cation exclusion in GJCs is electrostatic in nature and not due to physical occlusion of the pore.

Results

Determination of Cx26 GJC X-ray structures. Human Cx26 was expressed in Sf9 insect cells and purified from enriched membrane preparations (Supplementary Fig. 1). Crystals that displayed isotropic diffraction were grown in the presence or absence of 20 mM Ca2+ using a novel facial amphiphile detergent (FA-3)35. Both forms crystallized in the H32 space group with two monomers in the asymmetric unit (AU; Fig. 2a). Crystals grown with and without Ca2+ diffracted to resolutions of 3.3 and 3.8 Å, respectively. We solved the Ca2+-bound Cx26 structure independently of the existing Cx26 structure (PDB ID 2ZZW); (ref. 6; see Methods and Supplementary Discussion for details). Molecular replacement (MR) with a dimer of a Cx Cx model based on our cryoEM map (PDB ID 1TXH36) resulted in X-ray crystallographic maps of sufficient quality to determine the helix directions and refine the relative orientations of the monomers. A new, extended polyalanine MR model was then built based on the 5.7 in-plane × 19.8 Å cryoEM map from two-dimensional crystals of intact Cx43 GJCs37 (Fig. 2b). MR with the hybrid cryoEM/X-ray dimer model and the X-ray crystallographic data resulted in improved initial phases that enabled final model building and refinement (see Methods for details).

Cx26 crystallized as a dodecameric channel, which is aligned along two crystallographic axes. The sixfold axis of the hemichannel is aligned along the crystallographic threefold axis, and the docking interface between hemichannels is oriented along the crystallographic twofold axis. The crystals exhibit type II packing, with the dodecameric channels packed in layers that are separated by approximately the dimensions of one hemichannel (abc = 75 × 75 × 40 Å2; Fig. 2a). There is a single unique crystal contact between dodecamers in adjacent lattice layers. Aided by prime-and-switch phase improvement38, nearly all of the amino-acid side chains of
the TM and EC domains were eventually accounted for in the electron density maps (Fig. 2c–e). The Ca\(^{2+}\) bound Cx26 structure was built and ultimately refined to 3.3 Å resolution (R/R\(_{\text{free}}\) = 0.280/0.313). Although the maps are generally of high quality for the TM \(\alpha\)-helices and the extracellular loops, the NT, CL and CT domains could not be modelled because the electron density in those regions is not well defined. The final model consists of residues 19–95 and 134–216 for protomer A and residues 21–95 and 134–213 for protomer B. The Ca\(^{2+}\) bound Cx26 structure was used as a search model for MR to solve the structure of Ca\(^{2+}\) free Cx26 at 3.8 Å resolution (R/R\(_{\text{free}}\) = 0.301/0.333; Fig. 2h). Crystallographic data, structure refinement and validation statistics are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Although the structures possess superb refinement and validation statistics with reasonable stereochemistry, interpretation of models based on maps at modest resolutions, as is the case here, should be approached with caution.

Analytical size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and multi-angle light scattering indicate that Cx26 purified in FA-3 is a dodecamer (Supplementary Fig. 1a,d), forming a thermally stable (Supplementary Fig. 1b) and homogenous population of defined oligomers (Supplementary Fig. 1c). In addition, there is close similarity between the Cx43 GJC cryoEM map (Fig. 2b) and the X-ray structures (Fig. 2c,e,h). These observations demonstrate that Cx26 crystallized as a bona fide GJC. Ca\(^{2+}\) bound and Ca\(^{2+}\) free structures recapitulate the fold of 2ZW3. Although the maximum RMSDs between our structures and 2ZW3 are substantial (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 2), our independently determined structures recapitulate the general fold of 2ZW3 (ref. 6 and Supplementary Fig. 2). The channel dimensions of the present models are also similar to those reported previously for 2ZW3 (ref. 6): 120 Å from the cytoplasm to the cytoplasm with the pore diameters at the cytoplasmic entrance and the docking interface of 50 and 25 Å, respectively (Fig. 2d). In addition, the docking interactions between hemichannels are very similar in our structures and 2ZW3, and...
include hydrogen bonding between Q57 residues and between N176 and D179 of apposed hemichannels. The role of the interactions between N176 and D179 in maintaining junctional integrity was recently demonstrated\(^3\), and is consistent with experiments on docking of compatible Cx isoforms\(^3\).

**Ca\(^{2+}\)** is coordinated by adjacent subunits. In the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), \(F_o - F_c\) difference density maps display two discrete 5 \(\sigma\) peaks in the AU between subunits at the M1/E1 boundary (green in Fig. 2f,g), corresponding to 6 peaks per hemichannel and 12 peaks per GJC. The difference density peaks reside between the carboxylates of two glutamate residues and the carbonyl oxygen of a glycine, suggestive of a divalent cation-binding site. Ca\(^{2+}\) ions were modelled into the difference density peaks, and the coordination distances were consistent with Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. The average coordination distance in the refined model (2.6 Å), the coordination number (CN = 5) and the formal charge of the site (-2) are consistent with mean values reported in an analysis of nearly 1,500 non-EF hand Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins for which high-resolution crystal structures were available\(^3\).

Comparison of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free electron density maps corroborates the identity of the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions: the peaks ascribed to Ca\(^{2+}\) in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound Cx26 structure were absent in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free \(F_o - F_c\) maps (Fig. 2i,j). The identity of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions is therefore supported by (i) the geometries and distances to coordinating oxygen atoms, (ii) the \(F_o - F_c\) maps (Fig. 2f,g) and \(F_o - F_c\) omit maps, (iii) anomalous difference maps from the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound crystals (Supplementary Fig. 3) and (iv) the absence of difference density peaks in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free maps (Fig. 2i,j; see Methods for details). Given the identification of the difference peaks as arising from bound Ca\(^{2+}\), the sites and Ca\(^{2+}\) coordinating residues are shown in Fig. 3a-d. The configuration and interactions of these residues in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free structure are shown in Fig. 3e-h.

**Figure 2 | Crystal structures of Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free Cx26 gap junction channels.** (a) Packing of Cx26 dodecamers (cyan) in the H32 lattice. The main crystal contact involves residues on the cytoplasmic surface of the channel. The two subunits in the asymmetric unit, a dimer of Cx26, are shown in green and orange. (b) Three-dimensional cryoEM map at 5.7 Å resolution in X, Y and 19.8 Å resolution in Z derived from electron cryocystallography of two-dimensional crystals of human Cx43 channels in gap junction plaques\(^5\). (c) Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound Cx26 structure (cyan) and the \(F_o - F_c\) electron density map (blue mesh) at 3.3 Å resolution and contoured at 1.5-\(\sigma\). (d) Side view of the dodecameric assembly of Cx26 forming the GJC. Ca\(^{2+}\) atoms are shown as yellow spheres. Distances are measured between Cx atoms. (e) A cross-sectional view of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound structure (cyan) and map (blue mesh) showing the funnel-shaped cytoplasmic region of the pore that narrows in proceeding to the extracellular vestibule. (f) Inter-subunit residues that form the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites, as revealed by the 3.3 Å resolution crystal structure. The difference density peaks (the \(F_o - F_c\) maps) indicate the unrefined positions of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions (green). Three binding sites are shown in this cytoplasmic view. (g) A close-up of one of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites shown in (f). (h) A cross-sectional view of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free structure (orange), shown with a 3.8 Å resolution 2\(F_o - F_c\) map (blue mesh). (i) A view of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free structure as shown in (f) for the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound structure, showing both \(2F_o - F_c\) and \(F_o - F_c\) maps. Note the absence of any green difference density peaks in the \(F_o - F_c\) map. (j) A close-up of E47 in subunit A for the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free structure. To better visualize the conformational change of E47, the molecule has been rotated significantly compared with the perspectives shown in (g) and (i). Side chains are shown as sticks, with atoms coloured as: carbon, cyan (Ca\(^{2+}\) bound) or orange (Ca\(^{2+}\) free); oxygen, red; nitrogen, blue. The \(2F_o - F_c\) maps (blue mesh) and the \(F_o - F_c\) maps (green) are contoured at 1.5-\(\sigma\) and 4-\(\sigma\), respectively.
Ca$^{2+}$ ions in adjacent binding sites are separated by 16 Å, with a 60° rotation around the pore axis. The maximum distance between the Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites across the pore lumen is 23 Å. The Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites coincide with the region of closest approach between the pore-lining M1 helices of adjacent subunits in the hemichannel. However, the major inter-subunit interface is between M1 and M2 (> 500 Å²), and includes a salt bridge between E42 and R75, which is not present in the Ca$^{2+}$-free structure, as discussed below. The proximity of the adjacent M1 helices in this region and the substantial M1–M2 contact surface are both conserved in the presence or absence of Ca$^{2+}$ binding (Fig. 3a,e), as well as in 2ZW3 (ref. 6).

Ca$^{2+}$ coordination is mediated by the carboxylate of E47 and the carbonyl oxygen of G45 in one subunit and the carboxylate of E42 in the adjacent subunit (Fig. 3b,d). Thus, it is not surprising that previous sequence-based analyses did not predict this Ca$^{2+}$-binding site as the quaternary structure of the site involves residues in adjacent subunits. The five oxygen atoms that serve as the protein ligands for coordination of the Ca$^{2+}$ ions adopt a square pyramidal geometry, common with CN = 5 (ref. 39). Hemispheric coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ leaves the bound ion exposed to the aqueous channel (Fig. 3b), where the Ca$^{2+}$ is expected to remain partially hydrated (as shown by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations discussed below). The carboxylates of the glutamate residues act as coordinating bidentate ligands, with equivalent Ca$^{2+}$ coordination distances and angles between the Oe1 and Oe2 oxygens.

The Ca$^{2+}$-bound and Ca$^{2+}$-free structures are nearly identical. Unlike most ion channels, atomic ions are not dehydrated as they pass through GJCs. The pores of the Ca$^{2+}$-bound and Ca$^{2+}$-free channel structures are nearly identical, with a limiting diameter of ~15 Å (measured between K41 side chains of opposing subunits; Fig. 4a–c and Supplementary Fig. 2d,e).

Conformational changes are localized at the Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites. The most significant structural differences between the Ca$^{2+}$-bound and Ca$^{2+}$-free channels occur at the Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites (Fig. 5a–e; Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Movies 1,2). In the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, the E47 side chain swings by > 90° and 7 Å away from the Ca$^{2+}$-coordinating conformation (measured at the Ca atom) to form an intrasubunit salt bridge with K188, the position of which is essentially invariant between the two structures (compare Fig. 5a,c with b,d). E42 also adopts an alternate conformation in the Ca$^{2+}$-free structure. The coordinating carboxylate of E42 in the Ca$^{2+}$-bound structure rotates ~90° and forms an intrasubunit hydrogen bond with the Nε of R75 (Supplementary Fig. 4). The carbonyl of G45 moves ~1 Å closer to E42, resulting in an additional intersubunit van der Waals contact in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$. The protein–protein interactions made by E47, E42 and G45 in the Ca$^{2+}$-free structure result in a modest main chain rearrangement relative to the Ca$^{2+}$-bound structure. Specifically, the Ca$^{2+}$-free backbone between residues W44 and Q48 shifts an average of 0.8 Å away from the pore centre and slightly towards M1 of the adjacent subunit.

Among Cx isoforms, most of the native residues are highly conserved in the vicinity of the Ca$^{2+}$-binding site (residues 40–50; Fig. 5). An acidic residue is conserved in all Cx isoforms at position 47 (Cx26 numbering), whereas an acidic residue at
position 42 is absent in 15 of 21 Cx isoforms. However, 11 of these 15 isoforms have an acidic residue (glutamate) at position 41. Therefore, with just a one residue shift in the primary sequence, it is reasonable to speculate that an intersubunit E41/42(B)-G45(A)-E/D47(A) Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site is a feature of most GJCs.

**Electrostatic mechanism for Ca\(^{2+}\)-based regulation of permeation.** To investigate whether Ca\(^{2+}\) binding significantly alters the electrostatic profile of the channel pore, we calculated surface potentials with (Fig. 6a) and without (Fig. 6b) the contribution of the bound Ca\(^{2+}\) ions. For the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound structure, the five-coordinate Ca\(^{2+}\) ions resulted in an almost entirely positive electrostatic surface potential for the protein lining the pore (Fig. 6a). The Ca\(^{2+}\)-free pore had a distinctly different electrostatic landscape, characterized by a variegated electrostatic surface with a strongly negative potential in the region of the acidic Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding residues (Fig. 6b). The significant difference between the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free surface potentials suggests an electrostatic mechanism by which GJCs can regulate ion permeation. The substantial positive electrostatic surface potential in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound structure is particularly informative, as we did not observe physical closure of the channel upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding.

To determine whether the electrostatic surface potentials affect ion permeation and selectivity, we performed MD simulations of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound (Fig. 6c) and Ca\(^{2+}\)-free (Fig. 6d) X-ray structures embedded in two 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) lipid bilayers. Each system included explicit water molecules and was neutralized and ionized with 140 mM KCl in the cytoplasmic region and 140 mM NaCl in the extracellular region (Fig. 1b), resulting in a total system size of \(\sim\) 300,000 atoms. For the purposes of electroneutrality, the Cl\(^{-}\) concentration was set to 140 mM in the MD calculations. However, we realize that the physiologic cytoplasmic concentration of Cl\(^{-}\) is \(\sim\) 4 mM, with most of the additional negative...
charge contributed by cytoplasmic proteins. Simulations consisted of unrestrained equilibration (20 ns) followed by a production phase (at least 30 ns; Supplementary Fig. 5). For this analysis, we defined Ca$^{2+}$ coordination as a distance of <2.6 Å between a coordinating atom and the Ca$^{2+}$ ion.

In the Ca$^{2+}$-bound simulation (Fig. 6c and Supplementary Movie 3), Ca$^{2+}$ ions remained bound, and coordination by the E42 and E47 carboxylates (E42-Oc and E47-Oc) was stable (Supplementary Figs 6,7), with either three or four of the carboxylate oxygens coordinating the Ca$^{2+}$ at all times. However, Ca$^{2+}$ coordination by the carbonyl oxygen of G45 was variable. Depending on the site, coordination by the carbonyl oxygen was maintained throughout, lost or lost and then restored (Supplementary Movie 4). Participation in Ca$^{2+}$ coordination by G45-O was stable at only one site during the simulation (Supplementary Movie 5). We suspect the loss of Ca$^{2+}$ coordination by G45-O in the MD simulations may be due to known limitations in the nonpolarizable force field describing the interactions between divalent cations and carbonyl oxygen atoms. To assess whether loss of G45-O Ca$^{2+}$ coordination altered the macroscopic Cx26 channel properties, we repeated the MD simulation with restraints on the distances between the G45-O atoms and the Ca$^{2+}$ ions (Supplementary Figs 8 and 9), which displayed Ca$^{2+}$ coordination geometry similar to that of the Ca$^{2+}$-bound X-ray structure. Specifically, Ca$^{2+}$ coordination by G45-O and all four E42 and E47 carboxylate oxygens was stable at most sites for the majority of the MD simulation, with occasional excursions by a single carboxylate oxygen, which reduced the number of protein ligands to four. Importantly, MD simulations with restraints on the distance between Ca$^{2+}$ and G45-O did not alter the cationic permeability results without restraints (compare Fig. 6c and Supplementary Fig. 8b). Throughout the simulations, three or four water molecules participated in ion coordination at each Ca$^{2+}$-binding site, maintaining a total coordination number of seven to eight (Fig. 6e,f).

A notable result of the simulations was the difference in K$^+$ ion occupancy within the pore between the Ca$^{2+}$-bound and Ca$^{2+}$-free channels. For the Ca$^{2+}$-bound channel (Fig. 6c and Supplementary Movie 3), K$^+$ ions were almost completely excluded from the pore. In the Ca$^{2+}$-free simulation (Fig. 6d and Supplementary Movie 6), K$^+$ ions rapidly entered the channel and clustered around the Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites. We calculated the potential of mean force (PMF) for K$^+$ along the Ca$^{2+}$-bound and Ca$^{2+}$-free channel axes based on ion density distributions in the all-atom simulations. The resultant K$^+$ and Cl$^-$ free energy profiles (Supplementary Fig. 10) paired with the electrostatic analysis suggest a novel mechanism for Ca$^{2+}$-
dependent ion selectivity, in which Ca\(^{2+}\) binding functions as an electrostatic switch that dramatically reduces cation permeability.

**Discussion**

We report here the first structural identification of Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites in GJCs. The X-ray structures of Cx26 GJC in the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) revealed that Ca\(^{2+}\) is coordinated at the M1/E1 boundary by residues from adjacent subunits. We expect that the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites identified here are likely the same as in undocked, functional Cx26 hemichannels, which are also regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\). Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the Cx26 GJC did not trigger a global conformational change to a sterically closed state, as observed in low-resolution studies of Cx GJCs\(^{3}\) and hemichannels\(^{34}\). As Cx26 was co-crystallized with Ca\(^{2+}\), we assume that large-scale structural rearrangements were possible and would have occurred before crystallization. However, we observed only local conformational changes in the vicinity of Ca\(^{2+}\) coordination, which did not occlude the pore. Likewise, the side chain conformational changes occur for residues adjacent to the lumen of the pore rather than on the perimeter. Therefore, it is unlikely that the observed conformational changes are due to crystal packing artefacts.

Electrostatic calculations and MD simulations revealed that block of K\(^{+}\) permeation is achieved by the extensive positive surface potential conferred by the bound Ca\(^{2+}\); that is, Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding creates an electrostatic barrier that impedes cation entry into the pore. We propose that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding in GJCs acts as an electrostatic switch that dramatically shifts the charge selectivity of the channel, creating a strongly positive environment within the pore that inhibits the ability of cations such as K\(^{+}\) to enter and thereby permeate the pore. Given the sequence conservation of residues that participate in Ca\(^{2+}\) binding, we expect that the electrostatic switch regulating Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent ion selectivity is a general mechanism in the family of Cx junctional channels.

In biomedical terms, this study of junctional channels is relevant to conditions of Ca\(^{2+}\) overload, which occur in tissues subject to injury. It is well known that pathologically elevated cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) levels cause inhibition of gap junction coupling, reducing the intercellular propagation of toxic signals from dying/diseased cells. It is generally believed that this occurs at Ca\(^{2+}\) levels above micromolar\(^{42–44}\). At lower concentrations, there is evidence that Ca\(^{2+}\) can permeate junctional channels\(^{44–46}\). Our structural and computational results suggest that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding within GJCs profoundly alters the character of permeating species. Due to the positive electrostatic potentials within the pore that is induced by Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding, we expect that the channels will not only become far less permeable to cations but also any molecules with net positive charge.
There is theoretical and electrophysiological evidence that barriers to the flux of molecules and ions can be explained by purely electrostatic effects, without a requirement for steric occlusion\(^2\). Our results provide the first structural evidence for a unique mechanism of channel regulation: block of ionic conduction via an electrostatic barrier rather than steric occlusion of the pore.

**Methods**

**Expression, solubilization and purification of human Cx26 for crystallization.**

Expression of human Cx26 C211S, C218S (in which two cysteine residues in the CT were replaced with serine to prevent non-native disulfide bond formation) with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag was performed by infection of Spodoptera frugiperda (S9) insect cells with recombinant baculovirus. Cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 and harvested 48–52 h post infection. All subsequent steps were performed at 4 °C, unless otherwise indicated. Cells were lysed by 20–25 strokes using a Dounce homogenizer in low-salt buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 20 mM MgCl\(_2\)) to a final concentration of 1% (w/v; ~1 mM–1 critical micelle concentration (CMC)). Membranes were solubilized by 3 h on a rotator and then clarified by ultracentrifugation (100 k g, 30 min, Beckman model Optima LE-80K), and the pellet was washed with low-salt buffer, high-salt buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 2% (v/v) glycerol and 1 × Protease Inhibitor Set V (Calbiochem)). Membranes were disrupted by ten strokes using a Dounce homogenizer in order to obtain a small particle size for efficient solubilization. A 10% (w/v) stock solution of N-decyl-β-D-maltopyranoside (DM) detergent (Anatrace) was added to the resuspended membranes to a final concentration of 0.5% (v/v) DM detergent. To solubilize the protein in buffers with high ionic strength (0.5–1.0 M NaCl) was essential to ensure protein stability and solubility during the ultrafiltration and subsequent concentration steps. Tagged Cx26 was purified from solubilized membranes by binding the protein to immobilized cobalt affinity resin (Clontech) at a ratio of 1 mL affinity resin per 50 mL detergent extract. After batch binding for 30–60 min, the slurry was poured into a column, and the beads were washed with at least 5 column volumes (CVs) of exchange buffer with 1% (w/v) DM detergent followed by 5 CVs of wash buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1.5 M NaCl, 0.5% (v/v) DM, 20 mM imidazole and 1 × Protease Inhibitor Set V). DM was exchanged for FA-3 on the column by addition of two CVs of exchange buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1.5 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 2.5% (v/v) glycerol and 1 × Protease Inhibitor Set V) containing 0.08% (w/v) FA-3 detergent (4 × (CMC)) to the column. Flow through was allowed to elute the column. The column was capped, kept stationary and incubated with the new detergent for 15 min. The resin was further washed with 1 CV of FA-3 exchange buffer. Cx26 was then eluted from the column in 1 mL fractions with elution buffer (exchange buffer containing 250 mM imidazole containing 0.05% FA-3 detergent. Fractions with the highest protein concentrations, as estimated by absorption at A\(_{280}\) (NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer; Thermo Scientific), were pooled and concentrated to ~0.5 mL in a 100-kD molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) concentrator (Millipore). Imidazole was removed using a G-25 desalting column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in final buffer (100 mM HEPES, pH 7, 1.0 M NaCl, 2.5% (v/v) glycerol and 1 × Protease Inhibitor Set V) containing 0.02% (w/v) FA-3. For crystallization trials, the eluate from the desalting column (~1 mL) was concentrated to 4–6 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (150–200 μM) using a 500-μL or 4-mL concentrator (100 kD MWCO; Millipore).

**Crystallization of human Cx26.**

Crystals of Cx26 in FA-3 could be grown reproducibly at 25 °C using hanging-drop or sitting-drop vapour diffusion methods by mixing 1 μL of Cx26 in final buffer with 0.02% FA-3 and 1 μL of a reservoir composed of 100 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1.5 mM sodium formate, 20 mM CaCl\(_2\) and 12% PEG 3350. Crystals of Cx26 X-ray diffraction at 1.7 Å were obtained. Crystals of Cx26 X-ray diffraction at 1.7 Å were grown in 20 μL drop volumes with initial dimensions of 0.5 × 0.25 × 0.2 mm\(^3\) and typically grew within 2 weeks in the presence of 5–50 mM CaCl\(_2\). The best diffracting Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound crystals were grown from setups with 20 mM CaCl\(_2\). To grow Cx\(^{2+}\)-free Cx26 crystals, the same crystallization setup and reservoir buffer were used, with the CaCl\(_2\) omitted from the formulation. In the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions, crystals required at least a month to grow. Crystals were then harvested directly from the drop into 50–100 μm diameter loops (Hampton Research), flash frozen by plunging into liquid N\(_2\) and loaded into ALS-style puck.

**Synchrotron X-ray data collection.**

For the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound Cx26 crystals, X-ray diffraction data were collected at the SER-CAT 22-ID beam line at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. Intensities at 21°C were measured with an R\(_{merge}\) of 7.5% and a resolution cutoff of 3.8 Å.

For the Cx\(^{2+}\)-free Cx26 crystals, X-ray diffraction data were collected at the GM/CA-TAT 23-ID beam line at the Advanced Photon Source. The Cx\(^{2+}\)-free crystals had the same Bravais lattice and were isomorphous with the Cx\(^{2+}\)-bound crystals. Both higher reflections could be clearly indexed to 3.7 Å, many of which were indexed to the same Bravais lattice.

**Structure determination.**

We solved the Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound Cx26 X-ray crystallographic structure first using the previously determined Cx26 structure (PDB ID: 2ZW3)\(^2\) as the MR search model. We also solved the structure independently using a MR model based on a 3.7 Å resolution cryoEM map derived by analysis of two-dimensional crystals of Cx43 (ref. 5). These two structure determination methods yielded near identical solution. An initial electron density map for our CA-free structure was included only in the Supplementary Discussion. The Cx\(^{2+}\)-bound structure presented here is the result of MR with the cryoEM model. Using Molrep\(^3\) (ref. 52) and Phaser\(^4\) in Phenix\(^5\), we performed MR searches for multiple copies of a Cx26 monomer composed of just the four TM domains, which consisted of 87 carbon atoms. These searches failed, but a search with a dimer of the cryoEM-based Ca\(^{2+}\) Cx model (PDB ID: 1TXH\(^6\)) succeeded and revealed two monomers in the AU of the H32 crystals. The resultant maps had clear 2F\(_o\)-F\(_c\) electron density, corresponding to the TM domains of the Cx26 GJC that exceeded beyond the limits of the 1TXH Cx model. The biological sixfold axis that gives rise to the Cx26 hexameric channel coincided with the cryoEM density map and the biological twofold axis that gives rise to the GJC (at the interface between hemichannels) was coincident with the crystallographic twofold. We converted the Cx model to polyalanine (polyAla) in Coot\(^6\) and performed rigid body refinement in Phenix. Further refinement resulted in electron density maps of sufficient quality in the TM domain to determine the directionality of the TM helices. We reversed the direction of the M2 helix in the 1TXH model and generated new monomeric and dimeric models consisting of ideal polyAla η-helices. We extended this model through rounds of manual building in Coot, refinement in Phenix and density modification and non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS) averaging with RESOLVE\(^7\). Although new electron density appeared that could be attributed to polypeptide, the maps did not improve sufficiently to allow additional model building.

We then used the cryoEM map\(^2\) to construct a new model beginning with a dimer of the 4-TM bundle, represented as polyAla. Pymol\(^8\) was used to manually fit the dimeric polyAla model consisting of 174 residues into the cryoEM map. On the basis of the secondary structure characterization and the cryoEM density, we placed two ideal polyAla η-helices and two β-strands in the extracellular region. We then modelled four additional, polyAla η-helices as cytoplasmic extensions of the TM domains, based on the cryoEM map contoured at 1-σ. The final monomeric model based on the cryoEM map consisted of 184 residues. We generated a dimer of the expanded model by application of the cryoEM NCS operators determined from the dimeric 4-TM polyalanine model that we built with the aid of the initial X-ray crystallographic maps. It was important to use the NCS operators based on the X-ray crystallographic map to generate the dimer because the relative orientations of the Cx subunits differed slightly between the two- and three-dimeric crystal structures. The expansion of the model and the addition of η-helices from the cryoEM map significantly improved the density for the main channels.

We used Phaser-MR within Phenix\(^5\) for MR, with the expanded dimer as the search model and the 1TXH X-ray crystallographic data as the target. The search included data from 35 to 3.5 Å resolution and succeeded with a top translation function Z-score of 6.9. Rigid body refinement of the MR model in Phenix yielded crystallographic R and R\(_{merge}\) values of 0.511 and 0.526, respectively, and 2F\(_o\)-F\(_c\) maps clearly showed density for the full dodecameric channel (Fig. 2c).

We used Coot to rebuild the model based on the X-ray crystallographic maps, removing all but two turns of one η-helix from the EC domain because of poor density and disagreement between the model and maps in that region. We also made correspondences of η-helices for the TM domains from the helical fragments used for MR and rigid body refinement. After rounds of real space modification of the polyAla model and refinement, followed by prime-and-switch density modification with RESOLVE\(^8\), we were able to identify and position aromatic side chains and disulphides, which enabled assignment of the sequence and the TM η-helices. We first identified the η-helices that we electronegativity calculated with PEP Glide (version 3.7), corresponding to the Cx26 sequence HYPFISH (residues 67–73) leading into M2 from E1 within the extracellular domain. Next, we identified M3 by density for a...
polypeptide with the sequence Fl(ong)xFl (where ‘long’ indicates an extended side chain, corresponding to the Cx26 sequence FFRVF in the center of M3 (residues 141–146)). Then, we defined M4 by density for a sequence KxxFxxxFx, corresponding to the Cx26 sequence KTFTVT (residues 188–194) at the extracellular end of M4, near the end of E2 in the extracellular domain. Finally, we confirmed the identity of M1 by the side chains for the Cx26 sequence WLTFLF (residues 24–31) in the cytoplasmic half of M1, near the NT domain. The overall map quality, including that for the extracellular domain, continued to improve as we added side chains. We began building the backbone of E2, connecting M3 and M4. Then, based on strong density for a disulfide (there are three in the EC domain) and nearby recognizable density for a tryptophan and other bulky side chains, we built the side chains for the Cx26 sequence MQLRVCANWPCP (residues 163–175). We continued adding sequence in this region based on a second disulphide (between CS8 and C180) and a neighbouring phenylalanine (F181). During building and refinement, the maps improved significantly with the appearance of a missing stretch of 14 residues (S162–P175) in E2 of the extracellular domain. The new density was consistent with, that, with an expanded β-sheet, formed a β-strand at the extracellular gap (extending from L166–F181). Finally, we were able to complete the model of the TM and EC domains, consisting of residues 18–93 and 135–216 in the cytoplasmic half of M1, near the NT domain.

To enable modelling of the CL or either of the termini. Both the CL and CT have been modified at the N-terminus, so that the CL can be labelled with the proteinase K, and at the C-terminus, to enable labelling of the polypeptide with the sequence FF(long)xxF (where ‘long’ indicates an extended side chain). Therefore, ~70% of the total sequence is accounted for in the final model.

Throughout building and refinement, several strong positive Fσ2 difference density peaks were present that were not accounted for by the protein model, exemplified by the peak in Supplementary Fig. 3a (denoted by '?'), which may be a detergent molecule. Two NCS-equivalent peaks in the Au that were greater than 2-σ were located at an interface between Cx subunits, at the end of M1, extending into the TM region. These peaks were not observed near the carboxylates of a glutamate (E24) and the carboxylate of a second glutamate (E47) and the carboxyl group of a glycine (G45) from the adjacent Cx subunit (Fig. 2e–g). The difference density surrounding by four carboxylates and one carbonyl was strongly suggestive of a disulphide. As the only S of the two given the presence of a 20 mM CaCl2, we modelled Ca2+-bound ions into the Fσ2-Fσ1 density and refined the model with and without Ca2+-coordinated restraints in Phenix. Even in the absence of the Ca2+-restraints, the model refined well with the added Ca2+-ions, accounting for the Fσ2 density and with appropriate distances to the coordinating oxygen.

To help verify the presence of Ca2+-ions in these sites, we merged the data sets from three different crystals grown in CaCl2 (in order to amplify the weak Ca2+-anomalous signal) and calculated an anomalous difference map at a resolution using Ca2+-free, model phases. The only peak observable at > 3-σ in the anomalous map partially overlapped with one of the Fσ2-Fσ1 density peaks (+5-σ) that had guided the placement of a Ca2+-ion (Supplementary Fig. 3). Although not definitively identified, the five-fold symmetry and the density peaks provides some evidence of a metal-binding site. Significantly, after refinement, there was an absence of negative Fσ2-Fσ1 density at the binding site and even some residual positive density on the side of the coordinated ion exposed to the pore. Removal of Ca2+-ions from the model, subsequent refinement and calculation of omit maps gave rise to the reappearance of > 4-σ Fσ2-Fσ1 peaks at the site where Ca2+-ions had been modelled. In addition, anomalous difference maps were calculated, and a > 4-σ peak was present at one of the Ca2+-binding sites in the Au (Supplementary Fig. 3). After modelling the Ca2+-ions and subsequent refinement, the binding sites were analysed for agreement with the published literature and databases of Ca2+-binding sites.39,7,36,37 The overall charge of the site (r = 5.0) and coordination binding distances (both average side chain carboxylate distance and average main chain carboxyl distance = 2.6 Å) were all within the reported ranges for Ca2+-binding. Although the resolution limit of the diffraction data precluded the definitive placement of water molecules, it is suspected that the residual positive density was likely due to the presence of coordinating water molecules. Water coordination is expected given the hemiphseric and incomplete coordination of the Ca2+-by the protein. MD simulations of the Ca2+-bound channel also showed hydration of the bound Ca2+-ions (Fig. 6c,d).

Electrostatic surface calculations by Internal Coordinates Mechanics and the REBEL method. Electrostatic surface potentials of the Ca2+-bound and Ca2+-free models were calculated by the Rapid Exact-Boundary Electrostatics (REBEL) method49 as implemented in the Molsoft software package, ICM-Pro40. The REBEL calculations employ a model in which the solvent is a continuous high dielectric medium and the protein molecular surface is the boundary between the solvent and the low dielectric protein. The electrostatic energy is calculated for a set of point charges on the protein surface by solving the Poisson equation (\(\nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi) = \rho(r)\)) that describes at http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Training/Tutorials/science/membrane/mem-tutorial.pdf. We first calculated the lysyl acid chains, keeping the system fixed. Then, we restrained the protein in order to first minimize and equilibrate the rest of the atoms of the system. Then, we released the restraints on the protein and equilibrated the entire system. Finally, for all-atom production runs employing Langevin dynamics, we used a constant temperature of 310 K and constant pressure of 1 atm with 2 fs time steps. The carbonyl oxygen–Ca2+ interaction energy is underestimated in the CHARMm36 force field43. Thus, we included the Ca2+-coordination environment with the G45o-Ca4+-distance restrained to 2.35 Å, with a spring constant of 40 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). PMF values were calculated based on the K+ and Cl– ion density distributions along the Ca2+-bound and Ca2+-free Cx26 GJC pore (the Z axis) during 30 ns of the all-atom MD simulation production phases (Supplementary Fig. 10). Ions (either K+ or Cl–) were counted at each position along the Z axis with a fixed radius equal to the minimum channel radius (5 Å, at K41) and a AZ of 5 Å. Ion densities (<\(\rho(Z)\)> were calculated by dividing the total number of ions at each position on Z axis by the corresponding cylindrical volume. PMFs were ultimately calculated by solving the equation.

\[W(Z) = k_B \ln(\rho(Z))\]  

PMF values were adjusted so that values in bulk solvent were zero44.
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coordination in the MD simulations, and we found no significant difference in the resultant electrostatic surface potentials. Electrostatic surface potentials were also calculated using Poisson–Boltzmann equations as implemented in Adaptive Poisson-Boltzmann Solver (APBS). Surfaces calculated in this way are essentially identical to surfaces calculated with the REBOL method implemented in ICM-Pro.

Sequence analysis and alignment for determining residue propensity. Homologous sequences from residues 40–50 (Cx26 numbering) for all 21 human Cx isoforms were defined by a sequence alignment performed with PRALINE70 and were uploaded to the Weblogo online server (http://weblogo.berkeley.edu) to generate the diagram shown in Fig. 5f.

References
1. Yeager, M. & Harris, A. L. Gap junction channel structure in the early 21st century: facts and fantasies. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 19, 521–528 (2007).
2. Yeager, M. Gap Junction Channel Structure in Connexins: A Guide. (eds Harris, A. L. & Locke, D.) 27–75 (Humana-Springer, 2005).
3. Unwin, P. N. & Ennis, P. D. Two configurations of a channel-forming membrane protein. Nature 307, 609–613 (1984).
4. Unger, V. M., Kumar, N. M., Gilula, N. B. & Yeager, M. Three-dimensional structure of a recombining gap junction membrane channel. Science 283, 1176–1180 (1999).
5. Fleishman, S., Unger, V. M., Yeager, M. & Ben-Tal, N. A Cx26 model for the transmembrane 5 helices of gap junction intercellular channels. Mol. Cell 15, 879–888 (2004).
6. Maeda, S. et al. Structure of the connexin 26 gap junction channel at 3.5A resolution. Nature 458, 597–602 (2009).
7. Harris, A. L. Emerging issues of connexin channels: biophysics fills the gap. Quart. Rev. Biophys. 34, 325–472 (2001).
8. Nielsen, M. S. et al. Gap junctions. Compr. Physiol. 2, 2011–2035 (2012).
9. Desplantez, T., Dupont, E., Severs, N. J. & Weingart, R. Gap junction channels and cardiac impulse propagation. J. Membr. Biol. 218, 13–28 (2007).
10. Johnstone, S., Isakson, B. & Locke, D. Biological and biophysical properties of vascular connexin channels. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 276, 69–119 (2008).
11. Harris, A. L. Connexin channel permeability to cytoplasmic molecules. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 120–143 (2017).
12. Kanaporis, G., Brink, P. R. & Valius, V. Gap junction permeability: selectivity for anionic and cationic probes. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 300, C600–C609 (2011).
13. Spray, D. C., Harris, A. L. & Bennett, M. V. Gap junctional conductance is a simple and sensitive function of intracellular pH. Science 211, 712–715 (1981).
14. Locke, D. & Harris, A. L. Connexin channels and phospholipid association and modulation. BMC Biol. 7, 52 (2009).
15. Srivas, S. Pharmacology of Connexin Channels in Connexins: A Guide. (eds Harris, A. L. & Locke, D.) 207–224 (Humana-Springer, 2009).
16. Revilla, A., Bennett, M. V. & Barrio, L. C. Molecular determinants of membrane potential dependence in vertebrate gap junction channels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 14670–14675 (2000).
17. Harris, A. L., Spray, D. C. & Bennett, M. V. Kinetic properties of a voltage-dependent junctional conductance. J. Gen. Physiol. 77, 95–117 (1981).
18. Trexler, E. B., Bukauskas, F. F., Kronengold, J., Bargiello, T. A. & Verselis, V. K. The first extracellular loop domain is a major determinant of charge selectivity in connexin46 channels. Biol. J. 79, 3036–3051 (2000).
19. Bukauskas, F. F., Verselis, V. K. & Bennett, M. V. Coupling asymmetry of heterotypic connexin 45/connexin 43-EGFP gap junctions: properties of fast and slow gating mechanisms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 7113–7118 (2002).
20. Palacios-Prado, N. et al. Molecular determinants of magnesium-dependent synaptic plasticity at electrical synapses formed by connexin36. Nat. Commun. 6, 6677 (2015).
21. Ikawa, S. et al. Electrical coupling of acinar cells. Nature 268, 147–149 (1977).
22. Zou, J. et al. Gap junction regulation by calmodulin. FEBS Lett. 588, 1430–1438 (2014).
23. Noble, D. & Noble, P. J. Late sodium current in the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease: consequences of sodium-channel overload. Heart 92, i1–i7v (2006).
24. Kologeris, T., Baines, C. P., Krenz, M. & Korthuis, R. J. Cell biology of ischemia/reperfusion injury. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 298, 229–317 (2012).
25. Suchyna, T. M. et al. Different ionic selectivities for connexins 26 and 32 produce rectifying gap junction channels. Biophys. J. 77, 2968–2987 (1999).
26. Kincheloe, T., Adams, J. C., Miyabe, Y., So, E. & Kobayashi, T. Potassium ion recycling pathway via gap junction systems in the mammalian cochlea and its interruption in hereditary nonsyndromic deafness. Med. Electron. Microscopy 33, 51–56 (2000).

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms9770 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications
Characterization of the pH-dependent interaction between the gap junction protein connexin43 carboxyl terminus and cytoplasmic loop domains. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 5801–5813 (2007).

59. Locke, D., Kieken, F., Tao, L., Sorgen, P. L. & Harris, A. L. Mechanism for modulation of gating of connexin26-containing channels by taurine. J. Gen. Physiol. 138, 321–339 (2011).

60. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J. Mol. Graph. 14, 33–38 (1996).

61. Grubmüller, H. & Groll, V. SOLVATE. http://www.mpibpc.mpg.de/grubmueller/solvate (1996–2010).

62. Klauda, J. B. et al. Update of the CHARMM all-atom additive force field for lipids: validation on six lipid types. J. Phys. Chem. B 114, 7830–7843 (2010).

63. Brooks, B. R. et al. CHARMM: the biomolecular simulation program. J. Comput. Chem. 30, 1545–1614 (2009).

64. Im, W., Seefeld, S. & Roux, B. A grand canonical Monte Carlo-Brownian dynamics algorithm for simulating ion channels. Biophys. J. 79, 788–801 (2000).

65. Totrov, M. & Abagyan, R. Rapid boundary element solvation electrostatics calculations in folding simulations: successful folding of a 23-residue peptide. Biopolymers 60, 124–133 (2001).

66. Abagyan, R., Totrov, M. & Kuznetsov, D. ICM—A new method for protein modeling and design: Applications to docking and structure prediction from the distorted native conformation. J. Comput. Chem. 15, 488–506 (1994).

67. Connolly, M. Analytical molecular surface calculation. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 16, 548–558 (1983).

68. Vorobjev, Y. & Scheraga, H. A fast adaptive multigrid boundary element method for macromolecular electrostatic computations in a solvent. J. Comput. Chem. 18, 569–583 (1997).

69. Nemethy, G. et al. Energy parameters in polypeptides.10. Improved geometrical parameters and nonbonded interactions for use in the ECEPP/3 algorithm, with application to proline-containing peptides. J. Phys. Chem. 96, 6472–6484 (1992).

70. Heringa, J. Two strategies for sequence comparison: profile-preprocessed and secondary structure-induced multiple alignment. Comput. Chem. 23, 341–364 (1999).

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by NIH grants R01 HL048908 (M.Y.), R01 GM08538 (Q.Z.), R01 GM071872 (R.C.A.) and P50 GM073197 (R.C.S., M.Y. and Q.Z.). The Center for Membrane Biology at the University of Virginia provided infrastructure for this work. X-ray diffraction data sets were collected at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory using the Southeast Regional Collaborative Access Team (SER-CAT) 22-ID beam line and the GM/CA 25-ID beam line (funded in whole or in part with Federal funds from the National Cancer Institute (Y1-GM-1104)). We thank the beam line staffs for their guidance and expertise during data collection experiments. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38. NAMD was developed by the Theoretical and Computational Biophysics Group in the Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. We also thank Susan Leonhardt, Yuanzi Hua, Barbie Ganser-Pornillos and Peter Kasmon for technical assistance and helpful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge Kelly Dryden for performing negative stain electron microscopy experiments and Peter Horanyi for his assistance with the analytical SEC/multi-angle light scattering experiments. M.Y. is especially grateful to Nigel Unwin for 30 years of encouragement on this project.

Author contributions
M.Y. conceived the project and was responsible for overall project direction and execution. K.A.B. and R.C.A. performed cloning, protein expression, purification and crystallization. Q.Z. synthesized facial amphiphile detergents. R.S. provided experimental guidance in the early stages of the work. K.A.B., R.C.A. and M.D.P. solved the X-ray structures. M.D.P. designed and performed the molecular dynamics simulations. R.A., C.A. and M.D.P. performed electrostatic calculations. B.C.B., M.D.P., W.E.M., A.L.H. and M.Y. wrote and edited the manuscript.

Additional information
Accession codes: Coordinates and structure factor files for Ca2+/bound and Ca2+/free Cx26 have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under PDB ID 5ER7 and 5ERA, respectively.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Bennett, B. C. et al. An electrostatic mechanism for Ca2+/mediated regulation of gap junction channels. Nat. Commun. 7:8770 doi: 10.1038/ncomms9770 (2016).