Origin of Magnetization in Silica-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ Nanoparticles Revealed by Soft X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism

R. Dawn$^1$ · M. Zaman$^{1,2}$ · F. Faizal$^{3,4}$ · C. Kiran$^5$ · A. Kumari$^1$ · R. Shahid$^2$ · C. Panatarani$^{3,4}$ · I. M. Joni$^{3,4}$ · V. K. Verma$^6$ · S. K. Sahoo$^7$ · K. Amemiya$^8$ · V. R. Singh$^1$

Received: 15 September 2021 / Accepted: 31 March 2022 / Published online: 18 April 2022
© The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Sociedade Brasileira de Física 2022

Abstract
Magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) nanoparticles (NPs) and SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles have successfully been synthesized using co-precipitation and modified Stöber methods, respectively. The samples were characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) techniques, X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), and X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD). XRD and FTIR data confirmed the structural configuration of a single-phase Fe$_3$O$_4$ and the successful formation of SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. XRD also confirmed that we have succeeded to synthesize nano-meter size of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. HRTEM images showed the increasing thickness of SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ with the addition of the Tetraethyl Orthosilicate (TEOS). Room temperature VSM analysis showed the magnetic behaviour of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and its variations that occurred after SiO$_2$ coating. The magnetic behaviour is further authenticated by XAS spectra analysis which cleared about the existence of SiO$_2$ shells that have transformed the crystal as well as the local structures of the magnetite NPs. We have performed XMCD measurements, which is a powerful element-specific technique to find out the origin of magnetization in SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, that verified a decrease in magnetization with increasing thickness of the SiO$_2$ coating.
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1 Introduction
Nanoparticles (NPs) with highly controllable magnetic properties have achieved a great aspect in biological field, mainly in diagnostic and therapeutic applications due to their nanometric size range [1–4]. Magnetic NPs (MNPs), particularly magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) and maghemite ($\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$), have stolen the interest of many for their outstanding performance as magnetic beads. Some incredible properties like super-paramagnetic and low toxicity have been achieved in MNPs which concludes them to various applications in biotechnological and biomedical world such as magnetic resonance imaging [1], cell sorting, enzyme immobilization [5], bio-sensing and bio-electrocatalysis [6], separation and purification of nucleic-acids from blood samples [7, 8], tumour therapy [9], and targeted drug delivery [10, 11].

To develop a high-quality magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ NP beads, various synthesis and characterization techniques have been explored [12–15]. As suggested by the researchers, the main requirements in MNPs are as follows: they should be chemically stable, dispersive in liquid media with a uniform size. A
protective coating becomes very essential to protect the magnetic behaviour of potentially sensitive iron ions from further environmental contamination and oxidation. In ferro-fluid, stability is preserved with the help of electrostatic interactions within the counterions and repulsive interactions among the amphoteric hydroxyl ions (H$_3$O$^+$ or OH$^-$). In the recent years, much effort has been devoted in the progress and betterment of silica-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs [16–20]. For silica, the iron-oxide surface shows a strong affinity; therefore, through sol–gel process, an uncomplicated coating of amorphous silica can be occurred for such MNPs [21]. It has been found that the encapsulation of silica on the surface of iron oxide NPs can preserve their chemical stability. Such consequences of these NPs can be applied in bio-molecular field by controlling the self-assembly of nano-devices [23–25]. Molecular self-assembly highlights the core-conception of supra-molecular chemistry [26], since assembly of such molecules is proceeded by electromagnetic interactions as well as non-covalent interactions (e.g. hydrogen bonding, metal co-ordination, hydrophobic forces, van der Waals forces, electrostatic forces) and also can prevent oxidation [22]. Furthermore, the silica-coated surface may form silanol (Si–OH) group which can easily conjugate with organ-silanes by establishing Si–O-Si covalent bonds. Common illustrations incorporate the arrangement of Langmuir monolayers by surfactant molecules [27]. These strategies create a required interaction within biomolecules and materials by implementing a stable intermediate layer. To achieve a controlled spatial distribution and accumulation of crystalline magnetic nanoparticles with a controlled dimension at nanometric range, it has become a beneficial attempt through decades is by embedding or encapsulating them with a support of matrix which have the capability of keeping durably dispersed and hence limiting interparticle magnetic interactions. Such interlayers ought to accomplish satisfactory functional groups which can be associated with the biomolecules. The foremost known groups are -NH$_2$ (amines) that spontaneously interacts with biomolecules by developing covalent bonds [28]. Due to its significance, different biomedical applications have put a faith in developing various functionalized surfaces with advanced qualities. The functionalization of nano-shells with self-assembled monolayer (SAM) will promote nano-shell purification and can produce new prospects for nano-shell manipulation in consequent investigations with modified applications [29]. SAM-coated nanoparticles are not so interactable in air and moisture but possess a good solubility among a vast span of organic solvents. Exclusively, from the crowd of most promising coated materials, amorphous silica has achieved a high acclamation in the last decade [30–33]. In particular, magnetic interactions resulting in hardening and softening in the magnetic behaviour of the nanocomposites are greatly influenced by the concentration and dispersion of the magnetic phase within the SiO$_2$ matrix. To obtain small size nanoparticles have gained all interest due to its capability of behaving as a single magnetic domain in the influence of applied magnetic field without diverting their magnetic moments in different orientations and thus maximizing over-all magnetization.

Encasing of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles in a silica coat prevents oxidation and leakage of iron ions; therefore, its inert surface can be applied for biomolecular precipitation keeping a lesser chance of irreversible binding [34]. As SiO$_2$ behaves as a hydrophilic layer, it becomes an important equipment to purify biomolecules [22]. For such specifications, magnetic beads have proven as one of the best tools for cell separations, like demolishing tumour cells with a great achievement in drug delivery, isolation of clutted dead cells from peripheral blood, for identifying genetic analysis of nucleic acid (deoxyribonucleic acid or DNA and ribonucleic acid or RNA) by nucleic acid separation and hence, segregation of binding proteins [7–11]. It has also proven good for protein purification by magnetic separation due to its excellent suspension ability of rapid conversion from solid-phase to solution and vice-versa with a larger binding surface area. Additionally, some of these techniques have also produced an emphasis in case of detecting nucleic acids from viruses and bacteria [8].

In this study, we have reported MNPs synthesis, a comprehensive study on their structure and a vast discussion about the magnetic characterisation of silica-coated magnetic NPs. The crystal structures have been studied by analysing the X-ray diffraction (XRD) and the chemical composite formation was observed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), whereas the detailed information about the local structure has been obtained using Fe L-edge and O K-edge by element-specific X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD). The crystal morphology and the quality of coating were verified with the help of a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM). We have performed the investigation of the effects of silica coatings with varying concentration of SiO$_2$ on Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and discussed it in the term of crystal structure as well as the local structure with the magnetic properties i.e. origin of magnetization using element-specific XMCD techniques, which consequently could give us an idea about its usefulness in biomedical applications, especially in nucleic acid separation in case of Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RTPCR) techniques which have come out as one of the most authentic technique in virus detection in recent days.

2 Experimental Methods

2.1 Formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$

The MNPs in our discussion was prepared from the most commonly used co-precipitation method [34–36]. Synthesisation
from natural ironstone to \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) nanoparticles follows several steps as below:

In a solution, Iron (III) Chloride (FeCl\(_3\)) and Iron (II) Chloride (FeCl\(_2\). 4H\(_2\)O, 99%) was dissolved in a 2:1 molar ratio and treated with deionized water in a magnetic stirrer before heating it up to reflux. These are stirred for 1 h at 500 rpm. Then, after 1 h, the addition of Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 was stirred little by little into the Fe mixture and stirred for 1 h at 500 rpm. Ammonia solution (NH\(_4\)OH) is mixed with PEG 6000 and again stirred for 1 h at 500 rpm once the mixture reaches to 70 °C. This process forms a black precipitation which was washed by deionized water for several times and further separated by using centrifugation. Therefore, the \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) synthesis process occurs through the following reaction:

\[
2\text{FeCl}_3 + \text{FeCl}_2 + 8\text{NH}_4\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 8\text{NH}_4\text{Cl} + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

(1)

After this synthesis, FeCl\(_3\) and FeCl\(_2\) are mixed by dripping FeCl\(_3\) into FeCl\(_2\) using a micro pump with speed controller 8 with stirring at 500 rpm. (a black precipitate of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \)) is formed.

After the running out of FeCl\(_3\), drip into the FeCl\(_2\) is dripped into it and then supplied N\(_2\) gas into the solution for 1 h with N\(_2\) gas flow rate of 0.8-1L/min while stirring at 500 rpm. After giving N\(_2\) gas into the sample solution, wash the formed \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) sample to a neutral pH (pH 7) using Aquadest. \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) samples that have been washed until neutral (pH 7), the \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) samples are dispersed with ethanol and the coating reaction was immediately started to prevent the oxidation.

### 2.2 Formation of Si + \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \)

Encasing of silica on \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) MNPs was synthesized by modified Stöber method [37, 38]. This follows a sol–gel method to synthesize SiO\(_2\) particles using Tetraethyl Orthosilicate (TEOS) in addition with surface-active molecules as precursors using a micro pump speed controller 8, stir at 500 rpm. The reaction process is as follows:

\[
\text{Si(OOC}_2\text{H}_3)_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{NH}_4\text{OH}} \text{Si(OH)}_4 + 4\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}
\]  

(2)

\[
\text{Si(OH)}_4 + 4\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

(3)

In this reaction procedure, ethanol and Ethoxysilanos (Si(OH)\(_4\)) condenses by releasing water. In this way, the synthesis parameter helps SiO\(_2\) to encase the surface-active molecules. Those surface-active molecules vanish via the heating process and hence create empty pores and form hollow particles of silica. Subsequently, the silica/\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) attaches and permits the formation of core–shell structures. NH\(_4\)OH and deionized water have been mixed into the \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) and TEOS mixture using a micro pump speed controller 8, stir at 500 rpm for 1 h. After 1 h of stirring, the sample solution is washed using ethanol until pH 7 is achieved. The sample is then re-dispersed with ethanol. The samples were dried in a drying oven at a temperature of 60 °C for 12 h.

We have produced different concentrations of Si-encapsulated \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) nanoparticles by differing the proportions of TEOS with Fe (II) and Fe (III) precursors. We have measured their actions with respect to the non-capsulated \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) nanoparticles. We have tried to recognize them by mentioning \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2 : 0 \) (for non-capsulated \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \)), \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2 : 1 \) (for 71.875 g/ml capsulation coating), \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2 : 2 \) (for 107.812 g/ml capsulation coating), \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2 : 3 \) (for 143.750 g/ml capsulation coating), \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2 : 4 \) (for 215.625 g/ml capsulation coating), \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2 : 5 \) (for 287.5 g/ml capsulation coating) and hereafter referred as S.0, S.1, S.2, S.3, S.4, and S.5 respectively.

### 2.3 Characterizations

The samples were probed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Rigaku X-Ray Diffractometer) using a Cu K\(_\alpha\) (\( \lambda = 1.540 \text{ Å} \)) with angular resolution of step-size 0.001° having 0–20 range 10–90° and generator setting on 40 kV and 40 mA. The average size of the nanoparticles was determined from full-width at half maxima (\( \beta \)) and the Bragg angle (\( \theta \)) of the reflection [311] using Scherrer’s equation [39]:

\[
D = k \lambda / \beta \cos \theta
\]  

where \( D \) refers to the mean diameter of the crystalline domain of the particles, \( k \) is the constant proportionality parameter (assumed \( k = 0.9 \)), and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of X-ray radiation. The infrared (IR) spectra of the sample were measured using IR Spectroscopy through Fourier transform (FT-IR) technique of Nicolet 6700 spectrometer, Thermo Scientific, arranged with DTGS detector, (preparing a mixture to dilute the sample in KBr+ 1%) at a range of 4000 to 500 cm\(^{-1}\) having 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolutions in 128 acquisitions. The elemental analysis was done by Shimadzu X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (model EDX 720). The samples were kept inside the sample holder with the help of a polypropylene film and the Si and Fe content were calculated by the Quali Quant method, which was supplied by Shimadzu. We have also used HRTEM 9500 (Hitachi) with LaB6 as a source of electron at 300 kV to study the size and morphology of the nanoparticle samples and their compositions. For the preparation of sample, the nanoparticles were diffused in ethyl alcohol by ultrasonic bath followed by a deposition on the TEM copper grid of 400 mesh, packed with carbon and then dehydrated at room temperature. To study the size of the nano-particles, we have plotted the linear function graphs of frequency (counts) vs particle size (nm) with the help of particle size analyzer (PSA) technique corresponding with various TEM measurements. The magnetic
properties were measured by vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) (ADE, EV-7VSM, USA), X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements at a Beamline of BL-16A, Photon Factory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organisation (KEK-PF, Japan). The monochromator having resolution $E/\Delta E \geq 5000$ with circular polarization at 87% ± 4% [40]. The vacuum chamber sustains a pressure at $1 \times 10^{-9}$ Torr. We have performed the XAS measurements in total electron yield (TEY) mode occurring the probing depth at ~ 5–10 nm [41].

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Structural and Elemental Characterization

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs, which is in good concurrence with the crystal-structure pattern of magnetite. The characteristic peaks can be recognized at 2$\theta$ positions = 18.01° (111), 30.284° (220), 35.662° (311), 43.289° (400), 53.5° (422), 57.173° (511), 62.844° (440), 71.351° (151), and 74.284° (002). The patterns of S.0 and S.3 are shown in Fig. 1 as an example. The XRD pattern of magnetite (PDF No. 96–900-7645) is included in the figure for comparison. Both S.0 and S.3 showed identical XRD pattern, with a small change in background in sample S.3. This may be due to the presence of amorphous SiO$_2$ in the sample. The intensity of (111) peak is weak in S.0 sample; it could be due to small signal-to-noise ratio. Moreover, the crystallinity was found to be the same having only a little change in the characteristic peaks’ intensity, due to the presence of amorphous silica. We have determined the mean diameter of sample S.0 and S.3 using Rietveld analysis and Scherrer’s equation, which had come out to be about $6 \pm 1$ nm and $7 \pm 2$ nm, respectively corresponding to the {311} plane. We have also confirmed the reproducibility of the XRD patterns in the terms of peaks, peak position, and average particle size on another set of synthesized MNPs. Thus, the XRD data suggest that MNPs obtained in the present study has size distributions in nano-meter size.

The absorption infrared spectra lie in the wavenumber ranging from 4000 to 500 cm$^{-1}$ of samples S.0 and S.3 are shown in Fig. 2. The results of IR absorption peaks indicate the types of functional group of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and SiO$_2$@Fe$_3$O$_4$ present in NPs. The O–H bond was found in the range of 3550–3000 cm$^{-1}$, whereas the H–O-H acquired a symmetric bending at ~ 1630 cm$^{-1}$. Therefore, the bands ranging from 1600 to 3500 cm$^{-1}$can be considered the H–O-H stretching modes and bending vibration of the free or water-absorbed conditions. The Fe–O–Si band arrived at ~ 1050 cm$^{-1}$ proves that the sample has a proper SiO$_2$ doping [42–45]. At the wavenumber ~ 570 cm$^{-1}$, Fe–O showed an asymmetric vibration [42]. This supports the XRD data which showed that SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs have been formed. Here, we would like to remind you that this study area focuses about the synthesis of silica-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs not silica-disperse Fe$_3$O$_4$. To form the coating by Stöber approach, parameters should

![Fig. 1](Colour online): XRD patterns of S.0 and S.3 samples compared with the magnetite (JCPDS no.- 96–900-7645)

![Fig. 2](Colour online): FTIR spectra of S.0 and S.3 samples
be optimised during synthesis of NPs. In fact, the silica condensation rate must be quicker than its hydrolysis. The condensation rate of silica could be regulated by using low pH, low concentration of TEOS, and low synthesis temperature.

Elemental analysis was done by using EDX analysis which is presented in the graphical abstract. We calculated the average composition of samples S.0 and S.3 with an electron probe which covers hundreds of particles and thus, confirming the composition. From the analysis, it is clear that as the concentration of TEOS increased, the amount of silica will also increase. The EDX results also confirmed that synthesis procedures are completely reproducible with a nice synthesizable capability for both of the pure and Si-encapsulated MNPs. Despite the hydrophilicity of NPs in Fe3O4, there is a chance for aggregation among the particles due to their nominal size and thus demands to decrease the surface energy. In the synthesis process no any surfactant was used, this aggregation was quite expected as stated in the literature [21, 46, 47].

3.2 Transmission Electron Microscopy

All the particles prepared got almost a spherical approach with a regular average size as shown by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in Fig. 3. Here, we assumed that N particles have been used in order to determine the particle sizes for S.0 and S.3 samples from TEM images; histogram has been mounted (not shown here) followed by Sturges’s method [48]. For fitting histogram, we adopted lognormal distribution function as

\[ f(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta D} \exp \left( -\ln^2 \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \right) \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( \sigma_0 \) is the average value and \( \delta \) is the poly-dispersion index. The average NPs size can be determined using expression as

\[ \sigma_{avg} = \sigma_0 \exp \left( \frac{\delta^2}{2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The average diameter of 7.1 nm and 8.5 nm for S.0 and S.3 samples was observed which are consistent with XRD as shown in Table 1.

3.3 Magnetic Characterization

3.3.1 Vibrating Sample Magnetometry (VSM)

The magnetization of S.0 and S.3 samples in the range of ± 1 T is shown in Fig. 4 introducing as functions of magnetic field. The hysteresis loops of these samples confirm the ferrimagnetic behaviour which originates only from Fe3O4 NPs (where Fe3+ ions are located at the tetrahedral (Td) and also in the octahedral (Oh) sites having a ratio 1:1 and Fe2+ ions are also located at the Oh sites) as because SiO2 is non-magnetic. Therefore, Fe2+ ions at Oh sites are responsible to produce net magnetization while Fe3+ ions at Oh and Td sites will cancel each other and will not contribute to net magnetization [49].

![Fig. 3](Colour online): HRTEM images of samples: (a), (b) S.0 and (c), (d) S.3, histogram fitted with lognormal function are shown in the insets of (a) and (e) for S.0 and S.3, respectively.
Using Bloch theory [50], the demagnetization can be described as:

\[ M(T = T) = M(T = 0)[1 - \xi ST^{3/2}] \quad (7) \]

Here, \( M(T = T) \) and \( M(T = 0) \) define magnetization at \( T = T \) K and \( 0 \) K respectively; \( \xi \) is surface thickness; \( S \) is stiffness constant of spin-wave referring \( T \) as temperature. Thus, at a specific temperature, the magnetization will vary with respect to \( \xi \) and \( S \). As the concentration of SiO\(_2\) increases, the value of \( \xi \) increases [51]. Therefore, the magnetization decreased as the coating of SiO\(_2\) on Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) increases as shown in Table 1. Thickness of SiO\(_2\) coating is reducing the interaction of the intrinsic magnetic moment of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs with the externally applied magnetic-fields. Zhao et al. [52] mentioned the reduction of magnetization due to weight contribution of SiO\(_2\). However, the other possibility should be SiO\(_2\) passivation and their strong impact in the suppression of magnetization. Coskun and Korkmaz [53] stated that the SiO\(_2\) passivated the nanoparticle and due to passivation, the magnetic interaction between nanoparticles decreases which results the reduction in magnetization. The saturation magnetization of the S.0 and S.3 samples was 62.31 emu/gm and 21.86 emu/gm, respectively with coercivity ~ 196 Oe in both samples as shown in the inset of Fig. 4 unlike Kralj et al. [35], which confirms that MNPs are not in a superparamagnetic state. This result gets a reliability about the presence of spontaneous exchange bias through the hysteresis loops in the samples which indicates the reduction of the number of available magnetic moments and thus negatively affects the net magnetization in the samples [54]. To proceed further investigation, we adopted element-specific and powerful techniques i.e., XAS and XMCD and performed to find out a microscopic origin of magnetizations in Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) along with SiO\(_2\)-coated Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs.

### 3.3.2 X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism

We used the X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) technique in order to get spin and orbital magnetic moments. Unlike magnetometry, XMCD is powerful element-specific technique and proficient to extract separately spin and orbital magnetic moments. Using sum rules, one can obtain orbital and spin contributions in total magnetization. The XAS measurements were carried out on all the samples i.e., from S.0 to S.5. A magnetic field along the parallel direction to the beam and consequently perpendicular to membranes was applied in the range of ±3 T at 300 K. The XAS was first recorded by scanning the energy across the Fe \( L_{2,3} \) edges, from 690 to 730 eV. The \( L_{2,3} \) edges of Fe 2p core level split the XAS into \( L_3 \) (\( 2p_{3/2} \)) and \( L_2 \) (\( 2p_{1/2} \)) edges due to spin–orbit coupling and both the edges separated by ~ 13 eV. The \( L_3 \) and \( L_2 \) edge spectra arise due to spin–orbit interaction of the 2p core shell. The magnetic moment of the element is proportional to the number of the empty valence state of 3d shell. In order to rule out the background slope contribution which arises due to charge absorption at the \( L_{3,2} \) absorption edge peak, i.e., below \( L_3 \) to above \( L_2 \) edges, the XAS absorption spectra were carefully normalized to 1. In Fig. 5, \( \mu^+ \) and \( \mu^- \) denote the absorption coefficients for photon helicity parallel and antiparallel to the majority spin direction of Fe, respectively. The XMCD spectrum is obtained by taking the difference between two opposite helicities of XAS spectra measured at particular magnetic field i.e., \( \Delta \mu = \mu^+-\mu^- \). The XAS and XMCD spectra of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and SiO\(_2\)-coated Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs sample showed fine or multiplet structures which are characteristic of the localized 3d state of Fe as shown.

| Sample name | TEOS (gram/ml) | Size of crystal (nm) | Thickness of SiO\(_2\) (nm) | Total size of crystal@ SiO\(_2\) (nm) | Magnetization (emu/g) |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| S.0         | 0              | 6±1                  | 0                           | 6.0±1                                 | 62.31                 |
| S.1         | 71.88          | 6±1                  | 1                           | 7.0±1                                 | 52.12                 |
| S.2         | 107.81         | 6±1                  | 1.4                         | 7.4±1                                 | 32.32                 |
| S.3         | 143.75         | 6±1                  | 2.0                         | 8.0±1                                 | 21.86                 |
| S.4         | 215.63         | 6±1                  | 2.6                         | 8.6±1                                 | 15.26                 |
| S.5         | 287.50         | 6±1                  | 3.2                         | 9.2±1                                 | 9.13                  |

**Fig. 4** (Colour online): Hysteresis loop of S.0 and S.3 samples

---

Table 1: Particle size, SiO\(_2\) thickness, and saturation magnetization

| Sample name | TEOS (gram/ml) | Size of crystal (nm) | Thickness of SiO\(_2\) (nm) | Total size of crystal@ SiO\(_2\) (nm) | Magnetization (emu/g) |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| S.0         | 0              | 6±1                  | 0                           | 6.0±1                                 | 62.31                 |
| S.1         | 71.88          | 6±1                  | 1                           | 7.0±1                                 | 52.12                 |
| S.2         | 107.81         | 6±1                  | 1.4                         | 7.4±1                                 | 32.32                 |
| S.3         | 143.75         | 6±1                  | 2.0                         | 8.0±1                                 | 21.86                 |
| S.4         | 215.63         | 6±1                  | 2.6                         | 8.6±1                                 | 15.26                 |
| S.5         | 287.50         | 6±1                  | 3.2                         | 9.2±1                                 | 9.13                  |
The Fe $L_3$-edge XMCD spectra have a positive peak at 708.0 eV, where Fe$^{3+}$ is at tetrahedral ($T_d$) site and two negative peaks at 706.8 eV, where Fe$^{2+}$ is at octahedral ($O_h$) sites and 708.7 eV, where Fe$^{3+}$ is at $O_h$ sites. The XAS and XMCD spectra of all MNPs are compared with those of other Fe compounds as shown in Fig. 5(c, d). The line shape of the Fe $L_{2,3}$ XAS and XMCD spectrum of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs was not similar from line shape that is found in Fe metal [55], which indicates the absence of Fe metal segregation in Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. However, the line shape of Fe $L_{2,3}$ XAS and XMCD spectrum of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs closely resembles with both the Fe$_3$O$_4$ (Fe$^{3+}$: Fe$^{2+}$ = 2:1) [56] and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Fe$^{3+}$) [56], specifying the fact that Fe ions in Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs have valency of 2$^+$ and 3$^+$ both. In Fe$_3$O$_4$, the Fe$^{3+}$ ($O_h$) peak is large compare to Fe$^{3+}$ ($O_h$) peak while in Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, we found that the Fe$^{2+}$ ($O_h$) peak was significantly less compare to Fe$^{3+}$ ($O_h$) peak. This arises from the fact that in the octahedral sites of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, Fe$^{2+}$ ions possess limited occupancy. The same reason of occupancy refers to the existence of secondary γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase or vacancy of Fe that leads to a

![Fig. 5](https://example.com/fig5.png)

(Color online): (a) XAS and (b) XMCD Spectra of samples S.0–S.5 showing Fe $L$-edge; (c) XAS spectra compared with XAS patterns of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [52], Fe$_3$O$_4$ [52], pure Fe [51]. (d) XMCD spectra compared with XMCD patterns of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [52], Fe$_3$O$_4$ [52], and pure Fe [51].
non-stoichiometric Fe$_{3-\delta}$O$_4$ in case of Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs. Following SiO$_2$ coating, the ratio of intensity in Fe$^{2+}$ ($O_h$) increases suggesting that Fe$^{3+}$ ions at octahedral sites have increased and hence it forms stoichiometric Fe$_3$O$_4$. However, SEM and TEM techniques verify the existence of Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase, ruling out the occurrence of additional Fe-oxide phases. According to Pellegrin et al., the increased intensity of Fe$^{3+}$ ($O_h$) ions is due to the nonstoichiometric Fe$_{3-\delta}$O$_4$ rather than γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [57]. Ho et al. have shown in their report that the shape-dependent occupancy of the cations present in the $O_h$ sites was mostly occupied by Fe$^{3+}$ ions when Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs has cubic shape rather than polyhedral [58].

The orbital magnetic moment ($m_{orb}$) along with the spin magnetic moment ($m_{spin}$) of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs was determined using sum rules [59–66] on the integrated XMCD and total XAS spectra of Fe $L_{2,3}$ edges based on equation:

$$m_{orb} = -\frac{4q}{3r} n_h \mu_B$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$m_{spin} = -2 \left[ \frac{3p - 2q}{r} \right] n_h \mu_B - 7m_T$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The integrated spectra of XAS and XMCD provide the parameters ($p$, $q$, and $r$) for the sum rule to obtain the $m_{orb}$ and $m_{spin}$. Indicating $p$ as the integral value of $L_2$-edge, $q$ being the integral for both of the $L_2$ and $L_3$-edges of XMCD and $r$ refers to the integral of the sum of XAS possessing two helicities of light; $n_h$ signifies the number of holes in the 3$d$ orbital of the transition metal used here and lastly $m_T$ defines the magnetic quadrupole moment. We take consideration of $n_h = 13.7$ for Fe$^{3+}$ ion [67]. According to the measurements of Fe 2$p$ core level photoemission [68], the net numbers of 3$d$ electrons of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ are 5.3 and 6.1, respectively. The calculated numbers of electrons in 3$d$ bands are 16.7 electrons per formula unit of Fe$_3$O$_4$. In addition, the LDA+U calculations show that the number of 3$d$ electrons in Fe$_2$O$_3$ is 16.32. Therefore, the number of holes, $n_h = 13.7$ per formula unit of Fe$_3$O$_4$ in the 3$d$ band [69].

To eliminate the non-magnetic part from the spectra of XAS, a step-function having an arc-tangent base was used for threshold fitting. The non-magnetic portion was $< 1/1000$ times from the total absorption in the raw data. The saturation effect was approximately $\sim 2\%$ at the normal incidence in our case. The magnetic-dipole becomes inconsiderable for the cubic symmetry in magnetite, even though it breaks the additional surface symmetry [60]. The determined value of $m_{spin} / m_{orb}$ and $m_{orb} / m_{spin}$ vs field for S.0–S.5 samples is plotted in Fig. 6. The magnetic moment decreased in SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs compared to the Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs. After the encapsulation of silica, the 3$d$ orbitals of the Fe ions at the octahedral sites occur splitting which results to a gradual decrement in their magnetic moment. Those 3$d$ orbitals at $O_h$ sites split into lower $t_{2g}$ ($d_{xy}, d_{xz},$, and $d_{yz}$) and higher $e_g$ ($d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{z^2}$) energy levels. The crystal field splitting in the tetrahedral field ($\Delta_t$) is quite smaller than the crystal field splitting in the octahedral field ($\Delta_o = 2.25\Delta_t$). Hence, pairing of electrons in octahedral field is larger compare to tetrahedral field. In SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, the Fe$^{2+}$ ions present in the $O_h$ sites are increased. The electrons in Fe$^{2+}$ ions at octahedral site first occupies lower $t_{2g}$ levels and then forward for higher $e_g$ levels resulting in the formation of more pairs of electrons. X. Che and H.N. Bertram explained that with the increase of nonmagnetic layer, the ferromagnetic exchange coupling decreases and the interaction between the two magnetic layers couple anti-ferromagnetically which reduces the magnetization [70]. Thus, a deduction in the magnetic moment is found here for samples S.1–S.5. According to Mendonça et al., SiO$_2$ coating enlarges the paramagnetic component and concludes to a decrement of magnetic moment [71]. Interestingly, such trend of $M_r$ and $M_s$ values in the M-H loop occurred from the VSM measurement were establishing a similar pattern with S.0 and S.3 samples but slightly higher. This discrepancy comes in
play as the value of magnetic moment determined from the experimental XMCD spectra belongs to the surface region as proposed by Amemiya et al. [72]. In XMCD measurement, the probing depth was chosen in the range of ~5 nm as the TEY mode was only depicted in our experimental section. The Fe₃O₄ NPs coated by amorphous SiO₂ affect the signal so the majority of the signals arriving from these topmost surface layers are suppressed. From XRD and TEM images, it is also seen that SiO₂ layers (1–2 nm) are present at the surface of Fe₃O₄ NPs. These are not probed by the XMCD spectra in TEY mode, although the values of Mₛ and Mₛ occurred from the M-H loop also contribute from the total volume of the NPs.

The measurement of O K-edge XAS was performed at 300 K to discriminate Fe₃O₄ from γ-Fe₂O₃. Figure 7 represents normalized spectral formation of O K-edge XAS of S.0–S.5 MNPs samples. The features labelled with A, B, and C are similar to XAS data of Fe₃O₄ published previously [73–76]. The spectral features labelled with A in the pre-edge region (below 535 eV) specify transition from the unoccupied O 1 s state to hybridized states of O 2p–Fe 3d, preferring most of the localization in the Fe-site. From XAS spectrum, it has been proven that the pre-peak of the Fe₃O₄ NPs split into two peaks where A₁ with energy value 530.4 eV specifies the t₂g state having π characteristics, whereas A₂ (the shoulder peak) at 531.5 eV specifies e₉ state having σ characteristic followed from ligand field theory. The B and C features found in the post-edge region (above 535 eV) refer to the transitions of electrons from O 1 s to O 2p states which follows their hybridization states at Fe 4s and 4p, respectively. The splitting of energy and relative intensities of peaks A₁ and A₂ were functions of the O-Fe structure. The splitting between A₁ and A₂ has a larger energy value in α-Fe₂O₃ (1.45 eV) and occurs a reduction to 1.05 eV for Fe₂O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃, although a spectral shift in the absorption edge at ~0.35 eV for all the features of γ-Fe₂O₃ and Fe₂O₄ is exhibited which signifies a shift towards lower energies [72, 74]. In addition, with such observations, a relative intensity of the peaks A and B, i.e., Iₐ/I₉ that determines the population density of holes in 3d band is further compared with the hole population of 4sp band. The spectra were fitted by Gaussian function using IgorPro which provided the accurate values of peak positions, intensities along with the accurate measured areas within the peaks. The intensity of peaks A₁ and A₂ spectra in pre-edge region of O K-edge clearly decreased for SiO₂-coated Fe₂O₄ MNPs. Similarly, the intensity of XMCD of Fe L₃ edge decreased for SiO₂-coated Fe₂O₄ MNPs. These results indicated that the SiO₂ were uniformly dispersed over the surface of Fe₂O₄ NPs. Because of uniform distribution of SiO₂ over Fe₂O₄ NPs, the probing depth capacity decreases and the intensity of O K-edge and Fe L₃-edge of XMCD suppressed. Figure 7 provides the evidence that there were no significant shifts found in the spectra of Fe₂O₄ NPs with respect to SiO₂-coated Fe₂O₄ MNPs except a decrement in the t₂g/e₉ intensity ratio that signifies the changes occurred at

![Fig. 7](Colour online) O K-edge XAS spectra of Fe₃O₄ (sample S.0) and SiO₂-coated Fe₃O₄ NPs (samples S.1–S.5)
the local environment. The intensity ratio $I_d/I_{g}$ is also found to be increased in SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs compared to Fe$_3$O$_4$ due to the increment of the population of holes in the 3$d$ band as verified with hole-population of the 4$sp$ band in SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs.

Coming to an end, it becomes worth analysing that the potential of the synthesized SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs which have been used for various biological applications. By utilizing the advantages of magnetic property, high surface area due to nanoparticle, good dispersion, and easy separation of MNPs in buffer systems, the nucleic acid of other pathogenic viruses infected in blood can be separated. From our preliminary data, NPs size distributions are smaller compared to the commercial one which is enhancing the performance of the DNA (or, nucleic acid) separation and are under progress to help the hospitals or the medical field in RTPCR diagnosis for COVID-19.

4 Conclusion

The single-phase Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and silica-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were prepared by co-precipitation of Fe (III) and Fe (II) precursors with 2:1 ratio, using modified Stöber methods, respectively. XRD verification showed that reproducible materials gather high proximity between the crystalline size domains in case of MNPs. It is also confirmed that we were able to make nano-size Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. From the spectral demonstration of FT-IR, it is verified that the surface of the magnetite achieved a successful capsulation of silica. HRTEM images exhibited that most of the MNPs have an approximately spherical shape and a mean regular diameter. The same spherical distribution along with a perfect distribution of encapsulated NPs was found for silica-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$. The magnetic properties of the NPs and their origin were confirmed by the measurements of magnetization. After the encapsulation of SiO$_2$ on Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, an interface of Si–O–Fe gets generated which is confirmed from FTIR results. This distribution of Si–O-Fe layer has an immense emphasis in changing the net magnetization of the NPs. Due to the presence of non-magnetic SiO$_2$-layer, Fe$_3$O$_4$ shows a striking change in its magnetic properties. Fe$_3$O$_4$ with inverse spinel structure exhibits double exchange ferromagnetic interaction in its bulk, while at the surface region, Fe$^{2+}$ ions at $O_d$-sites and the Fe$^{3+}$ ions occupying the $T_{sp}$sites have an antiparallel arrangement of magnetic moments. Hence, there exists an antiferromagnetic superexchange interaction creating anti-phase boundary. The presence of non-magnetic SiO$_2$ reduces the phase boundary and enhances the anti-ferromagnetic property at the Si–O-Fe interface. Hence, the ferromagnetic exchange coupling decreases monotonically with the increase in the interlayer thickness. X. Che and H.N. Bertram support that a magnetic material consists of many small single-domain grains [70]. Each grain has uniaxial anisotropy due to either stress, shape of the particle and the crystal structure. The increment of the ratio of Fe$^{2+}$ ions at the octahedral site in the XMCD analysis also gives an emphasis to this fact. The surface defect generated at the interlayer region of the coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs can break the superexchange paths due to the increasing thickness of SiO$_2$ and induce spin disorder that leads to less magnetization with paramagnetic behaviour in nanocrystalline SiO$_2$@Fe$_3$O$_4$. The net saturation magnetization decreased as the amount of silica increased on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. XMCD measurements suggested that SiO$_2$ coating increased the paramagnetic component which resulted to a gradual decrement of the magnetic moments. Such reduction in the magnetic moment after silica coating could be related to the fact that the 3$d$ orbitals of Fe ions occur splitting at $O_d$ sites. The O K-edge XAS spectra suggested that there were no specific shifts found along the spectra of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs compared to SiO$_2$-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs except for the decrease in the intensity ratio of $t_{2g}/e_{g}$ which was occurred for the change in a local environment.
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