Lousy chicks: Chewing lice from the Imperial Shag, *Leucocarbo atriceps*
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**Abstract**

Forty-one imperial shag chicks were sampled for lice during the breeding season of 2014 in Punta León, Argentina. We found 2 lice species, *Pectinopygus turbinatus* infesting the body and *Piagetiella capitincisum* present in the oral cavity of the birds. This constitutes the first host record for *P. turbinatus* and the first record for the continental Argentina for *P. capitincisum.* Ninety-three percent of the chicks were infested by at least one lice species. *P. turbinatus* was present in all of the lousy chicks, while *P. capitincisum* infested 84.2% of them. The mean intensity was 29.5 and the range 1–129. There was no difference in prevalence, mean intensity or mean abundance between louse hosts. However, we found differences among the pattern of infestation of each species. Imperial shag chicks were infested by their parents during their first days of life by *P. turbinatus,* mainly in nymphal stage and by *P. capitincisum* as adult lice. Our results showed differences among lice species that could be related to the restrictions that lice from seabirds faced during their life cycle.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The Imperial Shag, *Leucocarbo atriceps* King (Suliformes, Phalacrocoracidae) is one of the five species of comorants inhabiting South America and one of the most abundant seabirds on the Patagonian coast (Yorio et al., 1999; Frere et al., 2005). In Argentina, it is distributed from Punta León (43°S) to the Beagle Channel (54°S), with an estimated number of 55,000 pairs (Frere et al., 2005). The Imperial Shag is a colonial ground-nesting seabird where both parents play an active role in the care and feeding of chicks throughout the breeding cycle (Johnsgard, 1993). This monogamous seabird usually lays a three-egg clutch that hatches asynchronously over 4–5 days (Svagelj and Quintana, 2011a, 2011b; Calderón et al., 2012). This hatching pattern results in age and size asymmetry between chicks, where the probability of fledging drastically decreases with hatching order (Svagelj and Quintana, 2011a). This species exhibits obligate brood reduction where last-hatched chicks from three-hatching broods usually starve to death within the first week of life, with successful breeding attempts producing one or two-chick broods (Svagelj and Quintana, 2011a, 2011b).

Although much is known about its reproductive biology (Svagelj and Quintana, 2007, 2011a, 2011b; Svagelj et al., 2012) and feeding ecology (Gómez Laich et al., 2012, 2013, 2015; Harris et al., 2014a, 2014b, 2015), there has been a unique report on parasites of this species in Patagonia. Garbin et al. (2008) described a new species of an anisakid species, *Contracaecum chubutensis,* parazitizing Imperial Shags in Northern Patagonia.

Chewing lice (order Phthiraptera) are wingless insects, living as permanent and obligate ectoparasites of birds and mammals (Kim, 1985). Lice can affect the feather and skin of its hosts, causing dermatitis, excessive scratching, and increasingly impaired general condition of some birds to the point of conducted to death (Clayton et al., 2008). However, little is known about lice species infesting seabirds in general and Imperial Shags in particular. Therefore, the main goal of this study is to report the results of a survey of the louse community in Imperial Shag chicks from Punta León colony, one of the main breeding population of this species on the Patagonian coast of Argentina (Frere et al., 2005).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Lice collection

The study was undertaken at Punta León colony (43°03’S, 64°27’W), Chubut, Argentina, during the 2014 breeding season. Punta León is a mixed seabird colony (Yorio et al., 1994) where approximately 5000 pairs of imperial shags breed (F. Quintana unpublished data). Lice were collected using forceps and fixed in 96% ethanol at the field. Chicks were completely examined and manipulation stopped...
when no more lice were seen on the chick or inside its oral cavity. We consider that this technique was reliable to address the goals of the present study because the small size and bare body of chicks (for details see Malacalza and Navas, 1996) allows collecting practically all the lice. Once in the laboratory, lice specimens were classified into nymphs (N) and adult male (M) or female (F) stages.

2.2. Infestation pattern

Infestation parameters were estimated following Rózsa et al. (2000). Prevalence was defined as the frequency of lice occurrence, expressed as a percentage. To set 95% confidence intervals for prevalence we used Sterne's exact method (Reiczigel, 2003). Mean intensity was defined as the mean number of individuals per host in the total sample of infested hosts. We used a bootstrap procedure with 20,000 replications to set 95% confidence intervals of mean intensity (Rózsa et al., 2000). Prevalence was compared between lice species with Fisher's exact test, and mean intensity with a Bootstrap t-test. We estimated the lice sex ratio as = males/ (males + females). A Spearman rank correlation test was used to examine the relationship between the abundance of the two louse species.

3. Results

A total of 1120 lice were collected. Lice were identified as Pectinopygus turbinatus and Piagetiella caputincisum by comparing them to described species (Hopkins and Clay, 1952; Price, 1970; Clay, 1973).

3.1. Taxonomic review

Arthropoda.
Insecta.
Phthiraptera Haeckel, 1896.
Ischnocera Kellogg, 1896.
Philopteridae Burmeister, 1838
Pectinopygus turbinatus Piaget, 1880.
Type host: Mycteria senegalensis (Error of the author).
Type locality: Unknown.
Type specimen/s data: Holotype, δ, slide N° 344, Natural History Museum, London, UK.
Other hosts: None.
Site of infestation: the entire body, more abundant under the wings.

Remarks: According to Clay (1973), the genus Pectinopygus includes 39 species infesting the orders Suliformes (cormorants and shags), Pelicaniformes, and birds from the genus Phaethon (Hughes et al., 2007). However, since the work of Clay, great changes have been made in the taxonomy of cormorants and shags. The classical classification of van Tets (1976) recognized two groups: the cormorants, including freshwater and coastal species belonging to the genus Phalacrocorax; and the shags, species associated with open sea, included in the genus Leucocarbo. Recently, a molecular study by Kennedy and Spencer (2014) shed light into the classification of these species recognizing 7 clades, represented by 7 different genera. Therefore, a revision of the Pectinopygus is needed.

Pectinopygus turbinatus was described by Piaget (1880), as Oncophorus turbinatus. The type host was recorded as Mycteria senegalensis, a species of tropical storks (Ciconiiformes: Ciconiidae). However, the author made a mistake because M. senegalensis does not exist as a valid species, and he did not report a type locality. Later, Hopkins and Clay (1952) revised the holotype and correctly transferred the species to the genus Pectinopygus. However, these authors did not mention the correct type host of this species. This constitutes the first known host species for P. turbinatus.

However, a detailed description of this species is lacking from the literature. This is the first record of this species from Argentina.

Insecta.
Phthiraptera Haeckel, 1896.
Amblycera Kellogg, 1896.
Menoponidae Mjoberg, 1910.
Piagetiella caputincisum Eichler, 1950.
Type host: Leucocarbo atriceps.
Type locality: South Orkney Islands.
Type specimen/s data: Not available.
Other hosts: Leucocarbo albiventer, King shag.
Site of infestation: oral cavity.

Remarks: Lice belonging to the genus Piagetiella are peculiar since they inhabit the oral cavity of pelicans (Order Pelecaniformes), cormorants and shags (Order Suliformes). Eichler originally described the species as P. caputincisa. Further, Price and Palma (1997) corrected the gender and named it P. caputincisum. Additionally, Price (1970) reviewed the taxonomy of the genus, including a key to identify the 8 species. This is the first record of this species in continental Argentina.

3.2. Infestation parameters

Ninety-three percent of the chicks were infested by at least one lice species. Pectinopygus turbinatus was present in all of the lousy chicks, while Piagetiella caputincisum infested 84.2% of them. The mean intensity was 29.5 and the range 1–41.5%, Fisher’s exact p = 0.006. No differences were observed in the mean intensity (t = −1.68, p = 0.1) nor in the mean abundance (Z = −2.13, p = 0.06) between species. Table 1 summarizes the infestation parameters among P. turbinatus and P. caputincisum.

We found differences in prevalence and mean intensity between stages of lice species. The prevalence and mean intensity of adults P. caputincisum were higher than P. turbinatus (prevalence 73.2% vs. 41.5%, Fisher’s exact p = 0.007; mean intensity 5.133 vs 2.82; t = 2.48, p = 0.002), while for nymphs the difference was reversed (prevalence 61% for P. caputincisum vs. 92.7% for P. turbinatus, Fisher’s exact p = 0.001; mean intensity 9.64 vs 17.82, respectively; t = −2.16, p = 0.05). The sex ratio did not differ from 1:1 (0.26 ± 0.34, χ² = 5.71, p > 0.05 for P. turbinatus; 0.55 ± 0.33, χ² = 6.28, p > 0.05 for P. caputincisum). No significant correlation was found between P. caputincisum and P. turbinatus infestation (Spearman rank tests, r_s = 0.255, p = 0.107).

4. Discussion

This work constitutes the first parasitological study in the Imperial Shag in Patagonia, Argentina. We recovered two lice species from imperial shag chicks: Pectinopygus turbinatus and Piagetiella caputincisum. We did not found significant differences in

|                      | Pectinopygus turbinatus | Piagetiella caputincisum |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Prevalence           | 92.7% (80.72–97.97%)    | 78% (62.34–88.33%)       |
| Mean intensity       | 19.08 (14.18–28.45)     | 12.34 (9.50–16.38)       |
| Mean abundance       | 17.68 (12.61–26.63)     | 9.63 (6.88–13.20)        |
| Range                | 1–119                   | 1–39                     |
causing dermatitis, excessive scratching, and increasingly impaired chicks and moves to adult pouches as nymph 3. *Piagetiella caputincisum*

For pelicans, Samuel et al. (1982) suggested that lice reproduce on diving of adult shags (Leonardi et al., in press). Contrarily, however, in immature stages of the exposure to marine condition during the imperial shag chicks appear several days after hatching and migrate to new-born chicks where they develop. Down feathers of embryonic development require the feather surfaces and the stable microclimate within the plumage. After hatching, nymphs migrate to new-born chicks where they develop. Down feathers of imperial shag chicks appear several days after hatching and feathers need weeks to develop (Malacalza and Navas, 1996). In the case of *P. turbinatus*, this pattern of transmission would protect lice in immature stages of the exposure to marine condition during the diving of adult shags (Leonardi et al., in press). Contrarily, however, *P. caputincisum* appears to transmit mostly in its adult stage of life.

Finally, chewing lice can affect the feather and skin of its hosts, causing dermatitis, excessive scratching, and increasingly impaired general condition of some birds to the point of conducted to death (Clayton et al., 2008). Furthermore, *Piagetiella* lice seems to be potentially more harmful especially for chicks and juvenile birds. As was remarked by Samuel et al. (1982), at high intensities *Piagetiella* could case the death of its host. This louse damage the skin surface of the pouches, such damage may also favour the infection with bacteria. Moreover, *P. peralis*, louse species from the American White Pelican *Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*, was associated with a high mortality of juvenile pelicans, it’s not clear if they were the main cause of death but contributed to a bad health condition that derived in the death of the birds (Samuel et al., 1982; Clayton et al., 2008). Considering that imperial shag chicks are infested during their first few days of life and the small size of chicks (Malacalza and Navas, 1996), further research is needed to determine the possible effect of *P. caputincisum* on this host.
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