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Introduction
Nicotine is naturally found in the plants belonging to the Solanaceae family. Concentrations high enough to have a pharmacological effect are seen only in the tobacco subfamily, approximately 2% of dry weight and in Duboisia Hopwoodii that has been used by Australian aborigines (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Duboisia_hopwoodii). It is also present in the range of 2–7 microgram per kg of various edible plants.

Nicotine in the vehicle of tobacco has been used by humans for thousands of years. (Wilbert, 1993). It has been used in many forms but often and more recently, at least in the Western world, almost only in smoked forms. Nicotine consumed with tobacco is probably the second most used drug in the world after caffeine from coffee and tea. Tobacco/nicotine is consumed regularly across all countries, cultures and almost all religions. Thirty-one percent of men and 6% of women are tobacco users globally 2012 (Ng et al., 2014). Particularly in the western part of the world, smokers have been under great pressure to stop for the last 40 years or so. The prevalence has been halved in many countries but still almost 20% of the adult population is using tobacco in the English speaking world. In the EU 32% of men and 24% of women were smokers 2012 (EU Barometer).

Morbidity and Mortality from Smoking
The impacts of smoking on global health status are daunting. Smoking is the single largest cause of avoidable premature death and is responsible for 18.5% of all deaths in economically developed countries and is rapidly achieving this status outside the developed world (Lopez et al., 2006). Currently smoking causes 5 million deaths/year globally and that by approximately 2025 this will increase to about 10 million deaths each year (Peto et al., 1996) unless a good substitute for cigarettes or much more effective regulation of smoking takes place.

Recently, US celebrated the 50 year’s anniversary of the first Surgeon General’s report 1964 on smoking and up to date estimates of the mortality during this period has been calculated to 20 million. Most were adults with a history of smoking, but nearly 2.5 million were nonsmokers who died from heart disease or lung cancer caused by exposure to second hand smoke. Another 100,000 were babies who died of sudden infant death syndrome or complications from prematurity, low birth weight, or other conditions caused by parental smoking (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2014). As a response to these grim facts WHO negotiated a worldwide treaty that was adopted in 2003 with the aim to reduce tobacco use.

All forms of tobacco are not equally harmful. The health risks of smokeless tobacco are considerably lower than those associated with combustible tobacco products (Fiore, Schroeder & Baker, 2014). The major benefits of smokeless tobacco products over combustible products are the virtual absence of respiratory risks, and potentially lower cardiovascular risks which are due to the absence of the gaseous components in smoke. However, it is also clear that the risks among smokeless tobacco products vary. The Swedish product called snus has not been found to be reliably associated with any cancer or respiratory disorders. From the cardiovascular field an increased risk of a more serious outcome of MIs and strokes have been seen in some studies (Lee, 2007). In contrast, in pregnancy snus as cigarettes has been seen to increase risk of adverse outcomes (Wikström, Cnattingius, & Stephansson, 2010a; 2010b).

Pharmacology
Nicotine in its base form is an odour and colourless liquid. It is metabolized hepatically with a half-life of app 2 hours and the protein binding is <5%. Bioavailability is route dependent with the highest app 90% when inhaled (e.g. cigarettes) and approximately 60% when used orally (e.g. smokeless tobacco) (Jacob, Benowitz & Shulgin, 1988; Lunell & Lunell, 2005).

The fundamental pharmacological effect of nicotine is its action on the nicotinic acetylcholinergic receptors
Dependence to Tobacco. Is it All about Nicotine?

Nicotine plays a central role in tobacco use. It is a necessary condition for regular tobacco use but is it sufficient? Cigarette smoking seems to create a dependence in users as fast if not faster than other drugs (DiFranza & Ur sprung, 2010) and develops to a level or degree of dependence equal to the so-called hard drugs (US Department of Health and Human Services, 1988).

The notion that it is solely an addiction to nicotine is difficult to reconcile with the observations below:

a) Animals do not self-administer nicotine as readily as they do “hard drugs” like amphetamine, cocaine, and heroin (Villegier, Blanc, Glowinski, & Tassin, 2003).

b) Nicotine is also a relatively weak reinforcer in human laboratory studies (Hughes, Rose & Callas, 2000; Perkins, Gerlach, Broge, Fonte, & Wilson, 2001).

c) Abstinent smokers seem to prefer a much reduced nicotine content cigarette over nicotine-containing products like gum and the reduced nicotine cigarette reduces craving (Barrett, 2010; Buchhalter Acosta, Evans, Brelan & Eissenberg, 2005) and alters brain nicotinic acetylcholine receptor occupancy (Brody et al., 2009). The so-called “scratch” in the throat may be of importance for these effects.

d) Although nicotine replacement (NR) treatment is an effective aid for quitting smoking, its efficacy is moderate (Fiore et al., 2008) even if doses that replace most or all nicotine from the cigarettes are used (Dale et al., 1995).

e) There is no evidence for the abuse of pure nicotine.

f) There seem to be a continuum of dependence so that cigarette smoking is most difficult to give up. Smokeless tobacco is easier to end and the easiest is to stop with long-term use of pure nicotine products, usually nicotine gum (Fagerstrom & Eissenberg, 2012).

Tobacco seems to have additional effects beyond nicotine. Tobacco smoke is made up of thousands of chemicals. The interesting question is whether any of these also contributes to the reinforcing properties of tobacco smoke. It has been known for some time that cigarette smoke inhibits monoamine oxidase (MAO), the enzyme that catalyzes the metabolism of monoamine neurotransmitters, such as dopamine, thus potentiating their effects in the brain of smokers and thereby contributing significantly to reward and dependence (Fowler et al., 2003). Nicotine is not directly responsible for this effect (Fowler et al., 1999). Acetaldehyde, an established constituent of tobacco smoke, is a potent inhibitor of MAO (both the A and the B subtypes), and it has been suggested that this compound causes the MAO inhibition. In experimental rats, nicotine self-administration is enhanced when the animals are also treated with acetaldehyde. Other studies suggest that the condensation products of acetaldehyde, salsolinol, and the harmans are more likely candidates as the inhibitors MAO. Salsolinol (Rodd et al., 2003) and harmans (Baum, Hill, & Rommelspacher, 1996) nornicotine, anatabine and anabasine (nicotine alkaloids) are also themselves directly rewarding in rats (Clemens, Cailille, Stinus & Cador, 2009). Interestingly rats also self-administer infusions of tobacco particulate matter better than nicotine (Brennan et al., 2013).

Up until about 30 years ago cigarette smoking was regarded as simply a strong habit, and many smokers still hold that view. Is it possible that in pursuing the search for the mechanisms underpinning the dependence upon...
nicotine and tobacco, researchers may have overlooked the other aspects of the smoking habit that may also be important, e.g. the habit and conditioning associated with smoking, the role of the object – that is, the cigarette itself and the psychosocial aspects of smoking. A fair summary of the role of nicotine seems to be that it is a necessary component but not sufficient to develop a strong dependence.

**The Safety and Toxicity of Nicotine**

Nicotine is a stimulant in low doses and a depressant of nervous activity in very high doses. In such doses approximately 500 mg it can cause death by generalized blockade of e.g. respiration (Meyer, 2013). The actual lethal dose is dependent on many factors, e.g. if the organism is tolerant, the speed of delivery and the route of administration. There are examples, although rare, of lethal intoxications – suicides mostly – by e.g. transdermal patches or one ingestion of e-cigarette liquid (Kloosterman, 2013).

While there is a wealth of literature on the harmful effects of smoking – it kills half of its long-term users – much less is known about nicotine itself isolated from tobacco although it is generally understood that it is not the nicotine itself that is so harmful, but the method of delivery, i.e. the burning of tobacco (ASH, 2007). Most of the long-term effects of nicotine are commonly inferred from the many epidemiological studies made on snus since there is little safety data from long-term use of NR products. Snus users consume as much nicotine as smokers and more than NR product users. Since snus also contains other potentially harmful substances such as nitrosamines and metals it is considered being a conservative estimate of the nicotine effects.

The areas of main concern regarding the safety of nicotine have been the ones where tobacco smoking has been found to be most harmful, i.e. cancer, pregnancy, cardiovascular and respiratory diseases.

**Cancer**

There is some biological basis for proposing that nicotine may promote cancer based on experimental studies that have limitations in replicating human exposure and on mechanistic studies, but human evidence is lacking (Chen, Ho, Guo & Wang, 2008b; Lee & Cooke, 2012).

There are 69 identified carcinogens in tobacco smoke, but nicotine is not among them (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2004; 2007). If nicotine alone were to cause cancer, one would expect that long-term exposure to nicotine from snus would cause cancer. However, studies have seen no increased risk of cancer in either the oral cavity or the throat after snus use (Lewin et al., 1998; Schildt, Eriksson, Hardell, & Magnuson, 1998). Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis by Lee found no association between snus and cancer of the oropharynx, oesophagus, stomach, pancreas, lung or other sites (Lee, 2011). Two studies have reported suggestive evidence of an association between the use of snus and pancreatic cancer, oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma and noncardia stomach cancer (Luo et al., 2007; Zendehdel et al., 2008).

The only large, long-term study published to date on a NR product – gum – found no association between NR products and the development of cancers among former smokers (Murray, Connett & Zapawa, 2009). In this Lung Health Study, a 5-year prospective study of 3,320 patients, it was found that use of NR was not a significant predictor of lung cancer, gastrointestinal cancers or all cancers, whereas continued smoking was a significant predictor of lung cancer. Nevertheless, some people continue to believe that nicotine causes cancer (Cummings et al., 2004) including a significant minority of healthcare professionals working in stop smoking services (Beard, McDermott, McEwen & West, 2012).

**Cardiovascular Disease**

Although it is recognized that medicinal nicotine causes temporary changes to the cardiovascular system epidemiological studies have not found the use of NR to be linked to the development of cardiovascular disease (Benowitz, Hansson & Jacob, 2002; Hubbard et al., 2005) and studies have shown that NR need not be contraindicated for smokers with heart disease (Greenland, Satterfield, & Lanes, 1998; Kimmel et al., 2001). In a study that evaluated the safety of NRT in 663 patients after acute coronary syndrome, NR use for 1 year was not associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events (Joseph et al., 1996).

Several studies have been conducted on long-term users of snus to evaluate the risk of cardiovascular heart disease, myocardial infarction or stroke. A recent meta-analysis of eight prospective observational studies concluded that snus use was not associated with acute myocardial infarction (Hansson et al., 2012). Although it was suggested that snus use might slightly increase the risk for a more severe outcome in patients with stroke and a nonfatal myocardial infarction. (Hergens et al., 2007).

**Pregnancy**

Pregnant women who use snus are at higher risk of having babies of lower birth weight, elevated risks for premature delivery, still birth and possibly pre-eclampsia (Baba, Wikström, Stephansson, & Cnattingius, 2013; Wikström et al., 2010a). From animal work it seems likely that nicotine may also have adverse neurobehavioral consequences (Pauly & Slotkin, 2008).

**Therapeutic Effects of Nicotine**

Tobacco/nicotine when it was introduced in Europe was said to have medicinal applications. Since a large part of the world’s population is using tobacco, one would think that it brings some benefit to the users. Apart from what the smokers tell us, that tobacco calms, reduces stress, helps concentration and regulates appetite and increases energy turnover, it has also been found to enhance the
Nicotine has a dependence potential although less than when it comes with tobacco. Exactly how dependence is provoking nicotine without tobacco is not clear but it depends on how much behaviour and sensory stimulation will be associated with the nicotine product. The dependence potential is shared by the other “cultural drugs” caffeine and alcohol. It can be assumed that the dependence potential for pure nicotine will be in the same range as that to caffeine. The dependence potential to alcohol is of a different kind with fewer users becoming dependent but for those who do develop dependence the consequences, both medical and social, are more severe.

While nicotine, as coffee and alcohol, contributes risks to pregnancy, it has, as far as is known, few other direct harms. This is in sharp contrast to alcohol which is a risk factor for many serious disorders and behaviours although moderate amounts can also be protective for some disorders. How well the established neuroprotective effects (Sieber, 2012) of nicotine will turn into disease protection is not known. Unfortunately there is a certain amount of reluctance among researchers to study the potential positive effects of nicotine because the substance is linked to tobacco and mostly manufactured and marketed by the hated tobacco industry. The electronic cigarettes with their very fast uptake in many countries may change the nicotine landscape. The tobacco industry may not become the whole owner of this commodity which opens up for more engagement from scientists. Further, since electronic cigarettes in all likelihood are significantly less harmful than traditional cigarettes and are more liked by smokers than NR products, the electronic cigarettes may be a game changer that can threaten the monopoly that cigarettes had for people engaging in tobacco/nicotine.
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