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Abstract

Introduction: Ethnic and socioeconomic inequalities in the Swedish health care system have increased. Most indicators suggest that immigrants have significantly poorer health than native Swedes. The purpose of this study was to explore the views of midwives on the factors that contribute to health care inequality among immigrants.

Methods: Data were collected via semi-structured interviews with ten midwives. These were transcribed and related categories identified through content analysis.

Results: The interview data were divided into three main categories and seven subcategories. The category “Communication” was divided into subcategories “The meeting”, “Cultural diversity and language barriers” and “Trust and confidence”. The category “Potential barriers to the use of health care services” contained two subcategories, “Seeking health care” and “Receiving equal treatment”. Finally, the category “Transcultural health care” had subcategories “Education on transcultural health care” and “The concept”.

Conclusions: This study suggests that midwives believe that health care inequality among immigrants can be the result of miscommunication which may arise due to a shortage of meeting time, language barriers, different systems of cultural beliefs and practices and limited patient-caregiver trust. Midwives emphasized that education level, country of origin and length of stay in Sweden play a role when an immigrant seeks health care. Immigrants face more difficulties when seeking health care and in receiving adequate levels of care. However, different views among the midwives were also observed. Some midwives were sensitive to individual and intra-group differences, while some others viewed immigrants as a group of “others”. Midwives’ beliefs about subgroup-specific health services vs. integrating immigrants’ health care into mainstream health care services should be investigated further. Patients’ perspective should also be considered.
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Introduction

The practice of health care in Sweden has encountered new challenges in recent decades as the immigrant population has increased. The goal of the Swedish health care system is to provide good care on equal terms to all people and in so doing, contribute to a more equitable spread of health [1]. Health care in Sweden is a public responsibility, financed primarily through taxes that are levied by county councils and municipalities. The Swedish health care system is structured on three levels: national, represented by central government, regional, i.e., the municipalities and local, represented by the county councils. The county councils plan the development and organization of health care according to the needs of their residents, among others immigrants. However, asylum seekers and undocumented immigrants in Sweden have very restricted access to state subsidized health care [2,3].

Reports show that inequalities in the Swedish health care system have increased since the beginning of the 1990s. Most indicators suggest that immigrants have significantly poorer health than native Swedes [4,5]. Although the increasing disparity may have different causes, one may be due to the fact that immigrants do
not seek health care when they need to and do not receive the treatment that they need when they seek care [4]. This study is one segment of a large study which has been conducted to explore factors that contribute to inequalities in the provision of health care in Sweden. Midwives were chosen as the study group because the perinatal period is often the first contact that a newly arrived immigrant family has with the health care system, and that experience will affect future use of the system [6]. Furthermore, midwives are responsible for a high percentage of obstetrics care in Sweden [7]. As such, midwives play a crucial role as the representatives of the larger health care system for immigrants.

Today, roughly 20 percent of the Swedish population are immigrants or descendants of immigrants, i.e., they were either born outside of Sweden or have at least one parent who was born outside of Sweden [8]. The term “immigrants” will therefore be used to refer to both groups throughout this paper. It cannot be ignored, however, that the term ‘immigrants’ encompasses a very diverse group comprising people from different countries and with different socioeconomic backgrounds. Over the years there have been various patterns of migration to Sweden. During the 1950s and 1960s, labor migration resulted in an increased number of immigrants from countries such as Italy, Greece and Turkey. During the 1970s and 1980s, war and the political situation in countries such as Chile, Iran and Iraq resulted in refugees entering Sweden. The last two decades have been characterized by migration from countries such as Yugoslavia and Somalia, where civil war has threatened the life and health of people [9]. Most immigrants will primarily be from European countries outside of the European Union, Africa, Asia and Latin America [8]. What these people have in common is the experience of ethnic discrimination [10].

Immigrants in Sweden experience worse physical and psychological health compared with native Swedes [4,5]. There are differences in healthcare utilization. The Statistics Central Board’s [4] study showed that 21 percent of immigrant women reported need of health care but had not sought it (self-reported), in comparison with 12 percent of native Swedish women. The study [4] showed that the rate of preventable mortality (death due to illnesses that the health care sector is equipped to address through the application of preventative or targeted medical treatment) is higher among immigrants. Immigrants are treated unequally within the Swedish health care sector; the use of well-documented medical treatments, for example for heart attack, heart failure, stroke and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is lower among immigrants than among native Swedes [11].

The factors that contribute to health inequality due to immigrant status and cultural differences are complex and varied. Lack of available information, communication difficulties [12] and lower levels of trust in the health care system [9,13] are some factors that have been discussed. Ethnic discrimination [14,15] and insufficient clinical follow-up treatments and/or fewer post-operative checkups [16] are other factors that have been mentioned in earlier research.

The aim of this study is to explore the views of one group of health care professionals (midwives) on the factors that contribute to health care inequality among immigrants.

**Methods**

A qualitative approach was chosen to obtain a deeper understanding of the midwives’ views on inequalities in the provision of health care due to immigrant status and cultural differences. Based on the objective, semi-structured interviews were considered to be the best method, with all interviewees being asked the same questions. The use of semi-structured interviews enables the researcher to prepare a number of questions in advance. The interviewer may also ask spontaneous questions and change the order of the set questions as the interview progresses. Semi-structured interviews also allow the interviewees to recount their experiences with as little guidance as possible from the interviewer [17]. The questions were open-response alternatives, creating equal opportunities for all midwives to share their views and experiences [18].

**Participants**

The midwives or the superintendent of units in two municipalities in a city in western Sweden were informed about the study by telephone or via e-mail and appointments were made with those who were interested in being interviewed. The municipalities were selected randomly from a group of 20 that had a higher number of immigrants. The municipalities with a higher number of immigrants were identified from the segregation index that was calculated for all municipalities in Sweden for the years 1997–2006 [19]. The criteria for being included in the study were that the midwives were professionally trained and had worked in the selected district for at least 12 months. Ten midwives, all native Swedes, were interviewed. Their mean age was 49.2 years, with a range of 35–57. Most of them had between 6–25 years of experience in the field and worked often, or almost always, with immigrant women (Table 1).

**Data collection**

Each midwife was interviewed individually and in a quiet environment that the midwife selected. The interviews lasted between 50-60 minutes. Audio recordings were made of all interviews. The interviews were conducted
between January 2009 and February 2010. The interviews were transcribed and translated from Swedish to English by the author and a research assistant. The questions posed were open-ended in order to obtain spontaneous information on the study’s purpose. The research questions were prepared as Lofland & Lofland [20] suggested, by considering ‘Precisely what about this thing is puzzling me?’ They suggested that the puzzlement can be stimulated by various activities, such as discussions with colleagues and studying existing literature on the topic. The research questions were: What happens during the meeting with an immigrant woman? What are your opinions on inequality in health care? How can inequality arise in the meeting with an immigrant woman? What are your thoughts on transcultural health care?

A Research Assistant with a Master’s degree in Public Health assisted in preparing the research questions, as well as with conducting and analyzing the interviews. This was to ensure that the analysis was conducted by two individuals with diverse professional backgrounds, in order to balancing existing individual biases.

The basic requirements of this study were that oral and written information be provided to participants and that written consent be obtained from them. The interviews were voluntary and informants were able to terminate the interview without justification. Privacy issues were considered when noting the midwives’ names. Participants will therefore remain anonymous. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee in Gothenburg (Dnr: 262–09).

Data analysis
A qualitative content analysis method [17] was used to analyze the midwives’ views. Each interview was printed on paper and read through several times before and during the analytical process by the author and her research assistant, independently of each other. This was in order to check that their interpretations were similar. The first step in the analytical process was to pick up meaning-bearing units, each related to the purpose of the study. A meaning-bearing unit is a paragraph or sentence that highlights the content of the material (Ibid). The next step was to shorten the chosen meaning-bearing units to condensed units, i.e., to make the content more manageable but still maintain the parts that were considered to be of importance. The next step in the analytical process was to pick codes out of the condensed units. This was done to flag the contents for a higher level of analysis and to briefly describe the contents. The codes may be, as Granheim & Lundman [21] described them, discrete objects or phenomena that are related to the context. The author and her research assistant agreed upon the codes and the created subcategories and categories before proceeding. The criteria for inclusion of a coding category were (1) how relevant the codes were to current study’s aim and (2) whether the code actually emerged in the text. Categories were initially kept as broad as possible without overlapping. Therefore few categories are chosen in the initial stages of the analysis. Then, as more data accumulated, the major categories were sorted into three categories [22-24]. These three categories were compared with the entire body of interviews in order to verify their original contexts. Furthermore, two external co-analyzers read the transcribed interviews and drew conclusions regarding the main content of each interview. Their findings were discussed with the author and their conclusions regarding the contents of the interviews agreed well with the authors’ coding. Finally, the analytical consistency was investigated by the author (Table 2).

Results
The interview data were divided into three main categories and seven subcategories. The first category

| Participants | Age | Workplace | Education | Number of years in the profession | Reported frequency of working with immigrant patients |
|--------------|-----|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1            | 55  | Municipality 1 | HealthCare College in Gothenburg (HCCG) | 15                  | Often                                         |
| 2            | 45  | Municipality 1 | HCCG      | 8                   | Often                                         |
| 3            | 45  | Municipality 2 | Health Care College in Stockholm (HCCS) | 9                   | Often                                         |
| 4            | 47  | Municipality 2 | HCCG      | 13                  | Often                                         |
| 5            | 55  | Municipality 1 | HCCG      | 18                  | Almost always                                 |
| 6            | 44  | Municipality 2 | HCCG      | 12                  | Almost always                                 |
| 7            | 55  | Municipality 2 | HCCG      | 14                  | Almost always                                 |
| 8            | 54  | Municipality 1 | HCCG      | 18                  | Almost always                                 |
| 9            | 57  | Municipality 1 | HCCG      | 25                  | Almost always                                 |
| 10           | 35  | Municipality 2 | HCCS      | 6                   | Often                                         |
“Communication” had three subcategories, “The meeting”, “Cultural diversity and language barriers” and “Trust and confidence”. The second category “Potential barriers to the use of health services” had two subcategories, “Seeking health care” and “Receiving equal treatment”. Finally, the third category “Transcultural health care” had two subcategories, “Education on transcultural health care” and “The concept”.

Communication
The results from all the interviews showed that communication has a central and significant role and may contribute to health inequality owing to ethnic and cultural differences.

The meeting
According to the midwives there was a need for an "open" and welcoming meeting. By “open” they meant that it was necessary to listen and to consider the needs of immigrant women. "It is important to let the immigrant woman herself say what she needs and that the midwives then follow up on these needs and try to make the meeting a positive experience".

Time was another aspect that had to be considered. The need for an advanced consultation might arise during the meeting, but the time allotted for a meeting was very limited and midwives were unable to extend the time available. The results showed that the midwives experienced inadequate time as a factor that might contribute to inequalities in healthcare. “A meeting with an immigrant woman demands more time; for example, more time to explain and to get confirmation that she understands. We have a set time for each patient and this cannot be extended”. Another midwife argued "It’s obvious that everyone should get good care, but time limitations may restrict the provision of good care on equal terms. For example, we need a longer period of time when we use an interpreter. It’s very important that we understand each other".

Cultural diversity and language barriers
According to some of the midwives language was an essential instrument for promoting effective communication. Good language skills could reduce inequalities in the provision of health care. "There may be language problems . . . It's important to use professionally trained medical interpreters". It was not always feasible to use an interpreter. One midwife stated “It would be much better if the patient could speak Swedish”. She added "Sometimes even with an interpreter it becomes difficult to understand, because, we naturally use a great many difficult words in health care". For some immigrant groups which came from countries with ethnic diversity and different languages and accents, the choice of interpreter was important. One midwife said that “the interpreters’ accents and ethnic identities can sometimes be problematic”. Other midwives said language should not be regarded as a factor that contributes to health care inequality. One midwife said "It does not matter what a woman’s cultural background is or what her skills in the Swedish language are . . . As a midwife I should provide good care". Another one added "midwives should adapt their way of communicating".

Cultural differences and the response of health care staff to these differences were mentioned as another factor that may lead to inequalities in health care provision. Differences in cultural beliefs, behaviors and expectations may lead to misunderstanding and miscommunication. Some midwives mentioned that there were some cultural collisions between immigrants and health care staff because of the patriarchal culture or religious beliefs, etc. One said “These kinds of beliefs can affect the immigrant men and women when making decisions, for example about abortion . . . we can only inform, we cannot contribute in any other way". It was important to give the immigrant woman the feeling that she could choose, that she had control and that it was her decision. One midwife gave an example: “Different women from different cultures give birth in different positions and we try to understand and adapt . . . no way is wrong . . . the aim is to deliver a healthy baby and that the mother feels good”.

Trust and confidence
The midwives all agreed that it takes time to establish trust and confidence in a meeting. In order to provide good care on equal terms, it was "important to understand and to trust in each other". According to the interviewed midwives, some policies might damage the establishment of trust and confidence between the care
provider and the patient. An example of this was the Swedish health care guidelines to X-ray pregnant women from certain countries because of the risk of tuberculosis. One midwife said “normally pregnant women should not be X-rayed, but in the case of immigrant women there is an exception and some immigrant women refuse to do it because of the pregnancy and they mistrust the system that has this policy”. Mistrust might also develop due to a lack of medical knowledge and language barriers. A midwife gave this example: “It is difficult to give information about fetal diagnosis through an interpreter and talk about probability here and probability there. These difficulties in communication can establish mistrust”. Another midwife believed that trust could be established by allowing immigrant women to disclose their medical histories without fear of immigration authorities.

“For example, Somali women may have children that are not their own, they just raise them as their children to save their lives, but for me as a midwife is important to know how many children she has given birth to. If I can show that I am a health care staff and that I have no contact with the immigration authorities and if I give her a chance to narrate her history, listen and show understanding, then she will trust me”.

Potential barriers to the use of health care services
The interviewed midwives believed that inequality in health care could be more easily identified by investigating health-seeking behavior and received treatment.

Seeking health care
The majority of the midwives observed no major differences in the seeking of health care between immigrant and native Swedish women. However, a few midwives had another view. One said “some immigrant women are used to difficult conditions and seek health care when it may be too late”. Generally, based on their experiences, midwives felt that a woman’s level of education, country of origin and length of stay in Sweden could affect her views on how she uses the health care services. The midwives regarded level of education as a more important factor than cultural differences. One said “Education is more important than culture, the more educated (the woman is), the fewer the differences, but the woman is still shaped by her culture”. Another midwife remarked: “Just because you are immigrants it doesn’t mean that your health care seeking behavior differs so much from that of native Swedes”. She continued, “some women are isolated, do not speak Swedish and have no contact with the Swedish society. They are newly arrived or have been here for a short time… for them, seeking health care when they need it is a problem, especially when they have serious problems like high blood pressure during pregnancy”.

Receiving equal treatment
The midwives all agreed that immigrant women’s status could affect how they are treated in the health care system. Furthermore, they assumed that immigrant women did not receive the same treatment and care as native Swedish women. One midwife gave an example: “newly arrived immigrant women may not have interpreters during the birthing process. This is terrible and can create lots of problems for care givers and for mothers”. Another said “…I think there are big differences for those from other countries regarding how they are treated and how treatment works …perhaps due to ignorance or prejudices”. Another one added “I can imagine that a Swedish couple who is highly educated receives very different care and treatment in a hospital than a couple from a different culture who does not speak any Swedish”. The reason that people are treated differently in the health care sector, according to the midwives, is that immigrants cannot demand their rights. One midwife said “It is perhaps that it is hard to assert their rights for health care. One has to express oneself well. And in many cases, immigrants are not as good at it as the Swedes”. Another midwife mentioned that “The vulnerable groups in society have more difficulties in getting adequate care … I believe that many people who come from other countries unfortunately count as a vulnerable group”. One of the midwives mentioned that immigrants and native Swedes do not get the same care “because those born abroad have more difficulties in making their voices heard in the health care sector”.

There were two different ways of thinking about receiving equal treatment. Some midwives believed that it was the responsibility of the society and the health care services to be able to provide equal treatment to all citizens. One said “They need a better introduction to the society so that they know how it works. They should also get the opportunity to learn Swedish and to acquire good language skills so they can get better care”. According to one midwife “It is very important that society takes responsibility and provides information. If you know what rights you have, and above all, have knowledge of what health care can help with … immigrants do not know what they can get help with”. And another midwife said “It's our responsibility to have better knowledge of different cultures in order to improve their chances for receiving equal treatment”. One midwife, however, expressed her confusion: “I don’t know if it depends on attitudes and prejudices in the Swedish health care system or on immigrants’ lack of knowledge of how the system works”. Indeed, some midwives believed that there could be differences in treatment and access to care, but “… It is
not always the health care services that are the problem”. They believed that is an individual’s (the immigrant patient’s) responsibility to know the system, to speak the language and be able to express herself. “An individual’s ability to express herself and understand is critical to the standard of received treatment in the health care system”.

Transcultural health

**Education on transcultural health care**

All midwives expressed the opinion that there should be more on the subject of transcultural health care in their education and training in order to improve their communication skills and enable them to provide equal and good health care. The midwives said that they needed continuous training in cultural diversity. One said “The world is constantly changing and people are moving to Sweden for various reasons. Midwives would like to continuously update their knowledge of different cultures”. One said “In the 1990s we had a lot of information, especially when large groups came from Somalia. But now it’s like you have to seek the information yourself”. During their training they had no courses on cultural diversity or cultural sensitivity. Training in transcultural health care meant different things to different midwives. One midwife said “one cannot learn about all different cultures … cultural sensitivity training means to learn to accept, respect and be keen and open”. Another believed that health care staff needed training in ethnic and Euro-centric attitudes. “I wish that we could learn about ethnicity and culture during our training… I want to learn how to meet culturally diverse people in the right way …we have to improve our ways of communication and our cultural competency”.

**The concept**

“Transcultural health care” was an unfamiliar expression to most of the midwives who were interviewed in the study. One said “not words we use, but we are caring in a cultural way, it means trying to be observant and trying to capture what is different”. One added “I have never heard the term but I think different cultures have different beliefs and that is the only difference”.

Although the expression was unfamiliar, the midwives had ideas about the concept of transcultural health care. One said “we live in a society which is culturally diverse and the health services should be more aware that people come from different cultures. It is something that must be accepted and respected”. To work transculturally, according to one midwife, meant “to adapt our knowledge and experience to different cultures”. Another said “transcultural health care means to work beyond the borders and norms”. Some midwives believed that transcultural health care was about “cultural communication” and viewed immigrants as a group. One midwife said “I try to see and understand how they express themselves”. Another explained “… we should learn how people from other cultures act … society must also have an understanding of it. We come from different cultures and it has to be respected in order for everyone to feel welcome”. The fact that immigrants were viewed as a homogenous group was emphasized by another midwife “For me it is like going abroad, I try to place myself in their culture and their world and to think with their brains . . .”. Some midwives had a different view of transcultural health care; for them it was mostly about seeing the individual. One said “I try to see who I have in front of me and form my idea of what she reflects and expresses. I am not programmed to run the same procedure for everyone”.

All midwives agreed that having culturally diverse health care staff was an important resource for providing culturally sensitive health care, but they were all negative about the idea of ethnic health care services. One said “Then we will have even more segregation “. And another added “I think we can learn from each other. The native Swedish health care staff can learn from staff who are immigrants and vice versa. Employing immigrant health care staff will facilitate this”. According to the midwives, another negative aspect of ethnic health care services would be that they would provide low quality care because they would get fewer resources and qualified health care staff would not work in such services. One midwife said of such a health care service: “Nothing will work, staff will leave, we must make it attractive to work with culturally diverse patients and not establish segregated health care services”. Another midwife stated that establishing ethnic health care services “will cement prejudices”.

**Discussion**

The findings of this study show that midwives view communication as having a central role that may contribute to health inequalities. An open meeting in which the care provider (in this case the midwives participating in the study) allows for adequate time to listen to and consider the needs of the patient and a meeting in which the cultural and language differences do not lead to misunderstandings are factors that contribute to the provision of equitable health care. Midwives believe that the potential barriers to the use of health care services are immigrants’ health care seeking behavior and the way immigrants are treated in the health care system. Finally, the questions on transcultural health care shed light on two different perspectives on immigrant patients; they are either viewed as (a) individuals or (b) a group. Furthermore, all midwives agreed that having culturally diverse health care staff was an important resource for providing culturally sensitive health care, but
they all responded negatively to the idea of ethnic health care services.

Communication
The results of the interviews show that midwives believe that poor verbal communication or language skills may lead to miscommunication which in turn may contribute to inequalities in the provision of health care. In agreement with the results of this study, previous research articles [25,26] mention the quality of verbal communication and language skills as factors that may contribute to inequality in health care. Fortier et al. [27] assert that a failure to ensure adequate communication between patient and provider “can lead to inappropriate or unnecessary testing, clinical inefficiency, misdiagnosis, negative outcomes and malpractice.”

Previous research [28,29] indicates that language barriers can adversely affect the quality of care. Some researchers point out that when a patient does not speak the language of his or her health care provider, multiple adverse effects on the patient’s health may occur and lead to poor patient satisfaction, poor compliance and use of services [30,31]. Some interviewed midwives emphasized that as caregivers they should provide good care, regardless of whether their patient can speak the language or not. In other words, language should not be a barrier to providing equitable health care. Employing bilingual health care staff, using qualified interpreters or using community-based health navigators (CBHN) [32] and providing written information in different languages may facilitate communication, increase patient satisfaction and increase patient understanding. It would also help to avoid errors in diagnosis and treatment and avoid the costs of employing telephone interpreters [33,34]. Almost all communication between midwives and immigrant patients was conducted through an interpreter, which meant that it took longer to communicate all of the information. The use of an interpreter could not be avoided; this was a tool that the midwives felt that they had to work with in order to provide good care on equal terms. According to the midwives, using professionally trained medical interpreters can provide a higher degree of accuracy and confidentiality and increased overall effectiveness. However, even this approach is not without potential problems. For example, the information advantage is lost when health professionals are not aware of how much information was translated by the interpreter [35] or when the interpreter is unable to mediate cultural, class and power differences between the patient and provider [36].

Potential barriers to the use of health care services
Generally, midwives noted that a woman’s level of education and whether she comes from an urban or a rural area can be more important than cultural norms in determining whether or not she seeks health care. Their assumption about the women’s socioeconomic background and length of stay having an effect upon their health care behavior is in agreement with earlier research [46,47].

Another aspect of the study of health care inequality is to consider the provision of equal treatment and who is responsible for it. Some midwives believed that it was the society’s and the health care services’ responsibility to be able to provide equal treatment to all citizens; other midwives believed that it was an individual’s (the immigrant patient’s) responsibility to know the system, to speak the language and be able to express herself. Rundström [48] states that ideally, from the macro-sociological perspective, it is the staff who should obtain knowledge and so become skilled in the medical-cultural issues. The micro-sociological perspective focuses on the individual responsibility for health or individuals’ ability to learn the rules, norms and behaviors which exist and to adapt to them without feeling their integrity or culture is violated, even if she/he is confronted with
something unexpected [49]. The results of the interviews show that some midwives believe that the vulnerable
groups (immigrants, among others) face more difficulties
in getting adequate care. The vulnerable groups suffer
because of the structural conditions in the society and
health care system and not because of their inability to
adapt to health care services. It is important that
attempts to identify weaknesses in health care policies
do not degenerate into a position that blames the victim.
The ideology of individual responsibility for health tends
to obscure the reality of the impact of social inequality
on health and it views the individual as being essentially
independent of his or her surroundings [50].

**Cultural differences and transcultural health care**
Cultural background, cultural beliefs and expectations
were other contributing factors to inequalities in health
care. Different systems of cultural beliefs and practices
and different views and expectations may lead to con-
flicts between immigrant women and their care givers
[51,52]. The results of this study show that some mid-
wives have developed an appropriate way to provide in-
formation and to offer choices and let the immigrant
women feel that they are in control of their own bodies
and health care decisions, i.e., to see them as individuals
and not as a group. Rice [51] argues that one of the fac-
tors that may lead to miscommunication is that immi-
grant women are not given information and allowed to
make their own choices. They should be offered a choice
and their individual needs should be considered [53]. As
one of the interviewed midwives emphasized “It is im-
portant to let the immigrant woman herself say what she
needs and what she wants”.

The interviewed midwives felt that “health care ser-
vice should be more aware that people come from dif-
erent cultures”. Furthermore, they all agreed that having
culturally diverse health care staff was important means
through which to provide culturally sensitive health care.
Previous research shows that receiving culturally appro-
priate services from health care staff is more than simply
a patient’s right; in reality, it is a key factor in the safety
and quality of patient care and moves away from a “one
size fits all” approach that negatively affects the quality
of care for diverse patients [54]. Transcultural values
may result in fewer communication problems because of
language and cultural differences [55] and the employ-
ment of bilingual and bicultural staff, especially in ob-
stetric services, is recommended [33]. The results show
that the midwives’ knowledge of the concept of transcul-
tural health care was limited. However, midwives have a
professional and culturally sensitive approach, thanks to
their long experience and genuine interest in their work.
The results also show that there is a need for continuous
training in cultural diversity. The interviewed midwives
expressed the opinion that there should be more on the
subject of transcultural care in their education and train-
ing program. Previous research [56-58] recognizes the
need for educating health care staff on transcultural
health care issues.

Some midwives regarded transcultural health care as
“cultural communication” and viewed immigrants as a
group of “others” to be studied and analyzed. The dan-
ger of the “seeing immigrants as a group” approach is
that it assigns everyone to a particular group with the
same life experiences and the same cultural behaviors.
Maintaining a focus on “others” may reinforce negative
qualities and lead to stereotyping and discrimination
[59]. Transcultural care is about providing culturally
relevant care [57]. It emphasizes the requirement for the
development of self-reflection on one’s own cultural
identities as an individual and health professional and
toward a greater focus on the patient as an individual
[56]. It is about cultural awareness and openness [57] or
as Campinha-Bacote’s model [60] explains, it is about
embodying the following attributes: awareness of one’s
own biases and prejudices toward other cultures, know-
ledge about culture in general, the ability to conduct ac-
curate cultural assessments and interpersonal skills in
cross-cultural encounters. Another crucial issue related
to transcultural health care that midwives raised in the
interviews is the idea of ethnic health care services. The
midwives were all negatively disposed to the idea. They
believed that ethnic health care services would lead to
increased segregation, reinforce prejudices and provide
low quality care since patients would get fewer resources
under such a system. They also believed that qualified
health care staff would not want to work in such ser-
vices. Kai [61] stressed that most people from diverse
ethnic communities do not want ethnic services. Like
everyone else they just desire good quality services. If
regarding immigrants as a group is a form of ethnocen-
trism and ethnic discrimination, then providing ethnic
health care services would be the other side of the coin,
i.e., it would be providing “culturally relativist” health
care.

**Methodological considerations**
One limitation of this study may be the limited number
of interviewees used. However, the number of partici-
pants was enough to attain adequate thematic saturation
because of sample homogeneity; they were all female,
midwives and worked with the same category of
patients. Guest et al., [62] stated that the more similar
participants in a sample are in their experiences with re-
spect to the research domain the sooner we should ex-
pect to reach saturation. Another limitation may be that
the results may have suffered from selection bias, i.e.,
the sampling method may have affected the findings.
This may have occurred due to the fact that the study participants were chosen from two municipalities in districts that had a higher number of immigrants. Different results may have been obtained if the study had also included interviews with midwives who work in districts with fewer immigrants. Such a selection might have improved the investigation of the role of immigrant patients’ socioeconomic situation. A well-selected and diversified sample is important. If the findings are based on the range of social settings that is likely to contribute to a particular experience, it strengthens the generalizability of the conclusions [63]. The interview location was planned according to the wishes of the interviewee, as the aim was to create a relaxed setting. The subjectivity of the researcher is another methodological issue that can be discussed. Morse [17] states that in order to conduct valid research it is imperative that the researcher be aware of personal bias or agenda. Research questions may not be value-free but may even reflect the researcher’s values. In this study, the questions about transcultural health care were based on the general discussion on transcultural health care in Sweden and the author’s previous research and knowledge in the field. They could therefore be seen as leading questions.

Conclusions

Midwives believe that health care inequality among immigrants may be the result of miscommunication which may arise due to a shortage of meeting time, language barriers, different systems of cultural beliefs and practices and limited patient-caregiver trust. Immigrants face more difficulties in seeking health care and in receiving adequate levels of care. The level of education, country of origin and length of stay in Sweden is believed to influence immigrants’ health care seeking behavior. An interesting difference was observed among the midwives’ views; some midwives are sensitive to individual and intra-group differences while other midwives view immigrants as a group of “others”. The findings of the study suggest that more research is needed about the potential of educating health care staff on the provision of transcultural health care and regarding midwives’ attitudes toward subgroup-specific health care services. This might be a starting point in developing strategies for reducing ethnic inequalities in the health care system.

Endnotes

Edward Said argues that ‘otherness’ serves to re-impose colonial domination by suggesting that western values, beliefs and forms of culture are imposed to counter the inherently negative ‘traits’ of these so-called inferior cultures (Said E.W., Orientalism, New York: Pantheon, 1978).
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