Acupuncture for the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia
A protocol for the systematic review of randomized controlled trials
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Abstract
Background: The ability of acupuncture to successfully control pain has been reported in the past. However, currently no systematic reviews exist regarding the effect of acupuncture on trigeminal neuralgia (TN). This proposed review aims to evaluate the current evidence on the efficacy of acupuncture for the management of pain in TN.

Methods: A total of 11 databases were searched from their inception. These include MEDLINE, AMED, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library, 6 Korean medical databases, and 1 Chinese Medical Database. Study selection, data extraction, and assessment were performed independently by 2 researchers. Risk of bias was assessed via the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool.

Ethics and dissemination: Ethical approval is not required, given that this protocol is for a systematic review. The systematic review will be published in a peer-reviewed journal and disseminated both electronically and in print. The review was updated to inform and guide healthcare practice and policy.

Trial registration number: PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018087594.

Abbreviations: AEs = adverse events, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, TN = trigeminal neuralgia.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Description of the condition

Trigeminal neuralgia (TN) is a type of severe chronic pain characterized by brief electric shock-like pains in 1 or more divisions of the trigeminal nerve.[1] The frequency, duration, and severity of these painful attacks gradually increase, and they often become resistant to medication.[2] Therefore, neuralgia becomes chronic and can severely affect the quality of life and cause cognitive disturbances, such as anxiety and depression, in the majority of patients with TN.[3] The annual incidence of TN is between 4 and 27 per 100,000, affecting females more often than males. The prevalence increases at >50 years of age, and among young people it is often related to multiple sclerosis.[4]

1.2. Description of the intervention

Acupuncture, which originated in China more than 3000 years ago, has spread to over 160 countries and regions; it is used to treat various disorders such as pain and neurologic conditions, women’s health, psychiatric disorders, cancer, and functional bowel disorders.[5] In particular, the acupuncture-induced analgesic effect has been used widely to alleviate various pain conditions. A recent meta-analysis of individual patient data from a total of 20,827 patients participating in 39 trials showed that acupuncture is effective for controlling chronic pain and that treatment effects persist over time.[6]

1.3. How the intervention might work

The mechanism of action underlying the effects of acupuncture on controlling pain, so-called acupuncture analgesia, has been explored with regard to both peripheral and central mechanisms. Insertion of an acupuncture needle into an acupuncture point produces de-qi sensation, which excites all afferent fibers of the muscle tissue.[7,8]
Mechanical stimulation during acupuncture seems to pass signals via the sensory ganglia to the spinal cord and via interneurons to modulate the activity of motor neurons in the brain stem network, resulting in activation of various opioid receptors, which induce analgesic effects through descending inhibition in the supraspinal CNS region. The analgesic effect of acupuncture-related endogenous opioid peptides is prolonged. In addition, acupuncture also has an immediate analgesic effect. One possible mechanism of the immediate analgesia is the pathway termed diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC). According to DNIC, a noxious stimulus can induce immediate suppression of pain transmission in neurons of the trigeminal caudalis and/or the spinal horn.

1.4. Why the intervention is important to this review

Antiepileptic drugs, including carbamazepine, are the first-line treatment options for TN, but they often fail to relieve pain and/or produce significant side effects such as cognitive disturbances, memory loss, and bone marrow suppression. Among surgical interventions, microvascular decompression surgery may represent an effective method for curing TN. However, it is limited to neurovascular conflict in vascular compression of the trigeminal nerve root in young adults or healthy elderly and about half of the cases experience recurrent pain. Therefore, acupuncture may be an option for controlling pain in TN.

1.5. Objective

This proposed review aims to systematically evaluate the evidence on the safety and effectiveness of acupuncture for controlling pain in patients with TN from randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

2. Methods

2.1. Study registration

This protocol has been registered in PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018087594 http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.php?ID=CRD42018087594.

2.2. Criteria for considering studies for this review

2.2.1. Types of studies. Prospective RCTs were included. We excluded observational, cohort, case-control, case series, qualitative studies, uncontrolled trials, and laboratory studies. No language restrictions were imposed.

2.2.2. Types of participants. We included patients with TN regardless of age, sex, and origin of countries.

2.2.3. Types of interventions and controls. Studies that have evaluated any type of invasive acupuncture with or without electrical stimulation were included. Studies investigating other methods of stimulating acupuncture points without needle insertion (acupressure, pressed studs, laser stimulation, etc.) were included but analyzed separately. Control interventions include treatments such as general conventional care (drugs, botulism toxin, etc.), sham treatment (interventions mimicking ‘true’ acupuncture/true treatment but deviating in at least 1 aspect considered important by acupuncture theory, such as skin penetration or correct point location), or waiting list care. We also included trials that compare acupuncture plus another active treatment with the same other active treatment alone. We excluded RCTs in which 1 type of acupuncture compared with a different type of acupuncture.

2.2.4. Type of outcome measures

2.2.4.1. Primary outcomes.

(1) Severity of pain (measured with Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), numerical rating scale (NRS)), or other measurement tools for pain

(2) Frequency of attacks

2.2.4.2. Secondary outcomes.

(1) Total treatment efficacy: number of patients whose TN has improved

(2) Quality of life; measured using a validated questionnaire

(3) Adverse events (AEs)

2.3. Search method for identifying the studies

2.3.1. Electronic searches. Electronic databases include MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), AMED, 6 Korean databases (KoreaMed, the Korean Traditional Knowledge Portal, Oriental Medicine Advanced Searching Integrated System (OASIS), DBpia, the Research Information Service System (RISS), and the Korean Studies Information Service System), and 1 Chinese database (China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI)). Articles identified through reference lists of the included studies and relevant systematic reviews were considered for inclusion based on their title.

2.3.2. Search of other resources. The authors scanned the reference lists of selected studies to retrieve additional studies. In addition, authors searched the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/), ClinicalTrials.gov registry (http://clinicaltrials.gov/) and other relevant trial registries.

2.3.3. Search strategy. Our search strategy includes main keywords such as ‘acupuncture,’ ‘trigeminal neuralgia,’ ‘facial pain,’ and ‘idiopathic facial pain’ in English, Chinese, and Korean.

2.4. Data collection, extraction and assessment

2.4.1. Selection of studies. Two reviewers (JIK and HJK) independently screened the titles and abstracts of studies found in the searches and performed study selection, recorded their decisions according to predefined criteria. Another reviewer (MSL) resolved disagreements in study selection. Study selection was documented and summarized in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA)-compliant flow chart (http://www.prisma-statement.org).

2.4.2. Data extraction. All articles were read by 2 independent reviewers (JIK and HJK) who extracted data from the articles according to predefined criteria. The extracted data include the author name(s), year of publication, country, sample size, age and sex of study participants, acupuncture intervention, control intervention, main outcomes, and adverse effects. The extracted data were tabulated for future analysis. The authors used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) software to determine the quality of evidence based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions to create a Summary of Findings.
Based on the diversity of interventions, study designs, and other combined effect sizes from subgroup analyses were performed to investi- 
gators of the original study. we requested any missing or incomplete information from the robustness of the meta-analysis results. 

2.4.3. Assessment of risk of bias. Quality assessment was performed using the tool for assessing risk of bias from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. The following characteristics were assessed. 
(1) random sequence generation 
(2) allocation concealment 
(3) blinding of participants and personnel 
(4) blinding of outcome assessment 
(5) incomplete outcome data 
(6) selective outcome reporting 
(7) other sources of bias (we will evaluate baseline imbalance). In this review L, U, and H as keys for these evaluations are used, where L (low) indicates a low risk of bias, U (unclear) indicates that the risk of bias is uncertain, and H (high) indicates a high risk of bias. Disagreements were resolved by discussion among all reviewers. Information regarding the risk of bias assessment for the included studies are summarized in table form, and the results and implications are critically discussed.

2.5. Data analysis
All statistical analyses were conducted using the Cochrane Collaboration’s software Review Manager (RevMan), v.5.3 for Windows (The Nordic Cochrane Center, Copenhagen, Denmark). Differences between the intervention and control groups were also assessed. In the analysis of clinical efficacy, categorical data were assessed in terms of risk ratios and continuous data were assessed in terms of mean difference (MD). Categorical and continuous variables were expressed as efficacy values with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In cases of outcome variables with different scales, standardized MD was used instead of weighted MD. When we detected heterogeneity (defined by results of tests of heterogeneity that indicate P < 0.1 via chi-square tests and Higgins I² ≥ 50%), subgroup analyses were performed to find the cause of clinical heterogeneity. A random effects model was used to assess combined effect sizes from efficacy variables because substantial clinical heterogeneity was expected across the included studies based on the diversity of interventions, study designs, and other conditions. Publication bias were assessed using funnel plots and Egger’s regression method. When missing data were detected, we requested any missing or incomplete information from the investigators of the original study. Subgroup analysis was conducted according to different control interventions (acupuncture vs sham acupuncture; acupuncture vs conventional intervention; acupuncture combined with conventional intervention vs conventional intervention), and type of stimulation (manual vs. electric). Where appropriate, sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the robustness of the meta-analysis results. 

2.6. Ethics and dissemination
Ethical approval was not required, given that this protocol is for a systematic review. The findings of this review are disseminated widely through peer-reviewed publications and conference presentations.

3. Discussion
Until now, only 1 systematic review on the use of acupuncture for controlling pain in TN has been published. However, this review is outdated, and it did not follow the formal recommendations for a systematic review. The results of this systematic review evaluating the evidence on the safety and effectiveness of acupuncture for treating pain in TN from RCTs may be utilized by clinicians for managing pain in TN.
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