Low-dose aspirin was associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease and low bodyweight: results from KNOW-CKD study
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The benefits and risks of aspirin therapy for patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) who have a high burden of cardiovascular events (CVE) are controversial. To examine the effects of low-dose aspirin on major clinical outcomes in patients with CKD. As a prospective observational cohort study, using propensity score matching, 531 aspirin recipients and non-recipients were paired for analysis from 2070 patients and fulfilled the inclusion criteria among 2238 patients with CKD. The primary outcome was the first occurrence of major CVE. The secondary outcomes were kidney events defined as a > 50% reduction of estimated glomerular filtration rate from baseline, doubling of serum creatinine, or onset of kidney failure with replacement therapy, the all-cause mortality, and bleeding event. The incidence of CVE was significantly greater in low-dose aspirin users than in non-users (HR 1.798; P = 0.011). A significant association between aspirin use and an increased risk of CVE was observed only in the lowest quartile of body weight (HR 4.014; P = 0.019) (Q1 < 60.0 kg). Secondary outcomes were not significantly different between aspirin users and non-users. It needs to be individualized of prescribing low-dose aspirin for the prevention of cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease, particularly patients with low bodyweight (< 60 kg).

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is an important pandemic health problem with an increasing prevalence and high economic burden1–3. Individuals with CKD have a substantially increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), compared with the general population4. CVD is known to be a leading cause of death in patients with CKD18. Therefore, the efforts to reduce CVD are essential in patients with CKD. Aspirin has been widely used to reduce cardiovascular morbidities and mortality in patients who are at high risk and have previous experiences of cardiovascular events (CVE) such as myocardial infarction or stroke. The beneficial effects of low-dose
Before matching | After propensity matching
---|---
**Variable** | **Aspirin users, (N = 571)** | **Non-users, (N = 1499)** | **P** | **Standardized differences** | **Aspirin users, (N = 531)** | **Non-users, (N = 531)** | **P** | **Standardized differences**
Age, year | 58.7 ± 10.0 | 51.7 ± 12.5 | < 0.001 | 0.616 | 58.1 ± 10.0 | 58.4 ± 10.3 | 0.609 | 0.030
Male gender, n (%) | 401 (70.2) | 864 (57.6) | < 0.001 | 0.264 | 364 (68.5) | 357 (67.2) | 0.692 | 0.028
BMI, kg/m² | 25.1 ± 3.2 | 24.4 ± 3.4 | < 0.001 | 0.237 | 25.1 ± 3.3 | 25.1 ± 3.3 | 0.706 | 0.023
Smoking, n (%) | 318 (55.7) | 652 (43.5) | < 0.001 | 0.197 | 1.6 ± 2.3 | 1.2 ± 2.1 | < 0.001 | 0.197 | 1.6 ± 2.3 | 1.7 ± 2.6 | 0.021 | 0.021
Hemoglobin, g/dL | 12.8 ± 2.1 | 12.8 ± 2.0 | < 0.001 | 0.001 | 12.8 ± 2.1 | 12.8 ± 2.0 | < 0.001 | 0.001
Albumin, g/dL | 4.1 ± 0.4 | 4.2 ± 0.6 | < 0.001 | 0.171 | 4.1 ± 0.5 | 4.1 ± 0.5 | 0.001 | 0.024
Cholesterol, mg/dL | 168.1 ± 39.6 | 176.7 ± 38.8 | < 0.001 | 0.220 | 169.3 ± 40.0 | 168.8 ± 37.6 | < 0.001 | 0.220
 UPFR, g/g | | | | | | | | | |
Hypertension, n (%) | 304 (53.2) | 588 (39.2) | < 0.001 | 0.284 | 280 (52.7) | 266 (50.1) | 0.001 | 0.045
Previous CVD, n (%) | 318 (55.7) | 652 (43.5) | < 0.001 | 0.246 | 282 (53.1) | 278 (52.4) | < 0.001 | 0.246
Red blood cell, n (%) | 401 (70.2) | 864 (57.6) | < 0.001 | 0.264 | 364 (68.5) | 357 (67.2) | 0.692 | 0.028
BMI, kg/m² | 25.1 ± 3.2 | 24.4 ± 3.4 | < 0.001 | 0.237 | 25.1 ± 3.3 | 25.1 ± 3.3 | 0.706 | 0.023
Smoking, n (%) | 318 (55.7) | 652 (43.5) | < 0.001 | 0.197 | 1.6 ± 2.3 | 1.2 ± 2.1 | < 0.001 | 0.197 | 1.6 ± 2.3 | 1.7 ± 2.6 | 0.021 | 0.021
Hemoglobin, g/dL | 12.8 ± 2.1 | 12.8 ± 2.0 | < 0.001 | 0.001 | 12.8 ± 2.1 | 12.8 ± 2.0 | < 0.001 | 0.001
Albumin, g/dL | 4.1 ± 0.4 | 4.2 ± 0.6 | < 0.001 | 0.171 | 4.1 ± 0.5 | 4.1 ± 0.5 | 0.001 | 0.024
Cholesterol, mg/dL | 168.1 ± 39.6 | 176.7 ± 38.8 | < 0.001 | 0.220 | 169.3 ± 40.0 | 168.8 ± 37.6 | < 0.001 | 0.220
UPFR, g/g | 1.6 ± 2.3 | 1.2 ± 2.1 | < 0.001 | 0.197 | 1.6 ± 2.3 | 1.7 ± 2.6 | 0.021 | 0.021
Laboratory
- Hemoglobin, g/dL | 12.8 ± 2.1 | 12.8 ± 2.0 | 0.989 | 0.001 | 12.8 ± 2.1 | 12.8 ± 2.0 | 0.989 | 0.001
- Albumin, g/dL | 4.1 ± 0.4 | 4.2 ± 0.6 | 0.001 | 0.171 | 4.1 ± 0.5 | 4.1 ± 0.5 | 0.001 | 0.171
- Cholesterol, mg/dL | 168.1 ± 39.6 | 176.7 ± 38.8 | 0.001 | 0.220 | 169.3 ± 40.0 | 168.8 ± 37.6 | 0.001 | 0.220
Medications
- RAAS blockers, n (%) | 498 (87.2) | 1272 (84.9) | 0.196 | 0.068 | 466 (87.8) | 460 (86.6) | 0.643 | 0.034
- CCB, n (%) | 304 (53.2) | 588 (39.2) | 0.001 | 0.284 | 280 (52.7) | 266 (50.1) | 0.405 | 0.053
- Beta-blockers, n (%) | 220 (38.5) | 314 (20.9) | 0.001 | 0.392 | 187 (35.2) | 171 (32.2) | 0.339 | 0.064
- Statin, n (%) | 388 (68.0) | 680 (45.4) | 0.001 | 0.468 | 350 (65.9) | 351 (66.1) | 1.000 | 0.004

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants. Conversion factors for units were as follows: hemoglobin in g/dL to g/L, × 10; albumin in mg/dL to g/L, × 10; cholesterol in mg/dL to mmol/L, × 0.02586.

Aspirin treatment for secondary prevention of CVE in people who already have cardiovascular disease (CVD) have been definitely shown in numerous studies, but the effects of aspirin for primary prevention is less clear and remains controversial. Current evidence is limited regarding the use of aspirin for primary and secondary prevention of CVD in patients with CKD. This is attributed to the systematic exclusion of patients with CKD from most previous randomized clinical trials. Additionally, the different etiological pathophysiology of CVD in patients with CKD is associated with uncertainty about the beneficial effects of aspirin treatment come from the general population.

Nowadays, the preventative effect of low-dose aspirin on CVD has been called into question with studies showing inconsistent efficacy of the drug. Actually, according to the studies performed in diabetic patients with nephropathy or without who are at increased CVD risk, while some studies showed a beneficial effect of low-dose aspirin in reducing CVE, the other studies showed that the use of low-dose aspirin was not associated with the reduction of CVE. Moreover, a recent study reported that the effect of aspirin on the prevention of CVD was different depending on the body size, so claimed that a one-dose-fits-all approach should be avoided. The study showed that effectiveness of low-dose aspirin disappeared at larger body size and the effect modification by weight was remained in men and women, elderly, and people with diabetes. Given that kidney function has been known to influence on bioavailability of drugs and CKD is one of the important risk factors for CVE, it is worthwhile to investigate whether the relation between body size and efficacy of low-dose aspirin is consistent within individuals with CKD. Therefore, in this study, we sought to evaluate the effect of aspirin treatment on CVE and other clinical outcomes in the CKD population prior to dialysis, particularly the effect of low-dose aspirin on body size.

**Results**

**Study population and baseline characteristics.** A total of 2070 patients with CKD were included in this study and the mean eGFR of study population was 53.1 ± 30.9 mL/min/1.73 m². Of whom 571 (27.6%) patients were prescribed aspirin at enrollment. Almost all aspirin users (98%) were taking a low-dose (75–100 mg) of one. The other antiplatelet agents such as clopidogrel and ticlopidine were prescribed for 4.4% (92 of 2070), and warfarin was prescribed for 1.3% (27 of 2070). Baseline demographic characteristics, laboratory data, and medical history of the study population are shown in Table 1. There was a considerable imbalance of baseline characteristics between aspirin users and non-users. Using PS matching, a total of 531 aspirin users were successfully matched to non-users. After PS matching, demographic characteristics, the prevalence of medical comorbidities, and medication status were not significantly different between the two groups.

**Effects of aspirin on the risk of CVE in patients with CKD.** During the median follow up time of 51.8 months, CVE occurred in 58 (10.2%) aspirin users versus 69 (4.6%) non-users in the unmatched cohort.
Effects of aspirin on secondary outcomes in patients with CKD. During the follow-up time, kidney event developed in 177 (31.0%) aspirin users and 381 (25.4%) non-users in the matched cohort. Multivariable Cox regression analysis showed that use of aspirin was not associated with a risk of kidney events in both unmatched and matched cohort (Table 2). All-cause mortality was observed in 28 (4.9%) aspirin users and 54 (3.6%) non-users in the unmatched cohort and 167 (31.5%) aspirin users and 161 (30.3%) non-users in the matched cohort. Multivariable Cox regression analysis showed that use of aspirin was not associated with the risk of CVE (Table 3). The incidence of secondary outcomes was not significantly different between aspirin users and non-users across all quartiles of bodyweight in both unmatched and matched cohort (Table 2).

Effects of aspirin on clinical outcomes according to bodyweight in patients with CKD. To investigate whether the effect of aspirin is different depending on body size, we evaluated the effect of aspirin on study outcomes by conducting multivariable Cox regression analysis stratified by the bodyweight quartiles. Analysis with both unmatched and matched cohort showed that in the lowest quartile of bodyweight, the use of aspirin was significantly associated with an increased incidence of CVE, but in the other quartiles bodyweight showed that use of aspirin was not associated with a risk of kidney events in both unmatched and matched cohort (Table 3). The incidence of secondary outcomes was not significantly different between aspirin users and non-users across all quartiles of bodyweight in both unmatched and matched cohort, except that the risk of the composite outcome of CVE or kidney events or death was significantly increased in aspirin users who belong to the lowest quartile of bodyweight (Q1) in the matched cohort (Table 3). To determine the effects of aspirin on study outcomes according to bodyweight, we plotted adjusted spline curves stratified by the use of aspirin. The pattern of the adjusted HR curve in aspirin users looks different from that in non-users. The adjusted HR of CVE and kidney event appears to increase in patients weighing

| Outcome       | Unmatched cohort HR (95% CI) | P   | Matched cohort HR (95% CI) | P   |
|---------------|------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| CV event      | Unadjusted model 2.282 (1.610–3.236) < 0.001 | 1.672 (1.076–2.597) 0.022 | Adjusted model 1.646 (1.126–2.407) 0.011 |
| Renal event   | Unadjusted model 1.281 (1.072–1.532) 0.006 | 1.048 (0.844–1.301) 0.674 | Adjusted model 1.132 (0.934–1.372) 0.208 |
| All-cause mortality | Unadjusted model 1.382 (0.875–2.182) 0.165 | 0.648 (0.384–1.092) 0.103 | Adjusted model 0.751 (0.456–1.236) 0.259 |
| Composite outcome | Unadjusted model 1.473 (1.257–1.726) < 0.001 | 1.118 (0.921–1.358) 0.259 | Adjusted model 1.174 (0.990–1.394) 0.066 |
| Bleeding events | Unadjusted model 1.316 (0.616–2.811) 0.479 | 1.349 (0.513–3.545) 0.544 | Adjusted model 1.276 (0.558–2.918) 0.564 |

Table 2. Multivariate Cox proportional analyses for clinical outcomes. Adjusted for age, male gender, BMI, smoking, baseline eGFR, previous CVD, diabetes, hypertension, proteinuria, hemoglobin, albumin and total cholesterol levels, and use of medications (RAAS blockers, CCB, beta-blockers, statin, warfarin, and other antiplatelet agents. HR hazards ratio, CI confidence interval.
less than 60 kg in aspirin users, while there seems little difference in risk of CVE and kidney event according to bodyweight in non-users in both unmatched (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2 in supplement) and matched cohort (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Figure S3). The risk of mortality according to bodyweight appears to show the opposite tendency in aspirin users and non-users (Supplementary Figure S1 and Fig. 1), but the numbers of death event (82 out of 2070; 4.0%) were too small to provide a proper answer. Indeed, the analysis failed to reach statistical significance (Table 3). Given the above findings, we evaluated the effects of aspirin on study outcomes in patients weighting less than 60 kg versus those weighting 60 kg or more, separately. In Table 4, the use of aspirin was significantly associated with increased risk of CVE in patients weighing less than 60 kg in both unmatched (HR 2.791; 95% CI 1.218–6.395; \( P = 0.015 \)) and matched cohort (HR 4.014; 95% CI 1.253–12.865, \( P = 0.019 \)). The risk of the composite outcome of CVE or kidney events or death was also significantly increased in aspirin users weighing less than 60 kg in both unmatched and matched cohort. However, in patients weighing 60 kg or more, the use of aspirin was not associated with the risk of any other clinical outcomes.

### Table 3. Multivariate Cox proportional analyses for clinical outcomes according to the group of bodyweight.

Adjusted for age, male gender, BMI, smoking, baseline eGFR, previous CVD, diabetes, hypertension, proteinuria, hemoglobin, albumin and total cholesterol levels, and use of medications (RAAS blockers, CCB, beta-blockers, statin, warfarin, and other antiplatelet agents).

| Bw (kg) | CVE (HR (95% CI)) | P | Renal event (HR (95% CI)) | P | All-cause mortality (HR (95% CI)) | P | Composite outcome (HR (95% CI)) | P |
|--------|------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| Unmatched cohort (n = 2070) |
| Q1: < 58.5 (n = 515) | 2.478 (1.113–5.516) 0.026 | 1.449 (1.012–2.075) 0.043 | 1.654 (0.582–4.597) 0.345 | 1.547 (1.112–2.151) 0.010 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
| Q2: 58.5–66.0 (n = 506) | 2.776 (1.077–7.153) 0.035 | 1.292 (0.873–1.912) 0.200 | 0.840 (0.235–3.000) 0.789 | 1.331 (0.928–1.908) 0.120 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
| Q3: 66.0–74.0 (n = 520) | 2.381 (1.318–4.302) 0.004 | 1.187 (0.824–1.710) 0.357 | 1.174 (0.478–2.880) 0.727 | 1.566 (1.144–2.144) 0.005 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
| Q4: ≥ 74.0 (n = 529) | 1.247 (0.628–2.478) 0.528 | 0.911 (0.599–1.386) 0.663 | 0.531 (0.182–1.546) 0.246 | 1.005 (0.704–1.434) 0.980 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
| Matched cohort (n = 1062) |
| Q1: < 60.0 (n = 240) | 1.802 (0.910–3.568) 0.091 | 1.459 (1.025–2.076) 0.036 | 1.021 (0.444–2.349) 0.961 | 1.523 (1.110–2.089) 0.009 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
| Q2: 60.0–67.0 (n = 238) | 1.325 (0.645–2.722) 0.444 | 0.988 (0.725–1.573) 0.740 | 0.419 (0.166–1.060) 0.066 | 1.037 (0.739–1.455) 0.834 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
| Q3: 67.0–75.0 (n = 271) | 2.650 (1.187–5.916) 0.017 | 1.124 (0.790–1.680) 0.515 | 1.873 (0.721–4.689) 0.198 | 1.295 (0.945–1.774) 0.107 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
| Q4: ≥ 75.0 (n = 268) | 2.438 (0.926–6.419) 0.071 | 1.374 (0.924–2.043) 0.116 | 1.544 (0.474–5.032) 0.471 | 1.370 (0.959–1.957) 0.083 |
| Unadjusted model | | | | |
| Adjusted model | | | | |
Discussion

In this study, we found that there was no significant beneficial effect of low-dose aspirin in preventing CVE in patients with CKD. On the contrary, the use of low-dose aspirin was associated with an increased risk of CVE in those patients and this harmful effect was prominent in patients with low bodyweight (< 60 kg). In addition, these adverse effects were insignificant in the primary prevention of CVE, but significant especially in underweight patients in secondary prevention. The risk of all-cause mortality, kidney event, and bleeding was not significantly different between aspirin users and non-users in the CKD cohort.

Low-dose aspirin treatment has shown a beneficial effect in reducing CVE or mortality without increasing significant bleeding risk in patients with the previous CVE, and it is recommended for secondary prevention of CVE7–9. However, most of the previous large studies excluded patients with CKD and the beneficial effect of low-dose aspirin in patients with CKD has not been confirmed properly until now.

A meta-analysis reported that antiplatelet therapy in patients with CKD had little or no effect in reducing cardiovascular morbidity and mortality with increased bleeding risk17. However, the authors concluded that evidence for antiplatelet therapy in patients with CKD was low or very low quality due to substantial variation and heterogeneity among trials, reliance on subgroup data, and considerable methodological limitations, indicating an uncertainty to the results. A previous study reported that the use of low-dose aspirin in patients with CKD was associated with increased risk for CVD and kidney progression suggesting the harmful effect of aspirin in patients with kidney impairment18. Those findings showed similar results in our current study. A recent nationwide study performed in patients with predialysis CKD G5, reported that there was no significant benefit in reducing ischemic stroke, cardiovascular mortality, and all-cause mortality in predialysis advanced CKD patients who received aspirin therapy19. In addition, the study showed that the use of aspirin was associated with an increased risk of kidney failure in those patients. These findings also support the negative effect of aspirin use in patients with CKD. Meanwhile, a post-hoc subgroup analysis of HOT (Hypertension Optimal Treatment) study showed that aspirin treatment reduced significantly the risk of CVE and mortality in hypertensive patients with CKD20, which was contrary to our findings. However, only 2.9% of participants had an eGFR < 45 mL/min/1.73 m², and a much smaller proportion of participants (0.5%) had an eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m². Moreover, the study was designed for diastolic hypertensive patients and only 8.0% of the study population had diabetes, whereas

![Figure 1. Adjusted risk of clinical outcomes according to body weight in aspirin users versus non-users after PS matching.](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)
exhibits higher affinity for COX-1, while high-dose aspirin inhibits both COX-1 and COX-2. It has been shown that HTPR is more frequent in patients with CKD than in those with normal kidney function. Impaired kidney function attenuates the effects of antiplatelet agents. Our study had larger proportion of participants with severe CKD (eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² in 27.8%) and diabetes (34.0%) than HOT study. These disparities of study population between the two might contribute to different results.

Recently, it was published that low-dose aspirin was effective for the prevention of CVE only in patients with low bodyweight (< 70 kg) and become ineffective with increasing bodyweight. These findings by Rothwell et al. were opposite to our findings in terms of a drug effect (helpful vs. harmful). However, the study by Rothwell et al. and our study showed in common that the effects of aspirin were bodyweight dependent. They suggest that low-dose aspirin therapy is effective in preventing CVE but the efficacy is limited to the people with low bodyweight, while our study suggests that the use of low-dose aspirin in patients with CKD increases the risk for CVE and the patients with low bodyweight are more vulnerable to the harmful effect. These discrepant findings might be attributed to the different study populations between the two studies. They analyzed individual patient data from previous randomized trials that had been conducted to examine the primary and secondary preventive effects of aspirin. The subjects of this study, which consisted only of patients with CKD, were different from the previous one, and it can be assumed that the intensity of the aspirin effect according to the bodyweight due to race differences might lead to different results.

In this study, the use of low-dose aspirin in patients with CKD was shown to may be associated with an increased risk of CVE. A possible explanation for the discrepancies in the effects of low-dose aspirin between the CKD and non-CKD population is that kidney function affects the efficacy of low-dose aspirin. A Japanese demonstrated that low-dose aspirin therapy reduced the incidence of CVE in patients with estimated glomerular filtration rate based on serum creatinine (eGFR) > 60–90 mL/min/1.73 m², but not in patients with eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² or eGFR > 90 mL/min/1.73 m², and suggested that eGFR might affect the efficacy of low-dose aspirin therapy in those patients.

Uninsufficient antiplatelet effect referred to as the high on-treatment platelet reactivity (HTPR) is known to be associated with an increased incidence of CVE and mortality in patients taking antiplatelet medications for secondary prevention. It has been shown that HTPR is more frequent in patients with CKD than in those with normal kidney function. It means that impaired kidney function attenuates the effects of antiplatelet agents. Another potential explanation for our finding is that patients with CKD have non-traditional CKD-related risk factors for CVD, which are not influenced by aspirin therapy. The non-traditional risk factors including uremia, chronic inflammation, mineral bone metabolism, and oxidative stress are known to be associated with increased CVD morbidity and mortality in patients with CKD. Aspirin paradox may be a plausible mechanism supporting our hypothesis. Aspirin exerts anti-thrombotic effects by the inhibition of cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) that leads to a decrease in the production of thromboxane A₂, while aspirin also exerts pro-thrombotic effects by the inhibition of COX-2 that induces a decrease in the production of prostacyclin (PGI₂). Usually, low-dose aspirin exhibits higher affinity for COX-1, while high-dose aspirin inhibits both COX-1 and COX-2. However, there were several studies suggesting that low-dose aspirin could inhibit COX-2 and induce pro-thrombotic effects. In some experiments, it was shown that low-dose aspirin inhibits COX-2 leading to pro-thrombotic effects.

Table 4. Multivariate Cox proportional analyses for clinical outcomes according to dichotomized bodyweight (< 60 kg vs. ≥ 60 kg). Adjusted for age, male gender, BMI, smoking, baseline eGFR, previous CVD, diabetes, hypertension, proteinuria, hemoglobin, albumin and total cholesterol levels, and use of medications (RAAS blockers, CCB, beta-blockers, statin, warfarin, and other antiplatelet agents).

|                     | Bodyweight <60 kg (n = 588) | Bodyweight ≥60 kg (n = 1482) | Bodyweight <60 kg (n = 822) | Bodyweight ≥60 kg (n = 240) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Unmatched cohort            | Matched cohort                | Unmatched cohort            | Matched cohort              |
|                     | HR (95% CI) | P    | HR (95% CI) | P    | HR (95% CI) | P    | HR (95% CI) | P    |
| CVE                 |                      |                               |                             |                             |
| Unadjusted model    | 2.707 (1.357–5.398)      | 0.005                         | 2.602 (0.928–7.300)         | 0.069                       | 2.142 (1.429–3.212)         | <0.001 | 1.498 (0.917–2.446) | 0.107 |
| Adjusted model      | 2.791 (1.218–6.995)       | 0.015                         | 4.014 (1.253–12.865)        | 0.019                       | 1.512 (0.978–2.337)         | 0.063  | 1.599 (0.968–2.639) | 0.067 |
| Renal event         |                      |                               |                             |                             |
| Unadjusted model    | 1.684 (1.216–2.331)       | 0.002                         | 1.214 (0.803–1.835)         | 0.358                       | 1.173 (0.948–1.452)         | 0.143  | 0.988 (0.766–1.275) | 0.926 |
| Adjusted model      | 1.377 (0.961–1.972)       | 0.081                         | 1.484 (0.946–2.328)         | 0.086                       | 1.070 (0.849–1.348)         | 0.567  | 1.017 (0.785–1.318) | 0.898 |
| All-cause mortality |                      |                               |                             |                             |
| Unadjusted model    | 1.762 (0.669–4.637)       | 0.251                         | 0.661 (0.210–2.084)         | 0.480                       | 1.241 (0.739–2.084)         | 0.414  | 0.643 (0.357–1.157) | 0.141 |
| Adjusted model      | 0.845 (0.256–2.791)       | 0.782                         | 0.329 (0.077–1.410)         | 0.134                       | 0.725 (0.416–1.266)         | 0.258  | 0.680 (0.370–1.248) | 0.213 |
| Composite outcome   |                      |                               |                             |                             |
| Unadjusted model    | 1.799 (1.334–2.427)       | <0.001                        | 1.314 (0.892–1.935)         | 0.167                       | 1.384 (1.147–1.670)         | 0.001  | 1.057 (0.845–1.324) | 0.626 |
| Adjusted model      | 1.424 (1.024–1.979)       | 0.036                         | 1.533 (1.024–2.356)         | 0.038                       | 1.111 (0.907–1.360)         | 0.310  | 1.106 (0.880–1.389) | 0.387 |

Scientific Reports | (2021) 11:6691 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86192-4
Actually, a recent study of patients with diabetes reported that the use of low-dose aspirin was associated with an increased risk of ischemic stroke\[^{31}\], suggesting that low-dose aspirin could induce pro-thrombotic effects. These findings also support our hypothesis. However, the above-mentioned speculations are all merely hypothetical for the observed findings. To explain the underlying mechanism, further well-organized and sophisticated experimental and clinical studies are needed.

Although we drew the conclusion using a large-scale prospective CKD cohort study that included patients with CKD across all stages of the disease, there are some limitations to be discussed. First, this study was an observational study, not a randomized trial. Thus, it had inherently flaw in the randomized allocation of participants to the treatment (users of aspirin) or the control arm (non-users of aspirin), which could result in selection bias. Confounding by indication for aspirin use may exert an influence on the outcome. To minimize such selection bias and confounding, we used the PS matching method and confirmed that baseline covariates have been balanced between the two groups. However, this is not a complete method to substitute for the randomized trial, and unrecognized bias can remain and affect our conclusion. Second, this study was conducted only in one ethnic people. One of our findings was that the harmful effect of low-dose aspirin in patients with CKD was bodyweight-dependent. There have been accumulating data about ethnic or racial differences in bodyweight and disease risk\[^{32-34}\]. In addition, aspirin intolerance varies by race and ethnicity and the racial and ethnic variations are known to be related to genetic polymorphic metabolism\[^{35,36}\]. There have been several reports for a different efficacy of aspirin by race and ethnicity which were studied in patients with cancers\[^{37,38}\] or preeclampsia\[^{39}\]. Considering these data, the effect of low-dose aspirin might be different by race and ethnicity in CKD patients. Third, our analysis did not reflect the individual's bodyweight change during the follow-up. Fourth, we cannot know whether discontinuing or not-using low-dose aspirin in patients with CKD improve cardiovascular outcomes because this was not an interventional study. Fifth, non-traditional CKD-related risk factors for CVD such as inflammation and disordered mineral bone metabolism might confound the effect of aspirin on outcome. However, we did not have sufficient data on those markers and could not exclude the confounding by inflammation or mineral metabolism.

In conclusion, this study showed that the use of low-dose aspirin in patients with CKD was associated with a significant increase of CVE without improving all-cause mortality and this harmful effect of low-dose aspirin was prominent in patients with low bodyweight (<60 kg). Therefore, our results do not support prescribing low-dose aspirin routinely for the prevention of CVE in patients with CKD, particularly patients with low bodyweight. Further studies are warranted to verify our results and determine the mechanism underlying our findings.

**Methods**

**Study design and participants.** The KoreaN cohort study for Outcome in patients With Chronic Kidney Disease (KNOW-CKD) is a prospective, nationwide, multicenter, and observational cohort study. In brief, 2238 adults aged 20 to 75 years with CKD G1-5 (non-dialysis) were enrolled between 2011 and 2016 (NCT01630486 at [http://www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov)) from nine tertiary hospitals in Korea. The study design, method, and protocol summary are described in detail elsewhere\[^{40}\]. For this study, we excluded participants who did not have anthropometric measurements at baseline (n = 24) and other baseline covariates (n = 144). Therefore, a total of 2070 participants were included in the final analysis.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the research protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the Seoul National University Hospital (1104–089–359), Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (B-1106/129-008), Yonsei University Severance Hospital (4-2011-0163), Kangbuk Samsung Medical Center (2011-01-076), Seoul St. Mary's Hospital (HC110IM0441), Gachon University Gil Medical Center (GIRBA2553), Eulji General Hospital (201105-01), Chonnam National University Hospital (CNUH-2011-092), and Pusan Paik Hospital (11–091). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

**Data collection and measurements.** Socio-demographic data including age, sex, smoking history, medication, and personal and family medical history were recorded at enrollment. These baseline data were collected from self-reported questionnaire and review of medical records. Anthropometric measurements such as height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) were collected at baseline. For medical history, CVD was defined as any history of coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, and peripheral artery disease. The eGFR<sub>cre</sub> was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation with serum creatinine\[^{41}\]. Spot urine protein-creatinine ratio (uPCR) was used for the assessment of proteinuria. Patients were regularly followed up according to the study protocol, and the events related to study outcomes were recorded during the follow-ups. Patients were censored at the last follow up if they were lost to follow up. Death was investigated by reviewing medical records or using data from the National Database of Statistics Korea. The patients who were lost to follow up have been traced for the information on survival and cause of death with the help of the National Health Insurance System and Korea Statistical Information Service.

**Outcomes.** The primary outcome was the first occurrence of major CVE which includes acute myocardial infarction, unstable angina, receiving percutaneous coronary artery intervention (PCI) or coronary bypass graft surgery (CABG), stroke, cerebral hemorrhage, congestive heart failure, and other CVE that required hospitalization or interventional treatment. The secondary outcomes were kidney events defined as a >50% reduction of eGFR<sub>cre</sub> from baseline, doubling of serum creatinine, or onset of kidney failure with replacement therapy, all-cause mortality, the composite outcome of the CVE or kidney event or death, and bleeding event.
Statistical analyses. The data were presented as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and as mean ± SD for continuous variables. PS matching was used to reduce the selection bias due to the lack of random assignment. To predict the probability of aspirin treatment, a multiple logistic regression was constructed using the following covariates: age, sex, BMI, smoking history, diabetes, hypertension, CVD, eGFRc, uPCR, serum levels of hemoglobin, albumin, total cholesterol, and the use of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) blockers, calcium channel blockers (CCBs), beta-blockers, and statins. After calculating the PS of participants, we subsequently matched aspirin users to non-users using PS based on near-neighbor with calipers method42,43. To assess the effect of aspirin use on the incidence of outcomes, we performed multivariable Cox proportional hazards models and estimated hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of aspirin use. The multivariable Cox model was adjusted for age, male gender, BMI, smoking, baseline eGFR, previous CVD, diabetes, hypertension, proteinuria, hemoglobin, albumin and total cholesterol levels, and use of medications (RAAS blockers, CCB, beta-blockers, statin, warfarin, and other antiplatelet agents). We explored the incidence of study outcomes according to bodyweight by stratified analysis by aspirin use by plotting an adjusted spline curve for HR of outcomes. All statistical analyses were performed using R software, version 3.5.3 with packages (The Comprehensive R Archive Network: http://cran.r-project.org).

Patient and public involvement. Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Data availability
Data are available on reasonable request. The corresponding author has full access to all the data in the study and final responsibility for the submission of the article for publication. Due to data security reasons (ie, data contain potentially participant identifying information), the KNOW-CKD study does not allow sharing data as a public use file. Data requests can also be addressed to: jjiung@gachon.ac.kr.
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