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Abstract

We consider the model of cooperative learning via distributed non-Bayesian learning, where a network of agents tries to jointly agree on a hypothesis that best describes a sequence of locally available observations. Building upon recently proposed weak communication network models, we propose a robust cooperative learning rule that allows asynchronous communications, message delays, unpredictable message losses, and directed communication among nodes.

1 Robust Asynchronous Cooperative Learning: Algorithm and Main Result

Distributed inference has gained increasing attention in recent years due to the numerous applications in machine learning, sensor networks, decentralized control, and distributed signal processing. Among distributed inference models, non-Bayesian social learning has emerged as an essential approach to deal with decentralized heterogeneous learning over networks [3], [5]. Non-Bayesian learning exhibits strong theoretical performance and allows large classes of sensing modalities and communication constraints. The non-Bayesian learning model assumes that the network of agents tries to agree on a set of beliefs about the state of the world that best describes a sequence of local observations from a finite set of possible states [3], [5], [4], [7], [6]. In this work, we build upon recently available results in distributed optimization considering asynchrony, delays, and message losses [10], [9], [2], [1], and introduce a cooperative distributed non-Bayesian learning algorithm with robust performance guarantees under such harsh communication network conditions. In particular, we extend the recent proposed Robust Asynchronous Push-Sum (RAPS) consensus algorithm [8] to the distributed learning setup. Consider a network of \( n \) agents on a set of nodes \( V = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) observing realizations of a finite, stationary, independent, identically distributed random processes \( \{X_k\}_{k \geq 1} \) where \( X_k^i \sim P^i \) at each iteration time \( k \) with unknown distribution \( P^i \). Additionally, all agents have a shared finite set of hypotheses \( \Theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_m\} \), from which each agent \( i \in V \) defines a local family of distributions \( P^i = \{P^i_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\} \). We will assume the technical condition that each element in family of distributions \( P^i \) is absolutely continuous with respect to \( P^i \). We denote \( N^+_i \) and \( N^-_i \) as the set of out-neighbors and in-neighbors of an agent \( i \). The objective of the network of agents is to agree on a parameter \( \theta^* \in \Theta \) such that the joint distribution \( \prod P^i_\theta \) is closest to \( \prod P^i \). Formally, the group of agents tries to solve jointly: \( \min_{\theta \in \Theta} F(\theta) \triangleq \sum_{i \in V} D_{KL}(P_i^\theta \parallel P_i^\theta) \), where \( D_{KL}(P_i^\theta \parallel Q) \) is the Kullback-Leibler divergence between the distributions \( P_i^\theta \) and \( Q \). Importantly, note that each of the agents only knows its local family of distributions \( P^i \), the true distribution of their local observations \( P^i \) is unknown, yet accessible via local observations. Thus, in order to solve problem cooperation is needed.
We will generally denote the set of minimizers as $\Theta$. The confidence each agent has on each of the hypotheses in $\Theta$ is represented by a belief vector, denoted as $\mu^\theta_i(k)$, which indicates the belief that an agent $i \in V$ has about a hypothesis $\theta \in \Theta$ at certain time instant $k$. A value of $\mu^\theta_i(k) = 1$ indicates certainty that the minimizer is $\theta$, whereas $\mu^\theta_i(k) = 0$ indicates certainty that it is not. Agents cooperate by communicating their beliefs at each time instant. Such communication is mediated by a network, modeled as a graph $G = \{V, E\}$. We assume that the graph $G$ is strongly connected and does not have self-loops, the delays on each link are bounded above by some $L_{del} \geq 1$, every agent wakes up and performs updates at least every $L_u \geq 1$ iterations, each link fails at most $L_f \geq 1$ consecutive times, and messages arrive in the order of time they were sent. We state Algorithm\[1] our proposed cooperative learning algorithm, and state our main results.

Algorithm 1 Robust Asynchronous Push-Sum Distributed Non-Bayesian Learning

1: Initialize: $y_i(0) = 1$, $\phi^0_i(0) = 0$, $\phi^\mu_i(0) = 1$, $\forall i \in V$, and $\rho_j^\theta(0) = 0, \kappa_{ij}(0) = 0$, $\forall (i, j) \in E$
2: Set initial beliefs as uniform for all agents.
3: for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, for every node $i$: do
4: if Node $i$ wakes up then
5: 1. Processing and broadcasting local information
6: 6: $\phi^\mu_i(0) \leftarrow \phi^\mu_i(0) + y_i/(d_i^+ + 1)$
7: 7: Node $i$ broadcasts $(\phi^\mu_i, \phi^\mu_{i,j}, \kappa_i)$ to $N_i^+$.\[2
8: 2. Processing received messages
9: 8: for $(\phi^\mu_j, \phi^\mu_{i,j}, \kappa_j)$ in the inbox do
10: 9: if $\kappa_j > \kappa_{ij}$ then
11: 10: $\rho_{ij}^\mu \leftarrow \phi^\mu_j, \rho_{ij}^{\mu\theta} \leftarrow \phi^\mu_{i,j}, \kappa_{ij} \leftarrow \kappa_j$
12: 11: end if
13: 12: end for
14: 3. Updating beliefs and local information
15: 13: $\bar{y}_i \leftarrow \frac{y_i}{d_i^+ + 1} + \sum_{j \in N_i^-} (\rho_{ij}^{\mu\theta} - \rho_{ij}^\mu)$
16: 14: $\mu_i^\theta \leftarrow \frac{1}{d_i^+ + 1} Z_i \left( \mu_i^\theta \prod_{j \in N_i^+} \left( \rho_{ij}^{\mu\theta} P_{ij}^i(x_{k+1}) \right) \right)^{1/Z_i}$
17: 15: $\bar{y}_i \leftarrow \bar{y}_i, \rho_{ij}^\mu \leftarrow \rho_{ij}^\mu, \rho_{ij}^{\mu\theta} \leftarrow \rho_{ij}^{\mu\theta}$
18: 16: end if
19: 17: end for

specific network topology.

Theorem 1 (Main Result) Let assumptions about the connectivity of the communication network hold. Then, the output of Algorithm\[1] has the following property:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \frac{\mu^\theta_W(k)}{\mu^\theta_W(0)} \leq - \frac{1}{n \min_{\theta \neq \theta^*} |F(\theta) - F(\theta^*)|}$$

almost surely for all $\theta_W \notin \Theta^*$, and $\theta_W \in \Theta^*$, and $i \in V$.

Theorem\[1] states that for all non-optimal hypothesis, the beliefs will decay asymptotically exponentially fast. Moreover, the rate at which the beliefs will asymptotically decay is upper bounded by the averaged optimality gap of the second-best hypothesis. However, the closer (in the sense of Kullback-Leibler) the optimal and the closest suboptimal hypothesis are, the slower the concentration will happen.
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