PtrARF2.1 Is Involved in Regulation of Leaf Development and Lignin Biosynthesis in Poplar Trees
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Abstract: Auxin response factors (ARFs) are important regulators modulating the expression of auxin-responsive genes in various biological processes in plants. In the Populus genome, a total of 39 ARF members have been identified, but their detailed functions are still unclear. In this study, six poplar auxin response factor 2 (PtrARF2) members were isolated from P. trichocarpa. Expression pattern analysis showed that PtrARF2.1 is highly expressed in leaf tissues compared with other PtrARF2 genes and significantly repressed by exogenous auxin treatment. PtrARF2.1 is a nuclear-localized protein without transcriptional activation activity. Knockdown of PtrARF2.1 by RNA interference (RNAi) in poplars led to the dwarf plant, altered leaf shape, and reduced size of the leaf blade, while overexpression of PtrARF2.1 resulted in a slight reduction in plant height and the similar leaf phenotype in contrast to the wildtype. Furthermore, histological staining analysis revealed an ectopic deposition of lignin in leaf veins and petioles of PtrARF2.1-RNAi lines. RNA-Seq analysis showed that 74 differential expression genes (DEGs) belonging to 12 transcription factor families, such as NAM, ATAF and CUC (NAC), v-myb avian myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog (MYB), ethylene response factors (ERF) and basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH), were identified in PtrARF2.1-RNAi leaves and other 24 DEGs were associated with the lignin biosynthetic pathway. Altogether, the data indicate that PtrARF2.1 plays an important role in regulating leaf development and influences the lignin biosynthesis in poplars.

Keywords: Populus; P. trichocarpa auxin response factor 2.1(PtrARF2.1); leaf morphology; lignin biosynthesis; transcription factor

1. Introduction

In higher plants, leaf development is a dynamic and complex process that responds to internal and external cues. Leaves are evolved from lateral branches and can be divided into two basic forms: simple and compound. A simple leaf has a single, continuous lamina, whereas a compound leaf contains multiple subunits termed leaflets, each resembling a simple leaf [1]. Several phytohormones, such as auxin (IAA), cytokinins (CK), gibberellins (GA) and jasmonic acid (JA), are involved in the leaf initiation and development [2]. It has been well established that auxin plays a critical role in leaf initiation [3], leaf serration [4] and phyllotaxis of leaf formation [5].
Leaves are derived from small populations of founder cells set aside on the flanks of the pluripotent shoot apical meristem (SAM), and auxin acts as a central regulator of this process. These are generated by auxin biosynthesis in the SAM and by directional auxin transport facilitated by the PIN-FORMED1 (PIN1) auxin transporter [6,7]. Inhibition of polar auxin transport by NPA or mutation of PIN FORMED1 (PIN1) partially represses the leaf initiation [8]. The YUCCA (YUC) family of flavin monooxygenases participates in converting IPA to indole-3-acetic acid and mutations of the genes of the YUCCA family also inhibit organ initiation [3]. Following initiation, the leaf primordia undergo elongation, morphogenesis and differentiation. It has been indicated that auxin and GA positively promote leaf dissection during leaf morphogenesis and lead to the initiated leaflets [9]. For example, the E3 ubiquitin-ligase BIG BROTHER (BB) can inhibit the growth of leaf lamina, probably by marking cellular proteins for degradation [10]. The pattern of leaf serration in Arabidopsis is dictated by the polar auxin transport (PAT) system in conjunction with the CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON 2 (CUC2) [4]. Mutations of auxin efflux carriers AtPIN1–7 produced the smooth leaves while over-expression of AtPIN1 in this loss-of-function mutant generated serrate leaf margins [4]. In addition, the auxin importers (AUX1/LAX gene family) are also involved in the formation of the leaf margins by regulating the outputs of the PAT system. Mutation of three auxin importers (aux1/lax1/lax2) is required for delaying the serration growth [11].

In the past decades, remarkable advances have been made in understanding auxin signal transduction pathway [12–14]. It has been known that three transcription factor families, including the AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID (Aux/IAA) transcriptional regulators, the TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESISTANT 1/AUXIN SIGNALING F-BOX (TIR1/AFB) F-box proteins and sequence-specific binding proteins called AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORs (ARFs), are the key components of this pathway. Auxin promotes the interaction between TIR1/AFB and Aux/IAA, thereby triggering ubiquitin-mediated degradation of the Aux/IAA proteins via the proteasome [15,16]. The Aux/IAA and ARF proteins are involved in the typical pathway of auxin-dependent transcriptional regulation [17]. Aux/IAA transcription factors suppress the activity of ARF proteins, whereas their degradation results in the activation of ARF proteins and upregulation of subsequent auxin-responsive gene expression [18]. As one type of short-lived nuclear proteins, Aux/IAAs have been characterized to be transcriptional repressors of auxin response genes [19]. ARFs are capable of acting as transcriptional activators or repressors that regulate the expression of primary/early auxin responsive genes including members of Gretchen Hagen 3 (GH3), Small auxin up RNA (SAUR) and Auxin/indole acetic acid (Aux/IAA) families by specifically binding to TGTCTC auxin-responsive cis-acting elements in the promoters of these genes [20].

Previous studies have identified that ARF genes play important roles in plant developmental processes, such as floral organ abscission, leaf development and senescence, fruit ripening and lateral root formation [21–24]. For example, Arabidopsis auxin response factor 2 (AtARF2) acted as a transcriptional repressor in controlling leaf senescence [22]. Regulation of Arabidopsis auxin response factors AtARF3 and AtARF4 by TAS3-derived trans-acting short-interfering RNAs (tasiRNAs) affected the phyllotaxis and leaf shapes [25,26]. Arabidopsis auxin response factor 5 (MP/ARF5) was identified to be essential in determining the leaf initiation and vascular pattern formation [27,28]. In tomato, several ARFs, such as Solanum lycopersicum auxin response factors SIARF2A, SIARF4, SIARF7A, SIARF8B, have also been revealed to participate in the regulation of the leaf development [29]. Down-regulation of S. lycopersicum auxin response factor 4 (SIARF4) resulted in defective leaf phenotypes, including enhanced chlorophyll content and severe leaf curling shape [30]. Overexpression of S. lycopersicum auxin response factor 10A (SIARF10A) affected the auxin-driven processes, leading to the reduced lamina outgrowth [31]. To date, it is well known that ARF genes are involved in regulating leaf development in herbaceous plants, however, the detailed functions of these genes in woody species are still largely unknown.
With the release of the *P. trichocarpa* genome sequence [32], a total of 39 ARF genes were identified in the *Populus* genome (V1.0) [33]. Recently, Yang et al. (2014) [34] isolated 20 ARF genes related to adventitious root development from hybrid poplars. Transgenic plants overexpressing *PtrMP1/ARF5* displayed no obvious phenotypic differences compared with wild-type controls [35]. To investigate poplar ARF genes involved in regulating leaf development, we isolated the putative ortholog of *Arabidopsis* ARF2, *PtrARF2.1* and *PtrARF2.2* in this study, which are highly expressed in leaves compared with other *PtrARF2* genes, from *P. trichocarpa*. Although down-regulation of *PtrARF2.2* displayed slight changes in leaf phenotypes, transgenic plants carrying *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* displayed severe leaf phenotypes, such as irregular shapes and reduced size, while overexpression of *PtrARF2.1* did not affect leaf development. Moreover, our results revealed an ectopic deposition of lignin in the leaf veins and petioles of *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* lines. These results indicated that *PtrARF2.1* is an essential regulator in leaf development and influences lignin biosynthesis in poplar.

2. Results

2.1. Identification and Characterization of Poplar ARF2 Transcription Factors

A previous study has shown that at least six ARF2 genes were found in the genome of *P. trichocarpa* V1.0 [33]. Through blast research and using ARF2 protein domains in the genome of *P. trichocarpa* V3.0, six ARF2 genes were also confirmed. These *PtrARF2* genes were mapped into six different chromosomes and they displayed the conserved genomic structures with regard to the numbers and positions of introns and exons except for *PtrARF2.3* (Figure S1). These *PtrARF2* proteins except for *PtrARF2.3*, which is lack of an Aux/IAA domain responsible for binding with IAA factors—have three typical functional domains (DNA-binding domain/B3 type, DBD/B3, an activation or repression domain, ARF and IAA dimerization domain, Aux/IAA). It has been revealed that AtARF2 factor is a pleiotropic developmental regulator and modulates the leaf development and senescence [21,36]. Pairwise comparison of amino acid sequence revealed that *PtrARF2.1* shared 65.2% identity with AtARF2 (Figure 1A).

To investigate phylogenetic relationship among ARF2 proteins in herbaceous and woody species, a phylogenetic tree was constructed on the basis of amino acid sequences by the Neighbor-Joining methods (Figure 1B). Obviously, all the ARF2 proteins fall into two classes (I, II) and Class I can be further subdivided into Subclass Ia and Subclass Ib. *PtrARF2.1* and *PtrARF2.2* are grouped together with *Arabidopsis* AtARF2 and *Eucalyptus grandis* auxin response factor 2A (EgrARF2A), *Solanum lycopersicum* auxin response factor 2A (SlARF2A) and auxin response factor 2B (SlARF2B) belonging to Subclass Ia, suggesting that *PtrARF2.1* and *PtrARF2.2* are potential transcriptional regulators involved in the leaf development. *PtrARF2.3* and *PtrARF2.4*, close to *Vitis vinifera* auxin response factor 2 (VvARF2) are clustered into Subclass II, while *PtrARF2.5* and *PtrARF2.6* share highly homology with *Eucalyptus grandis* auxin response factor 2B (EgrARF2B), belonging to a new clade (Ib) found preferentially in woody plants.

2.2. Expression Profiles of *PtrARF2* Genes in Poplar Tissues

We determined their expression patterns in different tissues of poplar by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The results revealed that *PtrARF2.1* and *PtrARF2.2* were expressed in all tissues tested, whereas no expression was detected for *PtrARF2.3* and a low expression for *PtrARF2.4* and *PtrARF2.6*. Additionally, transcript level of *PtrARF2.5* was mainly expressed in roots and stems (Figure 2A). Furthermore, we identified that *PtrARF2.1* is predominantly expressed in poplar leaves in contrast to other *PtrARF2* homologs by semi-quantitative PCR analysis (Figure 2B).
Figure 1. Amino acid sequence from *Populus trichocarpa* with other species. (A) Sequence alignment of *PtrARF2.1* in *P. trichocarpa* with *AtARF2* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Peptide sequences were aligned by DNAMAN software. Identical and similar amino acid residues are indicated by dark and blue background, respectively. Numbers on the right refer to amino acid sequence positions. These conserved DBD/B3, ARF and Aux/IAA domains in ARF2 protein are underlined. (B) Phylogenetic analysis of the poplar and selected ARF2 proteins was performed by the neighbour-joining method using MEGA version 5. Bootstrap support is indicated at each node. Bar, 0.05 substitutions per site. Additional sequences include *A. thaliana* (AtARF2), *Solanum lycopersicum* (SlARF2A and SlARF2B), *Vitis vinifera* (VvARF2), *Eucalyptus grandis* (EgrARF2A and EgrARF2B). The accession numbers of ARF factor sequences are given in the Materials and Methods section.
The function of GAL4:VP16 was fused with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain coding sequence and then transformed into the yeast strain Y2HGo. Additionally, processes are regulated by auxin signaling [37,38]. We found some conserved auxin-related cis-acting regulatory elements in the promoter regions of PtrARF2.1 as a transcription factor.

Previous studies have shown that the expression of AtARF2 and SlARF2A,B in developmental processes are regulated by auxin signaling [37,38]. We found some conserved auxin-related cis-acting regulatory elements in the promoter regions of PtrARF2.1. qRT-PCR analysis revealed that transcript accumulation of PtrARF2.1 was significantly repressed by exogenous auxin at 3 h (Figure S2).

2.3. PtrARF2.1 Localizes to the Nucleus and Is Not a Transcription Activator

To investigate the localization of PtrARF2.1 in vivo, the recombinant construct of the PtrARF2.1 fused to RFP (Red fluorescent protein) reporter gene driven by the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter was transformed into tobacco epidermal cells via particle bombardment method. As shown in Figure S3, the fusion protein was found to accumulate exclusively in the nucleus while the control 35S::RFP was distributed throughout the whole cell, in accordance with the function of PtrARF2.1 as a transcription factor.

To explore whether PtrARF2.1 can activate early auxin response, the full-length ORF of PtrARF2.1 was fused with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain coding sequence and then transformed into the yeast strain Y2HGold. The yeast transformants harboring the construct of GAL4::PtrARF2.1 fusion could not grow on the selection medium lacking adenine (A), histidine (H) and tryptophan (T). While the control cells carrying GAL4::VP16 fusion gene grew well and also turned to be blue induced by the expression of the b-galactosidase reporter gene (Figure S4), suggesting that PtrARF2.1 has not been a transcriptional activator.

2.4. Exploring the Role of PtrARF2.1 through a Reverse Genetics Approach

To investigate biological role of PtrARF2.1 protein, transgenic poplar lines under- and overexpressing PtrARF2.1 were acquired in the P. tomentosa genetic background. To this purpose, dedicated RNAi construct was designed to target only PtrARF2.1 while not other PtrARF2 genes. Following PCR analysis of hptII gene in under- and over-regulated transgenic plants using genomic

Figure 2. Expression patterns of PtrARF2 genes in poplars. (A) Quantitative reverse transcription PCR analysis of all the PtrARF2 genes in different organs and tissues of poplars. Transcript level of PtrARF2.4 in different tissues was used as reference (relative mRNA level 1). Error bars mean ± SD of three biological replicates. (B) Semi-quantitative PCR analysis of PtrARF2 genes in the leaf tissue. The poplar 18S rRNA was used as an internal control.

Exploring the biological role of PtrARF2.1 protein, transgenic poplar lines under- and overexpressingPtrARF2.1 were acquired in the P. tomentosa genetic background. To this purpose, dedicated RNAi construct was designed to target onlyPtrARF2.1 while not otherPtrARF2 genes. Following PCR analysis of hptII gene in under- and over-regulated transgenic plants using genomic
DNA, expression analysis by qRT-PCR indicated that transcript level of PtoARF2.1, the paralog of PtrARF2.1 in *P. tomentosa* was specifically reduced in *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* and *PtrARF2.1* was strikingly upregulated in overexpression of *PtrARF2.1* (*PtrARF2.1-OE*) lines, respectively (Figure 3C). It is important to note that the expression of the most closely related *PtrARF2* genes except PtoARF2.1 in terms of sequence identity was not changed in transgenic lines compared to the control. However, *PtrARF2.3* mRNA levels were below the detection limit (Figure S5), thus ruling out a lack of specificity of the RNAi strategy.

![Figure 3](image_url)

Figure 3. Phenotype analysis of *PtrARF2.1* overexpressed and suppressed poplars. (A) Representative five-month-old *PtrARF2.1-OE* and *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* plants compared with the wildtype (WT). (B) Comparison of plant height in WT, *PtrARF2.1-OE* and *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* plants. Measurements were made for 30 plants of each line during five months of plant growth in the greenhouse. Error bars mean ± SD value for each line. (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *PtrARF2.1* transcripts in representative *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* and *PtrARF2.1-OE* lines. The poplar 18S rRNA was used as an internal control. Error bars mean ± SD of three biological replicates. (D) Representative 5-month-old leaf phenotypes from *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* and *PtrARF2.1-OE* lines in comparison with the WT control. (E) Comparison of the leaf area in *PtrARF2.1-RNAi*, *PtrARF2.1-OE* and WT plants. Error bars mean ± SD of three biological replicates. (F) Quantitative analysis of the leaf index value of compactness from *PtrARF2.1-RNAi*, *PtrARF2.1-OE* and WT plants. Error bars mean ± SD of three biological replicates. Stars indicate the statistical significance using Student’s t-test: ** p-value < 0.01.

It is expected that *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* lines displayed a visible phenotype related to leaf morphology, including the asymmetric leaf blades, the serrate leaf margins, and the reduced area of leaves (Figure 3D,E, Figure S6). To allow for a quantitative comparison of leaf shape in different genetic backgrounds, an important parameter, compactness (a measure of the ratio of circumference to area) was tested, which is especially useful for discriminating 2D leaf shape according to Kasprzewska et al. (2015) [11]. As shown in Figure 3F, transgenic leaves of *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* had significantly higher values for this parameter compared to wild-type (WT) plants, suggesting that *PtrARF2.1* is an essential regulator in leaf development in poplars. In addition, the RNAi lines displayed a dwarf phenotype with a strongly inhibited vegetative growth in the greenhouse (Figure 3A). Whereas *PtrARF2.1-OE* lines showed less reduced vegetative growth and the same leaf phenotypes compared with the WT control (Figure 3A,D).
2.5. Silencing of PtrARF2.1 Causes Ectopic Deposition of Lignin in Poplar Leaves

Previously, our studies showed that overexpressing PtoMYB92 or PtrMYB152 resulted in the changed phenotype of poplar leaves, which reminded us that PtrARF2.1 most likely influences the biosynthesis of lignin as like PtoMYB92 or PtrMYB152 in leaf tissues [39,40]. To explore the role of PtrARF2.1, histochemical staining was performed for leaf tissues, which disclosed an enhanced lignin deposition in leaf veins of PtrARF2.1-RNAi but not in that of PtrARF2.1-OE lines (Figure 4A). Furthermore, confocal microscopy of lignin autofluorescence was performed to test these veins, which displayed strong signals of lignin autofluorescence in PtrARF2.1-RNAi leaves (Figure 4B). The anatomical cross-sections of poplar petioles were also used for the histological analysis. The results further revealed an ectopic deposition of lignin in PtrARF2.1-RNAi lines compared to that in PtrARF2.1-OE or the WT (Figure 4A). To quantitatively test the level of lignification, total of lignin content in PtrARF2.1 suppressed leaves was measured by the acetyl bromide (AcBr) method. The lignin content in PtrARF2.1-RNAi leaves was almost twofold higher than that of the WT control (see Table S1). Similar result was found in the stems of PtrARF2.1-RNAi lines. Altogether, knock-down of PtrARF2.1 led to ectopic deposition of lignin in poplar leaves.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Effects of up- and downregulation of PtrARF2.1 on the biosynthesis of lignin in poplar leaves. (A) The phloroglucinol-HCl analysis of lignin deposition in the main veins (top) and the fine veins (middle) and the petioles (bottom) of the leaf tissue from the WT, PtrARF2.1-RNAi and PtrARF2.1-OE (right). (B) Close-up view of the lignin signals in very fine veins in leaf blades of the WT (left) and PtrARF2.1-RNAi (right) poplars by laser scanning confocal microscopy. Scale bars: A (up) = 1.25 mm, A (middle) = 0.5 mm, A (down) = 200 μm; B = 150 μm.

2.6. RNA-Seq Transcriptome Analysis of the PtrARF2.1 RNAi Line

To identify the different expression genes (DEGs) in the PtrARF2.1 suppressed lines, RNA-Seq using Illumina sequencing technology was performed with two biological replicates and the results showed to be highly consistent. RNA-Seq analysis totally generated 24,106,910 and 24,129,551 clean reads for PtrARF2.1-RNAi Line 1 and WT (P. tomentosa), which was about 50 bp in length. The reads were aligned to the poplar reference genome database using HISAT and Bowtie2 software. Of the total reads, 84.92% and 85.06% were matched to genomic locations in the PtrARF2.1 suppressed and WT plants, respectively. A total of 2042 genes were identified asstatistically significant (false discovery rate [FDR] <0.05) between the suppressed PtrARF2.1 and WT plants (see Table S2). There were 1429 upregulated genes and 613 downregulated genes in PtrARF2.1-RNAi Line 1 compared with WT. The functions of the DEGs were further identified using GO analysis. All the DEGs were categorized into three main categories including biological process, cellular component, and molecular function, in which there were 20, 12, and 11 functional groups, respectively (Figure 5).
Furthermore, DEGs coding transcription factors (TFs) were analyzed in the RNA-Seq database, which revealed 74 DEGs belonging to 12 TF families (see Table S3). The families including more DEGs are MYB (19 DEGs), NAC (14 DEGs), ERF (10 DEGs) and bHLH (7 DEGs). Notably, the DEGs in most of TF families were upregulated except that in WRKY (transcription factor containing the WRKY domain and zinc-finger-like motif), SRF-TF (serum response factor) and B3 (transcription factor containing a B3 domain) (Figure 6). Besides, four TF families including GATA (zinc-finger transcription factor), Ovate, Trihelix and ZF (transcription factor containing a zinc finger homeodomain) were totally upregulated in PtrARF2.1-RNAi Line 1. These results indicated an important role of TFs in transcriptional regulation of leaf development, suggesting that PtrARF2.1 may function as a negative regulator in poplars.

**Figure 5.** Functional analysis of the DEGs in *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* and WT plants based on the RNA-Seq data. GO functional enrichment analysis of DEGs between the *PtrARF2.1* down-regulated and WT plants based on sequence homology. The top X-axis refers to the number genes annotated by GO term. The bottom X-axis refers to classification of GO including Biological Process, Cellular Component and Molecular Function. The Y-axis represents the percentages of annotated genes accounted for the total annotated genes. The red bar represents upregulated genes and the blue bar represents downregulated genes.

**Figure 6.** Up- or downregulation of DEGs encoding the members of transcription factor (TF) families in *PtrARF2.1* suppressed plants.

### 2.7. Expression Analysis of Lignin Metabolic Genes in *PtrARF2.1* Suppressed Lines

To investigate if *PtrARF2.1* was involved in the lignin biosynthesis, we searched the RNA-seq data and found that a total of 24 genes related to the lignin metabolic process were induced in *PtrARF2.1*.
suppressed Line 1 (see Table S4), in which 16 DEGs were involved in the phenylpropanoid/monolignol biosynthetic pathway, such as 4-coumarate:CoA ligase gene (4CL5), cinnamate 4-hydroxylase gene (C4H2), cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene (CAD1), ferulic acid 5-hydroxylase gene (F5H2), laccase genes (LACs) and so on. Additionally, eight DEGs encoded for NACs and MYBs, which worked as master switches in wood formation of poplars [41,42]. To better understand the molecular network regulated by PtrARF2.1, key genes in the lignin biosynthesis were further tested by qRT-PCR analysis. As shown, most of genes involved in the phenylpropanoid/monolignol biosynthetic pathway were upregulated in PtrARF2.1 suppressed lines, such as phenylalanine ammonia-lyase gene (PAL4), C4H2, 4-coumaroylshikimate 3-hydroxylase gene (C3H3), CAD1 and F5H2, while some were down-regulated in PtrARF2.1 over-expressed lines (Figure 7A). Transcription factors, including wood-associated NAC domain transcription factor 2B (WND2B), MYB020, MYB92 except for MYB152 were also induced in PtrARF2.1-RNAi lines while WND2B and MYB92 repressed in PtrARF2.1 overexpressed lines (Figure 7B). In summary, PtrARF2.1 is an important regulator implicated in the lignin biosynthesis of poplar leaves.

3. Discussion

The ARF family of transcription factors play critical roles in a variety of auxin-mediated plant growth and development processes. Since the report describing ARF families from the herb plants Arabidopsis and Tomato [29,43], this family of 39 ARF members has been identified from the woody tree using the released genomic data of P. trichocarpa V1.0 [33]. Following the isolation of expression analysis of 20 ARF genes from the poplar roots [34], the present study provides new insights on PtrARF2.1, one member of PtrARF2 genes, based on the latest data of the P. trichocarpa genome V3.0 (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/#!info?alias=Org_Ptrichocarpa). Except for PtrARF2.3, the other members have three functional domains of a typical ARF protein. In addition, PtrARF2.3 is probably a
was preferentially expressed in leaf tissue of the *Populus* genome V3.0. However, *PtrARF2.1* and *PtrARF2.2* are the closest to *Arabidopsis* AtARF2 and tomato SIARF2A/B in poplars.

Our understanding of the functional significance of ARF proteins has come mainly through the study of loss-of-function *arf* *Arabidopsis* mutant lines. Utilizing T-DNA insertion lines, AtARF2 was suggested to play important roles in multiple developmental processes, such as cell division, organ growth, leaf senescence, flowering and floral organ abscission [21,22,36,38]. Herein, we reported on the role of *PtrARF2.1* through a reverse genetics approach in *Populus*. Up- and/or downregulation of *PtrARF2.1* led to reduced plant growth, especially in *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* lines that displayed the dwarf traits. Interestingly, overexpression of *PtrARF2.1* also resulted in slightly stunted the plant growth (Figure 3A). We speculated that *PtrARF2.1* might be involved in auxin homeostasis, resulting in repression of the development of transgenic plants. It was also noted that the knockdown of *PtrARF2.1* resulted in a severely morphological and developmental phenotype of the leaf tissue of transgenic lines, in contrast to that of the wide-type and *PtrARF2.1-OE* lines. This phenotype was consistent with the expression pattern of *PtrARF2.1* and indicative of a role for the encoded protein in poplar leaves.

What is the role of *PtrARF2* in auxin signaling? ARFs with a glutamine-rich middle region (MR) usually act as activators of early auxin-responsive genes, while ARFs with proline- or/and serine-rich MRs or no specific amino acid-rich MRs as repressors. For example, AtARF2 inhibited the transcription of reporter genes under the control of synthetic AuxREs [20,44]. In previous reports, it was observed the ore14/arf2 *Arabidopsis* mutant exhibited enhanced sensitivity to auxin, and downregulation of SIARF2 in tomato led to induced expression of auxin responsive genes [21,37]. As shown, *PtrARF2.1* gene was early repressed in response to auxin and the encoded protein had no transcriptional activation activity, suggesting that *PtrARF2.1* is not a requirement for activating early auxin responsive genes. Moreover, the RNA-Seq data indicated the enhanced expression of auxin responsive genes in *PtrARF2.1*-inhibited lines. The combined evidence implies that *PtrARF2.1* might function as a transcription repressor of auxin-dependent gene transcription, which is in accordance with the characterization of AtARF2 and SIARF2A/B. The results seen here also suggest that ARF2-mediated auxin signaling is conserved between the annual herbaceous and perennial woody plants.

Which ARF factor is the major player in leaf development? As is well-known, ARFs regulate auxin-mediated transcriptional activation or repression, and each ARF protein is thought to play a specific or central role in the developmental process of different tissues in plants. Through studies of loss-of-function or/and dominant gain-of-function *arf* mutants in *Arabidopsis*, AtARF2, ETT/ARF3, ARF4 and MP/ARF5 have shown to be critical regulators in the leaf development and morphogenesis [28,36,45–47]. A few lines of our data here, together with previous results, indicated that *PtrARF2.1* might be an important player in leaf development in woody plants. Firstly, *PtrARF2.1* was preferentially expressed in leaf tissue of the *Populus* related to other *PtrARF2* genes. In addition, down-regulation of *PtrARF2.1* displayed severely irregular shape of leaves and the similar feature of leaf senescence with ore14/arf2 mutant in *Arabidopsis* [21]. By contrast, over-expressing *PtrMP1/ARF5*, the homolog of AtARF5 did not change any phenotypes in poplars, although they induced a 2–4 fold increase in the expression of a *Populus* homolog of ATHB8 [35,48].

Interestingly, the presented data revealed that suppression of *PtrARF2.1* resulted in an ectopic deposition of lignin in poplar leaves. RNAi-Seq analysis showed that a lot of genes involved in the lignin biosynthesis were activated in *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* Line 1. For example, *P. trichocarpa* laccase (*PtrLAC*) genes had been pointed out to be targeted by *PtrARF2* factor in an early study [49], suggesting that *PtrARF2.1* probably works as a molecular switch implicated in the upstream of the phenylpropanoid/monolignol biosynthetic pathway. In addition, transcript abundance of WND2B, WND6B and MYB92 were upregulated in *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* leaves, which reported to be positive regulators involved in lignin biosynthesis [40,41]. However, the transcript level of *PtrMYB152* was inhibited, which induced the expression of secondary wall biosynthetic genes [39]. To better understand the molecular mechanism
of PtrARF2.1, qRT-PCR analysis further indicated that lignin biosynthetic genes *PAL4*, *PtrC4H2*, *CAD1*, etc. and lignin-associated *TtsWND2B*, *MYB020* and *MYB92* were up-regulated in *PtrARF2.1-RNAi* lines while some downregulated in *PtrARF2.1-OE* lines, implying that they might be directly targeted by *PtrARF2.1*. Taken together, the present work summarized that *PtrARF2.1* plays an important role in the leaf development and regulated the lignin biosynthesis.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

*Populus trichocarpa* Torr. & A. Gray and *P. tomentosa* Carr. (clone 73) was grown in the greenhouse at 25 °C under a 16/8-h photoperiod with supplemented light (4500 lux), and around 60% relative humidity for optimum growth.

4.2. Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

BLAST analysis was performed at the plant genomics resource website (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/#!search?show=BLAST) using by AtARF2 sequence. Multiple sequence alignments were performed using DNAMAN software. Phylogenetic relationships of ARF2 proteins from *P. trichocarpa* and other species were constructed by the neighbor-joining method using MEGA version 5.05 [50].

4.3. Cloning of *PtrARF2.1*

The cDNA fragments encoding *PtrARF2.1* were amplified with gene-specific primers (Table S5) based on the sequences of Potri.012G106100.v3.0 from *P. trichocarpa* by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR reaction was carried out with pfu DNA polymerase (Takara, Dalian, China) in a total volume of 50 µL at 94 °C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 45 s and 72 °C for 75 s, followed by a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR product was cloned into the pMD20-T Vector (Takara, Dalian, China) and confirmed by sequencing (BGI, Beijing, China).

4.4. Auxin Treatment for Poplar Tissues

For auxin treatment, 18-day-old poplar seedlings were soaked in liquid 1/2 MS medium with or without (mock treatment) 20 µM IAA for 3 h, 6 h, 12 h and 24 h. Then, all the seedling tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until RNA extraction.

4.5. Yeast One-Hybrid Assay

The full-length ORF of *PtrARF2.1* was amplified with specific primers (Table S5), the amplification product was inserted into pGBK17 (Clontech) using ClonExpress II (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China) and the recombinant plasmid was introduced into the yeast strain *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Gold2 by the method described previously (Zaragoza et al. 2004). Transformants were grown on SD medium lacking Trp (tryptophan) for selection of positive clones and then on SD medium lacking Trp, His (histidine) and Ade (adenine) for the transactivation assay. The Xα-gal (Solarbio, Beijing, China) was used to identify the transcription activation activity of *PtrARF2.1*.

4.6. Transformation of Poplar Plants

To construct the RNAi vector, the specific sequence (260 bp) of *PtrARF2.1* was obtained by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) using gene-specific primers (see Table S5). The PCR products were fused around a spacer of GUS fragment by over-lap PCR and then cloned into the BamHI site of the plant binary vector pCXSN [51] driven by the Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter. For the overexpression vector, the full-length ORF of *PtrARF2.1* was amplified by RT-PCR using gene-specific primers (Table S5) and ligated into the BamHI site of the pCXSN vector. The resulting vectors *p35S::PtrARF2.1-RNAi* and *p35S::PtrARF2.1-OE* were transferred into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 by the freeze-thaw method, respectively.
Transgenic poplar plants were generated via *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation as described previously by Jia et al. (2010) [52]. In brief, leaves of Chinese white poplar (*P. tomentosa* Carr.) were infected by recombinant *Agrobacterium* and putative transgenic shoots were selected on WPM medium supplemented with 9 mg/L hygromycin. Rooted plantlets were acclimatized in pots at 25 °C in a 16-h photoperiod with 60% relative humidity for three weeks and then transferred to the greenhouse for further studies.

### 4.7. RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from several fresh tissues of poplar plants using plant Trizol Reagent (Tiangen, Beijing, China) or Biospin Plant Total RNA Extraction Kit (Bioer, Hangzhou, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 2 µg RNA using a PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (Takara, Dalian, China) in a total volume of 20 µL by using oligo d(T)18 at 42 °C for 30 min. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed as described by Li et al. (2015) in a 20-µL reaction volume containing 10 µL of SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TliRNaseH Plus, Takara, Dalian, China), 5–10 fold dilution of cDNAs and gene-specific primers (Table S5), which were designed using QuantPrime online. The expression of 18S rRNA was used as reference for calculating the relative amount of target gene expression using the 2^ΔΔCT method [53]. qRT-PCR analysis was based on at least three biological replicates for each sample with three technical replicates.

### 4.8. RNA-Seq Analysis

RNA-Seq was carried out at BGI’s Technology Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). Total RNA was extracted from fresh leaves using the plant Trizol Reagent (Tiangen, Beijing, China) and the RNA quality was determined by the NanoDrop and Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. cDNA libraries were constructed by using a TruSeq™ RNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina) and subsequently sequenced using Illumina HiSeq™ 2000 platform. RSeQC-2.3.2 program (http://code.google.com/p/rseqc/) was used to assess the quality of RNA-Seq data. All clean reads were aligned to the *P. trichocarpa* v3.0 genome (https://www.phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/#!bulk?org=Org_Ptrichocarpa). To determine differentially expressed genes (DEGs), transcript abundance of each gene was normalized by the fragments per kilobase of exon per million mapped reads (FRKM) method using Cuffdiff software (http://cufflinks.cbcb.umd.edu/). False discovery rate (FDR) ≤0.05 control method was used to identify the threshold for DEGs. Gene-annotation functional enrichment and KEGG pathway analysis was performed using GOATOOLS (https://github.com/tanghaibao/goatools) and KOBAS (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/home.do).

### 4.9. Histology and AcBr Lignin Assay

Leaf blades and petioles of five-month-old plants grown in greenhouse were hand-cut with a razor blade or by an Ultra-Thin Semiautomatic Microtome (FINESSE 325, Thermo, Shanghai, China). The leaf blades and the microsections were stained for 30 s with 1.0% (Weight/Volume, w/v) phloroglucinol after dissociation for 60 s by 40% (Volume/Volume, v/v) HCl (hydrochloric acid), and detected under the microscope (BX53, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Quantitative analysis of lignin was performed by the modified AcBr-soluble lignin method as described by Fukushima and Hatfield (2001) [54]. In brief, samples were dried at 65 °C for overnight and ground to pass a 40-mesh screen in a mill. The samples (50 mg) were resolved in 25% (v/v) acetyl bromide in acetic acid (5 mL) and put at 50 °C for 2 h treatment. After cooling, 10% of the solution was supplemented with 2.5 mL of sodium hydroxide (2 M), 0.35 mL of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.5 M) and 2.4 mL of glacial acetic acid. Finally, lignin content was measured by the ultraviolet absorbance at 280 nm according to Iiyama and Wallis (1988) [55].
4.10. Determination of Lignin Autofluorescence

The leaf blades and petioles of five-month-old poplars were hand-cut by a razor blade. Samples were fixed using a double-sided sticky tape and directly observed under a confocal laser microscope (FV3000, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) following the manual’s recommendations.

4.11. Accession Numbers

The sequences of genes used in this study can be found in the Phytozome (V11.0) under the following numbers: AtARF2 (AT5G62000.1), SIARF2A (Solyc03g118290), SIARF2B (Solyc12g042070), VvARF2 (GSVIVT01011008001), EgrARF2A (Eucgr.K02197.1), EgrARF2B (Eucgr.B03551.1), PtrARF2.1 (Potri.012G106100.1), PtrARF2.2 (Potri.015G105300.1), PtrARF2.3 (Potri.002G207100.1), PtrARF2.4 (Potri.014G135300.1), PtrARF2.5 (Potri.001G066200.1), PtrARF2.6 (Potri.003G163600.1), PtrIAA3.1 (Potri.005G053800.1), PtrPAL4 (Potri.010G224100.1), PtrC4H2 (Potri.013G157900.1), PtrCAD1 (Potri.009G095800.1), PtrF5H2 (Potri.007G016400.1), PtrLAC9 (Potri.004G156400.1), PtrLAC17 (Potri.008G073700.1), PtrLAC28 (Potri.010G193100.1), PtrWND2B (Potri.002G178700.1), PtrMYB003 (Potri.001G267300.1), PtrMYB020 (Potri.009G061500.1), PtrMYB92 (Potri.001G118800.1), PtrMYB152 (Potri.017G130300.1).

5. Conclusions

In the current study, we cloned an Auxin Response Factor PtrARF2.1, the homolog of AtARF2 from wood plants and revealed the functional significance of PtrARF2.1 in the leaf development through a reverse transgenics approach. Results presented here indicated that PtrARF2.1 is an essential regulator in the leaf development and influences the lignin biosynthesis. However, the molecular mechanism controlled by PtrARF2.1 remains unclear in wood plants. Future work is needed to better understand how PtrARF2.1 participates in regulating the leaf formation and the lignin biosynthesis.
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