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Abstract

In this paper, we firstly establish a new volume growth estimate for spacelike entire graphs in the pseudo-Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \). Then by using this volume growth estimate and the Co-Area formula, we prove various rigidity results for spacelike entire self-shrinking graphs.
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1. Introduction

The pseudo-Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) of index \( n \) is the linear space \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) with coordinates \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m+n})\) and indefinite metric

\[
ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (dx_i)^2 - \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n} (dx_{\alpha})^2.
\]

For \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{m+n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) and \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_{m+n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \), introduce

\[
\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i - \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n} a_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}, \quad |a|^2 := \langle a, a \rangle, \quad \|a\| := \sqrt{|\langle a, a \rangle|}.
\]

An \( m \)-dimensional submanifold \( M^m \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) is called spacelike if the induced metric on \( M^m \) is a Riemannian metric. The mean curvature flow (MCF) in the pseudo-Euclidean space is a one-parameter family of immersions \( X_t = X(\cdot, t) : M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) with the corresponding image \( M_t = X_t(M^m) \) such that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(x, t) = H(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in M^m \times [0, T); \quad X(x, 0) = X(x), \quad x \in M^m,
\]

is satisfied, here \( H(x, t) \) is the mean curvature vector of \( M_t \) at \( X(x, t) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \). There are many interesting and essential results on the mean curvature flow of spacelike submanifolds in certain Lorentzian manifolds (see e.g. [16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 33]).
Let us firstly recall some facts in Euclidean spaces. Chern [11] showed that entire graphs of constant mean curvature (CMC) in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ are minimal. It is well known that these graphs must be hyperplanes for $m \leq 7$ (see Bernstein [4] for $m = 2$, De Giorgi [13] for $m = 3$, Almgren [2] for $m = 4$ and Simons [30] for $m \leq 7$) and there are counterexamples for $m > 7$ (see Bombieri-De Giorgi-Giusti [5]).

In Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, Calabi [6] proposed the Bernstein problem for spacelike maximal hypersurfaces and proved that such hypersurfaces have to be hyperplanes when $m \leq 4$. Cheng-Yau [10] solved the problem for all $m$, in sharp contrast to the situation of the Euclidean space. Later, Ishihara [25] and Jost-Xin [26] generalized the results to higher codimension. The rigidity problem for spacelike submanifolds with parallel mean curvature was studied in [26, 32, 34].

On the other hand, by the work of Colding-Minicozzi [12] (see also [3]), we know that, in the Euclidean space, minimal submanifolds and self-shrinkers share many geometric properties. Recall that $M^n$ is said to be a self-shrinker in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ if

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}X^N,$$

which is an important class of solutions to (1.1), where $X^N$ is the normal part of $X$. So it is natural to consider the rigidity of spacelike self-shrinkers in the pseudo-Euclidean space. Under the global conditions of Lagrangian entire graph or complete with the induced metric, there are plenty of related works, see e.g. [1, 7, 8, 15, 24, 27]. It should mention that Chen-Qiu [9] proved that only the affine planes are the complete $m$-dimensional spacelike self-shrinkers in the pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$.

In this paper, we further study the geometry of the $m$-dimensional spacelike entire self-shrinking graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. By establishing a new volume growth estimate (see Theorem 5.2), we give various growth estimates on the mean curvature and the $w$-function when the spacelike self-shrinking graph is not a linear subspace, these lead to rigidity results if the growth conditions are not satisfied.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $X : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ be a spacelike entire self-shrinking graph. Assume that the origin $o \in M^n$ and $M^n$ is not a linear subspace. Then the mean curvature satisfies

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R^2}{\log \left( \int_{B_R} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{2}{3}} \right)} \leq 4 \sqrt{m},$$

where $D_R := M^n \cap \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} : z(p) \leq R^2 \}$ and $z = |X|^2$.

**Remark 1.2.** Clearly, Theorem 1.1 implies a rigidity result for the spacelike entire self-shrinking graph if

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R^2}{\log \left( \int_{D_R} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{2}{3}} \right)} > 4 \sqrt{m}.$$ 

In particular, by the above Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.1 which is stated in section 3, if $\|H\|^2 \leq Ce^{\alpha z}$ for some constant $C > 0$ and $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then the spacelike entire self-shrinking graph has to be a linear subspace (see also Theorem 1.1 in [27] or Theorem 2.1 in [28]). Moreover, the growth condition can be weakened as $\|H\|^2 \leq Ce^{\alpha z}$ for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{m}}$, see Corollary 5.2 in section 5.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $X : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ be a spacelike entire self-shrinking graph. Assume that the origin $o \in M^n$ and $M^n$ is not a linear subspace. Then the $w$-function satisfies

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R^2}{\int_{D_R} w^2 (\log w)^2 e^{-\frac{2}{3}}} < \infty.$$ 

Here the definition of $w$-function is given in Section 2.

**Remark 1.4.** Ding-Wang [14] showed that the spacelike entire self-shrinking graph satisfying $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \det g(x)}{|x|^2} = 0$ is a linear subspace (see Theorem 3 in [14]). By using the above Theorem 1.3, we can improve their result, see the details in the proof of Corollary 5.6 in section 5.
The article will be organized as follows. In the next section, we shall give some preliminaries. In Section 3, we establish a new volume growth estimate for spacelike entire self-shrinking graphs. Subsequently, in Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. Finally, as applications, various rigidity results for the spacelike self-shrinkers are presented in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

Let $M^m$ be an $m$-dimensional spacelike submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. The second fundamental form $B$ of $M^m$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ is defined by

$$B_{UW} := (\nabla_U W)^N$$

for $U, W \in \Gamma(TM^m)$. We use the notation $(\cdot)^T$ and $(\cdot)^N$ for the orthogonal projections into the tangent bundle $TM^m$ and the normal bundle $NM^m$, respectively. For $\nu \in \Gamma(NM^m)$ we define the shape operator $A^\nu : TM^m \to TM^m$ by

$$A^\nu(U) := - (\nabla_U \nu)^T.$$

We have the following

$$\langle A^\nu(U), W \rangle = \langle A^\nu(W), U \rangle = \langle B_{UW}, \nu \rangle.$$

Taking the trace of $B$ gives the mean curvature vector $H$ of $M^m$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ and

$$H := \text{trace}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^m B_{e_ie_i},$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field of $TM^m$. The Gauss equation, Cadazzi equation and Ricci equation are (cf. [31])

$$R_{ijkl} = \langle B_{e_je_k}, B_{e_ie_l} \rangle - \langle B_{e_ke_i}, B_{e_ie_l} \rangle,$$

$$\langle \nabla_{e_i} B \rangle_{e_j,e_k} = \langle \nabla_{e_j} B \rangle_{e_i,e_k},$$

$$R(e_i, e_j, \nu, \mu) = \langle A^\nu(e_i), A^\nu(e_j) \rangle - \langle A^\nu(e_j), A^\nu(e_i) \rangle.$$

All spacelike $m$-planes (oriented $m$-subspaces) in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ form the pseudo-Grassmannian manifold $G^m_{m,n}$. It is a specific Cartan-Hadamard manifold which is the noncompact dual space of the Grassmannian manifold $G^m_{m,n}$.

Let $P_1, P_2 \in G^m_{m,n}$ be two spacelike $m$-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. The angles between $P_1$ and $P_2$ are defined by the critical values of angle $\theta$ between a nonzero vector $x$ in $P_1$ and its orthogonal projection $x^*$ in $P_2$ as $x$ runs through $P_1$.

Assume that $e_1, ..., e_m$ are oriented orthonormal vectors which span $P_1$ and $a_1, ..., a_m$ for $P_2$. For a nonzero vector in $P_1$,

$$x = \sum_i x_ie_i,$$

its orthonormal projection in $P_2$ is

$$x^* = \sum_i x^*_ia_i.$$

Hence for any $y \in P_2$, we obtain

$$\langle x - x^*, y \rangle = 0.$$

Let $W_{ij} = \langle e_i, a_j \rangle$. Then we get

$$x^*_j = \sum_i W_{ij}x_i.$$
A direct computation yields

\[ \langle x, x' \rangle = |x'|^2 = \sum_{i,j} x_i W_i j x_j. \]

Since \( WW^T \) is symmetric, so we can choose appropriate orthonormal vectors \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \), such that \( WW^T = \text{diag}(\mu_1^2, \ldots, \mu_m^2) \) with \( \mu_i = \cosh \theta_i \geq 1 \). Hence

\[ \langle x, x' \rangle \geq |x||x'|. \]

The angle \( \theta \) between \( x \) and \( x' \) is defined by

\[ \cosh \theta = \frac{\langle x, x' \rangle}{|x||x'|}. \]

For the spacelike \( m \)-submanifold of \( \mathbb{R}^{m+\alpha} \), let \( \{e_i\} \) be a local orthonormal frame of \( TM^m \) such that \( e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m \) gives the orientation of \( M^m \). For the fixed \( P_2 \in G_{m,n}^q \), which is spanned by the oriented orthonormal basis \( a_1, \ldots, a_m \), define the \( w \)-function as follows

\[ w = (e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m, a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_m) = \det W. \]

Then, up to multiplying by -1, the \( w \)-function given by the spacelike \( m \)-plane \( P \) satisfies \( w \geq 1 \) when restricted on \( M^n \). Now we have

\[ w = \prod_i \cosh \theta_i = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_i^2}}, \quad \lambda_i = \tanh \theta_i. \]

Choose timelike vectors \( a_{\alpha+j} \) such that \( \{a_\alpha, a_{\alpha+i}\}_{i=1,\ldots,m; \alpha=1,\ldots,n} \) is an orientated orthonormal Lorentzian basis of \( \mathbb{R}^{m+\alpha} \). Then we can choose appropriate \( \{a_\alpha, a_{\alpha+i}\}_{i=1,\ldots,m; \alpha=1,\ldots,n} \) such that

\[ \{e_i = \cosh \theta_i a_i + \sinh \theta_i a_{\alpha+i}, \alpha=1,\ldots,n \} \]

is an orientated tangent orthonormal basis of \( M^m \), here \( \theta_i = 0 \) for \( i > \min(m,n) \).

### 3. Volume growth estimate

We derive the following volume growth estimate for the spacelike entire graphs in pseudo-Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{m+\alpha} \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( X : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{m+\alpha} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional spacelike entire graph. Let \( z = \langle X, X \rangle \). Assume the origin \( o \in M^n \), then

\[ \limsup_{R \to \infty} R^{-2m} \int_{|z| \leq R^2} e^{-o(z)} < \infty. \]  

(3.1)

Consequently, for every \( \alpha > 0 \),

\[ \int_{M^n} e^{-\alpha z} < \infty. \]

**Proof.** Since \( M^m \) is an entire graph, namely, \( M^m \) can be written as \( \{X = (x, u(x)) | x \in \mathbb{R}^m, u = (u^1, u^2, \ldots, u^n)\} \). By using the singular value decomposition (see [29]), by an action of \( \text{SO}(m) \times \text{SO}(n) \) we can choose a new Lorentzian coordinates \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+n}\} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) such that at a considered point to be calculated,

\[ du \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \lambda_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \]

\[ du \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}} \right). \]

Here \( \lambda_i = 0 \) for \( i > \min(m,n) \). For simplicity, we denote \( E_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, E_{m+i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}}, (i = 1, \ldots, m, \text{and } \alpha = 1, \ldots, n) \). Since \( M^m \) is spacelike, we have \( |\lambda_i| < 1 \). Let

\[ e_i := \sqrt{1 - \lambda_i^2}(E_i + \lambda_i E_{m+i}). \]
Define the $w$-function as
\[ w = (e_1 \wedge ... \wedge e_m, E_1 \wedge ... \wedge E_m). \]

Then we derive
\[ w = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - L_i^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{det}(g_{ij})}}, \] (3.2)

where $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \sum u^i u^j$ is the induced metric on $M^m$. Moreover, $z = |x|^2 - |u(x)|^2$. Since $M$ is spacelike and $u(0) = 0$ we have $|u(x)| < |x|$ (for $|x| \neq 0$), thus there exists a constant $\delta > 0$, such that for each point $(x, u(x)) \in M$ with $|x| = \epsilon$, we get $|z| \leq \delta < \epsilon$. Without loss of generality, assume $x \neq 0$, let $\bar{x} = \frac{x}{|x|}$ and $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}(\bar{x})) \in M$, then $|x| = \epsilon$ and $|\bar{u}(\bar{x})| \leq \delta$. Again since $M$ is spacelike, we obtain
\[ |u(x) - \bar{u}(\bar{x})| \leq |x - \bar{x}|. \]

It follows that
\[ |u(x)| \leq |x| + \epsilon \]
and
\[ |x| \leq \frac{\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2} = C_1 (\epsilon + 1), \] (3.3)

where $C_1$ is a positive constant depending only on $\epsilon$ and $\delta$. Direct computation gives us
\[ |X|^2 = (X, e_i)^2 = \sum_i \frac{1}{1 - L_i^2} \left( (X, E_i) + \bar{L}_i (X, E_{m+i}) \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq \sum_i \frac{2}{1 - L_i^2} \left( (X, E_i)^2 + (X, E_{m+i})^2 \right) \]
\[ \leq 2w^2(|x|^2 + |u(x)|^2) \]

Therefore we obtain
\[ |X|^2 \leq C_2 w(\epsilon + 1) \] (3.4)

here $C_2$ is a positive constant depending only on $\epsilon$ and $\delta$.

Since $M$ is entire, by (3.3), $\bar{x}$ is proper. Hence, for every $R > 0$,
\[ \int_{|z| \leq R \cap M^m} w \sqrt{\text{det} g} dx \leq \int_{|z| \leq R \cap M^m} \bar{x} (\bar{R}^2 + 1)^m w dx = C_1 (\bar{R}^2 + 1)^m \int_{|z| \leq \bar{R}} dx, \]
which gives the desired estimate (3.1).

Since $|\nabla \sqrt{z}| = \frac{|u|}{|x|} \geq 1$ whenever $x \neq 0$, by the Co-Area formula and integration by parts, we obtain
\[ \int_{M^m} w e^{-\alpha z} = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{|z| = R \cap M^m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \right) w e^{-\alpha z} dR \]
\[ = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha R^2} d \left( \int_{|z| = R \cap M^m} w \right) \]
\[ = 2\alpha \int_0^\infty R e^{-\alpha R^2} \left( \int_{|z| = R \cap M^m} w \right) dR \]
\[ \leq 2\alpha \left( \int_{|z| = R \cap M^m} w \right) \int_0^\infty R e^{-\alpha R^2} R^2 dR + C \int_1^\infty \int_0^\infty R e^{-\alpha R^2} R^2 dR < \infty. \]
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3

Let $V := -\frac{1}{2}X^T$ and $\Delta_V := \Delta + \langle V, \nabla \cdot \rangle$ be the drift-Laplacian.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ be a local tangent orthonormal frame field on $M^m$ such that $\nabla_{e_i}e_j = 0$ at a considered point to be calculated. From the self-shrinker equation (1.2), we obtain

$$\nabla_{e_i}H = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_i} (X - \langle X, e_k \rangle e_k)^N = \frac{1}{2} (X, e_k) B_{jk}$$ (4.1)

and

$$\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} H = \frac{1}{2} B_{ij} - \langle H, B_{ik} \rangle B_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} (X, e_k) \nabla_{e_i} B_{jk}.$$ 

Then using the Codazzi equation, we derive

$$\Delta_V |H|^2 = \Delta |H|^2 + \langle V, \nabla |H|^2 \rangle$$

$$= 2 \langle \nabla_{X^T} H, H \rangle + 2 |\nabla H|^2 + \langle V, \nabla |H|^2 \rangle$$

$$= |H|^2 - 2\langle H, B_{ik} \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{X^T} |H|^2 + 2 |\nabla H|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle X^T, \nabla |H|^2 \rangle$$

$$= |H|^2 - 2|A^H|^2 + 2 |\nabla H|^2.$$ 

It follows that

$$\Delta_V |H|^2 = |H|^2 + 2|A^H|^2 + 2 |\nabla H|^2 \geq 2|A^H|^2,$$ (4.2)

here $|H|^2$ is the absolute value of the square of the mean curvature vector $H$.

By (4.1), we get

$$\nabla_{X^T} |H|^2 = -2 \langle \nabla_{X^T} H, H \rangle$$

$$= -2 \langle X, e_i \rangle \langle \nabla_{e_i} H, H \rangle$$

$$= -2 \langle X, e_i \rangle \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle X, e_k \rangle B_{jk} \right)$$

$$= -\langle A^H(X^T), H \rangle.$$ 

Note that $X = X^T + X^N$, therefore we have

$$z = \langle X, X \rangle = |X^T|^2 + |X^N|^2 = |X^T|^2 - |X^N|^2,$$

where $|X^N|^2$ is the absolute value of the square of the timelike vector $X^N$. Then by the self-shrinker equation (1.2), we obtain

$$|X^T|^2 = z + 4 |H|^2.$$ 

Denote $B_R := \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} : z(p) \leq R^2 \}$ and $D_R := M^m \cap B_R$. By (3.3), $z$ is proper, this implies that $D_R$ is compact in $M^m$. Thus a direct computation yields

$$\int_{D_R} \Delta_V |H|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{2}}$$

$$\quad = \int_{D_R} e^{-\frac{z}{2}} \text{div} \left( e^{-\frac{z}{2}} \nabla |H|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{z}{2}}$$

$$\quad = \int_{\partial D_R} \left( e^{-\frac{z}{2}} \nabla |H|^2 \right) \left\langle \frac{X^T}{|X^T|} \right\rangle$$

$$\quad = \int_{\partial D_R} \frac{1}{|X^T|} \nabla_{X^T} |H|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{2}}.$$
Therefore for any fixed $\mathcal{R}$, there exists $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$
\int_{\partial D_x} \Delta v ||H||^2 e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \leq \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{|A^H|}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \leq \left( R \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{|A^H|^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( R^{-1} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{(z + 4||H||^2)^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \right)^\frac{1}{2}.
$$

Namely

$$
\int_{D_x} \Delta v ||H||^2 e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \leq \left( R \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{|A^H|^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( R^{-1} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{(z + 4||H||^2)^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \right)^\frac{1}{2}.
$$

(4.3)

The Cauchy inequality implies

$$
|A^H|^2 = \sum_{i,j} (B_{ij}, H)^2 \geq \sum_i (B_{i0}, H)^2 \geq \frac{(\sum_i (B_{i0}, H))^2}{m} = \frac{||H||^4}{m}.
$$

(4.4)

By the assumption that $M^m$ is not a linear subspace, we can conclude that $M^m$ is not maximal, i.e., $H \neq 0$. Otherwise, by the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [26], we derive that $M$ is a linear subspace, this yields the contradiction. Then there exists $R_0 > 0$, such that for any $R > R_0$,

$$
\int_{D_x} |A^H|^2 e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \geq \frac{1}{m} \int_{D_x} ||H||^4 e^{-\frac{t}{4}} > 0.
$$

Let

$$
F(R) := \int_{D_x} |A^H|^2 e^{-\frac{t}{4}}, \quad G(R) := \int_{D_x} (z + 4||H||^2)^2 e^{-\frac{t}{4}}.
$$

By the Co-Area formula, we have

$$
F(R) = \int_0^R \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{|A^H|^2}{|\nabla \sqrt{z}|} e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \mathcal{N} \sqrt{z} \, dr = \int_0^R \left( e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{|A^H|^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}} \right) dr,
$$

$$
G(R) = \int_0^R \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{(z + 4||H||^2)^2}{|\nabla \sqrt{z}|} e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \mathcal{N} \sqrt{z} \, dr = \int_0^R \left( e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{(z + 4||H||^2)^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}} \right) dr.
$$

It follows that

$$
F'(R) = Re^{-\frac{t}{4}} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{|A^H|^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}}, \quad G'(R) = Re^{-\frac{t}{4}} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{(z + 4||H||^2)^2}{|X|^\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

From (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$
4F(R)^2 \leq F'(R) \cdot R^2 G'(R).
$$

Namely,

$$
\frac{R^2}{G'(R)} \leq \frac{F'(R)}{4F(R)^2} = \left( \frac{1}{F(R)} \right)' \quad \forall R > R_0.
$$

Therefore for any fixed $r$ satisfying $R > r > R_0$,

$$
\frac{1}{4} \left( R^2 - r^2 \right)^2 \leq \int_r^R \frac{s^2}{G'(s)} ds \cdot \int_r^R G'(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{F(r)} - \frac{1}{F(R)} \right) \cdot (G(R) - G(r)),
$$
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which gives

\[(R^2 - r^2)^2 \leq \frac{G(R)}{F(r)}\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

We claim that

\[\int_{M^m} |A|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} = \infty.\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

In fact, let \(R\) go to infinity and then \(r\) go to infinity,

\[\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^4 G(R)}{1} \leq \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{F(r)} \leq \frac{m}{\int_{M^m} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}}.\]

This implies that

\[\limsup_{R \to \infty} \int_{D_R} 2z^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} + \int_{D_R} 32|H|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^4}{G(R)} \leq \frac{m}{\int_{M^m} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}}.\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

By Theorem 3.1, \(\int_{M^m} e^{\alpha z} < \infty\) for any \(\alpha < 0\), so we can conclude that

\[\int_{D_R} z^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \leq \int_{M^m} z^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} < \infty.\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

Thus from the inequality (4.7), we get

\[\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^4}{\int_{D_R} 2z^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} + \int_{D_R} 32|H|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}} \leq \frac{m}{\int_{M^m} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}}.\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

If \(\int_{M^m} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} < \infty\), then by (4.9), we conclude that

\[\infty > \frac{m}{\int_{M^m} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}} \geq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^4}{\int_{D_R} 32|H|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}} \geq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^4}{\int_{M^m} 32|H|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}} = \infty.\]

This yields the contradiction. Hence

\[\int_{M^m} \|H\|^4 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} = \infty.\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

Then (4.6) follows from (4.4).

From (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we get

\[2 \int_{D_R} |A|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \leq \int_{D_R} \Delta v |H|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \leq \left( R \int_{\partial D_R} \frac{|A|^2}{X^2} e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( R^{-1} \int_{\partial D_R} \frac{\left( z + 4 \sqrt{m} |A| \right)^2}{X^2} e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 4 \sqrt{m} \int_{\partial D_R} \frac{\left( z + 4 \sqrt{m} |A| \right)^2}{X^2} e^{-\frac{z}{4}}.\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

Set

\[\tilde{F}(R) = \int_{D_R} \left( |A|^2 + \frac{z}{4 \sqrt{m}} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}.\]
\( \forall \varepsilon \in \left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \), for given positive constant \( \delta < \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \),

\[
\hat{F}(R) \leq (1 + \delta) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z} + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} z^2 e^{-z} \leq (1 + \delta) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z} + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} z^2 e^{-z}.
\]

(4.12)

Since \( \delta < \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \), we get \( (1 + \delta) > 0 \). By (4.6), we obtain

\[
\lim_{K \to \infty} \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z} = \infty.
\]

Note that \( \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} z^2 e^{-z} < \infty \) by (4.8). Thus there exists \( R_1 > 0 \), such that when \( R > R_1 \), we have

\[
\int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z} > \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} (1 + \frac{1}{\delta}) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} z^2 e^{-z}.
\]

(4.13)

Combining (4.12) with (4.13), it follows

\[
\hat{F}(R) < \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z}.
\]

(4.14)

By the Co-Area formula, we obtain

\[
\hat{F}(R) = \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} \left( |A^H| + \frac{z}{4 \sqrt{m}} \right)^2 e^{-z} = \int_0^R r \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} \left( \frac{|A^H| + \frac{z}{4 \sqrt{m}}}{|X^T|} \right)^2 e^{-z} dr.
\]

This implies that

\[
\hat{F}'(R) = R \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} \left( \frac{|A^H| + \frac{z}{4 \sqrt{m}}}{|X^T|} \right)^2 e^{-z}.
\]

(4.15)

Thus from (4.11), (4.14) and (4.15), we get

\[
0 < \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2 \sqrt{m}} \hat{F}(R) \leq \frac{1}{R} \hat{F}'(R),
\]

which implies for some \( R_2 > R_1 \),

\[
\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{4 \sqrt{m}} (R^2 - R_2^2) \leq \log \frac{\hat{F}(R)}{\hat{F}(R_2)}, \quad \forall R > R_2.
\]

Namely,

\[
\int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z} > (1 - \varepsilon) \exp \left( \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{4 \sqrt{m} R_2^2} \right) \exp \left( \frac{1 - \varepsilon R^2}{4 \sqrt{m}} \right)
\]

Thus for \( R \) sufficiently large, we have

\[
\int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z} \geq \exp \left( \frac{1 - 2 \varepsilon}{4 \sqrt{m} R_2^2} \right).
\]

(4.16)

According to (4.5) and (4.10), as the similar reason to derive (4.14), for sufficiently large \( R \), we obtain

\[
\left( R^2 - r^2 \right)^2 \leq \frac{\int_{D_{\varepsilon}} (z + 4 ||H||^2)^2 e^{-z}}{\int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z}} \leq 16(1 + \varepsilon) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} ||H||^4 e^{-z} + C \varepsilon \leq 16(1 + 2 \varepsilon) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |H|^4 e^{-z} \leq 16(1 + 2 \varepsilon) \int_{D_{\varepsilon}} |A^H|^2 e^{-z}.
\]
Choosing \( r = (1 - \varepsilon)R \), by (4.16),
\[
(2e - e^2)R^4 \leq \frac{16(1 + 2\varepsilon) \int_{D_{a1-\varepsilon}} ||H||^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\int_{D_{a1-\varepsilon}} |A|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{16(1 + 2\varepsilon) \int_{D_a} ||H||^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\exp \left( \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{4\sqrt{m}} (1 - \varepsilon)^2 R^2 \right)}
\]

Direct computation gives us
\[
\frac{1}{R^2} \left( \log(2e - e^2) + 4 \log R \right) + \frac{(1 - 2\varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{4 \sqrt{m}} \leq \frac{1}{R} \log 16(1 + 2\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{R} \log \int_{D_a} ||H||^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Letting \( R \to \infty \) in the above equality, we get
\[
\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^2}{\log \left( \int_{D_a} ||H||^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)} \leq \frac{4 \sqrt{m}}{(1 - 2\varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon)^2}.
\]

Let \( \varepsilon \) go to zero, we conclude that
\[
\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^2}{\log \left( \int_{D_a} ||H||^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)} \leq 4 \sqrt{m}.
\]

Following the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we give the

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let \( B_R := \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} : z(p) \leq R^2 \} \) and \( D_R := M^m \cap B_R \). By (3.3), \( z \) is proper, thus \( D_R \) is compact in \( M^m \). Integration by parts gives us
\[
\int_{D_a} \Delta_v (\log w) \log we^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{D_a} \text{div} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \log w \right) \log w
\]
\[
= \int_{D_a} \text{div} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \log w \right) \log w - \int_{D_a} \langle e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \log w, \nabla \log w \rangle \tag{4.17}
\]
\[
= \int_{\partial D_a} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \log w \right) \log w, \frac{X_T}{|X_T|} \right) - \int_{D_a} |\nabla \log w|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

By Proposition 3.1 in [27], we get
\[
\Delta_v (\log w) \geq \frac{||B||^2}{w^2}, \tag{4.18}
\]

here \( ||B||^2 \) is the absolute value of the square of the second fundamental form.

From (4.17) and (4.18), we have
\[
\int_{D_a} \frac{||B||^2}{w^2} \log we^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{D_a} |\nabla \log w|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int_{\partial D_a} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \log w \right) \log w, \frac{X_T}{|X_T|} \right). \tag{4.19}
\]

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the right hand side of (4.19), and using (3.4), we get
\[
\int_{\partial D_a} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \log w \right) \log w, \frac{X_T}{|X_T|} \right) \leq \int_{\partial D_a} |\nabla \log w| \log we^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( \int_{\partial D_a} R |\nabla \log w|^2 \frac{X_T}{|X_T|} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\partial D_a} R^{-1} (\log w)^2 |X_T|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_3 \left( \int_{\partial D_a} R |\nabla \log w|^2 \frac{X_T}{|X_T|} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\partial D_a} R^3 (\log w)^2 |X_T|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.20}
\]
Combining \((4.19)\) with \((4.20)\), we have
\[
\int_{D_\epsilon} |\nabla \log w|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \leq C_3 \left( \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \frac{R |\nabla \log w|^2}{|X'|} e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \frac{R^3 w^2 (\log w)^2}{|X'|} e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.21}
\]

Let
\[
\tilde{F}(R) := \int_{D_\epsilon} |\nabla \log w|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}, \quad \tilde{G}(R) := \int_{D_\epsilon} w^2 (\log w)^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}.
\]

The Co-Area formula gives
\[
\tilde{F}(R) = \int_0^R \int_{\partial D_r} \frac{|\nabla \log w|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}}{|\sqrt{\epsilon}|} \, dr, \\
\tilde{G}(R) = \int_0^R \int_{\partial D_r} \frac{w^2 (\log w)^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}}{|\sqrt{\epsilon}|} \, dr.
\]

Then we get
\[
\tilde{F}'(R) = Re^{-\frac{z}{4}} \int_{\partial D_r} \frac{|\nabla \log w|^2}{|X'|}, \\
\tilde{G}'(R) = Re^{-\frac{z}{4}} \int_{\partial D_r} \frac{w^2 (\log w)^2}{|X'|}.
\]

By \((4.21)\),
\[
\tilde{F}(R)^2 \leq C_3^2 \tilde{F}'(R) \cdot R^2 \tilde{G}'(R).
\]

That is
\[
\frac{1}{R^2 \tilde{G}'(R)^2} \leq C_3^2 \left( \frac{\tilde{F}'(R)}{\tilde{F}(R)^2} \right) = -C_3^2 \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{F}(R)} \right)' , \quad \forall R > 1.
\]

Hence for any fixed \(r \in (1, R)\), we derive
\[
\left( \frac{\log \frac{R}{r}}{r} \right)^2 = \left( \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \, ds \right)^2 \leq \int_r^R \frac{1}{s^2 \tilde{G}'(s)} \, ds \cdot \int_r^R \tilde{G}'(s) \, ds \leq -C_3^2 \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{F}(r)} - \frac{1}{\tilde{F}(R)} \right) \cdot (\tilde{G}(R) - \tilde{G}(r)) \leq C_3^2 \frac{\tilde{G}(R)}{\tilde{F}(r)}.
\]

Let \(R\) go to infinity and then \(r\) go to infinity,
\[
\limsup_{R \to \infty} \left( \frac{\log R}{R} \right)^2 \leq C_3^2 \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{F}(r)} \cdot \frac{C_3^2}{\int_{D_\epsilon} |\nabla \log w|^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}}. \]

Since \(M^m\) is not a linear subspace, by \((4.18)\) we know that \(w\) can not be a constant. Hence the right hand side of the above inequality is finite. It follows that
\[
\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\left( \log R \right)^2}{\int_{D_\epsilon} w^2 (\log w)^2 e^{-\frac{z}{4}}} < \infty.
\]

\[
\blacksquare
\]
5. Rigidity results for spacelike self-shrinkers

In this section, we shall give various rigidity results for spacelike self-shrinkers which can be viewed as the applications of Theorems 1.1 and Theorem 1.3.

By Theorem 1.1, we have

**Corollary 5.1.** Let \( X : M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) be an m-dimensional spacelike entire self-shrinking graph. Assume that the origin \( o \in M^m \) and \( M^m \) is not a linear subspace. Then the mean curvature satisfies

\[
\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^2}{\log \left( \int_{D_0} ||H||^3 e^{-\frac{x}{R}} \right)} \leq 4 \sqrt{m}. \tag{5.1}
\]

**Proof.** (3.4) implies that

\[
||H||^3 \leq \frac{1}{2} ||H||^3 |X^T| \leq C_2 ||H||^3 (z + 1). \tag{5.2}
\]

Then the conclusion follows from (1.3) and (5.2).

As a consequence of Corollary 5.1, we obtain

**Corollary 5.2.** Let \( X : M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) be an m-dimensional spacelike entire self-shrinking graph. Assume that the origin \( o \in M^m \). If the mean curvature satisfies \( ||H||^2 \leq C e^{\alpha} \) for any \( \alpha < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \), here \( C \) is a positive constant. Then \( M^m \) must be a linear subspace.

**Proof.** Choose \( \alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2} \) such that \( \alpha < \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \). Suppose that \( M^m \) is not a linear subspace. The assumption implies that

\[
\int_{D_0} ||H||^3 \left|e^{-\frac{x}{R}}\right| dx \leq C^\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{3}{2}(\alpha_0 - \alpha)} \int_{D_0} \left|e^{-\frac{x}{R}}\right|^3 dx.
\]

Since \( \alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2} \), we get \( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} < 0 \). Then by Theorem 3.1, \( \int_{M^m} \left|e^{-\frac{x}{R}}\right|^3 < \infty \). Therefore

\[
\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^2}{\log \left( \int_{D_0} ||H||^3 e^{-\frac{x}{R}} \right)} \geq \frac{2}{3} (\alpha - \alpha_0) > 4 \sqrt{m}.
\]

Comparing the above inequality with (5.1), we conclude that \( M^m \) is a linear subspace.

By using gradient estimates and Corollary 5.2, we derive

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \( X : M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) be an m-dimensional spacelike entire self-shrinking graph. Assume that the origin \( o \in M \) and the w-function satisfies

\[
\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\log w}{z} < \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12 \sqrt{m}}.
\]

Then \( M^m \) has to be a linear subspace.

**Proof.** Let \( f(R) := \max_{|z| \leq R} w \). Then by (4.18) and the maximum principle, \( f(R) \) is nondecreasing in \( R \). From the assumption, there exists \( R_0 > 0 \), such that when \( R > R_0 \), we have

\[
f(R) < e^{\frac{(z + \sqrt{m})^3}{1 - \epsilon} R} \quad \text{for some} \quad \epsilon > 0.
\]

Choosing \( \alpha^2 > 2R_0 \). Let \( B_\alpha := \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} : z(p) \leq \alpha^2 \} \) and \( D_\alpha := M^m \cap B_\alpha \). By (3.3), \( z \) is proper, this implies that \( D_\alpha \) is compact in \( M^m \). Define \( \Phi : D_\alpha \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
\Phi := (\alpha^2 - z)^3 ||H||^2.
\]
As \( \Phi|_{\partial D_a} = 0 \), \( \Phi \) achieves an absolute maximum in the interior of \( D_a \), say \( \Phi \leq \Phi(q) \), for some \( q \) inside \( D_a \). We may assume \( \|H\|(q) \neq 0 \). Then

\[
\nabla \Phi(q) = 0, \quad \Delta V \Phi(q) \leq 0.
\]

By direct computation, we have

\[
\nabla \Phi = -2(a^2 - z)\|H\|z \nabla z + (a^2 - z)^2 \nabla \|H\|^2,
\]

\[
\Delta V \Phi = 2\|H\|^2 \cdot |\nabla z|^2 - 2(a^2 - z)|H|^2 \cdot \Delta V z - 4(a^2 - z) \left( \nabla z, \nabla \|H\|^2 \right) + (a^2 - z)^2 \Delta V \|H\|^2.
\]

From \( \nabla \Phi(q) = 0 \), we get at \( q \)

\[
\frac{\nabla \|H\|^2}{\|H\|^2} - \frac{2\nabla z}{a^2 - z} = 0
\]

(5.3)

And by \( \Delta V \Phi(q) \leq 0 \), we obtain at \( q \)

\[
-4(\nabla z, \nabla \|H\|^2) + \frac{\Delta V \|H\|^2}{\|H\|^2} + \frac{2|\nabla z|^2}{(a^2 - z)^2} - \frac{2\Delta V z}{a^2 - z} \leq 0.
\]

(5.4)

Substituting (5.3) into (5.4), we get

\[
\frac{\Delta V \|H\|^2}{\|H\|^2} - \frac{2\Delta V z}{a^2 - z} - \frac{6|\nabla z|^2}{(a^2 - z)^2} \leq 0.
\]

(5.5)

Direct computation gives us

\[
\Delta V z = 2m - z', \quad \nabla z = 2X^T.
\]

Combining (4.2), (4.4) with (5.5), we derive

\[
\|H\|^2 \leq \frac{m}{2} \frac{\Delta V \|H\|^2}{\|H\|^2} \leq \frac{m}{2} \frac{2\Delta V z}{a^2 - z} + \frac{6|\nabla z|^2}{(a^2 - z)^2} \leq \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{4m}{a^2 - z} + \frac{24|X|^2}{(a^2 - z)^2} \right)
\]

(5.6)

By (3.4) and (5.6), we get

\[
\|H\|^2 \leq \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{4m}{a^2 - z} + \frac{48C_w^2(w^2(z + 1)^2)}{(a^2 - z)^2} \right).
\]

Hence for \( \delta = \sqrt{1 - e^2} \)

\[
\max_{D_a} \Phi \leq \Phi(q) \leq \frac{m}{2} \left( 4ma^2 + 48C_w^2(a^2 + 1)^2 f(a^2)^2 \right).
\]

(5.7)

By the definition of \( \Phi \) and (5.7), we conclude that some constant \( C \) such that for \( a \) sufficiently large we have

\[
\max_{D_a} \|H\|^2 \leq \frac{C}{(1 - \delta^2)z^2 f(a^2)^2} \leq \frac{C}{e^2} \left( \frac{z}{1 - e^2} \right)^{1 - \epsilon} \|H\|^2(q) = \frac{C}{e^2} \left( \frac{z}{1 - e^2} \right)^{1 - \epsilon} \|H\|^2(q).
\]

Hence for every \( q' \in \mathcal{M}^m \) with \( z(q') = \delta a \),

\[
\|H\|^2(q') \leq \frac{C}{e^2} \left( \frac{z}{1 - e^2} \right)^{1 - \epsilon} \|H\|^2.
\]

In other words, we get the following estimate

\[
\|H\|^2 \leq C e^{\left( \frac{z}{1 + e^2} \right)^{1 - \epsilon}}.
\]

By Corollary 5.2, \( \mathcal{M}^m \) is a linear subspace.
By using Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 3.1, we can improve Corollary 5.3 as follows

**Corollary 5.4.** Let \( X : M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional spacelike entire self-shrinking graph. Assume that the origin \( o \in M^m \) and the \( w \)-function satisfies

\[
\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\log w}{z} < \frac{1}{4}.
\]

Then \( M^m \) has to be a linear subspace.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( M^m \) is not a linear subspace. Let \( f(R) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} w \), since \( \Delta_y \log w \geq \frac{\log^2 w}{w^2} > 0 \), then the maximum principle implies that \( f(R) \) is nondecreasing in \( R \). If \( f \) is bounded by some positive constant, then by using Theorem 3.1 and the assumption, we have

\[
\int_{M^m} w^2(\log w)^2 e^{\frac{w}{4}} < \infty. \tag{5.8}
\]

Otherwise, \( \lim f(R) = \infty \), then for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we obtain \( \log f(R) \leq f(R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \) when \( R \) is large. Therefore by the assumption, we can conclude that

\[
f(R) < e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}R}
\]

for \( R \) large enough. It follows that

\[
f(R)(\log f(R))^2 \leq f(R)^{1+\epsilon} \leq e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}R}, \quad \text{for} \quad R \quad \text{large}.
\]

Then by Theorem 3.1 again, we can also obtain (5.8). Since \( M^m \) is not a linear subspace, by (4.18), \( w \) can not be a constant, in particular, \( w \neq 1 \), that is, \( \int_{M^m} w^2(\log w)^2 e^{\frac{w}{2}} \neq 0 \). Therefore, we have

\[
\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{(\log R)^2}{\int_{D_R} w^2(\log w)^2 e^{-\frac{w}{2}}} = \infty.
\]

This is a contradiction with (1.4).

By (3.2), Corollary 5.4 can be rewritten as

**Corollary 5.5.** Let \( M^m := \{(x, u(x))| x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u = (u^1, u^2, \ldots, u^n)\} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional spacelike entire self-shrinking graph in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \). Assume the origin \( o \in M^m \) and the \( w \)-function satisfies

\[
\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\log \det(g_{ij}(x))}{|x|^2 - |u(x)|^2} > -\frac{1}{2},
\]

where \( g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} - \sum_{a=1}^n u^a_i(x)u^a_j(x) \). Then \( M^m \) is a linear subspace.

We are now in position to show that Corollary 5.5 improves Theorem 3 in [14] as follows

**Corollary 5.6 ([14]).** Let \( M^m := \{(x, u(x))| x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u = (u^1, u^2, \ldots, u^n)\} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional spacelike entire self-shrinking graph in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \). Assume the origin \( o \in M^m \) and the induced metric \( (g_{ij}) \) satisfies

\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\log \det(g_{ij}(x))}{|x|} = 0, \tag{5.9}
\]

where \( g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} - \sum_{a=1}^n u^a_i(x)u^a_j(x) \). Then \( M \) is a linear subspace.

**Proof.** Since \( \det(g_{ij}) < 1 \), Ding-Wang’s assumption (5.9) implies that for every positive constant \( \epsilon \), we have

\[
\frac{\log \det(g_{ij})}{|x|} > -\epsilon, \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty.
\]

By (3.3), the function \( \ell \) is proper, choosing \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{4C_1} \), then we obtain

\[
\frac{\log \det(g_{ij})}{z} \geq 2C_1 \frac{\log \det(g_{ij})}{|x|} > -2C_1 \epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty.
\]

Then this Corollary follows from Corollary 5.5.
Remark 5.7. If \( m = 1 \), then the growth condition is not necessary. In other words, the only entire graphic spacelike self-shrinking curve through the origin in the pseudo-Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{1+n}_2 \) has to be a linear subspace. In fact, assume that \( M^1 = \{(t, u^1(t), \ldots, u^n(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \) is a spacelike self-shrinking curve, then

\[
\frac{u''_\alpha}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} u'_j u'_j} = \frac{1}{2} (u''_\alpha - u''), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{5.10}
\]

Since \( M^1 \) contains the origin, we have \( u^1(0) = \cdots = u^n(0) = 0 \). Denote by \( u^\alpha(0) = a^\alpha, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n \), then \( |u^\alpha(t) = a^\alpha t, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n| \) is a solution to (5.10) and \( M^1 \) is a linear subspace. By the uniqueness theorem of ODE system, we know that \( M^1 \) has to be a linear subspace.

At the end of this section, we shall give a nontrivial spacelike entire self-shrinking graph which does not contain the origin (cf. [23]).

Example 5.1. Consider a \( C^2 \) function \( u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying

\[
\frac{u''}{1 - u^2} = \frac{1}{2} (u'' - u), \quad |u'| < 1. \tag{5.11}
\]

If we find a nontrivial solution \( u \) to (5.11), i.e., \( u \) is not a linear function, then

\[
M^m = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, u(x_1), 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} : (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m\}
\]

is a nontrivial entire spacelike self-shrinking graph in \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \), i.e., this graph is not an affine plane. According to Chen-Qiu’s result ([9]), this entire graphic self-shrinker can not be complete. Indeed, we consider the following ODE

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
  w'' = \frac{1}{2} (tw' - w)(1 - w^2), \\
  w(0) = a \in (-\infty, 0), \quad w'(0) = b \in (-1, 1).
\end{array} \right.
\]

Assume the maximal existence interval is \((-T_1, T_2)\) with \( T_1, T_2 \in (0, \infty) \) such that \( |w'(t)| < 1, \forall t \in (-T_1, T_2) \). Set \( \phi = tw' - w \), then

\[
\phi' = \frac{f}{2} (1 - w^2) \phi.
\]

Consider a function \( f' = \frac{1}{2} (1 - w^2) \), \( f(0) = 0 \), then \( f \geq 0 \) and \( e^{-\int f} \) is a constant. In particular \( \phi \geq -a \). Thus

\[
(tanh^{-1} w)' = \frac{1}{2} (tw' - w) \geq -\frac{a}{2}
\]

For \( t \in [0, T_2) \), we have

\[
 w' \geq \tanh \left( -\frac{at}{2} + \tanh^{-1} b \right) = -\tanh \left( \frac{at}{2} - \tanh^{-1} b \right), \tag{5.12}
\]

which implies

\[
 w \geq -\frac{2}{a} \log \cosh \left( \frac{at}{2} + \tanh^{-1} b \right) + \frac{2}{a} \log \cosh \left( \tanh^{-1} b \right) + a \\
 \geq -\frac{1}{a} \log \cosh (-at) + \log \cosh \left( 2 \tanh^{-1} b \right) + \frac{2\tanh^{-1} |b|}{a} + a \\
 \geq t + \frac{2}{a} \log 2 + a.
\]

Hence \( \phi \leq -a - \frac{2}{a} \log 2 \). Thus

\[
(tanh^{-1} w')' \leq \frac{a}{2} - \frac{1}{a} \log 2.
\]
which implies
\[ w' \leq \tanh \left( \left( \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{a} \log 2 \right) t + \tanh^{-1} b \right). \]  
(5.13)

Then (5.12) and (5.13) implies that \( T_2 = +\infty. \)

For \( t \in (-T_1, 0), \) a similar argument gives
\[ -\tanh \left( \left( \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{a} \log 2 \right) t - \tanh^{-1} b \right) \leq w' \leq \tanh \left( -\frac{at}{2} + \tanh^{-1} b \right), \]
which implies that \( T_1 = -\infty. \)

The above example implies that for \( |t| > \frac{a}{2}, \)
\[ \frac{\log (1 - w'^2)}{t^2 - w^2} \geq \frac{\log (1 - w'^2)}{-2a|t| - a^2} \geq \frac{2 \log \cosh \left( -\left( \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{a} \log 2 \right) |t| + \tanh^{-1} |b| \right)}{2a|t| + a^2}, \]
and we get
\[ \liminf_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{\log (1 - w'^2)}{t^2 - w^2} \geq -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\log 2}{a^2} \to -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{as } a \to -\infty. \]

Motivated by Corollary 5.5 and the above example, we would like to propose the following

**Conjecture 1.** Let \( u = \left( u^1, u^2, \ldots, u^n \right) \) be an entire smooth solution to
\[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij}(x) u^i_j(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_i u^i_j(x) - u^i(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n, \]
where \( g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{m} u^k_i(x) u^k_j(x) \) and \( \left( g^{ij}(x) \right)_{i,j=1}^{m} \) is the inverse matrix of \( \left( g_{ij}(x) \right)_{i,j=1}^{m} \). Assume \( u^1(0) = \cdots = u^m(0) = 0 \) and
\[ \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\log \det(g_{ij}(x))}{|x|^2 - |\mu(x)|^2} \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \]
then \( u^\alpha(x) \) are linear functions for each \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, n. \)

**References**

[1] Adames, M.R., 2014. Spacelike self-similar shrinking solutions of the mean curvature flow in pseudo-Euclidean spaces. Comm. Anal. Geom. 22, 897–929. URL: https://doi.org/10.4310/CAG.2014.v22.n5.a6.

[2] Almgren, Jr., F.J., 1966. Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and an extension of Bernstein’s theorem. Ann. of Math. (2) 84, 277–292. URL: https://doi.org/10.2307/1970520.

[3] Angenent, S.B., 1992. Shrinking doughnuts, in: Nonlinear differential equations and their equilibrium states, 3 (Gregynog, 1989). Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA. volume 7 of Prog. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., pp. 21–38.

[4] BERNSTEIN, S., 1915. Sur un theoreme de geometrie et ses applications aux equations aux derivees partielles du type elliptique. Comm. Soc. Math. Kharkov 5, 38–45.

[5] Bombieri, E., De Giorgi, E., Giusti, E., 1969. Minimal cones and the Bernstein problem. Invent. Math. 7, 243–268. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01443899.

[6] Calabi, E., 1970. Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations, in: Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XV, Berkeley, Calif., 1966), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., pp. 223–230.

[7] Chau, A., Chen, J., Yuan, Y., 2012. Rigidity of entire self-shrinking solutions to curvature flows. J. Reine Angew. Math. 664, 229–239.

[8] Chen, Q., Jost, J., Liu, H., 2014. Omori-Yau maximum principles, V-harmonic maps and their geometric applications. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 46, 259–279. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10455-014-9422-4.
[9] Chen, Q., Qiu, H., 2016. Rigidity of self-shrinkers and translating solitons of mean curvature flows. Adv. Math. 294, 517–531. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2016.03.004.
[10] Cheng, S.Y., Yau, S.T., 1976. Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces. Ann. of Math. (2) 104, 407–419. URL: https://doi.org/10.2307/1970663.
[11] Chern, S.S., 1965. On the curvatures of a piece of hypersurface in euclidean space. Abb. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 29, 77–91. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02996311.
[12] Colding, T.H., Minicozzi, II, W.P., 2012. Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities. Ann. of Math. (2) 175, 755–833. URL: https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2012.175.2.7.
[13] De Giorgi, E., 1965. Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) 19, 79–85.
[14] Ding, Q., Wang, Z., 2010. On the self-shrinking systems in arbitrary codimension spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:1012.0429.
[15] Ding, Q., Xin, Y., 2014. The rigidity theorems for Lagrangian self-shrinkers. J. Reine Angew. Math. 692, 109–123.
[16] Ecker, K., 1993. On mean curvature flow of space-like hypersurfaces in asymptotically flat spacetimes. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 55, 41–59.
[17] Ecker, K., 1997. Interior estimates and longtime solutions for mean curvature flow of noncompact space-like hypersurfaces in Minkowski space. J. Differential Geom. 46, 481–498. URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214459975.
[18] Ecker, K., 2003. Mean curvature flow of space-like hypersurfaces near null initial data. Comm. Anal. Geom. 11, 181–205. URL: https://doi.org/10.4310/CAG.2003.v11.n2.a1.
[19] Federer, H., 1959. Curvature measures. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93, 418–491. URL: https://doi.org/10.2307/1993504.
[20] Fleming, W.H., Rishel, R., 1960. An integral formula for total gradient variation. Arch. Math. (Basel) 11, 218–222. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01236935.
[21] Halldorsson, H.P., 2015. Self-similar solutions to the mean curvature flow in the Minkowski plane \( \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \). J. Reine Angew. Math. 704, 209–243. URL: https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2013-0054.
[22] Huang, R., 2011. Lagrangian mean curvature flow in pseudo-Euclidean space. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 32, 187–200. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11401-011-0639-2.
[23] Huang, R., Ou, Q., Wang, W., 2019. On the entire self-shrinking solutions to lagrangian mean curvature flow ii. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.07713.
[24] Huang, R., Wang, Z., 2011. On the entire self-shrinking solutions to Lagrangian mean curvature flow. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 41, 321–339. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-010-0364-9.
[25] Ishihara, T., 1988. Maximal space-like submanifolds of a pseudo-Riemannian space of constant curvature. Michigan Math. J. 35, 345–352. URL: https://doi.org/10.1371/jmj/1029963815.
[26] Jost, J., Xin, Y.L., 2001. Some aspects of the global geometry of entire space-like submanifolds, volume 40, pp. 233–245. URL: https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2013-0054.
[27] Luo, Y., Qiu, H., 2020. A note on rigidity of space-like self-shrinkers. International J. Math. URL: https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X20501148.
[28] Salavessa, I.M.C., 2008. Space-like graphs with parallel mean curvature. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 15, 65–76. URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.bbms/1203692447.
[29] Simon, J., 1968. Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2) 88, 62–105. URL: https://doi.org/10.2307/1970556.
[30] Simons, J., 1965. Maximal space-like submanifolds of a pseudo-Riemannian space of constant curvature. Michigan Math. J. 35, 345–352. URL: https://doi.org/10.1371/jmj/1029963815.
[31] Xin, Y., 2019. Minimal submanifolds and related topics. volume 16 of Nankai Tracts in Mathematics. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ. Second edition of [ MR2035469].
[32] Xin, Y.L., Ye, R., 1997. Bernstein-type theorems for space-like surfaces with parallel mean curvature. J. Reine Angew. Math. 489, 189–198. URL: https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1997.489.189.
[33] Xin, Y.L., 2011. Mean curvature flow with bounded Gauss image. Results Math. 59, 415–436. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-011-0112-2.
[34] Xin, Y.L., Ye, R., 1997. Bernstein-type theorems for space-like surfaces with parallel mean curvature. J. Reine Angew. Math. 489, 189–198. URL: https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1997.489.189.