Surgical site infections (SSI) continue to be a major problem among the hospital acquired infections. Among the pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) is known to cause SSI since many decades. SSI caused by *Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has become an obstacle in the clinical outcome of patients undergoing surgery. SSI not only affects the patient’s outcome but also increases the burden to the hospital, hence the present study was conducted to know the prevalence of MRSA causing surgical site infection and to know the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the *S. aureus* causing surgical site infection. This study was conducted during June 2015 to May 2016, during which 140 samples were collected of which *E. coli* (32.6%) was the most common pathogen causing SSI followed by *S. aureus* (18.1%). Prevalence of MRSA causing SSI is 13.6%. Most of the isolates were resistant to commonly used antibiotics and choice of antibiotics for treatment were Amoxicillin-clavulanate, Imipenem, Meropenem and Linezolid. MRSA causing SSI continues to be a major challenge for treating surgeons, hence regular screening of health care workers to identify MRSA carriers, strict adherence to preventive measures during preoperative, operative and postoperative period and meticulous infection prevention control practices are the needed to bring down the SSI rates.
isolated from noses of up to 60% of the healthy individuals and can be transmitted from one individual to others through hands and contaminated objects. Thus, if infection control practices are poor it can be easily transmitted among the healthcare workers and patients attending hospital. S.aureus continues to be one of the most common organism causing SSI. The incidence of hospital acquired infections due to MRSA continues to increase with incidence rate in India ranging from 30% to 70%. The present study was done to know the prevalence of MRSA causing SSI and its antibiotic susceptibility pattern in our hospital, which helps to know the prevalence of MRSA causing SSI and also helps in choosing appropriate antibiotics.

The main objectives of this study include to know the prevalence of MRSA causing surgical site infection. And also to know the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the S. aureus causing surgical site infection.

Materials and Methods

Study setup - The present study was conducted at department of Microbiology, JMJ Medical College, Davangere.

Study period and design - The present study is a prospective cross-sectional study which was done during June 2015 to May 2016.

Sample size - Sample size was calculated by Cogent QC system using the prevalence rate of surgical site infections and it was estimated as 140.

Ethical clearance - Ethical clearance was taken prior the start of the study from the institutional ethical committee.

Sample collection, processing, and identification

Patients who have undergone surgery were considered to have SSI according to the criteria set by Centre for Disease Control guidelines (CDC). Suspected patients with SSI who gave informed consent were included in the study; pus samples were collected from them and subjected to Grams staining, culture and sensitivity. Identification of the isolates were done using Grams stain and standard biochemical reactions. Antibiotic susceptibility testing of the isolates was done using Kirby Bauer disc diffusion test on Muller Hinton agar using the following discs- Penicillin (10units), Erythromycin (15μg), Ciprofloxacin (5μg), Cotrimoxazole (25μg), Gentamycin (10μg), Linezolid (30μg), Amoxicillin (20μg), Imipenem (10μg), Cefotaxime (30μg), Cefoxitin (30μg)and interpretation was done according to CLSI guidelines.

Detection of MRSA

Detection of MRSA was done using Cefoxitin disc diffusion test. A 0.5 McFarland standard suspension of the test organism was lawn cultured on Muller Hinton agar plate after which Cefoxitin disc (30µg) was placed and incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours. Zone of inhibition measuring <21 mm were reported as MRSA and those isolates with >22 mm were reported as MSSA.

Results and Discussion

In the present study, pus samples from SSI were collected from 140 patients, out of which suspected to have SSI. 78 (54.4%) were male patients and 62 (45.6%) were female patients.Age of the patients in the study ranged from 9 years to 70 years. Out of 140 samples, 125 samples yielded growth and 15 samples yielded no growth. Out of 125 samples which yielded growth, 13 samples showed polymicrobial growth and 112 samples showed monomicrobial growth, hence a total of 138 isolates were obtained.
The most common pathogen isolated was *E.coli* (32.6%) followed by *S.aureus* (18.1%), *Klebsiella* spp (9.4%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (7.2%), *Proteus* (4.3%) and *Citrobacter* (2.9%). Out of 140 samples, 37 samples (26.4%) were from clean wounds, 28 (20%) from clean contaminated wounds, 61 (43.6%) from contaminated wounds and 14 (10%) from dirty wounds as shown in table 1. 72 samples (51.4%) samples were collected from wounds over the abdominal wall, 40 samples (28.6%) samples were collected from wounds over limbs and few other samples were from wounds over the other areas such as chest, head and neck regions and the ward wise distribution of samples is shown in figure 1. A total of 25 isolates of *S.aureus* were obtained out of which, 8 isolates were MRSA whereas 17 isolates (68%) were identified as MSSA as shown in figure 2. Prevalence of MRSA causing surgical site infection in the present study is 13.6%. All *S.aureus* isolates in our study were sensitive to Linezolid and resistant pattern to other antibiotics is shown in figure 3.

**Table 1** Surgical site infection and type of wound

| Type of wound        | No. of samples collected | No. of culture positive samples |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Clean                | 37                       | 30 (81%)                        |
| Clean contaminated   | 28                       | 22 (78.6%)                      |
| Contaminated         | 61                       | 60 (98.4%)                      |
| Dirty                | 14                       | 13 (92.8%)                      |
| Total                | 140                      | 125 (89.3%)                     |
Based on the site of sample collection SSI was more common from wounds over abdominal wall followed by wounds over the limbs. This explains the increased prevalence of gram negative bacilli causing SSI, as major number of the surgeries in our study involved gastrointestinal tract. SSI were more common in contaminated and dirty wounds, which is self-explanatory as the type of the wound will explain the bacterial load at the surgical site. Similar findings was also found in other studies done by Wanjare, et al\textsuperscript{11} and Rambabu, et al\textsuperscript{12}. In our study diabetes and malignancy were some of the pre-existing illness found in patients having SSI, other pre-existing illness include obesity, immune disorders, prolonged antibiotic use, anaemia, hypertension etc.\textsuperscript{13-15} The most common pathogen causing SSI in our study is \textit{E. coli} (32.6\%) followed by \textit{S. aureus} (18.1\%). \textit{S. aureus} continues to be one among the most common pathogen causing SSI, Rambabu, et al\textsuperscript{12} and Satyam G, et al\textsuperscript{16} also reported \textit{S. aureus} as the most common pathogen causing SSI. Most effective antibiotics against \textit{S. aureus} were Linezolid, Imipenem, Meropenem and Amoxicillin-clavulanate, whereas all the \textit{S. aureus} isolates were resistant to Penicillin, 68\% of them were resistant Erythromycin and 66\% were resistant to Cotrimoxazole. Almost similar results were found in studies done by Krishna, et al\textsuperscript{19}, Negi, V, et al\textsuperscript{20} and Ranjan, et al. Prevalence of MRSA causing SSI in our study is 13.6\%, whereas in other studies done by Ranjan, et al\textsuperscript{17}, Wanjare, V S, et al\textsuperscript{11} and Sultan, A, et al\textsuperscript{18} were found to be 27.9\%, 37.14\% and 39.6\% respectively. In a study done by Bhattacharya, S, et al, SSI were predominantly caused by \textit{S. aureus} (34.93\%), prevalence of MRSA was 25.45\% and all the MRSA isolates were sensitive to Linezolid, other highly sensitive drugs were Levofloxacin and Doxycycline. In a study done by Rao, et al\textsuperscript{8} prevalence of MRSA causing SSI was as high as 66.4\%. In the present study no isolates were found to be
resistant to Linezolid and Vancomycin; other higher antibiotics like Tigecycline, Daptomycin, Quinupristin–Dalfopristin were not tested in our study. Several other studies have showed that MRSA infections are life threatening and it is of great concern because of their resistance to commonly used antibiotics.\(^4\) \(S.\) \(aureus\) continues to be the most common primary aetiological agent causing surgical site infections from past thirty years. It is well known fact that surgical site infection increases the duration of hospital stay, cost of treatment and affects the mortality and morbidity rates.\(^22\) Easy availability of antimicrobial agents, inappropriate use of antibiotics and poor infection control practices could increase the load of multidrug resistant organisms in the community.\(^23,\) \(^24\) Though SSI could not be completely reduced, efforts should be made to bring down the SSI rates to minimum. Strict infection prevention control practices have to be adopted and followed during preoperative, operative and postoperative care. Misuse of antibiotics should be avoided which helps in preventing unnecessary exposure of patients to antibiotics.

In the present study prevalence of MRSA causing SSI is 13.6%. Most of the \(S.\) \(aureus\) isolates are resistant to commonly used antibiotics, of which the choice of antibiotics for treatment were Amoxicillin-clavulanate, Imipenem, Meropenem and Linezolid. SSIs observed in the health care system setups are only the tip of the iceberg, regular surveillance of SSI with antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the isolates are needed to know the trend of SSI. Although SSI cannot be completely eliminated, efforts have to be made to reduce SSI, which helps in bringing down the mortality and morbidity.
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