Characterization of adult male albino rat mammary gland: a histological and serological study

Zienab A. Gouda*, Samah M. Ahmed and Nabila M. Elghonaimy

Abstract

Background: In male animal of most mammals, a rudimentary mammary structure is encountered; however the male albino rat mammary gland structure is unique in displaying marked development and showing great sexual dimorphism.

Aim of the work: Detection of causes and importance of the unique histological and serological features of adult male albino rat’s mammary gland.

Materials and methods: Twenty eight adult healthy albino rats were utilized and separated into male and female groups. Blood samples were collected for serological analysis of estradiol 17β (ERβ), progesterone (PRA) and prolactin (PRL) hormones. Small pieces of the right mammary glands were submitted to tissue epidermal growth factor (EGF), insulin growth factor-I (IGF-I) and transforming growth factor-α (TGF α) analysis. Paraffin sections were prepared for histological; Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) and Masson’s trichrome (MT) staining and immunohistochemical stain of estrogen (ER) and progesterone (PR) receptors. The left glands were prepared for whole mount carmine alum stain technique.

Results: The male group revealed a high statistically significant decrease of PRL hormone and a statistically significant decrease of ERβ hormone levels in comparison to female group. Progesterone hormone serum level showed no statistically significant difference between both groups. A statistically significant decrease regarding tissue EGF, IGF-I and TGFα in male group was detected. Whole mount technique showed a remarkable glandular growth of the male mammary glands with elaborated lobulo-alveolar structure. Short thick interlobular ducts, prominent lateral buds and large terminal lobules were seen. The histological examination of male group revealed less developed stroma in the form of thin capsule and septa, thin periductal fibrous stroma. Lobulo-alveolar structures were predominant in male gland. An intense positive immunohistochemical staining of progesterone receptor was detected in some ductal and stromal cells in male MG.

Conclusion: In albino rat, the male mammary gland was well developed as female despite of the normal levels of the steroid hormones and without drug supplementation or endocrinal disturbance. This condition can provide fundamental information about a physiological type of gynecomastia that does not need hormonal replacement and may need only cosmetic surgical intervention.
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Introduction

The MG has been of great interest to generations of biologists from many disciplines. The structure of the male and female MG can provide a sensitive indicator of endocrinal disturbance [1]. This gland is particularly suited for studying developmental processes as it is readily amenable to experimental manipulation and can be easily accessed. Furthermore in animals, MG tissue is abundant because there are 6 pairs in each animal [2]. In females, a major part of MG development occurs postnatal, with distinct periods of intensive morphogenesis taking place at puberty and during pregnancy and lactation [3]. A course of ductal development includes multiple stages of proliferation and morphogenesis that are largely directed by concurrent alterations in key hormones and growth factors. Estrogen
hormone is responsible for ductal elongation and bifurcation of MG [4]. While, progesterone hormone is responsible for lateral branching and alveologenesis of MG [5]. Further alveologenesis and differentiation of the luminal mammary epithelial cells into milk producing cells during late pregnancy require prolactin hormone [3]. EGF, IGF I and TGFα factors are potent mitogenic factors for both normal and transformed (neoplastic) mammary epithelium either in vivo [6] or in vitro [7].

Histologically, in virgin female animal, MG tissue contains epithelium and stroma. The mammary epithelial cells forming lactiferous ducts are bi-layered epithelium, with the inner luminal cells facing a central cavity and surrounded by the outer basal, myoepithelial cells. It also harbors stem and progenitor cells, which are the source of both luminal and myoepithelial cells [8]. The stroma includes fibrous connective tissue, and a wide variety of cell types, including inter- and intralobular fibroblasts, adipocytes, endothelial cells, and innate immune cells [9].

In male of most mammals, a rudimentary mammary structure is encountered; however the male albino rat MG is unique in displaying marked development (physiological gynecomastia) and in showing great sexual dimorphism [10]. Gynecomastia is a female like enlargement of the male breast resulting from increased parenchyma, stroma or fat. It is a common problem in the male population, particularly in young adults. Enlarged man breast can cause functional problems, psychosocial discomfort and fear of malignancy. So; it is the most common cause for seeking medical advice for a breast condition in men [11]. Despite the fact that there are no great quantitative differences between men and women either in progesterone secretion or serum progesterone levels, a little is known about the physiology, endocrinology, and pharmacology of progesterone and progestins in male gender or men especially in mammary gland development [12].

Being an organ designed to deliver nourishment and passive immunity to mammal’s infants, most discussions of the functional and developmental anatomy and histology of the mammary gland focused on the female and not on the male one [13].

The aim of the present study was to detect causes and importance of mammary gland development in adult male albino rat to relate this normal appearance to gynecomastia in human. We hypothesized that histological examination of mammary glands in both sexes via whole mount carmine alum technique; H&E and MT stains will clarify structural differences. Moreover, analysis of serum levels of estrogen, progesterone and prolactin hormones and EGF, IGF I and TGFα tissue proteins and immune-staining for ER and PR could explain the causes of male mammary gland proliferation. We expected that the levels of tested steroid hormones and growth factors of both sexes may be equal or show slight differences.

Materials and methods

In this study, 28 adult albino rats of average weight 200-220 gm were used. The animals were obtained from the animal house, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt. The animals were kept under strict care and cleaning measures. They were housed in hygienic cages according to the guidelines for animal research issued by the National Institute of Health and approved by Animal Ethics Committee, Zagazig University.

Chemicals

For whole mount carmine alum staining

Carmine (Aldrich Chemical Co Ltd, Gillingham Dorset England) and aluminum potassium sulfate (BDH Chemicals, Ltd, Poole, England) were purchased from Algomhuria co (Mohafza st, Zagazig, Egypt).

For hematoxylin and eosin staining

Harris hematoxylin (Park Scientific Limited, Northampton, UK) and Eosin (Sigma-Aldrich chemie, Steinheim, Germany) were purchased from Algomhuria Co (Mohafza st, Zagazig, Egypt).

For immunohistochemical staining

Anti-progesterone and estrogen antibodies, (Novacastra Laboratories Ltd, UK) were purchased from Sigma office (Egyptian International Center for Import, Cairo, Egypt). Mayer’s hematoxylin (Sigma-Aldrich chemie, Steinheim, Germany) were purchased from Algomhuria co (Mohafza st, Zagazig, Egypt).

Experimental procedure

The rats were separated into two groups: Female group: 14 rats. Male group: 14 rats.

The female group was sacrificed at estrus stage under anesthesia by intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbitone sodium 60 mg/kg [14]. To determine the estrus stage in female rats, they were co-cycled for preparation of vaginal smears. The vaginal smears were taken via vaginal lavage with distilled water and were transferred to glass slides and allowed to dry at room temperature. Once dry, they were immediately stained with crystal violet stain. Microscopically, three types of cells could be recognized: epithelial cells, cornified cells and leukocytes. Prominence of cornified cells indicated the estrus stage [15].

Serological analysis of serum ERB, PRA and PRL hormones

Venous blood samples were withdrawn from the retro-orbital sinus by capillary tube and collected in clean glass centrifuge tubes. Blood samples were allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 minutes and then, the sera were stored at −20°C [16].

Histochemical analysis of EGF, IGF I and TGFα tissue protein

Small biopsies of the right mammary glands were weighed, homogenized in 20 volumes (wt/vol) of PBS at 37°C and centrifuged at 15,000 X gm for 20 min at 4°C; then, the supernatants were stored at −20°C [16]. Both serological and histochemical
analyses were done in (Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt).

**Whole mount carmine alum staining technique**
The left dissected mammary glands were immediately spread on large glass slides, fixed in Carnoy’s fixative (25% glacial acetic acid, 75% absolute ethanol), at room temperature in fume hood for 2 days then, washed in 70% ethyl alcohol for 1 hour, rinsed in distilled water (DW) for 30 min, stained in carmine alum stain for 2 days, dehydrated in ascending grades of alcohol and cleared in xylene at least for 2 days. To prepare carmine alum stain; 1 gm carmine and 2.5 gm aluminum potassium sulfate in 450 ml distilled water was boiled for 20 min. Final volume was adjusted to 500 ml with DW [17].

**Histological study**
In both sexes, the remaining parts of right fourth, fifth and sixth inguinal mammary glands were fixed overnight in 10% neutral buffered formalin and processed into paraffin blocks. They were cut into 5μm sections and stained with H&E, MT [18] and immunohistochemical procedure [19].

**Immunohistochemistry technique**
To examine the distribution of Progesterone and Estrogen receptors, streptavidin-biotin immunoperoxidase system was used. In brief, deparaffinized sections 3 μm thick were rehydrated in a descending graded alcohol series and washed twice with distilled water for 5 min each time. Antigen retrieval was conducted for all sections using heat–induced epitope retrieval, through immersion of tissue sections in citrate buffer, pH 6.0, autoclaved at 105°C, for 20 min. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked by incubating sections in 3% H2O2 in absolute methanol for 30 min at 4°C, followed by flushing with water. After blocking solution treatment (biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse secondary antibody), the sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody for ER and PR (monoclonal antibodies of estrogen and progesterone) diluted in 0.01 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The sections for negative controls were incubated in 0.01 M PBS without primary antibody. Finally, the mammary glands were visualized with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride H2O2 solution for 3 min. Sections were washed in distilled water, and finally counterstained slightly with Mayer’s hematoxylin [19].

**Morphometric analysis**
The image analyzer computer system Leica Qwin 500 (Leica Imaging system, Ltd, Cambridge, England) was used to measure the terminal ductules thickness using whole mount carmine alum stained slides (Pathology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt).

**Statistical analysis**
Serum levels of steroid hormones, tissue levels of growth factors and terminal ductules thickness were statistically analyzed. The obtained data were expressed as mean values ± standard deviation and analyzed using unpaired student’s T-test. Difference was considered to be significant at P<0.05 between groups.

**Results**

**Serological results**
The male group revealed a high statistically significant decrease of PRL hormone (P<0.001) and a statistically significant decrease of ERB hormone levels (P<0.05) in comparison to female group. Progesterone hormone serum level showed no statistically significant (P>0.05) difference between both groups (Table 1) and (Histogram 1). Statistically significant decreases regarding tissue EGF, IGF I and TGFα in male group were detected (Table 2) and (Histogram 2).

**Whole-mount results**
The whole-mount carmine alum stained slides showed that the ductal tree of the female MG reached the edge of the fat pad (Figure 1a). The ductal tree of male MG nearly filled half of the fat pad (Figure 1b). In the female mammary gland, less extensively branched lactiferous ductal system was detected. The primary ducts were slightly thick and relatively short. The secondary ducts were thinner and longer than the primary ducts. The tertiary ducts were numerous, long, very thin and nearly formed the ductal tree (Figure 2a). A thin long tertiary duct bifurcated at its end into subducting duct that further bifurcates into long thin terminal ducts interlacing with large amount of fat pad (Figure 2b). In the male mammary gland, less extensively branched ductal

| Hormones          | Female                          | Male                          | T test | P Value |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Estradiol 17β (pg/ml) | 40.2±10 19.2-55.8               | 8.9±2.3 4.6-11.1             | 9.965  | 0.013*  |
| Progesterone (ng/ml) | 32.4±16 14.8-64.4               | 29.7±9 11.4-39.8             | 8.18   | 0.554   |
| Prolactin (pg/ml) | 84.9±10 64.9-104.9              | 25.8±7 11.4-39.8             | 234.42 | <0.001**|

This table shows a highly significant difference of Prolactin level as P<0.001, and a significant difference of Estradiol 17β level as P<0.05. A non significant difference of Progesterone level between both groups is detected as P>0.05.

---

Table 1. Statistical analysis of serum levels of ERB, PRA and PRL hormones.
Table 2. Statistical analysis of tissue EGF, IGF I and TGFα in both groups.

| Factors | Female | Male | T test | P Value |
|---------|--------|------|--------|---------|
| EGF     | 0.48±0.1 0.28-0.68 | 0.24±0.01 0.22-0.26 | 7.55 | 0.023*  |
| IGF I   | 0.63±0.1 0.23-1.03 | 0.53±0.1 0.33-0.73 | 5.0  | 0.036*  |
| TGFα    | 0.25±0.04 0.21-0.33 | 0.12±0.01 0.1-0.14 | 9.97 | 0.01*   |

This table shows significant differences regarding tissue EGF, IGF I and TGFα as P<0.05.

Histological results

H&E

Examination H&E stained sections of female gland showed thick capsule and vascular adipose connective tissue stroma containing ducts (Figure 5a). Scattered tubular duct system was surrounded by thick dense eosinophilic fibrous stroma which was full of fibroblasts (Figure 5b). The male gland showed less developed stroma, thin capsule and thin periductal fibrous stroma. Many alveoli and inguinal lymph node were also seen (Figure 5c). Lobulo-alveolar structures were predominant in male gland (Figure 5d).

The female mammary gland ducts were lined by stratified epithelium. The luminal cells were cuboidal and had large central rounded nuclei. The basal cells were flat with flat nuclei. Flat nuclei of fibroblasts were also seen in the fibrous stroma (Figure 5a). The male mammary gland large ducts were lined by multiple layers of large vacuolated luminal epithelial cells. Their nuclei were large and rounded. Luminal and ductal apoptotic cells and acidophilic secretion filling the lumen were detected. Thin layer of fibrous stroma around the ducts was seen.
Figure 2. The female (a,b) and male (c,d) mammary glands according to whole mount Carmine alum stain.
(a): Showing extensively branching lactiferous duct (arrow head) in an apparent mass of fat pad (fp). The primary ducts (arrows) are slightly thick and relatively short. The secondary ducts (double arrows) are thin and long. The tertiary ducts (curved arrows) are numerous, long, very thin and nearly formed the ductal tree. [Carmine Alum stain, X10. Bar, 1.2mm].
(b): Showing a thin long tertiary duct (arrow) bifurcating into subtending ducts (st) which bifurcates into long thin terminal ducts (arrow heads) interlacing with fat pad (fp). [Carmine Alum stain, X40. Bar, 2.4mm].
(c): Showing less branched ductal tree in a little mass of fat pad (fp) nearly reached the edge (arrow heads). The primary, secondary and tertiary ducts are indistinct and nearly of the same thickness and length while the terminal ducts are well defined (arrows). [Carmine Alum stain, X10. Bar, 1.2mm].
(d): Showing thick subtending ducts (st) arborized into irregular outlined short ducts that end as small lobules (arrows). Their walls have irregular tiny lateral lobulation (arrow heads). [Carmine Alum stain, X40. Bar, 1.8mm].

Figure 3. The mammary gland of female rat according to whole-mount carmine alum stain: Showing smooth outlined terminal ducts (arrow heads). The terminal bulbous end buds (tb) and lateral buds (lb) have thin stalks (curved arrows). [Carmine Alum stain, X80. Bar, 4.8mm].

Figure 4. The mammary gland of male rat according to whole-mount carmine alum stain: Showing large irregularly outlined (arrow heads) lobules (lo) at the end of terminal ductules (td). [Carmine Alum stain, X80. Bar, 4.8mm].

Thin outlined white unilocular adipocytes with large cytoplasmic fat droplets and peripheral nuclei surrounded the fibrous stroma (Figure 6b). Thick ductal fibrous stroma surrounded the small ducts and numerous small blood vessels were observed (Figure 6c). Very thin stroma and a few numbers of fibroblasts around the small ducts which were lined by two layers of vacuolated cells with rounded nuclei were seen. Deeply acidophilic secretion filling the lumen was also seen (Figure 6d).

Masson's trichrome
The female mammary gland showed thick layer collagen fibers around the blood vessels and ducts (Figure 7a). In male group, the alveoli were devoid of connective tissue. The collagen fibers around the ducts were thin while, around blood vessels were thick (Figures 7b and 7c).
Figure 5. The structure of female (a,b) and male (c,d) mammary gland sections according to H&E stain. (a): Showing thick capsule (cap), vascular stroma (bv) and ducts (d). [H&E, X100. Bar, 100µm]. (b): Showing scattered duct system surrounded by dense eosinophilic stroma (s) which is full of fibroblasts (arrows). [H&E, X400. Bar, 100µm]. (c): Showing thin capsule, thin periductal fibrous stroma (arrow head), many alveoli and inguinal lymph node (LN). [H&E, X100. Bar, 100µm]. (d): Showing lobulo-alveolar structure (arrows) predominant in male gland. [H&E, X400. Bar, 100µm].

Immunohistochemical results
The female mammary gland showed positive reaction for progesterone in some ductal cells (Figure 8a) and a dense positive reaction for estrogen in most of ductal cells (Figure 8b). In male group, patchy distributed pattern was seen for progesterone positive reaction in some ductal epithelial cells, in luminal secretion and in fibroblastic stromal cells. A negative reaction for estrogen in the ductal epithelium and a weak positive reaction in periductal stroma were observed (Figures 8c and 8d).

Discussion
In most mammals, the male mammary gland is regarded as a rudimentary organ without ascribed functions; as a result, research on the development and endocrine responses of male mammary glands has been limited [20]. In female, the MG is an important tool for examining some developmental, endocrinial and tumor biological aspects [21]. So, most discussions of the functional and developmental anatomy and histology of the mammary gland were focused on the female and not on the male [10]. Determination of estrous cycle stages is emerging as an important consideration when working on female animals in several research areas. In the present work, the time of sacrifice was at the estrus stage in the female group [15]. Unlike all other stages, during estrus stage, the rat serum prolactin was found to be the highest as determined by enzyme immunoassay. Additionally, it represents the most differentiated mammary gland state [21]. Necessity of Prolactin [22] and Progesterone [23] for lobulo-alveolar development of female MG was reported. So, blood samples from both groups were collected to determine concentrations of hormones known to be involved in mammary gland growth and differentiation. Despite of a highly statistically significant decrease in Prolactin hormone and a non significant decrease in Progesterone serum levels in
male rats, their mammary glands exhibited lobulo-alveolar secretory parenchyma. Knight and Peaker [24] referred this unique sex-specific morphology of rat male MG to tissue sensitivity that increases the susceptibility and responsiveness of mammary gland to various endogenous hormonal stimuli. Their explanation was confirmed by our data obtained from immunostained sections in male glands (intense positive immunoeexpression to progesterone receptors in all parenchyma).

In the current study, EGF, IGF I and TGFα tissue protein, were measured as these factors were documented as potent mitogenic factors for both normal and neoplastic mammary epithelium either in vivo [7] or in vitro [8]. Interestingly, a significant increase in these factors was detected in female group in comparison to male group.

It is well known that both estrogen [25] and epidermal growth factor [26] are responsible for extensive branching and ductal elongation of the mammary glands. In addition, progesterone is responsible for lobulo-alveolar development [27]. In the current work, immuno-staining of PRA and ER β receptors were carried out aiming to reveal the exact causes of male mammary growth in rats. A striking observation was the strong positive expression of PR in male mammary luminal epithelial cells, luminal secretion and peri-alveolar stroma. Similar patterns of expression were observed for PRA in human female mammary gland [28]. The presence of PRA all over the mammary cells may provide a clue for lobulo-alveolar predominance in male glands. In the male group of present work, the negative immunoexpression to ER in the luminal epithelium and the weak positive reaction to ER in periductal stroma can be correlated with the stunted ductal growth of the male mammary ductal tree [25].

Whole-mount technique is considered the most reliable technique used to visualize epithelial ductal and alveolar structures against a translucent fatty background as it readily reveals the extent of ductal elongation, branching, and alveolar development and allows strict quantitative measurements [17]. Based upon analysis of whole-mount slides, the male MG ducts were short, with irregular outline and nearly of the same thickness and length. The terminal ductules were well defined. These data were in accordance with Shyamala [23]. He recorded thick short ducts with extensive lateral branching and large numbers of terminal buds in transgenic mice with an excess PRA expression. Also, in knocked out estrogen receptor α animals, hypoplastic development of the epithelial tree was demonstrated [25]. The limited branching and length of male mammary ducts were related to decreased periductal collagenous connective tissue stroma [29]. Furthermore, estrogen drives extensive growth and branching of female mammary gland [25].

The development of the mammary ductal tree depends on stromal–epithelial interactions, and these interactions are important in embryonic and postnatal evolvement. The stromal compartment is composed of mesenchymal cells (fibroblasts and leukocytes) and extra-cellular matrix as laminin,
fibronectin, collagen and proteoglycans [30]. In male albino rats, less developed stroma in the form of thin capsule and thin peri ductal stroma around the large ducts were in accordance with [1]. They suggested that extra-cellular matrix is involved in the interactions between the mammary cells and their environment.

The ducts of male mammary gland showed two different lining epithelium in relation to their size: the large ducts were lined by multiple layers of vacuolated luminal epithelial cells with large and rounded nuclei. The smaller ducts were lined by doubled layers of low epithelial cells. These features in male mammary gland structure were documented [31]. These features referred probably to a higher sensitivity of male rat mammary tissue to steroid hormones than other male mammals e.g., mice [32]. A completely different picture of male mammary glands in other male rodents was recorded. Their mammary glands were described as a rudimentary mammary glandular tissue [3].

The cells lined large male mammary ducts were characterized by abundant foamy eosinophilic cytoplasm containing distinct variable sized vacuoles. Their lumina were mostly contained evidence of secretory activity with apoptotic bodies and fragmented epithelial cells. These histological changes were identified in rats’ female mammary gland after Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) treatment [33]. They showed that, the potent stimulatory effects of androgens on lobuloalveolar as well as ductal development in the female rat mammary gland are due to intracrine conversion of DHEA into active sex steroids with predominant or even possibly exclusive androgenic activity in the mammary gland. They added that local formation of androgen and estrogen through intracrine activity in rats plays a major role in these histological features.

Masson’s trichrome staining revealed that the male peri ductal stroma was much reduced relative to that in female, especially around small ducts and alveoli. The previous hypotheses proposed that the mammary gland development and branching is partially dependent on the amount of extracellular matrix [34,35].

Gynecomastia is a proliferation of the glandular component of the male breast. In most cases, it is a pathological condition in response to increased level of estrogen or decreased level of testosterone [36], drugs e.g., ethionamide [37], spironolactone, cimetidine and ketoconazole [38] or increased endogenous Prolactin [39]. In the current work, evaluated steroidal hormones were within normal levels in both groups.

Conclusion
The results of the present study concluded that proliferation of male albino rat MG occurred despite of normal levels of its serum steroid hormones and without drug supplementation or any endocrinal disturbance. This condition can provide fundamental information about a physiological type of gynecomastia that does not need hormonal correction and may need only cosmetic surgical intervention. We recommended immuno-staining of breast biopsy in diagnosed cases of gynecomastia in men. In addition, further serological and histological investigations of male rat mammary tissue are needed to strengthen our data.
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