Vasopressin ameliorates hypotension induced by beach chair positioning in a dose-dependent manner in patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery under general anesthesia
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Background: The beach chair position (BCP) is associated with hypotension that may lead to cerebral ischemia. Arginine vasopressin (AVP), a potent vasoconstrictor, has been shown to prevent hypotension in BCP. It also improves cerebral oxygenation in different animal models. The present study examined the effect of escalating doses of AVP on systemic hemodynamics and cerebral oxygenation during surgery in BCP under general anesthesia.

Methods: Sixty patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery in BCP under general anesthesia were randomly allocated to receive either saline (control, n = 15) or three different doses of AVP (0.025, 0.05, or 0.075 U/kg; n = 15 each) 2 minutes before BCP. Mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), regional cerebral oxygen saturation (SctO2), and jugular venous oxygen saturation (SjvO2) were measured after induction of anesthesia and before (presitting in supine position) and after BCP.

Results: AVP per se given before BCP increased MAP, and decreased SjvO2, SctO2, and HR in all patients (P < 0.05 for all). BCP decreased MAP, the magnitude of which and hence the incidence of hypotension was decreased by AVP in a dose-dependent manner. While in BCP, every dose of AVP reduced the HR and SctO2. Accordingly, it increased the incidence of cerebral desaturation (> 20% SctO2 decrease from the baseline value) with no differences in SjvO2 and the incidence of SjvO2 < 50% or SjvO2 < 40% among the groups.

Conclusions: AVP ameliorates hypotension associated with BCP in a dose-dependent manner in patients undergoing shoulder surgery under general anesthesia. However, AVP may have negative effects on SctO2 before and after BCP and on SjvO2 before BCP.
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Introduction

The beach chair position (BCP) is commonly used in many institutions for patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery [1]. However, besides the increased risk of air embolism, the position is often associated with hemodynamic instability which may lead to cerebral hypoperfusion and catastrophic neurological outcomes [2]. Brain and spinal cord ischemia [3], transient visual loss, ophthalmoplegia, and acute hemiplegia [4] have been documented following surgery in BCP.
Hypotension occurring in response to anesthesia or postural changes under general anesthesia is usually treated by ephedrine or phenylephrine. However, their duration of action is relatively short, often requiring repetitive administration to raise the blood pressure [5,6]. Moreover, phenylephrine reduces frontal lobe oxygenation as measured using near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) as opposed to ephedrine [7]. A possible concern about cerebral vasoconstriction and consequently reduced cerebral blood flow (CBF) has been raised after the use of α-agonists such as phenylephrine or norepinephrine.

Arginine vasopressin (AVP), a potent vasoconstrictor, is being increasingly used to counteract hypotension in the perioperative setting [8,9]. It improves CBF and cerebral oxygen delivery in different animal models [10,11], although its effect on cerebral oxygenation is controversial. Hypotension occurring in patients who change from the supine position to the BCP may persist for up to 30 min [2], while the pressor effect of AVP lasts for about 30 min and it has a plasma half-life of 10–20 min [9]. Therefore, AVP may be an attractive vasoressor to counteract hypotension associated with BCP.

Indeed, a recent study demonstrated that AVP prevented BCP-induced hypotension and hence reduced the requirement of vasopressors in patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery under general anesthesia [12]. The use of AVP, however, was associated with reduction infronatal lobe oxygeonation (SctO₂) as measured by NIRS and reduction in jugular venous oxygen saturation (SjvO₂) [12], suggesting a concomitant reduction in the CBF probably due to cerebral vasoconstriction.

Different doses of AVP may yield different hemodynamic and cerebral oxygenation effects. However, a dose–effect relationship has not been determined with respect to the effect of AVP on MAP, jugular venous desaturation, or NIRS-determined cerebral desaturation. The present study aimed to determine the effects of escalating doses of AVP on MAP, SjvO₂, and SctO₂ in patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery under general anesthesia. Jugular venous oxygen saturation was measured by using jugular venous oximetry, and regional cerebral oxygen saturation was measured by using NIRS.

Materials and Methods

Following approval by the Institutional Ethics Committee, written informed consents were obtained from 60 patients scheduled to undergo elective arthroscopic shoulder surgery in BCP under general anesthesia. Exclusion criteria included preexisting neurological diseases, age <18 years, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status IV or V, myocardial ischemia, and refusal to participate in the study. The patients were assigned to receive either saline (control, n = 15) or one of the three AVP doses (0.025, 0.05, 0.075 U/kg; n = 15 each) based on a computer-generated randomization list.

All patients received premedication (midazolam 0.1 mg/kg) and their usual medications orally one hour before being transported to the operating room, with the exception of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) or angiotensin II receptor antagonists (AII\RAs), which were discontinued on the day of surgery. After wrapping the lower limbs with support stockings, an intravenous (IV) cannula was inserted into the antecubital vein and lactated Ringer’s solution 10 ml/kg, followed by an infusion at the rate of 10 ml/kg/h, was administered before patients assumed the sitting position. Upon arrival in the operating room, a 20-gauge catheter was inserted into the radial artery to monitor blood pressure and to take blood samples. The pressure transducer was placed at the mid-axillary level when patients were in the supine position, and it was placed at the external ear canal level when patients were in BCP [13]. A standard Bispectral Index (BIS) electrode montage (Aspect Medical Systems, Natick, MA, USA) was applied on the left forehead before induction of anesthesia, and BIS was measured continuously using an Aspect BIS A-2000 monitor version 3.31 (Aspect Medical Systems). Frontal lobe SctO₂ was monitored by NIRS with an INVOS 5100B cerebral oximeter (Somanetics, Troy, MI, USA).

A standardized induction technique was performed: after full preoxygenation, anesthesia was induced with an effect-site target-controlled infusion (TCI) of propofol and remifentanil. After IV administration of rocuronium 0.8 mg/kg, the trachea was intubated and the lungs were mechanically ventilated with 50% oxygen-air adjusted to maintain the end-tidal carbon dioxide tension between 35 and 40 mmHg. TCI effect-site concentrations of propofol were also adjusted to achieve a BIS reading of 40–50, and the concentrations of remifentanil were adjusted to maintain MAP within 20% of the preinduction value. Propofol and remifentanil were used in this study to maintain general anesthesia because they preserve cerebral autoregulation [14], cerebrovascular carbon dioxide reactivity [15], and CBF–cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen coupling [16], and they do not possess an intrinsic cerebral vasodilating effect, which may occur with inhalation anesthetic agents [17].

Standard monitoring was used throughout the procedure, including electrocardiography, invasive arterial monitoring, pulse oximetry, capnography, BIS monitoring, and measurement of core temperature via an esophageal probe. Neuromuscular blockade was carefully controlled by train-of-four monitoring, and additional boluses of rocuronium (10 mg boluses) were administered to maintain one twitch response during the surgical procedure. For continuous monitoring of SjvO₂ and blood sampling, a central venous oximetry catheter (PreSep™ Oximetry Catheter; Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) connected to the Vigileo™ monitor (Edwards Lifesciences) was positioned by using the Seldinger technique under direct ultrasound visualiza-
tion so that the tip was in the jugular bulb contralateral to the side of surgery.

Approximately 20 min after anesthesia induction, when hemodynamics became stable, the head was secured in a neutral position to ensure that cerebral venous drainage was not impaired, and one of the three AVP doses (Pitressin, Parke-Davis, USA) or an equal volume of saline (controls) was given as an IV bolus over 20 sec. Beach-chair positioning at 65–75° from the horizontal plane was achieved with the use of a dedicated beach-chair table. The dose of AVP was chosen based on the earlier study which used an IV bolus of 0.07 U/kg to prevent hypotension in BCP surgery [12]. TCI effect-site concentrations of propofol and remifentanil were achieved using a TCI device. The surgery began approximately 20 min after positioning when hemodynamics had become stable.

MAP and HR were recorded before induction of anesthesia. Simultaneously, peripheral arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂), SctO₂, and BIS values were measured in patients while breathing room air. These variables (MAP, HR, BIS, and SctO₂) and SjvO₂ were recorded before administration of the study drug (postinduction, baseline values), before (presitting in supine position) and every min after sitting position for 15 min, and then every 5 min for another 15 min. The magnitudes of maximum changes in SjvO₂ after positioning were determined by calculating the differences between SjvO₂ measured after induction of anesthesia (baseline) and the lowest SjvO₂ value observed within 15 min after the positioning. Jugular bulb oxygen desaturation was defined as a SjvO₂ value of less than 50% lasting >5 min under conditions of normal catheter light intensity [18], and cerebral oxygen desaturation was defined as a decline in SctO₂ more than 20% from post-induction baseline values for >15 sec [19]. A fall in SctO₂ of greater than 20% following clamping in patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy surgery is known to be associated in most patients with marked deterioration in cerebral function [19]. Hypotension was defined as MAP less than 50 mmHg, measured at the level of the external auditory canal. When hypotension occurred, it was treated with a bolus of ephedrine (8 mg) or phenylephrine (100 µg) and rapid fluid infusion. Vasopressor therapy was repeated every 2 min if hypotension persisted or recurred. The number and duration of episodes of cerebral and jugular bulb oxygen desaturation and hypotension and the total dose of ephedrine or phenylephrine were recorded. Upon completion of the surgery, the anesthetic was discontinued, and residual neuromuscular block was antagonized with pyridostigmine 15 mg and glycopyrrolate 0.4 mg. Estimated blood loss and amount of fluid or blood administered during the surgery were recorded. At a postoperative visit on the evening of surgery, the patient was assessed neurologically by gross motor and sensory neurological evaluation and gross cognitive evaluation.

Statistical analysis

Using the Cochran–Armitage test for trend in proportions, a sample size of 12 patients per group was determined based on four groups with AVP dosage values of 0, 0.025, 0.05, and 0.075 U/kg and proportions of hypotension equal to 0.6, 0.4, 0.25, and 0.1, respectively. Our calculations indicated that a total sample size of 48 subjects would give 90% power to detect a linear trend using a two-sided Z-test with continuity correction and a significance level of 0.05 (TrialSize package in R software version 3.1.2.). Taking into account possible dropouts, about 15 patients were recruited in each group.

Data are expressed as number or mean ± SD. They were analyzed using StatView software version 4.0 (Abacus Concepts Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA). The patient characteristics and complication rates were compared among groups using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the χ² test. Serial changes in cardiovascular, SctO₂, and SjvO₂ data were analyzed using the 2-way repeated measures ANOVA, with time as a within-group factor, group (saline/AVP) as a between-factors measure, and an interaction between time and group. Dunnett’s test was used for multiple pairwise comparisons when a significant difference was indicated. Normal distribution of continuous variables was determined using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (all P values > 0.05).

Results

Seventy-one patients undergoing arthroscopic shoulder surgery under general anesthesia were assessed for eligibility to enroll the study. Fig. 1 shows the CONSORT flow chart illustrating patient recruitment. Data analysis was performed in 4 groups comprising 60 patients. Demographic and intraoperative data did not differ among the groups (Table 1). Nor did total sitting time, intraoperative fluid requirement, and amount of blood loss differ among the groups.

Table 2 shows preoperative hemodynamics and peripheral oxygen saturation, and intraoperative blood gas data. These parameters did not differ significantly among the groups. Effect-site TCI concentrations of propofol ranged between 1.5 µg/ml and 4.0 µg/ml, and those of remifentanil ranged between 0.5 ng/ml and 6.0 ng/ml. No gross neurological or cognitive deficits were identified postoperatively in any group.

Hemodynamic data after induction of anesthesia, and before (presitting value) and after BCP are depicted in Fig. 2. After anesthetic induction, MAP decreased significantly (P < 0.05) with no significant alterations in HR in each group. AVP increased MAP by 20–36% (P < 0.0001) in a dose-dependent manner and decreased HR by 10–14% (P < 0.05). When positioned sitting upright, MAP decreased in each group, although the magnitude of decrease was less with administration of larger AVP doses.
### Table 1. Demographic and Intraoperative Variables

|                      | Control | AVP 0.025 | AVP 0.05 | AVP 0.075 | P value |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Gender (male/female) | 6/9     | 3/12      | 5/10     | 8/7       | 0.292   |
| Age (yr)             | 58 ± 10 | 62 ± 7    | 65 ± 8   | 60 ± 8    | 0.155   |
| Weight (kg)          | 63 ± 10 | 59 ± 9    | 59 ± 11  | 60 ± 14   | 0.792   |
| Catheter placed (right/left) | 5/10 | 4/11      | 2/13     | 2/13      | 0.449   |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)    | 14 ± 1  | 14 ± 1    | 13 ± 2   | 14 ± 1    | 0.480   |
| Underlying diseases  |         |           |          |           |         |
| Hypertension         | 4       | 5         | 7        | 5         | 0.707   |
| Diabetes             | 5       | 2         | 1        | 3         | 0.273   |
| Preoperative medications |       |           |          |           |         |
| β-blockers           | 1       | 1         | 2        | 0         | 0.543   |
| Calcium channel blockers | 5     | 4         | 3        | 3         | 0.807   |
| ACEI or AIIRA        | 2       | 1         | 3        | 4         | 0.494   |
| Inotropics administered |       |           |          |           |         |
| Number of patients   | 11      | 6         | 4        | 2         | 0.006   |
| Ephedrine/phenylephrine | 6/5 | 3/3       | 1/3      | 1/1       | 0.789   |
| Sitting position time (min) | 168 ± 53 | 147 ± 66 | 186 ± 59 | 187 ± 51 | 0.188   |
| Fluid administered (L) | 1.60 ± 0.50 | 1.32 ± 0.63 | 1.79 ± 0.53 | 1.59 ± 0.39 | 0.111   |
| Blood loss (ml)      | 168 ± 70 | 132 ± 82  | 148 ± 61 | 155 ± 43  | 0.500   |

Data are mean ± SD or numbers. AVP: arginine vasopressin. ACEI: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; AIIRA: angiotensin II receptor antagonist.

### Table 2. Preoperative Hemodynamic and Intraoperative Arterial Blood Gas Data

|                      | Control | AVP 0.025 | AVP 0.05 | AVP 0.075 | P value |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 101 ± 11 | 102 ± 13 | 105 ± 11 | 105 ± 13 | 0.699   |
| Heart rate (beats/min)    | 66 ± 15 | 67 ± 11  | 68 ± 12  | 65 ± 9   | 0.921   |
| SpO₂ (%)                | 97 ± 2  | 97 ± 2   | 97 ± 2   | 97 ± 2   | 0.640   |
| SctO₂ (%)               | 67 ± 4  | 69 ± 6   | 66 ± 6   | 69 ± 8   | 0.414   |
| PaCO₂ (mmHg)            | 40 ± 3  | 40 ± 5   | 38 ± 2   | 38 ± 3   | 0.272   |

Data are mean ± SD or numbers. AVP: arginine vasopressin, SpO₂: peripheral arterial saturation of oxygen, SctO₂: regional cerebral tissue oxygen saturation, PaCO₂: arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide.
AVP prevents hypotension in BCP

(2-way ANOVA: significant main effect of time [P < 0.0001], significant main effect of group [P < 0.001], and significant interaction between time and group [P < 0.0001] in the AVP 0.075 and AVP 0.05 groups; significant main effect of time [P < 0.0001], significant main effect of group [P = 0.017], and no significant interaction between time and group [P = 0.079] in the AVP 0.025 group; Fig. 2A). In addition, an earlier onset (within 5 min vs. after 5 min in BCP) and more frequent episodes of hypotension which needed vasopressors were noted in the control group than in the AVP groups.

Although HR remained unaltered in the control group, it was progressively decreased by BCP in each AVP-treated group (2-way ANOVA: significant main effect of time [P < 0.0001], significant main effect of group [P = 0.0395], and significant interaction between time and group [P < 0.0001] in the AVP 0.075 group; significant main effect of time [P = 0.0263], no significant main effect of group [P = 0.0673], and no significant interaction between time and group [P = 0.0304] in the AVP 0.05 group; significant main effect of time [P = 0.0296], no significant main effect of group [P = 0.0958], and no significant interaction between time and group [P = 0.1909] in the AVP 0.025 group; Fig. 2B).

Fig. 3 shows SjvO₂ values after induction of anesthesia, and before and after BCP. Before AVP administration, SjvO₂ (post-induction baseline) values were similar among the groups. SjvO₂ values decreased by 3.7 ± 4.3%, 6.5 ± 5.0%, and 8.9 ± 3.5% after AVP administration in the AVP 0.025, AVP 0.05, and AVP 0.075 groups than in the control group (P < 0.05).

Fig. 2. Mean arterial pressure (MAP, A) and heart rate (HR, B) after moving to the beach chair position in the patients who were given saline (control) or arginine vasopressin (AVP) 0.025 (AVP 0.025), 0.05 (AVP 0.05) or 0.075 U/kg (AVP 0.075) under general anesthesia. Values are means ± SD. BS = after induction of anesthesia. Presitting values after saline or AVP administration in the supine position are shown at time 0. MAP was significantly higher from presitting to 20 min into BCP (P < 0.0001) in the AVP 0.05 and AVP 0.075 groups, and at presitting and from 13 min to 20 min (P < 0.05) into BCP in the AVP 0.025 group, and HR was significantly slower from 13 minutes into BCP to the end of study in the AVP 0.05 and AVP 0.075 groups than in the control group (P < 0.05).

Fig. 3. Jugular venous oxygen saturation (SjvO₂) after moving to the beach chair position in patients given saline (control) or arginine vasopressin (AVP) 0.025 (AVP 0.025), 0.05 (AVP 0.05), or 0.075 U/kg (AVP 0.075) under general anesthesia. Values are means ± SD. BS = after induction of anesthesia. Presitting values after saline or AVP administration in the supine position are shown at time 0. *P < 0.05 vs. postinduction baseline values; †P < 0.05 vs. the control group. There was a significant decrease in SjvO₂ after AVP and a further decrease after the positioning in all groups. However, among the 4 groups, there was no significant difference at any time point during the experiment except for significantly lower SjvO₂ values at presitting in the AVP 0.05 and AVP 0.075 groups compared with the control group.
groups, respectively (P < 0.0001 for all). While in BCP, they decreased less from presitting values in the AVP-treated groups than in the control group (13–15% vs. ~23%, P = 0.0004). The magnitude of maximum decreases in SjvO2 in BCP (17–24%) was comparable among the groups (P = 0.065). Two-way ANOVA revealed no significant effects of AVP on SjvO2 in any AVP-treated groups (P = 0.219).

Fig. 4 shows SctO2 values after induction of anesthesia, and before and after BCP. They were similar before (P = 0.414) and after (post-induction, baseline) (P = 0.172) induction of anesthesia. They decreased by 6–9% following the administration of AVP (P < 0.01) and were further decreased by BCP in all AVP-treated groups (P < 0.0001). They were also decreased by BCP in the control group (P < 0.0001). Two-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of AVP on SctO2 in all AVP-treated groups (P < 0.0001 for all) without inter-group differences.

The adverse effects are shown in Fig. 5. Hypotension (MAP < 50 mmHg) occurred and vasopressors were used less frequently after larger AVP doses. However, the incidence of cerebral desaturation (>20% decrease in SctO2 from post-induction baseline values) was higher in the AVP-treated groups than in the control group (P < 0.0001). The incidence of SjvO2 < 50% (60–73%, P = 0.896) and SjvO2 < 40% (20–50%, P = 0.160) did not differ among the groups.

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrated that a prophylactic bolus dose of AVP ameliorates hypotension in BCP in a dose-dependent manner. However, there were significant reductions in NIRS-determined cerebral oxygenation after the use of AVP. In addition, AVP *per se* decreased SjvO2 as has been previously observed [12]. SjvO2 reflects the balance between the brain oxygen supply and consumption, and reduction in SjvO2 may represent a decrease in the CBF.

AVP *per se* administered just prior to taking BCP reduced SjvO2 dose-dependently in the present study. This finding is consistent with that in the study by Bein et al. [20], who reported that AVP negatively affected cerebral oxygenation as measured by NIRS despite an elevation of systemic blood pressure in the swine with an intact systemic circulation under propofol anesthesia. They also noted that the reduced indices of cerebral oxygenation and cerebral blood volume after AVP did not return to their baseline values until the end of the study, suggesting a sustained cerebral vasoconstriction and reduced CBF. Fernández et al. [21] demonstrated generalized cerebral vasoconstriction following AVP injection into the cerebral circulation in conscious goats. The cerebral pressure autoregulation challenged by vasopressors (phenylephrine or norepinephrine) was preserved under propofol anesthesia, which was used in the present study, but not under volatile anesthesia [22]. The cerebral vasculature may have been constricted directly and indirectly by AVP, and hence the CBF reduced to such an extent that it affected SjvO2.
In contrast, AVP did not affect SjvO₂ in BCP in any AVP-treated groups in the present study, as has been previously observed [12]. When systemic hemodynamics are unstable, such as in cardiopulmonary resuscitation [10] or in severe polytrauma [11], AVP shows favorable results. When the blood pressure is below the lower limit of cerebral autoregulation, AVP-induced increases in blood pressure would enhance the CBF and cerebral oxygenation due to a pressure-passive effect. AVP would predominantly raise the systemic vascular resistance and shift blood to the brain even in BCP. Moreover, AVP was shown to cause vasodilatation of a cerebral artery via release of nitric oxide through activation of V1 receptors despite systemic vasoconstriction [23]. It is likely that the effect of AVP on cerebral oxygenation varies as a function of an individual's physiologic state. A bolus of AVP may impair cerebral oxygenation during stable hemodynamic conditions, while it may improve cerebral oxygenation in circumstances where systemic hemodynamics and cerebral perfusion has already been inadequate or abruptly compromised such as when rapid surgical positioning impedes the CBF.

On the other hand, the use of AVP was associated with significantly lower SctO₂ and higher incidence of cerebral desaturation (> 20% decrease in SctO₂ from postinduction values) in BCP. It has been known that NIRS accuracy might suffer from contamination of the signal by extra-cranial signals from the scalp, which can suffer marked vasoconstriction induced by systemically-acting agents [24,25]. The vasoconstrictive effects of AVP may vary in different vascular beds, with the greatest vasoconstriction being observed in cutaneous vessels [9,11]. In addition, cerebral oximetry is also affected by the relative composition of arterial and venous blood assessed in each position when a potent vasopressor such as phenylephrine or norepinephrine is administered [26,27]. In this context, the reduction in SctO₂ after the use of AVP may, in part, be accounted for by reduced extracerebral tissue blood flow (i.e., cutaneous vasoconstriction) [9] and/or reduced cerebral arterial/venous volume ratio rather than by reduced CBF which may give rise to changes in SctO₂ with no alterations in SjvO₂. Indeed, the reduction in SctO₂ by using phenylephrine can be mainly due to the major contribution of skin perfusion to the NIRS signal rather than due to actual changes in the CBF [28,29].

It has been reported that, in patients undergoing shoulder surgery in BCP under general anesthesia, preoperative use of antihypertensives such as renin-angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors is associated with an increased incidence of intraoperative hypotension [6]. AVP has been proposed as the pressor of choice in the treatment of refractory hypotension in patients taking RAS inhibitors, since it acts on the third vasopressor system; while the sympathetic nervous system and the RAS are respectively blunted by general anesthesia and ACEIs or AIIRAs [8,30]. In the present study, among the 10 patients taking RAS inhibitors, 2 patients (100%) in the control group, the single patient (100%) in the AVP 0.025 group, and 2 (67%) of the 3 patients in the AVP 0.05 group but none (0%) of the 4 patients in the AVP 0.075 group developed hypotension upon upright positioning; thus justifying the use of the highest dose of AVP for preventing the development of hypotension after shifting to BCP.

SjvO₂ less than 50% is indicative of cerebral hypoperfusion, and SjvO₂ less than 40% may be related to cerebral ischemia [18]. In the present study, AVP given just before BCP dose-dependently decreased SjvO₂, suggesting that AVP decreased the CBF via possible cerebral vasoconstriction to such an extent that it affected SjvO₂. SjvO₂ was further decreased by BCP in all AVP-treated groups, showing an apparent jugular desaturation [SjvO₂ < 50% (60–73%); SjvO₂ < 40% (20–50%)] in the first 15 minutes after BCP with no inter-group differences. Moreover, most of the AVP-treated patients (60–67%) experienced NIRS-determined cerebral desaturation. Other adverse effects of AVP reported in humans include mesenteric ischemia, myocardial ischemia, skin necrosis, and digital ischemia [9]. Although none of the patients suffered from a clinically-significant cerebral injury, a routine use of AVP to increase cerebral perfusion pressure in BCP should be cautious until adequate and well-controlled studies prove its safety and efficacy in a larger population.

The current study has several limitations. First, all patients were free from cerebral pathology. It is unclear how SjvO₂ and SctO₂ would have responded after shifting to BCP in patients with cerebrovascular disease or increased intracranial pressure. Second, although most patients have dominant right-sided drainage for the jugular vein, the SjvO₂ catheter was inserted into the contralateral side of surgery without examination of the drainage system by angiography in each patient. The lack of catheterization in the dominant drainage system may have affected the results. Third, even with spatially resolved NIRS, changes in skin blood flow influence the NIRS signal [24,25]. Although AVP decreases the skin blood flow [9], it was not quantified in the present study. Thus, the changes in NIRS signal between the skin blood flow and CBF could not be differentiated. Fourth, AVP was given 2 min before the positioning as its effect peaks within 1 to 2 min [9]. Hence, MAP in the presitting period was high, although its highest value (140 mmHg) was still within the upper limit of autoregulation. If AVP was given along with the positioning, the high peaks could have been negated by BCP-induced hypotensive effects. Finally, NIRS recording differs with the use of different vasopressors. Phenylephrine and norepinephrine but not ephedrine reduces NIRS signals along with elevated MAP [24,25]. Different type of vasoressors in different groups in the present study may have affected the results. However, vasoressors used to treat hypotension in BCP were not different among the groups in the present study.
In conclusion, AVP dose-dependently attenuates hypotension associated with BCP in patients undergoing shoulder surgery under general anesthesia. However, the use of AVP is associated with regional cerebral desaturation but not with jugular venous desaturation on upright positioning.
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