The risk of blood-borne infections in Poland – opportunities and threats to public health, nationwide qualitative research
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Abstract

Introduction. The article presents the diagnosis of the problem of blood-borne infections in Poland from perspectives of experts’ opinions at the voivodship level. The evaluation became the basis for subsequent analysis, aimed at creating assumptions for the proposed strategies to prevent blood-borne infections in Poland.

Materials and method. Diagnosis was based on the expertise of practitioners in epidemiology and service providers. Analysis covered assessment of service safety, examples, recommended practices, forms and scope of education. Also covered is information for the general public from different aspects: points of view of recipients and service providers, legislation, system organization, and finances. The SWOT method was used in analysis. The opportunities and threats concerning the risk of blood-borne diseases for the service sector are presented, as well as data gathered from 42 representative experts from across Poland.

Results. Databases on health indicators, covered by the reporting obligation of all diagnosed cases, are a crucial element of the surveillance system in public health (e.g. sentinel). Additional information on health care management (risk management) is not a common and routine approach. The study fills a gap in knowledge about risk management in the medical and non-medical services sector. The information also enriches education programmes (e.g. http://www.hcv.pzh.gov.pl/).

Conclusions. Currently, the evidence-based approaches in medicine and in public health are standard. Experts emphasize that the opportunities for the prevention of infection risk are linked to technological advances and innovations, while threats are seen in both financial and organizational constraints, and the non-normalized, dynamically developing service market.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite medical development, blood-borne infections is still a problem of concern to the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. Blood can be a source of various additional antigens, including pathogens [2, 3], as well as past medicinal products which might be a source of infection [4]. It has been proved that blood-borne infections are often epidemiologically associated with HBV, HCV, HDV, and HIV [5]. In Canada, systematic reviews (research data 1996–2016) were carried out to develop assumptions for a programme for the prevention of blood-borne infections. It was estimated that the risk of infection transmission depended on many factors. Pathogen analysis (HCV, HIV, HBV) has shown that the risk of blood-borne infections is the highest for the infection (pooled transmission rate) of HBV (1.45%), followed by HCV (0.46%), and to a lesser extent – HIV (0.0056%) [6, 7]. The risk of the transmission of blood-borne infection is attributed to the violation of tissue continuity during medical and non-medical procedures. The risk is for both the exposed service provider and the service recipient. Verbeek and Basnet in a meta-analysis of 45 studies (11 RCT, 15 follow-up, and 19 CSS) assessed that 1 out of 10 operations is at risk for sharp instrument injury [8]. Global epidemiological assessment of the risk of injury – infections among health workers – concerned the need to implement a global policy for the prevention of needlestick injuries [9]. The prevalence of blood-borne infections in the population is also attributed to risky behaviors, e.g. in the UK in 2017–2018, the prevalence of blood-borne viruses was four times higher in the prison population than in the general population (0.6% prison population vs. 0.16%in general population) [10]. Statistical data evidences the essence of the problem. Globally in 2017, 1.1 million people were newly infected with HBV, and in
2015, 1.75 million people were infected with HCV [11]. In 2016 – 162,471 people died from viral hepatitis and more from its complications [12].

HBV is widespread in the Central European Region, as well as worldwide. The prevalence of chronic hepatitis B, by world areas, is assessed at the intermediate level (2–8%) [13]. Vaccination has meant that the problem has become less urgent, but still important. There have also been observations and reports of no immunoreactivity after the vaccination of adults (5–10% of adults vaccinated) [14].

An often unappreciated problem is concomitant hepatitis D infection, in which worldwide, it is estimated that anti-HDV positive patients cover 0.16% of the general population (aprox.12 million). Among HBsAg-positive patients, one-fifth developed disease complications (18% cirrhosis, 20% HCC). In a meta-analysis of 282 studies (376 population samples from 95 countries), HBsAg-positive patients were tested for anti-HDV. Stockdale et al. estimated anti-HDV prevalence at 4.5% (95% CI 3.6, 5.7) among all HBsAg-positive patients, and 16.4% among those in hepatology clinics. The authors concluded that there is a need for more quality data to improve the precision of burden estimates [15].

According to a WHO report, in 2015 worldwide, 71 million people were living with chronic hepatitis C and 1.75 million were newly-infected. An intermediate prevalence (1–5%) was noted in Eastern Europe, the Mediterranean region and also in the Middle East and parts of Africa and Asia. In Western Europe, Central America, Australia, and limited regions in Africa (including South Africa), the prevalence was in the lower range (0.2–0.5%) [13]. Progress in pharmacotherapy facilitates the almost complete recovery of all patients with hepatitis C, but recovery of their health does not guarantee the persistence of their immunity and simultaneously, does not protect against re-infection [16].

Some with hepatitis C also have HIV co-infection. In 2015, approx. 2.3 million patients with both HCV and HIV were diagnosed worldwide [17]. This is due to the possibility of similar transmission pathways for both infections [18]. Also in the case of HIV, the progress in patient pharmacotherapy improves the effectiveness of treatment, although there are reported cases of non-response to post-exposure therapy, and lack of seroconversion [19].

The problem of blood-borne infections (by known etiology) is a burden, and simultaneously the costs of viral hepatitis need be analyzed, as well as their impact on the health system [20]. There is a complexity in the issue of blood-borne infections, e.g. from the aspect of etiology, new or unresolved in diagnosis, and therapy problems. From the perspective of public health, this complexity implicates the need to take solutions in the field of infection prevention, and permanent surveillance in the service sector of the known risk of infection transmission, and also research development on as yet unknown risk factors.

**OBJECTIVE**

The aim of the research was to analyze the procedures used in the medical and non-medical services sectors for allowing the diagnosis of blood-borne transmission risk of infections. The analysis was intended to develop a diagnosis and guidelines for a programme of prevention and management of blood-borne infections in Poland.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Qualitative research methods were used to identify the way of thinking and behavioural practices related to blood-borne infections. Previously, a pilot study had been conducted to assist with the selection of thematic areas and method of research. The respondents (experts and practitioners) were invited by mail to participate in the study, and the quality research performed according to scientific rules. Concise information on the scope and reasons for the research were described in the invitation. Interviews were conducted on a special forum platform by an external company – a professional female facilitator, and the course of the discussion was observed by the research team who had the possibility of modeling.

One of the aims of the project was to analyze the situation in different regions of the country. It was therefore decided that the project would be implemented via the Internet to collect as many different opinions as possible in forum discussion (both experts and practitioners) from all 16 provinces in Poland [21].

The research tool, a web-based research platform – IdeaBlog, was used because of the following which were crucial critical for the project:

- the study may involve a large group of respondents;
- the study allowed longer and more convenient time, allowing for a deeper and broader coverage of the subject matter;
- the questions were not answered immediately which allowed the participants to consult other sources, gather feedback from colleagues and specialists or rethink the topic;
- the Internet tool allowed uploading additional materials and content;
- the method allowed anonymity of the individual participants by a code (3-letter, randomized code); this ensured that any existing relations between the participants in the service and the structural relations did not affect the responses.

Respondents selection; All invited specialists had at least 5 years of experience in the subject area of the issues they were dealing with in their professional work. The statements of all participants were anonymized and contained a three-letter, randomized code. Respondents in the study were asked to comment on the two topics over 5 days period (the list of discussed issues in the table 1. below). They were also encouraged to support their statements with examples and data (specific figures) and were encouraged to document cases of good practices.

The study involved 42 registered individuals, representing various State institutions and the private service sector (also supported during the forum by others specialists and data). Almost half of the invited respondents declined registering on the research forum platform due to their duties. Some of them supported occasionally registered specialists. The key to the selection was practical, routine contact with the area of surveillance, prevention, and control of blood-borne infections. In addition, geographic location was important in that the participants represented all regions of the country. Using the web-based platform gave respondents the possibility to choose a comfortable place and time for participation in the research and to obtain/verify additional information (the evidence).
specialists from different fields of medicine, pharmaco-epidemiologists at province level, called for the representatives of professional groups from medical and representatives of NGOs: non-government organizations of infected people; lack of no need to overcome prejudices). Negative social attitudes, which could have a negative impact on the situation to undertake information/prevention activities without risking generating knowledge.

hazards and ways of prevention).

learn more, and therefore have a better chance of ‘hitting’ on information about (the medicalization of hygienic procedures causes their recipient to read more, may increase the reach and effectiveness of information campaigns).

and social campaigns can be included in the natural behaviour of people, which their specific needs, such as presentation of instructional videos, etc.)

prevention of blood-borne infections).

infection (most experts believe that the propagation of knowledge, information and instructions is the main way to prevent blood-borne infections, the fact that people are already aware – is already considered as a base to build on).

Increasingly better pharmacological treatments (allowing to carry out appropriate remedial action when there is a potential infection; the fact that it is easier to prevent and live with the infection, if it has already occurred, may change the general attitude to the problem).

Improved technical means: more disposable tools and safe technologies – services in breaking issue continuity should be driven by tools that eliminate the weakest link in most human systems.

Change in regulations about personal responsibility (this may result in increased involvement of staff, both medical and non-medical, in active prevention of blood-borne infections).

Use of the Internet and modern technologies in preventive campaigns (this allows for relatively low-cost access to various social groups in a form tailored to their specific needs, such as presentation of instructional videos, etc.)

The habit of searching for answers on the Internet (thanks to this, information and social campaigns can be included in the natural behaviour of people, which may increase the reach and effectiveness of information campaigns).

Increasing customer and patient interest in the quality and safety of service (the medicalization of hygienic procedures causes their recipient to read more, learn more, and therefore have a better chance of ‘hitting’ on information about hazards and ways of prevention).

Increased awareness among professional groups and the search for practical knowledge.

Lack of stigmatizing the image of HCV-infected people (thus it is possible to undertake information/prevention activities without risking generating negative social attitudes, which could have a negative impact on the situation of infected people; lack of no need to overcome prejudices).

Opinions of all the experts and practitioners were collected and subjected to SWOT analysis. The presented results were the basis for planning the next stages of the project (phases II and III), aimed at establishing the assumptions of the strategy for preventing blood-borne infections in Poland (prioritization of identified problems). Opinion: to establish the opportunities and threats was negotiated with respondents during the research forum.

RESULTS

The most important results of the study are presented in Table 2, in separately columns for opportunities and threats from the perspective of the public health system in the area of blood-borne diseases.

DISCUSSION

The presented material is the first stage from the summary of the research project commissioned by National Institute Public Health/ National Institute of Hygiene (NIPH-NIH) in July – August 2016, within the framework of grant KIK 35. NIPH-NIH commissioned the research company – NGO (Non-Governmental Organization) according to the previously designed protocol. The article presents the current state of the implementation of the regulations and recommendations in the health care and other, non-medical services, listed in the Polish Act of 5 December 2008, ‘Preventing and Combating Infectious Diseases and Infectious Diseases in Humans’, i.e. hairdressers, cosmetics, wellness, and tattooists. To consider the clarification of systemic needs, the

Table 1. Brief plan of selected topics (based on pilot study)

1) The role of blood-borne infections in everyday work.
2) Analysis of the blood-borne infections risk, analysis of awareness.
3) Regulations and procedures to reduce the risk of blood-borne infections.
4) Regulation vs. practice: what is the practical implementation of the guidelines?
5) Monitoring and reporting of infectious disease: occupational exposure and patient/client exposure.
6) Good/bad practices in daily work routines.
7) Education and training about blood-borne infections.
8) The role of finances in the prevention of blood-borne infections.
9) Importance of prevention procedures (e.g. disinfection process) in the reduction of blood-borne infections.
10) Patients and clients – their role in managing / preventing blood-borne infections.

Table 2. SWOT – prevention of blood-borne infections in terms of opportunities and threats to the service system

| OPPORTUNITIES | THREATS |
|--------------|---------|
| Growing public awareness; increased knowledge of viruses and prevention of infection (most experts believe that the propagation of knowledge, information and instructions is the main way to prevent blood-borne infections, the fact that people are already aware – is already considered as a base to build on). |
| The lack of separation of the risk of HCV infection compared to other risks (HCV is mentioned in the same context with HIV and HBV, there is no separate ‘personality’, no separate set of associations – and something that does not exist in the imagination does not motivate engagement in behaviour-oriented prevention). |
| Increasingly better pharmacological treatments (allowing to carry out appropriate remedial action when there is a potential infection; the fact that it is easier to prevent and live with the infection, if it has already occurred, may change the general attitude to the problem). |
| Improved technical means: more disposable tools and safe technologies – services in breaking issue continuity should be driven by tools that eliminate the weakest link in most human systems. |
| Improved technical means: more disposable tools and safe technologies – services in breaking issue continuity should be driven by tools that eliminate the weakest link in most human systems. |
| ... |
| There is no regulation for sub-contractors (e.g. cleaning companies – it was pointed out that the law does not regulate the activities of contractors operating in industries supporting the health service which have contacts with potentially infected materials). |
| Lack of understanding of safety procedures and associating them with bureaucratic controls that serve no purpose (lack of awareness of hazards, lack of understanding of the mechanisms of the spread of infections, and their consequences for life and health, causes an attitude of reluctance towards the recommendations and procedures; they are not perceived as something that should to protect and help us, but as an obstacle to conducting business). |
| There is no regulation for sub-contractors (e.g. cleaning companies – it was pointed out that the law does not regulate the activities of contractors operating in industries supporting the health service which have contacts with potentially infected materials). |
| Lack of practical training for personnel in the service sector (this results in inability to apply theoretical knowledge, which is particularly evident when exposure occurs, then many who have undergone training and should have the proper knowledge, do not know how to react). |
| Lack of practical training for personnel in the service sector (this results in inability to apply theoretical knowledge, which is particularly evident when exposure occurs, then many who have undergone training and should have the proper knowledge, do not know how to react). |
| Shortage of staff, overload of medical staff (e.g. nursing – resulting in abnormalities in the planning process, e.g. the same person, in the same protective clothing, working in different departments; there is also a shortage of time for proper preparation of equipment, replacement, and disinfection of all necessary components and ‘tips’). |
| Shortage of staff, overload of medical staff (e.g. nursing – resulting in abnormalities in the planning process, e.g. the same person, in the same protective clothing, working in different departments; there is also a shortage of time for proper preparation of equipment, replacement, and disinfection of all necessary components and ‘tips’). |
problem was assessed from the perspective of epidemiological diagnosis and operational diagnosis – the perspective of public health. Analysis covered the state of knowledge, the way in which the existing regulations were implemented, and conscientiousness in reporting incidents of exposure, and financial aspects. The needs related to education in the area of blood-related infections (especially HCV) were also been discussed, and examples of good practices identified.

In order to adequately recognize the various issues related to this topic, it was decided to conduct the study with epidemiology experts and service providers whose daily work involved the risk of blood-borne infection, both in terms of being exposed to risk and creating a risk, and from the perspective of the institutions controlling compliance with the Act. In Poland, no similar analysis had carried out in this area; the form of the study and its results provided new facts and grounds for health policy.

The result of the project was a description of the key challenges in the area of infection related to the performance of medical and non-medical services, as well as proposals on how to respond to these challenges, and how to deal with the emerging difficulties, including the degree of the standards developed in the medical sector which can (should) be transferred to another service sector. A major challenge in the study was insight into the risk of blood-borne infection from the perspectives of experts and service providers.

The rationality of actions from the perspective of public health derives from knowledge of the specific nature of the problem. It is important to know the risk distribution and the possibility of finding an optimal place to stop the spread of infection, and be aware of other determinants [1, 23, 24]. Therefore, taking into consideration the health safety of services, an analysis was made of the scale of the occurrence of blood-borne infections and the diagnosis of the medical and non-medical services system for these infections. The association of the risk of blood-borne infections with medical services is well known, and problem is incorporated in guidelines for preventing blood-borne infections. The risk is for both the recipients and the persons performing the treatments [25].

In the opinion of the invited specialists, the main threats affect 3 major areas: economic, functional, and awareness of risks and consequences of the threat. Economic threats include restrictions on the level of funding for public health services by public payers, and the unwillingness to finance safer but more expensive procedures in the cosmetic services sector. The problem of labor shortages is also a significant threat. It is to particularly important to conduct such inspections frequently and unannounced. When adjusting the training, the inspections should focus on assessment of skills, not only on the documentation. One of the purposes of inspections should be an evaluation of the errors, and a simultaneous lecture on the recommendations for changes. This approach should use the mentoring abilities of the controller, who would demonstrate the correct practices onsite.

The last indicated area of public health threats is awareness of risk of the level of blood-borne infection, which is imperfect among the medical staff and staff of non-medical service sector, as well as patients and clients. Some researchers have pointed to several stereotypes regarding the ‘security’ of cosmetic services or the lack of communication each other about the risk of blood-borne infections will require a change in risk awareness.
in the whole society. The conclusion should also mention that in planning the campaign it is therefore important to consider the fact that increased population awareness will affect the costs of the system because of the desire to perform diagnostic tests [29].

Invited experts and practitioners looked at the chances for addressing the problem of blood-related infections by increasing public awareness, progress in pharmacotherapy, and greater accessibility to safe/disposable equipment.

Most experts believed that the promotion of knowledge, the information and instructions, is the main way to prevent blood-borne infections. Similar thoughts are presented by researchers in other countries [30]. Increased awareness among professional groups and clients/patients translates into the search for knowledge by professionals.

The respondents assessed that social awareness increased, in particular, the knowledge of viral infections and their prevention. As the experiences of other authors show, knowledge allows for rationale and safer choices to be made more effective at protecting and treatment on every stage of disease [31]. For most experts, chances are the innovation in medicine, especially in pharmacotherapy. With more effective treatment, it is also possible to carry out the appropriate remedial action in the event of a potential infection (exposure). The fact that they should be prevented more effectively and can be treated more effectively (live with less burden of infection – may change the general attitude to the disease and to stigmatization of patients).

On the other hand, the cost of blood-borne infections, diagnosis and treatment, increases with its effectiveness. This is one of the ways to achieve the aims of the WHO. Experts assess this goal to be difficult as it requires a significant increase in expenditures.

The Internet and other modern technologies which, in the hands of specialists are a valuable tool, are a great opportunity for promoting knowledge. (e.g. in campaigns, education profiles), which allow relatively low-cost access to various social group, and in a form that can be tailored to their specific needs. It also allows to use more interesting forms of education. For obvious reasons, they are not an alternative to contacting a professional, but the easiest way to impart the needed knowledge to specific recipients. For many of the experts participating in the study, the very common habit of searching for answers on the Internet was also a chance for them; as a result, information and social campaigns may enter into the natural behavior of people, which may increase the reach and effectiveness of information campaigns [32].

Technological advances bring more and better technical means to more areas, with more disposable tools and the need to guarantee safety. Services in violation of issue continuity should be carried out with tools that eliminate the risk of guarantee safety. Services in violation of issue continuity means to more areas, with more disposable tools and the need to guarantee safety. Services in violation of issue continuity means to more areas, with more disposable tools and the need to guarantee safety. Services in violation of issue continuity means to more areas, with more disposable tools and the need to guarantee safety. Services in violation of issue continuity means to more areas, with more disposable tools and the need to guarantee safety.

Among the proposed solutions, the respondents pointed to a change in regulations to increase personal responsibility. The expected effect of such amendments may be greater involvement of both medical and non-medical staff in the active prevention of blood-borne infections.

The invited experts did not acknowledge the stigmatizing image of infected people by treating such information as marginal. This makes it possible to carry out information and prevention activities without the risk of generating negative social attitudes which could have a negative impact on the situation of infected people; there is no need to break the negative prejudices. Negative attitudes and even escalation can be observed in the case of public communication also concerning other problems [36, 37].

CONCLUSIONS

Databases on health indicators, covered by the reporting obligation of all diagnosed cases, are a crucial element of the surveillance system in public health (Sentinel). Additional information on health care management (risk management) is not a common and routine approach. The study fills a gap in knowledge about risk management in the medical and non-medical services sector.

The information has enriched education programmes (e.g. http://www.hcv.pzh.gov.pl/).

Currently, the evidence-based approaches in medicine and in public health are standard. The experts emphasized that the opportunities in the prevention of infection risk are linked to technological advances and innovations, while threats were seen in both financial and organizational constraints and the non-normalized, dynamically developing service market.

From the perspective of the recent (2019–2020) COVID pandemic experiences, lessons have been learnt, the presented problems should also be looked at with awareness of the methodological limitations of the presented analysis. Knowledge of the pathways for spreading infections of known pathogens with the possibility of therapy gives the opportunity to control and to solve the problem. New pathogens without the possibility of therapy present challenge for science, old principles of prevention and humility. Do we know everything on blood-borne diseases etiology? New technologies can bring not only opportunities, but also new threats.
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