Abstract
It is well known that the Serrin condition is a necessary condition for the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for the prescribed mean curvature equation in bounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with certain regularity. In this paper we investigate the sharpness of the Serrin condition for the vertical mean curvature equation in the product $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Precisely, given a $C^2$ bounded domain $\Omega$ in $M$ and a function $H = H(x, z)$ continuous in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ and non-decreasing in the variable $z$, we prove that the strong Serrin condition $(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega}(y) \geq n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}}|H(y, z)| \forall \ y \in \partial\Omega,$
is a necessary condition for the solvability of the Dirichlet problem in a large class of Riemannian manifolds within which are the Hadamard manifolds and manifolds whose sectional curvatures are bounded above by a positive constant. As a consequence of our results we deduce Jenkins–Serrin and Serrin type sharp solvability criteria.
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1 Introduction

We denote by $M$ a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and let $\Omega$ be a domain in $M$. The focus of our work is the prescribed mean curvature equation for vertical graphs in $M \times \mathbb{R}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}u := \text{div} \left( \frac{\nabla u}{W} \right) = nH(x, u),$$

(1)

where $H$ is a continuous function over $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ and it is non-decreasing in the variable $z$, $W = \sqrt{1 + \|\nabla u(x)\|^2}$ and the gradient, the norm and divergence are calculated with respect to the metric of $M$. In a coordinates system $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in $M$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}u = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left( \sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{W^2} \right) \nabla_{ij}^2 u = nH(x, u),$$

(2)

where $(\sigma^{ij})$ is the inverse of the metric $(\sigma_{ij})$ of $M$, $u^i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma^{ij} \partial_j u$ are the coordinates of $\nabla u$ and $\nabla_{ij}^2 u(x) = \nabla^2 u(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$. We will denote by $\Omega$ the operator defined by

$$\Omega u = \mathcal{M}u - nH(x, u).$$

We notice that the coefficient matrix of the operator $\mathcal{M}$ (that is, the matrix whose entries are the coefficients of the second derivatives) is given by $A = \frac{1}{W} g$, where $g$ is the induced metric on the graph of $u$. This implies that the eigenvalues of $A$ are positive and depend on $x$ and on $\nabla u$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}$ is locally uniformly elliptic. Furthermore, if $\Omega$ is bounded and $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Omega)$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is uniformly elliptic in $\overline{\Omega}$ (see [18] for more details).

It has been proved in chronological order by Finn [8], Jenkins–Serrin [13] and Serrin [17], that the very well known Serrin condition is a necessary condition for the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1) in bounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Dirichlet problems for equations whose solutions describe hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature have been studied in manifolds different from the Euclidean space. Several works have considered Serrin type conditions that provide some existence theorems (see [1,2,6,7,12,15,16] and [18] as examples). However, non-existence theorems have been only investigated in a few cases that we summarize below.

For instance, Nitsche [16] was concerned with graph-like prescribed mean curvature hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. In the half-space setting, he studied radial graphs over the totally geodesic hypersurface $S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+; (x_0)^2 + \cdots + (x_n)^2 = 1 \}$. He established an existence result if $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $S$ of class $\mathcal{C}^2,\alpha$ and $H \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\overline{\Omega})$ is a function satisfying $\sup |H| \leq 1$ and $|H(y)| < \mathcal{H}_C(y)$ everywhere on $\partial \Omega$, where $\mathcal{H}_C$ denotes the hyperbolic mean curvature of the cylinder $C$ spanned by the rays issuing from the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and intersecting $\partial \Omega$. Furthermore, he showed the existence of smooth boundary data such that no solution exists in case of $|H(y)| > \mathcal{H}_C(y)$ for some $y \in \partial \Omega$ under the assumption that $H$ has a sign. We observe that these results do not provide a Serrin type solvability criterion.

Also in the half-space model of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, Guio and Sa Earp [11,12] considered a bounded domain $\Omega$ contained in a vertically totally geodesic hyperplane $P$ of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and studied the Dirichlet problem for the mean curvature equation for horizontal graphs over $\Omega$, that is, hypersurfaces which intersect at most once the horizontal horocycles orthogonal to $\Omega$.
They considered the hyperbolic cylinder $C$ generated by horocycles cutting orthogonally $P$ along the boundary of $\Omega$ and the Serrin condition, $\mathcal{H}_C(y) \geq |H(y)| \forall y \in \partial \Omega$. They obtained a Serrin type solvability criterion for prescribed mean curvature $H = H(x)$ and also proved a sharp solvability criterion for constant $H$.

Finally, M. Telichevesky [19, Th. 6 p. 246] proved that if $M$ is a Hadamard manifold whose sectional curvature is bounded above by $-1$, then mean convexity is a necessary condition for the existence of a vertical minimal graphs in $M \times \mathbb{R}$ over a domain $\Omega$ of $M$ possibly unbounded. The combination of this result with an existence result of Aiolfi-Ripoll-Soret [1, Th. 1 p. 72] gives sharp solvability criterion for the minimal hypersurface equation in bounded domains of $M$.

To the best of our knowledge, no other non-existence result and Serrin-type solvability criterion have been proved in settings different from the Euclidean one.

As a direct consequence of the main result of this paper, Theorem 4, the aforementioned result in the $M \times \mathbb{R}$ context is generalized. More precisely, the combination of the existence result of Aiolfi-Ripoll-Soret [1, Th. 1 p. 72] for the minimal case with Corollary 5 shows that the sharp solvability criterion of Jenkins–Serrin [13, Th. 1 p. 171] actually holds in every Cartan–Hadamard manifold:

**Theorem 1** (Sharp Jenkins–Serrin-type solvability criterion) Let $M$ be a Cartan–Hadamard manifold and $\Omega \subset M$ a bounded domain whose boundary is of class $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then the Dirichlet problem for equation $\mathcal{M}u = 0$ in $\Omega$ has a unique solution for arbitrary continuous boundary data if, and only if, $\Omega$ is mean convex.

Furthermore, a sharp Serrin type result [17, p. 416] for constant mean curvature vertical graphs is inferred by combining our Corollary 6 with an existence result of Spruck [18, Th. 1.4 p. 787]:

**Theorem 2** (Sharp Serrin-type solvability criterion) Let $M$ be a simply connected and compact manifold whose sectional curvature satisfies $\frac{1}{4}K_0 < K \leq K_0$ for a positive constant $K_0$. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a domain with $\text{diam}(\Omega) < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}}$ and whose boundary is of class $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for every constant $H$ the Dirichlet problem for equation $\mathcal{M}u = nH$ in $\Omega$ has a unique solution for arbitrary continuous boundary data if, and only if, $(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega} \geq n\, |H|$.

Before stating the main result we need to introduce the concept of radial curvatures.

**Definition 3** (Greene-Wu [10, p. 5]) Let $M$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $y_0 \in M$ be a fixed point. A radial plane $\Pi_x$ at a point $x \in M$ is a two dimensional subspace of $T_xM$ containing a vector tangent to a minimizing geodesic segment $\beta$ emanating from $y_0$. The radial sectional curvature with respect to the radial plane $\Pi_x$ is the sectional curvature $K(\Pi_x)$. We say that the radial curvature of $M$ along the geodesic segment $\beta$ is bounded above by a constant $K_0$ if $K(\Pi_x) \leq K_0$ for every radial plane $\Pi_x \subset T_xM$ and every point $x \in [\beta]$.

**Theorem 4** (Main theorem) Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a bounded domain whose boundary is of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$. Let $H \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ be a function either non-positive or non-negative and non-decreasing in the variable $z$. Let us assume that there exists $y_0 \in \partial \Omega$ such that

$$(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega}(y_0) < n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |H(y_0, z)|.$$ 

Suppose also that $\text{cut}(y_0) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$. Furthermore, assume that the radial curvature over the radial geodesics segments issuing from $y_0$ and intersecting $\Omega$ is bounded above by $K_0$, where
(a) $K_0 \leq 0$, or
(b) $K_0 > 0$ and $\operatorname{dist}(y_0, x) < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}}$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that there is no $u \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ satisfying Eq. (1) with $u = \varphi$ in $\partial \Omega$.

The statement ensures that the strong Serrin condition

$$(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega}(y) \geq n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |H(y, z)| \quad \forall \, y \in \partial \Omega$$

is a necessary condition for the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1).

As a direct consequence of item (a) in Theorem 4 we infer the following result in Hadamard manifolds.

**Corollary 5** Let $M$ be a Cartan–Hadamard manifold and $\Omega \subset M$ a bounded domain whose boundary is of class $\mathcal{C}^2$. Let $H \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ be a function either non-negative or non-positive and non-decreasing in the variable $z$. Suppose there exists $y_0 \in \partial \Omega$ such that

$$(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega}(y_0) < n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |H(y_0, z)|.$$ 

Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that there is no $u \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ satisfying Eq. (1) with $u = \varphi$ in $\partial \Omega$.

Furthermore, from statement (b) we derive the following non-existence result for a class of positively curved manifolds.

**Corollary 6** Let $M$ be a simply connected and compact manifold whose sectional curvature satisfies $\frac{1}{4}K_0 < K \leq K_0$ for a positive constant $K_0$. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a domain with $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega) < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}}$ and whose boundary is of class $\mathcal{C}^2$. Let $H \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ be a function either non-negative or non-positive and non-decreasing in the variable $z$. Suppose there exists $y_0 \in \partial \Omega$ such that

$$(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega}(y_0) < n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |H(y_0, z)|.$$ 

Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that there is no $u \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ satisfying Eq. (1) with $u = \varphi$ in $\partial \Omega$.

We remark that the assumptions on $M$ in the above statement guarantee that the injectivity radius of $M$ is greater than or equal to $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}}$, thus $\operatorname{cut}(y_0) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ since $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega) < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}}$.

### 2 Further sharp solvability criteria

Notice first that a sharp Serrin type result [17, p. 416] for arbitrary constant $H$ was not established in every Cartan–Hadamard manifold (compare Theorems 1 and 2). However, we get a sharp Serrin criterion when $M$ is the hyperbolic space.

Observe that if $M = \mathbb{H}^n$, it follows from the Spruck’s existence result [18, Th. 1.4 p. 787] that the Serrin condition is a sufficient condition if $H \geq \frac{n-1}{n}$. In the opposite case $0 < H < \frac{n-1}{n}$, Spruck noted that it was possible to establish an existence result if the strict inequality $(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega} > nH$ holds. He used the entire graphs of constant mean curvature
\[ \frac{n-1}{n} \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R} \text{ as barriers (see [4] for explicit formulas). However, this restriction over the Serrin condition in the last case does not allow to establish a Serrin type solvability criterion for every constant } H \text{ directly from Spruck’s existence result [18, Th. 5.4 p. 797] when the ambient is the hyperbolic space.} \\

We have established an existence result [3, Th. 5 p. 4] for prescribed mean curvature which extends the Spruck’s existence result mentioned above for the hyperbolic space, and that also gives the following Serrin type solvability criterion when combined with Corollary 5:

**Theorem 7** (Serrin type solvability criterion 1) Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be a bounded domain with \( \partial \Omega \) of class \( \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha} \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). Let \( H \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}) \) be a function satisfying \( \partial_z H \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq H \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \) in \( \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \). Then the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1) has a unique solution \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \) for every \( \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \) if, and only if, the strong Serrin condition (3) holds.

By combining the existence result of Spruck [18, Th. 1.4 p. 787] with Corollary 5, and putting together Theorem 7, we deduce that the sharp solvability criterion of Serrin [17, Th. 3 p. 416] for arbitrary constant \( H \) also holds in the \( \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha} \) class if we replace \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by \( \mathbb{H}^n \).

**Theorem 8** (Sharp Serrin type solvability criterion) Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \) be a bounded domain whose boundary is of class \( \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha} \). Then for every constant \( H \) the Dirichlet problem for equation \( \nabla u = nH \) has a unique solution for arbitrary continuous boundary data if, and only if, \( (n-1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega}(y) \geq n|H| \).

We have also proved the following generalization of the Spruck’s existence result [18, Th. 1.4 p. 787] for constant mean curvature:

**Theorem 9** (3, Th. 4 p. 4) Let \( \Omega \subset M \) be a bounded domain with \( \partial \Omega \) of class \( \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha} \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). Let \( H \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( \partial_z H \geq 0 \) and

\[ \text{Ricc}_x \geq n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nabla_x H(x, z)\| - \frac{n^2}{n-1} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} (H(x, z))^2 \quad \forall \ x \in \Omega. \] (4)

If

\[ (n-1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega}(y) \geq n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |H(y, z)| \quad \forall \ y \in \partial \Omega, \]

then for every \( \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \) there exists a unique solution \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \) of the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1).

Theorem 9 in combination with Corollaries 5 and 6 yields the following generalization in the \( \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha} \) class of a theorem of Serrin [17, Th. p. 484] in the Euclidean space:

**Theorem 10** (Serrin type solvability criterion 2) Let \( \Omega \subset M \) be a bounded domain whose boundary is of class \( \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha} \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). Suppose that \( H \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}) \) is either non-negative or non-positive in \( \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \), \( \partial_z H \geq 0 \) and

\[ \text{Ricc}_x \geq n \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nabla_x H(x, z)\| - \frac{n^2}{n-1} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} (H(x, z))^2, \quad \forall \ x \in \Omega. \]

Assume either that

1. \( M \) is a Cartan–Hadamard manifold, or
2. \( M \) is a compact manifold whose sectional curvature \( K \) satisfies \( 0 < \frac{1}{4}K_0 < K \leq K_0 \) and \( \text{diam}(\Omega) < \frac{n}{2\sqrt{K_0}} \).

Then the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1) has a unique solution \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \) for every \( \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \) if, and only if, the strong Serrin condition (3) holds.
3 Proof of the main non-existence theorem

The proof of Theorem 4 is based on two results that will be proved in the sequel. The following fundamental proposition traces its roots back to the work of Finn [8, Lemma p. 139] when he established the theorem ensuring the non-existence of solutions for Dirichlet problems for the minimal surface equation in non-convex domain of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). His lemma was extended by Jenkins–Serrin [13, Prop. III p. 182] for the minimal hypersurface equation in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and subsequently by Serrin [17, Th. 1 p. 459] for quasilinear elliptic operators (see also [9, Th. 14.10 p. 347]). Afterward M. Telichevesky [19, Lemma. 11 p. 250] extended the result for the minimal vertical equation in \( \mathbb{R} \). We will use some of the ideas of these works.

Proposition 11 Let \( \Omega \subset M \) be a bounded domain. Let \( \Gamma' \) be a relative open portion of \( \partial \Omega \) of class \( \mathcal{C}^1 \). Let \( H \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}) \) be a function non-decreasing in the variable \( z \). Let \( u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma') \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}) \) and \( v \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}) \) satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega u &\geq \Omega v \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &\leq v \quad \text{in } \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma', \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &\leq -\infty \quad \text{in } \Gamma',
\end{align*}
\]

where \( N \) is the inner normal to \( \Gamma' \). Under these conditions \( u \leq v \) in \( \Gamma' \). Therefore \( u \leq v \) in \( \Omega \).

Proof By contradiction, suppose that \( m = \max(u - v) > 0 \). Hence, \( u \leq v + m \) in \( \Gamma' \). Then \( u \leq v + m \) in \( \partial \Omega \) since \( u \leq v \) in \( \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma' \) by hypotheses. In view of the function \( H \) is non-decreasing in \( z \) and \( m > 0 \), we have

\[
\Omega(u + m) = \mathcal{M}(v + m) - nH(x, v + m) \leq \mathcal{M}v - nH(x, v) = \Omega v \leq \Omega u.
\]

As a consequence of the maximum principle (see [9, Th. 10.1 p. 263]) \( u \leq v + m \) in \( \Omega \). Let \( y_0 \in \Gamma' \) be such that \( m = u(y_0) - v(y_0) \). Let \( \gamma_{y_0} = \exp_{y_0}(tN_{y_0}), \) for \( t > 0 \) near 0. Then

\[
u(u(y_0(t))) - u(y_0) \leq (v(\gamma_{y_0}(t)) + m) - (v(y_0) + m) = v(\gamma_{y_0}(t)) - v(y_0).
\]

Dividing the expression by \( t \) and passing to the limit as \( t \) goes to zero it follows that \( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \leq -\infty \). This is a contradiction since \( u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma') \), hence, \( u \leq v \) in \( \Gamma' \).

The next lemma plays a fundamental role in this paper. In this lemma it is established a height a priori estimate for solutions of equation \( \mathcal{M}u = nH(x, u) \) in \( \Omega \) in those points of \( \partial \Omega \) on which the strong Serrin condition (3) fails.

Lemma 12 Let \( \Omega \subset M \) be a bounded domain whose boundary is of class \( \mathcal{C}^2 \). Let \( H \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}) \) be a non-negative function and non-decreasing in the variable \( z \), and \( u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega}) \) satisfying \( \mathcal{M}u = nH(x, u) \). Let us assume that there exists \( y_0 \in \partial \Omega \) such that

\[
(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_\partial\Omega(y_0) < nH(y_0, k)
\]

for some \( k \in \mathbb{R} \). Suppose also that \( \text{cut}(y_0) \cap \Omega = \emptyset \). Furthermore, assume that the radial curvature over the radial geodesics issuing from \( y_0 \) and intersecting \( \Omega \) is bounded above by \( K_0 \), where

(a) \( K_0 \leq 0 \), or
(b) \( K_0 > 0 \) and \( \text{dist}(y_0, x) < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} \) for all \( x \in \overline{\Omega} \).

Springer
Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a ball $B_a(y_0)$ centered at $y_0$ of radius $a > 0$ depending only on $\varepsilon$, $\mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega}(y_0)$, the geometry of $\Omega$ and the modulus of continuity of $H(x, k)$ in $y_0$, such that
\[
u(y_0) < \max \left\{ k, \sup_{\partial \Omega \setminus B_a(y_0)} u \right\} + \varepsilon. \tag{6} \]

**Proof** The proof is done in two steps. First, we will find an estimate for $\nu(y_0)$ depending on $k$ and $\sup u$ for some $a$ that does not depend on $u$. Secondly, we will get an upper bound for $\sup u$ in terms of $\sup u$.

**Step 1.**
First of all note that, from (5), there exists $v > 0$ such that
\[(n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega}(y_0) < nH(y_0, k) - 4v. \tag{7} \]
Let $R_1 > 0$ be such that $\partial B_{R_1}(y_0) \cap \Omega$ is connected and
\[|H(x, k) - H(y_0, k)| < \frac{v}{n}, \forall x \in B_{R_1}(y_0) \cap \Omega. \tag{8} \]
Note also that we can construct an embedded and oriented hypersurface $S$, tangent to $\partial \Omega$ at $y_0$ and whose mean curvature with respect to the normal $N$ pointing inwards $\Omega$ at $y_0$ satisfies
\[\mathcal{H}_S(y_0) < \mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega}(y_0) + \frac{v}{(n - 1)}. \tag{9} \]
It is well known that for some $\tau > 0$ the map
\[\Phi_t : S \rightarrow \Omega, y \mapsto \exp(y, tN_y) \]
is a diffeomorphism for each $0 \leq t < \tau$, and so $S_t := \Phi_t(S)$ is parallel to $S$. Moreover, the distance function $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, S)$ is of class $C^2$ over the set
\[\Sigma_\tau = \{\Phi_t(y), y \in S, 0 \leq t < \tau\} \subset \Omega. \]
Let $0 < R_2 < \min\{\tau, R_1\}$ be such that
\[|\Delta d(x) - \Delta d(y_0)| < \nu \forall x \in B_{R_2}(y_0) \cap \Sigma_\tau. \tag{10} \]
We now fix $a < R_2$. For $0 < \varepsilon < a$ we set
\[\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in B_a(y_0) \cap \Sigma_\tau; d(x) > \varepsilon\}. \]
Let $\phi \in C^2(\varepsilon, a)$ be a non-negative convex function, decreasing in $(0, a)$ and whose graph gets very steep as $t$ approaches $\varepsilon$ from the right. That is, $\phi$ satisfies
\[P1. \phi(a) = 0, \quad P2. \phi' \leq 0, \quad P3. \phi'' \geq 0, \quad P4. \phi'(\varepsilon) = -\infty. \]
We also require that $\phi^3 + \phi'' = 0$ in $(\varepsilon, a)$.

Let $v = \max \left\{ k, \sup_{\partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega} u \right\} + \phi \circ d$. So, $v \geq u$ in $\partial \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus S_\varepsilon$. In addition, if $N_\varepsilon$ is the normal to $S_\varepsilon$ inwards $\Omega_\varepsilon$ and $x \in S_\varepsilon \cap B_a(y_0)$, then
\[\frac{\partial v}{\partial N_\varepsilon}(x) = \langle \nabla v(x), N_\varepsilon(x) \rangle = [\phi'(d(x))\nabla d(x), \nabla d(x)] = \phi'(\varepsilon) = -\infty. \]
On the other hand, for \( x \in \Omega_1 \), a straightforward computation yields
\[
\Omega v = \frac{\phi'}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{1/2}} \Delta d + \frac{\phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2}} - nH(x, v).
\]
Since \( \nu \geq k \) and \( H \) is non-decreasing in \( z \) it follows that \( H(x, v) \geq H(x, k) \). Hence,
\[
\Omega v \leq \frac{\phi'}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{1/2}} \Delta d + \frac{\phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2}} - nH(x, k).
\]
By means of the properties of \( \phi \) we have
\[
\frac{\phi'}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{1/2}} > -1,
\]
and by the assumption on the sign of \( H \) we obtain
\[
-nH(x, k) < nH(x, k) \frac{\phi'}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{1/2}}.
\]
Therefore,
\[
\Omega v < \frac{\phi'}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{1/2}} (\Delta d(x) + nH(x, k)) + \frac{\phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{11}
\]
Furthermore,
\[
\Delta d(x) + nH(x, k) = \Delta d(x) - \Delta d(y_0) + \Delta d(y_0) + nH(x, k)
\]
\[
> -\nu - (n - 1)\mathcal{H}_S(y_0) + nH(x, k) \quad \text{(a)}
\]
\[
> -2\nu - (n - 1)\mathcal{H}_{\partial \Omega}(y_0) + nH(x, k) \quad \text{(b)}
\]
\[
> 2\nu - nH(y_0, k) + nH(x, k) \quad \text{(c)}
\]
\[
> \nu, \quad \text{(d)}
\]
where (a) follows directly from (10), (b) from (9), (c) from (7) and (d) from (8). Using this estimate on (11) we have
\[
\Omega v < \frac{\phi'}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{\phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2}}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2}} (\phi'(1 + \phi'^2) v + \phi'')
\]
\[
< \frac{1}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2}} (\phi'^3 v + \phi'').
\]
Then, \( \Omega v < 0 \) in \( \Omega_1 \) in view of the requirements on \( \phi \).
Choosing \( \phi \) explicitly by\(^1\)
\[
\phi(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu}} \left( (a - \epsilon)^{1/2} - (t - \epsilon)^{1/2} \right), \tag{12}
\]
we observe that \( \phi \) satisfies P1–P4 and that \( \phi'^3 v + \phi'' = 0 \) in \( (\epsilon, a) \). From Proposition 11 we deduce then
\[
u \leq v = \max \left\{ k, \sup_{\partial B_\epsilon(y_0) \cap \Omega} u \right\} + \phi(\epsilon) \quad \text{in} \quad S_\epsilon \cap B_\epsilon(y_0).
\]
\(^1\) See also [9, §14.4] and [11, Th. 4.1 p. 40].
In particular,

\[ u(\exp_{y_0}(\epsilon N_{y_0})) \leq \max \left\{ k, \sup_{\partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega} u \right\} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{v}} ((a - \epsilon)^{1/2}) . \]

Since this estimate holds for each \( 0 < \epsilon < a \), we can pass to the limit as \( \epsilon \) goes to zero to obtain

\[ u(y_0) \leq \max \left\{ k, \sup_{\partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega} u \right\} + \sqrt{\frac{2a}{v}} . \quad (13) \]

**Step 2.**

Let \( \delta = \text{diam}(\Omega) \). Analogously to step 1, we require a function \( \psi \in C^2(\Omega, \delta) \), non-negative and convex, decreasing in \((a, \delta)\) and whose graph is very steep near \( a \). That is,

- \( P5. \) \( \psi(\delta) = 0 \)
- \( P6. \) \( \psi' \leq 0 \)
- \( P7. \) \( \psi'' \geq 0 \)
- \( P8. \) \( \psi'(a) = -\infty \)

In addition, we need that \( \frac{c\psi^3}{r} + \psi'' \leq 0 \) in \((a, \delta)\) for a positive constant \( c \) to be chosen later on.

Let \( w = \sup_{\partial \Omega \setminus B_a(y_0)} u + \psi \circ \rho \) be defined in \( \Omega' = \Omega \setminus B_a(y_0) \), where \( \rho(x) = \text{dist}(x, y_0) \).

We remind that \( \rho \in C^2(M \setminus (\text{cut}(y_0) \cup \{y_0\})) \), so \( w \in C^2(\Omega \setminus B_a(y_0)) \). The idea is to use Proposition 11 again. We note that \( w \geq u \) in \( \delta \Omega \setminus B_a(y_0) \). Also, if \( N_a \) is the normal field to \( \partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega \) inwards \( \Omega' \), we have for each \( x \in \partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega \) that

\[ \partial_w (x) = \langle \nabla w(x), N_a(x) \rangle = \langle \psi'(\rho(x))\nabla\rho(x), \nabla\rho(x) \rangle = \psi'(a) = -\infty . \]

For \( w \) we have

\[ \Omega w = \frac{\psi'}{(1 + \psi^2)^{1/2}} \Delta\rho + \frac{\psi''}{(1 + \psi^2)^{3/2}} - nH(x, w) . \]

Since \( H \geq 0 \), it follows

\[ \Omega w \leq \frac{\psi'}{(1 + \psi^2)^{1/2}} \Delta\rho + \frac{\psi''}{(1 + \psi^2)^{3/2}} . \]

In any of the hypothesis (a) or (b), the radial geodesics issuing from \( y_0 \) and intercepting \( \Omega \) do not contain conjugate points to \( y_0 \) (see [14, Th. 6.5.6 p. 151], [5, Th. p. 107]). Then the Laplacian comparison theorem [10, Th. A p. 19] can be used to estimate \( \Delta\rho \) in \( \Omega' \).

Under the hypothesis (a) we compare \( M \) with \( \mathbb{R}^n \) to obtain

\[ \Delta\rho(x) \geq \frac{n - 1}{\rho(x)} . \]

Under the hypothesis (b) we compare \( M \) with the sphere \( S^n_{K_0} \) of sectional curvature \( K_0 > 0 \). In this case

\[ \Delta\rho(x) \geq (n - 1)\sqrt{K_0} \cot \left( \sqrt{K_0}\rho(x) \right) . \]

From the second assumption on (b) there also exists \( 0 < \kappa < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} \) such that \( \text{dist}(x, y_0) \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} - \kappa \), for each \( x \in \overline{\Omega} \). Thus, for each \( x \in \Omega \setminus B_a(y_0) \), there exists a unique normal minimizing geodesic \( \beta \) such that \( \beta(0) = y_0 \) and \( \beta(t_0) = x \), where \( t_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} - \kappa \). Let us
define the function \( \xi(t) = \sqrt{K_0 t} \cot (\sqrt{K_0} t) \) for \( t > 0 \). We note that \( \xi \) is decreasing and \( \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} \right) = 0 \). Then,

\[
\xi(t) \geq \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} - \kappa \right) > 0, \ \forall t \in \left( 0, \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} - \kappa \right].
\]

Consequently,

\[
\rho(x) \Delta \rho(x) \geq (n - 1)C,
\]

where

\[
C = \sqrt{K_0} \left( \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} - \kappa \right) \cot \left( \sqrt{K_0} \left( \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} - \kappa \right) \right) > 0.
\]

Thus \( \Delta \rho(x) \geq \frac{c}{\rho} \), where \( c = n - 1 \) in the case (a) and \( c = (n - 1)C \) in the case (b). Therefore,

\[
\Omega w \leq \frac{\psi'}{(1 + \psi^2)^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{c}{\rho} + \frac{\psi''}{(1 + \psi^2)^{3/2}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^2)^{3/2}} \left( \frac{c}{\rho} \psi'(1 + \psi^2) + \psi'' \right)
\]

\[
< \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^2)^{3/2}} \left( \frac{c}{\rho} \psi^3 + \psi'' \right).
\]

So, \( \Omega w < 0 \) in \( \Omega' \) due to the construction of \( \psi \).

Let us define \( \psi \) as

\[
\psi(t) = \left( \frac{2}{c} \right) \sqrt{\int_t^\delta \left( \log \frac{r}{a} \right)^{-1/2} \, dr}.
\]

Such a function satisfies P5–P8, and also \( \frac{c}{\rho} \psi'(t)^3 + \psi''(t) < 0 \) in \( (a, \delta) \). From Proposition 11 we can conclude that \( u \leq w \) in \( \partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega \), and then

\[
\sup_{\partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial \Omega \setminus B_a(y_0)} u + \psi(a).
\]

We remark that in step 2 no geometric property on \( a \) is required other than the connectedness of \( \partial B_a(y_0) \cap \Omega \).

Finally, we use (15) in (13) from step 1, so

\[
u(y_0) \leq \max \left\{ k, \sup_{\partial \Omega \setminus B_a(y_0)} u \right\} + \psi(a) + \sqrt{\frac{2a}{v}}.
\]

It is easy to see that \( \lim_{a \to 0} \psi(a) = 0 \). Hence, for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( a \) can be chosen small enough to satisfy

\[
\psi(a) + \sqrt{\frac{2a}{v}} < \varepsilon.
\]

Remark 13 The constant \( \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{K_0}} \) in item (b) of the statement of Theorem 4 is essential for the technique we have used in the proof of Lemma 12. However, it seems that this constant can be improved to \( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K_0}} \).

2 See also [9, §14.4].
**Remark 14** In the case where $H$ is a function that does not depend on the height variable, then the estimate (6) becomes

$$u(y_0) < \sup_{\partial \Omega \setminus B_{\delta}(y_0)} u + \varepsilon.$$ 

At last we are able to prove Theorem 4.

**Proof of the main non-existence theorem** Obviously we can suppose that $H \geq 0$. Then,

$$(n - 1)H_{\partial \Omega}(y_0) < nH(y_0, k)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$ since $H$ is non-decreasing in $z$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\varphi = k$ in $\partial \Omega \setminus B_{\delta}(y_0)$ and $\varphi(y_0) = k + \varepsilon$. Hence, no solution of Eq. (1) in $\Omega$ could have $\varphi$ as boundary values because such a function does not satisfy the estimate (6). $\square$
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