An Unusual Bryan and Morrey Type IV Capitellum Fracture with Posterolateral Rotational Instability: A Case Report
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Learning Point of the Article:
Unique fracture pattern of the Capitellum characterized by loss of posterior column integrity and postero-lateral osseo-ligamentous avulsion.

Abstract

Introduction: Better understanding of disruption of bony and ligamentous constraints of the radiocapitellar joint in complex capitellum fracture is essential for optimizing the surgical care and outcome. This report highlights the need for modification in surgical fixation technique to address an unusual variant of this rare injury.

Case Report: We report a three-part capitellum fracture pattern with a Y-shaped split of distal humerus with a sheared anterior fragment and a single, large, non-articular posterolateral fragment in a young male patient. Articular fragment was fixed with two countersunk anterior-distal to posterior-proximal oblique screws. Additional screw was used to fix the posterolateral fragment to prevent posterolateral rotational instability. Mayo elbow performance score was 95 with no rotational instability at 2 years follow-up.

Conclusion: Additional fixation of posterolateral fragment in this three-part variant of Bryan and Morrey Type IV capitellum fracture ensured rotational stability and good function.
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We report a 2-year follow-up of an unusual variant of Bryan and Morrey Type IV capitellum fracture with three-part fracture pattern with a Y-shaped split of distal humerus, sheared anterior fragment, and a single, large posterolateral fragment with avulsed LCL. At 2-year follow-up, the patient had no pain, near-normal elbow movement, and no rotational stability. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for reporting this case.

Case Report

A 26-year-old male, manual laborer presented with pain and swelling over left elbow following a fall on an outstretched hand while driving a two-wheeler. There were diffuse swelling and tenderness over lateral elbow joint line. Forearm rotation was
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associated with pain. Elbow was held in 30° flexion with painful restriction of further flexion. There was no distal neurovascular deficit.

An intra-articular displaced capitellum fracture was diagnosed on plain radiographs of the elbow (Fig. 1a, b). Computed tomography (CT) images revealed a three-part capitellum fracture pattern with a Y-shaped split of distal humerus, a sheared anterior crescent-shaped fragment, and a single, large posterolateral fragment including the lateral epicondyle. The anterior crescent-shaped capitellum fragment extending up to the lateral part of trochlea was displaced proximally and laterally. The posterior arm of the Y-shaped fracture line exited the posterolateral cortex of distal humerus (Fig. 2a, b, c).

Open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) was done through an anterolateral Kocher’s interval. Posterior soft-tissue sleeve was left undisturbed. Anatomical articular reduction was temporarily held with two 1.0 mm Kirschner wires (Fig. 3).

Headless cannulated screws were not available at this trauma center which is a low-resource health-care setup. Instead, two divergent, countersunk, solid 3.5 mm cortical screws were used to stabilize the articular fragment. Both screws were applied obliquely from anterior-distal to posterior-proximal to ensure adequate far cortex purchase above the unstable posterolateral fragment and to prevent posterior column comminution (Fig. 4). An additional oblique, extra-articular screw was used to fix the posterolateral fragment. Primary LCL repair was done and additional absorbable sutures used to tag the LCL to the intact posterolateral soft-tissue sleeve. Elbow was taken through a full range of movement and valgus and rotational stability was assessed, wound was irrigated and closed over suction drain. Active-assisted elbow range of movement exercises initiated from the 7th post-operative day.

At 3 months follow-up, fracture united and the patient regained active elbow flexion from 5° to 130°. He complained of minor
Adequate imaging of complex capitellum fractures is recommended for optimum surgical planning and fixation [3, 10]. In this case, the CT images complemented plain radiographs in detecting the Type IV (B) fracture with a single, large posterolateral fragment containing the lateral epicondyle and a small fragment avulsed from the lateral supracondylar ridge attached to the LCL. CT helped define the fracture morphology, extent of intra-articular comminution, posterior cortical integrity, and osseoligamentous injury. However, CT imaging may not be available or affordable in many LMICs trauma centers and we were fortunate to have access to CT images in this case. We suggest a high index of suspicion of a Type IV (B) fracture pattern, especially in young adults and adolescents, even when CT imaging is not feasible.

HO, hardware prominence, osteonecrosis, arthritis, and coronal and rotational stability have been described as possible complications in Bryan and Morrey Type IV fractures [9, 10]. Our patient did not report any pain or instability at 2 years follow-up. He complained of posterior screw impingement at extreme extension but it did not affect his function and return to work. Hastings Class I HO noted in this patient did not adversely affect his elbow function.

Discussion

Stable fixation and anatomical articular reduction of Bryan and Morrey Type IV fracture allows early mobilization and good outcome [5]. Management of this complex fracture pattern can be associated with HO, avascular necrosis, and chronic posterolateral rotational instability secondary to ligamentous injury or Osborne-Cotterill osteochondral lesion [5, 6]. Posterior column instability and associated injuries have a direct bearing on the surgical outcome. However, the anatomical Bryan and Morrey classification does not address the above factors. Hence, Dubberley et al. subclassified capitellum fractures with and without posterior column comminution and Ring et al. identified associated injuries [7, 8]. Surgical approach and preferred fixation method can be determined using both these classification systems.

Our patient presented with a three-part capitellum fracture pattern with a Y-shaped split of distal humerus. The sheared anterior fragment was similar to Dubberly Type 2A. The single, large, posterior-lateral fragment included the avulsed lateral epicondyle and avulsed LCL extended to the posterior-lateral 3rd facet described by Ring et al. but just sort of the posterior column. This injury predisposed the posterior column and elbow to iatrogenic fracture and posterolateral rotational instability, respectively. This fracture pattern can neither be classified as true Dubberly Type 2A nor as Ring Type 2 or 3 because the large posterior-lateral fragment included both the second and third articular facets [7, 8]. In view of routine clinical use of Bryan and Morrey classification, we posit to describe this fracture pattern as Bryan and Morrey Type IV (B) variant to emphasize use of CT scan for accurate diagnosis of such fracture pattern and suggest surgical fixation of both fragments with oblique screws to enable adequate posterior cortex purchase and avoid iatrogenic posterior column comminution and posterolateral rotational instability.

ORIF with Herbert (headless) screws is the recommended implant for fixation. Instrumentation for these specialized screws tends to be expensive and is not always available in low-resource health-care settings in low-middle-income countries (LMICs). Dubberley et al. recommended posteromedial screws for Type 2A fractures and additional screws or sutures to fix lateral epicondyle fractures [7]. Ruchelsman et al. and Ravishankar et al. reported good outcome with anterior to posterior screws for Bryan and Morrey Type IV fracture fixation and recommended additional mini-fragment screws for associated lateral epicondyle fractures [4, 9]. We used three non-cannulated 3.5 mm countersunk cortical screws in this case and found them to be a cost effective, safe, and reliable fixation option.

Figure 5: (a) Anterior-posterior radiograph of the left elbow at 2 years follow-up with lateral supracondylar ridge thickening but no arthritic changes. (b) Lateral plain radiograph of the left elbow at 2 years follow-up with posterior screw prominence over olecranon fossa.
Conclusion

This three-part variant of Bryan and Morrey Type IV capitellum fracture needs additional fixation to ensure rotational stability and good elbow function. A CT scan should be done for defining this fracture pattern and for planning screw positions whenever feasible. Additional care must be taken to carefully countersink screws and determine accurate screw length and trajectory to avoid hardware-related complications.

Clinical Message

High clinical index of suspicion is essential to detect disruption of bony and ligament constraints of the radiocapitellar joint in complex capitellum fracture. Restoration of good elbow function and stability needs accurate diagnosis of fracture pattern and additional stabilization of the posterolateral fragment. Obliquely directed “anterior-distal to posterior-proximal” screws can aid in achieving stable fixation of the articular fragment with concomitant posterior column disruption.
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