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Abstract

Background: Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (IMT) is a rare mesenchymal tumor and is prevalent among children and adolescents. Surgery is the most important therapeutic approach for IMT and complete resection is recommended. Although 50% of IMTs present anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) rearrangements, an efficacy has been shown by the use of Crizotinib. However the genetic landscape of this tumor is not fully understood and the therapeutic options are limited in particular for the remaining percentage of negative ALK tumors.

Case presentation: In our case, we detail the clinical history of 18-year-old female patient diagnosed with pulmonary IMT negative for ALK, subjected to surgery and subsequently to follow-up. The initial pathology report oriented for a salivary gland lung cancer. Afterwards due to a second look by another pathologist an ALK negative IMT of the lung was diagnosed. We also perform a literature review based on IMT and other kinase fusions found in addition to ALK such as ROS proto-oncogene 1 (ROS1), rearranged during transfection (RET), neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinases (NTRKs) and platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR beta).

Conclusions: IMT is a very rare disease involving children and adolescents. Little is known about the clinical and molecular characteristics, pathological diagnosis, prognosis and optimal management strategy of IMT. Since there is no "standard of care" therapy for IMT, identifying feasible genomic alterations could redefine the management of patients with negative ALK disease.

Introduction

Inflammatory Myofibroblastic Tumor (IMT) is a rare mesenchymal tumor with an incidence of 0.04%. IMT is more frequent in female than in male for soft tissue origin and 1:1 ratio for lung one and usually involves children, adolescents and young adults even if it can occur at any age (1).

The lungs are the most common site of IMT onset, although it can be detected in others anatomic sites such as the retroperitoneum, abdomen, and pelvic cavity. Despite the low metastatic potential surgery remains the gold standard therapeutic approach for localized resectable disease. However despite of this IMT can be locally invasive and in 10% of cases is could be associated with distant metastasis. (2, 3) The IMT prognosis is good with a 5-year survival rate of 74–91%. (4)

Approximately 50% of IMT cases harbor a clonal translocation that activates the anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-receptor tyrosine kinase gene located at 2p23 locus. (5) As a result ALK protein is overexpressed and can be detected with immunohistochemical tests. ALK acquire an oncogenic potential as a result of a gene fusion, such as in anaplastic large cell lymphoma, lung cancer and also in IMT, or due to a missense mutation as seen in neuroblastoma and anaplastic thyroid cancer. (6)

ALK positivity to immunohistochemical test, as an expression of ALK-based gene fusions, is more prevalent in pediatric IMT patients compared to the adult counterpart. However, it remains unclear if this
discrepancy depends by an intrinsic difference in biology of IMT between the two age groups (children vs adult), or instead is merely a reflection of a wider spectrum of genetic alterations. (7, 3)

IMTs display a wide morphologic spectrum, ranging from an inflammatory ‘pseudotumor’ with predominant hyalinization and chronic inflammation and only a paucity of lesional spindle cells, to a highly cellular myofibroblastic proliferation and occasionally defined as sarcomatous neoplasm due to the lacking of significant inflammatory or/and fibromyxoid stromal component. The diagnosis of ALK-negative IMTs is often hard due to its markedly variable phenotype and lack of objective immunoprofile regarding a potential waste-basket of different entities, including reactive/inflammatory processes, such as the fibro-inflammatory IgG4 related disease, idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis, and at the other end of the spectrum, other spindle cell/pleomorphic sarcoma. (8, 9)

Metastatic patients harboring alk alteration can be effectively treated using ALK inhibitors. (10, 11)

However, outside alk inhibitors treatment options are limited for patients with unresectable/advanced disease and for negative ALK tumors. (12)

In order to increase the knowledge about this very rare disease we report a case of ALK negative lung IMT surgically resected in a AYA patient

Furthermore a we carried out a literature review on emerging genetic alteration in ALK-negative IMT such as ROS1, NTRK, RET or PDGFR beta in order to suggest targetable biomarkers that can be improve the management of patients with negative ALK disease.

**Case Presentation**

In December 2015 a 18-year-old girl was admitted to the hospital due to a car accident. A CT scan was performed to exclude lung injury and a broncopulmonary nodule with a diameter of 14 mm at the apical segment of the right lower lobe (RLL) was incidentally detected. She had been in her usual state of good health prior to this referral and specifically denied fever or chills. Her past medical history was negative as the family history for inherited diseases. Due to the radiological characteristics and the young age a prudential follow up was decided with a chest CT scan. In December 2018 a slight increase (16 x 12 vs 14 x 10 mm) in the longest diameters of the broncopulmonary nodule was noted. A $^{18}$FDG- fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose- ($^{18}$FDG) and $^{68}$Gallium ($^{68}$Ga) DOTATATE Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) were performed showing an increased standardized uptake value (SUV) max of the nodule of 3.5 and 3.4 respectively. (Fig. 1)

An endobronchial hamartoma was suspected and patient underwent a videothoracoscopic segmentectomy of the apical segment of right lower lobe (RLL) with ilo-mediastinal lymphadenectomy. Histopathological examination showed a complex lesion regarded as a salivary gland lung neoplasm. The epithelial component shows well defined solid and acinar architecture without a capsule, with low degree of malignancy, IHC positive for cam5.2, naspin, TTF1 and weak positivity for sinaptofysin and
negative for chromogranin A, CD56, DOG1 and SOX10. Intense cytoplasmic positivity for PAS was also reported. 8 lymph nodes founded was negative for metastasis. No pleural infiltration was detected. The clinical-morphological and immunophenotypic features were diagnosed as salivary gland lung cancer.

After surgery patient was admitted at our Institution for a second opinion where a second revision of the histopathology diagnosis from an another expert pathologist is mandatory according Rare Tumors Guidelines. The pathology revision showed a central area of compact fascicular spindle cells, sometimes with eosinophilic citoplasm which are mixed with numerous inflammatory elements including plasma cells and lymphocytes inserted in a dense fibrous stroma.

On the periphery of the nodule there are numerous epithelial elements, cubic cells without atypia or mitosis which at immunohistochemical characterization were positive for Ck7 and napsin and TTF1 (A) and negative for CD117, chromogranin and synaptophysin previously considered as neoplastic but propably reactive to the real lesion. The IgG plasma cells are numerous mostly in the periferical fields, but the IgG4 ratio is normal. The spindle cell component was positive for smooth actin (B) and negative for ALK (C) using Vysis LSI ALK (2p23) Dual Color, Break Apart Rearrangement probe. The Ki67 was 5%. These findings oriented for a diagnosis of an inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (IMT). (Fig. 2)

Thereafter, discussed in the multidisciplinary board of Soft Tissue Sarcoma of our Institute, no adjuvant treatment was decided and the patient was placed on a 3-monthly follow-up program.

To now, patient has been in clinical follow-up for 18 months, with no signs of recurrent disease.

**Discussion**

IMT is a rare neoplasm which belongs to a subtype of soft tissue sarcoma, with an overall prevalence of approximately 0.04%-0.7% (13, 14)

It may occur at any age but it is more common in children and adolescents, constituting less than 1% of adult lung tumors. (15, 16)

Therapeutic options for patients with unresectable and/or advanced IMT are limited in particular for ALK negative cases. (17)

Other treatments besides surgery include radiation and chemotherapy. (18)

Dishop et al. reported a case treated with vincristine and etoposide as the first line and cisplatin, adriamycin, and methotrexate as the second line after incomplete resection. (19)

In addition, complete remission was reported using vincristine, ifosfamide, doxorubicin, and celecoxib (20)

Steroid and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs have also been reported as effective for IMT. However the patient’s phenotype that can have a benefit for the treatment is stil debate. Steroids have been
reported as effective for both IMT containing and IMT patients without IgG4SD features (21, 22).

On the other hand, Cerfolio et al. reported two cases where the remaining tumor showed no growth after incomplete resection during 4 to 9 years follow-up and the cases did not receive any additional treatment, although the biological features of those cases were not showed. (23)

ALK and/or ALK expression gene rearrangement has been described as a good prognostic marker in IMT, while ALK negative IMT appears to be more aggressive with a higher frequency of metastasis than ALK positive IMT (7, 3).

Among ALK rearrangements have been identified more than 10 different ALK fusion partner genes in IMT, including the most common TPM3/4, RANBP2, TFG, CARS, ATIC LMNA, PRKAR1a, CLTC, FN1, SEC31A, and EML4. Chromosomal translocation led to the formation of an ALK fusion protein which exhibits kinase activity independent of the ligand due to the self-phosphorylation of the chimeric protein. This results in prolonged survival of the cancer cell, hyperproliferation and enhanced cell migration. (24–29)

In contrast, actionable genomic alterations have not yet been described in about 50% of IMT samples that are negative for ALK by IHC. ALK-negative IMTs may be more aggressive than ALK-positive IMT with a higher frequency of metastasis. (3)

Our case showed a slowly growing ALK negative IMT in a AYA patient. Initially IMT was misdiagnosed and patients underwent radiological follow up for 4 years without any morphological modifications. After surgery the pathology report oriented for a salivary gland tumor of the lung and only after a second look the IMT was defined. This reflects the challenge in the diagnosis in case of ALK negative IMT.

Furthermore in case of ALK negative tumors little is known about their potential oncogenic drivers, so there are no targeted therapies available.

Recent studies have shown ALK-negative IMT might harbor other kinase fusions such as ROS1, NTRK, RET or PDGFR beta, which initiated genome-level research into potential tumorigenic drivers in ALK-negative subsets of IMTs.

In 2014 was published a study in which other possible IMT actionable targets were reported for the first time, involving ROS1 and PDGFR beta fusions. A genetic analysis was performed by NGS on 33 IMT samples, 11 of which were ALK negative specimens. In cases in which there was sufficient tumor material available, the kinase fusions were verified with RNA sequencing. Kinase fusion different from ALK fusion were identified in 6 of 11 ALK negative cases. Four contained distinct ROS1 fusions (sample L3/L4, YWHAE-ROS1, sample L6, TGF-ROS1) and 2 contained a PDGFR beta fusion (samples L7/L10, NAB2-PDGFR beta). They are both actionable targets of FDA-approved drugs. Notably, they also detected ALK fusions in 2 of 11 IMT samples that tested negative for ALK expression by IHC. (30)

ROS1 rearrangements are reported in approximately 9–13% of IMT, all ALK-negative cases (25, 31). Clinical cases of children/adolescents with pulmonary IMT with a TGF-ROS1 rearrangement are present...
in literature. They all benefited from treatment with crizotinib (250 mg) with a significant reduction in tumor size. (31–34)

Jia He et al found for the first time a double amplification of CDK4 and MDM2 with protein overexpression by NGS and IHC in a 68-year-old woman with a gastric IMT with local invasion of spleen and diaphragm(35).

Furthermore, Antonescu et al. found correlations between the genotypes and certain clinic-pathologic characteristics of the IMT .(36) All cases have been tested for ALK gene rearrangements. ALK negative tumors have been further studied by FISH and RNA sequencing in some cases for abnormalities in ROS1, PDGFRB, NTRK1 and RET. About 6 ROS1 rearranged IMTs all except one is presented in children, mainly in the lung and intra-abdominal, with a specific growth of spindle cells with long cell processes. RET rearrangement was found in a 27-year-old pulmonary IMT characterized by a solid pseudosarcomatous growth and a fatal clinical outcome.

As reported above IMT has an increased incidence in children, adolescents and young adults (AYA). Our case was an asymptomatic young adult female of 18 yo. Initially due to the radiological characteristic of the nodule, the lacking of risk factors for neoplastic disease and the young age it was decided to follow radiologically the lung nodule and it was decided to perform the surgery only after a increasing in the size of the nodule. We would like to focus our attention onto the attitude into diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in cases of AYA should be different from that used in adult cases. Unfortunately there is a lacking of AYA specialized centers that should be implemented

Conclusions

In summary, we report a case of a pulmonary alk negative IMT surgically resected.

No further gene rearrangements have been investigated to date other than that for ALK. However, from literature data available, it seems to be hat the majority of IMTs shows kinase fusions. Conventional detection methods, such as IHC and FISH, may fail to detect a range of actionable gene rearrangements, such as ROS1, RET, NTRK, and PDGFRβ, in IMTs and sometimes a broader molecular testing, such as NGS, is necessary to explore the comprehensive genetic characteristics. This could present an important resource in clinical practice in order to increase potentially drug targets for patients with IMT, especially for those identified as ALK negative.
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Table
Table 1
Summary of the main case series on ALK negative IMT

| STUDY                        | Lovly et al. (2014) | Shijie et al. (2019) | Hornick et al. (2015) | Jia et al. (2018) | Antonescu et al. (2015) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| TYPE OF STUDY                | Case report N=1     | Case report N=1      | Molecular study N=30  | Case report N=1  | Molecular study N=67    |
|                             |                     |                      | (9 ALK -)             |                  | (27 ALK-)              |
| SUBJECTS                    |                     |                      |                       |                  |                         |
| MUTATIONS                   | TGF-ROS1            | TGF-ROS1             | ROS1 (TGF-ROS1 fusion, YWHAE-ROS1 fusion, fusion partner unknown) | double amplification of CDK4 and MDM2 | ROS1, RET               |
| TREATMENT                   | Crizotinib (250 mg) | Crizotinib (250 mg)  | -                     | -                | -                       |
| RESULTS                     | continued decrease in tumor burden | continuous remission with significant reduction in tumor size | -                     | -                | -                       |

Figures

Figure 2

Figures with 10x magnification show cubic cells (EE) without atypia positive for TTF1 (A) (red arrow), Ck7 and napsin and negative for CD117, chromogranin and synaptophysin. The IgG plasma cells are numerous mostly in the periferical fields, but the IgG4 ratio is normal. The spindle cell component was positive for smooth actin (B) and negative for ALK (C).