Dynamic changes in the date palm fruit proteome during development and ripening
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Date palm (Phoenix dactylifera) is an economically important fruit tree in the Middle East and North Africa and is characterized by large cultivar diversity, making it a good model for studies on fruit development and other important traits. Here in gel comparative proteomics combined with tandem mass spectrometry were used to study date fruit development and ripening. Total proteins were extracted using a phenol-based protocol. A total of 189 protein spots were differentially regulated (p ≤ 0.05). The identified proteins were classified into 14 functional categories. The categories with the most proteins were ‘disease and defense’ (16.5%) and ‘metabolism’ (15.4%). Twenty-nine proteins have not previously been identified in other fleshy fruits and 64 showed contrasting expression patterns in other fruits. Abundance of most proteins with a role in abiotic stress responses increased during ripening with the exception of heat shock proteins. Proteins with a role in anthocyanin biosynthesis, glycolysis, tricarboxylic acid cycle and cell wall degradation were upregulated particularly from the onset of ripening and during ripening. In contrast, expression of pentose phosphate- and photosynthesis-related proteins decreased during fruit maturation. Although date palm is considered a climacteric species, the analysis revealed downregulation of two enzymes involved in ethylene biosynthesis, suggesting an ethylene-independent ripening of ‘Barhi’ fruits. In summary, this proteomics study provides insights into physiological processes during date fruit development and ripening at the systems level and offers a reference proteome for the study of regulatory mechanisms that can inform molecular and biotechnological approaches to further improvements of horticultural traits including fruit quality and yield.
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INTRODUCTION

Date palm (Phoenix dactylifera) is a perennial, dioecious monocot that is highly heterozygous.1 Dates have been an agriculturally and economically important fruit crop for centuries in the Middle East and North Africa.2 They are not only a staple for millions of people,3 but they also have potential health benefits due to their high nutrient content and bioactive compounds including polyphenols such as flavonoids, tannins and phenolics.4–6 The date high nutrient content and bioactive compounds including polyphenols such as flavonoids, tannins and phenolics.4–6 The date palm (Phoenix dactylifera) is an economically important fruit tree in the Middle East and North Africa and is characterized by large cultivar diversity, making it a good model for studies on fruit development and other important traits. Here in gel comparative proteomics combined with tandem mass spectrometry were used to study date fruit development and ripening. Total proteins were extracted using a phenol-based protocol. A total of 189 protein spots were differentially regulated (p ≤ 0.05). The identified proteins were classified into 14 functional categories. The categories with the most proteins were ‘disease and defense’ (16.5%) and ‘metabolism’ (15.4%). Twenty-nine proteins have not previously been identified in other fleshy fruits and 64 showed contrasting expression patterns in other fruits. Abundance of most proteins with a role in abiotic stress responses increased during ripening with the exception of heat shock proteins. Proteins with a role in anthocyanin biosynthesis, glycolysis, tricarboxylic acid cycle and cell wall degradation were upregulated particularly from the onset of ripening and during ripening. In contrast, expression of pentose phosphate- and photosynthesis-related proteins decreased during fruit maturation. Although date palm is considered a climacteric species, the analysis revealed downregulation of two enzymes involved in ethylene biosynthesis, suggesting an ethylene-independent ripening of ‘Barhi’ fruits. In summary, this proteomics study provides insights into physiological processes during date fruit development and ripening at the systems level and offers a reference proteome for the study of regulatory mechanisms that can inform molecular and biotechnological approaches to further improvements of horticultural traits including fruit quality and yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material
Date palm fruits (cultivar ‘Barhi’) were collected at Thuwal, Province of Makkah, on the shores of the Red Sea in Saudi Arabia at four developmental stages: 25 days after pollination (DAP; S1), 70 DAP (MD), 110 DAP (NTR) and 125 DAP (RIPE-Khalal stage). The optimal date of harvest, designated as S1, range from 1 to 25 April 2011 and 2012. This was based on fruit size, shape, color and the historical harvest date for this cultivar. Fruits were immediately rinsed with sterile distilled water, frozen in liquid nitrogen, transported to the laboratory and stored at −80 °C. For comparative proteomics, three biological repetitions comprising 10-pooled fruits harvested over two consecutive years for each stage were used.
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Protein extraction
Proteins were extracted from date hypanthium by phenol extraction as described previously, with some modifications. Fruit skin and innermost layers including the seed were removed prior to extraction. Approximately 5 g of frozen tissue was ground twice for 5 s each to a fine powder in 5 mL of cold extraction buffer (1% w/v polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.7 M sucrose, 0.1 M KCl, 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2% w/v (1-Mercaptoethanol) on ice using a PowerGen® Model 125 homogenizer (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Volume was adjusted to 15 mL and the mixture homogenized for 30 min at 4°C. The mixture was homogenized for an additional 30 min at 4°C after addition of an equal volume of 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5-saturated phenol in 100% (v/v) methanol overnight at 4°C. Proteins were pelleted by centrifugation at 10 000g for 30 min at 4°C. The upper phenol phase was used to extract proteins twice in extraction buffer. The final phenol phase was precipitated with five volumes of saturated ammonium acetate in 100% (v/v) methanol and subsequently three times in 100% (v/v) ice-cold acetone. Each wash was followed by centrifugation at 10 000g for 10 min at 4°C to collect proteins, which were finally air-dried and solubilized in isoelectric focusing buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% (w/v) 3-(Cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio-1-propanesulfonate, 1% (w/v) IPG buffer pH 3–10). Proteins were quantified by Bradford using Quick Start Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and bovine serum albumin standard. Quality was assessed by one-dimensional gel electrophoresis.

Comparative two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) and protein identification
Proteins (50 µg) were used to rehydrate a 7-cm-long linear IPG strip, pH range 4–7 (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). 2-DE, SYPRO Ruby (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) staining and imaging were performed as detailed previously. Image analysis with Delta 2D v4.2 (DECODON, Greifswald, Germany) and trypsin digestion of differentially expressed spots were carried out as reported previously. Digested peptides were dried and stored at −20°C. Peptides were analyzed with an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos (Thermo-Scientific, Bremen, Germany) coupled to nanoelectrospray ion source (Proxeon Biosystems, Odense, Denmark) for liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as described previously. Raw data files were converted to mgf using Proteome Discoverer v1.2 (Thermo-Scientific). All spectra were submitted to a local MASCOT (Matrix Science, London, UK) server and searched against the Phoenix dactylifera database downloaded from http://qatar-weill.cornell.edu/research/datepalmGenome/download.html (version 3) using a precursor mass tolerance of ±10 ppm, a fragment ion mass tolerance of ±0.6 Da, and strict trypsin specificity allowing up to one missed cleavage, carbamidomethyl on cysteine residues as fixed modification, and oxidation of methionine residues and phosphorylation of serine, threonine and tyrosine residues as variable modifications. Proteins were considered positive if the Mascot score was over the 95% confidence limit (>26 for plant). Data was validated with Scaffold v4 (Proteome Software, Portland, OR, USA). The dataset presented here only includes proteins and peptides with >95% probability each and <1% false discovery rate. Scaffold PTM (Proteome Software) was used to identify and position phosphorylation and oxidation posttranslational modifications (PTMs).

Bioinformatics analysis for functional enrichment and protein classification
Blast2GO (http://www.blast2go.org; v2.6.6) was used for gene ontology (GO) functional enrichment analysis. Blast-p search of identified protein sequences was performed against the NCBI non-redundant database with a minimum expectation value of $1 \times 10^{-5}$. Annotations were made with default parameters: pre-eValue-Hit-Filter at $1 \times 10^{-5}$, cut-off was set at 55 and GO weight at 5. Annotation was augmented using Annotation Expander (ANNEX) and the addition of GO terms associated with functional domains derived from scanning the InterPro database. Functional classification was performed as described previously.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Comparative 2-DE analysis and protein identification
‘Barhi’ fruits, when harvested at RIPE (Khalal stage) before over-ripening (Rutab stage), are firm, yellow and contain about 30% moisture. A phenol-based extraction method yielded a protein fraction that produced high-quality 2-DE maps with minimal streaking in the acidic pH range and well-resolved protein spots (Figure 1). Reference gel images displayed distinct dissimilarities in terms of spot abundance and distribution among the four developmental stages (S1, MD, NTR and RIPE). Differences were observed particularly over pH range 4–5. The principal component analysis showed a closer correlation between biological replicates than between developmental stages (Supplementary File 1). The Delta 2D analysis revealed an average of 960 unique spots resolved on individual 2-DE and this is comparable to recently reported fruit proteome profiles.

The abundance of 189 protein spots was significantly altered ($p \leq 0.01$) with a minimum fold change of $\pm 1.5$ during the four developmental stages and these spots were selected for further analyses (Figure 1). Heat maps revealed major changes in protein expression over the course of date development and ripening. A first subset of spots was downregulated from NTR stage (Figure 2a), while a second subset was upregulated (Figure 2b). Of the 189 significantly altered spots, 171 were positively identified by LC-MS/MS corresponding to 193 unique proteins (Supplementary Files 2 and 3), while 18 spots (6, 51, 118, 139, 148, 152, 161, 204, 207, 219, 236, 276, 293, 315, 321, 399, 505 and 517) remained unidentified (Figure 1). Of the 171 identified spots, 68 (representing 82 proteins) and 78 (representing 96 proteins) were up- or down-regulated at different times. A further 25 spots (representing 36 proteins) showed differential accumulation throughout development (Supplementary File 2).

GO analysis of differentially expressed proteins
GO analysis using Blast2GO facilitated classification of the 193 identified proteins. The GO analysis is a tool that allows inferring functional based on enrichments in comparison to the total proteome. Among the 82 upregulated and 96 downregulated proteins during fruit development, the most enriched biological processes (p ≤ 0.05) are ‘metabolic’ and ‘cellular processes’, ‘response to stimulus’ and ‘biological regulation’ (Supplementary File 4). The most enriched molecular functions (p ≤ 0.05) included ‘catalytic activity’ and ‘binding’. Enrichments were also detected in cellular compartments ‘vesicle’, ‘furamrate reductase complex’ and ‘respiratory chain complex II’ (Supplementary File 4). GO terms detected were most markedly enriched at RIPE stage, suggesting occurrence of a distinct metabolic phase that could possibly serve as an indicator of developmental reprogramming (Figure 3).

The most represented GO term was ‘metabolic processes’ with 134 proteins differentially regulated during fruit development and ripening (Figure 3 and Supplementary File 4). In this category, abundance of 17 proteins consistently increased throughout development and ripening, while 29, 19 and 69 proteins were transiently upregulated only at MD, NTR and RIPE, respectively (Figure 3). In the ‘response to stress’ category, 22 proteins were upregulated throughout the entire development and ripening stages, while 29 proteins were transiently downregulated at NTR and RIPE (Supplementary File 4). The GO analysis of the date fruit-dependent proteome revealed that proteins from specific metabolic and cellular processes are induced at particular developmental stages suggesting maturation specific roles.

Date-specific hypanthium proteins and their expression
Of the 193 identified proteins that were significantly differentially expressed ($p \leq 0.01$), we noted that 29 were specific to date fruit and, according to Bevan et al., belong to 10 functional categories (Table 1). To date, these proteins have not been identified in any other fleshy fruits, possibly due to limited proteomic data available from fleshy fruits of monocot plants. However, identification of this set of proteins could also be due to the different stages at which
fruits were collected and characterized. For example, banana fruits were grouped into two stages, pre- and post-climacteric, while in the current study, four stages were considered, two before ripening (S1 and MD) and two after the onset of ripening (NTR and RIPE). An additional five proteins, proline iminopeptidase (spot 129), aspartyl-tRNA synthetase (spot 274), GDP dissociation inhibitor (spot 370), disproportionating enzyme (spot 385) and ornithine carbamoyl-transferase (spot 566), which have been detected in other fleshy fruits, but not characterized as differentially expressed during development or ripening, were identified in this study (Table 1).

Sixty-four differentially expressed date proteins (Table 2) showed opposite transient accumulation to previously reported expression changes in fruits such as tomato, strawberry and apple during fruit development and ripening. Likewise, proteins involved in metabolism such as glucan endo-1,4-β-gluicosidase (spot 192), energy metabolism-related proteins like transaldolase-like protein (spot 202), signal transduction proteins such as zinc finger (spot 587) and disease/defense protein, E3 ubiquitin ligase (spot 583) were downregulated at NTR and RIPE in the date hypanthium, but upregulated in papaya, peach, mango and grapes during fruit ripening. The different protein expression patterns noted here may indicate date specific development patterns and/or result from differing plant interactions with their respective environments.

Classification of development and ripening-specific proteins

Based on UniProt and TAIR publications, differentially expressed proteins were assigned to 14 categories previously described: disease and defense (16.5%), metabolism (15.4%), unclassified
Figure 2. Heat maps of differentially expressed protein spots during fruit development and ripening. Clustered spots show different expression during fruit development according to the normalized spot pixel intensity in each replicate. a shows spots mainly downregulated from NTR and b shows spots mainly upregulated at NTR and RIPE.
protein destination and storage' (10.7%), 'energy' (9.9%), 'cellular structure' (7.0%), 'secondary metabolism' (5.5%), 'signal transduction' (5.1%), 'protein synthesis' (5.1%), 'unclear classification' (2.6%), 'transporters' (2.6%), 'transcription' (2.2%), 'cell growth/division' (1.8%) and 'intracellular traffic' (0.4%) (Figure 4 and Supplementary File 2). Some proteins have dual roles including 'response to abiotic stress' and this will be discussed in the sections below.

Response to abiotic stress. In this category, nine proteins were identified as date hypanthium-specific, 29 proteins showed contrasting accumulation patterns compared to other fruits and seven had similar patterns. Of the nine date hypanthium-specific proteins, expression of two transcription-related proteins, GATA transcription factor 25 (spot 302) and inducer of C-repeat-binding factor expression 1 DNA-binding transcription activator (spot 302) (Table 1), was reduced at NTR and later increased at RIPE in comparison to S1 stage. C-repeat-binding factor expression 1 is a transcription factor regulating expression of a number of genes with a role in abiotic stress responses including responses to cold, drought, high temperature and salt stress. The transient upregulation of C-repeat-binding factor expression 1 at NTR suggests that it might play a role in abiotic stress responses at the onset of date hypanthium ripening, a stage characterized by changes in metabolic activities and fruit physiology.

Two date-specific proteins involved in protein synthesis, group antigen polymerase (Gag-pol) polyprotein (spot 249) and aspartyl-tRNA synthetase (spot 274), were upregulated during ripening (Table 1). Aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, essential during the first step of translation, has been implicated in processes including response to cadmium ion and aspartyl-tRNA aminocyclation, protein matura-
tion and response to salt stress. Its expression was also detected in apple and strawberry fruit extracts. In addition to Gag-pol polyprotein and aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, another protein identified in this category, elongation factor thermo unstable (EF-Tu; spots 431 and 433; Supplementary File 2) has been previously detected in maturing fruits. This protein belongs to the GTP binding EF-Tu family and plays an essential role in translational elongation. In plants, EF-Tu is involved in chaperone activity to protect protein aggregation in response to environmental stress, thereby facilitating degradation of N-terminally blocked proteins by the proteasome and triggering resistance to pathogenic bacteria. Overexpression of an EF-Tu gene has been shown to improve heat tolerance in maize (Zea mays). Similar to the upregulation observed in the current study, it was also detected as increasing during grape ripening.

Further, five stress-responsive proteins classified in the category 'protein destination and storage' showed differential accumulation during development. Three proteins were detected as upregulated, proline iminopeptidase (spot 129) at NTR only, GDP dissociation inhibitor (spot 370) from the onset of ripening, and the e-subunit chaperonin containing tailless complex protein (spot 531) at RIPE. Expression of two other proteins, proteasome subunit β type 7-A (spot 210) and nuclear transport (spot 300), decreased at all stages (Table 1). GDP dissociation inhibitor is involved in signaling on the plasma membrane and may be essential for actin reorganization. The protein was detected in young satsuma mandarins (Citrus unshiu) and its expression increased in peach fruits following post-harvest heat treatment. In the latter study, GDP dissociation inhibitor was proposed to play a vital role in maintenance of cell integrity during fruit ripening and senescence, and its upregulation at NTR and RIPE suggests a similar role in the date hypanthium.

Expression of aldose reductase (spot 401), a disease/defense-related protein and a member of the aldo-keto reductase family, increased at both MD and RIPE. Unlike other members of the family (e.g., aldo-keto reductase), this protein has not been reported as differentially expressed during development in other fruits. Aldose reductase catalyzes the Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-dependent
| Spot no. | Identified protein | Protein probability | Accession no. | Theoretical MW (Da)/p | Observed MW (Da)/p | Sequence coverage | NUP | FC S1/MD | FC S1/NTR | FC S1/R |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----|-----------|-----------|--------|
| 01 | Metabolism | 100.00% | 3065509133g003 | 64132/5.34 | 61000/6.1 | 13.30% | 7 | ns | ns | −7.28 |
| 197 | Furostanol glycoside 26-O-β-glucosidase | 100.00% | 30785981g002 | 64116/9.91 | 39000/5.6 | 1.44% | 1 | ns | 4.20 | 6.00 |
| 227 | Dolichyl-phosphate-mannose-glycolipid α-mannosyltransferase | 98.50% | 30945081g001 | 20285/7.02 | 82000/5.35 | 1.44% | 3 | ns | −3.61 | 1.99 |
| 235 | Prosomal α-subunit | 98.00% | 301194551g005 | 52152/5.41 | 61000/5.0 | 3.70% | 1 | ns | −1.91 |
| 385 | Disproportionating enzyme α | 99.70% | 30993441g001 | 29388/5.16 | 66000/4.5 | 12.50% | 3 | −2.02 | 4.57 | 5.56 |
| 566 | Ornithine carbamoyltransferase α | 99.50% | 30696641g001 | 42893/7.66 | 16000/4.3 | 3.08% | 1 | ns | −2.03 | −2.99 |
| 02 | Energy | 100.00% | 301009551g003 | 16907/5.11 | 15000/5.95 | 13.60% | 2 | ns | 2.16 | −2.70 |
| 477 | UMP6 mitochondrial flags: precursor | 98.10% | 30797691g002 | 19227/10.01 | 62000/5.6 | 6.29% | 1 | ns | −3.21 |
| 366 | Growth regulator | 99.50% | 30862811g001 | 75649/6.15 | 20000/4.9 | 1.48% | 1 | 2.75 | ns |
| 387 | KU P80 DNA | 99.70% | 301133641g001 | 80728/9.32 | 25000/5.65 | 1.37% | 1 | ns | 2.14 | −1.96 |
| 561 | Chaperonin containing t-complex protein epsilon 1 | 99.50% | 301179991g005 | 16883/5.77 | 11000/5.25 | 6.80% | 1 | 2.91 | 2.56 |
| 302 | Inducer of cbl expression 1 DNA binding transcription activator transcription factor | 99.70% | 30953031g001 | 38914/10.25 | 25000/5.65 | 2.51% | 1 | ns | 2.14 | −1.96 |
| 05 | Protein synthesis | 100.00% | 30811431g002 | 49976/7.27 | 24000/4.5 | 2.04% | 1 | ns | 1.65 | 1.72 |
| 249 | Gag-pol polyprotein | 99.70% | 308650950g017 | 66976/5.84 | 65000/4.75 | 19.30% | 7 | ns | 3.30 |
| 06 | Protein destination and storage | 99.50% | 30775611g009 | 20564/5.64 | 31000/5.45 | 4.57% | 2 | ns | 2.22 | ns |
| 199 | Nucleic acid binding | 99.80% | 301050381g001 | 36142/4.99 | 22000/5.88 | 6.98% | 1 | ns | 2.73 | 2.61 |
| 417 | Protein binding structural molecule | 99.90% | 30784571g001 | 33386/5.26 | 50000/5.75 | 6.89% | 1 | ns | −1.93 | −2.51 |
| 11 | Disease/defence | 100.00% | 30947641g003 | 80204/5.04 | 82000/5.9 | 10.70% | 2 | ns | −2.50 | −1.57 |
| 344 | Heat shock protein 82 | 99.60% | 30723811g008 | 89708/5.18 | 82000/5.9 | 12.40% | 3 | ns | −2.50 | −1.57 |
| 352 | Heat shock protein 82 | 99.70% | 301082281g001, 30723811g008, 30947641g003 | 89708/4.66 | 54000/5.9 | 3.17% | 1 | ns | −2.73 | −1.57 |
| 401 | Aldose reductase | 100.00% | 301006721g002 | 34679/6.01 | 19000/5.0 | 14.10% | 5 | 2.13 | ns | 1.78 |
| 12 | Unclear classification | 99.00% | 307031181g003 | 28760/9.09 | 19000/5.5 | 5.22% | 2 | 2.09 | −1.58 | −2.61 |
| 199 | Nucleic acid binding | 99.80% | 301050381g022 | 36142/4.99 | 22000/5.88 | 6.98% | 1 | ns | −2.95 | −2.56 |
| 477 | Nucleic acid binding | 100.00% | 301050381g022 | 14374/4.99 | 15000/5.95 | 10.90% | 2 | ns | −2.16 | −2.70 |
| 507 | JHL06P13.3-like protein α | 99.80% | 301042771g010 | 50733/5.46 | 43000/5.3 | 2.22% | 1 | 3.96 | 9.99 |
| 508 | JHL06P13.3-like protein β | 100.00% | 301042771g010 | 50733/5.46 | 55000/6.26 | 4.44% | 2 | ns | 1.73 | ns |
| 561 | Mitochondrial glycoprotein | 99.20% | 30796661g001 | 33386/5.55 | 26000/4.35 | 4.05% | 1 | ns | −2.03 |
| 20 | Secondary metabolims | 100.00% | 301099641g001 | 50533/5.98 | 39000/4.8 | 5.29% | 2 | ns | 4.54 | 5.18 |
### Table 1. Continued.

| Spot no. | Identified protein | Protein probability | Accession no. | Theoretical MW (Da)/p | Observed MW (Da)/p | Sequence coverage | NUP | FC S1/MD | FC S1/NTR | FC S1/R |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----|----------|-----------|---------|
| 603      | Glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase | 99.60% | 30s1039641g001 | 50533/5.98 | 55000/5.33 | 2.54% | 1 | ns | 4.07 | 3.70 |
| 623      | Formamidase-like protein | 99.50% | 30s702741g005 | 48663/5.73 | 34000/4.5 | 2.47% | 1 | ns | -1.73 | -3.02 |
| 635      | Formamidase-like protein | 99.50% | 30s702741g005 | 48663/5.73 | 54000/5.1 | 2.47% | 1 | -1.66 | -3.63 | -4.27 |

**Abbreviations:** ns, not significant; NUP, no. of unique peptides.

* a Proteins identified in other fruit studies but not shown to be linked with fruit development or ripening.

* b Highly homologous to Barbados nut (*Jatropha curcas*) and involved in ATP-binding in addition to having ATP-dependent helicase activity.

### Table 2. Differentially regulated proteins in the date hypanthium showing contrasting transient patterns compared to other fruits

| Spot no. | Identified protein | Protein probability | Accession no. | Theoretical MW (Da)/p | Observed MW (Da)/p | Sequence coverage | NUP | FC S1/MD | FC S1/NTR | FC S1/R |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----|----------|-----------|---------|
| 01       | Metabolism        | 100.00%             | 30s696051g001 | 36883/5.86 | 19000/5.5 | 4.79% | 2 | 2.09 | -1.58 | -2.61 |
| 192      | Glucan endo-1,4-β-glucosidase | 100.00% | 30s896091g001 | 48663/5.73 | 60000/6.1 | 14.50% | 3 | -1.90 | -2.96 | -15.84 |
| 196      | Cytochrome p450    | 99.70%              | 30s656601g018 | 24174/4.39 | 23000/6.1 | 7.37% | 1 | ns | ns | -2.09 |
| 192      | Glucan endo-1,4-β-glucosidase | 100.00% | 30s896091g001 | 48663/5.73 | 54000/5.1 | 2.47% | 1 | 1.86 | -8.33 | -8.45 |
| 202      | Sorbitol dehydrogenase | 100.00% | 30s6550951g018 | 38361/5.53 | 45000/5.6 | 21.30% | 3 | ns | ns | -1.56 |
| 312      | UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase | 100.00% | 30s696051g001 | 36883/5.86 | 45000/5.5 | 14.50% | 3 | -2.28 | -1.65 |
| 340      | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component b-subunit | 100.00% | 30s855801g002 | 22740/5.73 | 35000/5.3 | 13.00% | 2 | ns | ns | -2.64 |
| 371      | Enolase            | 100.00%             | 30s663761g002 | 47828/5.91 | 55000/5.1 | 12.20% | 1 | 2.18 | 1.90 | 2.48 |
| 403      | Citrate synthase   | 100.00%             | 30s1040901g002 | 30711/6.3 | 55000/4.3 | 6.64% | 1 | ns | -1.90 | -1.50 |
| 421      | Malate dehydrogenase | 99.50% | 30s903851g004 | 26083/8.76 | 30000/4.7 | 4.39% | 2 | ns | ns | -3.23 |
| 461      | Malate dehydrogenase | 100.00% | 30s908651g004 | 43344/5.68 | 25000/6.1 | 3.28% | 1 | ns | ns | -2.64 |
| 506      | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component b-subunit | 100.00% | 30s561041g002 | 43543/5.55 | 30000/6.1 | 5.60% | 1 | ns | ns | 1.64 |
| 566      | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase | 100.00% | 30s1148281g010 | 40227/5.5 | 16000/4.4 | 12.10% | 4 | ns | -2.03 | -2.99 |
| Spot no. | Identified protein | Protein probability | Accession no. | Theoretical MW (Da)/p | Observed MW (Da)/p | Sequence coverage | NUP | FC S1/MD | FC S1/NTR | FC S1/R |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----|----------|-----------|--------|
| 03      | Cell growth/division |                     |              |                       |                   |                  |     |          |            |        |
| 45      | Condensin complex subunit 1 | 99.30% | 30s702551g004 | 127265/5.78 | 51000/5.34 | 1.47% | 1 | ns | 2.53 | 1.95 |
| 252     | Enhancer of polycomb-like protein | 99.70% | 30s827291g002 | 51710/9.99 | 18000/6.2 | 5.79% | 1 | 4.51 | 4.99 | 2.11 |
| 415     | 14-3-3-like protein | 99.70% | 30s819041g002 | 17032/5.09 | 19000/6.24 | 7.95% | 1 | 2.71 | 2.55 | 1.72 |
| 04      | Transcription |                     |              |                       |                   |                  |     |          |            |        |
| 416     | Transcription factor IIA small subunit | 99.70% | 30s656261g002, 30s760301g006 | 12093/6.08 | 27000/4.7 | 10.40% | 1 | 2.52 | 3.51 | 2.46 |
| 05      | Protein synthesis |                     |              |                       |                   |                  |     |          |            |        |
| 45      | Translation initiation factor (eif-4a) | 99.90% | 30s724051g001, 30s79941g005 | 47064/5.39 | 51000/5.34 | 2.42% | 1 | ns | 2.53 | 1.95 |
| 53      | Elongation factor 1 | 99.70% | 30s667161g002 | 16163/4.26 | 21000/4.7 | 11.90% | 1 | –1.84 | –1.79 | 1.55 |
| 287     | 30s ribosomal protein s1 | 97.70% | 30s78301g009 | 45242/4.95 | 35000/6.25 | 2.15% | 1 | 1.58 | 8.73 | 11.49 |
| 416     | Peptide chain release factor, putative | 99.70% | 30s68251g003 | 9163/4.85 | 27000/4.7 | 13.30% | 1 | 2.52 | 3.51 | 2.46 |
| 504     | 60s ribosomal protein l23a | 99.70% | 30s105961g001 | 17032/5.09 | 19000/6.24 | 7.95% | 1 | 1.62 | ns | –3.63 |
| 525     | Translation initiation factor (eif-4a) | 100.00% | 30s724051g001, 30s79941g005 | 47064/5.39 | 39000/5.34 | 9.93% | 4 | ns | 3.98 | 5.12 |
| 06      | Protein destination and storage |                     |              |                       |                   |                  |     |          |            |        |
| 3       | Cysteine protease | 98.80% | 30s70241g001 | 36042/4.87 | 22000/6.55 | 4.98% | 1 | ns | –2.48 | –1.80 |
| 32      | Luminal binding protein | 100.00% | 30s68581g001 | 56109/8.42 | 21000/6.55 | 7.24% | 2 | ns | –2.52 | 1.88 |
| 92      | Mitochondrial processing peptidase | 100.00% | 30s627641g002 | 5945/1.56 | 55000/5.48 | 6.08% | 3 | ns | 1.92 | 1.62 |
| 239     | Luminal binding protein | 100.00% | 30s68581g001 | 56109/8.42 | 70000/5.48 | 18.00% | 8 | ns | –1.62 | 1.88 |
| 288     | Cysteine protease | 99.70% | 30s790241g001 | 36042/4.87 | 32000/6.3 | 4.98% | 1 | ns | 2.43 | 2.24 |
| 303     | Subtilisin-like serine proteinase | 100.00% | 30s80251g002 | 48672/4.79 | 82000/5.9 | 7.56% | 2 | ns | –2.50 | –1.57 |
| 419     | Mitochondrial processing peptidase | 100.00% | 30s627641g002 | 5945/1.56 | 55000/5.48 | 6.08% | 1 | ns | 2.78 | ns |
| 609     | Cysteine protease | 99.60% | 30s790241g001 | 36042/4.87 | 31000/6.48 | 4.98% | 1 | ns | –1.86 | –1.99 |
| 611     | Cysteine protease | 99.40% | 30s790241g001 | 36042/4.87 | 29000/5.15 | 4.98% | 1 | ns | –2.35 | ns |
| 07      | Transporters |                     |              |                       |                   |                  |     |          |            |        |
| 99      | Vacuolar ATP synthase subunit v- proton pump b v-ATPase 57 kDa | 100.00% | 30s735571g002, 30s837971g002 | 58290/5.43 | 58000/5.9 | 3.08% | 2 | ns | 2.13 | ns |
| 312     | ATP synthase b chain | 100.00% | 30s884401g004 | 55268/5.31 | 54000/5.25 | 5.10% | 2 | ns | –2.28 | –1.66 |
| 419     | ATP synthase b chain | 100.00% | 30s884401g004 | 55268/5.31 | 55000/5.5 | 7.25% | 3 | ns | 2.78 | ns |
| 507     | ATP synthase b chain | 99.50% | 30s884401g004 | 55268/5.31 | 43000/5.3 | 2.75% | 1 | ns | 3.96 | 9.99 |
| 403     | CMP-sialic acid | 99.90% | 30s808171g003 | 23646/9.71 | 55000/5.4 | 9.52% | 1 | ns | 2.52 | 3.23 |
| 468     | Mitochondrial deoxynucleotide carrier, putative | 99.70% | 30s656531g003 | 36808/9.09 | 16000/5.35 | 2.69% | 1 | ns | –2.26 | –2.47 |
| 09      | Cell structure |                     |              |                       |                   |                  |     |          |            |        |
| 3       | Fibrillin-like protein | 98.80% | 30s724791g001, 30s837971g003 | 39091/9.45 | 22000/5.55 | 4.19% | 1 | ns | –2.48 | –1.80 |
| 19      | Plastid-lipid associated protein 3 | 98.30% | 30s761251g002 | 20316/6.28 | 37000/6.8 | 5.36% | 1 | ns | –1.61 | –2.37 |
| 53      | Fibrillin-like protein | 99.20% | 30s724791g001, 30s837971g003 | 39091/9.45 | 21000/5.6 | 4.19% | 1 | –1.84 | –1.79 | 1.55 |
| 317     | Actin | 100.00% | 30s1070141g012, 30s717671g011, 30s844721g006, 30s951221g005 | 41610/5.31 | 45000/5.6 | 22.30% | 3 | ns | ns | –1.56 |
| Spot no. | Identified protein | Accession no. | Theoretical MW (Da)/p | Observed MW (Da)/p | NUP | FC S1/MD | FC S1/NTR | FC S1/R |
|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----|---------|---------|--------|
| 356     | Tubulin β-2       | 30s837411g003, 30s966511g001 | 50234/4.72          | 34000/5.2         | 1   | ns      | ns      | 2.39   |
| 356     | Tubulin β-chain   | 30s1088541g002, 30s697331g005, 30s708731g001 | 50095/4.77          | 34000/5.2         | 1   | ns      | ns      | 2.39   |
| 356     | Tubulin β-chain   | 30s1088541g002, 30s697331g005, 30s708731g001 | 50095/4.77          | 34000/5.2         | 1   | ns      | ns      | 2.39   |

**Abbreviations:** ns, not significant; NUP, no. of unique peptides.
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Proteins with a role in primary metabolism. Spot abundance of most proteins in the category ‘primary metabolism’ declined from the onset of ripening, and six of them were specific to the date hypanthium. The majority of these proteins have a role in sugar and polysaccharide metabolism (Supplementary File 2). In this category, five spots were identified as sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH; spots 281, 317, 603, 629 and 635), an enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of sorbitol to fructose, the first step of sorbitol utilization. In apples, SDH expression was observed throughout fruit development and was proposed to be critical in sugar metabolism during early seed and cortex development. Rising SDH accumulation was reported during the transition from cell division to cell expansion, and also at ripening in some apple cultivars. Of the five date spots identified as SDH, spot 281 was the most highly upregulated, increasing from 1.83-fold at MD to 11.43-fold at NTR and 23.71-fold at RIPE. Accumulation of spot 603 increased at NTR and RIPE, while the other three spots decreased at all stages (Supplementary File 2). Overall increase in SDH levels is consistent with an increase in fructose and NADH levels during ripening.

A number of UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (UGPase) isoforms were also identified as differentially regulated. This enzyme catalyzes the reversible conversion between glucose-1-phosphate and UDP glucose required for sucrose biosynthesis in plants. In banana, transcripts of UGPase increased in response to exogenous ethylene treatment, and this was more severe in the pulp of unripe fruits than that of ripe ones, showing that UGPase expression was ethylene-inducible. Exogenous sucrose and fructose have been reported to increase UGPase abundance in leaves and fruit pulp. In dates, accumulation of UGPase spots (92, 201 and 508) increased during ripening with the exception of spot 312. This correlated with the increase in SDH levels, and may imply that, similarly to banana, the enzyme is induced by sucrose and fructose but not ethylene since accumulation of two biosynthesis enzymes identified in this study, S-adenosylmethionine synthetase (SAMS) and S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase (SAH), decreased (Supplementary File 2).

Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase (spot 298) and glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH; spot 298), both involved in glutamate biosynthesis, were upregulated at MD and NTR. Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase catalyzes the conversion of

Figure 4. Classification of the differentially expressed proteins. Following 2-DE, protein spots were visualized by SYPRO® Ruby staining and comparatively analyzed with Delta 2D (Decodon). Differentially expressed spots were identified using LC-MS/MS and classified into functional categories according to Ref. 34.

Reduction of carbonyl and aldehyde metabolites like the reduction of glucose to sorbitol. In rice (Oryza sativa), expression of aldose reductase increased throughout seed development and was also induced by exogenous application of abscisic acid and abiotic stress such as water deficiency and salinity in vegetative tissues. Other proteins identified from this family included aldo-keto reductases (spots 70, 274, 378, 461, 522, 523, 525, 529, 566 and 567). The aldo-keto reductase family protein is involved in tolerance to abiotic stress like heavy metals and drought and in scavenging reactive oxygen species and their toxic counterparts generated due to environmental stress. The transient abundance changes of aldose reductase and aldo-keto reductases in dates might suggest a role in fruit development and/or in abiotic stress tolerance.

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) facilitate refolding of denatured proteins in response to high light intensity, heat, hydrogen peroxide and/or pathogenic attack. HSPs also act as molecular chaperones assisting and regulating maturation of target proteins. In this study, three HSP82 (spots 303, 344 and 352) were identified as downregulated at NTR and RIPE, and these were date response specific proteins. The HSP82 gene is strongly induced by temperatures around 37–42 °C in maize, particularly in embryos and tassels. In other fruits like peach and apple, differential accumulation of only the small HSPs has been reported after heat stress treatment during ripening and storage. The comparative analysis in this study did not identify small HSPs (molecular mass of 15–30 kDa) but other HSPs including HSP70, also reported in other fruits (Supplementary File 2). Accumulation of nine HSPs (from seven spots: 26, 32, 40, 239, 303, 326 and 352) decreased at NTR and RIPE and two HSP70 spots (362 and 511) increased transiently at NTR. This result is evidence for the complex role HSPs and suggests that different HSP isoforms might be regulated at various stages during fruit development.

Other stress-related proteins were detected as differentially expressed and included universal-stress protein (USP, spot 660) and E3 ubiquitin ligase (spot 380 and 583) (Supplementary File 2). USP is ubiquitously induced during defense to protect cellular components from heat stress, oxidants or DNA-damaging agents. In rice, USPs are involved in ethylene-mediated stress adaptation and it was proposed that they have a similar role in tomato fruit as expression increased simultaneously with ethylene-related enzymes during development and ripening. In contrast, in dates, USP was downregulated at RIPE, which correlated with the decrease in the ethylene-related enzymes identified. E3 ubiquitin ligase catalyzes the transfer of ubiquitin to target proteins, resulting in their labeling for degradation. Ubiquitination also plays a crucial role in abiotic and biotic stress responses, as well as in the regulation of plant immune signaling (for review see, Ref. 66). In the current study, E3 ubiquitin ligase (spot 380) accumulation increased at all stages in comparison to S1, while expression of spot 583 decreased at NTR and RIPE. The expected mass of E3 ubiquitin ligase (121 kDa) differed from the observed mass of spots 380 (18 kDa) and 583 (46 kDa), suggesting that these spots might represent either subunits of E3 ubiquitin ligase or truncated version of their full length.

The comparative analysis in this study did not identify small HSPs (molecular mass of 15–30 kDa) but other HSPs including HSP70, also reported in other fruits (Supplementary File 2). Accumulation of nine HSPs (from seven spots: 26, 32, 40, 239, 303, 326 and 352) decreased at NTR and RIPE and two HSP70 spots (362 and 511) increased transiently at NTR. This result is evidence for the complex role HSPs and suggests that different HSP isoforms might be regulated at various stages during fruit development.

Other stress-related proteins were detected as differentially expressed and included universal-stress protein (USP, spot 660) and E3 ubiquitin ligase (spot 380 and 583) (Supplementary File 2). USP is ubiquitously induced during defense to protect cellular components from heat stress, oxidants or DNA-damaging agents. In rice, USPs are involved in ethylene-mediated stress adaptation and it was proposed that they have a similar role in tomato fruit as expression increased simultaneously with ethylene-related enzymes during development and ripening. In contrast, in dates, USP was downregulated at RIPE, which correlated with the decrease in the ethylene-related enzymes identified. E3 ubiquitin ligase catalyzes the transfer of ubiquitin to target proteins, resulting in their labeling for degradation. Ubiquitination also plays a crucial role in abiotic and biotic stress responses, as well as in the regulation of plant immune signaling (for review see, Ref. 66). In the current study, E3 ubiquitin ligase (spot 380) accumulation increased at all stages in comparison to S1, while expression of spot 583 decreased at NTR and RIPE. The expected mass of E3 ubiquitin ligase (121 kDa) differed from the observed mass of spots 380 (18 kDa) and 583 (46 kDa), suggesting that these spots might represent either subunits of E3 ubiquitin ligase or truncated version of their full length.
(S)-1-pyruvyl-5-carboxylase to L-glutamate, and GDH converts L-glutamate to glutamate \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid. Previous studies have also depicted a GDH increase in tomato fruits during cell enlargement and a subsequent decline at ripening. Upregulation of GDH and \(\Delta\)-1-pyruvyl-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase was also observed during fruit development in Chinese barberry (Myrica rubra). Like in Chinese barberry, upregulation of both proteins in dates suggests an increase in amino acid metabolism during MD and NTR, the main stages of cell expansion (Figure 3).

Aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) is an enzyme catalyzing the oxidation of various (toxic) aldehydes to their corresponding carboxylic acids in the presence of NAD or NADP as cofactors. In Arabidopsis, transgenic lines overexpressing \(\text{Ath-ALDH3}\) showed improved tolerance to salt, dehydration, heavy metals and oxidative stress-inducing compounds like \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\). In apple, ALDH was reported as induced by ethylene during fruit development but not at the ripening stage. In our study, isoforms of ALDH (spots 298, 471 and 554) were upregulated at MD and NTR, and spots 471, 476 and 568 were downregulated at RIPE. The decline of the latter three isoforms of ALDH correlates with the decreased accumulation of ethylene-related proteins, particularly at RIPE, and may suggest a link between ALDH accumulation and the ethylene pathway.

Role of ethylene in date ripening. The ethylene biosynthesis pathway starts with the conversion of methionine to S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) by SAMS. SAM is then converted to 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) by ACC synthase, the rate-limiting step. Finally, ACC is converted to ethylene in the presence of oxygen by ACC oxidase. SAM can also be demethylated to form S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine and catabolized to homocysteine and adenosine by action of SAHH. SAMS has also been linked to the biosynthesis of polyamines that are necessary for cell growth and division, possibly explaining the increased accumulation of SAMS during early fruit development when cell division reaches its maximum. Recent work on papaya reported that increased SAMS and methionine synthase during fruit ripening was a possible indication of their prerequisite for the ethylene burst in climacteric fruits. In dates, a small peak in ethylene production and respiration rate at early ripening in cultivar ‘Negros’ has been reported, while in another cultivar like ‘Helali’, fruit ripening was inhibited following application of the ethylene inhibitor Ethrel, implying a putative role for ethylene in fruit ripening. Furthermore, ethylene was detected from 91 DAP in ‘Hillawi’ dates, then increased until Khalal stage before declining sharply at harvest. However, no change was observed in yellow ‘Barhi’ fruits exposed to 100 ppm ethylene for 48 h at 20 °C and 85%-90% relative humidity. Expression of SAHH was reported to increase during ripening of climacteric fruits. Results in olive (Olea europaea) drupe suggested that decreased expression of SAMS and SAHH is specific to non-climacteric fruits. In the current study, expression of SAMS (spots 443 and 660) and SAHH (spot 476) was detected as declining at RIPE and this could retard ‘Barhi’ fruit ripening. Although date palm has been classified as a climacteric plant, accumulation patterns of SAMS and SAHH are similar to that of olive drupes, a non-climacteric fruit. In total, about 34 proteins (corresponding to 55 spots; Supplementary File 2) that have been characterized as specific to non-climacteric ripening and only six proteins (corresponding to 17 spots) to climacteric ripening were identified in the present study, suggesting that cultivar ‘Barhi’ may follow an ethylene-independent ripening process.

Proteins associated with secondary metabolism. Proteins with a role in secondary metabolism may also play a pivotal role in environmental stress adaptation. In this category, 14 proteins were identified and two of them, glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase (spots 431 and 603) and formamidase-like protein (spots 623 and 635), have not been previously reported in fruits (Table 1). The remaining proteins, including chalcone isomerase isoforms (spots 91, 272, 274 and 374), chalcone synthase (spot 70) and anthocyanidin synthase (spots 69 and 286), have previously been characterized in other fruits. Anthocyanins are pigments commonly induced under stress conditions. They have also been shown to slow down over-ripening in tomato fruits. Interestingly, the two spots identified as anthocyanidin synthase, abundance of spot 69 increased at all stages, especially at ripening (21-fold). In contrast, expression of spot 286 declined throughout development, particularly at NTR (−2.44-fold). The marked increase of spot 69 at NTR and RIPE might cause anthocyanidin accumulation and synthesis of anthocyanins.

The correlation between anthocyanins and photosynthesis might be controlled by ethylene since an increase in ethylene was shown to negatively regulate anthocyanin synthesis, while an increase in anthocyanin inhibited photosynthesis. This suggests that the decrease in ethylene biosynthesis enzymes observed here might have promoted anthocyanin production and indirectly reduced photosynthesis (in correlation with the downregulation of Rubisco \(\alpha\)-subunit, spots 13 and 14, observed during fruit maturation). Furthermore, increased accumulation of anthocyanins may suggest a response to light stress since their synthesis has also been linked to high light exposure and protection from photodestructive damages. For example, as fruit bearing branches grow heavier and bend downwards away from the protective leaves, direct light exposure to the fruit may increase.
involved in other photosynthesis-related pathways such as the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) and the Calvin cycle were identified. PPP is an important process that generates Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphates and pentoses that are the substrates for the Calvin cycle, the dark reactions of photosynthesis. Transketolase 1 is involved in both the non-oxidative phase of PPP and the Calvin cycle. In the former, transketolase 1 is involved in both up- and down-stream of transaldolase-like protein that catalyzes the transfer of a 3-C dihydroxyacetone moiety from sedoheptulose-7-phosphate to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate. In the Calvin cycle, transketolase 1 converts sedoheptulose-7-phosphate and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate to aldose ribose-5-phosphate and ketose D-xylulose-5-phosphate.\(^{38}\) In the current study, transaldolase-like protein (spot 577) and transketolase 1 (spot 202) significantly decreased at all stages, and more prominently so at NTR and RIPE, suggesting a downregulation of non-oxidative phase of PPP and the Calvin cycle.

The reduction of proteins associated with photosynthesis correlated with the reduction of pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) E1 component (spots 2 and 506) and the increase of mitochondrial pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isoform 1 (mPDH, spot 431, also classified as a signaling protein). PDH E1 component is a key enzyme involved in the decarboxylation of pyruvate and reductive acetylation of lipid to form acetyl-CoA, while mPDK regulates activity of the PDH complex by phosphorylating PDH E1 component.\(^{99}\) In grapes, the mPDK isoform was induced in a ripening-specific manner.\(^{100}\) In the current study, decrease in PDH E1 component and increase in mPDK accumulation both occurred in a ripening-specific manner (i.e., at NTR and RIPE). This may indicate that while accumulation of PDH E1 component decreased, the increased abundance of mPDK could promote inactivation of the remaining PDH E1 components of PDH complex.

Enzymes involved in the glycolysis pathway were also identified including fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (spot 566), triosephosphate isomerase (spot 503), phosphoglycerate kinase (spot 431), phosphoglycerate mutase (spot 531) and enolase (spot 18). Triosephosphate isomerase was upregulated at all stages, and similarly to apples, possibly enhancing respiration and catabolic metabolism.\(^{18}\) Expression of phosphoglycerate kinase increased similarly to apples, possibly enhancing respiration and catabolic metabolism.\(^{18}\) Expression of phosphoglycerate mutase increased at RIPE (9.99-fold), spot 419 transiently increased at NTR and RIPE, while spot 317 decreased at RIPE (Table 2 and Supplementary File 2). Actins are involved in cytoplasmic streaming, cell division, organelle movement and cell shape maintenance and, in grapes, their abundance remained elevated throughout fruit development.\(^{22,106}\) In this study, actin was identified in four spots (129, 317, 400 and 487). Spot 129 was upregulated at NTR, spot 400 increased at MD and NTR, and spot 487 increased at NTR and RIPE, while spot 317 decreased at RIPE (Table 2 and Supplementary File 2). Besides actins, tubulins, which are cytoskeletal proteins implicated in cellular processes including the preservation of cell structure and intracellular transport, were also identified. Increased tubulin levels have been reported in the early phase of cucumber (\textit{Cucumis sativus}) development\(^{107}\) and elevated expression of actins and tubulins has been observed during rapid cell enlargement, a phase characterized by extensive cytoskeleton rearrangement.\(^{23}\) Here, eight spots (14, 56, 189, 197, 356, 359, 417 and 422) identified as tubulins were downregulated at NTR and RIPE, with the exception of spot 356 that increased at RIPE. Similarly to the present study, expression of tubulins was reported to decline during grape berry development and was very limited during ripening. Additionally, xylose isomerase (spot 507 and 508), which is involved in a reversible conversion of D-xylose to xylulose, was upregulated at ripening. D-xylulose is the primary constituent of xylans, which are involved in cell wall stabilization.\(^{108}\)

**Post translational modifications.** The current study highlights interactions of various cellular and metabolic processes linked to fruit development and ripening, as observed by changes in protein accumulation. A number of proteins undergo PTMs for acquiring stability, subcellular localization, (de)-activation and/or protein–protein interactions. Previous studies reported carboxylation of several proteins during senescence in apple\(^{109}\) and peach,\(^{110}\) initiating PTM investigations in fruit proteomics. In the present study, a total of 362 methionine oxidation sites positioned on 204 proteins and 443 phosphosites on 328 proteins were detected using Scaffold PTM (Supplementary File 5). These PTMs potentially have important roles in regulating fruit development and ripening and merit a detailed follow-up study.

**Conclusions.** Here, we provide the first detailed comparative proteome of the date fruit, a fruit that is of particular horticultural interest in the Middle East and North Africa. Proteomics afforded four major insights in differential protein expression during fruit development and ripening. The first is an increase in the accumulation of abiotic stress-responsive proteins during ripening with the exception of HSPs and universal stress protein, which decreased, suggesting intricate metabolic systems for stress response and, in particular, the ability to withstand high temperatures. The second is an overall increase of glycolysis and TCA cycle-related proteins in contrast to pentose phosphate and photosynthesis from ripening onset. This indicates a shift in energy supply between the fruit development stages markedly S1-MD and NTR-RIPE. The third is a decrease of two enzymes involved in ethylene biosynthesis, S-adenosylmethionine synthetase and S-adenosyl-\(-\)homocysteine hydrolase, which are among the 34 identified proteins proposed to be specific to non-climacteric ripening. This implies that the cultivar ‘Barhi’ might follow an ethylene-independent ripening process. The fourth is a differential accumulation of enzymes involved in secondary metabolism, notably the sharp increase in anthocyanidin synthase.

Proteins implicated in cellular structures. Decline in fruit firmness during ripening and senescence is partially controlled by changes in the expression of cytoskeleton-related proteins and cell wall-degrading enzymes.\(^{36,42}\) These can lead to biochemical and physical/structural alterations in cells and their walls. Of the proteins identified in this category, actin (spot 317), fibrillin (spot 3 and 53), plastid-lipid associated protein 3 (spot 19) and tubulin \(\beta\)-chain (spot 356) showed contrasting differential accumulation in dates compared to other fruits such as grapes (Table 2 and Supplementary File 2). Actins are involved in cytoplasmic streaming, cell division, organelle movement and cell shape maintenance and, in grapes, their abundance remained elevated throughout fruit development.\(^{22,106}\) In this study, actin was identified in four spots (129, 317, 400 and 487). Spot 129 was upregulated at NTR, spot 400 increased at MD and NTR, and spot 487 increased at NTR and RIPE, while spot 317 decreased at RIPE (Table 2 and Supplementary File 2). Besides actins, tubulins, which are cytoskeletal proteins implicated in cellular processes including the preservation of cell structure and intracellular transport, were also identified. Increased tubulin levels have been reported in the early phase of cucumber (\textit{Cucumis sativus}) development\(^{107}\) and elevated expression of actins and tubulins has been observed during rapid cell enlargement, a phase characterized by extensive cytoskeleton rearrangement.\(^{23}\) Here, eight spots (14, 56, 189, 197, 356, 359, 417 and 422) identified as tubulins were downregulated at NTR and RIPE, with the exception of spot 356 that increased at RIPE. Similarly to the present study, expression of tubulins was reported to decline during grape berry development and was very limited during ripening. Additionally, xylose isomerase (spot 507 and 508), which is involved in a reversible conversion of D-xylose to xylulose, was upregulated at ripening. D-xylulose is the primary constituent of xylans, which are involved in cell wall stabilization.\(^{108}\)

**Post translational modifications.** The current study highlights interactions of various cellular and metabolic processes linked to fruit development and ripening, as observed by changes in protein accumulation. A number of proteins undergo PTMs for acquiring stability, subcellular localization, (de)-activation and/or protein–protein interactions. Previous studies reported carboxylation of several proteins during senescence in apple\(^{109}\) and peach,\(^{110}\) initiating PTM investigations in fruit proteomics. In the present study, a total of 362 methionine oxidation sites positioned on 204 proteins and 443 phosphosites on 328 proteins were detected using Scaffold PTM (Supplementary File 5). These PTMs potentially have important roles in regulating fruit development and ripening and merit a detailed follow-up study.

**Conclusions.** Here, we provide the first detailed comparative proteome of the date fruit, a fruit that is of particular horticultural interest in the Middle East and North Africa. Proteomics afforded four major insights in differential protein expression during fruit development and ripening. The first is an increase in the accumulation of abiotic stress-responsive proteins during ripening with the exception of HSPs and universal stress protein, which decreased, suggesting intricate metabolic systems for stress response and, in particular, the ability to withstand high temperatures. The second is an overall increase of glycolysis and TCA cycle-related proteins in contrast to pentose phosphate and photosynthesis from ripening onset. This indicates a shift in energy supply between the fruit development stages markedly S1-MD and NTR-RIPE. The third is a decrease of two enzymes involved in ethylene biosynthesis, S-adenosylmethionine synthetase and S-adenosyl-\(-\)homocysteine hydrolase, which are among the 34 identified proteins proposed to be specific to non-climacteric ripening. This implies that the cultivar ‘Barhi’ might follow an ethylene-independent ripening process. The fourth is a differential accumulation of enzymes involved in secondary metabolism, notably the sharp increase in anthocyanidin synthase,
from the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway, during ripening. Anthocyanins act as natural antioxidants and play a crucial protective role in response to light. The results from this investigation will further our understanding of the role and regulation of proteins and pathways during fruit ripening, and will contribute to the development of innovative practices for fruit quality improvements.
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