RNA:DNA Hybrids Initiate Quasi-Palindrome-Associated Mutations in Highly Transcribed Yeast DNA
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Abstract
RNase H enzymes promote genetic stability by degrading aberrant RNA:DNA hybrids and by removing ribonucleotide monophosphates (rNMPs) that are present in duplex DNA. Here, we report that loss of RNase H2 in yeast is associated with mutations that extend identity between the arms of imperfect inverted repeats (quasi-palindromes or QPs), a mutation type generally attributed to a template switch during DNA synthesis. QP events were detected using frameshift-reversion assays and were only observed under conditions of high transcription. In striking contrast to transcription-associated short deletions that also are detected by these assays, QP events do not require Top1 activity. QP mutation rates are strongly affected by the direction of DNA replication and, in contrast to their elevation in the absence of RNase H2, are reduced when RNase H1 is additionally eliminated. Finally, transcription-associated QP events are limited by components of the nucleotide excision repair pathway and are promoted by translesion synthesis DNA polymerases. We suggest that QP mutations reflect either a transcription-associated perturbation of Okazaki-fragment processing, or the use of a nascent transcript to resume replication following a transcription-replication conflict.

Introduction
RNA:DNA hybrids exist as normal intermediates during the cellular transactions of transcription and DNA replication. During transcription, a small segment of the nascent RNA is transiently base-paired with the template DNA strand as part of a transcription bubble. More extensive and stable hybrids between RNA transcripts and the DNA template (an R-loop), however, can form under certain conditions (reviewed in [1,2,3]). Impediments to the transcription process such as disruption of co-transcriptional mRNA packaging or a failure to remove negative superhelical stress in the transcribed region favor the formation of R-loops. RNA:DNA hybrids also form during genome replication, especially during synthesis of the lagging strand, which occurs discontinuously as a series of ~200 nt Okazaki fragments (reviewed in [4,5]). Each Okazaki fragment is initiated by a complex of DNA polymerase δ (Pol δ) and primase, which together synthesize primers comprised of ~10 ribonucleotide monophosphates followed by ~20 deoxyribonucleotide monophosphates (rNMPs and dNMPs, respectively). Following a polymerase switch to Pol δ, the primary lagging-strand polymerase, primers are extended to complete Okazaki-fragment synthesis. Finally, RNA primers are removed by Pol δ-mediated strand displacement coupled with flap processing.

In addition to forming in association with transcription and replication, RNA:DNA hybrids can also arise from the stochastic incorporation of rNMPs by DNA polymerases. Replicative DNA polymerases are highly selective in discriminating between rNTP and dNTP substrates, but nevertheless utilize rNTPs at a low level during DNA synthesis. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, it has been estimated that Pol δ and Pol ε incorporate 1 rNMP for every 1,250 or 5,000 dNMPs, respectively [6]. In combination with Pol α, which has lower sugar discrimination than either Pol δ or Pol ε, there likely are >10,000 rNMPs incorporated during each round of haploid genome duplication. Though this generally is assumed to result in single rNMPs embedded in otherwise duplex DNA, tandem rNMPs could also be incorporated. In mammals, rNMPs are found at more than 1,000,000 sites per genome, suggesting that rNMPs are the most abundant type of endogenous DNA “lesion” [7].

RNA:DNA hybrids are a serious threat to genome stability and are removed by the RNase H class of enzymes, which specifically degrade the RNA component (reviewed in [8]). RNase H1 is a single-subunit enzyme; it requires at least four contiguous rNMPs for cleavage of RNA:DNA hybrids in vitro [9,10] and is essential for the replication of mitochondrial DNA [11]. RNase H2 is a threesubunit enzyme that is highly expressed in proliferative mammalian tissues [7] and, in addition to its ability to process R-loops and Okazaki fragments, has the unique ability to incise at a single rNMP within otherwise duplex DNA [10,12,13]. Persistent R-loops interfere with replication during mitosis and meiosis, leading to accumulation of DNA breaks, especially at highly transcribed and/or repetitive sequences (reviewed in [1]). A failure to remove lagging-strand primers and complete Okazaki-fragment matura-
In the current study, we describe a new type of transcription-associated mutation that is greatly elevated in RNase H2-deficient yeast strains. The relevant mutations occur at imperfect inverted repeats or quasi-palindromes and, in striking contrast to deletions in tandem repeats, do not require Top1 activity. Genetic analyses demonstrate a strong effect of the direction of replication fork movement as well as roles for transcription synthesis DNA polymerases and RNase H1 in generating these novel, Top1-independent events. We suggest that, in absence of functional RNase H2, RNase H1 generates potentially mutagenic roadblocks at sites of incompletely processed RNA:DNA hybrids.

Results

Frameshift reversion assays

In order to obtain a variety of rNMP-dependent mutations, the reversion of three frameshift alleles that query an ~150 bp sequence was monitored: lys2::A746, lys2::A746 and lys2::A746::NR. The lys2::A746 allele was constructed by filling in BglII-generated 5’ overhangs to generate a 4-bp duplication, the equivalent of a +1 frameshift mutation [23]. Reversion to lysine prototrophy requires acquisition of a compensatory net –1 frameshift mutation within a window defined by stop codons in the alternative reading frames. The lys2::A746 allele contains an engineered 1-bp deletion in the same region of lys2::A72 and requires a compensatory net +1 frameshift mutation for reversion [24]. Finally, the lys2::A746::NR allele was derived from the lys2::A746 allele by disrupting mononucleotide runs in the reversion window that were longer than 3 bp [25]. For the lys2::A746 and lys2::A746::NR alleles, transcription was driven either by the endogenous LYS2 promoter or by a tetracycline/doxycycline-repressible promoter (pTET) to achieve low- or high-transcription conditions, respectively. For the lys2::A746 allele, the pTET promoter was fully active in the absence of doxycycline or was repressed to a low level by addition of doxycycline to the growth medium.

Transcription-associated mutations accumulate at a quasi-palindrome in the absence of RNase H2 and are Top1 independent

Loss of RNase H2 under low-transcription conditions is associated with only small changes in mutation rate, but can be accompanied by striking changes in mutation spectra [17,26]. Mutation types that are specifically enriched in the absence of Rnb201, the catalytic subunit of RNase H2, will be designated here as rNMP-dependent mutations. In the lys2::A746 assay, RNase H2 loss is associated with reversion via deletion of one copy of an AGCT tandem repeat [26]. We previously demonstrated that the rate of this rNMP-dependent, 4-bp deletion (highlighted gray in Figure 1A) is greatly elevated under high-transcription conditions and that it requires the presence of Top1 [18,19]. Specifically under high-transcription conditions, disruption of RNase H2 also resulted in a 100-fold increase in “complex” events, which are defined as mutations with multiple changes. These events occurred at a discrete hotspot (highlighted yellow in Figure 1A; see Table 1 for rates) and, in striking contrast to 4-bp deletions, their rates were not affected by Top1 loss (Table 1).

Figure 1A shows sequence changes in four types of rNMP-dependent complex mutations at the hotspot detected in the lys2::A746 assay, each of which replaces all or part of a common GGCC sequence with one or more thymines. Significantly, this region contains two 4-bp inverted repeats (IRs) that together comprise a larger, imperfect IR or quasi-palindrome (QP). On the nontranscribed (coding) strand, the upstream segment of the QP is 5’ TTGGGcTTT (the lowercase letters are spacers between the


dition likewise results in hyper-recombination, chromosomal instability, hyper-sensitivity to DNA damaging agents and reduced cell viability [14]. In the absence of RNase H2, accumulation of single (or a small number of) rNMPs in genomic DNA also leads to cell-cycle defects and chromosomal instability [7,15]. Though neither RNase H1 nor H2 is essential in yeast, both are required for mammalian cell viability and embryonic development [7,11]. Significantly, hypomorphic alleles of genes encoding RNase H2 subunits are associated with the hereditary, early-onset neuro-inflammatory disease Aicardi-Goutières syndrome [16].

In addition to gross chromosomal effects, persistent rNMPs in DNA elevate mutagenesis. There is a dramatic increase in deletions occurring within short tandem repeats in yeast strains deficient in RNase H2 [17,18,19], and a similar mutation signature is found in highly transcribed sequences [20]. The deletions in both cases require activity of the yeast Top1 topoisomerase (Top1), an enzyme that relieves transcription-associated torsional stress in DNA (reviewed in [21]). The first step in a Top1-catalyzed reaction is generation of a transient single-strand break in the DNA backbone, with the enzyme linking itself to the 3’ end of the break via a covalent phosphotyrosyl bond. Following rotation of the broken strand around the intact strand, the Top1-generated nick is resealed and the enzyme is released in a Top1-catalyzed reaction is generation of a transient single-strand break in the DNA backbone, with the enzyme linking itself to the 3’ end of the break via a covalent phosphotyrosyl bond. Following rotation of the broken strand around the intact strand, the Top1-generated nick is resealed and the enzyme is released in a self-catalytic reaction with the hydroxyl group on the 5’ side of the break.

In the current study, we describe a novel type of transcription-associated mutation that converts imperfect inverted repeats (quasi-palindromes or QPs) to perfect inverted repeats. Using appropriate mutation reporters, we demonstrate that QP mutations are strongly affected by the direction of DNA replication and have distinctive genetic requirements. Most notably, rates of transcription-associated QP events are regulated by the RNase H class of enzymes, which are specialized to process the RNA component of RNA:DNA hybrids. The source of the RNA:DNA hybrids that initiate QP mutations is unclear, but could reflect transcripts that remain stably base-paired with the DNA template, or aberrant processing of the RNA primers normally used to initiate DNA synthesis. These studies further expand the diverse ways that transcription affects the mutation landscape, and establish a novel way that RNA:DNA hybrids can contribute to genetic instability.

The high conservation of basic DNA-related metabolic processes suggests that results in yeast will be broadly applicable in eukaryotes.

Author Summary

Mutation rates are correlated with the level of gene expression in budding yeast, demonstrating a link between transcription and stability of the underlying DNA template. In the current work, we describe a novel type of transcription-associated mutation that converts imperfect inverted repeats (quasi-palindromes or QPs) to perfect inverted repeats. Using appropriate mutation reporters, we demonstrate that QP mutations are strongly affected by the direction of DNA replication and have distinctive genetic requirements. Most notably, rates of transcription-associated QP events are regulated by the RNase H class of enzymes, which are specialized to process the RNA component of RNA:DNA hybrids. The source of the RNA:DNA hybrids that initiate QP mutations is unclear, but could reflect transcripts that remain stably base-paired with the DNA template, or aberrant processing of the RNA primers normally used to initiate DNA synthesis. These studies further expand the diverse ways that transcription affects the mutation landscape, and establish a novel way that RNA:DNA hybrids can contribute to genetic instability.

The high conservation of basic DNA-related metabolic processes suggests that results in yeast will be broadly applicable in eukaryotes.
smaller IRs), and the downstream segment is 5’-AAAAACCAA; the two arms of the QP are separated by 3 bp. Each of the complex mutations generated a perfect IR that ranges in size from 5–8 bp, with the most frequent event generating an 8-bp palindrome. Hereafter, the transcription- and rNMP-dependent mutations originally designated as complex events will be referred to as QP mutations.

In the *lys2*ΔA746 assay, RNH201 deletion elevated the reversion rate 1.8-fold under low-transcription conditions (Table 1; [19]) and 2-bp deletions within a 6A run comprised 30% of reversion events (highlighted gray in Figure 1B). Under high-transcription conditions, 2-bp deletions at the 6A hotspot were prominent even in the presence of RNase H2, and were elevated an additional 20-fold in an *rnh201*Δ background. Like the 4-bp deletions detected in the *lys2*ΔA746 assay, 2-bp deletions in the 6A run were Top1 dependent. Also observed specifically under high-transcription conditions in the *lys2*ΔA746 assay were QP mutations that localized to the same region as those in the *lys2*ΔBgl assay (highlighted yellow in Figure 1B). As in the *lys2*ΔA746 assay, QP mutation rates in the *lys2*ΔA746 assay were not reduced when *TOP1* was deleted from the *rnh201*Δ background. In the case of the *lys2*ΔA746,NR allele, deletion of RNH201 under low-transcription conditions was accompanied by the appearance of a novel 2-bp deletion hotspot in which TC was deleted from the imperfect dinucleotide repeat, TGTCTG (events are highlighted gray in Figure 1C; see Table 1). The TGTCTG repeat is unique to the *lys2*ΔA746,NR allele and was generated during elimination of a 5T run (TTTTTT changed to TgTcT) that overlaps the QP mutation hotspot in the *lys2*ΔA746 and *lys2*ΔBgl assays. The TC deletions were elevated an additional 100-fold by high transcription, and like the short deletions in perfect tandem repeats, were dependent on Top1. In addition to TC deletions in the TGTCTG repeat, there were two types of rNMP-dependent +1 frameshift events at the same location, and these were observed.

Figure 1. Reversion spectra in *rnh201*Δ backgrounds under high-transcription conditions. A. *pTET-lys2*ΔBgl revertants. B. *pTET-lys2*ΔA746 revertants. C. *pTET-lys2*ΔA746,NR revertants. In each spectrum, 2- or 4-bp deletions at the Top1-dependent hotspot are highlighted gray; QP mutations at the Top1-independent hotspot are highlighted yellow. “N” refers to the number of Lys+ revertants sequenced for each spectrum; “Δ” and “+” signify a 1-bp deletion and insertion, respectively: cpx represents sporadic complex events that are not at the QP hotspot. The types and proportions of QP events at the hotspot in each spectrum are indicated. Arrows above the sequences correspond to inverted repeats; red bases indicate the positions and types of sequence changes in the QP events. It should be noted that some of the QP mutation types were not identified in the spectra shown and only became prominent when additional mutations were introduced. The proportions of QP mutation types identified in other genetic backgrounds are provided in Tables S1, S2, S3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003924.g001
Table 1. Rates of rNMP-associated deletions and QP mutations.

| lys2 allele       | Txn | Relevant genotype | 2- or 4-bp deletion hotspot |Mutation rate (×10^-6) |
|-------------------|-----|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
|                   |     |                   | Total Lys* (95% CI)          |                        |
| lys2A8gl Low      | WT  | lys2A8gl          | 31.9 (26.3–47.3)             | 2.2                    |
|                   |     | mh201A            | 68.8 (44.3–120)              | 56                     |
| lys2A8gl High     | WT  | lys2A8gl          | 784 (586–1310)               | 10                     |
|                   |     | mh201A            | 3060 (2300–5790)             | 1160                   |
|                   |     | mh201A top1.1     | 2080 (1390–2990)             | <23*                   |
| lys2A746 Low      | WT  | mh201A            | 18.4 (15.1–27.6)             | <0.25*                 |
|                   |     | mh201A            | 33.4 (21.2–59.3)             | 9.5                    |
| lys2A746 High     | WT  | mh201A            | 428 (335–674)                | 62                     |
|                   |     | mh201A            | 3530 (2700–4090)             | 1220                   |
|                   |     | mh201A top1.1     | 1420 (1120–1670)             | <16*                   |
| lys2A746,NR Low   | WT  | mh201A            | 9.5 (8.6–10.4)               | 0.11                   |
|                   |     | mh201A            | 15.3 (11.7–17.9)             | 6.9                    |
| lys2A746,NR High  | WT  | mh201A            | 161 (118–216)                | 1.9                    |
|                   |     | mh201A top1.1     | 1470 (1210–1710)             | 796                    |
|                   |     | mh201A top1.1     | 812 (486–1370)               | <17*                   |

*DNo events observed; the rate was calculated assuming one event.

All lys2 alleles are in the SAME orientation with respect to ARS306; CI, confidence interval.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.10003924.001

only under high-transcription conditions (highlighted yellow in Figure 1C). One involved insertion of a T into the imperfect tandem repeat (TGTCCTG changed to TGTCCTG), while the other was comprised of the same T insertion plus a nearby G to A transition (TGTCCTG changed to TaTGC(TG)). Although the primary sequence of the lys2A746,NR allele is slightly different from that of the lys2A8gl and lys2A746 alleles, a similar pattern of converting a QP to a perfect palindrome was evident. In this case, the arms of the QP are 5’-TCTGGAT and 5’-ATCCAAaGA (the lowercase letter interrupts the palindrome); addition of a T (complementary to the disrupting “a” in the downstream arm) with or without an accompanying base change generates a perfect 8- or 9-bp palindrome, respectively (Figure 1C). As observed in the lys2A8gl and lys2A746 assays, QP mutations detected in the lys2A746,NR assay were not affected by Top1 loss (Table 1). In summary, each frameshift reversion assay identified two distinct types of rNMP- and transcription-dependent mutations: Top1-dependent deletions in tandem repeats and Top1-independent events in quasi-palindromes.

QP mutations are decreased when RNase H1 is eliminated

The potential in vivo substrates of RNase H2 include rNMPs mis-incorporated into duplex DNA [17], the RNA primers at the 5' ends of Okazaki fragments [27,28] and reviewed in [5]) and the RNA component of R-loops [8]. Though RNase H1 can neither incise at single rNMPs embedded in DNA [10] nor substitute for RNase H2 in a reconstituted ribonucleotide excision repair assay [13], it does share the other two types of RNA:DNA substrates with RNase H2. To ascertain the type of RNA:DNA hybrid that is relevant to QP mutations, we examined the effect of eliminating RNase H1 in addition to RNase H2. If only one or a few rNMPs is relevant to QP mutations, then disruption of RNase H1 in an nhb201A background would be expected to have no effect on these events. If it is multiple rNMPs that are relevant, however, then QP mutations should be further elevated upon additional loss of RNase H1. Unexpectedly, the rates of QP mutations in each frameshift-reversion assay were reduced at least 10-fold in the nhb201A rnh1A double- relative to the mh201A single-mutant background (Figure 2A). As expected, the rates of Top1-dependent deletions at the tandem-repeat hotspots were not affected by additional deletion of RNHI in an mh201A background (Figure 2B).

The opposing effects of RNase H1 and RNase H2 loss on QP mutations (reducing and enhancing these events, respectively) indicate that extensive RNA:DNA hybrids, as well the few rNMPs that may remain after their processing, are relevant. Studies using mutant forms of Pol2 that have altered rNTP discrimination suggest that most rNMPs in yeast genomic DNA are incorporated by Pol e, the leading-strand polymerase. To explore whether Pol e might be the source of the rNMPs that initiate transcription-associated QP mutations, we introduced the rNTP-restrictive Pol2-M644L protein [6] into an mh201A top1.1 background. Expression of the Pol2-M644L protein had no effect on the rates of QP mutations in either the lys2A746,NR or lys2A746 assay (Tables S1, S2). These data suggest that the rNMPs that trigger QP mutations do not reflect aberrant incorporation by Pol e, and likely are derived either from Okazaki fragment primers or from R-loops.

QP mutations are affected by the direction of DNA replication

QP mutations have been proposed to occur via a template switch between the two arms of the QP during DNA synthesis (for reviews, see [29,30]). In principle, this can involve either an intra- or an inter-molecular switch, each of which is illustrated in Figure 3 for the most common QP event observed in the lys2A8gl assay. Intra-molecular switches are thought to occur primarily during lagging-strand synthesis, where the single-strand nature of the template strand may contribute to hairpin formation and promote...
the initial template switch [31]. In the case of inter-molecular switches, data suggest that the nascent leading strand switches to the lagging-strand template, a switch that similarly may be facilitated by the extensive tracts of single-strand DNA formed between Okazaki fragments [32]. In either case, there are two switches: the first to an alternative template that is used to direct very limited DNA synthesis, and a second switch back to the original template.

Because the rate of a given QP mutation is often affected by the direction of DNA replication, it has been suggested that the corresponding intermediate is generated primarily during either leading- or lagging-strand synthesis [32,33]. In all of the strains used here, the resident \textit{LYS2} locus on Chromosome II was deleted and \textit{lys2} frameshift alleles were positioned on Chromosome III near the early-firing replication origin \textit{ARS306} [34]. When in the \textit{SAME} orientation, which is the orientation used to generate the data in Table 1 and Figure 1, the \textit{lys2} allele is oriented so that transcription from \textit{pTET} is co-directional with the direction of replication fork movement from \textit{ARS306}. In this orientation, the non-transcribed strand (NTS) of \textit{lys2} is the lagging-strand template during DNA replication (Figure 3A). When in the \textit{OPPO} orientation, the transcription and replication forks converge and the NTS strand is the leading-strand template (Figure 3B). To determine whether the direction of replication fork movement affects rNMP-dependent QP mutations, we compared their rates in \textit{SAME} and \textit{OPPO} strains. The direction of replication had a profound effect on QP mutation rates in each assay; when \textit{lys2} alleles were in the \textit{SAME} orientation, rates were at least 10-fold higher than when in the \textit{OPPO} orientation (Table 2). As reported previously, the direction of DNA replication had no effect on overall levels of transcription-associated mutagenesis in a WT background, and only a subtle (at most 2-fold) effect on Top1-dependent deletions in tandem repeats (Table 2).

The sensitivity of QP mutations to the direction of DNA replication is consistent with strand switching that is triggered preferentially during either leading- or lagging-strand synthesis. Indeed, QP mutations in the \textit{E. coli} genome are greatly elevated in the absence of mismatch repair (MMR), consistent with temporal connection of these events to replication fork movement [35]. To assess whether transcription-associated QP mutations in yeast are similarly limited by the MMR system, we examined reversion of the \textit{lys2AA746} and \textit{lys2AA746, NR} alleles in an MMR-deficient (\textit{mlh1}) background. Loss of Mlh1 had, at most, a 1.5-fold effect on QP mutations (Tables S1 and S2), suggesting that the majority of the underlying strand switches are unlikely to occur directly at the replication fork.

QP mutations are elevated in the absence of nucleotide excision repair

Transcription-associated mutations that arise in the presence of RNase H2 are elevated when excision repair pathways are disabled and are dependent on the Pol \textit{\zeta} translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerase, suggesting that most arise during the error-prone bypass of DNA damage [34,36]. To ascertain whether DNA damage is relevant to rNMP-dependent QP mutations, we examined the effect of disabling the nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway. Rad1, the homolog of mammalian XPF, forms a stable complex with Rad10. Rad1–Rad10 cleaves at the junction between double- and single-strand DNA, and makes one of the incisions that initiates removal of a damage-containing oligonucleotide during NER (reviewed in [37]). This complex additionally has a flap endonuclease activity that removes 3' single-strand tails that arise during recombination in yeast [38] and has been implicated in repair of hairpin structures formed by CAG trinucleotide repeats [39]. Deletion of \textit{RAD1} in an \textit{mh201A top1} background was associated with an ~10-fold increase in QP mutations in the each of the frameshift reversion assays (Figure 4A). The rates of Top1-dependent 2–4 bp deletions were not significantly affected by Rad1 loss (Tables S4, S5, S6), however, confirming a fundamentally different mechanism for generating rNMP-dependent QP versus short-deletion events.

To discern whether the effect of Rad1 loss on QP mutations reflects the role of Rad1–Rad10 during NER, we examined the...
Figure 3. Strand switch models for generating QP mutations. The QP mutation illustrated is the most frequent event observed with the lys2/Bgl allele (QP ≠ 1 in Figure 1A) and can be generated (A) via an intra-strand switch that occurs during lagging-strand synthesis or (B) when the nascent leading strand switches to the lagging-strand template. Arrowheads correspond to 3' ends of DNA strands and the NTS strand of LYS2 is indicated. Template bases are black; bases incorporated before the first template switch are in blue; and DNA synthesized after the template switch is red. The wavy line corresponds to an RNA primer on the lagging strand.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003924.g003
effect of disrupting Rad14, the homolog of mammalian XPA. Rad14 is required for the damage recognition step of NER and its loss eliminates NER in yeast, but not other functions of the Rad1–Rad10 complex. For the QP mutations identified in the lys2ΔA746 and lys2ΔBgl assays, there was no discernable difference between the rates of these events in the rnh201A top1A rad11A versus rnh201A top1A rad1A strains (Figure 4A). In the lys2ΔA746,NR assay, however, the rate of QP mutations in the rnh201A top1A rad11A background was only 2.2-fold higher than in the rnh201A top1A background, significantly less than the 9-fold increase observed in the rnh201A top1A rad1A background. The QP events identified in the lys2ΔA746 and lys2ΔBgl assays are thus limited by NER, while those detected in the lys2ΔA746,NR assay reflect primarily an NER-independent role of Rad1–Rad10.

Translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerases are important for generating QP mutations

Prior analyses of transcription-associated mutagenesis in the **lys2ΔA746** reversion assay suggest that most events reflect DNA-damage bypass by the Pol ζ TLS DNA polymerase [34,40]. To examine whether rNMP-dependent QP mutations similarly require Pol ζ activity, we eliminated the Rev3 catalytic subunit of the enzyme in rnh201A top1A strains. In an rnh201A top1A rad3A background, the rate of QP mutations in the **lys2ΔA746,NR** or lys2ΔBgl assay was reduced ~2-fold, while that in the **lys2ΔA746** assay was not significantly affected (Figure 4B). Given the small effect of Pol ζ loss, we examined whether Pol η, the other major TLS polymerase in yeast, might be relevant to rNMP-dependent QP mutations. In the **lys2ΔA747,46,NR** assay, deletion of the Pol η-encoding RAD30 gene was accompanied by a 50-fold reduction in the QP mutation rate. In the **lys2ΔA746** and lys2ΔBgl assays, rates of QP mutations rates were reduced only ~3-fold in a rad30A background. QP mutations detected using the **lys2ΔA746** allele, however, were completely eliminated upon loss of both Pol ζ and Pol η (rnh201A top1A rad3A mutant; reversion of the **lys2ΔBgl** allele was not examined in this background). Altogether, the data indicate that generation of rNMP-dependent QP mutations requires the participation of Pol ζ and/or Pol η, with specific strand-switch events relying on individual TLS polymerases to different extents. Again, this is in contrast to the rates of rNMP- and Top1-dependent deletions in tandem repeats, which are not affected by loss of TLS polymerases.

**Discussion**

We previously reported two types of mutations that are specifically elevated by high levels of transcription in yeast. First, removal of uracil that replaces thymine in transcriptionally active DNA creates abasic sites that are bypassed in a mutagenic manner by Pol ζ, resulting in elevated TA to GC transversions [36,40]. Second, small deletions in tandem repeats reflect Top1 activity, which is important for removing transcription-associated supercoils [19,20]. Here, we report a third transcription-associated mutation signature comprised of complex sequence changes that extend the complementarity between two arms of a quasi-palindrome (QP). Transcription-associated QP mutations are greatly elevated in an RNase H2-defective (**rnh201A**) background and, therefore, are associated with the persistence of some type of RNA:DNA hybrid. Though both transcription-associated short deletions and QP mutations are elevated in an **rnh201A** background, other genetic requirements for these two types of events are distinctly different (summarized in Table 3). Most notably, rNMP-dependent QP mutations are affected by the direction of replication-fork movement and require RNase H1 rather than Top1 activity. In addition, only QP mutations are limited by NER and are dependent on TLS polymerases.

Complex sequence changes at QPs have been most extensively characterized in *E. coli* (reviewed in [30]), but also have been identified in bacteriophage T4 [41] and are found among *TP53* gene mutations in human cancer cells [42]. A template-switch model for QP mutations was first proposed to explain unusual frameshift mutations in the yeast iso-1-cytochrome c gene [43], and we suggest that a similar mechanism is responsible for transcription-associated QP mutations. More recently, QP mutations in yeast have been shown to occur during the repair of DNA double-strand breaks via homologous recombination [44,45]. Homologous recombination cannot be the source of the transcription-associated QP events observed here, however, as these events are not affected by loss of Rad52 (see Tables S1, S2), a protein essential for recombination in yeast (reviewed in [46]).

In bacterial studies, a strong effect of the direction of replication fork movement on a given QP mutation has been interpreted as evidence that the event primarily reflects either an intra-strand switch during lagging-strand synthesis or a transient inter-strand switch of a nascent leading strand to the lagging-strand template (see Figure 3). In either case, it is assumed that the switch is facilitated by the inherent single-strand character of the lagging-strand template and is precipitated by a block/pause during DNA synthesis. For example, there is a positive correlation between intrinsic DNA polymerase pause sites in *vitro* and QP-associated mutation hotspots in phage T4 [47]. In addition, QP-mediated mutations, but not simple base substitutions or frameshifts, are strongly stimulated by the nucleoside analog 3′-azidothymidine (AZT) in *E. coli*, which causes chain termination and replication stalling when incorporated by DNA polymerases [48]. Because transcription-associated QP mutation rates were much higher in the **SAME** than **OPPO** orientation, these events most likely reflect a problem encountered during lagging-strand synthesis. As will be elaborated further below, the sensitivity of QP mutations to the direction of replication-fork movement may additionally reflect an orientation-dependent transcription-replication conflict.
In spite of the strong effect of replication direction on transcription-associated QP mutations, these events were not further stimulated by MMR loss and thus are unlikely to occur directly at the fork. Though this is in contrast to the removal of some types of QP mutation intermediates by MMR in E. coli [35], we note a similar MMR independence in the specific case of transcription-associated QP mutations [49]. One possible explanation for the MMR independence of transcription-associated QP mutations is that they arise during the filling of gaps that are left behind the fork when processive DNA synthesis is disrupted. Requirements of the yeast TLS polymerases for transcription-associated QP mutations (Figure 4B) would be consistent with such a post-replicative, gap-filling process. Indeed, it has been shown that other types of mutation intermediates introduced by Pol ζ are refractory to correction by the MMR machinery, and hence presumably arise outside the context of a replication fork [25]. Roles of the TLS polymerases during the formation of QP mutations could be in the relatively nonprocessive synthesis required for successive template switches and/or in extending mispaired primer-template termini that arise as a result of a template switch. Pol ζ, for example, is particularly good at extending primer-template mispairs [50].

In contrast to their strong stimulation upon RNase H2 loss, transcription-associated QP mutations are dependent on the presence of RNase H1. RNase H1 requires at least 3–4 contiguous rNMPs to incise the RNA component of RNA:DNA hybrids, and we consider three possible sources of such hybrids. First, a DNA polymerase could mis-insert consecutive rNMPs during DNA synthesis. Though the major source of stochastic rNMP incorporation into the yeast genome appears to be due to Pol ζ [6], expression of a mutant form of Pol ζ with a reduced propensity to incorporate rNMPs did not significantly lower the rate of QP mutations. An alternative possibility that we cannot exclude is that one of the yeast TLS polymerases, each of which contributes to QP mutations (Figure 4B), might be the source of the consecutive rNMPs that RNase H1 acts on. E. coli Pol V, for example, which is in the same polymerase family as yeast Pol ε, is characterized by low discrimination between dNTPs and rNTPs [51]. A second source of more extended RNA:DNA hybrids is the RNA primers used to initiate Okazaki fragments during lagging-strand synthesis. The major pathways for removing RNA primers appear to involve either the successive cleavage of short, Pol δ-displaced 5′ flaps by the Fen1 flap endonuclease or the cleavage of longer strand-displacement flaps by the combined action of Dna2 and Fen1 [5]. This does not exclude, however, an important role for RNases H1.

Table 3. Summary of genetic requirements for rNMP-dependent mutations.

| rNMP-dependent mutation type | QP mutations | 2–4 bp deletions |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Affected by transcription?  | Yes (†)      | Yes (†)         |
| Affected by Top1 loss?      | No           | Yes (†)         |
| Affected by RNase H1 loss?  | Yes (†)      | No              |
| Affected by rNMP-restrictive Pol ε? | No | Yes (†) |
| Affected by replication direction? | Yes (SAME->OPPO) | No |
| Affected by MMR loss?       | No           | No              |
| Affected by NER loss?       | Yes (†)      | No              |
| Affected by TLS polymerase loss? | Yes (†) | No |
| Affected by HR loss?        | No           | No              |

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003924.t003
and H2 in Okazaki primer removal in some circumstances, and a specific case relevant to QP mutations might be under high-transcription conditions. Finally, R-loops that form during transcription provide a third source of RNA:DNA hybrids that are potential substrates of RNase H1. The opposing roles of RNase H1 and H2 in the generation of QP mutations (a reduction and increase upon deletion of RNH1 and RNH2, respectively) suggest that RNase H1 likely degrades most of the RNA component of the relevant RNA:DNA hybrid, leaving a small number of rNMPs that can only be removed by RNase H2. It is then the persistence of one or a few rNMPs that is assumed to perturb lagging-strand synthesis and eventually precipitate the intra-strand template switch that leads to QP mutations. We note that the type of template switch proposed here would only allow a small number of blocking rNMPs to be bypassed. Longer RNA-DNA hybrids presumably lead to a different type of instability that cannot be detected in our reversion assays.

A final feature of the transcription-associated QP mutations described here is their elevation upon loss of the NER pathway, suggesting that removal of some type of helical distortion or secondary structure limits these events. Helical distortion could reflect DNA damage or the presence of consecutive rNMPs in duplex DNA [52], while the hairpin formed during an intra-strand template switch might be a relevant secondary structure. In addition to a general role for NER in preventing QP mutations, an NER-independent role for Rad1 was evident in the lys2ΔA746,NR assay. We speculate that the NER independence reflects the well-known role of Rad1–Rad10 in removing 3' to 5' single-strand exonucleases in preventing QP mutations in E. coli [35]. Whether other endo- or exo-nucleases are relevant to QP mutations in yeast remains to be explored.

Based on the genetic requirements of transcription-associated QP mutations, we entertain two different models for how these events might arise in yeast (Figure 5). Central to both models is an involvement of an RNA:DNA hybrid and an effect of the direction of replication-fork movement. In the first model, replication stalling and subsequent strand misalignment within a QP reflects a deficiency in Okazaki fragment maturation in the absence of RNase H2 (Figure 5A). This would be consistent with the presumptive origin of QP mutations during lagging-strand synthesis and with the apparent site-specificity of these mutations, which could reflect the position of an Okazaki fragment-priming site. There are, for example, additional QPs in the reversion window monitored that should be able to template complex mutations, but corresponding QP mutations were not detected at these positions. The involvement of NER could reflect processing of a hairpin intermediate formed during the template switch, while an NER-independent role of Rad1–10 could reflect removal of unpaired 3' ends during an attempted template switch [35].

![Figure 5. Models for generating rNMP-dependent QP mutations. A. A transcription-associated defect in removing Okazaki-fragment primers stalls DNA polymerase (DNAP; gray hexagon), which precipitates an intra-strand template switch. B. Following a co-directional collision between DNAP and RNA polymerase (RNAP; blue oval), the replisome uses the transcript as a primer to re-start leading-strand DNA synthesis. Incomplete removal of the transcript-derived RNA places rNMPs in the lagging-strand template at the next round of replication. Stalling of DNAP at the template rNMPs precipitates an intra-strand template switch. Though QP mutations are depicted as being introduced during lagging-strand synthesis that is coupled to the fork, they most likely are generated during post-replicative filling of lagging-strand gaps. Wavy blue lines correspond to RNA. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003924.g005](doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003924.g005)
prediction of this model is that QP mutations should be exacerbated by additional perturbation in Okazaki fragment synthesis and/or processing.

A second model (Figure 3B) is suggested by in vitro studies of replication-transcription conflicts using bacterial proteins, in which co-directional collisions disrupt both DNA and RNA synthesis. Significantly, the transcript can be used as a primer to re-start leading-strand replication [53]. Recent studies in E. coli have corroborated these findings and suggest that co-directional collisions with backtracked/arrested RNA polymerase are particularly problematic [54]. Following replication re-start, a failure to properly process the primer would lead to transcript-derived rNMPs in the lagging-strand template at the next round of replication. rNMP-provoked replication stalling could trigger formation of an rNMP-containing gap that would need to be filled post-replicatively, leading to the observed QP mutations. For yeast DNA polymerases, the efficiency of rNMP bypass varies depending on the sequence context [55], and this might account for the site-specificity of events. With regard to the role of NER in limiting QP mutations, pauses/stalling of the transcription machinery at damage that is normally removed by NER would be expected to increase co-directional collisions. More frequent collisions should also be promoted by mutations that slow the elongation rate of RNA polymerase or that eliminate anti-backtracking mechanisms. This model could be tested by examining the effect of eliminating transcription elongation factor TFIIS, which also reactivates backtracked RNA polymerase [56].

Ribonucleotides are likely the most prevalent, non-canonical component of genomic DNA and can lead to catastrophic events in the absence of efficient removal [7]. In addition to Top1-generated strand breaks at rNMPs, which lead to short deletions [18] and replication stress [57], the QP mutations described here underscore the importance of efficient rNMP removal by RNase H2 for the maintenance of genome integrity. The more extensive palindromes generated by QP mutations can fold into stable secondary structures such as hairpins and cruciforms, which would further increase the risk of genome instability. Additional studies will be required to understand the molecular mechanism whereby transcription perturbs the processing and/or promotes formation of RNA:DNA hybrids that lead to QP mutations in yeast.

Materials and Methods

Media and growth conditions

Yeast strains were grown at 30°C in non-selective YEP medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone; 2% agar for plates) supplemented with 2% dextrose (YEPD) or with 2% each of glycerol and ethanol (YEPE). pTET was maximally activated under these growth conditions, and was repressed by addition of doxycycline (Sigma) to 2 μg/ml. Selective growth was on synthetic, 2% dextrose (SD) medium supplemented with all but one nutrient. Selection of yeast DNA polymerases, the efficiency of rNMP bypass varies depending on the sequence context [55], and this might account for the site-specificity of events. With regard to the role of NER in limiting QP mutations, pauses/stalling of the transcription machinery at damage that is normally removed by NER would be expected to increase co-directional collisions. More frequent collisions should also be promoted by mutations that slow the elongation rate of RNA polymerase or that eliminate anti-backtracking mechanisms. This model could be tested by examining the effect of eliminating transcription elongation factor TFIIS, which also reactivates backtracked RNA polymerase [56].

Ribonucleotides are likely the most prevalent, non-canonical component of genomic DNA and can lead to catastrophic events in the absence of efficient removal [7]. In addition to Top1-generated strand breaks at rNMPs, which lead to short deletions [18] and replication stress [57], the QP mutations described here underscore the importance of efficient rNMP removal by RNase H2 for the maintenance of genome integrity. The more extensive palindromes generated by QP mutations can fold into stable secondary structures such as hairpins and cruciforms, which would further increase the risk of genome instability. Additional studies will be required to understand the molecular mechanism whereby transcription perturbs the processing and/or promotes formation of RNA:DNA hybrids that lead to QP mutations in yeast.
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