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Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder manifesting early in childhood. Some symptoms of autism are alleviated by treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, which are known to interact with the serotonin transporter. Moreover, variation in the gene that encodes the transporter (SLC6A4), especially the HTTLPR locus, is known to modulate its expression. It is natural, therefore, to evaluate whether this variation plays a role in liability to autism. We investigated the impact of alleles at HTTLPR and three other loci in SLC6A4 by using a large, independent family-based sample (390 families, 1528 individuals) from the NIH Collaborative Programs of Excellence in Autism (CPEA) network. Allele transmissions to individuals diagnosed with autism were biased only for HTTLPR, both for the narrow diagnosis of autism (P = 0.035) and for the broader diagnosis of autism spectrum (P = 0.007). The short allele of HTTLPR was significantly overtransmitted. Investigation of haplotype transmissions suggested that, in our data, biased transmission was only due to HTTLPR. With respect to this locus, there are now seven of 12 studies reporting significant transmission bias of HTTLPR alleles, a noteworthy result in itself. However, the studies with significant findings are almost equally divided between overtransmission of short and overtransmission of long alleles. We place our results within this extremely heterogeneous field of studies. Determining the factors influencing the relationship between autism phenotypes and HTTLPR variation, as well as other loci in SLC6A4, could be an important advance in our understanding of this complex disorder. © 2005 Nature Publishing Group All rights reserved.
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Autism and the serotonin transporter: the long and short of it

B Devlin, EH Cook Jr, H Coon, G Dawson, EL Grigorenko, W McMahon, N Minshew, D Pauls, M Smith, MA Spence, PM Rodier, C Stodgell, GD Schellenberg and the CPEA Genetics Network

Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder manifesting early in childhood. Some symptoms of autism are alleviated by treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, which are known to interact with the serotonin transporter. Moreover, variation in the gene that encodes the transporter (SLC6A4), especially the HTTLPR locus, is known to modulate its expression. It is natural, therefore, to evaluate whether this variation plays a role in liability to autism. We investigated the impact of alleles at HTTLPR and three other loci in SLC6A4 by using a large, independent family-based sample (390 families, 1528 individuals) from the NIH Collaborative Programs of Excellence in Autism (CPEA) network. Allele transmissions to individuals diagnosed with autism were biased only for HTTLPR, both for the narrow diagnosis of autism \( P = 0.035 \) and for the broader diagnosis of autism spectrum \( P = 0.007 \). The short allele of HTTLPR was significantly overtransmitted. Investigation of haplotype transmissions suggested that, in our data, biased transmission was only due to HTTLPR. With respect to this locus, there are now seven of 12 studies reporting significant transmission bias of HTTLPR alleles, a noteworthy result in itself. However, the studies with significant findings are almost equally divided between overtransmission of short and overtransmission of long alleles. We place our results within this extremely heterogeneous field of studies. Determining the factors influencing the relationship between autism phenotypes and HTTLPR variation, as well as other loci in SLC6A4, could be an important advance in our understanding of this complex disorder.
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Several studies implicate serotonin in the pathophysiology of autism (reviewed in Cook and Leventhal). For individuals with autism, tryptophan depletion can worsen repetitive behaviors and PET neuroimaging reveals evidence of impaired serotonin synthesis. Furthermore, selective serotonin transporter inhibitors often reduce rituals and routines common to individuals with autism. Hyperserotonemia is the most consistently replicated neurochemical finding in autism. A study comparing hyperserotonemtic to normoserotonemtic subjects suggests altered serotonin transporter function. On the basis of these observations, Cook et al evaluated alleles at the serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4, MIM 182138) for linkage/association to autism. In the family-based association study of autism, they found the short allele of a variable number of tandem repeat (VNTR) polymorphism in the promoter region of SLC6A4 was overtransmitted from parents to their autistic children. This locus is often identified as HTTLPR. By length it has two common alleles, short/long (S/L), and a small number of rare alleles. Their finding of linkage/association of HTTLPR and autism is even more intriguing when it is teamed with the observation that the S/L alleles have an impact on expression of SLC6A4.

Unfortunately, since publication of the findings of Cook et al results on this locus have been far from...
consistent. To our knowledge, of the studies examining transmission of S/L, three report significant overtransmission of S, three report significant overtransmission of L, and five report no evidence for significant, differential transmission (Table 1). Studies showing significant overtransmission of L have some of the smallest sample sizes and one study used a broader phenotype than autism and its spectrum disorders.8

Linkage studies yield equally ambiguous results. Older studies yield no strong evidence for—or against—linkage on chromosome 17q11.1–q12, the location of SLC6A4.9–14 Three recent studies, on the other hand, yield much stronger support for a locus affecting liability to autism in the 17q11.1–q12 region: two studies targeted diagnosis per se;15,16 the other used the presence/absence of rigid/compulsive features to show a strong signal for linkage in those families with compulsive behaviors and rigidity.17

By using a large number of families from the CPEA network, we examine linkage/association between liability to autism and four loci in SLC6A4: HTTLPR, the intron 2 VNTR polymorphism (also found to affect HTT expression), and two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) HTTSNP10 (RS2020936) and HTTSNP11 (RS2020937) in intron 1A.18 We find evidence for linkage/association between liability to autism and the alleles of HTTLPR, but no evidence for linkage/association with alleles at other loci. We assess our results in light of the results from other studies.

Materials and methods

Simplex and multiplex autism families were recruited from ongoing research projects at six NIH CPEA Network sites: University of California Irvine, University of Pittsburgh, University of Rochester, University of Utah, University of Washington, and Yale University. Genotype data from 1528 individuals in 390 families were used for these analyses. Of these, approximately 37.7% were simplex families, 41% were multiplex families and the rest contained individuals who were not yet diagnosed. Of the multiplex families, most contained an affected sibling pair (97.5%), and a few were multigenerational (0.8%). With the exception of University of Rochester, all diagnoses were based on the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R),19 the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule—Generic,20 DSM-IV, and clinical evaluation to rule out known medical causes of autism. For the University of Rochester, individuals from 102 of the families were diagnosed as just described, and 95 families were diagnosed by clinical evaluation only. For most families, DNA was available from both parents, and 72.7% of all parents were genotyped. Based on the results of our previous studies of autism and other experience, most families were likely to be of European ancestry; nevertheless, only 37.5% of the families were known to be of European ancestry and 7% were known to be of ancestry other than European.

Genotypes for four SLC6A4 loci were determined essentially as described by Kim et al.18 Since the genotyping was performed at three different sites (Rochester, Washington, and Yale), a panel of 20 of the same control samples obtained from the Coriell Cell Repository was genotyped at each site and the results compared to insure that genotyping methods across sites produced identical results.

For our analyses, two groups of affected individuals were considered. The first group included all individuals diagnosed with autism, Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified (PDD-NOS), and Asperger’s syndrome (broad diagnosis). The second group included only individuals diagnosed with autism (narrow diagnosis).

Markers and pedigrees were evaluated for single-locus Mendelian errors using the PedCheck program.21 Mendelian transmission of haplotypes was evaluated by using eHap software22 (http://wpicr.wpic.pitt.edu/WPICCompGen/), under the assumption that recombination did not occur among the SLC6A4 loci in these pedigrees. Genotyping errors were set to missing. For the families analyzed herein, <0.4% of the genotypes were set to missing values. To test for differential transmission of alleles, FBAT was used (http://www.biostat.harvard.edu/~fbat/). FBAT implements a generalization of the TDT test.24 To analyze linkage/association, we chose an additive model, which is often powerful even when the true model deviates from additivity. Data were analyzed for both broad and narrow diagnosis. All analyses accounted for the possibility of linkage in the region surrounding SLC6A4 by using FBAT’s empirical test procedure. Haplotype-based analyses were performed using the software eHap.22 The program Allegro was used to perform two-point and multipoint linkage analyses.

To evaluate the power of the samples (Table 1) to detect excess transmission of S relative to L, we use the program PBAT.26,27 For input, PBAT requires the family structures present in the sample, in terms of the number of genotyped affected and unaffected offspring and the number of genotyped parents. It also requires specification of the genetic model. For these calculations, we assume autism prevalence is K = 0.005 and a targeted significance level of 0.05; the frequency of the disease allele equals the observed frequency of short alleles; specify the ‘attributable fraction’ AF = 1−f0/K,28 in which f0 is the probability of being affected with autism given the individual’s genotype is homozygous L/L; and perform the calculations assuming a 4:3 transmission of S:L to autistic individuals. Please see Supplementary Information for how quantities such as the transmission ratio and AF inter-relate.

Results

To test whether alleles of loci in SLC6A4 affect liability to autism, we genotyped four polymorphic sites (HTTLPR, intron 2 VNTR, HTTSNP10, and
HTTSNP11) in a sample of 390 families. HTTLPR and the intron 2 VNTR were selected because these sites were both shown to be associated with autism in previous studies (Table 1), and both sites also appear to affect expression levels of SLC6A4. HTTSNP10 and HTTSNP11 were selected based on results from a comprehensive analysis of polymorphisms spanning the entire 38 kb SLC6A4 gene. In that study, 20 SNP sites were analyzed in 81 autism trios using TDT methods. Of the SNPs tested, alleles for HTTSNP9 and HTTSNP11 yielded the most significant evidence for association with autism. For these SNPs, however, an ‘A’ at HTTSNP11 is almost always teamed with a ‘T’ allele at HTTSNP9, and a ‘T’ at HTTSNP11 is almost always teamed with a ‘C’ allele at HTTSNP9. Since the information from the two loci is largely redundant, only HTTSNP11 was genotyped for this study. HTTSNP10 was selected because, when used to generate haplotypes with HTTSNP11, strong evidence for association was obtained (unpublished data, Edwin H Cook).

The allele distribution for each locus and our sample of pedigree founders, mostly parents of children with autism, was consistent with other studies (Table 1). Founder genotypes conformed to Hardy–Weinberg expectations, with the exception of the HTTSNP10 (HTTLPR: \( \chi^2 = 0.52, \text{DF} = 1, P = 0.47 \); intron 2 VNTR: \( \chi^2 = 2.53, \text{DF} = 2, P = 0.28 \); HTTSNP11: \( \chi^2 = 0.81, \text{DF} = 1, P = 0.37 \); HTTSNP10: \( \chi^2 = 6.49, \text{DF} = 1, P = 0.01 \)). There was an excess of both homozygotes at HTTSNP10.

Ignoring very rare alleles (Table 2), there were \( 2 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2 = 24 \) possible haplotypes from these four loci. By using maximum likelihood estimation and the distribution of multilocus genotypes of parents and their offspring, we found evidence for 11 of these haplotypes in our sample (Table 3). Two were common, namely LTA10 and STT12, and account for roughly 55% of the chromosomes sampled. Paralleling the haplotype distribution, pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) among the four loci was substantial but never absolute (Table 3). Strongest LD was seen between the alleles at the Intron 2 VNTR and HTTSNP11 and least LD between alleles of HTTLPR and HTTSNP10.

For the broad diagnostic category, significant deviation from the null hypothesis of Mendelian transmissions was seen for the HTTLPR locus (Table 4), but none of the other loci. Similar results were obtained for the narrow diagnosis of autism, and conclusions were unchanged if the data were restricted to families of known European ancestry (Table 4). To ensure that the excess transmission of short alleles was restricted to autistic individuals, we

### Table 1 Summary of published studies of the transmission of HTTLPR alleles to autistic individuals

| Study            | Sample | S  | L  | Ratio | Power |
|------------------|--------|----|----|-------|-------|
| Cook et al<sup>a</sup> + | 86 trios | 48 | 29 | 1.66  | 0.163 |
| Conroy et al<sup>b</sup> + | 84 trios | 55 | 34 | 1.62  | 0.160 |
| Kim et al<sup>c</sup> | 81 trios | 43 | 30 | 1.43  | 0.156 |
| Betancur et al<sup>d</sup> | 43 trios 53 multiplex | 71 | 55 | 1.29  | 0.251 |
| This study<sup>e</sup> + | 103 trios 125 multiplex | 175 | 137 | 1.28  | 0.447 |
| McCauley et al<sup>f</sup> + | 123 multiplex | 221 | 180 | 1.23  | 0.382 |
| Persico et al<sup>g</sup> | 86 trios 5 multiplex | 48 | 42 | 1.14  | 0.176 |
| Coutinho et al<sup>h</sup> | 182 trios | 88 | 79 | 1.11  | 0.296 |
| Maestrini et al<sup>i</sup> | 8 trios 82 multiplex | 72 | 76 | 0.94  | 0.284 |
| Tordjman et al<sup>j</sup> | 69 trios | 40 | 64 | 0.63  | 0.143 |
| Klauck et al<sup>k</sup> | 65 trios | 23 | 40 | 0.58  | 0.136 |
| Yirmiya et al<sup>l</sup> | 34 trios | 11 | 25 | 0.44  | 0.094 |

*We counted only the completely unambiguous transmissions of S and L, neglecting multigenerational pedigrees.*

### Table 2 Allele distributions for the SLC6A4 loci

| Locus (allele/relative frequency) | HTTLPR | HTTSNP10 | HTTSNP11 | Intron 2 VNTR |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------|
| S/0.407                           | C/0.201| A/0.388  | 9/0.013  |
| L/0.593                           | T/0.799| T/0.612  | 10/0.376 |
| ML/0.001                          | XL/0.001| 12/0.611 |

For HTTLPR, allele designations S and L are as previously described<sup>43</sup> and the ML and XL are rare alleles that are longer than the L allele. For HTTSNP10 and HTTSNP11, allele designations refer to the single nucleotide at the polymorphic site. Allele designations for the Intron 2 VNTR are as described previously.<sup>6,44</sup> The allele distributions are derived from parents’ genotypes; sample sizes for the loci are 596, 591, 597 and 597 genotypes, respectively.
also analyzed transmission to unaffected offspring (127 unaffected offspring distributed in 108 families). No significant non-Mendelian transmission was observed for any of the four loci (Table 4). No significant non-Mendelian transmissions were observed by analyzing the data as four-locus haplotypes ($\chi^2 = 14.09; \text{DF} = 10; P = 0.169$). However, haplotypes bearing the S allele and the 10 alleles at Intron 2 VNTR were notably overtransmitted ($\chi^2 = 6.29; \text{DF} = 1; P = 0.012$). To investigate whether the data suggest heterogeneity in the transmission of Intron 2 alleles on the basis of the transmitted HTTLPR allele, we conducted a series of statistical contrasts of transmission rates by using eHap software. \(^{22}\) We could find no significant evidence for differential transmission rates of the 10 vs 12 allele when they were transmitted along with an S allele ($\chi^2 = 0.04; \text{DF} = 1; P = 0.669$) or with an L allele ($\chi^2 = 0.21; \text{DF} = 1; P = 0.645$). Therefore, the haplotype analyses supported the single locus tests, suggesting HTTLPR alleles as the sole target of asymmetric transmission. Despite evidence for linkage and association \(^{28}\) in the form of excess transmission of S vs L, the multiplex families yielded no evidence for linkage. Two-point linkage analyses, conducted by using the estimated haplotype distribution (Table 3), and multi-point linkage analyses, conducted by treating the four loci as independent, produced indistinguishable results, NPL score $\approx 0.30$ and ZLR score $\approx 0.31$. When considering these results, it is important to bear in mind that the S allele is quite common in the population (Table 2). Therefore, even if S were to convey liability to autism, evidence for linkage would often be eroded by bilineal transmission of S alleles. Thus, \textit{a priori}, we expected low power for the linkage test.

Table 3  Haplotype distribution and linkage disequilibrium statistics (absolute value of Lewontin’s $D'$ (top) and $r$ (bottom))

| Haplotype | Relative frequency |
|-----------|--------------------|
| LCT12     | 0.134              |
| LTA9      | 0.011              |
| LTA10     | 0.286              |
| LTA12     | 0.006              |
| LTT10     | 0.011              |
| LTT12     | 0.146              |
| SCT12     | 0.056              |
| STA10     | 0.075              |
| STA12     | 0.006              |
| STT10     | 0.005              |
| STT12     | 0.263              |

| HTTLPR     | HTTSNP10 | HTTSNP11 | Intron 2 VNTR |
|------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| HTTLPR     | —        | 0.28     | 0.47          | 0.48          |
| HTTSNP10   | 0.11     | —        | 1.0           | 1.0           |
| HTTSNP11   | 0.31     | 0.38     | —             | 0.95          |
| Intron 2 VNTR | 0.32   | 0.38     | 0.94          | —             |

Linkage disequilibrium statistics computed as if the HTTLPR and Intron 2 VNTR were biallelic for their common alleles. Haplotype designations are a list of alleles in the following order: HTTLPR, HTTSNP10, HTTSNP11, Intron 2 VNTR. Relative frequencies computed from all 390 families.

Table 4  Results of tests for biased transmission for four loci in \textit{SLC6A4}, by sample

| Locus          | Sample       | Broad        | Narrow       | $EA^*$ ancestry | Unaffected$^b$ |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| HTTLPR         | 9.80; 2; 0.007; 183 | 6.74; 2; 0.035; 102 | 5.03; 1; 0.025; 106 | 0.83; 2; 0.669; 56 |
| HTTSNP10       | 0.00; 1; 0.947; 139 | 0.18; 1; 0.672; 74 | 0.03; 1; 0.862; 71 | 3.30; 1; 0.069; 40 |
| HTTSNP11       | 0.15; 1; 0.701; 210 | 0.01; 1; 0.940; 111 | 0.24; 1; 0.624; 116 | 0.95; 1; 0.329; 61 |
| Intron 2 VNTR  | 0.44; 2; 0.802; 206 | 0.02; 2; 0.989; 106 | 0.63; 2; 0.728; 114 | 1.64; 2; 0.441; 56.5 |

Values reported in each cell: $\chi^2$ statistic; degrees-of-freedom; $P$-value; and effective number of informative transmissions. $^a$EA = European American, broad diagnosis. $^b$All children diagnosed as unaffected.

(127 unaffected offspring distributed in 108 families). No significant non-Mendelian transmission was observed for any of the four loci (Table 4).
For the reported studies of HTTLPR (Table 1), analysis of the transmission rates of S:L revealed significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 31.97$; DF = 11; $P = 0.0008$). This result was unsurprising given the set of findings, in which certain studies showed significant overtransmission of S and others show significant overtransmission of L. The source or sources of this heterogeneity remains unclear, and its presence argues against estimation of a common transmission rate. Even if we were to limit our calculations to studies in which transmission rates were greater than 1.0 (Table 1), however, the estimated transmission rate of S:L would not be large, 3.83 : 3 S : L. (This is the Mantel–Hanzel estimate; using all studies, the estimate naturally is lower, 3.4 : 3.) It is interesting to evaluate whether the samples were large enough to detect such a differential transmission rate of HTTLPR alleles. For this analysis, we assumed the frequency of the S allele was 0.41 (Table 2), and assumed a transmission ratio of 4 : 3 S : L to affected offspring. In fact, none of the studies had good power (>80%) to detect a 4 : 3 rate of overtransmission of S relative to L (Table 1).

Discussion

We evaluate four loci in SLC6A4 to determine if these variants show linkage/association to diagnosis of autism. Of these loci, only the S/L alleles of HTTLPR show significant association. In our study, the S allele is significantly overtransmitted relative to the L allele. In terms of haplotypes, after accounting for the effect of S/L, no other locus showed non-Mendelian transmission to autistic individuals.

Recently Stone et al reported an enhanced signal for linkage in the 17q11.1–q12 region, which contains SLC6A4. The greater linkage signal occurs when they split their multiplex families into two groups according to whether the affected individuals within each family were only male (MO) or conversely whether the family contained at least one female who was affected with autism (FC). Substantially greater linkage occurs in the MO sample, which accounts for slightly more than one-half of the multiplex families. To determine if our results parallel those of Stone et al we split our multiplex families according to the MO and FC criteria, and test for differential transmission. The data do not support differential transmission between the MO and FC families for any of the loci. For HTTLPR, both samples show marginally significant overtransmission of S (MO, $P = 0.057$; FC, $P = 0.090$), which is due to larger sample size of MO (99 families) vs FC (53 families) sample rather than different transmission rates (MO, 1.18; FC, 1.19).

Among the studies published to date, the significant heterogeneity of S vs L transmission stands out. Especially curious is the number of studies showing biased transmission of alleles, irrespective of what allele shows the bias. In fact, seven of 12 studies yield significant transmission distortion (Table 1), a rate that is itself significantly different from that expected under the null (seven out of 12 vs 0.6 out of 12 expected by chance (ie, $0.05 \times 12 = 0.6$)). This observation could be misleading due to the possibility of publication bias, if studies without significant results were unlikely to be published. Clearly, such a bias cannot be ruled out. On the other hand, it seems unlikely to be the sole explanation, given the controversial nature of the association of this locus with autism and phenotypes related to autism.

It is conceivable that this heterogeneity maps onto the well-known clinical and/or phenotypic heterogeneity of autism and its spectrum disorders. Under such a scenario, transmission of L would convey a greater likelihood of certain autism-related phenotypes compared to transmission of S, and vice versa. Notably, on the basis of serotonin blood levels being above or below the population mean, Persico et al find excess inheritance of L when autistic individuals have above-average serotonin levels and excess inheritance of the S allele otherwise. Likewise, Coutinho et al find a relationship between S/L alleles and serotonin blood levels. Yet, according to their findings, the S/L variant explains only a small portion of the variation in serotonin blood levels of autistic patients (see also Betancur et al).

With respect to behavior, anxiety disorder, major depression, and obsessive-compulsive disorder are all elevated in first-degree relatives of probands with autism. From the data in Table 1, the hypothesis seems quite plausible. On the other hand, it is also possible that the S allele, or another allele in tight LD with it, confers liability to autism. If this were the case, it could be a rather important locus due to high frequency of the S allele. Suppose, for example, that the ratio of transmission of S:L was 4:3, as we supposed for some of our analyses. Then the HTTLPR locus would account for a substantial portion of the population attributable risk, roughly 18% of the liability. Even if the ratio were 6:5, it would still account for roughly 11% of the liability. As our power calculations demonstrate, however, substantial samples are required to ensure detection for either of these differential transmission rates, larger than any study has thus far amassed. Resolution of the impact of variation in HTTLPR on liability to autism or phenotypes related to autism will be challenging but could be key to our understanding of the etiology of autism.
Acknowledgements

This research was funded by program project grants from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the National Institute on Deafness and Communication Disability (U19 HD035465, U19 HD35482, U19 HD35469, U19 HD035466), which are part of the NICHD/NIDCD Collaborative Program of Excellence in Autism. BD was supported by MH057881. Work at the University of Utah was supported by PO1 HD35476 to WMN, and Public Health Service research Grant M01-RR00064 from the National Center for Research and Resources and the Devonshire Foundation. Work at the University of Rochester was also conducted in the General Clinical Research Center, which is supported in part by a grant from the National Center for Research and Resources. University of Utah was also conducted in the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center was supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs. We thank Elaine Loomis, Vibhor Sonpar, Shawn Wood, and Weiting Xie for their excellent technical support.

References

1 Cook E, Leventhal B. The serotonin system in autism. Curr Opin Pediatr 1996; 8: 348–354.
2 McDougle CJ, Naylor ST, Goodman WK, Volkmar FR, Cohen DJ, Price LH. Acute tryptophan depletion in autistic disorder: a controlled case study. Biol Psychiatry 1993; 33: 547–550.
3 Chugani DC, Muzik O, Behen M, Rothermel R, Janisse JJ, Lee J et al. Developmental changes in brain serotonin synthesis capacity in autistic and nonautistic children. Ann Neurol 1999; 45: 287–295.
4 McDougle C, Naylor S, Cohen D, Volkmar F, Heninger G, Price L. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of fluvoxamine in adults with autistic disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1996; 53: 1001–1008.
5 Cook Jr EH, Arora RC, Anderson GH, Berry-Kravis EM, Yan S, Yeoh HC et al. Platelet serotonin studies in hyperserotonemic relatives of children with autistic disorder. Life Sci 1993; 52: 2005–2015.
6 Cook EH, Courchesne R, Lord C, Cox NJ, Yan S, Lincoln A et al. Evidence of linkage between the serotonin transporter and autistic disorder. Mol Psychiatry 1997; 2: 247–250.
7 Lesch KP, Bengel D, Heils A, Sabol SZ, Greenberg BD, Petri S et al. Association of anxiety-related traits with a polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene regulatory region. Science 1996; 274: 1527–1531.
8 Kluck SM, Poustka F, Benner A, Lesch K-P, Poustka A. Serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene variants associated with autism. Hum Mol Genet 1997; 13: 2233–2238.
9 Philippe A, Martinez M, Guilloudbataille M, Gillberg C, Rastam M, Sponheim E et al. Genome-wide scan for autism susceptibility genes. Hum Mol Genet 1999; 8: 605–612.
10 Risch N, Spiker D, Lotspeich L, Nouri N, Hinds D, Hallmayer J et al. A genomic screen of autism: evidence for a multilocus etiology. Am J Hum Genet 1999; 65: 493–507.
11 Barrett S, Beck JC, Bernier R, Bisson E, Braun TA, Casavant TL et al. An autosomal genomic screen for autism. Am J Med Genet 1999; 88: 609–615.
12 Buxbaum JD, Silverman JM, Smith CJ, Kilifarski M, Reichert J, Hollander E et al. Evidence for a susceptibility gene for autism on chromosome 2 and for genetic heterogeneity. Am J Hum Genet 2001; 68: 1514–1520.
13 Alarcon M, Cantor RM, Liu J, Gilliam TC, the Autism Genetic Resource Exchange Consortium, Geschwind DH. Evidence for a locus for quantitative trait locus on chromosome 7q in multiplex families. Am J Hum Genet 2002; 70: 60–71.
14 Auranen M, Vanhala R, Varilo T, Ayres K, Kempas E, Ylisaukko-oja T et al. A genome-wide screen for autism-spectrum disorders: evidence for a major susceptibility locus on chromosome 3q25–27. Am J Hum Genet 2002; 71: 777–790.
15 Familial Molecular Genetic Study Group of Autism Consortium (IMGSAC). A genomewide screen for autism: strong evidence for linkage to chromosomes 2q, 7q, and 16p. Am J Hum Genet 2001; 69: 570–581.
16 Yoon AL, Alarcon M, Cheng R, Magnusson PKE, Spence SJ, Palmer AA et al. A genomewide screen of 345 families for autism-susceptibility loci. Am J Hum Genet 2003; 73: 886–897.
17 McCaulley JL, Olson LM, Dowd M, Amin T, Steele A, Blakely RD et al. Linkage and association analysis at the serotonin transporter (SLC6A4) locus in a rigid-compulsive subset of autism. Am J Med Genet 2004; 127B: 104–112.
18 Kim SJ, Cox N, Courchesne R, Lord C, Corsello C, Akshoomoff N et al. Transmission disequilibrium mapping at the serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4) region in autistic disorder. Mol Psychiatry 2002; 7: 278–288.
19 Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism diagnostic interview revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. J Autism Dev Disord 1994; 24: 659–685.
20 Lord C, Risi S, Lambrecht L, Cook EH, Leventhal BL, Dilavore P et al. The autism diagnostic observation schedule-generic: a standard measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. J Autism Dev Disord 2000; 30: 205–223.
21 O’Connell JR, Weeks DE. PedCheck: a program for identification of genotype incompatibilities in linkage analysis. Am J Hum Genet 1998; 63: 259–266.
22 Seltman H, Roeder K, Devlin B. Evolutionary-based association analysis using haplotype data. Genet Epidemiol 2003; 25: 48–58.
23 Rabinowitz D, Laird N. A unified approach to adjusting association tests for population admixture with arbitrary pedigree structure and arbitrary missing marker information. Hum Hered 2000; 50: 211–223.
24 Spielman RS, McGinnis RE, Ewens WJ. Transmission test for linkage disequilibrium: the insulin gene region and insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM). Am J Hum Genet 1993; 52: 506–516.
25 Guddbjartsson DF, Jonasson K, Frigge ML, Kong A. Allegro, a new computer program for multipoint linkage analysis. Nat Genet 2000; 25: 12–13.
26 Lange C, Laird NM. On a general class of conditional tests for family-based association studies in genetics: the asymptotic distribution, the conditional power, and optimality considerations. Genet Epidemiol 2002; 23: 165–180.
27 Lange C, Laird NM. Power calculations for a general class of family-based association tests: dichotomous traits. Am J Hum Genet 2002; 71: 575–584.
28 McGinnis RE, Ewens WJ, Spielman RS. The TDT reveals linkage and linkage disequilibrium in a rare disease. Genet Epidemiol 1995; 12: 637–640.
29 Stone JL, Merriman B, Cantor RM, Yonan AL, Gilliam TC, Geschwind DH et al. Evidence for sex-specific risk alleles in autism spectrum disorder. Am J Hum Genet 2004; 75: 1117–1123.
30 Persico AM, Pascucci T, Puglisi-Allegra S, Milletini R, Bravaccio C, Schneider C et al. Serotonin transporter gene promoter variants do not explain the hyperserotonemia in autistic children. Mol Psychiatr 2002; 7: 795–796.
31 Coutinho AM, Oliveira G, Morgadinho T, Fesel C, Macedo TR, Bento C et al. Variants of the serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4) significantly contribute to hyperserotonemia in autism. Mol Psychiatry 2004; 9: 264–271.
32 Betancur C, Corbex M, Spielievey C, Philippe A, Laplanche JL, Launay JM et al. Serotonin transporter gene polymorphisms and hyperserotonemia in autistic disorder. Mol Psychiatr 2002; 7: 67–71.
33 Smalley SL, McCracken J, Tanguay P. Autism, affective disorders, and social phobia. Am J Med Genet 1995; 60: 19–26.
34 Bolton PF, Pickles A, Murphy M, Rutter M. Autism, affective and other psychiatric disorders: patterns of familial aggregation. Psychiatr Med 1998; 28: 385–395.
35 Murphy M, Bolton PF, Pickles A, Fombonne E, Piven J, Rutter M. Personality traits of the relative of autistic probands. Psychol Med 2000; 30: 1411–1424.
36 Sen S, Burmeister M, Ghosh D. Meta-analysis of the association between a serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) and anxiety-related personality traits. *Am J Med Genet* 2004; 127B: 85–89.

37 McDougle CJ, Epperson CN, Price LH, Gelernter J. Evidence for linkage disequilibrium between serotonin transporter protein gene (SLC6A4) and obsessive compulsive disorder. *Mol Psychiatr* 1998; 3: 270–273.

38 Tordjman S, Gutknecht L, Carlier M, Spitz E, Antoine C, Slama F et al. Role of the serotonin transporter gene in the behavioral expression of autism. *Mol Psychiatr* 2001; 6: 434–439.

39 Conroy J, Meally E, Kearney G, Fitzgerald M, Gill M, Gallagher L. Serotonin transporter gene and autism: a haplotype analysis in an Irish autistic population. *Mol Psychiatr* 2004; 9: 587–593.

40 Persico AM, Millterni R, Bravaccio C, Schneider C, Melmed R, Conciatori M et al. Lack of association between serotonin transporter gene promoter variants and autistic disorder in two ethnically distinct samples. *Am J Med Genet* 2000; 96: 123–127.

41 Maestrini E, Lai C, Marlow A, Matthews N, Wallace S, Bailey A et al. Serotonin transporter (5-HTT) and gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor subunit beta 3 (GABRB3) gene polymorphisms are not associated with autism in the IMGSA families. *Am J Med Genet* 1999; 88: 492–496.

42 Yirmiya N, Pilowsky T, Nemanov L, Arbelle S, Foisilver T, Fried I et al. Evidence for an association with the serotonin transporter promoter region polymorphism and autism. *Am J Med Genet* 2001; 105: 381–386.

43 Heils A, Teufel A, Petri S, Stober G, Riederer P, Bengel D et al. Allelic variation of human serotonin transporter gene expression. *J Neurochem* 1996; 66: 2621–2624.

44 Lesch K-P, Balling U, Gross J, Strauss K, Wolozin BL, Murphy DL et al. Organization of the human serotonin transporter gene. *J Neural Trans Gen Sect* 1994; 95: 157–162.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on Molecular Psychiatry website (http://www.nature.com/mp).