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Indwelling catheter is a routine procedure in surgical patients. Studies have shown that prolonged indwelling urinary catheterization increases the risk of postoperative urinary tract infection. Although early removal of the urinary catheter after operation can reduce the risk of postoperative urinary symptoms and tract infections, it may lead to postoperative anesthetic dysuria. Therefore, this study investigates the urinary retention and related risk factors in patients after thoracoscopic lobectomy under general anesthesia. The clinical data of 214 patients who underwent thoracoscopic lobectomy in the Department of Thoracic Surgery of a tertiary class A cancer hospital in Beijing from July 2020 to April 2021 were collected. A risk prediction model was established by logistic regression analysis, and the prediction effect was determined using the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The incidence of indwelling catheter after thoracoscopic lobectomy was 44.8% (96/214). Sex (OR = 21.102, 95% CI: 2.906–153.239, \(P = 0.003\)), perception of shame (OR = 74.256, 95% CI: 6.171–893.475, \(P < 0.001\)), age (OR = 1.095, 95% CI: 1.014–1.182, \(P = 0.021\)), and bed rest time (OR = 1.598, 95% CI: 1.263–2.023, \(P < 0.021\)) were the factors influencing urinary retention after thoracoscopic lobectomy. This model can effectively predict the occurrence of postoperative urinary retention in patients with lung cancer and help medical staff to intervene effectively before the onset of urinary retention, which provides reference for preventive treatment and nursing intervention.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is a malignant tumor originating from the lungs, trachea, and bronchi [1, 2]. Global cancer statistics 2020 showed that of the 36 cancers investigated, lung cancer ranked second in incidence (11.4%) among all new cancer cases and first in mortality (18%) [3]. Surgery is an important means for the treatment of lung cancer [4]. Urinary retention in lung cancer refers to a condition in which a bladder is filled with urine but cannot be excreted [5, 6]. Surgical patients usually have perioperative indwelling catheters, mainly to observe the intraoperative urine volume, evaluate urine volume, control intraoperative infusion volume, and prevent postoperative anesthetic dysuria [7]. Lung cancer patients usually do not have indwelling catheters before surgery, but after returning to the ward. Currently, there are no uniform clinical guidelines for perioperative indwelling catheter time. Instead, it is usually based on the clinician’s experience, patients’ conditions, surgical type, and perioperative factors. However, postoperative indwelling urethral catheterization can cause urinary tract infection to a certain extent, affecting the recovery of patients [8]. Previous studies have shown that the incidence of postoperative urinary retention is 5–70%, and the related risk factors have been identified in several surgical subspecialties [9–11].

With the development of medical technology, it was found that patient privacy should be protected, and more holistic care should be given to patients of different ages and genders, so as to accelerate patients’ postoperative recovery. In addition, patients should be encouraged to do early ambulation after surgery. Importantly, early identification of urinary retention in high-risk groups is of important clinical significance for its prevention and intervention. Currently,
the nomogram is a method widely used to quantify risks for a proposed outcome [12, 13]. This predictive model combines epidemiological results with clinical practice to perform tertiary prevention through risk factor screening, reducing disease morbidity and case fatality rates [14, 15]. However, there is currently no prediction model investigating the risk of urinary retention in patients after lung cancer surgery. Therefore, it is of great significance for clinical work to study the risk factors of postoperative urinary retention in lung cancer patients and establish a risk prediction model. This study, through a retrospective investigation of postoperative urinary retention and risk factors, constructed and verified a risk prediction model, aiming at screening high-risk groups and providing targeted measures for clinical treatment and nursing, so as to reduce postoperative urinary retention.

2. Methods

2.1. Paper Population. This study retrospectively collected the clinical data of 328 patients undergoing lung cancer surgery in a tertiary class A tumor hospital in Beijing from July 2020 to July 2021. In the model construction, patients admitted from July 2020 to February 1, 2021, were used as the modeling set. Patients who developed postoperative urinary retention were set as the case group and those who did not develop postoperative urinary retention as the control group. Surgical patients admitted between February 2, 2021, and July 31, 2021, were used as a validation set for external validation of the model. Inclusion criteria were as follows: aged > 18 years, with basic understanding ability, and patients undergoing lobectomy under general anesthesia with pathologically confirmed diagnosis of malignant tumor. Exclusion criteria were as follows: preoperative or intraoperative catheterization, intraoperative or postoperative transfusion, severe urinary diseases (including urinary stones, infections, and tumors) or history of prostatic hypertrophy, other critically ill diseases, and incomplete medical records. The flowchart of the study subject screening is shown in Figure 1. All patients were fasted after 8 p.m. one day before surgery and water-forbidden after 12 p.m. After surgery, patients were urged to urinate as soon as possible.

2.2. Research Technique. A self-designed general data questionnaire was used, including individual factors, disease factors, and other factors. Individual factors included age, sex, BMI (body mass index), smoking history, education, marital status, medical expenses, payment method, and perception of shame; disease factors included underlying diseases, kidney cyst, and liver abscess; other factors include operation time, intraoperative infusion, and postoperative bed rest time. Entry data were checked by Excel double check.

The outcome measure in this study was the occurrence of urinary retention. First, risk factors were filtered to incorporate statistical different variables into multivariate binary logistic regression to further confirm independent risk factors affecting urinary retention and build predictive models. Variables included in the study were sex, education, medical payment, perception of shame (shame, feel shameful, an experience of pain, embarrassment, shame, and accompanied by the individual’s desire to hide [5]), kidney cyst, hypertension, liver cyst, diabetes mellitus, smoking history, marital status, preoperative urination, use of analgesics, age, surgical time, amount of intraoperative infusion, and postoperative bed rest time. Perception of shame: preoperatively, patients were asked whether he/she had any sense of shame or psychological difficulties urinating in bed.

2.3. Statistical Methods. In this study, SPSS 26.0 software was used for data analysis. Quantitative data conforming to normal distribution and homogeneity of variance were expressed by mean ± standard deviation and the independent sample t-test for comparison between the two groups; for those inconsistent with the normal distribution, mean (Q25 and Q75) and nonparametric rank-sum test were used. Count data were expressed as percentage (%) and analyzed using the chi-square test. Variables with statistically significant differences between groups were included in the multivariate logistic regression model to explore the independent influencing factors for the occurrence of urinary retention, with a test level of 0.05. Using the rms package of R language (version 3.3.2), a predictive model was built from the multivariate regression analysis and a nomogram based on the regression coefficients of each group. pROC package was used to plot ROC curves for correction. The construction of the nomogram in this study was performed in the R software. Differences with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. General Data and Urinary Retention of the Paper Subjects. After screening according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 151 patients were included in the modeling set, including 72 males and 79 females with an age range of 31–80 years; There were 84 cases with urinary retention, and 67 without, with an incidence of urinary retention of 55.6%. Figure 1 is the flowchart of case screening.

3.2. Construction of the Predictive Nomogram. In the modeling set, the variables with significant statistical differences between the case group and the control group were as follows: sex, perception of shame, renal cyst, liver cyst, preoperative urination, age, BMI, surgical time, intraoperative infusion, and bed rest time. The above statistically significant variables were included in the multivariate logistic regression model to explore independent factors affecting urinary retention. Baseline data are given in Table 1. Variables with statistical differences between groups were included into the multivariate logistic regression model for calculation. It showed that sex, perception of shame, age, and bed rest time were independent factors affecting urinary retention. Table 2 provides the results of multivariate logistic regression analysis.
Therefore, the regression model was established as follows: urinary retention = −20.65 + 3.049 * sex + 4.308 * stigma + 0.09 * age + 0.469 * bed rest time. Based on this, we constructed a nomogram, as shown in Figure 2. According to the nomogram, as the corresponding score of variable in the nomogram increased, the risk of urinary retention increased. Men and perception of shame lead to an increased risk of urinary retention.

3.3. Validation of the Predictive Model. Furthermore, we verified the predictive model using the validation set. The comparison of the baseline data between the modeling and validation sets showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the two datasets ($P > 0.05$). Table 3 provides the comparison of baseline data between the modeling set and the validation set.

Figure 3 shows the validation of the risk model. The data from the modeling group were included into the regression model established by the modeling group data, and the model was internally verified internally with calibration and ROC curves. The results show that the model was well-fitted, and the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.92 (95% CI: 0.87–0.96), indicating a good predictive power of the model. The data from the validation set were included into the regression model established by the modeling group data, and the model was externally validated with calibration and ROC curves. The results show that the model was well-fitted, and the AUC was 0.85 (95% CI: 0.73–0.94), indicating a good predictive power of the model.

4. Discussion
4.1. Clinical Value of Constructing a Risk Prediction Model for Urinary Retention after Lung Cancer Surgery. In this study, the univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses revealed that the risk prediction model had high sensitivity
and specificity in both model construction and internal data verification, indicating that the model has a good prediction effect and stable results, which can help patients predict postoperative urinary retention risk, develop effective and continuous postoperative safety management, and shorten the hospital stay of patients. On the other hand, it can help medical staff to take targeted interventions for patients and formulate personalized plans for urinary retention prevention. This model can efficiently achieve these goals based on the specific risks of each patient. To our knowledge, it is the first predictive model for postoperative urinary retention after lung cancer surgery. Li A. L. K. et al. [16] developed a

| Metric                        | With urinary retention (n = 67) | Without urinary retention (n = 84) | t/Z/chi-square value | P price |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Sex                           | Female 45 (67.2) 34 (40.5)      | Male 22 (32.8) 50 (59.5)          | 10.642               | 0.001   |
| Educational level             | Junior middle school 6 (8.9) 11 (13.1) | Senior middle school 46 (68.7) 59 (70.2) | 1.216               | 0.544   |
| Payment method of medical expenses | Beijing medical insurance 23 (34.3) 23 (27.4) | Foreign medical insurance 44 (65.7) 61 (72.6) | 0.849               | 0.357   |
| Perception of shame           | Deny 59 (88.1) 45 (53.6)         | Yes 8 (11.9) 39 (46.4)            | 20.68                | <0.001  |
| Renal cyst                    | Do not have 67 (100.0) 0 (0.0)   | Have 69 (82.1) 15 (17.9)          | 3.366                | 0.067   |
| Hypertension                  | Do not have 55 (82.1) 12 (17.9)  | Have 58 (69.0) 26 (31.0)          | 10.398               | 0.001   |
| Hepatic cyst                  | Do not have 67 (100.0) 0 (0.0)   | Have 72 (85.7) 12 (14.3)          | 1.858                | 0.173   |
| Diabetes mellitus             | Do not have 59 (88.1) 8 (11.9)   | Have 67 (79.8) 17 (20.2)          | 4.121                | 0.042   |
| Preoperative urination        | Deny 0 (0.0) 7 (8.3)             | Yes 67 (100.0) 77 (91.7)          | 4.545                | 0.103   |
| Use of analgesics             | Kay 40 (59.7) 16 (19.0)          | Special resistance 23 (34.3) 6 (7.2) | 0.325               | 0.569   |
| History of smoking            | Do not have 39 (58.2) 28 (41.8)  | Have 45 (53.6) 39 (46.4)          | 3.729                | 0.155   |
| Marital status                | Bereft of one’s spouse 2 (3.0)   | Unmarried 2 (3.0) 0 (0.0)          | 3.851                | <0.001  |
| Age                           | 51.79 ± 12.771 59.1 ± 9.881      | 22.994 ± 3.1718 24.036 ± 3.2056   | 6.495                | <0.001  |
| Body mass index (BMI)         | 1.91 ± 1.1479 3.315 ± 1.4433     | Intraoperative infusion 962.69 ± 274.047 1126.19 ± 381.222 | 2.954                | 0.004   |
| Operation time                | 13.24 ± 3.177 17.77 ± 5.062      | Bed rest time (h)                 | 6.395                | <0.001  |
nomogram to predict the risk of postoperative urinary retention in women undergoing pelvic reconstructive surgery, and Wei B. et al. [17] constructed a prediction model for postoperative urinary retention after thoracic surgery.

4.2. Factors Affecting Urinary Retention in Patients after Lung Cancer Surgery

4.2.1. Perception of Shame. The results of this study showed that perception of shame is an independent risk factor for urinary retention after lung cancer surgery (OR = 74.256). Studies at home and abroad [18, 19] showed that anxiety is an important factor triggering shame. The complexity of preoperative treatment and care, the unpredictability of disease prognosis, and the lack of disease awareness all lead to psychological disorders. About 60% of patients develop anxiety before surgery [20], and the percentage was even higher in lung cancer patients, which is approximately 80% [21]. In clinical practice, some patients cannot fully understand and digest the preoperative education given to them, resulting in increased tension and anxiety in such patients [22]. The impact of patients’ perception of shame on postoperative urine retention should be fully considered and corresponding intervention should be carried out, such as early functional exercise, practice using potty to assist defecation in bed, so that patients get used to urinating in bed and overcome psychological barriers. In addition, urination induction can be carried out, such as applying bladder hot compresses, listening to water, and warm water flushing. Moreover, the privacy of patients should be protected and promote patients to urinate as soon as possible. Therefore, targeted care measures can relieve patients’ mental tension and anxiety, reduce their sense of shame, and identify the risk of urinary retention early on to achieve early intervention, thus reducing the risk of urinary retention.

4.2.2. Sex, Age, and Bed Rest Time. The results of this study showed that sex (male) was an independent risk factor for urinary retention after lung cancer surgery (OR = 21.102). Anatomical differences in the urethra between men and women, as well as a diagnosed or undiagnosed benign prostate hypertrophy, may contribute to the greater probability of postoperative indwelling in male patients than in female patients. Related studies [23–25] at home and abroad have also shown that male patients are more prone to urinary retention, with a greater probability of postoperative indwelling catheters. However, some other research [26] has shown that gender is not a risk factor for postoperative urinary retention in patients. Therefore, preoperatively, attention should be paid to the education of male patients and bed urination function exercise, as well as the assessment of prostatic hyperplasia, hypertrophy, and other problems, so as to take targeted preventive measures to reduce the occurrence of postoperative urinary retention. The results of this study also showed that postoperative bed

### Table 2: Results of multivariate logistic regression analysis.

| Metric                          | B    | S.E. | Wald  | P     | OR   | 95% CI OR Lower limit | Superior limit |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Sex (male vs. and female)      | 3.049| 1.012| 9.087 | 0.003 | 21.102| 2.906                 | 153.239         |
| Perception of shame (with vs. no) | 4.308| 1.269| 11.518| 0.001 | 74.256| 6.171                 | 893.475         |
| Age (age)                      | 0.09 | 0.039| 5.334 | 0.021 | 1.095 | 1.014                 | 1.182           |
| Bed rest time (h)              | 0.469| 0.12 | 15.212| <0.001| 1.598 | 1.263                 | 2.023           |
| Constant                       | -20.65| 5.135| 16.174| <0.001|       |                       |                 |

**Figure 2:** Construction of a nomogram.
rest time (OR = 1.095) and age (OR = 1.598) were important risk factors for postoperative urinary retention in patients. Studies have shown that postoperative bed rest makes patients change their urine habits, which is not conducive to the smooth discharge of urine and even leads to urinary retention [27, 28]. A plausible explanation for the association between age and the incidence of urinary retention is that bladder dysfunction is caused by age-related progressive neuronal degeneration, according to the study of Alas A. et al. [29]. On the premise of ensuring patient safety, bed activity for 6–15 h after surgery can reduce the incidence of postoperative complications and improve the quality of bed rest. In addition, patients can be assisted to urinate in the bathroom. In patients with prolonged postoperative bed rest,

| Metric                          | Divide into groups | Modeling group (n = 151) | Validation group (n = 63) | t/Z/chi-square value | P price |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Sex                            | Woman              | 79 (52.3)                | 34 (54.0)                 | 0.049                | 0.826   |
|                                | Man                | 72 (47.7)                | 29 (46.0)                 | 0.636                | 0.728   |
| Educational level              |                    |                          |                           |                      |         |
|                                | Junior middle school | 17 (11.3)               | 6 (9.5)                   | 0.17                 | 0.68    |
|                                | Senior middle school | 105 (69.5)              | 42 (66.7)                 | 0.017                | 0.974   |
|                                | University         | 29 (19.2)                | 15 (23.8)                 | 0.29                 | 0.642   |
| Payment method of medical expenses | Beijing medical insurance | 46 (30.5)            | 21 (33.3)                 | 0.07                 | 0.705   |
|                                | Foreign medical insurance | 105 (69.5)           | 42 (66.7)                 | 0.017                | 0.974   |
| Perception of shame            | Deny               | 104 (68.9)               | 39 (61.9)                 | 0.974                | 0.324   |
|                                | Yes                | 47 (31.1)                | 24 (38.1)                 | 0.846                | 0.358   |
| Renal cyst                     | Do not have        | 136 (90.1)               | 54 (85.7)                 | 3.070                | 0.080   |
|                                | Have               | 15 (9.9)                 | 9 (14.3)                  | 0.143                | 0.705   |
| Hypertension                   | Do not have        | 113 (74.8)               | 54 (85.7)                 | 0.143                | 0.705   |
|                                | Have               | 38 (25.2)                | 9 (14.3)                  | 2.740                | 0.098   |
| Hepatic cyst                   | Do not have        | 139 (92.1)               | 57 (90.5)                 | 1.861                | 0.172   |
|                                | Have               | 12 (7.9)                 | 6 (9.5)                   | 2.837                | 0.242   |
| Diabetes mellitus              | Do not have        | 126 (83.4)               | 58 (92.1)                 | 2.472                | 0.29    |
|                                | Have               | 25 (16.6)                | 5 (7.9)                   | 0.174                | 0.677   |
| Preoperative urination         | Deny               | 7 (4.6)                  | 6 (9.5)                   | 1.27                 | 0.26    |
|                                | Yes                | 144 (95.4)               | 57 (90.5)                 | 2.837                | 0.242   |
| Use of analgesics              | Kay                | 102 (67.5)               | 45 (71.4)                 | 0.174                | 0.677   |
|                                | Special resistance | 39 (25.8)                | 17 (27.0)                 | 1.04                 | 0.307   |
|                                | Do not have        | 10 (6.6)                 | 1 (1.6)                   |                      |         |
| History of smoking             | Do not have        | 84 (55.6)                | 37 (58.7)                 | 2.472                | 0.29    |
|                                | Have               | 67 (44.4)                | 26 (41.3)                 | 0.174                | 0.677   |
| Marital status                 | Bereft of one's spouse | 8 (5.3)                 | 2 (3.2)                   |                      |         |
|                                | Unmarried          | 2 (1.3)                  | 3 (4.8)                   |                      |         |
|                                | Married            | 141 (93.4)               | 58 (92.1)                 |                      |         |
| Age                            |                    | 55.85 ± 11.79            | 55.65 ± 11.35             | 0.014                | 0.909   |
| Body mass index (BMI)          |                    | 23.57 ± 3.22             | 23.95 ± 2.90              | 0.809                | 0.419   |
| Operation time                 |                    | 2.65 ± 1.44              | 2.52 ± 1.23               | 0.627                | 0.531   |
| Intraoperative infusion        |                    | 1053.64 ± 346.56         | 965.08 ± 329.30           | 1.729                | 0.085   |
| Bed rest time (h)              |                    | 15.76 ± 4.87             | 15.87 ± 4.09              | 0.158                | 0.875   |
the incidence of postoperative bed urination, the volume of postoperative infusion, and the body circulation burden will be increased, which will cause sharp bladder expansion and the subsequent urination disorders due to the influence of anesthesia on the central nervous system that governs the normal urination activity, leading to increased probability of postoperative urinary retention in patients [30]. Therefore, we should fully consider the effects of patient age and bed rest time on postoperative urinary retention before developing personalized bladder function exercise programs for patients and explain to patients to let them fully understand the benefits of bladder function exercise. In addition, the preoperative screening of patients based on sex, age, and bed rest time can lower the risk of urinary retention and reduce the workload of postoperative medical staff.

5. Conclusion
In conclusion, this study constructed a predictive model with four metrics that are easy to evaluate as variables, with better predictive effect and high reference value for patient risk assessment. The results of independent data verification indicate that the deficiency of this prediction model is the low specificity, which affects the predictive effect of the model. We will screen out more high-risk patients and increase the sample size. This study aims to build a risk prediction model of postoperative urinary retention in lung cancer patients and to help medical staff to evaluate and identify risk factors in patients after lung cancer surgery, so as to provide reference for clinical treatment and nursing interventions. However, this study is a single-center study, with a relatively not representative sample size. In addition, what interventions should be taken in high-risk patients to reduce or prevent the occurrence of urinary retention is also a direction of future research.

Data Availability
The simulation experiment data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions
Wei Zheng and Xu Zhang contributed equally to this work. Yan Liu and Yushun Gao are corresponse to this work equally.
References

[1] M. B. Schabath and M. L. Cote, "Cancer progress and priorities: lung cancer," *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 1563–1579, 2019.

[2] D. I. Suster and M. Mino-Kenudson, "Molecular pathology of primary non-small cell lung cancer," *Archives of Medical Research*, vol. 5, no. 18, pp. 784–798, 2020.

[3] H. Sung, J. Ferlay, R. L. Siegel et al., "Global cancer statistics 2020: globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries," *CA-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 209–249, 2021.

[4] H. Hoy, T. Lynch, and M. Beck, "Surgical treatment of lung cancer," *Critical Care Nursing Clinics of North America*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 303–313, 2019.

[5] C. Billiet, I. Chiari, L. Berzenji, and P. E. Van Schil, "Tumeurs de petits stades. La chirurgie a-t-elle encore sa place?" *Revue des Maladies Respiratoires*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 735–742, 2020.

[6] K. Flewelling, J. Bahae, and K. Kirby, "Small cell lung cancer initially presenting with acute urinary retention: a case report," *Urology Case Reports*, vol. 33, Article ID 101403, 2020.

[7] M. F. Mengatto, B. G. R. Castro, L. Nobrega et al., "Early removal of indwelling urinary catheter after radical surgery for early-stage cervical cancer-A cohort study," *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 122, no. 7, pp. 1498–1505, 2020.

[8] M. Saifullah, M. Anwar, H. Noor, M. Akmal, G. M. Subhani, and S. H. Javed, "Chronic indwelling foley catheter, a risk factor for catheter associated urinary tract infection," *The Professional Medical Journal*, vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 2300–2304, 2020.

[9] J. L. Golubovsky, H. Ilyas, J. Chen, J. E. Tanenbaum, T. E. Mroz, and M. P. Steinmetz, "Risk factors and associated complications for postoperative urinary retention after lumbar surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis," *The Spine Journal*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1533–1539, 2018.

[10] K. Agrawal, S. Majhi, and R. Garg, "Post-operative urinary retention: review of literature," *World Journal of Anesthesiology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2019.

[11] J. Young, T. Geraci, S. Milman, A. Maslow, R. N. Jones, and T. Ng, "Risk factors for reinsertion of urinary catheter after early removal in thoracic surgical patients," *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*, vol. 156, no. 1, pp. 430–435, 2018.

[12] Z. Xiao, Y. Yan, Q. Zhou et al., "Development and external validation of prognostic nomograms in hepatocellular carcinoma patients: a population based study," *Cancer Management and Research*, vol. 11, pp. 2691–2708, 2019.

[13] X. Wang, M. Mao, G. Xu et al., "The incidence, associated factors, and predictive nomogram for early death in stage IV colorectal cancer," *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1189–1201, 2019.

[14] H. Shi, L. Mei, and G. Che, "The current concepts of closed chest drainage in lobectomy of lung cancer," *Chinese Journal of Lung Cancer*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 999–1003, 2010.

[15] N. P. Jewell, J. A. Lemonard, and B. L. Jewell, "Predictive mathematical models of the COVID-19 pandemic," *JAMA*, vol. 323, no. 19, pp. 1893–1894, 2020.

[16] A. L. K Li, A. Zajichek, M. W. Kattan, X. Ji, K. A. Lo, and P. E. Lee, "Nomogram to predict risk of postoperative urinary retention in women undergoing pelvic reconstructive surgery," *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 1203–1210, 2020.

[17] B. Wei, A. Asban, R. Xie et al., "A prediction model for postoperative urinary retention after thoracic surgery," *JTCVS Open*, vol. 7, pp. 359–366, 2021.

[18] K. D. Wojcik, D. W. Cox, D. Kealy, P. P. Grau, C. T. Wetterneck, and B. Zumbo, "Maladaptive schemas and posttraumatic stress disorder symptom severity: investigating the mediating role of posttraumatic negative self-appraisals among patients in a partial hospitalization program," *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, pp. 1–17, 2021.

[19] V. Marinelli, O. P. Danzi, M. A. Mazzi et al., "Prepare: preoperative psychological intervention for pancreatic cancer patients prior to major surgery," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 11, p. 362, 2020.

[20] D. J. Correll, A. M. Bader, M. W. Hull, C. Hsu, L. C. Tsai, and D. L. Hepner, "Value of preoperative clinic visits in identifying issues with potential impact on operating room efficiency," *Anesthesiology*, vol. 105, no. 6, pp. 1254–1259, 2006.

[21] S. Cavkaytar, M. K. Kokanah, A. Baylas, H. O. Topçu, B. Laleli, and Y. Taşçı, "Postpartum urinary retention after vaginal delivery: assessment of risk factors in a case-control paper," *Journal of the Turkish-German Gynecological Association*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 140, 2014.

[22] U. V. Ukah, M. Glass, B. Avery et al., "Risk factors for acquisition of multidrug-resistant escherichia coli and development of community-acquired urinary tract infections," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 46–57, 2018.

[23] B. E. Yoo, B. H. Kye, H. J. Kim, G. Kim, J. G. Kim, and H.-M. Cho, "Early removal of the urinary catheter after total or tumor-specific mesorectal excision for rectal cancer is safe," *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 686–691, 2015.

[24] K. W. Kim, J.-I. Lee, J. S. Kim et al., "Risk factors for urinary retention following minor thoracic surgery," *Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 486–492, 2015.

[25] A. Merlo, R. Fano, P. D. Strassle et al., "Postoperative urinary retention in patients undergoing lung resection: incidence and risk factors," *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, vol. 109, no. 6, pp. 1700–1704, 2020.

[26] A. J. Scott, S. E. Mason, A. J. Langdon et al., "Prospective risk factor analysis for the development of post-operative urinary retention following ambulatory general surgery," *World Journal of Surgery*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 3874–3879, 2018.

[27] J. Davy, P. Spargo, and G. Veldman, "Acute urinary retention following percutaneous interventions in individuals with congenital heart disease," *Journal of Invasive Cardiology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. E79–E82, 2011.

[28] T. Higashikawa, K. Shigemoto, K. Goshima et al., "Urinary retention as a postoperative complication associated with functional decline in elderly female patients with femoral neck and trochanteric fractures: a retrospective paper of a patient cohort," *Medicine*, vol. 98, no. 24, Article ID 16023, 2019.

[29] A. Alas, R. Hidalgo, L. Espaillat, H. Devakumar, G. W. Davila, and E. Hurtado, "Does spinal anesthesia lead to postoperative urinary retention in same-day urogynecology surgery? A retrospective review," *International Urogynecology Journal*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 1283–1289, 2019.

[30] T. K. Daquioag, N. J. Mele, D. R. Peterson et al., "Urinary retention after video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery: role of neuromuscular blockade reversal," *Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 350–351, 2022.