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Abstract
Owing to economics are usually linked with energy production, economic policy may have an instantaneous adjustment according to the current monetary, financial, cultural circumstances. This research thus investigates the dynamic co-movement as well as cointegration relationships between economic policy uncertainty (EPU) and disparate energy productions, i.e., Chinese coal, natural gas, crude oil, electricity as well as renewable energy, during the period from January 1995 to October 2019 in China. We compare the two EPU indices and make empirical and robust analysis to get more evidence for the time-varying co-movement between energy production and EPU. The empirical results show that there are stationary properties and cointegration relationships between energy production and EPU. By utilizing wavelet co-movement analysis in the time-frequency domain, our results show a significant positive co-movement among disparate energy productions and EPU at high frequencies, i.e., in the short term, but weaker co-movement at low frequencies, i.e., in the long term. Hence, the phase-difference series are mostly around the zero line, implying the variables behave to the dynamics of the co-movement with positive causality. Policy recommendations are offered in accordance with our finding.
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Introduction
Accompanied by changes in government economic policy, energy industry capacity also needs to be adjusted. Economic policy uncertainty is currently greater in magnitude and more important in terms of its impacts than ever before (Baker and Bloom 2013), as rapid growth in industrial and urban economies largely depends on energy production. Contrarily, energy resources are distributed unequally, and economic policy uncertainty with high impurity at the same time brings challenges for achieving various targets (Herreras et al. 2013). Compared to energy consumption, the fluctuation of energy production not only influences enterprises’ development, but also residents’ lives. A strong understanding of energy production that contains coal, natural gas, crude oil, electricity, and renewables is thus directly connected with effective future energy policy making (Barro et al. 2011; Song and Zheng 2012). Because an economic subject cannot forecast accurately whether, how, and when the government will adjust the relative policy, energy production, as a vital driver of economic development, has to be changed through economic policy uncertainty. An examination into the nexus of energy production and economic policy uncertainty thus contributes to the existing literature and presents important policy implications to authorities.

With its opening up reforms and policies, China as a rapidly developing emerging economy has witnessed high-speed growth and remarkable achievements. However, such speedy and high economic growth rates have brought about extreme energy consumption and pollution levels (Xiao et al. 2018; Pan et al. 2019). Environmental sustainability, climate change, and global warming doubtlessly have become serious issues and drawn increasing public attention. The China government
thus proposed the goal of constructing an environment-friendly society that aims to save resources (Ma 2015; Bloch et al. 2012). However, various goals have brought considerable shocks to the whole energy industry chain, particularly when most economic activities are all dependent on adequate energy supply. Hence, we utilize China’s disparate energy productions (i.e., oil, coal, natural gas, electricity, and even renewable energy) as the research subject, because they are the main forms of energy consumption in China, and only a few research studies focus on energy in such detail, and even fewer place attention on how economic policy uncertainty can shock disparate energy productions. Thus, we choose the main energy forms in China to present some important findings.

The purposes of this paper are to examine what challenges uncertainties of economic policy will bring to Chinese energy production, does a cointegration relationship exist in the trends of energy production and EPU? And what kinds of time series have a role during long- and short-run periods? We analyze energy production via datapoints on coal (hereafter, COAL), crude oil (hereafter, OIL), natural gas (hereafter, GAS), electricity (hereafter, ELEC) and renewable energy (hereafter, RENEW), while for EPU depending on the South China Morning Post (hereafter, EPU_SCMP), we utilize EPU_SCMP presented by Baker et al. (2016). The time period contains from January 1995 to October 2019 for China, so that we can examine the dynamic co-movement as well as cointegration relationship via both time and frequency domains. The empirical procedure starts by investigations of COAL, GAS, OIL, ELEC, RENEW as well as EPU_SCMP for unit roots with structure breaks. After providing evidence of the variables’ stationary property, we perform cointegration analysis with structural breaks to get cointegration relationships among the variables. Next, we utilize wavelet co-movement analysis to examine the strength of the dynamic co-movement between disaggregate energy production and EPU_SCMP and employ phase-difference analysis to present the time-varying positive or negative causal relationships among variables. Applying these techniques, we present suitable policy implications for authorities, energy producers, investors, and even individual households.

From the global financial crisis in 2008, economic policy uncertainty has become a flourishing topic. It refers to money-, currency-, and fiscal, and regulatory policy uncertainties from economic changes (Bloom 2009; Bachmann et al. 2013; Baker et al. 2016; Davis et al. 2019). Baker et al. (2016) has provided the economic policy uncertainty index (hereafter, EPU), which is constructed on an automated search process for economic activities by tracing frequency terms, like economic policy-, uncertainty-, and regulation-related words, through major newspapers. Accordingly, there are two popular Chinese EPU indices presented by Baker et al. (2016) and Davis et al. (2019): the China EPU index relying on the South China Morning Post (EPU_SCMP) and China EPU Indices based on Mainland Papers (EPU_MP); i.e., Renmin Daily and Guangming Daily are widely utilized in current research. Newspapers’ sources, frequency terms and time spans are the major differences between the two indices (see the details in Appendix 1). We also note that China’s EPU includes the impacts of economic policies on investment, consumption, and employment of enterprises and individuals, on the macroeconomy, capital, energy markets, etc. The index stems from three major uncertain origins: the occurrence frequency of news concerning Chinese monetary and fiscal policies, the number of relevant tax provisions, as well as forecasting disagreement about future government purchases and inflation.

EPU can theoretically be linked to energy production through multiple channels. Primarily, the adjustments of economic policies can influence a country’s economic activities, further bring about the fluctuation of energy market (Khandokar and Serletis 2018). Increasing EPU may change investors decisions, adjust the demand-supply curve in energy markets, and shift industrial demand and consumer for energy usage. Hamilton (1983) presents that energy consumption and EPU may keep a tightly coupled relationship, through changes of energy prices resulting in energy market’s demand and supply fluctuations, further a negative influence will lead to macroeconomics. There is also a positive opinion between EPU and energy production in China. Some potential reasons arise. First, Uncertainties of economic policy have an influence on China’s energy markets, following the imbalance of energy demand and supply, further energy price will increase. This is because while the expectations occurred, energy investors and consumers would worry about more uncertainties, bargain price and energy output costs will increase in the near future (Mahardika et al. 2019; Jaiswal and Kant 2018). Next, investors and consumers will adjust their purchase decisions and energy consumption strategies (Hsu et al. 2017; Hafezalkotob 2018). Practically speaking, most investors and consumers will buy more energy products, to avoid risks and future increase of energy market, then energy demand will increase.

1 Energy Information Administration (EIA 2016) asserted that as China is responsible for nearly 50% of global coal consumption and 25% of global carbon dioxide emission, it has particularly drawn up a target of decreasing emissions per unit of GDP by 60–65% basing on the 2005 level and raising the clean energy resource percentage within main energy consumption to 20%.

2 Such as economic activities, which can influence investors and consumers on energy utilization (Hamilton 1983; Aloui et al. 2016; Olanipekun et al. 2019).

3 Different countries have their own characteristics, numerous heterogeneity factors, for example, culture, habits and customs, energy reserve condition and political environment etc., will lead to energy imbalance then result in fluctuation of economic policy, which bring about many uncertainties components of economic policy (Li and Lin 2016). Characterized by large population and shortage of resources, China’s energy market has its special background (Fang and Chen 2017; Jiang et al. 2019).
raise and following the increasing energy price, subsequently more energy productions is needed by market (Sheu 2011). Second, once uncertainties of economic policy are noticed by the government through fluctuation of energy price, the government may implement more monetary and fiscal policies for energy markets to weaken uncertain risks. However, an excessive policy intervention would bring about higher energy price, then more increasing in energy demand and production, further greater volatility in energy market (Zhang and Yousaf 2020).

Why causality may run from energy production to EPU? Three channels we propose can achieve the transmission, i.e., real output, income transfer, potential output and so on. First, as the change of energy price will directly affect energy production and then affect a country’s output, labor supply and demand, total supply and demand imbalance, resulting in price changes and other economic fluctuations, we call the phenomenon real output effect (Abaidoo 2019). Second, the theory on income transfer holds that fluctuations in energy markets will change energy production, and lead to a shift in income between energy-importing and exporting countries, which will lead to changes of bilateral energy consumption as well as aggregate demand in turn, then bring about business volatility (Shah et al. 2018). Finally, we suppose that energy products and other capitals are complementary in production. When the energy market fluctuates, it will not only affect the supply and demand of energy products, but also affect the usage of other capital, resulting in a change in production curve and potential output (Gupta and Krishnamurti 2018). Since the shale revolution, Arora and Lieskovsky (2014) discuss that economic performance is impacted by energy market, and a long-run nexus is existed in economic growth and energy consumption (Sari et al. 2008; Apergis and Payne 2010). Overall, the nexus of overall economy and energy production is symmetrical and co-shifting. In general, the nexus among EPU and disparate energy productions are blurry, detailed and rigorous researches are demanded.

Previous research of energy production always ignores a vital perspective of frequency domain, from which literature can gain significant and momentous information when examining energy production. Energy production is generated from a procedure consisting of various factors managing at different frequencies (Tiwari et al. 2013; Barro et al. 2016). For one thing, spot and future characteristics in the energy market bring forth essential changes to the time domain. The interaction between various time horizons and term objectives constitutes the whole energy market, such that market heterogeneity brings about disparate dealing frequencies. For another thing, disparate energy productions can be influenced by components that operate at various time scales, which may result in diverse underlying cycles in the energy production. Moreover, the relationship between different variables leads to diverse results at various frequencies (Benhmad 2012; You et al. 2017). Dividing data into disparate time frequencies may also bring about important perspectives for heterogenous factors under various time horizons so as to availabley operate the asset portfolio (Chang and Lee 2015). Therefore, by considering the time-varying and frequency-varying relationship between EPU and energy production at the same time, one can realize more accurate production predictions for authorities and other market participants.

Why should we consider structural breaks? External shocks, such as the 1997 Asian financial crisis, 2001 China entering World Trade Organization 2002 global financial crisis, the decrease in world trade in 2014, and even the COVID-19 pandemic, have considerable influences on the time series of our sample period. The equilibrium trend of the variables may be broken due to external shocks from the outside world, and historical shocks should take into account when examining the cointegration relationship. The potential reasons are presented here. As national or international energy events bring external shocks, which shocks always affect energy development, so structural breaks should take into account during the energy trend, because neglecting structural breaks will result in biased and spurious findings, as well as inaccurate and ambiguous references for policymakers’ energy decisions, energy investing, and consuming (Linn and Muehlenbachs 2018; Shahbaz et al. 2014). Hence, investigating the long-run trends with endogenous break locations among energy production and EPU can significantly strengthen research efficiency and accuracy, also bring about more precise findings on the variables’ mean–reverting behaviors. We can also identify the time points of the momentous historical events through endogenous breaks, and next examine the cointegrated relationship among disparate energy productions as well as EPU more accurately (Chang and Lee 2008; Stern et al. 2005; Friedl and Getzner 2003). Disparate shocks should take into account, because momentous issues may present during the time series covering several decades (Chang et al. 2013; Dai et al. 2017; Hu et al. 2019).

Our main contributions are as follows. First, we are a pioneering research that examines the dynamic co-movement among EPU and China’s traditional and renewable energy productions, utilizing a time series sample as well as a panel dataset. We not only fill a gap in the literature adopting high and low frequencies’ co-movement of EPU and energy production in China, but also enhance the research concerning disparate energy productions and EPU. Second, as energy production and policy formulation can be influenced by external changes, we thus add structural breaks into our investigation to bring forth more accurate results and identify structural breaks to predict EPU and energy production long-run trends. In addition, advanced analysis of wavelet co-movement is adopted, which considers variables’ information at both low and high frequencies, to obtain evidence of co-movement between China’s traditional and renewable energy productions.
and EPU. Moreover, the results of phase differences show causal and lead-lag relations in our series. It is hence significant to take these trends into account as co-movement in EPU, which can provide reference for policy directions. Furthermore, we apply a new EPU index proposed by Davis et al. (2019), using more newspaper sources in China, as one of the robust analyses and present further dynamic co-movement evidence. Finally, the answers herein should present abundant policy implications for in-depth insight into the co-movement among disparate energy productions and EPU as well as energy conservation, which can help set executable emission reducing goals for sustainable economic and energy developing progress.

The remainder of our paper runs as follows. The “An overview of China’s energy production and economic policy uncertainty” section provides the disaggregate energy profile in China and related literature of EPU SCMP. The “Methodology” section briefly introduces our econometric approaches adopted and finally illuminates the dataset as well as fundamental descriptive statistics analysis among disparate energy productions and EPU SCMP. The “Data description and empirical result” section examines our experimental findings and policy implications. The main conclusions of our examination are summarized in the “Concluding remarks” section.

An overview of China’s energy production and economic policy uncertainty

China owns the most populous country and rapid economic growth in the world. Its energy demand and consumption have increased due to its high-speed modernizing economy and sizeable industrialization. EIA (2015) reports that China became the largest global energy consumption market in 2011 and the global highest coal producer and consumer, just behind the US. This section presents an overview of the disparate energy products, i.e., coal, natural gas, crude oil, electricity and renewable energy) for the period during January 1995 to October 2019.

We first introduce the profile of coal in China. In the past few decades, Chinese coal consumption has greatly affected its air quality, making it the main source accelerating the global warming process. In 2015, the global amount (BP 2016) asserted that China’s coal consumption and carbon dioxide emissions took over 47.7% and 27.2%, respectively. The large amount of coal consumption brings about greenhouse gas emission and other inevitable environmental issues. The literature has presented that coal burning occupied the major resources of China’s regional hazy pollution (Zhang et al. 2013; Xie et al. 2015). In fact, China’s coal consumption accounts for 62% among all energy use, and which can significantly influence the environment (Edenhofer 2015). Qin et al. (2017) asserted that coal will remain the most credible and safest energy resource for China during the following 20 years. As China’s economy has rapidly developed, its energy supply will continually increase, but eventually become inadequate (Chen and Xu 2010). EPU will accelerate the pace of development of coal.

Despite coal’s dominant position as an energy resource, they are also necessary of oil and petroleum productions for energy supply in China. The market share of oil among entire energy consumption peaked at 22% in 2000, and after that, the growth of oil consumption has slowed down, likely due to global financial crisis shocks, economic downturns, and the government’s policy adjustments of reducing capacity overbuilding as well as excessive investing in pollution-intensive energy industries (Fang and Chen 2017). As the second heaviest country depleting oil in the world just behind the U.S., China largely depends on oil imports (EIA 2016), while keeps searching for new energy resources around its country. Whether and how policy uncertainty factors will affect oil are important questions for China’s steady progress in oil development.

Regarded as a cleaner energy resource in China, rapid growth has already been shown of natural gas development. As it not only decreases environmental pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions, but also owns the potential for transitioning into 100% renewable energy resource, reserves, and deliveries in the forecasting future (Wang and Lin 2017; Kinnon et al. 2018). In accordance with the 13th Five-Year Plan for Natural Gas Development, by 2020, natural gas consumption can attain 3.6 trillion cubic meters and account for 8.3–10% of primary energy. However, many problems exist in natural gas industry development of China. For instance, seasonal surges in natural gas demand are attributed to augmented heating demand in the winters of 2009 and 2017, which then brought about a massive-scale shortcoming of China’s natural gas supply (Fang and Chen 2017). In order to deal with this supply-demand problem, the government has taken actions to restrict natural gas and the measures have been implemented in Shanxi, Henan, as well as Shandong. The literature implies that China’s natural gas cannot become regular quickly enough to fit this problem in demand, which will result in a greater reliance upon imports and increasing swinging of the supply of natural gas (Chen et al. 2018a; Tang et al. 2015). Precisely recognizing the fluctuations in China’s consumption of natural gas, particularly EPU, is essential for the scientific establishment of natural gas.

Since China is an emerging economy with rapidly industrialized, electricity is one of the main energy resources for supporting the country’s economic and social developments. Electricity is an important infrastructural component that intensifies production efficiency, encourages business activity, and promotes life quality via the intermediary of products and

---

4 Renewable fuel here implies biogas, renewable hydrogen, and so on.
services. In accordance with the World Energy Issues Monitor from the World Energy Council (2018), electricity storage, which is relevant to electricity consumption, greatly affects energy transition in economies. Large-scale electricity consumption always incurs air pollution (Wei et al. 2018; Tong et al. 2018), water shortages (Zhang et al. 2018), greenhouse gas emissions (Wang and Lin 2017; Zhou et al. 2019), and other issues of environmental pollution (Oberschelp et al. 2019). Under the context, in 2016, the China’s 13th Five-year Plan forecasts total electricity consumption at 6.8 to 7.2 trillion kWh by 2020 (Zhang et al. 2020). Therefore, it is essential to take EPU into account when evaluating electricity consumption research, because the relationship between ELEC and EPU is a vital issue for China, particularly to benchmark future goals (Wu et al. 2019).

Following the implementation of China’s Belt and Road Initiative, reducing CO₂ emissions and local air pollution has become an essential policy goal, and the China government is aiming to quickly transform to lower carbon emissions by exploiting energy skills as well as renewable techniques. China has promoted the generation capacity of renewable resource using 59.96 million kilowatts, which has taken over 69% of its newly installed capacity in the first three quarters of 2018. The International Energy Agency (IEA 2018) reported that the consumption of renewable resources occupied 11.8% of total energy consumption, and in the near future China will take over as the world’s largest renewable resource consuming country. Renewable energy will gradually play a more important role in the low carbon target of China and even in the world energy systems in the coming decades. The decision-making actions of renewable energy subjects can be influenced by the adjustments of economic policy, resulting in more careful and cautious decisions being made under high uncertainty. Additionally, China’s 13th Five-Year Plan for Renewable Energy Development notes that the world will finally enter a new era with a more sustainable and cleaner society dominated by renewable energy. As the uncertainties over economic policy increase rapidly, China’s economic activities will be affected, and its implementation of renewable energy may be shocked by economic fluctuations. What influences will arise is not certain, and so we shall investigate the nexus between renewable energy production and EPU in the following content.

Following the recent global financial crisis and partisan policy disputes around the world, rising attention is focusing on uncertain policies principally connected to economic volatilities and energy development decisions (Baker et al. 2016). Uncertain events, such as increasing unemployment rates, income inequality, large area migration, and energy price fluctuations, have further complicated economies’ growth path. Policy uncertainties have always played a primary part contributing to economic outcomes, since countries that are currently undergoing policy uncertainties provide evidence of their sluggish economic growth. For instance, since 2014 China has called for a sweeping energy evolution, placing top priority on environmental targets and clean energy deployment. New forms of energy policy promotion have brought adjustments to the structure of energy markets. Energy resources, which are always regarded as the vital drivers of economic growth, are also important components affecting a country’s security and development (Kirikkaleli et al. 2020; Nathaniel et al. 2020). Many political events have erupted over the needs for more energy, like the Persian Gulf War as well as continual conflicts in the Middle East (Hu et al. 2019). These reasons have led to greater research attention focusing on energy production and EPU in the energy and economic markets.

Considerable uncertainties that exist in policy can significantly impact the energy consumption behaviors of distributed energy systems and make their promotion more challenging. Many studies in the literature have investigated different resources from various economic systems, such as energy (Xia et al. 2017; Kan et al. 2019), land (Weinzettel et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2018), water (Chen et al. 2018b), materials (Plank et al. 2018), pollutant emissions like methane (Wang et al. 2019; Ma et al. 2018), mercury (Chen et al. 2019; Li et al. 2017), PM₂.₅ (Meng et al. 2016; Guan et al. 2014), as well as carbon emissions (Guan et al. 2018; Meng et al. 2018). Hamilton (1983) was the pioneer in EPU and energy consumption research, arguing that the two variables should be regarded as tightly connected among energy price shocks that result in energy demand and supply changes, as well as the negative influence they have on macroeconomic developments. Adedoyin and Zakari (2020) present a vital role of EPU in the UK’s energy consumption and CO₂ emission relationship and showed that EPU has opposite effects in short-run (positive) and long-run (negative) environment protection. Among these research studies, no special attention has yet been placed on the disaggregate energy and uncertainty factors of economic policies. Energy consumption is regarded as a corollary of economic activity, especially for a country like China that heavily relies on energy. However, the question between energy consumption and EPU still remains.

The related literature on EPU generally looks at political or economic activities. Bloom (2009) presents the political and economic factors that can bring about EPU. Baker et al. (2016) point out that uncertainty factors can affect the intensity of economic recessions and subsequent recoveries, by setting up a new EPU(SCMP) index. Moreover, Brogaard and Detzel (2015) propose an asset-pricing model with EPU as a risk element. There are also some studies on the oil market and EPU, such as Bekiros et al. (2015) who offer that when predicting oil price changes, EPU cannot be ignored, while Aloui et al. (2016) present more proof about higher
uncertainty bringing about a significant rise in crude oil returns over given periods. Kang et al. (2017a) further point out the effect is negative between EPU\textsubscript{SCMP} and oil returns, and they also conduct research about how EPU\textsubscript{SCMP} responds variously to the US national and foreign oil production changes (Kang et al. 2017b). Furthermore, Uddin et al. (2018) show strong heterogeneity among crude oil markets and geopolitical uncertainty components during different time periods. Though there are some studies about EPU\textsubscript{SCMP} and the crude oil market, there is scant literature on the energy market and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}, which is one of this paper’s motivations.

The literature above always places attention on how EPU influences the energy market (mainly crude oil prices) in the time domain, but the frequency perspective is ignored (Yang 2019; Hailemariam et al. 2019; Adedoyin and Zakari 2020). Another issue of structural breaks is also mainly neglected in past research. Structural breaks, which most possibly exist in various time series periods, may lead to missing information of such structural breaks in the dataset (Lee 2013; Hu et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2014). Moreover, traditional analyses are comprised of the time or frequency domain. Therefore, market participants cannot respond to transient shocks, and energy producers are unable to change their production until significant shocks emerge in the energy market over a long period. Indeed, short- and long-term changes always differ among energy economic research (Wang and Wu 2013).

Identifying the dynamic co-movements and causalities among disparate energy productions and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP} can have important meanings in both the time and frequency domains. We also consider the cointegration nexus with structural breaks among the variables, and fresh empirical evidence can lead to more targeted energy policy implications and contribute to forecasting energy decisions under high uncertainty of energy market participants.

### Methodology

Before employing wavelet analysis of the energy variables and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}, first of all, we adopt the unit root test with structural changes of Perron and Yabu (2009), the Lagrange Multiplier (LM) unit root test proposed by Lee and Strazicich (2003, 2004), and the Generalized Least Squares (GLS) based univariate unit root test with multiple structural breaks presented by Carrion-i-Silvestre et al. (2009). After getting stationary evidence, we next adopt the Gregory and Hansen (1996) analysis to find the cointegration relationship of disparate energy variables and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}. Finally, we apply wavelet co-movement analysis presented by Aguiar-Conraria and Soares (2011) as well as Aguiar-Conraria et al. (2012) to show high-and low-frequency co-movements of the sample periods.

#### Unit root and cointegration test with structural breaks

We examine mean-reverting behaviors of the series and take unit root tests presented by Perron and Yabu (2009) to look into whether there exists any structural change in the deterministic factors of energy production and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}. We here employ the third model test of Perron and Yabu (2009) to investigate the long-run trends, later employ the LM unit root test with two structural breaks provided by Lee and Strazicich (2003, 2004), taking the data generating process (DGP) proposed by Perron (1989) into account, and assert eight is the maximum lag for serial correlation in accordance with the specific measure presented by Ng and Perron (1995). To find more evidence of mean-reverting behaviors, we also briefly enucleate the advantages of the time series unit root test based on GLS, proposed by Carrion-i-Silvestre et al. (2009). Compared with other traditional tests, two primary merits are presented of the GLS-based test: it supports potential multiple breaks via both alternative and null hypotheses of stable trends; and endogenous multiple breakpoints are considered. Applying the GLS-based unit root test, we attain multiple structural breaks, which will result in stronger stationary evidence of the variables.

After investigating the unit root tests with structural breaks, we next present the cointegrated model of energy production and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}, testing the interactions among the variables relying on the Chinese sample. Then, we examine the cointegration relationships of the variables.

\[
Y_{it} = a_0 X_{it} + \nu_{it},
\]

where \(Y\) implies energy production at time \(t\) and type \(i\); we define OIL as type 1, COAL as type 2, GAS as type 3, ELEC as type 4 and RENEW as type 5; \(X\) implies EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}; and \(\nu\) indicates the white noise error term. By applying the cointegrated examinations with structural breaks, we will attain stronger evidence and more precise findings (Lee and Chang 2008).

We thus adopt cointegration examination with structural breaks by the time series sample, which is provided by Gregory and Hansen (1996) to find the relationship among disparate energy productions and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}. Gregory and Hansen (1996) assume no cointegration as the null hypothesis, and \(ADF\), \(Z_t\), and \(Z_r\) tests are adopted to survey the level or regime shifts of disparate energy productions and EPU\textsubscript{SCMP}. We utilize the three shift models presented by Gregory and Hansen (1996): level shift (C), level shift with trend (C/T), as well as regime shift (C/S). The cointegration tests are utilized for each probable regime shift \(\tau \in T\), showing the smallest
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5 Please see the details in Perron and Yabu (2009).
6 Please see the details in Lee and Strazicich (2003, 2004).
7 Please see the details in Carrion-i-Silvestre et al. (2009).
value by the above analyses among entire potential structural breakpoints.

Wavelet analysis

The continuous wavelet transform presented by Aguiar-Conraria and Soares (2011) and Aguiar-Conraria et al. (2012) is utilized in our empirical tests. The primary merit of wavelet analysis is making it possible to present the signal containing both in time domain as well as frequency one. When comparing with Fourier analysis, which is not only completely lost in the time information, but also makes it difficult to discriminate the temporary nexus or recognize breakpoints (Aguiar-Conraria and Soares 2011). Wavelet analysis, as an alternative, which is different from the Fourier transform functions, decreases towards zero. This is required that the wavelet transform to own zero mean and suppose a decaying characteristic, indicating the transform fluctuates increase and drop the t-axis when it approaches zero. According to Aguiar-Conraria et al. (2012), the wavelet analysis just presents a small wave losing strength when it approaches zero, while the latter presents the wavelet center; and as an analytic wavelet, which is capable of finding higher/lower frequency, and a lower/higher scale implies a less/more concentrated wavelet power spectrum indicates a time series variance evolution.

Using a given time series $\chi(t)$, the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) for a wavelet function $\xi$ presented as $W_{\chi}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a function of two variables:

$$W_{\chi}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \xi^\ast \left(\frac{t-\beta}{\alpha}\right) dt$$ (2)

Here, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ indicate the parameters denoting scale as well as location one; the former implies the wavelet length, while the latter presents the wavelet center; and $\ast$ indicates the complex conjugate. The scale shows an opposite nexus to frequency, and a lower/higher scale implies a less/more stringent wavelet, which is capable of finding higher/lower frequency time series sample. The composition of wavelet analysis $W_{\chi}$ contains $R(W_{\chi})$ as a real section, $I(W_{\chi})$ as an imaginary section, as well as amplitude, $|W_{\chi}|$, phase, and $\tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{I(W_{\chi})}{R(W_{\chi})} \right]$, ranging from $-\beta$ to $\beta$, which is parameterized in radians. In accordance with the continuous wavelet transform, the sample’s wavelet power spectrum is divided under wavelet co-movement (Vacha and Barunik 2012).

Similar to Aguiar-Conraria and Soares (2011), we present the wavelet transform between the series as follows. The Monte-Carlo simulations are designed in accordance with Schreiber and Schmitz (1996) by adopting the amplitude-adjusted Fourier transform. The wavelet co-movement analysis presents the proportion of the cross-spectrum for disparate energy productions of the spectrum series with time frequencies.

$$R_n(\alpha) = \frac{|S(\alpha^{-1} W_{\chi}^\ast(\alpha))|}{S(\alpha^{-1} |W_{\chi}|)^2 |S(\alpha^{-1} |W_{\chi}|)|^2},$$

$$W_{\chi}^\ast = W_{\chi}^\ast W_{\chi}^\ast.$$ (3)

Here, $S$ represents the smoothing operator both with time and scale. As the theoretical distribution for the wavelet analysis has been unknown (Vacha and Barunik 2012), we next examine the statistical significance by adopting Monte Carlo methods of wavelet analysis, according to Schreiber and Schmitz (1996) and Aguiar-Conraria and Soares (2011). The phase-difference $\eta_{x,y}$ between series $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ can be presented as follows:

$$\eta_{x,y} = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{I(W_{\chi}^\ast)}{R(W_{\chi})} \right).$$

$$\eta_{x,y} \in [-\pi, \pi].$$ (4)

Here, when the time series moves in phase (positive causality) and $\eta_{x,y} \in (0, \pi/2)$, it means series $y(t)$ leads to $x(t)$; while for $\eta_{x,y} \in (-\pi/2, 0)$, $x(t)$ plays the leader role, whereas when the phase-difference is $\pi$ or $-\pi$, we define it as an anti-phase nexus which is out of phase (negative causality). For a phase-difference of $\eta_{x,y} \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, series $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ can be seen as independent. Furthermore, when $\eta_{x,y} \in (-\pi, -\pi/2)$, $y(t)$ occupies the leading position.

We therefore adopt wavelets to examine the co-movement between energy production and EPU_SCMP—i.e., COAL vs. EPU_SCMP, OIL vs. EPU_SCMP, GAS vs. EPU_SCMP, ELEC vs. EPU_SCMP as well as RENEW vs. EPU_SCMP. Moreover, the wavelet power spectrum indicates a time series variance evolution at various frequencies, while wavelet co-movement analysis means a localized correlation coefficient in both time and frequency domains. Information on the delay between the sample’s oscillation, which can be investigated through the phase-difference.

Data description and empirical results

Data description

This section presents the dataset so that we can discuss the corresponding summary statistics. The dataset is from January 1995 to October 2019. We measure energy production by applying the variables COAL, OIL, GAS, ELEC, and RENEW. EPU_SCMP, which has an important influence on
economic and financial activities, has a close relationship with energy consumption and energy production and helps promote new energy technology development to stimulate energy efficiency (Li and Lin 2016; Antonakakis et al. 2014; Bekiros et al. 2015). We utilize the EPU_SCMP index in China provided by Baker et al. (2016), which relies on screening relevant key words in newspapers; here, a higher EPU_SCMP represents higher uncertainty.11 More details of the EPU_SCMP index can be seen in Baker et al. (2016).

Figure 1 depicts how disparate energy productions and EPU_SCMP fluctuate during the time period. The pattern of the COAL-EPU_SCMP figure witnesses two significant intersection points at the beginning of the 2000s and the end of the 2010s. From 2002-2019, the two lines move in opposite direction. The COAL-EPU_SCMP frequencies vary in the time domain; the main reasons are that China joined World Trade Organization (WTO) in 2001 and the world financial crisis in 2008, which can bring about the remarkable decrease of the COAL-EPU_SCMP figure. Hence, we see the OIL-EPU_SCMP plot, which always fluctuates until the 2010s, may be urged by the sharp shale energy boom in the global energy market. Next, the GAS-EPU_SCMP, ELEC-EPU_SCMP and RENEW-EPU_SCMP figures manifest a similar plot as well as the co-movements at the end of 1990s, the early 2000s, and the end of 2010s.12

Table 1 also provides the descriptive statistics for COAL, OIL, GAS, ELEC, RENEW and EPU_SCMP. COAL has predominance among the variables over all test statistics, indicating that coal will help maintain dependable and safe disparate energy consumptions in China during the next 20 years (Qin et al. 2017). OIL, ELEC, EPU_SCMP, and GAS successively follow COAL, which mean the gas industry in China needs to make more efforts at natural gas development. The result of RENEW shows that the renewable energy is becoming more and more important in China’s energy market, but need more attentions and policies to support the renewable development. Furthermore, the statistics of standard deviation always swing in the time domain, meaning structural changes most likely exist in the period.

Empirical findings

Evidence from unit root tests with structural breaks

To find whether or not there are any external shocks in the persistent components of our variables, we employ the Perron and Yabu (2009) unit root test. Table 2 presents the test statistic Exp-WRFQ as well as structural locations of energy production and EPU_SCMP. It is significant to account for possible breaks when examining the mean-reverting properties of our variables, and more stationarity evidence is needed for a search. We hence adopt the Lee and Strazichic (2003, 2004) tests with two structural breaks.

Table 3 further provides Model AA, Model CC as well as its structural locations findings, showing that energy production and EPU_SCMP both accept the alternative hypothesis of stationarity. For Model AA and Model CC, we prefer the latter as a more convincing and accurate measure (Sen 2003) than the former. Model CC always represents better than Model AA while applying the Monte Carlo simulations following structural breaks. Since the external shocks should have more than one or two break locations, multiple structural breaks need to be considered in our research. Next, we utilize the Carrion-i-Silvestre et al. (2009) GLS-based unit root test through looking at two and five structural breaks. Finding stronger evidence of mean-reverting property for time series sample with structural breaks is our target. We utilize the I(0) and I(1) processes of the variables to get more evidence for the stationary characteristics. Tables 4 and 5 present the GLS-based analyses with two and five breaks of Models AA and Model CC, respectively, and more mean-reverting behaviors under the first difference process.13 The breakpoints focus on 2008 (global financial crisis) as well as 2015–2016 (dramatic fall in global trade), causing China’s sharp economic slowdown that also filters into the energy market (Dong et al. 2019).

Evidence from cointegration test with structural breaks

Based on the unit root tests of stationarity property, we next investigate energy production and EPU_SCMP for a cointegration relationship. We employ the Gregory and Hansen (1996) time series cointegration test with structural breaks. Table 6 denotes the findings of the ADF*, Z*, and Z* tests of Gregory and Hansen (1996), when the cointegration variables consider one structural break. All three models can almost verify with the alternative hypothesis, i.e., a cointegrated nexus with structural breaks. Taking Model C (the level shift model) into account, we attain powerful proof of cointegrated relationship with structural breaks adopting the three tests, indicating nearly all the results reject the no cointegration hypothesis. The C/T (level shift with trend model) and C/S (regime model) results present strong proof for the cointegrated findings with structural breaks, meaning the cointegration relationship of energy production and EPU_SCMP can be supported by the examinations. Taking structural breaks into account, all the models denote the break locations are during the period from March 2006 to September 2009, which match more of the shale gas revolution and the global financial crisis.

11 The EPU_SCMP index is available at www.policyuncertainty.com.
12 In accordance with the World Trade Statistical Review 2016 published by WTO, in the period of 2014–2015, the dramatic decrease in world trade was attributed to potential reasons such as a severe recession in Brazil, the economic slowdown in China, exchange rate volatility, and so on.
13 The same break locations for both the two and five structural break analyses are in the tables with bold text.
Evidence from the wavelet co-movement and phase difference

Although the former test presents cointegration relationship between energy production and $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$, we are a bit dissatisfied with only one piece of cointegration evidence to represent the dynamic co-movement in the variables’ interactive proceeding. Thus, we adopt wavelet analysis in both time and frequency domains, to examine the dynamic interaction among disparate energy productions and $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$. All evidence about structural breaks can be presented through a phase-difference technology in our sample (Aguiar-Conraria and Soares 2011; Chang and Lee 2015).

Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, to 6 present the wavelet co-movements and phase differences of the sample between $\text{COAL}$ vs. $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$, $\text{OIL}$ vs. $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$, $\text{GAS}$ vs. $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$, $\text{ELEC}$ vs. $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$, and $\text{RENEW}$ vs. $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$.

![Graphs showing trends](image)

**Fig. 1** The trends of energy production and $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$ in China, 1995M1-2019M10

### Table 1 Descriptive statistics

| Variables | Mean   | Std. dev. | Median | Max       | Min       |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| COAL      | 19846.487  | 9392.688  | 18906.860  | 34020.080  | 4298.170  |
| OIL       | 4106.666  | 682.854   | 4067.240  | 5310.683  | 2995.000  |
| GAS       | 62.960    | 40.293    | 57.470   | 152.500   | 13.320    |
| ELEC      | 2811.675  | 1674.692  | 2528.680  | 6682.400  | 712.700   |
| RENEW     | 736.663   | 581.667   | 490.196  | 2022.631  | 189.606   |
| $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$ | 169.496 | 173.132 | 107.965 | 9.067 | 970.830 |

### Table 2 PY unit root tests with structural break

| Variables | Exp-W-RQF | Break date | cv(1%) | cv(5%) | cv(10%) |
|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|---------|
| COAL      | 6.156     | 200904     | 4.470  | 3.120  | 2.480   |
| OIL       | 3.356     | 201004     | 4.470  | 3.120  | 2.480   |
| GAS       | 11.130    | 200409     | 4.470  | 3.120  | 2.480   |
| ELEC      | 4.861     | 200201     | 4.470  | 3.120  | 2.480   |
| RENEW     | 66.739    | 201002     | 4.470  | 3.120  | 2.480   |
| $\text{EPU}_{\text{SCMP}}$ | 2.182    | 201501     | 4.470  | 3.120  | 2.480   |

Notes: Trimmer parameter is equivalent to 0.15. The critical values can be checked out from Table 2 in the work of Perron and Yabu (2009).
strong co-movement at high (low) frequencies; however, a blue area at the right-hand (left-hand) side presents powerful co-movement at the end (start) of the time series. Furthermore, the color code ranges from red (low power/co-movement) to blue (high power/co-movement) for the wavelet power spectrum. For phase difference graphs on the right-hand side for presenting causality about the variables, the vertical axis implies the phase difference between the variables, when the horizontal axis presents time. We select the frequency band of 3–8 years to perform wavelet analysis, considering two reasons: external shocks always span 3–8 years of the energy production (Chang and Lee 2015), and business cycles usually take 3–8 years (Bergman et al. 1998).

**Table 3** Univariate LM unit root test with two structural breaks

| Variables | Model AA | Model CC |
|-----------|----------|----------|
|           | TB1      | TB2      | S_{t-1} | B_{t1} | B_{t2} |       | TB1     | TB2      | S_{t-1} | B_{t1} | B_{t2} | D_{t1} | D_{t2} |
| COAL      | 200702   | 200802   | -0.085  | 0.046  | 0.076* |       | 200002 | 201005  | -0.591* | 0.128* | 0.001  | -0.099* | 0.037* |
| OIL       | 200612   | 201506   | -0.060  | 0.098* | -0.034*|       | 200911 | 201605  | -0.845* | -0.052* | 0.040* | 0.034*  | -0.047* |
| GAS       | 200804   | 201511   | -0.107  | 0.082* | 0.093* |       | 200304 | 201303  | -0.966* | 0.004  | -0.064* | -0.005* | 0.008* |
| ELEC      | 199901   | 201002   | -0.158  | -0.050*| 0.080* |       | 200111 | 201610  | -0.810* | 0.040  | -0.061* | -0.024* | 0.063* |
| RENEW     | 201410   | 201412   | -1.858  | 2.869***| 1.392**|       | 200702 | 201407  | -7.423***| 1.364** | 3.140***| -1.152  | -3.613  |
| EPU       | 201611   | 201704   | -0.099  | 0.233* | 0.004  |       | 201603 | 201704  | -0.407* | -0.060 | 0.136*  | 0.118*  | -0.115* |

The entries in bold are structural breaks with high frequent appearance

Notes: TB1 refers to the coefficient on the first break in the intercept; TB2 refers to the coefficient on the second break in the intercept; D_{t1} refers to the coefficient on the first break in the slope; and D_{t2} denotes the coefficient on the second break in the slope. * indicates rejecting the null hypothesis of unit root with statistical significance at the 5% level.

**Table 4** CKP GLS-based unit root test with two breaks (Model AA and Model CC)

| Variables | Model AA | Model CC |
|-----------|----------|----------|
|           | P_{GLS}^T | MP_{GLS}^T | AD_{GLS} | TB1  | TB2  | P_{GLS}^T | MP_{GLS}^T | AD_{GLS} | TB1  | TB2  |
| Levels    |          |          |          |      |      |          |          |          |      |      |
| COAL      | 16.918*  | 16.639*  | -2.680   | 200702| 200909| 13.878*  | 12.657*  | -3.082   | 199812| 200909|
| OIL       | 23.159*  | 18.963*  | -2.368   | 200706| 200912| 15.273*  | 14.906*  | -2.695   | 200512| 200912|
| GAS       | 18.096*  | 16.452*  | -3.254   | 199806| 200012| 31.382*  | 28.887*  | -2.205   | 200012| 201203|
| ELEC      | 36.637*  | 33.513*  | -1.829   | 201302| 201703| 17.246*  | 16.053*  | -2.654   | 200708| 201308|
| RENEW     | 13.328*  | 12.618*  | -2.593   | 201111| 201512| 8.173*   | 7.870*   | -3.236   | 200901| 201310|
| EPU_{SCMP}| 7.109*   | 6.880*   | -3.494   | 200007| 201511| 10.482*  | 9.453*   | -2.955   | 200809| 201511|

The entries in bold are structural breaks with high frequent appearance

Notes: TB1 refers to the coefficient on the first break both in intercept and slope; TB2 indicates the coefficient on the second break both in intercept and slope. * implies rejecting the null hypothesis of unit root with statistical significance at the 5% level.
Table 5  CKP GLS-based unit root test with five breaks (Model AA and Model CC)

| Variables | Model AA |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|-----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|           | PGLS     | MPGLS   | ADFGLS  | TB1     | TB2     | TB3     | TB4     | TB5     | PGLS   | MPGLS   | ADFGLS  | TB1     | TB2     | TB3     | TB4     | TB5     |
| Levels    |          |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| COAL      | 27.499*  | 22.210* | −3.566  | 199706  | 200002  | 200212  | 200512  | 200901  | 21.618* | 20.741* | −3.517  | 199712  | 200512  | 200806  | 201105  | 201409  |
| OIL       | 18.624*  | 16.529* | −3.558  | 199801  | 200112  | 200706  | 200912  | 201506  | 23.844* | 21.967* | −3.084  | 199712  | 200006  | 200912  | 201210  | 201610  |
| GAS       | 6.096    | 4.993   | −8.020* | 199712  | 200301  | 200509  | 200909  | 201203  | 6.698   | 6.417   | −6.717* | 199805  | 200012  | 200806  | 201203  | 201501  |
| ELEC      | 4.031    | 3.921   | −10.262*| 200202  | 200902  | 201108  | 201402  | 201703  | 4.364   | 4.191   | −8.860* | 200202  | 200408  | 200708  | 201008  | 201302  |
| RENEW     | 4.157    | 3.921   | −6.465* | 200002  | 200702  | 201002  | 201111  | 201512  | 3.747   | 3.526   | −7.988* | 200512  | 200806  | 201101  | 201310  | 201611  |
| EPU_SCMP  | 14.841*  | 14.145* | −3.759  | 199904  | 200110  | 200404  | 201211  | 201502  | 10.956* | 10.027* | −4.774* | 199708  | 200110  | 201107  | 201402  | 201701  |
| Firstdifference | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COAL      | 3.638    | 2.994   | −16.827*| 200502  | 200712  | 201111  | 201309  | 201603  | 3.549   | 3.374   | −12.116*| 199802  | 200202  | 200512  | 200812  | 201110  |
| OIL       | 3.674    | 2.896   | −18.099*| 200210  | 200512  | 200806  | 201012  | 201306  | 5.173   | 4.837   | −7.834* | 199712  | 200611  | 201011  | 201305  | 201609  |
| GAS       | 6.610    | 4.915   | −10.994*| 200501  | 200804  | 201203  | 201407  | 201703  | 8.621   | 8.313   | −6.100* | 200011  | 200311  | 200803  | 201012  | 201411  |
| ELEC      | 3.326    | 2.740   | −16.191*| 200501  | 200802  | 201008  | 201302  | 201606  | 4.277   | 4.044   | −9.003* | 200201  | 200701  | 201001  | 201301  | 201702  |
| RENEW     | 2.642    | 2.144   | −16.036*| 200212  | 200512  | 201110  | 201409  | 201703  | 2.813   | 2.676   | −9.451* | 200502  | 200812  | 201110  | 201408  | 201702  |
| EPU_SCMP  | 14.340*  | 13.174* | −22.009*| 199904  | 200110  | 200404  | 201211  | 201502  | 26.737* | 24.961* | −11.853*| 200109  | 200404  | 200610  | 200909  | 201606  |

The entries in bold are structural breaks with high frequent appearance

Notes: TB1 refers to the coefficient on the first break both in intercept and slope; TB2 indicates the coefficient on the second break both in intercept and slope; TB3 denotes the coefficient on the third break both in intercept and slope; TB4 refers to the coefficient on the fourth break both in intercept and slope; TB5 refers to the coefficient on the fifth break both in intercept and slope. The thickened structural breaks indicate the same breakpoints in the CKP GLS-based unit root test with both two and five structural breaks. * indicates rejecting the null hypothesis of the unit root with statistical significance at the 5% level.
From the wavelet co-movement results, we see some interesting patterns. Significant dynamic co-movement exist between energy production and EPU SCMP in both time and frequency domains, which is diverse from conventional cointegration test that only has a single integration nexus. From Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5 to 6, we obtain a similar pattern. All areas implying high frequencies, particular fluctuations for periods of less than 1.5 years are red. This indicates the co-movement level among disparate energy productions and EPU SCMP is strong at high frequencies; i.e., in the short run for the total time series. The short-term results show that EPU SCMP is a vital component to be accounted for by short-term energy investment in the energy market.

The co-movement between the special energy product and EPU SCMP is contrarily weaker at lower frequencies. Energy production and EPU SCMP just present a high co-movement level for the 5–8 years frequency bands. This reveals that EPU SCMP is gradually of less concern for energy market participants using a long-term view. Third, the wavelet co-movement results also present a dynamic relation between

| Tests | COAL | OIL | GAS | ELEC | RENEW |
|-------|------|-----|-----|------|-------|
|       | T-statistic | Break date | T-statistic | Break date | T-statistic | Break date | T-statistic | Break date | T-statistic | Break date |
| ADF* | -3.843 | 200711 | -3.151 | 200807 | -5.141* | 200603 | -3.285 | 200810 | -4.462 | 201209 |
| C   | -3.326 | 200809 | -3.671 | 200909 | -3.697 | 199910 | -4.917 | 199902 | -8.063* | 201211 |
| C/T | -4.994* | 200909 | -6.291* | 200810 | -4.581 | 201210 |
| C   | -5.653* | 200712 | -3.026 | 200807 | -5.041* | 200603 | -4.781 | 200608 | -6.354* | 200907 |
| C/T | -6.417* | 200712 | -5.436* | 201003 | -7.521* | 199810 | -9.576* | 199902 | -8.372* | 201301 |
| C   | -8.140* | 200206 | -6.788* | 201304 | -5.902* | 200603 | -5.864* | 200908 | -6.625* | 201210 |
| Zt  | -5.7412* | 200712 | -15.600 | 200807 | -46.515 | 200603 | -42.273 | 200608 | -68.512* | 200907 |
| C   | -71.841* | 200712 | -50.590 | 201003 | -98.663* | 199810 | -143.265* | 199811 | -113.682* | 201301 |
| C/T | -96.456* | 200206 | -59.717* | 201304 | -45.097 | 200603 | -62.541* | 200908 | -73.642* | 201209 |

The entries in bold are structural breaks with high frequent appearance

Notes: C, C/T, and C/S present the Gregory and Hansen (1996) three models; i.e., the level shift, the level shift with trend, and with the regime shift, respectively. Numbers in the parentheses denote the structural breaks tested by Gregory and Hansen (1996). * refers to the no cointegration hypothesis is rejected at the 5% level.

From the wavelet co-movement results, we see some interesting patterns. Significant dynamic co-movement exist between energy production and EPU SCMP in both time and frequency domains, which is diverse from conventional cointegration test that only has a single integration nexus. From Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5 to 6, we obtain a similar pattern. All areas implying high frequencies, particular fluctuations for periods of less than 1.5 years are red. This indicates the co-movement level among disparate energy productions and EPU SCMP is strong at high frequencies; i.e., in the short run for the total time series. The short-term results show that EPU SCMP is a vital component to be accounted for by short-term energy investment in the energy market.

The co-movement between the special energy product and EPU SCMP is contrarily weaker at lower frequencies. Energy production and EPU SCMP just present a high co-movement level for the 5–8 years frequency bands. This reveals that EPU SCMP is gradually of less concern for energy market participants using a long-term view. Third, the wavelet co-movement results also present a dynamic relation between

![Fig. 2 COAL-EPU SCMP wavelet co-movements and phase-differences. Notes: On the left: wavelet co-movement; on the right: a phase-differences. The cone effect is presented with a black line at the 5% significance level. Co-movement ranges from blue (lower degree of dependence) to red (higher degree of dependence). The y-axis means frequencies; the x-axis denotes the time period tests. On the right: phase-difference line](image-url)
special energy production and EPU SCMP, which should be an important factor in the decision-making progress of energy market participants. The structural breaks during the above time series mean a strong co-movement at low frequencies, which can offer a potential reappearance of a similar co-movement near the forecasting future. Energy market participants should always pay close attention to EPU SCMP for excess return.

Since wavelet co-movement cannot distinguish whether the time series exhibit positive or negative co-movements, we adopt phase difference analysis to investigate the lead-lag relationship as well as positive/negative causality among the disparate energy productions and EPU SCMP, subsequently. From Fig. 2, shown in the COAL-EPU SCMP plot, the phase difference is in-between \(0, \pi/2\) during various time domains. The explanation is in these time domains the variables are moving in phase; i.e., positive causality; and COAL leads EPU SCMP, implying that the positive shocks of COAL on EPU SCMP take place in the time domain. In other words, a rise in COAL contributes to an increase in EPU SCMP, which implies the increase of COAL will bring forth the authorities’ reorientation of policy direction and then raise EPU SCMP. On
the contrary, in the course of almost entire remaining time series, the phase difference locates in-between $(-\pi/2, 0)$, suggesting evidence that EPU$_{SCMP}$ leads COAL with a positive causality. It implies an increase in EPU$_{SCMP}$ serves to damage COAL. 14

We next perform wavelet co-movement and phase difference to discuss the nexus between OIL and EPU$_{SCMP}$ (Fig. 3). A positive causality exists in the short-term period, while the long-run period presents a negative nexus. The phase difference locates in-between $(0, \pi/2)$, which includes the time periods of 1997–1998, early 2010s, as well as 2016–2018. Evidence of OIL leading EPU$_{SCMP}$ is obtained, while the phase difference lies in-between $(-\pi/2, 0)$, means EPU$_{SCMP}$ leads OIL, and a positive causality arises, which indicates that the positive shocks of EPU$_{SCMP}$ on OIL take place in the time domain.

Figure 4 offers evidence of wavelet co-movement and phase difference results for GAS and EPU$_{SCMP}$. Similar to the OIL and EPU$_{SCMP}$ relationship, a positive causality appears in the short term, while a negative nexus exists in the long run period. Moreover, in Figs. 5–6, we provide wavelet co-movement and phase difference analysis of ELEC, RENEW, and EPU$_{SCMP}$, respectively. The short-term result is always significant, while the long-term is opposite. Overall, our results identify two important points: 1) a strong positive causality at the high frequency band, particularly with a change duration of less than one and a half years; and 2) at lower frequencies there is a relatively weaker causality. These results suggest that short-term energy investors need account for purchasing more assets.

The structural breaks always locate in the time periods of 1997–1999, 2000–2002, 2007–2011, and 2014–2016, which basically match the former unit root tests and cointegration tests with structural breaks. This interesting result may involve several widely known events. In 1997, the Asian financial crisis burst forth, which shocked China’s economic and energy markets, bringing about much uncertainty to the country; in 2001, China joined WTO following many stages of development; in 2008, the global financial crisis sharply shook the world, and economic slumps continued for years; this is also a vital factor for structural breaks of China. In 2007–2011, the shale gas and oil boom influenced the world energy structure, due to new technologies for gas and oil drilling and extraction that found great reserves of total energy (Hu et al. 2019). Finally, in 2014–2015 a dramatic decrease in world trade had a significant influence on China’s economy and energy markets, with a sudden slowdown leading to sharp shocks.

Robust analysis

To test the robustness of our empirical analyses, we first take COAL, OIL, GAS, ELEC, RENEW, and EPU$_{SCMP}$ during the time period from January 2005 to October 2019 and re-examine the above analysis, as 2005 is the year that shale gas extraction began to play a momentous role in the world energy market. The results for PY, LM, and GLS-based unit

---

14 We also obtain that the phase difference can be marginally above $\pi/2$ or below $-\pi/2$ in very short series. Though the proof is comparatively weak, we assert that dynamic causality among disparate energy productions and EPU$_{SCMP}$ moves in phase.
root and cointegration tests with multiple structural breaks are not listed in our paper.\textsuperscript{15} The wavelet analyses appear in Figs. 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, which provide very similar conclusions like what we have explained for the time period of January 1995 to October 2019, again to obtain more evidence to support our results. In other words, we attain robust evidence that the series move in phase, and significantly dynamic causality is existed among energy production and EPU_{SCMP}. We next utilize the Chinese EPU index based on mainland papers (EPU_{MP}) presented by Davis et al. (2019) to get more robust evidence of our results. We first make a comparison about EPU_{SCMP} and EPU_{MP}; see Appendix 1. We find that EPU_{MP} possesses more mainland newspapers and more economic/policy/uncertainty terms with Chinese characters than EPU_{SCMP}. The time period of EPU_{MP} is from January 1995 to October 2019. To further examine the robustness of our results, except for changing the economic policy uncertainty index from EPU_{SCMP} to EPU_{MP}, we also adopt the advanced cointegration test provided by Hatemi-J (2008) for a robust test on the cointegrated relationship among variables. The Hatemi-J (2008) cointegration test is based on the Gregory and Hansen (1996) test by expanding former research to allow for two regime shifts. The timing of the breakpoints comes from the underlying data and not a priori information of the Gregory and Hansen (1996) test. Moreover, the Hatemi-J (2008) cointegration test places attention on the scale and power properties of all test statistics through Monte Carlo simulations, which can ensure all test statistics have small size distortion and good power properties versus the Gregory and Hansen (1996) test (Hatemi-J 2008). In Table 7, we again find that a cointegration relationship between EPU_{MP} and OIL, COAL, GAS, ELEC and RENEW, respectively, which can strongly support our previous results.\textsuperscript{16} The structural breaks always focus on 1999, 2003–2006, 2008, and 2009, which are included in the earlier results. We hence provide the wavelet co-movements and phase differences of EPU_{MP} vs. COAL, EPU_{MP} vs. OIL, EPU_{MP} vs. GAS, EPU_{MP} vs. ELEC as well as EPU_{MP} vs. RENEW. Similar patterns appear in Figs. 12, 13, 14, 15, to 16. Like the case of EPU_{SCMP} and energy production findings, the co-movement between specific energy production and EPU_{MP} is still clearer in the short run (high frequencies) versus that in the long run (low frequencies). However, we see stronger fluctuations between specific energy production and EPU_{MP}, compared to the EPU_{SCMP} findings. Some potential reasons arise. First, there are more newspaper sources for EPU_{MP}, which is more detailed and local than when EPU_{SCMP} only utilizes South China Morning Post. Second, a broader set of economic/policy/uncertainty terms with Chinese characteristics is added to the measure of EPU_{MP}. For energy market participants, more message sources and more local information imply easier access to accurate information, thus bringing about market fluctuations. Overall, through the robust tests, we find robust evidence of long-run co-movement among disparate energy productions and economic policy uncertainty.

\textsuperscript{15} The details of robust analysis are available from the authors upon request.

\textsuperscript{16} We also examine the cointegration test by Gregory and Hansen (1996). The findings are not presented in the paper to save space, and which are available for request. We do find that the results are similar with the earlier result, providing the long-term cointegration relationship exists in the variables.
Policy implications

We find an equilibrium relationship between economic policy uncertainty and energy production, when utilizing the Gregory and Hansen (1996) or Hatemi-J (2008) cointegration methods. From the results of wavelet co-movement combined with the phase difference analysis, we clearly see a positive causal co-movement relationship between the variables in the short run, but not in the long run. In accordance with the evidence, we offer a policy discussion as follows.

In the beginning, China’s policymakers should place more attention on the short-run co-movement nexus between economic policy uncertainty and the energy market. At the same time, the phase difference showing a positive causal interaction can be a guide for the policymakers, meaning that economic policy uncertainty affects the energy market, and vice versa. In
other words, excessive government intervention leads to fluctuations in energy markets, which in turn feed back into greater economic policy uncertainty. Next, China’s authorities should maintain transparency and stability in policy formulation in order to reduce uncertainties. Through social media, journalism (such as Renmin Daily and Guangming Daily), tax allowance and exemption in energy sectors (Zhang et al. 2014), economic policy uncertainty can be mitigated more effectively. Furthermore, while the long-run co-movement relationship is not significant between variables, indicating in China that policymakers can ignore any long-term interference from both energy markets and economic policy formulation.

Considering the vital role of renewable energy, the China government should promote energy transformation of its renewable energy development system. It can initiate actions such as providing special funds to decrease renewable energy firms’ financing constraints, offering tax incentives for renewable energy, and building a comprehensive supervisory platform to create a more effective and efficient environment for the renewable energy industry. Overall, the China government should place more attention on renewable energy under high uncertainty, covering energy resources’ endowment, technical improvement, and industrial growth stages.

---

Fig. 9 Robust analysis of GAS-EPU\textsubscript{SCMP} wavelet co-movements and phase-differences

Fig. 10 Robust analysis of ELEC-EPU\textsubscript{SCMP} wavelet co-movements and phase-differences
Second, a clearer co-movement and positive causal relationship in the short run also bring critical implications for energy market participants in China, such as for China National Petroleum Corporation as well as China Petrochemical Corporation. These energy market participants not only need to focus on economic policy, which leads to fluctuations in the energy market, but must also consider the influence of volatility in the energy market on economic policy uncertainty. Since economic policy uncertainty can stimulate energy production, energy market participants should pay close attention to authoritative newspapers in China, like People’s Daily, Guangming Daily, and South China Morning Post, so as to instantly grasp fluctuating messages. Given the co-movements between variables as we have presented, energy market participants in China can easily understand the nexus, make important portfolio allocation decisions (Mensi et al. 2014), precisely screen for useful energy information, and reduce their risks from market uncertainties.

Finally, as energy demanders, most Chinese citizens should take note of those domestic authoritative newspapers and prominent media as mentioned earlier in order to grasp important messages of uncertainties and energy shocks. For instance, once newspapers report information about an unstable economic policy, Chinese citizens as well as energy demanders could take personal actions such as buying more energy products, which may then bring about greater increases in energy prices and further raise economic policy uncertainty. In addition, as a large country that considers both population and resources, fluctuations in China’s energy market will spur a change to energy supply and demand, which will then drive volatility and uncertainty of economic policies promoted by the China government. Similarly, Chinese citizens should only pay attention to the short-term interaction between variables, because of weak co-movements in energy production and economic policy over the long term.

Table 7  HJ time-series cointegration test with two structural breaks

| Tests | COAL | OIL | GAS | ELEC | RENEW |
|-------|------|-----|-----|------|-------|
|       | T-statistic | Break dates | T-statistic | Break dates | T-statistic | Break dates | T-statistic | Break dates | T-statistic | Break dates |
| ADF*  | −4.799 | 200411 | −4.764 | 200302 | −8.711* | 200402 | −8.361* | 200311 | −8.240* | 200412 |
|       | 200605 | 200706 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Zt    | −9.835* | 200312 | −7.336* | 199911 | −8.972* | 200401 | −8.641* | 200308 | −8.281* | 200412 |
|       | 200604 | 200805 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Zα    | −146.913* | 200312 | −82.712* | 199911 | −123.860* | 200401 | −118.280* | 200308 | −111.007* | 200312 |
|       | 200603 | 200805 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

The entries in bold are structural breaks with high frequent appearance

Notes: * denotes that the no cointegration hypothesis is rejected at the 5% level. The critical value of HJ test is available in Hatemi–J (2008), Table 1
China’s policymakers and market participants should overall be aware of the co-movement and positive casual interactions between economic policy and the energy market. Doing so will contribute to making proper subsequent decisions.

Concluding remarks

Though economic policy uncertainty is widely taken as a vital component affecting global energy demand and supply, there has been limited research that particularly concentrates on the nexus among disparate energy productions and economic policy uncertainty. Compared with past literature that exclusively conducts investigations in the time domain, we examine the relationship among disparate energy productions and economic policy uncertainty via a dynamic co-movement in both time and frequency domains. We employ five types of energy productions, coal, oil, natural gas, electricity and renewable one, covering the sample period from January 1995 to October 2019.

Fig. 12 Robust analysis of COAL-EPU<sub>MP</sub> wavelet co-movements and phase-differences

Fig. 13 Robust analysis of OIL-EPU<sub>MP</sub> wavelet co-movements and phase-differences
The empirical results show that there are stationary property and cointegration nexus between energy production and economic policy uncertainty. To gain more evidence of time and frequencies for the series, we also utilize an advanced method of wavelet analysis to observe dynamic co-movements and the causal relationship among the variables. We also present robust analysis denoting a co-movement exists among disparate energy productions and economic policy uncertainty. Generally, we see the sample have a strong level of co-movement in high frequencies (short-run fluctuations); however, the evidence for low frequencies (long-run fluctuations) is relatively weaker. We also validate a dynamic causal nexus among disparate energy productions and economic policy uncertainty following a positive causality. Overall, our results raise a significant issue about the role of economic policy uncertainty as a driver of risk transmissions through energy markets and also offer an opportunity for authorities to promote strategies to handle energy risks, according to the various factors of economic policy uncertainty.

![Fig. 14 Robust analysis of GAS-EPU\textsubscript{MP} wavelet co-movements and phase-differences](image1.png)

![Fig. 15 Robust analysis of ELEC-EPU\textsubscript{MP} wavelet co-movements and phase-differences](image2.png)
Appendix 1

Comparisons of the two economic policy uncertainty indices.

| Year | Period | RENEW-EPUMP(Robust) |
|------|--------|---------------------|
| 1995 | 1.5    |                     |
| 2000 | 3      |                     |
| 2005 | 5      |                     |
| 2010 | 8      |                     |
| 2015 |        |                     |
| 2020 |        |                     |

Fig. 16 Robust analysis of RENEW-EPU$_{MP}$ wavelet co-movements and phase-differences

China EPU Index based on the South China Morning Post (EPU$_{SCMP}$)

Definition: The economic policy uncertainty indices are constructed on an automated search process to track the frequency terms; i.e., economic policy-, uncertainty-, and regulation-related words through the main newspapers. Economic policy uncertainty includes the influence of economic policies on investment, consumption, and employment of enterprises and individuals, as well as on macroeconomic, capital and energy markets, and so on. Both indices follow the newspaper-based techniques in “Measuring Economic Policy Uncertainty” by Baker et al. (2016).

Category: Uncertainty
Economics: Economy; economic; business.
Policy: Policy; spending; budget; political; interest rates; reform; government; Beijing; authorities; tax; regulation; regulatory; central bank; People’s Bank of China; deficit; WTO.

Newspaper source: South China Morning Post
Computational process: To calculate an economic policy uncertainty index for China, Baker et al. (2016) structured a scaled frequency count of articles about policy-related economic uncertainty through Hong Kong’s leading English-language newspaper, i.e., the South China Morning Post (SCMP). First, they identified SCMP articles about the three term sets of Economic, Policy, and Uncertainty. Second, they utilized these terms in an automated search on a monthly frequency, through every SCMP article published since 1995. Next, they divided the monthly frequency count by the number of all SCMP articles in the same month. They thus standardized the result to a mean value of 100 from January 1995 to the present.

China EPU Indices based on Domestic Newspapers (EPU$_{MP}$)

Definition: Uncertain; uncertainty; not certain; unsure; not sure; hard to tell; unpredictable; unknown.

Category: Uncertainty
Economics: Economy; economic; business.
Policy: Fiscal; monetary; China Securities Regulatory Commission; Ministry of Finance; People’s Bank of China; National Development and Reform Commission; opening-up; reform; Ministry of Commerce; legislation; tax; national bonds; government debt; central bank; Ministry of Commerce; tariff; governmental deficit.

Newspaper source: South China Morning Post, Renmin Daily and Guangming Daily
Computational process: Using the terms with Chinese properties and the corresponding English translations, Davis’ team first tracked for monthly counts of articles that include at least one term in each of three term sets: Economics, Policy, and Uncertainty. Second, they measured the initial monthly data counted by the entire number of articles for the same newspaper and month. Third, the team divided the time into three periods: the central planning era (1949-1978), the reform and opening-up period (1979-1999), and the globalization era (2000 onwards). Additionally, they normalized each newspaper’s monthly series to have a unit standardization. Fourth, they calculated the simple average of the results over newspapers by month. Finally, they standardized every period’s index value to an average of 100.
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