Ovarian carcinoma in patients with BRCA mutation - a correlation between the growing pattern of peritoneal implants evaluated by CT/MRI and the genotype BRCA1 and BRCA2
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Abstract

Background: Ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death from gynecologic cancer. The risk of developing ovarian cancer is significantly increased in patients that carry a genetic mutation of tumor suppressor gene BRCA1 or BRCA2. The majority of BRCA-associated ovarian/fallopian tube cancers are high-grade serous carcinomas (HGSC). The recognition of patterns of disease is crucial to identify distinctive imaging features that could be useful for predicting prognosis and therapeutic response.

Results: An institutional review board-approved retrospective study was performed and included 34 patients (23 BRCA-mutated and 11 BRCA wild-type) with HGSC FIGO III/IV who underwent pre-operative or pre-chemotherapy contrast-enhanced CT/MRI of the abdomen and pelvis between January 2003 and December 2017. Three radiologists independently reviewed the imaging studies and looked for qualitative features of the primary tumor and peritoneal metastases (nodular versus infiltrative pattern). Two pathologists also assessed the histopathologic characteristics of the surgical specimens, with emphasis on the growth pattern of metastatic deposits (expansive/nodular and infiltrative) and inflammatory infiltrate (intra- and/or peritumoral).

No significant associations were found between the different groups of patients (BRCA1-mutant HGSC, BRCA2-mutant HGSC, and BRCA wild-type) and CT/MRI features of ovarian tumors, morphology of peritoneal metastasis, and pathologic characteristics.

Conclusion: Identification of specific imaging and pathologic features is important to pursue an optimal personalized cancer treatment strategy and to develop precision medicine in the future.
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Background

Ovarian cancer remains the leading cause of death from gynecologic cancer [1, 2]. Epithelial carcinoma of the ovary represents a public health problem because of its considerable impact on morbidity and mortality being the 7th most common cancer and the 8th cause of cancer-related death in women worldwide [2–4].

Although cancer-related deaths have been decreasing in the last 5 decades for the majority of solid cancers, ovarian cancer survival does not show a significant improvement since about 1980 [3].

There is a multiplicity of histologic types of ovarian cancer; however, 90% of these are epithelial carcinomas [5].

The lifetime risk of ovarian epithelial carcinoma is 0.7% in sporadic cases; however, this risk is significantly increased in patients with familial or genetic predisposition (10–40%) [4, 6].

There are multiple well-established risk factors for ovarian cancer. One of the most important is BRCA germline mutation; nevertheless, other genomic mutations have been linked to this type of cancer as BARD1, CHEK2, MRE11A, RAD50, PALB2, and ATM mutations. Other known risk factors include familial history of cancer, early menarche, late menopause, nulliparous, obesity, diabetes, and smoking [3].

A scrupulous histopathologic evaluation of BRCA positive women has revealed that the majority of ovarian cancers in these patients are high-grade serous carcinomas (HGSC) [7]. In fact, they are associated with BRCA mutations in 15–17% of cases [4].

Thus, patients that carry the genetic mutation of the tumor suppressor gene BRCA1 or BRCA2 have a greater risk of developing a wide range of cancers, in particular ovarian and breast cancer. Moreover, 90% of BRCA-associated ovarian cancers will be HGSC of higher grade than sporadic ones [4, 8].

Approximately 40% of BRCA1 mutated patients may develop ovarian cancer and 17% of BRCA2-mutated patients may develop ovarian cancer by the age of 70 years old [4, 6, 7].

This increased risk is well established and has a paramount importance on cancer screening in this particular group of patients.

HGSC is also almost invariably associated with P53 mutation and genomic instability due to deficient DNA repair [3].

The recognition of patterns of disease is crucial to identify distinctive imaging features between disease forms that could be useful for predicting prognosis and therapeutic response and enabling us to provide valuable information for a possible personalized therapy and family counseling in the future [1, 9].

BRCA1 and BRCA2 are tumor suppressor genes located on chromosomes 17 and 13, respectively. These genes encode proteins that are responsible for genomic stability. The BRCA-mutated patients are incapable of repairing DNA damage that will lead to uncontrolled cell proliferation with tumor development [4].

Recent studies, both from the same group, suggested that the pattern of peritoneal disease distribution in HGSC is influenced by the BRCA mutation and that these variables may have an impact on treatment response and prognosis [10].

Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) play a decisive role in the diagnosis and staging of ovarian neoplasms. Contrast-enhanced CT as an accuracy of 94% for ovarian cancer staging and is the current imaging modality of choice in the preoperative assessment of HGSC. It is an accurate method for identifying sites of disease involvement and for the assessment of resectability of ovarian cancer MRI has a reported equivalent accuracy to CT in predicting peritoneal tumor spread [11, 12].

Previous studies indicate that the mutation of the BRCA gene produces characteristic differences in the histology of ovarian serous carcinoma, but it is not clear whether these translate into morphological and radiological manifestations and, if so, that these can be safely identified. Furthermore, although some studies have demonstrated that CT features may help as useful predictors of cytoreductive outcome in HGSC, it is not known if these features differ depending on BRCA mutation status nor between different groups of BRCA-mutated patients (BRCA 1/2, ) [13–16].

Given the potential prognostic and therapeutic implications of BRCA mutation status is important to pursue imaging or histopathologic differences between distinct genetic mutated and wild-type ovarian cancers that could help us to provide an optimal personalized cancer treatment strategy. Our goal is to review the radiological and pathologic features of all BRCA-associated HGSC cases while comparing them to a control group of non-BRCA-associated HGSC, aiming to investigate a possible association of its morphological patterns with the BRCA mutation status/type of mutation.

Methods

The Institutional Review Board approval was obtained, and written informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board (UIC/1199).

A retrospective study was performed with patients followed at our institution between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2017, with preoperative or pre- chemotherapy contrast-enhanced CT/MRI of abdomen and pelvis with the following inclusion criteria: all histopathologically confirmed HGSC; diagnosed at FIGO stage III or IV; with a BRCA positive diagnostic genetic test. The control group was selected from patients with
diagnostic genetic test negative for BRCA, with pathologically confirmed HGSC; FIGO stage III or IV.

Demographic, clinical, and pathologic data were collected for all patients (Table 1).

All patients underwent surgical resection according to our institutional surgical standardized procedure that include total abdominal hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, omentectomy, and lymphadenectomy. An experienced oncologic pathologist from our institution reviewed all final surgical specimens and used the World Health Organization criteria to diagnose HGSC [17].

From 2003 to 2017, patients were referred for genetic counseling and BRCA testing based on at least one of the following indications: a family member with a known BRCA mutation; personal or family history of cancers with characteristics that suggest they are related to BRCA mutation (breast cancers in young patients, triple-negative breast cancers in women younger than 60 years, bilateral breast cancers, multiple cases of breast cancer in a family, ovarian cancer, male breast cancer); individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry [1].

CT scans were performed in a multi-detector CT scanner with 64 detector rows and MRI examinations were obtained in a 1.5 T device. CT images were acquired during a breath-hold with the following acquisition parameters: 120 kVp; automatic milliampere setting, from 240 to 400 mA; section thickness of 5 mm. All patients received 120 mL of intravenous contrast material with the time delay from contrast agent injection to image acquisition of 70 s. MRI images were acquired on a Phillips 1.5 T equipment in different planes: axial T2 of the abdomen and DWI of the abdomen; axial T1 and T2 of the ovary, axial and sagittal T2 of the pelvis, DWI of the pelvis, and T1 fat sat dynamic after contrast medium.

The CT scans and MRI’s were independently and retrospectively interpreted by 3 radiologists with good inter-observer agreement. Each reader recorded the presence of a primary ovarian/fallopian tube tumor as well as peritoneal metastasis and documented the morphological characteristics of the peritoneal metastasis as nodular (round appearance) (Fig. 1) or infiltrative (poorly defined borders) (Fig. 2).

Histopathologic characteristics were also reviewed on the surgical specimens and the presence of heavy intraepithelial lymphocytes infiltration versus absence of or sparse infiltration in the tumor, and its metastasis was evaluated and classified as present or absent. The predominant pattern of the metastatic growth in omentum (expansile versus infiltrating) was also assessed (Fig. 3a, b) accordingly with the criteria proposed by Hussein el al [18].

Associations between clinical, pathologic and imaging characteristics versus BRCA mutation status were evaluated by using Fisher exact test and the two-sample t test for comparison of age at diagnosis.

Inter-observer agreement was analyzed with the Cohen $k$ statistic. The $k$ statistic was interpreted as follows: less than 0, no agreement; 0–0.20, slight agreement; 0.21–0.40, fair agreement; 0.41–0.60, moderate agreement; 0.61–0.80, substantial agreement; and 0.81–1.0, almost perfect agreement. We also estimated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and reported percentage agreement between the readers.

All analyses were performed by using SPSS and R (http://www.R-project.org/) software.

### Results

A total of 62 patients were retrieved with 34 patients satisfying the eligibility criteria, 19 were patients excluded because another histologic type of ovarian cancer, not HGSC was found on pathology examination and 9 excluded, as they were not staged as FIGO III or IV.

The median age of the 34 women was 60 years (range, 41-80 years), 10 (29%) of whom had BRCA1-mutant HGSC, 13 (38%) had BRCA2-mutant HGSG, and 11 (32%) had BRCA wild-type HGSC. For patients with BRCA-mutant HGSC at univariate analysis, we found a significant association between BRCA-mutant HGSC status and the age of the patients. In fact, BRCA-mutant women are younger than BRCA-wild type patients (mean age 57.0 years old vs 66.6 years old; difference in

| Table 1 | Demographic, clinical, and pathologic data of BRCA-mutant HGSC and BRCA-wild type patients |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age, years old | BRCA wild-type (n = 11) | BRCA mutant (n = 23) | p value |
| Mean (standard deviation) | 66.6 (9.9) | 57.0 (10.0) | 0.013 |
| Peritoneal metastasis pattern on CT or MRI, n (%) | | | |
| Nodular | 7 (64%) | 14 (61%) | 1.000 |
| Infiltrative | 4 (36%) | 9 (39%) |
| Intraepithelial lymphocytes on pathology examination, n (%)* | | | |
| Present | 7 (70%) | 11 (65%) | 1.000 |
| Absent | 3 (30%) | 6 (35%) |

*Information on intraepithelial lymphocytes not available in 1 BRCA wild-type carrier and in 6 BRCA mutant patients
mean 9.59 years, 95% CI: 2.15–17.04 years; \( p = 0.013 \) (Fig. 4).

The imaging results were very similar between the 3 observers with an inter-observer agreement with respect to CT/MRI features was almost perfect (\( k = 0.82–1.00 \)).

In univariate analysis, no significant associations were found between the different groups of patients (\( BRCA1 \)-mutant \( HGSC \), \( BRCA2 \)-mutant \( HGSC \), and \( BRCA \) wild-type) and CT/MRI features of ovarian tumors, morphology of peritoneal metastasis (\( p = 0.679 \)) and pathologic characteristics.

The imaging features of the peritoneal metastasis (nodular vs infiltrative) were similar in the \( BRCA-mutant \) and \( BCRA \) wild-type groups with \( BRCA-mutant \) patients having a nodular pattern of growth in 61% of the cases (\( n = 14 \)) and \( BRCA \) wild-type in 64% of the cases (\( n = 7 \)) (Table 1).

There was also no significant association found between the presence of intra- and extra-tumor inflammation (Fig. 3a, b) and \( BRCA \) mutant or wildtype patients at histologic analysis.

The imaging features of the primary tumors (\( HGSC \)) were similar between \( BRCA1 \)-mutant, \( BRCA2 \)-mutant, and sporadic cases on our series. On CT and MRI, we found heterogeneously enhancing solid masses of the ovary/fallopian tube with scattered areas of hemorrhage, cystic changes, and papillary projections (Figs. 5 and 6).

The median follow-up time in living patients was 4.2 years (range, 0.02–11.87 years) and the median overall survival was 6.79 years in all samples, 5.85 years in \( BRCA \)-wild type patients and 7.67 in \( BRCA \)-mutant patients (\( p = 0.175 \); Fig. 7). There were 15 deaths.

**Discussion**

Not much is known about the imaging manifestations of certain genomic alterations in \( HGSC \). In this study, we

---

**Fig. 1** Axial CT post-contrast image of the pelvis showing a nodular enhancing peritoneal metastasis pattern in a \( BRCA-1 \) mutant patient (arrows)

**Fig. 2** Axial CT post-contrast image of the pelvis showing pelvic effusion and an infiltrative peritoneal metastasis pattern, no individualized peritoneal nodules were visualized in a \( BRCA \)-wild type patient (arrows)
found that CT/MRI features of HGSC do not differ with the BRCA mutation status.

Imaging features as the pattern of distribution of peritoneal metastasis as well as some pathological features have already been found to be associated with gene mutation status and its correlation with primary cytoreductive surgery [19–21].

In our series, we did not identify any significant association between imaging nor histopathologic features of primary ovarian tumors, nor its omental metastases (nodular or infiltrative pattern), and gene mutation status. There were also no clear differences in the microscopic pattern of peritoneal metastases between BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers.

Regarding clinical features, BRCA-associated ovarian carcinomas have been reported to manifest an average of 5–10 years earlier than sporadic ovarian carcinomas [1]. Our series confirms this tendency as we had a
significant association between BRCA-mutant HGSC status and the age of the patients.

Although we could not find a significant statistical difference between the overall survival of patients BRCA-wild type and BRCA-mutant, we found a tendency for the latter group of patients to have a better overall survival. This is also in consonance with the literature, as it was found that BRCA-associated ovarian cancers tend to be more sensitive to platinum agents and poly (adenosine 5'-diphosphate–ribose) polymerase inhibitors than sporadic cancers, as well as to unique tumor biology that confers survival advantage independent of chemotherapy sensitivity [22, 23].

Our study had some limitations as it was a retrospective study with few patients with HGSC not submitted to any kind of therapy (surgery or chemotherapy) prior to the referral to our institution and tested for genetic mutations. Furthermore, the patients referred for genetic risk counseling to our institution enter on a screening program that enables us to diagnose ovarian cancer in early stages. As a result, there were patients that potentially benefited from this close follow-up program and not included in this study as they were diagnosed in an early stage. Thus, we have evaluated a small group of patients tested for BRCA1 mutation, BRCA2 mutation, and BRCA-wild type with FIGO stage III/IV, a relatively small sample size, potentially hindering the power of the study. For that reason, larger study populations are warranted to confirm our findings.

Fig. 5 MRI T2-weighted (left) and T1-weighted fat sat post-contrast (right) images showing a heterogeneously enhancing solid mass with cystic changes (arrow) and papillary projections (arrowhead), corresponding to the primary HGSC of a BRCA mutated patient

Fig. 6 Axial (left) and Coronal (right) CT post-contrast image of the abdomen and pelvis showing heterogeneously enhancing solid mass, corresponding to a primary HGSC of a BRCA 2 mutated patient
Conclusion
We could not demonstrate an association between BRCA mutation status and differences in primary tumors or peritoneal metastases pattern in CT or MRI as well as in histopathological morphology in contrast to what is stated in the most recent literature regarding the pattern of distribution of peritoneal metastasis. Consequently, the criteria described are probably the observer’s dependent or not easily reproducible.

However, given the substantial prognostic and therapeutic implications of BRCA mutation status it is important to continue pursuing imaging or histopathologic differences between genetic mutated and wild-type ovarian cancers that help us to provide an optimal personalized cancer treatment strategy and to develop precision medicine in the future.
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