X-RAY OBSERVATIONS OF THE YOUNG PULSAR J1357–6429 AND ITS PULSAR WIND NEBULA

CHULHOON CHANG1, GEORGE G. PAVLOV1,2, OLEG KARGAL'TSEV3, and YURI A. SHIBANOVO2,4

1 Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, PA 16802, USA; chchang@astro.psu.edu, pavlov@astro.psu.edu
2 St.-Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St.-Petersburg 195251, Russia
3 Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, FL 32611, USA; oyk100@astro.ufl.edu
4 Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute, St.-Petersburg 194021, Russia; shib@astro.ioffe.rssi.ru

Received 2011 July 5; accepted 2011 September 15; published 2011 December 15

ABSTRACT

We observed the young pulsar J1357–6429 with the Chandra and XMM-Newton observatories. The pulsar spectrum fits well a combination of an absorbed power-law model ($\Gamma = 1.7 \pm 0.6$) and a blackbody model ($kT = 140_{-40}^{+80}$ eV, $R \sim 2$ km at the distance of 2.5 kpc). Strong pulsations with pulsed fraction of 42% ± 5%, apparently associated with the thermal component, were detected in 0.3–1.1 keV. Surprisingly, the pulsed fraction at higher energies, 1.1–10 keV, appears to be smaller, 23% ± 4%. The small emitting area of the thermal component either corresponds to a hotter fraction of the neutron star surface or indicates inapplicability of the simplistic blackbody description. The X-ray images also reveal a pulsar wind nebula (PWN) with complex, asymmetric morphology comprised of a brighter, compact PWN surrounded by the fainter, much more extended PWN whose spectral slopes are $\Gamma = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ and $\Gamma = 1.7 \pm 0.2$, respectively. The extended PWN with the observed flux of $\sim 7.5 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ is a factor of 10 more luminous then the compact PWN. The pulsar and its PWN are located close to the center of the extended TeV source HESS J1356–645, which strongly suggests that the very high energy emission is powered by electrons injected by the pulsar long ago. The X-ray to TeV flux ratio, $\sim 0.1$, is similar to those of other relic PWNe. We found no other viable candidates to power the TeV source. A region of diffuse radio emission, offset from the pulsar toward the center of the TeV source, could be synchrotron emission from the same relic PWN rather than from the supernova remnant.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Thanks to their rich observational manifestations, young energetic pulsars are among the most attractive targets for the Chandra and XMM-Newton observatories. These manifestations include thermal emission from the neutron star (NS) surface, non-thermal emission from the pulsar magnetosphere, and a pulsar wind nebula (PWN) produced by the interaction of the pulsar wind with the ambient medium. The magnetospheric and thermal emission can differ in their relative strengths and exhibit distinct spectra and pulse profiles. The magnetospheric emission usually has power-law (PL) spectrum and is strongly pulsed. Detailed studies of several bright young pulsars indicate a nonuniform NS surface temperature distribution with cool ($T_C \lesssim 100$ eV) and hot ($T_H \sim 0.1–0.3$ keV) thermal components, which are believed to be emitted from the bulk of the NS surface and polar caps, respectively (e.g., Pavlov et al. 2001; Zavlin & Pavlov 2004; de Luca et al. 2005; Kargaltsev et al. 2005). Since the sample of young pulsars with high-quality X-ray spectra is still very small, further observations of young pulsars must be carried out to learn about the early epoch of NS cooling and test magnetospheric emission models.

In addition to X-ray emission from the NS surface and magnetosphere, a significant amount of non-thermal emission is radiated from the PWN. PWNe display various shapes and structures, including (but not limited to) jets and tori, or cometary shaped tails (Gaensler & Slane 2006). Observations with high angular resolution are particularly useful since they resolve the extended PWN emission, seen downstream of the termination shock (TS), from the magnetospheric non-thermal emission. Comparing properties of these two components, one can learn about the physical processes responsible for an efficient but as yet unknown particle acceleration mechanism energizing PWNe.

Although more than $\sim 70$ PWNe have been observed with Chandra and XMM-Newton to date (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2008, 2010, hereafter KP08 and KP10), the existing models (Kennel & Coroniti 1984; Komissarov & Lyubarsky 2004; van der Swaluw 2005; Bucciantini et al. 2005) still cannot explain some of the observed complex morphologies. Pulses of similar ages and spin-down luminosities can have PWNe with quite different properties. As of now, it is not clear whether these differences can be solely attributed to different properties of the surrounding interstellar medium (ISM) or if different intrinsic properties of pulsars also play an important role. A larger sample of well-resolved X-ray PWNe associated with pulsars having different intrinsic properties and residing in different environments is needed to further advance our understanding of pulsar winds.

The young pulsar PSR J1357–6429 (hereafter J1357; $P = 166$ ms, $E = 3.1 \times 10^{30}$ erg, surface magnetic field $B = 7.8 \times 10^{12}$ G) was discovered in the Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey by Camilo et al. (2004). The spin-down age, $\tau \equiv P/(2P)$ = 7.5 kyr, indicates that J1357 is one of the youngest pulsars known. The distance of 2.5 kpc, estimated from the pulsar’s dispersion measure ($DM = 127.2$ cm$^{-3}$ pc) and the Galactic free electron density model (Cordes & Lazio 2002), implies a spin-down flux $E/(4\pi d^2) = 1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

The pulsar is located within the TeV source HESS J1356–645 (hereafter HESS J1356; Renaud et al. 2008; Abramowski et al. 2011). The extended TeV emission is detected up to 12’ from the peak of the TeV surface brightness, located at R.A. = 13$^h$56$^m$, decl. = $-64^\circ$30’. Given the relatively small angular separation,
et al. (2009). No optical counterpart to the pulsar or its PWN \(\gamma\) (2005 November 18 and 19; 16 and 17 ks; PI: M. Mendez, pulsations and measured the photon index \(\Gamma \approx 2, 2\), without a cutoff at high energies. A possible detection of J1357 with AGILE at lower \(\gamma\) -ray energies (100 MeV–10 GeV) was reported by Pellizzoni et al. (2009). No optical counterpart to the pulsar or its PWN has been detected yet (Mignani et al. 2011).

At radio frequencies, Duncan et al. (1997) reported the extended emission from the supernova remnant (SNR) candidate G309.8–2.6, which is spatially coincident with HESS J1356. The extent of the radio emission appears to be smaller than that of the TeV source.

J1357 has been previously observed with XMM-Newton (2005 August 5; 15 ks; PI: F. Camilo) and Chandra HRC-S (2005 November 18 and 19; 16 and 17 ks; PI: M. Mendez, observer L. Kuiper). The analysis of those data was reported by Zavlin (2007) and Esposito et al. (2007). These authors found that the pulsar spectrum likely consists of thermal and non-thermal components. Zavlin (2007) found pulsations with the radio pulsar period and pulsed fraction of 63% ± 15%, while Esposito et al. (2007) reported only an upper limit of 30% on modulation amplitude. Zavlin (2007) also reported a tail-like extended emission in the HRC-S image, with a luminosity of \(2.5 \times 10^{31}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) (for \(d = 2.5\) kpc), while Esposito et al. (2007) concluded that the surface brightness distribution around the pulsar “is consistent with that from a point source” and estimated a 2–10 keV upper limit of \(3 \times 10^{31}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) on the PWN luminosity. To resolve these controversies, reliably disentangle various emission components, and study the relation between the pulsar and HESS J1356, we have carried out deeper observations with the Chandra ACIS and XMM-Newton EPIC detectors.

The details of the observations and data analysis are presented in Section 2. We discuss possible interpretations of the PWN morphology, describe inferences from the pulsar spectrum, and speculate on the nature of HESS J1356 and its relation to the other sources in the field in Section 3.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

We observed J1357 for 60 ks with the ACIS detector on board Chandra on 2009 October 8 (ObsID 10880). The target was imaged on the I3 chip, \(\approx 30'\) from the aim point. The other chips operated during the observation were I0, I1, I2, S2, and S3. The observation was carried out in timed exposure mode and telemetered in Very Faint format. The detector was operated in full frame mode, which provides time resolution 3.24 s. The useful effective exposure time (live time) is 59.22 ks.

There were no significant particle background flares during the observation. The data were reduced and analyzed with the Chandra Interactive Analysis Observations (CIAO) package (ver. 4.2), with CALDB 4.1.4. We used Chandra Ray Tracer (ChaRT)\(^7\) and MARX software\(^8\) for image analysis and XSPEC (ver. 12.5.0) for spectral analysis.

J1357 was also observed with the XMM-Newton EPIC MOS1, MOS2, and PN detectors on 2009 August 14 and 15 (ObsID 0603280101). The two MOS detectors were operated in Full Window mode with a time resolution 2.6 s, while the PN detector was in Small Window mode, which provides 6 ms time resolution (at the expense of reduced efficiency, \(\approx 71\%\)). The observation was processed with the XMM-Newton Science Analysis Software (SAS; ver. 9.0.0). The total live times of observations were 78.1 ks and 55.5 ks for EPIC MOS and PN, respectively. However, the data were contaminated by strong flares, which exceeded the quiescent count rate (0.1 counts s\(^{-1}\) for MOS and 0.2 counts s\(^{-1}\) for PN) by a factor of up to eight. After excluding contaminated data, the useful scientific exposure times are 62.2 and 44.6 ks for MOS and PN, respectively. The medium filter was used for all the EPIC detectors. The data were filtered to allow only standard event grades (patterns \(\leq 12\) for MOS and \(\leq 4\) for PN).

2.1 Images

2.1.1. Chandra

To produce Chandra images of the pulsar and its vicinity at subpixel resolution, we removed the pipeline pixel randomization and applied the subpixelization tool which improves quality of the images using grades of split-pixel events (Mori et al. 2001; Tsunemi et al. 2001). Figure 1 shows the Chandra image of the region around J1357 and the extraction regions on the ACIS-I3 chip used for the image and spectral analysis in the 0.3–8 keV energy band. In the image we see a bright pointlike source surrounded by diffuse emission. The position of the center of the brightest pixel is R.A. = \(13^{h}57^{m}02^{s}06\), decl. = \(-64^{c}29^{m}30^{s}06\) (J2000.0). The radio pulsar position is R.A. = \(13^{h}57^{m}02^{s}43(2)\), decl. = \(-64^{c}29^{m}30^{s}2(1)\) (Camilo et al. 2004). The difference of 0'45 between the X-ray and radio positions is smaller than the error in absolute Chandra astrometry (0'06 at the 90% confidence level\(^9\)). We measure the background on the I3 chip in the 50' radius circle centered at about 1'5 southeast from the source. The bright pointlike source contains 371 counts in the \(r = 1''\) aperture, including 12% contribution from the background and the diffuse emission around the source.

The diffuse emission in the immediate vicinity of the pulsar (region PWN1; shown in the inset in Figure 1), excluding the region of \(r = 1'5\) circle centered on the pulsar, has 282 total counts in 91 arcsec\(^2\), including 4.6% background contribution. The background-subtracted surface brightness within the PWN1 region is \(\approx 2.97 \pm 0.19\) counts arcsec\(^{-2}\). We also define the PWN2 region (see the same inset in Figure 1), which includes the diffuse feature extending northeast of the pulsar and turning northward at \(\approx 23'\) from the pulsar. This region contains 74 counts in 108 arcsec\(^2\), including 21% background contribution. This corresponds to the background-subtracted surface brightness of \(\approx 0.54 \pm 0.08\) counts arcsec\(^{-2}\).

The larger exposure-corrected, binned and smoothed image (Figure 1) shows an even fainter diffuse emission extending \(\approx 2.5\) westward and over 1'5 northward. The PWN region on the I1 chip (PWN II; \(\approx 1921\) arcsec\(^2\)) includes 295 total counts, of which about 70% comes from the background, estimated from the 150' radius circle at about 5' north–northeast of the source.

---

\(^5\) After our paper was submitted, Lemoine-Goumard et al. (2011) reported results of observations of J1357 with Fermi LAT. They detected \(\gamma\) -ray pulsations and measured the photon index \(\Gamma_{\gamma} \approx 1.5\), found an exponential cutoff at \(\approx 0.8\) GeV, and estimated the luminosity \(\log L_{\gamma} \approx 2 \times 10^{34}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) for \(E > 0.1\) GeV.

\(^6\) It should be noted that first report on the Chandra results was published in “Chandra News” (2007 March. Issue number 14, pp. 12–13 (see http://cxc.harvard.edu/newsletters/news_14/newsletter14.html) by R. Kraft and A. Kenter). In particular, evidence for the presence of extended emission (PWN) and the detection of pulsed signal (pulsed fraction 40% ± 12%) were reported in that publication.

\(^7\) See http://cxc.harvard.edu/chart/threads/index.html.

\(^8\) See http://space.mit.edu/CXC/MARX/.

\(^9\) See http://cxc.harvard.edu/cal/ASPECT/celemon/
Figure 1. Chandra images of J1357 and its PWN in the 0.3–8.0 keV band. The exposure-corrected larger image is binned to a pixel size of 0′.98 and then smoothed with the Gaussian kernel of about 3″ radius using the aconvolve script. The dashed lines show the chip boundaries. PWN I1 and PWN I2 are the extraction regions (see the text). The zoomed unsmoothed image in the inset shows the extraction regions for the image and spectral analysis.

Figure 2. Radial profiles of the observed emission (solid histogram with bin size 0′.25) around the pulsar and the simulated PSF (dashed line) in the 0.3–8.0 keV energy band. The PWN emission dominates the pulsar PSF at r > 0′.75 from the pulsar.

The radial profile of the emission centered on the brightest pixel position is plotted in Figure 2, with the simulated point-spread function (PSF) shown for comparison. To simulate the PSF, we used the ChaRT and MARX packages following the standard procedure. To reduce the statistical errors of the simulation, the normalization of the input spectrum was increased by a factor of 100 while running ChaRT. The simulated images were then rescaled back for direct comparison with the data. We have also tried several values of the MARX Dither Blur parameter and found an optimal value of 0′.25, which minimizes the difference between the simulated PSF and the observed count distribution in the immediate vicinity of the pulsar position. The histogram in Figure 2 shows that the PWN starts dominating the unresolved pulsar emission at >0′.75 from the pulsar.

2.1.2. XMM-Newton

Figure 3 shows the combined EPIC MOS1+MOS2 image (in 0.3–10 keV) and several regions that we use in our analysis. Within the r = 20″ circle centered on the pulsar we find 1900 MOS1+MOS2 counts, of which the background (taken from the r = 100″ circle east of the pulsar) contributes about 15%. The MOS images show the large-scale PWN morphology reminiscent of that seen in the Chandra image (cf. Figure 1). Indeed, the contours from the MOS1+2 PWN image match the Chandra PWN image quite well (see Figure 4). Since the source is near the chip edge in the PN image, only part of the extended emission can be seen, while the other part is out of the field of view (FOV; see Figure 5). We choose an r = 20″ circle centered on the pulsar in the PN image for the source region, and a 60″ radius circle, centered at about 2.5 south–southwest from the source for the background region. The total number of counts in the source region is 2403, including 19% background contribution.

To choose an optimal PWN region in the XMM-Newton MOS and PN images, we simulated the PSF using Quicksim10. The simulation shows that an r = 30″ circle around the position of the pulsar contains an 88% encircled count fraction for the point source. Excluding this circle from the PWN polygon region (see Figure 3) leaves 3446 counts in MOS1+2, including ~60% background contribution. Although some regions of the PWN are out of the PN Small Window FOV (see Figure 5), we still collected 3771 counts, including ~70% background contribution.

10 See http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xmm/quicksim/quicksim.html.
Figure 3. XMM-Newton EPIC MOS1+MOS2 image of J1357 and its PWN in the 0.3–10 keV energy band. The image is binned to a pixel size of 3″ and then smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 9″ radius. Extraction regions (r = 20″ circle for the pulsar region, 11,210 arcsec² polygon for the PWN region, and r = 100″ circle for the background region) for the spectral analysis are also shown.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 4. Smoothed Chandra ACIS image from Figure 1 with contours of the XMM-Newton MOS1+2 image overlaid. The contours correspond to the brightness levels of 2.9, 3, 3.3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 counts.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

2.1.3. Other Sources in the Field of Chandra and XMM-Newton

Panels (a) and (b) of Figure 6 show that J1357 is the brightest extended X-ray source within the imaged part of the HESS J1356 field. Nevertheless, we have examined the other sources in the ACIS FOV. Three brightest sources on the S3 chip southwest of the circle in Figure 6(a) have Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) counterparts. Most likely, they are stars unrelated to the TeV source. There are five relatively bright sources inside the circle, which do not have 2MASS counterparts (Sources 1–5 in Figure 6(a)). Four of these sources are seen in both the ACIS and MOS images, while Source 2 fell into the chip gap in MOS. One more source, lacking a 2MASS counterpart, is seen in the MOS images (Source 6 in Figure 6(b)), but it happens to be outside the ACIS FOV. We fitted an absorbed PL model to the spectrum of each of these sources. When the PL model was unacceptable, we fitted the spectrum with the blackbody (BB) model. The spectral properties of the sources are summarized in Table 1. These sources could be cataclysmic variables or quiescent low-mass X-ray binaries, or they could be active galactic nuclei seen through the Galactic disk.
Finally, we investigated in detail the relatively bright source on the ACIS I0 chip, CXOU J135605.9–642909 (hereafter CXOU J1356), which is apparently the same source as 1RXS J135605.5–642902. The source is also seen in the EPIC MOS1 and MOS2 images. We extracted 443 total counts within the 5′′ radius around the brightest pixel of the source from the ACIS image. The spectrum was binned to have a minimum of 25 counts per bin. We did not obtain an adequate fit with a single-component (PL or BB) model (e.g., \( n_H \approx 2.0 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\), \( \Gamma \approx 3.4 \), and \( \chi^2_c = 1.8 \) for 14 degrees of freedom (dof) for the PL model). The spectrum fits better the two-component PL+BB model, which gives \( n_H \approx 4.3 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\), \( \Gamma = 2.9 \), \( kT = 0.12 \) keV, and \( \chi^2_c = 1.1 \) for 12 dof. The absorbed flux is \( 6.4 \times 10^{-14} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) in the 0.3–8 keV band. We found no significant variability in the light curve. We have searched the field at other wavelengths to understand the nature of the source. The nearest optical/IR source was found in the Naval Observatory Merged Astrometric Dataset (NOMAD; Zacharias et al. 2005) and 2MASS catalogs (\( B = 13.81, V = 13.66, R = 12.69, J = 12.199 \pm 0.029, H = 11.779 \pm 0.031, \) and \( K = 11.768 \pm 0.030 \)), offset by \( \approx 0'4 \) from the position of CXOU J1356. The optical–NIR colors show that the source might be a G or K star. The estimated X-ray to optical flux ratio is about \( 4 \times 10^{-3} \), which is similar to a typical value (\( \sim 10^{-3} \)) for a G or K star (Maccacaro et al. 1988).

![Figure 5. XMM-Newton EPIC PN image is binned to a pixel size of 3″ and then smoothed with a Gaussian kernel about 9″ in radius. The image also shows the r = 20″ circle for the pulsar region, 9159 arcsec\(^2\) polygon for the PWN region, and r = 60″ circle for the background region for the spectral analysis of J1357 and its PWN in 0.3–10 keV. Unfortunately, the northern part of the PWN emission cannot be seen because the source is near the chip edge.](Image)
Figure 6. X-ray, IR, and radio images of the J1357 and HESS J1356 field. The cross shows the centroid position of HESS J1356, while the circle (15′ radius) indicates the intrinsic (rms) Gaussian width of the source, according to Renaud et al. (2008). The white arrows in panels (a) and (b) indicate CXOU J135605.9–642909 and six other sources (see the text for details). (a) Chandra ACIS image in 0.5–8 keV. (b) XMM MOS1+2 image in the 0.3–10 keV. (c) 12 μm IRAS image. (d) 2.4 GHz Parkes image. The small circle located south of the cross point indicates the position of SNR candidate G309.8–2.6. (e) 4.85 GHz PMN image. (f) Fermi LAT image. The diamond in panels (c)–(f) shows the position of J1357.

Although many more sources are seen in the ACIS and MOS images, the low numbers of counts preclude spectral fitting, making it even more difficult to establish the nature of these sources. None of the investigated sources shows evidence for extended emission in X-rays, and there are no compelling reasons to believe that any of them is related to HESS J1356.

2.1.4. Multiwavelenth Images

In addition to analyzing the Chandra and XMM-Newton images, we have searched the archival radio and IR data covering the HESS J1356 region. The 12 μm image (Figure 6(c)) from IRAS shows several bright sources within the circle. Figure 6(d) shows the 2.4 GHz image from the Parkes survey of the southern Galactic plane. The extended radio emission has been previously classified as an SNR candidate G309.8–2.6 (Duncan et al. 1997). Another radio image from the Parkes–MIT–NRAO (PMN12; Griffith & Wright 1993) survey at 4.85 GHz also shows an extended emission southeast of HESS J1356 (see Figure 6(e)). The Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) image (0.5–100 GeV), shown in Figure 6(f), reveals a faint GeV emission near the pulsar position. The angular extent of the GeV excess is consistent with that expected for the unresolved

11 see http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/surveys/2.4Gh_Southern/data.html.
12 see http://www.parkes.atnf.csiro.au/observing/databases/pmn/pmn.html.
point source. No counterparts to J1357 or its X-ray PWN are apparent in the IR and radio images. Deeper observations of this region with higher spatial resolution would be desirable to understand the nature of the extended radio and IR emission.

2.2. Spectral and Timing Analysis

2.2.1. Pulsar Spectrum

For the spectral analysis of the Chandra observation, we first extracted the pulsar’s spectrum from a small circle (1″ radius aperture with an 88% encircled energy fraction) to reduce the contamination from the PWN (see Figure 1). There are 371 total counts in this aperture, of which about 11% come from the PWN and 1% from the background. We binned the spectrum with minimum of 10 counts per bin and used the $C$-statistic (Cash 1979) in spectral fitting. The spectrum was first fitted with a single-component model, such as the absorbed PL model and the absorbed BB model, in 0.3–8 keV. The PL fit gave $n_{H21} = n_{H1}/(10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \approx 1.4$, and $\Gamma \approx 2.4$ ($C = 52$ for 34 bins, 31 dof), while the BB was not statistically acceptable ($C = 173$ for 34 bins, 31 dof). Since the PL fit quality was not good, we fit the same spectrum with the absorbed PL+BB model. The much better fit ($C = 28$ for 34 bins for 29 dof) yields $n_{H21} \approx 4.7$, $\Gamma \approx 1.7$, $kT \approx 0.14$ keV ($T \approx 1.6 \times 10^5$ K), and the projected emitting area $A \approx 13 d_{2.5}^2 \text{ km}^2$. The temperature and area correspond to the apparent radius $R = \sqrt{A/\pi} \approx 2d_{2.5}$ km and bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}} = 4.4\sigma T^4 \approx 2 \times 10^{32} d_{2.5}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The pulsar’s observed flux is $F_{\text{psr}} = 6.7_{-0.8}^{+0.9} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in 0.3–8 keV (corrected for the 88% encircled energy fraction), while the corresponding unabsorbed flux is $F_{\text{psr}}^{\text{abs}} = 32_4^{19} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the same energy band. The unabsorbed luminosity is $L_{\text{psr}} = 2.4 \times 10^{32} d_{2.5}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The luminosity of the non-thermal (PL) component is $L_{\text{PL}} = 5.2_{-1.4}^{+2.9} \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (~22% of the total unabsorbed luminosity), while the luminosity of the thermal (BB) component is $L_{\text{BB}} = 1.9_{-0.8}^{+1.4} \times 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The uncertainties of the fits are reported in Table 2, and the confidence contours are shown in Figures 7 and 8.

Instead of BB, one can use a neutron star atmosphere (NSA) model for the thermal component, which gives a lower (effective) temperature and a larger size of the emitting region (Pavlov et al. 1995). Since the spectrum emerging from a magnetized atmosphere is anisotropic, one should know the geometry of the surface magnetic field (e.g., the angles between the rotation axis, magnetic axis, and line of sight for a dipole field) to fit the spectrum. For a crude estimate, however, one can use the NSA models in XSPEC, which are calculated for emergent flux assuming the magnetic field perpendicular to the surface. We made such an estimate using the hydrogen NSA models for the NS mass $M = 1.4 M_\odot$, radius $R = 10$ km, and magnetic field $B = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ G. If the distance is fixed at $d = 2.5$ kpc, the fit ($C = 29$ for 30 dof) yields $n_{H21} = 4.6_{-0.7}^{+0.8}$, $\Gamma = 1.45_{-0.60}^{+0.50}$, $T_{\text{eff}} = 0.96_{-0.05}^{+0.04}$ MK ($T_{\text{eff}} = 0.74_{-0.04}^{+0.03}$ MK for the gravitationally redshifted temperature). It shows that the thermal component can be emitted from a substantial part of the NS surface.

To compare the Chandra ACIS spectrum with those obtained from the XMM-Newton EPIC detector, we extracted the pulsar spectrum from the 20″ radius aperture for MOS and PN and the background spectrum from the 100″ radius for MOS and 60″ radius for PN (see Figures 3 and 5). The spectrum is heavily contaminated by the compact PWN (about 42% contribution). The spectra were binned with a minimum of 50 counts per bin for each detector. Since the absorbed PL fit was not satisfactory ($\chi^2 = 1.94$ for 82 dof), we fitted the absorbed PL+BB model which yields $n_{H21} \approx 2.2$, $\Gamma \approx 1.35$, $kT \approx 0.18$ keV, and the projected emitting area $A \approx 2d_{2.5}^2 \text{ km}^2$. The BB parameters correspond to the apparent radius $R \approx 0.8d_{2.5}$ km and $L_{\text{bol}} = 8.6 \times 10^{31} d_{2.5}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Although the best-fit $kT$ and $A$ from the XMM-Newton observations are different from those observed from the Chandra data, these parameters are consistent within their uncertainties. The observed flux (corrected for the 80% encircled counts fraction) is $F_{\text{psr+PWN}}^{\text{abs}} = 2.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and the corresponding unabsorbed flux is $F_{\text{psr+PWN}}^{\text{abs}} = 3.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in 0.3–10 keV. Additional details are provided in Table 2.

The photon index and temperature of the PL+BB model inferred from the XMM-Newton and Chandra observations are consistent within their uncertainties. On the other hand, the absorbed flux estimated from the XMM-Newton data is a factor

---

13 A similar fit was obtained by Lemoine-Goumard et al. (2011)
of three larger than that estimated from the Chandra data due to the larger extraction region (20") radius, chosen for XMM-Newton data, which contains a significant PWN contribution. Therefore, we extracted the spectra using the same source region (20" radius) for the Chandra observation to compare with the spectral results obtained from the XMM-Newton observation. The region includes 873 source counts, which is 83% of the total counts. Now both fits are consistent with each other (see Table 2). Finally, the total counts. Now both fits are consistent with each other (see Table 2).

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Confidence contours (68% and 90%) for the BB parameters of the PL+BB fit to the pulsar’s spectrum from the Chandra (1") extraction radius) observation and Chandra + XMM-Newton observations (20" extraction radius). The BB normalization is the projected emitting area (km²). The dashed lines show the constant bolometric luminosity in units of $10^{37}$ erg s⁻¹, assuming $d = 2.5$ kpc.

![Figure 9](image_url)

**Figure 9.** ACIS-I (black), EPIC MOS1 (red), MOS2 (green), and PN (blue) spectra of the pulsar region (20" radius) fitted with the absorbed PL (dashed line) + BB (dash-dotted line) model.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

2.2.2. PWN Spectrum

We extracted the ACIS spectra from the PWN1 and PWN2 regions (see Figure 1). For PWN1’s spectrum, we excluded the pulsar region (1.5" radius aperture) and used the absorbed PL model in the energy range of 0.3–8 keV. The spectrum was binned with minimum of 10 counts per bin. The fit ($\chi^2 = 1.3$ for 24 dof) gives $n_{H,21} = 3.2^{+2.5}_{-1.4}$, $\Gamma = 1.31^{+0.37}_{-0.33}$, and $F_{\text{abs}}^{pwn1+2} = (6.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. The spectrum of PWN2 was also binned with a minimum of 10 counts per bin and fitted with the absorbed PL model in the same energy range. We used the C-statistic because of small number of counts in the region. The PL fit to the spectrum of the PWN2 gives somewhat larger $\Gamma = 1.8^{+0.5}_{-0.9}$; however, the uncertainties are large as one can see from the confidence contours shown in Figure 10. We also extracted and fitted the spectrum from both regions combined, since the difference in slopes of both spectra is not statistically significant. The fit gives $n_{H,21} = 3.7^{+2.7}_{-1.3}$, $\Gamma = 1.37 \pm 0.22$, and the absorbed flux is $F_{\text{abs}}^{pwn1+2} = (7 \pm 1) \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the 0.3–8 keV band, while the unabsorbed flux is $F_{\text{unabs}}^{pwn1+2} = 9.2^{+5.0}_{-3.8} \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the same energy band. It corresponds to the luminosity $L_{\text{pwn1+2}} \sim 7 \times 10^{27} d_{2.5}^3$ erg s⁻¹, i.e., about 130% of the pulsar’s non-thermal luminosity estimated from the same observation.

For the PWN region on the I1 chip (see Figure 1), we binned the spectrum with minimum 25 counts per bin and fitted with the absorbed PL model in the 0.3–8 keV energy range. We fixed the hydrogen column density to the value $n_{H,21} = 4.7$, found from the PL+BB model for pulsar obtained from the
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**Table 2.** Absorbed BB+PL Fits to the Pulsar (or Pulsar + Compact PWN) Spectrum from the Chandra and XMM-Newton Observations

| Model | $n_{H,21}$ | $F$ | $N^{b}$ | $kT^{c}$ | $\Delta^{d}$ | $F_{\text{abs}}^{g}$ | $F_{\text{unabs}}^{f}$ | ($C$ or $\chi^2$)/dof^{i} |
|-------|------------|-----|---------|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Chandra (1") | $4.7^{+3.6}_{-2.8}$ | $1.72^{+0.55}_{-0.63}$ | $9.8^{+9.1}_{-5.8}$ | $0.14^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$ | $13^{+55}_{-12}$ | $6.7^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$ | $32^{+190}_{-21}$ | 28.2/29 |
| XMM-Newton (20") | $2.2^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$ | $1.35^{+0.16}_{-0.17}$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $0.18^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ | $2^{+6}_{-1}$ | $17.8 \pm 0.8$ | $26^{+8}_{-4}$ | 1.27/80 |
| Chandra (20") | $2.4^{+3.1}_{-1.9}$ | $1.32^{+0.50}_{-0.69}$ | $18^{+18}_{-12}$ | $0.22^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$ | $0.5^{+22}_{-4}$ | $16 \pm 2$ | $22^{+13}_{-5}$ | 1.03/82 |
| Combined (20") | $1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$ | $1.33^{+0.16}_{-0.17}$ | $21 \pm 5$ | $0.19^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ | $0.9^{+2.8}_{-0.6}$ | $17.3 \pm 0.7$ | $24^{+5}_{-3}$ | 1.18/167 |

**Notes.** The errors shown represent 90% confidence intervals.

a Radius of extraction region is given in parentheses.

b Spectral flux in units of $10^{-6}$ photons cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹ at 1 keV.

c BB temperature in keV.

Projected emitting area for BB model in units of km² at the distance of 2.5 kpc.

Absorbed flux in the 0.3–8 keV, in units of $10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹.

Unabsorbed flux in the 0.3–8 keV, in units of $10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹.

Best-fit C-statistic value for Chandra observation (1" radius aperture) or reduced $\chi^2$ value (other cases).
The XMM-Newton spectrum measured with XMM-Newton (blue) and the PWN1 (black), PWN2 (red), and PWN 1+2 (green) spectra measured with Chandra.

Chandra data (see Table 2). The fit ($\chi^2 = 0.66$ for 10 dof) gives $\Gamma = 2.5^{+1.8}_{-0.7}$ and $N_{\text{H}} = 8^{+6}_{-5} \times 10^{-6}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ at 1 keV. The absorbed flux in the same energy range is $1.3^{+0.9}_{-0.7} \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, while the unabsorbed flux is $4^{+10}_{-2} \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The spectrum of the PWN region on the I2 chip was binned with a minimum of 50 counts per bin and fitted with a single absorbed PL model in the energy of 0.3–8 keV. We fixed the hydrogen column density of $n_1 = 4.7$. The fit was acceptable ($\chi^2 = 0.7$ for 18 dof) with $\Gamma = 1.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ at 1 keV. The absorbed flux in the same energy range is $(7 \pm 3) \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, while the unabsorbed flux is $(9 \pm 2) \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

Figure 11 shows the MOS1 and MOS2 spectra of the region shown in Figure 3, binned with minimum 50 counts per bin. The region is much larger than that of PWN1+PWN2 of Chandra (see Figures 1 and 3). The absorbed PL fit is good ($\chi^2 = 0.94$ for 61 dof). The best-fit hydrogen column density and photon index are $n_1 = 3.3^{+1.5}_{-1.1}$ and $\Gamma = 1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$, respectively. The absorbed flux is $F_{\text{abs}} = (5.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 0.3–8 keV. The unabsorbed flux $F_{\text{unabs}} = 7.5^{+0.9}_{-0.7} \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The results of the fits, summarized in Table 3, suggest that the PWN spectrum softens with the distance from the pulsar. The combined compact-extended PWN flux is $F_{\text{unabs}} \approx 9 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to the luminosity $L_{\text{pwn}} = 6.7 \times 10^{32} d_{2.5}^2$ erg s$^{-1} \approx 2.8 L_{\text{psr}}$.

2.2.3. Timing Analysis

We searched for pulsations in the PN data (time resolution of 6 ms) extracted from the $r = 20''$ circle centered at the pulsar position, which contains 939 counts, including 15% from the background, in the 0.3–1.1 keV band, where the BB component is dominant (see Figure 9). From the same region in the 1.1–10 keV band, we extracted 1473 counts, including 21% from the background. The photon arrival times were corrected to the solar system barycenter using the SAS barycen tool. The PN observation started at the epoch 55,037.63605 MJD and continued for the time $T_{\text{span}} = 55.5$ ks. We used the radio timing ephemeris reported by Camilo et al. (2004) ($f = 6.0201677726(4)$ s$^{-1}$ and $f = -1.305395(4) \times 10^{-11}$ s$^{-2}$)

for the epoch of 52,921.0 MJD) to calculate the predicted frequency at our epoch. There was a glitch at the epoch of 52,021 ± 16 MJD (1σ uncertainties), but it was before the ephemeris was measured. We assumed that there were no glitches after that. The predicted frequency for our observation is $f_{\text{pred}} = 6.0177808587(7)$ Hz.

We applied the $Z_7^2$ test (Buccheri et al. 1983; Pavlov et al. 1999) to search for the pulsed signal in the band 0.177780 ± 0.000010 Hz near the predicted frequency, with a step of 0.1 Hz. We found the maximum $Z^2_{\text{max}} = 40.5$ at $f = 6.0177801$ Hz ± 0.000010 Hz, i.e., the pulsations are detected with a 6σ significance in the 0.3–1.1 keV energy range. The maximum value of $Z^2_7$ in the 1.1–10 keV energy range is only 7.9 at $f = 6.0177819$ Hz ± 0.000010 Hz, which corresponds to 2.3σ significance.

Using the two epochs (55,037.63605 MJD from our observation and 52,921.0 MJD from the radio) and two frequency values, we can estimate the frequency derivative, $f = -1.30566(7) \times 10^{-11}$ s$^{-2}$, which is consistent with $f$ determined from the radio. Figure 12 shows the pulse profiles with 10 phase bins, with the estimated pulsed fraction (the ratio of the number of counts above the minimum level to the total number of counts) $p = 36% \pm 5%$ in 0.3–1.1 keV, 18% ± 4% in 1.1–10 keV, and 1% ± 3% in 0.3–10 keV. We estimated the error of pulsed fraction as $\delta p = \sqrt{2/N}$ (Linsley 1975). After correcting for the background, we obtain the intrinsic pulsed fraction $p_{\text{int}} = 42% \pm 5%, 23% \pm 4%, and 17% \pm 3%$, respectively. Thus, we conclude that the X-ray emission from J1357 is undoubtedly pulsed, although the pulsed fraction is not as high as reported by Zavlin (2007). Interestingly, the pulsed fraction is higher at lower photon energies, where the thermal component dominates. Such strong pulsations of the thermal emission are likely due to the anisotropy of atmospheric radiation in the strong magnetic field of this pulsar.

14 The 1σ frequency uncertainty is calculated as $\delta f = 3^{1/2} \pi^{-1/2} f_{\text{span}}^{-1/2} Z_{\text{max}}^{-1/2}$. This equation can be derived using the method outlined in Section 2.4 of Brethish (1988). The numerical factor, $\sqrt{3/\pi} \approx 0.55$, was confirmed in Monte Carlo simulations by A. Kaurow (2011, private communication).
We have also found that the thermal component of pulsar J1357 is much more weak compared to the earlier observations. We also show that the PWN of J1357 is much more extended than could be seen from the earlier observations.

### Table 3

| Region     | \( n_{H,21} \) | \( \Gamma \) | \( N^{a} \) | \( F_{a}^{b} \) | \( F_{abs}^{c} \) | \( (C or \chi^2)/dof^{d} \) |
|------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| **Chandra** |                |             |            |               |                |                  |
| PWN1       | 3.7^{+2.3}_{-1.4} | 1.31^{+0.37}_{-0.33} | 8.5^{+4.7}_{-2.9} | 6.0 ± 0.9 | 7 ± 1 | 1.3/24 |
| PWN2       | 6.0^{+3.9}_{-5.5} | 1.8^{+1.0}_{-0.9} | 3.7^{+3.5}_{-1.7} | 1.3^{+0.5}_{-0.4} | 2.2^{+4.5}_{-0.8} | 1.04/5 |
| PWN 1+2    | 3.7^{+3.7}_{-1.3} | 1.37 ± 0.22 | 12^{+6}_{-4} | 7 ± 1 | 9^{+2}_{-1} | 0.90/31 |
| PWN 1I     | 4.7 (fixed) | 2.5^{+1.8}_{-0.9} | 8^{+6}_{-5} | 1.3^{+0.9}_{-0.7} | 4^{+10}_{-1} | 0.66/10 |
| PWN 1I     | 4.7 (fixed) | 1.7 ± 0.4 | 15 ± 5 | 7 ± 3 | 9 ± 2 | 0.70/18 |
| **XMM-Newton** |            |             |            |               |                |                  |
| PWN        | 3.9^{+1.5}_{-1.1} | 1.74^{+0.27}_{-0.24} | 123^{+46}_{-31} | 50 ± 6 | 75^{+14}_{-9} | 0.94/61 |

**Notes.** The errors shown represent 90% confidence intervals.

- \( a \) Spectral flux in units of \( 10^{-6} \) photons cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \) keV\(^{-1} \) at 1 keV.
- \( b \) Absorbed flux in the 0.3–8 keV, in units of \( 10^{-14} \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \).
- \( c \) Unabsorbed flux in the 0.3–8 keV, in units of \( 10^{-14} \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \).
- \( d \) C-statistic value for PWN2, \( \chi^2 \) values for other regions.

**Figure 12.** Pulse profiles of J1357 extracted from the EPIC PN data in three energy bands.

### 3. DISCUSSION

Our Chandra ACIS and XMM-Newton EPIC observations have shown that the PWN of J1357 is much more extended and luminous than could be seen from the earlier observations. We have also found that the thermal component of pulsar emission is strongly pulsed, while the pulsation of the non-thermal component, which dominates at higher energies, is much weaker. Below we discuss the physical implications of our findings, compare the J1357 PSR/PWN properties to those of other similar pulsars observed in X-rays, and investigate the relation between J1357, HESS J1356, and putative host SNR G309.8–2.6.

#### 3.1. Pulsar

The pulsar spectrum cannot be satisfactorily fitted by simple one-component models, such as absorbed PL or BB (see Section 2.2.1). The two-component BB+PL model fits the spectrum much better, although some residuals are still present in the combined fits. The best-fit photon index for the PL component, \( \Gamma \approx 1.7 ± 0.6 \), is marginally larger than \( \Gamma \approx 1.3 ± 0.3 \) of the compact PWN (region PWN1). The non-thermal luminosity is \( L_{\text{non}} \approx 5 \times 10^{31} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) in the energy range 0.3–8 keV. The PL component may partly come from an unresolved PWN. This could explain the weak pulsations above 1 keV (see Section 2.2.3), in contrast to, for instance, the Vela pulsar (which is well resolved from the surrounding PWN), whose pulsed fraction grows with energy reaching 62% above 1.8 keV (Sanwal et al. 2002). Our timing analysis limits the luminosity of the unresolved PWN to \( <77\% \) of the point-source luminosity in 1.1–10 keV.

Unlike the hard non-thermal component, the soft thermal component should not be strongly contaminated by the PWN emission since it clearly dominates at \( <1 \) keV. Therefore, the best-fit BB temperature, \( T \approx 1.9–2.7 \) MK, radius, \( R \approx 0.3–1.1 \) km, and bolometric luminosity, \( L_{\text{bol}} \approx 2 \times 10^{31} \) erg s\(^{-1} \), are reasonably accurately determined (see Table 2). The values are similar to those of other Vela-like pulsars. Although the temperature is a factor of two higher than expected from the standard NS cooling, and the emitting area radius is a factor of 10 smaller than the classical NS radius, the discrepancies can be attributed to the use of the simplistic BB model. Indeed, the fit with the hydrogen atmosphere models (e.g., Pavlov et al. 1995), described in Section 2.2.1, gives a lower temperature, \( T_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.70–0.78 \) MK at \( R_{\text{eff}} \approx 13 \) km, at the same bolometric luminosity. The measured bolometric luminosity is a factor of \( \sim 3 \) lower than predicted by the theoretical cooling curve for low-mass NSs (\( M_{\text{NS}} = 1.3 M_{\odot} \)) without superfluidity; it is consistent with the cooling curves for heavier NSs (e.g., \( M_{\text{NS}} = 1.5–1.6 M_{\odot} \)) for some superfluidity models (Yakovlev & Pethick 2004).
Very surprising is the high (~40%) pulsed fraction associated with the thermal component. In general, one should not expect such strong pulsations from a nonuniformly heated NS surface with dipolar magnetic field for a BB-like angular distribution. However, there have been recent reports of even stronger thermal pulsations in strongly magnetized young pulsars, such as PSR J1119–6127 (74% ± 14%; Gonzalez et al. 2005) and PSR J1718–3718 (52% ± 13%; Zhu et al. 2011). This suggests that the strong pulsations are caused by the combined effect of the anisotropic temperature distribution and strong anisotropy of emitted radiation in high magnetic fields (Pavlov et al. 1994).

An alternative possibility is that part of emission interpreted as thermal from our BB+PL and NSA+PL fits is in fact an additional, softer non-thermal component, which is masked at higher energies by the harder, unresolved PWN emission. This unaccounted component might be the reason for the remaining residuals of the PL+BB and NSA+PL fits. However, higher quality data are needed to test this hypothesis.

3.2. PWN

At the plausible distance of 2.5 kpc, the unabsorbed X-ray luminosity of the compact PWN, \( L_{\text{pwn1}} \approx 5 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) in the 0.3–8 keV band, corresponds to the X-ray efficiency, \( \eta_{\text{pwn1}} \equiv L_{\text{pwn1}} / \dot{E} \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \), lower than those of most Vela-like pulsars (see Kargaltsev et al. 2007; KP08). This suggests that either the distance is underestimated or, in addition to \( E \) and \( \tau \), \( \eta_{\text{pwn1}} \) strongly depends on other factors (e.g., the pulsar’s speed and the angle between the spin and magnetic axes). Note, however, that the efficiency of the entire detected PWN is higher by a factor of 15, \( \eta_{\text{pwn}} \sim 2.6 \times 10^{-4} \).

The spectral slope of the compact PWN, \( \Gamma_{\text{pwn1}} \sim 1.3 \pm 0.3 \), is similar to those of PWNe around Vela-like pulsars (listed in Table 2 of Kargaltsev et al. 2007). The PWN spectrum apparently softens with the distance from the pulsar, becoming \( \Gamma \approx 1.7–1.8 \) for the narrow feature (PWN2) and the large-scale PWN, which suggests synchrotron cooling of the outflow. The degree of spectral softening is similar to that seen for the extended tail of PSR J1509–5850, where the photon index changes from \( \Gamma = 1.8 \pm 0.3 \) for PWN in the vicinity of the pulsar to \( 2.4 \pm 0.4 \) for the extended tail (Kargaltsev et al. 2008).

Our X-ray images reveal the morphology of the PWN on different angular scales. The observed morphology does not easily fit in either the bow-shock or torus-jet category (see KP08). The bright compact PWN1 extends up to \( \sim 10^\circ \) from the pulsar and has rather amorphous, somewhat asymmetric morphology. The diffuse emission is noticeably brighter northeast of the pulsar compared to the opposite side, although some emission is still clearly discernible within the PWN1 region southwest of the pulsar. We find no evidence of structures that could be interpreted as a torus associated with the TS in the pulsar wind, which may suggest that the TS occurs at an angular distance of several arc-seconds or less, corresponding to \( r_e \lesssim 4 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm} \) (versus \( 1 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm} \) in the Vela PWN; Helfand et al. 2001). If the pulsar is moving subsonically inside the hot SNR medium, we can use the above estimate to obtain a lower limit on the ambient pressure at \( P_{\text{amb}} \sim E(4\pi r_e^2)^{-1} \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ dyn cm}^{-2} \), which is close to the maximum pressure one can expect in a 10 kyr old SNR (Kargaltsev et al. 2009; Bamba et al. 2010). The high pressure required, and the asymmetry of the compact PWN, elongated approximately along the northeast–southwest direction, might be indicative of the TS also being asymmetric, i.e., being farther away from the pulsar on the northeast side than on the southwest side. Such a situation may occur if the pulsar is moving fast through the surrounding medium in the southwest direction, and the ram pressure of the medium compresses the PWN in front of the pulsar. If the pulsar’s speed exceeds the sound speed in the medium, \( c_s = (5kT / 3 \mu m_H)^{1/2} \approx 12 \mu^{-1/2} T_{1/2}^{-1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1} \), a bow shock is formed. The appearance of a bow shock PWN depends on the Mach number, uniformity of the medium, and intrinsic anisotropy of the pulsar wind. X-ray PWNe around supersonically moving pulsars often exhibit a bright “bullet” in the vicinity of the pulsar and a much more extended faint tail in the direction opposite to that of the pulsar motion; however, more complex structures have been seen in some cases (e.g., the Guitar and Eel PWNe associated with B2224+65 and J1826–1256, respectively; Johnson & Wang 2010; Roberts et al. 2007).

The adjacent PWN2 region shown in Figure 1 encompasses the fainter narrow feature attached to the compact PWN. Interestingly, this narrow feature bends sharply at about 23° from the pulsar. Although there is no doubt that the feature is part of the PWN, the origin of the feature is not clear. For instance, it could be a pulsar jet. Indeed, some pulsar jets are known to show extreme bending (e.g., the outer jet of the Vela pulsar; Pavlov et al. 2003). The apparent lack of a similarly looking counterjet should not be surprising since the two jets can differ significantly both in shape and surface brightness due to the Doppler boost and/or proper motion effects (e.g., Pavlov et al. 2003). On the other hand, the ratio of the compact PWN luminosity to that of the putative jet, \( L_{\text{pwn1}} / L_{\text{pwn2}} \sim 5 \), is noticeably larger than that in the Vela and Crab PWNe but comparable to that of the PWN around PSR B1706–44 (Romani et al. 2005). If this interpretation is taken at face value, one could expect the pulsar to be moving northeast (in general, jets tend to be co-aligned with the pulsar’s direction of motion—cf. the Vela pulsar; Pavlov et al. 2003).

Alternatively, the narrow feature could be a part (e.g., an inner channel) of the pulsar tail (see, e.g., Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2008 and references therein). In this case the pulsar would be moving in the opposite (southwest) direction. This interpretation is in line with the above-described asymmetry of the compact PWN whose brighter part could, in this case, indeed be the “bullet” associated with the TS deformed by the ram pressure of the oncoming ISM. However, the sharp bending and the lack of a fainter, much more extended tail (cf. J1509–5850 tail; Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2008), and the emission west–southwest of the compact PWN, are at odds with this interpretation.15

Finally, the narrow feature could be a part of the bow associated with the forward shock (see, e.g., the Geminga PWN; Pavlov et al. 2006, 2010) with the pulsar moving southeast. In this case the observed large-scale emission can also be associated with the asymmetric bow shock similar to that seen around PSR J1826–1256 (Roberts et al. 2007). Somewhat puzzling may be the lack of any X-ray emission within the bow interior; however, this is also the case in the Guitar and Eel bow shocks. To conclude, with the data in hand we cannot univocally interpret the morphology of the X-ray PWN. Measuring the pulsar’s proper motion should provide decisive information allowing one to discriminate between the above interpretations. Assuming a typical pulsar speed of 400 km s\(^{-1}\) (Hobbs et al. 2005), this should be possible to accomplish in several years with very long baseline interferometry.

---

15 The diffuse emission seen in the PMN 4.85 GHz image north of the pulsar (panel (e) in Figure 6) could, in principle, be the radio counterpart of the extended pulsar tail. Deeper radio observations are required to test this hypothesis.
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3.3. The Nature of the VHE Source

Given the lack of other promising counterparts and the location of J1357 within the HESS J1356 extent, it seems very plausible that the two should be related in some way. Yet the nature of HESS J1356 has not been firmly established. The TeV emission could be attributed to either the host SNR or to the relic PWN of J1357. To date, several well-known SNRs have been detected in the TeV band (e.g., Aharonian et al. 2004). In the cases of resolved SNRs, the TeV emission was associated with the SNR shell, and the sizes of the SNRs in TeV images were similar to those of the non-thermal radio shells, indicating that both emission components are powered by particles accelerated in the forward shock. Although no shell is seen in the radio images of HESS J1356, the radio emission is mainly seen near the center of HESS J1356 (see Figure 6). Thus, in this case the TeV emission does not appear to be associated with the non-thermal shell, and the shell itself is not seen in the radio.

An alternative, more plausible, interpretation of the TeV and radio emission could be a relic PWN. Many extended TeV sources neighbor young Vela-like pulsars, sometimes offset up to 10’–20’ from the center of TeV emission (see KP10 for recent review). Furthermore, recent X-ray observations of the Vela pulsar region (Vela X; Mori et al. 2008), PSR J1826–1334 (Gaensler et al. 2003; Pavlov et al. 2008), and PSR J1809–1917 (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2007) have provided convincing evidence that the TeV sources are connected to the parent pulsa through faint asymmetric X-ray nebulae (see Table 4). Indeed, the Chandra observations have demonstrated that in the above three examples the PWNe consist of compact (0.1–0.8 pc) bright cores and fainter asymmetric, more extended (2–8 pc) components. The offsets and the asymmetries of the X-ray PWNe could be created by the reverse SNR shock that had propagated through the nonhomogeneous SNR interior and reached one side of the PWN sooner than the other side (Blondin et al. 2001). This scenario could also account for the similarly asymmetric, offset TeV emission (e.g., de Jager & Djannati-Atai 2009). However, the physical origin of the TeV emission still remains under debate. It can be produced via the inverse Compton scattering of the relativistic pulsar wind electrons on photons from the omnipresent cosmic microwave background, galactic IR background, and IR photons from local star-forming regions and warm dust clouds. Alternatively, the TeV photons can be produced as a result of $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$ decay, with $\pi^0$ being produced when the relativistic protons from the pulsar wind interact with the ambient matter (e.g., Horns et al. 2007).

$\pi^0$ decay is the dominant process in the crushed PWNe, for which both leptonic (inverse Compton) and hadronic ($\pi^0$ decay) TeV emission mechanisms are currently being debated. If the $\pi^0$ decay is the dominant process in the crushed PWNe, we expect pulsar tails to be significantly fainter in the TeV because high-speed pulsars move in low-density media outside their host SNRs. On the other hand, in the leptonic scenario, crushed plerions and pulsar tails should have comparable TeV luminosities. Regardless of the nature of the relic PWN and the mechanism responsible for the VHE emission, one can expect that the observed extended radio emission within HESS J1356 may also be produced by the pulsar wind if the electron spectral energy distribution extends to sufficiently low energies. Better quality radio images, more complete multiwavelength spectrum, and robust multizone pulsar wind models are required to assess the origin of the radio emission.
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Table 4

| HESS ID | $f_{\gamma}^a$ | TeV Size (pc) | Offset$^b$ | PSR | $E_{36}$ | $\tau$ (kyr) | Dist. (kpc) | $L_{\gamma}/E_{\gamma}$ | $L_{X}/L_{\gamma}$ | $B_{\text{acis}}^d$ |
|---------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-----|---------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| J1809–193 | 0.3 | 20 | 8' | J1809–1917 | 1.8 | 50 | 3.5 | 1% | 2% | 8 |
| Vela X | 0.75 | 5 | 30' | J0835–4510 | 6.9 | 11 | 0.3 | 0.002% | 90% | ... |
| J1825–137 | 0.48 | 70 | 10' | J1826–1334 | 2.8 | 21 | 3.5 | 4% | 0.3% | 7.5 |
| J1356–645 | 0.79 | 40 | 8' | J1357–6429 | 3.1 | 7.3 | 2.5 | 0.2% | 10% | 19 |

Notes.

$^a$ Unabsorbed $\gamma$-ray flux (1–10 TeV) in units of $10^{-11}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

$^b$ Offset of the TeV source center from the pulsar.

$^c$ Average surface brightness measured from Chandra ACIS images, in counts ks$^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-2}$.

$^d$ Average surface brightness measured from Chandra ACIS images, in counts ks$^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-2}$.
