Electrochemical Immunoassay of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Using Ag@SiO₂ Nanoparticles as Labels
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1. Introduction

Pathogenic bacteria, which are distributed in soil, the intestinal tract of animals, and water contaminated with fecal matter, marine, and estuarine water have profound effects on mammals including various infectious diseases [1, 2]. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (*E. coli* O157:H7) is one of the most dangerous pathogenic bacteria that is of most concern today since a low number of *E. coli* O157:H7 is sufficient to cause severe illnesses, such as hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic-uremic syndrome, sometimes life-threatening illness, especially in cases involving children and elderly [3, 4]. In view of the fast replication under normal environmental conditions, early detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in very low numbers is very crucial not only to prevent the consumption of contaminated foods by consumers, but also to alert a foodborne disease outbreak well in advance [5, 6].

Conventional methods for detecting *E. coli* O157:H7 include culture and colony counting methods, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy, and microarray hybridization, and so forth [7, 8]. Most of these methods are either time-consuming, with low insensitivity, or expensive or require complicated instruments. Therefore, there is an urgent need for developing new methods for detecting *E. coli* O157:H7. In the past several years, immunosensors present an increasing alternative in the detection of pathogenic bacteria for performing simple, sensitive, fast, selective, and cost-effective measurements [9]. In the future, immunosensors should play a greater role in bacterial analysis for food or clinical samples.

Among various immunosensors, electrochemical immunosensor has been quickly developed for detecting biomolecule and microorganism due to the intrinsic advantages of high sensitivity, fast response, low cost, simple instrumentation, and capability of miniaturization. In this field, the study of various labels has attracted researchers’ increasing interesting, because it is known that sensitivity of the immunoassay was dependent mainly on labels detection [10–12]. Silver based labels, one of the representative metal based markers [13], have the unique advantages. Electrodeposited silver can be oxidized at relatively positive potential with a relatively sharp peak comparing with other metals including gold, which is favorable to obviating the interference of reducing species and improving the detection
precision and sensitivity [14, 15]. On the other side of the coin, the stability and functionalized ability of silver nanoparticles are needed to be further improved.

In this work, the surfaces of silver nanoparticles were coated by a thin silica layer, which could improve the stability, functional sites, and biocompatibility. And the Ag@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles were further used as biolabels for sandwich-type detecting E. coli O157:H7. The proposed immunoassay exhibited good precision, stability, and reproducibility, which would provide a potential platform for other bacteria and biomolecule detection.

2. Experimental

2.1. Apparatus and Chemicals. Trisodium citrate, glutaraldehyde, ethanol, 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES), tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenol (PFP), silver nitrate (AgNO$_3$), and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) were purchased from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China). Poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDDA, 20%, w/w in water, MW = 200,000–350,000), lyophilized bovine serum albumin (BSA, 99%), Tween-20, poly(acrylic acid) (PAA, 35%, w/w in water, MW = 100,000), and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Escherichia Coli O157:H7 (E. coli O157:H7) and anti-E. coli O157:H7 were purchased from Ningbo Yuying Pest Control Co. Ltd. (Ningbo, China). Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were purchased from Nanoport Co. Ltd. (Shenzhen, China) and were pretreated with sonication in distilled water. All other reagents were of analytical reagent grade.

Electrochemical assay was performed on a CHI 660B electrochemical analyzer (Chenhua, Shanghai, China) with a conventional three-electrode system comprised of platinum wire as the auxiliary electrode, saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode, and glass carbon electrodes (GCE) as the working electrode. The morphologies of the as-prepared samples were characterized by an S-3000 N (Hitachi, Japan) transmission electron microscope (TEM).

2.2. Preparation of Ag@SiO$_2$ Nanoparticles and Immunosensor Labels. Silver nanoparticles were prepared as follows [16]. 98 mL water containing 30 mg AgNO$_3$ was heated to 140°C within 30 min under vigorous stirring. 4 mL freshly prepared trisodium citrate (34 mM) was dropwise added into the AgNO$_3$ solution at 140°C with stirring conditions. After further stirring for 1 h, the solution was concentrated to 5 mL.

The SiO$_2$ coated Ag nanoparticles (Ag@SiO$_2$) were prepared as follows [17]. The obtained Ag solution (3 mL) was added to a mixture of ethanol (100 mL, 99.9%), NH$_3$·H$_2$O (2 mL, 28 wt %), and pure H$_2$O (3.75 mL). After 10 min of ultrasonication, 40 μL TEOS was added, with further stirring for 10 h at room temperature. The suspension was centrifugally separated and washed by ethanol.

Immunosensor labels of Ag@SiO$_2$-conjugated anti-E. coli O157:H7 were prepared as follows [18]. 20 mg Ag@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles was refluxed for 15 h in 4 mL ethanol with 1.5 mL APTES to produce amino groups on silica surface, followed by centrifugation, washing with ethanol and drying at 50°C. 4 mg amino-functionalized Ag@SiO$_2$ was dispersed in 2 mL 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution (in 50 mM pH 7.5 PBS) and shaked for 4 h at room temperature. After centrifugation and washing with PBS, the resulting nanoparticles were dispersed in 2 mL PBS containing 50 μg anti-E. coli O157:H7 for conjugate reaction for 10 h at room temperature with shaking. The resulting mixture was centrifuged to remove free anti-E. coli O157:H7. The obtained sediment (Ag@SiO$_2$-conjugated anti-E. coli O157:H7) was dispersed in 2 mL PBS of 1% BSA solution for blocked (5 h) at room temperature and centrifuged to remove excessive BSA. The collected complex was dispersed in 2 mL PBS and stored at 4°C.

2.3. Preparation of Functional Electrode Surface. 5 mg acid-treated CNTs were dispersed into 10 mL 0.1% PDDA aqueous solution containing 0.5 M NaCl, and the mixture was sonicated for 20 min to obtain a homogeneous suspension. The product was centrifuged and rinsed with water to remove residual PDDA. The collected hybrid was redispersed into 10 mL 0.1% PAA solution containing 0.5 M NaCl, following sonication for 20 min and rinsing with water by centrifugation. The obtained PAA/PDCNT hybrid was dispersed in 5 mL water, and 10 μL solution was dropped on the surface of GCE to dry, and PAA/PDCNT-GCE was fabricated.

Afterwards, PAA/PDCNT-GCE was rinsed with ethanol and incubated with a mixture of EDC (0.2 M), PFP (0.2 M), and DIEA (0.2 M) in ethanol for 40 min at room temperature. Then, the electrodes were rinsed with ethanol and were dried in air, followed by incubating with anti-E. coli O157:H7 (0.5 μg/μL in PBS) for 10 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the electrode was incubated in 20 μL 2% BSA and 0.05% Tween-20 for 5 h at room temperature and washed with 0.05% Tween-20 and PBS buffer. Then the sensors were stored in 4°C while not in use.

2.4. Detecting Procedures. The analytical protocol is shown in Scheme 1. The immunosensors were firstly incubated with different concentrations solution of E. coli O157:H7 for 60 min at 37°C. After the sensor was washed thoroughly with PBS, it was put into the solution of 10 μg/mL Ag@SiO$_2$-anti-E. coli O157:H7 for 60 min at 37°C. The Ag@SiO$_2$-anti-E. coli O157:H7 were brought onto the immunosensors' surface by the binding reaction between anti-E. coli O157:H7 and E. coli O157:H7. After the sensor was washed thoroughly with pH 6.5 PBS to remove nonspecifically bounded conjugates, 15 μL mixture of hydrofluoric acid (0.1 M) and nitric acid (0.1 M) was dispersed onto the electrode and incubated for 10 min to dissolve silver and diluted into 2 mL using PBS. The resulting solution containing released Ag$^+$ was electrochemically stripped by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV), which was performed from −0.5 V to +0.5 V with
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization. The morphology of Ag@SiO₂ nanoparticles was characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) technique (Figure 1(a)). The TEM images show that the nanoparticles have an average diameter of ~30 nm, including the very thin silica layers which were coated on Ag nanoparticles. Figure 1(b) showed the UV-vis absorption spectra of Ag@SiO₂. It can be seen that the absorption spectra of Ag@SiO₂ were broad, which may be corresponding to the irregular shape and size of Ag@SiO₂ nanoparticles.

3.2. Optimization of Detection Conditions. Incubation temperature and incubation time were important factors for the immunological reaction. The effect of incubation temperature was studied in the range of 25 to 50 °C via the stripping current of Ag⁺ from immunoreaction labels (Figure 2(a)). It was found that the maximum signal occurred at an incubation temperature of 37 °C. When the temperature was higher than 37 °C, the peak current reduced, which might be attributed to the decrease of the biomolecule activity at high temperature [19]. Therefore, 37 °C was selected as incubation temperature.

According to the study of incubation time influence (Figure 2(b)), the experimental results showed that the peak current increased with increasing incubation time and reached a constant value after 60 min. A longer incubation time did not improve the stripping current response of labeled Ag⁺. Thus, an incubation time of 60 min was chosen for the analysis of E. coli O157:H7 using Ag@SiO₂-labeled antibody.

3.3. Dissolution of Labeled Ag@SiO₂ and Electrochemical Stripping Analysis of Released Ag⁺. The results from the relevance between the electrochemical stripping signal and dissolving time suggested that the labeled Ag@SiO₂ could be totally dissolved within 10 min via the mixture of hydrofluoric acid (0.1 M) and nitric acid (0.1 M). For enhancing the stripping signal of dissolved Ag⁺, a systematic study on experimental parameters including the working electrode, deposition potential, and deposition time was optimized.

Figure 3(a) shows the stripping current response of released Ag⁺ at bare GCE and PAA/PDCNT-GCE, respectively. It was observed that the stripping current at PAA/PDCNT-GCE was ~100 times higher than the current on bare GCE, suggesting a superior sensitivity by PAA/PDCNT-GCE, which showed that the PAA/PDCNT surface could enrich Ag⁺ efficiently including the formation of Ag⁺-complexes. The relationship between the deposition potential and the stripping current of labeled Ag⁺ was studied from −0.1 to −0.9 V (Figure 3(b)). The results
Figure 1: (a) TEM image of Ag@SiO$_2$. (b) UV-vis spectrum of Ag@SiO$_2$.

Figure 2: Effects of (a) incubation temperature and (b) incubation time on the stripping current response of Ag$^+$ from immunoreaction labels. The concentration of E. coli O157:H7 was 500 cfu/mL.

showed that the current intensity increased obviously from $-0.1$ to $-0.5$ V, and then more and more little change occurred, nearly a constant value. So, $-0.5$ V was adopted as deposition potential in stripping process. The influence of deposition time on the stripping intensity of labeled Ag$^+$ was depicted in Figure 3(c). As shown, the increasing tendency of peak current was obvious before 240 s, and slight increase happened with prolonging deposition time. Finally, the released Ag$^+$ in solution was electrodepositedly preconcentrated via polarizing at $-0.5$ V for 240 s using PAA/PDCNT-GCE.

3.4. Analytical Performance. Figure 4(a) displays the typical electrochemical stripping response of Ag$^+$ from Ag@SiO$_2$ labels with different concentrations of E. coli O157:H7. And the peak current intensity became higher with the increasing of E. coli O157:H7 concentrations. Then, the electrochemical immunosensors were used to detect the concentration of E. coli O157:H7. The analytical results showed that a linear relationship between the stripping peak current of labeled Ag$^+$ and the logarithmic value of E. coli O157:H7 concentration ranging from 20 to $8.0 \times 10^3$ cfu/mL was obtained, going along with a slope of 0.09581 and a correlation coefficient of 0.9789 (Figure 4(b)). The further research indicated that the detection limit of the electrochemical immunoassay was 13 cfu/mL for E. coli O157:H7 (3σ). This value is markedly smaller than 5000 [20], 3270 cfu/mL [2], 1200 [21], 150 cfu/mL [9], 83.7 cfu/mL [22], 30 cfu/mL [4], and 22 cfu/mL [8], and higher than 7 cfu/mL [23], 3 cfu/mL [24], and 2 cfu/mL [25]. The detection limit of 4.5 fg/μL [26] and 800 cells/mL [27] was also realized. The results implied that this detecting approach exhibited a lower detection limit.

The feasibility of applying the sensor in real samples was investigated, and the results were compared with the data
Figure 3: Effects of (a) working electrode, (a) GCE and (b) PAA/PDCNT-GCE, (b) deposition potential (\(E_d\)), (c) deposition time, on the DPV current of released Ag\(^+\) from 500 cfu/mL \(E.\ coli\ O157:H7\) system.

Figure 4: (a) Typical electrochemical DPV curves corresponding to released Ag\(^+\) from Ag@SiO\(_2\) labels with increasing concentration of \(E.\ coli\ O157:H7\) (from \(a\) to \(i\): 0, 20, 50, 100, 500, 1000, 3000, 5000, and 8000 cfu/mL, resp.). (b) Calibration curves of the immunosensor for \(E.\ coli\ O157:H7\) determination.

\[I(\mu A) = 0.09581 \log C_{E.\ coli\ O157:H7} - 0.01866\]
from the standard plate count method. Six *E. coli* O157:H7 samples were detected to estimate the precision; ten repetitive measurements were made. The relative deviations of the two methods were from 4.8% to 7.2%. It obviously suggested that there was no significant difference between the results given by two methods. Therefore, the proposed immunooassay could be reasonably applied for *E. coli* O157:H7 determination.

3.5. **Specificity and Stability of the Immunosensor.** The specificity test was conducted by using different microorganisms (*microzyme, actinomyces*). No stripping current response of Ag⁺ was noticed for the above microorganisms at the concentration of 1 × 10⁸ cfu/mL. The results showed that this electrochemical immunoassay could be used to detect *E. coli* O157:H7 in the presence of high concentrations of other microorganisms. And the application of Ag@SiO₂-anti *E. coli* O157:H7 for *E. coli* O157:H7 detection could play an important role in decreasing the nonspecific adsorption.

The relative standard deviation was 6.1% corresponding to 0.5 × 10³ cfu/mL *E. coli* O157:H7 for 8 times determination. When the sensor was stored at 4°C under moist circumstance, the 92.7% signal of its initial response was retained after twenty days. These results further indicated that PAA/PDCNT can provide a biocompatible microenvironment for immobilizing anti-*E. coli* O157:H7 with the bioactivity for the strong interaction with *E. coli* O157:H7. Thus, the developed immunoassay has potential application for *E. coli* O157:H7 determination.

4. **Conclusion**

In summary, we developed a sensitive electrochemical immunoassay for rapid detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 based on Ag@SiO₂ as anti-*E. coli* O157:H7 labels. The prepared Ag@SiO₂ expressed fine voltammetric activity, high stability, and good biocompatibility for anti-*E. coli* O157:H7. The Ag@SiO₂ labels involved in the immunoassay could be sensitively detected by DPV at PAA/PDCNT-GCE after dissolving by the mixture of hydrofluoric acid and nitric acid. A linear relationship between the stripping current response of Ag⁺ and the logarithmic value of *E. coli* O157:H7 concentration was found ranging from 20 to 8.0 × 10³ cfu/mL with the detection limit of 13 cfu/mL. These results should be helpful in clinical diagnosis, environmental monitoring, and food security.
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