New tidal debris nearby the Sagittarius leading tail from the LAMOST DR2 M giant stars
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Abstract We report two new sets of tidal debris nearby the Sagittarius (Sgr) tidal stream in the north Galactic cap (NGC) identified from the M giant stars in LAMOST DR2. The M giant stars located in the sky area of $210^\circ < \Lambda < 290^\circ$, and having a distance of 10–20 kpc and $[\text{Fe/H}] < -0.75$ show clear bimodality in their velocity distribution. We denote the two peaks as Vel\textsuperscript{−3+83} for the one within a mean velocity of $-3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to that of the well observed Sgr leading tail at the same $\Lambda$ and Vel\textsuperscript{+162+26} for the other one with a mean velocity of $162 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to the Sgr leading tail. Although the projected $\Lambda$–$V_{\text{gsr}}$ relation of Vel\textsuperscript{−3+83} is very similar to the Sgr leading tail, the opposite trend in the $\Lambda$–distance relation as compared to the Sgr leading tail suggests Vel\textsuperscript{−3+83} has a different 3D direction of motion with any branch of the simulated Sgr tidal stream from Law & Majewski. Therefore, we propose it is new tidal debris not related to the Sgr stream. Similarly, the other substructure Vel\textsuperscript{+162+26}, which is the same one as the NGC group discovered by Chou et al., also moves toward a different direction with respect to the Sgr stream, implying that it may have a different origin than the Sgr tidal stream.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The $\Lambda CDM$ cosmology predicts that the halo of Milky Way like galaxies should contain hundreds of sub-haloes, in which dwarf galaxies may be embedded. Many dwarf galaxies and tidal substructures in the Galactic halo have been discovered in the last decade (Newberg et al. 2002; Rocha-Pinto et al. 2004; Belokurov et al. 2006; Newberg et al. 2009; Bonaca et al. 2012, etc.). The most prominent one is the Sagittarius (Sgr) stream, which is believed to be tidal debris from the disrupting Sgr dwarf galaxy. After it was first discovered by Mateo et al. (1996), the stream has been mapped over $2\pi$ radians on the sky by the 2MASS (Majewski et al. 2003) and SDSS (Belokurov et al. 2006; Koposov et al. 2012) surveys. The stream, which is composed of the leading and trailing tails, wraps at least once around the Galaxy. Some modeling works even claimed that the stream should wrap more than once (Peñarrubia et al. 2010; Law & Majewski 2010). One prominent feature of the Sgr stream is the bifurcations in both the northern and southern hemispheres.

Other than the large scale and prominent substructures, there are also some local and less prominent substructures in the region of the Sgr stream. Some of them may be related to the Sgr stream, and some may be new tidal debris with different origins. Chou et al. (2007, hereafter C07) found two substructures in the region of the Sgr leading stream using M giant stars. One is likely part of the Sgr leading tail with similar metallicity and velocity. The authors further separated the tracer stars as the best and the less certain subsamples. Stars that compose the former are located between 10 and 20 kpc, while those in the latter are located within 5 kpc in distance. C07 thought most of the best and less certain subsamples should belong to the Sgr stream because this region is far from the Galactic disk and no evidence for the existence of other tidal substruc-
tures is found from the star count of M giant stars. The other substructure, which is denoted as the north Galactic cap (NGC) group by the authors, shows opposite velocity with respect to the Sgr leading tail and is proposed to be the Sgr trailing tail which is overlapped with the leading tail in the north. Pila-Díez et al. (2014) photometrically observed three fields around the Sgr leading tail and found that the distance of the Sgr leading tail well matches the nearer branch in the model from Peñarrubia et al. (2010). They claimed that this belongs to a new wrap of the Sgr tidal stream together with another branch in the trailing region claimed in the same paper. However, the distance from Pila-Díez et al. (2014) is not consistent with that from the north trailing tail in the simulation from Law & Majewski (2010, hereafter LM10), requiring more kinematical data to confirm their conclusion.

It is noted that Newberg et al. (2007) explored an overdensity at $(\lambda, g_0) = (240^\circ, 16.7 \text{ mag})$, whose origin is unclear, from the blue horizontal branch stars in the same region of the Sgr leading tail. $g_0$ is the $g$-band apparent magnitude with reddening corrected. In addition, they also found a moving group near S297+63-20.5 with $V_{\text{gsr}} = -76 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a distance of 15.8 kpc from the Sun. Since the location is quite close to the substructures discussed in C07 and Pila-Díez et al. (2014), an unresolved question is whether they are related to each other.

In this work, we use the M giant stars observed from the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST) survey to revisit the less prominent substructures in the region of the north Sgr leading tail. As of June 2014, the LAMOST survey has already obtained more than 4 million stellar spectra with sufficient signal-to-noise ratio. Zhong et al. (2015) developed a template-matching technique to reliably identify M giant stars from the low resolution spectra. Applying their method to the LAMOST Data Release 2 (DR2), we obtain 17 589 M giant stars, which is so far the largest sample of M giant spectra. On the other hand, Li et al. (2016, hereafter Paper I) employed a photometric-based method to estimate $\text{[Fe/H]}$ and distance for the M giant stars.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe how to select the data and improve the estimation of the metallicity. In Section 3, the substructures in the region of the Sgr leading tail are unveiled and featured in spatial-kinematic-metallicity space. In Section 4, we discuss the possible origins of the newly unveiled substructures. Finally, a brief conclusion is drawn in the last section.

2 DATA

2.1 The Updated LAMOST M Giant Star Catalog

The LAMOST Telescope (also called the Guo Shou Jing Telescope) is a National Key Scientific facility built by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Cui et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2012). The LAMOST spectroscopic survey, started in 2011, mainly aimed at understanding the structure of the Milky Way (Deng et al. 2012). Although the standard data processing pipeline provides quite an accurate estimation of the stellar parameters for FGK type stars, it does not reliably identify M type stars (Luo et al. 2015). In order to identify M type stars and classify M giant and M dwarf stars from the LAMOST dataset, Zhong et al. (2015) constructed M giant templates and identified around 9 000 M giant stars from the LAMOST DR1. We apply the same method to the LAMOST DR2 and expand the M giant sample to 21 696. Then we purify the sample by excluding a few contaminated K giant stars and M dwarf stars using the criteria of the WISE color index $(W_1 - W_2)_0$ according to Paper I and Zhong et al. (2015); finally we obtain 17 589 M giant stars. The interstellar reddening is corrected using the same spatial model of the extinction mentioned in Paper I. We adopt the $E(B-V)$ maps of Schlegel et al. (1998), in combination with $A_\rho/E(B-V) = 2.285$ from Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) and $A_\lambda/A(r)$ from Davenport et al. (2014), but incorporating latitude dependence.

The contamination of 166 carbon stars has also been excluded by cross-matching with the latest LAMOST carbon star catalog (Ji et al. in preparation).

2.2 Distance and Metallicity Determination

Paper I developed a photometric-based method to estimate the distances for the M giant stars. Specifically, the absolute magnitude in the $J$ band is determined from

$$M_J = 3.12[(J-K)_0^{-2.6} - 1] - 4.61. \quad (1)$$

For the LAMOST M giant sample used in this work, we utilize Equation (1) to estimate the distance. Based on the high-resolution spectroscopic data, C07 presented metallicities for 59 M giant stars, which are the member candidates for the Sgr stream according to their positions and velocities. We use this sample to improve the photometric metallicity derived by Paper I. We apply the photometric cut mentioned in section 3.1 of Paper I to the C07 sample and obtain 41 stars with high quality photometry. The mean error of $W_1 - W_2$ for these stars is only 0.031 mag. Figure 1 shows the metallicities of the 41 M giant stars derived by C07 as a function of $(W_1 - W_2)_0$. Then, the correlation between $\text{[Fe/H]}$ and $(W_1 - W_2)_0$ is fitted with the following linear relation

$$\text{[Fe/H]}_{\text{WISE}} = -2.477 \times (W_1 - W_2)_0 - 1.083, \quad (2)$$

and is shown as the black line in the figure. The residual scatter of the linear relation, as shown in the inset of Figure 1, is 0.24 dex. As a comparison, the red line shows the linear relationship obtained from the APOGEE M giant stars in Paper I. It is obvious that the linear relationship between $(W_1 - W_2)_0$ and $\text{[Fe/H]}$ in Paper I does not fit the data from C07 well. In Paper I, the selection of M
giant stars is purely dependent on photometry (see their sect. 3.3), which may be polluted by contaminations from K giants. This difference also could be caused by the population effect. Considering the large fraction of K giant stars in the APOGEE data, this contamination may not be ignored and hence, to some extent, induces systematic bias in the \([\text{Fe/H}]-(W_1-W_2)_0\) correlation. For the M giant sample from C07, the M giant identifications have been confirmed from high-resolution spectra and thus should not be affected by the K giant stars. Therefore, the updated linear relationship based on the C07 sample (the black line) should be more reliable. In the rest of this paper, we use these improved metallicity estimates for the LAMOST M giant stars.

3 RESULTS

In order to better compare with the Sgr stream, we adopt the Sgr coordinates originally introduced by Majewski et al. (2003). The equator of the coordinate system is defined by the mid-plane of the Sgr stream. The latitude \(B\) of the Sgr coordinates is parallel with the mid-plane of the Galactic disk and the coordinates with \(\Lambda > 180^\circ\) are mostly north of it.

The line-of-sight velocity measured from the M giant spectra is with respect to the Sun. We convert it to \(V_{\text{gsr}}\), the line-of-sight velocity with respect to the Galactic standard of rest, using the following equation

\[
V_{\text{gsr}} = V_{\text{los}} + 10 \cos \Lambda \cos b + 225.2 \sin \Lambda \cos b + 7.2 \sin b,
\]

(3)

in accordance with Li et al. (2012); Dehnen & Binney (1998).

Figure 2 shows the line-of-sight velocities (top panel) and distances (bottom panel) along \(\Lambda\) for the LAMOST DR2 M giant stars. The green circles show all the M giant stars located with \(-15^\circ < B < 15^\circ\) and heliocentric distance larger than 10 kpc. The red lines indicate the Sgr stream from Belokurov et al. (2014, hereafter B14), who marked them as subgiant branch, red giant branch and blue horizontal branch stars. The grey dots are the simulation data containing the last wrap of the stream from LM10. The black diamonds are from the substructures of C07, with the distance estimated using the same method as in Paper I. In the region of \(90^\circ < \Lambda < 150^\circ\), which targets the trailing tail, the M giant stars fit quite well in both velocity and distance with either the simulation data from LM10 or the observed data from B14. The clumpy data located at \(130^\circ < \Lambda < 200^\circ\) and \(V_{\text{gsr}} \sim 0 \) km s\(^{-1}\) are mostly from the disk population since this region crosses through the Galactic disk in the anti-center direction. However, in the top panel, it is noted that a narrow tail located within \(130^\circ < \Lambda < 150^\circ\) with quite small velocity dispersion is relatively isolated from the clumpy disk contaminations. These stars are likely members of the leading tail.

In the region of \(200^\circ < \Lambda < 320^\circ\), the stars are separated into two groups in terms of distance. The one with distance larger than 20 kpc is consistent with both LM10 and B14 in terms of distance and \(V_{\text{gsr}}\), and hence belongs to the Sgr leading tail. The other stars located between 10 and 20 kpc in distance are not identified by B14 and neither the distance nor the velocity is in agreement with the simulation data from LM10. Some of the stars in this group are well overlapped with the Sgr leading tail in velocity as shown in the top panel of Figure 2. Some stars that are well overlapped with the Sgr leading tail in velocity are from the substructure of C07. A few stars that are shifted from the Sgr leading tail by about 100 km s\(^{-1}\) toward the positive velocity are part of the Sgr stream. Therefore, it seems that the stars with distance 10–20 kpc may be further separated into two groups, one with a similar \(\Lambda-V_{\text{gsr}}\) trend which is part of the Sgr leading tail, but located at a much nearer distance, and the other with larger \(V_{\text{gsr}}\) than the Sgr leading tail by \(\sim 100\) km s\(^{-1}\) at the same \(\Lambda\) and overlapped with the Sgr leading tail.

The LAMOST M giant stars clearly indicate the Sgr tidal stream in both north and south tails. This can therefore better constrain the dynamics of the tidal stream. However, before addressing the orbital properties of the Sgr tidal stream, it is very important to clarify whether those not prominent but clearly displayed substructures, i.e. the two groups of stars located within 10–20 kpc, are related with the tidal stream.

3.1 Substructures in \(210^\circ < \Lambda < 290^\circ\)

In order to further investigate the confusing velocity substructures shown in Figure 2, we select the stars within \(210^\circ < \Lambda < 290^\circ\) to avoid possible contaminations from the thick disk and regions with overdensity. Indeed, the stars located at \(290^\circ < \Lambda < 320^\circ\) strongly overlap with the RR Lyrae substructure discovered by Duffau et al. (2014). At the other end, the region defined by \(200^\circ < \Lambda < 210^\circ\) is very close to the Galactic disk in the Galactic anti-center region. The rest of the region strongly overlaps the Sgr leading tail in longitude. The stars of interest are located between 10 and 20 kpc, while the Sgr leading tail can be clearly identified at a distance between 20 and 60 kpc.

As seen from the top panel of Figure 2, both the stars of interest and the distance-identified Sgr leading tail contribute to the group with velocity from \(\sim 0\) km s\(^{-1}\) at \(\Lambda \sim 290^\circ\) to \(\sim -150\) km s\(^{-1}\) at \(\Lambda \sim 210^\circ\). However, only the stars located between 10 and 20 kpc contribute to the group with velocity from \(\sim +200\) km s\(^{-1}\) at \(\Lambda \sim 290^\circ\) to \(0\) km s\(^{-1}\) at \(\Lambda \sim 210^\circ\). An apparent concern is that the group of stars with larger velocity is possibly contaminated by the thick disk. Therefore, we first investigate the distribution of metallicity for these stars and remove those with a high probability of being thick disk stars.
Fig. 1 The metallicity vs. \((W_1 - W_2)_0\) of the 41 M giant stars from C07. The black line shows the best-fit linear relationship in this work. The red line shows the linear relationship for APOGEE M giant stars from Paper I. The inset histogram shows the scatter of metallicity residual, which has a dispersion of 0.24 dex. The mean standard deviation of the abundance determined by C07 is 0.086 dex and the mean error of \((W_1 - W_2)_0\) is 0.031 mag.

Fig. 2 Line-of-sight velocity vs. \(\Lambda\) (top panel) and distance vs. \(\Lambda\) (bottom panel) for the M giant stars with \(-15^\circ < B < 15^\circ\). The green circles show the M giant stars with distance larger than 10 kpc. The black diamonds show the NGC group and the near Sgr sample from C07. The red lines show the detections from B14, who derived them from the subgiant branch, red giant branch and blue horizontal branch stars. The grey points show the simulation data from LM10. The black line shows the location of the unknown overdensity from Newberg et al. (2007).

Figure 3 shows the metallicity distribution for the M giant stars in three ranges of distances: 0–10 kpc (top panel), 10–20 kpc (middle panel), and beyond 20 kpc (bottom panel). In principle, the thick disk stars should dominate the metallicity distribution function for the nearest stars. Indeed, the peak of the metallicity distribution for the stars within a distance of 10 kpc is around \(-0.7\) dex, very consistent with the thick disk populations. At a distance larger than 20 kpc (bottom panel), a metal-poor population dominates the metallicity distribution with almost no stars falling in \([\text{Fe/H}] > -0.75\) dex. In the distance between 10 and 20 kpc, the metallicity distribution function seems to be a mixture of thick disk stars with a typical metallicity distribution as shown in the top panel and the metal-poor population represented by the bottom panel. If we select the M giant stars with \([\text{Fe/H}] < -0.75\) dex with distances between 10 and 20 kpc, we can significantly reduce contaminations from the thick disk. We assume that the stars within 10 kpc are all from the thick disk. This is obviously quite a crucial assumption. Then we can dis-
cern from the top panel that about 20% of stars are within [Fe/H] < −0.75 dex. Given that in the middle panel, all stars with [Fe/H] > −0.75 dex are from the thick disk, the possible contamination from the thick disk in the region of [Fe/H] < −0.75 dex is only about 15%, according to the star counts in the panel. Therefore, cutting out the stars with [Fe/H] > −0.75 dex can effectively avoid the effect that the thick disk has on velocity.

We then quantify the distribution of $V_{gsr}$ for the M giant stars with $210^\circ < \Lambda < 290^\circ$ and [Fe/H] < −0.75 dex. Because the velocity $V_{gsr}$ is correlated with $\Lambda$, it is not easy to directly derive the velocity distribution. To resolve this issue, we select the velocity of the Sgr leading tail as the baseline, and derive the distribution of the velocity offset from the baseline at a given $\Lambda$ for the selected stars. We adopt the velocity trend of the Sgr leading tail from B14 (the red lines in the top panel of Fig. 2) as the baseline. Figure 4 shows the distribution of the velocity offset (black line) with a bin size of 50 km s$^{-1}$. The distribution shows clear bimodality; one peak is located at around 0 km s$^{-1}$, while the other narrower peak is located at about 150 km s$^{-1}$. In order to investigate whether the bimodality is real or just a statistical fluctuation, we calculate the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) for this Gaussian mixture model with various components. We find the BIC (AIC) for 1-, 2- and 3-Gaussian models are 16.5 (15.6), 11.7 (10.2), and 18.6 (16.2), respectively. According to the definition of BIC and AIC, the model with a minimum value is the best model for the data. This suggests that a two-Gaussian model is the best one to fit the data. A two-Gaussian model is then fitted to the distribution (orange line) and indicates that the best fit peaks are −3 km s$^{-1}$ with velocity dispersion 83 km s$^{-1}$ and +102 km s$^{-1}$ with velocity dispersion of 26 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. According to the bimodal fitting, we separate the stars into two groups at $V_{gsr} - V_{gsr, Sgr} = 100$ km s$^{-1}$ and display their $\Lambda$-distance-$V_{gsr}$ distribution in Figure 5. The stars with velocity offset smaller than 100 km s$^{-1}$ are denoted as $Vel-3+83$ and marked as blue filled circles and those with offset larger than 100 km s$^{-1}$ are denoted as $Vel+162+26$ and marked as red filled circles. For better comparison, Figure 5 (top panel) also indicates the NGC group from C07 (red diamonds), the possible thick disk M giant stars with [Fe/H] > −0.75 dex in 10–20 kpc (orange dots), and the M giant member candidates of the Sgr leading tail located beyond 30 kpc (green crosses).

First, the velocity dispersion for the substructure $Vel-3+83$ is 83 km s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the large velocity dispersion shown with the red points in figure 2 of C07. The correlation between $V_{gsr}$ and $\Lambda$ is also quite similar to the sample from C07.

Second, although the trend of $V_{gsr}$ with $\Lambda$ is also comparable with the Sgr leading tail beyond a distance of 30 kpc, the velocity dispersion measured from our sample is significantly larger than the Sgr leading tail, whose dispersion is only 36 km s$^{-1}$ (but see further discussion at Sect. 4.1). The M giant stars belonging to this group are listed in Table 1.

Second, the NGC group stars from C07 are located at exactly the same velocity as our identified structure $Vel+162+26$. Considering that the two groups of stars are also at a similar distance as shown in the bottom panel of Figure 5, they are likely from the same substructure. The purple straight line shows the linear fitting of $V_{gsr}$ along $\Lambda$ for the substructure $Vel+162+26$ using the data both from this work and C07. Because the NGC group of stars in C07 actually starts from 5 kpc, two more stars located slightly closer than 10 kpc in the same sky area and velocity regime are added to $Vel+162+26$. The candidate members of $Vel+162+26$ are listed in Table 2.

Finally, we assert that the metal-rich M giant stars (yellow dots) have kinematics that are similar to the thick disk. For this purpose, we build an oversimplified thick disk kinematical model with an azimuthal velocity of 170 km s$^{-1}$ and naively assume that all the stars are located at 15 kpc from the Sun. We calculate the line-of-sight velocities at various $\Lambda$ for the thick disk in the following way (Li et al. 2012):

$$V_{td} = -\frac{\sin l}{|\sin l|}V_{rot} \sin a \cos b,$$

$$r = \sqrt{d^2 + \frac{d^2}{2} - \frac{d^2 \times 2 \times d \cos l}{\sqrt{2dr}}},$$

$$a = \arccos \left(\frac{d^2 + r^2 - 2d^2}{2dr}\right).$$

Here 8 kpc is the adopted distance from the Sun to the Galactic center, $d$ is the distance to the observed star (in this case 15 kpc), $r$ is the distance from the Galactic center, $a$ is the azimuth angle of the observed star with respect to the Sun–Galactic center line, $V_{rot}$ is the adopted azimuthal velocity of the thick disk (170 km s$^{-1}$), and $V_{td}$ is the predicted line-of-sight velocity with respect to the Galactic standard of rest. The orange line in the upper panel of Figure 5 shows the predicted line-of-sight velocity for the thick disk. The metal-rich stars within 10–20 kpc (orange dots in the upper panel of Fig. 5) are very consistent with it, implying that most of the stars can be regarded as thick disk stars, except for two of them located beyond 200 km s$^{-1}$. Moreover, neither the substructure $Vel-3+83$ nor $Vel+162+26$ shows any similarity to the model velocity of the thick disk. This again confirms that both the substructures are not likely contributed by the thick disk.

4 DISCUSSION

In this section we more closely examine whether the two substructures located in $210^\circ < \Lambda < 290^\circ$ with a distance between 10 and 20 kpc are related to the Sgr tidal stream.

4.1 $Vel-3+83$

The substructure $Vel-3+83$ shows very similar velocity to the Sgr leading tail but the velocity dispersion is significantly larger than the Sgr leading tail. However, when the
Fig. 3 [Fe/H] distribution in different ranges of distance. The blue lines indicate the metallicity distribution for the M giant stars with distance smaller than 10 kpc, between 10 and 20 kpc, and beyond 20 kpc in the top, middle and bottom panels, respectively. The vertical lines, which are located at [Fe/H] = −0.75 dex, indicate the point at which we separate the halo stars from the thick disk ones. Those with [Fe/H] smaller than −0.75 dex are not severely contaminated by the thick disk and selected for the detection of the substructures.

Fig. 4 The black line indicates the distribution of the $V_{\text{gsr}}$ offset with respect to the Sgr leading tail at the same $\Lambda$ for the selected M giant stars with [Fe/H] < −0.75, −15° < B < 15° and 210° < $\Lambda$ < 290°. The reference velocity of the Sgr leading tail is from B14. The yellow line is the best fit with two Gaussians. The red vertical line is the separation between $V_{\text{el-3+83}}$ (left) and $V_{\text{el+162+26}}$ (right).

Member stars of Vel-3+83 are mapped to the $V_{\text{gsr}}$ vs. distance plane (see Fig. 6), we find that the large velocity dispersion of Vel-3+83, shown as the blue filled circles, is due to the rapid variation of $V_{\text{gsr}}$ when distance changes. The actual velocity dispersion can be derived from the velocity scattering at each given distance bin. We then find that the velocity dispersion is only 30.4 km s$^{-1}$, quite comparable with the stars in the Sgr leading tail (green crosses). Moreover, the substructure Vel-3+83 seems to be continuously connected with the Sgr leading tail at a distance of 20 kpc. However, considering Vel-3+83 and the leading tail in 3-dimensional (3D) space, the connection at 20 kpc produces a folding 3D structure, which should not be a realistic tidal stream.

According to the $\Lambda$–distance–$V_{\text{gsr}}$ relation, we are able to constrain the direction of motion of the substructure Vel-3+83. Vel-3+83 member stars (colored dots) and the Sgr leading tails (gray dots) from LM10 are plotted in the $X$–$Z$ plane in the left panel of Figure 7. The colors of the Vel-3+83 stars indicate $V_{\text{gsr}}$. They show that at around $(X, Z) = (20, 10)$ kpc, $V_{\text{gsr}}$ of the Vel-3+83 is toward the Sun, implying that the substructure Vel-3+83 is moving toward the negative $X$ direction. At around $(X, Z) = (5, 10)$ kpc, $V_{\text{gsr}}$ is roughly zero, meaning that at this point the substructure is roughly moving along the tangential di-
New Tidal Debris Nearby the Sagittarius Leading Tail

Fig. 5 The distribution in the $V_{gsr}$ vs. $\Lambda$ plane (the top panel) and the distribution in the distance vs. $\Lambda$ plane (the bottom panel) for the two substructures, Vel-3+83 and Vel+162+26. In the top panel, the blue filled circles show the member stars of Vel-3+83 and the green crosses are the member stars of the Sgr leading tail located beyond a distance of 30 kpc. The black line in the top panel indicates the velocity of the Sgr leading tail derived by B14. The red filled circles are the member stars of Vel+162+26 and the magenta diamonds are the stars from the NGC group in C07. The magenta line indicates the linear fit for the combined data of Vel+162+26 and the NGC group stars from C07. The orange dots are the stars with distances between 10 and 20 kpc and [Fe/H] $>$ -0.75, dex. The orange line indicates the velocity of the thick disk according to Eq. (4). The black line in the bottom panel displays the location of the unknown overdensity at $(\Lambda, g_0) = (240^\circ, 16.7)$ from Newberg et al. (2007). The color bar indicates the metallicity for the small dots in the bottom panel.

Fig. 6 $V_{gsr}$ vs. distance distribution for the Sgr leading tail, Vel-3+83 and Vel+162+26. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 5.

rection with respect to the line of sight. Combining these pieces of information together, we can infer that Vel-3+83 is likely moving from right to left in the X–Z plane (toward the inner Galaxy), shown as a red arrow in Figure 7, left panel. It is obvious that the direction of motion for Vel-3+83 is opposite to any of the Sgr tidal tails. Hence, it is very difficult to attribute the substructure to a part or branch of the Sgr tidal tail. Alternatively, the substructure Vel-3+83 could be a disrupting satellite of the Sgr dwarf galaxy. However, the distance from Vel-3+83 to the leading tail is as large as 40 kpc, which seems too far to be a satellite of the Sgr dwarf galaxy. Therefore, we infer that it is very likely new tidal debris not related to the Sgr dwarf galaxy.
Fig. 7 Left panel: The XZ map of the substructure Vel-3+83. The colored dots are the member stars of Vel-3+83 with the color coded $V_{gsr}$. The red arrow indicates the approximate direction of motion of the substructure. The gray dots are the simulation data from LM10. The dashed arrows indicate the directions of motion for three tidal tails of the simulation located nearby Vel-3+83. The blue line stands for the Galactic disk mid-plane, the short vertical stroke in the center of the blue line represents the Galactic center, and the yellow dot stands for the location of the Sun. Right panel: The XZ map of the substructure Vel+162+26 and the NGC group of C07. The colored dots are the member stars of Vel+162+26 with color coded $V_{gsr}$, while the hollow colored diamonds represent the NGC group stars. Notice that the color scale in the right panel is different from that in the left panel. The red arrow indicates the rough direction of motion of the stars from both Vel+162+26 and the NGC group. The gray dots and the dashed arrows mean the same as those in the left panel.

Table 1 Position, [Fe/H], $V_{gsr}$ and Distance for the Candidate Members of Vel-3+83. The [Fe/H] and distance are photometrically determined.

| RA  | Dec  | $\Lambda$        | Beta | [Fe/H]$_{phot}$ | $V_{gsr}$ | dist$_{phot}$ | $J_0$ |
|-----|------|------------------|------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------|
| 1   | 138.3828 | 19.5730        | 211.1521 | 9.9894         | −1.53     | −171.70      | 16.16 | 11.55 |
| 2   | 140.1950  | 19.8632        | 212.8254 | 9.4654         | −0.87     | −121.57      | 19.07 | 10.14 |
| 3   | 141.9523  | 21.4288        | 214.2254 | 7.6658         | −1.07     | −107.03      | 16.42 | 10.03 |
| 4   | 154.3984  | 40.5305        | 220.5967 | 13.2235        | −0.91     | −54.58       | 13.86 | 10.47 |
| 5   | 158.6647  | 24.8682        | 228.4452 | 0.8282         | −0.99     | −131.70      | 15.00 | 10.66 |
| 6   | 165.8651  | 25.9625        | 234.2687 | 2.2673         | −0.90     | −108.51      | 16.67 | 9.91  |
| 7   | 171.5664  | 37.1245        | 234.7326 | −14.4256       | −0.86     | −16.74       | 10.61 | 11.26 |
| 8   | 165.2152  | 13.0378        | 238.1064 | 10.0947        | −1.00     | −189.21      | 10.26 | 10.26 |
| 9   | 189.5757  | 24.2840        | 254.6262 | −9.5470        | −0.91     | −53.63       | 10.78 | 10.58 |
| 10  | 180.8900  | 1.7851         | 257.2424 | 14.3275        | −1.02     | −105.37      | 19.65 | 11.47 |
| 11  | 186.8714  | −1.4641        | 264.2678 | 14.5039        | −0.97     | −20.97       | 17.17 | 11.40 |
| 12  | 191.7561  | 2.4719         | 266.7687 | 8.7293         | −0.89     | −12.08       | 10.48 | 10.59 |
| 13  | 204.5508  | −6.4734        | 282.5108 | 10.1858        | −0.87     | −93.78       | 12.27 | 9.00  |
| 14  | 218.5212  | 9.6072         | 286.3416 | −10.7381       | −1.06     | −49.02       | 11.75 | 11.35 |
| 15  | 213.1342  | −5.3586        | 289.3505 | 4.8765         | −0.83     | −117.16      | 17.59 | 10.96 |

Table 2 Positions, [Fe/H], $V_{gsr}$ and Distance for Candidate Members of Vel+162+26

| RA  | Dec  | $\Lambda$        | Beta | [Fe/H]$_{phot}$ | $V_{gsr}$ | dist$_{phot}$ | $J_0$ |
|-----|------|------------------|------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------|
| 1   | 139.3878 | 29.1765        | 210.7694 | 0.3501         | −1.06     | 43.57        | 18.52 | 11.32 |
| 2   | 202.0758 | −0.7620        | 277.4355 | 6.4995         | −1.12     | 146.06       | 13.99 | 11.06 |
| 3   | 166.0221 | 20.5527        | 236.2520 | 2.7682         | −0.94     | 85.43        | 8.08  | 10.19 |
| 4   | 190.1294 | 14.7341        | 259.5699 | 1.3437         | −0.81     | 32.34        | 9.01  | 9.90  |
| 5   | 156.5275 | 17.3567        | 228.6722 | 8.5960         | −1.07     | 30.51        | 10.23 | 10.25 |
| 6   | 174.4051 | 8.6444         | 248.2857 | 10.8177        | −0.79     | 81.75        | 14.59 | 10.95 |
| 7   | 178.1447 | 15.4164        | 248.8794 | 3.1449         | −0.91     | 110.10       | 10.17 | 10.47 |
| 8   | 204.2222 | 13.1178        | 272.3394 | 6.5864         | −0.91     | 178.71       | 15.35 | 11.42 |
It is noted that Newberg et al. (2007) discovered an unknown overdensity from the blue horizontal branch stars at \((\Lambda, g_0) = (240^\circ, 16.7)\). Vel-3+83 is located exactly at the same location as the overdensity (see the comparison in the bottom panel of Fig. 5). Table 1 also shows that most of the member M giant stars of Vel-3+83 are located above the mid-plane of the Sgr tidal stream (B > 0°), in agreement with figure 3 in Newberg et al. (2007).

It is also worth noting that the ambiguous arm found by Pla-Díez et al. (2014), who claimed that it is a new wrap of the Sgr stream without velocity information, covers the same sky area as the substructure Vel-3+83. Therefore, it seems that what the authors found is not part of the Sgr tidal debris, but the same tidal debris unveiled in this work.

4.2 Vel+162+26

Figure 5 also displays the member stars of the substructure Vel+162+26 with red filled circles combined with the NGC group members from C07 with red diamonds. C07 argued that these stars are likely the dynamically old Sgr members from the wrapped trailing tail. Similar to Vel-3+83 we can also estimate the approximate direction of motion for Vel+162+26 using the relationship of \(\Lambda–V_{\text{gsr}}\), and find that Vel+162+26 is coarsely moving toward smaller \(X\) and larger \(Z\), as shown in the right panel of Figure 7. This direction of motion is opposite to all the Sgr tidal streams predicted by the simulation data from LM10 and thus seems to contradict the statement by C07. Therefore, we propose that this substructure is also likely to be new tidal debris not related to the Sgr tidal stream.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Using 17,000 M giant stars from the LAMOST DR2, we are able to map the Sgr tidal stream in the whole northern sky. Both the leading tail and the trailing tail are well sampled. These data will be very important to constrain the orbit of the Sgr tidal stream. Before addressing the orbital properties of the Sgr tidal stream, we investigate whether there are any more weaker and less prominent substructures nearby the Sgr tidal stream and if there are any, whether they belong to the Sgr stream.

We find two substructures in the NGC region around the Sgr leading tail. The substructure Vel-3+83 shows a very similar \(\Lambda–V_{\text{gsr}}\) relation to the leading tail with nearer distance between 10 and 20 kpc. However, based on the analysis of the spatial position and possible direction of motion, it is very likely to be new tidal debris belonging to neither the Sgr leading tail nor the trailing tail in the north. We point out that the spatial position of Vel-3+83 is consistent with the unknown overdensity discovered by Newberg et al. (2007).

The other substructure Vel+162+26 shows positive \(V_{\text{gsr}}\) in a similar range of distance. It strongly overlaps with the NGC group found by C07, who attribute it to the earlier wrapped Sgr trailing tail. However, when we map the stars from both Vel+162+26 and the NGC group of C07 to the \(X–Z\) plane, we find that the direction of motion of this combined substructure is also not consistent with any branch of the Sgr tidal stream. Therefore, we propose that this is another set of weak tidal debris not related to the Sgr tidal stream.

Our proposal for the substructure Vel-3+83 and Vel+162+26 is based on the simulation of the Sgr tidal process from LM10 being relatively correct in terms of distance and velocity. However, if the simulation does not accurately model the behavior of the real Sgr tidal stream, which is still far from being completely observed, then our conclusion has to be revisited.

In this work, we also revise the relationship between metallicity and WISE color index \((W_1–W_2)_0\), using 41 M giant stars with reliable metallicity estimates from high resolution spectra (C07).

In the next two years, LAMOST will finish its survey and we expect that it will expand the M giant sample by a factor of two. Then, we will have a much larger sample to better address the two substructures and give tighter constraints on their origins.
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