Plasticity of Leaf Respiratory and Photosynthetic Traits in *Eucalyptus grandis* and *E. regnans* Grown Under Variable Light and Nitrogen Availability
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Plant growth strategies are adapted to resource availability in native habitat(s), and thus reflected in traits such as photosynthetic and respiratory capacities of leaves. We explored acclimation of such traits to contrasting light and nitrogen supply for Flooded Gum (*Eucalyptus grandis*) and Mountain Ash (*E. regnans*) saplings growing under warm-temperate conditions. We focused on respiration parameters that are not routinely measured, but could expand our mechanistic understanding of trait correlation networks (interdependencies between leaf mass per area (LMA), foliar nitrogen concentration (*N*<sub>mass</sub>), photosynthetic capacity (mass-based *A*<sub>max</sub>) and respiration (*R*)). We measured temperature responses of leaf *R* via calorimetric methods, in order to derive three respiration parameters that are useful to characterize capacity and flux mode of respiratory oxygen reduction in mature source leaves and young sink leaves. Subtropical *E. grandis* saplings produced 3-fold more biomass than *E. regnans*, and responded more strongly to enhanced supplies of nitrogen, in particular under semi-shade conditions. Acclimation of LMA to growth irradiance was more plastic in *E. grandis*, but light treatment had no effect on *N*<sub>mass</sub> and mass-based *A*<sub>max</sub> in this species. In *E. regnans*, growth under abundant nitrogen and full sunlight caused significant increases in foliar *N*<sub>mass</sub>—albeit not matched by relatively modest increases in mass-based *A*<sub>max</sub>. Cool-temperate *E. regnans* saplings appeared to allocate a substantial share of leaf-N to protective functions upon exposure to full sunlight. Foliar nitrogen was used more effectively for the production of new foliage in *E. grandis*, owing to better coordination with foliar capacity and flux mode of mitochondrial oxygen reduction. Most of the variation in three respiration parameters can be explained by a few physiological/anatomical variables, responding to variation in absolute and relative demand for ATP, reducing power and anabolic intermediates. These demands strongly depended on leaf developmental stage and also varied between species and treatments. Our approach opens a path to improved process-based understanding of respiratory flux control, which could facilitate predictions of plant respiration in a changing environment.
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INTRODUCTION

Leaf structural and physiological traits reflect species-specific strategies that have evolved to cope with temporal and spatial variation in site conditions. Opportunities for fast growth after disturbance, for example, are better exploited by pioneering species with foliage characteristics such as low leaf mass per area (LMA), but great foliar N concentration (Nmass) and photosynthetic capacity (mass-based Amax, Vc,max, or Jmax). By contrast, adaptation to slow-growth conditions, as mediated by poor supplies of nutrients or light, is generally associated with slow turnover of foliage with comparatively great LMA, but low Nmass and photosynthetic capacity, and long nutrient residence times (Field and Mooney, 1986; Evans, 1989; Schulze et al., 1994; Wright et al., 2004). Correlations between such foliar traits are typically interpreted as a trade-off between costs of leaf construction and the duration of investment return (Westoby et al., 2002; Wright et al., 2004; Shipley et al., 2006; Niinemets et al., 2015).

Investment of nitrogen in “photosynthetic machinery” also plays a pivotal role in relationships between foliar Nmass and rates of dark respiration at a standard reference temperature (Rref, frequently determined at Tref = 20 or 25°C; Atkin et al., 2017). Rref scales positively with Nmass (Reich et al., 1996; Ryan et al., 1996; Kruse and Adams, 2008a), owing to energy requirements for protein turnover and maintenance of photosynthetic capacity, and phloem loading of photosynthetic products (Azcón-Bieto and Osmond, 1983; Noguchi et al., 2001; Bouma, 2005). Relations between Nmass – Amax – Rref differ among plant functional types, leaf developmental stages and environmental conditions (Reich et al., 2008; Atkin et al., 2015). As an example, for a given Nmass, Rref is typically greater in plants growing in cold than warm environments (Atkin et al., 2015; Slot and Kitajima, 2015; Vanderwel et al., 2015), and greater in plants growing in high- than low-light environments (Wright et al., 2006). The y-axis intercept of Rref – Nmass relationships is significantly greater in young and expanding foliage, as compared to mature foliage (Kruse et al., 2008). Such differences might partly be related to variable allocation of leaf nitrogen to metabolically active pools (photosynthetic and respiratory enzymes) relative to “inactive pools” that do not contribute to CO2 gas exchange (i.e., structural N bound in lignin). Another important factor that could produce substantial variation in the relation between Rref and Nmass is the activation state of respiratory enzymes. Activity of existing enzymes is inducible (O’Leary and Plaxton, 2017), and depends on cellular demand for ATP, reducing power, and anabolic intermediates (Araujo et al., 2012).

Recent developments in experimental methodology and theoretical concepts can help elucidate control of leaf respiration beyond analysis of Rref – Nmass relationships. Instead of point measurements at some suitable reference temperature, instantaneous temperature responses of leaf R across a broader range of measurement temperatures emerged as an invaluable tool for studying in vivo flux control (Atkin et al., 2005; Kruse et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2018). In phenomenological terms, instantaneous temperature responses of leaf respiration are best described by three-parameter, log-polynomial models (Kruse and Adams, 2008b; Adams et al., 2016a,b; Reich et al., 2016). In an Arrhenius-type description, lnRref captures the “elevation” or “intercept” of the response curve, and might be used as a proxy for respiratory capacity (see section Heat Rate Measurements and the Temperature Response of Respiration; Equation 4). The other two parameters in the extended Arrhenius equation define the shape of respiratory temperature responses. Amongst the latter two “exponent parameters,” one parameter is equivalent to activation energy of leaf respiration at reference temperature, and the second parameter describes changes in activation energy as measurement temperature increases (section Heat Rate Measurements and the Temperature Response of Respiration). Temperature-dependent changes in activation energy apparently result from difference in heat capacity between a collection of enzymes bound to substrates for the reaction (i.e., respiratory CO2 release or O2-reduction), and enzymes bound to the transition state for the reaction (ΔCp, Hobbs et al., 2013; Arcus et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2018). Physiological causes for variation in ΔCp have not yet been elucidated, but are likely related to allosteric effectors and, in particular, post-translational modifications of enzymes – and their impact on physical flexibility (and thus heat capacity) of enzymes. Allosteric effectors and post-translational modifications play a pivotal role in the regulation of flux (O’Leary and Plaxton, 2017), such that analysis of temperature sensitivity of leaf R might be exploited for pathway analysis (Kruse et al., 2011; Atkin et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2018). For example, we recently showed that enhanced nitrate supply to Eucalyptus grandis saplings resulted in positive, concave curvature of loge-transformed rates of leaf CO2—respiration in Arrhenius—plots (Kruse et al., 2018). We interpreted concave curvature as an indicator of non-cyclic modes of carbon flux through the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) “cycle” (Sweetlove et al., 2010), in order to meet greater demand for anabolic precursors in N-assimilation.

Oxidative de-carboxylation of organic acids in the TCA- cycle must be coordinated with mitochondrial oxygen reduction. The temperature response of oxygen reduction can be determined via calorimetry and is also best fitted to the extended Arrhenius equation (Kruse and Adams, 2008b). Inhibitor studies demonstrated that proportionally greater contribution of cyanide-resistant alternative oxidase (AOX) to electron flux, resulted in concave curvature of loge-transformed rates of overall oxygen reduction (Kruse et al., 2008). We suggested that the shape of any individual, instantaneous temperature response indicates the “flux mode” at the time of measurement, that is, relative contributions of alternative vs. cytochrome pathways to oxygen reduction (Kruse et al., 2011). This suggestion is consistent with 18O/16O- fractionation studies, showing that short-term shifts in measurement temperature do not affect mitochondrial electron partitioning between the alternative and cytochrome pathways (MacFarlane et al., 2009). However, flux mode may well differ between leaf developmental stages and vary with resource availability. If, for example, cellular demand for carbon skeleton intermediates exceeds that for ATP, activity of matrix- orientated (or internal), alternative NAD(P)H dehydrogenases and AOX help to keep the TCA- “cycle” operative (Rasmusson et al., 2004)—such that intermediates
can be withdrawn for anabolism (Vanlerberghe and McIntosh, 1997).

A persistent problem in physiological interpretation of respiratory temperature responses is the fact that exponent parameters describing shape are not independent form the pre-exponential factor (i.e., $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$) (Kruse et al., 2012, 2018; Noguchi et al., 2015). It remains difficult to evaluate environmental effects on respiratory flux mode separately from those on respiratory capacity. In the present study, young saplings of two eucalypt species (Eucalyptus grandis and E. regnans) were grown under contrasting light intensities and nitrogen availability in a common garden, in order to produce variation in leaf traits. We expected that allocation of newly acquired $^{15}$N and nitrogen concentration of individual leaves ($N_{\text{max}}$) would increase with light and N-supply (Evans, 1996; Niinemets et al., 2015), although this effect could be attenuated by concomitant increases in foliage production and growth (i.e., dilution of leaf-N by growth), and differ between species adapted to differing climates (Kruse et al., 2012). E. grandis is native to sub-tropical coastal regions of New South Wales and Queensland, where it grows quickly on deep soils where water and nutrients are abundant (Leuning et al., 1991). E. regnans, on the other hand, is restricted to sheltered valleys with cool climates in the mountain foothills in Victoria and Tasmania, where it also grows quickly by comparison with co-occurring eucalypt species.

We sought to elucidate inter-dependencies between $N_{\text{max}}$, $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ and exponent parameters, in order to guide mechanistic interpretation of instantaneous temperature responses of respiratory oxygen reduction. Foliar $N_{\text{max}}$ and respiratory parameters were determined for fully expanded source leaves (henceforth denoted “mature leaves”) and very young, expanding sink leaves (henceforth denoted “young leaves”), and were supplemented with measurements of source-leaf photosynthetic capacity ($A_{\text{max}}$, $V_{c,\text{max}}$, and $J_{\text{max}}$). Specifically, we tested the following working hypotheses: (i) Correlations between $N_{\text{max}}$ and $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ will depend on treatment and, in particular, on leaf developmental stage. That is, $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ per unit leaf-N is greater for young than mature leaves, emphasizing the critical role of respiratory capacity in providing energy (ATP) and anabolic intermediates for leaf expansion and growth. (ii) The relationship between $N_{\text{max}}$ and $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ might also be influenced by flux mode, owing to interdependencies between $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ and exponent parameters. Proportional demand for ATP relative to anabolic intermediates and reducing power likely differs between young and mature leaves, depending on N-nutrition and growth irradiance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Site Description and Plant Material**

Seeds of the two study species, Eucalyptus regnans F. Muell (Beaconsfield provenance, seedlot number 15151) and Eucalyptus grandis W. Hill (Coleambally provenance, seedlot number 21068) were obtained from the Australian Tree Seed Centre (Kingston, ACT, Australia). In November 2011 at Cobbtity, NSW Australia (34° 01’ 03.19” S, 150° 40’ 02.80” E, 80 m a.s.l.), germinated seedlings (cotyledons plus three sets of leaves) were transferred to 45L pots of washed sand and randomly assigned to a treatment. Twelve seedlings per species were grown at three light intensities: full sunlight, 50% sun exclusion and 90% sun exclusion. We studied whole-plant shading using sun-exclusion cloth, where shading effects mainly relate to attenuation of photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD). This approach to whole-plant shading differed from other studies of within-canopy variation of foliar traits, where spectral composition (i.e., red: far-red ratio; R/FR) varied more strongly (Hirose and Werger, 1987; Kull and Krujtv, 1999; Niinemets, 2007).

Pots were watered daily to field capacity. Six seedlings of each species for light treatment were further allocated to one of two nitrogen treatments: 8.0 or 2.6 mM N (as NH$_4$NO$_3$) supplied every second day by irrigation with a modified Hoagland’s solution (also containing 0.67 mM P, 4 mM K, 2.7 mM Ca, 1.3 mM Mg, 1.3 mM S, 52 µM Mn, 49 µM Fe, 20 µM Cu, 15 µM Zn, 8 µM B and 0.03 µM Mo). Average midday growth temperatures ranged between 21.6 and 24.8°C, whilst midday relative humidity remained between 56.2 and 61.9 for the duration of the experiment. After 4 months of acclimation to different growth irradiance and nitrogen nutrition, physiological measurements were completed in March 2012. Plants were given $^{15}$N-enriched nutrient solution 3 days prior to physiological measurements (10.8 atom% $^{15}$N for both nutrition regimes, applied as $^{15}$NH$_4$NO$_3$), in order to study treatment effects on allocation of newly acquired nitrogen.

**Gas Exchange Measurements on Source Leaves via Infrared Gas Analysis**

We determined photosynthetic capacity ($V_{c,\text{max}}$, $J_{\text{max}}$, $A_{\text{max}}$) and CO$_2$ dark respiration ($R_{\text{CO2}}$) at 25°C, using two recently calibrated infrared gas analyzers (IRGA; Li-6400, Li-Cor, NE, USA). On one source-leaf per plant per treatment we calculated carboxylation capacity ($V_{c,\text{max}}$) and electron transport capacity ($J_{\text{max}}$) from measures of $A_{\text{Ci}}$ curves ($C_{\text{i}}$: 2,000 – 50 µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, over 11 steps) at saturating light (PPFD: 1,500 µmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). $V_{c,\text{max}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ were computed and standardized to 25°C leaf temperature, as described by Sharkey et al. (2007). Calculated $V_{c,\text{max}}$ yielded “apparent” values, assuming large mesophyll conductance to CO$_2$-transfer, and $C_{\text{i}}$ [i.e., (CO$_2$) at the site of carboxylation in chloroplasts] not being significantly different from $C_{\text{i}}$ [i.e., intercellular (CO$_2$)].

Instantaneous responses of photosynthesis to light intensity (PPFD: 2,000 – 0 µmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, over 10 steps), at ambient CO$_2$ ($C_{\text{i}}$: 400 ppm) and 25°C cuvette air temperature were fitted to a non-rectangular hyperbola (Marshall and Biscoe, 1980; Thornley and Johnson, 1990):

$$A_Q = \frac{\phi \times Q + A_{\text{max}}}{2 \times \theta} - R_l$$

where $Q$ is the intensity of incident light (µmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), $\phi$ is the quantum use efficiency (µmol CO$_2$ µmol$^{-1}$ quanta), $A_{\text{max}}$ is the light-saturated rate of photosynthesis (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), $\theta$ is a curvature factor (dimensionless), and $R_l$ is the mitochondrial respiration occurring in the light (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). For simplicity, we assumed that $R_{\text{light}} = R_{\text{dark}}$ (recorded...
at 0 μmol quanta; but see Tcherkez et al., 2017). At the light compensation point (μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹), respiratory CO₂-loss is balanced by photosynthetic CO₂-uptake.

Gas exchange measurements were randomized between day times. After physiological measurements were concluded, leaf mass per leaf area (LMA; g DM m⁻²), and root/shoot-ratio of plants were determined.

**Heat Rate Measurements and the Temperature Response of Respiration**

We measured heat rates of living tissue in the dark (i.e., energy release per unit leaf mass and time; μJ g⁻¹ s⁻¹) as a proxy for respiratory oxygen reduction using two, multi-cell differential-scanning calorimeters (CSC 4100, MC-DSC, Utah, USA). Calorimeters allow for heat rate measurements over a range of different temperatures, operating in the isothermal mode (Criddle et al., 1994; Hansen et al., 1994). Prior to measurements, the calorimeters were calibrated as described by Kruse and Adams (2008b).

We tested fully expanded source leaves and rapidly expanding sink leaves. Mature leaves were detached from the base of the leading twig per plant. Two slices of leaf material (c. 1 × 2 cm, from each side of the mid rib) were excised form the leaf. We waited for 30 min until the wounding response had dissipated (Kruse et al., 2008), before leaf material was placed into calorimetry ampoules (1 cm³) and measurements were started. Young leaves with a size of c. 1 × 2 cm (or smaller) were detached form the tip of the leading twig. Young leaves were left intact and immediately measured. Respiration was measured over five steps (15–35°C). After each temperature change, we waited for 25 min until the next target temperature had been reached and the signal had stabilized. We then recorded 5-min averages of respective heat rates. After records at the third target temperature (25°C), ampoules were briefly opened, flushed with fresh air and replaced into the calorimeters. From steady-state rates of heat evolution at each measurement temperature, rates of oxygen reduction were determined as (Kruse et al., 2011):

\[
R_{O_2} = \frac{-q}{\Delta H_{O_2}}
\]

(2)

where \(q\) is the heat rate (μW g⁻¹ DM) and \(R_{O_2}\) is the rate of oxygen reduction (nmol g⁻¹ DM s⁻¹, henceforth simply denoted ‘\(R\)’). The term \(\Delta H_{O_2}\) varies only slightly with the substrate used for oxygen reduction and is usually substituted by Thornton’s “constant” or the oxycaloric equivalent (−455 ± 15 J mmol⁻¹, negative by convention for exergonic reactions, Criddle et al., 1997). The temperature response of steady-state mitochondrial oxygen reduction can be described by an extended Arrhenius-equation (Kruse and Adams, 2008b; Figure 1A):

\[
R = R_{ref} \times e^{-\left[ \frac{E_o}{R_g} \left( \frac{T}{T_{ref}} \right) + \delta_E \left( \frac{T - T_{ref}}{T_{ref}} \right)^2 \right]}
\]

(3)

where \(R_{ref}\) is the rate of respiratory O₂-reduction rate at the reference temperature \([T_{ref}, 288 K (= 15°C) \text{ in the present study}]; T\) is the measurement temperature (K); \(R\) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹); \(E_o/\Delta T\) is the overall activation energy of oxygen reduction infinitesimally close to the reference temperature and \(\delta_E\) (kK²) describes the dynamic change of \(\frac{E_o}{\Delta T}\) with increasing measurement temperature. We

**FIGURE 1 | Determination of respiration parameters obtained via calorimetry.**

(A) Rates of mitochondrial oxygen reduction (nmol g⁻¹ DM s⁻¹) were determined indirectly from heat rates of living tissue (μW g⁻¹ DM s⁻¹) at five measurement temperatures (Equation 2). (B) Respiration rates were \(\log_10\) transformed and plotted against reciprocal temperature (i.e., the temperature term in Equation 4 in units of 1,000/K). The intercept of individula log-polynomial fits (at \(T_{ref} = 0\)) is equivalent to \(\ln R_{ref}\) (i.e., \(\log_10\)–transformed rates of respiration at 288K reference temperature). The second parameter describes the slope of the log-polynomial response curve infinitesimally close to \(T_{ref}\), and is a measure of the activation energy of \(R\) at \(T_{ref}\) (i.e., \(\mu_{E_o} = E_o / R_g\); \(\mu_{E_o}\) in units of kK²). The third parameter describes the curvature of the log-polynomial response, i.e., the change in activation energy as measurement temperature increases (δE in units of kK²). For \(\delta_E > 0\) the curvature is concave upwards (as for the exemplary mature leaf), and for \(\delta_E < 0\) it is convex downwards (as for the exemplary young leaf). (C) Coefficients of determination (\(R^2\)) for log-polynomial fits on mature leaves (\(N = 72\)) and young leaves (\(N = 72\)). Each box indicates 25 and 75% percentiles of observed \(R^2\) – values. The median is indicated by the horizontal line within respective boxes.
define here \( \mu_{\text{Ref}} / \Delta R \) as the (equivalent) temperature coefficient \( \mu_{\text{Ref}} \) (in units of \( \text{kJ} \); Criddle et al., 1994). The three parameters that capture respiratory performance at differing measurement temperatures were determined from fits of experimental data to the log- polynomial model (Origin 6.1, Microsoft; Figure 1B):

\[
\ln R = \ln R_{\text{ref}} + \mu_{\text{Ref}} \times \left( \frac{T - T_{\text{ref}}}{T \times T_{\text{ref}}} \right) + \delta_R \times \left( \frac{T - T_{\text{ref}}}{T \times T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^2
\]

where \( \ln R_{\text{ref}} \) (nmol \( g^{-1} \) s\(^{-1} \)) is the loge- transformed rate of respiratory O\(_2\)-reduction at the reference temperature (\( T_{\text{ref}} = 288 \) K); \( \mu_{\text{Ref}} \) (kJ) denotes the slope of increase of oxygen reduction at the 15°C reference temperature; and \( \delta_R \) (kJ\(^2\)) describes the dynamic change of \( \mu_{\text{Ref}} = (E_o(\text{Ref}) - R_{\text{ref}}(\text{Ref})) / \mu_{\text{Ref}} \) with increasing measurement temperature. For \( \delta_R = 0 \), overall activation energy of respiration (E\(_o\)) or \( \mu \) are constant over a broad range of measurement temperatures (i.e., 15–35°C), and the respiratory temperature response displays strictly linear behavior in Arrhenius plots (i.e., loge- transformed rates of R plotted against reciprocal temperature). For \( \delta_R \neq 0 \), deviation from classical Arrhenius kinetics becomes apparent in curvature in Arrhenius plots (as shown in Figure 1B).

Coefficients of determination (\( R^2 \)) for least- square log-polynomial fits averaged 0.996 (median 0.997) in mature leaves, and averaged 0.991 (median 0.994) in young leaves (Figure 1C). Similar coefficients of determination were reported for log-polynomial fits of temperature-dependent CO\(_2\)-respiration determined via infrared gas analysis (Reich et al., 2016).

**Determination of Leaf-N and Leaf-\(^{15}\)N**

After respiration measurements were completed, leaf material was dried at 60°C for N- and \(^{15}\)N-analysis. Aliquots of 1.5–2.0 mg of dried and ground plant material used for calorimetric measurements were transferred into tin capsules (IVA Analysentechnik, Meerbusch, Germany). Nitrogen (and carbon) content of samples was analyzed using an elemental analyzer (Vario EL, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany), coupled to an Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (Delta Plus/Delta Plus Plus XL; Finnigan MAT GmbH, Bremen, Germany) by a Conflo II/III interface (Thermo-Finnigan GmbH, Bremen, Germany) for \(^{15}\)N/\(^{14}\)N- analysis. We also collected leaf material prior to the \(^{15}\)N-labeling period (2 replicates per treatment; i.e., 24 replicates in total), to determine natural \(^{15}\)N-abundance. Natural abundance was not significantly different between species or treatments, and averaged 0.369 ± 0.003 atom% \(^{15}\)N. Foliar \(^{15}\)N-contents presented in this study are expressed as \(^{15}\)N-accumulation above natural abundance, after the labeling period (i.e., \( \mu g \) \(^{15}\)N g\(^{-1}\) DM).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with 6 replicates per Eucalyptus species, growth irradiance and N-nutrition (and leaf age for calorimetric measurements), summing up to a total of 72 replicates (or 144 replicates for N-analysis and calorimetry; Tables S1, S2). Variation of leaf trait parameters relates to variation between individual plants (and not to within-canopy variation of individuals), in order to assess trait plasticity between different species (sensu, Pigliucci, 2005). All parameters were expressed per unit leaf dry mass to alleviate comparisons between respiratory and photosynthetic parameters. Photosynthetic parameters were also analyzed on a leaf area basis to evaluate, if photosynthetic acclimation was driven by altered LMA or N\(_{\text{mass}}\) (Lloyd et al., 2013; Westoby et al., 2013; Poorter et al., 2014; Tables S1, S3 and Figure S1).

Plasticity of leaf structural and photosynthetic traits between species, as affected by treatments, was analyzed via 3-way ANOVA (with species, nutrition and irradiance levels as factors; STATISTICA, version 10.0, StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). For leaf- N and respiratory parameters we also tested the effect of leaf age (with \( N = 72 \) per age class). Plasticity of leaf-N and respiratory traits was analyzed via 4-way ANOVA. Effect sizes were estimated as:

\[
\rho^2 = \frac{SS_{\text{factor}}}{SS_{\text{factor}} + SS_{\text{residual}}}
\]

where \( \rho^2 \) indicates how much of the observed variation (i.e., \( SS_{\text{total}} \), total sum of squares = \( SS_{\text{factor}} + SS_{\text{residual}} \)) can statistically be explained by the factor under consideration (\( SS_{\text{factor}} \)).

To test our working hypotheses, the dependency of \( \ln R_{\text{ref}} \) (defining the intercept of the log-polynomial response at 15°C, Figure 1B) on N\(_{\text{mass}}\), leaf age and treatments was analyzed via ANCOVA (STATISTICA 10.0). The dependency of \( \delta_R \) (defining the slope of the log- polynomial response, Figure 1B), on leaf age and treatments (and \( \mu_{\text{Ref}} \) and \( \ln R_{\text{ref}} \)) was also analyzed via ANCOVA. Interdependencies between the three respiration parameters \( \ln R_{\text{ref}}, \mu_{\text{Ref}}, \delta_R \) were further analyzed via Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

**RESULTS**

**Effects of Nitrogen Nutrition and Irradiance on Growth and Anatomical Leaf Traits**

After 4 months of growth, \( E. \) gr\( \text{andis} \) had, on average, accumulated three times more total biomass than \( E. \) reg\( \text{nans} \). For \( E. \) gr\( \text{andis} \), 61% of this biomass was allocated to roots, as compared to only 29% in \( E. \) reg\( \text{nans} \) (Tables 1, 2). Both species responded to nitrogen (Table 1), but \( E. \) gr\( \text{andis} \) more so than \( E. \) reg\( \text{nans} \) (significant Species × Nutrition effect, Table 2). Growth of \( E. \) gr\( \text{andis} \) was enhanced by 78% when grown with 8.0 mM N, as compared to 2.6 mM N. For \( E. \) reg\( \text{nans} \) the corresponding increase was 40%. Light affected growth of both species, but not in a dose-dependent manner. Best growth was achieved in semi-shade (or 50% sunlight) and was some 40% greater than in full sunlight or 10% sunlight. Stimulation of growth in the semi-shade was more pronounced for plants receiving 8.0 mM N (significant Nutrition × Light -effect, \( \rho^2 = 0.12 \), not shown in Table 2).

Treatment-induced differences in leaf mass per unit area (LMA; g DM m\(^{-2}\)) reflected acclimation of leaf anatomy. Most variation in LMA was produced by contrasting light conditions. While both Eucalyptus sp. produced thicker leaves as growth irradiance increased (Table 1), \( E. \) gr\( \text{andis} \) showed much greater
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TABLE 1 | Biometrical data of Eucalyptus regnans and E. grandis grown under differing N-nutrition and light intensity.

| Species          | 10% sunlight | 50% sunlight | 100% sunlight | 10% sunlight | 50% sunlight | 100% sunlight |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Eucalyptus regnans | $N^+$        | $N^-$        | $N^+$         | $N^-$        | $N^+$        | $N^-$         |
| Biomass (g)      | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| RMG-ratio (%)    | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| LMA (g m$^{-2}$) | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| DM/FM (mature leaf) | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| DM/FM (young leaf) | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| Carbon (% DM)    | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.1 \pm 0.2$ |

Data shown are averages ±SE of six independent replicates. For results of statistical data analysis, see Table 2.

Treatment Effects on Foliar Nitrogen Concentration and Allocation of Newly Acquired $^{15}\text{N}$

Leaves of $E. \text{regnans}$ contained, on average, 50–60% more nitrogen than those of $E. \text{grandis}$ (Figures 2A–D). Tripling the external rate of supply of nitrogen had surprisingly little effect on foliar N in $E. \text{grandis}$ (Figures 2A,C), but increased that of $E. \text{regnans}$ by 25–30% (Figures 2B,D; significant Species × Nutrition effect, Table 3). Likewise, foliar N remained almost constant across the three light treatments for $E. \text{grandis}$, but was 45–50% greater in $E. \text{regnans}$ grown in semi-shade or full sunlight as compared to plants grown in 10% sunlight (significant Species × Light-effect, Table 3). These treatment-induced patterns were similar between mature leaves and young leaves, albeit young leaves contained 60–70% more nitrogen than mature leaves.

Allocation patterns of newly acquired nitrogen were informed by $^{15}\text{N}$-analysis of leaf material (Figures 2E–H), 3 days after plants were given access to $^{15}\text{N}$-enriched nutrient solution (10.8 atom % $^{15}\text{N}$). Patterns among treatments were generally similar to those for total foliar N (Figures 2E–H and Table 3), with some exceptions. For $E. \text{regnans}$, allocation of $^{15}\text{N}$ to mature leaves was greatest under abundant N-supply and full sunlight (Figure 2F), but light effects on leaf-$^{15}\text{N}$ were generally weaker than those on leaf-N (Table 3). $^{15}\text{N}$-allocation to young leaves was proportionally greater than $^{15}\text{N}$-allocation to mature leaves in $E. \text{regnans}$, as compared to $E. \text{grandis}$ (significant Species × Age-interaction, Table 3). With increasing growth irradiance, $^{15}\text{N}$ accumulated in young leaves of $E. \text{regnans}$ (Figure 2H), despite plant growth not changing in such a dose-dependent manner. Growth irradiance had little influence on foliar $^{15}\text{N}$ of $E. \text{grandis}$ (Figures 2E,G).

$E. \text{grandis}$ showed greater flexibility in LMA in response to light regime, whereas $E. \text{regnans}$ responded more strongly via variation in leaf nitrogen (Nmass). Greater DM/FM of mature $E. \text{grandis}$ leaves was most likely due to the formation of thicker cell walls, such that foliar C/N- remained strongly correlated with DM/FM-ratios during leaf ontogeny. This was not the case for $E. \text{regnans}$ (Figure 3).

Photosynthetic Capacity of Source Leaves

Increasing rates of supply of N increased mass-based $A_{\text{max}}$ and $R_{\text{CO2}}$ by c. 10% in $E. \text{grandis}$ (Figures 4E,G) and by 30% in $E. \text{regnans}$ (Figures 4F,H). Nutrition-related increases in $V_{\text{cmax}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ were weaker and statistically not significant (Figures 4A–D and Table 2).
Growth in full sunlight significantly reduced mass-based $J_{\text{max}}$ in *E. grandis* (Figure 3C), but this effect was not significant for $A_{\text{max}}$ (Figure 3E and Table 2). We emphasize that area-based $A_{\text{max}}$ increased with growth irradiance (Figure S1 and Table S3), owing to the production of thicker leaves (an effect that was more pronounced for *E. grandis* than *E. regnans*).

Parameters derived from gas exchange studies differed markedly between the two eucalypts, although species-dependent effect sizes were comparatively small (Table 2). As an example, even though mature leaves of *E. regnans* contained, on average, c. 60% more nitrogen than those of *E. grandis* ($N_{\text{mass}}$), mass-based $V_{\text{cmax}}$, $J_{\text{max}}$, $A_{\text{max}}$, and $R_{\text{CO2}}$ were only c. 25% greater (Figures 4A–H). Quantum use efficiency (i.e., the initial slope of the light response curve), was 5–10% greater for *E. regnans* than for *E. grandis*, while the light compensation point was 25% greater, corresponding to enhanced rates of respiration. There were no species-dependent or treatment-induced effects on $A_{\text{max}}/R_{\text{CO2}}$ (Table 2). These variables were significantly correlated ($R^2 = 0.56; P < 0.001$; not shown), and effects on $A_{\text{max}}$ and $R_{\text{CO2}}$ were similar in size (Table 2).

**Respiratory Capacity of Mature Leaves and Young Leaves**

In phenomenological terms, three parameters comprehensively describe instantaneous temperature responses of mitochondrial oxygen reduction (Kruse and Adams, 2008b). At first approximation, the pre-exponential factor ($\ln R_{\text{ref}}$) can be used as a measure of respiratory capacity (Atkin and Tjoelker, 2003; Noguchi et al., 2015; Kruse et al., 2018), even though $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ also depends on exponent variables (analyzed in section Respiratory Temperature Sensitivity of Mature Leaves and Young Leaves). We first compared rates of CO$_2$ respiration at 25°C ($R_{\text{CO2}}$, determined via IRGA) with those of O$_2$ reduction at 25°C ($R_{\text{O2}}$, determined via calorimetry). $R_{\text{CO2}}$ of middle-aged leaves fell neatly in between $R_{\text{O2}}$ of mature and young leaves (Figure S2), suggesting steady decline of dark respiration as leaf development progressed.

$\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ of mature leaves significantly differed between species (c. 40% greater $R_{\text{ref}}$ for *E. regnans*, where $R_{\text{ref}}$ is the rate of oxygen reduction at 15°C reference temperature), but was only modestly affected by N supply and light regime. $R_{\text{ref}}$ of mature leaves was on average c. 10% greater under high N than low N (Figures 5A, B and Table 3). Light regime only affected $R_{\text{ref}}$ of mature *E. regnans*.

---

**TABLE 2 | Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA).**

| Species (S) | Nutrition (N) | Light (L) | S x N | S x L |
|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| $\eta^2$   | $P$           | $\eta^2$ | $P$  | $\eta^2$ | $P$ |
| **Biomass**|               |           |       |        |     |
| (g)        | 0.71          | <0.001    | 0.40  | <0.001  | 0.21 | <0.001 |
| R/S-ratio  | 0.54          | <0.001    | -     | n.s.    | 0.07 | 0.1    |
| LMA (g m$^{-2}$) | 0.09        | <0.05    | -     | n.s.    | 0.48 | <0.001 |
| DM/FM (mature leaf) | 0.49       | <0.001    | 0.12  | <0.01   | 0.08 | <0.1   |
| [Carbon] (% DM) | 0.81         | <0.001    | 0.11  | <0.01   | -    | n.s.   |
| DM/FM (young leaf) | -            | n.s.     | 0.05  | <0.1    | -    | n.s.   |
| [Carbon] (% DM) | 0.84          | <0.001    | 0.10  | <0.01   | -    | n.s.   |
| $\frac{V_{\text{cmax}}}{V_{\text{cmax}}}$ | 0.17        | <0.001    | 0.06  | <0.1    | 0.09 | <0.1   |
| $\frac{J_{\text{max}}}{J_{\text{max}}}$ | 0.28        | <0.001    | 0.05  | 0.1     | 0.12 | <0.05  |
| $\frac{A_{\text{max}}}{A_{\text{max}}}$ | 0.21        | <0.001    | 0.17  | <0.001  | -    | n.s.   |
| $\frac{R_{\text{dark}}}{R_{\text{dark}}}$ | 0.35        | <0.001    | 0.25  | <0.001  | -    | n.s.   |
| $\frac{A_{\text{max}}}{R_{\text{CO2}}}$ | -            | n.s.     | -     | n.s.    | -    | n.s.   |
| LCP        | 0.18          | <0.001    | 0.15  | <0.01   | 0.08 | 0.1    |
| $\phi$ (QUE) | 0.12          | <0.01    | -     | n.s.    | -    | n.s.   |
| $\Theta$ (curvature) | 0.05       | <0.1     | -     | n.s.    | 0.13 | <0.05  |

Leaf structural and photosynthetic data were subjected to 3-way ANOVA, in order to test effects of Eucalyptus species, fertilization regime and light intensity (and their interaction) on parameter values. Photosynthesis parameters were analyzed on dry mass basis. For analysis of photosynthesis parameters on area basis, compare Table S1. Values in bold font are effect sizes (partial $\eta^2$), that are significant at $P < 0.05$. With one exception, third-order interaction terms were statistically not significant.

- Significant $S \times N \times L$ effect on $J_{\text{max}}$ ($\eta^2 = 0.12, P < 0.05$).
leaves (c. 10% greater in full sunlight, Figure 4B), but overall, sunlight exposure had little effect on $R_{ref}$ (Table 3).

$R_{ref}$ of young leaves was, on average, c. 130% greater than that of mature leaves (Figures 5C,D and Table 3). For both species, $R_{ref}$ of young leaves was 15–20% greater at high than low N supply, but unaffected by light regime. In contrast to mature leaves, $R_{ref}$ of young leaves was merely 10% greater for *E. regnans* than *E. grandis* (significant $S \times A$ effect on ln$R_{ref}$, Table 3). The
The relationships between lnR and fresh mass (Table S4) above results differ slightly, if respiration is expressed per unit fresh mass (Figure 8A). This difference was even more pronounced at 10% sunlight compared to 50 and 100% sunlight (Significant Age × Light effect, not shown in Figure 8). Overall, lnRref was similar between species at average Nmass (Figure 8C). However, this average weight conceals significant interactions between Species × Age (Figure 8D), Species × Light (Figure 8E) and Species × Nutrition (Figure 8F and Table 4A). In Eucalyptus regnans, predicted respiration at the covariable average (Nmass) was significantly less in young leaves (but greater in mature leaves), less at high growth irradiance (but greater at 10% sunlight exposure) and less under high N-supply (but similar at low N), as compared to Eucalyptus grandis (Figures 8D–F).

Respiratory Temperature Sensitivity of Mature Leaves and Young Leaves

The two exponent variables of the extended Arrhenius-model (Equations 3, 4) define the shape of respiratory temperature responses and inform us about the mode of mitochondrial electron flux (i.e., proportional contributions of the alternative and cytochrome pathways to oxygen reduction). Contrasting curvature in Arrhenius-plots (i.e., differing δR) must also affect μRef (and lnRref, section Respiratory Capacity of Mature Leaves and Young Leaves). In fact, the three parameters were mutually interdependent (see Table 5A). We first describe treatment-effects on δR, before we return to the relationship between δR and μRef.

δR-parameter for mature leaves differed between species (Figures 6A,B), with δR averaging +3.8 kK² for E. grandis and +0.6 kK² for E. regnans. δR tended to increase with irradiance and N-supply in E. grandis (albeit statistically not significant within species, Figure 6A), whereas δR of mature E. regnans leaves tended to decrease in response to these treatments (Figure 6B).

δR-parameter of young leaves (Figures 6C,D) was significantly less than that of mature leaves (significant age effect, Table 3), and averaged −5.4 kK² in E. grandis and −8.5 kK² in E. regnans. For young leaves of E. grandis, δR increased with light and N-supply—similar to the situation described for mature leaves. In contrast, δR of young E. regnans leaves tended to decline with increasing light and N-supply (Figure 6D). Analysis of variance between species, leaf age classes and treatments (via 4-way

| TABLE 3 | Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for leaf nitrogen and respiratory parameters. |

| Species (S) | Leaf age (A) | Nutrition (N) | Light (L) | S × A | S × N | S × L |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| η²          | P           | η²            | P         | η²   | P     | η²   | P     | η² | P |
| Leaf-N (mg g⁻¹ DM) | 0.69 <0.001 | 0.72 <0.001 | 0.38 <0.001 | 0.22 <0.001 | n.s. | 0.12 <0.001 | 0.26 <0.001 |
| Leaf-¹⁵N (µg g⁻¹ DM) | 0.57 <0.001 | 0.72 <0.001 | 0.34 <0.001 | 0.08 <0.01 | 0.10 <0.001 | n.s. | 0.09 <0.01 |
| lnRref (mol g⁻¹ DM s⁻¹) | 0.30 <0.001 | 0.86 <0.001 | 0.14 <0.001 | n.s. | 0.10 <0.001 | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. |
| δµ (kK) | - n.s. | 0.26 <0.001 | - n.s. | 0.04 <0.05 | n.s. | 0.07 <0.05 |
| δR (kK²) | 0.18 <0.001 | 0.64 <0.001 | - n.s. | n.s. | 0.04 <0.05 | 0.07 <0.06 |
**FIGURE 4** | Photosynthetic capacity and CO₂ dark-respiration (at 25°C) of Eucalyptus under differing N-nutrition and light intensity, determined via Infrared Gas Analysis. (A) Source-leaf V_{cmax} in Eucalyptus grandis. (B) Source-leaf V_{cmax} in Eucalyptus regnans. (C) Source-leaf J_{max} in Eucalyptus grandis. (D) Source-leaf J_{max} in Eucalyptus grandis. (E) Source-leaf A_{max} in Eucalyptus grandis. (F) Source-leaf A_{max} in Eucalyptus regnans. (G) Source-leaf R_{CO2} (CO₂ dark respiration at 25°C) in Eucalyptus grandis. (H) Source-leaf R_{CO2} (CO₂ dark respiration at 25°C) in Eucalyptus regnans. Open columns: “low” N-supply (LN: 2.6 mM N). Gray columns: “high” N-supply (HN: 8.1 mM N). Each column shows the average ± SE of 5–6 independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences between light regimes within species (N = 11–12 for each factor group; post-hoc Tukey HSD-test). Asterisk (*) indicates significant N-treatment effect (N = 17–18 for each factor group; n.s., not significant). N × L interactions within species were not significant. For effect sizes between species and treatments, see Table 2. For analysis on leaf area basis, see Figure S1 and Table S2.

ANOVA), confirmed small, but statistically significant Species × Light—and Species × Nutrition-effects on δ_R—parameter (Table 3).

Similar to the correlation between lnR_{ref} and N_{mass} (Figure 7A), there was a strong, albeit negative correlation between δ_R and μ_{Ref} (R² = 0.60; Figure 7B). There also was a
FIGURE 5 | Capacity of mitochondrial O$_2$-reduction in Eucalyptus grown under differing N-nutrition and light intensity, as determined via Calorimetry. (A) Capacity of respiratory O$_2$-reduction (i.e., lnR$_{ref}$, logarithmic rates of O$_2$-reduction at 15°C reference temperature) in mature Eucalyptus grandis leaves. (B) Respiratory capacity in mature Eucalyptus regnans leaves. (C) Respiratory capacity in young Eucalyptus grandis leaves. (D) Respiratory capacity in young Eucalyptus regnans leaves. Open columns: “low” N-supply (LN: 2.6 mM N). Gray columns: “high” N-supply (HN: 8 mM N). Each column shows the average ± SE of six independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences between light regimes within species (N = 12 for each factor group; post-hoc Tukey HSD-test). Asterisk (*) indicates significant N-treatment effect (N = 18 for each factor group; n.s., not significant). N × L interactions within species were not significant. For effect sizes between species and treatments (and leaf developmental stage), see Table 3.

conspicuous similarity in patterns of residual variation around the line of best fit, albeit again inverse to those observed for the lnR$_{ref}$ – N$_{mass}$ correlation. Sources of residual variation in the δR – µ$_{Ref}$ correlation were analyzed via ANCOVA (with δR as dependent variable, Table 4B). The results confirmed that species and, in particular, leaf age had comparatively large effects on δR, after accounting for the linear dependency on µ$_{Ref}$. For example, at given µ$_{Ref}$ (i.e., 4.95 kK), predicted δR was +2.3 kK$^2$ for mature and −3.9 kK$^2$ for young leaves (Figure 9B). This effect was even more pronounced for E. regnans (significant Species × Age-effect; not shown in Figure 9). Incidentally, species and leaf age had marked effects on N$_{mass}$ (Figures 2A–D) and lnR$_{ref}$ (Figures 5A–D), as described above. δR-parameter was negatively correlated with lnR$_{ref}$ (R = −0.68, Table 5A), as well as with N$_{mass}$ (R = −0.56, not shown). ANCOVA with two continuous predictor variables (µ$_{Ref}$ and N$_{mass}$), explained 71% of the variation in δR (Table 4C)—considerably less than a mixed model with one continuous predictor (µ$_{Ref}$) and
categorical treatment predictors (87%, Table 4B). Most notably, high nitrogen addition increased $\delta_R$ (Figure 9C), thereby countering the generally negative relation between $N_{mass}$ and $\delta_R$.

Finally, we extracted two principal components from the variation in three respiration parameters ($\ln R_{ref}$, $\mu_{ref}$, and $\delta_R$; Table 5B). Two factors combined explained most of the variation in three respiration parameters (96%; Table 5B). Importantly, $\delta_R$ was almost entirely related to factor 1 (or “dimension 1”), but unrelated to dimension 2.

**DISCUSSION**

Species-specific variability in leaf structural and physiological traits reflects selection pressures, which can produce alternative, but equally successful growth strategies under contrasting environmental conditions (Kruse et al., 2014). We studied plasticity of foliar traits as two eucalyptus species adapted to differing climate acclimated to light and nitrogen availability in a common garden. We discuss below how different plasticity of foliar traits might contribute to enhanced fitness of respective *Eucalyptus* seedlings in their preferred habitat (*sensu* Pigliucci, 2005). Particular attention was paid to respiratory traits that are not routinely measured, but could expand our mechanistic understanding of trait correlation networks (i.e., interdependencies between LMA, $N_{mass}$, $A_{mass_{max}}$, and $R_{ref}$; Evans, 1989; Wright et al., 2004; Niinemets et al., 2015).

**Plasticity of Leaf Structural and Photosynthetic Traits in E. grandis and E. regnans**

Acclimation to contrasting light regimes is often expressed per unit leaf area, because CO₂-assimilation is driven by a vectorial flux of photons striking the leaf (Lloyd et al., 2013), and photosynthetic traits are primarily area-proportional (Osnas et al., 2013). However, there is also good argument that photosynthesis be considered on a mass basis (Givnish, 1988), which in combination with structural attributes (such as LMA) and $N_{mass}$, too provides insight to acclimation strategies (Le Roux et al., 1999; Evans and Poorter, 2001; Medhurst and Beadle, 2005). Furthermore, significant portions of leaf dark respiration and leaf-N are proportional to mass (Osnas et al., 2013). In the present study, we chose to express and discuss foliar traits on (dry) mass basis, and present area-based traits in Supplementary Information.

Allocation of nitrogen resources and acclimation of mass-based photosynthetic capacity ($V_{c,\text{max}}$, $J_{\text{max}}$, $A_{\text{max}}$) is generally dominated by variation in PPFD (Kitajima, 1994; Frak et al., 2001; Pons and de Jong-van Berkel, 2004), as is the acclimation of LMA.
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FIGURE 6 | Temperature dependency of activation energy (δR) of mitochondrial O₂-reduction in Eucalyptus grown under differing N-nutrition and light intensity. (A) Dynamic change of μ (or respiratory activation energy, E_o) with measurement temperature, captured by δ-parameter of mature Eucalyptus grandis leaves. (B) Respiratory δ-parameter for mature Eucalyptus regnans leaves. (C) Respiratory δ-parameter for young Eucalyptus grandis leaves. (D) Respiratory δ-parameter for young Eucalyptus regnans leaves. Open columns: “low” N-supply (LN: 2.6 mM N). Gray columns: “high” N-supply (HN: 8 mM N). Each column shows the average ±SE of six independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences between light regimes within species (N = 12 for each factor group; post-hoc Tukey HSD-test). Asterisk (*) indicates significant N-treatment effect (N = 18 for each factor group; n.s., not significant). For effect sizes between species and treatments (and leaf developmental stage), see Table 3.

(Poorter et al., 2009). LMA increased with growth irradiance, but more so for E. grandis than E. regnans (Tables 1, 2). Foliar nitrogen, by contrast, was unaffected by light treatment in E. grandis, but increased with irradiance in E. regnans (Figure 2 and Table 3). J_max was actually reduced when E. grandis was grown in 100% sunlight compared to 10% sunlight, but this effect was less pronounced for E. regnans (Figures 4A–F). LMA has been proposed as a “forcing variable” (Meziane and Shipley, 2001), inasmuch as attenuation of photon flux through the lamina ensures that chloroplasts located in deeper tissues are less effective (Reich et al., 1999; Meziane and Shipley, 2001). Reduced, mass-based J_max of leaves acclimated to full sunlight can thus be explained for E. grandis given the strength of the LMA response to light regime, at constant N_mass. For E. regnans, light-dependent increases in foliar N_mass were unrelated to photosynthetic capacity, and at least some “surplus” leaf nitrogen was presumably protective (i.e., allocated to carotenoids, superoxide dismutase, ascorbate), to help dissipate excess energy...
Enhanced nitrogen nutrition increased $N_{\text{mass}}$ by c. 10% in *E. grandis* and by 25–30% in *E. regnans* (Figures 2A–D and Table 3), and was matched by similar increases in $A_{\text{max}}$ and $R_{\text{CO}2}$ (Figures 4E–H and Table 2). However, there was a strong, species-dependent mismatch between $N_{\text{mass}}$ and $A_{\text{max}}$. Mature *E. regnans* leaves contained c. 60% more nitrogen than those of *E. grandis*, but $A_{\text{max}}$ of *E. regnans* increased by only c. 25%. Growth of *E. regnans* was slower than that of *E. grandis*, and less responsive to N supply (and growth irradiance; Tables 1, 2). Available nitrogen was used effectively for production of new foliage (and roots) in *E. grandis* (sensu, Leuning et al., 1991), whereas substantial amounts “accumulated” in *E. regnans*. For example, allocation of newly acquired $15\text{N}$ to expanding foliage was stronger in *E. regnans* than *E. grandis*, in particular in full sunlight (Figures 1G,H and Table 3). Under these conditions, young and developing leaves of *E. regnans* were reddish in color (not shown), reflecting accumulation of anthocyanins (and other non-photosynthetic pigments) known for their anti-oxidant and light-protective functions (Lambers et al., 1998).

The mismatch between responses of foliar nitrogen, $A_{\text{max}}$ and growth in *E. regnans*, as compared to *E. grandis*, may also be related to climate of origin. *E. regnans* is one of many eucalypts well-adapted to cooler climates of mountain foothills in southern Australia. These species have mostly slower inherent growth rates than their lowland, northern counterparts (Kruse et al., 2012). Maintenance of greater pools of metabolic proteins can help buffer against cool temperatures, which may otherwise limit biochemical reaction rates (Berry and Björkman, 1980; Körner and Larcher, 1988; Atkin and Tjoelker, 2003). For example, DM/FR-ratios of mature leaves of *E. regnans* were much less than those of *E. grandis* (Figure 3). One interpretation is that *E. regnans* leaves maintain a larger fraction of nitrogen-rich sympast as they age. Put differently, *E. grandis* leaves have greater capacity for accretion of cell wall material (Figure 3 and Table 1). Furthermore, *E. grandis* more effectively used abundant nitrogen resources and light to produce new (and thicker) foliage, causing “dilution” of foliar N by growth. The location of our experiment overlapped with southern fringes of *E. grandis* populations, where the warm conditions were clearly better suited to sub-tropical origins of *E. grandis*.

**Plasticity of Leaf Respiratory Traits: $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ as a Measure of Mitochondrial Capacity**

As noted by Körner (2008), a particularly obstinate difficulty in functional ecology is to define physiologically meaningful parameters and reference units for plant respiration. A potentially useful indicator of respiratory capacity is “elevation” of the curve describing temperature-dependent rates of respiration per unit mass (i.e., $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$). Overall, respiratory capacity scaled positively with foliar nitrogen (Figure 7A). However, ANCOVA revealed marked differences in respiratory capacity between leaf developmental stages at the covariate average ($N_{\text{mass}}$, Figure 8B). This is likely...
due to the respective fractions of foliar N being allocated to mitochondria in young leaves, and to chloroplasts in mature leaves (Eschrich, 1995), or to greater activation state of respiratory enzymes in young leaves. Either way, developmentally linked differences in lnR_ref ~ N_mass relationships reflect greater demand for energy and anabolic intermediates in biosynthesis of expanding leaves ("growth respiration"; Penning de Vries, 1975; Azcón-Bieto et al., 1983; Thornley and Cannel, 2000; Armstrong et al., 2006; also compare Figure S2).

Respiratory capacity of young leaves at covariate average (N_mass) was less in E. regnans than E. grandis (Figure 7D). In E. regnans, respiratory capacity was, furthermore, comparatively low in full sunlight and under high N- supply (Figures 7E,F), again suggesting that under these conditions a considerable share of foliar nitrogen was allocated to protective or other functions, instead of primary metabolism. The correlation between N_mass and lnR_ref was stronger for E. grandis ($R^2 = 0.84$) than E. regnans ($R^2 = 0.54$; Figure 7B), indicating more effective usage of foliar nitrogen in primary metabolism by E. grandis, which was clearly related to faster growth.

**Temperature-Dependent Changes in Activation Energy and Underlying Physiology**

In the past, responses of leaf respiration to short-term shifts in (measurement) temperature were generally described via temperature-insensitive $Q_{10}$ (i.e., often setting $Q_{10} = 2.0$ in Earth System Models), or via classical Arrhenius kinetics where activation energy is constant (reviewed by Atkin et al., 2005). However, it has become increasingly apparent that overall activation energy of leaf respiration (or $Q_{10}$) is not constant across measurement temperatures (Atkin and Tjoelker, 2003; Noguchi et al., 2015; Heskel et al., 2016a; Adams et al., 2016; Atkin et al., 2017). In an extended, Arrhenius-type description, the temperature-dependency of activation energy is captured by the exponent parameter $\delta_R$ (Kruse et al., 2016, 2017).

In the present study, $\delta_R$-values ranged from $-15$ to $+10$ kK$^2$ (Figure 7B). This span of variation was considerably less than reported for a canopy gradient in Pinus radiata plantations ($-40$ to $+10$ kK$^2$; Kruse and Adams, 2008b), and for 12 Eucalyptus species in a thermal acclimation experiment ($-60$ to $+10$ kK$^2$; Kruse et al., 2012). However, our results suggest
that even small variation in $\delta_R$ can be of major physiological importance. $\delta_R$-parameter was significantly less in young than mature leaf, and less in E. regnans than E. grandis. Furthermore, precise calorimetric methods revealed small, but statistically significant increases of $\delta_R$ with nitrogen supply in E. grandis. These were not seen in E. regnans. $\delta_R$ increased with light in E. grandis, but decreased in E. regnans (Figures 6A–D and Table 3). We have previously argued that greater contributions of AOX (increasing $\delta_R$) reflect proportionally greater demand for carbon skeleton intermediates than for ATP (Kruse et al., 2011). Increased synthesis of amino acids and cell wall compounds associated with rapid leaf growth in E. grandis, helps account for greater $\delta_R$ in response to greater resource availability. By contrast, comparatively low $\delta_R$ in E. grandis (exhibiting greater Nmass) presumably reflects proportionally greater ATP-demand for protein maintenance (Amthor, 2000; Gifford, 2003).

Synthesis and Outlook: Treatment Effects on the $\delta_R - \mu_{\text{Ref}}$ Relationship

Relationships between $\delta_R$ and $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ (=$E_{\text{Ref}}/\mu$), where $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ denotes activation energy of mitochondrial oxygen reduction at reference temperature, help underpin mechanistic understandings of respiratory electron flux in mitochondria. At fixed reference temperature, neither $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ nor $\delta_R$ depend on the choice of other reference units, and are invariant to respiration being expressed per unit fresh mass, dry mass or foliar nitrogen. Nonetheless, foliar nitrogen (i.e., Nmass) does affect the relationship between $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ and $\delta_R$ (Table 4C).

$\delta_R$ and $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ were strongly correlated. $\delta_R$ was zero at $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ = 4.95 kK (or $E_{\text{Ref}}$/mol = 41 kJ mol$^{-1}$; Figure 7B)—similar to previous reports (Kruse and Adams, 2008b; Kruse et al., 2012). However, the coefficient of determination was significantly less ($R^2 = 0.60$) than in previous studies. Here we have identified additional effects on the $\delta_R - \mu_{\text{Ref}}$ relation, chiefly related to variation in lnRref (Table 4C). In addition to the strong correlation between $\delta_R$ and $\mu_{\text{Ref}}, \delta_R$ was also negatively correlated with lnRref (Table 5A), and with Nmass. In a previous study of short-term acclimation of respiratory CO$_2$-evolution, lnRref was negatively correlated with $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ (but not $\delta_R$), and Nmass was constant (Kruse et al., 2018). The temperature sensitivity of respiration apparently differs for O$_2$-consumption or CO$_2$-release, in both statistical and physiological senses.

Oxygen reduction is a membrane-bound process in plant mitochondria, depending on diffusion of electron carriers (i.e., reduced ubiquinone) and the activation state of AOX vs. COX, competing for electrons (Millar et al., 2011). Here we consider three scenarios with differing influence on the relationship between exponent parameters:

1. In the first scenario, for a given membrane protein concentration, the ratio of reduced to oxidized ubiquinone (UQ$_{\text{red}}$/UQ$_{\text{ox}}$) is assumed to be constant across measurement temperatures. In this scenario, $\delta_R$ remains tightly correlated with $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ (i.e., $R^2 \approx 1.0$), and the position along the
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**FIGURE 9** | Effects of categorical predictor variables on $\delta_R$, after accounting for the linear dependency on $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ (= $E_{\text{Ref}}$/Ref. $/\mu$) as co-variable in ANCOVA. (A) Leaf age -dependent effect. (B) Species-dependent effect. (C) Nutrition-related effect. Values shown are predicted $\delta_R$-values within ±95% confidence intervals at $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ = 4.95 kK $/\mu$; i.e., the x-axis intercept of the regression between exponent parameters; compare Figure 7B. The parameter $\delta_R$ defines the shape of log-polynomial temperature responses of leaf R. For $\delta_R$ < 0 the shape is concave upwards, and for $\delta_R$ > 0 it is convex downwards (compare Figure 1B). For further information on effect sizes on $\delta_R$ in ANCOVA, see Table 4B.
continuum of $\delta_R$–values indicates the activation state of AOX vs. COX (i.e., the mode of electron flux).

2. In the second scenario, we assume $\text{UQ}_{\text{red}}/\text{UQ}_{\text{ox}}$ to be greater than in the first, but still invariant with measurement temperature (and assuming the same membrane protein concentration and flux mode), thereby producing shifts in $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$. Our results suggest variation in $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ is a second leading dimension in the correlation between exponent parameters (Figure 7B and Tables 4C, 5B), and reflects absolute demand for ATP + reducing power + anabolic intermediates (Figure 10). Adjustments in both carbon- and electron flux to variation in demand for energy and intermediates can be quick, and do not require changes in enzyme concentration (Kruse et al., 2018). Nonetheless, sustained exposure to differing (a) light intensity, and (b) nitrogen nutrition, and differing metabolic demands between mature and young leaves, also caused pronounced species-specific variation in $N_{\text{mass}}$ (Figures 2A–D).

3. In a third scenario, we assume that $\text{UQ}_{\text{red}}/\text{UQ}_{\text{ox}}$ and proportions of electron flux through the alternative relative to the cytochrome pathway are the same as in the first scenario, but that enzyme concentrations are greater. Increased frequency of collision between substrate molecules ($\text{UQ}_{\text{red}}$) and enzymes would, as in the second scenario, increase $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$. At this point we recognize that membrane viscosity is a major driver of the (temperature-dependent) diffusion-velocity of electron carriers and is strongly influenced by membrane protein/lipid-ratios (Raison et al., 1980; Mitchell and Barber, 1986). Relationships between $\delta_R$ and $\mu_{\text{Ref}}$ thus seem likely to be somewhat dependent on membrane composition.

In phenomenological terms, respiratory temperature responses are readily captured via three parameters in an extended Arrhenius-type model (Equation 4). Nonetheless, the above scenarios illustrate complex underlying physiology (i.e., Tcherkez, 2017). In particular, assumption of entirely temperature-invariant $\text{UQ}_{\text{red}}/\text{UQ}_{\text{ox}}$ in vivo might be an oversimplification (Kruse et al., 2011). Even so, identification of two leading dimensions (or “drivers”) of correlations between exponent parameters helps elucidate flux control under in vivo conditions. From above, the two drivers we identify are (1) relative and (2) absolute, demand for ATP, reducing power and carbon skeleton intermediates (not ranked, Figure 10). Physiological/anatomical variables responsive to these drivers include mitochondrial density per leaf volume (dimension 2), enzyme concentration per mitochondrion (mostly dimension 2), and post-translational modifications of existing enzymes (dimension 1 and 2). For example, our high-nitrogen treatment increased leaf- $N_{\text{mass}}$ and $\ln R_{\text{ref}}$ (Figures 5A–D), and $\delta_R$ (Figure 9C), responding to both higher absolute and relative demand for carbon-skeletons for amino acid synthesis (also see Kruse et al., 2018).

Elucidating interdependencies between respiratory parameters, as affected by developmental and environmental factors, will likely continue to improve our mechanistic understanding of respiratory flux control, and could, in the
longer term, enable the development of a process-based model of plant respiration and growth. Improved process-based understanding of respiratory control might also facilitate formulation of algorithms describing acclimation of leaf gas exchange to climate change (Aspinwall et al., 2016), in order to better predict feedbacks on gas exchange between atmosphere and biosphere in Earth System Models (Atkin et al., 2017).

**CONCLUSIONS**

_**Eucalyptus grandis**_ seedlings had inherently greater capacity to use mineral N for growth, whereas nitrogen “accumulated” in foliage of _E. regnans_. N-accumulation in leaves of _E. regnans_ (stimulated by high N supply and high light intensity) was not matched by mostly small increases in photosynthetic capacity (mass-based A\(_{\text{max}}\), V\(_{\text{c,max}}\), or I\(_{\text{max}}\))—suggesting instead that a considerable fraction of leaf N was linked to protective functions._ E. grandis, _by contrast, was more flexible in adjusting LMA to prevailing growth irradiance._

Respiratory temperature responses could be described by three parameters of an extended Arrhenius equation, which captured species-dependent variation in acclimation to N supply and light regime. Respiratory capacity (i.e., InR\(_{\text{max}}\)) was strongly correlated with foliar N\(_{\text{mass}}\). The exponent parameters d\(_{\text{R}}\) and \(\mu_{\text{leaf}}\) provided information about modes of mitochondrial electron flux. We demonstrated closer coordination between N\(_{\text{mass}}\), respiratory capacity and flux mode in _E. grandis_, allowing for faster rates of growth.

Correlation analysis between exponent parameters helps us understand physiological/anatomical variables controlling flux.
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