Turbulence in an inundated urban environment during a major flood: implications in terms of people evacuation and sediment deposition
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Abstract – Floods through inundated urban environments constitute a hazard to the population and infrastructure. A series of field measurements were performed in an inundated section of the City of Brisbane (Australia) during a major flood in January 2011. Using an acoustic Doppler velocimeter (ADV), detailed velocity and suspended sediment concentration measurements were conducted about the peak of the flood. The results are discussed with a focus on the safety of individuals in floodwaters and the sediment deposition during the flood recession. The force of the floodwaters in Gardens Point Road was deemed unsafe for individual evacuation. A comparison with past laboratory results suggested that previous recommendations could be inappropriate and unsafe in real flood flows.
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1 Introduction

The flooding of urbanised areas constitutes a hazard to the population and infrastructure. Some recent catastrophes included the inundations of Vaison-la-Romaine (France) in 1992, Nîmes (France) in 1998, New Orleans (USA) in 2005, Rockhampton, Bundaberg, and Brisbane during the 2010–2011 summer in Queensland (Australia). Floods through inundated urban environments have been studied only recently. Some studies looked into the flow patterns and redistribution in streets during storm events and the implication in terms of flood modelling [1, 2]. A number of studies investigated the impact of floods on structures and buildings [3]. A few considered the potential impact of flowing waters on pedestrians [4–6]. Despite some near-full-scale physical tests, no study to date considered the level of flow turbulence and its impact on evacuation safety.

Herein a series of field measurements were performed in an inundated section of the City of Brisbane (Australia) in January 2011. Using an acoustic Doppler velocimeter (ADV), detailed velocity and suspended sediment concentration measurements were conducted about the peak of the flood. The results are detailed and presented, with a focus on the safety of individuals in floodwaters and the sediment deposition during the flood recession.
when the flood receded. This site was located between a busy access ramp and a car park building (C Block) during normal weather conditions; it was not a permanent monitoring site. For the first two periods, the ADV was mounted at location A; it was relocated to location B on 13 January 2011. All the ADV data underwent a thorough post-processing which included the removal of communication errors, the removal of average correlation values less than 60% and the removal of average signal to noise ratio (SNR) data less than 5 dB [8].

Some sediment material was collected next to the sampling site about the high water line on 13 and 14 January 2011. The median particle size was in the silt size range with an approximate diameter of 25 µm and a sorting coefficient ranging from 4.6 to 6.6. The relationship between acoustic backscatter amplitude (Ampl) of the ADV unit and suspended sediment concentrations (SSC) was tested in laboratory for SSCs between 0 and 98 kg.m$^{-3}$, allowing to estimate the instantaneous suspended sediment concentration from the instantaneous signal amplitude during the field study [9].

3 Basic observations

The ADV unit was used at two locations (Fig. 2) where the ADV sampling volume was at 0.35 and 0.083 m above the bed. Figure 3 shows the time variations of longitudinal velocity and the data are compared with the water elevations measured at the sampling site and water elevations of the Brisbane River at the City Gauge located 1.55 km downstream. In Figure 3, the bed elevation (3.42 m AHD) at the sampling site is included with a thick dashed line using the same vertical scale as the City Gauge water elevation data. The longitudinal velocity data highlighted some very large fluctuations around a mean trend (thick black line, Fig. 3) during the study. The mean velocity was about 0.5 m.s$^{-1}$, but during the last data period T5 when the mean velocity was less than 0.005 m.s$^{-1}$ (see Sect. 4.1). For comparison, the longitudinal velocity in the main river channel was probably between 3.5 and 4.5 m.s$^{-1}$.

During the whole study, the large fluctuations of all velocity components were caused largely by slow oscillations
with dominant periods of about 60 to 100 s, which were felt when the authors were in the waters. It was suggested that the flow constriction created by the concrete stairwells seen in Figure 2 induced some choking; the gap between stairwells was significantly smaller than the car park width; when the flow in the stairwell contraction choked, the energy losses in the contraction became substantially larger than the rate of energy loss of the main flow, and the inundation flow would redirect around the stairwells to achieve a minimum energy path. The flow pattern yielded some flow instabilities in the surroundings of stairwells which were amplified when their period was close to the natural sloshing period of the building car park [8].

The time-variations of suspended sediment concentration (SSC) are presented in Figure 4. The SSC data tended to show an increase in mean concentration during the study, from about 6 kg.m$^{-3}$ to more than 20 kg.m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 4). The SSC data showed some large and rapid fluctuations about the mean trend, typically with periods less than 3 s. During the data period T4 on Thursday 13 January 2011 afternoon, the suspended sediment concentration estimates highlighted some large suspended sediment concentrations and large fluctuations in SSC (Fig. 4), between $t = 135\,600$ and $140\,800$ s. The causes of these remain unexplained.

4 Discussion

4.1 Sediment deposition

During the last sampling period (T5), the water level dropped rapidly from 0.26 m down to less than 0.10 m
(over 65 min) when the ADV unit came to be out of the water. The velocity data showed a very slow motion consistent with the disconnection of the inundated road from the main river channel. The data set corresponded to the final stage of the flood water recession associated with some suspended sediment accretion. The sediment deposits were seen on 14 January 2011 morning when the floodwaters receded: the road and building floors were covered by a 5 to 10 cm thick mud sludge.

During this last sampling period (T5), the mean velocity magnitude was less than 0.005 m.s$^{-1}$ on average, but all three velocity components exhibited large fluctuations. This is illustrated in Figure 5 where the time variations of the longitudinal velocity component are presented. On average, the velocity standard deviation was about 0.03 m.s$^{-1}$: that is, a turbulence intensity $V'/|V|$ of about 500% to 700%. A frequency analysis of the velocity signals showed the existence of slow fluctuations. The dominant periods were about 100 to 105 s for all three velocity components. The vertical velocity data showed a secondary frequency of about 59 s. The SSC was 28 kg.m$^{-3}$ on average during the sampling period T5, with large fluctuations ranging from 17 to 57 kg.m$^{-3}$, and a SSC standard deviation of 6.9 kg.m$^{-3}$ (Figs. 5 and 6). The probability distribution of SSC was bimodal, with a primary mode of 25 kg.m$^{-3}$ and a secondary mode about 38 kg.m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 6).

### 4.2 Individual evacuation safety

An individual walking in the floodwaters is subjected to a range of forces including its own weight, the buoyancy force, the resultant of pressure forces caused to flow velocity around the individual, and a reaction force. Some studies have looked at the impact of flowing waters on pedestrians and associated hazards [4, 10]. They suggested two main mechanisms of failure: sliding and tumbling. In January 2011, the authors experienced first hand the force of the flood flow in Gardens Point Road. They used secured safety ropes and safety handrails to work safely in the flood waters. The authors would not describe the flow conditions as safe for evacuation because of the intense turbulent mixing and the water surges which were felt at irregular intervals.

Although some studies used the flow velocity as a design parameter, the specific force per unit width, or momentum function, is another parameter to plan the safe evacuation of individuals [5]. Herein the momentum function is calculated as: $M = d^2/2 + dV_x^2/2$, where $d$ and $V_x$ are respectively the instantaneous water depth and longitudinal velocity. A constant vertical profile of $V_x$ is assumed; this may have limited validity in the present fluctuating flow. Figure 7 presents the time-variations of the instantaneous specific momentum at location A during tests T1 and T2 and the probability distribution function of the momentum function during test T2. Overall the flow conditions in January 2011 showed large fluctuations in specific momentum $M$ between 0.2 to 0.5 m$^2$. The present observations are summarised in Figure 8 together with error bars indicating the instantaneous data range. They are compared with data for safe evacuation based upon full-scale tests under carefully-controlled conditions (Fig. 8). These tests were performed mostly in laboratory, with constant water velocity. The comparison between present observations, that the authors deemed unsafe for evacuation, and past full-scale test results (Fig. 8) indicates that the latters were inappropriate. Simply any criterion solely based upon the flow velocity, water depth or specific momentum cannot account for the hazards caused by the velocity and water depth fluctuations, and flow turbulence. In the present study, large and rapid fluctuations in velocity were observed, giving median acceleration amplitude and jerk amplitude of 0.46 m.s$^{-2}$ and 19 m.s$^{-3}$.
5 Conclusion

During the 12–13 January 2011 flood of the Brisbane River, some detailed turbulence measurements were conducted in an inundated urban setting. The turbulent velocity data were collected at relatively high frequency (50 Hz) continuously for several hours in Gardens Point Road. The data showed large fluctuations in all three velocity components, in the form of slow fluctuations that were linked with local topographic effects. The results were discussed with a focus on the sediment deposition during the flood recession and the safety of individuals in floodwaters. When the floodwater receded, the inundated road became disconnected from the main river channel. The flow motion was very weak, but all three velocity components presented comparatively large fluctuations with turbulence intensity $V'/|V|$ of about 500% to 700%. The suspended sediment concentration (SSC) was large, about 28 kg·m$^{-3}$ on average, but the probability distribution of SSC showed an unusual bimodal distribution.

The force of the floodwaters was felt in Gardens Point Road, and the conditions were deemed unsafe for evacuation in floodwaters. The authors believed that the flow conditions were treacherous because of the intense turbulent mixing and the water surges which were felt at irregular intervals. A comparison with past full-scale test results suggested that many recommendations based upon the previous data sets could be hazardous and unsafe, because they did not take into account the effects of flow turbulence.
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