Cognitive impairment is frequent among older adults, with delirium and dementia being two of the most common causes. Delirium refers to a disturbance in attention and awareness that is acute in onset and represents a change from baseline cognitive function. At least one additional cognitive disturbance is required for diagnosis, for example, disturbance of memory, orientation, language, visuospatial ability or perception; fluctuations in mental status throughout the day are also present. For a diagnosis of delirium, these disturbances cannot be better explained by another neurocognitive disorder and there must be evidence that the disturbances are a consequence of a medical condition, substance intoxication or withdrawal, a toxin, or multiple aetiologies. Often precipitated by illness or hospitalization in older adults, delirium is associated with poor short-term and long-term outcomes, including prolonged length of hospital stay, institutionalization, functional and cognitive decline, and death. In the United States, more than 2.6 million adults aged 65 years and older develop delirium each year. The health-care costs associated with delirium are estimated at more than US$ 164 billion per year in the United States and over US$ 182 billion per year in 18 European countries combined.

Dementia refers to a progressive loss of a previously acquired cognitive skill and can be used as an umbrella term for many types of progressive cognitive decline. Alzheimer disease (AD), which presents as a progressive loss of cognitive ability, often memory, but including language, orientation and the ability to perform daily tasks, is the most common form of dementia. An estimated 50 million people have dementia worldwide and this figure is projected to triple by 2050. Associated costs are also expected to rise from the estimated US$ 1 trillion globally in 2018 to US$ 2 trillion by 2030.

Despite the global impact of delirium and dementia, treatment options for these common conditions are limited. For example, although symptomatic treatments for dementia are available, the benefit of these strategies has been modest for most patients. Much effort has been devoted to finding disease-modifying drugs for dementia, but until 2021 no new dementia treatments had been approved by the FDA since 2003. For delirium, pharmacological treatment strategies, including haloperidol, second-generation antipsychotics, melatonin or cholinesterase inhibitors, have been tested but were not found to be effective either for prevention or treatment. Current practice guidelines reflect the
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cognitive impairment and dementia are independent risk factors for developing delirium\(^1\) and, moreover, delirium has been associated with an acceleration in long-term cognitive decline both in individuals with and without dementia\(^1,2\). Evidence indicates that people with dementia who develop delirium have longer lengths of hospital stays, greater cognitive and functional decline, and a higher risk of institutionalization and mortality than people with dementia who are hospitalized and do not develop delirium\(^14\). Delirium prevention strategies have been consistently demonstrated to be successful in reducing the incidence of delirium and adverse outcomes, such as falls, cognitive and functional decline\(^15,26\), length of hospital stay\(^27,28\), use of sitters\(^29\), institutionalization\(^30\), readmissions\(^31\), and health-care costs (hospital and 1-year), in mixed samples, including persons both with and without dementia\(^32–35\). These observations suggest that delirium prevention might also be useful in ameliorating the effect of delirium on the cognitive trajectory in dementia.

Understanding the inter-relationship between delirium and dementia might ultimately lead to more effective treatments for both conditions. Work performed over the last 5 years has led to important advances in delirium research, including harmonization of diagnostic and measurement tools, heightened awareness of delirium, widespread delirium screening, and implementation of clinical guidelines and pathways to optimize care. The development of ultrasensitive assays for the measurement of plasma biomarkers has furthered research into and understanding of delirium pathophysiology. In keeping with the importance of delirium, a number of comprehensive systematic reviews have been published in the past 3 years, most notably on delirium\(^1\), delirium prevention in dementia\(^2\), delirium in hospitalized older adults\(^3\) and delirium biomarkers\(^37\). In this Review, we focus on clinical and epidemiological aspects of delirium in people with dementia, examine the evidence for shared mechanisms between delirium and dementia, and discuss delirium prevention in persons with dementia.

Delirium in people with dementia

Clinical features. Dementia and delirium are distinct but inter-related conditions and, at times, are mistaken for each other. The occurrence of delirium in a person with dementia, known as delirium superimposed on dementia (DSD)\(^38\), is often unrecognized, making clinical diagnosis challenging. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) makes a point of classifying delirium as being distinct from a “pre-existing established, or evolving neurocognitive disorder”, and states that “dementia should not be diagnosed in the face of delirium”. A key feature in distinguishing delirium from dementia is the presence of an acute change in mentation from baseline or resolution of symptoms with treatment of precipitating factors (for example, infection, dehydration, drugs). A person with AD will experience a decline in memory and cognition that is typically insidious and progressive over months to years and will have preserved consciousness. By contrast, the symptoms suggestive of delirium would include information from a proxy of an acute change in mental status over hours to days characterized by confusion and inattention, fluctuating symptoms, and altered consciousness. Table 1 is a simplified comparison of the symptoms of delirium with the most common symptoms of key types of dementia; however, the concept of DSD, discussed in more detail below, is still applicable broadly to all forms of dementia.

Epidemiology. In 2017, a large \((n = 1,409)\) prospective cohort study of hospitalized adults over the age of 60 found the prevalence rate of DSD to be 31%\(^39\), whereas a 2021 meta-analysis of 81 studies, including 81,536 people with dementia, reported a pooled DSD prevalence of 48.9% in patients with dementia during hospitalization\(^41\). Nevertheless, the reported prevalence rates of DSD across studies are highly variable owing to differences in diagnostic approaches used, the overlap of symptoms between delirium and dementia, and differences in study populations (for example, higher rates are observed in populations with older ages and in medical versus surgical populations), prospective versus retrospective approaches to diagnosis and other aspects of study methodology\(^42–49\). By extrapolating from many studies, we previously estimated that delirium will develop in 1 in 2 to 1 in 5 patients with dementia who are hospitalized, which translates to a

---

**Key points**

* Delirium and dementia are frequent causes of cognitive impairment among older adults and have a distinct, complex and interconnected relationship.
* Delirium prevention strategies have been shown to reduce not only the incidence of delirium but also the incidence of adverse outcomes associated with delirium such as falls and functional decline.
* Adverse outcomes associated with delirium, such as the onset of dementia symptoms in individuals with preclinical dementia, and/or the acceleration of cognitive decline in individuals with dementia might also be delayed by the implementation of delirium prevention strategies.
* Evidence regarding the association of systemic inflammatory and neuroinflammatory biomarkers with delirium is variable, possibly as a result of co-occurring dementia pathology or disruption of the blood–brain barrier.
* Alzheimer disease pathology, even prior to the onset of symptoms, might have an effect on delirium risk, with potential mechanisms including neuroinflammation and gene–protein interactions with the APOE ε4 allele.
* Novel strategies, including proteomics, multi-omics, neuroimaging, transcranial magnetic stimulation and EEG, are beginning to reveal how changes in cerebral blood flow, spectral power and connectivity can be associated with delirium; further work is needed to expand these findings to patients with delirium superimposed upon dementia.
3–4 times higher risk of delirium than patients without dementia40. Regardless of the variation in prevalence estimates, DSD is common and, as the global population ages41, the diagnosis and treatment of this condition are likely to emerge as serious challenges. Indeed, in one study, patients with DSD had a 2.6-fold higher risk of in-hospital death than patients without cognitive impairment (neither delirium nor dementia), whereas dementia alone was not associated with a statistically significant increased risk of in-hospital death (1.5-fold higher than patients without cognitive impairment; non-significant)42.

Despite being a common syndrome, DSD often goes undetected or is misattributed to underlying dementia, which contributes, at least in part, to the wide range of prevalence rates reported across studies. Furthermore, these numbers might substantially underestimate the true prevalence, particularly when people have a form of dementia for which the symptom profile overlaps with that of delirium, for example, diffuse Lewy body disease, in which symptom fluctuations are common39. Furthermore, the formalized criteria for the diagnosis of delirium, for example, those given in the DSM-5 (REF 1), do not include specific cognitive tests, criteria, or guidance for diagnosis of delirium in the setting of dementia or pre-existing cognitive impairment, which adds to the difficulty of diagnosing DSD.

**Diagnosis.** The results of a survey among clinicians who encounter DSD showed that, despite individual clinician confidence in recognizing DSD, global consensus in assessment and diagnosis is lacking43. Baseline cognitive status is often unknown in older adults upon hospital admission. If no established diagnosis of dementia is

| Table 1 | Features of delirium and dementia |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Feature** | **Delirium** | **Dementia due to Alzheimer disease** | **Frontotemporal lobe dementia** | **Diffuse Lewy body disease** | **Vascular dementia** |
| Descriptive features | Inattention, impairment of immediate memory | Memory impairments, plus impairments in multiple other cognitive domains | Behavioural disorder, mental rigidity, distractibility | Fluctuating cognition with variations in attention and alertness | Abrupt deterioration or stepwise progression of cognitive deficits; mood and personality changes |
| Onset | Acute, episodic | Insidious | Insidious | Insidious | Insidious, abrupt or stepwise |
| Duration | Hours to months | Months to years | Months to years | Months to years | Months to years |
| Course | Fluctuating, might be worse at night and on waking | Chronic, progressive | Chronic, progressive | Chronic, progressive | Chronic, progressive |
| Alertness | Altered | Normal | Normal | Fluctuates | Normal |
| Reversibility | Usually | No | No | No | No |
| Attention | Impaired by definition | Usually, normal, but might be impaired in later stages | Might be persistently impaired and early feature | Fluctuates | Might be persistently impaired and early feature |
| Orientation | Fluctuates | Not oriented | Typically intact | Variable | Variable |
| Speech | Incoherent speech | Word-finding difficulties | Altered speech output; stereotypy of speech; echolalia; perseveration; mutism | Hypophonic speech | – |
| Thought | Disorganized and disconnected thoughts, for example, ‘flight of ideas’ | Difficulty with abstract thinking | Poor judgement; impulsivity | – | Abnormal executive function, including mental rigidity and poor insight and judgment |
| Perception | Distorted; illusions, delusions and/or hallucinations (often visual, tactile or poorly formed) | Delusions of theft or persecution, more common in later stages; hallucinations (auditory, distinct) uncommon | Delusions might be paranoid, religious or bizarre in nature | Visual hallucinations are recurrent and typically well-formed and detailed (that is, animals or children); delusions are common | Delusions more common in later stages |
| Psychomotor changes | Frequent | Inconsistent | Hyperorality; utilization behaviour | Parkinsonism | Psychomotor retardation |
| Agitation | Occurs with delirium symptoms, throughout the day | Might occur with sundowning or when resisting activities of daily living | Common | Variable | Variable |
| Sleep–wake cycle | Often reversed | Might be fragmented but circadian rhythmicity retained | Severely fragmented | REM sleep behaviour disorder | Sleep disturbances are common |
available in the medical record or from a family member, a screening tool, such as the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE)\(^\text{44}\), can be completed by a proxy who has known the patient for the past 10 years. Alternatively, the Eight-item Interview to Differentiate Aging and Dementia (AD8) can be used with a proxy that knows the patient well and can rate change over the past several years\(^\text{45}\). Although many delirium screening tools are available, relatively few have been assessed in formal studies that used large cohorts and controlled for dementia subtype or severity\(^\text{46}\). Standard diagnostic criteria for delirium, such as those in the DSM-5 or the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10), are limited in their application to DSD as neither provides specific recommendations for tests to assess attention, cognition and level of consciousness\(^\text{46}\). Furthermore, the variability and progressive decline in cognitive impairment among people with dementia present a unique challenge in selecting an appropriate test to measure cognitive impairment; substantial overlap of symptoms in delirium and dementia can also occur (as mentioned above and described in Table 1).

One instrument explicitly modified to identify delirium in the presence of dementia is the 4-DSD\(^\text{47}\), which is a 4-item scale based on the 4-AT (Arousal, Attention, Abbreviated Mental Test-4, Acute change)\(^\text{48}\). The 4-DSD has a range of 0–12; higher scores suggest the presence of delirium. However, the psychometric properties of the 4-DSD vary depending on the severity of the cognitive impairment\(^\text{49}\), and high scores on some items in the 4-DSD, such as unawareness, presence of sleep–wake disorder and inattention, might be a result of the underlying dementia process and not the delirium. Furthermore, symptoms such as agitation, aggression or psychomotor retardation, which can occur in both delirium and dementia, are not used in the assessment. Other instruments include the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM)\(^\text{50}\) and the 3D-CAM\(^\text{51}\), a brief diagnostic tool derived from the CAM algorithm. These two tools have had relatively limited testing in people with dementia\(^\text{52}\) but are flexible in the type of assessment used to score the items; for example, attention can be assessed using the months of the year backwards test or simple counting, depending on the severity of cognitive impairment. More recent strategies use brief assessments, which are better tolerated by persons with dementia, to quickly exclude delirium. These strategies include the ultra-brief CAM (UB-CAM)\(^\text{53}\) or the months of the year backwards test\(^\text{54}\), where delirium is associated with the inability to engage and complete the task. For all of these tests, the features most crucial to identifying DSD are establishing baseline status and recognizing the presence of an acute change in symptoms or behaviours.

Delirium can also vary in severity. Instruments measuring delirium severity, such as the Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale\(^\text{55}\), the Delirium Rating Scale–revised-98 (REF\text{55}) or the CAM-S long form\(^\text{56}\), also face the same challenges as delirium screening tools as symptoms of dementia can affect these severity measurements. Evidence indicates that the CAM-S short form\(^\text{57}\) is less subject to these biases than the CAM-S version, and might be a better measure of delirium severity in this setting. Ultimately, future research is needed to develop reliable screening tests and reference standards for diagnosing DSD\(^\text{58}\) and measuring delirium severity in persons with dementia.

### Delirium as a modifiable risk factor for dementia

Evidence indicates that delirium is associated with the acceleration of cognitive decline in people with dementia\(^\text{59,60}\)\(^\text{61}\). Results from the Delirium and Cognitive Impact in Dementia (DECIDE) study, published in 2021, found that delirium among adults over the age of 65 years was associated with cognitive decline. This decline consisted of an average 1.8-point reduction on the Mini-Mental State Examination (95% CI –3.5 to –0.2) and an increased risk of new dementia diagnosis at the 12-month follow-up (OR 8.8, 95% CI 1.9–41.4) in individuals with delirium compared with individuals without delirium\(^\text{62}\). This study also found that greater delirium exposure (that is, recurrent episodes, or episodes of greater severity or longer duration) was associated with a greater risk of dementia and worse cognitive outcomes. A recent meta-analysis also reported a significant association between delirium and long-term cognitive decline (OR 2.30, 95% CI 1.85–2.86)\(^\text{63}\). These observations further support an association between delirium and subsequent cognitive decline and dementia. However, whether a causal mechanism underlies this observed association is not yet clear. If a causal relationship between delirium and dementia is identified, delirium might be a modifiable risk factor for dementia. An important next step will be to further explore the mechanisms that are shared by both delirium and dementia\(^\text{64}\).

### Delirium and dementia: shared biomarkers

Biomarkers are playing an increasingly important role in advancing the mechanistic understanding of delirium. To date, most of the fluid biomarker studies in delirium and dementia have used cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or blood (plasma or serum). Although CSF is thought to more accurately represent processes occurring in the brain, the collection of CSF, particularly in people with delirium, over multiple time points or in large-scale studies holds particular challenges\(^\text{65–67}\). Blood-based biomarkers are more accessible during acute illness, but plasma concentrations of biomarkers are often very low. Fortunately, with the development of ultrasensitive immunoassays, the detection of femtomolar concentrations of protein analytes has made it possible to examine a much wider range of potential biomarkers.

A previous systematic review identified 113 delirium biomarker studies that aligned with the National Institute on Aging – Alzheimer’s Association (NIA-AA) research framework\(^\text{68}\), which defines AD using biomarkers that reflect underlying pathological processes\(^\text{69}\). Many of these studies focused on inflammatory cytokines and about 20% of studies explored known AD biomarkers such as amyloid, tau and/or markers of neurodegeneration. A subsequent systematic review of biomarkers of delirium, published in 2021, found insufficient evidence to support the use of any single biomarker as a diagnostic or prognostic marker for delirium\(^\text{70}\); however, a number...
of biomarkers did show promise for providing a better understanding of the pathophysiology of delirium.

Our intention for this review is to focus on the interface between delirium and dementia and, in our search for biomarkers shared between delirium and dementia, we opted for a direct approach by reviewing studies of biomarkers in delirium that either involved some participants with AD, including preclinical AD (that is, asymptomatic individuals identified by the presence of AD biomarkers), or that measured traditional AD biomarkers (see review criteria for details). We chose to concentrate on AD as it is the most common type of dementia and the one for which the most evidence exists on the inter-relationship with delirium. The underlying pathophysiology of delirium is complex, with evidence supporting the involvement of a number of potential mechanisms, including neurodegeneration and neuronal injury, inflammation, disturbances in brain energy metabolism, disruption in neurotransmitter function, pharmacological effects, and failure of network connectivity. Other pathways, including cortisol and the stress pathway, melatonin, and disruption of the sleep–wake cycle as well as the dopamine and cholinergic pathways, are also likely to contribute to the pathophysiology of delirium, but the specific contributions of these pathways to the inter-relationship between delirium and dementia have not been explored in detail and were thus considered to be outside the scope of this Review.

**Systemic inflammation.** Systemic inflammation is thought to have a key role in the pathogenesis of delirium (see REF. published in 2020 for a comprehensive review); however, studies have reported variable associations between plasma concentrations of the inflammatory markers CRP and IL-8 and risk of delirium. A study by McNeil et al., which included participants with and without clinical dementia, found that plasma concentrations of IL-6, IL-8 and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) were associated with duration of delirium, but only among participants who did not have clinical dementia (TABLE 2). A possible explanation for this finding is that people with dementia are more vulnerable to delirium than people without dementia. Therefore, people with dementia might become delirious without the occurrence of a substantial increase in inflammatory markers, whereas people without dementia might require a higher degree of systemic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction to develop delirium. In contrast, a single post-mortem study found higher levels of IL-6 in the brains of individuals with delirium than in the brains of individuals without delirium, and the presence of coexisting dementia did not affect these findings.

In animal studies, ample evidence indicates that systemic (peripheral) inflammation contributes to chronic neurodegenerative disorders via the activation of brain microglial cells. In one study, wild-type mice and a mouse model of progressive neurodegeneration (ME7 prion disease) were challenged with the cytokine TNF. Compared with wild-type mice, ME7 mice had increased sickness behaviour (considered to be an animal variant of delirium), impaired working memory performance, and higher levels of hippocampal and hypothalamic transcription of IL-1β, TNF, and CCL2 and translation of IL-1β. However, TNF did not result in substantial de novo pathology beyond the baseline level of neurodegeneration in ME7 animals.

In the APP/PS1 mouse model of AD, which expresses human amyloid precursor protein and a mutant version of human presenilin-1, secondary inflammatory insults (that is, infection or lipopolysaccharide challenge) were associated with an acute increase in production of IL-1β by microglia, which in turn triggered exaggerated levels of astrocytic chemokines and IL-6.

In sum, the results of these studies suggest that the association between inflammatory markers and delirium is variable and that, in the presence of dementia pathology, the brain might be more vulnerable to developing delirium. The findings also support an association between systemic inflammation and neurodegeneration; however, systemic inflammation might affect the relationship between delirium and dementia in more than one way.

**Neuroinflammation.** Neuroinflammation is recognized as a prominent feature of AD pathology. Microglial cells, the resident phagocytic cells of the brain, have many roles, including neuronal support, synaptic modulation and reorganization of neuronal circuitry. A post-mortem case–control study identified an increase in markers of microglial activity (HLA-DR and CD68) and astrogliosis (GFAP) in the brains of individuals with delirium compared with individuals without delirium; coexisting dementia did not affect this relationship. The concentration of soluble TREM2 (triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2) in the CSF is a surrogate measure of microglial function and has been found to be increased in prodromal and asymptomatic AD, with levels peaking in the mild cognitive impairment (MCI) stage, then declining during clinical dementia stages. Similar to the IL-6 findings in the study by McNeil et al., a study by Henjum et al. found that higher CSF levels of soluble TREM2 were associated with a greater likelihood of delirium, but only among participants without clinical AD. In this case, Henjum et al. speculated that people with clinical AD have constant activation of microglia by Aβ deposits and tau inclusions, and therefore the effect of delirium on microglial response is attenuated and the association is not observed.

Disruptions to blood–brain barrier (BBB) integrity allow systemic inflammatory signals to reach the brain and have been observed in individuals with dementia. One study assessed BBB disruption, as measured by Q-albumin (the ratio of CSF albumin to serum albumin), in a cohort of 120 patients with hip fracture. Ninety-one patients (76%) developed delirium or sub-syndromal delirium, of whom 59 (65%) had underlying dementia. No significant difference in the rate of BBB disruption was observed between the group of participants with dementia and those without dementia. However, all patients with BBB disruption (n = 14) had delirium (n = 11) or sub-syndromal delirium (n = 3), suggesting...
that this disruption might contribute to the development of delirium. In a number of animal studies, orthopaedic surgery was associated with increased neuroinflammation, disruption of the BBB and impaired performance on tests of attention. In mice, treatment with a broad spectrum anti-inflammatory agent was found to prevent surgery-induced BBB disruption, microglial activation and memory dysfunction.

Table 2 | Overview of potential plasma biomarkers shared between delirium and dementia

| Biomarker | Cohort size | Findings | Ref. |
|-----------|-------------|----------|------|
| **Systemic inflammation** | | | |
| CRP | 421 (80 with APOE ε4; 341 without APOE ε4) | 0 | 132 (26 with APOE ε4; 106 without APOE ε4) | 0 | In presence of APOE ε4, higher CRP was associated with delirium |
| IL-6 | 69 | 23 | 16 | 48 | Higher IL-6 was associated with longer ED delirium duration, but only in participants without dementia |
| IL-6, IL-8 | 48 | 10 | 22 | 40 | Higher S100B associated with delirium; S100B correlated with IL-6 and IL-8 |
| **AD biomarkers** | | | |
| Aβ<sup>40</sup>, Aβ<sup>42</sup>, t-tau, p-tau, p-tau<sup>181</sup> | 72 | Excluded | 38 | 0 | Higher plasma tau was associated with delirium incidence and severity |
| t-tau, p-tau<sup>17</sup>, p-tau<sup>181</sup> | 22 | Not specified | 16 | NA | t-tau, p-tau<sup>17</sup> and p-tau<sup>181</sup> were elevated after major cardiac surgery; only t-tau was associated with the incidence and severity of postoperative delirium; models adjusted for baseline cognition (MoCA) |
| **Genetic factors** | | | |
| APOE ε4 | 6 (APOE ε4 not reported) | 7 (includes both dementia only and DSD; 2 with APOE ε4) | 47 (APOE ε4 not reported) | Not reported | Presence of at least one APOE ε4 allele was associated with longer delirium duration |
| 117 (no delirium but might have had dementia) | 82 (includes both dementia only and DSD) | 45 | Not reported | APOE genotyping was performed in 116 participants. At least one APOE ε4 allele was present in 26 participants, with no difference in frequency between delirium and no delirium groups; lower IGF1 and absence of the APOE ε4 allele predicted recovery from delirium; APOE ε4 alone did not show an effect on recovery |
| 161 (33 with APOE ε4) | 0 (60 with 'history of CNS disorder') | 29 (13 with APOE ε4) | Not reported | Presence of at least one APOE ε4 allele was associated with an increased risk of early postoperative delirium |
| **Neuronal injury** | | | |
| NFL | 114 | 38 | 46 | 116 | Higher NFL concentration was associated with delirium |
| S100B | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | No association between serum S100B concentration and delirium |
| 48 | 10 | 22 | 40 | Higher S100B concentration was associated with delirium |
| **Other** | | | |
| PAI1 | 69 | 23 | 16 | 48 | Higher PAI1 was associated with longer ED delirium duration, but only in participants without dementia |
| IGF1 | 117 (no delirium but may have had dementia) | 82 (includes both dementia only and DSD) | 45 | Not reported | Delirium associated with lower IGF1 |
| Diazepam-binding inhibitor | 15 | 30 | 30 | 0 | Higher levels in individuals with dementia than in control individuals; higher levels in individuals with delirium than in individuals with dementia |

Note that studies differ in methods and reporting standards, definitions and measures for delirium and dementia used, varying study populations, and presence of different comorbidities. Therefore, we report only positive or negative associations and not effect sizes, which were not directly comparable across studies. Aβ, amyloid-β; AD, Alzheimer disease; DSD, delirium superimposed on dementia; ED, emergency department; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; NA, not available; NFL, neurofilament light; PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1; p-tau, phosphorylated tau; t-tau, total tau.
In summary, neuroinflammation is associated with both dementia and delirium separately. Similar to the data regarding systemic inflammation (discussed above), the influence of neuroinflammation on delirium in the presence of dementia pathology seems to be variable. Emerging data also support the hypothesis that BBB disruption is present in both delirium and dementia, which would enable more systemic inflammatory signals to reach the brain and exert their effects.

**AD biomarkers and apolipoprotein E.** The levels of amyloid-β (Aβ1–42), total tau (t-tau) and phosphorylated tau (p-tau) in the CSF are known to reflect key elements of AD pathology, including the presence of extracellular

| Table 3 | Overview of potential CSF biomarkers shared between delirium and dementia |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| **Biomarker** | **Cohort size** | **Findings** | **Ref.** |
| **No delirium, no dementia** | **Dementia only** | **Delirium only** | **DSD** |
| **Systemic inflammation** | | | |
| IL-8 | 176 | 83 | 19 | 53 | Among patients with HF without dementia, preoperative IL-8 levels were significantly higher in those who developed delirium than in those who did not; both cognitively healthy controls and patients with dementia had significantly lower levels of IL-8 than patients with HF |
| Total protein | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | Higher protein levels in participants with delirium than in participants with dementia |
| **Neuroinflammation** | | | |
| (sTREM2) | 44 | 10 | 15 | 50 | In patients with HF, higher levels of CSF sTREM2 in delirium, but only in participants without pre-existing dementia |
| **AD biomarkers** | | | |
| Aβ1–42 | 242 | 0 | 40 | 0 | Higher CSF Aβ1–42 concentration predicted delirium |
| Aβ4–42, t-tau, Aβ1–42 to t-tau ratio, Aβ1–42 to p-tau ratio | 49 | 10 | 16 | 54 | Higher t-tau concentration and lower Aβ4–42, Aβ4–42 to t-tau ratio, and Aβ4–42 to p-tau ratio were associated with delirium, but only in individuals without dementia |
| Aβ4–42, Aβ1–42, t-tau, p-tau181 | 93 | 33 | 26 | 47 | AD biomarkers were not associated with postoperative delirium |
| Aβ4–42 to t-tau ratio | 122 | Excluded | 31 | NA | Lower Aβ4–42 to t-tau ratio was associated with a greater likelihood of delirium |
| ATN | 53 | 0 | 6 | 0 | Preclinical AD biomarkers (presence of amyloid) was associated with greater delirium severity |
| **Neuronal injury** | | | |
| NfL | 114 | 38 | 46 | 116 | Higher NFIL concentration was associated with delirium |
| S100B | 83 (HF); 50 (ES) | 49 (HF); 0 (ES); 52 (HF); 0 (ES) | 39 | Among patients with pathological levels of p-tau, an increase in S100B concentration was observed in patients with incident delirium compared with patients with no delirium |
| Lactate | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | Patients with delirium had higher lactate levels than patients with dementia |
| NSE | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | Patients with delirium had lower levels of NSE than patients with dementia |
| FABP3 | 171 | 10 | 16 | 55 | No association with delirium; CSF FABP3 concentration was correlated with t-tau and p-tau levels |
| **Other** | | | |
| Neurogranin | 175 | 10 | 18 | 52 | No association with delirium |
| **Proteomics and metabolomics** | | | |
| Apolipoproteins and chromogranin and secretogranins | 8 | 17 | 17 | 0 | Discovery proteomics study; identified upregulation of inflammatory proteins and downregulation of apolipoproteins and chromogranin and secretogranins in delirium compared with AD |
| Spermidine, glutamine, putrescine | 26 | 0 | 28 | 0 | Targeted metabolomic study; elevated preoperative CSF spermidine, glutamine and putrescine predicted delirium; spermidine concentration was negatively correlated with Aβ1–42 |

Note that studies differ in methods and reporting standards, definitions and measures for delirium and dementia used, varying study populations, and presence of different comorbidities. Therefore, we report only positive or negative associations and not effect sizes, which were not directly comparable across studies. AD, Alzheimer disease; Aβ, amyloid-β; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; DSD, delirium superimposed on dementia; ES, elective surgery; FABP3, fatty acid-binding protein 3; HF, hip fracture; NA, not available; NfL, neurolfilament light; NSE, neuron-specific enolase; p-tau, phosphorylated tau; sTREM2, soluble fragment of triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells; t-tau, total tau. "The ATN biomarker framework is used to distinguish AD from non-AD causes of cognitive impairment with three types of biomarkers: β-amyloid deposition (A), pathological tau (phosphorylated tau, T) and neurodegeneration (total tau, N).". Secretogranins associated with neurodegeneration. *Putrescine is elevated in AD and might be involved in amyloid plaque formation.
Aβ plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (consisting of hyperphosphorylated tau protein) in cortical and limbic areas of the human brain. AD is associated with lower CSF Aβ42 levels and higher CSF tau levels. These biomarkers have a central position in the 2018 NIA-AA biological definition of AD and AT(N) classification system, which groups biomarkers into those that reflect Aβ deposition (A), pathological tau (T) and neurodegeneration (N). Studies that examined these AD biomarkers in individuals with delirium have produced mixed results, but associations between delirium and CSF levels of tau and Aβ have been reported (Table 3).

In one study, low concentrations of Aβ42 in CSF after elective hip surgery were predictive of delirium in patients without dementia. Similarly, in another study of patients with hip fracture without dementia, lower CSF Aβ42 and higher CSF t-tau levels were present in participants that developed delirium compared with participants that did not develop delirium. This observation suggests that preclinical AD brain pathology is relevant to and might have a role in delirium pathophysiology. In a prospective observational cohort of older patients undergoing surgery, plasma p-tau concentrations were higher after surgery than before surgery, and this increase was significantly larger in participants with delirium than in participants without delirium. After adjusting for age, sex, preoperative cognition and change in plasma IL-8 levels, the association between plasma p-tau concentration and delirium severity remained statistically significant. Another study reported that individuals with a lower CSF Aβ42 to tau ratio, which is indicative of greater AD pathology, were more likely to have postoperative delirium than individuals with a higher CSF Aβ42 to tau ratio. One study identified an association between depression and an increased likelihood of postoperative delirium in older adults undergoing hip fracture repair. In this study, CSF Aβ42 to t-tau and Aβ42 to p-tau181 ratios were inversely associated with higher depression scores, suggesting that depression is associated with underlying AD pathology and postoperative delirium. In contrast, another study of older adults with hip fracture did not find significant differences in preoperative concentrations of Aβ42, t-tau and p-tau in the CSF between participants with and without delirium (Tables 2 and 3).

The ε4 allele of the gene encoding apolipoprotein E (APOE) is a known risk factor for AD, but whether it is also a risk factor for delirium is unclear. A number of studies have identified an association between APOE ε4 and the risk of delirium, whereas other studies found no such association. In one study of older adults without dementia undergoing elective surgery, a strong relationship between a high concentration of plasma CRP and delirium incidence was identified in carriers of at least one APOE ε4 allele, but this association was not observed among non-carriers. This finding suggests that gene–protein interactions might modify inflammation, thereby representing an indirect link between delirium and dementia. A study of patients undergoing surgical repair of hip fracture found that lower plasma levels of IGF-1 and absence of the APOE ε4 allele predicted delirium recovery (Table 2).

Animal models have been used to test the hypothesis that postoperative delirium results from disruption of the BBB by neuroinflammation and neurovascular dysfunction and that the brain is more susceptible to such changes in the setting of pre-existing AD pathology. One model that has been used for this purpose is the APPSwDI/NOS2−/− mouse line, which is a cross between a line of mice that develops Aβ protein deposits and a line of mice with nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2) knockout. This model, also referred to as the CVN-AD model, has a phenotype of impaired spatial memory, extensive tau pathology, dense microvascular amyloid plaques, and statistically significant neuron loss in the hippocampus and subiculum. In one study, tibial fracture surgery was performed on 12-month-old CVN-AD mice. Compared with naïve mice, mice that had undergone surgery had distinct neuroimmune and vascular impairments, including increased levels of neuroinflammatory markers, such as G-CSF, IL-6, IL-1β, TNF, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1) in plasma, acute microgliosis in the hippocampus and cortex, and Aβ deposition in the hippocampus. Increased expression of aquaporin 4 (AQP4), a marker of neurovascular dysfunction, was also observed in mice that had undergone surgery. These changes were accompanied by impaired performance on a serial reaction time task, a measure of attention and a core feature of delirium-like behaviour in animal models.

The results of the studies discussed here suggest three possible relationships between delirium and dementia. First, most but not all studies support an association between the presence of AD biomarkers and delirium incidence. This suggests that underlying AD pathology, even in the absence of cognitive impairment (that is, preclinical dementia), might influence the development of delirium. Second, work examining the relationship between APOE genotype and delirium suggests that there might be gene–protein interactions that modify inflammation in patients with the APOE ε4 allele who develop delirium. Last, evidence from the CVN-AD animal model further supports a role of neuroinflammation in both delirium and dementia.

Neuronal injury. Emerging data from studies of patients with delirium and patients with DSD indicate an association between neuronal injury markers and delirium, but whether neuronal injury creates a permissive ‘condition’ for delirium occurrence, or is a consequence of delirium, is not yet known. Early work focused on plasma levels of S100B, which is a calcium-binding protein that is found primarily in astrocytes and can easily cross the BBB, and neuron-specific enolase (NSE), which is a cytoplasmic enzyme found in neurons and neuroendocrine cells. This work yielded mixed results, with some studies finding associations between elevated serum S100B and delirium, whereas other studies found no association between CSF S100B and delirium. One study that did find an association between S100B and delirium observed this association only in participants with p-tau levels consistent with dementia. In another study, serum S100B levels were associated with delirium but also correlated with levels of IL-6 and IL-8, suggesting...
that neuroinflammation owing to delirium could be related to neuronal injury.

In a study published in 2018, CSF levels of neurofilament light (NFL), a marker of neuroaxonal injury, were higher among patients with hip fracture who developed delirium than those who did not develop delirium. Whether this increase in NFL is a result of underlying neurodegeneration or secondary to a delirium-associated reaction that triggers neuronal injury is unclear. A more recent study of older adults undergoing elective surgery found that plasma NFL levels increased gradually during the 4 days after surgery in all patients, although the increase in NFL was more profound in patients with delirium. We also performed a study in a cohort of older adults without dementia undergoing elective surgery and found that patients who had higher levels of plasma NFL before surgery were more likely to develop delirium. Furthermore, those with the highest plasma NFL levels at baseline had more severe delirium than those with the lowest plasma NFL levels. Compared with baseline, plasma NFL levels were increased on postoperative day 1 and this increase was more pronounced in patients with delirium than in patients without delirium. At 1 month after surgery, plasma NFL levels remained elevated, and higher NFL levels were associated with a greater likelihood of delirium during hospitalization and a greater degree of cognitive decline at the 1-month time point. These findings suggest that NFL could be a useful as a predictive biomarker for delirium risk and long-term cognitive decline and, once confirmed, would provide pathophysiological evidence for neuroaxonal injury after delirium. However, these results should be interpreted with caution as increased levels of plasma NFL can also arise from damage to the peripheral nervous system as well as general anaesthesia and surgery.

In a study of patients with hip fracture, increased levels of lactate and reduced levels of NSE in the CSF were observed in individuals with delirium compared with individuals with dementia. Lactate production is increased by impaired tissue oxygenation, and NSE, an isoform of the glycolytic enzyme enolase, leaks into the extracellular space during cellular injury or death. The authors of the study speculated that, in delirium, changes in metabolism result in increased levels of CSF lactate, which induces secondary suppression of NSE levels, and that lactate has a greater role in delirium than NSE. Fatty acid-binding protein 3 (FABP3) is a cytoplasmic transport protein for fatty acids and other lipophilic substances. FABP3 has been linked to metabolic and inflammatory pathways and is considered a non-specific marker of neurodegeneration. FABP3 is released into the CSF in neurodegenerative disorders and after brain injury, and CSF levels were found to be elevated after hip fracture in older adults. However, FABP3 levels were not associated with delirium. These results suggest that neuronal injury reflected by FABP3 might be distinct from processes involved in delirium (Table 2 and 3).

In summary, the data on injury markers — neuronal, astrocytic and glial — are mixed. Brain cell injury might increase the risk of delirium, and delirium itself might then lead to additional injury and release of injury markers. The presence of underlying neuroinflammation or AD pathology might also be associated with cellular injury, suggesting that multiple injury pathways are involved in the relationship between delirium and dementia (Table 2). Further studies are needed to establish the presence of a causal relationship between delirium, neuronal injury and cognitive impairment.

**Other.** In a study published in 2019, PAI-1, which promotes fibrinolysis and contributes to endothelial dysfunction, was measured in the plasma of a group of older adults admitted to hospital. Higher PAI-1 levels were associated with longer duration of delirium, but only among patients without dementia. This observation suggests that, similar to the findings regarding IL-6, delirium might be precipitated by lower levels of PAI-1 in people with dementia than in people without dementia. Neurogranin is a postsynaptic calmodulin-binding protein commonly found in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex and involved in synaptic regeneration and plasticity. A meta-analysis found that CSF neurogranin concentration can predict cognitive decline in individuals with MCI; however, in a study of patients with hip fracture with and without delirium and patients with AD, CSF levels of neurogranin were not associated with delirium or with DSD. Diazepam-binding inhibitor has been proposed as a biomarker of neuroinflammation as it binds to translocator protein (TSPO) and reflects microglial activation and neuroinflammation. In a small study, the average CSF level of diazepam-binding inhibitor was higher in patients with dementia than in healthy controls and higher in patients with delirium than in patients with dementia (Table 3). Further study of these potential mechanisms is required.

**Proteomics and metabolomics.** Proteomic and metabolomic studies can either use an exploratory, non-hypothesis-driven approach or a targeted approach that assesses the expression of genes or proteins that are already known to be involved in the pathogenesis of a disease. In one exploratory proteomic project, investigators compared CSF from participants with delirium with CSF from participants with mild AD. Delirium was associated with increased levels of proteins involved in neurodegeneration and inflammation, such as α1-acid glycoprotein, α2-macroglobulin and fibrinogen, and decreased levels of chromogranins and secretogranins. α1-Acid glycoprotein upregulation was confirmed by ELISA. The researchers also compared the control participants with mild AD to those with moderate AD and found that many of the proteins dysregulated in delirium were unaffected in moderate AD, suggesting that there are distinct pathways occurring in delirium and dementia. A targeted metabolomic study of preoperative CSF samples found that polyamines, specifically spermidine, glutamine and putrescine, were elevated in patients who developed postoperative delirium compared with those who did not. Although this study excluded patients with clinical dementia, other evidence indicates that polyamines are also elevated in AD and might be involved in the formation of Aβ plaques. Future proteomic and metabolomic studies could identify biomarkers and
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pathways that are associated with the inter-relationship between delirium and dementia (TABLE 3).

Neuroimaging. Structural and functional neuroimaging biomarkers have been studied extensively in dementia and an increasing number of studies have examined these markers in delirium in older adults without dementia\(^{16}\). A growing number of studies in the past several decades have identified predictors of delirium, such as underlying brain atrophy\(^{17}\) and white matter intensities\(^{18,19}\), or correlates of delirium such as reduced cerebral blood flow\(^{20,21}\) and changes in functional connectivity\(^{22}\). The ‘AD signature’ refers to the reduction in cortical thickness in a specific set of brain regions that has been used as a structural biomarker of AD and is associated with cognitive decline and progression to dementia\(^{23}\). One study examined the link between delirium and the AD signature by performing preoperative MRI scans in a cohort of older adults undergoing elective surgery\(^{24}\). A thinner cortex in the AD signature regions did not predict delirium incidence, although cortical thinning was associated with greater delirium severity among those who developed delirium. This finding suggests that cortical atrophy, possibly as a result of underlying neurodegeneration owing to preclinical AD, might serve as a vulnerability factor that increases severity once delirium occurs.

Functional network connectivity studies have identified lower connectivity strength and network efficiency in patients with AD\(^{25}\) or with amnestic MCI\(^{26}\) compared with healthy controls as well as a loss of efficiency and local clustering in individuals with delirium compared with those without delirium\(^{27}\). These observations support the hypothesis that brain network dysconnectivity is a final common pathway for delirium\(^{28,29}\). A limited number of studies have performed neuroimaging in individuals with DSD. In one study, each participant underwent an \(^{18}\)F]fluoro-2-deoxyglucose PET scan during the delirium episode and again after hospital discharge and resolution of delirium. Global hypometabolism was observed during delirium whereas, after delirium resolution, higher metabolism was observed globally and specifically in the posterior cingulate cortex\(^{30}\). Anatomically, the posterior cingulate cortex is an important node in the default network and is involved in diverse brain functions, including cognition, attention and arousal\(^{30}\). Studies have reported early amyloid deposition\(^{31}\) and reduced metabolism\(^{32}\) in this region in individuals with AD. Another study reported increased functional connectivity between the posterior cingulate cortex and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and reversible reduction of functional connectivity of subcortical regions in participants with ongoing delirium compared with participants without delirium and participants who had recovered from delirium\(^{32}\). In a small study (n = 16) of older patients with hip fracture without dementia, participants who experienced postoperative delirium (n = 5) all had negative PET-amyloid findings and 6 of the 11 participants without postoperative delirium had positive PET-amyloid findings on scans acquired 3–5 months after recovery from surgery\(^{33}\) (TABLE 4). These findings suggest that preclinical amyloid pathology does not contribute to an increased risk of delirium after non-elective surgery, and further study is warranted.

In summary, neuroimaging biomarkers have identified both structural and functional predictors of delirium. Some but not all neuroimaging markers of AD were also associated with delirium. The results of a functional imaging study of patients with DSD suggest that changes occur in network connectivity, particularly the posterior cingulate cortex, during an episode of delirium.

Transcranial magnetic stimulation, Doppler and EEG. A number of novel, innovative biomarkers of brain activity have shown promise in understanding the interface of delirium and dementia. Transcranial Doppler is a non-invasive technique that uses ultrasound to measure the velocity of flow through blood vessels in the brain. One study used this approach to measure flow velocity (FV) in the middle cerebral artery in individuals with DSD, dementia or delirium as well as a cognitively healthy control group\(^{34}\). Compared with the other groups, statistically significant reductions in FV were observed in participants with delirium or DSD, with the lowest FV observed in the group of participants with DSD. Of note, among participants with delirium, FV increased once the delirium resolved.

Changes in EEG spectral power and connectivity — specifically, EEG slowing characterized by increases in delta and theta band frequencies and decreases in alpha band frequencies — have been identified in patients with preclinical AD\(^{35}\), MCI\(^{36}\) and AD\(^{37,38,39}\) in comparison with cognitively healthy controls. A systematic review of the literature on EEG and delirium reported that delirium in adults is consistently associated with EEG slowing and decreased alpha band EEG connectivity\(^{40,41}\). Similarly, a study identified a correlation between intraoperative frontal alpha power and preoperative cognitive function in older adults\(^{42}\), and an intraoperative processed EEG-based measure of lower brain anaesthetic resistance was associated with increased postoperative delirium risk in older patients undergoing surgery\(^{43}\). However, additional research is needed to understand how this finding relates to DSD. One conceptual model theorizes that delirium occurs from disruption of normal brain function secondary to impairments in brain connectivity and plasticity; this hypothesis could be tested using transcranial magnetic stimulation and EEG\(^{44,45}\).

In summary, markers of brain physiology are beginning to reveal how changes in cerebral blood flow, spectral power and connectivity can be associated with delirium; however, further work is needed to expand these findings to patients with DSD.

Delirium prevention in persons with dementia. Multidisciplinary, multicomponent, non-pharmacological interventions have been developed for delirium prevention. These interventions include the Hospital Elder Life Program (HELP)\(^{46,47}\) and the ABCDEF bundle\(^{48}\), which have been found to reduce the incidence and duration of delirium and reduce functional decline in older patients\(^{49}\). The interventions vary in the number of components...
included, but most include individualized care, education, reorientation and early mobilization. A meta-analysis of 8 studies, involving a total of 2,105 participants, reported that multicomponent interventions reduce the incidence of delirium (RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.41–0.69, I^2 = 0). HELP includes interventions specifically for use in persons with dementia (Table 5), but their effectiveness in this population is not yet clear. A systematic review that assessed the effectiveness of delirium prevention in individuals with dementia identified seven studies that met inclusion criteria. Using the GRADE framework for the evaluation of study quality, three studies were determined to be of moderate quality and four of low quality. Both studies with moderate grade evidence (that is, the true effect is probably close to the estimated effect) — one study using pre-printed delirium-friendly postoperative orders and another using a multidisciplinary postoperative intervention — reported significant reductions in delirium incidence compared with usual care. In terms of pharmacological intervention, in a single 2-year, open-label study comparing rivastigmine with aspirin in patients with vascular dementia, significantly fewer participants in the rivastigmine group developed delirium. However, a subsequent multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial found that rivastigmine did not reduce delirium duration and the trial was halted early due to increased mortality in the rivastigmine group.

A major limitation of the studies discussed here is the lack of high-grade evidence owing to variability in how delirium and dementia are defined in the study, the inclusion of more than one type of dementia, small sample sizes and the use of case–control study designs. One study, which found that use of HELP was associated with a significant reduction in delirium incidence, did include persons with dementia, but the effect of the prevention was not examined in this subgroup. More definitive delirium prevention trials in persons with dementia are needed and are under way. For example, the PREvention Program for Alzheimer’s RElated Delirium (PREPARED) cluster randomized

| Table 4 | Overview of potential biomarkers shared between delirium and dementia: neuroimaging and neurophysiological studies |
| Biomarker | Cohort size | Findings | Ref. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Neuroimaging** | | | |
| 2-[^18F]Fluoro-2-deoxyglucose PET | 0 | 4 | 13 | 4 | Global cortical hypometabolism was observed during delirium (n = 13); post-delirium (n = 6) greater glucose metabolism was observed in the whole brain and bilateral PCC compared with during delirium |
| [^[18F]Flutemetamol PET | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | Participants with delirium had no evidence of amyloidosis, whereas 6 of 11 control participants did have evidence of amyloidosis |
| Structural MRI | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | Compared with controls, participants with delirium had reduced grey matter volumes and white matter integrity in the right temporal and bilateral medial frontal areas |
| | 113 | 0 | 32 | 0 | Patients who had thinner cortex in ‘AD signature’ regions had greater delirium severity |
| Resting-state functional MRI | 22 | 0 | 22 (14 scanned again after resolution of delirium) | 0 | Increased functional connectivity between the PCC and DLPFC and reversible reduction of functional connectivity of subcortical regions was observed in delirium |
| **Other imaging** | | | |
| Cerebral hypoperfusion (transcranial doppler) | 14 | 10 | 12 | 8 | Flow velocity was lower in participants with DSD than in participants with acute illness without delirium or dementia; flow velocity was lower in participants with DSD than in participants with either AD or delirium alone |

Note that studies differ in methods and reporting standards, definitions and measures for delirium and dementia used, varying study populations, and presence of different comorbidities. Therefore, we report only positive or negative associations and not effect sizes, which were not directly comparable across studies. AD, Alzheimer disease; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; DSD, delirium superimposed on dementia; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex.
Table 5 | Suggested adaptations to delirium prevention interventions for individuals with dementia

| Targeted risk factor | Interventions | Description | Adaptation for dementia |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Cognitive impairment | Orientation protocol | Orientation board with names of care team members and daily schedule; orienting communication once a day | Orientation protocol three times a day; education for staff in special approaches to communication with individuals with dementia |
| Therapeutic activities | Cognitive stimulation activities three times a day (customized selection according to leisure interests and physical impairments) | | Additional customization for the selection of activities according to level of cognitive function |
| Immobility | Early mobilization | Walking or active range-of-motion exercises three times a day; minimizing use of immobilizing equipment and physical restraints | For all tasks, focus on one-step, as opposed to multistep, instructions |
| Vision impairment | Vision protocol | Providing visual aids and adaptive equipment, with daily reinforcement | For all tasks, focus on one-step, as opposed to multistep, instructions |
| Hearing impairment | Hearing protocol | Providing portable amplifying devices; earwax disimpaction; special communication techniques, with daily reinforcement | For all tasks, focus on one-step, as opposed to multistep, instructions |
| Dehydration | Oral volume repletion | Early recognition of dehydration and oral volume repletion; encouragement during meals | For all tasks, focus on one-step, as opposed to multistep, instructions |
| Sleep deprivation | Non-pharmacological sleep protocol | At bedtime, warm drink, relaxation music or sounds, and massage; unit-wide noise reduction programme; rescheduling medications and procedures to allow uninterrupted sleep | Importance of behavioural (for example, avoid caffeine and diuretics after mid-day) and environmental changes to enhance sleep (for example, darkened, quiet room, minimize interruptions) |
| Polypharmacy and inappropriate medications | Psychoactive medications protocol | Screen medications daily; minimize medications listed in AGS Beers Criteria and psychoactive medications; discuss strategies with an interdisciplinary team | Avoidance of psychoactive medications even more important for this high-risk group |
| Other protocols | Nursing interventions | Targeting delirium risk factors (as above) in all patients, with special nursing focus to maintain early mobility, prevent dehydation, avoid psychoactive medications and maximize sleep hygiene; use of non-pharmacological approaches for sleep, anxiety or pain | Daily delirium screens with medical work-up as indicated; minimizing psychoactive medications; non-opioid treatments for pain; educating patients, families and staff about behavioural management in dementia and sundowning |
| Provider education | Educational programme about delirium and delirium prevention | Educational programme about delirium superimposed on dementia; special needs of dementia patients; behavioural management of agitation |
| Emotional support | Nursing, chaplaincy, social work support | Include family and informal caregivers |

Of note, the clinical trial, which aims to assess the effect of a multicomponent intervention on the incidence of delirium, severity of delirium episodes, duration of delirium episodes, and number of delirium episodes among persons with dementia and/or cognitive impairment residing in a long-term care facility\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^1\).

In summary, most delirium prevention strategies focus on minimizing one or more modifiable delirium risk factors via a non-pharmacological, multidisciplinary approach\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^\)\(^5\)\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\). Whether and how prevention strategies might address specific pathophysiological mechanisms remains unknown and presents an important area for future research.

A hypothetical model. Taken together, the evidence discussed here indicates that the inter-relationship between delirium and dementia is likely to be complex (Fig. 1). Delirium might be the expression of the balance between vulnerability (that is, the factors predisposing towards the development of delirium) and resilience (that is, the ability to maintain function in the setting of an insult or precipitating factors). In this model, patients who are highly vulnerable to delirium because of factors such as underlying neurodegeneration or abnormal neuro-inflammation develop delirium only when resilience factors, for example, cognitive reserve, can no longer maintain healthy functioning. The development of delirium might then result in acceleration of the underlying neurodegeneration, perhaps via inflammation or gene interactions with inflammation. Alternatively, in some individuals, delirium itself might be associated with neuronal injury\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^3\)\(^1\)\(^5\), with ‘de novo’ mechanisms then leading to dementia. These hypotheses could be tested by measuring a biomarker of brain vulnerability prior to exposure to a precipitant and determining if biomarker levels are predictive of incident delirium. Next, a biomarker of neuro-inflammation could be measured during the delirium episode and an association with incident delirium or delirium severity could be tested for. Last, a biomarker of neuronal injury, such as NFL, could be measured during the delirium episode to test for an association with incident delirium and delirium severity as well as after the delirium episode to test for an association with outcomes...
of delirium. To date, a causal relationship between delirium and neuronal injury has not been established.

A major challenge in understanding the relationship that exists between delirium and dementia is that the underlying pathology for each condition is complex and involves multiple mechanistic pathways. As discussed above, potential pathophysiological mechanisms in delirium include neurodegeneration and neuronal injury, inflammation, disturbances in brain energy metabolism, disruption in neurotransmitter function, effects of pharmacological agents, and failure of network connectivity. For dementia, although we have focused on studies of AD, possible contributions from mixed dementia pathology (that is, AD and cerebrovascular disease) must also be considered. Furthermore, some patients with AD biomarkers did not develop delirium after surgical treatment of hip fracture⁹⁹, suggesting that the presence of AD pathology does not guarantee the development of delirium in response to this precipitant. Review of the existing literature on delirium biomarkers found that many studies either did not include known AD biomarkers, the quality of biomarker data was moderate or had a high risk of bias, or the cognitive data was limited⁹⁹.

Adding further complexity to the delirium–dementia inter-relationship is that both delirium and dementia exist along a continuum, with stages of AD ranging from preclinical AD, defined as the presence of pathological AD biomarkers in cognitively healthy individuals, to mild, moderate and severe stages of cognitive impairment. The term sub-syndromal delirium refers to the acute or subacute onset of delirium symptoms, including disturbed attention and other cognitive and/or neuropsychiatric disturbances, in the absence of full syndromal delirium but not better accounted for by another neuropsychiatric condition¹⁵⁴. Sub-syndromal delirium sometimes progresses to delirium, which itself varies in severity. Thus, future studies examining the inter-relationship between delirium and dementia must address these issues by incorporating novel biomarkers into thoughtfully designed studies.

**Delirium in an ageing population**

Our knowledge and understanding of delirium pathophysiology have advanced, but much still needs to be learned about the relationship between delirium and dementia. The number of people with dementia worldwide already exceeds 5 million and, as the global population ages, this figure is expected to reach 152 million by 2050 (REF⁸). Likewise, because dementia is such a strong risk factor for delirium and because delirium is common among older adults, delirium and dementia will clearly continue to be substantial public health issues. This increasing burden on health-care systems highlights the imperative for future research. Efforts to refine the consensus definition of delirium, harmonize instruments for measuring delirium and delirium severity, advance our understanding of delirium pathophysiology, and develop novel prevention and treatment strategies¹⁵⁵ are ongoing. However, research efforts with the same goals should also be adapted and directed towards the interface between delirium and dementia (BOX 1).

One particularly important area for future research is the examination of the effects of delirium prevention on dementia incidence and rate of progression. Our prior work found that, among persons with dementia, an episode of delirium was associated with a threefold increase in the rate of cognitive decline¹⁹. Delirium prevention is an intervention that has been shown to be effective and is already readily available; thus, studies that directly quantify the benefit of delirium prevention on the cognitive trajectory in AD are greatly needed.

**Conclusions and future directions**

Delirium and dementia are common conditions in older adults, often occurring together as DSD. However, DSD often goes undetected or is assumed to be part of the

---

**Fig. 1** A hypothetical model for the inter-relationship between delirium and dementia and potential opportunities for prevention. a,b | In the setting of precipitating factors, such as hypoxia, metabolic abnormalities, medications, infection or surgery, and in the presence of an existing vulnerability, such as Alzheimer disease (AD) or other neurodegenerative pathology, cerebrovascular disease, or injury, delirium (green) can occur. Alternatively, owing to the presence of resilience factors, such as cognitive reserve, or the implementation of prevention strategies (grey) to minimize one or more modifiable delirium risk factors, delirium does not occur (red). c | The development of delirium and subsequent neuroinflammation might then result in the acceleration of underlying neurodegenerative pathology. Alternatively, in individuals without underlying neurodegenerative pathology, delirium might be associated with neuronal injury, with ‘de novo’ mechanisms leading to dementia.
underlying dementia. Recognition of the presence of an acute change from baseline or of symptoms specific to delirium or dementia might aid in the detection of delirium in a person with dementia. Two major challenges of developing screening and diagnostic instruments for DSD are the varying severity of the underlying cognitive impairment and the lack of a reliable reference standard. In 2020, two working groups published roadmaps of research priorities in delirium\textsuperscript{57,135}, with goals of improving patient care and clinical outcomes, developing better prevention and treatment strategies, and advancing our understanding of the biology of delirium and the inter-relationship between delirium and dementia. As the number of older adults — and thus the number of individuals at risk of delirium — is growing and the evidence supporting delirium as a risk factor and possible trigger for age-related brain disorders is accumulating, there has been a heightened effort to facilitate the recognition and treatment of delirium. For example, the Network for Investigation of Delirium: Unifying Scientists (NIDUS) is a collaborative, interdisciplinary network of investigators across more than 27 institutions worldwide and aims to advance scientific research on the causes, mechanisms, outcomes, diagnosis, prevention and treatment of delirium in older adults. Another global delirium campaign, the International Drive to Illuminate Delirium (IDID)\textsuperscript{57}, aims to advance the field of delirium along the pillars of diagnosis, awareness, burden, biology and policy to ultimately lessen the physical and cognitive burden of delirium. This campaign recognizes that delirium is an important risk factor and a potential trigger for cognitive, motor and mood disorders in older adults and that delirium and accelerated cognitive decline might unmask pre-existing preclinical dementia pathology and thereby reduce the time to onset of clinical dementia. Therefore, delirium is increasingly recognized as an important and unexplored opportunity for dementia prevention\textsuperscript{57}.

With the awareness that delirium is a risk factor for dementia, improving the care of individuals with delirium must become a key focus of public health efforts\textsuperscript{57}. Global public health awareness campaigns that recognize the potential role of delirium as a risk factor and trigger for new dementia and acceleration of cognitive decline will hopefully aid in achieving a better understanding of DSD. Such campaigns could also help identify the extent to which delirium is a potentially modifiable risk factor for dementia and whether delirium and dementia have shared mechanisms. Although delirium has traditionally been considered a geriatric syndrome, re-conceptualizing delirium as a neurological condition and increasing the focus on aetiology and subsequent neuropathophysiology\textsuperscript{106,107} would help further advance our understanding of the relationship between delirium and dementia.

Evidence from biomarker studies supports a role of systemic inflammation, neuroinflammation and neuronal injury in delirium pathophysiology. Whether underlying factors, such as preclinical dementia, make the brain more vulnerable and thus more likely to lose the ability to function normally in the face of infection or trauma, with the end result being an episode of delirium, or if delirium itself causes neuronal injury and death, remains unknown; however, both of these possibilities are supported by emerging data. Important advances in plasma and CSF biomarkers, animal models of neuroinflammation, functional and structural MRI, and novel neurophysiology markers hold great promise for advancing the field. Future research should use standardized methods for defining and measuring the severity of delirium and dementia, and universal reporting standards for cognitive and functional outcome measures to enable harmonization of the resulting data. Studies should be conducted in a range of study populations and in the presence of different comorbidities to ensure that the findings are generalizable.

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has resulted in a substantially increased rate of delirium\textsuperscript{108,119} both directly, via the effects of COVID-19, and indirectly owing to the subsequent inability to implement normal delirium prevention strategies\textsuperscript{120}. Initial estimates of delirium among patients with COVID-19 ranged from 11%...
Box 2 | Special challenges of COVID-19 in persons with delirium superimposed on dementia

Individuals with pre-existing cognitive impairment and dementia are at increased risk of serious COVID-19 infection and more severe complications than those without cognitive impairment and dementia. In particular, individuals with dementia have:

- Increased risk of delirium with COVID-19 infection
- Increased risk of atypical presentation of COVID-19 infection, such as delirium, falls, functional decline and failure to thrive, in the absence of fever, shortness of breath and cough; this feature can lead to a lack of recognition of COVID-19 infection
- Increased risk of COVID-19 causing serious illness and mortality
- Increased risk of long-term neurocognitive sequela of COVID-19

Recommendations for assessment and management of COVID-19 in individuals with dementia:

- Screen for COVID-19 in older adults presenting for urgent medical care, even in the absence of typical symptoms
- Limit use of deliriogenic medications when treating individuals with dementia for COVID-19
- Apply delirium prevention strategies adapted for persons with dementia; use remote care providers in full personal protective equipment can wear a tag with their name and a photograph visible to patients
- Connect patient with family by teleconferencing
- Enhance mobilization by providing instructions for in-room and bed range-of-motion exercises

among hospitalizations in Northern Italy to 84.3% in two intensive care units in France, however, a 2021 multi-site, large, international cohort study of more than 2,000 patients who had severe COVID-19 from February to August 2020, found that 54.9% were delirious for a median of 3 days. Evidence suggests that COVID-19-associated delirium might eventually be more widespread, more severe and associated with more adverse outcomes than previously seen with delirium and post-intensive care unit syndrome (BOX 2). An observational cohort study found dementia to be a statistically significant risk factor for COVID-19 and for increased mortality with COVID-19. Delirium can also be the presenting symptom of COVID-19 among individuals with dementia. Studies of COVID-19-associated delirium should be a priority for future research efforts. These studies should focus on the role of inflammation and neuroinflammation, rates of incident dementia and other cognitive and functional outcomes, and strategies for cognitive rehabilitation and delirium prevention.

Established clinical guidelines focus on improving the diagnosis of delirium and reducing hospital stays and complications, but they do not directly address delirium in persons with dementia. Therefore, the development of specific clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of DSD is needed. We hope that, through a unified public health approach, these guidelines can effectively reduce the burden, severity and progression of dementia through delirium prevention.
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Review criteria
A PubMed search was conducted using the keywords: Alzheimer's disease, dementia, delirium AND biomarkers. Articles were then reviewed to find original studies, which either enrolled persons with dementia, including preclinical dementia (that is, asymptomatic persons identified by the presence of Alzheimer disease biomarkers), or which measured traditional Alzheimer disease biomarkers. Additional original research studies identified from review articles, including Wilson et al. 1 and Dunne et al. 37, were included. This search was not intended to be a systematic review and it is possible that some articles may have been missed.

RELATED LINKS
Network for Investigation of Delirium: Unifying Scientists (NiDUS): http://www.deliriumnetwork.org/
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