High surface area graphene foams by chemical vapor deposition
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Abstract

Three-dimensional (3D) graphene-based structures combine the unique physical properties of graphene with the opportunity to get high electrochemically available surface area per unit of geometric surface area. Several preparation techniques have been reported to fabricate 3D graphene-based macroscopic structures for energy storage applications such as supercapacitors. Although research has been focused so far on achieving either high specific capacitance or high volumetric capacitance, much less attention has been dedicated to obtain high specific and high volumetric capacitance simultaneously. Here, we present a facile technique to fabricate graphene foams (GF) of high crystal quality with tunable pore size grown by chemical vapor deposition. We exploited porous sacrificial templates prepared by sintering nickel and copper metal powders. Tuning the particle size of the metal powders and the growth temperature allow fine control of the resulting pore size of the 3D graphene-based structures smaller than 1 \(\mu\)m. The as-produced 3D graphene structures provide a high volumetric electric double layer capacitance (165 mF cm\(^{-3}\)). High specific capacitance (100 Fg\(^{-1}\)) is obtained by lowering the number of layers down to single layer graphene. Furthermore, the small pore size increases the stability of these GFs in contrast to the ones that have been grown so far on commercial metal foams. Electrodes based on the as-prepared GFs can be a boost for the development of supercapacitors, where both low volume and mass are required.

1. Introduction

Graphene foam (GF)\(^1\), a three-dimensional (3D) interconnected network consisting of graphene sheets\(^2\), is one of the latest developed forms of graphene-based materials\(^1,3,4\). These structures are of great scientific interest since they take advantage of graphene’s unique physical properties such as high electrical\(^5\) and thermal conductivity\(^6\), biocompatibility\(^7\), high mechanical\(^8\) and chemical\(^9\) stability while at the same time they can provide a very high electrochemically available surface (EASA) per unit of geometric area. These properties make GFs very promising for electric double layer capacitors (EDLCs), also known as supercapacitors\(^10,11\) as well as for sensing applications\(^12\). In EDLCs, typically based on two symmetric electrodes impregnated with electrolytes\(^13\), the capacitance is directly proportional to the area of the electric double layer formed at the interface between the electrodes and the electrolyte; thus, large areas are necessary to obtain high capacitance values\(^11\). However, while a sheet of graphene has a theoretical specific surface area (SSA) of 2630 m\(^2\)g\(^{-1}\)\(^14\), which is significantly larger than the one reported to date for carbon nanotubes (CNTs), \(\approx 100–1000\) m\(^2\)g\(^{-1}\)\(^14\), or carbon black (<900 m\(^2\)g\(^{-1}\))\(^15\), and similar to activated carbon\(^16\), applications of these materials as supercapacitor electrodes do not only require high SSA but also a high ratio between the EASA and the geometric area\(^17\) of...
the device, i.e. the so-called roughness factor [18]. Electrodes with large roughness factors are preferred when designing EDLCs with high capacitance [18, 19]. However, considering a flat electrode based on a single layer graphene (SLG) film, the roughness factor equals 1. Therefore, in order to realize electrodes based on SLG exhibiting high EASA, a method for the formation of 3D structures needs to be developed. Many methods have been used to synthesize graphene-based 3D structures. For example, chemical reduction of graphene oxide (GO) [20, 21] has been widely used to create graphene-based 3D structures with SSA values [22, 23] up to 3100 m$^2$g$^{-1}$[16]. More advanced preparation methods based on mixtures of GO with polymers have been shown to yield SSAs up to 3523 m$^2$g$^{-1}$[24]. However, the final reduced graphene oxide (RGO) obtained by these methods typically suffer from a high defect density [21] and possible poor connections of the graphene sheets in 3D structures. This can drastically reduce the electrical conductivity of the GFs based on this material [25], which is detrimental to the performance of RGO-based electrodes for EDLCs [11].

In contrast, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) can provide large area graphene films of high crystalline quality [26]. Following this idea, it has been previously demonstrated [1] that using 3D microporous metal substrates, also referred to as metal foams, an interconnected graphene network can be created after wet chemical etching of the metal template used for growing graphene [1]. Nevertheless, since the graphene film conformably covers the metal template, the resulting morphology and pore size distribution of the as-prepared GF is strongly determined by the structure of the template itself [1]. For example, commercially available copper (Cu) and nickel (Ni) foams, previously used to demonstrate the growth of high quality SLG and few layer graphene (FLG), respectively [1], have a typical minimum pore size of $\approx$200 μm [1]. As a result, the volumetric capacitance, i.e. the capacitance per unit volume, of GFs based on these commercial substrates is limited by the large void volume in the rather large pores (i.e. 200 – 400 μm), which does not contribute to the EDLC [27]. Moreover, since the pores in the foam have to be filled by an electrolyte, which has the largest contribution to the total mass of the EDLC [27], the specific capacitance of the EDLC, i.e. the capacitance per unit mass, is severely limited [27]. It is experimentally observed that after the etching of the metal template and during the drying step, the SLG-based 3D structure prepared using commercial Cu foams cannot remain free-standing due to the ‘large’ voids ($\approx$200 – 400 μm) and eventually collapses, thus limiting the mechanical stability of these foams. Therefore, GFs with small pore size (significantly smaller than the values currently obtained with commercial metal foams, i.e. $\ll$200 μm) like the graphene-like 3D carbon obtained by the use of zeolite templates [28] are key to achieve both high specific and volumetric capacitance, as well as enhanced mechanical stability.

The fabrication of carbon foams starting from Ni nanowires [29], Ni powder [30], Ni particle and carbohydrate mixtures [31], Ni chloride powder [32], or Ni manganese alloys [33] have been recently reported. However, these studies did not report on the control of the resulting pore dimensions. The pore sizes in graphene nano-networks fabricated using poly (vinyl alcohol)/iron infiltrated into 3D-assembled-colloidal silicas might be tunable by the size of the silica spheres [34]. However, this and all the other reported materials [29, 31–33] did not exhibit single-layer graphene characteristics. Other reports on materials exhibiting high capacitance values such as doped carbon microspheres [35] or ‘MXenes’ [36] focus on either specific or volumetric capacitance without controlling the pore size. Furthermore, they use components such as nitrogen [35] and fluorine [35, 36] which are electrochemically active and thus show a high pseudocapacitive contribution [37].

In this work, we present a method for synthesizing 3D SLG- and FLG-based GFs by CVD on metal foams with controllable pore size providing both high specific and volumetric electric double layer capacitance when the as-produced foams are used as electrodes in aqueous electrolytes. We accomplished this by preparing the metal templates by sintering metal powders at high temperatures (600 – 1100 °C) rather than using commercially available foams. The density and dimension of the pores were tuned on-demand by optimizing the growth temperature and the catalyst particle size. Both Ni and Cu powders were used to create metal templates with a pore size down to 1 μm. In particular, in the case of Cu particles, pores smaller than 1 μm were obtained at a growth temperature as high as 1000 °C. Following the fabrication of these 3D metal structures, SLG and FLG were grown via CVD [38] using methane [39] as the carbon precursor, obtaining an interconnected and free-standing GF after wet etching of the metal template. In contrast to GFs grown on commercial metal foams [1], the lower pore size of both Ni- and Cu-grown GFs enabled the formation of stable structures without any supporting polymer [1] even in the case of SLG films. In addition, the control over the pore size allows a 10$^4$-fold increase in terms of surface area, which together with the stability of the free-standing foams represents a significant improvement over the state of the art GFs [39].

2. Results and discussion

The principle of our preparation technique is illustrated in figures 1(a)–(c). Ni or Cu powder (figure 1(a)) is loaded in a combustion vessel creating a homogeneously distributed 3D structure (figure 1(b)). In contrast to the fabrication of commercial metal foams, which are typically prepared using compressed powders with particles of hundreds of micrometers in
size and employing metal hydrides as blowing agents [40]. Ni and Cu powder of small particle size (average particle size smaller than 10 μm, see figure S2) were used without hydrides or compression. In the case of structures prepared using Cu particles in the sub-micrometer range (≤1 μm), MgCO₃ was used as a blowing agent to enable the release of CO₂ at higher temperatures [41] (>800 °C), thus preventing the agglomeration of the metal particles. After filling the vessel, the particles are heated in a low pressure atmosphere (50 mbar) to a temperature of at least 600 °C in the case of Ni and 800 °C in the case of Cu. At these temperatures, atoms at the touching points of the metal particles start to migrate without reaching the liquid state forming a bond between the metal particles themselves [42] and thus yielding an interconnected highly porous network (figure 1(c)). Annealing is carried out under flowing hydrogen and argon to reduce contamination [43] and remove metal oxides from the surface of the metal [44]. After introducing methane at T ≥ 600 °C and at a P ≈ 50 mbar in the chamber, CH₄ molecules dehydrogenate [43] on the metal surface leading to graphene nucleation on the metal surface, e.g. for the case of Cu [45]. The graphene growth process [38, 44–46] strongly depends on the metal catalyst [36]. For example, in the case of Ni [47], the carbon atoms diffuse into the bulk of the metal due to the high carbon solubility (∼0.1 g/100 g) [48] and graphene is formed both by the isothermal growth on the surface [49] and carbon precipitation from the bulk upon cooling [30, 51]. The shortcoming of graphene growth on Ni is the poor control on the number of layers, which is strongly influenced by the thickness of the Ni, temperature and time of exposure to the hydrocarbon, and the cooling rate [52] (∼10 to 40 °C per minute in the present work), not yielding uniform SLG, but rather FLG [51]. It would be extremely difficult to control all of these parameters to promote the growth of graphene. In the case of Cu, the process is self-limited, i.e. growth mostly ceases as soon as the Cu surface is fully covered with graphene principally because of the extremely low C solubility [46] and high C diffusivity in Cu [53], although a small percentage of the surface can be covered with few-layer graphene arising from the small amount of C dissolved in the Cu [53] and then precipitated to the Cu surface upon cooling.

Large area graphene growth is enabled principally by the low C solubility in Cu (7.4 ppm at 1020 °C) [54], and the mild catalytic activity of the Cu metal [46].

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** Principle of the fabrication of GF illustrated by scanning electron microscope (SEM) images. (a) Metal particles, in this case Ni, are assembled in a vessel to get (b) a 3D structure. (c) At high temperatures (>600 °C) interconnected metal foams are created; this process is implemented in the CVD annealing step. The scale bars are 20 μm. (d) Metal foam showing outer and inner pores. The scale bar is 10 μm. SEM images of graphene films showing (e) characteristic bilayer flakes on Cu and (f) wrinkles on Ni. The scale bars are (e) 5 μm and (f) 1 μm. (g) Enlargement (left) of our Ni foam (center) compared to a commercial Ni foam (right) revealing the differences in volume for the same surface area. TEM characterization. (h) HRTEM of a folded edge. In the inset on the lower right corner, the FFT of the image shows the spots corresponding to the 0.335 nm (inner) and the 0.213 nm (outer) reflections. (i) HRTEM image of the Ni-grown GF, with the corresponding FFT in the inset. The scale bars are 5 nm.
and the preferential SLG growth on Cu, the resulting GF has very low mass. Small bilayer flakes and wrinkles created by different thermal expansion coefficients [55] are also observed in the films grown on Cu and Ni, respectively (figures 1(e) and (f)). After graphene growth, the metal template (either Ni or Cu) is dissolved in a hot FeCl₃/HCl etching solution followed by residue removal in two baths of HCl and deionized water [1]. The samples are then freeze-dried (see Methods for details) to prevent the collapse of the GFs by the capillary force of water in the micrometer size pores. Finally, free-standing, homogeneous, and stable GFs with pore sizes in the micrometer range are obtained which are discussed in the following. Compared to GFs grown on commercial metal foams using the same conditions (see figure 1(g) (right)), the GF prepared using our foams exhibit a notably more compact and dense structure (see figure 1(g) (left)) resulting in a higher EASA per volume. For instance, whereas the GFs shown in figure 1(g) (left metal foam is prepared using our foam and the right image corresponds to a commercial Ni foam) have the same EASA (derived from cyclic voltammetry, see Methods section), the volume of the commercial foam is $10^3$ times larger than our Ni foam when using the same growth parameters for both GFs. Figures 1(h)–(i) show the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the GF grown on Ni. Further technical details and results for GFs grown on Ni and Cu templates are reported in the Methods section and in the Supporting Information (S.I.). High resolution TEM (HRTEM) analysis performed on the edges of the deposited flakes, counting the number of the visible (002) lattice fringes, allows for a careful determination of the local number of graphene layers, as shown in figure 1(h), where a multi-layer portion of the graphene film folded over itself is shown. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) in the inset clearly shows the inner spots at 0.335 nm, corresponding to (002) fringes, and the hexagonal spots at 0.213 nm, typical of the graphene lattice. The HRTEM image shown in figure 1(i) and the corresponding FFT in the inset definitely confirm the crystallinity of the as-grown GFs.

The number of layers and the structural quality of the GFs are also investigated by Raman spectroscopy [36, 57]. Figure 2(a) plots a typical Raman spectrum of the GFs grown on Cu at 900 °C and Ni at 600 °C for excitation wavelength of 532 nm. Statistical analysis of the micro-Raman spectra (see S.I. for more details) shows that the position of the 2D peak (Pos(2D)), see figure 2(b), is at $\approx 2695$ cm$^{-1}$ and $2699$ cm$^{-1}$ for GFs (Cu) and GFs(Ni), respectively, while the FWHM(2D) are peaked at $\approx 61$ cm$^{-1}$ and 80 cm$^{-1}$ for Cu and Ni-grown GFs, respectively. These values are consistent with the Cu-grown GFs being mostly SLG while the Ni-grown GFs consist of FLG. The Raman spectrum also shows (figure 2(a)) an average intensity ratio I(D)/I(G) $\approx 0.16$ and $\approx 0.25$ for Cu- and Ni-grown GFs, respectively (see S.I. for more details on statistical analysis). Raman maps recorded in a confocal 3D configuration (see Methods for details), were used to visualize the 3D structure of the GFs. Measurements at different focal planes are shown in the S.I., providing a 3D reconstruction of the GF which helps reveal its porous structure. Figures 2(c) and (d) depict the FWHM(2D) at constant focal plane position of the GFs grown on Ni and Cu, respectively. The average FWHM(2D) is $90.9 \pm 11.1$ cm$^{-1}$ and $(70.0 \pm 9.8$ cm$^{-1}$ indicating the presence of FLG and SLG on the Ni-grown and Cu-grown GFs, respectively. The temperature dependence of the 2D to G intensity ratio (I(2D)/I(G)) measured on Ni- and Cu-grown GFs extracted from Raman maps is shown in figures 2(e) and (f), respectively. The I(2D)/I(G) ratio also helps to get information about the number of layers, although it might be influenced by doping and strain [58]. However, these effects are assumed to be small since the GFs are freestanding, i.e. strong doping induced by polymers typically used to support the GF during the transfer and the impact of a substrate can be excluded. The I(2D)/I(G) is $0.81 \pm 0.48$ at 600 °C for GFs(Ni) and 2.29 $\pm 1.57$ at 800 °C for GFs(Cu) suggesting the presence of FLGs and SLGs, respectively. Increasing the growth temperature leads to slightly lower I(2D)/I(G) values especially for Cu-grown GFs ($0.69 \pm 0.41$ at 1000 °C) with respect to the one obtained for lower growth temperature (600 °C). The obtained I(2D)/I(G) values coupled with a widening of the FWHM(2D) indicates the presence of multilayer graphene in the GFs grown at higher temperatures, i.e. 1000 °C. At 600 °C, the average intensity ratio I(D)/I(G) is $0.22 \pm 0.01$ suggesting the presence of defects on the Ni-grown GFs. This value decreases down to $\approx 0.03$ for GFs grown at higher temperatures (i.e. 1100 °C), as depicted in figure 2(e). A higher growth temperature leads to a higher decomposition rate of methane [43] and given the higher solubility of C in Ni it results in a higher number of graphene layers and a lower defect density, as reported by [51]. The values of I(D)/I(G) for the GFs grown on Cu show a similar trend as the ones reported for Ni-grown GFs. In particular, the I(D)/I(G) decreased from 0.87 $\pm 0.27$ (800 °C) to 0.15 $\pm 0.05$ (1000 °C) (see figures 2(e) and (f)) This can be understood by considering that high growth temperatures (1000 °C) typically yield larger graphene domain sizes in the lower micrometer range (1–5 μm, see figure S23) and therefore lower grain boundary densities [59] with respect to the growth at 800 °C; as a result, the D peak intensity is reduced as the growth temperature is increased.

The influence of the growth temperature on the pore size of the GFs prepared using Ni and Cu powders has been assessed by SEM. Figures 3(a) and (b) correspond to Ni powders (size B) and figures 3(c) and (d) to Cu powders (size A), both with a particle size smaller than 50 μm (average particle size below 10 μm). The GFs shown in figures 3(e) and (f) were grown on templates using a 1 μm Cu powder (size B) mixed with...
**Figure 2.** Raman characterization of as-grown GFs on Ni and Cu templates. (a) Raman spectra of GF grown on Cu (red curve) at 900 °C and Ni (black curve) at 600 °C; (b) Close-up of the 2D Raman peak for GF grown on Cu (red curve) and Ni (black curve). Confocal Raman maps showing the FWHM of the 2D peak for graphene films grown on (c) Ni and (d) Cu at 600 °C and 900 °C, respectively. The arrows in the color scale point to the average values. The scale bars are 5 μm. Growth temperature dependence of the \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) (red columns) and \( \frac{I_{2D}}{I_G} \) (blue columns) intensities ratio of graphene films grown on (e) Ni and (f) Cu, respectively. The growths were carried out by using Ni (size A) and Cu (size A) powders.

**Figure 3.** SEM characterization of as-grown GFs on Ni and Cu templates. SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the free-standing GFs grown on Ni (size B) (a)–(b), Cu (size A) (c)–(d), and Cu (Mg) 1 μm (e)–(f) templates at different temperatures. The maximum particle size of the used powder is 50 μm for Ni (a)–(b) and 135 μm for Cu templates (c)–(d). For the Cu template in (e)–(f), Cu (size B) powder mixed with MgCO₃ was used. The scale bars are 20 μm.

MgCO₃ powder with a mass ratio of 9:1, which is referred to as Cu(Mg) 1 μm in the following (see Methods and S.I. for details). Additional SEM images of GFs prepared with Ni powders of different particle size are provided in the S.I.. In order to discuss the dependence of the EASA on the growth temperature, two different types of pores are distinguished. We define the so-called ‘outer pore’ as the void space limited by arms of the metal template (see figure 1(d)); the so-called ‘inner pore’ is defined as the void space created by the metal etching and therefore as the arm width of the metal arms (see figure 1(d)).
Figure 4. Growth temperature dependence of the foam structure. (a) Growth temperature dependence of the average measured inner pore size and the average outer pore size of GFs prepared using Ni templates (size B powder). (b) Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of GFs grown on Ni templates at three different temperatures, measured in a 3 M KCl electrolyte. The scan rate is 100 mV s$^{-1}$. The current, and thus the capacitance, increase with the diameter of the inner pores, and the average outer pore size of GFs prepared using Ni templates can be observed, and the average outer pore size is 24 μm. The diameter of the inner pores shows a difference in growth temperature ($>700{^\circ}\text{C}$) leads to a reduction of the average outer pore size of the resulting GFs. In the case of Ni, narrow outer pores with a diameter smaller than 20 μm can be observed (figure 3(b)). Using this powder, the dimensions of the outer pores can be tuned between 12 and 30 μm. The diameter of the inner pores shows a different decrease in growth temperature dependence with respect to the one of the outer pores. For the Ni powder, the average arm width and therefore the dimension of the inner pores increases from $\approx2\mu$m at 600 °C to $\approx5\mu$m at 1100 °C (see figure 4(a)). Graphene foams grown on Cu templates (figures 3(c)–(f)) show the same growth temperature dependence for both the inner and outer pores (see S.I. for quantitative analysis). Additionally, the number of graphene layers has an important effect on the resulting structure of GFs prepared on Cu templates, which we attempt to discuss in terms of the different mechanical properties of SLG and FLG. At low growth temperatures (800 °C and 850 °C, figures 3(c) and (e), respectively), the flexibility of SLG [60] leads to a partial collapse of the inner pores, while at higher temperatures (1000 °C) the higher rigidity of FLG [60] preserves the original structure of the Cu foam (see figures 3(d) and (f)). In order to assess the EASA of the GFs, cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves have been measured in a 3 M KCl aqueous electrolyte for different growth temperatures (figure 4(b)). The CV curves show, over the whole electrochemical potential window (−0.1 to +0.7 V), a quasi-rectangular shape without any peaks resulting from redox reactions typical of faradaic processes [19], confirming an EDLC behavior. The current, and thus the capacitance, increase with decreasing GF growth temperature, which can be explained by the effect of the growth temperature on the structure of the resulting GFs. The average arm thickness for Ni foams (figure 4(a)) shows a decrease from $\approx5\mu$m to $\approx2\mu$m when decreasing the growth temperature.
temperature from 1100 °C to 600 °C. According to a simple qualitative model which assumes a cylindrical shape of the arms in the metal template (see S.I. for further discussion), this leads to an increase of the EASA which is proportional to the measured current. The pore size does not have an additional effect on the EASA, since the minimum pore size achieved in this work is ≈0.5 μm (figure S16) and thus the majority of the pores are accessible to the ions in the electrolyte.

The current plotted as a function of the average arm width for a Ni-grown foam (figure 4(c)) confirms the inverse relationship between EASA values and arm width. Figure 4(d) presents the volumetric capacitance results of the GFs grown from Ni and Cu powders having different particle sizes. For all types of powder, the volumetric capacitance of the EDLC increases with decreasing growth temperature, which is the result of the gain in EASA discussed above. The drop of the volumetric capacitance of both Cu powders (size A and Cu(Mg) 1 μm) observed between 900 °C and 800 °C and 850 °C and 800 °C, respectively, can be explained by the collapse of the inner pores (compare figures 3(c) and (e)). The volumetric capacitance for the Cu(Mg) 1 μm powder is increased to 165 mFcm⁻³, while the highest specific capacitance is 100 Fg⁻¹. Considering the theoretical capacitance of SLG [61] yields an electrochemically active area of around 2500 m²g⁻¹ which is close to the theoretical limit of 2630 m²g⁻¹. Compared to GFs grown and transferred from commercially available Ni foams, GFs fabricated using our new method with a typical pore size of 0.5 to 30 μm (see figure 3(a) and S15) exhibit a pore size that is up to three orders smaller than that of commercial foams (200 to 400 μm, see figure S1). Consequently, the volumetric capacitance of GFs grown on commercially available Ni scaffolds using the same growth parameters is only around 5 μF cm⁻³ and therefore almost five orders of magnitude smaller than the volumetric capacitance (165 mF cm⁻³) of GFs grown on our customized Cu templates. For application in EDLCs, the most promising material is the GF grown using Cu(Mg) 1 μm powder grown at 850 °C exhibiting the highest volumetric capacitance of 165 mF cm⁻³, the highest capacitance per area of 59 mF cm², and the highest specific capacitance of 100 Fg⁻¹. The corresponding energy and power density are 11.2 Whkg⁻¹ and 10.1 kW kg⁻¹, respectively.

The electrochemical characterization in 3 M KCl of a GF grown at 950 °C using the Cu(Mg) 1 μm powder is depicted in figure 5 (see figure S13 for a electrochemical characterization of foams prepared using Ni powders). The CV curves show no current peak that can be attributed to a Faradaic process, and remain quasi-rectangular for the entire range of scan rates (up
to 300 mV s⁻¹). In addition, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurements (see S.I. for further information) confirm the EDLC behavior of the GF, with a phase close to −90° at low frequencies as expected for an ideal capacitor [62]. The equivalent series resistance is ≈20 Ω, which can be mostly attributed to both the multilayer graphene sheet used as substrate to contact the foams and the resistance of the electrolyte (for further information see the Methods section and S.I.), causing small deviations from the quasi-rectangular shape shown in figure 4(b). Charge-discharge measurements (figure 5(c)), carried out at different volumetric currents (current per unit volume), reveal a quasi-triangular shape of the potential-time curves without any visible potential saturation, which would be typically observed in presence of electrochemical reactions [19]. Thus, charge-discharge measurements indicate a characteristic fingerprint of an ideal capacitive interface of the GF electrodes [62]. Endurance tests are carried out over 10,000 cycles at a volumetric current of 4 mA cm⁻³, which results in a charge time of three seconds, typical for supercapacitor applications [11]. Such tests (figure 5(d)) reveal no significant degradation of the electrode performance with a total capacitance drop lower than 1%.

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated a process for the CVD growth of free-standing 3D single layer and few layer graphene foams with both high volumetric and specific capacitance for EDLC applications. To achieve this target, we designed and realized the metal template by sintering Cu and Ni metal powders of smaller particle size (average particle size smaller than 10 μm) at high temperatures, in contrast to commercial processes where larger particles (>100 μm) or metal hydrides are used. In order to prevent agglomeration of particles, the powders were either not compressed or a blowing agent (MgCO₃) was used. Our proposed approach is versatile with GFs having pore sizes smaller than 1 μm, which can be tuned by changing both the metal particle size and the growth temperature. Moreover, our proposed approach has the possibility to tune on-demand the mass as well as the mechanical stability of the as-grown GFs by changing the catalyst template with consequent control on layer number. The high EASA of the fabricated foams, 10⁴ larger than the surface area of GFs prepared using commercial Ni or Cu templates, makes the proposed GFs very attractive electrodes for energy storage applications. We have tested our GFs prepared using Cu powder in EDLCs and a volumetric capacitance of 165 mF cm⁻³ was achieved which is almost five orders of magnitude higher than the one achieved with commercial Ni foams (5 μF cm⁻³) grown under the same experimental conditions. In order to increase the specific capacitance, we have also demonstrated the growth of GFs on Cu templates consisting mostly of SLG, yielding a specific capacitance of 100 Fg⁻¹.

4. Methods

Fabrication of GFs

Ni (size A and size B) and Cu metal powders (Sigma Aldrich, see S.I. for particle size distribution) were used as metal substrate for the graphene growth. A Cu (size B) powder (Sigma Aldrich, particle size between 0.5 and 1.5 μm) was additionally mixed with MgCO₃ powder and compressed (see also S.I.). Here, the compression was controlled by a fixed amount of powder used for the same volume. The powder was filled in a quartz combustion vessel (15 × 6 × 4.5 mm³) and inserted into a quartz tube (outer diameter 56 mm) subsequently placed in a horizontal tube furnace (CarboLite HST 12/600). An annealing step with a flow of 400 sccm argon and 100 sccm hydrogen at high temperature for 45 minutes was used to connect the metal particles (thus forming the metal scaffold) as well as to remove metal oxides and contamination. Carbon feedstock was provided by a methane flow of 10 sccm under a constant argon flow of 400 sccm and a total pressure of 50 mbar and 400 mbar for the case of the growth on Ni and Cu, respectively, which were the minimum pressures yielding closed graphene layers on the metal templates at all the investigated temperatures. The annealing process was carried out at the same temperature used for the growth. After 30 minutes, the Ni foam was cooled down in an argon atmosphere with a slow cooling rate of 10 to 40 °C min⁻¹ to enable the diffusion of carbon in the bulk to the surface of the metal template; the Cu foam, on the other hand, was immediately removed from the oven after the growth by extracting the quartz tube from the furnace resulting in a high cooling rate of 6 °C s⁻¹ to minimize the formation of defects [63]. After the growth process, the metal (either Ni or Cu) and if necessary the filling powder was removed in a bath of 1 M FeCl₃ and 2 M HCl for ≈6 hours. The etchant can enter the metal template through defects in the graphene layer(s) such as vacancies and grain boundaries. The resulting GF was then cleaned in HCl (4 M) and finally in deionized (DI) water. The growth and transfer protocols of GFs grown on commercial Ni foam were identical to the ones performed for the GFs grown on the customized Ni templates. In order to prevent the collapse of the GFs, the GFs were freeze-dried at low pressure in liquid nitrogen for several hours.

SEM Measurements and pore size quantification

SEM (Zeiss, SEMEvo, 5 kV acceleration voltage) was used to characterize the structure of the GF and the metal foam. SEM images were also used to quantify the outer and inner pore sizes. Here, for each sample, all pore sizes were measured in nine different areas
\( \approx 1000 \, \mu m^2 \) each). The error bars reported in figure 4(a) correspond to the standard deviation of this measurement.

**TEM**

Small portions of the GF have been fragmented by ultrasonication for 5 minutes in isopropanol. The dispersions were drop-cast on Au-supported holey carbon grids for TEM characterization. Structural and compositional characterization of the materials has been carried out on FEI Tecnai F20 ST Transmission Electron Microscope, operated at 120 kV of accelerating voltage to reduce the beam damage on the graphene flakes.

**Raman**

Raman measurements in figures 2(a)–(d) were carried out with a Renishaw 1000 at 532 nm excitation wavelength and a 100X objective, with an incident power of \( \approx 1 \) mW. The Raman maps in figures 2(e)–(d), as well as the Raman maps shown in the S.I., were measured by WiTec using an excitation wavelength of 532 nm in a confocal system, which allows the spatial resolution down to 200 nm. The growth temperature dependence of the I(2D)/I(G) and the I(D)/I(G) in figures 2(e)–(f) were recorded with an excitation wavelength of 514.5 nm. Here, a \( 30 \times 30 \, \mu m^2 \) Raman map was measured with an integration time of 20 seconds and a step size of 5 \( \mu m \). The D, G, and 2D peaks were fitted with Lorentzian functions.

**Electrochemical measurements**

In order to investigate the electrochemical properties, the GFs were transferred to a glassy carbon (10 \( \times \) 10 mm\(^2\)) substrate, which was placed on a Au/Ti layer evaporated on a glass slide. An aluminum wire was soldered to the gold layer. For the particular case of the GFs grown using the Cu/Ag substrate, which was placed on a glass slide. The MLGS was grown on Ni foil (25 \( \mu m \) thickness) by CVD at 900 °C using the same procedure as for the growth of GFs on Ni templates and was contacted by an aluminum wire. In all cases, Scintec 601 was used to insulate the wiring and to prevent the contact of the electrolyte (3 M KCl) with the substrate. All electrochemical measurements were recorded by Parstat (Princeton Applied Technologies) in a three electrode system. A platinum wire served as counter electrode and a Ag/AgCl electrode was used as reference.
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