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1. Introduction

Since their discovery in 2009 perovskite solar cells have spurred enormous interest due to highly impressive power conversion efficiencies (in excess of 25%\textsuperscript{[3]} with the use of far less stringent manufacturing techniques and more impure materials than other technologies. In order to achieve such high performance, the community has adopted the key principle that to reach the full potential of perovskite solar cells, one must minimize nonradiative recombination in the device.\textsuperscript{[2,3]} This will in turn decrease dark currents and increase open circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$).

Perovskite semiconductors are an attractive option to overcome the limitations of established silicon based photovoltaic (PV) technologies due to their exceptional opto-electronic properties and their successful integration into multijunction cells. However, the performance of single- and multijunction cells is largely limited by significant nonradiative recombination at the perovskite/organic electron transport layer junctions. In this work, the cause of interfacial recombination at the perovskite/C$_{60}$ interface is revealed via a combination of photoluminescence, photoelectron spectroscopy, and first-principle numerical simulations. It is found that the most significant contribution to the total C$_{60}$-induced recombination loss occurs within the first monolayer of C$_{60}$, rather than in the bulk of C$_{60}$ or at the perovskite surface. The experiments show that the C$_{60}$ molecules act as deep trap states when in direct contact with the perovskite. It is further demonstrated that by reducing the surface coverage of C$_{60}$, the radiative efficiency of the bare perovskite layer can be retained. The findings of this work pave the way toward overcoming one of the most critical remaining performance losses in perovskite solar cells.
and hole selective contacts relative to the transparent conductive oxide (TCO) substrate. The highest performing cells are currently made in the nip architecture,[1] however, pin-type perovskite solar cells are also of significant interest due to their high operational stability[4] and their successful integration into multijunction tandem solar cells with other established and emerging photovoltaic technologies.[5,6] The success of pin type cells in this regard can be largely attributed to the simple processability of the charge transport layers, which can be fabricated at low temperatures (∼100 °C) or by thermal evaporation without the need for chemical dopants which could negatively affect the device stability.[7] As such, pin-type perovskite cells are currently the preferred platform for high efficiency tandems.[3] However, it is well known that even the highest performing pin cells are limited by substantial nonradiative recombination induced by the electron transport layer.[8] The highest efficiency silicon/perovskite and perovskite/perovskite tandem solar cells to date all use fullerene electron transport layers, which are likely the limiting factor to their performance.[5,9,10]

As we show in Figure 1a, a C₆₀-induced nonradiative loss is limiting the performance of most of the metal halide perovskite systems we have studied, including hybrid organic/inorganic metal halides with mixed cation and halide compositions over a large range of bandgaps, inorganic perovskites, and even 2D/3D perovskites. Figure 1a summarizes reported photoluminescent quantum yield (PLQY) values, where the generation current, $J_G = 1$ sun obtained on bare perovskite and perovskite/C₆₀ films and complete cell stacks, for mono, double, and triple cation perovskites with different bandgaps, inorganic and 2D/3D layered perovskites. In all systems, the recombination loss induced by the C₆₀ layer greatly outweighs the recombination in the neat layer by 1–3 orders of magnitude, and dominates the PLQY losses of the complete devices in all cases. Moreover, the PLQY of the perovskite/C₆₀ stack layers ends up at low values ($<1 \times 10^{-3}$), quite irrespective of the perovskite composition, although the PLQY of C₆₀ stacks was found to decrease with higher Br or MAPbBr$_3$ content.[11] Contrastingly, cells in the nip configuration can have an external radiative efficiency of nearly 10%, where the injected current is equal to the short circuit current density ($J_{injected} = J_{SC}$).[12] Particularly important for tandem cells applications is the low PLQY in presence of C₆₀ in case of the triple cation perovskites with a bandgap between

![Figure 1. a) C₆₀-induced recombination losses in various perovskite systems. (Data taken from refs. [8,11,13–18].) C₆₀ appears to lower the PL yield (PLQY) to $\approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$–$1 \times 10^{-3}$ of the neat layer, relatively independent of the composition. We note that these different perovskites are denoted as methyl ammonium lead iodide (MAPbI$_3$), double cation is denoted as FA$_x$MA$_{1-x}$PbI$_3$, triple cation perovskite (Cs$_{0.05}$FA$_x$MA$_{y}$Pb(I$_x$Br$_{1-x}$)$_3$) is abbreviated as “TC,” where the $xy$ ratio reflects the molar ratio of FAPbI$_3$ versus MAPbBr$_3$, 2D/3D perovskites are denoted by the spacer cation butylammonium (BA) and the number of layers of octahedra between spacer cations, e.g., $n = 4$ is BA4. b) PLQY of an 83:17 TC perovskite on different transport layers, showing that fullerenes limit the performance of pin cells and spiro-OMeTAD limits nip cells; overall the pin cells are more limited by interface recombination. Dashed line indicates the PLQY of the neat perovskite on glass. c) Possible recombination pathways at the C₆₀ interface (C₆₀-induced surface trap states, across-interface recombination, and recombination within the C₆₀ layer directly at the interface or in the bulk).](image)
1.63 eV to 1.88 eV. Figure 1b further highlights that with the use of self-assembled monolayers and also poly[bis(4-phenyl)-
(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)amine (PTAA): poly[9,9-bis(3′-(N,N-
-dimethyl)-N-ethylaminominois-propyl)-2,7-fluorene]-alt-2,7-(9,9-
dioctylfluorene)jodobromide (PFN) one can achieve no additional
losses compared to the nonradiative bulk recombination in case of
a triple cation perovskite, and that the use of metal oxides in
n-p type cells with a triple cation perovskite layer also cause
less losses compared to C60. However, despite the huge
importance of the C60-induced recombination losses in determining
the radiative efficiency of pin-type solar cells, the origins of
nonradiative recombination at this interface and the interfacial
recombination pathways have not been widely explored yet and
remain elusive today. In principle, as shown in Figure 1c, there
could be four pathways for nonradiative recombination when the
C60 is in contact with the perovskite:

I) Interface recombination within the perovskite layer, where
both the electrons and holes recombine in the perovskite via
new surface traps which are created due to changes in sur-
face stoichiometry or reactions with the C60 (mechanism 1);

II) Across-interface recombination, where the electron is
extracted to the C60 and it recombines with a hole in the
perovskite via trap states (mechanism 2);

III) Interface recombination within the C60, where the electron
and hole are extracted to the C60 and recombine at the inter-
face via trap states in the C60 (mechanism 3);

IV) Recombination within the bulk of C60, where electrons and
holes are extracted to the C60 and recombine via trap states
in the C60 (mechanism 4). This is distinct from mechanism 3
as the holes can travel throughout the C60 also introducing
the possibility of recombination at the electrode.

Each of these mechanisms will have different implications
for optimization pathways to circumvent the loss. Thus, to
further advance single- and multijunction cells, we need to un-
derstand if, or to what extent each mechanism contributes.

In this work, we disentangle the involvement of each of
these mechanisms to the increased nonradiative recombination
in perovskite/C60 systems. We first performed ultrasensitive
photovoltaic external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements
of conventional and lateral devices which revealed a large
increase in trap state density when interfaced with C60. We then
measured the PLQY of perovskite/C60 films as a function of the
C60 thickness or C60 coverage (from sub-mm to 30 nm). These
experiments revealed a remarkable direct correlation between
the loss of PLQY and the C60 thickness in a regime where the
C60 only partially covers the perovskite surface, ruling out bulk
C60 recombination (mechanism 4). By examining the highest
molecular orbital (HOMO) features of the sequentially depos-
ted C60 layers using ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy
(UPS), we demonstrate that the PLQY drops to its lowest value,
once the perovskite surface is completely covered with the C60.
Following this, we are able to develop a quantitative model
based on PLQY being inversely proportional to C60 surface
coverage which fits our experimental data very well. We then
performed confocal microscopy and hyperspectral PL imaging,
which confirmed that the decrease in PL intensity was homog-
enuous in the XY plane. After this, in order to understand the
possibility of C60-induced mid-gap states on the perovskite sur-
face, we modeled the perovskite-C60 interaction for different
terminated surfaces, showing no formation of mid-gap states in
the perovskite, considering two different surface terminations.
This, in combination with the EQE and additional PL measure-
ments on perovskite/C60 stacks allowed us rule out increased
nonradiative recombination in the perovskite (mechanism 1).

We then compared the PL emission of C60 films, with perov-
skite/C60 stacks. These measurements revealed the presence
of excitonic states in the C60 which could be well aligned with the
valence band of the perovskites, facilitating hole transfer (mech-
anism 3). However, we did not observe any C60 photolumines-
cence or electroluminescence in the photovoltaic devices which
ruled out this theory. This indicates that the remaining across-
interface recombination (mechanism 2) is the operational loss
mechanism. With further UPS measurements and modeling of
the perovskite/C60 interaction, we propose either C60 density of
states (DOS) broadening creating low lying states or a charge
transfer state is the source of additional traps at this interface.
Finally, strategies are proposed to reduce the nonradiative loss
at this interface.

2. Evidence of Traps

We begin by looking for subgap features in devices with C60,
and performed ultrasensitive EQE measurements on a perovskite
solar cell in a pin device architecture [(indium tin oxide, ITO (150nm)/PTAA:PFN (8nm)/perov-
skite (400–500nm)/C60 (30nm)/bathocuproine, BCP (8nm)/
Cu (100nm)]. We employ a triple cation (TC) absorber which is
widely used in literature, (Cs0.05(FA0.83MA0.17)0.95Pb(I0.83Br0.17)3,
with a bandgap of 1.63 eV and a PCE of 20%). The average
performance parameters of the cells are displayed in Figure S1
and Table 1 (Supporting Information). Figure 2a shows the
EQE of the solar cells (diode structure), which reveals the pres-
ence of sub gap states density (at very low EQEs of 10−4−10−6).
As discussed in Note S1 (Supporting Information), this cannot
be explained by bulk recombination based on a transfer matrix
model.[9] Importantly, upon inserting a LiF layer in between
the perovskite and the C60, the sub gap band is reduced, while
the VOC of the cell increases by 40 meV.[8] Interestingly, the
increased trap state density is also visible from the increased
trap-assisted EQE signal in ultrasensitive EQE measurements
in a photoresistor structure (Figure 2b). We note that the EQE
in the sub gap range appears at higher EQE values than in
Figure 2a, which is due to the increased recombination in the
wide photoresistor channel and consequently lower EQE signal
above the gap. These measurements confirm a large increase of
trap state density when the perovskite is in direct contact with the
C60 layer.

3. Location of Nonradiative Recombination

With strong evidence of traps when C60 is in contact with the
perovskite, we attempt to better determine the precise spatial
location of the recombination. We evaporate C60 with varying
thickness onto the TC perovskite and measure the PLQY
Intriguingly we observe that the majority of the PLQY loss with respect to the neat occurs in samples with only 1 nm of C\textsubscript{60} and we see no further loss beyond samples with 4 nm. We repeat this experiment on a double cation (DC) perovskite (FA\textsubscript{0.8}MA\textsubscript{0.2}PbI\textsubscript{3} with a bandgap of 1.54 eV and cells with a PCE of around 21%, performance parameters, Figure S1, Supporting Information) which we recently reported to have a PLQY in neat films of up to 0.2 and a Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) lifetime over 10 µs.\textsuperscript{[14]} This will afford us a larger dynamic range to investigate PLQY losses (Figure 3a). In this case, we find the same result where almost all loss occurred in the first nm, and, the loss is very large (5 × 10\textsuperscript{−3} after 1 nm).

Therefore, to better resolve the range where the PLQY drops rapidly, we investigate the sub-nm regime of C\textsubscript{60} thickness on the DC and TC perovskites, with high resolution using multiple samples and measurements for each thickness (Figure 3b).

**Figure 2.** a) Sensitive external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements of triple cation perovskite solar cells plotted as a function of photon energy and compared for the case with (blue) and without (red) a LiF layer, highlighting the presence of deep traps at low EQEs (10\textsuperscript{−7}). The relative trap density appears to be reduced if the LiF layer is inserted between perovskite and C\textsubscript{60}, which improves the device performance from ~20% to 21% through a better V\textsubscript{OC} (40 mV) and better FF (~2%).\textsuperscript{[8]} The inset shows a simplified solar cell device stack. b) Sensitive EQE of triple cation perovskite devices fabricated in photoresistor architecture plotted as a function of photon energy, and compared for the case with (blue) and without (red) a C\textsubscript{60} layer. The inset shows a simplified device stack, where the triple cation layer is sandwiched between glass and top electrodes (gold).

**Figure 3.** a,b) PLQY versus C\textsubscript{60} thickness for a double cation perovskite (FA\textsubscript{0.8}MA\textsubscript{0.2}PbI\textsubscript{3}), and triple cation perovskite (inset), showing that the PL emission is quenched within the first few nm of C\textsubscript{60} indicating the importance of recombination directly across the interface. c) FCC crystal structure of C\textsubscript{60} with lattice parameter of 14 Å. d) Ultraviolet photoelectron spectra of partially covered perovskite surfaces with C\textsubscript{60}.
We observe that there is an initial plateau of the PLQY with very low thicknesses of C_{60} (<0.01 nm), followed by a decrease inversely proportional to the C_{60} thickness until ~3 nm and then remaining constant until an operational C_{60} thickness of ~30 nm. If we consider the unit cell of crystalline C_{60}, it adopts a face centered cubic (FCC) structure with a lattice parameter \( a = 1.41 \) nm (Figure 3c). Assuming a perfectly smooth surface, we should expect full surface coverage at ~1 nm (the interplanar spacing of the hexagonally close packed planes is 1 nm). Hence, a nominal sub-nm C_{60} thickness (as determined by the rate and duration of thermal evaporation), should be considered as a partial coverage of the perovskite layer. This saturation of the quenching with increased thickness beyond 3 nm is very interesting and indicative of a surface mediated process. However, all of the recombination did not happen in precisely the first nm as would have been predicted above.

As we deposit C_{60} via thermal evaporation and the perovskite surface is multicrystalline, it is likely that film growth occurs through the formation terraces and islands of C_{60} on the perovskite surface rather than epitaxially.\[^{20}\] In addition to this the perovskite film has a root mean square roughness of 21.5 nm.\[^{17}\] As such, we hypothesize that full surface coverage of C_{60} on the perovskite will not be complete at 1 nm and that the PLQY is related to the surface coverage, saturating when it is complete. In order to investigate this, sequentially deposited C_{60} layers on the DC perovskite were studied by UPS. Given the very surface sensitive nature of UPS, the photoemission spectra are expected to consist of perovskite valence bands and C_{60} highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) features until the surface is fully covered by C_{60} molecules. It is clearly shown in Figure 3d that the C_{60} HOMO features start to dominate and saturate at the thickness of 2.3 nm, indicating a complete coverage of C_{60} molecules on the perovskite surface already at 2.3 nm. The same experiment was also performed on the TC perovskite, which showed the same result with the C_{60} feature dominating from 2.3 nm onward (Figure S2, Supporting Information).

We now seek to accurately describe our PLQY versus C_{60} thickness data by developing a numerical model based on known recombination kinetics in metal halide perovskites. To this end, we consider that the PLQY is the ratio of the radiative recombination rate (\( k_r n^2 \)) to the total recombination rate (\( k_r n + k_{\text{nr}} n^2 \)), which is the sum of all nonradiative recombination rates (\( k_{\text{nr}} n \)) and the radiative rate, neglecting Auger recombination which occurs at ~1000 suns in perovskites.\[^{21,22}\]

\[
\text{PLQY} = \frac{k_r n^2}{k_r n + k_{\text{nr}} n^2} \quad (1)
\]

The first-order nonradiative recombination rate constant depends in turn on the number of trap states \( N_{\text{traps}} \):

\[
k_{\text{nr}} = N_{\text{traps}} \nu_{\text{vth}} \sigma \quad (2)
\]

where \( \nu_{\text{vth}} \sigma \) is the product of the capture cross-section and the thermal velocity; we do not attempt to measure \( \nu_{\text{vth}} \sigma \), but simply note that the nonradiative recombination rate is proportional to the trap density (\( N_{\text{traps}} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)). We will call these intrinsic traps \( (N_{\text{traps(intrinsic)}}) \), which (together with \( \nu_{\text{vth}} \sigma \)) determine the PLQY of our control films (1 \times 10^{-2} and 2 \times 10^{-1} for TC and DC, respectively). In our model, as we deposit C_{60} on the surface, we are increasing the total number of traps \( (N_{\text{traps(total)}}) \) by introducing extrinsic traps \( N_{\text{traps(external)}} \). When the number of C_{60} molecules is low, then \( k_{\text{nr(intrinsic)}} \gg k_{\text{nr(extrinsic)}} \) and there is little effect on the PLQY; this is characterized by the plateau region of the PLQY in Figure 3b. There is then a transition until \( k_{\text{nr(extrinsic)}} \gg k_{\text{nr(intrinsic)}} \) upon which there is an inversely proportional relationship between C_{60} surface coverage and the PLQY. Finally, the PLQY will saturate when full surface coverage is achieved as no more surface traps can be created. Using this simple model and a thickness for complete surface coverage of 2.3 nm (from UPS) we can closely fit the experimentally obtained PLQY data (red lines Figure 3b). Therefore, with the combination of the PLQY, UPS and a close fit of the model we conclude that all of nonradiative recombination happens exactly at the surface allowing us to exclude mechanism 4 (predominant recombination in the bulk of C_{60}). The fact that the PLQY decays inversely with the number of C_{60} molecules (PLQY \( \propto N_{\text{C60}}^{-1} \)), indicates that \( N_{\text{traps}} \approx N_{\text{C60}} \). Additionally, this type of recombination analysis is only valid for thin traps indicating that C_{60} is making deep rather than shallow traps at the surface. The correlation of surface coverage and PLQY opens up possibilities to improve device performance by reducing contact area of the C_{60} with the perovskite through the use of a patterned interlayer; this “point contacts” strategy is well known for silicon and has been demonstrated on the substrate side for n/i/p perovskite solar cells.\[^{24}\]

4. Origin of Interfacial Traps

A possible origin of traps can be found in Figure S3 (Supporting Information) where we observe the lowest-occupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is positioned ~100 meV below the conduction band minimum (CBM) of the perovskite at the interface and upon increasing the thickness of C_{60} the LUMO bends upward (by ~0.3 eV). This low lying LUMO of the C_{60} at the interface could potentially introduce trap states which cause the increased nonradiative recombination. Such an effect has been previously observed in organic semiconductors in contact with inorganic materials and attributed to broadening of the organic DOS at the interface because of spatial variation (inhomogeneity) in the electrostatic potential of the inorganic material.\[^{28}\] Note, a broad DOS throughout the C_{60} could also be related to packing faults or impurities causing energetic disorder. To test the packing fault hypothesis we try solvent and thermal annealing the C_{60} electron transport layer (ETL) in case of a TC absorber layer to increase crystallinity and order as reported for PCBM by Shao et al.\[^{27}\] (Figure S4, Supporting Information) which showed, however, no improvement. We then fabricate TC/C_{60} stacks with different purities of C_{60} which showed that the PLQY was the same for all samples, ruling out recombination via impurity defects (Figure S5, Supporting Information). Therefore, the observed pinning of the C_{60} LUMO below the conduction band is more likely due to the C_{60} DOS broadening through inhomogenous electrostatics of the perovskite surface.

As we have pinned down the location of the recombination to the interface, we now inspect samples of our DC and TC...
perovskite with subsurface coverage of C$_{60}$ with confocal microscopy (Figure 4) and hyperspectral imaging (Figure S6, Supporting Information) to see if there was any heterogeneity in PL intensity in the xy-plane for subsurface coverage samples. Through this technique, we can potentially resolve the length scale of the clustering/islands of C$_{60}$ by the evolution of darker or brighter regions in the PL maps (confocal PL can have a high spatial resolution $\approx$ 100 nm). However, these measurements revealed that the multicrystalline surface of the DC and TC films displays the same degree of heterogeneity of the PL signal (within our resolution limit) irrespective of surface coverage. While these results do not demonstrate any heterogeneity (or clustering of C$_{60}$), they reveal individual grains (especially for the DC perovskite) and would warrant further research in the future if point contact methods are found to improve the PLQY at this interface.

Now that we have identified the location of the recombination to the interface, we seek to determine whether the traps originate from the perovskite or the C$_{60}$. First, we discuss the possibility that C$_{60}$ induces deep traps on the perovskite surface (i.e., mechanism 1). In general, the closed shell nature of C$_{60}$ should make it relatively unreactive to redox reactions on the surface and it is an apolar molecule so there should be no electrostatic repulsion of ions causing a change of surface stoichiometry. Nevertheless, we investigate whether the reduction in PLQY was surface independent. To do this we fabricate samples of TC perovskite where the perovskite is either deposited on top of a glass/C$_{60}$ substrate, or, C$_{60}$ is deposited on a glass/perovskite substrate (Figure S7, Supporting Information). We measure the PLQY of these samples with incidence of the excitation light from both sides in order to account for the generation of carriers either next to or away from the C$_{60}$. We find that the PLQY is almost identical between these two samples when the illumination pathway is matched, e.g., through the perovskite. We note that the bottom and top surfaces of the perovskite film are different in chemical nature; for example, the surface of 83:17 TC perovskite can be effectively passivated with tri-octyl phosphine oxide which results in a PLQY in excess of 0.2, indicating that the trap concentration of the bottom interface is much lower.$^{[13,28]}$ This, therefore, supports the hypothesis that the C$_{60}$-induced loss does not significantly depend on the surface chemistry.

To further investigate possible trap states induced by the C$_{60}$/perovskite interactions, we perform first principle calculations. The interaction of C$_{60}$ with the MAPbI$_3$ perovskite surface has been previously modeled by Quart et al.$^{[29]}$ Here we consider two surface terminations representing a more stable/less reactive MAI-terminated surface (Figure S8b, Supporting Information) and a less stable/more reactive PbI$_2$-terminated perovskite surface (Figure S8a, Supporting Information). These interfaces were geometry optimized by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)$^{[30]}$ including D3 dispersion corrections.$^{[31]}$ The alignment of energy levels was determined by accurate hybrid Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) calculations$^{[32]}$ including spin-orbit coupling (hereafter HSE-SOC). All calculations were carried out by the Quantum Espresso program package.$^{[33]}$ The HSE-SOC calculated electronic DOS is reported in Figure S8 (Supporting Information) for both surface terminations. Firstly, both simulations do not show the formation of mid-gap states in the

---

**Figure 4.** a–f) Confocal PL intensity maps of double cation (DC) and triple cation (TC) perovskite with different thicknesses of C$_{60}$ on top revealing no change in the heterogeneity of PL intensity across the film with respect to coverage converse to possible clustering of C$_{60}$ molecules, or darkening of individual grains.
perovskite further ruling out mechanism 1. For both systems, a C60/perovskite shortest C-Pb distance of ≈3Å is calculated, with an interaction energy of ≈0.5 eV dominated by the dispersion term. Moreover, the simulations of the noninteracting and interacting DOS show appreciable interfacial electronic coupling (200 meV) and in the case of the PbI2 terminated surface, a stabilization of the C60 LUMO by 200 meV. Therefore, a lower energy charge transfer state could exist at this interface and a DOS broadening of the C60 LUMO from electrostatic variations of the perovskite surface potential is also possible; both could be a source of traps at the perovskite/C60 interface.

5. Discerning between Across-Interface Recombination and Hole Transfer

Now that we have ruled out recombination in the perovskite (mechanism 1) and recombination in the bulk of the C60 (mechanism 4), we investigate the relative contribution of the hole recombining from perovskite across the interface (mechanism 2), or from within the C60 layer (mechanism 3) (Figure 5a). We begin by measuring the UV–vis of a 30 nm thin film C60 (as we use in our devices) to better understand the energetic distribution of states in the C60 layer (Figure S9, Supporting Information). We observe vibronic peaks whose origin and energy are summarized in the inset of the figure. Of particular interest is a small shoulder at ≈1.9 eV (526 nm) which is the spin forbidden HOMO to LUMO (h_u → t_1u). As shown from the UPS results in Figure S3 (Supporting Information), the C60 LUMO has a favorable energetic alignment with the CBM of the 1.54–1.63 eV perovskites, and a large energy offset of ≈200–300 meV is present for holes. Therefore, hole transfer to the C60 HOMO levels is highly unlikely (given k_BT is ≈25 meV) to occur. Next, we measure the PL of the same C60 film to understand the nature of its radiative transitions (Figure 5b). We observe a broad emission which is the convolution of 3 peaks centered at 1.63, 1.52 (ΔE = 90 meV) and 1.41 eV (ΔE = 180 meV) in accordance with literature.[34] The 1.63 eV peak is attributed to the (t_1u → h_u) transition which luminesces weakly due to relaxation of the spin forbidden selection rule when a localized exciton state forms. The binding energy of this exciton of ≈270 meV lowers the energy of the luminescent transition considerably. The two lower states are vibronic replicas of the 1.63 eV peak associated with the primary “breathing mode” in the Raman spectrum at 1469 cm⁻¹ (182 meV—same energy as the peak spacing).[35] What is most intriguing about the PL spectrum is that the lower energy transitions open the possibility of hole transfer to such states (if the electron and hole are equally stabilized by the exciton) which we illustrate in Figure S10 (Supporting Information).

Following this understanding, we look for a signature of C60 PL in our perovskite/C60 stacks. At open circuit conditions, we calculate that the recombination current at the TC

---

**Figure 5.** a) Possible mechanisms which contribute to nonradiative losses in C60. b) Photoluminescence characterization of a C60 film, which is fitted to show the three peaks contributing to the shape, black line is the cumulative fit of all 3 peaks. c) Comparison of the PL of an 83:17 TC cell at one sun with the PL of C60 adjusted such that the recombination current in the C60 is ≈20 mA cm⁻². d) Final proposed mechanism and causes of C60 induced nonradiative losses showing increased nonradiative recombination either via a charge transfer state or low lying C60 states at the interface due to D.O.S broadening.
perovskite/C₆₀ interface is ≈20 mA cm⁻². We then measured the C₆₀ PL where the generated carrier flux was equivalent to 20 mA cm⁻² in the perovskite (full details in Note S2, Supporting Information) and compared this with the PL of a pin-type TC solar cell (at 1 sun) (Figure 5c). However, we do not observe a significant contribution of any of the C₆₀ peaks in the PL of the TC solar cell. Notably, if all the recombination is happening through this pathway, we could expect the 1.41 eV peak to be visible. Further, we measure the electroluminescence (EL) of the same device at varying current densities from 83 μA cm⁻² to 18.3 mA cm⁻² and do not observe any evidence of C₆₀ electroluminescence (Figure S10, Supporting Information) which with the lack of C₆₀ PL allows us to rule out mechanism 3.

6. Further Discussion

For mechanism 2 to operate there needs to be a source of trap states to partake in nonradiative recombination. To this end, we have shown significant evidence of trap states in perovskite/C₆₀ half stacks and complete devices through sensitive EQE measurements (Figure 2a,b). These states could be due to DOS broadening causing low lying LUMO states at the surface which we measured with UPS (Figure S3, Supporting Information) or a charge transfer state at the interface (Figure S8, Supporting Information). These two possible sources of traps are summarized schematically in Figure 5d. Viable optimization pathways to reduce the loss while keeping C₆₀ as the ETL should be; i) significantly reduce the concentration of holes at the perovskite C₆₀ interface, ii) the insertion of a hole blocking layer to prevent hole transfer or physically decouple the electronic perovskite/C₆₀ interaction, and, iii) reduce the contact area between perovskite and C₆₀ using a “point contacts” strategy, possibly through a lithographic process to pattern the surface. With regard to the point contacts strategy a gentle lithographic process which patterns the surface with an insulator and does not damage the perovskite will need to be developed. The insulator should be patterned to reduce surface contact of the C₆₀ to less than 10% for significant improvement (ideal case is ~3%) with distances between contact points being less than the diffusion length of the perovskite (calculated to be ~5 μm in case of the TC and 40 μm in case of DC, using a perovskite mobility of 1 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ as reported[36]). We have included detailed schematics and further description of how ideal point contacts would look in Figure S12 (Supporting Information). As a proof of concept, we attempted to spontaneously form point contacts through a discontinuous film of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) (Figure S13, Supporting Information) by simply modifying the concentration. The results showed a ≈30 mV improvement in Voc but was quickly limited by the insulating nature of PMMA causing the Voc to drop again (further discussion in Figure S14, Supporting Information).[37] This validates the strategy but shows the need for a lithographic or wet chemical step to better pattern the insulator for it to reach its true potential (for the TC this would be roughly a 100 mV improvement over the control). Patterning on this length scale is typically achieved using photolithographic techniques, which one could envision causing some damage to the perovskite through deep-UV light exposure and subsequent etching steps. However, there exists a great number of so called “soft” lithographic techniques which could be suitable methods to make a patterned film of insulator on this length scale. For example, one could use polymer blend lithography,[38] self-assembly of block copolymers,[39] nanosphere lithography[40] or nanoimprint lithography.[41] These methods would allow processing and etching with orthogonal solvents like chlorobenzene and isopropanol which do not significantly damage the perovskite layer with short exposure. As can be seen there are many different possibilities and avenues of exploration to develop such a process. It is not without challenges and efforts are ongoing in our lab to achieve this, it is however beyond the scope of this work.

Overall, it is clear that C₆₀ is not without its problems when being used as an ETL in pin perovskite solar cells —which begs the question; “Why are C₆₀ and other fullerenes (e.g. PCBM) so frequently used?” To that end we believe that it is because fullerenes have; i) a high electron affinity and mobility, ii) an appropriate alignment of their LUMO to many popular perovskites and, iii) a spherical shape which facilitates good vertical electron transport regardless of the processing conditions. This is opposed to most other small molecule organic ETls which are planar thus requiring more care during fabrication to ensure proper alignment of π-orbitals with respect to the direction of charge transport. These benefits have outweighed the nonradiative recombination loss until now, but the rapid progress of the field has left fullerene transport layers as a significant roadblock to further performance improvements. Strategies which very strongly reduce the minority carrier concentration at the perovskite/Spiro-OMeTAD interface have been shown to work very well in nip cells (e.g. 2D perovskite interlayers[42]) and LiF is known to improve pin cells by this mechanism via a surface dipole repelling the holes.[43] However, in the case of higher bandgap perovskites (>1.7eV), hole transfer to the C₆₀ may also occur, requiring ETls with a deeper HOMO.

7. Conclusions

In summary, we have qualitatively explained the interfacial recombination at the perovskite/C₆₀ heterojunction. Sensitive EQE measurements revealed a large number of subgap states induced by C₆₀ in perovskite devices. The PLQY of perovskite/C₆₀ stacks illuminated through the glass/perovskite and C₆₀ side and ab initio simulations were inconsistent with C₆₀ inducing trap states on the perovskite thus implicating nonradiative recombination happens via states in C₆₀. Coverage dependent PLQY and UPS measurements revealed that the most critical loss process in most high performing pin-type cells is a surface mediated process, which happens at the first monolayer of C₆₀. We are able to model our thickness dependent PLQY data well by making the additional trap density directly proportional to the number of C₆₀ molecules at the perovskite surface, which saturates when surface coverage is complete. Having pinned down the location of the recombination process, we examined whether holes from the perovskite go directly to trap states at the interface or to the HOMO of C₆₀. However, we didn’t find any evidence of hole transfer to either the HOMO or an excitonic state of C₆₀ through PL and EL measurements of a TC.
perovskite device allowing us to rule out this mechanism. We conclude that recombination across the interface via C_{60} trap states is the operational mechanism and that the traps originate either from charge transfer states or DOS broadening at the interface pinning the LUMO below the conduction band of the perovskite. The investigation laid out here and proof of concept devices demonstrates that reducing the hole concentration at the perovskite C_{60} interface and “point contact” strategies will allow one to improve the device \( V_{OC} \) paving the way for further strategies to eliminate this loss pathway.
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