Hybrid modes in a single thermally excited asymmetric dimer antenna
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The study of hybrid modes in a single dimer of neighboring antennas is an essential step to optimize the far-field electromagnetic (EM) response of large-scale metasurfaces or any complex antenna structure made up of subwavelength building blocks. Here we present far-field infrared spatial modulation spectroscopy (IR-SMS) measurements of a single thermally excited asymmetric dimer of square metal-insulator-metal (MIM) antennas separated by a nanometric gap. Through thermal fluctuations, all the EM modes of the antennas are excited, and hybrid bonding and anti-bonding modes can be observed simultaneously. We study the latter within a plasmon hybridization model, and analyze their effect on the far-field response. © 2021 Optical Society of America

Infrared (IR) metamaterials made up of plasmonic resonators have been used in a wide range of applications, such as radiative cooling [1,2], photodetection [3–7], and solar cell design [8]. The building blocks of these materials are subwavelength structures that exhibit many interesting antenna effects, namely, directional thermal emission and spectral selectivity, as well as high field confinement. In this regard, metal-insulator-metal (MIM) resonators have been studied in a variety of configurations, ranging from nanoribbons [9,10] to coated spherical nanoparticles [2], and have demonstrated such capabilities while also being spectrally tunable and angularly independent [9–11]. So far, these studies have mainly considered periodic arrays of antennas. However, diffraction orders as well as coupling effects between neighboring antennas take place, which affects the overall electromagnetic (EM) response of such arrays [12]. In addition, when two plasmonic resonators are in near-field interaction, the dimer structure may exhibit hybrid plasmonic resonances [13–19]. Diffractive coupling between neighboring dimers placed in an array has also been shown to alter optical behavior [20]. The thermal emission of an isolated MIM antenna has been characterized in the mid-IR and has revealed interesting resonant behavior [21]. Therefore, understanding the effect of near-field interaction within an isolated asymmetric dimer on its far-field thermal emission properties is crucial to guide future efforts of optimizing the response of antennas made of the assembly of subwavelength building blocks separated by tiny gaps, with benefit for various applications, such as IR photodetection [4] or multispectral biosensing [22,23].

In this Letter, we demonstrate simultaneous probing of the bonding and anti-bonding modes of an isolated dimer of MIM antennas by thermal excitation. This striking result, which is confirmed by finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulations and polarization analysis, shows that various coupled modes of a single nano-antenna can be simultaneously excited by thermal fluctuations, an essentially incoherent process arising from fluctuating thermal currents.

The investigated samples consist of a homogeneous substrate supporting two coupled subwavelength gold square patch MIM antennas of different widths with a silica (SiO$_2$) spacer layer, separated by a nanometric gap $g$, which we refer to as a BiMIM structure [see Fig. 1(a) for sample geometry]. The far-field thermal radiation spectrum of the coupled MIM antennas is extracted from the overwhelming background thermal radiation via IR spatial modulation spectroscopy (SMS) [21] in the spectral range between 6–13 µm. As sketched in Fig. 1(a), the temperature of the BiMIM and the substrate is raised to 438 K by means of a hot sample stage. A lateral modulation of the sample at frequency $\Omega$ is applied, producing a small oscillatory contribution on the thermal radiation captured by an IR detector due to a light fall-off effect. Provided that the substrate is homogeneous, it coincides with the sole BiMIM contribution, which is then extracted by lock-in demodulation, allowing background-free spectroscopic analysis with a Fourier transform IR (FTIR) spectrometer.

A single MIM antenna with a patch of width $w$ acts as a Fabry–Perot resonator for the gap plasmons trapped below the patch [9,24,25]. By thermally exciting the MIM antennas, all their EM modes are excited in the spectral range considered and are populated according to Bose–Einstein statistics [26–28]. The resonance wavelength of the modes can be roughly estimated by the phase matching condition $\lambda = 2n_{\text{eff}}g\mu$, where $n_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective index of refraction of the MIM mode in the insulator layer [24,25]. Figure 1(b) shows the measured thermal
emission spectrum of a BiMIM structure with a 1 \( \mu \)m gap (blue curve). As a reference, we also show measured spectra of the single MIM antennas making up the structure (respective widths \( w = 2 \mu m \) and \( 2.5 \mu m \), dashed and dashed–dotted curves) each consisting of two resonance peaks, which are a signature of the excitation of the MIM fundamental mode at two different wavelengths. The peak at the largest wavelength results from the peculiar dispersion of the silica spacer layer \([21]\) and will not be discussed further here as it occurs in a region where the cavity is very lossy (see Supplement 1, Section 2 for more details). We will, thus, focus the remainder of our discussion on spectral features below 8.5 \( \mu \)m. As can be seen from Fig. 1(b), for such a large gap, the thermal emission spectrum of the BiMIM structure simply overlaps with that of the two individual antennas, meaning that the two MIM antennas behave independently. However, when the MIM antennas are separated by a smaller gap, their two gold patches form a plasmonic dimer pair that exhibits bonding and anti-bonding hybrid modes \([14]\). If an external illumination is used, the latter modes can be selectively excited by varying the polarization of the EM excitation with respect to the dimer common axis [see Fig. 2(b) inset]. These hybrid modes can be studied using a plasmon hybridization model \([14,29,30]\), in which the conduction electrons in the metal are modeled as a charged incompressible liquid, whose deformations lead to the formation of a surface charge.

In our experiment, a thermal excitation of the BiMIM structure is instead produced \textit{in situ} by raising the overall temperature of the sample, inducing a superposition between the longitudinal and the transverse bonding and anti-bonding modes, which modifies the observed far-field response. In particular, when the gap size is reduced to 100 \( \mu \)m (red curve in Fig. 2), a splitting begins to form in the resonance peak between 7 and 8 \( \mu \)m, while an overall redshift in the peaks is also observed. It has been shown that the bonding hybrid modes lead to a redshift in the spectrum, while the anti-bonding modes result in a slight blueshift \([13,16,17,31]\). The observed splitting is, thus, a hallmark of simultaneous excitation of the longitudinal bonding and transverse anti-bonding modes due to thermal fluctuations. FDTD calculations of the emission cross section of the BiMIM structure [Fig. 2(b)] confirm this effect. For a larger gap size \( g = 500 \mu m \), red curve in Fig. 2), the splitting and redshift disappear.

The thermal radiation emitted by the BiMIM structure is collected by a reflective objective (NA = 0.5), which collects radiation from a solid angle between 10 and 30°. By reciprocity, this means that the BiMIM’s hybrid modes can be excited by an external source at non-normal incidence. The agreement of our off-axis measurements with simulations at normal incidence suggests that the emission spectra of the hybrid modes of the considered BiMIM structure are angularly independent up to at least 30°.

As shown below, it is possible to distinguish between the various hybrid modes of the BiMIM antennas that are thermally excited by performing polarized thermal emission measurements. This is done by placing a wire grid polarizer before the detector in order to select the \( E_\parallel \) and \( E_\perp \) polarizations. The experimental results and the corresponding cross section calculations are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. For the parallel polarization, FDTD simulations show that the
Fig. 3. (a) Measured thermal emission and (b) calculated emission cross section of the BiMIM structure with $g = 100$ nm for polarized thermal emission. (c) Plots of the $z$ component $E_z$ (in units of V/m) of the electric field in the $x$-$y$ plane at the top of the square metal patches, at the resonance peaks indicated by the markers (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) in panel (b). The arrows indicate the electric field polarization plane in each case.

longitudinal anti-bonding and bonding modes are excited at the resonance positions (i) and (ii), respectively, in Fig. 3(b). At these resonance positions, charges accumulate on the left and right edges of the square patches. This produces a strong enhancement of the $z$ component $|E_z|$ of the electric field at the edges of the squares, as shown in positions (i) and (ii) in Fig. 3(c). The opposite charges, found at the edges near the gap, lead to the observed redshift in the longitudinal bonding mode [position (ii) in Fig. 3(b)], due to attraction. On the other hand, charges of same sign are found at the edges near the gap for the longitudinal anti-bonding mode [Fig. 3(c)] (i)]. This latter mode appears as a small peak at position (i) in Fig. 3(b). Note that the asymmetry of the BiMIM structure is essential for the observation of this mode. If the square patches were identical in size, the net dipole moment for this mode would be zero, and it would be inaccessible by far-field illumination, as it is a dark mode [29]. By reciprocity, it would not produce any measurable thermal radiation to the far-field as well.

Fig. 4. (a) Simulated emission cross section for unpolarized light for the considered BiMIM structure with $g = 100$ nm compared with that of a symmetric BiMIM whose patches have widths $w_1 = w_2 = 2 \mu$m. (b) Electric field enhancement plots $|E/E_o|$, where $|E_o|$ is the incident field, showing field hot spots in the $x$-$y$ plane at the top of the metal patches, recorded at the resonance positions in panel (a).

degenerate and do not produce a splitting in the unpolarized thermal emission spectrum.

If a symmetric BiMIM structure is considered (where both MIM antennas of the structure resonate near 6.5 $\mu$m), Fig. 4 (black simulated curve), the spectral overlap of the resonances near 6.5 $\mu$m does not allow for the excitation of the dark mode, while a splitting due to the longitudinal bonding and transverse anti-bonding modes still occurs—as indicated by the splitting between 6 and 7.5 $\mu$m. An interesting effect is also observed in the considered BiMIM structure, when examining the simulated electric field enhancement plots, for unpolarized emission, at the resonance positions A1 and A2 [Fig. 4(b)]. The plots reveal emission hot spots from the BiMIM structure, showing that the two MIM antennas emit independently at these resonance positions, even though they are effectively in near-field interaction. On the other hand, at the resonance peak A3, the two MIM antennas act as a coupled system, and a larger field enhancement is found in the gap [position A3 in Fig. 4(b)]. The observed behavior at positions A1 and A2 is a direct result of the nature of the weakly interacting transverse hybrid modes and the asymmetry of the BiMIM structure under study. In fact, since the resonance wavelength of a MIM antenna scales with its width, the size difference between the two MIM antennas allows for independent emission at two different wavelengths. To illustrate this point, we also show field enhancement plots at the resonance positions S1 and S2 for the symmetric BiMIM case [Fig. 4(b), bottom two panels]. In this case, the two MIM antennas cannot emit independently, and emission hot spots are either found at the edges of the two gold patches (position S1) or in the gap (position S2).
The equivalence between emission and absorption suggests that if an external electric field is incident on the considered asymmetric BiMIM structure, it will be routed to, and resonantly absorbed by, each MIM antenna at the resonance positions A1 and A2. Such an attribute could be beneficial for IR photodetection applications [10].

In summary, we have shown thermally induced simultaneous probing of the various hybrid modes of a single asymmetric BiMIM structure and have measured its effect on the far-field response using IR-SMS. In contrast to other symmetric systems, the considered asymmetric BiMIM structure was found to allow direct far-field excitation of the dark anti-bonding mode. Further, simulated electric field enhancement plots revealed that the symmetry of the system considered, the two MIM antennas making up the structure can emit (equivalently absorb) radiation independently. This feature, along with the fact that the absorbed radiation is mainly concentrated in the insulator layer in a MIM antenna, could be of use for realizing IR photodetectors with improved responsivity at room temperature. The absorbed radiation may be harnessed by replacing the insulating SiO$_2$ layer considered here by a semi-conductor like HgCdTe [10,32] or a quantum well material [4]. Finally, our study may guide future efforts to optimize near-field interactions in nano-antenna arrays for applications such as bio-sensing for the detection and characterization of bio-molecules.
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