Association of travel distance and cerebral aneurysm treatment
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Abstract

Background: The management of cerebral aneurysms requires a significant level of expertise, and large areas of the country have limited access to such advanced neurosurgical care. The objective of this study was to examine the impact of longer travel distance on aneurysm management.

Methods: Adult patients treated for cerebral aneurysms from January 1, 2013 to January 1, 2016, were retrospectively identified. Demographic data, socioeconomic data, aneurysm characteristics, and postoperative outcomes were evaluated with univariate and multivariable analysis to determine factors that influenced treatment prior to or after rupture.

Results: Two hundred fifty aneurysms (87 ruptured) were treated during the study period. Patients treated after rupture were more likely than those treated before rupture to live in areas with lower median household income (62% vs. 45%, \( P = 0.009 \)), to live further from the treatment center (68% vs. 40%, \( P < 0.001 \)), and to have aneurysms in the anterior communicating artery, anterior cerebral artery, or posterior communicating artery (\( P < 0.001 \)). On multivariable analysis, longer travel distance (OR 3.288, 95% CI 1.562–6.922, \( P = 0.002 \)), lower income (1.899, 95% CI 1.003–3.596, \( P = 0.049 \)), and aneurysm location (\( P = 0.035 \)) remained significantly associated with treatment after rupture.

Conclusions: Patients who must travel further to receive advanced neurovascular care are more likely to receive treatment for their aneurysms only after they rupture. Further inquiry is needed to determine how to better provide neurosurgical treatment to patients living in underserved areas.
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INTRODUCTION

Cerebral aneurysms are estimated to be present in more than 3% of the population,\(^{[38]}\) suggesting that nearly 10 million individuals in the United States alone may harbor these lesions. Although a significant body of evidence suggests that only a minority of aneurysms eventually rupture,\(^{[1,30,32]}\) aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage remains a significant cause of morbidity...
and mortality.\cite{12,13} The inherent complexities of the management of aneurysms—both ruptured and unruptured—combined with advancements in both imaging and treatment modalities mean that these patients are best treated at high-volume centers with deep subspecialty expertise.\cite{37} The availability of such care; however, is limited by both a dearth of vascular and endovascular neurosurgeons\cite{34} and a geographic maldistribution of practitioners, with the majority of counties in the United States having no neurosurgeons at all.\cite{20}

The shortage of healthcare professionals is not limited to neurosurgery, and significant discussion is ongoing in both the medical literature\cite{19,36,39,42} and the popular press\cite{12,31} about how best to tackle this difficult issue. One of the results of this deficiency is the need for extended travel by some patients to obtain primary and specialty medical care. Although the impact of distance to care and management has been investigated in other subspecialties,\cite{17,19,27} little research has been reported in the neurosurgical literature. In our study, we examined the association of distance to a quaternary neurovascular center and timing of cerebral aneurysm treatment.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patient cohort

After obtaining approval from the institutional review board with a waiver of informed consent, we queried a clinical database to obtain information about patients 18 years and older who underwent treatment for cerebral aneurysm between January 1, 2013, and January 1, 2016. Patients were excluded if geographic, demographic, or socioeconomic data were unavailable. All endovascular procedures were performed by one of two endovascular neurosurgeons, and all open surgical procedures were performed by one of four neurosurgeons. All procedures were performed at a quaternary referral center that provides care to the American Intermountain West—a geographic region comprising the states of Utah, Nevada, and parts of Idaho, Arizona, Colorado, Montana, and New Mexico and serving a population of more than 3 million.\cite{22}

#### Data collection

Demographic, geographic, socioeconomic, aneurysm-related, and postoperative information was collected on all patients. Demographic data included age, race/ethnicity (dichotomized into Caucasian and non-Caucasian), sex, marital status, and insurance type (divided into private, Medicaid/self-pay, and Medicare). Each patient’s ZIP code was collected. The U.S. Census data (http://www.census.gov/) were used to determine the median household income for each patient’s ZIP code. These were subsequently dichotomized based on the median value for the cohort ($56,011). Travel distance for each patient was also calculated from the patient’s ZIP code to our medical center utilizing Google maps (http://maps.google.com) and dichotomized based on the median travel distance (89.9 miles). The concentration of general family practice physicians (FPs) in each patient’s home county was also obtained via the National Provider Identifier database (https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/HIPAA-Administrative-Simplification/NationalProvIdentStand/DataDissemination.html) and was dichotomized based on the median FPP-to-patient ratio (1 physician to 3034 patients). Information on active tobacco use and hypertension was also collected.

Aneurysm-related data included rupture status at time of treatment, treatment modality, aneurysm size (dichotomized based on a cutoff size of 7 mm), and aneurysm location. Treatment modality was dichotomized into open clipping or endovascular (consisting of coiling with or without stent assistance and flow diversion). Aneurysm location was divided into seven categories based on those used in previous papers.\cite{13} Each patient also had a Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score calculated based on published criteria.\cite{11} Postoperative data collected on each patient included length of hospitalization and final discharge destination.

Mapping of aneurysm distribution was performed using ArcGIS (ESRI 2011. ArcGIS Online: Release 10.3. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute, http://www.arcgis.com).

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analyzed using Student’s t-test, and categorical variables were analyzed using Chi-squared analysis. Univariate and multivariable analysis were first performed to compare patients with ruptured aneurysms and those with unruptured aneurysms (i.e., to assess timing of treatment). Variables were selected for this multivariable model based on factors that reached a P < 0.2 on univariate analysis. Univariate analysis was then used to compare patients who lived less than or greater than the median hospital travel distance. In all analyses, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

### RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of patients in the ruptured and unruptured treatment groups were largely similar, with no significant differences between the groups in age, sex, marital status, race/ethnicity, CCI, or insurance type [Table 1]. The rate of smoking in the ruptured group was slightly higher than in the unruptured group (37% vs. 26%, P = 0.087), but this difference was not statistically significant. The rate of hypertension was similar in both groups. Patients treated
after the aneurysm had ruptured were significantly more likely to fall into the longer travel distance group (68% vs. 40%, \( P < 0.001 \), Figure 1). Patients who were treated for ruptured aneurysm were also significantly more likely to be from areas with lower median household income (62% vs. 45%, \( P = 0.009 \)).

Aneurysm location differed significantly between the ruptured and unruptured groups (\( P < 0.001 \)). Ruptured aneurysms were significantly more likely to arise from the anterior communicating artery, anterior cerebral artery, or posterior communicating artery, whereas those treated prior to rupture were more likely to arise from the internal carotid artery. Ruptured aneurysms were also significantly more likely to be treated with open clipping than were unruptured aneurysms (61% vs. 39%, \( P < 0.001 \)).

Patients treated for ruptured aneurysms had significantly longer hospital stays than those treated for unruptured aneurysms (18.5 ± 7.9 days vs. 4.0 ± 5.6 days, \( P < 0.001 \)) and were significantly less likely to discharge home (\( P < 0.001 \)). Variables selected for the multivariable model included tobacco use, aneurysm size, aneurysm location, median household income, travel distance, and local FPP concentration. Discharge destination, treatment modality, and length of stay met criteria, but were not included in our model as we thought that it was unlikely these variables had an impact on aneurysm treatment timing. In our multivariable model, aneurysm location (\( P < 0.035 \), Table 2), lower income (\( P = 0.049 \)), and longer travel distance (\( P = 0.002 \)) were significantly associated with aneurysm treatment after rupture.

Patients who were “far” from our medical center were similar in all assessed demographic factors when compared with the “close” group, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, and marital status (Table 3). CCI values for both groups were also not significantly different, nor were rates of tobacco use and hypertension. Patients in the “far” group were more likely to be from a region with a lower median household income (\( P < 0.001 \)) and from an area with

| Variable | Unruptured (n=163) | Ruptured (n=87) | \( P^a \) |
|----------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Female patients | 119 (73) | 59 (68) | 0.388 |
| Mean age in years ± SD | 55.2 ± 12.5 | 54.1 ± 12.9 | 0.501 |
| Married | 104 (64) | 52 (60) | 0.531 |
| Race/ethnicity | | | |
| Caucasian | 134 (82) | 70 (81) | 0.734 |
| Non-caucasian | 29 (18) | 17 (20) | |
| Payor type | | | |
| Private | 89 (55) | 44 (51) | 0.280 |
| Medicaid/self pay | 26 (16) | 21 (24) | |
| Medicare | 48 (29) | 22 (25) | |
| Charlson comorbidity index ± SD | 1.87 ± 1.5 | 1.66 ± 1.8 | 0.317 |
| Hypertension | 80 (49) | 39 (45) | 0.521 |
| Tobacco use | 43 (26) | 32 (37) | 0.087 |
| Lower income | 73 (45) | 54 (62) | 0.009 |
| Shorter travel distance | 98 (60) | 28 (32) | <0.001 |
| Lower family practice physician concentration | 97 (60) | 41 (47) | 0.061 |
| Aneurysm location | | | |
| Internal carotid artery | 54 (33) | 16 (18) | <0.001 |
| AComm/ACA | 31 (19) | 37 (43) | |
| MCA | 29 (18) | 12 (14) | |
| PComm | 10 (6) | 9 (10) | |
| Basilar tip | 8 (5) | 5 (5) | |
| Other posterior circulation | 18 (11) | 8 (9) | |
| Cavernous carotid | 13 (8) | 0 (0) | |
| Smaller aneurysm size | 80 (49) | 53 (61) | 0.074 |
| Endovascular treatment | 99 (61) | 34 (39) | 0.001 |
| Length of stay (days) ± SD | 4.0 ± 5.6 | 18.5 ± 7.9 | <0.001 |
| Discharge destination | | | |
| Home | 140 (86) | 32 (37) | <0.001 |
| Home health | 6 (4) | 1 (1) | |
| Inpatient rehabilitation | 12 (7) | 30 (35) | |
| Skilled nursing facility | 4 (3) | 7 (8) | |
| LTAC | 1 (1) | 6 (7) | |
| Death | 0 (0) | 11 (13) | |

AComm: Anterior communicating artery, ACA: Anterior cerebral artery, MCA: Middle cerebral artery, PComm: Posterior communicating artery, LTAC: Long-term acute care facility. Results are reported as number of patients (%) unless otherwise indicated. \( P<0.05 \) was considered significant.
better FPP coverage ($P < 0.001$). Aneurysm size, location, and treatment modality were not significantly different when comparing patients in the “close” and “far” groups, although “far” patients were significantly more likely to be treated after aneurysm rupture ($P < 0.001$). “Far” patients were also more likely to have a longer length of hospitalization after treatment ($P < 0.001$) and were less likely to discharge home ($P = 0.050$).

**DISCUSSION**

Aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage is a devastating pathology that can result in permanent disability even in survivors. The cost of management after rupture is also dramatically greater, with one study showing that charges to treat ruptured aneurysms were two to three times in excess of those for aneurysms treated prior to hemorrhage. Despite the obvious benefits of treating aneurysms prior to rupture, the complexity of their natural history and the need for advanced imaging to detect and monitor them often makes early treatment difficult because of the need for ready access to subspecialized care. It is perhaps unsurprising, therefore, that patients in vulnerable demographic and socioeconomic groups have been shown to be more likely to be treated for aneurysms only after subarachnoid hemorrhage and have worse outcomes than their peers.

The need to travel long distances to obtain medical care is a common problem in the United States. This problem is likely especially problematic for patients seeking neurosurgical care, with estimates suggesting that for every 100,000 people in the US, there are fewer than two practicing neurosurgeons. Such figures likely underestimate the problem with regard to specific subspecialties such as vascular neurosurgery, as only a minority of the neurosurgical workforce specializes in these pathologies.

Our study suggests that patients who must travel significant distances to receive neurosurgical care for cerebral aneurysms are more than three times as likely to be treated for a ruptured aneurysm as those who live in closer proximity to a comprehensive neurovascular center. This difference is independent of other factors including socioeconomic status, aneurysm characteristics, and other demographic variables. Patients in our cohort who lived further from our center actually tended to have more ready access to primary care physicians, as indicated by a

---

**Table 2: Multivariable analysis of ruptured versus unruptured aneurysm groups**

| Variable                              | B     | e^B   | 95% C.I. for e^B | P*   |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|------|
| Aneurysm location                     |       |       |                 |      |
| Internal carotid artery (ref)         | n/a   | n/a   | n/a             | 0.035|
| AComm/ACA                            | 1.320 | 3.743 | 1.699-8.242     | 0.001|
| MCA                                  | 0.432 | 1.541 | 0.603-3.937     | 0.366|
| PComm                                | 1.183 | 3.265 | 1.054-10.118    | 0.040|
| Basilar tip                          | 1.018 | 2.769 | 0.704-10.883    | 0.145|
| Other posterior circulation           | 0.251 | 1.285 | 0.446-3.701     | 0.642|
| Cavernous carotid                    | -20.063 | <0.001 | n/a             | 0.999|
| Lower income                         | 0.641 | 1.899 | 1.003-3.596     | 0.049|
| Smaller aneurysm size                | 0.575 | 1.776 | 0.961-3.282     | 0.067|
| Tobacco use                          | -0.303 | 0.739 | 0.396-1.378     | 0.341|
| Longer travel distance               | 1.190 | 3.288 | 1.562-6.922     | 0.002|
| Higher family practice                | 0.303 | 1.354 | 0.652-2.809     | 0.303|

---

**Table 3: Univariate analysis of travel distance**

| Close (n=126) | Far (n=124) | P*  |
|---------------|-------------|-----|
| Female patients | 90 (71)     | 88 (71) | 0.936 |
| Mean age in years ± SD | 54.1±12.6 | 55.6±12.7 | 0.352 |
| Married       | 72 (57)     | 84 (68) | 0.084 |
| Race/ethnicity|             |       |     |
| Caucasian     | 98 (78)     | 106 (86) | 0.116 |
| Non-caucasian | 28 (22)     | 18 (15)  |     |
| Payor type    |             |       |     |
| Private       | 67 (53)     | 66 (53)  | 0.994 |
| Medicaid/self pay | 24 (19)     | 23 (19)  |     |
| Medicare      | 35 (28)     | 35 (28)  |     |
| Charlson comorbidity index ± SD | 1.67±1.4 | 1.93±1.8 | 0.205 |
| Hypertension  | 62 (49)     | 57 (46)  | 0.608 |
| Tobacco use   | 32 (25)     | 43 (35)  | 0.109 |
| Lower income  | 43 (34)     | 84 (68)  | <0.001 |
| Treatment after rupture | 28 (22)     | 59 (48)  | <0.001 |
| Lower family practice physician concentration | 106 (84) | 32 (26) | <0.001 |

---

**Aneurysm location**

| Close (n=126) | Far (n=124) | P*  |
|---------------|-------------|-----|
| Internal carotid artery (ref) | 40 (32) | 30 (24) | 0.437 |
| AComm/ACA | 27 (21) | 41 (33) |     |
| MCA | 23 (18) | 18 (15) |     |
| PComm | 10 (8) | 9 (7) |     |
| Basilar tip | 7 (6) | 6 (5) |     |
| Other posterior circulation | 14 (11) | 12 (10) |     |
| Cavernous carotid | 5 (4) | 8 (7) |     |
| Smaller aneurysm size | 71 (56) | 62 (50) | 0.314 |
| Endovascular treatment | 59 (47) | 58 (47) | 0.994 |
| Length of stay (days) ± SD | 6.6±7.6 | 11.5±10.5 | <0.001 |

**Discharge destination**

| Home | 96 (76) | 76 (61) | 0.050 |
| Home health | 3 (2.4) | 4 (3.2) |     |
| Inpatient rehabilitation | 15 (12) | 27 (22) |     |
| Skilled nursing facility | 7 (6) | 4 (3) |     |
| LTAC | 1 (1) | 6 (5) |     |
| Death | 4 (3) | 7 (6) |     |

**Notes:**

- CI: Confidence interval, AComm: Anterior communicating artery, ACA: Anterior cerebral artery, MCA: Middle cerebral artery, PComm: Posterior communicating artery
- *P<0.05 was considered significant.
A lower average FPP-to-patient ratio (1 FPP to 2572 patients in the longer distance group vs. 1 FPP to 3433 patients in the shorter distance group), possibly because of a higher population density in urban areas. With regard to timing of cerebral aneurysm treatment; however, there was no significant benefit to living in a region with a higher concentration of primary care physicians. Prior studies have supported the idea that a simple increase in physician number is not sufficient to improve patient outcomes and that such gains require an approach that maximizes both the quality of care and its proper utilization.

There are several possible explanations for our study's findings. Patients who live further away from a cerebrovascular care center may simply be less likely to be evaluated for a cerebrovascular lesion. The most common symptom leading to discovery of unruptured cerebral aneurysms within our cohort was headache, with the majority of patients being initially evaluated by a family practitioner or a neurologist. In practices where cerebrovascular lesions are rarely encountered, vessel imaging may not be available or commonly employed in the evaluation of such a nonspecific finding. Patients living further away from a treatment center may also defer elective aneurysm treatment for logistical reasons—an unwillingness or inability to travel dozens if not hundreds of miles for the initial intervention and then for any required follow-up. Patients in our cohort who were from areas with lower median household income were also more likely to be treated after aneurysm rupture than electively, a finding which has previously been described, although our analysis of patient income must be tempered by the fact that ZIP code-level median income was analyzed, not individual patient income. It is likely that patients who fall into both categories—geographically isolated from neurosurgical care and without the resources to support travel to obtain such care—would be especially vulnerable to poorer management. This idea is supported by the superior outcomes associated with cerebral aneurysm management at high-volume centers.

The location of the aneurysm in the circulation also significantly affected the chance of treatment after rupture in our cohort, with both posterior communicating artery lesions and anterior communicating artery lesions more than three times as likely as internal cerebral artery aneurysms to receive intervention only after hemorrhage. The dangers of posterior communicating artery aneurysms to receive intervention only after hemorrhage are well documented and accepted. Despite a fairly robust volume of evidence suggesting the increased rupture risk of anterior communicating artery aneurysms, the behavior of these lesions is less widely appreciated.

Our study has several limitations. The first is its retrospective nature, which increases its vulnerability to confounders. One possible confounder is aneurysm treatment at other facilities—specifically the possibility that elective aneurysms are preferentially treated locally, while patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage are referred to high-volume centers. The literature, however, does not appear to support this, with the most recent national data suggesting that a similar percentage of unruptured and ruptured aneurysms are treated at low-volume centers. A second possible confounder is a differing baseline rupture risk between patients living near or far from our center. Patients in the two distance groups were similar with regard to multiple variables known to influence aneurysm rupture risk including age, sex, tobacco use, and hypertension, but other known risk factors could not be assessed and therefore may influence our results. Significant among these is the dichotomization of tobacco use into “users” and “non-users,” when in fact heavy tobacco use has been shown to increase aneurysm rupture risk significantly more than light use.

Socioeconomic status has been demonstrated time and again to be associated with a variety of healthcare quality measures and any study investigating differences in outcomes among groups of patients must take such differences into consideration. Without access to individual income data, we utilized ZIP code-based median household income as a surrogate for socioeconomic status. This methodology for analyzing income increases the risk of misrepresenting actual individual patient socioeconomic status because of heterogeneity within ZIP codes and inherent differences in ZIP codes due to a number of variables (urban vs. rural, geographical factors, etc.). Although this approach has been validated multiple times in the literature as an acceptable surrogate for socioeconomic status, the risk remains that our analysis of socioeconomic status may be biased as a result. Ideally, future studies would have access to individual patient and family income data and would preferably compare matched cohorts to more definitively examine the influence of travel distance on cerebral aneurysm treatment in isolation.

We examined a relatively small cohort of patients at a single center, thus limiting our power and the generalizability of our findings. The latter may especially be true given the nature of how healthcare providers are distributed throughout the nation. We were unable to assess the absolute incidence of unruptured aneurysms present in the population from which our hospital draws, nor do we have access to information on what proportion of these aneurysms are detected in each location. As a result, we are unable to make firm conclusions as to what impact travel distance has on rates of aneurysm diagnosis or rates of referral—only that of the cases referred to our center requiring treatment, a higher proportion of those from distant locations are treated following rupture. Finally, we did not examine specific characteristics of
patients within the ruptured aneurysm group, such as time from rupture to presentation, presenting acuity (i.e., Hunt and Hess grade, World Federation of Neurological Surgeons grade), and rate of loss to follow-up. Subsequent studies examining these factors would undoubtedly be informative.

CONCLUSIONS

In this single-institution cohort, patients living further from our medical center were more likely to undergo treatment for their cerebral aneurysm emergently after rupture than electively. Further studies are needed to better define this association and to determine strategies to provide advanced neurosurgical care to remote regions of the country.
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