Fertilizers and biological products used for cultivation of perennial grasses on gray forest soils of the Middle Volga region
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Abstract. In recent years, fertilizers and biological products are widely used for cultivating perennial grasses to form highly productive agrocenoses and reduce the cost of feed. The issues of the use of biologically active substances for pre-sowing seeds of perennial herbs in combination with leaf fertilizing are of great practical importance. In the soil and climatic conditions of the Middle Volga region, the pre-sowing seed treatment with Azotovite 2 kg/t in combination with leaf treatment with Flavobacterine 4 l/ha depending provided an additional yield of 2.5–4.8 t/ha of green mass with a content of 440–960 feed units. The effect of the above biological products is equivalent to the application of 70–88 kg/ha of mineral fertilizers and cost savings in the amount of 1.5–1.9 thousand rubles/ha.

1 Introduction

Assessing the current state of the agro-industrial complex of the developed countries, including the Russian Federation, setting the task of making it more innovative, we can say that farmers have learned to use all accessible resources of land and nature. Therefore, much attention is paid to the use of scientific and technological achievements, including fertilizer-stimulating compounds, biological preparations, and chelated micronutrient fertilizers. According to foreign [1–3] and Russian [4–6] scientists, they improve the stress resistance of plants, accelerate the accumulation of biomass, improve quality of the products, and contribute to a better wintering of winter rye and winter wheat due to internal reserves of cultivated crops. However, the use of biologically active substances is understudied, especially taking into account soil and climatic conditions of the forest-steppe zone of the Middle Volga region, which became the basis for the selection of the object and the direction of our research.

2 The purpose and objectives of research

The aim of the work is theoretical justification and development of practical methods for pre-sowing seed treatment of perennial grasses with biologically active substances in combination with leaf treatment with Flavobacterine.

To achieve this goal, the following tasks were set:
- to study the nutritional value of ryegrass feed depending on the plant nutrition;
- to calculate the value of the possible substitution of mineral fertilizers with biological products and cost savings in the production of energy-rich ryegrass feed.

3 Research program and methodology

The main research method was a two-factor field experiment. Factor A (types of grass stands): single-sowing crops of ryegrass multi-crop Leningrad 809 (control); ryegrass (60 %) + boneless boneless rump Morshan 710 (40 %); ryegrass (60 %) + meadow fescue Kazan (40 %). Factor B (fertilizers and biologics): control (without fertilizers); N88P35K43 (per 30 t/ha of green mass); Azotovite 2 kg/t of seeds + Flavobacterine 4 l/ha; Albite 40 g/t seeds + Flavobacterine 4 l/ha; Rizogrine 3 kg/t of seeds + Flavobacterine 4 l/ha.

The studies were conducted in 2015-2018 on the experimental field of the Faculty of Agronomy (GPS coordinates: N 55 °39'51", E 49 °11'33") of Kazan State Agrarian University. The content of humus was 3.91 %, mobile phosphorus – 152 and exchange potassium – 168 mg/kg of soil according to the Kirsanov’s criterion. Acidity: the soil is slightly acidic (pH of salt extract 5.9). The density was within the normal range – 1.2 g/cm³, the lowest moisture capacity was quite high (the soil can retain up to 29 % moisture).

The experiment was carried out in four replicates, the total area of the plot was 36 m², the accounting area was 21 m². Accounting, observation, analysis and processing of research results were carried out according to the methodology of the All-Russian Research Institute of Feed named after V.R. Williams [7, 8].

We studied the effect of those drugs that are
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approved for the use in the Russian Federation. In order to comply with the principle of the only difference in single- and multivariate crops of multi-cut ryegrass, root treatment with mineral fertilizers for the planned green mass yield of 30 t/ha and foliar top treatment were carried out in two doses (each dose – 50 %) – at the beginning of perennial regrowth grasses (early May) and after the first mowing (the first decade of June).

The main and pre-sowing preparations of the soil were generally accepted for the forest-steppe zone of the Middle Volga region.

The agrometeorological conditions did not differ from the long-term average indicators. The amount of precipitation for May – September ranged from 134 (2018) to 286 mm (2017). By thermal resources, in May 2015 and 2016, average daily air temperatures of +16.3 and 15.3 °C against normal +13.0 °C were recorded.

4 Results

To achieve cattle productivity of 5 thousand liters of milk per year and daily weight gain of 800-1000 g, it is necessary to harvest 5 thousand feed units. This task is not simple, since the reserves for increasing the cultivated area of fodder crops have been exhausted. In this regard, an increase in feed production should not be achieved by means that are economically feasible and justify the work of modern farmers. From this point of view, the results of studies on the influence of biological products on the productivity of perennial herbs are of special attention (Table 1).

In the soil and climatic conditions of the forest-steppe zone of the Middle Volga region, the ryegrass multi-mowing formed 18.6 t/ha of green mass without the use of mineral fertilizers and biological products for 4 years.

The annual feeding with N88P35K43 increased the yield of multi-crop ryegrass to 27.1 t/ha of green mass.

Biological products Azotovit at the rate of 2 kg/t of seeds + Flavobacterin in two doses (2 l/ha in spring and after the first mowing) provided an increase in the green mass yield of 4.2 t/ha, which is 28 percent higher than in the control variant.

When sowing ryegrass mixed with bezelless rump and applying N88P35K43, the actual yield was as close as possible to the planned one – 99.3 % versus 95 % when sowing this crop mixed with meadow fescue.

The comparative evaluation of the effectiveness of biological products and NPK by the yield of green mass would not be complete, since the amount of moisture in the green mass can be different. Therefore, in our studies, the dry matter content was determined by the thermostat-weighting method with a further calculation of the gross collection of dry weight from 1 ha of crops of perennial grasses.

Despite a significant decrease in dry matter under the influence of the calculated norms of mineral fertilizers, the highest gross yield of dry matter was obtained in the following experimental options:

- gross collection of dry weight from single-species crops of multi-crop ryegrass amounted to 6.8 t/ha;
- ryegrass-bonfire grass provided 7.9 t/ha of dry weight;
- ryegrass-oatmeal crops for gross collection of dry weight were inferior to ryegrass-bonfire crops only by 0.4 t/ha, but surpassed single-species crops by 0.7 t/ha.

Table 1. Comparative assessment of the effect of mineral fertilizers and biological products on the productivity of perennial herbs (2015–2018)

| Factor A (types of grass sown) | Factor B (fertilizers and biological products) | Gross mass productivity, t/ha | Dry matter content, % | Gross dry weight, t/ha |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Control (without fertilizer)  |                                               | 18.6                          | 27.2                  | 5.1                    |
| N88P35K43                     |                                               | 27.1                          | 25.1                  | 6.8                    |
| Azotovit 2 kg/t +             |                                               | 23.8                          | 26.2                  | 6.2                    |
| Flavobacterin 4 l/ha          |                                               | 21.9                          | 26.8                  | 5.9                    |
| Rizogrin 3 kg/t +             |                                               | 22.1                          | 26.0                  | 5.7                    |
| Control (without fertilizer)  |                                               | 20.9                          | 28.3                  | 5.9                    |
| N88P35K43                     |                                               | 29.8                          | 26.4                  | 7.9                    |
| Azotovit 2 kg/t +             |                                               | 25.6                          | 27.0                  | 6.9                    |
| Flavobacterin 4 l/ha          |                                               | 23.4                          | 27.9                  | 6.5                    |
| Rizogrin 3 kg/t +             |                                               | 23.9                          | 27.2                  | 6.5                    |
| Control (without fertilizer)  |                                               | 20.0                          | 27.9                  | 5.6                    |
| N88P35K43                     |                                               | 28.6                          | 26.1                  | 7.5                    |
| Azotovit 2 kg/t +             |                                               | 24.8                          | 26.9                  | 6.8                    |
| Flavobacterin 4 l/ha          |                                               | 23.0                          | 27.1                  | 6.2                    |
| Rizogrin 3 kg/t +             |                                               | 23.2                          | 26.8                  | 6.2                    |

As a result, due to sowing ryegrass, meadow fescue and beetless rump, the same mineral nutrition provides additional 0.7–1.1 t/ha.

The studied biological products had a positive effect on the yield of green and dry mass of perennial grasses. The pre-sowing seed treatment with Azotovit at the rate of 2 kg/t in combination with two-fold foliar application of Flavobacterine (2 l/ha) at the beginning of the growth of perennial grasses and after the first mowing is efficient:

- an increase in the dry weight of single-species ryegrass crops was 22 percent;
- an increase in dry mass of ryegrass-bonfire was 17 percent;
- ryegrass-oatmeal crops occupied an intermediate position between them with an increase of 21 per cent.

Albite in the amount of 40 g/t and Rizogrine in the
amount of 3 kg/t in combination with Flavobacterine also provided significant increases in the range of 10–12 percent.

Therefore, in order to obtain 6.2–6.9 t/ha of dry mass, it is necessary to treat seeds with Azotovite (2 kg/t); during the growing season, it is necessary to apply (in early spring and after the first mowing) Flavobacterine at the rate of 2 l/ha + 300 l/ha H2O.

A steady trend to increase the content of the main nutrient – crude protein in the dry mass of multigraded ryegrass was observed: in the mixture with beefless rump, an increase was 12.8, and with meadow oatgrass – 14.6 % versus 12.4 % in single species crops. In this regard, the mixed crops of the multi-rowed ryegrass provided the highest yields of crude protein: 1163 kg/ha mixed with meadow fescue against the estimated NPK of 30 t/ha of green mass and 1153 kg/ha in the mixture with bezostost rump, which is 14 and 13 % higher compared to single-species crops.

The high efficiency of biological products should be emphasized. Azotovite + Flavobacterine ensured an increase by 843 kg/ha, ryegrass-krestsevye – by 918 and ryegrass-oatmeal – by 966 kg/ha.

When assessing the nutritional value of perennial grasses, the content and gross collections of crude oil cannot be excluded from the analysis. For example, it was found that dairy cows require 60 % of raw fat, excreted in milk [9, 10]. With a productivity of 15 l/day of milk with a oil content of 4 %, the cow should receive 360 g of raw oil per day. Currently, in order to balance the cattle diet for this energy material, many farms are forced to purchase oilseed. Meanwhile, there is another possibility of increasing its content and production by selecting perennial grasses genetically rich in raw oil and regulating their nutritional background (Fig. 1).
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**Fig. 1.** Content and gross collections of crude oil depending on the nutritional options of ryegrass agrocenoses (2015–2018)
All biological products used in the technology of cultivation of ryegrass agroecosystems were effective:
- for single-species crops of ryegrass, this technology provided an increase by 31 kg/ha of crude fat;
- for mixed sowing of ryegrass with rumless rump, an increase was was 166 kg/ha;
- multi-variety crops with meadow fescue provided an increase by 36 kg/ha.

Mathematical calculations show that with such a content of raw oil and its gross collection from 1 ha of crops, 14 kg of dry mass of ryegrass feed cover the daily need of dairy cows for energy material.

The use of modern biological products as alternative food sources increases the saturation of feed with exchange energy from 12.1 to 14.9 MJ/kg against normative 9-10 MJ.

As a result, the return on energy costs increases for single-species ryegrass crops from 2.2 (without fertilizers) to 3.2 in the variant with NPK and up to 3.6 – in the variant with Azotovite + Flavobacterine. For ryegrass-bonfire meadows, the analyzed indicators were 2.6; 3.8; 3.3 and for ryegrass-oatmeal crops – 3.1; 4.0; 3.6 [11].

The most important question is whether it is possible to save on mineral fertilizers by using biological products for the pre-sowing treatment of seeds and during the growing season of plants.

The value of the possible NPK substitutions was calculated using the following proportion:

$$\Delta P_{\text{NPK}} = 100\%$$
$$P_{\text{m}} = X\%$$

where $X$ is the desired value, kg/ha;

$P_{\text{NPK}}$ – the estimated rate of mineral fertilizers for the planned yield of 30 t/ha of green mass (166 kg/ha a.a.);

$\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ is the amount of NPK substitution with biological products, %.

### Table 2. The value of the possible NPK substitution and economic efficiency of the use of biological products for cultivation of perennial grasses

| Factor A (type of grasses) | Factor B (fertilizers and biological products) | NPK substitution value | Cost saving, rubles/ha |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Control (without fertilizers) | Control (without fertilizers) | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ |
| $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ |

| Ryegrass 60% + meadow fescue 40% | Control (without fertilizers) | Control (without fertilizers) | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Control (without fertilizers) | Control (without fertilizers) | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ |
| $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ | $\Delta P_{\text{NPK}}$ |

The final calculations show that Azotovite 2 kg/t + Flavobacterine 4 l/ha for single-species crops replace 88 kg/ha of mineral fertilizers, 80 kg/a – for ryegrass-bonfire grasses and 85 kg/ha of NPK.

The cost of purchase, transportation, storage, application of mineral fertilizers minus compensation payments of the Ministry of Agriculture of the Russian Federation is 40 rubles/kg a.v. Based on this, we determined a decrease in the cost when using mineral fertilizers.
However, the factor of reducing the cost is not decisive, since for the final calculation it is necessary to take into account the cost of pre-sowing seed treatment and 2-fold foliar feeding of plants with Flavobacterine. After all these calculations, the costs were subtracted from the amount of cost reduction due to the replacement of NPK with biological products. Single-species sowing of ryegrass, pre-sowing treatment of seeds with Azotovit in combination with Flavobacterine allows for saving 1876 rubles/ha, and poly-species sowing allows for saving 1557–1757 rubles/ha.

5 Conclusion

The widespread use of biological products is a promising direction for increasing production of high-quality and energy-saturated feeds:

1. Azotovite and Flavobacterine provide an additional yield of 2.5-4.8 t/ha of green mass (0.6-2.0 t/ha of dry matter) and 440-960 feed units.

2. Under the influence of Azotovite in combination with Flavobacterine, the saturation of 1 kg of dry mass of cereal perennial grasses with the participation of multi-mowing ryegrass of metabolic energy increases from 12.0 to 13.3 MJ against the standard 9-10 MJ.

3. The effectiveness of the above biological products is equivalent to the introduction of 80-88 kg/ha of mineral fertilizers. Cost savings depending on the botanical composition of perennial grasses are 1557-1876 rubles/ha.
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