Gold nanoclusters with bright near-infrared photoluminescence†
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The increase in nonradiative pathways with decreasing emission energy reduces the luminescence quantum yield (QY) of near-infrared photoluminescent (NIR PL) metal nanoclusters. Efficient surface ligand chemistry can significantly improve the luminescence QY of NIR PL metal nanoclusters. In contrast to the widely reported but modestly effective thiolate ligand-to-metal core charge transfer, we show that metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) can be used to greatly enhance the luminescence QY of NIR PL gold nanoclusters (AuNCs). We synthesized water-soluble and colloidal stable NIR PL AuNCs with unprecedentedly high QY (~25%) upon introduction of triphenylphosphonium moieties into the surface capping layer. By using a combination of spectroscopic and theoretical methods, we provide evidence for gold core-to-ligand charge transfer occurring in AuNCs. We envision that this work can stimulate the development of these unusually bright AuNCs for promising optoelectronic, bioimaging, and other applications.

Introduction

Photoluminescent nanosystems have garnered great interest due to their excellent optical properties, low cost, photostability, convenience, and their highly sensitive detection.1 Recently, ultrasmall (<2 nm) photoluminescent gold nanoclusters (AuNCs) have been identified as promising candidates for cell labeling, biosensing,2 photo-therapy applications,3 cancer radiotherapy,4,5 and antimicrobial agents.6 Photoluminescent AuNCs are biocompatible and readily bioconjugable, and they show good photostability and low toxicity.7 Photoluminescent AuNCs can emit from the blue to the near-IR (NIR) spectral region depending on the number of atoms within the cluster.8 In recent years, various approaches have been developed to prepare highly photoluminescent AuNCs with emission in the UV-vis region, for example: (1) engineering the particle surface by using different ligands, such as dendrimers,9 DNA,10,11 peptides, and proteins;12–16 (2) controlling the metal core size;17 (3) aggregation-induced emission;18–21 and (4) rigidification of the Au(i)-thiolate shell.22,23 Recent advances in the synthesis of AuNCs have enabled the development of NIR-photoluminescent (NIR PL) AuNCs functionalized with a variety of thiol-containing ligands, including tiopronin,24 thioctic (lipoic) acid,25–27 polymers,28 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid,29 zwitter-ionic structures,30 glutathione,31–33 mercaptosuccinic acid,16 and proteins.12,34–36

The increase in nonradiative pathways with decreasing energy of emitted light (i.e., the “energy gap law”)37 makes it extremely challenging to prepare AuNCs that emit in the NIR region with high quantum yields (QYs). The photoluminescence QY of AuNCs can be enhanced via charge transfer from the ligands to the metal core (i.e., LMCT) through the Au–S bonds and is parallel with the ligand’s capability of donating electron density to the metal core through the S–Au bond (i.e., charge transfer capability of the ligand).38 The luminescence of AuNCs can also be “turned on” upon introduction of sulfur-containing ligands19 or enhanced by either sulfur oxidation at the Au–ligand interface10 or electronic polarization of the bonds between the Au core and thiolate ligands.41 Nevertheless, the currently known NIR-emitting AuNCs achieve relatively low QYs.

One promising approach to a great increase in QY is based on an efficient but experimentally laborious doping of the Au core with a precise number of Ag atoms.3 In contrast, ligand-based PL enhancement approaches are more general and synthetically straightforward and can directly produce water-soluble AuNCs. In this report, we present results from our study of ligand-mediated improvement of the NIR luminescence efficiency of AuNCs. We found that the weak emission of AuNCs stabilized with thiotic acid (TA) and polyethylene
glycol (PEG) can be enhanced dramatically by introducing triphenylphosphonium cations in the capping layer. Motivated by this finding, we investigated in detail the photophysical properties of these NIR PL AuNCs. We present experimental evidence suggesting the enhancement of the luminescence QY via charge transfer interactions from the gold core to the triphenylphosphonium cations, i.e. by metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT).

Results and discussion

To synthesize NIR PL AuNCs, we have utilized a bottom-up approach where the gold precursor is first treated with suitable ligands, followed by the reduction of the gold. Among the various ligands known for the stabilization of AuNCs, multi-thiol-based ligands (with at least two coordinating groups) provide enhanced colloidal stability to the AuNCs because of the increased number of binding sites between each ligand and the AuNC surface. For primary modification of NIR PL AuNCs, we utilized the bidentate thiol anchor TA providing a remarkable colloidal stability to the AuNCs over a broad range of stringent conditions compared to simple monothiol ligands. TA also provides a one-phase growth route to nanoparticles with discrete size control in water and offers a carboxylic acid group on the surface of nanoparticles, which can be further functionalized. However, TA-protected AuNCs are unstable in acidic solutions due to the protonation of the carboxylate group. Hence after the preparation of TA-protected AuNCs using a one-step reduction procedure in water, we subsequently functionalized them with thiol-terminated PEG (MW 2000), yielding AuNC 1. This led to the improvement of aqueous solubility of AuNCs in acidic pH and a further increase of their colloidal stability. Then we covalently modified 1 with 3-(aminopropyl)triphenylphosphonium (TPP) salts via amide coupling to surface carboxyl groups, thereby obtaining AuNC 2 (Fig. 1a). We characterized the AuNC structure by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES), 31P and 1H NMR, and zeta potential measurements. HRTEM revealed the formation of monodisperse AuNCs (Fig. 2). AuNCs 1 and 2 both showed a narrow metal core size distribution with an average diameter of 1.15 ± 0.2 nm, as judged from the image analysis of more than 100 individual particles. The 31P NMR spectrum of 2 (Fig. S3a †) showed a single peak at δ = 23.7 ppm, which is similar to that of the phosphonium cation in 3-(aminopropyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (Fig. S3b †). The 31P NMR spectrum of 2 also indicated that the triphenylphosphonium cation was neither degraded nor oxidized during the synthesis. The integration of signal intensities in 1H NMR of 2 (Fig. S6 in ESI †) provided us the molar ratios PEG:TA ≈ 5:2 and TA:TPP ≈ 2:1. If we
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approximate the size of the nanocluster roughly as Au$_{25}$ (based on the size obtained from HRTEM and on the PL emission maxima), we obtain 18 attachment positions for thiols. Using the above stated ratios, we obtain approximately 10 PEG, 4 TA (dithiol ligand), and 2 TPP moieties attached to each AuNC.

The zeta potentials of 1 and 2 in phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) were $-10.0 \pm 1.8$ mV and $+1.6 \pm 0.3$ mV, respectively. The higher zeta potential of 2 compared to 1 is consistent with a charge switch from a part of negatively charged carboxylic groups to positively charged TPP.

As expected, unlike the UV-vis absorption spectra of larger metallic core to the ligands, as described by Sementa et al. for other highly delocalized sterically hindered ligands present on AuNCs.\(^{43}\)

Both 1 and 2 showed broad emission with the maxima around 750 nm (Fig. 1c). However, upon introduction of TPP, the PL intensity dramatically increased (Fig. 1c). The observed change in the PL intensity corresponds to the increase in PL QYs from 10% to 25% estimated for 1 and 2 ($X' = BF_4^{-}$), respectively (Fig. S1 and Table S1 in the ESI†). The PL increase is visible to the unaided eye, as documented by photographs of the AuNC solutions upon UV illumination ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365$ nm) (Fig. 1c inset). We observed that the PL intensity depends on the Au : P ratio (estimated using ICP OES), with a maximum enhancement at Au : P $\approx 8$ : 1 (Fig. S2 in the ESI†). Considering the approximate size of the nanocluster Au$_{25}$ (based on the size obtained from HRTEM and on the PL emission maxima), this value suggests $\sim 3$ TPP moieties per one AuNC. This result is fairly consistent with the 2 TPPs per AuNC estimated from $^1$H NMR (see above).

The time-resolved PL decay profiles of the two sets of AuNCs are shown in Fig. 1d. The PL lifetimes were extracted using a three exponential fit from the PL decay curves using the equation

$$I(t) = A_1e^{-t/\tau_1} + A_2e^{-t/\tau_2} + A_3e^{-t/\tau_3} + A_4,$$

where $t$ is the time and $A_i$ is the amplitude associated with each decay time, $\tau_i$. $A_4$ represents processes that occur on a longer time scale than addressed by the experiment. Table 1 lists the individual components extracted from the fit for each AuNC. We attribute the major component $\tau_4$ ($\sim 10$ ns) to emission from singlet excited states of Au nanoclusters.\(^{8,10,44}\) The long life-time components ($\sim 100$ ns) are characteristics of Au(1)-thiol complexes, suggesting that such complexes contribute to the luminescence of AuNCs due to the ligand-metal charge transfer and Au(1)-Au(1) interactions.\(^{45,46}\) Upon conjugation with TPP, the amplitude of $\tau_4$ decreases, while those of $\tau_3$ and $\tau_1$ ($\sim 0.45$ $\mu$s and 2.09 $\mu$s, respectively) increase greatly. The pronounced long lifetimes for NIR PL can be attributed to the luminescence arising from gold shell states in which significant ligand contribution is present.\(^{36,38,47}\)

To further investigate the origin of luminescence enhancement in 2, we carried out temperature-dependent luminescence measurements. The inset of Fig. 1d presents the emission rate $k$ (inverse luminescence decay time, $k = 1/\tau_{\text{PL}}$) as a function of 1000/$T$. We fit the observed temperature dependence with the equation

$$k(T) = k_0 + k'e^{-\Delta E/(k_B T)},$$

where $k_0$, $k'$, $\Delta E$, and $k_B$ are the temperature independent emission rate, frequency factor, energy barrier of non-radiative decay, and Boltzmann constant, respectively. The best parameters obtained by fitting the data for 1 and 2 are presented in Table 2. Our experiments assessing the PL lifetime and temperature dependence of decay rates (measured from 5–60 °C) provided parameters characteristic for MLCT, which has been well-documented in ruthenium complexes.\(^{48,49}\)

**Table 1.** The amplitude weighted lifetimes of the PL decay curves (Fig. 1d) for 1 and 2 fitted with a three-exponential function. The corresponding relative amplitude ($A_i$) for each $\tau$ is indicated in parentheses.

| Set of $r$ used for the fit | $\tau_1$ (ns) | $\tau_2$ (ns) | $\tau_3$ (µs) | $\tau_4$ (µs) |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| AuNC 1                    | $A_1$       | $A_2$       | $A_3$        | $A_4$        |
| 1                         | 0.79        | 0.12        | 0.03         | 0.06         |
| AuNC 2                    | 0.57        | 0.23        | 0.17         | 0.03         |
Based on our HRTEM measurements (Fig. 2), we ruled out the possibility of aggregation-induced PL enhancement of 2, because AuNC aggregates were absent in the samples.

To further investigate the Au core to phosphonium cation charge transfer, we employed X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The binding energy (BE) shift of Au 4f band is influenced by the oxidation state of gold. The BE of Au 4f\(_{7/2}\) transition of both 1 and 2 (Fig. 3) falls between the energies of Au(0) (84 eV) and Au(i) (86 eV) of gold thiolate, suggesting the coexistence of Au(0) and Au(i) in both AuNCs.\(^4\),\(^5\) However, upon conjugation of TPP, the BE of both Au 4f\(_{7/2}\) and 4f\(_{5/2}\) shifts towards higher values. Because both the AuNCs have a similar size and were stored under similar conditions without exposure to oxidizing agents, we attribute the BE shift of Au 4f band to charge transfer from the Au core to phosphonium cations. The charge transfer makes Au atoms in the cluster more positively charged, which in turn increases their core level binding energy. The XPS result of the Au 4f binding energy shift provides direct experimental evidence for charge transfer from the Au core to the phosphonium cation (Fig. 3).

Because charge transfer involving the triphenylphosphonium cation is also influenced by the counteranion,\(^5\) we investigated the effect of phosphonium salt counteranions on the emission properties of 2. We prepared AuNC 2 variants bearing a set of four different counterions (BF\(_4^-\), Cl\(^-\), Br\(^-\), and I\(^-\)) by reactions of the corresponding triphenylphosphonium salts. The anions differ in oxidizability,\(^5\) forming a series (BF\(_4^-\) > Cl\(^-\) > Br\(^-\) > I\(^-\)) ranging from the nonoxidizable BF\(_4^-\) anion to the most oxidizable I\(^-\). As Fig. 4b shows, the PL intensity depends on the counteranion. Decreases in the emission intensity of 2 follow the increasing oxidizability of the counteranions. This trend can be interpreted according to the proposed mechanism of PL enhancement (Fig. 4a). The charge transfer from the counteranion to the phosphonium cation decreases in the order I\(^-\) > Br\(^-\) > Cl\(^-\) > BF\(_4^-\) and competes with the gold core-to-phosphonium ion charge transfer (i.e., MLCT). The more readily oxidizable the counteranion, the more charge transfer takes place from the counteranion to phosphonium cation and the less gold core-to-phosphonium cation charge transfer occurs (and \textit{vice versa}). These observations further support the involvement of the MLCT mechanism in the enhancement of the PL emission of 2.

Because the intrinsic hydrophobicity of the TPP moiety containing three phenyl groups can be (partially) responsible for the enhancement of QY due to the increased local hydrophobicity in 2, we performed two different experiments investigating

|       | \(k_0\) (MHz) | \(k'\) (MHz) | \(\Delta E\) (meV) |
|-------|--------------|--------------|------------------|
| AuNC 1 | 0.07         | 22           | 92               |
| AuNC 2 | 0.25         | 61           | 144              |
closely related to the Au25 cluster. The Au25 cluster is based on emission spectra (Fig. 1c), we suppose that our AuNCs are time dependent density functional theory (TDDFT). From the transfer from AuNC to TPP under electronic excitations by the magnetic centre (gold core), but the nature of the radical (gold broadened and shifted from their original positions. These broad but in the same positions as for free TA and signals of hydrogen atoms distant from the sulphur atoms are do not contribute to the enhancement of the PL of AuNCs.

To analyze further the chemical structure and electronic behaviour of the AuNCs we used 1H NMR spectroscopy. The 1H NMR spectrum of 1 (Fig. S6†) shows that the signals corresponding to the TA are missing. However, after conjugation of TPP with the carboxylic acid group on the surface of 1, the TA signals reappear. The disappearance of TA signals in 1 may be explained by the interaction of TA with a paramagnetic gold core, leading to extreme signal broadening due to the paramagnetic relaxation enhancement. It is likely that the carboxylate group also interacts with the nanoparticle surface, because even the signals of hydrogen atoms close to the carboxylate group are missing in the spectrum (Fig. S6†). The reappearance of the signals after TPP addition indicates that the structure of the interacting radical (gold core) is different. Signals of hydrogen atoms distant from the sulphur atoms are broad but in the same positions as for free TA and signals of hydrogen atoms close to sulphur (and AuNC) are significantly broadened and shifted from their original positions. These spectral patterns indicate that TA interacts with a paramagnetic centre (gold core), but the nature of the radical (gold core) has changed upon TPP introduction leading to a suppression of paramagnetic relaxation and relative line narrowing, most likely because of the MLCT effect.

We have also simulated the possibility of electronic charge transfer from AuNC to TPP under electronic excitations by the time dependent density functional theory (TDDFT). From the emission spectra (Fig. 1c), we suppose that our AuNCs are closely related to the Au13,5 cluster. The Au13 cluster is based on a centered icosahedral Au13 core, which is capped by an exterior shell composed of twelve Au atoms.54 For simplicity, we approximated our particles with the Au13 core bearing one TPP molecule. The Au13 AuNC interacted strongly with TPP and a substantial amount of electronic charge was transferred from the Au13 nanocluster to the TPP. We found the frontier orbitals (HOMO/LUMO) centered at the phosphorus atom and gold cluster, respectively (Fig. 5). This implies an extensive charge transfer during the excitation, large transition dipole moments and hence strong fluorescence intensities.

Finally, to demonstrate a broader applicability of our PL enhancement method, we prepared another NIR PL AuNC 4 stabilized with mercaptosuccinic acid and PEG. Then we conjugated it with TPP salt via amide coupling to obtain 5 (Scheme S2 in ESI†). We observed a similar enhancement of absorption and PL upon conjugation of TPP to the case of 2 (Fig. S8†). This experimental result shows that the method developed in this study can be extended to other thiolate-protected NIR emitting AuNCs for PL enhancement.

By using a simple synthetic procedure, we were able to prepare high QY colloidally stable AuNCs emitting in the NIR region. In comparison with other reported methods3 of substitution our two step synthetic method in water (without the need for an organic solvent) at room temperature uses very simple chemistry, which could be appealing for broader research community interested in long term in vitro and in vivo imaging of cells and tissues with a high signal-to-background ratio by avoiding auto fluorescence, reduced light scattering, and high tissue penetration. Most importantly, our method produces water soluble AuNCs, which does not require ligand exchange for biological applications in an aqueous environment. By using state-of-the-art characterization techniques such as HRTEM, XPS, UV-vis absorption, temperature dependent fluorescence lifetime, NMR spectroscopy, counteranion dependent emission enhancement, in combination with DFT modelling, we were able to provide further insight into the mechanism of PL enhancement. Our results indicate a gold-to-phosphonium ion charge transfer, i.e., metal-to-ligand (MLCT) responsible for enhancement of PL. In contrast to commonly observed PL enhancement by ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) via the S–Au bond from the electron-rich groups (e.g., carboxylic, and amino groups) present in the ligand (e.g., glutathione),38,55 our findings of MLCT mediated that PL enhancement offers an alternative route to achieve high QY NIR PL AuNCs.
Conclusions

We discovered unprecedentedly high enhancement of luminescence in AuNCs explicable by efficient charge transfer from the gold nanocluster to triphenylphosphonium cations present in the ligand-capping layer. Our findings provide a design principle useful for the synthesis of high-QY NIR PL AuNCs for bioimaging applications. We believe that our work will stimulate further experimental and theoretical research on photoluminescent gold nanoclusters for broad applications, including light-harvesting systems that involve charge transfer. Moreover, our results show that in addition to the size and composition of the Au core and ligand attachment chemistry, the electronic properties of surface ligands play an extremely important role in PL behavior of AuNCs. We envision that our simple synthetic protocol can be extended to fabricate highly photoluminescent NIR emitting AuNCs protected with custom-designed ligands with different functionalities.

Experimental section

Preparation of AuNC 1

In a typical reaction, 1.7 μL of 470 mg mL⁻¹ HAuCl₄·3H₂O was added to 3.9 mL deionized water containing TA (1.3 mg, 6.3 μmol) and 10 μL of 2 M NaOH solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min, then 80 μL NaBH₄ (1.9 mg mL⁻¹ freshly prepared stock solution) was added, followed by further stirring of the reaction mixture overnight. The solution was purified by applying three cycles of centrifugation/filtration using a membrane filtration device (Millipore) with a molecular weight cut-off of 3 kDa. Next, thiol-terminated polyethylene glycol (MW 2000; 2.6 mg; 1.3 μmol) was added to the solution, and the mixture was stirred overnight. The dispersion was purified by applying three cycles of centrifugation/filtration using a membrane filtration device (Millipore) with a molecular weight cut-off of 3 kDa.

Preparation of AuNC 2

AuNC 1 solution (4 mL, 0.2 mg mL⁻¹) and 3-(aminopropyl) triphenylphosphonium bromide (or the respective TPP salt with BF₄⁻, Cl⁻, or I⁻) (2 mg, ~5 μmol) were mixed together, and the pH was adjusted to the range of 4.5–6.0 with 1 M HCl. The reaction was started by adding excess N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl) (10 mg, 52 μmol) and was stirred overnight. The dispersion was purified by applying three cycles of centrifugation/filtration using a membrane filtration device (Millipore) with a molecular weight cut-off of 3 kDa to obtain AuNC 2.

Characterization

UV-vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra of AuNCs were recorded using a TECAN M1000 microplate reader. Phosphorus and gold contents were estimated using an optical emission spectrometer (OES) with radial observation of inductively coupled plasma (ICP) (SPECTRO Arcos – SPECTRO Analytical Instruments, Kleve, Germany). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 500 spectrometer (499.88 MHz for ¹H and 125.71 MHz for ¹³C) equipped with a 5 mm PFG cryoprobe. All ¹H and ¹³C spectra were acquired for samples in CD₃CN and referenced to the solvent signal (1.94 ppm in ¹H). Signals of all hydrogen atoms of TA were assigned by using a combination of 1D and 2D (H,H-COSY, H,C-HSQC and H,C-HMBC) techniques. HRTEM was performed with an FEI Osiris instrument operated at 200 kV. The samples were prepared by drop-casting on an ultrathin film C grid. Zeta potential experiments were carried out on a Zetasizer Nano ZSP (Malvern Instruments, UK) with a 633 nm laser at 25 °C. For zeta potential measurements, the universal dip cell in disposable cuvettes was used. 10 μL of AuNC solution (200 μg mL⁻¹) was mixed with 1 mL phosphate buffer solution (10 mM, pH 7.4) and the solution was used for the measurement. For zeta potential measurements, the average value of at least three data points is reported.
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