Efficient channeling of fluorescence photons from single quantum dots into guided modes of optical nanofiber
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We experimentally demonstrate the efficient channeling of fluorescence photons from single q-dots on optical nanofiber into the guided modes, by measuring the photon-count rates through the guided and radiation modes simultaneously. We obtain the maximum channeling efficiency to be 22.0 (±4.8)% at fiber diameter of 350 nm for the emission wavelength of 780 nm. The results may open new possibilities in quantum information technologies for generating single photons into single-mode optical-fibers.
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Efficient collection of fluorescence photons from a single emitter into a single-mode fiber is a major challenge in the context of quantum information science. For that purpose various novel techniques have been proposed so far. The examples would include micropillar cavities [1], photonic crystal cavities [2], solid immersion lens [3], and plasmonic metal nano-wires [4]. However, in these techniques the subsequent coupling of fluorescence photons into a single-mode fiber may reduce the actual collection efficiency. In the view of the ability to directly couple fluorescence photons into a single-mode fiber, tapered optical fibers with sub-micron diameter, termed as optical nanofibers, would be particularly promising. It has been theoretically predicted that, by positioning the emitter on the nanofiber surface, one can channel the fluorescence photons into the nanofiber-guided-modes with an efficiency higher than 20% [5, 6], and moreover, fibers can be tapered adiabatically to keep the light transmission into the single-mode fiber higher than 90% [7, 8].

In the last decade, optical nanofibers have been attracting considerable attention in the field of quantum optics. Many works have been reported so far using laser-cooled atoms. Channeling of fluorescence photons into the guided modes has been demonstrated [7], and photon correlations from single atoms have been measured systematically through nanofiber guided modes [9, 10]. Fluorescence emission spectrum has been measured for few atoms through the guided mode by combining optical-heterodyne and photon-correlation methods [11]. Various schemes have been proposed for trapping atoms around the nanofiber [12–14], and the trapping has been experimentally demonstrated [15] using dipole-trapping method via two-color laser-fields [16]. However, regarding the channeling efficiency of fluorescence photons into the guided modes, it has not been measured yet, although the works so far imply a reasonable correspondence to the theoretical predictions [7]. One reason would be due to a fact that atoms are not on the nanofiber surface and the atom-surface distance could not be estimated accurately.

Recently, two groups have reported the photon-counting measurements from semiconductor q-dots deposited on nanofibers [16, 17]. They absolutely measured the photon-count rates into the guided modes for one q-dot. They discussed the channeling efficiency of fluorescence photons into the guided modes based on the measured results. However, as pointed out in Ref. [17], the value which can be obtained from such measurements is not the channeling efficiency itself, but is a product of the channeling efficiency and the quantum efficiency of the q-dot. Therefore, the channeling efficiency cannot be determined from the measurements without accurate information on the quantum efficiency for the one q-dot which is measured.

In the present Letter, we experimentally determine the channeling efficiency of fluorescence photons from single q-dots on optical nanofiber into the guided modes. We measure the photon-count rates through the guided and radiation modes simultaneously for various diameters of nanofiber. The measured results completely reproduce the theoretical predictions [7, 8] within the experimental errors. The maximum channeling efficiency is obtained to be 22.0 (±4.8)% at the fiber diameter of 350 nm for the emission wavelength of 780 nm.

Figure 1 illustrates the schematic diagram of the experimental setup. Main part of the setup consists of inverted microscope (Nikon, Eclipse Ti-U) with a computer controlled x-y stage, optical nanofiber, and sub-pico-liter needle-dispenser (Applied Micro Systems, ND-2000). Optical nanofiber is placed on the x-y stage to precisely control the nanofiber position to the focus point of the microscope. Optical nanofibers are produced by adiabatically tapering commercial single-mode optical-fibers (SMF1, cut-off wavelength: 1.3 µm) using a heat and pull technique. The diameter of nanofiber is measured using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) prior and after the optical experiments. The thinnest diameter of the nanofiber is 300–400 nm, and the nanofiber diameter varies along the fiber axis by 100 nm/1 mm. The transmission through the optical nanofiber is measured to be 90% using a fiber-coupled superluminescence light emitting diode (SLED) at 800 nm.

We use core-shell type colloidal CdSeTe (ZnS) q-dots having emission wavelength at 790 nm (Invitrogen, Q21371MP). We use the sub-pico-liter needle-dispenser...
to deposit q-dots on nanofibers. The dispenser consists of a taper glass-tube which contains diluted q-dot solution and a needle having a tip of diameter 17 \( \mu \)m. The needle axis is adjusted to coincide with the axis of the microscope, and the needle-tip position is computer-controlled along the axis. Once the needle tip passes through the taper glass-tube, it carries a small amount of q-dot solution at its edge. In order to deposit q-dots on nanofiber with minimum scattering loss, the needle-tip position is adjusted so that the q-dot solution at its tip just touches the nanofiber surface. Note that this method could deposit q-dots only on the upper surface of nanofiber. The deposition is done for several positions along the fiber axis corresponding to the fiber diameter of 300-800 nm. The transmission through the optical nanofibers is dropped to 81\% after the depositions. The depositions are done for three optical nanofiber samples, and the following measurements are carried out for all the deposited positions.

The q-dots are excited using cw laser-diode LD at a wavelength of 640 nm. The excitation beam is focused to the nanofiber by the microscope objective lens OL (40X, NA= 0.6). Regarding the fluorescence photons channeled into the guided modes, in order to guarantee the observation through the fundamental-mode \((HE_{11})\), SMF1 is spliced to another single-mode fiber SMF2 (cut-off wavelength: 557 nm) at both ends marked as S1, S2 in Fig.1. The fluorescence light beam from each end of SMF2 is filtered from the scattered excitation laser light with a color glass filter FL1 (FL2) (HOYA, R72) and re-coupled into a multi-mode fiber. At one end of the multi-mode fiber, fluorescence photons are detected with a fiber-coupled avalanche-photodiode APD1 (Perkin Elmer, SPCM-AQR/FC). At the other end of multi-mode fiber, fluorescence emission spectrum is measured using an optical-multichannel-analyzer OMA (An- dor, DV420A-OE).

Regarding the radiation modes, fluorescence photons are collected by OL, coupled into a multi-mode fiber by FC3, and detected by a fiber-coupled avalanche-photodiode APD2. A set of two filters FL3 (HOYA, R70/R72) is used to reject the scattered laser light from the focus point. Characteristics of APD1 and APD2 are the same, and signals from APD1 and APD2 are accumulated and recorded using photon-counting system (Hamamatsu, M8784). Photon-counting measurements for both guided and radiation modes and spectrum measurements are carried out for each deposited position simultaneously. Additionally, we perform photon-correlation measurements for the guided modes for all deposited positions \(^\text{17}\). All the above fluorescence measurements are carried out for the three nanofiber samples by keeping the excitation laser intensity at a low value of 50 W/cm\(^2\) so that q-dots may not deteriorate \(^\text{18, 19}\).

The channeling efficiency \(\eta_g\) into the nanofiber guided modes can be expressed as following,

\[
\eta_g = \frac{n_g}{n_g + n_r} = \frac{1}{1 + n_r/n_g}
\]

(1)

where \(n_g\) and \(n_r\) are photon emission rates into the guided and radiation modes, respectively. Observable photon-count rates by APD1 and APD2 are expressed as follows,

\[
n_{g}^{\text{(obs)}} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{APD1} \kappa_g n_g, \quad n_{r}^{\text{(obs)}} = \eta_{APD2} \kappa_r n_r
\]

(2)

where \(\kappa_g\) and \(\kappa_r\) are light-transmission factors for the paths of guided and radiation modes, respectively. Factor 1/2 for \(n_{g}^{\text{(obs)}}\) corresponds to a fact that fluorescence photons into the guided modes are detected only for one direction of the nanofiber. \(\eta_{APD1}\) and \(\eta_{APD2}\) are quantum efficiency of APD1 and APD2, respectively, and are assumed to be the same. \(\eta_r\) is an effective collection efficiency for the radiation modes. Thus, the ratio \(n_r/n_g\) can be written as following,

\[
\frac{n_r}{n_g} = \frac{n_r^{\text{(obs)}}}{n_g^{\text{(obs)}}} \times \frac{\kappa_g}{2\kappa_r \eta_r} = \frac{n_r^{\text{(obs)}}}{n_g^{\text{(obs)}}} \times C
\]

(3)

where \(C = \kappa_g/2\kappa_r \eta_r\).

\(\kappa_g\)-value was measured to be 49.6 (±2.1\%). The measurement procedure is following. The SLED output is spliced to SMF2 at the FL1-end, and the output power is measured at the APD1-position. Input power to the optical nanofiber is measured by cleaving the SMF1 before entering into the optical nanofiber. The measured value is consistent with a value calculated as a product of transmission factors of optical nanofiber (81\%), splicing point between SMF1 and SMF2 (81\%), FL2 (83\%), and coupling efficiency into the multi-mode fiber at FC2 (90\%).

\(\kappa_r\)-value was obtained to be 23.5 (±1.3\%) as a product of transmission factors of all optical components in

---

**FIG. 1.** (Color online) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup. Inset shows the optical nanofiber and microscope system. OL, BS, FM, and FL denote objective lens, beam splitter, flipper mirror, and filter, respectively. SMF, FC, and MMF denote single-mode fiber, fiber-coupler, and multi-mode fiber, respectively. LD, APD, and OMA denote laser diode, avalanche photodiode, and optical multichannel analyzer, respectively.
the path and coupling efficiency into multi-mode fiber. Transmission factors are measured for OL (74%), BS (63%), FM (83%), and FL3 (75%), using the SLED light. The coupling efficiency into multi-mode fiber at FC3 was obtained to be 81% by the following procedure. First, SLED light is introduced from the LD-port and is focused to the nanofiber. The scattered light from the focused spot is collected through the OL, and its power is measured both at FC3-position and at APD2-position through multi-mode fiber.

Regarding the radiation modes, the effective collection efficiency $\eta_r$ consists of two factors. One is from numerical aperture (NA) of the OL. The collection efficiency of the OL is estimated to be 10% from the NA-value of 0.6. The other factor arises from the nanofiber itself. The q-dots are deposited on the upper surface of nanofiber and the OL collects the fluorescence photons from the down side of nanofiber. Therefore, the nanofiber acts as a cylindrical lens and the collection efficiency of the OL may be enhanced by the lens effect of nanofiber. We calculated the enhancement factor based on the formalism developed in Ref. [20], and estimated the average enhancement factor by assuming random azimuthal distribution of q-dots on the upper surface of nanofiber. It was found that the average enhancement factor could be assumed to be constant with a value of 1.48 ($\pm 0.03$) for the fiber diameters of the present measurements. We use this average enhancement factor to obtain the effective collection efficiency $\eta_r$. Thus, we obtain the $\eta_r$-value to be 14.8 ($\pm 0.3$)% and consequently the $C$-value to be 7.13 ($\pm 0.84$) by combining the values of $\kappa_g$, $\kappa_r$, and $\eta_r$.

Figure 2 shows the fluorescence photon-count rate measured for a deposited nanofiber by scanning the focusing point along the nanofiber. The scanning speed is 6 $\mu$m/s, and signals are measured through the guided mode by APD1. One can clearly see eight sharp peaks along the nanofiber with a typical separation of 0.5 nm. Origin of the horizontal axis corresponds to the center of the nanofiber. Nanofiber diameter varies from 400 nm at the origin to 750 nm at the position 3.5 mm. Inset shows an expanded profile of a peak marked by arrow. The width should be limited by the focused spot size on nanofiber and is about 1.5 $\mu$m FWHM.

Figure 3(a) shows the typical fluorescence photon-count rates from q-dots on nanofiber at fiber diameter of 400 nm. Black and red traces correspond to photon-count rates through the guided and radiation modes, respectively. Measurement time is 5 min with a time bin size of 100 ms. One can readily see that the two traces exactly match by each other. Photon-count rates show a clear single step blinking behavior, revealing that the number of deposited q-dots is one. This single q-dot deposition could be further confirmed by measuring the
of fiber size parameter \( k_0a = 2\pi a/\lambda \). The size parameter is calculated for each deposited position by using the measured fiber-diameter \( 2a \) and the observed emission-wavelength \( \lambda \). The red curve exhibits the theoretical prediction for the channeling efficiency into the \( HE_{11} \)-mode assuming the nanofiber refractive-index of 1.45. Experimental values are shown by black squares with error bars. The error bars in horizontal axis are due to the variation of emission wavelength at each deposited position. The error bars in vertical axis are due to the fluctuation of the measured values. The fluctuation would mainly be due to the measurement ambiguity, but another cause may happen from the ambiguity of the enhancement factor due to the nanofiber lens effect. For the experimental analysis, we used the average enhancement factor assuming random azimuthal distribution of deposition, but the enhancement factor for each deposited position would be different from the average value. Such ambiguity should induce the fluctuation in the measured results. Although ambiguity of \( \pm 20\% \) still exists, attention must be specially paid that the measured results have completely reproduced the theoretical prediction. The channeling efficiency into the guided modes reaches to a maximum value of 22.0 \((\pm 4.8\%)\) at the fiber size parameter of 1.43, which corresponds to the fiber diameter of 350 nm for the emission wavelength of 780 nm.

In summary, we have experimentally demonstrated the efficient channeling of fluorescence photons from single q-dots on optical nanofiber into the guided modes, by measuring the photon-count rates through the guided and radiation modes simultaneously. We have obtained the maximum channeling efficiency to be 22.0 \((\pm 4.8\%)\) at the fiber diameter of 350 nm for the emission wavelength of 780 nm. The present results may open new possibilities in quantum information technologies for generating single photons into single-mode optical-fibers.
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![FIG. 4. (Color Online) Channeling efficiency as a function of fiber size parameter \( k_0a = 2\pi a/\lambda \). Red curve denotes the theoretical prediction. Measured values are marked by black squares with error bars.](image-url)
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