Abstract

Spontaneous symmetry breaking usually occurs due to the tachyonic (spinodal) instability of a scalar field near the top of its effective potential at $\phi = 0$. Naively, one might expect the field $\phi$ to fall from the top of the effective potential and then experience a long stage of oscillations with amplitude $O(v)$ near the minimum of the effective potential at $\phi = v$ until it gives its energy to particles produced during these oscillations. However, it was recently found that the tachyonic instability rapidly converts most of the potential energy $V(0)$ into the energy of colliding classical waves of the scalar field. This conversion, which was called “tachyonic preheating,” is so efficient that symmetry breaking typically completes within a single oscillation of the field distribution as it rolls towards the minimum of its effective potential [1]. In this paper we give a detailed description of tachyonic preheating and show that the dynamics of this process crucially depend on the shape of the effective potential near its maximum. In the simplest models where $V(\phi) \sim -m^2 \phi^2 / 2$ near the maximum, the process occurs solely due to the tachyonic instability, whereas in the theories $-\lambda \phi^n$ with $n > 2$ one encounters a combination of the effects of tunneling, tachyonic instability and bubble wall collisions.
I. INTRODUCTION

Since the beginning of the 1970’s, spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) has been a basic feature of all realistic theories of elementary particles. It is discussed in every book on quantum field theory, so one might expect the theory of this effect to be well understood. However, until very recently this was not the case.

The standard picture of SSB that many people have in mind looks as follows. The field initially stays at the top of the effective potential $V(\phi)$ at $\phi = 0$ like a ball at the top of a hill. Then some small external force pushes it to the right or the left. Even if this force is infinitesimally small, it is enough for the field to start falling down in the direction in which it was pushed. The field then oscillates near the minimum of its effective potential $V(\phi)$ at $|\phi| = v$, and eventually the whole universe becomes filled by a homogeneous scalar field $|\phi| = v$. As an example of this process one can imagine a piece of ferromagnetic material being magnetized under the influence of a very small external magnetic field.

That is why many authors who studied SSB assumed that the field $\phi$ was initially slightly displaced from the maximum of $V(\phi)$. Then they studied classical rolling of the field $\phi$ from this displaced state and the growth of quantum fluctuations on top of the homogeneous classical field. It was generally thought that the stage of oscillations of a homogeneous classical field $\phi$ with amplitude $|\Delta \phi| \sim v$ would last for a very long time until it produced elementary particles that drained the energy of the classical oscillations. One can talk about spontaneous symmetry breaking only when the field $\phi$ settles down at $|\phi| \sim v$ and starts oscillating near this state with an amplitude much smaller than $v$: $|\Delta \phi| \ll v$. The most efficient process that was previously known to convert the energy of a homogeneously oscillating scalar field into the energy of elementary particles and make the amplitude of the oscillations small was parametric resonance [2], but in most cases studied in the literature the homogeneous component of the field makes several dozen oscillations before the process completes.

However, in a recent paper by Felder, García-Bellido, Greene, Kofman, Linde and Tkachev [1] it was shown that typically spontaneous symmetry breaking completes within a single oscillation of the scalar field. One key observation made in [1] was that nobody pushes the field $\phi$ from the top of the effective potential in the early universe, so the usual picture of a homogeneously oscillating scalar field is incorrect in application to SSB. In those cases when the initial value of the homogeneous component of the field $\phi$ is close to zero, quantum fluctuations rather than the classical rolling of the homogeneous field $\phi$ dominate the dynamics.

Usually SSB occurs because of the presence of tachyonic mass terms such as $-m^2 \phi^2/2$ in the effective potential, so that $V'' = -m^2 < 0$. Long wavelength quantum fluctuations $\phi_k$ of the field $\phi$ with momenta $k < m$ grow exponentially, $\phi_k \sim \exp(t\sqrt{m^2 - k^2})$. When these fluctuations become large they can be interpreted as classical waves of the scalar field. Spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs when the total amplitude of these fluctuations grows up to $v$. Because all modes with $k < m$ are growing, SSB occurs locally on a scale somewhat greater than $m^{-1}$. Later on, this scale gradually increases. Inhomogeneities of the scalar field absorb some part of the energy $V(0)$, which suppresses the amplitude of the scalar field oscillations. As a result, the field $\phi$ that appears after SSB is relatively homogeneous on the scale somewhat greater than $m^{-1}$, and the amplitude of its oscillations $\Delta \phi(x, t)$ about
the state $|\phi| \sim v$ is substantially smaller than $v$. Thus, contrary to naive expectations, a prolonged stage of oscillations of a homogeneous component of the scalar fields during SSB usually does not exist.

As we will show in this paper, one reaches a similar conclusion even if the field $\phi$ initially has been slightly displaced from the top of the potential (no SSB). With this initial condition, the homogeneous background field decays within few oscillations due to the broad parametric resonance enhanced by the tachyonic regime.

The process of rapid transfer of the energy of the scalar field $V(0)$ into the energy of its inhomogeneous oscillations due to tachyonic instability was called *tachyonic preheating*. One should distinguish between the tachyonic preheating and spinodal (tachyonic) instability, which occurs at the very beginning of this process. The first stages of the process of SSB related to tachyonic (spinodal) instability can be studied by relatively simple methods. However, very soon the process becomes exceedingly complicated. When the field grows sufficiently large, one should take into account nonlinear effects. Oscillations of the field can trigger an explosive process of particle production due to parametric resonance [2], which can be especially efficient in our case because of the tachyonic instability. Particles (waves) of the classical field produced in this process begin interacting with each other (rescattering). At this stage even advanced methods based on the Hartree [2] or $1/N$ [3] approximations fail to describe the situation correctly. In addition, from the very beginning of the process there may be production of topological defects, which cannot be described by perturbation theory. One might expect that since this is a nonperturbative phenomenon it cannot materially affect the process of SSB. As we will see, however, the production of topological defects is not a small correction but an important feature of SSB. Topological defects, like other inhomogeneities generated by tachyonic instability, drain the energy of the scalar field rolling down to the minimum of the effective potential. By doing so, they diminish the amplitude of subsequent oscillations of the scalar field.

There is an extensive literature describing SSB, spinodal instability and the production of topological defects during high temperature phase transitions in cosmology [4,5]. To study these issues one should find how the temperature changes in the early universe [6] and use numerical methods to find out how symmetry breaking occurs in an expanding universe with a time-dependent temperature. Many interesting results have been obtained in this direction, see e.g. [7]. However, most of these results were strongly model-dependent because the answers crucially depend on the ratios between masses of the particles, their coupling constants, the temperature of the universe and the rate of expansion. To avoid this problem, in this paper we will concentrate on the simplest possibility when the temperature was zero from the very beginning and the field was standing on the top of the effective potential. This will allow us to study basic features of the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking in its pure form without extra complications related to high temperature effects and cosmological evolution.  

Even in this regime, the theory of SSB remains extremely complicated since for its investigation one should go beyond perturbation theory. Fortunately, during the last few years new methods of lattice simulations have been developed. They are based on the observation

---

1 A discussion of SSB and tachyonic preheating in the early universe will be contained in [8,9].
that quantum states of bose fields with large occupation numbers can be interpreted as classical waves and their dynamics can be fully analysed by solving relativistic wave equations on a lattice [10,11]. Similar methods were used in [12–16] in application to sphaleron effects, the formation of disoriented chiral condensates, and the problem of topological defect production in the early universe. In our paper, which extends the previous work [1], we will further develop these methods.

Usually the main output of lattice simulations is the calculation of correlation functions, Wilson loops, etc. A significant advantage of our methods is that the semi-classical nature of the effects under investigation allows us to have a clear visual picture of all the processes involved. One can really see the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking [1], which helps enormously in understanding the nature of this effect. That is why this paper is accompanied by many figures that show the development of symmetry breaking in various models.

In addition to the simplest models with $V''(0) = -m^2 < 0$, we will study some models where the curvature of the effective potential near $\phi = 0$ is negative, but it vanishes at $\phi = 0$. This happens in such theories as $-\lambda \phi^4$ or $-\lambda \phi^3$. (Potentials of the type of $-\lambda \phi^3$ appear in the simplest SUSY motivated models of hybrid inflation.) As we will see, the development of tachyonic instability in such models is accompanied by bubble formation and growth and bubble wall collisions. Rather interestingly, in these theories bubble formation occurs via tunneling even though there is no potential barrier in $V(\phi)$ [17,18]. Moreover in the theory $-\lambda \phi^3$ this process occurs even though there are no instantons describing bubble formation in this theory. To understand this process one should use the stochastic approach to tunneling developed in [19].

Section II describes the basic theory of spontaneous symmetry breaking and tachyonic instability, focusing particularly on the simplest example of a negative quadratic potential. In this section we also discuss the definition of occupation number used throughout the paper to describe the growth of fluctuations. Section III generalizes the theory to a broader class of potentials and to the case where the homogeneous field begins displaced from the maximum of the potential. Section IV presents the results of our numerical simulations for the simplest SSB model, a single field with a quadratic tachyonic term (i.e. $V \sim -m^2 \phi^2/2$). Section V compares our results with results obtained from perturbative calculations and shows how and when the perturbative calculations break down. Section VI extends our numerical calculations to the case of a complex field with a quadratic tachyonic term.

The next two sections discuss the somewhat more complicated situation that arises when the tachyonic mass is $\phi$ dependent and vanishes at $\phi = 0$. Section VII discusses quartic potentials ($V \sim -\lambda \phi^4/4$) and explains how SSB occurs through tunneling and bubble formation in such models. Section VIII discusses cubic potentials where the behavior is in some ways intermediate between that of the quadratic and quartic cases.

In the concluding section we summarize our results and briefly discuss their application to various cosmological scenarios, including hybrid inflation, new inflation, brane inflation, and the recently proposed ekpyrotic/pyrotechnic universe scenarios. Finally there is an appendix that provides details on our lattice calculations and lists the parameters used for each of the simulations described in the paper.
II. TACHYONIC INSTABILITY AND SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING

The simplest model of spontaneous symmetry breaking is based on the theory with the effective potential

\[ V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^2 - v^2)^2 \equiv -\frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{m^4}{4\lambda} , \]  

(1)

where \( m^2 \equiv \lambda v^2 \) and \( \lambda \ll 1 \). \( V(\phi) \) has a maximum at \( \phi = 0 \) with curvature \( V'' \equiv V_{\phi\phi} = -m^2 \) and a minimum at \( \phi = \pm v \).

The development of tachyonic instability in this model depends on the initial conditions. We will assume that initially the symmetry is completely restored so that the field \( \phi \) does not have any homogeneous component, i.e. \( \langle \phi \rangle = 0 \). But then \( \langle \phi \rangle \) remains zero at all later stages and for the investigation of SSB one needs to find the spatial distribution of the field \( \phi(x,t) \). To avoid this complication, many authors assume that there is a small but finite initial homogeneous background field \( \phi(t) \), and even smaller quantum fluctuations \( \delta\phi(x,t) \) that grow on top of it. This approximation may provide some interesting information, but quite often it is inadequate. In particular, it does not describe the creation of topological defects, which, as we will see, is not a small nonperturbative correction but an important part of the problem.

Let us consider equation for the scalar field fluctuations in the model (1):

\[ \ddot{\phi}_k + (k^2 + V'') \phi_k = 0 . \]  

(2)

For definiteness, we suppose that the mode functions describing quantum fluctuations in the symmetric phase \( \phi = 0 \) at the moment close to \( t = 0 \) are the same as for a massless field, \( \phi_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ikt+i\vec{k}\vec{x}} \). Then at \( t = 0 \) we ‘turn on’ the term \(-m^2\phi^2/2\) corresponding to the negative mass squared \(-m^2\). The modes with \( k = |\vec{k}| < m \) grow exponentially. Initial dispersion of all growing fluctuations with \( k < m \) was given by

\[ \langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle = \int_0^m \frac{dk^2}{8\pi^2} = \frac{m^2}{8\pi^2} , \]  

(3)

and the average initial amplitude of all fluctuations with \( k < m \) was given by

\[ \delta\phi = \frac{m}{2\pi} . \]  

(4)

The dispersion of the growing modes at \( t > 0 \) is given by

\[ \langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle = \int_0^m \frac{dk^2}{8\pi^2} e^{2t\sqrt{m^2-k^2}} = \frac{e^{2mt}(2mt-1)+1}{16\pi^2 t^2} . \]  

(5)

This means that the average amplitude \( \delta\phi(k) \) of quantum fluctuations with momenta \( \sim k \) initially was \( \delta\phi(k) \sim k/2\pi \), and then it started growing as \( e^{t\sqrt{m^2-k^2}} \).

To get a qualitative understanding of the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking, instead of many growing waves with momenta \( k < m \) in (3) let us consider first a single
sinusoidal wave $\delta \phi = \Delta(t) \cos kx$ with $k \sim m$ and with initial amplitude $\Delta(t) \sim \frac{m}{2\pi}$ in one-dimensional space (so that the average value of $(\delta \phi)^2$ corresponds to $\frac{m^2}{8\pi^2}$). The amplitude of this wave grows exponentially until it becomes $O(\phi) \sim m/\sqrt{\lambda}$. This leads to the division of the universe into domains of size $O(m^{-1})$ in which the field changes from $O(\phi)$ to $O(-\phi)$. The gradient energy density of domain walls separating areas with positive and negative $\phi$ will be $\sim k^2 \delta \phi^2 = O(m^4/\lambda)$. This energy is of the same order as the total initial potential energy of the field $V(0) = m^4/4\lambda$. This is one of the reasons why any approximation based on perturbation theory and ignoring topological defect production cannot give a correct description of the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Thus a substantial part of the energy $V(0)$ is transferred to the gradient energy of the field $\phi$ when it rolls down to the minimum of $V(\phi)$. Because the initial state contains many quantum fluctuations with different phases growing at different rates, the resulting field distribution is a gaussian random field with varying spectrum. It cannot coherently give all of its gradient energy back and return to its initial state $\phi = 0$. This is one of the reasons why spontaneous symmetry breaking and the main stage of preheating in this model may occur within a single oscillation of the field $\phi$.

Meanwhile if one were to make the usual assumption that initially there exists a small homogeneous background field $\phi \ll v$ with an amplitude greater than the amplitude of the growing quantum fluctuations $\delta \phi$, so that $m/2\pi \ll \phi < m/\sqrt{\lambda}$, one would find out that when $\phi$ falls to the minimum of the effective potential the gradient energy of the fluctuations remains relatively small. In some situations this could lead one to falsely conclude that the field will experience many fluctuations before it relaxes near the minimum of $V(\phi)$. To avoid this error, we need to perform a complete study of the growth of all tachyonic modes and their subsequent interaction without making this simplifying assumption about the existence of a homogeneous field $\phi$.

The tachyonic growth of all fluctuations with $k < m$ continues until $\sqrt{\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle}$ reaches the value $\sim v/2$, since at $\phi \sim v/\sqrt{3}$ the curvature of the effective potential vanishes and instead of tachyonic growth one has the usual oscillations of all the modes. Eq. (5) shows that this happens within a time $t_\ast \sim \frac{1}{2m} \ln \frac{C}{\lambda}$, where $C \sim 10^2$.

A convenient tool for studying this process is the spectrum of the growing quantum fluctuations. Rather than using the usual power spectrum $|\phi_k|^2$, however, we find it more informative to investigate the occupation number $n_k$ of produced particles [4]. Indeed, in situations where the number of particles is well defined (and this always happens at the end of the process) the occupation number $n_k$ is an adiabatic invariant, i.e. it does not change during the field oscillations unless some dramatic changes occur to the system. The standard definition of the occupation number which was extensively used in the theory of preheating [3], and which is valid for $m^2 \geq 0$, is

$$n_k = \frac{\omega_k}{2} \left( \frac{\dot{\phi}_k^2}{\omega_k^2} + |\phi_k|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (6)$$

However, this definition does not work in the tachyonic regime when the effective mass squared of the field $\phi$ becomes negative since then $\omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ becomes imaginary. Strictly speaking, $n_k$ should not be interpreted as the occupation number of particles during the tachyonic regime. One may still formally calculate the function $n_k$ in this regime using
either $\sqrt{k^2 + |m|^2}$ or $|k|$ instead of $\omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ in the expression for $n_k$ whenever $m^2 < 0$. The choice between $\sqrt{k^2 + |m|^2}$ and $|k|$ is arbitrary, and it does not change any of the final physical results. The spectra shown in this paper used $\omega_k = |k|$ in the tachyonic regime, with the exception of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, where we used $\omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + |m|^2}$. The formally defined quantity $n_k$ can be interpreted as the occupation number of particles after the end of the tachyonic regime, when $m^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, for all nonvanishing momenta these two quantities match very well when one switches from the tachyonic regime to the normal one. Indeed, whereas the value of $\omega_k$ changes during the process, the exponential growth of $n_k$ is mainly determined by $|\phi_k|$ and $|\dot{\phi}_k|$, which do not change strongly during the switch between the tachyonic regime and the normal one. Therefore the function $n_k$ is very convenient and informative during the whole process. When one calculates $n_k$ during the tachyonic regime, one can get a good idea of the number of particles that will emerge at the end of this regime where the usual particle interpretation becomes possible. In this sense we will interpret the function $n_k$ defined above as the occupation number of particles in both regimes.

An additional caveat of this interpretation is that when one calculates $\phi_k$, one does not distinguish between the contribution to this quantity from small perturbations and from topological defects. As a result, in the presence of topological defects one can somewhat overestimate the number of produced particles. The error, however, is not very large, especially in theories where instead of domain walls we have strings or monopoles. Moreover, eventually topological defects disappear and release their energy in the form of produced particles, and the standard interpretation of $n_k$ becomes completely valid.

The exponential growth of fluctuations during the tachyonic regime can be interpreted as the growth of the occupation number of particles with $k \ll m$. Using the estimates given above, one can show that $n_k$ for $k \ll m$ at the time $t_*$ grows up to

$$n_k \sim \exp(2mt_*) = \mathcal{O}(10^2) \lambda^{-1} \gg 1. \quad (7)$$

The time $t_* \sim \frac{1}{2m} \ln \frac{C}{\lambda}$ depends only logarithmically not only on $\lambda$, but, more generally, on the choice of the initial distribution of quantum fluctuations. As we see, for small $\lambda$ the fluctuations with $k \ll m$ acquire very large occupation numbers. More importantly, such fluctuations will have large amplitude and will be in squeezed state. The general solution for these fluctuations will contain two terms, $A e^{\omega t}$ and $B e^{-\omega t}$, but after a short time only the growing mode $A e^{\omega t}$ survives. Therefore, independently of the initial phases of quantum fluctuations, the only modes with $k < m$ that survive after the beginning of the tachyonic regime will coherently grow, and their amplitude will become extremely large. That is why these modes can be interpreted as classical waves and can be studied by computer simulations using the methods of [10,11].

The dominant contribution to $\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle$ in Eq. (5) at the moment $t_*$ is given by the modes with wavelength $l_* \sim 2\pi k_*^{-1} \sim \sqrt{2} \pi m^{-1} \ln^{1/2} (C/\lambda) > m^{-1}$, where $C = \mathcal{O}(10^2)$. As a result, at the moment when the fluctuations of the field $\phi$ reach the minimum of the effective potential, $\sqrt{\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle} \sim v$, the field distribution looks rather homogeneous on a scale $l \lesssim l_*$. On average, one still has $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$. This implies that the universe becomes divided into domains with two different types of spontaneous symmetry breaking, $\phi \sim \pm v$. The typical size of each domain is $l_*/2 \sim \sqrt{2} m^{-1} \ln^{1/2} \frac{C}{\lambda}$, which is slightly greater than $m^{-1}$. At later stages the domains grow in size and percolate (eat each other), and SSB becomes established on a
macroscopic scale.

Of course, these are just simple estimates that should be followed by a detailed quantitative investigation. When the field rolls down to the minimum of its effective potential its fluctuations scatter off each other as classical waves due to the $\lambda \phi^4$ interaction. It is difficult to study this process analytically, but fortunately one can do it numerically using the method of lattice simulations developed in \[11].

Before describing the results of our lattice simulations, we would like to discuss the setting of the problem, the choice of the initial conditions and some other aspects of tachyonic instability in a more general class of theories, including the theories with $V(\phi) \sim -\lambda \phi^n$.

### III. TACHYONIC INSTABILITY IN A MORE GENERAL CLASS OF THEORIES AND THE ROLE OF INITIAL DISPLACEMENT

As we already emphasized, spontaneous symmetry breaking usually occurs due to quantum fluctuations when the field $\phi$ falls from an exactly symmetric state $\phi = 0$. However, it is still very instructive to find out what happens when the field falls down from some state with $\phi_0 \neq 0$. By doing this, we will get an additional insight in the nature of tachyonic instability. We will also be able to compare our results with the results of earlier works on spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Consider the behavior of the fluctuations $\delta\phi$ with momentum $k \ll m$. An important observation is that these fluctuations satisfy the same equation of motion as $\dot{\phi}$:

$$\ddot{\phi}_k = -V''(\phi) \phi_k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

A general solution of this equation for $V = -m^2 \phi^2/2$ is $\phi_k(t) = a_1 e^{mt} + a_2 e^{-mt}$. Similarly, for $\dot{\phi}$ one has $\dot{\phi} = b_1 e^{mt} + b_2 e^{-mt}$. At $t \gg m^{-1}$ only the growing mode survives, and the ratio $\phi_k/\dot{\phi}$ becomes constant. This rule holds for other types of tachyonic potentials as well. Thus one can investigate the amplification of the long wavelength perturbations of the scalar field $\phi$ in a very easy way. Instead of solving equations for $\phi_k$ in a time-dependent background $\phi(t)$, one can find how $\dot{\phi}(t)$ changes in time. We will use this trick here and in the next section.

Consider the theory

$$V(\phi) = V_0 - \lambda \phi^n/2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Suppose the field $\phi$ begins rolling down from $\phi_0$. Energy conservation implies that

$$\dot{\phi}^2/2 - \dot{\phi}_0^2/2 = V(\phi) - V(\phi_0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

We will assume for simplicity that in the beginning, at $\phi = \phi_0$, the field moves with the same velocity as if it were falling with vanishing total energy from $\phi = 0$ (this assumption does not make any difference for motion at $\phi \gg \phi_0$). Then one has

$$\dot{\phi}^2/2 = -V(\phi) = \lambda \phi^n/2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Thus
\[ \dot{\phi} = \sqrt{\lambda} \phi^{n/2} . \]  

The solution is

\[ \phi = \left( \phi_0^{2n} - \sqrt{\lambda t} \left( \frac{n - 2}{2} \right) \right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} . \]  

The most important result is Eq. (12). In a more general case of nonvanishing total energy we have

\[ \dot{\phi} = \sqrt{\lambda (\phi^n - \phi_0^n)} , \]  

where \( \phi_0 \) is an initial field value where \( \dot{\phi} = 0 \). It implies that the tachyonic fluctuations with small momenta in the long time limit (\( \phi \ll \phi_0 \)) grow as follows:

\[ \phi_k = C \phi^{n/2} , \]  

where \( C \) is some constant.

This means, in particular, that in the theory with the potential \(-\phi^2\) the long wavelength fluctuations grow just like the field itself, \( \dot{\phi}/\phi = \text{const} \). Meanwhile for the theory with \(-\phi^3\) the fluctuations grow faster, \( \dot{\phi}/\phi \sim \phi^{1/2} \), and for the theory \(-\phi^4\) they grow even faster, \( \dot{\phi}/\phi \sim \phi \).

Returning to the theory \(-m^2\phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4 + m^4/4\lambda\), we find that the potential is tachyonic \((V'' < 0)\) for \( 0 < \phi < v/\sqrt{3} \), and it can be approximately represented as \(-m^2\phi^2\) for \( 0 < \phi \ll v/2 \). When the field \( \phi \) grows from \( \phi_0 \ll v \) to \( v/\sqrt{3} \), the speed of the field \( \dot{\phi} \) grows from \( m\phi_0 \) to \( \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} mv \). Consequently, the amplitude of density perturbations grows by a factor \( \sim \frac{1}{\phi_0} \), and the occupation numbers \( n_\phi \) of particles for \( k \ll m \) grow by a factor \( O \left( \frac{v^2}{5\phi_0} \right) \).

Clearly, one has the largest amplification if one starts as close to \( \phi_0 = 0 \) as possible. However, if \( \phi_0 \ll \frac{m}{2\pi} \), where \( \frac{m}{2\pi} \) is the average amplitude of the long wavelength quantum fluctuations with momentum \( k < m \) (which grow almost as fast as the homogeneous mode), then the development of \( \phi_0 \) gives no information on the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking. In this case instead of \( \phi_0 \) one would need to study all growing modes with \( k < m \), just as in the case \( \phi_0 = 0 \). This is what we are doing in the main part of this paper.

Equation for fluctuations in the model \( V(\phi) = -m^2\phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4 + m^4/4\lambda \) is

\[ \ddot{\phi}_k + \left( k^2 - m^2 + 3\lambda \phi^2 \right) \phi_k = 0 , \]  

This equation should be solved simultaneously with the equation for the background field \( \phi(t) \)

\[ \ddot{\phi} - m^2 \phi + \lambda \phi^3 = 0 , \]  

Equation (16) is the Lame equation \[20\]. Its solutions depend on the dimensionless parameters \( \sqrt{\lambda} \phi_0/m \). In the context of the chaotic inflationary model, where the field \( \phi(t) \) is rolling from its large initial value \( \phi \sim M_p \), this parameter usually was taken to be large \[20\]. In the context of the theory of spontaneous symmetry breaking we are dealing with the opposite case when \( \phi_0 \) is close to zero.
The description of the growth of perturbations in the model $-\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 + \frac{m^4}{4\lambda}$ is a straightforward generalization of the theory of parametric resonance in the model $\lambda\phi^4$, which has been studied using the stability/instability chart of the Lame equation [24]. The presence of the negative mass term adds an additional instability band at $k \lesssim m$. The characteristic exponent $\mu$ in this new zone is significantly greater than in the higher zones because of the tachyonic effect. Thus, the tachyonic parametric resonance will be dominant.

When the field rolls towards the minimum of $V(\phi)$, the occupation numbers $n_k$, calculated from the solutions $\phi_k$ of equation (16), become large. However, for $\phi_0 > \frac{m}{2\pi}$ the field fluctuations do not grow large enough to dominate the energy density immediately after the rolling to the minimum of the effective potential. To find out what happens in this case, we will describe, as an example, the evolution of the occupation numbers of the modes $\phi_k$ with different momenta $k$ in the model (1) with $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ if the field rolls from $\phi_0 = 0.01v$ (which is larger than $\frac{m}{2\pi}$ in this model).

Consider first a mode $\phi_k$ with $k \ll m$. In the beginning, when the field $\phi$ rolls from $\phi = \phi_0$ to $\phi = v/\sqrt{3}$, this mode grows faster than any other fluctuations, just as we expected, see Fig. 1. During this time interval, the occupation number becomes $\sim e^9$, which is in good agreement with our estimate $O(\frac{v^2}{5\phi_0}) \sim 2 \times 10^3$. Then the field reaches the bottom of the effective potential, goes somewhat beyond this point, bounces back, and again approaches the tachyonic region $\phi < v/\sqrt{3}$. Until the field becomes smaller than $v/\sqrt{3}$, the occupation number of particles with $k \ll m$ does not change much. But then it decreases almost to the same value from which we started our calculations. What happens is that the solution for the fluctuations has two modes, the growing one and the decaying one. When the field bounces, fluctuations either grow or decay depending on the phase with which they re-enter the tachyonic regime. Therefore even though the modes with $k \ll m$ grow fast on the way down, they also decay fast on the way up, as shown in Fig. 1.

Meanwhile for the modes in a rather broad interval of $k$, from $k \sim 0.3m$ to $k \sim 0.6m$, the modes continue their growth when the field oscillates. Figure 2 shows the growth of $n_k$ during three consecutive oscillations of the field $\phi$. As we see, during each full oscillation the occupation numbers grow $e^{15}$ times. Thus during $n$ oscillations the occupation numbers should grow $e^{15n}$ times.

This is an incredibly fast growth. It occurs much faster than the usual parametric resonance in theories with $m^2 > 0$ [2]. Clearly, this process can rapidly convert all the energy of the homogeneous field into the energy of classical colliding waves, and at this stage the only reliable way to study the process is to use numerical simulations.
FIG. 1. Evolution of the occupation numbers for the fluctuations with $k \ll m$ in the model $V(\phi) = -\frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{m^4}{4!}$.

FIG. 2. Same as in Fig 1 for $k = 0.5m$.

In the theory $-m^2 \phi^2$ the fluctuations grow as fast as the scalar field, so if one begins with a homogeneous field with $\frac{\delta \phi}{\phi_0} \ll 1$, then on the way down to $\phi \sim v$ this field distribution remains relatively homogeneous. However, when the field rolls back towards $\phi = \phi_0$, the inhomogeneities with $k \sim 0.5m$ continue growing. For example, if one takes $\phi_0 \sim 10^{-2}v$, quantum fluctuations (which have initial amplitude only one order of magnitude smaller than $\phi_0$ in this model) grow almost $10^4$ times when the field $\phi$ falls down from $\phi = \phi_0$ and returns back. The amplitude of inhomogeneities after the return becomes approximately three orders of magnitude larger than $\phi_0$, which means that the homogeneity becomes completely
destroyed. At this stage (and in fact much earlier) one can no longer study the evolution of quantum fluctuations as if they were small deviations on a homogeneous background. When the field falls down to the minimum of the effective potential again, it becomes divided into large colliding waves. One cannot study the evolution of such a system using perturbation theory.

In the theories $V(\phi) \sim -\phi^n$ with $n > 2$ the situation may be even more interesting and the growth of the occupation number $n_k$ for small $k$ occurs even faster. For example, in the theory $-\lambda \phi^4$ long wavelength fluctuations grow as $\phi^2$ (and the occupation numbers grow as $\phi^4$). Therefore when the field $\phi$ grows from $\phi_0$ to $v$, the ratio $\frac{\delta \phi}{\phi}$ grows by a factor of $O\left(\frac{v}{\phi_0}\right)$. This means that the field may become very inhomogeneous on its way down even if initially it was very homogeneous.

One of the best ways to do it is to study the probability distribution function $P(\phi, t)$, which is the fraction of the volume containing the field $\phi$ at a time $t$. At $t = 0$ we begin with the probability distribution concentrated near $\phi = 0$, with the quantum mechanical dispersion (5), and then we follow its evolution; see Fig. 3.

IV. LATTICE SIMULATIONS OF SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING IN THE THEORIES WITH $V(\phi) = -M^2\phi^2/2 + \lambda \phi^4/4$

A description of our method [10,11] in application to this problem is given in the appendix.

There are several complementary ways one can represent the results of our calculations. One of the best ways to do it is to study the probability distribution function $P(\phi, t)$, which is the fraction of the volume containing the field $\phi$ at a time $t$. At $t = 0$ we begin with the probability distribution concentrated near $\phi = 0$, with the quantum mechanical dispersion (5), and then we follow its evolution; see Fig. 3.
FIG. 3. The process of symmetry breaking in the model \(\nu = 10^{-4}\). The values of the field are shown in units of \(v\), time is shown in units \(m^{-1}\). For each moment of time, we also show the occupation numbers \(n_k\) (the lower part of each panel), with \(k\) measured in units of \(m\). At \(t = 0\) one has \(n_k = 0\), as in the usual quantum field theory vacuum. In the beginning of the process the occupation numbers \(n_k\) grow exponentially for \(k < m\) \((k < 1\text{ in the figure})\), but then this growth spreads to \(k > m\) because of domain wall formation and collisions of classical waves of the field \(\phi\). Within a single oscillation the occupation numbers for \(k \ll m\) grow up to \(\sim 10^6\), which is in complete agreement with our estimate \(n_k \sim 10^2\nu^{-1}\), Eq. (7). The spectrum rapidly stabilizes, but it is not thermal yet, and the occupation numbers remain extremely large. Thermalization takes much more time than spontaneous symmetry breaking.

In the beginning quantum fluctuations are very small, and the probability distribution \(P(\phi, t)\) is very narrowly focused near \(\phi = 0\). Then it spreads out and shows two maxima that oscillate about \(\phi = \pm v\) with an amplitude much smaller than \(v\).

As we see from Fig. 3, the two maxima never come close to the initial point \(\phi = 0\), which implies that symmetry becomes broken within a single oscillation of the distribution of the field \(\phi\). To demonstrate that this is not a strong coupling effect, we show the results
for the model (1) with \( \lambda = 10^{-4} \). We obtained similar results for \( \lambda = 10^{-2} \). Note that only when the distribution stabilizes and the domains become large can one use the standard language of perturbation theory describing scalar particles as excitations on a (locally) homogeneous background. That is why the use of the nonperturbative approach based on lattice simulations was so important for our investigation.

One may wonder why the distribution is slightly asymmetric, and why after symmetry breaking there are still many points at \( |\phi| \ll v \). The answer is that after SSB space becomes divided into domains with \( \phi \sim \pm v \). Domains are large, and their size gradually grows after SSB because large domains “eat” the small ones. Eventually in any finite size box there will remain just one domain, i.e. the distribution will become completely asymmetric. The points with \( |\phi| \ll v \) correspond to domain walls.

In this series of simulations we made a cut-off in the spectrum of initial fluctuations at \( k > m \). The reason is that only the modes with \( k < m \) from the very beginning experience exponential growth and behave as classical fields. We checked, however, that the results of the simulations remain qualitatively the same if one makes a cut-off at \( k \gg m \).

The process of thermalization takes much longer than spontaneous symmetry breaking [21]. Indeed, the standard thermal distribution is given by the well known equation \( n_k = (e^{\omega_k/T} - 1)^{-1} \). At the moment when all the energy \( V(0) = m^4/4\lambda \) is transferred to the thermal energy \( \sim T^4 \), the temperature rises up to \( T \sim m\lambda^{-1/4} \), and the occupation numbers at \( k \lesssim m \) become \( n_k \sim (e^{m/T} - 1)^{-1} \sim T/m \approx \lambda^{-1/4} \). In particular, for \( \lambda \sim 10^{-4} \) one would have \( n_{k<m} = \mathcal{O}(10) \), which is 5 orders of magnitude smaller than the results of our calculations.

Thus, the occupation numbers should drop down dramatically before full thermalization is achieved. This may happen only if the total number of particles becomes many orders of magnitude smaller (particle cannibalism). If one considers only those interactions that preserve the total number of particles (scattering \( 2 \to 2 \)), one may achieve a kind of temporary thermal equilibrium with a nonvanishing effective chemical potential of particles \( \phi \). This is what we see in our calculations when the occupation numbers gradually approach some quasi-equilibrium asymptotic limit. Since there is no real particle conservation in this theory, eventually the effective chemical potential will vanish, and the true thermal equilibrium with \( n_k = (e^{\omega_k/T} - 1)^{-1} \) will be reached. But this process takes much greater time than the time required for spontaneous symmetry breaking.

To provide a visual picture of the distribution of the scalar field, we show the growth of fluctuations in a two-dimensional slice of 3D space in this model in Fig. 4. Maxima correspond to domains with \( \phi > 0 \); minima correspond to domains with \( \phi < 0 \). The third image corresponds to the first one half of an oscillation, just like the third panel in Fig. 3. As we see the universe at that moment is already divided into domains with \( \phi \sim \pm v \). The initial size of each domain is somewhat greater than \( m^{-1} \). Inside each domain the deviation from \( \phi = |v| \) is much smaller than \( v \). This confirms our conclusion that spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs within a single oscillation.
FIG. 4. Tachyonic growth of quantum fluctuations and the early stages of domain formation in the simplest theory of spontaneous symmetry breaking with $V(\phi) = -\frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4$. 
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The original domain structure can change within a time $O(10m^{-1})$ because of domain wall collisions and domain expansion. Gradually, the size of each domain grows and the domain wall structure becomes more and more stable, as we see in the last two images of Fig. 4.

If one continues the calculation for a much longer time, one can see much more clearly the formation and growth of domains with $\phi = \pm v$. In the beginning these domains are small, but then they “eat” each other and grow. To illustrate this process we performed simulations in a 2D box of size $1024 \times 1024$. This allowed us to perform the calculations out to a much greater time and see domain formation on a much greater scale; see Fig. 5. One should note that the process of rescattering of particles produced during preheating in 2D is somewhat different from that in 3D. However, the tachyonic instability is the same in both cases and the process of domain growth is qualitatively similar.

FIG. 5. Formation of domains in the process of symmetry breaking in the model (I).
V. COMPARISON WITH THE USUAL PERTURBATIVE APPROACH

Before going any further, let us discuss the difference between our methods and the usual approach based on perturbation theory. In the usual approach one solves a self-consistent system of equations for the homogeneous scalar field $\phi$ and for the variance $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$, where $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ is generated due to particle production by the oscillating field $\phi$, see e.g. [2,3].

In this approach one would expect that the effective mass of the field $\phi$ is given by $m_{\phi}^2 = -m^2 + 3\lambda \langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$. This is the standard approach used, in particular, in the theory of the high temperature cosmological phase transitions [6].

Let us see what would happen if we naively applied this method to our problem. Our investigation shows that within a single oscillation the variance of the scalar field grows to $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle \approx v^2$; see Fig. 3. A more detailed investigation shows that soon after the beginning of the process the value of $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ is not much different from $\frac{9}{4}v^2$. This would seem to imply that immediately after spontaneous symmetry breaking the symmetry becomes restored again, because the effective mass squared of the field $\phi$ becomes positive: $m_{\phi}^2 \approx -m^2 + \frac{9}{4}\lambda v^2 \approx \frac{5}{4}m^2$.

However, our numerical calculations clearly demonstrate that this is not the case. So what is wrong with the standard perturbative approach?

The point is that in order to investigate the tachyonic instability one should study the local field distribution on a scale comparable to $m^{-1}$ instead of the field distribution averaged over the whole universe. In our scenario the universe becomes divided into different domains of size greater than $O(m^{-1})$. If one wants to study the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking, then instead of finding the average values $\langle \phi \rangle$ and $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ over the whole universe one should find the average value of $\phi$ inside each domain. After that, one should calculate the variance $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$, where $\delta\phi$ is the local deviation of the field $\phi$ from its average value inside each domain. But even this will give only partial information about the process. That is why in addition to finding the probability distribution and the occupation numbers (Fig. 3), we have shown the spatial distribution of the field $\phi$ (Fig. 4).

This need for local averaging is an important issue that was overlooked in many recent works on preheating, as well as in some works on the backreaction of long wavelength inflationary quantum fluctuations on the speed of expansion and the average energy-momentum tensor of matter. We are not saying that the calculation of averages such as $\langle \phi \rangle$ and $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ over the whole universe is not useful. For example, it is quite informative in the theory of high temperature phase transitions, where the typical contribution to $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ occurs due to the short wavelength fluctuations with the wavelength $T^{-1}$, which is much smaller than $m^{-1}$ at the time of the phase transition [6]. However, one should be extremely careful using averages like $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ over the whole universe in situations where a substantial contribution to $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ is given by fluctuations whose wavelength is greater than the typical length scale of the problem. It does not matter how accurately one calculates such averages, whether one works in the Hartree approximation or in the $1/N$ approximation, as in [3]. In the case described above we calculated $\langle \delta\phi^2 \rangle$ very accurately using our lattice simulations. This method takes into account not only the usual backreaction effects that could be studied in the Hartree or $1/N$ approximations, but also effects of rescattering of produced particles. Still we have seen that a naive use of our results would lead to an incorrect conclusion that symmetry becomes restored immediately after it breaks down.

If one has to use perturbation theory in situations when the infrared contribution to
\(\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle\) is substantial, the occupation numbers are large and the results allow a semi-classical interpretation, one can avoid the problem discussed above if one rearranges perturbation theory in a nontrivial way. For example, if one studies effects on a length scale \(l\), one may consider all fluctuations on larger scales as a nearly homogeneous classical field background and ignore the contribution of these fluctuations to \(\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle\). In the context of the theory of preheating, this issue was discussed in Section X of Ref. [2]. In inflationary cosmology a similar approximation constitutes the basis of the stochastic approach to inflation [22,23,6]. An alternative method is to use numerical simulations that can bring us more detailed information about the process. This is the method we use in our paper.

To compare our method with the more traditional perturbative approach assuming initial displacement of the field \(\phi_0 \neq 0\), we performed a series of simulations for different values of \(\phi_0\) exceeding the level of the long wavelength quantum fluctuations \(\sim \frac{m}{2\pi}\). For \(\phi_0 \approx 10^{-2}v\) the results did not differ much from the results that we obtained for \(\phi_0 = 0\) in the previous section. The distribution of the field \(\phi\) never returned back to the vicinity of \(\phi = 0\), and the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking occurred within a single oscillation. Note, that our calculations were performed for \(\lambda \sim 10^{-4}\), so that \(\phi_0 \approx 10^{-2}v \gg \frac{m}{2\pi} \sim 10^{-2}v^2\).

For a much greater initial displacement the results were somewhat different, but still for \(\phi_0 \ll v\) we have found that the regime of homogeneous oscillations completely disappeared after a couple of oscillations. Consider, for example, the case \(\phi_0 = 0.1v\); see Fig. 6. As one might expect, in this case the final probability distribution is entirely concentrated at \(\phi \sim +v\), and one can check that no topological defects are produced at the end of the process. But even in this case we have found that the process completes very fast, after the second oscillation.

This result differs from the results of investigation of the same model in [3], where it was claimed that the oscillations of the homogeneous component of the field in this model continue for a long time with the amplitude comparable to \(v\), even if one starts with \(\phi_0 \ll \frac{m}{2\pi}\). The reason for the disagreement is very simple. First of all, in the investigation of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the theory \(-\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 + \frac{m^4}{4\lambda}\) in [3] the initial displacement of the field \(\phi_0\) was chosen two orders of magnitude smaller than the level of the long wavelength quantum fluctuations with \(k < m\), \(\delta \phi \sim \frac{m}{2\pi}\). In this case SSB appears not because of the growth of the homogeneous component of the field, but because of the generation of fluctuations with \(k < m\). In such a situation investigation of the homogeneous component of the field does not give much information about spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Moreover, as soon as the combined amplitude of all fluctuations with \(k < m\) (i.e. \(\sqrt{\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle_{k<m}}\)) becomes comparable to \(v\), which happens much earlier than the homogeneous component of the field reaches the minimum of the effective potential, the universe becomes divided into domains with colliding walls. At this moment the standard perturbative approach completely breaks down. It does not describe rescattering of produced particles, collisions of classical waves of the scalar field, and dynamics of topological defects. In this regime equations describing the evolution of the homogeneous component of the field \(\phi\) derived in the Hartree approximation (or in \(1/N\) approximation) become inapplicable. That is why in our work we studied SSB using a combination of analytical investigation and lattice simulations.
FIG. 6. The process of symmetry breaking in the model (1) for $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ in the case where the field $\phi$ was initially displaced from $\phi = 0$ by $\phi_0 = 10^{-1}v$. As we see, in this case spontaneous symmetry breaking takes two oscillations to occur, and the occupation numbers are smaller than in the case of falling from $\phi = 0$. 
VI. SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING IN THE THEORY OF A COMPLEX FIELD

In the previous section we studied symmetry breaking in a theory (1) describing a one-component real field $\phi$. One can perform a similar investigation for the theory of a multi-component scalar field $\phi_i$ with the potential (1), simply replacing $\phi^2$ with $|\phi|^2$. Figure 7 illustrates the dynamics of symmetry breaking in the model (1) with a two-component scalar field $\phi = (\phi_1 + i\phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$. It shows the probability distribution $P(\phi_i, t)$, which is the fraction of the volume containing the field $\phi$ at a time $t$.

![Image of probability distribution]

**FIG. 7.** The process of symmetry breaking in the model (1) for a complex field $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2)$. The field distribution falls down to the minimum of the effective potential at $|\phi| = v$ and experiences only small oscillations with rapidly decreasing amplitude $|\Delta \phi| \ll v$.

In the beginning, the probability distribution is concentrated near $\phi = 0$, with the quantum mechanical dispersion (2). Then the probability distribution spreads out, and after a single oscillation it stabilizes at $|\phi| \sim v$, which corresponds to SSB. The standard
approximation representing the scalar field as a homogeneous background field with small fluctuations does not work at any stage of the process.

A detailed investigation of the spatial distribution of the field \( \phi \) shows [1] that after the first oscillation the scalar field can be represented as a collection of classical waves oscillating near \( |\phi| \sim v \) with an amplitude smaller than \( v/2 \). Thus SSB indeed occurs within a single oscillation of the field distribution. A small but nonvanishing height of the histogram in Fig. 7 at \( \phi = 0 \) is due to the presence of strings that have \( \phi = 0 \) at their cores.

Fig. 8 shows the occupation numbers \( n_k \) of produced particles. During the first oscillation these numbers grow up to \( 10^7 - 10^8 \) for \( k < m \) (\( k < 1 \) in the figure). Then the occupation numbers at \( k < m \) slightly decrease, whereas the occupation numbers at \( k > m \) begin to grow. Complete thermalization takes a very long time.

\[
\text{FIG. 8. Occupation numbers } n_k \text{ of particles produced during tachyonic preheating in the model of a complex scalar field } \phi \text{ with effective potential } V = -m^2 \phi^* \phi + \lambda (\phi^* \phi)^2 \text{ with } \lambda = 10^{-4}.
\]

In the beginning (lower curves), \( n_k \) grows for \( k \lesssim m \) (\( k \lesssim 1 \) in this figure), but then eventually this growth spreads to larger \( k \).

In the model of a complex scalar field, instead of domain walls one has strings that are produced when the field falls down; see Fig. 9. The whole process of string formation occurs within a single oscillation. After that the new long strings are not formed. Sometimes small string loops appear and disappear because of occasional large fluctuations of the scalar field. If symmetry were broken and then restored again when the field distribution moves back to \( \phi = 0 \), we would see strings being “melted,” and then a completely new set of strings would appear. Meanwhile, our simulations show that the large scale string distribution is formed as the field \( \phi \) first rolls down to the minimum of the effective potential. During the subsequent oscillations the strings formed in the beginning of the process do not disappear and are not replaced by new ones; instead they experience only gradual evolution. This confirms our conclusion that symmetry breaking is achieved within a single oscillation.

Just as in the case of the one-component scalar field, perturbative methods of investiga-
tion of this theory cannot describe formation of topological defects and scattering of classical waves produced by the tachyonic instability. Therefore such methods break down within the first oscillation of the field distribution.

FIG. 9. Strings produced after one half of an oscillation in the model (11) for a complex field $\phi$.

VII. QUARTIC POTENTIAL

The process of SSB will occur in a somewhat different way in theories where the curvature of the effective potential near its maximum depends on $\phi$. For example, one may consider the Coleman-Weinberg model, which was the basis for the first version of the new inflation scenario [24]:

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \phi^4 \log \frac{\phi^2}{v^2} - \frac{\phi^4}{2} + \frac{v^4}{2} \right). \tag{18}$$

This potential has a maximum at $\phi = 0$ and a minimum at $\phi = v$. At small $\phi$ the effective potential looks like $-\frac{\lambda \phi^4}{4}$ with an effective coupling constant $\lambda(\phi) = \lambda \log \frac{v^2}{\phi^2}$.

Another interesting example is the toy model

$$V = -\frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{\alpha \phi^6}{6} + \frac{v^4}{12}. \tag{19}$$

An important feature of such potentials is that the tachyonic mass $m^2(\phi) = V''(\phi)$ vanishes at $\phi = 0$. Therefore the simple arguments based on the tachyonic growth of small
quantum fluctuations do not apply here. The decay of the symmetric phase in such models occurs via tunneling and the formation of bubbles. Historically, this was the first example of a theory where tunneling occurs between two states ($\phi = 0$ and $\phi \neq 0$) even though there is no barrier separating these states [17]; see also [18].

To study symmetry breaking in these models one should first consider the growth of the field $\phi$ in the model

$$V = -\frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4,$$

and then see what happens when one adds extra terms that stabilize the potential.

The tunneling trajectories (instantons) with minimal action possess the $O(4)$ symmetry of Euclidean space [25]. The Euclidean equation for $O(4)$ symmetric tunneling is

$$\phi'' + 3 \phi' r^{-1} = V'(\phi).$$

with the boundary conditions $\phi(r = \infty) = v$ and $\phi'(0) = 0$. Here $\phi'(r) = \frac{d\phi}{dr}$, $r = \sqrt{x_i^2}$; the $x_i$ are the Euclidean coordinates, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Equation (21) in the theory (20) has a family of solutions [26,17]

$$\phi(r) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \left( \frac{\rho}{r^2 + \rho^2} \right),$$

where $\rho$ is arbitrary. Note that the value of the scalar field in the center of the bubble depends on $\rho$:

$$\phi(0) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda \rho}}.$$

The corresponding Euclidean action does not depend on $\rho$,

$$S_E = 2\pi^2 \int r^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} (\phi')^2 + V(\phi) \right) dr = \frac{8\pi^2}{3\lambda}.$$

The probability of bubble formation per unit four-volume can be estimated by the expression

$$P \sim \rho^{-4} \exp \left( -\frac{8\pi^2}{3\lambda} \right) \sim \lambda^2 \phi^4(0) \exp \left( -\frac{8\pi^2}{3\lambda} \right).$$

The probability of tunneling in the Coleman-Weinberg theory [18] can be estimated by this equation if instead of $\lambda$ one uses the effective coupling constant $\lambda \log \frac{v^2}{\phi^2}$. Tunneling is not strongly suppressed at $\lambda \log \frac{v^2}{\phi^2} \sim 1$. This means that tunneling occurs to a point with exponentially small $\phi$: $\phi \sim v e^{-C/\lambda}$, with $C = O(1)$.

On the other hand, in the model [19] the effective coupling constant $\lambda$ and the factor $\exp \left( -\frac{8\pi^2}{3\lambda} \right)$ suppressing the tunneling do not depend on $\phi$, whereas the subexponential factor in the expression for the tunneling probability [21] is greater for large $\phi$. Thus in this model tunneling may occur to relatively large $\phi$.
The bubbles that appear after the tunneling are described by eq. (22) if one understands by \( r^2 \) its Minkowski counterpart \( r^2 - t^2 \):

\[
\phi(r) = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \left( \frac{\rho}{r^2 - t^2 + \rho^2} \right). \tag{26}
\]

Such bubbles have symmetry \( O(3,1) \). When the bubble appears (at \( t = 0 \)), the field takes its maximal value \( \phi_0 \) at the center of the bubble, \( \phi_0 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}}} \). Then it grows, and becomes infinitely large at \( t = \rho = \frac{2}{\phi_0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} = \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{m_\phi(\phi_0)}. \) Here \( m_\phi^2(\phi) = V''(\phi) = 3\lambda\phi^2 \).

Of course, in realistic models like (18) and (19) the field does not grow indefinitely large. It reaches the minimum of the effective potential at \( \phi = \pm v \) and then it begins oscillating there. Meanwhile quantum fluctuations may grow on top of the smooth instanton solution. The investigation of these oscillations and bubble wall collisions is a complicated problem that can be studied numerically. Fortunately, the behavior of the oscillating field prior to the bubble wall collisions and neglecting quantum fluctuations can be studied analytically by making a certain change of variables.

Indeed, it is known that in properly chosen coordinates the interior of each bubble looks like an open universe filled by a homogeneous scalar field \( \phi \). One can show that during the main part of the first oscillation of the field the radius of curvature (scale factor) of this open universe is \( O(\rho) \), which leads to expansion of the open universe with Hubble constant \( H \sim \rho^{-1} \sim m_\phi(\phi_0) \). This introduces the damping term \( 3H\dot{\phi} \) to the equation of motion of the scalar field, which gradually diminishes the amplitude of its oscillations. Suppose that the tunneling occurs to \( \phi_0 \ll v \), as in the Coleman-Weinberg model. Then during the main part of the first oscillation the effective mass of the field \( \phi \) remains much greater than \( H \sim m_\phi(\phi_0) \), so in the limit \( \frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{v} \rightarrow 0 \) one can neglect the effect of expansion of the open universe on the amplitude of the oscillations. Later on, the Hubble constant in the open universe bubble becomes even smaller and its damping effect on the oscillations becomes even less significant. As a result, the amplitude of oscillations of a homogeneous scalar field for a long time remains almost unchanged.

From the point of view of an outside observer using the usual coordinates \( x \) and \( t \) this means that the field \( \phi \) in the center of the bubble oscillates for a long time with amplitude \( O(v) \), sending spherical waves of the same amplitude in all directions. The amplitude of each wave is a function of \( x^2 - t^2 \), which means that they propagate with a speed asymptotically approaching the speed of light and their amplitude does not depend on their distance from the center of the bubble.

\[3\]In this paper we are neglecting the overall expansion of the universe caused by the energy density of the scalar field. This effect will be considered in a separate publication. Here we consider “expansion” as it is seen inside the bubble in the coordinate system in which the interior of the bubble looks like a homogeneous open universe. This is not a physical expansion but a consequence of the choice of the coordinate system in flat space where it is more convenient to study the bubble motion.

\[3\]One could speculate about the possibility of sending a signal to aliens using such waves with
Thus instead of the naive picture of a bubble consisting of a single spherically symmetric shell (which would be a correct picture in the thin-wall approximation), one has a series of waves of almost equal amplitude following each other; see Fig. 10. Reheating in this model occurs due to a combination of different effects. First of all, particles are produced during the collision of waves produced by different tunneling events. But they are also produced due to the tachyonic instability, as well as by the oscillations of the scalar field inside each bubble.

FIG. 10. Field values on a partial 2D slice through the lattice in the model $V = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \phi^4 \log \frac{\phi^2}{v^2} - \frac{\phi^2}{2} + \frac{v^4}{2} \right)$. The process of symmetry breaking occurs due to tunneling and bubble formation. After the tunneling, the bubble grows, and the field inside it begins to oscillate. If the tunneling occurs from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi_0 \ll v$, the amplitude of oscillations remains large for a long time, and instead of the usual picture of a single bubble wall propagating in all directions one has a series of propagating waves with amplitude comparable to $v$. The figure shows a half of such bubble, which appears after the tunneling to $\phi_0 = .02v$. Cutting the bubble in half allows us to see that the amplitude of oscillations decreases rather slowly, just as we expected. Because the bubbles in this model take an exponentially long time to form, in our simulations we did not start at $\phi = 0$ and wait for one to appear, but rather started using the analytic form of the instanton as our initial conditions.

an amplitude that does not decrease with the distance. The problem is that these waves are only possible as a result of vacuum decay, which first kills those who send the signal and then those who receive it.
We should make some comments here. First of all, if the tunneling occurs to very small values of $\phi$, quantum fluctuations produced due to tachyonic instability inside the $O(3,1)$ symmetric bubble may completely distort the shape of the bubble during the field oscillations. Within few oscillations, tachyonic preheating creates colliding waves inside the bubble; see Fig. 11.

Note that each tunneling event produces an exponentially large sphere filled either by a positive field $\phi$ oscillating around $\phi = v$ with a slowly decreasing amplitude, or by a negative field $\phi$, oscillating around $\phi = -v$. In both cases SSB occurs within a single oscillation within each bubble, and then finally the field $\phi$ relaxes near $\pm v$ due to a combined effect of the amplitude decrease because of the bubble expansion, and the development of tachyonic preheating, as in Fig. 11.

Finally, we should say that if the tunneling occurs to extremely small values of $\phi$, or if it does not occur for a long time, one may obtain an inflationary regime [24]. Tachyonic preheating in this regime will be discussed in a subsequent publication [8].

FIG. 11. The process of symmetry breaking in the model $V = \frac{1}{4} \left( \phi^4 \log \frac{\phi^2}{v^2} - \frac{\phi^4}{2} + \frac{v^4}{2} \right)$ taking into account quantum fluctuations in the instanton background for $\lambda \sim 10^{-4}$. As we see, quantum fluctuations lead to a growing asymmetry and decoherence of the oscillations due to the tachyonic preheating inside the bubble. Thus preheating in this model occurs due to a combined effect of bubble wall collision and tachyonic preheating. The latter mechanism is especially efficient if the tunneling occurs to $\phi_0 \ll v$. 
Another important example of tachyonic preheating is provided by the theory

\[ V = -\frac{\lambda}{3} v \phi^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{\lambda}{12} v^4. \]  

(27)

This potential is a prototype of the potential that appears in descriptions of symmetry breaking in F-term hybrid inflation [28,29].

The development of instability in this theory presents us with a new challenge. The curvature of the effective potential at \( \phi = 0 \) in this theory vanishes, which means that, unlike in the theory \( -m^2 \phi^2 \) (1), infinitesimally small perturbations in this theory do not grow. On the other hand, unlike in the theory \( -\lambda \phi^4 \) (20), there are no instantons in this theory that would describe tunneling from \( \phi = 0 \). Thus, in the theory \( -\lambda v \phi^3 \), which occupies an intermediate position between \( -m^2 \phi^2 \) and \( -\lambda \phi^4 \), both mechanisms that could lead to the development of instability do not work. Does this mean that the state \( \phi = 0 \) in this theory is, in fact, stable?

The answer to this question is no; the state \( \phi = 0 \) in the theory \( -\lambda v \phi^3 \) is unstable. Indeed, even though \( \langle \phi \rangle \) initially is zero, long wavelength fluctuations of the field \( \phi \) are present, and they may play the same role as the homogeneous field \( \phi \) in triggering the instability.

Eq. (11) implies that scalar field fluctuations with momenta \( k \ll k_0 \) have initial amplitude \( \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \sim \frac{k_0^2}{8\pi^2} \). Thus the short wavelength fluctuations with momenta \( k > k_0 \) live on top of a long wavelength field with an average amplitude \( \delta \phi_{\text{rms}}(k_0) \sim \sqrt{\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle} \sim \frac{k_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \).

The curvature of the effective potential \( V'' = |m_{\text{eff}}^2| \) at \( \phi \sim \delta \phi_{\text{rms}}(k_0) \) in the theory (27) is given by \(-2\lambda v \delta \phi_{\text{rms}}(k_0) \sim -\lambda v \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \). Consider fluctuations with momentum \( k \) somewhat greater than \( k_0 \), so that the amplitude of the long wavelength field \( \delta \phi \) does not change significantly on a scale \( k^{-1} \). Short wavelength fluctuations with \( k = Ck_0 \) with \( C \) somewhat greater than 1 will grow on top of the field \( \phi \sim \delta \phi_{\text{rms}}(k_0) \) if \( k^2 \ll |m_{\text{eff}}^2| \sim \frac{\lambda k_0^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \).

Taking for definiteness \( C \gtrsim \sqrt{2} \), one may argue that fluctuations with \( k \lesssim \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \) may enter a self-sustained regime of tachyonic growth. Small fluctuations rapidly grow large, which justifies using semi-classical methods for the description of this process. The average initial amplitude of the growing tachyonic fluctuations with momenta smaller than \( \frac{\lambda v}{2\pi} \) is

\[ \delta \phi_{\text{rms}} \sim \frac{\lambda v}{4\pi^2}. \]  

(28)

These fluctuations grow until the amplitude of \( \delta \phi \) becomes comparable to \( 2v/3 \), and the effective tachyonic mass vanishes. At that moment the field can be represented as a collection of waves with dispersion \( \sqrt{\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle} \sim v \), corresponding to coherent states of scalar particles with occupation numbers \( n_k \sim \left( \frac{4\pi^2}{\lambda} \right)^2 \gg 1 \).

A more accurate investigation shows that the initial value of the field is few times greater than \( \delta \phi_{\text{rms}} \sim \frac{\lambda v}{4\pi^2} \) (see below), and therefore the occupation numbers will be somewhat smaller,

\[ n_k \sim O(10) \lambda^{-2}. \]  

(29)
Because of the nonlinear dependence of the tachyonic mass on \( \phi \), a detailed description of this process is more involved than in the theory (1). Indeed, even though the typical amplitude of the growing fluctuations is given by (28), the speed of the growth of the fluctuations increases considerably if the initial amplitude is somewhat bigger than (28). Thus even though fluctuations with an amplitude a few times greater than (28) are exponentially suppressed, they grow faster and may therefore have a greater impact on the process than fluctuations with amplitude (28).

Low probability fluctuations with \( \delta \phi \gg \delta \phi_{\text{rms}} \) correspond to peaks of the initial Gaussian distribution of the fluctuations of the field \( \phi \). The theory of the 3d random Gaussian fields is well developed [30]. Its statistical properties are determined by the spectrum \( |\delta \phi_k|^2 \). One of the most interesting features of the Gaussian field is statistics and the shapes of the high peaks of the field distribution. Such peaks tend to be spherically symmetric. As a result, the whole process looks not like a uniform growth of all modes, but more like bubble production (even though there are no instantons in this model). A simple physical interpretation of the inhomogeneous fragmentation of the field \( \phi \) is based on the fact that the interaction \(-\lambda \nu \phi^3\) corresponds to attraction between the fluctuation modes. As a result, the seed inhomogeneities (the peaks of the initial random distribution) will be amplified due to the nonlinear interaction of the fluctuations. A well known example of this type of instability is gravitational instability of matter in the universe.

To study the growth of fluctuations in a more detailed way, one may use the stochastic approach to tunneling and bubble formation developed in [19]. The main idea of this approach can be explained as follows. Tunneling can be represented as a result of the accumulation of quantum fluctuations whose amplitude greatly exceeds their usual value determined by the uncertainty principle. This happens when the long wavelength quantum fluctuations responsible for the tunneling correspond to bosonic excitations with large occupation numbers. In such cases one can treat these fluctuations as classical fields experiencing Brownian motion due to their interaction with the short wavelength quantum fluctuations.

Suppose that the large fluctuations of the scalar field responsible for reheating in the model (27) initially look like spherically symmetric bubbles (which is the case if the probability of such fluctuations is strongly suppressed, see above). The equation of motion for a bubble of a scalar field \( \phi(r) \) in Minkowski space is

\[
\ddot{\phi} = \phi'' + 2\phi' r^{-1} - V'(\phi). 
\]  

(30)

Here \( r \) is a distance from the center of the bubble and \( \phi' = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \). At the moment of its formation, the bubble wall does not move, \( \dot{\phi} = 0, \ddot{\phi} = 0 \) (critical bubble). Then it gradually starts growing, \( \ddot{\phi} > 0 \), which requires that

\[
|\phi'' + 2\phi' r^{-1}| < -V'(\phi). 
\]  

(31)

A bubble of a classical field is formed only if it contains a sufficiently large field \( \phi \), and if the bubble itself is sufficiently large. If the size of the bubble is too small, the gradient terms are greater than the term \( |V'(\phi)| \), and the field \( \phi \) inside the bubble does not grow.

At small \( r \) the shape of the bubble can be approximated by \( \phi = \phi(0) - \alpha r^2 / 2 \). In this approximation, the bubble has a typical size \( r_0 \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\phi(0)}{\alpha}} \), and \( \phi' r^{-1} = \phi'' = -\alpha \). Therefore at the moment of the bubble formation, when \( \ddot{\phi} = 0 \), one has
\[ \phi'' = V'(\phi(0))/3 . \] (32)

Replacing \( \phi'' \) by \( k_0^2 \phi(0) \) one finds that the bubble can be considered a result of overlapping of quantum fluctuations with typical momenta \( k \lesssim k_0 \sim r_0^{-1} \), where

\[ k_0^2 = C^2 \frac{V'(\phi(0))}{3\phi(0)} . \] (33)

Here \( C = O(1) \) is some numerical factor reflecting uncertainty in our estimate of \( k_0 \).

Let us estimate the probability of an event when vacuum fluctuations occasionally build up a configuration of the field satisfying this condition. In order to do it one should remember that the dispersion of quantum fluctuations of the field \( \phi \) with \( k < k_0 \) is given by \( \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \sim \frac{k_0^2}{8\pi^2} \).

This gives

\[ \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle_{k < k_0} \sim \frac{k_0^2}{8\pi^2} = C^2 \frac{V'(\phi(0))}{24\pi^2 \phi(0)} . \] (34)

This is an estimate of the dispersion of perturbations that may sum up to produce a bubble of the field \( \phi \) that satisfies the condition (31). Of course, this estimate is rather crude. But let us nevertheless use eq. (34) to evaluate the probability that these fluctuations build up a bubble of a radius \( r \gtrsim k_0^{-1} \) containing the field \( \phi \) at its center. Assuming, in the first approximation, that the probability distribution is gaussian, one finds:

\[ P(\phi) \sim \exp \left( -\frac{\phi^2}{2\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle_{k < k_0}} \right) = \exp \left( -\frac{12\pi^2 \phi^3}{C^2 V'(\phi)} \right) . \] (35)

Let us first apply this result to the theory \(-\lambda \phi^4/4\). In this case one finds

\[ P(\phi) \sim \exp \left( -\frac{12\pi^2}{C^2 \lambda} \right) . \] (36)

Note that the factor in the exponent in (36) to within a factor of \( C \approx 2 \) coincides with the Euclidean action \( S_E \) in eq. (24). Taking into account the very rough method we used to estimate \( k_0 \) and calculate the dispersion of the perturbations responsible for tunneling, the coincidence is rather impressive. It was shown in [22,19] that this approach gives exactly the same answer as the Euclidean approach for the case of tunneling during inflation when \( V'' \ll H^2 \).

Most importantly, this method allows us to investigate tunneling and the development of instability in the theories where instanton solutions do not exist [19]. In particular, for tunneling in the theory \(-\lambda v \phi^3/3\) one finds

\[ P(\phi) \sim (\lambda v \phi)^2 \exp \left( -\frac{12\pi^2 \phi}{C^2 \lambda v} \right) . \] (37)

We included here the subexponential factor \( O(k_0^4) \sim (\lambda v \phi)^2 \), which is necessary to describe the probability of tunneling per unit time per unit volume.

This means that tunneling is not suppressed for \( \phi \sim \frac{C^2 \lambda v}{12\pi^2} \). This result is in agreement with our previous estimate (28). Now let us take into account that the total time of the...
development of instability is a sum of the time of tunneling plus the time necessary for rolling of the field down. One can show that the time of rolling down is inversely proportional to $m(\phi) \sim \sqrt{\lambda v \phi}$, i.e. it decreases at large $\phi$. Also, the subexponential factor $(\lambda v \phi)^2$ grows at large $\phi$, which makes tunneling to large $\phi$ faster. Consequently, as we already discussed above, the main contribution to the development of instability is given by the fluctuations with $\phi \gtrsim \frac{C^2 \lambda v}{12 \pi^2}$. Exponential suppression of the probability of such fluctuations leads to their approximate spherical symmetry.

The results of our lattice simulations for this model are shown in Fig. 12. In this model bubbles form quickly enough (unlike in the model $-\lambda \phi^4$), so we were able to start with quantum fluctuations centered at $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$ and allow the bubbles to form. The bubbles (high peaks of the field distribution) grow, change shape, and interact with each other, rapidly dissipating the vacuum energy $V(0)$.
FIG. 12. Field values on a 2D slice through the lattice for $V = -\frac{1}{3} v \phi^3 + \frac{1}{4} \phi^4$ (27). The growth of quantum fluctuations of $\phi$ looks like bubble formation. Remarkably, the bubbles expand and collide even before the average field value reaches the minimum. Preheating occurs due to a combined effect of bubble production, tachyonic instability and bubble wall collisions. This figure should be compared with Fig. 4 for the theory $V = -\frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \phi^4$ (1).
Figure 13 shows the probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$ in the model (27). As we see, in this model the field distribution also rapidly relaxes near the minimum of the effective potential within a single oscillation. In this case the histogram in the beginning looks pretty chaotic because of bubble formation and bubble wall collisions, which we could see in the previous figure.

FIG. 13. Histograms describing the process of symmetry breaking in the model (27) for $\lambda = 10^{-2}$. After reaching the minimum of the effective potential, the distribution acquires the form shown in the last frame and practically does not oscillate. The last histogram corresponds to the last frame in Fig. 12.

One should note that the numerical investigation of this model involved specific complications due to the necessity of performing renormalization. Lattice simulations involve the study of modes with large momenta that are limited by the inverse lattice spacing. These modes give an additional contribution to the effective parameters of the model. In the limit of zero lattice spacing these corrections would become infinite, but they are regularized by the lattice cutoff. In our simulations of the simple model (1) these corrections gave a contribution to the effective mass of the field $\phi$ that was much smaller than $m$ and therefore did not affect our results. Meanwhile, in the cubic model similar corrections induce a (fictitious) linear term $\lambda \nu \langle \phi^2 \rangle$. This term should be subtracted by the proper renormalization procedure, which brings the effective potential back to its form (27). See the appendix for more...
details. This was the first time in our simulations when a careful treatment of high frequency modes was necessary. A similar situation may occur in any theory where \( V''(0) = 0 \), such as the theory \(-\lambda \phi^4\) discussed in the previous section.

Figure 14 shows the occupation numbers of produced particles in the model (27) with \( \lambda = 10^{-2} \). These occupation numbers grow up to \( 10^4 - 10^5 \) within a single oscillation, which is in good agreement with our estimate (28).

FIG. 14. Occupation numbers of particles produced during tachyonic preheating in the model (27) with \( \lambda = 10^{-2} \).

IX. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied the dynamics of spontaneous symmetry breaking, which occurs when a scalar field falls down from the top of its effective potential. We have found, in agreement with [1], that the main part of this process typically completes within a single oscillation of the distribution of the scalar field. This is a very unexpected conclusion that may have important cosmological implications.

One of the most efficient mechanisms for the creation of matter after inflation in theories with convex effective potentials \( (V''(\phi) > 0) \) is the mechanism of parametric amplification of vacuum fluctuations in the process of homogeneous oscillations of the inflaton field, which was called preheating [4]. It has also been noted that in the case where potentials become concave \( (V''(\phi) < 0) \), preheating may become more efficient [31]. Now we see that this effect is very generic. In many theories with concave potentials the energy of an unstable vacuum state is transferred to the energy of inhomogeneous classical waves of scalar fields within a single oscillation of the field distribution. We emphasize here that we are talking about the oscillations of the field distribution rather than about the oscillations of a homogeneous field \( \phi \) because quite often the homogeneous component \( \langle \phi \rangle \) of the field \( \phi \) remains zero during the process of spontaneous symmetry breaking.
One of the important consequences of our results is the observation \([1]\) that in many models of hybrid inflation \([32]\) the first stage of reheating occurs not due to homogeneous oscillations of the scalar field but due to tachyonic preheating \([4]\). A detailed discussion of this effect will be contained in \([9]\).

The process of preheating and symmetry breaking may take an especially unusual form in the theory of brane inflation \([33,34]\) based on the hybrid inflation scenario and the mechanism of tachyon condensation on the brane antibrane system \([35]\).

The situation in models of the type used in the new inflation scenario is somewhat more complicated. In these models the potential is also concave. However, the expansion of the universe stretches inhomogeneities of the field rolling down from the top of the effective potential and makes it homogeneous on an exponentially large scale. Therefore to evaluate a possible significance of tachyonic instability in this regime one must compare the amplitude of the homogeneous component of the field with the amplitude of the quantum fluctuations. The result appears to be very sensitive to the scale of spontaneous symmetry breaking in such models. A preliminary investigation of this issue indicates that in small-field models where the scale of spontaneous symmetry breaking is much smaller than \(M_\text{pl}\), the leading mechanism of preheating typically is tachyonic. If correct, this would be a very interesting conclusion indicating that in large-field models the leading mechanism of preheating typically is related to parametric resonance, whereas in small-field models the main mechanism of preheating is typically tachyonic, at least at the first stages of the process. We will return to the discussion of this issue in a coming publication \([8]\).

Finally we should mention that an interesting application of our methods can be found in the recently proposed ekpyrotic and pyrotechnic scenario \([36,37]\). Even though we are very skeptical with respect to the ekpyrotic/pyrotechnic scenario for many reasons explained in \([37]\), it is still interesting that the methods developed in the theory of tachyonic preheating provide us with a very simple theory of the generation of density perturbations in these models \([37]\).
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**APPENDIX A: THE LATTICE CALCULATIONS**

1. Overview

The lattice calculations reported on in this article were all done using the program LATTICEEASY, developed by Gary Felder and Igor Tkachev. The program records the value of the fields and derivatives at each point on a spatial grid with evenly spaced points. The fields are then evolved using their classical equations of motion

\[ \ddot{\phi} - \nabla^2 \phi + V' = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

The use of the classical equations is justified because the instability discussed rapidly drives the fields to a state with exponentially large occupation numbers, meaning they effectively
act as classical fields \[10]. Although LATTICEEASY is designed to (optionally) include the effects of cosmological expansion on field evolution all of the simulations reported here were done in a flat spacetime background. The effects of expansion will be discussed in subsequent publications (\[8,9\]).

Time evolution is done with a staggered leapfrog algorithm using a fixed time step. The initial conditions for the fields and derivatives are set in momentum space and then Fourier transformed to give the initial spatial distribution. The initial values of the modes are given by quantum fluctuations. Each mode has a random phase and a Gaussian random amplitude with expectation value

\[
\langle |\phi_k|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2k^2}.
\]

The exception to this is the Coleman-Weinberg potential for which the initial conditions were set by the instanton configuration described in the text. Note that ordinarily the equations for quantum fluctuations would have \(\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}\) in the place of \(k\) in the formula above. For the models discussed here, however, we were simulating a quench in which the effective squared mass of the fields is presumed to have rapidly become negative. Thus we used initial conditions corresponding to massless fluctuations. For some of the runs here we imposed a momentum cutoff, setting \(\phi_k = 0\) for all modes above a certain momentum \(k\). Such cutoffs eliminated unphysical effects from quantum fluctuations that were not excited to large values. In each such case we also ran without the cutoff and found the results to be qualitatively similar except for the addition of high frequency noise in the field distribution.

The plots shown in this paper show either field values (which are self-explanatory), probability distribution functions (PDF), or occupation number spectra. The PDF of a field is obtained by dividing the field values on the grid into evenly spaced bins and simply counting the number of gridpoints in which the field value was in each bin. The occupation number is defined by Fourier transforming the field and computing for each mode by Eq. (6), where \(m^2 \equiv V''\), \(\omega_k \equiv \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}\) for \(m^2 > 0\). For \(m^2 < 0\) one can use either \(\omega_k \equiv |k|\) or \(\omega_k \equiv \sqrt{k^2 + |m^2|}\). All plots shown in this paper except Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 use \(\omega_k = |k|\) when \(m^2 < 0\) but the results were qualitatively similar using either definition. The occupation number \(n_k\) is given by averaging over a spherical shell in Fourier space. This definition coincides with the standard one in the end of the process, where the mass squared becomes positive and topological defects disappear.

The full details of these lattice calculations can be found in the documentation available on the LATTICEEASY website at [http://physics.stanford.edu/latticeeasy](http://physics.stanford.edu/latticeeasy). Moreover, these calculations have been discussed in previous publications of ours (e.g. \[10,11\]). This is our first publication where we discuss simulations that used renormalization, however, so we will discuss this procedure in the next section. The last section of the appendix lists the parameters used for each of the runs illustrated in the paper.

### 2. Renormalization

As we have discussed, the justification for doing a classical calculation for quantum fields is that once the field fluctuations are amplified sufficiently quantum effects are negligible.
There are some cases, however, when these quantum effects may be important, and in such cases they may be (partially) accounted for through a simple form of renormalization.

Consider how this applies to the lattice calculations discussed here. Initially the field fluctuations are only those representing quantum vacuum states. These fluctuations affect couplings, masses, and the total energy of the system in a way that is dependent on the lattice spacing. For example, consider the theory

\[ V = \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^4 - \frac{1}{3} \lambda v \phi^3 + \frac{1}{12} \lambda v^4 \]  

and rewrite the field \( \phi(x, t) \) as the sum of a homogeneous component \( \phi(t) \) and fluctuations \( \delta \phi \). The effective potential felt by the homogeneous field \( \phi \) will receive a correction (among others) from the fluctuations equal to

\[ \delta V \approx -\lambda v \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \phi. \]  

(The 1/3 is cancelled by a coefficient arising from combinatorics.) This correction represents an unphysical effect in the sense that its strength depends on the ultraviolet cutoff imposed by the lattice. In the limit of zero lattice spacing where arbitrarily large momenta would be included on the lattice this correction would become infinite. This would add an unphysical term \( C \phi \) to the effective potential. This effect can be eliminated, however, by adding a counterterm

\[ \Delta V = \lambda v \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \phi \]  

or equivalently by adding the term

\[ \lambda v \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \]  

(A6)

to the equation of motion for \( \phi \). Note that \( \langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \) in this case refers to the value that arises from initial quantum fluctuations, not to a dynamic quantity that changes as the field evolves and fluctuations grow. Such changes represent physical effects and should not be eliminated. In effect this correction eliminates the linear term in the potential at \( \phi = 0 \) when the field is in the vacuum state.

The above example illustrates how a simple form of renormalization can be implemented on the lattice. This procedure could in principle be used to renormalize any mass, coupling constant, or energy term in the theory. Ordinarily these corrections are not important (unless one uses a very large value of the momentum cutoff) because the quantum effects are quickly swamped as the fluctuations become amplified. We did not find it necessary to use renormalization for any models except the cubic one, where we used it as described here to prevent the field from artificially rolling away from \( \phi = 0 \) due to the induced linear term.

3. List of Parameters

In this section we list the parameters used for the lattice simulations from which all of the figures in the paper were drawn. The models discussed in the paper will be referred to here simply as Quadratic (model [1]), Complex (model [1] with \( \phi^2 \) replaced by \( |\phi|^2 \)), Quartic...
(model [8]), and Cubic (model [27]). The parameters $N$, $L$, $dt$, and $k_{\text{cut}}$ refer to the number of gridpoints, the width of the box, the time step, and the initial momentum cutoff respectively. Lengths and times are measured in units of $\sqrt{\lambda v}$. The coupling constant $\lambda$ is also given for each run, as well as any information specific to the particular plot. All runs are assumed to be three dimensional unless otherwise indicated.

**Figures 3, 4, and 6** Quadratic model, $N = 256^3$, $L = 100$, $dt = .1$, $k_{\text{cut}} = 1$, $\lambda = 10^{-4}$. The run shown in Fig. 3 started with a homogeneous displacement $\langle \phi \rangle = .1v$. The PDF’s in figure 3 and 4 use 256 bins.

**Figure 5** Quadratic model, Two dimensional simulation with $N = 1024^2$, $L = 1600$, $dt = .1$, $k_{\text{cut}} = 0$, $\lambda = 10^{-2}$. The slices shown are plots of 128$^2$ points where each point represents an average over an $8 \times 8$ box of gridpoints. This averaging was done simply to make plotting easier and reduce the resulting image sizes.

**Figures 7 and 8** Complex model, $N = 256^3$, $L = 600$, $dt = .2$, $\lambda = 10^{-4}$. The momentum cutoff was $k_{\text{cut}} = 2$ and $k_{\text{cut}} = 0$ for Figs. 7 and 8 respectively. The PDF’s in Fig. 7 used 80$\times$80 bins for the 2D field space. The spectra shown in Fig. 8 are for the real component $\text{Re}(\phi)$. The spectra for $\text{Im}(\phi)$ look identical.

**Figure 9** Complex model, $N = 128^3$, $L = 40$, $dt = .01$, $k_{\text{cut}} = \sqrt{2}$, $\lambda = 10^{-4}$. A string was defined as the collection of points on the lattice for which $|\phi|^2 < .02$.

**Figures 10 and 11** Quartic model, $N = 256^3$, $L = 500$, $dt = .1$, $k_{\text{cut}} = 0$, $\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-5}$. Both runs used as initial conditions the instanton solution [26] at $t = 0$ with $\phi_0 = .02v$. The run shown in Fig. 10 did not include additional quantum fluctuations, while the run shown in Fig. 11 did. Both figures show a partial 2D slice through the lattice, cut so as to show the interior of the bubble.

**Figures 12, 13, and 14** Cubic model, $N = 256^3$, $L = 200$, $dt = .25$, $\lambda = 10^{-2}$. The momentum cutoff $k_{\text{cut}}$ was .6 for Figs. 12 and 13 and 0 for figure 14. The PDF’s in Fig. 13 used 256 bins.
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