Do tapered tracheostomy cuffs improve translaryngeal gas flow when compared to barrel cuffed fenestrated tubes: A laboratory study
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Abstract

Objective: The benefits of tracheostomy are well documented and include improved comfort and a reduction in sedative requirements that may facilitate more rapid ventilation weaning. A stable airway established with tracheostomy allows pulmonary toilet that may help in addressing aspiration. It is postulated that it may also increase transflectory airflow and allow phonation. We hypothesized that taper-shaped cuffed tracheostomy tubes have less bulk upon cuff deflation, and on this basis, gas flow past the deflated tapered cuff is better than non-tapered barrel cuffs and equal to gas flow in equivalent-sized fenestrated versions.

Methods: This comparative bench study measured exhaled gas flow of Shiley™ Flexible taper-cuffed tracheostomy and Fenestrated Shiley™ FEN tubes of equivalent sizes. Three sizes of Shiley™ tracheostomy tubes were used in printed 3D model tracheas, Jackson sizes 4, 6, and 10 (6.5, 7.5, and 10 mm ISO sizes). A standard ventilator provided tidal volumes to mechanical lungs. Because expiratory volume was the focus, the mechanical lungs exhaled through the model trachea and only the air exiting the model trachea, representing exhalation, was measured.

Results: Across three sizes, the Shiley™ Flexible tracheostomy tube allowed significantly more translaryngeal airflow compared to the tracheostomy tube with fenestrations.

Conclusion: This bench study showed significantly improved air flow past the cuff compared to fenestrated tubes. Improved airflow may help the phonation ability of patients. Clinical studies are required to elucidate use of this cuff design to allow phonation in patients with a tracheostomy.

Level of evidence: NA.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The indications for tracheostomy vary depending upon the clinical scenario. In the context of otolaryngology, one indication is laryngeal obstruction whether due to tumors, trauma, infection, or stenosis. Tracheostomy procedures performed in the intensive care unit are more likely to be required because of prolonged ventilation and the need for ongoing airway toilet. Placement of tracheostomies in this context will be more comfortable for patients, allow rapid weaning of sedation, and shorten the duration of mechanical ventilation.

Lighter levels of sedation and improved comfort can improve the patient’s ability to communicate. Translaryngeal airflow is possible with...
cuff deflation. While this is the ideal situation, oversized tracheostomies may lead to intrinsic end-expiratory pressure due to breath-stacking, and render speech impossible. Even where the tracheostomy size is ideal, speech may be difficult due to breath-stacking. Long-term lack of translaryngeal airflow may lead to atrophy of the upper airway muscles, difficulties in swallowing, and longer times to decannulation.8–10 Therefore, the correct size of tracheostomy and an emphasis on translaryngeal airflow around the deflated tracheostomy cuff may improve outcomes.

Previous evidence has suggested that reducing fluid leakage around the cuff and into the lungs may reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia. For example, one benchtop model demonstrated that intermittent or continuous suction above the inflated cuff of the Portex Blue Line Ultra Suctionaid tracheotomy tube significantly reduced the mean volume of saliva that passed the cuff.11 Similar results were observed using the Voyager tracheotomy tube, which contains a subglottic suction port (Medtronic, Boulder, USA).12 The 4-h porcine study included suctioning every 15 min, and resulted in no secretions detected below the cuff.12 Furthermore, Ledgerwood et al reported that patients who receive suction-above-the-cuff tracheotomy tubes had a significantly lower incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia.13 This may be due to a reduction in the quantity of normal flora and pathogens in the subglottic space in the presence of a tracheotomy tube with above-the-cuff suction capabilities.14

A tracheostomy tube (Shiley™ Flexible Tracheostomy Tube, Medtronic, Boulder, USA) was developed with a tapered shaped cuff that may offer advantages in terms of incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia compared to barrel shaped cuffs lacking above-the-cuff suctioning capabilities. Tracheostomy tubes with tapered cuffs were introduced for their potential benefits in reducing microaspiration. For example, one ex vivo model found that cuff sealing performance was significantly better in endotracheal tubes with tapered cuffs, compared to spherical cuffs. This included significantly lower microaspiration volumes when using a taper-shaped cuff.15 In addition, when deflated, the tapered cuff rests tighter to the tracheostomy outer cannula, reducing bulk when compared to the older barrel shaped cuffs.

Fenestrated tracheostomy tubes with cuffs are used in patients requiring positive pressure ventilation in an attempt to promote phonation. There are several problems with secretion accumulation and ingrowth of tissue in the fenestrations. Therefore, we hypothesized that the gas flow around the less bulky deflated taper shaped cuff would be equal to the gas flow through fenestrations. A bench study was performed to test this hypothesis.

### METHODS

Three sizes of fenestrated and flexible tracheostomy tubes were used (Jackson 4/ISO 6.5 mm, Jackson 6/ISO 7.5 mm, and Jackson 10/ISO 10 mm) without an inner cannula. These sizes were selected as a representative sample of tube sizes, encompassing the smallest tube (Jackson 4), the most commonly used tube (Jackson 6), and the largest tube (Jackson 10). Since many patients in the weaning phase of ventilation need a cuff to maintain positive pressure ventilation intermittently, we compared cuffed fenestrated and flexible tracheostomy tubes but not uncuffed fenestrated tubes that are employed for long-term use. Three different 3D model tracheas were printed, each specifically sized to represent the trachea of a patient that would be expected to use each size of the tracheostomy tubes (18.5 mm ID for the size 4/6.5 mm tubes, 21.3 mm ID for the size 6/7.5 mm tubes and 23.2 mm ID for the 10/10.0 mm tubes). A standard ventilator (Puritan Bennett™ 840, Medtronic, Carlsbad, USA) was utilized to provide tidal volumes to the mechanical lungs.

Since expiratory volume was the focus of the study, the mechanical lungs exhaled through the model trachea where the tracheostomy tube was placed (Figure 1). The tracheostomy tubes were uncapped, and the cuffs on both types of tracheostomy tubes were deflated, hence allowing air to escape through the fenestrations, if applicable, and around the tubes (Figure 2). We measured only the air exiting the model trachea, representing a normal exhalation (PTS 2000, Medtronic, Carlsbad, USA) and captured the data on BreathLab (Medtronic, Carlsbad, USA). Three different tidal volumes (Table 1) based on the size of tracheostomy tube were used for a total of 375 breaths per tracheostomy tube tested. As a laboratory study not involving patients nor animals, ethics approval was not required for this study.

### RESULTS

In all sizes tested, the flexible tracheostomy tube allowed significantly more air flow around the cuff compared to the air flow through the

# TABLE 1  Volume controlled ventilation settings

| Size         | 4 (6.5 mm ISO) | 6 (7.5 mm ISO) | 10 (10 mm ISO) |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Tidal volume | 175            | 500            | 800            |
| Respiratory  | 15             | 15             | 15             |
| Peak flow    | 4.2            | 24             | 35             |
| Plateau s    | 0.5            | 1.5            | 1.5            |

# TABLE 2  Results of translaryngeal airflow around the Shiley™ Flexible and Shiley™ Fenestrated tracheostomy tubes

| Tracheostomy tube size | 4 (6.5 mm ISO) | 6 (7.5 mm ISO) | 10 (10 mm ISO) |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Tracheostomy tube style| Flexible       | Flexible       | Flexible       |
| Mean air flow (L/min)  | 1.59           | 1.41           | 4.26           |
| Standard deviation     | 0.03           | 0.07           | 0.04           |
| p-Value                | <.00001        | <.0004         | <.0001         |
fenestrated tracheostomy tube (Table 2). For example, the size 4 flexible tracheostomy tube had mean air flow of 1.59 ± 0.03 L/min, whereas the size 4 fenestrated tracheostomy tube had mean air flow of 1.41 ± 0.07 L/min (p < .00001). Similarly, the size 6 flexible tracheostomy tube had significantly higher mean air flow than the same sized fenestrated tracheostomy tube (4.26 ± 0.04 vs 3.8 ± 0.26 L/min, p < .0004). The largest difference between paired tracheostomy tubes was observed between the size 10 flexible and fenestrated tracheostomy tubes, which had mean air flow 4.49 ± 0.20 vs 3.28 ± 0.25 L/min, respectively (p < .00001).

4 | DISCUSSION

Phonation can often improve quality of life (QoL) for tracheostomized patients and can be accomplished with a variety of devices.16,17 A fenestrated tracheostomy tube can be used to help facilitate speech and has proven valuable in certain situations.2 However, complications such as granulation tissue formation around the site of fenestration, subcutaneous edema, tracheal stenosis, and tracheomalacia have limited their use.18–20 Additional challenges with fenestrated tubes include the ability to suction and perform adequate airway toilet since fenestrations may collect mucous that may be hard to remove. The suction catheter can also get caught in the fenestrations, hence a non-fenestrated inner cannula is recommended during suctioning.20

In this study, we tested a tracheostomy tube with a taper-shaped cuff. The taper-shaped cuff has been shown to protect against fluid leaks up to 96% more efficiently compared to barrel shaped cuffs.21 The surface of the tapered shaped cuff contacting the tracheal wall is smaller compared to that of the barrel shaped cuff. As a result of less contact area with the taper-shaped cuff, the force affecting the tracheal wall is lower by 18.9% overall.22

The results of this study may be applicable in the clinical setting. The Taperguard cuff design presents less bulk compared to the traditional barrel shaped cuff, since the barrel shaped cuff has a resting contact area with the taper shaped cuff, the force affecting the tracheal wall is lower by 18.9% overall.22

5 | CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this bench study demonstrated significantly increased translaryngeal airflow with the Taperguard cuff when compared with equivalent sized fenestrated barrel cuffed tubes. Further clinical studies are required to fully elucidate the use of this new cuff design to allow phonation in tracheostomized patients.
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