Three wise centromere functions: see no error, hear no break, speak no delay
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The main function of the centromere is to promote kinetochore assembly for spindle microtubule attachment. Two additional functions of the centromere, however, are becoming increasingly clear: facilitation of robust sister-chromatid cohesion at pericentromeres and advancement of replication of centromeric regions. The combination of these three centromere functions ensures correct chromosome segregation during mitosis. Here, we review the mechanisms of the kinetochore–microtubule interaction, focusing on sister-kinetochore bi-orientation (or chromosome bi-orientation). We also discuss the biological importance of robust pericentromeric cohesion and early centromere replication, as well as the mechanisms orchestrating these two functions at the microtubule attachment site.
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Introduction
The centromere is a specialized chromosome site that has essential roles in chromosome segregation. To maintain genetic integrity, eukaryotic cells must segregate their chromosomes properly to opposition spindle poles before cell division, and the centromere is crucial to this process. It promotes the assembly of the multiprotein complex called the kinetochore that provides the major attachment site for spindle microtubules. This kinetochore–microtubule interaction powers the motion of chromosomes towards spindle poles to accomplish chromosome segregation in anaphase. This is, undoubtedly, the main function of the centromere. However, it is not the sole function; there are at least two additional features of the centromere that ensure high-fidelity chromosome segregation. In this Review, we discuss these three roles and compare them to the proverbial three wise monkeys, who are three mystic apes, known as Mizaru, Kikazaru and Iwazaru in Japanese (see no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil in English; Fig 1A).

Similarly to the three wise monkeys, the centromere has three ‘wise’ functions, all involved in ensuring correct chromosome segregation, which we interpret as see no error, hear no break and speak no delay.

Pericentromeres—the chromosomal regions around the centromeres—are associated with robust sister-chromatid cohesion (Fig 1B; [1,2]), which facilitates the attachment of sister kinetochores to microtubules from opposite spindle poles, a status known as sister-kinetochore bi-orientation or chromosome bi-orientation [3]. Sister-kinetochore bi-orientation is at the heart of the chromosome segregation mechanism and must be established before the onset of anaphase. Second, centromeric regions, which include core centromeres and pericentromeres, are replicated early during the S phase in many organisms, including several yeast species (Fig 1B). The early replication of centromeric DNA seems to be crucial for timely kinetochore assembly and microtubule attachment, at least in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae [4]. Thus, in our analogy of the three wise monkeys, the centromere coordinates proper microtubule attachment (see no error), robust sister-chromatid cohesion (hear no break) and early S-phase replication (speak no delay) at the same chromosome site (Fig 1B).

The centromere in budding yeast is known as a point centromere because a small DNA region of about 130bp suffices for its function [5,6]. Due to its small size, the centromere can be easily transferred to a new chromosome site and, remarkably, all the centromere features mentioned above are re-established [7–9]. This feature indicates that the centromere is sufficient to direct all these functions.

In this article, we focus on recent discoveries in two model eukaryotic organisms, the budding yeast S. cerevisiae and the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe, and extend our arguments to metazoan cells. For simplicity, we centre our discussion on mitosis and only briefly mention meiosis. Comprehensive reviews of chromosome segregation in meiosis are available [10,11].

Centromeres promote interaction with spindle microtubules
The main role of the centromere is to promote the assembly of the kinetochore, which attaches the chromosome to spindle microtubules [12–15]. At the start of mitosis (prometaphase), the kinetochore interacts initially with the lateral surface of a single microtubule [16,17]. As the microtubule shrinks, its plus end eventually reaches the kinetochore, which is then tethered at the microtubule end—end-on attachment. Subsequently, its sister kinetochore establishes an end-on attachment with microtubules extending from the opposite spindle
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Error correction relies on the development of tension across sister kinetochores; when an aberrant attachment is made and no tension is applied, the kinetochore–microtubule attachment remains weak, and the connection is dissolved and reformed—that is, turned over. \[3,22\]. If bi-orientation is established and tension is applied, turnover no longer occurs and kinetochore–microtubule attachment is stabilized. Thus, the error-correction mechanism removes aberrant attachments and promotes bi-orientation. A key regulator of this mechanism is Aurora B kinase, known as Ipl1 in budding yeast (Table 1; \[3,23\]), which forms the chromosome-passenger complex (CPC) together with INCENP, Survivin and Borealin (also called Dasra-B) \[24\]. The CPC was so named because it localizes at the inner centromere until anaphase onset, but then re-localizes to the central spindle during anaphase. Budding yeast Aurora B kinase promotes the turnover of kinetochore–microtubule attachment when there is no tension, for example on syntelic attachment, in which both sister kinetochores attach to microtubules from the same spindle pole (Fig 2; \[25,26\]). Consistent with this finding, aberrant kinetochore–microtubule attachments accumulate in mammalian cells when the Aurora B kinase is defective \[27,28\]. The function of Aurora B is dependent on its phosphorylation of several kinetochore components that form the kinetochore–microtubule interface \[23\].

How, then, is kinetochore–microtubule attachment stabilized once bi-orientation is established and tension is applied (Fig 2)? In budding yeast, sister kinetochores were suggested to be pulled in opposite directions on establishment of bi-orientation, moving kinetochores away from Aurora B-localizing sites \[25\]. This leads to the dephosphorylation of kinetochore components, which stabilizes the kinetochore–microtubule attachment, and is known as the Aurora B spatial separation model. This model has been supported by the properties of INCENP mutants and by the Aurora B localization pattern in budding yeast \[25,29,30\]. Further strengthening of this model also comes from results in budding yeast and mammalian cells, as Aurora-B-dependent phosphorylation of kinetochore components is reduced when tension is applied \[31–33\]. In addition, ectopic targeting of Aurora B to the outer kinetochores destabilizes kinetochore–microtubule attachment during metaphase in mammalian cells \[32\]. Relevant to this model, when the kinetochore becomes less phosphorylated, it recruits more protein phosphatase 1, thus establishing a positive feedback loop that promotes kinetochore dephosphorylation \[34\]. The Aurora B spatial separation model also explains why the CPC, which contains Aurora B, re-localizes to the spindle mid-zone during anaphase \[24\]. If this were not the case, Aurora B would localize with kinetochores again during anaphase, when tension is substantially reduced, which would once more destabilize kinetochore–microtubule attachment \[35–37\].

The Aurora B spatial separation model, however, has been challenged by the findings of two recent studies in budding yeast. In the first study, kinetochores were purified from yeast cells and their microtubule attachment was studied \textit{in vitro} \[38,39\]. Optical tweezers were used to apply varying amounts of tension on the attachment. Intriguingly, although Aurora B was absent from the purified kinetochores, kinetochore–microtubule interaction became more stable with increasing tension \[38\]. This finding raises the possibility that tension stabilizes kinetochore–microtubule interaction independently of Aurora B spatial separation from kinetochores. The kinetochore detachment from a microtubule observed in this system was accompanied by microtubule depolymerization, the rate of which was enhanced when tension was reduced \[38,39\]. Whether depolymerization happens during error correction \textit{in vivo} will be crucial to determine. In any case, tension could stabilize...
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Table 1 | Orthologues of proteins in yeasts and humans

| DNA replication | Sister-chromatid cohesion | Cohesin | Cohesin loader | Kinetochore/centromere | Chromosome passenger complex | Cell-cycle regulation | APC/C | Chromatin regulation |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------|
| DNA replication |                         |         |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Budding yeast   | Fission yeast             | Human   |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| DDK             |                          |         |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Cdc7            | Hsk1                      | Cdc7    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Dbf4            | Dfp1/Him1/Rad35           | Dbf4/Ask, Drf1 |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Sld3            | Sld3                      | Treslin |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Sld7            | N.F.                      | N.F.    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Cdc45           | Cdc45/Sna41/Goa1          | Cdc45   |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Mcm2–7          | Mcm5/Cdc46/Bob1           | Mcm5    | Mcm5/Nda4     | Mcm5                  |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Scc1/Mcd1       | Rad21                     | Scc1/Rad21 |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Rec8            | Rec8                      | Rec8    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Scc3/Irrl       | Psc3                      | SA1, SA2 |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Smc1            | Psm1                      | Smc1A, Smc1B |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Smc3            | Psm3                      | Smc3    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Scc2            | Mis4                      | Nipbl   |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Scc4            | Ssl3                      | KIAA0892/Mau2 |              |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Eco1/Ctf7       | Eso1                      | Eso1, Eso2 |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Rad61/Wpl1      | Wpl1                      | Wapl    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| N.E.            | N.E.                      | Sororin/CdcA5 |              |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Cdc5            | Plo1                      | Plk1    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Cse4            | Cnp1                      | CENP-A  |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Ctf19 complex/COMA | Sim4 complex             | CCAN   |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Ctf3            | Mis6                      | CENP-1  |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Chl4            | Mis15                     | CENP-N  |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Sgo1            | Sgo1, Sgo2               | Shugoshin |              |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| PP1             | PP1                       | PP1     |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| PP2A            | PP2A                      | PP2A    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Ip1             | Ark1                      | Aurora B |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Sli15           | Pic1                      | INCENP  |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Bir1            | Bir1/Cut17               | Survivin |              |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Nb1             | Nb1                       | Borealin/Dasra-B |             |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Cell-cycle regulation |                 |         |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| APC/C           |                          |         |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Cdh1            | Ste9                      | Cdh1    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| N.F.            | Clr4                      | Suv39h1 |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| N.F.            | Swi6                      | HP1     |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Rpd3            | Clr6                      | HDAC1/2 |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Fkh1, Fkh2     | Fh11, Fh12               | Fox     |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |
| Rif1            | Rif1                      | Rif1    |               |                       |                            |                        |       |                     |

The following names are also used in the text as generic names across organisms: Scc1, Scc3, Smc1, Smc3, Eco1, Wapl, CENP-A, Shugoshin, Aurora B, INCENP and Survivin. N.F., no orthologues found or annotated in this organism.
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Sidebar A | In need of answers
(i) How is the kinetochore–microtubule interaction stabilized when sister-kinetochore bi-orientation is established?
(ii) How does robust pericentromeric cohesion promote sister-kinetochore bi-orientation?
(iii) What is the advantage of early DNA replication of centromeric regions?
(iv) How evolutionarily conserved is the centromere function of advancing the DNA replication timing of centromeric regions?
(v) How does DDK promote pericentromeric cohesion and advance DNA replication timing in budding and fission yeast?
(vi) How have DDK and Shugoshin each acquired common functions in different contexts during evolution (in pericentromeric cohesion and replication timing)?

is established and tension is applied is still a matter of debate (Sidebar A). Aurora B spatial separation from the kinetochore has been a popular explanation. However, more intrinsic properties of kinetochore–microtubule interaction might also be involved, and whether Aurora B localization at the centromere is essential for bi-orientation remains unclear, at least in budding yeast.

Centromeres facilitate robust pericentromeric cohesion
Sister-chromatid cohesion relies on cohesins Scc1 (also called Mcd1 or Rad21; Table 1), Scc3, Smc1 and Smc3, which form a tetrameric, ring-shaped complex that embraces the sister chromatids [41,42]. Cohesins are loaded onto chromatin in telophase in fission yeast and mammalian cells, and in G1 phase in budding yeast. This process is facilitated by the cohesin loader complex—Scc2–Scc4 in budding yeast—before sister chromatids are linked during DNA replication. The establishment of this linkage is coupled with Smc3 acetylation, catalysed by the Eco1 acetylase (also known as Ctf7) during S phase, which counteracts the activity of Wapl (also called Wpl1 and Rad61), which facilitates cohesin dissociation from chromosomes [43–46]. In budding yeast, cohesins are distributed along chromosome arms at distinct sites, preferentially in intergenic regions between convergent genes, but show particularly high enrichment in the 20–50 kb surrounding centromeres [8,47–50]. As discussed below, the cohesins enriched at pericentromeric regions are crucial to the establishment of sister-kinetochore bi-orientation and, therefore, to ensure proper chromosome segregation.

How are cohesins enriched in the region around centromeres in budding yeast? The yeast point centromere has consensus sequences CDEI, CDEII and CDEIII that span only 130 bp, and is called a point centromere [5,6]. Pioneering studies that used minichromosomes and centromere translocation on a chromosome have demonstrated that the point centromere is necessary and sufficient for both recruitment of a high density of cohesin to pericentromeric regions and the resulting robust cohesion [8,51,52]. What feature(s) of the point centromere allows the recruitment of cohesins? The centromere promotes kinetochore assembly and distinct kinetochore components seem to have important roles in this process [8,53]. In fact, the Ctf19 kinetochore complex (also called COMA) is important for the recruitment of the Scc2–Scc4 complex to the centromere, which in turn promotes cohesin enrichment at pericentromeric regions [54–56].

A recent study identified an effector of this process in budding yeast: the Ctf19 complex recruits the Dbf4–Cdc7 kinase (Dbf4-dependent kinase; DDK) to the kinetochore during telophase to early G1 phase (Fig 3; [57]). Intriguingly, the majority of Dbf4 is targeted for degradation by the APC/C—with adaptor Cdh1—during this
The mechanisms of pericentromeric heterochromatin formation in fission yeast have been reviewed [73–75]. Briefly, small RNAs transcribed from pericentromeric regions (known as outer repeats) are processed by the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway, which brings the methyltransferase Clr4 to this region and promotes methylation of histone H3 at Lys 9 (H3K9m). H3K9m is recognized and bound by Swi6, which organizes heterochromatin. Heterochromatin is self-sustaining because H3K9m further activates the RNAi pathway. Notably, fission yeast pericentromeric heterochromatin facilitates cohesin accumulation, and the interaction between Swi6 with Psc3 (an orthologue of budding yeast Scc3) has an important role in this context [76–78]. A high density of cohesins at pericentromeres leads to robust sister-chromatid cohesion. In vertebrate cells, it is still unclear whether RNAi is involved in heterochromatin formation, but relevant RNA-mediated chromatin modifications have been suggested [74].

Interestingly, fission yeast DDK is recruited to heterochromatin by Swi6 and has an important role in cohesin enrichment and robust cohesion (Fig 5) [79]. By contrast, budding yeast has no Swi6 orthologue or canonical heterochromatin. Rather DDK is recruited by kinetochore components [57], as discussed above. Notably, loading of Scc2 and cohesins to chromosomes in Xenopus egg extracts also requires DDK [80] and the pre-replicative complex [81,82], which therefore seems to be a process active at replication origins rather than at centromeric regions. In summary, the roles of DDK in cohesin recruitment seem to be conserved among organisms, but DDK recruitment to chromosomes occurs in different contexts: in a kinetochore-dependent manner in budding yeast, to heterochromatin in fission yeast, and in a pre-replicative complex-dependent manner in Xenopus. In budding and fission yeast, DDK recruitment to the kinetochore and pericentromeric heterochromatin leads to cohesin enrichment at pericentromeres. Intriguingly, in both yeast species, DDK is also involved in advancing replication timing at centromeric regions, which is the focus of the next section.

In vertebrate cells, as in fission yeast, HP1 (an orthologue of fission yeast Swi6) binds to H3K9m, leading to heterochromatin formation [83]. In contrast to fission yeast, however, there is no evidence that the H3K9m–HP1 pathway and DDK are involved in cohesin enrichment at pericentromeric heterochromatin [84,85]. Yet, in vertebrate cells, cohesins are removed from chromosome arms in prophase [86,87] and must be protected at centromeric regions in the transition from prophase to metaphase. Cohesin removal from chromosome arms is dependent on phosphorylation of SA1 and SA2
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such as syntelic attachment, might be avoided by reliance on the correction [3,99]. Aberrant kinetochore–microtubule attachment, alleviates such bi-orientation defects [56].

Robust sister-chromatid cohesion at pericentromeres is crucial for high-fidelity chromosome segregation in organisms from yeast to humans. For example, insertion of ectopic sequences into pericentromeres reduces the levels of cohesins, leading to frequent chromosome loss in budding yeast [54]. Several pieces of evidence suggest that pericentromeric cohesion facilitates sister-kinetochore bi-orientation, which is essential for chromosome segregation and must be established before anaphase onset. For example, if Scc1 is depleted in budding yeast, both sister centromeres often attach to microtubules from the same pole and, therefore, bi-orientation is discouraged by kinetochore geometry but could also be excluded through error correction [56].

Sister-kinetochore bi-orientation could be achieved by two kinds of mechanism: kinetochore geometry and tension-dependent error correction [3,99]. Aberrant kinetochore–microtubule attachment, such as syntelic attachment, might be avoided by reliance on the back-to-back geometry of sister kinetochores. When one kinetochore attaches to a microtubule, constraints in its geometry make its sister kinetochore face the opposite direction, which allows attachment only to a microtubule from the opposite pole. However, once an aberrant attachment is made, kinetochore geometry cannot correct it. Therefore, a second, error-correction mechanism is necessary. This error correction relies on differential stability of the kinetochore–microtubule interaction in the presence and absence of tension across sister kinetochores. Both kinetochore geometry and tension-dependent error correction could be facilitated by sister-chromatid cohesion at centromeric regions [8]. For example, sister-kinetochore geometry could be organized by robust cohesion at pericentromeres rather than at core centromeres, as found in fission yeast [100]. There is also evidence that kinetochore geometry is present in budding yeast [101], in which the point centromere is looped out from the pericentromere [71,102], and this configuration might contribute to kinetochore geometry. In addition, error correction would require centromeric cohesion. In budding yeast, tension across the two centromeres is sufficient for efficient bi-orientation of a non-replicated circular minichromosome carrying two centromeres [26]. This ability suggests that, in the context of authentic chromosomes, tension across sister centromeres should suffice for bi-orientation through error correction without the need to invoke kinetochore geometry. Such tension would be dependent on cohesion-dependent sister-chromatid cohesion at centromeric regions.

In budding yeast, only a single microtubule attaches to each kinetochore [103], whereas, in fission yeast and metazoan cells, there are multiple microtubules per kinetochore. In the latter case, an additional type of error is possible—that is, a single kinetochore could attach to microtubules from both spindle poles, which is called merotelic attachment. Such merotelic attachments could be discouraged by kinetochore geometry but could also be excluded through error correction [104]. Cohesion at centromeric regions could be important for both prevention and correction of merotelic attachments. Indeed, when cohesion is weakened at centromeric regions, merotelic attachment is formed frequently in fission yeast [76,105,106] and in mammalian cells [107,108].

In summary, eukaryotic cells accumulate cohesins at centromeric regions to establish robust sister-chromatid cohesion. Budding yeast, fission yeast and vertebrates use different mechanisms (orthologues of S. cerevisiae Scc3), which is catalysed by Aurora B and Plk1 kinases, and is known as the prophase pathway. Shugoshin has a key role in protecting centromeric cohesins from the prophase pathway [88] by recruitment of phosphatase 2A (PP2A), which reverses SA1/SA2 phosphorylation [89,90]. Cohesin removal from chromosome arms is also facilitated by Wapl in prophase [91,92]. This is triggered by phosphorylation of Sororin, which abrogates its Wapl-counteacting function [93]. At centromeres, however, Sororin is dephosphorylated by Shugoshin–PP2A, and this contributes to protection of cohesins from prophase to metaphase [94,95].

Shugoshins are also found at the centromere during mitosis in budding and fission yeast, but here their role is to protect cohesins but rather to achieve high-fidelity sister-kinetochore bi-orientation, probably by assistance of Aurora B function [96]. Nevertheless, during meiosis I, Shugoshin does protect cohesins at the centromere in yeast and vertebrates by recruitment of PP2A to the centromere [89,97,98], similarly to what happens in vertebrate mitosis. However, in meiosis I, Shugoshin–PP2A targets and protects Rec8 (meiotic parologue of Scc1) from separase-dependent cleavage, rather than protecting Scc3 from the prophase pathway, as occurs in vertebrate mitosis. Thus, the role of Shugoshin–PP2A in protecting cohesins at centromeric regions is conserved in evolution, although the mechanism is different in the contexts of mitosis and meiosis.

Robust sister-chromatid cohesion at pericentromeres is crucial for high-fidelity chromosome segregation in organisms from yeast to humans. For example, insertion of ectopic sequences into pericentromeres reduces the levels of cohesins, leading to frequent chromosome loss in budding yeast [54]. Several pieces of evidence suggest that pericentromeric cohesion facilitates sister-kinetochore bi-orientation, which is essential for chromosome segregation and must be established before anaphase onset. For example, if Scc1 is depleted in budding yeast, both sister centromeres often attach to microtubules from the same pole and, therefore, bi-orientation fails [66]. In addition, a specific reduction of pericentromeric cohesin leads to frequent failure in bi-orientation, and rescue of cohesion alleviates such bi-orientation defects [56].

Sister-kinetochore bi-orientation could be achieved by two kinds of mechanism: kinetochore geometry and tension-dependent error correction [3,99]. Aberrant kinetochore–microtubule attachment, such as syntelic attachment, might be avoided by reliance on the
to accumulate or protect cohesins at centromeric regions. Nevertheless, DDK and Shugoshin–PP2A have some common roles in these organisms, albeit in different contexts. Understanding how the roles of these factors have developed during evolution will be interesting (Sidebar A). In all these organisms, robust cohesion at centromeric regions is important to proper chromosome segregation during the subsequent anaphase.

The centromere advances its replication timing

In eukaryotic cells, the duplication of chromosomal DNA is a temporally regulated process and, crucially, the replication timing of a chromosome region is linked closely to its biological functions (see below). How, then, is DNA replication regulated temporally? DNA replication is initiated from multiple replication origins on a chromosome, in a process often termed origin firing. Although initiation of replication is a stochastic process at each origin, its average timing is under temporal regulation—that is, some origins tend to fire early and others late during S phase [109,110]. The mechanisms of such temporal regulation in budding yeast, fission yeast and mammalian cells have been reviewed [111,112]. For example, the roles of the histone deacetylase Rpd3 [113,114], forkhead box transcription factors Fkh1 and Fkh2 [115] and the telomere-binding protein Rif1 [116–118] in the programme of genome-wide replication timing have been identified. Intriguingly, the timing of initiation of replication is set at each origin in telophase to early G1 phase in S. cerevisiae and mammalian cells [119,120]. For example, in the early G1 phase of budding yeast, some early-replicating but not late-replicating origins are loaded with DDK, Sld3–Sld7 and Cdc45, all of which are required for replication initiation at licensed origins—origins with pre-replicative complex—in the subsequent S phase [121–126]. Importantly, DNA replication at centromeric regions is under distinct temporal regulation. Indeed, centromeric regions are replicated early in the S phase on all chromosomes of S. cerevisiae and other Saccharomyces species [127–129]. Centromeric regions in other yeast species, such as Candida albicans and S. pombe, and those in the protozoan parasite Trypanosoma brucei are also replicated early in the S phase [130–132]. Thus, replication of centromeric regions early in the S phase is a conserved feature in many yeast and protozoan species. Notably, the formation of a neocentromere in C. albicans advances the replication timing of its chromosomal site [131], which suggests that the presence of a centromere per se changes the timing of replication. Consistent with this finding, the centromere of S. cerevisiae is sufficient to advance the initiation of replication in its neighbouring replication origins. Indeed, when the point centromere is transferred to another chromosome locus, a late-S-phase firing replication origin close to the new centromere site becomes an early-S-phase firing origin [9]. S. pombe pericentromeric replication origins are embedded within heterochromatin, which generally replicates in the late S phase in metazoan cells [133]. However, S. pombe pericentromeric origins show early-S-phase replication despite their location [130,131].

To advance the replication timing of the centromeric region, in budding yeast, DDK is recruited to the kinetochore by the Ctf19 kinetochore complex in telophase to early G1 phase [57]. In turn the association of the Sld3–Sld7 complex—and probably other replication initiation proteins—with licensed replication origins within 15–20 kb from the centromeres is facilitated, which leads to firing of these origins in the early S phase (Fig 3). Indeed, if DDK is removed from the kinetochore, but not from replication origins on chromosome arms, replication is delayed at the centromeric region and not along the chromosome arms (Fig 6; [57]). Although the effect of DDK in advancing pericentromeric origin firing requires its kinase activity, the DDK substrates for this effect are unknown. However, DDK phosphorylates several subunits of the Mcm2–7 complex—a replicative helicase core and pre-replicative component—at each origin, and these are major substrates of DDK in the initiation of replication [134]. One possibility is that DDK at kinetochores advances replication initiation timing at pericentromeric origins by phosphorylating the same Mcm2–7 subunits at the same sites before the S phase [135].

A previous study showed that the Ctfl9 complex–DDK pathway, discussed above, is used for robust pericentromeric cohesion [57]. Although the replication timing and cohesion functions of DDK at the kinetochore both require

**Fig 6** | Profile of replication timing of a budding yeast chromosome. DDK at kinetochores advances replication timing of centromeric regions in budding yeast [57]. The graph shows the profile of replication timing of chromosome XVI. The profile was obtained from high-throughput DNA sequence reads [129] in S phase of wild-type (black dots and line), Dbf4-myc (blue) and ctf19Δ (red) cells. In Dbf4-myc and ctf19Δ cells, the amount of DDK at kinetochores is reduced. Adapted from Natsume T et al (2013) Mol Cell 56: 661–674 [57].
its kinase activity, they are independent of each other. That is, the situations in which one function is lost and the other still effective can be engineered [57]. This independence suggests that the two functions rely on phosphorylation of different DDK substrates. Intriguingly, fission yeast DDK is also recruited to centromeric regions to facilitate robust cohesion and to advance replication timing (Fig 5; [79,135]). Nonetheless, the mechanism for DDK recruitment is different in budding yeast and fission yeast, because in fission yeast the histone H3 variant Cse4 binds to and recruits DDK to pericentromeric regions [79,135].

In metazoan cells, although pericentromeric heterochromatin replicates late in the S phase [133], the timing of replication of the core centromere is a topic of debate. For example, one report suggested that Drosophila cells show early-S-phase replication at core centromeres that are associated with a centromere-specific histone H3 variant CENP-A [136], but another study concluded that the core centromere replicates during the mid-to-late S phase in this organism [137]. In mouse cells, the core centromere replicates earlier than the surrounding heterochromatin [138] and, consistently, when neocentromeres are formed in human cells, the CENP-A-binding core centromere replicates earlier than surrounding sequences [139]. Core centromeres, however, do not show this property when neocentromeres are formed in chicken DT40 cells [140]. Thus, in metazoan cells, the situation might differ depending on the organism and context.

Are there any advantages to replicating the centromere early in S phase? We suggest four possibilities. First, early-S-phase centromere replication has been proposed to be important for centromere identity, especially for the deposition of CENP-A, which is an epigenetic marker of the centromere [141–143]. This theory is based on the centromere replication early in S phase in Drosophila and C. albicans [131,136], but remains controversial as a report has suggested that the core centromere replicates during mid-to-late S phase in Drosophila [137]. Moreover, the timing of centromere replication is unlikely to influence CENP-A deposition in human cells, which seems to occur in the G1 phase rather than during S phase [144]. Second, early-S-phase centromere replication could allow an early assembly of the kinetochore, providing more time to establish correct kinetochore–microtubule interactions. This possibility has been proposed for budding yeast and, indeed, a delay in centromere replication in this organism increases the importance of the spindle assembly checkpoint for high-fidelity chromosome transmission [57]. This possibility might be pertinent in organisms, such as budding yeast, in which kinetochore assembly and microtubule attachment occur soon after centromere DNA replication [4]. Third, centromere replication early in S phase might be important for robust sister-chromatid cohesion when cellular growth is slowed. The turnover of cohesins is fast on chromosomes until they become engaged in sister-chromatid cohesion [145,146]. Thus, after cohesins are loaded on chromosomes in the late G1 phase, they might be lost from chromosomes if replication—and therefore cohesins’ engagement in cohesion—does not happen quickly. This would explain why a common regulator, such as DDK, coordinates cohesin recruitment and early-S-phase replication at pericentromeres in budding and fission yeast [57,79,135]. Fourth, the repair of DNA damage might be more efficient in early- than in late-replicating regions [147]. For example, early replication correlates with a low rate of genetic mutations [147,148]. The centromere could be susceptible to DNA damage owing to a replication barrier—because of the presence of the kinetochore—or tension—generated by microtubule attachment—and, therefore, would require efficient DNA repair. Such repair could help to maintain the consensus sequence of a point centromere (in budding yeast) or the repetitive DNA sequence of a ‘regional’ centromere (in fission yeast and metazoan cells). These four possibilities are not mutually exclusive. Further studies will be necessary to test them.

In summary, in many yeast and protozoan species, the centromere DNA replicates early in the S phase. In budding and fission yeast, this regulation relies on DDK recruitment to the kinetochore and pericentromeric heterochromatin, respectively, which advances the initiation of replication at pericentromeric origins. At least in some metazoan cells, the core centromere replicates earlier than surrounding pericentromeric heterochromatin. However, the advantages of early-S-phase centromere replication are still a topic of debate (Sidebar A). At least in budding yeast, it is probably important for timely kinetochore assembly and for the efficient establishment of correct kinetochore–microtubule interactions.

Conclusions and perspectives

The main function of the centromere is to promote kinetochore assembly for microtubule attachment. This attachment provides the major force that drives chromosome segregation and, therefore, must be established efficiently and correctly. For example, kinetochores need to establish the initial microtubule interaction efficiently in early mitosis, and subsequent sister-kinetochore bi-orientation must be correctly formed before chromosome segregation. However, kinetochore assembly is not the only centromere function. Centromeres also facilitate robust sister-chromatid cohesion at pericentromeres and promote early-S-phase replication of the centromeric regions. These two functions seem to help the main centromere function. Indeed, pericentromeric cohesion facilitates sister-kinetochore bi-orientation in yeast and metazoan cells. In addition, centromere replication early in S phase might allow timely kinetochore assembly for efficient microtubule interaction, at least in budding yeast. The exact mechanism for robust pericentromeric cohesion that promotes bi-orientation and the advantages of early centromere replication in various organisms remain to be addressed (Sidebar A). The evolutionary conservation of these centromere functions will be an important area of future research (Sidebar A).

Intriguingly, in budding and fission yeast, the two additional centromere functions are facilitated by a common regulator DDK, but are regulated independently of each other. To understand the molecular mechanisms involved, it will be crucial to identify the targets of DDK phosphorylation that are important in mediating these processes (Sidebar A). To determine the conservation of the DDK-dependent mechanisms in metazoan cells will also be interesting. Three wise functions of the centromere contribute greatly to correct chromosome segregation and we should attempt to understand in more detail how the centromere orchestrates all three functions at the same chromosome site.
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