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Background: In controlled ovarian hyperstimulation protocols worldwide, depot gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRH-a) pretreatment is generally used for pituitary desensitization. The delay between the GnRH-a administration and starting gonadotropin treatment varies greatly, from 25 to 60 days. However, the association between exposure days to GnRH-a before the onset of gonadotropin administration and the clinical outcomes remains unknown.

Material/Methods: This retrospective study included 7007 patients who underwent fresh embryo transfers between February 2016 and July 2019. The duration of pituitary downregulation was categorized into 3 groups: group 1, ≤30 days; group 2, 31-35 days; and group 3, ≥36 days. The rates of live birth were compared as the main outcome measure. Logistic regression analysis was also performed after controlling for a range of confounders.

Results: The number of patients in groups 1, 2, and 3 was 2001, 2824, and 2182, respectively. Group 3 (≥36 days) had a noticeably higher live birth rate (48.1%) than the other 2 groups (42.6% and 43.9%, P=0.001). The rate of live birth was remarkably enhanced in group 3 (adjusted odds ratio: 1.264, 95% confidence interval: 1.098, 1.455, P=0.001) after controlling for confounders, while the difference was not found in group 2 (P=0.512) compared with group 1.

Conclusions: In the depot GnRH-a protocol, live birth rates are higher among patients needing a longer time to achieve the goal of pituitary downregulation.
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Background

Among the various controlled ovarian hyperstimulation protocols worldwide, the depot gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRH-a) protocol is recognized as safe and effective for pituitary desensitization [1]. In the depot GnRH-a protocol consisting of the injection of 1 dose of long-acting GnRH-a analogues on day 2 of the menses, patients are scheduled to report back to the reproductive center for confirmation of sufficient desensitization within 25-60 days. The benefits of using long-acting GnRH-a have been well documented and include the prevention of a premature luteinizing hormone surge and a larger number of oocytes [2]. Particularly in uncontrolled conditions such as an epidemic period, the use of long-acting GnRH-a is theoretically less likely to require scheduling embryo transfers on weekends or having too many patients on a particular day. The depot GnRH-a regimen is welcomed both by patients and physicians because it simplifies pharmacological treatment and allows flexibility in timing the start of gonadotropin (Gn).

Many investigators have considered appropriate dosage of the long-acting depot GnRH-a [3-5], the difference between the depot GnRH-a protocol (single injection) and the ultralong GnRH-a protocol (3 injections) [6], and the effects of other exogenous agents that might potentially impair reproduction [7,8]. However, the duration of exposure to GnRH-a before ovarian stimulation varies greatly even in patients receiving the same standard depot GnRH-a protocol. In a previous study we showed that a prolonged pituitary downregulation protocol was effective in improving the outcomes of in vitro fertilization (IVF) treatment, resulting in the exposure days to GnRH-a ranging from 25 to 60 days before the stimulation phase [9]. However, the effect of different durations of desensitization on clinical outcomes is not fully understood.

This retrospective study compared the laboratory and pregnancy outcomes according to the delay between GnRH-a administration and the start of Gn.

Material and Methods

Study Population

This retrospective cohort study of IVF and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment using GnRH-a was conducted at Women’s Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, China from February 2016 to July 2019. The inclusion criteria were the following: (1) patients undergoing a first stimulation cycle; (2) cycles with the depot GnRH-a protocol; and (3) patients undergoing fresh embryo transfers. The exclusion criteria were: (1) patients undergoing a first stimulation cycle; (2) cycles with the depot GnRH-a protocol; and (3) patients without retrieved oocytes; and (4) patients with all embryos frozen. The study was carried out according to the Institutional Review Committee at Zhejiang University, China and in adherence to STROBE guidelines [10]. Written informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Stimulation Protocols

The depot GnRH-a protocol was the same as previously described. Briefly, 3.75 mg of GnRH-a was administered on day 7 of menses (9). Women would have an appointment at the IVF department 25-60 days later for confirmation of downregulation. Then ovarian stimulation would be started by daily injection of recombinant human follicle-stimulating hormone (75-300 IU). IVF or ICSI was used to achieve fertilization on day 3, after which 1 or 2 embryos were transferred.

Standard of Downregulation and Definition of Groups

The confirmation of successful downregulation was evaluated by follicle diameter (≤5 mm), serum estradiol concentration (≤50 pg/mL), luteinizing hormone level (≤5 IU/L), and endometrial thickness (≤5 mm) [9]. After 25-60 days of pituitary downregulation, Gn was injected. The duration of pituitary downregulation was classified into 3 groups: group 1, ≤30 days; group 2, 31-35 days; and group 3, ≥36 days.

Clinical Outcomes Assessment

Live birth rates were calculated and compared among the 3 groups as the primary outcome measure. The secondary outcomes included the rates of clinical pregnancy, implantation, and miscarriage.

Statistical Analysis

In the present study, categorical variables were summarized as number (n) with percentage and quantitative data as mean±standard deviation (SD). To assess demographics, Pearson’s chi-squared and analysis of variance were carried out for analysis of categorical and continuous data, respectively. Frequency distribution was categorized according to percentiles of the duration of exposure to GnRH-a. Confounding variables were adjusted in the logistic regression model to explore whether exposure time to GnRH-a before the start of Gn was associated with the outcome of live birth. Significance was defined as P<0.05. Statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS 23.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

A total of 7007 cycles of patients who received a single dose of depot GnRH-a were included. Figure 1 shows the distribution...
of the delay between the GnRH-a administration and the start of Gn. The number of exposure days in the majority of patients (90%) was between 28 and 42 days. Division of the cycles according to the duration of downregulation yielded 2001 cycles in group 1 (≤30 days), 2824 cycles in group 2 (31-35 days), and 2182 cycles in group 3 (³36 days).

**Basic Characteristics**

As presented in Table 1, the average age of women in the 3 groups was 30.2±3.7 years (mean±SD), 30.0±3.7 years, and 30.1±3.1 years, respectively, P=0.076. Women with a longer downregulation period had higher levels of follicle-stimulating hormone, P=0.000. No significant differences were found for years of infertility, anti-Müllerian hormone levels, body mass index, antral follicle count (AFC), or the infertility types among the 3 groups.

**Ovarian Stimulation Characteristics**

**Table 2** describes the procedures for ovarian stimulation. Women with a longer exposure time to GnRH-a had significantly higher Gn dosage, estradiol level, progesterone level, number of retrieved oocytes, variable blastocysts, and 2 visualized pronuclei. The 3 groups had comparable results for serum luteinizing hormone level, endometrial thickness, and type of treatment.

**Pregnancy Outcomes**

The rate of live birth was 48.1% (1049/2182) in group 3, which was remarkably higher than in groups 1 and 2 (42.6% and 43.9%, respectively, P=0.001) (Table 3), while groups 1 and 2 did not differ markedly in live birth rates. The 3 groups had miscarriage rates of 32.1%, 26.8%, and 20.6%, respectively, but

---

**Figure 1.** Distribution of patients by exposure days to gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRH-a) before the onset of gonadotropin (Gn) treatment. Mean (±SD) and median days were 33.7 (±4.5) and 33.0, respectively.

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of the patients.

| Exposure days to GnRH-a before ovarian stimulation | ≤30 | 31-35 | ≥36 | P   |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| Number of patients                               | 2001| 2824  | 2182|     |
| Medians (ranges)                                 | 29 (26, 30) | 33 (31, 35) | 37 (36, 60) |     |
| Age (years)                                      | 30.2±3.7 | 30.0±3.7 | 30.1±3.1 | 0.076|
| Years of infertility                             | 3.5±2.3 | 3.4±2.3 | 3.4±2.2 | 0.243|
| AMH (ng/ml)                                      | 6.6±4.2 | 6.5±4.1 | 6.6±4.1 | 0.976|
| FSH (mIU/ml)                                     | 7.0±1.8 | 7.2±1.7 | 7.3±1.7 | 0.000|
| AFC                                              | 16.3±6.3 | 16.1±6.3 | 15.9±5.8 | 0.121|
| BMI                                              | 22.3±2.6 | 22.2±3.1 | 22.1±2.5 | 0.058|
| Primary infertility                              | 1363 (68.1%) | 1963 (69.5%) | 1558 (71.4%) | 0.067|

Data are expressed as mean±SD or number (percentage). AMH – anti-Mullerian hormone; FSH – follicle stimulating hormone; AFC – antral follicle count; BMI – body mass index.
no significant differences were detected in the clinical pregnancy rates and implantation rates between groups.

**Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis**

Logistic regression analysis was also conducted. Table 4 shows that in patients undergoing the depot GnRH-a protocol, the independent factors correlated with pregnancy rate were the duration of pituitary downregulation, maternal age, AFC, the number of embryos transferred, and endometrial thickness (all P<0.05). The live birth rate was markedly enhanced in group 3 (adjusted odds ratio: 1.264, 95% confidence interval: 1.098, 1.455, P=0.001), while the difference was not found in group 2 (P=0.512).

**Discussion**

The depot GnRH-a protocol offers the advantages of better compliance, convenience, and lower cost for patients, as well as less stress regarding injections and risk of infection [4,11]. In particular, the protocol allows flexibility in the timing of Gn administration to start ovarian stimulation, ranging from 25 to 60 days after administration of a single depot dose of GnRH-a. This flexibility enables cycle commencement to better accommodate clinics and patients. However, the evidence on the effect of exposure time to GnRH-a on the live birth is insufficient. The present retrospective study indicates that IVF/ICSI cycles using the depot GnRH-a protocol result in higher live birth rates compared to shorter exposure times.
Table 4. Logistic regression analysis of the live birth.

| Exposure days to GnRH-a | OR    | 95% CI          | Wald χ² | P      |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|--------|
| ≤30                     |       | Reference       |         |        |
| 31-35                   | 1.043 | (0.919, 1.185)  | 0.430   | 0.512  |
| ≥36                     | 1.264 | (1.098, 1.455)  | 10.640  | 0.001  |
| Age (years)             | 0.956 | (0.941, 0.972)  | 29.360  | 0.000  |
| Years of infertility    | 0.993 | (0.969, 1.017)  | 0.336   | 0.562  |
| FSH                     | 0.995 | (0.964, 1.027)  | 0.089   | 0.766  |
| AFC                     | 1.023 | (1.014, 1.033)  | 24.271  | 0.000  |
| BMI                     |       |                 |         |        |
| Primary                 | 0.993 | (0.974, 1.012)  | 0.536   | 0.464  |
| Secondary               | 0.935 | (0.830, 1.054)  | 1.215   | 0.270  |
| Gn time                 |       |                 |         |        |
| 1 embryo transferred    | 1.028 | (1.000, 1.056)  | 3.936   | 0.057  |
| 2 embryos transferred   | 0.474 | (0.423, 0.530)  | 166.560 | 0.000  |
| Endometrial thickness   | 1.015 | (1.001, 1.030)  | 4.408   | 0.036  |

FSH – follicle stimulating hormone; AFC – antral follicle count; BMI – body mass index; Gn – Gonadotrophin.

Birth rates among patients who need a longer period of time to achieve the goal of pituitary downregulation.

The depot GnRH-a pretreatment has been widely used in assisted reproduction for decades [12]. Two studies of 378 cycles and 273 cycles clarified that prolonged use of GnRH-a might increase the live birth rates compared with the regular GnRH-a long protocol, but exposure days to GnRH-a were not reported [13,14]. Compared with the conventional GnRH-a protocol, in which 0.1 mg of GnRH-a is administered daily, 3.75 mg of a long-acting GnRH-a resulted in longer exposure time to GnRH-a before the onset of Gn treatment [5,9]. The results of our study demonstrated that hormone suppression time with GnRH-a ranged from 26 to 60 days, and for the majority of patients (90%), the was between 28 and 42 days (Figure 1). Approximately 5% of the population had more than 42 days of exposure to GnRH-a. These results suggested that the duration of exposure to GnRH-a varied greatly among different populations.

The association between exposure days to GnRH-a and clinical outcomes remains unknown. A study of 86 patients found no difference in clinical outcomes between a long (15-24 days) and a very long (25-40 days) protocol of GnRH-a administration for ICSI [15]. More recently, an investigation of 506 cycles reported similar live birth rates between women receiving 14 days of downregulation and 7-28 additional days [16]. These studies showed that the rate of live births might not benefit from prolonging GnRH-a, which accorded with the findings of our study. In our results, group 1 (≤30 days) and group 2 (31-35 days) did not differ significantly in the rate of live birth. Interestingly, group 3 showed statistical significance for ≥36 days, which was not reported in previous studies.

To analyze the effect of different exposure days to GnRH-a on live birth rates, we categorized the duration of pituitary downregulation into 3 groups: group 1, ≤30 days; group 2, 31-35 days; and group 3, ≥36 days. Our data showed that the live birth rate was 48.1% in group 3, which was significantly higher than in the other 2 groups (Table 3). Baseline characteristics of patients were similar between groups (Table 1), which minimized selection bias in this study. It is noteworthy that exposure days to GnRH-a was not significantly influenced by parameters of ovarian aging such as maternal age and AFC. These results suggest that when predicting the ultrasound day for patients undergoing the depot GnRH-a protocol, age and AFC might not be key considerations.

Extensive research has explored the potential independent factors for successful assisted reproduction [17-21]. Similarly, the logistic regression analysis in present study demonstrated that the independent factors were age, AFC, the number of embryos transferred, and endometrial thickness. In line with this, we observed that the duration of pituitary downregulation was another contributing factor correlated with the live birth rate (adjusted odds ratio: 1.264, 95% confidence interval: 1.098, 1.455, P=0.001) (Table 4), which was consistent with the results of live birth reported in Table 3. Our study also provided
evidence that long luteinizing hormone suppression maintained even 36-60 days after a full-dose depot GnRH-a injection could still obtain a relatively high number of pregnancies. A recent study on molecular mechanisms verified that endometrial receptivity might be improved by alteration of certain endometrial markers resulting from long-acting GnRH-a [14].

However, more than 60 days of GnRH-a exposure before IVF might have limited benefits on the rate of pregnancy [22,23]. GnRH-a was shown to cause extrapituitary adverse effects such as inhibition of ovarian steroidogenesis [24], disrupted differentiation of granulosa cells [25], and changes in GnRH receptor representation [26]. Such effects would offer an explanation for the difference in outcomes in our study compared with previous studies. Our research has some limitations. First, data on the live birth rate among patients with longer exposure to GnRH-a (>60 days) was not obtained, which might limit the wide clinical application of our findings. Second, this study was retrospective and observational in design. The conclusion that a longer duration of GnRH-a pretreatment leads to higher live birth rates cannot be drawn.

Conclusions

In IVF/ICSI cycles of the depot GnRH-a protocol, live birth rates were higher among patients who needed a longer time to achieve the goal of pituitary downregulation.
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