Laryngocele: Diagnostic to Treatment
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Introduction

Laryngocele is a hernia or dilatation of the saccule of the laryngeal appendage [1-4]. It was first described in 1829 by Larrey Napoleon’s surgeon during the Egyptian campaign among the muezzins calling the faithful from the top of the minarets [2,3]. In 1967 Virchow introduced the term laryngocele [2,3]. It represents a 1 case for 2.5 million inhabitants [2]. Its etiopathogenesis is unknown, the congenital and acquired hypothesis are admitted [1,5]. Laryngocele can be asymptomatic and incidental discovery. Its clinical spectrum may be unobtrusive or may be marked by signs of compression and/or laryngeal obstruction: cough, dyspnea, dysphonia, or expansive laterocervical swelling in the Valsalva maneuver [1,6]. It enters a clinical confrontation with the masses of the cervical region. The pharyngolaryngeal CT scan allows removing the diagnostic doubt. It can be supported by resonance imaging and cervical ultrasound [1]. The treatment of choice is surgery externally with thyrotomy and excision of the laryngocele pocket [1]. With the advent of the miniinvasive pathway by endoscopic treatment the care has been revolutionized in terms of evolution in decreasing of the postoperative morbidity. We reported two cases of laryngocele by discussing the antipathogenic, diagnostic and therapeutic aspect and a review of the literature [7,8].

Observation

Case report

Case report 1

Patient aged 30 days admitted for mass under the angle of the left mandible Figure 1 and a hoarseness of the voice appeared to 15 days of the birth. These symptoms have been associated with stage 2 inspirational dyspnea, according to Chevalier Jackson and Pineau. Prenatal surveillance was unremarkable and eutocic delivery occurred. The patient was afebrile. The mass was painless, mobile, non-expansive with cough and not depressible on palpation. It measured about 7cm in its large diameter. Nasofibroscopy found a swelling of the left ventricular band with mass effect on the ipsilateral vocal cord. The pharyngolaryngeal...
computed tomography showed a circumscribed mass of aerial density, sitting at the level of the paraglottic region extending to the region from the angle of the left mandible. The anatomopathological result of the various operative specimens concluded that a pseudotirified epithelium ciliated with goblet cells without signs of malignancy. The diagnosis of left mixed laryngocele was established. The surgical treatment could not be performed because refused by the parents and the patient benefited from recurrent traditional exsufflation punctures of the mass.

Case report 2

Patient 35 years old housewife admitted for mass under the angle of the left mandible found since birth. The mass has evolved in an intermittent mode with an increase in volume since about 1 year, associated with permanent dysphonia. No antecedents were noted. The mass measured about 10cm, was expansive to the Valsalva maneuver Figure 2, depressible and hinting a 'Bryce' sound. Nasofibroscopy revealed tumefaction of the left ventricular band. The pharyngolaryngeal computed tomography showed a circumscribed mass of air density, sitting at the level of the paraglottic region extending to the region from the angle of the left mandible Figure 3. A ”J” cervical approach of Paul Andre was performed with a dissection from the laryngocele pocket to the thyrohyodial membrane Figure 4. After locating and dissecting the superior laryngeal pedicle we proceeded to ligation and section of the laryngocele at its inlet without lateral thyrotomy. The outcome was simple with release of the patient on postoperative day 2. Anatomo-pathological examination of the operative specimen Figure 5 found a respiratory-type mucosa with a pseudostratified epithelium with hair cells and goblet cells without signs of malignancy Table 1.
Table 1: Aspect demographic-diagnostic and treatment of patients.

| Authors / Year | Number of Cases | Age (Year) | Risk Factors | Functional Signs | Characteristics of Swelling | Lanrypeocol Type | Treatment |
|----------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|               |                 |            |              | Dyspnea          | Dysphonia                   | Expansible      | Bryce     | Bilateral | Unilateral |
| Kara et al. [1] | 1               | 70         | no risk factor | Yes             | Yes                        | Yes             | -         | External | external surgery |
| Swain KS et al. [2] | 6               | 45-70      | (3) professional voice | No             | Yes                        | Yes             | -         | External | external surgery |
| Gupta SC et al. [3] | 1               | 35         | -            | No               | No                         | Yes             | Yes       | -         | External | external surgery |
| Oukessou Y et al. [4] | 1               | 65         | Construction worker | Yes             | Yes                        | No              | Yes       | -         | External | external surgery |
| Zaghkou E et al. [5] | 1               | 46         | Carcinome laryngée | No             | No                         | No              | No        | -         | Internal | external surgery |
| Felix JA et al. [6] | 1               | 45         | no risk factor  | Yes             | Yes                        | No              | -         | -         | External | external surgery |
| Aslaner MA [13] | 1               | 28         | no risk factor  | No               | No                         | Yes             | -         | -         | -         | External | external surgery |
| Mohamed I. T et al. [14] | 1           | 17         | no risk factor  | No               | No                         | No              | No        | -         | External | external surgery |
| Kishore CP et al. [7] | 5               | 16-78      | (2) manual worker | No             | Yes                        | Yes             | Yes       | External | No treatment and external surgery |
| Lam SY Lau HY [8] | 1               | 75         | BPCO          | Yes             | No                         | Yes             | -         | -         | -         | Mixte | Endoscopie laser CO2 |
| Mobashir MK et al. [9] | 11              | 36-64      | -            | No               | Yes                        | No              | -         | -         | -         | Internal | Endoscopie laser CO2 et voie externe |
| M Vishnu Vardhan Reddy et al. [10] | 1 | 35 | no risk factor | No | Yes | No | Yes | Non | Endoscopie et voie externe |
| Tahwinder U et al. [11] | 1               | 77         | polio at 10 years | No | Yes | Non | Yes | - | Endoscopie |
| Ronald JE et al. [12] | 2               | 65-68      | (1) BPCO      | Yes             | -                          | Yes             | -         | -         | -         | Endoscopie laser CO2 et voie externe |
| Rachid M et al. [17] | 1               | 45         | no risk factor  | No               | No                         | Yes             | -         | -         | -         | External | external surgery |
| Ciabatti PG et al. [19] | 1              | 69          | -             | No               | Yes                        | Yes             | Yes       | -         | Internal | Endoscopie robotic surgery (TORS) |
| Devesa PM et al. [20] | 12              | 24-69      | -            | Yes             | Yes                        | Non             | Yes       | -         | Internal | Endoscopie laser CO2 |
| Robert T et al. [21] | 10              | 18-69      | -            | -               | -                          | -               | -         | Internal | External | external surgery |
| Cisse N et al. | 2               | 0-35       | no risk factor | Yes             | Yes                        | Yes             | Yes       | -         | Internal | external surgery |
Discussion

Laryngocele is five to seven times common in humans with a peak around the sixth decade [9]. Laryngoceles are superinfected in 8% of laryngocele, hence the name of laryngopyocele [10-12]. The etiology of the laryngocele is unclear, the hypothesis of congenital enlargement of the sacculle as well as the acquired risk factors related to the increase in laryngeal pressure have been reported [1,5]. The cause is multifactorial, explained by the chronic pressure on the larynx found in wind instrument musicians, glass blowers and during laryngeal carcinoma [1,5]. The association of laryngocele with other pathologies such as amyloidosis, ankylosing spondylitis, also after a sub-maxillectomy for chronic sialadenitis, for salivary calculus as well as in the aftermath of a tracheostomy has been reported by the authors [2,13,14]. In our study, the congenital hypothesis was adopted before the juvenile age of the first patient, the absence of other risk factors and the existence of this mass since childhood in the second patient. It is unilateral in 85%, bilateral in 15%, external in 30%, internal in 20% and combined in 50% [1,2,7,8].

Clinical and paraclinical investigations have highlighted in both cases the mixed laryngocele. It presents three anatomopathological forms:

a. Internal laryngocele: limited dilation in the endolarynx in the paraglottic region.

b. The external laryngocele translating an externalization of the dilation outside the larynx through the thyro-hyoid membrane.

c. The mixed laryngocele combining the two entities [1,4].

d. Laryngocele can be asymptomatic and accidentally discovered [15]. However, its symptomatology depends on the type of laryngocele:

e. The external, internal and mixed non-bulky types have a discreet symptomatology such as voice coil, pharyngeal discomfort, cough, dysphonia and discreet swelling from the angle of the mandible spontaneous or visible during a effort (coughing, screaming) [9,14,15]. The examination found an expansive swelling at the Valsalva maneuver and depressible to compression [2,9,15]. We noted in the first patient a non-expansive mass during cries and not depressible on palpation, unlike in the second patient.

f. Nasofibroscopy and rigid endoscopy may be normal or objectified swelling of the ventricular band making more or less a mass effect on the glottic region [9,14].

Signs of compression and / or obstruction, especially dyspnea that can lead to asphyxia, dysphagia and immediately visible laryrocervical swelling are found in the external, internal and mixed giant types [14,15]. The examination finds in this case an obstruction of the glottal region, a painful swelling especially in case of superinfection (laryngopyocele) and incompressible in case of production of mucus (laryngomucocele) or pus [10-12,15,16]. Both of our patients presented with the laryrocervical swelling as a primary symptom and signs of laryngeal compression (dysphonia) and laryngeal obstruction (dyspnea). Imaging reinforces the clinical presumption [2,17]. MRI would play a lesser role in the diagnosis of laryngocele. Tomodensitometry is the reference objective a well-circumscribed aerobic hypodensity communicating or not with the paraglottic region and an enhancement of the peripheral contrast product [2,17]. The tomodensitometric aspect in our two patients highlighted communication of the laryngocele with the ventricle. The anatomopathological result of the various operative specimens concluded a pseudotratified epithelium ciliated with goblet cells without signs of malignancy, which is also reported in the literature [1]. The differential diagnosis of laryngocele includes the sacral cyst, branchial cyst, thyroglossal canal cyst, neck abscess, lymphadenopathy, and laryngeal hemangioma [2,4,18].

Conclusion

Laryngocele is a sacral dilatation of the laryngeal ventricle. It is rare in literature. Despite its unknown etiology, the congenital and acquired hypothesis remains valid. Swelling the Valsalva expansile mandible isolated or associated with signs of compression and/or compression remains a diagnostic argument for laryngocele. Externally excised laryngocele without conventional thyrotomy is a therapeutic alternative to noninfected mixed laryngocele, communicating with the ventricle without marked obstruction of the larynx. Since tracheostomy is unnecessary, the morbidity will be less.
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