A case report: *Actinobaculum suis* infection associated with formation of pyogranuloma, epididymitis andazoospermia in a boar
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Actinobaculum suis* is a bacterium known to cause infections of the urogenital tract of sows. Infection can occur through close contact to boars, who frequently carry the pathogen in their preputial diverticulum but do not become clinically diseased themselves. In the current case, *Actinobaculum suis* was isolated from pyogranuloma of inflamed epididymis in a boar with poor fertility.

**Case presentation:** Increased return to oestrus rate, which worsened after the purchase of a new boar, was reported in an organic farm in Switzerland. During herd examination, azoospermia of the boar was diagnosed, and slaughter, followed by examination of its urogenital tract, was carried out. Pathologically, pyogranuloma formation and epididymitis were diagnosed. Bacteriology of the pyogranulomas showed growth of *Actinobaculum suis* and mixed flora. After the boar was replaced, the return to oestrus rate improved tremendously.

**Conclusion:** A close relative of *Actinobaculum suis*, namely *Actinotignum schaalii*, has already been associated with epididymitis in humans. Considering the present case and the parallels in human medicine, *Actinobaculum suis* should be included in the list of differentials of boars with poor fertility.
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**Background**

*Actinobaculum suis* [1], formerly known as *Corynebacterium suis*, *Eubacterium suis* [2] and *Actinomyces suis comb. Nov.* [3] is an anaerobic bacterium which can cause multiple infections of the urogenital tract in sows. The prevalence of *Actinobaculum suis* in pigs varies between countries and farms. In Switzerland, it was detected in 4% of the urinary bladders of slaughtered sows [4]. It is also described that the presence of the pathogen is not always associated with a urinary tract infection. One study even described, that sows positive for *Actinobaculum suis*, showed urinary tract infections less often compared to sows negative for the bacterium [5]. Noteworthy, severe urethritis and pyelitis/pyelonephritis are only expected in mixed infections with *Actinobaculum suis* and other bacteria [6]. In order to prevent disease transmission from boars to sows, artificial insemination is widely practiced in pig industry [7]. This is also recommended to reduce the spread of *Actinobaculum suis*, since its frequent presence in the preputial diverticulum of the boar was demonstrated in several studies [8–12]. In all of these studies, the percentage of positive preputial swabs was higher than 60% without boars reported to be diseased. Attempts to
eliminate the pathogen from the diverticulum of carrier boars have been unsuccessful. Neither local nor systemic antibiotic administration or hygiene measures lead to long term persistent freedom of *Actinobaculum suis* in the diverticulum [13].

This is the first report describing a case of pyogranuloma and epididymitis in a boar with reduced fertility associated to *Actinobaculum suis*.

**Case presentation**

The case occurred 2019 in an organic farrow to weaning farm in Switzerland, which reported reproductive problems. The farm consisted of 80 sows and one boar. The latter one was replaced in May 2019. Due to an increase of the return to oestrus rate to approximately 50% in August 2019, the Clinic for Swine at the Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, was contacted to perform a herd examination. Return to oestrus rate was reported to be equally in gilts and sows, and regular (i.e. between day 18–24 and 36–48 after insemination) in about 80% of all cases. At this time point, no electronic data of the reproductive performance was available, therefore no further analysis of the data was conducted. During herd examination, all sows revealed a good general health and appropriate body condition. For further diagnostics, an andrological investigation including an ultrasound examination of the boar’s testicles was conducted and no abnormalities were found. Due to the absence of a sow in heat, no further examinations of the sexual behaviour and semen quality could be carried out. Semen for artificial insemination, which was used in about 70% of performed inseminations, was stored in a storage box at 17 °C. The management of insemination was examined and need for optimization in heat control and time of insemination were determined. Sow management, genetics and housing were identified as unlikely causes of the problem.

**Table 1** Performance characteristics of the sows on farm of the last 9 months (January- September 2019) and corresponding reference values

| Performance characteristics | Status quo October 2019 | Reference values [14] |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Piglets born alive/ litter  | 13.8                    | 12–14.0               |
| Piglets born dead/ litter   | 1.8                     | ≤ 0.5                 |
| Piglets weaned/ litter      | 11.1                    | 11.0                  |
| Litter/ sow/ year           | 2.1                     | 2.1<sup>a</sup>       |
| Return to oestrus rate (%)  | 35.3                    | ≤ 12.0                |
| Regular return (%)<sup>b</sup> | 28.7                   |                       |
| Irregular return (%)<sup>c</sup> | 6.6                     |                       |

<sup>a</sup> calculated with 115 days pregnancy, suckling period of 56 days and 4 days return to oestrus

<sup>b</sup> Sows returning to oestrus 18–24 and 36–48 days after insemination

<sup>c</sup> All other sows returning to oestrus without regular return

For further evaluation of the reproductive performance, the farmer provided current data. The analysis of the performance characteristics revealed an increased return to oestrus rate of 35.3% within the last nine months (Table 1).

The evaluation of the three months before the new boar was introduced (February to May 2019), showed a return to oestrus rate of 17.8%. After the introduction of the boar, the return to oestrus rate tremendously increased to 59.4%. Overall, 75.0% amongst naturally mated sows returned to oestrus. The remaining 25.0% of naturally mated sows remained unclear, as no pregnancy diagnostic was conducted on the farm. Overall, the findings of the analysis of the performance characteristics (Table 1) indicated the new boar to play a major role in the increased return to oestrus rate on farm. To assess the sexual behaviour and the sperm quality an investigation of the boar was conducted in October 2019. No abnormalities were detected in the mating behaviour. The ejaculate was collected, and a semen analysis was performed immediately (Table 2).
Based on the results of the analysis of the performance characteristics and the findings of the andrological examination, it was concluded that the boar caused the reproductive failure in the naturally mated sows. Therefore, slaughter of the boar was recommended and performed. The urogenital tract was forwarded to the Institute of Animal Pathology at the University of Bern. Bladder, urethra, genital glands and penis were without gross changes. Bilaterally the epididymis was slightly enlarged with a diameter of up to 4 cm. The epididymal tissue was replaced by multiple cavities filled with beige, friable material. In the head of one epididymis, a round cystic cavity of 1.5 cm in diameter was present (Fig. 1). Bilaterally, approximately 5% of the testicular parenchyma was multifocally beige in colour and of slightly increased consistency. In histology, 60% of the normal architecture of the epididymis was destroyed by a chronic pyogranulomatous inflammatory reaction (Fig. 2).

The pyogranuloma originated in the Vasa efferentis and the Ductus epididymidis. They consisted of a necrotic centre with few spermatozoa, surrounded by few multinucleated giant cells, degenerated neutrophilic

**Fig. 2** Histology (H&E stain) of the epididymis of the slaughtered boar. **a** Pyogranuloma (green arrows) and unaffected Ductus epididymidis (green stars). **b** Close up of a pyogranuloma: Mineralized centre (green star) surrounded by many multinucleated giant cells (green arrows) and proliferating fibroblasts (foreign body reaction)
granulocytes and a seam of macrophages with lymphocytes and plasma cells, encapsulated by a thick layer of connective tissue. Multifocally in the inactive Vasa efferentis, intact spermatozoa were seen. Approximately 50% of the tubules of the testes were multifocally atrophied. The remaining tubules were filled with sperm in different stages and surrounded by active Leydig cells. The urethra, the Glandula vesicularis and the Glandula bulbourethralis were histologically without special findings. A Ziehl-Neelsen stain for acid-fast bacteria was negative. No fungal or parasitic organisms were detected in a PAS respectively Gram stain. The pathological changes were compatible with a bilateral, severe, multifocal to coalescing, chronic, granulomatous and necrotizing epididymitis with giant cells and multifocal atrophy of the tubules in the testicles.

A bacteriological examination of the epididymis was carried out at the Institute of Veterinary Bacteriology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern. In the bacteriological examination of the epididymis, a moderate amount of Actinobaculum suis and a low amount of mixed flora were isolated. Detection of Brucella suis via stamp staining and isolator Microbial Tubes enrichment procedure was negative.

**Follow-up**

A breeding examination of the new boar, purchased in October 2019, revealed no abnormalities in behaviour or semen quality. Furthermore, a clinical examination of eight sows was performed, showing that sows were in good general health condition. In addition, spontaneous urine was examined macroscopically and by using a urine test strip (HS Urispec Plus 9 + Leuko®), revealing no abnormalities. Five months after the initial herd examination a follow-up was conducted. Performance characteristics showed a clear decrease in the number of sows returning to oestrus (Table 3). The percentage of sows returning to oestrus after exclusive naturally mating decreased from 75.0 to 13.3%.

**Table 3** Performance characteristics 5 months (October 2019- February 2020) after the affected boar was not used for mating in comparison with the status before

| Performance characteristics | Status before a | Status quo b | Reference values [14] |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Piglets born alive/ litter  | 13.8            | 12.6         | 12-14.0               |
| Piglets born dead/ litter   | 1.8             | 1.1          | ≤ 0.5                 |
| Piglets weaned/ litter      | 11.1            | 9.8          | 11.0                  |
| Return to oestrus rate (%)  | 35.3            | 14.1         | ≤ 12.0                |
| Regular return (%)c         | 28.7            | 5.9          |                       |
| Irregular return (%)d       | 6.6             | 8.2          |                       |

* a Status before: Data of nine months from January 2019- September 2019 before the new boar was introduced on farm
  * b Status quo: Data of five months from October 2019- February 2020 with the new boar on farm
  * c Sows returning to oestrus 18–24 and 36–48 days after insemination
  * d All other sows returning to oestrus without regular return

**Discussion and conclusions**

The present report is the first description of Actinobaculum suis coinciding with epididymitis and pyogranuloma formation in the urogenital tract probably resulting in azoospermia in a boar. A close relative of to Actinobaculum suis named Actinotignum schaalii [16], is described as cause for epididymitis and abscess formation in human medicine [1, 17, 18]. In pig medicine, the importance of Actinobaculum suis causing cystitis had been known for long time and detection methods for the agent are established [2]. However, examinations of boars, particularly pathological examinations, are very rarely carried out in Switzerland [19]. Therefore, it is likely that pathological conditions in boars are overlooked. The inflammatory reaction may have led to damage of the tubules and ductus causing spermatozoa to leak, leading to a foreign body reaction with pyogranulomatous changes. However, the route of transmission up to the epididymis has not been proven, but reflux of infected urine via the Vas deferens is described as the cause of epididymitis in humans [18, 20]. Although the urethra of boars is much longer, the pathogen is often detected at its end, making the transmission route to the testicles likely [8–12].

Since no indications for urinary tract infections in sows were detected, no further investigations were initiated. However the pathogen itself is not always associated with urinary tract infections [5] and transmission of the pathogen to the sows can therefore neither be proven nor be excluded. Finally, detailed and clustered analysis of reproductive performance data and clinical examination was crucial to detect the boar as causative agent for the increased return to oestrus rate. Regular monitoring of reproductive performance data should therefore be subject of future herd examinations. In this case, pyogranuloma and inflammation in the epididymis of a boar with poor fertility coincide with Actinobaculum suis and mixed flora, possibly resulting in increased returning to oestrus rate. Therefore, this ‘infection’ should be included in the differentials in boars with poor...
fertility. Finally, further research is needed to ensure a possible effect of *Actinobaculum suis* on the reproductive performance of a boar.
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