Molecular Engineering of Ghfp, the Gonococcal Orthologue of Neisseria meningitidis Factor H Binding Protein
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Knowledge of the sequences and structures of proteins produced by microbial pathogens is continuously increasing. Besides offering the possibility of unraveling the mechanisms of pathogenesis at the molecular level, structural information provides new tools for vaccine development, such as the opportunity to improve viral and bacterial vaccine candidates by rational design. Structure-based rational design of antigens can optimize the epitope repertoire in terms of accessibility, stability, and variability. In the present study, we used epitope mapping information on the well-characterized antigen of Neisseria meningitidis factor H binding protein (fHbp) to engineer its gonococcal homologue, Ghfp. Meningococcal fHbp is typically classified in three distinct antigenic variants. We introduced epitopes of fHbp variant 1 onto the surface of Ghfp, which is naturally able to protect against meningococcal strains expressing fHbp of variants 2 and 3. Heterologous epitopes were successfully transplanted, as engineered Ghfp induced functional antibodies against all three fHbp variants. These results confirm that structural vaccinology represents a successful strategy for modulating immune responses, and it is a powerful tool for investigating the extension and localization of immunodominant epitopes.

Neisseria meningitidis is still responsible for fatal disease worldwide (1). Glycoconjugate vaccines against serogroups A, C, W, and Y have been available since the early 2000s (2), while the prevention of infection by meningococcus serogroup B (MenB) strains has to be afforded to alternative antigens due to the poor immunogenicity of the serogroup B polysaccharide and its structural similarity to human neural antigens, which has raised concerns about the risk of inducing autoantibodies (3). The research of novel candidates culminated with the development of two protein-based vaccines approved for use in humans, one (Trumenba) licensed in the United States for use in individuals 10 through 25 years of age (4, 5), and the second (Bexsero) recommended in >30 countries for all age groups, including infants (6). Both vaccines contain factor H binding protein (fHbp, alternatively named LP2086 or GNA1870), a lipoprotein expressed by a large majority of circulating strains (7), which is able to elicit a potent protective immune response against serogroup B (8–11). fHbp plays a fundamental role during meningococcal infection, providing the bacterium with a way to evade the host serum surveillance. The protein, secreted across the outer membrane, is able to bind and sequester the human complement regulator factor H on the bacterial surface. This interaction prevents the activation of the alternative complement pathway and protects meningococci from killing (12, 13).

fHbp shows a high level of genetic diversity. So far, >700 diverse fHbp peptide sequences are known, with amino acid identities ranging from about 62 to 99% (http://pubmlst.org/neisseria/fHbp/). On the basis of such variability, fHbp sequences have been classified as belonging to variant 1, 2, or 3 (8) or to subfamily A or B (9). Serological studies indicate that the genetic variability can have a profound influence on determining the ability of antibodies to kill fHbp-expressing strains, as the immune response elicited by each variant ensures poor coverage against strains expressing heterologous alleles (8, 9). The inclusion of additional antigens (11) or combinations of distant fHbp subvariants (9) are both strategies pursued to expand the vaccine coverage to virtually all circulating meningococcal strains. The fHbp subvariant 1.1, included in the Bexsero vaccine (11), represents the prototypic member of variant 1. In the past, we engineered this molecule in order to expand its coverage to variants 2 and 3. The resulting chimeric protein was able to protect mice against a panel of meningococcal strains expressing all three variants (14). Recently, the gonococcal homologue of fHbp (Ghfp) was characterized by Jongerius et al. (15) and proposed as an alternative broad-cover- age vaccine candidate against meningococcal disease. Ghfp shows 60 to 94% sequence identity to fHbp and demonstrated the ability to induce in mice antibodies able to kill natural meningococcal strains expressing different fHbp variants, although the effective response against variant 1 was relatively low and limited to the subvariant 1.1. Moreover, Ghfp was unable to bind human factor H (15, 16), a desirable feature that can prevent partial masking of the protein surface to the immune system (15).

In the present work, we explored the possibility of increasing...
the coverage of the immune response raised by Ghfp against meningococcal strains by inserting epitopes of fHbp subvariant 1.1 on its surface.

Knowledge of the fHbp structure (17–20) provides the unique opportunity to deeply analyze the distribution and accessibility of conserved and variant-specific residues. Moreover, a considerable ensemble of epitope mapping studies have reported on fHbp. Pioneering mutagenesis studies identified critical residues for binding to bacterialic antibodies (21, 22). Subsequently, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (23), hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectroscopy (HDX-MS) (24), and X-ray crystallographic studies (25) have allowed remarkable progress in mapping protective epitopes.

This information makes members of the fHbp family ideal candidates for rational design studies attempting to modulate their immunogenicity by the introduction of heterologous epitopes from different variants.

In order to introduce fHbp variant 1-specific epitopes onto Ghfp, we modified the gonococcal protein surface according to the information derived from the NMR epitope mapping on fHbp. We previously mapped by NMR the epitope recognized by fHbp variant 1.1 (23). Here, we used the same approach to map the epitope of a second fHbp 1.1-specific monoclonal antibody called JAR5 (26). Both MAb502 and JAR5 have been reported to induce complement-mediated killing of meningococcal cells in the presence of rabbit complement (22, 26). We decided therefore to introduce onto Ghfp both the MAb502 and JAR5 epitopes. Mice immunized with the resulting chimeric proteins elicited serum antibodies able to kill a wide panel of meningococcal strains belonging to variants 1, 2, and 3. This work represents an epitope mapping-based rational design that increased the antigenicity of Ghfp and is in principle applicable to any vaccine candidate whose potential coverage is limited by sequence variability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. Escherichia coli strains DH5α and BL21(DE3) were purchased from Invitrogen and used as a cloning and expression strain, respectively. Ampicillin (Sigma) was used at concentration of 100 μg ml−1.

Antibody generation. The hybridoma cell line expressing JAR5 (26) was kindly provided by D. M. Granoff (Children’s Hospital Oakland Research Institute [CHORI]). The murine IgG2b isotype monoclonal antibody JAR5 and the corresponding Fab fragment were produced and purified by Areta International S.r.l. (Gerenzano, Italy).

NMR sample preparation and interaction studies. To express recombinant 2H/15N-labeled fHbp subvariant 1.1 for NMR measurements, E. coli BL21(DE3) (pET21b-fHbp) was grown on M9 minimal medium containing 50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole [pH 8.0] and 50 mM Tris-HCl and 1.0 M NaCl (pH 8.0) buffer in 10 CV, and automatically loaded on three 5-ml HiTrap (GE) desalting columns connected in series and eluted at a flow rate of 5 ml/min. The OD280 was monitored. For the IMAC purification step, filtered supernatants were automatically injected into 1-ml Ni2+-HiTrap HP columns at a flow rate of 1 ml/min, and the columns were washed with 20 column volumes (CV) of washing buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4 [Sigma], 300 mM NaCl [Fluka], 30 mM imidazole [Merck] [pH 8.0]), sonicated, and centrifuged at 35,000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was collected and subjected to two serial purification steps using metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) and ion gel exchange chromatography with a desalting step in between. All purification steps were performed using an AKTExpress chromatographic system, and the OD280 was monitored. For the IMAC purification step, filtered supernatants were automatically injected into 1-ml Ni2+-HiTrap HP columns at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Subsequently, the column was washed with 10 CV of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). The elution was set up in a linear gradient, between 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 50 mM Tris-HCl and 1 M NaCl (pH 8.0) buffer in 10 CV, and 1-ml fractions were collected. Protein purity was >95% for all samples, as determined by densitometry analyses of a SDS-PAGE 12% gel. Protein aggregation and apparent molecular weight were checked by analytical size exclusion chromatography (Waters Acquity ultraperformance liquid chromatography [UPLC]) system equipped with a BEH200 1.7-mm column. 4.6 by 300 mm [Waters], 150 mM NaH2PO4 buffer [pH 7.0], at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min). All protein samples were >95% in the monomeric form. A summary of the features of the purified recombinant proteins is reported in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

Surface plasmon resonance analysis. Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) was used to analyze the binding of fHbp and chimeric proteins to MAb502 and JAR5. All SPR experiments were performed using a Biacore T200 instrument at 25°C (GE Healthcare). In brief, a carboxymethylated dextran sensor chip (CM-5; GE Healthcare) was prepared, in which high densities (–10,000 response units [RU]) of anti-mouse antibodies from a commercially available mouse antibody capture kit (GE Healthcare) were immobilized by amine coupling. The anti-mouse IgG chip was used then to capture 1,000 to 1,500 RU of MAb502 and JAR5. Proteins, purified as described before, and diluted in buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, and 0.05% (vol/vol) P20 surfactant (pH 7.4) (HBS-EP) to a final concentration of 200 nM for the single-injections experiments and to a range of five consecutive injections of increasing...
analyte concentrations (2.5 nM to 40 nM) for the single-cycle kinetics (SCK) experiments were injected over the captured antibodies. Surfaces were then regenerated with 10 mM glycine (pH 1.7). Anti-mouse antibody-coated surfaces without captured monoclonal antibody were used as the reference channel. A blank injection of buffer only was subtracted from each curve, and reference sensograms were subtracted from experimental sensograms to yield curves representing specific binding. The data are representative of at least two independent experiments. SPR data were analyzed using the Biacore T200 evaluation software (GE Healthcare). For the SCK experiments, each sensogram was fitted with the 1:1 Langmuir binding model, including a term to account for potential mass transfer, to obtain the individual $k_a$ (association rate constant) and $k_d$ (dissociation rate constant) kinetic constants; the individual values were then combined to derive the single averaged $K_D$ (equilibrium dissociation constant) values reported.

Binding to human factor H was also analyzed in two experimental setups. First, purified full-length factor H (Calbiochem) was covalently immobilized by amine coupling on a CM5 chip to reach a density of 300 to 400 RU. Full-length factor H was then injected at concentrations of 100, 3 mg/ml, and 9 mg/ml, respectively, in 10 mM phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were injected, and binding levels were compared. Regeneration between injections was achieved by a single injection of 10 mM glycine (pH 3) in a 3 M NaCl solution. In order to assess the effect of several saline (PBS) were injected, and binding levels were compared. Regeneration between injections was achieved by a single injection of 10 mM glycine (pH 3) in a 3 M NaCl solution. In order to assess the effect of several saline (PBS) were injected, and binding levels were compared. Regeneration between injections was achieved by a single injection of 10 mM glycine (pH 3) in a 3 M NaCl solution. In order to assess the effect of several saline (PBS) were injected, and binding levels were compared. Regeneration between injections was achieved by a single injection of 10 mM glycine (pH 3) in a 3 M NaCl solution. In order to assess the effect of several saline (PBS) were injected, and binding levels were compared. Regeneration between injections was achieved by a single injection of 10 mM glycine (pH 3) in a 3 M NaCl solution. In order to assess the effect of several saline (PBS) were injected, and binding levels were compared. Regeneration between injections was achieved by a single injection of 10 mM glycine (pH 3) in a 3 M NaCl solution.
ment. Changes in the chemical environment caused by Fab binding were expected to change the chemical shift of the backbone NH groups. The residues of fHbp experiencing chemical shift changes upon the addition of JAR5 are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. With the exception of Gln38, Ser39, and Asn43, all affected residues were localized on four adjacent beta strands of the N-terminal domain (Fig. 1A). Gln38, Ser39, and Asn43 were not considered a part of the JAR5 epitope, due to their distance from all the other perturbed residues. Remarkably, the epitope defined by NMR includes both Gly121 and Lys122, which were previously identified by Beernink and colleagues (21) as essential for binding to JAR5.

The ensemble of perturbed residues formed a solvent-accessible surface of 2,860 Å², a value exceeding the range of 900 to 2,000 Å² typical of conformational epitopes characterized so far (32–34). We cannot, however, exclude that some perturbation effects could be ascribed to local conformational rearrangements occurring after the interaction with the antibody rather than direct contact with JAR5, leading to an overestimation of the epitope extension.

No overlap was observed between the JAR5 and MAb502 epitopes (Fig. 1B; see also Table S2 in the supplemental material), while, in line with the observation that JAR5 can inhibit the fHbp interaction with factor H (21), the JAR5 epitope largely resulted in overlapping the factor H binding site (see Table S2).

**Design of chimeric Ghfp.** The aim of this work was to design a broad-coverage antigen against *N. meningitidis* by engineering the Ghfp surface. We selected as a scaffold the Ghfp from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strain FA1090, which encodes a fHbp homologue unambiguously able to bind human factor H and that is closely related to members of fHbp variant 3 (Fig. 2). The substitution of some surface-accessible residues of Ghfp with amino acids specifically present in fHbp variant 1 was expected to result in the creation of a chimeric molecule containing epitopes of both variants. Extension and localization of the fHbp subvariant 1.1 epitopes recognized by MAb502 and JAR5 were used to identify the portions of the Ghfp surface to be modified.

In order to produce chimeric proteins able to elicit antibodies that are cross-protective across all variant 1 subvariants, we aligned the amino acid sequences of fHbp 1.1 to 1.3, 1.14, and 1.15, the most divergent subvariants among the highly common isolates belonging to variant 1 (30) (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). Next, selected groups of concurrent substitutions deduced from the multiple-sequence alignment were introduced in each chimeric protein. In Fig. 3, the amino acid sequences of the wild-type gonococcal scaffold and the meningococcal allele MC58 used to elicit both JAR5 and MAb502 in mice are compared to those of the mutants. Overall, 29, 30, and 31 amino acid substitutions were made on FA1090 to generate NG_5.2, NG_5.6, and NG_5.8, respectively. The resulting three mutants, and Ghfp and the fHbp subvariants 1.1 and 3.28, were expressed in *E. coli* as hexahistidine-tagged proteins.

The effects of the substitutions on the thermal stability of the proteins were investigated by DSC. In DSC experiments, a melting temperature (*T*ₘ) value is given by the peak maximum in the scanned curve. Differently from the meningococcal fHbp, which typically shows two very distinct transitions (*T*ₘ₁, 70°C; *T*ₘ₂, 80 to 90°C), corresponding to the N- and C-terminal domains, respectively (17), the thermal unfolding of Ghfp appeared to be much more cooperative. The DSC profile of the gonococcal protein was deconvoluted in two nearly overlapping peaks with very similar melting temperatures (*T*ₘ₁, 58°C; *T*ₘ₂, 67°C) and a considerably low enthalpy in the case of the second transition (Fig. 4A).

All mutants showed *T*ₘ₁ values similar to that of the gonococcal wild type, while *T*ₘ₂ sensibly increased in NG_5.2 and NG_5.6, reaching values more in line with those observed for the C-terminal domain of meningococcal fHbp (Fig. 4B). We concluded therefore that mutations introduce to mimic the MAb502 epitope stabilized the C-terminal domain of NG_5.2 and NG_5.6, while the JAR5-related mutations left the N-terminal domain substantially unaffected.

**Functional analysis of the mutants.** The interaction of each mutant with the full-length human factor H was tested by SPR in order to evaluate whether substitutions had any impact on such interaction. Differently from the strong concentration-dependent interaction observed between fHbp variant 1.1 and human fH, no binding was detectable in the case of Ghfp and all the immobilized mutants of human factor H to increasing concentrations up to 2 μM (Fig. 5). These results led us to exclude that any residue necessary to reestablish the interaction was introduced by the JAR5 epitope grafting.

In order to check the ability by the mutants to properly present the MAb502 and JAR5 epitopes, the interaction with each monoclonal antibody was also investigated by SPR (Table 2 and Fig. 6). As expected, substitutions introduced in NG_5.2 conferred to the molecule the ability to bind MAb502 with affinity comparable to that of fHbp subvariant 1.1. In NG_5.6 and NG_5.8, binding to MAb502 was compromised instead. In both of these mutants, the gonococcal serine 204 was replaced by histidine (Fig. 3), the resi-
due naturally occurring in subvariants 1.14 and 1.15 (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). We hypothesize that the absence of arginine 204, previously identified as being critical for the interaction of fHbp subvariant 1.1 with MAb502 (22), prevented the binding to NG_5.6 and NG_5.8.

All three mutants were able to bind JAR5 with comparable affinity, although only NG_5.2 showed the low dissociation rate characteristic of fHbp subvariant 1.1.

Overall, the SPR analysis provided a preliminary indication that surface regions corresponding to the MAb502 and JAR5 epitopes were successfully introduced on the gonococcal protein and sufficiently well exposed on the protein surface to be recognized by respective monoclonal antibodies.

The immunogenicity of NG_5.2, NG_5.6, and NG_5.8 was then evaluated by a serum bactericidal assay (SBA) on the strains reported in Table 1. To confirm fHbp accessibility to the antibodies, we first probed the meningococcal strains by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (Fig. 7). Mouse polyclonal sera elic-
TABLE 2 Summary table of SCK experiments of the monoclonal antibodies binding to the Ghfp proteins with $k_{on}$, $k_{off}$, and $K_D$ measurements$^a$

| MAb | Protein | $k_{on}$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) | $k_{off}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $K_D$ (M) |
|-----|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| MAb502 | fHbp | 1.93 E+06 | 0.84 E−02 | 4.34 ± 0.03 E−09 |
| MAb502 | NG 5.2 | 0.63 E+06 | 2.85 E−03 | 4.53 ± 0.01 E−09 |
| JAR5 | fHbp | 0.81 E+06 | 2.15 E−04 | 2.63 ± 0.001 E−10 |
| JAR5 | NG 5.2 | 0.58 E+06 | 2.15 E−04 | 3.71 ± 0.02 E−10 |
| JAR5 | NG 5.6 | 0.82 E+06 | 3.71 E−03 | 4.53 ± 0.09 E−09 |
| JAR5 | NG 5.8 | 0.88 E+06 | 3.86 E−03 | 4.39 ± 0.15 E−09 |

$^a$ Examples of sensorgrams are reported in Fig. S5 in the supplemental material.

...by homologous or closely related fHbp subvariants were used to detect fHbp on the bacterial surface. FACS profiles revealed that fHbp was easily accessible to antibodies in all strains tested. Moreover, N. meningitidis strains with higher (MC58, M01-02400660, and M08-02400104), intermediate (M12566, M01-0240988, and M01-02400555), or lower (M14879, NZ98/254, M1239, and LNP024551) fHbp accessibility could be distinguished, suggesting that sequence diversity and protein exposure might both have an influence on the bactericidal titers.

Groups of eight mice were immunized with NG 5.2, NG 5.6, or NG 5.8. Controls included animals vaccinated with Ghfp and fHbp subvariants 1.1 and 3.28. The ability of the chimeric proteins to elicit functional antibodies was evaluated by measuring the complement-mediated killing induced by the immune sera in vitro. SBA values of <16 were considered negative, as this is the starting dilution for the experiments.

A summary of the rSBA analysis is reported in Table 3. Ghfp induced a bactericidal immune response against meningococcal strains expressing fHbp variants 2 and 3 but failed to protect mice against variant 1. Conversely, bactericidal activity against variant 1 was observed at different levels after vaccination with each of the three mutants. Complement-mediated killing of all the variant 1 isolates was induced by the sera of mice immunized with NG 5.6 and NG 5.8. Despite the fact that NG 5.2 was the only mutant able to bind MAb502 (Fig. 5B), the NG 5.2 immune sera exhibited moderate bactericidal titers of all the variant 1 strains. The loss of bactericidal activity against NZ98/254 and the low titer against M14879 might be due to the limited amount of fHbp detected on their surface (Fig. 7). To explain the relatively low titers observed against MC58, we speculated that few mismatches of surface-exposed residues, like aspartate 163 (glycine in MC58) and histidine 178 (asparagine in MC58) (Fig. 3), might have counteracted the positive effects of the epitope grafting. Alternatively, changes in the conformational equilibrium induced by the NG 5.2-specific substitutions (Fig. 4) might have indirectly influenced the variant 1 epitope presentation.

NG 5.6 and NG 5.8 also retained the ability to kill all strains of variants 2 and 3, although a sensible decrease in bactericidal activity compared to that of the gonococcal wild type was observed, particularly against the low-fHbp-expressing strains of variant 3, M1239 and LNP24551. Bactericidal titers against variant 2 strains were also elicited by NG 5.2. This mutant was unable to promote the complement-mediated killing against M1239 and LNP24551 (Table 3), likely due to the combined effect of low fHbp abundance and sequence diversity.

DISCUSSION

Molecular grafting of functional epitopes is a promising way to improve variable antigens and realize novel proteins with pre-
TABLE 3 Serum bactericidal titers elicited in mice by engineered antigens against the panel of strains described in Table 1

| Strain   | fHbp variant | Titer for antigen: | fHbp 3.28 (M1239) | fHbp 1.1 (MC58) |
|----------|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| MC58     | 1.1          | NG5.6            | 128              | 128             |
|          |              | NG5.8            | 256              | 128             |
|          |              | NG5.2            | <16              | <16             |
|          |              | NGFA1090         | <16              | <16             |
| M14879   | 1.13         | 1,024            | 1,024            | 1,024           |
|          |              | 512              | <16              | <16             |
|          |              | 64               | <16              | <16             |
| NZ98/254 | 1.14         | 256              | 512              | 128             |
|          |              | 4,096            | 512              | <16             |
|          |              | 4,096            | <16              | <16             |
| M01-240660 | 1.15     | 2,048            | 128              | 1,024           |
|          |              | 1,024            | 256              | 2,048           |
| M08-240104 | 2.16       | 128              | 512              | <16             |
|          |              | 2,048            | 256              | <16             |
| M12566   | 2.19         | 128              | 128              | 512             |
|          |              | 256              | 1,024            | 2,048           |
| M1239    | 3.28         | 64               | <16              | <16             |
|          |              | 16               | 256              | <16             |
|          |              | 2,048            | <16              | <16             |
| M01-240988 | 3.30      | 64               | 128              | 512             |
|          |              | 16               | 256              | <16             |
|          |              | 2,048            | <16              | <16             |
| M01-240355 | 3.31       | 64               | 128              | 512             |
|          |              | 256              | 2,048            | <16             |
|          |              | 256              | <16              | <16             |
| LNP24551 | 3.116        | 64               | 128              | <16             |
|          |              | 64               | 512              | <16             |
|          |              | <16              | 512              | <16             |
|          |              |                  |                  |                 |

* Wild-type Ghfp and fHbp subvariants 1.1 and 3.28 were also included as controls.
* From reference 37.

specified functionalities. Side-chain and backbone remodeling were recently proposed as protein design strategies to stabilize and optimize protein antigens for presentation of contiguous conformational epitopes (35–37). In a previous study, we engineered the C-terminal domain of fHbp 1.1 by introducing residues specific to variants 2 and 3 within patches of about 1,000 Å² (14). The mutagenesis was applied to the entire immunodominant carboxyl-terminal domain of the protein, whose surface was systematically explored in order to identify the region(s) able to well tolerate the epitope grafting in terms of folding and immunogenicity. In the present work, we decided to explore the possibility of modulating the immunogenicity of the gonococcal fHbp orthologue Ghfp by selectively grafting predefined meningococcal epitopes from the distantly related fHbp subvariant 1.1.

Deep structural knowledge of subvariant 1.1-specific protective epitopes allowed us to limit the mutagenesis on the regions of protein surface specifically recognized by anti-subvariant 1.1 antibodies. We previously reported the characterization of the epitope recognized by the murine monoclonal antibody MAb502 by NMR. The antibody binding site covered a surface of 1,992 Å² entirely located on one apex of the carboxyl-terminal domain of the protein and distant from the site of interaction with factor H (23). In the present study, we mapped the epitope of a second monoclonal antibody, JAR5, previously reported to target Gly121 and Lys122 on fHbp subvariant 1.1 and able to inhibit binding to factor H (21). Such observations suggest that the region recognized by JAR5 was very distinct from the MAB502 epitope. The present results confirm this prediction. The JAR5 epitope identified by NMR was entirely located within the N-terminal domain of fHbp, excluding any overlap the region recognized by MAB502. Remarkably, the JAR5 epitope was localized in the same region where the epitopes of two murine IgG1 monoclonal antibodies (17C1 and 30G4) were previously mapped by hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (24). Both 17C1 and 30G4 displayed, although to different extents, synergistic bactericidal activity against strains of variant 1 when used in combination with MAB502. These results suggested that cotransplantation of the JAR5 and MAB502 epitopes in a fHbp variant 3-like environment might result in a molecule able to induce potent protective immunity against variant 1 strains.

The serum bactericidal activity assay measures the ability of immune sera to mediate killing of meningococci in vitro in the presence of an exogenous source of complement. In a previous study, Jongerius and colleagues (15) evaluated the ability by Ghfp to induce bactericidal antibodies against meningococcal strains expressing variant 1, 2, or 3. They tested a panel of seven isolates and observed comparable bactericidal activity across the three variants. A remarkable exception was the MC58 strain (fHbp subvariant 1.1), which was resistant to killing by anti-Ghfp antibodies. In the present work, we analyzed a different set of meningococcal isolates, which included four strains expressing different variant 1 subvariants. All fHbp variant 1-expressing isolates tested were not killed by anti-Ghfp serum, according to the observation that molecules of variant 3 do not induce bactericidal antibodies against variant 1 (8, 38).

Ghfp induced bactericidal antibodies against M12566 and M1239, expressing the fHbp 2.19 and 3.28 subvariants, respectively, with SBA titers comparable to those reported by Jongerius et al. (15) for the same subvariants. The M08-240104 and M01-240355 strains, expressing the fHbp 2.16 and 3.4 subvariants, respectively, showed a more pronounced sensitivity to the bactericidal activity of the anti-Ghfp immune sera. In the case of M01-240355, this might be due to the higher sequence similarity to Ghfp of the fHbp 3.4 subvariant (93.51% identity at the amino acid level) than that of all the other meningococcal strains of the panel (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). The M08-240104 sequence was 87% identical to that of Ghfp, and this cannot, however, be invoked to explain the high sensitivity of this strain to the Ghfp immune serum. It is possible that the high expression level of fHbp, together with the conservation of a small number of specific residues residing within crucial epitopes, renders M08-240104 more susceptible to killing by anti-Ghfp antibodies.

Overall, the results of the bactericidal activity assay showed that the mutagenesis was able to introduce a local molecular mimicry of fHbp variant 1 sufficient to elicit antibodies that were bactericidal against a panel of natural meningococcal strains expressing different subvariants. In particular, NG5.6 and NG5.8 were both able to elicit a protective immune response against all variant 1 strains tested, including isolates expressing some of the most prevalent alleles, like 1.1 and 1.13 (7)
tained by immunization with the wild-type gonococcal protein. The total area including MAB502 and JAR5 epitopes accounts for about 13% of the fHbp surface, and both epitopes were localized on the predicted accessible side of the molecule. The changes introduced in the gonococcal protein reduced the surface area available to elicit variant 3-specific antibodies. This was particularly critical in the case of variant 3 strains, in which fHbp expression levels were generally lower than those in variant 1. Alternatively, the modifications might have specifically altered epitopes that are critical for variant 3. Finally, we cannot exclude that modifications in the amino acid sequence might have introduced some local conformational change of the molecule that altered the original epitope repertoire. The DSC profile of the mutants indicated that substitutions increased the thermal stability of the proteins, presumably stabilizing the overall fold. However, how this might reflect changes in immunogenicity remains unclear.

In conclusion, we enhanced the potential of Ghfp as a vaccine candidate by threading in defined portions of its surface two well-characterized heterologous functional epitopes. Although a clear correlation between the bactericidal titers obtained in mice with rabbit complement and bactericidal response in humans has not been yet defined, the positive titers reported in the present study indicate that the chimeric proteins have the potential to raise protective immunity against a wider panel of meningococcal strains than that with native Ghfp. The detailed epitope characterization obtained by NMR provided valuable information for antigen optimization, permitting us to limit the mutagenesis within restricted regions of the protein surface and minimize the changes in naturally occurring sequences. This aspect assumes particular relevance for the optimization of large proteins in which molecular dimensions and sequence variability might require the screening of a massive number of mutants.
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