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Abstract: We consider the following critical nonlocal Schrödinger problem with general nonlinearities

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\left(\varepsilon^2 a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u) + u^5, & \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
& \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3),
\end{aligned}
\]  

(SK$_\varepsilon$)

and study the existence of semiclassical ground state solutions of Nehari-Pohožaev type to (SK$_\varepsilon$), where $f(u)$ may behave like $|u|^{q-2}u$ for $q \in (2, 4]$ which is seldom studied. With some decay assumption on $V$, we establish an existence result which improves some exiting works which only handle $q \in (4, 6)$. With some monotonicity condition on $V$, we also get a ground state solution $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon$ and analysis its concentrating behaviour around global minimum $x_\varepsilon$ of $V$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Our results extend some related works.
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1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we consider the following nonlocal Schrödinger equation

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\left(\varepsilon^2 a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u) + u^5, & \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
& \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3),
\end{aligned}
\]  

(SK$_\varepsilon$)

where $\varepsilon, a, b > 0$. Such nonlocal equation is also called Kirchhoff-Schrödinger equation. We make the following assumptions on potential function and nonlinear term

(V1) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and $0 < V_0 = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) < V_\infty = \lim_{|y| \to \infty} V(y)$;

(F1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$, $\lim_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$;

(F2) there exist constants $\mu, \mu_1 > 0$ and $q \in (2, 4]$ such that $F(t) \geq \mu t^q - \mu_1 t^2$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$;

(F3) there exists a constant $p \in (2, 6)$ such that $\frac{f(t)t + \xi F(t)}{1 - t^2}$ is nondecreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$.

In [39], Zhang, Chen and Zou used (V1) (F2) to study the existence of standing waves to nonlinear Schrödinger equations involving critical growth, and (V1) (F2) was also used by S. Chen et al. in [6] to study the ground state solutions to Schrödinger-Poisson system. (F3) once appeared in [36] and is weaker than the
following weak version of Nehari type condition

\[ f(t)/t \text{ is nondecreasing on } (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty). \]

Problem \((SK_\varepsilon)\) is related to the stationary analogue of the following equation

\[
\begin{aligned}
 u_{tt} - \left( a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right) \Delta u &= g(x, t), \\
 u &\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,
\end{aligned}
\]

which is proposed by Kirchhoff [20] as an extension of classical D'Alembert's wave equation. It has been applied widely to model various physics problems and appears in some biological systems. For more details and backgrounds, we refer the reader to [2–4] and references therein. Owing to the presence of the term \((\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx) \Delta u\), problem \((SK_\varepsilon)\) is no longer a pointwise identity, which makes the study of this question very complicated. However, it is worth mentioning that the pioneering work of Lions [24] introduced an abstract framework, and since then Kirchhoff type problem has received more and more attention from the mathematical community by using variational methods.

Making the scaling \(u(x) = v(\varepsilon x)\), \((SK_\varepsilon)\) is transformed to

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\begin{cases}
- \left( a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V(\varepsilon x)u = f(u) + u^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
 u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3,
\end{cases} \\
&\quad \text{\(u\) resolves \((PK_\varepsilon)\) iff \(v\) resolves \((SK_\varepsilon)\). It follows from (V1) and (F1) that \((PK_\varepsilon)\) has a variational structure, which means the weak solutions of \((PK_\varepsilon)\) are the critical points of the \(\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon: H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R}\) defined by}
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ a |\nabla u|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)u^2 \right] \, dx + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ f(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] \, dx. 
\tag{1.1}
\]

For simplicity, inspired by [6, 39], we set

\[
0 \in \Omega_0 := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : V(x) = V_0 = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \right\}. \tag{1.2}
\]

Most recently, many authors are concerned with semiclassical problems like \((SK_\varepsilon)\), i.e. the parameter \(\varepsilon\) goes to zero. For \(\varepsilon > 0\) small, the solutions are called semiclassical states, which possess an important physical interest in describing the translation from quantum to classical mechanics. There are some valuable results on semiclassical solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems like \((SK_\varepsilon)\), we refer to [13, 15–17, 37].

Set \(\varepsilon = 1\), \(V(x) \equiv 0\), and replace \(\mathbb{R}^3\) with a bounded domain \(\Omega\), then problem \((SK_\varepsilon)\) is related to the following problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\begin{cases}
- \left( a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u = f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\
u = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

By minimax methods and invariant sets of descent flow, Mao and Zhang [28], Perera and Zhang [30] proved the existence of sign-changing solutions. At the same time, [1] obtained the existence of positive solution using variational methods when \(f\) is critical growth.

When \(\varepsilon > 0\), the existence and qualitative properties of solutions to

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\begin{cases}
- \left( \varepsilon^2 a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V(\varepsilon x)u = f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3),
\end{cases} \\
&\quad \text{\(\varepsilon\) resolves \((SK_\varepsilon)\).}
\end{aligned}
\]
have been extensively studied; see for example [6, 9, 10, 18, 26, 34, 37]. If \( f(t) \) is super-linear at \( t = 0 \) and super-cubic at \( t = \infty \), He and Zou [17] firstly studied \((SK_\varepsilon)\) via the Mountain Pass Theorem and the Nehari manifold approach, under the condition that \( f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^\ast) \) satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition ((AR) in short)
\[
\exists \; \mu > 4, \; 0 < \mu f(t) \leq f(t), \; \; t \neq 0
\]
and the monotonicity condition ((MN) in short)
\[
\frac{f(t)}{t^3} \text{ is strictly increasing for } t \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty).
\]
If \( f(t) \) is not super-cubic at \( t = \infty \), following the idea of Ruiz [31], Li and Ye [22] proved the special case that \( V = 1 \) and \( f(u) = |u|^{q-2}u \) for \( 3 < s < 6 \) has a positive solution by using a new manifold related to Nehari equation and Pohožaev equality. Then, Guo [14] and Tang and Chen [36] improve the above results with more general \( V \) and \( f \), which handles the case where \( f(u) \) behaves like \( |u|^{q-2}u \) for \( 2 < s \leq 3 \).

For the critical case, Wang, Tian, Xu and Zhang [37] considered the problem
\[
-\left( \epsilon^2 a + \epsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda g(u) + |u|^4u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3,
\]
under the assumption that \( g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^\ast) \) is subcritical growth, \( g(t) = o(t^3) \) as \( t \to 0 \) and \( g(t)/t^3 \) is strictly increasing on \((0, \infty)\). Inspired by [17], they obtained the existence, multiplicity and concentration of solutions when \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough and \( \lambda > 0 \) is large enough; in addition, they extended the results of [17] to the critical case. In [18], He and Zou also obtained the similar results relaying on (AR) and (MN). Based on the work of [11, 17], Liu and Guo [22] obtained the existence and concentration of positive ground state solution for \((SK_\varepsilon)\), where \( f(u) + u^2 \) is replaced by \( AK(x)u^{q-2}u + Q(x)|u|^4u \) for \( 4 < s < 6 \) with \( K, Q \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfying some suitable conditions.

We would like to emphasize that the previous work depends heavily on the condition (MN) or (AR) and can be applied to the case where \( f(u) \sim |u|^{q-2}u \) for \( 4 \leq q \leq 6 \). Obviously, the approaches adopted in them do not work when \( f \) satisfies neither (MN) nor (AR). Therefore, there are very few results concerning semiclassical ground state solutions for \((SK_\varepsilon)\) where \( f(u) \) behaves like \( |u|^{q-2}u \) for \( q \in (2, 4) \). The first purpose of this paper is to consider this case and improve some previous results.

To state our results, we need introduce the following decay assumption on \( V \)

\[ (V2) \; V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}), \text{ and } 2\nabla V(x) \cdot x \leq (p - 2)V(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \text{ where } p \text{ is given by (F3).} \]

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \( V \) and \( f \) satisfy \((V1), (V2)\) and \((F1)-(F3))\). Then there exist positive constants \( \varepsilon^* \text{ and } \mu_0 \) such that for \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*) \text{ and } \mu \geq \mu_0 \), problem \((SK_\varepsilon)\) admits a ground state solution.

Next we consider the concentration of ground solution of \((SK_\varepsilon)\) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). Furthermore, we establish the exponential decay property of the solution obtained in the following theorem. For this reason, inspired by [36], we introduce the following monotonicity condition on \( V \)

\[ (V3) \; V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}), \text{ and } t \to \frac{\nabla V(tx) - \nabla V(x) \cdot (tx)}{tx \to 0} \text{ is nonincreasing on } (0, +\infty) \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \{0\}, \]
which is different from the following monotonicity condition used in [6]:
\[
V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}), \; t \to t^2[V(tx) - \nabla V(tx) \cdot (tx)] \text{ is nonincreasing on } (0, +\infty), \; x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \{0\}.
\]

For \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \), we define the Pohožaev type functional \( P_\varepsilon \) as follows
\[
P_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{a}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [3V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)]|u|^2 \, dx + \frac{b}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2_2 - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [F(u) + \frac{1}{6}u^6] \, dx. \tag{1.3}
\]
Based on the fact that any solution \( u \) of \((PK_\varepsilon)\) satisfies \( P_\varepsilon(u) = 0 \) and motivated by [22], define the following Nehari-Pohožaev functional on \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \)
where compactness. To resolve the obstacle caused by the lack of compactness, we compare the mountain pass for \( f \) satisfies Theorem 1.3.

Assume that \( f \) satisfies \( \text{Theorem 1.3.} \)

\[
\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle + \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(u) \\
= a \|
abla u \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)] u^2 \, dx \\
+ b \|
abla u \|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u)u + 6F(u)] \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 \, dx,
\]

and set

\[
M_\varepsilon := \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = 0 \right\},
\]

i.e., the Nehari-Pohožaev manifold of \( \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon \). So every non-trivial solution of \( (PK_\varepsilon) \) is contained in \( M_\varepsilon \). A non-trivial solution \( \bar{u} \) of \( (PK_\varepsilon) \) is called a ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type if \( \bar{u} \) satisfies \( \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) = \inf_{u \in M_\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) \).

Our second result is given as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume (V1), (V3) and (F1) − (F3) hold. Then there exists \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) determined by \( V \) and \( f \) such that for \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \) and for \( \mu \geq \mu_0 \), where \( \mu_0 \) is given in Theorem 1.1, problem \( (SK_\varepsilon) \) has a ground state solution \( \bar{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( \bar{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(x) = \bar{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon x) \) satisfies

\[
\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon) = \inf_{u \in M_\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t^{1/2}u(t)).
\]

Moreover, the following statements hold

(i) for \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \), there is a maximum point \( x_\varepsilon \) of \( |\bar{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon| \) which satisfies that

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{V}(x_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{V}_0 = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{V}(x);
\]

(ii) there exist \( \Pi_0, \kappa_0 \) independent of \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \) such that the maximum point \( x_\varepsilon \) of \( |\bar{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon| \) satisfies that

\[
|\bar{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \Pi_0 \exp\left(\frac{\kappa_0}{\varepsilon} |x - x_\varepsilon| \right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0];
\]

(iii) for any sequence \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \), \( \bar{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n x + x_\varepsilon) \) converges in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \) to a ground state solution of the following problem

\[
\begin{cases}
- \left( a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V_0 u = f(u) + u^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3.
\end{cases}
\]

\((KV_0)\)

It’s worthy noting that (F3) (V3) are different from that in [6], and unlike [36], we just assume in (V1) that \( \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{V}(x) < \mathcal{V}_\infty \) instead of \( \mathcal{V}(x) \leq \mathcal{V}_\infty \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Owing to the critical term, we have to face the lack of compactness. To resolve the obstacle caused by the lack of compactness, we compare the mountain pass level with the minimax level of the associated limiting problem. For this purpose, we study the existence of ground solutions to the following equation

\[
\begin{cases}
- \left( a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + Vu = f(u) + u^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), & u > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3,
\end{cases}
\]

\((KV)\)

where \( V \) is a parameter with \( 0 < V \leq V_{\max} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{V}(x) \). We have the following statement.

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume that \( f \) satisfies (F1) − (F3), then \( (KV) \) possesses a ground state solution \( \hat{u}_V \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \) for \( \mu \geq \mu_0 \), satisfying the following property

\[
I_V(\hat{u}_V) = \hat{m}_V := \inf_{u \in M_V} I_V(u) = \min_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_V(t^{1/2}(u(t)).
\]
where \((u)_t(x) := u(x/t)\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3\) and \(t > 0\), and

\[
I_V(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( a|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2 \right) dx + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] dx \tag{1.7}
\]

\[
J_V(u) = a\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + 2V\|u\|_2^2 + b\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u)u + 6F(u)]dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 dx \tag{1.8}
\]

\[
\mathcal{N}_V := \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : J_V(u) = 0 \}. \tag{1.9}
\]

The main difficulties lies in two aspects: (i) The fact that \(f(u)\) does not satisfy (AR) condition nor (MN) condition prevents us from obtaining a bounded (PS) sequence and from using the Nehari manifold. (ii) The unboundedness of \(\mathbb{R}^3\) and the presence of critical term \(u^6\) result in the lack of compactness.

Motivated by [6], we firstly consider \((K_V)\) and prove Theorem 1.3 to find semiclassical solutions of \((SK_e)\). Based on the general minimax principle [21, Proposition 2.8], we construct a Cerami sequence \(\{u_n\}\) with \(I_V(u_n) \to \gamma\) and with the extra property that \(J_V(u_n) \to 0\) which is crucial to deduce the boundedness of \(\{u_n\}\), even the (AR) condition is not satisfied. By using some new estimates and subtle analysis introduced in [6], we show that \(c_V < \frac{abS^3}{2} + \frac{b^2S^2}{2} + \frac{(b^2S^2 + a_0^2)^{1/2}}{2a_1}\) (see Lemma 3.3). More specifically, we determine the lower bound \(\mu_0\) in Theorem 1.3 (see Lemma 3.3) unlike [15].

To prove the existence of the semiclassical solutions, following the idea of Jeanjean [19](the so-called monotonicity trick) and using a new global compactness lemma of critical type developed by [22, 36], we construct a sequence \(\{u_n\}\) of the exact critical points of nearby functionals \(J_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}\) which satisfies \(\lambda_n \to 1\) and \(J'_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}(u_n) = 0\), where

\[
J_{\varepsilon, \lambda}(u) = J_\varepsilon(u) + (1 - \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] dx \text{ for all } u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } \lambda \in [1/2, 1].
\]

and show that \(J_{\varepsilon, \lambda}\) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition because of \(c_{\varepsilon, \lambda} < m_\lambda^\infty\), as proved in Lemma 4.4. The fact that \(u_n\) is the exact critical point provides additional information related to Pohozaev identity, which is important to ensure the boundedness of \(\{u_n\}\).

**Remark 1.4** As mentioned above, unlike [36], we just assume \(\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) < V_\infty\) instead of \(V(x) \leq V_\infty\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3\), which makes it difficult to show \(c_{\varepsilon} < m_\lambda^\infty\). To overcome this obstacle, we use some new energy inequalities and some subtle analysis and find two constants \(\varepsilon_0 > 0\) and \(\lambda_0 \in [1/2, 1]\) determined by \(V\) and \(f\) (see Lemma 4.4) such that

\[
c_{\varepsilon, \lambda} < m_\lambda^\infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in (\lambda_0, 1], \quad \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0].
\]

**Remark 1.5** To obtain the concentration phenomenon for ground state solution to \((SK_e)\) as \(\varepsilon \to 0\), we introduce some new proof techniques due to [6] to overcome the obstacles caused by the lack of (AR) and (MN), which is different from the previous work. Our work extended the results of [23] to critical case.

In Sect. 2, we give some preliminaries and necessary lemmas. Sect. 3 is devoted to show the existence of the ground state solution for the limited problem \((K_V)\), and give the proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof of theorem 1.1 is given in Sect. 4. We investigate the existence and concentration of the ground state solution of Nehari-Pohozaev type and complete the Proof of Theorem 1.2 in Sect. 5 and Sect. 6.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some preliminaries. We will make use of the following notations.

- \(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\) denotes the usual Sobolev space equipped with the inner product and norm \((u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v) dx, \|u\| = (u, u)^{1/2}\) for all \(u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\).
- \(|u|^q := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^q \right)^{1/q}\) for \(1 \leq q < \infty\) and \(u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)\).
• $C, C_j$ denote (possibly different) various positive constant.
• $S = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \| \nabla u \|^2_2 / \| u \|^2_2$.
• $B_r(x) := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |y - x| < r \}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $r > 0$.
• $(u, x) := u(x/t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t > 0$ along any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$.

It is easy to check that (V1) and (V3) implies that

$$h_1(t, y) := V(y) - t^4 V(ty) - \frac{2(1 - t^{6+p}/2)}{6 + p} (4V(y) + \nabla V(y) \cdot y)$$
$$\geq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that (F1) and (F3) hold. Then

$$h_2(t, \tau) := t^3 F(t^{1/2} \tau) - F(\tau) + \frac{1 - t^{6+p}/2}{6 + p} [f(\tau) \tau + 6F(\tau)]$$
$$\geq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

**Proof.** It is easy to see that for all $t \geq 0$, $h_2(t, \tau) \geq 0$. For $\tau \neq 0$, by (F3), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} h_2(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} t^{2+p/2} |\tau|^p \left[ \frac{f(t^{1/2} \tau) t^{1/2} \tau + 6F(t^{1/2} \tau)}{|t^{1/2} \tau|^p} - \frac{f(\tau) \tau + 6F(\tau)}{|\tau|^p} \right]$$
$$\begin{cases} 
\geq 0, & t \geq 1, \\
\leq 0, & t \leq 1, 
\end{cases}$$

which, together with the continuity of $h_2(\cdot, \tau)$, implies that $h_2(t, \tau) \geq h_2(1, \tau) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. This shows (2.2) holds. \qed

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume that (V1), (V2), (F1) and (F3) hold. Then

$$J_{\epsilon}(u) \geq 3(2^{1/2}(u)) + \frac{2(1 - t^{6+p}/2)}{6 + p} b_{\epsilon}(u)$$
$$+ \frac{b}{4(6 + p)} a(t) \| \nabla u \|^2_2, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), t > 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

where

$$a(t) = 8t^{6+p}/2 - (6 + p)t^6 + p - 2, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

**Proof.** Since $p > 2$, one can easily have

$$a(t) > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

By (1.1), one has

$$J_{\epsilon}(2^{1/2}(u)) = \frac{at^2}{2} \| \nabla u \|^2_2 + \frac{t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(t\varepsilon x) u^2 dx + \frac{bt^4}{4} \| \nabla u \|^2_2$$
$$- t^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t^{1/2} u) dx - \frac{1}{6} t^6 \| u \|^6_6, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), t > 0.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{1 - t^2}{2} - \frac{2(1 - t^{6+p})}{6 + p} \geq 0, \quad \forall t > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

$$\frac{1}{6} t^6 - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2(1 - t^{6+p}/2)}{6 + p} \geq 0, \quad \forall t > 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2(1 - t^{6+p}/2)}{6 + p} \geq 0, \quad \forall t > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)
From (1.1), (1.4), (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), one has

\[ I_\epsilon(u) - J_\epsilon(t^{1/2}(u)_t) \]

\[ = \frac{a(1-t^2)}{2} \| \nabla u \|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [V(tx) - t^4 V(tx)u]^2 dx + \frac{b(1-t^4)}{4} \| \nabla u \|_2^4 \]

\[ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [t^3 F(t^{1/2}(u)_t) - F(u)] dx + \frac{1}{6} (t^6 - 1) \| u \|_6^6 \]

\[ = \frac{2(1-t^{6+p}/2)}{6+p} \left\{ a \| \nabla u \|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(tx) + \nabla V(tx) \cdot (tx)u]^2 dx + b \| \nabla u \|_2^4 \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u)u + 6F(u)] dx - \frac{1}{6} t^6 - \frac{2(1-t^{6+p}/2)}{6+p} b \| \nabla u \|_2^4 \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h_1(t, tx)u^2 dx \]

\[ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ t^3 F(t^{1/2}u) - F(u) + \frac{1-t^{6+p}/2}{6+p} [f(u)u + 6F(u)] \right\} dx \]

\[ + \frac{1}{6} t^6 - \frac{2(1-t^{6+p}/2)}{6+p} \| u \|_6^6 \]

\[ \geq \frac{2(1-t^{6+p}/2)}{6+p} \| \nabla u \|_2^2 + \frac{b\alpha(t)}{4(6+p)} \| \nabla u \|_2^4, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), t > 0. \]

This shows (2.3). \( \square \)

Let \( t \to 0 \), in (2.3), we have

\[ J_\epsilon(u) \geq \frac{2}{6+p} J_\epsilon(0) + \frac{b(p-2)}{4(6+p)} \| \nabla u \|_2^4, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \]

(2.9)

Lemma 2.2 gives the following corollary immediately.

**Corollary 2.1.** Assume that (V1), (V3), (F1) and (F3) hold. Then for \( u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon \)

\[ J_\epsilon(u) = \max_{t > 0} J_\epsilon(t^{1/2}(u)_t). \]

(2.10)

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then for any \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \), there exists a unique \( t_u > 0 \) such that \( t_u^{1/2}(u)_t \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon \).

**Proof.** Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \) be fixed. Define the function \( \zeta(t) = J_\epsilon(t^{1/2}(u)_t) \) on \((0, \infty)\). By (1.4) and (2.5), we have

\[ \zeta(t) = 0 \iff \frac{a}{2} \| \nabla u \|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} t^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(tx) + \nabla V(tx) \cdot (tx)u]^2 dx \]

\[ + bt^4 \| \nabla u \|_4^4 \quad \iff \quad \frac{1}{2} t^6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(t^{1/2}u)(t^{1/2}u) + 6F(t^{1/2}u)] dx \]

\[ - t^6 \| u \|_6^6 = 0 \]

\[ \iff \zeta(t) = J_\epsilon(t^{1/2}(u)_t) = 0 \iff t^{1/2}(u)_t \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon. \]

By (F1) and (F2), we have \( \lim_{t \to 0} \zeta(t), \zeta(t) > 0 \) for \( t > 0 \) small and \( \zeta(t) < 0 \) for \( t \) large. Therefore \( \max_{t \in (0, \infty)} \zeta_t(t) \) is achieved at \( t_u > 0 \) so that \( \zeta(t_u) = 0 \) and \( t_u^{1/2}(u)_t \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon \).
Next, we claim that \( t_u \) is unique for any \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \). If not, we can assume that there exist positive constants \( t_{u,1} \neq t_{u,2} \) such that 
\[
\delta \varepsilon \left( t_{u,1}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,1}} \right) = \delta \varepsilon \left( t_{u,2}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,2}} \right) = 0, \text{ i.e. } t_{u,1}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,1}}, t_{u,2}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,2}} \in M_\varepsilon. \]
Then (2.3) and (2.4) imply
\[
J_\varepsilon \left( t_{u,1}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,1}} \right) \geq J_\varepsilon \left( t_{u,2}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,2}} \right) + \frac{2}{(6+p)t_{u,1}^{(6+p)/2}} \delta \varepsilon \left( t_{u,1}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,1}} \right) \geq \frac{2}{(6+p)t_{u,1}^{(6+p)/2}} \delta \varepsilon \left( t_{u,2}^{1/2}(u)_{t_{u,2}} \right).
\]
This contradiction shows that \( t_u > 0 \) is unique for any \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \). □

Combining Corollary 2.1 with Lemma 2.3, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then
\[
\inf_{u \in M_\varepsilon} J_\varepsilon(u) = m_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t > 0} J_\varepsilon \left( t^{1/2}(u) \right).
\]

**Lemma 2.5.** Assume that \( V \) satisfies (V1) and (V3). Then there exists \( \gamma_1 > 0 \) independent of \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[
2a\|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)]u^2 \, dx \geq \gamma_1 \|u\|^2, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).
\] (2.11)

**Proof.** By (2.1), \( \lim_{t \to 0} h_1(t, y) t^{(6+p)/2} \geq 0 \) for any \( y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \), which implies
\[
4V(y) + \nabla V(y) \cdot y \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}.
\] (2.12)

Set \( V_{\text{max}} := \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \in (0, \infty) \), and \( t_0 = (2V_{\text{max}}/V_0)^{1/6} > 1 \). Then it follows from (V1), (2.1) and (2.12) that
\[
\frac{2}{6+p} [4V(y) + \nabla V(y) \cdot y \geq \frac{2}{6+p} \left( 1 - t_0^{-(6+p)/2} \right) [4V(y) + \nabla V(y) \cdot y]
\]
\[
\geq t_0^{(2-p)/2} V(t_0 y) - t_0^{-(6+p)/2} V(y)
\]
\[
\geq t_0^{(2-p)/2} [V_0 - t_0^{-4} V_{\text{max}}]
\]
\[
= \frac{V_0}{2} \left( \frac{2V_{\text{max}}}{V_0} \right)^{(2-p)/8}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^3.
\] (2.13)

Let \( \gamma_1 = \min \left\{ 2a, \frac{V_0}{2}, \left( \frac{2V_{\text{max}}}{V_0} \right)^{(2-p)/8} \right\} \). Then (2.13) implies that (2.11) holds. □

**Lemma 2.6.** Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then exist constants \( \delta > 0, \rho_0 > 0 \) independent of \( \varepsilon \) such that \( \inf_{u \in M_\varepsilon} \|\nabla u\|^2 \geq \delta \) and \( m_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in M_\varepsilon} J_\varepsilon(u) > \rho_0 \).

**Proof.** Since \( \delta_\varepsilon(u) = 0 \) for all \( u \in M_\varepsilon \), by (F1), (1.4), (2.11) and Sobolev embedding inequality, there exists a constant \( C_0 > 0 \) independent of \( \varepsilon \) such that
\[
\gamma_1 \|u\|^2 + 2b\|\nabla u\|^2 \leq 2a\|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)]
\]
\[
\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(u)u + F(u)| \, dx + 2\|u\|^6
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\gamma_1}{2} \|u\|^2 + C_0\|u\|^6
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\gamma_1}{2} \|u\|^2 + C_0S^2 \|\nabla u\|^6_2,
\]
which implies
\[
\|\nabla u\|^2 \geq \delta := \left( \frac{2bS^3}{C_0\gamma_1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon.
\] (2.14)

By (2.9) and (2.14), one has
\[
J_\epsilon(u) = J_\epsilon(u) - \frac{2}{6+p}J_\epsilon(u) \geq \frac{b(p-2)}{4(6+p)}\|\nabla u\|^2 \geq \frac{b(p-2)}{4(6+p)}\delta^4 = \rho_0, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon.
\] (2.15)

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

As the proof of [23, Lemma 2.9], we have the following statement.

Lemma 2.7. Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. If \(\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon\) and \(J_\epsilon(u) = m_\epsilon\), then \(\tilde{u}\) is a critical point of \(J_\epsilon\).

3 Ground state solution for the limited problem

In this section, by using the following general minimax principle, we show the existence of the ground state solution for \((K_\epsilon)\), and give the proof of Theorem 1.3. To prove the existence of nontrivial solutions, we use the following general minimax principle [21, Proposition 2.8], which is a stronger version of [38, Theorem 2.8].

Lemma 3.1. Let \(X\) be a Banach space. Let \(M_0\) be a closed subspace of the metric space \(M\) and let \(\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}(M_0, X)\). Define
\[
\tilde{\Gamma} := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathbb{C}(M_0, X) : \gamma|_{M_0} \in \Gamma_0 \right\}.
\]

If \(\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})\) verifies
\[
\infty > c := \inf_{\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}} \sup_{u \in M} \varphi(\gamma(u)) > b := \sup_{\gamma_0 \in \tilde{\Gamma}} \sup_{u \in M_0} \varphi(\gamma_0(u)),
\]
then, for every \(\sigma \in (0, (c-b)/2)\), \(\delta > 0\) and \(\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}\) satisfying
\[
\sup_{M} \varphi \circ \gamma \leq c + \sigma,
\]
there exist \(u \in X\) such that
(i) \(c - 2\sigma \leq \varphi(u) \leq c + 2\sigma\),
(ii) \(\text{dist}(u, \gamma(M)) \leq 2\delta\),
(iii) \((1 + \|u\|)\|\varphi'(u)\| \leq 8\sigma / \delta\).

In the following, we apply Lemma 3.1 to obtain a Cerami sequence for the functional \(I_\epsilon\) with \(J_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0\).

Lemma 3.2. Assume that (F1) and (F2) hold. Then there exist a sequence \(\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\) satisfying
\[
I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c_\epsilon > 0, \quad \|I_\epsilon'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|) \to 0, \quad J_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0,
\] (3.1)
where
\[
c_\epsilon := \inf_{\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\epsilon(\gamma(t)), \quad \Gamma := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathbb{C}([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \gamma(0) = 0, I_\epsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0 \right\}.
\]

Proof. By (F1), one has \(I_\epsilon(tu) \to -\infty\), as \(t \to \infty\) for every \(u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\setminus \{0\}\). A standard argument shows that \(\Gamma \neq \emptyset\) and \(c_\epsilon < \infty\). Moreover, it is easy to see that there exist constants \(\rho_1 > 0\) and \(\sigma_1 > 0\) such that
\[
I_\epsilon(u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \ u, \quad \|u\| \leq \rho_1 \quad \text{and} \ I_\epsilon(u) \geq \sigma_1 \quad \text{for all} \ u, \quad \|u\| = \rho_1.
\] (3.2)

Clearly \(\gamma(0) = 0\) and \(I_\epsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0\) for every \(\gamma \in \Gamma\). Hence (3.2) implies that \(\|\gamma(1)\| > \rho_1\). There exists \(t_\gamma \in (0, 1)\) such that \(\|\gamma(t_\gamma)\| = \rho_1\). Thus, we have
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,1]} I_\epsilon(\gamma(t)) \geq I_\epsilon(\gamma(t_\gamma)) \geq \sigma_1 > 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \gamma \in \Gamma,
\]
which shows
\[ \infty > c_V = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_V(\gamma(t)) \geq \sigma_1 > 0. \] (3.3)

Let us define the continuous map \( h : \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \), \( h(s, v)(x) = e^{s/2} v(x/e^s) \) for \( s \in \mathbb{R}, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), where \( \mathbb{R} \times H^1 \) is a Banach space, with the product norm \( \| (s, v) \| := (|s|^2 + \|v\|^2)^{1/2} \). We consider the following auxiliary functional
\[
\tilde{I}_V = I_V(h(s, u)) = \frac{ae^{s^2}}{2} \| \nabla u \|^2 + \frac{V}{2} e^{s^2} \| u \|^2 + \frac{b}{4} e^{s^2} \| \nabla u \|^4 - e^{s^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(e^{s^2} u)dx - \frac{1}{6} e^{s^2} \| u \|^6.
\]

It is easy to see that \( \tilde{I}_V \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R}) \), and
\[
\partial_t \tilde{I}_V(s, u) = I'_V(h(s, u)), \quad \partial_s \tilde{I}_V(s, u)w = I'_V(h(s, u))h(s, w),
\]
for all \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( u, w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

Define the minimax value \( \tilde{c}_V \) for \( \tilde{I}_V \),
\[
\tilde{c}_V = \inf_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \tilde{I}_V(\tilde{\gamma}(t)),
\]
where
\[
\tilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ \tilde{\gamma} \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \tilde{\gamma}(0) = (0, 0), \tilde{I}_V(\tilde{\gamma}(1)) < 0 \right\}.
\]

Since \( \Gamma = \{ h \circ \tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma} \} \), it implies \( c_V = \tilde{c}_V \). By the definition of \( c_V \), for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( \gamma_n \in \Gamma \) such that
\[
\max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_V(0, \gamma_n(t)) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_V(\gamma_n(t)) \leq c_V + \frac{1}{n^2}.
\]

Then, we apply Lemma 3.1 to \( \tilde{I}_V, \, M = [0, 1], \, M_0 = \{ 0, 1 \} \) and \( X = \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Let \( \varepsilon_n = 1/n^2 \), \( \delta_n = 1/n \), and \( \tilde{\gamma}_n(t) = (0, \gamma_n(t)) \). Since (3.3) implies \( \varepsilon_n = 1/n^2 \in (0, c_V/2) \) for large \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), Lemma 3.1 yields that existence of \( (s_n, v_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \) such that, as \( n \to \infty \),
\[
I_V(s_n, v_n) \to c_V,
\]
\[
\| \tilde{I}_V(s_n, v_n) \|(1 + \| (s_n, v_n) \|) \to 0, \quad (3.4)
\]
\[
\text{dist}(s_n, v_n, \{ 0 \} \times \gamma_n([0, 1])) \to 0. \quad (3.5)
\]

Moreover, (3.5) gives that \( s_n \to 0 \). Note that for all \( (r, w) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \),
\[
\langle \tilde{I}_V'(s_n, v_n, (r, w)) \rangle = \langle I'_V(h(s_n, v_n)), h(s_n, v_n) + J'_V(h(s_n, v_n))r \rangle. \quad (3.6)
\]

Let \( u_n := h(s_n, v_n) \). Taking \( r = 1 \) and \( w = 0 \) in (3.6), we have \( I'_V(u_n) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). For every \( v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \), set \( r = 0 \) and \( w_n = e^{-s_n/2} v(x e^{s_n}) \) in (3.6), then (3.4) and (3.5) imply that
\[
| \langle I'_V(u_n), (0, w_n) \rangle |(1 + \| u_n \|) = | \langle I'_V(h(s_n, v_n)), v \rangle |(1 + \| (s_n, v_n) \|) = o(1) \| w_n \|. \quad (3.7)
\]
as \( n \to \infty \). This shows \( \{ u_n \} \) satisfies (3.1), as required. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that (F1) and (F2) hold. Then there exists \( \mu_0 > 0 \) such that for all \( \mu \geq \mu_0 \)
\[
c_V < \frac{abS^3}{4} + \frac{b^3S^6}{24} + \frac{(b^3S^4 + 4aS)^{3/2}}{24}.
\]

**Proof.** For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), consider the function
\[
U_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{(3\varepsilon)^{1/4}}{(\varepsilon + |x|^2)^{1/2}} \quad \text{for all} \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3.
\]
Note that $U_\varepsilon$ is the extremal function for the embedding $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and
\begin{equation}
S = \frac{\|U_\varepsilon\|_{2}^{2}}{\|U_\varepsilon\|_{6}^{2}} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^{2/3} \pi^2.
\end{equation}

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), [0, 1])$ be such that
\[
\eta(r) = \begin{cases} 
  r, & r \in [0, 1), \\
  2 - r, & r \in [1, 2), \\
  0, & r \in [2, \infty).
\end{cases}
\]

Set $w_\varepsilon = \eta(x)U_\varepsilon$ and $v_\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon/\|w_\varepsilon\|_6$. Inspired by [6], by a direct computation, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{h}{16\varepsilon})$ we have
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{2}^{2} & \leq S + C_1 \varepsilon^{1/2}, \\
\|v_\varepsilon\|_{2}^{2} & \leq C_2 \varepsilon^{1/2}, \\
\|v_\varepsilon\|_{6}^{2} & \geq C_3 \varepsilon^{(6-q)/(4)},
\end{align*}
where $C_1 = 16(4 \ln 2 - 1)6^{1/3}$, $C_2 = 16\sqrt{3}$, $C_3 = 2(6-q)^3(8-q)/(4)\varepsilon(2-q)/2$. From (F2), (1.7) and (3.9)–(3.11), one has, for all $t > 0$
\begin{align*}
I_v(tv_\varepsilon) & \leq \frac{at^2}{2} \left( S + C_1 \varepsilon^{1/2} \right) + \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{2} \varepsilon C_2 \varepsilon^{1/2} + \frac{bt^4}{4} \left( S + C_1 \varepsilon^{1/2} \right)^2 \\
& \quad - \mu t^6 C_3 \varepsilon^{(6-q)/(4)} + \mu_1 t^6 C_2 \varepsilon^{1/2} - \frac{1}{6} t^6 \\
& \leq \frac{at^2}{2} \left[ S + C_1 \varepsilon^{1/2} + V_{\text{max}} \varepsilon C_2 \varepsilon^{1/2} + (bC_1 + 2bC_2) \frac{t^2}{2} + (bC_1 + 2bC_2) \frac{t^{3}}{4} \right] \\
& \quad - \mu t^6 C_3 \varepsilon^{(6-q)/(4)} \\
& = \frac{t^2}{2} \left( aS + ac_1 \varepsilon^{1/2} + V_{\text{max}} \varepsilon C_2 \varepsilon^{1/2} + (bC_1 + 2bC_2) \frac{t^2}{2} + (bC_1 + 2bC_2) \frac{t^{3}}{4} \right) \varepsilon^{1/2} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{6} t^6 - \mu t^6 C_3 \varepsilon^{(6-q)/(4)}.
\end{align*}

Then, define the functions on $[0, \infty)$
\begin{align*}
\eta_1(t) & = \frac{at^2}{2} + \frac{bS^2}{4} - t^6 - \frac{1}{6} t^6, \\
\eta_2(t) & = \left[ (aC_1 + V_{\text{max}} C_2 \varepsilon + 2C_2 \mu_1) \frac{t^2}{2} + (bC_1 + 2bC_2) \frac{t^{3}}{4} \right] \varepsilon^{1/2}, \\
\eta_3(t) & = -\mu t^6 C_3 \varepsilon^{(6-q)/(4)}.
\end{align*}

It is easy to see that
\begin{equation}
I_v(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \eta_1(t) + \eta_2(t) \varepsilon^{1/2} + \eta_3(t). \tag{3.15}
\end{equation}

Let $\varepsilon_0 := \min \left\{ \varepsilon_{1/3} \left( \frac{aS_1}{t^2}, \left( \frac{aS_1}{t^2 + 2V_{\text{max}} \mu_1 t^2} \right)^{1/2} \right), \left( \frac{bS^2}{2bC_1} + 4bC_2 \frac{t^2}{4} \right)^{1/2} \right\}$, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, it is easily checked that $K(t) = \eta_1(t) + \eta_2(t) \varepsilon^{1/2}$ is increasing on $[0, t_0/\sqrt{2}]$ and decreasing on $[\sqrt{2}t_0, \infty]$, where $t_0 = \left( \frac{bS^2 + \sqrt{bS^4 + 4aS^2}}{2} \right)^{1/2}$.

We distinguish three cases.

1) $0 < t \leq \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}}$. From (3.12), (3.13) and (3.15), we have for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$
\begin{equation}
I_v(tv_\varepsilon) < K(t) \leq K \left( \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right) < \eta_1(t_0) - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \eta_1(t_0) - \eta_1 \left( \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] + \eta_2 \left( \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \varepsilon^{1/2}. \tag{3.16}
\end{equation}
Let \( \varepsilon_1 := \left( \frac{\eta_1(t_0) - \eta_1(t_0)}{2\eta_1(\frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}})} \right)^2 \), and we have \( I_V(tv_\varepsilon) < \eta_1(t_0) \) with \( 0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\} \) from (3.16). It shows
\[
\sup_{t \in (0, \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}}]} I_V(tv_\varepsilon) < \eta_1(t_0) \text{ for all } \varepsilon, \text{ with } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\}. \tag{3.17}
\]

2) \( t \geq \sqrt{2}t_0 \). In this case, (3.12), (3.13) and (3.15) imply that for all \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \)
\[
I_V(tv_\varepsilon) \leq K(\sqrt{2}t_0) < \eta_1(t_0) - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \eta_1(t_0) - \eta_1(\sqrt{2}t_0) \right] + \eta_2(\sqrt{2}t_0)\varepsilon^{1/2}. \tag{3.18}
\]
Let \( \varepsilon_2 := \left( \frac{\eta_1(t_0) - \eta_1(\sqrt{2}t_0)}{2\eta_1(\sqrt{2}t_0)} \right)^2 \), and we have \( I_V(tv_\varepsilon) < \eta_1(t_0) \) with \( 0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\} \) from (3.18). It shows
\[
\sup_{t \in (\sqrt{2}t_0, \infty)} I_V(tv_\varepsilon) < \eta_1(t_0) \text{ for all } \varepsilon, \text{ with } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}. \tag{3.19}
\]

3) \( \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}} < t < \sqrt{2}t_0 \). Note that
\[
I_V(tv_\varepsilon) < \eta_1(t_0) + \eta_2(\sqrt{2}t_0)\varepsilon^{1/2} + \eta_3 \left( \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \tag{3.20}
\]
let \( \varepsilon_3 = \frac{2^{q/2}\eta_2(\sqrt{2}t_0)}{\mu_0 t_0} \) and \( \varepsilon_4 = \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\} \), then (3.20) implies that
\[
\sup_{t \in \left( \frac{t_0}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2}t_0 \right]} I_V(tv_\varepsilon) < \eta(t_0), \tag{3.21}
\]
provided that \( \mu \geq \mu_0 \), with \( \mu_0 = \frac{\eta_2(\sqrt{2}t_0)2^{q/2}c_3}{\varepsilon_4^{(q-6)/4}} \).

It follows from (3.17), (3.19), (3.21), we have
\[
c_V \leq \sup_{t_0} I_V(tv_\varepsilon) < \eta_1(t_0) = \frac{abS^3}{4} + \frac{b^2S^5}{24} + \frac{(b^2S^4 + 4\alpha S^4)^{3/2}}{24}
\]
for all \( \mu \geq \mu_0 \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume that (F1)–(F3) hold. Then \( c_V = \hat{m}_V \).

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.4, we deduce that \( c_V \leq \hat{m}_V \). Next, we show that \( c_V \geq \hat{m}_V \). By (2.2),
\[
h_2(0, \tau) = \frac{1}{6 + p} [f(\tau)\tau - pF(\tau)], \ \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.22}
\]
Note that for all \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \),
\[
I_V(u) - \frac{2}{6 + p} J_V(u) = \frac{(p + 2)\alpha}{2(6 + p)} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{(p - 2)b}{4(6 + p)} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{p - 2}{2(6 + p)} V\|u\|^2
+ \frac{1}{6 + p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u)u - pF(u)] dx + \frac{6 - p}{6(6 + p)} \|u\|^6
\leq \frac{(p + 2)\alpha}{2(6 + p)} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{(p - 2)b}{4(6 + p)} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{p - 2}{2(6 + p)} V\|u\|^2
+ \frac{6 - p}{6(6 + p)} \|u\|^6
\]  \tag{3.23}
by the definitions of \( I_V, J_V \geq 0 \) and (3.22). Since \( \|\gamma(1)\| > \rho_1, I_V(\gamma(1)) < 0 \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma_V \), then (3.26) implies
\[
I_V(\gamma(1)) - \frac{2}{6 + p} J_V(\gamma(1)) > 0,
\]  \tag{3.24}
which shows \( J_V(\gamma(1)) < 0 \).
From $J_V(0) = 0$, (F1) and $J_V(\gamma(1)) < 0$, there exist $\rho_2 \in (0, \|\gamma(1)\|)$ and $\sigma_2 \geq 0$ such that $J_V(u) \geq \sigma_2$ for all $\|u\| = \rho_2$, which implies that there exist $t_V \in (0, 1)$ such that $J_V(\gamma(t_V)) \geq \sigma_2$. Thus, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_V$ has to cross $N_V$, and $c_V \geq m_V$. This shows that $c_V = m_V$. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** In view of Lemma 3.2, there exist a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying (3.1). By (3.1), (3.22) and (3.23), we have

$$c_V + o(1) = I_V(u_n) - \frac{2}{6 + p} J_V(u_n) \geq \frac{(p + 2)a}{2(6 + p)} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{p - 2}{2(6 + p)} V\|u_n\|_2^2,$$

which implies $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Next, we claim that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n|^2 \, dx > 0. \tag{3.25}$$

If not, then Lions’ concentration compactness principle implies that $u_n \to 0$ in $L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $s \in (2, 6)$. By (F1), we have for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constant $C_\varepsilon$ such that

$$|f(\tau)\tau| + |F(\tau) \leq \varepsilon (\tau^2 + \tau^6) + C_\varepsilon |\tau|^4 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.26}$$

Thus, as $n \to \infty$

$$o(1) = \langle I_V'(u_n), u_n \rangle = a \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + V\|u_n\|_2^2 + b\|\nabla u_n\|_6^4 - \|u_n\|_6^6 + o(1), \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$c_V + o(1) = \frac{a}{2} \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + V\|u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|\nabla u_n\|_6^4 - \frac{1}{6} \|u_n\|_6^6 + o(1). \tag{3.28}$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $c_V > 0$, up to a subsequence, we may assume there exists constants $l_1, l_2 > 0$ such that

$$a \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + V\|u_n\|_2^2 \to l_1, \quad b\|\nabla u_n\|_6^4 \to l_2, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.29}$$

Together with (3.27), (3.28) and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$c_V = \frac{1}{3} l_1 + \frac{1}{12} l_2,$$

$$a \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + V\|u_n\|_2^2 \geq aS\|u_n\|_6^2, \quad b\|\nabla u_n\|_6^4 \geq bS^2\|u_n\|_6^4.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above two inequalities, we achieve that

$$l_1 \geq aS(l_1 + l_2)^{1/3}, \tag{3.30}$$

$$l_2 \geq (l_1 + l_2)^{2/3}. \tag{3.31}$$

Combining with (3.30) and (3.31), we have

$$(l_1 + l_2)^{1/3} \geq \frac{bS^2 + (b^2S^4 + 4aS)^{1/2}}{2}$$

and

$$c_V = \frac{1}{3} l_1 + \frac{1}{12} l_2 \geq \frac{1}{3}(l_1 + l_2)^{1/3} + \frac{1}{12}(l_1 + l_2)^{2/3} \geq \frac{abS^3}{4} + \frac{b^3S^6}{24} + \frac{(b^2S^4 + 4aS)^{3/2}}{24}.$$ 

This contradiction shows that (3.25) holds. Thus there exist $\delta > 0$ and a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\int_{B_1(y_n)} |u_n|^2 \, dx > \delta$. Let $\tilde{u}_n = u_n(x + y_n)$. Then (3.1) gives

$$I_V(\tilde{u}_n) \to c_V > 0, \quad I_V'(\tilde{u}_n) \to 0, \quad J_V(\tilde{u}_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.32}$$
Lemma 2.9, implies which is a contradiction. Hence we have Moreover, $\hat{u}$ is nontrivial, and $\hat{u}$ satisfies
\begin{equation}
-(a + bA^2)\Delta \hat{u} + V\hat{u} = f(\hat{u}) + \hat{u}^5,
\end{equation}
where $A^2 := \lim_{r \to \infty} \|\nabla \hat{u}\|_2^2$ and $\|\nabla \hat{u}\|_2^2 \leq A^2$. Hence, we have the following equalities
\begin{align}
\frac{1}{2}(a + bA^2)\|\nabla \hat{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{3}{2}V\|\hat{u}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(\hat{u})dx + \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{u}\|_6^6. 
\end{align}
Next, we show that $J_V(\hat{u}) = 0$. From (1.8) and (3.4), we have
\[ J_V(\hat{u}) = b\|\nabla \hat{u}\|_2^2(\|\nabla \hat{u}\|_2^2 - A^2) \leq 0. \]
If $J_V(\hat{u}) < 0$, it follows from Lemma 2.3, which is also true for $I_V$ and $J_V$, that there exist a unique $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $J_V(t^{1/2}(\hat{u})) = 0$. Combining with (2.3), (3.22), (3.23), (3.32), the weak semicontinuity of norm, Fatou’s lemma and Lemma 3.4 that
\[ \hat{m}_V = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ I_V(\hat{u}_n) - \frac{2}{6 + p} I_V(\hat{u}) \right] \]
\[ = \frac{(p + 2)a}{2(6 + p)} \|\nabla \hat{u}_n\|_2^2 + \frac{(p - 2)b}{4(6 + p)} \|\nabla \hat{u}_n\|_2^6 + \frac{p - 2}{2(6 + p)} V\|\hat{u}_n\|_6^2 
\]
\[ + \frac{1}{6 + p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(\hat{u}_n)\hat{u}_n - pF(\hat{u}_n)]dx + \frac{6 - p}{6(6 + p)} \|\hat{u}_n\|_6^6 
\]
\[ \geq \frac{(p + 2)a}{2(6 + p)} \|\nabla \hat{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{(p - 2)b}{4(6 + p)} \|\nabla \hat{u}\|_2^6 + \frac{p - 2}{2(6 + p)} V\|\hat{u}\|_6^2 
\]
\[ + \frac{1}{6 + p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(\hat{u})\hat{u} - pF(\hat{u})]dx + \frac{6 - p}{6(6 + p)} \|\hat{u}\|_6^6 
\]
\[ = I_V(\hat{u}) - \frac{2}{6 + p} I_V(\hat{u}) 
\]
\[ \geq I_V(t^{1/2}(\hat{u})) - \frac{2t(6+p)/2}{6 + p} I_V(u) 
\]
\[ \geq \hat{m}_V - \frac{2t(6+p)/2}{6 + p} I_V(u) > \hat{m}_V, \]
which is a contradiction. Hence we have $J_V(\hat{u}) = 0$ and $I_V(\hat{u}) = \hat{m}_V$ which, together with Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.9, implies
\[ I_V(\hat{u}) = \hat{m}_V := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_V} I_V(u) = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_V(t^{1/2}(u)), \]
\[ I'_V(\hat{u}) = 0. \]
This completes the proof. \[ \square \]
4 Existence of the ground state solutions

In this section, by using the Jeanjean’s monotonicity trick [19, Theorem 1.1], we show the existence of ground state solutions for \((SK_e)\). To this end, for \(\lambda \in [1/2,1]\), we introduce two families of functionals on \(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\) defined by

\[
J_{e,\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a|\nabla u|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)u^2 \, dx + \frac{b}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] \, dx, \tag{4.1}
\]

and

\[
J_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a|\nabla u|^2 + V_{\infty}u^2 \, dx + \frac{b}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] \, dx. \tag{4.2}
\]

In the same way as in [14], we can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume that \((V1), (V2), (F1)\) and \((F2)\) hold. Let \(u\) be the critical points of \(J_{e,\lambda}\) in \(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\), then we have the following Pohožaev type identity

\[
P_{e,\lambda}(u) = a \|\nabla u\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [3V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)]u^2 \, dx + \frac{b}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2_2 - 3\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6] \, dx.
\]

Set \(J_{e,\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle J_{e,\lambda}^\prime(u), u \right\rangle + P_{e,\lambda}(u)\) for all \(\lambda \in [1/2, 1]\), one has

\[
J_{e,\lambda}(u) = a \|\nabla u\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)]u^2 \, dx
\]

\[
+ b \|\nabla u\|^2_2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u)u + 6F(u)] \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 \, dx. \tag{4.3}
\]

Correspondingly, for \(\lambda \in [1/2, 1]\) we also let

\[
J_{\lambda}(u) = a \|\nabla u\|^2_2 + 2V_{\infty} \|u\|^2_2 + b \|\nabla u\|^2_2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u)u + 6F(u)] \, dx - \lambda \|u\|^6_6, \tag{4.4}
\]

\[
M_{\lambda}^\infty = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : J_{\lambda}(u) = 0\}, \quad m_{\lambda}^\infty = \inf_{u \in M_{\lambda}^\infty} J_{\lambda}(u). \tag{4.5}
\]

Immediately, Lemma 2.2 implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume \((F1), (F3)\) hold. Then

\[
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq J_{\lambda}^\infty \left( t^{1/2}(u) \right) + \frac{2(1 - t^{(6+p)/2})}{6 + p} \beta_{\lambda}(u)
\]

\[
+ \frac{b}{4(6 + p)} a(t)(2V_{\infty} \|u\|^2_2 + \|\nabla u\|^2_2), \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \ t > 0.
\]

For \(\lambda \in [1/2, 1]\), let

\[
J_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a|\nabla u|^2 + V_{\max}u^2 \, dx + \frac{b}{4} \|\nabla u\|^2_2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] \, dx, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{4.7}
\]

In view of Theorem 1.3, under \((F1)-(F3)\), there exists \(u^\infty \in M_{\lambda}^\infty\) such that

\[
(J_{\lambda}^\infty)'(u^\infty) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\lambda}^\infty = J_{\lambda}^\infty(u^\infty).
\]
From (F2) and (3.22), we can deduce that the function
\[ J_{\lambda}^{t} \left( t^{1/2}(u^\infty) \right) < 0, \quad \forall t \geq T. \] (4.8)

By (4.8) and simple calculation, we can derive the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** Assume that (V1), (V2) and (F1)-(F3) hold. Then
(i) \( J_{\lambda,\varepsilon} \left( T^{1/2}(u^\infty) \right) < 0 \) for all \( \lambda \in [1/2, 1] \) and \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \);
(ii) there exist a constant \( \kappa_0 \) independent of \( \lambda \) and \( \varepsilon \) such that for all \( \lambda \in [1/2, 1] \) and \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \),
\[ c_{\varepsilon,\lambda} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\varepsilon,\lambda} (\gamma(t)) \geq \kappa_0 > \max \left\{ J_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(0), J_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \left( T^{1/2}(u^\infty) \right) \right\}, \] (4.9)
where
\[ \Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = T^{1/2}(u^\infty) \right\}; \] (4.10)
(iii) \( m^\infty_\lambda \) is non-increasing on \( \lambda \in [1/2, 1] \);
(iv) \( c_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \) is non-increasing and left continuous on \( \lambda \in [1/2, 1] \) for \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \).

Since \( V \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), \( V(0) < V\infty \) and \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \), then there exist \( \bar{r} > 0 \) and \( R > 0 \) such that
\[ V\infty - V(x) > \frac{1}{4}(V\infty - V(0)), \quad \forall |x| \leq \bar{r}, \] (4.11)
\[ |V\infty - V(0) + 4 \cdot 3^6(V_{\text{max}} - V\infty)| \int_{|x| > R} |u^\infty|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2}(V\infty - V(0))|u^\infty|^2_2, \] (4.12)
and
\[ T^4(V_{\text{max}} - V\infty) \int_{|x| > R} |u^\infty|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{\min\{a(1/2), a(3/2)\}}{6 + p} V\infty |u^\infty|^2_2. \] (4.13)

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume that (V1), (V2) and (F1)-(F3) hold. Then there exists \( \bar{\lambda} \in [1/2, 1] \) such that \( c_{\varepsilon,\lambda} < m^\infty_\lambda \) for \( \lambda \in (\bar{\lambda}, 1) \) and \( \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_*] \), where \( \varepsilon_* = \bar{r}/RT \).

**Proof.** For any \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \), it is easy to see that \( J_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t^{1/2}(u^\infty)) \) is continuous on \( t \in (0, \infty) \). Hence for any \( \lambda \in [1/2, 1] \) and \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \), there exists a \( t_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in (0, T) \) such that \( J_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \left( t_{\varepsilon,\lambda}^{1/2}(u^\infty) \right) = \max_{t \in (0, T]} J_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t^{1/2}(u^\infty)) \). Set
\[ \gamma_0(t) = \begin{cases} (tT)^{1/2}(u^\infty)_{(tT)}, & \text{for } t > 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } t = 0. \end{cases} \] Then \( \gamma_0 \in \Gamma, \Gamma \) is defined in (4.10). Moreover, we have
\[ J_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \left( (t_{\varepsilon,\lambda})^{1/2}(u^\infty) \right) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(\gamma_0(t)) \geq c_{\varepsilon,\lambda}. \] (4.14)

From (F2) and (3.22), we can deduce that the function \( F(t)/t^{p-1} \) is nondecreasing on \( t \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty) \).
Since \( t_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \in (0, T) \), then
\[ \frac{F((t_{\varepsilon,\lambda}))^{1/2}(u^\infty)}{(t_{\varepsilon,\lambda})^{p/2}} \geq \frac{F(T^{1/2}(u^\infty))}{T^{p/2}}. \] (4.15)

Let
\[ \bar{\lambda} = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{[V\infty - V(0)]|u^\infty|^2_2}{2^8 T^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t^{1/2}(u^\infty)) \, dx + T^3 |u^\infty|^2_6}, \frac{\min\{a(1/2), a(3/2)\}}{4(6 + p) T^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t^{1/2}(u^\infty)) \, dx + T^3 |u^\infty|^2_6 \right\}. \] (4.16)

Then \( 1/2 \leq \bar{\lambda} < 1 \). We have two cases to distinguish.
Case (i) \( t_{e,A} \in \{1/2, 3/2\} \). From (4.1), (4.2), (4.6)–(4.16) and Lemma 4.3(iii), we have for all \( \lambda \in (\lambda_*, 1) \) and \( \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_*] 
\]
\[
m_{\lambda}^{\infty} \geq m_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} = m_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left( t_{e,A} \right)^{1/2} \left( u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right)_{t_{e,A}} \quad \text{and} \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( t_{e,A} \right)^{1/2} \left( u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right)_{t_{e,A}} \right] - (1 - \lambda) (t_{e,A})^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F \left( (t_{e,A})^{1/2} u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right) + (t_{e,A})^{3} \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{6} \right] dx \\
+ \frac{(t_{e,A})^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ |V_{\infty} - V(t_{e,A}x)| \right] \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{2} dx \\
\geq c_{e,A} - (1 - \lambda) T^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F \left( (t_{e,A})^{1/2} u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right) + T^{3} \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{6} \right] dx \\
+ \frac{V_{\infty} - V(0)}{2T} \int_{|x| > R} \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{2} dx \\
> c_{e,A}. 
\]

Case (ii) \( t_{e,A} \in (0, 1/2) \cup (3/2, T) \). From (4.1), (4.2), (4.11), (4.13)–(4.16) and Lemma 4.3(iii), we have

\[
m_{\lambda}^{\infty} \geq m_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} = m_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left( t_{e,A} \right)^{1/2} \left( u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right)_{t_{e,A}} \quad \text{and} \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( t_{e,A} \right)^{1/2} \left( u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right)_{t_{e,A}} \right] - (1 - \lambda) (t_{e,A})^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F \left( (t_{e,A})^{1/2} u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right) + (t_{e,A})^{3} \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{6} \right] dx \\
+ \frac{(t_{e,A})^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ |V_{\infty} - V(t_{e,A}x)| \right] \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{2} dx \\
\geq c_{e,A} - (1 - \lambda) T^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F \left( (t_{e,A})^{1/2} u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right) + T^{3} \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{6} \right] dx \\
+ \frac{V_{\infty} - V(0)}{2T} \int_{|x| > R} \left| u_{\infty}^{\infty} \right|^{2} dx \\
> c_{e,A} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (\lambda_*, 1), \quad \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_*]. 
\]

In both cases, we conclude that \( c_{e,A} < m_{\lambda}^{\infty} \) for all \( \lambda \in (\lambda_*, 1) \) and \( \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_*] \). □

Similarly to the proofs of Lemma 3.3 and 3.4, we have that \( m_{\lambda}^{\infty} < \frac{a \varepsilon}{T} \left( \frac{h \kappa^{2} + \sqrt{\gamma \kappa^{4} + 4\mu \kappa}}{2A} \right)^{2} \) under the assumption of Theorem 1.1. Then, analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [22], we can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** Assume that (V1), (V2) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Let \( \{u_{n}\} \) be a bounded (PS) sequence of \( c_{e,A} \) for \( t_{e,A} \) with \( \lambda \in [1/2, 1] \). Then there exists a subsequence of \( \{u_{n}\} \), still denoted by \( \{u_{n}\} \), and \( u_{0} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) such that \( A^{2} := \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\nabla u_{n}\| \) exist, \( u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \) in \( H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( G_{e,A}(u_{0}) = 0 \), where

\[
G_{e,A}(u) = \frac{a + h \kappa^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)u^{2} dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^{6} \right] dx 
\]

and either
(i) $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$; or  
(ii) there exist an integer $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w^1, \ldots, w^l \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(G^\infty_A(w^k)) = 0$, for $1 \leq k \leq l$, and

$$c_{e,A} + \frac{bA^6}{4} = G_{e,A}(u_0) + \sum_{k=1}^l G_A^\infty(w^k);$$

$$A^2 = \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^l \|\nabla w^k\|_2^2,$$

where

$$G_A^\infty(u) = \frac{a + bA^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\infty} u^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] \, dx. \quad (4.18)$$

**Lemma 4.6.** Assume that (V1), (V2) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then for every $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon^*)$ and for almost every $\lambda \in (\bar{\lambda}, 1)$, there exists $u_{e,A} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(J_{e,A})(u_{e,A}) = 0, \quad J_{e,A}(u_{e,A}) = c_{e,A}. \quad (4.19)$$

**Proof.** By [19, Theorem 1.1], we have that for almost every $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$, and for every $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon^*)$, there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n(\lambda, \varepsilon)\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denoted by $\{u_n\}$ for simplicity, such that

$$J_{e,A}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{e,A} > 0, \quad \|J_{e,A}(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.20)$$

From Lemma 4.5, it can be deduced easily that there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, and $u_{e,A} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $A_{e,A}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{e,A}\|$ exists, $u_n \rightarrow u_{e,A}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(H_{e,A})(u_{e,A}) = 0$, and either (i) or (ii) occurs, where

$$H_{e,A}(u) = \frac{a + bA^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{(\varepsilon)} u^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] \, dx. \quad (4.21)$$

If (ii) occurs, i.e. there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w^1, \ldots, w^l \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(H_{e,A})'(w^k) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq l,$

$$c_{e,A} + \frac{bA^6}{4} = G_{e,A}(u_{e,A}) + \sum_{k=1}^l G_A^\infty(w^k); \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$A_{e,A}^2 = \|\nabla u_{e,A}\|_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^l \|\nabla w^k\|_2^2, \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$H_A^\infty(u) = \frac{a + bA^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\infty} u^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right] \, dx. \quad (4.24)$$

Since $(H_{e,A})'(u_{e,A}) = 0$, then we have the Pohožaev identity of the functional $H_{e,A}$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{e,A}(u_{e,A}) = \frac{a + bA^2}{2} \|\nabla u_{e,A}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ 2V(\varepsilon) + \nabla V(\varepsilon) \cdot (\varepsilon) \right] u_{e,A}^2 \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{b}{2} \|\nabla u_{e,A}\|_2^2 - 3\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(u_{e,A}) + \frac{1}{6} u_{e,A}^6 \right] \, dx. \quad (4.24)$$

It follows from (3.22), (4.21) and (4.24), that

$$H_{e,A}(u_{e,A}) = H_{e,A}(u_{e,A}) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (H_{e,A})'(u_{e,A}), u_{e,A} \right] - \frac{bA^2_{e,A}}{2} \|\nabla u_{e,A}\|_2.$$
Since \((H_{\lambda}^\infty)'(w^k) = 0\), then we have the Pohožaev identity of the functional \(H_{\lambda}^\infty\)

\[
\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) = \frac{a + bA^2}{2} \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\infty}(w^k)^2 \, dx \\
+ \frac{b}{2} \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} - 3\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(w^k) + \frac{1}{6} (w^k)^6 \right] \, dx.
\] (4.26)

Then, from (4.4), (4.23), (4.24) and (4.26), we have

\[
0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle (H_{\lambda}^\infty)'w^k, w^k \rangle + \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) = \frac{a + bA^2}{2} \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\infty}(w^k)^2 \, dx \\
+ \frac{b}{2} \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} - 3\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(w^k) + \frac{1}{6} (w^k)^6 \right] \, dx.
\] (4.27)

It follows from (4.22), (4.23), (4.25) and (4.28) that

\[
H_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) = H_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \langle (H_{\lambda}^\infty)'w^k, w^k \rangle + \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) \right] \\
= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{6 + p} \right) (a + bA^2) \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} + \frac{p - 2}{6 + p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\infty}(w^k)^2 \, dx \\
+ \frac{2\lambda}{6 + p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ f(w^k)w^k - pF(w^k) \right] \, dx + \frac{(1 - p)\lambda}{6(6 + p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| w^k \right|^6 \, dx
\] (4.28)

\[
\geq \frac{1}{4} bA^2 \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} + \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) \\
\geq \frac{1}{4} bA^2 \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} + \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) + \frac{1}{4} bA^2 \frac{\lambda}{2} \\
\geq \frac{1}{4} bA^2 \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \nabla w^k \right\|^2_{2} + m_{\lambda}^\infty.
\]

It follows from (4.22), (4.23), (4.25) and (4.28) that

\[
c_{\varepsilon, \lambda} + \frac{bA^2}{4} = H_{\lambda}(u_{\varepsilon, \lambda}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} H_{\lambda}^\infty(w^k) \\
\geq \frac{1}{4} bA^2 \left\| \nabla u_{\varepsilon, \lambda} \right\|^2_{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\| w^k \right\|^2_{2} \\
\geq m_{\lambda}^\infty + \frac{bA^2}{4}, \quad \forall \lambda \in (\bar{\lambda}, 1), \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon].
\]

It contradicts with Lemma 4.4. Thus \(u_n \to u_{\varepsilon, \lambda}\) in \(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\) and \(J_{\varepsilon, \lambda}(u_{\varepsilon, \lambda}) = c_{\varepsilon, \lambda}. \quad \square\)

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In view of Lemma 4.6, for any fixed \(\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon]\), there exist two sequences \(\lambda_n \in [\bar{\lambda}, 1]\) and \(\{u_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}\), denoted by \(\{u_n\}\), such that

\[
\lambda_n \to 1, \quad (J_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}(u_n)) = 0, \quad J_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}(u_n) = c_{\varepsilon, \lambda}.
\] (4.29)

Then, it follows from (V2), (3.26), (4.1), and Lemma 4.3 (iv), that

\[
c_{\varepsilon, 1} + o(1) = c_{\varepsilon, \lambda} = J_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}(u_n) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}(u_n) \geq \frac{p + 2}{2(6 + p)} a \left\| \nabla u_n \right\|^2_{2}.
\] (4.30)

Since \((J_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n}(u_n), u_n) = 0\), from (4.29), (4.30) and the Sobolev embedding inequality, we can deduce that \(u_n\) is bounded in \(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\). Since \(c_{\varepsilon, \lambda_n} \to c_{\varepsilon, 1}\), similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6, for any fixed \(\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon]\), we derive that there exist \(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}\) such that

\[
\mathcal{J}'_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) = 0, \quad J_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) = c_{\varepsilon, 1} > 0.
\] (4.31)
For $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_1]$, set
\[\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : J'_\varepsilon(u) = 0 \}, \quad m_\varepsilon^* = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon} J_\varepsilon(u).\]

Then (4.31) shows that $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ and $m_\varepsilon^* \leq c_{\varepsilon,1}$. Since $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ and Lemma 4.4, we also have $0 < m_\varepsilon < m_\varepsilon^* < c_{\varepsilon,1}$. Let $\{u_\varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$ be such that $J'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0$ and $J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \to m_\varepsilon^*$. Arguing as the proof of Lemma 4.6, we can prove that there exists $u_\varepsilon^* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $J'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^*) = m_\varepsilon$ and $J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^*) = 0$. This shows that $u_\varepsilon^*$ is a ground state solution of $(PK_\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_1]$. Hence, the function $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(x/\varepsilon)$ is a ground state solution of $(SK_\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_1]$. □

5 Ground state solutions of Nehari-pohožaev type

In this section, we consider the existence of ground state solution of $(PK_\varepsilon)$. By (1.1), (1.2), (1.4), (1.5), (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9), one has $J_0 = I_{V_0}$, $I_0 = I_{V_0}$ and $M_0 = \tilde{M}_{V_0}$. Let
\[\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{1}{2}(V_\infty + V_0) = \frac{1}{2}(V_\infty + V(0)).\tag{5.1}\]

Applying Theorem 1.3, there exist $\hat{u}_0 \in \tilde{M}_{V_0}$ and $\hat{u} \in \hat{M}_\varepsilon$ such that
\[J'_0(\hat{u}_0) = 0, \quad J_0(\hat{u}_0) = m_0 = \inf_{u \in M_0} J_0(u) = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} J_0\left(t^{1/2}(u)\right) > 0\tag{5.2}\]

and
\[I'_\varepsilon(\hat{u}) = 0, \quad I_\varepsilon(\hat{u}) = \hat{m}_\varepsilon = \inf_{\hat{u} \in \hat{M}_\varepsilon} I_\varepsilon(\hat{u}) = \inf_{\hat{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} I_\varepsilon\left(t^{1/2}(u)\right) > 0.\tag{5.3}\]

In view of Lemma 2.3, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that
\[t_0^{1/2}(\hat{u})t_0 \in M_0, \quad J_0\left(t_0^{1/2}(\hat{u})t_0\right) \geq m_0.\tag{5.4}\]

Let
\[I_s(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a |\nabla u|^2 + V_{\text{max}} u^2) dx + \frac{b}{4} \|\nabla u\|^4_2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(F(u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6\right) dx, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).\tag{5.5}\]

Using (F1), (F2) and (4.8), it is easy to check that there exists $T_0 > 1$ such that
\[I_s\left(t^{1/2}(u)\right) < 0, \quad \forall t > T_0.\tag{5.6}\]

In view of (5.6) and Lemma 2.3, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_\varepsilon \in (0, T_0)$ such that
\[t_\varepsilon^{1/2}(\hat{u}_0)t_\varepsilon \in M_\varepsilon, \quad J_\varepsilon\left(t_\varepsilon^{1/2}(\hat{u}_0)t_\varepsilon\right) \geq m_\varepsilon.\tag{5.7}\]

Lemma 5.1. Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then $\hat{m}_\varepsilon \geq m_0 + \delta_0$, where
\[\delta_0 = \frac{V_\infty - V_0}{4} t_0^3 \|\hat{u}\|^2_2 > 0\tag{5.8}\]
is independent of $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. By (5.3) and (5.4),
\[\hat{m}_\varepsilon = I'_\varepsilon(\hat{u}) \geq I'_\varepsilon\left(t_\varepsilon^{1/2}(\hat{u})t_\varepsilon\right) = J_0\left(t_\varepsilon^{1/2}(\hat{u})t_\varepsilon\right) + \frac{\hat{\mathcal{I}} - V_\varepsilon}{2} t_\varepsilon^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{u}|^2 dx \geq m_0 + \frac{V_\infty - V_0}{4} t_0^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{u}|^2 dx = m_0 + \delta_0.\tag{5.9}\]
Now we choose $R_0 > 0$ large such that
\[
V(x) \geq \bar{V}, \quad \left[ 1 + T_0^{(6+p)/2} \right] V_{\max} \int_{|x| > R_0} \hat{u}_0 dx \leq \delta_0, \quad \forall |x| > R_0. \tag{5.9}
\]

By (V1) and (V3), there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that
\[
\|\hat{u}_0\|^2 \sup_{|x| \leq R_0} \left\{ \left[ p - 2 + 8 T_0^{(6+p)/2} \right] |V(\varepsilon x) - V(0)| \right. \\
+ 2 \left[ 1 + T_0^{(6+p)/2} \right] |\nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)| \right\} \leq \frac{\delta_0(6 + p)}{2}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]. \tag{5.10}
\]

**Lemma 5.2.** Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then $m_0 \geq m_\varepsilon - 3 \delta_0/4$ for every $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$.

**Proof.** By (2.1), we have $h_1(0, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, which, together with (2.12) implies
\[
-4 V(y) \leq \nabla V(y) \cdot y \leq \frac{p - 2}{2} V(y), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{5.11}
\]

Since $\partial_0(\hat{u}_0) = 0$ by (5.2), it follows from (1.1), (1.4), (2.3), (5.7), (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11) that
\[
m_0 = \partial_0(\hat{u}_0) = \partial_\varepsilon(\hat{u}_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ V(0) - V(\varepsilon x) \right] \hat{u}_0^2 dx \\
\geq \partial_\varepsilon \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} (\hat{u}_0) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ V(0) - V(\varepsilon x) \right] \hat{u}_0^2 dx \\
= \partial_\varepsilon \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} (\hat{u}_0) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ V(0) - V(\varepsilon x) \right] \hat{u}_0^2 dx \\
+ \frac{1 - T_0^{(6+p)/2}}{6 + p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ 4 V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x) - 4 V(0) \right] \hat{u}_0^2 dx \\
= \partial_\varepsilon \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} (\hat{u}_0) \right) + \frac{1}{2(6 + p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ (p - 2) V(0) - (p - 2) V(\varepsilon x) + 2 \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x) \right] \hat{u}_0^2 dx \\
- \frac{T_0^{(6+p)/2}}{6 + p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ 4 V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x) - 4 V(0) \right] \hat{u}_0^2 dx \\
\geq \partial_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2(6 + p)} \int_{|x| > R_0} \left\{ \left[ p - 2 + 8 T_0^{(6+p)/2} \right] V(\varepsilon x) - V(0) \right. \\
+ 2 \left[ 1 + T_0^{(6+p)/2} |\nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)| \right] \hat{u}_0^2 dx - \frac{1 + T_0^{(6+p)/2}}{2} V_{\max} \int_{|x| > R_0} \hat{u}_0 dx \\
\geq \partial_\varepsilon - \frac{3 \delta_0}{4}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]. \quad \Box
\]

**Lemma 5.3.** Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then $m_\varepsilon$ is achieved for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$.

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.6, we have that $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ and $m_\varepsilon > 0$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. For any fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ be such that $\partial_\varepsilon(u_n) \to m_\varepsilon$. Since $\partial_\varepsilon(u_n) = 0$, then it follows from (2.9) that
\[
m_\varepsilon + o(1) = \partial_\varepsilon(u_n) \geq \frac{b(p - 2)}{4(6 + p)} \|\nabla u_n\|^2, \tag{5.12}
\]
which implies $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ together with (2.11). Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $u_n \to \bar{u}$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $s$, with $2 \leq s < 6$ and $u_n \to \bar{u}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^3$. 

Next, we claim that \( \tilde{u} \neq 0 \). Arguing by contradiction, suppose that \( \tilde{u} = 0 \), then \( u_n \to 0 \) in \( L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) \) for \( 2 \leq s < 6 \) and \( u_n \to 0 \) a.e. on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). In view of Lemma 2.3, there exists \( t_n > 0 \) such that \( t_n^{1/2}(u_n)_{t_n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\phi}} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). We claim that there exist two positive constant \( T_1 < T_2 \) such that

\[
T_1 \leq t_n \leq T_2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

If \( t_n \to 0 \), then it follows from (2.5), (2.10), lemma 2.6 and the boundedness of \( \{\|u_n\|\} \) that

\[
0 < \tilde{m}_\phi \leq \tilde{\phi} \left( t_n^{1/2}(u_n)_{t_n} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{a}{2} t_n^2 \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{2} t_n^6 \|u_n\|_6^2 + \frac{b}{4} t_n^4 \|\nabla u_n\|_2^4
\]

\[
- t_n^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t_n^{1/2}u_n)dx + t_n^6 \|u_n\|_6^6
\]

\[
= o(1),
\]

which is impossible. Hence, the first inequality holds in (5.12). On the other hand, it is easy to see that

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|u_n\|_6 > 0.
\]

If not, then there exists a subsequence \( \{u_{n_k}\} \) of \( \{u_n\} \) such that \( u_{n_k} \to 0 \) in \( L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) \), and the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that \( u_{n_k} \to 0 \) in \( L^{4}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) for all \( s \in (2, 6) \). By (F1), for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( q \in (2, 6) \) there exists \( C_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that

\[
|f(\tau)\tau| + |F(\tau)| \leq \varepsilon (\tau^2 + \tau^6) + C_\varepsilon |\tau|^q \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Thus, as \( k \to \infty \), by (2.14),

\[
0 = J_\varepsilon (u_{n_k}) = a \|\nabla u_{n_k}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(\varepsilon x) + \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)]u_{n_k}^2
\]

\[
+ b \|u_{n_k}\|_6^6 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u_{n_k})u_{n_k} + F(u_{n_k})]dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{n_k}|^6 dx
\]

\[
\geq \frac{7}{2} \|u_{n_k}\|_2^2 + b \|\nabla u_{n_k}\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\|u_{n_k}\|_2^2 + \|u_{n_k}\|_6^6) - C_\varepsilon \|u_{n_k}\|_6^q - \|u_{n_k}\|_6^6
\]

\[
\geq \frac{7}{4} \|u_{n_k}\|_2^2 + b \|\nabla u_{n_k}\|_2^2 + o(1)
\]

\[
\geq b \delta^4 + o(1).
\]

This contradiction shows that (5.13) holds. Moreover, from (F1) and (3.22), there exist \( C_2, C_3 > 0 \) such that

\[
F(\tau) \geq C_2 |\tau|^p + C_3 |\tau|^2, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Then, by (1.7), (5.13), (5.15), the boundedness of \( \{u_n\} \), and Sobolev embedding theorem,

\[
I_\tilde{\phi} \left( t_n^{1/2}(u_n)_{t_n} \right) \leq \frac{a}{2} t_n^2 \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{2} t_n^6 \|u_n\|_6^2 + \frac{b}{4} t_n^4 \|\nabla u_n\|_2^4
\]

\[
- C_2 t_n^{6+p/2} \|u_n\|_p^p + C_3 t_n^4 \|u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{6} t_n^6 \|u_n\|_6^6
\]

\[
\leq C_4 (t^2 + t^4 + t^{6+p/2}) - C_5 t^6,
\]

which together with \( p > 2 \), implies that there exists \( T_2 > 0 \) such that

\[
I_\tilde{\phi} \left( t_n^{1/2}(u_n)_{t_n} \right) < 0, \quad \forall t > T_2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Since $I_{\varphi} \left(t^{1/2}(u_n)t\right) \geq \bar{m}_{\varphi} > 0$ by (5.3), (5.16) leads to $t_n \leq T_2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which shows (5.15) holds. Thus it follows from (1.1), (1.7), (2.10), (5.9) and (5.12) that

$$m_e + o(1) = J_e(u_n) \geq J_e \left(t^{1/2}_n(u_n)\right)$$

$$\geq I_{\varphi} \left(t^{1/2}_n(u_n)t\right) + \frac{t^n}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V(\varepsilon t_n x) - \hat{V}| u_n dx$$

$$\geq \bar{m}_{\varphi} - \frac{\hat{V} T^n}{2} \int_{|x| \leq R^2} |u|^2 dx$$

$$= \bar{m}_{\varphi} + o(1)$$

which, together with Lemma 5.1 and 5.2, implies

$$m_e \geq \bar{m}_{\varphi} \geq m_0 + \delta_0 \geq m_e + \frac{\delta_0}{4},$$

This contradiction shows that $\bar{u} \neq 0$. Let $w_n = u_n - \bar{u}$, by Brezis-Lieb Lemma, one has

$$J_e(u_n) = J_e(u) + J_e(u_n) + \frac{b}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2_2 \|\nabla w_n\|^2_2 + o(1) \quad (5.17)$$

$$J_e(u_n) = J_e(u) + J_e(u_n) + b \|\nabla u\|^2_2 \|\nabla w_n\|^2_2 + o(1). \quad (5.18)$$

Let

$$\Psi_e(u) := J_e(u) - \frac{2}{6 + p} J_e(u)$$

$$= \frac{(p - 2)a}{2(6 + p)} \|\nabla u\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2(6 + p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(p - 2)V(\varepsilon x) - 2\nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x)] u^2 dx$$

$$+ \frac{(p - 2)b}{4(6 + p)} \|\nabla u\|^4_2 + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(u) - pF(u)] dx + \frac{6 - p}{p(6 + p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 dx. \quad (5.19)$$

Then (3.22) and (5.11) imply that $\Psi_e(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, it follows from (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19) that

$$\Psi_e(w_n) \leq m_e - \Psi_e(\bar{u}) + o(1), \quad \bar{J}_e(w_n) \leq -J_e(\bar{u}) + o(1). \quad (5.20)$$

If there exists a subsequence $\{w_{n'}\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ such that $w_{n'} \to 0$, then

$$J_e(w_{n'}) = m_e, \quad J_e(\bar{u}) = 0. \quad (5.21)$$

If not, we claim that $\bar{J}_e(\bar{u}) < 0$. Otherwise, if $\bar{J}_e(u) > 0$, then (5.20) implies $\bar{J}_e(w_n) < 0$ for large $n$. In view of Lemma 2.3, there exists $t_{e,n} > 0$ such that $(t_{e,n})^{1/2}(w_n)_{t_{e,n}} \in N_e$. From (1.1), (1.4), (2.3), (5.19) and (5.20), we obtain

$$m_e - \Psi(\bar{u}) + o(1) \geq \bar{J}_e(w_n) = J_e(u_n) - \frac{2}{6 + p} J_e(u_n)$$

$$\geq J_e \left((t_{e,n})^{1/2}(w_n)_{t_{e,n}}\right) - \frac{2(t_{e,n})^{1/2}}{6 + p} \bar{J}_e(w_n)$$

$$\geq m_e - \frac{2(t_{e,n})^{1/2}}{6 + p} \bar{J}_e(w_n) \geq m_e,$$

which implies $\bar{J}_e(u) \leq 0$ due to $\Psi_e(\bar{u}) > 0$. In view of Lemma 2.3, there exists $t_e > 0$ such that $t_{e}^{1/2}(\bar{u}) \in N_e$. From (1.1), (1.4), (2.3), (3.22), (5.11), (5.19), the weak semi continuity of norm and Fatou’s Lemma, one has

$$m_e = \lim_{n \to \infty} \bar{J}_e(u_n) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \bar{J}_e(u_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi_e(u_n) \geq \Psi_e(\bar{u})$$

$$= \bar{J}_e(u) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \bar{J}_e(u)$$

$$\geq \bar{J}_e \left(t_e^{1/2}(\bar{u})\right) - \frac{2(t_e^{6+p})^{1/2}}{6 + p} \bar{J}_e(\bar{u}) \geq m_e,$$
which implies (5.21) holds. □

In view of Lemma 2.6, 2.7 and 5.3, we can obtain the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.** Assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \), problem (PK\( \varepsilon \)) has a ground state solution \( \hat{u}_\varepsilon \) such that

\[
J_\varepsilon(\hat{u}_\varepsilon) = m_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(t^{1/2}(u)) > 0.
\]

(5.22)

## 6 Concentration of ground state solutions of \((SK_\varepsilon)\)

In this section, we consider the concentration of ground state solutions of \((SK_\varepsilon)\) and give the proof of Theorem 1.2. For this purpose, we always assume that (V1), (V3) and (F1)–(F3) hold. For every \( \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0] \), let \( \hat{u}_\varepsilon \) be the ground state solution of \((SK_\varepsilon)\) obtained in Lemma 5.4. Define

\[
\mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon} = \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : J_\varepsilon(u) = 0, \ J_\varepsilon(u) = m_\varepsilon \} \text{ and } \Lambda = \{ u \in \mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon} : \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]\}.
\]

**Lemma 6.1.** There exists a constant \( K_0 > 0 \), independent of \( \varepsilon \), such that \( \rho_0 \leq m_\varepsilon \leq K_0 \) for all \( \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0] \).

**Proof.** From (2.3), (5.6), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6, we derive that

\[
\rho_0 \leq m_\varepsilon \leq \max \left\{ J_\varepsilon \left( t^{1/2}(\hat{u}_0) \right) : t \in (0, T_0) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{aT_0^2}{2} \| \nabla \hat{u}_0 \|_2^2 + \frac{V_{\max} T_0^4}{2} \| \hat{u}_0 \|_2^2 + \frac{bT_0^4}{4} \| \nabla \hat{u}_0 \|_2^4
\]

\[
+ C_1 T_0^4 (\| \hat{u}_0 \|_2^2 + T_0^4 \| \hat{u}_0 \|_6^6) := K_0, \ \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]. \quad \square
\]

**Lemma 6.2.** There exists a constant \( K_1 > 0 \) independent of \( \varepsilon \) such that \( \| u \| \leq K_1 \) for all \( u \in \Lambda \).

**Proof.** Fix \( \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0] \) and \( u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon} \). Then (2.9) and lemma 6.1 yield

\[
K_0 \geq m_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - \frac{2}{6 + p} J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{b(p - 2)}{4(6 + p)} \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_2^4.
\]

(6.1)

From (6.1) and (2.14) we deduced that

\[
\| u_\varepsilon \| \leq \left( \frac{2C_0}{\gamma_1 S^4} \right)^{1/2} \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_2^2 \leq \left( \frac{2C_0}{\gamma_1 S^4} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{4(6 + p)K_0}{b(p - 2)} \right]^{3/4} := K_1. \quad \square
\]

**Lemma 6.3.** \( \limsup_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} m_\varepsilon \leq m_\tilde{\varepsilon} \) for every \( \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]. \)

**Proof.** Fix \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \in [0, \varepsilon_0] \). Arguing by contradiction, suppose that \( \limsup_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} m_\varepsilon > m_\tilde{\varepsilon} \). Let \( \varepsilon_0 = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} m_\varepsilon - m_\tilde{\varepsilon} \), then \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \). From Lemma 2.3, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 > 0 \) such that \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} \), it follows that

\[
J_\varepsilon \left( t^{1/2}(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) \right) \geq m_\varepsilon, \ J_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \left( t^{1/2}(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) \right) \geq J_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \left( t^{1/2}(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) \right), \ \forall \varepsilon > 0.
\]

(6.2)

Similar to the proof of (5.6), we easily deduce that there exists a number \( \tilde{T} > 0 \) such that \( 0 < \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 < \tilde{T} \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \). It is easy to check that for any bounded set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \),

\[
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_0} \left| V(\varepsilon x) - V(\tilde{\varepsilon} x) \right| + \left| \nabla V(\varepsilon x) \cdot (\varepsilon x) - \nabla V(\tilde{\varepsilon} x) \cdot (\tilde{\varepsilon} x) \right| = 0.
\]

(6.3)

Choose \( R_1 > R_0 \) such that

\[
V_{\max} \left( 2 + \tilde{T}^{4p+4}/2 \right) \int_{|x|>R_1} \tilde{u}_\varepsilon^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_0/2.
\]

(6.4)
Lemma 6.4. This contradiction shows that which implies that

\( m_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [V(\tilde{x}) - V(x)] \tilde{u}_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \)

\[ \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{2(1 - \tilde{r}_\varepsilon^{(p+6)/2})}{6 + p} \right) \beta_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [V(\tilde{x}) - V(x)] \tilde{u}_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ \geq m_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [4V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot (x) - 4V(\tilde{x}) - \nabla V(\tilde{x}) \cdot (\tilde{x})] \tilde{u}_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [V(\tilde{x}) - V(x)] \tilde{u}_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ \geq m_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \geq R_1} |4|V(x) - 4V(\tilde{x})|| + |\nabla V(x) \cdot (x) - \nabla V(\tilde{x}) \cdot (\tilde{x})||u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \geq R_1} |V(\tilde{x}) - V(x)| |u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx - \left( 2 + \tilde{r}_\varepsilon^{(p+6)/2} \right) V_{\max} \int_{|x| \geq R_1} u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ \geq m_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \geq R_1} \sup_{|x| \geq R_1} \left[ |4|V(x) - 4V(\tilde{x})|| + |\nabla V(x) \cdot (x) - \nabla V(\tilde{x}) \cdot (\tilde{x})|| \right] u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ \geq m_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \geq R_1} \sup_{|x| \geq R_1} \left[ |4|V(x) - 4V(\tilde{x})|| + |\nabla V(x) \cdot (x) - \nabla V(\tilde{x}) \cdot (\tilde{x})|| \right] u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ \geq m_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \geq R_1} \sup_{|x| \geq R_1} \left[ |4|V(x) - 4V(\tilde{x})|| + |\nabla V(x) \cdot (x) - \nabla V(\tilde{x}) \cdot (\tilde{x})|| \right] u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

\[ \geq m_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \geq R_1} \sup_{|x| \geq R_1} \left[ |4|V(x) - 4V(\tilde{x})|| + |\nabla V(x) \cdot (x) - \nabla V(\tilde{x}) \cdot (\tilde{x})|| \right] u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx \]

which implies

\[ m_\varepsilon + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \geq m_\varepsilon \geq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} m_\varepsilon = m_\varepsilon + \epsilon_0. \]

This contradiction shows that \( \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} m_\varepsilon \leq m_\varepsilon. \)

**Lemma 6.4.** If \( u \in \Lambda, \) then \( u \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = 0. \) Moreover, there is \( \alpha_0 > 0 \) independent of \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( u \in \Lambda \) such that

\[ |u(x)| \leq \alpha_0 \int_{B_1(x)} |u(y)| \, dy, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ u \in \Lambda. \quad (6.5) \]

**Proof.** For \( s \geq 2, \) it follows from Lemma 6.2 and the standard bootstrap argument (see [6, 29]) that there exists \( C_s > 0 \) independent of \( u \in \Lambda \) such that

\[ u \in W^{1,s}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_s, \quad \forall u \in \Lambda, \]

which, together with Sobolev imbedding theorem, implies that there is \( C_{\infty} > 0 \) independent of \( u \in \Lambda \) such that

\[ \|u\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\infty}, \quad \forall u \in \Lambda. \quad (6.6) \]

By (F1), there exists a constant \( \Theta_1 > V_0 \) such that

\[ |f(t) + \tilde{r}_\varepsilon| \leq \Theta_1 |t|, \quad \forall |t| \leq C_{\infty}. \quad (6.7) \]

From (6.6), (6.7), Lemma 6.2 and [29, Lemma 1], we have \( u \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = 0. \) Since \( u \in \mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon} \) is a solution of \((PK_\varepsilon)\) for some \( \varepsilon > 0, \) then it follows from (5.7) and Lemma 6.2 that

\[ \nabla u = \frac{u \cdot \nabla u}{|u|} - \frac{V(\tilde{x})u^2 - f(u)u - u^6}{(a + b\|\nabla u\|_2^2)|u|} \]

\[ \leq \frac{V_0 - \Theta_1}{a + bK_1^2} |u| := -\varrho_0 |u|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \]

which implies that \( |u| \) is a sub-solution of the equation \((-\Delta - \varrho_0)w = 0, \) and hence (6.5) follows from the sub-solution estimate (see [33, Theorem C.12]).
Lemma 6.5. For every $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon} \subset \Lambda$, there exists $y_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $|u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |u_\varepsilon(x)|$. Let $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon(x) := u_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon)$ with $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon} \subset \Lambda$, and let $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Then we have

(i) if $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$, then $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ has a convergence subsequence, whose limit belongs to $\Lambda$;

(ii) if $\tilde{\varepsilon} = 0$, then $\{\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ has a convergence subsequence, whose limit is not zero.

Proof. For $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset [0, \varepsilon_0]$ and $u_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathcal{L}_{m_{\varepsilon_n}}$, Lemma 6.2 implies that $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $0 < m_\varepsilon < m_1^\infty < \frac{ab^3}{4} + \frac{b^3S}{24} + \frac{(b^3S + \alpha S)^{3/2}}{24}$ due to Lemma 4.4, as in the proof of (3.25), we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 \, dx > 0. \quad (6.9)$$

By Lemma 6.4, there exists $y_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $|u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |u_\varepsilon(x)|$. Then it follows from (6.9) that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |u_{\varepsilon_n}(y_{\varepsilon_n})|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4\pi} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 \, dx > 0. \quad (6.10)$$

By (1.1), (1.4) and (5.19), one has

$$m_{\varepsilon_n} = J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) - \frac{2}{6 + p} J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) = \Psi_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) > 0. \quad (6.11)$$

In the following we prove that (i) or (ii) hold.

(i) If $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, then passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\varepsilon_n \to \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon_0], \quad u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (6.12)$$

By Lemma 5.1 and 5.2, $m_{\varepsilon_n} = \tilde{m}_\varepsilon - \delta_0/4$. Since $u_{\varepsilon_n} \neq 0$, then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists $\tilde{\lambda}_n > 0$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}^{1/2}_n(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \tilde{\lambda}_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\varepsilon$, and so $I_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \left( \tilde{\lambda}^{1/2}_n(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \tilde{\lambda}_n \right) \geq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\varepsilon$. Similar to the proof of (5.12), there exist $\tilde{T}_1$, $\tilde{T}_2 > 0$ such that $0 < \tilde{T}_1 < \tilde{T}_2$. If $\tilde{u} = 0$, then $u_{\varepsilon_n} \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $u_{\varepsilon_n} \to 0$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $u_{\varepsilon_n} \to 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then it follows from (1.1), (1.7), (5.1), (5.9) and (6.12) that

$$\tilde{m}_\varepsilon - \delta_0/4 \geq m_{\varepsilon_n} = J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \geq J_{\varepsilon_n} \left( \tilde{\lambda}^{1/2}_n(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \right) \geq I_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \left( \tilde{\lambda}^{1/2}_n(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \right) + \frac{\tilde{T}_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V(\varepsilon_n \tilde{\lambda}_n x) - \tilde{\varepsilon}| |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 \, dx \quad (6.13)$$

$$\geq \tilde{m}_\varepsilon - \frac{2V_{max} - V_{\infty} - V(0)}{4} \tilde{T}_2 \int_{|x| \leq \tilde{R}_0/\tilde{T}_2 \varepsilon_n} |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 \, dx$$

$$= \tilde{m}_\varepsilon - o(1).$$

This contradiction shows that $\tilde{u} \neq 0$.

Let $w_{\varepsilon_n} = u_{\varepsilon_n} - \tilde{u}$. Then by Brezis-Lieb Lemma, one has

$$J_{\varepsilon_n}(w_{\varepsilon_n}) = J_{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{u}) + J_{\varepsilon_n}(w_{\varepsilon_n}) + \frac{b}{2} \|
abla \tilde{u}\|_2^2 \|
abla w_{\varepsilon_n}\|_2^2 + o(1), \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$J_{\varepsilon_n}(w_{\varepsilon_n}) = J_{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{u}) + J_{\varepsilon_n}(w_{\varepsilon_n}) + \frac{b}{2} \|
abla \tilde{u}\|_2^2 \|
abla w_{\varepsilon_n}\|_2^2 + o(1). \quad (6.15)$$

Moreover, it follows from (5.19), (6.12), (6.14) and (6.15) that

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \leq m_{\varepsilon_n} - \Psi_{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{u}) + o(1), \quad J_{\varepsilon_n}(w_{\varepsilon_n}) \leq J_{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{u}) + o(1). \quad (6.16)$$

Now we prove that

$$u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad J_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}) = m_{\varepsilon}, \quad J_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}) = 0. \quad (6.17)$$
If there exists a subsequence \{\varepsilon_n\} of \{\varepsilon_n\} such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0\), then (6.17) holds. If not, we claim that \(\bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) < 0\). Otherwise, if \(\bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) > 0\), then (6.15) implies that \(\bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{w}_n) < 0\) for large \(n\). In view of Lemma 2.3, there exists \(\bar{t} > 0\) such that \(\bar{t}^{1/2}(\bar{w}_n)|_{\bar{t}} \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\). From (1.1), (1.4), (2.3), (5.19) and (6.15), we obtain

\[
m_{\varepsilon_n} - \Psi_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) + o(1) = \Psi_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) = \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \varepsilon_n \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) \\
\geq \frac{2}{6 + p} \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) - \frac{2\bar{t}^{(6+p)/2}}{6 + p} \varepsilon_n \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) \\
\geq m_{\varepsilon_n} - \frac{2\bar{t}^{(6+p)/2}}{6 + p} \varepsilon_n \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) \geq m_{\varepsilon_n},
\]

which is a contradiction due to \(\Psi_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) > 0\). Hence, \(\bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) < 0\). In view of Lemma 2.3, there exists \(\bar{t} > 0\) such that \(\bar{t}^{1/2}(\bar{u})_t \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\). Then it follows from (2.3), (5.11), (5.19), (6.11), the weak semicontinuity of norm, Fatou’s Lemma and Lemma 6.3 that

\[
m_{\varepsilon} \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} m_{\varepsilon_n} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \Psi_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \Psi_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) = \Psi_\varepsilon(\bar{u})
\]

\[
= \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) - \frac{2}{6 + p} \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) \geq \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}^{1/2}(\bar{u})_t) - \frac{2\bar{t}^{(6+p)/2}}{6 + p} \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u})
\]

\[
\geq m_{\varepsilon} - \frac{2\bar{t}^{(6+p)/2}}{6 + p} \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) \geq m_{\varepsilon},
\]

which implies that \(\bar{J}_\varepsilon = 0\),

\[
\bar{J}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) = m_{\varepsilon_n} \Rightarrow m_{\varepsilon} = \bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u})
\]

and

\[
\Psi_\varepsilon(\varepsilon_n) \Rightarrow \Psi_\varepsilon(\bar{u}).
\]

Next, we show that \(u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}\) in \(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\). From (5.19), (6.12) and (6.19), we can deduce that \(u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}\) in \(\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)\), and so \(u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}\) in \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\) for \(2 < s \leq 6\). Jointly with (6.18), one has

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n x) u_{\varepsilon_n}^2 dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\bar{x}) \bar{u}^2 dx.
\]

We claim that \(u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}\) in \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\). In fact, for any \(\epsilon > 0\), there exists \(R_\epsilon > 0\) large enough such that

\[
\int_{|x| < R_\epsilon} V(\bar{x}) \bar{u}^2 dx \leq \epsilon.
\]

Since \(u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}\) in \(L^2(B_{R_\epsilon}(0))\), it follows from (6.20) and (6.21) that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R_\epsilon} V(\varepsilon_n x) u_{\varepsilon_n}^2 dx = \int_{|x| > R_\epsilon} V(\bar{x}) \bar{u}^2 dx \leq \epsilon,
\]

which implies

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n x) u_{\varepsilon_n}^2 dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R_\epsilon} V(\varepsilon_n x) u_{\varepsilon_n}^2 dx \\
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R_\epsilon} V(\varepsilon_n x) u_{\varepsilon_n}^2 dx + \int_{|x| > R_\epsilon} V(\bar{x}) \bar{u}^2 dx \leq 2\epsilon.
\]

By the arbitrariness of \(\epsilon > 0\), we derive from (6.23) that \(u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}\) in \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\). Hence, \(u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}\) in \(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\), and so (6.17) holds. Combining with Lemma 2.7 with (6.17), we have \(\bar{J}_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) = 0\). This shows that \(\bar{u} \in \mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon} \subset \Lambda\).

(ii) If \(\varepsilon = 0\), passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

\[
\varepsilon_n \to 0, \quad \bar{u} \to \bar{u}_0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).
\]
Moreover, (6.10) implies that \( \bar{u}_0 \neq 0 \). Since \( V \) is bounded, going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} V(\varepsilon_n y_{\varepsilon_n}) = \beta > 0.
\]

(6.25)

In view of Theorem 1.3, there exists \( \bar{u}_\beta \in \bar{M}_\beta \) such that

\[
I_\beta(\bar{u}_\beta) = \bar{m}_\beta, \quad I_\beta'(\bar{u}_\beta) = 0.
\]

(6.26)

Note that

\[
m_{\varepsilon_n} = g_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) = \frac{a}{2} \|\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n}\| + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n(x + y_{\varepsilon_n}))|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n}|^2 \, dx + \frac{b}{q} \|\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n}\|^q_2
\]

(6.27)

\[ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n}) + \frac{1}{6} |\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n}|^6 \right] \, dx. \]

It is easy to check that for any bounded set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \),

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} V(\varepsilon_n(x + y_{\varepsilon_n})) = \beta.
\]

(6.28)

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6.3,

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} m_{\varepsilon_n} \leq \bar{m}_\beta.
\]

(6.29)

Analogous to the proof of (6.17) in Case (i),

\[
\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u}_0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad I_\beta(\bar{u}_0) = \bar{m}_\beta = \limsup_{n \to \infty} m_{\varepsilon_n}, \quad I_\beta'(\bar{u}_0) = 0. \quad \square
\]

(6.30)

**Lemma 6.6.** \( \inf\{\|u\|_\infty : u \in A\} := \delta_0 > 0 \).

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that \( \delta_0 = 0 \). Then there is a sequence \( \{u_{\varepsilon_n}\} \subset A \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|u\|_\infty = 0 \). Let \( u_{\varepsilon_n} \in \mathcal{L}_{m_{\varepsilon_n}} \). There are two possible cases.

Case (i) \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n > 0 \). By Lemma 6.5(i), there exists \( \bar{u} \in A \) such that \( u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u} \) in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Then it follows from the Hölder inequality that

\[
\int_{B_1(x)} |\bar{u}(y)| \, dy \leq \int_{B_1(x)} |u_{\varepsilon_n}(y) - \bar{u}(y)| \, dy + \int_{B_1(x)} |u_{\varepsilon_n}(y)| \, dy
\]

(6.31)

\[ \leq \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/2} \|u_{\varepsilon_n} - \bar{u}\|_2 + \frac{4\pi}{3} \|\bar{u}_n\|_\infty = o(1), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \]

which implies that \( \bar{u} = 0 \), a contradiction.

Case (ii) \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0 \). By Lemma 6.5(ii), there exists \( \bar{u}_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( \bar{u}_n \to \bar{u}_0 \) in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Then it follows from the Hölder inequality that

\[
\int_{B_1(x)} |\bar{u}_0(y)| \, dy \leq \int_{B_1(x)} |\bar{u}_n(y) - \bar{u}_0(y)| \, dy + \int_{B_1(x)} |\bar{u}_n(y)| \, dy
\]

\[ \leq \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/2} \|\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}_0\|_2 + \frac{4\pi}{3} \|\bar{u}_n\|_\infty = o(1), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \]

which implies that \( \bar{u}_0 = 0 \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.7.** There exist \( \Pi_1, \kappa_1 > 0 \) independent of \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( u \in A \) such that

\[
|u(x)| \leq \Pi_1 \exp(-\kappa_1 |x - y_u|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ u \in A,
\]

(6.32)

where \( |u(y_u)| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |u(x)| \).
Proof. First, we claim that $u(x) \to 0$, as $|x - y_u| \to \infty$, uniformly in $u \in \Lambda$. In fact, if not, then there exist $\delta_1 > 0$, $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda$ and $\{x_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|x_n - y_{u_n}| \to \infty$ such that $|u_n(x_n)| \geq \delta_1$. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{L}_{m_n}$. There are two possible cases.

Case (i) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n > 0$. By Lemma 6.5(i), there exists $u_* \in \Lambda$ such that $u_n \to u_*$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $|x_n - y_{u_n}| \to \infty$, then either $\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} |x_n| = \infty$ or $\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} |y_{u_n}| = \infty$, and so we deduce from (6.5) and the Hölder inequality that

$$\delta_1 \leq |u_n(x_n)| \leq a_0 \int_{B_1(x_n)} |u_n(y)|dy = a_0 \int_{B_1(x_n)} |u_*(y)|dy + o(1) = o(1)$$

and

$$\delta_1 \leq |u_n(y_{u_n})| \leq a_0 \int_{B_1(y_{u_n})} |u_n(y)|dy = a_0 \int_{B_1(y_{u_n})} |u_*(y)|dy + o(1) = o(1),$$

a contradiction.

Case (ii) $\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. By Lemma 6.5(ii), there exists $\tilde{u}_* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\tilde{u}_n \to \tilde{u}_*$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then it follows from (6.5) and the Hölder inequality that

$$\delta_1 \leq |u_n(x_n)| \leq a_0 \int_{B_1(x_n)} |u_n(y)|dy = a_0 \int_{B_1(x_n - y_{u_n})} |\tilde{u}_n(y)|dy$$

$$\leq a_0 \int_{B_1(x_n - y_{u_n})} |\tilde{u}_*(y)|dy + o(1) = o(1),$$

a contradiction.

By (F1) and the above claim, there exists a number $R_2 > 0$ independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $u \in \Lambda$, such that for any $u \in \Lambda$

$$|f(u(x))| + u^5(x) \leq \frac{V_0}{2}|u(x)| \text{ for all } x, \text{ with } |x - y_u| \geq R_2. \quad (6.33)$$

Substitute (6.33) to (6.8), one has

$$\Delta |u| = \frac{u \cdot \nabla u}{|u|} - \frac{V(x)u^2 - f(u)u - u^5}{(a + b|\nabla u|)^2} \geq \frac{V_0}{2(a + bK_1^2)}|u| := q_1|u|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ |x - y_u| \geq R_2. \quad (6.34)$$

Set $w(x) = |u(x)| - C_\infty e^{-\sqrt{|x-y_u| - R_2}}$, where $C_\infty$ is given by (6.6). Then

$$\Delta w \geq q_1 w, \ |x - y_u| \geq R_2.$$

By the maximum principle, we can conclude that $w(x) \leq 0$ for $|x - y_u| \geq R_2$ i.e.,

$$w(x) \leq C_\infty e^{-\sqrt{|x-y_u| - R_2}}, \ |x - y_u| \geq R_2.$$

Therefore, the claim (6.32) holds. □

Lemma 6.8. Let $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_{m_\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ and let $y_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be a global maximum point of $u_\varepsilon$. Then (i) $\sup_{\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]} |y_\varepsilon| < \infty$;

(ii) for $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, up to a subsequence, $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n} = u_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot + y_{\varepsilon_n})$ converges in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to a ground state solution of $(K_{V_\varepsilon})$.

Proof. (i) Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset [0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that $\varepsilon_n|y_{\varepsilon_n}| \to \infty$. There are two possible cases.

Case (1) $\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = \bar{u} \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. In this case, by Lemma 6.5(i), up to a subsequence, one has $|y_{\varepsilon_n}| \to \infty$ and $u_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{u} \in \Lambda$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, it follows from (6.5) and the Hölder inequality that

$$\delta_0 \leq |u_{\varepsilon_n}(y_{\varepsilon_n})| \leq a_0 \int_{B_1(y_{\varepsilon_n})} |u_{\varepsilon_n}(y)|dy = a_0 \int_{B_1(y_{\varepsilon_n})} |\bar{u}(y)|dy + o(1) = o(1),$$

Contradiction.

Case (2) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. By Lemma 6.5(ii), there exists $\tilde{u}_* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\tilde{u}_n \to \tilde{u}_*$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then we can conclude that $w(x) \leq 0$ for $|x - y_{\varepsilon_n}| \geq R_2$ i.e.,

$$w(x) \leq C_\infty e^{-\sqrt{|x-y_{\varepsilon_n}| - R_2}}, \ |x - y_{\varepsilon_n}| \geq R_2.$$
a contradiction.

Case (2) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$. In this case, by (6.27), (6.30) and Lemma 6.3 that

$$\hat{m}_\beta = \limsup_{n \to \infty} m_{\epsilon_n} \leq m_0.$$

(6.35)

In the view of (6.26) and Lemma 2.3, there exists $\tilde{t} > 0$ such that $\tilde{t}^{1/2}(\tilde{u}_\beta)_\tilde{t} \in {\mathcal M}_0$, and so $\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{t}^{1/2}(\tilde{u}_\beta)_\tilde{t}) \geq m_0$. Note that

$$V(0) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) < V_\infty \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} V(\epsilon_n(x + y_{\epsilon_n})) = \beta, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(6.36)

Applying Corollary 2.1 to $I_\beta$, we derive from (1.7), (6.26), (6.35) and (6.36) that

$$\hat{m}_\beta = I_\beta(\tilde{u}_\beta) \geq I_\beta\left(\tilde{t}^{1/2}(\tilde{u}_\beta)_\tilde{t}\right) = \mathcal{C}_0\left(\tilde{t}^{1/2}(\tilde{u}_\beta)_\tilde{t}\right) + \frac{\beta - V(0)}{2} \tilde{t}^{1/2} \|\tilde{u}_\beta\|_2^2 \geq m_0 + \frac{\beta - V(0)}{2} \tilde{t}^{1/2} \|\tilde{u}_\beta\|_2^2 \geq m_0 \geq \hat{m}_\beta,$$

a contradiction. Case (1) and (2) show that $\sup_{\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_0]} |\epsilon| |y_{\epsilon}| < \infty$.

(ii) In view of Lemma 6.2, $\{u_{\epsilon_n}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and so $\{\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_n}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. After extracting a subsequence, we may assume that $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $2 \leq s < 2'$ and $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^3$. It follows from (6.5) and Lemma 6.6 that

$$\delta_0 = |\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_n}(0)| = |u_{\epsilon_n}(y_{\epsilon_n})| \leq \alpha_0 \int_{B_1(y_{\epsilon_n})} |u_{\epsilon_n}(y)| \, dy$$

$$= \alpha_0 \int_{B_1(0)} |\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_n}(y)| \, dy = \alpha_0 \int_{B_1(0)} |\tilde{u}(y)| \, dy + o(1).$$

This shows $\tilde{u} \neq 0$. Moreover, by item (i), there exists $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\epsilon_n y_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow \tilde{y}$.

Next, we prove that $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $V(\tilde{y}) = V(0)$ and $\tilde{u}$ is the ground state solution of $(K_{\mathcal{V}_0})$. Let $\hat{V} := V(\tilde{y})$. Since $V_0 \leq \hat{V}$, then it follows from Lemma 6.3 that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} m_{\epsilon_n} \leq m_0 \leq \hat{m}_{\hat{V}}.$$

(6.37)

Analogous to the proof of (6.30), we deduce that as $n \to \infty$

$$I_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{u}) = \hat{m}_{\hat{V}}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{u}) = 0.$$

(6.38)

Jointly with Lemma 2.7, we have $I_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{u}) = 0$. If $\hat{V} > V_0$, then it follows from (6.27) that

$$m_0 \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} m_{\epsilon_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} I_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n}) = \hat{m}_{\hat{V}} > m_0.$$

(6.39)

This contradiction shows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} V(\epsilon y_{\epsilon}) = V(\tilde{y}) = V(0) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x),$$

(6.40)

and so $\tilde{u}$ is a ground state solution of $(K_{\mathcal{V}_0})$. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** Let $\tilde{v}(x) = \tilde{u}(x / \epsilon)$ and $x_\epsilon := \epsilon x$. In the view of Lemma 5.4, for every $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, $\tilde{v}_\epsilon$ is a ground state solution of $(S_{\epsilon \mathcal{V}})$ which satisfies (1.6). Obviously, (i) follows from (6.40). Moreover, Lemma 6.7 and 6.8 imply that (ii) and (iii) hold. □
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