Stability of synchronization in coupled time-delay systems using Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory
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Stability of synchronization in unidirectionally coupled time-delay systems is studied using the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory. We have shown that the same general stability condition is valid for different cases, even for the general situation (but with a constraint) where all the coefficients of the error equation corresponding to the synchronization manifold are time-dependent. These analytical results are also confirmed by numerical simulation of paradigmatic examples.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Xt,05.45.Pq

Synchronization of coupled chaotic dynamical systems is an active area of research in different branches of science and technology \cite{1,2}. Different kinds of chaos synchronization have been reported both theoretically and experimentally since its discovery in coupled chaotic oscillators \cite{3}. Recent studies on synchronization have been focused on coupled time-delay systems with or without time-delay coupling because of its intrinsic nature of generating high dimensional chaotic signals \cite{4} and the ease of experimental realization of these systems \cite{5}. These systems have potential applications in secure communication, cryptography, controlling, long term prediction, optimization of nonlinear system performance, modelling brain activity, pattern recognition, etc. (cf. \cite{4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}). Specifically, exploiting synchronization, communication with chaotic lasers was demonstrated in \cite{7} and digital information at gigabyte rates was transferred successfully \cite{8} exploiting time-delayed feedback to generate high-dimensional, high-capacity waveforms at high bandwidths. With this kind of applications of high-dimensional chaotic signals of time-delay systems, it becomes extremely important to establish conditions under which synchronized states are asymptotically stable in coupled time-delay systems. For this purpose, the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory has become an extremely useful tool \cite{9,10,11,16,17}.

On the other hand, recently, it has been pointed out that the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory is not suitable for a more general case where the error equation corresponding to the synchronization manifold is time-dependent; especially when all its coefficients are time-dependent \cite{11}. In this contribution we will show that the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory is not restricted to rather special cases discussed in the literature so far, but that it can be exploited as a powerful tool in identifying the synchronization thresholds and the stability of synchronization in general coupled time-delay systems. In particular, we will show that the same general stability condition resulting from the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory is indeed valid for the general case, where the coefficients are time dependent. This general situation is very important in many applications such as synchronization via dynamical relaying \cite{12}, for instance, synchronization of neural activity in brain with complex functional architecture has been shown to underlie cognitive acts \cite{13}, in dynamically evolving networks \cite{14} such as ad-hoc networks \cite{15}, etc. In particular, we will discuss all the four possible cases that arise due to the nature of the coefficients in the error equation corresponding to the synchronization manifold and show that the same stability condition deduced from the Krasovskii-Lyapunov functional approach is valid for all the cases, subject to certain conditions. We will also confirm these analytical results by numerical analysis using paradigmatic examples.

Consider the following linearly coupled scalar time-delay system,

\begin{align}
\dot{x}(t) &= -ax(t) + bf(x(t - \tau)), \\
\dot{y}(t) &= -ay(t) + bf(y(t - \tau)) + K(t)(x(t) - y(t))
\end{align}
where \(a\) and \(b\) are positive constants, \(\tau > 0\) is the delay-time, \(K(t)\) is the coupling function between the drive and the response systems and \(f(x)\) is some nonlinear function. Now we can deduce the stability condition for complete synchronization of the general unidirectionally coupled time-delay systems \(\mathbf{1}\). The time evolution of the difference system with the state variable \(\Delta = x(t) - y(t)\) (the error equation corresponding to the complete synchronization manifold of the coupled time-delay system \(\mathbf{1}\)) for small values of \(\Delta\) can be written as

\[
\dot{\Delta} = -(a + K(t))\Delta + bf'(y(t - \tau)) \Delta_t, \quad \Delta_t = \Delta(t - \tau), 
\]  
(2)

It is to be noted that there arises four cases depending on the nature of the coefficient of the \(\Delta\) and \(\Delta_t\) terms of the above error equation as follows:

1. Both coefficients of the \(\Delta\) and \(\Delta_t\) terms are time-independent.
2. The coefficient of the \(\Delta\) term is time-independent and that of the \(\Delta_t\) term is time-dependent.
3. The coefficient of the \(\Delta\) term is time-dependent and that of the \(\Delta_t\) term is time-independent.
4. Both coefficients of the \(\Delta\) and \(\Delta_t\) terms are time-dependent.

The synchronization manifold of the error equation \(\mathbf{2}\) is locally attracting if the origin of this equation is stable. Following the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory \(\mathbf{9}\), we define a continuous, positive-definite Lyapunov functional of the form

\[
V(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2 + \mu \int_{-\tau}^{0} \Delta^2(t + \theta) d\theta, \quad V(0) = 0
\]  
(3)

where \(\mu\) is an arbitrary positive parameter, \(\mu > 0\). The derivative of the functional \(V(t)\) along the trajectory of the error equation \(\mathbf{2}\),

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -(a + K(t))\Delta^2 + bf'(y(t - \tau)) \Delta \Delta_t + \mu \Delta^2 - \mu \Delta^2, 
\]  
(4)

has to be negative to ensure the stability of the solution \(\Delta = 0\). The requirement that \(\frac{dV}{dt} < 0\) for all \(\Delta\) and \(\Delta_t\), results in the condition for stability as

\[
a + K(t) > \frac{b^2}{4\mu} f'(y(t - \tau))^2 + \mu = \Phi(\mu). \tag{5}
\]

Now, \(\Phi(\mu)\) as a function of \(\mu\) for a given \(f'(x)\) has an absolute minimum at

\[
\mu = (|bf'(y(t - \tau))|)/2, \quad \tag{6}
\]

with \(\Phi_{\text{min}} = |bf'(y(t - \tau))|). Since \(\Phi \geq \Phi_{\text{min}} = |bf'(y(t - \tau))|\), from the inequality \(\mathbf{5}\), it turns out that a sufficient condition for asymptotic stability is

\[
a + K(t) > |bf'(y(t - \tau))|. \tag{7}
\]

It is to be noted that since \(\mu\) is an arbitrary positive parameter due to the definition of the positive definite Lyapunov function \(\mathbf{4}\), the above stability condition is valid only when \(\mu = (|bf'(y(t - \tau))|)/2\) is a constant, i.e., only when \(f'(x)\) is a constant (in other words when the coefficient of \(\Delta_t\) term in the error equation \(\mathbf{2}\) is time-independent, which corresponds to the cases (1) and (3) discussed above). On the other hand if \(f'(x)\) is time-dependent, then \(\mu\) can be obtained alternatively by rewriting Eq. \(\mathbf{5}\) as

\[
b^2 f'(y(t - \tau))^2 < 4\mu(a + K(t) - \mu), \quad \tag{8}
\]

\[
= -4\mu - (a + K(t))/2 + (a + K(t))^2, \tag{9}
\]

\[
\equiv \Psi(\mu).
\]

Now, \(\Psi(\mu)\) as a function of \(\mu\) for a given \(f'(x)\) has an absolute maximum at

\[
\mu = (a + K(t))/2, \tag{10}
\]

with \(\Psi_{\text{max}} = (a + K(t))^2\). Using this maximum value in the right hand side of (8), we obtain the same stability condition as that of \(\mathbf{4}\), provided \((a + K(t))/2 > 0\) since \(\mu > 0\). Since \(a > 0\), this implies \(K(t) > -a\), that is coupling function \(K(t)\) should be either positive definite or \([K(t)] > a\) if it is negative. In particular for the case 2, since the coefficient of the \(\Delta\) term in the error equation is time independent (which corresponds to the cases (1) and (2) mentioned above), \(K(t) = k > -a\) for all \(t\) (\(k:\text{const}\)).

However, there arises an even more general situation where the coefficients of both the \(\Delta\) and \(\Delta_t\) terms are time dependent (case 4), in which case the arbitrary positive parameter \(\mu\) in the Lyapunov functional has to be chosen as a positive definite function, \(\mu = g(t) > 0\) for all \(t\). In this case, one has to consider the derivative of \(\mu = g(t)\) also in the derivative of \(V(t)\) as follows,

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -(a + K(t))\Delta^2 + bf'(y(t - \tau)) \Delta \Delta_t + g(t)(\Delta^2 - \Delta_t^2) + g(t) \int_{-\tau}^{0} \Delta^2(t + \theta) d\theta < 0.
\]  
(11)

It is known from the Lyapunov functional that the term \(\int_{-\tau}^{0} \Delta^2(t + \theta) d\theta\) is positive and let us suppose that \(g(t) \leq 0\) for all \(t\), then for \(V(t) < 0\) a sufficient condition is that

\[
-(a + K(t))\Delta^2 + bf'(y(t - \tau)) \Delta_t + g(t)(\Delta^2 - \Delta_t^2) < 0, \quad \tag{12}
\]

\[
-[(a + K(t)) - b^2 f'(y(t - \tau))^2/4g(t) - g(t)] \Delta_t^2 < 0, \quad \tag{13}
\]

\[
- g(t) [\Delta_t - bf'(y(t - \tau)) \Delta_t/2g(t)]^2 < 0.
\]

The second term in the above equation is negative by assumption of \(g(t)\) and hence it follows that

\[
b^2 f'(y(t - \tau))^2 < 4g(t)(a + K(t) - g(t)), \quad \tag{14}
\]

\[
= -4[g(t) - (a + K(t))/2] + (a + K(t))^2, \quad \tag{15}
\]

\[
\equiv \Gamma(g(t)).
\]
FIG. 1: (Color online) The time trajectory plot of the variables $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ of the coupled time-delay systems indicating complete synchronization between them. (a) Piecewise linear time-delay system, and , for the parameters $a = 1.0, b = 1.2, \tau = 25.0$ and for the constant coupling $k_1 = k_2 = 0.9$. (b) Ikeda time-delay system, and , for the parameters $a = 1.0, b = 5.0, \tau = 2.0$ along with the constant coupling $k_1 = k_2 = 5.0$. (c) Piecewise linear time-delay system for the same values of the system parameters as in Fig. 1 with the square wave coupling rate $k_1 = 0.9$ and $k_2 = 1.0$. (d) Ikeda system for the same values of the system parameters and with the square wave coupling rate $k_1 = 5.0$ and $k_2 = 6.0$. Consequently we obtain the same stability condition as in Eq. 7 with the maximum of $\Gamma$, $\Gamma_{max} = (a + K(t))^2$, occurring at $g(t) = (a + K(t))/2 > 0$, along with the condition $\dot{g}(t) \leq 0$, that is $\dot{K}(t) = dK(t)/dt \leq 0$, for all $t$.

Note that our above analysis holds good in case (4), only for $\dot{K}(t) \leq 0$ and is not valid for $\dot{K}(t) > 0$. For the latter case, we are unable to obtain a sufficiency condition yet. Consequently the cases (1)-(3) cannot be treated as special cases of the most general case (4) at present.

Thus, we have shown that the same general stability condition, Eq. 7, is valid for all the four cases that arise in the error equation 2 corresponding to the synchronization manifold of the unidirectionally coupled time-delay systems with a restriction in case (4).

In this section, we will provide numerical confirmation of the above stability analysis for all the four cases using appropriate nonlinear functional forms $f(x)$ and suitable coupling $K(t)$ in the coupled time-delay systems. For this purpose we will consider the nonlinear functions $f(x)$ as the piecewise linear function, which has been studied in detail recently .

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \leq -4/3 \\
-1.5x - 2, & -4/3 < x \leq -0.8 \\
x, & -0.8 < x \leq 0.8 \\
-1.5x + 2, & 0.8 < x \leq 4/3 \\
0, & x > 4/3,
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
f(x) = \sin(x(t - \tau)), \quad \text{(15)}
\]

which is the paradigmatic Ikeda model . We have fixed the parameters as $a = 1.0, b = 1.2$ and $\tau = 25.0$ for the coupled piecewise linear time-delay system defined by and , for which the uncoupled systems exhibit a hyperchaotic behavior with nine positive Lyapunov exponents . For the coupled Ikeda systems and , the parameters are chosen as $a = 1.0, b = 5.0$ and $\tau = 2.0$ where the uncoupled individual Ikeda systems exhibit a hyperchaotic behavior with three positive Lyapunov exponents .

We choose the coupling function $K(t)$ as a square wave function represented as ,

\[
K(t) = \{ (t_0, k_1), (t_1, k_2), (t_2, k_1), (t_3, k_2), \ldots \}, \quad \text{(16)}
\]

where $t_j = t_0 + (j - 1)\tau_j, j \geq 1$ is the switching instant, $k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0$ with $k_1 \neq k_2$. For constant coupling, $K(t) = k_1 = k_2$. On the other hand, either $k_1 = 0$ or $k_2 = 0$, then the coupling is called an intermittent coupling/control which is now being widely studied in the literature .

First, we use the piecewise linear function , and the constant coupling $K(t) = k_1 = k_2$. It is clear from the form of the nonlinear function $f(x)$ and the coupling that both coefficients of the $\Delta$ and $\Delta_\tau$ terms in the error equation, 2, are constant (case 1) and consequently $\mu$ can either be chosen as $\mu = (\mu_b f'(y(t - \tau)))^2/2$ or $\mu = (a + K(t))^2/2$. The time trajectory of the variables $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ of the coupled piecewise linear time-delay systems, , and , are shown in Fig. 1, indicating complete synchronization between them for the coupling strength $k = k_1 = k_2 = 0.9$ satisfying the stability condition $a + k > b f'(y(t - \tau)) = 1.5b$. Here, the other system parameters are fixed as noted above.

Next, we analyse the function $f(x) = \sin(x(t - \tau))$, given by , of the Ikeda system with constant coupling, which corresponds to the case 2 where the coefficient of the $\Delta_\tau$ term in the error equation is time-dependent, while that of the $\Delta$ term is still time-independent and hence $\mu$ can take the form $\mu = (a + K(t))/2$ with $K(t) > 0$. The coupling strength is fixed as $k = k_1 = k_2 = 5.0$ such that the stability condition $a + k > b f'(y(t - \tau)) = b$ is satisfied. The variables $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ of the coupled Ikeda systems, , and , are plotted as a function of time in Fig. 3, demonstrating complete synchronization between them.

Again, we consider the piecewise linear function , and the same parameter values as in the case 1 but with the square wave coupling $K(t)$ chosen as $k_1 = 0.9$ and $k_2 = 1.0$ such that the stability condition is satisfied for all $t$. The switching instant $\tau_j$ between $k_1$ and $k_2$ for the square wave coupling rate is fixed as $\tau_j = 1.0$. This situation corresponds to the case 3, where the coefficient of the $\Delta$ term in the error equation is time-dependent, while that of the $\Delta_\tau$ term is time-independent and as a result $\mu$ can be fixed as $\mu = (\mu_b f'(y(t - \tau)))^2/2$. The time trajectory of the variables $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are shown in Fig. 4, indicating complete synchronization. Note that here $K(t) > 0$ and the stability condition is indeed
satisfied.

Finally for the more general case where both coefficients of the $\Delta$ and $\Delta_r$ term of the error equation are time-dependent, $\mu = g(t)$ can be given as $g(t) = (a + K(t))/2$ for the chosen form of the square wave coupling $K(t)$ with $K(t) > 0$ and $\dot{K}(t) = 0$. Figure 1(b) is plotted for the same values of the system parameters as in Fig. 1(a) with $k_1 = 5.0, k_2 = 6.0$ and $\tau_s = 1.0$ satisfying the stability condition (7), indicating complete synchronization between the variables $x(t)$ and $y(t)$.

Asymptotic stability of synchronized state in a unidirectionally coupled time-delay system is studied using the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory. We have shown that the same stability condition is valid for all the four cases that arises due to the nature of the coefficients of the $\Delta$ and $\Delta_r$ terms in the error equation corresponding to the synchronization manifold. In particular, we have shown that the same general stability condition is valid even for the general case where both coefficients of the $\Delta$ and $\Delta_r$ terms in the error equation are time-dependent, which is of high importance for various applications. We have also numerically confirmed these results using appropriate examples along with suitable coupling configuration.
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