Artichoke extracts in cancer therapy: do the extraction conditions affect the anticancer activity?
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Abstract

Background: Artichoke is an edible plant that is grown in the Mediterranean region and is known for its antimicrobial, antifungal, antibacterial, antioxidant and anticancer activities. Different artichoke extraction methods can impressively affect the nature as well as the yield of the extracted components.

Main body: The different methods of artichoke extraction and the influence of the extraction conditions on the extraction efficiency are summarized herein. In addition, cancer causalities and hallmarks together with the molecular mechanisms of artichoke active molecules in cancer treatment are also discussed. Moreover, a short background is given on the common types of cancer that can be treated with artichoke extracts as well as their pathogenesis. A brief discussion of the previous works devoted to the application of artichoke extracts in the treatment of these cancers is also given.

Conclusion: This review article covers the extraction methods, composition, utilization and applications of artichoke extracts in the treatment of different cancers.
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Background

Cancer is one of the most popular fatal diseases with an overall estimate of 1,735,350 cases for 2018 which is equivalent to more than 4700 new cancer diagnoses per day. The risk of cancer during the lifetime of humans is 39.7% for men and 37.6% for women which is a little more than 1 in 3 according to the American Cancer Society reports. Body cells are normally growing in response to tightly controlled cell-cycle signalling pathway [1, 2] by which cells are dividing, connected to each other, proliferating and even dying when they are no longer needed. Cancer cells are normal body cells that lose the ability to control their division and growth which may occur due to many reasons known as “cancer hallmarks”. These hallmarks include alterations in the proliferation signalling pathways’ compartments such as receptors, ligands and cytosolic signalling molecules. In addition, altered stress responses represented by DNA damage, hypoxia and excessive signalling, metastasis, and change in shape are considered common hallmarks of cancer. Moreover, in order to support cancer cells with oxygen and nutrients, angiogenesis (the process of blood vessel formation from pre-existing ones) is highly required for the adaptation and sustainability of cancerous tissues. Usually, these abnormal vessels tend to be leaky leading to cancer metastasis to other body tissues. Cancer cells are also able to escape from the immune system via two well-known mechanisms, immune-editing and immune surveillance [3].

Many trials have been reported on the treatment of cancers using surgical, chemo-, radio-, immuno and/or hormonal therapies, either by using only one treatment
plan or by combination of two or more different plans. Unfortunately, no one of these therapies was as efficient as thought, and in addition, each of them has its undesirable side effects such as weight and hair loss, hormonal disturbances, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, anaemia and immunodeficiency. A new trend in cancer therapy has emerged depending on plant-derived products as anticancer agents due to their availability, low production costs and high efficiency with almost no side effects when compared to synthetic drugs.

Artichoke is a very popular plant widely cultivated in the Mediterranean area due to its nutritional and medicinal benefits. It belongs to the kingdom Plantae, class Magnoliopsida, family Asteraceae and genus Cynara. The plant body is composed of three main parts (Fig. 1): (i) the head or capital which is mainly composed of immature flowers and represents about 30–40% of the plant fresh weight (FW), (ii) the heart which is mainly composed of the bracts, receptacles and the inner leaves and it represents 35–40% of the FW and (iii) the stroke [4–6]. Artichoke-derived molecules are well known for their antifungal, antibacterial, antioxidant and anticancer activities [7, 8]. The extracts of the plant leaves and the edible part are thought to have anticancer activity against various types of cancer owing to the presence of some components including polyphenols, flavonoids, fatty acids and inulin which are proved to be cytotoxic to different cancer cells such as skin, breast, cervical, leukaemia and hepatocellular carcinoma. The anticancer activity of these extracts differs according to the extraction methods, the types of solvents used in the extraction process and the applied conditions which have a significant influence on the yield of the anticancer components. This review summarises the previously reported extraction methods and the effects of extraction conditions on the anticancer activity of different artichoke extracts as well as the applications of artichoke extracts in the treatment of various cancer types. In addition, we have summarized cancer causalities, properties and the molecular mechanisms of common plant-derived compounds that act as anticancer agents inside the cancer cells.

In this review, a Google Scholar-based search was used to cover the literature of the last decade (2010–2019). Fig. 2 depicts the increase of number of publications on artichoke extract and the polyphenols in this period.

Main text
Artichoke as an anticancer agent
In this section, the types of cancer that can be treated with artichoke extracts (based on previous studies in the literature) are discussed in addition to the most common cancer hallmarks.

Cancer hallmarks
In order to summarise the role of artichoke plant extracts as antitumor agents, it is necessary to have an idea about causalities of cancers (a.k.a. cancer hallmarks). Hanahan and Weinberg published a very good review article in this issue summarizing all possible biological capabilities acquired during the steps of cancer development [9]. They suggested that six hallmarks constitute a logical framework that explains the remarkable diversity of cancers [10]. These hallmarks include (i) sustaining proliferative signalling, (ii) averting growth suppressors, (iii) resisting cell death, (iv) enabling replicative immortality, (v) inducing angiogenesis and (vi) activating invasion and metastasis. Tumours are more than discrete masses of proliferative cancer cells. Instead, they are complex tissues consisting of various distinct types of cells capable of interacting with one another. Intensive research on cancer production revealed that these six properties are not all the hallmarks that participate in cancer development and sustainability. Indeed, there are other four emerging hallmarks that play a very important role in cancer pathogenesis. These hallmarks are deregulating cellular energetics, genome instability and mutation, thus avoiding immune destruction (via two mechanisms, namely, immune-editing and immune surveillance) and tumour-promoting inflammation [9] (Fig.
In addition, the release of reactive-oxygen (ROSs) and reactive-nitrogen species (RNSs) is tightly linked to one or more cancer hallmarks. For example, inflamed tissues are capable of producing these chemical species that are famous of their active mutagenic function. Therefore, the presence of ROSs and RNSs can induce serious genome mutations which in turn play a role in deregulation of cellular energetics, activating invasion and metastasis, evading growth suppressors, etc. Consequently, the antitumor activity of a large number of plant-derived molecules is attributed to their ability to battle ROSs and RNSs inside the living cells. Vitamins C and E and glutathione (GSH) are common examples for natural antioxidants that help the body to get rid of ROSs and RNSs [11].

Most medicinal, and even edible, plants contain many active substances which act as pharmaceutical agents against various diseases. Generally speaking, polyphenols, flavonoids, sesquiterpene lactones, carotenoids, anthocyanins and xanthones are the most famous groups of plant active substances that have anticancer properties. Artichoke extract keyword Polyphenols keyword

![Fig. 2 Number of publications in the period from 2010 to 2019. The data shown in the figure is the Google Scholar search results using the keywords “artichoke extract” and “polyphenols”. Patents and citations were excluded from the search results](image)

![Fig. 3 The 10 cancer hallmarks](image)
extracts usually contain a large amount of flavonoids and polyphenols [12–15]. The chemical structures of the most common polyphenols obtained from plant extracts are illustrated in Fig. 4.

The molecular mechanism explaining the role of polyphenols as antioxidants will be discussed in one of the following sections. Moreover, we will summarise below the literature devoted to the application of artichoke extracts to the treatment of different cancers. A short account on each cancer type is given at the beginning of each section to enrich the reader’s background about the cancer types that were treated with artichoke extracts in the literature.

Breast cancer
Breast cancer is considered the most spread tumour among women worldwide. In 2017, 250,000 new cases of breast cancer were diagnosed in the USA [17]. It mainly arises due to reproductive factors, family history, body mass index, life style, etc. Breast tumours are categorized by the expression of oestrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor...
receptor 2 (HER2). Classification of breast cancers is usually based on the expression of ER, HER2, PR, cytokeratin protein (CK5/6) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) basal markers. Tumors that lack ER, PR and HER2 receptors are classified as “triple negative” phenotype [18]. This type constitutes ca. 15% of the breast cancer patients [17].

Breast cancer phenotype that lacks ER, PR and HER2 receptors and expresses CK5/6, CK5 and/or EGFR is known as “core basal” phenotype (CBP) [19]. Some reproductive risk factors (e.g., menarche age and parity-related variables) are thought to be responsible for the expression of ER and PR in ER− and PR-positive tumours [20, 21]. CBP tumours are proved to have over representation in breast cancer (BRCA1) gene mutation. BRCA1 as well as BRCA2 are tumor suppressor genes found normally in breast cells separately from each other. Mutations in one of these genes play a crucial role in cancer development in breast tissues. In its early stages, breast cancer is usually non-metastatic, that is why it is considered one of the highly curable diseases when discovered early. However, it is mortal in case of too late detection. Waks and Winer published a valuable review article this year summarizing the recent approaches to systemic and local treatments of the three most common breast cancer phenotypes (HR+/EGFR−, HR−, EGFR+ and triple negative) [17]. Breast cancer is one of the diseases that can be effectively treated with artichoke-derived compounds. Herein, we will give some examples for the previously reported work in which artichoke extracts were used for the treatment of breast cancer cell lines.

In one of the studies performed by Demicheli et al., artichoke extracts showed a remarkable cytotoxic activity against different breast cancer cell lines. It was proven that, both aqueous and ethanolic leave extracts have antitumor properties when applied on T-47D and ZR-75-1 cell lines. The aqueous extract showed a hermetic associated cytotoxicity against cultivated T-47D and ZR-75-1 cell lines when applied for 2 and 3 days with 10–0.63% concentration range, respectively. Incubation of T-47D cell lines for 2 days with the aqueous extract shows 4 times reduction in cancerous cells with respect to the control, while 3 days incubation leads to a reduction in cell viability which is 5 times higher than that of the control. When incubated with ZR-75-1 cell lines for 2 days, the aqueous extract showed 6 times reduction in cancerous cell viability and 8.5 times reduction when incubated for 3 days with 10–0.31% concentration compared to the control. The alcoholic leaf extract showed 8 times reduction in T-47D viability when incubated with the cultivated cells for 2 days using a concentration of 10–0.08% and 9 times reduction when incubated for 3 days with respect to the control. In case of ZR-75-1 cell lines, the alcoholic extract showed 10 and 13 times reduction in the cancerous cell viability with respect to the control when applied with 10–1.25% concentration range for 2 and 3 days, respectively [22].

Boiled methanolic extract of artichoke heart showed marked cytotoxic activity against MDA-MB-231 cell lines. The treatment of ER-negative MDA-MB-231 and BT549, ER-positive, T47D and PR-positive MCF-7 cell lines was achieved by incubating the cells with the methanolic extracts for 24 h. This cytotoxic effect is proved to be related to the presence of phenolic compounds in the methanolic extract such as chlorogenic acid (ChA; 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid), 3,4-dicafeoylquinic acid (3,4-DCQA), 3,5-DCQA, 1,5-DCQA, and 4,5-DCQA. These compounds are analysed using HPLC. The extract was also applied on normal breast epithelial cells (MCF10A) and found to have no toxic effects even at the highest concentrations [23].

**Hepatocellular carcinoma**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the primary liver cancer that develops in hepatocytes [24]. HCC became one of the most prevalent malignant hepatic diseases that ranks the sixth in the world malignancies [25]. HCC is usually associated with liver cirrhosis which may be a result of chronic liver infection such as hepatitis B (HBV) or hepatitis C (HCV) viruses [24], the most common hepatic viral inflammatory diseases [26]. HBV is a double-stranded DNA virus [26] whose envelope is composed of 3 proteins: S (small), M (medium) and L (large). The overproduction of the protein envelope L leads to its intracellular accumulation in the hepatocytes and may lead to cancer induction, also viral polypeptides may be hepatotoxic and they might promote malignancy [27]. HBV can induce cancer development in an indirect manner by cellular oncogene activation and genetic disturbance by HBV-DNA integration. Conversely, HCV is a single-stranded RNA virus of cytoplasmic life cycle. HCV is able to produce liver environment by the initiation of cellular signalling and metabolic variations as well as immunomodulation by which it can escape from innate and adaptive immune responses. The production of this liver environment results in chronic inflammation, oxidative stress, and consequently liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and HCC overtime [26]. In addition, excessive alcohol consumption is one of the most dangerous causalities of liver cirrhosis. Alcohol is metabolized into acetaldehyde which is strongly toxic to hepatocytes and may lead to the formation of variable adducts capable of inactivating GSH and causing mitochondrial damages. Family history, obesity, aging and genetic diseases represented in diabetes, alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, hemochromatosis, tyrosinemia, porphyria, Wilson’s disease, etc. are considered HCC risk factors [24]. In addition, the ingestion of aflatoxins (toxic molecules
produced by parasitic fungi) such as aflatoxin B1, a genotoxic hepatocarcinogen, can cause HCC development by inducing the formation of DNA adducts, hence causing genetic changes in liver cells [28].

Artichoke extracts show highly impressive anticancer and protective activity against human HCC cell lines [29–31]. A study performed using methanolic extracts of fresh artichoke buds obtained from the external bracts and head using boiling methanol shows both cytotoxic and apoptotic effects against liver cancer cells. It shows a reduction in the viability of cancer cells up to 80% at the highest concentration, 1200 μmol/L, when added to HepG2 cell lines. The extract also shows an apoptotic effect against HepG2 cell lines via the caspase-3 protein which is activated in response to treatment with 400 and 800 μmol/L of the artichoke extract and has led to apoptosis in about 50% of the cell population when cultivated for 24 h [32]. Extraction from different plant parts may lead to obtaining different active substances in the final extract; that is why the effect of these extracts may cause variable degrees of cell viability reduction when used for cancer treatment. For example, artichoke-heart methanolic extract shows reduction in the cell viability of human HepG2 cell lines by 36.7% while the bract extract shows 78.3% of cell reduction when each of them was applied for 2 h [33].

**Colorectal cancer**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most globally spread cancers whose incidence ranks the second among women by 614,000 cases per year, and the third among men by 746,000 cases per year [34]. CRCs are classified according to their occurrence mechanisms into three major types: CIN, CIMP and MSI. CIN refers to chromosomal instability in which mutations in adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) occur leading to mutational activation of KRas oncogene and consequently inactivation of the TP53 tumour suppressor gene [35]. Isolated methylator phenotype (CIMP or CpG) results from the hypermethylation of some tumour suppressor genes, e.g. O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) and MutL homolog 1 (MLH1). The inactivation of these suppressor genes is associated with BRAF-gene (a gene which encodes the B-Raf protein which is responsible for transferring signals inside the cells and during cellular growth) mutations and microsatellite instability (MSI). MSI tumour is a type that results from the inactivation of genetic alternation in short sequences of DNA called DNA-mismatch repair (MMR) [36]. Actually, Markowitz and Bertagnolli published a very informative review article summarizing the molecular basis of colorectal cancer [37].

A study of artichoke extracts, including a mixture of the heart and the vegetative part as well as the edible parts obtained from local and wild artichoke, in n-hexane, shows the excellent cytotoxicity of artichoke extracts against human DLD1 cancer cell line over the apigenin. The extracts not only suppress DLD1 cellular proliferation and growth but also induce apoptotic pathways via the up- and downregulation of the pro-apoptotic gene Bax and the anti-apoptotic gene Bcl2, respectively. DNA fragmentation results involved in this study show a 5-folds increase in Bax expression, while apigenin showed an elevation of 2.9-folds only. On the other hand, a decrease in Bcl2 expression level was observed revealing that the extracts induce programmed cell death by removing the anti-apoptotic Bcl2 barrier. Artichoke extracts were also found to upregulate the cyclin-dependant kinase inhibitor as well as p21, two of the most crucial apoptotic agents [38].

**Leukaemia and pleural mesothelioma**

Leukaemia is a word that refers to a cluster of diseases of variable biological background, prognosis and their action against treatment as well. Leukaemia can be a malignant transformation of the haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) leading to the formation of abnormal mass of cells inhibiting the production of normal blood cellular components. Exposure to hazards, e.g. ionizing radiation, alkylating agents and benzene, is considered to be the most prominent factor that leads to leukaemia development [39]. The anti-proliferative activity of ethanolic artichoke bracts’ extract was investigated by the treatment of both murine L1210 and HL-60 human cell lines with concentrations ranging from 500 to 2500 μg/μL for 24 h. The study shows a decrease in cellular growth in a dose-dependent manner. It was proved that artichoke extract has a marked effect on the cancer cell cycle by arresting cells in the G0/G phase, while no cells were observed in the S phase. It also exhibit an apoptotic effect against the above-mentioned cell lines via mitochondrial caspase-dependant pathway, cytochrome-c release, caspase-9/caspase-3 activations, and specific proteolytic cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase detected using western blot [40].

Mesothelioma is a neoplasm which mainly arises from the mesothelial cells lining the pleural, peritoneal and pericardial cavities. Exposure to fibrous minerals, e.g. asbestos, is one of the mesothelioma risk factors due to which over 20 million of people in the USA are in risk of mesothelioma development. The mesothelioma mortality rate is estimated to increase by 5–10% per year in most of the industrial countries by 2020 [41]. Ethanolic extracts of artichoke leaves also showed an inhibition in the growth and proliferation of MPM cell line associated with an apoptotic–inducing activity. The extract also inhibits the progression of tumour cells in vivo in MSTO-211H engrafted mice [42].
Molecular mechanisms of polyphenols as antioxidants

Polyphenols are present in many plants’ leaves, fruits, and flowers as pigments. A large number of polyphenols, exceeding 8000 structurally unparalleled compounds, have been separated from plant sources [43–46]. Polyphenols are the most plenteous and intensively studied antioxidant compounds. In addition, they have many other biological activities since they can act as anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, antithrombotic, antiviral and antitumor agents [16]. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the molecular mechanism of their antioxidant action inside cancer and even normal cells. As mentioned above in this review, ROSs and RNSs create an intracellular state known as “oxidative stress” (Fig. 5) which result in serious cellular damage, carcinogenic reactions, neurodegenerative diseases, strokes, cardiovascular diseases, etc. [47, 48]. Researches on polyphenols confirmed that their antioxidant characters serve in preventing cancers, aging, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [49, 50]. It is well known in the literature that polyphenols exert their antioxidant action via four mechanisms: (i) ROSs and RNSs scavenging, (ii) enzyme regulation (through induction of antioxidant enzymes such as xanthine oxidase, lipoxygenase and NADPH oxidase), (iii) metal chelation (due to their high affinity to chelate iron and copper) and (iv) activation of calcium channels [51, 52]. In addition, polyphenols act as antioxidants by preventing the expression of some inflammatory signalling molecules, inhibiting platelet aggregation and vascular constriction [53, 54]. It is worth noting that naturally occurring polyphenols are often lipophilic at the physiological pH; hence, they have ROSs and RNSs scavenging properties in hydrophobic media [16] (Fig. 5). Assaying the polyphenol scavenging capabilities can be performed through several assays procedures. These assays include Troxol-equivalent antioxidant capacity assay (TEAC), the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay, the ferric reducing ability assay (FRAP), the inhibition of Cu²⁺-induced plasma oxidation and the inhibition of red blood cell haemolysis induced by peroxyl radicals [55–57].

Extraction methods of artichoke

In this section, we will give a summary for the different solvent-extraction procedures followed in the literature in order to isolate artichoke medicinal compounds. Later on in this review, the effect of changing the extracting solvent on the yield of the extracted artichoke components will be highlighted.

Alcoholic extraction

Owing to the solubility properties of artichoke active components, alcohols are widely used in artichoke extraction [15, 29, 58–61]. Both methanol and ethanol...
can be used in different concentrations either alone or in the form of mixtures. One method to extract free and bound phenolic compounds from artichoke heart and bracts was performed by dissolving 1 g of the flour of each part in 10 mL of 80% chilled ethanol for 20 min with continuous shaking [33]. The extract was then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was collected, the residues were re-extracted twice with 10 mL of 80% chilled ethanol and the concentrated extract was dissolved in 10 mL methanol.

The residues obtained from the free phenolic compounds extraction step were hydrolysed in 20 mL of NaOH (2 M) with continuous shaking at 60 °C for 90 min. The hydrolysate was acidified to pH 2 with 6 N HCl and centrifuged to get a cloudy precipitate. For the bound phenolic extract preparation, the clear supernatant was extracted 5 times in aqueous hexane of hexane/water (1:1, v/v) to remove fatty acids and any other lipid contaminants. The obtained phenolic acid was extracted 6 times using ethanol/water mixture (1:1, v/v), evaporated to dryness and then dissolved in 10 mL of methanol [33].

In another study, active compounds in artichoke leaves were extracted by dissolving 50 g of the dried leaves twice in 500 mL of 50% ethanol with 4 h continuous stirring at room temperature. Primary alcoholic extracts are then obtained and mixed in order to be dried under vacuum [22].

Reflux can also be used in order to perform an efficient extraction. For example, in a trial devoted to the extraction of artichoke leaves components, 1 kg of powdered leaves was refluxed in 200 mL of 60% ethanol twice for 2 h then the extract was concentrated under reduced pressure at 50 °C and lyophilized. About 249 g of the crude extract was obtained, diluted with water and extracted with petroleum ether, ethanol and n-butanol to obtain four fractions after removing the solvents [62].

Artichoke buds are also rich in pharmaceutically active components which can be used in cancer therapy. In the work reported by Mileo et al. and Venere et al., 10 fresh artichoke buds of weights ranging from 115 to 125 g were obtained. The buds are composed of bracts and an edible part. About 25 g of the homogenized tissue were refluxed twice with boiling methanol (1:5, w/v) for 1 h. Thereafter, the extract was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The obtained residues were dissolved in 100 mL of methanol/water (1:1, v/v) mixture [23, 63].

About 880 g of artichoke bracts were extracted repeatedly with ethanol (1:10, w/v) plant-to-solvent ratio for 2 weeks at room temperature. Thereafter, the solvent was evaporated by vacuum rotary evaporator at 40 °C. Artichoke extract samples, that weigh about 100 mg, were extracted with 1.0 mL of 80% ethanol overnight with shaking at room temperature, sonicated in a water bath for 20 min, vortexed for 10 s and finally centrifuged at 10^4 rpm for 10 min. The resultant supernatant was collected and the procedures were repeated [40].

Sisto et al. used reflux to extract the pharmaceutically active components from artichoke buds. In this study, 100 g of fresh artichoke’s edible part (buds) was homogenized by refluxing twice with boiling methanol (1:5, w/v) for 1 h. The extract was filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure with the aid of a rotary evaporator. A dry residue was obtained and dissolved in 500 mL of distilled water. The resulting solution was filtered, frozen and lyophilized to obtain the final dry powder [64].

For extraction of polyphenols, fresh samples of artichoke were dried at 50 °C for 2 days and stored at 4 °C. A weight of 4 g of dried artichoke leaves or heads was homogenized and extracted by stirring with 100 mL aqueous methanol (60%, v/v) for 1 h at room temperature followed by filtration. The extracts were dried under vacuum at 30 °C. The residues were dissolved in methanol to get a concentration of 10 mg/mL [65].

Dried Helianthus tuberosus leaves were ground into a fine powder. One gram of this powder was weighed and sonicated with 10 mL of ethyl acetate for 30 min. The extracts were then filtered and the residues were re-extracted twice with the same amount of the fresh solvent. The residues were dissolved in 10 mL of methanol and filtered [66].

### Aqueous extraction

The literature contains many studies devoted to aqueous extraction of artichoke compounds from different parts of the plant [67–72]. Leaves (120 g) and flower (160 g) samples were collected and extracted by infusion with distilled water in 1:10 (w/v) plant-to-solvent ratio at 80 °C for 30 min. The infusion was cooled down at room temperature, filtered, frozen and lyophilized [73].

Another aqueous extract of artichoke was prepared by dissolving 10 g of dry artichoke leaves in 200 mL of boiling distilled water for 5 min, then the extract was allowed to cool down at room temperature [22].

Inulins are naturally occurring polysaccharides usually produced by many types of plants. They are characterized by their high water solubility; that is why they can be easily extracted via water extraction. In the literature, there are few methods devoted to inulin extraction from artichoke. Inulins were extracted from Jerusalem artichoke tubers which were collected, washed, cut and dried at 60 °C for 10 h. The dried samples were finely powdered in order to be extracted using the accelerator solvent extractor (ASE). Thereafter, about 2 g of artichoke powdered tuber were added to 3.6 g of silica gel in an extractor cell, and then the powder was automatically extracted with water for 20 min at 80 °C and 1500 psi. The extraction process was controlled by the ASE time programme [74–77].
In another method for inulin extraction from Jerusalem artichoke, hearts were separated from bracts, sliced and dried in a 55 °C adjusted oven for 24 h to obtain constant weight. Sliced heart was powdered to particles of 0.63–1.25 mm size and then immersed in a specific quantity of distilled water and filtered. The resultant filtrate was collected for further two heating steps. Firstly, a conventional heating step was followed in which the filtrate is put into a container placed in a water bath with a stirring rate of 250 rpm. The second step is an electromagnetic induction heating step in which the extraction process was carried out in a magnetisable enamelled container placed in an induction plate with a 250-rpm stirring rate. Magnesium salts as well as Ca(OH)\(_2\) were used in order to purify the solution. An amount of 0.1\(\text{g}\) of dried bracts was placed in 11 mL stainless steel extraction cell placed in between sand layers. Milli-Q water is dried at 110 °C for 5 h. Thereafter, 25 g of the dried powder of each part was subjected to liquid extraction for 6 h in Soxhlet’s apparatus with \(n\)-hexane, then the solvent was evaporated using a rotary evaporator at 40 °C to obtain the three extracts [38].

Artichoke air-dried leaves were soaked in dichloromethane (10 mL/g dry weight) for 5 min, filtered, dried under vacuum and re-dissolved in methanol. The solution was filtered to discard methanol-insoluble substances. Methanol extract was diluted with the same volume of water [81].

For callus production, Jerusalem artichoke tubers were collected, washed with tap water and soap, and stored in the darkness. The sprouting tubers were sterilized by immersion in 70% ethanol for 10 s, washed 3 times with distilled water and then immersed in 50% commercial Clorox solution with 2 drops of Tween-20 for 15 min. Sprouts were rinsed repeatedly with sterilized distilled water and cultured on basal solid MS-medium. Prior to autoclaving at 1.2 kg/cm\(^2\) for 15 min, 0.7% agar was used to solidify the cultures. The medium pH was adjusted to 5.8 with 0.1 N HCl and 0.1 N NaOH. Cultivation was carried out with 50 mL of basal solid MS-medium in a 300-mL glass jar. Leaves’ segments were removed after a few days from the shootlets and used as a stock for callus production [82].

**Aspects influenced by extraction methods**

**Extraction yield**

Many compounds can be obtained from the extraction of different parts of artichoke based on the extraction conditions including type and concentration of the extracting solvents (Fig. 6), variations in the extraction procedures, degree of acidification, temperature and extraction duration. These compounds including polyphenols, flavonoids, carbohydrates, sesquiterpene lactones ...etc. are shown to have potent anticancer activities when applied to different cancer types. In the following part, we will address the effect of the factors on the yields of the most commonly studied artichoke compounds in the literature which consequently affect the anticancer activity of the obtained extract.
Polyphenols

As described above, polyphenols are well-known compounds of their unique chemical composition and health benefits to humans [83–85]. They are common free radical scavengers and potent chemopreventing agents against pro-neoplastic and neoplastic cancers. This family of plant compounds can induce apoptotic effects against cancer cells via the growth inhibitory mechanisms including the activation of cytochrome c and caspases, arresting the cell cycle and modulating cell-signalling pathways NF-κB, JAK/STAT resulting in tumour suppression [86].

Flavonoids, gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid and all caffeoylquinic acid (CQA) derivatives are polyphenol compounds that belong to the most powerful artichoke compounds proved to have promising anticancer activities [87–95]. Variations in the extraction procedures of these phenolic compounds from artichoke different parts can affect their yield. Eighty percent chilled ethanol was used to extract artichoke heart and bracts to obtain both bound and free phenolic compound as described previously in this review. This method results in 9.06 ± 0.06 and 5.38 ± 0.08 as well as 14.16 ± 0.08 and 4.20 ± 0.07 mg/g of free and bound phenolic compounds, respectively [33].

Using 60% ethanol to dissolve artichoke leaves at 50 °C is a promising method to obtain 101.07 ± 0.63 mg/g dried sample of total polyphenols divided into 3-CQA (30.42 ± 0.37), caffeic acid (2.12 ± 0.09), 3,5-DCQA (1.47 ± 0.15), 1,5-DCQA (8.27 ± 0.54), 4,5-DCQA (2.17 ± 0.21), and 3,5-DCQAME (0.53 ± 0.05). When the dried crude extract was further extracted with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate (EA) and n-butanol, it resulted in three more fractions. The results show that EA had the highest content of total polyphenols (266.69 ± 2.51 mg/g dried sample) including caffeic acid (13.83 ± 0.44), 3,5-
In spite of the highest phenolic content of the ethyl acetate fraction, it shows a few content of 3-CQA (19.84 ± 0.61) compared to the n-butanol fraction that shows the highest 3-CQA content of 74.58 ± 1.05. n-butanol fraction was ranked the second according to the amount of phenolic compounds (135.72 ± 1.07) subdivided as following: 3-CQA (74.58 ± 1.05), caffeoic acid (3.36 ± 0.33), 3,5-DCQA (2.11 ± 0.19), 1,5-DCQA (15.87 ± 1.09), 4,5-DCQA (3.41 ± 0.21) and 3,5-DCQAME (0.81 ± 0.12). The petroleum ether fraction shows moderate content of yields by (31.89 ± 0.42) for total phenolics, 3-CQA (2.11 ± 0.09), caffeoic acid (1.56 ± 0.03), 3,5-DCQA (0.42 ± 0.03), 1,5-DCQA (1.59 ± 0.09) and 4,5-DCQA (0.41 ± 0.09), while the 3,5-DCQAME content was not detected [62].

The heads and leaves of green globe and violet artichokes were extracted using 60% aqueous methanol. The results of the HPLC analysis of the obtained extracts show that the green globe extract have a total phenolic content of 8.603 ± 0.265 and 2.697 ± 0.116 g chlorogenic acid/100 g dry weight. On the other hand, the violet leaves and head extracts show 5.666 ± 0.257 and 1.818 ± 0.243 g chlorogenic acid/100 g dry weight, respectively. The content of 1,3-DCQA in the green globe leaves and head extracts was 1.5823 and 0.2792 g/100 g dry weight, while in violet leaves and head extracts, it was found to be 1.4952 and 0.1936 g/100 g dry weight, respectively [65].

In another study, refluxing 25 g of artichoke edible part with boiling methanol results in the accumulation of 730 ± 78 mg/L of chlorogenic acid as well as 745 ± 62 and 645 ± 54 mg/L of 3,5-DCQA and 1,5-DCQA, respectively [23].

Using acetone/ethanol/methanol mixture (70:15:15, v/v/v) for the extraction of artichoke bracts was used to produce a total polyphenols yield of 525.49 ± 0.11 µg gallic acid equivalent/g dry weight. These extracts contained 2.80, 136.75 and 171.58 µg gallic acid equivalent/g dry weight for gallic acid, chlorogenic acid and 1,3-DCQA, respectively [80].

Artichoke leaf extraction with 50% ethanol gave a yield of 34.33% of total polyphenols subdivided as 10.33% CQA, 8.27% flavonoids and 4.07% chlorogenic acid [42].

**Sesquiterpene lactones**

Alcoholic extraction is one of the preferred extraction methods for the separation of sesquiterpene lactones (SLs). Using methanol to extract the dichloromethane-soaked artichoke leaves is a method by which about 22 different SLs were obtained. Also, extraction of artichoke leaves collected from different areas with ethanol for 30 min led to separation of 11 SLs with a yield reaching 1664.76 µg/g [81].

Table 1 summarises the methods of extraction of artichoke components and the corresponding yields obtained from each method.

**Cancer cellular growth and proliferation**

Literature survey reveals that previous studies on artichoke-extract-based anticancer treatment show variation in cancer cellular growth and proliferation. From this point, we summarize here the results obtained upon using different extraction methods of artichoke (see Table 2).

Artichoke leaves’ components extracted from 5 to 6:1 DER with 50% ethanol were found to be rich in caffeoyl quinic acids, chlorogenic acid and cynaropicrin, all of which have potent anticancer activity. The extract was applied on MSTO-211H, MPP-89 and NCI-H28, mesothelioma cell lines and normal untransformed mesothelial cells (HMC) with an increasing concentration range of 3–200 µg/mL for 72 h. The results shows an apparent dose-dependent reduction in cell viability of MSTO-211H, MPP-89 and NCI-H28 mesothelioma cell lines with IC50 values of 21.08, 17.80 and 28.08 µg/mL, respectively, while normal cells were found to be resistant to the growth inhibitory effect of the extract. The extract also showed anti-invasion effect on mesothelioma cell lines as it inhibited their migration in a time-dependent manner when 6 and 12 µg/mL of artichoke-leaf extract was applied to both MSTO-211H and MPP-89 for different time intervals (0, 12, 24 and 36 h), the process that resulted in migration inhibition of both cell types by 50 and 35%, respectively [42].

Extracting artichoke buds with boiling methanol has led to accumulation of caffeoylquinic as well as chlorogenic acids, both of which have a potent cytotoxic effect on HepG2 cell lines when used with a concentration range of 400–1200 μM for 24, 48 and 72 h. Cell viability was reduced in a time- and dose-dependent manner. Applying the extract with the highest concentration of 1200 µM for 24 h has led to 80% cell death, while 50% cell death was obtained when 800 µM was applied for 48 and 72 h. The cell death percentage remains constant when the highest concentration 1200 µM is applied for 72 h [32]. Both aqueous and ethanolic leaf extracts show cytotoxic activity when applied against T-47D and ZR-75-1 breast cancer cell lines [22].

Polyphenol content obtained from the boiled methanolic extract of artichoke edible part show marked cytotoxic activity against MDA-MB 231 cell lines when incubated with a concentration range of 200–800 µM for 24 h [23]. A dose of 600 µM shows about 60% cellular death, while the highest concentration shows about 80%. The extract was also applied on BT549, T47D and MCF-7 cells as well as the MCF-10A normal cells and resulted in a significant reduction of cancer cell viability,
| Extraction method | Yield | Cancer type | Viability % | Reference |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Aqueous           | Not included | Breast cancer (T-47D) | 4–5 times decrease | [22] |
|                   |       | Breast cancer (ZR-75-1) | 6–8.5 times decreased |   |
| 50% ethanol       | Scopoletin | Breast cancer (T-47D) | 8–9 times decrease | [22] |
|                   |       | ZR-75-1 | 10–13 times decrease |   |
|                   | Apigenin |           |             |           |
|                   | Diosmetin |           |             |           |
|                   | Isohoifolin |           |             |           |
|                   | Salvigenin |           |             |           |
|                   | Naringin dihydrochalcone |           |             |           |
|                   | Ursolic acid |           |             |           |
|                   | Stearidonic acid methyl ester | |           |           |
|                   | Stearidonic ethyl ester | | | |
| Methanol          | Chlorogenic acid: 725±70 mg/L | Prostate (DU 145) | 50% | [23, 96] |
|                   | 3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid (DCQA): 738 ± 58 mg/L | Melanoma (M14) | 50% |   |
|                   | 1,5-DCQA: 632 ± 48 mg/L | Breast (MDA-MB231) | 17% |   |
|                   | | Ovary (HEY) | 30% |   |
|                   | | Lung (A549) | 32% |   |
|                   | | Brain (U-373 MG) | 17% |   |
|                   | | Bone (Saos-2) | 20% |   |
|                   | | Leukaemia (K-562) | 17% |   |
|                   | | Breast (BT549, T47D and MCF-7) | 20% |   |
| Ethanol           | Heart total phenolic compounds (TPC): 9.06 ± 0.06 mg/g | Liver (HePG2) | Heart-free phenolic extract shows 36.7% at 100 ppm | [33] |
|                   | Heart total flavonoid compounds (TFC): 5.91 ± 0.12 mg/g | Heart-bound phenolic extract shows 27.2% at 100 ppm |   |
|                   | Bracts (TPC): 14.16 ± 0.08 mg/g | Bract-free phenolic extract shows 75.3% at 100 ppm |   |
|                   | Bracts (TFC): 9.85 ± 0.12 mg/g | Bract bound phenolic extract shows 14% at 100 ppm |   |
| Methanol          | Mono-caffeoylquinic acid 1: 17 ± 2 mg/L | Liver (HePG2) | 20% | [32] |
|                   | Mono-caffeoylquinic acid 2: 13 ± 1 mg/L | Heart-bound phenolic extract shows 14% at 100 ppm | | |
|                   | Chlorogenic acid: 730 ± 78 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Mono-caffeoylquinic acid 3: 17 ± 2 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Di-caffeoylquinic acid 1: 4 ± 0.5 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Di-caffeoylquinic acid 2: 8 ± 1 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Di-caffeoylquinic acid 3: 16 ± 2 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Di-caffeoylquinic acid 4: 745 ± 62 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Di-caffeoylquinic acid 5: 645 ± 54 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Di-caffeoylquinic acid 6: 35 ± 3 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Luteolin-glycoside: 23 ± 5 mg/L |   | | |
|                   | Apigenin-glycoside: 25 ± 5 mg/L |   | | |
while no effect was noticed against the normal cells. The MDA-MB 231 cells were incubated with the extract (100–400 μM) for 6 days in order to detect the proliferation inhibitory effect of the extract. The observed inhibitory effect was obtained at a concentration of 200 μM after 3–6 days of exposure, and the optimum inhibitory effect was at a concentration of 400 μM at which the cellular proliferation was completely inhibited [23]. The application of boiled methanolic artichoke extract on MDA-MB 231 breast cancer cell lines for 10 days with extract concentration range of 2.5–60 μM results in cellular growth inhibition by 90% when low doses up to 30

| Extraction method                          | Yield                                                                 | Cancer type                           | Viability %         | Reference |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Ethanol                                    | 3.44% of total hydroxycinnamic acids expressed as chlorogenic acid and 0.4% of total flavonoid content calculated as luteolin | Leukaemia (L1210 and HL-60 cells)     | < 20% of cellular growth | [40]      |
| Ethanol                                    | Caffeoylquinic acid derivatives: 10.33% of 34.251% of polyphenols Saccharides (%): 24.69 | Pleural mesothelioma (MSTO-211H)      | Not included        | [42]      |
| Ethanol                                    | Total phenolics: 101.07 ± 0.63 mg/g 3-CQA: 30.42 ± 0.37 mg/g Caffeic acid: 2.12 ± 0.09 mg/g 3,5-DCQA: 1.47 ± 0.15 mg/g 1,5-DCQA: 8.27 ± 0.54 mg/g 4,5-DCQA: 2.17 ± 0.21 mg/g 3,5-DCQAME: 0.53 ± 0.05 mg/g | Not included | Not included | [62]      |
| Re-extracting crude extract with petroleum ether | Total phenolics: 31.89 ± 0.42 mg/g 3-CQA: 2.11 ± 0.09 mg/g Caffeic acid: 1.56 ± 0.03 mg/g 3,5-DCQA: 0.42 ± 0.03 mg/g 1,5-DCQA: 1.59 ± 0.09 mg/g 4,5-DCQA: 0.41 ± 0.09 mg/g 3,5-DCQAME: ND | Not included | Not included | [62]      |
| Re-extracting crude extract with ethyl acetate | Total phenolics: 266.69 ± 2.51 mg/g 3-CQA: 9.84 ± 0.61 mg/g Caffeic acid: 13.83 ± 0.44 mg/g 3,5-DCQA: 27.18 ± 1.59 mg/g 1,5-DCQA: 104.51 ± 2.86 mg/g 4,5-DCQA: 19.29 ± 0.44 mg/g 3,5-DCQAME: 20.67 ± 0.83 mg/g | Not included | Not included | [62]      |
| Re-extracting crude extract with n-butanol | Total phenolics: 135.72 ± 1.07 mg/g 3-CQA: 74.58 ± 1.05 mg/g Caffeic acid: 3.36 ± 0.33 mg/g 3,5-DCQA: 2.21 ± 0.19 mg/g 1,5-DCQA: 15.87 ± 1.09 mg/g 4,5-DCQA: 3.41 ± 0.21 mg/g 3,5-DCQAME: 0.81 ± 0.12 mg/g | Not included | Not included | [62]      |
| Re-extracting crude extract with water     | Total phenolics: 52.45 ± 1.44 mg/g 3-CQA: 20.64 ± 0.76 mg/g 1,5-DCQA: 7.94 ± 0.39 mg/g | Not included | Not included | [62]      |
μM was used, while the highest concentration of 60 μM achieved inhibition for about 70% of the cells [96].

Interestingly, incubating different human cancer cell lines with 800 μM of boiled methanolic extract of the artichoke edible part for 24 h shows impressive results in cellular death which reaches 50% in the case of prostate and melanoma cells and more than 50% in the case of breast, ovary, lung, brain, bone, and leukaemia cancer cells. Some drug-resistant cells, e.g. colon and gastric cancer cells, were found to have < 50% of dead cells when incubated with 800 μM while they showed higher sensitivity to the 1200-μM dose [96].

Different fractions are obtained from wild and globe artichoke vegetative and head parts when extracted with hexane for 6 h. The three fractions were applied on the colorectal cancer cell line DLD1 using a concentration range of 100–1000 μg/mL for 24, 48 and 72 h, and the results show significant reduction in cell viability in a dose-dependent manner except for one of the fractions which shows cell viability inhibition in dose- and time-dependent manner [38].

Anti-proliferative effect of artichoke-bracts ethanolic extract against L1210 and HL-60 leukaemia cell lines was shown when applied for 24 h with a concentration range of 500–2500 μg/μL. The obtained data shows that the anti-proliferative effect for L1210 and HL-60 cell lines was 80 and 90%, respectively, by applying the highest concentration (2500 μg/μL). However, a dose of 1250 μg/μL shows 60% cellular anti-proliferation for both cell types. By using a dose of 500 μg/μL, only 20% of anti-proliferative effect was shown for L1210 cells and no change occurred in case of HL-60 [40].

Artichoke extract shows reduction in HepG2 cancer cell viability up to 80% at the highest concentration applied, 1200 μM. Artichoke extract also shows apoptotic effect against HepG2 cell lines via the caspase-3 protein which is activated in response to treatment with 400 and 800 μM concentration for 24 h leading to apoptosis in about 50% of cell population [32]. Artichoke heart methanolic extract showed reduction in cell viability of human HepG2 cell lines by 36.7%, while bract extract showed a cell reduction of 75.3% when both were applied for 24 h [33].

### Apoptotic activity

Apoptosis or the programmed cell death is one of the most common cancer-killing pathways. It is the method of cell death that occurs under certain physiological conditions due to some intracellular changes. Many factors affect apoptosis induction by drugs including stress inducers, e.g. NF-KB, INK, MAPK, ERK, granzyme B released by cytotoxic T cells and activates the downstream apoptosis effector mechanism and proteolytic enzymes, i.e. caspases. These factors are considered the “apoptosis soldiers” through which the apoptosis process can be stimulated in the target cell and represented in cell shrinkage, nuclear DNA fragmentation and membrane protrusion [97–103].

Caspases are proteolytic enzymes that belong to the “cysteine protease” family and act as death-effector molecules. These proteins are divided into two categories: initiator and effector caspases. Initiator caspases (e.g. caspase-8 and caspase-10) are responsible for signal transduction into protease activity, and they are directly linked to death-inducing-signals complexes (DISCs), while effector caspases possess varieties of cytoplasmic and nuclear substrates which are responsible for many morphologic features of apoptotic cell death [104–108]. Initiator caspases can be activated by two pathways, hence inducing apoptosis. These pathways are (i) the receptor pathway by which caspase is activated by death-receptor-mediated signalling pathway at the plasma membrane and (ii) the mitochondrial pathway that takes place in the mitochondria. The receptor pathway begins with stimulation of TNF superfamily, e.g. CD95 (Apo-1/ Fas) or TRAIL receptor, resulting in receptor aggregation followed by recruitment of adaptor molecules such as Fas-associated death domain (FADD) and caspase-8 which induces apoptosis by cleavage of downstream effector caspases when activated [109–111]. The mitochondrial pathway is initiated with the release of apoptogenic factors from the mitochondrial intramembrane space, e.g. cytochrome c, apoptotic-inducing factor (AIF) and caspase-2 or 9 [112–117]. Releasing cytochrome c in cytosol triggers caspase-3 activation via forming cytochrome/ApoF-1/caspase-9 containing apotosome complex [118]. Both pathways can be connected as after
death receptor stimulation, the resultant caspase-8 activation leads to Bid cleavage (a BH3 domain containing Bcl2 family protein) which assumes cytochrome c releasing activity upon cleavage and then induces the mitochondrial pathway [119, 120].

Repeated extraction of artichoke bracts with ethanol for 2 weeks showed remarkable apoptotic effect against leukaemia cells, represented in cytochrome c release in leukaemia cells treated with 1250 μg/μL of the extract for 8 h. Release of cytochrome c plays a crucial rule in the mitochondrial pathway which leads to caspase-3 activation which in turn initiates the apoptotic pathway [40].

In another study, ethanolic extraction of artichoke leaves was proved to have apoptotic effect against MSTO-211H and MPP-89 cell lines treated for 24 h with 100 μg/mL via triggering the cleavage of caspase-3 and caspase-7 as well as poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP-1) [42] which is considered one of the caspase substrates being cleaved by the caspase proteolytic activity. PARP-1 cleavage leads to the formation of variant fragments that mediate cell death, and its presence is known to be an apoptotic hallmark [121–123].

Artichoke bud extraction with boiled methanol shows a significant apoptotic activity against HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. This promising activity is represented by more than 50% apoptotic cell population achieved by application of 800 μM of artichoke extract to the cells, while there was an early apoptosis in control by 15%. The highest concentration of 1200 μM caused 65% apoptosis to the cells. Additionally, applying 1.5 μM of artichoke extract caused 50% of apoptotic cell death after 24 h. Activation of cellular caspase-3 in human hepatoma cells HepG2 is achieved when incubated with 400 and 800 μM of the artichoke extract, while the highest concentration of 1200 μM shows less caspase-3 activation than that of the low concentrations. This finding may be due to the presence of necrotic or apoptotic cells. ChA, one of the phenolic components of the extract, was applied to the hepatoma cells for 48 h, and it showed a significant increase in the apoptotic cell death that reaches 50% with the highest concentration (1200 μM) [32].

Boiled methanol extraction of artichoke buds was found to have an impressive effect on the apoptotic pathway induced by caspase-8 and caspase-9 against MDA-MB231 when incubated with the extract (200–800 μM) for 24 h. Caspase-8 activation was detected at the lowest dose (200 μM), while caspase-9 activation increased in a dose-dependent manner and apparently detected at concentrations of 600 and 800 μM. In addition, the extract has proven to have a surprising effect on the p53 (a tumor suppressor gene known to be a major regulator protein in apoptosis via performing a transcriptional activation of target genes as p21 gene) for arresting cell cycle and Bax. P53 gene was found to be overexpressed in the MDA-MB231, and therefore, p53-independent pathways were detected by immunoblotting. In this assay, cells were treated with the artichoke extract for 24 h with concentrations ranging from 200 to 800 μM and the apoptotic effector agent (p21), the pro-apoptotic protein (Bax) and the anti-apoptotic protein (Bcl2) as well as p53 were detected. The p21 gene detection shows an increase in the gene expression at concentrations of 200 and 400 μM without affecting the p53 expression levels. The pro-apoptotic protein Bax shows high expression at the lowest dose of artichoke extract (200 μM), whereas the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl2 show decreased expression with increasing doses of artichoke extract [23].

In a different study, artichoke edible part components extracted with boiled methanol show a significant effect on the DNA hypermethylation which is a major factor that affects cancer growth and development via silencing of the tumor suppressor genes [124]. DNA hypermethylation was represented by the decreased 5-methylcytosine positive cell number in breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB231 when treated with artichoke extract (2.5–30 μM) for 10 days. This decrease in 5-methylcytosine positive cell number follows a dose-dependent behaviour [96].

Hexane was used to extract the artichoke powder prepared from the vegetative and edible parts. When the resultant three fractions were applied to the DLD1 colorectal cancer cell line, they were found to not only affect DLD1 cellular proliferation and growth, but also induce apoptotic pathways via the up- and downregulation of the pro-apoptotic gene Bax and the anti-apoptotic gene Bcl2, respectively. DNA fragmentation results carried out in this study show an increase in Bax gene expression level 5-folds in the case of artichoke extracts fractions E1 (head) and E2 (vegetative part), while it found to be affected by only 2.9-fold with apigenin. The increased expression of Bax gene led to consequent increase in cell membrane permeability, hence releasing cytochrome c that promotes the mitochondrial activation of caspase-3 which in turn initiates the apoptotic pathway against DLD1 cells. On the other hand, a decrease in Bcl2 gene expression level was observed which means that the extracts induce programmed cell death by removing the anti-apoptotic Bcl2 barrier. Artichoke extracts were also found to upregulate the cyclin-dependant kinase inhibitor as well as p21 which is considered a crucial apoptotic agent as mentioned above [38].

A summary of the anticancer activities of artichoke components, expressed as the experimental IC50 values, is given in Table 3.

Factors influencing extraction efficiency

Effect of solvent

Solvent choice is the most important factor that affects the extraction process. The “like dissolves like” rule of
Table 3 A summary of the IC$_{50}$ of artichoke components obtained from different extraction methods. The cancer types treated in each study are also included.

| Component    | Cancer type                                      | IC$_{50}$          | Reference |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Catechin hydrate | Breast cancer (MCF-7)                           | 127.62 μg/mL       | [125]     |
| EGC          | Lung cancer (H661 and H1299)                     | 22 μM              | [126]     |
| EGC          | Lung cancer (H661 and H1299)                     | 22 μM              | [126]     |
| EGC and EGC  | Lung cancer (H441 and colorectal cancer HT-29)   | 40–65 μM           | [126]     |
| EGC          | Liver (HepG2)                                    | 0.5 mM             | [127]     |
| Epicatechin   | Liver (HepG2)                                    | 3.0 mM             | [127]     |
| EGC          | Breast cancer (MCF-7)                           | 11.2 ± 1.4 μM      | [128]     |
| EGC          | Colorectal adenocarcinoma (HT-29)                | 136.3 ± 2.1 μM     | [128]     |
| Myricetin     | Ovarian cancer (A2780 and OVCAR3)                | 25 μM              | [129]     |
| Myricetin     | Liver (HCC)                                      | 25 μM              | [130]     |
| Taxifolin (TAX) | Colon (HCT116)                                    | 51.3 μM (for 24 h) | [131]     |
| Taxifolin (TAX) | Colon (HT29)                                     | 29.9 μM (for 48 h) | [132]     |
| Taxifolin (TAX) | Skin scar cell carcinoma (SSCC)                  | 39.0 μM (for 48 h) | [132]     |
| Quercetin     | Colon (CT-26)                                    | 27.2 ± 1.52 μM     | [133]     |
| Prostate      | (LNCaP)                                          | 21.7 ± 2.31 μM     |           |
| Prostate      | (PC3)                                            | 36 ± 1.98 μM       |           |
| Pheochromocytoma (PC12) |                                     | 11.8 ± 2.27 μM     |           |
| Breast (MCF-7) |                                                | 13.7 ± 2.61 μM     |           |
| Lymphoblastic leukaemia (MOLT-4) |                                | 2.91 ± 0.54 μM     |           |
| Myeloma (U266B1) |                                             | 6.13 ± 0.73 μM     |           |
| Lymphoma (Raji) |                                                | 3.52 ± 0.46 μM     |           |
| Ovary (CHO)   |                                                 | 23.4 ± 3.11 μM     |           |
| Liver (HepG2) |                                                 | 80 μM              | [134]     |
| Squamous cell cancer (A431) |                                    | 21 μM              | [135]     |
| Cyanidin      | Renal cell carcinoma (786-o)                     | 120.6 μM           | [136]     |
| Daidzein      | Renal adenocarcinoma (ACHN)                     | 96.96 μM           | [136]     |
| Uroepithelial cell lines |                                      | 20 μg/mL           | [137]     |
| RT4 (grade 1) |                                                 | 40 μg/mL           | [138]     |
| TSGH8301 (grade 2) |                                             | 40 μg/mL           | [139]     |
| SCABER (grade 2) |                                             | 30–40 μg/mL        |           |
| BFTC-905 (grade 3) |                                            | 40–50 μg/mL        |           |
| T24 (grade 3)  |                                                 | 40 μg/mL           |           |
| J82 (grade 3)  |                                                 | > 50 μg/mL         |           |
| HT-1376 (grade 3) |                                             | 25 μg/mL           |           |
| Prostate cancer |                                             | 59.7 ± 8.1 μM      | [137]     |
| LNCaP and PC-3 |                                               | 97.9 ± 11.3 μM     |           |
| Hepatoma cells (BEL-7402) |                                | > 100 μM           |           |
| Cervical (HeLa) |                                               | > 100 μM           |           |
| Liver (HepG-2) |                                               | > 100 μM           |           |
| Human osteosarcoma (MG-63) |                                | > 100 μM           |           |
| Lung (A549)   |                                                 | > 200 μM           | [140]     |
| Apigenin      | Breast (MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7)                   | > 200 μM           |           |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (SMMC-7721) |                     | > 200 μM           |           |
| Esophageal carcinoma (Eca109) |                                | > 200 μM           |           |
| Cholangiocarcinoma (HuCCA-1) |                                | 75 μM              | [141]     |
| Luteolin      | Lung (A 549)                                     | 3.1 μM             | [135]     |
| Melanoma B16  |                                                 | 2.3 μM             | [135]     |
| T cell leukaemia cells (CCRF-HSB-2) |                    | 2.0 μM             | [135]     |
dissolution and the nature of the desired yield are the factors according to which the solvent can be chosen, i.e. carbohydrates and water-soluble materials must be extracted with polar solvents, while oils and lipids have to be extracted with non-polar solvents.

Methanol and ethanol are the solvents of choice when one wants to extract polyphenols with significant yields. Boiled methanol was found to produce a better yield of 3,5-DCQA, 3-CQA and 1,5-DCQA than ethanol [23].

Re-extraction of crude ethanolic extract of artichoke with ethyl acetate gives the best yield of total phenolics including caffeic acid and caffeoylquinic acid derivatives, while other solvents like n-butanol and ethanol itself are ranked the second and the third, respectively. In the same study, re-extraction with water has interestingly shown higher yield of total phenolics (including 3-CQA and 1,5-DCQA) than that shown by petroleum ether re-extraction [62].

Polysaccharides (such as inulins) are known to be one of the major components found in artichoke plant as well as any other plant tissues. Water is a perfect solvent in the extraction of plant polysaccharides, and it is more favourable than polar organic solvents such as ethanol. Using hot water at different temperatures shows impressive results in extraction of inulin, which is the most common polysaccharide in artichoke, as the yield of inulin extracted with hot water reaches 60% of the whole polysaccharides content [74], while using ethanol leads to extraction of only 1.7% of the total polysaccharides [42]. According to the above-mentioned studies, caution should be taken while selecting a solvent for extracting certain artichoke components for subsequent use in cancer treatment.

Effect of time and temperature
It is intuitive that extending the time required for solvent extraction results in increasing the yield of the extracted components. Similarly, high temperature is supposed to facilitate the dissolution of artichoke plant components in the used solvent and then it results in a more efficient extraction. In one of the studies, using hot water of different temperatures and different time intervals showed variations in the obtained yield of inulin. When 100 °C water was used in the extraction of inulin from artichoke tubers for 20 min, the highest yield of inulin (60%) was obtained. However, the yield was decreased when the same solvent was applied for 10 or 30 min. On the other hand, applying 70 and 80 °C shows a little bit lower inulin yield. An impressive inulin yield higher than 40% was obtained at 70 °C when the tubers were extracted for 30 min. Therefore, it was found in this study that applying a temperature of 80 °C for 20 min is the best way to obtain the highest inulin yield from artichoke plant [74].

Another study used to extract the inulin and inositols from artichoke dried bracts using 2 aqueous methods: MAE and PLE with application of temperature range of 40–120 °C and time range of 3–30 min in both. The results show that the MAE is the best method to obtain the best yield of inositol which was 11.6 mg/g of dry sample vs 7.6 mg/g obtained from the PLE method with the application of relatively high temperature (65–70 °C) for a short time (3 min). In contrary, using the PLE method at high temperature (65–70 °C) for 26.7 min was found to be the best conditions to obtain the highest yield of inulin which was 185.4 mg/g of dry sample vs 96.4 mg/g in the case of MAE [79].

| Table 3 | A summary of the IC₅₀ of artichoke components obtained from different extraction methods. The cancer types treated in each study are also included (Continued) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Component | Cancer type | IC₅₀ | Reference |
| Gastric cancer (TGBCT1TKB cells) | | 1.3 μM | |
| Stomach | | 25 μM | |
| Cervix | | 27 μM | |
| Lung | | 41 μM | |
| Bladder | | 68 μM | |
| Leukaemia (HL60) | | 15 μM | |
| Squamous cell cancer (A431) | | 19 μM | |
| GLC4 (lung cancer) | | 40.9 μM | |
| COLO 320 (colon cancer) | | 32.5 μM | |
| Gastric cancer (MKN45 and BGC823) | | 40 μM | |
| Breast (MCF-7) | | 25 μM | |
| Breast (MDA-MB-231) | | 41.917 μM | |
| Morin | Leukaemia | 250 ± 40 μM | [142] |
| Hesperidin | Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) | 12.5 mM | [143] |
| Leukaemia (HL60) | | 6 mM | [144] |
| Breast (MCF-7) | | 11 μM | [145] |
| Osteosarcoma MG-63 cells | | 63.3 μM | [146] |
| Cervical (HeLa) | | 5.275 mM | |
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Conclusion
In this review, the work reported on the use of artichoke extracts as antitumor agents was summarized and discussed. The previous trials show that artichoke contains some active substances (e.g., polyphenols and flavonoids) that possess excellent anticancer activities. The conditions applied in the solvent extraction method are key factors that interestingly affect the composition as well as the yield of the obtained extract. Moreover, other factors that may influence the extraction efficiency such as the temperature of the extracting solvent and the extraction time have been discussed. Relying on the previous work collected in the current review article, it will be easy for researchers interested in treating cancer with artichoke (and similar plants) extract to apply the most suitable extraction conditions. Researchers dealing with artichoke extracts for cancer treatment should follow an accurate analytical protocol (such as gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, GC/MS) for the components they obtain from the extraction processes. No doubt that this analytical step will provide information about the components present in the extract and their corresponding yields, leading to easier interpretation of the anticancer results and correct unearthing of the mechanisms underlying their effects on cancer cell lines. Finally, this area of cancer treatment is very hot and immature, meaning that there will be more research to be done in the near future especially in the methods of separation, analysis and delivery of artichoke extract components to treat different types of cancers.
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