Follow-Up MR Imaging of the Alar and Transverse Ligaments after Whiplash Injury: A Prospective Controlled Study

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The cause and clinical relevance of upper neck ligament high signal intensity on MR imaging in WAD are controversial. The purpose of this study was to explore changes in the signal intensity of the alar and transverse ligaments during the first year after a whiplash injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Dedicated high-resolution upper neck proton attenuation–weighted MR imaging was performed on 91 patients from an inception WAD1–2 cohort, both in the acute phase and 12 months after whiplash injury, and on 52 controls (noninjured patients with chronic neck pain). Two blinded radiologists independently graded alar and transverse ligament high signal intensity 0–3, compared initial and follow-up images to assess alterations in grading, and solved any disagreement in consensus. The Fisher exact test was used to compare proportions.

RESULTS: Alar and transverse ligament grading was unchanged from the initial to the follow-up images. The only exceptions were 1 alar ligament changing from 0 to 1 and 1 ligament from 1 to 0. The prevalence of grades 2–3 high signal intensity in WAD was thus identical in the acute phase and after 12 months, and it did not differ from the prevalence in noninjured neck pain controls (alar ligaments 33.0% versus 46.2%, \( P = .151 \); transverse ligament 24.2% versus 23.1%, \( P = 1.000 \)).

CONCLUSIONS: Alar and transverse ligament high signal intensity in patients with WAD1–2 observed within the first year after injury cannot be explained by the trauma. Dedicated upper neck MR imaging cannot be recommended as a routine examination in these patients.

ABBREVIATIONS: AROM = active range of motion; CI = confidence interval; NDI = Neck Disability Index; NRS-11 = 11-point numeric rating scale; WAD = whiplash-associated disorders

The alar and transverse ligaments are important stabilizers at the craniovertebral junction—the alar ligaments prevent excessive rotation and lateral flexion and the transverse ligament prevents anterior dislocation of atlas on axis during flexion.1–3 These ligaments can show high signal intensity on proton attenuation–weighted high-resolution MR imaging.4–9 The high signal intensity has an unknown etiology, a debated relation to trauma, and uncertain clinical relevance. It has been reported in patients with chronic WAD6–9,10 but also in noninjured controls.4,5,7,8

In the only study of alar and transverse ligament high signal intensity in acute WAD, such high signal intensity was not related to crash factors, was not more frequent when compared with noninjured controls without neck pain, and did not influence clinical outcome after 12 months.8,11 Trauma-related high signal intensity can appear in ligaments some time after an acute injury due to repair processes of scarring and fibrosis or fat replacement.12 In one study, patients with WAD imaged 2–6 years after trauma were more likely than controls to have alar and transverse ligament high signal intensity.6 Changes in the signal intensity of these ligaments have never been examined prospectively. Such changes over time could be related to a trauma but also to altered ligament function due to neck pain per se, regardless of trauma. Any time-related development of a high signal intensity would shed light on its pathogenesis and morphology.

In this study, patients with acute WAD grade 1 or 2 (ie, acute neck complaints after trauma but no fractures, dislocations, or neurologic signs)13 underwent high-resolution upper neck MR imaging both in the acute phase and at 12 months’ follow-up. The aim was to explore changes in the signal intensity of the alar and transverse ligaments during the first year after a whiplash injury causing acute neck pain. We also compared the prevalence of ligament high signal intensity between the WAD group and a control group of noninjured patients with chronic neck pain.

Materials and Methods
The appropriate Research Ethics Committee approved this prospective controlled study. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants. To detect a difference in prevalence of high signal intensity from 35% in the WAD group to 13% in the control group as statistically significant in a 2:1 design (significance level, 5%; power, 80%), 90 patients with WAD and 45 controls would be needed.

Patients with Whiplash
From May 2007 to March 2009, 114 patients with acute WAD1–2 were included in an inception cohort and completed adequate high-resolution MR imaging of their upper neck ligaments within 0–13 days (median, 5 days) after injury.8 They were consecutively recruited...
from a primary ward and a hospital clinic and were all Norwegian-speaking drivers or passengers, aged 18–80 years, sustaining a car crash during the last 7 days, reporting onset of neck pain within 48 hours after the crash. They had no neurologic signs and no clinical or radiologic signs of neck fracture or dislocation. N.V. ascertained the WAD grading by interviewing the patients and reviewing reports from clinicians and radiologists. The exclusion criteria were prior neck injury or whiplash trauma; prior neck pain of >30 days in total; reported treatment for neck problems during the past 10 years; prior severe head injury; previous cervical spine surgery, rheumatic disease, cancer, or any other serious somatic or psychiatric conditions; and pregnancy/MR incompatibility. How ligament high signal intensity on MR images in the acute phase of injury related to initial clinical characteristics and to clinical outcome at 12 months has been reported previously.9,11

All 114 patients were invited to undergo follow-up MR imaging 12 months after injury; 20 patients declined a second MR examination and 3 patients did not respond to phone or mail reminders. This left 91 patients constituting the present study sample.

Noninjured Patients with Neck Pain: Symptomatic Controls
Noninjured patients with neck pain were consecutively recruited from an outpatient spine clinic as symptomatic controls. The inclusion criteria, fulfilled by 188 patients attending from June 2007 to October 2008, were Norwegian-speaking patients, aged 18–80 years, with a main complaint of >3 months’ neck pain. The exclusion criteria were any neck or whiplash trauma (n = 109), severe head injury (n = 5), cervical spine surgery (n = 4), rheumatic disease (n = 1), cancer or any other serious somatic or psychiatric condition (n = 0), clinical sign of myelopathy or known cervical nerve root syndrome (n = 3), pregnancy/MR incompatibility (n = 1), and unwillingness to participate (n = 12). Of the remaining 53 patients with neck pain, 1 patient aborted MR imaging due to claustrophobic discomfort, leaving 52 patients in the study.

Clinical Data
All included patients with WAD had completed a questionnaire 0–13 days (median, 5 days) after the crash and follow-up MR imaging 51–56 weeks (median, 52 weeks) after the crash. The 52 noninjured symptomatic controls were imaged within 0–25 days (median, 4 days) after inclusion.

Image Interpretation
The alar and transverse ligaments were graded 0–3 on the proton attenuation–weighted sequences based on the ratio between any high signal intensity part and the total cross-sectional area of the ligament as judged visually.6,9,12 High signal intensity in one-third or less of the total cross-sectional area was graded 1, high signal intensity in one-third to two-thirds of the total cross-sectional area was graded 2, and high signal intensity in two-thirds or more of the total cross-sectional area was graded 3. No high signal intensity was graded 0. The right and left sides were graded separately, by using the image with the largest cross-sectional area of high signal intensity. Alar ligaments were graded on sagittal sections and transverse ligaments on sagittal or coronal sections. Any high signal intensity had to be seen in at least 2 imaging planes to be graded 1–3. Homogeneous gray ligaments were graded 2.

Two radiologists (6 and 26 years experience) who were blinded to group allocation and clinical data independently graded the initial MR images of the WAD1–2 cohort and the MR images of the symptomatic controls. The images were de-identified and presented in a random order interspersed between images of noninjured asymptomatic individuals (not reported here). Both radiologists thereafter solved all disagreements by consensus reading of images. Their consensus grading was used in the analyses, where grades 0 and 1 were combined and grades 2 and 3 were combined. Regarding presence of grades 2–3 alar and transverse ligament high signal intensity per subject, the 2 radiologists disagreed in 25 (17%) and 27 (19%) subjects and achieved k = 0.59 and 0.46 for interobserver agreement, respectively.

The same 2 radiologists, blinded to all clinical data except group allocation, interpreted the follow-up MR images of the WAD1–2 cohort with both the initial images and the consensus grading of the initial images available. Each independently compared ligament high signal intensity between the initial and the follow-up images and assessed whether the grading was altered at follow-up (no versus yes, and to which grade). For 2 patients (2/91, 2.2%), they disagreed on such alterations and performed a consensus grading, which was used in the analyses.

Statistical Analyses
The Fisher exact test was used to compare proportions between groups. To compare means, the Mann-Whitney U-test was used as normality could not be assumed. SPSS 16.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois) was used to analyze data. P ≤ .05 indicated statistical significance.
Results

Characteristics of Patients with WAD

Table 1 shows characteristics of the 91 patients with WAD. Their median age was 29.2 years; 53 (58.1%) were women; and 33.0% and 24.2% had grades 2–3 alar and transverse ligament high signal intensity, respectively, in the acute phase of injury. Four patients reported a new minor whiplash trauma during follow-up. The 23 patients with WAD not completing follow-up imaging did not differ significantly from the 91 included WAD patients in age, sex, initial pain, or ligament high signal intensity in the acute phase. Twenty of these 23 dropouts completed the follow-up questionnaire 12 months after injury, and they were more likely to have recovered than the 91 patients with WAD completing follow-up MR imaging (NDI ≤ 8%; 80.0% versus 50.5%; \(P = .024\)).

Ligament High Signal Intensity 12 Months after Whiplash Injury

All patients with WAD had interpretable follow-up MR images that could be directly compared with the initial images.

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the 91 patients with follow-up MR imaging 12 months after whiplash injury

| Clinical characteristics at the acute phase of injury | \(n\) | \(\%\) | Median (Range) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------|------|---------------|
| Women                                                  | 53   | 58.1 |               |
| Age (yr)                                               |      |      | 29.2 (18.1–69.2) |
| Initial neck pain intensity, NRS-11 score (0–10)       |      |      | 4.0 (1.0–9.0)  |
| Crash-related factors                                  |      |      |               |
| Rear-end collision                                     | 60   | 65.9 |               |
| Head turned at impact (\(n = 77\))                    | 26   | 33.8 |               |
| Seat belt used at impact                               | 88   | 96.7 |               |
| Head restraint present at impact (\(n = 87\))         | 75   | 86.2 |               |
| Patient car speed at impact (km/h; \(n = 91\))        |      |      | 0.0 (0.0–75.0) |
| Relative car speed\(^a\) at impact (km/h; \(n = 69\)) |      |      | 45.0 (10.0–150.0) |
| Clinical characteristics at follow-up                 |      |      |               |
| Last week’s neck pain intensity, NRS-11 score          | 2.0  | 8.0  | (0.0–8.0)     |
| Neck disability, NDI score (%)                         | 8.0  | 8.0  | (0.0–64.0)    |

\(^a\) Difference between vehicle speeds if rear-end collision; otherwise, sum of vehicle speeds.

Fig 1. Alar ligaments (arrows) on coronal (A, B) and sagittal (C, D) MR sections in a WAD patient. Left alar ligament altered from grade 1 on the initial examination (A, C) to grade 0 at follow-up (B, D).
The grade of high signal intensity was altered from 0 to 1 in one alar ligament (Fig 1) and from 1 to 0 in another alar ligament. All other alar and transverse ligaments showed exactly the same grade of high signal intensity at follow-up as initially on both the left and the right side (Figs 2 and 3). The prevalence of grades 2–3 high signal intensity in patients with WAD was thus the same 12 months after injury as in the acute phase: 33.0% (95% CI, 23.1%–42.8%) for the alar ligaments and 24.2% (95% CI, 15.2%–33.1%) for the transverse ligament (Table 2).

Ligament High Signal Intensity in Symptomatic Controls

Among the 52 noninjured patients with neck pain, the prevalence of grades 2–3 high signal intensity was 46.2% (95% CI, 32.1%–60.2%) for the alar ligaments and 23.1% (95% CI, 11.2%–34.9%) for the transverse ligament (Table 2). In this control group, 37 (71.2%) were women, age was 22.0–59.9 years (median, 41.9 years), NRS-11 score of last week’s neck pain was 2–10 (median, 6), NDI was 14–72 (median, 36), total cervical AROM was 150–393° (median, 295°), and time since first neck pain episode varied between 4.5 months and 36 years (median, 42.0 months). None of these clinical factors were related to the presence of grades 2–3 ligament high signal intensity (P > .134). Alar high signal intensity tended to be more likely in male controls (P = .073).

The prevalence of grades 2–3 ligament high signal intensity did not differ between the 52 symptomatic controls and the 91 patients with WAD (alar, P = .151; transverse, P = 1.000) or between these controls and patients with WAD who recovered (NDI ≤ 8%) or not (NDI > 8%) at follow-up (alar, P = .058–0.681; transverse, P = .814–1.000; Table 2). Alar high signal intensity tended to be more frequent in symptomatic controls than in recovered patients with WAD (P = .058; Table 2).

Discussion

This study shows that the signal intensity of the alar and transverse ligaments on dedicated MR imaging does not change during the first year after a whiplash injury. The prevalence of grades 2–3 ligament high signal intensity in patients with WAD was identical in the acute phase and after 12 months, and it was similar to the prevalence in noninjured patients with chronic neck pain.

The unchanged signal intensity 12 months after injury strongly indicates that the high signal intensity was not caused by the traumatic event. Any high signal intensity due to edema or bleeding from acute mechanical injury would be expected to decrease as the acute responses resolve.21-23 The unaltered signal intensity also does not support the theory that acute ligament injury invisible on MR images in the acute phase can cause the development of high signal intensity seen only at a later stage of injury, eg, after a repair process or due to accelerated degeneration.11 We cannot completely rule out that such high signal intensity may occur later than 12 months after injury. However, in a large group of patients with neck pain with a history of neck trauma, neither alar nor transverse ligament high signal intensity was related to time since trauma (median, 5 years; range, 39 days–59 years).9

The similar prevalence of ligament high signal intensity in
our WAD cohort at 12 month-follow-up and in noninjured patients with chronic neck pain also shows that the high signal intensity was hardly due to the trauma. The prevalence of alar high signal intensity in noninjured patients with chronic neck pain (46.2%) was comparable to that of a previous report (33.3%). The high signal intensity could theoretically be due to altered ligament function caused by neck pain. Pain-induced immobility causes morphologic changes in muscles, tendons, and ligaments. In a group of patients with chronic WAD, alar ligament high signal intensity was related to reduced cervical AROM and high NDI. In contrast, we found no relation to cervical AROM, NDI, or neck pain in our symptomatic controls. These noninjured patients with chronic neck pain tended to have more alar high signal intensity than patients who recovered from WAD. However, alar and transverse ligament high signal intensity is reported to be frequent also in healthy noninjured persons without neck pain. The high signal intensity is unlikely to be caused by pain-induced neck immobility.

Reported prevalence of ligament high signal intensity on upper neck high-resolution MR images has varied between cohorts, both in patients with chronic WAD and healthy controls. The significantly higher prevalence in patients with chronic WAD compared with noninjured controls found by Kråkenes et al has not been confirmed. The 45 nonrecov-
ered patients with WAD in the present study (NDI > 8% at follow-up in Table 2) had similar prevalence of grades 2–3 high signal intensity as 157 noninjured asymptomatic controls in a previous study (alar ligaments 40% versus 31%; transverse ligament 27% versus 30%).

Twenty-three eligible patients (20%) did not complete follow-up MR examination. This represents an obvious limita-

### Table 2: MR imaging grades 2–3 ligament high signal intensity in patients with WAD1-2 12 months after injury and in noninjured controls with chronic neck pain

| Group                        | Alar Ligament Grades 2–3 High Signala | Transverse Ligament Grades 2–3 High Signala |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                              | n         | %      | \(P^b\) | n         | %      | \(P^b\) |
| WAD, NDI > 8% at follow-up (n = 45) | 18        | 40.0   | .681    | 12        | 26.7   | .814    |
| WAD, NDI ≤ 8% at follow-up (n = 46) | 12        | 26.1   | .058    | 10        | 21.7   | 1.000   |
| All WAD (n = 91)             | 30        | 33.0   | .151    | 22        | 24.2   | 1.000   |
| Controls, all NDI > 8% (n = 52) | 24        | 46.2   |         | 12        | 23.1   |         |

\(a\) Highest assigned grade if different between right and left side.
\(b\) \(P\) value based on Fisher exact test compared with the controls.
tion of the study. The dropouts were probably less motivated for repeated imaging as they had more often fully recovered. However, they had similar clinical and imaging characteristics in the acute phase as the 91 patients completing a second MR examination. The dropout of recovered patients would be expected to increase the prevalence of any trauma-related signal intensity changes and thus strengthened our findings of no such changes. The higher median age of the controls versus the patients with WAD (41.9 versus 29.2 years; P < .001) hardly influenced the frequency of ligament high signal intensity. This frequency did not vary with age, neither in the control group nor in the original WAD cohort.

A major strength of this study is the prospective and consecutive inclusion of both patients with WAD and noninjured neck pain controls. Our cohort is highly representative of patients with WAD–1 to 2 with no previous neck problems who seek medical care shortly after a car crash. The same magnet and protocol were used for all MR examinations providing comparable images. Direct comparison of the follow-up images did not alter during the first year after a whiplash injury. More likely it represents normal function due to neck pain. More likely it represents normal function due to neck pain. However, they had similar clinical and imaging characteristics in the acute phase as the 91 patients completing a second MR examination. The dropout of recovered patients would be expected to increase the prevalence of any trauma-related signal intensity changes and thus strengthened our findings of no such changes. The higher median age of the controls versus the patients with WAD (41.9 versus 29.2 years; P < .001) hardly influenced the frequency of ligament high signal intensity. This frequency did not vary with age, neither in the control group nor in the original WAD cohort. A high signal intensity was similarly prevalent after whiplash injury: the 91 patients completing a second MR examination in the acute phase as the 91 patients completing a second MR examination. The dropout of recovered patients would be expected to increase the prevalence of any trauma-related signal intensity changes and thus strengthened our findings of no such changes. The higher median age of the controls versus the patients with WAD (41.9 versus 29.2 years; P < .001) hardly influenced the frequency of ligament high signal intensity. This frequency did not vary with age, neither in the control group nor in the original WAD cohort.

Conclusions
In this first study on follow-up MR imaging of upper neck ligaments, the signal intensity of the alar and transverse ligaments did not alter during the first year after a whiplash injury. A high signal intensity was similarly prevalent after whiplash injury and in noninjured patients with chronic neck pain. It cannot be explained by the acute trauma or altered ligament function due to neck pain. More likely it represents normal variants. Upper neck MR imaging is not recommended for routine use in the examination and follow-up of patients after a whiplash injury.
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