Exact longitudinal plasmon dispersion relations for one and two dimensional Wigner crystals
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We derive the exact longitudinal plasmon dispersion relations, \( \omega(k) \) of classical one and two dimensional Wigner crystals at \( T = 0 \) from the real space equations of motion, of which properly accounts for the full unscreened Coulomb interactions. We make use of the polylogarithm function in order to evaluate the infinite lattice sums of the electrostatic force constants. From our exact results we recover the correct long-wavelength behavior of previous approximate methods. In 1D, \( \omega(k) \sim |k| \log^{1/2}(1/k) \), validating the known RPA and bosonization form. In 2D \( \omega(k) \sim \sqrt{k} \), agreeing remarkably with the celebrated Ewald summation result. Additionally, we extend this analysis to calculate the band structure of tight-binding models of non-interacting electrons with arbitrary power law hopping.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In the realm of one-dimensional(1D) physics, many analytical solutions for the interacting electron gas exist at various regimes of electron-electron interaction strength, both repulsive and attractive, often revealing non-trivial fermionic instabilities. Wigner crystals are one such phase, existing in the extreme limit of electronic correlations. Wigner crystallization is also known to occur even in classical systems in higher dimensions for sufficiently strong unscreened Coulomb repulsion, however for the specific case of 1D electrons, true long-ranged order is not possible even with longer ranged interactions.

Unlike Fermi liquid systems, for Wigner crystals, the precise mathematical and experimental behavior of the elementary excitations are still far from completely understood. The charged collective modes at \( T = 0 \) are one such entity, where its essential features are captured by a classical description. The spin degrees of freedom also lead to another type of collective mode, of which is important in describing the magnetic properties. However, for simplicity we assume that the spin wave transport is sufficiently decoupled from the plasmon propagation.

The effects of long range interactions are often neglected in many discussions of Wigner crystals even though one would expect in real physical systems a complete breakdown of screening. So far, the complete plasmon dispersion and more importantly, its precise long wavelength behavior has not been deduced analytically. We present a simple derivation of the plasmon dispersion relation in a 1D Wigner crystal phase that exploits the summability properties of power law interactions that are unique to 1D systems. Moreover, the correct behavior of the two dimensional(2D) longitudinal eigenmode is also calculated exactly by performing the necessary single summation, of which produces excellent agreement with known numerical results. Additionally, as an example of the utility of this form of analysis and owing to a similar mathematical structure we also apply these methods to calculate the band structure of an electronic tight-binding model with power law interactions. This is shown in the appendix.

II. THE DISPERSION RELATIONS

Let us begin with a classical 1D array of \( L \) particles interacting with unscreened, long-range Coulomb forces, described by the Hamiltonian:

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_e^*} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e_0}{|x_i - x_j|} \tag{1}
\]

In the above equation we have defined \( p_i \) and \( m_e^* \) as the particle momentum and effective mass of the \( i \)th particle, respectively. Our system lives in the low density regime in which the Coulomb interactions are much larger than the kinetic energy resulting in the crystalline ordering of the particles with the spatial coordinates \( \{x_i\} \) separated with lattice constant \( a \). Moreover, the system is stabilized by a positive Jellium neutralizing background. The eigenvalue equation of interest follows directly from the classical equations of motion for the total force acting on each particle. This is given by the following expression:

\[
-m_e \omega^2 u(x) + \sum_{x' \neq x} \phi_{x,x'} u(x') = 0 \tag{2}
\]

where \( u(x) \) is the displacement of a lattice site from equilibrium and,

\[
\phi_{x,x'} = 1/(x-x')^3 \tag{3}
\]

is the electrostatic force constant between two particles in the array, of which is the second derivative of the interaction potential. As a consequence of the periodic ordering
and translational symmetry present in the system we can assume the eigenfunctions have the form,

\[ u(na) \propto \exp[i(na - \omega t)] \]  

(4)
of which \( k \) is the Fourier component and \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). We substitute equations (3) and (4) into (2), yielding:

\[ \omega^2 \propto \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin[kr/2]^2}{r^3} \]  

(5)

where we have defined \( r \equiv na \) and have set \( m_e = e_0 = 1 \) for simplicity. Thus, it is our primary task to carry out the infinite summation of equation (6). Hitherto, the most common approaches have been approximate, using methods such as the Ewald summation technique, where a solution is presented in terms of rapidly converging sums. We make use of the Polylogarithm function \( \text{Li}_n(z) \) also known as the de Jonquires function, defined as:

\[ \text{Li}_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^n} \]  

(6)

This definition may be extended to all of the complex plane through analytic continuation, therefore we apply equation (6) to the summation of equation (5) yielding,

\[ \omega_{1D}(k) \propto \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin[kr]^2}{r^3} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\text{Li}_3(e^{-ik}) - \text{Li}_3(e^{ik}) + 2\zeta(3) \right) \]  

(7)

where \( \zeta(x) \) is the Reimann zeta function. At long wavelengths the polylogarithms can be expanded to the lowest order, yielding:

\[ \omega_{1D}(k) \approx |k| \log^{1/2}[1/|k|] \]  

(8)

Let us turn our attention to the two dimensional case, for which equation (2) can be generalized to a double summation over a tensor. The dominant contribution to the longitudinal eigenmode is the following sum:

\[ \omega_{2D}(k) \propto \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin[kr]^2}{r^2} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{12} \left( \pi^2 - 3\text{Li}_2(e^{-ik}) - 3\text{Li}_2(e^{ik}) \right) \]  

(9)

We can further simplify this expression by making use of the following identity,

\[ Cl_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}[\text{Li}_n(e^{-ix}) - \text{Li}_n(e^{ix})] & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\
\frac{1}{2}[\text{Li}_n(e^{-ix}) + \text{Li}_n(e^{ix})] & \text{for } n \text{ odd} 
\end{cases} \]  

(10)

where \( Cl_n(x) \) are Clausen functions for a given \( n \). It is known from functional analysis that certain Clausen functions have an exactly summable representation for arguments in a restricted range. In particular for \( 0 \leq k \leq 2\pi, \)

\[ Cl_2(k) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\pi k}{2} + \frac{k^2}{4} \]  

(11)

Apparently, the periodicity of our system guarantees that the values of \( k \) are restricted to the first Brillouin zone. Therefore, a more convenient representation of the 2D longitudinal plasma dispersion relation becomes:

\[ \omega_{2D}(k) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\pi |k|}{2} - \frac{k^2}{4}} \]  

(12)

Apparently the long wavelength behavior reduces to:

\[ \omega_{2D}(|k|) \propto \sqrt{|k|} \]  

(13)

If we place this derivation in the context of earlier work, this classical result can be compared to the attempts by other authors using a quantum-mechanical treatment of charged collective modes with long-range interactions. Until now, no exact analytical results exist for the classical plasmon dispersion relations of Wigner crystals in any dimension. Gold and Ghazali examined a correlated quasi-1D electron system by using the Random Phase Approximation(RPA). In the RPA treatment the authors remedy the diverging Fourier transform of the 1/r potential in 1D by phenomenologically adding a small but finite system width \( d \) that leads to a logarithmic part of the interaction, separating the short-ranged behavior from the long-ranged one. The resulting charged modes have the following dispersion,

\[ \omega_{\text{RPA}}(k) \approx \frac{2\varepsilon_0}{\sqrt{\pi v_f}} |k| \log^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{kd} \right) \]  

(14)

where \( v_f \) is the Fermi velocity. Clearly a notable difference between our classical result (12) and the RPA result (14) is the logarithmic singularity in the limit \( d \to 0 \), of which is a direct consequence of the authors considering a quasi-1D system rather than the purely 1D system that we have just discussed. Although our exact result contains extra dispersive curvature at values of \( k \) near the Brillouin zone boundary, our classical summation technique agrees with the RPA result’s long-wavelength behavior.

Still, others have attempted to describe 1D WC behavior in the limit of an elastic Hamiltonian such as in the Luttinger liquid phase(Schulz, 1993). The Luttinger liquid arises as an instability in a 1D electron system with strong short ranged interactions, captured by the Hubbard Hamiltonian. Again they contend that the true long
The range behavior of the Coulomb interaction is not important aside from these minor logarithmic correction factors that modify the elastic modes to produce a dispersion relation that has the same behavior as the RPA result\(^\text{[14]}\). A comparison is show in Figure 1.

In 2D, until now there have been no analytical results for the precise behavior of the longitudinal plasma eigenmode. The Ewald technique has been numerically implemented by previous authors\(^\text{[8,9]}\). They discovered an unusual \(\omega(k) \sim \sqrt{k}\) dependence arising strictly from the long-ranged interactions, as it is known that short-ranged interactions produce a linear phonon dispersive form. We can apply this

\[
\psi_i \sim e^{ikr} |i\rangle + e^{-ikr} |j\rangle
\]

The tight-binding Hamiltonian of interest takes the following form,

\[
H = \sum_i \varepsilon_i |i\rangle \langle i| + \sum_{(ij)} t_{ij} (|i\rangle \langle j| + |j\rangle \langle i|)
\]

where \(i\langle j\rangle\) denotes a sum over all unique pairs of lattice sites \(i\) and \(j\). For simplicity we have assumed only one orbital per site. The hopping matrix elements \(t_{ij}\) have the power law dependence,

\[
t_{ij} = \frac{t_0}{|i-j|^{1.5}}
\]

Evidently, the Hamiltonian satisfies the time-independent Schrodinger equation \(H |\psi_k\rangle = E(k) |\psi_k\rangle\). Furthermore, for translationally invariant systems, we can make use of the Fourier transform, having the form \(|\psi_k\rangle = \sum_{l'} e^{ikl'} |l'\rangle\), with \(l = 1, 2, \ldots\). We can apply this ansatz along with equation (A1) to the Schrodinger equation to yield the following expression,

\[
H |\psi_k\rangle = \sum_{l'} e^{ikl'} |l'\rangle \left\{ \sum_{\ell} \frac{t_0 (\cos[\ell r] - 1)}{r^\beta} + \varepsilon_0 \right\}
\]

where we have defined \(r = |i-j|\) and we have also absorbed various constants into the definition of \(t_0\) and \(\varepsilon_0\).
Again we made use of the polylogarithm function $\text{Li}_n(z)$ and following similar steps as before our final expression for the energy dispersion relation reduces to:

$$E^\beta(k) = t_0(\text{Li}_\beta[e^{ik}] + \text{Li}_\beta[e^{-ik}]) + \varepsilon_0 \quad (A4)$$

Equation (A4) is general to a particular exponent $\beta$, and one must properly consider the odd and even cases in order to determine when it is appropriate use a particular Clausen function. More specifically, for odd powers of $\beta$ one may use the equation (A5) reducing the final energy dispersion to:

$$E^\beta(k) = 2t_0C\beta[k] + \varepsilon_0 \quad (A5)$$

for $\beta = 2n + 1; n=0,1,2,\ldots$.
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