Case Report

Complete response of merkel cell carcinoma with brain metastases to pembrolizumab and radiotherapy: A case report
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Introduction

Merkel cell carcinoma is a rare, but highly malignant and fast growing skin-cancer with high recurrence-rates, deriving from endothelial and neuroendocrine tissues. For advanced Merkel cell carcinoma prognosis is poor [1] and even under cytotoxic chemotherapy there is only a median progression-free survival of only 3 months [2,3]. Even though Merkel cell carcinoma frequently shows lymphatic metastases, it almost never shows cerebral involvement [1]. To our knowledge there are only twelve reported cases of cerebral metastases. Furthermore this is the first reported case of complete response in a patient with advanced Merkel cell carcinoma Stage IV with brain metastases under combined treatment with pembrolizumab and radiotherapy.

Etiologic factors are advancing age, fair skin, ultraviolet light exposure, immunocompromise, and the Merkel-cell polyomavirus (MCPyV), which is associated with approximately 80% of Merkel cell carcinomas. In MCPyV-positive Merkel cell carcinomas tumor-cells express large T-antigen, which inactivates p53 and Rb [2]. Affected patients were found to produce MCPyV T-antigen–specific T cells and antibodies in relation to disease severity [4-6]. Virus-associated Merkel cell carcinoma showed significant lower mutation rates than MCPyV-negative Merkel cell carcinoma [7]. The detected low mutational rate and the absence of typical driver mutations in the presented patient are in line with a MCPyV infection positive Merkel cell carcinoma. Positive expression of PD-L1 on tumor cells and PD-1 on tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes suggests an endogenous tumor reactive immune response that might be unleashed by anti–PD-1 or anti–PD-L1 drugs [7-9]. Recently immunotherapy has been shown to be a highly effective and well tolerated treatment. A phase 2 – study with 26 patients with advanced Merkel cell carcinoma undergoing anti–PD1 treatment, showed a response rate of 56% [10]. Two clinical studies demonstrated a response rate as high or even higher as in melanoma [9,11]. Corresponding cases with advanced courses, especially with cerebral metastases, and therapeutic options have not been reported so far.

Case presentation

A 60-year-old man was referred to our outpatient clinic in March 2015 with slowly progressive, skin-colored nodule on the dorsum of his right hand. The nodule grew slowly over a period of about two years. Until first presentation three similar nodules developed on his right forearm. The patient had no further complaints. Personal and family history was negative for cutaneous or any other malignancy. The patient was obese
Peripheral blood testing indicated mild lymphopenia (0.88 10^3/μl), prolonged International normalized Ratio (2.3, norm: < 1)) most likely due to oral anticoagulation with phenprocorn, thrombocytopenia (114 10^3/μl, norm: 150 - 450 10^3/μl), conjugated hyperbilirubinemia (0.5 mg/dl, norm: < 0.3 mg/dl), unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia (1.3 mg/dl, norm: < 1.1 mg/dl), and increased lactate dehydrogenase (312 U/l, norm: < 250 U/l).

Ultrasound revealed three similar, homogeneous, localized, about 10 to 20 mm wide, irregular, hypo-echogenic nodules on his right, lateral forearm.

The primary tumor and three in-transit metastases were excised with 20 mm excision margin. Histology showed tumor cell nests with atypia, mitotic activity and tumor necrosis as well as infiltration of lymphatic tissue. Immunostainings found negative findings for melanocytic markers and positive findings for keratinocyte markers as well as for Cytokeratin-20 which confirmed the diagnosis of Merkel cell carcinoma. Whole-body multi detector computed tomography did not give evidence for metastatic disease.

Advanced Merkel cell carcinoma, Stage IIIB (AJCC classification: pT2 pN2 cM0) [12,13], was diagnosed and subsequent radiotherapy with 50 GY of right forearm and hand was performed.

Six months after initial presentation the patient reported new nodules on the right volar hand similar to the pre-diagnosed subcutaneous metastases. New loco-regional, as well as distant subcutaneous metastases on both shoulders and the right cheek, lung metastases in the right caudal upper lobe, and bone metastases of the dorsal neck, left humerus and right os ileum were detected by positron emission tomography–computed tomography (Figure 1). Merkel cell carcinoma Stage IV was diagnosed.

A systemic therapy with carboplatin (AUC4 IV) plus etoposide (80mg/m² IV) was started. During the therapy the patient had clinically massive progress with deterioration of the general condition and newly appeared cutaneous metastases up to 10 cm in size. Re-staging after four cycles of chemotherapy confirmed progressive metastases as well as new tumor manifestations in soft tissue, lung, and bones (Figure 1). With progressive diffuse metastatic infiltration of the long bones, patient developed pancytopenia, which required repeated blood transfusion. Due to progressive disease chemotherapy was stopped. Due to bone marrow infiltration, pancytopenia worsened despite the impairment of chemotherapy.

The interdisciplinary tumor board recommended off-label treatment with an anti-PD1 antibody [4–6], as there was no official approval at the time of the treatment in March 2017.

15 months after initial diagnosis, treatment with fixed dosed, body weight-based pembrolizumab 2mg/kg every three weeks was started, in combination with radiotherapy of multiple subcutaneous metastases.

The first follow-up examination already indicated partial response with decreasing diameter of all metastases. Two months later next follow up computer tomography–examination gave evidence of further regression, and finally, 23 month after initial diagnosis, complete response could be achieved with residual fibrotic tissue nuchal and sclerosis of the former bone metastases (Figure 1). After 14 cycles of pembrolizumab had been administered, the next follow up examination indicated new occurrence of cerebral metastases (Figure 2). These were located bi-hemispheric, fronto-basal, parietal, supra-tentorial, and occipital, mostly round shaped, ranging from 5 mm (fronto-basal) to 3 mm (parietal). An additional cranial magnetic resonance imaging confirmed the presence of cerebral metastases.

After extra-cerebral complete response it was decided to...
continue anti-PD 1 treatment, combined with whole brain radiotherapy to treat the newly occurred cerebral metastases. Over a period of two months the patient received an overall radiation dose of 35 GY (lateral, isocentric, opposing fields in mask technique, 6 MV photons, fractionation: 5 x 2.5 GY / week). After initial partial response with small remnants of the irradiated cerebral metastases on magnetic resonance imaging, the next regular follow up whole body and cerebral computer tomography in July 2017 did not give evidence for any remaining metastases thus achieving complete response (Figure 2).

Whole exome sequencing of tumor tissue was performed to identify possible treatable mutations and identified 30 somatic alterations (Figure 3). The overall mutation rate was low (0.64 var/Mb). The nonsense variant in the E3 ubiquitin–protein ligase TRIP12 (c.3982G>C, p.E1328*) was predicted to be a driver variant by the Cancer Genome Interpreter [14]. Additionally, multiple CNVs were found, including a terminal deletion of the long arm of chromosome 3, a terminal amplification of the short arm of chromosome 6 and an amplification of the short arm of chromosome 11. The copy number loss on chromosome 3 involved the tumor suppressor gene TP63 and the copy number gains on chromosomes 6 and 11 involved the known oncogene HRAS. A smaller interstitial amplification was found on chromosome 22. Additionally we found a cluster amplification of a short region on chromosome X, which is present in the germline as well as the tumor. Unfortunately there were no further therapy options revealed.

Six months later the patient developed severe autoimmune pneumonitis and increasing neurological deficits. Cerebral MRI raised suspicion of carcinomatous meningitis which was confirmed by cerebrospinal fluid puncture. Within a few days the patient’s condition deteriorated drastically and he died of respiratory insufficiency.

Discussion

Immune checkpoint inhibition is a promising new therapy for metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma, which has good response rates and an improved long-term response to therapy. In our case of a refractory Merkel cell carcinoma under chemotherapy, a complete response was achieved after switching to immune checkpoint inhibition. The novelty of the case presented here is due to several respects: it is one of the very few cases of Merkel cell carcinoma with cerebral metastases that regressed under therapy with checkpoint inhibition and whole brain radiotherapy and even showed complete response.

Possible mechanisms leading to treatment resistance in brain metastases could be hyperactivation of AKT (p-AKT) and loss of PTEN expression [15]. In our particular case, brain metastases derived from combined radiotherapy and continuing immunotherapy. Effectiveness is as well described for brain metastases in advanced melanoma [16]. It was shown that radiotherapy has the ability to prime an endogenous antigen-specific immune response by increasing the percentage of antigen–experienced T cells and effector memory T cells in mouse model using the small animal radiation research platform [17].

Due to improved treatment options and prolonged survival, serial therapies are gaining importance. Whole exom sequencing could be recommended to evaluate further therapy options, even if in our case no therapeutic consequences resulted from the analysis.

Conclusion

The here presented case is of special interest since it impressively illustrates the possible therapeutic effects of combined treatment with check point inhibition and radiotherapy in advanced Merkel cell carcinoma with brain metastases.
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