Maxillary Constriction With Skeletal class II Malocclusion - A Comprehensive Treatment Approach.
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Abstract

This case report reiterates the fact that a bilateral posterior crossbite with severe skeletal class II malocclusion in the growth period could be effectively treated by a comprehensive approach with a rapid palatal expansion appliance followed by fixed appliance therapy. A 14-year-old boy presented with a severe skeletal Class II malocclusion with an orthognathic maxilla, retrognathic mandible and a high mandibular plane angle with an Angles’ Class II division 1 subdivision malocclusion with maxillary constriction, increased overjet, deep bite and severe crowding of maxillary and mandibular incisors. A banded rapid palatal expansion appliance was initially given to correct the bilateral posterior cross bite and subsequently maxillary and mandibular first premolars were extracted and Roths’ Pre adjusted edgewise appliance therapy (0.022 x 0.28-inch slot) was strapped up to correct the severe tooth size-arch length discrepancy. The patient’s soft tissue profile and dentofacial esthetics improved dramatically with increased self-confidence and enthused self-esteem.
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Introduction

Growth period of an individual is an ideal period for the treatment of desired orthopaedic effects of skeletal jaw discrepancies. It has been reported that a deficiency in maxillary arch width is associated with Class II malocclusion. Skeletal maxillary constriction treated with rapid palatal expansion appliance has been widely reported in literature, however, other possible indications of this technique have also been proposed in addition to its prime objective of correction of posterior cross bite.¹,²

Haas³ opined that all Class II division 1 and Class division 2 patients present mandibular functional retrusion and that with Class II division 1 group the retrusion was due to constriction of maxillary dental arch, especially between the canines. It has been reported that skeletal Class II malocclusion with retrognathic mandible have benefited immensely with spontaneous forward positioning of the mandible facilitated by the widening of the constricted maxilla.⁴,⁵

This case report illustrates the treatment of a skeletal Class II malocclusion with an orthognathic maxilla and retrognathic mandible with Angles’ Class II division 1 subdivision malocclusion with maxillary constriction and severe maxillary and mandibular tooth size-arch length discrepancy. Initial treatment with rapid palatal expansion appliance to correct the maxillary constriction to promote spontaneous forward positioning of the mandible and subsequent orthodontic treatment with fixed appliance therapy resulted in a remarkable change in the patient’s dentofacial esthetics.

CASE REPORT

Diagnosis and etiology

A 14-year-old male presented with severe crowding and forward placement of the maxillary and mandibular incisors. Inherited growth pattern could have been the most possible etiological factor.

Extraoral assessment. (Fig 1a-c).

The patient had a leptoprosopic face, convex profile, posterior divergence, incompetent lips, clinical high mandibular plane angle, complete maxillary incisor display on smiling with no signs of temporomandibular joint dysfunction.

Intraoral assessment. (Fig 1d-h).

The maxillary arch was V-shaped with severely proclined and rotated maxillary incisors with a palatally placed 12. The mandibular arch was U-shaped with severe crowding of mandibular incisors, with 43 partially

---
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² Haas J. Contour in Orthodontics. St. Louis: C. V. Mosby Co.; 1970:109.
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⁴ Haas J. Contour in Orthodontics. St. Louis: C. V. Mosby Co.; 1970:111.
⁵ Haas J. Contour in Orthodontics. St. Louis: C. V. Mosby Co.; 1970:112.
erupted and buccally placed with transpositioned 42 and 43, with 42 mesiolingually rotated, 33 distolingually rotated and 34 distolingually rotated and buccally placed.

Severe increase in overjet and deep bite were both observed. The maxillary midline coincided with the skeletal midline but the mandibular midline was shifted to the right side by 1 mm. Bilateral maxillary posterior crossbite was also observed. On right side the molar relation was Class I and on the left side it was Class II. The canine relation was Class II on the left side and the curve of Spee was increased.

Radiographic assessment. The panoramic radiograph confirmed the presence of all permanent teeth with the presence of 18, 28, 38 and 48 tooth germs with normal alveolar bone levels. (Fig 2).

Cephalometric analysis revealed a skeletal Class II pattern, with an orthognathic maxilla and retrognathic mandible with high mandibular plane angle and severely proclined maxillary and mandibular incisors with increased lower anterior facial height. (Fig.3).

Treatment objectives

The main treatment objective was to improve the phonation, lip competence, smile esthetics and soft
tissue profile. The initial phase of treatment was initiated with a banded rapid palatal expansion appliance to correct the bilateral maxillary posterior crossbite. (Fig.4). Subsequent to correction of the same, maxillary and mandibular first premolars were extracted and the orthodontic phase was commenced to correct the severe crowding of the maxillary and mandibular dental arch.

**Treatment alternatives**

An orthopaedic phase with a functional appliance for the retrognathic mandible could have been contemplated, but the need of the hour was to correct the maxillary constriction and the severe tooth size-arch length discrepancy. Correction of maxillary constriction would release the mandible anteriorly to express its inherent genetic potential.

Bonded RME consists of an acrylic capping covering the occlusal surfaces of maxillary premolars and molars, housing the arms of the rapid maxillary expansion screw which is cemented to the maxillary posteriors with glass ionomer cement. This is useful in high angle cases to achieve mild intrusion of the maxillary posteriors to facilitate autorotation of the mandible in an upward and forward direction.

Though bonded RME could have been used, we however, preferred to use banded RME as it is more hygienic and easy to maintain with good patient cooperation.

**Treatment progress**

The mandibular first premolars were first extracted to commence treatment of the mandibular arch simultaneously with expansion of the constricted maxilla. Preadjusted edgewise brackets (Roth prescription, 0.022 x 0.028-inch slot) were initially bonded to the maxillary and mandibular teeth. Banded Hyrax (Hygienic rapid palatal expander) was cemented to the maxillary first premolars and molars. (Fig.5). The patient was advised to activate the appliance a quarter turn once or twice a day to achieve adequate expansion consistently. Subsequent to over-expansion of the maxilla the Hyrax was inactivated with a ligature tie tied into the screw holes and was retained in situ for a period of 6 months, after which the maxillary first premolars were extracted and the maxillary arch treatment was commenced.

Initial alignment was done with upper and lower 0.014-inch nickel titanium archwires (Fig.6a-c). This was followed with 0.016-inch nickel titanium archwires. Space for blocked out 42 was created by an open coil spring on a 0.018-inch stainless steel archwire. Deep bite was corrected with a lower 0.016-inch reverse curve nickel titanium wire. Extraction spaces in the maxillary and mandibular dental arches were closed with 9mm nickel titanium retraction coil springs attached to crimpable hooks on 0.017 x 0.025-inch stainless steel archwires and finishing and detailing was completed with 0.019 x 0.025-inch stainless steel archwires.

**Results**

The soft tissue frontal and profile improved dramatically. (Fig.7a-b). The bilateral posterior crossbite was corrected. The severe increase in overjet of about...
13mm was effectively reduced to 3mm and the severe deep bite was also corrected (Fig.7c-d). Fixed maxillary and mandibular lingual retainers were given. (Fig.7e-f). Post orthodontic treatment, normal root inclinations of the teeth and normal alveolar bone levels was observed. (Fig.8).

Post treatment lateral cephalometric radiograph was taken to assess the treatment changes. (Fig.9). The changes in maxilla in the anteroposterior direction was insignificant, however an increase in the length of the mandible measured from Condylion (Co) to Gnathion (Gn) and an increase in anterior facial height was observed. Maxillary incisors were retracted dramatically by 8mm and the mandibular incisors were proclined by 3mm to camouflage the skeletal class II malocclusion. (Table 1). Effective expansion of the maxillary constriction as an end-of treatment goal was achieved. (Fig.10a-b).

Discussion

Bilateral posterior cross bite with severe skeletal Class II malocclusion is a routinely encountered malocclusion in an orthodontic practice. The probable causes for skeletal posterior crossbite could be hereditary, airway obstruction, non-nutritive sucking habits, lowered tongue position, and early loss of primary teeth. The skeletal malocclusion in the case reported had an orthognathic maxilla and retrognathic mandible with severe maxillary constriction. Some authors are of the opinion that functional appliances
have no real effect on mandibular length\(^6,7\) while others believe that mandibular growth can be increased with functional appliance treatment\(^8-10\). However, Wendling\(^11\) was of the opinion that a spontaneous correction of some Class II malocclusions is favoured with initial rapid palatal expansion. It has been reported in the literature that in the Class II, division 1 group, the retraction was due to constriction of the maxillary dental arch and that in such cases, it is important to expand the maxillary arch to obtain a permanent orthopedic effect on the maxilla by releasing the mandible to move anteriorly.
Literature reports too point to a strong correlation of deficient maxillary arch width and Class II malocclusion.\textsuperscript{12, 13} In compliance with this tenet banded Hyrax was used to expand the maxilla to permit spontaneous forward positioning of the mandible. The rapid maxillary expansion appliance widens the intermaxillary suture and increases basal bone width\textsuperscript{14-17} as also causes an increase in dental arch perimeter\textsuperscript{18} as had been observed in the treated case too. Post treatment cephalometric analysis revealed an increase in length of the mandible with no orthopaedic functional device given to jump the mandible. This could most probably have been attributed to the widening of the constricted maxilla with the banded rapid maxillary expansion appliance that promoted the mandible to express normal growth in an anterior direction. However mandibular growth was not sufficient enough to correct the severe anteroposterior skeletal discrepancy but the dentoalveolar compensation was maximized. The increased overjet of 13 mm was reduced to 3 mm at the end of treatment most probably attributed to retroclination of the maxillary anteriors and proclination of the mandibular incisors. The mesial movement of the mandibular arch observed in this patient was favourable for the reduction in the increased overjet in compliance to the observation by Voudouris et al\textsuperscript{19} who too found mesial movement of the mandibular arch in both experimental animals and human subjects. The increased overbite was reduced to normal overbite by the flattening of the curve of Spee. A two-phase treatment therapy was instrumental in reducing the severity of the skeletal jaw discrepancy. The increase in lower anterior facial height could have been attributed to the effects of the banded rapid palatal expansion appliance that caused an initial downward and forward movement of the maxilla, together with a downward and backward rotation of the mandible. The initial increase in the anterior facial height is a temporary occurrence as long term follow-up of cephalometric analyses have shown a reversal back to normal and therefore concerns about using rapid maxillary expansion in patients with vertical growth patterns or an extremely convex facial profile are not substantiated.\textsuperscript{20}

The end of treatment result showed a good improvement in the transverse, anteroposterior, and vertical dimensions with markedly improved dentofacial esthetics.

**Conclusion**

A combination therapy of a banded rapid palatal expansion appliance and orthodontic treatment with pre adjusted edgewise appliance therapy was instrumental in the correction of a severe skeletal Class II malocclusion with an orthognathic maxilla and retrognathic mandible. This two-phase therapy resulted in a dramatic improvement of the facial and smile esthetics with good occlusal interdigitation that enhanced the patient’s speech, personality and self-esteem.
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