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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the effects of cavity C-factor and LED curing mode on microleakage of class V resin composite restorations.

Methods: Eighty extracted human maxillary premolars were divided into four groups. In groups I and II, V-shaped class V cavities [C-factor ≈ 1.5] were prepared while box-shaped class V cavities [high C-factor ≈ 4] were prepared in groups III and IV. All the cavities were prepared on the vestibular surfaces of the teeth. All the cavities were prepared by one operator with specific dimensions. The same adhesive system (Excite) and the same restorative composite (Tetric Ceram) were used in all the groups. The restorations in groups I and III were cured with the fast curing mode of LED curing light, while the restorations in groups II and IV were cured with the soft-start mode of the same curing light. The samples were thermocycled, immersed in a 0.5% basic fuchsin solution for 24 hours, and sectioned. The degree of dye penetration was measured quantitatively and then qualitatively at both enamel and dentin/cementum margins.

Results: Quantitatively, there was no statistical difference in the degree of microleakage between the groups at the enamel margin (P > .05). At the dentin/cementum margin, group III showed significantly more dye penetration than the other groups (P < .001). Similarly, qualitative measurements of dye penetration showed that group III had higher microleakage at dentin/cementum margin than the remaining groups [I and III P < .001; II and III P < .01; III and IV P < .01]. Paired sample statistics showed that microleakage at the dentin/cementum margin was not statistically different from enamel microleakage (P > .05), except for group III (P < .000).

Conclusions: Fast curing mode of LED curing light resulted in more microleakage at the gingival floor of class V cavities with high C-factor. There was no difference in dye penetration between enamel and dentin margins of the cavities except when the fast curing mode was used with high C-factor cavities. Both quantitative and qualitative measurements of microleakage gave the same results at both enamel and dentin margins. [Eur J Dent 2011;5:400-408]
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**INTRODUCTION**

One major drawback of resin composite restorative materials is the polymerization shrinkage caused by the dimensional rearrangement of monomers into polymer chains during polymerization reaction. Clinically, the polymerization shrinkage is restrained by the developing bond of the restorative material to the cavity walls. This restriction induces polymerization shrinkage, which counteracts the developing resin-tooth bond by pulling the setting resin composite material away from the cavity walls. Failure of the bond at the tooth-resin interface will result in microleakage and postoperative sensitivity. In addition, it was shown that polymerization shrinkage stress tremendously weakens the performance and longevity of dental composites. Numerous approaches have been proposed to minimize the shrinkage stress by manipulation of curing protocols and placement techniques. Among these approaches, soft-start curing (which can be either in a step or a ramp mode) and pulse curing have attracted extensive investigations. The hypothesis for advocating these curing protocols is that the initial low-light intensity could facilitate a certain degree of shrinkage stress relaxation before the system reaches the vitrification stage and while in the pre-gel phase. Laboratory research showed that soft-start polymerization partially relieves shrinkage stress and achieves improved marginal integrity of the restoration. On the other hand, some researchers have showed that the stress relief advantage of soft-start curing might be in fact a result of reduction in the degree of conversion of the resin.

Previous studies have shown that polymerization stress magnitude is influenced by the characteristics of the cavity to be restored. The cavity configuration or C-factor is defined as the ratio of the bonded to the unbonded surface area. During light-induced polymerization partially relieves shrinkage stress and achieves improved marginal integrity of the restoration. On the other hand, some researchers have showed that the stress relief advantage of soft-start curing might be in fact a result of reduction in the degree of conversion of the resin.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Eighty freshly extracted non-carious human maxillary premolar teeth were used in the study. After extraction, the teeth were cleaned, disinfected in 10% formalin for two weeks and then stored in physiological saline. Only undamaged teeth were used. To ensure that no dye would reach the cavities via the pulp chamber and the dentinal tubules, the apical foramina were sealed as follows. The root apices were cut off; then, a cavity was prepared at the cut root apices with an inverted cone carbide bur (Meisinger, Hager & Meisinger GmbH, Germany) and restored with glass ionomer restorative material (Fuji II LC, GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

The teeth were randomly divided into four equal groups (Table 1). In groups I and II, V-shaped class V cavities were prepared on the vestibular surfaces of the teeth, using straight fissure carbide bur, size 57 (American Numbering system) (DENTSPLY International, 221 W. Philadelphia Street, York, PA 17405-0872; USA; Lot # 401809) at high speed handpiece and with water-cooling. The bur was replaced every five cavities. The cavities were prepared in enamel and dentin at the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ). The deepest part of the cavities was at the CEJ (2 mm deep). The coronal slope was in enamel and ended at a 2 mm distance from the CEJ. The apical slope was in dentin and ended at a 2 mm distance from the
CEJ. The mesio-distal dimension of the cavities was 4 mm (Figures 1a and 1b). The cavities were standardized for depth by a mark on the burs and for the diameter by placing a sticker with a 4 mm punched hole on the desired area. The resulting C-factor for the cavities was calculated as the ratio of the bonded to the unbonded surface area of the cavity. The cavities in these groups were visualized approximately as a triangular prism. The surface area of a triangular prism = \[\frac{1}{2}ab + (s1 + s2 + s3) h\] (a = altitude of the triangle, b = base of the triangle, s = side of the triangle, and h = height of the prism) = \[2x4 + (2 + 2 + 4) \times 4\] = 40 mm². The unbound surface area of the cavity is visualized as a rectangle, therefore its surface area = length x width = \[4x4\] = 16 mm². The bonded surface area = the surface area of the prism – the unbound surface area = 40 – 16 = 24 mm². Therefore, the resulting C-factor = 24/16 = 1.5.

In groups III and IV, box-shaped class V cavities were prepared on the vestibular surfaces of the teeth using fissure carbide burs at high speed handpiece and water coolant. The cavities were prepared in enamel and dentin at the CEJ. The dimensions of the cavities were: 2 mm in depth, 4 mm mesio-distal in width, and 2 mm occluso-gingival in height (Figures 2a and 2b). The cavities were visualized approximately as a rectangular prism. The surface area of the rectangular prism = \[2(lw) + (2l + 2w) h\] (l = length of the prism, w = width of the prism, and h = height of the prism) = \[2(2x2) + (2x2 + 2x2) \times 4\] = 40 mm². The unbonded surface area of the cavity is visualized as a rectangle, therefore its surface area = length x width = \[4x2\] = 8 mm². The bonded surface area of the cavity = the surface area of the prism - the unbound surface area = 40 – 8 = 32 mm². Therefore, the resulting C-factor = 32/8 = 4.

The volume of the V-shaped cavities (the triangular prism) was calculated using the following formula \[\frac{1}{2}abh\] = \[1/2 (2x4x4)\] = 16 mm³. The volume of the box-shaped cavities (rectangular prism) was calculated based on the following formula \[lwh\] = \[2x2x4\] = 16 mm³. The volumes of the cavities were equal for all groups, namely 16 mm³.

One curing unit (Mini L.E.D, Satelec, Mérignac, Cedex, France) with a curing guide of 7.5 mm diameter was used throughout the study. All the cavities were treated with 35% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds. After removal of all etchant gel with a vigorous water spray for 10 seconds, excess water was removed with high volume evacuation tip placed directly over the preparation for 1-2 seconds. Then, the dentin bonding agent (Excite, Ivoclar Vivadent, Bendererstrasse 2, FL-9494 Schaan, Liechtenstein) was applied to both enamel and dentin with a disposable brush, according to manufacturer instructions. To obtain a uniform, glossy appearance and solvent free adhesive, a high-volume evacuation tip was placed over the preparation for 1-2 seconds, and then the adhesive was light cured for 15 seconds. The cavities were then filled with microhybrid resin-based composite material (Tetric Ceram, shade A3, Ivoclar Vivadent, Bendererstrasse 2, FL-9494 Schaan, Liechtenstein) in one increment.

The resin composite in groups I and III was cured for 15 seconds using the fast curing mode of the LED curing device (light intensity 1100 mW/cm²). On the other hand, the resin composite in groups II and IV was cured for 20 seconds, using soft-start curing for 10 seconds from 0 to 1100 mW/cm², followed by 1100 mW/cm² for 10 seconds. The two curing modes resulted in the same radiation energy of 16.5 J/cm².

Immediately after curing, each restoration was contoured using ET finishing diamonds (Brasseler USA, Savannah, GA, USA), and then, specimens were placed in distilled water at 37°C for 24 hours, after which they were thermocycled 1000 times at 5°C and 55°C with a dwell time of 30 seconds. With the exception of 1 mm around the restoration, the entire tooth was covered with two layers of nail varnish. Specimens were placed in a solution of 0.5% basic fuchsin dye for 24 hours, after which they were removed, rinsed with distilled water, and embedded in autopolymerizing acrylic resin.

The embedded specimens were sectioned longitudinally in the bucco-lingual direction into halves using a water-cooled, slow-speed diamond saw (ISOMET, Buehler Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) and a diamond blade (15HC, Buehler Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). Pictures of the sections were taken with a digital camera (Leica DC 200, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar GmbH, Ernst-Leitz-Strasse, D-35578 Wetzlar, Germany) attached to a stereomicroscope (Leica MZ-6, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar GmbH, Ernst-Leitz-Strasse,
D-35578 Wetzlar, Germany) at magnification of x10. The degree of dye penetration was measured quantitatively with an image analysis program (Leica IM 500, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar GmbH, Ernst-Leitz-Strasse, D-35578 Wetzlar, Germany) with an accuracy of 0.001 mm. Using the same pictures, qualitative dye penetration scores were taken separately according to the following criteria: 0 = no dye penetration 1 = penetration of the dye to one-half of the cervical floor 2 = penetration of the dye along the entire length of the cervical floor 3 = penetration of the dye up to one-half of the length of the axial wall (in groups III and IV) or up to one-half of the length of the occlusal wall (in groups I and II) 4 = penetration of the dye greater than one-half of the axial wall (in groups III and IV) or greater than one-half of the length of the occlusal wall (in groups I and II) Both the quantitative and qualitative measurements were taken by two examiners independently and at two different occasions. Prior to the investigation, calibration of both examiners was undertaken by reading 8 pilot samples which were not included in the study. Kappa value of 0.9 was
achieved. For quantitative measurements, the average of the readings was taken, while for the qualitative measurements, the worst degree of dye penetration on both enamel and dentin margins were recorded.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). For the quantitative data, multivariate ANOVA was performed with two independent variables (cavity shape and curing mode), and with dye leakage at the enamel and at the cementum/dentine margins as dependent variables. Duncan’s multiple range tests compared the groups at a significance level of \( \alpha<0.05 \). For the qualitative data, the Kruskal-Wallis test and the Mann-Whitney U-tests were used at a significance level of \( \alpha<0.05 \). Paired sample statistics was used to compare microleakage at enamel and dentin margins. The significance level was set at 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The results of the multivariate ANOVA on the effects of cavity shape and curing mode on dye penetration at the enamel and cementum/dentine margins are presented in Table 2. At the enamel margin, there were no observed effects of cavity shape and curing mode on dye penetration \( (P>0.05) \). On the other hand, at the cementum/dentine margin, cavity shape and curing mode impacted dye leakage at the cementum/dentine margin \( (P<0.001) \).

The mean depth of dye penetration for each group is reported in Table 3. Group III (box-shaped cavity with fast curing mode) was different than the other three groups and showed the highest mean for the depth of dye penetration. There was no statistical difference between the other three groups.

Table 4 shows the enamel dye penetration scores for the four groups. There was no statistical difference between the groups \( (P>0.05) \). The predominant score for dye penetration in all groups was 0 (no leakage).

Table 5 shows the counts of dentin/cementum dye penetration scores. Only group III (box-shaped cavity with fast curing mode) was significantly different than the other three groups \( (I \text{ and } III \ P<0.001; \ II \text{ and } III \ P<0.01; \ III \text{ and } IV \ P<0.01) \). There was no statistical difference between the other groups \( (P>0.05) \).

Paired sample statistics was used to compare microleakage at enamel and dentin margins. There was no statistical difference in microleakage between enamel and dentin margins for all of the groups except for group III, where dentinal leakage was greater than enamel leakage \( (P<0.000) \).

| Group | N | Cavity shape | Curing mode | Radiation protocol | Radiation exposure |
|-------|---|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| I     | 20| V            | Fast        | 15 seconds at 1100 mW/cm² | 16.5 J/cm² |
| II    | 20| V            | Soft-start  | 10 seconds from 0 to 1100 mW/cm² + 10 seconds at 1100 mW/cm² | 16.5 J/cm² |
| III   | 20| Box          | Fast        | 15 seconds at 1100 mW/cm² | 16.5 J/cm² |
| IV    | 20| Box          | Soft-start  | 10 seconds from 0 to 1100 mW/cm² + 10 seconds at 1100 mW/cm² | 16.5 J/cm² |

Table 1. Distribution of the groups used in the study.

| Group | Enamel margin | Cementum/Dentin margin |
|-------|---------------|------------------------|
| I     | 0.265 (0.524)a | 0.485 (0.731)a |
| II    | 0.415 (0.798)a | 0.670 (0.850)a |
| III   | 0.465 (0.743)a | 1.400 (0.538)a |
| IV    | 0.420 (0.688)a | 0.650 (0.433)a |

Table 2. Results for the multivariate ANOVA on the effect of cavity shape and curing mode on the dye penetration at the enamel and cementum/dentine.

| Enamel Margin | Multivariate test (Wilks’ Lambda) |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
|               | F | df | P-value |
| Enamel Margin | 0.313 | 76 | ns |
| Cementum/Dentin Margin | 6.745 | 76 | **** |
| Enamel Margin X Cementum/Dentin Margin | 3.24 | 150 | *** |

Table 3. Means and standard deviations (mm) of depth of dye penetration (measured quantitatively) at the occlusal and gingival margins.

* Means for homogenous subsets are displaced.
DISCUSSION

According to the results of this study, the null-hypothesis that combining the effect of fast cur- ring mode and high C-factor cavity do not affect the degree of microleakage was rejected. When the restoration of a class V cavity with a high C-factor was cured with the fast-curing mode, this resulted in more microleakage at the gingival margins.

Microleakage evaluation is the most common method of assessing the sealing efficiency of a restorative system. Class V cavities located at the CEJ of the vestibular surfaces of maxillary premolars were used in this study. All the cavities were prepared and restored by one investigator following strict protocol. The adhesive system used (Excite) is a filled, light-curing, single component and alcohol based type of bonding agent. In a previous study, this adhesive system showed microtensile bond strength values of over 30 MPa under both dry and moist conditions. After removal of all etchant gen with a vigorous water spray for 10 seconds, excess water was removed and dentin surfaces were left moist. Using one adhesive system and one resin composite restorative material reduced the confounding variables in the study.

Previous studies showed no effect of the insertion technique on microleakage of class V cavi- ties. On the contrary, another study found that the incremental placement technique reduces microleakage when compared with the bulk placement technique in deep cavities. Furthermore, it has been found that C-factor and placement technique can affect the microtensile bond strength of the adhesive system. In our study, to rule out the effect of the placement technique, the restorative material was placed as one increment because the depth of the cavities in all of the groups was only 2 mm.

To simulate thermal stresses on the tooth-restoration interface, microleakage studies usually employ thermocycling of different regimens. One microleakage study showed that microleakage of resin composite restorations will not be affected by thermocycling, when the restorations are cured with soft-start polymerization of LED curing light. On the other hand, the same study showed a difference in microleakage between thermocycled and non-thermocycled specimens when standard and high intensity curing modes were used. For standardization, in our study, we used one protocol of thermocycling for all the groups.

To change the C-factor for the cavities, two different shapes of cavities were prepared, namely the V and box shapes. The sizes and surfaces available for bonding were the same for the two shapes of the cavities (16 mm² and ~32 mm² respectively). Previous studies showed contradictory results regarding the effect of C-factor on composite resin restorations. Laboratory studies showed that high C-factor increases the rate and amount of stresses resulting from polymerization shrinkage of resin composite restorations. Santini et al found no difference in the amount of microleakage between box-shaped cavities and V-shaped cavities at both enamel and gingival margins. Using bovine incisors, a difference

Table 4. Frequency (percentages) of enamel microleakage scores for the four groups. Kruskal-Wallis test value=0.735.

| Group | 0   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| I     | 16 (80) | 1 (5) | 1 (5) | 2 (10) | 0 (0) |
| II    | 15 (75) | 1 (5) | 0 (0) | 1 (5) | 3 (15) |
| III   | 14 (70) | 1 (5) | 0 (0) | 3 (15) | 3 (15) |
| IV    | 14 (70) | 1 (5) | 0 (0) | 1 (5) | 4 (20) |
| Total | 59 (74) | 3 (4) | 1 (1) | 7 (9) | 10 (12) |

Table 5. Frequency (percentages) of dentine/cementum microleakage scores for the four groups. Kruskal-Wallis test value=0.002.

| Group | 0   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| I     | 13 (65) | 3 (15) | 1 (5) | 0 (0) | 3 (15) |
| II    | 11 (55) | 1 (5) | 1 (5) | 3 (15) | 4 (20) |
| III   | 2 (10) | 0 (0) | 1 (5) | 10 (50) | 7 (35) |
| IV    | 9 (45) | 2 (10) | 2 (10) | 3 (15) | 4 (20) |
| Total | 35 (44) | 6 (7) | 5 (6) | 16 (20) | 18 (23) |
in microleakage has been demonstrated between two cylindrical class V cavities of different dimensions, but of the same C-factor. Therefore, it was concluded that microleakage is more closely related to the volume of the restoration rather than to the C-factor. Our results were very interesting, as class V cavities with higher C-factor had more microleakage than class V cavities with lower C-factor only when the fast curing mode was used. On the other hand, there was no difference in the amount of microleakage when the soft-start curing mode was used, regardless of the value of the C-factor. In all groups, the volume of the restorations was the same. These results can be explained by the fact that fast curing mode produces higher stresses at the adhesive system, and these stresses have the worst effect in case of unfavorable cavity design (i.e. high C-factor).

One could speculate that the variation between the results of different studies can be attributed to variations in methodology, for example, type of cavity prepared in each study (class I vs. class II vs. class V), type of teeth used (human vs. bovine vs. models), restorative materials used, the curing protocols employed in addition to the type of adhesive system and the way it has been manipulated. Another important factor is the way the investigators change the C-factor of the cavity, i.e., by increasing the depth or the width of the cavity, as using cavities of different depths results in different dentinal properties, which can affect microleakage. In our study, we purposely changed the C-factor by changing the shape of the cavities, keeping the volume and the depth of the cavities constant in all the tested groups.

One LED curing light was used in this study, but with two curing modes. Although the curing time was different between the two curing modes used, the total energy delivered was the same (16.5 J/cm²). Previous studies demonstrated that soft-start curing delivers low levels of energy initially, allowing the resin composite to flow. This releases the stresses of polymerization shrinkage, resulting in reducing microleakage. High polymerization stresses have been shown to increase leakage in class V cavities. On the contrary, Hofmann and Hunecke showed no difference between high intensity curing lights with soft-start curing, with regard to margin quality and marginal seal of class II resin composite restorations.

The use of the soft-start exponential mode of LED curing lights was found to reduce microleakage at both enamel and dentinal margins of class II cavities, when compared to the continuous light polymerization mode. In our study, the soft-start mode did not affect enamel microleakage in all of the groups, but it was able to reduce microleakage at the dentin/cementum margin only for high C-factor cavities. It is difficult to compare our results with previous findings, as we prepared class V cavities and other researchers used class II cavities. Other causes of difference could be related to the resin composite that was used and the placement technique followed in both studies.

Bonding of resins to dentin is far more difficult and less predictable than bonding to enamel. Dentin not only has a more complex histological structure than enamel, but also varies more with location. Although our results showed that the degree of enamel microleakage was less than that of dentin/cementum, this difference was not statistically different except for group III (when the C-factor was high). In this group, the amount of microleakage at the dentinal/cementum margins was statistically higher than at the enamel margins. This result is supported by a previous study by Öztürk et al. In their study, they prepared box-shaped class V cavities of the same dimensions used in the study at hand. They found higher microleakage at dentin/cementum margins compared to enamel margins, regardless of the curing unit (conventional halogen curing unit, high intensity curing unit, and plasma arc curing unit). Clinically, clinicians should use the proper techniques to overcome this problem by manipulating the curing modes or by using rein-modified glass ionomer liners.

The radiation exposure for all of the cavities was 16.5 J/cm². As we attempted to keep the volumes of the two types of cavities uniform, this resulted in differences in their surface areas. The box-shaped cavities had smaller surface areas, and therefore, their radiation exposure was less. Nonetheless, they had higher dye penetration values. Correction of the radiation exposure to correspond to the surface area is difficult to do in the settings of curing light used.

Clinically, research regarding the effect of soft-start polymerization was equivocal. One study showed that it can reduce postoperative sensitivity following placement of resin composite restora-
tions. On the other hand, another study demonstrated no effect of soft-start polymerization on postoperative sensitivity.

It has been claimed that the predominant reason for reduced shrinkage stress, attained with soft-start polymerization, is the decrease in the final conversion of the resin composite, which can affect its mechanical properties. On the contrary, other studies have found that the degrees of conversion and microhardness of resin composite were not affected using various modes of LED curing lights. Previous research showed that the fast-curing mode resulted in increased microleakage. Our results showed that the fast-curing mode resulted in increased microleakage at the dentin/cementum margins of class V cavities for box-shaped cavities, i.e., when the C-factor was high. For V-shaped cavities, i.e., for low C-factor cavities, there was no statistical difference between soft-start curing and fast-curing mode of the same curing light.

Traditionally, qualitative scoring systems were used to assess microleakage. Recently, with new advancements in image analysis software, quantitative microleakage measurements have become more popular. In this study, we compared the quantitative and qualitative scoring methods for microleakage to explore whether they produce different results. In the quantitative measurements we measured the actual degree of microleakage in micrometers, using special software to capture standardized images of the sections. While for the qualitative measurements we measured the degree of microleakage on a 0-4 scale using the same images. Qualitative measurements could be done directly on the microscope without the need for special equipments. Both the quantitative measurements and the qualitative criteria gave the same results.

CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of this in vitro study, it can be concluded that:

- Using fast curing mode in class V cavities with high C-factor resulted in more microleakage at the dentin/cementum margins.
- In all the groups, microleakage was evident at both enamel and dentinal/cementum margins. And there was no statistical difference between the two margins except for group III (high C-factor cavities and cured with the fast curing mode).
- Quantitative and qualitative methods for measuring microleakage gave the same results and can be used interchangeably.
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