Robust Digital Signal Recovery for LEO Satellite Communications Subject to High SNR Variation and Transmitter Memory Effects
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ABSTRACT
This paper proposes a robust digital signal recovery (DSR) technique to tackle the high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) variation and transmitter memory effects for broadband power efficient down-link in next-generation low Earth orbit (LEO) satellite constellations. The robustness against low SNR is achieved by concurrently integrating magnitude normalization and noise feature filtering using a filtering block built with one batch normalization (BN) layer and two bidirectional long short-term memory (BiLSTM) layers. Moreover, unlike existing deep neural network-based DSR techniques (DNN-DSR), which failed to effectively take into account the memory effects of radio-frequency power amplifiers (RF-PAs) in the model design, the proposed BiLSTM-DSR technique can extract the sequential characteristics of the adjacent in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q) samples, and hence can obtain superior memory effects compensation compared with the DNN-DSR technique. Experimental validation results of the proposed BiLSTM-DSR with a 100 MHz bandwidth OFDM signal demonstrate an excellent performance of 11.83 dB and 9.4% improvement for adjacent channel power ratio (ACPR) and error vector magnitude (EVM), respectively. BiLSTM-DSR also outperforms the existing DNN-DSR technique in terms of the ACPR and EVM by 2.4 dB and 0.9%, which provides a promising solution for developing deep learning-assisted receivers for high-throughput LEO satellite networks.

INDEX TERMS
Bidirectional long short-term memory (BiLSTM), robust digital signal recovery (DSR), radio-frequency power amplifiers (RF-PAs), low Earth orbit (LEO), broadband communications.

I. INTRODUCTION
Low Earth orbit (LEO) satellite constellations have grown drastically in recent years and have attracted great attention from both academia and industry. Unlike geostationary Earth orbit (GEO), medium Earth orbit (MEO), and high Earth orbit (HEO) satellites, LEO satellites are deployed at altitudes between 500 and 2000 km to ensure low latency. Owing to their attractive features of vast global coverage, low propagation latency, and low costs of manufacturing and deployment [1]–[3], LEO satellites are a key enabling technology in expanding the coverage of fifth-generation (5G) networks and are expected to play a significant role in sixth-generation (6G) communication networks [4].

The increasing demands of higher data rates and the resultant use of efficient high-frequency signals pose severe challenges for spaceborne radio-frequency power amplifiers (RF-PAs) while maintaining high power efficiency and linearity. High-frequency efficiency signals with a high peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR), such as OFDM signals, are promising candidates for broadband satellite links [5]. Due to the extremely limited power supplies, RF-PAs prefer to work near the saturation region, where the gain is compressed. This causes out-of-band spectral regrowth and in-band...
nonlinear signal distortion, resulting in an increased adjacent channel power ratio (ACPR) and a deteriorated error vector magnitude (EVM) at ground stations. Hence, mitigating the performance degradation caused by the nonlinear distortion of RF-PAs has become urgent for broadband LEO satellite communication systems. Different from RF-PA linearization techniques for narrow band terrestrial communication systems, the mitigation of nonlinear distortion in broadband LEO satellite systems features a few unique challenges including the remarkably varying SNR of the received signal due to the low orbit, the strong memory effects that are uneasy to characterize when signal bandwidth increases, and the stringent requirement of high power efficiency and low system complexity for the satellites.

The power back-off technique developed in previous years for terrestrial systems is not suitable for LEO satellites due to the low efficiency of RF-PAs. Although the digital pre-distortion (DPD) [6]–[8] technique can achieve excellent results in improving linearity while maintaining the high efficiency of RF-PAs, it requires a feedback path as well as extra power for signal processing, which is a major challenge for LEO communication systems (Fig. 1). According to the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) 131.2.B.1 standard, the Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) design includes a pre-distorter module providing 5th order static pre-distortion [9], which means that there is no feedback path at the transmitter to receive RF-PAs output signals for adjusting the pre-distortion parameters in real-time. The pre-distortion parameter is fixed and the outputs of RF-PAs cannot be tracked. Thus, the conventional DPD techniques with feedback path have not been widely used due to limited spaceborne resources. To overcome this challenge, several techniques have been studied to recover distorted signals at the receiver. In the digital post-distortion technique [10], the RF-PA and the channel are first modeled using a digital detector based on a Volterra model, and the Volterra kernels and the transmitted symbols are estimated using a Kalman filter (KF)-based algorithm. In [11], a partitioned distortion mitigation technique incorporates the phase-DPD technique at the transmitter and the amplitude-post-compensation technique at the receiver. These techniques can improve the overall power efficiency and bit error rate (BER) performance of the transceiver. However, they cannot handle the time-varying signal power caused by the varying transmission distance between LEO satellites and ground stations [12]. Actually, there are several techniques to deal with varying power in the receiver. In [13], a new method based on time varying gain amplifier (TVGA) is proposed for obtaining a wider dynamic range of the received power and an adaptive sweep optimization (ASO) method is applied for increasing the linearity of TVGA. In a novel Automatic gain control (AGC) circuit [14], a flexible gain compensation scheme with hybrid gamma parameters is adapted to accommodate different types of applications. Nevertheless, these techniques cannot cope with high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) variation well.

Deep neural network-based digital signal recovery (DNN-DSR) is a novel technique allowing spaceborne RF-PAs to operate with high efficiency by compensating for the nonlinear distortion in the signals received at ground stations [12]. The DNN-DSR technique has demonstrated its capability of handling varying power and additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). This allows LEO spaceborne RF-PAs to operate near their saturation regions for high power efficiency while maintaining satisfactory EVM performance at ground stations. However, the DNN pays little attention to the time series characteristics of the data, which is closely related to the memory effects of RF-PAs and hence leaves much room for improvement.

Long short-term memory (LSTM) networks have a powerful capability of capturing features in time series data, benefiting from their recurrent structure [15]–[18]. Given this advantage, LSTM networks have been applied to the behavioral modeling of RF-PAs and the linearization of RF-PAs in recent years [19]–[22]. However, the correlation between the memory effects of RF-PAs and LSTM networks is not studied deeply and intuitively in these papers, especially for broadband systems. Besides, they are mainly to prevent interference to adjacent channels, and are not EVM quantitative evaluation based RF-PAs nonlinear modeling and linearization. These neural network-based DPD techniques need to store more parameters than memory polynomial (MP) methods. Therefore, the system complexity and high power consumption brought by these DPD techniques applied to transmitters are unacceptable for LEO satellites.

In this paper, a novel DSR technique that directly improves the signal quality by reducing the EVM at the receiver based on bidirectional LSTM (BiLSTM) is proposed. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the distorted signal caused by RF-PAs can be removed by BiLSTM networks at the receiver side. The intuitive connection between BiLSTM networks and the memory effects of RF-PAs is established by training the
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**FIGURE 1.** System architecture comparison between conventional DPD and the proposed BiLSTM-DSR techniques. (a) DPD technique, (b) BiLSTM-DSR technique.
BiLSTM model using data measured from the RF-PAs. In addition to retaining the robustness against power variation of the DNN-DSR, the proposed technique achieves better recovery performance in terms of ACPR and EVM. In particular, the better robustness of high SNR variation is obtained. Experiments were conducted to compare the DNN-DSR, LSTM-DSR, and BiLSTM-DSR techniques under both narrowband and broadband conditions for performance validation.

This paper is organized as follows: Section I is the introduction. Section II describes problem formulation. Section III presents the proposed BiLSTM-DSR technique. The experimental results of different techniques are provided in Section IV. Finally, the conclusion of this paper is presented in Section V.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION
In this section, we pay close attention to the high SNR variation and inherent memory effects of RF-PAs.

A. DYNAMIC SATELLITE-TO-GROUND LINK CONDITIONS AND SNR VARIATION
For the transmission performance of downlink telemetry data, the SNR of the received signal is a very important indicator. This is because the free space loss (FSL) is affected by the distance variation between the satellite and the ground station, thereby affecting the link budget.

As is illustrated in Fig. 2, the satellite-to-ground data transmission link changes dynamically, where \( \varepsilon_0 \) represents the elevation angle, \( d \) is the distance of data transmission, \( H \) denotes the orbital altitude of LEO satellite, \( R_e = 6371 \) km is the earth radius.

\[
\sqrt{\frac{H + R_e}{R_e}} \left( \frac{d}{R_e} - \cos^2 \varepsilon_0 - \sin \varepsilon_0 \right).
\]

The FSL is the main loss of the transmission link, and it can be decided by the carrier frequency \( f \) and the distance \( d \) [23]:

\[
L(\varepsilon_0) = \left( \frac{4\pi d(\varepsilon_0)}{\lambda} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{4\pi f d(\varepsilon_0)}{c} \right)^2.
\]

In the receiver model, the noise comes from the received background and the receiver itself. These noises are fixed values, regardless of the distance. Therefore, the varying SNR can be represented by

\[
\Delta \frac{S}{N}(dB/Hz) = \left( \frac{S}{N} \right)_{90^\circ} - \left( \frac{S}{N} \right)_{5^\circ} = \Delta L(\varepsilon_0).
\]

Assuming that the orbital altitude of LEO satellite is 800 km, then the distance variation brings an approximately 12 dB link budget variation in received signal power. Thus, the variation range of SNR would be as big as 12 dB. Furthermore, as the down-link window is typically around 10 to 15 minutes, the drastic change in SNR of the received down-link signal within the short window exhibits great challenge for reliable signal recovery and therefore calls for novel robust DSR techniques.

B. MEMORY EFFECTS IN RF-PAS
With the increasing need for broadband signal formats such as OFDM in LEO satellite communications, the memory effects of RF-PAs tend to be significant and cannot be ignored [24]. To fully understand the nonlinearity of RF-PAs, memory effects must be taken into account. As RF microwave devices, the memory effects of RF-PAs depend not only on the current input signal but also on the previous input signal of the RF-PAs. One impact of these “memory” effects is that the gain of the RF-PA is much larger than that of the corresponding RF carrier period on the same time scale. These so-called memory effects can be expressed by several mathematical methods, including the MP [6], Generalized MP (GMP) [26], Volterra [27], and Wiener-Hammerstein [28] methods.

For the narrowband case, the MP model is the most commonly used RF-PA modeling method due to its low complexity. A typical MP of nonlinearity order \( K \) and memory depth \( \text{Q} \) is represented by

\[
y_{\text{MP}}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\text{Q}-1} c_{k,q} x(n-q) x(n-q)^k.
\]
where \( x(n) \) and \( y_{\text{MP}}(n) \) represent the input signal and the output signal of the RF-PAs, respectively. \( c_{k,q} \) are the complex polynomial coefficients.

For multi-carrier and wideband signals, the GMP model is required, which can be mathematically expressed as

\[
y_{\text{GMP}}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K_c-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L_c-1} d_{kl} x(n-l) |x(n-l)|^k + \sum_{k=1}^{K_b} \sum_{l=0}^{L_b-1} b_{klm} x(n-l) |x(n-l-m)|^k + \sum_{k=1}^{K_c} \sum_{l=0}^{L_c-1} c_{klm} x(n-l) |x(n-l+m)|^k. \tag{6}
\]

where \( x(n) \) and \( y_{\text{GMP}}(n) \) are the input signal and the output signal of the RF-PAs, respectively. \( K_a \) and \( L_a \) are the order and memory depth of the MP sub-model, respectively. \((K_b, L_b, M_b)\) and \((K_c, L_c, M_c)\) are the order, the memory depth, and the maximum orders of the lagging and leading cross-terms, respectively. \(d_{kl}, b_{klm} \) and \(c_{klm} \) are the model coefficients.

An illustration of the gain compression and baseband electrical memory effects of the large-amplitude signal caused by the nonlinearity of the RF-PAs is shown in Fig. 3(a). Two sets of five adjacent samples are indicated with circles. The actual outputs (i.e., points C and D) are different for the expected outputs of the same value (i.e., points A and B) subject to the memory effects. The difference is indicated as \( \delta h \) in Fig. 3(a). In addition, more different outputs can be obtained throughout the entire transmission waveform. In particular, in a broadband scenario with a high PAPR, such a difference is more prominent [29], resulting in the AM-AM curves of Fig. 3(b). For the same normalized input amplitude of 0.4, the normalized output amplitude ranges from 0.54 to 0.66 due to the different previous states. The transmitter memory effects of RF-PAs have a great impact on the transmission performance.

III. PROPOSED TECHNIQUE BASED ON BiLSTM NETWORK

In this section, we exhibit the complete BiLSTM-based network structure and propose solutions for high SNR variation and transmitter memory effects. Furthermore, we also briefly discuss the training process and complexity analysis.

A. BiLSTM-DSR NETWORK STRUCTURE

As illustrated in Fig. 4, the proposed BiLSTM-DSR is a technique involving off-line training phase and on-line implementation. During off-line training phase, the proposed BiLSTM-based network captures the nonlinear features of the RF-PA by extracting the sequential characteristics of adjacent sampled data. With the result of the loss function gradually decreasing to the preset threshold, the training is completed. The structure and parameters of the proposed network are fixed and integrated into the receiver. Subsequently, the LEO satellite with the RF-PA is launched, and the receiver with the proposed network can correct the distortion of the RF-PA in the on-line real-time application scenario. The proposed BiLSTM-DSR architecture consists of five layers, an input layer, two BiLSTM layers, a fully connected layer, and an output layer. Unlike DNN-DSR, which accepts only one-dimensional (1D) inputs, the proposed technique accepts two-dimensional (2D) signals as inputs. Each sample is fed into the network in a 2D data format (i.e., \( (I_t, Q_t) \)), preserving the original amplitude and phase information. To capture the memory effects while maintaining a reasonable training time, a memory depth of 3 is chosen for the narrowband case. Thus, the numbers of neurons in the input layer and output layer are set to 5 (i.e., the timestep is set to 5) and 2, respectively. The BiLSTM layer receives a signal sequence consisting of advanced and delayed items.

Hyperbolic tangent and sigmoid functions are used as activation functions in each BiLSTM layer. Then, the outputs...
from each BiLSTM layer are fed into a batch normalization (BN) layer. The output of the last BN layer is used as the input of the fully connected layer. In the final layer, the output with two neurons provides the desired outputs ($\hat{I}_t$, $\hat{Q}_t$).

### B. NORMALIZATION AND FILTERING FOR HANDLING HIGH SNR VARIATION

It is the simplest and most effective way to train the network by mapping the highly distorted signal directly to the pure noiseless signal. During the training process, the BiLSTM-based network obtains the anti-noise capability by capturing the long-term and short-term correlation of signals. Therefore, as shown by the filtering block in BiLSTM-based network in Fig. 4, we explicitly design two BiLSTM layers and one BN layer to make the network more robust against AWGN.

The extra BN layer with normalization is adopted to handle the high SNR variation in this work. The formula for the BN layer can be expressed as

$$\hat{y}_i = \gamma \frac{y_i - E[y_i]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[y_i] + \epsilon}} + \beta,$$

(7)

where $y_i$ and $\hat{y}_i$ are the input and output of the BN layer, respectively. $\gamma$ and $\beta$ represent the scaling and shifting parameters, respectively. Besides, $\epsilon$ is set to 0.001 to prevent the denominator from being zero. Through normalization of the input data by recentering and rescaling [30], BN layer can allows artificial neural networks to quickly and stably respond to the high SNR variation signals.

In the on-line application phase, when the SNR of the received signal is 15 dB, the normalized output amplitude of each layer is plotted in Fig. 5 to show the process of the signal recovery performance. After the filtering block of BiLSTM-based network in Fig. 4, the noise in the received signal is clearly suppressed, and the SNR is increased by 6.63 dB (the four subplots on the top in Fig. 5). At the same time, the useful signal is maintained intact without distortion. With the subsequent BN layer and FC layer, the output of the FC layer matches the perfect signal to the RF-PA well, which means the original signal transmitted by the RF-PA is recovered with high precision (the two subplots at the bottom in Fig. 5).
C. BiLSTM based network for tackling memory effects

Unlike traditional methods, an LSTM cell is applied to capture the memory effects of RF-PAs in this work. Additionally, LSTM networks can not only store short-term states but also maintain long-term states. LSTM utilizes a special unit, named a cell, as illustrated in Fig. 6, to overcome the problem of vanishing and exploding gradients in common RNNs. LSTM carries out the preservation or removal of model input information according to its importance by adding a forget gate, input gate, output gate, and nonlinear output. All the gates of the cell calculate the activation (using an activation function) of a weighted sum ($wx + b$), similar to standard neurons, where $w$, $x$, and $b$ stand for weights, inputs, and biases, respectively.

In Fig. 6, the forget gate $f_t$ determines whether to retain the previous data in the cell state $C_{t-1}$. The input gate $i_t$ selectively records new data into the cell state. The output gate $o_t$ decides which data will be outputted. The temporary status $\tilde{C}_t$ denotes a vector of the new candidate values. The specific data flow of the LSTM network is described as follows:

$$f_t = \sigma(W_f[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$i_t = \sigma(W_i[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i),$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

and

$$o_t = \sigma(W_o[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o),$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $W_f$, $W_i$, $W_C$, and $W_o$ represent the weight matrices for the joint vector (i.e., $[h_{t-1}, x_t]$) composed of the current input vector (i.e., $x_t$) and the previous hidden state (i.e., $h_{t-1}$). $b_f$, $b_i$, $b_C$, and $b_o$ are the corresponding bias terms.

The current long-term status $C_t$ and the LSTM cell’s output $y_t$ can be calculated by

$$C_t = f_t \otimes C_{t-1} + i_t \otimes \tilde{C}_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

and

$$y_t = h_t = o_t \otimes \tanh(C_t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $C_{t-1}$ denotes the previous long-term status. The symbol $\otimes$ represents element-wise multiplication [20]. As is clearly shown, the current output depends not only on the current long-term status and input but also on the previous short-term status.

There is an intuitive timing relation between the marked samples in Fig. 3(a). Due to the memory effects of the RF-PAs, $(I_t, Q_t)$ is affected by the data at previous moments (i.e., $(I_{t-2}, Q_{t-2})$ and $(I_{t-1}, Q_{t-1})$) and prominently affects the next data (i.e., $(I_{t+1}, Q_{t+1})$ and $(I_{t+2}, Q_{t+2})$). Therefore, to extract the memory features of RF-PAs more completely, we utilize a bidirectional mechanism for the LSTM network. The forward and backward LSTM processes of the BiLSTM layer are shown in Fig. 7. Each training process loops the five input samples forward and backward, and the BiLSTM layer records the timing characteristics of the input data accordingly. In this way, the network can better retrieve memory effects of RF-PAs.

D. Network training

To conveniently predict the intermediate sequences of both forward and backward LSTM networks, we set the data format of the training data and labels to that shown in Table 1. The characteristic information used for off-line training is extracted from the received signal containing the nonlinearity of the RF-PAs. The labels are allocated according to the I/Q signal of the transmitter constellation trace.

### Table 1. Training data and labels.

| Training data | Labels |
|---------------|--------|
| $(I_{t-2}, Q_{t-2})/ (I_{t-1}, Q_{t-1})/ (I_t, Q_t)/ (I_{t+1}, Q_{t+1})/ (I_{t+2}, Q_{t+2})$ | $(I_t, Q_t)$ |
| $(I_{t-1}, Q_{t-1})/ (I_t, Q_t)/ (I_{t+1}, Q_{t+1})/ (I_{t+2}, Q_{t+2})/ (I_{t+3}, Q_{t+3})$ | $(I_{t+1}, Q_{t+1})$ |
| $(I_t, Q_t)/(I_{t+1}, Q_{t+1})/ (I_{t+2}, Q_{t+2})/ (I_{t+3}, Q_{t+3})/ (I_{t+4}, Q_{t+4})$ | $(I_{t+2}, Q_{t+2})$ |
| $(I_{t+1}, Q_{t+1})/ (I_{t+2}, Q_{t+2})/ (I_{t+3}, Q_{t+3})/ (I_{t+4}, Q_{t+4})/ (I_{t+5}, Q_{t+5})$ | $(I_{t+3}, Q_{t+3})$ |
| ... | ... |

According to the proposed network architecture, the back propagation (BP) algorithm is used to train the network. First, we chose Huber loss as the loss function of the BP algorithm, as it can strengthen the robustness of the mean square error (MSE) against outliers, which stand for the abnormal I/Q samples that are excessively far from the actual samples, as shown in Fig. 10(a). The Huber loss function can
be expressed as

\[ L_\delta(y, f(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(y - f(x))^2 & \text{for } |y - f(x)| \leq \delta \\ \delta |y - f(x)| - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \]  

(14)

where \( \delta \) is the parameter of Huber loss, which is set to 1. \( y \) and \( f(x) \) represent the observed and predicted values, respectively. The root-mean-square prop (RMSprop) is usually an appropriate choice for LSTM network training due to its adaptive learning rate. In this work, we adopted the RMSprop algorithm to calculate the optimal parameters of the proposed network. Additionally, the detailed simulation parameters of the BiLSTM-based network are shown in Table 2.

**TABLE 2. Model training configurations.**

| Parameter                      | Value             |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Maximum Epochs                 | 500               |
| Minimum Batch Size             | 512               |
| Optimizer                      | RMSprop           |
| Loss Function                  | Huber loss        |
| State Activation Function      | Tanh              |
| Gate Activation Function       | Sigmoid           |
| Initial Learning Rate          | 0.001             |
| Early Stop Patience            | 50 epochs         |
| Learn Rate Drop Factor         | 0.1               |
| Minimum Learning Rate          | 10\(^{-5}\)        |
| Dropout                        | 0.05              |
| Time Step                      | 5                 |
| Bias Regularizer               | L2(1e-3)          |
| Testing Samples                | 10\(^{5}\)        |

**E. COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS**

In our scenario, the input size is \( \text{samples} \times \text{timesteps} \times M \), where \( M \) represents the number of features of one sample, which are 2D data containing I/Q information. For the proposed BiLSTM-based network, the computational complexity is relevant to the number of units in the network. The computational complexity of each BiLSTM layer is \( O(2 \times 4 \times (M + n) \times n + n) \), where \( n \) denotes the number of neurons of each gate in an LSTM cell and the number 2 represents the forward LSTM and backward LSTM. It should be noted that \( \text{timesteps} \) affects only the training time, not the computational complexity of the BiLSTM layer.

Then, the computational complexity of the BN layer is \( O(4 \times L) \), where \( L \) is the number of output units in the previous layer. The number 4 represents the mean, variance, scaling parameter, and shifting parameter. Finally, the computational complexity of the FC layer is \( O((s + 1) \times d) \), where \( s \) and \( d \) stand for the number of output units in the previous layer and the neurons of the current FC layer, respectively. Overall, the computational complexity of the proposed network is \( O(a \times (2 \times 4 \times (M + n) \times n + n) + b \times (4 \times L) + c \times ((s + 1) \times d)) \), where \( a, b, \) and \( c \) represent the number of BiLSTM layers, BN layers, and FC layers, respectively.

The only structural difference between the LSTM-based network and the proposed BiLSTM-based network is that the former contains only forward LSTM and no backward LSTM. Based on the detailed analysis above, the computational complexity of the LSTM network is \( O(a \times (4 \times (M + n) \times n + n) + b \times (4 \times L) + c \times ((s + 1) \times d)) \).

The meanings of the symbols are the same as above. Since the activation function layer does not increase the computational complexity, the computational complexity of the DNN is \( O(b \times (4 \times L) + c \times ((s + 1) \times d)) \). The computational complexity comparison of the three networks is shown in Table 3.

**IV. RESULTS BASED ON MEASUREMENTS**

In this section, the linearization performance of the proposed BiLSTM-DSR technique is shown and compared with the existing DNN-DSR technique. As BiLSTM-DSR is developed based on LSTM, the DSR results using LSTM (LSTM-DSR) are also included in the comparison.

**A. EXPERIMENTAL SETUPS**

The experiments include validations with a narrowband, 10 MHz LTE OFDM signal with an 8 W CGH40006P GaN RF-PA operating at 3.5 GHz and a wideband, 100 MHz 5G OFDM signal with a 2 W Ducommun RF-PA operating at 28 GHz. For the narrowband experiment, the data from [12] are used.

The experimental data for broadband validation are collected in this work using the measurement platform illustrated in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. The input source of the 3 GHz signal for the measurements is generated from a vector signal generator.
TABLE 3. Layers and parameters of different architectures.

| Architecture     | BN layer | Relu layer | LSTM layer (units of each layer) | FC layer (units of each layer) | Total parameters |
|------------------|----------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| DNN-DSR          | 3        | 2          | 0                                | 3 ([500, 350, 2])             | 182,960         |
| LSTM-DSR         | 2        | 0          | 2 ([200, 100])                   | 1 (2)                         | 184,202         |
| BiLSTM-DSR       | 2        | 0          | 2 ([100]*250*2)                 | 1 (2)                         | 184,202         |

FIGURE 10. AM-AM and AM-PM curves with different DSR techniques for the 10MHz OFDM signal. (a) Without DSR technique, (b) with DNN-DSR technique, (c) with LSTM-DSR technique, (d) with the proposed BiLSTM-DSR technique.

(R&S SMBV100B). For both upconversion and downconversion, an unmodulated signal of 12.5 GHz from a local oscillator (LO) continuous wave generator is converted to 25 GHz by a frequency multiplier and fed into a power divider as an LO signal. After upconversion, the 28 GHz signal is fed into the RF-PA. To ensure sufficient nonlinearity for the validation, the RF-PA is driven into a relatively high-compression region at its 1 dB compression point. The EVM is 8.03% and 11.92% for narrowband and wideband signal respectively without linearization, which is a huge challenge for DPD with such strong nonlinearity. After downconversion, the intermediate frequency (IF) signal is captured by signal analyzer and converted to baseband digital domain for Matlab operating.

The PAPRs of the tested narrowband and wideband signals are 10.06 dB and 11.69 dB, respectively. Fig. 10(a) and Fig. 11(a) show the AM-AM and AM-PM curves based on measurements of the 10M bandwidth and 100M bandwidth signals (without DSR), respectively. The nonlinear trajectories of the AM/AM curves reveal the gain compression in different regions of the RF-PA. The obvious diffuse samples in the curves indicate that the RF-PA under test exhibit strong memory effects.

On the other hand, to avoid overfitting and underfitting during the training of the models, an appropriate number of neurons are selected in each layer. In addition to the proposed BiLSTM-DSR, we adopted the DNN-DSR technique and LSTM-DSR technique under the same circumstances. Table 3 provides details about the number of layers and units and the total number of parameters. For fair comparison, the memory depths of DNN-DSR, LSTM-DSR, and BiLSTM-DSR are all set to 3 for the narrowband signal validation case and 4 for the wideband validation case. To demonstrate the obvious advantages of the proposed BiLSTM DSR technique in memory effect mitigation, memoryless BiLSTM-DSR (i.e., with a memory depth of 1) is also included in the comparison. The total number of trainable parameters also remains the same. The training and validation of the proposed technique are implemented using TensorFlow 1.14 through the Keras API in Python 3.7.6. The pre- and post-processing and data plotting are performed in MATLAB 2020b.

B. RECOVERY PERFORMANCE

In addition to the 1 dB compression point data, the input and output signals of the RF-PA in wider operating states are collected with a granularity of 1 dBm. Each set of data is trained and tested separately to obtain the relationship between EVM and average output power, as illustrated in Fig. 12. As the average input power increasing, the drain efficiency and the nonlinearity of the RF-PA enhance, while...
the EVM caused by distortion deteriorates. For the 10 MHz narrowband signals, the EVM performances of LSTM-DSR and BiLSTM-DSR are superior to DNN-DSR by about 1.5% on average. Due to the consistent nonlinearity in the narrowband application, the LSTM-DSR and BiLSTM-DSR techniques with or without memory acquire almost similar results. Whereas, for the 100 MHz wideband signals, the EVM performances of memoryless LSTM-DSR and BiLSTM-DSR both are even 4% to 6% worse than that of DNN-DSR. The RF-PA features non flatten in-band response in the wideband application so that LSTM-DSR and BiLSTM-DSR techniques with memory become more suitable. The EVM performance of BiLSTM-DSR with memory is about 0.5% better than that of LSTM-DSR, and 1% DNN-DSR, respectively.

Since the state of the RF-PA in practical application is set near the 1 dB compression point to maintain a high power efficiency, we mainly focus on the recovery performance at the 1 dB compression point. Under 1 dB compression point, the measured comparative results of the different techniques are summarized in Table 4, the characteristic curve comparisons of AM-AM, AM-PM are shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11, and the power spectrum density (PSD) is depicted in Fig. 13. The output of the RF-PA is severely distorted by the nonlinear RF-PA for the narrowband and wideband signals when DSR techniques are not applied.

For the 10 MHz narrowband signals, the ACPR and EVM using BiLSTM-DSR are approximately 1.4 dB and
FIGURE 13. PSDs of the output signal with different DSR techniques. The symbols of (*) represent memoryless. (a) 10 MHz narrow band OFDM signal, (b) 100 MHz Wide band 5G signal.

FIGURE 14. ACPR and EVM performance about the noise robustness comparison between different techniques. (a) 10 MHz narrowband OFDM signal, (b) 100 MHz Wideband 5G signal.

1.2% better than those of the DNN-DSR technique, respectively. In addition, the recovery effect of BiLSTM-DSR on the outliers, shown in Fig. 10(d), is better than that on the others due to the bidirectional mechanism of BiLSTM, which is of great benefit in boosting the quality of the received signal. Compared with DSR techniques with memory depth, EVM deteriorates by approximately 0.2% for memoryless BiLSTM.

For the 100 MHz wideband signals, the improvements in ACPR and EVM using BiLSTM-DSR are approximately 2.4 dB and 0.9% better than those of the DNN-DSR technique, respectively. In this case, LSTM-DSR is only 0.68 dB and 0.50% better in terms of ACPR and EVM, respectively, in comparison with the DNN-DSR technique. The advantages of the BiLSTM-DSR technique in wideband conditions have far-reaching significance for applying 5G signals in satellite communication systems. BiLSTM-DSR with memory depth obtains an excellent EVM reduction of over 5% compared to memoryless BiLSTM-DSR. The capability of the proposed technique to capture features in time series data and effectively compensate for the memory effects in broadband PAs is powerfully demonstrated.

C. NOISE ROBUSTNESS ANALYSIS

In online applications, high SNR variation and notable power variation may affect the recovery performance. Therefore, it is essential for the trained models to be robust against these conditions. The impact of power variation was thoroughly discussed in [12], and hence, this work mainly focuses on the impact of high SNR variation.

Fig. 14(a) shows the recovery performance for the narrowband signal with different techniques under the AWGN channel. In the entire test range of the SNR, the ACPR results for the BiLSTM-DSR technique are 1 dB better than those of the other two techniques with the same SNR. If the SNR
is lower than 30 dB, the BiLSTM-DSR technique shows excellent performance in terms of EVM. For instance, when the EVM is needed to be 12%, the BiLSTM-DSR technique can improve the SNR performance by approximately 3.5 dB. This means that 3.5 dB of transmission power can thus be saved while maintaining the consistent EVM, with the BiLSTM-DSR technique applied at ground stations. This can greatly increase the satellite power efficiency. Moreover, when the SNR is approximately 20 dB, a more than 3% improvement in the EVM can be acquired by adopting the BiLSTM-DSR technique in comparison with the other two techniques.

The results for the 100 MHz wideband signal are shown in Fig. 14(b). In the entire test range of the SNR, the ACPR results for the BiLSTM-DSR and LSTM-DSR techniques are 2 dB and 1 dB, respectively, better than the DNN-DSR technique with the same SNR. Based on our previous experience [12], we know that the EVM, not the ACPR results, is the most important evaluation metric for the recovery performance of DSR techniques, as the EVM is closely correlated to the bit error rate (BER). If the SNR is approximately 13 dB, BiLSTM-DSR and LSTM-DSR show approximately 5% and 3% improvements in EVM in comparison with the DNN-DSR technique, respectively. When the EVM is needed to be 10%, the BiLSTM-DSR technique can equivalently relax the SNR requirement by approximately 2.5 dB (from 20.5 dB to 18 dB). This gain is not as good as that in the test using the narrowband signal. The possible reason for this is that the RF components used in the satellite transmitter do not exhibit sufficiently flat frequency responses within the required bandwidth, which will cause phase and amplitude fluctuations during transmission [31]. Hence, the wideband signals show inconsistent nonlinearities in the operation band, which will increase the challenge for signal recovery. However, 2.5 dB is still considered a great improvement, as it is equivalent to an almost halved requirement in the transmitted power for the downlink, meaning a significant improvement in the total power efficiency of the satellites.

While the proposed technique has achieved satisfactory results, it also leaves sufficient room for improvement, such as by taking into account complex channel conditions. In addition, due to the limited capability of the signal generator and signal analyzer, we only validated the proposed technology at a 100 MHz bandwidth in this work. However, we believe the technology has the potential for even broader bandwidths, which could be a topic for further investigation.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a novel DSR technique for broadband LEO satellite communications. The proposed technique adopts BiLSTM networks to correct the nonlinear distortion caused by spaceborne RF-PAs at ground stations. This allows spaceborne RF-PAs to work in their saturation regions for high power efficiency while maintaining a satisfactory EVM at the ground station. We established a close correlation between our BiLSTM model and the memory effects of RF-PAs and concurrently integrated noise feature filtering and magnitude normalization into a filtering block, which ensures superior signal recovery performance than existing DNN-DSR techniques. The experimental results show that the proposed BiLSTM-DSR technique outperforms the existing DNN-DSR technique in terms of ACPR and EVM by 2.4 dB and 0.9%, respectively, using the same broadband 100 MHz OFDM signal data set. This is equivalent to a 2.5 dB relaxation in the required SNR, from 20.5 dB to 18 dB, to maintain an EVM of the received signal at 10%, which is a big boost of the robustness against low SNR. These results indicate the high potential of the proposed BiLSTM-DSR technique in the development of the emerging LEO satellite communication systems aiming at high throughput and high power efficiency.

In future work, we will extend the BiLSTM-DSR technique to broader bandwidth and higher-order modulation schemes. Moreover, it is challenging to handle the time-varying Doppler effects in real LEO satellite systems, and we will explore more robust networks such as Transformer networks for this issue. The further reduction of SNR requirements and the Over-The-Air (OTA) testing are also open for research.
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