Sizing of clothing appropriate for overweight and obese children: methodology stages and the preliminary results
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Abstract: The article describes the statistical analysis on the main components and the preliminary results for the development of a table of measurements, focused on the overweight and obesity of children from the north of Portugal, as part of an ongoing PhD research in Textile Engineering at the University of Minho, Portugal. In this sense, for the construction of a sample table of measurements, the studies of Huyssteen [1], Silveira [2], and Gill [3], recommend anthropometric studies, health databases and statistical analyzes.

1. Introduction
In Portugal, as in other countries, manufacturers of children's clothing are not prepared to offer clothing suitable for overweight and obese children [4]. A limited supply of clothing that gives them comfort and safety leads this population to wear clothing aimed at other age groups [5]. The use of larger sizes does not take into account their bodies and specificities [6], [7], [8], [9]. For Kim [9], there is a need to segment the tables of measurements of the child population by lean, medium and obese children, in order to increase the coverage of the age range. According to Boguslawska-Baczek [10], it is necessary to find the best way to develop the tables of measurements aiming at designing comfortable and suitable clothing for the body of overweight and obese children [9], [11].

The tables of measurements are the basis for the development of the clothes in series [3], [11], [12]. They have emerged to facilitate commercial practice, provide information to consumers, entrepreneurs and retailers [1], reduce costs and ensure product quality [2]. The tables used for the modelling of garments vary between countries, norms, brands, market segments and fabrics [1], [2], [10], [13], [14], [15]. They are grouped by male, female and child segment [10] from the average population measurements [5], [7], [10], [13], [15], [16], [17], and determine a minimum number of sizes for a maximum number of people [1]. This has an impact on individuals who are far from the average [1], [2], [17], since the tables do not consider their constraints [13], [14], [15], as is the case of overweight and obese children.

As regards the children’s segment, the measurement tables show a disparity between body measurements and marks [5] due to the great variation of biotypes between countries [1], [12], [18]. They present the categories: baby, infant and pre-adolescent [5] and can be divided by sex or be unisex. Sizes are represented by age in single numbers (8, 9, 10, 11) or double (8, 10, 12, 14), letters (XS, S, M, L) or words (small, medium and large) [1], [3]. Although many companies use tables formed on the basis of age, this classification is not correct, since it represents poorly the corporeal dimensions, given that children of the same age can present different heights [1], [9], [10].

2. Materials and methods
For the development of tables of measurements suitable for clothing of overweight and obese children of the north of Portugal, from the studies of Huyssteen [1], Silveira [2], and Gill [3], it is recommended: current anthropometric studies, selection of the necessary measurements for clothing and statistical analysis.
2.1 Sample
It involved 816 children between 2 and 12 years old (434 boys and 381 girls). It is important to note that not all of these children belong to the target population of the study. Data from children not in the target group and children aged 2 to 4 years were not considered. The final sample consisted of 205 overweight and obese children, located in 3 cities in the north of Portugal, aged between 5 and 12 years, of both sexes.

2.2 Data collection and Data processing
The anthropometric data collection lasted 6 months, beginning in June 2016 and ending in November 2016. 3D body scanning technology (KBI) was used along with manual collection. After the data collection started the processing of the data. The processing of data went through different software and phases. To guarantee the quality of the data, the outliers (scan errors, recorded body measurements very large or very small) were excluded according to the definition presented in [19].

2.3 Measurements relevant to the study
The definition of the number of measurements required varies among researchers, standards or countries. According to [19], there is no exact number of measurements, being selected according to the relevance and the segment to which the product will be destined, however, the ideal is to select the measures of height and circumference, covering the dimensions of the trunk and extremities, and that can be easily located. Gill [3] presents several researchers that used 33 to 4 measurements. Gill [3] also says that many measurements are difficult to work with, and cover fewer people, which hampers statistical techniques.

3. Results and conclusions
The data were analyzed using SPSS 21 software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). In a first phase, was carried out the statistical analysis of the principal components (PCA), which is a technique of multivariate exploratory analysis associated with dimensionality reduction. Its purpose is to transform a set of correlated variables into a set of independent variables [20].

Of the 110 body measurements pre-established by the KBI system at this stage, 26 important variables for children's clothing were considered. However, the factorial structure obtained was not interpretable, and did not result in valid conclusions in the context of this investigation. According to several authors, children vary in growth according to age, gender, hereditary influences and environmental factors, changing rapidly [1], [21]. In the present study, the relationship between the male and female bodies differentiates between the sexes [1], [22].

After an interview with eight professionals working with modelling, it was decided to withdraw some initial variables. Thus, the PCA was again considered, taking into account the following 18 variables: height, bust circumference, waist circumference, hip circumference, abdominal circumference, thigh circumference, knee circumference, calf circumference, ankle circumference, biceps circumference, elbow circumference, wrist circumference, sleeve shirt, bust height, waist height, hip height, thigh height, and knee height. Subsequently, are presented all the steps and justifications that led to the best factorial solution for both sexes.

3.1 Assumptions Verification
Bartlett's sphericity test and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sample adequacy are two statistical procedures that allow testing the validity of the factorial analysis, evaluating the quality of the correlations between the variables [23]. The test was performed for both subsamples. The KMO values obtained – 0.919 for the male gender and 0.923 for the female – indicate a very good adjustment of the factorial model to the data in both sexes [24]. Therefore, there is a very good suitability of the subsamples for the application of the factorial analysis. As for Bartlett's sphericity test, it was obtained as test value $p = 0.00 < 0.05$, so, it is rejected the hypothesis that there are no correlations between the variables. The adequacy of the factorial analysis method is confirmed.
3.2 Extraction of factors

Regarding the number of components to be retained in the analysis, there are four most commonly used criteria [23]. For a number of variables below 30, Pestana and Gageiro [24] advise the application of the Kaiser criterion. Therefore, the Kaiser criterion was applied, that is, choosing components with a value greater than 1, the first three main components should be retained for both male and female genders. It corresponds to a percentage of explained variance of 82% for the male gender and 83% for the female gender, presented in table 1.

| Male | Female |
|------|--------|
| Comp. | Value | % Variance | % Cumulative | Value | % Variance | % Cumulative | Value | % Variance | % Cumulative |
| 1 | 11.30 | 66.49 | 66.49 | 7.20 | 42.36 | 42.36 | 12.34 | 68.53 | 68.53 |
| 2 | 1.62 | 9.54 | 76.03 | 5.65 | 33.25 | 75.61 | 1.49 | 8.26 | 76.80 |
| 3 | 1.05 | 6.19 | 82.21 | 6.61 | 82.21 | 1.15 | 6.39 | 83.19 | 1.15 |
| 4 | .60 | 3.53 | 85.74 | .72 | 3.98 | 87.17 |
| 5 | .54 | 3.19 | 88.93 | .46 | 2.54 | 89.71 |
| 6 | .47 | 2.77 | 91.70 | .42 | 2.34 | 92.05 |
| 7 | .33 | 1.97 | 93.67 | .40 | 2.20 | 94.24 |
| 8 | .30 | 1.74 | 95.41 | .23 | 1.26 | 95.50 |
| 9 | .19 | 1.14 | 96.55 | .22 | 1.21 | 96.71 |
| 10 | .17 | .98 | 97.53 | .18 | 1.03 | 97.74 |
| 11 | .14 | .82 | 98.36 | .12 | .67 | 98.40 |
| 12 | .10 | .57 | 98.92 | .09 | .51 | 98.91 |
| 13 | .07 | .41 | 99.33 | .07 | .39 | 99.30 |
| 14 | .03 | .19 | 99.52 | .04 | .23 | 99.54 |
| 15 | .03 | .18 | 99.70 | .03 | .17 | 99.71 |
| 16 | .03 | .17 | 99.87 | .02 | .14 | 99.84 |
| 17 | .02 | .13 | 100.00 | .02 | .09 | 99.93 |

Table 2. Communalities

| Extraction Male | Extraction Female |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Height          | .927               | Height          | .942               |
| Bust height     | .962               | Bust height     | .967               |
| Waist height    | .950               | Waist height    | .956               |
| Hip height      | .916               | Hip height      | .961               |
| Thigh height    | .935               | Thigh height    | .951               |
| Knee height     | .917               | Knee height     | .896               |
| Sleeve shirt    | .575               | Sleeve shirt    | .684               |
| Bust circumference | .811             | Bust circumference | .754             |
| Waist circumference | .843          | Waist circumference | .774             |
| Abdominal circumference | .913       | Abdominal circumference | .871             |
| Hip circumference | .899             | Hip circumference | .938               |
| Thigh circumference | .759             | Thigh circumference | .836               |
| Knee circumference | .760             | Knee circumference | .754               |
| Biceps circumference | .615           | Biceps circumference | .694               |
| Elbow circumference | .733             | Elbow circumference | .747               |
| Ankle circumference | .752             | Ankle circumference | .682               |
| Wrist circumference | .709             | Wrist circumference | .853               |
As can be seen in the calculation of commonalities in table 2, the commonalities indicate the proportion of the main component of each variable. Variables with high values indicate that they are well represented by the components.

### 3.3 Analysis and profiling of the main components

The matrix of components presents the loadings (or factorial loads). The loadings represent the correlations between each variable and its main component [25]. According to Pestana and Gageiro [24], the loadings must be very large or very small. Still according to these authors, as well as Larose [26], loadings with an absolute value greater than or equal to 0.5 should be considered significant. Field [27] chooses values in modules greater than or equal to 0.4. It is observed that most of the variables have a high loading on the first factor.

| Component | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Height    | 0.921 | -0.279| 0.037 |
| Bust height| 0.934 | -0.296| 0.055 |
| Waist height| 0.925 | -0.290| -0.101|
| Hip height | 0.897 | -0.312| -0.120|
| Thigh height | 0.889 | -0.334| -0.125|
| Knee height | 0.879 | -0.359| -0.127|
| Sleeve shirt | 0.720 | -0.237| 0.016 |
| Bust circumference | 0.848 | 0.206 | 0.223 |
| Waist circumference | 0.758 | 0.496 | 0.152 |
| Abdominal circumference | 0.878 | 0.377 | -0.013|
| Hip circumference | 0.926 | 0.190 | -0.081|
| Thigh circumference | 0.843 | 0.138 | -0.173|
| Knee circumference | 0.862 | 0.125 | 0.041 |
| Biceps circumference | 0.675 | 0.220 | 0.334 |
| Elbow circumference | 0.734 | 0.437 | 0.067 |
| Ankle circumference | 0.528 | -0.152| 0.670 |
| Wrist circumference | 0.423 | 0.464 | -0.561|

In order to obtain a more simplified and interpretable structure, there are different rotation methods that seek to eliminate intermediate loadings [24]. In fact, the first component extracted represents a general factor [26]. An orthogonal rotation method – Varimax – was used. This method minimizes the number of variables with high loadings [26]. In the matrixes of components of the rotated solution, presented in tables 5 and 6, the component to which the variable contributes with greater weight was selected. For the male gender, the first component is associated with the variables of height (thigh, bust, knee, hip and waist height, sleeve, height), while the second component is associated with the variables of circumference (waist, abdomen, elbow, bust, hip, knee, biceps and thigh). The third component is associated with the circumferences of the ankle and the bust, which correspond to the smallest circumference measurements of the lower and upper part, respectively. According to [22], the perimeters of the ankle, wrist and neck reveal a complex pattern. In the case of the female gender, there are some nuances compared to those described for the male gender. Thus, the first component is also associated with height (thigh, bust, knee, hip and waist height, height, sleeve), however, it is also associated with the variables of circumference of the thigh and the hip. This association is justified and explained by [22], who report that the distribution of adipose tissue is different between males (it is more abdominal) and females (it is distributed more in the hip and thigh), so the measurements have a greater agreement between the participants of the male gender. The second component is associated with the variables of circumference of biceps, waist, ankle, knee, elbow, calf, bust and abdominal. The third component is associated with the circumference of the wrist. Some variables, such as the female
knee circumference, although presenting a lower factorial loading, remained in the model because they were considered relevant.

**Table 5** Rotated component Matrix (male)

| Component                  | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Thigh height               | .916  |       |       |
| Knee height                | .916  |       |       |
| Waist height               | .903  |       |       |
| Bust height                | .902  |       |       |
| Hip height                 | .899  |       |       |
| Height                     | .878  |       |       |
| Sleeve shirt               | .687  |       |       |
| Waist circumference        | .886  |       |       |
| Abdominal circumference    | .838  |       |       |
| Elbow circumference        | .807  |       |       |
| Bust circumference         | .752  |       |       |
| Hip circumference          | .717  |       |       |
| Knee circumference         | .659  |       |       |
| Biceps circumference       | .677  |       |       |
| Hip circumference          | .604  |       |       |
| Ankle circumference        |       | .695  |       |
| Wrist circumference        |       |       | -674  |

**Table 6** Rotated component Matrix (female)

| Component                  | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Thigh height               | .927  |       |       |
| Bust height                | .919  |       |       |
| Hip height                 | .901  |       |       |
| Waist height               | .897  |       |       |
| Knee height                | .890  |       |       |
| Height                     | .885  |       |       |
| Sleeve shirt               | .788  |       |       |
| Thigh Circumference        | .776  |       |       |
| Hip circumference          | .772  |       |       |
| Biceps circumference       | .800  |       |       |
| Waist circumference        | .790  |       |       |
| Abdominal circumference    | .723  |       |       |
| Ankle circumference        | .701  |       |       |
| Elbow circumference        | .686  |       |       |
| Bust circumference         | .628  |       |       |
| Knee circumference         | .596  |       |       |
| Wrist circumference        |       | .895  |       |

4. Future perspectives

Although the 3D scanning system has contributed to the garment industry, anthropometric studies are time-consuming and data processing is required in order to obtain a valid and representative sample. Such studies are of supreme importance to the clothing industry in order to support the design of clothing appropriate to specific populations. Based on the identification of overweight and obese children, the corporal measurements relevant to the development of children's clothing were analyzed through the statistical method of principal components. In a subsequent step, the analysis of clusters will be performed to formulate the tables for this segment. This will contribute to improve the comfort and usability of children's clothing, as well as their self-esteem.
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