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Abstract

Background

Periostin is an important extracellular matrix protein involved in cell development and adhesion. Previously, we identified periostin to be up-regulated in aggressive prostate cancer (CaP) using quantitative glycoproteomics and mass spectrometry. The expression of periostin was further evaluated in primary radical prostatectomy (RP) prostate tumors and adjacent non-tumorous prostate tissues using immunohistochemistry (IHC). Our IHC results revealed a low background periostin levels in the adjacent non-tumorous prostate tissues, but overexpressed periostin levels in the peritumoral stroma of primary CaP tumors.

Methods

In this study, periostin expression in CaP was further examined on multiple tissue microarrays (TMAs), which were conducted in four laboratories. To achieve consistent staining, all TMAs were stained with same protocol and scored by same image computation tool to determine the total periostin staining intensities. The TMAs were further scored by pathologists to characterize the stromal staining and epithelial staining.

Results

The periostin staining was observed mainly in peritumoral stromal cells and in some cases in tumor epithelial cells though the stronger staining was found in peritumoral stromal cells. Both periostin stromal staining and epithelial staining can differentiate BPH from CaP including low grade CaP (Gleason score ≤6), with significant p-value of 2.2e-16 and 0.001, respectively. Periostin epithelial staining differentiated PIN from low grade CaP (Gleason score ≤6) (p=0.001), while periostin stromal staining differentiated low grade Cap (Gleason score ≤6) from high grade Cap (Gleason score ≤6) (p=1.7e-05). In addition, a positive
correlation between total periostin staining and Gleason score was observed (r=0.87, p=0.002).

Conclusions
The results showed that periostin staining was positively correlated with increasing Gleason score and the aggressiveness of prostate disease.

Introduction
In our previous effort to identify the protein changes between aggressive and non-aggressive prostate cancer (CaP), our group analyzed glycopeptides isolated from aggressive and non-aggressive prostate tumors by quantitative glycoproteomics using iTRAQ labeling of glycosite-containing peptides and tandem mass spectrometry [1]. We identified the overexpression of three glycoproteins in aggressive CaP tissues compared to non-aggressive CaP tissues. Two proteins, cathepsin L and periostin, are extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins. Cathepsin L acts as an endopeptidase, which can degrade many intracellular and extracellular proteins to modify their function. The other protein, periostin, was further verified using immunoblotting and immunohistochemistry (IHC) analyses[1]. Therefore, our observations support an important role for the tumor microenvironment in CaP progression.

Periostin, also known as osteoblast-specific factor 2(OSF-2), is an evolutionarily conserved ECM protein and a member of the fasciclin family[2]. It has been shown that periostin interacts with other ECM proteins, such as fibronectin, collagen V, and tenasin-C. Periostin also interacts with various cell-surface receptors, most notably integrins, and signals mainly via the PI3-K/Akt and other pathways to promote cell growth, cell survival, epithelial—mesenchymal transition (EMT), invasion, tumor angiogenesis and metastasis [2–5]. Recent studies have revealed that periostin is involved in the development of various tumors, such as breast, colon, lung, ovarian cancer, and prostate cancer [6–11].

In this study, we aim to verify the correlation of periostin expression and CaP aggressiveness. IHC using periostin specific antibody was performed to assess nine TMAs from multiple laboratories, which consist of a total of 3048 radial prostatectomy (RP) cores. To achieve consistent staining, the protocols and reagents were either sent to collaborator labs or TMAs were sent to our lab for TMA staining. To eliminate scoring variation from individual labs, all stained TMAs were scanned using same scanner with the same settings, and the scanned images were scored by same image computation tool to determine the total periostin staining intensities. The TMAs were further scored by pathologists to characterize the stromal staining and epithelial staining.

Materials and Methods
Materials
Rabbit antihuman periostin antibody were from Abcam (ab14041, Cambridge, U.K.); LSAB+ System-AP kit from Dako (Carpinteria, CA); and all other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).
Tissue microarray (TMAs)

**TMAs from University of California Los Angeles (UCLA).** Three TMAs consist of 197 cores of non-tumorous prostate (NT), 140 cores of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), 64 cores of prostate intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), and 963 cores of prostate adenocarcinoma from 246 cases (3 cores on average for each case) were reported previously[12, 13]. NT was defined as adjacent non-cancerous prostate tissue.

**TMAs from University of California at Irvine (UCI).** Tissue Microarray (TMA) of 480 cores consist of 199 tumor cases (with more than 10 years of follow up), 108 paired cores of prostate intraepithelial neoplasias (PIN), 7 paired cores of stroma, 3 cores of BPH, and 86 cores of calibrators and cell lines as previously reported [14, 15].

**TMAs from Johns Hopkins University (JHU) (Bob Veltri’s lab).** Two prostate adenocarcinoma tissue microarrays (0.6 mm in diameter, 6 cores per case) referred to as TMA 681–682, were created under a CEVC EDRN grant (P.I. Alan W. Partin) constructed at Johns Hopkins University department of pathology using surgical RP resected specimens retrieved from the department of pathology archives. Each of two TMA slides represents 40 cases of CaP (4 cores per case) and tumor-matched non-cancerous prostate tissues (4 cores per case). The formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded prostate tumors and tumor-matched non-cancerous tissues for TMA 681–682 were obtained based on application made to the Department of Pathology at Johns Hopkins Hospital through the Prostate Cancer Biorepository Network (PCBN). The use of TMAs including TMAs 681–682 to study biomarkers was approved by Johns Hopkins University IRB (Institutional Review Board) for prostate cancer.

**TMA from Johns Hopkins University (JHU) (Hui Zhang’s lab).** The CaP tissue microarray (0.6 mm in diameter, 6 cores per case) was constructed at Johns Hopkins University using surgical RP resected specimens retrieved from the department of pathology archive at the Johns Hopkins Hospital. The use of clinical information and tumor tissue was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Johns Hopkins University. Represented on the TMA were 60 cases of prostate adenocarcinomas (4 cores per case) and tumor-matched non-cancerous prostate tissues (2 cores per case). In addition, 60 cases of non-prostate non-cancerous control tissues were included on the microarray, consisting of normal kidney, stomach, small bowel, colon, liver, pancreas, endometrium, brain and lymph node.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The TMAs were baked at 60°C for 1 hour, deparaffinized and rehydrated. The TMAs were incubated with 5% BSA/PBS at room temperature for 45 minutes, and then incubated with 3% H₂O₂ at room temperature for 15 minutes prior to applying primary antibody. Rabbit anti-human periostin (Abcam, ab14041) were used in a dilution of 1:4000 to stain TMAs and were detected using the Dako LSAB+System AP kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

To analyze the total periostin staining, the positive pixel count (PPC, v11) algorithm (Aperio Image Scope) was applied to individual cores from 9 TMAs to compute the average pixel intensity (in a colorimetric channel corresponding to the brown IHC precipitate) of all pixels within each annotation region. Briefly, we used PPC input parameters that are defaulted for brown color quantification and then ran the algorithm. A pseudo-color “mark-up” image was generated as an algorithm result, confirming that specified inputs measured the desired color and intensity ranges. Positive stain color intensity was classified into three color coded ranges: 1) intensity weak positive (Iwp) = yellow, 2) intensity positive (Ip) = orange, and 3) intensity strong positive (Isp) = red. Percentage of staining was calculated as total number of positive pixels divided by total number of pixels and correlated with Gleason scores.
Immunostaining was also evaluated blindly by Johns Hopkins Hospital (JHH) pathologists to characterize stromal and epithelial staining localization. The TMA was scored semi-quantitatively using a four tier system based on the intensity and distribution: 0, undetectable; 1+, weak staining; 2+, medium staining, 3+, strong staining. All TMAs were scanned at 20X and IHC images were photographed using Aperio Image Scope (v11.2.0.780).

Statistical Analysis
The Wilcoxon signed rank order test (paired, two-sided) was performed for the periostin staining in the peritumoral stroma and non-tumorous stroma which was scored by pathologists. The difference of periostin staining in either stroma or epithelial cells among different conditions of prostate disease was assessed for individual score using Chi-squared test. Pearson correlation coefficient test was used to analyze the correlation of Gleason score and the positivity of total periostin staining including both stromal and epithelial cell staining from computational scoring. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Prior glycoproteomics analysis of aggressive and non-aggressive CaP tissues showed that periostin was significantly increased in aggressive prostate tumors[1]. The initial discovery was verified using IHC[1]. This study aims to verify that periostin overexpression in PCa using large sample sets from multi-laboratories and universal storing methods. The TMAs include one from Hui Zhang lab (JHU) consisting of 360 cores (60 cases), two from Bob Veltri Brady Urological Institute lab (JHU) consisting of 640 cores (80 cases), three from David Chia lab (UCLA) consisting 1364 cores (246 cases), and three from Dan Mercola lab (UCI) consisting 684 cores (199 cases).

To eliminate reading variation from individual labs, all stained TMAs were scanned at Johns Hopkins University using the Aperio imaging system, and the 20X scanned images were analyzed using the same computation tools to determine the overall staining intensity for each core. We further validated TMA interpretation by JHH pathologists for all TMAs to separate the stromal staining and epithelial staining. The pathologists’ readings used a four tier system based on the intensity and distribution: 0, undetectable; 1+, weak staining; 2+, medium staining, 3+, strong staining (Fig. 1A). In consistent with our previous observation, the periostin staining was observed mainly in peritumoral stromal cells and in some cases in tumor epithelial cells though the stronger staining was found in peritumoral stromal cells (Fig. 1A). The statistical analysis was then performed to answer the following questions: 1) whether periostin expression can differentiate prostate tissues including prostate cancer, non-tumor areas of prostate tissues, BPH, and PIN; 2) whether periostin expression is correlated with clinical variables, such as Gleason score.

To determine whether the expression of periostin could differentiate the CaP from non-tumor areas of prostate tissues, statistical analyses were performed for the three TMAs from JHU because these TMAs consist of both the prostate tumors and patient-matched non-tumorous prostate tissues. Periostin total staining and stromal staining were analyzed respectively. The periostin total staining was increased in prostate tumor with Gleason score 6 and up compared to paired non-tumorous prostate tissues (Fig. 1B). Since the periostin was mainly stained in stroma, histogram analysis was used to analyze the changes in IHC scores between periostin peritumoral stroma and paired non-tumorous stroma (Fig. 1C). The x-axis is the difference in IHC scores, which was calculated using the IHC score of periostin peritumoral stroma minus the score of paired non-tumorous stroma. The red bars indicate number of patients who had higher IHC scores in cancer compared to non-tumorous stroma, while the green bar indicates
The lower IHC scores in cancer. The height of the bars (y-axis) is the number of patients. The sum height of red bars is significantly greater than the green bar, indicating that the IHC scores among the peritumoral stromal cells are significantly higher than the corresponding non-tumor stromal cells. The Wilcoxon signed rank order test (paired, two-sided) gives the p-value for the significance of this difference (p = 2.75e-12).

To compare the periostin expression in prostate tumor and other conditions of prostate diseases, the TMAs from UCLA which consist of 197 cores of non-tumorous prostate (NT), 140 cores of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), 64 cores of prostate intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), and 963 cores of CaP were analyzed. Except the cores with tissue fall-off, 1233 cores were used in stromal staining analysis while 1247 cores were used in epithelial cell staining analysis. The periostin staining was detected with low background in non-tumorous prostate and BPH, and with increased staining in PIN, while most intensive staining was found in CaP (Fig. 2). Chi-squared test revealed that both periostin stromal staining and epithelial staining differentiated BPH from CaP including low grade CaP (Gleason score ≤6), with significant p-value of 2.2e-16 and 0.001, respectively (Tables 1 and 2, S1 Statistical Analysis).
epithelial staining differentiated PIN from CaP including low grade CaP (Gleason score ≤ 6) (p = 0.001). In addition, periostin stromal staining differentiated low grade CaP (Gleason score
Periostin staining is positively correlated with the aggressiveness of prostate cancer. To determine the correlation of periostin expression and Gleason score, all nine TMAs were analyzed using the same image computational tool. Percentage of staining was calculated as total number of positive pixels divided by total number of pixels. The increases of periostin total staining intensity were found in the prostate tumors with increased Gleason score in the three TMAs from JHU (Fig. 1B), the three TMAs from UCLA (Fig. 3A), and the three TMAs from UCI (Fig. 3B). The results show that a positive correlation between total periostin staining and Gleason score ($r = 0.87$, $p = 0.002$) (Fig. 3C).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the expression level of periostin in the primary prostate cancer tissues, non-tumorous prostate tissues, benign prostatic hyperplasia, and prostate intraepithelial neoplasia. This is the first study using such an expanded cohort to analyze periostin expression in prostate cancer and try to determine the clinical relevance of periostin elevation with the CaP aggressive phenotype.

High expression of periostin localized in either tumor epithelia or peritumoral stroma was observed consistently as previous reports [16–19]. Interestingly, while strong peritumoral periostin staining was observed in all TMAs, the periostin staining in tumor epithelia was only detected in the TMAs from UCLA and UCI, but not in the TMAs from JHU. This may have been caused by variations in patient cohorts and the technical pre-processing that includes sample collection, pathologist selection etc. during construction of the JHU TMAs. According to the

| Table 1. Periostin peritumoral stromal staining is positively associated with prostate adenocarcinoma (TMAs from UCLA). |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Periostin staining in stromal cells | Row total |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Histology                          | No (0)    | Weak (1) | Medium & strong (2 &3) | |
| BPH *                              | 55        | 39       | 36                   | 130       |
| PIN                                | 6         | 11       | 39                   | 56        |
| CaP (GS≤6)                         | 44        | 88       | 422                  | 554       |
| CaP (GS>7) *                       | 9         | 25       | 278                  | 312       |
| Column total                       | 114       | 163      | 775                  | 1052      |

* $p<0.01$ compared to CaP (GS≤6)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121502.t001

| Table 2. Periostin epithelial cell staining is positively associated with prostate adenocarcinoma (TMAs from UCLA). |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Periostin staining in epithelial cells | Row total |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Histology                          | No (0)    | Weak (1) | Medium & strong (2 &3) | |
| BPH *                              | 49        | 41       | 37                   | 127       |
| PIN *                              | 12        | 17       | 63                   | 92        |
| CaP (GS≤6)                         | 133       | 166      | 243                  | 542       |
| CaP (GS>7)                         | 65        | 88       | 159                  | 312       |
| Column total                       | 259       | 312      | 502                  | 1073      |

* $p<0.01$ compared to CaP (GS≤6)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121502.t002
statistical analysis, epithelial and stromal periostin expression was able to distinguish CaP form non-tumorous prostate, BPH and PIN (Tables 1 and 2, Figs 1 and 2, and S1 Statistical Analysis). In addition, the total periostin staining (epithelia and stromal) was shown to be correlated with increasing Gleason score (Fig. 3C). This indicates the peritumoral periostin staining was highly associated with the CaP aggressiveness.

Other studies have suggested that periostin expression is correlated with cancer metastasis and the bleak prognosis [9, 20, 21]. Li et al reported that over-expression of periostin was frequently observed in the stroma of nasopharyngeal carcinoma and matched lymph node metastases compared with the stroma of normal nasopharyngeal mucosa [16]. High stromal expression of periostin was also observed to be associated with shorter survival of prostate cancer [19]. However, notably the TMAs used in this study only consist of primary prostate tumors. It would be extremely useful to analyze the periostin expression in CaP recurrence cases that may progress to metastasis and death in the future.

In conclusion, although periostin was increased in both peritumoral stroma and epithelial CaP cells, periostin had major expression in the former cell type. Our data show that periostin expression is highly correlated with the CaP tumor aggressiveness, which supports our previous discovery. The results indicate that periostin has the potential to be used as a diagnostic tissue biomarker.

Fig 3. Correlation analysis of the positivity of total periostin staining and Gleason score. (A) Box plots of the positivity of periostin for the three TMAs from UCLA, (B) Box plots of the positivity of periostin for the three TMAs from UCI, (C) the positive correlation of fold changes and Gleason score using all nine TMAs. Percentage of staining (positivity) was calculated as total number of positive pixels divided by total number of pixels.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121502.g003
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