Distinct roles of the YPEL gene family in development and pathogenicity in the ascomycete fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*
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Members of the *Yippee-like* (YPEL) gene family are highly conserved in eukaryotes and are homologous to the *Drosophila yippee* gene. In this study, we functionally characterized two YPEL-homologous genes, *MoYPEL1* and *MoYPEL2*, in the rice blast pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae* using the deletion mutants Δ*Moypel1*, Δ*Moypel2*, and ΔΔ*Moypel1,2*. The *MoYPEL1* deletion mutant was significantly defective in conidiation and unable to undergo appressorium development; however, deletion of *MoYPEL2* resulted in a significant increase in conidiation and the abnormal development of two appressoria per conidium. These data demonstrate the opposite roles of each member of the YPEL gene family during the development of *M. oryzae*. The double mutant was phenotypically similar to the Δ*Moypel1* mutant in conidiation, but similar to the Δ*Moypel2* mutant in appressorium development. Subcellular localization of the *MoYPEL1* protein was dynamic during appressorium development, while the *MoYPEL2* protein consistently localized within the nuclei during developmental stages. Our studies indicate that the two YPEL gene family members play distinct roles in the developmental stages of *M. oryzae*, furthering our understanding of disease dissemination and development in fungi.

Rice blast caused by the ascomycete fungal pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae* is a threat to global rice production. This disease continues to be important with increased global population size and food demand. In addition, the pathogen has a remarkable ability to destroy the whole rice plant including the leaf, collar, node, panicle base (neck), panicle, and sometimes root, unlike some pathogens that infect plants in a tissue-specific manner. *Magnaporthe oryzae* is a polycyclic pathogen that enables the production of massive amounts of conidia (asexual spores) through multiple rounds of reproduction during the rice growing season. The nature of the pathogen implies a higher potential for epidemic incidence. The *M. oryzae* conidium develops a specialized infection structure called the appressorium at the tip of the conidial germ tube upon recognition of plant surface signals. Generation of turgor pressure in the appressorium allows direct penetration of the plant epidermal cells. Following colonization of host cells, *M. oryzae* reproduces asexually to produce conidia that serve as a major dispersal unit and inoculum. Therefore, understanding the molecular events of conidiation and subsequent conidium-mediated disease development is important for developing novel strategies for plant protection.

*Magnaporthe oryzae* has served as a model for the study of the molecular events underlying fungal development, pathogenicity, and interaction with host plants. These cellular events are achieved by complex processes in which signaling pathways play a critical role in the genetic regulation and cross-talk with other signaling cascades for fungal development and pathogenicity. The cyclic AMP (cAMP)-associated protein kinase A signaling is known to regulate surface recognition and pathogenicity of *M. oryzae*. A well-conserved mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway regulates appressorium development and subsequent invasive growth inside host plant cells in *M. oryzae*. Glycogen and lipid mobilization to the appressorium is dependent on PMK1, a MAPK orthologous yeast Fus3/Kss1, following which glycogen and lipids are degraded via the protein kinase A pathway for turgor generation. Mps1, a MAPK orthologous to yeast Slt2, is essential for cell wall integrity, appressorium penetration and invasive growth in *M. oryzae*. Precise regulation of the cell cycle is critical, especially
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Moe dissemination and development in the phytopathogenic fungus YPEL family in filamentous fungi remains uncharacterized, which prompted us to investigate the functional roles of the YPEL family.

Results
Phylogenetic analysis of MoYPEL proteins. Magnaporthe oryzae was found to contain two YPEL genes based on BLAST search of the genome database (http://fungi.ensembl.org) using conserved sequences (IPR034751) of the Yippee protein. The resulting sequences were then aligned with the sequences of the five human YPEL genes and the yeast MOH1 gene to reveal phylogenetic relationships (Supplementary Fig. S1). Further investigation uncovered that two independent S-phase cell cycle checkpoints are required for appressorium-mediated plant infection in M. oryzae. Recently, it was shown that CDC14 in M. oryzae (MoCDC14), which is orthologous to the phosphatase CDC14 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, plays a key role in septation and nuclear distribution, which are linked to the proper regulation of appressorium formation, conidiation, and growth in M. oryzae.

In this study, we set out to elucidate the roles of the members of the Yippee-like (YPEL) gene family in the development and pathogenicity of M. oryzae, which are homologous to the first identified Drosophila Yippee gene coding for a protein with a putative zinc finger-like metal binding domain. The YPEL family, which consists of one member in yeast and five members in mammals, is highly conserved across eukaryotic taxa. Members of the YPEL gene family have been shown to be associated with various cellular processes including the cell cycle, senescence, and development in mammals. Recently, the MOH1 gene, which is orthologous to the Drosophila Yippee protein, was shown to be involved in apoptosis induced by DNA damage in S. cerevisiae. However, the YPEL family remains uncharacterized in filamentous fungi, which prompted us to investigate the functional roles of the phytopathogenic fungus M. oryzae. Our analysis in silico led to the identification of two YPEL genes (named MoYPEL1 and MoYPEL2) in M. oryzae, unlike in yeasts, which have only one. Here, we investigated the functional roles of the MoYPEL1 and MoYPEL2 genes during M. oryzae morphogenesis and disease development through targeted gene deletion. Our study indicates important and distinct roles of the YPEL gene family in disease dissemination and development in the phytopathogenic fungus M. oryzae.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of MoYPEL proteins. A neighbor-joining tree was derived from the alignment of amino acid sequences of related proteins in fungal taxa. Numbers at nodes represent the percentage of the occurrence in 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Scale bar indicates the number of amino acid differences per site.
shortened hyphal compartments, which was a result of the increased septation in ΔMoPLC3.

Differences in gene expression were observed in each deletion mutant (Fig. 6A). Unlike the expression of zae genes, MoYPEL genes in conidiation.

To further investigate the reduced vegetative growth in ΔMoypel1, we generated transformants expressing MoYPEL1:sGFP and MoYPEL2:sGFP fusion proteins by transforming protoplasts of a transformant expressing a histone H1:RFP fusion protein27,28. The transformants confirmed to be phenotypically similar to wild type (Supplementary Table S2). The MoYPEL1:sGFP fusion protein was initially distributed uniformly in the cytoplasm and nuclei of conidia (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, a marked increase in aggregation of the MoYPEL1 signal was quickly observed next to nuclei in conidium cells, which were subsequently distributed diffusely in the cytoplasm to nuclei. As a germ tube emerged, the MoYPEL1 signal translocated out of the nucleus as assembled particles at the third conidium cell. Prior to mitosis, the assembled forms of the MoYPEL1 signal were maintained not only in the third cell, but also in an appressorium initial. Finally, the aggregated MoYPEL1 signal in the appressorium was diffusely distributed similar to the distribution in conidium cells following mitosis. Unlike the dynamic localization of the MoYPEL1:sGFP signal during appressorium development, the MoYPEL2:sGFP signal colocalized stably with nuclei not only during vegetative growth, but also during appressorium development (Fig. 3B). The differing subcellular localizations of the MoYPEL proteins suggest their distinctly different roles during M. oryzae morphogenesis and disease development.

**Roles of the MoYPEL genes in vegetative growth.** To determine the functional role of MoYPEL genes in vegetative growth, we measured vegetative growth on solid media including complete medium (CM), V8 medium, and minimal medium (MM). The ΔMoypel1 mutant exhibited a significant defect on all three nutrient conditions while the growth of ΔMoypel2 was indistinguishable from that of the wild type (Fig. 4A). The vegetative growth of the double mutant ΔΔMoypel1,2 was also similar to that of the wild type. Compared to the growth of the wild type, growth of the ΔMoypel1 mutant was reduced by about 23% on CM and V8 medium, and more severely by 50% on MM. To further investigate the reduced vegetative growth in the ΔMoypel1 mutant, hypophae of the mutant were stained with calcofluor white (CFW) to test septation and hyphal morphology during vegetative growth. Unlike the wild type and other mutants, increased septation was observed in the ΔMoypel1 mutant (Fig. 4B), although the hyphal morphology of the mutants was similar to that of the wild type. The average distance of the hyphal compartments of the ΔMoypel1 mutant was 29.3 µm, while the wild type, ΔMoypel2, and ΔΔMoypel1,2 strains were 135, 118.9, and 131.4 µm, respectively, as shown in Fig. 4C. This result suggests that the reduced vegetative growth was due to shortened hyphal compartments, which was a result of the increased septation in the ΔMoypel1 mutant.

**Roles of the MoYPEL genes in conidiation.** Because conidia serve as a major inoculum and propagule in many fungal pathogens, we assessed association of the MoYPEL genes with conidiation by measuring the production of conidia. Microscopic observation revealed that the ΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants showed considerably impaired ability to produce conidia compared with the wild type (Fig. 5A). However, the ΔΔMoypel2 mutant showed an increased number of nuclei, which accelerated conidium production. Quantitative measurement of conidium production indicated that the ΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants produced significantly less conidia by 22% and 27%, respectively, but the ΔMoypel2 mutant produced significantly more conidia by 151%, compared with the wild type (Fig. 5B). Such abnormality of the ΔMoypel1 and ΔMoypel2 mutants was rescued in the complemented transformants Moypel1c and Moypel2c, respectively. These results suggest that each of MoYPEL genes plays a distinct and opposite role in the regulation of conidiation.

To examine the effect of MoYPEL deletion on the expression of genes important for conidiation in M. oryzae, we measured gene expression in each deletion mutant using quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) (Table 1). Differences in gene expression were observed in each deletion mutant (Fig. 6A). Unlike the expression of MoPLC3, MoPLC1 was significantly upregulated only in the ΔMoypel2 mutant, and MoPLC2 expression was

---

**Figure 2.** Amino acid sequence alignment of YPEL proteins. Line indicates the nuclear localization signal at the C-terminus of the proteins. Note that the YPEL1 consensus sequence in clade I is consistent with the previously reported consensus sequence VII and the YPEL2 consensus sequence in clade II has a sequence expansion (boxed) at the X5 position in the consensus sequence VII. Accession numbers of proteins are shown in Fig. 1.

(C-X5-C-X4-G-X1-L-X3-N-X5-GXH-X5-C-X5-C-X5-GWXY-X5-K-X5-E) reported in a previous study (Fig. 2)20. MoYPEL2-related proteins in clade II also have an additional sequence expansion that is variable from X5 to X36 at position X5 of consensus sequence VII. All of the fungal YPEL proteins contain a nuclear localization signal (NLS) at the C-terminus of this domain.
upregulated in the \( \Delta \text{Moypel1} \), \( \Delta \text{Moypel2} \), and \( \Delta \Delta \text{Moypel1} \), \( \Delta \text{Moypel2} \) mutants. Transcripts of MoAPS1, ACR1, MoCON6, CON7, MoCON8, MoFLBA, Flb3, and Flb4 were significantly increased at different levels in both the \( \Delta \text{Moypel1} \) and \( \Delta \text{Moypel2} \) mutants, among which the MoCON6, MoCON8, MoFLBA, Flb3, and Flb4 genes were also upregulated in the \( \Delta \Delta \text{Moypel1} \), \( \delta \text{Moypel2} \), and \( \Delta \Delta \text{Moypel1} \), \( \Delta \text{Moypel2} \) mutants. The different levels of gene expression in the \( \Delta \text{Moypel1} \) and \( \Delta \text{Moypel2} \) mutants suggest that the \( \text{MoYPEL1} \) and \( \text{MoYPEL2} \) genes play distinct roles in \( M. \text{oryzae} \) conidiation.

To understand the impact of signaling pathways on the expression of \( \text{MoYPEL} \) genes, we measured expression of the \( \text{MoYPEL} \) genes in signaling pathway-related mutant backgrounds (Table 2 and Fig. 6B). The \( \Delta \text{mac1} \) mutant lacks an adenylate cyclase, the \( \Delta \text{pmk1} \) mutant lacks a MAPK, and the \( \Delta \text{Moplc2} \) mutant lacks phospholipase C. \( \text{MoYPEL2} \) was significantly induced in all three mutants, although the expression of \( \text{MoYPEL1} \) was almost unchanged in these mutants. Both \( \text{MoYPEL} \) genes were significantly downregulated in the phospholipase

Figure 3. Subcellular localization of \( \text{MoYPEL} \) protein in \( M. \text{oryzae} \). (A) A dynamic change in \( \text{MoYPEL1:sGFP} \) localization. Briefly, the \( \text{MoYPEL1:sGFP} \) fusion protein was initially localized in conidium cytoplasm, followed by aggregation next to nuclei and diffuse distribution in the cytoplasm. Newly aggregated \( \text{MoYPEL1:sGFP} \) protein emerged at both sides of the nucleus during germ tube development. An aggregated form of \( \text{MoYPEL1:sGFP} \) protein subsequently appeared in the appressorium initial, and disappeared following nuclear division during appressorium development. Conidia were observed on coverslips at the indicated time points during appressorium development. (B) Localization of \( \text{MoYPEL2:sGFP} \). The obvious colocalization (orange) of \( \text{MoYPEL2:sGFP} \) and histone H1:RFP fusion proteins was observed during appressorium development and hyphal growth. Scale bars = 20 µm.
C mutants ΔMoplc1 and ΔMoplc3, but not in the ΔMoplc2 mutant, which supports the different roles of the MoPLC genes, as previously reported23. This suggests that the regulation of MoYPEL expression may be associated with Ca\(^{2+}\) and cAMP-dependent signaling pathways.

**Roles of the MoYPEL genes in appressorium development.** As appressorium-mediated penetration plays a key role in *M. oryzae*, we tested the ability of the tested strains to develop appressoria upon sensing an inductive hydrophobic surface. This experiment revealed that ΔMoypel1 was defective in appressorium development on the hydrophobic surface, while almost all conidia from wild type and Moypel1c developed appressoria (Fig. 7A,B). Next, we investigated the effect of exogenous cAMP on appressorium development of the ΔMoypel1 mutant. Almost all conidia from wild type developed appressoria on both hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces with treatment of 5 μM exogenous cAMP. Treatment with exogenous cAMP markedly restored appressorium development.
formation in the ΔMoypel1 mutant; Approximately 57.3% and 59.3% of the ΔMoypel1 conidia formed appressoria on the inductive and non-inductive surfaces, respectively, with exogenous cAMP treatment (Fig. 7A,B). These results indicate that the ΔMoypel1 mutant may be defective in sensing signals of hydrophobic surface and intracellular cAMP signaling pathway for appressorium development. Unlike the defect of ΔMoypel1 in appressorium development, the ΔMoypel2 mutant produced a large number of instances of two appressoria on two separated germ tubes emerged from conidia (Fig. 7C). The different sizes of the two appressoria in the ΔMoypel2 mutant are indicative of the subsequent development of two appressoria. Similar to the ΔMoypel2 mutant, the ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutant also produced two appressoria. At 48 h, 10.3% and 16.3% of the ΔMoypel2 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants, respectively, formed two appressoria compared with 2.3% and 2.0% of the wild type and Moypel2c (data not shown). During prolonged incubation (72 h), these percentages increased dramatically to 39.3% and 47.7% of the ΔMoypel2 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants, respectively (Fig. 7D). The percentage of two appressoria in ΔMoypel2 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 was unaffected with treatment of exogenous cAMP. This result suggests that MoYPEL2 plays

Figure 5. Different roles of the MoYPEL genes in M. oryzae conidiation. (A) Microscopic visualization of conidiation. Note the dramatic reduction in conidiation in the ΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants, but an increase in the ΔMoypel2 mutant. Scale bar = 100 µm. (B) Quantitative measurement of conidia. Conidia were collected from 7-day-old V8 culture plates with 5 ml of distilled water. Data were presented as means ± SD from three independent experiments with three replicates per experiment (n ≥ 100 conidia per strain). Different letters on bars indicate significant differences according to Tukey’s test at p < 0.05.
surface (Fig. 7), we tested host factors inducing appressorium development by exogenously adding two known mutants in appressorium-mediated penetration (Fig. 8C). These results indicate that ΔΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel2 for appressorium-mediated penetration, possibly, independent of cAMP-related pathway, and MΔMoypel1 and MΔMoypel2 for invasive growth on rice sheath cells. A further investigation of pathogenic development of ΔΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel2 mutants on rice sheath cells with treatment of exogenous cAMP had no effect on the defects of the mutants. The complemented transformants of these mutants were successful in overcoming the defects of the mutants, although ΔΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel2 mutants, although MoPLC1 was highly upregulated only in the ΔΔMoypel2 mutant in addition, expression changes of the MoYPEL genes in the signaling pathway-related mutant backgrounds (Table 2 and Fig. 6B) suggest the possibility that the Ca2+ and cAMP-dependent signaling pathways are linked to MoYPEL-mediated appressorium development.

Table 1. Magnaporthe oryzae genes used in quantitative real-time PCR.

| Gene | Locus No. | Descriptions | Reference |
|------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| MoHOX2 | MGG_00184 | Homeobox TF; no conidiation | Kim et al.46 |
| MoHOX7 | MGG_12865 | Homeobox TF; no appressorium formation | Kim et al.46 |
| MoPLC1 | MGG_02444 | Phospholipase C gene, infection-related development and pathogenicity | Rho et al.24 |
| MoPLC2 | MGG_05332 | Phospholipase C gene, reduced conidiation and defect in appressorium | Choi et al.34 |
| MoPLC3 | MGG_08315 | Phospholipase C gene, reduced conidiation and defect in appressorium | Choi et al.34 |
| MoAPS1 | MGG_09869 | APSES TF; reduced conidiation | Park et al.40 |
| MoAPS2 | MGG_08463 | APSES TF; reduced conidiation | Park et al.40 |
| Mstu1 | MGG_00692 | APSES TF; reduced conidiation and mycelial growth, defect in appressorium | Nishimura et al.30 |
| COS1 | MGG_03394 | C2H2 zinc finger TF; conidiophores stalk-less | Zhou et al.32 |
| MoCRZ1 | MGG_05133 | A calcineurin-responsive TF; reduced conidiation and pathogenicity, abnormal appressorium | Choi et al.30 |
| ACR1 | MGG_09847 | Hypothetical protein, acropetal conidia | Lau & Hamer52 |
| MoCON6 | MGG_02246 | Hypothetical protein, ortholog to con-6 in Neurospora crassa | Madi et al.35 |
| CON7 | MGG_05207 | C2H2 zinc finger TF; abnormal conidia | Odenbach et al.34 |
| MoCON8 | MGG_00513 | Hypothetical protein, ortholog to con-8 in N. crassa | Madi et al.35 |
| MoFLUG | MGG_02538 | Putative glutamine synthetase, ortholog to flug in Aspergillus nidulans | Lee & Adams28 |
| MoFLBA | MGG_14517 | Putative regulator of G protein signaling, ortholog to flbA in A. nidulans | Wiemer et al.36 |
| FblA | MGG_04699 | C2H2 zinc finger TF; aerial mycelium formation in M. oryzae, ortholog to flbA in A. nidulans | Wiemer et al.36, Matheis et al.37 |
| Fbl4 | MGG_06898 | Myb TF; no conidiation, ortholog to flbD in A. nidulans | Wiemer et al.36, Matheis et al.37 |
| MCK1 | MGG_00883 | Protein kinase, reduced conidiation and appressorium development unable to penetrate plant tissues | Jeon et al.31 |
| CPKA | MGG_06368 | cAMP-dependent protein kinase, defect in penetration | Xu et al.38 |
| PMK1 | MGG_09565 | A MAP kinase gene, defects in appressorium formation and invasive growth | Xu & Hamer39 |
| MAC1 | MGG_09898 | Adenylyl cyclase, reduced vegetative growth, conidiation, and conidial germination, defects in appressorium formation and penetration | Choi & Dean40 |

Roles of the MoYPEL genes in pathogenicity. To evaluate the involvement of the MoYPEL genes in pathogenicity, conidial suspension was sprayed onto susceptible rice seedlings (cv. Nakdongbyoe). The wild type caused severe necrotic lesions, but the ΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants were non-pathogenic (Fig. 8A). The ΔMoypel2 mutant appeared slightly less severe than the wild type. The defects of the mutants were rescued in the corresponding complemented transformants. To further characterize the role of MoYPEL genes in pathogenicity, we inoculated hyphal agar plugs and conidial drops on both intact and wounded sites of detached leaflets of the rice cultivar. Consistent with the spray assay, inoculation of hyphal plugs and conidial drops from all strains developed severe lesions, but no disease symptoms were observed with the ΔMoypel1 mutant on intact sites. The ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutant resulted in fewer reduced lesions from hyphal agar plugs on intact sites, but not from the conidial drops (Fig. 8B). Unlike the inoculations on intact sites, the wounded inoculations of both hyphal plugs and conidial drops from all strains developed severe disease symptoms. This result suggests that the failure of the ΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants with conidium inoculation are due to an inability to penetrate, rather than a defect in the ability to undergo invasive growth inside host cells. When rice sheath cells were inoculated with conidial suspension, the wild type and ΔMoypel2 mutant developed appressoria and exhibited subsequent invasive growth (Fig. 8C). In contrast, the ΔMoypel1 mutant was able to develop appressoria but unable to undergo appressorium-mediated penetration and invasive growth on rice sheath cells. A further investigation of pathogenic development of ΔMoypel1 and ΔΔMoypel1,2 mutants on rice sheath cells with treatment of exogenous cAMP had no effect on the defects of the two mutants in appressorium-mediated penetration (Fig. 8C). These results indicate that MoYPEL1 is essential for appressorium-mediated penetration, possibly, independent of cAMP-related pathway, and MoYPEL2 is necessary for the full virulence in M. oryzae. The complemented transformants of these mutants were successful in penetration and invasive growth.

Because the ΔMoypel1 mutant developed appressoria on rice sheath cells, but not on artificial hydrophobic surface (Fig. 7), we tested host factors inducing appressorium development by exogenously adding two known...
host inducers, a cutin monomer, 1,16-hexadecanediol and a primary alcohol, 1-octacosanol on both hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces. Compared to those of the wild type and \( \Delta \text{MoYPEL1} \), the \( \Delta \text{MoYPEL1} \) mutant was still defective in appressorium development in the treatment of 10\( \mu \)M 1,16-hexadecanediol (Fig. S3). However, 54.3% and 37% of conidia in \( \Delta \text{MoYPEL1} \) mutant developed appressoria on the hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces, respectively, with the treatment of 10 mM 1-octacosanol, suggesting that the \( \Delta \text{MoYPEL1} \) mutant is partially able to response to certain host signals such as a primary alcohol, 1-octacosanol present on host cells. These results indicate that \text{MoYPEL1} is involved in sensing certain physical and chemical signals such as hydrophobicity and a cutin monomer for appressorium development.
Discussion

Although the YPEL gene family exists in all eukaryotes, functional roles of YPEL genes have been mostly uncharacterized in eukaryotic organisms, including filamentous phytopathogenic fungi. This prompted us to elucidate the detailed roles of MoYPEL genes in the pathogenic development of the rice blast pathogen *M. oryzae*. In this study, filamentous fungi, unlike yeast, were revealed to contain two YPEL genes. Our phylogenetic analyses revealed the distant relatedness of fungal YPEL genes with the yeast *MOH1* gene, which is homologous to the human YPEL5 gene, raising the possibility that the fungal YPEL genes play different roles than the apoptotic role of *MOH1*. Given that each member of a gene family has evolved toward divergence in sequence and function, separate lineage formation of the fungal YPEL genes would support functional differences of the different members of the fungal YPEL gene family. Our findings support the conclusion that each member of the YPEL gene family plays distinctly different roles in *M. oryzae* development and pathogenicity.

The disparate subcellular localization patterns of MoYPELs-GFP fusion proteins are intriguing. Stable nuclear localization of the MoYPEL2 fusion protein during different developmental stages of *M. oryzae* was consistent with the presence of an NLS at the C-terminus. In addition, this expression pattern may suggest the constitutive expression of the MoYPEL2 protein at the translational level. Unlike MoYPEL2 localization, subcellular localization of the MoYPEL1-sGFP fusion protein was dynamic during appressorium development. MoYPEL1 proteins were initially distributed in both the cytoplasm and nuclei of conidia. Subsequent subcellular translocation of MoYPEL1 protein appears associated with appressorium development. During germ tube and appressorium formation, the MoYPEL1 protein translocated out of the cytoplasm and nucleus as assembled particles, which were maintained until mitosis. Given that YPEL5, which is homologous to MoYPEL1, is involved in cell cycle progression in monkey cells, it has been speculated that MoYPEL1 may be involved in the cell cycle during appressorium development, until further investigation shows MoYPEL1 to colocalize with cellular organelles in mitosis.

To characterize the functional roles of MoYPEL genes, we generated the knockout mutants ΔMoypel1, ΔMoypel2, and ΔΔMoypel1,2, which exhibit pleiotropic defects in morphogenesis and disease development in *M. oryzae*. Notably, the MoYPEL gene family was shown to be important especially for disease dissemination and development in *M. oryzae*. Conidium production was highly reduced in the ΔMoypel1 mutant, indicating the opposite regulation of MoYPEL genes in conidium production in *M. oryzae*. Interestingly, the double mutant ΔΔMoypel1,2 was similar to the ΔMoypel1 mutant in conidium production, suggesting that MoYPEL1 is downstream of MoYPEL2 in the proper regulation of conidium production. Detailed biochemical functions of YPEL proteins remain unknown in eukaryotes including fungi. However, a zinc-binding motif (two cysteine pairs) and NLS motifs of MoYPEL proteins imply potential roles in protein-protein interactions and transcriptional regulation. The nuclear localization of MoYPEL proteins and transcriptional changes of several conidiation and/or appressorium-related genes in MoYPEL mutants may reflect the involvement of MoYPEL proteins in transcription regulation. Interestingly, the MoYPEL genes were significantly changed in deletion mutants of signaling regulators. Unlike MoYPEL1, MoYPEL2 was significantly upregulated in the three mutants Δmac1, Δpmk1, and ΔΔMoPlc2, suggesting that the regulation of MoYPEL2 expression is associated with cAMP, MAPK, and Ca2+-dependent signaling pathways. However, a significant downregulation of the two MoYPELs in Δpmc1 and ΔΔMoPlc2 mutants may suggest the interrelated but different roles of MoPLC genes not only in MoYPEL expression but also development regulation in *M. oryzae*, as previously suggested.

The subcellular translocation of MoYPEL1 from nuclei and the cytoplasm during appressorium development may also reflect the interaction of MoYPEL1 with unknown protein(s) involved in MoYPEL1 translocation and function during appressorium development. In animal COS-7 cells, YPEL5 has been shown through coimmunoprecipitation to physically interact with Ran-binding protein in the microtubule-organizing center (RanBPM). Appressorium development is a key event for disease development in *M. oryzae*. MoYPEL genes were proven to be important for this process. The ΔMoypel1 mutant was defective in sensing the hydrophobic surface for appressorium development, which was restored by exogenous cAMP treatment, indicating that such defect could be bypassed with complemented appressorium development of the ΔMoypel1 mutant on both inductive and non-inductive surfaces. The fact that the ΔMoypel1 mutant formed appressoria on rice sheath cells, as not

| Strains       | Genotypes                          | Reference       |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| KJ201         | Wild type                          | This study      |
| ΔMoYPEL1      | MoYPEL1 deletion mutant of KJ201   |                 |
| MoYPEL1c      | Complemented transformant of ΔMoYPEL1 mutant |             |
| MoYPEL1:GFP   | MoYPEL1:GFP and H1:RFP tagged strain |               |
| ΔMoYPEL2      | MoYPEL2 deletion mutant of KJ201   |                 |
| MoYPEL2c      | Complemented transformant of ΔMoYPEL2 mutant |           |
| MoYPEL2:GFP   | MoYPEL2:GFP and H1:RFP tagged strain |               |
| ΔΔMoype1,2    | MoYPEL1 and MoYPEL2 double deletion mutant |          |
| Δmac1         | MAC1 deletion mutant of 70–15      | Choi & Dean24   |
| Δpmk1         | PMK1 deletion mutant of Guy11      | Xu & Hamer29    |
| ΔMoplc1       | MoPLC1 deletion mutant of 70–15    | Rho et al.22    |
| ΔMoplc2       | MoPLC2 deletion mutant of KJ201    | Choi et al.21   |
| ΔMoplc3       | MoPLC3 deletion mutant of KJ201    | Choi et al.21   |

Table 2. Various fungal strains used in this study.
observed on the hydrophobic surface, is indicative of its ability to recognize a host inducer of appressorium development. Our subsequent assays revealed that the $\Delta$Moypel1 mutant partially recognized a primary alcohol, 1-octacosanol, but not a cutin monomer, 1,16-hexadecanediol. Taken together, these results indicate that MoYPEL1 was involved in sensing chemical and physical signal cues for appressorium development in M. oryzae. Unlike the $\Delta$Moypel1 mutant, abnormal development of two appressoria per conidium in the $\Delta$Moypel2 mutant indicates the opposite role in appressorium development in M. oryzae. The phenotype of the $\Delta$Moypel2 mutant is similar to those of deletion mutants for MoPLC2 and MoPLC3, as shown in our previous study.31 Considering that the expression of MoYPEL genes is modulated in deletion mutants of MoPLC genes, functional roles of MoYPEL genes could be associated with Ca$^{2+}$-mediated regulation of appressorium development. As expected, the $\Delta$Moypel1 and $\Delta\Delta$Moypel1,2 mutants were non-pathogenic due to their inability to penetrate plant cell walls and initiate invasive growth. However, the $\Delta$Moypel2 mutant developed a slight reduction in disease symptoms following penetration and invasive growth in plant cells. Collectively, our study demonstrates that MoYPEL genes play important roles in growth, conidiation, and appressorium development in M. oryzae.

**Methods**

**Fungal strains and culture conditions.** *Magnaporthe oryzae* wild type strain KJ201 and fungal transformants generated in this study were routinely cultured on oatmeal agar plates (OMA; 5% oatmeal and 1.5% agar powder) or V8 agar plates (V8; 8% V8 juice and 1.5% agar powder) for 10 days at 25°C, under constant fluorescent light to promote conidiation. Mycelia used for genomic DNA and total RNA extraction were prepared by growing the relevant strains in liquid CM (0.6% yeast extract, 0.6% casamino acids, and 1% sucrose) for 3 days at 25°C with agitation (150 rpm), or directly obtained from TB3 agar medium (0.3% yeast extract, 0.3% casamino acids, 1% glucose, 20% sucrose, and 0.8% agar powder).
Preparation of protoplasts. *Magnaporthe oryzae* mycelia were cultured in liquid CM for 2–3 days and harvested with a bottle top filter, as described by Parsons et al. Briefly, mycelia were washed twice and resuspended in 20% sucrose. Lysing enzymes from *Trichoderma harzianum* (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added to the mycelial suspension (5 mg/ml). The protoplasts were separated from the mycelia by filtration through

---

**Figure 8.** Role of MoYPEL genes in *M. oryzae* pathogenicity. (A) Spray assay on rice seedlings. Conidial suspension (5 × 10^5 conidia ml^-1) of indicated strains was sprayed on 3-week-old rice plants. Photographs were taken 7 days post-inoculation. (B) Infection assay on rice leaf. Hyphal agar plugs (5 mm) and drops (20 µl) of conidial suspension were placed on leaves with or without wounds and incubated for 4 days. (C) Penetration and invasion assay on rice sheath cells. Drops of conidial suspension (2 × 10^4 conidia ml^-1) were inoculated with or without the addition of exogenous cAMP (5 µM) on rice sheath cells and incubated for 48 h. Scale bar = 50 µm.
two layers of Miracloth (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA, USA). After centrifugation of the protoplast suspension at 5,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, the protoplast pellet was twice washed with STC buffer (20% sucrose, 0.05 M Tris/Cl pH 8.0, and 0.05 M CaCl₂), and re-suspended after centrifugation with STC buffer to make a final concentration of 5 × 10⁷ protoplasts ml⁻¹.

**Bioinformatics tools.** The protein sequences were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI); homology search of protein sequences was performed using the BLAST algorithm. Domain architectures were drawn using InterProScan software. The phylogenetic tree was generated with a neighbor-joining method with 1,000 bootstrap replicates with MEGA7 software (http://www.megasoftware.net). Amino acid sequences were aligned using BioEdit Ver. 7.0.5 software (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html).

**Vector construction and fungal transformation.** To investigate the functional roles of *MoYPEL* genes, we generated the targeted gene knockout mutants ΔMoypel1 and ΔMoypel2 using homology-dependent gene replacement as illustrated in Figure S2. Targeted deletion constructs for *MoYPEL1* and *MoYPEL2* was made by a modified double joint PCR. Each fragment corresponding to 1.5 kb upstream and downstream of *MoYPEL1* and *MoYPEL2* was amplified with primers 5F/5R and 3F/3R (Supplementary Table S3), respectively. A 1.5 kb HPH cassette was amplified with the primers HPHF/HPHR from pBCATPH, which contains the hygromycin phosphotransferase gene. The three PCR products were fused by rounds of fusion PCR, and the final deletion construct was amplified with the nested primers NF/NR. Protoplast suspension (5 × 10⁷ protoplasts ml⁻¹) was used for a polyethylene glycol-mediated transformation of *M. oryzae* and *S. moelleri*. Briefly, the transformation was conducted by incubating 0.2 ml of protoplasts with DNA (2–3 ìg the deletion construct) for 30 min at room temperature followed by the addition of 1 ml of PTC (40% PEG, 20% sucrose, 0.05 M Tris/Cl pH 8.0, 0.05 M CaCl₂). The contents were mixed with 3 ml of TB3 (20% sucrose, 1% glucose, 0.3% yeast extract) regeneration medium. The mixture was plated TB3 agar plates supplemented with hygromycin (200 ppm). Hygromycin-resistant transformants were screened by PCR with the primers SF/SR. For the selected transformants, genomic DNA was extracted using the quick and safe method. Targeted deletion was confirmed with Southern blot hybridization and RT-PCR. For complementation, a genomic copy of the targeted gene was amplified from wild type genomic DNA using the primers cmF/cmR. The amplicon was used for co-transforming protoplasts of the deletion mutant with the pII99 vector containing a geneticin-resistance cassette. Complementation mutants were selected on media supplemented with geneticin (400 ppm) and screened for restoration of wild type phenotypes. The double knockout was amplified up- and downstream of *MoYPEL1* with the primers 5F/5R-Gen and 3F/3R, respectively. A 1.8 kb G418 cassette was amplified with the primers Gen_F/Gen_R from pII99, which contains the geneticin gene. The double knockout mutant ΔΔMoypel,1,2 was generated by co-transforming protoplasts of ΔMoypel2 with the deletion construct for *MoYPEL1* and a geneticin cassette for selection.

**Nucleic acid manipulation.** Fungal genomic DNA was used for PCR and Southern blot hybridization. Genomic DNA was isolated using two different methods. Genomic DNA for general experiments including restriction enzyme digestion, agarose gel separation, and DNA gel blotting was isolated according to a standard method. Genomic DNA was digested with a restriction enzyme, *SacI*, *NcoI* or *SmaI*, and blots were probed with a 500-base pair 5′-flanking cassette. DNA hybridization probes were labeled using Biotin-High Prime (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Chemiluminescent signal was detected using ChemiDoc XRS + system with Quantity One software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

**Localization of *MoYPEL*:sGFP fusion proteins.** The *MoYPEL*:sGFP fusion vectors were generated by overlap cloning. PCR products of 2.6 and 2.9 kb, which included the 2.0 kb of the 5′-flanking promoter region and the open reading frame region of the *MoYPEL1* and *MoYPEL2* genes, were amplified with the primers YPEL1_F/YPEL1_R and YPEL2_F/YPEL2_R (Supplementary Table S3), respectively, from wild type KY201 genomic DNA. A total of 5.2 kb of the sGFP gene including the HPH gene was amplified with the primers pG-YPEL1_F/pG-YPEL1_R and pG-YPEL2_F/pG-YPEL2_R from pGAPAPA. The YPEL1 PCR products containing the promoter region and the 5.2-kb region of pGAPAPA including the sGFP and HPH1 cassettes were cloned using the overlap DNA Cloning Kit (Elpis Biotech, Taejeon, Korea). Each *MoYPEL*sGFP fusion vector was introduced into the transformant expressing the histone H1:RFP fusion protein by transformation. Fluorescence microscopy images were captured with a Carl Zeiss Axio Image A2 microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscope Division, Oberkochen, Germany).

**RNA isolation and expression analysis.** For RT-PCR and qRT-PCR, total RNA was isolated from mycelia and conidia, respectively, using the Easy-Spin RNA extraction kit (InTRON Biotechnology, Seongnam, Korea). First-strand complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from total RNA using the SuperScript III First-strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with oligo (dT) primers. RT-PCR was performed in a 20-μl reaction containing 10 ng of cDNA, 2.5 mM dNTPs, 2 μl of 10 × PCR buffer, 1 μl (10 pmol) of each primer, and 1 unit of *Taq* polymerase. In all, 30 RT-PCR cycles were run on the Thermal Cycler Thermal Controller 2720 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The β-tubulin gene was included as a control. qRT-PCR reactions were performed as previously described. The qRT-PCR mixture (final volume 10 μl) comprised 5 μl of Real-Time PCR 2× Master Mix (Elpis, Daejeon, Korea), 3 μl of forward and reverse primers (10 pmol of each), and 2 μl of cDNA template (25 ng μl⁻¹). PCR was performed using a StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) as follows: 3 min at 95 °C (one cycle) followed by 15 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 60 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C (40 cycles). Primers for the transcript analyses of conidiation- and appressorium-related genes are listed in Table 1. To measure the relative abundance of each transcript, Ct values were normalized to those of β-tubulin (MGG_00604) and expressed as 2⁻ΔCT, where ΔCT = (Ct target gene − Ct, β-tubulin). Fold changes in expression during fungal conidiation were
calculated as $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$, where $-\Delta \Delta Ct = (Ct_{\text{target gene}} - C_{\beta\text{-tubulin}})_{\text{condiation}} - (Ct_{\beta\text{-tubulin}})_{\text{condiation}}$. qRT-PCR was performed with three independent pools of tissues in two sets of experimental replicates.

**Developmental phenotype assays.** Vegetative growth was measured on OMA, V8, and MM (3% sucrose, 0.2% NaNO₃, 0.1% KH₂PO₄, 0.05% MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.05% KCl, 0.01% trace element, and 1.5% agar powder) 6 days after inoculation in six-well plates, with three replicates. To measure septum distance, hyphae on CM agar plates were stained with CFW to visualize septa and observed under a light microscope and under UV. The ability to produce conidia was measured by counting the number of conidia in 7-day-old V8 agar six-well plates. Conidia were collected by flooding the plate with 5 ml of sterilized distilled water. Conidia were counted using a hemocytometer under a microscope. Conidial germination and appressorium formation were measured on coverslips (Waldemar Knittel Glasbearbeitungs, Braunschweig, Germany). Harvested conidia were filtered through two layers of Miracloth (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA, USA). Conidial suspensions (5 × 10⁴ conidia ml⁻¹) were placed on the hydrophobic side of the coverslips, placed in a moistened box, and incubated at 25°C. After germination, conidia were measured. The percentage of germinated conidia forming appressoria was determined by microscopic examination of at least 100 conidia in three independent experiments and in triplicate.

**Plant infection assays.** For the spray infection assay, conidia were harvested from 8- to 10-day-old cultures on OMA plates. In total, 10 ml of conidial suspension (5 × 10⁴ conidia ml⁻¹) containing 250 ppm Tween 20 was sprayed onto 3-week-old plants of susceptible rice (cv. Nakdonghyoe). The inoculated plants were kept in a dew chamber at 25°C for 24 h in the dark and moved to a growth chamber with a photoperiod of 16 h with fluorescent lights. Disease severity was measured at 7 days after inoculation. Assays for appressorium penetration and invasive growth were performed using rice sheath tissues as described previously. Briefly, conidial suspension (2 × 10⁴ conidia ml⁻¹) was dropped onto rice sheath tissue and incubated in a humid chamber at 25°C. Invasive infection of hyphae was observed after 48 h by light microscopy.
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