Abstract

This paper contributes the first evaluation of the quality of statistical machine translation (SMT) between Myanmar (Burmese) and Dawei (Tavoyan). We also developed a Myanmar-Dawei parallel corpus (around 9K sentences) based on the Myanmar language of ASEAN MT corpus. The 10 folds cross-validation experiments were carried out using three different statistical machine translation approaches: phrase-based, hierarchical phrase-based, and the operation sequence model (OSM). In addition, two types of segmentation were studied: word and syllable segmentation. The results show that all three statistical machine translation approaches give comparable BLEU and RIBES scores for both Myanmar to Dawei and Dawei to Myanmar machine translations. OSM approach achieved the highest BLEU and RIBES scores among three SMT approaches for both word and syllable segmentation.

1 Introduction

Our main motivation for this research is to investigate SMT performance for Myanmar (Burmese) and Dawei (Tavoyan) language pair. The Dawei (Tavoyan) language is closely related to Myanmar (Burmese) language and it is often considered as dialect of Myanmar language. The state-of-the-art techniques of statistical machine translation (SMT) (Koehn et al., 2003). This demonstrate good performance on translation of languages with relatively similar word orders (Koehn, 2005). To date, there have been some studies on the SMT of Myanmar language. (Thu et al., 2016) presented the first large-scale study of the translation of the Myanmar language. A total of 40 language pairs were used in the study that included languages both similar and fundamentally different from Myanmar. The results show that the hierarchical phrase-based SMT (HPBSMT) (Chiang, 2007) approach gave the highest translation quality in terms of both the BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and RIBES scores (Isozaki et al., 2010). Win Pa Pa et al (2016) (Pa et al., 2016) presented the first comparative study of five major machine translation approaches applied to low-resource languages. Phrase-based statistical machine translation (PB-SMT), HPBSMT, tree-to-string (T2S), string-to-tree (S2T) and operation sequence model (OSM) translation methods to the translation of limited quantities of travel domain data between English and Thai, Laos, Myanmar in both directions. The experimental results indicate that in terms of adequacy (as measured by BLEU score), the PBSMT approach produced the highest quality translations. Here, the annotated tree is used only for English language for S2T and T2S experiments. This is because there is no publicly available tree parser for Lao, Myanmar and Thai languages. According to our knowledge, there is no publicly available tree parser for both Dawei and Myanmar languages and thus we cannot apply S2T and T2S approaches for Myanmar-Dawei language pair. From their RIBES scores, we noticed that OSM approach achieved best machine translation performance for Myanmar to English translation. Moreover, we learned that OSM approach gave highest translation performance translation between Khmer (the official language of Cambodia) and twenty other languages, in both directions (Thu et al., 2015). Relating to Myanmar language dialects, Thazin Myint Oo et al. (2018) (Oo et al., 2018) contributed the first PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM machine translation evaluations between Myanmar and Rakhine. The experiment was used the 18K Myanmar-Rakhine parallel cor-
pus that constructed to analyze the behavior of a dialectal Myanmar-Rakhine machine translation. The results showed that higher BLEU (57.88 for Myanmar-Rakhine and 60.86 for Rakhine-Myanmar) and RIBES (0.9085 for Myanmar-Rakhine and 0.9239 for Rakhine-Myanmar) scores can be achieved for Rakhine-Myanmar language pair even with the limited data. Based on the experimental results of previous works, in this paper, the machine translation experiments between Myanmar and Dawei were carried out using PB-SMT, HPBSMT and OSM.

2 Related Work

Karima Meftouh et al. built PADIC (Parallel Arabic Dialect Corpus) corpus from scratch, then conducted experiments on cross dialect Arabic machine translation (Meftouh et al., 2015) PADIC is composed of dialects from both the Maghreb and the Middle-East. Some interesting results were achieved even with the limited corpora of 6,400 parallel sentences. Using SMT for dialectal varieties usually suffers from data sparsity, but combining word-level and character-level models can yield good results even with small training data by exploiting the relative proximity between the two varieties (Neubarth et al., 2016). Friedrich Neubarth et al. described a specific problem and its solution, arising with the translation between standard Austrian German and Viennese dialect. They used hybrid approach of rule-based preprocessing and PB-SMT for getting better performance. Pierre-Edouard Honnet et al. proposed solutions for the machine translation of a family of dialects, Swiss German, for which parallel corpora are scarce (Honnet et al., 2018). They presented three strategies for normalizing Swiss German input in order to address the regional and spelling diversity. The results show that character-based neural MT was the most promising one for text normalization and that in combination with PBSMT achieved 36 % BLEU score.

3 Dawei Language

The Tavoyan or Dawei dialect of Burmese is spoken in Dawei (Tavoy), in the coastal Tanintharyi Region of southern Myanmar (Burma). The large and quite distinct Dawei or Tavoyan variety is spoken in and around Dawei (formerly Tavoy) in Tanintharyi (formerly Tenasserim) by about 400,000 people; its stereotyped characteristic is the mesial /l/, found in earliest Bagan inscriptions but by merger there nearly 800 years ago; for further information see Pe Maung Tin (1933) and Okell (1995)(Okell, 1995). Dawei is a city of south-eastern Myanmar and is the capital of Tanintharyi Region, formerly known as the Tenasserim is bounded by Mon state to the north, Thailand to the east and south, and the Andaman sea to the west. Tavoyan retains /-l/- medial that has since merged into the /-j/- medial in standard Burmese and can form the following consonant clusters: /gl-, /kl-, /klh-, /bl-, /pl-, /pɭ-/, /ml-, /mɭ-/. Examples include “ဉွန်” (/mlè → Standard Burmese /mjè/) for “ground” and “ကြီး” (kláʊɴ → Standard Burmese tʃáʊɴ/) for “school”. [4] Also, voicing only with unaspirated consonants, whereas in standard Burmese, voicing can occur with both aspirated and unaspirated consonants. Also, there are many loan words from Malay and Thai not found in Standard Burmese. An example is the word for goat, which is hseit “ဆိတ်” in Standard Burmese but be “ဘဲ” in Tavoyan. In the Tavoyan dialect, terms of endearment, as well as family terms, are considerably different from Standard Burmese. For instance, the terms for “son” and “daughter” are “ဖစ္း” (pʰa̰ ū/) and “မိစု” (mḭōu/) respectively. Moreover, the honorific “ဗို” (Naung) is used in lieu of “ဗို” (Maung) for young males. Another evidence of “Dawei” is “Dhommarazaka” pogoda inscription of Bagan period. It was inscription of Bagan period. It was inscribed in AD 1196 during the region of Bagan King Narapatisithu (AD 1174-1201) . In this inscription line 6 to 19, when the demarcation of Bagan is mentioned “Taung-Kar-Htawei” (up to Htawe to the south) and “Taninthaye” (Tanintharyi) are including. Therefore, the name of “Dawei” appeared particularly since Bagan period, at the time of the first Myanmar Empire. (Dawei was established at Myanmar year 1116) is actually meant that the present name Dawei appears as the name of the settlers later and the original name of the city is Tharyarwady, which was established at Myanmar year 1116 according to the saying. As “Dawei” nationality deserves as one nationalist in our country. Actually, Dawei region is a place where local people lived since very ancient Stone
Age. After that, Stone Age, Bronze Age and Iron Age culture developed. Moreover, as there has sound evidence of Thargara ancient city, contemporay to Phu Period, the Dawei people, can be assumed that they are one nationality of high culture in Myanmar. Dawei(Tavoyan) usage and vocabularies is divided into three main groups. The first one is using Myanmar vocabularies with Dawei speech, the second is the vocabularies same with Myanmar vocabularies and using isolated Dawei words and vocabularies. In Myanmar word (“ဓာ ု , ဟိ ု ”) (“here, there”) is used “သယ်” (“here”) and “ေဟာက်” (“there”) in Dawei language. For example Dawei word “သယ်မျ ုး” is same as “ဒီလို” in Myanmar language and “ေဟာက်မျ ုး” means “ဟိ ု လို” in Myanmar language. The question words “နည်း (သနည်း), လဲ (သလဲ)” are used in Myanmar language, similarly “ေလာ,ေလာ်” is used instead of “လား (သလား)” in Dawei language. Moreover, “ဘာလဲ” (what) and “ဘာြဖစ်တာလဲ” is same with “ြဖာနူး” and “ြဖာြဖစ်နူး” in Dawei usage. In negative sense of Myanmar word “ဘူး” is not usually used in Dawei word. Thenegative Dawei words are “ဟ ှ (ရ)” or “ဟန်း” (“No” in English). Myanmar adverb word “သိပ်, အလွန်, အလွန့်အလွန်” (very, extremely) is used as “ရရာ, ရမိရရာ, ြဗင်း”. Some more example of Dawei vocabularies are “ဝန်းရှင်း” (“ကိ ု ယ်၀န်ေဆာင်” in Myanmar language, “pregnant” in English), “ေကာန်သား” (“ေကာင်ေလး” in Myanmar language, “boy” in English), “ဝယ်သား” (“ေကာင်မေလး” in Myanmar language, “girl” in English), “ကပ်” (“ပိ ု က်ဆ ံ ” in Myanmar language, “money” in English), “ေချာ ့-က်တိ ု အိ ု းသီး” (“ကျွဲေကာသီး” in Myanmar language, “pomelo” in English) and “သစ်ခတ်ကလား” (“ကျားသစ်” in Myanmar language, “leopard” in English). The followings are some example parallel sentences of Myanmar (my) and Dawei(dw):

```
dw: သယ်၀ယ်သား က လ ှ ြဗင်း ဟ ှ ယ် ။  
my: ဒီေကာင်မေလး က လ ှ လွန်း တယ် ။  
(The girl is so beautiful” in English)
```

```
dw: လတ်ဖတ်ရယ် က ရှုိ ြဗင်း ဟ ှ ယ် ။  
my: လက်ဖက်ရည် က ချ ိ ု လွန်း တယ် ။  
(The tea is so sweet” in English)
```

```
dw: ေကာန်သား ေကလာန ်း မ ှ န်မ ှ န် သွား ဟ ှ ယ် ။  
my: ေကာင်ေလး ေကျာင်း မ ှ န်မ ှ န် တက် တယ် ။  
(The boy goes to school regularly” in English)
```

4 Methodology

In this section, we describe the methodology used in the machine translation experiments for this paper.

4.1 Phrase-Based Statistical Machine Translation

A PBSMT translation model is based on phrasal units (Koehn et al., 2003). Here, a phrase is simply a contiguous sequence of words and generally, not a linguistically motivated phrase. A phrase-based translation model typically gives better translation performance than word-based models. We can describe a simple phrase-based translation model consisting of phrase-pair probabilities extracted from corpus and a basic reordering model, and an algorithm to extract the phrases to build a phrase-table (Specia, 2011). The phrase translation model is based on noisy channel model. To find best translation $\hat{e}$ that maximizes the translation probability $P(e|f)$ given the source sentences; mathematically. Here, the source language is French and the target language is an English. The translation of a French sentence into an English sentence is modeled as equation 1.

$$\hat{e} = \text{argmax}_e P(e|f) \quad (1)$$

Applying the Bayes’ rule, we can factorized the into three parts.

$$P(e|f) = \frac{P(e)}{P(f)} P(f|e) \quad (2)$$

The final mathematical formulation of phrase-based model is as follows:

$$\text{argmax}_e P(e|f) = \text{argmax}_e P(f|e)P(e) \quad (3)$$

We note that denominator $P(f)$ can be dropped because for all translations the probability of the source sentence remains the same. The $P(e|f)$ variable can be viewed as the bilingual dictionary with probabilities attached to each entry to the dictionary (phrase table). The $P(e)$ variable governs the grammaticality of the translation and we model it using n-gram language model under the PBMT paradigm.
4.2 Hierarchical Phrase-Based Statistical Machine Translation

The hierarchical phrase-based SMT approach is a model based on synchronous context-free grammar (Specia, 2011). The model is able to be learned from a corpus of unannotated parallel text. The advantage this technique offers over the phrase-based approach is that the hierarchical structure is able to represent the word re-ordering process. The re-ordering is represented explicitly rather than encoded into a lexicalized re-ordering model (commonly used in purely phrase-based approaches). This makes the approach particularly applicable to language pairs that require long-distance re-ordering during the translation process (Braune et al., 2012). Some examples of hierarchical phrase based grammar between Dawei and Myanmar phrases are shown in Figure 1.

4.3 Operation Sequence Model

The operation sequence model that can combines the benefits of two state-of-the-art SMT frameworks named n-gram-based SMT and phrase-based SMT. This model simultaneously generate source and target units and does not have spurious ambiguity that is based on minimal translation units (Durrani et al., 2011) (Durrani et al., 2015). It is a bilingual language model that also integrates reordering information. OSM motivates better reordering mechanism that uniformly handles local and non-local reordering and strong coupling of lexical generation and reordering. It means that OSM can handle both short and long distance reordering. The operation types are such as generate, insert gap, jump back and jump forward which perform the actual reordering. The following shows an example translation process of English sentence “Please sit here” into Myanmar language with the OSM.

Source: Please sit here
Target: ေကျးဇူးြုပြပီး ဒီမှာထိုင်

Operation 1: Generate (Please, ေကျးဇူးြုပြပီး)
Operation 2: Insert Gap
Operation 3: Generate (here, ဒီမှာထိုင်)
Operation 4: Jump Back (1)
Operation 5: Generate (sit, ေကျးဇူးြုပြပီး)

5 Experiment

5.1 Corpus Statistics

We used 9,000 Myanmar sentences (without name entity tags) of the ASEAN-MT Parallel Corpus (Prachya and Thepchai, 2013), which is a parallel corpus in the travel domain. It contains six main categories and they are people (greeting, introduction and communication), survival (transportation, accommodation and finance), food (food, beverage and restaurant), fun (recreation, traveling, shopping and nightlife), resource (number, time and accuracy), special needs (emergency and health). Manual Translation into Rakhine Language was done by native Rakhine students from two Myanmar universities and the translated corpus was checked by the editor of Rakhine newspaper. Word segmentation for Rakhine was done manually. We held 10-fold cross-validation experiments and used 6,883 to 6,893 sentences for training, 1,212 to 1,217 sentences for development and 890 to 922 sentences for evaluation respectively.

5.2 Word Segmentation

In both Myanmar and Dawei text, spaces are used for separating phrases for easier reading. It is not strictly necessary, and these spaces are rarely used in short sentences. There are no clear rules for using spaces, and thus spaces may (or may not) be inserted between words, phrases, and even between a root words and their affixes. Although Myanmar sentences of ASEAN-MT corpus is already segmented, we have to consider some rules for manual word segmentation of
Dawei sentences. We defined Dawei “word” to be meaningful units and affix, root word and suffix(s) are separated such as “စား ဟ ှ ယ်”, “စားပီးဟ ှ ယ်”, “စား ဖိ ု ့ဟ ှ ယ်”. Here, “စား” (“eat” in English) is a root word and the others are suffixes for past and future tenses. Similar to Myanmar language, Dawei plural nouns are identified by following particle. We also put a space between noun and the following particle, for example a Dawei word “ဇွန်သားေဒ” (shrimp) is segmented as two words “ဇွန်သား” and the particle “ေဒ”. In Dawei grammar, particles describe the type of noun, and used after number or text number. For example, a Dawei word “ရ ှ ီးခိ ု သီးတစ်လ ုံ း” (“papaya” in English) is segmented as “ရ ှ ီးခိ ု သီး တစ် လ ုံ း”. In our manual word segmentation rules, compound nouns are considered as one word and thus, a Dawei compound word “ကပ် အိတ်” (“money” + “bag” in English) is written as one word “ကပ် အိတ်” (“wallet” in English). Dawei adverb words such as “ရရာ, ရမိရရာ” (“very” in English), “ြဗင်း” (“extremely” in English) are also considered as one word. The following is an example of word segmentation for a Dawei sentence in our corpus and the meaning is “Shrimps are very rare and bought fishes.”

Unsegmented Dawei sentence:

dw: ဇွန်သားေဒရရာရ ှ ားဟ ှ ယ်၊ငါးေဗာင်းသားဘဲ့ ဝယ်လာရဟ ှ ယ်။

Word Segmented Dawei sentence:

dw: ဇွန်သား ေဒ ရရာ ရ ှ ား ဟ ှ ယ် ၊ ငါးေဗာင်းသား ဘဲ့ ဝယ် လာ ရ ှ ယ် ዋ.

In this example, “ဇွန်သားေဒ” (shrimps) is segmented as two words “ဇွန်သား” and the particle “ေဒ”. Dawei adverb words such as “ရရာ” (“rare” in English) is also considered as one word and a root word “ဝယ်” and the suffix “လာရဟ ှ ယ်” are also segmented as two words “ဝယ် လာရဟ ှ ယ်” (“bought” in English)

### 5.3 Syllable Segmentation

Generally, Myanmar words are composed of multiple syllables, and most of the syllables are composed of more than one character. Syllables are composed of Myanmar words. If we only focus on consonant-based syllables, the structure of the syllable can be described with Backus normal form (BNF) as follows:

\[
\text{Syllable} := \text{CMW}[\text{CK}][\text{D}]
\]

Here, C stands for consonants, M for medials, V for vowel, K for vowel killer character, and D for diacritic characters. Myanmar syllable segmentation can be done with a rule-based approach, finite state automation (FSA) or regular expressions (RE) (https://github.com/ye-kyawthu/sylbreak). The visualization of the syllable breaking based on the RE for Myanmar language is as shown in Figure 2. In our experiments, we used RE based Myanmar syllable segmentation tool named “sylbreak”. The following is an example of syllable segmentation for a Dawei sentence in our corpus and the meaning is “You are cute.”

Unsegmented Dawei sentence:

dw: နန်ရ ှ စ်ဇရာကွန်းဇမား။

Syllable segmented Dawei sentence:

dw: နန် ရ ှ စ် ဇ ရာ ကွန်း ဇ မား ዋ.

### 5.4 Moses SMT System

We used the PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM system provided by the Moses toolkit (Koehn et al., 2007) for training the PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM statistical machine translation systems. The word segmented source language was aligned with the word segmented target language using GIZA++
| src-tgt | PBSMT         | HPBSMT         | OSM           |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| dw-my  | 29.143 (0.82286) | 29.09 (0.82203) | **29.563 (0.82369)** |
| my-dw  | 21.575 (0.62624) | 21.697 (0.78651) | **21.701 (0.78667)** |

Table 1: Average BLEU and RIBES scores for PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM using word segmentation

| src-tgt | PBSMT         | HPBSMT         | OSM           |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| dw-my  | 60.788 (0.94613) | 60.472 (0.94476) | **63.221 (0.94825)** |
| my-dw  | 44.8 (0.91601) | 45.441 (0.91496) | **45.584 (0.91550)** |

Table 2: Average BLEU and RIBES scores for PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM using Syllable Segmentation

(Och and Ney, 2000). The alignment was symmetrize by grow-diag-final and heuristic [1]. The lexicalized reordering model was trained with the msd-bidirectional-fe option (Tillmann, 2004). We use KenLM (Heafield, 2011) for training the 5-gram language model with modified Kneser-Ney discounting (Chen and Goodman, 1996). Minimum error rate training (MERT) (Och, 2003) was used to tune the decoder parameters and the decoding was done using the Moses decoder (version 2.1.1). We used default settings of Moses for all experiments.

6 Evaluation

We used two automatic criteria for the evaluation of the machine translation output. One was the de facto standard automatic evaluation metric Bilingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU) (Papineni et al., 2002) and the other was the Rank-based Intuitive Bilingual Evaluation Measure (RIBES) (Isozaki et al., 2010). The BLEU score measures the precision of n-gram (over all n ≤ 4 in our case) with respect to a reference translation with a penalty for short translations (Papineni et al., 2002). Intuitively, the BLEU score measures the adequacy of the translation and large BLEU scores are better. RIBES is an automatic evaluation metric based on rank correlation coefficients modified with precision and special care is paid to word order of the translation results. The RIBES score is suitable for distance language pairs such as Myanmar and English. Large RIBES scores are better.

7 Results and Discussion

The BLEU and RIBES score results for machine translation experiments with PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM are shown in Table 1. Bold numbers indicate the highest scores among three SMT approaches. The RIBES scores are inside the round brackets. Here, “my” stands for Myanmar, “dw” stands for Dawei, “src” stands for source language and “tgt” stands for target language respectively. The BLEU and RIBES score results for machine translation experiments with PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM using word level segmentation between Myanmar and Dawei languages are shown in Table 1. From the results, OSM method achieved the highest BLEU and RIBES score for both Myanmar-Dawei and Dawei-Myanmar machine translations. Interestingly, the BLEU and RIBES score of all three methods are comparable performance. Our results with current parallel corpus indicate that Dawei to Myanmar machine translation is better performance (around 8 BLEU and 0.03 RIBES scores higher) than Myanmar to Dawei translation direction. The results of BLEU and RIBES scores of syllable segmentation between Myanmar and Dawei languages are shown in Table 2. Our results with syllable segmentation also indicate that Dawei to Myanmar machine translation is better performance (around 17 BLEU and 0.03 RIBES score higher) than Myanmar to Dawei translation direction. As we expected, generally, machine translation performance of all three SMT approaches between Myanmar and Dawei languages with limited parallel corpus achieved suitable scores for both BLEU and RIBES. The reason is that as we mentioned in Section 3, the two languages, Myanmar and Dawei are close languages. We assume that long distance reordering is relatively rare and only local reordering is enough for the Myanmar-Dawei language pair. We can expect that we can increase these scores higher than current results by increasing the corpus size in the near future.

8 Error Analysis

We also used the SCLITE (score speech recognition system output) program from the NIST scor-
Table 3: The top 15 confusion pairs of OSM model for Dawei-Myanmar machine translation with word segmentation

| Freq | Reference ==› Hypothesis |
|------|--------------------------|
| 16   | သူ ==› ကြာ ဦး |
| 14   | သူမ ==› ကြာဦး |
| 9    | သူမ ==› သူ မင်း ကိ ု မလား |
| 8    | သူ ==› သူ |
| 7    | သူမ ==› သူ |
| 5    | သူ ==› သူ |
| 5    | သူမ ==› သူ |
| 4    | သူ ==› သူ |
| 4    | သူ ==› သူ |
| 4    | သူ ==› သူ |

Table 3: The top 15 confusion pairs of OSM model for Dawei-Myanmar machine translation with word segmentation

ing toolkit SCTK version 2.4.10 for making dynamic programming based alignments between reference and hypothesis strings for detail analysis on translation errors. From our studies, the top 15 confusion matrix for Dawei-Myanmar OSM machine translation (with word segmentation) can be seen in Table 3. We also made manual error analysis on translated outputs of the best OSM model, and we found that dominant errors are different in sentence level. We will introduce four frequent error patterns and they are “Male-Female Vocabulary Error”, “Paraphrasing Error”, “Word Segmentation Error” and “Negative Error”. The followings are some example translation mistakes for each category:

### Male-Female Vocabulary Error ###

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 3 2 0 1  Eval: I S

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 3 2 0 1  Eval: I S

### Negative Error ###

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 3 2 0 1  Eval: D

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 3 2 0 1  Eval: I S

### Paraphrasing Error ###

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 4 1 0 0  Eval: S

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 4 1 0 0  Eval: S

### Word Segmentation Error ###

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 3 2 0 0  Eval: S

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 3 2 0 0  Eval: S

### Negative Error ###

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 5 0 1 0  Eval: D

**SOURCE:** သူမ မင်းကိ ု မလား ။
**Scores:** (#C #S #D #I) 5 0 1 0  Eval: D
Where “SOURCE” is the test sentence of Dawei language, “Scores” are operation scores of the Edit Distance (Miller et al., 2009), “C” is the number of correct words, “S” is the number of substitutions, “D” is the number of deletions, “I” is the number of insertions, “REF” for reference (i.e. Myanmar sentence), “HYP” for hypothesis and “Eval” is the ordered sequence of edit operations.

We found that translation error of male to female vocabulary and vice versa happen between Dawei-Myanmar translation such as “သူမ” (“she” in English) to “သူ” (“he” in English), “သူကိုယ်သူမ” (“herself” in English) to “သူကိုယ်သူ” (“himself” in English). The second category, paraphrasing errors are really interesting and it is also proved that two language are similar. In our paraphrasing error examples, the meanings of all reference and hypothesis pairs are the same. Some errors are just the difference between the formal (polite form) and informal written form such as “ကားေမာင်း” (polite form of ending phrase “ြကတယ်” in Myanmar conversation) and “ကားေမာင်း”. One of the possible reasons for the word segmentation errors is inconsistent word segmentation of human translators such as “ကားေမာင်း” and “ကား ေမာင်း” (“drive a car” in English). We also found that one more frequent translation errors between Dawei-Myanmar and Myanmar-Daweai machine translation is changing into negative form (e.g. “ြကတယ်” (“to answer” in English) and “ြကတယ်” (“no answer” in English).

9 Conclusion

This paper contributes the first PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM machine translation evaluations from Myanmar to Dawei and Dawei to Myanmar. We used the 9K Myanmar-Daweai parallel corpus that we constructed to analyze the behavior of a dialectal Myanmar-Daweai machine translation. We also investigated two types of segmentation schemes (word segmentation and syllable segmentation). We showed that well-grounded BLEU and RIBES scores can be achieved for Dawei-Myanmar language pair even with the limited data. In the near future we plan to test PBSMT, HPBSMT and OSM models with other Myanmar dialect languages such as Myeik (Beik).
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