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Recombinant amyloid beta-peptide production by coexpression with an affibody ligand
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Abstract

Background: Oligomeric and fibrillar aggregates of the amyloid β-peptide (Aβ) have been implicated in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The characterization of Aβ assemblies is essential for the elucidation of the mechanisms of Aβ neurotoxicity, but requires large quantities of pure peptide. Here we describe a novel approach to the recombinant production of Aβ. The method is based on the coexpression of the affibody protein ZAβ3, a selected affinity ligand derived from the Z domain three-helix bundle scaffold. ZAβ3 binds to the amyloidogenic central and C-terminal part of Aβ with nanomolar affinity and consequently inhibits aggregation.

Results: Coexpression of ZAβ3 affords the overexpression of both major Aβ isoforms, Aβ(1–40) and Aβ(1–42), yielding 4 or 3 mg, respectively, of pure 15N-labeled peptide per liter of culture. The method does not rely on a protein-fusion or -tag and thus does not require a cleavage reaction. The purified peptides were characterized by NMR, circular dichroism, SDS-PAGE and size exclusion chromatography, and their aggregation propensities were assessed by thioflavin T fluorescence and electron microscopy. The data coincide with those reported previously for monomeric, largely unstructured Aβ. ZAβ3 coexpression moreover permits the recombinant production of Aβ(1–42) carrying the Arctic (E22G) mutation, which causes early onset familial AD. Aβ(1–42)E22G is obtained in predominantly monomeric form and suitable, e.g., for NMR studies.

Conclusion: The coexpression of an engineered aggregation-inhibiting binding protein offers a novel route to the recombinant production of amyloidogenic Aβ peptides that can be advantageously employed to study the molecular basis of AD. The presented expression system is the first for which expression and purification of the aggregation-prone Arctic variant (E22G) of Aβ(1–42) is reported.
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**Background**

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disorder, currently afflicting about 20 million people worldwide, with increasing prevalence in an ageing society [1]. AD is characterized by large extracellular deposits of senile plaques in the brain, consisting of aggregated, fibrillar amyloid β-peptide (Aβ) [2,3]. Extensive evidence supports a critical role of soluble intermediary Aβ oligomers in the induction of synapse dysfunction and neurodegeneration [3-6]. Aβ originates from proteolytic processing of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) [7]. APP is cleaved by the membrane associated β- and γ-secretases that generate a number of differently sized peptides, of which Aβ(1–40) and Aβ(1–42) are most abundant. Aβ(1–42) is considerably more neurotoxic than Aβ(1–40), in agreement with its increased hydrophobicity and tendency to aggregate. Mutations within Aβ are associated with familial AD and cerebral amyloid angiopathy. One example is the Arctic (E22G) mutation, which entails enhanced Aβ protofibril formation and fibrillation and causes typical AD neuropathology [8,9].

Despite the fact that much effort has been put into Aβ-related research, many questions still need to be answered. Most importantly, the precise mechanisms of Aβ toxicity remain to be understood [3]. In this context, an inventory of oligomeric and protofibrillar Aβ species would be desirable, detailing their biophysical properties and contributions to neurodegeneration. The extension and refinement of existing structural data on Aβ oligomers and fibrils [10-12] would help to derive structure-toxicity relationships and thus support AD drug discovery efforts. The accessibility of large amounts of Aβ peptide is a prerequisite for these studies.

The majority of research using Aβ peptides within the areas of biochemistry, biophysics and cell biology is conducted with synthetic peptides. An alternative to chemical synthesis is recombinant expression in *Escherichia coli*, which is advantageous because of its low cost, the fast growth to high expression levels and the availability of established cloning and expression protocols [13]. Recombinant expression is particularly attractive for structural biology projects, as it enables the production of milligram quantities of isotope or seleno-methionine labeled peptide for structure determination by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy or X-ray crystallography at reasonable cost.

Prokaryotic expression and purification of highly amyloidogenic peptides such as Aβ has proven difficult due to their small size, their tendency to aggregate and the toxicity of the formed aggregates [14]. Protein fusions, which might protect from proteolysis and enhance solubility, are typically used to tackle these problems [13,15,16]. The expression of Aβ(1–40) or Aβ(1–42) fused to segments of a surface protein from the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* [17], maltose binding protein [18], ubiquitin [19], GroES-ubiquitin [20], trigger factor-ubiquitin [21], and hen egg white lysozyme [22] has been reported. In order to obtain Aβ unaffected by the tag, its removal by site specific proteolysis is an inevitable additional purification step in all of these cases. The proteolytic cleavage reaction is cost-intensive, requires time-consuming optimization and necessitates post-reaction clean-up, which further reduces the attainable yield.

An alternative method to increase the yield of troublesome target proteins is coexpression with proteins that stabilize the target, assist with its folding, or prevent its aggregation [23]. This technique has permitted heterologous expression of macromolecular complexes, whose components could not be obtained individually [24-27]. Co-overexpression of molecular chaperones can increase the yield of targets to varying extents [28,29].

Here we present a novel approach to the recombinant production of amyloidogenic Aβ peptides. Aβ is obtained by coexpression with an engineered binding protein that specifically binds and stabilizes the monomeric peptide. The binding protein, termed ZAβ3, belongs to the class of affibody affinity ligands [30,31]. Affibody proteins have found applications in biotechnology, biochemical assays, disease diagnosis and therapy [31]. They are selected by phage display from libraries based on the 58 amino acid three-helix bundle scaffold of the Z domain derived from *Staphylococcus* protein A [32]. ZAβ3 is a disulfide-linked homodimer of affibody subunits that binds monomeric Aβ with nanomolar affinity [33] (Figure 1). In contrast to the majority of Aβ-antibodies [34], ZAβ3 targets the highly amyloidogenic central and C-terminal part of Aβ (residues 17–36). This region adopts a β-hairpin conformation upon binding and is buried within a hydrophobic tunnel-like cavity formed by ZAβ3. Consequently, Aβ oligomerization and fibrillation are inhibited by stoichiometric concentrations of ZAβ3 [33].

The concept of producing Aβ by recombinant coexpression with ZAβ3 offers several potential advantages: (i) Binding of the coexpressed ZAβ3 to the amyloidogenic sequence region of Aβ could retain the peptide in a monomeric state during expression and the initial purification steps, thereby preventing any cell toxicity exerted by aggregates and facilitating purification. (ii) Aβ is largely unfolded in its unbound monomeric state [35], and the complex might therefore protect the peptide from degradation. (iii) Both coexpression of auxiliary proteins and protein fusions impose additional metabolic burden on host cells, but the small size of the affibody scaffold limits...
this burden, which is especially important when short peptides such as Aβ are to be expressed.

In the present implementation of the Aβ:ZAB3 coexpression system, Aβ is expressed tag-less, offering a particularly facile route to obtain pure peptide. As a consequence, a methionine resulting from the obligatory translation start codon is obtained N-terminal of Aβ. The resulting peptide will thus be referred to as MAβ below.

We report the expression and purification of 15N-labeled MAβ(1–40), MAβ(1–42) and MAβ(1–42)E22G by ZAB3 coexpression. The method yields pure, fibrillation-competent, monomeric peptides with conformational properties and aggregation propensities indistinguishable from those of the respective Aβ peptides.

Results

Expression and purification of MAβ peptides

A double cistronic coexpression vector based on the bacterial expression vector pACYCDuet-1 (Novagen) was constructed. The coexpression vector contains the genes for MAβ [MAβ(1–40), MAβ(1–42), or MAβ(1–42)E22G] and (His)_6-tagged ZAB3 in the following order: T7 promoter-1 – MAβ – T7 promoter-2 – (His)_6ZAB3 – T7 terminator. MAβ is effectively overexpressed and obtained in the soluble fraction of cell lysates, indicating that its complex with the disulfide-linked ZAB3 dimer is formed and stable in the E. coli cytosol (Figure 2, lane 2).

In the present system, the auxiliary protein ZAB3 is (His)_6-tagged but not the target peptide, permitting the purification of tag-free MAβ without a cleavage reaction. MAβ is captured in complex with ZAB3 by immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) (Figure 2, lane 4), demonstrating that the MAβ:ZAB3 complex remains stable during the initial purification steps. Resonances in the 15N heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) NMR spectrum of the coexpressed MAβ(1–40):ZAB3 complex coincide with those of the native Aβ(1–40):ZAB3 complex, indicating that their structures are identical (Figure 3). MAβ is not detected in the IMAC wash fraction (Fig. 2, lane 3). Dissociation of the complex during IMAC is consequently not limiting the peptide yield.

Separation of the MAβ:ZAB3 complex is achieved by IMAC under denaturing conditions. Pure monomeric MAβ is subsequently obtained by application of the denatured peptide to size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using native running buffer, e.g., 20 mM sodium phosphate, 50 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2.
SDS-PAGE shows a single band corresponding to the monomeric peptide in the case of purified MAβ(1–40), whereas two additional bands at higher molecular weight, approximately at 12 and 15 kDa, are observed for MAβ(1–42) (Figure 2). These bands have been observed before and have been attributed to the SDS-induced formation of Aβ(1–42) oligomers [4,36]. Mass spectrometry confirmed that the bands consist of MAβ(1–42).

The peptide yield from a 1 L culture was 4 mg of MAβ(1–40) or 3 mg of MAβ(1–42). Purification of Z Aβ from an MAβ(1–40) coexpression culture gave 23 mg of the dimeric protein per 1 L of culture, indicating that ~60% of the expressed Z Aβ was in complex with MAβ(1–40), whereas the rest remained unbound.

Comparison of MAβ with Aβ

Several different techniques were used to establish that MAβ and Aβ possess identical conformational properties and aggregation propensities. MAβ and Aβ are indistinguishable by SDS-PAGE (Figure 4A). The extent of SDS-induced oligomer formation of MAβ(1–42) is the same as for Aβ(1–42) and increases with temperature.

In SEC, which separates molecules based on their hydrodynamic volume, very similar elution volumes are

---

**Figure 3**

**NMR spectroscopy of purified MAβ:Z Aβ3 complex.** (A) 15N HSQC NMR spectrum of the 15N-labeled MAβ(1–40):Z Aβ3 complex. The complex was obtained from coexpression and purified by IMAC (elution of the intact complex with 150 mM imidazole) and SEC. (B)-(D) Comparison of the glycine region (B; boxed area in panel A) with corresponding regions of 15N HSQC spectra of Aβ(1–40):Z Aβ3 samples in which either Z Aβ3 (C) or Aβ(1–40) (D) are 15N-labeled. Sequential assignments of glycine resonances for the two Z Aβ3 subunits and bound Aβ(1–40) are given in panels C and D, respectively. The occurrence of resonances at identical chemical shifts in complexes of Z Aβ3 with recombinant MAβ(1–40) and native Aβ(1–40) peptides indicates that the structures of the two complexes are the same. NMR was measured at 25°C at 800 MHz (A, B and D) or 900 MHz (C) on samples containing 160 μM (A, B), 450 μM (C) or 400 μM (D) complex in 20 mM potassium (A, B) or sodium (C, D) phosphate, with 0.1% azide and 10% D2O at pH 7.2.
obtained for MAβ and Aβ (Figure 4B). The elution volumes correspond to a molecular weight of ~11 kDa on a scale calibrated with globular protein standards, in agreement with previous SEC studies [37]. The high apparent molecular weight (the nominal weights of the Aβ and MAβ peptides are in the range of 4.3 to 4.7 kDa) is expected for a peptide that is disordered and consequently has a larger hydrodynamic volume than a globular protein of the same molecular weight.

The secondary structure content was analyzed by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy (Figure 4C). Far-UV CD spectra of MAβ conformed to those of Aβ, featuring a minimum at ~198 nm that is characteristic of the predominantly random coil conformation detected in non-aggregated Aβ peptides [38,39].

The 15N HSQC NMR spectra of MAβ strongly resemble those of Aβ, respectively (Figure 5). The large majority of Aβ backbone amide resonances are recovered at identical positions in the MAβ spectra. Differences in chemical shifts are only observed for residues N-terminal of Arg5. Such local shift changes are a mandatory consequence of the modification of the peptide sequence, in this case with the N-terminal methionine, and reflect local changes in the electronic environment. However, the chemical shift differences do not demonstrate any change in peptide conformation. The 15N HSQC spectra prove that Met35 is unoxidized, by comparison with reference spectra for Aβ [35], in agreement with the mass spectrometry results.

Figure 4
Comparison of MAβ with Aβ. (A) SDS-PAGE using 16.5% Tris-Tricine gels at 21°C (lanes 1–4) or 4°C (lanes 5–8). Lanes 1 and 5: MAβ(1–40), 2 and 6: Aβ(1–40), 3 and 7: MAβ(1–42), 4 and 8: Aβ(1–42). Unlabeled lanes contain marker. Samples were incubated for 2 min at 95°C prior to loading. (B) Elution profiles of analytical SEC of MAβ(1–40) (red line), Aβ(1–40) (red circles), MAβ(1–42) (black line), Aβ(1–42) (black circles). (C) Far-UV CD spectra of MAβ(1–40) (red line), Aβ(1–40) (red circles), MAβ(1–42) (black line), Aβ(1–42) (black circles). (D) Kinetics of amyloid fibril formation of MAβ(1–42) and Aβ(1–42) monitored by thioflavin T fluorescence. The average of 3 time traces is shown with error bars representing the maximal and minimal values. The peptides were used at 25 μM in 20 mM sodium phosphate, 50 mM sodium chloride, 10 μM thioflavin T, pH 7.2. Temperature, 37°C. (E) and (F) Electron micrographs of amyloid fibrils formed by MAβ(1–42) (E) and Aβ(1–42) (F). Scale bar, 200 nm.
The enhanced fluorescence emission of the dye thioflavin T upon binding to amyloid fibrils is frequently used to monitor fibrillation [40]. The fibrillation kinetics of Aβ(1–42) and MAβ(1–42) are identical within the error of the experiment (Figure 4D). The presence of amyloid fibrils in the final steady-state of fibrillation was confirmed by electron microscopy (Figure 4E and 4F).

Production and characterization of MAβ(1–42)E22G

The Arctic mutant of Aβ is a particularly interesting variant inasmuch as it links an increased tendency for protofibril formation and fibrillation to early onset familial AD [8,9]. To our knowledge, no protocol for the recombinant production of Aβ(1–42)E22G has been reported to date, possibly due to the extreme aggregation propensity of this peptide variant. Coexpression of ZAβ3 permitted the production of MAβ(1–42)E22G with a yield of 1 mg from a 1 L culture. SDS-PAGE demonstrates increased oligomerization of MAβ(1–42)E22G compared to MAβ(1–42) (Figure 6A). The major fraction of purified MAβ(1–42)E22G is present in monomeric form as evidenced by SEC, which gives an elution volume of ~14 mL (Figure 6B), similar to that of monomeric MAβ(1–40) and MAβ(1–42) (Figure 4B). Recombinant MAβ(1–42)E22G can be employed, e.g., for NMR spectroscopy. The 15N HSQC NMR spectrum of MAβ(1–42)E22G is displayed in Figure 6C. As expected, the resonances of backbone amides in the vicinity of residue 22 are affected by the E22G mutation due to the removal of one negative charge. However, the changes are not large and the E22G mutant is also disordered in its monomeric state.

Discussion

We have shown that coexpression of the ZAβ3 affibody protein enables recombinant production of MAβ peptides. ZAβ3 binds to the amyloidogenic, hydrophobic central and C-terminal region of Aβ and thus prevents peptide aggregation and potential detrimental effects on cellular homeostasis. MAβ is released from ZAβ3 only after an initial purification of the complex has been achieved, limiting the potential for adverse effects during peptide preparation.
Coexpression is particularly profitable for the production of unstructured proteins that exhibit folding coupled to binding to the coexpressed partner [41]. The Aβ:Z₃ inter-
action is characterized by extensive coupled folding and binding of both binding partners [33,42]. In addition to increasing the thermodynamic stability of the complex’s constituents, coupled folding-binding presumably also reduces their susceptibility to proteolytic degradation.

Just as protein fusion tags preferably should be short, coexpression systems profit from a small size of the auxiliary protein, as this limits the metabolic burden. The affi-
body scaffold used for engineering Z₃ is particularly small and a large fraction of the surface area is involved in the interaction with the target [31,43]. Affibody ligands therefore represent promising auxiliary proteins for the development of coexpression systems, as exemplified in this study.

In contrast to previously published methods for recom-
bibn expression of Aβ [17-22,44], the present system does not require a cleavage reaction. The reported cleav-
age reactions are time-consuming and/or expose the pep-
tide to elevated temperature (typically, 37°C), which is detrimental to the production of aggregation-prone pep-
tides [20]. All of these methods moreover include a reverse phase chromatography step, which necessitates thorough subsequent disaggregation of Aβ peptides [38,45,46]. The coexpression system avoids acidic pH and organic solvents, and non-aggregated MAβ in buffered aqueous solution is obtained directly from size exclusion chromatography. Some of the expression methods previ-

**Figure 6**

*Recombinant expression of MAβ(1–42)E22G.* (A) SDS-PAGE using a 16.5% Tris-Tricine gel at 4°C. Lane 1: Marker. 2: MAβ(1–42). 3: MAβ(1–42)E22G. (B) Elution profile of analytical SEC of purified MAβ(1–42)E22G. (C) ¹H HSQC NMR spectra of MAβ(1–42) (blue) and MAβ(1–42)E22G (red) at 5°C at 800 MHz. Resonances of MAβ(1–42) that are lost or displaced as a consequence of the E22G mutation are indicated. Assignments were obtained from literature spectra [35,52].
ously reported have further specific disadvantages compared to the present system: The cleavage reaction causes microheterogeneities [17], Met35 is oxidized [17], the peptide yield is lower [22], or the peptide contains additional residues at the N-terminus [44,47]. In the case of the maltose binding protein fusion, a far greater peptide yield has been achieved [18]. This can in part be explained by the use of a fermentation system, which allows higher cell densities to be reached, frequently resulting in >10-fold the amount of purified protein in comparison to shake flask cultures [48,49]. The maltose binding protein fusion has only been used for production of the less aggregation-prone variant Aβ(1–40) and provides peptide that aggregates into predominantly non-fibrillar structures [50,51].

The MAβ peptides contain a methionine N-terminal of the Aβ sequence, originating from the translation start codon. However, according to the biochemical and biophysical analysis of the peptides by SDS-PAGE, SEC, NMR and CD spectroscopy, and to their aggregation propensity and aggregate morphology, the MAβ peptides faithfully recapitulate the properties of Aβ. The present coexpression system could be adapted to provide the peptide free of the additional N-terminal methionine by expressing a suitably tagged Aβ peptide that can be cleaved to yield the native N-terminus. This would however delimit the ease and cost-effectiveness of the method. Alternatively, secretion signal sequences could be added to both Aβ and affibody. These would be expected to be proteolyzed upon secretion and Aβ would thereby obtain its native N-terminus.

The 15N HSQC NMR spectra of MAβ and Aβ presented here superimpose on those reported previously in studies that thoroughly characterized Aβ as largely monomeric under the applied experimental conditions [35,52]. This is in agreement with the observation that purified MAβ and Aβ adopt a predominantly random coil conformation (Figure 4C). We conclude that MAβ is purified in non-aggregated, monomeric form and applicable as starting material for the investigation of fibril (Figure 4D) and oligomer formation. The potential to obtain labeled peptide makes MAβ suitable for structural studies by, e.g., NMR spectroscopy. The coexpression system is compatible with the overexpression of the highly aggregation prone Arctic mutant of MAβ(1–42) and can therefore be utilized to analyze the structural consequences of this and presumably other disease-related mutations of full-length Aβ(1–42). Previous systematic NMR studies on clinically relevant amino acid substitutions have pointed to a connection between monomer folding and oligomerization propensity, but were limited to decapeptide segments of Aβ [53].

Conclusion
We have described a recombinant expression system that provides facile access to both major isoforms of the highly amyloidogenic Aβ peptide by coexpression of an engineered aggregation-inhibiting binding protein. The method also allows for the production of the particularly oligomerization and fibrillation-prone Arctic (E22G) mutant of Aβ(1–42). The peptides are obtained in non-aggregated, monomeric form and can be favorably applied for the investigation of Aβ oligomerization and fibrillation, inclusive of structural biology studies.

Methods
Cloning
The bacterial expression vector pACYCDuet-1 (Novagen) is designed for the double cistronic coexpression of two target genes and contains two multiple cloning sites (MCS), each of which is preceded by a T7 promoter/lac operator and a ribosome binding site. pACYCDuet-1 encoding Aβ(1–40) with an additional N-terminal methionine, cloned as a NcoI/HindIII fragment at MCS 1, was obtained from GENEART. The expression plasmid pAY 442 encoding (His)₆-tagged ZAβ3 [30] was digested with Ndel and Bpu1102I (all enzymes supplied by New England Biolabs), followed by insertion of the (His)₆-ZAβ3 gene into MCS2 of pACYCDuet-1 at the respective restriction sites. The resulting coexpression vector contains the genes in the following order: T7 promoter-1 – MAβ(1–40) – T7promoter-2 – (His)₆ZAβ3 – T7 terminator. The vectors for coexpression of MAβ(1–42) and MAβ(1–42)E22G were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene QuikChange mutagenesis kit) of the MAβ(1–40) or MAβ(1–42) expression clones, respectively.

Protein expression
BL21(DE3) E. coli cells (Novagen) were transformed with the expression vectors and grown for ~16 h at 37°C on LB agar plates containing 34 μg/ml chloramphenicol. Single colonies were picked and grown for ~16 h in 20 ml 15N-labeled M9 medium, containing 1 g/L 15NH₄Cl, 2 g/L glucose, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 2 g/L natural 15N-Celton powder (Spectra Stable Isotopes) and 34 μg/ml chloramphenicol. The pre-culture was transferred to 1 L of 15N-labeled M9-Celtone medium in a 5 L baffled Erlenmeyer flask. The culture was grown at 37°C with shaking and induced at OD600 ~0.8 by the addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM. After further growth for 4 hours the cells were harvested and frozen at -20°C. If isotopic labeling was not required, TB medium was used as an alternative to M9-Celtone.

Purification of MAβ
The cell pellet from 1 L of bacterial culture was thawed in an ice/water bath, resuspended in 15 mL of buffer A (50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.2 M sodium chloride, 1 mM...
PMSF, pH 7.2) and subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles, followed by sonication according to a standard protocol. The lysed cells were clarified by centrifugation at 17,000 g in a JA 25.50 rotor (Beckman) at 4°C for 30 min.

For capture of the MAβ-Zβ3 complex by IMAC, the supernatant was added to 10 mL HIS-Select Ni2+ affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich) equilibrated in buffer A, and the mixture was incubated batch wise on a roller shaker for 20 min at room temperature. Proteins not bound to the resin were separated by centrifugation at 700 g for 5 min on a swing-out rotor. The resin was washed twice with 50 mL of buffer A, transferred to a 1.5 cm diameter Econo-Column chromatography column (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and washed with another 50 mL of buffer A and 50 mL of buffer A supplemented with 10 mM imidazole. To separate MAβ from the resin-bound Zβ3, the drained resin was resuspended in 40 mL of buffer SL (buffer A supplemented with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride (GdmCl), pH 7.2) and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The denatured MAβ peptide was recovered in the filtrate upon filtration of the resin slurry through the Econo-Column chromatography column.

Native MAβ was obtained by SEC of the denatured MAβ on a Superdex 75 HR 10/300 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate, 50 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2. If protein concentration or storage was desired, the pH of the SEC eluate was adjusted to basic pH (~10.5) directly after elution, as basic pH preserves the monomeric state of Aβ and is thus advantageous for stock solutions [38,54]. Concentration of the basic protein solutions was achieved using Vivaspin concentration columns (Sartorius). The identity of the peptides was verified by mass spectrometry (MAβ(1–40), theoretical mass: 4458.2 Da, experimental mass: 4458.1 Da; MAβ(1–42), theoretical mass: 4642.3 Da, experimental mass: 4642.3 Da). Peptide concentrations in solution were measured by UV spectroscopy (ε280–ε300 = 1424 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

For analysis of the coexpressed MAβ-Zβ3 complex, the entire complex (i.e. without separation of the complex’s constituents under denaturing conditions) was eluted from the IMAC column with buffer A supplemented with 150 mM imidazole, and subjected to SDS-PAGE (Figure 2, lane 4). NMR measurements on the complex (Figure 3) were carried out after an additional SEC step using a Superdex 75 HR 10/300 column equilibrated with 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.2.

Aβ peptides
Aβ was obtained from a commercial source (rpeptide). Aβ(1–40) was purchased either NaOH pre-treated or HFIP pre-treated, dissolved in 30 mM ammonium hydroxide to a concentration of 0.5 mM, and diluted into the final experiment buffer. Aβ(1–42) was purchased HFIP pre-treated. To ensure disaggregation of Aβ(1–42), the peptide was dissolved in 6 M GdmCl (buffer SL) and subjected to SEC, using the same conditions as employed in the final step of the MAβ purification protocol.

Analytical size exclusion chromatography
Peptides at a concentration of 40–100 µM were analyzed on a Superdex 75 HR 10/300 column equilibrated in 20 mM sodium phosphate, 50 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2. Elution profiles were normalized to unity at maximum absorbance for the purpose of comparison.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy
Far-UV CD measurements were performed on a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter using a 0.1 cm path length cuvette. Peptides were used at concentrations of 20–25 µM in 20 mM phosphate, pH 7.2–7.4. Spectra were recorded at 20°C. Thirty scans were averaged without smoothing and corrected for the buffer spectrum.

Electron microscopy
Samples were applied to formvar/carbon coated nickel grids, stained with 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate and viewed in a LEO 912 AB Omega transmission electron microscope.

NMR spectroscopy
NMR was measured using Varian Inova 800 MHz and 900 MHz spectrometers. Samples of the MAβ-Zβ3 complex prepared after IMAC and SEC purification contained 160 µM complex in 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.2, with 10% D2O. Samples of purified MAβ and commercial Aβ peptides contained ca. 60 µM 15N-labeled peptides in 20 mM sodium phosphate, 50 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2, with 10% D2O. NMR data were processed using NMRpipe [55] and analyzed using CcpNmr Analysis [56].

Thioflavin T amyloid formation assay
Thioflavin T fluorescence was recorded in 96-well plates (Nunc) using a FLUOstar Optima reader (BMG) equipped with 440 nm excitation and 480 nm emission filters. The samples contained 100 ml of 25 µM MAβ(1–40) or Aβ(1–42) in 20 mM Na-phosphate, 50 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2, supplemented with 10 µM thioflavin T. Plates were sealed with polyolefin tape (Nunc) and incubated at 37°C. Data points were recorded every 10 min with 50 sec of orbital shaking (width 5 mm) preceding each measurement.
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