Diverticular disease is one of the most common conditions in the Western world and one of the most common findings identified at colonoscopy. Recently, there has been a significant paradigm shift in our understanding of diverticular disease and its management. The pathogenesis of diverticular disease is thought to be multifactorial and include both environmental and genetic factors in addition to the historically accepted etiology of dietary fiber deficiency. Symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease (SUDD) is currently considered a type of chronic diverticulosis that is perhaps akin to irritable bowel syndrome. Mesalamine, rifaximin and probiotics may achieve symptomatic relief in some patients with SUDD, although their role(s) in preventing complications remain unclear. Antibiotic use for acute diverticulitis and elective prophylactic resection surgery are considered more individualized treatment modalities that take into account the clinical status, comorbidities and lifestyle of the patient. Our understanding of the pathogenesis of diverticular disease continues to evolve and is likely to be diverse and multifactorial. Paradigm shifts in several areas of the pathogenesis and management of diverticular disease are explored in this review.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Diverticular disease and its complications continue to be a worldwide burden on healthcare systems, and is one of the most common conditions in the Western world. It is the eighth most common outpatient diagnosis in the United States with 2,734,119 total outpatient visits in 2010. It was estimated that diverticulitis accounted for 216,560 hospital admissions at an aggregate cost of $2.2 billion in 2012. Diverticular disease was the 16th most common cause of death among gastrointestinal, liver and pancreatic diseases in the United States in 2012 with crude death rate of 0.9 per 100,000. Moreover, it is estimated to account for $100 million in medication costs. Diverticulosis is one of the most common findings on colonoscopy with an increase in prevalence with increasing age. In this paper, we will review the literature in diverticular disease in regards to newer understandings of its pathogenesis and management.

**CLASSIFICATION**

1. **Asymptomatic diverticulosis**

Asymptomatic diverticulosis is often an incidental finding in patients undergoing imaging for other indications. However, the clinical significance of such findings is unclear as there is no indication for treatment or further follow-up for patients with asymptomatic diverticulosis.

2. **Diverticulitis**

Inflammation of a diverticulum leads to diverticulitis. It can present as either an acute or chronic process. Diverticulitis is the most common complication of diverticulosis, which occurs in about 10% to 25% of patients. The pathophysiology of diverticulitis is the obstruction of the diverticulum sac by fecalith, which by irritation of the mucosa causes low-grade inflammation, congestion and further obstruction. Diverticulitis may be further classified as uncomplicated and complicated (Fig. 1). Complicated diverticulitis is generally characterized by the formation of abscesses, fistulas, obstruction and/or perforation. An important consideration in the management of diverticulitis is the decision to hospitalize a patient or not. According to...
American Society for Colon and Rectal Surgery (ASCRS) several factors weigh in to that decision including failure to tolerate oral intake, pain level, overall comorbidities, and social support at home.5

3. Symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease

In the recent years, there has been an evolution in the taxonomic classification of symptomatic diverticular disease into several distinct types (Fig. 1). These include chronic recurrent diverticulitis, segmental colitis associated with diverticulosis (SCAD) and symptomatic uncomplicated diverticulitis disease (SUDD).

SUDD is defined as chronic diverticulosis with associated chronic abdominal pain in the absence of acute symptoms of diverticulitis or overt colitis.7 There may be an overlap between SUDD and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) due to similar pathophysiologic mechanisms underlying both disease processes, which includes visceral hypersensitivity.7 This was studied by Clemens et al.,8 where they found that SUDD patient had hyperalgesia in the sigmoid colon with diverticula. SUDD is further compared to IBS in regards to altered colonic motility. Bassotti et al.9 demonstrated that patients with diverticulosis have a reduction in the number of colonic interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC) and enteric glial cells even though there was no abnormalities in the enteric neuronal population. They studied ICC due to their role in regulation of intestinal motor function and postulated that with reduction in ICC, there is a decrease in colonic electrical slow wave activity which results in slowed transit. At this time, it is unclear whether SUDD and IBS are on a continuum in terms of their pathophysiology or whether patients with IBS are more likely to have diverticulosis and therefore with chronic abdominal pain be labeled as SUDD.

4. Segmental colitis associated with diverticulosis

SCAD is now recognized as a distinct entity. It is characterized by nonspecific segmental inflammation in the sigmoid colon surrounded by multiple diverticula.10 It does not necessarily involve the diverticular orifice.11 Risk factors include male sex and age over 50 years.10 Initial presentation is often rectal bleeding with some presenting with diarrhea and/or abdominal pain.10 Freeman12 studied the clinical behavior of SCAD in over a 20-year period and noted that all patients had complete clinical and pathological remission of disease even those not treated with oral 5-aminosalicylate. Of importance, is the fact that this process appears to be benign and self-limited.10

PATHOGENESIS

The pathogenesis of diverticular disease is multifactorial and not fully understood. However, several factor including colonic wall structure, colonic motility, genetics, fiber intake, vitamin D levels, obesity and physical activity have been studied and thought to influence the pathogenesis of the disease.

1. Colonic wall structure and motility

Diverticula of the colon are mucosal herniations in the colon wall muscle layer through (relatively weaker) points of entry of blood vessels through the colonic wall13 and are more commonly found in the sigmoid colon in the Western world. Although its pathogenesis remains poorly elucidated, it is likely to be multifactorial. An early and popular theory as to the etiology

![Fig. 1. Classification of diverticular disease.](image-url)
of diverticulosis was first described by Burkitt in 1971, based on his observation that native Africans had a low incidence of diverticular disease. He hypothesized that this was due to a high fiber diet, which would foster shorter transit time through the colon. However, several more recent cross-sectional studies have failed to confirm an association between low dietary fiber intake and an increased risk of diverticulosis.

In 2013, Peery et al. reported that less frequent bowel movements and hard stools were associated with a decreased risk of diverticulosis. In addition, straining or incomplete evacuation were not associated with diverticulosis, both observations arguing against the prevailing paradigm that low dietary fiber is associated with the development of diverticulosis. Painter was first to hypothesize that constipation created high pressures in the sigmoid colon leading to herniation in the colonic wall. However, motility studies in patients with diverticulosis demonstrate conflicting results. Bassotti et al. reported significantly increased motility and forceful propulsive activity in patients with diverticulosis as compared to controls. On the other hand, other motility studies including one by Weinreich and Andersen showed no association between diverticulosis and colonic high-pressure activity.

2. Genetics

While the pathogenesis of diverticular disease has largely been thought to be due to environmental risk factors such as diet, more recent epidemiologic data point to the additional contribution of genetic factors in the development of diverticular disease. This is in part supported by the anatomic observation that in Western countries, diverticulosis is most often localized to the left colon, whereas it is predominantly localized in the right colon in Asian countries. In addition, studies of population migration suggest that despite populations adopting new environmental factors, there may not be subsequent changes in diverticular disease incidence. Several studies confirm the above notion. One study showed that Turkish migrants in the Zaanstreek region of Netherlands have a much lower incidence of diverticulosis than the native Dutch population of 7.5% compared to 50%. Also, in the Japanese population living in Hawaii and eating a more Westernized diet, diverticula remain predominantly right sided. Most recently, two large twin studies found that genetic factors are a strong contributor to developing diverticular disease. A study of the Swedish Twin Registry found that the odds ratio (OR) of developing diverticular disease if one’s co-twin was affected was 7.15 in monozygotic twins as compared to 3.2 for dizygotic twins. The Danish twin study found a relative risk (RR) for diverticulosis in twin siblings was 2.92 as compared to the general population. Both studies estimate the contribution of heredity to be roughly 40% to 50% (Table 1).

3. Role of dietary fiber

As previously mentioned, the prevailing paradigm in the development of diverticulosis focused on low dietary fiber intake resulting in higher intracolonic pressures. However, this notion has become more controversial. For example, Peery et al. examined the relationship between bowel habits and dietary fiber intake in the development of asymptomatic diverticulosis and found that less frequent bowel movements and hard stools were associated with a reduced risk of diverticulosis. In addition, there was no association between dietary fiber intake and risk of diverticulosis. Several studies, however, have examined the role of dietary fiber intake in the development of diverticular complications. In 1994, Aldoori et al. examined the association between dietary fiber and symptomatic diverticulitis and found that a low dietary fiber intake increases the incidence of symptomatic diverticulitis. Furthermore, Crowe et al. assessed the association between dietary fiber intake with risk of diverticular disease complications. They found that consumption of high fiber diet was associated with lower risk of hospital admissions and death from diverticular disease. Taken together, it appears that the evidence for the role of dietary fiber deficiency in the development of diverticulitis is inconsistent but that there likely exists some benefit to increased fiber intake in the reduction of diverticular disease complications. Based largely on this data, the recent American Gastroenterology Association (AGA) guidelines on diverticulitis overtly suggest a high dietary fiber intake in patients with a history of acute diverticulitis (Table 1).

4. Role of vitamin D

The role of vitamin D has recently been explored in diverticular disease. In a retrospective cohort study conducted by Maguire et al., prediagnostic levels of vitamin D (25-OH) were measured and compared between 9,116 patients with uncomplicated diverticulosis and 922 patients who developed diverticulitis...
requiring hospitalization. The study found a statistically significant higher mean prediagnostic serum vitamin D (25-OH) level in patients with uncomplicated diverticulosis in comparison to patients who required hospitalization for diverticulitis. These findings were further supported by a second large study that showed an association between low ultraviolet light exposure and diverticulitis. The findings of this study would suggest that a lower serum vitamin D (25-OH) might confer higher risk of complicated diverticulitis and hint at the possibility of vitamin D deficiency in the pathogenesis of diverticulitis, although this (and any potential role of vitamin D treatment) remains conjecture. Larger cohort studies will be necessary to verify this.

5. Role of obesity and active lifestyle

The rate of diverticular disease has increased over the past few decades in conjunction with increasing rates of obesity. Obesity has been often cited as a risk factor for numerous gastrointestinal diseases including diverticulitis. Multiple large prospective studies have shown positive associations between body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio and risk of diverticulitis. Similar to vitamin D, the pathophysiology of this risk factor is still not clearly defined. Numerous studies have been conducted in determining the effects of obesity on changes in gut microbiota in both human and mice models, in an effort to see if this may explain obesity’s contribution to diverticular disease. Further investigation into the shifts in gut microbiota in obese individuals is needed to see if it can explain the increased risk of diverticular disease in this population.

Interestingly, there has been conflicting data on the role of physical activity in decreasing risk of diverticulosis. In Peery et al.’s study of 2,104 participants, there was no association found between diverticulosis and physical activity. However, other studies have shown that physical activity is associated with fewer complications of diverticular disease.

**NSAIDs/ASPIRIN AND RISK FOR DIVERTICULAR COMPLICATIONS**

Cyclooxygenase inhibitors have a well-known association with increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding (i.e., ulcers and diverticular) but are also being increasingly recognized as risk factors for diverticulitis and its complications. The strongest data supporting this comes from a meta-analysis examining 11 studies of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) association with diverticular perforation (OR, 3.4) and 12 studies illustrating NSAID association with diverticular bleeding (OR, 2.6). This association was also supported by data collected in a large prospective cohort study of 47,210 U.S. men in the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study. The results of this study showed that regular use of aspirin (greater than or equal to two times per week) had a RR of 1.25 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.05 to 1.47) of diverticulitis and RR of 1.70 (95% CI, 1.21 to 2.39) for diverticular bleeding in comparison to nonusers. This association was seen in non-aspirin NSAID users as well (RR, 1.72; 95% CI, 1.40 to 2.11). For this reason, the most recent AGA guidelines recommend avoiding non-aspirin NSAIDs (but not avoiding therapeutic aspirin) in patients after acute diverticulitis (Table 1).

**MEDICAL TREATMENT**

1. **Antibiotic use in acute diverticulitis**

For decades, antibiotics have been the cornerstone of acute diverticulitis treatment. This was mainly due to the prevailing belief that diverticulitis was due to obstruction of a diverticulum leading to mucosal abrasions, micro-perforation and translocation of bacteria. However, this view has been challenged with emerging hypotheses focusing on a belief that some subset of acute diverticulitis may be more of an inflammatory process. In addition, multiple uncontrolled and now two randomized trials have shown no benefit for the use of antibiotics in the management of some patients with uncomplicated diverticulitis. Chabok et al. reported the first randomized controlled trial investigating the need for antibiotic treatment in acute uncomplicated diverticulitis and found no statistically significant difference in outcome, and suggested that its use should be reserved for the treatment of complicated disease. In addition, Isacson et al. recently performed a retrospective population-based cohort study looking at the applicability of a selective ‘no antibiotic’ policy and its consequences in terms of complications and recurrence. In 195 patients with uncomplicated acute diverticulitis, 91.3% were not treated with antibiotics and were found to have 3.4% readmission rate. Of these, 2% developed an abscess. In addition, 12.8% of patients had recurrence of acute diverticulitis within 1 year. The authors concluded that withholding antibiotics is safe in some patients with acute uncomplicated diverticulitis with low recurrence and complication rates. Most recently, Daniels et al. performed a randomized controlled study...
trial of observation versus antibiotic treatment for a first episode of uncomplicated acute diverticulitis. In 528 patients with computed tomography (CT)-proven uncomplicated acute diverticulitis, they found that there was no prolongation of recovery time in those treated without antibiotics. In addition, there were no significant differences between the observational group and antibiotic treatment group in terms of complicated diverticulitis, ongoing diverticulitis, recurrent diverticulitis, sigmoid resection, readmission, adverse events or mortality. In fact, patients in the observational group had a significantly shorter hospital stay (2 days vs 3 days, p=0.006). Again, this study’s findings support the most recent AGA guidelines (in addition to multiple European guidelines), which suggest selective, rather than routine use of antibiotics in patients with acute diverticulitis (Table 1).34-51,52

2. Rifaximin

Rifaximin is a poorly absorbable oral antibiotic with broad spectrum of action, which has been investigated and used in the treatment of SUDD.34 A recent meta-analysis evaluated four prospective randomized studies of 1,660 patients and found that the primary outcome of symptom relief at 1 year was achieved by 64% of patients in the treatment group of rifaximin as compared to 34.9% in the control group and this difference was statistically significant.34 More concrete outcomes, such as complicated and recurrent diverticulitis were less impressive, and given the immature data, the newest AGA guidelines suggest against the use of rifaximin as an agent to reduce diverticulitis recurrence (Table 2).34

3. Mesalamine

Since it is believed that low-grade chronic inflammation may play a role in the pathogenesis of SUDD, mesalamine has been investigated in this patient population.34 A U.S. randomized trial of 12 weeks of mesalamine after an episode of acute diverticulitis did show improvement in global symptoms at some time points, but was underpowered to evaluate recurrence.56 However, Raskin et al.57 conducted a larger phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter trial in 1,182 patients evaluating the efficacy of mesalamine in preventing recurrence of diverticulitis. They found that mesalamine did not reduce the rate of diverticulitis recurrence, time to recurrence, or the number of patients requiring surgery and therefore concluded that mesalamine should not be recommended for prevention of recurrent diverticulitis. Consistent with this large and well-designed trial, the AGA also recommends against the use of mesalamine for the prevention of diverticulitis recurrence (Table 2).34 A recent meta-analysis also found no evidence in support of the use of mesalamine to prevent diverticulitis recurrence.58 Whether or not there is a role for this agent in symptom relief in patients with SUDD remains to be clarified.

4. Probiotics

Inflammation secondary to fecal stasis in diverticular disease has been suspected to cause changes in the colonic microbiome. Characterization of this microbiome however has been sparse and there is little precise relevant data. A systematic review conducted by Lahner et al.59 examined 11 studies in which various probiotics (majority of which were Lactobacillus strains) were used in the treatment of diverticular disease. Upon examination of an overall pool of 764 patients, there appears to be a positive trend in the reduction and/or remission of abdominal symptoms with the implementation of probiotics. Data on prevention of complications and recurrence is limited, however, and the 2015 AGA guidelines recommend against the use of probiotics after acute uncomplicated diverticulitis (Table 2).34

5. Physical activity

Physical activity has been studied in many gastrointestinal disorders and proposed to reduce risk of colon cancer and other gastrointestinal disorder through decreased transit time, inflammation and/or colon pressure,60,61 which are similar mechanisms at play in diverticular disease. Strate et al.62 studied 47,228 U.S. males in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study cohort and found that physical activity does decrease the risk of diverticulitis and diverticular bleeding. However this association was only seen with vigorous activity, which included running. In addition, they found that sedentary behaviors were associated with an increased risk of uncomplicated diverticulosis with a RR of 1.29.63 This is in line with AGA guidelines that also suggest vigorous physical activity in appropriate patients after acute diverticulitis (Table 2).34

SURGICAL TREATMENT

Previously, the standard convention had been to begin to discuss possible prophylactic resection after a second attack of acute diverticulitis, but this wisdom is changing.34 The most recent AGA guidelines suggest against elective colonic resection in patients with acute uncomplicated diverticulitis (Table 2).34 Rather, they recommend an individualized approach to the surgical management of these patients. This approach is echoed in the most recent ASCRS guidelines as well,7 which also supports an individualized approach, rather than any numerical threshold. This shift in paradigm is in part due to several recent studies suggesting that the recurrence rate of diverticulitis and progression to complications are likely lower than previously thought. These studies report recurrence rates in medically managed acute diverticulitis of 13% to 23%.52-64 In 2010, Eglinton et al.64 reported a 5% rate of complication after an initial attack of uncomplicated diverticulitis. In addition, Shahedi et al.65 evaluated the risk of acute diverticulitis among patients with confirmed diagnosis of diverticulosis and found that among 2,222
patients over an 11-year follow-up period, only roughly 4% of patients develop acute diverticulitis, even with liberal diagnostic criteria. And lastly, Salem et al. performed a cost analysis after an episode of diverticulitis to determine the best strategy for management and determined that surgery after the fourth episode of diverticulitis resulted in fewer deaths and was more cost effective in both younger and older patients.

**COLONOSCOPY AFTER DIVERTICULITIS**

It is generally accepted practice to perform colonoscopy after the resolution of acute diverticulitis in those candidates where an examination of the colon has not recently been performed in order to exclude a misdiagnosis of colonic neoplasm. A recent meta-analysis evaluated the yield of colonoscopic evaluation after an episode of acute diverticulitis. They reviewed 11 studies with 1,970 patients and found a pooled proportional estimate of malignancy of 1.6%. However, Daniels et al. recently compared the colonoscopic detection rate of advanced colonic neoplasm in patients with a first episode of acute diverticulitis with patients undergoing initial colorectal cancer (CRC) screening colonoscopy. They found that 6.7% of patients with acute diverticulitis had advanced colonic neoplasm as compared to 9.1% of patients undergoing CRC screening with OR of 0.71. They therefore concluded that since the detection of advanced colonic neoplasm does not differ significantly between the above groups that routine colonoscopic follow-up after an uncomplicated acute diverticulitis can be eliminated and those patients can be enrolled in routine CRC screening program. Lastly, Lau et al. evaluated the yield of colonoscopy after diagnosis of left-sided diverticulitis, excluding patients that underwent colonoscopy within 1 year of diverticulitis diagnosis. Their findings were significant for prevalence of colorectal cancer of 2.1% within 1 year after CT-scan diagnosis of acute diverticulitis with an increase in those with abscess, local perforation and fistula as compared to those with uncomplicated disease. Therefore, they recommended routine colonoscopy after a diagnosis of acute diverticulitis if the patient had not had a recent colonoscopic evaluation. This is echoed in a similar manner in the most recent AGA guidelines.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Diverticular disease is a complex disease process with a number of paradigm shifts in recent years in regards to its pathogenesis and management. Much of our accepted understanding of diverticular disease has been challenged, including the role of fiber in etiology, as well as risk factors beyond fiber deficiency, such as genetics, exercise, and perhaps even low vitamin D levels. There is an active search for effective treatments for SUDD, and while nothing is yet strongly data supported, there is evidence that mesalamine, non-absorbable antibiotics, or probiotics, might improve symptoms. There is also now fairly strong data that antibiotics can be used selectively, rather than routinely, in acute diverticulitis, although defining the best candidates for conservative treatment remains unclear. Finally, the role of prophylactic surgical resection is being considered less often, and at a later stage, than previously.
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