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We argue that the collinear factorization of the fragmentation functions in high energy hadron and nuclei collisions breaks down at transverse momenta $k_T \lesssim Q_s/g$ due to high parton densities in the colliding hadrons and/or nuclei. We calculate, at next-to-leading order in projectile parton density and to all orders in target parton density, the double-inclusive cross section for production of a pair of gluons in the scalar $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ channel. Using the low energy theorems of QCD we find the inclusive cross section for $\pi$-meson production.

I. INTRODUCTION

Strong interactions at high energy are crucially influenced by high density of gluons in wave functions of colliding hadrons and/or nuclei [1, 2, 3]. A systematic description of particle production in high energy hadron reactions can be carried out in terms of quasi-classical solutions to the Yang-Mills equations on the light-cone [4]. The quasi-classical approximation is valid for field modes which occupation number reaches the saturation limit of $\sim 1/g$. These modes correspond to gluons with transverse momentum less than the saturation momentum $Q_s$. The square of the saturation momentum is a measure of the two-dimensional color charge density in the hadron/nucleus wave function. As the collision energy increases, the quantum fluctuations increase the occupation number of modes with larger transverse momentum which manifests in increase of $Q_s$. The corresponding high energy evolution of scattering amplitude is governed by the nonlinear Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution equation.
A comprehensive reviews of the physics of gluon saturation (Color Glass Condensate) can be found in Refs. [7, 8]. A quasi-classical approach to inclusive processes of high energy QCD has driven a lot of attention due to its remarkable phenomenological success in small-x DIS, $p(d)A$ and $AA$ collisions [7, 8, 9, 10].

One of the challenges of QCD is to understand how the color degrees of freedom metamorphose into hadrons. In the traditional perturbative QCD this problem is solved with the help of the collinear factorization theorems which allow to separate the universal non-perturbative parton distribution functions, fragmentation functions, and the hard partonic sub-processes. The collinear factorization theorems hold only if particle production is characterized by a momentum scale which is much larger than the typical momentum scale in hadron wave functions. At high energies, existence of a semi-hard scale $Q_s$ – which is an increasing function of energy and atomic mass $A$ – does not allow application of factorization theorems at transverse momenta of the order of $Q_s$ or smaller 1. This is the kinematical region in which bulk of particles is produced. Although the collinear factorization breaks down, the perturbation theory does not due to smallness of the coupling at the scale $Q_s$ even for the IR modes. Failure of the collinear factorization of parton distribution functions has been discussed already in the pioneering publications on gluon saturation [1, 2]. It was suggested that a more general type of factorization, $k_T$-factorization, may hold at high energies. However, it turned out that although the $k_T$-factorization is much better approximation of the exact formulas than the collinear factorization, it is violated as well in all high energy processes save for the single inclusive gluon production in $\gamma^*A$ collisions [14].

As the consequence of breakdown of factorization of the fragmentation functions, hadronization pattern changes with parton density in both target and projectile and thus, exhibits complicated energy and atomic number dependence. In the present paper we discuss one of the possible hadronization channels which explicitly breaks down the collinear factorization. From empirical point of view, failure of the collinear factorization is evident from observations of strong energy dependence of baryon to meson ratios in $pp$ and $dAu$ collisions [16].

Another process in which the collinear factorization breaks down is the relativistic heavy-

---

1 In fact, factorization theorems break down at even higher scale, leading to the so-called extended geometric scaling, see Ref. [11, 12, 13].
ion collisions in which the produced system of color charges probably evolves through the
dense and hot stage (Quark Gluon Plasma). However, it must be heeded that the collinear
factorization is violated already at the early stages of decay of the classical fields, preceding
the formation of the QGP. It has been suggested that parton recombination may be an
alternative mechanism of hadronization \[17, 18, 19, 20, 21\]. It yields a surprisingly good
description of experimental data on elliptic flow and might also be relevant for interpretation
of baryon to meson ratios reported in \[15\]. It is based on a simple idea that small-$k_T$ mesons
are formed by coalescence of two constituent quarks with transverse momenta $k_T/2$ at the
same rapidity, while baryons are formed by coalescence of three constituent quarks with
momenta $k_T/3$ at the same rapidity.

Motivated by the phenomenological success of the recombination approach we set to
investigate the process of gluon recombination in the high gluon density regime. In this
paper we consider pion production and argue that it is dominated by the recombination of
two classical fields. Our approach naturally incorporates momentum conservation as well as
the recombination geometry in the coordinate space (recombining partons must be in the
same elementary volume of phase-space). In heavy-ion collisions it yields a “cold” nuclear
matter effect on particle hadronization and may be essential for analysis of the residual
“hot” nuclear matter effect.

The recombination process which we discuss in the present paper consists of two stages:
(i) production of a pair of gluons in $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ and color singlet state; (ii) recombination of
this pair into a pair of $\pi$-mesons using the anomaly matching mechanism \[22\]. The relevant
diagrams are depicted in Fig. 1. Shown in Fig. 1(a) is emission of two gluons by valence
quarks belonging to different nucleons in the incoming nucleus, their successive merging and
production of a gluon pair in the singlet $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ state.

An alternative way to produce a pair of gluons in a singlet $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ state is shown in
Fig. 1(b). Unlike the diagram Fig. 1(a) it represents a first step in quantum evolution which
proceeds by emission of a soft gluon. This type of evolution gives rise to the Kharzeev–
Levin soft Pomeron \[22\] (see also \[23, 24\]). However, this diagram is parametrically small as
compared to Fig. 1(a). Indeed, the diagram of Fig. 1(a) is of the order of $\alpha_s^6 \varrho_t \varrho_p^2 \sim 1$, where
$\varrho_t$ and $\varrho_p$ are the parton densities in the target and projectile respectively; $\varrho_t \sim A^{1/3}$, where
$A$ is the atomic mass of the target nucleus (if the projectile is a nucleus of atomic mass $B$,
then $\varrho_p \sim B^{1/3}$). Here we used the fact that in a quasi-classical (McLerran-Venugopalan)
FIG. 1: Examples of diagrams contributing to the production of a pair of gluons in $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ and color singlet state: (a) quasi-classical case, (b) first radiative correction $^{22, 23, 24}$, (c) single gluon production followed by the conventional fragmentation $^{25}$. Horizontal solid lines are the valence quarks belonging to a different nucleons. Vertical dashed line describes an instantaneous interaction which can happen at different light cone times (for simplicity we show here only one possible interaction; see Fig. 2 for other possibilities).

approximation $\alpha_s^2 \varrho_t \sim \alpha_s^2 \varrho_p \sim 1$. On the other hand, the diagram Fig. 1(b) is parametrically of the order of $\alpha_s^5 \varrho_t \varrho_p \sim \alpha_s$.

At low invariant masses, description of pair production in the singlet $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ channel in terms of color degrees of freedom becomes inadequate. Spectral density of the corresponding correlator (see Sec. II) is saturated by colorless excitations the most prominent of which are pions. Unlike gluons which contribute to the spectral density at the order $\alpha_s^2$, see (36), pions contribute at the order $\alpha_s^0$, see (42). Therefore, diagram Fig. 1(a) is of the order of $\alpha_s^4 \varrho_t \varrho_p^2 \sim 1/\alpha_s^2$ at low invariant masses. This is parametrically larger than the hadron production via the collinear fragmentation of a single gluon $^{14, 25, 26, 27, 28}$ shown in Fig. 1(c). Indeed, the corresponding single gluon production diagram is of the order of $\alpha_s^3 \varrho_t \varrho_p \sim 1/\alpha_s$. On the other hand, diagram Fig. 1(a) represents a higher twist effect as compared to the single gluon production and thus has an additional suppression factor $Q_s^2/k_T^2$ at high transverse momenta (here $Q_s$ is associated with the projectile). These two hadronization processes become of the same order at transverse momenta of the order of $k_T^2 \sim Q_s^2/\alpha_s$. We expect that at lower transverse momenta the recombination mechanism discussed in this paper gives the main contribution to the particle hadronization at high energies. At RHIC energies, the corresponding kinematic region is about $k_\perp \lesssim 3$ GeV for light hadrons in Au-Au collisions at midrapidity. It is significantly wider at forward rapidities and at higher energies.
The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we calculate the double inclusive cross section for gluon pair production in the singlet $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ channel in the framework of the dipole model \cite{33}. Since the relevant degrees of freedom at low invariant masses are pions which have large inelastic cross section on a nucleon, we are going to neglect the diagram Fig. 2D in which the produced pair interacts with the target. Indeed, the survival probability of a pion in a heavy nucleus is exponentially suppressed as compared to the one of a color dipole which has much smaller characteristic size $\sim 1/Q_s$. This approximation amounts to assumption that the intermediate gluons in Fig. 2 are almost on-mass-shell, see \cite{3}. The resulting “wave function” is given by \cite{24}. We then calculate the forward amplitude of each color dipole in a nucleus, \cite{19} and that of the entire projectile \cite{21}. In doing that we neglect correlation between partons belonging to different nucleons since the corresponding dipoles have sizes about 1.3 fm (typical nucleon separation). The double inclusive cross section of gluon pair production is the convolution of the projectile “wave function” and the forward scattering amplitude in the coordinate space and is given by \cite{26}.

In Sec. III following in steps of \cite{22}, we describe the formalism of anomaly matching and calculate the double inclusive cross section for $\pi$-meson production, \cite{13}. Our approach is based on the observation that the scale anomaly is closely related to the finite density of vacuum fluctuations of quantum gluon fields. These fluctuations are characterized by a semi-hard scale $M_0 \simeq 2 - 2.5$ GeV \cite{29,30}. The presence of this scale makes reasonable the perturbative expansion and allows for the calculation of non-perturbative contributions to the spectral density due to the scale anomaly. Our method is similar to the QCD sum rules approach. The later is based on the operator product expansion which holds only in the presence of a hard scale.

In Sec. IV the quantum evolution effects are taken into account. This makes it possible to address energy, rapidity and atomic mass dependence of the pion production cross section. The final result is given in Eq. \cite{54}.

The fragmentation process suggested in this paper has a number of phenomenological consequences. Firstly, the energy dependence of the cross section is steeper than in the conventional fragmentation mechanism since it requires exchange of an additional Pomeron between the projectile and the produced pair. Secondly, as Fig. 4 implies, at higher energies heavier hadrons can be produced along with pions. Therefore this hadronization mechanism yields a non-trivial energy dependence for different particle species and can be used to
analyze the energy dependence of particle ratios. This issue is addressed in a great detail in the forthcoming publication [31]. Other two-gluon channels besides the $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ may also have interesting phenomenological applications and can be considered along the same lines.

II. TWO-GLUON PRODUCTION IN A QUASI-CLASSICAL APPROXIMATION

In the light-cone perturbation theory [32] there are eight diagrams contributing to the “wave function” of a gluon pair. In Fig. 2 four of them are shown; the other four diagrams can be obtained by switching the order of gluon emission from valence quarks. Note that in the eikonal approximation, the life-time of a parton fluctuation in the fast nucleus wave function $t_f \simeq k_+ / \bar{k}^2$ is much larger than the typical time of interaction with the target $t_i \simeq R_A$, where $k_+$ is the large light-cone momentum of the parton, $\bar{k}$ its transverse momentum and $R_A$ is the nuclear radius. Therefore, in this approximation we can regard the interaction as instantaneous. This observation constitutes the basis of the dipole model [33]. It implies
that an inclusive production cross section is a convolution of a projectile "wave function" with the dipole scattering amplitude in the transverse coordinate space.

Diagrams in Fig. 2 differ one from another by the structure of their energy denominators which we would like to consider now more closely. Each of the eight diagrams in Fig. 2 contains three energy denominators. In the diagrams A–C the two leftmost energy denominators corresponding to emission of gluons at $x_1$ and $x_2$ are different for each diagram whereas the rightmost one, corresponding to the $2\rightarrow 2$ gluon scattering process is the same in all cases. The product of the first two energy denominators is given by

$$A_{21} + A_{12} : \frac{1}{k_2- k_1+ k_2-} \frac{1}{k_1- k_1+ k_2-} + \frac{1}{k_1- k_1+ k_2-} = \frac{1}{k_1-} \frac{1}{k_2-}, \quad (1a)$$

$$B_{21} : \frac{1}{k_2- k_2+ p''_- - p'_-} = \frac{1}{k_2-} \frac{1}{k_2- - l_1- - l_2-}, \quad (1b)$$

$$B_{12} : \frac{1}{k_1- k_1+ p''_- - p'_-} = \frac{1}{k_1-} \frac{1}{k_1- - l_1- - l_2-}, \quad (1c)$$

$$C_{21} : \frac{1}{p''_- - p'_- k_2- + p''_- - p'_-} = -\frac{1}{l_1- + l_2-} \frac{1}{k_2- - l_1- - l_2-}, \quad (1d)$$

$$C_{12} : \frac{1}{p''_- - p'_- k_1- + p''_- - p'_-} = -\frac{1}{l_1- + l_2-} \frac{1}{k_1- - l_1- - l_2-}, \quad (1e)$$

while the last energy denominator is

$$\frac{1}{k_1+ k_2- + p''_- - p'_-} = \frac{1}{k_1+ k_2- - l_1- - l_2-}, \quad (1f)$$

where we used the overall energy conservation condition $p''_- + l_1- + l_2- = p'_-$. The product of the energy denominators in the diagram D is

$$D_{21} + D_{12} : \left(\frac{1}{k_1-} + \frac{1}{k_2-}\right) \frac{1}{k_1- + k_2-} \frac{1}{l_1- + l_2-} = \frac{1}{k_1- k_2-} \frac{1}{l_1- + l_2-}. \quad (1g)$$

Simple calculation shows that sum of all energy denominators from all eight diagrams vanishes. We conclude that in the absence of interactions

$$\sum_{i,j=1,2,i\neq j} (\Psi_{A_{ij}} + \Psi_{B_{ij}} + \Psi_{C_{ij}} + \Psi_{D_{ij}}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

as expected.

As explained in the Introduction, the correct description of the particle pair produced at low invariant masses in the scalar $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ state is furnished using the hadronic degrees of freedom. By virtue of the color transparency, pion-nucleon inelastic cross section is much larger than the dipole-nucleon one. Hence, we are tempted to neglect the contribution
of diagram D to inclusive cross section. This imposes a certain constraint on the energy denominators A–C since we must ensure that the condition \[ (4c) \] holds, for otherwise the resulting cross section would not be gauge invariant. In other words, we require that

\[
\sum_{i,j=1,2,i\neq j} (\Psi_{A_{ij}} + \Psi_{B_{ij}} + \Psi_{C_{ij}}) \approx 0. \tag{3}
\]

This approximate equation must hold with the same accuracy as the assumption that pions are almost on mass-shell, i.e. \( k_1^- + k_2^- \approx l_1^- + l_2^- \). Then, Eqs. \((1)\) become

\[
A_{21} + A_{12} : \quad \frac{1}{k_{2}^-} \frac{1}{k_{1}^- + k_{2}^-} + \frac{1}{k_{1}^-} \frac{1}{k_{1}^- + k_{2}^-} = \frac{1}{k_{1}^-} \frac{1}{k_{2}^-}, \tag{4a}
\]

\[
B_{21} : \quad \frac{1}{k_{2}^-} \frac{1}{p_{2}^- + k_{2}^- - p_{2}^-} \approx -\frac{1}{k_{1}^-} \frac{1}{k_{2}^-}, \quad B_{12} : \quad \frac{1}{k_{1}^-} \frac{1}{p_{2}^- + k_{1}^- - p_{2}^-} \approx -\frac{1}{k_{1}^-} \frac{1}{k_{2}^-}, \tag{4b}
\]

\[
C_{21} + C_{12} : \quad \frac{1}{p_{2}^- - p_{2}^-} \frac{k_{2}^- + p_{2}^- - p_{2}^-}{k_{2}^- + p_{2}^- - p_{2}^-} + \frac{1}{p_{2}^- - p_{2}^-} \frac{k_{1}^- + p_{1}^- - p_{2}^-}{k_{1}^- + p_{1}^- - p_{2}^-} \approx \frac{1}{k_{1}^-} \frac{1}{k_{2}^-}. \tag{4c}
\]

Obviously, sum of all energy denominators in \((1)\) conforms to condition \((3)\).

Since the structure of the energy denominators in cases A–C is the same, we need to calculate the “wave function” in only one case, say, A. Introducing momentum \( q \) such that (see Fig. \(2\))

\[
k_1 = \frac{1}{2}(l_1 + l_2) - q, \quad k_2 = \frac{1}{2}(l_1 + l_2) + q, \tag{5}
\]

we have

\[
\Psi^{\lambda_3 \lambda_4}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}(l_1, l_2) = T_\alpha T_\beta g^2 \sum_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \int \frac{\bar{u}(p_1)}{\sqrt{p_{1}^+}} \gamma_{\cdot} \varepsilon^{\lambda_1*} u(p_1 - (l_1 + l_2)/2 + q) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(p_1 - (l_1 + l_2)/2 + q)^+}} k_{1^-} \times \frac{\bar{u}(p_2)}{\sqrt{p_{2}^+}} \gamma_{\cdot} \varepsilon^{\lambda_2*} u(p_2 - (l_1 + l_2)/2 - q) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(p_2 - (l_1 + l_2)/2 - q)^+}} k_{2^-} \times \frac{1}{k_{1^-} + k_{2^-} - l_{1^-} - l_{2^-} - i0} \varepsilon_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\nu} \varepsilon_{\sigma} \Gamma_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \langle \varepsilon_{\rho} \varepsilon_{\sigma} \rangle_s \delta_{cd} \times \frac{1}{((l_1 + l_2)/2 - q)^+} \frac{1}{((l_1 + l_2)/2 + q)^+} \frac{d^3q}{16\pi^3}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( \Gamma_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \) is the four-gluon vertex:

\[
\Gamma_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda_1 \lambda_2} = g^2 [f^{abc} f^{cde} (g^{\mu \rho} g^{\nu \sigma} - g^{\mu \sigma} g^{\nu \rho}) + f^{ace} f^{bde} (g^{\mu \nu} g^{\rho \sigma} - g^{\mu \sigma} g^{\nu \rho}) + f^{ade} f^{bce} (g^{\mu \nu} g^{\rho \sigma} - g^{\mu \rho} g^{\nu \sigma})], \tag{7}
\]

and \( \langle \ldots \rangle_s \) means projection onto the singlet state. After projection of the final gluons onto the color singlet state, the color factor becomes the same for all six terms contributing to the four-gluon vertex.
In the eikonal approximation, we can simplify the \( q \rightarrow qg \) vertex as follows \[\bar{u}(p_1) \gamma \cdot \epsilon_{\lambda_1^*} u(p_1 - k_1) \sqrt{p_1 + k_1} \approx \frac{2k_1 \cdot \epsilon_{\lambda_1^*}}{k_1^2}, \tag{8}\]
where the bold typeface distinguishes the transverse component of the corresponding four-vector. It is convenient to introduce the following notations: (i) fractions \( z \) and \( z' \) of the light-cone momenta of each of the four gluons are given by
\[
k_{1+} \equiv \frac{l_{1+} + l_{2+}}{2} - q_+ = z (l_{1+} + l_{2+}), \quad k_{2+} \equiv \frac{l_{1+} + l_{2+}}{2} + q_+ = (1 - z) (l_{1+} + l_{2+}). \tag{9a}\]
\[
l_{1+} = z' (l_{1+} + l_{2+}), \quad l_{2+} = (1 - z') (l_{1+} + l_{2+}); \tag{9b}\]
(ii) the total and the relative transverse momenta \( \tilde{k}, \kappa \) and \( \tilde{q} \) are defined as
\[
k = l_1 + l_2 = k_1 + k_2, \quad \kappa = z' l_2 - (1 - z') l_1, \quad \tilde{q} = z k_2 - (1 - z) k_1. \tag{10}\]
Note that the invariant mass of the produced pair is
\[
M^2 = (l_1 + l_2)^2 = l_{1+} l_{2-} + l_{1-} l_{2+} - 2 l_1 \cdot l_2 = \frac{1}{z' (1 - z') \kappa^2}. \tag{11}\]
With the help of these equations, after some simple algebra, we can derive
\[
\frac{1}{k_{1+} + k_{2+} - l_{1-} - l_{2-} - i0} = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}^2 z' (1 - z') - \kappa^2 z (1 - z) - i0}. \tag{12}\]
(We added expression \(-(k_1 + k_2)^2/k_+ + (l_1 + l_2)^2/k_+ = 0\) to the denominator of the first line).

At high energy, the scattering matrix is diagonal with respect to the color dipoles. Therefore, in order to take the interaction of the incoming parton system with the nucleus into account we need to transform the wave function \( \Psi(l_1, l_2) \) into the coordinate representation. This is accomplished as follows:
\[
\Psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \int \frac{d^2 l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 l_2}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i l_1 \cdot \xi_1 - i l_2 \cdot \xi_2} \Psi(l_1, l_2). \tag{13}\]
Here \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) are the coordinates of the final gluons with momenta \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \), respectively. It is convenient to introduce the transverse coordinates of the intermediate gluons \( \bar{x}_1 \) and \( \bar{x}_2 \). To this end, we change the set of integration variables in (13) and (6) from \( \{l_1, l_2, q\} \) to
a new one \( \{k, \kappa, q\} \) using \([5]\) and \([10]\). The Jacobian of this transformation is unity. The phase factor in \([13]\) becomes

\[-i \mathbf{l}_1 \cdot \mathbf{\xi}_1 - i \mathbf{l}_2 \cdot \mathbf{\xi}_2 = -i \kappa \cdot (\mathbf{\xi}_2 - \mathbf{\xi}_1) - i (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \cdot (z' \mathbf{\xi}_1 + (1 - z') \mathbf{\xi}_2).\]  

(14)

We can identify \( x_1 = x_2 = z' \mathbf{\xi}_1 + (1 - z') \mathbf{\xi}_2 \equiv x \). We observe that the coordinates of both intermediate gluons are equal. This is the result of the integration over the internal momentum \( q \). Indeed, had we Fourier transformed the amplitude with respect to \( \mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{l}_2 \text{ and } q \), the subsequent integration over \( q \) would have given the delta function \( \delta(x_2 - x_1) \).

Summation over the gluon polarizations can be carried out using the rule \( \langle e_i^{\lambda} e_j^{\lambda^*} \rangle = \delta_{ij}/2 \). Recalling that in the light-cone gauge \( \varepsilon \cdot \eta = \varepsilon_+ = 0 \) we derive using \([7]\)

\[
\sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \varepsilon_{\mu_1} \varepsilon_{\mu_2} \Gamma^{\mu \rho \sigma}_{abcd} \langle e_{\rho}^{\lambda_1} e_{\sigma}^{\lambda_2} \rangle_S \langle k_1 \cdot e^{\lambda_1} \rangle \langle k_2 \cdot e^{\lambda_2} \rangle \delta_{cd} = -g^2 \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} (\varepsilon_{\lambda_1} \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}) [f^{bdce} f^{fbd} + f^{edbc} f^{fdb}] \delta_{cd} \langle k_1 \cdot e^{\lambda_1} \rangle \langle k_2 \cdot e^{\lambda_2} \rangle \delta_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4} = -g^2 \langle k_1 \cdot k_2 \rangle 2 N_c \delta_{ab} \delta_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4}.
\]

(15)

We can now write the “wave function” as

\[
\Psi^{\lambda_3 \lambda_4}(\mathbf{\xi}, x) = -g^4 T_a T_b 2 N_c \delta_{ab} \delta_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 \kappa}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i \kappa \cdot x - i k \cdot \mathbf{\xi}} \int \frac{d^2 \tilde{q}}{16\pi^3} \int_0^1 dz \times 4 \frac{(z k - \tilde{q}) \cdot ((1 - z) k + \tilde{q})}{(z k - \tilde{q})^2 ((1 - z) k + \tilde{q})^2} \frac{z(1 - z')}{\tilde{q}^2 z'(1 - z') - \kappa^2 z(1 - z) - i0^+},
\]

(16)

where \( \mathbf{\xi} = \mathbf{\xi}_2 - \mathbf{\xi}_1 \).

The cross section for the double-inclusive gluon production is given by

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{d^2l_1 d^2l_2 dy^2} = \frac{1}{8 N_c^2} \frac{1}{16\pi^3} T_1 T_2 \sum_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4} \int d^2 \xi \int d^2 x \int d^2 \eta \int d^2 y \int \frac{dz'}{z'(1 - z')} \times \Psi^{\lambda_3 \lambda_4}(\mathbf{\xi}, x) \Psi^{\lambda_3 \lambda_4^*}(\mathbf{\eta}, y) 2 \Xi(x, y) e^{i l_1 \cdot (x - y + (1 - z')(\mathbf{\xi} - \mathbf{\eta}))} e^{i l_2 \cdot (x - y - z'(\mathbf{\xi} - \mathbf{\eta}))},
\]

(17)

where the coordinates \( y \) and \( \eta \) in the complex-conjugate amplitude correspond to the coordinates \( x \) and \( \xi \) in the amplitude; \( \Xi(x, y) \) is the rescattering factor, \( b \) is impact parameter of the projectile with respect to the target and the color traces are taken over each nucleon (hence the subscripts 1,2). Introducing color gluon notations in the amplitude as shown in Fig. 2 and denoting by letters with bars the corresponding gluon colors in the complex-conjugated
amplitude, we find the overall color factor as

$$\frac{1}{N_c^2} \text{Tr}(T_a T_b) \text{Tr}(T_a T_b) \left( f^{ace} f^{bde} + f^{ade} f^{bce} \right) \delta^{cd} \left( f^{\bar{a}\bar{c}e} f^{\bar{b}\bar{d}e} + f^{\bar{a}\bar{d}e} f^{\bar{b}\bar{c}e} \right) \delta^{\bar{c}\bar{d}} = \frac{1}{4N_c^2} \delta^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \left( N_c \delta^{ab} + N_c \delta^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \right) \left( N_c \delta^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} + N_c \delta^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \right) = 2 C_F N_c. \quad (18)$$

We can see that all four non-vanishing gluon indices permutations in the four-gluon vertex give the same color factor.

Next, we turn to calculation of the forward scattering amplitudes for each time and gluon ordering. Let us for a moment assume that the intermediate gluons have an arbitrary coordinates $x_1$ and $x_2$ in the amplitude and $y_1$ and $y_2$ in the complex conjugate one. Calculation of the scattering amplitudes is similar to the case of a single gluon production[25]. We have

$$\Xi_{AA} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_1-y_1)^2Q_s^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_2-y_2)^2Q_s^2}, \quad (19a)$$
$$\Xi_{BB} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_1-y_1)^2Q_s^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_2-y_2)^2Q_s^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_1^2+y_1^2)Q_s^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_2^2+y_2^2)Q_s^2}, \quad (19b)$$
$$\Xi_{CC} = 1, \quad (19c)$$
$$\Xi_{AB} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}x_1^2Q_s^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_2-y_2)^2Q_s^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}x_2^2Q_s^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_1-y_1)^2Q_s^2}, \quad (19d)$$
$$\Xi_{AC} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}x_1^2Q_s^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}x_2^2Q_s^2}, \quad (19e)$$
$$\Xi_{BC} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}x_1^2Q_s^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}x_2^2Q_s^2}. \quad (19f)$$
Taking into account Eqs. (4a)-(4c) we can sum up all the scattering amplitudes to obtain

\[
\Xi_{AA} + \Xi_{BB} + \Xi_{CC} - \Xi_{AB} - \Xi_{BA} + \Xi_{AC} - \Xi_{CA} = \\
\left(1 + e^{-\frac{i}{2}(x_1 - y_1)^2Q_1^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}x_1^2Q_1^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}x_2^2Q_1^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}y_1^2Q_1^2}ight) \left(1 + e^{-\frac{i}{2}(x_2 - y_2)^2Q_2^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}x_2^2Q_2^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}y_2^2Q_2^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}y_1^2Q_2^2}\right) .
\]  

(20)

In the limit of recombining gluons \(x_1 = x_2 = x\) and \(y_1 = y_2 = y\) we derive

\[
\Xi(x, y) = \left(1 + e^{-\frac{i}{2}(x-y)^2Q^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}x^2Q^2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}y^2Q^2}\right)^2 .
\]

(21)

To write the final expression for the double-inclusive gluon production, we introduce an auxiliary function \(F(\xi, x)\) such that

\[
\Psi_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\xi, x) = -g^4 T_a T_b 2N_c \delta_{ab} \delta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4} F(\xi, x) .
\]

(22)

With this notation we obtain

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{d^2l_1 d^2l_2 dy d^2b} = \frac{\alpha_s^4}{\pi^2} N_c C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi^2} \frac{d^2\xi}{L_2} \frac{d^2x}{L_1} \frac{d^2\eta}{L_1} \frac{d^2y}{L_1} \frac{d^2\lambda}{L_2} F(\xi, x) F^*(\eta, y) 2\Xi(x, y) e^{i(1_2 - 1_1)(\xi - \eta)} e^{i(1_1 + 1_2)(x - y)} .
\]

(23)

Eq. (23) is a general result which we derived in the eikonal approximation and assuming that the recombining gluons are almost on-mass-shell. It can be significantly simplified if we note that the recombining gluons must be close in rapidity which implies that the light-cone momentum fractions carried by gluons are typically equal \(z, z' \approx 1/2\). Assuming that this configuration is dominant, we derive in Appendix A the following expression for the “wave function”

\[
\Psi_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\xi, x) = T_a T_b 2N_c \delta_{ab} \delta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{\pi^2} \frac{d^2\xi}{L_2} \frac{d^2x}{L_1} \frac{d^2\eta}{L_1} \frac{d^2y}{L_1} \frac{d^2\lambda}{L_2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2}\right) .
\]

(24)

and the cross-section

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{d^2l_1 d^2l_2 dy d^2b} = \frac{2\alpha_s^4}{\pi^2} N_c C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi^2} \frac{d^2\xi}{L_2} \frac{d^2x}{L_1} \frac{d^2\eta}{L_1} \frac{d^2y}{L_1} \frac{d^2\lambda}{L_2} \ln \left[1 - i\eta \xi \left|K_1(\xi) - K_1(\eta)\right|\right] .
\]

(25)

Using (25) we can extract the inclusive cross section for production of a pair of gluons with invariant mass \(M\). For this objective, it is convenient to consider the inclusive cross section in terms of momenta \(k = l_2 + l_1\) and \(\kappa = (l_2 - l_1)/2\). Then integrating over the directions of \(\kappa\) and recalling that by (11) \(\kappa^2 = M^2/4\) yields

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 d^2k dy d^2b} = \frac{8\alpha_s^4}{\pi^7} N_c C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi^2} \frac{d^2\xi}{M^4} \frac{d^2x}{M^4} \frac{d^2\eta}{M^4} \frac{d^2y}{M^4} \frac{d^2\lambda}{M^4} \ln \left[1 - i\eta M K_1(\eta M)\right] \frac{d^2\lambda}{M^4} \frac{d^2x}{M^4} \frac{d^2\eta}{M^4} \frac{d^2y}{M^4} \frac{d^2\lambda}{M^4} \ln \left[1 + i\eta M K_1(\eta M)\right] 2\Xi(x, y) .
\]

(26)
Integrating over $k$ we get
\[ \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 \, d^2 b} = \frac{32 \, N_c C_F \alpha_s^4}{M^4} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^3} \left| 1 - ixM \, K_1(ixM) \right|^2 8 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{4} x^2 Q_s^2} \right)^2. \] (27)

The integrand of (27) can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions exploiting the relation
\[ \left| 1 - ixM \, K_1(ixM) \right|^2 = \left( 1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \, Mx \, Y_1(Mx) \right)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left( Mx \right)^2 J_1^2(Mx). \] (28)

In the limit of large invariant masses $M \gg Q_s$ the dominant contribution to the integral in (27) comes from dipoles of size $1/M \ll x \ll 1/Q_s$. Expanding both the McDonald function and the dipole scattering amplitude yields
\[ \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 \, d^2 b} \approx \frac{8 \alpha_s^4 N_c C_F}{3 \pi^5} \frac{Q_s}{M^3}, \quad M \gg Q_s. \] (29)

In the opposite limit of small invariant masses the largest (logarithmic) contribution stems from sizes $1/Q_s \ll x \ll 1/M$ in which case we estimate
\[ \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 \, d^2 b} \approx \frac{64 \alpha_s^4 N_c C_F}{\pi^6} \frac{1}{M^2}, \quad M \ll Q_s. \] (30)

This behavior has important phenomenological consequences which will be elucidated in the upcoming publication [31].

Finally, the total inclusive cross section is determined from (23) by first integrating over $\kappa$ which yields the delta function $\delta(\xi - \eta)$. Then using (C1) and (A8) we derive
\[ \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{d^2 b} = \frac{2 \alpha_s^4 N_c C_F}{3 \pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} 2 \Xi(x, x) = \frac{16 \alpha_s^4 N_c C_F}{3 \pi^2} \ln(Q_s/\mu). \] (31)

Numerical calculation of the ratio of (27) to (31) is exhibited in Fig. 4.

III. ANOMALY MATCHING

Now as we derived the cross section for the double-inclusive gluon production in the scalar color singlet channel $J^{P_C} = 0^{++}$, we can use the anomaly matching procedure to derive the double inclusive pion production at low invariant masses. This approach has been discussed in details in [22]. Here we give a brief review.

---

2 Convergence of the integral in (26) is discussed in Appendix C.
FIG. 4: Ratio \( R = M^2 \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 \, d\phi} \left( \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{d\phi} \right)^{-1} \) as a function of invariant mass \( M \). Values of parameters for solid line: \( Q_s = 1 \) GeV, dashed line: \( Q_s = 2 \) GeV. In both cases \( e \mu = 1 \) GeV.

In the product of the “wave function” and its complex conjugate, there appears a loop formed by the produced gluons. The contribution of this loop is proportional to the correlator\(^3\)

\[
\langle 0|T\{\theta^\mu_\mu(x)\theta^\nu_\nu(0)\}|0\rangle,
\]

where \( \theta^{\mu\nu} \) is the energy-momentum tensor. In the chiral limit its trace acquires a finite value

\[
\theta^\mu_\mu = -\frac{b \, g^2}{32\pi^2} F^{\alpha\nu\rho} F^\alpha_{\nu\rho}
\]

due to the scale anomaly of QCD. The correlator \(^3\) can written in the spectral representation

\[
\Pi(k^2) = i \int d^4 x \, e^{ik \cdot x} \langle 0|T\{\theta^\mu_\mu(x)\theta^\nu_\nu(0)\}|0\rangle = \int d\sigma^2 \frac{\rho_\theta(\sigma^2)}{\sigma^2 - k^2 - i0},
\]

where the spectral density

\[
\rho_\theta(k^2) = \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 p_n}{2 \, \varepsilon_n} \, (2\pi)^3 \, \delta^{(4)}(p_n - k) \, |\langle n|\theta^\mu_\mu|0\rangle|^2.
\]

\( k^2 = M^2 \) is the invariant mass of the produced system. In the lowest order of perturbation theory the spectral density is given by

\[
\rho^\text{pt}_\theta(M^2) = \left( \frac{bg^2}{32\pi^2} \right)^2 \frac{2N_c C_F}{4\pi^2} M^4.
\]

\(^3\) All coordinate and momenta notations in this section are independent from the notations in other sections unless otherwise specified.
Although the scale invariance of QCD (in the chiral limit) is broken down by quantum fluctuations, there remains a residual symmetry which manifests itself in an infinite tower of equations, known as the *low energy theorems* [29], relating various Green’s functions involving operator $\theta_{\mu}^{\mu}(x)$. The first in this tower of equations relates the Green’s function of the first and second order as follows

$$\Pi(0) = -4\langle 0|\theta_{\mu}^{\mu}|0\rangle = -16 \epsilon_{\text{vac}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

Spectral density (35) represents sum over all physical states, perturbative (high $M^2$) and non-perturbative (low $M^2$). We can see using (36) in (34) that the perturbative contribution to $\Pi(0)$ is divergent. Therefore, it must be subtracted to satisfy the theorem (37):

$$\int \frac{d\sigma^2}{\sigma^2}[\rho_{\theta}^{\text{phys}}(\sigma^2) - \rho_{\theta}^{\text{pt}}(\sigma^2)] = -16 \epsilon_{\text{vac}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

Thus, the vacuum expectation value of $\theta_{\mu}^{\mu}$ measures the energy density of non-perturbative fluctuations of vacuum.

If the invariant mass of the produced gluons is small, we can no longer describe the produced particles in terms of the color degrees of freedom. Rather it is appropriate to express $\theta_{\mu}^{\mu}$ directly in terms of hadrons. This can be done using the effective chiral Lagrangian [40]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \text{Tr} \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger + \frac{1}{4} m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \text{Tr}(U + U^\dagger),$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

where $U = e^{2i\pi/f_{\pi}}$, $\pi \equiv \pi^a t^a$ and $t^a$ are the $SU(2)$ generators. The trace of the energy-momentum tensor for this Lagrangian is

$$\theta_{\mu}^{\mu} = -\partial_\mu \pi^a \partial^\mu \pi^a + 2 m_{\pi}^2 \pi^a \pi^a + \ldots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

In the chiral limit we have

$$\langle \pi^+ \pi^- | \theta_{\mu}^{\mu} | 0 \rangle = M^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

leading to the following non-perturbative contribution to the spectral density

$$\rho_{\theta}^{\pi\pi}(M^2) = \frac{3}{32\pi^2} M^4,$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where $M^2$ is assumed to be less than a certain cutoff $M_0$ at which the perturbation theory becomes applicable. This cutoff is related to the vacuum energy density $\epsilon_{\text{vac}}$ and is estimated to be rather large $M_0 \simeq 2 - 2.5$ GeV [29, 30].
The main idea of anomaly matching is that while at large $M^2$ the physical spectral density coincides with the perturbative formula (36), at low $M^2$ it is specified by (42). According to (38) gluons do not contribute to the spectral density at low $M^2$. The two formulas must coincide at the scale $M_0$. Therefore, in order to calculate production of two pions at $M \leq M_0$ we need to replace the perturbative contribution to the spectral density (36) — calculated (indirectly) in the previous section — by the two-pion contribution (42). This substitution is equivalent to calculating the diagram in Fig. 5. Therefore, the cross section for the double-inclusive pion production becomes (using notation of the previous section)

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{\pi\pi}}{dM^2 d^2k dy d^2b} = \frac{96 \alpha_s^2}{\pi^5 b^2} \frac{1}{M^4} \int \frac{d^2x}{x^2} \int \frac{d^2y}{y^2} e^{ik \cdot (x - y)} \times \left[ 1 - i x M K_1(ixM) \right] \left[ 1 + iy M K_1(-iyM) \right] 2 \Xi(x, y),
$$

(43)

where we employed (36) and (12) in (26). It is remarkable that this cross section is of the order $\alpha_s^2$, two remaining factors of $\alpha_s$ are inherent to the gluon distribution functions of each projectile nucleon.

**IV. INCLUDING QUANTUM EVOLUTION**

Here we are going to include the small-$x$ nonlinear quantum evolution of [5] into the cross section from Eq. (26). Since the evolution equations in [5] are written for the forward amplitude of a quark dipole on a nucleus, we have to first generalize Eq. (26) to the case of gluon pair production in two dipoles-nucleus scattering. Of course, such a model of a nucleon is a rough approximation. However, in the gluon saturation regime details of nucleon structure play a little role. Therefore, our results below may still serve as a good approximation to a more accurate treatment of the nucleon [14]. The generalization of (26)
to dipole-nucleus scattering is easily done by including emissions of the \( s \)-channel gluon in Fig. \([2]\) by the quark and anti-quark in the incoming dipoles. Denote the transverse coordinates of the quark and anti-quark in the incoming dipoles by \( a_z_0 \) and \( a_z_1 \), where the superscript \( a = 1, 2 \) labels different nucleons. Then, instead of (26) we have

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 d^2k dy d^2b} (1 z_0, 2 z_0) = \frac{8 \alpha_s^4 N_c C_F}{\pi^2} \frac{N_c}{M^4} \int \frac{d^2x}{x^2} \int \frac{d^2y}{y^2} e^{i k \cdot (x-y)} \sum_{a=1}^2 \sum_{i,j=0}^1 2 \Xi(x, y; a_{z_i}, a_{z_j}) \\
\times \left[ 1 - i \left| x - a_{z_1} \right| M K_1 \left( i \left| x - a_{z_1} \right| M \right) \right] \\
\left[ 1 + i \left| y - a_{z_j} \right| M K_1 \left( -i \left| y - a_{z_j} \right| M \right) \right].
\]  

(44)

where \( a_{z_0} = a_z_0 - a_z_1 \) and

\[
\Xi(x, y; a_{z_i}, a_{z_j}) = \left( e^{-\frac{1}{4}(a_{z_i} - a_{z_j})^2 Q_s^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x-y)^2 Q_s^2} - e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x-a_z_0)^2 Q_s^2} - e^{-\frac{1}{4}(y-a_z_1)^2 Q_s^2} \right)^2.
\]  

(45)

The inclusion of quantum corrections in the leading logarithmic approximation (resumming powers of \( \alpha_s y \)) in the large-\( N_c \) limit is done along the lines of \([14]\) using Mueller’s dipole model formalism \([33]\). Since we assume that the produced gluons are at the same rapidity, the prescription for inclusion of quantum evolution is identical to the single gluon production case. We first define the quantity \( n_1(a_{z_0}, a_{z_1}; a_{w_0}, a_{w_1}; Y - y) \), which has the meaning of the number of dipoles with transverse coordinates \( a_{w_0}, a_{w_1} \) at rapidity \( y \) generated by the evolution from the original dipole \( a_{z_0}, a_{z_1} \) having rapidity \( Y \). It obeys the dipole equivalent of the BFKL evolution equation \([33, 38]\)

\[
\frac{\partial n_1(a_{z_0}, a_{z_1}; a_{w_0}, a_{w_1}; y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2 \pi^2} \int \frac{d^2z_2}{z_2^0} \frac{z_2^1}{z_2^0} \left[ n_1(a_{z_0}, a_{z_2}; a_{w_0}, a_{w_1}; y) \right.
\]

\[
+ n_1(a_{z_2}, a_{z_1}; a_{w_0}, a_{w_1}; y) - n_1(a_{z_0}, a_{z_1}; a_{w_0}, a_{w_1}; y) \left. \right]\]

(46)

with the initial condition

\[
n_1(a_{z_0}, a_{z_1}; a_{w_0}, a_{w_1}; y = 0) = \delta(a_{z_0} - a_{w_0}) \delta(a_{z_1} - a_{w_1}).
\]  

(47)

If the target nucleus has rapidity 0, the incoming dipole has rapidity \( Y \), and the produced gluons have rapidity \( y \), the inclusion of small-\( x \) evolution in the rapidity interval \( Y - y \) is accomplished by replacing the cross section from (44) by \([8, 14]\)

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 d^2k dy d^2b} (1 z_0, 2 z_0) \rightarrow \int \prod_{a=1}^2 d_{w_0} d_{w_1} \ n_1(a_{z_0}, a_{z_1}; a_{w_0}, a_{w_1}; Y - y) \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 d^2k dy d^2b} (1 w_0, 2 w_0). 
\]  

(48)
Eq. (48) neglects correlations between the original dipoles as explained in Sec. [1]. The evolution in each of the original dipoles is linear as was originally shown in [14]: the Pomeron splittings cancel in the rapidity interval between \(y\) and \(Y\) in compliance with the AGK cutting rules [39].

Inclusion of evolution in the interval between 0 and \(y\) is accomplished by replacing the Mueller-Glauber rescattering exponents according to the following rule [14]

\[
e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_0-x_1)^2 Q_s^2} \rightarrow 1 - N(x_0, x_1, Y),
\]

where \(N(x_0, x_1, Y)\) is the forward amplitude for a quark dipole \(x_0, x_1\) scattering on a target with rapidity interval \(Y\) between the dipole and the target. It obeys the following evolution equation [5]

\[
\frac{\partial N(x_0, x_1, Y)}{\partial Y} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2 \pi^2} \int d^2 x_2 \frac{x_{01}^2}{x_{20} x_{21}^2} [N(x_0, x_2, Y) + N(x_2, x_1, Y) - N(x_0, x_1, Y)]
\]

with the initial condition

\[
N(x_0, x_1, Y = 0) = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x_0-x_1)^2 Q_s^2}.
\]

Performing the substitution from (49) in (45) yields

\[
\Xi(x, Y; a z_i, a z_j, Y) = (N(x, a z_i, Y) + N(Y, a z_j, Y) - N(a z_i, a z_j, Y) - N(x, Y, Y))^2.
\]

With the definition of Eqs. (52) we write the following answer for the double inclusive gluon production cross section in the scalar \(J^{PC} = 0^{++}\) channel including small-\(x\) evolution effects

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dM^2 d^2 k dy d^2 b} (1 z_{01}, 2 z_{01}) = \frac{8 \alpha_s^4 N_c C_F}{\pi^2} \frac{N_c}{M^4} \int \prod_{a=1}^{2} d^2 w_0 d^2 w_1 n_1(a z_0, a z_1, a w_0, a w_1; Y - y)
\]

\[
\times \int \frac{d^2 x}{x^2} \int \frac{d^2 y}{y^2} e^{i k \cdot (x - Y)} \sum_{j=0}^{1} 2 \Xi(x, a w_i; Y, a w_j; y)
\]

\[
\times \left[ 1 - i |x - a w_i| M K_1(i |x - a w_i| M) \right] \left[ 1 + i |y - a w_j| M K_1(-i |y - a w_j| M) \right].
\]

Similarly, the double inclusive pion production cross section is given using (43) by

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{\pi \pi}}{dM^2 d^2 k dy d^2 b} (1 z_{01}, 2 z_{01}) = \frac{96 \alpha_s^2}{\pi^5 b^2} \frac{1}{M^4} \int \prod_{a=1}^{2} d^2 w_0 d^2 w_1 n_1(a z_0, a z_1, a w_0, a w_1; Y - y)
\]
\[
\times \int \frac{d^2 x}{x^2} \int \frac{d^2 y}{y^2} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} \sum_{i,j=0}^1 2 \Xi(x, a_{W_i} y, a_{W_j} y) \\
\times [1 - i|x - a_{W_i}| M K_1(i |x - a_{W_i}| M)] [1 + i|y - a_{W_j}| M K_1(-i|y - a_{W_j}| M)] .
\]

This is the central result of our paper. We are going to use it for the phenomenological analysis of the RHIC data in the forthcoming publication [31].
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**APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF THE INCLUSIVE CROSS SECTION IN THE \( z, z' = 1/2 \) APPROXIMATION**

Using expressions (16), (22) the function \( F(\xi, x) \) becomes

\[
F(\xi, x) = \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 \kappa}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i \mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \xi - i \mathbf{k} \cdot x} \int \frac{d^2 q}{16\pi^3} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} k - q) \cdot (\frac{1}{2} k + q)}{(\frac{1}{2} k - q)^2 (\frac{1}{2} k + q)^2} \frac{1}{q^2 - \kappa^2 - i0} .
\]  

(A1)

Integration over \( k \) can be done using the following formula (see Appendix B for the derivation)

\[
\int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} k - q) \cdot (\frac{1}{2} k + q)}{(\frac{1}{2} k - q)^2 (\frac{1}{2} k + q)^2} e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot x} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{q \cdot x}{q^2 x^2} \sin(2 q \cdot x) .
\]

(A2)

The subsequent integration over \( \kappa \) is a Fourier transformation of a two-dimensional Feynman propagator and can be expressed in terms of the Hankel function

\[
\int \frac{d^2 \kappa}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i \mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \xi} \frac{1}{q^2 - \kappa^2 - i0} = \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(2)}(q \xi) ,
\]

(A3)

where \( q = |q|, \xi = |\xi| \). With the aid of (A2) and (A3) we obtain

\[
F(\xi, x) = \frac{i}{8\pi^3 x} \int_0^\infty dq H_0^{(2)}(q \xi) J_1(2qx) .
\]

(A4)

This integral can be taken by analytically continuing the Hankel function to imaginary values of \( q \) with the help of Eq. 9.6.4 of Ref. [34]

\[
K_0(ir) = -\frac{i\pi}{2} H_0^{(2)}(r) ,
\]

(A5)
and using Eq. 6.576.3 of Ref. [35]. The result is

\[ F(\xi, x) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 x^2} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2} \right). \] (A6)

To calculate the cross section (23) we use the following integral

\[ \int d^2 \xi e^{-i\frac{1}{2} \xi (l_2 - l_1)} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2} \right) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty d\xi \xi J_0 \left( \frac{1}{2} \xi |l_2 - l_1| \right) \ln \left( \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2} - 1 \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{16\pi}{(l_2 - l_1)^2} \left[ 1 - i\rho |l_2 - l_1| K_1(i\rho |l_2 - l_1|) \right]. \] (A7)

Inserting (A6) and (A7) into (23) and using \( K_1^*(i\rho) = K_1(-i\rho) \) we derive (25).

Let us also note for future reference the following integral

\[ \int_0^\infty d\xi \left| \ln \left( 1 - \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2} \right) \right|^2 = \int_0^{2x} d\xi \xi \left[ \ln^2 \left( \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2} - 1 \right) + \pi^2 \right] + \int_2^\infty d\xi \xi \ln^2 \left( 1 - \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{2\pi^2 x^2}{3} + \frac{4\pi^2 x^2}{3} = \frac{4\pi^2 x^2}{3}. \] (A8)

**APPENDIX B: DERIVATION OF (A2)**

Consider an auxiliary function \( G(x, q) \) defined as following

\[ G(x, q) = \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ik \cdot x} \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2} k - q)^2 (\frac{1}{2} k + q)^2}. \] (B1)

One can readily verify that

\[ \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} k - q \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} k + q \right) e^{-ik \cdot x} = -\left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x + iq \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x - iq \right) G(x, q). \] (B2)

Thus, the problem is reduced to evaluation of \( G(x, q) \). Using the Feynman’s trick we write

\[ G(x, q) = \int_0^1 d\alpha \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ik \cdot x} \frac{1}{\alpha(\frac{1}{2} k - q)^2 + (1 - \alpha)(\frac{1}{2} k + q)^2}. \]

Introducing a new vector \( k' = \frac{1}{2} k + (1 - 2\alpha) q \) we come by

\[ G(x, q) = 4 \int_0^1 d\alpha e^{2(1-2\alpha)iq \cdot x} \int \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-2ik' \cdot x} \frac{1}{(k'^2 + 4\alpha(1-\alpha)q^2)^2} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 d\alpha e^{2(1-2\alpha)iq \cdot x} \frac{x}{q\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}} K_1(4xq\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha))}. \] (B3)

Now, the integral (B1) is dominated by two IR logarithmic singularities at \( k = \pm 2q \) which tie in with emission of soft gluons \( k_1 = 0 \) and \( k_2 = 0 \) by the projectile. In (B3)
they correspond to the values $\alpha = 0, 1$ of the Feynman parameter $\alpha$. Keeping only the logarithmically divergent terms we derive

$$G(x, q) = \frac{x}{\pi q} \left( e^{2i q \cdot x} + e^{-2i q \cdot x} \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha}} K_1(4xq\sqrt{\alpha}) \right).$$  \tag{B4}

Changing the integration variable $\alpha = \beta^2$ yields

$$G(x, q) = \frac{4x}{\pi q} \cos(2q \cdot x) \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_0^\infty d\beta \beta \delta K_1(4xq\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi q^2} \cos(2q \cdot x) \ln(1/x\mu) \right).$$  \tag{B5}

Finally, using (B5) in (B2) we arrive at (A2).

An important remark is in order here. Eq. (A2) is not valid in two limits: (i) $x \to 0$, $q$ fixed and (ii) $q \to 0$, $x$ fixed, though it holds in the limit $qx \to 0$. The reason is that the integral on the left-hand-side of (A2) is symmetric with respect to transformation $x \leftrightarrow q$. This can be verified using twice the formula

$$\frac{k}{k^2} = \int d^2z e^{ik \cdot z} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{z}{z^2}$$  \tag{B6}

to transform the integrand into the coordinate space. This symmetry property is violated in the above mentioned limiting cases (i) and (ii). The values of the integral in these cases are

$$\int \frac{d^2k}{(\frac{1}{2}k)^2} e^{-i k \cdot x} = 8\pi \ln(1/x\mu)$$

and

$$\int d^2k \left( \frac{1}{2} k - q \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} k + q \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} k - q \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} k + q \right)^2 = -8\pi \ln(q/\mu),$$

where $\mu$ is an IR cutoff.

**APPENDIX C: CONVERGENCE OF THE INTEGRAL IN (26)**

Consider the following integral

$$I(M, k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2x}{x^2} \int \frac{d^2y}{y^2} e^{ik \cdot (x-y)} \left[ 1 - ixM K_1(ixM) \right] \left[ 1 + iyM K_1(-iyM) \right] \Xi(x, y).$$

The dipole scattering amplitude $\Xi(x, y) \leq 1$ by unitarity. Therefore,

$$I(M, k) \leq \left| \int \frac{d^2x}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik \cdot x} \left[ 1 - ixM K_1(ixM) \right] \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} J_0(kx) \left[ 1 - ixM K_1(ixM) \right] \right|^2,$$
where \( x = |x| \) and \( k = |k| \) as usual. Since (see (A7))
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} J_0(kx) \left[ 1 - ix M K_1(ixM) \right] = \ln \left( \frac{M^2}{k^2} - 1 \right),
\] (C1)
we derive
\[
I(M, k) \leq \left| \ln \left( \frac{M^2}{k^2} - 1 \right) \right|^2.
\] (C2)
This expression is finite apart from the logarithmic divergence at \( k = M \) corresponding to \( l_1 \cdot l_2 = 0 \). Integration over \( M \) in (26) is thus bounded by (see (A8))
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{dM}{M^3} I(M, k) \leq \int_0^k \frac{dM}{M^3} \ln^2 \left( 1 - \frac{M^2}{k^2} \right) + \int_0^\infty \frac{dM}{M^3} \left[ \ln^2 \left( \frac{M^2}{k^2} - 1 \right) + \pi^2 \right] = \frac{5\pi^2}{6k^2}.
\] (C3)
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