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**Background.** Resurrection plants constitute a unique cadre within angiosperms. *Boea clarkeana* Hemsl. (*Boea*, Gesneriaceae) is a desiccation-tolerant dicotyledonous herb that is endemic to China. Although research on angiosperms with DT could be instructive for crops, genomic resources for *B. clarkeana* remain scarce. In addition, transcriptome sequencing could be an effective way to study desiccation-tolerant plants. **Methods.** In the present study, we used the platform Illumina HiSeq™ 2000 and *de novo* assembly technology to obtain leaf transcriptomes of *B. clarkeana* and conducted a BLASTX alignment of the sequencing data and protein databases for sequence classification and annotation. Then, based on the sequence information obtained, we developed EST-SSR markers by means of EST-SSR mining, primer design and polymorphism identification.

**Results.** A total of 91,449 unigenes were generated from the leaf cDNA library of *B. clarkeana* in this study. Based on a sequence similarity search with a known protein database, 72,087 unigenes were annotated. Among the annotated unigenes, a total of 71,170 unigenes showed significant similarity to known proteins of 463 popular model species in the Nr database, and 59,962 unigenes and 32,336 unigenes were assigned to GO classifications and COG, respectively. In addition, 44,924 unigenes were mapped in 128 KEGG pathways. Furthermore, a total of 7,610 unigenes with 8,563 microsatellites were found. Seventy-four primer pairs were selected from 436 primer pairs designed for polymorphism validation. SSRs with higher polymorphism rates were concentrated on dinucleotides, pentanucleotides and hexanucleotides. Finally, 17 pairs with highly polymorphic and stable loci were selected for polymorphism screening. There were a total of 65 alleles, with 2-6 alleles at each locus. Mainly due to the unique biological characteristics of plants, the $H_e$, $H_o$ and PIC per locus were very low, ranging from 0 to 0.196, 0.082 to 0.14 and 0 to 0.155, respectively. **Discussion.** A substantial fraction
transcriptome sequences of *B. clarkeana* were generated in this study, which is the first molecular-level analysis of this plant. These sequences are valuable resources for gene annotation and discovery and molecular marker development. These sequences could also provide a valuable basis for the future molecular study of *B. clarkeana*.
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Abstract

Background. Resurrection plants constitute a unique cadre within angiosperms. *Boea clarkeana* Hemsl. (*Boea*, Gesneriaceae) is a desiccation-tolerant dicotyledonous herb that is endemic to China. Although research on angiosperms with DT could be instructive for crops, genomic resources for *B. clarkeana* remain scarce. In addition, transcriptome sequencing could be an effective way to study desiccation-tolerant plants.

Methods. In the present study, we used the platform Illumina HiSeq™ 2000 and de novo assembly technology to obtain leaf transcriptomes of *B. clarkeana* and conducted a BLASTX alignment of the sequencing data and protein databases for sequence classification and annotation. Then, based on the sequence information obtained, we developed EST-SSR markers by means of EST-SSR mining, primer design and polymorphism identification.

Results. A total of 91,449 unigenes were generated from the leaf cDNA library of *B. clarkeana* in this study. Based on a sequence similarity search with a known protein database, 72,087 unigenes were annotated. Among the annotated unigenes, a total of 71,170 unigenes showed significant similarity to known proteins of 463 popular model species in the Nr database, and 59,962 unigenes and 32,336 unigenes were assigned to GO classifications and COG, respectively. In addition, 44,924 unigenes were mapped in 128 KEGG pathways. Furthermore, a total of 7,610 unigenes with 8,563 microsatellites were found. Seventy-four primer pairs were selected from 436 primer pairs designed for polymorphism validation. SSRs with higher polymorphism rates were concentrated on dinucleotides, pentanucleotides and hexanucleotides. Finally, 17 pairs with highly polymorphic and stable loci were selected for polymorphism screening. There were a total
of 65 alleles, with 2–6 alleles at each locus. Mainly due to the unique biological characteristics of
plants, the $H_E$, $H_O$ and PIC per locus were very low, ranging from 0 to 0.196, 0.082 to 0.14 and 0
to 0.155, respectively.

Discussion. A substantial fraction transcriptome sequences of *B. clarkeana* were generated in
this study, which is the first molecular-level analysis of this plant. These sequences are valuable
resources for gene annotation and discovery and molecular marker development. These
sequences could also provide a valuable basis for the future molecular study of *B. clarkeana*. 

Introduction

Resurrection plants have desiccation tolerance (DT), which enables them to recover full metabolic competence upon rehydration after losing most of their cellular water (>95%) for extended periods of time (Farrant, Brandt & Lindsey, 2007). DT is commonly found in non-vascular plants and spores of tracheophytes (Rodriguez et al., 2010). It is rare in angiosperms (Porembski & Barthlott, 2000; Proctor & Pence, 2002) and in vegetative tissues of higher plants (Gaff, 1971). The mechanisms of DT are different between the extant lower orders and angiosperms (Farrant, Brandt & Lindsey, 2007). Understanding how plants with DT survive and respond to dehydration has great significance for plant biology and crop drought tolerance improvement, which could contribute to future water resource management decisions (Oliver et al., 2011a; Gechev et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2015), and research on angiosperms with DT could be instructive for crops (Farrant, Brandt & Lindsey, 2007). In recent decades, efforts have focused on revealing the physiological and molecular mechanisms and their recovery processes in angiosperm plants with DT (Bianchi et al., 1993; Bernacchia, Salamini & Bartels, 1996; Sherwin & Farrant, 1998; Cooper & Farrant, 2002; Collett et al., 2003, 2004; Schneider et al., 2003; Alcazar et al., 2011; Oliver et al., 2011a, 2011b; Christ et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2015).

While a functional genomic approach, such as transcriptome sequencing, could be fruitful for exploring the mechanisms of DT (Xiao et al., 2015), transcriptomics could identify the metabolic processes involved in DT. Expressed sequence tag (EST) and EST-SSR (simple sequence repeat, a.k.a. microsatellite) markers could also be developed from transcriptome sequences (Dinakar & Bartels, 2013). EST-SSRs may regulate gene expression and function, making them valuable
resources for identifying associations with functional genes and phenotypes in future genetic studies (Zalapa et al., 2012). Therefore, transcriptomics would help to understand the mechanisms of DT. However, to our knowledge, only a few gene expression and EST sequencing studies have been performed in angiosperms with DT, including the dicot species *Craterostigma plantagineum* (Bockel, Salamini & Bartels, 1998), *Boea hygrometrica* (Xiao et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015), and *Haberlea rhodopensis* (Rodriguez et al., 2010; Gechev et al., 2013) and the monocot species *Sporobolus stapfianus* (Neale et al., 2000; Le et al., 2007), *Xerophyta viscosa* (Mundree et al., 2000; Mowla et al., 2002; Lehner et al., 2008), and *Xerophyta humilis* (Collett et al., 2004; Illing et al., 2005; Mulako et al., 2008).

*Boea* (Gesneriaceae) is a rare group of resurrection plants within angiosperms (Liu, Hu & Zhao, 2007; Xiao et al., 2015). *Boea clarkeana* Hemsl. is a desiccation-tolerant herb endemic to China. The whole plant, detached leaf and leaf segment all retain the DT phenotype, and this excellent drought-tolerant plant has been of concern in the last few years (Chao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). *B. clarkeana* is a small perennial dicotyledonous plant that is mainly distributed in 8 provinces and 1 municipality (Li, 1996; Li & Wang, 2005) along the middle-lower reaches of the Yangtze River in China. It is only found on rock outcrops (such as inselbergs) among some lithophytes, similar to mosses, ferns and ferns allies (Jenks & Wood, 2007). It is commonly used as a medicinal plant to treat traumatic hemorrhage and traumatic injury (Li & Wang, 2005). Genomic sequences of *B. clarkeana*, however, are scarce, with only a few nucleotide sequences found in public databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). To fill this critical gap and obtain the first genomic resources, we used the platform Illumina HiSeq™ 2000.
and de novo assembly technology to obtain leaf transcriptomes of *B. clarkeana* and conducted a BLASTX (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) alignment of the sequencing data and protein databases for sequence classification and annotation. We developed polymorphic EST-SSR molecular markers based on the obtained sequence information. The preliminary accumulation of molecular data for *B. clarkeana* will help to understand transcript gene functions and classifications. Furthermore, molecular marker development can contribute to subsequent molecular studies of this plant with DT.

### Materials and Methods

#### Plant materials and genomic DNA extraction

The materials of 11 natural populations were sampled from 6 provinces and 1 municipality that covered the vast majority of the natural habitats of *B. clarkeana* in China (Li & Wang, 2005). Young leaves were collected, rapidly dried and preserved in silica gel. DNA extraction was carried out with the QIAGEN® DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germany).

#### RNA isolation and cDNA library construction

The young leaves of three individual *B. clarkeana* plants from the population of Fenghuangshan in Anhui Province (30°88′ N, 118°02′ E) were collected, mixed and frozen in liquid nitrogen; then, the sampled tissues were stored at -80°C until used for RNA extraction. Total RNA isolation using a TRIzol kit (Life Technologies, USA) and DNase I (TaKaRa, Japan) followed the manufacturer's protocols. After total RNA was obtained, mRNA + poly(A) were isolated.
using beads with Oligo (dT), and fragmentation buffer was added to cut mRNA into short fragments. Then, the transcription of RNA sequence fragments constituted first-strand cDNA using reverse transcriptase and random primers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and the second-strand cDNA was synthesized using buffer, dNTPs, RNaseH and DNA polymerase I. Followed by the ligation of adapters, a single ‘A’ base was added to the 3’ end of these cDNA fragments for end repair. Based on the amplification of these products, the cDNA library was generated and was separated on an agarose gel.

**Sequencing and de novo assembly**

The raw reads were produced from a cDNA library with an Illumina HiSeq™ 2000 genomic sequencer at the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Shenzhen, China, http://www.genomics.cn/index). The subsequent analysis was based on clean reads that were generated by filtering raw reads. We therefore used the filter_fq program (BGI, Shenzhen, China) to remove reads with more than 5% unknown nucleotides ‘N’ and low-quality sequences with more than 20% low-quality bases (quality value ≤10) and adaptors to obtain clean reads. Then, we used the short read assembly program Trinity (Release-2013-02-25, http://trinityrnaseq.sourceforge.net/) for transcriptome de novo assembly (Grabherr et al., 2011) by combining clean reads to contigs with a sequence fragment length range of 200 bp (±25 bp), and two contigs were connected into a single scaffold. We called the resulting sequences unigenes. These unigenes were removed to prevent redundancy with TGICL (version 2.1) and further spliced to generate non-redundant unigenes that were as long as possible (Pertea et al.,
The raw sequencing data with accession number SRX1600046 were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) of National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), which will be released in March 2018.

**Functional annotation and classification of unigenes**

BLASTX ([http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi](http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi)) alignment ($E$-value < $10^{-5}$) between the unigenes and protein databases, such as NCBI non-redundant protein (Nr), Gene Ontology (GO, [http://www.blast2go.com/b2ghome](http://www.blast2go.com/b2ghome)), and Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/)), was performed to annotate and classify the transcriptome. Based on the Nr database annotation, we used Blast2GO v2.5.0 ([Conesa et al., 2005](https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.2603v1)) to obtain GO terms with an $E$-value threshold of $10^{-5}$. With the Web Gene Ontology Annotation Plot (WEGO) ([Ye et al., 2006](https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.2603v1)), the distributions of GO terms were plotted to describe the categories, and the unigenes were also aligned to the COG database for possible functional prediction and classification. The unigenes containing SSRs were also aligned to euKaryotic Orthologous Groups (KOGs) through BLASTX. Finally, we annotated the unigenes to each level 3 pathway graph by mapping using the KEGG database to obtain pathway annotation for the unigenes ([Kanehisa et al., 2008](https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.2603v1)).

**Detection and filtering of SNPs**

Using SOAPsnp (Release 1.03) with All - Unigene as a reference to find the SNP for each sample, we analyzed the commonalities and differences of all SNP sites among the samples (Li...
et al., 2009).

EST-SSR mining, primer design and polymorphism identification

SSRs from unigenes were detected and located using MIcroSAellite (MISA, http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/misa.html) (Zalapa et al., 2012). Compound SSRs (two or more SSRs in which the interval was no more than 100 bp) were excluded, and only SSRs with flanking sequences longer than 150 bp and containing 2 to 6 repeat motifs were considered. The mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-nucleotide motif SSRs with a minimum of 12, 6, 5, 5, 4 and 4 repeats, respectively, were detected. We designed primer pairs with the online Primer3.0 (http://www.onlinedown.net/soft/51549.htm) using the following criteria: (1) a product sequences length of 100–300 bp and no secondary structure; (2) a primer length of 18–28 bp with an optimum of 23 bp; (3) a Tm of 55–65°C with an optimum of 60°C (with a difference between the Tm values of the forward and reverse primers no greater than 4°C); and (4) a GC content of 40–60% with 50% as the optimum. For other parameters, the default settings were used.

Seventy-four primer pairs divided into two groups were selected for DNA amplification. The first group of 50 primer pairs was randomly selected for amplification, and the motifs that had more polymorphic alleles in the first group would increase the selected ratio in the second 24 primer pairs. The mixed DNA from 3 individuals of *B. clarkeana* from different populations was used to verify amplification products, and the primers that amplified successfully were chosen for primary polymorphism identification, for which amplification was conducted using 12
individuals from 11 natural populations. Then, 128 individuals from 11 populations were amplified using primer pairs that had more polymorphic loci for further polymorphism identification.

The reverse primer with fluorescent (6-FAM, HEX, TAMRA or ROX) M13 forward primer (M13F: 5’-GTAAAACGACGGCCAG-3’) tails was used to accurately screen the variation among individuals. PCR was performed in a 15-μL reaction containing 2.5 mM MgCl₂ and dNTP (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), 0.5 U of Taq polymerase (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), 1× PCR buffer, and 50 ng of genomic DNA. The primers included 0.04 μM forward primer, 0.04 μM reverse primer with fluorescent M13 tails, and 0.01 μM M13 reverse primer (M13R: 5’-CAGGAAAC AGCTATGAC-3’). The annealing temperature was different for each locus. We used 54°C as the unified annealing temperature for PCR, and the amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 40 s annealing at 54°C, and 45 s elongation at 72°C; and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. After screening on a 1.0% agarose gel, the sequence typing of successful products was carried out with an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). Then, we manually scored alleles using GeneMarker software (version 2.2.0).

Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were calculated using GENEPOP on the Web (http://www.genepop.curtin.edu.au/) with Bonferroni’s correction. The number of alleles ($N_a$) was calculated using MicroChecker (version 2.2.3). The expected ($H_e$) and observed heterozygosity ($H_o$) of each locus were detected by GenALEX 6 (Peakall & Smouse, 2006), and the polymorphism information content (PIC) was calculated using program PowerMarker.
(version 3.25) (Liu & Muse, 2005). Then, neutral markers were detected using LOSITAN (Beaumont & Nichols, 1996; Antao et al., 2008).

**Results**

**Illumina sequencing and de novo assembly**

A total of 9,361,934,460 nt bases were generated in this study. After cleaning and quality checks, we obtained 104,021,494 clean reads with Q20 bases (sequences with sequencing error rates <1%) at 97.55%, and the N (ambiguous bases) and GC contents were 0 and 45.43%, respectively. *De novo* assembly was carried out with the program Trinity; a total of 94,546 contigs were generated with an average length of 487 nt and an N50 value of 1,075 nt. Finally, a total of 91,449 unigenes with a total length of 148,176,175 nt were detected; the average length and N50 were 1,620 nt and 2,389 nt, respectively. A summary of the sequence assembly after Illumina sequencing is shown in Table 1. The sequence-length distribution of the unigenes is shown in Fig. 1.

**Functional annotation and classification of unigenes**

For function annotation analysis, we obtained 71,170, 59,962, 32,336 and 44,929 unigenes annotated to the Nr, GO, COG and KEGG databases, respectively. The total number of annotated unigenes was 72,087 (78.82% of all unigenes).

**Nr annotation**
Using BLAST, 71,170 unigenes were annotated from 463 popular model species with databases of Nr. The species distribution of Nr annotations (Fig. 2) comprised *Lycopersicon esculentum* (35.1%), *Vitis vinifera* (27.8%), *Amygdalus persica* (6.7%), castor bean (*Ricinus communis*; 6.1%), black cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa*; 5.2%), *Fragaria vesca* subsp. *vesca* (3.2%) and *Glycine max* (2.8%). Only a small fraction of all transcripts showed similarities to genes in other species. The most common species found in terms of this similarity were those of Solanaceae; only 25 species had genes similar (≥100) to those of *B. clarkeana* (not shown in the figure), and there were 6 species with genes similar to those of Solanaceae (26,585, 37.35%).

**Gene ontology (GO) classification**

Based on Nr annotations, 59,962 unigenes (65.57% of all unigenes) were assigned to three ontologies and subdivided into 55 subcategories with 501,897 functional GO terms of GO classifications (Fig. 3). Among these GO terms, the proportions of the Biological process, Cellular component and Molecular function ontologies were 49.45%, 37.11% and 13.43%, respectively. In the Biological process ontology, a high percentage of genes was classified under ‘Cellular process’ (39,131, 65.26% of Nr unigenes), ‘Metabolic process’ (36,670, 61.16%) and ‘Single-organism process’ (28,177, 46.99%), while only a few genes were classified under the terms ‘Locomotion’ (58, 0.10%), ‘Rhythmic process’ (441, 0.74%) and ‘Biological adhesion’ (549, 0.92%). ‘Cell’ and ‘Cell part’ were the same (47,457, 79.15%) in the Cellular component category, followed by ‘Organelle’ (38,055, 63.47%). Regarding Molecular function, the most represented category was ‘Catalytic activity’ (30,599, 51.03%), followed by ‘Binding’ (27,383,
COG and KOG classification of unigenes with SSRs

In total, 56,493 functionally annotated unigenes from 32,336 (35.36% of all unigenes) COG unigenes were assigned to 25 possible functional categories in COG annotations (Fig. 4-A). Among the categories, the largest group was the cluster for ‘General function prediction only’ (10,438, 32.28%), followed by ‘Replication, recombination and repair’ (5,561, 17.20%) and ‘Transcription’ (5,322, 13.46%). The smallest groups were ‘Cell motility’ (228, 0.71%), ‘Extracellular structures’ (17, 0.05%) and ‘Nuclear structure’ (14, 0.04%). After SSR detection using the software MIcroSAtellite (MISA) with unigenes as references, 7,610 unigenes carrying 8,563 SSRs were found. Then, 3,267 unigenes with SSRs had hits in 24 categories of the KOG database without ‘Nuclear structure’ (Fig. 4-B). Among 24 categories, the largest group was ‘General function prediction’ (1,166, 35.69% of unigenes with SSRs in KOG), followed by ‘Transcription’ (797, 24.40%), ‘Replication, recombination and repair’ (737, 22.56%) and ‘Signal transduction mechanisms’ (684, 20.94%).

Functional classification using KEGG

Based on sequence homology searches against the KEGG database, 44,924 unigenes (49.12% of all unigenes) were mapped in 128 pathways. Among these pathways, ‘Metabolic pathway’ (9,232, 20.55% of KEGG unigenes) and ‘Metabolic biosynthesis of secondary metabolites’ (3,764, 8.38%) were the largest categories of Metabolism. However, the second category was
also the greatest highlight of the KEGG pathway, with *B. clarkeana* as an environment-related pathway, in addition to ‘Plant hormone signal transduction’ (1,783, 3.97%), ‘Plant-pathogen interaction’ (1,769, 3.94%), ‘Phosphatidylinositol signaling system’ (535, 1.19%), ‘ABC transporters’ (499, 1.11%) and ‘Circadian rhythm-plant’ (377, 0.84%).

### SNP detection

SNPs with at least 150 bp of flanking sequence on both sides were selected for further analysis. After quality filtering, a total of 11,330 high-quality SNPs were identified from all of the unigenes. The predicted SNPs included 6,903 transitions (C-T, 3,446 and A-G, 3,457) and 4,427 transversions (A-T, 1,293; A-C, 1,189; G-T, 1,203; and C-G, 742).

### Frequency and distribution of SSRs

All 91,449 unigenes assembled were used to mine potential SSRs in this study, and a total of 7,610 unigenes containing 8,563 SSRs were identified. Among those unigenes with SSRs, 338 SSRs presented a compound formation, and 812 unigenes contained more than one SSR. On average, one SSR was found every 17.30 kb. Among SSRs, dinucleotide motifs were the most abundant (3,991, 46.61% of all SSRs), followed by mono- (2,163, 25.26%), tri- (1,957, 22.85%), hexa- (267, 3.12%), tetra- (198, 2.3%), and penta- (36, 0.42%) nucleotide motifs. The distribution and frequency of different motifs are shown in Fig. 5.

Among all SSR loci, 109 different motifs were identified. A/T (2,093, 24.44% of all SSRs) comprised the main part of the mononucleotide, and there were only 70 C/G in total. Of
the dinucleotides, AT/TA (1,564, 18.26%) and AG/CT (1,391, 16.24%) were roughly equivalent, followed by AC/GT (1,035, 12.09%). Of the trinucleotides, AAG/CTT (441, 5.15%) was the most common, followed by AAT/ATT (389, 4.54%), AGC/GCT (341, 3.98%), AGG/CCT (284, 3.32%) and ATC/GAT (232, 2.71%). The ACAT/ATGT (18, 0.21%) motif comprised the most common tetranucleotides, and the most common pentanucleotides and hexanucleotides were AAAAG/CTTTT (42, 0.49%) and AAGAGC/GCTCTT (68, 0.79%, Fig. 6), respectively.

The repeat numbers of most SSRs ranged from 4 to 12, and the most frequent repeat number was 6 (2,066, 24.13%), followed by 5 (1,233, 14.40%) and 7 (1,113, 13.00%). Furthermore, the length of SSRs ranged from 12 to 25 bp. The most common length was 12 bp (2,442, 28.52%), followed by 15 bp (1,421, 16.60%) and 14 bp (1,111, 12.97%) (Fig. 7). Among dinucleotides and trinucleotides, the most common lengths were 12 bp and 15 bp, respectively. The longest length of di-, tri- and tetranucleotides was 24 bp, while the longest length of pentanucleotides was 25 bp; all hexanucleotides were 24 bp.

**Development and validation of polymorphic SSR markers**

As a result, a total of 436 (only 5.73% of all sequences with SSRs) eligible primer pairs (mononucleotide, 1; di-, 191; tri-, 205; tetra-, 5; penta-, 12; hexa-, 22) were designed using Primer 3.0. The other 7,174 sequences were not successful in primer design mainly due to too-long sequence lengths, insufficient flank lengths, and abundant sequences with mononucleotides. Then, 74 primer pairs (dinucleotide, 20; tri-, 38; penta-, 3; hexa-, 13) were selected to validate amplification across a composite sample of 3 individuals. A total of 60 primer pairs (81.08% of
74 primer pairs) showed stable and clear amplification. Meanwhile, the 14 remaining pairs with failed PCR produced multiple bands or amplified unstably. Twenty-three primer pairs were found to be monomorphic and 37 were found to be polymorphic after polymorphism screening across 12 individuals. Among 37 polymorphic primer pairs, 17 pairs of highly polymorphic and stable loci were selected for further screening across 128 individuals from 11 populations. For the 17 polymorphic loci, there were 2–6 alleles at each locus, with a total of 65 alleles. The $H_E$, $H_O$ and PIC per locus ranged from 0 to 0.196, 0 to 0.14 and 0.155 to 0.664, respectively. For the PIC values of the 17 polymorphic loci, 8 pairs having highly informative scores (PIC>0.50) and 5 pairs having weakly informative scores (0<PIC<0.25). Two primers (BC6 and BC11) could not be calculated, and BC14 significantly deviated from HWE. The other 14 primers had no significant departures from HWE after Bonferroni’s correction (Table 2). The neutrality test by LOSITAN showed that all 17 primer pairs agreed with the neutral theory (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

**Assembly and functional annotation of unigenes**

**Unigenes**

Sequencing success was determined by the length of the reads, as longer reads would increase the probability of SSRs being discovered (Zalapa et al., 2012). The final assembled transcripts (average length was 1,620 nt; N50 was 2,389 nt) were longer than the sibling species, i.e., the *Primulina* species with Illumina (Ai et al., 2015) and *B. hygrometrica* using the 454 pyrosequencing platform (Zhu et al., 2015), which produced longer reads than did Illumina.
Therefore, the sequencing results were ideal in this study.

Annotation

The predicted genes were functionally annotated using Nr, GO, KEGG and COG. In total, 72,078 unigenes (78.82% of all assembled unigenes) were successfully annotated in the present study, which was more than in the previous desiccation-tolerant plants reported for *B. hygrometrica* (66.6% (Zhu et al., 2015), 47.09% (Xiao et al. 2015)) and *Syntrichia caninervis* (58.7%) (Gao et al., 2014), which indicates that the functions of genes in *B. clarkeana* are better conserved. The structural features of the protein-coding gene complements (the species distribution of Nr annotation) for desiccation-tolerant plants in a previous report for *C. plantagineum* (Rodriguez et al., 2010), *B. hygrometrica* (Zhu et al., 2015) and *H. rhodopensis* (Gechev et al., 2013) were similar. Mainly, *V. vinifera*, *R. communis* and *P. trichocarpa* showed significant homology, but *B. clarkeana* in our study was obviously different, mainly due to *L. esculentum* (35.1%), *V. vinifera* (27.8%) and *A. persica* (6.7%). These species reflect a common origin with Solanales and Rhamnales different from *B. hygrometrica* (Xiao et al., 2015).

The enrichment of the GO (65.57% of all unigenes) and KEGG (49.12%) annotation in this study was much greater for *B. hygrometrica* (GO, 28.71%, KEGG, 24.43%; GO, 43.7%, KEGG, 15.1%) (Xiao et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015). The KEGG annotation in our study was enriched in the following vegetative dehydration/desiccation pathways: ‘Plant-pathogen interaction’ (1,769 unigenes, 3.94% of KEGG unigenes) in the pathogen defense system; ‘Glycerophospholipid metabolism’ (803, 1.79%) for protein receptor interactions in vesicular
trafficking; ‘Plant hormone signal transduction’ (1,783, 3.97%) of abiotic stress responses; the mRNA surveillance (1,027, 2.29%) pathway for damaged transcript removal; and Photosynthesis (154, 0.34%) and nitrogen metabolism (154, 0.34%) for the depletion of transcripts in dehydration (Xiao et al., 2015). The results of our study are consistent with genes and gene products whose central core is associated with DT in plants.

The cluster for ‘General function prediction only’ among all COG categories was the largest group in our study. This pattern is similar for some angiosperms, including Camelina sativa (Liang et al., 2013), Apium graveolens (Fu, Wang & Shen, 2013) and Chrysanthemum nankingense (Wang et al., 2013). The ‘Replication, recombination and repair’ (17.20%) category of B. clarkeana was much larger and showed more repaired genes in the plant.

Characteristics of EST-SSRs

In the present study, a total of 7,610 unigenes with 8,563 EST-SSRs were identified from the transcriptome of B. clarkeana. Compared with other reports for EST-SSRs identified using NGS (Next-Generation Sequencing, all of the approximately 2000 EST-SSRs) (Liu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Xiang et al., 2015), the quantity of EST-SSRs in our study was significantly larger, probably due to longer reads (Zalapa et al., 2012). In total, 3,267 unigenes with SSRs had hits in 24 categories of the KOG database compared with other studies of EST-SSRs (Li et al., 2012a; Liang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013). ‘Replication, recombination and repair’ and ‘Signal transduction mechanisms’ (684, 20.94%) were highlights for B. clarkeana.

Among the SSR repeats in our study, dinucleotide motifs were the most abundant (3,991,
46.61% of all SSRs), followed by mono- (2,163, 25.26%) and trinucleotide motifs (1,957, 22.85%). This result, is similar to reports on *A. graveolens* (Fu, Wang & Shen, 2013) and *Hevea brasiliensis* (Li et al., 2012a). Due to the long sequence length and short flack length, the vast majority of unigenes were not fit to design primers, and only 436 eligible primer pairs (mononucleotide, 1; di-, 191; tri-, 205; tetra-, 5; penta-, 12; hexa-, 22) were obtained. Additionally, 37 pairs (dinucleotide, 13; tri-, 13; penta-, 2; hexa-, 9) of 74 primer pairs (dinucleotide, 20; tri-, 38; penta-, 3; hexa-, 13) that were selected to validate amplification were polymorphic. The polymorphic percentage in dinucleotides was 65% (13 of the 20 selected were polymorphic), 34.21% (13 of 38) in trinucleotides, 66.67% (2 of 3) in pentanucleotides and 69.23% (9 of 13) in hexanucleotides.

Intrinsic features (such as repeat number, motif size, and length) could influence the rate and probability of slippage. These features were the strongest predictors of microsatellite mutability (Kelkar et al., 2008). The increased probability of slippage and mutation rates may be due to, for example, a greater number of repeats (Ellegren, 2004; Kelkar et al., 2008), a greater length irrespective of the repeat numbers (Webster, Smith & Ellegren, 2002), and lengths that were with inversely proportional to their motif sizes (Chakraborty et al., 1997). Additionally, the mutation rates might vary among SSRs with different motif compositions due to the dissimilarities of secondary DNA structure (Baldi & Baisnee, 2000). As a result, in our study, SSRs with higher polymorphism rates were concentrated on shorter motifs with a higher number of repeats (dinucleotides, 65%) and longer motifs with fewer repeats (hexanucleotides, 69.23%; pentanucleotides, 66.67%). Our analysis confirmed that mutability might increase with both
increased repeat number and greater length, as reported by Baldi and Baisnee (2000).

To increase accuracy in the polymorphism identification of primers and genetic variability comparison in the population, we chose 128 individuals from 11 populations that covered the majority of habitats of these plants for polymorphism screening. Nevertheless, the observed number of polymorphic primers was actually higher, but compared with other SSR and EST-SSR reports (Choudhary et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012a, 2012b; Yuan et al., 2012; Fu, Wang & Shen, 2013), the polymorphism level of the markers and the $H_O$, $H_E$, HWE and PIC of the population of *B. clarkeana* were still much lower in our study and were similar to those of *B. hygrometrica* (Xiao et al., 2015). These results could be attributed to two main reasons: first, the number of SSRs and polymorphisms of the DNA protein-coding sequence was expected to be lower than that in noncoding sequences, and the mutation rate within these regions was lower than that in other DNA sequences (Blanca et al., 2011; Zalapa et al., 2012). Second, due to the unique biological characteristics of *B. clarkeana*, the short stature of these plants could only be found on the north side of rock outcrops (mostly limestone) under the shade of trees and shrubs because these plants need scattered light (Chao et al., 2013), which might significantly reduce the potential for the long-distance dispersal of the wind-borne seeds. Furthermore, the occurrence of biparental inbreeding could be universal in the plants with high self-compatibility (Li & Wang, 2005), which would cause lower genetic variability within populations of *B. clarkeana*.

**Conclusions**

In this study, 91,449 unigenes were detected by NGS transcriptomics. A total of 8,563 SSRs
were identified from 7,610 unigenes, 72,087 unigenes were successfully annotated to protein databases, and polymorphic primer pairs of EST-SSRs were also developed. These results indicate that transcriptome sequencing is a highly efficient method of EST-SSR identification in non-model species that lack a reference genome and associations with functional genes. Therefore, by characterizing phenotypic features, these species can be identified (Li et al., 2002). These data will accelerate our assessment of functional gene identification and genetic variation in plants with DT, such as *B. clarkeana*. In addition, polymorphic primer pairs can continue to be developed from the remaining primers of EST-SSRs. The large-scale transcriptome dataset is a powerful resource for functional gene marker-assisted selection and DT exploration in *Boea*.
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## Tables

### Table 1 Summary of sequence assembly using Illumina sequencing

| Sequence | Items                              | Value            |
|----------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| **Reads**| Total Raw Reads                    | 110,834,050      |
|          | Total Clean Reads                  | 104,021,494      |
|          | Total Clean Nucleotides (nt)       | 9,361,934,460    |
|          | Q20 percentage (%)                 | 97.55            |
|          | N percentage (%)                   | 0                |
|          | GC percentage (%)                  | 45.43            |
| **Contig**| Total number                       | 94,546           |
|          | Total length (nt)                  | 46,012,409       |
|          | Mean length (nt)                   | 1,075            |
|          | Contig N50 (nt)                    | 487              |
| **Unigene**| Total number                       | 91,449           |
|          | Total length (nt)                  | 148,176,175      |
|          | Mean length (nt)                   | 1,620            |
|          | Unigene N50 (nt)                   | 2,389            |
|          | Distinct Clusters                  | 55,888           |
|          | Distinct Singletons                | 35,561,561       |
| Locus | Primer sequence 5'-3' | Repeat motif | \( N_A \) | Size range (bp) | \( H_E \) | \( H_O \) | HWE | PIC | GenBank Accession No. |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|----------------------|
| BC1   | F:GCAGTTCTGTGCAGTACCATACT | (TA)<sub>6</sub> | 4       | 172-182        | 0.065  | 0.038  | 0.036*  | 0.193 | Pr032805680          |
|       | R:TGGCTTCTGATCAGGTTCTCATAT |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC2   | F:AGATCCCAAGATGAGATCTTCTTT | (TC)<sub>6</sub> | 3       | 160-164        | 0.038  | 0.023  | 0.192 n.s | 0.423 | Pr032805681          |
|       | R:ACACTAATGGAAAAACAGTTGCCTGTC |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC3   | F:ATTCTGCTCTTGGTATGACTGT | (TA)<sub>6</sub> | 5       | 170-184        | 0.054  | 0.045  | 0.380 n.s | 0.664 | Pr032805682          |
|       | R:CCCAATTGAATGTTGCCCTTAC |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC4   | F:TATCAGCTGTGTGAAATAGTTGC | (TA)<sub>4</sub> | 4       | 157-163        | 0.097  | 0.045  | 0.064** | 0.491 | Pr032805683          |
|       | R:TCACCTAAATGGAATTAATCCATCA |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC5   | F:CAACATTGCTATAATACATCG | (TG)<sub>3</sub> | 3       | 119-125        | 0.079  | 0.083  | 0.713 n.s | 0.469 | Pr032805684          |
|       | R:CCATGATCATCTTTATTCAGGCT |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC6   | F:CTCTAAGGAGATGGATTGGTAGT | (TC)<sub>6</sub> | 3       | 159-169        | 0.000  | 0.087 n.s | - n.c. | 0.299 | Pr032805685          |
|       | R:GTATGAGGAGCATCAATAGGG |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC7   | F:GCTGAAGTTGTGGATTGGTAGT | (AT)<sub>4</sub> | 4       | 166-178        | 0.120  | 0.125  | 0.526 n.s | 0.486 | Pr032805686          |
|       | R:AGTTATGTCTCTGGTTCCTCAG |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC8   | F:AAGCTGAGGAGATGCTGTGGTA | (TG)<sub>3</sub> | 3       | 167-173        | 0.014  | 0.000  | 0.41 n.s | 0.17  | Pr032805687          |
|       | R:TCTTCCTACATCATTCCACAG |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC9   | F:AGAAGAGGCTGACAGTGGTCTGTG | (GCG)<sub>6</sub> | 2       | 156-159        | 0.059  | 0.064  | 1.000 n.s | 0.196 | Pr032805688          |
|       | R:TTCAACGTCCAAATCTTTAGTCTC |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC10  | F:CATGCTACATGAAGAGGAGGGT | (GCG)<sub>5</sub> | 4       | 108-129        | 0.081  | 0.076  | 0.146 n.s | 0.581 | Pr032805689          |
|       | R:GTAATGCGCTACATGAGCTCATC |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC11  | F:CAAGTATAGTGCGGAGTTTCTC | (TTTCT)<sub>4</sub> | 2       | 123-133        | 0.000  | 0.000  | - n.c. | 0.155 | Pr032805690          |
|       | R:TTCTTCTCCATACATCGTACC |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC12  | F:ACAAAGAGGCTGACATCGACAAAG | (CAGCA)<sub>4</sub> | 4       | 160-178        | 0.104  | 0.095  | 0.184 n.s | 0.549 | Pr032805691          |
|       | R:CAGCAATGTTGATATTGACAAGGAC |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC13  | F:ACCTTGGAGATCTCTATCCATCT | (GGTGCG)<sub>4</sub> | 4       | 132-174        | 0.124  | 0.095  | 0.161 n.s | 0.701 | Pr032805692          |
|       | R:TTATGCTTCCATACATCG |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC14  | F:GGCAGCGAATTATAGCTCAATAGG | (GACAAG)<sub>4</sub> | 4       | 170-188        | 0.196  | 0.083  | 0.000*** | 0.516 | Pr032805693          |
|       | R:ACCTGATGCTCCAAATCTCATC |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC15  | F:CTTCTTCAAACACACAGCTCTGA | (ATGTA)<sub>4</sub> | 5       | 151-175        | 0.157  | 0.140  | 0.228 n.s | 0.528 | Pr032805694          |
|       | R:TTGTCGACGTGATAATAAGGAA |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC16  | F:ACAAATGGTCTATATTTCAACCGG | (ATTACT)<sub>4</sub> | 6       | 149-179        | 0.132  | 0.125  | 0.174 n.s | 0.643 | Pr032805695          |
|       | R:TTGTCGCCCAATAGCTATCTACTA |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |
| BC17  | F:TGACGAGGCTTCTACAGAATGAG | (CATCCT)<sub>3</sub> | 2       | 137-143        | 0.034  | 0.045  | 1.000 n.s | 0.186 | Pr032805696          |
|       | R:TCAAAACACAAAGCTGGACATCAT |            |         |                |        |        |       |      |                       |

Note: \( N_A \) = number of alleles per locus across all populations; \( H_E \) = expected heterozygosity (mean value); \( H_O \) = observed heterozygosity (mean value); PIC, polymorphic information content; HWE = Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. After Bonferroni correction, the significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium: *
$P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$. n.s. = not significant, n.c. = not calculated (Clarke & Gorley, 2001).

**Figure captions**

Fig. 1 The length distribution of the unigenes
Fig. 2 The species distribution of Nr annotation
**Fig. 3 Gene ontology classification of unigenes**

GO functions are shown in the X-axis. The right Y-axis shows the number of genes with the GO function, and the left Y-axis shows the percentage.
**Fig. 4-A The COG functional classification of unigenes**

In Fig. 4-A and Fig. 4-B, the horizontal coordinates are functional classes of COG and KOG, and the vertical coordinates are numbers of unigenes in one class. The notation on the right in Fig. 4-A is the full name of the functions on the X-axis.
Fig. 4-B The KOG functional classification of unigenes with SSRs
Fig. 5 The distribution and frequency of different motifs
Fig. 6 The distribution of mainly repeated nucleotide types
Fig. 7 The distribution of SSRs of different lengths
Fig. 8 The neutral test results of 17 primer pairs using $F_{ST}$ and $H_E$ from 11 populations by LOSITAN