The maxillofacial injuries: A postmortem study

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of our study is to evaluate the incidence and etiology of maxillofacial fractures in autopsy cases of KGMU, Lucknow.

Materials and Methods: The sample consisted of 444 autopsy cases with maxillofacial injuries, who were brought to the mortuary of KGMU, Lucknow, for postmortem in the last year. Parameters such as gender, age, cause, type, and site of injury are evaluated.

Result: The results of this study show that road traffic accidents are the main reason for maxillofacial injuries in the deceased, followed by railway accidents. Maxillofacial injuries are more common in adult males than in females. Majority cases also involved maxilla and zygomatic along with mandible. The most common type of facial fracture was Le Fort-2 fracture.

Conclusion: Maxillofacial injuries are commonly seen in adult males, due to RTA, involving maxilla, zygomatic and mandible and presenting as Le Fort-2 fracture.
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INTRODUCTION

Sushruta, known as father of Indian Plastic Surgery, described an array of facial injuries and performed a rhinoplasty, the oldest plastic surgery operation in 600 BC. The injuries to the facial regions are highly significant for many reasons. Facial region provides anterior protection for the cranium and plays important role in its appearance also. Maxillofacial region is associated with a number of important functions of the daily life like-vision, smell, breathing, eating, and speaking. These functions are severely affected and ultimately result in poor quality of life in survivors.

Facial injuries are seen in significant proportion in trauma patients requiring prompt diagnosis and treatment, but majority of them usually prove to be fatal due to their serious complications or associated skull, brain, and cervical injuries. Maxillofacial injuries are commonly occur both in war and peace. The number of maxillofacial injuries is continuously increasing due to rise in day-to-day traffic, and failure to take preventive measures in the traffic leads to road traffic accidents (RTAs), and railway accidents.

The aim of this study was to find out the incidence and pattern of maxillofacial injuries resulting from various etiological factors. Because of its anatomical significance, the maxillofacial injuries remain serious clinical problems as important organs are also located in this area. Due to anatomical proximity together with maxillofacial injuries, the damage to the eyes and central nervous system may occur and injuries in this region can result in serious dysfunction and death. This descriptive, analytical study assesses the etiology, type, and demography of all maxillofacial fracture cases brought to our mortuary for autopsy purpose in the last 1 year.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample consisted of 444 autopsy cases with maxillofacial injuries from the Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology and Department of Plastic Surgery, KGMU, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, and Department of Forensic Chemistry, College of Forensic Sciences, Naif Arab University for Security Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The data were obtained from the mortuary of KGMU, Lucknow, in the last year.
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maxillofacial injuries, which were brought to the mortuary of KGMU, Lucknow, for postmortem purpose during the last 1 year (from July 2015 to June 2016).

The study was done on the basis of history obtained from the relatives or attendants and gross examination done during autopsy.

The parameters to assess included age, sex, etiology, fractured bones, involved areas, types of facial fractures, and other associated fractures.

RESULTS

In our study, ratio between male and female was 7:3 [Table 1]. The age group which was most commonly involved was 21-30 (34.90%) years, followed by 31-40 years (25.0%) while the least common age group involved was 0–10 years of age [Table 2]. The RTA (56.75%) was found to be the most common etiological factor, followed by railway accidents (29.72%) while fall from height was the least common age group involved in our study (2.70%) [Table 3]. Most of the patients had facial fractures including multiple bones like-mandible, maxilla, and zygomatic complex fracture (52.70%) followed by orbital floor fracture (13.73%) [Table 4 and Figure 1]. Among maxillary fractures, Le Fort 2 fracture was the most common fracture (54.27%) followed by Le Fort 3 and then Le Fort 1 [Table 5]. The most common bone fractures associated with maxillofacial fractures were seen in skull bones of 352 (79.27%) cases, followed by cervical spine fractures in 102 (22.97%) cases [Table 6]. In our study, driver of two wheelers (38.8%), followed by pedestrian (27.38%) are the most prone victims of maxillofacial injury during RTA [Table 7].

DISCUSSION

In our study, we found that males are more prone for trauma because of their outdoor works, rash driving tendencies, and alcoholism[1-3] while females are still reserved and deal with the household work and remained confined to indoors mainly. Male also have more likely to own a vehicle than their female counterpart. Other regions of world too reported the similar prevalence.[4] In our study, the most common age group affected was 21–40 years (67.31%). The more frequent involvement of 21–40 year age group may be due to their involvement increased in traveling to workplace and outdoor activities. Other studies also shows similar result.[5] The other causes of increased incidence of accidents in this age group may be their risk-taking behavior such as drinking.

| Table 1: Gender (n=444) |
|-------------------------|
| Gender                  |
| Number of cases (%)     |
| Male                    |
| 320 (72.07)             |
| Female                  |
| 124 (27.93)             |

| Table 2: Age group (n=444) |
|-----------------------------|
| Age group       |
| Number of cases (%) |
| 0-10               |
| 13 (2.90)          |
| 11-20              |
| 27 (6.08)          |
| 21-30              |
| 155 (34.90)        |
| 31-40              |
| 111 (25.00)        |
| 41-50              |
| 35 (7.88)          |
| 51-60              |
| 18 (4.05)          |
| 61-70              |
| 20 (4.50)          |
| 71-80              |
| 45 (10.13)         |
| 81-90              |
| 20 (4.50)          |

| Table 3: Etiology |
|-------------------|
| Factors           |
| Number of cases (%) |
| Road traffic accidents |
| 252 (56.75)        |
| Railway accidents  |
| 132 (29.72)        |
| Fall from height   |
| 12 (2.70)          |
| Assault            |
| 25 (5.63)          |
| Forearm injury     |
| 23 (5.18)          |

| Table 4: Fracture involving different bones (n=444) |
|-----------------|
| Bones           |
| Number of cases (%) |
| Mandible + maxilla + zygoma (complex) |
| 234 (52.70)     |
| Maxilla         |
| 32 (7.20)       |
| Zygomatic complex |
| 36 (8.10)       |
| Nasoorbito ethmoid |
| 46 (10.36)      |
| Orbital floor   |
| 61 (13.73)      |
| Frontal         |
| 35 (7.88)       |

| Table 5: Maxilla fractures |
|-----------------------------|
| Site                |
| Number of cases (%)  |
| Le Fort 1           |
| 74 (16.66)          |
| Le Fort 2           |
| 241 (54.27)         |
| Le Fort 3           |
| 129 (29.05)         |

| Table 6: Associated fractures |
|-------------------------------|
| Site                          |
| Number of cases (%)          |
| Skull                         |
| 352 (79.27)                  |
| Cervical spine                |
| 102 (22.97)                  |
| Upper limb                    |
| 81 (18.24)                   |
| Lower limb                    |
| 79 (17.79)                   |
| Other fractures               |
| 28 (6.30)                    |
along with the lack of knowledge or in most of the cases, violation of traffic rules. In contrast to a study performed by Siber et al., where fall from height was the most common cause of oromaxillofacial injuries in both men and women, we found that RTAs continue to be one of the leading cause of maxillofacial fracture in our study. High population burden on road, over speeding, and drinking either alone or in combination are major contributory factors in occurrence of RTAs. Adeyemo et al. also found that RTAs remains as the major cause of maxillofacial injuries, unlike most of the developed countries where interpersonal violence/assaults, have now replaced RTAs as to be the major cause of the injuries. This study shows that the most common cause of facial injuries was found to be RTAs, which is consistent with the observations of other studies in India and also of other countries followed by railway accidents which is due to negligence of vehicle drivers on railway crossings or often due to overloading of trains, specially falling while traveling on tops of railway bogies. The most common fracture observed in this study is involvement of mandible, maxilla, and zygomatic complex fracture. Bony prominences of the face are most prone areas for maxillofacial injury. Similar to our postmortem study, Motamedi et al., also found higher number of (72.9%) mandibular, (24.0%) zygomatico-orbital, (13.9%) maxillary, and (13.5%) injuries. In our scenario similar to Motamedi, in our postmortem study, we also reported Le Fort 2 to be the most common type of maxilla fracture. In contrast to the study of Austria where only one-fifth of all patients displayed concomitant injuries with cranial trauma, we found this in approximately two-third cases. Due to lack of safety measures such as wearing a helmet during driving and rash driving, cranial injuries are most common associated injuries. According to the WHO estimates, nearly 25% of all worldwide injury fatalities are due to road traffic crashes, and 90% of fatalities occur in low- and middle-income countries. RTAs have been steadily falling in the developed countries, but they still continue to rise with the horrifying speed in the low- and middle-income countries of Africa and Asia. It is also one of the major causes of death in India. The majority of the accidents results due to speeding, rash driving, and traffic rules violation. Alcoholism is globally associated with RTAs. Besides overloading and rash driving, fatigue is another important factor for road accidents, especially in commercial vehicle drivers who drive very long distances. There is also important role of bad road conditions in RTA but some studies reported even more of the RTAs on well paved and broad roads. Higher population density, especially of migrants who settled along the roadside in metro cities for search of work and food like rickshaw puller, are more prone victim for RTA. Similar to the study of other parts of India, we also found two-wheeler drivers, followed by pedestrian are most common victim of maxillofacial injury in RTA cases. Unawareness of traffic signals, listening music while walking by using earphone, ignoring traffic rules, bad road conditions, and rash driving are some common mistakes, which make them more prone for RTA.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of these study show that RTAs are the main reason for maxilla facial injuries followed by railway accidents. Maxillofacial injuries are more common in males than in females. The mandible was most frequently involved facial bone. Injury prevention and safety in developing countries must be based on local evidence and research and designed to suit the social, moral, and economic circumstances of the public of that particular country.
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