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“Sneaking through” has been defined as “the preferential take of tumours after small size inocula to a similar degree with that seen with large size inocula, compared to the rejection of medium sized inocula” (Klein, 1966). The phenomenon has been reported in several tumour systems, and may therefore represent an important mechanism for subverting host defences early in the development of a neoplasm (Naor, 1979). Sneaking through could in theory be due to a simple discrepancy in timing between growth and recognition that favours the tumour (Klein, 1966). Alternatively, it could be mediated by a specific interaction between the tumour and the host and, as such, represent a process analogous to low-zone tolerance (Mengersen et al., 1975; Kolsch & Mengersen, 1976) with the induction of suppressor T cells (Ts) (Mitchison, 1971).

Evidence is presented here in support of the second possibility, using Meth A, a BALB/c (H–2d) ascites tumour originally derived from a methylcholanthrene-induced solid tumour with sneaking through capabilities (Old et al., 1962). The tumour was maintained by serial passage in vivo and all cell handling was performed in Minimal Essential Medium (MEM) without foetal calf serum. Tumour cells were taken from in vivo, washed × 3 and administered i.p. in graded doses to intact female BALB/c mice aged 6–12 weeks. The incidence of tumours was monitored until two weeks after the last mouse died from tumour. Clearly, sneaking through occurred (Fig. 1). Similar doses of Meth-A were administered to homozygous nude mice on a BALB/c background or to T-cell-depleted BALB/c mice (adult thymectomized, X-irradiated (8 Gy) and marrow-reconstituted). Sneaking through did not occur in either group, suggesting T-cell dependence. However, these experiments on their own did not allow the mechanism of sneaking through to be precisely defined because the reduction in tumour resistance was so profound.

Three sets of experiments were therefore carried out in an attempt to demonstrate that sneaking through was T-cell dependent and by inference mediated via induction of suppressor T cells in a manner analogous to low-zone tolerance (Mitchison, 1971; Kolsch & Mengersen, 1976). First, female nude mice were restored with $50 \times 10^6$ syngeneic splenic T cells each, prepared by a sterile modification of the nylon-wool column technique (Julius et al., 1973). Two weeks after reconstitution, the number of T cells in the spleens of these reconstituted mice was estimated by complement-dependent cytotoxicity using anti-Thy-1.2 serum (Miller & Sprent, 1971) in a sample of 4 mice. T-cell reconstituted mice showed a mean of 22% Thy-1.2+ cells, compared to 5% in unreconstituted controls. These mice were then challenged i.p. with graded doses of Meth-A cells, and the tumour incidence was monitored. The results indicated that
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sneaking through could again be demonstrated (Fig. 2) thereby providing more direct evidence for the T-cell dependence of the phenomenon.

Secondly, 5 doses of 2.5 x 10^2 Meth-A cells (the sneaking-through range; Fig. 1) inactivated by 75 Gy from a Cobalt-60 source were administered at weekly intervals to BALB/c mice. One week after the last dose, the recipients were challenged with 10^4 viable Meth-A cells, a dose selected from the trough of tumour incidence (Fig. 1). The frequency of tumour was found to be significantly greater in the tumour-primed mice than in MEM-treated controls (Fig. 3). Furthermore, there was no increase in susceptibility to another H-2^d tumour (P815X2) which indicates that sneaking through has immunological specificity. The specific induction of susceptibility by repeated low doses of antigen is comparable to the unresponsive state resulting from repeated low doses of soluble (Mitchison, 1971) or viral antigen (Mengersen et al., 1975) and shows close parallels to these two well recognized examples of low-dose tolerance.

Finally, BALB/c mice were injected with cyclophosphamide (100 mg/kg i.p.) a regimen shown previously to selectively deplete Ts (Rollinghoff et al., 1977) 24 h before challenge with graded doses of Meth-A. The failure to demonstrate sneaking through in cyclophosphamide-treated mice (Fig. 4) is consistent with a role for Ts in its induction, and adds credence to the analogy with low zone tolerance.

Sneaking through has been demonstrated previously in several models (Naor, 1979) including the parent tumour of Meth-A (Old et al., 1962) and another BALB/c ascites tumour, BM3 (Mengersen et al., 1975; Kolsch & Mengersen, 1976). Although the investigators working with BM3 postulated that the phenomenon may be mediated by a T-cell-dependent mechanism akin to low-zone tolerance, no direct evidence for the presence of Ts was reported, nor was an appropriate syngeneic specificity control included. In the current experiments, further support for this concept was provided by the similar pattern obtained in intact BALB/c mice...
Fig. 2.—Tumour incidence after varying doses of Meth-A cells i.p. in homozygous nude mice (BALB/c genetic background) which had received $5\times10^6$ nylon-wool-column-enriched BALB/c splenic T cells 2 weeks before challenge. The tumour incidence fell to 30% with $5\times10^3$ cells and increased again to 70% at $10^2$ cells. The difference between $5\times10^3$ cells and $10^2$, $5\times10^4$ cells is statistically significant at $P=0.04$ (Fisher's exact test, Seigel, 1956). Although the whole figure is shifted to the right compared to intact mice (Fig. 1), the basic shape is the same, and sneaking through was demonstrated. The figure combines 2 experiments with 14 and 16 mice in each group.

mice and athymic mice after reconstitution of T cells (Fig. 1 vs Fig. 2). These experiments provide evidence that sneaking through is T-cell dependent, and although T-cell-dependent tumour-enhancing antibody production (Hellström & Hellström, 1974) was not excluded, it is noteworthy that circulating anti-tumour antibody could not be demonstrated when looked for previously in Meth-A-bearing mice (Farram et al., 1978).

In addition, enhanced specific susceptibility to the tumour could be induced (Fig. 3) in a manner analogous to the induction of low-zone tolerance (Mitchison, 1971) and sneaking through was abolished in mice pretreated with a cyclophospha-

Fig. 3.—Induction of sneaking through. BALB/c female mice were primed with 5 weekly doses of $2.5\times10^3$ irradiated Meth-A cells i.p., control mice received MEM alone. One week after the last priming dose the mice were challenged with $10^4$ viable Meth-A or $10^4$ viable P815/X2. The tumour incidence is shown as the hatched areas. The primed mice challenged with Meth-A showed an increased tumour take ($P=0.04$, Fisher's exact test) compared to the MEM controls, whereas there was no significant difference between the groups that were challenged with P815/X2.

mide regimen (Fig. 4) which is known to selectively eliminate Ts (Rollinghoff et al., 1977).

Since the low doses of tumour cells required for the demonstration of sneaking through resemble the small foci of cells present early in the development of naturally occurring tumours, the phenomenon may play a significant role in subversion of immune-surveillance mechanisms. Furthermore, the realization that immunoregulation including tumour immunity is controlled by different T-cell subsets (Cantor & Boyse, 1977; Perry & Greene, 1981) raises the possibility of a return to favour of the recently maligned (Moller & Moller, 1976; Allison, 1977) concept of T-cell-dependent surveillance (Burnet, 1970) with subversion of anti-tumour mechanisms and subsequent tumour development being mediated by a Ts-cell-
Fig. 4.—Tumour incidence after varying doses of Meth-A cells in BALB/c female mice (12 per group) which had received cyclophosphamide (100 mg/kg i.p.) 24 h before tumour challenge with the range of cell doses shown. The tumour incidence fell from 100% to 8% with graded doses of $10^6$ to $10^3$ cells. Sneaking through was not demonstrated and the resistance to tumour growth appeared to be less than in intact mice.

dependent mechanism akin to low-zone tolerance.
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