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Abstract: Glacier surge, which causes a quick movement of ice mass from high to low elevation, is closely associated to the glacial hazards of debris flows and glacial lake outburst floods. Over the West Kunlun Shan, surge events have been detected for some glaciers, however, the characteristics (e.g., the active phase) of the identified surge-type glaciers are not fully understood due to the paucity of long-term observations of glacier changes. In this study, we investigated the geometric evolution of the Chongce Glacier (a surge-type glacier) over the past five decades. Glacier elevation changes were observed by comparing topographic data from different times. Surface velocity and terminus position were derived using a cross-correlation algorithm and band ratio method, respectively. A decreasing rate of glacier surface thinning was found for the Chongce Glacier during the studied period. Glacier elevation changes of −0.46 ± 0.12, −0.12 ± 0.05, and 0.27 ± 0.11 m yr⁻¹ were estimated for the periods of 1970–2000, 2000–2012, and 2012–2018, respectively. Moreover, this glacier experienced obvious surface lowering over the terminus zone and clear surface thickening over the upper zone during 1970–2000, and the opposite during 2000–2018. Surface velocity of the Chongce Glacier was less than 300 m yr⁻¹ in 1990–1993, and then quickly increased to more than 1000 m yr⁻¹ between 1994 and 1998, and dropped to less than 50 m yr⁻¹ in 1999–2020. Over the past five decades, the Chongce Glacier generally experienced a slight retreat, except for a terminus advance from 1995 to 1999. According to the spatial pattern of glacier elevation changes in 1970–2000 and the long-term changes of glacier velocity and terminus position, the recent surge event at the Chongce Glacier likely initiated in winter 1993 and terminated in winter 1998. Furthermore, the start date, end date, and duration of the active phase indicate that the detected surge event was likely triggered by a thermal mechanism.
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1. Introduction

Surge-type glaciers feature periodically alternating long quiescent phases and short active phases [1–3]. During the active phase, a large amount of ice mass quickly moves from high to low elevation, which causes a lengthening or thickening of the terminus zone [4–7]. As a result, a surge event can threaten the safety of the downstream communities, and can also result in river blocking [8–11]. For example, a surge event at the Kyagar Glacier in the Chinese Karakoram mountains during 2015–2016 caused the formation of an ice-dammed lake and subsequent glacial lake outburst floods [9]. Moreover, a surge event at the Kutiah Glacier in the Karakoram mountains led to an advancement of about 12 km in three months, and thus engulfed the villages, farmland, and forest downstream [10]. In summer 2016, a surge event was recorded in the western Tibetan Plateau, where two massive glaciers
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suddenly collapsed at Aru Range, resulting in ice avalanches advancing up to 7 km in horizontal distance, killing nine herders and hundreds of animals [12–14]. Consequently, the study of the process and characteristics of glacier surge is important for water resources, early disaster recognition, and disaster prevention.

The West Kunlun Shan (WKS) located on the northwestern Tibetan Plateau, with a glacier area of about 8817.78 km², accounts for more than 70% of the total glacier area in the Kunlun Mountain [15]. During the past few decades, glaciers in the WKS have generally experienced a balanced or slightly negative mass change [16–20]. Over a similar time period, surge events have been detected for many glaciers in the WKS [17,21–24]. Specifically, according to the temporal changes of surface velocity and terminus position from 1972 to 2014, Yasuda and Furuya (2015) identified nine surge-type glaciers in the WKS [22]. Moreover, by extracting the glacier surface elevation changes of the WKS from the 1970s to 1999, Wang et al. (2018) confirmed that nine glaciers experienced surge events during this time period [17]. However, it is noteworthy that four of these glaciers were not identified as surge-type glaciers by Yasuda and Furuya (2015) [22]. In addition, for the observed glacier surface elevation changes between 2000 and 2014, Lin et al. (2017) detected surge events at four glaciers in the WKS [25].

In general, by detecting the temporal changes of the glacier surface elevation or surface velocity, the glaciers which have experienced surge events since the 1970s in the WKS have been detected in previous studies. However, the characteristics (e.g., start time and duration) of the detected surge events are not fully understood, especially for those events that occurred between the 1970s and 2000. The time period of the observed glacier surface elevation change, which is about 30 years in Wang et al. (2016) [17] and 15 years in Lin et al. (2017) [25], is much longer than the duration of a surge event in this region. The results of the glacier surface velocities used to detect surge events were mainly derived from satellite images after the year 2000 [22,23]. Importantly, a long-term observation of the changes in glacier surface elevations, surface velocities, and terminus positions, which is needed to investigate the trigger mechanism for the occurred surge events, has not been conducted in the WKS.

Chongce Glacier, which is one of the highest and largest ice caps in the mid-low latitude regions, has been identified as having experienced a surge event in the 1990s [22]. In this study, our aim was to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the spatial-temporal variability of the glacier surface elevation, surface velocity, and terminus position over the past five decades. The glacier surface elevation changes during the periods of 1970–2000, 2000–2012, and 2012–2018 were derived by comparing topographic data from different times. The glacier surface velocities were obtained by the use of a cross-correlation algorithm with 46 pairs of Landsat optical images which were acquired during 1990–2020. Glacier terminus positions between 1977 and 2020 were also delineated using the Landsat optical images. By comprehensively analyzing these observed results, we identified the active and quiescent phases of the Chongce Glacier over the recent decades and investigated the possible trigger mechanism for the surge event.

2. Study Area

The Chongce Glacier (from 35°10′N to 35°25′N and from 81°E to 81°15′E) is situated in the southeastern part of the WKS, which is one of the highest regions in the world (Figure 1). This glacier is one of the most famous glaciers in the region [26], with a length of about 29 km and an area of about 166 km², which were estimated from the glacier area in the Second Chinese Glacier Inventory (SCGI) [27]. The Chongce Glacier is a polythermal (subpolar) glacier, with the altitude spanning from 5300 to over 6800 m a.s.l. [23]. This glacier has been identified as having experienced a surge event in the 1990s, however, the definite time of the start date or the duration of the surge event was not detected [22]. The surface of the Chongce Glacier is almost debris free, with clean ice or snow covering the upper and middle areas of the glacier and some debris covering the terminus of the glacier [26,28]. The climate of the study area is mainly monsoon type, with the precipitation mainly occurring
in summer [26]. The annual temperature and precipitation are, respectively, about −14.7 °C and 460 mm around the glacier equilibrium-line altitude (ELA), which is located at 5930 m above sea level (a.s.l.) [26,29].

Figure 1. The geographic location of the study area. The coverages of the TanDEM-X, ZiYuan-3, and Landsat TM/ETM+/OLI data are shown as purple, black, and red triangles, respectively, in (a). The inset picture in (a) shows the general location of the Chongce Glacier, and the red area in the inset map indicates the position of the study area. The background in (b) is the Landsat ETM+ image acquired on 3 July 2002, with the red and blue lines respectively marking the outlines and the centerline of the Chongce Glacier, which were acquired from the Second Chinese Glacier Inventory [27].

3. Data and Method
3.1. Data Sets Used in This Study

In order to investigate the changes of the glacier surface topography over past five decades, we employed the topographic map from 1970 and the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model (DEM) from 2000, and generated more recent DEMs with TanDEM-X interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) data from 2012 and a ZiYuan-3 (ZY-3) tri-stereo optical image from 2018 (Table 1). The DEM for 1970 with a 50 m resolution was obtained by digitizing the topographic map (at a scale of 1:100,000), which was generated from aerial stereo scenes. In recent years, two SRTM DEMs, i.e., the SRTM-C DEM and SRTM-X DEM, have been released by the United States Geological Survey and German Aerospace Center, respectively [30]. In this study, we used the SRTM-X DEM of a 30 m resolution because the horizontal and vertical accuracy of this topographic product is better than that of the SRTM-C DEM.

Table 1. DEM data used in this study.

| Data              | Date            | Pixel Size |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Topographic map   | 1970            | 50 m       |
| SRTM-X DEM        | February 2000   | 30 m       |
| TanDEM-X DEM      | 30 January 2012 | 10 m       |
| ZY-3 DEM          | 31 January 2018 | 10 m       |

The DEM for 2012 was generated from a pair of TanDEM-X InSAR images acquired in bistatic mode on 30 January 2012. The TanDEM-X mission, which is made up of the two identical satellites of TerraSAR-X and TanDEM-X, is the first single-pass InSAR satellite system. The bistatic InSAR co-registered single look slant range complex imagery was provided by the German Aerospace Center, with a pixel spacing of ~3 m in both the azimuth and ground ranges. The incidence angle, perpendicular baseline, height ambiguity, and
average coherence of the bistatic InSAR data were 36.08°, 84.01 m, 68.12 m, and 0.76,
respectively. In this study, the TanDEM-X DEM of a 10-m resolution was produced using
the bistatic synthetic aperture radar (SAR) interferometric method proposed by Liu et al.
(2016) [31].

The DEM for 2018 was reconstructed from a ZY-3 tri-stereo optical image collected on
31 January 2018. The ZY-3 series of satellites are the first Chinese civilian high-resolution
stereo mapping satellites [32]. The tri-stereo optical data used in this study were acquired
by the ZY-3-02 satellite. The spatial resolution of the optical images collected by the forward
and backward cameras was 2.5 m, and that of the nadir observation was 2.1 m. The ZY-3
DEM of a 10-m resolution was produced using Space Data Processor software from the
Land Satellite Remote Sensing Application Center of the Ministry of Natural Resources
of the People’s Republic of China. Moreover, the void areas caused by the lack of image
contrast over the glacier accumulation zones were not filled by interpolation.

The global coverage and continuous acquisition make Landsat satellite series images a
key data source for studies of glacier motion and the change of glacier boundaries [33–37].
In this study, 46 Landsat image pairs acquired from 1990 to 2020 with little cloud contami-
nation were used to obtain the flow velocity of the Chongce Glacier (Table S1). The Landsat
images were preprocessed using the USGS Level 1T (L1T) product generation system,
which includes radiometric and geometric correction and transformation to the Universal
Transverse Mercator projection [38]. Band 4 (near-infrared band) of the Landsat Thematic
Mapper (TM) images and band 8 (panchromatic band) of the Landsat Enhanced Thematic
Mapper Plus (ETM+)/Operational Land Imager (OLI) images were used to derive the
surface velocity, with resolutions of 30 m and 15 m, respectively, which can satisfy the
requirement of velocity acquisition [39]. The temporal thresholds of most of the image
pairs were approximately one or two years, to reduce the seasonal effect. In addition,
considering the rapid feature changes over the glacier surface during the surge period,
temporal thresholds of less than a year or even as low as 16 days were also used for some
image pairs (Table S1). To minimize the geometrical distortion, only images from the same
path/row (145/36) were used in this study.

In addition to estimating the changes in glacier thickness and flow velocity, the changes
in the glacier boundary are also valuable information for studying the evolution of a glacier.
In this study, 11 Landsat Multispectral Scanner System (MSS)/TM/ETM+/OLI images
were employed to acquire the changes of the glacier boundary from 1977 to 2020 (Table S2).

3.2. Glacier Elevation Change and Mass Balance Estimation

The elevation changes were detected by comparing the topographic data from dif-
f erent times. For example, the elevation changes between 2000 and 2012 were derived
by subtracting the SRTM-X DEM for 2000 from the TanDEM-X DEM for 2012. Before the
process of DEM differencing, we resampled the DEMs for 1970, 2012, and 2018 to the spatial
resolution of the SRTM-X DEM (30 m). Moreover, the penetration depth for the X-band
radar signal into the glacier surface was corrected for the SRTM-X DEM and TanDEM-X
DEM. The X-band radar penetration depth is usually neglected by the use of a simple
“no penetration” assumption [40]; however, a penetration depth of more than 1 m over
the accumulation zone was observed in the Puruogangri ice field of the interior Tibetan
Plateau [41]. We corrected the radar penetration bias of the SRTM-X DEM and TanDEM-X
DEM by employing the polynomial function between the X-band radar penetration depth
and altitude estimated in the Puruogangri ice field [41]. In addition, in order to eliminate
the influence of geometric errors between each pair of DEMs, we employed the universal
co-registration method proposed by Nuth and Kääb (2011) [42] to accurately match the
two DEMs.

Surface elevation changes of the glacierized regions were detected using the glacier
boundaries obtained from the SCGI to mask the results of the DEM differencing. The SCGI
outlines were retrieved from Landsat optical images acquired between 2006 and 2010 [27]
Therefore, considering the typical advance and retreat of a surge-type glacier, the glacier
terminus locations of the SCGI needed to be modified. Specifically, when a surge event had occurred during the study period, we revised the glacier terminus locations by the use of the Landsat scenes acquired on a similar date to the more recent DEM. Otherwise, if this was not possible, we corrected the terminus locations using the optical satellite images collected on a similar date to the earlier topographic data.

The glacier mass balance of a particular study period was estimated from the mean glacier elevation change using the conversion factor of 850 kg m\(^{-3}\) proposed by Huss (2013) [43]. The average value of the glacier elevation changes was calculated at 50 m altitude bands because the elevation changes of all the glacier pixels over an altitude band are generally subject to a Gaussian distribution [44,45]. In order to accurately calculate the mean glacier elevation change, we excluded the glacier surface pixels with a slope angle of greater than 25 degrees from the results of the glacier elevation changes [31]. Moreover, over an altitude band, we only used the pixels where the elevation changes differed by less than three standard deviations from the mean values, to minimize the impact of random errors.

The annual rate of glacier mass balance was calculated by dividing the estimated glacier mass balance by the integer number of years for the study period. Therefore, when the pair of DEMs were generated from images obtained in different months of the year, seasonal glacier mass change was a possible bias. In this study, the TanDEM-X InSAR data and ZY-3 optical images were acquired on 30 January 2012 and 31 January 2018, respectively. The SRTM InSAR data were obtained in mid-February 2000 [46]. For the similar dates of the year, no seasonal variation was corrected when calculating the annual rate of glacier mass balance during the periods of 2000–2012 and 2012–2018. Furthermore, considering the time period of about 30 years, seasonal variation was also neglected when calculating the annual rate of glacier mass balance between 1970 and 2000. However, the exact acquisition dates of the aerial stereo scenes used to generate the DEM for 1970 are not known.

The uncertainties of the estimated mean glacier elevation changes and annual glacier mass balances were evaluated using the standard law of error propagation. The detailed equations for the uncertainty analysis can be found in Liu et al. (2020) [47]. In this study, we employed the conversion factor uncertainty (±60 kg m\(^{-3}\)) suggested by Huss (2013) [43]. Moreover, the uncertainty was assumed to be ±5% for the total glacier area because the glacier boundaries were delineated from satellite optical images [48].

3.3. Glacier Surface Velocity Calculation

The glacier surface displacement measurements were calculated using the cross-correlation algorithm in the frequency domain, which was proposed by Leprince et al. (2007) [49]. This method has been widely used for deriving glacier velocity [50–52]. The processing steps include orthorectification, co-registration, and correlation. The Landsat images were the L1T product, which has already been orthorectified by the USGS. Consequently, orthorectification was not necessary for the data processing [50]. The displacement measured using the cross-correlation algorithm relies on the feature points of the image pairs. Due to the lack of debris on the Chongce Glacier surface, a lot of experiments were conducted with different window sizes. Generally speaking, the initial window was set to a large size to roughly estimate the pixel-level displacement between the two patches, while the final window size was set as a smaller size to retrieve the subpixel displacement after the initial displacement was estimated [53]. Considering the displacement results and calculation efficiency, 64 × 64 pixels as the initial search window and 8 × 8 pixels as the final window were selected to extract the glacier displacement.

Considering the different resolutions of the Landsat TM images and the Landsat ETM+/OLI images, the sliding step was set to one pixel for the TM images and two pixels for the ETM+/OLI images, to derive horizontal displacements with the same resolution of 30 m. After the cross-correlation processing, a non-local means filter was applied to reduce the noise. Finally, the 2D glacier velocities were derived by dividing the horizontal ground
displacements along the E/W and N/S directions by the time interval between the two images. The flow velocities were then converted to average annual velocities.

The main error sources for the glacier surface velocity are the image quality (due to snow cover, cloud cover, and glacier melt) and the image registration errors. Due to the lack of in-situ measurements of the glacier velocity of the Chongce Glacier, it was difficult to directly assess the results of the cross-correlation algorithm. Considering the stable properties of off-glacier areas that should not have been displaced is an approach that has been widely used to evaluate the cross-correlation performance. We used a method which is used to estimate the uncertainty of digital terrain models (DTMs) [54]. The detailed equations for the uncertainty analysis can be found in Sun et al. (2017) [50]. In this study, the uncertainty of the velocity results based on the off-glacier statistics was approximately ±10 m yr⁻¹.

3.4. Glacier Terminus Delineation

In this study, the Landsat series data were used to quantify the terminus delineation of the Chongce Glacier, i.e., to estimate the terminus advance, stagnation, or retreat. We manually delineated the glacier terminus at different times from the Landsat images with little cloud contamination. As ice and snow have high reflectance in the visible band and low reflectance in the short-wave infrared band, the band ratio method was used to enhance the image contrast. Here, band 3 was divided by band 5 for the TM/ETM+ images, and band 4 was divided by band 5 for the OLI images. The surface of the Chongce Glacier is almost debris free, and it is easy to identify the glacier from the contrast-enhanced images. The uncertainty was assumed to be roughly the size of the image pixels, i.e., 60 m for the Landsat MSS images, 30 m for the Landsat TM images, and 15 m for the Landsat ETM+/OLI images [37].

4. Results
4.1. Glacier Surface Elevation Changes

Maps of the glacier surface elevation changes between 1970 and 2018 are given in Figure 2 for the Chongce Glacier. In the period of 1970–2000, pronounced glacier thickening can be observed over the terminus zone, whereas glacier thinning is apparent over the upper zone (Figure 2a). However, the spatial patterns of the glacier surface elevation changes in 2000–2012 and 2012–2018 are different from those in 1970–2000. Between 2000 and 2018, the Chongce Glacier experienced obvious surface lowering over the terminus zone, and clear surface thickening is apparent over the upper zone (Figure 2b,c). Moreover, for the terminus zone, the glacier surface elevation changes in 2012–2018 are slightly more negative than those in 2000–2012. In addition, for the upper zone, the glacier thickening in 2000–2012 is less than that in 2012–2018.

Figure 2. Observed surface elevation changes (m yr⁻¹) over the Chongce Glacier during the periods of 1970–2000 (a), 2000–2012 (b), and 2012–2018 (c). Note that the coverage of the ZY-3 images (see Figure 1) resulted in the data missing in the southwest part (c).
Between 1970 and 2000, we found a pronounced glacier surface thinning of $-0.46 \pm 0.12$ m yr$^{-1}$ and glacier mass loss of $-0.39 \pm 0.11$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (Table 2), while a slight glacier surface thinning of $-0.12 \pm 0.05$ m yr$^{-1}$ and glacier mass loss of $-0.10 \pm 0.04$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ was measured in 2000–2012. During recent years (2012–2018), glacier surface thickening of $0.27 \pm 0.11$ m yr$^{-1}$ (glacier mass gain of $0.23 \pm 0.09$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$) was estimated for the study site. Consequently, the estimated results between 1970 and 2018 indicates a decelerating rate of glacier surface thinning and glacier mass loss during the past five decades over the Chongce Glacier.

Table 2. Estimated average glacier elevation change and glacier mass balance between 1970 and 2018 over the Chongce Glacier.

| Time Period | Glacier Elevation Change (m yr$^{-1}$) | Glacier Mass Balance (m w.e. yr$^{-1}$) |
|-------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1970–2000   | $-0.46 \pm 0.12$                      | $-0.39 \pm 0.11$                      |
| 2000–2012   | $-0.12 \pm 0.05$                      | $-0.10 \pm 0.04$                      |
| 2012–2018   | $0.27 \pm 0.11$                       | $0.23 \pm 0.09$                       |

4.2. Glacier Surface Velocities

Figures 3–5 show the temporal evolution of the flow velocities of the Chongce Glacier, as derived from the Landsat time-series images for the 1990s (Figures 3 and 4), 2000s, and 2010s (Figure 5). The average annual velocity results for the Chongce Glacier during the period of 1990–2020 are provided in Figures S1–S46 (Supplementary Material). Due to the failure of the scan line corrector of the ETM+ sensor in May 2003, the velocity results in Figure S1 are missing the velocities for 2003–2007. As shown in Figure 3, the flow velocity shows obvious annual changes. During 1990–1991, the flow velocities in the mid-stream and downstream regions rose significantly. By winter 1994, the velocities in the mid-stream and downstream regions reached 2500 m yr$^{-1}$ (Figure 4). However, during 1999–2020, only the velocities in the upstream were measured, and these were relatively small (at about 50 m yr$^{-1}$, Figure 5), compared to the velocities in the 1990s. This can be attributed to the thin ice in the mid-stream and downstream regions of the glacier. When combined with Figure S1, it is apparent that the velocity of the Chongce Glacier increased slowly from 1990 to 1993, and the glacier then flowed rapidly during 1994–1998. Since this date, the velocity has remained very low, without obvious changes.

Figure 3. Flow velocities (m yr$^{-1}$) of the Chongce Glacier during the periods of 16 February 1990–19 February 1991 (a), 19 February 1991–22 February 1992 (b), and 22 February 1992–24 February 1993 (c).
In order to further analyze the change of the glacier velocity, the evolution of the surface velocity along with the longitudinal profile of the Chongce Glacier (see Figure 1) from 1990 to 2020 is exhibited in Figure 6. In the early 1990s, the velocity accelerated slowly over the mid-stream area of the glacier, with an increase in velocity from 150 m yr\(^{-1}\) in 1990/1991 to 240 m yr\(^{-1}\) in 1992/1993. By December 1993, the velocity reached about 1000 m yr\(^{-1}\), which is about nine times more than the velocity in 1990/1991. The velocity then increased rapidly and reached a peak of 2500 m yr\(^{-1}\) during October to December in 1994. After this velocity peak, there was a significant deceleration, with the maximum velocity dropping to about 250 m yr\(^{-1}\) by 1997/1998, which represents a return to the velocity level seen in 1992/1993. This was followed by relatively low flow velocities during 1999–2020, with a maximum velocity of less than 100 m yr\(^{-1}\). Interestingly, the downstream of the glacier was almost stagnated, with velocities around zero, except for the period of 1996–1997.

In addition, the glacier velocity changes from 1990 to 2020 at different elevations are shown in Figure 7. Five elevation segments were selected to survey the characteristics of the glacier velocity with time at different elevations. The velocities shown in Figure 7 are the average velocity in the 100 m interval of each elevation segment. It can be found that the glacier velocity in the ablation area (5700–5800 m a.s.l. interval) and near the ELA (about 5930 m a.s.l.) increased at first in the 1990s, and then the velocity at the 5600–5700 m a.s.l. and 5400–5500 m a.s.l. intervals increased. The velocity at the 5400–6000 m a.s.l. interval showed an obvious increase from 1994 to 1998, while the velocity at the 6100–6200 m a.s.l. interval showed no significant increase. In addition, the velocity at the 5900–6000
m a.s.l. interval, which is close to the ELA, was the highest during 1998–2020 (Figure 7), which is in line with the laws of glacier movement.

Figure 6. Evolution of the surface velocity along with the longitudinal profile (see Figure 1) from 1990 to 2020. Note that the scale is logarithmic.

In addition, the glacier velocity changes from 1990 to 2020 at different elevations are shown in Figure 7. Five elevation segments were selected to survey the characteristics of the glacier velocity with time at different elevations. The velocities shown in Figure 7 are the average velocity in the 100 m interval of each elevation segment. It can be found that the glacier velocity in the ablation area (5700–5800 m a.s.l. interval) and near the ELA (about 5930 m a.s.l.) increased at first in the 1990s, and then the velocity at the 5600–5700 m a.s.l. and 5400–5500 m a.s.l. intervals increased. The velocity at the 5400–6000 m a.s.l. interval showed an obvious increase from 1994 to 1998, while the velocity at the 6100–6200 m a.s.l. interval showed no significant increase. In addition, the velocity at the 5900–6000 m a.s.l. interval, which is close to the ELA, was the highest during 1998–2020 (Figure 7), which is in line with the laws of glacier movement.

Figure 7. The glacier velocity changes from 1990 to 2020 at different elevations.

4.3. Glacier Terminus Locations

The changes of the terminus boundaries of the Chongce Glacier are shown in Figure 8, where it can be found that the extent of the Chongce Glacier varied significantly from 1977 to 2020. The terminus of the glacier retreated about 1 km from June 1977 to February 1990. From February 1990 to March 1995, there was no obvious change of the terminus position of the glacier, and only a slight widening, which increased the tongue area. However, from March to December 1995, the terminus advanced significantly over the nine months. The terminus advanced by about 650 m, with the greatest speed (about 824 m yr$^{-1}$) seen during the whole study period. The terminus position subsequently continued to advance up to September 1999, and then remained stable until 2007. For the period of March 1995 to
September 1999, the glacier terminus advanced by about 1.6 km. After this, there was a slight retreat (about 150 m) and narrowing at the terminus of the glacier, and the terminus position in September 2020 is comparable to that in October 1997.

5. Discussion

5.1. Comparison with Previously Published Results

Between 1970 and 2000, we detected significant glacier thickening over the terminus zone and clear thinning over the upper zone, which is generally consistent with the spatial feature of the observed glacier surface elevation changes in Wang et al. (2018) [17]. Moreover, we estimated the glacier mass balance to be \(-0.10 \pm 0.04\) m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\) in the Chongce Glacier between 2000 and 2012, which is more negative than that \((-0.048\) m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\) in the period of 2000–2014\) estimated by Lin et al. (2017) [25]. Considering the different time periods, this difference can be possibly attributed to a positive glacier mass change during 2012–2014. In this study, we obtained an obviously positive glacier mass change of \(0.23 \pm 0.09\) m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\) between 2012 and 2018 (Table 2). This means that pronounced glacier mass gain is likely for the time period of 2012–2014 over the Chongce Glacier.

For 1996, we extracted a glacier surface velocity of about 1000 m yr\(^{-1}\), which is generally in agreement with that reported by Yasuda and Furuya (2015) [22]. By using the European Remote Sensing satellite (ERS)-1/2 InSAR data acquired in 1996, Yasuda and Furuya (2015) detected extremely rapid flow at the Chongce Glacier [22]. For the period of 2000–2020, we found that the surface flow velocity over the upper zone (about 60 m yr\(^{-1}\)) was much higher than that over the tongue zone (less than 5 m yr\(^{-1}\)). This spatial difference of the glacier surface flow velocity was also detected by Yasuda and Furuya (2013) from Phased Array type L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR) images of...
2003–2011 [23]. We believe that this stagnant glacier tongue zone indicates that this region is either not thick enough to flow or is entirely frozen to the bedrock.

In this study, we found that the terminus position of the Chongce Glacier retreated during the time periods of 1977–1995 and 2000–2020, which is generally supported by the results reported in Wang et al. (2018) [17]. Specifically, Wang et al. (2018) observed pronounced terminus retreat for 1977–1990 and 2011–2016 [17]. Furthermore, our measured terminus advance between 1995 and 1999 is also consistent with the changes in terminus position during a similar time period derived by Yasuda and Furuya (2015) (1993–1999) [22] and Wang et al. (2018) (1990–2011) [17]. However, we detected a terminus advance of about 1.6 km from 1995 to 1999, which is less than that (2 km for 1992–2000) reported by Yasuda and Furuya (2015) [22]. This difference can be mainly attributed to the images used for delineating the terminus position. In this study, we delineated the terminus position using contrast-enhanced Landsat images, whereas SAR images were employed by Yasuda and Furuya (2015) [22].

5.2. Surge Event during the Study Period

During the active period of a surge-type glacier, the glacier mass in the accumulation area rapidly transfers to the ablation area, which results in thinning over the accumulation area and thickening over the ablation area. Based on the elevation changes shown in Figure 2 and the glacier surface elevation changes versus altitude shown in Figure 9, it can be found that the glacier thickened over the zones with an altitude of less than 5650 m a.s.l., whereas the glacier thinned over the zones above 5650 m a.s.l. during 1970–2000. This is consistent with the characteristics of a surge-type glacier. Consequently, it can be easily inferred that the Chongce Glacier surged in the period of 1970–2000. In addition, according to the elevation changes during 2000–2012 and 2012–2018, the glacier thinned over the zones below 5750 m a.s.l., whereas the glacier thickened over the zones above 5750 m a.s.l. This is in agreement with either the characteristics of the quiescent phase of a surge-type glacier or a normal glacier.

![Figure 9. Glacier surface elevation changes versus altitude over the Chongce Glacier during the periods of 1970–2000, 2000–2012, and 2012–2018.](image)

Our analysis of the changes in the glacier velocity (1990–2020) and boundary (1977–2020) indicated that the Chongce Glacier surge event occurred in the 1990s. According to the changes of surface velocity along with the longitudinal profile shown in Figure 6, it can be inferred that the Chongce Glacier surged from December 1993 to October 1998. This is consistent with the findings of Yasuda and Furuya (2015), who also inferred that the Chongce Glacier surged in the 1990s [22]. However, they did not determine the start date or the duration of the surge. In addition, we found that the surge behavior of the Chongce Glacier mainly affected the velocity in the downstream area, while the effect on the velocity in the upstream area was relatively small. Specifically, the velocities in the downstream
The changes in glacier elevation (1970–2018), glacier surface velocity (1990–2020), and terminus position (1977–2020) indicate that a surge event occurred at the Chongce Glacier in winter 1993, and this surge-type behavior continued for about five years. The velocity suddenly increased in winter 1993 and decreased in winter 1998 which suggests that the

Figure 10. Evolution of surface velocity along with the longitudinal profile (see Figure 1) from 2007 to 2020.

5.3. Trigger Mechanism for the Detected Surge Event

Two main triggering mechanisms have been believed for glacier surge events [55–57]. Thermal-controlled glacier surge involves increasing basal thermal temperature, which contributes to an increase in meltwater and reduces the resistance to flow. In addition, thermal-controlled glacier surge initiates gradually and terminates at any time of the year, with a long active phase (>3 years) and a long surge cycle [22, 58, 59]. The thermal-controlled glacier surges are mostly recognized in Svalbard [2]. However, hydrological-controlled surge related to the changes in the efficiency of the hydrological system. The hydrological-controlled glacier surges are mostly recognized in Alaska [2]. In addition, they generally initiate in the winter months and terminate in the summer months, with a relatively short active phase (1–3 years) [22, 58, 59].

The changes in glacier elevation (1970–2018), glacier surface velocity (1990–2020), and terminus position (1977–2020) indicate that a surge event occurred at the Chongce Glacier in winter 1993, and this surge-type behavior continued for about five years. The velocity suddenly increased in winter 1993 and decreased in winter 1998 which suggests that the
The surge of the Chongce Glacier is consistent with the features of a thermal-controlled glacier surge. In addition, the surge of the Chongce Glacier lasted for about 5 years, and only one surge event occurred during 1990–2020, which indicated the long quiescent phase (at least 22 years (1998–2020)) and long surge cycle (at least 27 years (1993–2020)). This pattern also matches the characteristics of a thermal-controlled glacier surge. Consequently, we infer that the surge of the Chongce Glacier was triggered by a thermal mechanism.

The active phase of the Chongce glacier was about 5 years, and the peak velocity occurred near the terminus of the Chongce Glacier (Figure 6). These characteristics are consistent with the West Kunlun Glacier and the N2 Glacier in the WKS reported by Yasuda and Furuya (2015) [22]. In addition, Yasuda and Furuya (2015) found that the velocities of the West Kunlun Glacier and the N2 Glacier are faster in winter than those in summer, with a nearly 200% velocity increase in winter, and the surge events are modulated by a hydrological mechanism [22]. However, the velocities of the Chongce Glacier showed no significant seasonal change in the amplitude. Furthermore, Yasuda and Furuya (2015) and Chudley and Willis (2018) concluded that two kinds of mechanisms jointly drive the glacier surges in the glaciers of the WKS [22,59]. This is also the case for the surge-type glaciers in the Karakoram region, where the surges are controlled by both the thermal and hydrological conditions [36,58,60]. Without doubt, the trigger mechanisms are complex, and the trigger mechanism for the Chongce Glacier and the different mechanisms of the surge-type glaciers in the WKS are worthy of further study.

6. Conclusions

In this study, the geometric evolution of the Chongce Glacier since the 1970s was investigated by detecting the changes in glacier surface elevation, flow velocity, and terminus position through the use of multi-source satellite remote sensing data. By comparing the available topographic map, the SRTM-X DEM, and DEMs generated from TanDEM-X bistatic InSAR images and ZiYuan-3 stereo scenes, we derived glacier surface elevation changes and found that this glacier experienced obvious surface lowering over the low-altitude zone and clear surface thickening over the high-altitude zone during 1970–2000, and the opposite during the time periods of 2000–2012 and 2012–2018. Moreover, with the conversion factor of 850 kg m$^{-3}$, glacier mass balances of $-0.39 \pm 0.11$, $-0.10 \pm 0.04$ and $0.23 \pm 0.09$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ were estimated for the periods of 1970–2000, 2000–2012, and 2012–2018, respectively. It indicates a decelerating rate of glacier mass loss for the Chongce Glacier over the past five decades.

The surface flow velocity in 1990–2020 and terminus position between 1977 and 2020 were retrieved from multi-temporal Landsat optical scenes. In general, the surface velocities from winter 1993 to winter 1998 were much higher than those of the other time periods over the past three decades. The glacier terminus advanced about 1.6 km from March 1995 to September 1999, while a slight retreat was basically observed before March 1995 and after September 1999. Overall, our results indicated that the recent surge event at the Chongce Glacier started in December 1993 and ended in late 1998. The active phase of this surge-type glacier was therefore about five years. The difference in the start dates of the velocity acceleration and the terminus advance is about one and a half years, which can be attributed to the movement of the ice mass from the upper zone to the terminus. According to the start and end time of the surge and the duration of the active phase, we conclude that the recent surge event at the Chongce Glacier was likely triggered by a thermal mechanism.
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