The Optimal Dose of Intraoperative Dexmedetomidine for Antiemetic Effects of Post-operative Nausea and Vomiting in Patients Undergoing Elective Thoracic Surgery: A Retrospective Cohort Study
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Background: Dexmedetomidine (DEX) administration decreases post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV), but it is a lack of large-scale retrospective cohort study and is unclear whether there is a dose-relationship and optimal dose for antiemetic effects between DEX and PONV. We performed a large-scale retrospective cohort study to explore the optimal dose of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV.

Methods: A total of 5,310 patients aged ≥18 who underwent elective thoracic surgery from January 2016 to March 2020 under total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) or combined intravenous and inhalation anesthesia in Henan Provincial People’s Hospital. Patients were divided into two groups, those who received DEX intraoperatively and those who did not receive DEX. Patients who received DEX after surgery were excluded. Our primary outcomes were the association, the dose-response relationship, and the optimal dose for antiemetic effects between intraoperative DEX and PONV.

Results: Among the 3,878 patients enrolled, 2,553 patients received DEX and 1,325 patients did not receive DEX. The incidence of PONV in patients who received DEX was 21.3%, and the incidence of PONV in patients who did not receive DEX was 46.5% (P = 0.001). After the matched-pairs cohort consisted of 1,325 patients, the incidence of PONV in patients who received DEX was 23.6%, and the incidence of PONV in patients who did not receive DEX was 46.5% (P = 0.001). We analyzed three different models after propensity matching to validate the stability of the prediction model between intraoperative DEX and PONV. A dose-response relationship between intraoperative DEX and PONV was observed. The optimal dose range of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV is 50–100 µg in elective thoracic surgery.

Conclusions: Intraoperative DEX was associated with a decreased incidence of PONV in the large-scale retrospective cohort study. A dose-response relationship between intraoperative DEX and PONV was observed. The optimal dose range of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV is 50–100 µg in elective thoracic surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) include any nausea, retching or vomiting that occurs during the first 24 post-operative h (1). Vomiting can cause electrolyte imbalance and aggravate pain, even delaying discharge (2). Patients undergoing thoracic surgery experience severe pain after operation when the consumption of analgesic morphine is high, and the use of morphine is associated with nausea, vomiting, sedation and respiratory depression during acute morphine therapy (3, 4).

Fortunately, according to the fourth consensus guideline for post-operative nausea and vomiting management (5), many recommended strategies for routinely reducing the baseline risk of PONV are pointed out, including that perioperative dexmedetomidine (DEX) (evidence A1) (6). DEX 1 µg/kg before skin incision reduced the incidence of PONV after laparoscopic cholecystectomy, and PONV benefits were confirmed when DEX was added to an IV sufentanil-ondansetron PCA after thoracotomy.

However, in terms of the effect of DEX on PONV, several aspects remain unclear: (1) It is a lack of large sample size retrospective cohort study. (2) It is unclear whether there is a dose-relationship between DEX and PONV. (3) It is unclear about optimal dose of DEX for anietic effects.

Therefore, we hypothesized that a dose-response relationship between intraoperative DEX and PONV in elective thoracic surgery was existed. We conducted a retrospective cohort study to test this hypothesis and to explore the optimal dose of intraoperative DEX on PONV.

METHODS

Overall Design and Data Source

This was a retrospective cohort study based on the Henan Provincial People's Hospital of China. In preparing this article, the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cohort studies was cited. The STROBE checklist for cohort studies was referenced when preparing the article. Study design, outcome variables, and analysis plan were identified before performing the data analysis. The main page, medical record and anesthesia record sheet of each in-hospital patient was collected by Information Center Department of Henan Provincial People's Hospital and a uniform data collection system was applied. The data was obtained from an electronic medical record and collected after the fact. Anonymous data about patients' basic information, clinical diagnosis using International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (10th revision) codes, surgery-relevant information, and intraoperative DEX were transferred to a specific data management institution.

Study Population

We analyzed the data of all adult (age ≥18 yr) patients who underwent elective thoracic surgery under total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) or combined intravenous and inhalation anesthesia between January 2016 and March 2020. Patients were excluded for the following reasons: (i) data on the classification of regional anesthesia were missing; (ii) data on nausea and vomiting in the first 24 h after surgery were not recorded; (iii) DEX was used after surgery; (iv) the patient went to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) after surgery; (v) more than 20% of patient indicators were missing; (vi) the patient had a history of alcohol, analgesic or other drug abuse and addiction; (vii) the patient had unstable angina pectoris and myocardial infarction occurring within 3 months and New York Heart Association (NYHA) grade ≥3; and (viii) the patient had severe cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. For patients who had more than one thoracic surgery during the study period, only the first thoracic surgery was included.

Variables

Variables that may have an association with PONV were selected based on a literature review. Risk factors for PONV in adults included age, non-smoking, history of PONV or motion sickness, volatile anesthesia, risk surgery, female and post-operative opioid analgesics. Patients with completed data regarding age, sex, education, weight, smoking history, drinking history, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status, medical history (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, previous non-thoracic surgery, cerebral vascular and heart diseases and immune system diseases, coagulation dysfunction, History of PONV and Motion sickness), anesthesia method (TIVA and Combined intravenous and inhalation anesthesia), regional anesthesia, intraoperative dexamethasone, sufentanil and prophylactic antiemetics (SHT-3 antagonists), and surgical characteristics (surgical method, type and time), vascular drugs, bradycardia, hypotension, total infusion volume, red blood cell (RBC) transfusion, plasma transfusion, amount of bleeding, urine volume, length of stay (LOS) in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU), patient controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA), moderate-to-severe (MOS) pain at rest, moderate-to-severe (MOS) pain during activity, use of medication in PCIA, post-operative salvage opioid analgesics, rescue medication (SHT-3 antagonists) and PONV during the first post-operative 24 h were included in the study.

End Points and Confounders

Nausea and vomiting are two different phenomena; they usually coexist in a patient, post-operative nausea (PON) or post-operative vomiting (POV) notably occur in parallel to PONV. Therefore, we regarded the PONV variables as a substitute for any PON, POV or retching in the trials. The most commonly used time interval to measure the role of antiemetics is 24 h post-operatively (7). We could get the occurrence and frequency of PONV within 24 h after operation. However, we could not distinguish the degree of PON and POV in our retrospective. The primary end point in our study was the incidence of PONV during the first post-operative 24 h. Secondary end points were the dose-response relationship and the optimal dose of DEX and PONV.

Baseline factors thought to have relationships with PONV were regarded as potential confounders for the analysis. Based on clinical experiences and previous studies, we adjusted for the potential confounding effects of age, sex, surgery type, surgery time, regional anesthesia, patient controlled intravenous...
analgesia (PCIA), education, smoking history and intraoperative sufentanil. All information concerning potential confounders was retrieved from the medical records.

**Statistical Analysis**

Baseline data were stratified by categorizing the study population into two groups, dexmedetomidine and non-dexmedetomidine, according to whether dexmedetomidine was used during the operation. Continuous variables of each group are presented as the mean standard deviation (if the data are normal) or quartile, and the categorical variables are expressed as absolute values and percentages. Analysis of variance was used to compare continuous variables. Categorical variables were analyzed by the chi-squared test. A 2-tailed p < 0.05 was established as the threshold of statistical significance. We did not adjust for the probability of type I errors; hence, findings concerning secondary outcome was only considered exploratory. Data analysis was performed with R packages (R version 3.4.4).

As this was a retrospective database study, the number of eligible patients was fixed; hence, we estimated the statistical power instead of calculating the sample size. And we used propensity-score matching to exclude systematic bias. Patients were matched using 1:1 nearest-neighbor matching with a caliper size of 0.05 on a propensity score scale. To control for any residual confounding by covariates with a standardized difference >10% after matching, we included these variables as adjustment for a priori selected risk factors for PONV in the multivariable logistic regression models to analyze the association between exposure and outcome. To test the robustness of our main findings, we conducted an a priori–defined sensitivity analysis, as stated above, three analysis models were devised: “Model 1” was a crude model; “Model 2” was adjusted for age and sex; and “Model 3” included age, sex, surgery type, surgery time, anesthesia method, regional anesthesia, PCIA, education, smoking history and intraoperative sufentanil as the adjustment variables.

The associations between the different doses of dexmedetomidine and PONV were analyzed to determine whether a dose-response relationship exists, in which patients with no dexmedetomidine were excluded. Bonferroni’s correction was used, and 99% confidence interval (CI) was calculated in the analysis of the dose-response relationship to adjust the type I error in the multiple comparisons. Different doses of dexmedetomidine were tested to determine whether the dose-response relationship was statistically significant using the Mann-Kendall method.

**RESULTS**

Of the 5,310 patients undergoing elective thoracic surgery identified in our database, 3,878 were eligible for inclusion (Figure 1).
TABLE 1 | Patients characteristics.

| Items                        | Before matched | After matched |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                              | Without DEX    | DEX           | P       | SMD     | Without DEX    | DEX           | P       | SMD     |
|                              | *n* = 1,325    | *n* = 2,553   |         |         | *n* = 1,325   | *n* = 1,325   |         |         |
| Weight (kg)                  | 65 (57 to 72)  | 65 (58 to 73) | 0.019  | 0.076  | 65 (58 to 73) | 65 (58 to 73) | 0.768  | 0.009  |
| Age (year)                   | 57 (47 to 66)  | 57 (49 to 66) | 0.254  | 0.076  | 57 (47 to 66) | 57 (49 to 66) | 0.269  | 0.042  |
| Sex (male)                   | 779 (58.8)     | 1,583 (62)    | 0.064  | 0.064  | 779 (58.8)    | 800 (60)     | 0.842  | 0.008  |
| ASA physical status          | < 0.001        | 0.154         |         |         | < 0.001       | 0.022         |         |         |
| I                            | 152 (11.5)     | 181 (7.1)     |         |         | 152 (11.5)    | 94 (7.1)      |         |         |
| II                           | 1,016 (76.7)   | 2,068 (81)    |         |         | 1,016 (76.7)  | 1,073 (81)    |         |         |
| III                          | 152 (11.4)     | 296 (11.6)    |         |         | 152 (11.4)    | 154 (11.6)    |         |         |
| IV                           | 5 (0.4)        | 8 (0.3)       |         |         | 5 (0.4)       | 4 (0.3)       |         |         |
| Education                    | 0.18           | 0.074         |         |         | 0.776         | 0.085         |         |         |
| Bachelor or above            | 252 (19)       | 457 (17.9)    |         |         | 252 (19)      | 237 (17.9)    |         |         |
| Middle school                | 533 (40.2)     | 1,001 (39.2)  |         |         | 533 (40.2)    | 519 (39.2)    |         |         |
| Primary school               | 486 (36.7)     | 955 (37.4)    |         |         | 486 (36.7)    | 496 (37.4)    |         |         |
| Illiteracy                   | 54 (4.1)       | 140 (5.5)     |         |         | 54 (4.1)      | 73 (5.5)      |         |         |
| Smoking history (yes)        | 491 (37.1)     | 983 (38.5)    | 0.414  | 0.029  | 491 (37.1)    | 510 (38.5)    | 0.842  | 0.043  |
| Drinking history (yes)       | 443 (33.4)     | 888 (34.8)    | 0.409  | 0.029  | 443 (33.4)    | 461 (34.8)    | 0.871  | 0.027  |
| History of non-thoracic surgery (yes) | 498 (37.4) | 978 (38.3)    | 0.626  | 0.018  | 498 (37.4)    | 507 (38.3)    | 0.749  | 0.039  |
| Cerebral vascular disease (yes) | 85 (6.4)  | 184 (7.2)     | 0.342  | 0.034  | 85 (6.4)      | 96 (7.2)      | 0.882  | 0.015  |
| History of hypertension (yes) | 282 (21.3)    | 597 (23.4)    | 0.14   | 0.051  | 282 (21.3)    | 310 (23.4)    | 0.819  | 0.014  |
| Diabetes History (yes)       | 139 (10.5)     | 248 (9.7)     | 0.47   | 0.027  | 139 (10.5)    | 128 (9.7)     | 0.278  | 0.045  |
| History of heart disease (yes) | 85 (6.4)     | 245 (9.6)     | 0.001  | 0.117  | 85 (6.4)      | 87 (6.6)      | 0.688  | 0.016  |
| History of immune system (yes) | 9 (0.7)       | 8 (0.3)       | 0.121  | 0.061  | 9 (0.7)       | 8 (0.6)       | 0.117  | 0.070  |
| History of coagulation dysfunction (yes) | 24 (1.8) | 102 (4)      | <0.001 | 0.131  | 24 (1.8)      | 53 (4)        | <0.001 | 0.090  |
| History of PONV              | 49 (3.7)       | 71 (2.8)      | 0.032  | 0.155  | 49 (3.7)      | 31 (2.4)      | 0.019  | 0.138  |
| Motion sickness              | 268 (20.3)     | 385 (15.1)    | 0.007  | 0.113  | 268 (20.3)    | 115 (8.7)     | 0.008  | 0.082  |

Data are presented as mean (SD) or n (%). SD, standard deviation; DEX, dexmedetomidine; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; PONV, Post-operative nausea and vomiting.

Baseline Characteristics
Among the 3,878 patients enrolled, 2,553 patients received DEX and 1,325 patients did not receive DEX. The incidence of PONV in patients who received DEX was 21.3%, and the incidence of PONV in patients who did not receive DEX was 46.5% (P = 0.001). We used a propensity-score matched-pairs analysis of the cohort to evaluate the adjusted association between DEX and PONV. After the matched-pairs cohort consisted of 1,325 patients, the incidence of PONV in patients who received DEX was 23.6%, and the incidence of PONV in patients who did not receive DEX was 46.5% (P = 0.001). There were significant differences between the groups in terms of a history of coagulation dysfunction, history of PONV and motion sickness (Table 1). There were significant differences between the groups in terms of anesthesia method, regional anesthesia, surgery type, hypotension and Urine volume (Table 2). There were significant differences between the groups in terms of PCIA, Pain during activity (MOS), use of medication in PCIA, post-operative salvage opioid analgesics, rescue medication (5HT-3 antagonists) and PONV (Table 3). We analyzed three different models after propensity matching, including Model 1 (OR = 0.497, 95% CI, 0.314–0.77; P = 0.002), Model 2 (OR = 0.485, 95% CI, 0.305–0.755; P = 0.002), and Model 3 (OR = 0.489, 95% CI, 0.305–0.768; P = 0.002), to validate the stability of the prediction model between DEX and PONV (Table 4).

Dose-Response Relationship Between DEX and PONV
A dose-response relationship between DEX and PONV was observed (Figure 2). The ordinate of Figure 2 is the odds ratio (0–1), and the abscissa is the dosage of DEX (0–150 µg). As is evident, the larger the dose of DEX is, the lower the incidence of PONV. The 95% upper confidence interval of OR was 1, and the critical value were 48.995 µg (OR = 0.604, 95% CI, 0.364–1.003) and 49.749 µg (OR = 0.595, 95% CI, 0.359–0.988) in the dose-response relationship (Figure 2). When the dose of DEX >100 µg, the OR decreases very little, and the curve is gentle (Figure 2). We analyzed three different dose range
of dexmedetomidine for PONV, including 0–50 µg (OR = 0.776, 95% CI, 0.474–1.220; \( P = 0.291 \)), 50–100 µg (OR = 0.247, 95% CI, 0.103–0.504; \( P < 0.001 \)), and 100–150 µg (OR = 0, 95% CI, 0–0; \( P = 0.988 \) (Table 5). Compared with 0 µg, there was only significant difference between in range of DEX in 50–100 µg. The optimal dose range of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV is 50–100 µg.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we reported three main findings: first, intraoperative DEX can reduce the incidence of PONV in patients undergoing thoracic surgery; second, a dose-response relationship between intraoperative DEX and PONV was observed; third, the optimal dose range of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV is 50–100 µg.

Previous small sample prospective studies have shown that perioperative DEX can reduce the incidence of PONV (7–9). Some meta-analyses demonstrated that intraoperative DEX significantly lowered post-operative pain scores and opioid consumption, which could lead to a reduced opioid-related adverse events, including PONV (6, 10). These studies focused on the specific high-risk factors for PONV, especially in women (breast and gynecological surgery) and gastrointestinal surgery. Clear risk factors independently predicting PONV included female sex, post-operative opioid treatment, prior history of...
### TABLE 3 | Patients data within 24 h after operation.

| Items                      | Before matched | After matched | P     | SMD |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|-----|
|                            | Without DEX    | DEX           |       |     |
|                            | n = 1,325      | n = 2,553     |       |     |
| LOS in PACU (min)          | 70 (55 to 95)  | 70 (55 to 95) | 0.054 | 0.051|
| PCIA (yes)                 | 1236 (93.3)    | 2231 (87.4)   | <.001 | 0.199|
| Pain at rest (MOS)         | 85 (6.4)       | 146 (5.7)     | 0.412 | 0.035|
| Pain during activity (MOS) | 207 (15.6)     | 301 (11.8)    | 0.002 | 0.103|
| Use of medication in PCIA (µg) | 206 (16.4)   | 199 (8.3)     | 0.009 | 0.171|
| Postoperative salvageopioid analgesics (µg) | 167 (12.7)    | 125 (7.5)     | 0.018 | 0.107|
| Rescue medication (5HT-3 antagonists) (mg) | 413 (31.2)     | 398 (15.6)    | 0.011 | 0.117|
| PONV (yes)                 | 616 (46.5)     | 544 (21.3)    | 0.001 | 0.122|
|                            | Without DEX    | DEX           |       |     |
|                            | n = 1,325      | n = 1,325     |       |     |
| LOS in PACU (min)          | 70 (55 to 95)  | 70 (55 to 95) | 0.071 | 0.028|
| PCIA (yes)                 | 1236 (93.3)    | 1140 (86.0)   | <.001 | 0.146|
| Pain at rest (MOS)         | 85 (6.4)       | 80 (6.1)      | 0.114 | 0.035|
| Pain during activity (MOS) | 207 (15.6)     | 160 (12.1)    | 0.002 | 0.084|

Data are presented as mean (SD) or n (%). SD, standard deviation; DEX, dexmedetomidine; LOS, Length of stay; PACU, Post Anesthesia Care Unit; RBC, red blood cell; PCIA, patient controlled intravenous analgesia; MOS, moderate-to-severe (VAS score > 3).

### TABLE 4 | Multivariable logistic regression analysis of dexmedetomidine for PONV.

| Variable                      | OR (95% CI) | P |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Model 1                       | 0.497 (0.314 to 0.77) | 0.002 |
| Model 2                       | 0.485 (0.305 to 0.755) | 0.002 |
| Model 3                       | 0.489 (0.305 to 0.768) | 0.002 |

Model 1 was a crude model.
Model 2 was adjusted for age and sex using multivariable logistic regression.
Model 3 was adjusted for age, sex, surgery type, surgery time, anesthesia method, regional anesthesia, PCA, education, smoking history and intraoperative sufentanil using multivariable logistic regression.
CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PONV, Post-operative nausea and vomiting; PCIA, patient controlled intravenous analgesia.

motion sickness and/or PONV, and non-smokers, which can increase the risk by 20% (11). Other risk factors for PONV also included preanaesthetic medication, anesthetic techniques, and post-operative pain management (12). By reviewing 4 years of patients receiving thoracic surgery in Henan Provincial People's Hospital, including esophageal surgery, lung surgery, mediastinal surgery and so on, we can further determine the relationship between intraoperative DEX and PONV. We analyzed three different models after propensity score matching and showed that perioperative DEX could reduce the incidence of PONV, further supporting that this result was very stable, and this is consistent with previous research results. To our knowledge, this is the first large-scale retrospective cohort study of intraoperative DEX and PONV in elective thoracic surgery.

The reasons why DEX could prevent PONV may be as follows: (i) Intraoperative DEX significantly lowered the demand for opioids and inhalation anesthesia during and after operation, which could help to reduce opioid-related adverse events, including PONV (13). (ii) Intraoperative DEX decreases noradrenergic activity as a result of binding to alpha-2 presynaptic inhibitory adrenoreceptors in the locus coeruleus, which may result in an antiemetic effect (14). (iii) It may be related to reducing sympathetic outflow and total catecholamine release by DEX, while high sympathetic tone and catecholamine release may trigger PONV (12).

Although some prospective studies with small sample sizes have shown that a 0.5 or 1.0 µg/kg bolus infusion could effectively decrease the incidence of PONV (7–9, 15, 16), there have been few studies on other doses, and it is not clear whether there was a dose-dependent antiemetic effect. The optimal dose
of DEX for achieving antiemetic effects has not been well-documented. On the basis of the above, we explored the dose-effect relationship between DEX and PONV according to the data in our study. The intraoperative dosage of DEX ranged from 0 µg to 150 µg, and with the increase in perioperative dexmedetomidine dose, the incidence of PONV decreased. This beneficial dose-response relationship may be explained by the possible mechanism of DEX reducing the incidence of PONV.

It should be noted that bradycardia and hypotension are the most common adverse events associated with high doses of DEX, which were closely related to the rate of infusion and total dosage. Thus, when determining the optimal dose of DEX for PONV, the potential increased risk of significant hypotension and bradycardia should be balanced against optimal anti-PONV effects. We found a significant dose-response relationship between intraoperative DEX and PONV, but the range of intraoperative DEX is too extensive in Figure 2. When the 95% upper confidence interval of OR is just <1 in the dose-response relationship, the corresponding dose of DEX is 49.749 µg, indicating that some patients did not benefit from the DEX in terms of PONV when the DEX is <49.749 µg. When the dose of DEX was >100 µg, the OR value decreased very smoothly. This suggests that the benefit from DEX becomes smaller, at the same time, higher cardiovascular risk have to be considered very carefully. Meanwhile, we analyzed three different dose range of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV and showed that there was significant difference only when the dose of DEX was 50–100 µg. Based on our results, the optimal dose range of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV is 50–100 µg in elective thoracic surgery.

There were several limitations to this observational study, including (most notably) its retrospective nature, which prevented us from obtaining clinical details from decision-makers. First, the dose of intraoperative DEX was not reported per kilogram of body weight in our study, but we obtained the dose-response relationship between intraoperative DEX and PONV, and explored the optimal dose of intraoperative DEX which included different doses of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV. Second, previous studies have shown that intraoperative inhaled anesthetic dosage directly affected the frequency and degree of PONV. Due to the defects of retrospective study, we were unable to obtain the intraoperative inhaled anesthetic dosage. However, anesthesia methods did not affect the results of DEX for antiemetic effects after multivariate regression analysis. Third, PON and POV usually coexist in a patient, so we did not distinguish the two variables. The degree of PONV was not identified. Whether intraoperative dexmedetomidine can decrease the degree of PONV also requires further research.

In conclusion, intraoperative DEX was found to be significantly associated with a decreased incidence of PONV in a retrospective cohort study. We also observed a dose-response relationship: the greater the dose of intraoperative DEX is, the lower the incidence of PONV. The optimal dose range of intraoperative DEX for antiemetic effects of PONV is 50–100 µg in elective thoracic surgery.
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