Aspartate tightens the anchoring of staphylococcal lipoproteins to the cytoplasmic membrane
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Abstract
In gram-negative bacteria, the ABC transporter LolCDE complex translocates outer membrane-specific lipoproteins (Lpp) from the inner membrane to the outer membrane. Lpp possessing aspartate (Asp) at position +2 are not translocated because it functions as a LolCDE avoidance signal. In gram-positive bacteria, lacking an outer membrane and the Lol system, Lpp are only anchored at the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane. However, the release of Lpp particularly in pathogenic or commensal species is crucial for immune modulation. Here, we provide evidence that in Staphylococcus aureus Asp at position +2 plays a role in withholding Lpp to the cytoplasmic membrane. Screening of published exoproteomic data of S. aureus revealed that Lpp mainly with Gly or Ser at position +2 were found in exoproteome, but there was no Lpp with Asp+2. The occurrence of Lpp with Asp+2 is infrequent in gram-positive bacteria. In S. aureus USA300 only seven of the 67 Lpp possess Asp+2; among them five Lpp represented Lpl lipoproteins involved in host cell invasion. Our study demonstrated that replacing the Asp+2 present in Lpl8 with a Ser enhances its release into the supernatant. However, there is no different release of Asp+2 and Ser+2 in mprF mutant that lacks the positive charge of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Lys-PG). Moreover, substitution of Ser+2 by Asp in SitC (MntC) did not lead to a decreased release indicating that in staphylococci positions +3 and +4 might also be important for a tighter anchoring of Lpp. Here, we show that Asp in position +2 and adjacent amino acids contribute in tightening the anchoring of Lpp by interaction of the negative charged Asp with the positive charged Lys-PG.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Bacterial lipoproteins (Lpp) belong to the class of lipid-anchored proteins that in gram-negative bacteria are attached in both the cytoplasmic and outer membranes, whereas in gram-positive bacteria they are attached only in the cytoplasmic membrane (Hantke & Braun, 1973; Nguyen & Götz, 2016). Most Lpp are translocated across the cytoplasmic membrane by the bacterial SecYEG apparatus (Sugai & Wu, 1992), but few by the twin-arginine translocation (Tat) pathway (Lee, Tullman-Ercek, & Georgiou, 2006). The lipoprotein signal peptides possess at their C-terminal end a conserved lipobox [-Leu/Val/Ile-3–Ser/Ala-2–Ala/Gly-1–Cys+1], which is recognized by the modification machinery (Hayashi & Wu, 1990). This machinery comprises three enzymes: the diacylglycerol transferase (Lgt), the signal peptidase II (Lps) and finally N-acetyltransferase (Lnt) (Hayashi & Wu, 1990; Inouye, Wang, Sekizawa, Halegoua, & Inouye, 1977). Lgt transfers the sn-1,2-diacylglycerol...
group from phosphatidylglycerol to the prolipoprotein (Sankaran & Wu, 1994), Lsp recognizes the diacylglycerol modification and cleaves between the amino acid at position -1 and the lipid-modified cysteine (+1) residue (Hussain, Ichihara, & Mizushima, 1982), and Lnt carries out the N-acylation of the free N-terminus of cysteine to form N-acyl diacylglycerol cysteine (Gan et al., 1995). After the modification, the Lpp of gram-negative bacteria either stay at the inner membrane or are exported to the outer membrane through the Lol (localization of lipoprotein) system (Narita, Matsuyama, & Tokuda, 2004; Tokuda & Matsuyama, 2004). However, Lpp that contain aspartate at position +2 (Asp+2) next to cysteine (+1) are hardly recognized by the Lol machinery. Therefore, these Lpp remain anchored at the inner membrane (Narita & Tokuda, 2011; Okuda & Tokuda, 2011). Thus in E. coli, the amino acid at position +2 determines the localization of Lpp either in inner or outer membrane (Yamaguchi, Yu, & Inouye, 1988). By in silico prediction it was assumed that the residues following the +1 cysteine may contain the Lpp sorting information (Zuckert, 2014).

Unlike gram-negative bacteria, gram-positive bacteria lack the outer membrane and the corresponding Lol machinery. Therefore, the influence of Asp+2 on Lpp sorting has not been explored. Since Lpp are the major surface proteins in gram-positive bacteria, they play a crucial role in nutrient transport and in virulence (Nguyen & Götz, 2016; Shahmirzadi, Nguyen, & Götz, 2016). In gram-positive pathogens Lpp play a crucial role in infection (Nguyen & Götz, 2016; Takeuchi, Hoshino, & Akira, 2000), sepsis (Angus & van der Poll, 2013; Schmaler et al., 2009), inflammation (Skabitskaya et al., 2014), and immune modulation via TLR2-MyD88 activation (Hashimoto et al., 2006; Schmaler et al., 2009; Takeuchi et al., 2000).

While diacylated Lpp are sensed by the TLR2/TLR6 heterodimer, triacylated Lpp are sensed by the TLR2/TLR1 heterodimer (Jin et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2009; Schenk, Belisle, & Modlin, 2009; Takeda, Takeuchi, & Akira, 2002). As the Lpp receptors TLR2, TLR1, and TLR6 recognize Lpp via their ectodomain (Jimenez-Dalmaroni et al., 2015) it is assumed that soluble Lpp in the bacterial supernatant bind to their receptors. It means that certain Lpp are not permanently anchored in the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane but are released into the environment during growth. Indeed, it has been shown recently that S. aureus strains that produce the detergent-like phenol-soluble modulins (PSMs) (Cheung, Joo, Chatterjee, & Otto, 2014) release higher amounts of Lpp from the cytoplasmic membrane (Hanzelmann et al., 2016).

As the amount of released Lpp into the environment is correlated with the immune response we asked the question whether negatively charged amino acids (aa) next to the cysteine in position +1 strengthens the anchoring of Lpp at the membrane by ionic interaction with positively charged phospholipids. To strengthen the hypothesis that the negatively charged Asp+2 interacts with a positively charged membrane phospholipid, we created a ΔmprF mutant in USA300. MprF lysinylates phosphatidylglycerol to Lys-PG, one of the dominant membrane phospholipids in S. aureus (Ernst et al., 2015; Peschel et al., 2001; Staubitz, Neumann, Schneider, Wiedemann, & Peschel, 2004). In the mprF mutant the positive lysyl group is absent and now we saw that in this mutant Lp8\(^{2D}\) is not more retained to the membrane than Lp8\(^{2S}\). This result clearly indicates that the strong retention of Lp8\(^{2D}\) to the membrane is most likely due to its ionic interaction with the positive charged Lys-PG.

Furthermore, we screened all known Lpp of S. aureus USA300 for the +1 to +3 amino acid of the mature Lpp and found that Lpp with glycine at position +2 (G\(^{2+}\)) were the most abundant in the supernatant, whereas Lpp with an Asp at position +2 (D\(^{2+}\)) were hardly found in the supernatant (Nguyen et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016; Stoll, Dengel, Nerz, & Götz, 2005).

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. S. aureus strains were grown aerobically in basic medium, BM (1% soy peptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl, 0.1% glucose, and 0.1% K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), pH 7.4) at 37°C. For strains containing the plasmid pCtuf, the media were supplemented with 10 μg/ml of chloramphenicol.

2.2 Creation of a double mutant S. aureus USA300ΔlplΔspa::erm by phage transduction

In order to avoid unnecessary binding of IgG to protein A, the spa gene was deleted by phage transduction using φ11 with SA113 spa::erm (Schlag et al., 2010) as donor strain; as a result strain USA300ΔlplΔspa::erm was created. Briefly, phage Φ11 was used to produce a phage lysate of SA113Δspa::erm. The lysate was filtered through a 0.2 μm pore-size filter and used to infect strain USA300Δlpl at a low multiplicity of infection (phage-to-recipient ratio of 1:10). Transductants carrying Δspa::erm were selected on tryptic soya agar (TSA) supplied with erythromycin 2.5 μg/ml. As a control, the phage lysate was plated alone to avoid reisolating the donor strain. Positive clones containing the spa deletion were confirmed by DNA sequencing. For that, genomic DNA was isolated from clones using Quick-DNA™ Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research Europe GmbH) and used as template for PCR, using the primers For.spa.seq (5’-AAGACCATG TGTAGCAGCGAAAC-3’). The PCR products were purified using il-ustra GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kits (GE healthcare) and sent for sequencing (GATC Biotech AG) to confirm spa deletion. All primers were purchased from integrated DNA technologies (idtd, Illinois).

2.3 Deletion of mprF gene by allelic replacement in S. aureus USA300ΔlplΔspa::erm

The deletion of mprF gene in S. aureus USA300ΔlplΔspa::erm was generated by homologous recombination. First, pBASE-mprF knockout plasmid containing the upstream and downstream flanking region was constructed. The 1000 bp upstream fraction was amplified by PCR using primer pairs F_up (5’-GGAAATT CGCGAGCTCGGTACTCTACTTGAAAAAATGAGTGTTC-3’) and R_up (5’-CCGGAGCTCGGTACTCTACTTGAAAAAATGAGTGTTC-3’).
(5′-TTAATTATTGTGCTGATTCTTTTTCAATCATCAATTC-3′) and the 741 bp downstream using F_down (5′-AATGGAATGCACAAATATTAAATTCGAATGTC-3′) and R_down (5′-CGCAGATGTCGCGCTAGATGATAGATTCTCTAGTTTCGCTGATAGTGG-3′). These two fragments were ligated into linearized pBASE by Gibson Assembly method. Briefly, the mixture of purified PCR flanking fragments and linearized plasmid were mixed 2xHiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs Inc., UK) at ratio 1:1 and incubated at 50°C for 1 hr. Later the ligation mixture was transformed into chemocompetent E. coli DC10B and plated onto BM Ampicillin (100 μg/ml) plate and incubated at 37°C. The clones containing plasmid pBASE-mprF were screened using colony PCR and confirmed by DNA sequencing. The correct plasmid pBASE-mprF subsequently transformed by electroporation into USA300Δlpl8 and plated onto BM Ampicillin (100 μg/ml) plate and incubated at 37°C. The clones containing plasmid pCtuf were grown as described previously (Bae & Schneewind, 2006). The final gene deletion was checked and confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing.

### 2.4 | Construction of pCtuf-Lpl8-strep and pCtuf-SitC-strep

To construct the plasmid pCtuf for expression of Lpl8, the Lpl8^2D^ sequence was amplified from *S. aureus* USA300 genomic DNA, using the primers: Forward primer F_Lpl8^2D^ (5′-AATTAATGGAATGCTGATTCTTTTTCAATCATCAATTC-3′) and Reverse primer R_Lpl8-strep(5′-ATTAATGGAATGCTGATTCTTTTTCAATCATCAATTC-3′) (restriction sites PacI and HindIII are underlined, respectively). The strep-tag nucleotide sequence (bold letters) was added in the reverse primer for downstream protein detection. To develop the Lpl8 containing Serine at +2 position (Ser+2), the serine at the 24th codon was replaced into aspartate (D24S) using the forward primer F_Lpl8^2S^ (5′-aTTTAATTAATGGAATGCTGATTCTTTTTCAATCATCAATTC-3′) and the 741 bp downstream using F_down (5′-AATGGAATGCACAAATATTAAATTCGAATGTC-3′) and R_down (5′-CGCAGATGTCGCGCTAGATGATAGATTCTCTAGTTTCGCTGATAGTGG-3′). These two fragments were ligated into linearized pBASE by Gibson Assembly method. Briefly, the mixture of purified PCR flanking fragments and linearized plasmid were mixed 2xHiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs Inc., UK) at ratio 1:1 and incubated at 50°C for 1 hr. Later the ligation mixture was transformed into chemocompetent E. coli DC10B and plated onto BM Ampicillin (100 μg/ml) plate and incubated at 37°C. The clones containing plasmid pBASE-mprF were screened using colony PCR and confirmed by DNA sequencing. The correct plasmid pBASE-mprF subsequently transformed by electroporation into USA300Δlpl8 and plated onto BM Ampicillin (100 μg/ml) plate and incubated at 37°C. The clones containing plasmid pCtuf were grown as described previously (Bae & Schneewind, 2006). The final gene deletion was checked and confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing.

### 2.5 | Harvesting of extracellular proteins

For the detection of extracellular proteins *S. aureus* clones were grown aerobically in BM with 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol at 37°C. Overnight
cultures were diluted 1:100 in 100 ml BM broth and incubated aerobically at 37°C. Samples were taken at 4, 8, and 16 hr and OD_{578} was determined at each time point. Samples were centrifuged at 5,000g/10 min at 4°C, filtered through 0.22 μm filters (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht). The amount of supernatant proteins was determined and adjusted to the same concentration. The filtered supernatants were kept at −80°C. The samples were thawed and prepared as described earlier (Nguyen et al., 2015).

### 2.6 Western blot

For Western blot analysis, the supernatants were thawed on ice and precipitated by 10 μl Strata clean resin (Agilent, Waldbronn). For SDS-PAGE, the precipitated proteins were dissolved in 50 μl sample loading dye (3 X Laemml beta-mercaptoethanol), boiled for 7 min and stored on ice. 10 μl of each sample was loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE.

After gel electrophoresis proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (Protran, GE, Frankfurt am Main) using Trans Blot device (Bio-Rad, Munich) at 350 V for 40 min. Membranes were then blocked overnight with RotiBlock blocking reagent (Roth, Karlsruhe). Membranes were incubated with primary antibody (anti-strep-tag rabbit IgG, Abcam) for 1 hr and subsequently with secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit IgG goat IgG alkaline phosphatase conjugated, Sigma) for 1 hr each at room temperature under gentle shaking. Detection was carried out using BCI/NBP (Sigma, Munich); blots were scanned by Epson scanner.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 The lipoproteins with Asp at position +2 are not frequent in gram-positive bacteria

First, we investigated how frequent is Asp+2 is gram-positive Lpp. We used PRED-LIPO prediction program for analyzing Lpp of gram-positive bacteria (http://biophysics.biol.uoa.gr/PRED-LIPO-results/) (Bagos, Tsurigos, Liakopoulos, & Hamodrakas, 2008). The program includes Lpp data of 179 gram-positive species and strains. There are three species representatives that lacked Lpp: The mycoplasma-like plant pathogens Aster yellows witches-broom phytoplasma AYWB and Onion yellows phytoplasma as well as the lactic acid bacterium Oenococcus oeni PSU-1. The other of the 176 species/strains contain a wide variety of 9–160 Lpp. Only 62 out of 176 species/strains contain Lpp with Asp (+2). The number of Lpp with Asp+2 was very low ranging from 0% to 6% per species/strain (Table S1).

To illustrate the low distribution of Lpp with Asp+2 we show a selection of gram-positive species representative (Figure 2). As can be seen in the average the occurrence of Lpp with (Asp+2) ranged from 0% to 6%. Exceptionally high percentage (7 Lpp = 10%) was the number of Lpp with (Asp+2) in the multiresistant and epidemic S. aureus USA300. Five of the seven Lpp with Asp+2 are encoded in the lpl gene cluster that is only found in the S. aureus species (Nguyen et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016). The number of lpl genes in this cluster varies from 3 to 10 among the strains. Unlike in gram-negative bacteria the number of Lpp with Asp+2 is limited in gram-positive bacteria. Using S. aureus USA300 as a prototype of pathogenic S. aureus strains we found that among the 67 Lpp the most abundant amino acid at position +2 was glycine (39 Lpp), followed by serine (12 Lpp), aspartate (7 Lpp), alanine (3 Lpp), and each one with arginine, threonine, glutamine, asparagine, glutamate, and valine (Table 2).

#### 3.2 Evaluation of S. aureus Lpp detected in exoproteome

Next we analyzed the published exoproteomic data of S. aureus to see which Lpp with amino acid in position +2 are preferentially found in the supernatant. It turned out that in the supernatant particularly Lpp with uncharged or positively charged aa in position 2 were found: such as glycine, serine, alanine, arginine, and threonine. Lpp with aspartate in position 2 (Asp+2) were not detected in the exoproteome of various S. aureus strains. The results are summarized in Table 2, and the full detailed list of Lpp is shown in Table 3.

#### 3.3 The positive charged lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Lys-PG) enhances Lpl8 retention

In S. aureus, most of the Lpp containing Asp+2 belong to the Lpl lipoproteins. Previously it has been demonstrated that the Lpl lipoproteins
are expressed both at transcriptional level and that they are associated with the cell envelope; their biological function is that they contribute to host cell invasion (Nguyen et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016).

To evaluate the effect of Asp+2 on Lpl release we substituted in Lpl8 (model Lpp) Asp+2 by Ser+2. Both genes encoding either Lpl8+2D or the mutated Lpl8+2S were provided with a Strep-tag and were constitutively expressed on pCtuf vector in S. aureus USA300∆lpl∆spa::erm (Figure 1a and b). The release of Lpl8+2D and Lpl8+2S into the supernatant was monitored over time by Western blotting using Strep-tag specific antibodies. For equal protein concentrations, the samples were adjusted to the same A280/260 values (Figure 3a). In the supernatants of the 4 hr cultures only faint bands were observed for Lpl8+2D and Lpl8+2S. However, after 8 and 12 hr Lpl8+2S was much more released than Lpl8+2D (Figure 3b). The release of Lpl8+2S occurred mainly in the late exponential and stationary growth phase.

We assume that the negatively charged Asp (+2) of Lpp interacts with the positive charged lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Lys-PG) that is synthesized by MprF in S. aureus. To prove this hypothesis, we created a ΔmprF mutant in USA300ΔlplΔspa::erm and compared the release of Lpl8+2D and Lpl8+2S in USA300ΔlplΔmprFΔspa. As expected, in the mprF mutant there is no difference in release of Lpl8+2S to the supernatant at any time points tested (Figure 3b), indicating, that the positive charged Lysl-PG increased the binding of Lpl8+2D to the membrane.

### 3.4 | The Asp (+2) has no effect on SitC release

Next, we selected another Lpp candidate, SitC (MntC), one of the most abundant Lpp in S. aureus which is involved in manganese (Mn) transport (Horsburgh et al., 2002; Stoll et al., 2005). Two versions of SitC
| No | Locus tag in USA300 | Function/ Annotation | aa sequence after Cysteine | Transmembrane domain (TMD) | Working strains | Exoproteome | References |
|----|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| 1. | SAUSA300_1978 | Ferric-hydroxamate receptor / FhuD1 | C SASV | No TMD | D30 strain 930918-3 USA300 | Detected | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 2. | SAUSA300_2235 | Iron compound ABC transporter, iron compound-binding protein FhuD2 | C GNQG | No TMD | COL O46 O11 USA300 D30 strain 930918-3 | Detected | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Hempel et al., 2011; Kusch & Engelmann, 2014; Le Marechal et al., 2011; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 3. | SAUSA300_0721 | Transferrin receptor/ SstD | CGNNS | No TMD | COL D30 USA300 Multiple S. aureus strains | Detected | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Hempele et al., 2011; Kusch & Engelmann, 2014; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 4. | SAUSA300_0117 | Fe ABC transporter / SirA | C SGNS | No TMD | COL O46 O11 USA300 | Detected | (Diep et al., 2014; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Hempel et al., 2011; Le Marechal et al., 2011) |
| 5. | SAUSA300_1032 | Fe ABC transporter / IsdE | C QSSS | No TMD | ---- | Not-detected | ---- |
| 6. | SAUSA300_0344 | FepA, Fe-binding protein, part of fepABC and tat-AC cluster | C GNDD | No TMD | ---- | Not-detected | ---- |
| 7. | SAUSA300_2136 | Fe ABC transporter | C GNTD | No TMD | COL MRSA clinical isolates USA300 O46 O11 Multiple S. aureus strains | Detected | (Becher et al., 2009; Diep et al., 2014; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Hempele et al., 2011; Herbert et al., 2010; Kusch & Engelmann, 2014; Le Marechal et al., 2011) |
| 8. | SAUSA300_0219 | Iron Binding Protein | C ANTR | No TMD | D30 strain 930918-3 | Detected | (Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 9. | SAUSA300_0618 | Manganese-binding protein MntC (SitC) | C GTGG | No TMD | O46 O11 D30 strain 930918-3 USA300 | Detected | (Diep et al., 2014; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Le Marechal et al., 2011; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 10. | SAUSA300_2351 | Zinc-binding, adxA-like | C GNDD | No TMD | USA300 | Detected | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |
| 11. | SAUSA300_2411 | Cobalt and nickel transporter Cnt (Opp1A) | C GGNK | No TMD | COL O46 O11 USA300 | Detected | (Diep et al., 2014; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Hempele et al., 2011; Le Marechal et al., 2011) |

(Continues)
| No  | Locus tag in USA300 | Function/ Annotation | aa sequence after Cysteine | Transmembrane domain (TMD) | Working strains | Exoproteome | References |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| 12  | SAUSA300_0231       | Nickel ABC transporter | C GSMH                     | No TMD                     | D30 strain 930918-3 USA300 | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 13  | SAUSA300_0203       | Nickel-Peptide/ transporter substrate-binding protein | C GVPT                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |
| 14  | SAUSA300_2230       | Molybdenum ABC transporter (ModA) | C SNSN                     | No TMD                     | D30 strain 930918-3 USA300 | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 15  | SAUSA300_1283       | Thioredoxin reductase, phosphate ABC transporter, phosphate-binding protein PstS | C GGGN                     | No TMD                     | Various S. aureus strains | Detected     | (Sibbald et al., 2006) |
| 16  | SAUSA300_0145       | Phosphonate ABC transporter | C GNSS                     | No TMD                     | D30 strain 930918-3 | Detected     | (Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 17  | SAUSA300_0175       | Nitrate ABC transporter substrate-binding protein | C DWQR                     | No TMD                     | ---              | Not-detected | ---         |
| 18  | SAUSA300_2391       | Glycine betaine/carnitine/choline ABC transporter (OpuCc) | C SLPG                     | No TMD                     | D30 strain 930918-3 | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 19  | SAUSA300_2359       | Amino acid ABC transporter | C GNNS                     | No TMD                     | COL SA strain D30 SA strain 930918-3 USA300 Multiple S. aureus strains | Detected     | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Kusch & Engelmann, 2014; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011; Ravipaty & Reilly, 2010) |
| 20  | SAUSA300_0073       | Peptide ABC transporter | C GNGQ                     | No TMD                     | ---              | Not-detected | ---         |
| 21  | SAUSA300_0891       | Oligopeptide ABC transporter (Opp3A) | C ANDD                     | No TMD                     | COL D30 strain 930918-3 USA300 Multiple S. aureus strains | Detected     | (Hempel et al., 2011; Kusch & Engelmann, 2014; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 22  | SAUSA300_0892       | Oligopeptide ABC transporter (Opp4A) | C GKSS                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | ---         |
| 23  | SAUSA300_0437       | NLPA/ D-Methionine binding (GmpC) | C GGNN                     | No TMD                     | COL USA300      | Detected     | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |
| 24  | SAUSA300_0798       | D-Methionine ABC transporter | C GNGN                     | No TMD                     | MSSA MRSA USA300 | Detected     | (Diep et al., 2014; Enany et al., 2014; Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |
| 25  | SAUSA300_0209       | Maltose ABC transporter | C GPNR                     | No TMD                     | ---              | Not-detected | ---         |
| 26  | SAUSA300_1884       | CamS sex pheromone biosynthesis | C GNHK                     | No TMD                     | COL USA300      | Detected     | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |

(Continues)
| No. | Locus tag in USA300 | Function/ Annotation | aa sequence after Cysteine | Transmembrane domain (TMD) | Working strains | Exoproteome | References |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| 27. | SAUSA300_0963       | Quinol oxidase, subunit II (QoxA) | C SNIE                      | 2 TMD                      | USA300          | Multiple S. aureus strains | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Kusch & Engelmann, 2014) |
| 28. | SAUSA300_0693       | Electron transfer domain/ SaeP | C GNSN                      | No TMD                     | Multiple strains | COL MRSA clinical isolates | (Pocsfalvi et al., 2008; Becher et al., 2009; Herbert, Ziebandt et al., 2010; Le Marechal et al., 2011; Diep et al., 2014; Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |
| 29. | SAUSA300_1790       | Foldase protein PrsA     | C GASA                      | No TMD                     | COL O46 O11 USA300 | Multiple S. aureus strains | (Becher et al., 2009; Diep et al., 2014; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Le Marechal et al., 2011; Ravipaty & Reilly, 2010) |
| 30. | SAUSA300_2354       | Thioredoxin/ Protein disulfide-isomerase | C GKKE                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Detected | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |
| 31. | SAUSA300_2046       | YidC (OxaA) - essential protein | C DYSK                      | 5 TMD                      | Not-detected    | Not-detected    | \(\ldots\) |
| 32. | SAUSA300_1436       | PhiSLT ORF144-like       | C GEKE                      | No TMD                     | USA300          | Detected | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016) |
| 33. | pUSA300_ HOUMR0011  | Membrane bound penicillinase BlaZ | C NSNS                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 34. | SAUSA300_0410       | Lpl-1 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C GKGN                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 35. | SAUSA300_0411       | Lpl-2 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C DSSS                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 36. | SAUSA300_0413       | Lpl-3 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C DKSS                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 37. | SAUSA300_0414       | Lpl-4 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C DGDN                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 38. | SAUSA300_0415       | Lpl-5 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C DSQS                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 39. | SAUSA300_0416       | Lpl-6 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C DSNK                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 40. | SAUSA300_0417       | Lpl-7 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C DSNK                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 41. | SAUSA300_0418       | Lpl-8 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C DGDN                     | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 42. | SAUSA300_0419       | Lpl-9 \(\nu\)Sa\(\alpha\) specific | C GNMK                     | No TMD                     | COL D30 USA300 | Multiple S. aureus strains | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Kusch & Engelmann, 2014; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011; Ravipaty & Reilly, 2010) |
| 43. | SAUSA300_2429       | Tandem lpp               | C VIMT                      | No TMD                     | USA300          | Multiple S. aureus strains | (Sibbald et al., 2006; Herbert, Ziebandt et al., 2010) |
| 44. | SAUSA300_2430       | Tandem lpp               | C GMKK                      | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| 45. | SAUSA300_0100       | Tandem lpp/ Conserved staphylococcal antigen 1A (Csa1A) | C GIGK                     | No TMD                     | MRSA clinical isolates | Detected | (Sibbald et al., 2006; Herbert, Ziebandt et al., 2010) |
| 46. | SAUSA300_0101       | Tandem lpp               | C GMGK                      | No TMD                     | USA300          | Not-detected | \(\ldots\) |
| No  | Locus tag in USA300 | Function/ Annotation                                | aa sequence after Cysteine | Transmembrane domain (TMD) | Working strains                        | Exoproteome | References                                                                 |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 47. | SAUSA300_0102       | Tandem lpp                                         | C GIGK                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Not-detected | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 48. | SAUSA300_0103       | Tandem lpp                                         | C GIGK                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 49. | SAUSA300_0079       | Unknown function                                   | C SNND                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 50. | SAUSA300_0372       | Unknown function                                   | C GNDT                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Detected     | (Becher et al., 2009; Burlak et al., 2007; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Hempel et al., 2011; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011; Pocsfalvi et al., 2008; Raviapaty & Reilly, 2010; Sibbald et al., 2006) |
| 51. | SAUSA300_0377       | Unknown function                                   | C ASDQ                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 52. | SAUSA300_1492       | Unknown function                                   | C GSQN                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 53. | SAUSA300_0992       | Cell-wall binding lipoprotein                      | C TTDK                      | No TMD                      | COL D30 Multiple strains USA300 COL S. aureus COL MW2 and LAC | Detected     | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 54. | SAUSA300_2403       | Unknown function                                   | C GKEQ                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 55. | SAUSA300_0724       | Unknown function                                   | C GNKE                      | No TMD                      | MRSA clinical isolates                  | Detected     | (Sibbald et al., 2006; Herbert, Ziebandt et al., 2010)                      |
| 56. | SAUSA300_2315       | Unknown function                                   | C GQDS                      | No TMD                      | Multiple S. aureus strains USA300       | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Herbert, Ziebandt et al., 2010)                    |
| 57. | SAUSA300_2614       | Unknown function                                   | C SKQN                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Not-detected | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 58. | SAUSA300_0663       | Unknown function                                   | C GSKQ                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Not-detected | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 59. | SAUSA300_1106       | Unknown function                                   | C SFGG                      | No TMD                      | COL MRSA clinical isolates USA300 Multiple S. aureus strains | Detected     | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Muthukrishnan et al., 2011) |
| 60. | SAUSA300_0303       | Unknown function                                   | C SNKG                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Not-detected | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 61. | SAUSA300_1478       | Unknown function                                   | C GQKY                      | No TMD                      | USA300                                  | Detected     | (Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                                                   |
| 62. | SAUSA300_1376       | Unknown function                                   | C STTN                      | No TMD                      | MRSA clinical isolates                  | Detected     | (Herbert, Ziebandt et al., 2010)                                             |
| 63. | SAUSA300_1379       | Unknown function                                   | C STTN                      | No TMD                      | MRSA clinical isolates                  | Detected     | (Herbert, Ziebandt et al., 2010)                                             |
| 64. | SAUSA300_1440       | Unknown function                                   | C STME                      | No TMD                      | Various S. aureus strains               | Detected     | (Sibbald et al., 2006)                                                      |
| 65. | SAUSA300_1742       | Unknown function                                   | C GANQ                      | No TMD                      | O46                                      | Detected     | (Le Marechal et al., 2011)                                                  |
| 66. | SAUSA300_1741       | Unknown function                                   | C GANQ                      | No TMD                      | O11                                      | Not-detected | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                               |
| 67. | SAUSA300_0769       | Unknown function                                   | C GHHQ                      | No TMD                      | COL USA300                               | Detected     | (Becher et al., 2009; Hanzelmann et al., 2016)                               |
supplied with C-terminal strep-tag were constitutively expressed on pCtuf vector in S. aureus USA300 ΔiplΔspa::erm: the original SitC with glycine at position +2 (SitC+2G) and the mutated SitC with aspartate at position +2 (SitC+2D) (Figure 1c and d). The release of SitC+2G and SitC+2D into the supernatant was monitored by Western blot in the same way as described for the Lpl8 variants. The protein samples were adjusted to the same A280/260 values to obtain an equal amount of extracellular proteins (Figure 4a). In 4-hr culture supernatant, neither SitC+2G nor SitC+2D were detected in the Western blot, but after 8-hr and 12-hr cultivation SitC was clearly detected (Figure 4b). However, there was no difference observed in the release of both SitC variants.

4 | DISCUSSION

Lipoproteins (Lpp) in gram-positive bacteria have two major functions. They play an important role in physiology by being involved in transport of diverse nutrients, by acting as chaperons, by being involved in respiration, or by contributing to host cell invasion (Nguyen & Götz, 2016; Shahmirzadi et al., 2016). These functions are exerted by the protein part of the individual Lpp. Their second function is related to their interaction with the host’s immune system. Here, it is not so much the protein part, but the lipid moiety plays the crucial role as potent activators of the innate and adaptive immune response by interacting with TLR2/1 or TLR2/6 receptors (Schenk et al., 2009; Takeda et al., 2002). Some Lpp were also considered as vaccine candidates. For example, immunization with FhuD2, a Lpp involved in ferric-hydroxamate uptake, alone or together with hydroxamate siderophores, were protective in a murine staphylococcal infection model (Mishra et al., 2012). Recently, the combination of five antigens provided close to 100% protection against four different S. aureus strains (Bagnoli et al., 2015). Among them, were two Lpp, FhuD2 and the conserved staphylococcal antigen 1A (Csa1A).

Lpp in gram-positive bacteria anchored in the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane. However, for the interaction with TLR2 receptor they must be released from the membrane to be able to expose the lipid moiety to the ectodomain of the TLR2-TLR1/6 heterodimers (Jimenez-Dalmaroni et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2007). The less Lpp
are released from the membrane the lower is the immune stimulation. We therefore asked the question how aa next to the invariable cysteine in position +1 contributes to the holding of Lpp to the membrane.

Here, we investigated the effect of aa at position +2 of the S. aureus Lpp in their release into the environment. In E. coli the significance of the aa at position +2 in withholding Lpp to the cytoplasmic membrane was well documented (Narita & Tokuda, 2016; Okuda & Tokuda, 2011). In several studies it has been reported that Asp+2 facilitates anchoring of Lpp at the inner membrane because Asp+2 functions as a Lol avoidance signal (Poquet, Kornacker, & Pugsley, 1993; Seydel, Gounon, & Pugsley, 1999; Terada, Kuroda, Matsuyama, & Tokuda, 2001; Yamaguchi et al., 1988). On the other hand, the outer membrane-specific Lpp stimulated ATP hydrolysis by LolCDE but not the inner membrane-specific Lpp (Masuda, Matsuyama, & Tokuda, 2002). The Lol avoidance mechanism was based on the strength of the hydrogen bonds between the negative charged Asp+2 and the positive charged phosphatidyl ethanolamine (PE) of the membrane phospholipids; glutamate at +2 position, with its longer side chain, interacts differently with PE (Hara, Matsuyama, & Tokuda, 2003). Therefore, the formation of a tight Lpp-PE complex causes the Lol avoidance signal.

Like in E. coli there is in S. aureus also a predominant positive charged phospholipid, the Lys-PG (Gould & Lennarz, 1970), which is synthesized by MprF (Ernst et al., 2015; Kuhn, Slavetinsky, & Peschel, 2015; Peschel et al., 2001). Lys-PG, which yields 20%–40% of staphylococcus total membrane phospholipids, causes resistance against cationic antimicrobial compounds through ionic repulsion (Slavetinsky, Kuhn, & Peschel, 2016). Given that, gram-positive bacteria lack the Lol system it is still possible that Asp+2 strengthens the anchoring of the corresponding Lpp to the membrane via the interaction with the positively charged Lys-PG. Indeed, that is the case as in a mprF mutant there was no difference in retention between Asp+2 or Ser+2 in our model Lpl8.

Screening of Lpp of gram-positive bacteria for Asp+2 revealed that this aa is very rare at this position, and, if at all, it is mainly found in pathogenic species/strains of Bacillus, Clostridium, Mycobacterium, Staphylococcus aureus, and some streptococci (Table S1). To verify the question, we screened 13 publications containing exoproteomic data of S. aureus and found out that none of seven Lpp with Asp+2 were detected in supernatant (Table 2 and 3). These seven Lpp with Asp+2 consist of: SAUSA300_0175 with a putative function as nitrate ABC transporter substrate-binding protein, YidC (OxaI), and 5 Lpl lipoproteins. YidC, is an essential Lpp in bacteria acting as a membrane protein translocase and chaperone for membrane protein folding (Kuhn & Kiefer, 2017). Lpl lipoproteins contribute to S. aureus invasion to the host cells and G2/M transition delay (Nguyen et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016). We assume that for the function of these seven Lpp with Asp+2, a tighter anchoring to the cytoplasmic membrane is necessary for their function. Particularly the epidemic S. aureus strains, such as USA300, which contain seven Lpp with Asp+2. Five of these Lpp are encoded in the ipl operon of the vSlae genomic island (Diep et al., 2006). This ipl operon contributes to host cell invasion via the protruding protein part (Nguyen et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016). It makes sense that these Lpl proteins are especially tightly anchored to the cytoplasmic membrane to facilitate host cell invasion by interacting with the proposed target molecule at the host cell surface.

To experimentally verify the role of Asp+2 in retaining of Lpp at the cytoplasmic membrane we substituted the Lpl8+2D by Lpl8+2S. We have chosen Ser as many Lpp with Gly or Ser in position +2 are found in the exoproteome (Table 2). However, when we substituted SitC+2G by SitC+2D; there was no difference in release into the supernatant (Figure 4b). Apparently, aa in positions downstream of +2 might play a role. In Lpl8 for instance there is in position +4 a second aspartate C-DGDN, whereas in SitC (MntC) C-GTGG there is no Asp (Table 3). These results suggest that aspartate in position +2 plays a role but is not sufficient to withhold Lpp tightly at the cytoplasmic membrane and aa in position +3 and +4 might also contribute to this function.

In E. coli it has been shown that besides the +2, aa at position +3 also contributes in sorting of Lpp to the inner or outer membrane. Glu, Asp, Gln, or Asn at +3 position enhanced the retention to the inner membrane, whereas His, Lys, Val, Ile, Ala, Cys, or Thr decreased it (Terada et al., 2001). In Pseudomonas aeruginosa it was shown that Lys and Ser at positions +3 and +4 play a critical role for retaining Lpp in the inner membrane (Narita & Tokuda, 2007) (Lewenza, Mhlanga, & Pugsley, 2008). In P. aeruginosa Lpp that are located in the inner membrane have Gly+2 followed by Asp/Glu +3 (Remans, Vercammen, Bodillis, & Cornelis, 2010). Recently, it has been shown that aa variations in +2 of the alkaline phosphatase (PhoA) expressed in Mycoplasma gallisepticum did not affect the retention of PhoA to the membrane (Panicker, Kanci, Markham, & Browning, 2016). Finally, in Bacillus subtilis it was found that Gly+2 facilitates release of Lpp while a Ser+2 favors withholding in the membrane (Tjalsma & van Dijl, 2005).

5 | CONCLUSION

An evaluation of literature data shows that in S. aureus the majority (75%) of Lpp found in the exoproteome carry Gly or Ser at position +2, whereas no Lpp with Asp in position +2 was found in the exoproteome.
The role of Asp+2 in withholding Lpp to the cytoplasmic membrane was also confirmed by Lpl8<sup>22D</sup> and Lpl8<sup>25D</sup> in wild type but not in the mprF mutant. This suggests that the negative charged Asp withholds Lpp at the membrane by interacting with the positive charged Lys–PG (Figure 5). On the other hand, substitution of SitC<sup>22D</sup> by SitC<sup>22D</sup> did not lead to a decreased release into the supernatant, suggesting that in staphylococci positions +3 to +5 might also be important for a more tightly anchoring of Lpp in the membrane. In gram-positive bacteria the release of Lpp into the supernatant is crucial for the immune modulation via TLR2 activation thus contributing to inflammation and infection. On the other hand, tightly anchored Lpp such as Lpl8’ or YidC is prerequisite for their function in host cell invasion and membrane protein sorting. Therefore, finding out sequence motives that modulate the strength of membrane anchoring is important.
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