Heliophyllite: a discredited mineral species identical to ecdemite
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Abstract. The type material for heliophyllite, preserved in the Swedish Museum of Natural History in Stockholm, was re-investigated through a combined EPMA (electron probe X-ray microanalysis), Raman, and X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) and single-crystal study. EPMA chemical data, together with Raman and single-crystal structural studies, point to heliophyllite being identical to ecdemite. XRPD synchrotron data highlight the presence of a minor quantity of finely admixed finnemanite in the analyzed material, explaining the presence of some additional diffraction peaks, not indexable with the ecdemite unit cell, reported in the literature. The discreditation of heliophyllite has been approved by the IMA Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names (proposal 19-H, 2019).

1 Introduction

The status of the mineral heliophyllite, Pb₆As₂O₇Cl₄, and its relation to ecdemite have been matters of debate since its discovery. In the most recent version (January 2020) of the IMA List of Minerals (at http://cnmnc.main.jp, last access: 29 March 2020), the status of heliophyllite is defined as questionable (Q), suggesting doubtful validity. Ecdemite was discovered at Långban in the Värmland province of central Sweden by Nordenskiöld (1877), and a decade later the closely related new mineral heliophyllite was reported by Flink (1888) from the neighboring Långban-type deposit at a Harstigen, Pajsberg, ore field. Ecdemite was described by Nordenskiöld (1877) as tetragonal and uniaxial, with the ideal chemical formula Pb₇As₂O₈Cl₄. Nordenskiöld (1877) moreover reported the occurrence of an admixed minor phase composed of the same chemical elements as ecdemite but with a biaxial optical character. Flink (1888) described heliophyllite as orthorhombic pseudo-tetragonal, with the chemical formula Pb₆As₂O₇Cl₄, and possessing an optically biaxial negative character. Hamberg (1889) performed optical and chemical studies on a series of samples of ecdemite–heliophyllite samples from Harstigen. He grouped the investigated samples into “type I”, made up of equal proportions of uniaxial ecdemite and biaxial heliophyllite, and “type II”, composed of uniaxial ecdemite only. He proposed ecdemite and heliophyllite as polymorphs with the chemical formula Pb₁₃As₄O₁₅Cl₈. Sillén and Melander (1941) examined ecdemite from Harstigen and Jakobsberg through optical and X-ray single-crystal and powder diffraction studies. Weissenberg photographs performed on a cleavage plate from Harstigen showed an “apparent perfect” P₄/mmm tetragonal symmetry, with a = 10.8 Å and c = 25.6 Å. However, the mineral displayed a distinct optical biaxial character, with only a small fraction of crystals showing an uniaxial character. X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) studies performed on both Harstigen and Jakobsberg ecdemite specimens highlighted the presence of a minor undefined additional phase besides the major pseudo-tetragonal phase. Powder diffraction patterns for ecdemite and heliophyllite (PDF cards 23-343 and 20-0471 respectively) from the Långban and Harstigen mines.
were reported by Welin (1968). The recorded diffraction patterns of the two minerals showed very close resemblance, with the only differences in peak intensities and the presence of an additional diffraction line, with $d_{ret} = 3.05 \text{ Å}$, in the ecdemite pattern (Powder Diffraction File database card 23-343). The ecdemite pattern was indexed by Welin (1968) on the basis of the unit cell given by Sillén and Melander (1941), with $a = 10.8$ and $c = 25.62 \text{ Å}$, whereas the heliophyllite pattern was indexed on an orthorhombic cell, resulting in the following unit cell parameters: $a = 10.823$, $b = 10.783$ and $c = 25.58 \text{ Å}$. Neither space group indications nor estimated standard deviations for cell parameters were reported. The data for heliophyllite published by Welin (1968) are reported in the Powder Diffraction File database (card 20-471) as questionable because of a poor fit between observed and calculated $d$ values (SS/FOM:F18 = 1(0.0230,797)).

In a paper devoted to the study of Pb oxchlorides from Långban-type deposits, Jonsson (2003) reported, for the first time, detailed EPMA chemical data for both ecdemite and heliophyllite together with X-ray powder diffraction and Raman spectra: samples from Långban as well as Harstigen were studied by Jonsson (2003). He demonstrated that, apart from a limited Sb$^{3+} \leftrightarrow$ As$^{3+}$ substitution, found both in Långban and Harstigen samples, the composition of four studied samples appeared remarkably uniform, pointing to the chemical formula Pb$_n$As$_2$O$_7$Cl$_{n-}$ As$_{3}$O$_3$ substitution, found both in Långban and Harstigen samples, the composition of four studied samples appeared remarkably uniform, pointing to the chemical formula Pb$_n$As$_2$O$_7$Cl$_{n-}$ in addition, several samples of ecdemite and heliophyllite, including the type I and II specimens of Hamberg (1889), were studied by Jonsson (2003) through Raman micro-spectrometry, resulting in a set of remarkably similar spectra. Although XRPD patterns were not reported in detail by Jonsson (2003), cell parameters calculated from indexed powder patterns of both ecdemite and heliophyllite were presented. Regarding ecdemite, Jonsson (2003) noticed that poor indexing is obtained for the pattern using the cell suggested by Welin (1968), proposing instead a tetragonal cell with $a = 5.42$ and $c = 12.80 \text{ Å}$. The heliophyllite pattern was indexed by Jonsson (2003) on the basis of an orthorhombic cell close to that given by Welin (1968), namely $a = 10.85$, $b = 10.79$ and $c = 25.58 \text{ Å}$. Neither space group indications nor estimated standard deviations for cell parameters were given for the two phases. A crystal-chemical study of the holotype ecdemite was recently reported by Perchiazzi et al. (2019). The crystal structure of ecdemite was solved and refined through synchrotron single-crystal data, showing that ecdemite is actually monoclinic pseudo-tetragonal, $P2_1$, with $a = 10.8276(38)$, $b = 10.8265(21)$, $c = 6.9705(14) \text{ Å}$ and $\beta = 113.261(24)^\circ$. Ecdemite is a member of the layered lead oxhalide group, generally referred to as Aurivillius phases (Aurivillius, 1982, 1983), and its crystal structure is made up of a 1 : 1 sequence of regularly alternating defective litharge-like layers (Boher et al., 1985), which also host As cations, and Cl layers. For a comprehensive description of this mineral group, we refer to Siidra et al. (2008, 2011, 2013a, b, c), Krivovichev et al. (2009, 2013) and Chukanov et al. (2019).

In the following we report results of a new multitechnique investigation of a heliophyllite holotype material, submitted to the IMA Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names, which proved the discreditation of heliophyllite (proposal 19-H, 2019).

### 2 Samples and experimental

Heliophyllite crystal fragments were selected from the holotype material studied by Flink (1888), deposited in the collections of the Swedish Museum of Natural History in Stockholm under catalogue numbers NRM18880481 and NRM18880482. Heliophyllite crystals are translucent, with a yellow–greenish color, adamantine luster and perfect cleavage. Jonsson (2003) reports, as associated phases, hydrocerrusite, ecdemite, rhodonite, baryte, calcite and finnemanite. We were able to identify, through X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies, baryte, finnemanite, hydrocerrusite and inesite as admixed accessaries within heliophyllite fragments.

Unpolarized micro-Raman spectra were collected on cleavage plates of heliophyllite, previously analyzed by EMPA (electron probe X-ray microanalysis), in nearly backscattered geometry with a Jobin Yvon Horiba XploRA PLUS apparatus, equipped with a motorized $x$–$y$ stage and an Olympus BX41 microscope with a $10 \times$ objective. The Raman spectra were excited using a 532 nm line of a solid-state laser attenuated to 25% in order to minimize the sample damage. The minimum lateral and depth resolution was set to a few micrometers. The system was calibrated using the 520.5 cm$^{-1}$ Raman band of silicon before each experimental session. Spectra were collected through multiple acquisitions with single counting times of 30 s. Backscattered radiation was analyzed with a 1200 mm$^{-1}$ grating monochromator.

Quantitative chemical data were collected on heliophyllite NRM18880482 through a JEOL JXA-8200 electron micro-

|               | n = 10 | 1σ   | n = 10 | 1σ   |
|---------------|--------|------|--------|------|
| As$_2$O$_3$   | 11.62  | 0.16 | 11.46  | 0.30 |
| Sb$_2$O$_3$   | 0.52   | 0.13 | 0.71   | 0.13 |
| PbO           | 80.97  | 0.53 | 81.42  | 0.74 |
| Cl             | 8.66   | 0.09 | 8.66   | 0.06 |
| Sum           | 101.77 |      | 102.25 |      |
| $-\text{O} = \text{Cl}$ | -1.95 |      | -1.95 |      |
| Sum           | 99.81  |      | 100.30 |      |

Table 1. EPMA chemical data (wt %) for heliophyllite from holotype specimen NRM18880482 (1). F, P, Ca, V, Mn and Fe were below their respective detection limits in all spot analyses. Chemical data for ecdemite (2) in Perchiazzi et al. (2019) are given for comparison.
probe at the Department of Earth Sciences “Ardito Desio”, University of Milan (ESD-MI). The analytical conditions were as follows: wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy (WDS) mode, accelerating voltage of 15 kV, beam current of 5 nA, beam size of 7 µm, and counting times of 30 s on the peaks and 10 s in the right and left background. The following standards were used: graftonite KF-16 (Fransolet, 1975) for P, Fe, Mn and Ca; realgar for As; olivine for Mg; hornblende for F; scapolite for Cl; pure metals (99.99 wt %) for Sb and V; and synthetic PbO for Pb. The raw data were corrected for matrix effects using the ϕρZ method from the JEOL series of programs. Following literature chemical data and structural study indications, all the Sb and As (Table 1) was reported as trivalent.

A set of 18 progressively smaller cleavage laminas were investigated by the single-crystal intensity data collection, performed at the Earth Science Department of the University of Pisa, employing a Bruker SMART BREEZE diffractometer equipped with an air-cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) detector. Heliophyllite diffraction patterns showed the same features already reported for ecdemite by Perchiazzi et al. (2019), namely the presence of complex intergrowths, multiple twinning and a variable degree of structural disorder, highlighted by reflections streaked along c∗. Unsuccessful trials were made to solve the heliophyllite structure in the orthorhombic system: the assumption of a pseudo-tetragonal unit cell with a = b = 10.8 and c ≈ 25.65 Å, namely close to that proposed by Welin (1968), resulted in quite large Rint values of ~27%. Distinctly lower Rint values of ~4%–5% resulted instead, assuming a monoclinic symmetry and an ecdemite-like unit cell.

Single-crystal intensity data were collected on a 0.05 mm×0.04 mm×0.01 mm cleavage lamina, selected from holotype sample NRM18880482. Operating conditions were 50 kV and 30 mA, graphite monochromatized MoKα radiation, and a detector-to-crystal working distance of 50 mm. A total of 1488 frames were collected using ϕ- and ω-scan modes, with an exposure time of 20 s per frame. Intensity data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz polarization, background effects and absorption using the APEX 3 software package (Bruker AXS Inc., 2016). The heliophyllite structure was refined starting from the structural model of ecdemite, with SHELXL-2014 (Sheldrick, 2015) within the WinGX program suite (Farrugia, 2012). Scattering curves for neutral atoms were taken from the International Tables for Crystallography (Wilson, 1992). Heliophyllite is monoclinic, P21, with a = 10.838(2), b = 10.839(2), c = 6.981(1) Å and β = 113.28(1)°. Details of data collection and crystal structure refinement are reported in Table 2.

Heliophyllite fragments from sample NMR18880482, carefully selected under a binocular microscope at 80× magnification, were gently hand milled under acetone, loading the resulting powder into a 0.5 mm borosilicate capillary. Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data collection (λ = 0.59041 Å) was performed at the XRD1 (Lausi et al., 2015) beamline of the Elettra synchrotron facility. A Rietveld refinement was performed through the TOPAS-Academic program (Coelho, 2018) on the heliophyllite pattern, assuming the single-crystal structure model. Cell parameters only were refined, leaving both the atomic positional and displacement parameters for the heliophyllite model unchanged. The background was modeled with a 1/x function, effective for describing background intensity at low angles due to air scattering, and with a nine-term Chebyshev function. Absorption was corrected according to the approach by Sabine et al. (1998). The effects of asymmetry and zero error were accounted for and found to be negligible. The instrumental contribution to the peak shape was modeled through a pseudo-Voigt function by fitting the data of a sample of SRM 660a (LaB6) collected under the same experimental setup. Peak shape broadening was modeled while taking into account Gaussian crystal size and microstrain contributions. During the refinement, some misfit between the observed and calculated patterns suggested the presence of accessory phases and, including the pres-

### Table 2. Experimental details for heliophyllite single-crystal structural study.

| Crystal data | Data collection and refinement |
|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Crystal-chemical formula | Pb₆Cl₄As₃S₇O₇ |
| Space group: P2₁ | Maximum 2θ; 65.51° |
| a = 10.838(2) Å | MoKα, λ = 0.71073 Å |
| b = 10.839(2) Å | Collected reflections: 6647 |
| c = 6.981(1) Å | Unique reflections: 4635, Rint = 0.044 |
| β = 113.28(1)° | Observed reflections: 3435 > 4σ(Fo) |
| V = 753.4(2) Å³, Z = 2 | h, k, l, −14 ≤ h ≤ 15, −16 ≤ k ≤ 16, −10 ≤ l ≤ 10 |
| ρcalc = 7.79 g cm⁻³, ρmeas = 6.89–7.14 g cm⁻³ | R[F² > 4σ(F²)], wR(F²), S 0.047, 0.089, 0.979 |
| μ = 7.28 cm⁻¹ | Flack x = 0.02(2), |E² − 1| = 0.759 |
|                           | No. of parameters, restraints: 185, 7 |
|                           | Δρmax, Δρmin (e−Å³) +3.47, −2.91 |
Figure 1. (a) Raman spectrum of heliophyllite holotype specimen NRM18880482 (lower spectrum) compared with the Raman spectrum of ecdemite specimen NRM19331765 from Harstigen (upper spectrum from Perchiazzi et al., 2019) in the range 100–1200 cm$^{-1}$.

(b) Heliophyllite: close-up of the spectral region between 200 and 800 cm$^{-1}$.

ence of finnemanite (3.7 %) and hydrocerussite (6.4 %), significantly improved the Rietveld fit. Refined cell parameters for heliophyllite were $a = 10.843(1)$, $b = 10.843(1)$, $c = 6.9648(7)$ Å and $\beta = 113.102(2)^\circ$, with Rietveld refinement agreement factors of $R_{wp} = 4.657$, $R_{exp} = 1.407$, $R_p = 3.503$ and GoF = 3.311. Table 3 reports the X-ray powder pattern for heliophyllite compared with Welin (1968) dataset.

3 Discussion

Chemical, optical and structural data for ecdemite and heliophyllite presented in literature, especially the older datasets, give contrasting indications on the true nature of these minerals. Regarding ecdemite, the recent study of Perchiazzi et al. (2019) established its true monoclinic symmetry. Previous to this study, only one X-ray single-crystal study (Weissenberg photographs) of ecdemite had been published (Sillén and Melander, 1941). It is worth noting that Sillén and Melander (1941) report a distinct optical biaxial character for ecdemite, correctly remarking that this is incompatible with a tetragonal crystal symmetry. A biaxial character is in full agreement with the true monoclinic symmetry of ecdemite. The correct chemical formula for ecdemite was initially suggested by Palache et al. (1951) and subsequently confirmed through modern chemical electron microprobe analyses by Jonsson (2003) and Perchiazzi et al. (2019). Regarding heliophyllite, the original study of Flink (1888) suggests the mineral formula $\text{Pb}_6\text{Cl}_4\text{As}_2\text{O}_7$, an optical biaxial character and an orthorhombic pseudo-tetragonal symmetry inferred through goniometric measurements. The chemical and optical data given in Flink (1888) are in agreement with the present study.

Our chemical data for the heliophyllite holotype specimen NMR18880482 are reported in Table 1 together with chemical data for ecdemite (Perchiazzi et al., 2019) for comparison. The empirical formula for heliophyllite calcu-
Table 3. Synchrotron X-ray powder pattern of NRM18880482 heliophyllite (1) compared with (2) X-ray diffraction data from Welin (1968).

|   | d_{obs} | (hkl) | I_{rel} | d_{obs} | (hkl) | I_{rel} |
|---|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| 1 | 7.337   | 110   | 1       | 6.38    | 004   | 6       |
|   | 6.401   | 001   | 9       | 5.720   | 1-1-1  | 1       |
|   | 5.521   | 011   | 2       | 4.761   | 120    | 1       |
|   | 4.530   | 210; 2-1-1 | 2 | 4.236   | 111; 1-2-1 | 1 |
|   | 3.673   | 2-2-1; 220 | 52 | 3.605   | 30-1   | 2       |
|   | 3.201   | 002   | 18      | 3.147   | 031    | 3       |
|   | 2.923   | 1-2-2  | 4       | 2.852   | 2-2-2; 221 | 100 |
|   | 2.710   | -401; 040 | 47 | 2.626   | 4-1-1; 140 | 7 |
|   | 2.494   | 1-3-2; -402 | 1 | 2.454   | 231    | 2       |
|   | 2.232   | 1-1-3  | 1       | 2.167   | 4-3-1; 3-4-1 | 3 |
|   | 2.132   | 003; 2-2-3 | 6 | 2.095   | 013    | 1       |
|   | 2.068   | 042; 401 | 26 | 2.000   | 5-2-1; 3-4-2 | 3 |
|   | 1.935   | 142    | 1       | 1.916   | 4-4-1  | 21      |
|   | 1.838   | 033    | 2       | 1.820   | 1-5-2; 251 | 1 |
|   | 1.767   | 5-2-3  | 1       | 1.700   | 6-2-2; 260; 2-6-1 | 15 |
|   | 1.677   | 043    | 11      | 1.649   | 223; 4-4-3  | 14 |
|   | 1.591   | 432; 4-3-4 | 1 | 1.520   | 432; 4-3-4 | 1 |
|   | 1.507   | 071; 630 | 2 | 1.425   | 442    | 6       |
|   | 1.379   | 044    | 3       | 1.354   | 080; -802 | 8 |
|   | 1.344   | 8-1-2; 180 | 4 | 1.316   | 4-7-2; 470 | 2 |
|   | 1.28    |        | 1       | 1.271   | 1.27    | 2       |
|   | 1.250   | 1.249   | 10      | 1.224   | 1.223   | 6       |
|   | 1.212   | 1.21    | 6       | 1.171   | 1.16    | 2       |
|   | 1.143   | 1.145   | 2       | 1.133   | 1.132   | 2       |
|   | 1.115   | 1.116   | 2       | 1.058   |         |         |
|   | 1.031   | 1.032   | 2       | 1.008   |         |         |

Table 4. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²) for heliophyllite. According to EPMA chemical composition, a common occupancy for As1 and As2 sites was fixed to As0.97, together with a common occupancy for split Sb1 and Sb2 sites of Sb0.03.

| Atom | x      | y      | z      | Ueq   |
|------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Pb1  | 0.4238 | 0.5918 | 1.232  | 0.0155|
| Pb2  | 0.3041 | 0.8333 | 0.7880 | 0.0158|
| Pb3  | 1.957  | 0.3499 | 0.2601 | 0.0164|
| Pb4  | 1.0808 | 0.1133 | 1.7786 | 0.0183|
| Pb5  | 0.1574 | 0.8633 | 1.1927 | 0.0168|
| Pb6  | 0.5621 | 0.5832 | 0.8044 | 0.0167|
| As1  | 0.3604 | 0.3252 | 0.8474 | 0.011  |
| Sb1  | 0.669  | -0.1399| 0.201  | 0.011  |
| As2  | 0.9377 | 0.1160 | 1.1504 | 0.0091 |
| Sb2  | 0.95   | -0.91  | 0.201  | 0.0091 |
| Cl1  | 0.9973 | 0.3615 | 1.464  | 0.023  |
| Cl2  | 0.2384 | 0.6058 | 0.508  | 0.0243 |
| Cl3  | 0.5325 | 1.3339 | 0.537  | 0.021  |
| Cl4  | 0.2646 | 0.0907 | 0.506  | 0.024  |
| O1   | 0.463  | 0.451  | 0.997  | 0.018  |
| O2   | 0.224  | 0.687  | 0.998  | 0.020  |
| O3   | 0.274  | 0.268  | 1.003  | 0.022  |
| O4   | 0.495  | 0.215  | 0.961  | 0.017  |
| O5   | 0.245  | 0.979  | 0.994  | 0.019  |
| O6   | 1.022  | 0.222  | 1.042  | 0.015  |
| O7   | 0.047  | 0.496  | 0.020  | 0.023  |

Heliophyllite appears fairly homogeneous, with a limited substitution of As$^{3+}$ with Sb$^{3+}$, as already noted by Jonsson (2003) for ecdemite and heliophyllite and by Perchiazzi et al. (2019) for ecdemite. EPMA data presented in this study confirm the formula Pb$_6$As$_2$O$_7$Cl$_4$ originally proposed by Flink (1888) for heliophyllite. The X-ray diffraction data of heliophyllite and ecdemite are compared in Table 3 to demonstrate that they are isomorphous. The crystal structure of heliophyllite as seen along [010] is shown in Figure 2. Layers formed by edge-sharing oxocentered OPb$_4$ (cyan) regularly alternate with layers hosting Cl atoms (yellow).
Table 5. (a) Selected bond distances (Å) for heliophyllite. Bond lengths < 3.5 Å were taken into account for Pb coordination. (b) Selected bond distances (Å) for ecdemite (from Perchiazi et al., 2019). Bond lengths < 3.5 Å were taken into account for Pb coordination.

(a)

| Pb1   | Pb2   | Pb3   | As1   |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| −O4   | 2.31(2)| −O5   | 2.39(2)| −O6   | 2.35(2)| −O3   | 1.80(2)|
| −O2   | 2.37(2)| −O4   | 2.54(2)| −O7   | 2.40(2)| −O1   | 1.80(2)|
| −O1   | 2.40(2)| −O2   | 2.54(2)| −O3   | 2.43(2)| −O4   | 1.81(2)|
| −CI3  | 3.014(8)| −O1   | 2.69(2)| −CI1  | 3.01(1)| Sb1   |
| −CI4  | 3.141(9)| −CI1  | 3.052(7)| −CI2  | 3.206(9)| −O1   | 1.84(8)|
| −CI2  | 3.29(1)| −CI2  | 3.049(9)| −CI4  | 3.22(1)| −O3   | 2.1(1) |
| −CI3  | 3.423(8)| −CI4  | 3.34(1)| −CI3  | 3.393(7)| −O4   | 2.32(8)|

(b)

| Pb1   | Pb2   | Pb3   | As1   |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| −O4   | 2.27(1)| −O5   | 2.38(1)| −O6   | 2.303(9)| −O3   | 1.77(1)|
| −O2   | 2.37(1)| −O4   | 2.529(9)| −O7   | 2.40(1)| −O1   | 1.80(1)|
| −O1   | 2.39(1)| −O2   | 2.53(1)| −O3   | 2.44(1)| −O4   | 1.82(1)|
| −CI3  | 3.005(4)| −O1   | 2.69(1)| −CI1  | 3.005(5)| Sb1   |
| −CI4  | 3.138(4)| −CI1  | 3.047(3)| −CI2  | 3.198(4)| −O1   | 1.88(1)|
| −CI2  | 3.282(6)| −CI2  | 3.049(4)| −CI4  | 3.218(4)| −O3   | 2.05(2)|
| −CI3  | 3.427(4)| −CI4  | 3.342(4)| −CI3  | 3.382(3)| −O4   | 2.32(1)|
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ray powder patterns reported by Welin (1968) for ecdemite and heliophyllite do not show any significant difference. In fact, the differences in intensities may well be due to the experimental setup, namely measurement of very absorptive phases in transmission setup with CuKα radiation. An additional small diffraction peak in the ecdemite pattern at \( d = 3.05 \text{ Å} \) is reported in Welin (1968). This diffraction line cannot be indexed on the basis of the monoclinic cell proposed by Perchiazzi et al. (2019). This is most likely attributable to the presence of admixed finnemanite, contributing with its strongest (121) reflection with \((d_{\text{ext}}, I_{\text{rel}}) = (3.047, 100)\) (Powder Diffraction File 83-1311). The uniaxial minor phase admixed with major biaxial ecdemite reported by Sillén and Melander (1941) is most likely also finnemanite. This hypothesis is in agreement with the presence of a phase very close in chemical composition to finnemanite, admixed within major ecdemite, reported by Jonsson (2003). Finnemanite was also identified as an admixture through XRD data in the present study of holotype heliophyllite. All the diffraction peaks in the heliophyllite X-ray powder pattern (Table 3) can be satisfactorily indexed with the monoclinic pseudo-tetragonal cell proposed in this study. By comparison of data reported in Table 3, it is notable that the dataset (PDF 20-471) presented in Welin (1968) only includes the strongest diffraction lines observed in this study. XRPD data of heliophyllite are in close agreement with those reported by Perchiazzi et al. (2019) for ecdemite, with slight differences due to the quite high absorption of the two phases and to the presence of minor finnemanite and hydrocerussite in the studied heliophyllite sample. We conclude that there is no convincing evidence from literature data of the existence of a \( \text{Pb}_6 \text{As}_2 \text{O}_7 \text{Cl}_4 \) polymorph with tetragonal symmetry, whereas the biaxial optical character reported by Flink (1888), Hamberg (1889), and Sillén and Melander (1941) is in agreement with the monoclinic symmetry found in the single-crystal structure study of ecdemite by Perchiazzi et al. (2019). The Raman spectra of heliophyllite (spectral region of 1200–200 cm\(^{-1}\)) is reported in Fig. 1, showing bands with overall low intensities, apart from strong bands due to lattice modes (150, 124, 240 cm\(^{-1}\)). Bands due to As–O stretching modes are present at 696 (\( v_1 \) – symmetric mode), 610 and 512 cm\(^{-1}\) (\( v_2 \) – antisymmetric mode). A band attributable to a \( v_2 \) deformation mode is found at 429 cm\(^{-1}\), and bands at 350, 334 and 310 cm\(^{-1}\) are assignable to the \( v_4 \) deformation mode. These data are in excellent agreement with those reported by Jonsson (2003) and by Perchiazzi et al. (2019) for ecdemite.

Atomic positional and displacement parameters for heliophyllite obtained during single-crystal structure refinement are reported in Table 4, with selected bond distances given in Table 5a. For comparison, selected bond distances reported for the ecdemite structure (Perchiazzi et al., 2019) are given in Table 5b. The structural model presented for heliophyllite is identical to that reported for ecdemite by Perchiazzi et al. (2019). The trigonal coordination for the two independent \( \text{As}^{3+} \) cations is confirmed, with As–O bond lengths clustered within the narrow 1.80–1.81 Å range. The coordination environment reported for each of the six independent lead atoms is quite comparable to that given by Perchiazzi et al. (2019) for ecdemite. Each independent \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) cation is linked to four Cl anions, and to three to four oxygen anions, with a resulting VII coordination for Pb1 and Pb3 and VIII for the remaining Pb2, Pb4, Pb5 and Pb6 independent \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) cations (Table 5a).

The strongly asymmetric arrangement of anions in the Pb coordination environment detected in ecdemite is confirmed: oxygen and chlorine are grouped together and located on opposite sides with respect to the central \( \text{Pb}^{2+} \) cation (see Fig. 3 in Perchiazzi et al., 2019, for details). As a result of the above considerations, the crystal structure of heliophyllite (Fig. 2) can be described through the occurrence of structural layers, namely litharge-like and Cl layers, alternating along \( c^* \) with an 1 : 1 regular sequence, and the crystal structures of ecdemite and heliophyllite can be considered to be practically identical. In summary, experimental data reported in the present study of heliophyllite do not show any compelling evidence of the existence of heliophyllite as a distinct polymorph of ecdemite.

4 Conclusions

Holotype samples of heliophyllite from Harstigen were examined through EPMA, Raman, and XRD single-crystal and powder diffraction methodologies. Raman and XRPD data are in close agreement with ecdemite data reported in recent papers by Jonsson (2003) and Perchiazzi et al. (2019). Heliophyllite is monoclinic, \( P2_1 \), with \( a = 10.838(2), b = 10.839(2), c = 6.981(1) \text{ Å} \) and \( \beta = 113.28(1)^\circ \); with an ideal chemical formula \( \text{Pb}_6 \text{As}_2 \text{O}_7 \text{Cl}_4 \); and with a structural arrangement practically indistinguishable from that of ecdemite. On the basis of the new set of chemical and structural data for the heliophyllite holotype material reported in the present study, the mineral should be considered to be identical to ecdemite. Ecdemite and heliophyllite were defined as new species by Nordenskiöld (1877) and Flink (1888) respectively. As a consequence of historical precedence, ecdemite remains a valid species and heliophyllite should be discredited as a valid mineral species.
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