Synthesis and Catalysis of Mixed Oxides on Mesoporous Mayenite for Biodiesel Production from Castor Oil (Ricinus communis)

Abstract

Environmental concern has been driving the search for substitutes to the fossil based energy sources and has been pushing forward research for bolstering second generation biodiesel production processes. However, various challenges emerge from the use of such feedstocks, eg. in transesterification processes. The high content of free fatty acids and moisture in the precursor triglycerides are the major restraints for the transesterification reaction. For instance, Ricinus oil can be exploited by the use of a heterogeneous catalyst capable of maintaining high activity. This study shows the development of lithium and tin oxides catalysts supported on mayenite (Ca₄Al₄O₁₃) for the transesterification of Ricinus oil with methanol (CH₃OH). A basic characterization procedure was carried out to establish the quality of the obtained ricinus oil. Once the ricinus oil properties were assessed it was used for the transesterification reaction by catalysts synthesized with mixtures of Li₂O, CaO, and SnO₂ in different mass ratios. The best performance for the binary mixtures was obtained with 70/30 and 90/10% wt of CaO/SnO₂ mass ratios at a temperature of 60°C with a methanol to oil molar ratio of 12:1 and a catalyst load of 5% wt in respect to oil. Furthermore, catalysts with Li₂O and SnO₂ were synthesized in mass ratios from 50/0 to 0/50% wt on a mesoporous mayenite. The synthesized catalysts were analysed by surface characterization techniques, such as N₂-physorption, NH₃-desorption, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Furthermore, a central composite design with a response surface methodology was used to optimize the yield. The response surface methodology led to a maximum biodiesel yield of 85% with bare SnO₂ on mayenite with 6% wt of a catalyst load in respect to oil, 60°C of a temperature, and 4:1 of a methanol to oil molar ratio. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were used to determine the state of the catalysts after carrying out the aging test of the catalysts in CH₃OH.
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Introduction

Amidst the increase of the regulations for lower combustion emissions and the evidence that a fossil fuel based economy is unsustainable through time, research for renewable and sustainable sources for our energy demands must be continuously enhanced. In a range of processes that take advantage of the energy content of biomass, the production of biodiesel still represents a huge opportunity to overcome the future energy demand. The combustion of biodiesel not only decreases gases
such as CO, CO₂, and sulphur oxides, but also is an opportunity to exploit other precursors that are otherwise unused or discarded as waste [1,2]. Non-edible oils and waste oils including waste cooking oil (WCO) among others have been identified as sustainable resources [3,4]. However, various challenges emerge from the use of such feedstocks for biodiesel production through transesterification processes, e.g. presence of high free fatty acid, high moisture content, and particulates.

Commonly called castor bean, the species *Ricinus communis* are originally from North-East Africa and the Middle East, but have spread throughout the tropics. The castor bean is a perennial herbaceous plant that belongs to the euphorbia family [5]. The species have drought and dresistance properties, which allows the plants to be grown on impaired, contaminated, or abandoned land [6,7]. The plant grows up to 3 m high, while the seeds vary in size from 15 to 25 mm long, along with a spiny or smooth epidermis. The oil content of the seeds may vary among the species and the breeding but it commonly ranges from 45 to 55% wt [8-10]. The content of the toxic protein, Ricin, also varies in between the species with an average of 1.6 and 35.0 mg of ricin per gram of mature seed. The plant cake has also been demonstrated to be useful for animal feed and production of refined chemicals [11-14], while the oil can be used for biodiesel production among other uses [1].

Ricinus oil is normally obtained by mechanical extrusion of the seeds from the plant *Ricinus communis*. The applications of the obtained oil have been vastly extended from cosmetics to high performance engine lubricants (Castrol R30 and R40) [9]. The industrialization of this species has also led to an increased introduction for new crops, reaching the status of invasive pest in areas such as Hawaii, Australia, and the Galapagos Islands. Furthermore, the adaptability of the plant and the several applications of its products has induced the intensive introduction of the plant around the tropical areas of the world. The renewable policies have been increasingly motivating the implementation of crops like Castor bean for biodiesel production in several places [15]. One example is Brazil, where the policies have been intensively supporting the increase of the liquid biofuels production. As a result, the castor bean production is becoming steady and ever improved even where it was not traditionally grown [16-18]. Through governmental policies, the global market for Ricinus products has achieved 1378000 tonnes by the year 2014 culminating in 1777000 tonnes by 2016 [17] and is still projected to increase more by the years to come.

The main constituent of the ricinus oil is the ricinoleic acid, formally called 12-hydroxy-9-cis-octadecenoic acid. Ricinus oil has been reported to contain from 80 to 90% wt of this free fatty acid (FFA). It is noteworthy to specify that the hydroxyl group in the ricinoleic acid provides different properties for the oil including higher viscosity, polarity, lubrication, and miscibility [19]. These properties have also implications in the transesterification reactions, since the viscosity could cause mass transfer limitations, while the miscibility could increase the ease of interaction between the catalysts active sites and the reactants, i.e. ricinus oil and methanol. A possible hindering effect might be driven by the hydroxyl group, which should be considered as an important factor for the catalyst components and the catalytic reaction mechanism [20-22]. Previous studies describe the risks and difficulties associated with castor oil based biodiesel from the catalytic-reaction point of view. Such studies are guidelines for the increase in the sustainability and quality of the energy production as second generation feedstock [23-25]. Biodiesel production based on Ricinus oil has been studied by different reaction systems; i.e. homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts, supercritical methods and enzymatic methods [22,26-30]. Previously published work shows the suitability and the stability of the mayenite structure that offers the macro-porosity needed for the triglyceride and methanol molecules to interact at the catalyst active sites [31,32]. Likewise, lithium and tin oxides were previously reported to be catalytically active towards the biodiesel production from feedstock with high free fatty acid content [33-35]. This study deals with the production of biodiesel from Ricinus oil (Castor oil) with single or mixed oxide catalysts supported on mayenite, which has the advantages as a second generation feedstock. A set of analytical and characterization methods were used to substantiate the surface properties and morphological factors. The methods included X-ray powder diffraction, N₂-physisorption, NH₃-desorption, and others that describe the quality of the synthetized materials and products.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

The oil used for all the experiments was Ricinus oil (*Ricinus communis*) obtained from the local market in Santa Cruz, Bolivia. The reagents used for the catalyst syntheses and transesterification experiments include methanol puriss p.a. (≥ 99.8% GC, Sigma-Aldrich), aluminium nitrate nonahydrate ACS (≥ 98.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), isopropyl alcohol (≥ 99.7% FCC, Sigma-Aldrich), calcium hydroxide ACS (≥ 95.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), calcium carbonate (≥ 99.0 %, Sigma-Aldrich), lithium hydroxide monohydrate (≥ 98.5% BioXtra, Sigma-Aldrich), tin (II) chloride dihydrate ACS (≥ 98.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) and deionized water (DIW).

**Ricinus oil characterization**

The obtained Ricinus oil was dried for 24 h at 104°C to evaporate any possible water content present in the oil as it is obtained by cold-pressing of the seeds. The analysis of the Ricinus oil was on the physicochemical properties that include viscosity (ISO 12058), density (AOCS Cd 985.19), iodine number (AOCS Cd 1-25), acidity by titration in mg of KOH (AOCS Ca 5a-40), peroxide value (AOCS Cd 8-53), saponifiable matter (AOCS Ca 5b-71), and relative moisture (AOCS Ca 2c-25) [36].

**Synthesis of the Catalysts**

**Calcium and tin oxide catalysts**

The catalysts for the transesterification reactions were mixed oxides of calcium (CaO) and tin (SnO₂). The oxide mixture was synthesised considering the final catalyst compositions as shown in Table 1.
The tin oxide precursor (SnCl₂) was dissolved in 70 mL of isopropanol. On the dissolved SnCl₂ solution, CaCO₃ was added under continuous agitation. The mixtures were left for 2 h under continuous agitation. Afterwards, the solutions with the precipitates were placed in the oven for 20 h at 104°C until the sample reaches dryness. Once the sample was completely dry, it was ground in a mortar and placed in the furnace to follow the calcination scheme described in Table 2. The calcination scheme was planned to reach the oxide formation temperatures, e.g. a temperature of 850°C for the CaCO₃ decomposition to CaO [37,38]. At the end of the calcination, the obtained oxides were stored in tightly sealed vessels and kept until further analysis and use.

**Lithium and tin oxide catalysts**

The catalysts for the next round of transesterification reactions were mixed oxides of lithium (LiO₂) and tin (SnO₂). The synthesized catalyst compositions are presented in Table 3. The lithium hydroxide as a precursor for Li₂O was dissolved in 200 mL of DIW at room temperature. Meanwhile, the tin chloride was dissolved in 200 mL of ethanol 70% volume separately. When both solutions were dissolved, they were mixed together and 200 mL of DIW was added under continuous agitation and the mixtures were left agitating at room temperature overnight. Finally, the mixtures were placed in the oven until they reached dryness at 104°C for 20 h.

Following this step, the mixtures were placed in the furnace for calcination [39] as described in Table 2. After the calcination was finished, the oxides were allowed to reach room temperature and were stored.

**Mayenite support synthesis and impregnation of LiO₂ and SnO₂**

The synthesis of mayenite was carried out by dissolving the precursors (calcium hydroxide and aluminium nitrate) in a 12:14 molar ratio. The procedure to prepare 20 g of mayenite started with the mixture of calcium hydroxide with 100 mL of DIW. The mixture was heated up to a temperature of 50°C and agitated for 30 min. The aluminium nitrate was mixed with 70 mL of isopropanol and 30 mL of ethanol in a separate beaker. The Al(NO₃)₃ solution was continuously stirred for 30 min at a temperature of 50°C. Once both reagents showed quasi-homogeneous character, the suspended Ca(OH)₂ was poured into the Al(NO₃)₃ solution. A volume of 100 mL of DIW was added to the mixture, as the temperature was increased up to 140°C and stayed for 30 min. The mixture was then placed into the oven at a temperature of 70°C for 6 h and further increased up to 104°C for 18 h. Once the mixture was completely dry, it was ground and placed into the furnace to heat up to 300°C in a rate of 5°C/min, dwelled for 30 min. The mixture was then placed into the oven at a temperature of 104°C for 18 h. The last temperature step was to increase the temperature up to 850°C in a rate of 2°C/min for a dwell time of 5 h.

After the mayenite was synthesized, the addition of the active phases was carried out to have the catalyst compositions described in Table 4. The lithium hydroxide (LiOH) was dissolved in deionized water, while the tin chloride (SnCl₂) was dissolved in ethanol. After total dissolution of the chemicals, they were mixed together with mayenite for wet-impregnation. The mixtures were then placed into the oven for 24 h at 104°C. Once the mixtures were dry, the samples were placed into the furnace to follow the calcination scheme described in Table 2.

**Characterization of the catalysts**

The catalyst surface properties were determined by N₂ physisorption (Micromeritics ASAP 2000) at a temperature of 77 K applying the BJH and BET methods. The samples were pre-treated in high vacuum (2 mmHg) at a temperature of 523 K prior to the physisorption analyses. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were carried out in a Rigaku Miniflex 300 W X-ray diffractometer with a Cu-Kα radiation source (λ = 1.5406 Å) in a 2θ degree span from 10° to 90°. The resulting diffractograms were interpreted through the PDF database software Diffrac Version 4.0.

The thermal profile of the mayenite synthesis was analysed by TGA following the calcination scheme described in Table 2. The equipment used for the TGA was a Netzsch STA449 F3 with a synthetic air flow of 50 mL/min throughout the whole heating procedure. The thermal stability of the synthesized catalysts CAT1-CAT6 was also evaluated by TGA. The procedure for the thermal stability started at room temperature and reached a final temperature of 850°C at a temperature rate of 10°C/min.

**Table 1** Catalysts based on the mixture of CaO and SnO₂

| Catalyst | CaO wt. % | SnO₂ wt. % |
|----------|-----------|------------|
| T1       | 50        | 50         |
| T2       | 70        | 30         |
| T3       | 90        | 10         |

**Table 2** Thermal profile description for the catalyst synthesis.

| Step | Temperature °C | Heat rate °C/min | Dwell time min |
|------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1    | 300            | 5               | 120           |
| 2    | 550            | 5               | 120           |
| 3    | 850            | 2               | 300           |

**Table 3** Catalysts containing lithium and tin oxides mixtures.

| Catalyst | LiO₂ wt. % | SnO₂ wt. % |
|----------|------------|------------|
| T4       | 30         | 70         |
| T5       | 20         | 80         |
| T6       | 10         | 90         |

**Table 4** Catalyst composition from CAT1 to CAT6.

| Catalyst | Mayenite wt. % | LiO₂ wt. % | SnO₂ wt. % |
|----------|----------------|------------|------------|
| CAT1     | 50             | 50         | 0          |
| CAT2     | 50             | 40         | 10         |
| CAT3     | 50             | 30         | 20         |
| CAT4     | 50             | 20         | 30         |
| CAT5     | 50             | 10         | 40         |
| CAT6     | 50             | 0          | 50         |
with a \( N_2 \) flow of 50 mL/min supplemented with synthetic air flow of 50 mL/min.

The relative acidity of the synthesized oxides was measured by thermal programmed desorption of \( \text{NH}_3 \) (\( \text{NH}_3\)TPD) in a Micromeritics AutoChem 2910 equipment. The program included the pre-treatment of the samples at 450°C in He atmosphere. Afterwards, \( \text{NH}_3 \) gas flow was maintained through the samples for 60 min in a volumetric flow of 30 ml/min, then the remaining \( \text{NH}_3 \) was purged with a He flow of 50 ml/min for 30 min. The next step was the desorption of the \( \text{NH}_3 \), which was carried out at an temperature rate of 10°C/min to 800°C and a dwell step for 10 min. The particle morphology of the catalysts was also analysed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) Zeiss Ultra 55 in a range from 1 \( \mu \)m to 200 nm.

### Transesterification and reaction optimization

The Transesterification experiments were carried out with the catalysts from T1 to T6. The reaction conditions followed the variables shown in Table 5, where the batch reactor was a three-neck round-bottom flask connected to a cold water jacket allowing the condensation of methanol under atmospheric pressure. The temperature was controlled by the use of a water bath and the agitation rate was controlled with a submersible magnetic stirrer within the water bath. The time variable for the experiments was during 1 and 2 h of reaction time, respectively. At the end of the transesterification reaction, the mixture was left to settle down. Once the catalysts with glycerol (by-product) were precipitated, an aliquot of the biodiesel phase was extracted and was analysed by gas chromatography (GC). The GC analyses were performed in an Agilent GC 6890 using HP-FFAP polyethylene glycol capillary column (30 m x 530 µm x I.D. 1 µm) at an oven temperature of 215°C with helium as the carrier phase at a volumetric flow of 7.2mL/min and a split ratio of 80:1. The equipment has a flame ionization detector (FID) working at 300°C. An aliquot of 113 µL of the biodiesel phase was mixed with 11 µL of propyl acetate (internal standard) and 500 µL of n-heptane for each experiment product. The quantification of the biodiesel yield was done by fitting the GC results in a mathematical model of a standard calibration curve made with different concentrations of fatty acid methyl esters (FAME).

The synergic advantages between the oxide mixtures for the transesterification were identified with the catalysts T1 to T6 Table 1 and the catalysts CA1 to CAT6 Table 4; i.e. \( \text{CaO}, \text{SnO}_2 \), and \( \text{Li}_2 \text{O} \). Once the catalyst with higher activity was identified by these individual experiments, a design of experiment (DOE) consisting of a central composite circumscribed (CCC) was carried as described in Table 6. The main objective of the DOE was to test the maximum activity of the solid catalyst in the transesterification in an isothermal regime. Accordingly, the catalyst load had to be reduced to an average of 1% wt relative to the ricinus oil. The variables considered in the DOE were named XT, XM, and XC, where T stands for temperature (°C), M for the methanol to oil molar ratio (moleCH\(_3\)OH: moleOIL), and C the catalyst load (wt. %), respectively. The statistical significance was tested for a 95% confidence interval with 20 observations.

### Catalyst reuse potential

The potential of the catalysts reusability was tested through aging procedure, which consisted in placing the catalyst in a methanol-only environment. The aging of the catalyst was carried with a catalyst load of 5% wt with an agitation rate of 400 rpm at a temperature of 70°C in methanol, since the conditions were intended to be more severe than the regular reaction conditions. After an aging time of 6 h, the catalyst-CH\(_3\)OH mixture was placed in the oven at 70°C to dryness for XRD analysis and TGA analysis under the conditions previously described.

### Results and Discussion

#### Characterization of the ricinus oil

The ricinus oil characterization showed in Table 7 confirms the quality of the oil since the physical and chemical properties are according to the data reported in the literature.

The ricinus oil was extracted from the seed by cold-pressing technique, which in accordance to Ogunniyi (2006) results in a better grade oil. Most of the measured values lie within the reported literature. Among the important differences worth mentioning are the viscosity, which was found lower than the reported average values [10]. Such difference, in addition to the lower saponifiable matter, peroxide number, and iodine number might be a result of the species variations as well as the environmental differences between the Ricinus crops from the region of Santa Cruz, Bolivia and the Ricinus crops found in the literature.

### Table 5 Ricinus oil transesterification base test conditions.

| Variable | Units | Value |
|----------|-------|-------|
| Agitation| rpm   | 400   |
| Temperature| °C | 60    |
| \( \text{CH}_3\OH: \text{Triglyceride} \) | -    | 12    |
| Catalyst load | % | 5     |

### Table 6 DOE for the ricinus oil transesterification.

| Experiment | XT | Xm | XC wt. % |
|------------|----|----|--------|
| #          | °C | mole |        |
| 1          | 55 | 6   | 0.75   |
| 2          | 55 | 6   | 1.25   |
| 3          | 55 | 12  | 0.75   |
| 4          | 55 | 12  | 1.25   |
| 5          | 65 | 6   | 0.75   |
| 6          | 65 | 6   | 1.25   |
| 7          | 65 | 12  | 0.75   |
| 8          | 65 | 12  | 1.25   |
| 9          | 50 | 9   | 1      |
| 10         | 70 | 9   | 1      |
| 11         | 60 | 3   | 1      |
| 12         | 60 | 15  | 1      |
| 13         | 60 | 9   | 0.5    |
| 14         | 60 | 9   | 1.5    |
| 15-20      | 60 | 9   | 1      |

This article is available in http://www.imedpub.com/synthesis-and-catalysis-open-access/
Characterization of the catalysts

The TGA analysis of synthesized mayenite shows to follow the thermal decomposition of the used precursors as illustrated in the Figure 1. The initial loss of mass starts from a temperature of 200°C to 300°C, where in such temperature range the nitrous oxides leave the mixture in gaseous form according to Song et al. [40]. The dehydration of physically adsorbed H₂O from the Ca(OH)₂ was reported to occur at the same temperature range [41]. The second mass loss was found to occur between 400 and 550°C, where it has been reported to be the temperature range for the formation of CaO and Al₂O₃ from Ca(OH)₂ and Al(NO₃)₃, respectively [41,42]. The mass loss continues up from a temperature of 600 to 800°C, which is caused mainly by the formation of the mayenite crystal phase from the calcium and aluminium oxides [43].

The XRD analysis of the synthesized mayenite showed in Figure 2 has the diffraction pattern for Ca₁₂Al₁₄O₃₃ (PDF-00-009-0413). The mayenite XRD results also suggest that as a support, the synthesized material has CaO (PDF-01-070-5490), CaCO₃ (PDF-00-060-0483), CaCl₂ (PDF-00-024-0223), and γ-Al₂O₃ (PDF-01-074-4629) phase formations. Following the N₂-desorption isotherm, the BET surface area showed that mayenite has 5.8369 m²/g placing the support in the mesoporous classification [44,45]. The calculated BJH pore volume was determined to be 0.068 cm³/g and the pore diameter to be 47.03 nm.

After the catalyst support was characterized, the synthesized catalysts from CAT1 to CAT6 were analysed. The N₂-desorption analyses shown in Table 8 for the catalysts CAT1 and CAT6 described the difference in surface area change. The increase of lithium and tin effects are opposite, while the former decreases the surface area, the latter increases it conferring the catalyst a range of pore size variability from 14.12 to 28.74 nm.

The XRD peaks shown in Figure 2 strongly suggest that not only species such as cubic Li₂O (PDF-00-012-0254) and tetragonal SnO₂ (PDF-00-046-1088) are formed on the surface but the diffractogram also shows the presence of species like crystalline tetragonal SnO (PDF-00-055-0837) and cubic CaO (PDF-00-001-1160).

Additionally, Figure 3 shows the XRD analysis for the catalysts CAT4, CAT5, and CAT6 in comparison with the mayenite diffractogram. The main expected species are included in the diffractograms as specified in the legend and consistently to their respective powder diffraction files (PDF). The diffractogram of the CAT6 shows the presence of the species Li₂O and SnO₂ following their respective PDF files. The signals appearing at 23° and 43° 2θ degrees are identified to be tetragonal synthetic cassiterite (PDF-00-041-1445) [46].

The TGA results of the synthesized catalysts, shown in Figure 4, suggest that the catalysts CAT1 and CAT5 are the most stable, both having a mass loss percentage of less than 15% wt. On the contrary, the TGA analyses of the catalysts CAT2, CAT3, CAT4, and CAT6 indicate a significant mass loss and showed that their surface is not stable and still go under notable mass loss percentage of 78.0, 74.6, 75.4, and 79.8% wt, respectively. Specifically, in the case of the catalysts from CAT1 to CAT5 (mayenite with Li₂O) the TGA analyses suggests that some water could be desorbing at temperatures from 100 to 170°C [47]. The catalysts CAT1, CAT3, CAT4, and CAT5 show a stable mass from 170 to 850°C. Conversely, the catalyst CAT2 undergoes through a mass loss that starts at a temperature of 370 up to 470°C possibly due to the conversion from Li₂O and Li-MII oxide as reported by Cao et al. [48]. In the same way, the catalyst CAT6 shows a slight mass increase after the dehydration step (from 300 to 430°C) which can be attributed to the formation of Sn complexes such as CaSnO₃ on the mayenite surface [49,50].

The NH₃-TPD analyses of the mayenite and catalyst CAT6 were done as shown in Figure 5. The analysis shows the presence of acid sites (weak and strong) on the support and the catalyst CAT6. Mayenite has weak acidic sites characteristically desorbing NH₃ at a temperature range from 300 to 380°C and a majority of strong acidic sites that desorb NH₃ from 500°C to 800°C. The NH₃-TPD analysis of the CAT6 shows that the addition of the Sn-species result in is a shift in the acidity and a decrease in the acidic strength. The relative difference of surface acidity

Table 7 Physical and chemical properties of the used ricinus oil and comparison with the found literature (8-10).

| Property                  | Units | Value   | Reported
|---------------------------|-------|---------|-----------
| Viscosity                 | cSt   | 84.96   | 95.93     |
| Density                   | g/cm³ | 0.96    | 0.94      |
| Iodine number (Wij)       | g/12/100 g | 75   | 80-145    |
| Acidity                   | %     | 1.56    | 1.0-5.6   |
| Peroxide number           | mEq/kg| 151.4   | 158.64    |
| Saponifiable matter       | ppm   | 162.46  | 177-188   |
| Moisture content          | %     | 0.1     | 0.15-0.30 |

Table 8 BET and BJH by N2-physisorption of mayenite, CAT1, and CAT6.

| Units       | Mayenite | CAT1 | CAT6 |
|-------------|----------|------|------|
| Surface area (BET) | m²/g    | 5.84 | 1.5092 | 7.79 |
| Pore volume (BJH) | cm³/g   | 0.068 | 0.012 | 0.081 |
| Pore size (BJH)  | nm      | 47.035 | 14.14 | 28.74 |
Figure 2  XRD diffractograms of the synthesized mayenite, CAT1, CAT2, and CAT3.

Figure 3  XRD diffractograms of the synthesized mayenite, CAT4, CAT5, and CAT6.
decrease between mayenite and CAT6 is 41.9%. Contrary to the SnO₂ acid character, the resulting lower acidity of CAT6 relative to mayenite might be in the formation of other species on the mayenite surface. In the case of γ-Al₂O₃, detected by the XRD analyses (Figure 2), the increase in temperature could lead to the formation of α-Al₂O₃ which has an amphoteric nature. The phase change from gamma to alpha alumina is reported to start from a temperature of 760°C [51]. Furthermore, the presence of the SnO₂ on the mayenite support has shown to shift the molar ratio between low acidic sites and high acidic sites from 0.029 on bare mayenite to 0.774 on the SnO₂ impregnated mayenite, i.e. the catalyst CAT6 has higher density of weak acid sites enhancing the reaction of ricinus oil with CH₃OH.

The SEM micrographs of the CAT6 in Figure 6 depicts the surface morphology, where the formation of near nanoscale particles is visible. The crystals formed on the surface have needle form resulting in the sharp signals showed in CAT6-XRD (Figure 3). It was further estimated that the 60.4% of the surface needle-like crystals are between 250 and 350 nm length. The cavern-like found in the surface are in agreement to the BET results regarding the mayenites’ mesoporous nature.

Catalyst test and DOE results
The activity tests of the catalysts were carried out using the catalysts T1 to T6 determining the best oxide mixtures for biodiesel production from ricinus oil. The mixture of CaO/SnO₂ in a mass ratio of 70/30 led to the highest biodiesel yield, as shown in Figure 7, reaching 29.5% in a reaction time of 2h. The second higher result was achieved by the catalyst T3, which showed biodiesel yields of 16.40 and 17.0% for reaction time of 1h and 2h, respectively. These results suggests that the reaction time in this case marginally influences the conversion to biodiesel. Previous studies reported that the use of CaO/SnO₂ mixtures for the transesterification reactions lead to biodiesel yields from 25% rising upwards [52]. However, the report by Xie and Zhao [53] showed a yield of 89.3% of biodiesel production was achieved from soybean oil after 6h of reaction at 70°C with a catalyst load of 8% wt and a methanol to oil ratio of 12:1. The harsher conditions show that the oxide mixture must be accompanied by other elements related to improve the reaction yields. On the other side, no previous study was found on the transesterification of ricinus oil with a mixture of Li₂O and SnO₂ catalyst.

The results showed in Figure 7 indicate that a mixture of the oxides between CaO/SnO₂ is more active than Li₂O/SnO₂. For that reason, the effect of Li₂O/SnO₂ over mayenite support had to be tested. Accordingly, the transesterification experiments with the catalysts from CAT1 to CAT6 were carried out and the results are exhibited in Figure 8. The catalyst CAT6 had the highest activity for biodiesel production reaching a yield of 34 % in comparison with the other catalysts lower activities. Consequently, the
Figure 5  TPD-NH3 analysis of mayenite and CAT6.

Figure 6  SEM micrograph of the CAT 6.
The heterogeneous methods that were found in the literature include the use of a handful of solid supports with several dopants. Carvalho et al. [58] reported biodiesel yields of 96.4 and 95.3% using reactants of bare ricinus oil and a blend with babassu oil, respectively, catalysed by a mixture of Sr species. The report by Cao and Zhao [59] deals with a KOH/NaY solid catalyst under mild reaction conditions reaching 86.9% of biodiesel yield. Our reaction optimization using the DOE was carried out with the catalyst CAT6. The review by Andrade et al. [54-56] reported the production of biodiesel from ricin ricinus oil with yields that vary from 67.5 to 97.0% with the use of enzymes but with longer reaction times (from 8 to 24 h) and at lower temperatures (from 35 to 50°C). Using the supercritical methods, the reported biodiesel yields vary from 95.22 to 96.5% and even if such methods produce high yields of biodiesel, their energy demand is often high. The reaction conditions for supercritical methods often require higher amount of alcohol versus oil for the alcoholysis [30]. At the same time, the process conditions include higher temperatures and pressures but shorter reaction times [28,57].

The non-linear regression for the DOE was carried out resulting in equation Equation 1. The goodness of the model described by Equation 1 shows that the factors methanol to oil molar ratio (XM) and catalyst load (XC) have more influence over the biodiesel yield than the temperature (XT) factor. Such factors have statistical significance with a calculated probability (pValue) of $t = -2.20, p = 0.046; t = -2.26, p = 0.041; t = -9.4 \times 1010, p = 8.18 \times 10-137$; and $t = -100.95, p = 3.31 \times 10-20$ for the 4th, 5th and 6th terms, respectively. Thus, the interaction between XM and XC is negative but statistically significant, as well as the effects of the squared temperature (XT2). The effect of the molar ratio (XM) is inversely proportional, suggesting that the increase of methanol to oil molar ratio is hindering the catalytic reaction. In contrast, the catalyst load alone (XC) failed to have statistical significance showing a calculated probability of $t = -1.16$ and $p = 0.26$ for the XC coefficient.

\[
Yield = 34.56 + 0.03X_M X_C + 1.29X_M X_C - 2.60X_M X_C
- 0.01X_M^2 + 8.49 \times 10^7 X_M^{35} + 51.97 X_C
\] (1)

The response surface analysis of the interaction effects between the factors was carried with Matlab cftool and is displayed in Figure 9. The interaction effect between the factors XM and XC, Figure 9a showed that the catalyst load in the reaction has a limited effect opposed to methanol to oil molar ratio which has the highest reaction yield at the minimum level. Moreover, the reduction of the methanol to oil ratio to increase the reaction yield, as suggested by the Equation 2 from response analysis, might be a result of the catalyst losing its crystalline phases. The goodness of the fit obtained show an R-square equal to 0.78.

\[
Yield = 37.94 - 18.86X_M + 97.4X_C + 2.68X_M^2
- 19.34X_M X_C - 0.11X_C^2 + 0.92X_M X_C
\] (2)

The effect of the factors XM and XT, shown in Figure 9b, showed that their interaction results in an increase of the biodiesel yield when the methanol to oil molar ratio is decreased (XM), while the temperature effects is suggested to be linear through the established limits. Equation 3 shows that the temperature (XT) factor has a rather low effect on the final yield and that the methanol to oil molar ratio (XM) is the limiting factor. However, the yield is decreased by the increase of methanol to oil ratio, such occurrence might be related to the resistance of the catalysts towards the high presence of hydroxyl groups from methanol and ricinoleic acid showing a probable leaching of the catalysts active phase.

\[
Yield = 276.80 - 3.83X_T - 70.02X_M + 0.53X_T X_M
+ 5.35X_M^2 - 0.03X_T X_M^2 - 0.11X_M^3
\] (3)

Furthermore, the interaction between the treatments catalyst load (XC) and temperature (XT), shown in Figure 9c, results in an increase of the yield only with the extreme levels of the XT factor, i.e. low temperature and high temperature. The catalyst load factor (XC) coefficients in Equation 4 suggest that at any higher catalyst load, the yield will increase.

\[
Yield = -3276.00 + 134.1X_T + 1837.00X_C - 1.72X_T^2
- 61.61X_T X_C + 0.006X_C^3 + 0.52X_T^2 X_C
\] (4)
The consideration of the results showed in the response surface analysis motivated further experiments with 4:1 methanol to oil molar ratio, since the reaction requirement is an excess of m at 60°C of temperature but increasing the catalyst load (XC) in the reactions. A catalyst increase to 3, 6 and 9% wt of CAT6 led to considerable higher biodiesel yields as described in Figure 10. Where 85% yield of biodiesel was obtained at the intermediate load. This implies that at lower or higher concentrations of catalyst the mass transfer of the reactants and products is either too low or is hindered.

**Catalyst reusability**

The catalyst reusability test (aging) showed that the catalysts CAT1 and CAT6 were unstable under methanol-only environment. The contrast between CAT1 and CAT1-Aged (Figure 11), suggests that mayenite is left alone without any LiO2 (PDF-00-012-0254) on its surface. The XRD diffractogram comparison between fresh CAT6 and CAT6-Aged (Figure 12) indicates that the only stable phase was SnO2 which is comparable with the pattern PDF-00-046-1088 in defined narrow peaks. The stability of the catalyst CAT6 is also poor in terms of the support strength since the peaks identifying mayenite are considerably weaker after the aging treatment. Especially the intensity of the peaks is reduced, suggesting a decrease of the crystal face dimensions on the mayenite surface resulting from the CH₃OH treatment.
Figure 11  XRD diffractogram after the aging effect in CAT1.

Figure 12  XRD diffractogram after the aging effect in CAT6.
The thermogravimetric analyses of the catalysts CAT1 and CAT6 after the aging tests are depicted in Figure 13. The mass loss suggests the catalyst CAT1 has a stable phase up to 250°C and then it loses a total of 25% wt. On the other hand, the mass loss from CAT6 that starts at 150°C indicates the formation of hydroxide species on the catalyst surface. The catalyst CAT6 stabilizes at 550°C of temperature after the aging procedure, suggesting that the phases of CAT6 are more stable than the phases of CAT1.

Conclusion

The catalysts from mixed oxides (CaO/SnO$_2$ and Li$_2$O/SnO$_2$) are catalytically active materials for the heterogeneously catalysed biodiesel production. This study confirms that CaO and SnO$_2$ have high activity in the transesterification reaction of ricinus oil. The best combination of such oxides resulted to be 70% wt of CaO with 30% wt of SnO$_2$. However, the disposition of such mixture over a mayenite support proved to be challenging as well as idealistic. The best catalyst towards the ricinus oil biodiesel production was CAT6, which is based on 50% wt of SnO$_2$ over mesoporous mayenite. The highest yield obtained by the response surface methodology was at 60°C, with a methanol to oil molar ratio of 4:1, and a catalyst content of 1% wt with respect to the oil mass. The surface response analysis showed more insight on the resulting highest yield and suggests that less temperature (XT), less methanol to oil molar ratio (XM), and more catalyst load (XC) could increase the transesterification yields. Such conclusion is supported by further analysis of the results. Since the second highest yield was achieved at a temperature of 55°C and considering the boiling point of methanol (64.7°C), it might be possible that the methanol phase change to vapour for the reactions carried out at the temperatures 65 and 70°C might be hinder the transesterification yields. Based on the statistical analysis, the biodiesel yields increased up to 85% by increasing the catalyst load to 6% wt leaving the catalyst stability to be further improved.
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