Case report: Total enterectomy following complete small bowel ischaemia in the post-peritonectomy setting
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INTRODUCTION: This report presents the rare case of a patient with complete bowel ischaemia following parastomal hernia, leading to total bowel resection, with consideration of post-operative complications and wound management.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: A 59 year old female was found to have complete small bowel ischaemia on exploratory laparotomy, on a background of recurrent appendiceal adenomucinosis, for which she had received previous peritonectomy, cholecystectomy, total colectomy, and partial small bowel resection. The patient was managed with total enterectomy and post-operative total parenteral nutrition.

DISCUSSION: Total enterectomy represents a significant challenge in the postperitonectomy setting, including consideration of wound management with the empty abdomen, and the potential of small bowel transplant in management.

CONCLUSION: Resection of the small bowel following total small bowel ischaemia is feasible in the post-peritonectomy setting, given appropriate post-operative care and wound management. However, long-term survival remains challenging, especially without small bowel transplant.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Recent studies have examined the post-surgical courses of patients with total or near-total enterectomy, including considerations of monitoring, survival benefit and quality of life. However, there remains a paucity of data surrounding post-operative management of total enterectomy patients, especially in the post-peritonectomy setting. Consequently, this report outlines a rare case of complete bowel ischaemia following parastomal hernia in a post-peritonectomy patient, leading to total enterectomy. This is reported using SCARE guidelines. Through this, it discusses the challenges of long-term management, including considerations of wound management and small bowel transplant.

2. Presentation of case

A 59-year-old female was transferred to our institute with ongoing severe abdominal pain and distension. This was on a background of multiple peritonectomies for recurrent disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis, including cholecystectomy, total colectomy, and partial small bowel resection, and multiple subsequent laparotomies for adhesiolysis. Her medication history included regular anti-emetics, opioid analgesia, beta-blockers and anti-depressants. Her family history was insignificant, and her psychosocial history was significant for smoking.

Upon assessment, she was found to have severe abdominal distension and tenderness without peritonism, and a black stoma, consistent with her delayed presentation to hospital. CT scan showed an obstructed parastomal hernia causing ischaemia of the ileostomy, alongside venous blood results demonstrating worsening lactic acidosis (pH 7.156, Lactate 3.4).

Consequently, she was sent to operative theatre emergently. Initial incision around the stoma revealed dead small bowel, and the procedure was converted to laparotomy. Laparotomy revealed small bowel completely dilated to the ileostomy, with a parastomal hernia. Extensive adhesiolysis revealed almost all of the small bowel was ischaemic and malodorous, but there was no evidence of disease recurrence. Surgery was performed by a senior surgeon with 25 years of experience in peritonectomy, having performed approximately 1500 peritonectomy cases.

Consequently, after a discussion with the patient’s family concerning limits and goals of care, the entire small bowel was resected, leaving the proximal jejunum stapled off. A tube gastrostomy was also performed. The abdomen was closed with 2 × 24F bladder drains, then skin and fascia closed with 2 mersilk interrupted sutures. Histopathological examination later confirmed that the entire small bowel was necrotic.
Following the initial surgery, the patient experienced an extended admission to hospital with multiple surgical revisions due to a number of complications. Notably, the patient experienced complete wound dehiscence (Fig. 1) at 24 days post admission. On return to theatre, a suture line dehiscence was noted, with bile coming out of the enterotomy in the left upper quadrant. This was consequently managed with a negative pressure dressing, in order to protect the skin from further contact with bile (Fig. 2). Regular VAC dressing changes occurred throughout her admission.

Subsequently, at 78 days post admission, a partial split skin graft was conducted. Using a donor site from the right inner thigh, the graft was applied to the granulating retroperitoneum (Fig. 3) and secured with staples, with an overlying VAC dressing.

During the patient’s admission, nutrition was maintained with total parenteral nutrition (TPN). Fluid balance was monitored considering inputs of IV crystalloid fluids, TPN and oral intake, and output from the negative pressure dressing, urine and fistula. Interventions were tolerated during admission. The patient described realistic ongoing anxieties regarding prognosis and function, and was managed accordingly under consultant liaison psychiatry.

The patient was discharged 100 days after admission, following home TPN training. Following discharge, the skin graft partially took, accelerating healing of her abdominal wound. Unfortunately, this was followed by readmission 48 days later for worsening dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, metabolic alkalosis and cholestasis whilst on home TPN, with high stoma output. Given the increasing complexity of her care and worsening quality of life, following discussion regarding limitations of care, the patient elected to pursue comfort care. Consequently, the patient passed away 152 days after initial presentation.

3. Discussion

Within the complex management of the presented case, two key issues are evident; Long-term medical care following total enterec-
tomy in the post-peritonectomy setting, and wound management for the open abdomen.

Long-term management represented the major challenge in the presented case. Given the patient's initial presentation of parastomal hernia, total small bowel death was an unexpected finding on laparotomy. Subsequently, whilst initial bowel resection was completed successfully, long-term TPN contributed significantly to her metabolic complications and worsening quality of life. Consequently, this case represents an example of someone who could have potentially benefited from small bowel transplant services in Australia. Recent developments in small intestine and multi-visceral transplant represent an exciting management strategy following total enterectomy, with good outcomes in survival and quality of life [4]. However, opportunity for these transplants in Australia remains limited, and data surrounding intestine transplant lags behind other transplants [5]. Early studies have shown that multi-visceral transplantation including small bowel is feasible in appendiceal adenomucinosis patients, and provides notable improvements in survival and quality of life [6,7]. These findings are consistent with broader studies supporting small bowel transplant as a means to wean patients off TPN and tube feeding, and thus potentially avoid the complications presented in this case [8,9].

Regarding wound management, negative pressure therapy is a well-recognised method of managing the open abdomen, assisting in haemostasis, prevention of fascial contracture, reduction of visceral oedema, maintenance of tissue perfusion and protection of abdominal contents [10,11]. However, there is a lack of consensus among surgeons for optimal management of the open abdomen [12,13]. Additionally, some studies have noted intestinal leakage following negative pressure dressing [14] and delayed wound closure [10]. Considering the presented case, there is a paucity of data surrounding management of the open abdomen following peritonectomy or total enterectomy. Consequently, notable findings of this case include the benefit of negative pressure dressing as a short-term measure to manage the open empty abdomen for maintaining fluid balance and wound protection, even in the presence of an enterotomy. In fact, in the presented case, the negative pressure dressing counterintuitively helped promote healing around the fistula and allowed it to heal. Furthermore, a skin graft is a potential technique for accelerating wound healing.

Finally, of further note is this patient's delayed presentation to hospital with late-stage complications of parastomal hernia and bowel ischaemia. Both in our institute's experience and recent publications, revision surgeries in patients with previous peritonectomy are feasible and of benefit to patients' survival [15,16]. Consequently, this case highlights the importance of encouraging early contact with health services amongst patients who have previously undergone peritonectomy, to facilitate timely surgical interventions.

4. Conclusion

The presented case demonstrates that resection of the entire small bowel following total small bowel ischaemia is feasible in the post-peritonectomy setting. However, the benefits appear to be short-lived in the absence of a long-term management solution, such as small bowel transplant. Electrolyte imbalances and premature liver failure appear to limit the benefits of home TPN in total enterectomy. Further investigation into lasting management options is necessary to improve long-term patient outcomes.
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