Identification of key gene networks controlling organic acid and sugar metabolism during watermelon fruit development by integrating metabolic phenotypes and gene expression profiles
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Abstract

The organoleptic qualities of watermelon fruit are defined by the sugar and organic acid contents, which undergo considerable variations during development and maturation. The molecular mechanisms underlying these variations remain unclear. In this study, we used transcriptome profiles to investigate the coexpression patterns of gene networks associated with sugar and organic acid metabolism. We identified 3 gene networks/modules containing 2443 genes highly correlated with sugars and organic acids. Within these modules, based on intramodular significance and Reverse Transcription Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), we identified 7 genes involved in the metabolism of sugars and organic acids. Among these genes, Cla97C01G000640, Cla97C05G087120 and Cla97C01G018840 ($r^2 = 0.83$ with glucose content) were identified as sugar transporters (SWEET, EDR6 and STP) and Cla97C03G064990 ($r^2 = 0.92$ with sucrose content) was identified as a sucrose synthase from information available for other crops. Similarly, Cla97C07G128420, Cla97C03G068240 and Cla97C01G008870, having strong correlations with malic ($r^2 = 0.75$) and citric acid ($r^2 = 0.85$), were annotated as malate and citrate transporters (ALMT7, CS, and ICDH). The expression profiles of these 7 genes in diverse watermelon genotypes revealed consistent patterns of expression variation in various types of watermelon. These findings add significantly to our existing knowledge of sugar and organic acid metabolism in watermelon.

Introduction

Watermelon is the fifth most consumed fleshy fruit worldwide, with a global yield of 118 million tons in 2017 1. It occupies 7% of the total cultivated land of fruits and vegetables. Fruits of watermelon are rich in water and nutrients (amino acid sugars, carotenoids, lycopene, organic acids, etc.) 2,3. These phytochemicals make watermelon one of the most nutritious fruits, providing substantial nutritional supplementation to the human diet 4–7. Sugars and organic acids have a strong influence on organoleptic fruit quality and are crucial components involved in the development of fruit flavor 8. Unlike staple food crops, for which yield is the ultimate breeding goal, taste and aroma are more vital traits for watermelon,
which are both determined by the metabolite composition of the fruit. During the development process, watermelon fruits undergo numerous biochemical changes, including changes in sugar metabolism, organic acid and pigment accumulation, fruit softening, flavor, and aromatic volatile contents.

Organic acids are the key players in maintaining pH and changes in the sensorial quality of fruit. Assessment of fruit maturity depends on the sugar to acid ratio along with the quality of a certain cultivar. The major sugars and organic acids in watermelon are fructose and malic acid. Transport of sucrose to the fruit occurs via the phloem as part of the sugar metabolic pathway, and then neutral invertase converts sucrose into glucose, and fructose or sucrose synthase converts sucrose into UDP-glucose and fructose, respectively. Two enzymes, namely, hexokinase and fructokinase, are involved in the phosphorylation of fructose and glucose into fructose 6 phosphate (F6P) and glucose 6 phosphate (G6P), respectively. Phosphoglucoisomerase is the enzyme responsible for catalyzing the interconversion of F6P and G6P in a reversible reaction. Special transporters confined to the vacuolar membrane can facilitate the transport of sucrose to the vacuole, while acid invertase can convert it into fructose and glucose. These changes are mainly controlled by genetic factors, including variations in individual gene expression patterns and metabolic gene networks. Changes that genetically regulate variations among the organic acids in fruits during their development have been reported for many fruits, including peach, lemon, pineapple, apple, and strawberry.

Advances in omics approaches along with quantitative biology offer several ways to identify gene networks and their regulatory mechanisms in living systems, and one such promising approach for identifying coexpressed gene networks using mRNA-Seq data is the weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA). WGCNA is useful for identifying modules/networks of coexpressed genes, correlating these modules with phenotypic traits and detecting key genes within the networks. This method has been previously applied to discover the coexpressed genes responsible for fruit acidity, taste, and aromatic qualities of apricot and flavonol biosynthesis compounds in apple. However, no such comprehensive studies are available to explain the gene networks and molecular regulatory mechanisms underlying organic acid and sugar regulation in watermelon fruit. Here, we used RNA sequencing data to uncover the regulatory networks and mechanisms controlling sugars and organic acids in sour watermelon (SrW) and sweet watermelon (SwtW) at 10, 18, 26, and 34 days after pollination. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and coexpression network analysis revealed gene networks linked to the metabolism of acids and sugars.

Materials & methods

Plant material

For this experiment, we selected two kinds of watermelon materials, namely, sweet watermelon (203Z) and a sour watermelon (SrW), to evaluate the variations in sugar and organic acid metabolic content. The details of the plant materials used in this study were explained in our previous report. Briefly, the pure inbred line watermelon cultivar “203Z” accumulates high total soluble sugar contents and low total organic acid contents at maturity. “SrW”, developed by crossing the inbred cultivar “203Z” and the wild subspecies “PI271769”, accumulates low total soluble sugar contents and high total organic acid contents. The plant materials were cultivated at the Horticultural Research Base in Henan, China, in spring 2018.

Materials & methods

Plant material
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Measurement of total soluble solids and pH in watermelon flesh

After homogenizing collected watermelon flesh samples, TSS (Brix %) and pH were measured. For TSS measurements, a refractometer was used (HC-112ATC, Shanghai LICHENKEYI, China). pH was recorded by using a pH meter (PHB-4, Shanghai LICHENKEYI, China) at 10, 18, 26, and 34 days after pollination.

Quantification of organic acids and soluble sugars in watermelon fruit flesh

Watermelon samples were cut diagonally into two parts. Flesh samples were collected from both portions by carefully avoiding seeds in the middle portion. After taking flesh samples from both portions, the two parts were pooled together. Pooling was performed for the flesh material of two parts of the same sample. The samples were instantly frozen and then used to determine the organic acid (citric acid, oxalic acid, and malic acid) and soluble sugar (sucrose, fructose, and glucose) contents as described in previous reports. Fresh samples were taken at 4 developmental stages (10, 18, 26, and 34 DAP) from 3 biological replicates.

Construction and sequencing of the RNA-sequencing library

The RNA-Seq library was prepared by a previously described method, and a 1% agarose gel was used to
check for contamination and degradation of RNA. The purity of RNA was analyzed by using a Nano Photometer® spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, CA, USA). Estimation of RNA concentration was performed using a Qubit® RNA Assay Kit and a Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, CA, USA). The integrity of RNA was checked by using an Agilent Nano 6000 assay kit (Santa Clara, California, United States).

Mapping to watermelon genome V2 and quantification of gene expression
Clean reads were aligned to the watermelon reference genome (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/organism/21) by using TopHat v2.0.12. Read counting in features (genes, in this case) was performed using HTSeq v0.6.1®. Gene lengths and read counts that were mapped to genes were used to calculate FPKM values26.

Analysis of differential expression
The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between SrW and SwtW were identified with the DESeq R package27, and Benjamini–Hochberg-adjusted P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant28,29.

Enrichment analysis of DEGs and WGCNA for identifying correlated gene networks
KOBAS software was used to test for enrichment of DEGs in KEGG pathways30. WGCNA was performed in R using default parameters to simplify genes into coexpressed modules17,31. FPKM values were normalized, and an adjacency matrix was constructed. The phenotype data were imported into the WGCNA package, and correlation-based associations between phenotypes and gene modules were calculated using the default settings. The WGCNA package was used to convert the adjacency matrix into a topological overlap matrix (TOM). After constructing a network, the transcripts with identical patterns of expression were grouped into one module, and eigengenes were also calculated for these modules. The genes from each module were exported using the default parameters for Cytoscape export.

Validation of intramodular candidates through RT-qPCR analysis
Gene expression analysis using RT-qPCR was carried out using three independent biological replicates for each sample32. Extraction of total RNA was performed by using a TIANGEN kit (Beijing, China). cDNA was synthesized by using a Takara Tokyo, Japan) kit with reverse transcriptase. The target candidate genes were selected from the gene modules based on their intramodular gene significance and the annotation information from the watermelon reference genome database (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/organism/21). Primer design and RT-qPCR were carried out as described previously12. Actin (Cla016178) was used as a reference gene33.

Results

Variations among soluble sugars during watermelon fruit flesh development
TSS was measured at 10, 18, 26, and 34 DAP. Significant variation was observed at different developmental stages. TSS increased from 4.13 and 5.60 Brix% at 10 DAP to 12 and 10.5 Brix% at 34 DAP in SwtW and SrW, respectively (Fig. 1a).

A significant difference was observed in soluble sugar content, i.e., glucose, sucrose, and fructose, over the course of watermelon fruit development. The glucose content was measured as 11.6, 37.01, 30.83, and 13.43 mg/g FW at 10, 18, 26, and 34 DAP in SwtW, while in SrW, it was 17.76, 32.15, 26.05, and 16.54 mg/g FW at 10, 18, 26, and 34 DAP, respectively. An increase in the glucose content was observed from 10 DAP to 18 DAP (37.01 and 32.15 mg/g FW), but at 34 DAP, the glucose content was the same as that observed at 10 DAP (13.42 mg/g FW in SwtW and 16.54 mg/g FW in SrW) (Fig. 1c). The same trend was observed for fructose at all stages of watermelon fruit development, i.e., 15.86, 46.04, 60.86, and 52.17 and 17.16, respectively. An increase in mature fructose was observed from 10 DAP to 26 DAP (9.67, 13.28, and 5.2, 10.60 mg/g FW) and 34 DAP (25.83 and 22.39 mg/g FW) in SwtW and SrW (Fig. 1d).

Variations among organic acids throughout the development of watermelon fruit flesh
The difference in pH was recorded at different developmental stages. For example, SwtW had a pH of 4.92, 4.98, 4.76, and 5.33 at 10, 18, 26, and 34 DAP, respectively, whereas, in SrW, a pH of 4.53, 4.61, 4.38, and 4.48 was observed, respectively, at the 4 stages (Fig. 1b).

In the present study, malic acid was observed as the major acid in both materials. An increase in the malic acid content was observed from 10 DAP to 26 DAP in SwtW and SrW (Fig. 1f). At maturity, the malic acid content decreased but was still higher in SrW than in SwtW. The concentration of citric acid was extremely high at 26 DAP (Fig. 1g) but decreased at maturity. In the case of oxalic acid, the concentration was higher at 10 DAP in SwtW and SrW but decreased near maturity, i.e., to 0.88 mg/g FW in SrW and 1.35 mg/g FW in SrW (Fig. 1h). Transcriptome analysis and identification of DEGs in watermelon fruit

For transcriptome profiling, samples were collected at 4 stages of watermelon fruit development (10, 18, 26, and 34
DAP) from 3 replicates of both SwtW and SrW to construct 24 cDNA libraries. In total, 191.86 Gb of clean data was filtered, and 6 Gb of clean data for each individual sample was obtained with more than a 91% Q30 base percentage. When sequencing data were aligned to the reference genome, more than 90.1% of clean reads were mapped. Less than 3% of the reads were not mapped (Table 1).

We compared the transcriptome profiles of SwtW and SrW at 4 fruit developmental stages to identify the DEGs during watermelon fruit development (Supplementary Fig. 1). The DEGs were filtered according to an expression level | log2 (fold-change) | > 1 and an adjusted P-value < 0.05 in each pairwise comparison. The downregulated DEGs were more abundant than the upregulated DEGs at each developmental stage, but the upregulated DEGs were more abundant than the downregulated DEGs when the developmental stages were compared between the two materials (Table 2).

KEGG analysis of DEGs identified from pairwise comparisons between developmental stages during watermelon fruit development provided additional information about the enriched biological pathways, including secondary metabolite biosynthesis, starch and sucrose regulatory metabolism, pentose and glucoronate.
interconversions, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, terpenoid backbone biosynthesis, glycolipid metabolism, flavonoid biosynthesis, fatty acid biosynthesis, elongation and degradation, and ascorbate and aldarate metabolism (Supplementary Fig. 2). Based on the statistical significance criterion for multiple testing correction (adjusted $P$-value), phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, glycerophospholipid metabolism, MAPK-signaling pathway, secondary metabolite biosynthesis, metabolism of sucrose and starch, metabolic pathways, and plant-pathogen interaction pathways were significantly enriched ($q$-value $\leq 0.001$) and displayed a constant increase in expression throughout watermelon fruit development (Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Identification of coexpressed gene networks and key candidates**

From the transcriptome data entered into the WGCNA module with FPKM values, 11 distinct gene modules were identified based on the coexpression patterns of individual genes. These gene modules are labeled in distinct colors and presented as a clustergram and network heatmap (Fig. 2a). The TSS, pH, and glucose, sucrose, fructose, malic, citric, and oxalic acid contents at each developmental stage were used as phenotypic data for the analysis of module-trait correlations. A sample dendrogram and trait heatmap were constructed to illustrate the expression of each phenotypic parameter at different developmental stages (Fig. 2b).

Of the 11 coexpressed gene networks, 3 showed significant correlations with sugars and organic acids. The blue module contained 1301 genes and showed a significant association with sucrose with a correlation coefficient ($r^2$) of 0.92. The yellow module with 410 genes was positively correlated with fructose ($r^2 = 0.79$) and glucose ($r^2 = 0.83$). The brown module consisting of 741 genes showed significantly high correlations with malic acid ($r^2 = 0.75$) and citric acid ($r^2 = 0.85$). A detailed

| Sample       | Raw Reads | Clean Reads | Clean Bases (G) | Error Rate (%) | Q20 (%) | Q30 (%) | GC Content (%) |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|----------------|
| SrW-10-DAP-1 | 49182826  | 47528796    | 7.13           | 0.02           | 97.31   | 92.46   | 44.44          |
| SrW-10-DAP-2 | 55137270  | 54423292    | 8.16           | 0.02           | 97.27   | 92.43   | 44.55          |
| SrW-10-DAP-3 | 51336756  | 50749952    | 7.61           | 0.02           | 96.86   | 91.51   | 44.47          |
| SrW-18-DAP-1 | 43412584  | 42284100    | 6.34           | 0.02           | 97.3    | 92.48   | 44.54          |
| SrW-18-DAP-2 | 55231986  | 54005996    | 8.1            | 0.02           | 96.92   | 91.66   | 44.45          |
| SrW-18-DAP-3 | 49853826  | 48583956    | 7.29           | 0.02           | 97.34   | 92.62   | 44.37          |
| SrW-26-DAP-1 | 51969624  | 50987032    | 7.65           | 0.02           | 97.32   | 92.56   | 44.81          |
| SrW-26-DAP-2 | 61879656  | 60922818    | 9.14           | 0.02           | 97.14   | 92.14   | 44.42          |
| SrW-26-DAP-3 | 52478428  | 51496238    | 7.72           | 0.02           | 97.2    | 92.22   | 44.48          |
| SrW-34-DAP-1 | 55758804  | 54620744    | 8.19           | 0.02           | 97.9    | 92.24   | 44.22          |
| SrW-34-DAP-2 | 58035794  | 57123994    | 8.57           | 0.02           | 97.33   | 92.52   | 44.25          |
| SrW-34-DAP-3 | 5353056   | 52656194    | 7.9            | 0.02           | 97.27   | 92.44   | 44.12          |
| SwtW-10-DAP-1| 54604124  | 53720332    | 8.06           | 0.02           | 97.29   | 92.52   | 44.5           |
| SwtW-10-DAP-2| 49493794  | 48856014    | 7.33           | 0.02           | 97.08   | 92.03   | 44.37          |
| SwtW-10-DAP-3| 55005720  | 54233580    | 8.14           | 0.02           | 97.21   | 92.29   | 44.6           |
| SwtW-18-DAP-1| 61711222  | 60749998    | 9.11           | 0.02           | 97.37   | 92.65   | 44.34          |
| SwtW-18-DAP-2| 45632140  | 44886534    | 6.73           | 0.02           | 97.41   | 92.71   | 44.39          |
| SwtW-18-DAP-3| 61597858  | 59575252    | 8.94           | 0.02           | 97.28   | 92.45   | 44.38          |
| SwtW-26-DAP-1| 61892510  | 60571612    | 9.09           | 0.02           | 97.17   | 92.21   | 44.15          |
| SwtW-26-DAP-2| 59389180  | 58397668    | 8.76           | 0.02           | 97.08   | 92.03   | 44.26          |
| SwtW-26-DAP-3| 48156512  | 47044710    | 7.06           | 0.02           | 97.2    | 92.3    | 44.24          |
| SwtW-34-DAP-1| 53990022  | 53054908    | 7.96           | 0.02           | 97.33   | 92.52   | 44.1           |
| SwtW-34-DAP-2| 56646000  | 55534767    | 8.33           | 0.02           | 97.11   | 92.1    | 44.15          |
| SwtW-34-DAP-3| 58827286  | 56987568    | 8.55           | 0.02           | 97.17   | 92.19   | 44.08          |
description of the gene module and trait correlations is presented in Fig. 3a, b. The genes from each of these 3 modules were selected, and their edges and nodes were calculated with the WGCNA R package for gene-network visualizations.

To further search for candidate genes with major contributions within the gene networks, annotation information of all these genes was extracted from the watermelon reference genome annotation database. This integrative approach of combining intramodular hub genes consistent with DEGs and comparisons with annotation information was followed by RT-qPCR validation of 23 selected genes for precise identification of key candidates. These genes comprised 15 genes involved in the metabolism of sugar, 6 genes linked to malic acid metabolism, and 2 genes linked to citrate metabolism.

| Groups                          | Total DEGs | Downregulated | Upregulated |
|--------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| SrW-10-DAP_vs_SrW-18-DAP        | 3530       | 2456          | 1074        |
| SrW-10-DAP_vs_SrW-26-DAP        | 6441       | 4389          | 2052        |
| SrW-10-DAP_vs_SrW-34-DAP        | 7173       | 4846          | 2327        |
| SrW-18-DAP_vs_SrW-26-DAP        | 3961       | 2535          | 1426        |
| SrW-18-DAP_vs_SrW-34-DAP        | 4891       | 3208          | 1683        |
| SrW-26-DAP_vs_SrW-34-DAP        | 1877       | 1162          | 715         |
| SwtW-10-DAP_vs_SrW-10-DAP       | 274        | 66            | 208         |
| SwtW-10-DAP_vs_SwWtW-18-DAP     | 3571       | 2476          | 1095        |
| SwtW-10-DAP_vs_SwWtW-26-DAP     | 6438       | 4140          | 2298        |
| SwtW-10-DAP_vs_SwWtW-34-DAP     | 8426       | 5450          | 2976        |
| SwtW-18-DAP_vs_SwWtW-18-DAP     | 377        | 66            | 311         |
| SwtW-18-DAP_vs_SwWtW-26-DAP     | 3762       | 2256          | 1506        |
| SwtW-18-DAP_vs_SwWtW-34-DAP     | 6620       | 4213          | 2407        |
| SwtW-26-DAP_vs_SwWtW-26-DAP     | 639        | 306           | 333         |
| SwtW-26-DAP_vs_SwWtW-34-DAP     | 3379       | 2408          | 971         |
| SwtW-34-DAP_vs_SwWtW-34-DAP     | 2153       | 570           | 1583        |

Fig. 2 a Cluster dendrogram and network heatmap of genes subjected to coexpression module calculation. b Sample dendrogram and module trait heatmap at each developmental stage.
The results obtained from the RT-qPCR and RNA-Seq data were consistent with each other (Supplementary Fig. 4). Finally, 3 genes (Cla97C01G000640, Cla97C05G087120 and Cla97C01G018840) from the yellow module, correlated with glucose ($r^2 = 0.83$), were identified as sugar transporters (SWEET, EDR6 and STP), and 1 gene (Cla97C03G064990) correlated with sucrose ($r^2 = 0.92$) was identified as a sucrose synthase (SS) from the information available for other crops. Similarly, 3 genes (Cla97C07G128420, Cla97C03G068240, and Cla97C01G008870) from the brown module, having a strong correlation with malate ($r^2 = 0.75$) and citrate ($r^2 = 0.85$), were annotated for malate and citrate transporters (ALMT7, CS, and ICDH, respectively). These putative candidates are highlighted in red in the gene networks (Fig. 3c–e). The genes described as key candidates were selected based on (1) intramodular significance (hub genes from modules correlated with sugars and organic acids) and (2) the gene expression profiles. In addition to the 7 genes predicted as key candidates, other hub genes from coexpression modules are listed with their annotations in Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 5, and Supplementary File 1.

**Genes related to soluble sugar metabolism**

Some of the important genes that were identified as associated with sugar metabolism had a considerable impact on the traits measured at various stages of fruit development. Five genes linked to SS and 4 genes associated with SPS genes showed differential expression between SrW and SswW. One of these sucrose synthase genes (Cla97C03G064990) was also present in the blue module, which positively correlated with the sucrose content ($r^2 = 0.92, P = 0.001$). The expression patterns of 2 sucrose phosphate synthase genes (Cla97C06G120770 and Cla97C11G215270) were consistent with the fructose content at 4 developmental stages. Five fructokinase (FK), 4 fructose bisphosphate aldolase (FBA), and 4 fructose 1-6, bisphosphate (FBP) genes were found to be differentially expressed at various stages of watermelon fruit development. The expression patterns of FK (Cla97C05G094630), FBA (Cla97C04G076620) and FBP (Cla97C08G147060) were consistent with the fructose content at 4 developmental stages.
content at different developmental stages. Neutral invertase (Cla97C05G088310) was downregulated. Among the 5-hexose kinase (HK) genes, Cla97C03G061330 and Cla97C05G061320 were upregulated. Of the 12 SWEET genes screened, only WMSWEET1 (Cla97C01G000640) was highly upregulated throughout the development of watermelon fruit. Expression of the sugar transporters EDR6 (Cla97C05G087120) and STP (Cla97C01G018840) showed decreasing trends at early stages, but during the last stages of fruit development, a significant increase in their expression was observed, suggesting their roles in increasing sugar transport and accumulation (Fig. 4).

**Genes linked to the metabolism of organic acids**

Malic acid is the key acid in watermelon and is thought to play a critical role in developing the sour taste and reducing the pH. In total, 8 ALMT genes were found in the transcriptome data, but only ALMT7 (Cla97C07G128420) was consistent with the brown module and had a significant association with malate content. The expression of this gene was higher in SrW than in SwtW. One gene, namely, ‘malate synthase’ (Cla97C03G054690), involved in the synthesis of malate was more highly expressed in SrW than in SwtW. The transcript levels of 2 malate dehydrogenase genes (Cla97C06G125760 and Cla97C05G103110) and 1 PEPC gene (Cla97C11G223540) increased at early developmental stages but remained stable later. One gene for transmembrane malate transport (Cla97C02G049340) was also found to be upregulated. For malate degradation, 2 pyruvate kinase genes (Cla97C04G076530 and Cla97C11G218660) showed a considerable increase in expression. One citrate synthase gene (Cla97C03G068240)

| ID                  | Module | Weight | Annotation                                                                 |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cla97C02G029240     | Green  | 0.44361| Chlorophyll a-b binding protein, chloroplastic                              |
| Cla97C01G009340     | Green  | 0.40516| Transmembrane protein S6-like                                               |
| Cla97C03G061330     | Magenta| 0.28378| Unknown protein                                                              |
| Cla97C05G061320     | Magenta| 0.1703 | WRKY transcription factor 10                                               |
| Cla97C07G143330     | Pink   | 0.24742| Protein RIK                                                                 |
| Cla97C01G005090     | Purple | 0.11057| Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit                     |
| Cla97C08G159290     | Purple | 0.13573| Alanine racemase                                                            |
| Cla97C06G121220     | Purple | 0.21865| Kinase family protein                                                        |
| Cla97C09G176620     | Purple | 0.17084| Forkhead-associated (FHA) domain                                             |
| Cla97C07G130860     | Purple | 0.10818| Zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 2-like                            |
| Cla97C08G152340     | Purple | 0.10057| Kinase family protein                                                        |
| Cla97C03G058250     | Purple | 0.24516| Transmembrane protein, putative                                              |
| Cla97C05G094950     | Purple | 0.13404| Lipase                                                                      |
| Cla97C11G213440     | Purple | 0.1664 | UDP-glycosyltransferase                                                      |
| Cla97C10G191460     | Purple | 0.19075| Receptor-like kinase                                                         |
| Cla97C04G072780     | Purple | 0.15719| Protein kinase-like protein                                                  |
| Cla97C05G105620     | Red    | 0.12895| Ribosome maturation factor rimP                                              |
| Cla97C02G046860     | Turquoise| 0.12046| NEDD8-specific protease 1                                                  |
| Cla97C01G024650     | Black  | 0.13402| Protein TIFY 5A                                                             |
| Cla97C03G064990     | Blue   | 0.44119| Sucrose synthase                                                            |
| Cla97C03G068240     | Brown  | 0.21008| ATP-citrate synthase alpha chain protein 2                                  |
| Cla97C07G128420     | Brown  | 0.31835| Aluminum-activated malate transporter                                        |
| Cla97C01G008870     | Brown  | 0.2061 | Isocitrate dehydrogenase (NADP)                                             |
| Cla97C05G087120     | Yellow | 0.15897| Sugar transporter ERD6-like 1                                               |
| Cla97C01G018840     | Yellow | 0.21624| Sugar transporter, putative (DUF1195)                                        |
| Cla97C01G000640     | Yellow | 0.19395| Bidirectional sugar transporter SWEET                                        |
was found in the brown module, and 1 isocitrate dehydrogenase gene (Cla97C01G008870) was found in the transcriptome data related to citric acid synthesis. The expression of these 2 genes was consistent with the citric acid content in SrW and SwtW at 4 developmental stages (Fig. 4).

Validation of candidate genes in different watermelon accessions

For further validation of candidate genes linked to sugar and organic acid transport, the expression profiles were investigated in 11 watermelon accessions. These 11 genotypes were selected based on the genetic diversity of sugars and organic acids to investigate the patterns of expression in diverse types of watermelon. Among these genotypes, Egusi and Amarus have low TSS and pH values, the edible-seed watermelon has low TSS and high pH values, and the improved lines have high TSS and pH values. The expression profiles of 7 key candidates (genes) identified from coexpression networks were checked via RT-qPCR at 4 developmental stages, i.e., 10, 18, 26, and 34 DAP. The genes linked to acids showed higher expression in accessions with low TSS and pH values. The genes linked to sugar transport were highly expressed in accessions with high pH and TSS values, which is consistent with the low acid contents and high sugar content. Thus, our results confirm that SWEET, STP, ERD6, SS, ALMT7, CS, and ICDH are potential candidate genes linked to sugar and organic acid transport and regulation during watermelon fruit development (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The development and maturation of fruit are composite biological processes that are regulated by various environmental, hormonal, and gene regulation factors. Sugar and acid regulatory pathways are vital metabolic constituents during fruit development and maturation. In this study, by using WGCNA for the first time in watermelon and integrating transcriptome and metabolome data, we identified complex genetic factors underlying variations in sugar and organic acid pathways in watermelon.

Genetic factors underlying metabolic variations at various developmental stages

Our data showed that the fructose and sucrose contents were incredibly low in SwtW and SrW at the initial fruit development stages. Toward maturity, both of these compounds accumulated in large amounts, and a significant difference appeared between SwtW and SrW, especially for the sucrose content, which showed a substantial increase near maturity. Altogether, the fructose content was higher than the sucrose and glucose contents, which is similar to the findings of some earlier studies. Likewise, our data showed that sugar metabolism in fruits mainly depends on developmental processes. The enzymes that regulate these extreme changes in sugar
contents at different developmental stages, i.e., sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS), neutral invertase, fructose bisphosphate aldolase, sucrose synthase (SS), fructokinase (FK), and hexokinase (HK), exhibited varied expression at various developmental stages, which is consistent with the findings of the previous studies. This consistency in developmental stage-dependent variation in genetic factors is also caused by variations in the sugar content itself. Sugar molecules, similar to hormones, act as signal regulators to alter gene expression levels. These signals are induced by the interaction of sugar molecules and sensor proteins.

Organic acids usually exhibit high accumulation during the early developmental stages of fruit and tend to decrease near maturity; a similar trend was observed in this study. This decrease in organic acids at later stages results from the enhancement of sugar synthesis and secondary metabolic pathways towards ripening. In this study, early accumulations of malate and citrate were observed, and as the maturity process continued, the malate and citrate contents decreased; these acids showed similar trends, but their points of degradation occurred early during development. These results were consistent with those of studies conducted on different fruits, including apple, peach, plum, and loquat. Physiological changes during watermelon fruit development lead to substantial changes in fruit size, weight, flesh firmness, TSS, SSC, and acid content, thus affecting the overall quality of watermelon fruit. Therefore, it is vital to identify the key genetic factors, including gene networks and major contributors, controlling variations in these compounds, especially sugars and organic acids.

Gene networks regulating sugar and organic acid metabolism

Complex biochemical pathways such as sugar synthesis and organic acid synthesis are regulated by multigene responses and cannot be explained by individual genes. Genes in these metabolic pathways are often regulated via coordinated expression, and hence, correlation-based models are often applied to identify gene networks. Previous studies have characterized several genes regulating sugars and organic acids in watermelon and other fruits. Some examples are the tonoplast sugar transporter CITST2 in watermelon, tonoplast sugar transporter PpTST1 in peach, and tonoplast sugar transporter CsPH8 and citrus transcription factor CitVHA-c4 in citrus fruits. Similarly, ALMT-family genes have often been reported to be involved in organic acid regulation in fruits and other plant parts. A notable finding in all these reports is that these genes only slightly contribute to the overall variation in sugar and/or organic acid content because these complex metabolic traits are regulated by gene networks. We identified 11 gene modules/networks with WGCNA (a weighted-gene correlation module), among which 3 were highly correlated with sugars and organic acids.
Candidate genes for sugar metabolism in watermelon during the fruit maturation process mainly determine sweetness at the harvesting stage. Sugar molecules have to move across membranes during their transport into the chloroplast\textsuperscript{46}, the vacuoles\textsuperscript{47}, and the Golgi apparatus\textsuperscript{48}. Multiple transporter genes are involved in this movement of sugars across membranes; for example, \textit{SWEET} proteins form a distinct transporter family that consists of 17 members in \textit{Arabidopsis} and 21 in rice. These members can transport sugars and are involved in the loading and storage of sugar molecules\textsuperscript{49,50}. Similarly, proteins from the \textit{MST} subfamily \textit{ERD6} or \textit{ESL1} are possibly involved in energy-independent sugar efflux from the vacuole\textsuperscript{51,52}. In watermelon, however, there is little information available for the gene networks regulating sugar transport, the candidate genes linked to these networks, and their transcriptional regulation. We identified gene networks based on the coexpression of genes at the transcriptional level and their correlations with sugar content variation at various developmental stages. Within these networks, we found 3 genes (Cla97C01G000640, Cla97C05G087120, and Cla97C01G018840) annotated as sugar transporters, namely, \textit{SWEET}, \textit{EDR6}, and \textit{STP}, and 1 gene (Cla97C03G064990) for sucrose synthesis. These genes were identified as hub genes within the modules, and the expression profiles in diverse watermelon accessions were consistent with the sugar content at various developmental stages. Thus, our confidence in these genes being the true variants for sugar transport is extremely high. None of these genes have been reported or studied in the true variants for sugar transport is extremely high. None of these genes have been reported or studied in

\textit{ALMT} genes have also been reported in other crops to regulate organic acid contents, for example, \textit{AtALMT9} and \textit{AtALMT6} in \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} and four \textit{AtALMT9} homologs in grape berries\textsuperscript{53}. Similarly, in apple, genes linked to fruit acidity and/or pH include two \textit{ALMT}-like genes, and \textit{ALMT II} has already been reported\textsuperscript{44,55}. According to these literature data and our findings, it is highly likely that Cla97C07G128420 is a key candidate in the malate pathway’s gene network that contributes to the maximum trait variation. Similarly, Cla97C01G008870 annotated as \textit{ICDH} and Cla97C03G068240 as citrate synthase from the brown module exhibited relative expression complementary to the citric acid content at all 4 developmental stages under investigation (Supplementary Fig. 4). These results suggest that these 3 genes are key players in the TCA cycle and pH regulation in watermelon. Fully characterizing these genes using molecular biology techniques would deepen our understanding of organic acid metabolism in watermelon.

**Potential genes in sugar and organic acid pathways not detected as key candidates**

As mentioned earlier, we predicted key candidate genes according to a) hub genes from modules correlated significantly with fructose, glucose, malic acid, and citric acid and b) the expression profiles of selected genes to narrow down the search. However, several other genes encoding proteins for sugar and organic acid pathways also showed differential expression between diverse watermelon types. For example, Cla97C05G094630, which is associated with fructose kinase protein, showed downregulated expression, which would suggest that fructose is less utilized during the metabolic process\textsuperscript{56}. Likewise, higher accumulation of fructose was observed at the maturity stage in our material, which suggests that Cla97C05G094630 does play a vital role in fructose regulation; however, this gene was not identified as a potential candidate based on intramodule gene significance. Similarly, we found two hexokinase-related genes (Cla97C03G063270 and Cla97C11G208480) showing upregulated expression at maturity. Hexokinases are commonly known to be involved in the glycolysis process; however, these genes were not among the high-confidence genes based on our selected models. The accumulation of sucrose is primarily linked with the activity of sucrose-metabolizing enzymes, including \textit{SPS}, and \textit{sucrose synthase}\textsuperscript{57}. Two \textit{SPS}-related genes (Cla97C11G215270 and Cla97C06G120770) and one sucrose synthase gene (Cla97C03G064990) were found in the blue module, which was positively correlated with sugar content. The relative expression of these genes also complemented the sugar content at various developmental stages, but their intramodule significance was not exceedingly high. Cla97C05G103110, identified in the
brown module and correlated with malate content, is associated with the NAD-MDH enzyme based on available data from other crops. This gene also showed expression consistent with the malate content during various developmental stages in our study. Other important genes, such as *Cla97C03G066480* (malic enzyme), *Cla97C11G223580* (PEPCK), *Cla97C04G076530*, and *Cla97C11G218660* (both annotated for pyruvate kinases), also showed expression trends consistent with changes in relevant traits.

These results indicate that complex metabolic pathways such as those for sugars and organic acids regulate the coordinated expression of gene networks and that certain genes within the networks make key contributions to trait variation. One limitation of our study should also be considered: the statistical power of our analysis was low due to the small sample size. Nevertheless, the expression profiling of key candidates in genetically diverse accessions supported our findings. In future studies, larger and more diverse samples should be investigated to further demonstrate the coexpression patterns of genes/gene networks. Additionally, future studies should focus on how certain changes in the gene networks can affect other pathways or traits, and to do so, high-throughput phenotyping of agronomic and metabolic traits should be incorporated into the analysis.

**Conclusions**

In the present study, we used transcriptome profiles to investigate gene networks (based on coexpression patterns) controlling the expression of sugars and organic acids in watermelon. We identified 3 coexpression modules/gene networks that were highly correlated with variations in the sugar and organic acid contents at various developmental stages. Within these networks, we identified 7 key candidate genes that were weighted as module hub genes, and their quantitative expression was correlated with phenotypic variation. The expression profiles of these 7 genes in genetically diverse watermelon accessions further supported our findings. In total, we identified 4 candidate genes for sugar content and 3 for organic acid content. The multiple and stringent screening steps used in our study increased the probability of and confidence in these genes being true candidates in the networks controlling sugar and organic acid pathways. These genes are newly identified, and no previous reports about their role or functions in watermelon are available.

**Core ideas**

- The integration of metabolic phenotypes and gene expression profiles can reveal gene networks and key candidate genes regulating metabolic variations.
- This study reports 4 new high-confidence candidate genes (*Cla97C01G000640*, *Cla97C05G087120*, *Cla97C01G018840*, and *Cla97C03G064990*) controlling sugar transport and regulation in watermelon.
- This study also reports 3 new high-confidence candidate genes (*Cla97C07G128420*, *Cla97C03G068240*, and *Cla97C01G008870*) for malic acid and citric acid regulation in watermelon.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank all the members of the Polyploid Watermelon Research Group and National Mid-term Gene-bank for Watermelon and Melon, Zhengzhou Fruit Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Zhengzhou, Henan, China).

**Funding:**

This research was supported by the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (CAAS-ASTIP-2016-ZFR) National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFD0100704), the China Agriculture Research System (CARS-25-03), National Natural Science Foundation of China [31671218 & 31471893], and Scientific and Technological Project of Henan Province (202102110197).

**Author contributions**

M.J.U. and W.L. conceived and designed the experiments. M.J.U. performed the experiments. M.J.U. and L.B.S. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. H. G., S.Z., P.Y., Z.H., K.M.O., M.A., L.X., H.E., and G.C. participated in the experiments. M.J.U. and W.L. edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the last version.

**Data availability**

The authors declare that the data supporting the study findings are presented in the article and Supplementary Information files or are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Supplementary Information**

accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-020-00416-8).

Received: 24 April 2020 Revised: 14 July 2020 Accepted: 10 September 2020

Published online: 01 December 2020

**References**

1. Faostat, F. Available online: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data. QC (accessed on January 2018) (2017).
2. Guo, S. et al. Resequencing of 414 cultivated and wild watermelon accessions identifies selection for fruit quality traits. Nat. Genet. 51, 1–8 (2019).
3. Akashi, K. et al. Spatial accumulation pattern of citrulline and other nutrients in immature and mature watermelon fruits. J. Sci. Food Agric. 97, 479–487 (2017).
4. Hayashi, T. et al. L-Citrulline and L-arginine supplementation retards the progression of high-cholesterol-diet-induced atherosclerosis in rabbits. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 102, 13681–13686 (2005).
5. Collins, J. K. et al. Watermelon consumption increases plasma arginine concentrations in adults. Nutrition 23, 261–266 (2007).
6. Saminathan, T. et al. Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analyses of diverse watermelon cultivars reveal the role of fruit associated microbiome in carbohydrate metabolism and ripening of mature fruits. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 4 (2018).
7. Wechter, W. P. et al. Gene expression in developing watermelon fruit. BMC Genomics 9, 275 (2008).
8. Bonsani, J. et al. Carbon metabolite of peach fruit after harvest: changes in enzymes involved in organic acid and sugar level modifications. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 1823–1837 (2009).
9. Zhu, Q. et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis of two contrasting watermelon genotypes during fruit development and ripening. BMC Genomics 18, 3 (2017).

10. Montero, T. M., Mallà, E. M., Esteban, R. M. & López-Andrés, F. J. Quality attributes of strawberry during ripening. Sci. Horticulture 65, 259–290 (1996).

11. Jiang, C.-C., Fang, Z.-Z., Zhou, D.-R., Pan, S.-L. & Ye, X.-F. Changes in secondary metabolites, organic acids and soluble sugars during the development of plum fruit cv. ‘Furogiri’ (Prunus salicina Lindl). J. Sci. Food. Agric. 99, 1010–1019 (2019).

12. Jawad, U. M. et al. Expression pattern of sugars and organic acids regulatory genes during watermelon fruit development. Sci. Horticulture 265, 109102 (2020).

13. Miron, D. & Schaffer, A. A. Sucrose phosphate synthase, sucrose synthase, and invertase activities in developing fruit of Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. and the sucrose accumulating Lycopersicon hirsutum Humb. and Bonpl. Plant Physiology 95, 623–627 (1991).

14. Granot, D., David-Schwartz, R. & Kelly, G. Hexose kinases and their role in sugar-sensing and plant development. Front. Plant Sci. 4, 44 (2013).

15. D’Ambrosio, C. et al. Proteomic analysis of apricot fruit during ripening. J. Nature Plant. 8, 78 (2015).

16. dos Santos, R. S. et al. Genetic regulation and the impact of omics in fruit ripening. Plant Omics 8, 75 (2015).

17. Langfelder, P. & Horvath, S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinf. 9, 559 (2008).

18. Bai, Y., Dougherty, L., Cheng, L., Zhong, G.-Y. & Xu, K. Uncovering co-expression gene network modules regulating fruit acidity in diverse apples. BMC genomics 16, 612 (2015).

19. Zhang, Q. et al. Transcriptional regulatory networks controlling taste and odor quality of apricot (Prunus armeniaca L.) fruit during ripening. BMC genomics 20, 1–15 (2019).

20. Li, H. et al. MdMfB8 is associated with flavonol biosynthesis via the activation of the MdFLS promoter in the fruits of Malus crabapple. Horticulture Research 7, 1–13 (2020).

21. Gao, L. et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals key genes potentially related to soluble sugar and organic acid accumulation in watermelon. PLoS ONE 13, e0190096 (2018).

22. Bantuzi, F., Benta, G., Bassi, D. & Cristofer, G. Simultaneous determination of soluble sugars and organic acids as their trimethylsilyl derivatives in apricot fruits by gas-liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A. 758, 99–107 (1997).

23. Shi, C. Y. et al. Citrus PH5-like H+-ATPase genes: identification and transcript analysis to investigate their possible relationship with citrate accumulation in fruits. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 135 (2015).

24. Wang, N. et al. Transcriptomic analysis of red-fleshed apples reveals the novel role of MdMFR71 in flavonoid and anthocyanin biosynthesis. J. Agric. Food Chem. 68, 7067–7086 (2018).

25. Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31, 166–169 (2015).

26. Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L. & Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods 5, 621 (2008).

27. Andino, G. K., Gribskov, M., Anderson, D. L., Evans, J. D. & Hunter, G. J. Differential gene expression in Varioa jacobsoni mites following a host shift to European honey bees (Apis mellifera). BMC Genomics 17, 926 (2016).

28. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodol.) 57, 289–300 (1995).

29. Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Res. 21, 1025–1032 (2011).

30. Mao, X., Cai, T., Olyarchuk, J. G. & Wei, L. Automated genome annotation and pathway identification using the KEGG Orthology (KO) as a controlled vocabulary. Bioinformatics 21, 3787–3793 (2005).

31. Zhang, B. & Horvath, S. A general framework for weighted gene co-expression network analysis. Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol. 4, 1 (2005).

32. Kariyanna, B. et al. Identification of suitable reference genes for gene expression normalization in qRT-PCR analysis in watermelon. PLoS ONE 9, e90612 (2014).

33. Kong, Q. et al. Identification of suitable reference genes for gene expression normalization in qRT-PCR analysis in watermelon. PLoS ONE 13, e0190096 (2018).

34. Li, M., Feng, F. & Cheng, L. Expression patterns of genes involved in sugar metabolism and accumulation during apple fruit development. PLoS ONE 7, e33055 (2012).

35. Smeekens, S. Sugar-induced signal transduction in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 51, 49–81 (2000).

36. Lombardo, V. A. et al. Metabolic profiling during peach fruit development reveals the metabolic networks that underpin each developmental stage. Plant Physiology 157, 1696–1710 (2011).

37. Farniani, F., Battistelli, A., Moscatelli, S., Cruz-Castro, J. G. & Walker, R. P. The organic acids that are accumulated in the flesh of fruits: occurrence, metabolism and factors affecting their content-a review. Rev. Chapingo Ser. Horticultura 21, 97–128 (2015).

38. Yao, Y.-X. et al. Molecular cloning of three malic acid related genes MdPEPC, MdVHA-A, MdCOX and their expression analysis in apple fruits. Sci. Horticulture 122, 404–408 (2009).

39. Ren, Y. et al. A tonoplast sugar transporter underlies a sugar accumulation QTL in watermelon. Plant Physiology 176, 836–850 (2018).

40. Peng, Q. et al. Functional analysis reveals the regulatory role of PiPT1 encoding tonoplast sugar transporter in sugar accumulation of peach fruit. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 1112 (2020).

41. Li, S. et al. The Citrus transcription factor, CitERF13, regulates citric acid accumulation via a protein-protein interaction with the vacuolar proton pump, CitVHA-c4. Sci. Rep. 6, 20151 (2016).

42. De Angeli, A. et al. The vacuolar channel VvALMT9 mediates malate and tartrate accumulation in berries of Vitis vinifera. Plant Science 238, 283–291 (2013).

43. Etienne, A., Génard, M., Lobet, P., Mbeugoué-A-Mbeugoué, D. & Bugaud, C. What controls fleshy fruit acidity? A review of malate and citrate accumulation in fruit cells. J. Cell. Bio. 64, 1451–1469 (2013).

44. Etienne, C. et al. Candidate genes and QTLs for sugar and organic acid content in peach [Prunus persica (L.) Batsch]. Theor. Appl. Genet. 105, 143–159 (2002).

45. Weber, A. et al. Identification, purification, and molecular cloning of a putative plastidic glutamate translocator. Plant Cell 12, 787–801 (2000).

46. Martinoia, E., Massonneau, A. & Frangne, N. Transport processes of solutes across the vacuolar membrane of higher plants. Plant Cell Physiology 41, 1175–1186 (2000).

47. Wang, H. X. et al. A Golgi-localized hexose transporter is involved in hetero-trimeric G protein-mediated early development in Arabidopsis. Mol. Biol. Cell 17, 9425–9436 (2006).

48. Chen, L.-Q. et al. Sucrose efflux mediated by SWEET proteins as a key step for phloem transport. Science 335, 207–211 (2012).

49. Chardon, F. et al. Leaf fructose content is controlled by the vascular transport SWEET17 in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol. 23, 697–702 (2013).

50. Klemens, P. A. et al. Overexpression of a proton-coupled vacuolar glucose transporter impairs freezing tolerance and seed germination. N. phytologist 202, 188–197 (2014).

51. Poschet, G. et al. A novel Arabidopsis vacuolar glucose exporter is involved in cellular sugar homeostasis and affects the composition of seed storage compounds. Plant Physiology 157, 1664–1676 (2011).

52. Terner, N., Degailloux, C., Sauvage, F.-X., Martinou, E. & Romieu, C. Proton pumps and anion transport in Vitis vinifera: the inorganic pyrophosphatase plays a predominant role in the energization of the tonoplast. Plant Physiology 36, 367–377 (1998).

53. Ma, B. et al. Genes encoding aluminum-activated malate transporter II and their association with fruit acidity in apple. The Plant Genome 8, 1–14 (2015).

54. Bai, Y. et al. A natural mutation-led truncation in one of the two aluminum-activated malate transporter-like genes at the Ma locus is associated with low fruit acidity in apple. Mol. Genet. Genomics 287, 663–678 (2012).

55. Berter, J., Feusi, M. E. S. & Rüedi, P. Sorbitol and sucrose partitioning in the growing apple fruit. J. Plant Physiol. 151, 269–276 (1997).

56. Luckwig, F. & Flügge, U.-I. Role of malate transporters in source-sink carbon allocation. Front. Plant Sci. 4, 231 (2013).