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We construct an explicit diffeomorphism between the Sasaki-Einstein spaces $Y^{p,q}$ and the product space $S^3 \times S^2$ in the cases $q \leq 2$. When $q = 1$ we express the Kähler quotient coordinates as an $SU(2)$ bundle over $S^2$ which we trivialize. When $q = 2$ the quotient coordinates yield a non-trivial $SO(3)$ bundle over $S^2$ with characteristic class $p$, which is rotated to a bundle with characteristic class 1 and re-expressed as $Y^{2,1}$, reducing the problem to the case $q = 1$. When $q > 2$ the fiber is a lens space which is not a Lie group, and this construction fails. We relate the $S^2 \times S^3$ coordinates to those for which the Sasaki-Einstein metric is known. We check that the RR flux on the $S^3$ is normalized in accordance with Gauss’ law and use this normalization to determine the homology classes represented by the calibrated cycles. As a by-product of our discussion we find a diffeomorphism between $T^{p,q}$ and $Y^{p,q}$ spaces, which means that $T^{p,q}$ manifolds are also topologically $S^3 \times S^2$.
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1 Introduction

The field theory duals of several infinite families of supersymmetric string theory compactifications have been discovered over the last few years [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The simplest of these families is the set of type IIB string theory compactifications on $AdS^5 \times Y^{p,q}$ where $Y^{p,q}$ is a 5-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein manifold labeled by two integers $p \geq q \geq 0$. In this paper we will restrict our attention to co-prime $p$ and $q$, and so $Y^{p,q}$ is topologically (homeomorphic to) the product of a 2-sphere and a 3-sphere [1], but a set of coordinates for the two spheres is known only in the case $Y^{1,0}$ [16], which is the base of the conifold.

Such coordinates would be useful for wrapping branes and for constructing orbifolds, but they are difficult to find in general because there is not necessarily a calibrated cycle in the homology class of the 3-sphere, but only in some multiple of this class which is represented by a lens space. Branes wrapping such non-calibrated cycles may lead to interesting effects in the dual gauge theory, where there may be, for example, Douglas-Shenker-like [17] strings or domain walls separating discrete sets of vacua.

In the present note we find explicit global coordinates for the spheres when $q \leq 2$. This is achieved by using Kähler quotient coordinates, which are easily transformed into the coordinates of the spaces $T^{p,q}$ introduced in [18]. These spaces are quotients of $S^3 \times S^3$ by a $U(1)$ which acts on both spheres with weights $p$ and $q$. Quotienting out by the $U(1)$ action on one of the $S^3$’s turns it into an $S^2$, but a $\mathbb{Z}_q$ subgroup is left unfixed which acts on the other $S^3$. 
Quotienting by the remaining $\mathbb{Z}_q$ the other $S^3$ becomes the lens space $L(q; 1)$, which is fibered over the $S^2$ with characteristic class $p$.

When $q = 1$ the group $\mathbb{Z}_q$ is the trivial group and so the lens space fiber is just $S^3$, which is the group manifold $SU(2)$. Thus $Y^{p,1}$ is an $SU(2)$ principle bundle over $S^2$, which is necessarily trivial. We trivialize it. In the case $q = 2$ the lens space is the group manifold $SO(3)$. Thus $Y^{p,2}$ is an $SO(3)$ principle bundle over $S^2$, with characteristic class $p$. We may trivialize it on the northern and southern hemispheres and so the bundle is classified by transition functions from the equator to $SO(3)$, or in other words by elements of $\pi_1(SO(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. There are therefore two $SO(3)$ bundles, the trivial and the non-trivial bundle. As $p$ and $q$ are relatively prime in this note, $p$ is odd, and this implies that our bundle is non-trivial. $p$ is only a topological invariant modulo 2, and so while we cannot trivialize the bundle, we can rotate it so that its characteristic class becomes 1. Then we reinterpret $SO(3)$ as an $S^1$ bundle over a new $S^2$ with Chern class 2, which is fibered over the old $S^2$. Alternately we may consider the $S^1$ to be fibered over the old $S^2$ with characteristic class 1, giving $S^3$, which is then fibered over the new $S^2$ with characteristic class 2. But this is just $Y^{2,1}$, which we trivialize as before.

In the case $q > 2$ this construction fails because the residual $\mathbb{Z}_q$ symmetry is not a normal subgroup of $SU(2)$ and so any change of the characteristic class of the $L(q; 1)$ bundle leads to a $\mathbb{Z}_q$ action which is dependent on the position on the $S^2$ base, which mixes the $S^2$ and lens space coordinates and obstructs a reseparation in terms of a different bundle with the 2-spheres interchanged.

Ideally one would also like to know the metric in the $S^3 \times S^2$ coordinates. We derive a transformation between coordinates in which the metric is known and our trivialized coordinates in terms of the roots of a certain polynomial. Numerically it appears as though the solution is indeed unique and so the metric is well-defined.

As an application, we use this construction to tie up a loose end from \[15\]. It was assumed in this work that the calibrated lens spaces $L(j; 1)$ represent the $j$th element of the third homology group of $Y^{p,q}$:

$$[L(j; 1)] = j \in H_3(Y^{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.1)$$

In fact, the authors found that the known cascade is only reproduced if (1.1) is true. The homology class of the lens space determines the overall normalization integrals of the fluxes over the calibrated cycles, and in fact it was implicit in the expressions for the fluxes in \[5\] that (1.1) holds. Using our trivialization we obtain a 3-sphere representative of the generator of $H_3(Y^{p,q})$. We then explicitly determine the ratio of the normalization of the flux integrated over the generator of the homology, to that of the flux integrated over a calibrated 3-cycle and so confirm (1.1).

When $p$ and $q$ are relatively prime, Wang and Ziller \[19\] have proven\(^4\) that $Y^{p,q}$ is homeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^3$. This proof uses Smale’s classification of simply-connected spin 5-manifolds. Smale found that such 5-manifolds are completely classified by their second homology group with integral coefficients. Using the fact that $Y^{p,q}$ is a circle bundle over $S^2 \times S^2$, the Gysin sequence can be used to find that the second homology group is just the group $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers, and so Smale’s classification identifies $Y^{p,q}$ as $S^2 \times S^3$. Of course, to use Smale’s classification, one needs to first show that $Y^{p,q}$ is simply-connected and spin. In the appendices A and B\(^3\)

\(^3\)In this context this point has been emphasized by A. Brini.

\(^4\)We are grateful to James Sparks and Dario Martelli for bringing this paper to our attention.
we use the long exact sequence for homotopy groups of fibrations to show that $Y^{p,q}$ is indeed simply-connected and we use the Gysin sequence to show that the second homology group is $\mathbb{Z}$.

We begin in Section 2 by finding a homeomorphism between $Y^{p,q}$ and Romans’ spaces $T^{p,q}$ and then finding an explicit homeomorphism between these spaces and $S^3 \times S^2$ when $q \leq 2$. In Section 3 we find the relation between coordinates in which the metric is known and our coordinates, in terms of the roots of a polynomial. Numerically determining these roots one can then obtain the trivialized metric. We calculate the RR flux through a representative of the $S^3$ in Section 4, thus establishing (1.1). Finally in the appendices A and B we discuss the topology of $Y^{p,q}$, obtaining it’s homology and homotopy groups. We find in particular a 1-parameter family of homotopy classes of $S^3 \times S^2$ trivializations, corresponding to large diffeomorphisms of $Y^{p,q}$. We collect some useful $Y^{p,q}$ formulae in C, while in the last appendix we comment on the $Y^{3,2}$ case.

2 The construction

2.1 Notation and conventions

Throughout this paper we will need a convenient parameterization for the three- and the two-spheres. The $S^3$ coordinates will be assembled in $2 \times 2$ special unitary matrices $X \in SU(2)$. In this parameterization the “natural” $\mathbb{R}^4$ coordinates arise through the Pauli matrix decomposition $X = x_0\sigma_0 + i\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j\sigma_j$. Clearly, $\text{det} X = 1$ implies that $x_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j^2 = 1$. As for the $S^2$ there are two possible conventions. One can parametrize the two-sphere by traceless $SU(2)$ matrices (meaning $x_0 = 0$), which are all anti-hermitian. Alternatively, the $S^2$ can be described by the set of $SU(2)$ matrices $S$ subject to the following identification:

$$S \sim Se^{i\lambda\sigma_3},$$

(2.1)

which is nothing but the Hopf projection map.

The former convention was adopted in [16], where the $S^2$ matrix was denoted by $Q$. In this paper, however, we will stick to the latter option. The map between $Q$ and $S$ is given by:

$$Q = iS\sigma_3S^\dagger.$$  

(2.2)

Obviously, given $S$ one can find $Q$, which is by construction in $SU(2)$ and traceless, while starting from $Q$ we can reproduce $S$ exactly up to the $U(1)$ identification (2.1). We will also use the left columns of $X$ and $S$ as the coordinates of the $S^3$ and $S^2$ respectively.

2.2 The $T^{p,q}$ coordinates on $Y^{p,q}$ and the conifold warm-up example

The space $Y^{p,q}$ is a 5d base of a 6d cone, which in turn is a symplectic reduction of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^4$ with weights $\{p,p,-(p-q),-(p+q)\}$. In other words the cone over $Y^{p,q}$ is obtained by first solving a $D$-term equation for the $\mathbb{C}^4$ coordinates $\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\zeta_4$:

$$p|\zeta_1|^2 + p|\zeta_2|^2 - (p-q)|\zeta_3|^2 - (p+q)|\zeta_4|^2 = 0,$$

(2.3)

which enforces that away from the origin at least one of the first two coordinates, and at least one of the last two coordinates, is non-zero; then one quotients by a $U(1)_K$ action with the above weights.
It will prove convenient to instead use another set of $\mathbb{C}^4$ coordinates:

$$\left(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\right) \propto \left(z_1, z_2, \sqrt{1 - \frac{q}{p} z_3}, \sqrt{1 + \frac{q}{p} z_4}\right).$$

(2.4)

Here we have omitted an overall non-vanishing normalization factor. The coordinates $u_i$ and $v_i$ still parametrize the $6d$ cone and not the $5d$ base that we are interested in. Using the $D$-term condition, the $u$ and $v$ two-vectors are non-zero away from the tip and so we may normalize them to one:

$$|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 = |v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 = 1.$$  

(2.5)

While both $u_1$ and $u_2$ transform under the $U(1)_K$ with the same weight $p$, the weights of the $v_i$'s are different. We remedy this by introducing a new two-vector, $w_i$, defined by:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} u_1 - u_2 \\ u_2 \end{array}\right)^T \left(\begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{array}\right),$$

(2.6)

which transforms with weight $q$ and, since the matrix in (2.6) is unitary, it is automatically normalized to length one. Given $w$ and $u$ one may determine $v$ using (2.6) left-multiplied by the inverse of the $u$-matrix. Therefore, for fixed $u$, (2.6) provides a one-to-one map between values of $v$ and $w$. While $(u, v)$ is a pair of symplectic quotient coordinates for $Y_{p,q}$, $(u, w)$ is a pair of 3-spheres with a common $U(1)_K$ action with weights $p$ and $q$ respectively, identifying it as a set of coordinates for the space $T_{p,q}$ of [18], although the metric is not the same.

For later use we will introduce the following matrices:

$$U \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} u_1 - u_2 \\ u_2 \end{array}\right), \quad V \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} v_1 - v_2 \\ v_2 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad W \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} w_1 - w_2 \\ w_2 \end{array}\right),$$

(2.7)

which transform under the $U(1)_K$ as:

$$U \to U e^{ip\lambda \sigma_3}, \quad V \to e^{-iq\lambda \sigma_3} V e^{ip\lambda \sigma_3}, \quad \text{and} \quad W \to W e^{iq\lambda \sigma_3}.$$  

(2.8)

Now (2.6) reduces to

$$W \equiv UV^\dagger.$$  

(2.9)

In summary, we have demonstrated that the spaces $T_{p,q}$ and $Y_{p,q}$ are homeomorphic and the explicit map is given by (2.6). In particular, $T^{1,0}$ has the same topology as $Y^{1,0}$. The former is topologically $S^3 \times S^2$ since $U$ in (2.8) is $U(1)_K$-invariant and therefore parameterizes a three-sphere, while $W$ transforms with weight one and so, like $S$ in (2.1), describes a two-sphere via the Hopf map. As for $Y^{1,0}$, it has precisely the conifold base charges $\{1, 1, -1, -1\}$. Thus we learn that:

$$T^{1,1} \equiv Y^{1,0} \cong T^{1,0} \equiv S^3 \times S^2.$$  

(2.10)

Let us end this section by showing that the conifold trivialization obtained here coincides with the result of [16]. We found that the three-sphere is given by $X = W$, while for the two-sphere we can choose between $S = U$ and $S = V$. In what follows we will prefer the latter option. By definition $X$ satisfies:

$$u = Xv, \quad \text{where} \quad u \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{array}\right).$$  

(2.11)

---

\footnote{We will address the normalization issue in more detail in Section \ref{sec:More-Details}.}
As \( X \in SU(2) \) there is a unique solution for \( X \) in terms of the vectors \( u \) and \( v \):

\[
X = uv^\dagger - \epsilon vv^\dagger = uv^\dagger - (\overline{vv^\dagger})^\dagger + \text{Tr}(\overline{vv^\dagger}) \cdot \sigma_0.
\]  

(2.12)

The conifold is defined by a complex \( 2 \times 2 \) singular matrix \( \Omega \) (or \( W \) in the “standard” notation used in [16]). To properly describe the conifold base \( T_{1,1} \), the matrix \( \Omega \) has to be normalized as \( \text{Tr}(\overline{\Omega} \Omega) = 1 \). Furthermore, in terms of \( u \) and \( v \) we have \( \Omega = uv^\dagger \), which obviously renders \( \Omega \) invariant under the \( U(1)_K \) quotient. Finally, substituting this into (2.12) we arrive at

\[
X = \Omega - \Omega^\dagger + (\text{Tr}\Omega^\dagger) \cdot \sigma_0,
\]  

(2.13)

which is exactly the \( S^3 \)-projection proposed in [16]. The inverse map, of course, is given by \( \Omega = Xvv^\dagger \), where \( v \), in turn, is fixed by \( V = S \).

### 2.3 Trivializing \( Y^{p,1} \)

In this section we will construct a homeomorphism between \( Y^{p,1} \) and \( S^3 \times S^2 \). For \( q = 1 \) the weights of \( U \) and \( W \) in (2.8) are \( p \) and 1 respectively, so we can use \( W \) to parameterize the \( S^2 \), since it transforms exactly like \( S \) in (2.1). Next let us define a weight \( p \) unitary matrix:

\[
\hat{W} = c_W \left( \begin{array}{c} w_1^p \\ w_2^p \\ -\overline{w_1^p} \\ \overline{w_2^p} \end{array} \right),
\]  

(2.14)

where \( c_W \) is a normalization constant \( (\sqrt{|w_1|^{2p} + |w_2|^{2p}})^{-1} \), which normalizes \( \hat{W} \) to have determinant one. The matrix \( \hat{W} \) transforms with weight \( p \), namely \( \hat{W} \to \hat{W}e^{ip\lambda\sigma_3} \). Now the \( S^3 \times S^2 \) parameterization simply reads:

\[
X = U\hat{W}^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad S = W.
\]  

(2.15)

Clearly, \( X \in SU(2) \) and is \( U(1)_K \)-invariant. Thus \( X \) is a good coordinate for \( S^3 \) and \( S/U(1)_K \) is a good coordinate for \( S^2 \). Moreover, the map is invertible. Indeed, given \( X \) and any representative of \( S \) we can compute \( \hat{W} \) using \( W = S \) and then find \( U \) using \( U = X\hat{W} \).

### 2.4 Trivializing \( Y^{p,2} \)

To trivialize \( Y^{p,2} \) we will again use the \((u_1, u_2)\) and the \((w_1, w_2)\) coordinates for \( T^{p,q} \) introduced in (2.6). The former have weight \( p \) and the latter weight \( q \) under the \( U(1)_K \) action (2.8). The trivialization will occur in five steps.

1. First we begin with \( Y^{p,2} \) described as a Kähler quotient. As we have seen, the solutions of the \( D \)-term condition yield an \( S^3 \times S^3 \) whose quotient by \( U(1)_K \) is \( Y^{p,2} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
U(1)_K & \longrightarrow S^3 \times S^3 = \{(u, v)\} \\
\downarrow_{c_1=1} & \\
Y^{p,2} & \end{align*}
\]  

(2.16)
2. Then we use (2.6) to pass to $T^{p,2}$ coordinates $(u, w)$. We still have a quotient of $S^3 \times S^3$ by $U(1)_K$:

$$U(1)_K \longrightarrow S^3 \times S^3 = \{(u, w)\} \quad \text{c_1=1}$$

$$T^{p,2} \downarrow$$

(2.17)

3. We quotient $w$ by the $U(1)_K$ action, leaving an $S^2$. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup of the $U(1)_K$ is not fixed by this gauge choice for $w$. This $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on the $u$ coordinate yielding $SO(3) = S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$. The $SO(3)$ is fibered over the $S^2$ with characteristic class $p = 2k + 1$:

$$\{u\}/\mathbb{Z}_2 = SO(3) \longrightarrow T^{p,2} = \{(u, w)\}/U(1)_K \quad \text{c_1=2k+1}$$

$$S^2 = \{w\}/U(1)_K \downarrow$$

(2.18)

4. The characteristic class $c_1$ of the $SO(3)$ fibration is only a topological invariant modulo 2. Therefore we may change the coordinates so that it decreases from $2k + 1$ to $\pm 1$. Now we have a circle fibered over the $u'$ two-sphere with Chern class 2, which is in turn fibered over the $w$ two-sphere with Chern class 1:

$$\{u'\}/\mathbb{Z}_2 = SO(3) \longrightarrow T^{p,2} = \{(u', w)\}/U(1)_K \quad \text{c_1=\pm1}$$

$$S^2 = \{w\}/U(1)_K \downarrow$$

(2.19)

5. We switch the orders of the two $S^2$'s, so that $S^1$ is fibered over $S^2$ with Chern class 1, yielding an $S^3$, which is fibered over the other $S^2$ with Chern class 2. This is just $Y^{2,1}$, which we may trivialize as in the previous subsection. In practice this switch occurs by introducing a new gauge degree of freedom $U(1)'_K$ and then choosing a gauge for the $u'$ coordinate which entirely fixes the gauge symmetry. Thus in the end the gauge-fixed $u'$ is quotiented to $S^2$ and the new $w$ is gauge-independent, and so parameterizes the $S^3$:

$$\{w'\} = S^3 \longrightarrow Y^{2,1} = \{(w'', w')\}/U(1)'_K \quad \text{c_1=2}$$

$$S^2 = \{w''\}/U(1)'_K \downarrow$$

(2.20)

As the first two steps have been performed in the previous subsections, we will begin with the third step.

The points of $Y^{p,q}$ correspond to orbits of the $U(1)_K$ action. We can obtain $Y^{p,q}$ coordinates by fixing the gauge. Let us denote the phases of $w_1$ and $w_2$ by $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ respectively. One convenient gauge choice is $\psi_1 = 0$, which, in turn, corresponds to $\lambda_N = -\psi_1/q$ in (2.8). This gauge choice, however, is not defined on all of $Y^{p,q}$, because when $w_1 = 0$, $\psi_1$ is not well-defined.

The $(w_1, w_2)$ coordinates alone, quotiented by the $U(1)_K$ action, define the Bloch sphere $S^2$ with north pole $w_2 = 0$ and south pole $w_1 = 0$. We can cover the $S^2$ by two open discs,
the northern patch $S^2_N$ in which $w_1 \neq 0$ and the southern patch $S^2_S$ in which $w_2 \neq 0$. Then the
gauge choice $\psi_1 = 0$ is well defined on $S^2_N$. On $S^2_S$ one may choose the gauge condition $\psi_2 = 0$
or, equivalently, $\lambda_S = -\psi_2/q$. Summarising, on the northern patch $S^2_N$ we fixed the gauge by
setting $\psi_1 = 0$, while on the southern patch $S^2_S$ we have $\psi_2 = 0$. Notice that none of the above
choices fixes the gauge completely. Instead we have a residual discrete transformation that
acts as $(u_1, u_2) \to (\eta_q u_1, \eta_q u_2)$, where $\eta_q$ is the $q$th root of unity. We learn, therefore, that $u_1$
and $u_2$ become the coordinates for the lens space $L(q; 1)$. Therefore $w/U(1)_K$ parameterizes an
$S^2$ and at each point on $S^2$, $u/Z_q$ parameterizes an $L(q; 1)$. A similar argument was used in
\cite{2} to show that for $u_{1,2} = 0$, $v_1 = 0$ and $v_2 = 0$ one finds $L(p; p - 1)$, $L(p + q; 1)$ and $L(p - q; 1)$
respectively.

Gluing the patches $S^2_N$ and $S^2_S$ together one obtains $Y^{p,q}$ described as an $L(q; 1)$ bundle
over $S^2$ with local trivializations $\psi_1 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$. The characteristic class of this bundle is
given by the winding number of the transition function that relates $u$’s in the northern patch
to $u$’s in the southern patch. This transition function is equal to the ratio of the two values
of $u$’s, which is $e^{i\rho(\lambda_N - \lambda_S)} = e^{i\frac{p}{q}(\psi_2 - \psi_1)}$. This is a map from the overlap of the two patches
to a $U(1)_K$ in the structure group of the bundle. As one goes around the overlap once, say
by going once around the $S^2$ equator, $\psi_2 - \psi_1$ increases by one unit. The transition function
then increases in phase by $2\pi q$. An increase by $2\pi q$ takes a point on $L(q; 1)$ to itself, as we have
quoetioned out by $q$th roots of unity. Thus the smallest well-defined transition function,
corresponding to characteristic class equal to one, would increase in phase by $2\pi q$ as one
circumnavigates the equator. The current transition function has a winding number which is
$p$ times higher, and so it corresponds to an $L(q; 1)$ bundle over $S^2$ with characteristic class
equal to $p$.

Now we will restrict our attention to the case $q = 2$ in which:

\[ L(2; 1) = \mathbb{RP}^3 = SO(3). \]  

The parameters $p$ and $q$ are taken to be relatively prime, and so $p$ is odd and we may write:

\[ p = 2k + 1 \]  

for some positive integer $k$. The bundle is principle and so the transition functions are maps
from the equatorial $S^1$ to the structure group $SO(3)$. Therefore the bundles are classified by
a topological invariant with values in $\pi_1(SO(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so the characteristic class is only
invariant modulo 2. This implies that there exists some rotation with winding number $-k$
which will shift the characteristic class by $-2k$ so that it decreases from $2k + 1$ to 1. On the
northern patch this rotation must be well-defined everywhere and in particular at $w_2 = 0$,
but at $w_1 = 0$, which is not part of the patch, it should change the winding number of $u$
with respect to the $S^2$ equatorial coordinate $\psi_2 - \psi_1$ by $\frac{2\pi}{q} \cdot 2k$, so that the transition function shifts
by $2k$ units. Similarly on the southern patch it must be well-defined at $w_1 = 0$ but shift the
winding number at the north pole by $2k$ units.

One such rotation is:

\[ \begin{pmatrix} u_1' \\ w_2' \end{pmatrix} = c_{\overline{w}} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^k \\ -w_2^k \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad c_{\overline{w}} = (|w_1|^{2k} + |w_2|^{2k})^{-1} \]  

or

\[ u_1' = c_{\overline{w}}(w_1^k u_1 + w_2^k w_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{w}_2' = c_{\overline{w}}(-w_2^k u_1 + w_1^k w_2). \]
We will verify first that the rotation is well defined. On the northern patch \((w_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_N = -\psi_1/2)\) the first terms on the right hand side of the two expressions in (2.24) have charge one under \(U(1)_K\) and so are multiplied by the \(e^{-i\psi_1/2}\) factor, while the second terms have instead \(e^{i\psi_1/2}\). The same observations hold on the southern patch with \(\psi_1\) replaced by \(\psi_2\). When \(\psi_{1.2} \rightarrow \psi_{1.2} + 2\pi\) both terms change sign, so the whole expression still defines the same element of \(\mathbb{R}P^3\). This would not have been the case for \(q > 2\) because the two terms in \(u_{1.2}'\) would have changed by different weights \((e^{-i\psi_{1.2}/q} \text{ and } e^{i\psi_{1.2}/q})\), implying that the \(\mathbb{Z}_q\) identification of the \(S^3\) would have depended on the \(w\) coordinate. This is a reflection of the fact that \(\mathbb{Z}_q\) is a normal subgroup of \(SU(2)\) only for \(q \leq 2\).

Let us now show that (2.23, 2.24) indeed shifts the transition functions by \(2k\) units. Unfortunately, the north and south \((u_1', u_2')\) vectors are no longer proportional, and so the transition function in general is quite complicated. However, the dependence greatly simplifies near the poles. To be more specific, \(u_{1.2}' \approx u_{1.2}w_1^2\) near the north pole and \(u_1' \approx \overline{u}_2w_2^3, u_2' \approx -\overline{u}_1w_2^5\) near the south pole. Therefore, one may calculate the transition functions near the poles to evaluate the characteristic class of the bundle. Comparing the ratio \(u_1'/u_2'\) near the north pole for \(\lambda = \lambda_N\) and \(\lambda = \lambda_S\) we find that the transition function is \(e^{i\psi_2 - \psi_1}/2\). Similarly near the south pole we have \(e^{i\psi_1 - \psi_2}/2\). Thus as one encircles the \(S^2\) once, the transition function has winding number 1 with respect to \(\mathbb{R}P^3\) near the north pole, and \(-1\) near the south pole.

Such position-dependent characteristic classes are to be expected, as \(\mathbb{R}P^3\) is \(SO(3)\) and the transition functions of \(SO(3)\) are valued in \(\pi_1(SO(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2\), and so all odd numbers are equivalent. However, if we insist on fixing an integral characteristic class we can. For example, one may consider the southern patch to be just a small neighbourhood of the south pole. Then the transition function may be slightly deformed to be just multiplication by the phase \(e^{i(\psi_1 - \psi_2)/2}\) and so the characteristic class is equal to \(-1\). If instead one made the northern patch small, one would conclude that the characteristic class is 1. Fortunately, our final construction will be globally well-defined and so no such choice will be necessary in the end.

Summarising, the coordinates \(u_{1.2}'\) describe an \(\mathbb{R}P^3\) fibered over the \(S^2\) parameterized by the gauge fixed \(w_{1.2}\). This fibration now has characteristic class \(\pm 1\). \(\mathbb{R}P^3\) is a circle bundle over \(S^2\) with Chern class equal to 2, so \(S^1\) is fibered over an \(S^2\) with Chern class 2 which is all together fibered over another \(S^2\) with Chern class 1. Our goal is to interchange these two Chern classes, because we will then obtain \(Y^{2,1}\), which, as we have showed above, is an \(S^3\) fibered over \(S^2\) with Chern class 2. We know how to trivialize \(Y^{2,1}\) and so then we will be done.

To interchange the two Chern classes we will migrate the circle fiber from the \(u = (u_1, u_2)\) two-sphere to the \(w = (w_1, w_2)\) two-sphere. The \(S^3\) originally was fibered over both, before we fixed the gauge. The circle is fibered over \(u\) and not \(w\) because we fixed the gauge by fixing a phase in \(w\) (more precisely, we fixed the phases of \(w_1\) and \(w_2\) on the northern and the southern patches respectively). Had we instead fixed a phase in \(u\) then the circle would have been fibered over \(w\). Therefore our strategy will be to re-introduce a new \(U(1)_K\) gauge freedom, so that the circle is again fibered over both spheres, yielding the Kähler coordinates for \(Y^{2,1}\), and then we will fix this new gauge freedom by fixing the phase of \(u'\) so that the circle is fibered over \(w\), yielding an \(S^3\) which is fibered over the \(u'\) two-sphere with characteristic class 2.

\(^{6}\text{Recall that } L(1; 1) = S^3.\)
Since our construction necessarily involves gauge fixing the original $U(1)_K$ symmetry, we have to consider separately the northern and the southern patches. We will see, however, that the final result is globally well-defined, so focusing only on one of the two patches is sufficient. We will choose the southern patch, $w_2 \neq 0$. The gauge fixing $\lambda_s = -\psi_2/2$ can be recast in the following form:

$$u_{1,2}^f = u_{1,2}e^{-i(k+\frac{1}{2})\psi_2} = u_{1,2}\left(\frac{w_2}{|w_2|}\right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad w_1^f = w_1e^{-i\psi_2} = w_1\frac{w_2}{|w_2|}, \quad w_2^f = w_2e^{-i\psi_2} = |w_2|. \quad (2.25)$$

Next we substitute these gauge fixed $L(q;1) \times S^2_N$ coordinates $u^f$ and $w^f$ into (2.24):

$$u'_1 = c\bar{w}_1^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
  u_1^{w_1'} & w_2^{k+1/2} \\
  w_2^{k+1/2} & w_2^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
u_2' = c\bar{w}_2^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
  u_2^{w_2'} & w_2^{k+1/2} \\
  w_2^{k+1/2} & w_2^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.26)$$

Now we have to introduce a new gauge $U(1)'_K$. It acts as:

$$u''_1 = u_{1,2}^f e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi_2} = u_{1,2}^{w_1} \left(\frac{w_2}{|w_2|}\right)^{1/2}, \quad w_1'' = w_1^f e^{i\psi_2} = w_1, \quad w_2'' = w_2^f e^{i\psi_2} = w_2. \quad (2.27)$$

Notice that the new gauge has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ambiguity, which cures the same ambiguity in the $U(1)_K$ gauge fixing. This miracle would have failed for $q > 2$. Moreover, $U(1)'_K$ reproduces the original $w$ coordinates. As for $u''_1$ and $u''_2$, we find from (2.26) that:

$$u'_1 = c\bar{w}_1^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
  u_1^{w_1'} & w_2^{k+1/2} \\
  w_2^{k+1/2} & w_2^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
u_2' = c\bar{w}_2^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
  u_2^{w_2'} & w_2^{k+1/2} \\
  w_2^{k+1/2} & w_2^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.28)$$

As advertised, the new coordinates $u'$ and $u''$ have weights 2 and 1 with respect to the new gauge $U(1)'_K$, so we have successfully arrived at a set of $Y^{2,1}$ coordinates. Moreover, the final expressions (2.25) are well-defined also at the north pole, where $w_2 = 0$. Indeed, since $k \geq 1$ the limit $w_2 \to 0$ of (2.25) is absolutely smooth.

Using the results of the previous subsection it is straightforward to find the connection between the $S^3 \times S^2$ coordinates and the original $u$ and $w$ coordinates on $Y^{p,2}$. The result can be presented in a simple form like in the $q = 1$ case, if we will define the following matrix:

$$\tilde{W} = c\bar{w}_1
\begin{pmatrix}
  w_1^k & -w_2^{k+1} \\
  w_2^{k+1} & w_1^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.29)$$

The matrix transforms under $U(1)_K$ as: $\tilde{W} \rightarrow e^{-i\lambda_3\tilde{W}}e^{ip\lambda_3}$. With this definition the $S^2$ matrix $S$ is given by:

$$S = U\tilde{W}^\dagger, \quad (2.30)$$

where $U$ is defined as in (2.7) and transforms as in (2.8). One can easily check that $U(1)_K$ acts on $S$ as in (2.11). Since the $u''$ coordinates now play the rôle of the $S^2$ coordinates $s$, we have to define a new matrix $\tilde{S}$ the same way (2.14) that we defined $\tilde{W}$ in the $(p,q) = (2,1)$ case:

$$\tilde{S} = c\bar{s}_s
\begin{pmatrix}
  s_1^2 & -s_2^2 \\
  s_2^2 & s_1^2
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad c\bar{s}_s = (\sqrt{|s_1|^4 + |s_2|^4})^{-1}. \quad (2.31)$$
Since $\hat{S}$ transforms as $\hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{S} e^{2i\lambda_3}$, the $S^3$ matrix

$$X = \hat{S}W^\dagger$$

(2.32)

is gauge invariant. Thus $S$ and $X$ are global coordinates for $S^2$ and $S^3$ respectively.

To summarise, starting from the $u$ and $w$ coordinates on $Y_{p,q}$, we may find the $S^2$ coordinate $S$ from (2.30). These coordinates can be further used to find the $S^3$ coordinate $X$ using (2.32). The inverse map is given by:

$$W = X^\dagger \hat{S} \quad \text{and} \quad U = S\tilde{W},$$

(2.33)

where, again, the first formula has to be substituted into the second.

3 Trivialized coordinates in terms of metric coordinates

In this section we would like to find a relation between the coordinates of the 5d $Y_{p,q}$ metric and the explicit $S^3 \times S^2$ coordinates identified in the previous section. To achieve this goal we first have to re-write the $\mathbb{C}^4$ coordinates $z_1, z_2, z_3, \text{and} z_4$ in terms of the metric coordinates. We will pursue the following strategy. The cone over $Y_{p,q}$ can be alternatively defined as a set of all possible $U(1)_K$-invariant $z_i$ monomials quotiented by all possible relations among them. There are three families of $2p + 5$ independent monomials in this algebra:

$$a_i = z_1^{j_1} z_2^{(p-q)-j_1} z_3^{j_2} \quad \text{with} \quad j_1 = 0, \ldots p - q$$

$$b_0 = z_1^2 z_3 z_4, \quad b_1 = z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4, \quad b_2 = z_2^2 z_3 z_4$$

$$c_i = z_1^{j_1} z_2^{(p+q)-j_1} z_4^{j_2} \quad \text{with} \quad j_1 = 0, \ldots p + q.$$ 

(3.1)

On the dual gauge theory side these variables correspond to the gauge invariant mesonic operators (see [4] and [14] for a detailed description). From a geometric point of view, these are regular (holomorphic) solutions of the 6d Laplacian equation. Using (3.1) we can, therefore, express $z_i$’s in terms of the metric coordinates. These expressions, of course, will necessarily include a free complex parameter. The absolute value of this parameter has to be fixed by the $D$-term equation (2.3), while the phase corresponds to the $U(1)_K$ gauge freedom.

The 6d cone metric is $ds^2_{(6)} = dr^2 + r^2 ds^2_{(5)}$ and the 5d metric on $Y_{p,q}$ is given by:

$$ds^2_{(5)} = \frac{1 - y}{6} \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) + \frac{dy^2}{w(y)q(y)} + \frac{q(y)}{9} (d\psi - \cos \theta d\phi)^2 + w(y) \left( d\alpha + \frac{a - 2y + y^2}{6(a - y^2)} (d\psi - \cos \theta d\phi) \right)^2$$

(3.2)

with

$$w(y) = \frac{2(a - y^2)}{1 - y} \quad \text{and} \quad q(y) = \frac{a - 3y^2 + 2y^3}{a - y^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Both $\phi$ and $\psi$ are $2\pi$ periodic, while the coordinates $\theta$ and $y$ span the range:

$$0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \quad \text{and} \quad y_1 \leq y \leq y_2, \quad (3.4)$$
where the constants $y_1$ and $y_2$ are the smallest two roots of the numerator of $q(y)$ in (3) and are determined by:

$$y_{1,2} = \frac{1}{4p} \left( 2p \pm 3q - \sqrt{4p^2 - 3q^2} \right).$$

(3.5)

These relations also fix the constant $a$ in (3). In what follows we will denote the biggest root of the numerator by $y_3$. Finally, the period of $\alpha$ according to the literature is $2\pi \ell$, where:

$$\ell \equiv \frac{q}{3q^2 - 2p^2 + p\sqrt{4p^2 - 3q^2}}.$$  

(3.6)

This result first appeared in [1]. It was argued there that the space parameterized by the coordinates $\theta$, $\phi$, $y$ and $\psi$ is topologically $S^2 \times S^2$, while $\alpha$ describes a circle fiber over this base. To avoid singularities the periods of the $U(1)$-connection over the two spheres should satisfy $P_1/P_2 = p/q$, where $p$ and $q$ are two co-prime integers [1]. A straightforward calculation then produces the above result for $\alpha$. We find a similar, but not identical result. The points $\theta = 0, \pi$ correspond to $y_2 = 0$ and $y_1 = 0$ respectively. On the other hand, we know that at $y_{1,2} = 0$ the space reduces to the lens space $L(p; p - 1)$. So we might check directly whether for these values of $\theta$ the periods of $\phi$, $\psi$ and $\alpha$ match those of the lens space. A similar check can be performed for $y = y_{1,2}$. A direct calculation reveals that the angles $\phi$ and $\psi$ are $2\pi$-periodic, but the third angle with this period should be:

$$\tau = \frac{p + q}{2} (\phi + \psi) + \frac{\alpha}{\ell}$$

(3.7)

and not $\alpha/\ell$ alone as was advocated in [1]. Remarkably, our result does not differ from [1] when $p + q$ is even. Unfortunately, we don’t know the origin of this discrepancy. We will come back to this point later in this section.

The 6d Laplace equation $\Box_{(6)} Z = 0$ has three independent solutions [20, 6]:

$$Z_1 = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi}, \quad Z_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta e^{-6 \int \frac{dy}{w(y)q(y)}} + i(6\alpha + \psi), \quad Z_3 = \frac{r^3}{2} \sin \theta e^{-6 \int \frac{ydy}{w(y)q(y)}} + i\psi.$$  

(3.8)

To re-write the 6d metric in terms of these coordinates we should first define one-forms [7]:

$$\eta_1 = d(\ln Z_1), \quad \eta_2 = \eta_1 - \cos \theta \eta_1, \quad \eta_3 = \eta_3 - y \eta_2 - \cos \theta (1 - y) \eta_1.$$  

(3.9)

This enables us to recast the metric in the following neat form:

$$ds^2_{(6)} = dr^2 + r^2 \left( \frac{1 - y}{6} \eta_1 \eta_1 + \frac{w(y)q(y)}{36} \eta_2 \eta_2 + \frac{1}{9} \eta_3 \eta_3 \right).$$  

(3.10)

The variables $Z_i$ are singular. For instance, $Z_1$ diverges when $\theta = \pi$. The regular combinations of $Z_1$, $Z_2$ and $Z_3$ give rise to the aforementioned variables $a_j$, $b_j$ and $c_j$ via the relations:

$$a_j = Z_1 \frac{1}{2(p-q)-j}, \quad b_j = Z_1^{-1} Z_3, \quad b_1 = Z_3, \quad b_2 = Z_1 Z_3, \quad c_j = Z_1 \frac{1}{2(p+q)-j} Z_2 \frac{1}{q} Z_3.$$  

(3.11)

\(^7\)Notice that $Z_2$ and $Z_3$ are defined only up to a multiplicative constant. The forms $\eta_i$ and $\tilde{\eta}_i$, however, are independent of these constants.
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As a consistency check one can easily verify that these variables satisfy exactly the same relations as the variables introduced in (3.11). In doing so various relations between \( y_1, y_2 \) and \( \ell \) might be useful. These relations are collected in Appendix [C]. Using these relations it is also possible to express \( Z_1, Z_2 \) and \( Z_3 \) in terms of \( z_i \)’s. We find that:

\[
Z_1 = \frac{\bar{z}_2}{\bar{z}_1}, \quad Z_2 = \bar{z}_1 z_3 \bar{z}_4 \frac{1}{\bar{z}_1}, \quad Z_3 = \bar{z}_1 z_3 \bar{z}_4.
\] (3.12)

We found the connection between the singular holomorphic coordinates \((Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)\) and the Kähler quotient coordinates \((z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)\). While the former uniquely determine (through the definition of the \( \eta_i \)’s and (3.9)) the 1-forms \( \bar{\eta}_i \) in the metric (3.10), the latter are related to \( u_1, u_2, v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) (through the definitions (2.14)). Since for \( q = 1, 2 \) we have found explicit maps from the space parameterized by \( u_{1,2} \) and \( v_{1,2} \) to \( S^3 \times S^2 \), there is also a direct way to re-write the forms \( \bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\eta}_2 \) and \( \bar{\eta}_3 \) in terms of the \( S^3 \times S^2 \) coordinates arriving eventually at a 5d \( Y^{p,q} \) metric in an explicit \( S^3 \times S^2 \) form. The final result, however, is extremely long and complicated and we will not report it here. The main reason for this is the proper normalization of \( u_1, u_2, v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), which we have not addressed yet.

As we have already mentioned, the expressions for \( z_i \)’s in terms of the metric coordinates \( r, y, \theta, \phi, \psi \) and \( \alpha \) will inevitably include a complex parameter. Its absolute value should be fixed by the \( D \)-term condition and the phase corresponds to the \( U(1)_K \) gauge. Let us denote the absolute value by \( \Lambda \) and the gauge parameter by \( \lambda \). Then the expressions read:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{z}_1 &= \Lambda^p \cdot \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \phi + ip\lambda}, \\
\bar{z}_2 &= \Lambda^p \cdot \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2} \phi + ip\lambda}, \\
\bar{z}_3 &= \Lambda^{-p-q} \cdot r^{-\frac{3y}{y_2-y_1}} (y - y_1) \frac{1}{2} (y_3 - y) \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} (\frac{y_2-y_1}{2}) - i(p-q)\lambda}, \\
\bar{z}_4 &= \Lambda^{-p-q} \cdot r^{-\frac{3y_2}{y_2-y_1}} (y_2 - y) \frac{1}{2} (y_3 - y) \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} (\frac{y_2-y_1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}} - i(p+q)\lambda.
\end{align*}
\] (3.13)

Notice that if we define \( u_1, u_2, v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) as in (2.4) with a unit normalization factor, and then normalize the coordinates as in (2.5), we find that \( \Lambda = 1 \) (from the \( u \)-normalization) and the radial coordinate \( r \) is a complicated function of \( y \) (from the \( v \)-normalization). Although this approach is certainly legitimate, it does not correspond to the \( Y^{p,q} \) 5d metric (3.2), which is defined as an \( r = 1 \) “slice” of the cone. Thus, in order to stick to the \( r = 1 \) choice, we have to set \( r = 1 \) and to modify the original definition: (2.4) to

\[
\begin{align*}
u_1 &\equiv \Lambda^{-p} \bar{z}_1, \quad u_2 \equiv \Lambda^{-p} \bar{z}_2, \quad v_1 \equiv \Lambda^{-p} \sqrt{1 - \frac{q}{p}} \bar{z}_3, \quad v_2 \equiv \Lambda^{-p} \sqrt{1 + \frac{q}{p}} \bar{z}_4,
\end{align*}
\] (3.14)

which looks exactly like (2.4) except for the over-all normalization factor \( \Lambda^{-p} \). Now the \( D \)-term condition (2.3) and the unit-length normalization (2.5) lead to the same equation for \( \Lambda \) in terms of \( y \):

\[
\Lambda(y)^{4p} = \left( 1 - \frac{q}{p} \right) (y - y_1)(y_3 - y)^{\frac{y_1-1}{y_2}} \cdot \Lambda(y)^{2q} + \left( 1 + \frac{q}{p} \right) (y_2 - y)(y_3 - y)^{\frac{y_1-1}{y_2}} \cdot \Lambda(y)^{-2q}.
\] (3.15)

To cast the metric in the explicit \( S^3 \times S^2 \) form we will need an analytic solution of the above equation, which we believe does not exist. We have, however, analyzed the equation
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Figure 1: The plot shows a numerical solution of (3.15) for \( p = 5, \ q = 2 \). We see that \( \Lambda(y) \) is a monotonically decreasing function of \( y \) and so for a fixed \( y \) there is a unique solution of (3.15). One can also directly check that the boundary points \( \Lambda(y_1) \approx 1.0073534 \) and \( \Lambda(y_2) \approx 0.93921856 \) on the graph are indeed solutions of the equation (3.15).

numerically for various \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s verifying that it possesses only a single solution for \( \Lambda = \Lambda(y) \) in the \( y_1 \leq y \leq y_2 \) range, making the whole normalization procedure well-defined. For \( (p, q) = (5, 2) \) the function \( \Lambda(y) \) is presented in Figure 1.

To conclude, we have established a relation between the metric coordinates (3.2) and the \( S^3 \times S^2 \) coordinates of the previous section. The explicit result, unfortunately is very complicated due to the normalization issue.

Let us end this section with a remark regarding the regularity of \( a_j \)'s, \( b_i \)'s and \( c_j \)'s. The phases of these variables are as follows:

\[
\text{Arg}(a_j) = \frac{1}{2}(p - q - 2j)\phi + \frac{1}{2}(p - q)\psi - \frac{\alpha}{\ell} = -\tau + p(\psi + \phi) - j\phi, \\
\text{Arg}(b_0, b_1, b_2) = \psi - \phi, \psi, \psi + \phi, \\
\text{Arg}(c_j) = \frac{1}{2}(p + q - 2j)\phi + \frac{1}{2}(p + q)\psi + \frac{\alpha}{\ell} = \tau - j\phi. \quad (3.16)
\]

Notice that only if \( \phi, \psi \) and the angle \( \tau \) introduced in (3.7) are all \( 2\pi \)-periodic, the phases in (3.16) are well defined. Clearly, this check is equivalent to the calculation explained around (3.7). For instance, for \( \theta = 0 \) only \( a_0 \), \( b_0 \) and \( c_0 \) are non-zero and their algebra \( (a_0c_0 = b_0^p) \) properly describes a cone over the lens space \( L(p; p - 1) \). Analogously, for \( y = y_1 \) only \( c_j \)'s do not vanish and their algebra corresponds to the \( L(p + q; 1) \) cone, while for \( y = y_2 \) the variables \( a_j \)'s reproduce the \( L(p - q; 1) \) algebra.
4 Normalizing the RR flux

Now we are in a position to use the results of the previous two sections to calculate the flux through the three-sphere for $q = 1$ and $q = 2$. The RR 3-form $F_3$ is the real part of the self-dual $(2,1)$ form $G_3$ found in [5]. The RR 2-form potential is given by:

$$A_2 = \frac{K}{3} \left( \frac{1}{1 - y} d\alpha \wedge d\psi + \frac{1}{6} \cos \theta \, d\psi \wedge d\phi - \frac{y \cos \theta}{1 - y} d\alpha \wedge d\phi \right)$$

with $K = \frac{9}{8\pi^2} (p^2 - q^2)$.

(4.1)

In what follows we will compute the integral $\int_{S^3} F_3$ verifying that it yields 1 for the above choice of the constant $K$. The homology class of the calibrated lens space $L(j; 1)$ is equal to $j$ divided by this integral, following an argument in [15].

In this section we will find it convenient to parametrize the coordinates $u$ and $v$ as:

$$(u_1, u_2) = \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi_1}, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi_2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad (v_1, v_2) = \left( \cos \frac{\xi}{2} e^{i\phi_1}, \sin \frac{\xi}{2} e^{i\phi_4} \right).$$

(4.2)

Here $\xi$ is a well-defined, though complicated, function of $y$. To write $\xi(y)$ explicitly one would need an analytic solution of (3.15), which, we guess, does not exist. In what follows, however, it will be enough to know only the range of $\xi$. A simple substitution shows that $\xi = 0$ for $y = y_2$ and $\xi = \pi$ for $y = y_1$. The angles $\phi_i$ are, of course, gauge dependent. A basis of gauge invariant combinations is:

$$\phi = \phi_2 - \phi_1, \quad \psi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3 - \phi_4, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = (p + q)\phi_2 - p\phi_4,$$

(4.3)

where we have used (2.4), (3.7) and (3.13). Again, we see that $\tau$ is $2\pi$-periodic as was asserted in the previous section.

4.1 $q = 1$

To calculate the flux through the three-sphere we first have to fix the two-sphere coordinate. We will choose $s_2 = 0$. With this choice the second equation in (2.15) implies that:

$$u_2 v_1 = v_1 u_2.$$  

(4.4)

With the help of the equations (4.2) and (4.3) we find that the $S^3$ embedding is given by:

$$\xi = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = 0.$$  

(4.5)

Here the first equation yields $y$ as a function of $\theta$. The schematic form of the embedding in the $(y, \theta)$-plane is depicted in Figure 4.1. Using the first equation in (2.15) we can also find the $S^3$ coordinates $x_1$ and $x_2$ in terms of the metric coordinates $\theta$, $\phi$ and $\tau$:

$$(x_1, x_2) = \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i(\tau - \phi)}, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\tau} \right).$$

(4.6)

This provides an additional check that $\tau$ is $2\pi$-periodic.

We are now ready to integrate the flux $F_3$ over $S^3$. As $F_3$ is closed, it may be written almost everywhere as $dA_2$ where $A_2$ is given (4.1). $F_3$ is not exact, so $A_2$ is necessarily singular. This
is similar to the integral of the magnetic field over a surface linking a monopole. The magnetic field strength may be written as the exterior derivative of a vector potential which diverges at certain gauge-dependent points called Dirac strings. As the field strength is exact away from the Dirac string, after an application of Stokes’ theorem this region does not contribute to the integral. In fact, the entire integral of the magnetic field comes from the Dirac string itself. More precisely, one can consider a small loop around the string, integrate the potential around the loop and then take the limit in which the loop shrinks away. Although the gauge potential grows as the loop shrinks, the integral converges. By Stokes’ theorem this limit of the integral of the vector potential around the Dirac string is equal to the integral of the magnetic field on the entire surface. In the present case we will not need to take a limit, because our Dirac strings themselves will already be 2-dimensional. We will thus refer to them as Dirac surfaces.

Here we have two Dirac surfaces, at the endpoints \( y = y_1 \) and \( y = y_2 \). Stokes’ theorem tells us that the integral of \( F_3 \) over the three-sphere is just the sum of the integrals of \( A_2 \) over the two Dirac surfaces. Remarkably, since \( \psi = 0 \), only the last term in (4.1) contributes to the integral. Using (3.7) we obtain:

\[
\int_{S^3} F_3 = \frac{K}{3} \int_{\xi=\theta, \psi=0} \frac{y \cos \theta}{1-y} \, d\alpha \wedge d\phi \bigg|_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi} = -\frac{4\pi^2 \ell}{3} K \left( \frac{y_2}{1-y_2} + \frac{y_1}{1-y_1} \right) = q = 1 \quad (4.7)
\]

as expected. Here we used (3.7), the explicit form of \( K \) in (4.1) as well as the first two relations involving \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) collected in Appendix C.
Here we will again consider the \( q = 2 \) embedding of the three-sphere. From (2.30) we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_2 w_1^k &= \frac{u_2^{k+1}}{|w_2|}, \\
  \text{where} \\n  w_1 &= u_1 \overline{v}_1 + \overline{u}_2 v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 = u_2 \overline{v}_1 - \overline{u}_1 v_2. 
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.8)

In contrast with the \( q = 1 \) case, now there is no simple relation between \( \theta \) and \( \xi(y) \). However, for the flux calculation no such relation is needed. Indeed, as we have argued, since \( F_3 = dA_2 \) is closed, the only non-zero contributions to the integral \( \int_{S^3} F_3 \) come from the surfaces where the form \( A_2 \) is ill-defined. These surfaces are given by \( \theta = 0, \pi \) or \( y = y_{1,2} \), where various cycles corresponding to the angles \( \phi, \psi \) and \( \tau \) collapse.

Thus we need to find all possible intersections of (4.8) with the \( 3d \) surfaces \( u_{1,2} = 0 \) and \( v_{1,2} = 0 \). It appears that the results are slightly different for \( k > 1 \) and \( k = 1 \). We will relegate the \( k = 1 \) case to Appendix D, assuming that \( k > 1 \) in the rest of the section. Substituting (4.2) into (4.8) we find four Dirac surfaces:

1. \( (\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \xi = \pi) \)  
2. \( (\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \xi = 0) \)  
3. \( (\theta = 0, \xi = 0) \)  
4. \( (\theta = \pi, \xi = 0) \).  

(4.9)

As we will see, for each one of the solutions only one periodic coordinate is constrained. Thus (4.9) describes four different \( 2d \) tori inside \( Y^{p,2} \). These tori are the Dirac surfaces and so the integral of \( F_3 \) over the 3-sphere will be the sum of the integrals of \( A_2 \) over the surfaces. The situation is then slightly more complicated than it was in the \( q = 1 \) case, where we had only two surfaces at \( (\theta = 0, y = y_2) \) and \( (\theta = \pi, y = y_1) \). A typical form of the \( s_2 = 0 \) embedding for \( q = 2 \) is depicted on Figure 4.2.

The first solution in (4.9) corresponds to \( |u_1| = |u_2| \) and \( v_1 = 0 \). Therefore the \( w \)'s are just:

\[
\begin{align*}
  w_1 &= \overline{u}_2 v_2, \\
  w_2 &= -\overline{u}_1 v_2. 
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.10)

Substituting this into (4.8) we find that:

\[
(k + 2) \phi_1 + (k + 1) \phi_2 - (2k + 1) \phi_4 = (k + 1 + 2M) \pi \quad \text{for} \quad M \in \mathbb{Z},
\]  

(4.11)

which with the help of (4.3) implies that:

\[
\tau - (k + 2) \phi = (k + 1 + 2M) \pi.
\]  

(4.12)

Similarly, for the second point in (4.9):

\[
|u_1| = |u_2|, \quad v_2 = 0, \quad w_1 = u_1 \overline{v}_1, \quad w_2 = u_2 \overline{v}_1
\]  

(4.13)

and so we have:

\[
(k - 1) \phi_1 + k \phi_2 - (2k + 1) \phi_3 = 2\pi M,
\]  

(4.14)

which by (4.3) implies that:

\[
(k + 2) \phi + (2k + 1) \psi - \tau = 2\pi M.
\]  

(4.15)

Next let us consider the \((\theta = 0, \xi = 0)\) point. It appears that in order to find a corresponding angular coordinate constraint we have to slightly deform the surface. This happens because
the equation (4.8) is singular at the Dirac surface. Clearly, such a deformation cannot change the final result for the flux. We found that the following Ansatz does the job:

\[ u_1 = e^{i\phi_1}, \quad u_2 = e^k e^{i\phi_2}, \quad v_1 = e^{i\phi_3}, \quad v_2 = e \epsilon e^{i\phi_4}, \quad (4.16) \]

where \( \epsilon \) is an infinitesimal deformation parameter. Substituting this into (4.8) and keeping only terms of order \( \epsilon^k \), we arrive at:

\[ 2\phi_1 + \phi_2 + k\phi_3 - (k + 1)\phi_4 = (k + 1 + 2M)\pi, \quad (4.17) \]

so

\[ (k + 2)(\phi + \psi) - \tau = (k + 1 + 2M)\pi. \quad (4.18) \]

Finally, for \( (\theta = \pi, \xi = 0) \) the deformation is:

\[ u_1 = \epsilon^k e^{i\phi_1}, \quad u_2 = e^{i\phi_2}, \quad v_1 = e^{i\phi_3}, \quad v_2 = \epsilon e^{i\phi_4}, \quad (4.19) \]

which leads to:

\[ \phi_1 + (k + 1)\phi_3 - k\phi_4 = 2\pi M, \quad (4.20) \]

and

\[ (k + 2)\phi + (k + 1)\psi - \tau = 2\pi M. \quad (4.21) \]

Remarkably, for each one of the solutions in (4.9) the \( \tau \) angle can be expressed uniquely in terms of \( \psi \) and \( \phi \). This means that for all the Dirac surfaces in (4.9) \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) are well-defined.
2π periodic coordinates. It is now a straightforward exercise to compute the four contributions to the integral. These are:

\[
\frac{2\pi^2 \ell K}{3} \left( \frac{1}{1 - y_1}, \frac{1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{1}{1 - y_2} - \frac{1}{3\ell} \right), \quad \frac{2\pi^2 \ell K}{3} \left( \frac{1 + y_2}{1 - y_2} - \frac{1}{3\ell} \right) \tag{4.22}
\]

respectively. An important question we have to address is the orientation of the contributions. The easiest way to fix the relative orientation is to consider “probe” forms \(d\psi \wedge d\phi, d\tau \wedge d\phi\) and \(d\tau \wedge d\psi\). Although these forms are closed and as such cannot contribute to the integral \(\int_{S^3} F_3\), all of them still have non-vanishing contributions near the Dirac surfaces, that must eventually sum to zero for each form separately. Using this requirement we can fix relative orientations of all possible terms in \(A_2\).

We are finally ready to calculate the flux:

\[
\int_{S^3} F_3 = \frac{2\pi^2 \ell K}{3} \left( \frac{1}{1 - y_1} - \frac{1}{1 - y_2} \right) + \frac{4\pi^2 \ell K}{3} \frac{y_2}{1 - y_2} = -\frac{p}{2} + \frac{p + q}{2} = \frac{q}{2} = 1. \tag{4.23}
\]

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have constructed an explicit homeomorphism between the \(Y^{p,q}\) spaces and the product space \(S^3 \times S^2\) for \(q = 1\) and 2. There are plenty of open questions that deserve further investigation. An immediate direction, of course, is to find trivializations for higher \(q\)'s as well as for the \(L^{a,b,c}\) spaces. We pointed out in the paper that the main obstacle to extending our approach to the cases \(q > 2\) is the fact that \(\mathbb{Z}_q\) will no longer be a normal subgroup of \(SU(2)\).

Before extending the trivialization to yet more infinite families, one may wish to exploit the trivializations that we have already found. One obvious result is that one can use the trivialization to identify \(S^3\) representatives of the third homology generator. A large family of representatives is given, for example, by choosing an element of \(S^2\) for each element of \(S^3\). One can then wrap branes around these cycles, corresponding to baryonic operators in the dual gauge theory. The baryonic charge is given by the homology class, and so these will be operators of charge one. One can then use the metric, at least numerically, to calculate the volumes of these branes which will determine the R-charges of these operators. Remarkably, these baryon operators should be constructed from both chiral and anti-chiral superfields since the cone over the three-sphere is not holomorphic and therefore non-supersymmetric.

One may also use this trivialization to construct orbifolds of \(Y^{p,q}\), as was done for the conifold in \([16]\). The identification of the \(S^3\) also allows one to geometrically construct a deformation of the tip of the cone over \(Y^{p,q}\) in which the singularity is replaced with an \(S^3\) homotopic to that of \(Y^{p,q}\). The deformed 6d space can then be used to construct a 10d supergravity background by analogy with the Klebanov-Strassler solution \([26]\) based on the deformed conifold 6d geometry of \([27]\). While such a deformation cannot be supersymmetric \([20, 21, 22]\), it may nonetheless be interesting to see what it corresponds to in the field theory. The proposed solution will describe a flow from the superconformal theory dual to the \(AdS_5 \times Y^{p,q}\) geometry to some non-supersymmetric gauge theory.
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A Homology from the Gysin sequence

Given the Chern class of a circle bundle and the cohomology of the base $M$ one can determine the cohomology of the total space $E$, or given partial information about all three one can often determine the rest. This is not surprising, as the cohomology of $E$ is completely characterized by that of $M$. The relation between the cohomology groups however is quite simple, they are related by a long exact sequence known as the Gysin sequence.

The Gysin sequence is

\[
\cdots \overset{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow} H^n(E) \overset{\pi_*}{\longrightarrow} H^{n-1}(M) \overset{c\cup}{\longrightarrow} H^{n+1}(M) \overset{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow} H^{n+1}(E) \overset{\pi_*}{\longrightarrow} \cdots
\]  

(A.1)

where $\pi^*$ and $\pi_*$ are the pullback and pushforward of the projection map $\pi : E \to M$ and $c\cup$ is the cup product with the Chern class. This long exact sequence is exact, meaning that the image of each map is the kernel of the next. Using this fact we can compute the homology of $Y^{p,q}$.

$Y^{p,q}$ is a circle bundle over $M = S^2 \times S^2$. The Chern class is an element of

\[
H^2(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2
\]  

(A.2)

and so is a pair of integers, $p$ and $q$. We can find the cohomology groups of a general $Y^{p,q}$ using the Gysin sequence, even when $p$ and $q$ are not relatively prime.

The first non-trivial part of the Gysin sequence is

\[
0 \overset{(p,q)\cup}{\longrightarrow} H^0(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z} \overset{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow} H^0(Y^{p,q}) \overset{\pi_*}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1}(S^2 \times S^2) = 0
\]  

(A.3)

and so the pullback $\pi^*$ is an isomorphism, yielding

\[
H^0(Y^{p,q}) = H^0(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}
\]  

(A.4)

which means that $Y^{p,q}$ is connected.

The next piece is

\[
0 \overset{(p,q)\cup}{\longrightarrow} H^1(S^2 \times S^2) = 0 \overset{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow} H^1(Y^{p,q}) \overset{\pi_*}{\longrightarrow} H^0(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}
\]

\[
\overset{(p,q)\cup}{\longrightarrow} H^2(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \overset{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow} H^2(Y^{p,q}) \overset{\pi_*}{\longrightarrow} H^1(S^2 \times S^2) = 0.
\]  

(A.5)

Again, assuming that $p$ and $q$ are not both equal to zero, we find that $(p,q)\cup$ has no kernel and so

\[
H^1(Y^{p,q}) = 0.
\]  

(A.6)

However the image of $(p,q)\cup$ in $H^2(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ is more complicated. Again it is only a proper sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, but this time it misses an entire free group $\mathbb{Z}$ plus anything which
when multiplied by a constant gives the element \((p, q)\). Such elements form a finite cyclic subgroup whose order is \(\gcd(p, q)\), the greatest common divisor of \(p\) and \(q\). As \(p\) and \(q\) are relatively prime, \(\gcd(p, q) = 1\), and so this cyclic group is trivial. Therefore

\[
H^2(Y^{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}.
\]  

(A.7)

The next useful piece is

\[
0 = H^3(S^2 \times S^2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^3(Y^{p,q}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^2(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{(p,q)\cup} H^4(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}
\]

\[
\xrightarrow{\pi^*} \quad H^4(Y^{p,q}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^3(S^2 \times S^2) = 0.
\]  

(A.8)

The kernel of

\[
(p, q) \cup : H^2(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow H^4(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}
\]

is \(\mathbb{Z}\), which is generated by \((q, -p)/\gcd(q, p)\) and so

\[
H^3(Y^{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}.
\]  

(A.9)

The image of (A.9) on the other hand is not all of \(\mathbb{Z}\), but just the subset consisting of numbers with are sums of multiples of \(p\) by multiples of \(q\), which is the same as the subset of multiples of \(\gcd(p, q) = 1\). This subset is the kernel of the next map, the pullback to \(H^4(Y^{p,q})\), and so the image of that map is

\[
H^4(Y^{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(p, q)} = 0.
\]  

(A.10)

The last useful part of the Gysin sequence is

\[
0 = H^5(S^2 \times S^2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^5(Y^{p,q}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^4(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(p,q)\cup} H^6(S^2 \times S^2) = 0
\]

\[
\xrightarrow{\pi^*} \quad H^6(Y^{p,q}) = H^4(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}
\]  

(A.12)

and so

\[
H^5(Y^{p,q}) = H^4(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}
\]  

(A.13)

establishing that the \(Y^{p,q}\) spaces are orientable.

Now that we have the cohomology of the spaces \(Y^{p,q}\), and we know that they are compact and orientable, we may get the homology from Poincaré duality

\[
H_0(Y^{p,q}) = H_2(Y^{p,q}) = H_5(Y^{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_1(Y^{p,q}) = 0, \quad H_3(Y^{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_4(Y^{p,q}) = 0.
\]  

(A.14)

Substituting the homology of the 3-sphere

\[
H_0(S^3) = H_3(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_1(S^3) = 0, \quad H_2(S^3) = 0
\]  

(A.15)

and the 2-sphere

\[
H_0(S^2) = H_2(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_1(S^2) = 0
\]  

(A.16)

into the Künneth formula

\[
H_p(S^2 \times S^3) = \bigoplus_i H_i(S^2) \otimes H_{p-i}(S^3),
\]

(A.17)

one finds that \(S^2 \times S^3\) has the same homology groups as \(Y^{p,q}\). Note that the Künneth formula has no Tor corrections because the sphere has no torsion homology.
B The homotopy groups

The fundamental group of $Y_{p,q}$ can be calculated again using the fact that it is a circle bundle over $S^2 \times S^2$, and using the long exact sequence of homotopy groups of a fibration. We will calculate it and show that for co-prime $p$ and $q$ it is equal to that of $S^2 \times S^3$. The long exact sequence for homotopy groups of a fibration $S^1 \to Y_{p,q} \to S^2 \times S^2$ is (see Switzer 4.7 for example)

$$
\ldots \to \pi_{n+1}(S^2 \times S^2) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n}(S^1) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{n}(Y_{p,q}) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{n}(S^2 \times S^2) \to \ldots
$$

(B.1)

where $p$ is the projection map $p : Y_{p,q} \to S^2 \times S^2$, $i$ is the inclusion of the fiber into the total space and $\partial$ roughly measures the transition function.

Using the fact that $\pi_2(S^1) = \pi_1(S^2 \times S^2) = 0$ (B.2) we may isolate the part of this sequence between the two vanishing terms

$$
0 \to \pi_2(Y_{p,q}) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_2(S^2 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(Y_{p,q}) \to 0.
$$

(B.3)

The two unknown terms are now completely determined by the fact that the boundary map is just given by the Chern class

$$
\partial(a, b) = pa + qb.
$$

(B.4)

Since $p$ and $q$ are co-prime, the image of the boundary map therefore consists of all integers. This group is therefore the kernel of the map $i_* : \pi_1(S^1) \to \pi_1(Y_{p,q})$ and so

$$
\pi_1(Y_{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(p,q)} = 0
$$

(B.5)

as advertised.

We may also use (B.3) to obtain the second homotopy group of $Y_{p,q}$. The kernel of the boundary map (B.4) is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. It contains all elements of the form $(kq, -kp)$ so it is at least one-dimensional, but if either $p$ or $q$ is non-zero then it does not contain all elements, so it is at most one-dimensional. Therefore the kernel of $\partial$ is $\mathbb{Z}$, which is the image of the injective map $p_* : \pi_2(Y_{p,q}) \to \pi_2(S^2 \times S^2)$. Therefore

$$
\pi_2(Y_{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}.
$$

(B.6)

This agrees with the second homotopy group of the product of the 2-sphere and 3-sphere, as the second homotopy group of the 3-sphere is the trivial group and that of the 2-sphere is $\mathbb{Z}$.

All of the higher homotopy groups $\pi_k(Y_{p,q})$ are easily found because the corresponding homotopy groups of the circle are trivial

$$
0 = \pi_k(S^1) \to \pi_k(Y_{p,q}) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_k(S^2 \times S^2) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{k-1}(S^1) = 0.
$$

(B.7)

The exactness of this sequence implies that the higher homotopy groups of $Y_{p,q}$ are isomorphic to those of $S^2 \times S^2$

$$
\pi_k(Y_{p,q}) = \pi_k(S^2 \times S^2), \quad k > 2.
$$

(B.8)

This can be further simplified using the Künneth formula

$$
\pi_k(M \times N) = \pi_k(M) \oplus \pi_k(N)
$$

(B.9)
so that the homotopy groups of $Y^{p,q}$ can be expressed in terms of those of the 2-sphere

$$\pi_k(Y^{p,q}) = \pi_k(S^2)^2, \quad k > 2. \quad (B.10)$$

For example the third homotopy group is

$$\pi_3(Y^{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}^2. \quad (B.11)$$

If one considers $Y^{p,q}$ to be a bundle over the $S^3$ with $S^2$ fibers, then one can visualise the two generators of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. A generator of the first $\mathbb{Z}$ is just the map to a constant section of the bundle. One may act on such a section by an $SO(3)$ rotation of the $S^2$ at each point on the $S^3$. Such rotations are not necessarily connected to the identity, instead they are classified homotopically by maps from the $S^3$ to $SO(3)$. The space of homotopy classes of such maps is $\mathbb{Z}$. After acting on a section with such a map one obtains a global section which is not continuously connected to the constant section. The difference between such a section and the constant section is the second $\mathbb{Z}$ factor in (B.11).

One may change the coordinates of the $S^2$ so that any global section is the north pole. In this case the global section becomes a constant section in the new coordinates. Such coordinates therefore are not homotopic to the original coordinates. They describe a homotopically distinct trivialization of the $S^2$-bundle. As such global sections are classified by $\mathbb{Z}$, there is a one parameter family of trivializations. The $\pi_3$'s of these trivializations are related by $T$ transforms in the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. These are large diffeomorphisms of $Y^{p,q}$. By fixing a trivialization of $Y^{p,q}$, we have chosen a particular trivialization of the $S^2$ bundle. However we may act on the fibers by such a large diffeomorphism to obtain any of the other trivializations. For certain applications, one trivialization may be more desirable than another, for example a given brane can wrap a constant section in only one trivialization. In particular, it may be that there is a trivialization such that the calibrated cycles are constant sections or at least lie in only the first $\mathbb{Z}$ of $\pi_3(Y^{p,q})$.

C Helpful relations involving $y_1$, $y_2$ and $y_3$

In this appendix we collect all helpful relations between the roots $y_i$

$$\frac{1}{6\ell} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{y_1} \right) = \frac{p + q}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{6\ell} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{y_2} \right) = -\frac{p - q}{2} \quad (C.1)$$

and

$$\frac{1 - y_3}{1 - y_1} = 1 + \frac{3y_1}{y_2 - y_1}, \quad \frac{1 - y_3}{1 - y_2} = 1 - \frac{3y_2}{y_2 - y_1}. \quad (C.2)$$

D The $k = 1$ case

For $k = 1$ the condition (4.8) implies that $(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, y = y_1)$ or $y = y_2$. In the latter case $\theta$ remains unspecified. This is in contrast to the $k > 1$ case, where we found three isolated solutions $\theta = 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\pi$. We therefore cannot represent the integral as a sum of four Dirac
surface contributions. However, there is an infinitesimal deformation of (4.8) that brings the \( k = 1 \) embedding to the form depicted on Figure 4.2

\[
 u_2 w_1 = \left| \frac{u_2}{u_1} \right| \delta \left| \frac{w_2^{\prime}}{w_2} \right|, \tag{D.1}
\]

where \( \delta \) is a small positive parameter. For \( \delta = 0 \) we recover the original condition (4.8) for \( k = 1 \). Obviously, for infinitesimally small \( \delta \) the flux calculation should not be different from the \( \delta = 0 \) result. Moreover, since \( \delta < 1 \) the deformation is well-defined both near \( u_1 = 0 \) and \( u_2 = 0 \). Finally, for \( y = y_2 \) (or \( v_2 = 0 \)) we now have isolated solutions \( \theta = 0, \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( \pi \) exactly as in the \( k > 1 \) case, while for \( y = y_1 \) (or \( v_1 = 0 \)) there is still a single solution near \( \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \). The rest of the calculation proceeds exactly as in the \( k > 1 \) case.
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