Molecular basis for the mechanism of action of an anti-TACE antibody
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ABSTRACT
Inhibitors of tumor necrosis factor-α converting enzyme (TACE) have potential as therapeutics for various diseases. Many small molecule inhibitors, however, exhibit poor specificity profiles because they target the highly conserved catalytic cleft of TACE. We report for the first time the molecular interaction of a highly specific anti-TACE antagonistic antibody (MEDI3622). We characterized the binding of MEDI3622 using mutagenesis, as well as structural modeling and docking approaches. We show that MEDI3622 recognizes a unique surface loop of sIVα-sIVβ β-hairpin on TACE M-domain, but does not interact with the conserved catalytic cleft or its nearby regions. The exquisite specificity of MEDI3622 is mediated by this distinct structural feature on the TACE M-domain. These findings may aid the design of antibody therapies against TACE.

Introduction
TACE (tumor necrosis factor-α converting enzyme, also known as ADAM17) is a membrane-bound metalloprotease responsible for ectodomain shedding of pathologically significant substrates. It was initially identified as a convertase for tumor necrosis factor-α, a immunomodulatory and proinflammatory cytokine. It has also been shown to catalyze the release of various epidermal growth factors (EGF), including amphiregulin, heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor, epiregulin, and epigen, which are key drivers of tumorigenesis. Therefore, TACE is an attractive target for treatments of autoimmune diseases and cancers.

Extensive efforts have been made to develop TACE inhibitors. Many small molecules antagonists against TACE have been reported. They all bind the catalytic site of TACE, which is remarkably conserved across a disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAM), ADAM with thrombospondin type-1 motif (ADAMTS), and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Such structural conservation of the catalytic active site has posed great challenges for the development specific TACE inhibitors. In fact, early trials of TACE small molecule inhibitors have failed because of their off-target toxicities.

Antibodies can overcome this specificity obstacle because they can probe diverse epitopes and recognize subtle structural differences. Exploration of unique features of the TACE ectodomain could aid development of TACE inhibitory antibodies with exquisite specificity. A mature TACE extracellular domain (ECD) contains a globular metalloprotease catalytic domain (also known as M-domain) and disulfide-dependent disintegrin (D-) and cysteine rich (C-) domains. The catalytic M-domain has an oblate ellipsoid shape, it and contains a small catalytic cleft at its flat side. Proteolytic activity of TACE is solely localized in the M-domain. The role of TACE non-catalytic extracellular domains, including D- and C-domains in enzyme-substrate interactions, has not been well understood. Based on studies involving short peptide-based substrates, the non-catalytic domains were shown not to directly interact with peptide substrates, but may regulate catalytic activity and affect substrate binding through steric hindrance. Structural features of TACE ectodomain besides the conserved catalytic cleft may provide unique antagonistic epitopes for TACE-specific therapeutics, thus overcoming the previously mentioned specificity challenges.

A specific TACE inhibitory antibody, MEDI3622 (IgG1, κ), that efficiently inhibited shedding of TACE substrates, such as EGFR-ligands and TNF-α, was recently reported. MEDI3622 was shown to induce tumor regression or stasis in multiple EGFR-dependent tumor models. The antibody was discovered by screening human single-chain Fv (scFv) phage libraries using the entire TACE ECD, including the catalytic M- and non-catalytic domains. However, the manner in which MEDI3622 binds to TACE and achieves such an exquisite specificity and potent inhibitory activity was not determined.

To understand MEDI3622 biological activity at a molecular level, we characterized the epitope and binding mode of MEDI3622. We mapped its epitope using chimeric variants of TACE and ADAM10, which is most closely related to TACE in the ADAM family. We also modeled the 3-dimensional structure of MEDI3622 and performed protein docking guided by the epitope. Furthermore, we validated the model of the MEDI3622/TACE complex by performing alanine mutagenesis for the interface residues. Our studies revealed that MEDI3622...
binds a unique surface loop of TACE M-domain. This work provides the molecular basis for MEDI3622s exquisite specificity and inhibition mechanism, which is distinct from known TACE antagonists. These findings may aid the discovery and design of anti-TACE biologic therapies.

**Results**

**MEDI3622 recognizes TACE M-domain**

To map the epitope of MEDI3622 at a domain level, we constructed 2 chimeric TACE/ADAM10 variants by swapping their M- and non-catalytic domains (Fig. 1A). ADAM10 was chosen because it is the closest homolog to TACE, but not recognized by MEDI3622.14 The chimeric variants were constructed to encode full-length proteins with transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains, and were expressed on the surface of human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293F cells. Protein expression and binding of MEDI3622 to the variants were assessed using flow cytometry. Both variants expressed well as monitored using anti-TACE polyclonal and anti-ADAM10 antibodies (Fig. 1B). MEDI3622 did not recognize the loss-of-function (LoF) variant in which the TACE M-domain was replaced with its ADAM10 counterpart (LoF_M) (Fig. 1B). Moreover, MEDI3622 bound well to the gain-of-function (GoF) variant, in which the TACE M-domain was grafted into ADAM10 (KI_M). We further compared the binding kinetics of MEDI3622 to soluble M-domain and entire TACE ECD using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) ProteOn biosensors. MEDI3622 bound to the M-domain with a $K_D$ of 2.3 ± 0.4 nM, comparable to the $K_D$ of 2.0 ± 0.3 nM to the entire ECD. Therefore, the epitope of MEDI3622 is localized solely to the TACE M-domain.

**Refining the epitope in TACE M-domain**

To define the exact site bound by MEDI3622, a series of truncated TACE LoF variants were constructed to encode only the M-domain without the non-catalytic ECD domains. From N- to C- terminus, 10 short segments covering the entire TACE M-domain were replaced with their ADAM10 counterparts as shown in Fig. 2A. All variants were expressed as soluble proteins with a 6xHis tag and captured on SPR biosensors using an anti-His polyclonal antibody for characterization. Their expression levels were monitored using the anti-TACE polyclonal antibody. All variants were detectable at a level comparable to (variants LoF_D, E, F, G, H, I, J), or 40% lower than (variants LoF_A, B, C), the wild type TACE M-domain (data not shown). To assess MEDI3622 binding to the variants, a solution of MEDI3622 was passed over the sensors and its binding signal normalized by each variant’s expression level. MEDI3622 bound to most variants at a similar (LoF_G, H, I, and J) or reduced (LoF_A, B, C, D, and F) level compared to the wild type TACE (Fig. 2B). Notably, the binding of MEDI3622 was substantially decreased when the segment E (P366-N381) of TACE, corresponding to the sIVa-sIVb region,
was replaced by ADAM10 (LoF_E), which suggests that this region is crucial for the interaction with MEDI3622 (Fig. 2B).

Since LoF variants may show lack of binding to MEDI3622 due to improper folding, we further employed KI variants to confirm our data. To verify the importance of segment E, we constructed a KI variant by grafting it into ADAM10 (KI_E). Additionally, we built a KI variant (KI_A-F) encoding a larger E-containing segment, spanning from segment A to F, to account for LoF variants LoF_A, B, C, D, and F decreased binding to MEDI3622. MEDI3622 recognized both KI variants encoding the segment E of TACE. Interestingly, grafting additional segments of A, B, C, D and F (KI_A-F) did not further increase the binding of MEDI3622 compared to KI_E variant. Thus, these segments do not directly contribute to the interaction with MEDI3622. The reduced binding observed in their LoF variants is likely due to perturbed protein folding and conformation in the E region. In summary, essentially all the binding energy for MEDI3622 resides in TACE segment E, encompassing sIVa-sIVb P366-N381.

**Structure modeling of the MEDI3622/TACE complex**

To gain further insights on the binding mode of MEDI3622, computational modeling was applied to simulate the complex of MEDI3622/TACE. The three-dimensional structure of the variable domains (Fv) of MEDI3622 (amino acid sequences shown in Fig. 3A) was predicted by homology modeling using Discovery Studio. Standard antibody modeling procedures
were applied to predict the framework structure and complementarity-determining region (CDR) conformations of MEDI3622 using the following homology templates: 3UC0 for variable light (VL) (94% identity), 4KFZ for variable heavy (VH) (98% identity), 1HEZ for VL/VH interface (93% identity), 4KMT for CDR1L, 2L and 3L (83%, 100%, and 100% identity, respectively), 3S34 for CDR1H (88% identity), 3R1G for CDR2H (75% identity) and 3EYO for CDR3H (42% identity). Side-chain minimization was performed on all the non-conserved residues to further refine the model. The top-ranked model was selected and validated using Ramachandran plots (98% Ramachandran favored residues). The model structure of MEDI3622 Fv shows that CDR1L, CDR2L, CDR3L, CDR1H and CDR2H adopt canonical conformations of L1-11A, L2-7A, L3-9A, H1-10A and H2-10B, respectively (Fig. 3B). Along with a short CDR3H loop (10 residues), the 6 CDR loops form a relatively flat antigen binding site surface (Fig. 3B).

We then docked the refined model of MEDI3622 Fv to the crystal structure of TACE M-domain (PDB 3E8R) using protein docking tools integrated in Discovery Studio. After examining top ranked poses in large clusters, a final model consistent with epitope mapping results was selected. The model complex structure offers additional information. In particular, it suggested that MEDI3622 mainly interacts with the sIVa-sIVb hairpin, the epitope of MEDI3622, projects from the central b-sheet of the upper large subdomain of M-domain. The model indicated that MEDI3622 "clutches" this "ear-like" sIVa-sIVb hairpin surface loop from the lateral side of M-domain, without interacting with the major globular body of the TACE M-domain (Fig. 4A). MEDI3622 appears to interact primarily with the exterior side of the b-hairpin, with residues Y369-Y370-S371 of the sIVa strand located in the center of the binding interface; the interior side of the b-hairpin, sIVb strand remains peripheral to the interface in the model. Although a detailed binding interface analysis requires a crystal structure, this model complex offered new insights on the binding mode of MEDI3622.

Validation of the model of MEDI3622/TACE complex

We further performed alanine mutations to validate the model of MEDI3622/TACE complex. Based on the model complex structure, 2 stretches of amino acids of the sIVa-sIVb hairpin were mutated to alanines, including Y369-Y370-S371 (sIVa strand) in the center of the binding interface and N377-I378-Y379 (sIVb strand) peripheral to the binding interface. These alanine variants were expressed as soluble proteins and characterized using SPR. Mutation of the interface residues Y369-Y370-S371 abolished the binding of MEDI3622 to TACE to a degree comparable to replacing the entire b-hairpin loop (segment E) of TACE with ADAM10 (LoF_E) (Fig. 4B). On the other hand, MEDI3622 retained its binding upon alanine mutations of residues N377-I378-Y379. These results confirm the accuracy of the docking model of MEDI3622/TACE complex and further identified the critical residues that dominant contribution to the free energy of binding.

Unique inhibition mechanism of MEDI3622

We propose that MEDI3622 inhibits TACE proteolysis through a mechanism that is distinct compared to that of small molecule TACE inhibitors and its natural protein inhibitor TIMP-3 (tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 3). These inhibitors interact with the conserved catalytic cleft located on the surface of the ellipsoid-shaped M-domain. The catalytic cleft contains a catalytic zinc (Zn) ion at its center, coordinated by 4 highly conserved residues (H405, E406, H409, H415) typical of a Zn-binding motif (HEXXHXXGXXHD where X denotes any...
amino acid). In proximity to the catalytic Zn and coordinating histidines, several conservative binding pockets (known as S1, S1', S2, S2', and S3') exist in the catalytic cleft. Small molecule and peptide-based TACE inhibitors bind to these pockets and blocks the recognition of TACE substrates.\(^{7,8,16,17}\) Similarly, the protein inhibitor TIMP-3 occupies the entrance space to the catalytic cleft, through interacting with the catalytic cleft regions, including the sIVa bulge-edge strand, S1' wall-forming segment, and residues near the S1' and S3' pockets.\(^{18}\) In contrast, MEDI3622 only binds to the projecting surface loop of sIVa-sIVb, without interacting with the catalytic cleft or its nearby regions. As seen in Fig. 5A–B, no overlap of the MEDI3622 epitope and the footprints of small molecule-based inhibitors and TIMP-3 is observed when the MEDI3622/M-domain model complex is superimposed with the M-domains bound with small molecule inhibitors (PDB 3LE9\(^{19}\) and 3O64\(^{20}\)) or the N-terminal domain of TIMP-3 (PDB 3CKI\(^{18}\)). Furthermore, we confirmed that MEDI3622 and TIMP-3 bound concurrently to TACE (Fig. 5C). Taken together, our results show that MEDI3622 interacts with TACE differently from small molecule TACE inhibitors and TIMP-3.

**Discussion**

This study revealed a unique inhibitory epitope on TACE M-domain. Small molecule TACE inhibitors and TIMP-3 interact with the conserved catalytic cleft on TACE M-domain. The highly conserved nature of this catalytic cleft poses challenges for the development of specific TACE inhibitors that do not cross-react with other metalloproteinases. MEDI3622, however, binds to the sIVa-sIVb \(\beta\)-hairpin, a projecting surface loop on TACE M-domain that is peripheral to the catalytic cleft. Unlike the conserved catalytic cleft and central body of the M-domain, this surface loop is a unique structural feature for TACE.

Superimposing the M-domain structure of TACE with other ADAMS, ADAMTSs, and MMPs, it becomes obvious that this \(\beta\)-hairpin loop is exclusive to TACE (Fig. 6). The exquisite specificity of MEDI3622 is due to its ability to bind this distinct structural feature.

The binding mode of MEDI3622 also differs from previously reported anti-TACE inhibitory mAbs, D1(A12) and A9.\(^{21,22}\) Tape et al identified the D1(A12) antibody using a “2-step” phage display approach involving first the isolation of an inhibitory antibody that bound TACE non-catalytic domains through V\(_{H}\), then the shuffling of its V\(_{L}\) to introduce simultaneous binding to the M-domain through V\(_{L}\). The binding of D1(A12) thus requires both TACE non-catalytic domains and the catalytic M-domain. The A9 anti-TACE mAb was discovered from the same phage-panning campaign as D1(A12), but possesses mouse and human cross-reactivity compared to D1 (A12). Their epitopes remain to be determined. MEDI3622 was discovered by panning scFv phage libraries using the entire TACE ECD composed of the M-domain and non-catalytic domains. Interestingly, MEDI3622 binds only the small surface loop of sIVa-sIVb \(\beta\)-hairpin on M-domain, regardless of the multidomain topology of TACE.

The inhibitory activity of MEDI3622 is likely mediated by competitive or non-competitive inhibition of protein substrates of TACE via steric hindrance. Although the recognition of natural protein substrates by TACE is not yet well understood, it seems that the cleavage site recognition is less critical in promoting TACE cleavage and substrate specificity compared to the general importance of the active site in mediating protease substrate recognition.\(^{23-25}\) Inspection of substrate cleavage sites for TACE failed to reveal a well-defined consensus sequence, despite tendencies for specific residues to be present at several positions. It is conceivable that specific complementary interactions between TACE and its substrates besides the catalytic...
active site are important. For instance, the surface loops of TACE M-domain may be involved in or regulate substrates recognition and specificity, although they are peripheral to the catalytic cleft. Given the large footprint of an antibody, the binding of MEDI3622 to the surface loop of sIVa-sIVb-hairpin potentially blocks the binding of natural macromolecular substrates via steric hindrance.

In conclusion, we studied TACE, which is a metalloprotease relevant to a variety of diseases, and report here a unique structural feature of the protein. Our results offer new insights that may aid in the design of novel inhibitory anti-TACE antibody therapeutics that exhibit superb specificity.

**Materials and methods**

**Construction and expression of chimeric TACE variants**

DNA encoding full length TACE or ADAM10 (NCBI reference NP_003174.3 and NP_001101.1, respectively), recombinant soluble TACE ECD, and MEDI3622 (MedImmune, human IgG1/κ) were generated at MedImmune. DNA encoding chimeric TACE/ADAM10 variants were assembled using PCR by overlap extension, and cloned into the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells were transiently transfected with DNA constructs using Lipofectamine® LTX reagent with PLUS™ reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfected
cells were harvested for flow cytometry characterization 24 h post-transfection for full-length proteins with transmembrane domains. Supernatants were harvested for SPR characterization 6 d post-transfection for soluble proteins, namely the entire extracellular domain or the catalytic M-domain.

**Flow cytometry binding of MEDI3622 to TACE variants**

About 10^6 CHO cells were transfected and incubated with 1 µg/ml MEDI3622 in 50 µl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1% BSA for 30 min on ice. Cells were washed 3 times with 200 µl ice-cold PBS, and incubated with 1 µg/ml of an anti-human IgG antibody conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (Invitrogen) in 50 µl PBS containing 1% BSA for 30 min on ice. Protein expression was monitored by incubating the cells with 2 µg/ml goat anti-TACE poly antibody (R&D Systems) followed by FITC-conjugated anti-goat IgG antibody (Invitrogen) or PE-conjugated anti-ADAM10 monoclonal antibody (BioLegend) in 50 µl PBS containing 1% BSA for 30 min on ice. Cells were then washed 3 times with 200 µl ice-cold PBS. All samples were analyzed using a LSRII flow cytometer and FlowJo (BD Biosciences).

**SPR characterization of MEDI3622 to TACE variants**

Binding of MEDI3622 to TACE variants was assessed using a ProteOn XPR36 instrument (Bio-Rad). Standard amine coupling was used to immobilize an anti-His polyclonal antibody (MedImmune) in 10 mM sodium acetate (pH 5.0) to the surface of a ProteOn GLC biosensor chip (Bio-Rad) at ~5,000 resonance units (RU) for each channel. TACE variants with a 6×His tag in transfected cell supernatant were captured on the chip surface by the immobilized anti-His polyclonal antibody. Expression levels of the variants were normalized using appropriate dilutions to achieve comparable levels of ligand density. Un-transfected cell supernatant was also injected under the same conditions in a reference channel. Fifty nM MEDI3622 or anti-TACE poly (R&D Systems) in PBS (pH 7.4) with 0.005% (v/v) Tween-20 was then flowed over the captured surface for 150 sec at 90 µL/min with 200 sec dissociation time. The sensor surface was regenerated twice by injecting glycine buffer (10 mM, pH 1.5) at 100 µL/min for 30 sec. Data were processed with the ProteOn Manager 3.0.1 software.

**Competition binding between MEDI3622 and TIMP-3 to TACE**

Competition binding between MEDI3622 and TIMP-3 for binding to TACE was assessed using an Octet QK384 (Fortebio). MEDI3622 and TIMP-3 (MedImmune) were biotinylated through primary amine groups using sulfo-NHS-biotin (Thermo Scientific) following manufacture instructions. Biotinylated MEDI3622 or TIMP-3 at 5 µg/ml in PBS containing 1% BSA was captured on Octet streptavidin-sensors (Fortebio) for 380 sec. Sensors were then incubated with 5 µg/ml TACE-ECD (MedImmune) in PBS containing 1% BSA or buffer for 450 sec, followed with the incubation of TIMP-3 (5 µg/ml) or MEDI3622 in PBS containing 1% BSA for 450 sec.

**MEDI3622 structure modeling and protein docking**

The structure of MEDI3622 variable domains was predicted using Discovery Studio 4.5 (Biovia). Default antibody structure modeling protocols were used to model the frameworks and CDRs. Briefly, a BLAST search was performed against the protein data bank (Berman HM, The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000) to identify framework templates for V_H, V_L, and V_H/V_L interface with the highest sequence homology to MEDI3322. Fifty models were then constructed through homology modeling using these 3 structural templates. The top-scored framework model with the lowest probability density function energy was further selected for modeling of the CDR loops. CDRs were built by homology modeling using CDR templates sharing the highest sequence identity compared with MEDI3622 CDRs. The top-ranked model was then inspected for clashes between atoms, in which case limited minimization was performed for side-chains using CHARMM. The quality of the MEDI3622 model was validated using Ramachandran plots of DS 4.5. Illustrations were prepared using PyMOL (Schrödinger).

**Conclusion**

ZDOCK in DS 4.5 was used to dock the human MEDI3622 model structure to the TACE M-domain. The coordinates of TACE M-domain were prepared for docking using PDB ID number 3E8R and the protein preparation tool in Discovery studio 4.5. CHARMM force field was applied throughout the simulation. Rigid-body docking was performed at a 6° angular step size and clustered for the top 2000 poses. The clusters with the highest density of poses were further refined using RDOCK. Top poses with low RDOCK energies were analyzed for consistency with the experimental epitope mapping results.
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