Microstructures and Nanostructures for Environmental Carbon Nanotubes and Nanoparticulate Soots
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Abstract: This paper examines the microstructures and nanostructures for natural (mined) chrysotile asbestos nanotubes \((\text{Mg}_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5\text{(OH)}_4)\) in comparison with commercial multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), utilizing scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM). Black carbon (BC) and a variety of specific soot particulate (aggregate) microstructures and nanostructures are also examined comparatively by SEM and TEM. A range of MWCNTs collected in the environment (both indoor and outdoor) are also examined and shown to be similar to some commercial MWCNTs but to exhibit a diversity of microstructures and nanostructures, including aggregation with other multiconcentric fullerene nanoparticles. MWCNTs formed in the environment nucleate from special hemispherical graphene “caps” and there is evidence for preferential or energetically favorable chiralities, tube growth, and closing. The multiconcentric graphene tubes (~5 to 50 nm diameter) differentiate themselves from multiconcentric fullerene nanoparticles and especially turbostratic BC and carbonaceous soot nanospherules (~8 to 80 nm diameter) because the latter are composed of curved graphene fragments intermixed or intercalated with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) isomers of varying molecular weights and mass concentrations; depending upon combustion conditions and sources. The functionalizing of these nanostructures and photoxidation and related photothermal phenomena, as these may influence the cytotoxicities of these nanoparticulate aggregates, will also be discussed in the context of nanostructures and nanostructure phenomena, and implications for respiratory health.
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Introduction

Chronic and acute health effects of particulate matter (PM) are well established, especially in occupational environments. Chronic bronchitis, pneumoconiosis, fibrosis and cancers of the respiratory system are associated with long term exposure to inhalable PM such as silica, graphite, asbestos and combustion PM. Acute effects of PM inhalation include hospital admissions associated with asthma, bronchitis, pneumonia, COPD and cardiovascular disease [1-13]. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in particular are associated with PM exposure [4-6]. Among more than 65,000 women followed in the Women’s Health Initiative Study [7] a 10 μg/m³ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with a 24% increased risk for a cardiovascular event, and a 76% increase in the risk of death from cardiovascular disease.

Chronic animal studies have demonstrated the carcinogenicity of quartz (silica) PM. The role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, a characteristic of silica PM, in DNA oxidative damaging effects and carcinogenesis has also been well documented [8-10]. Oxidative effects have also been widely shown in the carcinogenic processes induced by asbestos, where the size and shape of asbestos fibers and their composition contribute to overall toxicity [11-14]. In-vitro and in-vivo inhalation studies have illustrated the greater toxicity of longer, thinner asbestos fibers [15] while iron-containing amphibole asbestos such as crocidolite \((\text{Na}_3\text{Fe}^{2+}_2\text{Fe}^{3+}_3\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{22}(\text{OH},\text{F})_2)\) and amosite ((Fe$^{2+}$, Mg)$_7$Si$_8$O$_{22}$(OH)$_2$) seem to contribute to carcinogenicity through the release of Fe$^{2+}$ ions which catalyze the production of hydroxyl radicals through Fenton chemistry, and consequent induction of oxidative DNA damage [14,16,17]. Earlier
work by Hansen and Mossman [18] demonstrated that nonfibrous PM was less active than fibrous PM such as crocidolite asbestos among others, suggesting that the geometry of PM to be of critical importance in the generation of ROS-especially superoxide—from cells of the respiratory tract. Mesothelial cells, the progenitor cells of the asbestos-induced tumor mesothelioma, are particularly sensitive to the toxic effects of asbestos as demonstrated by in vitro studies on mesothelial cells exposed to asbestos, including chrysotile (serpentine) asbestos (Mg₃Si₂O₅(4OH)) which has been the most commonly used asbestos worldwide. Unlike other asbestos forms, chrysotile consists of very long, aggregated nanotubes having primary (outer) diameters of ~30 mm.

It is now well established that ultrafine or nano-PM pose a much greater health risk than fine and coarse PM (PM larger than ~1 μm in diameter) [19-23]. This includes natural mineral or geologic PM such as nano-silica (SiO₂) and asbestos, as well as anthropogenic PM such as combustion PM or soots composed of aggregated, complex branched, fractal geometries containing hundreds to thousands of primary spherules 15 to 80 nm in diameter [24]. Fullerenes and carbon nanotubes have also been observed to be products of combustion, especially flame combustion [25, 26], and multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) have been shown to be ubiquitous in both indoor and outdoor air, albeit in low concentrations [27,28]. Furthermore, these carbonaceous nano-PM produce ROS and are variously cytotoxic [29]. Soots with adsorbed or mostly intercalated PAHs and PAHs alone also produce ROS [30], and it is unknown whether the range of toxicity and related respiratory health effects of diesel particulate matter (DPM) are a consequence of the PAH content or the turbostratic graphene structure of the primary nano-spherules composing DPM [31]. In recent work of Jung, et al. [32], flame-derived soot nano-PM induced ten times the ROS response in surrogate lung fluid than BC. This is consistent with even more recent work of Garza, et al. [33] where flame derived soot was observed to be more cytotoxic and a larger ROS producer than many other soot PM, including wood, diesel, tire, and candle soot PM.

Chronic inflammation is a recognized potential contributor in the etiology of malignant tumors as phagocytic cells release ROS. This is characteristic of asbestos-related diseases such as pulmonary fibrosis, lung cancer and mesothelioma, and other pleuro-pulmonary disorders; especially in connection with occupational exposures at high concentrations (Manning, et al. [34]). It is these related characteristics which are of concern for long term carbon nanotube exposure especially since anthropogenic and commercial arc-evaporation grown multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) have been shown to be microstructurally identical to chrysotile asbestos nanotubes (Murr and Soto [35]).

While asbestos nanofibers, both serpentine (chrysotile) and a host of amphibole asbestos, are now well documented carcinogens, wood soot and especially residual chimney deposits consisting of aggregated nano(soot)-PM and oily creosote (phenol, cresols and guaiacol) was the first environmental agent recognized as a cause of cancer. Young boys aged 9 to 12, forced to climb and sweep chimneys between about 1500 to 1800, developed cancer of the scrotum and testicles, first, recognized by Percival Potts, an English medical doctor, in 1775 (Hall [36]). Like nanotubes, soots exhibit microstructural similarities as well, although they are considerably different from nanotubes or nanotube materials. While soots and BC appear to be microstructurally similar, they can vary in PAH content and concentration depending upon the combustion conditions. Similar variations can occur for MWCNTs.

In this study, the microstructures and nanostructures of BC and combustion soot PM from a number of sources have been examined by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The PAH contents for the soot PM have been previously determined by Shi, et al. [37]. The microstructure and nanostructure of chrysotile asbestos was also examined by TEM and compared with commercial (surrogate) MWCNTs and examples of anthropogenic MWCNTs collected in the environment. These nanostructural details are compared and discussed in the context of comparative cytotoxicities and ROS production utilizing an immortalized human epithelial lung (carcinoma) model cell line (A549).

Experimental Methodologies

Environmental PM, especially nano-PM including MWCNT aggregates containing multiconcentric fullerenes and soot PM, as well as clusters of complex, branched soot nanospheres or primary nanoparticles, was collected in both the indoor and outdoor air utilizing a thermal precipitator described previously [38]. This relatively simple, portable device collects fine and nano-PM on silicon monoxide/formvar-coated, 3 mm grids which can be directly examined in the TEM. These coated grids were also used to examine chrysotile asbestos particles by placing them between two grids in a sandwich arrangement. In addition, soots from specific combustion sources such as diesel exhausts, burning wood, burning tire pieces, candles, and a natural gas cooking range were also collected by thermal precipitation and examined in the TEM [38]. These specific combustion source soots were also collected by high volume air flow mass collectors on large glass fiber filters as previously described [37], and these mass collections also examined by scraping collected PM onto TEM coated grid sandwiches and examined in the TEM. Finally, indoor PM collected on metal plates in an electrostatic collector were also scraped onto the silicon monoxide/formvar 3mm grids and examined in the TEM. The TEM was a Hitachi H-8000 analytical system fitted with an energy dispersive
(X-ray) spectrometer (EDS) and a goniometer-tilt stage. The TEM was operated at 200kV accelerating potential.

Mass filter-collected soot PM from specific combustion sources noted were also examined directly on filter specimens in a Hitachi S-4800 field emission SEM (FESEM) often utilizing low-voltage (0.8 to 1 kV) secondary electron (SE) imaging which avoided the necessity to coat the PM with conducting, high atomic number metals (such as Au or Ir). This system was also fitted with an analytical EDS attachment.

The cytotoxicity and ROS production for commercial MWCNT aggregate material and BC along with the nano-PM soot sources described above were examined in previous work [29, 33], and these results will serve as illustrations of the potential respiratory health effects. In addition, Shi, et al. [37] have examined the PAH content for most of the carbonaceous nano-PM to be examined and compared in this work. These results will also provide an important context for the nanostructural issues to be described along with the longer term potential for respiratory health effects.

Results and Discussion

Chrysotile Asbestos Microstructure and Nanostructure

Figure 1 shows a composite overview for chrysotile-nanotube asbestos. A small geological, mined sample is shown in Figure 1(a) while Figure 1(b) shows a representation of individual nanotube fibers, small fragments, and a range of fiber bundles or aggregates. Several very long fibers representing the serpent or serpentine morphology are evident with length/diameter aspect ratios >100 and some fragments with aspect ratios <4. Figure 1(c) shows a small aggregate of individual chrysotile nanotubes, many with end caps, while Figure 1(d) illustrates the characteristic selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern showing diffraction spots and diffraction streaks perpendicular to the fiber axes and the concentric, curved crystalline layers illustrated schematically in Figure 1(e). The curved crystal (atomic) layer structure is separated ~7.3Å (0.73 nm) [39]. Individual chrysotile asbestos nanotubes have core or internal tube diameters of around 5 nm, with outer diameters of ~30 nm. There are some dimensional (core and fiber diameter) variances, and individual fibers can be 10 times longer than those shown in Figure 1(b), having aspect ratios >10^3. Mined samples much larger than Figure 1(a) are crushed and the fibrous asbestos spun into continuous yarns or separated into oriented fiber bundles which can be variously processed into composite products such as asbestos-cement pipes, plates, and structural support members among others [40]. Occupational environments, including mining and milling, produce fragments and individual fibers which are easily airborne. Aggregation is often intrinsic as shown in Figure 1(b) and (c) while electrostatic charges often enhance aggregation. Fiber ends are closed or capped as described later, but brittle and easily broken, in spite of very high tensile strengths.

Figure 1: Chrysotile asbestos nanotubes. (a) Small mineral sample form large mine in Quebec, Canada. (b) TEM image of individual nanotubes, broken fragments, and small aggregated bundles [42]. (c) Magnified TEM image of aggregated chrysotile nanotubes [41]. (d) SAED pattern corresponding to the aggregate in (c) showing streaking of diffraction spots. (e) Curved layer schematic tube sections spaced 7.5 Å. (After De Souza Santos [39]).

Nanostructure of Commercially Produced Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes

There are two prominent processes for the production or growth of carbon nanotubes, both single wall and multiwall: carbon arc evaporation and combustion or pyrolytic catalysis. In arc evaporation, carbon nanotubes nucleate and grow homogeneously (or pseudo-homogeneously) from a specific, hemispherical, graphene end cap. To some extent, this might also be considered pseudo-heterogeneous nucleation and growth since a hemispherical carbon nucleus initiates the growth. Lair, et al. [42,43] have illustrated this nucleation and growth process using computer simulations where it was demonstrated that these hemispherical (cap) nuclei can form fullerenes by closing, but it is slightly more energetically favorable to extend beyond the hemispherical nucleus to add benzenoid sections growing into an extended tube. Correspondingly metal catalyst nano-PM promotes classical heterogeneous carbon nanotube growth. Of course the efficiency of tube growth as well as multiwall carbon nanotube growth will depend upon the combustion thermo-kinetics as well as the specific fuel or combustion source.

Figure 2 shows TEM bright-field images of MWCNTs produced by arc evaporation growth (Fig. 2(a)) and metal (nickel) nano-PM catalyst growth (Fig. 2(b)). It is particularly interesting to compare Fig. 2(a) with Fig.
1(b) where the MWCNT microstructure and nanostructures (especially nanotube diameters) are almost undiscernable. However, the catalyst-grown MWCNTs in Fig. 2(b) are microstructurally (or morphologically) distinct although the distribution of nanotube diameters are similar to those in Fig. 2(a): <5 nm to ~25 nm.

**Figure 2:** Arc evaporation produced MWCNTs (a) and metal catalyst produced MWCNTs (b). (b) is after Soto, et al. [29]. The magnification in (b) is shown in (a).

Figure 3 illustrates an aggregate of even larger MWCNTs or a wider distribution of MWCNT diameters (5-50 nm) along with a similar size distribution of multiconcentric fullerene PM, and a corresponding distribution of multiconcentric nano-PM tube sizes (lengths) extending from polyhedral (or faceted) fullerene PM with aspect ratios (tube length to tube diameter) of ~1 (1:1) to 100 (100:1) observed in the TEM.

**Figure 3:** TEM image of MWCNT and multiconcentric fullerenes produced by injecting fine tire powder into an electric arc in helium.

Nanostructure of Anthropogenic and Combustion Generated MWCNT Aggregates

Figure 4 shows two examples of MWCNT and faceted, multiconcentric fullerene PM aggregates collected in the indoor and outdoor environment by thermal precipitation and observation in the TEM. These aggregates, in excess of 2 μm in dimension, can contain >1000 primary (or individual) MWCNTs or multiconcentric fullerene nano-PM. These carbon nano-PM nucleate and grow in flame combustion regimes along with related nano-PM soot aggregates as described generally by Homann [26].

**Figure 4:** TEM bright-field images of MWCNT aggregates collected by thermal precipitation. (a) Variety of MWCNTs and multiconcentric fullerene PM from propane kitchen range. (b) Dense aggregate of short MWCNTs and multiconcentric fullerenes from outdoor, industrial natural gas source.

Figure 5 illustrates fundamental aspects of arc-grown and anthropogenic (combustion generated) carbon nanotube nucleation and growth as described briefly below. Figure 5(a) shows a bright-field TEM image of MWCNT-multiconcentric fullerene aggregates collected from an arc evaporation growth process which appear similar to those shown in fig. 3, as well as the propane (flame)-generated MWCNT aggregates in fig. 4(a). Figure 5(b) shows a simple reaction schematic for methane (flame) combustion generated carbon nanotube or fullerene nucleation and growth, while Fig. 5(c) shows several examples of nucleating, hemispherical carbon (graphene) caps generated by computer simulation in the work of Lair, et al. [42, 43]. These hemispherical caps obey Euler’s law which requires 6 pentagons per hemisphere, where in the case of a C60 hemisphere (half
the C$_{60}$ polyhedron) there are also 10 hexagons. The C$_{60}$ polyhedron is a truncated icosahedron emulating a soccer ball. Note that the (10,0) caps in fig. 5(c) contain 6 pentagons and 10 hexagons while the (9,0) and (18,0) caps contain an odd number of hexagons: 9 and 31 respectively, and 6 required pentagons. Figure 5(d) illustrates the graphene layer (2-dimensional lattice) convention for cap and tube types. In this hexagonal carbon structure lattice, tubes are described by a chiral angle, $\theta$; $0 < \theta < 30^\circ$. $\theta = 0$ is described by (n, m); n $\neq$ 0, m = 0 referred to as type 1 or zig-zag tubes (noted by 1 in fig. 5(d)) while n = m describes tubes where $\theta = 30^\circ$, referred to as type 2 or arm chair tubes (noted by 3 and 4 in fig. 5(d)). Chiral tubes ($0 < \theta < 30^\circ$) have the tube axis perpendicular to the chiral vector, C, in fig. 5(d), defined by: C = na$_1$ + ma$_2$, where |a$_1$| = |a$_2$| = |a| = 2.46 Å; the distance between carbon atoms along the dotted $\theta = 0^\circ$ line in fig. 5(d). In effect, tubes can be envisioned by rolling up the graphene sheet from (0, 0) to (n, m) along C so that the tube axis (large, bold arrows in fig. 5(d)) is perpendicular to C. Consequently, C rolled up and extending from (0, 0) to (n, m) represents the scalar tube circumference, |C| = $\pi d_{(a,m)}$.

d$_{(a,m)}$ = |C| / $\pi$ = 2.46 Å ($n^2 + nm + m^2$)$^{1/2}$/$\pi$.

This also characterizes the cap diameters illustrated in the examples shown in fig. 5(c).

Figure 5: Nucleation growth and speciation of carbon nanotubes. (a) TEM image of aggregated MWCNTs and multiconcentric fullerenes collected above a natural gas kitchen burner by thermal precipitation [38]. (b) Carbon nanotube or fullerene PM formation by methane combustion from hemispherical end caps. (c) Shows a few examples of end cap nuclei from Lair, et al. [42, 43]). (d) Shows single wall CNT conversions. 1 denotes zig-zag, 2 is chiral (0 < $\theta$ < 30º), 3 and 4 are armchair types. Large arrows are tube axes normal to C. (After Murr and Soto [46]).

Figure 6 shows some simple “chicken wire” models for the categories or types of carbon nanotubes illustrated in fig. 5(d) (fig. 6(a) – (c)) along with conceptual models for chiral tubes growing over zig-zag and arm chair tubes; double wall carbon nanotubes, respectively in fig. 6(d) and (e), respectively.

Figure 6: Simple wire models for carbon nanotube types. (a) Zig-zag tube; $\theta = 0^\circ$. (b) Chiral tube; $\theta = 13^\circ$. (c) Armchair tube; $\theta = 30^\circ$. (d) Chiral tube growing over zig-zag tube. (e) Chiral tube growing over armchair tube.

Figure 7 shows the details of double-wall carbon nanotube nucleation and growth based upon recent computer simulations and ab-initio computations by Lair, et al. [44]. In fig. 7(a) and (b), double caps characteristic of armchair tube growth (n = m) or arm chair over arm chair (A/A) shown in the simulation in fig. 7(b) illustrate the energetically favorable double-wall carbon nanotube nucleation and growth. Similarly, fig. 7(c) shows an exaggeration of double-wall chiral tube growth based upon heat of fusion and atom energy computations [44] where the outer tube is observed to grow slightly ahead of the inner (primary) tube; predicted earlier by Guo, et al. [45]. As these tubes grow, the computed energy per carbon atom decreases. The system energy is further reduced when the growing tube (or tubes) closes by a corresponding cap formation at the growing end [44]. In addition, the inner-growing carbon nanotube will cap first usually followed by the outer tube, although in some combustion, thermo-kinetic environments, the outer tube can cap a little later, forming various internal cap spacings for MWCNTs. The normal concentric cap and tube spacings are very close to the graphite half-cell spacing of 3.4Å (0.34 nm) shown schematically in fig. 7(d). Figure 7(d) also illustrates the graphite layer or hexagonal graphene carbon atom spacings referred to the graphite.
unit cell (shaded). Graphite crystal planes (001) and (002) are noted as well in fig. 7(d). While specific simulations and supporting computational data is not available for MWCNTs, it is assumed that computational implications for double-wall carbon nanotubes [44] can be effectively extrapolated to MWCNT nucleation and growth.

![Figure 7: Carbon nanotube growth simulations and schematics.](image)

It can be noted in fig. 2(a) that in arc evaporation and related combustion or pyrolytic regimes, including fuel variations, graphitic crystal fragments and amorphous (or turbostratic) soot PM can form [26]. These turbostratic PM are composed ideally of mis-registered and irregular, curved graphene fragments which contain 1 or more pentagonal elements. These irregular, concentric layer components form primary soot nano-PM, while more regular concentric arrangements form multi-concentric fullerenes which are often delineated by regular, polyhedral forms containing facets. These features are illustrated in the TEM images for black carbon (BC) in fig. 8(a) and a propane-generated MWCNT/multiconcentric fullerene PM aggregate shown for comparison in fig. 8(b). Figure 8(c) shows the diffuse, graphitic SAED pattern for the amorphous, turbostratic soot aggregate in fig. 8(a) while fig. 8(d) and (e) illustrate sharper, less diffuse SAED patterns for the more regular, polyhedral or graphene-layer, nano-PM in fig. 8(b). Correspondingly, fig. 8(f) and (g) compare the tube closure caps for chrysotile asbestos nanotubes and MWCNTs, respectively.

![Figure 8: TEM images of a nano-soot PM aggregate (a) and a propane-combustion-generated MWCNT aggregate (b). (c) shows the SAED pattern for (a) white (d) and (e) represent (b) at different exposures. (f) shows a phase-contrast TEM image for chrysotile asbestos nanotubes. (g) shows a (reverse) phase contrast TEM image for natural gas-produced MWCNTs. The arrow illustrates the outer contrast fringe (black for chrysotile in (f) and white for MWCNTs in (g)). This occurs by imaging above or below the focal plane. Note the internal tube contrast also reverses. From Murr, et al. [47].](image)

![Figure 9: TEM images for MWCNT aggregates containing faceted, polyhedral structure. (a) Natural gas (flame) produced. SAED pattern show graphitic reflections noted while the high resolution image insert shows 13 concentric nanotube layers. (b) Propane truck exhaust MWCNT, multiconcentric fullerene aggregate collected by thermal precipitation.](image)
Careful examination of the end caps reveals their faceted nanostructure rather than geometrical hemisphere forms, although hemispherical end forms are also observed for MWCNTs, especially small diameter MWCNTs ($d_{nm} \leq 10$ nm). These features are illustrated on comparing a natural gas-produced MWCNT aggregate in fig. 9(a) and a small aggregate of MWCNTs and multiconcentric fullerene PM from a propane truck exhaust in fig. 9(b). Figure 9(a) also shows the indexed graphite SAED pattern insert for the aggregate while the concentric nanotube structure is shown in the high-resolution TEM image insert.

Figure 10 compares a number of MWCNT aggregates collected from a variety of flame combustion sources noted, which illustrate a number of the nanostructure phenomena described, including tube closure issues, faceting, and the creation of regular and irregular polyhedral PM.

Figure 10: TEM image comparison for MWCNT/multiconcentric fullerene PM aggregates collected by thermal precipitation. (a) Pure methane air flame product. (b) Natural gas (96% methane)-air flame product (c) and (d) show propane-air flame products.

Figure 11: Nanostructural faceting of multiconcentric carbon nanoforms. (a) Schematic rendering of 5-layer carbon fullerene or carbon onion (After [48]). (b) Magnified view of carbon nanoform in fig. 10(d) for comparison with (a). (c) Magnified view of MWCNT end cap facets in fig. 9(a).

Figure 12 shows two additional examples for regular, faceted multiconcentric fullerene nano-PM aggregated with MWCNTs. Figure 12(a) in particular, and in contrast with other fuel gas combustion-produced aggregates, illustrates a propensity for multiconcentric fullerene nanoforms in contrast to MWCNTs.

Figure 12: Propane flame-derived MWCNT aggregates collected by thermal precipitation and observed in the TEM. (a) Predominantly multiconcentric fullerene nano-PM. (b) Mixture of MWCNTs and multiconcentric, faceted fullerene polyhedra. (From Murr, et al. [49]).
As noted and as illustrated in figs. 2 and 3 and figs. 8-12, MWCNTs have many nanoforms and microstructures, including a range of internal tube diameters, outer tube diameters, tube ends, and end cap nanostructures. Figure 13 shows some additional examples. Figure 13(a) shows examples of MWCNTs collected outdoors by thermal precipitation near a natural gas-burning electric generating station. These nanotubes exhibit rounded or hemispherical end caps. Figure 13(b) shows MWCNTs observed in wood soot collected by thermal precipitation and observed in the TEM [50].

Figure 13: TEM images for environmental MWCNTs. (a) MWCNTs collected near a natural gas electric power generation plant. (b) MWCNTs and aggregated graphitic PM in wood soot. (From Murr and Guerrero [50]).

Aggregated MWCNTs and especially aggregated mixtures of MWCNTs, fullerenic nano-PM, and nano silica (SiO$_2$) grains are ubiquitous in the outdoor air in many locations and even in (quartz) (101) reflections (arrow) shows a preponderance of SiOnano-pm (~5 nm diameter) antiquity [51]. Figure 14 illustrates an example of these complex aggregates collected by thermal precipitation in the El Paso, Texas (USA) air and observed in the analytical TEM. The dark-field image in fig. 13(b) utilizing the objective aperture over the superimposed graphite (100) and SiO$_2$.

Figure 14: Complex aggregate composed of silica (SiO$_2$) nano-PM and relatively short MWCNTs and other multiconcentric fullerenes observed in the analytical TEM. (a) Bright-field image. (b) Aperture dark-field image of (a) using the diffraction region marked by arrow in SAED pattern insert in (d). (c) Shows the corresponding EDS spectrum absent the oxygen signal. (From Murr, et al [47]).

Figure 15: TEM image of MWCNT bundle collected indoors on an electrostatic plate.

Microstructure and Nanostructure for Combustion Soots and Black Carbon

Figure 16 illustrates some typical views of both indoor and outdoor soots: candle soot (CPM) (fig. 16(a)), wood soot (WPM) (fig. 16(b)), diesel soot (DPM) (fig. 16(c)), and tire soot or burning tire soot (TPM) (fig. 16(d)). These soot PM, although imaged somewhat differently, are not notably distinguishable either microstructurally (morphologically) or nanostructurally (primary spherules composing the branched, fractal-like
aggregates are essentially the same and within the same size range (~20 to 60 nm diameter), although depending upon specific combustion regimes and fuel sources, this range can extend from 10 nm to 80 nm. When collected by high volume air flow on fibrous filters, these large fractal-like PM can be compressed or further aggregated, creating some morphological distortion of the overall PM.

**Figure 16:** FESEM and TEM images of speciated soot PM. (a) FESEM view of candle soot (CPM). (b) Wood soot (WPM) observed in FESEM. (c) Diesel soot (DPM) observed in FESEM. (d) TEM image of tire soot (TPM). (a) to (c) PM collected on glass fiber filters. PM in (d) collected by thermal precipitation. (From Murr, et al [47]).

These PM can have fractal dimensions, $D_f$, ranging from about 1.5 to 2.5 [52-55] which provides a scaling parameter relating the total number of primary (spherule) particles, $N_p$, composing the aggregates as illustrated in fig. 16, to the ratio for the radius of gyration, $r_g$, or mean aggregate diameter (2 $r_g$) and primary particle diameter (2 $r_p$): $N_p = \xi (r_g/r_p)^{D_f}$, where $\xi$ is a fitting or geometrical constant. It is observed that the primary spherule diameter for BC and soot PM can exhibit a wide distribution as noted above, and the radius of gyration or mean aggregate diameter (2 $r_g$) can vary from 0.1 to 0.5 $\mu$m for $N_p \geq 10^7$ particles to 2.5 $\mu$m to >3 $\mu$m for $N_p \geq 10^3$ particles. Aggregate densities can also vary accordingly, and large, fractal aggregates can variously fragment into smaller components; especially at airway bifurcations in the lung during respiration.

As illustrated in fig. 17, primary soot PM spherules can be variously modeled as curved, overlapping graphene fragments which can form by incorporating at least one 5-member ring or pentagonal polygon into the hexagonal, pyrolizing benzenoid. These can be variously intercalated with polyynes, aromers, PAHs and fullerenes described as classes of particles particularly in hydrocarbon flames such as methane (CH$_4$) and propane (C$_3$H$_8$) [26]. Combustion temperature, oxygen/fuel ratio, and related kinetic phenomena such as diffusion, turbulence, etc. will alter the structure of the primary soot or BC particle which can vary from highly amorphous or turbostratic to more regular, crystallographic graphite-like, closed-shell structures which in the extreme can include large carbon onions (fig. 11(a)) or multiconcentric fullerenes [48].

**Figure 17:** Soot formation (primary PM). (a) Clustering of curved graphene fragments (by the addition of at least 1, 5-member ring/pentagon) and intermixing of fullerenes such as C$_{50}$, and intercalation of PAH isomers (such as naphthalene (C$_{10}$H$_8$)) to create carbonaceous, primary spherules as shown in the wire model in (b). (c) shows clustering of primary spherules as in (b) to form complex, branched aggregates of BC observed in the TEM. The SAED pattern in (c) shows prominent graphite reflections. Lower combustion temperatures favor amorphous or turbostratic structures where there is no graphite crystal registry of the overlapping graphene fragments (fig. 7(d)). Primary soot PM composed of amorphous and fullerenic carbon have been described by Grieco, et al. [56] while Clague, et al. [57] indicated that diesel engine soot (DPM) and BC were virtually indistinguishable perturbed (amorphous) graphitic or turbostratic structures, while Vander Wal and Tomasek [58] demonstrated that low temperature thermal pyrolysis of acetylene (C$_2$H$_2$) and ethylene (C$_2$H$_4$) produced amorphous soots regardless of flow rate, while high temperature pyrolysis at high flow rates yielded mixtures of curved graphene fragments and fullerene shells. Slower flow rates produced primary spherules with graphitic (crystalline) segments. Pyrolytic and combustion conditions which produce a preponderance of PAH isomers can yield primary soot PM with varying concentrations of intercalated PAH isomers with a range of molecular weights (MW). That is, curved graphene fragments can mix or intercalate with PAH isomers of varying MW and concentration as illustrated in fig. 17(a). BC is normally considered to have a primary spherule structure composed only of graphene fragments and no PAH. In some cases this was referred to as
elemental carbon in contrast to PAH-containing soots which were considered to consist of elemental carbon (graphene fragments) and organic carbon (PAH isomers). Consequently, high PAH concentration produced soots with a so-called high organic fraction. Shi, et al. [37] have examined a wide range of speciated soot (including WPM, DPM, TPM) in addition to commercial BC (fig. 17(c)). While the soots ranged in total PAH content from 7 ppm to 5000 ppm, the BC contained 4 ppm PAH (pyrene, MW 202 and phenanthrene, MW 178). Even carbon arc evaporation-produced MWCNT aggregates contained 20 ppm PAH (naphthalene, MW 128;acenaphthylene, MW 152; fluorene, MW 166; anthracene, MW 178, and phenanthrene, MW 178). As illustrated in fig. 2(a), these aggregates can contain fullerene PM and soot PM. In addition, and as illustrated in fig. 16, the resulting fractal aggregates can vary in size and density. Figure 18 illustrates very large fractal aggregates of soot PM along with smaller fragments. The larger aggregate represents about one-fourth of the total and half of the mean diameter or diameter of gyration (2 r), which is estimated to be around 3 μm, with roughly 3 x 10 primary particles having diameters ranging from ~10 to 40 nm.

Figure 18: Large, fractal-like, branched aggregates of BC created by carbon arc evaporation and observed in the TEM. SAED pattern insert show diffuse graphite reflections.

Table 1: PAH content of soot nano-PM and soot surrogate PM (ppm) (From Shi, et al. [37]).

| PAH           | Structure | Formula | MW  | TPM* | DPM | WPM | CPM | Blue Flame | Yellow Flame | BC | MW CNTR |
|---------------|-----------|---------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------------|--------------|----|---------|
| Naphthalene   |           | C_{10}H_{8} | 128 | 4.6  | 1.6 | <0.1| 6.3 | <0.3       | 2.9          | -- | 5.9     |
| Acenaphthylene|           | C_{12}H_{10} | 152 | 13.0 | 4.7 | <0.1| --  | <0.3       | <0.2         | -- | 4.0     |
| Acenaphthene  |           | C_{12}H_{10} | 154 | 0.7  | 5.3 | 0.1 | --- | <0.3       | <0.2         | -- | --      |
| Fluorene      |           | C_{13}H_{10} | 165 | 44.6 | 55.6| 1.3 | --- | <0.3       | 0.4          | --- | 2.5     |
| Phenanthrene  |           | C_{14}H_{10} | 178 | 693.5| 208.3| 9.5 | --- | 3.8        | <0.1         | 1.5 | 1.4     |
| Anthracene    |           | C_{14}H_{10} | 178 | 195.5| 245.9| 11.5| --- | 3.2        | ---          | 2.4 | ---     |
| Fluoranthene  |           | C_{16}H_{10} | 202 | 737.1| 41.8 | 75.0| --- | 10.4       | 1.7          | --- | <0.1   |
| Pyrene        |           | C_{16}H_{10} | 202 | 640.4| 42.1 | 71.5| --- | 74.7       | 113.6         | 2.4 | 1.0     |
| Benz(a)anthracene |       | C_{18}H_{12} | 228 | 526.6| 6.1  | 118.8| --- | 11.7       | ---          | --- | ---     |
| Chrysene      |           | C_{18}H_{12} | 228 | 662.6| 8.6  | 92.3 | --- | ---        | ---          | --- | ---     |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene |     | C_{20}H_{12} | 252 | 297.7| 6.8  | 50.5 | --- | ---        | ---          | --- | ---     |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene |     | C_{20}H_{12} | 252 | 642.2| 4.8  | 59.8 | --- | ---        | ---          | --- | ---     |
| Benzo(a)pyrene|           | C_{20}H_{12} | 252 | 218.8| 1.9  | 60.2 | --- | ---        | ---          | --- | ---     |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene |    | C_{22}H_{12} | 276 | 117.8| 9.9  | 16.9 | --- | ---        | ---          | --- | ---     |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene |       | C_{22}H_{12} | 276 | 111.5| 11.8 | 15.3 | --- | ---        | ---          | --- | ---     |
| Dibenzo(ah)anthracene |     | C_{22}H_{14} | 278 | 0.8  | 0.8  | 0.8  | --- | ---        | ---          | --- | ---     |

*TPM, tire particulate matter; DPM, diesel particulate matter; WPM, wood particulate matter; CPM, candle particulate matter; Blue Flame, optimum natural gas combustion particulate matter; Yellow Flame, reduced oxygen combustion of natural gas particulate matter; BC, commercial black carbon; MWCNT-R, arc evaporation produced (commercial) multiwall carbon nanotube aggregate material.
Figure 2(a) in particular illustrates that MWCNTs, fullerenic PM, and soot PM are often co-produced in various pyrolytic and combustion regimes. Under specific combustion conditions, MWCNTs are produced from a wide range of fuels, including candle burning [59]. Natural gas and propane combustion normally produce a preponderance of soot PM, but MWCNTs are also produced as illustrated in figs. 4, 9, 10, 12, 13. Shi, et al. [37] have also measured the PAH content of soot PM collected from a natural gas kitchen burner, demonstrating that differences in fuel flow rate and the fuel/air ratio altered the PAH content as well as the MWCNT/soot PM ratio. Table 1 reproduces the PAH measurements of Shi, et al. [37] for a range of soot PM, including natural gas burner exhausts (from normal blue-flame combustion to air deficient (yellow flame) combustion). Commercial BC and MWCNT (arc evaporation produced) PM-PAH data is also included for comparison.

Although Arrieta, et al. [30] have demonstrated that PAH extracts from PM collected on filters in the El Paso, Texas, USA/Juarez, Mexico metroplex had significant biologic activity using two in-vitro assay systems, Soto, et al. [60] and Garza, et al. [61] also recently demonstrated that cytotoxicity assays using human epithelial A549 lung model cell cultures did not exhibit any correlation of 48 h cytotoxic responses with either PAH concentration or specific isomer content for the soot PM species listed in Table 1. Of course longer term exposure to soot PM with high concentrations of PAHs may present quite different responses in the human lung. In addition, short-term cytotoxicity assays demonstrating inflammatory response do not predict long term pulmonary responses nor do they indicate carcinogenic or mutagenic effects which may be related to ROS production by nano-PM interactions with specific cell types. This includes ROS production by functional chemistries associated with carcinogenic chemicals such as high molecular weight PAHs: generally those above benzo (b) fluoranthrene, MW 252 in Table 1, which are considered to be carcinogenic [62, 63]. Functional chemistries will be particularly important for soot nano-PM in the outdoor environment in particular where photooxidation and photothermal effects can alter even the simplest PAHs. For example naphthalene is readily converted by photooxidation to naphthaquinone which is an ROS producer. In addition photooxidation of other PAHs especially in the presence of ozone is another viable reaction sequence. Since these reactions are favored at benzenoid configurations corresponding to fragment edges and special bonding sites, functionalization of graphene fragment edges as well as carbon nanotube surfaces may also produce ROS. Examples of these reaction sequences are illustrated schematically in fig. 19. In fig. 19(a) benzene oxide is converted to phenol (OH) by photolysis of water while toluene is converted by OH to methyl hydroxyl-cyclohexadienyl radical in fig. 19(b). Figure 19(c) and (d) illustrate benzene and naphthalene conversion to quinones while fig. 19(e) illustrates the photooxidation of a graphene fragment. Nel, et al. [64] have recently discussed the biology of particle-induced ROS and a hierarchical oxidative stress model where pro-inflammatory cell response leads to ROS production and eventual cell death, which when combined with prospects of enhanced oxidative stress implied by fig. 19 amplify the potential for long-term, chronic health effects.

More importantly, synergistic effects of complex nano-PM in the environment such as aggregates of silica nanoparticles and MWCNTs as illustrated in fig. 14 along with nano-soot PM and other ROS producers such as metal oxides (especially iron oxides driving Fenton chemistry) may pose especially acute inflammatory responses and even long term chronic effects. Figure 20 provides another interesting example of small soot aggregates and short chrysotile asbestos nanotubes collected by thermal precipitation in the air near an interstate freeway in El Paso, Texas (USA). Asbestos fibers continue to be ubiquitous in some locations as residue from vehicle brake lining wear, industrial furnace linings, or other asbestos product degradation or exposure. Nearly 7 percent of the dust generated in the aftermath of the World Trade Center twin towers collapse in New York city as a consequence of the September 11, 2001 attack was asbestos-related, especially chrysotile asbestos [65]. In addition, of the more than 5 million metric tons of commercial BC utilized in the U.S. annually, 70% is used in tire production as a strengthening agent where the BC composition is nearly 30%. This BC appears as tire wear debris especially along roadways [66].
Indeed, Veranth, et al. [66] have indicated that roadway-related soil PM containing carbonaceous matter induced proinflammatory response, and Table 2 illustrates that soil PM can contain more higher molecular weight PAHs than atmospheric PM [68]. Table 2 also demonstrates that there are some similarities in the outdoor atmospheric PM, PAH content as well as some distinct differences. Indoor air for Chicago is also similar in some respects to outdoor air while the Chicago indoor air PM exhibits different PAH concentrations than the El Paso, Texas indoor air. Table 2 illustrates that both indoor air and outdoor air PM, PAH content is generally dominated by naphthalene. Seville, Spain outdoor air PM presents some significant PAH content variations. These PAH variations have been related to different combustion regimes including coal burning, wood burning, diesel and gasoline combustion, etc. [37]. Coal burning soot PM and gasoline exhibit similar PAH contents to other soot PM but there are notable differences [37, 73]. Tire crumb has also been used in place of coal in some electric power generation combustion regimes since it has double the heat capacity (or energy density) of coal [74]. Efficient burning of fuels, especially gasoline and diesel, produces much smaller primary spherule PM (fig. 17(b)) and often smaller fractal aggregates. However, as noted earlier, it is difficult to recognize soot species by electron microscope imaging, and speciation using PAH content or PAH ratios (so-called diagnostic ratios) is often vague at best [37].

Table 2: PAH content in outdoor and indoor air PM$_{2.5}$ in World cities and in El Paso, Texas (USA) soil.

| PAH               | Formula | MW | Seville[68] | Mumbai[69] | Chicago[70] | Chicago[70] | El Paso[71] | El Paso[72] |
|-------------------|---------|----|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                   |         |    | Outdoor     | Indoor     | Outdoor     | Indoor      | Outdoor     | Indoor      |
| Naphthalene       | C$_{10}$H$_{8}$ | 128 | 10          | <1         | 48          | <1          | 86          | <1          |
| Acenaphthylene    | C$_{12}$H$_{10}$ | 152 | 9           | <1         | 21          | <1          | 1           | <1          |
| Acenaphthene      | C$_{12}$H$_{10}$ | 154 | 5           | <1         | 10          | <1          | 2           | <1          |
| Fluorene          | C$_{13}$H$_{10}$ | 165 | 6           | <1         | 3           | <1          | 4           | <1          |
| Phenanthrene      | C$_{14}$H$_{10}$ | 178 | 31          | <1         | 7           | <1          | 3           | <1          |
| Anthracene        | C$_{14}$H$_{10}$ | 178 | 4           | <1         | 2           | <1          | 4           | <1          |
| Fluoranthene      | C$_{16}$H$_{10}$ | 202 | ---         | ---        | 1           | ---         | 1           | ---         |
| Pyrene            | C$_{16}$H$_{10}$ | 202 | 34          | <1         | 9           | <1          | 3           | <1          |
| Benz(a)anthracene | C$_{18}$H$_{12}$ | 228 | ---         | ---        | <1          | ---         | ---         | ---         |
| Chrysene          | C$_{18}$H$_{12}$ | 228 | ---         | ---        | <1          | ---         | ---         | ---         |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | C$_{20}$H$_{12}$ | 252 | ---         | ---        | <1          | ---         | ---         | ---         |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | C$_{20}$H$_{12}$ | 252 | ---         | ---        | <1          | ---         | ---         | ---         |
| Benzo(a)pyrene    | C$_{20}$H$_{12}$ | 252 | ---         | ---        | <1          | ---         | ---         | ---         |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | C$_{22}$H$_{12}$ | 276 | ---         | ---        | <1          | ---         | ---         | <1          |
Comparative Cytotoxicities and ROS Generation for Carbonaceous Nano-PM: Respiratory Health Issues

Figure 21 reproduces recent, comparative cytotoxicity assay and ROS production data for the carbonaceous nano-PM and chrysotile asbestos described herein. It can be noted that BC, natural gas blue-flame emission soot PM, asbestos, and the commercial MWCNT PM are among the most cytotoxic nano-PM aggregates. The catalytically grown MWCNT material (MWCNT-N) illustrated in fig. 2(b) exhibits both high cytotoxicity and short term ROS production. It is not known specifically whether the metal nano-catalysis PM influences these responses in a synergistic way, or whether the irregular MWCNT morphology has any effect. Since the BC response is similar, it is unlikely there is an obvious morphological or synergistic effect.

Figure 21: Comparison of cell (A549 human epithelial) death (percent) and relative ROS production for nano-PM aggregates. Arrow at left indicates media cell death reference at zero. From Garza, et al. [61].

While in-vitro assays such as those represented in fig. 21 can provide information on the most common biological exposure responses, their ability to predict human compatibility and toxicity is not adequately developed. Furthermore, long term, chronic effects cannot be predicted even though there are well developed correlations for asbestos. In the absence of long term in-vivo studies of MWCNTs in animals and epidemiological correlations with human exposure, it might be assumed that since the nanostructures for chrysotile asbestos and carbon arc grown MWCNTs are essentially identical, inflammatory response for cell phagocytosis and long term ROS generation and DNA damage may exhibit some similarities, although assumptions regarding cancer-related effects of MWCNTs over the long term would be speculative. Nonetheless, in a recent in vivo study involving mouse mesothelia, MWCNTs identical to chrysotile asbestos (such as those in fig. 2(a)) produced short-term lesions identical to the asbestos [75].

Pope and Dockery [3] noted in a recent review that, “Scientific efforts to understand the health effects of air pollution have taken place within the context of contentious and controversial debate about public health policy, environmental regulations, the relative costs of pollution versus its abatement, and who pays these costs. The extent to which politics, pressure groups, special interests, and funding opportunities and sources influence the science and how it is interpreted is unknown, but these influences may contribute to our skepticism”. Indeed, add to these prospects for increasing production and use of MWCNTs and other nano-PM fueling emerging nanotechnologies, and the issues of respiratory health effects become even more complex. Nonetheless, it would seem prudent to seriously consider lessons learned from the past, especially in the context of asbestos production, manufacture, and proliferation world-wide; and the concomitant range of severe and fatal health consequences.

Conclusions

Chrysotile asbestos nanotubes and multiwall carbon nanotubes are microstructurally and nanostructurally identical in most respects. Both are cytotoxic and both are efficient ROS generators. Both have been demonstrated very recently to produce mesothelial lesions in mice [75]. Multiconcentric fullerene nanoparticles differentiate themselves from turbostratic soots and BC while nanosoots or primary soot nanospherules are differentiated by various concentrations of intercalated PAH isomers having different molecular weights. Candle, wood, tire, diesel, and natural gas burner soots as well as BC are all variously cytotoxic and generate ROS. BC, soots, and multiwall carbon nanotubes are all ubiquitous in both the indoor and outdoor environments.
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