Flowering plants undergo a transition from vegetative to reproductive growth. *SVP*, a MADS-domain transcription factor, was demonstrated to act as a repressor for flowering in *Arabidopsis* (Hartmann et al., 2000) where it controls flowering by perceiving signals related to thermosensory, autonomous, and gibberelin (GA) pathways (Lee et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008). To maintain plants in a vegetative phase, *SVP* and gibberelin (GA) pathways (Lee et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008). By perceiving signals related to thermosensory, autonomous, and gibberelin (GA) pathways (Lee et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008), *SVP* represses the expression of flowering locus t (*FT*) and twin sister of *FT* (**TSF**) in the phloem and suppressor of overexpression of constans 1 (**SOC1**) in the shoot apical meristem by directly binding to CArG boxes in *FT* and *SOC1* (Jang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2008). Although the role of *SVP* in flowering is well known in the model plant *Arabidopsis*, little is known in evergreen woody litchi (*Litchi chinensis*). Hence, it is important to understand the genetics of flowering to find ways through its regulation. We have previously shown that reactive oxygen species (ROS) promotes flowering (Zhou et al., 2012). We then performed RNA-sequencing and identified a ROS-responsive *LcSVP* in AMs of litchi and found that it might act as a floral repressor involved in the ROS-regulated flowering in litchi (Lu et al., 2017). However, the role of *LcSVP* in litchi flowering still needs further investigation.

Plant hormones are very important in flowering regulation (Campos-Rivero et al., 2017). The plant hormone signals are perceived and transmitted to the nuclear by series signal transduction components to regulate the expression of flowering related genes, such as **constans** (**CO**), flowering locus c (**FLC**), **SVP**, **FT**, and **SOC1** (Conti, 2017; Li et al., 2010; Martinez et al., 2004). In litchi, abscisic acid was shown to promote flowering, whereas GAs inhibit flowering (Chen et al., 2014; Cui et al., 2013). brassinosteroids are a group of polyhydroxylated plant steroid hormones that are ubiquitously present throughout the plant kingdom and play pivotal roles in plant growth and development with regulatory functions during cell elongation, cell division, vascular differentiation, and biotic and abiotic stress responses (Clouse and Sasse, 1998; Nolan et al., 2017). In *Arabidopsis*, BR biosynthetic *det2* mutants exhibited delayed flowering time (Li et al., 2010). In rice (*Oryza sativa*), *SVP*-group MADS-box proteins are shown as negative regulators of BR response (Lee et al., 2008), demonstrating the role of *SVP* in BR response in herbaceous plants. However, little is known about their roles in the flowering of evergreen woody litchi.
In the present study, three litchi homologs LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 were cloned, and the bioinformatic analysis of the LcSVPs was carried out to identify their molecular characteristics. Their expressions in the AM during the transition from vegetative to reproductive phase were studied, and BR treatment under inductive low temperature was performed to elucidate the role of LcSVPs in litchi flowering.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions. Litchi trees were cultivated in the experimental orchard of South China Agricultural University (Guangzhou, China) (lat. 23°9′40″N, long. 113°21′18″E). To determine the LcSVP expression patterns, 12-year-old air-layered trees of early-flowering ‘Sanyuehong’ and late-flowering ‘Nuomici’ grown in open fields were selected. From Sept. 2015 to Feb. 2016, the trees were subjected to chilling by interrupted cold waves as the natural flowering inductive condition (Fig. 1). Samples were collected at five stages of floral formation according to Huang and Chen (2005). After the terminal shoots had just matured, the AMs of ‘Sanyuehong’ were collected on 15 Sept. 2015 as prefloral induction stage (PFId). Then AMs were collected on 14 Oct. as floral induction stage (FId). On 11 Nov. when panicle primordia of ‘Sanyuehong’ emerged and could be recognized as “whitish millets,” the floral initiation stage (FIn), the panicle primordia were collected. After the FIn, panicle primordia continued to develop and were collected on 9 Dec. and 30 Dec. as the panicle developmental stage (PD). Similarly, samples of ‘Nuomici’ were collected on 15 Sept. as PFId stage, 11 Nov. and 9 Dec. as FId, 31 Jan. 2016 as FIn when the panicle primordia emerged, and 21 Feb. as PD as described by Lu et al. (2017). All the tissues were collected from three replicated trees and stored at –80 °C for RNA extraction and gene expression analysis.

For the study of LcSVP expression in different tissues, 12-year-old ‘Nuomic’ litchi trees subjected to winter chilling for floral induction were selected. Roots, stems, mature leaves, flowers, and buds were collected from three replicated trees from March to May, the flowering and fruiting season of litchi. Specifically, vegetative buds were picked up from new shoots, which could no longer produce floral buds at the season. All the tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C for RNA extraction and gene expression analysis.

For BR treatment, 5-year-old air-layered ‘Huaizhi’ litchi trees were grown in 30-L pots filled with loam, mushroom cinder, and coconut chaff (v:v:v, 3:1:1). Once the terminal shoots of the trees matured, 16 trees (1–1.5 m height, ≈100 terminal shoots per tree) with the same phenological stage were selected for the experiment. The trees were transferred to a growth chamber made from polycarbonate sheet and grown under natural irradiance (transmittance of 92%), a 12-h photo-period, a relative humidity of 75% to 85%, and 18/13 °C day/night temperatures as inductive temperature (IT) for flowering. The trees were divided into two groups. One group was treated with 1 μM 24-epibrassinolide (EBL), a member of the BR family, at 0, 10, 20, 30, and 40 d during IT treatment, and the other group was sprayed with water as the IT control at the same time. Either the EBL or water was applied as foliar spray to runoff (~200 mL per plant) using a pressure sprayer. Then AMs or panicle primordia were collected at 0 d (PFId), 30 d (FId), 60 d (FIn), and 80 d (PD) time points. Each sample was a mix of the AMs or panicle primordia from two trees, stored at –80 °C for RNA extraction and LcSVP expression analysis. At 0 d (PFId), 15 d (FId), 30 d (FId), 40 d (FId), 50 d (FId), 60 d (FIn), and 75 d (PD) time points, leaves of the terminal shoots from three replicated BR-treated or control trees were also collected and stored at –80 °C for RNA extraction and LcFT1 expression analysis. At the 80-d time point, flowering conditions of the BR-treated and control trees were calculated from six replicated trees. Proportion of flowering trees was calculated as the proportion of the flowering trees to the total tested trees. Proportion of flowering terminal shoots was calculated as the proportion of the flowering terminal shoots to the total terminal shoots in one tree.

RNA Extraction. Total RNA was extracted using the Plant Total RNA Isolation Kit (Huayueyang, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instruction and digested with DNase I to eliminate the genomic DNA. The DNase-treated RNA concentration was determined using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE). The RNA integrity was visualized by 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Cloning and bioinformatic analysis of LcSVPs. The primers of LcSVP1-F1/R1, LcSVP2-F1/R1, and LcSVP3-F1/R1 specific to LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3, respectively (Table 1), were designed according to our transcriptome data (Lu et al., 2017). The PCR products were isolated and ligated into the pMD18-T vector for T/A cloning (Takara, Dalian, China), sequenced by Sangon Biotech Co. (Shanghai, China). The sequence of LcSVP1, LcSVP1, and LcSVP3 was analyzed using BLAST program on the website of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, Bethesda, MD). Conserved domain prediction was performed by CDD (NCBI). The sequences of the three LcSVPs were aligned with those of longan (Dimocarpus longan), which belongs to the same family of Sapindaceae, and the model plant arabidopsis. The sequence alignment was performed using ClustalX 1.83 program (Thompson et al., 1997). The amino acid sequence was deduced by DNAMAN (Lynnon Corp., Vaudreuil, QC, Canada). The deduced amino acid sequences of the three LcSVPs and the eight top hit sequences from NCBI were used to construct
a phylogenetic tree using the neighbor joining (NJ) method of the MEGA software [version 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013)]. The secondary structure of LcSVPs was predicted by SOPMA (Geourjon and Deleage, 1995). Phyre version 2.0 (Kelley et al., 2015) was used to predict the LcSVPs tertiary structure.

QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (RT-PCR) ANALYSIS. First-strand cDNA was synthesized by using reverse transcriptase M-MLV [RNase H- (Takara)] from 1 μg of extracted total RNA. As shown in Table 1, the quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) primers LcSVP1-F2/R2 (length of amplicon 100 bp), LcSVP2-F2/R2 (length of amplicon 171 bp), LcSVP3-F2/R2 (length of amplicon 95 bp), and LcFT-F/R (length of amplicon 229 bp) were designed using Primer 5.0 software (Premier Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA) and synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co. The litchi homolog β-actin (accession number HQ588865.1) was used as the reference gene because of its stable expression (Wei et al., 2012). The qRT-PCR was performed according to the method described by Yang et al. (2017) on a real-time PCR machine (CFX; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). The qPCRs were run as follows: 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, and 60 °C for 30 s in 96-well optical reaction plates (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Each qRT-PCR analysis was performed in triplicate. The transcript quantification of the genes was performed in relation to Actin and they were calculated by 2^{-ΔΔCt} method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. Reported data of flowering condition are the means of the six replicates, and those of the qRT-PCR are the means of the three replicates. Statistical analyses were performed by variance using SPSS (version 19.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The differences among treatment means were evaluated by using Duncan’s multiple range test at a 0.05 P level, and those between the treatment and the control were evaluated by using Student’s test at a 0.05 P level. SE was calculated by using Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).

Results

CLONING AND CHARACTERIZATION OF LcSVPs. We identified a 684-bp-long open reading frame (ORF) of LcSVP1 with 227 deduced amino acids, a 642-bp-long ORF of LcSVP2 with 213 deduced amino acids, and a 681-bp-long ORF of LcSVP3 with 226 deduced amino acids (Supplemental Fig. 1). NCBI BLASTp results showed that LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 belonged to the MADS superfamily (Supplemental Fig. 2). Alignment of predicted protein sequences showed that the MADS box and K box among the SVPs share high similarity (Fig. 2). The predicted secondary structure indicated that LcSVP1 contained 119 alpha helices, 16 beta turns, and 92 random coils. LcSVP2 contained 117 alpha helices, 16 beta turns, and 80 random coils. LcSVP3 contained 120 alpha helices, 16 beta turns, and 90 random coils (Supplemental Fig. 3). Tertiary structure prediction indicated that the structures of LcSVP1 and LcSVP3 are similar to each other, whereas that of

Table 1. Primer sequences for cloning and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

| Homology gene | Sequence F (5’→3’) | Sequence R (5’→3’) | Amplicon size (bp) |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| β-actin       | AGTTTGGTTGATGTGGGAGAC | TGGCTGAACCCGAGATGAT | 191                |
| LcSVP1-F1/R1  | ATGGCGAGAGGAGAGATCTCA | TTAACCCACCATAGGGTAAAC | 684                |
| LcSVP2-F1/R1  | ATGACGAGACAGAAAAATGGATGC | TTAGCTAGGAATGCAAAACCCCAAC | 642                |
| LcSVP3-F1/R1  | ATGGCGAGAGGAGAGATCTCA | TCACTAGGAAGGGGAGGCCC | 681                |
| LcSVP1-F2/R2  | AGACGCAAGAGTGGTAGGAG | TGTTAACCAGCTCTTAGT | 100                |
| LcSVP2-F2/R2  | CCAAGGGTTGAAAGTGA | TTCCGTTGCTTTTGGCTC | 171                |
| LcSVP3-F2/R2  | TAAACAAAGGGTGGACG | AGCAGATTTCCGAAGACAA | 956                |
| LcFT-F/R      | CAAGACTGAGGGAGACTTG | GGAGATCCAGGTTTGAAG | 229                |

F = forward; R = reverse.

Fig. 2. Alignment of predicted protein sequences of the short vegetative phase SVP homologs LcSVP1, LcSVP2, LcSVP3, DisSVP1, DisSVP1, and AtSVP (Arabidopsis thaliana: AtSVP; Litchi chinensis: LcSVP1, LcSVP2, LcSVP3; Dimocarpus longan: DisSVP1, DisSVP1).
LcSVP2 is quite different from the other two (Supplemental Fig. 4). Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the three genes belonged to two branches. LcSVP1 and LcSVP3 were clustered in one branch, in which the LcSVP1 shared 100% identity with longan SVP1 (DISVP1). LcSVP2 and DISVP2 which shared 100% identity were clustered in another branch (Fig. 3).

LcSVPs expression patterns in different tissues. To determine the transcriptional expression patterns of LcSVPs in the vegetative and reproductive tissues, roots, stems, flowers, leaves, vegetative buds, and fruit, and fruit of ‘Nuomici’ were separately collected. Expression levels of LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 in the specific tissues were determined by using qRT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 4, LcSVP1 is strongly expressed in vegetative buds, but less expressed in roots, stems, leaves, flowers, and fruit. The relative expression of LcSVP1 in buds was 57.2- and 13.3-fold higher than that in roots and fruit, respectively. LcSVP2 was strongly expressed in vegetative buds and leaves, but less expressed in roots, stems, flowers, and fruit. Relative expression level of LcSVP1 in leaves and buds was 9.9- and 9.5-fold higher than that in flowers, respectively. No transcription of LcSVP2 could be determined in fruit tissues. Relative expression of LcSVP3 in buds was significantly higher than that in roots, stems, leaves, flowers, and fruit. On the whole, LcSVPs were strongly expressed in vegetative buds, but less expressed in roots, flowers, and fruit, indicating that LcSVPs expression was suppressed in roots and the reproductive tissues of flowers and fruit.

LcSVPs expression patterns in different cultivars under natural flowering inductive conditions. To find out whether the LcSVPs transcription was suppressed during the transition from vegetative to reproductive growth, the AMs or panicle primordia were collected from the early-flowering ‘Sanyuehong’ and the late-flowering ‘Nuomici’ in autumn and winter, during which the temperature decreased, and the trees were subjected to chilling by interrupted cold waves (Fig. 1). The litchi trees were therefore subjected to natural chilling conditions for floral induction. The transformation from vegetative buds to reproductive floral buds happened in this period. On 11 Sept. 2015, panicle primordia emerged in the early flowering ‘Sanyuehong’ (Fig. 5A), whereas on 31 Jan. 2016, panicle primordia emerged in the late-flowering ‘Nuomici’ (Fig. 5B). Relative expression levels of LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 in these tissues were determined from PFId to PD. The results indicated that relative expression levels of these three LcSVPs in both cultivars showed decreasing trends with the transition from vegetative to reproductive growth (Fig. 5C).

LcSVPs expression patterns under BR-regulated flowering condition. To further study the LcSVPs expression
patterns by BR treatment, potted trees were sprayed with BR or water in a growth chamber under floral IT. After 80 d of treatment, flowering phenotype of the trees was determined. As shown in Table 2, the proportion of flowering trees of the BR treatment was lower than that of the control, and the proportion of flowering terminal shoots of the BR-treated trees was significantly lower than that of the control one. The results suggested that BR inhibited flowering of litchi under IT conditions. We further determined the LcSVP relative expression levels. As shown in Fig. 6, on the whole, relative expression levels of LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 in AMs or panicle primordia of the control trees showed decreasing trends, whereas those of the BR-treated trees remained at relatively high levels. Relative expression analysis of LcFT1 showed that the BR-treated leaves had lower levels than the control ones. For example, at 40- and 60-d time points, relative expression of LcFT1 in control leaves was 42.9- and 27.3-fold higher than that in BR-treated leaves, respectively (Fig. 7).

Discussion

Flowering is a genetically controlled process during the transition from vegetative to reproductive development. Stress is simply defined as a condition in which the vegetative growth of plants is suppressed (Takeno, 2012). Many of the environmental conditions inducing flowering can be considered as stress conditions. The stressed plants do not need to wait for the arrival of a season when photoperiodic conditions are suitable for flowering, and such precocious flowering may be important for species preservation (Takeno, 2012). Under stressful conditions, ROS accumulates and functions as signals regulating plant growth and development (Ahanger et al., 2017). Flowering in litchi is triggered by low temperatures and enhanced by drought stress in autumn and winter (Chen and Huang, 2005; Menzel and Simpson, 1988). These two inductive factors can be regarded as stress conditions that can suppress vegetative growth of litchi. We have previously shown that ROS promotes flowering (Zhou et al., 2012), and identified ROS-responsive LcSVP1 in AMs of litchi. Our previous data showed that LcSVP1 might be involved in the ROS-controlled floral transition (Lu et al., 2017). We hypothesized that the LcSVPs might act as repressors in litchi flowering. Hence, in the present study, we clone the SVP homologs identified from our RNA-seq data set (Lu et al., 2014, 2017). We found that LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 belonged to the MADS superfamily.
Fig. 5. Morphology of the buds in ‘Sanyuehong’ (A) and ‘Nuomici’ (B) litchi from the prefloral induction stage (PFId) to the panicle developmental stage (PD), and expression patterns of the short vegetative phase (SVP) homologs LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 in apical meristems (AMs) or panicle primordia of ‘Sanyuehong’ and ‘Nuomici’ in the nature-controlled flowering condition (C). Trees of the early flowering ‘Sanyuehong’ and the late-flowering ‘Nuomici’ grown in open fields were selected. AMs or panicle primordia of ‘Sanyuehong’ were collected on 15 Sept. 2015 as PFId, 14 Oct. as floral induction stage (FId), Nov. 11 as floral initiation stage (FIn), and 9 Dec. and 30 Dec. as the PD. Those of the ‘Nuomici’ were collected on 15 Sept. as PFId stage, 11 Nov. and 9 Dec. as FId, 31 Jan. 2016 as FIn, and 21 Feb. as PD. Data are means of three replicates and the bars represent SEs.
MADS-domain transcription factors have been identified in plants and were proved to be involved in flowering (Masiero et al., 2011). MADS-domain factors activate or repress transcription by directly binding to short sequences called CArG boxes that correspond to a 10 nucleotide sequence, CC(A/T)6GG, present in the regulatory sequences of target genes (de Folter and Angenent, 2006). In arabidopsis, SVP binds to CArG boxes in FT and SOC1 and acts as a floral repressor (Jang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2008). In kiwifruit (Actinidia sp.), the woody perennial vines, SVP-like genes may have distinct roles during bud dormancy and flowering (Wu et al., 2012). In woody tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa), PsSVP can promote vegetative growth and suppress flowering (Wang et al., 2014). In the present study, we found that the LcSVPs were strongly expressed in vegetative buds and leaves, but least expressed in flowers and fruit, indicating that LcSVPs relative expression was suppressed in the reproductive tissues. Also, those levels in both the early flowering ‘Sanyuehong’ and the late-flowering ‘Nuomici’ showed decreasing trends with the transition from vegetative to reproductive growth under the floral inductive condition, suggesting that LcSVPs might act as repressors in litchi flowering, similar to those of the arabidopsis, kiwifruit, and tree peony (Jang et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2012).

To further study the role of LcSVPs in litchi flowering, their relative expression levels were determined under hormonal regulation. We sprayed potted litchi trees with the plant steroid hormone 24-EBL, a member of the BR family, and placed them under flowering ITs (18/13 °C) in the growth chamber. BR-inhibited flowering under inductive low temperature treatment, which is contrary from what were reported in herbaceous rice and arabidopsis (Lee et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010). BRs are regarded as growth-promoting hormones (Khripach et al., 2000). It has been proved that they can promote biosynthesis of GA, another growth-promoting hormone (Unterholzner et al., 2015). BR-regulated transcription factor BES1 binds to a regulatory element in promoters of GA.

| Treatments | Proportion of flowering trees (%) | Proportion of flowering terminal shoots [mean ± se (%)] |
|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| BR         | 33.3                            | 1.48 ± 1.13                                            |
| Control    | 100                             | 29.30 ± 11.69*                                         |

*Proportion of flowering trees to the total trees tested.

Proportion of flowering terminal shoots to the total terminal shoots in one tree. Means follow by asterisks are significant different at P ≤ 0.05.
biosynthesis genes to control their relative expression in a BR-induced manner (Unterholzner et al., 2015). GAs promote flowering in arabidopsis (Blazquez et al. 1998). In contrast, GAs inhibit flowering in litchi (Chen et al., 2014), which is evidenced by the fact that exogenous application of paclobutrazol, a GA biosynthesis inhibitor, promotes flowering (Ray and Ruby, 2004) and is a practical method for litchi production. Similar results were reported in citrus (Citrus sp.), a group of evergreen woody trees (Goldschmidt et al., 1997). Based on the contrasting effects of GA on flowering mechanism between the evergreen woody tree litchi and the herbaceous arabidopsis, it is speculated that BR has diverse effects on flowering of the two species. In our present study, reduced flowering was observed in BR-treated trees, of which relative expression levels of LcSVPs remained higher than the control trees. In addition, relative expression level of LcFT1 whose encoding protein is homologous to the arabidopsis florigen (Corbesier et al., 2007) was lower in the BR-treated leaves than that in the control ones. In litchi, two FT homologue genes LcFT1 and LcFT2 were identified. However, low temperature can only induce LcFT1 expression in leaves. Overexpression of LcFT1 in tobacco and arabidopsis induces precocious flowering (Ding et al., 2015). It is suggested that LcFT1 expression is positively correlated with litchi flowering. Hence, in the present study, we determined the relative expression of LcFT1. In accordance with the poor flowering in the BR-treated trees, a lower expression of LcFT1 in the BR-treated trees was found compared with the control trees. The expression of LcSVPs under BR regulation further suggested that LcSVPs might act as floral repressors through regulating the transcription of LcFT1 expression. Future study should be focused on the relationship between LcSVPs and LcFT1.

In conclusion, we cloned three LcSVP genes, and they were strongly expressed in buds, but less expressed in flowers and fruit. LcSVP relative expression levels in both of the early- and late-flowering cultivars showed decreasing trends with the transition from vegetative to reproductive growth. Relative expression levels of LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 in AMs or panicle primordia of the control trees showed decreasing trends with the transition from vegetative to reproductive growth, whereas those in AMs of the BR-treated trees remained at relatively high levels. LcSVPs might act as floral repressors involved in flowering in natural conditions and the BR-regulated flowering.
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Supplemental Fig. 1. Deduced amino acid sequence of the short vegetative phase (SVP) homologs (LcSVPs) cDNA in litchi.
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Supplemental Fig. 2. NCBI BLASTp results of the short vegetative phase (SVP) homologs LeSVP1, LeSVP2, and LeSVP3 in litchi.
Supplemental Fig. 3. Prediction of the secondary structure of the short vegetative phase (SVP) homologs LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 in litchi. H = alpha helix; E = beta turn; C = random coil.

Supplemental Fig. 4. Tertiary structure prediction of the short vegetative phase (SVP) homologs LcSVP1, LcSVP2, and LcSVP3 in litchi.