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Abstract. In this paper, we study the boundedness and the compactness of the little Hankel operators \( h_b \) with operator-valued symbols \( b \) between different weighted vector-valued Bergman spaces on the open unit ball \( B_n \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \).

More precisely, given two complex Banach spaces \( X, Y \), and \( 0 < p, q \leq 1 \), we characterize those operator-valued symbols \( b : B_n \rightarrow L(X, Y) \) for which the little Hankel operator \( h_b : A^p_\alpha(B_n, X) \rightarrow A^q_\alpha(B_n, Y) \), is a bounded operator.

Also, given two reflexive complex Banach spaces \( X, Y \) and \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \), we characterize those operator-valued symbols \( b : B_n \rightarrow L(X, Y) \) for which the little Hankel operator \( h_b : A^p_\alpha(B_n, X) \rightarrow A^q_\alpha(B_n, Y) \), is a compact operator.

1. Introduction

It is well known that Hankel operators constitute a very important class of operators in spaces of analytic functions. The study of these operators on different analytic spaces is not only motivated by the mathematical challenges it raises, but also by many applications on applied mathematics and in physics (see for example [13] for more information). In this paper, we are interested on the boundedness and the compactness problem of the little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbols on weighted vector-valued Bergman spaces on the unit ball.

Throughout this paper, we fix a nonnegative integer \( n \) and let 
\[ \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C} \]
denote the \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space. For 
\[ z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n), \quad w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n), \]
in \( \mathbb{C}^n \), we define the inner product of \( z \) and \( w \) by 
\[ \langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n \bar{w}_n, \]
where \( \bar{w}_k \) is the complex conjugate of \( w_k \). The resulting norm is then 
\[ |z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle} = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2}. \]
Endowed with the above inner product, \( \mathbb{C}^n \) become a Hilbert space whose canonical basis consists of the following vectors 
\[ e_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0), \quad e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0), \quad \ldots, \quad e_n = (0, \cdots, 0, 1). \]
The open unit ball in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) is the set 
\[ B_n = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1 \}. \]
When $\alpha > -1$, the weighted Lebesgue measure $d\nu_\alpha$ in $\mathbb{B}_n$ is defined by
\[ d\nu_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\nu(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n \]
where $d\nu$ is the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and
\[ c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \]
is the normalizing constant so that $d\nu_\alpha$ becomes a probability measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$.

A function defined on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$ will be called a vector-valued function when it takes its values in some vector space. If $X$ is a complex Banach space, a vector-valued function $f : \mathbb{B}_n \to X$ (a $X$-valued function) is said to be strongly holomorphic in $\mathbb{B}_n$ if for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and for every $k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, the limit
\[ \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{f(z + \lambda e_k) - f(z)}{\lambda} \]
eeks in $X$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. The space of all $X$-valued strongly holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{B}_n$ will be denoted by $H(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$. We will also denote by $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ the space of all bounded $X$-valued holomorphic functions. Let $X^*$ denotes the space of all bounded linear functionals $x^* : X \to \mathbb{C}$ (the topological dual space of $X$).

We say that a vector-valued function $f : \mathbb{B}_n \to X$ is weakly holomorphic if for every $x^* \in X^*$, the scalar-valued function $x^*(f) : \mathbb{B}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic in the usual sense. An important result by N. Dunford ([7]) shows that a vector-valued function is strongly holomorphic if and only if it is weakly holomorphic.

1.1. The conjugate $\overline{X}$ of the complex Banach space $X$. In the sequel, we will need the notion of "conjugate" of a complex Banach space ([11]).

We will use the following definition and notation which can be found in [11]. Let $x \in X$, $x^* \in X^*$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We define
\[ (\lambda x^*)(x) := \overline{x} \cdot \lambda x^*(x). \]
We also use the notation
\[ \langle x, x^* \rangle_{X, X^*} = x^*(x) \]
to represent the "inner product" in the complex Banach space $X$. We have the following identities
\[ \langle \lambda x, x^* \rangle_{X, X^*} = \lambda \langle x, x^* \rangle_{X, X^*} = \langle x, \overline{\lambda} x^* \rangle_{X, X^*}, \]
so that we have a regular rule of an inner product. The complex conjugate $\overline{x}$ of $x \in X$, is the linear functional on $X^*$ defined by
\[ \overline{x}(x^*) = \langle x^*, \overline{x} \rangle_{X, X^*}, \]
for every $x^* \in X^*$.
Therefore,
\[ \overline{X} = \{ \overline{x} : x \in X \} \]
is called the complex conjugate of the Banach space $X$. With the norm defined by
\[ \|\overline{x}\| := \sup_{\|x^*\|_{X^*} = 1} |\overline{x}(x^*)|, \]
$\overline{X}$ becomes a Banach space. Moreover, we have that $\|x\|_X = \|\overline{x}\|_{\overline{X}}$ for any $x \in X$, so that $X$ and $\overline{X}$ are isometrically anti-isomorphic.
1.2. Vector-valued Bergman space. In the sequel, we will integrate vector-valued measurable functions in the sense of Bochner (see [7] for more information). Let $X$ be a complex Banach space. A measurable function $f : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow X$ is Bochner-integrable with respect to the measure $\nu_\alpha$ in the unit ball if and only if the Lebesgue integral

$$\|f\|_{1,\alpha,X} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|f(z)\|_X d\nu_\alpha(z)$$

is finite. For $0 < p < \infty$, the Bochner-Lebesgue space $L^p_\nu_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ consists of all vector-valued measurable functions $f : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f\|^p_{p,\alpha,X} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|f(z)\|^p_X d\nu_\alpha(z) < \infty.$$  

The vector-valued Bergman space $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ is defined by

$$A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X) = L^p_\nu_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \cap H(\mathbb{B}_n, X).$$

The weak Bochner-Lebesgue space $L^{p,\infty}_\nu_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ consists of all vector-valued measurable functions $f : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow X$ for which

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}_\nu_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} = \left( \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \nu_\alpha(\{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \|f(z)\|_X > \lambda\}) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$  

The weak vector-valued Bergman space $A^{p,\infty}_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ is defined by

$$A^{p,\infty}_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X) = H(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \cap L^{p,\infty}_\nu_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X).$$

Let $X, Y$ be two complex Banach spaces and $\alpha > -1$. We have the following two lemmas whose proofs can be found in [11].

**Lemma 1.** Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear operator. If $f : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow X$ is $\nu_\alpha$-Bochner integrable in the unit ball, then $Tf : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow Y$ is $\nu_\alpha$-Bochner integrable in the unit ball and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} Tf(z) d\nu_\alpha(z) = T \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) d\nu_\alpha(z) \right).$$

**Lemma 2.** If $f : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow X$ is a $\nu_\alpha$-Bochner integrable vector-valued function in the unit ball, then the following inequality holds

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) d\nu_\alpha(z) \right\|_X \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|f(z)\|_X d\nu_\alpha(z).$$

1.3. Vector-valued Lipschitz spaces and vector-valued $\gamma$-Bloch spaces. The radial derivative of a vector-valued holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow X$ denoted $Nf$ is defined for $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ by

$$Nf(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z).$$

Let $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_k(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n$$

the homogeneous expansion of the function $f$ where $f_k$ are homogeneous holomorphic polynomials of degree $k$ with coefficients in $X$. For any two real parameters $\alpha$ and $t$ such that neither $n + \alpha$ nor $n + \alpha + t$ is a negative integer, we define an invertible operator $R^{\alpha,t} : H(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ as

$$R^{\alpha,t}f(z) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)\Gamma(n + 1 + k + \alpha + t)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + t)\Gamma(n + 1 + k + \alpha)} f_k(z).$$
where \( z \in B_n \) and \( \Gamma \) is the classical Euler Gamma function. For \( \gamma \geq 0 \), we denote by \( \Gamma_{\gamma}(B_n, X) \) the space of vector-valued holomorphic functions \( f : B_n \longrightarrow X \) for which there exists an integer \( k > \gamma \) such that

\[
\|f\|_{\gamma, X} = \|f(0)\|_X + \sup_{z \in B_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|N^k f(z)\|_X < \infty,
\]

where \( N^k = N \circ N \circ \cdots \circ N \) \( k \)-times. The definition of the space \( \Gamma_{\gamma}(B_n, X) \) is independent of the integer \( k \) used. The space \( \Gamma_{\gamma}(B_n, X) \) will be called the vector-valued holomorphic Lipschitz space and for \( \gamma = 0 \), we write \( B(\mathbb{B}_n, X) = \Gamma_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \).

It is clear that \( f \in B(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) if and only if \( f \) is a vector-valued holomorphic function and

\[
\|f\|_{B(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} = \|f(0)\|_X + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|N^k f(z)\|_X < \infty.
\]

That is, \( B(\mathbb{B}_n, X) = \Gamma_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) is the vector-valued Bloch space. The vector-valued \( \gamma \)-Bloch space \( B_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) for \( \gamma > 0 \), is defined as the space of vector-valued holomorphic functions \( f \in H(B_n, X) \) such that

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma} \|N f(z)\|_X < \infty.
\]

The little vector-valued \( \gamma \)-Bloch space \( B_{\gamma,0}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) for \( \gamma > 0 \), is the subspace of \( B_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) consisting of functions \( f \) such that

\[
\lim_{|z| \to 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma} \|N f(z)\|_X = 0.
\]

It is easy to see that \( B_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) = B(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Therefore, the vector-valued \( \gamma \)-Bloch spaces with \( \gamma > 0 \) generalize the vector-valued Bloch space. Let \( \gamma \geq 0 \). The general vector-valued Lipschitz space \( \Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) consists of vector-valued holomorphic functions \( f \in B_n \) such that for some nonnegative integer \( k > \gamma \), we have

\[
\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k} f(z)\|_X < \infty.
\]

We consider the following norm on the generalized vector-valued Lipschitz space \( \Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) by

\[
\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k} f(z)\|_X,
\]

where \( k > \gamma \) is a nonnegative integer. Equipped with this norm, the generalized vector-valued Lipschitz space \( \Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) becomes a Banach space. The generalized little vector-valued Lipschitz space \( \Lambda_{\gamma,0}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) is the subspace of \( \Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \), which consists of functions \( f \in \Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) such that

\[
\lim_{|z| \to 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k} f(z)\|_X = 0.
\]

When \( \gamma = 0 \) and \( k = 1 \), then \( \Lambda_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) = B(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). It is also important to note that as in the classical case, when \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \), we have \( \Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) = B_{1-\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \).

1.4. **Little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbol.** Given two complex Banach spaces \( X \) and \( Y \), we denote by \( \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \) the space of all bounded linear operators \( T : X \to Y \) endowed with the following norm

\[
\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} = \sup_{\|x\|_X = 1} \|Tx\|_Y = \sup_{\|x\|_X = 1, \|y\|_Y = 1} \|\langle Tx, y \rangle_{Y,Y^*}\|,
\]

where \( T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \). Then \( \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \) is a Banach space. We consider an operator-valued function \( b : B_n \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and we suppose that \( b \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y)) \). The little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbol \( b \), denoted \( h_b \), is defined for \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \) by

\[
h_b f(z) := \int_{B_n} \frac{b(w) f(w)}{(1 - \overline{z} w)^{n+1+\alpha}}dw_z(w), \quad f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X).
\]
In the sequel, we will assume that the symbol \( b \) satisfies the following condition
\[
(1.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\|b(w)\|_{L^\infty(X,Y)}}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu_\alpha(w) < \infty, \quad \text{for every } z \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]
It is easy to check that if \( b \) satisfies (1.4), then the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) is well defined on \( H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \).

1.5. **Problems and known results.** The boundedness properties of the little Hankel operator in the classical case (that is, when \( X = Y = \mathbb{C} \)) have been extensively studied and many results are now well known. For the case \( n = 1 \), important references are [1] and [3]. For \( n > 1 \), a complete characterization has been obtained by Aline Bonami and Luo Luo in [1] when \( p \leq q \). In 2015, Pau and Zhao [2] solved the case \( 1 < q < p < \infty \). Indeed, they showed that if \( b \) is a holomorphic symbol, the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) extends to a bounded operator from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathbb{C}) \) into \( A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathbb{C}) \), with \( 1 < q < p < \infty \), if and only if the symbol \( b \) belongs to the weighted Bergman space \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathbb{C}) \) where \( 1/t = 1/q - 1/p \). We are here concerned with the question of characterizing the operator-valued holomorphic symbols \( b \) for which the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) extends into a bounded operator from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) where \( 0 < p, q < \infty \). In [1],Aleman and Constantin solved this problem for the particular case \( n = 1 \), \( p = q = 2 \) and \( X = Y = \mathcal{H} \) where \( \mathcal{H} \) is a separable Hilbert space. They showed that the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) extends into a bounded operator from \( A^2_0(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{H}) \) into \( A^2_0(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{H}) \) if and only if the symbol \( b \) belongs to the Bloch space \( B(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathcal{H})) \). Constantin also obtained in [1] that the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) is a compact operator from \( A^2_0(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{H}) \) into \( A^2_0(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{H}) \) if and only if the symbol \( b \) belongs to the little vector-valued Bloch space \( B_0(\mathbb{B}_n, K(\mathcal{H})) \). Their results extend clearly the one known in the classical case (when \( \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \)). In [21], Olver solved this problem in the case \( 1 < p, q < \infty \). Mainly, he showed that for \( 1 < p < \infty \), the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) is bounded from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) if and only if the symbol \( b \) belongs to the vector-valued Bloch space \( B(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})) \) and this result clearly generalizes the one obtained by Aleman and Constantin in [1]. Moreover, for \( 1 < p < q < \infty \), Olver showed that the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) is bounded from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) if and only if the symbol \( b \) belongs to the \( \gamma \)-Bloch space \( B_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})) \) with \( \gamma = 1 + (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{q}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \).

Also for \( 1 < q < p < \infty \), Olver showed that the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) is bounded from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) if and only if \( b \in A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})) \), with \( 1/t = 1/q - 1/p \), which generalizes the main result in [22]. We are also concerned here with the question of characterizing the operator-valued holomorphic symbols for which \( h_b \) extends into a compact operator from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) where \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \).

1.6. **Statement of results.** Let \( X \) be a complex Banach space and \( 0 < p \leq 1 \). The topological dual of the Bergman space \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) can be identified with the Lipschitz space \( \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \) as follows:

**Theorem 3.** Let \( 0 < p \leq 1 \). The space \( (A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X))^* \) can be identified with \( \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \) with \( \gamma = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) \) under the pairing
\[
(1.5) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\alpha, X} = c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), D_k g(z) \rangle_{X, X^*} (1 - |z|^2)^k d\nu_\alpha(z),
\]
where \( D_k \) is defined by (2.3), \( k > \gamma \), is an integer, \( g \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \) and \( f \in A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Moreover,
\[
\|g\|_{\Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)} \leq \sup_{\|f\|_{A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} = 1} |\langle f, g \rangle_{\alpha, X}|.
\]
Before stating the next results, we need to make another assumption on the operator-valued symbolic symbol \( b \). More precisely, we assume that the operator-valued holomorphic symbol \( b \) satisfies the following condition:

\[
\left( 1.6 \right) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \| b(z) \|_{L^p(X,Y)} \log \left( \frac{1}{1-|z|^2} \right) \, dv_\alpha(z) < \infty.
\]

Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be two complex Banach spaces. Our contributions to the boundedness problem of the little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbol for \( 0 < p, q \leq 1 \) are the following:

**Theorem 4.** Suppose \( 0 < p \leq 1 \), and \( \alpha > -1 \). If the little Hankel operator \( h_b \) extends to a bounded operator from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) for some positive \( q < 1 \), then the symbol \( b \) is in \( \Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, L(X,Y)) \) with \( \gamma = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) \).

Conversely, if \( b \) is in \( \Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, L(X,Y)) \) with \( \gamma = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) \), then the little Hankel operator \( h_b : A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) is a bounded operator.

As a direct consequence, we have the following result:

**Corollary 5.** Suppose \( 0 < p \leq 1 \), and \( \alpha > -1 \). The little Hankel operator \( h_b \) extends to a bounded operator from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) for some positive \( q < 1 \) if and only if its symbol \( b \) satisfies the following condition:

\[
\left( 1.7 \right) \quad \| X^k b(w) \|_{L^p(X,Y)} \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\kappa - \gamma}} \left( \log \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^{-1} \quad w \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]

**Theorem 6.** Let \( 0 < p \leq 1 \), \( \alpha > -1 \) and \( \gamma = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) \). The little Hankel operator extends to a bounded operator from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) if and only if for some integer \( k \geq \gamma \),

\[
\| X^k b(w) \|_{L^p(X,Y)} \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\kappa - \gamma}} \left( \log \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^{-1} \quad w \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]

**Theorem 7.** Suppose \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \). The little Hankel operator \( h_b : A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) is a bounded operator if and only if \( b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{K}(X,Y)) \), where \( \gamma_0 = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \).

Moreover, \( \| h_b \|_{A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)} \leq \| b \|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{K}(X,Y))} \).

If \( X, Y \) are reflexive complex Banach spaces, then we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 8.** Suppose that \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \), and \( \alpha > -1 \). The little Hankel operator \( h_b : A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) is a compact operator if and only if \( b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0,0}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{K}(X,Y)) \), where \( \Lambda_{\gamma_0,0}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{K}(X,Y)) \) denotes the generalized little vector-valued Lipschitz space and \( \gamma_0 = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \), see (1.3).

### 1.7. Plan of the paper

The paper is divided into six sections. In Section 2, we recall some preliminary notions on vector-valued holomorphic functions and we also give the proofs of some important results. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem \([\ref{s7}]\) on the dual of the vector-valued Bergman space \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) for \( 0 < p \leq 1 \). In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem \([\ref{s8}]\) and Corollary \([\ref{s9}]\). In Section 5, we give the proof of Theorem \([\ref{s10}]\). In Section 6, we first give some preliminary results to prepare the proof of Theorem \([\ref{s11}]\). We recall the result by Oliver \([\ref{s12}]\) of the boundedness of the little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbol \( h_b \) from \( A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) into \( A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \), with \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \) and we generalize it. In the same section, we
give the proof of Theorem 8.

Throughout this paper, when there is no additional condition, $X$ and $Y$ will denote two complex Banach spaces, the real parameter $\alpha$ will be chosen such that $\alpha > -1$ and $c$ will be a positive constant whose value may change from one occurrence to the next. We will also adopt the following notation: we will write $A \lesssim B$ whenever there exists a positive constant $c$ such that $A \leq cB$. We also write $A \simeq B$ when $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Vector-valued Bergman projection and integral estimates. Here we give some definitions and notations which will be used later and can be found in [11] and [4].

For $f \in L^1_\alpha(B_n, X)$ and $z \in B_n$, the Bergman projection $P_\alpha f$ of $f$ is the integral operator defined by

$$P_\alpha f(z) := \int_{B_n} K_\alpha(z, w)f(w)\,dw,$$

where $K_\alpha(z, w) := \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{\alpha + 1}}$ is the Bergman reproducing kernel of $B_n$. In this situation, $P_\alpha f$ is also a $X$-valued holomorphic function.

**Lemma 9** (Density). Suppose that $0 < p < \infty$. Then the space of all bounded vector-valued holomorphic functions $H^\infty(B_n, X)$ is dense in $A^p_0(B_n, X)$.

**Proof.** We are going to give the proof for $0 < p < 1$, since the case $1 \leq p < \infty$ is [11] Lemma 2.1.4. Given a function $f \in A^p_0(B_n, X)$, let $f_\rho$ defined for $z \in B_n$ by $f_\rho(z) := f(\rho z)$, where $0 < \rho < 1$. The function $f_\rho$ is holomorphic in the set $\{z \in B_n : |z| < 1/\rho\}$ hence is bounded on $B_n$. We first recall that the integral means

$$M_\rho(r, f) := \int_{B_n} \|f(\rho \zeta)\|_X^p\,d\sigma(\zeta), \quad 0 \leq r < 1$$

are increasing with $r$, see [11] Corollary 4.21. Since $M_\rho(r, f_\rho) = M_\rho(r, f)$, we have by Minkowski’s inequality that

$$M_\rho^p(r, f_\rho - f) \leq M_\rho^p(r, f) + M_\rho^p(r, f_\rho) \leq 2M_\rho^p(r, f).$$

By the formula of [11] (1.1.1)], (integration in polar coordinates formula) we get

$$\|f - f_\rho\|^p_{p,\alpha,X} = 2mc_\alpha \int_0^1 M_\rho^p(r, f_\rho - f)(1 - r^2)^{\alpha}r^{2n-1}\,dr.\tag{2.1}$$

Since $f \in A^p_0(B_n, X)$, we have that the function $M_\rho^p(r, f)$ is integrable over the interval $[0, 1)$ with respect to the measure $2n(1 - r^2)^{\alpha}r^{2n-1}\,dr$. It is also clear that $f_\rho \to f$ on any compact subsets of $B_n$ which implies that $M_\rho^p(r, f_\rho - f) \to 0$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$ as $\rho \to 1$. Applying the dominated convergence theorem in (2.1), we obtain that $\|f - f_\rho\|^p_{p,\alpha,X} \to 0$, as $\rho \to 1$. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 10.** For $0 < p \leq 1$, the following inclusion is dense

$$A^p_0(B_n, X) \subset A^p_0(B_n, X).$$

**Proof.** The proof follows directly from Lemma 9. \hfill \Box

In [3], Oscar Blasco obtained the duality theorem for the vector-valued Bergman spaces in the unit disc $B_1$ without any restriction on the Banach space. The proof also works for the unit ball $B_n$. The result is stated as follows:
Theorem 11 (Duality). Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. The dual space $(A^p_n(B_n, X))^\ast$ can be identified with $A^{p'}(B_n, X^\ast)$, where $p'$ is the conjugate exponent of $p$ given by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, under the integral pairing defined by

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{p, X} := \int_{B_n} \langle f(z), g(z) \rangle_{X^\ast} \, d\nu_\alpha(z),
$$

for any $f \in A^p_n(B_n, X)$, $g \in A^{p'}(B_n, X^\ast)$.

Remark 12. Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. If $X$ is a reflexive complex Banach space, then the vector-valued Bergman space $A^p_n(B_n, X)$ is a reflexive Banach space.

The following reproducing kernel formula also holds for vector-valued Bergman spaces. The proof can be found in [11, Proposition 2.1.2].

Proposition 13. Let $f \in A^1_n(B_n, X)$. We have

$$
f(z) := \int_{B_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w),
$$

for any $z \in B_n$.

We have the following pointwise estimate on the vector-valued Bergman spaces. The proof can be found in [11].

Theorem 14. Let $0 < p < \infty$. Then

$$
\|f(z)\|_X \leq \frac{\|f\|_{p, \alpha, X}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)/p}},
$$

for any $f \in A^p_n(B_n, X)$ and $z \in B_n$.

The following lemma is critical for many problems concerning the weighted vector-valued Bergman spaces $A^p_n(B_n, X)$ whenever $0 < p \leq 1$ and will be extensively used.

Lemma 15. Let $0 < p \leq 1$. Then

$$
\int_{B_n} \|f(z)\|_X (1 - |z|^2)^{(\frac{1}{p} - 1)(n+1+\alpha)} \, d\nu_\alpha(z) \leq \|f\|_{p, \alpha, X},
$$

for all $f \in A^p_n(B_n, X)$.

Proof. Write

$$
\|f(z)\|_X = \|f(z)\|_X^p \|f(z)\|_X^{1-p},
$$

and estimate the second factor using Theorem 11. The desired result follows. \qed

The following technical result is proved in [4, Lemma 3.1]

Lemma 16. Let $\beta, \delta > 0$. For all $w \in B_n$, we have

$$
I_{\alpha}(w) := \int_{B_n} \left| \log \left( \frac{1 - \langle z, w \rangle}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^\delta \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\beta}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+\beta}} \, d\nu_\alpha(z) \right| \leq C,
$$

where $C$ is independent of $w$ and log is the principal branch of the logarithm.

In the sequel, we will also need the following lemma which the scalar version can be found in [8].

Lemma 17. If $0 < q < 1$, then the identity $i : L^{1,\infty}_n(B_n, X) \hookrightarrow L^q_n(B_n, X)$ is continuous in the sense that there exists a constant $C(q) > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^{1,\infty}_n(B_n, X)$, we have

$$
\|f\|_{q, \alpha, X} \leq C(q) \|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}_n(B_n, X)}.
$$
The following result will be very useful in many situations. A proof can be found in [14].

**Theorem 18.** For \( \beta \in \mathbb{R} \), let

\[
I_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\alpha \nu(v)(w)}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle^2 \nu(v)(w)}^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]

(i) If \( \beta = 0 \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
I_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \leq C \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]

(ii) If \( \beta > 0 \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
I_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \leq C \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}^\beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]

(iii) If \( \beta < 0 \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
I_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \leq C.
\]

2.2. **Differential operators and equivalent norms for \( \Gamma_\gamma \).** Given a positive integer \( k \), we define the differential operator \( D_k \) by

\[
D_k := (2I + N) \circ (3I + N) \circ \ldots \circ ((k + 1)I + N),
\]

where \( I \) is the identity operator and \( N \) is the differential operator given in [14].

In the sequel, we denote by \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) the space of all vector-valued holomorphic polynomials. The proof of the following lemma is similar as in the scalar case in [10].

**Lemma 19.** For all \( f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( g \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \), we have the following identity

\[
\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), g(z) \rangle_{X^*, X} \, d\nu_\alpha(z) = c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), D_k g(z) \rangle_{X^*, X} \cdot (1 - |z|^2)^k \, d\nu_\alpha(z),
\]

where \( c_k \) is a positive constant depending only on the integer \( k \). The above identities are valid for vector-valued holomorphic functions when both sides make sense.

The following lemma will be very useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 20.** Let \( \{a_k\} \) a sequence of positive numbers. For any positive integer \( k \), let \( M_k \) the differential operator of order \( k \) defined by

\[
M_k := (a_0I + N) \circ (a_1I + N) \circ \ldots \circ (a_{k-1}I + N).
\]

Then a vector-valued holomorphic function \( f \) belongs to \( \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) if and only if there exists an integer \( k > \gamma \) such that

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| M_k f(z) \|_X < \infty.
\]

**Proof.** Let us assume first that \( f \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \), and we prove the desired estimate on \( M_k \). By assumption, there exists an integer \( k > \gamma \) and a positive constant \( C \) such that

\[
\| A^k f(z) \|_X \leq C (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma - k},
\]

for any \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \). It is enough to prove that the following inequality

\[
\| A^j f(z) \|_X < C (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma - k},
\]

holds for \( 0 \leq j < k \), since the assumption give the case \( j = k \). For \( g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( z = rz' \), where \( r = |z| \), and \( z' \) is in the unit sphere. We have

\[
Ng(rz') = r \partial_g (rz').
\]
Thus,
\[
g(rz') - g(z'/2) = \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r} Ng(sz') \frac{ds}{s}.
\]
Now, for \( g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) such that \( \|Ng(z)\|_X \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k} \). We have that
\[
\|g(rz') - g(z'/2)\|_X \leq 2\int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r} \|Ng(sz')\|_X ds 
\leq 4C \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r} (1 - s^2)^{\gamma-k} s ds
\leq -2C \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r} -2s(1 - s^2)^{\gamma-k} ds
= \left[ \frac{-2C}{\gamma - k + 1} \right] \left( 1 - s^2(1 - s^2)^{\gamma-k} \right)^r
= \frac{-2C}{\gamma - k + 1} \left\{ (1 - r^2)^{\gamma-k+1} - (1 - \frac{1}{4})^{\gamma-k+1} \right\}.
\]
Now, if \( \gamma - k + 1 < 0 \), then
\[
\|g(rz') - g(z'/2)\|_X \leq \frac{-2C}{\gamma - k + 1} (1 - r^2)^{\gamma-k} = C_{k,\gamma}(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k}.
\]
If \( \gamma - k + 1 > 0 \), then
\[
\|g(rz') - g(z'/2)\|_X \leq \frac{2C}{\gamma - k + 1} \left\{ (1 - \frac{1}{4})^{\gamma-k+1} - (1 - r^2)^{\gamma-k+1} \right\}
\leq \frac{2C}{\gamma - k + 1} (1 - \frac{1}{4})^{\gamma-k+1} = C'_{k,\gamma}
\leq C'_{k,\gamma}(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k},
\]
where the last inequality is justified using the fact that \( (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k} > 1 \). It then follows that
\[
\|g(z)\|_X \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k}.
\]
Now, we use this fact inductively for \( g = N^k f \), then \( g = N^{k-1} f, \ldots \) to conclude. Conversely, assume that there exists an integer \( k > \gamma \) and a positive constant \( C \) such that
\[
\|M_k f(z)\|_X \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k},
\]
for any \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \). To conclude, it is sufficient to prove that for a fixed positive real \( a \), the inequality
\[
(2.4) \quad \|ag(z) + Ng(z)\|_X \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k}
\]
implies the inequality
\[
\|Ng(z)\|_X \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-k},
\]
for any function \( g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Choose a real \( \beta \) such that \( \beta + \gamma - k > -1 \). By the assumption \( (2.4) \), we have that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|ag(z) + Ng(z)\|_X (1 - |z|^2)^{\beta} d\nu(z) < \infty.
\]
Thus, for any \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \), we have
\[
ag(z) + Ng(z) = c_{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|ag(w) + Ng(w)|}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{\alpha+1+\beta}} (1 - |w|^2)^{\beta} d\nu(w).
\]
Then, differentiating under the integral sign, we obtain that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get
\[
\partial_s \left[ a^g(z) + N^g(z) \right] = (n + 1 + \beta)c_\beta \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{[a^g(w) + N^g(w)]\nu_i}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+2+\beta}}(1 - |w|^2)^\beta \, d\nu(w).
\]
Therefore,
\[
N(a^g(z) + N^g(z)) = (n + 1 + \beta)c_\beta \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{[a^g(w) + N^g(w)] \langle z, w \rangle}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+2+\beta}}(1 - |w|^2)^\beta \, d\nu(w).
\]
Applying (2.4), we are reduced to consider smooth functions $\phi$ with $s = \phi'$.

To obtain that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,
\[
\|N(a^g(z) + N^g(z))\|_X \leq Cc_\beta \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\gamma - k + \beta}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+\gamma - k + \beta} + (k - \gamma + 1)} \, d\nu(w)
\]
and inductively,
\[
\|\phi'(r)\|_X \leq C(1 - r)^{\gamma - k - 1},
\]
with $\phi(r) = a^g(r) + r\phi'(r)$, implies that
\[
\|r\phi'(r)\|_X \leq C(1 - r)^{\gamma - k}
\]
(here, $\phi(r) = g(rz^*)$). Now, differentiating $\psi$, we obtain $\psi'(s) = (a + 1)\phi'(s) + s\phi''(s)$.

Multiplying both sides of the previous inequality by $s^\alpha$, we obtain that
\[
s^\alpha \psi'(s) = (a + 1)s^\alpha \phi'(s) + s^{\alpha + 1}\phi''(s) = [s^{\alpha + 1}\phi'(s)]'.
\]
Then integrating the equality above on $[0, r]$, we obtain that
\[
\phi'(r) = \frac{1}{r^{\alpha + 1}} \int_0^r s^{\alpha}\psi'(s) \, ds.
\]
Therefore, the desired estimate follows at once, since $k > \gamma$.

\begin{remark}
We shall use extensively this lemma for two particular classes of differential operators: first the class $D_k$, then the class $L_k$, corresponding to the choice $a_j = n + \alpha + j + 1$. For this choice, we have
\[
(a_jI + N)(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-n - \alpha - j - 1} = \frac{n + \alpha + j + 1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+\alpha+j+2}},
\]
and inductively,
\[
L_k(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-n - \alpha - 1} = \frac{c_k}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+\alpha+k+1}}.
\]

The proof of Lemma 20 allows us to define an equivalent norm of $f$ in terms of $M_k f$. Particularly, we will write the equivalent norms of $f$ in terms of $D_k f$ and $L_k f$. More precisely, we have the following result:

\begin{corollary}
Let $D_k$ a differential operator of order $k$ defined in (2.3) and $L_k$ a differential operator of order $k$ defined in Remark 21. For vector-valued holomorphic functions, the following assertions are equivalent:

1. $f \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$.
2. There exists an integer $k > \gamma$ such that
\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k - \gamma}\|D_k f(z)\|_X < \infty.
\]
\end{corollary}
(3) There exists an integer $k > \gamma$ such that
\[ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| L_k f(z) \| X < \infty. \]

Moreover, the following are equivalent
\[ \| f \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} \simeq \| f(0) \|_X + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| D_k f(z) \| X \]
\[ \simeq \| f(0) \|_X + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| L_k f(z) \| X. \]

The proof of some of the results obtained in this paper will be based on the following lemma. A proof is in [11], but for the sake of completeness, we will recall the proof.

**Lemma 23.** Let $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)$. If $b \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ is such that (1.4) and (1.6) hold. Then we have
\[ (2.5) \quad \langle h_b f, g \rangle_{\alpha,Y} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z)f(z), g(z) \rangle_{Y,Y} \cdot d\nu_\alpha(z). \]

**Proof.** Let $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)$. By the definition of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha,Y}$, Fubini’s theorem, Lemma 1 and the reproducing kernel property, we have:
\[ \langle h_b(f), g \rangle_{\alpha,Y} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle h_b(f)(z), g(z) \rangle_{Y,Y} \cdot d\nu_\alpha(z) \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(w)(f(w))d\nu_\alpha(w), g(z) \rangle_{Y,Y} \cdot d\nu_\alpha(z) \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} g(z) \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)(f(w))d\nu_\alpha(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right) d\nu_\alpha(z) \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} g(z) \frac{b(w)(f(w))d\nu_\alpha(z)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right) d\nu_\alpha(w) \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} g(w) \left( b(w)(f(w)) \right) d\nu_\alpha(w). \]

It remains to show that the assumption of Fubini’s theorem is fulfilled. Indeed, since $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)$, by Tonelli’s theorem, Theorem 18 and relation (1.6) we have that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} g(z) \left( b(w)(f(w)) \right) \frac{d\nu_\alpha(w)d\nu_\alpha(z)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\| b(w) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} d\nu_\alpha(w)d\nu_\alpha(z)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \]
\[ \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \| b(w) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \log \left( \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \right) d\nu_\alpha(w) < \infty. \]

**Lemma 24.** Let $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. For $b \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ satisfying (1.4) and (1.6), the function
\[ g_z(w) := \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}, \quad w \in \mathbb{B}_n \]
belongs to $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and the following identity holds:

$$h_b(f)(z) = C_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} L_k \left( b(w)(g_z(w)) \right) d\nu_{\alpha+k}(w),$$

where $k$ is any positive integer and $C_k$ is a positive constant depending only on $k$.

**Proof.** It is clear that $g_z \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$. By the definition of the little Hankel operator and the reproducing kernel property, we have

$$h_b(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu_\alpha(w)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(w) \left( \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right) d\nu_\alpha(w)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(w)(g_z(w))d\nu_\alpha(w)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(w) \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{g_z(\zeta)}{(1 - \langle w, \zeta \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} d\nu_{\alpha+k}(\zeta) \right) d\nu_\alpha(w)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)(g_z(\zeta))}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} d\nu_{\alpha+k}(\zeta) \right) d\nu_\alpha(\zeta)$$

$$= c_k^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} L_k \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)(g_z(\zeta))}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} d\nu_{\alpha+k}(\zeta) \right) d\nu_\alpha(\zeta).$$

The assumption of Fubini’s theorem is fulfilled. Indeed by (1.6), we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)(g_z(\zeta))}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} d\nu_{\alpha+k}(\zeta) \right\|_Y d\nu_\alpha(\zeta)$$

$$\leq \|g_z\|_{\infty,X} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \frac{b(w)}{(1 - \langle w, \zeta \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} \right\|_{L(\mathcal{X}, Y)} d\nu_{\alpha+k}(\zeta)$$

$$= \|g_z\|_{\infty,X} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| b(w) \right\|_{L(\mathcal{X}, Y)}$$

$$\times \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{d\nu_{\alpha+k}(\zeta)}{|1 - \langle w, \zeta \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+k}} \right) d\nu_\alpha(\zeta)$$

$$\leq \|g_z\|_{\infty,X} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| b(w) \right\|_{L(\mathcal{X}, Y)} \left( \log \frac{1}{|w|^2} \right) d\nu_\alpha(\zeta)$$

$$< \infty.$$
where \( c_k \) is the positive constant in Lemma [19]. It is clear that \( \wedge_g \) is linear and is well defined on \( A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Indeed, let \( f \in A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). By Lemma [15] we have

\[
| \wedge_g (f) | = c_k \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (f(z), D_k g(z))_{X,X} \cdot (1 - |z|^2)^k d\nu_\alpha(z) \right |
\]

\[
\leq c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \| f(z) \|_X \| D_k g(z) \|_X \cdot (1 - |z|^2)^k d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
= c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| D_k g(z) \|_X \cdot (1 - |z|^2)^\gamma \| f(z) \|_X d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| D_k g(z) \|_X \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^\gamma \| f(z) \|_X d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
\leq \| g \| \| \Gamma_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2} - (n+1+\alpha)} \| f(z) \|_X d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
\leq \| g \| \| \Gamma_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \| \| f \|_{p, \alpha, X}.
\]

We conclude that \( \wedge_g \) is bounded on \( A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( \| \wedge_g \| \leq \| g \| \| \Gamma_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \| \).

Conversely, let \( \wedge \) be a bounded linear functional on \( A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Let us show that there exists \( g \in \Gamma_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \), with \( \gamma = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) \) such that \( \wedge = \wedge_g \). Since \( A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \subset A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( \wedge \) is bounded on \( A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \), \( \wedge \) is also bounded on \( A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Then by Theorem [11] there exists \( g \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \) such that

\[
(3.1) \quad \wedge (f) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), g(z) \rangle_{X,X} \cdot d\nu_\alpha(z),
\]

for all \( f \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Since \( g \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \), for any positive integer \( k \), we have \( D_k g \in A^2_{\alpha+k}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \). Applying Lemma [10] in (3.1), we obtain that

\[
(3.2) \quad \wedge (f) = c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), D_k g(z) \rangle_{X,X} \cdot (1 - |z|^2)^k d\nu_\alpha(z),
\]

for all \( f \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Now, we fix \( x \in X \), \( w \in \mathbb{B}_n \) and an integer \( k > \gamma \). Let

\[
f(z) = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} x, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]

By Theorem [18] we have that \( f \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Proposition [13] and (3.2), give us

\[
\wedge(f) = c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), D_k g(z) \rangle_{X,X} \cdot (1 - |z|^2)^k d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
= c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} x, D_k g(z) \rangle_{X,X} \cdot (1 - |z|^2)^k d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{c_\alpha c_k}{c_{\alpha+k}} (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \langle x, \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{D_k g(z)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} d\nu_\alpha(z) \rangle_{X,X},
\]

\[
= \frac{c_\alpha c_k}{c_{\alpha+k}} (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \langle x, D_k g(w) \rangle_{X,X}.
\]
By Theorem 18, \( f \in A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( \| f \|_{p,\alpha,X} \lesssim \| x \|_X \). Since \( x \) is arbitrary, by duality, we have that
\[
\| D_k g(w) \|_{X^*} = \sup_{\| x \| = 1} |\langle x, D_k g(w) \rangle_{X,X^*}| \\
\quad \quad \quad = \frac{c_{\alpha+k}}{c_\alpha c_k} \sup_{\| x \| = 1} \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}} \| f \| \\
\quad \quad \quad \lesssim \sup_{\| x \| = 1} \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}} \| \| x \|_X \\
\quad \quad \quad \lesssim \frac{\| x \|_X}{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}}.
\]

According to Corollary 22, we conclude that
\[
g \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \| g \|_{\Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)} \lesssim \| x \|,
\]
with \( \gamma = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) \). To finish the proof, it remains to show that (3.1) remains true for functions in \( A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) which is a direct consequence of the density in Corollary 10.

\[\square\]

4. The Proofs of Theorem 4 and Corollary 5

In this section, we will give the proofs of Theorem 4 and Corollary 5.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 4

\[\textbf{Proof.}\] First assume that \( h_b \) extends to a bounded operator from \( A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) to \( A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \), with \( q < 1 \). Let \( \| h_b \| := \| h_b \|_{A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)} \). We want to show that \( b \in \Gamma_\beta(\mathbb{B}_n, L(X,Y)) \). Since \( h_b : A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) is a bounded operator, we have by Theorem 3 that
\[
\| \langle h_b(f), g \rangle_{\alpha,Y} \| \lesssim \| h_b \| \| f \|_{p,\alpha,X} \| g \|_{\Gamma_\beta(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)},
\]
for every \( f \in A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( g \in \Gamma_\beta(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*) \), with \( \beta = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{q} - 1 \right) \). Let \( x \in X \), \( y^* \in Y^* \), \( w \in \mathbb{B}_n \) and an integer \( k \) such that \( k > \gamma = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{q} - 1 \right) \).

Let \( g(z) = y^* \) and \( f(z) = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} x \). It is clear that \( f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( g \in \Gamma_\beta(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*) \), with \( \| g \|_{\Gamma_\beta(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)} = \| y^* \|_{Y^*} \). We also have by Theorem 18 that \( f \in A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \), with \( \| f \|_{p,\alpha,X} \lesssim \| x \|_X \). Hence
\[
\| \langle h_b(f), g \rangle_{\alpha,Y} \| \lesssim \| h_b \| \| x \|_X \| y^* \|_{Y^*},
\]

(4.1)
Applying Lemma 23 and the reproducing kernel property, we have that

\[
| \langle h_b(f), g \rangle_{\alpha,Y} | = \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \left( \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right)^n \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \, dv_\alpha(z) \right|
\]

\[
= (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right)^n \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \, dv_\alpha(z) \right|
\]

\[
= (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right)^n \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \, dv_\alpha(z) \right|
\]

\[
= (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right)^n \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \, dv_\alpha(z) \right|
\]

\[
= (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle L_k \left( b(z) \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right) \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \, dv_\alpha(z) \right|
\]

\[
= (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle L_k \left( b(z) \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right) \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \, dv_\alpha(z) \right|
\]

\[
= \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}}{c_k} \left| \langle L_k \left( b(z) \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right) \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \right|
\]

Thus,

\[
(4.2) \quad | \langle h_b(f), g \rangle_{\alpha,Y} | = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}}{c_k} \left| \langle L_k \left( b(z) \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right) \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \right|
\]

From (4.1), (4.2) and the fact that \( \|x\|_X = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{X^*} \), we deduce that

\[
(4.3) \quad (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \left| \langle L_k \left( b(z) \frac{\mathcal{F}}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right) \cdot \gamma^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \right| \lesssim \|h_b\| \|\mathcal{F}\|_{X^*} \|\gamma^*\|_{Y^*}
\]

Since \( x \) and \( \gamma^* \) are arbitrary, we get that

\[
\sup_{w \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| L_k b(w) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y^*)} \lesssim \| h_b \|.
\]

That is, \( b \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X,Y^*)) \) with \( \|b\|_{\Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X,Y))} \lesssim \| h_b \|.

Conversely, assume that \( b \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X,Y)) \) and let us prove that \( h_b \) extends to a bounded operator from \( A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) to \( A^1_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \). Choose a positive integer \( k > \gamma \), and let \( f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Taking \( g_z(w) = \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \), with \( w \in \mathbb{B}_n \).
and applying Lemma 2 and Lemma 2 and the assumption we obtain

$$
\|h_{b}f(z)\|_{Y} = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)(f(w))}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha}(w) \right\|_{Y}
$$

$$
= c_{k} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} L_{k} \left( b(w)g_{z}(w) \right) \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha+k}(w) \right\|_{Y}
$$

$$
= c_{k} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{L_{k} \left( b(w)f(w) \right)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha+k}(w) \right\|_{Y}
$$

$$
\leq c_{k} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \frac{L_{k} \left( b(w)f(w) \right)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right\| \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha+k}(w)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{c_{k}c_{\alpha+k}}{c_{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^{2})^{k-\gamma} \|L_{k}b(w)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X},Y)} \|f(w)\|_{\mathcal{X}}(1 - |w|^{2})^{\gamma}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha}(w)
$$

$$
\leq \|b\|_{\Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X},Y))} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^{2})^{\gamma} \|f(w)\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha}(w)
$$

$$
= \|b\|_{\Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X},Y))} P_{\alpha}^{+}g(z),
$$

where the reproducing kernel is justified by (1.4) and

$$
P_{\alpha}^{+}g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^{2})^{\gamma} \|f(w)\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha}(w)
$$
is the positive Bergman operator of the positive function \(g(z) = (1 - |z|^{2})^{\gamma} \|f(z)\|_{X}\).

Now, let \(\lambda > 0\). We have that

\[\nu_{\alpha}(\{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \|h_{b}f(z)\|_{Y} > \lambda\} \leq \nu_{\alpha}(\{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \nu_{\alpha}(\{b\|_{\Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X},Y)) \|P_{\alpha}^{+}g(z) > \lambda\} \}
\]

Since the positive Bergman operator \(P_{\alpha}^{+} : L_{1}^{+}(\mathbb{B}_n) \rightarrow L_{1}^{+}(\mathbb{B}_n)\) is bounded (cf.

e.g. 2), there exists a constant \(c\) such that

\[\nu_{\alpha}(\{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \nu_{\alpha}(\{b\|_{\Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X},Y)) \|P_{\alpha}^{+}g(z) > \lambda\} \}
\]

Applying Lemma 13 to the function \(f\), we get that

\[\|g\|_{L_{1}^{+}(\mathbb{B}_n)} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\gamma} \|f(z)\|_{X} \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha}(z)
\]

\[= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^{2})^{(\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1)(n+1+\alpha)} \|f(z)\|_{X} \mathrm{d}v_{\alpha}(z)
\]

\[\leq \|f\|_{p,\alpha,X}
\]

It follows that

\[\lambda \nu_{\alpha}(\{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \|h_{b}f(z)\|_{Y} > \lambda\} \leq \|b\|_{\Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X},Y))} \|f\|_{p,\alpha,X}
\]

for all \(\lambda > 0\). Therefore, \(h_{b}\) extends into a bounded operator from \(A_{p}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)\) to \(A_{1,\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)\) with

\[\|h_{b}\|_{A_{p}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \rightarrow A_{1,\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)} \leq \|b\|_{\Gamma_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X},Y))}.
\]

By density of \(H_{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)\) on \(A_{p}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)\), the proof of the theorem is finished. \(\square\)
4.2. Proof of Corollary 5

Proof. Just apply Lemma 17 and the second part of Theorem 4 to conclude. □

5. The Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. We first prove the sufficiency of the theorem. We assume that there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\|N^k b(w)\|_{L^2(X,Y)} \leq \frac{C'}{(1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma}} \left( \log \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^{-1}.$$ 

Likewise by Corollary 22 we have that, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|L_k b(w)\|_{L^2(X,Y)} \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma}} \left( \log \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^{-1}.$$ 

Applying Lemma 24 for any $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)(f(w))}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu\alpha(w) = c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{L_k b(w)(f(w))}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu\alpha+k(w).$$ 

Thus, by the assumption, Lemma 24 and Lemma 13 we have that

$$\|h_b f\|_{A^\alpha_{\ast}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| c_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{L_k b(w)(f(w))}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu\alpha+k(w) \right\|_{Y} d\nu\alpha(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \frac{L_k b(w)(f(w))}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right\|_{Y} (1 - |w|^2)^k d\nu\alpha(w) d\nu\alpha(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \frac{L_k b(w)(f(w))}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right\|_{L^2(X,Y)} \right) \|L_k b(w)\|_{L^2(X,Y)} \|f(w)\|_{L^\infty(X)} (1 - |w|^2)^k d\nu\alpha(w)$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \|f(w)\|_{X} \|L_k b(w)\|_{L^2(X,Y)} \|f(w)\|_{L^\infty(X)} (1 - |w|^2)^k d\nu\alpha(w) \right)$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|f(w)\|_{X} (1 - |w|^2)^\gamma d\nu\alpha(w)$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|f(w)\|_{X} (1 - |w|^2)^{(\frac{1}{2} - 1)(n+1+\alpha)} d\nu\alpha(w) \lesssim \|f\|_{p, X}.$$ 

Conversely, we assume that $h_b$ extends into a bounded operator from $A^\alpha_{\ast}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ to $A^\alpha_{\ast}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)$. Then for all $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in B(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^\ast)$, we have

$$\langle (h_b f)(g)\rangle_{\alpha,Y} \leq \|h_b\| \|f\|_{p, X} \|g\|_{B(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^\ast)}.$$

We choose the particular function $g(z) = y^\ast$, with $y^\ast \in Y^\ast$. Applying Lemma 23 relation (5.1) becomes

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle h_b f(z), y^\ast \rangle_{Y,Y} \right| d\nu\alpha(z) = \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(z) f(z) d\nu\alpha(z), y^\ast \right|_{Y,Y} \leq \|h_b\| \|f\|_{p, X} \|y^\ast\|_{Y^\ast}.$$ 

Thus

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) f(z), y^\ast \rangle_{Y,Y} \right| \leq \|h_b\| \|f\|_{p, X} \|y^\ast\|_{Y^\ast}.$$
for all \( f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( y^* \in Y^* \). Now, take \( x \in X, y^* \in Y^* \), and an integer \( k \) such that \( k > \gamma \). Fix \( w \in \mathbb{B}_n \) and put

\[
 f(z) = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha + k}} x ; \quad g(z) = \log(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)y^* ,
\]

where \( \log \) is the principal branch of the logarithm. Since \( f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*) \), by relation (5.1), we have that

\[
|\langle h_b f, g \rangle|_{a,Y} \leq \|h_b\| \|x\| \|y^*\|_{Y^*} .
\]

Applying Lemma 23 for those particular vector-valued holomorphic functions \( f \) and \( g \) and using the fact that

\[
\log(1 - \langle w, z \rangle) = \log(1 - |w|^2) + \log \left( \frac{1 - \langle w, z \rangle}{1 - |w|^2} \right),
\]

we obtain

\[
\langle h_b f, g \rangle_{a,Y} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha + k}} x, \log(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)y^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \, d\nu_{\alpha}(z)
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(z) \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma} \log(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha + k}} x \, d\nu_{\alpha}(z), y^* \right)_{Y,Y^*}
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(z) \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma}}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha + k}} \log \left( \frac{1 - \langle w, z \rangle}{1 - |w|^2} \right) x \, d\nu_{\alpha}(z), y^* \right)_{Y,Y^*}
\]

\[
= (1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma} \log(1 - |w|^2) \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(z)(\overline{\varphi})}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha}} d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right), y^* \right)_{Y,Y^*}
\]

\[
+ \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(z) \left( f(z) \log \left( \frac{1 - \langle z, w \rangle}{1 - |w|^2} \right) x \right) \, d\nu_{\alpha}(z), y^* \right)_{Y,Y^*}
\]

\[
= (1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma} \log(1 - |w|^2) \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(z)(\varphi)}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha}} d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right), y^* \right)_{Y,Y^*}
\]

where \( \varphi(z) = f(z) \log \left( \frac{1 - \langle z, w \rangle}{1 - |w|^2} \right) \). Therefore, we can write \( \langle h_b f, g \rangle_{a,Y} = I_1 + I_2 \), with

\[
I_1 = (1 - |w|^2)^{k - \gamma} \log(1 - |w|^2) \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(z)(\overline{\varphi})}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha}} d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right), y^* \right)_{Y,Y^*}
\]

and

\[
I_2 = \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} b(z)(\varphi) x \, d\nu_{\alpha}(z), y^* \right)_{Y,Y^*}.
\]
Applying Lemma \[14\] with \( \delta = p \) and \( \beta = p(k - \gamma) \), we obtain that

\[
\|\varphi\|_{p,\alpha,X} = \left( \int_{B_n} \left| \log \left( \frac{1 - \langle z, w \rangle}{1 - |w|^2} \right) \right|^p \left( 1 - |w|^2 \right)^{p(k-\gamma)} |x|^5_k I_n \, \nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/p}.
\]

Since \( x \) and \( w \) are arbitrary, we deduce that:

\[
\|\varphi\|_{p,\alpha,X} \leq \left( \int_{B_n} \left| \log \left( \frac{1 - \langle z, w \rangle}{1 - |w|^2} \right) \right|^p \left( 1 - |w|^2 \right)^{p(k-\gamma)} |x|^5_k I_n \, \nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/p} \lesssim \|x\|_X.
\]

According to the relation \( [5, \text{Proposition 1}] \), we obtain the following estimation of \( I_2 \)

\[
|I_2| \leq \|h_b\|\|\varphi\|_{p,\alpha,X} \|y^*\|_{Y^*} \lesssim \|h_b\|\|x\|_X \|y^*\|_{Y^*}.
\]

Since \( I_1 = \langle h_b, f, g \rangle_{\alpha,Y} - I_2 \), by the relation \( [5, \text{Proposition 1}] \) and the previous estimates on \( I_2 \), we have that

\[
|I_1| \leq |\langle h_b, f, g \rangle_{\alpha,Y}| + |I_2| \lesssim \|h_b\|\|x\|_X \|y^*\|_{Y^*}.
\]

Since \( x \in X \), \( y^* \in Y^* \) are arbitrary and \( \|x\|_X = \|\tau\|_{X^*} \), we get that

\[
|I_1| = (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \log \left( \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \right) \|\mathcal{L}_k(b(w)(\tau)), y^*\|_{Y^*,Y^*} \leq C\|h_b\|\|\tau\|_{X^*} \|y^*\|_{Y^*}.
\]

Since \( \tau \in X \) and \( y^* \in Y^* \) are arbitrary, we deduce that:

\[
\|\mathcal{L}_k(b(w))\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \sup_{|\tau| = 1, \|\tau\|_{Y^*} = 1} |\langle \mathcal{L}_k(b(w)(\tau)), y^*\rangle_{Y,Y^*}| \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma}} \left( \log \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^{-1}.
\]

The desired result follows at once using Corollary \[22\].

\[ \square \]

6. Compactness of the little Hankel operator, \( h_b \), with operator-valued symbols \( b \) from \( A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) to \( A^q_{\beta}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \), with \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \)

In this section, we are going to characterize those symbols \( b \) for which the little Hankel operator extends into a bounded compact operator from \( A^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) to \( A^q_{\beta}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \), where \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \) and \( X,Y \) are two reflexive complex Banach spaces.

6.1. Preliminaries notions. The proof of the following remark can be found in \[11\] Proposition 1.6.1.

Remark 25. Let \( t \geq 0 \). Then the operator \( R^{\alpha,t} \) is the unique continuous linear operator on \( \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) satisfying

\[
R^{\alpha,t} \left( \frac{x}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right) = \frac{x}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+t}},
\]

for every \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \) and \( x \in X \).

We will use the operator \( R^{\alpha,t} \), for \( t > 0 \), in the vector-valued Bergman space \( A^1_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) as follows:

Proposition 26. Let \( t > 0 \) and \( f \in A^1_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). Then

\[
R^{\alpha,t} f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+t}} \nu_\alpha(w),
\]

for each \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \).

The proof of the following proposition is not quite different to the proof in \[13\] Proposition 1.15, but for sake of the completeness, we will recall the proof.
Proposition 27. Suppose $N$ is a positive integer and $\alpha$ is a real such that $n + \alpha$ is not a negative integer. Then $R^{\alpha,N}$ as an operator acting on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ is a linear partial differential operator of order $N$ with polynomial coefficients, that is

$$R^{\alpha,N}f(z)= \sum_{m\in \mathbb{N}^n, |m|\leq N} p_m(z) \frac{\partial^{|m|} f}{\partial z^m}(z),$$

where each $p_m$ is a polynomial.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $w \in \mathbb{B}_n$. By using the multinomial formula

$$\langle z, w \rangle^k = \sum_{|m|=k} \frac{k!}{m!} z^m \bar{w}^m,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{x}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+N}} = \frac{x(1 - \langle z, w \rangle + \langle z, w \rangle)^N}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+N}} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!} \frac{\langle z, w \rangle^k x (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+N}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}}.

Therefore, there exists a constant $c_{mk}$ such that

$$R^{\alpha,N} \left( \frac{x}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{|m|=k} c_{mk} z^m \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^m} \left( \frac{x}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \right).$$

Thus

$$R^{\alpha,N} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{|m|=k} c_{mk} z^m \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^m}.$$

We will also need the following results whose proofs can be found in [11].

Lemma 28. Let $t > 0$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z)g(z)\,d\nu_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} R^{\alpha,t}f(z)\,d\nu_t(z),$$

for all $f \in A_\alpha^n(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 29. Let $t > 0$ and $X$ a complex Banach space. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), g(z) \rangle_{X,X} \,d\nu_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle R^{\alpha,t}f(z), g(z) \rangle_{X,X} \,d\nu_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f(z), R^{\alpha,t}g(z) \rangle_{X,X} \,d\nu_t(z),$$

for every $f \in A_\alpha^n(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)$. 
Proof. Since $p$, then for every $f$ valued symbol is a monomial.

In the sequel, we will need to interchange the position of the summation symbol and the integral symbol in a particular situation. That is why we introduce this lemma.

Lemma 31. Assume $1 < t < \infty$. Let $b(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \hat{b}(\beta)z^\beta \in A_t^p(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \overline{f(z)}, y_0^* \rangle_{Y, Y^*} \cdot d\nu_\alpha(z) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle R^{n,t} b(z) \overline{f(z)}, g(z) \rangle_{Y, Y^*} \cdot d\nu_{\alpha+t}(z)$$

for $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)$.

In the following lemma, we compute the little Hankel operator when the operator-valued symbol is a monomial.

Corollary 30. Suppose $t > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If $b \in A'_p(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$, where $p'$ is the conjugate exponent of $p$, then the following equality holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \overline{f(z)}, g(z) \rangle_{Y, Y^*} \cdot d\nu_\alpha(z) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle R^{n,t} b(z) \overline{f(z)}, g(z) \rangle_{Y, Y^*} \cdot d\nu_{\alpha+t}(z)$$

for $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)$. 

Proof. Since $b(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \hat{b}(\beta)z^\beta \in A_t^p(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$, we have that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left| \left| b(z) - \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq N} \hat{b}(\beta)z^\beta \right| \right|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}^t d\nu_\alpha(z) = 0.$$

We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left| \left| b(z) - \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq N} \hat{b}(\beta)z^\beta \right| \right|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}^t d\nu_\alpha(z) \leq \left\| f(z) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \left\| f(z) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,Y^*)} d\nu_\alpha(z) = \left\| f(z) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \left\| f(z) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,Y^*)}.$$
Lemma 32. Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$. If $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, then for every $f(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\beta z^\beta \in A^p_\alpha (\mathbb{B}, X)$, we have

$$h_{\alpha, z^\gamma} f(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} a_\beta (c_\beta)^{\gamma (n + 1 + \alpha + |\gamma - \beta|)/((\gamma - \beta) n + 1 + \alpha + |\gamma|)} z^{\gamma - \beta}.$$

Proof. Since

$$f(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\beta z^\beta \in A^p_\alpha (\mathbb{B}, X),$$

and $p > 1$ by using [16, Corollary 4], it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{|\beta| \geq N + 1} c_\beta z^\beta \right\|_X^p \, d\nu_\alpha(z) \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty. \quad (6.1)$$

Firstly, let us prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma (c_\beta) w^\beta \right\|_Y \, d\nu_\alpha(w) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| a_\gamma \sum_{|\beta| \geq N + 1} (c_\beta) w^\beta \right\|_Y \, d\nu_\alpha(w) \quad (6.2)$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma (c_\beta) w^\beta \right\|_Y \, d\nu_\alpha(w) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| a_\gamma \left( \sum_{|\beta| \geq N + 1} (c_\beta) w^\beta \right) \right\|_Y \, d\nu_\alpha(w) \leq \frac{\|a_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}}{(1 - |z|)^{n + 1 + \alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{|\beta| \geq N + 1} c_\beta w^\beta \right\|_X \, d\nu_\alpha(w) \leq \frac{\|a_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}}{(1 - |z|)^{n + 1 + \alpha}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{|\beta| \geq N + 1} c_\beta w^\beta \right\|_X^p \, d\nu_\alpha(w) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma (c_\beta) w^\beta - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\gamma (c_\beta) w^\beta \right\|_Y \, d\nu_\alpha(w) \right\|_Y$$

is less than or equal to

$$\frac{\|a_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}}{(1 - |z|)^{n + 1 + \alpha}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{|\beta| \geq N + 1} c_\beta w^\beta \right\|_X^p \, d\nu_\alpha(w) \right)^{1/p}. \quad (6.3)$$
Let $N$ be as in (6.3), it follows that
\[
\left\| \int_{B_n} \frac{\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} a_\gamma(c_\beta)w^\beta}{(1 - (z, w))^{n+1+\alpha}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w) \right\| \rightarrow 0
\]
as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and so
\[
\int_{B_n} \frac{\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} a_\gamma(c_\beta)w^\beta}{(1 - (z, w))^{n+1+\alpha}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_n} \frac{\sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\gamma(c_\beta)w^\beta}{(1 - (z, w))^{n+1+\alpha}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
which is the desired result. Secondly, let us prove that
\[
\int_{B_n} \frac{\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} a_\gamma(c_\beta)w^\beta}{(1 - (z, w))^{n+1+\alpha}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{a_\gamma(c_\beta)w^\beta}{(1 - (z, w))^{n+1+\alpha}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} (z, w)^k\, d\nu_\alpha(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} (z, w)^k\, d\nu_\alpha(w).
\]

Since
\[
\int_{B_n} \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1+\alpha}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w) = \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1+\alpha}}.
\]

by the dominated convergence theorem, we have that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_n} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} (z, w)^k\, d\nu_\alpha(w) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{B_n} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} (z, w)^k\, d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} (z, w)^k\, d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \int_{B_n} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} (z, w)^k\, d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \int_{B_n} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} (z, w)^k\, d\nu_\alpha(w).
\]
We are now ready to prove our lemma. For \( f(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\beta z^\beta \in A_p^0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \), by using the following multi-nominal formula (\cite{Hankel} (1.1))
\[
\langle z, w \rangle^k = \sum_{|\gamma| = k} \frac{k!}{m!} z^m w^m
\]
and the following formula (\cite{Hankel} (1.23))
\[
\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |z|^m \nu_\alpha(z) = \frac{m! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(n + |m| + \alpha + 1)},
\]
we get that
\[
h_{a, z} f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{a^w_\gamma \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\beta w^\beta \right)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{w^\gamma \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma(c_\beta) w^\beta}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{w^\gamma a_\gamma(c_\beta) w^\beta}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu_\alpha(w) \quad \text{(by (2))}
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma(1) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{w^\gamma w^\beta}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} \langle z, w \rangle^k d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma(1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{w^\gamma w^\beta}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \sum_{|\gamma| = k} \frac{k!}{m!} z^m w^m d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma(1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + k)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)k!} \sum_{|\gamma| = k} \frac{k!}{m!} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{w^\gamma z^m}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} w^\beta d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma(1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + |m|)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)m!} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} w^\gamma z^m w^\beta d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma(1) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + |m|)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)m!} z^m \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} w^\gamma w^3 + m d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\gamma(1) \sum_{\beta \leq \gamma} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + |\gamma - \beta|)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)(\gamma - \beta)!} z^{\gamma - \beta} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |z|^2 d\nu_\alpha(w)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} a_\gamma(1) \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + |\gamma - \beta|)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)(\gamma - \beta)!} \frac{\gamma! \Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + |\gamma|)} z^{\gamma - \beta}
\]
\[
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} a_\gamma(1) \frac{\gamma! \Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + |\gamma - \beta|)}{(\gamma - \beta)! \Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha + |\gamma|)} z^{\gamma - \beta}.
\]
Lemma 33. Suppose that $1 < p < \infty$. For each $x^* \in X^*$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, let

$$ e_{z,x^*}(w) = \frac{x^*}{(1 - (w,z))^{n+1+\alpha}}; \quad w \in \mathbb{B}_n. $$

Then $e_{z,x^*} \in A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)$ and the subspace generated by $e_{z,x^*}$ is dense in $A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ such that $\langle \phi, e_{z,x^*} \rangle_{\alpha,X} = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $x^* \in X^*$. Let $f^* \in A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)$. According to the Hahn-Banach theorem, it suffices to prove that $\langle \phi, f^* \rangle_{\alpha,X} = 0$. For all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $x^* \in X^*$, using Lemma 1 and the reproducing kernel formula, it follows that

$$ 0 = \langle \phi, e_{z,x^*} \rangle_{\alpha,X} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle \phi(w), e_{z,x^*}(w) \rangle_{X,X^*} d\nu_\alpha(w) $$

$$ = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle \phi(w), \frac{x^*}{(1 - (w,z))^{n+1+\alpha}} \rangle_{X,X^*} d\nu_\alpha(w) $$

$$ = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \phi(w) \left( \frac{(1 - (z,w))^{n+1+\alpha}}{(1 - (w,z))^{n+1+\alpha}} \right) x^* X \cdot d\nu_\alpha(w) $$

$$ = \langle \phi(z), x^* \rangle_{X,X^*}. $$

Therefore, for all $x^* \in X^*$, we have

$$ \langle \phi(z), x^* \rangle_{X,X^*} = 0. $$

Thus $\phi(z) = 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. It follows that for each $f^* \in A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)$, we have that

$$ \langle \phi, f^* \rangle_{\alpha,X} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle \phi(z), f^*(z) \rangle_{X,X^*} d\nu_\alpha(z) = 0. $$

In the proof of the following lemma, we use the fact that when $X$ is a reflexive complex Banach space and $1 < p < \infty$, the dual of the vector-valued Bergman space $A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)$ can be identified with $A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$, where $p'$ is the conjugate exponent of $p$.

Lemma 34. Suppose that $1 < p < \infty$, and $X$ is a reflexive complex Banach space. Let $\{f_j\} \subset A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ such that $f_j \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ as $j \to \infty$. Then for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have that $\partial^\beta f_j(0) \to 0$ weakly in $X$ as $j \to \infty$, where $\partial^\beta = \frac{\partial^{\longleftarrow} \beta}{\partial \nu^{\longleftarrow}}$.

Proof. Since for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_j \in A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$, using the reproducing kernel formula we have that

$$ f_j(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f_j(w)}{(1 - (z,w))^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu_\alpha(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n. $$

Differentiating both sides of the previous relation with respect to $z$, we obtain

$$ \partial^\beta f_j(z) = C(n, \alpha, |\beta|) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f_j(w) w^\beta}{(1 - (z,w))^{n+1+\alpha+|\beta|}} d\nu_\alpha(w). $$

Therefore, we have

$$ \partial^\beta f_j(0) = C(n, \alpha, |\beta|) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f_j(w) w^\beta d\nu_\alpha(w). $$
Now, let \( x^* \in X^* \) and let us show that \( \langle \partial^\beta f_j(0), x^* \rangle_{X,X^*} \to 0 \) as \( j \to \infty \). But we have that
\[
\langle \partial^\beta f_j(0), x^* \rangle_{X,X^*} = C(n, \alpha, |\beta|) \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f_j(w) \overline{w}^\beta \, d\nu(w), x^* \right)_{X,X^*},
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle f_j(w), x^* \overline{w}^\beta \rangle_{X,X^*} \, d\nu(w),
\]
\[
= \langle f_j, g \rangle_{\alpha,X} \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty,
\]
with \( g(z) = x^* z^\beta \in A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*) \). Thus, \( \langle \partial^\beta f_j(0), x^* \rangle_{X,X^*} \to 0 \) as \( j \to \infty \). \( \square \)

We recall that the symbol \( \mathbf{b} \) used in the following lemma satisfies \( (1.4) \) and \( (1.6) \).

**Lemma 35.** Suppose that \( X \) is a reflexive complex Banach space and \( k \) is a non-negative integer. If the holomorphic mapping \( z \mapsto b(z) \) maps \( \mathbb{B}_n \) into \( K(X, Y) \), then the holomorphic mapping \( z \mapsto R^{\alpha,k}b(z) \) also maps \( \mathbb{B}_n \) into \( K(X, Y) \).

**Proof.** Let \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \). Let \( \{f_j\} \) a sequence of elements of \( X \) which converges weakly to \( 0 \) in \( X \) as \( j \) tends to infinity. Let us prove that \( \lim_{j \to \infty} \|R^{\alpha,k}b(z)f_j\|_Y = 0 \). We know that the sequence \( \{f_j\} \) is strongly bounded in \( X \). Let \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), by using \( (1.4) \) for \( z = 0 \), we get that the function \( z \mapsto b(z)f_j \in A^1_n(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \). By the reproducing kernel formula, it follows that
\[
b(z)f_j = \frac{\langle b(w)f_j, \bar{z} \rangle}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \frac{b(w)f_j}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \, d\nu(w).
\]
Applying the partial differential operator \( R^{\alpha,k} \) to \( (6.5) \), we have
\[
R^{\alpha,k}b(z)f_j = \frac{\langle b(w)f_j, \bar{z} \rangle}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \frac{b(w)f_j}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \, d\nu(w).
\]
We also have
\[
\frac{\|b(w)f_j\|_Y}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^n+n+\alpha+k} \leq \frac{\|b(w)\|_{L_2(X,Y)}\|f_j\|_X}{(1 - |z|)^{n+\alpha+k}} \leq \frac{C(n + 1 + \alpha)}{(1 - |z|)^{n+\alpha+k}} \|b(w)\|_{L_2(X,Y)},
\]
and
\[
\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} C(n + 1 + \alpha) \frac{\|b(w)\|_{L_2(X,Y)}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^n+n+\alpha+k} \, d\nu(w) < \infty.
\]
Therefore, by applying the dominated convergence theorem, we have that
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \|R^{\alpha,k}b(z)f_j\|_Y \leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\|b(w)f_j\|_Y}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^n+n+\alpha+k} \, d\nu(w)
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\|b(w)f_j\|_Y}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^n+n+\alpha+k} \, d\nu(w) = 0.
\]
Thus, for each \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \)
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \|R^{\alpha,k}b(z)f_j\|_Y = 0.
\]
\( \square \)

The following result will be also important in the sequel.

**Lemma 36.** Suppose \( \beta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^n \), \( \{f_j\} \) a sequence of elements of \( X \) which converges weakly to \( 0 \) as \( j \) tends to infinity. For \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \), let \( x_j(z) = z^{\beta_0}f_j \). Then \( \{x_j\} \subset A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( \{x_j\} \) converges weakly to \( 0 \) in \( A^p_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \).
Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f_j \to 0$ weakly in $X$ as $j \to \infty$, it follows that $\{f_j\}$ is strongly bounded in $X$ (see [9]). Let $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $x_j(z) = z^{\beta_0}f_j$. It is clear that $\{x_j\} \subset A^p_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$. For every $g \in A^q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, X^*)$, we have

$$\langle x_j, g \rangle_{\alpha, X} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle x_j(z), g(z) \rangle_{X, X^*} d\nu_{\alpha}(z)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle z^{\beta_0}f_j, g(z) \rangle_{X, X^*} d\nu_{\alpha}(z)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} z^{\beta_0}\langle f_j, g(z) \rangle_{X, X^*} d\nu_{\alpha}(z).$$

Since

$$\left| z^{\beta_0}\langle f_j, g(z) \rangle_{X, X^*} \right| \leq \left| z^{\beta_0}\langle f_j, g(z) \rangle_{X, X^*} \right|$$

$$\leq \|f_j\|_X \|g(z)\|_{X^*}$$

$$\leq C\|g(z)\|_{X^*},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|g(z)\|_{X^*} d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|g(z)\|_{X^*}^p d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

By using the dominated convergence theorem and the assumption, it follows that

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \langle x_j, g \rangle_{\alpha, X} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} z^{\beta_0} \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle f_j, g(z) \rangle_{X, X^*} d\nu_{\alpha}(z) = 0.$$

$\square$

6.2. Boundedness of the little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbol on vector-valued Bergman spaces. The principal result here is that, the little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbol $h_b$ is a bounded operator form $A^p_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \to A^q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)$ with $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ if and only if the symbol $b$ belongs to the generalized vector-valued Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}))$, where

$$\gamma_0 = (n+1+\alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

The result obtained generalize the Oliver’s result [11] Theorem 4.2.2]. In the following lemma, we first prove that the definition of the generalized vector-valued Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$, with $\gamma \geq 0$ is independent of the integer $k$ used.

Lemma 37. Let $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(a) There exists a nonnegative integer $k > \gamma$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X < \infty.$$

(b) For every nonnegative integer $k > \gamma$ we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X < \infty.$$

Proof. It is clear that $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. So to complete the proof, we will prove that $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. Suppose that there exists an integer $k > \gamma$ such that

$c := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X < \infty.$

We want to prove that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k+1-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k+1}f(z)\|_X < \infty.$$
Thus, \( z \), where

\[
\|f\|_{1, \alpha, X} \approx \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^k \|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X \, d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} [(1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X] (1 - |z|^2)^\gamma \, d\nu_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
\lesssim c \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+\gamma} \, d\nu(z)
\]

\[
< \infty.
\]

By using Proposition 26, we have that

\[
R^{\alpha,k+1}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \frac{d\nu_\alpha(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k+1}}.
\]

Applying Lemma 28 it follows that

\[
R^{\alpha,k+1}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{R^{\alpha,k}f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k+1}} \, d\nu_\alpha(w).
\]

Thus,

\[
\|R^{\alpha,k+1}f(z)\|_X \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|(1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(w)\|_X| (1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha+\gamma} \, d\nu(w)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+k}}
\]

\[
\lesssim \frac{c}{(1 - |z|^2)^{k+1-\gamma}}.
\]

Therefore, we have that

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k+1-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k+1}f(z)\|_X \lesssim c < \infty.
\]

Also, if \( k \) is a nonnegative integer with \( k > \gamma \) such that

\[ c' := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k+1-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k+1}f(z)\|_X < \infty,
\]

then

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X < \infty.
\]

Applying Proposition 26 and Lemma 28 we have that

\[
R^{\alpha,k}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w) \, d\nu_\alpha(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{R^{\alpha,k+1}f(w) \, d\nu_\alpha(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k+1}},
\]

where \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \). By using Theorem 18 it follows that

\[
\|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|(1 - |w|^2)^{k+1-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k+1}f(w)\|_X| (1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha+\gamma} \, d\nu(w)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+k}}
\]

\[
= \frac{c'}{\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|(1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha+\gamma} \, d\nu(w)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+k+\gamma}}}
\]

\[
\lesssim \frac{c'}{(1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma}}.
\]

Since \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \) is arbitrary, we obtain that

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(z)\|_X \lesssim c' < \infty.
\]

The proof of the lemma is complete. \( \square \)

**Proposition 38.** Let \( \gamma \geq 0 \) and \( f \in \Lambda_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). The following conditions are equivalent:

1. \( f \in \Lambda_{\gamma,0}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \).
2. \( f \in \Lambda_{\gamma,1}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \).
3. \( f \in \Lambda_{\gamma,\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \).
4. \( f \) is \( X \)-radial.
Using the change of variables \( w = sz \), we then obtain

\[
\sup_{r < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(sz)\|_X = \sup_{rs < |w| < s} \left( 1 - \frac{|w|^2}{s^2} \right)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(w)\|_X \\
= \sup_{rs < |w| < s} \frac{1}{s^{2(k-\gamma)}} (s^2 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(w)\|_X \\
\leq 2^{2(k-\gamma)} \sup_{r^2 < |w| < 1} (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}f(w)\|_X.
\]

Proof. (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). Suppose that \( \frac{1}{2} < r < s < 1 \), and let \( f_s(z) = f(sz) \), \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \). By the definition, we have:

\[
\|f - f_s\|_{\Lambda, (\mathbb{B}_n, X)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \|R^{\alpha,k}(f - f_s)(z)\|_X \\
= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f_s)(z) \|_X \\
= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X \\
= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \|_X \\
+ \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X \\
\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \|_X \\
+ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X,
\]

where \( \chi_r \) is the characteristic function of the set \( \{|z| \leq r\} \). We first have the following estimate:

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \|_X \leq \\
\sup_{|z| \leq r} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \|_X \\
+ \sup_{r < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \|_X \\
= \sup_{r < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \|_X \\
\leq \sup_{r^2 < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \|_X.
\]

We secondly have the following estimate:

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X \leq \\
\sup_{|z| \leq r} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X \\
+ \sup_{r < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| \chi_r(z)(R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X \\
= \sup_{r < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X \\
+ \sup_{r < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X.
\]

Using the change of variables \( w = sz \), we then obtain

\[
\sup_{r < |z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X = \sup_{rs < |w| < s} \left( 1 - \frac{|w|^2}{s^2} \right)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(w) \|_X \\
= \sup_{rs < |w| < s} \frac{1}{s^{2(k-\gamma)}} (s^2 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(w) \|_X \\
\leq 2^{2(k-\gamma)} \sup_{r^2 < |w| < 1} (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(w) \|_X.
\]
It follows by using the assumption that
\[ \|f - f_s\|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} \leq C_\gamma \sup_{r < |w| < 1} (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(w) \|_X + \sup_{|z| \leq r} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \|_X, \]
with \( C_\gamma = 1 + 2^{2(k-\gamma)} \). Since \( (R^{\alpha,k}f)(sz) \to (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) \) in \( X \) uniformly on the compact set \( \{|z| \leq r\} \) as \( s \to 1^- \), we have
\[ \lim_{s \to 1^-} \sup_{|z| \leq r} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(z) - R^{\alpha,k}f(sz) \|_X = 0. \]
It follows that
\[ \lim_{s \to 1^-} \sup_{|w| \to 1} \| f - f_s \|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} = C_\gamma \sup_{|w| \to 1^-} (1 - |w|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f)(w) \|_X = 0. \]

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii). Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), by the assumption, there exists \( s_0 \in (0,1) \) such that
\[ (6.6) \quad \|f - f_{s_0}\|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X)} < \epsilon. \]
Further note that \( f_{s_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\frac{1}{s_0}\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) and \( 1 < \frac{2}{1+s_0} < \frac{1}{s_0} \). From this, and by using Taylor’s formula, it follows that for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists a \( X \)-valued polynomial \( p_m \) such that
\[ \lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{z \in \frac{2}{1+s_0} \mathbb{B}_n} |f_{s_0}(z) - p_m(z)|_X = 0. \]
Therefore, there exists \( m_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[ (6.7) \quad \sup_{z \in \frac{2}{1+s_0} \mathbb{B}_n} |f_{s_0}(z) - p_m(z)|_X < \epsilon, \]
for \( m \geq m_0 \). By the Cauchy’s inequality, there exists a constant \( c_{s_0} > 0 \) such that for each \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) we have
\[ (6.8) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \frac{\partial f_{s_0}}{\partial z_i} \right\|_X \leq c_{s_0} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} |f_{s_0}(z) - P_m(z)|_X. \]
Suppose \( k \) is a nonnegative integer with \( k > \gamma \). By using (6.8) and Theorem 27 there is a constant \( c = c(s_0, n, \alpha, k) \) such that
\[ (6.9) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f_{s_0})(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}p_{m_0})(z) \|_X \leq c \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} |f_{s_0}(z) - P_{m_0}(z)|_X. \]
It follows by (5.5) and (6.7) that
\[ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| R^{\alpha,k}(f_{s_0} - p_{m_0})(z) \|_X \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \| (R^{\alpha,k}f_{s_0})(z) - (R^{\alpha,k}p_{m_0})(z) \|_X \leq c \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} |f_{s_0}(z) - P_{m_0}(z)|_X < \epsilon. \]
Thus
\[ (6.10) \quad \|f_{s_0} - p_{m_0}\|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n), X} < \epsilon. \]
Using (6.6) and (6.10), it follows that
\[ \|f - p_{m_0}\|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n), X} \leq \|f - f_{s_0}\|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n), X} + \|f_{s_0} - p_{m_0}\|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n), X} < \epsilon + \epsilon = (1 + c)\epsilon. \]

(iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). Let \( f \) in the closure of the set of vector-valued polynomial \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \), in \( \Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \). There exists a sequence of vector-valued polynomials \( \{p_m\} \) in \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \) such that
\[ (6.11) \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \|f - p_m\|_{\Lambda_n(\mathbb{B}_n), X} = 0. \]
Let us prove that for each $k > \gamma$,
\[ \lim_{|z| \to 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k} f)(z) \|_X = 0. \]

Let $k > \gamma$. We have that
\[
\| (R^{\alpha,k} f)(z) \|_X \leq \| (R^{\alpha,k} f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k} p_m)(z) \|_X + \| (R^{\alpha,k} p_m)(z) \|_X \\
\leq \| (R^{\alpha,k} f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k} p_m)(z) \|_X + \| R^{\alpha,k} p_m \|_{\infty,X},
\]
where $\| R^{\alpha,k} p_m \|_{\infty,X} = \max_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \| (R^{\alpha,k} p_m)(z) \|_X$. It follows that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
\[
(1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k} f)(z) \|_X \leq (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| (R^{\alpha,k} f)(z) - (R^{\alpha,k} p_m)(z) \|_X \\
+ (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| R^{\alpha,k} p_m \|_{\infty,X}.
\]

Letting $|z| \to 1^-$, we obtain that
\[
\limsup_{|z| \to 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| R^{\alpha,k} f(z) \|_X \leq \| f - p_m \|_{\Lambda_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n,X)}.
\]

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, letting $m \to \infty$ on both sides of the previous inequality, it follows by (6.11) that
\[
\limsup_{|z| \to 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma} \| R^{\alpha,k} f(z) \|_X = 0.
\]

The proof of the proposition is complete.

\[\square\]

**Remark 39.** One of the consequences of the previous result is that, given $\gamma \geq 0$, the generalized little vector-valued Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\gamma,0}(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$ is a closed subspace of the generalized vector-valued Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$.

From now on, we choose $\gamma_0 = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)$, with $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and we consider the generalized vector-valued Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$.

**Corollary 40.** Suppose $1 \leq t < \infty$. Then $\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,X) \subset A_t^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$.

**Proof.** Let $k > \gamma_0$. Applying (11) Theorem 3.1.2], for $f \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$, we have that
\[
\| f \|_{t,\alpha,X} \simeq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \| (1 - |z|^2)^{k \gamma_0} \| R^{\alpha,k} f(z) \|_X \|\nu_\alpha(z) \\
= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} [(1 - |z|^2)^{k - \gamma_0} \| R^{\alpha,k} f(z) \|_X] (1 - |z|^2)^\gamma_0 \|\nu_\alpha(z) \\
\leq \| f \|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,X)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha + \gamma_0} \|\nu(z) < \infty.
\]

In what follows, we assume that $X,Y$ are reflexives complex Banach spaces. We first introduce the following proposition which will be used in the proof of Theorem 38.

**Proposition 41.** Suppose $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $0 \leq r < 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$. If $a_r \in \mathcal{K}(X,Y)$, then the little Hankel operator $h_{g_r^a} : A^\alpha_0(\mathbb{B}_n,X) \to A^\alpha_0(\mathbb{B}_n,Y)$ is a compact operator, where $g_r^a(z) = a_r(rz)^r$ for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

**Proof.** Let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence in $A^\alpha_0(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$ such that $f_j \to 0$ weakly in $A^\alpha_0(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$ as $j$ tends to infinity. We want to prove that $\lim_{j \to \infty} \| h_{g_r^a} f_j \|_{q,\alpha,Y} = 0$. Let the Taylor expansion of $f_j$ given by $f_j(z) = \sum_{|\beta| < \infty} c_{\beta}^j z^\beta \in A^\alpha_0(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$. Since $f_j \to 0$ weakly in $A^\alpha_0(\mathbb{B}_n,X)$, applying Lemma 33, using the fact that $c_{\beta}^j = \partial^\beta f_j(0)/\beta!$, we
have that for all \( \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, c^j_\beta \to 0 \) weakly in \( X \) as \( j \to \infty \). By Lemma 32 for every \( z \in \mathcal{B}_n \), we have

\[
h_{g^j}f_j(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta) \frac{\gamma!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma-\beta|)}{(\gamma-\beta)!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma|)} r^{|\gamma-\beta|} z^{|\gamma-\beta|}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\|h_{g^j}f_j\|_{q,\alpha,Y} = \left( \int_{\mathcal{B}_n} \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta) \frac{\gamma!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma-\beta|)}{(\gamma-\beta)!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma|)} (rz)^{|\gamma-\beta|} \right)^q d\nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/q}
\]

\[
\leq \left( \int_{\mathcal{B}_n} \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} |a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta)|^q \frac{\gamma!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma-\beta|)}{(\gamma-\beta)!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma|)} (rz)^{|\gamma-\beta|} \right) d\nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/q}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} \left( \int_{\mathcal{B}_n} \left( |a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta)|^q \frac{\gamma!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma-\beta|)}{(\gamma-\beta)!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma|)} (rz)^{|\gamma-\beta|} \right) d\nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/q}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} \frac{\gamma!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma-\beta|)}{(\gamma-\beta)!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma|)} |a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta)|^q_{Y},
\]

where the third line above is justified by the Minkowsky’s inequality for integrals.

Thus,

\[
(6.12) \quad \|h_{g^j}f_j\|_{q,\alpha,Y} \lesssim \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} \frac{\gamma!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma-\beta|)}{(\gamma-\beta)!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma|)} |a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta)|_{Y}.
\]

Now, since \( c^j_\beta \to 0 \) weakly in \( X \) as \( j \to \infty \), it is clear that \( c^j_\beta \to 0 \) weakly in \( \overline{X} \) as \( j \to \infty \). By the assumption, we know that \( a_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{K}(\overline{X}, Y) \). Since \( c^j_\beta \to 0 \) weakly in \( \overline{X} \) as \( j \to \infty \), we have that \( |a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta)|_{Y} \to 0 \) as \( j \to \infty \). It follows that

\[
\limsup_{j \to \infty} \|h_{g^j}f_j\|_{q,\alpha,Y} \lesssim \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \beta \leq \gamma} \frac{\gamma!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma-\beta|)}{(\gamma-\beta)!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+|\gamma|)} \lim_{j \to \infty} |a_{\gamma}(c^j_\beta)|_{Y} = 0.
\]

Hence, \( h_{g^j}f_j \to 0 \) weakly in \( \mathcal{K}(\overline{X}, Y) \) as \( j \to \infty \).

Let us state Oliver’s result on the boundedness of the little Hankel operator with operator-valued symbol between vector-valued Bergman spaces.

**Theorem 42.** Let \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \). The little Hankel operator \( h_b : A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \to A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y) \) is a bounded operator if and only if \( b \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\overline{X}, Y)) \), where

\[
\gamma = 1 + (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right).
\]

Moreover

\[
\|h_b\|_{A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \to A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)} \simeq \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\overline{X}, Y))}.
\]

**Remark 43.** Suppose \( 1 < p < q < \infty \), and \( \gamma = 1 + (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \). Then \( \gamma \) is not always positive. Indeed, since \( 1/q - 1/p \in (-1, 1) \), then \( \gamma \in (-n - \alpha, n + 2 + \alpha) \). It follows that when \( \gamma \in (-n + \alpha, 0) \), the vector-valued \( \gamma \)-Bloch space \( \mathcal{B}_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\overline{X}, Y)) \) is not interesting and does not make sense since the
definition of the vector-valued $\gamma$-Bloch space introduced by Oliver only takes into account the case where $\gamma > 0$. In Theorem 3 we correct the problem by replacing the vector-valued $\gamma$-Bloch space with the generalized vector-valued Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}))$, where $\gamma_0 = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)$. Since $\gamma = 1 - \gamma_0$, we see that when $0 < \gamma_0 < 1$, we have that

$$B_\gamma(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})) = \Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})).$$

In what follows, we give the proof of Theorem 7 which generalize the Theorem 12 and correct the mistake mentioned in Remark 13.

6.3. Proof of Theorem 7. Let us recall the statement of Theorem 7.

**Theorem 44.** Suppose $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. The little Hankel operator $h_b : A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \to A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)$ is a bounded operator if and only if $b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}))$, where

$$\gamma_0 = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Moreover, $\|h_b\|_{A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \to A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)} \approx \|b\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}))}$.

**Proof.** Let $p'$ and $q'$ such that $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. We first assume that $h_b$ is a bounded operator from $A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ to $A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)$ with norm $\|h_b\| = \|h_b\|_{A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \to A^q_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, Y)}$. Let $x \in X$ and $k > (n + 1 + \alpha)/p$. Let $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and put

$$f(w) = \frac{x}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^k}, \quad w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Since $k > (n + 1 + \alpha)/p$, by Theorem 13 we have that $f \in A^p_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$ and

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha, X} \lesssim \frac{\|x\|_X}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(n + 1 + \alpha)/p}}.$$

By Proposition 2.1.3, we have that

$$h_b(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)f(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha}} d\nu_\alpha(w)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{b(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n + 1 + \alpha + k}} d\nu_\alpha(w)$$

$$= R^{\alpha,k}b(z)(\mathbb{T}).$$

It follows by Theorem 14 that

$$\|R^{\alpha,k}b(z)(\mathbb{T})\|_Y = \|h_b f(z)\|_Y$$

$$\leq \frac{\|h_b f\|_{p,\alpha, Y}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(n + 1 + \alpha)/q}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|h_b\| \|f\|_{p,\alpha, X}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(n + 1 + \alpha)/q}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|h_b\| \|x\|_X}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(n + 1 + \alpha)(1/q - 1/p)}}$$

$$= \frac{\|h_b\| \|x\|_X}{(1 - |z|^2)^{k - \gamma_0}}.$$

Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary and $\|x\|_X = \|\mathbb{T}\|_X$ we get that

$$\|R^{\alpha,k}b(z)\|_{L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})} \lesssim \frac{\|h_b\|}{(1 - |z|^2)^{k - \gamma_0}}.$$

Thus

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{k - \gamma_0} \|R^{\alpha,k}b(z)\|_{L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})} \lesssim \|h_b\|.$$
By H"older's inequality the last integral is less than or equal to
\[ \|b\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))} \lesssim \|h_b\|. \]
Conversely, assume that \( b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y)) \). Let \( f \in A^p_{\gamma}(B_n, X) \), \( g \in A^q_{\alpha}(B_n, Y^*) \) and \( k > \gamma_0 \). By Corollary \[ \text{[11, Lemma 4.1.1], Corollary [30] and Lemma [57]} \]
so by \[ \text{[11, Lemma 4.1.1], Corollary [30] and Lemma [57]} \]

It follows that \( \|h_b f, g\|_{\alpha, Y} \lesssim \|b\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))} \|f\|_{p, \alpha, X} \|g\|_{p', \alpha, Y} \).

By Hölder’s inequality the last integral is less than or equal to
\[ \left( \int_{B_n} \|f(z)\|_X^q (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha + q \gamma_0} d\nu(z) \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{B_n} \|g(z)\|_{Y^*}^q (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} d\nu(z) \right)^{1/q}. \]
For \( q = p \), we have \( \gamma_0 = 0 \) and thus
\[ \|b\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))} \lesssim \|f\|_{p, \alpha, X} \|g\|_{p', \alpha, Y}. \]
For \( q > p > 0 \), using Theorem \[ \text{[14]} \]

It follows that
\[ \left( \int_{B_n} \|f(z)\|_X^q (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha + q \gamma_0} d\nu(z) \right)^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_{p, \alpha, X}^{1-p/q} \left( \int_{B_n} \|f(z)\|_X^p (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha + q \gamma_0} d\nu(z) \right)^{1/q} = \|f\|_{p, \alpha, X}. \]

Therefore, by duality, we obtain that
\[ \|h_b\|_{A^p_{\gamma_0}(B_n, X) \to A^q_{\alpha}(B_n, Y)} = \sup_{\|f\|_{p, \alpha, X} = 1, \|g\|_{p', \alpha, Y} = 1} \|h_b f, g\|_{\alpha, Y} \lesssim \|b\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))}. \]

6.4. Proof of Theorem \[ \text{[8]} \]
We are now ready to give the proof of the main result in this section that is Theorem \[ \text{[8]} \] that we recall here.

**Theorem 45.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be two reflexive complex Banach spaces. Suppose that \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \), and \( \alpha > -1 \) The little Hankel operator \( h_b : A^p_{\gamma}(B_n, X) \to A^q_{\alpha}(B_n, Y) \) is a compact operator if and only if
\[ b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0, 0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y)), \]
where \( \Lambda_{\gamma_0, 0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y)) \) denotes the generalized little vector-valued Lipschitz space and \( \gamma_0 = (n + 1 + \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \), see \[ \text{[13, 14]} \].

**Proof.** First assume that \( b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0, 0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y)) \) and denote by \( b_r(z) := b(rz) \) with \( z \in B_n \) and \( 0 < r < 1 \). Since \( b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0, 0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y)) \), by Theorem \[ \text{[7]} \] we have that
\[ \|h_b\|_{A^p_{\gamma_0}(B_n, X) \to A^q_{\alpha}(B_n, Y)} \lesssim \|b\|_{\Lambda_{\gamma_0}(B_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))}. \]
Therefore, we have
\[ \|h_b - h_{b_r}\|_{A_p^p(\mathbb{B}_n,X) \to A_p^q(\mathbb{B}_n,Y)} \lesssim \|b - b_r\|_{\Lambda_{v_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(X,Y))}. \]

By using Proposition 38 we have that
\[ \lim_{r \to 1^-} \|b - b_r\|_{\Lambda_{v_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(X,Y))} = 0, \]
so to prove that \(h_b\) is a compact operator, it suffices to prove that \(h_{b_r}\) is a compact operator. Since \(b_r\) is analytic on a neighbourhood of \(\mathbb{B}_n\), it can be approximated by its Taylor polynomial in the Bloch norm. Thus,
\[ (6.13) \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \|b_r - P_{N,r}\|_{\Lambda_{v_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(X,Y))} = 0, \]
with \(P_{N,r}(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\beta| \leq N} \hat{b}(\beta)_{r} |\beta| z^\beta\), where \(\hat{b}(\beta)\) are the Taylor coefficients of \(b_r\). We also have by Theorem 7 that
\[ \|h_{b_r} - h_{P_{N,r}}\|_{A_p^p(\mathbb{B}_n,X) \to A_p^q(\mathbb{B}_n,Y)} \lesssim \|b_r - P_{N,r}\|_{\Lambda_{v_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(X,Y))}. \]

So by (6.13), to prove that \(h_{b_r}\) is a compact operator, it is enough to prove that \(h_{P_{N,r}}\) is a compact operator. Since \(P_{N,r}\) is a polynomial, it is enough to do the proof for monomials of the form \(\hat{b}(\beta)_{r} |\beta| z^\beta\), with \(\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, z \in \mathbb{B}_n\) and \(\hat{b}(\beta) \in K(\overline{X},Y)\). Thus, according to Proposition 11 the proof of this part is complete.

Conversely, for the "only if part", let us assume that
\[ h_b : A_p^p(\mathbb{B}_n,X) \to A_p^q(\mathbb{B}_n,Y) \]
is a compact operator. Since \(h_b\) is compact, \(h_b\) is then bounded and Theorem 7 yields
\[ b \in \Lambda_{v_0}(\mathbb{B}_n,\mathcal{L}(X,Y)). \]

We shall first prove that the Taylor coefficients \(\hat{b}(\beta), \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n\) of \(b\) belongs to \(K(\overline{X},Y)\). Let \(\{f_j\} \subset X\) such that \(f_j \to 0\) weakly in \(X\) as \(j \to \infty\), fix \(\beta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^n\), and let \(x_j(z) = z^{\beta_0} f_j\). By Lemma 38 we have \(\{x_j\} \subset A_p^p(\mathbb{B}_n,X)\) and \(\{x_j\}\) converges weakly to 0 in \(A_p^p(\mathbb{B}_n,X)\). Since
\[ \|\hat{b}(\beta_0)_{f_j}\|_Y = \sup_{\|y^*\|_Y = 1} |\langle \hat{b}(\beta_0)_{f_j}, y^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*}| \]
and \(Y\) is reflexive, by the Kakutani’s theorem 3, Theorem 3.17 there exists \(y_j^* \in Y^*\) with \(\|y_j^*\|_{Y^*} = 1\) such that
\[ \|\hat{b}(\beta_0)_{f_j}\|_Y = |\langle \hat{b}(\beta_0)_{f_j}, y_j^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*}|. \]
But $y_j^* \in A_0^t(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*)$. By Lemma 23, we have

$$\langle h_0 \cdot x_j, y_j^* \rangle_{\alpha,Y} = \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(z) \overline{\mathcal{K}}(z), y_j^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \overline{\nu}(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} z^\beta \hat{b}(\beta) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_j, y_j^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \langle \hat{b}(\beta) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_j, y_j^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} z^\beta |z|^2 d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right|$$

$$= \left| \langle \hat{b}(\beta_0) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_j, y_j^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |z|^2 d\nu_{\alpha}(z) \right|$$

$$= \frac{\beta_0 \Gamma(n + |\alpha| + 1)}{\Gamma(n + |\beta_0| + |\alpha| + 1)} \| \hat{b}(\beta_0) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_j \|_Y = 0.$$

where Fubini’s theorem is justified by Lemma 31 with $\{x_j\} \subset H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, X)$. Since $h_0$ is compact and $\{x_j\}$ converges weakly to $0$ as $j$ tends to infinity, we have that $\{h_0 \cdot x_j\}$ converges strongly to $0$ as $j$ tends to infinity, therefore one gets that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \langle h_0 \cdot x_j, y_j^* \rangle_{\alpha,Y} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\beta_0 \Gamma(n + |\alpha| + 1)}{\Gamma(n + |\beta_0| + |\alpha| + 1)} \| \hat{b}(\beta_0) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_j \|_Y = 0.$$

We then obtain

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \| \hat{b}(\beta_0) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_j \|_Y = 0.$$

In fact, we have shown that $\hat{b}(\beta_0)$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\overline{X}, Y)$ and as $\beta_0$ is arbitrary, this holds for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let $1 < t < \infty$. Since $b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$, we have that $b \in A_0^t(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \| b(w) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \hat{b}(\beta) w^\beta \|^t_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} d\nu_{\alpha}(w) = 0.$$

Let $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. There exists a constant $C_z > 0$ such that

$$\| b(z) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \hat{b}(\beta) z^\beta \|^t_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq C_z \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \| b(w) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \hat{b}(\beta) w^\beta \|^t_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} d\nu_{\alpha}(w).$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \| b(z) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \hat{b}(\beta) z^\beta \|^t_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} = 0.$$

Since $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ is arbitrary, we deduce that $b(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\overline{X}, Y)$, for each $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. It remains to show that $b$ satisfy the “little $\gamma_0$-Lipschitz” condition. Let $x \in X$ and $y^* \in Y^*$. Since $b \in \Lambda_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$, then the mapping $z \mapsto \langle b(z) \overline{\mathcal{K}}, y^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*}$ belongs to $A_0^t(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathbb{C})$. By using the reproducing kernel formula, it follows that

$$\langle b(z) \overline{\mathcal{K}}, y^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\langle b(w) \overline{\mathcal{K}}, y^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} d\nu_{\alpha}(w).$$

Let $k > \gamma_0$. Applying the operator $R^{\alpha,k}$ in (5.14), we obtain that

$$R^{\alpha,k} \langle b(z) \overline{\mathcal{K}}, y^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\langle b(w) \overline{\mathcal{K}}, y^* \rangle_{Y,Y^*}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+k}} d\nu_{\alpha}(w).$$
Let \( z \in \mathbb{B}_n \). Since \( \| R^{a,k}b(z) \|_{L^\infty(Y)} = \sup_{\|x\|_X = 1} \| R^{a,k}b(z)(x) \|_Y \), and by Lemma 53, the operator \( R^{a,k}b(z) \) is compact. So there exists \( x_0(z) \in X \) with \( \|x_0(z)\|_X = 1 \) and
\[
\| R^{a,k}b(z) \|_{L^\infty(Y)} = \| R^{a,k}b(z)x_0(z) \|_Y.
\]
Also
\[
\| R^{a,k}b(z)x_0(z) \|_Y = \sup_{\|w\|_Y = 1} |\langle R^{a,k}b(z)x_0(z), y \rangle_{Y,Y^*}|.
\]
Since \( Y \) is reflexive, it follows by the Kakutani’s theorem [9, Theorem 3.17] that there exists \( y_0^*(z) \in Y^* \) with \( \|y_0^*(z)\|_{Y^*} = 1 \) such that
\[
(6.16) \quad \| R^{a,k}b(z) \|_{L^\infty(Y)} = \| R^{a,k}b(z)x_0(z) \|_Y = |\langle R^{a,k}b(z)x_0(z), y_0^*(z) \rangle_{Y,Y^*}|.
\]
By (6.14) and (6.16) we get
\[
(1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma_0} \| R^{a,k}b(z) \|_{L^\infty(Y)} = \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle b(w)x_0(z), y_0^*(z) \rangle_{Y,Y^*} \cdot \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{k-\gamma_0}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+a+k}} \nu_\alpha(w) \right|
\]
with
\[
x_z(w) = \frac{x_0(z)(1 - |z|^2)^{\beta-(n+1+a)/p}}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^\beta}, \quad w \in \mathbb{B}_n
\]
and
\[
y_z^*(w) = \frac{y_0^*(z)(1 - |z|^2)^{k+(n+1+a)/q-\beta}}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+a+k-\beta}}, \quad w \in \mathbb{B}_n,
\]
where \( \beta \) is chosen such that
\[
(n + 1 + \alpha)/p < \beta < k + (n + 1 + \alpha)/q.
\]
By Theorem 15 we have \( x_z \in A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \), \( y_z^* \in A^q_0(\mathbb{B}_n, Y^*) \), and
\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \| x_z \|_{p,\alpha,X} < \infty, \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \| y_z^* \|_{q,\alpha,Y^*} < \infty.
\]
Let us prove that
\[
(6.17) \quad x_z \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ weakly in } A^p_0(\mathbb{B}_n, X) \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 1^-.
\]
Since
\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \| x_z \|_{p,\alpha,X} < \infty,
\]
to prove (6.17), by Lemma 53 it suffices to prove that
\[
\langle x_z, e_{w,a^*} \rangle_{\alpha,X} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 1^-,
\]
where for each \( a^* \in X^* \) and \( w \in \mathbb{B}_n \), we have
\[
e_{w,a^*}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}a^*}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{B}_n.
\]
By using the definition of \( e_{w,a^*} \) and the reproducing kernel formula, it follows that
\[
\langle x_z, e_{w,a^*} \rangle_{p,\alpha,X} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle x_z(\zeta), e_{w,a^*}(\zeta) \rangle_{X,X} \cdot \nu_\alpha(\zeta)
\]
\[= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} x_z(\zeta), \frac{1}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}a^*} \rangle_{X,X} \cdot \nu_\alpha(\zeta)
\]
\[= \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{x_z(\zeta)}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} \nu_\alpha(\zeta), a^* \right)_{X,X^*}
\]
\[= \langle x_z(w), a^* \rangle_{X,X^*}.
\]
Therefore, we have
\[ |\langle x, e_w, a^* \rangle_{p,\alpha,X}^\prime| = |\langle x(z), a^* \rangle_{X,X^\prime}^\prime| = |(1 - |z|^2)^{\beta - (n + 1 + \alpha)/p} \langle x_0(z), a^* \rangle_{X,X^\prime}^\prime| \leq \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\beta - (n + 1 + \alpha)/p}}{1 - |w|^{\beta}} \|a^*\|_{X^\prime} \rightarrow 0 \]

as \(|z| \rightarrow 1^−\). By using (6.17), the compactness of \(h_b\) and the fact that
\[ \sup_{z \in B_n} \|y_z^*\|^q_{q',\alpha,Y^\prime} < \infty, \]
it follows that
\[ \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^−} (1 - |z|^2)^{k - \gamma_0} \|R^{\alpha,k}h_b(z)\|_{L(X,Y)} = \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^−} |\langle h_b x_z, y_z^* \rangle_{\alpha,Y}| = 0, \]
which completes the proof of the theorem. \(\Box\)
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