Genome description and inventory of immune-related genes of the endangered pen shell Pinna nobilis: a giant bivalve experiencing a mass mortality event
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Abstract

Background: The noble pen shell Pinna nobilis is a Mediterranean endemic and emblematic giant bivalve. Already registered as an endangered species in the late 20th century, it is facing a dramatic and rapidly expanding epizooty that decimates its populations since mid-2016. The ecological value of P. nobilis has urged important investigations for conservation purposes. In light of this, we report here the first draft genome of this animal.

Results: The whole-genome sequencing has been performed on an Illumina HiSeq X platform using a single paired-end library of short fragments (2x150 bp). The de novo contig assembly accounted for a total size of 584 Mb (96,738 contigs, N50 = 7.6 kb, with 0.4% of “N” nucleotides), representing 77.5% of the predicted genome size of 754 Mb. The pen shell genome is very AT-rich, with a GC-content of 35.6 %. Heterozygosity was found to be in the range of other sequenced bivalves (1%). Over one third (36.2 %) of the genome consisted of repeated elements with a surprising larger number of SINEs elements compared to other molluscan genomes. We were also able to reconstruct the full mitochondrial genome (~19 kb, with 12 protein-coding genes, 2 rRNA and 22 tRNA genes).

In relation with the outbreak that affects P. nobilis, we paid a special attention on the innate immune and stress-related genes found in the sequence. We revealed that P. nobilis disposes of a complete chemical defensome, and a relatively sophisticated innate immune system.

Conclusion: In addition to offering a valuable resource for further research in comparative biology and evolution, access to the draft genome sequence is central to deepen our understanding of the vulnerability of P. nobilis to new diseases, which are likely to occur more often in the current scenario of a rapidly changing environment.
Background

The noble pen shell *P. nobilis* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Figure 1) is among the largest marine bivalve in the world, behind the tropical giant clam *Tridacna sp.* [1, 2]. Old adult specimens can reach 1.2 m anterior-posterior height and live up to 45 years [3]. Also called fan mussel, this species is endemic to the Mediterranean Sea where it inhabits the coastal fringe (from 0.5 m to 60 m depth). It lives partially buried in soft-bottoms (seagrass meadows, sediments, rough sand and gravel) with the anterior part of the shell anchored via externally secreted silk-like byssus filaments [4]. The superior part of the shell erects from the seabed to allow efficient filtration of surrounding water for feeding purposes. In addition to participate in the reduction of water turbidity by retaining significant quantity of organic matters from the suspended detritus [5], the fan mussel plays several essential ecological roles. Inside its shell body, it hosts crustacean symbionts such as the shrimp *Pontonia pinnophylax* or the crab *Nepinnotheres pinnotheres* [6]. On the other side, the shell provides a hard surface in a soft-bottom environment where epibionts can develop [7, 8] (see Figure 1).

Like other large sessile organisms, *P. nobilis* is particularly exposed to anthropogenic pressures such as harvesting, incidental trawling and anchoring or degradation of its natural habitat. In addition to direct threats, *P. nobilis* has to cope nowadays with marine pollution, consequences of global warming and ocean globalisation (e.g. introduction of new pathogens and emergent diseases) during its life cycle [9]. All these combined stressors, alone or in combination, have contributed during the last century to a decline in pen shell populations. Consequently, several Mediterranean countries have taken measures to protect the pen shell, e.g. by adopting in 1995 the Barcelona Convention (Annex II). At the European level, the pen shell was listed to the endangered and protected species under Annex IV of the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC).
After these protective actions, populations of *P. nobilis* seemed to regain vitality in several locations, e.g. in France [10, 11], Croatia [12] or Italy [13].

Unfortunately, since the autumn of 2016, a new threat has emerged causing a serious concern of extinction risk for this already endangered animal. A new disease associated with a newly identified species of *Haplosporidium* parasite, *Haplosporidium pinnae* [14] has first affected the Spanish Mediterranean coastline and Balearic Islands with mortality levels reaching up to 100% in scrutinized populations [15]. As anticipated by scientists, the reported mass-mortality events (MME) spread over several locations of the western Mediterranean basin: France including Corsica, Monaco, Tunisia, Italy, Greece, Malta, Cyprus and Turkey [16–20]. Interestingly, the collapse of tracked *P. nobilis* populations along the Tyrrhenian coastline of Italy was rather associated with a mycobacterial outbreak [16] suggesting that synergistic effects of emerging pathogens could be affecting the viability of *P. nobilis* sub-populations.

As a benthic sedentary organism that feeds by filtering water, *P. nobilis* is continually exposed to a wide range of biotic stressors, which may interact with the physical and chemical factors of its surrounding environment, challenging its homeostasis. It is now well known that the maintenance of homeostasis depends on a set of gene families that allow organisms to, along with other physiological functions, respond to pathogens [21] and deal with potentially toxic chemicals [22]. In bivalves, these genes are actually involved in the prominent role of the innate immune system [23, 24] and in the chemical sensing and detoxification process, also termed the chemical defensome [25]. Knowledge of such a gene repertoire is important to appreciate the adaptive potential of a studied species to current and future threats. In this context, genome and transcriptome sequencing give the opportunity to exhaustively look at the gene content of a specimen [24, 26–28]. These genome sequences then serve, for instance, to compare species that
display differences in disease resistance, a phenomenon that has been observed among bivalves [29]. Indeed, mussels compared to oysters and clams seem much less susceptible to diseases and no mass mortality was detected in both natural and farmed populations of mussels [30–32].

In order to gain a better insight into the physiology and adaptive potential of \textit{P. nobilis} regarding current climatic and zoonoses threats, we have undertaken the sequencing of its genome. In this study, we present the general features of the \textit{P. nobilis} genome and focus our description towards the gene repertoire related to innate immunity and chemical defensome. This genome sequence represents the first reference genome in the Pinnidae family and the second in the order of Pteriida, after the pearl oyster \textit{Pinctada fucata}, and should be considered in future studies dealing with differential pathogens resistance across bivalve molluscs.

\textbf{Materials And Methods}

\textit{P. nobilis} tissue sampling and nucleic acid preparation

A single adult individual of \textit{Pinna nobilis} was sampled by freediving during summer 2016 in Les Embiez island (Six-Fours-les-Plages, France, 43° 4′41.89″, 5°47′33.12″E). A non-lethal sampling method was specifically developed to avoid manipulation of \textit{P. nobilis}. Indeed, a small piece (14 mm², ~20 mg) of the mantle tissue was quickly excised using biopsy forceps before the fan mussel closes its valves. The piece of tissue was transferred in a tube filled with 95% ethanol and stored at ~80°C until use. Total DNA was isolated using the Nucleospin® tissue kit from Macherey-Nagel. DNA quantity was measured using the Qubit™ dsDNA HS Assay kit (Invitrogen) and quality was checked on a standard 0.8% agarose gel.

\textbf{Sequencing, genome assembly and size estimation}
The purified genomic DNA (4 µg of starting material) was used to prepare a HiSeq X Ten DNA library. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2x150 bp pair-end (Genewiz, USA).Trimming and de novo assembly were completed using CLC Genomics Server 9.0 and CLC Genomics Workbench 10.0 (Qiagen, Genewiz, USA). Before assembly, low-quality reads and sequencing adaptor contaminated reads were trimmed. For quality trimming, error rate was set at 0.01 whereas the maximal ambiguous nucleotides accepted was two. After trimming, reads with length < 100 bases and those of likely bacterial and viral origins were discarded from assembly. Final assembly statistics were determined using QUAST [33].

The haploid genome size was estimated from the trimmed PE reads with the k-mers frequency counting method, using JELLYFISH [34] that produced a histogram file of k-mers frequency distribution. An optimal k-mer size of 23 was chosen according to the formula $k = \log(200*\text{genome size})/\log(4)$ [35] and considering the genome sizes of the Pteriidae *Atrina pectinata* and *Pinctada fucata* (roughly 1.1 Gb), obtained from the Animal Genome Size database, release 2.0 [36] and [37]. We then used R software to plot the k-mer frequency distribution and manually estimated the genome size, by calculating the total number of k-mers divided by the position of peak depth, i.e. the value of the homozygous peak, as two peaks were obtained (Figure S2) [27]. The proportion of single-copy genome length was obtained by dividing the total number of k-mers under the peaks by the total number of k-mers under the whole curve. Additionally, KmerGenie [38] was used to confirm JELLYFISH results. The produced histogram file of k-mer frequency distribution was interpreted using Genomescope [39] to deduce the genome size and the proportion of unique sequences.

**Nuclear genome annotation and completeness**

The nature and occurrence of interspersed repeats and low complexity DNA sequences
within our assembly were assessed using RepeatMasker v1.33 [40] run with nhmmvscan
version 3.1b2 (February 2015). Then, a second run of RepeatMasker v1.33 was performed
using RepeatModeler 1.0.11, that is a package allowing a de novo identification and
modelling of repeat sequence families. Simple sequence repeats (SSR) were specifically
detected using the kmer-SSR package [41].
To annotate our genome, only contigs over 100X coverage were considered and blasted
(BLASTN) against the nr database of the NCBI. Any remaining contigs corresponding to
bacteria, protozoan or fungi organisms were manually sorted out. Afterward, a functional
annotation was performed using Blast2GO workflow [42], designed to search for i) protein
homologies (BLASTX) against the refseq non-redundant protein database (e-value cut-off
of 10^{-6}), ii) homologies with protein motifs and domain in the InterPro and Gene Ontology
(GO) databases (InterProScan) and iii) to associate GO to the best hits of the BLASTX
predicted proteins and protein motifs.
Then, we ran BUSCO v.3 with default parameters, to look for orthologue genes among the
unique “universal” eukaryotes and metazoan sets of genes43, 44, data sets: Eukaryota
odb9 and the subset Metazoa odb9]. The proportion of “universal” genes within the
genome assembly was considered as a proxy of the completeness. Finally, the number of
unique genes was approximated by removing redundancies within the set of annotated
contigs and GO results.
Defensome and innate immunity gene analysis
As the genome assembly was fragmented, a high number of annotated contigs might
actually refer to a unique gene sequence. Hence, in order to prevent from irrelevant
redundancy in the gene description, we first collected contigs that displayed a description
and InterPro identifiers associated with a BLASTX e-value lower than 10^{-10} [24]. These
contigs were manually examined according to the encoded protein domains, the sizes of
the contigs and encoded peptides, and relative to the complete sequence of an
orthologous gene and to the structure of orthologous proteins. For instance, within a gene
family, two contigs with an identical description encoding two different domains of a
protein, were considered as one gene. Two contigs with an identical description encoding
the same domain were considered as one gene or two genes, according to the structure of
an orthologous protein that might contain repeated domains. Considering the e-value cut-
off, two contigs encoding similar domains but differentially described were considered as
proxies of two genes.
Whenever possible, the detailed analysis of the structure of encoded peptides were
assessed, especially for contigs encoding pathogen recognition receptors. In this aim, the
putative complete or partial coding sequences was obtained using Augustus [45] and
protein motifs were predicted using InterProScan [46] and ScanProsite [47].

Identification of mitochondrial genome and
annotation
Contig descriptions that displayed a putative mitochondrial signature, either after BLASTX
or BLASTN, were manually examined to retain those with an e-value lower than $10^{-10}$ or
$10^{-6}$, for BLASTX and BLASTN respectively, and exhibiting more than 75% identity and
60% coverage. One contig (Contig_66) that satisfied these criteria was further examined.
In order to double check the sequence and investigate a potential homoplasy, the trimmed
reads were re-mapped against contig_66 using BOWTIE2 v2.3.5.1 [48] with the following
options: “very-sensitive”, “end-to-end alignments”, “allowing up to two distinct
alignments per read” and selecting reads with a minimum Phred-score of 30. Mapped
reads were collected, and single-nucleotide and indel variants were visualized using
SAMtools [49].
Gene content and arrangement of the *P. nobilis* mitochondrial sequence were assessed using both MITOS [50] and the beta version of MITOS2 [51] with an invertebrate genetic code. Then, the full-length coding sequences were deduced with ORFfinder [52] with search parameters set up as following: minimal ORF length of 300 nt, invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code, ORF start codons “ATG and alternative initiation codons”, nested ORFs ignored. Protein coding gene boundaries were manually assessed by aligning predicted protein sequences with available orthologues sequences (e.g. *Atrina pectinata*, and other related genera), in order to mainly visualize sequence length differences at the C-terminal ends. When such differences were found, a TBLASTN search was carried out with the 5’ end of the CDS to assess the sequence divergence with *A. pectinata*, thus increasing the reliability of the putative initiator codon. Transfer and ribosomal gene positions were considered exact without other confirmation. Repeat regions were detected using Dottup [53] and tandem repeat finder [54].

The full-length mitochondrial genome has been deposited in GenBank and released under the accession number MN432488.

**Results And Discussion**

**Sequencing and nuclear genome size determination**

The sequencing of *Pinna nobilis* DNA generated about 799 million reads in pair, yielding 240 Gbases of sequence with high quality (Fig S1). After several trimming and filtration steps, reads could be assembled into 97,633 contigs, with a 304X coverage in average, and contig N50 and average length of 7,576 and 6,007 nucleotides, respectively (Table S1). The total size of the assembly yielded 586 Mb. In the subsequent steps of the assembly analysis, only contigs over 100X coverage were considered and any remaining contig with suspicion of bacterial, protozoan or fungi origin were eliminated. In the end, our assembly yielded ~584 Mb over 96,738 contigs.
Analysis of the k-mer frequency plots using JELLYFISH [34] showed a bimodal distribution (Figure S2), revealing the huge proportion of homozygous regions in the *P. nobilis* genome, of approximately 99%. From the data of frequency distribution, we estimated a genome size of 778 Mb, of which unique sequences represent 575 Mb (73.9%). Such estimates were confirmed using KmerGenie [38] that predicted a genome size of 735 Mb, including 632 Mb of unique sequences. Thus, our assembly represents approximately 75% of the estimated genome size of the pen shell.

Among other species of Pteriida whose genome size has been elsewhere estimated, *P. nobilis* shows the smallest genome. It is respectively 23%, 32% and 34% shorter than those of the recently described *Pinctada fucuta marcensii*, which size has been estimated at 990 Mb [26], *Atrina rigida* (~1100 Mb from the Animal Genome Size Database) and *Pinctada fucata* (~1150 Mb, [37]).

**Genome composition and completeness**

The genome is very AT-rich (64%) while a fraction of the bases could not be determined (0.4% N) (Fig. S1 and Table S1). The repetitive elements (RE) accounted for approximately 36% of the genome, a regularly observed amount within bivalve genomes [27, 28, 37]. More than 60% of RE could be classified, and the observed distribution of each was also globally consistent with those observed in *Mytilus* [27] and *Pinctada* [26] genera (Figure 2A).

However, a high number of short interspersed nuclear elements or SINEs, accounting for more than 10 % of the genome assembly, was detected (Figure 2A and 2B). Strikingly, the proportion of SINEs in *P. nobilis* exceeds that of other marine mollusc organisms such as *Aplysia californica, Lottia gigantea P. fucuta, Crassostrea gigas*, or *M. galloprovincialis* (see Figure 2A). In addition, within our assembly, SINEs were about four times more represented than long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) which has never been
reported in bivalve genomes. Actually, the number of detected SINEs hardly reflects the number of genuine SINEs. Indeed, *P. nobilis* genome might contain numerous fragments of short non-autonomous retrotransposons, possibly fragments of LINEs, which actually resemble SINEs. Yet, as SINEs have evolutionary potentials [55–57], but also deleterious effects [58–60], clarifying the amount of SINE sequences within *P. nobilis* genome would contribute to understand the susceptibility of this animal to diseases and environmental stress.

Using BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) software [44], we could evidence the presence of 271 complete (27%) and 130 fragmented (13%) genes among the set of 978 eukaryote core orthologues. A highly similar result was obtained when considering the set of metazoan core orthologues instead (data not shown), suggesting that our genome assembly, like in other preceding mollusc genome sequencing, would be incomplete. Comparatively, bivalve genomes recently described showed a wide range of completeness, varying from ~16% in *M. galloprovincialis* [27] to 87% in *Bathymodiolus platifrons* [61] for complete single copy gene models, and up to 43.3% and 97.7% for these species, respectively, while considering fragmented gene models as well. Strikingly, contrary to similar studies on bivalve genomes, BUSCO identified twice more complete than fragmented orthologues in our assembly, which was rather unexpected considering the contig sizes and sequencing coverage. This could be explained by our fragmented assembly, in which numerous contigs possibly contain only small length, partial, and potentially too divergent, coding sequences, that BUSCO did not considered. For instance, the genome assemblies of *P. nobilis* and *C. gigas* are of similar sizes and share comparable sequencing coverages. However, their assembly statistics strongly differ. Indeed, the genome of the pacific oyster *C. gigas* was assembled in 11,969 scaffolds (N50 = 401,319) and its genome completeness reached 79% and 95% for complete and fragmented
metazoan core orthologs, respectively [28]. Other similar observations support that genome completeness is inversely related to the number of scaffolds within assembly [27]. Moreover, completeness is most of the time achieved when both genome and transcriptome are concomitantly sequenced and investigated, as illustrated by genome descriptions of the deep sea mussel *B. platifrons* [61, 62] and *P. fucuta marcensii* [26] that evidenced almost full completeness. Hence, in addition to our study, *P. nobilis* genome would benefit from a future transcriptome sequencing to improve the completeness of the present description.

So far, using a BLASTX comparison against the protein refseq database, 51,317 (52.5%) out of the 97,633 contigs of our assembly matched an orthologue sequence. This figure was reduced to 21,773 unique annotated contigs after removing any redundancies, which is interpreted as an approximation of unique gene content. Reported to an assumed complete genome size, we would expect around 29,000 genes in the genome of *P. nobilis*.

In the assembly of the pearl oyster *P. fucuta marcensii*, the estimated gene content varies from 21610 [63] to 32,937 [26]. Thus, our evaluation of the gene content within our assembly appears to be relevant and is comparable to that of *P. fucata* and other reported protein coding gene contents evidenced in *C. gigas* (28,027 genes, [28]) and *M. galloprovincialis* (25,000 expected vs 10,891 detected, [27]).

In the ontology annotation of Blast2GO, approximately 40 % of orthologues were found in only three recently sequenced bivalve species. The gene ontology (GO) distributions at level 3, among the three main categories of biological process, *i.e.* molecular functions and cellular components, together with the results described above, indicates the production of a comprehensive genome draft (Figure 3).

**Insight into the gene repertoires of the innate immune system and chemical defensome**
Within the Biological Process category, GO terms referring to “cellular response to stimulus” and “response to stress” were encountered in 2765 and 783 contigs respectively (Figure 3). A glimpse into the number of non-redundant descriptions for more specific GO terms (Table 1) revealed that *P. nobilis* would dispose of a well-developed defensome, challenging the explanation of the massive and deadly zoonoses recently experienced by this organism. Here, we report the first inventory of genes encoding key proteins specifically related to the innate immune response and to the acclimation to chemical stress, that all together account for the homeostasis maintenance of this organism.

1. Inventory of immune-related genes

Pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs) are molecular sensors that recognize pathogen structures and constitute a first defence line against pathogens. In invertebrates, diverging evolution of the immune system has led to a diverse and complex set of pathogen binding molecules [23]. Among them, several have been inventoried in various bivalves, such as the toll-like receptors (TLRs), peptidoglycan recognition receptors (PGRPs), glucan binding proteins (GNBPs), lectins and laminins [24, 64, 65]. Besides, an intracellular line of defence relies upon sensors of foreign RNAs, DEAD-box helicases, such as the retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG I-like = DDX58) and the melanoma differentiation associated gene–5 (MDA–5) [66, 67]. In our *P. nobilis* genome sequence, we could evidence a wide range of genes related to immunity and we could highlight several families, from PRRs to effectors of the immune response that potentially harbored a rather diverse set of genes (Table S2).

1.1 Pathogen recognition receptors

The toll-like receptor (TLR) pathway is well conserved over the Metazoan. It plays a pivotal role in the control of microbiome homeostasis and pathogen infections, as well as in the regulation of development process ([68, 69] for review). The typical feature of TLRs
consists in a Leucine-rich-region (LRR), a transmembrane domain (TM) and a Toll-interleukin receptor domain (TIR-domain), but structural variations have been reported, for instance in *M. edulis* [24]. The LRR recognizes protein motifs, mainly of microbial origins, while the TIR-domain ensure signal transduction by interacting with adaptor proteins [21, 65].

Although a wide TLR repertoire has been elucidated in some invertebrates such as the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* in which more than two-hundred TLR have been identified [70, 71], only a few have been reported in bivalves: twenty-seven putative TLR encoding contigs in *M. edulis* [24], twenty-three in *M. galloprovincialis* [65] though four have been reported in *C. gigas* [28] and only one detected in *Mya arenaria* and *Chlamys farreri* [72, 73].

In our sequence, we identified twenty-seven contigs encoding TLRs of various structures, all exhibiting a TIR-domain. Among them, twenty displayed a typical TLR structure, one also encoded a C1q motif at the C-terminal end of a typical TLR and one had two TIR-domains. We also found six and nine contigs encoding either a single TIR-domain or LRRs, respectively (Figure 4). However, the latter nine contigs could not be considered as additional putative TLRs since the TIR-domain was missing. Thus, we evidenced that *P. nobilis* disposes of a rather diverse set of TLRs, of a similar magnitude to the one reported in *M. edulis* [24]. When looking at the downstream key players of the TLR pathway, we could also detect contigs with MyD88, IRAK1, IRAK3 IRAK4 and TRAF patterns.

*Peptidoglycan recognition receptors (PGRPs) and glucan binding proteins (GNBPs)* are additional immune effectors involved in the recognition of pathogens. Unlike GNBPs, PGRPs are conserved from insect to mammals. However, both were evidenced in bivalves [24, 74, 75]. On the one hand, PGRPs recognise the peptidoglycan (PGN) cell wall of bacteria [76, 77], activate Toll receptors or the immune deficiency (IMD) pathway and
induce phagocytosis. Moreover, PGRPs containing an amidase2 motif present bactericidal moieties [78, 79]. On the other hand, GNBPs in insect and crustacean recognize and form a complex with lipopolysaccharides (LPS), gram-positive bacteria and β-glucans of fungal cell walls, subsequently inducing a degranulation and the activation of prophenoloxidase [80]. At last, gene expression of PGRPs and GNBPs are activated following PGN or bacterial challenge, evidencing the key role of those proteins in the bacterial clearance [75].

The genome assembly of *P. nobilis* contains six contigs encoding PGRPs, of which four exhibited a PGRP/amidase2 motif and two encoded a lysine motif (LysM). Noteworthy, we could evidence one transmembrane PGRPs, confirming previous observation of Philipp and collaborators [24] that bivalves would produce both soluble and membrane bound PGRPs. Besides PGRPs, we found eight annotations referring to GNBPs. The encoded peptides all exhibited a glycosyl hydrolase motif, and no transmembrane domain could be detected.

*Lectins* are sugar-binding proteins, structurally made of an oligomeric assemblage of peptide sub-units that possess a carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) and eventually a transmembrane region [81]. They are involved in cellular interactions, protein synthesis and transport, signal transduction, and some of them mediate pathogen recognition, using Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependant carbohydrate-recognition domain [23, 82]. Animal lectins have been classified into thirteen groups according to specific conserved amino acid sequences within the CRD, carbohydrate specificity and functions: C-, F-, I-, L-, M-, P- and R-type, F-box lectins, chitinase-like lectins, calnexins, galectins, interlectins and ficolins [83]. In bivalves, an additional type of lectin, represented by C1q domain containing proteins (C1qDC), also binds carbohydrates. Among those lectins, C1qDC, C-type, F-type, ficolin and interlectins have important implications in pathogen recognition and innate immune processes [23, 84-86].

We found ninety-two annotations for C1q motifs and twenty-six contigs encoding a peptide
homologous to C-type lectins or a C-type motif (*i.e.* IPR001304). Using “fucolectin” as a keyword for F-type lectins, three contigs were evidenced. Finally, we found four contigs annotated for ficolin while no contig for interlectin could be retrieved. Those results are congruent with the reported lectins diversity among bivalves, and evidence the predominance of C1qDC proteins within the lectin repertoire in *P. nobilis*, as similarly reported in *C. gigas* [23].

Laminins are one of the extracellular matrix (ECM) components. Laminins are large proteins of approximately 800 kDa, composed of three polypeptide chains (α, β and γ) exhibiting five globular domains that interact with some of the ECM components such as integrins, proteoglycans or receptors, and a coil-coiled domain [87]. Interactions between laminins and their specific receptors activate the intracellular signalling pathways that regulate cell growth, differentiation, migration and adhesion to the extracellular matrix and other cells [88–90]. They also influence the migration and functions of immune cells [91]. Noteworthy, laminins are host cell targets for a wide range of pathogens. Some recent research indeed evidenced reductions of infection rates when the expression of laminin was lowered [92] or the protein partially deleted [93], underling the importance of laminins in the process of pathogen infection. Moreover, they even could help protozoan escape the host innate immunity, as evidenced in some susceptible mosquitos to *Plasmodium* ookinetes [94] and in other host-protozoan pathogen interactions [95]. This observation has to be considered in the light of the infection of *P. nobilis* by the protozoan *Haplosporidum pinnae* [96]. In the genome assembly of *P. nobilis*, we identified seven contigs encoding laminins (4, 2, 1). Ultimately, while looking at sensors of foreign viral RNAs, we could highlight two contigs, encoding one RIG I-like i.e. DDX58 and one MDA-5 i.e. interferon-induced with helicase C. I-fish) gene.

1.2 Signalling
Cytokines are low molecular weight proteins secreted by immune cells in response to pathogen infections and cell injuries. They are essential mediators of the immune response acting through a series of conserved signalling pathways, which for instance can lead to contrasted outcomes such as apoptosis or cell survival. We found twenty eight cytokines within the genome assembly of *P. nobilis*, of which nineteen were homologous to bivalves interleukines-17 (twelve contigs) or tumour necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily members displaying conserved TNF_2 domains (seven contigs). We also identified one contig encoding both a well-conserved macrophage inhibitory factor (MIF), considered as a key mediator of the innate immune system across taxa, which has already been evidenced in some bivalves [97–99], and three interferon regulatory factors.

Regarding IL–17 and TNF superfamily in detail, our observations are consistent with previously reported evidences of a central ancient IL–17 signalling pathway in the immune response of bivalves [23, 100]. IL–17 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine mainly involved in the defence against extracellular pathogen. Moreover, the IL–17 gene might be an initiator of a more complex, integrated, immune response, as evidenced by the rapid upregulation of IL–17 gene expression observed in *C. gigas* haemocytes submitted to a bacterial challenge [101]. TNF-α is also mainly involved in resistance against pathogens, though in certain cases, circulating TNF might be permissive towards parasitic, bacterial, and viral infections [102]. The transcriptome of *M. edulis* showed twelve TNF superfamily members, similar to the number found in *P. nobilis*, and a high number of transcripts encoding TNF protein motifs, which emphasises the central role of TNF superfamily in the innate immune response in bivalves [24].

Besides cytokines, the immune response may also likely be fine-tuned by a set of molecules of the neuroendocrine system that can rapidly trigger an adaptive response to various biotic and abiotic stressors [103–106]. The genome assembly of *P. nobilis* contains
a number of contigs consistent with a likely well-developed neuroendocrine system. We could indeed confirm the presence of several enzymes of the catecholaminergic, cholinergic, serotoninergic and GABAergic systems, of the nitric oxide signalling and neuropeptides (data not shown).

1.3 Destruction of pathogens

In the fight against pathogens, innate immunity relies upon the complement cascade, activated by either the classical, lectin or alternative pathway, and ultimately results in the formation of a pore complex within the pathogen membrane [107] and the production of pro-inflammatory molecules [108, 109]. Vertebrates have evolved a complement system composed of the complement factors C1-9 and factor B (Bf), C3 being central since it integrates all three activation pathways and activates subsequent steps of the cascade, that further lead to the lysis of pathogen cells. In ancient taxonomic lineages, only few factors could be evidenced, suggesting an ancient origin of the complement system [110]. In bivalves, no Bf, C2, C4, C5 or C7-9 factors could be reported, challenging the comprehensive view of the complement cascade occurring in these organisms [24, 110]. Consistently, we were also unable to detect these factors. However, our genome assembly contains contigs matching the complement factors C3 and C6 as observed in M. edulis [24]. Noteworthy one contig matched an orthologous C8b protein of Rattus norvegicus (BlastX, e-value $10^{-4}$), and four contigs were positive for the membrane attack complex domain IPR020864. Hence, our results corroborate the idea of a rather divergent complement system compared to that of vertebrates [110].

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are small secreted peptides involved in the defence against the invasion of a wide range of pathogens [111]. AMPs typically contain one hydrophilic, positively charged, domain allowing fixation on the cell plasma membrane of a pathogen, and a hydrophobic domain that embed into the plasma membrane, disrupting the
membrane or intracellular functions, ultimately inducing cell death [112, 113]. AMPs are a very diverse family and have been found from prokaryotes to vertebrates. Several groups of AMPs have been reported in bivalves, i.e. defensins, mytilins, myticins and mytimycins [114–116]. Within the P. nobilis genome, no orthologue sequences of AMPs were discerned using keywords or InterPro identifiers. Nonetheless, six contigs were spotted to contain sequences similar to soluble scavenger receptor cysteine-rich peptides and cysteine-rich secretory proteins, which are likely involved in the innate immune response [117–120].

1.4 Apoptosis

Apoptosis is also a prominent and efficient mechanism that limits the progression of pathogen infections, while preventing inflammation of the invaded tissue. Most of the time, apoptosis is triggered following interactions between the host immune cell and the pathogen, but obligate intracellular microorganisms have evolved strategies to circumvent apoptosis and promote survival [121–123]. The genome of P. nobilis contains the main actors of apoptosis, i.e. members of the BCl-2 family, caspases and inhibitors and activators of apoptosis. Noteworthy, we also found 38, 34 and 21 contigs encoding a DEATH, BIR and CARD domains, respectively. These results suggest a well-developed apoptotic machinery in molluscs, as previously discussed [24].

2. Inventory of stress-related genes

A wide range of structurally diverse chemical stressors is encountered in the marine environment. Heavy metals, natural exogenous compounds (e.g. toxic aldehydes), microbial and algal products or manmade molecules, such as pesticides and hydrocarbons, may considerably affect the physiology of marine organisms and challenge their persistence [124]. This is especially true when considering sessile organisms that are unable to escape their contaminated environment. Therefore, a variety of proteins have evolved towards the protection against potentially toxic chemicals and have been grouped
under the term of chemical defensome [25]. More specifically, it mainly consists in sets of enzymes that, collectively, ensure the cell detoxification through four main processes: i) the modification of chemicals to less toxic and more easily excretable substances, ii) the elimination of toxicants and transformed products out of cells, iii) the molecular protection against radicals including the reactive oxygen species and iv) the sensing of environmental contamination or cellular damages. The *P. nobilis* genome assembly possesses most of the genes linked to the chemical defensome. Altogether, they account for roughly 1.5% (337/21,773) of the predicted genes in *P. nobilis* genome, similar to the proportion observed in other organisms [125]. Thereafter, we present an insight into the *P. nobilis* chemical defensome (Table S3) and detail the family diversity of some key genes, according to the four processes presented above.

### 2.1 Reductive, conjugative and oxidative biotransformation

Proteins involved in chemical biotransformation are required to mitigate the toxicity of chemicals and to modify these toxicants to more hydrophilic substances prior to their excretion. Among those, the cytochromes P450 (CYPs), the sulfotransferases (SULTs) and the UDP-glucuronosyl and glycosyl transferases (UGTs) represented expanded families.

*Cytochromes P450* are enzymes responsible for oxidative biotransformation. The diversification of CYP genes is very important in almost all species and genes are organised in numerous families, of which the CYP1, CYP2, CYP3, CYP4, CYP6 and CYP9 families account for the most important ones [126]. The genome assembly of *P. nobilis* contains ninety-eight contigs annotated for CYP genes, which overall presented a relatively high mean similarity with orthologous sequences (approximately 70%), allowing annotation at the family level, revealing thirty-seven CYP2, thirteen CYP3 and twenty-four CYP4. According to the classification of CYP Clan [127], *P. nobilis* CYPs were found
distributed among Clan 2, Clan 3 and Clan 4 (Figure 5) resembling the distribution in other organisms [25, 128]. Noteworthy, no CYP19, i.e. the aromatase, was identified which is also consistent with other reported observations in invertebrates [129, 130].

_Sulfotransferases (SULTs)_ are a variety of either cytosolic or membrane-anchored enzymes that catalyse the conjugation of sulfuryl groups to many diverse molecules, with a target specificity according to their localization [131, 132]. For instance, the cytosolic SULTs mediate the transfer of a sulfuryl group to endogenous metabolites and xenobiotics [133], although membrane SULTs catalyse the sulfation of carbohydrates and tyrosyl residues of larger proteins or peptides [134]. In bivalves, SULTs represent a large family. For instance, twenty-eight and thirty-one genes have been detected in the oyster and pearl oyster genomes, respectively and up to eighty-three genes in the _Chlamys farreri_ genome [28, 37, 135]. We found twenty-eight genes encoding SULTs in the genome of _P. nobilis_, distributed in nine subfamilies. However, most SULT genes belonged to subfamily 1 (eight genes), 10 (seven genes) and 11 (seven genes). Moreover, we could evidence a total of seventeen membrane anchored SULTs in our assembly.

_Glutathion S-transferase_ (GSTs) are typically small enzymes of less than 250 amino acids [136], present in all three branches of life (Archaea, Eubacteria and Eukaryota). Once activated upon exposure to a range of toxins or to oxidative damages, they transfer reduced glutathione (GSH) to hydrophobic xenobiotics [137–139]. The classification of the GSTs family separates the cytosolic, mitochondrial and microsomal GSTs, and distinguishes fourteen subclasses. In eukaryotes, the subfamilies alpha, delta, epsilon, omega, pi, sigma, theta, mu and zeta are cytosolic GSTs, while kappa GSTs are the mitochondrial and peroxisomal ones. Structurally, all GSTs are homo- or heterodimers of the same GST class. They display conserved C- and N-terminal domains that encompass two types of binding sites, conserved G-sites which bind GSH and H-sites that are highly
variable, allowing interactions with a wide range of hydrophobic substances [140, 141].

The mitochondrial kappa GSTs are homodimers exhibiting structural similarities to
cytosolic GSTs, thus likely have similar molecular specificities [142]. Microsomal GSTs, on
the contrary, result from the association of three identical monomers that each contain an
alpha helix and a GSH binding domain [143, 144].

Overall, we found fifteen contigs encoding GSTs in our P. nobilis assembled sequence.
Eleven GSTs clustered with the cytosolic GSTs: one alpha, one mu, two omega, one pi and
three theta, while three of them could not be specifically assigned. Alpha GSTs protect
against therapeutic drugs, environmental toxins and product of oxidative stress, while mu
GSTs protect against molecules such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon metabolites [145,
146]. Compared to other subclass of GSTs, omega GSTs have additional conjugative and
biotransformation abilities and perform a wide range of thiol transferase and reduction
reactions, such as the biotransformation of reactive α-haloketones to nontoxic
acetophenones [142]. The theta GSTs are mainly involved in counteracting general
oxidative stress [141, 147–150]. Eventually, one mitochondrial kappa GST and three
microsomal GSTs (isoforms 1, 2 and 3) could be distinguished in our sequence. Therefore,
P. nobilis disposes of a rather complete set of GSTs, with a relatively low level of gene
expansion within the GSTs classes, compared to other marine organisms, such as the sea
urchin, sea anemone and crustaceans [25, 125, 151].

2.2 Efflux transporters

Efflux transporters are complexes of proteins mainly represented by the large family of
the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters. Using ATP as a source of energy, they
catalyse the transport of various substrates such as sugars, lipids, amino acids, antibiotics
or siderophores but also xenobiotics or pollutants, across membranes [152–156]. To date,
eight sub-families (from A to H) have been described although some family could be
absent from a genome [152, 157]. Considering xenobiotic stress, the first line of cellular defence is represented by the ABCB (p-glycoproteins), ABCG (mitoxantrone resistance protein) and the ABCC (multidrug resistance proteins) that export both unmodified and modified substrates out of the cell [158–161]. A search within the genome assembly revealed fifty-two contigs encoding typical features of ABC transporters, of which thirty-seven corresponded to the three multidrug transporter subfamilies B, C, and G. Similar total number and proportion have been described in human, sea urchin, anemone and the copepod *Tigriopus japonicus* [25, 125, 162, 163] (Figure 6).

### 2.3 Antioxidant proteins and metal detoxification

Antioxidant proteins counteract the deleterious effects of excessive oxidative stress within cells, endogenously generated by the presence of metals, the detoxification processes or even the global increase in oxygen consumption [164–166]. We could identify at least one contig containing a coding sequence associated to each of the following enzymes: catalase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, glutathione synthase and glutathione reductase. Concerning the metal-binding proteins, we found a single contig for metallothionein, which, to the best of our knowledge, seems to be a general feature among bivalves. However, we detected seven heavy metal binding proteins (HIPs) in the genome of *P. nobilis*, similar to the histidine-rich glycoproteins (HRG), which have been evidenced in the blood plasma of several bivalves and where they represent more than 40% of the total protein weight [167, 168]. Moreover, *P. nobilis* was also determined to contain ferritin, ceruloplasmin and biliverdin reductase sequences, but it seems to lack a heme oxygenase and phytochelatins. Based on these results, we could expect a good ability of *P. nobilis* to cope with metallic elements.

### 2.4 Heat shock proteins

Heat shock proteins (HSP) are expressed as a general response to many stressful
challenges [169–171]. We could evidence seven hsp70, one hsp90, one heat shock
cognate protein and one heat shock factor in the genome assembly of *P. nobilis*.

Noteworthy, seventeen co-chaperones dnaJ/hsp40 were also detected, consistent with
previous observations across a wide range of organisms, from unicellular to human [172–
174]. These proteins are conserved throughout the evolution and regulate the ATP-
dependant activity of hsp70, by stimulating the hydrolysis of ATP. Hence, they appear
pivotal actors of translation, (un)folding, translocation and degradation processes [175,
176]. Additionally, we could recognise five mitochondrial HSPs: one HSP10, two HSP60 and
two HSP70.

### 2.5 Nuclear receptors and transcription factors

Finally, we searched for nuclear receptors (NR) and transcription factors (TF), as some of
them are known to be involved in the sensing of xenobiotics and other environmental
pollutants, in stress signalling and in the regulation of the inflammatory response [25].
NRs are effector proteins, characterized by a ligand-binding domain and a DNA-binding
domain and constitute a large family, organized in six subfamilies [177, 178]. Once
activated, NRs regulate the expression of genes that control development, metabolism or
homeostasis [179]. The number of NRs found in *P. nobilis*, namely thirty-two, is in
agreement with the number of NRs found in other species, i.e. twenty-one in *Drosophila
melanogaster* [180], thirty-one in the copepod *Tigriopus japonicus* [181], thirty-three in
the owl limpet *Lottia gigantea* [182], thirty-nine in the gastropod snail *Biomphalaria
glabrata* [182], forty-three in the bivalve *C. gigas* [183] and forty-eight in humans [184].

Noteworthy, many of the NRs involved in the defensome, such as the ecdysone receptor,
*PXR*, the estrogen receptor (ERR), *PPAR* and retinoic acid receptors [185, 186] were
discerned in the *P. nobilis* genome.

We also detected seventeen transcription factors involved in the chemical defensome in
our assembly. Activated transcription factors bind specific responsive elements (REL) within regulatory regions and, in turn, modulate the expression of the responsive genes. For instance, hif–1 and ARNT bind hypoxia REL [187], mtf1 binds metal and hypoxia REL [188] and AhR/ARNT bind xenobiotic REL [187]. Some of these transcription factors actually interact with other proteins to form active dimers, such as Maf proteins that heterodimerize with nfe-2 or Bach proteins [189].

Overall, we report the existence of forty-nine NRs and TFs in the draft genome of *P. nobilis*, of which many are involved in the chemical defensome, unmasking the capacity of this marine bivalve to early detect and respond to harmful chemicals.

**Mitochondrial genome**

Mitochondria are essential components of the cell where they provide energy. As a reminiscence of symbiotic organisms, they possess their own genome. Conveniently, genome sequence data in eukaryotes also contain a large number of mitochondrial sequences due to the process of total DNA isolation that capture organelle nucleic acids.

Within our assembly, we identified one contig (Contig_66, 18799 nt) that matched the complete genome of the closely related penshell *Atrina pectinata* (NC_020028.1, [190]), over its entire length (68% covering, 80% identity, null e-value). The subsequent mapping of the trimmed raw reads against the mitochondrial contig, could confirm the unambiguously reconstructed mitochondrial genome with a mean coverage of approximately 6000X, and the absence of an alternative consensus sequence, that could have resulted from a putative homoplasy, due to accumulating mutations and/or the simultaneous presence of male and female mitochondrial genomes. Actually, our variant calling analysis highlighted only seven potential variations of low statistical significance (i.e. one indel and six SNPs) (Table S4 data).

We could map twelve genes encoding proteins of the respiratory chain [cytochrome c
oxidase subunit I-III (cox1, cox2 and cox3), apocytochrome b (cytb), ATPase 6 (atp6), NADH dehydrogenase subunits 1-6, and 4L (nad1-6 and nad4L), two for rRNA (rrnS and rrnL), twenty-two genes for tRNA (trn) and a long non-coding region between tRNA-Cys and tRNA-Met, including a direct repeat region of 740 nt (Figure 7). Only ATP synthase subunit 8 (atp8) was missing from our annotation. However, this gene also seemed to be absent in other bivalve species. Whether P. nobilis and other bivalves are truly lacking the highly divergent atp8 gene remains debated [191]. The complete mitogenome DNA sequence should be useful for future research regarding the structuration of P. nobilis populations [192, 193].

Conclusion

Pinna nobilis is an emblematic and endemic bivalve of the Mediterranean Sea where it is distributed all around the basin, but for how long? At the end of the past century, recurrent local population collapses due to human activities have risen concerns about the persistence of this animal, leading to efficient conservation measures that allowed populations to recover. However, the actual ongoing MME caused by emerging pathogens resurrects major worries for the survival of this species at a global level. In Spain, where the outbreak started in early autumn 2016, and where high mortality rates reaching up to 100% of the surveyed populations were observed [15], authorities changed the status of P. nobilis from “endangered” to “endangered with extinction” (Orden TEC/596/2019, Ministerio para la transición Ecológica, 8 of April, 2019). The MME has since spread throughout the Mediterranean Sea [19, 20, Vicente, personal communication] and IUCN is now considering adding P. nobilis on the Red List of threatened species. Nevertheless, a few populations or individuals subsist in some locations, even in places surrounded by the epizooty, e.g., Alfacs Bay and Mar Menor in Spain [17], the Thau Basin in south of France, the Diana basin in Corsica (Vicente pers. obs. Sep. 2019) or in the inner part of the bay of
Kotor in Montenegro (Martinović pers. data August 2019). So far, no clear evidence has been provided to explain the reasons behind the witnessed resistance of these populations (or individuals) to the disease. Nonetheless, this phenomenon could obviously be associated to either the challenging physical and chemical environmental conditions (e.g. significant and frequent variation of temperature and/or salinity) in these specific sites that would be not suitable for the dissemination of the pathogens or a local genetic adaptation of these *P. nobilis* populations (individuals). Yet, all these sites are under strong anthropogenic pressures. Therefore, this situation is all the more worrying that the organism physiology is stressed by chemical and physical factors (pollutants, habitat degradation, climate change) usually weakening the immune response [195–198].

In this study we reveal that *P. nobilis* has a complete chemical defensome, that globally resembles that of other sessile marine organisms. Similarly, *P. nobilis* has a sophisticated innate immune system, based on an extended number of recognition receptors (i.e. 38 TLR, 27 lectins, 16 laminins) and cytokines (IL–17, MIF), a well conserved TLR pathway, the presence of the main effectors of complement cascade and a complex apoptosis pathway. However, we were unable to clearly detect AMPs, orthologous to those evidenced in the *Mytilus* genera, although several contigs were found to encode cysteine-rich peptides. A transcriptomic study performed on fan mussels challenged with pathogenic bacteria would likely help clarifying with point, and potentially reveals species-specific AMPs. Overall, the gene diversity of the innate immune system appears quite developed, similar to that observed in the common mussel *M. edulis* [24].

The extensive number of recognition receptors seems obvious with respect to the highly diverse, commensal and pathogenic microbial fauna surrounding and hosted by *P. nobilis* individuals. Nevertheless, it questions about how, at the molecular level, *H. pinnae* invade tissues and cells, and circumvent the innate immunity. The genome comparison of
resistant versus sensitive individuals, or species across the *Pinna* genera (e.g. *P. nobilis* vs *Pinna rudis* since *P. rudis* seems so far to be unaffected by the outbreak, Garcia-March and Vicente, pers. obs.), will help to find putative regions under selection for these traits. Actually, the resistance to pathogen or abiotic stress do not only rely upon gene content but also on how gene expression is finely regulated. Regarding gene regulation, notwithstanding trans-regulating elements, *P. nobilis* would also likely methylate DNA, as we could detect a DNA methyl transferase and predict several hundred CpG islands (data not shown). Hence, the next steps forward the comprehension of pathogen resistance will be to investigate other genomes and transcriptomes.

To conclude, this genome assembly represents the first reference genome in the Pinnidae family and the second in the order of Pteriida, after the pearl oyster *P. fucata*. This reference genome (including the mitogenome) should be considered in future research regarding comparative evolution, comparative genomics or studies aiming to understand the genetic variability of *P. nobilis* populations, in order to evolve effective conservation plans.
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Due to technical limitations, Table 1 is only available as a download in the supplemental files section.
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*Figure 1*

A specimen of *P. nobilis* covered with epibionts in a *posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadow.
Figure 2

Overview of repetitive elements abundances within bivalve genomes. A. Distribution of the relative contribution of repetitive elements across multiple mollusc species: L. gigantea, A. californica, P. fucuta, C. gigas, M. galloprovincialis and P. nobilis (highlighted by red dots). Limits of the boxplots represent the first, median and third quartiles, with Spear whisker extents (dashed lines). Means are represented by a plus sign. B. Proportions of each repetitive element length within the genome assembly of P. nobilis.
Figure 3

Overview of the proportions of physiological functions according to gene ontology and distribution of Blast Hits (BlastX) according to species, at level 3.
Figure 4

Schematic representation of encoded TLRs found within the P. nobilis genome assembly. The numbers in brackets represent the number of contigs encoding a TLR with the above represented structural feature. The “n” stands for the number of Leucine motifs within the extracellular part of the receptor and varies from 1 to 10 repeats. Nine contigs were annotated as TLR (Blast2GO) but only contained Leu domain without transmembrane region and no TIR motif, hence, they were not included in the TLR repertoire.
Figure 5

Relative proportions of CYP clan families.
Figure 6

Relative proportion of xenobiotic transporter genes in various organisms. The number in brackets represents the total number of ABC genes detected.
Figure 7

Structure of the P. nobilis mitochondrial genome. The structure has been drawn using GenomeVx [194] and arbitrarily circularized. Genes are all in the same orientation (clockwise).
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