Genome-wide identification and characterization of Fur-binding sites in the cyanobacteria Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 and PCC 6714
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Abstract

The Ferric uptake regulator (Fur) is crucial to both pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria for the maintenance of iron homeostasis as well as the defence against reactive oxygen species. Based on datasets from the genome-wide mapping of transcriptional start sites and transcriptome data, we identified a high confidence regulon controlled by Fur for the model cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 and its close relative, strain 6714, based on the conserved strong iron starvation response and Fur-binding site occurrence. This regulon comprises 33 protein-coding genes and the sRNA IsaR1 that are under the control of 16 or 14 individual promoters in strains 6803 and 6714, respectively. The associated gene functions are mostly restricted to transporters and enzymes involved in the uptake and storage of iron ions, with few exceptions or unknown functional relevance. Within the isiABC operon, we identified a previously neglected gene encoding a small cysteine-rich protein, which we suggest calling, IsiE. The regulation of iron uptake, storage, and utilization ultimately results from the interplay between the Fur regulon, several other transcription factors, the FtsH3 protease, and the sRNA IsaR1.
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1. Introduction

Ferrous iron (Fe2+) is an important and often essential enzymatic cofactor for many enzymes. Therefore, most organisms have developed dedicated molecular acquisition mechanisms for ferrous as well as ferric (Fe3+) iron, which can be enzymatically reduced to Fe2+. At the same time, the uptake of iron needs to be tightly controlled because of the tendency of free intracellular iron to participate in harmful Fenton chemistry-dependent reactions, which can result in oxidative damage of cellular components including DNA.1 Due to this duality of iron as an essential prosthetic group and as potential source of cellular damage, the amount of intracellular iron is typically controlled by an intricate regulatory network.2 The tight control of iron is of special importance for photosynthetic organisms, which require large quantities of iron for the photosynthetic apparatus.

Cyanobacteria are the only prokaryotes performing oxygenic photosynthesis. The photosynthetic apparatus is particularly rich in
iron-containing cofactors. Accordingly, cyanobacteria have multiple mechanisms for iron uptake and sophisticated systems for the regulation of iron metabolism. *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (from here: *Synechocystis* 6803) was the first phototrophic and the third organism overall for which a complete genome sequence was determined, takes up DNA spontaneously and can be easily manipulated by homologous recombination and CRISPR-based tools. The genome of *Synechocystis* 6803 has been extensively curated by the research community and dedicated databases have been developed providing information on the regulation of gene expression at RNA level, the composition of protein–protein and RNA–protein complexes, and the intracellular localization of proteins. Thus, *Synechocystis* 6803 has become one of the most popular cyanobacterial models. Bacteria sense cytosolic iron (Fe$^{2+}$) in a concentration-dependent manner through its interaction with the transcription factor Fur (Ferric uptake regulator). In its monomeric form, Fur binds free iron, which promotes a dimerization of Fur and a higher binding affinity for specific sites (so-called Fur boxes) in the promoter region of genes blocking their transcription. The genome of *Synechocystis* 6803 encodes three proteins that resemble the *E. coli* Fur protein. Of these proteins, only Fur encoded by *sll0567* seems to be directly involved in iron sensing, while the paralogs PerR (*sll1738*) and Zur (*sll1937*) have been related to oxidative stress and zinc sensing, respectively. Genome-level analyses showed that the expression of a large number of genes is directly or indirectly affected by iron limitation but it has remained unknown which of these genes are directly controlled by Fur.

Based on multiple transcriptomic data sets for iron-depleted cultures of *Synechocystis* 6803, we defined a set of genes which responded to changes in extracellular iron concentration in a highly robust manner and identified the putative Fur-binding site shared by all promoters of these genes. *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6714 (from here: *Synechocystis* 6714) is a strain closely related to *Synechocystis* 6803 and indicated by a 16S rDNA identity of 99.4% and 2,838 shared protein-coding genes, making it ideal for comparative analyses. Moreover, *Synechocystis* 6714 is also an established laboratory strain used by the research community. Here, we used it to cross-validate the relative localization of the identified motifs and the regulation of the predicted Fur-controlled genes. The transcriptomic dataset for *Synechocystis* 6714 was generated from samples cultivated under the identical conditions as used for strain 6803. Based on the two datasets of experimentally mapped transcription start sites (TSSs) and the validated Fur box, we identified the high confidence Fur regulon, consisting of at least 33 protein-coding genes and one sRNA gene, whose Fur regulation is conserved across the two strains, as well as ten or seven genes that are strain-specifically regulated in strain 6803 or 6714, respectively.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Motif finding

Three different components from the MEME Suite (v.5.1.1; available at https://meme-suite.org/meme/) were used for the Fur box definition and identification of potential Fur-binding sites. First, we used MEME to discover the Fur binding motifs based on overrepresentation in the selected promoter regions for each strain individually, applying default settings but allowing repetitions of motifs. As input sequences, we selected the promoter regions of 11 high confidence Fur-regulated genes with differential expression in *Synechocystis* 6803 and 6714, using a window of 200 nt upstream and downstream of the respective TSS. After obtaining two very similar palindromic motifs for each strain, the analysis was repeated with the combined set of promoter sequences of the two strains while restricting the search for palindromic sequences. Next, we used TOMTOM to compare our motifs to experimentally verified Fur boxes from cyanobacteria and motifs stored in the prokaryotic database CollecTF. Finally, we used FIMO to identify binding sites on a genome-wide scale based on the Fur motifs, matching the default P-value settings of $1.0E^{-04}$. For a more exhaustive comparison between the two strains, we also kept all predicted Fur boxes with less stringent P-value settings of $1.0E^{-03}$ to include specific cases, when a Fur box fell under the default threshold in just one of the strains.

2.2. Comparative TSS pair determination in *Synechocystis* 6803 versus 6714

The assigned TSSs of all transcriptional units (TUs), their transcription profiles and information about conserved non-coding TUs (nTUs) and antisense TUs (aTUs) as well as information about orthologs between the two strains were taken from previous analyses. However, we re-matched the TSSs to their associated TUs and also allowed multiple TSSs to be assigned to the same TU. In particular, we included all TSSs downstream of the main TSS as well as all internal TSSs downstream of the start codon as potential TSSs for each TU. If a gene did not have an assigned TSS, all TSSs in a distance of 500 nt downstream from the annotated gene start were considered. In the case of multiple TSSs assigned to a gene, we determined the correlation coefficients of the transcription profiles for each possible TSS combination between the respective orthologs yielding a list of TSSs conserved between the two strains. Subsequently, the correlation coefficients of the transcription profiles of the orthologous TSSs to those of the respective coding regions were determined. The TSS pairs which had the largest correlation coefficients between the strains and those best matching the transcription profiles of the downstream coding regions were selected for the comparison of conserved Fur box occurrence and iron response between the strains.

2.3. Strain construction, cultivation, RNA, and protein isolation and detection

*Synechocystis* 6803 strains overexpressing IsiE with or without a C-terminal triple FLAG epitope tag under control of the petE promoter were constructed and cultivated, as previously described for other small genes. The kanamycin resistance cassette from plasmid pET28a was used to delete the isiE gene by homologous recombination. To express isiE-3xFLAG as a recombinant protein in *E. coli*, the vector backbone was amplified from pET28a using primer pair OEflag-vec-F/R, while the coding sequence of isiE was amplified from the genomic DNA of *Synechocystis* 6803 using primer pair OEflag-IsiE-F/R. The two fragments were fused together using AQUA cloning, and the resulting plasmid pOEIsiE-FLAG with ampicillin resistance was transferred into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells for the expression of IsiE-3xFLAG. The primers used to PCR-amplify DNA fragments for the different cloning and mutagenesis steps are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Samples for RNA and protein analysis were collected and separated on denaturing formaldehyde-agarose gels or 15% glycine-SDS gels respectively following published protocols. Nylon-membrane transferred RNA samples were hybridized with 32P-labelled single-stranded RNA probes that were generated from amplified DNA fragments or else a directly labelled
oligonucleotide, in case of 5S rRNA (Supplementary Table S1). FLAG-tagged proteins were detected by Western blot as described previously.\textsuperscript{32}

2.4. Purification of recombinant IsiE-3xFLAG and absorption spectra

*Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying the plasmid pOEIsiE-FLAG was cultured in LB medium at 37°C, 180 r.p.m. to an OD600 of 0.8, and then induced with 0.5 mM IPTG for 4 h. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 3,000 x g for 5 min, and washed with lysis buffer (137 mM NaCl, 12 mM phosphate, 2.7 mM KCl, 1X cComplete\textsuperscript{TM} protease Inhibitor, pH 7.4). Washed cells were spun again and suspended in 1/10 of the initial culture volume in lysis buffer. Cells were physically disrupted by high pressure using a Constant Systems Cell Disruptor. Cell lysate was centrifuged at 4°C, 16,000 x g for 30 min to remove unbroken cell and membrane fractions. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.22-μm-pore-size filter and used to purify IsiE-3xFLAG by specific binding to ANTI-FLAG\textsuperscript{®} M2 magnetic beads according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorption spectra were measured at room temperature using Specord\textsuperscript{®} 250 Plus (Analytik Jena) spectrophotometer. The purified IsiE-3xFLAG protein was dissolved in PBS buffer (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}, 2 mM KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4), while a solution of 3X FLAG peptide using the same buffer was used as blank control.

3. Results

3.1. Selecting a high confidence set of Fur-regulated genes

The transcriptional acclimation of *Synechocystis* 6803 to iron starvation has been analysed in several studies using different technological platforms.\textsuperscript{15,16,18,19,29} The set of genes detected as differentially expressed varied between the studies, likely due to variations in the platforms.\textsuperscript{15,16,18,19,29} The set of genes detected as differentially regulated has been analysed in several studies using different technological platforms.

3.2. Computational identification of a Fur-binding motif

Using MEME, we detected in the promoter sequences of the 11 selected TUs (Supplementary Dataset 1 and 2), a 21-nt long motif in the two strains (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). Both motifs tend to be palindromic with a single central nucleotide, are AT rich, and exhibit only minor divergences in the underlying positional weight matrices. The high motif similarity correlates with the strongly conserved Fur protein sequence, differing in only three amino acids between the two strains (Supplementary Fig. S2). Two substitutions are conservative replacements, while on position 28, a threonine (with uncharged side chain) in strain 6803 corresponds to a positively charged lysine in strain 6714, which might lead to slight differences in the structure of the DNA-binding site. This may explain the minor differences between the Fur motifs of the two strains (Fig. 1A). The amino acid at this position is frequently replaced, for example, by a histidine in *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 (Supplementary Fig. S2). The MEME analysis using the combined promoter set yielded a *Synechocystis* Fur-binding consensus motif of 23 nt with high statistical confidence (Fig. 1A), which was used for all further analyses.

Our original choice of a search window 200 nt upstream and downstream of the TSS, respectively, was motivated by the location of a Fur-binding site, 163–186 nt downstream of the TSS of *isiA*, whose relevance for de-repression under iron starvation was demonstrated experimentally.\textsuperscript{27,29,33} The location of Fur-binding sites that are far downstream of the TSS is consistent with Fur functioning as a transcriptional roadblock, which in the case of *isiA* leads to a prematurely terminated and clearly detectable transcript.\textsuperscript{36,37} However, almost all Fur-regulated genes have a strong tendency to harbour at least one Fur-binding site in a window restricted from 100 nt upstream to 50 nt downstream of the respective TSS (Fig. 1B). Therefore, the genome-wide motif search using FIMO was restricted to this 150 nt range to increase specificity. When the *Synechocystis* consensus motif was compared with the transcription factor binding sites in the CollecTF database,\textsuperscript{30} 25 motifs were identified with a P-value ≤0.01, with the top 12 motifs corresponding to Fur box elements in different species of bacteria (Supplementary Table S4).

3.3. Correlation of iron response and Fur box conservation between *Synechocystis* 6803 and 6714

The genome-wide search for potential Fur-binding sites (P-value ≤ 1.0 E–04) identified 114 TSSs associated with such elements for *Synechocystis* 6803 (Supplementary Table S5) and 120 TSSs for *Synechocystis* 6714 (Supplementary Table S6), representing ~2% of all verified TSS. The TSSs of both strains were paired according to their distance to the respective orthologous genes, Fur box occurrence, and similarities in their activities under ten different environmental conditions (Supplementary Fig. S3 and Table S7).

Under iron starvation, a total of 78 TSSs in strain 6803 and 53 TSSs in strain 6714 were differentially expressed (adj. P-value ≤ 0.05). Among the genes controlled by these TSSs, there were 27 orthologous upregulated genes. Three orthologous protein-coding genes (*slr0888, slr0889, slr1634*), the *isiA* antisense RNA *isrR*, and the sRNA *pmgR1* showed conserved downregulation. Twenty of the conserved upregulated genes also harbour Fur boxes in both strains (Fig. 2A). Of the conserved downregulated genes, only *slr1634* has...
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Figure 1. Definition and relative locations of putative Fur boxes in *Synechocystis* 6803 and 6714. (A) Sequence logos of putative Fur boxes in strains 6803 and 6714 determined by MEME motif prediction and resulting consensus for both strains (bottom). The promoter sequences given in Supplementary Datasets 1 and 2 were used as input for the motif prediction. The tabular output of the MEME motif prediction used as the basis for the individual sequence logos can be found in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3. The *Synechocystis* consensus Fur box was determined by performing a combined analysis of the promoters of the two strains. (B) Graphical output of the MEME motif prediction for 11 promoter regions conserved between *Synechocystis* 6803 (purple, left) and 6714 (orange, right). The Fur boxes of each strain are visualized by rectangular boxes of their corresponding colours. Predicted elements just below the threshold are indicated by less intense colouring. Vertical dashed lines box the region from −100 to +50 nt, in which most Fur boxes are located. The TU numbers are given as previously defined.

Figure 2. Scatter plot showing the conservation of expression under iron starvation between the two strains. The plots display the transcription levels at low iron, divided by the median of nine other conditions for strain 6803 (x-axis) versus strain 6714 (y-axis), and indicate Fur box occurrence. (A) Genes with Fur boxes in both strains (P-value ≤ 1.0E−04). (B) Genes with potential Fur boxes in both strains (P-value ≤ 1.0E−04). (C) Genes with Fur boxes in strain 6714 (P-value ≤ 1.0E−04). (D) Genes with Fur boxes in strain 6714 (P-value ≤ 1.0E−04). (E) Genes with iron-dependent regulation but lacking Fur boxes. Data points of genes that were differentially expressed during iron starvation (P-value ≤ 0.05) are coloured: red = differentially expressed in both strains; purple = differentially expressed only in 6803; orange = differentially expressed only in 6714. Text labels of the *Synechocystis* 6803 orthologs are given for all differentially expressed genes with adjusted P-values > 0.05 in at least one of the strains (except for panel E, adjusted P-value > 0.05 in both strains only).

The predicted potential Fur boxes in both strains and might be activated by Fur (Fig. 2B). For one gene, ank, iron-dependent regulation and a Fur-binding motif were detected only for the homolog in strain 6714 (Fig. 2C). The gene pair *islBC* exhibited similar regulation in both strains, but a Fur box was only detected in strain 6714 (Fig. 2C). Conversely, for the gene pair *exbD3/D4*, a Fur box was only detected in strain 6803, given that the genes are transcribed by read-through from an upstream gene in strain 6714 (Fig. 2D). Finally, we identified a set of eight iron-responsive genes with conserved differential expression but lacking a Fur box in their promoters (Fig. 2E).
Indeed, their expression is post-transcriptionally controlled by the Fur-regulated sRNA IsaR1 in the case of stu/BCDX,29 inversely through co-degradation with the Fur-regulated isiA mRNA in the case of IsrR,30 or by currently unknown alternative mechanisms.

3.4. Defining a high confidence set of genes regulated by Fur and their functions

The presence of a Fur box and the specific differential expression under iron starvation resulting from the respective gene-specific promoter or as part of an operon is summarized for both strains in Fig. 3. There are 34 high confidence Fur target genes, including one sRNA gene, which exhibited a significant differential iron starvation response and harbours Fur boxes in both strains. Another ten genes are specific or specifically Fur-regulated only in strain 6803 and seven such genes in strain 6714 (Fig. 3A). Functional enrichment analysis identified 23 enriched GO terms, with an adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05, functionally assigned to 32 of these 51 genes (Fig. 3B).

To gain more detailed insights, we inspected the chromosomal regions of predicted Fur regulated genes further and found several remarkable examples of conserved or divergent Fur regulation.

3.5. Bidirectional promoters are a hallmark of Fur-mediated regulation

Visual inspection of predicted Fur box occurrences revealed that, besides the respectively assigned TSS, additional iron-regulated TSSs were frequently located in close proximity on the reverse strand, indicating bi-directional transcriptional regulation. This feature is also present in giant iron-responsive gene clusters, such as the pyochelin siderophore transcriptional activator PchR,31 the methyltransferase Slh1407, and a few other uncharacterized periplasmic or transmembrane proteins. Although the gene set differs between strains, with gene D082_09160 only occurring in strain 6714 (TU1055) and two larger insertions in strain 6803, the positions of Fur boxes and the iron starvation-dependent regulation are strikingly well conserved (Fig. 4).

Another instance of bidirectional regulation was discovered for the Fur-regulated genes slr1878 and slr1977, encoding FutC and a nucleoside phosphorylase I family (cl38914, E-value = 1.23E−19 protein). The two major TSSs (TSS2 for both genes) are just spaced 58 nt apart from each other; hence, this is an interesting instance of a bidirectional promoter in the strictest sense (Supplementary Fig. S4). TSS2 for slr1977 leads to an mRNA with its 5′-end already within the coding region, rendering the annotated (and conserved) start codon unlikely to start translation. It is tempting to speculate that transcripts originating at TSS1 allow the translation of slr1977 from the annotated start codon, whereas TSS2 would lead to an N-terminally truncated, alternative protein. The fact that the futC-slr1977 arrangement is conserved in both strains supports its possible functionality (Supplementary Fig. S4).

3.6. Extension of the isiABCD operon and discovery of isiE

An intriguing example of conserved Fur regulation is the isiABC operon encoding the iron stress-induced protein A (IsiA) and flavodoxin (IsiB)34,35 as well as a third annotated gene, sll0249, encoding a protein with an alpha/beta hydrolase fold. Previous work indicated that sll0249 is co-transcribed with isiAB under iron-limited conditions and was renamed as isiC.36 Our data suggest that in both strains, three Fur boxes control the transcription of this operon (Fig. 5A). One of these is located within the 5′-UTR, directly upstream of the conserved isiA GTG start codon, as previously reported for strain 6803,37 and here identified also in strain 6714. Hence, a tight control by Fur can be expected and indeed strong transcriptional upregulation was observed under iron starvation starting at the isiA TSS.38 The mRNA coverage extended beyond the isiABC genes and included the genes, sll0461 and dfp, encoding a DUF2555 domain-containing protein and the coenzyme A biosynthesis bifunctional protein CoaBC. It has been suggested independently that these five genes belong to this operon,39 while sll0461 has recently been renamed to isiD.40

While the general arrangement and transcriptional organization of this operon appears to be common to both strains, a second TSS in strain 6803 at a position 17 nt downstream of the isiA stop codon seems to separate isiA from isiB. This TSS is only active during dark-starvation; it does not appear to be active during iron starvation and the isiABCD-dfp operon can be transcribed jointly (Fig. 5A). Directly downstream of the isiA reading frame, a steep reduction is visible in the transcriptome coverage during iron starvation in both strains, and a second descent at the end of the relatively long (404 nt in strain 6803) intergenic spacer. We also noticed with D082_02010, a likely short gene interspersed between the isiA and isiB homologs in strain 6714, but not in strain 6803. D082_02010 is annotated to encode a 45-amino acid protein, although re-examination suggests a 59-amino acid cysteine-rich protein. Such short genes may be artefacts of gene modelling; therefore, we considered the isiA-isiB intergenic spacer in strain 6803 and found a 59-codon open reading frame (ORF) as well. Northern analysis showed that the coding sequence is transcribed as part of the joint transcript with isiA and isiB and that deletion of the coding sequence by a kanamycin resistance cassette also affected the transcription of the downstream located isiB (Fig. 5B).

We therefore cloned this ORF under the control of an inducible promoter and engineered a short sequence encoding a 3× FLAG tag at its C terminus. Upon conjugation into Synechocystis 6803 and
induction by adding Ca$^{2+}$, we observed the accumulation of a short protein in three biological replicates (Fig. 5C). We conclude that the isiA-isiB intergenic spacer contains a previously unknown protein-coding gene that we suggest be named isiE. The isiE amino acid sequence is extremely cysteine-rich (8/59 amino acids in 6803 and 7/59 in 6714). Homologs can be identified in more than 100 cyanobacteria, both in syntenic and in different locations. Multiple sequence alignments show that CXCC/CXXC is the most widely conserved motif among these homologs (Fig. 5D). Similar motifs are known from metal-binding proteins and proteins interacting with DNA or RNA genes.

Figure 3. High-confidence Fur target genes and their functions. (A) Genomic organization of high-confidence Fur target genes, including information of ortholog conservation, relevant TUs, Fur box occurrence, and differential expression under iron starvation for both strains. Conserved Fur regulated orthologs are highlighted by the vertical arrows. Key examples are further outlined in the genome plots in Figs. 4–6 and Supplementary Fig. S4. (B) Functional enrichment analysis of the Fur target genes using Gene Ontology (GO) terms. Genes without functionally enriched GO terms are in grey.

| TU | Name | Locus | Fur box | Fe | Diff. -Fe expression adj. p-value < 0.05 |
|----|------|-------|---------|----|----------------------------------------|
| TU31 | fecC | sll1316 | V | a | 0.002_0890 |
| TU32 | fecD | sll1317 | V | a | 0.002_0899 |
| TU34 | iutA/iscT | sll1206 | a | a | 0.002_08970 |
| TU35 | exbB1 | sll1207 | a | a | 0.002_08150 |
| TU36 | exbB2 | sll1208 | a | a | 0.002_08190 |
| TU47 | tonB | sll1488 | a | a | 0.002_08900 |
| TU48 | pchR | sll1489 | a | a | 0.002_08910 |
| TU49 | fhuA1 | sll1490 | a | a | 0.002_08920 |
| TU50 | fhuA2 | sll1491 | a | a | 0.002_08930 |
| TU51 | fhuA3 | sll1492 | a | a | 0.002_08940 |
| TU52 | fhuA4 | sll1493 | a | a | 0.002_08950 |
| TU53 | fhuA5 | sll1494 | a | a | 0.002_08960 |
| TU54 | fhuA6 | sll1495 | a | a | 0.002_08970 |
| TU55 | fhuA7 | sll1496 | a | a | 0.002_08980 |
| TU56 | fhuA8 | sll1497 | a | a | 0.002_08990 |
| TU57 | fhuA9 | sll1498 | a | a | 0.002_08100 |
| TU58 | fhuA10 | sll1499 | a | a | 0.002_08110 |
| TU59 | fhuA11 | sll1500 | a | a | 0.002_08120 |
| TU60 | fhuA12 | sll1501 | a | a | 0.002_08130 |
| TU61 | fhuA13 | sll1502 | a | a | 0.002_08140 |
| TU62 | fhuA14 | sll1503 | a | a | 0.002_08150 |
| TU63 | fhuA15 | sll1504 | a | a | 0.002_08160 |
| TU64 | fhuA16 | sll1505 | a | a | 0.002_08170 |
| TU65 | fhuA17 | sll1506 | a | a | 0.002_08180 |
| TU66 | fhuA18 | sll1507 | a | a | 0.002_08190 |
| TU67 | fhuA19 | sll1508 | a | a | 0.002_08200 |
| TU68 | fhuA20 | sll1509 | a | a | 0.002_08210 |
| TU69 | fhuA21 | sll1510 | a | a | 0.002_08220 |
| TU70 | fhuA22 | sll1511 | a | a | 0.002_08230 |
| TU71 | fhuA23 | sll1512 | a | a | 0.002_08240 |
| TU72 | fhuA24 | sll1513 | a | a | 0.002_08250 |
| TU73 | fhuA25 | sll1514 | a | a | 0.002_08260 |
| TU74 | fhuA26 | sll1515 | a | a | 0.002_08270 |
| TU75 | fhuA27 | sll1516 | a | a | 0.002_08280 |
| TU76 | fhuA28 | sll1517 | a | a | 0.002_08290 |
| TU77 | fhuA29 | sll1518 | a | a | 0.002_08300 |
| TU78 | fhuA30 | sll1519 | a | a | 0.002_08310 |
| TU79 | fhuA31 | sll1520 | a | a | 0.002_08320 |
| TU80 | fhuA32 | sll1521 | a | a | 0.002_08330 |

Figure 4. Genome plots showing examples of read-through transcription (a), instances of gene duplication events in strain 6803 and do not have an assigned TSS (compare with Fig. 4). Instances of read-through transcription are highlighted by the vertical arrows. Key examples are further outlined in the genome plots in Figs. 4–6 and Supplementary Fig. S4.
RNA including the Fur protein itself containing six cysteine residues, two of which are arranged into a CXXC motif (Supplementary Fig. S2). The corresponding CXXC motif in Fur from *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 was shown to be functionally critical. Spectral analysis of the purified recombinant protein supported a possible iron-binding function of IsiE, while structural modelling indicated a close spatial arrangement of the cysteine residues protruding from the IsiE surface (Supplementary Fig. S5).

Upstream of the isiAEBCD-dfp operon, a homolog of the ribosome biosynthesis GTPase YlqF/RbgA (Slr0267) is encoded, exhibiting a bidirectional regulation via Fur in strain 6714. Due to its low expression, there was no TSS defined for strain 6803; thus, a possible bidirectional regulation via Fur cannot be excluded in both strains.

### 3.7 Iron-dependent regulation by gene insertion

Most of the differentially expressed genes are associated with the conservation of a Fur motif. In the case of *ank* encoding an ankyrin-repeat-containing protein, we found a strain-specific upregulation. Closer inspection suggested a gene insertion that conferred Fur regulation to the *ank* gene exclusively in strain 6714 (Fig. 6). The inserted gene, *DO82_28000*, is transcribed from a bidirectional promoter with twin Fur boxes that function in both directions. *DO82_28000* encodes an iron-uptake porin (conserved protein domain family por_somb, superfamily cl41527, E-value = 0). There is no closely related ortholog in strain 6803, although it has six paralogous porin genes (Sll0772, Sll1271, Slr0042, Slr1908, Slr1841) and Sll1550 (this work).

### 4. Discussion

Accurate prediction of the Fur regulon is essential for our understanding of iron homeostasis in cyanobacteria. Multiple transcriptomics experiments of iron depletion in *Synechocystis* 6803 by different laboratories indicated a large number of genes, which might be under the control of Fur. However, many of the observed expression changes were likely not a direct consequence of Fur binding but might have been secondary effects obscuring the primary response. To dissect primary (caused by differential Fur binding) and secondary downstream effects in the existing transcriptomic datasets, a
Figure 5. Extension of the Fur-regulated isiA operon. (A) The expression under iron starvation is shown for Synechocystis 6803 and 6714. Conserved genomic regions between the strains are boxed light grey. Fur box locations are indicated by red triangles. High-confidence Fur-regulated TUs are highlighted together with gene names and read coverage under iron starvation. The TSS separating isiA and isiB in strain 6803 and the TSS following isiC in both strains play no roles under iron starvation conditions. Therefore, genes of TU1555 and TU1554 were added to the conserved Fur regulon. The newly defined isiE genes (this study) are connected by the bidirectional arrow. (B) Northern hybridization for the verification of isiA, isiE, and isiB transcript accumulation in the ΔisiE and wild-type (WT) strains during a time course of iron starvation. A size marker is given on the right, a 5S rRNA control hybridization is shown underneath. (C) Western blot for the detection of FLAG-tagged IsiE in three independent biological replicates C1–C3 but not in the wild type (WT). (D) IsiE homologs can be predicted in several cyanobacteria (strain acronyms and GenBank accession numbers are indicated). The multiple sequence alignment shows the conservation of a region containing two cysteine-rich motifs.
Our analysis indicates that Fur regulates all genes involved in ferric iron transport in Synechocystis (Fig. 4). Interestingly, two large insertions in strain 6803 led to additional FecB, FhuA and PchR paralogs, plus two more genes lacking direct orthologs in strain 6714. The genomic organization of these insertions (pchR–fhuA3–fecB3 and pcrR–fhuA1–fecB2–sll1203–sll1204), consisting of a transcriptional regulator, a TBDT receptor, and a periplasmic siderophore-binding protein, suggests a conserved role (Fig. 7). The multiple TBDT receptors and FecB paralogs might exhibit variations in siderophore specificities and allow strain 6803 to be more flexible in utilizing different types of siderophores for iron uptake.\(^{39,41}\) The role of PchR is not well-understood in cyanobacteria, as well as the reason for its collective duplication in strain 6803 together with siderophore-uptake and siderophore-binding proteins. However, PchR in *P. aeruginosa* acts as transcriptional activator of siderophore biosynthesis and export genes, requiring siderophores as co-activators and being also repressed by Fur.\(^{43}\) *Synechocystis* 6803 does not produce siderophores and no siderophore biosynthesis genes have been detected.\(^{32}\) Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that the different PchR paralogs in strain 6803 might respond to different siderophores as co-activators for the regulation of genes involved in recycling and secretion of previously imported siderophores. The Sll1407 methyltransferase might also contribute to the regulation of processes involved in siderophore-mediated iron uptake. The co-transcription of *tonB* with the genes encoding periplasmic protein Str1485 and the ABC-transporter Str1488, as well as co-transcription of *fecB4* with the genes encoding the ABC-transporter subunits Str1494 and Str1493, as well as of *fecB2* with *sll1204* and *sll1203* (strain 6803) only suggest the existence of additional mechanisms for siderophore uptake and/or secretion that are not described so far. Overall, the ferric iron transport system in strain 6803 seems to be more complex than in strain 6714, except for the occurrence of *D082_09160* upstream of *fecE*. The functionality of multiple small ORFs (*D082_08980*, *D082_08970*, and *D082_09120*) in the ferric iron transport gene cluster of strain 6714 has yet to be validated.

### 4.2. Ferrous iron transport systems

Fur also regulates the ferrous iron transport systems, which are thought to be supplied with Fe\(^{2+}\) diffusing through porins from the outer membrane (Fig. 7). While the Feo system is exclusively restricted to Fe\(^{3+}\) uptake, there are controversies on the iron affinities (Fe\(^{3+}\) or Fe\(^{2+}\)) of the Fur system.\(^{53}\) In *Synechocystis*, the ferric iron uptake (Fut) system possesses two periplasmatic iron-binding proteins, FutA1 and FutA2, with distinct functions. While the former is largely responsible for the actual iron transport, the latter may act as metallochaperone to establish an ion gradient to assist in iron influx. It was also proposed that FutA2 is involved in iron reduction, possibly interacting with the siderophore-dependent ferric iron transport system.\(^{55}\) Notably, only FutB, the integral membrane protein of the Fut system, seems to be not regulated via Fur. The two Fur-regulated porins, *D082_28000* (strain 6714 only), and *Sll1549*/\(D082_06180\), including the co-transcribed periplasmatic protein *Sll1549*/\(D082_06170\), might be supplying the ferrous iron (Feo) transport systems with Fe\(^{2+}\). The Feo system surprisingly is restricted to strain 6803.

### 4.3. Comparison to other cyanobacteria and further iron starvation-responsive genes

Compared to the Fur regulons in more complex cyanobacteria, such as *Anabaena*, the *Synechocystis* Fur regulon is more compact. In *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, FurA-binding sites were identified...
upstream of 215 genes belonging to diverse functional categories including iron homeostasis, photosynthesis and respiration, heterocyst differentiation, oxidative stress defence, and light-dependent signal transduction. In contrast, the Fur regulon in *Synechocystis*, as defined here, comprises 33 protein-coding genes and the sRNA IsaR1 that are under the control of 16 and 14 individual promoters in strains 6803 and 6714, respectively. Anabaena spp. have a larger number and bigger diversity of iron transporters and proteins involved in the biosynthesis of siderophores. Therefore, it makes sense that the regulon controlled by Fur is larger in these species. Still, our study revealed several novel Fur targets in *Synechocystis* that are not directly involved in iron uptake, such as the bacterioferritin-associated ferredoxin Ssl2250 (iron storage mechanisms), the nucleoside phosphorylase Slr1977, the ankyrin-repeat-containing protein Slr1109/D082_27980 (potentially involved in heme trafficking to catalase),56 Fur-regulated only in strain 6714), the ribosome biogenesis GTPase YlqF/RbgA (only strain 6714), proteins encoded by the *isiAEBCD-dfp* operon, and the prolycopene isomerase CrtH in an operon with *isaR1* (Fig. 7). Fur is well documented as a repressor of *isiA*.27,29,35,57 At the end of this work, a search for “*isiA*-Synechocystis” on PubMed Central returned close to 300 publications showing that *isiA* is one of the best-studied genes in this organism. Therefore, our discovery of the *isiE* gene in the intergenic spacer between *isiA* and *isiB* demonstrates that the comparative analysis of regulatory mechanisms and regulons can help to improve the fine mapping of even well-studied genomic regions, such as the Fur regulated *isiAEBCD-dfp* operon. While *IsiE* could not be assigned to any known protein family we noticed that the conserved CXCC motif (Fig. 5D) has been characterized as a metal-binding element.58 Indeed, spectroscopic analysis yielded preliminary evidence that *IsiE* could be an iron-binding protein (Supplementary Fig. S5). Recently, the discoordination of operon expression during iron starvation was reported for *isiA* and *isiB*, if ferredoxin 2 function was disturbed, and an unknown posttranscriptional regulation was postulated.59 IsiE might be involved in this process. Alternatively, IsiE might play a role in iron recycling when photosystem I is structurally re-organized at low iron or redox stress conditions and becomes functionally associated with IsaA proteins.60,61 Photosystem I is essential for the survival of cyanobacteria, requiring large quantities of iron-containing co-factors, thus a small protein such as IsiE might

![Diagram of iron transport gene cluster and Fur regulon](image-url)
transiently store released iron from damaged photosystem I and release it when new photosystem subunits are being assembled. A similar role is performed by the small high-light induced proteins (HLIPs) in the assembly of chlorophylls to newly synthesized photosystems II.52

4.4. Connection between Fur and other transcriptional regulators and regulatory factors

Several Fur targets, namely those exhibiting strong induction during iron starvation, such as the tonB system (Fig. 4) or isiA (Fig. 5), contain more than one predicted binding site usually located upstream of the regulated genes, indicating an additive effect of the binding of multiple Fur molecules. Additionally, Fur-regulated genes can be controlled also by other transcriptional regulators. Again, this is exemplified by the isiA promoter, which in addition to Fur is under control of the TetR-family transcriptional regulator PfsR (SII1392)63 and RpaB, integrating its expression with the cellular redox status.35 Most Fur boxes are located within a distance of less than 50 nt upstream of the TSS. Surprisingly, we did not find a Fur box in the proximity of the gene, which was inferred to be auto-regulated in Anabaena sp. PCC 7119.64 This indicates that the mechanism controlling the transcription of Fur potentially differs in Synechocystis. A candidate is PfsR because fur transcription increased in a pfsR deletion mutant during iron deprivation and recombinant PfsR was shown to bind to a fur promoter fragment.65 Homologs of PfsR are lacking in Anabaena strains PCC 7119 as well as PCC 7120 (E < 10−5). Hence, the autoregulatory function of Fur present in those species likely was replaced by the epistatic control through PfsR in Synechocystis spp.

The interconnection between the regulons of Fur (this study) and those controlled by other iron-related transcription factors, such as SufR,66 and of secondary regulators, such as those belonging to the Fur-controlled sRNA IsaR1,29, Sll1408 (PcrR), Slr1489, and Sll1205 (both PchR), assure the physiological regulation of iron metabolism and utilization (Fig. 7). In addition, paralogous transcription factors related to oxidative stress responses and other metal stress responses, such as PerR (SII1738) and Zur (SII1917),13,14 need to be taken into account. Finally, the Fur regulon in Synechocystis 6803 is interconnected via an epistatic control mechanism involving FtsH proteases to photosynthesis and to other major transcriptional regulators. Fur becomes released from DNA when Fe2+ becomes scarce. The released Fur protein is then degraded by the FtsH1/FtsH3 protease complex.57 Interestingly, the manipulation of FtsH1/FtsH3 abundance leads to a drastic reduction in the transcriptional responses to different types of nutrient starvation, mediated not only by Fur, but also by the Pho, NdhR, and NtcA TFs. Hence, the transcriptional regulation of iron, phosphorus, C, and N starvation responses appear to be interconnected at a higher level, with the activity of the photosynthetic machinery through FtsH1/FtsH3 mediation illustrating the intrinsically complex regulatory systems in cyanobacteria.
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