Scalar products of Bethe vectors in the 8-vertex model
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Abstract

We obtain a determinant representation of normalized scalar products of on-shell and off-shell Bethe vectors in the inhomogeneous 8-vertex model. We consider the case of rational anisotropy parameter and use the generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz approach. Our method is to obtain a system of linear equations for the scalar products, prove its solvability and solve it in terms of determinants of explicitly known matrices.
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1 Introduction

The study of low-dimensional strongly correlated systems is of great importance and interest. Among the numerous physical low-dimensional models, a special role is played by the 8-vertex model [1–4], which is closely related or in some sense equivalent to the completely anisotropic XYZ Heisenberg magnet [5]. This model is completely integrable, because the corresponding matrix of Boltzmann weights (the $R$-matrix) satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation, and transfer matrices commute for any values of the arguments. However, unlike the 6-vertex model, in the 8-vertex model, the total flow through the vertex, generally speaking, is not conserved. In the language of the XYZ chain, this leads to the fact that the third component of the total spin is no longer an integral of motion. All this implies significant difficulties in the study of this model.
The spectral problem for the 8-vertex model was solved by R. Baxter via the $Q$-operator method \cite{6-8}, which subsequently found wide applications. Further research on the 8-vertex model took place in several directions. Besides studying the properties of the $T$–$Q$ equation and the solutions of Bethe equations \cite{9-18}, it is also worth mentioning the study of the underlying quantum algebras \cite{19-23}. A number of works were devoted to the study of correlation functions of the 8-vertex model \cite{24-29}. However, there are still many open questions in this direction.

In 1979, the Quantum Inverse Scattering Method (QISM) \cite{30, 31} was applied to the $XYZ$ Heisenberg chain in paper \cite{32}. This required the development of some generalization of the algebraic Bethe ansatz, since this method in its original formulation is not applicable to the $XYZ$ chain. The generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz allows us to obtain Bethe equations that determine the spectrum of the Hamiltonian, as well as construct the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix. The question arises of the applicability of this method to the calculation of form factors and correlation functions. This way looks very attractive, since the application of the standard algebraic Bethe ansatz to this problem has been developed quite well to date. Let us briefly recall the main features of this approach.

The calculation of correlation functions within the QISM consists of several stages. At the first stage, it is necessary to derive the action of local operators on physical states (on-shell Bethe vectors). This is achieved either within the framework of the composite model \cite{33}, or by explicitly solving the quantum inverse problem \cite{34}. The latter allows us to express explicitly local spin operators via elements of the monodromy matrix. For the $XYZ$ chain, the quantum inverse problem was solved in \cite{35} (see also \cite{36}).

At the next step, it is necessary to calculate the arising scalar products of Bethe vectors. In these scalar products, one of the vectors is still an eigenvector of the Hamiltonian (or equivalently, an eigenvector of the transfer matrix), while the second, generally speaking, is not (an off-shell Bethe vector). Attempts to calculate norms and the scalar products directly with the help of the algebra satisfied by elements of the quantum monodromy matrix show that this is a difficult combinatorial problem.

The history of the problem is as follows. The first result is Gaudin’s hypothesis which goes back to 1972 \cite{37, 38} (see also the later work \cite{39}) about norms of eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian of the Bose-gas with point-like interaction. This hypothesis was proved in 1982 by Korepin \cite{40} in the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method for a sufficiently wide class of models. It states that the squared norm of eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian is given by determinant of an $m \times m$ matrix ($m$ is the number of excitations) whose explicit form is restored from the form of Bethe equations. In 1989, for models with the 6-vertex $R$-matrix, a compact determinant formula was proved \cite{41} for scalar products of two Bethe vectors one of which is on-shell and another one is an arbitrary off-shell Bethe vector. The original method to obtain this result was a complicated combinatorial analysis of the structure of scalar products and application of recurrence relations for them. In 1998, Kitanine, Maillet and Terras \cite{34} obtained this result by a different method and showed that the matrix elements of the matrix participating in the determinant representation of scalar products are expressed through derivatives of eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. Later similar results were obtained for models with the 6-vertex $R$-matrix with non-periodic boundary conditions \cite{42-45}. In paper \cite{46}, a determinant representation was obtained for scalar products in the elliptic solid-on-solid
Recently, a new method was proposed in [47], which avoids all combinatorial difficulties and allows one to reduce the calculation of scalar products of on-shell and off-shell Bethe vectors in models with the 6-vertex $R$-matrix to solving a system of linear equations. This method explains why the scalar products of on-shell and off-shell Bethe vectors have determinant representations.

The existence of determinant representations for scalar products allows us to directly proceed to the calculation of correlation functions. Here we should mention the method based on the use of auxiliary quantum operators (dual fields) [48–50], as well as the method based on the representation for correlation functions in the form of multiple integrals [51–55]. However, for today, the most powerful and relatively simple approach is the method of form factor expansion. In particular, a significant successes have been achieved along this path in the study of the correlation functions of the $XXZ$ chain and the model of one-dimensional bosons (the Lieb–Liniger model). Both analytical [56, 57] and numerical [58–61] results were obtained.

The lack of compact determinant representations for scalar products in the 8-vertex model (or the $XYZ$ chain) is a serious obstacle for the study of the correlation functions within the framework of the QISM. At the same time, the method of [47] relies solely on the formula for the action of the transfer matrix on the Bethe vectors. For the $XYZ$ chain, this formula was obtained in pioneer work [32]. Therefore, this approach is carried over without significant changes to the case of models with the 8-vertex $R$-matrix.

In this paper, we use the approach suggested in [47]. Namely, we obtain a system of linear equations whose solutions are the scalar products of the on-shell and off-shell Bethe vectors of the inhomogeneous 8-vertex model. We find these solutions in terms of determinants (minors of the matrix of the linear system). At this stage, we basically follow the strategy of [47]. A significant difference appears at the final stage. A feature of this method is that the above system of linear equations is homogeneous. Therefore, its solutions contain an ambiguity which must be fixed. In models with the 6-vertex (trigonometric or rational) $R$-matrix, this is achieved by considering a special particular case, in which the scalar product is reduced to the partition function of the 6-vertex model with the domain wall boundary conditions which has a determinant representation [40, 62]. An analogue of this result in the case of the 8-vertex model is not known (see, however, papers [63, 64], where the representation of the partition function of the elliptic SOS model with the domain wall boundary conditions as a finite linear combination of determinants was obtained). Therefore, the study of this particular case for the models with the 8-vertex $R$-matrix does not lead to the desired results. Instead, we fix the freedom in the resulting solution using the quasiperiodic transformation properties of Bethe vectors under sifts of the variables by periods. Despite of this method allows one to fix the ambiguity only partially, the residual arbitrariness disappears in the normalized expressions.

Let us present here the main result. We consider the inhomogeneous 8-vertex model or the $XYZ$ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain on an even number of sites $N = 2n$ with a rational anisotropy parameter $\eta = 2P/Q$. Let $\langle \Psi_\nu(\{v_i\}) |$ be the (dual) eigenvector of the transfer matrix $T(u)$ with the eigenvalue $T_\nu(u; \{v_i\})$ explicitly given by (3.23) below (here $\nu = 0, 1, \ldots, Q-1$, and the parameters $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ satisfy the Bethe equations) and let $|\Psi_\mu(\{u_i\})\rangle$ be an
off-shell Bethe vector with arbitrary parameters \( u_1, \ldots, u_n \). Let also define

\[
V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i, \quad U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i, \quad r = V - U.
\]

Our main result is the following determinant formula for the scalar product for the on-shell and off-shell Bethe vectors:

\[
\langle \Psi_\nu(\{v_i\}) \mid \Psi_\mu(\{u_i\}) \rangle = \phi_{1}(\nu,\mu)(r) \prod_{a,b=1}^{n} \theta_1(u_a - v_b|\tau) \prod_{p<q} \theta_1(v_q - v_p|\tau) \det_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} T^{(\nu\mu)}_{jk}(r), \quad (1.1)
\]

where the matrix \( T^{(\nu\mu)}_{jk}(r) \) is given by

\[
T^{(\nu\mu)}_{jk}(r) = \frac{\theta_1'(0|\tau) \theta_1(u_k - v_j + r|\tau)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j|\tau) \theta_1(r|\tau)} \left( T_\nu(u_k; v_1, \ldots, v_n) - T_\mu(u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_j - r, \ldots, v_n) \right). \quad (1.2)
\]

In (1.1), (1.2), \( \theta_1(z|\tau) \) is the odd Jacobi theta function with modular parameter \( \tau \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \text{Im} \tau > 0 \). The function \( \phi_{1}(\nu,\mu)(r) \) in (1.1) is known explicitly (see (5.49), (5.50) below). The function \( \phi_{2}(\nu,\mu)(\{v_i\}) \) can not be fixed by our method. However, it does not enter the expression for specially normalized scalar products

\[
\frac{\langle \Psi_\nu(\{v_i\}) \mid \Psi_\mu(\{u_i\}) \rangle}{\langle \Psi_\nu(\{v_i\}) \mid \Psi_\nu(\{v_i\}) \rangle}.
\]

We argue that only such expressions are essential for finding correlation functions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the main objects of the 8-vertex model and XYZ spin chain: the \( R \)-matrix (in the elliptic parametrization), the \( L \)-operator, the quantum monodromy matrix and the transfer matrix. After that we study how the \( R \)-matrix acts on the tensor products of some specially parameterized vectors in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) and introduce vacuum vectors for gauge-transformed \( L \)-operators. Section 3 explains how the generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz works for the construction of eigenvectors of the transfer matrix. First, the commutation relations for the elements of the gauge-transformed quantum monodromy matrix are derived and then their algebra is used to construct right and left (dual) eigenvectors, basically in the same way as in [32]. In section 4 we recall the alternative method of diagonalization of the transfer matrix based on the \( Q \)-operator and derive the sum rule for Bethe roots for the inhomogeneous model. This section is included for completeness and is not directly related to what follows. The main content of the paper is contained in section 5 where we obtain a homogeneous system of linear equations for the scalar products of Bethe vectors, prove its solvability and solve it in terms of determinants. We also prove that our result implies orthogonality of on-shell Bethe vectors.

There are also three appendices and a list of notations. In Appendix A we show that our result implies that some special Bethe vectors are in fact null-vectors. Appendix B is devoted to a detailed account of the simplest case \( N = 2 \). In Appendix C we show that in the case \( \eta = \frac{1}{2} \) (the case of free fermions) even more explicit results can be obtained.
2 Inhomogeneous 8-vertex model

Since the XYZ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain and the 8-vertex model are equivalent, below we mostly talk about the latter for definiteness. We stress that we consider an inhomogeneous model only for reasons of generality. All formulas below allow a smooth homogeneous limit.

2.1 The $R$-matrix

The matrix of Boltzmann weights of the 8-vertex model (the $R$-matrix) has a natural elliptic parametrization \[4\]. In order to write it explicitly, we use the Jacobi theta functions

\[
\theta_1(u|\tau) = -i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k q^{(k+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{\pi i (2k+1)u},
\]

\[
\theta_2(u|\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(k+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{\pi i (2k+1)u},
\]

\[
\theta_3(u|\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{k^2} e^{2\pi i ku},
\]

\[
\theta_4(u|\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k q^{k^2} e^{2\pi i ku},
\]

where $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Im} \tau > 0$, and $q = e^{\pi i \tau}$. Let us mention the infinite product representation

\[
\theta_1(u|\tau) = 2q^{\frac{u}{4}} \sin \pi u \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{2n} e^{2\pi i u})(1 - q^{2n} e^{-2\pi i u}).
\]

Similar infinite product representations exist also for the other theta-functions which are connected with $\theta_1(u|\tau)$ by the formulas

\[
\theta_2(u|\tau) = \theta_1(u + \frac{1}{2}|\tau), \quad \theta_3(u|\tau) = q^{\frac{u}{4}} e^{\pi i u} \theta_1(u + \frac{\tau + 1}{2}|\tau), \quad \theta_4(u|\tau) = -iq^{\frac{u}{4}} e^{\pi i u} \theta_1(u + \frac{\tau}{2}|\tau).
\]

It is seen from here that $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ become respectively sin and cos as $q \to 0$ while $\theta_3$ and $\theta_4$ become constants (equal to 1). The function $\theta_1$ is odd while the other three are even.

The theta functions satisfy a large number of non-trivial identities which are used below without comments. Most of these identities with proofs can be found in \[65\].

Let

\[
\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

be Pauli matrices, $\sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2)$. The Baxter’s $R$-matrix of the symmetric 8-vertex model in the elliptic parametrization has the form

\[
R(u) = R(u; \eta, \tau) = \sum_{a=0}^{3} W_a(u) \sigma_a \otimes \sigma_a,
\]

(2.3)
where
\[ W_a(u) = W_a(u; \eta, \tau) = \frac{\theta_{5-a}(u + \frac{u}{2} | \tau)}{2\theta_{5-a}(\frac{u}{2} | \tau)} \tag{2.4} \]

and the index of the theta functions is understood modulo 4 (for example, \( \theta_5(u | \tau) = \theta_1(u | \tau) \)). This \( R \)-matrix acts in the tensor product \( V_1 \otimes V_2 \) (\( V_i \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \)) and can be also denoted as \( R_{12}(u) = \sum_{a=0}^{3} W_a(u) \sigma_a^{(1)} \sigma_a^{(2)} \). (Pictorially, the \( R \)-matrix is represented as the vertex \( \uparrow \) formed by intersection of two lines, the first space being associated with the horizontal line and the second one with the vertical line.) In the matrix form we have:

\[
R(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
W_0(u)+W_3(u) & 0 & 0 & W_1(u)-W_2(u) \\
0 & W_0(u)-W_3(u) & W_1(u)+W_2(u) & 0 \\
W_1(u)-W_2(u) & 0 & W_0(u)-W_3(u) & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
a^{sv}(u) & 0 & 0 & d^{sv}(u) \\
0 & b^{sv}(u) & c^{sv}(u) & 0 \\
0 & c^{sv}(u) & b^{sv}(u) & 0 \\
d^{sv}(u) & 0 & 0 & a^{sv}(u) \\
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{2.5}
\]

where
\[
a^{sv}(u) = \frac{2\theta_4(\eta|2\tau)\theta_1(u+\eta|2\tau)\theta_4(u|2\tau)}{\theta_2(0|\tau)\theta_4(0|2\tau)}, \]
\[
b^{sv}(u) = \frac{2\theta_4(\eta|2\tau)\theta_4(u+\eta|2\tau)\theta_1(u|2\tau)}{\theta_2(0|\tau)\theta_4(0|2\tau)}, \tag{2.6}
\]
\[
c^{sv}(u) = \frac{2\theta_1(\eta|2\tau)\theta_4(u+\eta|2\tau)\theta_1(u|2\tau)}{\theta_2(0|\tau)\theta_4(0|2\tau)},
\]
\[
d^{sv}(u) = \frac{2\theta_1(\eta|2\tau)\theta_1(u+\eta|2\tau)\theta_1(u|2\tau)}{\theta_2(0|\tau)\theta_4(0|2\tau)}.
\]

It is easy to see that when the spectral parameter \( u \) is shifted by the quasiperiods 1 and \( \tau \), the \( R \)-matrix transforms as follows:

\[
R_{12}(u+1) = -\sigma_3^{(1)}R_{12}(u)\sigma_3^{(1)}, \tag{2.7}
\]
\[
R_{12}(u+\tau) = -e^{-\pi i(2u+\eta+\tau)}\sigma_1^{(1)}R_{12}(u)\sigma_1^{(1)}.
\]

It can be shown that this \( R \)-matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation \[\tag{2.8}\]

\[
R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)R_{13}(u_1)R_{23}(u_2) = R_{23}(u_2)R_{13}(u_1)R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)
\]

and commutes with \( \sigma_a \otimes \sigma_a \):

\[
\sigma_a \otimes \sigma_a R(u) = R(u)\sigma_a \otimes \sigma_a, \quad a = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.9}
\]
Below we also need the following properties of the $R$-matrix \((2.5)\):

\[
R_{12}(-u; -\eta, \tau) = -R_{12}(u; \eta, \tau),
\]

\[
R_{12}^{t_1 t_2}(u) = R_{12}(u),
\]

\[
R_{12}(u - \eta; \eta, \tau) = e^{\pi i (2u - \eta + \tau)} R_{12}^{t_1}(u + \tau + 1; -\eta, \tau),
\]

where \(t_i\) means transposition in the \(i\)-th space.

In the limit \(\tau \to +i\infty (q \to 0)\) the elliptic \(R\)-matrix degenerates into the standard trigonometric \(R\)-matrix of the 6-vertex model:

\[
R(u) \to 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \pi(u + \eta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \pi u & \sin \pi \eta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \pi \eta & \sin \pi u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin \pi(u + \eta) \end{pmatrix} + O(q^{\frac{3}{2}}).
\]

The \(R\)-matrix \((2.5)\) can be also represented in the form of the \(L\)-operator

\[
L(u) = \begin{pmatrix} W_0(u)\sigma_0 + W_3(u)\sigma_3 & W_1(u)\sigma_1 - iW_2(u)\sigma_2 \\ W_1(u)\sigma_1 + iW_2(u)\sigma_2 & W_0(u)\sigma_0 - W_3(u)\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(u) & b(u) \\ c(u) & d(u) \end{pmatrix},
\]

which is the \(2 \times 2\) matrix whose matrix elements are operators in \(\mathbb{C}^2\). Clearly, it is the same \(R\)-matrix \((2.5)\) written as a block matrix.\(^\text{2}\) The Yang-Baxter equation for \(R\) is the \(RLL = LLR\) relation for \(L\)

\[
R_{12}(u - v)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u - v),
\]

where \(L_1(u) = L(u) \otimes 1\), \(L_2(v) = 1 \otimes L(v)\).

The quantum monodromy matrix of the inhomogeneous 8-vertex model is

\[
\mathcal{T}(u) = L_1(u - \xi_1)L_2(u - \xi_2) \ldots L_N(u - \xi_N) = \begin{pmatrix} A(u) & B(u) \\ C(u) & D(u) \end{pmatrix},
\]

where complex numbers \(\xi_i\) are inhomogeneity parameters. It is an operator in \(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}\), \(\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} V_i, V_i \cong \mathbb{C}^2\). Here \(L_j(u)\) is given by the formula \((2.11)\), where the \(\sigma\)-matrices \(\sigma^{(j)}_a\) act in the \(j\)-th copy of \(\mathbb{C}^2\) associated with the \(j\)-th site of the lattice. The operators \(A(u), B(u), C(u), D(u)\) act in the space \(\mathcal{H}\). We consider the case of even \(N = 2n\), otherwise solvability of the model is problematic. Equations \((2.7)\) imply the following properties of the quantum monodromy matrix:

\[
\mathcal{T}(u + 1) = \sigma_3 \mathcal{T}(u)\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} A(u) & -B(u) \\ -C(u) & D(u) \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathcal{T}(u + \tau) = e^{-\pi ic(u)} \sigma_1 \mathcal{T}(u)\sigma_1 = e^{-\pi ic(u)} \begin{pmatrix} D(u) & C(u) \\ B(u) & A(u) \end{pmatrix},
\]

\(^\text{2}\)Usually in the literature the \(L\)-operator differs from the \(R\)-matrix by a shift of the spectral parameter \(u \to u - \eta/2\). We do not make this shift.
where
\[ c(u) = N(2u + \eta + \tau) - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_k. \] (2.15)

It follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that the quantum monodromy matrix satisfies the
\[ R_{12}(u - v)T_1(u)T_2(v) = T_2(v)T_1(u)R_{12}(u - v), \] (2.16)

This relation implies that the transfer matrices
\[ T(u) = \text{tr}_0 \left( R_{01}(u - \xi_1)R_{02}(u - \xi_2) \ldots R_{0N}(u - \xi_N) \right) \]
\[ = \text{tr} \left( L_1(u - \xi_1)L_2(u - \xi_2) \ldots L_N(u - \xi_N) \right) = \text{tr} T(u) = A(u) + D(u) \] (2.17)

commute for any values of the spectral parameter \( u \). The transfer matrix is an operator
in the space \( \mathcal{H} \). For the solution of the model one is interested in eigenvectors and
eigenvalues of the transfer matrix.

It follows from (2.9) that the transfer matrix commutes with the operators
\[ U_a = (\sigma_a)^{\otimes N}. \] (2.18)

Note that the operators \( U_a \) commute with each other:
\[ U_a U_b = (\sigma_a \sigma_b)^{\otimes N} = (-1)^N (\sigma_b \sigma_a)^{\otimes N} = U_b U_a \]
(because \( N \) is an even number). Therefore, the problem is to find common eigenvectors
of the transfer matrix and the operators \( U_a \).

The homogeneous 8-vertex model (when all \( \xi_i \) are equal to 0) is closely related to the
\( XYZ \) spin-\( 1/2 \) chain. The connection goes as follows: the Hamiltonian \( H^{XYZ} \) of the \( XYZ \)
spin chain is contained in the commuting family of operators \( T(u) \) in the following way:
\[ \partial_u \log T(u) \bigg|_{u=0} = \frac{\theta'_1(0|\tau)}{2\theta_1(\eta|\tau)} H^{XYZ} + J_0 N 1, \] (2.19)

where \( J_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta'_1(\eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(\eta|\tau)} \), and \( 1 \) is the identity operator. The Hamiltonian of the
\( XYZ \) chain is given by
\[ H^{XYZ} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( J_1 \sigma_1^{(j)} \sigma_1^{(j+1)} + J_2 \sigma_2^{(j)} \sigma_2^{(j+1)} + J_3 \sigma_3^{(j)} \sigma_3^{(j+1)} \right) \] (2.20)

with the constants
\[ J_1 = \frac{\theta_4(\eta|\tau)}{\theta_4(0|\tau)}, \quad J_2 = \frac{\theta_3(\eta|\tau)}{\theta_3(0|\tau)}, \quad J_3 = \frac{\theta_2(\eta|\tau)}{\theta_2(0|\tau)}. \]

The transfer matrix of the homogeneous 8-vertex model is a generating function for
conserved quantities of the \( XYZ \) spin-\( 1/2 \) chain.
2.2 Intertwining vectors

The \( L \)-operator of the 8-vertex model does not have a vacuum vector, i.e. a vector annihilated by the operator \( c(u) \), because the matrix \( c(u) \) is non-degenerate for almost all \( u \). This fact makes it impossible to apply directly the algebraic Bethe ansatz method used for the solution of the 6-vertex model. Instead, one can apply the so-called generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz \(^{32}\). The key ingredient of the generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz for the 8-vertex model is the rule of the action of the \( R \)-matrix (2.25) to some special vectors.

Let us introduce a family of vectors

\[
|\phi(s)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(s|2\tau) \\ \theta_4(s|2\tau) \end{pmatrix},
\]

(2.21)

where \( s \) is a complex parameter. They are called intertwining vectors. The covector orthogonal to \(|\phi(s)\rangle\) is

\[
\langle \phi^+(s) \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -\theta_4(s|2\tau), \theta_1(s|2\tau) \end{pmatrix} = ie^{-\pi i(s+\frac{\tau}{2})} \langle \phi(s+\tau + 1) \rangle
\]

and the scalar product \( \langle \phi^+(t)|\phi(s)\rangle \) is given by

\[
\langle \phi^+(t)|\phi(s)\rangle = \theta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} (t-s) \right) \theta_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} (t+s) \right)
\]

\[
= 2 \frac{\theta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} (t-s)|2\tau \right) \theta_4 \left( \frac{1}{2} (t-s)|2\tau \right) \theta_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} (t+s)|2\tau \right) \theta_3 \left( \frac{1}{2} (t+s)|2\tau \right)}{\theta_2(0|2\tau) \theta_3(0|2\tau)}.
\]

(2.22)

Using the identities for the theta functions, one can prove the following important identity for the intertwining vectors:

\[
R(u)|\phi(s+\eta)\rangle \otimes |\phi(s-u)\rangle = \theta_1(u + \eta|\tau) |\phi(s)\rangle \otimes |\phi(s-u+\eta)\rangle
\]

(2.23)

or, indicating explicitly the spaces where the vectors live:

\[
R_{12}(u)|\phi(s+\eta)\rangle_1|\phi(s-u)\rangle_2 = \theta_1(u + \eta|\tau) |\phi(s)\rangle_1|\phi(s-u+\eta)\rangle_2.
\]

(2.24)

Note that when one acts by the \( R \)-matrix to the tensor product of two vectors, one in general obtains a linear combination of pure tensor products. The situation when one gets just one tensor product term as in (2.23) is exceptional. This property was called by Baxter “passing of a pair of vectors through the vertex” \(^{4}\) and it played a very important role in his solution of the 8-vertex model. The vectors that satisfy this property are parameterized by points of an elliptic curve which is uniformized by the parameter \( s \). This is the origin of the parameter \( s \) in (2.23).

Let us give some other useful versions of identity (2.24). Changing \( u \rightarrow -u, \eta \rightarrow -\eta \) in (2.24) and using (2.10), we arrive at

\[
R_{12}(u)|\phi(s-\eta)\rangle_1|\phi(s+u)\rangle_2 = \theta_1(u + \eta|\tau) |\phi(s)\rangle_1|\phi(s-u-\eta)\rangle_2.
\]

(2.25)

Shifting \( s \rightarrow s + \tau + 1 \) and transposing in the both spaces, we also get the transposed version of equation (2.24):

\[
\langle \phi^+(s+\eta)|_1\langle \phi^+(s-u)|_2 R_{12}(u) = \theta_1(u + \eta|\tau) \langle \phi^+(s)|_1\langle \phi^+(s-u + \eta)|_2.
\]

(2.26)
Shifting \( u \to u - \xi \) and then \( s \to s + u \) in (2.24) and taking the scalar product of both sides with the covector \( \langle \phi^+(s + u) \rangle \), we get:

\[
\langle \phi^+(s + u) \rangle \left| R_{12}(u - \xi) \phi(s + u + \eta) \right\rangle_1 \phi(s + \xi) \rangle_2 = 0, \tag{2.27}
\]

where \( \xi \) is an additional arbitrary parameter. Here the operator \( \langle \phi^+(s + u) \rangle \left| R_{12}(u - \xi) \phi(s + u + \eta) \right\rangle \), acts in the vertical space (the space number 2). Taking the scalar product of (2.24) with the covector \( \langle \phi^+ \rangle \), we obtain

\[
\langle \phi^+(t) \rangle \left| R_{12}(u) \phi(s + \eta) \right\rangle_1 \phi(s - u) \rangle_2 = \theta_1(u + \eta | \tau) \langle \phi^+(t) \rangle \phi(s) \rangle_1 \phi(s - u + \eta) \rangle_2
\]

or, what is the same but with an additional parameter \( \xi \) introduced by the shift \( u \to u - \xi \),

\[
\langle \phi^+(t - u) \rangle \left| R_{12}(u - \xi) \phi(s + u + \eta) \right\rangle_1 \phi(s + \xi) \rangle_2 = \theta_1(u - \xi + \eta | \tau) \phi(s + \xi + \eta) \rangle_2. \tag{2.28}
\]

Shifting the arguments in (2.24) and changing \( \eta \to -\eta \), using the property (2.10) and transposing in the first space, we obtain the following important corollary:

\[
\langle \phi^+(s) \rangle \left| R_{12}(u) \phi(s - u) \right\rangle_2 = \theta_1(u | \tau) \langle \phi^+(s + \eta) \rangle_1 \phi(s - u - \eta) \rangle_2 \tag{2.29}
\]

or, what is the same but with an additional parameter \( \xi \),

\[
\langle \phi^+(s + u) \rangle \left| R_{12}(u - \xi) \phi(s + \xi) \rangle \right_2 = \theta_1(u - \xi | \tau) \langle \phi^+(s + u + \eta) \rangle_1 \phi(s + \xi - \eta) \rangle_2. \tag{2.30}
\]

We stress that \( \langle \ldots \rangle_1 \left| \ldots \right\rangle_2 \) here is not a scalar product but the tensor product of the vector and covector (which live in difference spaces). Taking the scalar product with the vector \( \langle \phi(t - u + \eta) \rangle_1 \) in the first space, we can write this identity in the following form:

\[
\langle \phi^+(s + u) \rangle \left| R_{12}(u - \xi) \phi(t - u + \eta) \rangle_1 \phi(s + \xi) \rangle_2 = \theta_1(u - \xi | \tau) \phi(s + \xi - \eta) \rangle_2. \tag{2.31}
\]

Let us now give a more general identity for the intertwining vectors which can be proved basically in the same way as (2.24):

\[
R_{12}(u) \langle \phi(s + \eta) \rangle_1 \phi(t - u) \rangle_2 = \frac{\theta_1(\eta | \tau) \theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) - u | \tau)}{\theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) | \tau)} \langle \phi(t) \rangle_1 \phi(s + u + \eta) \rangle_2
\]

\[
+ \frac{\theta_1(u | \tau) \theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) + \eta | \tau)}{\theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) | \tau)} \langle \phi(s) \rangle_1 \phi(t - u - \eta) \rangle_2. \tag{2.32}
\]

It provides a rule of how the \( R \)-matrix acts on the tensor products of two arbitrary vectors. At \( t = s \) (2.32) coincides with (2.24) (this can be seen after using an identity for the theta functions). Substituting \( u \to -u \), \( \eta \to -\eta \), we also obtain:

\[
R_{12}(u) \langle \phi(s - \eta) \rangle_1 \phi(t + u) \rangle_2 = \frac{\theta_1(\eta | \tau) \theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) + u | \tau)}{\theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) | \tau)} \langle \phi(t) \rangle_1 \phi(s - u - \eta) \rangle_2
\]

\[
+ \frac{\theta_1(u | \tau) \theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) - \eta | \tau)}{\theta_2(\frac{1}{2} (s + t) | \tau)} \langle \phi(s) \rangle_1 \phi(t + u + \eta) \rangle_2. \tag{2.33}
\]
Equations (2.24), (2.25), (2.32), (2.33) can be unified in the “intertwining relation” between the $R$-matrix and the collection of Boltzmann weights of a IRF-type model. Introduce the vectors
\[ |\phi_k^{k+1}(w)\rangle = |\phi(s - u + k\eta + \frac{u}{2})\rangle, \]
\[ |\phi_k^{k+1}(w)\rangle = |\phi(s + u + k\eta + \frac{u}{2})\rangle, \] (2.34)
then the above mentioned equations can be compactly written as
\[ R_{12}(u-v) |\phi_k^{k'}(u)\rangle_1 |\phi_k^{k''}(v)\rangle_2 = \sum_l |\phi_l^{k'}(u)\rangle_1 |\phi_l^{k''}(v)\rangle_2 W \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} k & k' & k'' \\ l & l' & l'' \end{array} \right] (u-v), \] (2.35)
where \( W \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} k & k' & k'' \\ l & l' & l'' \end{array} \right] (u) = 0 \) unless \( |k - k'| = |k' - k''| = |l - k''| = |l - k| = 1 \). The non-zero weights are:
\[ W \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} k & k \pm 1 & k \pm 2 \\ k \pm 1 & k \pm 2 \\ k \pm 1 & k \pm 2 \end{array} \right] (u) = \theta_1(u) |\eta| \tau, \]
\[ W \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} k & k \pm 1 & k \pm 1 \\ k \pm 1 & k \pm 1 & k \pm 1 \end{array} \right] (u) = \frac{\theta_1(u) |\eta| \tau \theta_2(s + k\eta \mp u) \tau}{\theta_2(s + k\eta \tau)}, \] (2.36)
\[ W \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} k & k \pm 1 & k \pm 1 \\ k \pm 1 & k \pm 1 & k \pm 1 \end{array} \right] (u) = \frac{\theta_1(u) \tau \theta_2(s + (k \pm 1) |\eta| \tau)}{\theta_2(s + k\eta \tau)}. \]

A similar intertwining relation obtained from (2.35) by transposition in both spaces holds for the corresponding covectors.

### 2.3 Vacuum vectors

Let us consider the gauge transformation of the $L$-operator
\[ L_k'(u, \xi_k) = M_k^{-1}(u - \xi_k) L_k(u - \xi_k) M_k(u) = \begin{pmatrix} a_k'(u) & b_k'(u) \\ c_k'(u) & d_k'(u) \end{pmatrix}, \]
(2.37)
where \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \) is an integer parameter. The matrix \( M_k(u) \) is given by
\[ M_k(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(s_k + u |2\tau) & \gamma_k \theta_1(t_k - u |2\tau) \\ \theta_4(s_k + u |2\tau) & \gamma_k \theta_4(t_k - u |2\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \] (2.38)
where \( s_k = s + k\eta, t_k = t + k\eta, s, t \in \mathbb{C} \) are arbitrary parameters and
\[ \gamma_k = \frac{1}{\theta_2(\tau_k |2\tau) \theta_3(\tau_k |2\tau)}, \quad \tau_k = \frac{1}{2} (s_k + t_k). \] (2.39)

Note that the columns of this matrix are the intertwining vectors. The inverse matrix is
\[ M_k^{-1}(u) = \frac{1}{\det M_k(u)} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_k \theta_4(t_k - u |2\tau) & -\gamma_k \theta_1(t_k - u |2\tau) \\ -\theta_4(s_k + u |2\tau) & \theta_1(s_k + u |2\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \] (2.40)
where
\[
\det M_k(u) = -\gamma_k \langle \phi^+ (t_k - u) | \phi(s_k + u) \rangle \\
= \gamma_k \theta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} (s - t) + u | \tau \rangle \theta_2 (\tau | \tau \rangle \
= 2 \frac{\theta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} (s - t) + u | 2 \tau \rangle \theta_4 \left( \frac{1}{2} (s - t) + u | 2 \tau \rangle \right)}{\theta_2 (0 | 2 \tau \rangle \theta_3 (0 | 2 \tau \rangle) \equiv \mu(u).}
\]

Note that \( \det M_k(u) = \mu(u) \) does not depend on \( k \).

The gauge-transformed \( L \)-operator (2.37) has a local \( u \)-independent vacuum vector
\[
|\omega_k^l \rangle = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\theta_1 (s_{k+l-1} + \xi_k | 2 \tau \rangle \\
\theta_4 (s_{k+l-1} + \xi_k | 2 \tau \rangle)
\end{array} \right) = |\phi (s_{k+l-1} + \xi_k) \rangle_k \in V_k
\]
which is annihilated by the left lower element \( c'_k(u) \):
\[
c'_k(u) |\omega_k^l \rangle = 0
\]
(recall that \( c'_k(u) \) depends also on \( s \) and \( l \)). This directly follows from equation (2.27) (one should put \( s = s_{k+l-1} \) in the latter). In their turn, equations (2.28) and (2.31) (where one should put \( s = s_{k+l-1} \), \( t = t_{k+l-1} \)) tell us how the operators \( a'_k(u), d'_k(u) \) act to the vacuum vector:
\[
a'_k(u) |\omega_k^l \rangle = \theta_1 (u - \xi_k + \eta | \tau \rangle |\omega_k^{l+1} \rangle, \\
d'_k(u) |\omega_k^l \rangle = \theta_1 (u - \xi_k | \tau \rangle |\omega_k^{l-1} \rangle.
\]

Unlike the situation in the 6-vertex model, the vacuum vector is not an eigenvector for these operators but transforms in a simple way.

The gauge-transformed quantum monodromy matrix is
\[
\mathcal{T}^l (u) = L'_1 (u - \xi_1) L'_2 (u - \xi_2) \ldots L'_N (u - \xi_N)
\]
\[
= M_{l+1}^{-1} (u) \mathcal{T}(u) M_{N+l} (u) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
A^l (u) & B^l (u) \\
C^l (u) & D^l (u)
\end{array} \right).
\]
The global vacuum vectors are defined as
\[
|\Omega^l \rangle = |\omega_1^l \rangle \otimes |\omega_2^l \rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |\omega_N^l \rangle.
\]
According to (2.43), (2.44), the action of the operators \( A^l(u), D^l(u) \) and \( C^l(u) \) on the global vacuum vector is given by
\[
C^l(u) |\Omega^l \rangle = 0,
\]
\[
A^l(u) |\Omega^l \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_1 (u - \xi_i + \eta | \tau \rangle |\Omega^{l+1} \rangle,
\]
\[
D^l(u) |\Omega^l \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_1 (u - \xi_i | \tau \rangle |\Omega^{l-1} \rangle.
\]
The same formulas (2.24), (2.25) allow one to check that the local dual vacuum vector
\[ \langle \bar{\omega}_k^i \rangle = \langle \phi^+(t_{k+i} - \xi) \rangle \] (2.46)
satisfies the following properties:
\[ \langle \bar{\omega}_k^i | b_k' \rangle = 0, \]
\[ \langle \bar{\omega}_k^i | a_k' \rangle = \gamma_{k+l-1}^{-1} \theta_1(u - \xi + \eta) \langle \bar{\omega}_k^{l-1} \rangle, \] (2.47)
\[ \langle \bar{\omega}_k^i | d_k' \rangle = \gamma_{k+l-1}^{-1} \theta_1(u - \xi) \langle \bar{\omega}_k^{l+1} \rangle. \]

The global dual (left) vacuum vectors are defined as tensor products of the local ones:
\[ \langle \bar{\Omega}^i \rangle = \langle \bar{\omega}_1^i \rangle \otimes \langle \bar{\omega}_2^i \rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes \langle \bar{\omega}_N^i \rangle. \] (2.48)

The action of the operators \( A^l(u), D^l(u), B^l(u) \) to the left vacuum is given by
\[ \langle \bar{\Omega}^i | B^l(u) \rangle = 0, \]
\[ \langle \bar{\Omega}^i | A^l(u) \rangle = \gamma_l \gamma_{l+N}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_1(u - \xi_i + \eta) \langle \bar{\Omega}^{l-1} \rangle, \] (2.49)
\[ \langle \bar{\Omega}^i | D^l(u) \rangle = \gamma_{l+N} \gamma_l^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_1(u - \xi_i) \langle \bar{\Omega}^{l+1} \rangle. \]

These formulas will be used in the generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz.

3 The generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz

In this section, we construct off-shell and on-shell Bethe vectors and describe their properties. We continue to use the “bra-ket” notation in order to distinguish between right \( \Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) and left (dual) \( \langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \rangle \) Bethe vectors. We draw the reader’s attention to the fact that the meaning of this notation is completely different from that in the previous section. First of all, in distinction of the two-component vectors \( \langle \phi(u) \rangle \) and \( \langle \phi^+(u) \rangle \) the Bethe vectors \( \Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) belong to the quantum space of the model, that is, \( (\mathbb{C}^2)^\otimes N \). Respectively, the dual vectors \( \langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \rangle \) belong to the dual space. Besides, since the procedures for constructing left and right vectors are slightly different, we generally do not require that the left and right vectors be connected by transposition or Hermitian conjugation. In particular, the scalar product \( \langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \rangle \langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \rangle \), generally speaking, is not the square of the vector norm. However, it does become the square of the norm for the values of parameters that ensure the positivity of Boltzmann weights (2.10) or the self-conjugacy of the Hamiltonian (2.20). This treatment of the right and left Bethe vectors is traditional in the algebraic Bethe ansatz approach.
3.1 The permutation relations

Let us introduce the generalized (gauge-transformed) monodromy matrices

\[ T_{k,l}(u) = M_k^{-1}(u) T(u) M_l(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k,l}(u) & B_{k,l}(u) \\ C_{k,l}(u) & D_{k,l}(u) \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.1)

Note that in this new notation \( T(u) = T_{i,j+N}(u) \). We have:

\[ A_{k,l}(u) = \frac{\langle \phi^+(t_k - u) T(u) \phi(s_l + u) \rangle}{\langle \phi^+(t_k - u) \phi(s_k + u) \rangle}, \]

\[ B_{k,l}(u) = \gamma_l \frac{\langle \phi^+(t_k - u) T(u) \phi(t_l - u) \rangle}{\langle \phi^+(t_k - u) \phi(s_k + u) \rangle}, \]

\[ C_{k,l}(u) = -\frac{1}{\gamma_k} \frac{\langle \phi^+(s_k + u) T(u) \phi(s_l + u) \rangle}{\langle \phi^+(t_k - u) \phi(s_k + u) \rangle}, \]

\[ D_{k,l}(u) = -\frac{\gamma_l}{\gamma_k} \frac{\langle \phi^+(s_k + u) T(u) \phi(t_l - u) \rangle}{\langle \phi^+(t_k - u) \phi(s_k + u) \rangle}. \] (3.2)

It follows from equations (2.14) that the generalized monodromy matrix has the following quasiperiodicity properties:

\[ T_{k,l}(u + 1) = T_{k,l}(u), \]

\[ T_{k,l}(u + \tau) = e^{-\pi i c(u)} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\pi i s_k} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-\pi i t_k} \end{pmatrix} T_{k,l}(u) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\pi i s_l} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-\pi i t_l} \end{pmatrix}, \] (3.3)

where \( c(u) \) is defined in (2.15).

For the calculations below it is convenient to introduce a temporary notation for the vectors and covectors

\[ X^l(u) = \langle \phi(s_l + u) \rangle, \quad Y^l(u) = \langle \phi(t_l - u) \rangle, \]

\[ \tilde{X}^k(u) = \langle \phi^+(s_k + u) \rangle, \quad \tilde{Y}^k(u) = \langle \phi^+(t_k - u) \rangle, \]

then

\[ A_{k,l}(u) = -\frac{\gamma_k}{\mu(u)} \tilde{Y}^k(u) T(u) X^l(u), \]

\[ B_{k,l}(u) = -\frac{\gamma_k \gamma_l}{\mu(u)} \tilde{Y}^k(u) T(u) Y^l(u), \]

\[ C_{k,l}(u) = \frac{1}{\mu(u)} \tilde{X}^k(u) T(u) X^l(u), \]

\[ D_{k,l}(u) = \frac{\gamma_l}{\mu(u)} \tilde{X}^k(u) T(u) Y^l(u). \]
In this notation, equations (2.24), (2.25), (2.32), (2.33) look as follows:

\[ R_{12}(u - v)X^{l+1}_1(u)X^l_2(v) = \theta_1(u - v + \eta|\tau)X^l_1(u)X^{l+1}_2(v), \quad (3.4) \]
\[ R_{12}(u - v)Y^{l-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) = \theta_1(u - v + \eta|\tau)Y^l_1(u)Y^{l-1}_2(v), \quad (3.5) \]
\[ R_{12}(u - v)Y^{l+1}_1(u)X^l_2(v) = f^+_k (u - v)Y^{l-1}_1(u)X^l_2(v) + g_t (v - u)X^l_1(u)Y^{l+1}_2(v), \quad (3.6) \]
\[ R_{12}(u - v)X^{l+1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) = f^-_k (u - v)X^{l-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) + g_t (v - u)X^{l+1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v), \quad (3.7) \]
\[ R_{12}(u - v)X^{k-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) = f^-_k (u - v)X^k_1(u)Y^{l+1}_2(v) + g_t (v - u)X^{k-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v), \quad (3.8) \]
\[ R_{12}(u - v)X^{k-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) = f^-_k (u - v)X^k_1(u)Y^{l+1}_2(v) + g_t (v - u)X^{k-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v), \quad (3.9) \]

where

\[ f^+_k (u) = \frac{\theta_1(u|\tau)\theta_2(\tau_k + 1|\tau)}{\theta_2(\tau_k|\tau)}, \quad g_k (u) = \frac{\theta_1(u|\tau)\theta_2(\tau_k + 1|\tau)}{\theta_2(\tau_k|\tau)}. \]

Similar relations hold for the covectors \( \tilde{X}^l(u) \), \( \tilde{Y}^l(u) \); they are obtained by transposition in both spaces.

Multiplying both sides of the \( RTT = T T R \) relation (2.16) by the vectors \( Y^{l-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) \) from the right and \( Y^{k-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) \) from the left and using (3.5), one obtains the permutation relation

\[ B_{k+1,l}(u)B_{k,l+1}(v) = B_{k,l+1}(v)B_{k+1,l}(u). \quad (3.10) \]

Similarly, multiplying both sides of (2.16) by the vectors \( X^{l+1}_1(u)X^l_2(v) \) from the right and \( X^{k+1}_1(u)X^l_2(v) \) from the left and using (3.4), we get

\[ C_{k+1,l}(u)C_{k,l+1}(v) = C_{k,l+1}(v)C_{k+1,l}(u). \quad (3.11) \]

The commutation relations between \( A \)- and \( B \)-operators are obtained by multiplying both sides of (2.16) by the vectors \( Y^{l+1}_1(u)X^l_2(v) \) from the right and \( Y^{k-1}_1(u)Y^l_2(v) \) from the left and using the transposed version of (3.5) and (3.6):

\[ \theta_1(u - v + \eta|\tau)B_{k,l+1}(u)A_{k-1,l}(v) = \theta_1(u - v|\tau)A_{k,l-1}(v)B_{k-1,l}(u) + g_t (v - u)B_{k,l+1}(v)A_{k-1,l}(u). \quad (3.12) \]

The other commutation relations can be obtained in a similar way. Multiplying both sides of (2.16) by the vectors \( Y^l_1(u)Y^{l+1}_2(v) \) from the right and \( \tilde{X}^l_1(u)\tilde{Y}^{k-1}_2(v) \) from the left and using the transposed version of (3.7), one obtains

\[ \theta_1(u - v + \eta|\tau)B_{k-1,l}(v)D_{k,l+1}(u) = \theta_1(u - v|\tau)D_{k+1,l}(u)B_{k,l+1}(v) + g_k (u - v)B_{k-1,l}(u)D_{k,l+1}(v). \quad (3.13) \]

Multiplying both sides of the \( RTT = T T R \) relation by the vectors \( X^{l+1}_1(u)X^l_2(v) \) from the right and \( \tilde{X}^{k-1}_1(u)\tilde{Y}^l_2(v) \) from the left and using the transposed version of (3.9), one obtains:

\[ \theta_1(u - v + \eta|\tau)A_{k,l+1}(v)C_{k-1,l}(u) = \frac{\gamma_k^2}{\gamma_{k+1}\gamma_{k-1}} \theta_1(u - v|\tau)C_{k,l+1}(u)A_{k+1,l}(v) + g_k (u - v)A_{k,l+1}(u)C_{k-1,l}(v). \quad (3.14) \]
Finally, multiplying both sides of the $RTT = TTR$ relation by the vectors $Y_1^l(u)X_2^{l+1}(v)$ from the right and $X_1^{l+1}(u)Y_2^l(v)$ from the left and using (3.18), one obtains:

$$
\theta_1(u - v + \eta|\tau)D_{k,l}(u)C_{k+1,l+1}(v)
= \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma_{l+1}\gamma_{l-1}} \theta_1(u - v|\tau)C_{k,l}(v)D_{k+1,l-1}(u) + g_l(v - u)D_{k,l}(v)C_{k+1,l+1}(u). \quad (3.15)
$$

These are the main operator permutation relations used in the generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz procedure.

### 3.2 Right eigenvectors

Let us consider a vector

$$
|\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = B_{l-1,l+1}(u_1)B_{l-2,l+2}(u_2)\ldots B_{l-n,l+n}(u_n)|\Omega^{l-n}\rangle. \quad (3.16)
$$

We recall that $n$ is fixed and is equal to $N/2$. The commutation relation (3.10) implies that this vector is a symmetric function of the parameters $u_1, \ldots, u_n$. We are going to act to this vector by the transfer matrix $T(u) = A_{l,l}(u) + D_{l,l}(u)$. To this end, let us rewrite equations (3.12), (3.13) in a more convenient form suitable for moving the operators $A$ and $D$ to the right through $B$:

$$
A_{k,l}(u)B_{k-1,l+1}(v)
= \alpha(u - v)B_{k,l+2}(v)A_{k-1,l+1}(u) + \beta_{l+1}(u - v)B_{k,l+2}(u)A_{k-1,l+1}(v), \quad (3.17)
$$

$$
D_{k,l}(u)B_{k-1,l+1}(v)
= \alpha(v - u)B_{k-2,l}(v)D_{k-1,l+1}(u) - \beta_{k-1}(u - v)B_{k-2,l}(u)D_{k-1,l+1}(v), \quad (3.18)
$$

where

$$
\alpha(u) = \frac{\theta_1(u - \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(\eta|\tau)}, \quad \beta_k(u) = \frac{\theta_1(\eta|\tau)\theta_2(\gamma_k + u|\tau)}{\theta_1(\eta|\tau)\theta_2(\gamma_k|\tau)}. \quad (3.19)
$$

The action of the operators $A_{l,l}(u)$, $D_{l,l}(u)$ to the vector (3.16) can be found, with the help of the standard algebraic Bethe ansatz argument, using the permutation relations (3.17), (3.18) and the property (2.45). The result is:

$$
A_{l,l}(u)|\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = T_A(u)|\Psi^{l+1}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle
+ \sum_{j=1}^n A^l_{A,j}(u)|\Psi^{l+1}(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_n)\rangle,
$$

$$
D_{l,l}(u)|\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = T_D(u)|\Psi^{l-1}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle
+ \sum_{j=1}^n A^l_{D,j}(u)|\Psi^{l-1}(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_n)\rangle,
$$
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where
\[
T_A(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(u - \xi_i + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - \xi_i + \eta|\tau)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(u - u_k - \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - u_k|\tau)},
\]
\[
T_D(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(u - \xi_i|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - \xi_i|\tau)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(u - u_k + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - u_k|\tau)},
\]
\[
\Lambda_{A,j}^l(u) = \frac{\theta_1(\eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(0|\tau)} \Phi\left(u - u_j, \tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(u_j - \xi_i + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u_j - \xi_i|\tau)} \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(u_j - u_k - \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u_j - u_k|\tau)},
\]
\[
\Lambda_{D,j}^l(u) = -\frac{\theta_1(\eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(0|\tau)} \Phi\left(u - u_j, \tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(u_j - \xi_i + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u_j - \xi_i|\tau)} \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(u_j - u_k + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u_j - u_k|\tau)}.
\]

In the last two formulas we have introduced a function
\[
\Phi(u, v) = \frac{\theta_1(0|\tau) \theta_1(u + v|\tau)}{\theta_1(u|\tau) \theta_1(v|\tau)}.
\] (3.20)

It has a simple pole at \( u = 0 \) with the residue 1.

Consider now the Fourier transform of the vector \( |\Psi^l\rangle\):
\[
|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i\pi \nu l^2} |\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle.
\] (3.21)

For arbitrary parameters \( u_j \) we call such vectors off-shell Bethe vectors. The action of the transfer matrix \( T(u) = A_{l,l}(u) + D_{l,l}(u) \) on such vector is given by
\[
T(u)|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = T_\nu(u)|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle
\]
\[
+ \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-i\pi \nu l^2} \left( e^{i\pi \nu l} \Lambda_{A,j}^{l-1}(u) + e^{-i\pi \nu l} \Lambda_{D,j}^{l+1}(u) \right) |\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_n)\rangle,
\] (3.22)

where
\[
T_\nu(u) = e^{i\pi \nu l^2} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(u - \xi_i + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - \xi_i + \eta|\tau)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(u - u_k - \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - u_k|\tau)}
\]
\[
+ e^{-i\pi \nu l^2} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(u - \xi_i|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - \xi_i|\tau)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(u - u_k + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u - u_k|\tau)}.
\] (3.23)

Note that one can rewrite (3.22) in the form
\[
T(u)|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = T_\nu(u)|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle
\]
\[
- \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-i\pi \nu l^2} \Phi\left(u - u_j, \tau_l + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \text{res}_{u=u_j} T_\nu(u) \right) |\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_n)\rangle.
\] (3.24)
In this form, it is clear that the r.h.s. is regular at $u = u_j$ as it should be.

The eigenvalue of the transfer matrix should be a regular function of $u_j$. The conditions $\text{res}_{u=u_j} T_\nu(u) = 0$ are simultaneously the conditions of cancellation of the “unwanted terms” in (3.21). These conditions have the form of the Bethe equations

$$e^{2i\pi\mu} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(u_j - \xi_i + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u_j - \xi_i|\tau)} = \prod_{k=1, k\neq j}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(u_j - u_k + \eta|\tau)}{\theta_1(u_j - u_k - \eta|\tau)}.$$  (3.25)

For $N = 2$ there is only one Bethe equation and it can be solved explicitly (see Appendix B). If the Bethe equations are satisfied, then the unwanted terms cancel and the vector $|\Psi_\nu\rangle = |\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle$ is an eigenvector of the transfer matrix provided that $\nu$ is such that the series (3.21) converges and is non-zero. Presumably, this holds for some particular values of $\nu$ and $\nu = 0$ is among them. We call such vectors on-shell Bethe vectors.

For what follows we need analytical properties of the Bethe vectors as functions of the parameters $u_j$. First of all, we note that $|\Psi^l\rangle$ (and, therefore, $|\Psi_\nu\rangle$) is an entire function of $u_j$. Indeed, a possible pole could only occur at $u_j = (t - s)/2$ when $\mu(u)$ in the denominator of the expression for $B_{l-j,l+j}(u_j)$ vanishes. In this case the matrix $M_k(u_j)$ becomes degenerate and one can immediately see that

$$\text{res}_{u_j=(t-s)/2} B_{l-j,l+j}(u_j) \propto \text{res}_{u_j=(t-s)/2} C_{l-j,l+j}(u_j).$$

Using the fact that the Bethe vector is a symmetric function of the $u_i$’s, one can move $u_j$ to the very right end of the chain of the $B$-operators, where we have

$$\text{res}_{u_j=(t-s)/2} B_{l-n,l+n}(u_j) |\Omega^{l-n}\rangle = \text{res}_{u_j=(t-s)/2} C_{l-n,l+n}(u_j) |\Omega^{l-n}\rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, $\text{res}_{u_j=(t-s)/2} |\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = 0$ and thus the Bethe vector is a regular function of each of $u_j$.

Next, let us derive the quasiperiodic properties of the Bethe vector under the shifts $u_j \rightarrow u_j + 1$ and $u_j \rightarrow u_j + \tau$. Using (3.3), we have:

$$B_{l-j,l+j}(u + 1) = B_{l-j,l+j}(u),$$

$$B_{l-j,l+j}(u + \tau) = -e^{\pi i (s+\ell) + 2\pi i\ell - \pi ic(u)} B_{l-j,l+j}(u),$$  (3.26)

where $c(u)$ is given by (2.15). It then follows that

$$|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u_j + 1, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = |\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle,$$

$$|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u_j + \tau, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = -e^{\pi i (s+\ell)} |\Psi_{\nu-2}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle.$$  (3.27)

In particular, if the vector is on-shell and $\{\nu, u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ is the corresponding solution of the Bethe equations, the set $\{\nu + 2, u_1, \ldots, u_j + \tau, \ldots, u_n\}$ also solves the Bethe equations and the two eigenvectors are proportional to each other, i.e., correspond to the same physical state. This fact was mentioned in [11].
3.3 Left eigenvectors

For the construction of left eigenvectors of the transfer matrix it is convenient to redefine the operators $A_{kl}$, $B_{kl}$, $C_{kl}$, $D_{kl}$ in the following way:

$$
\tilde{A}_{kl} = \gamma_k^{-1} \gamma_l A_{kl}, \quad \tilde{B}_{kl} = \gamma_k^{-1} \gamma_l^{-1} B_{kl}, \quad \tilde{C}_{kl} = \gamma_k \gamma_l C_{kl}, \quad \tilde{D}_{kl} = \gamma_k \gamma_l^{-1} D_{kl}.
$$

(3.28)

Note that these operators act to the left vacuum as follows (see (2.49)):

$$
\langle \Omega^l | \tilde{A}_{l,l+N}(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta_1(u - \xi_i + \eta|\tau) \langle \Omega^{l-1} |, \quad \langle \Omega^l | \tilde{D}_{l,l+N}(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta_1(u - \xi_i|\tau) \langle \Omega^{l+1} |.
$$

The new operators are matrix elements of the gauge-transformed quantum monodromy matrix $\mathcal{T}(u) = \tilde{M}_k^{-1}(u) T(u) \tilde{M}_l(u)$ by means of the matrix

$$
\tilde{M}_k(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_k \theta_1(s_k + u|2\tau) & \theta_1(t_k - u|2\tau) \\
\gamma_k \theta_1(s_k + u|2\tau) & \theta_1(t_k - u|2\tau)
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(3.29)

(compared to the matrix (2.38), the first rather than second column is multiplied by $\gamma_k$).

Let us consider the dual vector

$$
\langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n) | = \langle \Omega^{l-n} | \tilde{C}_{l-n,t+n}(v_n) \cdots \tilde{C}_{l-2,t+2}(v_2) \tilde{C}_{l-1,t+1}(v_1).
$$

(3.30)

The commutation relation (3.11) implies that this vector is a symmetric function of the parameters $v_1, \ldots, v_n$. We are going to act to this vector by the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}(u) = \tilde{A}_{l,l}(u) + \tilde{D}_{l,l}(u)$ to the left. To this end, let us rewrite equations (3.14), (3.15) in a more convenient form suitable for moving the operators $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{D}$ to the left through $\tilde{C}$:

$$
\tilde{C}_{k-1,t+1}(v) \tilde{A}_{k,t}(u) = \alpha(v - u) \tilde{A}_{k-1,t+1}(u) \tilde{C}_{k-2,t}(v) - \beta_{k-1}(v - u) \tilde{A}_{k-1,t+1}(v) \tilde{C}_{k-2,t}(u),
$$

(3.31)

$$
\tilde{C}_{k-1,t+1}(v) \tilde{D}_{k,t}(u) = \alpha(v - u) \tilde{D}_{k-1,t+1}(u) \tilde{C}_{k,t+2}(v) + \beta_{k+1}(v - u) \tilde{D}_{k-1,t+1}(v) \tilde{C}_{k,t+2}(u),
$$

(3.32)

with the same functions $\alpha(u)$, $\beta_k(u)$ as in (3.19).

Consider now the Fourier transform of the dual vector $\langle \Psi^l |$

$$
\langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n) | = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi n \xi} \langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n) |.
$$

(3.33)

The arguments similar to the ones used in the case of right vectors lead to the following formula for the action of the transfer matrix to the dual vector:

$$
\langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n) | \mathcal{T}(u) = T^l_{\nu}(u) \langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n) | + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{i\pi \nu \theta}(v_j - u, \tau_l + \frac{1}{2})(\text{res}_{u=v_j} T^l_{\nu}(u)) \langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1}, u, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_n) |.
$$

(3.34)
Again, the conditions \( \text{res}_{u=v_j} T_\nu(u) = 0 \) for all \( j \) ensure cancellation of the “unwanted terms” in (3.34) and are equivalent to the Bethe equations (3.25) for the parameters \( v_j \).

Analytic properties of the left Bethe vectors are similar to those of the right ones. They are regular functions of the parameters \( v \). Using (3.3), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{C}_{l-j,t+j}(u+1) &= \bar{C}_{l-j,t+j}(u), \\
\bar{C}_{l-j,t+j}(u+\tau) &= -e^{-\pi i(s+t-2\pi i\eta-\pi i\epsilon(u))} \bar{C}_{l-j,t+j}(u).
\end{align*}
\] (3.35)

It then follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1}, v_j + 1, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_n) \rangle &= \langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \rangle, \\
\langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1}, v_j + \tau, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_n) \rangle &= -e^{-\pi i(s+t-\pi i\epsilon(v_j))} \langle \Psi_{\nu-2}(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \rangle.
\end{align*}
\] (3.36)

### 3.4 Action of the operators \( U_a \) to Bethe vectors

The key identity necessary to derive how the operators \( U_a \) act to (in general off-shell) Bethe vectors is

\[
\sigma_a \otimes U_a T(u) = T(u) \sigma_a \otimes U_a,
\] (3.37)

which follows from (2.9) (here \( \sigma_a \) acts in the auxiliary space \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) and \( U_a \) acts in the quantum space \( \mathcal{H} \)), or, in more detail:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A(u)U_1 & B(u)U_1 \\ C(u)U_1 & D(u)U_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1D(u) & U_1C(u) \\ U_1B(u) & U_1A(u) \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A(u)U_3 & B(u)U_3 \\ C(u)U_3 & D(u)U_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_3A(u) & -U_3B(u) \\ -U_3C(u) & U_3D(u) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

It then follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
U_1B_{k,l}(u; s, t) &= e^{-\pi i(2u+(k+l)\eta+2s+t)} B_{k,l}(u; s+\tau, t+\tau)U_1, \\
\bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s, t)U_1 &= e^{-\pi i(-2u+(k+l)\eta+2t+t)} \bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s+\tau, t+\tau)U_1, \\
U_3B_{k,l}(u; s, t) &= -B_{k,l}(u; s+1, t+1)U_3, \\
\bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s, t)U_3 &= -U_3\bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s+1, t+1),
\end{align*}
\] (3.38)-(3.41)

and also

\[
\begin{align*}
U_1B_{k,l}(u; s, t) &= e^{-\pi i(t_1+t+1-s-t)} B_{k,l}(u; s+\tau, t-\tau)U_1, \\
\bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s, t)U_1 &= e^{-\pi i(s_1+s+1-t-s)} \bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s+\tau, t-\tau)U_1, \\
U_3B_{k,l}(u; s, t) &= B_{k,l}(u; s+1, t-1)U_3, \\
\bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s, t)U_3 &= U_3\bar{C}_{k,l}(u; s+1, t-1).
\end{align*}
\] (3.42)-(3.45)
where $Z$ really meaningful for rational $\eta$ convergence of the infinite series is problematic. Formal expressions of this kind become

$$
\langle Q \rangle \rightarrow \frac{Q}{Q}.
$$

It is also straightforward to find how the operators $U_l$ act to the vacua:

$$
U_1|\Omega^{l-n}(s)\rangle = (-1)^n e^{\pi i (N\xi s - n\eta + \sum k \xi k)} |\Omega^{l-n}(s + \tau)\rangle, \quad (3.46)
$$

$$
\langle \Omega^{l-n}(t) | U_1 = (-1)^n e^{\pi i (N\eta + n\xi t)} \langle \Omega^{l-n}(t + \tau), \quad (3.47)
$$

$$
U_3|\Omega^{l-n}(s)\rangle = |\Omega^{l-n}(s + 1)\rangle, \quad (3.48)
$$

$$
\langle \Omega^{l-n}(t) | U_3 = \langle \Omega^{l-n}(t + 1)\rangle. \quad (3.49)
$$

Combining equations (3.38)–(3.49), one can derive the following properties of the Bethe vectors:

$$
U_1|\Psi_\mu(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s, t)\rangle = (-1)^n e^{-2\pi i \sigma(u_1, \ldots, u_n)} |\Psi_\mu(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s + \tau, t + \tau)\rangle, \quad (3.50)
$$

$$
\langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s, t) | U_1 = (-1)^n e^{2\pi i \sigma(v_1, \ldots, v_n)} \langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s + \tau, t + \tau), \quad (3.51)
$$

$$
U_3|\Psi_\mu(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s, t)\rangle = (-1)^n |\Psi_\mu(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s + 1, t + 1)\rangle, \quad (3.52)
$$

$$
\langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s, t) | U_3 = (-1)^n \langle \Psi_\nu(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s + 1, t + 1), \quad (3.53)
$$

where

$$
\sigma(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_k + \frac{1}{2} n\eta, \quad (3.54)
$$

and

$$
U_1|\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s, t)\rangle = e^{\pi i \sigma(s - t - \eta) + \pi i \tau + \pi i \sum k \xi_k} |\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s + \tau, t - \tau)\rangle, \quad (3.55)
$$

$$
\langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s, t) | U_1 = e^{\pi i \sigma(s - t - \eta) + \pi i \tau + \pi i \sum k \xi_k} \langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s + \tau, t - \tau), \quad (3.56)
$$

$$
U_3|\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s, t)\rangle = |\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n; s + 1, t - 1)\rangle, \quad (3.57)
$$

$$
\langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s, t) | U_3 = \langle \Psi^l(v_1, \ldots, v_n; s + 1, t - 1), \quad (3.58)
$$

### 3.5 The case of rational $\eta$

For irrational values of $\eta$ the Fourier transform (3.21), (3.33) is rather formal because convergence of the infinite series is problematic. Formal expressions of this kind become really meaningful for rational $\eta$,

$$
\eta = \frac{2P}{Q}, \quad (3.59)
$$

where $P, Q$ are mutually prime integers. In this case all functions in question become $Q$-periodic in $l$ and the infinite Fourier series (3.21) can be substituted by the finite sum

$$
|\Psi_\nu(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q} e^{-2\pi i lP\nu/Q} |\Psi^l(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\rangle, \quad (3.60)
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_Q = \{0, 1, \ldots, Q - 1\}$. Because of the $Q$-periodicity the admissible values of $n$ are not restricted by $n = N/2$ anymore but can be found from the condition

$$
2n = N \pmod Q. \quad (3.61)
$$
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The case $\eta = 1/2 \ (Q = 4)$ is the case of free fermions when the 8-vertex model splits into two Ising models. In this case the right hand side of Bethe equations becomes $(-1)^{n-1}$, so the Bethe equations convert into $n$ uncoupled equations for each $u_j$ separately.

### 3.6 Dependence of the eigenvectors on $s, t$

Here we investigate the dependence of the left eigenvectors $\langle \Psi_\nu |$ on the parameters $s, t$. It is natural to expect that the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix do not essentially depend on these auxiliary parameters, i.e., all the dependence is concentrated in the common scalar factor:

$$\langle \Psi_\nu | = \varphi_\nu(x, y) \langle \Psi_\nu^{(0)} |,$$

where we have denoted

$$x = \frac{1}{2} (s + t + 1), \quad y = \frac{1}{2} (s - t), \quad (3.62)$$

and the eigenvector $\langle \Psi_\nu^{(0)} |$ does not depend on $s, t$.

We will use equations (3.51), (3.56), (3.53), (3.58) taking into account the fact that the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix are simultaneously eigenvectors of the operators $U_a \ (a = 1, 3)$. Since $U_a$ are involutions, their eigenvalues are $(-1)^{\nu_a}$, where $\nu_a = 0, 1$:

$$\langle \Psi_\nu | U_a = (-1)^{\nu_a} \langle \Psi_\nu |. \quad (3.63)$$

Then we have from (3.51), (3.56), (3.53), (3.58):

$$\varphi_\nu(x + 1, y) = (-1)^{n + \nu_3} \varphi_\nu(x, y), \quad (3.64)$$

$$\varphi_\nu(x + \tau, y) = (-1)^{n + \nu_1} e^{-2\pi i \sigma} \varphi_\nu(x, y)$$

($\sigma$ is defined in (3.54)) and

$$\varphi_\nu(x, y + 1) = (-1)^{\nu_3} \varphi_\nu(x, y), \quad (3.65)$$

$$\varphi_\nu(x, y + \tau) = (-1)^{\nu_1} e^{-\pi i \nu \tau} e^{2\pi i n y + \pi i n \eta - \pi i \sum_k \xi_k} \varphi_\nu(x, y).$$

Besides, it straightforwardly follows from the construction of the eigenvectors that

$$\varphi_\nu(x + \eta, y) = e^{-\pi i \nu \eta} \varphi_\nu(x, y). \quad (3.66)$$

Below in section 4.2 we argue that $n + \nu_3 = -\nu$ and

$$2\sigma = n + \nu_1 + \nu \tau$$

(the sum rule (4.24)). Using these relations and the definition of $\sigma$, we can represent equations (3.64), (3.65), (3.66) in the form

$$\varphi_\nu(x + 1, y) = (-1)^{\nu} \varphi_\nu(x, y),$$

$$\varphi_\nu(x + \tau, y) = e^{-\pi i \nu \tau} \varphi_\nu(x, y), \quad (3.67)$$

$$\varphi_\nu(x + \eta, y) = e^{-\pi i \nu \eta} \varphi_\nu(x, y)$$
and
\[ \varphi^\nu(x, y + 1) = (-1)^{n+\nu}\varphi^\nu(x, y), \]  
\[ \varphi^\nu(x, y + \tau) = (-1)^n e^{-\pi in\tau - 2\pi iny + \pi iv\tau - 2\pi i \sum_j v_j \varphi^\nu(x, y)}. \]  

We know that \( \varphi^\nu(x, y) \) is an entire function of \( y \) and may have poles in \( x \) at the points \( x = -l\eta \) in the fundamental domain, where \( l = 0, \ldots, Q/2 \) for even \( Q \) and \( l = 0, \ldots, Q \) for odd \( Q \). It then follows from (3.67), (3.68) and these properties that the poles in \( x \) actually cancel and as a function of \( y \) \( \varphi^\nu(x, y) \) is a theta function of order \( n \), i.e. it has \( n \) zeros \( y_j \) in the fundamental domain:

\[ \varphi^\nu(x, y) = b^\nu e^{\pi i \nu x} \prod_{j=1}^n \theta^1(y - y_j | \tau) \]  
with the condition
\[ \sum_{j=1}^n y_j = -\sum_{j=1}^n v_j. \]

We conjecture that \( y_j = -v_j \). This conjecture is supported by numerical calculations for \( N = 2, 4 \). Remarkably, the dependence on \( x \) and \( y \) factorizes.

4 The \( Q \)-operator and the sum rule

Here we construct the Baxter’s \( Q \)-operator. This construction is necessary to obtain a sum rule, which is an additional requirement to the eigenvectors besides the Bethe equations. This section is not directly connected with what follows and is included for completeness.

4.1 Construction of the \( Q \)-operator

A minor modification of the formulas in sections 2.2 and 2.3 leads to the construction of the \( Q \)-operator. We begin with the construction of the right \( Q \)-operator \( Q^R(u) \). Basically, one should shift \( s \to s - u \), \( t \to t + u \) and consider the \( L \)-operators

\[ L^\pm(u) = M^{-1}_t L(u) M_{t=\pm1} = \begin{pmatrix} a^\pm(u) & b^\pm(u) \\ c^\pm(u) & d^\pm(u) \end{pmatrix}, \]  
where
\[ M_t = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(s_t | 2\tau) & \gamma_1 \theta_4(t_t | 2\tau) \\ \theta_4(s_t | 2\tau) & \gamma_4 \theta_4(t_t | 2\tau) \end{pmatrix}. \]

The identities from section 2.2 allow one to prove the following important relations:

\[ c^\pm(u - \xi) \phi(s_t \pm (u - \xi)) = 0, \]
\[ a^\pm(u - \xi) \phi(s_t \pm (u - \xi)) = \theta_1(u - \xi + \eta | \tau) \phi(s_t \pm (u - \eta - \xi)), \]
\[ d^\pm(u - \xi) \phi(s_t \pm (u - \xi)) = \theta_1(u - \xi | \tau) \phi(s_t \pm (u + \eta - \xi)). \]
Let $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i = 0$ (for even $N$ this is always possible) and set $e_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_i$, $e_0 = e_N = 0$. Let us introduce a family of vectors

$$|\omega(u; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=0,\ldots,N-1} |\phi(s_i + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}(u - \xi_i))\rangle.$$

Then the relations (4.3) imply that

$$\mathbb{T}(u)|\omega(u; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)\rangle = a(u)|\omega(u - \eta; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)\rangle + d(u)|\omega(u + \eta; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)\rangle,$$  

where

$$a(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta_1(u - \xi_i + \eta), \quad d(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta_1(u - \xi_i).$$  

(4.5)

The vectors $|\omega(u; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)\rangle$ can be regarded as columns of an operator $Q_R(u)$ (a “pre-$Q$-operator) which, therefore, satisfies the relation

$$\mathbb{T}(u)Q_R(u) = a(u)Q_R(u - \eta) + d(u)Q_R(u + \eta).$$  

(4.6)

Since $s$ can be any complex number and $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N$ is any set of numbers $\pm 1$ (such that their sum is zero), the set of all possible vectors $|\omega(u; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)\rangle$ spans the total $2^N$-dimensional quantum space $\mathcal{H}$ of the model.

The construction of the left $Q$-operator, $Q_L(u)$, is similar. We define

$$\tilde{L}^\pm(u) = \tilde{M}^{-1}_l L(u)\tilde{M}_l^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}^\pm(u) & \tilde{b}^\pm(u) \\ \tilde{c}^\pm(u) & \tilde{d}^\pm(u) \end{pmatrix},$$  

(4.7)

where

$$\tilde{M}_l = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_l\theta_1(s_l|2\tau) & \theta_1(t_l|2\tau) \\ \gamma_l\theta_4(s_l|2\tau) & \theta_4(t_l|2\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(4.8)

The identities from section 2.2 allow one to prove the relations

$$\langle \phi^+(t_{l+1} \pm (u - \xi))| \tilde{b}^\pm(u - \xi) = 0,$$

$$\langle \phi^+(t_{l+1} \pm (u - \xi))| \tilde{a}^\pm(u - \xi) = \theta_1(u - \xi + \eta|\tau)\langle \phi^+(t_{l+1} \pm (u - \eta - \xi))|,$$

$$\langle \phi^+(t_{l+1} \pm (u - \xi))| \tilde{d}^\pm(u - \xi) = \theta_1(u - \xi|\tau)\langle \phi^+(t_{l+1} \pm (u + \eta - \xi))|. $$

(4.9)

We introduce a family of dual vectors

$$\langle \tilde{\omega}(u; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)| = \bigotimes_{i=1,\ldots,N} \langle \phi^+(t_{l+\epsilon_i} + \epsilon_i(u - \xi_i)|,$$  

(4.10)

which satisfy

$$\langle \tilde{\omega}(u; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)| \mathbb{T}(u) = a(u)\langle \tilde{\omega}(u - \eta; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)| + d(u)\langle \tilde{\omega}(u + \eta; \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N)|,$$  

(4.11)
and regard them as rows of an operator $Q_L(u)$ which, therefore, satisfies the relation

$$Q_L(u)T(u) = a(u)Q_L(u - \eta) + d(u)Q_L(u + \eta). \quad (4.12)$$

Now, using the argument of Baxter’s works (see [4]), one can prove the commutation relation

$$Q_L(u)Q_R(v) = Q_L(v)Q_R(u) \quad (4.13)$$

and define the $Q$-operator $Q(u) = Q_R(u)Q_R^{-1}(u_0) = Q_R^{-1}(u_0)Q_L(u)$, where $u_0$ is some point for which the left and right $Q$-operators are invertible. It then follows that $[Q(u), Q(v)] = [Q(u), T(v)] = 0$ and the $Q$-operator obeys the $TQ$-relation

$$T(u)Q(u) = a(u)Q(u - \eta) + d(u)Q(u + \eta) \quad (4.14)$$

which is the main property of the $Q$-operator.

### 4.2 The sum rule

It is straightforward to check that

$$Q_R(u + 1) = U_3Q_R(u), \quad Q_R(u + \tau) = e^{-\pi i \nu_a/2}U_1Q_R(u),$$

$$Q_L(u + 1) = Q_L(u)U_3,$$

$$Q_L(u + \tau) = e^{-\pi i \nu_a/2}Q_L(u)U_1, \quad (4.16)$$

where $U_a$ are operators defined in (2.18). It follows from these relations that $[Q(u), U_a] = 0$ and

$$Q(u + 1) = U_3Q(u), \quad (4.17)$$

$$Q(u + \tau) = e^{-\pi i \nu_a/2}U_1Q(u).$$

Let $Q(u)$ be the eigenvalue of the operator $Q(u)$ on a common eigenfunction with the operators $U_a$. As we have seen before, the eigenvalues of the operators $U_a$ are $(-1)^{\nu_a}$, where $\nu_a = 0, 1$. We can write

$$Q(u + 1) = (-1)^{\nu_a}Q(u),$$

$$Q(u + \tau) = (-1)^{\nu_1}e^{-\pi i \nu_a/2}Q(u). \quad (4.18)$$

It follows from (4.18) that the entire function $Q(u)$ has exactly $n$ zeros $v_i$ in the fundamental domain. Let us consider a function

$$F(u) = \frac{Q(u)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta_1(u - v_i | \tau)}.$$  

It is an entire function of $u$, and equations (4.18) imply that

$$F(u + 1) = (-1)^{n+\nu_3}F(u), \quad F(u + \tau) = (-1)^{n+\nu_1}e^{-2\pi i \sigma}F(u),$$
where $\sigma$ is defined in (3.54). It follows from these properties that $F(u)$ is the exponential function $F(u) = e^{\pi i (n + \nu_3) u}$ and
\begin{equation}
2\sigma = n + \nu_1 - (n + \nu_3) \tau.
\end{equation}
Therefore,
\begin{equation}
Q(u) = e^{\pi i (n + \nu_3) u} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta_1(u - v_i | \tau).
\end{equation}

It remains to identify zeros of the $Q(u)$ with Bethe roots. Writing the $TQ$-relation (4.14) for the eigenvalues,
\begin{equation}
T(u; v_1, \ldots, v_n) = a(u) \frac{Q(u - \eta)}{Q(u)} + d(u) \frac{Q(u + \eta)}{Q(u)},
\end{equation}
and using the fact that $T(u; v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ does not have poles at $u = v_i$, one obtains the Bethe equations
\begin{equation}
e^{-2\pi i (n + \nu_3) \eta} \frac{a(v_j)}{d(v_j)} = \prod_{k=1, \ne j}^{N} \frac{\theta_1(v_j - v_k + \eta | \tau)}{\theta_1(v_j - v_k - \eta | \tau)}.
\end{equation}
Comparing with (3.26), one identifies
\begin{equation}
\nu = -(n + \nu_3).
\end{equation}
In addition, we conclude that the Bethe roots have to satisfy the sum rule
\begin{equation}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_k - \frac{1}{2} n\eta + \frac{1}{2} (n + \nu_1 + \nu \tau),
\end{equation}
and $\nu$ can only take integer values. Taking this into account, one may say that the eigenvector of the transfer matrix is determined by a solution of the extended system of Bethe equations, i.e., the system (3.25) supplemented by the sum rule (4.24) for the $n + 1$ unknown variables $\{\nu, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$.

It should be noted that the $Q$-operator has some eigenvectors which are not eigenvectors of the spin reflection operator (see [12, 14]). For such states, the sum rule is not valid.

## 5 Scalar products of Bethe vectors

In this section, we obtain a system of linear equations for scalar products of the on-shell and off-shell Bethe vectors. Basically, we follow the method of [47]. However, for models with the 8-vertex $R$-matrix, some generalization is required.

### 5.1 The notation

In this section we denote $\bar{u} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{n+1}\}$, $\bar{v} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, $\bar{w} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}\}$. We also denote $\bar{u}_j = \bar{u} \setminus u_j$, $\bar{v}_k = \bar{v} \setminus v_k$ and so on. Let us also introduce the functions
\begin{equation}
g(u, v) = \frac{\theta_1(\eta)}{\theta_1(u - v)}, \quad f(u, v) = \frac{\theta_1(u - v + \eta)}{\theta_1(u - v)}, \quad h(u, v) = \frac{\theta_1(u - v + \eta)}{\theta_1(\eta)}.
\end{equation}
Here and below in this section $\theta_1(x) \equiv \theta_1(x|\tau)$. Observe that
\[ g(u, v) = -g(v, u), \quad f(u, v) = g(u, v)h(u, v), \quad h(u, u) = 1. \tag{5.2} \]
In order to make the formulas more compact, we use a shorthand notation for products of these functions. Namely, if any of them depends on a (sub)set of variables, then one should take a product with respect to the corresponding (sub)set. For example,
\[ f(u, \bar{v}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f(u, v_j), \quad h(\bar{w}, u_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} h(w_j, u_k), \quad g(u_k, \bar{u}_k) = \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n+1} g(u_k, u_j) \tag{5.3} \]
and so on. We stress that this convention is applied to the functions (5.1) only.

Finally, the functions $a(u), d(u)$ are defined in (5.1).

### 5.2 A system of linear equations for scalar products

We now proceed directly to the derivation of the system of equations for scalar products. Using definitions (5.1) and convention (5.3) we rewrite equation (3.22) for the action of the operator $T(u) = A_{l,t}(u) + D_{l,t}(u)$ as follows:
\[ T(u_j) \langle \Psi^l(\bar{u}_j) \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left[ a(u_k) f(\bar{u}_k, u_k) \frac{\theta_1(u_j - u_k + \tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2})}{h(u_j, u_k)\theta_1(\tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2})} \langle \Psi^{l+1}(\bar{u}_k) \rangle \right. \]
\[ \left. + \ d(u_k) f(u_k, \bar{u}_k) \frac{\theta_1(u_j - u_k + \tau_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})}{h(u_k, u_j)\theta_1(\tau_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})} \langle \Psi^{l-1}(\bar{u}_k) \rangle \right]. \tag{5.4} \]

Set
\[ X_j^l = \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi^l(\bar{u}_j) \rangle, \tag{5.5} \]
where $\langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | T(u_j) = T_\nu(u_j, \bar{v}) \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) |$ for all $u_j \in \mathbb{C}$. \tag{5.6}

This means that the parameters $\bar{v}$ are supposed to satisfy the Bethe equations (3.25). The eigenvalue is given by
\[ T_\nu(u_j, \bar{v}) = e^{i\pi\nu} a(u_j) f(\bar{v}, u_j) + e^{-i\pi\nu} d(u_j) f(u_j, \bar{v}). \tag{5.7} \]

Multiplying (5.4) from the left by $\langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) |$ and using (5.6), we obtain:
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left[ a(u_k) f(\bar{u}_k, u_k) \frac{\theta_1(u_j - u_k + \tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2})}{h(u_j, u_k)\theta_1(\tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2})} X_k^{l+1} + \right. \]
\[ \left. + \ d(u_k) f(u_k, \bar{u}_k) \frac{\theta_1(u_j - u_k + \tau_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})}{h(u_k, u_j)\theta_1(\tau_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})} X_k^{l-1} - \delta_{jk} T_\nu(u_j, \bar{v}) X_k^l \right] = 0. \tag{5.8} \]

We recall that $\tau_l = \frac{1}{2} (s_l + t_l) = x - \frac{1}{2} + l\eta$. This is a homogeneous system of linear equations for the scalar products, similar to the one familiar from the rational and trigonometric cases [17] but with an additional integer parameter $l$. 
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In what follows we assume that \( \eta \) is a rational number \( \eta = 2P/Q \) \((3.59)\). In this case \( X_k^l \) and coefficients of the system \((5.8)\) are \( Q \)-periodic in \( l \) and the index \( l \) in \((5.8)\) should be understood modulo \( Q \) \((l + Q = l)\). Therefore, \((5.8)\) is a homogeneous system of \((n + 1)Q \) linear equations for \((n + 1)Q \) unknown variables \( X_k^l \) \(k = 1, \ldots , n + 1, \ l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q\), \( \mathbb{Z}_Q = \{0, \ldots , Q - 1\} \). In the next subsection we show that this system has non-trivial solutions.

Below we consider only the case when the number of Bethe parameters in the two vectors in the scalar product \((5.5)\) is the same and is equal to \( N/2 \) although for rational \( \eta \) this number can also take other values (see \((3.61)\)).

### 5.3 Transformation of the system and solvability

Since the obtained system of equations \((5.8)\) is homogeneous, its solutions (if any) are ambiguous. Namely, if \( X_k^l \) is a solution to the system, then \( \phi(\bar{v}, \bar{u})X_k^l \) is also a solution to the system, where \( \phi(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) \) is an arbitrary function of the variables \( \bar{v} \) and \( \bar{u} \). In order to minimize possible arbitrariness, we, following the method of \([47]\), transform the system to a new (equivalent) form and show that the solutions of the new system are determined up to a function that depends on the variables \( \bar{v} \), but does not depend on the parameters \( \bar{u} \). As a byproduct, we prove that the rank of the system is less than \((n + 1)Q \), and therefore, it does have nontrivial solutions.

Let us introduce \((n + 1) \times (n + 1)\) matrices \( W^l \) with the entries

\[
W_{jk}^l = g(u_k, w_j) \frac{g(\bar{u}_k, \bar{w}_j)}{g(\bar{u}_k, \bar{w}_j)} \theta_1 \left( u_k - w_j - S - \tau_l - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, n + 1, \ l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q, \quad (5.9)
\]

where \( \bar{w} = \{w_1, \ldots , w_{n+1} \} \) are arbitrary pairwise distinct complex numbers and

\[
S = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (u_j - w_j). \quad (5.10)
\]

The matrix \( W^l \) is nothing else than an elliptic Cauchy matrix multiplied by a diagonal matrix from the right. The determinant of the elliptic Cauchy matrix is given by

\[
\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n+1} \Phi(u_i - w_j, \lambda) = \frac{(\theta'_1(0))^{n+1} \theta_1 \left( \lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (u_i - w_j) \right)}{\theta_1(\lambda)} \prod_{p < q} \theta_1(u_p - u_q) \theta_1(w_q - w_p) \prod_{r,s} \theta_1(u_r - w_s), \quad (5.11)
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the function \((3.20)\). It is seen from this formula that \( \det W^l \neq 0 \) if all \( u_j \) and \( w_j \) are distinct and \( \tau_l + \frac{1}{2} \neq 0, S + \tau_l + \frac{1}{2} \neq 0 \) modulo the lattice spanned by \( 1 \) and \( \tau \).

Multiplying the system \((5.8)\) from the left by \( W^l \) we obtain:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left[ \frac{a(u_k)f(\bar{u}_k, u_k)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} E_{jk}^+ X_{k}^{l+1} + \frac{d(u_k)f(\bar{u}_k, \bar{u}_k)}{\theta_1(\tau_l - \frac{1}{2})} E_{jk}^- X_{k}^{l-1} - W_{jk}^l T_\nu(u_k, \bar{v}) X_k^l \right] = 0. \quad (5.12)
\]

Here

\[
E_{jk}^\pm = \theta_1(\pm \eta) \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} W_{jm}^l \frac{\theta_1(u_m - u_k + \tau_{l\pm} + \frac{1}{2})}{\theta_1(u_m - u_k \pm \eta)}. \quad (5.13)
\]
As soon as the matrix $W^l$ is non-degenerate, the new system is equivalent to the previous one.

The sum (5.13) can be calculated via an auxiliary contour integral. Let

$$I^ \pm = \frac{\theta_1(\pm \eta)\theta_1'(0)}{2\pi i} \times \oint \frac{\theta_1(z - u_k + \tau_l \pm \eta + \frac{1}{2}) \theta_1(z - w_j - S - \tau_l - \frac{1}{2}) d\eta}{\theta_1(z - u_k \pm \eta)} \prod_{p=1}^{n+1} \theta_1(z - w_p)$$

where the integration goes along the boundary of the fundamental parallelogram. Then $I^ \pm = 0$ due to the periodicity of the integrand. On the other hand, this integral can be calculated as sum of the residues in the interior of the contour. It is easy to see that the sum of the residues at the points $z = u_m$ gives exactly $E^ \pm_j$. One more contribution comes from the pole at $z = u_k \pm \eta = 0$. Thus we arrive at

$$0 = E^ \pm_j + \theta_1(\pm \eta) \theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2}) \prod_{p=1}^{n+1} \theta_1(u_k - w_p \mp \eta)$$

leading to

$$E^ \pm_j = \theta_1(u_k - w_j - S - \tau_l + 1) \frac{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2}) h(\bar{w}, u_k)}{h(w_j, u_k)}$$

$$E^ \mp_j = \theta_1(u_k - w_j - S - \tau_l - 1) \frac{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2}) h(u_k, \bar{w})}{h(w_j, u_k)}$$

Substituting these expressions into (5.12), we obtain:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} g(u_k, \bar{u}_k) \left[ (-1)^n a(u_k) h(\bar{w}, u_k) \frac{\theta_1(u_k - w_j - S_l + 1)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k+1} \right.$$  

$$+ d(u_k) h(u_k, \bar{w}) \frac{\theta_1(u_k - w_j - S_l - 1)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k-1} \right. - \frac{\theta_1(u_k - w_j - S_l)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} \frac{g(u_k, w_j)}{g(u_k, \bar{w})} \left( e^{i\pi} a(u_k) f(\bar{v}, u_k) + e^{-i\pi} d(u_k) f(u_k, \bar{v}) \right) X_k = 0,$$

where

$$S_l = S + \tau_l + \frac{1}{2}$$

This is a new system of equations which contains the set of arbitrary complex parameters $\bar{w}$. Note that they may depend on $l$.

Let us set $\bar{w}_{n+1} = \bar{v}$, while the parameter $w_{n+1}$ remains free. Set

$$P_l = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j + \tau_l + \frac{1}{2}$$

(5.18)
Consider equations (5.16) for \( j = n + 1 \). We have \( Q \) equations of the form
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^n a(u_k) h(\bar{v}, u_k) \left( \frac{\theta_1(u_k - P_{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l+1} - e^{i\pi \eta} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - P_l)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l} \right) \\
+ d(u_k) h(u_k, \bar{v}) \left( \frac{\theta_1(u_k - P_{l-1})}{\theta_1(\tau_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l-1} - e^{-i\pi \eta} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - P_l)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l} \right) g(u_k, \bar{u}_k) = 0. \tag{5.19}
\]

It is easy to see that these equations are linearly dependent. Indeed, if we multiply \((5.19)\) by \( e^{-il\pi \eta} \), where \( \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_Q \), and sum over \( l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q \), we immediately see that the l.h.s. vanishes for \( \mu = \nu \) (and does not vanish for \( \mu \neq \nu \)). Hence, the system does have non-trivial solutions.

Consider the remaining system for \( j < n + 1 \) and \( \bar{w}_{n+1} = \bar{v} \). The parameter \( w_{n+1} \) is still free and we denote it by \( w \). Then we have \( nQ \) equations of the form
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} g(u_k, \bar{u}_k) \left[ \mathcal{A}_k \left( \frac{h(w, u_k)}{h(v_j, u_k)} \theta_1(u_k - v_j + w - P_{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l+1} \right) \\
- e^{i\pi \eta} \frac{g(v_j, u_k) \theta_1(u_k - v_j + w - P_l)}{g(w, u_k) \theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l} \right) \\
+ \mathcal{D}_k \left( \frac{h(u_k, w)}{h(u_k, v_j)} \theta_1(u_k - v_j + w - P_{l-1})}{\theta_1(\tau_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l-1} \right) \\
- e^{-i\pi \eta} \frac{g(u_k, v_j) \theta_1(u_k - v_j + w - P_l)}{g(u_k, w) \theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} X_{k}^{l} \right) = 0, \tag{5.20}
\]

where \( j = 1, \ldots, n, l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q - 1 \) and
\[
\mathcal{A}_k = (-1)^n a(u_k) h(\bar{v}, u_k), \quad \mathcal{D}_k = d(u_k) h(u_k, \bar{v}). \tag{5.21}
\]

Now let us transform the system \((5.20)\) further. We will take advantage of the fact that the parameter \( w \) may depend on \( l \) and take it to be
\[
w = l\eta + w_0 + \bar{U}, \quad \bar{U} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j, \tag{5.22}
\]
where $w_0$ is an $l$-independent free parameter. Then the system (5.20) becomes:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} g(u_k, \bar{u}_k) \left[ \mathcal{A}_k \left( e^{i \pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - \bar{U} - w_0 - (l+1)\eta)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + \eta)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j)} X_{k+1} - e^{i \pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - \bar{U} - w_0 - l\eta)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j)} X_k \right) \\
+ \mathcal{D}_k \left( \frac{\theta_1(u_k - \bar{U} - w_0 - (l-1)\eta)}{\theta_1(\tau_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + r + \eta)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + \eta)} X_{k-1} - e^{-i \pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - \bar{U} - w_0 - \eta)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + r + \eta)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + \eta)} X_k \right) \right] = 0,
$$

(5.23)

where

$$r = \sum_{p=1}^{n} v_p - \frac{1}{2} (s+t+1) + w_0. \quad (5.24)$$

Multiplying these equations by $e^{-i \pi \eta \mu}$ and summing over $l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q$, we obtain the following system of $nQ$ equations

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} g(u_k, \bar{u}_k) \left[ \mathcal{A}_k \left( e^{i \pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j - \eta + r)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j - \eta)} - e^{i \pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j)} \right) \\
+ \mathcal{D}_k \left( e^{-i \pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + \eta + r)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + \eta)} - e^{-i \pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j)} \right) \right] Y_k^{(\mu)} = 0
$$

(5.25)

for $(n+1)Q$ variables

$$
Y_k^{(\mu)} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q} \frac{\theta_1(l \eta + w_0 + \bar{U} - u_k)}{\theta_1(\tau_l + \frac{1}{2})} e^{-i \pi \eta \mu} X_k^l
$$

(5.26)

$$
= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q} \frac{\theta_1(l \eta + r + x + U_k - V)}{\theta_1(l \eta + x)} e^{-i \pi \eta \mu} X_k^l.
$$

Here $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_Q$, $x = \frac{1}{2} (s+t+1)$ and

$$
U_k = \sum_{a=1, \neq k} u_a = \bar{U} - u_k, \quad V = \sum_{a=1}^{n} v_a.
$$

(5.27)

Comparing with (5.23), the system (5.25) is block-diagonal; the $Q$ diagonal blocks are numbered by $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_Q$ and each block is a system of $n$ equations for $n+1$ variables $Y_k^{(\mu)}$. Note that $Y_k^{(\mu)}$ does not depend on $u_k$ but does depend on $r$ (although $X_k^l$ does not depend on it), so we can denote it as $Y_k^{(\mu)} = Y_k^{(\mu)}(r)$. We can represent the system in the form

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} T_{ik}^{(\nu \mu)}(r) G_k Y_k^{(\mu)}(r) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
$$

(5.28)
where
\[ G_k = \frac{g(u_k, \bar{u}_k)}{g(u_k, \bar{v})} \]
and the matrix \( T_{ik}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) \) is given by
\[
T_{ik}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) = \Phi(u_k - v_i, r)\left( T_\nu(u_k, \bar{v}) - T_\mu(u_k, \bar{v} \cup (v_i - r)) \right). \tag{5.29}
\]
Here we have used the function \( \Phi \) which is defined in (3.20). Another convenient representation of the matrix \( T_{ik}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) \) is
\[
T_{ik}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) = \theta(0) \left[ a(u_k) f(\bar{v}, u_k) \left( e^{i\pi\eta\theta_1(u_k - v_i + r)} - e^{i\pi\eta\theta_1(u_k - v_i - \eta + r)} \right) + d(u_k) f(u_k, \bar{v}) \left( e^{-i\pi\eta\theta_1(u_k - v_i + r)} - e^{-i\pi\eta\theta_1(u_k - v_i - \eta + r)} \right) \right]. \tag{5.30}
\]
Note that
\[
T_{ik}^{(\nu\nu)}(0) = \frac{\partial T_\nu(u_k, \bar{v})}{\partial v_i} \tag{5.31}
\]
It is interesting to note that this form of the matrix is the same as in models with the 6-vertex \( R \)-matrix (see [34, 41]).

Let us show that the remaining equations (5.19) of the original system follow from (5.28). Indeed, making the Fourier transform of the system (5.19), we get \( Q \) equations
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (T_\nu(u_k) - T_\mu(u_k)) G_k Y_\mu(k)(0) = 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_Q \tag{5.32}
\]
(one of them, at \( \mu = \nu \), is trivial). The system (5.28) should be satisfied for any \( r \), including \( r = 0 \) (at this point the system degenerates but the limit of the solution as \( r \to 0 \) is still a solution). At the same time
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \left( \theta_1(r) T_{ik}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) \right) = T_\nu(u_k) - T_\mu(u_k),
\]
hence we see that equations (5.32) are satisfied for solutions of the system (5.28).

### 5.4 Solution of the system

Fixing some \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\} \) and writing the system (5.28) as
\[
\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n+1} T_{ij}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) G_j Y_\mu_j(r) = -T_{ik}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) G_k Y_\mu_k(r),
\]
we can use the Cramer’s rule to write down the solution in the form
\[
G_m Y_\mu_m = (-1)^{k-m} G_k Y_\mu_k \frac{\det T_{ij}^{(\nu\mu)}(r)}{\det T_{ij}^{(\nu\mu)}(r)}. \tag{5.33}
\]
It is not difficult to verify that

\[
\frac{G_k}{G_m} = (-1)^{k-m} \frac{W_n(\bar{u}_k, \bar{v})}{W_n(\bar{u}_m, \bar{v})},
\]

where

\[
W_n(\bar{u}_k, \bar{v}) = \prod_{a<b, \neq k} \theta_1(u_a - u_b) \prod_{a' > b'} \theta_1(v_{a'} - v_{b'}) \prod_{p=1, \neq k}^{n-1} \theta_1(u_p - v_{p'})
\] (5.34)

It then follows from (5.33) that

\[
Y_k^{(\mu)} W_n(\bar{u}_k, \bar{v}) = \frac{Y_m^{(\mu)} W_n(\bar{u}_m, \bar{v})}{\det T^{(\nu \mu)}_{ij}(r)}
\] (5.35)

for any \(k, m = 1, \ldots, n+1\). The left hand side does not depend on \(u_k\) (but may depend on the other variables) while the right hand side does not depend on \(u_m\) (but may depend on the other variables). Since this is true for any \(k, m\), the both sides in fact do not depend on the variables \(\bar{u}\) at all and we conclude that

\[
Y_k^{(\mu)}(r) = \phi^{(\nu \mu)}(\bar{v}, r) \frac{\det T^{(\nu \mu)}_{ij}(r)}{W_n(\bar{u}_k, \bar{v})},
\] (5.36)

where \(\phi^{(\nu \mu)}(\bar{v}, r)\) is some symmetric function of the variables \(\bar{v}\) and a function of \(r, \mu, \nu\) (and of \(x, y\)). This is the solution of the system (5.25). Since \(Y_k^{(\mu)}(r)\) depends also on \(\nu\), below we will sometimes denote it as \(Y_k^{(\nu \mu)}(r)\).

### 5.5 Trying to fix the ambiguity

In the models with 6-vertex \(R\)-matrix, the way to fix the unknown function \(\phi\) in (5.36) is to compare the result (5.36) with a very particular case of the scalar product when \(u_k = \xi_k\), \(k = 1, \ldots, n\) (and so \(d(u_k) = 0\) for all \(k\)). In this case the scalar product is known independently and is expressed through the partition function of the 6-vertex model with domain wall boundary conditions. The latter is known to have a determinant representation \([62]\) which is to be compared with (5.36) in this particular case \([47]\).

Unfortunately, this method does not work for the 8-vertex model, because the scalar products even in the particular case \(u_k = \xi_k\) are not available in the explicit form.

In order to fix the function \(\phi^{(\nu \mu)}(\bar{v}, r)\), we are going to analyze transformation properties of both sides of equation (5.36) under shifts of the variables. This will allow us to fix the function \(\phi^{(\nu \mu)}(\bar{v}, r)\) only partially but, as we will see later, this is enough for specially normalized scalar products.

First let us analyze transformation properties under the shifts \(r \rightarrow r + 1, r \rightarrow r + \tau\). In this section \(r\) is regarded as an independent free parameter. Clearly, \(X_k^l\) does not depend on \(r\). Therefore, from (5.26) we conclude that

\[
Y_k^{(\nu \mu)}(r+1) = -Y_k^{(\nu \mu)}(r),
\] (5.37)

\[
Y_k^{(\nu \mu)}(r+\tau) = -e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i (r+U_k-V)} Y_k^{(\nu \mu+2)}(r).
\]
It is straightforward to check that
\[
\det_{j \neq k} T^{(\nu, \mu)}_{ij}(r + 1) = \det_{j \neq k} T^{(\nu, \mu)}_{ij}(r),
\]  \(5.38\)
\[
\det_{j \neq k} T^{(\nu, \mu)}_{ij}(r + \tau) = e^{2\pi i(V - U_k)} \det_{j \neq k} T^{(\nu, \mu + 2)}_{ij}(r).
\]
Substituting (5.37), (5.38) into the solution (5.36), one obtains:
\[
\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(\bar{v}, r + 1) = -\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(\bar{v}, r),
\]  \(5.39\)
\[
\phi^{(\nu, \mu - 2)}(\bar{v}, r + \tau) = -e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i r - 2\pi i x} \phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(\bar{v}, r).
\]

Some more information about the function \(\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(\bar{v}, r)\) can be obtained by analyzing properties of the solution (5.36) under shifts of the parameters \(s, t\). First let us consider the shifts \(s \rightarrow s + 1, t \rightarrow t + 1\) and \(s \rightarrow s + \tau, t \rightarrow t + \tau\) (i.e., \(x \rightarrow x + 1\) and \(x \rightarrow x + \tau\)). For this, we use the results of section 3.4. Note that since \(r = V + w_0 - x\), the shift of \(x\) at constant \(w_0\) should be accompanied by the corresponding shift of \(r\). We have:
\[
X_k^1(x + 1) = X_k^1(x),
\]  \(5.40\)
\[
X_k^1(x + \tau) = e^{2\pi i(U_k - V)} X_k^1(x)
\]
and thus
\[
Y_k^\mu(r - 1, x + 1) = -Y_k^\mu(r, x),
\]  \(5.41\)
\[
Y_k^\mu(r - \tau, x + \tau) = -e^{\pi i r + 2\pi i x + 2\pi i(U_k - V)} Y_k^{(\mu - 2)}(r, x).
\]
We also have
\[
\det_{j \neq k} T^{(\nu, \mu)}_{ij}(r - \tau) = e^{2\pi i(U_k - V)} \det_{j \neq k} T^{(\nu, \mu - 2)}_{ij}(r).
\]
Substituting this into the solution (5.36), we find:
\[
\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r - 1, x + 1) = -\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x),
\]  \(5.42\)
\[
\phi^{(\nu, \mu + 2)}(r - \tau, x + \tau) = -e^{\pi i r + 2\pi i x} \phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x).
\]
For brevity, the dependence on \(\bar{v}\) is omitted in the notation. The other possibility is to shift \(w_0\) simultaneously with \(x\), so that \(r\) remains constant. In this way we get:
\[
Y_k^\mu(r, x + 1) = Y_k^\mu(r, x),
\]
\[
Y_k^\mu(r, x + \tau) = e^{-2\pi i r} Y_k^\mu(r, x),
\]  \(5.43\)
\[
Y_k^\mu(r, x + \eta) = e^{i\pi \eta (\mu - \nu)} Y_k^\mu(r, x).
\]
These properties imply the following properties of the function \(\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x)\) under shifts of \(x\):
\[
\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x + 1) = \phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x),
\]
\[
\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x + \tau) = e^{-2\pi i r} \phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x),
\]  \(5.44\)
\[
\phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x + \eta) = e^{i\pi \eta (\mu - \nu)} \phi^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x).
\]
In order to fix the dependence of \( \phi^{(\nu,\mu)} \) on \( y = (s-t)/2 \), we note that it follows from (3.55)–(3.58) that

\[
X_k^I(s + 1, t - 1) = X_k^I(s, t),
\]

\[
X_k^I(s + \tau, t - \tau) = e^{-2\pi i n \tau} e^{-2\pi i n (s-t)} e^{2\pi i \eta - 2\pi i \sum_k \xi_k X_k^I(s, t)},
\]

and, therefore,

\[
Y_k^{(\mu)}(y + 1) = Y_k^{(\mu)}(y),
\]

\[
Y_k^{(\mu)}(y + \tau) = e^{-\pi i N \tau} Y_k^{(\mu)}(y).
\]

This implies the following properties:

\[
\phi^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x, y + 1) = \phi^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x, y),
\]

\[
\phi^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x, y + \tau) = e^{-\pi i N \tau} \phi^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x, y).
\]

We also know that \( \phi^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x, y) \) is an entire function of \( y \). Therefore, we conclude that it is a theta function of order \( N \), i.e. it has \( N \) zeros in the fundamental domain.

The above properties imply that the dependence on \( x \) and \( y \) factorizes and the function \( \phi^{(\nu,\mu)}(\bar{v}, r, x, y) \) can be represented in the form

\[
\phi^{(\nu,\mu)}(\bar{v}, r, x, y) = \phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) \phi_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y),
\]

where the function \( \phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) \) can be fixed by the following argument.

As it is seen from (5.30), the matrix elements of the matrix \( T^{(\nu,\mu)}_{ij}(r) \) are non-singular if \( r = m \tau \) and

\[
\mu - \nu + 2m = Qk, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
\]

(and the matrix is non-degenerate) while for other integer values of \( m \) the matrix elements have a simple pole at \( r = m \tau \) and the matrix has the form of a matrix of rank 1 times a singular multiplier. The determinant of such matrix has a simple pole at \( r = m \tau \). For even \( Q \), the values of \( m \) satisfying (5.48) are \( m = \frac{1}{2} (Q k - \mu + \nu) \) (\( \mu - \nu \) is always even for even \( Q \), see below) and we conjecture the following form of the function \( \phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) \) which satisfies all the above properties:

\[
\phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) = \delta_{\mu,\nu \,(\text{mod} \, 2)} e^{\pi i (\mu - \nu) x} \frac{\theta_1(r|\tau) \theta_1(r + Q x/2 + (\mu - \nu) \tau/2 |Q \tau/2)}{\theta_1(Q x/2 |Q \tau/2) \theta_1(r + (\mu - \nu) \tau/2 |Q \tau/2)}.
\]

In fact the above transformation properties still hold if one multiplies \( \phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) \) by any function of \( r + (\mu - \nu) \tau/2 \). The choice of this function in (5.49) makes \( Y_k^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) \) free of poles in \( r \) and also free of zeros (except the zero at \( r = -Q x/2 - (\mu - \nu) \tau/2 \) modulo the lattice spanned by \( 1, \tau \)). The delta-symbol \( \delta_{\mu,\nu \,(\text{mod} \, 2)} \) comes from the selection rule (see section 5.7 below). Strictly speaking, the above transformation properties remain the same if one multiplies the right hand side of (5.49) by an elliptic function \( f(x) \) of \( x \) with periods 1, \( \tau \). However, it follows from the explicit form of \( Y_k^{(\mu)} \) that the only poles
of $\phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x)$ are at the points $l\eta$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q/2 - 1$. But the right hand side of (5.49) already has poles at these points, so $f(x)$ must be free of poles and thus be a constant. For odd $Q$, the values of $m$ satisfying (5.48) are $m = Qk - (\mu - \nu)(Q + 1)/2$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we conjecture the following form of the function $\phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x)$ which satisfies all the above properties:

$$\phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) = e^{\pi i \ell_{\mu \nu} x} \frac{\theta_1(l \eta | r) \theta_1(r + Q x + \ell_{\mu \nu} \tau / 2 | Q \tau)}{\theta_1(Q x | Q \tau) \theta_1(r + \ell_{\mu \nu} \tau / 2 | Q \tau)}.$$  (5.50)

Here

$$\ell_{\mu \nu} = \mu - \nu - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - (-1)^{\mu-\nu}\right).$$  (5.51)

The formulas (5.49), (5.50) are also justified by computer calculations for $N = 2$ and $N = 4$. The function $\phi_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y)$ is not fixed. Finally, we note that numerical studies suggest the following dependence of $\phi_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y)$ on $y$:

$$\phi_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y) = \tilde{\phi}_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}) e^{2\pi i \nu y} \prod_{k=1}^n \theta_1(y + v_k | r).$$  (5.52)

5.6 The result for scalar products

Now let us consider

$$Y_{n+1}^{(\mu)}(r) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q} \frac{\theta_1(l \eta + U_{n+1} + w_0)}{\theta_1(l \eta + x)} e^{-i \pi \nu \mu \eta} \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi^I(\bar{u}) \rangle,$$

where $\bar{u} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ are arbitrary parameters. We see that for the special choice of $w_0$,

$$w_0 = x - U_{n+1},$$

$Y_{n+1}^{(\mu)}(r)$ equals the scalar product of the on-shell dual Bethe vector $\langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) \rangle$ and the off-shell Bethe vector

$$\| \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q} e^{-i \pi \nu \mu \eta} | \Psi^I(\bar{u}) \rangle.$$  

Note that if we choose $w_0$ in this way, then

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i - u_i).$$  (5.53)

According to (5.36), the scalar product has the form

$$\langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle = \phi_1^{(\nu,\mu)}(r, x) \phi_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y) \frac{\det T_{ij}^{(\nu,\mu)}(r)}{W_n(\bar{u}, \bar{v})},$$  (5.54)

---

3We draw the reader’s attention to the fact that now the set $\bar{u}$ consists only of $n$ parameters: $\bar{u} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$. The auxiliary parameter $u_{n+1}$ is no longer required, and we excluded it for the sake of simplification of notation.
where
\[ W_n(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \prod_{a<b}^{\eta} \theta_1(u_a - u_b) \theta_1(v_a - v_b) \prod_{p,q}^{\eta} \theta_1(u_p - v_q), \]  
(5.55)

the matrix \( T^{(\nu \mu)}(r) \) is given by [5.29] and the function \( \phi_1^{(\nu \mu)}(r, x) \) by [5.49], [5.50]. The function \( \phi_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y) \) is still unknown.

### 5.7 The selection rule

We are going to show that if \( \eta = 2P/Q \) with even \( Q \) and odd \( P \), then off-shell Bethe vectors \( \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle \) with \( \mu - \nu = 1 \) (mod 2) are orthogonal: \( \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle = 0 \). This follows from the fact that the off-shell Bethe vectors are eigenvectors of the operator \( U_3 \):

\[ U_3 | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle = (-1)^{\mu+n} | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle, \quad \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | U_3 = (-1)^{\nu+n} \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) |. \]  
(5.56)

Indeed, computing the matrix element \( \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | U_3 | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle \) in two ways (acting by \( U_3 \) to the right and to the left), we get:

\[ \left( (-1)^{\mu+n} - (-1)^{\nu+n} \right) \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle = 0 \]

which means that \( \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle = 0 \) if \( \mu - \nu = 1 \) (mod 2).

To prove (5.56), we use the result of section 3.4 that the action of \( U_3 \) to the vectors \( |\Psi^l\rangle \) and \( \langle \Psi^l | \) is equivalent to the shift of \( s, t \) by 1 and the fact that \( |\Psi_\mu(s + 2, t + 2) \rangle = |\Psi_\mu(s, t) \rangle \). We have:

\[ |\Psi_\mu(s, t) \rangle = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_Q} e^{-2\pi ilP_\mu/Q} |\Psi^l(s, t) \rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{Q-1} e^{-2\pi ilP_\mu/Q} |\Psi^0\left( s + \frac{2Pl}{Q}, t + \frac{2Pl}{Q} \right) \rangle 
= \sum_{l=0}^{Q/2-1} e^{-2\pi ilP_\mu/Q} |\Psi^0\left( s + \frac{2Pl}{Q}, t + \frac{2Pl}{Q} \right) \rangle + \sum_{l=Q/2}^{Q-1} e^{-2\pi ilP_\mu/Q} |\Psi^0\left( s + \frac{2Pl}{Q}, t + \frac{2Pl}{Q} \right) \rangle 
= \sum_{l=0}^{Q/2-1} e^{-2\pi ilP_\mu/Q} |\Psi^0\left( s + \frac{2Pl}{Q}, t + \frac{2Pl}{Q} \right) \rangle + (-1)^{\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{Q/2-1} e^{-2\pi ilP_\mu/Q} |\Psi^0\left( s + \frac{2Pl}{Q} + 1, t + \frac{2Pl}{Q} + 1 \right) \rangle 
= \left( 1 + (-1)^{\mu+n} U_3 \right) \sum_{l=0}^{Q/2-1} e^{-2\pi ilP_\mu/Q} |\Psi^0\left( s + \frac{2Pl}{Q}, t + \frac{2Pl}{Q} \right) \rangle, \]

from which the first relation in (5.56) follows (recall that \( U_3^2 = 1 \)). The argument for the dual vector \( \langle \Psi_\nu | \) is the same. Note that the selection rule is valid also for \( Y_{k}^{(\nu \mu)} \): it vanishes for \( \mu - \nu = 1 \) (mod 2) (the proof is similar).

However, if \( Q \) is odd, then (5.56) does not hold in general and the selection rule does not work.
5.8 Orthogonality and norm of on-shell Bethe vectors

Let us show that if the vector \( \langle \Psi_\mu (\vec{u}) \rangle \) is on-shell and the sets \( \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) do not coincide, the scalar product (5.34) vanishes. We should show that the matrix \( T^{(\nu \mu)}_{ik} (r) \) (5.30) becomes degenerate if the parameters \( \bar{u} \) satisfy the Bethe equations (3.25), which we write here in the form

\[
e^{2i\pi\eta \nu} \frac{a(u_j)}{d(u_j)} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{h(u_j, \bar{u})}{h(\bar{u}, u_j)}. \tag{5.57}
\]

We are going to show that the rows of the matrix \( T^{(\nu \mu)}_{ik} (r) \) are linearly dependent. Set

\[
x_j = \frac{g(v_j, \bar{u}_j)}{g(v_j, \bar{u})}. \tag{5.58}
\]

Note that since the sets \( \bar{u}, \bar{v} \) do not coincide, there is at least one non-vanishing \( x_j \). Consider a linear combination

\[
X = \sum_i x_i T^{(\nu \mu)}_{ik} (r) = a(u_k) f(\bar{v}, u_k) E^+ + d(u_k) f(u_k, \bar{v}) E^-, \tag{5.59}
\]

where

\[
E^\pm = \frac{\theta'_1 (0)}{\theta_1 (r)} \sum_i x_i \left( e^{\pm i\pi \eta \nu} \frac{\theta_1 (v_i - u_k - r)}{\theta_1 (v_i - u_k)} - e^{\pm i\pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1 (v_i - u_k \pm \eta - r)}{\theta_1 (v_i - u_k \pm \eta)} \right).
\]

In order to compute \( E^\pm \), we consider an auxiliary contour integral

\[
I^\pm = \frac{\theta'_1 (0)}{\theta_1 (r)} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \left( e^{\pm i\pi \eta \nu} \frac{\theta_1 (z - u_k - r)}{\theta_1 (z - u_k)} - e^{\pm i\pi \eta \mu} \frac{\theta_1 (z - u_k \pm \eta - r)}{\theta_1 (z - u_k \pm \eta)} \right) \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{\theta_1 (z - u_a)}{\theta_1 (z - v_a)}.
\]

The integral is taken along the boundary of the fundamental parallelogram spanned by 1, \( \tau \). Since \( r = \sum_i v_i - \sum_i u_i \) (see (5.54)), it is easy to see that the integrand is double-periodic with periods 1, \( \tau \). Therefore, \( I^\pm = 0 \). On the other hand, the integral can be calculated as sum of the residues inside the fundamental parallelogram. The sum of the residues at the poles at \( z = v_j \) gives \( E^\pm \). One more contribution comes from the simple pole at \( z - u_k \pm \eta = 0 \). Thus we arrive at

\[
E^\pm = -e^{\pm i\pi \eta \nu} \theta'_1 (0) \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{\theta_1 (u_k - u_a \mp \eta)}{\theta_1 (u_k - v_a \mp \eta)}
\]

or

\[
E^+ = -e^{i\pi \eta \mu} \theta'_1 (0) \frac{h(\bar{u}, u_k)}{h(\bar{v}, u_k)}, \quad E^- = -e^{-i\pi \eta \mu} \theta'_1 (0) \frac{h(u_k, \bar{u})}{h(u_k, \bar{v})}.
\]

Substituting these results into (5.59), we obtain:

\[
X = -\theta'_1 (0) g(u_k, \bar{v}) \left[ (-1)^n e^{i\pi \eta \mu} a(u_k) h(\bar{u}, u_k) + e^{-i\pi \eta \mu} d(u_k) h(u_k, \bar{u}) \right]
\]

which is equal to 0 due to the Bethe equations (5.57). Thus the rows of the matrix \( T^{(\nu \mu)}_{ik} (r) \) are linearly dependent and hence \( \det T^{(\nu \mu)}_{ik} (r) = 0 \).
Note that we did not use the fact that the set \( \tilde{v} \) satisfies the Bethe equations. Therefore, \( \det T_{ik}^{(\nu\mu)}(r) \) vanishes when the following weaker conditions are fulfilled: i) the set \( \tilde{u} \) satisfies the Bethe equations, ii) \( r = \sum_i (v_i - u_i) \), iii) the sets \( \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \) do not coincide.

The square of the norm of the vector \( \langle \Psi_\nu(\tilde{v}) | \Psi_\nu(\tilde{v}) \rangle \) can be obtained in the limit \( u_i \to v_i \). We set \( u_i = v_i + \varepsilon \) in equation (5.54), so that \( r = -n\varepsilon \), and tend \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). The matrix \( T_{ik}^{(\nu\nu)}(r) \) becomes singular but the factor \( W(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \) in the denominator brings the multiplier \( \theta_i^{(\nu)}(\varepsilon) \) which cancels the singularity. The limiting procedure is straightforward and the result is

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \theta_1(\varepsilon) T_{ik}^{(\nu\nu)}(-n\varepsilon) \right) = \theta_1(\eta) e^{-i\pi\eta} d(v_k) f(v_k, \tilde{v}) K(v_i - v_k), \quad i \neq k,
\]

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \theta_1(\varepsilon) T_{it}^{(\nu\nu)}(-n\varepsilon) \right) = -\theta_1(\eta) e^{-i\pi\nu} d(v_i) f(v_i, \tilde{v}) \left( \partial_v \log \frac{a(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \sum_{j \neq i} K(v_i - v_j) \right),
\]

where

\[
K(u) = \frac{\theta_1'(u - \eta)}{\theta_1(u - \eta)} - \frac{\theta_1'(u + \eta)}{\theta_1(u + \eta)}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\langle \Psi_\nu(\tilde{v}) | \Psi_\nu(\tilde{v}) \rangle = \phi_1^{(\nu\nu)}(0, x) \phi_2^{(\nu\nu)}(\tilde{v}, y) \theta_i^{(\nu)}(\eta) e^{-i\pi\eta} d(\tilde{v}) \prod_{a \neq b} f(v_a, v_b) \det_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} G_{ik},
\]

where

\[
G_{ik} = -\delta_{ik} \left( \partial_{v_i} \log \frac{a(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \sum_{j=1}^n K(v_i - v_j) \right) + K(v_i - v_k),
\]

\[
\phi_1^{(\nu\nu)}(0, x) = \frac{\theta_1'(0\{\tau\})}{\theta_1(0\{Q\tau/2\})} \text{ for } Q \text{ even},
\]

\[
\phi_1^{(\nu\nu)}(0, x) = \frac{\theta_1'(0\{\tau\})}{\theta_1'(0\{Q\tau\})} \text{ for } Q \text{ odd}.
\]

and similarly to (5.3)

\[
d(\tilde{v}) = \prod_{i=1}^n d(v_i).
\]

This is the elliptic version of the Gaudin’s formula \(^3\) and \( G_{ik} \) is the elliptic analogue of the Gaudin’s matrix. If we take logarithm of the Bethe equations, denote

\[
B_j = -\log \frac{a(v_j)}{d(v_j)} + \log \frac{f(v_j, \tilde{v})}{f(\tilde{v}, v_j)} - 2\pi i\eta\nu
\]

(so that the Bethe equations read \( B_j = 2\pi n_j, n_j \in \mathbb{Z} \)), then \( G_{jk} = \partial B_j / \partial v_k \).

However, in the case of the norm the result (5.61) is somewhat meaningless because it is multiplied by an unknown function \( \phi_2 \) of \( \tilde{v} \).

\(^4\)See remark in the beginning of section \(^3\)

\(^5\)The same result is reproduced for the limit \( u_i = v_i + \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \to 0 \).
5.9 Normalized scalar products

The unknown function \( \phi_2 \) does not enter the \textit{specially normalized} scalar products

\[
S_{\nu\mu}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | \Psi_{\mu}(\bar{u}) \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle},
\]

where the vector \( \langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle \) is an on-shell vector and \( \langle \Psi_{\mu}(\bar{u}) \rangle \) is an arbitrary off-shell Bethe vector. It is easy to see that (5.65) differs from the usual normalized scalar product, in which the denominator would contain the norms of both vectors. Nevertheless, in the models with the 6-vertex \( R \)-matrix, it is normalization (5.65) that is sufficient to calculate the form factors of local operators and correlation functions (see the more detailed discussion of this issue in section 6). It is for this reason that we are interested in such a special normalization.

Collecting the formulas obtained above together, we arrive at the following result for these scalar products:

\[
S_{\nu\mu}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) = e^{\pi i \eta \nu \mu} \prod_{p,q} \theta_1(u_p - v_q) \prod_{a<b} \theta_1(u_a - u_b) \phi_1^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x) \frac{\det T_{\nu\mu}(r)}{\det G_{jk}} d(\bar{v}) d(\bar{u}),
\]

where \( r = \sum_i v_i - \sum_i u_i \), the matrices \( T_{\nu\mu}(r), G_{jk} \) are given by formulas (5.30), (5.62), respectively, \( d(\bar{v}) \) by (5.64) and the functions \( \phi_1^{(\nu, \mu)}(r, x), \phi_1^{(\nu, \nu)}(0, x) \) by (5.49), (5.50), (5.63). Note that at \( \mu = \nu \) and \( \sum_i u_i = \sum_i v_i \) this formula becomes

\[
S_{\nu\nu}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) = e^{\pi i \eta \nu \nu} \prod_{p,q} \theta_1(u_p - v_q) \prod_{a<b} \theta_1(u_a - u_b) \frac{\det \partial T_{\nu}(u_k, \bar{v}) / \partial v_j}{\det G_{jk}} d(\bar{v}),
\]

which resembles the result for the \( XXZ \) case [34].

6 Concluding remarks

We have obtained the determinant representation (5.66) for the specially normalized scalar products of Bethe vectors (5.65) in the inhomogeneous 8-vertex model (or equivalently, in the inhomogeneous \( XYZ \) spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) chain) in the case when the anisotropy parameter \( \eta \) is a rational number \( \eta = \frac{2P}{Q} \). Recall, however, that one can take the homogeneous limit in all our formulas. The matrix \( T_{\nu\mu}(r) \) in (5.66) is given by (5.29) or (5.30). Note that this matrix is essentially the same as the matrix entering the determinant representation for scalar products of Bethe vectors in the elliptic cyclic SOS model obtained in [46].

A more general case when the Bethe vectors are well-defined is the case when \( \eta \) is a point of finite order on the elliptic curve, i.e., \( Q\eta = 2P_1 + P_2 \tau \) with some integer \( Q, P_1, P_2 \). We hope that it is not too difficult to extend our results to this case. Other
possible generalizations of our results are related to the scalar products of Bethe vectors in the 8-vertex model with twisted boundary conditions \( [66] \) (in the 8-vertex case the only possible twist matrices are the Pauli matrices) and in the \( XYZ \) spin chain with higher spin \([11, 18]\).

We also did not consider the case of scalar products in which the right and left vectors depend on a different number of parameters. Note that the derivation of the system of linear equations for this case remains exactly the same. However, presumably, in this case, the resulting system has only trivial solutions.

A comment on the limit to the \( XXZ \) case (the 6-vertex model) is in order. Formally, this is the limit \( \tau \to +i\infty \) when the Jacobi theta functions tend to trigonometric functions. However, as is seen from \([5.66]\), \([5.30]\), the limit of our result does not coincide with the well known answer for the \( XXZ \) case \([41]\). The reason is in the different structure of the off-shell Bethe vectors: the trigonometric limit of the off-shell Bethe vectors constructed in the framework of the generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz method differs from off-shell Bethe vectors usually considered in the \( XXZ \) type models. It is enough to say that our off-shell vectors essentially depend on the auxiliary parameters \( s, t \) which are absent in the standard algebraic Bethe ansatz approach.

One of the most attractive areas is the application of the obtained result \([5.66]\) to the calculation of form factors and correlation functions. However, for this it is necessary to obtain formulas for the action of the monodromy matrix entries on Bethe vectors. In models with the 6-vertex \( R \)-matrix, such formulas are well known. Schematically, they can be represented in the form

\[
T_{ab}(z)|\Psi(\bar{v})\rangle = \sum_{\bar{v}'} t_{ab}(\bar{v}')|\Psi(\bar{v}')\rangle, \quad (6.1)
\]

where \( \bar{v}' \) is a subset of \( \bar{v} \cup z \), and \( t_{ab}(\bar{v}') \) are some numerical coefficients. The sum in \((6.1)\) is taken with respect to all possible subsets of fixed cardinality. The latter depends on the concrete matrix element \( T_{ab} \).

If we assume that similar action formulas also exist in the 8-vertex model, then we immediately get access to the form factors of local spin operators. Indeed, the latter can be expressed through the elements of the quantum monodromy matrix using the formulas of the inverse scattering problem \([35, 36]\):

\[
E_{m}^{ij} = \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m} T(\xi_{k}) \right)^{-1} T_{ji}(\xi_{m}) \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} T(\xi_{k}) \right). \quad (6.2)
\]

Here \( E_{m}^{ij} \) is an elementary unit matrix acting in the \( m \)th local quantum space \( V_{m} \). Therefore, all form factors of local operators reduce to form factors of the entries of the quantum monodromy matrix. For instance, magnetization \( \langle \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}(m) \rangle \) is given by

\[
\frac{\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \left| \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sigma_{3}(m)) \right| \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | D(\xi_{m}) | \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle}{T_{\nu}(\xi_{m}) \langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle}. \quad (6.3)
\]

\(^{6}\)Relation \((6.2)\) slightly differs from its custom form since we use different ordering of the \( R \)-matrices in the definition of the monodromy matrix.
where $T_{\nu}(\xi_{m}|\bar{v})$ is the eigenvalue of $T(\xi_{m})$ on $|\Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v})\rangle$.

If the action of the operator $D(\xi_{m})$ on the vector $|\Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v})\rangle$ is given by a linear combination of off-shell vectors similar to (6.1), then this form factor reduces to scalar products (5.66). Other form factors are calculated similarly.

However, we would like to emphasize that the assumption of the existence of the action formula similar to (6.1) in the models with the 8-vertex $R$-matrix is rather strong assumption. For the moment, there is no evidence that such a formula does exist. We are going to highlight this issue in our forthcoming publications.
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List of notations

Here we list some notations for convenience as they appear in the text:

- $\tau$ – modular parameter of elliptic functions;
- $\eta$ – anisotropy parameter (or Planck constant) in the $R$-matrix;
- $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} – \text{coprime numbers entering } \eta = 2P/Q$;
- $u$ – spectral parameter in of the $R$-matrix;
- $W_{a}(u), a = 0, ..., 3; a^{8v}(u), b^{8v}(u), c^{8v}(u), d^{8v}(u)$ – matrix elements of $R$-matrix (2.5);
- $N$ – number of sites of the lattice row (an even integer);
- $n = N/2$ – number of Bethe roots, see also (3.61);
- $a(u), b(u), c(u), d(u)$ – elements of the $L$-operator (2.11);
- $\xi_{1}, ..., \xi_{N}$ – inhomogeneity parameters, (2.13), (2.17);
- $A(u), B(u), C(u), D(u)$ – operator matrix elements of the quantum monodromy matrix (2.14);
- $c(u) = N(2u + \eta + \tau) - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_{k}$, (2.15);
- $U_{a} = (\sigma_{a})^{\otimes N}$, (2.18);
- $s, t$ – the parameters of intertwining (co)vectors $|\phi(s)\rangle$ (2.21);
- $a_{k}^{l}(u), b_{k}^{l}(u), c_{k}^{l}(u), d_{k}^{l}(u)$ – elements of the gauged transformed $L$-operator (2.37);
- $s_{k} = s + k\eta, t_{k} = t + k\eta, \tau_{k} = (s_{k} + t_{k})/2$;
- $\gamma_{k}$ – functions entering the (gauge transformation) matrix $M_{k}(u)$ (2.38)-(2.39);
- $|\omega_{k}\rangle$ – local vacuum vectors (2.42);
|Ω⟩ = |ω_1⟩ ⊗ |ω_2⟩ ⊗ ... ⊗ |ω_N⟩ – global vacuum vectors (2.45);
A_k,l(u), B_k,l(u), C_k,l(u), D_k,l(u) – elements of T_{k,l}(u) (3.1)-(3.2);
|Ψ_l(u_1, ..., u_n)⟩ – vectors (3.16);
Φ(u, v) – (Kronecker) function (3.20);
Ψ_ν(u_1, ..., u_n)⟩ – right Bethe vector, Fourier transform of ⟨Ψ_l| (3.21);
¯A_k,l(u), ¯B_k,l(u), ¯C_k,l(u), ¯D_k,l(u) – operators (3.28);
⟨Ψ_l(v_1, ..., v_n)| – left vector (3.30);
⟨Ψ_ν(v_1, ..., v_n)| – left Bethe vector, Fourier transform of ⟨Ψ_l| (3.33);
σ(v_1, ..., v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_k + \frac{1}{2} n\eta, the function (3.54);
x = \frac{(s + t + 1)}{2}, y = \frac{(s - t)}{2} – variables (3.62);
ν_a = 0, 1 – the numbers from (3.63);
ν = -n - ν_3;
a(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta_1(u - ξ_i + \eta), d(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta_1(u - ξ_i) – the functions (4.5);
Bethe equations – (3.25), (4.22);
sum rule – (4.24);
v_1, ..., v_n – Bethe roots, solutions of (4.22) and (4.24);
g(u, v), f(u, v), h(u, v) – functions (5.1)-(5.3);
X^1_j – the scalar products ⟨Ψ_ν|Ψ_l⟩ (5.5);
T_ν(u) – function (3.23), (5.7);
w = w_{n+1} = l\eta + \bar{U} + w_0, (5.22);
\bar{U} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j;

r = \sum_{p=1}^{n} v_p - \frac{1}{2} (s + t + 1) + w_0, (5.24);

Y^{(μ)}_k – weighted Fourier transform of X^1_j (5.26);
U_{n+1} = U = \sum_{p=1}^{n} u_p, V = \sum_{p=1}^{n} v_p, (5.27);

T^{(μη)}_{ik}(r) – matrix (5.29)-(5.30);
W_n – function (5.31), (5.55);
φ^{(ν,μ)}(\bar{v}, r) – function (5.36), (5.47);
φ_1^{(ν,μ)}(r, x), φ_2^{(ν)}(\bar{v}, y) – functions (5.47), (5.49)-(5.51), (5.52);
S_{νμ}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) – specially normalized scalar products (5.65).
Appendix A: null-vector

As one of the applications of the obtained formulas, we show that the scalar products $\langle \Psi_\nu (\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu (\bar{u}) \rangle$ vanish if the set $\bar{u}$ contains $\xi_p$ and $\xi_p - \eta$ for some $p = 1,\ldots,N$. (We denote them as $u_1 = \xi_p$ and $u_2 = \xi_p - \eta$, so that $d(u_1) = a(u_2) = 0$.) To see this, we will show that in this case $\det T^{(\nu \mu)}_{jk} = 0$. Indeed, from the formula (5.30) we conclude that the first column is

$$T^{(\nu \mu)}_{j1} = \frac{\theta'_1(0)}{\theta_1(r)} a(\xi_p) f(\bar{v}, \xi_p) \left( e^{i\pi \nu \eta} \frac{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j)} - e^{i\pi \nu \mu} \frac{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j - \eta + r)}{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j - \eta)} \right)$$

and the second column is

$$T^{(\nu \mu)}_{j2} = \frac{\theta'_1(0)}{\theta_1(r)} d(\xi_p - \eta) f(\xi_p - \eta, \bar{v}) \left( e^{-i\pi \nu \eta} \frac{\theta_1(\xi_p - \eta - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(\xi_p - \eta - v_j)} - e^{-i\pi \nu \mu} \frac{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\theta'_1(0)}{\theta_1(r)} e^{-i\pi (\mu + \nu)} d(\xi_p - \eta) f(\xi_p - \eta, \bar{v}) \left( e^{i\pi \nu \eta} \frac{\theta_1(\xi_p - \eta - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(\xi_p - \eta - v_j)} - e^{i\pi \nu \mu} \frac{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(\xi_p - v_j)} \right).$$

We see that the two columns are proportional to each other and hence the determinant vanishes.

If the set of dual eigenvectors $\langle \Psi_\nu (\bar{v}) \rangle$ is complete (which is usually believed), this result means that the vector $| \Psi_\mu (\bar{u}) \rangle$ in which $u_1 = \xi_p$, $u_2 = \xi_p - \eta$ is a null-vector.

Appendix B: the case $N = 2$

The case $N = 2$ is relatively simple but instructive. In this case there is only one Bethe equation for the Bethe root $v$ of the form

$$e^{2i\pi \nu \eta} \frac{\theta_1(v - \xi_1 + \eta) \theta_1(v - \xi_2 + \eta)}{\theta_1(v - \xi_1) \theta_1(v - \xi_2)} = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B1)

This equation has 4 solutions in the fundamental domain (the number of solutions is equal to the dimension of the quantum space):

$$v = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta) + \omega,$$

where $\omega$ is a half-period: $\omega = 0, 1/2$ (in these cases $\nu = 0$) and $\omega = \tau/2, (\tau + 1)/2$ (in these cases $\nu = 1$). Note that this agrees with the sum rule (4.24).

Diagionalization of the transfer matrix

For brevity, we denote $a_1 = a^{8\nu}(u - \xi_1)$, $a_2 = a^{8\nu}(u - \xi_2)$, $b_1 = b^{8\nu}(u - \xi_1)$, etc. In the natural basis $|++\rangle$, $|+-\rangle$, $|--\rangle$, $|--\rangle$ the transfer matrix of the model is given by

$$T(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
    a_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2 & 0 & 0 & c_1 d_2 + d_1 c_2 \\
    0 & a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2 & c_1 c_2 + d_1 d_2 & 0 \\
    c_1 d_2 + d_1 c_2 & 0 & 0 & a_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2
\end{pmatrix}.$$
This matrix can be easily diagonalized by hands. The result is:

| Eigenvector | Eigenvector | Bethe root |
|-------------|-------------|------------|
| $|+\rangle - |+\rangle$ | $a_1b_2 + b_1a_2 - c_1c_2 - d_1d_2$ | $v_1 = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta), \nu = 0$ |
| $|+\rangle + |+\rangle$ | $a_1b_2 + b_1a_2 + c_1c_2 + d_1d_2$ | $v_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta) + \frac{1}{2}, \nu = 0$ |
| $|+\rangle + |\rangle$ | $a_1a_2 + b_1b_2 + c_1d_2 + d_1c_2$ | $v_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta) + \frac{\nu + 1}{2}, \nu = 1$ |
| $|+\rangle - |\rangle$ | $a_1a_2 + b_1b_2 - c_1d_2 - d_1c_2$ | $v_4 = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta) + \frac{\nu + 1}{2}, \nu = 1$ |

Similar results hold for left eigenvectors. After some transformations the eigenvalues can be brought to the form

$$T_0(u; v_1) = \frac{2}{\theta_3^2(0)\theta_3(0)\theta_4(0)} \left[ \theta_4(0)\theta_3(\eta)\theta_3\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \eta}{2}\right)\theta_3\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right)\theta_4^2\left(u - \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right) \right],$$

$$T_0(u; v_2) = \frac{2}{\theta_3^2(0)\theta_3(0)\theta_4(0)} \left[ \theta_3(0)\theta_4(\eta)\theta_3\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \eta}{2}\right)\theta_4\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right)\theta_3^2\left(u - \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right) \right],$$

$$T_1(u; v_3) = \frac{2}{\theta_3^2(0)\theta_3(0)\theta_4(0)} \left[ \theta_3(0)\theta_4(\eta)\theta_2\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \eta}{2}\right)\theta_2\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right)\theta_1^2\left(u - \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right) \right],$$

$$T_1(u; v_4) = \frac{2}{\theta_3^2(0)\theta_3(0)\theta_4(0)} \left[ \theta_4(0)\theta_3(\eta)\theta_2\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \eta}{2}\right)\theta_2\left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right)\theta_1^2\left(u - \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \eta}{2}\right) \right].$$

It can be shown by a straightforward calculation that this form is the same as the expression (5.7):

$$T_\nu(u; v_a) = e^{\pi i \nu} \theta_1(u - \xi_1 + \eta)\theta_1(u - \xi_2 + \eta) \frac{\theta_1(u - v_a - \eta)}{\theta_1(u)} + e^{-\pi i \nu} \theta_1(u - \xi_1)\theta_1(u - \xi_2) \frac{\theta_1(u - v_a + \eta)}{\theta_1(u)}$$

for $a = 1, \ldots, 4$. 
The Bethe vectors

For illustrative purposes, we give here the explicit expression for the (off-shell) Bethe vectors. We recall that

\[
\mu(u; s, t) = \theta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} (s + t) + u \right),
\]

\[
\gamma_k = \frac{1}{\theta_2(\tau_k)}, \quad \tau_k = \frac{1}{2}(s_k + t_k), \quad s_k = s + k\eta, \quad t_k = t + k\eta.
\]

The right vacuum is

\[
|\Omega^{l-1}\rangle = \left( \frac{\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)}{\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)} \right) \otimes \left( \frac{\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau)}{\theta_4(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau)} \right).
\]

(B2)

Let us denote the basis vectors as

\[
|e_1\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |++\rangle - |--\rangle \right), \quad |e_2\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |++\rangle + |--\rangle \right),
\]

\[
|e_3\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |+\rangle - |--\rangle \right), \quad |e_4\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |+\rangle + |--\rangle \right).
\]

For the vector

\[
|\Psi^l(u)\rangle = B_{l-1,t+1}(u)|\Omega^{l-1}\rangle
\]

we have

\[
|\Psi^l(u)\rangle = A_1^{(l)}|e_1\rangle + A_2^{(l)}|e_2\rangle + A_3^{(l)}|e_3\rangle + A_4^{(l)}|e_4\rangle,
\]

where the coefficients \(A_i^{(l)}\) read:

\[
A_1^{(l)} = \frac{\gamma_{l-1}\gamma_{l+1}}{\mu(u; s, t)} \left[ \left( \theta_4(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_4(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \right. \right.
\]

\[
+ \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau)
\]

\[
+ \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau)
\]

\[
+ \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau)
\]

\[
+ \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau)
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
A_3^{(l)} &= \frac{\gamma_{l-1} \gamma_{l+1}}{\mu(u; s, t)} \left[ \theta_4(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \\
&- \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_4(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \right] (d_1 d_2 + b_1 a_2) \\
+ \left( \theta_4(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \\
&- \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \right) (a_1 c_2 + c_1 b_2) \\
+ \left( \theta_4(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_4(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \\
&- \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \right) (a_1 c_2 + c_1 b_2)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A_4^{(l)} &= \frac{\gamma_{l-1} \gamma_{l+1}}{\mu(u; s, t)} \left[ \theta_4(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \\
&- \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \right] (d_1 d_2 + b_1 c_2) \\
+ \left( \theta_4(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_1(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_4(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \\
&- \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_4(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \right) (a_1 c_2 + c_1 b_2) \\
+ \left( \theta_4(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_1(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \\
&- \theta_1(t_{l-1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(t_{l+1} - u|2\tau)\theta_4(s_{l-1} + \xi_1|2\tau)\theta_4(s_l + \xi_2|2\tau) \right) (a_1 c_2 + c_1 b_2)
\end{align*}
\]
For rational $\eta = 2P/Q$ the vector $|\Psi^l(u)\rangle$ is $Q$-periodic in $l$. The (off-shell) Bethe vectors $|\Psi_\nu(u)\rangle$ ($\nu = 0, 1, \ldots, Q - 1$) are defined as finite Fourier transforms of $|\Psi^l(u)\rangle$:

$$|\Psi_\nu(u)\rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{Q-1} e^{-2\pi i P l \nu/Q} |\Psi^l(u)\rangle. \quad (B3)$$

This example illustrates that any direct calculations with the Bethe vectors, even in the simplest case $N = 2$, are hardly possible.

### The result for scalar products

Combining transformation properties under shifts of the variables with results of computer simulations, one can suggest the following formula for the scalar products at $N = 2$:

$$\langle \Psi_\nu(v) | \Psi_\mu(u) \rangle = \phi_1^{(\nu\mu)}(v - u, x) \phi_2^{(\nu)}(u - v) \theta_1(u - v) T_{11}^{(\nu\mu)}(v - u). \quad (B4)$$

The function $\phi_1^{(\nu\mu)}(r, x)$ is given by (5.49) for even $Q$ and by (5.50) for odd $Q$. The determinant of the matrix $T_{jk}^{(\nu\mu)}$ reduces to the element $T_{11}^{(\nu\mu)}$ (see (5.30)). Plugging $r = v - u$ into (5.30) we get the $\nu$-independent expression

$$T_{11}^{(\nu\mu)} = -\frac{\theta_1'(0) \theta_1(\eta)}{\theta_1^2(v - u)} \left( a(u) e^{i\pi \mu \eta} - d(u) e^{-i\pi \mu \eta} \right). \quad (B5)$$

The function $\phi_2^{(\nu)}(v, y)$ is

$$\phi_2^{(\nu)}(v, y) = C(\eta, \tau) \chi^{(\nu)}(v) d(v) e^{2\pi i \nu y} \theta_1^2(y + v), \quad (B6)$$

where

$$\chi^{(\nu)}(v) = e^{2\pi i \nu(v - \eta)} \theta_1(v - \xi_1) \theta_1(v - \xi_2) \frac{\partial z}{d(z)} \bigg|_{z=v} \quad (B7)$$

and

$$C(\eta, \tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{2Q^2 \theta_1'(0) Q \tau / 2}{(\theta_1'(0))^3 \theta_2^2(0) \theta_1(\eta)} & \text{for even } Q, \\ \frac{2Q^2 \theta_1'(0) Q \tau}{(\theta_1'(0))^3 \theta_2^2(0) \theta_1(\eta)} & \text{for odd } Q. \end{cases} \quad (B8)$$

### Appendix C: free fermions

The case $\eta = 1/2$ ($Q = 4$) corresponds to free fermions. The Bethe equations drastically simplify in this case; they have the form

$$e^{\pi i \nu} \frac{a(v_j)}{d(v_j)} = (-1)^{n-1}. \quad (C1)$$
For the case of free fermions it is possible to obtain more explicit expressions for the scalar products. The matrix $T_{j k}^{(\nu \mu)}(r)$ is given by

$$
T_{j k}^{(\nu \mu)}(r) = \frac{\theta'_{1}(0(0)}{\theta_{1}(r)} \left( \prod_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_p)}{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_p)} \right)
$$

$$
\times \left[ (-1)^n a(u_k) \left( e^{i\pi \nu/2} \frac{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_j)} - e^{i\pi \mu/2} \frac{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_j)} \right) + d(u_k) \left( e^{-i\pi \nu/2} \frac{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_j)} - e^{-i\pi \mu/2} \frac{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_j)} \right) \right].
$$

(C2)

Case $\mu = \nu$

Set $\mu = \nu$. Then

$$
T_{j k}^{(\nu \nu)}(r) = \frac{\theta'_{1}(0)\theta'_{1}(0)}{\theta_{1}(r)} \left( \prod_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_p)}{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_p)} \right)
$$

$$
\times \left( (-1)^n e^{i\pi \nu/2} a(u_k) + e^{-i\pi \nu/2} d(u_k) \right) H^{(-)}(u_k, v_j),
$$

(C3)

where

$$
H^{(-)}(u_k, v_j) = \frac{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_j)} - \frac{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_j)} = \frac{2 \theta_{1}(r|2\tau)}{\theta_{4}(0|2\tau)} \frac{\theta_{4}(2u_k - 2v_j + r|2\tau)}{\theta_{1}(2u_k - 2v_j|2\tau)},
$$

and we arrive at

$$
T_{j k}^{(\nu \nu)}(r) = \frac{2 \theta_{1}(r|2\tau) \theta'_{1}(0|\tau)}{\theta_{1}(r|\tau) \theta_{4}(0|2\tau)} \left( \prod_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_p)}{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_p)} \right)
$$

$$
\times \left( (-1)^n e^{i\pi \nu/2} a(u_k) + e^{-i\pi \nu/2} d(u_k) \right) \frac{\theta_{4}(2u_k - 2v_j + r|2\tau)}{\theta_{1}(2u_k - 2v_j|2\tau)},
$$

(C4)

i.e. it is the elliptic Cauchy matrix (multiplied by a diagonal matrix).

Case $\mu \neq \nu$

If $\mu \neq \nu$, then generically we cannot obtain the Cauchy matrix. However, if $\mu = \nu + 2$, then $e^{i\pi \mu/2} = -e^{i\pi \nu/2}$, and the matrix elements $T_{j k}^{(\mu \nu + 2)}(r)$ take the form

$$
T_{j k}^{(\mu \nu + 2)}(r) = 
$$

$$
\frac{\theta'_{1}(0)}{\theta_{1}(r)} \left( \prod_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{2}(u_k - v_p)}{\theta_{1}(u_k - v_p)} \right) ((-1)^n e^{i\pi \nu/2} a(u_k) + e^{-i\pi \nu/2} d(u_k)) H^{(+)}(u_k, v_j),
$$

(C5)
where
\[
H^{(+)}(u_k, v_j) = \frac{\theta_1(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_j)} + \frac{\theta_2(u_k - v_j + r)}{\theta_2(u_k - v_j)},
\]
and finally we arrive at
\[
T^{(\nu, \nu + 2)}_{j,k}(r) = \frac{2\theta_4(r|2\tau)\theta_1'(0|\tau)}{\theta_1(\nu|\tau)\theta_4(0|2\tau)} \left( \prod_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_2(u_k - v_p)}{\theta_1(u_k - v_p)} \right)
\times \left( (-1)^n e^{i\pi\nu/2}a(u_k) + e^{-i\pi\nu/2}d(u_k) \right) \frac{\theta_4(2u_k - 2v_j + r|2\tau)}{\theta_4(2u_k - 2v_j|2\tau)}.
\]

Elliptic Cauchy determinants

Below we use the notation
\[
W_n(\bar{u}, \bar{v}|\tau) = \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \theta_1(u_a - u_b|\tau)\theta_1(v_b - v_a|\tau).
\]

Then the explicit formula for the elliptic Cauchy determinant tells us that
\[
\det_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \left( \frac{\theta_1(2u_k - 2v_j + r|2\tau)}{\theta_1(2u_k - 2v_j|2\tau)} \right) = \theta_1^{n-1}(r|2\tau)\theta_1(r + 2U - 2V|2\tau)W_n(2\bar{u}, 2\bar{v}|\tau),
\]
and
\[
\det_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \left( \frac{\theta_4(2u_k - 2v_j + r|2\tau)}{\theta_4(2u_k - 2v_j|2\tau)} \right) = \theta_4^{n-1}(r|2\tau)\theta_4(r + 2U - 2V|2\tau)W_n(2\bar{u}, 2\bar{v}|2\tau).
\]

Here
\[
U = \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k, \quad V = \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k.
\]

Equation (C10) follows from (C9) if we use the relation
\[
\theta_4(x|2\tau) = -ie^{i\pi(x+\tau)/2}\theta_4(x + \tau|2\tau).
\]

Determinant of the matrix \(T^{(\nu, \nu)}_{j,k}\)

Case \(\mu = \nu\). The above results yield
\[
\det T^{(\nu, \nu)}_{j,k}(r) = \left( \frac{2\theta_1(r|2\tau)\theta_4(r|2\tau)\theta_1'(0|\tau)}{\theta_1(\nu|\tau)\theta_4(0|2\tau)} \right) \left( \prod_{a,b=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_2(u_a - v_b)}{\theta_1(u_a - v_b)} \right)
\times \left( (-1)^n e^{i\pi\nu/2}a(u_p) + e^{-i\pi\nu/2}d(u_p) \right) \frac{\theta_4(r + 2U - 2V|2\tau)}{\theta_4(r|2\tau)} W_n(2\bar{u}, 2\bar{v}|2\tau).
\]
This expression can be simplified using the identity \( \theta_1(x|\tau)\theta_2(0|\tau) = 2\theta_1(x|2\tau)\theta_4(x|2\tau) \) and its derivative at \( x = 0 \):

\[
\det T_{jk}^{(\nu_\nu)}(r) = (2\theta'_1(0|2\tau))\frac{n\theta_4(r + 2U - 2V|2\tau)}{\theta_4(r|2\tau)} \left( \prod_{a,b=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_2(u_a - v_b)}{\theta_1(u_a - v_b)} \right)
\]

\[
\times W_n(2\bar{u}, 2\bar{v}|2\tau) \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left( (-1)^n e^{i\pi\nu/2}a(u_p) + e^{-i\pi\nu/2}d(u_p) \right).
\]

(C12)

Observe that in the case of the scalar product we should set \( r = V - U \), and then the ratio of the \( \theta_4 \)-functions disappears:

\[
\frac{\theta_4(r + 2U - 2V|2\tau)}{\theta_4(r|2\tau)} = \frac{\theta_4(-r|2\tau)}{\theta_4(r|2\tau)} = 1.
\]

**Case** \( \mu \neq \nu \). In a similar way, we obtain for \( \mu = \nu + 2 \):

\[
\det T_{jk}^{(\nu_\nu+2)}(r) = (2\theta'_1(0|2\tau))\frac{n\theta_1(r + 2U - 2V|2\tau)}{\theta_1(r|2\tau)} \left( \prod_{a,b=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_2(u_a - v_b)}{\theta_1(u_a - v_b)} \right)
\]

\[
\times W_n(2\bar{u}, 2\bar{v}|2\tau) \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left( (-1)^n e^{i\pi\nu/2}a(u_p) + e^{-i\pi\nu/2}d(u_p) \right).
\]

(C13)

Again, in the case of the scalar product the ratio of the \( \theta_1 \)-functions simplifies, but now it gives the minus sign:

\[
\frac{\theta_1(r + 2U - 2V|2\tau)}{\theta_1(r|2\tau)} = \frac{\theta_1(-r|2\tau)}{\theta_1(r|2\tau)} = -1.
\]

In the case when \( \mu - \nu \) is odd no simple result for \( \det T_{jk}^{(\nu_\nu)}(r) \) is available. However, in this case the scalar product vanishes due to the selection rule: \( \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle \) = 0 if \( \mu - \nu \) is odd (see section 5.7).

**Scalar products**

Summarizing the above results, we obtain an explicit representation for the scalar product

\[
X_{\nu\mu}^{(n)}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) = \langle \Psi_\nu(\bar{v}) | \Psi_\mu(\bar{u}) \rangle.
\]

The result is

\[
X_{\nu\mu}^{(n)}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}) = \pm (2\theta'_1(0|2\tau))^n \phi_1^{(\nu\mu)}(r, x) \phi_2^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y) \left( \prod_{a,b=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_2(u_a - v_b)}{\theta_1(u_a - v_b)} \right) \frac{W_n(2\bar{u}, 2\bar{v}|2\tau)}{W_n(\bar{u}, \bar{v}|\tau)} \times \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left( (-1)^n e^{i\pi\nu/2}a(u_p) + e^{-i\pi\nu/2}d(u_p) \right). \quad (C14)
\]
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The plus sign corresponds to $\nu = \mu$, while the minus sign corresponds to $\mu - \nu = \pm 2$. For $\mu - \nu = 1(\text{mod} \ 2)$ the scalar product vanishes. The function $\phi_{1}^{(\nu\mu)}(r, x)$ is

$$
\phi_{1}^{(\nu\mu)}(r, x) = \delta_{\mu, \nu}(\text{mod} \ 2)e^{\pi(i\mu - \nu)x} \frac{\theta_{1}(r|\tau) \theta_{1}(r + 2x + (\mu - \nu)\tau/2|2\tau)}{\theta_{1}(2x|2\tau) \theta_{1}(r + (\mu - \nu)\tau/2|2\tau)}
$$

(see (5.49)) and we recall that $r = \sum_{j} v_{j} - \sum_{j} u_{j}$. The function $\phi_{2}^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y)$ is unknown.

A complete analog of (C14) exists in the $XXZ$ case. Actually, one can take the limit $\tau \to +i\infty$ in (C14) and reproduce the $XXZ$ result up to a common factor.

The squared norm of the on-shell Bethe vector is

$$
\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle = (-1)^{n} \theta_{2}^{n}(0|\tau) \frac{\theta_{1}^{\prime}(0|2\tau)}{\theta_{2}^{\prime}(0|2\tau)} \phi_{2}^{(\nu)}(\bar{v}, y)e^{-\pi i n\nu/2} \prod_{a \neq b}^{n} \frac{\theta_{2}(v_{a} - v_{b}|\tau)}{\theta_{1}(v_{a} - v_{b}|\tau)}
$$

$$
\times \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left( d(v_{p}) \partial_{v} \log \frac{a(v)}{d(v)} \bigg|_{v=v_{p}} \right).
$$

(C15)

The result for the specially normalized scalar product is

$$
\frac{\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{u}) \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) | \Psi_{\nu}(\bar{v}) \rangle} = \pm (-1)^{n} \theta_{2}^{n}(0|2\tau) \left( \frac{2\theta_{1}^{\prime}(0|2\tau)}{\theta_{2}^{\prime}(0|2\tau)} \right)^{n} \frac{W_{n}(2\bar{u}, 2\bar{v}|2\tau)}{W_{n}(\bar{v}, \bar{v}|\tau)} \phi_{1}^{(\nu\mu)}(r, x)
$$

$$
\times \prod_{a, b}^{n} \frac{\theta_{2}(u_{a} - v_{b}|\tau)}{\theta_{1}(u_{a} - v_{b}|\tau)} \prod_{a' \neq b'}^{n} \frac{\theta_{2}(v_{a'} - v_{b'}|\tau)}{\theta_{1}(v_{a'} - v_{b'}|\tau)} \prod_{p=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n} e^{\pi i \nu} a(u_{p}) + d(u_{p})}{d(v_{p}) \partial_{v} \log \left( \frac{a(v)}{d(v)} \right)_{v=v_{p}}}.
$$

(C16)
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