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BACKGROUND: Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is associated with severe coronary heart disease in the great majority of cases. Whether carotid intima-media thickness (C-IMT), a known surrogate marker of subclinical atherosclerosis, is associated with risk of SCD in a general population remains unknown. The objective of this study was to investigate the association between C-IMT and risk of SCD.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We examined a total of 20,862 participants: 15,307 participants of the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities) study and 5,555 participants of the CHS (Cardiovascular Health Study). C-IMT and common carotid artery intima-media thickness was measured at baseline by ultrasound. Presence of plaque was judged by trained readers. Over a median of 23.5 years of follow-up, 569 participants had SCD (1.81 cases per 1000 person-years) in the ARIC study. Mean C-IMT and common carotid artery intima-media thickness were associated with risk of SCD after adjustment for traditional risk factors and time-varying adjustors: hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% CIs for fourth versus first quartile were 1.64 (1.15–2.63) and 1.49 (1.05–2.11), respectively. In CHS, 302 participants developed SCD (4.64 cases per 1000 person-years) over 13.1 years. Maximum C-IMT was associated with risk of SCD after adjustment: HR (95% CI) for fourth versus first quartile was 1.75 (1.22–2.51). Presence of plaque was associated with 35% increased risk of SCD: HR (95% CI) of 1.37 (1.13–1.67) in the ARIC study and 1.32 (1.04–1.68) in CHS.

CONCLUSIONS: C-IMT was associated with risk of SCD in 2 biracial community-based cohorts. C-IMT may be used as a marker of SCD risk and potentially to initiate early therapeutic interventions to mitigate the risk.
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Methods

Subjects

The ARIC Study

The ARIC study enrolled 15792 individuals, aged 45 to 64 years at baseline (1987–1989), from four US communities in North Carolina, Mississippi, Minnesota, and Maryland. Details of the ARIC study have been described elsewhere. The current study used all ARIC study subjects at baseline with C-IMT data. Participants were excluded from the study if they did not have ultrasound data at baseline (n=430), or if they were Black participants in Minnesota or Washington field center or if they were of non-Black and non-White ethnicity (n=55).

The CHS Study

The CHS is a prospective population-based observational cohort study of people ≥65 years old at baseline to evaluate risk factors for the development and progression of cardiovascular disease. The CHS recruited individuals from Medicare eligibility lists in Forsyth County, North Carolina; Sacramento County, California; Washington County, Maryland; and Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. The initial 5201 participants were enrolled from January 1989 through June 1990; an additional 687 predominantly Black participants were recruited in 1992 to 1993. Details of the CHS have been previously described. All participants in CHS were included in the present study, except for those with missing ultrasound data at the baseline clinic visit.

The study was approved by the institutional review boards at all institutions involved in the study, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. The data, analytic methods, and study materials will be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results or replicating the procedure in accordance with ARIC study and CHS policies.

Carotid Intima-Media Thickness

C-IMT was measured similarly, but slightly differently in the ARIC study and CHS. In both cohorts, carotid atherosclerosis was measured by ultrasound at baseline.

In the ARIC study, the ultrasound protocol to measure C-IMT has been described previously. Briefly, in the ARIC study, intima-media thickness was measured in 3 carotid sites across the 2 carotid arteries: common carotid artery (1 cm proximal to the dilatation of the carotid bulb), the carotid bifurcation (1 cm proximal to the flow divider), and the internal carotid artery (1 cm distal to the flow divider). Mean C-IMT was defined as mean of the 6 measurements. Maximum C-IMT was defined as the maximum among the 6 measurements. Mean and maximum common carotid artery intima-media thickness (CCA-IMT) were defined as mean of the CCA-IMT and maximum among the CCA-IMT, respectively. Presence of plaque was judged by trained readers and defined as 2 of the following 3 criteria at any of the 6 segments: abnormal wall thickness (defined as C-IMT >1.5 mm), abnormal shape (protrusion into the lumen and loss of alignment with adjacent arterial wall boundary), and abnormal wall texture (brighter echoes than adjacent boundaries). Mean and maximum C-IMT, mean and maximum CCA-IMT, and presence of plaque were used for analyses.

In the CHS, carotid ultrasound scans were performed on 5178 original CHS cohort members in 1989 to 1990 and 683 Black cohort members at year 5 (1992–1993). Because of evidence of reader drift between the 2 ultrasound visits, the carotid ultrasound scans from the CHS baseline visit (1989–1990) were reread by year 5 readers. We used variables that adjusted for reader drift as recommended by the CHS. The maximal C-IMT was defined as the mean of the maximal intima-media thickness of the near and far wall...
on both the left and right sides. Absence of plaque was defined as a smooth intimal surface with no regional discrete plaque. Intermediate-risk plaque was hyperdense, calcified, or homogeneous plaque or those with a mildly irregular surface. High-risk plaques had an irregular or ulcerated surface or were hypodense or heterogeneous plaque occupying >50% of the total plaque volume. Intermediate- and high-risk plaques were grouped and compared with no plaque.

**Sudden Cardiac Death**

SCD was defined as a sudden pulseless condition presumed to be caused by a ventricular tachyarrhythmia in a previously stable individual without evidence of a noncardiac cause of cardiac arrest. All cardiac arrest events occurred out of the hospital or in the emergency department. In the ARIC study, all events classified as having fatal CHD that occurred by December 31, 2012, were reviewed and adjudicated by a committee of cardiac electrophysiologists, cardiologists, and internists. After review of data available, cases were classified as definite sudden arrhythmic death, possible sudden arrhythmic death, not sudden arrhythmic death, or unclassifiable. For this analysis, SCD was defined as definite or possible sudden arrhythmic death. The administrative censoring date was December 31, 2012, based on the study’s adjudication schedule.

In CHS, the adjudication process was composed of multiple steps. A specialized committee in CHS adjudicated the cause of death. All CHD deaths that occurred through December 31, 2006, were reviewed by a cardiologist (N.S.) to classify SCD cases. A second physician reviewed a sample of the cases, with 88% interreviewer agreement and \( \chi^2 \) value 0.74 for SCD.

**Covariates**

At baseline, participants reported information on smoking and alcohol intake, underwent a physical examination, and进行了其他相关检查。表1列出了不同SCD状态的基线特征。

### Table 1. Basic Characteristics of the Cohorts by Incident SCD Status

| Characteristic                  | ARIC Study       | CHS               |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                                | No SCD           | SCD              | No SCD          | SCD            | P value |
|                                | (n=14738)        | (n=569)          | (n=5253)        | (n=302)        |         |
| Age, y                         | 54.1 (5.8)       | 56.2 (5.6)       | <0.001          | 72.8 (5.5)     | 73.4 (5.7) | 0.06   |
| Men, n (%)                     | 6499 (44)        | 360 (63)         | <0.001          | 2181 (42)      | 177 (59) | <0.001 |
| Black, n (%)                   | 3785 (26)        | 234 (41)         | <0.001          | 782 (15)       | 57 (19)  | 0.04   |
| Education, n (%)               |                  |                  |                  |                |         |
| Below high school              | 3414 (23)        | 218 (38)         | <0.001          | 1502 (29)      | 115 (38) | <0.001 |
| High school                    | 6048 (41)        | 197 (35)         | <0.001          | 2657 (51)      | 141 (47) | 0.10   |
| College or more                | 5254 (36)        | 153 (27)         | <0.001          | 1094 (21)      | 46 (15)  | 0.10   |
| Current drinking, n (%)        | 8252 (56)        | 273 (48)         | <0.001          | 2589 (49)      | 166 (55) | 0.03   |
| Current smoking, n (%)         | 3783 (26)        | 209 (37)         | <0.001          | 616 (12)       | 44 (15)  | 0.08   |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)       | 1357 (9)         | 156 (28)         | <0.001          | 797 (15)       | 82 (27)  | <0.001 |
| Hypertension, n (%)            | 4979 (34)        | 343 (61)         | <0.001          | 3045 (58)      | 201 (67) | 0.002  |
| Coronary heart disease, n (%)  | 613 (4)          | 133 (24)         | <0.001          | 965 (18)       | 106 (35) | <0.001 |
| Heart failure, n (%)           | 663 (5)          | 62 (11)          | <0.001          | 220 (4)        | 28 (9)   | <0.001 |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 120.9 (18.6)     | 130.8 (22.5)     | <0.001          | 136.1 (21.6)   | 139.22 (22.7) | 0.01 |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg| 73.6 (11.19)     | 76.7 (12.85)     | <0.001          | 70.6 (11.3)    | 71.2 (11.9) | 0.35 |
| Body mass index, kg/m²         | 27.6 (5.3)       | 29.2 (6.0)       | <0.001          | 26.6 (4.7)     | 26.9 (4.2) | 0.35 |
| Cornell voltage, µV            | 1216 (545)       | 1488 (651)       | <0.001          | 1388 (623)     | 1556.8 (740) | <0.001 |
| LDL cholesterol, mg/dL         | 137 (39)         | 147 (39)         | <0.001          | 130 (36)       | 129 (37) | 0.59   |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL         | 52 (17)          | 46 (15)          | <0.001          | 56 (16)        | 50 (15)  | <0.001 |
| C-IMT                          |                  |                  |                  |                |         |
| Mean C-IMT, mm                 | 0.74 (0.19)      | 0.85 (0.23)      | <0.001          | 1.43 (0.56)    | 1.63 (0.65) | <0.001 |
| Maximum C-IMT, mm              | 0.99 (0.42)      | 1.19 (0.55)      | <0.001          | 1.06 (0.21)    | 1.12 (0.25) | <0.001 |
| Mean CCA-IMT, mm               | 0.66 (0.15)      | 0.74 (0.18)      | <0.001          | 1.06 (0.21)    | 1.12 (0.25) | <0.001 |
| Maximum CCA-IMT, mm            | 0.72 (0.18)      | 0.81 (0.20)      | <0.001          | 1.06 (0.21)    | 1.12 (0.25) | <0.001 |
| Presence of plaque             | 4788 (32)        | 282 (50)         | <0.001          | 2048 (39)      | 154 (51) | <0.001 |

Data are presented as mean (SD) or number (percentage). ARIC indicates Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities; CCA-IMT, common carotid artery IMT; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; C-IMT, carotid IMT; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IMT, intima-media thickness; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; and SCD, sudden cardiac death.
and provided blood pressure. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, or treatment for hypertension in the ARIC study, and as systolic blood pressure ≥130 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mm Hg, or hypertension medication use in participants with diagnosed hypertension in CHS. Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Education was categorized as advanced (completed college or more), intermediate (high school to less than college), and no or basic (less than high school). Diabetes mellitus (DM) was defined as fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL, nonfasting glucose ≥200 mg/dL, treatment for DM, or a self-reported physician diagnosis of DM. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol level was determined using enzymatic methods, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level was calculated using the Friedewald equation. In the ARIC study, prevalent CHD was defined as self-reported CHD or the presence of a previous myocardial infarction by ECG at baseline. Prevalent heart failure (HF) was defined as self-reported use of HF medications within 2 weeks (“Were any of the medications you took during the last 2 weeks for HF?”) or “manifest” HF by Gothenburg criteria. In CHS, baseline and incident CHD was defined as a history of myocardial infarction or a nonmyocardial infarction event (specifically, angina pectoris or a revascularization procedure [coronary artery bypass grafting or percutaneous coronary intervention]). Methods used to assess prevalent and incident congestive HF events have been reported previously. Cornell voltage for left ventricular hypertrophy was defined as a sum of S amplitude in V3 and R amplitude in aVL on ECG.

**Statistical Analysis**

Baseline characteristics were compared between those who developed SCD and those who did not develop SCD using χ² tests for categorical variables and Kruskal-Wallis rank test for continuous data. C-IMT was divided into quartiles (first quartile the lowest). Kaplan-Meier curves with the end point of SCD were constructed on the basis of C-IMT quartiles. A log-rank test was performed to

---

**Figure 1.** Kaplan-Meier curves with the end point of sudden cardiac death (SCD) based on carotid intima-media thickness (C-IMT) quartiles in the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities) study (A) and CHS (Cardiovascular Health Study) (B). The x axis shows analysis time (years). P<0.001 for all C-IMTs in A, and P<0.0001 in B. CCA-IMT indicates common carotid artery intima-media thickness.
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examine differences among the 4 groups. Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate associations of incident SCD with baseline C-IMT. C-IMT was treated as a continuous variable with potential nonlinearities evaluated using smoothers, such as fractional polynomials (study-specific quartiles or continuous). Categorical variables, such as presence versus absence of plaque for C-IMT, were examined. Models were adjusted for 3 sets of adjustors: model 1 included age, sex, and race-by-center terms; model 2 additionally included education, hypertension, DM, Cornell voltage, coronary heart disease, heart failure, body mass index, high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterols, current drinking, and current smoking; and model 3 additionally included time-varying adjustors from model 2. ARIC indicates Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities; CCA-IMT, common carotid artery intima-media thickness; C-IMT, carotid intima-media thickness; HR, hazard ratio; and SCD, sudden cardiac death.

| Variable | Mean C-IMT | Maximum C-IMT | Mean CCA-IMT | Maximum CCA-IMT |
|----------|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
|           | First Quartile | Second Quartile | Third Quartile | Fourth Quartile |
| Mean C-IMT | Range, mm    | 0.37–0.62    | 0.62–0.71    | 0.71–0.82        | 0.82–2.81        |
| Model 1   | Reference    | 1.58 (1.13–2.21)* | 1.88 (1.36–2.60)* | 3.36 (2.46–4.59)† |
| Model 2   | Reference    | 1.39 (0.97–1.99) | 1.50 (1.06–2.13)† | 2.08 (1.48–2.93)† |
| Model 3   | Reference    | 1.22 (0.84–1.77) | 1.16 (0.80–1.67)‡ | 1.64 (1.15–2.63)‡ |
| Maximum C-IMT | Range, mm    | 0.44–0.76    | 0.76–0.87    | 0.87–1.07        | 1.07–5.70        |
| Model 1   | Reference    | 1.51 (1.08–2.10)† | 2.03 (1.48–2.80)† | 3.13 (2.28–4.28)† |
| Model 2   | Reference    | 1.41 (0.98–2.01)‡ | 1.57 (1.11–2.23)‡ | 2.13 (1.51–3.00)‡ |
| Model 3   | Reference    | 1.33 (0.92–1.94)‡ | 1.35 (0.94–1.95)† | 1.71 (1.19–2.45)§ |
| Mean CCA-IMT | Range, mm    | 0.30–0.57    | 0.57–0.64    | 0.64–0.74        | 0.74–2.57        |
| Model 1   | Reference    | 1.41 (1.01–1.97)† | 2.02 (1.48–2.76)‡ | 2.80 (2.06–3.80)‡ |
| Model 2   | Reference    | 1.23 (0.86–1.75)‡ | 1.69 (1.21–2.35)‡ | 1.80 (1.29–2.51)‡ |
| Model 3   | Reference    | 1.13 (0.78–1.64)‡ | 1.52 (1.07–2.15)‡ | 1.49 (1.05–2.11)‡ |
| Maximum CCA-IMT | Range, mm    | 0.34–0.60    | 0.60–0.68    | 0.68–0.79        | 0.79–3.55        |
| Model 1   | Reference    | 1.37 (0.97–1.92)‡ | 2.02 (1.47–2.76)‡ | 3.00 (2.21–4.06)‡ |
| Model 2   | Reference    | 1.17 (0.81–1.69)‡ | 1.62 (1.16–2.28)‡ | 2.03 (1.46–2.84)‡ |
| Model 3   | Reference    | 1.13 (0.78–1.64)‡ | 1.52 (1.07–2.15)‡ | 1.49 (1.05–2.11)‡ |

Table 2. HRs and 95% CIs of SCD Based on C-IMT Quartiles and Presence of Plaque in the ARIC Study

RESULTS

Basic Characteristics of the Cohorts

In the ARIC study, among 15307 Black and White participants at baseline, 569 participants had SCD during a median of 23.5 years of follow-up (1.81 cases per 1000 person-years). In CHS, among 5555 Black and White participants at baseline, 302 participants developed SCD during a median of 13.1 years of follow-up (4.64 cases per 1000 person-years). Baseline characteristics of the cohorts by incident SCD status are shown in Table 1. Those who developed SCD were more likely to be older, men, Black, smokers, and obese and more likely to have history analyses were performed separately in the ARIC study and CHS.

The 95% CIs were constructed; $P<0.05$ was considered significant. All statistical analysis was performed using Stata 14.0 (StataCorp, LP, College Station, TX).
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of DM, CHD, and HF compared with those without SCD during follow-up. They had lower high-density lipoprotein, higher low-density lipoprotein, and higher Cornell voltage.

C-IMT and Risk of SCD

Figure 1 shows Kaplan-Meier curves with the end point of SCD based on C-IMT quartiles (Figure 1A in the ARIC study and Figure 1B in CHS). Participants with thicker C-IMT had a shorter time free from SCD compared with participants with thinner C-IMT (P<0.001 for all C-IMTs in the ARIC study and P<0.0001 in CHS). Tables 2 and 3 show hazard ratios (HRs) of C-IMT with SCD in the ARIC study and CHS. In the ARIC study (Table 2), the associations of C-IMTs with SCD were significant and remained significant even after adjusting for other risk factors: C-IMT was associated

| Table 3. HRs and 95% CIs of SCD Based on C-IMT Quartiles and Presence of Plaque in CHS |
| Variable | First Quartile | Second Quartile | Third Quartile | Fourth Quartile |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Maximum C-IMT | Range, mm | 0.57–0.99 | 0.99–1.31 | 1.31–1.77 | 1.77–4.26 |
| Model 1 Reference | 1.33 (0.92–1.93) | 1.61 (1.12–2.31) | 1.93 (1.35–2.77) | 2.51 (1.77–3.56) |
| Model 2 Reference | 1.26 (0.87–1.83) | 1.39 (0.96–2.00) | 1.75 (1.22–2.51) |  |
| Model 3 Reference | 1.20 (0.83–1.74) | 1.28 (0.89–1.85) | 1.16–1.59 |  |
| Maximum CCA-IMT | Range, mm | 0.61–0.92 | 0.92–1.03 | 1.03–1.16 | 1.16–2.59 |
| Model 1 Reference | 1.16 (0.81–1.67) | 1.40 (0.98–1.99) | 1.93 (1.37–2.72) |  |
| Model 2 Reference | 1.10 (0.76–1.58) | 1.23 (0.86–1.75) | 1.50 (1.06–2.14) |  |
| Model 3 Reference | 1.05 (0.73–1.51) | 1.15 (0.81–1.64) | 1.36 (0.95–1.93) |  |
| Plaque | Absent | Reference | 1.67 (1.32–2.11) |  |
| Model 2 Reference | 1.42 (1.12–1.80) |  |
| Model 3 Reference | 1.32 (1.04–1.68) |  |

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, and race-by-center terms.
Model 2: model 1 plus education, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, Cornell voltage, coronary heart disease, heart failure, body mass index, high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, current drinking, and current smoking.
Model 3: model 2 plus time-varying adjustors from model 2. CCA-IMT indicates common carotid artery intima-media thickness; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; C-IMT, carotid intima-media thickness; HR, hazard ratio; and SCD, sudden cardiac death.

*P<0.01.
†P<0.001.
‡P<0.05.
§P<0.005.

Adjusted for age, sex, race-by-center terms, education, hypertension, DM, Cornell voltage, CHD, heart failure, body mass index, high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, current drinking, and current smoking. ARIC indicates Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities; CCA-IMT, common carotid artery intima-media thickness; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; and C-IMT, carotid intima-media thickness.
with risk of SCD after adjustment for traditional risk factors (HRs for fourth quartile versus first quartile [95% CIs]: 2.08 [1.48–2.93], 2.13 [1.51–3.00], 1.80 [1.29–2.51], and 2.03 [1.46–2.84] for mean C-IMT, maximum C-IMT, mean CCA-IMT, and maximum CCA-IMT, respectively). Presence of plaque was associated with risk of SCD (HR, 1.55; 95% CI, 1.28–1.86; P <0.001). When time-dependent adjustors were added to model 2, these associations remained significant: HRs for fourth quartile versus first quartile (95% CIs): 1.64 (1.15–2.63), 1.71 (1.19–2.45), 1.49 (1.05–2.11), and 1.49 (1.05–2.11) for mean C-IMT, maximum C-IMT, mean CCA-IMT, and maximum CCA-IMT, respectively.

In CHS (Table 3), higher C-IMT was associated with risk of SCD after adjustment for traditional risk factors: HRs for fourth quartile (95% CIs) were 1.93 (1.35–2.77) and 1.50 (1.06–2.14) for maximum C-IMT and CCA-IMT, respectively. Presence of plaque was associated with risk of SCD (HR, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.12–1.80). Maximum internal C-IMT and presence of plaque remained significant after further adjustment by incident CHD and HF as time-dependent variables.

The associations of C-IMTs with SCD were qualitatively consistent across all subgroups in the ARIC study and CHS (Figure 2 and Tables 4 and 5). There was interaction between age and C-IMT in the ARIC study (Table 4). In participants without CHD or HF at baseline, higher C-IMT values were associated with higher SCD risk compared with those with lower C-IMT values.

The association between C-IMT and non-SCD was examined. C-IMT was associated with non-SCD. The associations remained significant after time-dependent adjustors were added (Tables 6 and 7).

**DISCUSSION**

In 2 biracial community-based cohorts, one middle-aged and the other elderly, subclinical atherosclerosis,
≈50% of all deaths associated with heart disease are the dominant cause of SCD in the United States and subclinical atherosclerosis to SCD. As CHD remains the risk factor for SCD, CHD has been shown to be associated with risk of SCD in a general population of middle-aged men in Finland. Although the link between subclinical atherosclerosis and SCD appears to be most likely mediated by atherosclerotic process, the association will be multifactorial.

Our results have potential public health and clinical implications. As subclinical atherosclerosis seems to play a role in SCD, there may be public health benefit in primary prevention of SCD at a community level: by detecting the atherosclerotic process earlier and offering primary prevention measures, such as healthy lifestyle and risk factor modification, in a community, these primary prevention measures might be able to decrease incidence of SCD. Clinically, detecting subclinical atherosclerosis by C-IMT could potentially help healthcare professionals provide patients with patient-oriented care. As C-IMT is shown to be associated with SCD, incorporating C-IMT into SCD risk stratification scheme could also be considered.

The strengths of the study include its prospective population-based design, biracial population, large sample size with a long follow-up, and large number of incident SCD cases. Limitations of the study merit consideration. First, our definition of SCD was based on adjudicated fatal CHD. Other causes of SCD, such as inherited rhythm disorders, might not have been detected by our SCD definition. Second, we used single measurements of C-IMT at baseline. There might have been serial changes in C-IMT values over time. However, our focus was to see if these subclinical atherosclerosis measures were associated with incident SCD and not on serial changes of these variables over time. Last, there remains a possibility of residual confounding, although we adjusted for variables that were known to be associated with SCD.

### Table 5. Adjusted HRs of SCD by C-IMTs (Above Versus Below Median) Across Demographic and Clinical Subgroups in CHS

| Variable                  | Maximum C-IMT | Maximum CCA-IMT |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Age                       | 0.294         | 0.217           |
| Below median              | 1.70 (1.18–2.46) | 1.13 (0.80–1.60) |
| Above median              | 1.31 (0.96–1.81) | 1.51 (1.09–2.10) |
| Sex                       | 0.709         | 0.966           |
| Women                     | 1.38 (1.00–1.90) | 1.30 (0.94–1.79) |
| Men                       | 1.51 (1.06–2.17) | 1.28 (0.90–1.83) |
| Race                      | 0.397         | 0.655           |
| White                     | 1.77 (1.03–3.05) | 1.46 (0.81–2.64) |
| Black                     | 1.37 (1.05–1.79) | 1.26 (0.96–1.64) |
| CHD                       | 0.016         | 0.088           |
| No                        | 0.96 (0.64–1.43) | 0.98 (0.66–1.45) |
| Yes                       | 1.75 (1.30–2.35) | 1.49 (1.11–2.02) |
| HF                        | 0.966         | 0.612           |
| No                        | 1.41 (0.59–3.36) | 1.07 (0.50–2.30) |
| Yes                       | 1.44 (1.11–1.85) | 1.31 (1.02–1.70) |
| Diabetes mellitus         | 0.271         | 0.174           |
| No                        | 1.81 (1.12–2.94) | 1.74 (1.05–2.90) |
| Yes                       | 1.33 (1.01–1.76) | 1.17 (0.89–1.55) |
| Hypertension              | 0.457         | 0.394           |
| No                        | 1.54 (1.14–2.08) | 1.39 (1.03–1.88) |
| Yes                       | 1.28 (0.86, 1.90) | 1.12 (0.76, 1.68) |
| Obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²)  | 0.678         | 0.838           |
| No                        | 1.30 (0.77–2.20) | 1.22 (0.71–2.10) |
| Yes                       | 1.48 (1.12–1.94) | 1.30 (0.99–1.70) |

Age: median=72 years in CHS.
BMI indicates body mass index; CCA-IMT, common carotid artery intima-media thickness; CHD, coronary heart disease; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; C-IMT, carotid intima-media thickness; HF, heart failure; HR, hazard ratio; and SCD, sudden cardiac death.
In conclusion, subclinical atherosclerosis, measured by C-IMT, was associated with risk of SCD in 2 biracial community-based cohorts. These results may suggest importance of subclinical atherosclerosis in SCD risk and lead to early therapeutic interventions to prevent SCD in the future.

### Table 6. HRs and 95% CIs of Non-SCD Based on C-IMT Quartiles and Presence of Plaque in the ARIC Study

| Variable | First Quartile | Second Quartile | Third Quartile | Fourth Quartile |
|----------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Mean C-IMT | Reference | 0.37–0.62 | 0.62–0.71 | 0.71–0.82 | 0.82–2.81 |
| Model 1 | Reference | 1.17 (0.78–1.76) | 1.69 (1.16–2.47) | 3.03 (2.12–4.35) |
| Model 2 | Reference | 1.06 (0.68–1.66) | 1.19 (0.78–1.81) | 1.71 (1.14–2.55) |
| Model 3 | Reference | 1.00 (0.62–1.62) | 1.17 (0.75–1.63) | 1.58 (1.03–2.42) |
| Maximum C-IMT | Reference | 0.44–0.76 | 0.76–0.87 | 0.87–1.07 | 1.07–5.70 |
| Model 1 | Reference | 0.91 (0.61–1.34) | 1.42 (1.00–2.03) | 2.54 (1.81–3.57) |
| Model 2 | Reference | 0.82 (0.53–1.28) | 1.09 (0.79–1.61) | 1.53 (1.04–2.25) |
| Model 3 | Reference | 0.90 (0.56–1.43) | 1.09 (0.71–1.67) | 1.52 (1.01–2.30) |
| Mean CCA-IMT | Reference | 0.30–0.57 | 0.57–0.64 | 0.64–0.74 | 0.74–2.57 |
| Model 1 | Reference | 1.28 (0.85–1.92) | 1.85 (1.27–2.69) | 2.90 (2.01–4.17) |
| Model 2 | Reference | 1.11 (0.71–1.75) | 1.50 (0.98–2.29) | 1.88 (1.25–2.84) |
| Model 3 | Reference | 1.03 (0.63–1.70) | 1.47 (0.93–2.32) | 1.85 (1.19–2.89) |
| Maximum CCA-IMT | Reference | 0.34–0.60 | 0.60–0.68 | 0.68–0.79 | 0.79–3.55 |
| Model 1 | Reference | 1.19 (0.78–1.79) | 1.92 (1.30–2.80) | 2.95 (2.05–4.25) |
| Model 2 | Reference | 0.99 (0.63–1.56) | 1.44 (0.95–2.19) | 1.85 (1.23–2.78) |
| Model 3 | Reference | 0.93 (0.56–1.53) | 1.44 (0.92–2.26) | 1.85 (1.19–2.86) |
| Plaque | Absent | 1.83 (1.50–2.22) | 2.15 (1.76–2.62) | 2.63 (2.12–3.26) | 3.07 (2.46–3.85) |
| Present | | | | |

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, and race-by-center terms.
Model 2: model 1 plus education, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, Cornell voltage, coronary heart disease, heart failure, body mass index, high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, current drinking, and current smoking.
Model 3: model 2 plus time-varying adjustors from model 2. ARIC indicates Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities; CCA-IMT, common carotid artery intima-media thickness; C-IMT, carotid intima-media thickness; HR, hazard ratio; and SCD, sudden cardiac death.

### Table 7. HRs and 95% CIs of Non-SCD Based on C-IMT Quartiles and Presence of Plaque in CHS

| Variable | First Quartile | Second Quartile | Third Quartile | Fourth Quartile |
|----------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Maximum C-IMT | Reference | 0.57–0.99 | 0.99–1.31 | 1.31–1.77 | 1.77–4.26 |
| Model 1 | Reference | 1.07 (0.81–1.41) | 1.54 (1.20–1.96) | 2.80 (2.20–3.56) |
| Model 2 | Reference | 1.04 (0.79–1.37) | 1.38 (1.06–1.78) | 2.12 (1.65–2.71) |
| Model 3 | Reference | 0.94 (0.71–1.24) | 1.18 (0.91–1.53) | 1.68 (1.31–2.15) |
| Maximum CCA-IMT | Reference | 0.61–0.92 | 0.92–1.03 | 1.03–1.16 | 1.16–2.59 |
| Model 1 | Reference | 1.37 (1.04–1.80) | 1.81 (1.39–2.35) | 2.59 (2.00–3.35) |
| Model 2 | Reference | 1.31 (0.99–1.72) | 1.56 (1.21–2.05) | 2.04 (1.57–2.64) |
| Model 3 | Reference | 1.16 (0.88–1.52) | 1.35 (1.04–1.76) | 1.61 (1.24–2.09) |
| Plaque | Absent | 1.83 (1.50–2.22) | 2.15 (1.76–2.62) | 2.63 (2.12–3.26) | 3.07 (2.46–3.85) |
| Present | | | | |

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, and race-by-center terms.
Model 2: model 1 plus education, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, Cornell voltage, coronary heart disease, heart failure, body mass index, high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, current drinking, and current smoking.
Model 3: model 2 plus time-varying adjustors from model 2. CHS indicates Cardiovascular Health Study; C-IMT, carotid intima-media thickness; HR, hazard ratio; and SCD, sudden cardiac death.

*P<0.01.
**P<0.005.
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