Consumer Perceived Risk, Attitude and Online Shopping Behaviour; Empirical Evidence from Malaysia
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Abstract. The development of e-commerce has increased the popularity of online shopping worldwide. In Malaysia, it was reported that online shopping market size was RM1.8 billion in 2013 and it is estimated to reach RM5 billion by 2015. However, online shopping was rated 11th out of 15 purposes of using internet in 2012. Consumers’ perceived risks of online shopping becomes a hot topic to research as it will directly influence users’ attitude towards online purchasing, and their attitude will have significant impact to the online purchasing behaviour. The conceptualization of consumers’ perceived risk, attitude and online shopping behaviour of this study provides empirical evidence in the study of consumer online behaviour. Four types of risks - product risk, financial, convenience and non-delivery risks - were examined in term of their effect on consumers’ online attitude. A web-based survey was employed, and a total of 300 online shoppers of a Malaysia largest online marketplace participated in this study. The findings indicated that product risk, financial and non-delivery risks are hazardous and negatively affect the attitude of online shoppers. Convenience risk was found to have positive effect on consumers’ attitude, denoting that online buyers of this site trusted the online seller and they encountered less troublesome with the site. It also implies that consumers did not really concern on non-convenience aspect of online shopping, such as handling of returned products and examine the quality of products featured in the online seller website. The online buyers’ attitude was significantly and positively affects their online purchasing behaviour. The findings provide useful model for measuring and managing consumers’ perceived risk in internet-based transaction to increase their involvement in online shopping and to reduce their cognitive dissonance in the e-commerce setting.
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1.0 Introduction

The growth of Internet has increased the popularity of online shopping [1] and it is the third most popular Internet activity, following e-mail using/instant messaging and web browsing [2]. Online shopping allows people to buy anything at any time, thus making it the most flexible way of
purchasing electronically. It was reported that Malaysia's online shopping market size was RM1.8 billion in 2013 and it is estimated to reach RM5 billion by 2015 [3]. According to Malaysian Communication and Multimedia Commission [4], online shopping was ranked in the 11th position (of 15 purposes) why Malaysian access internet. These data provide two implication in the study of e-commerce or specifically consumer online shopping behavior; (i) the growth of online market size is very promising in the Malaysia's e-commerce landscape; and (ii) the online purchasing is not a major factor driving Malaysian to access the internet, thus posing questions how to improve its ranking, as well as to research factors hindering them to do online shopping. The former provides huge market growth for online shopping while the latter drives many researchers to examine on how consumers' perceived risk should be managed to allow more buyers committing in online purchasing. Thus, consumers' online shopping behavior should be researched in relation to their attitude and perceived risks as this will lead to the more Malaysian doing online transaction and this will give significant impact to Malaysia e-commerce development.

In marketing literature, it was recognized that risk perceptions directly affect purchasing and purchase intention, i.e. when consumers’ perceived risk is high, the possibility of consumer to buy or to repurchase online will be low. It shows that perceived risk will affect the attitude of online purchasing [5]. Negative effects from the perception of risk have also been found to have a negative impact on shoppers' attitudes towards online shopping [6, 7 and 8]. Previous researches indicated that attitude towards online shopping is a significant predictor of making online purchases [9]. Obviously, risk can be real and as long as it is real it will affect consumers’ purchasing behavior [10]. Then, consumers’ perceived risk of online shopping must be continuously examined to monitor the extent to which they exert negative impact to consumers’ online attitude and shopping behavior as well as to avoid them experiencing post-purchased dissonance. Hence, consumers’ perceived risk should be continuously researched so that they can be proactively managed and reduces, thus contributing to the increase in online shopping. Therefore, this research is carried out to answer to the two research questions; (i) Do perceived risks affect attitude of Malaysian customers in online shopping? and (ii) does consumers’ attitude affects their online shopping behavior?

2.0 Literature Review

In general term, online shopping is a process of buying products or services through Internet. Online shopping involves a user accessing internet to search, select, buy, use, and dispose of goods and services, in satisfying his or her needs and wants. In accessing and making online buying, individuals encounter a host of risk. In fact, consumers perceive a higher level of risk when purchasing through Internet compared with traditional retail transactions [11]. According to Ko et al. [12], perceived risk is the possibility of loss in achieving a favorable outcome while consumers doing online shopping, indicating individuals feeling of uncertainty with the possibility of negative outcome in their online purchasing.

Since Bauer [13] introduced risk-taking behavior in marketing literature as a possible measure of consumer attitude towards a purchase, individuals’ perceived risk has been defined in several ways, with considerable debate concerning the merits of each point of view [14]. For example, Cultural Theory or Cultural Theory of Risk [15] relates consumers’ perceived risk to cultural adherence and social learning. According to Wildavsky and Dake [15] the cultural theory of risk is used to “predict and explain what kind of people will perceive which potential hazards to be how dangerous”. Hence, the variables of perceived risk are linked to the attitude of the consumers. Thus, to study the types of risks based on the cultural of Malaysian, consumers’ perceived risks towards online attitude is included to the research framework.

Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) was developed by Ajzen [16] and it is originated from the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA). Generally, TPB is used to forecast a person’s intention towards online shopping. TPB relates the conceptually of attitude, subjective norms and perceived behavioral control toward the behavior intentions of consumers. In this theory, attitude is determined by a
behavioral belief about performing a particular behavior and it is one of the three factors in TPB’s model. TPB theory stated that customer’s behavioral attitude will directly influence the intention of online shopping. Past researchers, such as Pavlou and Fygenson [17], examined consumer’s adoption of e-commerce by applying TPB and they found that the TPB was able to predict attitude and behavioral intention of consumers. Thus, attitude and online shopping behavior are added to the framework of this research.

Generally, there are many types of perceived risk experiencing by consumers in online shopping. Online shoppers reported different types of fear when they do online shopping. The levels of fears are listed in Table 1 [18].

| Rank | Type of risk     | Observations                                                                                           |
|------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Financial risk   | The highest level of fear of respondents is the chances of suffering a financial loss due to fraud of credit card. |
| 2    | Performance risk | The second level of fear is that the product performance is not as expected.                           |
| 3    | Time risk        | Similar to performance risk, fear of consumers about the product doesn’t perform as described and caused the longer time of returning and waiting processes for the product to be delivered. |
| 4    | Delivery risk    | Fear of consumers about the delivery will not be performed on time or that the product is damaged during the process of delivery. |
| 5    | Privacy risk     | Fear of consumers about the privacy of their personal data and credit card details which may be misused by the seller. |
| 6    | Psychological risk | Fear of consumers regarding the doubts of e-Transactions, especially when the product is expensive or urgently needed. |
| 7    | Social risk      | The lowest level of fear goes to social risk. It is about the fear of fraud transactions which may cause their referent groups (family members of friends) to blame them for making a bad decision. |

Review of past researches on consumers’ perceived risk of online shopping (2003–2012) is presented in Table 2. This review showed that, among the six types of perceived risk, four risks - financial risk, product risk, convenience risk and non-delivery risk - were frequently used in the study of risks in electronic mediated environment. Therefore, these risks are proposed as independent variables in the conceptual framework of this study.

| Dimensions of perceived risk | Swinyard and Smith (2003) | Hanjun et al. (2004) | Naiyi (2004) | Forsythe et al. (2006) | Javadi et al. (2012) | Zhang et al. (2012) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Researchers                 | Financial risk              | √                    | √            | √                      | √                    | √                   |
|                             | Product/ performance Risk   | √                    | √            | √                      | √                    | √                   |
|                             | Social Risk                 | √                    | √            | √                      | √                    | √                   |
|                             | Convenience Risk            | √                    | √            | √                      | √                    | √                   |
|                             | Non-Delivery Risk           | √                    | √            | √                      | √                    | √                   |
|                             | Privacy Risk                | √                    | √            | √                      | √                    | √                   |
|                             | Financial Risk              | √                    | √            | √                      | √                    | √                   |

**Product risk**

Online purchasing is a non-store shopping, so it is difficult for consumers to examine quality of physical goods because they can only rely on limited information, sketches, visuals, graphics, and pictures shown on the computer screen. As a consequence, the purchased products may not function as they are claimed to be. Product risk is generally about a purchased product may fail to function as originally expected [19]. It is related to the product performance risk, which is a loss for customers when a brand or product does not perform as expected due to the shoppers’ inability to accurately
evaluate the quality of the product online [20]. Several studies have shown that product or performance risk, or the fear of product not functioning or performing as expected is increasing in online environment [21]. In short, the incapability of touching, feeling, testing or trying the products before they are purchased are the primary concerns when purchasing online, and those concerns will increase the product or performance risk perceived [22].

**Convenience risk**

Convenience risk is about consumer perceptions of risks that the purchased product will take a lot of time and effort to repair and adjust before it can be used [23]. When consumers’ perceived convenience risk is high, they feel that it is very troublesome for them to perform certain online purchasing behaviour.

**Financial risk**

Consumers may feel worry about the online security with respect to using their credit cards and disclosing personal information. So, even though customers order goods online, but majority of them prefer alternative method of payments, such as cash at delivery, bank account transfers and Pay Pal other than using their credit cards. In other situation, consumers also fear that certain e-commerce websites are not secured enough and need constant reassurance. Previous Studies indicated that fear of credit card fraud represents one of the most invoked concerns when buying online [22].

**Non-delivery risk**

Non-delivery risk is one of the biggest worried when customers decided to buy products online. Dan et al., [24] defined non-delivery risk as the potential fail of delivery which caused by goods lost, goods damaged and they were sent to the wrong place after confirmation of online order. According to Naiyi [25], consumers are concerns with the delivery process, for examples the product may get damaged during transportation, delivered to a wrong address, or in some cases, delayed. According to Claudia [18], consumers fear about delivery will be delayed due to various circumstances, such as the delivery company won’t deliver the purchased products within the time frame agreed with customers. The feeling of fear that the products may be damaged during handling and transporting them to the consumers is also part of non-delivery risk.

**Consumer Attitude and Online Shopping Behaviour**

Attitude in term of online shopping is defined as consumer’s positive or negative feelings when they are about to make the purchasing decision [26]. Attitude of customer is frequently related to emotion and according to the model of attitude change and behavior (e.g., Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975, [27], consumer attitudes are affected by their purchasing intention. The relationship between intention and behavior is based on the assumption that consumers attempt to make rational decisions based on information available to them. Therefore, an individual’s behavioral intention to perform or not to perform certain behavior is the immediate determinant of that person’s actual behavior [28].

Mitchell [29] suggested that perceived risk is a measure of expected dissatisfaction with a buying decision, based on the purchase goals of the buyers, and therefore, it is a powerful index for explaining consumer behavior since consumers are more often motivated to minimize potential failure than to pursue purchasing success. The reason is because perceived risk will directly influence customer’s attitude and perceptions. Barnes et al., [30] stated that perceived risk reduces the willingness of consumers to buy goods over the internet. Specifically, previous research indicated that attitude and purchase intention is negatively influenced by the perceived risk associated with the purchase [31]. If perceived risk is high then customers will have negative attitude [30].

Attitude is predicted to have a direct significant impact on online shopping behavior based on the studies of Chai and Pavlou [32], and George [33]. Thus, for those who have a positive attitude about online shopping will drive them to purchase online. Previous researches indicated that attitude
towards online shopping is a significant predictor of making online purchases [9] and purchasing behavior [9 and 33].

Online shopping behavior is a type of attitude which involves consumers browsing websites to search, select and purchase goods and services, in fulfilling their needs and wants. It involves the reaction and choice of consumer decision making. Pavlou and Fygenson [17] stated that consumer intentions to use the Internet as a shopping channel is a significant predictor of consumers’ actual participation in online transactions. Generally, positive online shopping behavior will lead to the effectiveness of e-commerce transactions, such as online shopping.

3.0 Methodology

Review on the development of online shopping in Malaysia indicated that there is a need to study on how consumers’ perceived risk and its effect on their attitude as well as the impact of consumers’ attitude on their online shopping behavior. Generally, the conceptual model of this study was based on the integration of cultural theory or cultural theory of risk [15] and TPB [16]. In this study, perceived risk is an independent variable, attitude (dependent variable/independent variable) and online shopping behavior (dependent variable). A questionnaire was used to measure the following variables of the study:

- Financial risk (three items), product risk (three items), and convenience risk (four items) were developed based on the work of Swinyard & Smith [34], Forsythe et al. [35] and Javadi et al. [36]. Three items of non-delivery risk were adopted from Forsythe et al. [35].
- Consumer attitude with three items used was adopted from George [33].
- Four items of Online Shopping Behavior used were adopted from the works of Karayanni [37] and Forsythe et al. [35].

All the questions were measured using five point Likert scales of 1= Strongly disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Neither Agree Nor Disagree, 4=Agree, and 5= Strongly agree.

The population of this research is the online shopping consumers of a Malaysia’s largest online marketplace for online shoppers. This online seller is ranked 1st in Top 30 Local Websites accessed by Malaysians. In addition, for the Top 30 Combined Websites (International and Local) accessed by Malaysians, this site was ranked 3rd after Facebook.com and Google.com.my. The result of this ranking was collected from 25.57 million unique browsers on websites in Malaysia as reported by Malaysian Digital Association (MDA) in February 2012.

In multivariate research, the sample size required should be 5 to 10 times of variables for 10% and 5% margin error [38]. In this study, the total number of questions is 20, thus a minimum of 200 questionnaires are needed for 5% margin error and 100 questionnaires are required for 10% margin error. Using convenience sampling procedure, 300 completed questionnaires were collected. The reason of using convenience sampling is because it is a method of selecting volunteering respondents by depending on their availability and willingness to fill-up the questionnaire. At the same time, it is easy and proper for the researchers to access the respondents through Facebook page of the online seller site. The researcher had used web-based survey by creating the questionnaires using Google Form at https://docs.google.com/forms/d/122iVGBP9pA-ThhlVFVeIFHZ0uDF3rvVZicvsv-ZVw/formResponse. The link of questionnaires was shared on the social media (Facebook) page of this site in order to find the right target of respondents. The reason of choosing social media is because currently the fan page of this site in Facebook is liked by 1,129,219 people. In addition, a filter question was used to confirm whether the respondents have the experience of online shopping at this site. In order to make sure the respondents are the online shopping consumers of this site, only respondents who are ever experience shopping at this site can proceed with the whole questionnaire.

The data collected was kept automatically in Google spreadsheet and finally exported to Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) for the process of analysis.
4.0 Result and Analysis

For sampling adequacy, the values of Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) for Perceived Risks, Attitude and Online Shopping Behavior were 0.726, 0.814 and 0.690 respectively (Table 3 and 4). The KMO measures of the sampling adequacy test and Bartlett’s test of Sphericity were performed to confirm the suitability of the data for factor analysis. A high KMO value (close unity or > 0.6) and small p-value for the Bartlett’s test (p-value < 0.05) were desired [38]. Since all KMO values were above 0.5 supported by Bartlett’s test of Sphericity of 0.00, the factor analysis was then performed. Factor analysis is a process by which large clusters and grouping of data are replaced and represented by factors in the equation. It was applied as a data reduction or structure detection method, which can be used to identify the hidden dimensions or constructs which may or may not apparent from direct analysis. The results of Total Variance Explained of Confirmatory Factor Analysis indicated that for perceived Risk, the cumulative percentage was 71.499% (Table 3). Four factors, namely product risk, financial, convenience and non-delivery risks were identified and all items in these risks were retained and accepted based on the results of component matrix with factor loading of more than 0.5.

Table 3: Rotated Factor Matrix of Customers’ Perceived Risk (PR – Product Risk, CR – Convenience Risk, FR – Financial Risk and NR – Non-delivery risk) of Online Purchasing

| Code | Variables                                                                 | PR     | CR    | FR    | NR    | Total variance explained by these factors | Complexity of H0 | Significance |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| PR1  | I will get what I ordered through this site                              | -.087  | .013  | .962  | .071  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| PR2  | I will not receive malfunctioning merchandise from this site             | -.055  | -.010 | .990  | .041  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| PR3  | It is easy to judge the quality of merchandise over this site            | .226   | .013  | .836  | .000  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| CR1  | I can examine the product if I shop at this site                         | .785   | -.004 | .167  | -.046 | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| CR2  | If I shop at this site, I can wait till the product arrives              | .860   | -.040 | -.024 | -.031 | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| CR3  | It is easy to cancel orders with this site                              | .858   | -.096 | -.023 | -.038 | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| CR4  | I won’t have problem in returning product if I shop at this site         | .646   | .038  | -.066 | -.338 | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| FR1  | I feel that my credit-card details won’t be compromised and misused if I shop at this site | -.076  | .186  | .145  | .770  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| FR2  | I will not get overcharged if I shop online as this site has credit-card info. | -.016  | .022  | .063  | .871  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| FR3  | I feel my personal information given for transaction to this site will not compromise to third party, | -.194  | .103  | -.092 | .704  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| NR1  | I will receive the product ordered through this site                     | .005   | .849  | -.025 | .167  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| NR2  | I prefer this site because of availability of reliable & well-equipped shipper. | -.030  | .899  | -.015 | .050  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |
| NR3  | Products purchased at this site will be timely delivered                | -.071  | .870  | .057  | .081  | 71.499%                                    | .004             | 0.001       |

For attitude, the cumulative percentage of the total variance explained was 69.470%, and all items were retained in one dimension, i.e. consumer attitude, with factor loading of >0.5 (Table 4). For online shopping behavior, only one dimension has been extracted with total variance explained of 53.890 (Table 4). All of its items were accepted based on the results of component matrix with factor loading >0.5.

Further, the researchers performed reliability test for each dimension of perceived risk, attitude and online shopping behaviour. Cronbach’s Alpha was often used for reliability coefficient assessing the consistency of the entire scale. Hair et al. [38,41] suggested that reliability estimated between 0.6 and 0.7 represent the lower limit of acceptability for reliability estimation. Cronbach’s alpha value that is greater than 0.6 is considered as sufficient. In this study, the results of Cronbach’s alpha values for all the dimensions are more than 0.7 (Product risk – 0.839; financial risk – 0.720; convenience risk – 0.785).
0.812; non-delivery risk –0.854; attitude – 0.777; and online shopping behaviour – 0.722). Thus, construct of all the components used in this study are deemed reliable and valid for further analysis.

Table 4: Rotated Factor Matrix of Attitude (A) and Online Shopping Behavior (OSB)

| Code | Variables                                                                 | Factor       | Code | Variables                                                                 | Factor       |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| A1   | Shopping at this site is easy                                            | .781         | OSB1 | I shop at this site as I can shop in privacy like at home                 | 0.732        |
| A2   | Shopping at this site is fun and I enjoy it                              | .858         | OSB2 | I shop at this site because it provides me a broader selection of products.| 0.853        |
| A3   | I prefer to buy at this site because it provides me with quality of information | .688         | OSB3 | This site gives me better control on my expenses.                         | 0.616        |
|      |                                                                           |              | OSB4 | Shopping at this site gives facility of easy price comparison.            | 0.716        |

Total variation explained by this factor 69.470% 53.890%

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy 0.814 0.690

Barlett’s Test of Sphericity:

| Approx. Chi-Square | D.F. | Significance |
|--------------------|------|--------------|
| 1679.654           | 78   | 0.000        |

| Approx. Chi-Square | D.F. | Significance |
|--------------------|------|--------------|
| 243.268            | 6    | 0.000        |

To examine the effect of consumers’ perceived risks on their attitude, multiple regressions were performed, and the result is presented in Table 5. Multiple regressions is a statistical technique to form a mathematical regression which primarily wanted to relate a dependent variable (Attitude) to more than one independent variables (consumers’ perceived risk of online shopping). The result indicated that product risk (β -.161, t -3.055, Sig. 0.002), financial risk (β -.141, t -2.524, Sig. 0.012) and non-delivery risk (β -.110, t -2.035, Sig. 0.043) negatively affect attitude of online consumers. However, convenience risk was found to have positive effect on the attitude of consumers (β 0.304, t 5.577, Sig. 0.000).

Table 5: Results of the effects of Perceived Risk (Product risk (P), Convenience risk (C), Financial risk (F), Non-delivery risk (N)) on Attitude (A)

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|------|-------------------------|
|       | B                           | Std. Error                | Beta |      | Tolerance | VIF |
| (Constant) | 3.624                       | .288                      | 12.593 | .000 | .988 | 1.012 |
| P  | -.141                        | .046                      | -.161 | -3.055 | .002       | .930 | 1.076 |
| C  | .292                         | .052                      | .304  | 5.577  | .000       | .880 | 1.137 |
| F  | -.142                        | .056                      | -.141 | -2.524 | .012       | .944 | 1.059 |
| N  | -.093                        | .045                      | -.110 | -2.035 | .043       |       |

Dependent Variable: Attitude (A)

F Statistics = 16.888
R Square(R²) = 0.186

It was found that attitude of consumers positively affect their online shopping behaviour (β 4.27, t -8.161, Sig. 0.000). Result of linear regression, as shown in Table 6, indicated that consumers’ online shopping behaviour was significantly and positively affected by their attitude towards online purchasing.

Table 6: Results on the effect of Attitude on Online shopping behaviour

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|------|-------------------------|
|       | B                           | Std. Error                | Beta |      | Tolerance | VIF |
| (Constant) | 2.138                       | .200                      | 10.684 | .000 |       |   |
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5.0 Discussions

Theoretically, this research has confirmed three important findings. Firstly, product risk, financial risk, and non-delivery are negatively affected consumers’ attitude of online shopping. This finding is consistent with several authors who found that consumer shopping behavior on the Internet, attitude toward usage behavior and intention to adopt e-commerce were affected by perceived risk [39 and 40]. According to Bhatnagar et al., [20], the likelihood of purchasing on the Internet decreases with increases in product risk and this view is supported by this research. The negative effects of perceived risk have also been found to have a negative impact on shoppers’ attitudes towards online shopping [7 and 8]. Financial risk with highest beta coefficient (β) -0.141 has the strongest negative influence affecting consumer attitude. Thus, online sellers should put more effort on minimising financial risk in order to influence positive consumer attitude to do online shopping with them. The perceived financial risk could be reduced by protecting customers’ spending pattern and personal information, avoiding misuse of credit card details and eliminating overcharging price. In addition, product risk can be avoided by assuring the advertisers to sell quality products with clear pictures and description of the product. For non-delivery risk, it can be decreased by providing a place for online shopping customers to complain if they don’t receive the delivery items on time and prompt action should be taken on it.

Secondly, convenience risk exerted positive effect on consumer attitude, which is consistent with the finding of Zhang, et.al [39]. The assumption made is that consumers may have a strong trust to the online seller site and therefore, they did not really concern on the issues of non-convenience aspect, such as handling of returned products and examining the quality of products featured in the site. The assumption is made based on the case of Kim and Prabhakar [41], who found that consumers’ adoption of Internet banking is determined by a balance between trust and perceived risk.

Thirdly, this research has confirmed that positive affect of consumers’ attitude on their online shopping behaviour. It shows that when a consumer formed a favourable attitude towards an object, for instance, positive attitude towards on line shopping, he or she tends to behave positively, for example, willingness to purchase online. This finding is consistent with the work of Chai and Pavlou [32], George [33], (Yang et al. [9] and Javadi, et.al [36]. Thus, individuals who have formed a favourable attitude towards online shopping are willing to commit in online purchase.

6.0 Conclusion

This study highlighted the common scenario in the study of consumers’ perceived risk, attitude and online shopping behaviour. It seems that the negative effect of perceived risks on attitude of consumers is universal. In Malaysia online shopping context, it was confirmed that the negative effect of perceived risk influences the attitude of online shoppers. However, it was noted that not all risks, for example convenience risk, will negatively influence consumer attitude. It means that when consumers trust an online seller, they may accept issues related to return of purchased product, and they will execute some degree of tolerance in term of time taken to deliver the product. To validate the positive effect of convenience risk, it is suggested for future research to expand this study to cover more online sellers in Malaysia. Researchers may consider the inclusion of more dimensions of perceived risk, such as psychological, privacy and social risks, to examine whether or not they can influence attitude and online shopping behaviour of consumers.
References

[1] Lian, J. W., & Lin T. M. (2007). Effects of consumer characteristics on their acceptance of online shopping: Comparisons among different product types. Computers in Human Behavior, 24(1), 48–65.
[2] Li, N., & Zhang, P. (2002). Consumer online shopping attitudes and behavior: An assessment of research. Information Systems proceedings of Eighth Americas Conference.
[3] Bernama (2013). 2014 Budget: 25 mill internet users by 2015 on. New Straits Times. Retrieved on 11 Nov 2013 at http://www.nst.com.my/latest/font-color-red-2014-budget-font-25-mill-internet-users-by-2015-1.384975
[4] Malaysia Digital Association (2012). Malaysia Website Ranking for February 2012. Retrieved on 15/3/2013 from http://www.digital.org.my/pdf/MDA-EMWebsiteRanking_Report_Feb12.pdf MCMC, (2012), p 19.
[5] Lobb, A. E., Mazzocchi, M., & Traill, W.B. (2006). Modelling risk perception and trust in food safety information within the theory of planned behaviour.
[6] O’Cass, A., & Fenech, T. (2003). Web retailing adoption: Exploring the nature of internet users web retailing behavior. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 10, pp. 81-94.
[7] Shih, H. P. (2004). An empirical study on predicting user acceptance of e-shopping on the Web. Information and Management, 41, pp. 351-368.
[8] Van der Heijden, H., Verhagen, T., Creemers, M. (2003). Understanding online purchase intentions: Contributions from technology and trust perspectives. European Journal of Information Systems, 12(1), pp. 41-48.
[9] Yang B, Lester D, James S. (2007) Attitudes toward buying online as predictors of shopping online for British and American respondents. Cyber Psychology & Behavior 2007; 10(2): 198-203
[10] Michelle Kovacs, Salomao Farias, Francisco Moura & Anderson Souza. (2011). Relationship Between Consumer Effort, Risk Reduction Strategies, and Satisfaction with the e-Commerce Buying Process: The Development of A Conceptual Formwork. International journal of management, 28: 316-329
[11] Lee, K.S., & Tan, S.J. (2003). E-retailing Versus Physical Retailing: A Theoretical Model and Empirical Test of Consumer Choice. Journal of Business Research, 56(11), 877–885.
[12] Ko, H., J. Jung, J. Kim, and S.W. Shim, (2010)“Cross-cultural differences in perceived risk of online shopping," Journal of Interactive Advertising, Vol. 4, No. 2: 20-29.
[13] Bauer, R. A. (1960). Consumer behavior as risk taking. In Cox, D. (Ed.), Risk taking and information handling in consumer behavior (pp. 389–398). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
[14] Pires, G., Stanton, J. and Eckford, A., (2004) "Influences on the Perceived Risk of Purchasing Online," Journal of Consumer Behaviour Vol. 4, No. 2: 118-131.
[15] Mary Douglas and Aaron Wildasky (1982) http://www.svt.ntnu.no/psy/Torbjorn.Rundmo/Cultural_theory.pdf
[16] Ajzen, I., “the Theory of Planned Behavior, (1991)” Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes Vol. 50, 179-211.
[17] Pavlou, P. A., & Fygenson, M. (2006). Understanding and predicting electronic commerce adoption: An extension of the theory of planned Behavior. MIS Quarterly, 30(1), 115-143.
[18] Claudia, I. (2012). Perceived Risk when Buying Online: Evidence from a Semi-structured Interview. Economics Series, 22(2), 63-73.
[19] Kim, I,(2010) “Consumers’ rankings of risk reduction strategies in e-shopping,” International Journal of Business Research, Vol. 10, No. 3: 143-148.
[20] Bhatnagar, A., Misra, S. and Rao, H. R., (2000)”on Risk, Convenience, and Internet Shopping Behavior,” Communications of the ACM Vol. 43, No. 11: 98-105.
[21] Almousa, M. (2011). Perceived Risk in Apparel Online Shopping: A Multi-Dimensional Perspective. Canadian Social Science, Vol. 7, No.2, 23-31
[22] Saprikis V., Choulia A., Vlachopoulou M., (2010) “Perceptions towards online shopping: Analyzing the Greek University students’ attitude”, Communications of the IBIMA, vol. 2010, pp. 1-13, 2010
[23] Chang, H., Chen, S. (2008), “The impact of online store environment cues on purchase intention: Trust and perceived risk as a mediator”, Online. Info. Rev., (6), 818 – 841.
[24] Dan, Y., Taihai, D., and Ruiming, L., (2007), “Study of Types, Resources and Their Influential Factors of Perceived Risk.
[25] Naiyi Ye, (2004) “Dimensions of consumer’s perceived risk in online shopping”, Journal of Electronic Science and Technology of China, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 177-182.
[26] Chiu YB, Lin CP, Tang LL (2005). Gender differs: assessing a model of online purchase intentions in e-tail service. Int. J. service Industry Manag. 16 (5).
[27] Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research. Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley.
[28] Ajzen, I. and Fishbein, M., (1980) Understanding Attitude and Predicting Social Behavior. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
[29] Mitchell [29] (1999) Mitchell, V. W. (1999). Consumer perceived risk: conceptualization and models. European Journal of Marketing, 33(12), 163-195.
[30] Barnes, S., Bauer, H., Neumann, M. and Huber, F. (2007), “Segmenting cyberspace: a customer typology for the internet”, European Journal of Marketing, 41(1/2), 71-93.
[31] Pavlou, P.A. (2003). Consumer intentions to adopt electronic commerce – incorporating trust and risk in the technology acceptance model. International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 7(3), 101–134.
[32] L.Chai and P. Pavlou, (2004) "From Ancient to Modern: A Cross-Cultural Investigation of Electronic Commerce Adoption in Greece and the United States " Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 17, 6.
[33] George, J. F. (2004). The theory of planned behavior and Internet purchasing. Journal of Internet Research, 14(3), 198
[34] Swinyard, W. R., & Smith, S. M. (2003). Why People Don't Shop Online: A Lifestyle Study of the Internet Consumers. Psychology and Marketing, 20(7), 567-597.
[35] Forsythe, S., Liu, C. L., Shannon, D., & Gardner, L. C. (2006). Development of a scale to measure the perceived benefits and risks of online shopping. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 20(2), 55-75
[36] Javadi , M., Dolatabadi, H.. Nourbakhsh , M. Poursaeedi, A., & Asadollahi, A. (2012) , “An Analysis of Factors Affecting on Online Shopping Behaviour of consumers”, International Journal of Marketing Studies; 4(5), 81-98.
[37] Karayanni, D. A. (2003). Web-shoppers and non-shoppers: Compatibility, relative advantage and demographics. European Business Review, 15(3), 141-152.
[38] Hair, J. F., R.E. Anderson, R.L. Tatham, and W.C. Black, —Multivariate Data Analysis, Fifth Edition, NY, Upper Saddle River: Prentice-Hall: 1998.
[39] Zhang, L., Tan, W., Xu, Y. & Tan, G. (2012), “Dimensions of Consumers’ Perceived Risk and Their Influences on Online Consumers’ Purchasing Behaviour”, Communications in Information Science and Management Engineering, 2(7), 8-14.
[40] Masoud, E.Y (2013). The Effect of Perceived Risk on Online Shopping in Jordan. European Journal of Business and Management, Vol.5, No. 6, 76-87.
[41] Zakuan, N and Saman, M.Z.M, (2009). “Lean Manufacturing Concept: The Main factor in Improving Manufacturing Performance”, International Journal of Manufacturing Technology and Management, 17(4) pp. 353-363