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Abstract. de Lima DVT, Ordóñez LL, da Cruz DD. 2021. Plant resource uses and losses in a small maroon community in a Colombian Pacific hot spot. Biodiversitas 22: 3031-3041. The Colombian Pacific is a world biodiversity hotspot, and traditional communities of the region have high cultural diversity, such as the Jovi maroon community, which shows economic and cultural practices rely on regional ecosystem services. This study aimed to conduct an ethnobotanical survey in the Jovi community, specifically focused on the identification of the plants used, the purpose of use, losses in the use of species, the conservation status of the species, and if there are differences regarding plant use knowledge between genres. Participant observation was conducted associated with interviews for data collection with 26 local experts. The Jovi community uses a wide variety of plants (135 species belonging to 57 families). Cultivated species showed the highest use values in the community. The most important uses were medicinal, food, and technological, respectively. Use losses were verified, mainly, for the species used as food. Two species deserve attention, i.e. Minquartia guianensis (Near Threatened - IUCN), and Pelliciera rhizophorae (Vulnerable - IUCN), both obtained by extractivism and have timber use. There was no difference in knowledge between men and women. Cultural changes may be delimiting changes in the use of plant species in the community.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant resources are important ecosystem services for the survival of traditional populations, provide supplies, and, in many cases, they are bare for the maintenance of culture and economy (MEA 2005; Diegues 2019). The local biodiversity is directly related to the guarantee of the quality of life among these populations with limited financial resources, who rely on nature to extract food, medicines, fuel, and building materials (Maroyi 2017).

Traditional populations are known for their knowledge of the management of natural resources, extrapolating the purpose of survival and reaching wider scopes, such as culture and economy (Kakoty 2018). Therefore, the propagation of this knowledge related to the sustainable use of plant species should be stimulated in preservation management and environmental conservation studies aiming at a positive symbiotic relationship between society and nature (Harisha et al. 2016; Diegues 2019).

These man-nature interactions gain more prominence, nowadays, when located in areas of high biodiversity. In areas featuring exceptional concentrations of endemic species, considered hotspots (Myers 2000), it is essential to understand the degree of threat to which biodiversity is subject, in addition to its importance to cultural and social relations (Myers 2000). The Colombian Pacific is one of these world regions with remarkable biodiversity, sheltering several populations with traditional practices (Mittermeier et al. 2004). In this context, ethnobotanical studies can help to understand this relationship between the local community and the use of plant resources in the area, aiming to promote environmental maintenance (Myers 2000; Restrepo 2013). The Afro-descendant community of Jovi is located in the northern Pacific region of Colombia, and its cultural and economic practices are based on regional ecosystem services. Agriculture and ecotourism are important financial support activities for the inhabitants who still resist migrating to the urban zone, preserving their traditional practices (Ordóñez et al. 2018). There is a clear specification of female activities in the Jovi community, such as the community bank management, the cultivation of home-grown vegetable gardens, mystical religious rituals, food preparation, and the propagation of traditional culinary recipes (Ordóñez et al. 2018).

In this scenario, it is worth noting that, despite possessing traditional knowledge, the natives have a perception of loss of species and ecosystem services and even recognize possible justifications for the inappropriate use of natural resources, such as inadequate fishing practices and negligence with the mangroves, which has reduced its physical dimension in the last few years (Restrepo 2013; Ordóñez et al. 2018).

In this context, this study aims to conduct an ethnobotanical survey in the Jovi community, specifically focusing on a) the identification of the plants used by the
community and their purpose of use; b) if the community identify losses in the use of any species; c) if there are differences between men and women regarding the use of plant resources; and d) the ecological threatened species used by the community. The hypotheses of this study are: there is a significant loss in the use of some plant species, and there is a difference between genders regarding the knowledge of the different categories of plant use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was conducted at the Jovi community, in the Gulf of Tribugá, located in the municipality of Nuquí, Department of Chocó, in the Pacific Colombian region. The Jovi community possesses an area of 37.48 hectares (Ordóñez et al. 2018) and is located in one of the richest biomes in endemic species in the world (Myers et al. 2000).

The Department of Chocó is an area of high biological diversity, with the highest abundance of mammals in the Pacific region, besides presenting one of the highest rainfall rates in the world (approximately 9,382 mm/year), generating a wide water network (Braham and Blandón 2013). The region is characterized by the presence of rainforests, with temperatures ranging from 22 to 26 °C, without distinct drought periods, favoring biomass development and the presence of forest landscapes, cultivation areas, rivers, mangroves, beaches, streams, and creeks (Zuluaga and Ramírez 2015).

The Jovi community has about 170 inhabitants living in 67 homes, most of whom are of African descent, who populated the village more than 70 years ago. Agriculture is the main economic activity due to the abundance of natural resources in the place, but with the emergence of tourism, beginning in the 1980s, this activity currently represents 37% of the main income of the community (Ordóñez et al. 2018). The Jovi community inhabitants rely on a discontinuous power supply, untreated water sources, and the absence of sewage and waste collection (Ordóñez et al. 2018).

The inhabitants have a perception that they live in a harmonious relationship with the cycles of nature, which has been recorded in the ethnic-development plan and in some studies in which the population was the object of study (Riscales 2005).

Ethnobotanical data collection

The study had exploratory, qualitative-quantitative approaches. The data were collected from December 2015 to April 2016, and from April to July 2020. Participant observation and semi-structured interviews were employed. The interviews were aimed to gather data on the plant species used, their uses and collection, the plant parts used, and whether their uses were current or potential to record the loss of species.

The interviews were conducted with experts defined through the “snowball” technique, which is a useful network sampling method to study populations hard to be accessed or studied (Bernard 2017). In this manner, there were 26 experts in total in the fields of fishing, agriculture, and tourism, which are the three main economic activities of the community (Ordóñez et al. 2018). The sample showed a heterogeneous formation regarding age (54% from 27 to 59 years, and 46% were 60 years or older), and gender (62% of the interviewees were men and 38% were women), and most were of local origin (85% local, 15% non-local).

The plants indicated by the interviewees were collected through the guided tour technique (Albuquerque et al. 2014), and the non-collected species were identified through pictures by different people in the community who used them. The plants were deposited in the Herbarium of the Technological University of Choco (UTCH). The identifications followed the APG IV classification, and the scientific names were confirmed according to the International Plant Names Index (https://www.ipni.org/), Universidad Nacional de Colombia databases (http://www.biovirtual.unal.edu.co/hombrescomunes/es/), and the Flora do Brasil http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/reflora). The conservation status was checked in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (https://www.iucnredlist.org/).

Ethical issues

Although the study was developed in a Colombian community, since the researchers are affiliated with a Brazilian institution, the Brazilian legislation rules were followed regarding the ethical issues of the study. In Colombia, there is no requirement for research approved by an ethics committee. Nevertheless, each participant was informed on the purpose of the study, and, if in agreement, signed the Informed Consent Form (TCLE) required by the National Health Council through the Research Ethics Committee (Resolution 196/96).

The present study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (CEP) of the Center of Health Sciences (CCS) of the Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB) under the protocol number CAAE 56813716.4.0000.5188 and received the approval of the local ethnic authority, the General Community Council Los Riscales.

Data analysis

The plants were classified as eight use-categories for data analysis, i.e. medicinal, food, technological, building materials, fuel, mystic/religious, and others (ornamental, tourism, and recreation) (Galeano 2000). For each species, the Total Use Value was calculated through the formula adapted from Rossato et al. (1999): \( UV = \sum U/n \), where UV: use-value of the species, \( U \): number of total quotations per species, and \( n \): number of informants. The Current Use Value and the Potential Use Value were also calculated following Lucena et al. (2012), according to the formulas, respectively: \( UV_a = \sum U \) current/n, where \( UV_a \): current use-value of the species, \( U \): number of uses of the species mentioned by the informant, and \( n \): number of informants; and \( UV_p = \sum U \) potential/n, where \( UV_p \): potential use-value of the species, \( U \): number of potential uses of the species mentioned by the informant, and \( n \): number of informants. This differentiation in the potential use value aims to
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...demonstrate species with loss of current use. The Wilcoxon test was performed to compare the knowledge between men and women. This test was used since the data did not have a normal distribution. The test was performed in the R Studio (RStudio Team 2020).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Jovi community used 135 plant species belonging to 57 families. The families of Lamiaceae and Poaceae showed the highest number of species, respectively. Plants were obtained by extractivism (70 species) or were cultivated (59 species), and 6 species were obtained in both ways (Table 1).

Almost 1/3 of the species (34.07%) are listed in the IUCN Red List: 42 species are classified as Least Concern, three species are Data Deficient, one species is classified as Near Threatened, and one species is classified as Vulnerable (Table 1). The species classified in the most endangers categories were both obtained by extractivism (*Minquartia guianensis* and *Pelliciera rhizophorae*), and have timber use (Table 1).

Plant uses were grouped into seven categories and 49 species were indicated with more than one use category, demonstrating the versatility of use (Table 1). The medicinal category represented the highest number of species informed by the experts and fuel received fewer citations (Figure 1).

Most species (106) showed low total use-values, ranging from 0.0 to 0.2. Just two species showed a total use value higher than 0.81, i.e. *Oryza sativa* and *Musa x paradisiaca* (Figure 2).

The ranking of the species considered most important by the community highlighted 10 species, which can be organized in the five most important positions (Table 2) (after this position, UV is very similar to the other species). Four species were obtained by extractivism, five were cultivated, and one species was obtained by both forms. *Oryza sativa* (arroz) was the most important species identified by the community considering current, potential, and total UV (Table 2). The potential use was cited to the varieties ‘fortune’ and ‘urínga’ that are not currently planted. *Musa x paradisiaca* (plátano) was the second most important species recognized as potential UV. *Oryza sativa* and *Musa x paradisiaca* are both used for food purposes and are frequently present in the meals of the community. The third most important species in the total UV and second most important in the potential UV was *Dipteryx odorata*, which was obtained just by extractivism and is classified as Data Deficient in the IUCN Red List (Tables 1, 2). We also highlight two species: *Gliricidia septum* (fifth-highest current UV) and *Nectandra acutifolia* (fifth-highest potential UV), suggesting the use of these species have decreased in the last years (Table 2). Both species are classified as Least Concern on the IUCN Red List (Table 1).

The interviews were conducted with 16 men and 10 women considered experts within the Jovi community. The results showed no difference in knowledge between men and women (W = 14646; p = 0.3006) (Figure 3).

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1.** Distribution of species according to the use categories cited by local experts in the Jovi community, Colombian Pacific region

![Figure 2](image2.png)  
**Figure 2.** Class distribution of the use-value of plant species cited by local experts in the Jovi community, Colombian Pacific region

![Figure 3](image3.png)  
**Figure 3.** Ethnobotanical knowledge of men and women in the Jovi community, Colombian Pacific
Table 1. Classification of the plants cited by local experts of the Jovi community, Colombian Pacific.

| Family       | Species                                                                 | Popular name                | UV_α | UV_β | UV_γ | UC  | P or E |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|------|-----|--------|
| Acanthaceae  | (LC) *Trichanthera gigantea* Humb. & Bonpl. Ex. Steud. *Justicia* sp.  | Nascedera                   | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.12 | me  | P      |
| Amaranthaceae| *Alternanthera lanceolata* Schinz *Chenopodium ambrosioides* Bert ex. Steud | Riñonera                    | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | me  | P      |
| Amarillidae  | *Allium sativum* L.                                                     | Ajo                         | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.12 | fo, me | P  |
|              | *Allium cepa* L.                                                        | Cebolla, cebolla de rama    | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.35 | fo, me | P  |
| Anacardiaceae| *Anagardium excelsum* Skeeks *Annona muricata* L.                        | Espavé                      | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.42 | tc  | E      |
|              | *Spondias mombin* Jacq.                                                 | Ovo                         | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.12 | fo, me | E / P |
| Annonaceae   | (LC) *Annona muricata* L.                                               | Guanábana                   | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | me  | P      |
|              | (LC) *Rollinia danforthii* Standl.                                       | Guásiamo blanco             | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.35 | me  | E      |
| Apiaceae     | *Eryngium foetidum* L.                                                  | Cilantro                    | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | fo  | P      |
| Araceae      | *Monstera adansonii* Schott                                             | costilla de Adán           | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.35 | me, m/r | E |
|              | *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* Liebm.                                       | Otó                         | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | fo  | P      |
|              | *Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott                                       | Papachina                   | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | fo  | P      |
| Areaceae     | (LC) *Iriartea deltoidea* Ruiz & Pav.                                   | Chonta                      | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | tc  | E      |
|              | *Bactris gasipaes* Kunth                                                | Chontaduro                  | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.50 | fo  | P      |
|              | *Astrocaryum stanleyanum* L.H.Bailey                                     | Chunga                      | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | tc  | E      |
|              | *Cocos nucifera* L.                                                     | Coco                        | 0.54 | 0.04 | 0.58 | tc, fo, me | E / P |
|              | *Oenocarpus bataua* Mart.                                               | Mél pesos, trupa            | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.12 | fo  | E      |
|              | *Syagrus sanconia* H.Karst.                                             | Palma zancona               | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | tc  | E      |
|              | *Phytelephas macrocarpa* Ruiz & Pav.                                    | Tagua                       | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | tc  | E      |
| Aristolochiaceae | *Aristolochia cordiflora* Mutis ex Kunth                                 | Zaragoza                    | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | me  | E      |
| Asparagaceae | *Aristolochia cordiflora* Mutis ex Kunth                                 | Zaragoza                    | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | me  | E      |
| Asteraceae   | *Pseudolepinotus sp.*                                                    | Palma de cristó              | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.15 | m/r, out | E |
|              | *Adenostemma platypylilum* Cass.                                        | Consuelda, chicoria         | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.15 | me, out | P |
|              | *Achilea millefolium* L.                                                | Donjatuna                   | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.12 | me  | P      |
|              | *Ageratum conyzoides* Sieber ex. Steud.                                  | Hierba de carpintero        | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.15 | fo, me | E / P |
|              | *Neurocalpa lobata* R.Br.                                                | Hierba de chiva             | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | me  | E      |
|              | *Ambrosia cumanensis* Kunth                                             | Venadillo                   | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | me  | E      |
|              | *Ambrosia cumanensis* Kunth                                             | Altamisa                    | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.08 | m/r | P      |
|              | *Artemisia sodiroi* Hieron.                                              | Alhucema                    | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.23 | me  | P      |
|              | *Sphagnetocila trilobata* (L.) Pruski                                    | Botoncillo                  | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | me  | E      |
| Bignonaceae  | (LC) *Jacaranda caucana* Pittier                                         | Gualanday                   | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | fu, fo | E |
|              | (LC) *Crescenda cujete* Vell.                                            | Totumo                      | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | tc  | E      |
| Boraginaceae | (LC) *Jacaranda hesperia* Dugand                                        | Tunisco                     | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.12 | me  | E      |
| Bromeliaceae | (LC) *Varronia spinascens* (L.) Borhidi                                  | Verde negro                 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 | me, m/r | E |
|              | *Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr.                                             | Piña                        | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | fo  | P      |
|              | (LC) *Tillandsia usneoides* (L.) L.                                      | Salvajina, gallito          | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 | m/r | E      |
| Burseraceae  | *Protium amplum* Cuatrec.                                                | Caraño                      | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | tc  | E      |
| Caesalpinaceae| *Mora oleifera* Ducke                                                   | Nato                        | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | la  | E      |
| Caricaceae   | *Carica papaya* L.                                                       | Papaya                      | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | fo  | P      |
| Chloranthaceae| (LC) *Hedyosnum seuberrimum* Standl.                                     | Rodilla de pollo, rodillon  | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | me  | E      |
| Chrysobalanaceae | (LC) *Licania fuchsi* Prance                                            | Carbonero                   | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | fu  | E      |
| Family            | Genus                  | Species               | Synonyms                                                                 | Uses                                           | Plant parts | Use Type | Derivation |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| Convolvulaceae    | Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam. | Batata                |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | m/r P       |
|                  | Tripogandra serrulata (Vahl) Handl. | Siempre viva          |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | me E        |
| Crassulaceae      | Bryophyllum pinnatum Kurz | Hierba santa          |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.04     | 0.12       | me E/P      |
| Cyclanthaceae     | Caruduvica palmata Ruiz & Pav. | Iriaca               |                                                                         |                                               | 0.15        | 0.00     | 0.15       | tc, fo E    |
| Cyperaceae        | Kyllinga odorata Liebm.  | Espadilla             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.04     | 0.12       | tc, me E    |
| Dioscoreaceae     | Dioscorea trifida L.f.  | Ńame                  |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | fo P        |
| Euphorbiaceae     | Manihot esculenta Crantz | Yuka                 |                                                                         |                                               | 0.31        | 0.00     | 0.31       | fo P        |
| Fabaceae          | Andira inermis (W. Wright) Kunth ex DC. | Amargo              |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.03     | 0.15       | cs, tc, me E |
|                   | Dipteryx odorata (Aubl.) Willd. | Choibí               |                                                                         |                                               | 0.46        | 0.23     | 0.69       | cs, tc E    |
|                   | Senna reticulata (Willd.) H.S.Irwin & Barneby | Galve               |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | me E        |
|                   | Lens culinaris Medik.   | Lenteja               |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | fo, me P    |
|                   | Gliricidia sepium Kunth | Matarrañón            |                                                                         |                                               | 0.46        | 0.00     | 0.46       | fu, me P    |
|                   | Zygia longifolia (Humb. & Bonpl. Ex Willd.) Britton & Rose | Pichinde           |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | out E       |
|                   | Senya occidentalis (L.) Link | Potra               |                                                                         |                                               | 0.15        | 0.00     | 0.15       | me P        |
| Fitólea            | Phytoleca bogotensis Kunth | Guaba                |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | me E        |
| Gesneriaceae      | Drymonia killipii Wiehler | Desbaratadora        |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | me E        |
|                   | Saintpaulia ionantha H.Wendl. | Violeta             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | me P        |
| Heliconaceae      | Heliconia psittacorum L.f. | Heliconia            |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.00     | 0.12       | out, me E   |
| Humiriaceae       | Humiriastum diguense (Cuatrec.) Cuatrec. Aji | Aji               |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | cs P        |
| Lamiaceae         | Persia americana Mill.  | Aguacate             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.19        | 0.04     | 0.23       | fo, me, m/r P |
|                   | Scutellaria pseudocoleus Fern.Alonso | Albacon             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | fo, me P    |
|                   | Ocimum campechianum Chap. | Albahaca             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.15        | 0.00     | 0.15       | fo, me P    |
|                   | Lepechinia sp.          | Albahaca blanca      |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | me P        |
|                   | Ocimum sp.              | Albahaca morada      |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | fo P        |
|                   | Hiptis verticillata Jacq. | Gallinaza            |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.03     | 0.15       | me E        |
|                   | Origanum vulgare L.     | Orégano              |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.00     | 0.12       | fo, me P    |
|                   | Clinopodium brownnei (Sw.) Kuntze | Poleo              |                                                                         |                                               | 0.23        | 0.00     | 0.23       | tc, fo, me P |
|                   | Rosmarinus officinalis L. | Romero              |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.00     | 0.12       | fo, me P    |
|                   | Tectona grandis L.f.    | Teka                 |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | cs P        |
|                   | Hiptis sp.              | Toronjil             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | me P        |
| Lauraceae         | Nectandra acutifolia Mez | Jigua Negro          |                                                                         |                                               | 0.31        | 0.11     | 0.42       | cs, tc E    |
|                   | Pleurothyrium glabretpalum van der Werff | Perena             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.38        | 0.00     | 0.38       | cs, tc, out E |
| Malvaceae         | Theobroma bicolor Bonpl. | Bacao                |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | fo P        |
|                   | Ochnora pyramidal (Cav. Ex Lam.) Urb. | Balsao             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.35        | 0.03     | 0.38       | tc, me, out E |
|                   | Theobroma cacao L.      | Chocolate, Cacao     |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.03     | 0.15       | fo P        |
|                   | Sida rhombifolia L.     | Escobobasa           |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.00     | 0.12       | me E/P       |
|                   | Lueha seemannii Planch. & Triana | Guisínum colorado   |                                                                         |                                               | 0.23        | 0.00     | 0.23       | la, tc, me E |
|                   | Tallparit tilicium (L.) Fryxell | Majaguó             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | me E        |
|                   | Malachra acifolia Jacq. | Malva                |                                                                         |                                               | 0.12        | 0.00     | 0.12       | me P        |
| Hymiscus rosa-sinensis L. | Papo, resucito, san joaquin | 0.31              |                                                                         |                                               | 0.31        | 0.00     | 0.31       | me, m/r E    |
|                   | Lueha membraaceae Spruce ex Benth. | Peine de mono      |                                                                         |                                               | 0.08        | 0.00     | 0.08       | cs E        |
| Melastomaceae     | Nepsera aquática Naudin | Cana agría           |                                                                         |                                               | 0.19        | 0.00     | 0.19       | tc, fo, me E/P |
|                   | Clidemia septupinervia Cogn. | Mora                |                                                                         |                                               | 0.27        | 0.00     | 0.27       | cs, tc, fo, me E |
| Meliaceae         | Carapa guianensis Aubl. | Güina                |                                                                         |                                               | 0.15        | 0.00     | 0.15       | tc E        |
| Moraceae          | Poulsea armata (Miq.) Standl. | Damagua             |                                                                         |                                               | 0.04        | 0.00     | 0.04       | tc E        |
|                   | Ficus maxima Mill.      | Higuéron            |                                                                         |                                               | 0.15        | 0.04     | 0.19       | tc, me E    |
| Family | Genus | Species | Common Name | IUCN Status | Notes |
|--------|-------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| **Portulacaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Poaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Phytolaccaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Piperaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Passifloraceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Pellicieraceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **VU** | **Pelliciera rhizophorae** Planch. & Triana | Piñuelo | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | tc | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **Phytolaccaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Piperaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Passifloraceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Olacaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Myrtaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Solanaceae** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
Urticaceae

*Ureora caracasona* (Jacq.) Gaudich. ex Griseb. (LC) *Cecropia peltata* Vell.

Verbenaceae

*Lippia alba* (Mill.) N.E.Br. ex Britton & P Wilson

Xanthorrhoeaceae

*Aloe vera* L.

Zingiberaceae

*(DD) Hedychium coronarium* J. Koenig

Zingiber officinalis Roscoe

---

*Note: UVt current use value. UVp potential use value. UC: use category (me: medicinal, fo: food, tc: technological, fu: fuel/firewood, cs: construction, m/r: mystic/religious, out: others). P: planted, E: extractivism. Species in bold are in the IUCN Red List (LC: Least Concern, DD: Data Deficient, NT: Near Threatened, VU: Vulnerable).*

### Table 2. Ranking of the plant species considered most important by the Jovi community (Colombian Pacific) based on the UV = use value (UV total, UV current, UV potential).

| Species | Popular name | UV total | UV current | UV potential |
|---------|--------------|----------|------------|--------------|
| *Musa x paradisiaca* | Plátano | 2º | 2º | – |
| *Oryza sativa* | Arroz | 1º | 1º | 1º |
| *Dipteryx odorata* | Chobí | 3º | 5º | 2º |
| *Cocos nucifera* | Coco | 4º | 3º | – |
| *Bactris gasipaes* | Chontaduro | 5º | – | 2º |
| *Solanum inchoptum* | Saucu | 5º | 4º | – |
| *Gliricidia sepium* | Matarraton | 5º | – | – |
| *Anacardium excelsum* | Espavé | – | – | 3º |
| *Passiflora vitifolia* | Granadillo | – | – | 4º |
| *Nectandra acutifolia* | Jigua negro | – | – | 5º |

**Discussion**

*Plants used by the Jovi community*

The Jovi community uses a wide variety of plants, with a similar number of cultivated species and species obtained by extractivism. However, the cultivated species showed the highest use values in the community. The plants with the highest values of total and current use were cultivated plants, i.e. *Oryza sativa* (rice) (1.92), and *Musa x paradisiaca* (plantano) (0.81). These species present exclusive food use and are cultivated by the community, which highlights their importance. The high use values of these two species were also reported in other Afro-Colombian communities in the municipality of Nuquí (Zuluaga and Ramírez 2015). Both, *Musa x paradisiaca* and *Oryza sativa* constitute the basis of the diet of the Afro-descendant population of Nuquí, as well as in most of Colombia (Morales and Caetano 2013). Their consumptions are present in the three daily meals, being used with great versatility of preparation and frequency in the cuisine of these communities (Zuluaga and Ramírez 2015; Alonso et al. 2014). The highest use values in the food category were also observed in Colombia (Morales and Caetano 2013).

The cultivation of *Oryza sativa* (rice), *Musa x paradisiaca* (plantano), and *Dipteryx odorata* (choibí) usually does not exceed one hectare, and these species are intercropped. The first two species are well adapted to the soil and climate of the Colombian Pacific. The agricultural systems of the communities are based on crop rotation, cultivating on average three different species, lately inserting timbers to meet the economic demand, but with banana (banana) representing the main cultivated species (Zuluaga and Ramírez 2015). Although the habit of planting *Oryza sativa* (rice) is common in the community, this species showed a high potential use value (0.46), warning about possible losses in the use of this species in the community due to cultural changes (traditional production system) and planting management issues, such as the lack of knowledge about pest control, climate changes, land infertility, and decrease in the availability of native seeds (Ordóñez et al. 2018). In the case of the Jovi community, the losses are related to some specific varieties, such as ‘fortune’ and ‘uringa’, and leave to losses of traditional seeds.

*Bactris gasipaes, Dipteryx odorata, and Anacardium excelsum* also showed high potential use-value, corroborating the perception of the community on the use losses of species reported in the study conducted by Ordóñez et al. (2018). The use losses of plant species may be related to social, economic, and cultural changes faced by the Afro-Colombian communities of Nuquí. A study conducted in the same Department as this study showed that the propensity for the disappearance of ethnobotanical knowledge among young people who emigrate to the urban zone or dedicate to fishing activities resulted in a diluted need for the use of plant species, resulting in low use-values due to the change of habits and culture (Galeano 2000). In Jovi, changes such as the migration of young people to the city in search of study and work and the increasingly growing work of women in the hotels, not dedicating much time to home-grown vegetable gardens and traditional culinary activities can be important factors that influence ethnobotanical knowledge. Similarly, the men look for economic ascension with other alternatives, such as timber cultivation or tourism (Zuluaga and Ramírez 2015; Ordóñez et al. 2018).

In general, the species showed low total use-value, indicating a restricted and limited use within the community (only one species showed total use value above 1.00, and 106 species were allocated in the lowest
classification interval (0.0 to 0.2). This result can be further justified by the possible loss of interest in traditional knowledge by part of the community or due to the external influences to which these groups are subject (Crepaldi and Peixoto 2010; Vásquez 2013; Ordóñez et al. 2018), which was also reported in other Afro-descendant communities of South Africa (Lisboa 2017). It is important to highlight that the potential and current value indices focused on the specific use (of each use citation) of the species (Lucena et al. 2012). It means that we register the loss of some specific use of the species and it indicates a loss of the traditional knowledge, that can occur by cultural changes in the community or, instead, in changes and impact in the local biodiversity.

When analyzing the distribution of species by use-category, it is possible to find similar results than other traditional populations, in which the highest numbers of cited species were indicated for medicinal use, followed by food purposes (Crepaldi and Peixoto 2010; Gomes and Bandeira 2012; Maroyi 2017). In the medicinal category, the families of Lamiaceae, Malvaceae, and Asteraceae were the most commonly used. Similar results were reported in studies conducted in other communities located in rainforest areas, such as in the Atlantic Forest region (Lisboa 2017), in other communities of the Colombian Pacific (Zuluaga and Ramírez 2015), and in the region of Angola, which, although featuring drought seasons in some periods of the year, has a prevailing humid tropical climate (Göhre et al. 2016). It should be highlighted that the Jovi community is geographically isolated, and access is only possible via boat, which complicates access to medical care. In this scenario, medicinal plants can have great importance in treating infirmities, especially milder ones. The distance between the community and urban areas or the means upon which this access depends seems to be determining factors to list the highest use values and number of cited species in the medicinal and food categories. Studies with hard-access Afro-descendant communities showed similar results, i.e. the more distant the region, the greater the dependence of the community on plant species (Göhre et al. 2016; Lautenschläger et al. 2018).

Other factors that can justify the ranking observed for the use-categories are the home-grown vegetable gardens and the subsistence agriculture activity since 53.41% of the species cited for the medicinal category and 79.63% of the species used for food purposes are traditionally cultivated by the community. In traditional communities, home gardens evidence the high relevance of the cultivation of these two categories and their importance for the nutritional establishment and treatment of infirmities (Silva et al. 2017). The maintenance of these cultivations goes beyond the food and nutrition security of the communities, fostering the local ecological and economic sustainability (Kahane et al. 2013).

The third use category identified by the community is the technological one, customarily used for manufacturing boats and tools for the community, without commercial purposes. The Arecales family is the most important in this category, obtained 100% by extractivism, although Cocos nucifera is also cultivated by the community for food and medicinal purposes. The intense use of plant species for technological purposes was also observed in an isolated community in West Africa, highlighting the use of woody species (Ahoyo et al. 2018).

The use category for construction purposes highlights the use of plant species for house construction, with the highest number of cited species belonging to the families of Fabaceae and Lauraceae. The species cited for this purpose are obtained by extractivism (84.62%), and planted by the community (15.38%). In an Afro-descendant community close to the study area, in the Chocó coast, the use of plant species for construction purposes also relied on selective extractions from the forest for house construction, with the Lauraceae family being the most used (Galeano 2000). The study conducted by Galeano (2000) showed that most of the useful plants in the studied rainforest region were used for construction, technology, and firewood purposes. In Jovi, the least cited categories referred to the use of the species for fuel, whose employment occurs mainly as firewood for cooking, and in the “others” category, whose predominant use would be for household ornamentation, tourism, and recreation. Although there is a differentiation in the primary use categories between the present study and the one by Galeano (2000), a similarity is observed when both communities use the species for subsistence purposes, highlighting the importance of ethnobotanical investigations as they allow demonstrating that even close populations may present specificities, as well as demonstrate the temporal change that the communities can undergo.

Mystic/religious category is also a relevant category to the Jovi Community, especially in the cultural practice of “warding off the evil eye,” focusing on the families of Poaceae, Piperaceae, and Solanaceae. The interviewees usually show a relation between the medicinal use and this category since it is common in Afro-descendant communities to use plant species for spiritual healing ceremonies to treat physical symptoms, such as fevers (Philander 2011).

Regarding the versatility of uses, the species of Clidemia septuplinervia (construction, technological, food, and medicinal purposes) and Saccharum officinarum (food, medicinal, mystic/religious, and others) are the only ones that meet four use categories. In a region of Bolivia, four use-categories were also reported for Saccharum officinarum, although only the medicinal and food uses coincide (Zambrana et al. 2017). In another study conducted in the same Department as this study, only the food use was verified for this species (Zuluaga and Ramírez 2015). Saccharum officinarum was also highlighted in an Afro-descendant community of northeastern Brazil (Silva et al. 2017), where it is used as food and for treating infirmities.

Several studies suggest that the handling and knowledge of plants for medicinal and food purposes are a female domain (Cunha and Bortolotto 2011; Silva et al. 2012; De Carvalho et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2015; Göhre et al. 2016; Lisboa 2017); in this study, most interviewees were male (67%), but no significant difference was verified.
regarding knowledge between men and women. Despite a difference of activities was related between men and women in the Jovi community, the men are responsible for collecting useful species when these are located in hard-access areas, within the woods, or in distant places (Almeida et al. 2012; Silva 2012), it seems do not affect the ethnobotanical knowledge. A similar result was described in a community in Angola, where the interviewees reported the existence of work division between men and women, but with no significant difference (Lautenschläger et al. 2018).

Traditional knowledge among women in the Jovi region may be threatened by the economic and social dynamics, which is changing the roles of women in the community as they no longer have time to dedicate to the harvest since this activity competes directly with the services they provide in the surrounding hotel industry; also, younger women prefer to dedicate themselves to studying out of Jovi (Ordóñez et al. 2018). Another fact that should be considered regarding the knowledge between genders is that the local experts interviewed worked with agriculture (50%), fishing (27%), and tourism (23%), with the first two activities being culturally performed by men, possibly resulting in a higher number of interviewees of this gender. The difference of activities can also result in specific knowledge, restricting individuals to certain species with different purposes, and contributing to low use-values. However, we believe that the interviewees are people with great knowledge, identified by the community as experts in the use of plant resources.

Use and conservation

The use of biodiversity for the most diverse purposes must be carefully observed and aiming to assess the impact that it can cause on the maintenance of the species populations. Jovi is a community located in a hot-spot region and information regarding the use of the species and its degree of threat should be the basis for management studies and the sustainable use of the species. In hot-spot regions, large numbers of species that require conservation priorities usually reflect high rates of extinction (Joppa et al. 2011). In this context, we highlight some species used by the Jovi community but also registered on the IUCN.

The use of two species in the Jovi community should be considered with extreme attention because they are in the most endangered categories of the IUCN, i.e. *Minquaria guianensis* and *Pelliciera rhizophorae*. The sustainable management of *M. guianensis* (Nebel 2001) is important due to the high extraction pressure and the depletion of natural populations in many regions, mainly in Brazil and Colombia (IUCN 2021). The Jovi community uses *M. guianensis* for construction purposes, and this species is recognized because of the hardness, durability, and resistance to pests of its wood (Oregon et al. 2018). This kind of use can hardly impact the species population because it reflects the death of the plant. The demand for local and commercial supply requires practices that lead to the increase of the density of trees as well as their growth rates (Nebel 2001). We emphasize the importance of the management process for *M. guianensis*, as the species is already recognized with potential use in the Jovi community, indicating a decrease in use (despite it is unknown whether it is due to a decrease of the population or for another reason).

The vulnerability of *Pelliciera rhizophorae*, indicated by the IUCN Red List, stems from the limited geographical distribution, as it has small and dispersed populations and is largely linked to the impacts generated by anthropic factors (Blanco-Libreros et al. 2015). Because it is a mangrove species, its distribution is suffering reduction and anthropic pressure in coastal regions (Blanco-Libreros et al. 2015; Duke 2020). Isolated populations and the low gene flow associated with anthropic pressures indicate that *P. rhizophorae* should be revised from Vulnerable to a higher threatened level on the IUCN List (Duke 2020). Considering that Jovi is located in a coastal and estuary region, uses *P. rhizophorae* and *M. guianensis* for timber purposes, and obtained both species by extractivism, it is necessary to develop a management plan specifically focused on the use of these more endangered species.

*Gliricidia sepium* (the 5th highest current use value in the Jovi community) and *Nectandra acutifolia* (the 5th highest potential use-value) are classified in the Least Concern category on the IUCN Red List, and no immediate threat to its genetic resources has been identified. Although medicinal plants, which occur in endemic habitats considered hot-spots, deserves attention regarding the priority of conservation and the intensity of its use (Dapar et al. 2020), in the case of *G. sepium*, we understand that the cultivating practice helps to reduce pressure on natural populations and can be a good strategy to reconcile traditional use with conservation practices. On the other hand, *N. acutifolia* is a species obtained by extraction and its use is focused on timber purposes, such as construction and technology in the Jovi community. It is also cited as medicinal in a community in Ecuador (Ballesteros et al. 2016). The use of *N. acutifolia* in the Jovi community makes the species more suitable to the ecological risk and deserves attention also in conservation studies. IUCN points out that deforestation and the conversion of natural habitats of this species to promote agricultural activities are potential threats, mainly in Colombia, Bolivia, Ecuador, and Peru (IUCN 2021).

One last species that is important to be highlighted in this discussion is *Dipteryx odorata*, which is the second place in the ranking of the potential use value and the 5th in the ranking of the current use value. It is used for technological and construction uses, which, in general, cause the death of the plant. This species is indicated as Data Deficient on the IUCN Red List, so it is not possible to know the status or condition for maintaining the populations of this species. The impact on choibá (*D. odorata*) has already been registered due to the growing exploitation for timber purposes for construction and technology (Rêgo et al. 2017; Oliveira 2020). Other factors that can justify the vulnerability of over-exploitation are biological characteristics such as reproductive capacity, needs for light, low dispersion rate, and high mortality rate (Herrero-Jauregui et al. 2011). Considering the type and importance of the use and the little knowledge about the
populations of *D. odorata*, it is essential more studies focused on the ecology and development of the species in the region.

In conclusion, the Jovi community has a close relationship with plant resources, demonstrating great knowledge and versatility in the use of plant species. The ethnobotanical survey allowed verifying that approximately 57.23% of the species were attributed to medicinal purposes; for a community that is geographically isolated from traditional medical centers, these species have crucial importance in the treatment of infirmities and local health maintenance. A change in the food traditions is also verified, as this category showed the highest potential use values for the species cultivated by the community, such as *Oryza sativa* and *Bactris gasipaes*, indicating cultural changes.

The traditional use of the plants is important in the cultural perspective to the community; however, the community should also be included in a co-management plan focus on sustainable use, mainly considering that it is a hot-spot region. In this study, we identified threatened species used by extractivism and as a timber resource (such as *Minquartia guianensis* and *Pelliciera rhizophorae*). Even other forms of uses (as observed to *Gliricidia sepium*) and species that are Data Deficient, such as *Dipteryx odorata*, deserve more attention in the management plan. Some of these species are in the ranking of the five most important species to the community. The local government should include the community in this discussion, recognized their uses, and provide a wide discussion about sustainable use.
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