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Abstract

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a disease of pandemic proportions, one defined by a complex aetiological mix of genetic, epigenetic, environmental, and lifestyle risk factors. Whilst the last decade of T2D genetic research has identified more than 100 loci showing strong statistical association with disease susceptibility, our inability to capitalise upon these signals reflects, in part, a lack of appropriate human cell models for study. This review discusses the impact of two complementary, state-of-the-art technologies on T2D genetic research: the generation of stem cell-derived, endocrine pancreas-lineage cells and the editing of their genomes. Such models facilitate investigation of diabetes-associated genomic perturbations in a physiologically representative cell context and allow the role of both developmental and adult islet dysfunction in T2D pathogenesis to be investigated. Accordingly, we interrogate the role that patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cell models are playing in understanding cellular dysfunction in monogenic diabetes, and how site-specific nucleases such as the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas9 system are helping to confirm genes crucial to human endocrine pancreas development. We also highlight the novel biology gleaned in the absence of patient lines, including an ability to model the whole phenotypic spectrum of diabetes phenotypes occurring both in utero and in adult cells, interrogating the non-coding 'islet regulome' for disease-causing perturbations, and understanding the role of other islet cell types in aberrant glycaemia. This article aims to reinforce the importance of investigating T2D signals in cell models reflecting appropriate species, genomic context, developmental time point, and tissue type.
Introduction

Main question or problem

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a global health burden. Given that more than 415 million individuals are currently affected and that the incidence is predicted to rise faster than the adult population growth rate, it could be argued that our current preventative and therapeutic strategies against this disorder are inadequate.

Understanding T2D pathophysiology is inherently difficult because of its complex aetiology; an individual’s disease risk is based on a combination of genetic, epigenetic, environmental, and lifestyle risk factors. However, the last decade or so has seen a transformation in our understanding of the genetic basis of this disease; through large-scale international collaborations and DNA samples from hundreds of thousands of individuals, common and rare variant association studies have identified more than 100 genomic loci influencing T2D susceptibility. Also, for T2D, and unlike many other complex genetic disorders, we have a good handle on the tissue driving pathogenesis; despite perturbations to both insulin secretion and sensitivity, multiple studies place pancreatic islet dysfunction at centre stage in terms of disease susceptibility and progression.

Despite this wealth of information, our ability to go from genetic signal to mechanism (and even therapeutic target) has progressed at a pace far slower than that of the initial discoveries of these disease susceptibility loci. Why?

Specifics about the questions or problem

Multiple factors underlie the difficulties in biological interpretation of genome-wide association study data. Firstly, we need to know which transcript(s) are driving the phenotypic signal. This has formed a huge stumbling block for researchers as (i) extensive regions of linkage-disequilibrium mean that most associated loci harbour many genes and transcripts, (ii) many signals lie within poorly annotated, non-coding regions of the genome (although efforts to map the ‘islet regulome’ are beginning to bear fruit) and (iii) the modest effect sizes of disease-associated variants make functional interrogation of risk versus non-risk alleles problematic (odds ratios are usually between 1.1 and 1.4).

Secondly, far and away one of the biggest challenges has been the lack of appropriate human islet cell models for study. Until very recently, this was limited to animal models and rodent insulinoma cell lines, which present numerous challenges; there are multiple instances in which human diabetic phenotypes are not recapitulated in the analogous murine model of gene haploinsufficiency, and differences in islet architecture, ion channel composition, nutrient sensitivity, and other physiological parameters limit the functional inferences that can be made from rodent-derived data. Human islet isolation programmes and the subsequent availability of this tissue for research purposes have gone some way to alleviating this bottleneck, as has the recent generation of human beta-cell lines from pancreas explants, although these latter cells are only just beginning to be characterised.

Thirdly, despite increasing access to human islets and cell lines, many technical constraints remain: (i) human islets are heterogeneous in terms of donor genotype and function/viability after surgical extraction, (ii) the restriction of islet isolation programmes to adult donors limits study to mature cells, (iii) human beta-cell lines represent only a single islet cell type, and (iv) low recombination rates and an inability to expand single clones make genomic manipulation via site-specific nucleases challenging.

What is to come in the rest of the review

This article will focus on one of the most exciting emerging fields in diabetes research at present: human endocrine pancreas derivation in a dish. The utilisation of state-of-the-art in vitro differentiation techniques to turn human pluripotent stem cells into those of the islet lineage allows researchers to sequentially generate definitive endoderm cells (expressing SOX17 and FOXA2) through to pancreatic progenitors (PDX1- and NKX6.1-positive), all the way to cells expressing insulin, glucagon, and islet transcription factors regulating mature cell function (MAFA).

This model system has broad application in many areas of islet biology and diabetes research. Firstly, it can be used as a platform for drug discovery efforts aimed at increasing functional beta-cell mass, and importantly, one which is without many of the ethical, legal, and practical considerations surrounding the routine use of human tissue (both foetal and adult). Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) specifically bypass the need for embryonic tissue as they can be generated by reprogramming any somatic cell. Secondly, the ability to further mature these cells in vivo, and to phenotypically correct diabetes in immunocompromised mice, also shows the translational potential of such cells, with analogous clinical trials beginning to take place in humans. Both of these areas have been reviewed extensively elsewhere, instead, the rest of this article will focus on the potential of stem cell-derived islet-like cells in disease modelling, in particular how they can be manipulated with genome editing tools such as CRISPR-Cas9, so as to accurately recapitulate the genomic, developmental, and mature cell perturbations underlying T2D pathogenesis (Figure 1).

Diabetes modelling using patient-derived cells

Recent methodological advances in endocrine pancreas differentiation have promoted formation of mono-hormonal cells with function similar to (but not quite yet the same as) that of human islets. However, variation in line-to-line differentiation efficiency coupled with an inability to make fully mature cells has so far limited disease modelling to monogenic diabetes caused by highly penetrant, large-effect mutations.

One of the first proof-of-principle studies generated iPSC lines from individuals with maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY) by using a polyclonstric lentiviral vector overexpressing the so-called ‘Yamanaka factors’ (POU5F1 [OCT4], KLF4, SOX2, and MYC), these needed for somatic cell reprogramming to pluripotency. This included lines from patients with mutations in endocrine pancreas developmental transcription factors (HNF1B, HNF4A, and HNF1A), as well as those with perturbed enzymes governing glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) in mature cells (GCK), and even exocrine pancreas function (CEL). Regardless of mutated gene, all lines were shown to fulfil basic iPSC quality control: expression of pluripotency genes via
fluorescence-activated cell sorting (OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, SSEA-4, and TRA-1-60), spontaneous teratoma formation upon transplant into immunocompromised mice (cells capable of generating all three germ layers), and a diploid 'stable' karyotype.

Another study aimed at generating iPSCs from patients with HNF1A-MODY again produced cells passing basic pluripotency QC, and which were able to differentiate from embryoid bodies, into those expressing insulin and glucagon. Of note here is that these hormones were not present at levels comparable to those seen in other studies, perhaps reflecting the quite different in vitro differentiation strategies employed. Likewise, the inability of these cells to form teratomas spontaneously in vivo suggests that reprogramming to full pluripotency may not have been achieved.

Other diabetes iPSC models have focussed on characterising cellular dysfunction apparent within mature islets, making endocrine pancreas differentiation essential for phenotyping patient-derived cells. Individuals with heterozygous GCK mutations have a mild phenotype whereby fasting plasma glucose levels are marginally elevated (6 to 8 mmol/L) because of a higher threshold for GSIS, which is governed by altered beta-cell glucose uptake and glycolytic flux. Directed differentiation of iPSCs from patients with GCK-MODY down the islet lineage occurred with an efficiency comparable to that of control cells, with the only observable defects mirroring patient phenotype (elevated GSIS set-point), thus validating this as a physiologically representative model for studying monogenic GCK mutations.

iPSC models have also been generated for syndromic diabetes disorders, such as Wolfram syndrome. This disorder is caused by mutations in WFS1, with patients suffering from multi-organ dysfunction, including diabetes, optic atrophy, and neurodevelopmental defects. Such a broad phenotype reflects the multi-tissue expression of WFS1, with the encoded Wolframin protein performing vital roles in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) Ca2+ homeostasis as well as alleviating ER stress in cells with high translational load, such as those with secretory function. This is thought to explain the childhood-onset diabetes in these individuals, with post-mortem study of Wolfram syndrome pancreases suggesting selective beta-cell loss via apoptosis. Directed differentiation of iPSCs from patients with Wolfram syndrome down the islet lineage showed that these cells had elevated levels of chemically induced ER stress, which resulted in translational stasis and decreased insulin processing and content. Likewise, in vivo maturation of patient cells showed that grafts declined in function much more rapidly than control cells, perhaps reflecting enhanced apoptosis.

The need for phenotypic correction of patient stem cells

Importantly, the cellular dysfunction observed in both diabetes iPSC-derived models was corrected via genetic (zinc finger
nuclease or chemical (4-phenylbutyric acid) means. This phenotypic correction is fundamental in assigning causality to the studied mutation of interest, particularly as large-scale sequencing studies are continuing to identify previously reported ‘disease-causing’ mutations in unaffected individuals within the general population, leading to continued revision and reduction of penetrance estimates. Likewise, comparing patient lines to isogenic controls removes any differentiation efficiency or phenotypic effects driven by factors extrinsic to the particular mutation of interest, including reprogramming efficiency and epigenetic or sequence variation (or both) in the donor genome.

A methodological advance which has revolutionised the ease at which we can generate isogenic control lines is the expansion of site-directed nuclease, so-called ‘genome editing’ technologies, from zinc finger nucleases to TALENs (transcription activator-like effector nucleases) to CRISPR-Cas9. The most popular of these editing methods, CRISPR-Cas9, exploits a bacterial innate immune system response to pathogens, whereby the Cas9 endonuclease is targeted to invading phage DNA by a sequence-specific guide RNA molecule. In recent years, manipulation of this system so that it can target eukaryotic (specifically mammalian) genomes has allowed its full translational potential to be realised. The ability to target more or less any sequence in the human genome for gene knockout via non-homologous end-joining, nucleotide-level manipulation via homology-directed repair, or even recombination events to generate reporter lines or complete knockouts, means that every type of genetic perturbation is theoretically possible. Use of this technology has also extended into simultaneous targeting of multiple genes as well as inducible and epigenome-modifying systems.

Accordingly, CRISPR-Cas9 and other site-specific nucleases are a very attractive tool for the generation or correction (or both) of diabetes-relevant mutations in human stem cell-derived models, stem cells being particularly amenable to this technology because of their clonal nature and highly recombinogenic genome. Both gene knockout via Cas9-induced indels and doxycycline-inducible gain-of-function transgenes (targeted to the AAVS1 safe harbour locus using TALEN) have been used to definitively establish the role of in human pancreas development. Whilst Neurog3 is essential for murine pancreas development and derivation of all islet cell types, individuals with homozygous Neurog3 mutations retain some islet function. Complete gene knockout showed that Neurog3−/− cells could not mature past pancreatic progenitors into endocrine pancreas; however, with graded perturbation to gene dosage via small hairpin RNA (shRNA), as little as 10% reduction activity still led to some islet hormone-positive cells. These data are directionally consistent with analogous experiments whereby inducible Neurog3 overexpression in human embryonic stem cell (hESC)-derived pancreatic progenitors leads to increased numbers of endocrine pancreas-like cells expressing INS, NKX2.2, NeuroD1, and other relevant islet transcription factors. Drastically reduced Neurog3 levels are therefore sufficient for the development of human islets, an effect not recapitulated in mice.

Although many reports have begun to emerge of mutation introduction or correction via homology-directed repair in both control and patient-derived cell lines, these remain as yet unpublished, perhaps reflecting the low efficiency of this technique and repeated cleavage of repaired sites, alongside the additional scrutiny of these experimental techniques in terms of off-target effects.

**Interrogating diabetes pathology in the absence of patient-derived lines**

Patient-derived iPSCs facilitate study of the precise mutational mechanisms underlying an individual’s diabetes risk and progression; however, their use so far has been limited to monogenic disease. Although we may not yet have phenotypic resolution to assay dysfunction underlying more complex disease, the ability to generate cellular models of islet development opens up a whole new avenue of investigation for T2D pathogenesis.

**T2D pathology may result from dysfunction in both foetal and adult islets**

We know from studying monogenic diabetes and pancreatic agenesis that there is substantial overlap between the genes causing these phenotypically severe Mendelian disorders and those harbouring more common and incompletely penetrant variants predisposing to T2D risk. It follows that within these cellular pathways, the extent of perturbation dictates when diabetes presents: either in utero/early life if severe or much later as T2D if more subtle.

At the extreme end of this scale is pancreas hypoplasia or even lack of a pancreas completely (agenesis). Haploinsufficiency for GATA6 is the most common cause of pancreatic agenesis in humans. Individuals with this haploinsufficiency may also experience cardiac or gastrointestinal abnormalities, reflecting the role of GATA6 in organogenesis for multiple tissues. As phenotypic presentation of GATA6 mutation carriers varies (some individuals experience dysfunction in only a subset of these tissues), a potential redundant role for the related transcription factor GATA4 has been proposed in humans. This hypothesis is well established in mouse development but continues to be the subject of debate in humans, despite the identification of individuals with neonatal diabetes (one with pancreatic agenesis) resulting from heterozygous GATA4 mutations.

Biallelic inactivation of Rfx6, a key transcription factor in gut and pancreatic-endoderm specification, causes both neonatal and childhood-onset diabetes, with phenotype severity correlating with loss of Rfx6 gene dosage, and subsequently islet cell development/hypoplasia. An elegant CRISPR-Cas9 hESC knockout study showed that loss of Rfx6 alters or delays pancreatic progenitor formation through perturbed Pdx1 induction, thus implicating Rfx6 in the regulation of both foetal and adult islet cell function (in which it helps maintain mature beta-cell identity). Heterozygous mutations in Hnf1b, a gene switched on within cells in the primitive gut tube where it is responsible for regional gut specification and branching morphogenesis as well as later cell fate decisions in multipotent pancreatic progenitors, cause MODY1, pancreatic hypoplasia/agenesis, and renal abnormalities.
Hyperglycaemia caused by GLIS3 perturbations cause the opposite phenotype of beta-cell dysfunction and are observable if studying (i) adult islets alone (as HNF4A transiently increase foetal insulin secretion, a phenomenon not present in neonates before 25 years of age) and (ii) foetal islets in neonates (versus HNF1B) in the foetal pancreas. This suggests that these individuals are able to make some endocrine pancreas tissue and that disease pathology results from insufficient insulin secretion from a reduced functional beta-cell mass potentially both in utero and in adult life. Analogous observations have been made for individuals with mutations in the foetal pancreatic transcription factors PAX6, NEUROD1, NKX2.2, and MNX1.

In a similar vein, heterozygous mutations in other genes important for islet progenitor function can cause the milder phenotype of MODY, which is characterised by a loss of insulin-dependent diabetes before 25 years of age. Mutations in HNF family members HNF4A and HNF1A are the most common cause of MODY in Europeans, and these genes also map to genomic regions associated with T2D risk. Whilst both disorders could result from defective insulin secretion from mature islets (the two transcription factors regulate genes governing GSIS), they also perform distinctive roles in the foetal pancreas, as dictated by discrete spatiotemporal expression patterns for each of the multiple HNF4A and HNF1A transcript isoforms. Studying both HNFs in foetal versus adult tissue has also shown big differences in post-translational regulation; in adult islets these two HNF transcription factors regulate expression of each other and themselves whereas only HNF4A mutations have been shown to cause the more severe phenotype of neonatal diabetes, suggesting that this gene has a more dominant role in foetal pancreas development. The association of HNF4A variants with macroglossia and hypoglycaemia in neonates also suggests that perturbations to this gene transiently increase foetal insulin secretion, a phenomenon not observable if studying (i) adult islets alone (as HNF4A mutations cause the opposite phenotype of beta-cell dysfunction and hyperglycaemia) or (ii) rodent pancreas (Hnf4a-/- and Hnf1a-/- mice are phenotypically normal). Accordingly, understanding the temporal relationship between HNF4A gene dosage and insulin secretion is fundamental to managing pregnancy as well as neonatal and young-onset diabetes and T2D.

Irrespective of a previous implication in Mendelian diabetes, knowing the developmental expression pattern of genes mapping to T2D-associated regions of the genome can also help refine likely effector transcripts at these loci, particularly considering the well-established role of islet dysfunction in the progression of this disease. HNF1B, HHEX, NOTCH2, and PROX1 map to T2D loci containing multiple putative effector transcripts and potentially causal variants. Although none of these genes harbour mutations implicated in monogenic diabetes, strong candidacy for their role as effector transcript comes from their importance in endocrine pancreas development: HHEX regulates ventral pancreas organogenesis, NOTCH2 is involved in fate decisions of pancreatic progenitors, and PROX1 marks pancreatic progenitor cells in the endoderm (later becoming specific to NEUROG3-positive cells). Thus, using human models of endocrine pancreas differentiation to understand how subtle perturbations to these genes during development may impact upon risk of diabetes in later life is fundamental to the functional characterisation, and consequent assignment of variant/transcript causality, at these T2D-associated genomic loci.

This same principle can be applied to disentangling disease-associated genetic perturbations mapping to non-coding regions of the genome. As many islet enhancers are tissue-specific, and with studies in stem cell-derived endocrine pancreas-lineage cells also showing these and other regulatory marks to be developmental stage specific too, it follows that characterisation of non-coding regions harbouring disease-associated genetic variations is possible only if developmental pancreas cell models are employed. A good example of this approach comes from a recent study of multiple consanguineous families with recessive pancreatic agenesis of unknown aetiology. All affected individuals were absent of coding mutations in previously established pancreatic agenesis genes (GATA6, PTF1A, and PDX1) and accordingly were subjected to whole genome sequencing. Homozygosity mapping showed that no biallelic coding changes co-segregated with disease. Extended analysis into non-coding regions of the genome showed that multiple affected individuals harboured biallelic mutations in a 400-base pair sequence about 25 kb downstream of PTF1A, a transcription factor mediating early pancreas specification from the foregut, and ChIP-seq in hESC-derived pancreatic progenitors showed that this region overlapped binding sites for the foetal pancreas transcription factors FOXA2 and PDX1 as well as an H3K4me1 active enhancer site. Enhancer activity was shown to be tissue- and developmental stage-specific and was abolished upon introduction of the agenesis mutations. As PTF1A maps to a locus associated with T2D, it follows that similar developmental enhancers may also be important in adult-onset disease.

**The usefulness of a model capable of recapitulating all islet cell types**

Although as diabetes researchers we can put a large emphasis on understanding insulin secretory defects, aberrant glycaemia can also result from dysfunction in other islet cell types.

Because differentiated stem cells make cells positive for all islet hormones, one can use the same systems to study aberrant glycaemia resulting from perturbations in non-beta cell types. Diffuse congenital hyperinsulinism in infancy (CHI) is characterised by insulin over-secretion despite hypoglycaemia. Mutations in the ATP-sensitive islet potassium channel subunit genes ABCC8 and KCNJ11 are the most common cause of CHI; the unregulated closure of this channel is thought to result in sustained insulin
release. However, study of pancreas tissue from 10 individuals with KCNJ11-mediated CHI showed that functional beta-cell mass was maintained as constant since, despite increased proliferation, a concomitant elevation in cell type-specific apoptosis was also observed. Intriguingly, and consistent with the disorganised islet architecture observed in Kcnj11 knockout mice, the human CHI islets had downregulated PAX4 and ARX levels (the latter transcription factor specific to alpha cells) as well as elevated NKK2.2 expression (particularly in delta cells), 10% of which also demonstrated nucleomegaly. Consistent with the use of somatostatin analogues in the treatment of some CHI cases, these data suggest that alteration of multiple endocrine pancreas cell lineages (not just beta cells) is driving phenotype. Despite disorganised islets, Kcnj11 and Aecb8 knockout mice do not exactly recapitulate the phenotype of human CHI, making the further investigation of this disorder in stem cell-derived endocrine pancreas models attractive.

Summary

This review highlights the need for human, physiologically relevant cell models which accurately recapitulate both foetal and adult islet function for interrogation of diabetes pathogenesis. Although a lot of our knowledge regarding pancreas development has come from studying the mouse, there are many cases in which murine models fail phenotypically short and so translating genetic signals into disease mechanisms is limited. The huge advances that have been made in differentiating human stem cells (both embryonic and induced pluripotent) into all cell types of the developing endocrine pancreas have transformed how we are able to characterise disease-causing and -associated genetic perturbations. However, although we are now able to make endocrine pancreas-like cells with some islet function, it is important to temper expectations and remember that we are still some way from making the perfect beta cell. Although the most recent studies from leading labs report glucose-responsive insulin secretion and Ca2+ channel activity, this function does not fully recapitulate that of human islets. Accordingly, we as a field must make an effort to standardise phenotyping assays and subject them to the same scrutiny as that used to interrogate primary tissue. Efforts to deposit functional and omics-level data for both primary tissue and stem cell-derived endocrine pancreas-like cells are helping researchers generating their own pancreas-in-a-dish to compare, contrast, and truly evaluate their model systems. Once this methodological standardisation is achieved, we can collectively increase the complexity of our routine phenotyping of parameters such as hormone secretion and ion currents and move towards physiologically relevant doses of mixed nutrient stimuli, amongst other assays.

Regardless of these current functional bottlenecks, coupling stem cell-derived endocrine pancreas-like cells with the excitement of genome editing technologies places diabetes researchers in an extremely powerful position of novel biology discovery and genetic signal validation. Armed with these new experimental tools, one can start probing more complex forms of the disease such as T2D and, with a pluripotent cell type, model the complex multi-organ dysfunction occurring in cells derived from the same patient. The dream of a true ‘personalised medicine’ approach to diabetes is in our midst.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgements

Nicola L. Beer is a Naomi Berrie Fellow in Diabetes Research. Anna L. Gloyn is a Wellcome Trust Senior Fellow in Basic Biomedical Research (095101).

References

1. International Diabetes Federation: IDF Diabetes Atlas - 7th Edition. 2015. Reference Source
2. Almgren P, Lehtovirta M, Isomaa B, et al.: Heritability and familiarity of type 2 diabetes and related quantitative traits in the Botnia Study. Diabetologia. 2011; 54(11): 2811–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
3. Hu FB: Globalization of diabetes: the role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. Diabetes Care. 2011; 34(6): 1349–57. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
4. Morris AP, Voight BF, Teslovich TM, et al.: Large-scale association analysis provides insights into the genetic architecture and pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet. 2012; 44(9): 981–90. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
5. DiABetes Genetics Replication And Meta-analysis (DIAGRAM) Consortium, Asian Genetic Epidemiology Network Type 2 Diabetes (AGEN-T2D) Consortium, South Asian Type 2 Diabetes (SAT2D) Consortium, et al.: Genome-wide trans-ancestry meta-analysis provides insight into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes susceptibility. Nat Genet. 2014; 46(3): 234–44. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
6. Dimas AS, Lagou V, Barker A, et al.: Impact of type 2 diabetes susceptibility variants on quantitative glycemic traits reveals mechanistic heterogeneity. Diabetes. 2014; 63(6): 2158–71. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
7. Ingelsson E, Langenberg C, Hivert MF, et al.: Detailed physiologic characterization reveals diverse mechanisms for novel genetic Loci regulating glucose and insulin metabolism in humans. Diabetes. 2010; 59(5): 1266–75. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
8. Voight BF, Scott LJ, Steinthorsdottir V, et al.: Twelve type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci identified through large-scale association analysis. Nat Genet. 2010; 42(7): 579–89. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
9. Fadista J, Vikman P, Laasko EO, et al.: Global genomic and transcriptomic analysis of human pancreatic islets reveals novel genes influencing glucose metabolism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014; 111(38): 13924–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
10. Gaulton KJ, Ferreira T, Lee Y, et al.: Genetic fine mapping and genomic annotation defines causal mechanisms at type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci. Nat Genet. 2015; 47(12): 1415–20. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
11. Parker SC, Stützel ML, Taylor DL, et al.: Chromatin stretch enhancer states
77. Flannick, J., Beer NL, Bick AG, et al.: Assessing the phenotypic effects in the general population of rare variants in genes for a dominant Mendelian form of diabetes. Nat Genet. 2013; 45(11): 1380–5. 
78. Porteous MH, Carroll D: Gene targeting using zinc finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2005; 23(8): 967–73. 
79. Umov FD, Rebar EJ, Holmes MC, et al.: Genome editing with engineered zinc finger nucleases. Nat Rev Genet. 2010; 11(9): 636–46. 
80. Cormak T, Doyle EL, Christian M, et al.: Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39(12): e82. 
81. Hockemeyer D, Wang H, Klani S, et al.: Genetic engineering of human pluripotent cells using TALE nuclease. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29(8): 731–4. 
82. Joung JK, Sander JD: TALENs: a widely applicable technology for targeted genome editing. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013; 14(1): 49–55. 
83. Miller JC, Tan S, Qiao G, et al.: A TALE nuclease architecture for efficient genome editing. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29(2): 143–8. 
84. Reyon D, Tsai SQ, Khyter C, et al.: FLASH assembly of TALENs for high-throughput genome editing. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30(5): 469–70. 
85. Babcock D, Welterm J, Eurolo S, et al.: Conditionally Stabilized dCas9 Activator for Controlling Gene Expression in Human Cell Reprogramming and Differentiation. Stem Cell Reports. 2015; 5(3): 448–59. 
86. Cheng AW, Wang H, Yang H, et al.: Multiplexed activation of endogenous genes by CRISPR-on, an RNA-guided transcriptional activator system. Cell Res. 2013; 23(10): 1163–71. 
87. Dathman JE, Abudayeh OO, Joung J, et al.: Orthogonal gene knockout and activation with a catalytically active Cas9 nuclease. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(11): 1159–61. 
88. Gonzalez F, Zhu Z, Shi ZD, et al.: An CRISPR platform for rapid, multiplexable, and inducible genome editing in human pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2014; 15(2): 215–26. 
89. Jin SM, Min H, Park J, et al.: TALEN-based CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing in human cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2013; 31(5): 457–8. 
90. Beem AM, George RR, Rajagopal S, et al.: CRISPR genome editing is an efficient and general tool for gene regulation. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(11): 1159–61. 
91. Dathman JE, Abudayeh OO, Joung J, et al.: Orthogonal gene knockout and activation with a catalytically active Cas9 nuclease. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(11): 1159–61. 
92. Beem AM, George RR, Rajagopal S, et al.: CRISPR genome editing is an efficient and general tool for gene regulation. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(11): 1159–61. 
93. Koenemann S, Brigham MD, Trevino AE, et al.: Genome-scale transcriptional activation by an engineered CRISPR-Cas9 complex. Nature. 2015; 517(7536): 583–8. 
94. Mait P, Aach J, Stranges PB, et al.: Cas9 transcriptional activators for target specificity screening and paired nickases for cooperative genome engineering. Nat Biotechnol. 2013; 31(9): 833–8. 
95. Pyzocha NK, Ran FA, Hsu PD, et al.: RNA-guided genome editing of mammalian cells. Methods Mol Biol. 2014; 1114: 269–77. 
96. Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, et al.: Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Protoc. 2013; 8(11): 2281–308. 
97. Shalem O, Sanjana NE, Li J, et al.: Geneticvens and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR screening. Nat Methods. 2014; 11(8): 783–4. 
98. Shalem O, Sanjana NE, Hartnett E, et al.: Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screening in human cells. Science. 2014; 343(6161): 84–7.
143. Senee V, Chelala C, Duchatelet S, et al.: Mutations in GLIS3 are responsible for a rare syndrome with neonatal diabetes mellitus and congenital hypothyroidism. Nat Genet. 2006; 38(6): 682–7.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

144. Solomon BD, Pineda-Alvarez DE, Baein.JZ, et al.: Compound heterozygosity for mutations in PAH in a patient with complex brain anomaly, neonatal diabetes mellitus, and microophthalmia. Am J Med Genet A. 2009; 149A(11): 2543–6.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

145. Rubio-Cabezas O, Minton JA, Kanto I, et al.: Homozygous mutations in NEUROD1 are responsible for a novel syndrome of permanent neonatal diabetes and neurological abnormalities. Diabetes. 2010; 59(9): 2366–31.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

146. Flanagan SE, De Franco E, Lango AH, et al.: Intrauterine growth retardation, macrosomia and hyperinsulinaemic diabetes and neurological abnormalities. Diabetologia. 1997; 40(6): 720–5.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

147. Butterfield JS, Long G, Fujitani Y, et al.: Pdx-1 and Ptf1a concurrently determine fate specification of pancreatic multipotent progenitor cells. Dev Biol. 2008; 316(1): 74–86.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

148. Tattersall R: Maturity-onset diabetes of the young: a clinical history. Diabet Med. 1998; 15(1): 11–4.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

149. Frayling TM, Bulman MP, Ellard S, et al.: Compound heterozygosity for mutations in the HNF1A gene in maturity-onset diabetes of the young in the U.K. Diabetes. 1997; 46(4): 720–5.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

150. Kopp J, Selwood MP, McCarthy MI, et al.: Prevalence of monogenic diabetes in young adults: a community-based, cross-sectional study in Oxfordshire, UK. Diabetologia. 2011; 54(5): 1261–3.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

151. Shields BM, Hicks S, Shepherd MH, et al.: Maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY): how many cases are we missing? Diabetesologia. 2010; 53(15): 2504–8.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

152. Yamagata K, Oda N, Kaisaki PJ, et al.: Mutations in the hepatocyte nuclear factor-1alpha gene are a common cause of maturity-onset diabetes of the young. Nature. 1999; 394(6698): 455–8.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

153. Wang J, Kilic G, Aydin M, et al.: Autosomal recessive diabetes and neurological abnormalities. J Med Genet. 2003; 40(10): 732–6.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

154. Sellick GS, Barker KT, Stote-Dijkstra I, et al.: Mutations in PTF1A cause pancreatic and cerebellar agenesis. Nat Genet. 2004; 36(12): 1301–5.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

155. Wills QF, Boothe T, Asadi A, et al.: Congenital hypothyroidism. Pediatr Nephrol. 2006; 21(9): 1195–201.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text |

156. Cras-Meneur C, Li L, Kopan R, et al.: Presenilins, Notch dose control the fate of pancreatic endocrine progenitors during a narrow developmental window. Genes Dev. 2009; 23(17): 2088–101.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

157. Wang J, Kilic G, Aydin M, et al.: Prox1 activity controls pancreas morphogenesis and participates in the production of “secondary transition” pancreatic endocrine cells. Dev Biol. 2005; 286(1): 182–94.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

158. Pasquali L, Gaulton KJ, Rodriguez-Segovia SA, et al.: Pancreatic islet enhancer clusters enriched in type 2 diabetes risk-associated variants. Nat Genet. 2014; 46(2): 136–43.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

159. Wang A, Yue F, Li Y, et al.: Epigenetic priming of enhancers predicts developmental competence of hESC-derived endodermal lineage intermediates. Cell Stem Cell. 2015; 16(4): 386–99.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

160. Weidmann MN, Cebola I, Patch AM, et al.: Recessive mutations in a distal PTF1A enhancer cause isolated pancreatic agenesis. Nat Genet. 2014; 2015(6): 64–71.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

161. Sellick GS, Barker KT, Stote-Dijkstra I, et al.: Analysis of transcription factors in hyperinsulinaemia. Hum Mol Genet. 2006; 15(2): 4398–406.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

162. Stoffers DA, Zinck NT, Stanjecic V, et al.: Pancreatic agenesis attributable to a single nucleotide deletion in the human IRF1 gene coding sequence. Nat Genet. 1997; 16(1): 106–10.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

163. Butterfield JS, Long G, Fujitani Y, et al.: Pdx-1 and Ptf1a concurrently determine fate specification of pancreatic multipotent progenitor cells. Dev Biol. 2008; 316(1): 74–86.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

164. Bhane MJ, Cosgrove KE, Shepherd RM, et al.: Hyperinsulinism in infancy: from basic science to clinical disease. Physiol Rev. 2004; 84(1): 239–75.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

165. Kapoor RR, Flanagan SE, Anya VB, et al.: Clinical and molecular characterisation of 300 patients with congenital hyperinsulinism. Eur J Endocrinol. 2013; 168(4): 557–64.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

166. Snider KE, Becker S, Boyajian L, et al.: Genotype and phenotype correlations in 417 children with congenital hyperinsulinism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013; 98(2): E353–63.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

167. Elvidge SH, Han B, Jennings RE, et al.: Altered Phenotype of β-Cells and Other Pancreatic Cell Lineages in Patients With Diffuse Congenital Hyperinsulinism in Infancy Caused by Mutations in the ATP-Sensitive K-Channel. Diabetes. 2015; 64(9): 3182–8.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

168. Colombat P, Mansouri A, Hechker-Sorensen J, et al.: Opposing actions of Arx and Pax6 in endocrine pancreas development. Genes Dev. 2003; 17(20): 2591–603.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

169. Papani JB, Singer RA, Tschen SI, et al.: Nkx2.2 repressor complex regulates islet β-cell specification and prevents β-like cell reprogramming. Genes Dev. 2011; 25(21): 2291–305.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

170. Rahier J, Fält K, Münzeler H, et al.: The basic structural lesion of persistent neonatal hyperglycaemia with hyperinsulinism: deficiency of pancreatic D cells or hyperactivity of B cells? Diabetologia. 1984; 26(4): 282–9.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

171. Wills GF, Boehle T, Asadi A, et al.: Statistical approaches and software for clustering islet cell functional heterogeneity. Islets. 2016; 8(2): 48–56.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

172. Bort R, Martinez-Barbera JP, Beddington RS, et al.: Hex homeobox gene-dependent tissue positioning is required for organogenesis of the ventral pancreas. Development. 2004; 131(4): 797–806.
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status: ✔️ ✔️

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

1. James Johnson, Department of Cellular and Physiological Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
   *Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

2. Timo Otonkoski, Research Program for Molecular Neurology and Biomedicum Stem Cell Center, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
   *Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.