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Abstract

Objectives: The main purpose of this study is to introduce modern technique to using artificial neural network for predicting the cost of structure works for highway project at the feasible study phase. Methods: Multi-layer perceptron trainings utilized back-propagation algorithm was used. In this study, the feasibility of ANNs approach for modeling these cost characters was inspected. A lot of problem in relation to ANNs construction such as internal parameters and the effect of ANNs geometry on the performance of ANNs models were inspected. Information on the relative importance of the variable’s affecting on the cost parameters predictions was given and mathematical equations in order to estimating the cost of structure works for highway project were determined. Findings: One model was developed for the prediction the structure works cost of highway project. Data and information utilized in this model was collected from Stat Commission for Roads and Bridges in republic of Iraq. ANNs model have the ability to predict the cost for structure works for highway project with very good degree of accuracy equal to 93.19% and the coefficient of correlation (R) was 90.026%, Applications: Neural network has shows to be a promising approach for use in the initial phase of highway projects when typically only a limited or minus data and incompleted information set is ready for cost analysis.
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1. Introduction

Cost management of highway projects just as important as the other elements⁴, the main advantage of cost management process is to provide direction for the project costs management during the project life. This process is the approach that presents the procedures, documentation, and policies, for planning, managing, expending, and controlling the construction costs⁵. There are many constructed projects fail in time performance, others fail in cost performance and others fail in other performance indicators⁶. Due to lack of data and information in the conceptual phase of the construction projects, therefore the predicating of the construction cost is very difficult⁷.

The conceptual phase of the construction project is considered as one of the first phases that deal with costing, in order to help the stakeholders to choose the suitable alternatives for the project within the planned time and quality. It is known that the accuracy of the cost estimate increases as the project life progresses to the availability of accurate data. One of the most important obstacles and difficulties experienced by estimators to estimate costs in the conceptual stage in the Republic of Iraq and from the researcher’s point of view are:

- The absence of an integrated database on previously implemented construction projects.
- Do not use modern and advanced tools in estimating the costs of construction projects.
- Lack of interest in engineering alternatives in the planning, design and execution of construction projects.

*Author for correspondence
One of the earliest endeavors to promote such a database was complete by the World Bank Transport Unit which give in form of ROad Costs Knowledge System (ROCKS) to be applied in grow homelands. It was designed to build an international knowledge system on highway work costs in order to establish an institutional memory, and get mean unit costs based on historical information that could improve the accuracy of new cost estimates and decrease the failure generated by cost overruns. In grow homelands, highway companies can be bad such database to help successfully evaluate new highway projects. In order to utilize these information more efficiently and to resolve these problems must be applying artificial intelligent such as Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and Support Vector Machine (SVM).

For the duration of the last few many years many improvement in computer technology, software program programming and application production had been followed with the aid of diverse engineering disciplines. Those trends are on the whole focusing on synthetic Intelligence strategies. Neural networks are defined as the science that studies mathematical methods that can be formulated based on the simulation of biological cells in living organisms. Neurons are characterized by high speed in processing data and are able to learn and deal with different types of data.

Some studies have addressed the matters correlating with cost estimation in the conceptual stage of construction project. Firstly, In developed a approach through building a prototype model for predicating range estimates to evaluate the risk of cost overrun in building project by artificial neural networks. Secondly, used a artificial neural network model to manage cost data and develop a parametric cost predicting model for highway projects, two alternative tools to train network's weights: Genetic Algorithms (GAs) and simplex optimization. Also, introduced a regularization neural network model and specified that highway costs are extremely noisy and this noise results from many unpredictable factors such as factors related to weather conditions, market conditions, and experts judgment.

Artificial neural networks have more efficiency than traditional estimation techniques and have the ability to predict the future in the absence of data and information. The possibility of benefiting from artificial neural networks in both developing and developed countries, and in the industrial, financial and other sectors.

Figure 1 displays how artificial neural network check the biases and weights using comparing target with output. Weights are not constant, but they variation over time by earning experience after several iterations.

**2. Identification of ANN Model Variables**

Neural network application for highway cost estimation is an example of causal forecasting. This type of forecasting considers a number of variables that affect the variable to be predicted. This type of forecasting is more powerful than the traditional methods. The purpose of cost esti-
mation is to predict or estimate the cost from known or assumed values of other variables related to it.

This study explained the development of neural network models of total cost structure work of highway project based on historical highway projects data. The initial problem for the research was the shortage of data obtainable that can provide reliable information about the costs. Data used to build the artificial neural network model of cost estimation were past highway data from republic of Iraq. The data collection method used in this study is the direct data gathering from highway Construction Companies and the direct interview with the concerned managers and engineers. This approach faces a large difficulty nowadays because of the security condition in republic of Iraq, and the shortage in documentation. In spite of these obstacles, the researcher succeeded in gathering well trusted data for more than 150 projects through the companies' visits and studies the concerned sheets, reports and documents for highway projects.

The model input variables for this model are the same as those used in model (TC-1) expected (V3, V4, V9, V10, V11, V12, V15, V17, V20). Therefore, the model input variables consist of eleven variables (i.e. V1, V2, V5, V6, V7, V8, V13, V14, V16, V18, and V19). Only two kinds of variables that might effect on the cost estimation of highway project, as follows:

2.1 Objective Variables
This kind of variables consist of eleven variables, as the following

V1: Length of the highway in (km).
V2: Capacity – number of standard lanes.
V5: Number of interchanges.
V6: Estimate year.
V7: Length of Major Bridges, in accumulated linear meter of all bridges greater than 30m. L.
V8: Stream Crossing.

2.2 Subjective Variables
This kind of variables consist of nine variables, as the following

V13: Class- classifies the highways to border, local roads and streets, collector roads, arterial highways, expressways, and assigns them the values 1,2,3,4 and 5 respectively.
V14: Material- this classifies pavement as flexible and rigid. And assigns the values of 1 and 2 respectively to them.
V16: Technology- this defines an index which accounts for technological progress with three types old, existing and highly improved. It assigns them the values of 1, 2 and 3 respectively.
V18: Furnishing- Highway furnishing level; without (1), normal (2), high standards (3).
V19: Drainage- Highway drainage System; absent (1), surface (2), sub-surface (3).

Each one of the variables has been analyzed in order to find the best method of representation in the modeling process.

3. Development of ANN Model
In this study, the researcher used the program (NEUFRAME, Version 4), which is a simple program and easy to use and develops the neural network through the ideal with the possibility of obtaining mathematical formulas in a simple and fully controllable form. Figure 2 display the diagram of the NEUFRAME 4 program

For the purpose of improving the performance of neural network models there must be an ideal methodology for raising the efficiency of these models and by means of the following:

- Development of model inputs and output.
- Data division and pre-processing.
- Development of model architecture.
- Stopping criteria, and
- Model validation.

4. Model Inputs and Outputs
There are two types of variables used in input model was objective variables and subjective variables. Where eleven
variables have the most significant impact on the cost of structure work for highway projects, therefore, the performance of the ANN models affected by using these types of variables. Finally, the output model which was content only one variable’s is total cost of structure work for highway project.

5. Pre-Processing and Data Division

One of the most important steps to take into account before starting the process of building the neural network is to address the real data of the inputs or outputs and to work to be homogenous data in order to get rid of statistical problems, and that is through the following:

- Data scaling,
- Normalization and
- Transformation.

In this study, the researcher used the logarithm of total cost structure work of project is taken before introducing forward in the next stages.

When using the Neuframe software, the first step in building a neural network model is to divide the data into three sets: validation sets, testing set and training set. The method used to divide data was trial and error method. In Table 1 it can be seen that the best data subsets division is (70-15-15) % according to highest correlation coefficient of validation set (89.30%) and lowest testing (7.14%) with training error (6.94%).
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6. Scaling of Data

When the data is divided into three sets, namely the training set, the test set and validation set, it is necessary consider treatments both input and output by converting real values to standard values according to the transfer function in the hidden and output layers (i.e., 0.0 to 1.0 for sigmoid transfer function and −1.0 to 1.0 for tanh transfer function). Note that the most common method as follows:

\[
x_n = \frac{x - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}
\]  

Table 1. Effect of data division on performance of ANNs

| Data Division | Training% | Testing% | Querying% | training error% | testing error% | coefficient correlation(r)% |
|---------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 62            | 22        | 16       |           | 8.90            | 9.00            | 77.35                       |
| 60            | 24        | 16       |           | 7.23            | 8.40            | 70.88                       |
| 60            | 18        | 22       |           | 7.85            | 7.57            | 76.59                       |
| 64            | 20        | 16       |           | 7.40            | 7.48            | 68.64                       |
| 56            | 30        | 14       |           | 7.36            | 7.44            | 77.64                       |
| 68            | 14        | 18       |           | 7.56            | 7.35            | 79.64                       |
| 64            | 18        | 18       |           | 7.55            | 7.21            | 81.26                       |
| 66            | 17        | 17       |           | 7.49            | 7.10            | 86.30                       |
| 68            | 12        | 20       |           | 6.75            | 6.98            | 81.42                       |
| 65            | 15        | 20       |           | 7.18            | 6.98            | 81.52                       |
| 70            | 15        | 15       |           | 7.14            | 6.94            | 89.30                       |
| 66            | 14        | 20       |           | 6.87            | 6.94            | 81.41                       |
in order to obtain the lowest testing error followed by training error and high correlation coefficient of validation set, by achieving the optimal characteristics of the neural network, such as learning rates, number of nodes, transfer functions, and momentum terms. In order to obtain the best number of hidden nodes in the hidden layer, the default parameters were used for the program which is the learning rate equal to 0.2 and momentum term equal to 0.8. The researcher then conducted a number of experiments with a regular increase of the number of nodes in the hidden layer, as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. It can be seen that the Model 2 with two hidden nodes have the lowest prediction error. So, it was selected in this model.

Two hidden node was chosen in model TCSW-2 as shown in Figure 3, where the neural network have two hidden node with lowest prediction error for the testing test (6.80%), training test (7.41%) and validation test (88.36%), therefore the neural network is considered best or most favorable.

### Table 2. Effects of method division on ANNs performance

| Data Division% | choices of division | training error% | testing error% | coefficient correlation(r)% |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Training      | Testing            | Querying        | Striped        | 7.14                        | 6.94                         | 89.30                        |
| 70            | 15                 | 15              | Blocked        | 8.88                        | 9.88                         | 69.89                        |
| 70            | 15                 | 15              | Random         | 8.06                        | 8.38                         | 70.40                        |

### Table 3. Effects No. of nodes on ANNs performance

| Model No.     | Parameters Effect | No. of Nodes | training error% | testing error% | coefficient correlation(r)% |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| TCSW-1        |                   |              | 1               | 7.14                        | 6.94                         | 89.30                        |
| TCSW-2        |                   |              | 2               | 7.41                        | 6.80                         | 88.36                        |
| TCSW-3        |                   |              | 3               | 7.31                        | 6.95                         | 88.59                        |
| TCSW-4        |                   |              | 4               | 7.57                        | 6.92                         | 85.60                        |
| TCSW-5        |                   |              | 5               | 7.38                        | 6.80                         | 85.94                        |
| TCSW-6        |                   |              | 6               | 7.20                        | 6.83                         | 86.53                        |
| TCSW-7        |                   |              | 7               | 7.45                        | 6.80                         | 85.67                        |
| TCSW-8        |                   |              | 8               | 7.34                        | 6.97                         | 87.24                        |
| TCSW-9        |                   |              | 9               | 7.44                        | 6.99                         | 86.44                        |
| TCSW-10       |                   |              | 10              | 7.54                        | 6.82                         | 85.98                        |
| TCSW-11       |                   |              | 11              | 7.44                        | 6.99                         | 85.79                        |
| TCSW-12       |                   |              | 12              | 7.42                        | 6.99                         | 85.65                        |
| TCSW-13       |                   |              | 13              | 7.49                        | 6.99                         | 85.47                        |
| TCSW-14       |                   |              | 14              | 7.51                        | 6.97                         | 86.66                        |
| TCSW-15       |                   |              | 15              | 7.59                        | 6.98                         | 86.48                        |
| TCSW-16       |                   |              | 16              | 7.57                        | 6.93                         | 86.73                        |
| TCSW-17       |                   |              | 17              | 7.55                        | 6.97                         | 85.65                        |
| TCSW-18       |                   |              | 18              | 7.56                        | 6.93                         | 85.69                        |
| TCSW-19       |                   |              | 19              | 7.57                        | 6.95                         | 85.75                        |
| TCSW-20       |                   |              | 20              | 7.57                        | 6.95                         | 86.00                        |
| TCSW-21       |                   |              | 21              | 7.57                        | 6.95                         | 86.00                        |
| TCSW-22       |                   |              | 22              | 7.57                        | 6.95                         | 86.00                        |
| TCSW-23       |                   |              | 23              | 7.57                        | 6.95                         | 86.00                        |
Forecasting the Cost of Structure of Infrastructure Projects Utilizing Artificial Neural Network Model (Highway Projects as Case Study)

Table 4. Effects momentum term on ANNs performance (Model TCSW-2)

| Parameters Effect | Momentum Term | training error% | testing error% | coefficient correlation(r)% |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Model No. (TCSW-2) | 0.01          | 7.71            | 6.86          | 84.93                       |
| choices of division (Striped) | 0.05          | 7.66            | 6.85          | 85.11                       |
| Learning Rate (0.2) | 0.1           | 7.64            | 6.85          | 85.22                       |
| No. of Nodes (2) | 0.2           | 7.58            | 6.84          | 85.76                       |
| Transfer function in hidden layer (Sigmoid) | 0.3           | 7.47            | 6.83          | 86.37                       |
| Transfer function in output layer (Sigmoid) | 0.4           | 7.46            | 6.83          | 84.85                       |
| | 0.5           | 7.40            | 6.82          | 85.95                       |
| | 0.6           | 7.35            | 6.82          | 85.47                       |
| | 0.7           | 7.29            | 6.82          | 86.29                       |
| | 0.8           | 7.41            | 6.80          | 88.36                       |
| | 0.9           | 7.50            | 6.82          | 88.04                       |
| | 0.95          | 7.56            | 6.82          | 90.33                       |

Table 5. Effects learning rate on ANNs performance (Model TCSW-2)

| Parameters Effect | Learning Rate | training error% | testing error% | coefficient correlation(r)% |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Model No. (TCSW-2) | 0.02          | 8.28            | 7.08          | 82.94                       |
| choices of division (Striped) | 0.05          | 7.58            | 6.83          | 85.65                       |
| Momentum Term (0.8) | 0.1           | 7.58            | 6.83          | 85.97                       |
| No. of Nodes (2) | 0.15          | 7.20            | 6.80          | 88.58                       |
| Transfer function in hidden layer (Sigmoid) | 0.2           | 7.41            | 6.80          | 88.36                       |
| Transfer function in output layer (Sigmoid) | 0.3           | 7.41            | 6.87          | 87.78                       |
| | 0.4           | 7.43            | 6.88          | 88.76                       |
| | 0.5           | 7.40            | 6.90          | 89.22                       |
| | 0.6           | 7.39            | 6.93          | 89.76                       |
| | 0.7           | 7.40            | 6.88          | 89.57                       |
| | 0.8           | 7.20            | 6.87          | 90.12                       |
| | 0.9           | 7.65            | 6.86          | 90.20                       |

Table 4 and Figure 4 shows the effect of the momentum term on the performance of the model TCSW-2, where learning rate equal to 0.20, and the best favorable value for momentum term equal to 0.8, with lowest prediction error for the testing test (6.80%), training test (7.41%) and validation test (88.36%)

Table 5 and Figure 5 explained the effect of the learning rate on the ANN model (Model TCSW-2) performance, when momentum term equal to 0.8, the result indicated the optimum value for learning rate equal to 0.15, which have smallest prediction error

Table 6 shown the effects of employ varied transfer functions (i.e., tanh and sigmoid), results indicated for ANN model was comparatively insensitive to the kind of the transfer function. optimal performance for ANN model when have lowest prediction error coupled with highest correlation coefficient (r), where the tanh transfer function was used for hidden and output layers.

To ensure the data that was carried out by Neuframe software for testing, training, and validation sets represents the oneself statistical population, a statistical parameters estimation were carried out, including the average, range,
maximum, minimum, and standard deviation, as shown in Table 7. The results indicated the testing, training, and validation sets are generally statistically strong.

Table 8 shows the results of the t-test, where these results indicate that testing, training, and validation sets are representative of stylish statistical population.

| Parameters Effect | Transfer Function | training error% | testing error% | coefficient correlation(r)% |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Model No. (TCSW-2) choices of division (Striped) No. of Nodes (2) Momentum Term (0.8) Learning Rate (0.15) | sigmoid | 7.20 | 6.80 | 88.58 |
|                     | sigmoid | 7.78 | 6.78 | 89.66 |
|                     | tanh | 7.24 | 6.73 | 88.83 |
|                     | tanh | 7.58 | 6.48 | 90.03 |

**Figure 3.** Performance of ANNs model with different hidden nodes (Model TCSW-2).

**Figure 4.** Effect of various momentum term on ANNs performance (learning rate = 0.2).
8. Relative Importance of the ANN Model

Sensitivity analysis can be used to determine which of the input variables have the most significant impact on the output predictions for ANN model (model 2 (TCSW-2)). The researcher utilized an easy and innovative approach to explain the relative importance of the input variables by testing connection weights of trained neural network as shown in Table 9.

Figure 6 shows the results sensitivity analysis, where the variables (V1, V13 and V8) has the most significant effect on the predicted cost of structure works for highway projects with a relative importance (16.87%, 13.69% and 12.10%) respectively. Followed by V14 and V5 with a relative importance of 10.88% and 10.82% respectively. Results also indicate that (V16, V18 and V6) have a temperate impact on prediction with a relative importance equals to 8.26%, 6.67% and 6.61% respectively, while the variables (V2 and V7) have the smallest effect on prediction with relative importance of 4.73% & 3.79% respectively.

9. Equation of Artificial Neural Network Model

Neuframe v.4 can be used to obtain a number of connection weights for the optimal artificial neural network model (Model TCSW-2), these weights which enables the network to be converted into easy and simple equation. Figure 7 demonstrate the structure of the ANN model, while Table 10 show the weights and threshold levels (bias) of neural network for developer’s model. The equation of forecasting the cost of structure works for highway projects can be obtained by using the threshold levels and connection weights shown in Table 10, as follows:

\[
TCSW = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(-0.29288 + 0.10052 \tanh x_1 + 0.93419 \tanh x_2)}}
\]

Where:
Table 7. Input and output statistics for the ANNs (Model TCSW-2)

| Statistical parameters | Output | Input Variables |
|------------------------|--------|-----------------|
|                        | log (cost) | V1 | V2 | V5 | V6 | V7 | V8 | V13 | V14 | V16 | V18 | V19 |
|                        | Training set | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MAX                    | 5.63347 | 602 | 10 | 30 | 1995 | 600 | 180 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| MIN                    | 1.69897 | 0.4 | 2 | 0 | 1990 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| RANGE                  | 3.9345 | 601.6 | 8 | 30 | 15 | 600 | 180 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| MEAN                   | 3.539 | 49.625 | 3.8113 | 1.4057 | 1988.78 | 26.802 | 7.6792 | 2.2453 | 1.0755 | 1.9151 | 1.7264 | 1.387 |
| S.D                    | 0.69017 | 71.724 | 1.6683 | 4.6266 | 2.74297 | 95.793 | 27.434 | 0.9443 | 0.2654 | 0.4804 | 0.61 | 0.562 |
|                        | Testing set | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MAX                    | 5.10721 | 176 | 8 | 28 | 1995 | 570 | 110 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| MIN                    | 2.68842 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1979 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| RANGE                  | 2.41879 | 169 | 8 | 28 | 16 | 570 | 110 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| MEAN                   | 3.64476 | 60.191 | 3.7273 | 2.5455 | 1988.32 | 46.818 | 12.182 | 2.4545 | 1.0909 | 1.9545 | 1.7273 | 1.409 |
| S.D                    | 0.61829 | 56.388 | 1.8818 | 6.9606 | 3.3006 | 148.4 | 30.455 | 1.1434 | 0.2942 | 0.4857 | 0.6311 | 0.59 |
|                        | Validation set | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MAX                    | 5.4624 | 246.8 | 8 | 27 | 1995 | 612 | 154 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| MIN                    | 2.52585 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| RANGE                  | 3.20954 | 243.8 | 6 | 27 | 14 | 612 | 154 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| MEAN                   | 3.62283 | 74.967 | 3.5238 | 2.5714 | 1988.76 | 60.762 | 12.667 | 2.381 | 1.0952 | 1.9524 | 1.7143 | 1.333 |
| S.D                    | 0.75996 | 68.982 | 1.7782 | 7.5005 | 2.62497 | 175.51 | 38.6 | 1.0713 | 0.3008 | 0.4976 | 0.6437 | 0.483 |

Table 8. t-test for the ANNs input and output variables (Model TCSW-2)

| Variables | Output | Input Variables |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|
|           | log (cost) | V1 | V2 | V5 | V6 | V7 | V8 | V13 | V14 | V16 | V18 | V19 |
|           | Testing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| t-value   | 0.708580408 | 0.170022 | -0.81539 | -0.28596 | 1.311447 | -0.25277 | 0.152604 | -0.48151 | -0.50073 | -1.87151 | -1.28188 | -1.01411 |
| Lower critical value | -1.98027229 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 | -1.98027 |
| Upper critical value | 1.980272226 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 | 1.980272 |
| t-test    | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept |
|           | Validation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| t-value   | -0.03198057 | 0.560325 | -0.8125 | -1.45584 | 0.542826 | -1.58979 | -1.48727 | -0.99788 | -1.81209 | -0.54429 | -0.92275 | -0.27783 |
| Lower critical value | -1.97897059 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 | -1.97897 |
| Upper critical value | 1.978970578 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 | 1.978971 |
| t-test    | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept | Accept |
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\[ X_1 = \{ \theta_{12} + (w_{12-2} \times V2) + (w_{12-3} \times V5) + (w_{12-4} \times V7) + (w_{12-5} \times V8) + (w_{12-6} \times V13) + (w_{12-7} \times V14) + (w_{12-9} \times V16) + (w_{12-10} \times V18) + (w_{12-11} \times V19) \} \quad (3) \]

\[ X_2 = \{ \theta_{13} + (w_{13-1} \times V1) + (w_{13-2} \times V2) + (w_{13-3} \times V5) + (w_{13-4} \times V7) + (w_{13-5} \times V7) + (w_{13-6} \times V8) + (w_{13-7} \times V13) + (w_{13-8} \times V14) + (w_{13-9} \times V16) + (w_{13-10} \times V18) + (w_{13-11} \times V19) \} \quad (4) \]

In order to using the Equations (3) and (4), must be converted all input variables (i.e., V1, V2, V5, V6, V7, V8, V13, V14, V16, V18, and V19) into scaling values between 0.0 and 1.0 using Equation (1) and also using data in Table 7 and for only the ANN model training. But the Equation (2) must be converted from scaling value into actual value to predicted value for cost of structure works for highway projects, as follows:

\[
TCSW = \frac{3.9345}{1 + e^{(-0.29288 + 0.10052 \tanh(\theta_{12} + 0.93419 \tanh(\theta_{12} \times V12))}} + 1.6989 \quad (5)
\]

And

\[ X_1 = \{ 17.088 + (0.00071 \times V1) + (0.02195 \times V2) + (-0.009 \times V5) + (-0.008 \times V6) + (0 \times V7) + (0.1479 \times V16) + (-0.0865 \times V18) + (0.144 \times V19) \} \quad (6) \]

\[ X_2 = \{ 46.8954 + (-0.0013 \times V1) + (-0.0013 \times V2) + (-0.017 \times V5) + (-0.023 \times V6) + (0 \times V7) + (0.149 \times V18) + (0.149 \times V19) \} \quad (7) \]

### Table 9. Connection weights between hidden node and input layer and output layer

| Hidden Nodes | Weights |
|--------------|---------|
|              | V1      | V2      | V5      | V6      | V7      | V8      |
| Hidden 1     | 0.42750 | 0.17563 | -0.26178| -0.12562| -0.00157| -0.35379|
| Hidden 2     | -0.76698| -0.14931| -0.50644| -0.35024| -0.28470| -0.49468|
|              | V13     | V14     | V16     | V18     | V19     | output  |
| Hidden 1     | -0.57164| -0.51102| 0.293994| -0.17278| 0.288731| -0.10052|
| Hidden 2     | -0.35638| -0.21573| -0.27494| 0.298744| 0.078543| -0.93419|

### Table 10. Weights and threshold levels for the ANNs optimal model (Model TCSW-2)

| Hidden layer nodes | w_{ji} (weight from node i in the input layer to node j in the hidden layer) | Hidden layer threshold \( \theta_j \) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| i=1                | i=2                               | i=3                                | i=4                                | i=5                                |
| j=12               | 0.42750                           | 0.17563                            | -0.26178                           | -0.12562                           | -0.00157                           | 0.103748                           |
| i=6                | i=7                               | i=8                                | i=9                                | i=10                               |
|                    | -0.35379                          | -0.57164                           | -0.51102                           | 0.293994                           | -0.17278                           |
| i=11               |                                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    |
|                    | 0.288731                          |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    |
| j=13               | i=1                               | i=2                               | i=3                                | i=4                                | i=5                                |
|                    | -0.76698                          | -0.14931                           | -0.50644                           | -0.35024                           | -0.28470                           |
| i=6                | i=7                               | i=8                                | i=9                                | i=10                               |
|                    | -0.49468                          | -0.35638                           | -0.21573                           | -0.27494                           | 0.298744                           |
| i=11               |                                   |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    |
|                    | 0.078543                          |                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    |
| Output layer nodes | w_{ji} (weight from node i in the hidden layer to node j in the output layer) | Output layer threshold \( \theta_j \) |
| i=12               | i=13                              | -                                  | -                                  | -                                  |                                    |
| j=14               | -0.10052                          | -0.93419                           | -                                  | -                                  | 0.29288                           |
10. Validation of Artificial Neural Network Model

In this study, the researcher used a set of statistical measures to prove the validity of the developed artificial neural network model\cite{18,19,20,21}.

1. Mean Percentage Error (MPE);

\[
MPE = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{A - E}{A} \right) \right\} \times 100\% \tag{8}
\]

Where:
- \(A\) = total cost
- \(E\) = predicted cost
- \(n\) = number of projects (30 for validity set).

2. Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE);

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (E - A)^2} \tag{9}
\]

3. Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE),

\[
MAPE = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{A - E}{A} \right| \times 100\% \right) \tag{10}
\]

4. Average Accuracy Percentage (AA %)

\[
A\% = 100\% - MAPE \tag{11}
\]

5. Correlation Coefficient (R)
6. Determination Coefficient (R²).

Table 11 shows the results of the statistical measures used to prove the validity of the neural network. MAPE % equal to 6.81% and AA% equal to 93.19%, these results suggest that the neural network model (TCSW-2) shows very good compatibility with actual costs for highway projects.

### Table 11. Results of the comparative study

| Description | ANN for Model TCSW -2 |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| MPE         | -2.99%                |
| RMSE        | 0.30772               |
| MAPE        | 6.81%                 |
| AA %        | 93.19%                |
| R           | 90.026%               |
| R²          | 81.05%                |

Schexnaydr and Mayo\cite{22} proposed the error of estimation at the planning stage was approximately from -25% to +25%. In this research, MAPE% can be depended as an error categorization, according to Table 12, MAPE of model TCSW-2 was very good.

In Figure 8, shows the comparison of predicted and observed total cost structure work for validation data, therefore, the correlation between the actual cost value and the predicted costs can be considered a strong, where, the determination coefficient equal to 81.05%. This indicates that ANN model have a very good correlation between the actual cost and predicted cost.

11. Conclusion

The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

- Models of artificial neural networks are an important and useful technique that can be used in the early stages of the life of highway projects for the purpose of forecasting costs, especially when data are scattered, inaccurate and incomplete.
- The artificial neural network architecture developed in this study consists of three layers, namely the input layer, It includes eleven variables and the hidden layer, It contains two hidden nodes and the output layer, It consists of one variable, which is the cost of structure works for highway projects. The results included the following:
  - Mean Percentage Error (MPE) equal to -2.99%.
  - Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) equal to 6.81%.
  - Average Accuracy Percentage (AA %) equal to 93.19%.
  - Determination Coefficient (R²) equal to 81.05%.
  - Correlation Coefficient (R) equal to 90.026%.
- With this models, the effects of cost-related parameters on the total cost of highway construction projects can be investigated through its sensitivity analysis procedure.
- ANNs models could be translated into a simple and practical formula from which (TCSW-2) may be calculated.
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