Gibberellin Inhibits Fruit Abscission Following Seed Abortion in Peach
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Abstract. Seed coats of developing fruit of peach [Prunus persica (L.) Batsch cvs. Redkist, Redskin, and Loring] were punctured at 31, 33, and 38 days after full bloom (DAFB), respectively. Injections of water, 390 mg GA<sub>3</sub>/liter, or 390 mg GA<sub>4+7</sub>/liter were made immediately following seed puncture. Seed puncture and water injection following puncture resulted in abscission of all fruit. Injection of GA<sub>3</sub> and GA<sub>4+7</sub> delayed abscission of 'Redkist' and 'Redskin' fruits of punctured seeds by 6 to 10 days. Both GA treatments resulted in normal growth into Stage II and increased fruit retention through Stage III in 'Loring'. About 100 µl of 250, 500, or 1000 mg GA<sub>3</sub>/liter was injected into the locule of 'Loring' fruits following seed puncture at 30, 40, or 50 DAFB. GA treatments at 30 DAFB resulted in 75% fruit set in comparison to seeded control fruit, while fruit treated at 40 and 50 DAFB abscised by the end of Stage II. Increasing GA concentration from 250 to 1000 mg·liter<sup>-1</sup> had no additional effect. Movement of the GA was examined by injecting <sup>3</sup>H-GA<sub>1</sub> into the locule following the puncture treatment. More than 97% remained in the fruit after 96 hours. The percentage of <sup>3</sup>H recovered in the seed cavity decreased over time, whereas recovered label increased in both endocarp and mesocarp. The results suggest a potential regulatory role for seed-produced gibberellins during early Stage I of development. We have identified an apparent change in tissue sensitivity to gibberellin induction of seedless fruit development between 30 and 40 DAFB in 'Loring' peach.

Peach fruit development is recognized to have three stages of development (Connors, 1919). Stage I is characterized by rapid growth of the pericarp and limited embryonic development, Stage II by rapid development of the embryo and hardening of the endocarp, and Stage III by rapid mesocarp expansion (final swell). Cultivars differ in the length of each phase, with early and late-season cultivars differing chiefly in the duration of Stage II (Tukey, 1936). Extensive physiological, biochemical, and morphological changes occur during early seed development (Stage I) (Thorne, 1985; Zuconi, 1983). The role of growth substances in fruit set and development of peach has been reviewed by, among others, Nitsch (1953), Powell and Pratt (1966), Luckwill et al. (1969), Crane (1969), and Zuconi (1983). Small, parthenocarpic fruit generally abscise by late Stage I.
(Crane, 1963). Abscission of some fruit (“June drop”) occurs at the onset of Stage II. Tukey (1936) found that killing the embryo during early Stage II, but not during late Stage II or Stage III, caused fruit abscission of several peach and cherry cultivars. He concluded that fruit set and development are dependent on a viable ovule.

Parthenocarpic peaches can be induced to set and develop if sprays of GA, >250 ppm are applied from 50% full bloom to 7 days after full bloom (DAFB)(Crane, 1963). Stutte (1985) found a 15% increase in fruit set over seeded fruit when GA, was injected into the ovule following embryo abortion 23 DAFB in ‘Biscoe’ peach.

We sought to further examine the role of the seed in early peach fruit development. We hypothesized that gibberellins will substitute for a Stage I seed and permit normal fruit set and development. Our objectives were to test the effect of time of GA application and concentration, the response of cultivars to GA under orchard conditions, and determine the movement of GA following application.

Materials and Methods

Seed abortion. Six-year-old trees of the mid- to late-season ‘Loring’, ‘Redskin’, and ‘Redkist’ peaches growing in the orchards of the USDA/ARS, Beltsville, Md., were used in these experiments in 1986. A randomized block design consisting of three replicates of five trees per replicate was used for each cultivar, for a total of 15 trees per cultivar. Uniform branches (> 3 cm at basal end) around each tree were selected for each treatment, and fruits were thinned to three per shoot; the middle fruit on each shoot was tagged for treatment. Five treatments were applied on 8 May 1986, a date that corresponded to 31 DAFB. The treatments were a seeded control, seed puncture, and seed puncture with injection of GA, or GA,+, both at 390 mg-liter 3 of water (100 µl each). Each treatment was repeated three times on each tree for a total of 45 sample fruit per treatment.

The injections were made with a 1.27-cm 25-gauge needle. The seed coat was ruptured by an initial puncture made through the basal end into the locule, while injection of 100 µl of GA or water was made at the apical end with a second puncture into the locule. The initial puncture reduced pressure build-up associated with the injections and acted as a channel of escape for the 50 to 100 µl of liquid endosperm that was forced from the endocarp by the injection. It is assumed that the 100 µl of GA was injected into the locule. Vernier calipers were used to measure the cheek diameter of all non-abscising fruit every 3 days through Stage II, and weekly thereafter. The date of abscission of each tagged fruit was recorded.

Experiments were conducted in 1987 at the Wye Research and Education Center, Queenstown, Md., on 4-year-old ‘Loring’ peach trees. To determine the effect of timing and GA concentration effects, fruit were injected at 30, 40, or 50 DAFB with 100 µl of 0, 250,500 or 1000 mg GA/liter, as described above. Additional untreated (seeded) branches were tagged and left as controls. Four single-tree replicates in a randomized block design were used for each timing treatment, for a total of 12 trees. Concentration treatments were applied to all the fruit on a branch (basal diameter >3 cm), using a minimum of 50 fruit per branch. All concentrations were applied to each tree, for a total of four branches per concentration per date. The percentage of fruit retained on a branch was determined at the end of Stage II (64 DAFB). Regression analysis was performed following log (x– 1) transformation of the percent set data.

Transport of H-(3, 4) gibberellin A. At 38 DAFB, fruiting shoots of ‘Loring’ were excised and brought into the laboratory and the bases were recut and placed into water. About 0.5 µCi of H-(3,4) gibberellin A, (30 Ci-mmol–1; 1 Ci = 37 GBq) was injected into the fruit following the puncturing of the embryo, as described above. GA, was chosen as the tracer because it is the active gibberellin in various model systems (MacMillan and Phinney, 1987). A single fruit was removed from each of three single-shoot replicates at 48 and 96 hr and separated into seed, pericarp, petiole, and stem tissues. To minimize cross-contamination of tissue samples with H during excision, the tissue at the point of injection was discarded and the scalpel rinsed three times in 100% methanol before excising a different tissue.

Tissues were homogenized in 80% methanol (MeOH) using a mortar and pestle and centrifuged at 17,000 × g for 20 min, and the supernatant saved. The pellet was resuspended two more times in 80% MeOH, then centrifuged, and the supernatants were combined. The remaining pellet was resuspended in a scintillation vial with a minimal volume of 100% methanol. After the samples were taken to dryness a centrifugal rotary evaporator (Savant Speed-Vac, Farmingdale, N.J.), 10-ml scintillation cocktail (PCS, Amersham, Arlington Heights, Ill.) was added, and then the samples were counted in an Intertechnique SL30 scintillation counter. Quench correction was obtained using the external standard method.

Results

Seed abortion. All puncture and injection treatments resulted in fruit abortion of ‘Redskin’ and ‘Redkist’ by the end of Stage II (Fig. 1 a and b). Injection of water had little effect, whereas both GA treatments delayed the onset of abortion by several days. In ‘Loring’, fruit abortion was not affected by injection of water, but both GA treatments inhibited fruit abortion through Stage I; 68% of the GA,-treated and 42% of the GA,+-treated fruit being retained 5 weeks after treatment.

Although not obvious from Figs. 1 or 2, a decrease in growth rate of ‘Loring’ fruit was observed within 4 days of seed puncture unless GA was injected. Periodic sectioning of a population of seeded fruit indicated that the decline in rate of growth in non-abscising fruits corresponded to the onset of Stage II (Fig. 2). Abscission of fruit was unrelated to initial fruit size. Thirty-seven percent of the GA-treated fruits developed to maturity and ripened =2 weeks before seeded controls. These fruits appeared slightly elongated during Stage I and Stage II, but were similar in shape to seeded fruit at harvest.

GA, partially counteracted the effect of seed puncture on abscission when injected at 30 DAFB (Fig. 3). By the end of Stage II (64 DAFB), all the embryo-aborted fruit not treated with GA had abscised, irrespective of treatment date. Gibberellin application at 30 DAFB resulted in ≈75% fruit retention, relative to seeded controls. The GA injections at 40 and 50 DAFB (onset and middle of Stage II) delayed the onset of fruit abscission, but 95% of the fruit abscised 14 days after treatment; no fruit treated at 40 or 50 DAFB reached maturity.

Transport of H-(3, 4) gibberellin A. After 48 hr, 79% of the H-activity injected was recovered from seed tissue and 19% from pericarp. After 96 hr, these values were 56% and 41%, respectively. No more than 3% of activity was recovered outside the fruit at either time. More than 90% of the H activity was detected in the MeOH-soluble fraction at both 48 and 96 hr.
Discussion

A viable seed must be present throughout Stage I if the peach fruit is to mature normally. Tukey (1936) showed that the seed is also required during early Stage II of development for subsequent fruit maturation. No such requirement exists in late Stage II. Crane (1963) showed that GA sprays up to petal fall resulted in development and maturation of parthenocarpic fruit. Our experiments have characterized the effect of GA injection directly into the locule on development of peach fruit following seed abortion during mid to late Stage I. At least two periods exist during Stage I of ‘Loring’ that differ in their qualitative response to GA. Before 40 DAFB, application of GA resulted in fruit retention and development through Stage III. After 40 DAFB, GA application permitted development through Stage I.

Fig. 1. Fruit retention of seeded (○) fruit, seed puncture (■) fruit and fruit injected with water (---), 390 mg GA₃/liter (-----) or 390 mg GA₃+l/liter in (----) (A) ‘Redkist’, (B) ‘Redskin’, and (C) ‘Loring’ peach. Each point represents mean of three replicates of 15 fruit per replicate (± se).

Fig. 2. Growth of seeded, seed-punctured, and gibberellin-injected ‘Loring’ peach fruit. Control [set = -75.6 + 3.84*(DAFB) + 0.045*(DAFB²) + 0.0002*(DAFB³); r² = 0.970] represents mean of seeded fruit (N = 45) at each concentration. Abort [set = -75.7 + 3.84*(DAFB) - 0.038*(DAFB²); r² = 0.953] represents mean of seed-punctured and water-injected fruit (N = 90). Data for GA₃- and GA₃+l-injected fruit (N = 89) were combined and GA/Abort [set = -95.4 + 4.76*(DAFB) - 0.056*(DAFB²) + 0.0002*(DAFB³); r² = 0.994] represents all fruit that had not abscised at 115 DAFB (N = 23) and GA/Abort [set = -88.5 + 4.27*(DAFB) - 0.038*(DAFB²); r² = 0.929] all fruit that had abscised (N = 66). Fruit diameters of abscised fruit were excluded from growth measurements.

Fig. 3. Effect of timing and concentration of GA₃ injection into the locule of ‘Loring’ peach on fruit set at end of Stage II (64 DAFB). A quadratic equation was fit to concentrations for each treatment time. [Set at 30 DAFB = 1.02 + 0.27*(GA) - 0.0002*(GA²); r² = 0.98; Set at 40 DAFB = 0.21 + 0.018*(GA) - 8 × 10⁻⁶*(GA²); r² = 0.928; set at 50 DAFB = 0.043 + 0.019*(GA) - 1.6 × 10⁻⁵*(GA²); r² = 0.98]. Data points represent mean of three replicates ± se.
but not through Stages II and III. In ‘Redskin’ and ‘Redkist’, GA injection in mid-Stage I (31 and 33 DAFB, respectively) permitted fruit growth through Stage I, but not Stages II and III.

Abscission of fruit following seed-abortision does not occur for about 2 weeks, although fruit growth rate declines within 4 to 7 days. Presumably, redistribution of nutrients and abscission zone formation occur during this time. Cessation of growth and decreasing cheek diameter (mummification), without immediate abscission, explains the apparent decline in growth of ‘Loring’ fruit during Stage II. In contrast, fruit size of all three cultivars continued to increase following injection of GA. The increase persisted for only 6 to 10 days in ‘Redskin’ and ‘Redkist’ before growth ceased and fruit abscission began, but it continued throughout Stage I in ‘Loring’. These results indicate that gibberellin, either directly or indirectly, enhances sink strength of the fruit. Delayed abscission following GA treatment was not affected by cultivar, timing, type of gibberellin or concentration (over the range tested) (Fig. 1).

‘Loring’ fruit development following seed abortion was affected by timing of GA injections. When GA was injected directly into the ovule at 30 DAFB, fruit growth rate was increased, abscission of fruit through Stage II was substantially reduced, and 25% of the fruit with aborted seeds developed to maturity. When GA was injected at 40 DAFB, abscission was delayed but development of seed-aborted fruit to maturity no longer resulted. A concentration of 1000 mg GA/liter was no more effective than 250 mg·liter\(^{-1}\) in affecting fruit growth or abscission at any treatment date. Tissue sensitivity to GA induction of fruit development in seed-aborted fruit is apparently lost during late Stage I of peach growth.

Although phytohormones are present in developing seeds of peach (Jackson, 1968; Crane, 1969), their role in fruit growth is not well-understood. Whether de novo synthesis is occurring in the embryo, as is often assumed, or in the endosperm tissue is also unknown. Thorne (1985) reviews transport into developing seeds; however, no clear understanding exists of how the transport of phytohormones from the seed occurs and how they might regulate fruit development. The endosperm is enclosed within the testa, which is impermeable (Zucconi, 1983). In preliminary experiments, we did not observe any movement of neutral red, Congo red, or eosin Y from the locule into the adjoining endocarp after 72 hr of direct contact (data not presented).

From the \(^3\)H-GA\(_1\) studies, label clearly moved out of the locule into the pericarp tissue in the MeOH-soluble fraction. At the very least, this indicates that the \(^3\)H is able to move into other tissues from the seed cavity. Although precautions were taken to exclude the puncture wound from each sample, the treatment technique disrupted natural barriers to transport from the seed, thus precluding definitive interpretation of the results.

These results clearly demonstrate that GA can replace the seed in ‘Loring’ through mid-Stage I, but not later. In ‘Redkist’ and ‘Redskin’ mid-Stage I application of GA partially substitutes for the seed. It is concluded that other hormones associated with the seed must be involved in fruit growth from mid-Stage I to mid-Stage II.
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