We present the Mathematica package QMeS-Derivation. It derives symbolic functional equations from a given master equation. The latter include functional renormalisation group equations, Dyson-Schwinger equations, Slavnov-Taylor and Ward identities and their modifications in the presence of momentum cutoffs. The modules allow to derive the functional equations, take functional derivatives, trace over field space, apply a given truncation scheme, and do momentum routings while keeping track of prefactors and signs that arise from fermionic commutation relations. The package furthermore contains an installer as well as Mathematica notebooks with showcase examples.

I. INTRODUCTION

Functional approaches are a well-established tool to study non-perturbative aspects of quantum field theories. They have been successfully used for a wide class of non-perturbative physics problems, ranging from strongly correlated condensed matter and statistical physics systems over nuclear physics, QCD and high energy physics to beyond the Standard Model physics, cosmology and quantum gravity. Applications also include real-time aspects in an out of equilibrium. For reviews on various physics applications of functional methods see e.g. [1–30].

In these approaches one solves a set of functional integro-differential loop relations between correlation functions of the theory at hand. These relations are typically closed at one or two-loop order in full correlation functions. If aiming for quantitative precision this requires setting up and solving a large set of loop equations involving the full tensor structure and momentum dependences of the correlation function involved. This requires the use of elaborate computer-algebraic tools as well as well-structured numerics.

To date, there are still only a few computer-algebraic tools for functional methods [31–42]. In this work we present a package of QMeS (Quantum Master equations: environment for numerical Solutions), that can be used for the symbolic derivation of functional equations arising from a master equation. Relevant examples are Functional Renormalisation Group (fRG) Equations, Dyson-Schwinger Equation (DSE) or Slavnov-Taylor Identities (STI) and their modification in the presence of a cutoff, the modified STIs (mSTI). In most cases the cutoff is an infrared cutoff, and hence the mSTI includes the STI as a special case for a vanishing cutoff.

The package is written in Mathematica and can be used to derive a functional equation such as fRGs, DSEs, mSTIs from a given field content and, for the DSE, a given classical action. Then, symbolic equations for different n-point functions, i.e. the moments of the master equations, can be derived. Naturally, it works in a general field space, allowing for arbitrary theories and can include momentum routing for the diagrammatic/symbolic results. Its coherent implementation of conventions and handling of fermionic minus signs for diagrams allows for a simple and intuitive use. Due to its modular structure it facilitates future extensions to other master equations and more complicated objects and truncations.

In the following, we introduce the master equation for fRG, DSE, and mSTI as well as our condensed notation. We proceed by describing the details of the package in Section III, i.e. how the modules are connected via the interface, as well as the installation process. Then we give an overview of the input and output in QMeS-Derivation. Section V contains two examples: Yang-Mills and Yukawa theory ($N_f = 1$ and $N_f = 2$). For these example theories we describe, how to derive different symbolic functional equations from an action. In Section VI we summarise the main features of QMeS-Derivation.

II. QUANTUM MASTER EQUATIONS

In this section we discuss the quantum master equation for the fRG, mSTI and DSE. Full derivations can be found in Appendix A. We use a superfield notation throughout the paper, introduced below.

For a general quantum field theory the Euclidean action $S[\phi]$ reads

$$S[\phi] = \frac{1}{n!} S^{a_1...a_n} \phi_{a_n} \ldots \phi_{a_1}, \quad (1)$$

In (1) we have introduced deWitt’s condensed notation, for the form used here see [4]. The $a_i$ comprise internal and Lorentz indices, as well as species of fields and a sum/integration over space-time or momenta. The prefactor $1/n!$ is a vector-factorial, where each component corresponds to the factorial of the number of fields of the same species in the summand. Lowering and rising indices is done with the metric $\gamma^{ab}$, that is diagonal.
in bosonic subspaces and symplectic in fermionic ones. For a single fermion anti-fermion pair \((f, \bar{f})\) the metric is given by,

\[
(\gamma^{ab}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(2)

For the complete metric we have the normalisation

\[
\gamma^{ab} = \gamma^{ac}\gamma_{bc} = \delta^a_b,
\]

\[
\gamma^{ab} = \gamma^{ac}\gamma_{cb} = (-1)^{ab}\delta^a_b,
\]

(3)

with

\[
(-1)^{ab} = \begin{cases} -1 & a \text{ and } b \text{ fermionic,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

(4)

Then, lowering and rising indices follows as,

\[
\phi_a = \phi^b\gamma_{ba},
\]

\[
\phi^a = \gamma^{ab}\phi_b.
\]

(5)

The condensed notation introduced above allows us to write the Master equations in a concise form. Moreover, the metric introduced here is also used in the program.

The Schwinger functional \(W[J]\), the generating functional of connected correlation functions with the classical action (1), follows as,

\[
e^W[J] = \int D\phi \exp (-S[\phi] + J^a\phi_a) = Z[J].
\]

(6)

In order to make the condensed notation more explicit, we write the source term as a sum over internal and Lorentz indices, and species of fields, \(\alpha\), and a space-time integral,

\[
J^a\phi_a = \sum_\alpha \int d^4x J^a(\phi_a)(x).
\]

(7)

While the derivation of master equations is best done with the Schwinger functional and they also take the simplest form if formulated in \(W[J]\), for a discussion see e.g. [4], most applications are done for the effective action \(\Gamma[\Phi]\), the generating functional of one-particle irreducible (1PI) correlation functions. The argument of \(\Gamma\) is the expectation value \(\Phi\) of the field \(\phi\),

\[
\delta W[J] \over \delta J^a = W_a = \langle \phi_a \rangle_J = \Phi_a.
\]

(8)

Then, the effective action \(\Gamma[\Phi]\) is obtained as the Legendre transform of the Schwinger functional with respect to the source \(J\),

\[
\Gamma[\Phi] = \sup_{J} \left(J^a\Phi_a - W[J]\right).
\]

(9)

Equation (9) entails that the sources are related to the derivatives of \(\Gamma[\Phi]\) w.r.t. the fields,

\[
\delta \Gamma[\Phi] \over \delta \Phi_a = \Gamma^a = \gamma^a_b J^b,
\]

(10)

where we have used

\[
J^a\Phi_a = \Phi^a J_a = J_a \Phi^b \gamma^{ab} = \Phi_b J_a \gamma^{ab}.
\]

(11)

Finally we are interested in master equations for correlation functions, provided by source- and field-derivatives of the Schwinger functional and the effective action respectively. We will use the notation,

\[
\delta \over \delta F_{a_1} \cdots \delta \over \delta F_{a_n} \Gamma[\Phi] = \Gamma^{a_1 \cdots a_n},
\]

\[
\delta \over \delta J^a_1 \cdots \delta \over \delta J^a_n W[J] = W_{a_1 \cdots a_n}.
\]

(12)

The definition of the effective action entails, that the two-point function \(\Gamma^{ab}\) is the inverse of the propagator \(G_{ab} = W_{ab}\),

\[
G_{ab} = \langle \phi_a \phi_b \rangle - \langle \phi_a \rangle \langle \phi_b \rangle.
\]

(13)

In our condensed notation this reads,

\[
G_{\alpha \gamma}^\gamma = \gamma_h^a.
\]

(14)

With this setup we now derive quantum master equations in terms of the effective action, the flow equation for the effective action in the functional renormalisation group, the quantum equation of motion (Dyson-Schwinger equations), as well as the modified Slavnov-Taylor identities.

### A. Quantum equations of motion (DSE)

Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSEs) [43, 44] are the quantum equations of motion. They yield a complete description of the theory via 1PI correlation functions. In terms of the effective action they are given by,

\[
\delta \Gamma[\Phi] \over \delta \Phi_a = \delta S[\phi] \over \delta \phi_a \bigg|_{\phi_a = \phi_b + G_{bc}}.
\]

(15)

The full derivation of the DSE from the generating functional (6) can be found in Appendix A 1. The r.h.s. of (15) comprises a classical part as well as loops. It is evident from a theory with an \(n\)-th-order interaction of the fields leads to up to \(n - 2\)-loops in full propagators, full vertices as well as one classical vertex. This entails, that the DSE is a closed (exact) \(n - 2\)-loop functional master equation. As such it allows for perturbative as well as non-perturbative approximations. For reviews see e.g. [20–30].
B. Flow equation for the effective action (fRG)

The flow equation for the effective action within the Functional Renormalisation Group approach [45–49] can be viewed as a differential DSE. Typically, one introduces an (infrared) momentum regularisation, that suppresses quantum fluctuations below the infrared cutoff scale \( k \). This is done by changing the classical dispersion by \( 1/2 \phi_a R^{ab} \phi_b \), where \( R^{ab} \) is a momentum-dependent cutoff functions, that acts as a mass for low momenta and decays sufficiently fast for large momenta. More details and the derivation of the fRG equation, see Appendix A 2. This approach allows us to integrate-out quantum fluctuations successively within momentum shells, finally arriving at the full effective action at \( k = 0 \). With \( \partial_t = k \partial_k \), the fRG flow equation is concisely given by,

\[
\partial_t \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}^{ab} G_{ab}.
\]

Equation (16) is a one-loop exact master equation. The propagator \( G_{ab} \) is infrared regularised via the cutoff mass. In turn, the equation is ultraviolet finite as \( \hat{R}^{ab} \) decays for large momenta. In contradistinction to the DSE in (15), that is \( n - 2 \)-loop exact for an \( n \)th order interaction, the fRG-master equation is a closed (exact) one-loop equation for general theories. As for the DSEs, a complete set of fRG-equations solves the theory exactly. For reviews see e.g. [1–19].

C. STI & mSTI

Within a gauge-fixed formulation of gauge theories the underlying gauge-invariance is carried by the BRST-symmetry (Becchi, Rouet, Stora, Tyutin). In their infinitesimal form, this symmetry is described by the Slavnov-Taylor identities (STI) [50, 51]. They ensure the gauge invariance of observables, and can be formulated in terms of a master equation for the effective action including BRST-sources, [52, 53],

\[
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta Q^a} \delta \Gamma = 0.
\]

For deriving Equation (17) one adds source terms \( Q^a \delta \phi_a \) for BRST transformations to the path integral, for more details see Appendix A 3. The BRST transformation in Yang-Mills theory transforms a gauge boson into a ghost. The explicit transformation can be found in Equation (26). \( Q^a \) is a source term for the BRST transformation of the fields \( \phi_a \), see Equation (A25). For reviews see e.g. [4, 20–26, 28, 29].

However, the introduction of a cutoff term in the effective action breaks BRST symmetry for non-vanishing \( k \). This leads to a modification of the symmetry identities (mSTI) that appears as a 1-loop correction,

\[
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta Q^a} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi_a} = R^{ab} G_{bc} \Gamma^c Q^a.
\]

for more details see Appendix A 4. In the above equation one can already see that for \( k \to 0 \) the mSTI reduces to the STI. Thus, satisfying the mSTI at all scales \( k \), guarantees gauge invariance of observables at \( k = 0 \). For details beyond that provided in Appendix A 3 we refer to the reviews [4, 6, 8, 9, 16–19] and references therein.

III. DESCRIPTION

This section outlines the basic design and features of QMeS, i.e. its modules and how they are connected via the interface. Furthermore we give instructions on how to install the package.

A. Modules and Interface

The code consists of four main modules - getDSE.m, FunctionalDerivatives.m, SuperindexDiagrams.m and FullDiagrams.m - which are connected by the interface DeriveFunctionalEquation.m.

The four modules correspond to the four output options described in Section IV D. The workflow is depicted in Figure 1.

The user is required to provide a setup that consists of either a master equation or an association indicating that QMeS first needs to derive the DSE for a given classical action. Furthermore the setup needs to contain a definition of the field space and a truncation, as well as a list of field derivatives. Specifying the preferred form of the output (i.e. "OutputLevel") is optional.

Depending on whether or not a master equation was provided the interface calls the FunctionalDerivatives.m or first the getDSE.m module which then generates the Dyson-Schwinger equation of the theory, and passes it on to the FunctionalDerivatives.m module along with the setup and derivative list. Within this module the (remaining) field derivatives of the master equation are performed and fields are set to zero.

In the interface, the output and user provided input is again passed on to the SuperindexDiagrams.m module, where the trace in field space is performed, the field content of objects, like propagators, n-point functions and regulator insertions, are sorted, prefactors are computed and the truncation is applied.

The result together with the initial input is then used by the FullDiagrams.m module to replace the superfield indices with physical indices and the objects are replaced by functions of indices.

If the user has specified an output option, the workflow is terminated after the corresponding module providing the user with the chosen output. The default output option is "FunctionalDerivatives".
B. Requirements and Installation

Functionality of QMeS-Derivation is supported in Mathematica 12.0 or higher, although it may also work with older versions.

To install the package download the installer via:

```
Import["https://raw.githubusercontent.com/QMeS-toolbox/QMeS-Derivation/main/QMeSInstaller.m"];
```

Other options are to either save a copy of the repository in the ".//Mathematica/Applications" folder or append the path (yourpath) where the copy is saved to the list of paths where Mathematica searches for packages via:

```
AppendTo[$Path, "yourpath"];
```

Then the package can be loaded in Windows by calling the following or an equivalent path for Linux and MacOS:

```
<<"QMeS-Derivation\DeriveFunctionalEquation.m"
```

IV. INPUT, FUNCTIONS AND OPTIONS

To compute functional derivatives of a master equation one needs to define said equation as well as the theory one is working in. Both must be collected in an association.

```
Setup = <|"MasterEquation" -> masterEquation, "FieldSpace" -> fields, "Truncation" -> truncation|>
```

If one first wants to derive a DSE of a given theory, the setup must be provided as,

```
SetupDSE = <|"MasterEquation" -> "getDSE" -> "True", "classicalAction" -> classicalAction|>, <|"FieldSpace" -> fields, "Truncation" -> truncation|>
```

Note that one then needs a definition of the classical action via possible vertices.

A. Master Equations and Objects

Within the QMeS framework a master equation is defined as a list of objects, the first being an overall prefactor. Each object is of a specific "type" (e.g. propagator, n-point function, regulator or regulator derivative). Furthermore every object contains a list of "indices" that are superfield indices. For the fRG equation and the mSTI, the indices should be closed. We recall the fRG Equation (16) as an example of a master equation,

```
\partial_t \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \dot{R}^{ab} G_{ab},
```

as well as the modified Slavnov-Taylor identity (mSTI) introduced in Equation (18),

```
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta Q^a} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi_a} = R^{ab} G_{bc} \Gamma^c Q^a.
```

The mSTI can be written as:

```
LHSmSTIEq = <|"Prefactor" -> {1}, "type" -> "nPoint", "indices" -> {Q[a]}, "nPoint" -> 1, "spec" -> "BRST"|>, <|"type" -> "nPoint", "indices" -> {a}, "nPoint" -> 1, "spec" -> "none"|>
```

```
mSTIEq = <|"Prefactor" -> {1}, "type" -> "Regulator", "indices" -> {a, b}|>, <|"type" -> "Propagator", "indices" -> {b, c}|>, <|"type" -> "nPoint", "indices" -> {c, Q[a]}, "nPoint" -> 2, "spec" -> "BRST"|>
```

It is furthermore possible to derive the DSE of a given theory with the aforementioned setup. For further information see section Section IV D. The superindices in the master equations should not coincide with names of fields or any of their indices.

Prefactors

The first entry in every diagram is the Prefactor. It can contain numbers (1,−1,1/2,...) or a metric factor (−1)ab. For example the prefactor

```
"Prefactor" -> {-1/2, {a,b}, {b,b}, {b,c}};
```

translates into

```
- \frac{1}{2} (−1)^{ab} (−1)^{bb} (−1)^{bc},
```

where again the superfield index convention introduced in Equation (4) is used.

Regulator and Regulator Derivative

```
"type" -> "Regulatordot", "indices" -> {a, b}|>
```

```
"type" -> "Regulator", "indices" -> {a, b}|>
```

A regulator \(R^{ab}\) or regulator derivative \(\dot{R}^{ab}\) is an object with two superfield indices corresponding to the incoming and outgoing fields with their respective momenta and indices.
FIG. 1. Depiction of the workflow of QMeS-Derivation with its interface and modules.

**Propagator**

<"type" -> "Propagator", "indices" -> \{a, b\}>

A propagator $G_{ab}$ is an object with two superfield indices corresponding to the fields and their indices. These are lower indices. Note that for fRG and mSTI equations the propagator is $k$-dependent whereas it is not for DSEs.

**n-Point Functions**

<"type" -> "nPoint", "indices" -> \{a, b, c, d\}, "nPoint" -> 4, "spec" -> "none">

<"type" -> "nPoint", "indices" -> \{a, b\}, "nPoint" -> 2, "spec" -> "classical">

<"type" -> "nPoint", "indices" -> \{a, b, Q[c]\}, "nPoint" -> 3, "spec" -> "BRST">

n-Point functions are field derivatives of the effective action. The value of "nPoint" indicates the number of derivatives, whereas the "indices" again represent the superfield indices. The specification "spec" implies whether the vertex is a BRST ("BRST", $\Gamma^a_{\bar{Q}}$), a 1PI ("none", $\Gamma^{abcd}$) or a classical ("classical", $S^a$) one. The superfield index of a BRST source needs to be written as "Q[field]" to indicate that this is a lower index belonging to the BRST source of a field $Q[\text{field}]$ (for the notation, see Section IV B 1). Again it is worth mentioning that in case of fRG or mSTI equations the 1PI and BRST vertices are $k$-dependent objects.

**Fields**

<"type" -> "Field", "indices" -> \{a\}>

Fields $\Phi_a$ are objects with one lower index. Note that after taking all functional derivatives, external fields, which are left over, are set to zero.

**B. Theory**

The user is required to define a specific theory. This breaks down into two main parts: defining the fields with the respective indices and the truncation.

1. **Fields with indices**

The fields of a theory are either fermionic or bosonic. Antifermion/fermion pairs must be combined in a list.

fields =<"bosonic" -> \{A[p, \{mu, a\}], B[p]\}, "fermionic" -> \{{cbar[p, \{a\}], c[p, \{a\}]}, \{af[p, \{d\}], f[p, \{d\}]\}}, "BRSTsources" -> \{{Q[A], "fermionic"}, \{Q[B], "fermionic"}, \{Q[cbar], "bosonic"}, \{Q[c], "bosonic"}, \{Q[af], "bosonic"}, \{Q[f], "bosonic"}\}>;

If a theory contains no fields of either bosonic or fermionic statistics, it is then required to assign an empty list.

When computing mSTIs one also needs to define the BRST charges of fields. They are indicated by $Q[\text{field}]$ followed by the respective property of the charge (either...
"fermionic" or "bosonic"). For the computation of DSE or fRG equations it is not necessary to define the BRST sources.

The respective indices are provided as arguments of the fields, where the momentum is always the first entry, followed by a list of further indices (e.g. group or Lorentz indices). Note that the names of the indices for different fields does not need to be unique. For better readability it is recommended to define the same kind of index with the same name: these names (e.g. \{\text{mu, i}\}) in combination with a unique number (e.g. $\text{mu}$8215, i8215) will be used to create unique indices (e.g. \{\text{mu}8215, i8215\}) by QMeS.

2. Truncation and classical Action

For the derivation of DSEs it is necessary to define the classical action via vertices. This is done by giving a list of combination of fields that appear as a classical vertex in the action,

\[
\text{classicalAction} = \{(A, A), \{c, cbar\}, \{A, A, A\}, \{A, A, A, A\}, \{A, c, cbar\} \} ;
\]

Furthermore the truncation of the full theory is defined by specifying the truncation of 1PI and BRST vertices. It is worth mentioning that the user is also required to include the possible propagators in this list,

\[
\text{Truncation} = \{(A, A), \{c, cbar\}, \{A, A, A\}, \{A, A, A, A\}, \{A, c, cbar\}, \{A, A, c, cbar\} \} ;
\]

The truncation may be similar or include more vertices than the classical action.

In both definitions the order of fields or vertices is irrelevant.

C. Derivative List

Lastly one needs to specify a list of field derivatives. Note that the last entry of the list will be the first derivative.

\[
\text{DerivativeList1} = \{A, A\} ;
\]

\[
\text{DerivativeList2} = \{A[a], A[b]\} ;
\]

\[
\text{DerivativeList3} = \{A[-p, \{\text{mu, a}\}], A[p, \{\text{nu, b}\}]\} ;
\]

Generally one has three options: the first is to only provide the field names. This can be combined with the output options "getDSE" and "FunctionalDerivatives". The second is to assign superindices to the fields, this input additionally works with "SuperindexDiagrams". If one wants to obtain full diagrams with momentum routing ("FullDiagrams"), then one needs to assign indices and momenta to the fields.

D. Outputs

The main function takes two arguments: the setup and the list of field derivatives,

\[
\text{DeriveFunctionalEquation}[\text{Setup}, \text{DerivativeList}] ;
\]

The output is always a list of the diagrams that are produced. The specification of the diagrams can be altered with options.

Options are called via

\[
\text{DeriveFunctionalEquation}[\text{Setup}, \text{DerivativeList}, \text{"OutputLevel"} \to \text{options}] ;
\]

There are three options specifying the level of the output via "OutputLevel":

- getDSE
- FunctionalDerivatives
- FullDiagrams

The first option is to simply derive the Dyson-Schwinger equation via getDSE. The user needs to specify the classical vertices as well as at least one field derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi}$. From this the RHS of the DSE is computed according to the rules in Appendix A.5. This means the classical action (1) can be written as

\[
S[\phi] = \frac{1}{2!} S^{a_1 a_2} \phi_{a_1} \phi_{a_2} + \frac{1}{3!} S^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \phi_{a_1} \phi_{a_2} \phi_{a_3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} S^{a_1 \cdots a_n} \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} ,
\]

where only those orders appear that are given in the theory and where we have restricted ourselves for the sake of simplicity to only one species of fields. The getDSE module then computes

\[
\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi_i} \bigg|_{\phi_i = \Phi_i + G_i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_i} .
\]

Terms that end with a field derivative are immediately dropped. One then obtains the general diagrams that contribute to the DSE for a given classical action. If the field derivative list contains more than one entry, the last one is a field, whereas the others are processed as expectation values of fields.

\[
\text{DerivativeListDSE} = \{\Phi[a], \Phi[b], \Phi[c], \Phi[d]\}
\]

The list above thus produces

\[
\Gamma^{abcd} = \frac{\delta^3}{\delta \Phi_a \Phi_b \Phi_c} \left( \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi_d} \right)_{\phi_i = \Phi_i + G_i} .
\]
FunctionalDerivatives

If the option is set to "FunctionalDerivatives" the user obtains a list of diagrams that are generated by taking functional derivatives of the quantum master equation. The trace over fields in the diagrams is however not taken. Therefore one gets symbolic diagrams with fields set to zero. When choosing this option with a given master equation, the user is not required to specify a truncation.

The default output level is equal to calling the "FunctionalDerivatives" option.

SuperindexDiagrams

The third option is called "SuperindexDiagrams". If this is chosen, the trace over fields is taken, where only those diagrams remain that satisfy the truncation, and the fields in the objects are sorted canonically. This means that upper indices (e.g. for regulators, regulator derivatives or vertices, including BRST-vertices) are sorted as (bosonic, antifermionic, fermionic) and lower fermionic indices in reverse order. If two indices have are of the same type, e.g. two bosonic fields, they are sorted alphabetically. Lastly the prefactors are evaluated.

QMeS aims at generating outputs for general theories. For this reason we refrain from symmetrization or identification procedures for diagrams.

FullDiagrams

For the last module one may call the main function with the option "FullDiagrams", which means that in addition to the previous steps also the momentum routing is done for all 1-loop diagrams (i.e. fRG, mSTI, but not for all DSE diagrams). Superfield indices are replaced by physical indices and objects are transformed into functions of indices such that one can insert Feynman rules easily.

V. EXAMPLES

In this section we give different examples of deriving symbolic functional equations with QMeS.

The first example is deriving functional equations (i.e. fRG, mSTI and DSE) within Yang-Mills theory which serves as a prerequisite for QCD. Studying QCD with functional methods is an ab initio approach to investigate the non-perturbative regime.

Then we derive fRG equations in $N_f = 1$ and $N_f = 2$ Yukawa theory. It illustrates and emphasizes how QMeS handles multiple fermions and sorts the vertices accordingly. Furthermore a simple Yukawa model can already be used to describe nuclear forces between fermions which are mediated by pions thus approximating QCD with an effective field theory.

A. Yang-Mills theory

In the following we want to give the crucial steps one needs to take to compute functional equations in Yang-Mills theory (YM) with QMeS.

The theory we work in is SU(3) Yang-Mills theory, thus one has bosonic gauge fields $A_\mu(p)$, fermionic ghosts $c^a(p)$ and antighosts $\bar{c}^a(p)$. The classical Euclidean YM action including gauge fixing and ghost terms can be written as,

$$S = \int d^4x \left( \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \partial_\mu A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\nu 
+ \partial_\mu c^a \left( \partial_\nu c^a + g f^{abc} A_\nu^b c^c \right) \right), \quad (23)$$

with $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$. After Legendre transforming the classical action and introducing a regulator term one obtains the effective average action,

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{AA} AA - \Gamma^{\bar{c}\bar{c}} \bar{c} \bar{c} - \Gamma^{A\bar{c}} A \bar{c}c
+ \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{AAA} AAA + \frac{1}{24} \Gamma^{AAAA} AAAA
- \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{A\bar{c}\bar{c}} A \bar{c} \bar{c} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^{\bar{c}\bar{c}\bar{c}\bar{c}}, \quad (24)$$

with indices suppressed. For a BRST-symmetric action one includes the source terms,

$$\Gamma_{BRST} = - \Gamma^c_{QA} c Q^A + \Gamma^\varepsilon_{QA} Q^\varepsilon
- \Gamma^c_{QA} A c Q^A + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^\varepsilon_{QA} Q^\varepsilon, \quad (25)$$

which relate to the BRST transformations,

$$sA_\mu = \partial_\mu c^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b c^c \delta \lambda$$
$$sc^a = \frac{1}{2} g f^{abc} c^b c^c \delta \lambda$$
$$s\bar{c}^a = - \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_\mu A_\mu^a \delta \lambda, \quad (26)$$

with the infinitesimal transformation parameter $\delta \lambda$ via $\langle s \phi_a \rangle = - \delta \phi_a / \delta \lambda$. For more details see Appendix A 3 and Appendix A 4.

For pure Yang-Mills theory we can define the fields in QMeS as,

fieldsYM = 
<|"bosonic" -> {A[p, {mu, a}]},
"fermionic" -> {{cbar[p, {a}], c[p, {a}]}}|>;
\[ \partial_t \Gamma^{AA} = - \frac{1}{2} \] 
\[ -2 \] 
\[ + \]

FIG. 2. Graphical representation of the flow equation of the gluon two-point function, explicitly given in (28). The dashed lines represent the ghost, curly orange lines the gluon. Full, grey blobs represent full vertices and the crossed circle represents the regulator derivative.

\[
\text{TruncationYM} = \{ \{ A, A \}, \{ c, cbar \}, \{ A, A, A \}, \{ A, A, A, A \}, \{ A, c, cbar \}, \{ A, c, cbar, cbar \} \};
\]

The classical Yang-Mills action is given by
\[
\text{classicalActionYM} = \{ \{ A, A \}, \{ c, cbar \}, \{ A, A, A \}, \{ A, A, A, A \}, \{ A, c, cbar \}, \{ A, A, c, cbar \} \};
\]

Since we have a theory with ghosts \( c^a \) and color indices \( a, b, d, \ldots \), we use \( i, j, m \ldots \) as superindices for the master equations.

1. Flow of the gluon two-point function

To compute the flow of the gluon two-point function we need to define the Quantum Master equation which is in this case the FRG equation (16). This translates to QMeS input as,

\[
\text{frGEq} = \{ \text{"Prefactor" -> {1/2}},
\text{"indices" -> {i, j}}, \}
\text{"type" -> "Regulatordot"},
\text{"indices" -> {i, j}));
\]

Now we can define the setup
\[
\text{SetupYMfRG} = \{ \text{"MasterEquation" -> frGEq},
\text{"FieldSpace" -> fieldsYM},
\text{"Truncation" -> TruncationYM} \};
\]

The only thing that is missing is a specification of the field derivatives that we want to take:

\[
\text{DerivativeListAA} = \{ A[-p, \{ mu, a \}], A[p, \{ mu, b \}] \};
\]

Now we can derive symbolic diagrams. In general we have different output options (see Section IV D).

First we can take a look at the general structure of diagrams that are produced when taking two functional derivatives with respect to the superfields \( \Phi_a \) and \( \Phi_b \) by calling the QMeS command DeriveFunctionalEquation with the output option "OutputLevel" -> "FunctionalDerivatives". We then obtain

\[
\Gamma^{ab} = - \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{ia} (-1)^{ib} (-1)^{nn} \hat{R}^{ij} G_{im} \Gamma^{mabn} G_{nj} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{ia} (-1)^{ib} (-1)^{nn'} (-1)^{n'} \hat{R}^{ij} G_{im} \Gamma^{mabn'} G_{nj} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{ia} (-1)^{ib} (-1)^{nn'} (-1)^{n'} (-1)^{ab} \hat{R}^{ij} G_{im} \Gamma^{mabn} G_{nj} \Gamma^{m'an'} G_{nj}. \tag{27}
\]

One thus gets a tadpole diagram and two diagrams with two three-point vertices respectively. Next we want to get the fully traced diagrams by evaluating
\[
\text{frGDiagramsAA} = \text{DeriveFunctionalEquation[}
\text{SetupYMfRG, DerivativeListAA,}
\text{"OutputLevel" -> "FullDiagrams"};
\]

As a result we obtain in superindex notation where now \( a \simeq (-p, \mu, a) \) and \( b \simeq (p, \nu, b) \),

\[
\Gamma^{Aa, A_b} = - \hat{R}^{\tilde{c}\tilde{c}} G_{\tilde{c}\tilde{c}} \Gamma^{Aa, A_b} \tilde{c}\tilde{c} G_{\tilde{c}\tilde{c}} \\
- \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}^{AA} G_{AA} \Gamma^{Aa, A_b} A \tilde{c} \tilde{c} G_{\tilde{c}\tilde{c}} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}^{AA} G_{AA} \Gamma^{Aa, A_b} A \tilde{c} \tilde{c} G_{\tilde{c}\tilde{c}} \\
- \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}^{AA} G_{AA} \Gamma^{Aa, A_b} A \tilde{c} \tilde{c} G_{\tilde{c}\tilde{c}} \\
- \frac{1}{2} \hat{R}^{AA} G_{AA} \Gamma^{Aa, A_b} A \tilde{c} \tilde{c} G_{\tilde{c}\tilde{c}}. \tag{28}
\]

The QMeS output is a list of different traced diagrams such that one can easily define and insert the Feynman rules for the different objects like propagators, regulators or vertices. It can be found in Appendix B 1. A graphical representation of the flow can be found in Figure 2.

2. mSTI of gluon two-point function

To compute the mSTI of the gluon two-point function we need to alter our definition of fields and include the corresponding BRST sources.
\[ \Gamma^c Q_A^A^A - \Gamma^A Q^c^c^c = \]

FIG. 3. Graphical representation of the mSTI of the gluon two-point function, explicitly given in (29). The dashed lines represent the ghost, curly orange lines the gluon. Full, grey blobs represent full vertices and the crossed square with the triangle the contraction of a regulator with a BRST vertex.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^c Q_A^A^A & - \Gamma^A Q^c^c^c = R^{AA} G_{AA}^A A^{\bar{c}c} G_{c\bar{c}}^A A^c Q_A^A \\
& - R^{AA} G_{AA}^A A^{\bar{c}c} G_{c\bar{c}}^A A^c Q_A^A \\
& - R^{\bar{c}c} G_{c\bar{c}} A^{\bar{c}c} G_{c\bar{c}} A^c Q_c^c,
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

where for the sake of brevity, indices and momenta are dropped. The output of QMeS is given in Appendix B 2.

The diagrams that contribute to the mSTI can be found in Figure 3.

3. DSE of ghost-gluon vertex

In this subsection we derive the DSE for the ghost-gluon vertex. This can be done by taking functional derivatives of the action,

\[ \Gamma^{\bar{c}c} = \frac{\delta^2}{\delta \bar{c}\delta c} \left( \frac{\delta S}{\delta c} \right) \phi_n \rightarrow \Phi_n + G_{\omega \partial} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega \partial} . \]

(30)

We define the setup,

\[
\text{SetupYM} \text{DSE} = \langle \text{"MasterEquation" } \rightarrow \text{DSE} \rangle
\]

We get the full result by using the command:

\[ \text{mSTIDiagramsAALHS} = \text{DeriveFunctionalEquation}[\text{SetupLHS} \text{mSTI}, \text{DerviativeListmSTI}, \text{"OutputLevel" } \rightarrow \text{"FullDiagrams"}] ; \]

\[ \text{mSTIDiagramsAARHS} = \text{DeriveFunctionalEquation}[\text{Setup} \text{mSTI}, \text{DerviativeListmSTI}, \text{"OutputLevel" } \rightarrow \text{"FullDiagrams"}] ; \]

We obtain the full mSTI by evaluating

\[ \text{fieldsYMmSTI} = \langle \text{"bosonic" } \rightarrow \{A[p, \{mu, a\}], \}, \text{"fermionic" } \rightarrow \{\bar{c}[p, \{a\}], c[p, \{a\}], \}, \text{"BRSTsources" } \rightarrow \{Q[A], "fermionic", \} \text{, Q[bar], "bosonic"}, \{Q[c], "bosonic"}\rangle ; \]

The truncation then also changes. The vertices on the right-hand side of the mSTI are for the sake of simplicity truncated as,

\[
\text{TruncationYM} \text{RHSmSTI} = \{\{A, A\}, \{c, \bar{c}\}, \{A, A, A\}, \{A, A, A, A\}, \{A, c, \bar{c}\}, \{A, Q[\bar{c}]\}, \{c, Q[A]\}, \{A, c, Q[A]\}, \{c, c, Q[c]\} ; \]

and for the left-hand side we choose

\[
\text{TruncationYM} \text{LHSmSTI} = \{\{A, A\}, \{c, \bar{c}\}, \{A, A, A\}, \{A, A, A, A\}, \{A, c, \bar{c}\}, \{A, Q[c]\}, \{c, Q[A]\}, \{A, c, Q[A]\}, \{c, c, Q[c]\} ; \]

Lastly we need to define the right- and left-hand side of the mSTI equation (18). In the QMeS formalism this is done by

\[
\text{mSTIRHS} = \{\text{"Prefactor" } \rightarrow \{1\}, \langle \text{"type" } \rightarrow \text{"Regulator"}, \text{"indices" } \rightarrow \{i, j\}\rangle, \langle \text{"type" } \rightarrow \text{"Propagator"}, \text{"indices" } \rightarrow \{j, m\}\rangle, \langle \text{"type" } \rightarrow \text{"nPoint"}, \text{"indices" } \rightarrow \{m, Q[i]\}, \text{"nPoint" } \rightarrow \{2\}, \text{"spec" } \rightarrow \text{"BRST"}\rangle ; \]

\[
\text{mSTILHS} = \{\text{"Prefactor" } \rightarrow \{1\}, \langle \text{"type" } \rightarrow \text{"nPoint"}, \text{"indices" } \rightarrow \{Q[i]\}, \text{"nPoint" } \rightarrow \{1\}, \text{"spec" } \rightarrow \text{"none"}\rangle ; \]

We define the two setups as,

\[
\text{SetupYM} \text{mSTIRHS} = \langle \text{"MasterEquation" } \rightarrow \text{mSTIRHS}, \text{"FieldSpace" } \rightarrow \text{fieldsYMmSTI}, \text{"Truncation" } \rightarrow \text{TruncationYM} \text{RHSmSTI} \rangle ; \]

\[
\text{SetupYM} \text{mSTILHS} = \langle \text{"MasterEquation" } \rightarrow \text{mSTILHS}, \text{"FieldSpace" } \rightarrow \text{fieldsYMmSTI}, \text{"Truncation" } \rightarrow \text{TruncationYM} \text{LHSmSTI} \rangle ; \]

To obtain the mSTI of the gluon two-point function one needs to take derivatives with respect to the ghost and gluon field,

\[
\text{DerivativeListAAmSTI} = \{A[-p, \{mu, a\}], c[p, \{b\}]\} ; \]

\[
\text{DerivativeListAcbarcDSE} = \{A[p1, \{mu, a\}], \bar{c}[p2, \{b\}], c[-p1 - p2, \{d\}]\} ; \]

We define the derivative list

\[
\text{DerivativeListAcbarcDSE} = \{A[p1, \{mu, a\}], \bar{c}[p2, \{b\}], c[-p1 - p2, \{d\}]\} ; \]

We get the full result by using the command:
FIG. 4. Graphical representation of the DSE of the ghost-gluon vertex, explicitly given in (31). The dashed lines represent the ghost, curly orange lines the gluon. Full, grey blobs represent full vertices and small, black blobs represent classical vertices.

DSEDiagramsAcbarc = DeriveFunctionalEquation[
  SetupYMDSE, DerivativeListAcbarcDSE, "OutputLevel" -> "FullDiagrams"];

Diagrammatically the result is,
\[
\Gamma_{A\bar{a}c\bar{b}d} = S_{A\bar{a}c\bar{b}d} + S_{A\bar{c}d}\Gamma_{AA\bar{a}c}G_{\bar{a}c\bar{b}d} - S_{A\bar{c}d}\Gamma_{AA\bar{a}c}G_{\bar{a}c\bar{b}d} + S_{A\bar{a}c}G_{\bar{a}c\bar{b}d}\Gamma_{AA\bar{a}c}G_{\bar{a}c},
\]
where we have used the superindices \(a \simeq (p_1, \mu, a), b \simeq (p_2, b)\) and \(d \simeq (-p_1 - p_2, d)\). The full equation can be found in Appendix B 3. The symbolic DSE can be found in Figure 4.

B. Yukawa theory

In this example we want to compute simple two-point flows in Yukawa theory. To further illustrate how QMeS handles multiple fermions we do this in \(N_f = 1\) as well as \(N_f = 2\). Generally we can write the action of a Yukawa theory as,
\[
S = \int d^4x \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi(-\partial^2 + m_\phi^2) + \lambda \phi^4 + \bar{\psi}(\partial + m_\psi) \psi - g \phi \bar{\psi} \psi \right).
\]
(32)

The effective action contains
\[
\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \phi^4 \phi \phi + \bar{\psi} \psi + \frac{1}{24} \Gamma_{\phi\phi\phi\phi} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}\bar{\psi}\psi\psi}.
\]
(33)

As a master equation we again use the fRG equation,
\[
fRGEq = \{"Prefactor" -> {1/2}, "type" -> "Regulatordot", "indices" -> \{i, j\}\}, \{"type" -> "Propagator", "indices" -> \{i, j\}\}];
\]

1. \(N_f = 1\)

For \(N_f = 1\) we only have one flavour of fermions and thus only one antifermion/fermion pair in the definition of fields. Furthermore a Yukawa theory also contains a scalar field, which has bosonic statistics.

Flows of the scalar two-point function

To compute the flow of the scalar two-point function we define the list of derivatives as,
\[
DerivativeListScalarTwopoint = \{\Phi[-p], \Phi[p]\};
\]

To get the full diagrams one has to run the command
\[
frGDiagramsPhiPhiNf1 = DeriveFunctionalEquation[
  SetupNf1, DerivativeListScalarTwopoint, "OutputLevel" -> "FullDiagrams"];
\]

The result with superindices \(a \simeq (p_1, \mu, a), b \simeq (p_2, b)\) and \(d \simeq (-p_1 - p_2, d)\) is given as,
\[
\dot{\Gamma}_{\phi\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} R_{\phi\phi} G_{\phi\phi} \Gamma_{\phi\phi\phi\phi} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\bar{\psi}\bar{\psi}\psi\psi}.
\]
(34)

The full output of QMeS is given in Appendix B 4.

Flow of the fermionic two-point function

The derivative list for the flow of the fermionic two-point is
\[
DerivativeListFermionTwopoint = \{\Psibar[-p, \{d\}], \Psi[p, \{d\}]\};
\]

The full diagrams can be obtained with
\[ \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi} \psi} = - R_{\bar{\psi} \psi}^{\bar{\psi} \psi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi} \psi} \hat{\psi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} \hat{\psi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} G_{\psi \bar{\psi}} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} R_{\bar{\psi} \psi} G_{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi} \psi} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} G_{\phi \phi} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} R_{\bar{\psi} \psi} G_{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi} \psi} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} G_{\phi \phi} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} R_{\bar{\psi} \psi} G_{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi} \psi} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} G_{\phi \phi} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} R_{\bar{\psi} \psi} G_{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi} \psi} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} \hat{\phi} \hat{\bar{\psi}} G_{\phi \phi} \]

where indices were again dropped. The full output of QMeS is given in Appendix B 4.

\section{N_f = 2}

Since we now want to include two flavours of fermions, we need to implement two antifermion/fermion pairs. For simplicity we call them \((\psi_1, \psi_1)\) and \((\psi_2, \psi_2)\).

\begin{align*}
\text{fieldsNf2} &= \langle |"bosonic" -> \{\text{Phi}[p],
\text{"fermionic" -> \{
\{\text{Psi1}[p, \{d\}], \text{Psi1}[p, \{d\}],
\{\text{Psi2}[p, \{d\}], \text{Psi2}[p, \{d\}]\}\}\rangle;
\end{align*}

The truncation is then given by

\begin{align*}
\text{TruncationfRGNf2} &= \{\{\text{Phi}, \text{Phi}\}, \{\text{Psi1, Psi1}, \text{Psi1, Psi1}\},
\{\text{Psi1, Psi2, Psi2}, \{\text{Phi, Phi}, \text{Phi, Phi}, \text{Phi, Phi}\},
\{\text{Psi1, Psi1, Psi2, Psi2}, \{\text{Psi1, Psi2, Psi2}, \text{Psi1, Psi2, Psi2}\},
\{\text{Psi1, Psi1, Psi2, Psi2}\}\};
\end{align*}

The setup is then given as,

\begin{align*}
\text{SetupNf2} &= \langle |"MasterEquation" -> fRGEq,
"FieldSpace" -> fieldsNf2,
"Truncation" -> TruncationfRGNf2\rangle;
\end{align*}

\section{Flow of the scalar two-point function}

As before we define the two scalar field derivatives as,

\begin{align*}
\text{DerivativeListScalarTwoPoint} &= \{\text{Phi}[-p, \text{Phi}[p]]\};
\end{align*}

To get the full diagrams one has to run the command

\begin{align*}
\text{fRDiagramsPhiPhiNf2} &= \text{DeriveFunctionalEquation[}
\text{SetupNf2, \text{DerivativeListScalarTwoPoint},
"OutputLevel" -> "FullDiagrams"
\text{]};
\end{align*}

The result in superindex notation with \(a \simeq (-p)\) and \(b \simeq (p)\) is given as,

\begin{align*}
\hat{\Gamma}^{\phi_a \phi_b} &= - \frac{1}{2} R_{\phi \phi}^{\phi \phi} \Gamma^{\phi \phi_a \phi_b} G_{\phi \phi} \\
&\quad - R_{\phi \phi}^{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi \phi_a \phi_b} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi \phi} \\
&\quad - R_{\phi \phi}^{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi \phi_a \phi_b} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi \phi} \\
&\quad - R_{\phi \phi}^{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi \phi_a \phi_b} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi \phi}. \quad (36)
\end{align*}

The full output of QMeS is given in Appendix B 5.

\section{Flow of the fermionic two-point function}

The derivatives with respect to the first antifermionic and fermionic fields is given as,

\begin{align*}
\text{DerivativeListFermion1TwoPoint} &= \{\text{Psi1}[-p, \{d\}], \text{Psi1}[p, \{d\}]\};
\end{align*}

The full diagrams can be obtained with

\begin{align*}
\text{fRDiagramsPsi1Psi1Nf2} &= \text{DeriveFunctionalEquation[}
\text{SetupNf2, \text{DerivativeListFermion1TwoPoint},
"OutputLevel" -> "FullDiagrams"
\text{]};
\end{align*}

The result in superindex notation is then

\begin{align*}
\hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1} &= - R_{\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1} G_{\phi, \phi_1} \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi, \phi_1} \\
&\quad + R_{\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1} G_{\phi, \phi_1} \hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi, \phi_1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} R_{\phi \phi}^{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi \phi_a \phi_b} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi \phi} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} R_{\phi \phi}^{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi \phi_a \phi_b} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi \phi} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} R_{\phi \phi}^{\phi \phi} \hat{\Gamma}^{\phi \phi_a \phi_b} \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} G_{\phi \phi}. \quad (37)
\end{align*}

Here one can see how the canonical sorting of fields in vertices is followed by an alphabetical one. The full output of QMeS is given in Appendix B 5.

\section{VI. CONCLUSION}

In this work we have introduced the Mathematica package QMeS-Derivation. It allows to derive symbolic functional equations from a master equation (fRG, mSTI,
using a total derivative of Taylor Identities and the DFG under Germany’s Excellence Strategy EXC - 2181/1 - 390900048 (the Heidelberg Excellence Cluster STRUCTURES).
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Appendix A: Derivation of Master Equations

In the following we want to outline the basic steps in deriving the Dyson-Schwinger and Functional Renormalisation Group equations, as well as (modified) Slavnov-Taylor Identities that were introduced in Section II.

1. Derivation of the Dyson-Schwinger equation

One can derive the Dyson-Schwinger equation (DSE) for 1PI Greens functions by taking a total derivative of the integral (6)

\[ 0 = \int D\phi \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} \exp (-S[\phi] + J^a \phi_a) \]

\[ = \int D\phi \left( - \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi_a} + (-1)^{aa} J^a \right) \exp (-S[\phi] + J^a \phi_a) \]

\[ = \left( - \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi_a} + (-1)^{aa} J^a \right) \frac{Z[J]}{\Delta Z[J]} \cdot \]

When pulling the derivative term the source out of the integral one has to replace the field \( \phi \) with a derivative with respect to the source.

Using the relation,

\[ e^{-W[J]} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta J^a} \right) e^{W[J]} = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J^a} + \frac{\delta}{\delta J^a} \cdot \]

one obtains the DSE for connected Greens functions

\[ \frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi_a} \bigg|_{\phi_a = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J^a} + \frac{\delta}{\delta J^a}} + (-1)^{aa} J^a = 0 \cdot \]

By rewriting the derivative with respect to \( J \) as and using the definition of the propagator \( W_{ab} = G_{ab} \),

\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta J^a} = \frac{\delta \Phi_b}{\delta \Phi_a} \delta \]

\[ = \frac{\delta}{\delta J^a} \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J^b} \delta \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_b} \]

\[ = G_{ab} \delta \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_b} \cdot \]

one can express the DSE in terms of the effective action

\[ \frac{\delta \Gamma[\Phi]}{\delta \Phi_a} = \frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi_a} \bigg|_{\phi_a = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J^a} + \frac{\delta}{\delta J^a}} . \]

The generalised DSE for quantum symmetries can be derived by inserting a generic function \( \Psi[\phi] \) in the derivation of equation (A1),

\[ \frac{1}{Z[J,R]} \int D\phi \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} \left( \Psi[\phi] \exp (-S[\phi] + J^a \phi_a) \right) , \]

thus yielding

\[ \left< \Psi[\phi] \right> \frac{\delta \Gamma[\Phi]}{\delta \Phi_a} = \left< \Psi[\phi] \frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi_a} \right> - \left< \frac{\delta \Psi[\phi]}{\delta \phi_a} \right> . \]

2. Derivation of the fRG equation

Since one introduces an (infrared) momentum-regularisation one modifies the Schwinger functional by

\[ Z[J,R] = e^{\frac{\delta Z[J,R]}{\delta J^a}} e^{\frac{\delta Z[J,R]}{\delta J^a}} \cdot \]

with the so-called regulator insertion,

\[ \Delta S[\phi, R] = \frac{1}{2} R^{ab} \phi_a \phi_b \cdot \]

The flow of the generating functional can be written as,

\[ k \partial_k Z[J, R] = -(k \partial_k \Delta S[\phi, R]) Z_k[J, R] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} (k \partial_k R^{ab}) \frac{\delta^2 Z[J, R]}{\delta J^a \delta J^b} \cdot \]

Using the relation,

\[ \frac{1}{Z[J, R]} \frac{\delta^2 Z[J, R]}{\delta J^a \delta J^b} = W_{ab} + W_a W_b \cdot \]

the flow equation in terms of the Schwinger functional is

\[ k \partial_k W = -\frac{1}{2} (k \partial_k R^{ab}) (W_{ab} + W_a W_b) \cdot \]
where $W$ is a function of $J$ and $R$.

One can define the propagator as,

$$G_{ac} (\Gamma + \Delta S)^{cb} = \gamma_a^b ,$$

$$\leftrightarrow G_{ac} (\Gamma^{cb} + R^{bc}) = \gamma_a^b . \quad (A13)$$

After a Legendre transformation one obtains the effective average action

$$\Gamma[\Phi, R] = \sup_J \left( J^a \Phi_a - W[J, R] - \Delta S[\Phi, R] \right). \quad (A14)$$

Again the relations between the fields and sources in terms of the effective average action are given as,

$$\frac{\delta (\Gamma[\Phi, R] + \Delta S[\Phi, R])}{\delta \Phi_a} = (-1)^{a a} J^a ,$$

$$\frac{\delta W[J, R]}{\delta J^a} = \Phi_a . \quad (A15)$$

By switching to the RG-time $t = ln(k/\Lambda)$ with $\partial_t = k \partial_k$ one can write

$$\partial_t \Gamma = -\partial_t W - \partial_t \Delta S$$

$$- \partial_t J^a \left( \Phi_a - \frac{\delta W}{\delta J^a} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t R^{ab}) (W_{ab} + W_a W_b) - \partial_t \Delta S$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_t R^{ab} \right) W_{ab} + \partial_t \Delta S - \partial_t \Delta S$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} R^{ab} G_{ab} . \quad (A16)$$

where $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma[\Phi], W \equiv W[J, R], \Delta S \equiv \Delta S[\Phi, R]$ and we have used equation (A12) as well as

$$\frac{1}{2} (\partial_t R^{ab}) \frac{\delta W}{\delta J^a} \frac{\delta W}{\delta J^b} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_t R^{ab} \right) \Phi_a \Phi_b$$

$$= \partial_t \Delta S . \quad (A17)$$

Note that the superfield index notation above implies the summation and thus trace over all fields and integration over space-time.

3. Derivation of the Slavnov-Taylor identity

The classical Yang-Mills action of non-abelian gauge theories is gauge invariant, but neither the ghost nor the gauge fixed action are,

$$\delta^{a a}_{gauge} e^{-S_A} = \delta^{a a}_{gauge} (S_gf + S_{gh}) , \quad (A18)$$

where $\delta^{a a}_{gauge}$ is the generator of a gauge transformation. Additionally it has the form of an operator in the generalized DSE (A7) with $\delta / \delta \phi_a \Psi[\phi] = \delta^{a a}_{gauge}$ which means that:

$$\frac{1}{Z[J]} \int D\phi \ \delta^{a a}_{gauge} \left( \exp(-S_A[\phi] + J^a \phi_a) \right)$$

$$= \langle J^a(\delta^{a a}_{gauge} \phi_a) - \delta^{a a}_{gauge} (S_gf + S_{gh}) \rangle = 0 . \quad (A19)$$

Carrying out the expectation value leads to the Slavnov-Taylor identities (STI) of the theory. These identities guarantee the gauge invariance of observables.

Since $\delta^{a a}_{gauge}$ is not a symmetry of the underlying classical theory we would like to find a transformation that is. This is satisfied by the BRST transformation

$$\delta_{BRST} \phi_a = \delta \lambda s \phi_a , \quad (A20)$$

where the infinitesimal parameter $\delta \lambda$ as well as the BRST generator $s$ are Grassmannian.

The action is invariant under BRST transformations

$$\mathcal{S} A[\phi] = 0 . \quad (A21)$$

Again with $s$ as an operator the generalized DSE can be written as,

$$\frac{1}{Z[J]} \int D\phi \ s \left( \exp(-S_A[\phi] + J^a \phi_a) \right) = 0 . \quad (A22)$$

Thus the expectation value vanishes,

$$(-1)^{a a} \langle J^a s \phi_a \rangle = 0 . \quad (A23)$$

Note that the prefactor $(-1)^{a a}$ is due to the grassmannian nature of $s$.

$$s J^a \phi_a = (-1)^{a a} J^a s \phi_a . \quad (A24)$$

Since the BRST transformations of fields are usually quadratic in the fields, it seems as if one looses the algebraic nature of the symmetry on quantum level. To resolve this one may introduce additional source terms $Q^a$ for the BRST transformations of the fields,

$$Z[J, Q] = \int D\phi \exp(-S_A[\phi] + J^a \phi_a + Q^a s \phi_a) . \quad (A25)$$

Since $s^2 = 0$, this does not change Equation (A23).

Then one can write the STI takes again algebraic form as

$$\langle s \phi_a \rangle = \frac{1}{Z[J, Q]} \frac{\delta Z[J, Q]}{\delta Q^a} . \quad (A26)$$

By Legendre transforming the Schwinger functional $\ln Z[J, Q]$ one obtains the effective action in the presence of source terms for the BRST transformation,

$$\Gamma[\Phi, Q] = J^a \Phi_a - \ln Z[J, Q] . \quad (A27)$$

We can directly see that

$$\langle s \phi_a \rangle = \frac{\delta \Gamma[\Phi, Q]}{\delta Q^a} = \frac{1}{Z[J, Q]} \frac{\delta Z[J, Q]}{\delta Q^a} . \quad (A28)$$
Rewriting the expectation value Equation (A23) yields the STI

\[
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta Q^a} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi_a} = 0. \tag{A29}
\]

Fulfilling this relation guarantees gauge invariance of observables.

4. Derivation of the modified Slavnov-Taylor identity

Due to the presence of the cutoff (A9) in the effective average action, gauge and hence BRST symmetry are broken, which means that we need to introduce modified Slavnov-Taylor identities (mSTIs) at a non-vanishing momentum scale \( k \) that become the usual STIs for \( k = 0 \).

Starting from the generating functional with the cutoff term \( \Delta S[\phi, R] \) one can derive the mSTIs,

\[
Z[J, Q] = \int D\phi \exp \left( -S[\phi] - \Delta S[\phi, R] \right) \cdot \exp \left( J^a \phi_a + Q^a \Phi_a \right). \tag{A30}
\]

Note that either the BRST charge or the field itself is of grassmanian nature. Thus the expectation value Equation (A23) changes to

\[
(-1)^a a \langle J^a s \phi_a \rangle = \langle s \Delta S[\phi, R] \rangle. \tag{A31}
\]

After Legendre transforming the momentum scale dependent Schwinger functional one obtains the following relation:

\[
\langle s \phi_a \rangle = \frac{\delta W[J, Q, R]}{\delta Q^a} = -\frac{\delta \Gamma[\Phi, Q, R]}{\delta Q^a}. \tag{A32}
\]

Rewriting the sources \( J^a \) in terms of the effective average action (A15) one is left with,

\[
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta Q^a} \frac{\delta (\Gamma + \Delta S)}{\delta \Phi_a} = \langle s \Delta S[\phi, R] \rangle. \tag{A33}
\]

Moving all terms that contain \( \Delta S \) to the right, one can further simplify by using relation (A32),

\[
\langle s \Delta S[\phi, R] \rangle = \frac{\delta \Delta S[\Phi, R]}{\delta \Phi_a} \frac{\delta \Gamma[\Phi, Q, R]}{\delta Q^a} = \langle s \Delta S[\phi, R] \rangle + \Delta S[\Phi, R]. \tag{A34}
\]

Inserting the cutoff term (A9) one arrives at,

\[
\langle R^{ab} (s \phi_a) \phi_b \rangle + R^{ab} (s \Phi_a) \Phi_b = -R^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta J^b} \frac{\delta}{\delta Q^a} W[J, Q, R] = R^{ab} G_{bc} \Gamma^c \Phi_a. \tag{A35}
\]

Thus the full mSTI reads

\[
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta Q^a} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi_a} = R^{ab} G_{bc} \Gamma^c \Phi_a. \tag{A36}
\]

Satisfying the mSTI at each momentum scale \( k \) ensures gauge invariance of observables at \( k = 0 \).

5. Summary of derivative rules

The relevant derivative and sign rules that are used in QMeS can be summarized as

\[
R^{ab} = (-1)^{ab} R^{ba}, \quad G_{ab} = (-1)^{ab} G_{ba}, \quad \Gamma^{ab} = (-1)^{ab} \Gamma^{ba},
\]

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_a} O = (-1)^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_a} O,
\]

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} R^{bc} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} \phi_b = \delta_{ab}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} \Phi_b = \delta_{ab}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} S^{abcd} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} S^{bcd} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} \Gamma^{bc \ldots n} = \Gamma^{ab \ldots n}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_a} G_{bc} = (-1)(-1)^{ab}(-1)^{ce} G_{bd} \Gamma^{dac} G_{ec}.
\]
Appendix B: Results for the examples

In this section we give the QMeS output for the examples in Section V.

1. YM: Flow of gluon two-point function

The full diagrams of the flow of the gluon two-point function with all indices are

2. YM: mSTI of gluon two-point function

The gluon two-point mSTI is given as:

3. YM: DSE of ghost-gluon vertex

The full ghost-gluon vertex DSE is:
4. Yukawa $N_f = 1$: Flow of two-point functions

The flow of the scalar and fermionic two-point function are:

\[
\Gamma^{(1)}(q, p, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda^2}{q^2} \right)
\]

5. Yukawa $N_f = 2$: Flow of two-point functions

The flow of the scalar and fermionic two-point function are:

\[
\Gamma^{(2)}(q, p, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda^2}{q^2} \right)
\]
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