In this work, the solar water collector flow tube geometry is modified as curved and spiral to enhance the system’s performance. The investigation is carried out experimentally under the meteorological conditions of the Kovilpatti region (9°10’0” N, 77°52’0” E), Tamil Nadu, India. The flow pipes of the solar water heater are made of copper material which has higher thermal conductivity to recover the water heat as thermal energy. The influence of the mass flow rate (MF) on the flow pipes with respect to the surface temperature for various configurations of the flow tubes is investigated. The two MFs of 0.0045 kg/s and 0.006 kg/s are tested. The MF of 0.006 kg/s yields the maximum efficiency of 73% compared to the other MF. The straight, curved, and spiral tubes yielded the maximum efficiency of 58%, 62%, and 69%, respectively, at 0.0045 kg/s. Similarly, the MF of 0.006 kg/s obtained an efficiency of 62%, 65%, and 73% for straight, curved, and spiral flow tubes, respectively. The economics and exergy of the system are analyzed. The maximum exergy efficiency of the collector is estimated to be 32% for the MF of 0.0045 kg/s for the spiral flow collector, and for the 0.006 kg/s MF, the obtained exergy efficiency is 27% for the spiral flow water heater. The economic analysis revealed that the expense is $0.0608 and $0.0512 worth of hot water produced for the domestic space heating.

1. Introduction

The loss of energy in the form of heat is inevitable in our everyday life. It plays a significant role and is used for various purposes, such as cooking, heating water, space heating, industrial process heating, and drying. Almost one-third of the world-generated energy is utilized in the form of heat. In addition, it is estimated that approximately 50% of the
heat energy is expended on hot water requirements for different purposes [1, 2]. It demonstrates the value of thermal energy and the need for hot water for humans. However, the requirements for hot water have been met in two main ways: electric heaters and fuel burning. Both of these means are correlated with higher energy costs and can cause severe environmental damage. In recent decades, the use of solar water heaters (SWHs) has become widespread among end users and provided their economy and viability in hot water generation [3]. They can be applied appropriately for a wide range of applications, from domestic water heating to industrial process heating with almost zero operating costs and energy independence [4, 5]. The feasibility study on SWHs using the Monte Carlo method suggested constructing SWHs for the most economical generation of hot water. In most SWHs, the heat energy from the sun is recovered either with the aid of a flat-plate collector or with an evacuated tube collector to heat the water. In addition, a pump may be used if an active circulation of water is required; otherwise, a simple thermosyphon-dependent circulation is maintained without a pump [6, 7].

Despite the numerous advantages of SWHs, some obstacles, such as low thermal efficiency and low annual fractions, were caused by the intermittent solar radiation and composites weather [8–10], integrating thermal storage materials [11], and modifying collector geometries [12]. The FPC and tube-fin arrangement is introduced to improve its performance [13]. They concluded that the rod-type enhancers showed a more significant improvement than the tube-type enhancer and that the performance enhancement factor was increased to 1.38%. In another study, the surface of the FPC was studied following the introduction of convective barriers. The results showed that the heat loss was substantially reduced by increasing the number of barriers, which improved the system’s efficiency [14]. The screen mesh [15] was investigated to improve the performance of the solar collector heat pipe. Methanol was used as working fluid in the water heater. They found that the efficiency improved with the mesh number, and the optimum mesh number was suggested as 100 mesh per inch of the condenser segment. The benefit of using different types of phase change materials (PCMs) had also been studied in several studies to improve the performance of solar collectors [16–18]. The experiment is with a SWH coupled with an oscillating heat pipe and a PCM [19]. They confirmed that the PCM had reduced the output fluctuations by 30% and increased the outlet water temperature to 50°C. The impact of paraffin-based PCM [20] was investigated on the annual solar portion of the

Figure 1: Experimental setup of SWH with flow tubes of (a) straight tubes, (b) curved tubes, and (c) spiral tubes.
evacuated solar tube collector. A reported 20.5% improvement in the efficiency of their SWH is recorded with PCM support. Several other works of literature have discussed the possibilities of using nanoadditive-based fluids to increase the performance of solar collectors [21, 22]. Michael and Iniyan [23] tested a nanofluid containing copper oxide nanoparticles in water as a working fluid in a flat-plate SWH under different flow conditions. The experiment showed that

**Figure 2: Flowchart of the study.**

**Table 1: Instrument specifications.**

| Instrument                                      | Range             | Accuracy | Uncertainty (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Solar radiation meter (PYRA 300 V2)              | 0–1800 W/m²       | ±1       | 0.57            |
| Temperature indicator (RTD Pt100Ω class A)       | 0–100°C           | ±0.3°C   | 0.017           |
| Thermocouple wire (K-type)                       | 0–220°C           | ±0.1°C   | 0.057           |
| Measuring jar                                    | 0–1000 mL         | ±1 mL    | 0.57            |

**Figure 3: Hourly variation of (a) solar radiation and (b) ambient temperature of Kovilpatti 2020 during summer.**
the nanofluid increased the collector’s thermal efficiency by 6.3%. The comprehensive review on the heating and cooling process using nanomaterials for lubricant is reviewed in Refs. [24, 25].

Flat-plate SWHs had been deployed worldwide in several numbers due to their simple design and lower costs relative to other types of SWHs. However, the necessary design and geometry were the critical factors in determining the efficient performance of the FPC system, especially for systems operating under thermosyphon flow conditions. The effect of connecting a mantle-shaped heat exchanger to a flat-plate solar collector was reported (see Ref. [26]). The mantle-shaped heat exchanger had been documented to reduce the system’s efficiency regularly.

The FPC was numerically evaluated with the effect of the dimples on the tubes of FPCs to improve the heat transfer [27]. It was concluded that the heat transfer has been increased by 32.3%. On the other hand, the dimples increased the friction factor of the tubes by around 11%, which were not a helpful phenomenon in this type of the system. In a recent study [28], helical-shaped corrugated risers had been tested as a heat transfer enhancement mechanism for a FPC and have enriched the thermal output factor by 2.3. Silva and Salviano [29] examined a FPC fitted with a distinctively built winglet-type vortex SWH. The results showed an improvement in heat transfer but significantly increased friction resistance of the flow. Deployment of helical inserts within the flow tubes improves the flat-plate SWH [30].

Figure 4: Hourly variation of the outlet water temperature at the MF of (a) 0.0045 kg/s and (b) 0.006 kg/s.
Extensive literature studies had shown a great deal of potential to increase the performance of flat-plate SWHs without incurring additional costs and material by modifying the collector geometry. In addition, the previous literature has shown that flow parameters are significant factors and must be critically studied in the implementation of any improvements to the solar collector geometry to achieve maximum performance from the SWH. In this work, the efficiency of the flat-plate SWH is studied and compared with three different geometric configurations of the flow tubes: one with a straight layout, the second with a curved layout, and the third with a spiral layout. Significant improvements are seen in the efficiency of the SWH and are stated in the following section.

The economic and embodied energy analysis of the system is carried out for the feasibility study.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Materials. The investigated SWH is shown in Figure 1, which includes a solar collector with various flow tubes, such as a straight, curved, and spiral-shaped flowing water system, and measuring instruments. The SWH system is composed of a storage system, flow tubes, and a primary control valve that regulated the MF of the water. The absorber plate is coated with the black color to absorb a larger amount of heat energy. The glass cover is used to insulate the surface and

---

Figure 5: Hourly variation of the solar water collector thermal efficiency at the MF of (a) 0.0045 kg/s and (b) 0.006 kg/s.
allow the light energy to strike on the surface of the collector with 4 mm thickness. SWH walls are insulated with thermo- col to reduce conduction losses. The SWH is shifted to the sun’s location and held at an inclination angle of 9° of the site. The various surface temperature of the SWH is measured and plotted. The inlet and outlet water temperature is measured using a thermocouple wire. According to the position of the collector, a frame of wood of appropriate dimensions supports the solar water collector. A SWH entrance and outlet tube is installed so that the copper tube can be similarly locked in the insulated box so that the thermal energy of the solar insolation can be used best. Figure 2 shows the flow-chart of the study.

The experiment took place in March 2020 at Kovilpatti, National Engineering College, in Tamil Nadu, India. The experiments are conducted on different mass flows of 0.0045 and 0.006 kg/s which were chosen to perform the experimentations. Water flowed through the straight, curved, and spiral flow tubes of the SWH system from the top of the absorber plate. As solar radiation continuously fell on top of the glass cover, this resulted in water heating. Moreover, the water traveled across the floor of the solar heating systems, which is shown in Figure 2, and the used instrument is listed in Table 1.

2.2. Methods. The main objective of this study is to enhance the performance of the SWH by modifying the flow tubes of the solar collector. The experimentation was carried out during March 2020. Energy and exergy analysis of the SWH was analyzed with various flow tubes such as straight, curved, and spiral flow. The systematic study was formulated, and the performance of the SWH was analyzed. Three SWHs were designed and fabricated with the dimension of 1 m × 1 m. Each SWH was incorporated with specially designed flow tubes such as straight, curved, and spiral flow tubes. The incident solar radiation on the solar collector and ambient parameters were measured using mini meteorological stations throughout the day.

(1) FPCs should be fixed at a particular angle according to the locality so that maximum solar radiation can be absorbed efficiently

(2) Initially, a straight-type copper tube is installed inside a FPC to analyze the performance, followed by a spiral tube and a curved tube

| Flow tube geometry       | Research finding                        | Reference |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Twist tape               | 9.29 higher than conventional SWH        | [37]      |
| Helically twisted tape   | Collector efficiency improved by 3.9%    | [38]      |
| Dimple tube              | The average temperature is 69°C          | [39]      |
| Left-right twisted tape  | Instantaneous efficiency is found (85%)  | [40]      |
| A zigzag pattern         | 62.90% efficiency was obtained           | [41]      |
| Circular flow pipes      | 65% efficiency was obtained               | Present study |
| Spiral flow pipes        | 73% efficiency was obtained               | Present study |

![Figure 6: Mean daily thermal efficiency of the solar collector.](image)
(3) Two header pipes were provided for the circulation of water in and out of the collector. Curved-type and straight-type copper tubes should be attached between the two header pipes with equal distance spacing.

(4) A storage tank was placed above the solar collector for storing the water. As the water gets heated up while flowing through the tubes, there occurs a temperature difference.

(5) This temperature difference also causes density variation that causes the natural circulation of water based on the thermosyphon effect. In terms of time, a simple beaker is used to measure the MF of the water on a volume basis.

Figure 7: Hourly variation of the exergy efficiency of the solar collector at the MF of (a) 0.0045 kg/s and (b) 0.006 kg/s.
3. Experimental Uncertainty

Error is the difference between the value measured and the actual value of the value measured. Two forms of error exist: sporadic and structural. Random errors are changed as tests are performed under stable conditions, but structural errors cannot be changed. The integrated method quantifies uncertainty concerning experimental effects [31]. The type B errors are measured as the precision and calibration characteristics. In this analysis, all individual measurement parameters are uniformly distributed. The experiments mentioned here have independent parameters: absorber surface temperature and water outlet temperature. Uncertainty for form B is the regular expression of [31, 32]

\[
un = \frac{ay}{\sqrt{3}},
\]

where “un” is the standard uncertainty and “ay” is the device’s precision identified by the device manufacturer. Table 1 shows the difficulties concerning the experimental equipment. The uncertainty of efficiency is calculated as 0.052%.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Energy Analysis of the Solar Water Heating System. Solar radiation mainly depends on local weather and location. In March 2020, the experiments were carried out with clear sky conditions. The output of a flat-plate SWH with various flow pipes is evaluated. The sample experimental days are presented for the MF of 0.0045 and 0.006 kg/s during March 12, 2020, and March 15, 2020, respectively. The experimental day is represented as day 1 and day 2. The energy collected is converted to the thermal energy of water in the pipes. Thus, the inlet temperature \( T_i \) is not the same as the outlet temperature \( T_o \). The amount of energy gained is calculated using the following equation:

\[
Q = mC_p \times (T_o - T_i),
\]

where \( m \) is the MF water and \( C_p \) is the specific heat of the water.

The collector efficiency is obtained by using the relation

\[
\eta = \frac{Q}{A_c \times I},
\]

where \( A_c \) is the collector area (m\(^2\)) and \( I \) is the solar intensity.

Figure 3 shows the hourly variation of solar radiation and ambient temperature of day 1 and day 2 of the Kovilpatti region. Figure 4 shows the hourly differences in temperature between incoming and outlet water, the solar collector capacity, and the comparison of straight, curved, and spring solar flow tubes for SWH's experimental day ambient parameter. It is inferred from Figure 4 that suggests daytime temperature variation for various MFs for the SWH’s straight, curved, and spiral flow tubes. It indicates that the solar collector’s temperature increases as predicted by the rise in solar radiation and peaks at 14.00. The whole day at that time is the solar strength. Temperature variation increases with lower MF. The temperature is a maximum of 66°C, 69°C, and 72°C for straight, curved, and spiral flow tubes with a MF of 0.0045 kg/s and a minimum of 29°C with a MF of 0.0045 kg/s. As the MF increases from 0.0045 to 0.006 kg/s, the outlet water temperature decreases.

The effect of intermittent solar radiation may affect the surface temperature of the collector which indirectly reduces the system efficiency. The mean temperature difference of the solar water channel is seen in the output temperature of the solar water channel during two test days at the corresponding MF. A significant factor in assessing system performance is the temperature differential. Figure 5 shows that the system temperature difference is decreased with rising MF. For SWHs with straight, curved, and spiral tubes, the temperature variations of the mass flow of 0.0045 kg/s are, respectively, 38°C, 41°C, and 44°C.

Figure 5 shows the integrated relationship between the MF and the hourly variation on the energy conversion efficiency of the SWH with different flow tubes, including straight, curved, and spiral flow tubes, in two experimental days. On the first day, the MF for the water is 0.0045 kg/s, which is the highest day with a straight, curved, and spiral efficiency of 58%, 62%, and 69%, respectively. The water MF is increased to detect a change in the device efficiency after the first experimental day. The thermal efficiency of the system has been considerably improved. On the second day, efficiency is 62%, 65%, and 73% at a MF of 0.006 kg/s for straight, curved, and spiral tubes, respectively. The increased MF contributes to an increase in the heat transfer coefficient, and consequently, the efficiency is increased [5, 11]. The performance of the SWH depends on incident solar radiation, MF, and configuration of the flow tube geometry [33]. The variation in the geometry of the SWH induces the flow of the liquid medium that also enhances the performance of the spiral flow collector. The performance of the solar water collector is compared with the different geometries of the flow tube, and it is presented in Table 2.

Figure 6 indicates a variation of the mean day-to-day solar flow-tube thermal efficiency collector of two different mass flow speeds. The energy derived from solar radiation is 43 MJ at a MF of 0.006 kg/s. The energy provided by the solar water collector is 14.7 MJ. The remaining water in the tank had supplied energy at 10.1 MJ. Therefore, the average

Table 3: Investment cost of SWH.

| Components                      | FPC | CFC | SFC |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Flow pipes (copper tubes)       | 40.9| 42.2| 39.0|
| Collector area                  | 51.9| 51.9| 51.9|
| Acrylic sheets                  | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| Structure stand                 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Absorber black coating          | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Fabrication labor cost          | 13.0| 13.0| 13.0|
| Total cost                      | 125.0| 126.3| 123.1|
The exergy input of the system is expressed as follows:

\[
\text{Ex}_\text{in} = A_x I(t) X \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{T_a}{T_s} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{T_a}{T_w} \right)^4 \right],
\]

where \( A_x \) is the area of the collector (m\(^2\)) and \( I(t) \) is the solar radiation (W/m\(^2\)). A container should be put on an incline temperature of 6000 K. The exergy analysis of the SWH is calculated for various geometries such as straight, curved, and spiral collectors. The maximum exergy efficiency of the collector is estimated as 32% for the MF of 0.004 kg/s at the spiral flow collector, while for the 0.006 kg/s MF, the obtained exergy efficiency is 27% for a spiral flow water heater, which is plotted in Figures 7(a) and 7(b).

**4.3. Solar Water Heater Economic Evaluation.** The significant feature of the SWH for the residential building is the annual energy savings due to the thermal energy gain. The annual energy gain is considered based on the energy provided by the SWH and the reduction of the heating load during its lifetime [34]. The capital cost of the SWH is listed in Table 3.

CRF is the capital recovery factor of the device. The interest rate \((r)\) and the scheme’s period which are supposed to last \( n \) are 12% and 10 years, respectively.

\[
\text{Sinking factor fund} = \frac{r}{(1 + r)^n - 1}. \tag{8}
\]

Here, the system’s salvage value \((S)\) is taken as 20% of the system’s capital cost \((P)\). An equation calculates the sinking fund factor using equation (8).

The costs of SWHs produced by these systems are shown in Table 4. The SWH with modified flow tubes may affect the expense of $0.0608 and $0.0512 worth of hot water produced for the domestic space heating.

**4.4. Embodied Energy.** The embodied energy consists of valuing the energy used to transport the raw material, producing the components, installing and maintaining the component, and installing the product in the house [35]. The breakdown of energy used for the system components has been listed in Table 5. The embodied energy is 1211 kWh.

The embodied energy for the SWH is expressed as follows:

\[
\eta_{\text{exe}} = \frac{\text{Ex}_{\text{out}}}{\text{Ex}_{\text{in}}}, \tag{4}
\]

\[
\text{Ex}_{\text{out}} = \frac{m_{\text{Lh}}}{3600} X \left[ 1 - \frac{T_s}{T_w} \right]. \tag{5}
\]

The average EPBT payback period of the SWH is 2 years. The proposed SWH EPBT is listed in Table 4.
5. Conclusion

The comparative performance of the modified SWH is experimentally examined for various flow tubes, including straight, curved, and spiral tubes. The MF is inversely proportional to the difference in temperature between the input and outlet flows.

(i) The maximum difference in temperature is reported at a MF of 0.0045 kg/s. However, the temperature differential is directly related to solar radiation and increases as solar radiation raises the surface temperature.

(ii) The two MFs are tested, such as 0.0045 and 0.006 kg/s. The MF of 0.006 kg/s yields the maximum efficiency of 73% compared to the other MF.

(iii) The straight, curved, and spiral tubes yielded the maximum efficiency of 58%, 62%, and 69%, respectively, at 0.0045 kg/s. Similarly, the MF of 0.0045 kg/s obtained an efficiency of 62%, 65%, and 73% for straight, curved, and spiral flow tubes, respectively.

This work will help the stakeholder and architects to design the domestic heating with the SWH. A SWH without modification of the flow tubes would have a 5% increase in resources. The economic analysis revealed that the expense is $0.0608 and $0.0512 worth of hot water produced for the domestic space heating.

Nomenclature

MF: Mass flow rate  
SWH: Solar water heater  
FPC: Flat-plate solar collector  
CFC: Curved flow solar collector  
SFC: Spiral flow solar collector  
EPBT: Energy payback time  
$m_0$: Amount of freshwater (kg/h)  
$L_h$: Latent heat of vaporization (J/kg K)  
$T_a$: Ambient temperature  
$T_w$: Water temperature.
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