Interferon gamma/NADPH oxidase defense system in immunity and cancer

Zdenek Hodny\textsuperscript{a}, Milan Reinis\textsuperscript{b}, Sona Hubackova\textsuperscript{a}, Pavla Vasicova\textsuperscript{c}, and Jiri Bartek\textsuperscript{a,d}

\textsuperscript{a}Department of Genome Integrity of Institute of Molecular Genetics of the ASCR, v.v.i., Prague, Czech Republic; \textsuperscript{b}Immunology Unit CCP, Institute of Molecular Genetics of the ASCR, v.v.i., Prague, Czech Republic; \textsuperscript{c}Laboratory of Cell Reproduction, Institute of Microbiology of the ASCR, v.v.i., Prague, Czech Republic; \textsuperscript{d}Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark

ABSTRACT
As a part of cellular pathogen defense, IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} triggers induction of NADPH oxidase NOX2, which produces superoxide into phagosomes of immune cells. Recent data show that a similar mechanism can also operate in IFN\textsubscript{\gamma}-mediated anticancer control. IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} is capable of inducing expression of constitutively active NADPH oxidase NOX4 in tumor cells leading to generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) damaging DNA, activation of DNA damage response and cell cycle arrest/premature cellular senescence.

As one of immune system mediators, interferon gamma (IFN\textsubscript{\gamma}) orchestrates the function of various immune cells in organismal protection against pathogens and also links the innate and adaptive arms of immunity. Besides its function in antiviral and antibacterial immunity, the crucial role of IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} in tumor immunosurveillance/anticancer immunity, as well as in cancer immunocoediting, is well documented. In simple terms, the anticancer effects of IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} are bimodal. Firstly, IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} can affect cancerous cells directly by inhibition of their proliferation and sensitization to cell death. Additionally, IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} modulates cancer development indirectly, for example by suppression of tumor-associated angiogenesis and/or activation of various components of immune system-mediated antitumor defense, such as via upregulating expression of MHC class I and II molecules on tumor cells or enhancing antitumor cytotoxicity of NK cells. Like type I interferons, IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} has been found to induce, besides cell death (apoptosis and necrosis), also premature cellular senescence in human cells in vitro via DNA damaging activity mediated by ROS (references see in\textsuperscript{3}). This senescence-inducing antitumour effect of IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} was also demonstrated in vivo using murine model of pancreatic \(\beta\)-cell cancer.\textsuperscript{3} The latter study showed that IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} produced together with TNF\textsubscript{\alpha} by CD4\textsuperscript{+}T\textsubscript{H}1 lymphocytes limited the growth of \(\beta\)-cancer cells via induction of p16\textsuperscript{INK4a}/pRb-dependent senescence, which required also intact STAT1 and TNFR1 signaling. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that the direct effects of IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} on tumor cells can be cell and tumor type-specific and even opposite cell responses (e.g., proliferation induction) can be observed.

The antimicrobial activities of IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} are also linked to IFN\textsubscript{\gamma}-mediated induction of superoxide generation during respiratory burst of phagocytes (neutrophils and macrophages) via activation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases (NOXs). NOXs are membrane-associated multicomponent enzymes that catalyze one electron transfer from NAD(P)H to O\textsubscript{2}. The reaction product, superoxide anion, is a potent ROS undergoing further chemical and enzymatic exchanges leading to generation of other ROS such as hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl or nitrogen radicals (arising after reaction of superoxide with nitric oxide to form peroxynitrite). The seven mammalian enzymes NOX1-5 and DUOX1/2 differ in subunit composition, subcellular localization, mechanism of activation and function. Besides the host defense mediated by microbial effects of ROS carried by both immune-system and non-immune cells such as intestinal epithelia, NOXs are involved in diverse physiological and pathophysiological processes in mammals. Importantly, NOX1 and NOX4 are causally involved in ROS-induced DNA damage during development of various forms of senescence.\textsuperscript{4-6} In our recent study,\textsuperscript{2} we elucidated the mechanism of NOX-mediated ROS generation in senescence induced by IFN\textsubscript{\gamma}. Previously, IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} has been reported as a potent inducer of NOX1 and NOX2 and it was suggested that their transcriptional activation is mediated via transcription factors STAT1 and IRF1, as both NOX1/2 genes contain corresponding DNA binding elements (reviewed in ref.\textsuperscript{7}). We showed that while IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} induces both NOX1 and NOX4 during development of senescence in human HeLa cells, NOX4 alone is the factor responsible for inducing the DNA damage (note NOX4 is constitutively active, i.e., it does not require coactivator regulatory subunits, in contrast to most other NOXs). Our findings point out that IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} can trigger NOX-mediated oxidative burst not only in immune cells but also in non-immune (cancerous) cells (Fig. 1) and this mechanism might serve as an effective tool for control of malignancy by the immune system.\textsuperscript{3} Unexpectedly, the effect of IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} on NOX4 expression in HeLa cells was indirect and mediated via TGF\textsubscript{\beta}/SMAD signaling activated downstream of IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} pathway. This discovery links IFN\textsubscript{\gamma}/STAT and TGF\textsubscript{\beta}/SMAD signaling modules into one machinery operating to restrain cancer cell proliferation by the immune system.
system. It can be envisaged that abrogation of signaling components of either IFN\(\gamma\)/STAT or TGF\(\beta\)/SMAD signaling modules can modify the antiproliferative response of cancer cells to IFN\(\gamma\). Indeed, this was supported by our additional observation that TC-1 tumor cells harbouring an intact JAK/STAT signaling pathway but unresponsive to IFN\(\gamma\) in terms of senescence development, lacked induction of NOX4, DNA damage response and activation of cell cycle checkpoints.\(^2\) It should be further explored whether other tumor cells resistant to IFN\(\gamma\)-mediated antiproliferative effects share such lack of NADPH oxidase expression.

From a perspective of disease pathogenesis, some recent studies provided striking observations about a complex interplay among cytokine signaling, regulation of expression of NADPH oxidases and DNA damage response.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^10\) Weyemi et al., showed that ROS generated by NOX4 and NOX5 in human primary fibroblasts exposed to ionizing radiation contribute significantly to radiation-induced DNA damage, as the extent of post-irradiation DNA damage can be suppressed by inhibition of either NOX4 or NOX5. Intriguingly, as shown in another study,\(^6\) DUOX1 is specifically and persistently upregulated in irradiated thyrocytes in a radiation dose-dependent manner, via p38MAPK/IL13 signaling, thereby contributing (via H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production) to persistent DNA damage and senescence-like growth arrest. Notably, pretreatment of thyrocytes with catalase, a scavenger of H\(_2\)O\(_2\), led to decreased expression of DUOX1, whereas H\(_2\)O\(_2\) had opposite effect, indicating the existence of a self-amplification mechanism. Even more puzzling but underscoring these two studies are the findings of Fandy et al.,\(^10\) which might add the missing piece of the puzzle to mutual interplay between NOXs and DNA damage signaling. The authors showed that 5-aza-2‘-deoxycytidine (DAC) induced expression of several NOX isoforms in leukemia cells resulting in ROS generation, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Surprisingly, inhibition of ATM, the crucial kinase orchestrating DNA damage response, diminished DAC-induced NOX4 upregulation suggesting that NOX4 levels are controlled by DNA damage signaling. Although the reasons for existence of such self-amplified DNA damage promoting mechanism are presently unclear, it can be foreseen as a component of antimicrobial/antiviral/anticancer immunity poised to eliminate infected or malignant cells.
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