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Abstract

We review some aspects of the attractor mechanism for extremal black holes of (not necessarily supersymmetric) theories coupling Einstein gravity to scalars and Maxwell vector fields. Thence, we consider $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ supergravities, reporting some recent advances on the moduli spaces associated to BPS and non-BPS attractor solutions supported by charge orbits with non-compact stabilizers.
The so-called attractor mechanism was first considered in the framework of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 4$ ungauged supergravity coupled to $n_V$ vector multiplets \cite{1}-\cite{5}. It concerns the stabilization of the scalar fields $\phi^i$ ($i = 1, ..., n_V$) of the theory near the event horizon of an extremal, static, spherically symmetric and asymptotically flat black hole (BH) \cite{6}. An extremal BH can be defined to have vanishing temperature ($T = 0$), and thus it is thermodynamically stable. The asymptotical behavior of the scalars $\phi^i$ is defined by the limits

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \phi^i (r) = \phi^i_{\infty} \in \mathcal{M};
$$

$$
\lim_{r \to r_H} \phi^i (r) = \phi^i_H (q, p),
$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the scalar manifold, $r_H$ is the radial coordinate of the event horizon, and $(q, p)$ denotes the set $\{q_\Lambda, p_\Lambda\}$ of the electric and magnetic charges of the BH ($\Lambda = 0, 1, ..., n_V$), which are conserved due to the overall $(U(1))^{n_V+1}$ gauge-invariance of the considered theory. The dynamical flow determining the radial evolution of the scalars $\phi^i (r)$ between the above two asymptotical limits is non-singular near the horizon, provided that

$$
\left. \frac{\partial V_{BH} (\phi, q, p)}{\partial \phi^i} \right|_{\phi^i = \phi^i_H} = 0,
$$

where $V_{BH}$ is a certain positive definite, charge-dependent function in $\mathcal{M}$, named BH effective potential \cite{5}. The condition (3) determines the so-called attractor equations, whose solutions are the purely charge-dependent, stabilized horizon configurations $\phi^i_H (q, p)$ in the r.h.s. of Eq. (2). By using the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area formula \cite{7, 5}, the classical BH entropy reads

$$
S (q, p) = \frac{A_H}{4} = \pi V_{BH} (\phi_H (q, p), q, p),
$$

where $A_H$ is the area of the BH event horizon.

The horizon geometry of extremal, asymptotically flat BHs in $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 4$ supergravity is a maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 2$ background, namely the Bertotti-Robinson (BR) $AdS_2 \times S^2$ BH metric \cite{9, 10}, which in turn is a particular case of the extremal $p$-brane horizon geometry $AdS_{p+2} \times S^{d-p-2}$ \cite{11}.

The first class of attractors to be studied was the $1/2$-BPS one, which preserves 4 supersymmetries out of the 8 pertaining to the asymptotical $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 4$ Poincaré superalgebra. Examples of such attractors are given by Figures 1 and 2. Recently, many important advances have been performed in the study of extremal BH attractors, mainly concerning new classes of attractor configurations, corresponding to non-BPS, non-supersymmetric horizon geometries \cite{12}-\cite{46}.

For asymptotically flat extremal BHs $V_{BH}$ is given in terms of the scalar-dependent, complex symmetric matrix $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda \Sigma} (\phi)$ (with $\text{Im} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda \Sigma}$ negative definite), determining the couplings of the Maxwell field strength terms $\mathcal{F}^2$ and $\mathcal{F} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in the Lagrangian density, and of the electric and magnetic BH charges \cite{5}:

$$
V_{BH} (\phi, q, p) = -\frac{1}{2} (q_\Lambda - \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda \Sigma} p^\Sigma) \left( \text{Im} \mathcal{N} \right)^{-1|\Lambda \Delta} (q_\Delta - \mathcal{N}_{\Delta \Gamma} p^\Gamma).
$$
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Figure 1: Realization of the attractor mechanism in the $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS dilatonic BH \cite{3, 4, 6}. Independently on the set of asymptotical $(r \to \infty)$ scalar configurations, the near-horizon evolution of the dilatonic function $e^{-2\phi}$ converges towards a fixed attractor value, which is purely dependent on the (ratio of the) quantized conserved charges of the BH.

Figure 2: Minimization of the absolute value of the “central charge” function $Z$ in $\mathcal{M}$. In the picture $z_{\text{fix}}^i(p, q)$ stands for the attractor, purely charge-dependent value of the scalars at the event horizon of the considered $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS extremal BH. The attractor mechanism fixes the extrema of the central charge to correspond to the discrete fixed points of the attractor variety \cite{8} $\mathcal{M}$. Of course, the dependence of the central charge on scalars is shown for a given supporting BH charge configuration.
Such a formula is valid for any (not necessarily supersymmetric) theory coupling Einstein gravity to scalars and Maxwell vector fields, whose Lagrangian density in general has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} R - g_{ab} \left( \partial_{\mu} \phi^a \right) \left( \partial_{\nu} \phi^b \right) G^{\mu\nu} + (\text{Im}\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}) \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{\Sigma\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\Sigma} + \ldots,$$

where $g_{ab}$ is the metric of the scalar manifold and $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the space-time metric.

An equivalent (but manifestly duality-covariant) expression reads [5]

$$V_{BH}(\phi, q, p) = -\frac{1}{2} Q^T M(N) Q,$$

where $Q^T$ is the $1 \times (2n_V + 2)$ vector $(p^\Lambda, q_\Lambda)$ of the BH charges, and $M(N)$ is the symplectic $(2n_V + 2) \times (2n_V + 2)$ real, negative definite symmetric matrix

$$M = R^T M_D R, \quad R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\text{Re}N & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_D \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \text{Im}\mathcal{N} & 0 \\ 0 & (\text{Im}\mathcal{N})^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M\Omega M = \Omega, \quad \Omega \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4$ supergravity the scalar manifold is endowed with the so-called special Kähler geometry (see e.g. [47]) ; its metric $g_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j K$ ($K$ being the Kähler potential) and $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}$ are respectively given by the formulæ:

$$g_{ij} = -ie^K \left[ (\overline{D_j X}^\Lambda) D_i F_\Lambda - (D_i X^\Lambda) \overline{D_j F}_\Lambda \right];$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} = h_{i\Lambda} (f^{-1})^i_\Sigma, \quad f^\Lambda_i \equiv e^{K/2} \left( X^\Lambda, \overline{D_i X}^\Lambda \right), \quad h_{i\Lambda} \equiv e^{K/2} \left( F_\Lambda, \overline{D_i F}_\Lambda \right),$$

where $D_i$ denotes the Kähler-covariant derivative, and $(X^\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ are the holomorphic sections of the Hodge bundle over $\mathcal{M}$ ($F_\Lambda = \partial \overline{\partial} F(X)$, whenever the holomorphic prepotential function $F(X)$ exists) (see e.g. [47] and Refs. therein).

The symplectic-covariant formulation of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ special Kähler geometry can be actually generalized to all extended ($\mathcal{N} = 3, \ldots, 8$) $d = 4$ supergravities [48, 25, 49]. In such theories, $V_{BH}$ can be expressed as

$$V_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} |Z_{AB}|^2 + |Z^I|^2,$$

where $Z_{AB}$ $(A, B = 1, \ldots, \mathcal{N})$ is the antisymmetric central charge matrix and $Z^I$ are the so-called dressed (or matter) charges, respectively appearing in the supersymmetry transformations of the gravitinos $\psi_{\mu A}$ and of the other fermions $\lambda^A_\Lambda$ of the theory in the considered BH background:

$$\delta_\epsilon \psi_{\mu A}\big|_{BH} \sim Z_{AB} \gamma^A_{\mu} \epsilon^B;$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \lambda^I_\Lambda \big|_{BH} \sim Z^I \epsilon_A.$$
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where $\gamma_\mu$ are the $\gamma$-matrices and $\varepsilon$ is the parameter of the supersymmetric transformation.

Let us consider the maximal $d = 4$ supergravity, i.e. $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity, based on the real 70-dim. symmetric manifold $E_{7(7)}^{\mathbb{R}}$. In this case no matter multiplets are coupled to the gravity one, thus Eq. (10) simplifies to $(A, B = 1, \ldots, 8)$

$$V_{BH} = \frac{1}{2} |Z_{AB}|^2,$$

with $Z_{AB} = L_{AB}^\Lambda (\phi) Q_\Lambda$, where $L (\phi) \in E_{7(7)}$ and $Q$ is the charge vector. Under a transformation $h$ of the stabilizer $SU(8)$, the matrix $Z$ transforms as \[42\]

$$Z (\phi, Q) \mapsto Z (\phi_g, Q) = hZ (\phi_g, g^{-1}Q) \implies V_{BH} (\phi, Q) = V_{BH} (\phi_g, g^{-1}Q).$$

By computing $V_{BH}$ at one of its critical points, one obtains a completely charge-dependent expression:

$$V_{BH} \big|_{\phi = \phi_{cr}} \equiv V_{BH,cr.} (Q) = V_{BH,cr.} (g^{-1}Q) \sim \sqrt{J_4},$$

$J_4$ being the quartic Cartan-Cremmer-Julia invariant of the fundamental representation $56$ of $E_{7(7)}$ \[50\], \[51\].

The local $SU(8)$ symmetry allows one to go to the so-called “normal frame” \[52\]. In such a frame, $Z_{AB}$ and $J_4$ respectively read as follows:

$$Z_{AB,normal} = \text{skew} \, \text{diag} \, (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4) e^{i\varepsilon/4};$$

$$J_{4,normal} = \left( (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^2 - (\rho_3 + \rho_4)^2 \right) \left( (\rho_1 - \rho_2)^2 - (\rho_3 - \rho_4)^2 \right) + 8 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4 (\cos \varphi - 1),$$

with $\rho_i \in R^+ \, \forall i = 1, \ldots, 4$. Note that $Z_{AB,normal}$ has an $(SU(2))^4$ symmetry.

From the analysis performed in \[53\], \[54\], \[25\], the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ attractor equations yield only 2 distinct classes of solutions with non-vanishing entropy ($\frac{1}{8}$-BPS for $J_4 > 0$, non-BPS for $J_4 < 0$):

1. $\frac{1}{8}$-BPS: $\rho_1 = \rho_\frac{1}{8}-BPS \in R_0^+$ and all the others vanish, $J_{4,normal,\frac{1}{8}-BPS} > 0$, and

$$S_{\frac{1}{8}-BPS} = \pi \sqrt{J_{4,normal,\frac{1}{8}-BPS}} = \pi \rho_1^2.$$

The corresponding orbit of supporting BH charges in the 56 of $E_{7(7)}$ is $O_{\frac{1}{8}-BPS} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_6(2)}$.

Moreover, $Z_{AB,normal,\frac{1}{8}-BPS}$ has symmetry enhancement (m.c.s. stands for maximal compact subgroup)

$$(SU(2))^4 \rightarrow SU(6) \otimes SU(2) = m.c.s. (E_6(2)).$$

Notice that $\varphi_{\frac{1}{8}-BPS}$ is actually undetermined.

2. non-BPS: all $\rho$s are equal to $\rho_{non-BPS} \in R_0^+$, $\varphi_{non-BPS} = \pi$, $J_{4,normal,non-BPS} < 0$, and

$$S_{non-BPS} = \pi \sqrt{-J_{4,normal,non-BPS}} = 4\pi \rho^2.$$

The corresponding orbit of supporting BH charges in the 56 of $E_{7(7)}$ is $O_{non-BPS} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_6(6)}$.

Furthermore, $Z_{AB,normal,non-BPS}$ has symmetry enhancement

$$(SU(2))^4 \rightarrow USp(8) = m.c.s. (E_6(6)).$$
Thus, the symmetry of $Z_{AB,\text{normal}}$ gets enhanced at the particular points of $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$, given by the solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ attractor equations with non-vanishing $\mathcal{J}_4$. In general, the invariance properties of the solutions to attractor Eqs. with $\mathcal{J}_4 \neq 0$ are given by the m.c.s. of the stabilizer of the corresponding supporting BH charge orbit.

The $70 \times 70$ Hessian matrix of $V_{BH}$ at the $\frac{1}{8}$-BPS critical points has rank 30; its 30 strictly positive and 40 vanishing eigenvalues respectively correspond to the 15 vector multiplets and to the 10 hypermultiplets of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 4$ spectrum obtained by reducing $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity according to the following branching of the $70$ (four-fold antisymmetric) of $SU(8)$ [55]:

\[
SU(8) \longrightarrow SU(6) \otimes SU(2);
\]

\[
70 \longrightarrow [(15,1) \oplus (\overline{15},1)]_{m \neq 0} \oplus (20,2)_{m=0}.
\] (20)

On the other hand, at the non-BPS critical points the Hessian matrix has rank 28; such a splitting of the mass spectrum can be interpreted according to the following branching of the $70$ of $SU(8)$ [39]:

\[
SU(8) \longrightarrow USp(8);
\]

\[
70 \longrightarrow (1 \oplus 27)_{m \neq 0} \oplus (42)_{m=0}.
\] (21)

As shown in [42], the massless modes of the critical Hessian matrix actually correspond to flat directions of $V_{BH}$ itself. This can be easily realized by noticing that the stabilizers of the charge orbits are non-compact, so that

\[
g_QQ^{\text{BPS}} = Q^{\text{BPS}}, \forall g_Q \in E_{6(2)};
\]

\[
g_QQ^{\text{non-BPS}} = Q^{\text{non-BPS}}, \forall g_Q \in E_{6(6)},
\] (22)

and thus at the critical points (recall Eq. (13))

\[
V_{BH} (\phi_{g_Q}, g_Q^{-1}Q) = V_{BH} (\phi_{g_Q}, Q) = V_{BH} (\phi, Q).
\] (23)

This implies that each of the two classes of $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ extremal BH attractors with non-vanishing entropy has an associated moduli space:

\[
\text{BPS}: \frac{E_{6(2)}}{SU(6) \otimes SU(2)}, \text{ quaternionic manifold with dim}_R = 40;
\]

\[
\text{non-BPS}: \frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}, \mathcal{N} = 8, \ d = 5 \text{ scalar manifold with dim}_R = 42.
\] (24)

The same reasoning, which is actually independent on the number $d$ of space-time dimensions and on $\mathcal{N}$, will apply to all theories of the kind considered above, whose scalar manifold is an homogeneous (not necessarily symmetric) space, when the stabilizer of the orbit of the attractor-supporting charge vector $Q$ is non-compact [32]. For $\mathcal{N} > 2$ this will apply to both BPS and non-BPS critical points (as shown above for $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$). However, for $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 4$ the stabilizer of the $\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)$-BPS orbit is compact, and no flat directions will occur (apart from hypermultiplets). This is strictly true as far as the
metric of the scalar manifold is strictly positive definite at the considered BPS critical points. Indeed, by using special Kähler geometry one can prove the following result, holding for any $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4$ supergravity [5] (such a result, mutatis mutandis, holds also for $d = 5$ [66]):

$$
(D_i D_j V_{BH})_{BPS} = 2 (g_{ij} V_{BH})_{BPS}.
$$

(25)

Reconsidering $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4$ supergravity, the Riemann tensor of the special Kähler scalar manifold satisfies the following relation (see e.g. [47] and Refs. therein)

$$
R_{ijkl} = -g_{ij} g_{kl} + C_{ijk} C_{l}^{jk} g_{ij} g_{kl},
$$

(26)

where the rank-3 completely symmetric tensor $C_{ijk}$ has the properties

$$
D_l C_{ijk} = 0, \quad D_l [C_{ijk}] = 0.
$$

(27)

In particular, for homogeneous symmetric cubic special Kähler geometries another set of relations holds [57, 58] (see also [49], [34] and Refs. therein; here and below $z^i$ denote the complex scalars):

$$
D_i C_{ijk} = 0; \quad C_{ijk} = e^K \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k f(z), \quad f(z) \equiv \frac{1}{3!} d_{ijk} z^i z^j z^k;
$$

$$
E_{ijpq} = g^{jk} g^{il} C_{ij}^{pq} C_{ik}^{jl} - \frac{4}{3} g_{jq} C_{i}^{jp} = 0;
$$

$$
d_{ABCD} d(PQ) d( LM) = \frac{4}{3} \delta_A^B \delta_P^Q d^{LM}.
$$

(28)

The $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4$ attractor equations read [5]

$$
2 Z D_i Z + i C_{ijk} g^{jl} g^{ik} (D_j Z) D_k Z = 0,
$$

(29)

$Z$ denoting the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ covariantly holomorphic central charge function [47]

$$
Z \equiv e^{K/2} (X^A q_A - F_A p^A).
$$

(30)

Eqs. (29) yield three classes of solutions [23, 27]:

$i$) $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS solutions [5], with $Z \neq 0$ and $D_i Z = 0 \forall i$. They saturate the BPS bound [59]:

$$
M_{ADM,BPS}^2 = |Z|_{BPS}^2,
$$

(31)

$M_{ADM}$ being the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner BH mass [60].

$ii$) non-BPS solutions with $Z \neq 0$ and $D_i Z \neq 0$ for at least some $i [5, 13, 15, 16, 23]$. They do not preserve any supersymmetry and do not saturate the BPS bound; indeed, for symmetric spaces it holds that [27]:

$$
M_{ADM,non-BPS,Z \neq 0}^2 = 4 |Z|_{non-BPS,Z \neq 0}^2 > |Z|_{non-BPS,Z \neq 0}^2.
$$

(32)

Such a result actually holds for homogeneous non-symmetric [34] and also for generic cubic (at least within some particular assumptions [16]) special Kähler geometries.
iii) non-BPS solutions with \( Z = 0 \) and \( D_i Z \neq 0 \) for at least some \( i \). They do not preserve any supersymmetry and do not saturate the BPS bound:

\[
M_{\text{ADM,non-BPS},Z=0}^i = \left[ g^{ij} (D_i Z) \bar{D}_j Z \right]_{\text{non-BPS},Z=0} > 0.
\]

As mentioned above, the \( \frac{1}{2} \)-BPS critical points are stable; they have no massless Hessian modes at all, and thus they do not have any associated moduli space. The moduli spaces associated to the \( \mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4 \) non-BPS solutions with \( Z \neq 0 \) and \( Z = 0 \) and to the \( \mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5 \) non-BPS solutions have been recently determined in [42] (see also [45]); they are respectively given by Tables 2, 3 and 4 of [42].

As obtained in [16], the \( 2n_V \times 2n_V \) (real form of the) Hessian matrix of \( V_{BH} \) at its non-BPS \( Z \neq 0 \) critical points in a generic cubic special Kähler geometry of complex dimension \( \dim_C = n_V \) has \( n_V + 1 \) strictly positive and \( n_V - 1 \) vanishing eigenvalues. As pointed out above, in the homogeneous (not necessarily symmetric) case, these latter \( n_V - 1 \) massless Hessian modes actually correspond to \( n_V - 1 \) flat directions of \( V_{BH,\text{non-BPS}},Z \neq 0 \) [42].

The same result holds also for generic cubic special Kähler geometries, at least for some particular BH charge configurations [45]. This is simply seen e.g. by splitting the complex scalars as \( z^i = x^i - i \lambda^i \), and considering the peculiar non-BPS \( Z \neq 0 \)-supporting BH charge configuration \( Q^0_0 = (p^0, 0, q_0, 0) \), for which the criticality conditions \( \frac{\partial V_{BH}}{\partial x^i} = 0 \) can be solved by putting \( x^i = 0 \) \( \forall i \). For such a case, in [45] \( V_{BH} \) was shown to acquire the following simple form:

\[
V_{BH}|_{x^i=0 \forall i, Q=Q_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (p^0)^2 V + (q_0)^2 V^{-1} \right] \equiv V_{BH}^* (V, p^0, q_0),
\]

where \( V \equiv \frac{1}{3!} d_{ijk} \lambda^i \lambda^j \lambda^k \). By rescaling \( \lambda^i \equiv \lambda^{1/3} \hat{\lambda}^i \), it is immediate to realize that \( V_{BH}^* (V, p^0, q_0) \) does not depend on any of the \( \hat{\lambda}^i \). By definition, the \( \hat{\lambda}^i \)'s belong to the geometrical locus \( \frac{1}{3!} d_{ijk} \hat{\lambda}^i \hat{\lambda}^j \hat{\lambda}^k = 1 \); thus, they parameterize \( n_V - 1 \) “flat” directions of \( V_{BH}|_{x^i=0 \forall i} \) at its non-BPS \( Z \neq 0 \) critical points supported by the charge configuration \( Q_0 \). Such \( n_V - 1 \) “flat” directions turn out to span nothing but the \( (n_V - 1) \)-dim. real special scalar manifold of the corresponding \( \mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5 \) parent supergravity theory [45].

Let us now consider an explicit example, namely the magic \( \mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4 \) supergravity theory based on the exceptional Jordan algebra \( J_3^{\mathbb{O}} \) over the octonions (see e.g. [27, 61, 39, 42] and Refs. therein). It is based on the rank-3 homogeneous symmetric special Kähler manifold \( \frac{E_7(-25)}{E_6(-78) \rtimes U(1)} \) with \( \dim_C = n_V = 27 \); the charge vector \( Q \) sits in the fundamental representation \( 56 \) of \( E_7(-25) \).

The \( \frac{1}{2} \)-BPS attractors are supported by a \( Q \) belonging to the BPS orbit \( \frac{E_7(-25)}{E_6(-78)} \) \( (\dim_R = 55) \); due to the compactness of \( E_6(-78) \), there is no BPS moduli space at all.

On the other hand, the non-BPS \( Z \neq 0 \) attractors are supported by a \( Q \) belonging to the 55-dim. non-BPS orbit \( \frac{E_7(-25)}{E_6(-26)} \), \( E_6(-26) \) being a non-compact real form of the exceptional group \( E_6 \); the corresponding non-BPS \( Z \neq 0 \) moduli space reads \( \frac{E_6(-26)}{F_4(-52)} \) \( (\dim_R = 26) \), where \( F_4(-52) = \text{m.c.s.} \left( \frac{E_6(-26)}{F_4(-52)} \right) \) [62]. It is nothing but the rank-2, real special scalar manifold of the corresponding \( \mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5 \) parent supergravity theory [45].
The non-BPS $Z = 0$ attractors are supported by a $Q$ belonging to the 55-dim. non-BPS orbit $E_7(-25)$, $E_6(-14)$ being the only other non-compact real form of $E_6$ contained in $E_7(-25)$ [62]; the corresponding non-BPS $Z = 0$ moduli space is the rank-2, homogeneous symmetric (not special) Kähler manifold $\frac{E_6(-14)}{SO(10) \otimes U(1)}$ ($dim_C = 16$) [43], where $SO(10) \otimes U(1) = m.c.s. (E_6(-14))$ [62].

The corresponding parent theory in $d = 5$ is the magic $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 5$ supergravity over $J_3^0$ (see e.g. [57] [54] [42] and Refs. therein). For such a theory, the BPS charge orbit coincides with $E_6(-26)$ itself [34], and there are no BPS massless Hessian modes [56]. The unique class of non-BPS attractors with non-vanishing cubic invariant $I_3$ (see e.g. [56] and Refs. therein) is supported by the 26-dim. BH charge orbit $E_6(-26)$, $F_4(-52)$ being the only non-compact real form of the exceptional group $F_4$ contained in $E_6(-26)$ [62]. The corresponding non-BPS moduli space is the rank-1, homogeneous symmetric manifold $\frac{F_4(-20)}{SO(9)}$ ($dim_R = 16$) [42], where $SO(9) = m.c.s. (F_4(-20))$ [62].

Finally, it is worth remarking that the non-BPS $d = 5$ attractors can give rise to both $Z \neq 0$ and $Z = 0$ non-BPS $d = 4$ critical points, depending on the sign of an extra Kaluza-Klein charge [45]. This implies that the moduli space of non-BPS $d = 5$ attractors is contained in the moduli spaces of both species ($Z \neq 0$ and $Z = 0$) of non-BPS $d = 4$ attractors, as pointed out in [45] (and as given by the Tables 2, 3 and 4 of [42]).

Acknowledgments

The topics covered in this review are mainly based on collaborations with: L. Andrianopoli, S. Bellucci, A. Ceresole, R. D’Auria, G. Gibbons, E. Gimon, M. Günaydin, R. Kallosh, A. Strominger, M. Trigiante.

The work of S.F. has been supported in part by European Community Human Potential Program under contract MRTN-CT-2004-005104 “Constituents, fundamental forces and symmetries of the universe” and the contract MRTN-CT-2004-503369 “The quest for unification: Theory Confronts Experiments”, in association with INFN Frascati National Laboratories and by D.O.E. grant DE-FG03-91ER40662, Task C.

The work of A.M. has been supported by a Junior Grant of the “Enrico Fermi” Center, Rome, in association with INFN Frascati National Laboratories.

References

[1] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Strominger, $\mathcal{N}= 2$ Extremal Black Holes, Phys. Rev. D52, 5412 (1995), hep-th/9508072.

[2] A. Strominger, Macroscopic Entropy of $\mathcal{N}= 2$ Extremal Black Holes, Phys. Lett. B383, 39 (1996), hep-th/9602111.

[3] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, Supersymmetry and Attractors, Phys. Rev. D54, 1514 (1996), hep-th/9602136.

[4] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, Universality of Supersymmetric Attractors, Phys. Rev. D54, 1525 (1996), hep-th/9603090.
[5] S. Ferrara, G. W. Gibbons and R. Kallosh, *Black Holes and Critical Points in Moduli Space*, Nucl. Phys. **B500**, 75 (1997), hep-th/9702103.

[6] G. Gibbons, in *Unified theories of Elementary Particles. Critical Assessment and Prospects*, Proceedings of the Heisemberg Symposium, München, West Germany, 1981, ed. by P. Breitenlohner and H. P. Dürr, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 160 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982); G. W. Gibbons, in *Supersymmetry, Supergravity and Related Topics*, Proceedings of the XVth GIFT International Physics, Girona, Spain, 1984, ed. by F. del Aguila, J. de Azcárraga and L. Ibáñez, (World Scientific, 1995), pag. 147; P. Breitenlohner, D. Maison and G. W. Gibbons, *Four Dimensional Black Holes from Kaluza–klein theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **120**, 295 (1988); R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, T. Ortin, A. W. Peet and A. Van Proeyen, *Supersymmetry as a cosmic censor*, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5278 (1992), hep-th/9205027; R. Kallosh, T. Ortin and A. W. Peet, *Entropy and action of dilaton black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 5400 (1993), hep-th/9211015; R. Kallosh, *Supersymmetric black holes*, Phys. Lett. B **282**, 80 (1992), hep-th/9201029; R. Kallosh and A. W. Peet, *Dilaton black holes near the horizon*, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5223 (1992), hep-th/9209116; R. R. Khuri and T. Ortin, *Supersymmetric Black Holes in $N=8$ Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **467**, 355 (1996), hep-th/9512177; A. Sen, *Black Hole Solutions In Heterotic String Theory On A Torus*, Nucl. Phys. B **440** (1995) 421, hep-th/9411187; A. Sen, *Quantization of dyon charge and electric magnetic duality in string theory*, Phys. Lett. B **303** (1993) 22, hep-th/9209016; A. Sen, *Extremal black holes and elementary string states*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10** (1995) 2081, hep-th/9504147; M. J. Duff, J. T. Liu and J. Rahmfeld, *Four-dimensional string/string/string triality*, Nucl. Phys. B **459**, 125 (1996), hep-th/9508094; M. Cvetic and C. M. Hull, *Black Holes and U-Duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **480** (1996) 296, hep-th/9606193; M. Cvetic and I. Gaida, *Duality Invariant Non-Extreme Black Holes in Toroidally Compactified String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **505** (1997) 291, hep-th/9703134; M. Cvetic and D. Youm, *All the Static Spherically Symmetric Black Holes of Heterotic String on a Six Torus*, hep-th/9512127; M. Cvetic and A. A. Tseytlin, *Solitonic Strings and BPS Saturated Dyonic Black Holes*, Phys.Rev. D **53** (1996) 5619.

[7] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. **D7**, 2333 (1973); S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 1344 (1971), in C. DeWitt, B. S. DeWitt, *Black Holes (Les Houches 1972)* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973); S. W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974); S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).

[8] G. Moore, *Attractors and Arithmetic*, hep-th/9807056; G. Moore, *Arithmetic and Attractors*, hep-th/9807087; G. Moore, *Les Houches Lectures on Strings and Arithmetic*, hep-th/0401049.

[9] T. Levi-Civita, R.C. Acad. Lincei **26**, 519 (1917); B. Bertotti, *Uniform Electromagnetic Field in the Theory of General Relativity*, Phys. Rev. **116**, 1331 (1959); I. Robinson, Bull. Acad. Polon. **7**, 351 (1959).

[10] D. A. Lowe and A. Strominger, *Exact Four-Dimensional Dyonic Black Holes and Bertotti-Robinson Space-Times in String Theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1468 (1994), hep-th/9403186.
[11] G. W. Gibbons and P. Townsend, *Vacuum Interpolation in Supergravity via Super p-Branes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3754 (1993), hep-th/9307049.

[12] A. Sen, *Black Hole Entropy Function and the Attractor Mechanism in Higher Derivative Gravity*, JHEP 09, 038 (2005), hep-th/0506177.

[13] K. Goldstein, N. Iizuka, R. P. Jena and S. P. Trivedi, *Non-Supersymmetric Attractors*, Phys. Rev. D72, 124021 (2005), hep-th/0507096.

[14] A. Sen, *Entropy Function for Heterotic Black Holes*, JHEP 03, 008 (2006), hep-th/0508042.

[15] R. Kallosh, *New Attractors*, JHEP 0512, 022 (2005), hep-th/0510024.

[16] P. K. Tripathy and S. P. Trivedi, *Non-Supersymmetric Attractors in String Theory*, JHEP 0603, 022 (2006), hep-th/0511117.

[17] A. Giryavets, *New Attractors and Area Codes*, JHEP 0603, 020 (2006), hep-th/0511215.

[18] K. Goldstein, R. P. Jena, G. Mandal and S. P. Trivedi, *A C-Function for Non-Supersymmetric Attractors*, JHEP 0602, 053 (2006), hep-th/0512138.

[19] M. Alishahiha and H. Ebrahim, *Non-supersymmetric attractors and entropy function*, JHEP 0603, 003 (2006), hep-th/0601016.

[20] R. Kallosh, N. Sivanandam and M. Soroush, *The Non-BPS Black Hole Attractor Equation*, JHEP 0603, 060 (2006), hep-th/0602005.

[21] B. Chandrasekhar, S. Parvizi, A. Tavanfar and H. Yavartanoo, *Non-supersymmetric attractors in R^2 gravities*, JHEP 0608, 004 (2006), hep-th/0602022.

[22] J. P. Hsu, A. Maloney and A. Tomasiello, *Black Hole Attractors and Pure Spinors*, JHEP 0609, 048 (2006), hep-th/0602142.

[23] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *On some properties of the Attractor Equations*, Phys. Lett. B635, 172 (2006), hep-th/0602161.

[24] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *Supersymmetric Mechanics. Vol.2: The Attractor Mechanism and Space-Time Singularities* (LNP 701, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2006).

[25] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, *On N= 8 attractors*, Phys. Rev. D 73, 125005 (2006), hep-th/0603247.

[26] M. Alishahiha and H. Ebrahim, *New attractor, Entropy Function and Black Hole Partition Function*, JHEP 0611, 017 (2006), hep-th/0605279.

[27] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, M. Günaydin and A. Marrani, *Charge Orbits of Symmetric Special Geometries and Attractors*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A21, 5043 (2006), hep-th/0606209.
[28] D. Astefanesei, K. Goldstein, R. P. Jena, A. Sen and S. P. Trivedi, *Rotating Attractors*, JHEP **0610**, 058 (2006), hep-th/0606244.

[29] R. Kallosh, N. Sivanandam and M. Sorounsh, *Exact Attractive non-BPS STU Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 065008 (2006), hep-th/0606263.

[30] P. Kaura and A. Misra, *On the Existence of Non-Supersymmetric Black Hole Attractors for Two-Parameter Calabi-Yau’s and Attractor Equations*, hep-th/0607132.

[31] G. L. Cardoso, V. Grass, D. Lüst and J. Perz, *Extremal non-BPS Black Holes and Entropy Extremization*, JHEP **0609**, 078 (2006), hep-th/0607202.

[32] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani and A. Yeranyan, *Mirror Fermat Calabi-Yau Threefolds and Landau-Ginzburg Black Hole Attractors*, hep-th/0608091.

[33] G.L. Cardoso, B. de Wit and S. Mahapatra, *Black hole entropy functions and attractor equations*, hep-th/0612225.

[34] R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and M. Trigiante, *Critical points of the Black-Hole potential for homogeneous special geometries*, hep-th/0701090.

[35] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *Attractor Horizon Geometries of Extremal Black Holes*, Contribution to the Proceedings of the XVII SIGRAV Conference, 4–7 September 2006, Turin, Italy, hep-th/0702019.

[36] A. Ceresole and G. Dall’Agata, *Flow Equations for Non-BPS Extremal Black Holes*, JHEP **0703**, 110 (2007), hep-th/0702088.

[37] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and M. Trigiante, *Black Hole Attractors in $\mathcal{N}=1$ Supergravity*, hep-th/0703178.

[38] K. Saraikin and C. Vafa, *Non-supersymmetric Black Holes and Topological Strings*, hep-th/0703214.

[39] S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *$\mathcal{N}=8$ non-BPS Attractors, Fixed Scalars and Magic Supergravities*, Nucl. Phys. **B** 2007, in press, ArXiV:0705.3866.

[40] S. Nampuri, P. K. Tripathy and S. P. Trivedi, *On The Stability of Non-Supersymmetric Attractors in String Theory*, arXiv:0705.4554.

[41] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, E. Orazi, M. Trigiante, *First Order Description of Black Holes in Moduli Space*, arXiv:0706.0712.

[42] S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *On the Moduli Space of non-BPS Attractors for $\mathcal{N}=2$ Symmetric Manifolds*, Phys. Lett. **B** 2007, in press, ArXiV:0706.1667.

[43] G. L. Cardoso, A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, J. M. Oberreuter, J. Perz, *First-order flow equations for extremal black holes in very special geometry*, ArXiV:0706.3373.
A. Misra and P. Shukla, ‘Area codes’, large volume (non-)perturbative alpha-prime and instanton: Corrected non-supersymmetric (A)dS minimum, the ‘inverse problem’ and ‘fake superpotentials’ for multiple-singular-loci-two-parameter Calabi-Yau’s, ArXiV:0707.0105.

A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, 4d/5d Correspondence for the Black Hole Potential and its Critical Points, ArXiV:0707.0964.

S. Bellucci, A. Marrani, E. Orazi and A. Shcherbakov, Attractors with Vanishing Central Charge, ArXiV:0707.2730.

A. Ceresole, R. D’Auria and S. Ferrara, The Symplectic Structure of \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Supergravity and Its Central Extension, Talk given at ICTP Trieste Conference on Physical and Mathematical Implications of Mirror Symmetry in String Theory, Trieste, Italy, 5-9 June 1995, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 46 (1996), hep-th/9509160.

L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria and S. Ferrara, U-duality and central charges in various dimensions revisited, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A13, 431 (1998), hep-th/9612105.

L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and M. Trigiante, Extremal Black Holes in Supergravity, in: “String Theory and Fundamental Interactions”, M. Gasperini and J. Maharana eds. (LNP, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2007), hep-th/0611345.

E. Cremmer and B. Julia, The SO(8) Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B159, 141 (1979).

E. Cartan, Oeuvres complètes (Editions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1984).

S. Ferrara, C. A. Savoy and B. Zumino, General Massive Multiplets In Extended Supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. B100, 393 (1981).

S. Ferrara and J. M. Maldacena, Branes, central charges and U-duality invariant BPS conditions, Class. Quant. Grav. 15, 749 (1998), hep-th/9706097.

S. Ferrara and M. G"unaydin, Orbits of Exceptional Groups, Duality and BPS States in String Theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A13, 2075 (1998), hep-th/9708025.

L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria and S. Ferrara, U-Invariants, Black-Hole Entropy and Fixed Scalars, Phys. Lett. B403, 12 (1997), hep-th/9703156.

S. Ferrara and M. G"unaydin, Orbits and attractors for \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Maxwell-Einstein supergravity theories in five dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B759, 1 (2006), hep-th/0606108.

M. G"unaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, The Geometry of \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebras, Nucl. Phys. B242, 244 (1984).

E. Cremmer and A. Van Proeyen, Classification of Kähler Manifolds in \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Vector Multiplet Supergravity Couplings, Class. Quant. Grav. 2, 445 (1985).

G. W. Gibbons and C. M. Hull, A Bogomol’ny Bound for General Relativity and Solitons in \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Supergravity, Phys. Lett. B109, 190 (1982).
[60] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, *The Dynamics of General Relativity*, in: *Gravitation: an Introduction to Current Research*, L. Witten ed. (Wiley, New York, 1962).

[61] S. Ferrara, E. G. Gimon and R. Kallosh, *Magic supergravities, \( \mathcal{N} = 8 \) and black hole composites*, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 125018 (2006), hep-th/0606211.

[62] R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications* (Dover Publications, 2006).