Immunotherapy for HPV associated cancer
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1. Considerations for HPV specific immunotherapy

Tumour immunotherapy aims to induce or enhance tumour antigen specific immune responses that are capable of killing tumour cells. Anogenital and oropharyngeal malignancies arising from cells persistently infected with “high risk” human papillomaviruses (HR-HPVs) should provide proof of concept for tumour immunotherapy, as HPV transformed tumour cells express E6 and E7 nonstructural proteins of the relevant α-HPV, and the tumour state requires continued expression of these oncoproteins [1]. E6 and E7 proteins are immunogenic in animals [2] and humans [3], as assessed by induction of specific antibodies after immunisation. Further, immunisation that induces HPV16 E7 specific cytotoxic T cells can cure mice of transplantable tumours expressing HPV16 E6 and E7 proteins [2,4]. The same immunisation, however, is less effective in achieving rejection of transplanted mouse skin transgenic for HPV16 E7 protein [5], which more faithfully resembles HPV associated human premalignancy histologically and on mRNA transcription profiling [6]. Further, immunisation of mice of many MHC haplotypes fails to induce E6 or E7 specific cytotoxic T cells [7–9], which has been attributed to lack of appropriate MHC Class 1 restricted epitopes within these relatively small proteins.

1.1. Natural elimination of HPV infection

Over 95% of humans infected with HR-HPVs will eliminate the HPV infection over time [10,11]. Specific immune responses are important for elimination, as immunosuppression slows eradication of HPV infection [12]. However, viral eradication is slow, even in immunocompetent individuals, and the immunological mechanisms that lead to viral clearance are not well understood. The viral antigens specific immunity demonstrated during acute infection is mostly targeted at the major capsid protein L1 with weak and infrequent antibody responses to the non-structural proteins [13,14], and there is no direct evidence that viral antigen specific immune effector mechanisms are responsible for virus elimination. Indeed, many people clear HPV infection without developing any measurable virus specific immune responses [15,16].

2. Barriers to effective immunotherapy

One challenge for effective immunotherapy against HPV associated squamous cell cancers (SCC) is that as these tumours evolve over many years in the host, they acquire many strategies to evade innate and adaptive immune responses that might target their tumour specific antigens [17,18], as evident both in animal models of cancer and in humans. Resistance to cytotoxic T cell-mediated lysis may follow down regulation of tumour cell antigen processing or MHC expression [19]. Additionally, HPV transformed cells modulate the local immune environment to suppress effector immune responses by a wide range of mechanisms including induction from fibroblasts of immuno modulatory cytokines [20], induction of local innate M2 macrophages [21], and induction of antigen specific regulatory T cells [22]. There are thus substantial barriers to successful HPV protein targeted immunotherapy for naturally arising HPV associated malignancies in humans. Many of the mechanisms of immune evasion, including cytokine and myeloid cell mediated immunoregulation and impaired antigen presentation, can be demonstrated in the hyperproliferative epithelium of HPV16 E7 transgenic mouse skin [23–27], but not in the epithelium of HPV16 E7 transgenic mouse skin with a mutation in the Rb protein preventing binding of E7 to Rb family proteins and epithelial proliferation [25], suggesting that some of the observed immunomodulatory mechanisms in HPV associated epithelial tumours can be attributed to proliferating epithelial cells rather than to expression of viral proteins.

Studies of the genetic determinants of progression of persisting HPV16 infection in humans to premalignancy demonstrate that progression associates with particular variants of the antigen presenting molecules of the MHC complex, and this linkage accounts for ~50% of the risk of progression to pre-malignancy [28,29]. These data and the MHC determined restriction of cytotoxic T cell responses to HPV E6 and E7 proteins in mice suggest that progression of HPV infection may result in part from an MHC restricted deficit in the immune repertoire to viral nonstructural proteins, a hypothesis that would if correct impact adversely on the likelihood of successful adaptive (antigen specific) immunotherapy for persisting HPV16 infection and cancer in humans.
3. Trials of immunotherapy for HPV associated cancer

Antigen specific immunotherapy for HPV associated disease of various sorts has been under study in humans for over 20 years (reviewed in Refs. [30,31]). In these studies, HPV16 E6 or E7 proteins, and short and long peptides derived from these proteins, have been administered with a variety of intrinsic or extrinsic adjuvants, generally designed to promote cytotoxic T cell immune responses. Alternatively, plasmids or viral vectors incorporating E6 and E7 genes, generally designed to promote cytotoxic T cell immune responses. Animal models support combination of nonspecific and antigen specific immunotherapy for HPV associated cancer [40,41]. Most clinical trials to date have used monoclonal antibodies to block the PD-L1/PD-1 interaction that results in T cell death. Their use over a period of one to two years has induced complete responses in up to 20% of patients with various metastatic epithelial cancers [42], though the best results have been seen with melanoma, and with non-Hodgkins lymphoma. Checkpoint blockade trials of HPV associated oropharyngeal cancers have shown some benefit [43–45] and as some of the 5 current commercially available checkpoint inhibiting MABs are now licensed in some countries for HPV associated oropharyngeal cancers, later stage studies with combination of HPV specific immunotherapy and checkpoint inhibition are now underway.

5. Future developments

Each modality of immunotherapy to date has only addressed one or two of the recognized barriers to effective tumour immunotherapy. These include:

1. lack of presentation of tumour specific antigens on the tumour or to the host immune system, which can potentially be addressed with cytokine therapy,
2. failure of T cell priming, which can be addressed by antigen specific immunotherapy,
3. failure of primed T cells to access the tumour, for which we need new strategies
4. local active immune suppression by the tumour, which checkpoint inhibition and macrophage elimination can overcome, and
5. continuous generation of tumour subclones with new evasion strategies and loss of specific antigen, which will require combination therapy with non-antigen specific immunotherapy and immunotherapy targeting multiple antigens.

Cancer immunotherapy of the future will require a more holistic approach, combining optimized traditional radio- and chemotherapy, which in the right dose and sequence can synergistically support antitumour immune responses, with HPV antigen specific immunotherapy, either with immunisation or chimeric receptor targeted T cells (CAR-T).

Addition of unspecific immune stimulants including cytokines and checkpoint inhibitors, and interventions to reprogram the immunoregulatory environment within the tumour and the tumour itself may also help (Fig. 1). Whatever approach to immunotherapy is adopted, HPV associated tumours will likely be used to test clinical
efficacy, as these are relatively common, the tumour specific antigens are well identified, and their expression persists throughout the development of the tumour.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pvr.2019.100176.
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