Subtyping β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from patients with UTI by MLVA and PFGE methods
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**Abstract**

*Escherichia coli* is the most common bacteria causing urinary tract infections (1). *E. coli* accounts for more than 85% of all urinary tract infections (2). One hundred and fifty million people are affected by urinary tract infections each year worldwide (3). Females are affected by urinary tract infections (UTI) up to 30 times more than males and about 60% of them get a UTI at least once in their lives (3).

The extended-spectrum beta-lactamas (ESBLs) hydrolyze oxyiminocephalosporin, and monobactams are class A plasmid-mediated enzymes. They are inhibited by clavulanic acid in vitro (4,5). ESBL-producing bacteria can cause resistance to penicillin, narrow and extended-spectrum cephalosporin, and aztreonam antibiotics. They can commonly show resistance to aminoglycosides, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and quinolones (6,7).

AmpC β-lactamases are encoded chromosomally or can be plasmid-mediated. The plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamases can hydrolyze β-lactam antimicrobials except for cefepime and carbapenems. Detection of AmpC is clinically significant to manage the patients suffering from infections. However, there are no standard guidelines for the detection of AmpC mediated resistance in Gram-negative bacilli and so false results can be usually observed, especially by phenotypic methods (8). Healthcare-associated and community-acquired infections are considered as two important sources of *E. coli* infection (9).

The identification of sources of contamination and the detection of routes of transmission are performed by genetic clustering. This can allow us to detect and control the dissemination of infections more accurately. Different molecular typing techniques have been broadly utilized in molecular epidemiological investigations of bacterial infectious diseases to study the source and relationship between strains (10). Among molecular typing techniques, the pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) as a “gold standard” method, the multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA), and the rRNA gene fingerprinting (ribotyping) show good efficacy in examination of outbreaks and sporadic cases (11-13). PFGE is a powerful molecular typing method and is used most commonly for bacterial subtyping. In the PFGE method, restriction enzymes are used to cut the genome of bacteria and to generate a limited number of high-molecular-weight restriction fragments (14,15). These fragments are then separated by gel electrophoresis with programmed variables in both direction and duration of the pulsed electric field. MLVA and ribotyping are the other two frequently used...
molecular typing techniques for bacteria (16, 17). In this study, we evaluated the PFGE and MLVA methods for subtyping of AmpC-producing *E. coli* strains as well-defined group, and additionally, the ability of PFGE and MLVA typing was assessed and compared.

**Materials and Methods**

During the years 2017 and 2018, two hundred and thirty isolates of β-lactamase-producing *E. coli* were collected from hospitalized patients with UTI symptoms at Milad hospital (general hospital in Tehran, IRAN). Isolates were identified using standard biochemical tests, and all isolates were kept at -80 °C using tryptic soy broth plus 15% glycerol for further molecular studies.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

The isolates identified as *E. coli* were examined by E-test (minimum inhibitory concentration; MIC) method according to the guidelines of clinical and laboratory standards institute (CLSI) (18). In this method, the following antibiotics were used: ceftazidime (30 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), amoxicillin- (30 μg), meropenem (10 μg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.25 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), cefoxitin (30 μg), and piperacillin-tazobactam (100/10 μg)(Mast Diagnostics Ltd).

**Detection of ESBL-positive isolates**

Screening for ESBL production was done using 30 μg ceftazidime disc (CAZ) by disk diffusion method according to CLSI guidelines (CLSI 2018). Isolates showing zone of inhibition < 22 mm were considered as non-susceptible to ceftazidime (CAZ), i.e., potential ESBL producer. A disk of ceftazidime (CAZ) (30 μg) and a combined disk of ceftazidime with clavulanic acid (CAC) (30/10 μg) were used as a confirmatory test for each ESBL-positive isolate. Both of the disks were placed on a Muller Hinton agar (MHA) plate and incubated overnight at 37 °C. A ≥ 5 mm increase in zone diameter for each gram negative bacilli was considered ESBL positive (18).

**Detection of AmpC-positive isolates**

A screening test for the detection of AmpC-positive isolates was performed on *E. coli* strains isolated from urine specimen using cefoxitin(30 μg) disk. In the next step, suspected strains were confirmed by the AmpC detection set (MASTDISCS™ID, UK). Finally, the results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) and AmpC detection tests were recorded in WHONET software.

**Multi-locus variable number of tandem repeat analysis (MLVA)**

The whole genome of *E. coli* was extracted from overnight cultures using the high pure PCR template preparation kit (Roche, Germany). *E. coli* MLVA was done using seven variable number tandem repeats (VNTRs) (CVN001, CVN002, CVN003, CVN004, CVN007, CVN14, and CVN15) as described by Lindstedt et al (19). Additionally, CVN16 and CVN17 and one regularly interspersed short palindromic repeat (CCR001) that have been proven to improve the discriminatory power of this MLVA, and 10-loci *E. coli* MLVA have been designed accordingly (20). The primers were listed in Table 1. Repeats were amplified using PCR and evaluated on 3% agarose. 100-bp and 20-bp ladders (Bio-Rad) were utilized as a DNA size marker for VNTRs.

VNTR repeat numbers for each locus were calculated by the following formula; ((NPS−OF)/RL, where PS=product size, OF=offset region, and RL=length of one repeat unit. CVN001: ((PS)−250)/39, CVN002: ((PS)−272)/18, CVN003: ((PS)−404)/15, CVN004: ((PS)−231)/18, CVN007: ((PS)−314)/18, CVN14: ((PS)−111)/6, CVN15: ((PS)−189)/6, CCR001: ((PS)−131)/59, CVN16: ((PS)−478)/6 and CVN17: ((PS)−202)/6. All results were rounded to the nearest number [13]. The offset region includes the region of sequence not containing repeat.

**Pulsed field gel electrophoresis**

Urinary *E. coli* isolates from an overnight culture were used to prepare solid agarose plugs and then incubated 2 hr at 55 °C in lysis buffer by proteinase K. The plugs were washed by 55–56 °C sterile distilled water and TE buffer (10 mM Tris:1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). A 2 mm slice of the plug was cut and digested 2 hr with 50 U of XbaI restriction enzyme according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

PFGE was performed with the CHEF Mapper XA (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) system using a 1% pulsed-field certified agarose gel (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) in 2.2 liters 0.5X tris-buffered EDTA running buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The electrophoretic conditions used were as follows: initial switch time, 2.16 sec; final switch time, 54.17 sec; run time, 21 hr; gradient, 6 V/cm; angle, 120°; temperature, 14 °C. *Salmonella enterica* serotype Braenderup H9812 was used as a standard at each work serie along with the *E. coli* isolates to be tested (21).
Comparison of MLVA and PFGE profiles

All PFGE and MLVA profiles were processed in BioNumerics 6.6 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium), using UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) algorithm. Dice coefficient and Pearson correlation coefficient were used for PFGE and MLVA, respectively. This software was used for investigation of the diversity (Simpson's index of diversity).

Results

A total of 230 isolates were collected during the years 2017 and 2018. We are aiming to use these methods for outbreak detection and infection control in a hospital setting, so, the date and the location are the key pieces of information to help in the interpretation of the data. In this study, we only had access to the specimen collection wards.

Typing of β-lactamase-producing E. coli isolates by PFGE

A dendrogram was created by BioNumerics software ver. 6.6 and 49 pulsotypes formed 3 clusters based on their genetic similarity (cutoff of 80%) and designated A to C. (Figure 1). The PFGE pattern of the β-lactamase producers and the comparison between the patterns were illustrated in Table 2.

Typing of β-lactamase-producing E. coli isolates by MLVA

A dendogram based on 10-loci and 7-loci MLVA profiles was created by BioNumerics software ver. 6.6. In this study, 7-loci MLVA-typing divided the β-lactamase-producing E. coli isolates into 26 distinct genotypes and 4 clusters designated A’ to D’ (Figure 2). The MLVA pattern of the β-lactamase producers and the comparison between the patterns were illustrated in Table 2.

The β-lactamase-producing E. coli formed 4 major clusters by 10-loci designated A” to J”. 10-loci MLVA-typing divided the β-lactamase-producing E. coli isolates into 36 distinct genotypes and 10 clusters (Figure 3).

Figure 1. Clustering results of β-lactamase-producing E. coli using PFGE

PFGE: pulsed-field gel electrophoresis

Figure 2. Clustering results of β-lactamase-producing E. coli using 7-loci MLVA

MLVA: multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis
The discriminatory power of phenotypic methods is less than genotypic approaches. This subject can be explained by the gradual replacement of phenotypic methods by genotypic techniques within the past two decades (22-24).

A large number of genetic typing methods with a high degree of discriminatory power are utilized for molecular typing of bacterial isolates. PFGE is one of the most frequently used molecular genetic methods. PFGE is considered a gold standard method due to its excellent discriminatory power and high epidemiological concordance; however, the method remains relatively expensive and time-consuming (25, 26). Multilocus variable-number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) is based on a set of polymorphic tandem repeat loci of bacteria and can be successfully used for epidemiological studies. MLVA has ideal criteria for typing, such as being easy to perform, rapid, possessing a high discriminatory power, and having good reproducibility (26, 27).

The rapidly expanding β-lactamase-producing E. coli isolates and the continuous transmission of strains from the community to hospital settings (28-30) make the surveillance of hospital-acquired infections and attention to potential outbreaks a demanding challenge. In order to select the appropriate typing method according to the existing laboratory conditions, we evaluated and compared the use of 7-loci MLVA, 10-loci MLVA, and PFGE (2, 19) on β-lactamase-producing E. coli isolates. In this study, we compared the efficiency of PFGE and MLVA based on the previously reported 7-loci and 10-loci (31, 32) for typing of 130 ESBL-producing E. coli isolated from Iranian patients with UTI. There are different genotyping methods to investigate the epidemiology of E. coli (33) but PFGE has been the golden standard method for several years due to its discriminatory power (6, 34). In contrast to PFGE, MLVA is rapid, cost-effective, and easy to interpret and is a PCR-based typing method (35, 36).

The geographical region and the duration of the research can also have an effect on the population of bacterial isolates (37, 38), so an appropriate and standard method should be selected for molecular typing of the microorganisms in the intralaboratories network.

Lindstedt et al. in 2007 were the first to describe an MLVA scheme for E. coli based on 7 different VNTR loci (CVN001, CVN002, CVN003, CVN004, CVN007, CVN014, and CVN015) (19). Labersli et al. 2012 described a 10-loci scheme for E. coli using three more VNTRs (CCR001, CVN016, and CVN017) (20). These additional loci improved the discriminatory capacity, as reported in Kim’s and Bosourd’s studies (39, 40). In their studies, 10-loci MLVA has better discriminatory power than 7-loci MLVA. Our results showed that some loci have the same number of repeats. Such repeats can change the basis of geographical regions; therefore, we can use selective geographical region-based VNTR loci. In our study, calculation of the diversity indices for the MLVA loci showed that CVN001, CVN016, and CCR001 were the most polymorphic with 5 different alleles. CVN015 was the least variable between our strains with one allele. Obtaining such a low diversity for CVN015 suggests that this should be replaced with a more polymorphic VNTR. According to Bustamante’s suggestion, 10-loci MLVA

**Comparison of MLVA and PFGE profiles**

Analysis of the composition of the seven VNTR (variable number tandem repeat) loci of the strains collection revealed that the number of alleles ranged from one for CVN015 to 5 for CVN001. The diversity indices of the VNTR loci, measured by Simpson’s diversity index, showed an average diversity of 0.54. Analysis of the composition of the ten VNTR loci of the strains collection revealed that the number of alleles ranged from one for CVN015 to 5 for CVN001, CVN016, and CCR001. The diversity indices of the VNTR loci, measured by Simpson’s diversity index, showed an average diversity of 0.48. The lowest diversity index was found for CVN015, as 100% of the isolates had the same allele at this locus, and the highest diversity index was found for CVN001 in 7-loci MLVA and CVN001, CVN016, and CCR001 in 10-loci MLVA. PFGE analysis identified 49 distinct PFGE patterns among the isolates.

PFGE showed to be more discriminatory than MLVA; the discriminatory power of 7-loci MLVA was calculated as 0.54 (Simpson’s diversity index) with a 95% confidence interval, while the discriminatory power of 10-loci MLVA was estimated at 0.48 with a 95% confidence interval. The discriminatory power of PFGE showed a value of 0.87 with a 95% confidence interval (Table 1). The discordance between the methods was high.

**Discussion**

Epidemiological studies of bacterial isolates can be performed by phenotypic and genotypic approaches.
can be used in order to achieve a greater discrimination power (41). Observed results for 7-loci and 10-loci MLVA methods showed that polymorphic VNTRs must be selected precisely.

If the MLVA method is used for molecular typing of bacterial isolates, it is possible that one or two loci of the typed strains are failed. This may have been due to the fact that the corresponding locus was missing. This event occurs due to long VNTRs (42, 43). In Nakamura’s study, MLVA has been a suitable suggestion for short-range epidemiological studies owing to its ability to recognize closely related strains (44).

In this study, the PFGE method was performed by one restriction enzyme as XbaI. The discriminatory power of the PFGE method was more than 10-loci and 7-loci MLVA. PFGE demonstrated the clonal spread of the strains in the hospitals showing the vertical transmission of antimicrobial resistance and poor infection control strategies in these healthcare settings. Results of 7-loci were very close to those of 10-loci MLVA.

In the PFGE method, the comparison of bacterial isolates was performed based on all fragments under comparison with the same position on the gel, so once we know their position, we can examine their genetic identity and determine if they have one source or they are clones of the same strain. According to PFGE patterns, gene transfer in isolates can take place horizontally or vertically (45). It has been proven that PFGE has more discriminatory power than MLVA methods (46). Our findings suggest that PFGE has more discriminatory power than MLVA. The choice of the restriction enzyme and conditions for electrophoresis need to be optimized and they depend on the bacterial species (47). Additional restriction enzymes can improve the value of the PFGE method for differentiating highly homogeneous E. coli strains (48, 49). Genome digestion with a second or a third enzyme mostly improves the discriminatory power of PFGE (50).

Zeilbel et al. in 2017 have investigated the combined typing methods for improving the discriminatory power (51). They have found combining the typing methods can improve the discriminatory power; for example, combining the MLVA subtype with phage typing (PT) increased the discrimination of subtypes as well as the diversity index (DI) even though this DI was still significantly lower than the DI of PFGE plus PT. In contrast, combining PFGE and MLVA subtypes increased the number of subtypes to 64 and generated a DI close to 1. Although more subtypes were identified by PFGE than by MLVA, some PFGE subtypes and PTs were further split by MLVA, thus supporting that MLVA may prove useful by providing additional discrimination to these existing typing methods (51).

Following the results obtained in this study, it is suggested to investigate molecular typing techniques in combination, such as MLVA and phage typing, for typing of bacterial isolates.

Conclusion

Our study showed that PFGE is more discriminatory than MVLA. Genotyping of E. coli is essential for monitoring the spread of β-lactamase-producing strains, implementation of suitable infection control strategies, and general epidemiology. Such purposes need development of a high-resolution genotyping tool with a fixed scheme. MLVA is a PCR-based method and is valuable as a screening tool for epidemiological study of an outbreak, but optimizing polymorphic VNTR loci is vital to improving the discriminatory power of the method.

Ethics

This study and all procedures performed were approved by the Ethics Committee of Islamic Azad University of IRAN (registration number IR.IAU. PS.REC.1397.306).

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the kind collaboration of the staff in Milad hospital and the Iranian reference health laboratory for technical supports and data collection. This work received no specific grant from any funding agency. The results presented in this paper were part of a student thesis.

Data Availability Information

In this study, we tried to investigate the most common technique for subtyping AmpC-producing E. coli and select an effective technique. All data are available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

References

1. Córdoba G, Holm A, Hansen F, Hammerum AM, Bjerrum L. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistant Escherichia coli from patients with suspected urinary tract infection in primary care, Denmark. BMC infectious diseases 2017; 17:670-676.
2. Bergeron CR, Prussing C, Boerlin P, Daigleau D, Dutil L, Reid-Smith R, et al. Chicken as reservoir for extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli in humans. Canada. Emerging infectious diseases. 2012; 18:415-421.
3. Al-Badr A, Al-Shailah G. Recurrent urinary tract infections management in women: A review. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J 2013; 13:359-367.
4. Rodriguez-Baño J, Navarro MD, Romero L, Martínez-Martínez L, Muniaín MA, Perea EJ, et al. Epidemiology and clinical features of infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in nonhospitalized patients. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42:1089-1094.
5. Hyttiä-Trees EK, Cooper K, Ribot EM, Gerner-Smidt P. Recent developments and future prospects in subtyping of foodborne bacterial pathogens. Future Microbiol 2007; 2:175-185.
6. Malini A, Bhat SS, Kowsalya R, Gautam Sarkar. The occurrence of CTX-M-3 type extended spectrum beta lactamases among Escherichia Coli causing urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital in puducherry. J Clin Diagn Res 2012;6:1203-1206.
7. Bhatatcharee A, SMR, Prakash P, Gaur A, Anupurba S. Increased prevalence of extended spectrum β-lactamase producers in neonatal septicaemic cases at a tertiary referral hospital. Indian J Med Microbiol 2008; 26:356-360.
8. Bradford PA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in the 21st century: characterization, epidemiology, and detection of this important resistance threat. Clin Microbiol Rev 2001;14:933-951.
9. Paterson DL, Hujer KM, Hujer AM, Yeiser B, Bonomo RD, Rice LB, et al. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Klebsiella
pneumoniae bloodstream isolates from seven countries: dominance and widespread prevalence of SHV- and CTX-M-type beta-lactamas. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003; 47:3554-3560.

10. Lee K, Izuimia H, Iyoda S, Ohnishi M. Effective surveillance using multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis and whole-genome sequencing for enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157. Appl Environ Microbiol 2019; 85: e00728-19.

11. Foley SL, Lynme AM, Nayak R. Molecular typing methodologies for microbial source tracking and epidemiological investigations of Gram-negative bacterial foodborne pathogens. Infect Genet Evol 2009; 9:430-440.

12. Brisse S, Brehony C, Conceição T, Cubero M, Glasser C, Le Gouil M, et al. Microbial molecular markers and epidemiological surveillance in the era of high throughput sequencing: an update from the IMMEM-10 conference. Res Microbiol. 2014; 165:140-153.

13. Karama M, Mainga AO, Cenci-Goga BT, Malahlela M, El-Ashram S, Kelake A. Molecular profiling and antimicrobial resistance of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O26, O103, O121, O145 and O157 isolates from cattle on cow-calf operations in South Africa. Scientific Reports 2019; 9:11930.

14. Neoh HM, Tan XE, Sapri HF, Tan TL. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE): A review of the "gold standard" for bacteria typing and current alternatives. Infect Genet Evol 2019; 74:103935.

15. Smith AM. Review of molecular subtyping methodologies used to investigate outbreaks due to multidrug-resistant enteric bacteria pathogens in sub-Saharan Africa. Afr J Lab Med 2019; 8:760-783.

16. Memariani M, Najar-Peerayeh S, Zahraei Salehi T. Multi locus vntR (MLVA) typing and detection of the O1-122 pathogenicity island in typical and atypical enteropathogenic escherichia coli isolated from children with acute diarrhea. Arch Clin Infect Dis 2019; 14:e65855.

17. Nadon CA, Trees E, Ng LX, Möller Nielsen E, Reimer A, Maxwell N, et al. Development and application of MLVA methods as a tool for inter-laboratory surveillance. Euro Surveill 2013; 18:20565.

18. CLSI Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. CLSI supplement M100. S29 ed2019. 33-40 p.

19. Lindstedt BA, Brandal IZ, Aas L, Vardund T, Kapperud G. Study of polymorphic variable-number of tandem repeats loci in the ECOR collection and in a set of pathogenic Escherichia coli and Shigella isolates for use in a genotyping assay. J Microbiol Methods 2007; 69:197-205.

20. Laberski I, Haugum K, Lindstedt BA. Rapid and high resolution genotyping of all Escherichia coli serotypes using 10 genomic repeat-containing loci. J Microbiol Methods 2012; 88:134-139.

21. International P. Standard operating procedure for pulsenet PFGE of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Escherichia coli non-O157 (STEC), Salmonella serotypes, Shigella sonnei and Shigella flexneri 2013 [Available from: http://pulsenetinternational.org/protocols/pfge/.

22. Babenko D. Comparison of four genotyping methods for Pseudomonas aeruginosa: In silico study. Georgian Med News 2016:98-103.

23. Estaji M, Tabasi M, Sadeghpour Herravi F, Kheirvari Khezerloo J, Radmanesh A, Raheb M, et al. Genotypic identification of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains isolated from patients with urinary tract infection. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2019; 65:23-28.

24. Farajzadeh-Shiekh A, Savari M, Ahmadi K, Hossseini Nave H, Shahnin M, Afzali M. Distribution of genes encoding virulence factors and the genetic diversity of enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC) isolates from patients with diarrhea in Ahvaz, Iran. Infect Drug Resist 2020; 13:119-127.

25. Babenlo D, Turumhambetova A, Sandle T, Pestrea SA, Moraru D, Chesc A. In silico comparison of different types of MLVA with PFGE based on Pseudomonas aeruginosa genomes. Acta Medica Mediterranea 2017; 3: 607-612.

26. Rumore JL, Tschetter L, Nadon C. The impact of multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis on pulseNet Canada Escherichia coli O157:H7 laboratory surveillance and outbreak support, 2008-2012. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2016; 13:255-261.

27. Sobral D, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Bingen E, Vu-Thien H, Hornig K, Lebeau B, et al. A new highly discriminatory multiplex capillary-based MLVA assay as a tool for the epidemiological survey of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012; 31:2247-56.

28. Helldal L, Karami N, Florén K, Welinder-Olsson C, Moore ER, Åhrén C. Shift of CTX-M genotypes has determined the increased prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in south-western Sweden. Clin Microbiol Infect 2013; 19:e887-90.

29. Nicolás-Chanono MH, Bertrand X, Madec JY. Escherichia coli ST131, an intriguing clonal group. Clin Microbiol Rev 2014; 27:543-574.

30. Cantón R, Novaiz A, Yakverde A, Machado E, Peixe L, Baquero F, et al. Prevalence and spread of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Europe. Clin Microbiol Infect 2008; 14 Suppl 1:144-153.

31. Karami N HL, Welinder-Olsson C, Åhrén C, Moore ERB. Sub-Typing of Extended-Spectrum-β-Lactamase-producing isolates from a nosocomial outbreak: Application of a 10-Loci generic Escherichia coli multi-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e83030.

32. Naseer U, Olsson-Liljequist B, Woodford N, Dhanji H, Cantón R, Sundsford A, et al. Multi-locus variable number of tandem repeat analysis for rapid and accurate typing of virulent multidrug resistant Escherichia coli clones. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e41232.

33. Ribot EM, Fair MA, Gautrom R, Cameron DN, Hunter SB, Swaminathan B, et al. Standardization of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella, and Shigella for PulseNet. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2006; 3:59-67.

34. Raimondi S, Righini L, Candeliere F, Musmeci E, Bonvicini F, Gentilomi G, et al. Antibiotic resistance, virulence factors, phenotyping, and genotyping of Escherichia coli isolated from the feces of healthy subjects. Microorganisms 2019; 7:251.

35. Camélina F, Birgy A, Small Y, Courroux C, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Le Hello S, et al. Rapid and simple universal Escherichia coli genotyping method based on multiple-locus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis using single-tube multiplex PCR and standard gel electrophoresis. Appl Environ Microbiol 2019; 85:e02812-2818.

36. Dudek B, Książczyk M, Krzyżewska E, Rogala K, Kuczkowski M, Wóźniak-Biel A, et al. Comparison of the phylogenetic analysis of PFGE profiles and the characteristic of virulence genes in clinical and reptile associated Salmonella strains. BMC Vet Res 2019; 15:312.

37. Gupta G, Tak V, Mathur P. Detection of AmpC β lactamases in Gram-negative bacteria. J Lab Physicians 2014; 6:1-6.

38. Dolatyar Dehkharghani A, Haghighat S, Rahamayeh Farzami M, Rahbar M. The mechanism of resistance in AmpC-producing Escherichia coli isolated from urinary tract infections. Arch Med Lab Sci 2020; 6:1-9.

39. Kim J, Hyeon J-Y, Lee E, Lee D, Kim Y-J, Kim Y-J, et al. Molecular epidemiological analysis of five outbreaks associated with Salmonella enterica serovar Enteriditis between 2008 and 2010 on Jeju Island, Republic of Korea. Food borne Pathog Dis. 2021; 442.
2014; 11:38-42.
40. Boxrud D, Pederson-Gulrud K, Wotton J, Medus C, Lyszkowicz E, Besser J, et al. Comparison of multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, and phage typing for subtype analysis of salmonella enterica serotype Enteritidis. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45:536-543.
41. Bustamante AVS, Mariel A. Multiple-locus variable-number of tandem-repeats analysis (MLVA) as subtyping technique for foodborne pathogens. Handbook of Food Bioengineering. Chapter 14.2 2018; 10:423-442.
42. Omid E Reza R, Sahar HJ, Bahareh A. Multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat Analysis for genotyping of Escherichia coli strains isolated from hospital wastewater. Iran J Public Health 2020;49:2409-2417.
43. Onteniente L, Brisse S, Tassios PT, Vergnaud G. Evaluation of the polymorphisms associated with tandem repeats for Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain typing. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41:4991-4997.
44. Nakamura H, Iguchi A, Masihara T, Fujiwara K, Fujiwara A, Ogasawara J. Comparison of three molecular subtyping methods among O157 and non-O157 shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli isolates from Japanese cattle. Jpn J Infect Dis 2018;71:45-50.
45. Terletskiy VP, Novikova OB, Tyshchenko VI, Shinkarenko LA. In-silico selection of enzymes for strain genotyping of bacteria belonging to Campylobacter Genus isolated from birds. J Agric Environ 2019;3: 23649.
46. Ferrari RG, Panzenhagen PHN, Conte-Junior CA. Phenotypic and Genotypic eligible methods for Salmonella typhimurium source tracking. Front Microbiol 2017;8:2587.
47. Najar-Peerayeh S, Navidinia M, Fallah F, Bakhshi B, Alebughe M. Evaluation of clonal relatedness among different sources of Escherichia coli isolates in Iranian children with urinary tract infection (UTI) and age-matched healthy people. Biomed Res 2019;30:229-237.
48. Zheng J, Keys CE, Zhao S, Ahmed R, Meng J, Brown EW. Simultaneous analysis of multiple enzymes increases accuracy of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis in assigning genetic relationships among homogeneous Salmonella strains. J Clin Microbiol 2011;49:85-94.
49. Tang S, Orsi RH, Luo H, Ge C, Zhang G, Baker RC, et al. Assessment and comparison of molecular subtyping and characterization methods for Salmonella. Front Microbiol 2019;10:1591.
50. Cho S, Boxrud DJ, Bartkus JM, Whittam TS, Saeed M. Multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis of Salmonella enteritidis isolates from human and non-human sources using a single multiplex PCR. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2007;275:16-23.
51. Ziebell K, Chui L, King R, Johnson S, Boerlin P, Johnson RP. Subtyping of Canadian isolates of Salmonella enteritidis using multiple locus variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) alone and in combination with pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and phage typing. J Microbiol Methods 2017;139:29-36.