Constraints on the space density of intermediate polars from the Swift-BAT survey
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ABSTRACT

We construct a complete, hard X-ray flux-limited sample of intermediate polars (IPs) from the Swift-BAT 70-month survey, by imposing selection cuts in flux and Galactic latitude ($F_X > 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 14–195 keV, and $|b| > 5^\circ$). We then use it to estimate the space density ($\rho$) of IPs. Assuming that this sample of 15 long-period systems is representative of the intrinsic IP population, the space density of long-period IPs is $1^{+1}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$. The Swift-BAT data also allow us to place upper limits on the size of a hypothetical population of faint IPs that is not included in the flux-limited sample. While most IPs detected by Swift-BAT have 14–195 keV luminosities of $\sim 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$, there is evidence of a fainter population at $L_X \sim 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We find that a population of IPs with this luminosity may have a space density as large as $5 \times 10^{-6}$ pc$^{-3}$. Furthermore, these low-luminosity IPs, despite appearing rare in observed samples, are probably at least as intrinsically common as the brighter systems that are better represented in the known IP sample.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Intermediate polars (IPs) are a class of cataclysmic variables (CVs) in which a magnetic white dwarf accretes material from a late-type, Roche lobe filling binary companion. The magnetic field of the white dwarf in an IP is sufficiently strong to control the inner accretion flow, but not to synchronize the rotation of the white dwarf with the binary orbit.

Measurements of properties of the Galactic CV population, such as the space densities ($\rho$) of different classes of CVs, are important in constraining models of CV formation and evolution. IPs are more generally of interest, because, despite being the smallest observed class of CVs (there are 118 IPs and IP candidates, out of a total of 1166 CVs, in version 7.20 of Ritter & Kolb 2003), it is possible that they dominate Galactic X-ray source populations above $L_X \sim 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.5–8.0 keV band (see e.g. Munoz et al. 2009; Pretorius, Knigge & Schwope 2013).

We have recently provided space density measurements for IPs and polars (magnetic CVs with white dwarfs locked in synchronous rotation with the binary orbit), based on the ROSAT Bright Survey (Pretorius et al. 2013). Polars are luminous soft X-ray sources, and even this bright survey yielded a relatively large sample (24 systems). However, the ROSAT sample contained only six IPs (and two of those were unusual short-period systems), leading to a relatively less robust $\rho$ measurement for IPs.

The Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) on board Swift has been observing for about 10 yr in the 14–195 keV band (compared to the much softer 0.5–2.0 keV ROSAT band). IPs have hard X-ray spectra, often modelled as intrinsically absorbed thermal bremsstrahlung (e.g. Patterson 1994). The usually high intrinsic absorption, as well as the hard unabsorbed spectral energy distribution itself, means that most known IPs are faint soft X-ray sources. IPs are, however, making up a significant fraction of the Galactic sources detected at higher energies, e.g. in the INTEGRAL/IBIS and Swift-BAT surveys (see e.g. Bird et al. 2010; Baumgartner et al. 2013), and these surveys have significantly increased the known IP sample (e.g. Scaringi et al. 2010). Although the IBIS and BAT instruments observe in similar energy bands, the Swift-BAT survey exposure is more uniform over the sky (Baumgartner et al. 2013), implying that it is better suited to defining flux-limited samples of objects than the INTEGRAL/IBIS survey.

Here, we use the Swift-BAT 70-month survey to construct a well-defined IP sample, and use it to constrain the space density of IPs. In Section 2, we present the hard X-ray flux-limited IP sample, together with distance ($d$) and X-ray luminosity ($L_X$) estimates. In Section 3,
2 THE HARD X-RAY FLUX-LIMITED IP SAMPLE

Since it is not possible at the moment to construct a usefully large volume-limited CV sample, a purely flux-limited sample is the most suitable for our purpose (e.g. Pretorius, Knigge & Kolb 2007a; Pretorius & Knigge 2008a,b). A total of 1210 sources were detected in the Swift-BAT 70-month survey; most of the Galactic sources are interacting binaries (with 59 being known CVs1), and 65 sources were of unknown type (Baumgartner et al. 2013). Most of the CVs (34 systems) are IPs, or good IP candidates. The survey has reached a flux limit of 1.03 × 10⁻¹¹ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ over 50 per cent of the sky and 1.34 × 10⁻¹¹ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ over 90 per cent of the sky (Baumgartner et al. 2013).

2.1 Constructing a complete IP sample

Our goal is to define a sample that includes as many IPs as possible, but no unidentified sources, by applying simple, well-defined selection cuts to the Swift-BAT 70-month catalogue. In Fig. 1, we plot the BAT flux against Galactic latitude of all sources in the 70-month catalogue. The dashed lines in the plot show the selection cuts we choose, namely $F_X > 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ and $|b| > 5^\circ$, which leave 221 objects securely identified as AGN and other object types that are not of interest in this work, 19 CVs, and only 3 unidentified sources (these can be excluded as CVs; see text). The CV sample contains 15 IPs, all with secure classification.

![Figure 1. Hard X-ray flux plotted against Galactic latitude for sources detected in the BAT 70-month survey. The small points are all sources in the catalogue. CVs are overplotted as triangles, and sources classified as ‘Unknown’ are shown as crosses. The dashed lines indicate the selections cuts of $F_X > 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ and $|b| > 5^\circ$, which leave 221 objects securely identified as AGN and other object types that are not of interest in this work, 19 CVs, and only 3 unidentified sources (these can be excluded as CVs; see text). The CV sample contains 15 IPs, all with secure classification.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/442/3/2580/1051984/2581)

we describe the space density calculation, and present our results. Finally, we discuss the results and summarize the conclusions in Sections 4 and 5.

## 2.2 Distance and X-ray luminosity estimates

In order to measure a space density, we need distance estimates. Published distances are available for several systems, and for the remainder, we estimate distances using mid-IR photometry.

Trigonometric parallaxes have been measured for V1223 Sgr, TV Col, and GK Per (McArthur et al. 2001; Beuermann et al. 2004; Harrison et al. 2013). For four more systems, XY Ari, EJ UMa, NY Lup, and V1062 Tau, distance estimates from photometric parallax of the donor star have been reported (Littlefair et al. 2001; de Martino et al. 2006; Reimber et al. 2008; Thorstensen et al. 2010).

For the rest of the systems in the sample, with the exception of V2731 Oph, we use the method outlined in Pretorius et al. (2013) to find distance estimates. In doing this, we assume that none of these systems has an evolved donor star. We use in all cases WISE photometry and the semi-empirical donor sequence of Knigge (2006), and assume that the donor contributes ≥ 30 per cent of the flux in the W1 band (estimated in Pretorius et al., in preparation). We then find the probability distribution function for the distance to each source, assuming Gaussian errors in predicted absolute magnitudes and the observed apparent magnitudes, and neglecting interstellar extinction, since it has a small effect in the mid-IR. There are no distance measurements in the literature for V2400 Oph, IGR J16500–3307, or IGR J15094–6649 to compare to, but for AO Psc, V405 Aur, FO Aqr, and PQ Gem, we find distances that are consistent with published estimates based on other techniques (see Patterson 1984; de Martino et al. 1994; Haberl et al. 1994; Patterson 1994).

V2731 Oph (also known as 1RXS J173021.5–055933) is a very long period system ($P_{orb} \simeq 15$ h). Knigge (2006) does not predict absolute magnitudes for CV donors at such long periods (indeed, the population is likely dominated by CVs with evolved donors at periods beyond about 6 h; e.g. Knigge 2006; Knigge, Baraffe & Patterson 2011). de Martino et al. (2008) obtain a lower distance limit of between 1 and 1.6 kpc, using the donor spectral type range G0–G6V (Gänsicke et al. 2005). This makes V2731 Oph the most luminous system in our sample, and hence the one that makes the smallest contribution to $\rho (\rho / \rho \lesssim 0.01$; see Section 3). For the space density calculation, we will simply assume a distance distribution that is Gaussian in log ($d$), with a median at 1900 pc, and $\sigma_{\log(d)}$ chosen so that the 16th percentile corresponds to 1000 pc. Although this assumed distance distribution is not correct, it is justified in this context, since V2731 Oph does not significantly affect our space density estimate. The difference in the space density we would obtain with two extreme assumptions, namely excluding this system, or assuming the minimum allowed distance of 1 kpc, is ≲ 1 per cent, which is negligible compared to the uncertainty in the estimate (see Section 3.2).

The distance and resulting $L_X$ estimates (for which we neglect interstellar photoelectric absorption, since it does not affect hard X-rays) are listed in Table 1. Several of the distances are uncertain to ≈ 50 per cent, leading to very poorly constrained luminosities.
The X-ray fluxes and luminosities are in the 14–195 keV band. Fluxes are based on a power-law spectral fit, and errors in flux are expressed as a 90 per cent confidence interval (Baumgartner et al. 2013), while the distance and log($L_X$) estimates we give are the median, together with the 1σ confidence interval corresponding to the 16th and 84th percentile points.

## 3 Calculating the space density

Here, we briefly describe the calculations we do to obtain a space density estimate with its uncertainty, as well as constraints on the space density of possible undetected populations. We then present the results.

### 3.1 The method

In both simulations described below, we assume that space density drops off exponentially with height above the Galactic plane ($z$), i.e. $\rho(z) = \rho_0 e^{-|z|/h}$, and neglect the weaker radial dependence. We assume a single scaleheight of $h = 120$ pc for all IPs. This scaleheight is appropriate for a population with an age of $\approx 10^{10}$ yr (Robin \\& Crézé 1986); our sample contains only long-period systems, and $\approx 10^{8}$ yr is a reasonable approximation of a representative, average age for these CVs (Howell, Nelson \\& Rappaport 2001). It is sufficient for our purposes to assume that long-period CVs are a single-age population (since systems evolve down to the top of the period gap in a short fraction of their total lives; this also means that the $|b|$ cut does not introduce a period-dependent bias).\(^2\) We neglect interstellar absorption throughout, since our sample is based on hard X-ray data.

#### 3.1.1 The observed population

Calculating $\rho$ simply involves counting the systems detected inside the volume observed by the survey. In order to find the survey volume, we use the relation

$$V_j = \Omega \frac{h^3}{|\sin b|^2} \left[2 - (x_j^2 + 2y_j + z_j^2) e^{-x_j^2}\right]$$

(e.g. Timney, Reid \\& Mould 1993), which accounts for both the flux-rather than volume-limited nature of the sample, and the dependence of $\rho$ on position in the Galaxy. The index $j$ represents the CVs in our sample, $\Omega$ is the solid angle covered by the survey, and $x_j = d_{\text{max}, j} |\sin b|/h$, with $d_{\text{max}, j}$ the maximum distance at which the system could have been detected, given its luminosity and the survey flux limit (i.e. $d_{\text{max}, j} = d_j \sqrt{F_{\text{X,j}}/F_{\text{lim}}}$). The mid-plane space density is then the sum of the space densities represented by each system, i.e. $\rho_j = \sum_j V_j$. The best-estimate fractional contribution that each system in our sample makes to the total space density ($\rho_j/\rho_0$) is given in the seventh column of Table 1.

In order to find the error on $\rho_0$, we compute its probability distribution function using a Monte Carlo simulation that finds $\rho_0$ (as the selection cuts depend on the intrinsic $L_X$-distribution. We assume an intrinsic $L_X$-distribution that is Gaussian in log($L_X$), distribute a population of systems in the model Galaxy, and then apply our flux- and $|b|$-cuts to simulate an observed sample. Using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test, we find that the $z$-distribution of our sample is consistent with a model scaleheight in the range 100–300 pc, for choices of intrinsic $L_X$-distributions that also produce simulated $L_X$-distributions consistent with that of our sample.

---

\(^2\) We checked whether the $z$-distribution of the observed systems is consistent with this model. In a flux- and $|b|$-limited sample, the observed $z$-distribution is not expected to be the same as the intrinsic distribution; the effect of
described above) for a large number of mock samples with properties that fairly sample the parameter space allowed by the data. The mock samples are generated by drawing a distance and flux for each of the 15 observed system from the appropriate distributions. These values are used to calculate each $1/V_L$, which is then weighted by a factor $\mu_s$, drawn from the probability distribution of the number of sources belonging to the population that corresponds to a particular observed system, that one expects to detect in the survey (see Pretorius et al. 2007b; Pretorius & Knigge 2012; Pretorius et al. 2013). We find that the error in $\rho_0$ is dominated by uncertainty in distances.

3.1.2 A possible unobserved population

The most important assumption of the simulation described above is that the whole IP luminosity function is represented in the observed IP sample, including the faintest IPs in the intrinsic population. In other words, the space density calculation is only valid if IPs have $L_X \gtrsim 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the BAT energy band (see Table 1). A fainter population can be completely unrepresented in a flux-limited survey, since the survey volume is smaller for fainter $L_X$ (see Pretorius et al. 2007b; Pretorius & Knigge 2012; Pretorius et al. 2013). However, the non-detection nonetheless allows us to constrain the space density of a hypothetical faint populations of IPs.

We perform another Monte Carlo simulation, in which we distribute a model single-$L_X$ population of IPs in the model Galaxy, and then find the value of $\rho_0$ for which the predicted number of systems detected in the Swift-BAT survey, after applying our $F_X$ and $|b|$-cuts, is 3 (a non-detection is then a 2$\sigma$ result). We sample a range in assumed $L_X$ for the undetected population, below the faintest luminosities in the observed sample (from $10^{30}$ to $10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$).

3.2 Results

3.2.1 The probability distribution function of $\rho_0$

The distribution of $\rho_0$ values from the first simulation (Section 3.1.1), normalized to give a probability distribution function, is shown in Fig. 2. The mode, median, and mean of the distribution are marked by solid vertical lines at $8.9 \times 10^{-8}$, $1.2 \times 10^{-7}$, and $2.3 \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$. The dashed lines mark a 1$\sigma$ confidence interval (the 16th and 84th percentile points of the distribution) between $7.4 \times 10^{-8}$ and $2.3 \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$. Our best estimate of the midplane space density of long-period IPs is then $1^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$.

The inset in Fig. 2 shows the same distribution again (solid histogram), together with the probability distribution function of the space density of long-period IPs based on ROSAT data (from Pretorius et al. 2013; dotted histogram, with a median at $1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$).

3.2.2 Upper limits on the space density of an undetected population

The result of the second simulation (described in Section 3.1.2) is shown in Fig. 3. This is the maximum allowed $\rho_0$ for a hypothetical undetected population of IPs, all with the same luminosity, as a function of that luminosity. The output of the simulation is shown as a bold histograms, and the fine curve is a fit to the data, given by

$$\rho_{\text{max}} = 5.15 \times 10^{-6} (L_X/10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1})^{-1.40} \text{ pc}^{-3}.$$  

This limit applies at luminosities below the values found for the observed sample (i.e. $L_X \lesssim 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$), since it is based on non-detection. As a pertinent example (see the discussion in the next section), its non-detection in our sample implies that a population of IPs at $L_X \sim 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 14–195 keV X-ray luminosity of that population. The bold histogram is the result of the simulation, and the fine line is a power-law fit (see text).

4 DISCUSSION

In a previous paper (Pretorius et al. 2013), we reported space density estimates for IPs as well as polars, based on the ROSAT Bright Survey. This soft X-ray survey was not very well suited to detecting objects with hard spectra, and the sample contained only six IPs. The IP $\rho$ measurement presented here is based on a larger sample, and in good agreement with our previous result (see the inset in Fig. 2). Here, we find $1^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$ for long-period IPs above $L_X \sim 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the BAT band, compared to $2^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$ from the four long-period IPs in the ROSAT sample.

Using the sample of all CVs at that time detected by INTEGRAL, Revnivtsev et al. (2008) reported a space density of

---

**Figure 2.** The probability distribution function of $\rho_0$, resulting from the simulation described in Section 3.1.1. The solid vertical lines are at the mode, median, and mean (8.9 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$, 1.2 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$, and 2.3 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$). The dashed lines mark a 1$\sigma$ interval from 7.4 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ to 2.3 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$. The inset shows the same distribution, together with the corresponding result from the ROSAT Bright Survey (for the space density of long-period IPs only, from Pretorius et al. 2013), overlaid as a dotted histogram.

**Figure 3.** The maximum allowed mid-plane space density of a hypothetical single-$L_X$ IP population, as a function of the 14–195 keV X-ray luminosity of that population. The bold histogram is the result of the simulation, and the fine line is a power-law fit (see text).
Figure 4. The $L_X$ distribution of IPs detected in the Swift-BAT 70-month survey. The main histogram shows the 31 securely classified IPs for which we were able to obtain distance estimates in the literature, or in the way described in Section 2.2. The shaded histogram shows the 15 IPs in our sample (with V2731 Oph at its minimum allowed luminosity). Another three known IPs detected by BAT, V2069 Cyg, IGR J18173−2509, and SWIFT J2133.6 + 5105, are not included, because no useful constraints on their distances are available. The three systems at log($L_X$/erg s$^{-1}$) < 31 are EX Hya, V1025 Cen, and DO Dra. The largest errors in $L_X$ are ± 0.5 dex (i.e. larger than the bin size), but several systems have much more precise estimates (≤ 0.08 dex), namely EX Hya and DO Dra, in the fainter group, and GK Per, TV Col, and V1223 Sgr in the higher $L_X$ group.

$(1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$ for long-period IPs. Although this is similar to the value presented here, it should be noted that the sample it was based on (besides not being flux limited) included the dwarf nova SS Cyg, the asynchronous polar V1432 Aql, the polar IGR J14536−5522 (Potter et al. 2010), and V709 Cas at a distance that has since been shown to be too small (Thorstensen et al. 2010). Those four systems account for more than half the total space density estimate of Revnivtsev et al. (2008), implying a significant systematic error.

In Fig. 4, we show the $L_X$ distribution of all securely classified IPs detected in the Swift-BAT 70-month survey, for which we are able to estimate luminosities. The majority have log($L_X$/erg s$^{-1}$) ≥ 32, but the distribution appears to be bimodal, with three systems (EX Hya, V1025 Cen, and DO Dra) found at log($L_X$/erg s$^{-1}$) ~ 31.

Two of the three systems with X-ray luminosity below 10$^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ have orbital periods below the period gap, where low accretion rates are expected. None of the other known short-period IPs (DW Cnc, HT Cam, V598 Peg, and CC Scl) has been detected by Swift-BAT, and it is possible that they all belong to the low-luminosity population. The long-period IP at $L_X < 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$, DO Dra, is a peculiar system in which the M-type secondary is readily visible (Mukai et al. 1990), implying a relatively low accretion rate. A 0.8 M$_\odot$ white dwarf accreting at $10^{-9}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ has a total accretion luminosity of 10$^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Considering the 14–195 keV to bolometric conversion factor, as well as the fraction of hard X-rays emitted downwards and reprocessed into soft X-rays (roughly half), an accretion rate of this order is necessary to explain the high-luminosity IPs ($L_X \geq 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$).

A single-temperature Bremsstrahlung fit to the BAT 70-month survey data of EX Hya, V1025 Cen, and DO Dra results in a temperature of 10.6, 15.1, and 15.2 keV, respectively. The only IPs in our core sample of 15 with similarly low temperatures are AO Psc (12.6 keV) and FO Aqr (15.2 keV). The low temperatures imply that Swift-BAT only detects a small fraction of the total hard X-ray luminosity of these IPs. Nevertheless, a 14–195 keV luminosity of 10$^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ is incompatible with an accretion rate of $10^{-9}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Moreover, detailed X-ray spectroscopy of HT Cam (likely a low-luminosity system; de Martino et al. 2005) shows that it is much less absorbed than typical IPs, which may explain why several low-luminosity IPs were detected by ROSAT but not by BAT.

We showed that a population of IPs at $L_X \sim 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 14–195 keV band can have a space density as high as $5 \times 10^{-6}$ pc$^{-3}$ (Section 3.2.2). In that case, we expect (using the cumulative distribution function shown in fig. 10 of Baumgartner et al. 2013) that the Swift-BAT 70-month survey should contain ≤ 12 of these systems, compared to the three that are known at present. We can also find a (weak) lower limit on the space density of such low-luminosity IPs, by noting that all three detected systems are within 200 pc [EX Hya and DO Dra have distances of 64.5 ± 1.2 and 155 ± 35 pc (Mateo, Szkody, & Garnavich 1991; Beuermann et al. 2003), and for V1025 Cen, we find a firm lower distance limit of 70 pc, and an estimate of roughly 110 pc]. The effective volume of the Galaxy at $d < 200$ pc, conservatively assuming a larger scaleheight of 260 pc, is 2.6 $\times 10^{32}$ pc$^3$. The low-luminosity IPs then have a space density of $> 1 \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$. In other words, a low-luminosity IP population, likely at least as large as the more frequently detected higher luminosity population, and possibly up to $\approx 40$ times larger, exists.

Almost all known IPs are found above the period gap (see e.g. fig. 1 of Pretorius et al. 2013), where systems should spend only a short fraction of their lives, while most polars are short-period systems. This has led to the suggestion that IPs evolve into polars below the period gap (e.g. Chanmugam & Ray 1984). In Pretorius et al. (2013), we showed that the space densities of long-period IPs and short-period polars are consistent with the quite simplistic model where all IPs become synchronized below the period gap, accounting for the entire short-period polar population. However, if the low-luminosity IP population is dominated by short-period systems (as appears to be the case), short-period IPs might be as intrinsically common as short-period polars (the space density of short-period polars is $7^{+5}_{-3} \times 10^{-6}$ pc$^{-3}$, if the high-state duty cycle is 0.5; Pretorius et al. 2013). Then another viable explanation for the fate of long-period IPs would be that they evolve into short-period IPs (see also Southworth et al. 2007; Norton et al. 2008).

At the flux limit used to define our complete sample ($F_\text{X} = 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), Swift-BAT has detected all $L_X = 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ IPs out to $\approx 600$ pc, a distance at which non-magnetic CV samples are certainly very incomplete (e.g. Pretorius et al. 2007a). However, even at a flux limit of $1.03 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (the level reached over 50 per cent of the sky by the Swift-BAT 70-month survey) low-luminosity IPs ($L_X = 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$) are only detected out to < 100 pc. The all-sky survey with eROSITA, which is expected to reach an average sensitivity of $2 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the 2–10 keV band (Schwope et al. 2012), will therefore be important in the study of low-luminosity IPs in particular. Gaia will also be very important for our knowledge of CV space densities, since the error budget of our estimate is dominated by uncertainty in distances.

5 CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, our main conclusions are as follows.

---

3 We expect the most reasonable explanation is that some long-periods IPs evolve into polars below the gap, while others become short-period IPs. However, currently available data cannot settle this question.
(i) Although many sources in the Swift-BAT 70-month survey are still unidentified at longer wavelengths, it is possible to construct a complete, and still usefully large, IP sample from these data. We have obtained a complete flux-limited sample of 15 IPs, all above the period gap, by imposing the selection cuts $F_X > 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $|b| > 5^\circ$.

(ii) With the assumption that this sample is representative of the intrinsic population (in effect, assuming that IPs are brighter than roughly $10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 14–195 keV band), the mid-plane space density of long-period IPs is $1.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$. This estimate is in good agreement with our previous measurement of $2.3^{+0.7}_{-1.0} \times 10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$ for long-period IPs, from an independent data set (Pretorius et al. 2013).

(iii) We have also used the data to constrain the size of a fainter IP population that could exist without being included in our sample. A single-$L_X$ population of IPs with 14–195 keV luminosity below $\sim 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ must have a mid-plane space density less than $5.2 \times 10^{-6} (L_X/10^{33} \text{erg s}^{-1})^{-1.4} \text{pc}^{-3}$.

(iv) Considering all IPs detected in the Swift-BAT 70-month survey (rather than our brighter, complete sample of 15), we find evidence for a faint IP population, not included in the flux-limited sample. The $L_X$ distribution is bimodal, with peaks at $L_X \sim 10^{31}$ and $10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

(v) The space density of the low-luminosity population is at least $10^{-7}$ pc$^{-3}$ (i.e. similar to the space density of the $L_X \gtrsim 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ population to which our $\rho$ measurement applies) and possibly as high as $5 \times 10^{-6}$ pc$^{-3}$ (or $\gtrsim 40\times$ the space density of the bright population).

(vi) If the low-luminosity IP population is dominated by short-period systems, the above limits on its size are consistent with the simple model where all long-period IPs evolve into short-period IPs.
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