Two Distinct Cell-binding Domains in Laminin Can Independently Promote Nonneuronal Cell Adhesion and Spreading
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Abstract. Two subfragments of laminin, E8, a major part of the long arm, and EI-4, the three short arms, promote cell adhesion and spreading. Three distinct types of adhesive behavior are seen in short term (1 h) assays, typified by (a) secondary murine fibroblasts, adherent only on fibronectin; (b) secondary murine myoblasts, adherent on fibronectin, laminin, and the E8 fragment; and (c) Rugli human glioblastoma cells, adherent on fibronectin, laminin, E8, and EI-4.

E8-specific polyclonal antibodies block myoblast adhesion to E8 and to laminin with identical concentration dependence; Rugli binding to E8 but not to laminin is also totally blocked by these antibodies. Heating of E8 and laminin to ~60°C abolishes cell attachment-promoting activity for myoblasts. Adhesion of Rugli cells to E8 is also lost, but on laminin the attachment-promoting activity remains constant. This is due to an increase in the activity of EI-4 fragment as it is heated.

Thus, major sites for initial cell adhesion to and spreading on laminin lie within the E8 and EI-4 fragments, but not all cells binding to laminin will bind to both fragments. These data may tentatively be explained by the existence of more than one type of receptor for laminin at the cell surface; one is needed for each fragment.

Extracellular matrix components can modulate cell differentiation (Hauschka and Konigsberg, 1966; Mauchamp et al., 1979; Sugrue and Hay, 1981; Hay, 1984; Kleiman et al., 1985), cell locomotion (Newgreen and Thiery, 1980; Greenburg and Hay, 1982; Bronner-Fraser, 1984; Goodman and Newgreen, 1985), and cell–cell interaction (Nikitin et al., 1984). The components of the matrix that produce such effects, their cellular receptors, and the molecular events that follow matrix–receptor interaction are incompletely understood.

Laminin is a major protein of the basement membrane, an extracellular matrix that enfolds epithelial, endothelial, muscle, nerve, and fat cells. It appears to act as an adaptor between cell surfaces, collagen type IV matrices, and heparan sulphate proteoglycans. In vitro it promotes the adhesion, spreading, and locomotion of many cell types (Kleiman et al., 1985; von der Mark and Kühl, 1985; Timpl and Dziadek, 1986). Laminin is a cross-shaped multifunctional molecule (mol wt ~900,000) consisting of two nonidentical B chains (mol wt ~220,000) and a heavier A chain (mol wt ~440,000; see Timpl and Dziadek, 1986) and it possesses a domain structure within which the functions are dispersed. However, the exact role and location of the various domains is still in dispute.

The molecular mechanisms by which cells interact with laminin substrates are of great interest. Accordingly, laminin and the cell surface moieties to which it binds have become the subject of much attention (reviewed in von der Mark and Kühl, 1985). One approach to identifying matrix receptors (decisive in the case of fibronectin; Pierschbacher et al., 1982; Pytel et al., 1985) has involved making small proteolytic fragments of a matrix component and studying how cells respond to them. In this way the functional domains that are necessary and sufficient for a specific cellular response may be identified and their cellular targets defined.

A number of proteolytic fragments of laminin have been described (Ott et al., 1982; Rao et al., 1982; Terranova et al., 1983; Timpl et al., 1983; Paulsson et al., 1985; Edgar et al., 1984), specific antibodies prepared against them (Edgar et al., 1984; Paulsson et al., 1985), and some biological functions defined. EI–4, the three short arms (related to α3 of Terranova et al., 1983), is reportedly involved in binding to collagen IV and in promoting the attachment of MCF-7 tumor epithelium-derived cells (Terranova et al., 1983). The El, Pl, and Cl fragments containing short arms but lacking terminal globular domains retain attachment-promoting, but not collagen-binding activity (Terranova et al., 1983). E3, a globular domain from the long arm, holds a major heparin-binding site (Ott et al., 1982). E4 and perhaps also E5 and E6 stem from the ends of the short arms. E5 and E6 (and El) possess cell attachment sites for hepatocytes, but only E5 stimulates cell spreading (Timpl et al., 1983). E8, the lower 35 nm of the long arm, will stimulate neurite survival and outgrowth (Edgar et al., 1984) and will trigger tyrosine hydroxylase activity in chromaffin cells (Acheson et al., 1986). E9 and 25K are probably from the α-helical regions of E8 and have no known function (Paulsson et al., 1985).

We have been interested in the specific response of skeletal
myocytes (Kuhl et al., 1986) and certain tumor cells (Goodman and Newgreen, 1985) to laminin and we have been searching for the minimal functional domains to which its various biological activities can be ascribed and the corresponding cell surface receptors.

In this study we present evidence that many nonneuronal cells can use a domain in the E8 fragment, and that some can also use a second domain of laminin for cell attachment.

Materials and Methods

Preparation, Purification, and Characterization of Laminin Subfragments

Laminin isolated from mouse Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm tumors (Timpl et al., 1979) was stored at 4°C in the presence of protease inhibitor cocktail (phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and p-chloromercuribenzoate, each 10 μg/ml). Elastase digestion of laminin in 0.2 M bicarbonate ( Ott et al., 1982) was done for 16 h at 37°C using an enzyme/substrate protein ratio of 1:100 and was stopped by addition of PMSF. The digestion products were separated on an Agarose 1.5-M column (4 x 140 cm; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA), typically loading 50 mg of digest in each run. The E4 and E8 fragments were further purified after dialysis against 50 mM sodium bicarbonate by passage over heparin-Sepharose (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) pre equilibrated in the same buffer. E8 binds while E4-4 flows through. E8 was eluted with 0.5 M sodium chloride. E3 and E4 were used without further purification.

Pepsin digestion of purified E4-4 and E8 in 0.5 M acetic acid was for 24 h at enzyme substrate protein ratio of 1:100, and was stopped by addition of the inhibitor cocktail and dialysis against 0.2 M NH4HCO3. The pepsin digest of E4-4, containing P1 fragments, was further purified by HPLC over columns (TSK-SW3000 and TSK-W2000; Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA).

Attachment Assays

Preparation of Substrates. Proteins were diluted (usually to 10 μg/ml) in 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 7 mM NaH2PO4, 2H20, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.2 (PBS), and 0.3 ml of the solution was allowed to adsorb overnight at 4°C onto 24-well tissue culture plates (Costar; Cambridge, MA). After washing (twice in 1 ml PBS), remaining protein binding sites on the plate were saturated with human plasma complement (100 U/ml), heat-treated (1 h at 70°C) BSA solutions (Serva, Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany) 0.5% in PBS, for 2 h at 37°C and the plates stored (<48 h at 4°C) in BSA/PBS.

Standard Adhesion Assay. Cells were plated in 10 μg/ml laminin and varied concentrations of fibronectin, E8, E1-4, or E8, or E8 fragments. For microscale screening the assay was performed on Terasaki plates (Falcon Labware, Oxnard, CA). 3 x 103 cells (in 2 gl) were plated in 10 μg/ml of DME/BSA, and 0.2 ml cell suspension added at predetermined time points. The attachment reactions were stopped simultaneously.

Concentration Dependence of Attachment

The proteins were serially diluted with PBS, and 0.3 ml allowed to adsorb onto Costar wells overnight at 4°C before BSA saturating, washing, and standard attachment assay (see above). The quantity of protein adsorbing onto the substrate was measured by using radiolabeled probes (see below).

Antibody Blocking Experiments

Anti-E8 antibodies, the generous gift of Dr. R. Timpl, Martinsried, Federal Republic of Germany (the specificity of the antibodies has been previously described by Paulsson et al., 1985) or control preimmune rabbit sera were complement inactivated at 56°C for 20 min, serially diluted with DME/BSA, and incubated with protein-coated Costar wells in a total volume of 0.3 ml. After 1 h at 37°C, 0.2 ml of cell suspension was added for a standard attachment assay. The results are plotted taking the binding on each substrate in the presence of 1:50 diluted preimmune sera as 100%.

Thermal Inactivation Studies

Stock solutions of 200 μg/ml laminin, fibronectin, E1-4, or E8 in PBS were diluted to 10 μg/ml in PBS, stored on ice, and heated by transfer for 10 min to a thermostatically controlled heating block before being returned to the ice bath. The heated proteins were used to coat Costar wells for a 45-min attachment assay. Controls showed that the apparent concentration of protein in the coating solutions (Bradford, 1976) was unaltered (±10%), and the size of the component protein chains on SDS-PAGE unchanged by the heat treatment.

Binding Capacity of the Substrates

10-15 μg of 35S-protein (~4,000 Bq) were added to increasing amounts of serially diluted nonradioactive protein (see above) and adsorbed onto Costar wells overnight at 4°C, then washed and incubated with BSA/PBS exactly as in the standard adhesion assay. After three further PBS washes the bound protein was hydrolyzed from the plate by incubation with 3 M NaOH (0.5 ml, 4°C) and the washed wells counted (twice in 1 ml PBS), and the total radioactivity counted. Over 99% of the adsorbed radioactivity was removed by this procedure. The number of moles of protein adsorbed was calculated assuming mol wts of 90,000 for laminin (Timpl et al., 1979), 440,000 for E1-4, 250,000 for E8 (Deutzmann, R., R. Timpl, and M. Paulsson, unpublished observations), and 480,000 for fibronectin.

Miscellaneous Methods

Human serum plasma fibronectin was generously provided by Dr. H. Richter, Martinsried, Federal Republic of Germany. E8, E1-4, and laminin fibrinogen, fibronectin, E1-4, or E8 in PBS were diluted to 10 μg/ml in PBS, stored on ice, and heated by transfer for 10 min to a thermostatically controlled heating block before being returned to the ice bath. The heated proteins were used to coat Costar wells for a 45-min attachment assay. Controls showed that the apparent concentration of protein in the coating solutions (Bradford, 1976) was unaltered (±10%), and the size of the component protein chains on SDS-PAGE unchanged by the heat treatment.
were iodinated to specific activities of $9.5 \times 10^6$, $5.0 \times 10^6$, and $6.1 \times 10^6$ cpm/ug, respectively, by a coupled glucose oxidase/lactoperoxidase technique (Hubbard and Cohn, 1975). Protein concentrations were determined by the method of Bradford (1976) (Bio-Rad Laboratories) using BSA as standard. SDS-PAGE was performed according to Laemmli (1970) in 3-25% gradient gels. For rotary shadowing transmission electron microscopy (Kühn et al., 1981), the laminin fragments were dissolved in 0.2 M bicarbonate, pH 7.9 (20–50 µg/ml). After addition of an equal volume of glycerol, they were sprayed onto mica disks and rotary shadowed.

Competitive ELISA for E8 was performed by coating 96-well polystyrol plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) with 1 µg/ml E8 in PBS, saturating with BSA/PBS containing 0.02% Tween 20, and incubating with anti-E8 antiserum in the presence of serial dilutions of competing proteins for 1 h at 37°C. After washing with BSA/PBS/Tween, bound antibody was detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000 dilution; Bio-Rad Laboratories) using tetramethylbenzamidine (Miles Laboratories, Naperville, IL) as substrate.

Results

Two Distinct Subfragments of Laminin Promote Cell Adhesion as Substrates

To locate the functional domains of laminin responsible for cell attachment and spreading, we prepared laminin fragments using limited elastase digestion (Ott et al., 1982; Timpl et al., 1983; Paulsson et al., 1985) and separated them using column chromatography (Fig. 1). The fragment E8, comprising a major part of the long arm, was purified from contaminating El-4 by chromatography on heparin-Sepharose. The fragments were screened as substrates for cell adhesion and spreading, and the results are shown in Table I. Only two fragments, El/E1-4 and E8, showed significant adhesion- and spreading-promoting activity. The fragments were essentially homogenous, as assessed by the discrete peaks seen in column chromatography (Fig. 1 A), unique morphology in rotary shadowing electron microscopy (Fig. 1 B), their behavior in SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1 C), and by competitive ELISA (Fig. 5 B). In agreement with earlier studies (Ott et al., 1982) we found that in the El/E1-4 preparations some fragments lacked one or more arm-terminal globular domains, as seen in the double band in SDS-PAGE. We will describe this mixture as El-4, the major component, throughout the text. In E8 the truncated laminin A and B chains are not covalently linked and separate under SDS-PAGE to give an ~140-kD and an ~80-kD band (Deutzmann, R., manuscript in preparation). Pepsin treatment of El-4 produces a P1 fragment of ~250 kD that runs anomalously slowly (Fig. 1 C, lane 2). By contrast, pepsin digests E8 to low molecular mass material (Fig. 1 C; lane 4).

Figure 1. Preparation of laminin subfragments. Laminin was treated with elastase and chromatographed on an agarose 1.5-M column (A). Two fractions, purified using heparin–Sepharose, are shown as rotary-shadowing electron micrographs in B; these molecules promoted significant cell adhesion (see Materials and Methods for details). (C) SDS-PAGE of El/E1-4 (lanes 1 and 2), E8 (lanes 3 and 4) (nonreducing conditions), and laminin (lane 5) (reducing conditions), before (lanes 1, 3, and 5) and after (lanes 2 and 4) treatment with pepsin. 25 µg/ml protein per track. The truncated laminin A and B chains in E8 (arrows) are degraded by pepsin. Note absence of E8 chains in El-4 (lane 1) and P1 (lane 2).
Table I. Cell Attachment and Spreading to Elastase Digestion Products of Laminin

| Cell type* | A1 | A | S | A | S | A | S | A | S | A | S | A | S | A | S |
|------------|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mb         | ++ | ++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fb         | -  | -  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HeLa       | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ± | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hep-2      | ++ | ++ | ± | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TR126      | +  | +  | ± | ± | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MDBK       | +  | -  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | ± | - | - | - |
| A549       | ++ | ±  | ± | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Str.       | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ± | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rugli      | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ± | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HT1080     | +  | +  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - |

* Mb, primary mouse skeletal muscle myoblasts; Fb, primary mouse fibroblasts; other cell lines as described in Materials and Methods.

† Complex of low (<20,000) mol-wt material in digest.

§ A, Adhesion; S, spreading, scored on the following subjective scale: ++, adhesion or spreading comparable to that on laminin; +, adhesion or spreading apparent but weaker than on laminin; ±, some adhesion or spreading visible; -, no adhesion or spreading.

See Materials and Methods for details of the technique.

All cell types that attached to a laminin substrate also attached to the E8 fragment and most of these showed adhesion and spreading on E8 comparable to that seen on laminin (secondary murine myoblasts, TR126, Hela and Hep-2 human and MDBK bovine epithelial, A549 and Stromer human melanoma, Rugli human glioblastoma, and HT1080 human fibrosarcoma established cell lines; Tab. I, Fig. 2). Only Rugli and Hela attached to E1-4. Fragments E4 and 25K were inactive, while E3 showed only slight activity to Rugli and Hela. MDBK and HeLa also showed very weak adhesion on BSA. In the 30-min screen, only murine fibroblasts showed no inclination to attach to laminin, while A549 at-

Figure 2. The adhesion and spreading of cells to laminin and its fragments. Rugli glioblastoma cells (a–c) and secondary myoblasts (d–f), were allowed to attach for 75 min to laminin (a and d), E8 (b and e), and E1-4 (c and f) and photographed without removal of unbound cells. Note, on laminin, Rugli and myoblasts (a and d) sending out broad ruffling lamellae (black arrowheads), note on E8, angular and spindle formed cells (b and e; white arrowheads), and note E1-4 where Rugli spreads (c).
tached and spread only poorly. The appearance of myoblasts and Rugli cells on laminin, E8, and E1-4 before removal of nonadherent cells is shown in Fig. 2.

On laminin, Rugli (Fig. 2 a) and myoblasts (Fig. 2 d) rapidly extended ruffling lamellae and flattened. TR126 were poorly spread, and fibroblasts hardly at all (not shown). On E8, Rugli was angular and spindly with fewer cells expressing lamellae. Myoblasts were also more elongated on E8 than on laminin (Fig. 2, d and e). Only Rugli spread on E1-4 and showed a similar morphology as on E8 (Fig. 2 b and c). On fibronectin each cell type spread rapidly and sent out broad ruffling lamellae (not shown).

**Concentration Dependence and Kinetic of Cell Adhesion to E1-4 and E8**

The attachment to laminin and its fragments of secondary mouse myoblasts and fibroblasts, and of Rugli were studied in detail, and three distinct types of behavior were seen. After 1 h, both myoblasts and Rugli attached well on laminin; but fibroblasts were extremely poorly attached (Figs. 3 and 4; Table II). On E8, both Rugli and myoblasts attached with a concentration dependence similar to that on laminin (Fig. 3). On E1-4, Rugli attached but required some two orders of magnitude more protein as substrate to produce the same cell attachment as E8. However, myoblasts did not attach on E1-4 (at the highest coating concentration tested, 30 μg/ml). Fibroblasts showed meager attachment to laminin and fragments. All the cells tested could adhere to fibronectin-coated substrates, Rugli and fibroblasts requiring lower concentrations for maximal adhesion than did myoblasts (Figs. 3 and 4, Table II).

The kinetics of adhesion confirmed the concentration data (Fig. 4; Table II). Rugli attached about one-half and one-fifth as fast to E8 and to E1-4 as they did to laminin. Myoblasts attached at almost the same rate to laminin as to E8, a quarter as fast as Rugli attached to laminin. They did not attach to E1-4. Fibroblasts showed very slow attachment to laminin. These data are summarized and expressed in terms of the molar concentration of the substrates used in Table II. By 24 h the plating efficiency of Rugli onto laminin, fibronectin, E8, and E1-4 was >80%.

---

**Figure 3.** The effect of concentration of substrate molecules on the binding of cells to the substrate. Plastic substrates were coated with protein solutions at the concentrations shown and (A) mouse fibroblasts, (B) mouse myoblasts, and (C) human Rugli glioblastoma cells were allowed to attach as described in Materials and Methods. (Solid squares) Laminin; (open squares) E8; (open circles) E1-4; (solid circles) fibronectin (see Materials and Methods for details).

**Figure 4.** The kinetics of cell attachment to various substrates. The kinetics of cell adhesion to 10 μg/ml protein-coated plastic substrates were measured as described in Materials and Methods. Key and cell types as described in the legend to Fig. 3.
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Cell Attachment to EI-4 and E8 Cannot Be Explained by Differential Adsorption or Contamination Artefacts

Under the conditions of the kinetic attachment assay ~60 ng/cm^2 (~70 fmol) of radioiodinated laminin, ~45 ng/cm^2 (~100 fmol) of El-4, and ~20 ng/cm^2 (~70 fmol) of E8 were strongly adsorbed onto Costar wells (i.e., comparable amounts; Fig. 5 A). When E8 or El-4 were treated with pepsin and then used as substrates, the attachment-promoting activity of E8 was reduced to the BSA background levels both for Rugli and for myoblasts, while the activity of El-4 remained essentially unaltered (Table III). SDS gels of E8 and El-4 before and after pepsin digestion (Fig. 1 C) showed that the A and B chains of E8 were not visible in the El-4 preparation, and were totally removed from E8 by pepsin treatment. El-4 was reduced in mass by ~150 kD by pepsin giving a P1 fragment. When the pepsin digest of El-4 was further purified by HPLC, the cell attachment–promoting activity ran with the P1 fragment (Table III). Competitive ELISA measurements showed that the quantities of E8 present in 50 µg/ml EI-4 and P1 were below 0.2 µg/ml and below 0.01 µg/ml, respectively (Fig. 5 B).

E8-specific Antibodies Block Myoblast but Not Rugli Adhesion to Laminin

The attachment-promoting activity of E8 and laminin for myoblasts and E8 for Rugli was abolished by preincubation of the substrate with similar concentrations of E8-specific antiserum (half-maximal inhibitions ~1:3000 dilution for Rugli, ~1:4000 for myoblasts; Fig. 6, cf. Fig. 3 and Table II). But, while anti-E8 antibody could totally abolish myoblast adhesion to laminin, Rugli adhesion was still ~70% of maximum even at high concentrations of antibody (1:50 dilution). Normal rabbit serum had no effect on cell adhesion. Neither sera affected cell attachment onto fibronectin or El-4 substrates at 1:50 dilution (not shown). These data support the notion that Rugli recognizes two domains in laminin, one in E8 and one in El-4, while myoblasts only recognize the domain in E8.

The Thermal Stability of Laminin and Its Fragments also Reveals Independent Receptors for EI-4 and E8

E8 and laminin both undergo thermal transitions at around 60°C (Ott et al., 1982; Paulsson et al., 1985). We found that at this temperature the ability of heated laminin and E8 to support myoblast attachment and spreading activity was suddenly lost. Similarly, the ability of E8 to support Rugli adhesion disappears at ~60°C. In marked contrast, Rugli attaches only 20% less well to laminin that has been heated to 95°C for 10 min (Fig. 7 A and B). When the effect of heat on the adhesion–promoting activity of El-4 was examined, the reason for this curious result became clear. The activity of El-4 increased with temperature, undergoing a sharp rise between 40 and 50°C and a gradual increase thereafter. After

Table II. The Binding of Cells to Fibronectin and Laminin-derived Substrates

| Cell type* | LN | E1-4 | E8 | FN | LN | E1-4 | E8 | FN |
|------------|----|------|----|----|----|------|----|----|
| Fb         | 9  | 45   | 18 | 11 | 0.14| 8.9  | <0.2| <0.1|
| Mb         | 30 | >6000| 38 | 16 | 17  | 18   | 0.4 | 8   |
| Rugli      | 9  | 45   | 18 | 11 | 0.14| 8.9  | <0.2| <0.1|
| TR126      | 76 | ~350 | ~600| 17 | ~70 | -    | 0.71| -   |

* Fb, Mouse fibroblasts; Mb, mouse myoblasts; Rugli, human glioblastoma; TR126, human carcinoma.

† Time required for half maximal cell attachment to plastic coated with 10 µg/ml laminin (LN), El-4, E8, or fibronectin (FN), and BSA blocked (cf. Fig. 4) assuming that the binding curves will attain the highest value reached in the experiment. A linear extrapolation has been made where approximate values are stated.

‡ Protein concentrations in coating solution required to produce half maximal cell attachment at 1 h (cf. Figs. 3 and 5). Same assumptions as in †.

§ Fb, Mouse fibroblasts; Mb, mouse myoblasts; Rugli, human glioblastoma; TR126, human carcinoma.

Figure 5. The nature of the adhesive substrate. (A) ^125I protein adsorbed onto plastic substrates. Radioiodinated laminin (solid squares), E8 (open circles), or El-4 (open squares) were serially diluted with carrier protein and adsorbed to Costar wells under the same experimental conditions as used in Figs. 2–4 (see Materials and Methods for details). (B) Competitive ELISA against E8. The ability of E8 (open squares), pepsin-treated E8 (solid triangles), El-4 (open circles), P1 (open triangles), laminin (solid squares), and fibronectin (solid circles) to compete with anti-E8 antibodies for substrate-bound E8. Uncompeted antibody binding to the plate was detected by horseradish peroxidase second layer antibodies.
Table III. The Binding of Cells to Protease-treated Laminin-derived Substrates

| Cell type | Substrate protein | Coating concentration | Untreated protein | Pepsin* -treated protein | Pepsin/HPLC$ -treated protein |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Rugli     | Laminin           | 10                    | 47.7 ± 5.7        | ND                      | ND                         |
|           |                   | 1                     | 32.0 ± 5.9        | ND                      | ND                         |
|           |                   | 0.1                   | 6.1 ± 4.0         | ND                      | ND                         |
|           | E8                | 10                    | 42.5 ± 6.8        | 3.5 ± 4.8               | ND                         |
|           |                   | 1                     | 42.9 ± 8.1        | 3.0 ± 4.8               | ND                         |
|           |                   | 0.1                   | 4.9 ± 3.9         | 3.5 ± 4.6               | ND                         |
|           | E1-4              | 10                    | 30.1 ± 5.1        | 25.0 ± 4.1              | 20.2 ± 4.0                 |
|           |                   | 1                     | 6.0 ± 3.6         | 8.8 ± 3.3               | 5.8 ± 3.5                 |
|           |                   | 0.1                   | 3.5 ± 3.8         | 4.5 ± 2.9               | 3.6 ± 2.9                 |
|           | BSA§              | 5,000                 | 4.1 ± 3.5         | ND                      | ND                         |
| Myoblasts | Laminin           | 10                    | 33.4 ± 7.9        | ND                      | ND                         |
|           |                   | 1                     | 21.0 ± 8.6        | ND                      | ND                         |
|           |                   | 0.1                   | 6.7 ± 6.8         | ND                      | ND                         |
|           | E8                | 10                    | 28.6 ± 4.0        | 6.0 ± 1.6               | ND                         |
|           |                   | 1                     | 21.0 ± 3.0        | 5.9 ± 2.2               | ND                         |
|           |                   | 0.1                   | 2.9 ± 1.1         | 3.0 ± 1.6               | ND                         |
|           | E1-4              | 10                    | 6.1 ± 8.4         | 4.5 ± 1.4               | ND                         |
|           |                   | 1                     | 5.5 ± 8.3         | 5.4 ± 3.7               | ND                         |
|           |                   | 0.1                   | 5.2 ± 8.0         | 5.6 ± 1.6               | ND                         |
|           | BSA§              | 5,000                 | 2.8 ± 2.9         | ND                      | ND                         |

The values given are the mean plating efficiency ±SD (n = 4).
* The protein was treated with pepsin before use as substrate.
$ The pepsin-treated protein was repurified by HPLC before use as substrate.
§ Background cell adhesion.

heating, E1-4 was still not active in supporting fibroblast and myoblast adhesion. Fibronectin showed a gradual decay of activity with heat, half maximal activity being at ~65°C (Fig. 7B). Neither the amount of protein in the coating solutions nor the size of the component peptides on SDS-PAGE was affected by heating (not shown).

Discussion

We have studied the adhesive response of cells to proteolytic fragments of laminin and found that at least one major cell
attachment site lies within the E8 fragment. We describe cells that recognize only E8, both E8 and the previously described binding site in El-4 (Terranova et al., 1983; Timpl et al., 1983), or neither. E8 has previously been described as promoting outgrowth of neurites (Edgar et al., 1984) and inducing tyrosine hydroxylase activity in chromaffin cells (Acheson et al., 1986). However, as we show here, E8 is also an attachment factor for nonneuronal cells.

All the cells we examined that can adhere and spread on laminin can adhere and spread on E8. However, some cells able to adhere to whole laminin could not adhere to the E1-4 fragment (myoblasts, Stromer, Hep-2); others (Rugli, Hela) could adhere to both. We studied in detail the attachment of cells possessing low affinity (fibroblasts) or strong binding affinities for one (myoblasts), or two (Rugli) laminin fragments.

When we considered the molar amounts of protein adsorbed onto the substrate, more E8 than laminin was needed to stimulate myoblast adhesion (Table II) and less E8 was needed to stimulate Rugli; the reason for this is not clear. Approximately two orders of magnitude more EI-4 on the substrate was required to promote attachment similar to that of E8. This may be due to altered conformation of EI-4 cell attachment sites upon adsorption, the low affinity of cellular receptors for EI-4, or their lower number.

We find that myoblasts adhere more rapidly to fibronectin than to laminin. Kühl et al. (1986) have reported that adhesion of myoblasts to mixed laminin/type IV collagen substrates is faster than to fibronectin/collagen type I (Kühl et al., 1986). It is possible that the collagen orients laminin and fibronectin in a favorable cell-binding conformation. Certain cells can also express specific receptors for collagen IV and collagen I, and this may be responsible for the enhanced binding of myoblasts onto mixed substrates (Goldberg, 1979; Mollenhauer and von der Mark, 1983; Amailley and Timpl, 1986). It will not be possible to assess these systems in more detail until the number of receptors per cell and their affinity constants are reported.

Several lines of evidence suggest that the differential activities we ascribe to E8 and EI-4 are indeed due to these molecules and not to contaminants. In particular, it is probable that adhesion to EI-4 is not due to contaminating E8: (a) pepsin treatment of E8 destroys its attachment-promoting activity and greatly degrades the A and B chains as seen in SDS-PAGE and as detected in ELISA while the same treatment of EI-4 leaves the activity intact (in agreement with Terranova et al., 1983); (b) gel electrophoresis and rotary shadowing electron microscopy show that there is no gross contamination of E8 by EI-4 or vice versa; (c) antibodies directed against E8 block myoblast adhesion to laminin and E8, and Rugli adhesion to E8, but not Rugli adhesion to laminin; (d) heating of E8 (but not of EI-4 or whole laminin) destroys its cell attachment-promoting activity; and (e) competitive ELISA reveals that contaminating E8 in EI-4 is below the 0.2% wt/wt level and in PI below the 0.01% level.

A working hypothesis developed to explain our attachment data is that two separate cell attachment sites are present in laminin and that two complementary cell surface receptors interact with them or that there is one receptor whose specificity is modulated for E8 and EI-4. This hypothesis is supported by antibody blocking and thermal inactivation experiments. Specific antiserum against E8 (Paulsson et al., 1985) abolished the adhesion of myoblasts both to laminin and to E8, and the adhesion of Rugli to E8, but only slightly blocked Rugli adhesion to whole laminin. This confirmed the attachment data; myoblasts can only use E8 for attachment, Rugli recognize both E8 and EI-4. The high plating efficiencies of Rugli on E8 and EI-4 suggest that at least a majority of the cells are expressing receptors for both domains.

What structure in the long arm bears the cell-binding domain? When laminin or E8 were heated and used as substrates for myoblast adhesion, a sharp cutoff in activity when the temperature rose from 57 to 68°C was observed. Ott et al. (1982) and Paulsson et al. (1985) found that denaturation of the α-helices in the long arm occurs in the same temperature range. However, both Edgar et al. (1984) and Acheson et al. (1986) report that antibodies directed against an E8 terminal globular fragment, E3, perturb cell laminin interactions. Using rotary-shadowing electron microscopy, we have observed aggregation of E8 about the globular domains between 60 and 70°C. Thus, whether the cell-binding site lies in the arm or the globular domain remains undefined.

The ability of laminin to support Rugli cell adhesion after heating decreased only slightly. This appears to be due to an increase in the binding activity of EI-4 when heated from 39 to 57°C. These data support the observation of Johansson et al. (1981) that binding of hepatocytes to substrates of boiled laminin remains unchanged. Does the jump in EI-4 activity on heating reflect its changing from a ligand for laminin receptors to one for fibronectin receptors (cf. the fibronectin and vitronectin receptors; see Ruoslahti et al., 1985 for discussion)? This seems unlikely as neither murine fibroblasts nor myoblasts could bind even to heat-treated EI-4.

It has been suggested that certain avian cells bind both fibronectin and laminin over a similar system, the CSAT/integrin receptor (Horwitz et al., 1985). All the cells we examined bound to fibronectin regardless of their specificity for laminin and its fragments. Thus, either a CSAT/integrin-like molecule (Pytel et al., 1985; Horwitz et al., 1985; Horwitz et al., 1986) mediates cell attachment to fibronectin and is modified to expand its specificity, or at least one other discrete and independent receptor for laminin exists. Given the data presented here the latter possibility must be favored, the E8 receptor being a candidate. Our unpublished preliminary studies suggest that both whole laminin and E8 bind the 68-kD muscle receptor (von der Mark and Kühl, 1985); the situation with EI-4 is not yet resolved. Antibodies that block cell binding to E8 have little effect on cell binding to fibronectin or to EI-4. As yet there has been no report of an RGDS-like sequence in laminin. Concerning Rugli binding, the thermal inactivation profile of fibronectin (acting through an RGDS sequence) is very different both from that of E8 and of EI-4; perhaps arguing against the involvement of RGDS-like sequences (Pierschbacher and Ruoslahti, 1984) in E8–cell interactions.

What might the biological function of a second class of receptors for laminin be? One possibility is that it reflects the process of malignant transformation. The transformed cell line Rugli possesses two laminin receptors, whereas secondary myoblasts possess only one. Greater expression of one 68-kD laminin-binding molecule may allow metastasizing.
cells to better adhere to and migrate through exposed basement membrane (Terranova et al., 1983; Barsky et al., 1984). The presence of other laminin receptors may alter the affinity of cells for laminin or modulate either the locomotory systems of the cells or their interaction with other extracellular matrix components. Whether multiple receptor classes for laminin are unique to tumor cells and lead to aberrant behavior is an interesting but still open question. It will be crucial to identify the changes in cell biochemistry and behavior that follow the binding of these fragments to their receptors.

In summary, we have presented evidence for a binding site on the E8 region of laminin that can support the adhesion and spreading of several nonneuronal cells. Our data suggest that cells can differentially recognize, and thus express, receptors for this site and for another on EI-4. If cell binding to these different receptors is accompanied by different biological responses, a molecule such as laminin may be able to exert complex regulatory effects from the solid phase. Such spatially restricted regulation would be of obvious value during developmental processes and its failure could influence tumor development.
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Note Added in Proof: We have observed that RGDS-containing peptides (gift of E. Ruoslahti, Scripps Clinic, La Jolla, CA) specifically block Rugli and myoblast adhesion to fibronectin but do not affect their adhesion to laminin, E8, or EI-4.

References

1. Acheson, A., D. Edgar, R. Timpl, and H. Thoenen. 1986. Laminin increases both levels and activity of tyrosine hydroxylase in calf adrenal chromaffin cells. J. Cell Biol. 102:151-159.
2. Aumailley, M., and R. Timpl. 1986. Attachment of cells to basement membrane collagen type IV. J. Cell Biol. 103:1569-1575.
3. Barsky, S. H., C. N. Rao, D. Hyams, and L. A. Liotta. 1984. Characterization of a human laminin receptor from human breast carcinoma tissue. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 4:181-188.
4. Bradford, M. M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for quantitation of protein. Anal. Biochem. 72:248-254.
5. Bronner-Fraser, M. 1984. Latex beads with defined surface coats as probes of neural crest migratory pathways. In The Role of the Extracellular Matrix in Development. R. L. Trelstad, editor. Alan Liss Inc., New York. 399-432.
6. Dessau, W., F. Jilek, B. C. Adelmann, and H. Hörmann. 1978. Similarity of anti-gelatin factor and cold insoluble globulin. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 533:227-237.
7. Edgar, D., R. Timpl, and H. Thoenen. 1984. The heparin binding domain of laminin is responsible for its effects on neurite outgrowth and neuronal survival. EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J. 3:1463-1468.
8. Goldberg, B. 1979. Binding of soluble type I collagen molecules to the fibroblast plasma membrane. Cell. 16:265-275.
9. Goodman, S. L., and D. F. Newgreen. 1985. Do cells show an in vivo locomotory response to fibronectin and laminin subfragments? EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J. 4:2769-2771.
10. Greenburg, G., and E. D. Hay. 1982. Epithelia suspended in collagen gels can lose polarity and express characteristics of migrating mesenchymal cells. J. Cell Biol. 95:333-339.
11. Hauschka, S. D., and I. R. Konigsberg. 1966. The influence of collagen on the development of muscle clones. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 74:3322-3325.
12. Hay, E. D. 1984. Cell-matrix interaction in the embryo: shape change, cell surface, cell skeletons, and their role in differentiation. In The Role of the Extracellular Matrix in Development. R. L. Trelstad, editor. Alan Liss Inc., New York. 95-122.
13. Horwitz, A. K., D. Duggan, R. Greggs, C. Decker, and C. Buck. 1985. The cell substrate attachment (CSAT) antigen has the properties of a receptor for laminin and fibronectin. J. Cell Biol. 101:2134-2144.
14. Horwitz, A. K., D. Duggan, C. Buck, M. C. Beckerle, and K. Burridge. 1986. Interaction of plasma membrane fibronectin receptor with talin - a transmembrane linkage. Nature (Lond.). 320:531-533.
15. Hubbard, A. L., and Z. A. Coffin. 1975.Externally disposed plasma membrane proteins. I. Enzymatic iodination of mouse L cells. J. Cell Biol. 64:438-460.
16. Hubbard, A. L., and K. von der Mark. 1986. Role of laminin and fibronectin in selecting myogenic versus fibrogenic cells from skeletal muscle cells in vitro. Dev. Biol. 118:630-635.
17. Kühn, K., H. Wiedemann, R. Timpl, J. Risteli, H. Dieringer, T. Voss, and R. W. Glanville. 1981. Macromolecular structure of basement membrane collagen molecules. Identification of a collagen binding domain of type IV collagen. FEBS (Fed. Eur. Biochem. Soc.) Lett. 125:123-128.
18. Kühn, U. R. Timpl, and K. von der Mark. 1982. Synthesis of type IV collagen and laminin in culture of skeletal muscle cells and their assembly on the surface of myotubes. Dev. Biol. 93:344-354.
19. Kühn, U., M. Ocalan, R. Timpl, and K. von der Mark. 1986. Role of laminin and fibronectin in selecting myogenic versus fibrogenic cells from skeletal muscle cells in vitro. Dev. Biol. 118:630-635.
20. Linden, S. 1984. Measurement of cell numbers by means of the endogenous enzyme hexosaminidase. Applications to detection of lymphokines and cell surface antigens. J. Immunol. Methods. 67:379-388.
21. Lane, E. B., S. L. Goodman, and L. K. Trejdosiewicz. 1982. Disruption of the keratin filament network during epithelial cell division. EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J. 1:1365-1372.
22. Marcum, J., A. Margotat, M. Chambard, B. Charrier, L. Remy, and M. Michel-Bechet. 1979. Polarity of three dimensional structures derived from isolated hog thyroid cells in primary culture. Cell Tissue Res. 204:417-430.
23. Mollenhauer, J., and K. von der Mark. 1983. Isolation and characterization of a collagen binding glycoprotein from chondocyte membranes. EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J. 2:245-250.
24. Newgreen, D. F., and J. P. Thiery. 1980. Fibronectin in early avian embryos: synthesis and distribution along the migration pathway of neural crest cells. Cell Tissue Res. 211:269-291.
25. Ninkin, R. M., J. R. Fulton, E. W. Geoffrey, B. G. Wallace, and U. J. McMahan. 1984. The role of the extracellular matrix in regeneration of the neuromuscular junction. In The Role of the Extracellular Matrix in Development. R. L. Trelstad, editor. Alan Liss Inc., New York. 597-622.
26. Ott, U., E. Odermatt, J. Engel, H. Furthmayr, and R. Timpl. 1982. Protease resistance and conformation of laminin. Eur. J. Biochem. 123:63-72.
27. Paulsson, M., R. Deutzmann, R. Timpl, D. Dalapozzo, E. Odermatt, and J. Engel. 1985. Evidence for the coiled-coil helical regions in the long arm of laminin. EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J. 4:309-316.
28. Pierschbacher, M. D., E. Ruoslahti, J. Sundelin, P. Lind, and P. A. Peterson. 1982. The cell attachment domain of fibronectin. J. Biol. Chem. 257:9593-9597.
29. Pierschbacher, M. D., and E. Ruoslahti. 1984. Cell attachment activity of fibronectin can be duplicated by small synthetic fragments of the molecule. Nature (Lond.). 309:30-33.
30. Pytela, R., M. D. Pierschbacher, and E. Ruoslahti. 1985. Identification and isolation of a 140KD cell surface glycoprotein with the properties expected of a fibronectin receptor. Cell. 40:191-198.
31. Ray, C. N., L. M. Krik, M. Margulies, T. S. Traika, V. P. Terranova, J. A. Madri, and L. A. Liotta. 1982. Isolation of a subunit of laminin and its role in molecular structure and tumour cell attachment. J. Cell Biol. 97:940-9744.
32. Rupnaiatzi, E., E. G. Hayman, and M. D. Pierschbacher. 1985. Extracellular matrices and cell adhesion. Arteriosclerosis. 5:581-594.
33. Rupnaiatzi, E., E. G. Hayman, and M. D. Pierschbacher. 1985. Extracellular matrices and cell adhesion. Arteriosclerosis. 5:581-594.
34. Rupnaiatzi, E., E. G. Hayman, and M. D. Pierschbacher. 1985. Extracellular matrices and cell adhesion. Arteriosclerosis. 5:581-594.
35. Sugrue, S. P., and E. D. Hay. 1981. Response of basal epithelial cell surface and cytoskeleton to solubilized extracellular matrix molecules. J. Cell Biol. 91:45-54.
37. Terranova, V. P., C. N. Rao, T. Kalebic, I. M. Margulies, and L. A. Liotta. 1983. Laminin receptor on human breast carcinoma cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 80:444–448.

38. Timpl, R., H. Rehde, P. G. Robey, S. I. Rennard, J.-M. Foidart, and G. R. Martin. 1979. Laminin: a glycoprotein from basement membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 254:9933–9937.

39. Timpl, R., S. Johansson, V. von Deiden, I. Oberbaumer, and M. Höök. 1983. Characterisation of protease-resistant fragments of laminin mediating attachment and spreading of rat hepatocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 258:8922–8927.

40. Timpl, R., and M. Dziadek. 1986. Structure, development and molecular pathology of basement membranes. Int. Rev. Exp. Pathol. 29:1–112.

41. von der Mark, K., and U. Köhl. 1985. Laminin and its receptor. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 823:147–160.