Autopsy Tool in Unknown Diseases: The Experience with Coronaviruses (SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2)
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Abstract: In the last two decades, three unknown pathogens have caused outbreaks, generating severe global health concerns. In 2003, after nucleic acid genotyping, a new virus was named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV). After nine years, another coronavirus emerged in the middle east and was named MERS-CoV (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome—Coronavirus). Finally, in December 2019, a new unknown coronavirus was isolated from a cluster of patients and was named SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019). This review aims to propose a complete overview of autopsy in the three coronaviruses over the past two decades, showing its pivotal role in the management of unknown diseases. A total of 116 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria: 14 studies were collected concerning SARS-CoV (87 autopsy reports, from Asian and American countries), 2 studies for MERS-CoV (2 autopsy reports, from Middle-East Asian countries), and 100 studies on SARS-CoV-2 (930 autopsy reports). Analyzing the data obtained on COVID-19, based on the country criterion, a large number of post-mortem investigation were performed in European countries (580 reports), followed by American countries (251 reports). It is interesting to note that no data were found from the Oceanic countries, maybe because of the minor involvement of the outbreak. In all cases, autopsy provided much information about each unknown coronavirus. Despite advanced technologies in the diagnostic fields, to date, autopsy remains the gold standard method to understand the biological features and the pathogenesis of unknown infections, especially when awareness of a pathogen is restricted and the impact on the healthcare system is substantial. The knowledge gained through this technique may positively influence therapeutic strategies, ultimately reducing mortality.
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1. Introduction

In the last two decades, three unknown pathogens have caused outbreaks, generating severe global health concerns. All agents are coronaviruses, composed of an envelope, a single strand of RNA (positive-sense) associated with a nucleoprotein within a capsid [1].

In 2003, after nucleic acid genotyping, a new virus was named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV). In symptomatic patients, this infection generates fever, SARS, and, in severe cases, pneumonia and lower respiratory symptoms such as cough and dyspnea [2]. The SARS-CoV epidemic emerged in China, spreading to many countries in South-East Asia, North America, Europe, and South Africa. Transmission occurred mainly from person to person through droplets during coughing or sneezing, through personal contact, or by touching contaminated surfaces. Healthcare workers were the major group of persons exposed to SARS-CoV infection risk, particularly when suggested precautions and safety procedures were not respected. Based on the international data, SARS-CoV
infected more than 8000 people causing 774 deaths with an estimated mortality rate of 9.5% [3].

After nine years, another coronavirus emerged in the Middle East and was named MERS-CoV (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome–Coronavirus). The symptoms were not specific, even if various patients manifested severe acute respiratory distress. Each patient affected by this coronavirus was linked to subjects in or near the Arabian Peninsula. As occurred in the case of the SARS-CoV infection, healthcare personnel were the group most exposed to this pathogen. Even if MERS-CoV has a low transmission rate, it has a mortality rate much higher than SARS-CoV, about 35% [4]. From 2012 to 15 January 2020, the total number of MERS-CoV cases confirmed in the laboratory and reported worldwide to the World Health Organization (WHO) was 2506, with 862 associated deaths [5].

Finally, in December 2019, a new unknown coronavirus was reported in China. It was isolated from a cluster of patients and it was named SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) from the WHO in February 2020. The symptoms are fever, dry cough, and shortness of breath and, in the most severe cases, SARS [6]. Even if the fatality rate is about 2–3% (lower than MERS), it has caused many more deaths than its predecessors, considering that for its diffusion it has been declared a pandemic. Moreover, severe containment measures have been adopted worldwide in order to avoid the collapse of healthcare systems (limiting human circulation, closing airports, train stations, and applying the so-called “lockdown”). The scientific community has worked hard to clarify the major aspects of this new infection, considering that, in the last decades, COVID-19 is a major concern for healthcare systems worldwide. Different scientific organizations provided guidelines and recommendations including safety measures to perform post-mortem investigations safely and effectively [7–9]. Nevertheless, in the first phase of this pandemic, the value of autopsy was underestimated, and only a few autopsies were performed. Undoubtedly, this choice (named “lockdown of science” [10]) is an important error in the management of the COVID-19 infection, delaying the knowledge about this new pathogen and consequently, correct therapeutic approaches [11].

Based on the previous unknown infections, such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, it is important to remark that the post-mortem investigation may be considered the gold standard method to understand pathophysiological mechanisms, contributing to clarify morphological and virology features, suggesting unexplored therapeutic approaches and new frontiers of research [12–14]. For example, translating the scientific knowledge acquired from its predecessors (SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV), it has been established that the ACE2 (angiotensin converting enzyme ace 2) receptors represent the entrance doors in the host cells for SARS-CoV-2 [15].

In this regard, this review aims to propose a complete overview of autopsy in the three coronaviruses (MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2) over the past two decades, showing its pivotal role in the management of unknown diseases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Eligibility Criteria

We included all case reports, case series, retrospective and prospective studies, letters to the editors, and reviews that focused on MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and autopsy. The search was limited to human studies.

2.2. Search Criteria and Critical Appraisal

A systematic literature search and a critical appraisal of the collected studies were conducted. An electronic search of ScienceDirect Scopus from the inception of this database to the 31 January 2021 was performed. Search terms were “AUTOPSY” OR “POST-MORTEM” AND “MERS” OR “SARS-CoV” OR “SARS-CoV-2” OR “COVID-19” in the title, abstract, and keywords. Cases in which autopsy was not performed were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. The bibliographies of all selected papers were examined and cross-referenced for further relevant literature. A methodological appraisal of each study
was conducted according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) standards (Figure 1). This study was exempt from institutional review board approval as it did not involve human subjects.

Figure 1. The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) strategy used for the literature review.

3. Results

3.1. Search Results and Included Studies

An appraisal based on titles and abstracts as well as a visual search of reference lists were carried out. The reference lists of all selected articles were reviewed to detect still unidentified literature. A total of 116 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria: 14 studies were collected concerning SARS-CoV, 2 studies on MERS-CoV, and 100 studies on SARS-CoV-2.

Considering the selected articles on SARS-CoV, the pooled dataset obtained from 87 autopsies was analyzed. In the case of MERS-CoV, only 2 case reports were collected. Finally, as concerns SARS-COV-2, a pooled dataset of 930 autopsies was analyzed.

3.2. Autopsy and SARS-CoV

Table 1 shows the data extracted from the included studies about the SARS-CoV infection, summarized in study source, country, autopsy number, and diagnostic technique.
Table 1. General data obtained analyzing the studies included in this literature review matching the keywords “Autopsy” OR “Post-mortem” AND “SARS-CoV” (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus).

| Source | Country | Autopsy (Number and Sex) | Kind of Autopsy | Diagnostic Technique for SARS-CoV |
|--------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| Farcas et al. and Oudit et al. [16,17] | Canada | 21 (10 Female (F); 11 Male (M)) | Complete autopsies | RT-PCR (Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction) |
| Mazzulli et al. [18] | Canada America | 11 (5 F; 6 M) 32 | Complete autopsies focusing on the lung | RT-PCR |
| He et al. [19] | China | 4 (not available) | Complete autopsies | Not available |
| Zhang et al. [20] | China | 1 (not available) | Complete autopsy | Not available |
| Nicholls et al. [21] | China | 6 (2 F; 4 M) 1 full autopsy; 5 lung investigations | RT-PCR |
| Pei et al. [22] | China | 6 (not available) | Complete autopsies | RT-PCR |
| Shi et al. [23] | China | 7 (1 F; 6 M) | Complete autopsies | RT-PCR |
| He et al. [24] | China | 4 (not available) | Complete autopsies | RT-PCR |
| Tse et al. [25] | Hong Kong | 7 (1 F; 6 M) 6 full autopsies (excluding the brain); 1 limited autopsy (lung and heart) | RT-PCR |
| Franks et al. [26] | Singapore | 8 (2 F; 6 M) | Complete autopsies focusing on the lung | 7/8 RT-PCR |
| Kong et al. [27] | Singapore | 7 (2 F; 5 M) | Lung tissues | RT-PCR |
| Hsiao et al. [28] | Taiwan | 4 (not available) | Limited autopsies (lung tissue) | RT-PCR |
| Hsiao et al. [29] | Taiwan ASIA | 1 F 55 | Complete autopsy | RT-PCR |

The SARS-CoV disease spread to 29 countries on 5 continents, even if the Asian countries and Canada represented the areas with the highest number of cases [30]. In consideration of this, as summarized in Table 1, all collected articles analyzed the post-mortem dataset collected in the same countries.

He et al. [19] analyzed the presence and distribution of SARS-CoV in autopsy tissues through the immunohistochemical technique, confirming the presence of the virus in all analyzed tissues (lungs, spleen, lymph nodes, brain, pituitary gland, heart, liver, kidney, pancreas, trachea, esophagus, gastrointestinal tract, adrenal glands, parathyroid glands, skin, and bone marrow). Similar results were obtained in other papers [16] and with other techniques, such as the in situ hybridization technique [20].

As described by Franks et al. [26], the predominant pattern of lung injury in the cases of subjects who died from SARS-CoV infection was diffuse alveolar damage (DAD). They reported that the histology findings varied based on the duration of illness: in cases with 10 or fewer days’ duration, acute-phase DAD, airspace edema, and bronchiolar fibrin were described. On the other hand, the cases of more than 10 days’ duration exhibited organizing-phase DAD, type II pneumocyte hyperplasia, squamous metaplasia, multinucleated giant cells, and acute bronchopneumonia. Moreover, lung fibrosis with alveolar septa and interstitium thickening were detected [22]. Similar results were reported by other papers [25,28,29].

Nicholls et al. [21] provided evidence on the damage that occurred in the other tissues. Particularly, they reported atrophy of the white pulp of the spleen. Lymphoid depletion was reported by Hsiao et al. [29], and the same authors did not detect pathological findings regarding the gastrointestinal tract and kidneys. The results from the gastrointestinal tract were not in agreement with the results collected by Shi et al. [23], who described the presence of the viral infection at this level, with the generation of classical adverse effects, and they found SARS-CoV in the stools of the patients affected by the same infection.
He et al. [24] published a paper in 2006 studying the post-mortem samples and clarified several important questions about the SARS-CoV infection, describing that ACE2-expressing cells were the primary targets for the SARS-CoV infection and, after the infection, the same cells produced high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, generating immuno-mediated damage. Similarly, in 2009, Oudit et al. [17] described that the myocardial dysfunction found in SARS-CoV subjects benefited from the presence of ACE2 receptors.

### 3.3. Autopsies and MERS

MERS-CoV was identified for the first time in a male subject with severe pneumonia in 2012. Subsequently, this infection has been detected in more than 1500 individuals, showing different degrees of severity, ranging from asymptomatic to severe status, leading to fatal pneumonia in several cases. The scientific community avoided performing timely autopsy studies on MERS victims. This mistake limited the knowledge about the pathogenesis of MERS-CoV [31]. In this scenario, with very few data about the post-mortem investigation, several animal models have been used to clarify the major aspects of this unknown disease [32].

The following data were extracted from the included studies about the MERS-CoV infection, and Table 2 summarizes the main data.

**Table 2.** General data obtained analyzing the studies included in this literature review matching the keywords “Autopsy” OR “Post-mortem” AND “MERS” (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome).

| Source            | Country           | Autopsy (Number and Sex) | Kind of Autopsy                  | Diagnosis of MERS-CoV |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Ng et al. [33]    | United Arab Emirates | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                 | RT-PCR               |
| Alsaad et al. [34]| Saudi Arabia      | 1 M                      | Tissue needle biopsies were obtained from brain, heart, lung, liver, kidney, and skeletal muscles | RT-PCR               |

Based on this literature review, only two papers have been published regarding autopsy and MERS-CoV-2. It is interesting to note that the first study was published in 2016 [33], four years after the spread of this infection, and the last study was published in 2018, even if it refers to an autopsy performed in 2014 [34]. Based on WHO data, this infection is still ongoing in Middle East countries, even if the cases are sporadic.

As reported by Ng et al. [33], the histopathological data of MERS-CoV in humans are insufficiently described, for this reason, they explored the histological and ultrastructural changes involving various organs of a MERS-CoV patient. In their case, they focused on the heart, brain, lung, kidney, liver, and skeletal muscles, showing necrotizing pneumonia, DAD, acute kidney injury, portal and lobular hepatitis, and myositis with atrophic muscle changes. However, no histological modifications were detected on the brain and heart. Based on this study, the pulmonary and extrapulmonary involvement of MERS-CoV infection was proven, providing, for the first time, evidence about the presence of the virus in human renal tissue.

In agreement with Ng et al., Alsaad et al. [34] reported that the main finding of their study was DAD; moreover, they detected the presence of cardiac fibrosis. In contrast with the previous study, they did not detect the presence of the viral antigen at the level of the kidney.

In conclusion, few studies have been published on the discussed theme. Undoubtedly, the lack of autopsy findings has reduced the knowledge about MERS-CoV.

### 3.4. Autopsies and SARS-CoV-2

Considering the pandemic diffusion of the SARS-CoV-2 infection, numerous autopsy studies have been performed. It is important to remark that in the first phase of infection, several countries discouraged autopsies, limiting the post-mortem investigation only to
forensic cases [10]. However, in the second phase, after alarming reports from scientists [11], many autopsies were performed, clarifying several pathological aspects of COVID-19. Viral detection in different tissues has frequently been described: the most common method used during post-mortem investigations is immunohistochemistry [35], using an antibody versus viral spike protein.

The following data were extracted from the included studies about the SARS-CoV-2 infection. Table 3 summarizes the main data.

**Table 3.** General data obtained analyzing the studies included in this literature review matching the keywords “Autopsy” OR “Post-mortem” AND “SARS-CoV-2” (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2) OR “COVID-19” (coronavirus disease 2019).

| Source            | Country | Autopsy (Number and Sex) | Kind of Autopsy                                             | Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 |
|-------------------|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Wang et al. [36]  | China   | 2 (1 F; 1 M)             | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Li et al. [37]    | China   | 30 (10 F; 20 M)          | Minimally invasive autopsies                                | RT-PCR                 |
| Su et al. [38]    | China   | 26 (7 F; 19 M)           | Complete autopsies, focusing on the kidney                  | RT-PCR                 |
| Yang et al. [39]  | China   | 12 M                     | Complete autopsies, focusing on the testis                  | RT-PCR                 |
| Liu et al. [40]   | China   | 12 (5 F; 7 M)            | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Lui et al. [41]   | China   | 5 (3 F; 2 M)             | Complete autopsies, focusing on the skin                    | RT-PCR                 |
| Tian et al. [42]  | China   | 2 (1 F; 1 M)             | Lung analysis                                               | RT-PCR                 |
| Yao et al. [43]   | China   | 3 M                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Karami et al. [44]| Iran    | 1 F                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Okudela et al. [45]| Japan  | 1 F                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Adachi et al. [46]| Japan   | 1 F 95                   | Complete autopsy (with the exception of the brain and bone marrow) | RT-PCR                 |
| Lax et al. [47]   | Austria | 11 (3 F; 8 M)            | Complete autopsies                                          | RT-PCR                 |
| Grosse et al. [48]| Austria | 14 (5 F; 9 M)            | Complete autopsies                                          | RT-PCR                 |
| Schmit et al. [49]| Belgium| 14 (4 F; 10 M)           | Complete autopsies                                          | RT-PCR                 |
| Remmelink et al. [50]| Belgium | 17 (5 F; 12 M)         | Complete autopsies                                          | RT-PCR                 |
| Jacobs et al. [51]| Belgium| 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Radermecker et al. [52]| Belgium | 4 (1 F; 3 M)            | Complete autopsies                                          | RT-PCR                 |
| Puzovic et al. [53]| Croatia| 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Schwensen et al. [54]| Denmark| 1 F                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Leth et al. [55]  | Denmark | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Jensen et al. [56]| England| 2 M                      | Complete autopsies, focusing on the brain                   | RT-PCR                 |
| Youd and Moore [57]| England| 9 (5 F; 4 M)             | Complete autopsies                                          | 3 confirmed cases with RT-PCR |
| Griffin [58]      | England | 1 F                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Kantonen et al. [59]| Finland| 4 (1 F; 3 M)            | Complete autopsies                                          | RT-PCR                 |
| Ducloyer et al. [60]| France| 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR                 |
| Gauchotte et al. [61]| France| 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                            | RT-PCR (Post-mortem samples) |
Table 3. Cont.

| Source                        | Country      | Autopsy (Number and Sex) | Kind of Autopsy                                                      | Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ackermann et al. [62]         | Germany      | 7 (2 F; 5 M)             | Complete autopsies, focusing on lung samples                        | Not described           |
| Swoboda et al. [63]           | Germany      | 15 (15 M)                | Complete autopsies, focusing on bone marrow specimens                | RT-PCR                  |
| Kirschenbaum et al. [64]      | Germany      | 4 (1 F; 3 M)             | Complete autopsies, focusing on brain tissue                        | RT-PCR                  |
| Fitzek et al. [65]            | Germany      | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Wichmann et al. [66]          | Germany      | 12 (3 F; 9 M)            | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Edler et al. [67] and Matschke et al. [68] | Germany      | 80 (34 F; 46 M)          | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Heinrich et al. [69]          | Germany      | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Dettmeyer et al. [70]         | Germany      | 3 M                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Klein et al. [71]             | Germany      | 8 (4 F; 4 M)             | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Schaller et al. [72]          | Germany      | 12 (5 F; 7 M)            | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Lindner et al. [73]           | Germany      | 39 (23 F; 16 M)          | Complete autopsies, focusing on the heart                            | RT-PCR                  |
| Wagner et al. [74]            | Germany      | 2 M                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Bösmüller et al. [75]         | Germany      | 4 (1 F; 3 M)             | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Bulfamante et al. [76]        | Italy        | 6 (1 F; 4 M)             | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Sonzogni et al [77]           | Italy        | 48 (8 F; 22 M)           | 30 partial autopsies limited to lungs, heart, and liver, 18 complete autopsies, excluding the brain | RT-PCR                  |
| Carsana et al. [78]           | Italy        | 38 (5 F; 33 M)           | Complete autopsies, focusing on lung samples                         | RT-PCR                  |
| Cipolloni et al. [79]         | Italy        | 2 (2 M)                  | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Tombolini et al. [80]         | Italy        | 2 F                      | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Falaschi et al. [81]          | Italy        | 22 (7 F; 15 M)           | Complete autopsies, excluding the brain                              | RT-PCR                  |
| Roncati et al. [82]           | Italy        | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Bussani et al. [83]           | Italy        | 41 (16 F; 25 M)          | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Damiani et al. [84]           | Italy        | 9 (2 F; 7 M)             | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Titi et al. [85]              | Italy        | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Del Nonno et al. [86]         | Italy        | 1 W                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR with negativization |
| Basso et al. [87]             | USA & Italy  | 21 (6 F; 15 M)           | Complete autopsies, focusing on the heart                            | RT-PCR                  |
| Chmielik et al. [88]          | Poland       | 3 M                      | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Cirstea et al. [89]           | Romania      | 1 F                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Popa et al. [90]              | Romania      | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                                     | RT-PCR                  |
| Oprinca et al. [91]           | Romania      | 3 (1 F; 2 M)             | Complete autopsies                                                   | RT-PCR                  |
| Kogan et al. [92]             | Russia       | 4 (not available)        | Complete autopsies, focusing on heart tissues                        | RT-PCR                  |
Table 3. Cont.

| Source                      | Country         | Autopsy (Number and Sex) | Kind of Autopsy                                           | Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2                      |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Kovylina et al. [93]        | Russia          | 37 (not available)       | Partial autopsies with analysis of the lung and kidney    | RT-PCR                                     |
| Navarro Conte et al. [94]   | Spain           | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | —                                           |
| Yan et al. [95]             | Spain           | 1 F                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Varela Barca et al. [96]    | Spain           | 1 W                      | Complete autopsy                                          | Immunohistochemistry for SARS-Cov-2         |
| Hellman et al. [97]         | Sweden          | 2 M                      | Complete autopsies                                        | RT-PCR                                     |
| Suesse et al. [98]          | Switzerland     | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Aguiar et al. [99]          | Switzerland     | 1 W                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Menter et al. [100]         | Switzerland     | 21 (4 F; 17 M)           | 17 Complete autopsies, 4 partial autopsies                | RT-PCR                                     |
| Nienhold et al. [101]       | Switzerland     | 16 (3 F; 13 M)           | Complete autopsies                                        | RT-PCR                                     |
| Varga et al. [102]          | Switzerland     | 3 (1 F; 2 M)             | Complete autopsies                                        | RT-PCR                                     |
| Schweitzer et al. [103]     | Switzerland     | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Seetulsingh et al. [104]    | United Kingdom  | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR on lung tissue                       |
| Dolhnikoff et al. [105]     | Brazil          | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Duarte-Neto et al. [106]    | Brazil          | 10 (5 F; 5 M)            | Complete autopsies                                        | RT-PCR                                     |
| Santana et al. [107]        | Brazil          | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Miggiolaro et al. [108]     | Brazil          | 2 (1 F; 1 M)             | Two lung biopsies                                         | RT-PCR                                     |
| Gonzalez et al. [109]       | Cuba            | 10 (4 F; 6 M)            | Partial autopsies                                         | RT-PCR                                     |
| Barton et al. [110]         | USA (OK)        | 2 (M)                    | Complete autopsies                                        | RT-PCR                                     |
| Elsoukkary et al. [111]     | USA             | 32 (10 F; 22 M)          | 28/32 complete autopsies (excluding the brain); 4/32 lung examination | 31/32 RT-PCR                               |
| Craver et al. [112]         | USA             | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Fox et al. [113]            | USA             | 10 (not available)       | Complete autopsies, focusing on the lung                  | RT-PCR                                     |
| Prilutskiy et al. [114]     | USA             | 4 (1 F; 3 M)             | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Reichard et al. [115]       | USA             | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy, focusing on the brain                   | RT-PCR                                     |
| Bradley et al. [116]        | USA             | 14 (8 F; 6 M)            | 7 complete autopsies, 7 in-situ dissections               | RT-PCR                                     |
| Farkash et al. [117]        | USA             | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Al-Dalahmah et al. [118]    | USA             | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Santoriello et al. [119]    | USA             | 42 (13 F; 29 M)          | Complete autopsies, focusing on kidney                    | RT-PCR                                     |
| Grimes et al. [120]         | USA             | 2 M                      | Complete autopsy                                          | RT-PCR                                     |
| Buja et al. [121]           | USA             | 3 M                      | Complete autopsies, excluding the brain                   | RT-PCR                                     |
| Barna et al. [122]          | USA             | 3 (1 F; 2 M)             | Complete autopsies                                        | RT-PCR                                     |
| Sauter et al. [123]         | USA             | 8 (4 F; 4 M)             | Complete autopsies                                        | RT-PCR                                     |
Table 3. Cont.

| Source                          | Country      | Autopsy (Number and Sex) | Kind of Autopsy                                      | Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Occidental et al. [124]         | USA          | 4 M                      | Complete autopsies, focusing on skin lesions          | RT-PCR                  |
| Iuga et al. [125]               | USA (NY)     | 5 (1 F; 4 M)             | Complete autopsies                                    | RT-PCR                  |
| Konopka et al. [126]            | USA (MI)     | 1                        | Complete autopsy                                      | RT-PCR                  |
| Lacy et al. [127]               | USA (MI)     | 1                        | Complete autopsy                                      | RT-PCR                  |
| Paniz Mondolfi et al. [128]     | USA (NY)     | 1 (M)                    | Complete autopsy                                      | RT-PCR                  |
| Nuovo et al. [129]              | USA          | 13 (6 F; 7 M)            | Brain autopsies                                       | RT-PCR                  |
| Rapkiewicz et al. [130]         | USA          | 7 (4 F; 3 M)             | Complete autopsies                                    | RT-PCR                  |
| Solomon et al. [131]            | USA          | 21 (4 F; 14 M)           | Complete autopsies, focusing on the brain             | RT-PCR                  |
| Magro et al. [132]              | USA          | 2 M                      | Complete autopsies                                    | RT-PCR                  |
| Borczuk et al. [133]            | USA & Italy  | 68 (48 USA; 20 Italy);   | Complete autopsies, focusing on the lung              | RT-PCR                  |
|                               | AMERICA      | (21 F; 47 M) 251         |                                                       |                         |
| Attoh et al. [134]              | Ghana        | 3 M                      | Complete autopsies                                    | 2/3 RT-PCR              |
| Khaba et al. [135]              | South Africa | 1 M                      | Complete autopsy                                      | RT-PCR                  |
|                               | AFRICA       | 4                        |                                                       |                         |

Based on the country criterion, 95 autopsy investigations were performed in Asian countries, 580 in European countries, 251 in American countries, and 4 cases in African countries. It is interesting to note that no autopsy investigations were described from the Oceanic countries, maybe because of the minor involvement of the outbreak. The countries with the greatest number of autopsy reports are the USA (227), followed by Italy (212 autopsy reports) and Germany (188 reports). Italy was the first European country involved in the infection spread, even if autopsies were strongly discouraged by the Health Minister in the first phase of infection [136]. However, in several areas of Germany, autopsy was considered mandatory in cases of confirmed or suspected COVID-19 death. For example, one of the most important findings defined thanks to autopsy procedures was the identification of a pro-coagulative status in COVID-19 patients, identifying macroscopic and/or microscopic thrombi at the pulmonary level [79,111,132]. These findings undoubtfully improved the therapy of COVID-19 inpatients.

In Figure 2 and in the following subsections, the findings that may be found in different tissues at the autopsy examination in COVID-19 patients are summarized.

3.4.1. SARS-CoV-2 and Lung Tissue

As previously described, COVID-19 predominantly involves the lungs, generating, in several patients, acute respiratory insufficiency. Different types of post-mortem investigations have been performed, ranging from biopsies to full autopsies [47]. In particular, pulmonary pathology was heterogeneous, reflecting radiological findings of patchy ground-glass opacities, and the histology of the lung parenchyma showed a variety of findings from exudative hyaline membrane disease to organized pneumonia, whereas some areas were histologically normal.
Figure 2. The possible findings in a COVID-19 autopsy (abbreviations: acute respiratory distress syndrome, ARDS; diffuse alveolar damages, DAD).

At the gross examination, the lungs were frequently described as heavy, congested, and edematous, frequently with a focal bilateral consolidation [57,72,100,116]. The pleura could be found inconspicuous [86]. This finding is more debated than reported, even if the pleural involvement in COVID-19 patients has been demonstrated in radiological and histological studies [137,138]. In several cases, massive pulmonary embolism was described as the main cause of death [130]. Sub-segmental pulmonary emboli was also frequently found to cause lobar infarction [48,116,121]. Histologically, the lungs presented the typical changes of the acute exudative phase of bilateral DAD [48,84,116] with the clinical presentation of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [106,114]. COVID-19 patients could develop the fibrosing pattern as a consequence of DAD [37]. In other cases, interstitial pulmonary lymphoid infiltrates and enlarged atypical pneumocytes have been reported, without DAD [88]. Analyzing the vascular modification, in COVID-19 vascular injury is a distinctive pathological feature: thrombotic microangiopathy coexists with other lesions such as endothelialitis and pulmonary angiogenesis. Distinctive findings of the COVID-19 damage at the level of the lung included the presence of type II pneumocytes with nucleomegaly and prominent nucleoli, combined with an accumulation of macrophages, lymphocytes, and multinucleated giant cells [67,72,78,106,116,121]. Different infiltrated lymphocytes were also found [57], with the presence of abundant megakaryocytes [99]. Moreover, neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) can contribute to inflammation-associated lung damage, thrombosis, and fibrosis [52]. Thrombi in pre- and post-capillary vessels were frequently described [47,50,62,78,113,120,135]: thrombi usually appeared hetero-synchronous, at different stages of organization [48,66,79,83]. It is interesting to note that a higher presence of ACE2 in alveolar epithelial cells and capillary endothelial cells facilitate the entrance of SARS-CoV-2 [62], generating a subsequent high expression of chemokines and cytokines (IL(interleukin) -6, IL10, TNF (Tumor Necrosis
Factor-α [57]. The pro-thrombotic state is usually promoted by a high expression of IL-6, followed by ICAM-1 (Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1) expression and endothelites, generating a systemic inflammatory response syndrome [108]. Hellman et al. described the presence of glycosaminoglycan hyaluronan (HA) in the alveolar spaces in lung tissue, suggesting new treatment options in severe COVID-19 [97].

3.4.2. SARS-CoV-2 and Cardiac Tissue

The presence of the SARS-CoV-2 in cardiac tissue is frequently reported in the post-mortem investigations. An important point in the evaluation of heart tissue concerns the consideration of tissue damage: considering that the subjects who died with/from COVID-19 have more than one comorbidity [10], it is very difficult to establish if the observed lesion is related to the infection or to pre-existing conditions. This thematic is very important, considering that the comorbidities, such as hypertension, can frequently generate tissue damage. At the gross examination, the heart showed increased size and weight, hypertrophy, and dilation of the left and right atria and ventricles. The myocardium appeared pale and flaccid, and the endocardium showed punctuated petechial hemorrhages [81]. Considering the high prevalence of subjects with comorbidities such as hypertension, in the post-mortem examination, left ventricular hypertrophy, dilated cardiomyopathy, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy were commonly described [100,121]. A variable pattern of cardiomyocyte injury was consistently observed, ranging from the absence of cell death and subcellular changes to intracellular edema and sarcomere disruption [76]. Moreover, in different reports, lymphocytic viral myocarditis was reported, and these findings were morphologically and immunohistochemically confirmed in the post-mortem investigation. In several cases, myocarditis was described in COVID-19 patients—it developed in the presence of coronary artery disease and may be concurrent with lymphocytic endo-pericarditis [61,92,105]. At the level of the myocardium, thrombosis, microhemorrhages, and interstitial edema have frequently been shown [50,89]. It is important to highlight that fulminant myocarditis may be found in cases of quick exitus for COVID-19 patients [95,112,113]. Moreover, in a multicenter study focusing on cardiac tissue, Basso et al. [87] reported macrophage infiltration in many cases; nevertheless, they concluded that this condition may be related to underlying diseases rather than COVID-19.

In myocardial tissue, signs of viral presence were also observed [73], and these findings suggest the need for cardiological surveillance in COVID-19 survivors.

3.4.3. SARS-CoV-2 in Spleen and Bone Marrow Tissues

Post-mortem spleens in COVID-19 patients were generally contracted, showing the presence of shrinking capsules. It could be possible to detect mixed thrombi, anemic infarcts, and hemorrhages. Moreover, in several cases, spleens showed atrophic white pulp and relatively enlarged red pulp (Figure 3A). Finally, some of the COVID-19 spleens had a reduced number of CD20-positive B lymphocytes (100%) compared to controls [40].

Hemophagocytosis is a common finding in the bone marrow of subjects who died from severe COVID-19 [63]. Prominent hyperplasia of CD8-positive cytotoxic T cells was randomly found [100]. In particular, the microscopic analysis of bone marrow showed two pathological pictures (Figure 3B): on the one hand, red hematopoietic bone marrow cells were replaced by yellow marrow cells, rich in adipocytes; on the other hand, there was hyperplasia with megakaryocytes [81,82].
Figure 3. Different organs such as spleen, bone marrow, testis, and skin should be better studied. Nevertheless, in this picture, the main adverse effects of SARS-CoV-2 on spleen (A), bone marrow (B), testis (C), and skin (D) are summarized.

3.4.4. SARS-CoV-2 and Kidney

Another organ that was severely affected in cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection is the kidney, showing degenerative changes [90]. Even if the pathological pathway of renal damage in COVID-19 patients is not completely known, the ACE-2 receptor plays a pivotal role in the mechanism of renal infection [117]. Factors that may contribute to acute kidney injury in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 infection are systemic hypoxia, abnormal coagulation, and possible drug-related rhabdomyolysis or hyperventilation [38]. It is important to take into account during the evaluation of the post-mortem findings, any pre-existing comorbidities such as hypertension and diabetes. Indeed, in the evaluation of tissue modification, it is possible to find pre-existing damage not related to COVID-19.

On macroscopic examination, renal signs of shock were observed in many autopsies [100]. Histologically, extensive tubular necrosis mainly in the proximal convoluted tubules was observed; moreover, vascular thrombosis, stasis, and petechial hemorrhages were also described [61,89,91]. Other findings of kidney damage are diffuse proximal tubule injury with the loss of brush border, non-isometric vacuolar degeneration, and necrosis. Occasional hemosiderin granules and pigmented casts were identified [38,116,119].

3.4.5. SARS-CoV-2 and Brain

Different clinical manifestations of the central nervous system (CNS) have been described in COVID-19 patients. These data may be the consequence of the vascular encephalopathy associated with the SARS-CoV-2 infection. The presence of the virus in the brain tissue is not always confirmed: as reported by Matschke et al. [68], SARS-CoV-2 may be detected in the CNS, even if mild neuropathological changes with pronounced neuroinflammation in the brainstem represent the most common finding. Moreover, when it is detected, its presence is strictly related to diffuse inflammatory microvessel endothelial damage, with cytokine production, microencephalopathy, and sometimes microthrombi [129]. In different macroscopic examinations, mild brain swelling and scattered hemorrhagic lesions were described. The histological examination (haematoxylin and
eosin stain) could detect intraparenchymal blood foci disrupting the white matter, with
the presence of macrophages at the periphery of the lesions [115]. In several post-mortem
examinations, cerebral microhemorrhages induced by endotheliitis and vasculopathy have
been described [59,64]. Moreover, Al-Dalahmah et al. [118] reported cerebellar hemorrhage
and acute infarcts in the dorsal pons and medulla. Other neuropathological findings related
to COVID-19 are cerebral cortical infarction with the presence of megakaryocytes and brain-
stem encephalitis [56]. In contrast, Kantonen et al. [59] did not detect signs of meningitis or
encephalitis in any brain area. In the same way, in a recent report performed on 18 sub-
jects who died from/with COVID-19, Solomon et al. [131] reported only hypoxic changes;
contrariwise, they did not describe encephalitis or other specific brain changes referable to
SARS-CoV-2. In light of these findings, brain damage is not univocal in COVID-19 patients.

3.4.6. SARS-CoV-2 and Liver Tissue

The identification of a specific histopathological pattern of liver damages in COVID-19
patients is very challenging for several reasons. Considering that death occurs in COVID-
19 patients with several comorbidities, similarly, liver damage should be analyzed in
consideration of the previous clinical status of the deceased subject. Moreover, another
important consideration should be made in the analysis of liver alteration after COVID-19:
it is related to the drug toxicity during the SARS-CoV-2 infection management that could
increase pre-existing liver damage.

Minimal features of inflammation were always detected in the liver samples of COVID-
19 patients. During the post-mortem examination, the livers sometimes appeared pale and
yellowish, with parenchyma congestion [81]. At the microscopical level, a centrolobular
necrosis was detected, associated with discrete lobular or portal inflammation. The main
histological changes may be attributed to the hypoxic state related to the SARS-CoV-
2 infection at the level of the lung [49,61]. Moreover, histologically, it was possible to
identify vascular changes, with the identification of massive lumen dilatation, and partial
or complete luminal thrombosis of the portal and sinusoidal vessels. Other findings
included fibrotic portal tract signs [77].

3.4.7. SARS-CoV-2 and the Testis

Analyzing the statistical data about COVID-19, fatality rates among men were higher
than women, ranging up to 3–5 times in different countries [139]. This data suggested
more careful examination in order to ascertain if the male status could be considered an
important predictor factor in the COVID-19 outcome. In this way, several groups focused
during autopsy on testis findings to show the main modifications that occurred in subjects
who died with/from the SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The presence of SARS-CoV-2 in the testis was not always detected. In the post-
mortem examination, moderate and severe testis modifications were frequently reported
(Figure 3C). Particularly, the number of Leydig cells was reduced compared to the control
group. Signs of inflammation were also described, detecting the presence of T lymphocytes
and histiocytes [39]. Finally, the presence of microthrombi was sometimes reported both in
the testis and in the microvessels of the prostate [111].

3.4.8. SARS-CoV-2 and Skin Tissue

The ACE-2 receptor may be considered the entrance door for SARS-CoV-2; considering
that it may be considered ubiquitous, SARS-CoV-2 could be potentially detected in all
organs and tissues. Liu et al. [41] focused their study on the sweat glands and sweat
ducts, and on small blood vessels in the skin. Based on their results, SARS-CoV-2 was also
detected at this level, generating a vasculitis with prominent infiltration of lymphocytes
and enlarged vascular endothelial cells (Figure 3D).

Occidental et al. [124] focused on the superficial dermis, showing the presence of
thrombotic microangiopathy. Moreover, they described lesions such as vesicle formation.
These findings suggest that in several cases, the lesion on the dermis caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection may be similar to bullous diseases, underlying a role for the immune response.

4. Discussion

Despite advanced technologies in the diagnostic fields, to date, autopsy remains the gold standard method to understand the biological features and the pathogenesis of unknown infections [65,66], especially when awareness of a pathogen is restricted and the impact on the healthcare system is substantial. Ideally, the knowledge gained through this technique may positively influence therapeutic strategies, ultimately reducing mortality. However, the questionable choice of several countries to limit the post-mortem investigation has severely limited the practice of autopsies of COVID-19 patients [10]. Indeed, in the first pandemic months, many governments—including the Italian government—discouraged autopsy procedures in COVID-19 deaths [136], limiting its practice to forensic cases. During the same period, many national and international guidelines suggested safety procedures to perform post-mortem examinations for those people who died with COVID-19 in a safe manner [7–9]. Subsequently, as discussed in the present review, many autopsy studies have been published.

SARS-CoV spread in 2002/2003 and is an acute viral pulmonary disease that requires, in critical cases, intensive care and especially mechanical ventilation [140]. The post-mortem investigations were fundamental in order to define the histopathological pattern. Particularly, DAD with the presence of hyaline membranes, hyperplasia of type 2 pneumocytes, and distal arterial thrombi were observed [141].

In the case of MERS-CoV, in an effort to improve the knowledge about this new pathogen, the scientific community focused mainly on small animal models [142]. This is demonstrated by the limited number of autopsies, even if the mortality rate is higher compared to the other coronaviruses. It is important to note that the spread of MERS is very limited compared to SARS-CoV-2, considering that the case number is limited to about 2500, with a higher mortality rate (about 35% of cases) [30]. The limited number of cases, combined with the diffusion in Middle East countries, may be considered two important factors in the evaluation of the lack of post-mortem investigations, particularly compared to the post-mortem investigations performed during the pandemic infection of SARS-CoV-2.

Responding to a different alarming paper of the scientific community [11,143], in consideration of the increase of subjects who died with/from COVID-19, the number of post-mortem investigations is considerably increased in the second phase of the pandemic. As demonstrated in this review, although it was initially thought that SARS-CoV-2 affects only respiratory systems, thanks to post-mortem investigations, it was ascertained that this infection may cause different damage on other organs such as the heart, kidneys, and liver. Moreover, to date, considering the recrudescence of COVID-19, there is an immediate necessity to investigate all involvement, for example, clarifying the involvement of the digestive system [23]. These data will be very useful in the management of COVID-19 survivors.

In this way, evaluating the necessity to further improve the knowledge about COVID-19, a number of clinical organizations have announced their interest in collecting and analyzing data from patients with COVID-19. Moreover, several large-scale prospective data collections are ongoing, such as the LEOSS registry (Lean European Open Survey on SARS-CoV-2-Infected Patients) or the CAPACITYCOVID registry (registry of patients with COVID-19 including cardiovascular risk and complications) [144]. An interesting collaborative study was published by Borczuk et al. [133]. The authors collected the data of 68 autopsies from Italy and New York City, and their findings show a high complexity of the COVID-19 disease; for example, they frequently describe a high frequency of thrombi, suggesting an impact on clinical management. It is fundamental to underline the usefulness of mobilizing and harmonizing basic and applied research worldwide. Furthermore, when a patient dies unexpectedly at home, perhaps after a short period of flu-like symptoms,
without hospitalization and/or nasopharyngeal swab investigation, the post-mortem investigation is fundamental in order to define if these unknown fatal cases may be due to COVID-19 [80,145].

A crucial aspect of the post-mortem investigation is the safety of the personnel involved. To date, no evidence of the infection during the post-mortem investigation has been supplied. In this way, we firmly believe that when an autopsy is performed in a proper biosafety autopsy room using personal protective equipment [9,146], as recommended by the different international guidelines, the complete post-mortem investigation is a safe procedure, even if several recent articles discussed the persistence of the SARS-CoV-2 virus in the post-mortem period [147]. Really, they did not demonstrate the vitality of the virus; however, they only detected the positivity of swab samples collected during autopsy procedures through real-time PCR (polymerase chain reaction). For this reason, it is fundamental to remark that before sending alarming massages, the forensic community is called on to fully comprehend the weight of the evidence. It is important to highlight that the lessons learned from this review urge all the personnel involved in autopsies (technicians, biologists, pathologists) to take into account the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in all suspected deaths during the pandemic, wearing appropriate personal protective equipment adopting the suggested procedure to perform autopsy safely [53,148]. At the same time, the value of the autopsy in the management of unknown diseases is indisputable.

For over two centuries, autopsy has been considered a fundamental diagnostic technique, particularly in cases of new or little-known human disorders. However, today, it is often treated as obsolete. This is perhaps one of the explanations for the fact that in the first phase of the pandemic, autopsies were performed only in a few cases, with delays and often discouraged, if not even prohibited, by more than one country [149].

In conclusion, considering that SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV have had different characteristics both from the clinical and diffusion viewpoints, SARS-CoV-2 seems to have unique characteristics that we will only understand as the epidemic evolves, taking into account the evidence for host-dependent RNA editing in the transcriptome of SARS-CoV-2 [150].

In light of these considerations, autopsies during the COVID-19 infection should not be considered as an exception, but rather as a mandatory tool for the management of the pandemic disease. Forensic medicine could offer the correct answer to this challenge, determining the exact cause of death, contributing to the reliability of death statistics, comprehending the pathological mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2, mapping the presence of the virus after death, as well as addressing disputes on medico-legal issues [151].

The so-called “take-home message” of this literature review is that in the near future, in the case of a new pandemic pathogen, the lesson “to learn from the dead” [152] should be considered a rule and not only an opportunity, as the scientific advantage to be obtained from the experience of autopsies is incommensurable both from research and public health viewpoints.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.S. and C.P.; methodology, F.S., M.S., and C.P.; validation, F.S., M.S., and C.P.; formal analysis, F.S., M.S., and C.P.; investigation, F.S., M.S., and C.P.; data curation, F.S. and C.P.; writing—original draft preparation, F.S. and C.P.; writing—review and editing, F.S., M.S., and C.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: No Institutional Review Board Statement is required. All data were obtained consulting published material.

Informed Consent Statement: No informed consent is required to use information from deceased persons where the same information is strictly indispensable and relevant for scientific and research purposes. Moreover, the data were obtained consulting published material.

Data Availability Statement: All data are included in the present publication.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Scientific Bureau of the University of Catania for language support.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Guarner, J. Three Emerging Coronavirus in Two Decades: The Story of SARS, MERS, and Now COVID-19. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* **2020**, *153*, 420–421. [CrossRef]

2. Cheng, V.C.C.; Lau, S.K.P.; Woo, P.C.Y.; Yuen, K.Y. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus as an Agent of Emerging and Reemerging Infection. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2007**, *20*, 660–694. [CrossRef]

3. CDC. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS). 2005. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/sars/index.html (accessed on 31 January 2021).

4. Hui, D.S.; Azhar, E.I.; Memish, Z.A.; Oh, M.-D.; Zumla, A. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: Risk factors and determinants of primary, household, and nosocomial transmission. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *18*, e217–e227. [CrossRef]

5. WHO. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV)—United Arab Emirates. 2020. Available online: https://www.who.int/csr/don/31-january-2020-mers-united-arab-emirates/en/ (accessed on 9 February 2021).

6. Lescure, F.-X.; Bouadma, L.; Nguyen, D.; Parisey, M.; Wicky, P.-H.; Behillil, S.; Gaymard, A.; Bouscambert-Duchamp, M.; Donati, F.; Le Hingrat, Q.; et al. Clinical and virological data of the first cases of COVID-19 in Europe: A case series. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *20*, 697–706. [CrossRef]

7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Collection and Submission of Postmortem Specimens from Deceased Persons with Known or Suspected. Ad Interim Guid 2020. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ad-interim-guidance-postmortem-specimens.html (accessed on 31 January 2021).

8. Hanley, B.; Lucas, S.B.; Youd, E.; Swift, B.; Osborn, M. Autopsy in suspected COVID-19 cases. *J. Clin. Pathol.* **2020**, *73*, 239–242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

9. WHO Intern Guidance. Infection Prevention and Control for the Safe Management of a Dead Body in the Context of COVID-19. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/infection-prevention-and-control-for-the-safe-management-of-a-dead-body-in-the-context-of-covid-19-interim-guidance. (accessed on 31 January 2021).

10. Salerno, M.; Sessa, F.; Piscopo, A.; Montana, A.; Torrisi, M.; Patanè, F.; Murabito, P.; Volli, G.L.; Pomara, C. No Autopsies on COVID-19 Deaths: A Missed Opportunity and the Lockdown of Science. *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9*, 1472. [CrossRef]

11. Pomara, C.; Volli, G.L.; Cappello, F. COVID-19 Deaths: Are We Sure It Is Pneumonia? Please, Autopsy, Autopsy, Autopsy! *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9*, 1259. [CrossRef]

12. D’Errico, S.; Zanon, M.; Montanaro, M.; Radaelli, D.; Sessa, F.; Di Mizio, G.; Montana, A.; Corrao, S.; Salerno, M.; Pomara, C. More than Pneumonia: Distinctive Features of SARS-Cov-2 Infection. From Autopsy Findings to Clinical Implications: A Systematic Review. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 1642. [CrossRef]

13. Calabrese, F.; Pezzuto, F.; Fortarezza, F.; Hofman, P.; Kern, I.; Panizo, A.; Von Der Thüsen, J.; Timofeev, S.; Gorkiewicz, G.; Lunardi, F. Pulmonary pathology and COVID-19: Lessons from autopsy. The experience of European Pulmonary Pathologists. *Virchows Arch.* **2020**, *477*, 359–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Al Nemer, A. Histopathologic and Autopsy Findings in Patients Diagnosed With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): What We Know So Far Based on Correlation With Clinical, Morphologic and Pathobiological Aspects. *Adv. Anat. Pathol.* **2020**, *27*, 363–370. [CrossRef]

15. Roncati, L.; Lusenti, B. The «moonlighting protein» able to explain the Th1 immune lockdown in severe COVID-19. *Med. Hypotheses* **2020**, *143*, 110087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Farcas, G.A.; Poutanen, S.M.; Mazzulli, T.; Willey, B.M.; Butany, J.; Asa, S.L.; Faure, P.; Akhavan, P.; Low, D.E.; Kain, K.C. Fatal Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Is Associated with Multiorgan Involvement by Coronavirus. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2005**, *191*, 193–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Oudit, G.Y.; Kassiri, Z.; Jiang, C.; Liu, P.P.; Poutanen, S.M.; Penninger, J.M.; Butany, J. SARS-coronavirus modulation of myocardial ACE2 expression and inflammation in patients with SARS. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* **2009**, *39*, 618–625. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Mazzulli, T.; Farcas, G.A.; Poutanen, S.M.; Willey, B.M.; Low, D.E.; Butany, J.; Asa, S.L.; Kain, K.C. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome–associated Coronavirus in Lung Tissue. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2004**, *10*, 20–24. [CrossRef]

19. He, L.; Ding, Y.Q.; Che, X.Y.; Zhang, Q.L.; Huang, Z.X.; Wang, H.J. Expression of the monoclonal antibody against nucleocapsid antigen of SARS-associated coronavirus in autopsy tissues from SARS patients. *Di Yi Jun Yi Da Xue Xue Bao* **2003**, *23*, 1128–1130. [PubMed]

20. Zhang, Q.L.; Ding, Y.Q.; Hou, J.L.; He, L.; Huang, Z.X.; Wang, H.J. Detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-associated coronavirus RNA in autopsy tissues with in situ hybridization. *Di Yi Jun Yi Da Xue Xue Bao* **2003**, *23*, 1125–1127. [PubMed]

21. Nicholls, J.M.; Poon, L.L.; Lee, K.C.; Ng, W.F.; Lai, S.T.; Leung, C.Y.; Chu, C.M.; Hui, P.K.; Mak, K.L.; Lim, W.; et al. Lung pathology of fatal severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* **2003**, *361*, 1773–1778. [CrossRef]

22. Pei, F.; Zheng, J.; Gao, Z.F.; Zhong, Y.F.; Fang, W.G.; Gong, E.C. Lung pathology and pathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome: A report of six full autopsies. *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi* **2005**, *34*, 656–660. [CrossRef]

23. Shi, X.; Gong, E.; Gao, D.; Zhang, B.; Zheng, J.; Gao, Z.; Zhong, Y.; Zou, W.; Wu, B.; Fang, W.; et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Associated Coronavirus Is Detected in Intestinal Tissues of Fatal Cases. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2005**, *100*, 169–176. [CrossRef]
24. He, L.; Ding, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Che, X.; He, Y.; Shen, H.; Wang, H.; Li, Z.; Zhao, L.; Gong, J.; et al. Expression of elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in SARS-CoV-infected ACE2+cells in SARS patients: Relation to the acute lung injury and pathogenesis of SARS. J. Pathol. 2006, 210, 288–297. [CrossRef]

25. Tse, G.M.-K.; To, K.-F.; Chan, P.K.-S.; Lo, A.W.I.; Ng, K.-C.; Wu, A.; Lee, N.; Wong, H.-C.; Mak, S.-M.; Chan, K.-F.; et al. Pulmonary pathological features in coronavirus associated severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). J. Clin. Pathol. 2004, 57, 260–265. [CrossRef]

26. Franks, T.J.; Chong, P.Y.; Chui, P.; Galvin, J.R.; Lourens, R.M.; Reid, A.H.; Selbs, E.; Mcevoy, C.P.L.; Hayden, C.D.L.; Fukuoka, J.; et al. Lung pathology of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): A study of 8 autopsy cases from Singapore. Hum. Pathol. 2003, 34, 743–748. [CrossRef]

27. Kong, S.L.; Chui, P.; Lim, B.; Salto-Tellez, M. Elucidating the molecular physiopathology of acute respiratory distress syndrome in severe acute respiratory syndrome patients. Virus Res. 2009, 145, 260–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Hsiao, C.H.; Wu, M.-Z.; Chen, C.-L.; Hsieh, P.-R.; Hsieh, S.-W.; Yang, P.-C.; Su, I.-J. Evolution of pulmonary pathology in severe acute respiratory syndrome. J. Formos. Med Assoc. 2005, 104, 75–81. [PubMed]

29. Hsiao, C.-H.; Wu, M.-Z.; Hsieh, S.-W.; Chien, L.-C.; Huang, K.-C.; Su, I.-J. Clinicopathology of severe acute respiratory syndrome: An autopsy case report. J. Formos. Med Assoc. 2004, 103, 787–792. [CrossRef]

30. Da Costa, V.G.; Moreli, M.L.; Saivish, M.V. The emergence of SARS, MERS and novel SARS-2 coronaviruses in the 21st century. Arch. Virol. 2020, 165, 1517–1526. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Meyerholz, D.K.; Lambertz, A.M.; McCoy, P.B. Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Distribution in the Human Respiratory Tract Implications for Coronavirus Pathogenesis. Am. J. Pathol. 2016, 186, 630–638. [CrossRef]

32. Ng, D.L.; Al Hosani, F.; Keating, M.K.; Gerber, S.I.; Jones, T.L.; Metcalfe, M.G.; Tong, S.; Tao, Y.; Alami, N.; Haynes, L.M.; et al. Clinical, radiological and histopathological findings of pro-inflammatory cytokines in SARS-CoV-infected ACE2+cells in SARS patients: Relation to the acute lung injury and pathogenesis of SARS. J. Pathol. 2006, 210, 288–297. [CrossRef]

33. Szabolcs, M.; Sauter, J.L.; Frosina, D.; Geronimo, J.A.; Hernandez, E.; Selbs, E.; Rapkiewicz, A.V.; Rekhtman, N.; Baine, M.K.; et al. Histopathology of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection SARS-CoV-infected—Clinicopathological and ultrastructural study. Histopathology 2018, 72, 516–524. [CrossRef]

34. Alsaad, K.O.; Hajeer, A.H.; Al Balwi, M.; Al Moaiqel, M.; Al Oudah, N.; Al Ajlan, A.; Aljohani, S.; Alsolamy, S.; E Gmati, G.; Balkhy, H.; et al. Histopathology of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection—Clinicopathological and ultrastructural study. Histopathology 2018, 72, 516–524. [CrossRef]

35. Yang, M.; Chen, S.; Huang, B.; Zhong, J.-M.; Su, H.; Chen, Y.-J.; Cao, Q.; Ma, L.; He, J.; Li, X.-F.; et al. Pathological Findings in the Lungs and Lymphatic Organs of 12 COVID-19 Autopsy Cases from Wuhan, China. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2020, 15, 288–297. [CrossRef]

36. Karami, P.; Naghavi, M.; Feyzi, A.; Aghamohammadi, M.; Novin, M.S.; Mobaien, A.; Qorbanisani, M.; Karami, A.; Norooznezhad, A.H. WITHDRAWN: Mortality of a pregnant patient diagnosed with COVID-19: A case report with clinical, radiological, and histopathological findings. Travel Med. Infect. Dis. 2020, 31, 101665. [CrossRef]

37. Okudela, K.; Hayashi, H.; Yoshimura, Y.; Sasaki, H.; Horiuchi, H.; Miyata, N.; Tachikawa, N.; Tsuchiya, Y.; Mitsui, H.; Ohashi, K. A Japanese case of COVID-19: An autopsy report. Pathol. Int. 2020, 70, 820–824. [CrossRef]

38. Adachi, T.; Chong, J.M.; Nakajima, N.; Sano, M.; Yamazaki, J.; Miyamoto, J.; Nishioka, H.; Akita, H.; Sato, Y.; Katoa, M.; et al. Clinicopathologic and Immunohistochemical Findings from Autopsy of Patient with COVID-19, Japan. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2020, 26, 2157–2161. [CrossRef]

39. Lax, S.F.; Skok, K.; Zechner, P.; Kessler, H.H.; Kaufmann, N.; Koelblinger, C.; Vander, K.; Bargfrieder, U.; Trauner, M. Pulmonary Arterial Thrombosis in COVID-19 With Fatal Outcome: Results from a Prospective, Single-Center, Clinicopathologic Case Study. Ann. Intern. Med. 2020, 173, 350–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
70. Dettmeyer, R.; Laszczkowski, G.; Weber, A.; Wolter, T.; Kernbach-Wighton, G. Histopathological findings following SARS-CoV-2 infection with and without treatment—Report of three autopsies. Rechtsmedizin 2020, 30, 336–343. [CrossRef]

71. Klein, A.; Edler, C.; Fitzek, A.; Fröbl, D.; Heinemann, A.; Meißner, K.; Mushumba, H.; Püschel, K.; Schröder, A.S.; Sperhake, J.P.; et al. The first COVID-19 hotspot in a retirement home in Hamburg: Prevention concept, case fatality rate and post-mortem findings. Rechtsmedizin 2020, 30, 325–331. [CrossRef]

72. Schaller, T.; Hirschbühl, K.; Burkhardt, K.; Braun, G.; Trepel, M.; Märkl, B.; Claus, R. Postmortem Examination of Patients With COVID-19. JAMA 2020, 323, 2518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Lindner, D.; Fitzek, A.; Bräuninger, H.; Alescheva, G.; Edler, C.; Meissner, K.; Scherschel, K.; Kirchhof, P.; Escher, F.; Schultheiss, H.-P.; et al. Association of Cardiac Infection With SARS-CoV-2 in Confirmed COVID-19 Autopsy Cases. JAMA Cardiol. 2020, 5, 1281. [CrossRef]

74. Wagner, W.L.; Hellbach, K.; Fiedler, M.O.; Salg, G.A.; Wehrse, R.; Ziener, C.H. Mikrovaskuläre Veränderungen bei COVID-19. Radiologe 2020, 60, 934–942. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Bösmüller, H.; Traxler, S.; Bitzer, M.; Hämmerle, H.; Raizer, W.; Nann, D.; Frauenfeld, L.; Vogelsberg, A.; Klingel, K.; Fend, F. The evolution of pulmonary pathology in fatal COVID-19 disease: An autopsy study with clinical correlation. Virchows Archiv 2020, 477, 349–357. [CrossRef]

76. Bulfamante, G.P.; Perrucci, G.L.; Falleni, M.; Sommariva, E.; Tosi, D.; Martinelli, C.; Songia, P.; Poggio, P.; Carugo, S.; Pompilio, G. Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 Transcriptional Activity in Cardiomyocytes of COVID-19 Patients Without Clinical Signs of Cardiac Involvement. Biomedicines 2020, 8, 626. [CrossRef]

77. Sonzogni, A.; Previtali, G.; Seghezzi, M.; Alessio, M.G.; Gianatti, A.; Licini, L.; Morotti, D.; Zerbi, P.; Carsana, L.; Rossi, R.; et al. Liver histopathology in severe COVID 19 respiratory failure is suggestive of vascular alterations. Liver Int. 2020, 40, 2110–2116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Carsana, L.; Sonzogni, A.; Nasr, A.; Rossi, R.S.; Pellegrinelli, A.; Zerbi, P.; Rech, R.; Colombo, R.; Antinori, S.; Corbellino, M.; et al. Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a series of COVID-19 cases from northern Italy: A two-centre descriptive study. Lancet Infect. Dis. 2020, 20, 1135–1140. [CrossRef]

79. Cipolloni, L.; Sessa, F.; Bertozzi, G.; Baldari, B.; Cantatore, S.; Testi, R.; D’Errico, S.; Di Mizio, G.; Asmundo, A.; Castorina, S.; et al. Preliminary Post-Mortem COVID-19 Evidence of Endothelial Injury and Factor VIII Hyperexpression. Diagnostics 2020, 10, 575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

80. Tombolini, A.; Scendon, R. SARS-CoV-2-related deaths in routine forensic autopsy practice: Histopathological patterns. Int. J. Leg. Med. 2020, 134, 2205–2208. [CrossRef]

81. Falasca, L.; Nardacci, R.; Colombo, D.; Lalle, E.; Di Caro, A.; Nicastri, E.; Antinori, A.; Petrosillo, N.; Marchioni, L.; Biava, G.; et al. Postmortem Findings in Italian Patients With COVID-19: A Descriptive Full Autopsy Study of Cases with and Without Comorbidities. J. Infect. Dis. 2020, 222, 1807–1815. [CrossRef]

82. Roncati, L.; Ligabue, G.; Nasillo, V.; Lucenti, B.; Gennari, W.; Fabbiani, L.; Malagoli, C.; Gallo, G.; Giovanella, S.; Lupi, M.; et al. A proof of evidence supporting abnormal immunothrombosis in severe COVID-19: Naked megakaryocyte nuclei increase in the bone marrow and lungs of critically ill patients. Platelets 2020, 31, 1085–1089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Bussani, R.; Schneider, E.; Zentlin, L.; Collesi, C.; Ali, H.; Braga, L.; Volpe, M.C.; Colliva, A.; Zanzonati, F.; Berlot, G.; et al. Persistence of viral RNA, pneumocyte syncytia and thrombosis are hallmarks of advanced COVID-19 pathology. EBioMedicine 2020, 61, 103104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Damiani, S.; Fiorentino, M.; De Palma, A.; Foschini, M.P.; Lazzarotto, T.; Gabrielli, L.; Viale, P.L.; Attard, L.; Riefolo, M.; D’Errico, A. Pathological post-mortem findings in lungs infected with SARS-CoV-2. J. Pathol. 2021, 253, 31–40. [CrossRef]

85. Titi, L.; Magnanini, E.; Mancone, M.; Infusino, F.; Coppola, G.; Del Nonno, F.; Colombo, D.; Nardacci, R.; Falasca, L.; D’Amati, G.; et al. Fatal Takotsubo syndrome in critical COVID-19 related pneumonia. Cardiovasc. Pathol. 2021, 51, 107314. [CrossRef]

86. Del Nonno, F.; Colombo, D.; Nardacci, R.; Falasca, L. Fatal pulmonary arterial thrombosis in a COVID-19 patient, with asymptomatic history, occurred after swab negativization. Thromb. J. 2021, 19, 1–6. [CrossRef]

87. Basso, C.; Leone, O.; Rizzo, S.; De Gasperi, M.; Van Der Wal, A.C.; Aubry, M.-C.; Bois, M.C.; Lin, P.T.; Małeszewski, J.J.; Stone, J.R. Pathological features of COVID-19-associated myocardial injury: A multicentre cardiovascular pathology study. Eur. Heart J. 2020, 41, 3827–3835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

88. Chmielik, E.; Jazowiecka-Rakus, J.; Dyduch, G.; Nasierowska-Guttmejer, A.; Michalowski, L.; Sochanik, A.; Ulatowska-Bielsa, M. COVID-19 Autopsies: A Case Series from Poland. Pathobiol. 2021, 88, 78–87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

89. Cirstea, A.-E.; Buzulică, R.L.; Pirici, D.; Ceaușu, M.C.; Iman, R.V.; Gheorghe, O.-M.; Neamțu, S.D.; Stanca, L.; Ene, R.; Kumar-Singh, S.; et al. Histopathological findings in the advanced natural evolution of the SARS-CoV-2 infection. Rom. J. Morphol. Embryol. 2020, 61, 209–218. [CrossRef]

90. Popa, M.; Deacu, S.; Candea, L.; Comanici, S.; Pricop, S.; Mocanu, L.; Gheu, A.; Tabirca, D. Virus-associated hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis—the severe course expression in sars-cov-2 infection? Rom. J. Leg. Med. 2020, 28, 1–7. [CrossRef]

91. Oprinca, G.-C.; Muja, L.-A. Postmortem examination of three SARS-CoV-2-positive autopsies including histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis. Int. J. Leg. Med. 2021, 135, 329–339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Kogan, E.A.; Berezovsky, Y.S.; Kukleva, A.D.; Kurilina, E.V.; Semenova, L.A.; Blagova, O.V.; Zharkov, N.V. Lymphocytic myocarditis in patients with COVID-19 (4 autopsy cases). Arkh Patol. 2020, 82, 57–62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
138. Bai, H.X.; Hsieh, B.; Xiong, Z.; Halsey, K.; Choi, J.W.; Tran, T.M.L.; Pan, I.; Shi, L.-B.; Wang, D.-C.; Mei, J.; et al. Performance of Radiologists in Differentiating COVID-19 from Non-COVID-19 Viral Pneumonia at Chest CT. *Radiology* 2020, 296, E46–E54. [CrossRef]

139. Dehingia, N.; Raj, A. Sex differences in COVID-19 case fatality: Do we know enough? *Lancet Glob. Heal.* 2021, 9, e14–e15. [CrossRef]

140. Lipsitch, M.; Cohen, T.; Cooper, B.; Robins, J.M.; Ma, S.; James, L.; Gopalakrishna, G.; Chew, S.K.; Tan, C.C.; Samore, M.H.; et al. Transmission Dynamics and Control of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. *Sci.* 2003, 300, 1966–1970. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

141. Chong, P.Y.; Chui, P.; Ling, A.E.; Franks, T.J.; Tai, D.Y.H.; Leo, Y.S.; Kaw, G.J.L.; Wansaicheong, G.; Chan, K.P.; Oon, L.L.E.; et al. Analysis of Deaths During the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) Epidemic in Singapore: Challenges in Determining a SARS Diagnosis. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* 2004, 128, 195–204. [CrossRef]

142. Zhao, G.; Jiang, Y.; Qiu, H.; Gao, T.; Zeng, Y.; Guo, Y.; Yu, H.; Li, J.; Kou, Z.; Du, L.; et al. Multi-Organ Damage in Human Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Transgenic Mice Infected with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0145561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

143. Sperhake, J.-P. Autopsies of COVID-19 deceased? Absolutely! *Leg. Med.* 2020, 47, 101769. [CrossRef]

144. Von Stillfried, S.; Bülow, R.D.; Röhrig, R.; Knüchel-Clarke, R.; Boor, P.; Tholen, P.; Nöthel, B.; Wienströer, J.; Majeed, R.; Uhlig, S.; et al. Autopsy registry can facilitate COVID-19 research. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2020, 12, 12885. [CrossRef]

145. Gammazza, A.M.; Légaré, S.; Bosco, G.L.; Fucarino, A.; Angileri, F.; De Macario, E.C.; Macario, A.J.; Cappello, F. Human molecular chaperones share with SARS-CoV-2 antigenic epitopes potentially capable of eliciting autoimmunity against endothelial cells: Possible role of molecular mimicry in COVID-19. *Cell Stress Chaperon-* 2020, 25, 737–741. [CrossRef]

146. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Guidance for wearing and removing personal protective equipment in healthcare settings for the care of patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19. *Eur. Cent Dis. Prev. Control. Guidel.* 2020. Available online: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/guidance-wearing-and-removing-personal-protective-equipment-healthcare-settings (accessed on 30 June 2020).

147. Keresztesi, A.-A.; Perde, F.; Ghita-Nanu, A.; Radu, C.-C.; Negrea, M.; Keresztesi, G. Post-Mortem Diagnosis and Autopsy Findings in SARS-CoV-2 Infection: Forensic Case Series. *Diagnostics* 2020, 10, 1070. [CrossRef]

148. Pomara, C.; Salerno, M.; Sessa, F.; Esposito, M.; Barchitta, M.; Ledda, C.; Grassi, P.; Liberto, A.; Mattaliano, A.; Rapisarda, V.; et al. Safe Management Strategies in Clinical Forensic Autopsies of Confirmed COVID-19 Cases. *Diagnostics* 2021, 11, 457. [CrossRef]

149. Carpenito, L.; D’Ercole, M.; Porta, F.; Di Blasi, E.; Doi, P.; Fagara, G.R.; Rey, R.; Bulfamante, G. The autopsy at the time of SARS-CoV-2: Protocol and lessons. *Ann. Diagn. Pathol.* 2020, 48, 151562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

150. Di Giorgio, S.; Martignano, F.; Torcia, M.G.; Mattiuz, G.; Conticello, S.G. Evidence for host-dependent RNA editing in the transcriptome of SARS-CoV-2. *Sci. Adv.* 2020, 6, eabb5813. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

151. Bogdanović, M.; Atanasijević, T.; Popović, V.; Mihailović, Z.; Radianić, B.; Durmić, T. Is the role of forensic medicine in the covid-19 pandemic underestimated? *Sci. Adv. Med. Sci. Pathol.* 2021, 17, 136–138. [CrossRef]

152. De Cock, K.M.; Zielinski-Gutiérrez, E.; Lucas, S.B. Learning from the Dead. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2019, 381, 1889–1891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]