De novo nucleosome assembly: new pieces in an old puzzle

Alain Verreault

Imperial Cancer Research Fund, Clare Hall Laboratories, South Mimms, EN6 3LD Hertfordshire, UK

In eukaryotic cells, DNA packaging within the narrow confines of the cell nucleus is achieved through the formation of chromatin. The basic repeating unit of chromatin is the nucleosome core, which consists of 147 base pairs of DNA wrapped in 1.7 left-handed superhelical turns around the surface of an octameric protein core formed of two molecules each of histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4. In proliferating cells, the bulk of histone synthesis occurs during S phase of the cell cycle. During DNA replication, the maintenance of chromatin structure is the net result of two fundamentally distinct reactions that take place rapidly during passage of a DNA replication fork. The first reaction, known as parental nucleosome segregation, is the transfer of pre-existing core histones onto the two nascent chromatids behind the fork (Klade 1999). In contrast, the other half of the nucleosome complement is made from newly synthesized histones in a reaction known as de novo nucleosome assembly. This reaction, which is essential for viability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Kim et al. 1988), occurs via a stepwise mechanism in which acetylated histones H3 and H4 are deposited first and rapidly joined by H2A/H2B dimers to complete the nucleosome core (Worcel et al. 1978; Smith and Stillman 1991).

Although nucleosomes can be reconstituted in vitro from purified core histones and DNA by salt gradient dialysis, histones and DNA tend to form insoluble aggregates when mixed directly with each other at physiological ionic strength. To circumvent this problem, eukaryotic cells have evolved an elaborate pathway to achieve regulated formation of nucleosomes containing stoichiometric amounts of histones. The purpose of this review is to describe the proteins involved in de novo nucleosome assembly and their functions in the maintenance of chromosome integrity.

Histone acetylation and nucleosome assembly

Shortly after their synthesis, histones H3 and H4 associate with each other and are acetylated at a number of lysine residues within their amino-terminal domains. In higher eukaryotes, this acetylation is transient and rapidly removed following packaging of the histones into chromatin (Jackson et al. 1976). Strains of S. cerevisiae in which the acetylation of both H3 and H4 is compromised during passage through S phase exhibit a progressive loss of viability that is accompanied by a decrease in nucleosome density in vivo, and extracts prepared from these cells are defective in nucleosome assembly in vitro (Table 1; Ling et al. 1996; Ma et al. 1998). This strongly suggests that, although the sites of acetylation in H3 and H4 are redundant with each other, acetylation of either histone is important to facilitate some aspect of nucleosome assembly during S phase. These experiments have to be interpreted with caution however, because the acetylation site mutations not only prevent histone acetylation but also perturb the structure of the histone amino-terminal domains.

The acetylation of histones prior to their packaging into chromatin is catalyzed by enzymes known as B-type histone acetyltransferases [B-type HATs], which are distinct from A-type HATs that acetylate chromosomal histones. The only known B-type HAT is Hat1, a widely conserved enzyme that, at least in Xenopus and humans, can acetylate lysines 5 and 12 of histone H4 (Verreault et al. 1998; Imhof and Wolffe 1999). Although acetylation of these two residues in newly synthesized H4 is absolutely conserved in a number of widely divergent species (Sobel et al. 1995), hat1Δ cells have no obvious mutant phenotype in S. cerevisiae (Kleff et al. 1995; Parthun et al. 1996). This is not really surprising because Hat1 is an H4-specific enzyme that cannot modify lysine 8 in H4. In yeast, the amino termini of histones H3 and H4 are redundant with each other, and lysine 8 of H4 is sufficient to maintain viability and nucleosome assembly even in the absence of an H3 amino-terminal domain (Table 1). Thus, the lack of phenotype of hat1Δ cells may simply reflect the presence of other HATs that can acetylate newly synthesized H3 or H4. The enzyme(s) responsible for these modifications have not yet been identified. It is also not clear whether the B-type H3 HAT will be conserved. Unlike the evolutionarily conserved and very prominent acetylation of newly synthesized histone H4 on lysines 5 and 12, acetylation of new H3 molecules seems to occur at different sites in different species, and has not yet been reported in human cells (Fig. 1A; Sobel et al. 1995; Kuo et al. 1996). At least some of the variation in the sites of H3 acetylation among different species is likely to reflect the
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Taking histones to replicating DNA

Chromatin assembly factor 1 (CAF-1) was initially identified as a protein that promoted incorporation of newly synthesized H3/H4 into nucleosomes during SV40 DNA replication in vitro (Smith and Stillman 1989). The ability of CAF-1 to promote nucleosome assembly preferentially onto replicating DNA is likely to be important for targeting newly synthesized histones specifically to sites of DNA replication because histones are designed to package DNA in a relatively non-sequence-specific manner. CAF-1’s remarkable ability to promote nucleosome formation onto replicating DNA is, at least in part, attributable to its capacity to recognize DNA that is topologically marked by the presence of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA; Fig. 1B; Shibahara and Stillman 1999; Moggs et al. 2000). CAF-1 binds directly to PCNA, a DNA polymerase processivity factor that forms a protein clamp around DNA (Fig. 1B). This clamp serves to tether the polymerase to the DNA template, thereby ensuring the processivity of DNA synthesis. In addition to CAF-1, a large number of proteins interact with PCNA during DNA replication (Jonsson and Hubscher 1997). Currently, it is not clear how recruitment of CAF-1, via its interaction with PCNA, is coordinated with that of other DNA replication factors to ensure that newly synthesized histones are specifically deposited onto double-stranded DNA behind the fork without interfering with DNA synthesis.

An attractive possibility for the role of histone acetylation in nucleosome assembly is that chromatin assembly factors need to recognize the acetylation of H3/H4 to bind to newly synthesized histones. In human cells, CAF-1 exists as a stable complex with newly synthesized H3/H4 (Fig. 1B). A fraction of the H4 molecules associated with CAF-1 are acetylated on lysines 5, 8, and 12 (Verreault et al. 1996). Intriguingly, any of those three H4 residues needs to be intact to maintain nucleosome assembly and viability in S. cerevisiae strains where the amino-terminal domain of H3 has been completely deleted and therefore cannot be acetylated (Table 1). These observations have led to the widespread view that CAF-1 preferentially binds to acetylated H3/H4 (Roth and Allis 1996). This is clearly not the case however, because CAF-1 binds stably to H3/H4 tetramers lacking the amino-terminal domains of both H3 and H4 and can promote their efficient assembly during SV40 DNA replication in vitro (Shibahara et al. 2000). Thus, the acetylation of newly synthesized H3/H4 is likely to facilitate some other aspect of assembly, such as H3/H4 nuclear import, binding to chromatin assembly factors other than CAF-1 (see RCAF section below), or chromatin maturation.

In human and mouse cells, CAF-1 is present at a large number of DNA replication foci. This is the case both during euchromatin replication early in S-phase and heterochromatin replication later on during S phase (Krude 1995; Taddei et al. 1999), giving the impression that CAF-1-mediated nucleosome assembly is not restricted to particular loci. Paradoxically, CAF-1 is completely dispensable for viability in S. cerevisiae (Enomoto et al. 1997; Kaufman et al. 1997). CAF-1 mutations impair transcriptional silencing of reporter genes integrated next to telomeres and, to a lesser extent, silencing of the mating type loci HMLα and HMRα (Enomoto et al. 1997; Kaufman et al. 1997; Monson et al. 1997; Enomoto and Berman 1998). At the HMLα locus, CAF-1 is required for
the maintenance, but not the establishment of transcriptional silencing (Enomoto and Berman 1998). Taken together, these studies suggest that, although dispensable for viability, CAF-1 plays a nonredundant role in the maintenance of heterochromatin at telomeres and the silent mating type loci. Importantly, reporter genes integrated next to telomeres remain packaged into nucleosomes in the absence of CAF-1, and no obvious difference in histone H4 acetylation of telomere-proximal genes has been found between wild-type and CAF-1 mutant cells [Monson et al. 1997]. However, Rap1, a protein involved in both telomeric and mating type silencing, is mislocalized in CAF-1 mutants. In wild-type cells, a major fraction of Rap1 is associated with clusters of telomeres that are often located in the periphery of the cell nucleus. In CAF-1 mutants, telomeric DNA clustering at the periphery of the nucleus is maintained, but the Rap1 foci are more numerous and more diffuse throughout the nucleus [Enomoto et al. 1997]. The exact source of the telomeric silencing and Rap1 localization defects seen in the absence of CAF-1 is not clear, but it seems plausible that it may be a result of a subtle change in subtelomeric nucleosomes (e.g., nucleosome spacing and/or a subtle increase in site-specific histone acetylation). Alternatively, the absence of CAF-1 may simply result in a delay in nucleosome assembly during replication that somehow leads to a decrease in the stability of telomeric heterochromatin and dispersion of some of the Rap1 protein throughout the nucleus.

Sensing the need for nucleosome assembly

In *S. cerevisiae*, Hir proteins (Hir1, 2, and 3) contribute to histone gene regulation during normal cell cycle progression by repressing transcription of three of the four histone gene pairs, except in late G1 and early S phase when the demand for histone synthesis is maximal (Osley and Lycan 1987). In addition, Hir proteins are necessary to repress transcription from the *HTA1–HTB1* locus (one of the two gene pairs encoding histones H2A–H2B) in response to inhibition of DNA replication or the presence of multiple copies of the *HTA* and *HTB* genes [Osley and Lycan 1987; Moran et al. 1990; Sherwood et al. 1993]. It is not clear how the Hir proteins can “sense” the need to down-regulate histone gene transcription under these various conditions. A gene encoding a potential mammalian ortholog of the Hir proteins, known as *HIRA*, may provide a clue to this puzzle. The human *HIRA* gene is located in a region of chromosome 22q11.2 that is often deleted in patients with DiGeorge syndrome, a rather frequent haploinsufficiency condition that results in a number of birth defects, including craniofacial, cardiac, and thymic abnormalities [Lamour et al. 1995; Wilming et al. 1997]. Interestingly, both the Hira protein and the p60 subunit of CAF-1 bind directly to core histones [Lorain et al. 1998; Shibahara et al. 2000]. The role of Hira in mammalian histone gene regulation has not yet been addressed but Hira, the yeast Hir proteins 1 and 2, and the second subunit of CAF-1 (known as p60 in higher eukaryotes or Cac2 in *S. cerevisiae*), form a subfamily of WD-repeat proteins that are highly related to each other [Lamour et al. 1995; Wilming et al. 1997;
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UV, but not ionizing radiation (Kaufman et al. 1997). It is an important role in restoration of chromatin structure (Moggs and Almouzni 1999). De novo nucleosome assembly may therefore play a direct role in nucleosome assembly that becomes more crucial in the absence of CAF-1. A direct role in nucleosome assembly would place the Hir proteins in an ideal position to sense an accumulation of nucleosome assembly precursors when DNA replication is inhibited. The Hir proteins could then trigger a feedback response, ultimately leading to histone gene repression. These two possibilities are not mutually exclusive. Even in the absence of HIR mutations, many perturbations that either increase or decrease histone gene transcription also enhance the telomere silencing defects of CAF-1 mutants (Kaufman et al. 1998). These genetic studies strongly suggest that cells lacking CAF-1 are more susceptible than wild-type cells to fluctuations in the level, the timing of expression or the stoichiometry of newly synthesized histones.

Nucleosome assembly and chromosome repair In higher eukaryotic cells, DNA damage leads to perturba-
tions in chromatin structure [Moggs and Almouzni 1999]. De novo nucleosome assembly may therefore play an important role in restoration of chromatin structure following DNA repair. CAF-1 mutants are sensitive to UV, but not ionizing radiation [Kaufman et al. 1997]. It is important to emphasize that this UV-sensitive phenotype is relatively mild. CAF-1 mutants are even less UV sensitive than mutants in minor pathways for the repair of UV lesions, such as recombination-mediated repair [Game and Kaufman 1999]. The mild UV sensitivity of yeast CAF-1 mutants may be somewhat misleading regarding the potential importance of nucleosome assembly following DNA repair. This is because CAF-1 is clearly dispensable for nucleosome assembly in vivo. In principle, the mild UV sensitivity of CAF-1 mutants could arise from a subtle defect in chromatin structure that exposes more DNA to UV-induced damage. However, a number of lines of evidence strongly suggest that CAF-1 plays a more direct role in the maintenance of chromosome integrity following UV irradiation.

In human cells, the CAF-1 protein is diffuse throughout the nucleus outside of S phase. However, following UV irradiation of G1 or G2 cells, CAF-1 is rapidly phosphorylated and recruited to foci containing PCNA [Martini et al. 1998]. Similar to its role during DNA replication, PCNA is required for the DNA synthesis step of nucleotide excision repair (NER), a major pathway for the repair of UV and other bulky DNA lesions [Lindahl and Wood 1999]. Therefore, a significant fraction of the foci containing CAF-1 and PCNA that are formed in response to UV irradiation of G1- or G2-phase cells are likely to correspond to sites of NER. Consistent with this, CAF-1 can promote nucleosome assembly during DNA repair synthesis in cell-free systems for NER and single-strand break repair [Gaillard et al. 1996; Moggs et al. 2000]. Remarkably, histone deposition by CAF-1 during NER does not in any way interfere with the repair process itself. As is the case for nucleosome assembly during DNA replication, histone deposition onto DNA during repair is dependent on CAF-1’s ability to interact with PCNA [Moggs et al. 2000]. Although this has not yet been strictly demonstrated in vivo, these studies strongly suggest that the role of CAF-1 during NER is to re-establish nucleosome structure. However, this may not be sufficient to account for the UV sensitivity of yeast CAF-1 mutants. This is because DNA synthesis associated with NER only involves the synthesis of short oligonucleotides [Lindahl and Wood 1999]. Therefore, the demand for newly synthesized histones to restore nucleosome structure during NER may be relatively modest. Importantly, although CAF-1 mutations enhance the UV sensitivity of both NER and recombination-mediated repair mutants, they do not increase the UV-sensitive phenotype of rad6Δ or rad18Δ mutants [Game and Kaufman 1999]. Rad6, Rad18, and a number of specialized DNA polymerases are involved in DNA damage tolerance through the bypass of DNA lesions that would otherwise irreversibly block the progression of DNA replication during S phase [Lindahl and Wood 1999]. These genetic studies argue that the UV-sensitive phenotype of CAF-1 mutants in yeast is primarily the result of a deficiency in Rad6/Rad18-dependent DNA lesion bypass mechanisms. Thus, at least in S. cerevi-
siae, the role of CAF-1 in UV damage tolerance may be to promote rapid nucleosome assembly during DNA lesion bypass. Given that both NER [Lindahl and Wood 1999] and Rad6-mediated DNA lesion bypass [Torres-Ramos et al. 1996] require PCNA, it seems likely that CAF-1-dependent nucleosome assembly during DNA repair will be mechanistically similar to nucleosome assembly during normal DNA replication.

RCAF is a novel player in de novo nucleosome assembly that was recently purified from Drosophila embryos based on its ability to synergize with CAF-1 to promote efficient nucleosome assembly during SV40 DNA replication in vitro [Tyler et al. 1999]. RCAF is a complex of
acetylated histones H3 and H4, and Asf1, a small, evolutionarily conserved, acidic protein. Importantly, the histones associated with Asf1 as part of RCAF are acetylated at specific lysine residues (lysine 14 of H3 and lysines 5 and 12 of H4) whose modification is characteristic of newly synthesized H3 and H4 in Drosophila [Fig. 1A; Sobel et al. 1995]. Given that acetylation is not necessary for CAF-1 to promote nucleosome assembly (Shibahara et al. 2000), perhaps the binding of Asf1 to histones or its activity in nucleosome assembly are somehow enhanced by site-specific acetylation of either H3 or H4. In S. cerevisiae, ASF1 was originally identified in two independent screens for genes whose overexpression interfered with telomeric and mating type silencing [Le et al. 1997; Singer et al. 1998]. However, like CAF-1 mutants, cells lacking Asf1 are fertile and have no severe silencing defects, although mild silencing defects at telomeres and the mating type loci have been reported [Le et al. 1997; Singer et al. 1998]. Unlike CAF-1 mutants, cells lacking Asf1 have an increased doubling time as a result of delayed progression through G_2/M phase of the cell cycle [Le et al. 1997; Tyler et al. 1999]. Cells lacking Asf1 exhibit a number of other phenotypes that are not characteristic of CAF-1 mutants, including a defect in minichromosome maintenance and sensitivity to the DNA replication inhibitor hydroxyurea, the DNA strand break-inducing drug bleomycin and MMS. Some of these phenotypes may be due to a role of Asf1 in promoting nucleosome reassembly during various forms of DNA repair. However, an equally plausible scenario is that the absence of Asf1 simply results in global perturbations in chromatin structure that lead to increased sensitivity to various DNA damaging agents and delayed progression through G_2/M phase of the cell cycle through activation of DNA damage checkpoints. Consistent with the latter model, defects in chromatin structure due to mutation of multiple lysine residues in the amino-terminal domain of histone H4 also result in spontaneous damage and a delay in G_2/M phase due to activation of the budding yeast Rad9-dependent DNA damage checkpoint [Megee et al. 1995]. Cells lacking both Asf1 and CAF-1 are more sensitive to UV radiation and exhibit more pronounced growth and silencing defects than either single mutant. Thus, although both CAF-1 and Asf1 are found associated with newly synthesized and acetylated H3/H4, these genetic findings argue that the two proteins are functionally distinct and likely to act at different stages of the de novo nucleosome assembly pathway or even in different pathways. Further work is clearly required to establish the precise relationship between Asf1 and CAF-1 in de novo nucleosome assembly during DNA repair and replication.

**Deacetylation of newly synthesized histones**

Following their deposition at the DNA replication fork, newly synthesized histones H3/H4 are rapidly deacetylated [Jackson et al. 1976]. At least for some regions of the chromosomes, a number of lines of evidence argue that deacetylation of newly synthesized H3/H4, either during or shortly after DNA replication, is functionally important. In a number of eukaryotes, the nucleosomes present in pericentric heterochromatin contain histone H4 that is largely unacetylated. In Schizosaccharomyces pombe, either transient exposure to histone deacetylase inhibitors or loss-of-function mutations in the catalytic subunits of two distinct histone deacetylases (Clr3 and Clr6), result in elevated levels of acetylated histone H4 and other structural alterations in pericentric heterochromatin [Ekwall et al. 1997; Grewal et al. 1998]. These chromatin structural defects impair kinetochore function and lead to chromosome mis-segregation during mitosis. In late S-phase human cells, newly synthesized H4 is deposited initially during pericentric heterochromatin replication as acetylated molecules modified on lysines 5 and 12. Detectable acetylation of these two residues persists for some period of time, but the acetylation is removed well before entry into mitosis [Taddei et al. 1999], consistent with the S. pombe studies arguing that elevated histone H3/H4 acetylation in pericentric heterochromatin compromises the fidelity of mitotic chromosome segregation.

In mouse cells, pericentric heterochromatin is both deficient in histone acetylation and, due to the presence of large amounts of satellite DNA, extremely rich in methylated CpG dinucleotides. Although the presence of CpG methylated DNA is not a ubiquitous or essential feature of eukaryotic centromeres [no CpG methylation has been found in S. cerevisiae, S. pombe, or D. melanogaster], two lines of evidence suggest that, in higher eukaryotes, a high density of methylated CpG dinucleotides may play a role in maintaining a low level of histone acetylation in pericentric heterochromatin. First, two distinct DNA-binding proteins that recognize methylated CpG dinucleotides, MeCP2 and MBD2, are particularly abundant in pericentric heterochromatin in mouse cells [Nan et al. 1996; Hendrich and Bird 1998]. Both MeCP2 and MBD2 were found to associate with histone deacetylase enzymes that contain the HDAC1 polypeptide as catalytic subunit [Jones et al. 1998; Nan et al. 1998; Ng et al. 1999]. Second, the DNA methyltransferase DNMT1, an enzyme responsible for maintenance of CpG methylation during DNA replication, binds directly to HDAC1 [Fuks et al. 2000]. Remarkably, targeting of the DNMT1 methyltransferase to DNA replication foci is also dependent on its ability to bind to PCNA [Fig. 1C; Chuang et al. 1997]. Although some of the enzymes that contain HDAC1 have been reported to deacetylate substrates other than histones [Martinez-Balbas et al. 2000], it seems plausible that at least one of the functions of the interaction between DNMT1 and HDAC1 is to facilitate deacetylation of newly synthesized histones during heterochromatin replication [Fig. 1C]. This mechanism would ensure that the acetylation of newly synthesized H3/H4 that is important for nucleosome assembly during S phase is efficiently removed from pericentric heterochromatin prior to the onset of mitosis, thereby maintaining a chromatin structure that is optimal for centromere function and faithful chromosome segregation [Fig. 2].
Given that histone acetylation generally tends to promote transcription, deacetylation of newly synthesized histones could also play an important role in transcriptional repression of many genes in proliferating eukaryotic cells. It is not yet clear to what extent this will be important in \textit{S. cerevisiae} where a large fraction of the genes are expressed and a substantial portion of the histones are acetylated (Davie et al. 1981). This is in striking contrast to higher eukaryotic cells where a large number of genes have to be maintained transcriptionally silent, and only a small fraction of histones are acetylated (Covault and Chalkley 1980; Zhang and Nelson 1988). In \textit{S. cerevisiae}, at least five genes (\textit{RPD3}, \textit{HDA1}, \textit{HOS1}, \textit{HOS2}, and \textit{HOS3}) encode catalytic subunits of histone deacetylases that exhibit sequence similarity with HDAC1. Consistent with a potential role in deacetylation of newly synthesized histones, disruption of \textit{RPD3} results in a striking accumulation of chromosomal histone H4 acetylated at lysines 5 and 12 (Rundlett et al. 1996), the two residues whose acetylation is most characteristic of newly synthesized H4. However, it is not yet clear whether Rpd3, or any of the other yeast HDAC1-related enzymes, is directly involved in deacetylation of newly synthesized histones.

Beyond the H3/H4 tetramer: H2A/H2B assembly and nucleosome spacing

As is the case for H3\textsubscript{2}/H4\textsubscript{2} tetramers, deposition of H2A/H2B dimers into nucleosomes most likely requires the participation of assembly factors. Nucleoplasm and N1/N2 are respectively associated with the large maternal pools of H2A/H2B and H3/H4 complexes that are stored in oocytes and utilized for nucleosome assembly during early embryogenesis in *Xenopus* and *Drosophila* (Dilworth and Dingwall 1988; Ito et al. 1996b). In these organisms, nucleosome assembly is a particularly active process that is necessary to sustain the rapid rounds of DNA replication and cell division characteristic of early embryogenesis. A general role of nucleoplasm and N1/N2 in nucleosome assembly seems unlikely however, because these proteins have only been found in embryonic cells and no homologs have been reported in other eukaryotes. By contrast, a number of lines of evidence suggest that a small acidic protein, known as nucleosome assembly protein 1 (NAP1), may be involved in H2A/H2B assembly. First, NAP1 isolated from a number of different organisms can promote deposition of histone octamers onto DNA at physiological ionic strength (Ito et al. 1997b). This criterion is not by itself sufficient to argue that NAP1 is a genuine assembly factor because other acidic macromolecules such as RNA or polyglutamic acid can also promote histone transfer onto DNA (Ito et al. 1997b). NAP1 is physically associated with newly synthesized histone H2A in human cell extracts (Chang et al. 1997) and with a complex of H2A/H2B from *Drosophila* embryo extracts (Ito et al. 1996a). In addition, both *Drosophila* NAP1 and human NAP2 (Rodriguez et al. 2000), a protein closely related to NAP1, undergo dramatic changes in their intracellular localization during the course of the cell cycle. NAP2, for instance, is maintained in a phosphorylated and cytoplasmic form outside of S phase. At the G\textsubscript{1}-to-S-phase transition, NAP2 is dephosphorylated and enters the cell nucleus. Surprisingly, the association of NAP2 with core histones can be detected throughout the cell cycle, but is maximal at the G\textsubscript{1}/S transition when new histone synthesis is at its peak. In *S. cerevisiae*, there is currently no in vivo evidence supporting a role of Nap1 in nucleosome assembly, although the purified yeast protein has an in vitro nucleosome assembly activity similar to that of its higher eukaryotic homologs (Ishimi and Kikuchi 1991). Paradoxically, biochemical and genetic studies in *S. cerevisiae* and *X. laevis* revealed a role for NAP1 in regulating the functions of protein kinases such as Cdc28-Clb2 and Gin4 during mitosis (Altman and Kellogg 1997 and references therein). Clearly, further work is needed to ascertain the potential role of NAP1 family members in nucleosome assembly in vivo and to determine whether the mitotic functions ascribed to NAP1 in
yeast and *Xenopus* are in any way related to its ability to bind to H2A/H2B.

Eukaryotic chromosomes contain long arrays of regularly spaced nucleosome cores that can be revealed by the formation of regular ladders of nuclease-resistant DNA fragments upon treatment with micrococcal nuclease. In contrast, chromatin assembly mediated by either CAF-1 or NAP1 only results in short or very diffuse micrococcal nuclease ladders. In crude chromatin assembly extracts from *Drosophila* or *Xenopus*, the appearance of regular nucleosome spacing is an ATP-dependent process [Glikin et al. 1984; Kamakaka et al. 1993]. At least three purified multisubunit proteins have been reported to catalyze ATP-dependent nucleosome spacing in vitro. The *Drosophila* ATP-utilizing chromatin assembly and remodeling factor [ACF] and the chromatin assembly factor-1, Nap1 and the Gin4 kinase.

Concluding remarks
With the exception of the histones themselves, none of the chromatin assembly factors described in this review are strictly essential for nucleosome assembly or viability in *S. cerevisiae*. Yet, as argued earlier, histones and DNA do not spontaneously assemble into chromatin under physiological ionic strength conditions in the absence of chromatin assembly factors. These factors are needed to overcome the strong and nonspecific binding of histones to DNA. As a result, proteins such as CAF-1, act both by preventing histones from forming insoluble aggregates with DNA, and by ensuring that newly synthesized histones are selectively targeted to sites of DNA synthesis. The fact that disruption of the genes encoding Hat1, CAF-1, or Asf1 only results in relatively modest phenotypes argues that many other chromatin assembly factors remain to be identified. Although the cast is far from complete, nucleosome assembly during S phase clearly relies on an intricate interplay between chromatin assembly factors, histone, and DNA synthesis. As revealed by studies of CAF-1 and Asf1 mutants, perturbations in this delicate balance result in chromosome alterations and susceptibility to DNA damage.
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