Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Disease and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in First and Second-Generation Indians: The Singapore Indian Eye Study
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Population-based data investigating generational differences in the risk of incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) and its risk determinants are rare. We examined the 6-year incidence of CVD and its risk factors in first- and second-generation ethnic Indians living in Singapore. 1749 participants (mean age [SD]: 55.5 [8.8] years; 47.5% male) from a population-based, longitudinal study of Indian adults were included for incident CVD outcome. Incident CVD was defined as self-reported myocardial infarction, angina pectoris or stroke which developed between baseline and follow-up. CVD-related risk factors included incident diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obesity and chronic kidney disease (CKD). For incident CVD outcome, of the 1749 participants, 406 (23.2%) and 1343 (76.8%) were first and second-generation Indians, respectively. Of these, 73 (4.1%) reported incident CVD. In multivariable models, second-generation individuals had increased risk of developing CVD (RR = 2.04; 95% CI 1.04, 3.99; p = 0.038), hyperlipidemia (RR = 1.27; 95% CI 1.06, 1.53; p = 0.011), and CKD (RR = 1.92; 95% CI 1.22, 3.04; p = 0.005), compared to first-generation Indians. Second-generation Indians have increased risk of developing CVD and its associated risk factors such as hyperlipidemia and CKD compared to first-generation immigrants, independent of traditional CVD risk factors. More stratified and tailored CVD prevention strategies on second and subsequent generations of Indian immigrants in Singapore are warranted.

Previous research has shown that cardiovascular disease (CVD), which accounts for approximately 31% of global mortality rates1, is affected by environmental, lifestyle and risk factors such as obesity and physical inactivity2–4. It has been hypothesized that acculturation (the process of adaptation and exchange of behavior patterns to the principal culture in the new country) accelerates these factors2–3, corroborated by research showing that individuals migrating to a new country have suboptimal lifestyle profiles such as sedentariness5, and a high consumption of energy dense food6–12, compared to those in the home country, leading to dysfunctional metabolic states including obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia, culminating in an increased risk of CVD13-15.

While cross-sectional studies have reported higher CVD risk and poor cardiovascular profiles in more acculturated second-generation (born in host country) individuals compared to less acculturated foreign-born immigrants16–21, the observed generational variations do not provide an estimate of the “true” generational effect on health, as they may be confounded by secular trends in the health of immigrants. Currently, longitudinal data on the impact of generational changes on the incidence of CVD and its risk factors in immigrant populations, particularly from Asian cultures, are rare. It is possible that the impact of acculturation on the incidence of CVD and its risk factors differs in Asian compared to Western populations due to differences in healthcare systems and lifestyle, cultural, religious and environmental habits, as well as differences in the way that people perceive and
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cope with illness and disease. Addressing this knowledge gap can inform the temporal relationship between generational status and the development of CVD and its risk determinants, and health care agencies likely to target second-generation individuals in their prevention towards development of CVD and its risk determinants. Such information is particularly important for Asian Indians who is one of the fastest growing immigrant groups across Asia and the world. Singapore is a major migration destination, with Indian immigrants accounting for ~10% of Singapore's total population. As such, the Singapore population is ideal to study generational differences in chronic diseases including CVD.

In this study, we evaluated the 6-year incidence of CVD and its risk factors between first- and second-generation Indians in Singapore. We hypothesize that second-generation Indians will have a higher incidence of CVD and its risk predictors than first-generation immigrants. Findings from this study may potentially inform how nativity is related to change in cardiovascular health over time, and advise generation specific interventions to reduced future CVD risk.

Methods
Study Population and Design. The Singapore Indian Eye Study (SINDI-1 and -2) is a population-based cohort study of Indian adults (aged 40–80 years) living in Singapore, with baseline and follow-up assessments conducted between 2007 and 2015. Briefly, from a list of 12,000 individuals living in south-western Singapore, an age-stratified random sampling method selected 6350 names, of which 4168 were eligible. A total of 3400 (75.6% response rate) participated in SINDI-1. After 6-years, 486 (14.2%) of the initial subjects were ineligible to participate in SINDI-2 due to cognitive and/or severe mobility impairments, migration to other countries, or death during the intervening 6-years. Of the remaining 2914 eligible participants, 2200 (75.5% response rate) participated in SINDI-2.

The study was conducted at the research clinic of the Singapore Eye Research Institute (SERI). The protocol included a comprehensive, standardized examination as well as a questionnaire administered by interviewers trained to collect clinical and socio-demographic data, as described previously. All protocols followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and received ethics approval by the SingHealth Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent from participants was obtained prior to participation in the study. Data can be made available upon request from the corresponding author.

Definition of Immigrant Status. Each participant’s ethnicity was confirmed by using data from the Singapore national identity card. Each was categorized as “first-generation Indian” if he/she was born in India or Indian subcontinent, irrespective of the country of birth of their parents; or “second-generation Indian” if born in Singapore or Malaysia, irrespective of the country of birth of their parents.

Assessment of CVD. CVD information was collected using an interview-based, standardized questionnaire at the baseline and follow-up examinations. CVD was defined as a participant’s self-reported history of myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, or stroke, similar to previous epidemiologic studies conducted by our group. Incidence of CVD was defined as no CVD at baseline examination but present at follow-up. We performed a reliability assessment of our self-reported CVD outcome and found that 75.5% of those who reported a history of CVD at baseline confirmed this information in the follow-up examination as reported elsewhere.

Assessment of CVD Risk Factors. Socio-demographic characteristics (educational level, income level, occupation), lifestyle factors (e.g. smoking, alcohol consumption), self-reported family and medical history (e.g. diabetes, hypertension, stroke, and CVD), and current medications were obtained by trained interviewers through interviews. Clinical covariates were obtained through a standardized clinical examination. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP) measurements were taken 2 times (a third if needed) using a digital BP monitor (Dinamap Pro Series DP110X-RW; GE Medical Systems Information Technologies, Inc). The average value of the two closest values for each parameter was utilized in analyses. Height and weight were measured using a wall-mounted adjustable measuring scale and a calibrated scientific weight scale, respectively. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height in meters squared (kg/m²), and obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m².

Non-fasting venous blood samples were collected to assess levels of glucose, haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), serum creatinine, serum total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides. All samples were analyzed at the Singapore General Hospital Hematology Laboratory.

Diabetes was defined as a random glucose ≥ 11.1 mmol/L, HbA1c ≥ 6.5% (≥ 48 mmol/mol), self-reported use of diabetic medication, or history of physician-diagnosed diabetes; hypertension as SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg, DBP ≥ 90 mm Hg, physician’s diagnosis, or use of anti-hypertensive medication; hyperlipidemia as total cholesterol ≥ 6.2 mmol/L or use of lipid lowering drugs and chronic kidney disease (CKD) as having an estimated glomerular filtration rate of < 60 mL/min/1.73 m². For the incident CVD risk factor analysis, incidence of diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obesity and CKD was defined as absent at baseline examination, but present at follow-up.

Statistical Analyses. All analyses were performed using Stata version 14.2 (Statacorp, Lake Station, TX, USA). Baseline characteristics of participants by immigration status (first versus second-generation), and participants who returned and did not return for follow-up were compared using the χ² statistic for proportions for categorical variables, and a t test for continuous variables. The Mann-Whitney U test was performed if the distribution of a continuous variable was highly skewed. (Tables 1 and 2, respectively). Modified Poisson regression was used to determine whether immigrant status was independently associated with development of CVD and its risk factors (Tables 3 and 4, respectively). Models were adjusted for participant characteristics that were either significantly different between generations at baseline or have been previously found to be associated with
CVD and its risk factors. For each CVD condition in question, we also mutually adjusted for the other CVD conditions to check for an independent effect of that condition. Key covariates included age, gender, obesity, socioeconomic status (SES; including education \( \leq 6 \text{ years} / > 6 \text{ years} \), income \( < \text{SG}2000 / \geq \text{SG}2000 \) and housing \( \leq 3–4 \text{ room} / > 3–4 \text{ room} \)), smoking status, alcohol and medication use (including anti diabetic, hypertensive or lipid lowering), and presence of comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and CKD. Relative risks (RR) were reported with 95 percent confidence intervals (CI), and a two-tailed p-value of \(< 0.05\) was judged statistically significant.

Results

Of the 3400 individuals who participated in SINDI-1, 3022 (88.8%) had complete data for baseline characteristics including information on immigrant status as per our definitions. Of these, 1981 (65.5%) returned for follow-up examination. Of these, 232 (11.7%) had CVD at baseline, leaving 1749 (88.3%) individuals (with no CVD at baseline, information on immigrant status and other relevant covariates at baseline and CVD data at follow-up) for the final analysis of incident CVD outcome. Of these, 406 (23.2%) and 1343 (76.8%) were first- and second-generation Indians, respectively. For the analysis of the association between immigrant status and

| Variable                        | Mean (SD) or Number (%) | 1st Generation (n = 406) | 2nd Generation (n = 1343) | P value* |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Age, years                      |                         | 58.1 (10.2)              | 54.8 (8.2)                | <0.001   |
| Length of stay in Singapore, years |                         | 35.3 (17.0)              | 52.1 (9.8)                | <0.001   |
| Gender, Male                    |                         | 212 (52.2)               | 621 (46.2)                | 0.035    |
| Obesity (BMI \( \geq 30 \text{kg/m}^2 \)) |                         | 52 (12.8)               | 241 (17.9)                | 0.015    |
| Low socioeconomic status        |                         | 161 (39.7)               | 646 (48.1)                | 0.003    |
| Current smoker                  |                         | 30 (7.4)                 | 189 (14.1)                | <0.001   |
| Alcohol drinker                 |                         | 43 (10.6)                | 173 (12.9)                | 0.219    |
| Diabetes                        |                         | 141 (34.7)               | 454 (33.8)                | 0.731    |
| Hypertension                    |                         | 223 (54.9)               | 687 (51.2)                | 0.182    |
| Hyperlipidemia                  |                         | 157 (38.7)               | 583 (43.4)                | 0.090    |
| Chronic kidney disease          |                         | 22 (5.4)                 | 61 (4.5)                  | 0.467    |
| Anti-diabetic medication use     |                         | 104 (25.6)               | 292 (21.7)                | 0.102    |
| Anti-hypertensive medication use |                         | 157 (33.7)               | 389 (29.0)                | 0.066    |
| Anti-cholesterol medication use  |                         | 111 (27.3)               | 322 (24.0)                | 0.169    |

Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics of participants by generation status in SINDI (N = 1749*).

* Mann-Whitney U test or chi-squared test. 1749 individuals had no CVD at baseline, had complete data for all baseline characteristics including generation status and returned for follow-up. Low socioeconomic status was defined as primary or lower education, and individual monthly income < SGD2000. Bolded values indicate statistically significant results.

| Variable                        | Mean (SD) or Number (%) | Lost to follow-up (n = 854) | Returned for follow-up (n = 1749) | P value* |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Age, years                      |                         | 58.3 (10.8)                | 55.6 (8.8)                         | <0.001   |
| Length of stay in Singapore, years |                         | 49.1 (15.1)                | 48.2 (13.8)                        | 0.099    |
| Gender, Male                    |                         | 412 (48.2)                 | 833 (47.6)                         | 0.768    |
| Obesity (BMI \( \geq 30 \text{kg/m}^2 \)) |                         | 165 (19.3)                 | 293 (16.8)                         | 0.106    |
| Low socioeconomic status        |                         | 469 (54.9)                | 807 (46.1)                         | <0.001   |
| Current smoker                  |                         | 155 (18.1)                | 219 (12.5)                         | <0.001   |
| Alcohol drinker                 |                         | 112 (13.1)                | 216 (12.3)                         | 0.581    |
| Diabetes                        |                         | 342 (40.0)                | 595 (34.0)                         | 0.003    |
| Hypertension                    |                         | 529 (61.9)                | 910 (52.0)                         | <0.001   |
| Hyperlipidemia                  |                         | 370 (43.3)                | 740 (42.3)                         | 0.623    |
| Chronic kidney disease          |                         | 85 (10.0)                 | 83 (4.7)                           | <0.001   |
| Anti-diabetic medication use     |                         | 212 (24.8)                | 396 (22.6)                         | 0.217    |
| Anti-hypertensive medication use |                         | 291 (34.1)                | 526 (30.1)                         | 0.039    |
| Anti-cholesterol medication use  |                         | 212 (24.8)                | 433 (24.8)                         | 0.970    |

Table 2. Comparison of baseline characteristics of participants by follow-up status. 1 Mann-Whitney U test or chi-squared test. Low socioeconomic status was defined as primary or lower education, and individual monthly income < SGD2000. Bolded values indicate statistically significant results.
incident CVD risk factors, study populations for incident diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obesity and CKD were 1256, 867, 1007, 1643 and 1781, respectively.

Table 1 shows the differences in baseline characteristics of participants by immigration status (first versus second-generation). Compared to first (n = 406, 23.2%), second-generation individuals (n = 1343, 76.8%) were younger, more likely to be female and to be obese, have low SES (primary or lower education, and individual monthly income < SGD2000), were smokers and have a longer duration of stay in Singapore.

Table 2 shows the differences in baseline characteristics of participants who returned for follow-up versus those who did not. Compared to those who returned for follow-up (n = 1749, 67.2%), those lost to follow-up (n = 854, 32.8%) were older, more likely to be smokers, have low SES, have other comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, CKD and were more likely to be on antihypertensive medications.

| CVD | Number† | Incident cases‡ (%) | Age-gender adjusted Relative risk (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable-adjusted* Relative risk (95% CI) | P value |
|-----|----------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1st Generation | 406 | 10 (2.5) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 1343 | 63 (4.7) | 2.34 (1.20 to 4.53) | 0.012 | 2.04 (1.04 to 3.99) | 0.038 |

Myocardial infarction

| 1st Generation | 455 | 5 (1.1) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 1486 | 18 (1.2) | 2.89 (1.11 to 7.52) | 0.029 | 2.26 (0.87 to 5.89) | 0.095 |

Stroke

| 1st Generation | 420 | 5 (1.2) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 1407 | 40 (2.8) | 2.02 (0.76 to 5.40) | 0.161 | 2.01 (0.76 to 5.36) | 0.161 |

Angina

| 1st Generation | 443 | 4 (0.9) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 1442 | 21 (1.5) | 1.73 (0.58 to 5.43) | 0.325 | 1.73 (0.58 to 5.38) | 0.345 |

Table 3. Association between generation and incidence of CVD and its sub-types. *Adjusted for age, gender, obesity, low SES (primary or lower education, and individual monthly income < SGD2000), smoking, alcohol, presence of comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and CKD, use of anti-diabetic, anti-hypertensive and anti-cholesterol medications. Bolded values indicate statistically significant results. †The number of individuals at risk of CVD is not the sum of the number of individuals without each of the constituent conditions (myocardial infarction, stroke and angina) at baseline. For example an individual without myocardial infarction at baseline (at risk of developing incident myocardial infarction) might already have angina and/or stroke and wouldn't be included in the denominator for CVD. ‡Number of incident CVD cases is also not the sum of the incidence cases of each constituent condition as individuals could develop more than one condition. CVD = cardiovascular disease; CKD = chronic kidney disease; SES = socioeconomic status.

| Diabetes | Number† | Incident Cases (%) | Age-gender adjusted Relative risk (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable-adjusted* Relative risk (95% CI) | P value |
|----------|----------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1st Generation | 290 | 35 (12.1) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 966 | 137 (14.2) | 1.18 (0.83 to 1.68) | 0.345 | 1.16 (0.81 to 1.66) | 0.407 |

Hypertension

| 1st Generation | 186 | 62 (33.3) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 681 | 256 (37.6) | 1.13 (0.90 to 1.41) | 0.293 | 1.07 (0.85 to 1.34) | 0.573 |

Hyperlipidemia

| 1st Generation | 242 | 87 (36.0) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 765 | 351 (45.9) | 1.33 (1.11 to 1.60) | 0.002 | 1.27 (1.06 to 1.53) | 0.011 |

CKD

| 1st Generation | 403 | 22 (5.5) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 1578 | 83 (6.0) | 1.93 (1.22 to 3.03) | 0.005 | 1.92 (1.22 to 3.04) | 0.005 |

Obesity

| 1st Generation | 403 | 18 (4.5) | Reference = 1 | Reference = 1 |
| 2nd Generation | 1240 | 76 (6.1) | 1.19 (0.72 to 1.97) | 0.487 | 1.26 (0.76 to 2.11) | 0.374 |

Table 4. Association between generation and incidence of CVD related conditions. *Adjusted for age, gender, obesity, low SES (primary or lower education, and individual monthly income < SGD2000), smoking, alcohol, use of anti-diabetic, anti-hypertensive and anti-cholesterol medications, CVD and mutually for the other CVD related conditions at baseline. †Number at risk varied depending on outcome (e.g. only 867 participants did not have hypertension at baseline and were at risk of incident hypertension). Bolded values indicate statistically significant results. CVD = cardiovascular disease; CKD = chronic kidney disease; SES = socioeconomic status.
Of the 1749 participants included in our final analyses for incident CVD (mean age (SD) 55.5 (8.8) years); 833 (47.5%) male), 73 (4.1%) developed CVD during the 6-year follow-up period. Figure 1 shows the 6-year cumulative incidence (age and gender adjusted) of CVD and its risk factors in first- and second-generation Indians in Singapore. Compared with first-generation, second-generation Indians had significantly higher incidence of myocardial infarction, CVD, CKD and hyperlipidemia.

Table 3 shows the multivariable adjusted models for the impact of generation on the incidence of CVD and its sub-types. In model 1 (adjusted for age and gender), compared to first-generation immigrants, second-generation individuals had more than a two-fold increased risk of developing CVD (RR = 2.34; 95% CI 1.20, 4.53; p = 0.012). These findings persisted in a fully adjusted model accounting for age, gender, obesity, low SES, smoking status, alcohol use, presence of comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension and hyperlipidemia, and use of anti-diabetic, anti-hypertensive and anti-cholesterol medications (RR = 2.04; 95% CI 1.04, 3.99; p = 0.038; model 2 in Table 3 and Fig. 2). Upon further sub-analysis of CVD subtypes (myocardial infarction, stroke or angina), no significant association between generation and CVD subtypes was seen (all p > 0.05; model 2 in Table 3, Fig. 2).

Table 4 shows the multivariable models for the impact of generation on the incidence of CVD risk factors including, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obesity, and CKD. In model 1 (adjusted for age and gender), compared to first-generation immigrants, second-generation individuals had 1.33 and 1.93 times increased risk of developing hyperlipidemia (RR = 1.33; 95% CI 1.11, 1.60; p = 0.002) and CKD (RR = 1.93; 95% CI 1.22, 3.03; p = 0.005), respectively. These findings persisted in a fully adjusted model accounting for age, gender, SES, smoking, alcohol, medication use, CVD, and mutually for other CVD related conditions at baseline including diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obesity and CKD, for both hyperlipidemia (RR = 1.27; 95% CI 1.06, 1.53; p = 0.011) and CKD (RR = 1.92; 95% CI 1.22, 3.04; p = 0.005; model 2 in Table 4 and Fig. 2).

Supplementary Table S1 shows the multivariable models for the association of length of stay in Singapore with the incidence of CVD and its risk factors including, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obesity, and CKD. In a fully adjusted model accounting for age, gender, obesity, low SES, smoking, alcohol, use of anti-diabetic, anti-hypertensive, and anti-cholesterol medications, and mutually for the other CVD related conditions at baseline, a longer length of stay in Singapore was independently associated with higher incidence of hyperlipidemia (RR = 1.12; 95% CI 1.05, 1.20; p = 0.001) and CKD (RR = 1.26; 95% CI 1.07, 1.48; p = 0.005).

Discussion
In this prospective, population-based study of ethnic Indian adults living in Singapore, a significant generational difference in incidence of CVD and its risk factors was found. We demonstrated that second-generation Indian individuals had increased risk of incident CVD, hyperlipidemia and CKD compared to first-generation immigrants, independent of conventional cardiovascular risk factors. Our findings highlight the need of targeted CVD and its risk factors preventative strategies in high-risk second-generation Indian individuals, potentially translatable not only to Singaporean Indians but also to other migrant Indians living outside India.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study to examine the impact of generation on incidence of CVD and its major risk factors in Asians, making it challenging to compare our findings. Nevertheless, our results are consistent with longitudinal studies reporting lower incidence of CVD among foreign-born than US-born individuals. Our findings confirm the growing body of evidence from previous cross-sectional studies that demonstrated higher prevalence of CVD, its conventional risk factors, and potentially modifiable CVD risk factors such as diet, physical inactivity and psychological stress in more acculturated second-generation (born in host country) individuals than less acculturated first-generation (born in home country) immigrants.

Consistent with previous work, our findings also suggest that longer length of stay in host country may be associated with higher rates of CVD (but not CVD subtypes likely due to inadequate statistical power) and its risk factors. Studies have demonstrated that individuals residing for a long time in host country have higher physiological and psychological stress compared to those with fewer years of residence. This finding implies that more acculturated individuals have higher susceptibility to health risks compared with their less acculturated counterparts. For example, recent Chinese immigrants report a healthier diet and more physical activity than those who have resided in the United States >10 years. Likewise, recent Japanese immigrants to the United States often adhere to a traditional Japanese lifestyle, including, but not limited to, healthful dietary practices and physical activity, and often have lower CVD rates and risk factors compared to those of Japanese ethnicity who were born outside of Japan.
Our finding that second-generation Indians have an increased risk of incident CVD and its risk traits, particularly hyperlipidemia, independent of conventional CVD risk factors, suggest that being born in a developed country like Singapore with a “high-risk” lifestyle such as sedentary employment, consumption of more energy-dense processed foods, lack of physical activity, and psychological stress, may be the contributing factors. These exposures may make them more susceptible to an increased risk of developing CVD and its risk attributes. However, as we did not collect these and other variables such as psychological stress, depression, dietary practices and physical activity in SINDI, further longitudinal studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis. Nonetheless, our results indicate that public health actions to address these detrimental modifications in lifestyle in second-generation individuals and prevent an inordinate increase in CVD outcomes.

One important factor that may be contributing to our finding that second-generation Indian individuals had higher risk of incident CVD and its risk traits (hyperlipidemia and CKD) is that our second-generation individuals had lower SES. Numerous studies have shown poor SES predisposes individuals to a higher risk of depression, high psychiatric morbidity, more disability and poorer healthcare access. Many risk factors for CVD are also associated with low SES. Therefore, it is possible that socio-economic disadvantage played a role in the development of CVD and its risk factors in second-generation individuals in our cohort. However, as our fully adjusted model showed that generational impact on incidence of CVD and its risk factors was independent of SES, further work is needed to confirm this finding.

Strengths of our study include a population-based and prospective study design, the use of standardized interview-based assessment and clinical testing protocols, and inclusion of a wide range of CVD risk factors. However, our results must be interpreted within the context of certain limitations. The assessment of CVD was self-reported and, as a result, our outcome may be subjected to recall and other biases. However, we believe that the impact of such biases would likely be similar in first and second-generation Indians. In addition, previous studies have shown self-reported outcomes for CVD to be valid in the estimation of actual outcomes. Combined with our reliability results of ~75%, we consider that the self-reported CVD outcomes captured in our study remains an appropriate indicator of actual CVD events. Another limitation is that our hypothesis assumes that first-generation Indian immigrants had different lifestyles or environments in their home country before moving to Singapore. This assumption may not hold true and, as such, caution should be taken when interpreting our results. Last, information on risk factors, such as psychological stress, dietary practices, physical inactivity, are not available in this study, and therefore the possibility of residual confounding in our regression analysis could not be excluded. Further studies to elucidate the role of these factors are warranted.

In summary, we showed that second-generation Indians have increased risk of incident CVD, hyperlipidemia and CKD, compared to first-generation immigrants, after controlling for a range of conventional CVD risk factors. This information can inform key policy- and decision-makers in design and implementation of targeted CVD and its risk factors preventative strategies in susceptible second-generation Indian individuals.
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