Characteristics and prognostic factors for pain management in 152 patients with lung cancer
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1Cancer Center, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, People’s Republic of China, between January 2012 and May 2013. Information about sex, age, pathological type, TNM stage, presence/absence of bone metastases, characteristics of pain, methods, and effectiveness of pain management was recorded. Results: Patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and small-cell carcinoma accounted for 132/152 (86.8%) and 20/152 (13.2%) cases, respectively. Among them, moderate (72.4%) or severe pain (27.6%) was reported in 73.5% of the cases at stage IV, chest or back pain was reported in 76.3% of the cases, and pain in other locations in the rest of the cases. Bone metastases were apparent in 44.1% of the patients. Neuropathic pain was noted in 46.7% of the patients, and frequent breakthrough pain was noted in 25.7% of the patients. High pain intensity was associated with frequent breakthrough pain. Pain was adequately controlled in 81.6% of the patients prescribed 3 days of analgesics. More patients reported a KPS higher than or equal to 80 after 3 days of analgesic treatment. Objective: The objective of this study was to analyze the pain characteristics and factors influencing the outcome of pain control in patients with lung cancer having pain. Methods: Pain characteristics, the effectiveness, and prognostic factors for pain control were analyzed in 152 patients with lung cancer having moderate or severe chronic pain admitted to Cancer Center of The First Hospital of Jilin University, People’s Republic of China, between January 2012 and May 2013. Information about sex, age, pathological type, TNM stage, presence/absence of bone metastases, characteristics of pain, methods, and effectiveness of pain management was recorded. Results: Patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and small-cell carcinoma accounted for 132/152 (86.8%) and 20/152 (13.2%) cases, respectively. Among them, moderate (72.4%) or severe pain (27.6%) was reported in 73.5% of the cases at stage IV, chest or back pain was reported in 76.3% of the cases, and pain in other locations in the rest of the cases. Bone metastases were apparent in 44.1% of the patients. Neuropathic pain was noted in 46.7% of the patients, and frequent breakthrough pain was noted in 25.7% of the patients. High pain intensity was associated with frequent breakthrough pain. Pain was adequately controlled in 81.6% of the patients prescribed 3 days of analgesics. More patients reported a KPS higher than or equal to 80 after 3 days of analgesic treatment. P<0.001). Severe pain, frequent breakthrough pain, and presence of bone metastases were independent risk factors for poor pain control. Severe pain, frequent breakthrough pain, or neuropathic pain in the patients using opioids required higher doses of analgesic for pain control. Opioids plus nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs offered better pain control than opioids alone. Conclusion: High pain intensity is associated with frequent breakthrough pain in patients with lung cancer, which can be largely controlled with analgesics. Severe pain, frequent breakthrough pain, presence of bone metastases, and neuropathic pain are predictors of refractory pain. Keywords: lung cancer, chronic pain, pain intensity, analgesic effects

Introduction
The World Health Organization statistics indicated that ~2 million people suffer pain everyday worldwide. Pain is one of the most common symptoms in patients with malignant tumor, substantially affecting patients’ quality of life. Approximately 25% of newly diagnosed patients report pain and ~60%–80% of patients with advanced cancer have chronic pain, one-third of them are categorized as severe.1,2 Lung cancer is the most common malignant tumor worldwide,3 with an average survival of <1 year in advanced patients making palliative care an especially important consideration. The incidence of pain is 47% in all patients with lung cancer, and 76% in those in palliative care.4 Although most patients achieve adequate pain control, those with more complex pain syndromes require intense and complex analgesic schedules.5 Pain intensity is a key element of prognostication and management of cancer pain,6–8 and greater
awareness of the prognostic factors associated with poor pain control would guide specific and appropriate treatment strategies. The Edmonton Classification System for Cancer Pain has been extensively studied and indicates that incident pain (breakthrough pain), neuropathic pain, psychological distress, addiction, and cognitive function are predictors of pain control. However, there is no consensus generally on the prognostic factors for pain control or specifically on the pain characteristics in patients with lung cancer having moderate or severe chronic pain. Therefore, this study analyzed pain characteristics and prognostic data from 152 patients with lung cancer having moderate or severe chronic pain at the Cancer Center in the Bethune First Hospital of Jilin University, People’s Republic of China, between January 1, 2012, and May 31, 2013.

Methods
This retrospective study was approved by ethics committee of the First Hospital of Jilin University. Data including host characteristics (sex and age), disease factors (pathological type, tumor-node-metastasis [TNM] stage, and presence of bone metastases), pain factors (pain intensity, locations, causes, mechanisms, breakthrough pain, and its frequency), treatment (final opioid doses, drug ladder, medication route, and combination with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]), and the Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) were analyzed from 152 patients with pathologically diagnosed lung cancer reporting moderate or severe chronic pain at the Cancer Center of The First Hospital of Jilin University, People’s Republic of China, between January 1, 2012, and May 31, 2013. Pain control was evaluated after 3 days of analgesia in all the patients. For the patients medicated with potent opioids, the final median opioid doses were transformed into morphine equivalent daily dose (MEDD) prior to analysis, while for those using weak opioids, the doses were not transformed.

All patients were treated in accordance with the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) cancer pain guidelines for adults. Patients rated their pain intensity daily using the Numeric Rating Scale, ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst possible pain) with ratings of 1–3 corresponding to mild pain, 4–6 moderate pain, and 7–10 severe pain. For the purpose of this study, stable pain control was defined as a Numeric Rating Scale rating of ≤3/10 or patients receiving <3 breakthrough analgesic doses/d.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used to identify the associations between the variables and the pain control after 3 days of analgesia. As the sample distribution was not normal, nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests examined differences in pain intensity and final median opioid doses. The chi-square test was used to compare the frequency data. Statistical significance was set at \( P<0.05 \) (two tailed).

Results
The demographic and clinical characteristics of the 152 patients included in the study are listed in Table 1. The male/female

| Characteristics | N=152 (%) |
|------------------|-----------|
| **Demographics** |           |
| Sex              |           |
| Male             | 99 (65.1) |
| Female           | 53 (34.9) |
| Age, median, range (years) | |
| <60              | 87 (57.2) |
| ≥60              | 65 (42.8) |
| **Clinical characteristics** |       |
| Pathological type |           |
| Non-small-cell   | 132 (86.8) |
| Small cell       | 20 (13.2)  |
| Pain intensity   |           |
| Moderate         | 110 (72.4) |
| Severe           | 42 (27.6)  |
| TNM stage        |           |
| I/II/III         | 26 (17.1)  |
| IV               | 112 (73.7) |
| Unknown          | 14 (9.2)   |
| Causes of pain   |           |
| Nontumor invasion| 12 (7.9)   |
| Tumor invasion   | 140 (92.1) |
| Bone metastases  |           |
| Without          | 85 (55.9)  |
| With             | 67 (44.1)  |
| Locations of pain|          |
| Chest or back    | 116 (76.3) |
| Other parts      | 36 (23.7)  |
| Frequent breakthrough pain | |
| Without          | 113 (74.3) |
| With             | 39 (25.7)  |
| Neuropathic pain |           |
| Without          | 81 (53.3)  |
| With             | 71 (46.7)  |
| **Analgesic treatment** |     |
| Drug ladder      |           |
| Weak opioids     | 69 (45.4)  |
| Strong opioids   | 83 (54.6)  |
| Combined with NSAIDs |         |
| Without          | 107 (70.4) |
| With             | 45 (29.6)  |
| Route of administration |     |
| Oral             | 147 (96.7) |
| Injection        | 2 (1.3)    |
| Patch            | 3 (2.0)    |
| Pain control     |           |
| Good             | 124 (81.6) |
| Poor             | 28 (18.4)  |

**Abbreviations:** NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.
ratio was 1.87:1, and age ranged from 32 to 81 years, with a median age of 58 years. There were 65 patients (42.8%) older than 60 years and 87 (57.2%) younger than 60 years. Non-small-cell lung cancer accounted for 132 (86.8%) cases and 20 (13.2%) were small-cell lung cancer. A total of 26 patients (17.1%) were in stage I, II, or III; 112 patients (73.7%) were in stage IV; and the stage was unknown for 14 patients (9.2%). Bone metastases were apparent in 67 patients (44.1%). Most patients exhibited moderate pain (72.4%), with the remainder (27.6%) classified as having severe pain. The majority of patients reported chest or back pain (76.3%), while pain in other parts accounted for just 23.7%. The main cause of pain was tumor invasion (92.1%), 71 patients (46.7%) had neuropathic pain and 39 patients (25.7%) had frequent breakthrough pain (more than three times per day).

The associations between the pain intensity and the variables of sex, age, pathological type, TNM stage, bone metastases, locations, causes, mechanisms of pain, and frequent breakthrough pain are shown in Table 2. The frequent breakthrough pain was associated with high pain intensity ($P<0.001$), while the other eight variables were not significantly associated with pain intensity ($P>0.05$).

All 152 patients accepted analgesic treatment, and 124 (81.6%) achieved adequate pain control after 3 days but pain control was poor in 28 (18.4%). As shown in Table 1, weak opioids were used by 69 patients (45.4%) and 83 (54.6%) used potent opioids. There were 147 patients (96.7%) taking analgesics by oral administration, two (1.3%) by injection, and three (2.0%) by transdermal patches. Furthermore, 45 patients (29.6%) were taking NSAIDs in addition to opioids. More patients reported a KPS $\geq 80$ after 3 days of analgesic treatment ($P<0.001$) (Table 3).

The associations between the pain control after 3 days of analgesic treatment and the ten variables are summarized in Table 4. Both univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses showed that bone metastases (univariate: odds ratio [OR] =3.343, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.398–7.991, $P=0.007$; multivariate: OR =3.583, 95% CI: 1.136–11.297, $P=0.029$), severe pain (univariate: OR =4.415, 95% CI: 1.760–9.761, $P=0.001$; multivariate: OR =3.878, 95% CI: 1.510–9.960, $P=0.005$), and frequent breakthrough pain (univariate: OR =3.267, 95% CI: 1.383–7.715, $P=0.007$; multivariate: OR =2.798, 95% CI: 1.033–7.576, $P=0.043$) were significantly associated with poor pain control. Sex, age, pathological type, TNM stage, locations of pain, causes, and mechanisms of pain were not significantly associated with pain control ($P>0.05$).

The final opioid doses of the 83 patients using potent opioids were transformed into MEDD prior to analysis. The associations between the final MEDD and the ten variables are shown in Table 5. Significantly higher opioid doses were used in patients with severe pain ($P=0.015$), neuropathic pain ($P=0.020$), and frequent breakthrough pain ($P=0.016$); however, there were no significant differences in final MEDD in patients of different sex, age, pathological type, TNM stage, locations, and causes of pain in patients with or without bone metastases ($P>0.05$).

As shown in Table 6, opioids combined with NSAIDs achieved better pain control after 3 days of analgesic treatment than opioids without NSAIDs ($P=0.001$).

### Table 2: Comparison on pain intensity stratified by demographics and clinical characteristics (N=152)

| Characteristics                  | NRS score, median (IQR) | P-value* |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Sex                              |                         | 0.574    |
| Male                             | 6 (4–7)                 |          |
| Female                           | 6 (5–6)                 |          |
| Age                              |                         | 0.698    |
| <60 years                        | 6 (4–7)                 |          |
| $\geq$60 years                   | 6 (5–7)                 |          |
| Pathological type                |                         | 0.955    |
| Non-small-cell                   | 6 (5–7)                 |          |
| Small cell                       | 6 (4–7)                 |          |
| Bone metastases                  |                         | 0.406    |
| Without                          | 6 (4–7)                 |          |
| With                             | 6 (5–7)                 |          |
| Causes of pain                   |                         | 0.063    |
| Nontumor invasion                | 5 (4–6)                 |          |
| Tumor invasion                   | 6 (5–7)                 |          |
| Neuropathic pain                 |                         | 0.144    |
| Without                          | 6 (4–7)                 |          |
| With                             | 6 (5–7)                 |          |
| Locations of pain                |                         | 0.500    |
| Chest or back                    | 6 (4–7)                 |          |
| Other parts                      | 6 (5–7)                 |          |
| Frequent breakthrough pain       |                         | $<0.001$ |
| Without                          | 5 (4–6)                 |          |
| With                             | 6 (6–8)                 |          |
| TNM stage                        |                         | 0.664    |
| I/II/III                         | 6 (4–7)                 |          |
| IV                               | 6 (5–7)                 |          |
| Unknown                          | 5 (4–6)                 |          |

*Note:* The Mann–Whitney test was used for comparisons of two groups, and the Kruskal–Wallis test was used for comparisons of more than two groups.

### Table 3: Comparison of KPS before and after analgesic treatment (N=152)

| KPS before treatment | KPS after treatment | Total (%) | P-value* |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|
| $\geq$80             | <80                 | 101 (66.4) | $<0.001$ |
| <80                  | 16                  | 35        | 51 (33.6) |

*Note:* McNemar’s test.

*Abbreviation:* KPS, Karnofsky Performance Status.
Chronic cancer pain seriously affects patients’ quality of life, and pain control remains one of the main treatment obstacles. Lung cancer has the highest incidence of all malignancies and is commonly associated with pain. Since the average survival of patients with advanced lung cancer is <1 year, analgesia can have a significant impact on the quality of life. Characterizing the aspects of pain associated with lung cancer and the prognostic factors associated with pain management would provide valuable guidance for clinical analgesic treatment. However, there is currently no consensus on these parameters, and the evidence-based studies published to date are insufficient to draw firm conclusions.

This study retrospectively analyzed the pain characteristics of 152 patients with lung cancer having moderate or severe chronic cancer pain and identified factors that may predict the outcome of analgesic treatment and could be used to inform clinical decision making.

Patient demographic statistics indicate that the number of male patients with lung cancer is approximately twice the number of female patients. Although the peak age for lung cancer diagnosis is 60–79 years old, in our study, elderly patients (aged 60 years or older) with moderate or severe pain reported it less frequently than the younger patients (aged <60 years) (42.8% vs 57.2%). This may be due to the different tolerances to pain in patients of different ages, which is supported by Gagliese et al, who found that young patients were more sensitive to pain. Additionally, younger patients may be less tolerant of pain, impacting on their quality of life, while elderly patients may think pain is an inevitable part of cancer, and thus bear that pain untreated over time. Differences in pain perception between these two groups may lead to differences in pain intensity and different treatment outcomes.

In this study, approximately three-quarters of the patients were with advanced lung cancer, which is in accordance with foreign study. Non-small-cell lung cancer accounted for 86.8% of the cases, which is concordant with its known high incidence. Patients with moderate pain accounted for 72.4% of the total, and those with severe pain accounted for 27.6%. In approximately three-quarters of patients, the location of pain was the chest or back, with other parts accounting for one-quarter. In most patients, the pain was caused by tumor invasion and metastases (92.1%), while their pain was due to other causes in a small proportion of cases. Approximately half of the patients had bone metastases, approximately half had neuropathic pain, and approximately one-quarter reported frequent breakthrough pain.

### Table 4 Odd ratios of pain poor control after analgesic treatment (N=152)

| Variables                        | Univariate       | P-value* | Multivariate      | P-value* |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
|                                  | OR (95% CI)      |          | OR (95% CI)       |          |
| Sex                              |                  |          |                   |          |
| Female vs male                   | 0.702 (0.286–1.724) | 0.440    | 0.667 (0.246–1.809) | 0.426    |
| Age                              |                  |          |                   |          |
| ≥60 years vs <60 years           | 1.005 (0.439–2.301) | 0.991    | 0.984 (0.388–2.492) | 0.973    |
| Pathological type                |                  |          |                   |          |
| Small vs non-small-cell          | 1.125 (0.345–3.667) | 0.845    | 1.594 (0.418–6.084) | 0.495    |
| TNM stage                        |                  |          |                   |          |
| IV vs I/II/III                   | 2.091 (0.570–7.558) | 0.261    | 1.033 (0.185–5.759) | 0.971    |
| Unknown vs I/II/III              | 0.590 (0.056–6.266) | 0.661    | 0.592 (0.044–7.997) | 0.693    |
| Bone metastases                 |                  |          |                   |          |
| With vs without                  | 3.343 (1.398–7.991) | 0.007    | 3.583 (1.136–11.297) | 0.029    |
| Pain intensity                   |                  |          |                   |          |
| Severe vs moderate               | 4.415 (1.760–9.761) | 0.001    | 3.878 (1.510–9.960) | 0.005    |
| Locations of pain                |                  |          |                   |          |
| Other vs chest or back           | 2.094 (0.864–5.077) | 0.102    | 1.012 (0.332–3.080) | 0.984    |
| Tumor invasion                   |                  |          |                   |          |
| Yes vs no                        | 2.628 (0.325–21.244) | 0.365    | 0.769 (0.067–8.845) | 0.833    |
| Neuropathic pain                 |                  |          |                   |          |
| With vs without                  | 1.673 (0.731–3.829) | 0.223    | 1.339 (0.503–3.564) | 0.558    |
| Frequent breakthrough pain       |                  |          |                   |          |
| With vs without                  | 3.267 (1.383–7.715) | 0.007    | 2.798 (1.033–7.576) | 0.043    |

Note: Logistic regression analyses were performed.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; TNM, tumor–node–metastasis; CI, confidence interval.

**Discussion**

Chronic cancer pain seriously affects patients’ quality of life, and pain control remains one of the main treatment obstacles. Lung cancer has the highest incidence of all malignancies and is commonly associated with pain. Since the average survival of patients with advanced lung cancer is <1 year, analgesia can have a significant impact on the quality of life. Characterizing the aspects of pain associated with lung cancer and the prognostic factors associated with pain management would provide valuable guidance for clinical analgesic treatment. However, there is currently no consensus on these parameters, and the evidence-based studies published to date are insufficient to draw firm conclusions. This study retrospectively analyzed the pain characteristics of 152 patients with lung cancer having moderate or severe chronic cancer pain and identified factors that may predict the outcome of analgesic treatment and could be used to inform clinical decision making.

Patient demographic statistics indicate that the number of male patients with lung cancer is approximately twice the number of female patients. Although the peak age for lung cancer diagnosis is 60–79 years old, in our study, elderly patients (aged 60 years or older) with moderate or severe pain reported it less frequently than the younger patients (aged <60 years) (42.8% vs 57.2%). This may be due to the different tolerances to pain in patients of different ages, which is supported by Gagliese et al, who found that young patients were more sensitive to pain. Additionally, younger patients may be less tolerant of pain, impacting on their quality of life, while elderly patients may think pain is an inevitable part of cancer, and thus bear that pain untreated over time. Differences in pain perception between these two groups may lead to differences in pain intensity and different treatment outcomes.

In this study, approximately three-quarters of the patients were with advanced lung cancer, which is in accordance with foreign study. Non-small-cell lung cancer accounted for 86.8% of the cases, which is concordant with its known high incidence. Patients with moderate pain accounted for 72.4% of the total, and those with severe pain accounted for 27.6%. In approximately three-quarters of patients, the location of pain was the chest or back, with other parts accounting for one-quarter. In most patients, the pain was caused by tumor invasion and metastases (92.1%), while their pain was due to other causes in a small proportion of cases. Approximately half of the patients had bone metastases, approximately half had neuropathic pain, and approximately one-quarter reported frequent breakthrough pain.
Table 5 Comparison on final MEDD of patients using strong opioids stratified by demographics and clinical characteristics (n=83)

| Characteristics               | MEDD   | P-value* |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|
| Sex                           | Median (IQR) |      |
| Male                          | 60 (40–80) | 0.534   |
| Female                        | 80 (40–80) |         |
| Age                           | 0.566   |          |
| <60 years                     | 60 (40–80) |         |
| ≥60 years                     | 60 (40–80) |         |
| Pathological type             | 0.217   |          |
| Non-small-cell                | 60 (40–80) |         |
| Small cell                    | 60 (40–80) |         |
| Bone metastases               | 0.413   |          |
| Without                       | 60 (40–80) |         |
| With                          | 80 (40–80) |         |
| Causes of pain                | 0.990   |          |
| Nontumor invasion             | 80 (20–80) |         |
| Tumor invasion                | 60 (40–80) |         |
| Neuropathic pain              | 0.020   |          |
| Without                       | 60 (20–80) |         |
| With                          | 80 (60–80) |         |
| Pain intensity                | 0.015   |          |
| Moderate                      | 60 (40–80) |         |
| Severe                        | 80 (50–120) |        |
| Locations of pain             | 0.486   |          |
| Chest or back                 | 60 (40–80) |         |
| Other parts                   | 80 (40–80) |         |
| Frequent breakthrough pain    | 0.016   |          |
| Without                       | 60 (40–80) |         |
| With                          | 80 (45–120) |        |
| TNM stage                     | 0.621   |          |
| I/II/III                      | 60 (40–80) |         |
| IV                            | 65 (40–80) |         |
| Unknown                       | 50 (20–80) |         |

Note: *The Mann–Whitney test was used for comparisons of two groups, and the Kruskal–Wallis test was used for comparisons of more than two groups.

Abbreviations: MEDD, morphine equivalent daily dose; IQR, interquartile range; TNM, tumor–node–metastasis.

This study showed that high pain intensity was associated with frequent breakthrough pain, which is in line with previous studies. Thus, reducing the frequency of breakthrough pain may lower the mean pain intensity, giving better pain management. In this study, we did not detect any associations between the pain intensity and the other variables.

Table 6 Pain control of patients with opioids combined with NSAIDs or opioids only (N=152)

| Combined with | Pain control | P-value* |
|---------------|--------------|----------|
| NSAIDs        | Good | Poor |
| Yes           | 44   | 1     | 0.001  |
| No            | 80   | 27    |

Note: *Chi-square test.

Abbreviation: NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

After priority was given to opioid analgesia for 3 days, 81.6% of patients achieved adequate pain control, and more patients reported a KPS ≥80, suggesting analgesia can improve patients’ quality of life. Most patients (54.6%) were given potent opioids, mainly by the oral route (96.7%), which is consistent with the NCCN guidelines.

In this study, logistic regression analysis showed that severe pain, frequent breakthrough pain, and presence of bone metastases were independent risk factors for poor pain control after 3 days of analgesic treatment. Additionally, analysis of patients using potent opioids showed that high final opioid doses were associated with severe pain, neuropathic pain, and frequent breakthrough pain. Therefore, these factors may lead to difficulty in managing pain and poor pain control. Similar to previous studies, patients with more complex pain syndromes such as neuropathic pain and breakthrough pain, of moderate or severe pain intensity, may require complex analgesic strategies to achieve adequate pain control. Factors that may influence the outcome of pain management include host factors (eg, age, sex, and genetics), disease factors (eg, cancer type and stage), pain factors (eg, intensity, causes, and mechanisms), and among others. In this study, sex, age, pathological type, TNM stage, locations, and causes of pain were not significantly associated with pain control.

Pain intensity plays a key role in the assessment and management of cancer pain, it not only guides clinical decision making but it is also an important prognostic factor for predicting complex pain. Fainsinger et al published an international multicenter study showing that higher pain intensity was associated with greater time taken to achieve stable pain control, as well as more adjuvant therapy, and higher final opioid doses. Kaasa et al summarized previous research results and pointed out that severe pain was more complex and refractory than moderate pain.

Breakthrough pain is considered to be a transient exacerbation of pain that occurs either spontaneously or in relation to a specific predictable or unpredictable trigger, despite relatively stable and adequately controlled background pain. Most patients with cancer pain (63%) suffer from breakthrough pain, which is usually severe pain, and several studies have recognized this breakthrough pain as refractory pain. Fainsinger et al showed that median final opioid dose required to achieve stable pain control in patients with breakthrough pain was 60 mg, while it was 32 mg in patients without breakthrough pain. In that study, breakthrough pain was also associated with greater time and more adjuvant therapy to reach stable pain control.
Neuropathic pain is due to peripheral or central nervous system injury, leading to pain nerve fibers or pain centers producing abnormal nerve impulses. Previous studies have regarded neuropathic pain as a refractory pain index.\textsuperscript{7,8} In a study by Fainsinger et al,\textsuperscript{7} the median final opioid dose required to achieve stable pain control in patients with nociceptive pain was 30 mg, while it was 100 mg in patients with neuropathic pain. Co-administration of tricyclic antidepressants and anticonvulsants can assist in the management of neuropathic pain. For intractable neuropathic pain, interventional therapies such as nerve blocks or nerve radiofrequency ablation can be effective treatments.

Bone metastases pain (hereafter referred to as bone pain) often has characteristics associated with inflammatory pain and neuropathic pain, and it is more complex than other kinds of pain, so opioids alone often do not provide sufficient analgesia.\textsuperscript{16} In animal experiments, three to ten times the opioid dose required to treat inflammatory pain was required to alleviate bone pain.\textsuperscript{17} In addition, bone metastases are the main cause of somatic breakthrough pain, and the latter is refractory. Analgesia combined with radiotherapy and bisphosphonate can effectively alleviate bone pain.\textsuperscript{18}

Combining opioids with NSAIDs led to better pain control in 29.6% patients on this treatment protocol. This is consistent with Mercadante and Giarratano’s study\textsuperscript{19} that analyzed studies published from 2001 to 2011 investigating NSAIDs combined with opioids and concluded that combining NSAIDs with opioids can facilitate better and more rapid control of pain.

There are other relevant prognostic factors for pain management in patients with lung cancer having moderate or severe chronic pain, such as genetic factors, psychological factors, and among others. Genetic studies suggest that single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the opioid receptor gene,\textsuperscript{20,21} catecholamine-O-methyltransferase polymorphisms,\textsuperscript{22} and the activity of cytochrome P450 enzymes\textsuperscript{23} can affect the outcome of analgesic treatment. Psychological distress can also hinder effective analgesic treatment, extending the time required to achieve stable pain control and necessitating more adjuvant treatment and drugs to control pain.\textsuperscript{17} With regard to studies investigating prognostic factors for pain management in patients with lung cancer pain, we need to increase sample sizes, extend observing times, refine the clinical data, and include more potential prognostic factors in the analysis, in order to obtain more significant data and provide a better basis for clinical practice.

This study provides important data that highlight some prognostic factor for poor pain management that should be taken into consideration when planning analgesia.
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