The Association between Earlobe Creases and Cardiovascular Events in Japanese Hemodialysis Patients: A Prospective Cohort Study
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Abstract:
Objective The earlobe crease, a wrinkle extending from the tragus to the outer border of the earlobe, is a well-known surrogate marker for a high risk of cardiovascular disease. However, information is lacking about its association with cardiovascular events among hemodialysis patients, who already have an increased risk of cardiovascular disease. We tested the hypothesis that earlobe creases are independently associated with the risk of cardiovascular events among Japanese hemodialysis patients.

Methods This prospective cohort study followed 247 adult hemodialysis patients with no history of cardiovascular disease for 4 years. The presence of earlobe creases was defined by two researchers using photos of patients’ earlobes on both sides while blinded to one another’s assessments and clinical data. The primary outcome was defined as the first fatal or nonfatal cardiovascular event (myocardial infarction, ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, or peripheral vascular disease requiring aortic or peripheral vascular bypass surgery or below- or above-the-knee amputation). A Fine-Gray competing risks regression model was used to examine the association between earlobe creases and cardiovascular events.

Results During the 4-year follow-up period, 43 patients suffered cardiovascular events. After the competing risk of non-cardiovascular death was accounted for, patients with earlobe creases had an increased cumulative incidence of cardiovascular events compared to those without earlobe creases (subhazard ratio =2.04, 95% confidence interval: 1.09 to 3.82). This association was no longer significant after adjusting for age.

Conclusion Earlobe creases were not independently associated with cardiovascular events among Japanese hemodialysis patients, suggesting that these marks are simply indicative of advanced age.
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by the Institutional Review Board Ethics Committee of the participate in the study. This study was conducted in com-

ings.

Potential participants were excluded if they had ear pierc-

cations. Accordingly, this prospective cohort study assessed the hypothesis that ELC is associated with the risk of cardiovascular events among Japanese hemodialysis patients, independent of chronological age.

Materials and Methods

Study design and participants

This study was conducted as a follow-up to a previously reported cross-sectional study (15); a detailed description of this cohort has been previously published. In brief, individuals undergoing hemodialysis were recruited from five outpatient hemodialysis centers in Niigata, Japan, between February and November 2012. Eligibility criteria for participating patients were as follows: (1) ≥20 years old, (2) receiving maintenance hemodialysis 3 times a week and capable of understanding and giving written informed consent to participate in the study, and (3) no history of CVD at baseline. Potential participants were excluded if they had ear piercings.

All participants provided their written informed consent to participate in the study. This study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board Ethics Committee of the Niigata University Graduate School of Medicine.

Predictors

The predictor variable was the presence of ELC at baseline, as defined according to previously reported methods (15). In brief, bilateral earlobe photographs were taken by one researcher with the patient in a supine position during a dialysis session. Each digital photo was assessed independently by two researchers who were blinded to one another’s assessments and to the clinical data in order to determine the presence of ELC. The Kappa coefficients for inter-rater agreement were moderate for ELC presence (κ=0.67, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.56-0.78), and discrepancies were resolved through consensus with a third researcher.

Covariates and outcome data

Data on baseline patient characteristics, including cause of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), were collected by reviewing medical records. Smoking was defined as the current daily use of cigarettes. Dialysis vintage was calculated as the time between the very first dialysis session and the day that the earlobe photographs were taken. Laboratory data, which were measured at the first dialysis session of each week, were obtained from patient medical records. The non-high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentration was calculated as the difference between the total cholesterol concentration and the HDL cholesterol concentration.

The primary outcome measure of this study was the occurrence of the first fatal or nonfatal cardiovascular event during follow-up. Cardiovascular events included myocardial infarction, ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, and peripheral vascular disease that required aortic or peripheral vascular bypass surgery or below- or above-the-knee amputation. Repeat cardiovascular events were not included. The secondary outcome measure was all-cause mortality. Information about cardiovascular events and death was obtained by reviewing patient medical charts.

Statistical analyses

Power analyses were performed using the PASS software program (Jerry Hintze, Kayville, UT, USA). A two-sided log-rank test was used to account for competing risks, with an overall sample size of 243 patients (165 patients without ELC and 78 patients with ELC) calculated to achieve 90% power at a 0.05 significance level for the detection of a hazard ratio of 2.0. The hazard ratio was set to 2.0, as too small an effect size would have no meaning in clinical settings.

The baseline characteristics of patients with or without ELC were expressed as the mean (standard deviation [SD]) or median (25th and 75th percentiles) for continuous variables and as percentages for categorical variables. Differences in clinical characteristics with and without ELC were compared using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical data and Student’s t-test or the Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables. Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate relationships between variables.

Because hemodialysis patients also have high non-cardiovascular mortality rates (1, 5, 16), we used the Fine-Gray model of competing risk regression analyses to compare event rates of cardiovascular disease among patients with and without ELC. We first adjusted for the age before adding the patient sex into the model. Finally, we adjusted for the propensity score (PS) as a linear covariate due to the limited size of the study cohort (17). The PS was calculated using a logistic regression analysis to consider each individual’s probability of exposure to confounding variables, including age, sex, dialysis vintage (log-transformed), cause of ESKD, smoking status, and pre-dialysis serum hemoglobin, albumin, non-HDL cholesterol, and C-reactive protein concentrations (log-transformed). In sensitivity analyses, deaths due to unknown causes were classified as CVD deaths.

The Kaplan-Meier method and restricted mean survival
Figure 1. Flowchart of study participant selection.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Hemodialysis Patients with No History of Cardiovascular Disease Stratified by the Presence or Absence of Earlobe Creases.

| Baseline characteristics                  | All patients (n=247) | Earlobe creases | p value |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                           |                     | Present [n=55 (22%)] | Absent [n=192 (78%)] |         |
| Age, years                                | 66.2 (11.3)         | 72.9 (8.1)       | 64.3 (11.4)       | <0.001  |
| Men, n (%)                                | 146 (59%)           | 37 (67%)         | 109 (57%)         | 0.16    |
| Duration of dialysis, years               | 7 [3, 15]           | 5 [3, 11]        | 7 [3, 16]         | 0.04    |
| Current smoker, n (%)                     | 42 (17%)            | 11 (20%)         | 31 (16%)          | 0.50    |
| Cause of end-stage kidney disease         |                     |                 |                    | 0.45    |
| Glomerulonephritis, n (%)                 | 117 (47%)           | 22 (40%)         | 95 (49%)          |         |
| Diabetes, n (%)                           | 61 (25%)            | 15 (27 %)        | 46 (24%)          |         |
| Others, n (%)                             | 69 (28%)            | 18 (33%)         | 51 (27%)          |         |
| Laboratory variables                      |                     |                 |                    |         |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                          | 10.4 (1.2)          | 10.4 (1.2)       | 10.5 (1.2)        | 0.69    |
| Serum albumin, g/dL                       | 3.8 (0.5)           | 3.6 (0.4)        | 3.8 (0.5)         | 0.02    |
| Non-HDL cholesterol, mg/dL                | 111 (33)            | 108 (33)         | 112 (33)          | 0.37    |
| C-reactive protein, mg/dL                 | 0.07 [0.03, 0.30]   | 0.08 [0.03, 0.33]| 0.06 [0.03, 0.28]| 0.48    |

Data are shown as number (%) for categorical variables and mean (standard deviation) or median [25th, 75th percentiles] for continuous variables, as appropriate.

HDL: high-density lipoprotein

time were used to estimate the associations between presence or absence of ELC and all-cause mortality, because the proportional hazards assumption was in doubt. The restricted mean survival time can be estimated simply by the area under the curve up to a given time (18, 19). All tests were two-tailed, with p<0.05 considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the software programs IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 24.0; SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA), Stata (version 15.1; Stata, College Station, TX, USA), and R (version 3.2.5; R Project for Statistical Computing).

Results

A total of 247 hemodialysis patients without a history of CVD at baseline were included in this study. The participant selection process is detailed in Fig. 1. The mean (SD) patient age was 66.2 (11.3) years, 59% were men, and the median dialysis vintage was 7 years (Table 1). The most common causes of ESKD were glomerulonephritis (47%) and diabetes (25%). Of the 247 patients, 55 (22%) were identified as having ELC. Patients with ELC were more likely to be older, have shorter dialysis vintages, and exhibit lower serum albumin concentrations than those without ELC.

The mean follow-up time was 3.1 years for first cardiovascular events and 3.4 years for mortality. During the follow-up period, 43 patients suffered cardiovascular events (17.4%; incidence rate of 5.6 per 100 person-years [95% CI: 4.1-7.6]). The cardiovascular events included myocardial infarction (n=16), ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke (n=21), and peripheral vascular disease requiring aortic or peripheral vascular bypass surgery or below- or above-the-knee amputa-
tion (n=6). After the competing risk of non-cardiovascular death was taken into account, patients with ELC had an increased cumulative incidence of cardiovascular events compared to those without ELC (subhazard ratio =2.04, 95% CI: 1.09-3.82) (Fig. 2). After adjusting for the age, however, the effect of ELC presence was no longer significant (Table 2). Propensity score-adjusted results also showed similar findings. When deaths due to unknown causes were classified as CVD deaths, similar results were observed (Supplementary Table).

During the follow-up period, 47 patients died (19.0%; mortality rate of 5.7 per 100 person-years [95% CI: 4.2-7.5]), of whom 31 died without experiencing any cardiovascular events. The causes of death were CVD (n=12), infection (n=8), malignancy (n=6), suicide (n=1), others (n=5), and unknown (n=15). The Kaplan-Meier plot showed that patients with ELC had a higher crude all-cause mortality than those without ELC, and the proportional hazards assumption was in doubt (Fig. 3). A post-hoc analysis using a restricted mean survival time up to 48 months showed that the mean survival times (95% CI) among patients with and without ELC were 41.3 months (38.0-44.6) and 44.4 months (42.9-45.9), respectively. No significant difference in the survival time up to 48 months was observed, with a difference of -3.1 months (95% CI: -6.7 to 0.5 months; p=0.09).

### Discussion

This prospective cohort study of Japanese hemodialysis patients without a history of CVD showed that patients with ELC had an increased risk of cardiovascular events, compared to those without ELC, although this difference was no longer significant after adjusting for age. These findings suggest that ELC has no independent association with cardiovascular events among Japanese hemodialysis patients and that these marks are simply indicative of advanced age. Furthermore, there were no significant survival differences between patients with and without ELC. Checking ELC may not be useful for identifying high-risk hemodialysis patients.

Previously, we reported that there was no significant association between the prevalence of ELC and CVD using cross-sectional data at baseline (15). In a cross-sectional study, however, survival bias can influence the findings. For this reason, we conducted a prospective cohort study and found no independent association between ELC and cardiovascular events.

### Table 2. Subhazard Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) for Cardiovascular Events Using a Fine-Gray Proportional Subhazards Model.

| Model                        | Subhazard ratio (95% CI) | p value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Unadjusted                   | 2.04 (1.09 - 3.82)      | 0.03    |
| Adjusted for age             | 1.76 (0.92 - 3.38)      | 0.09    |
| Adjusted for age and sex     | 1.67 (0.83 - 3.38)      | 0.15    |
| Adjusted for propensity-score| 1.56 (0.78 - 3.11)      | 0.21    |
There are several possible explanations for the lack of an independent association between ELC and cardiovascular events in this study. First, ELC might simply be indicative of advanced age, as suggested by some studies among non-dialysis populations. A prospective study of 261 consecutive men undergoing coronary arteriography showed no significant differences in the age-specific prevalence of coronary artery disease in men with and without ELC, suggesting that the reported association between ELC and coronary artery disease is because both ELC and coronary artery disease prevalence increase with age (8). A cross-sectional study of 863 community-dwelling adults 40 years of age showed that the cognitive performance measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) did not differ markedly according to the presence or absence of ELC when stratified by age. This suggests that the association between ELC and cognitive performance is mainly related to age (20). A cross-sectional study of 1,022 patients with type 2 diabetes showed that the presence of ELC was associated with increasing age, and that there was no independent association between the presence of ELC and the history of coronary artery disease after adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors, including age (21). These studies suggest that advancing age is responsible for this association, which may explain why the present study found no independent association between ELC and cardiovascular events.

Second, traditional cardiovascular risk factors (as opposed to non-traditional factors) may be closely associated with the development of ELC. This possibility would explain why the independent association between ELC and cardiovascular events was not significant in the present study. Although the mechanisms leading to the development of ELC are still unclear, previous studies in non-dialysis populations have shown ELC to be associated with well-known traditional cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension (9, 12-14), smoking (9, 13, 22), obesity (22), and diabetes (12, 14, 23). Hemodialysis patients have a higher prevalence of these traditional factors, as well as several non-traditional risk factors, such as anemia, hyperhomocysteinemia, chronic kidney disease-mineral bone disorder (CKD-MBD), oxidative stress, malnutrition, and chronic inflammation, than non-dialysis patients (24). The substantial differences in CVD risk factors between hemodialysis patients and non-dialysis populations may have affected the current findings.

This study has several limitations. First, information on cardiovascular events was collected from medical records. Although the majority of the records were complete, cardiovascular event rates may have been underestimated because asymptomatic events might have been missed. Second, this study included only Japanese patients without a history of CVD; therefore, the findings may not be generalizable to other dialysis populations. A cross-sectional study using police arrest photographs (“Mug shots”) demonstrated that Chinese participants had a lower prevalence of ELC than
white, black, or Latin-American participants (25). Furthermore, Japanese hemodialysis patients seldom have CVD, although a large proportion of patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis in other countries may have CVD (24). A nationwide cohort study of Japanese dialysis patients showed that 7.2%, 4.5%, and 14.4% of patients had a history of myocardial infarction, cerebral hemorrhaging, and cerebral infarction, respectively (26). An autopsy case report of a 60-year-old Japanese man who had received dialysis for 42 years indicated very little atheroma in his arterial tree, including the aorta and coronary arteries (27). These findings suggest that not all dialysis patients experience the burden of CVD in Japan. The association between ELC and cardiovascular events may differ among countries.

Despite these limitations, the current study has several strengths. First, the use of blinded evaluation methods in the assessment of ELC prevents observer bias. Second, to our knowledge, this is the first prospective study to assess the association between ELC and cardiovascular events among hemodialysis patients.

**Conclusions**

This study is the first to report that there is no independent association between ELC and cardiovascular events among Japanese hemodialysis patients, suggesting that advanced age may be responsible for the association between these factors.
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