The Assessment of Micronutrients Loss in Pasteurized Meat Products with Added Vegetable Oils
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Abstract

Lipids are essential nutrients for human health. The nutritional value of the lipids and the recommended daily consumption has been evaluated by the FAO experts in order to establish indicators for lipid quality, such as: polyunsaturated fatty acids/saturated fatty acids ratio (C12:0–C16:0) with addition of sea buckthorn vegetable oil (Hippophaë rhamnoides L.) and walnut oil (Juglans Regia L.). The vegetable oils have been added in order to reduce the saturated fatty acids content and to increase the polyunsaturated and mono-unsaturated fatty acids content, tocopherols and carotenoids. The evolution of the chemical characteristics of the salami samples was monitored by NIRS spectrophotometry (FoodScan): vitamin E content by RP-HPLC; the antioxidant capacity of the samples for a period of 18 days of storage, at refrigeration temperatures. The lipid profile (g fatty acids/100 g fatty acids), the vitamin E content (mg/100 g fatty acids), the antioxidant capacity (μmolTE/g fat) can offer information about nutritive value of the salami dish with vegetable oils added and the evolution of the lipids in the oxidation process.
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Introduction

The epidemiological studies have lighted the connection between the total consumption of lipids, the nutritional profile of lipids and human health, demonstrating the assigning of the important fatty acids groups with effects against the health. The medical research showed the role of essential fatty acids in reduction of cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, the nervous system, immunity, reducing Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL) and increase High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL) [1]. Depending on catena length, fatty acids have different effects in the metabolism and human health. For example, lauric acid (C12:0), miristic acid (C14:0) and palmitic acid (C16:0) increase LDL cholesterol while stearic acid (C18:0) doesnt have any effect.

There are evidences as:

- Replacement of saturated fatty acids (SFAs) (C12:0–C16:0) with polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) can decrease the LDL cholesterol concentration and the report of total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol also.
- Replacement of SFAs (C12:0–C16:0) with monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) can reduce the concentration of LDL cholesterol and the total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol report, in a smaller percent than PUFAs. Replacement of carbohydrates with MUFAs can increase the HDL cholesterol concentrations [1,2].

The lipid profile of the meat products is, on average, of: 41% SFAs/42% MUFAs/15% PUFAs. The meat products from the category of boiled-smoked salami have a composition with a high fat content (25-45%), originating in the meat and the back fat that is added in the recipe. The back fat has an important role in the meat products by improving the texture, the taste and flavour, as well as an important economic role. The quality of fat for red meat is influenced by the genetic material, the quality of fodder, the growing system, the anatomical pieces used for processing. The oleic acid prevail in muscles and in the adipose tissue of the pigs, sheep and cows, while the palmitic acid is the main fatty acid in these tissues. There are very low quantities of Eicosapentaenoic Acid (EPA) and Docosahexaenoic Acid (DHA) in the red meat. PUFAs with long catena n-6 and n-3 are found in the cow muscles and in the adipose tissue in the form of fosfolipids (variable quantity, influenced by the facts mentioned previously).

Concerns for reducing fat content in the meat products resulted in the elaboration of different technological models: to replace the back fat with proteins from other sources and water, by adding polyglycids with a mimetic role [3]. Increasing of the nutritional value of the lipids in the meat products was achieved through:

- Feeding conducted of animals in farms by obtaining carcass with high content of EPA and DHA [4-6].
- By adding of ingredients rich in PUFAs in meat products as: algae [7], oil seeds, rich oils in fatty acids ω3 [8-11].

This study was aimed at evaluating the influence, antioxidant capacity and fatty acid profile of the fat soluble vitamins when adding vegetable oils at boiled and smoked salami formulation [12]. We have used walnut (Juglans Regia L.) [11,13,14] and sea-buckthorn (Hippophaë rhamnoides L.) oils, rich in linoleic and α-linolenic fatty acids as well as in tocopherols and carotenoids [15-17]. It is well known that polyunsaturated fatty acids are unstable in the presence of oxygen, light and high temperature [18]. The oxidative processes are accelerated
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when processing meat [19,20] due to an increase in the surface exposure during mincing, mixing and due to the high pasteurization temperature that leads to the protein denaturisation and an increase in the availability of the heme iron which can accelerate the oxidative interactions. The study has evaluated the synergic antioxidant effect of the fat soluble vitamins from the samples formulated with vegetal oils against the samples formulated with solid fat. The synergic antioxidant capacity of carotenoids, tocopherols, sodium nitrite and sodium polyphosphates was performed by chemical analysis (humidity, total protein, total fat content, pH). Tocopherol and fatty acid content has been assessed during storage in correlation with the evolution of the Peroxide Value (PV) and the total Antioxidant Capacity (AC).

**Experimental Section and Methods**

**Salami manufacturing**

The smoked and boiled salami samples were formulated with pork and beef meat, fat (for the control), carrageenan, walnut oil and sea-buckthorn oil, in three versions: P1-sample with back fat; P2-sample with walnut oil; P3-sample with sea buckthorn oil. At the formulation of the salami samples no condiments, colorants, taste potentiating substances, flavors, ascorbic acid or its salts were used, so that it will not interfere with the antioxidant capacity assessment.

The salami samples were manufactured from a mixture of meat batter (where the oil has been incorporated) and meat grind (brine mixed with minced meat), grind in which the meat pieces had an approximate dimension of 5-13 mm. The meat batter was manufactured with the help of the bowl mixer cutter using pork and beef leg (59/41), carrageenan (3.65%), salt (1.6%), sodium polyphosphates (0.3%) and sodium nitrate (10 mg/Kg). *Sample recipe* as was follows: pork 53.7%, beef 20.8%, carrageenan 1.0%, salt 1.6%. The fat added to sample was represented by hard fat 4, 14% in control P1, walnut oil 4, 14% in P2 and sea-buckthorn oil 4, 14% in P3.

*The filling* was performed with a vacuum filling machine, into 90 mm diameter permeable casings.

*The thermal process* was performed during several steps: drying, hot smoking 45°C, boiling 70°C, drying, smoking. *Storage* was performed at 2-4°C, for 18 days.

**Determinations methods**

**Chemical composition**: Moisture, %, total protein, %, collagen, %, using NIR spectrophotometer (Food Scanner) for meat, type 78810, with ANN calibration, FOSS Analytical. The accuracy interval was 0.4–0.8% for moisture and 0.3–0.6% for total protein. The (300 g) salami sample is minced so that it can pass through a 5 mm sieve, distributed evenly onto the equipment tray. The sample is scanned and the results are processed by the equipment’s software and displayed as percentage.

Total fat, %, was performed by Soxhlet method, the extraction was performed during several steps: drying, hot smoking 45°C, boiling 70°C, drying, smoking. *Storage* was performed at 2-4°C, for 18 days.

**Lipid profile**: Fatty acids, g/100 g fatty acids, measured by a gaschromatographic method according to SR CEN ISO/TS 17764-2: 2008, SR CEN ISO/TS 17764-1:2008.

**Content in vitamin E**: Sample preparation consisted in saponification using 11% ethanol solution of KOH and ascorbic acid for preventing vitamin E oxidation, at 80°C, for 15 minutes. After cooling, 4 ml iso-octane was added in each sample, followed by vortexing for 2 minutes. 1 ml Iso-octane extract was evaporated using a centrifugal evaporator and fatty residue was reconstituted in 0.5 ml methanol. All the samples were prepared and analyzed in triplicates.

Separation of tocopherols was carried out by RP-HPLC using a Waters Alliance system with UV detector. The data were achieved and processed with EMPOWER 2.0 specialized software. The column was a C8 Symmetry (150×3.9 mm, 5.0 µm particle size). The mobile phase consisted in methanol+acetonitrile+water solution (45:45:10, v/v/v) and the elution was performed at a flow rate of 1 ml/min.

Calibration curve was obtained using 67.35 µg/ml α-tocopherol (Sigma-Aldrich) standard solution and the results were calculated and expressed as mg α-tocopherol in 100 g fat.

**Assessment antioxidant capacity**: Peroxide value (PV), in meq/kg, according to AOAC 965.33/2006 method;

The Antioxidant Capacity (AC), in µmol TE/g fat, measured using the protocol for the determination of the Lipid Soluble Compounds (ACL) with PHOTOCHEM equipment, Analytik Jena. The method implies lipid extraction from a 70 g sample by stirring (for10 minutes) with 100 ml chloroform in the presence of (40 g) Na2SO4 anh., (adapted AOAC 965.33 method), UV exposure of 10 µl from the filtered for the optic excitation in the presence of a photosensitizing substance to release radicals of the superoxide ion O2-. Residual free radical assessment (after the interaction with antioxidants) is done with the photosensitizing substance (Luminol). The measurement parameter ACL: Ic/Io=inhibition, is achieved by solving the integral under the curve (control and sample): Ic=Io-Ic. The establishment of the calibration curve was done with 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetrametilchroman-2-carboxylic acid. AC is expressed as µmol/nmol Trolox. Reproducibility CV 2-5% Trolox. The results are displayed in units called Trolox Equivalent: e.g. µmol TE/1 g fat.

**Calculation**:

\[
AC=\frac{(AC/m) \times D}{m} \text{(µmol TE/1 g fat)}
\]

where:

\[
AC=\text{antioxidant capacity, µmol/nmol TE/g fat;}
\]

\[
m=\text{amount of fat from 10 ml the chloroform extract;}
\]

\[
D=\text{dilution of the chloroform extract.}
\]

The chemical measurements were performed for raw materials and the salami samples, at refrigeration temperatures (2-4°C), during storage. The sampling was done at 7 days intervals, estimating that this would be enough time for lipid oxidation reactions to take place.

**Results**

**Chemical composition**

**Chemical composition raw materials**: From the chemical analysis of the raw materials the following pork leg muscles were selected: *biceps femoris* and *seminembranosus* that have a chemical composition suitable for meat paste with added oil due to the collagen content, which is 62% lower than the one found in the *quadriceps group-Vastus* (Table 1) and according to the literature, a content of iron lower with 30% (7.6-8.7 mg/100 g versus 10-14 mg/100 g of *quadriceps group-Vastus*) represents slow, less vascularized muscles (Table 2).

**Chemical composition salami samples**: The chemical composition of the salami samples macronutrients are within acceptable limits, the products belonging to the same semi-finished products:

- moisture, %, P2–0.89%; P3–1.00%; to the value P1;
The values shown in the table represent the average of three determinations with RSD <5%.

**Table 1:** Chemical composition of meat and back fat.

| Chemical characteristics | unit | Results* | Biceps femoris and semimembranosus | Quadriceps group-Vastus | Beef leg | Back fat |
|--------------------------|------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| Moisture                 | %    |          | 73.3                                | 74.5                     | 74.9     | 18.72    |
| Total protein in care collagen | %   |          | 19.9                                | 19.84                    | 20.87    | 3.21     |
| Total lipids             | %    |          | 6.25                                | 5.14                     | 3.18     | 77.2     |

*The values shown in the table represent the average of three determinations with RSD <5%.

**Table 2:** Chemical composition salami samples.

| Fatty acids (g/100 g fatty acids) | Back fat | Pig leg* | Walnut Oil | Sea buckthorn oil |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|-------------------|
| C12:0                           | 0.177    | 0.290    | 0.00       | 0.00              |
| C14:0                           | 2.143    | 2.030    | 0.04       | 0.11              |
| C16:0                           | 25.930   | 22.743   | 7.75       | 12.25             |
| C16:1                           | 3.303    | 1.930    | 0.17       | 3.19              |
| C17:0                           | 0.210    | 0.373    | -          | -                 |
| C17:1                           | -        | -        | -          | -                 |
| C18:0                           | 12.663   | 12.373   | 2.22       | 2.25              |
| C18:1n9                         | 38.637   | 36.840   | 16.33      | 54.06             |
| C18:2n6                         | 13.700   | 19.723   | 62.45      | 26.90             |
| C18:3n3                         | 1.027    | 1.050    | 10.90      | 0.70              |
| C20:2n6                         | 0.317    | 0.687    | 0.00       | 0.23              |
| C20:3n6                         | -        | -        | 0.14       | 0.17              |
| C20:4n6                         | 0.007    | 0.264    | -          | -                 |
| Σ SFAs                          | 41.438   | 38.393   | 10.01      | 14.61             |
| Σ MUFAs                         | 42.044   | 38.839   | 16.50      | 57.25             |
| Σ PUFAs                         | 15.697   | 22.09    | 73.49      | 28.0              |
| PUFA/SFA                        | 0.379    | 0.575    | 7.34       | 1.916             |

The values shown in the table represent the average of 3 determinations with RSD <5%.

*The values shown in the table represent the average of 3 determinations with RSD <5%.

**Table 3:** Fatty acids composition, % fatty acids, fat and oil raw materials.

- total protein, %, P2=0.11%, P3=0.45%; to the value P1;
- total crude fat, %, P2=1.93%; P3=1.27%; to the value P1.

The difference in the amount of crude fat between the salami samples is due to the adherent fat of the biceps femoris muscle, out of which the meat grind was made and due to the coarse structure of the meat paste. It was found that the macronutrient balance is not affected by the replacement of lard with vegetable oil.

**Lipid profile**

**Lipid profile raw materials and oils:** The lipid profile determined for the raw materials and ingredients used in the experiment are within the values found in publications for pig carcasses obtained through intensive technologies [21] and chemical composition of the oil [15,22] (Table 3).

Note that for both the content of palmitic acid and oleic acid, the values obtained in the meat are comparable to those found in the literature, which are within the following range: 23.20-27.29 g% for palmitic acid, 39.67-47.00 g% for oleic acid, respectively [20]. The lard sample registered a content of 41.43% of saturated fatty acids, among which the palmitic acid was predominant (25.93%). A large percentage of PUFA s are found in walnut oil 73.49 g/100 g fatty acids, compared to the literature (69.55 ± 0.03 - 75.54 ± 0.51) [15]. A large percentage of MUFAs was found in the seabuckthorn oil, 57.25 g/100 g fatty acids, higher than the values found in the literature (52.823-54.32%) [22]. Regarding the oils, the palmitic acid is 36.7% higher in seabuckthorn oil compared to the walnut oil and approximately 50% lower than in meat and lard. The main MUFAs acid, the oleic acid, has the highest value in the seabuckthorn oil (70% compared to the walnut oil and 28% compared to the average value of meat and fat). The linoleic acid has the highest value in walnut oil (73% higher than the average value of meat and fat and 56.92% higher than in seabuckthorn oil). Regarding the α linolenic acid, this is present in appreciable amounts in walnut oil and in the smallest amount in seabuckthorn oil.

**Lipid profile salami samples:** The lipid profile of the fat found in salami samples (Table 4) reflects the source of fat of each sample. P1-with back fat has the highest content of SFAs; P2-with walnut oil has a high content of PUFAs; P3-with seabuckthorn oil has a high content of MUFAs. The ratio PUFA s/SFAs is close to optimal for the sample P3=0.95. P2 has a ratio three times higher than the recommended one (PUFA s/SFAs=1.1), while P1-the blank has a ratio of 40% less.

Quantity of fatty acids reported to 100 g salami (Label 5) in samples with added oil covers the total fat recommended of daily consumption for adults [3]:

- SFAs P2=15.66%; P3=23.46%;
- MUFAs P2=18.47 %; P3=38.33%;
- PUFAs P2=45.28 %; P3=20.42%;
- LA: P2=47.08%; P3=23.01%;
- ALA: P2=22.3%; P3=2.57%.

A report PUFA s/SFAs (optimum=1.1) was recorded at P3=0.957.

The evolution of quantity of fatty acid/100 g fatty acids in salami samples during storage

| Fatty acids (g/100 g fatty acids) | Back fat | Walnut oil | Sea buckthorn oil |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|
| C14:0                            | 1.07     | 0.56       | 1.0               |
| C15:1                            | 0.22     | 0.17       | 0.18              |
| C16:0                            | 22.35    | 12.36      | 17.63             |
| C16:1                            | 3.68     | 1.29       | 3.39              |
| C17:0                            | 0.32     | 0.13       | 0.19              |
| C17:1                            | 0.32     | 0.15       | 0.19              |
| C18:0                            | 9.15     | 4.36       | 5.83              |
| cis C18:1n9                      | 42.44    | 25.25      | 48.19             |
| cis C18:2n6                      | 17.32    | 47.4       | 21.59             |
| α C18:3n3                        | 0.83     | 7.49       | 0.81              |
| C20:2n6                          | 0.48     | 0.27       | 0.33              |
| C20:3n6                          | 0.53     | 0.16       | 0.3               |
| C20:4n6                          | 0.66     | 0.42       | 0.39              |
| αlal aciz grasi                  | 0.63     | -          | -                 |
| Σ SFAs                           | 32.89    | 17.41      | 24.65             |
| Σ MUFAs                          | 46.66    | 26.88      | 51.95             |
| Σ PUFAs                          | 19.82    | 55.74      | 23.42             |
| PUFA/SFAs                        | 0.603    | 3.20       | 0.95              |

*The values shown in the table represent the average of 3 determinations with RSD <5%.

**Table 4:** Fatty acids composition, % fatty acids, salami samples.
The values shown in the table represent the average of three determinations with RSD < 5%.

### Vitamin E (α-tocoferol) (mg/100g fat)*

| Sample with | 4 days | 11 days | 18 days |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|
| back fat    | 17.19  | 13.52   | 11.28   |
| walnut oil  | 20.70  | 14.66   | 11.32   |
| sea buckthorn oil | 18.78 | 14.20 | 13.28 |

*The values show the average of 2 measures ± SD

### Vitamin E content salami samples during storage.

| Ingredients | PV (mg/kg)* | AC (µmol TE/g)** |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| back fat    | 7.76        |                  |
| walnut oil  | 4.53        | 1.38±0.34        |
| sea buckthorn oil | 3.3   | 2.015±0.28 |

*The values shown in the table represent the average of three determinations with RSD < 5%

**The values are mean of 2 measures ± SD

### Antioxidant capacity of raw materials.

| Sample with | 4 days | 11 days | 18 days |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|
| back fat    | 3.456 ± 0.05 | 2.1777 ± 0.02 | 1.5220 ± 2.39 |
| walnut oil  | 4.2101 ± 0.02 | 2.7789 ± 0.18 | 1.6343 ± 2.22 |
| sea buckthorn oil | 4.742 ± 0.02 | 3.7908 ± 0.09 | 1.9136 ± 2.33 |

*The values are mean of 2 measures ± SD

### Peroxide value salami samples.

| Sample with | 4 days | 11 days | 18 days |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|
| back fat    | 0.162  | 0.050   | 0.096   |
| walnut oil  | 0.021  | 0.015   | 0.017   |
| sea buckthorn oil | 2.135 | 1.105 | 1.691 |

### Antioxidant capacity salami samples.

#### a) Saturated fatty acids
#### b) Monounsaturated fatty acids
#### c) Polyunsaturated fatty acids

### Content in vitamin E

Vitamin E content in raw materials is shown in Table 5.

Vitamin E content in samples salami is shown in Table 6.

### Antioxidant capacity assessment

Assessment antioxidant capacity of raw materials is shown in Table 7.

Evolution antioxidant capacity salami samples during storage is shown in Tables 8 and 9.

### Discussion

FAO experts generally classify fatty acids, based on chemical composition (specifying that each fatty acid category has its own chemical properties and distinct biological activity). According to the FAO experts, SFAs refers to saturated fatty acids with the highest intake in the human diet (C14; C16; C18); MUFAs refers to the most important monounsaturated fatty acid existent in the western diets (C18:1n9); PUFAs refers to, mainly, to linoleic acids, to a smaller percentage of α-linolenic acid (C18:3n3) and a variable amount of long chain PUFAs (EPA, DHA) [1].

The current study we’ve tested the addition of vegetal oils to a thermally processed salami batter and we’ve assessed the influence in regard to lipid oxidation, and the fatty acid content of lipids. It was found that using one type of oil to the salami formulation led to different fatty acid content, according to the lipid profile of the oil used. The vegetal oils with a high content of fat soluble vitamins, aside their important nutritive qualities; also have an antioxidant and colorant role in the food systems. By comparing the fatty acid quantity/100 g of fatty acids of the samples we’ve observed that; SFA’s has the highest value in the fat sample (32.9%), PUFA’s has the highest value in the sample with walnut oil (55.74%) and MUFA’s has the highest value in the sample with sea-buckthorn sample (51.95%). A PUFA’s/SFA’s ratio close to optimum (optimum=1.1 [1]) was achieved in the case of the sample that had sea-buckthorn oil, 0.957 (Table 4). The intake of a salami portion (100 g) ensures 33–38% of the daily necessary lipid intake for adults (35 g fat [1]). The sample with walnut oil has the highest values for essential fatty acids (LA 47.08 % + ALA 22.3%=69.38%), while the sample with sea-buckthorn oil has a smaller values (LA 23.01 % + ALA 2.57%=25.58%) (Table 10).

The addition of high PUFA content vegetal oils, to thermally prepared meat products raises the issue of protection against lipid oxidation. The primary oxidation compounds of lipids (hydroperoxides and peroxide free radicals, are highly reactive and unstable) reach a synthesis maximum, and a tipping point followed by a decrease due to the synthesis of the secondary oxidation compounds, when the oxidation reaction kinetics reaches equilibrium [17,18]. Generally, vitamin E added to food systems target the neutral oil protecting against lipid oxidation. The Oxygen Reactive Species (ROS), effectively neutralized by the carotenoids, (phospholipids) because it is not an effective antioxidant. The Oxygen - and peroxyl radicals (RO2- and RO2-) through the oxidative processes are physical: by deactivating the O2- the oxidative processes are

### Fatty acids (g/100 g fresh sample)

| Fatty Acids | Back fat | Walnut oil | Sea buckthorn oil |
|------------|----------|------------|-------------------|
| C14:0      | 0.162    | 0.050      | 0.096             |
| C15:1      | 0.021    | 0.015      | 0.017             |
| C16:0      | 2.135    | 1.105      | 1.691             |
| C16:1      | 0.351    | 0.115      | 0.325             |
| C17:0      | 0.031    | 0.012      | 0.018             |
| C17:1      | 0.031    | 0.014      | 0.018             |
| C18:0      | 0.874    | 0.390      | 0.559             |
| cis C18:1n9 | 4.054   | 2.257      | 4.623             |
| cis C18:2n6 | 1.654   | 4.237      | 2.071             |
| α-C18:3n3 | 0.079    | 0.669      | 0.077             |
| C20:2n6    | 0.046    | 0.024      | 0.032             |
| C20:3n6    | 0.051    | 0.014      | 0.029             |
| C20:4n6    | 0.063    | 0.037      | 0.038             |
| Σ SFAs     | 3.202    | 1.557      | 2.346             |
| Σ MUFAs    | 4.457    | 2.401      | 4.983             |
| Σ PUFAs    | 1.893    | 4.981      | 2.246             |
| PUFAs/ SFAs| 0.591    | 3.199      | 0.957             |

*The values shown in the table represent the average of 3 determinations with RSD<5%

**Table 10: Fatty acids composition, %, fresh sample.**
energy transfer to carotenoids, resulting in a excited carotenoid triplet, and chemical: scavengers of radicals RO2 through chemical interactions, when the reaction interruption is less than 0.05% of the antioxidant activity of the carotenoids. Carotenoids are the most effective natural antioxidants for O2 with quenching rate constants of the chain reactions of 5-12×107/mol per second [19]. The vitamin E content, measured as α-tocopherol is higher in sea-buckthorn 61.7385 mg/100 g fat, compared to walnut oil, 5.182 mg/100 g fat (Table 5). For antioxidant capacity assessment of the oil vitamins we’ve measured the composition changes of the lipid fatty acids, the vitamin E content, PV and AC evolution, during storage.

The evolution of the salami chemical characteristics has underlined the dynamics of the oxidative processes. Thus, the sample with fat (control) has a change in the fatty acid content as follows: SFA’s values rise with less than 1% in regard to initial value, palmitic acid by 0.6%, stearic acid by 0.09% (Figures 1 and 2), MUFA’s values rise by 0.57%, maybe due to measurement deviation (Figure 3), while PUFA’s decrease by 1.42% linoleic acid (Figure 4) and 0.2% linolenic acid (Figure 5). PV registers a constant decrease during storage by 5.9 meq/kg (Table 8) while AC decreases by 56% from baseline, to a greater extent in the first 11 days of storage (1.93 µmol TE/g fat, double in regard to the second storage period) (Table 9). The low tocopherol content (0.171 mg /100 g fat) decreases by 0.03 mg (Table 6).

We’ve observed the dynamics of the lipid oxidation reactions in the first 11 days of storage. The sample with walnut oil, that has a greater PUFA amount, the lipid profile changed as follows: the SAFA content is rising constantly by 26.69% (Figure 1), stearic acid by 35.5% (Figure 2). MUFA’s, oleic acid values have risen during the storage period, palmitic acid by 26.01% (Figure 1), stearic acid by 35.5% (Figure 2). MUFA’s, oleic acid values have risen during the second storage period by 26.1% in regard to baseline (Figure 3). As far as PUFA’s are concerned linoleic acid drops by 30.46% (Figure 4) and 0.2% linolenic acid (Figure 5). The α-tocopherol content decreases to a greater extent during the first storage period 0.23 mg/100 g fatty
The oils rich in fat-soluble vitamins (tocopherols and carotenoids such as seabuckthorn oil) containing >50% MUFAs added into a salami paste using thermal treatment ensure an average stability for lipid oxidation (10 days of refrigeration) and a low loss of essential fatty acids.

A recent technological model uses the microencapsulation of valuable nutritional oils for food reformulation. Although this technology solves the problem of lipid oxidation, it is not yet widely used due to very high costs.
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