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Abstract

Isospin breaking correction to the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ decay of $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ generates a large enough contribution to $\epsilon'$ through an induced $\Delta I = \frac{3}{2}$ amplitude in $K_L$ decay. Aside from the $\pi$-$\eta$ and $\pi$-$\eta'$ mixing contributions, there is a correction of kinematical origin due to the final-state $\pi^\pm$-$\pi^0$ mass difference, which is unambiguously calculable from the low-energy off-shell behavior of the $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ amplitude. This correction to $\epsilon'$ reduces the isospin breaking parameter $\Omega_{IB}$ by 0.06, which is nearly one half of the $\pi$-$\eta$ mixing effect computed with chiral Lagrangians to $O(p^2)$. PACS numbers: 13.25.Es, 12.15.Ff, 11.30.Er
I. INTRODUCTION

The $\epsilon'$ parameter measures the CP phase difference between the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Delta I = \frac{3}{2}$ amplitudes in $K^0$ decay:

$$\epsilon' = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i(\delta_2-\delta_0)} \omega \left( \frac{\text{Im}A_0}{\text{Re}A_0} - \frac{\text{Im}A_2}{\text{Re}A_2} \right),$$

where $A_{0,2}$ are the $K \to \pi\pi$ amplitudes into $I_{\pi\pi} = 0$ and $I_{\pi\pi} = 2$. In the Standard Model with the conventional CP phase assignment to quark mixing, the penguin decay is practically the entire source of $\text{Im}A_0$. Because of the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ enhancement, the isospin breaking correction to the penguin decay is a major $\Delta I = \frac{3}{2}$ contribution to $\epsilon'$. The $\pi$-$\eta$ and $\pi$-$\eta'$ mixing contributions to the isospin breaking have been computed [1].

The isospin breaking effect is parametrized by

$$\Omega_{IB} = \frac{\text{Im}A_{2IB}}{\omega \text{Im}A_0},$$

where $\omega = \text{Re}A_2/\text{Re}A_0 \simeq 1/22$, and $A_{2IB}$ is the $\Delta = \frac{3}{2}$ amplitude induced by the electromagnetic or the $u$-$d$ quark mass difference correction to the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ amplitude. The isospin breaking parameter $\Omega_{IB}$ enters $\epsilon'$ as

$$\epsilon' = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i(\delta_2-\delta_0)} \omega \left[ \frac{\text{Im}A_0}{\text{Re}A_0} (1 - \Omega_{IB}) - \frac{\text{Im}A_2'}{\text{Re}A_2} \right],$$

where $\text{Im}A_2 = \text{Im}(A_2 - A_{2IB})$. The contribution of the $\pi$-$\eta$ mixing to $\Omega_{IB}$ is

$$\Omega_{IB}^{\pi\eta} = 0.13$$

according to the calculation of $O(p^2)$ in chiral Lagrangian [1,2]. The recent calculation to $O(p^4)$ raised the value to 0.16 $\pm$ 0.03 [2]. After including $\pi$-$\eta'$ mixing, $\Omega_{IB}^{\pi\eta+\pi'\eta'} = 0.25 \pm 0.08$ has also been quoted [1].

The purpose of this short paper is to point out that there is one obvious isospin breaking correction to the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ amplitude which arises from the $\pi^\pm - \pi^0$ mass difference of the final pions. This is an effect of $O(p^2)$ in the momentum expansion and numerically as large as one half of Eq. (4) with the opposite sign. Considering its significance in testing the Standard Model with $\epsilon'$, we wish to call attention to this obvious correction.

II. ISOSPIN BREAKING BY EXTERNAL PION MASS DIFFERENCE

The isospin structure of the $K \to \pi\pi$ decay amplitudes is parametrized as

$$A(K^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) = A_0(p_K^2, p_{\pi\pm}^2, p_{\pi\pm}^2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A_2(p_K^2, p_{\pi\pm}^2, p_{\pi\pm}^2),$$

$$A(K^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0) = A_0(p_K^2, p_{\pi0}^2, p_{\pi0}^2) - \sqrt{2} A_2(p_K^2, p_{\pi0}^2, p_{\pi0}^2).$$

The amplitude $A_0$ in Eq. (4) for $I = 0$ actually hides an $I = 2$ component through the external pion mass dependence. The external four-momentum dependence of the $A_0$ amplitude has been well known to $O(p^2)$:
\[ A(p_K^2, p_a^2, p_b^2) = \frac{1}{2} A'(0)(2p_K^2 - p_a^2 - p_b^2) + O(p^4), \]  

(6)

where \( A'(0) \) is a constant, and \( p_K \) and \( p_{a,b} \) denote momenta of \( K^0 \) and two final pions. This robust external momentum dependence is a consequence of SU(3) symmetry of strong interaction and charge conjugation property of the parity-violating nonleptonic decay interaction [3], though it is more often discussed with chiral symmetry nowadays. The \( \pi^\pm - \pi^0 \) mass difference of the final pions in Eq. (6) generates an effective \( \Delta I = \frac{3}{2} \) amplitude and contributes to \( \Omega_{IB} \) through \( \text{Im} A_2 \). To our surprise, this correction has not been counted in literature.

On the mass shell the \( A_0 \) amplitude of Eq. (6) has the external mass dependence to \( O(m_p^2) \),

\[ A_0(K^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = A'(0)(m_{K^0}^2 - m_{\pi^\pm}^2), \]
\[ A_0(K^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0) = A'(0)(m_{K^0}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2). \]

(7)

A \( \Delta I = \frac{3}{2} \) amplitude emerges from the difference \( m_{\pi^\pm}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2 \) in Eq. (7). Define the induced \( \Delta I = \frac{3}{2} \) amplitude as

\[ A_2^{IB} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} A'(0)(m_{\pi^\pm}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2). \]

(8)

Moving \( A_2^{IB} \) from \( A_0 \) to \( A_2 \), we can rewrite Eq. (7) up to the \( O(p^4) \) correction as

\[ A(K^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = A'(0)(m_{K^0}^2 - \langle m_{\pi}^2 \rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_2 + A_2^{IB}), \]
\[ A(K^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0) = A'(0)(m_{K^0}^2 - \langle m_{\pi}^2 \rangle) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_2 + A_2^{IB}), \]

(9)

where \( \langle m_{\pi}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{3}(2m_{\pi^\pm}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2) \).

Substituting the imaginary part of Eq. (8) in Eq. (9), we obtain the contribution of \( \text{Im} A_2^{IB} \) to \( \Omega_{IB} \) as

\[ \Delta \Omega_{IB} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\omega} \left( \frac{m_{\pi^\pm}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2}{m_{K^0}^2 - \langle m_{\pi}^2 \rangle} \right), \]
\[ = -0.058. \]

(10)

This is the external mass difference contribution to \( \Omega_{IB} \) to \( O(p^2) \) of \( A_0 \). The sign is opposite to the \( \pi - \eta \) and \( \pi - \eta' \) contributions, and the magnitude is nearly one half of the \( O(p^2) \) contribution of \( \pi - \eta \) mixing [4,5],

\[ \Omega_{IB}^{\pi\eta} = \frac{m_d - m_u}{3\sqrt{2}(m_s - m_{u,d})}, \]
\[ = 0.13, \]

(11)

and more than a third of \( \Omega_{IB}^{\pi\eta} = 0.16 \pm 0.03 \) [2] which includes \( O(p^4) \).
III. DISCUSSION

It is obvious that this pion mass difference contribution is not counted in the \( \pi-\eta \) and \( \pi-\eta' \) mixing calculation. It is purely kinematical in origin. Our number is at the level of \( O(p^2) \) in the language of chiral Lagrangian expansion. While the \( \pi-\eta \) mixing correction is fairly clean to \( O(p^4) \), the \( O(p^4) \) correction contains more dynamical uncertainties. In our calculation we have ignored an explicit SU(3) breaking in \( A_\text{0}(p_K^2, p_\pi^2, p_\pi^2) \) of Eq. (6). This is the only possible source of uncertainty involved in Eq. (10). We make a remark on it.

The \( s-u/d \) quark mass difference in internal lines generates an SU(3)-breaking \( A_0 \) term that does not vanish in the soft meson limit. In chiral Lagrangians, one quark mass insertion does not generate a nonderivative term for the \( \Delta I = \frac{1}{2} \) decay since \( \text{tr}(\lambda_6 M q U^\dagger) \) can be diagonalized away. Therefore there is no correction of \( O(m^2_\pi) \) to our result. The internal quark mass correction is of \( O(m^2_\pi) \times O(p^2) \), which is the same as \( O(p^4) \) on the mass shell of \( K \to \pi\pi \). An explicit computation of \( O(p^4) \) to one-loop was made with the kaon off mass shell, while keeping the pion masses on shell and degenerate [4]. In this calculation the explicit SU(3) breaking of the quark mass insertion manifests itself in the terms proportional to \( m^2_K - m^2_\pi \) instead of \( p^2_K - m^2_\pi \). This SU(3) breaking turns out to be very small primarily because of the loop factor \( 1/(4\pi f_\pi)^2 \). Therefore the next-order correction to Eq. (10) is expected to be small. If one wishes to compare our result with the \( O(p^4) \) result of \( \Omega_{IB} = 0.16 \pm 0.03 \) from chiral Lagrangians, one had better compute for consistency the \( A_0 \) amplitude with the pions off mass shell and include the next order terms of \( (m^2_K - m^2_\pi) \times O(p^2) \) in Eq. (10). Though it is small in magnitude, the next-order correction to Eq. (10) contains dynamical uncertainty.
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