A Novel Small Molecular STAT3 Inhibitor, LY5, Inhibits Cell Viability, Cell Migration, and Angiogenesis in Medulloblastoma Cells*
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Background: Persistent STAT3 phosphorylation is detected in medulloblastoma and represents a viable target for therapeutic drug discovery.

Results: LY5, a novel STAT3 inhibitor, suppressed STAT3 phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, target gene expression, cell migration, angiogenesis, and induced apoptosis in medulloblastoma cells.

Conclusion: LY5 exhibited potent activity against STAT3 signaling in medulloblastoma cells.

Significance: LY5 is a promising drug candidate for medulloblastoma therapy.

Signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3) signaling is persistently activated and could contribute to tumorigenesis of medulloblastoma. Numerous studies have demonstrated that inhibition of the persistent STAT3 signaling pathway results in decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis in human cancer cells, indicating that STAT3 is a viable molecular target for cancer therapy. In this study, we investigated a novel non-peptide, cell-permeable small molecule, named LY5, to target STAT3 in medulloblastoma cells. LY5 inhibited persistent STAT3 phosphorylation and induced apoptosis in human medulloblastoma cell lines expressing constitutive STAT3 phosphorylation. The inhibition of STAT3 signaling by LY5 was confirmed by down-regulating the expression of the downstream targets of STAT3, including cyclin D1, bcl-XL, survivin, and micro-RNA-21. LY5 also inhibited the induction of STAT3 phosphorylation by interleukin-6 (IL-6), insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, IGF-2, and leukemia inhibitory factor in medulloblastoma cells, but did not inhibit STAT1 and STAT5 phosphorylation stimulated by interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and EGF, respectively. In addition, LY5 blocked the STAT3 nuclear localization induced by IL-6, but did not block STAT1 and STAT5 nuclear translocation mediated by IFN-γ and EGF, respectively. A combination of LY5 with cisplatin or x-ray radiation also showed more potent effects than single treatment alone in the inhibition of cell viability in human medulloblastoma cells. Furthermore, LY5 demonstrated a potent inhibitory activity on cell migration and angiogenesis. Taken together, these findings indicate LY5 inhibits persistent and inductive STAT3 phosphorylation and suggest that LY5 is a promising therapeutic drug candidate for medulloblastoma by inhibiting persistent STAT3 signaling.

STAT3, one important member of signal transducers and activators of transcription family, is constitutively activated in a wide variety of human malignancies, including medulloblastoma (1-3). STAT3 acts as signal messenger and transcription factor that participate in normal cellular responses to cytokines and growth factors (4, 5). However, persistent activation of STAT3 is associated with oncogenesis and tumor progression (6-8). The function domains of the STAT3 protein comprise the dimerization domain at the N terminus, SH22 domain, the DNA-binding domain, and the transcription activation domain at the C-terminal end. SH2 domain and the transcription activation domain at the C-terminal end are very important for activation of STAT3. When one of the gp130-acting cytokines and growth factors such as IL-6, IL-11, oncostatin M, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), and insulin-like growth factor (IGF) interacts with its receptor, STAT3 is activated through phosphorylation at its tyrosine 705 (Tyr-705) (6-8) in the transcription activation domain (9-12). After phosphorylation, two STAT3 monomers form dimers through reciprocal phosphotyrosine-SH2 interactions, translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, and bind to specific DNA sequence to regulate target genes transcription (13-15).

Once activated, STAT3 plays a critical role in oncogenesis, proliferation, survival, invasion, and inflammation of various human cancers and cancer cell lines. The persistently activated Stat3 up-regulates its downstream gene expression such as cyclinD1, c-myc, bcl-2, survivin, bcl-XL, and VEGF, which contribute to uncontrolled proliferation of cancer cells through promoting cell cycle progression, inhibiting apoptosis, stimulating tumor angiogenesis, and metastasis (16-19). STAT3 has
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a oncogenic potential to be involved in cell malignant transformation. Compelling evidence has confirmed that aberrant activated STAT3 participated in the process of oncogenesis in tumor cells by oncogenic tyrosine kinases (20–22). Further support comes from animal experiments that a constitutively activated STAT3 mutant alone can directly induce tumor formation in nude mice, which suggested that STAT3 activation plays a critical role in oncogenesis (6). Also, it has been demonstrated that up-regulated STAT3 phosphorylation also has important functions in inflammatory response and immune regulation (23, 24). Many drivers of STAT3 activation, including the anti-inflammatory IL-10, pro-inflammatory IL-6, and type I and II interferons (IFNs), ensure constitutive Stat3 activation, which may account for the fact that STAT3 can mediate both anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory responses (4, 25, 26). STAT3 is persistently activated in the tumor microenvironment, which not only down-regulates Th1 cytokines and other critical mediators for potent anti-tumor immune responses, but also activates many genes involved in immune suppression through a cross-talk between immune cells and tumor cells (27). In addition, STAT3 has been implicated as a facilitator of tumor angiogenesis and metastasis. It has been reported that persistently activated STAT3 up-regulates expression of VEGF, MMP-2, and MMP-9, which are both involved in tumor angiogenesis and metastasis (28–30).

Medulloblastoma is the most common malignant brain tumor of childhood. Medulloblastoma remain largely incurable cancers, with patients facing the poor 5-year survival rate (31). The current challenge is to identify and design effective molecular-targeted strategies that improve prognosis in the patient. Given the important role of constitutive STAT3 signaling in tumors, it provides a potential therapeutic target in treatment of this tumor. Mounting evidence has shown that disrupting STAT3 activation can inhibit the growth of tumor cells and induce apoptosis in tumor cells (32–35). Based on these findings, devising an inhibitor of STAT3 is becoming more and more attractive for development of cancer therapeutic drugs. In this study, using an in silico site-directed fragment-based drug design, we developed a novel small-molecule STAT3 inhibitor, LY5, that selectively disrupted STAT3-STAT3 dimer formation as shown by computer models with docking simulation (36). We have evaluated the inhibitory effect of LY5 on STAT3 activation and functions in human medulloblastoma cells. Studies shown here for LY5 not only selectively inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation, STAT3 nuclear translocation, and STAT3 target genes expression, but also induced apoptosis in medulloblastoma cells with persistent STAT3 phosphorylation, blocked cell migration, and suppressed angiogenesis. These results suggested that LY5 is a potent inhibitor against persistent STAT3 signaling in medulloblastoma.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Synthesis of LY5—**LY5 was designed and synthesized as previously described (36). First, we designed a new STAT3 inhibitor. A new fragment-based drug design (FBDD) approach, in silico site-directed FBDD, was used in this study. To develop a new lead library, we linked the selected fragments from different fragment sublibraries that were built according to the binding mode of the known STAT3 dimerization inhibitors to the STAT3 SH2 domain (Protein Data Bank code 1BG1). The new compound was ultimately chosen for synthesis by repositioning the compounds in the lead library to the STAT3 SH2 domain. The Schrodinger software and computational docking program AutoDock4 (37) were applied. Second, we used chemistry synthesis of LY5. Naphthalenesulfonyl chloride reacted with ammonium hydroxide at room temperature for 3 h to get highly pure naphthalenesulfonamide (90.2%), which was subsequently dissolved in warm glacial acetic acid and mixed with chromium trioxide to synthesize the fragment of naphthalene-5,8-dione-1-sulfonamide. This fragment (237 mg), amine (1.2 mmol), and Cu(OAc)2·H2O (20 mg), was solubilized in a mixture of AcOH and H2O (1:10, v/v, 5.5 ml), refluxing for about 3 h. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with CH3Cl/EtOAc to harvest the compound 5,8-dioxo-6-(pyridin-3-ylamino)-5,8-dihydronaphthalene-1-sulfonamide, which was named LY5.

**Cell Lines and Reagents—**The medulloblastoma cell lines (UW426, UW288-1, and DAOY) were kindly provided by Dr. Corey Raffel and maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, HyClone) supplemented with 10% FBS, 4.5 g/liter of l-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Normal human skeletal muscle myoblasts were purchased from Lonza Walkersville, Inc. (Walkersville, MD) and maintained in Ham’s F-12 medium (Mediatech) supplemented with 5 μg/ml of insulin, 1 µg/ml of hydrocortisone, 10 µg/ml of epidermal growth factor, 100 µg/ml of cholera toxin, 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The human hepatocytes and normal human coronary artery smooth muscle cells were both bought from ScienCell cultured in hepatocyte medium (ScienCell) with 5% FBS plus hepatocyte growth supplement and in DMEM with 2% FBS plus smooth muscle cell growth supplement, respectively. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) and maintained in endothelial cell growth medium M200 (Invitrogen) in high glucose-supplemented medium with 15% FBS, endothelial cell growth supplements (LSGS Medium, Cascade Biologics), and 2 mM glutamine. All cell lines were cultured in a humidified 37 °C incubator with 5% CO2. IL-6, LIF, EGF, and IFN-γ were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. VEGF was purchased from R&D Systems Inc. Human recombinant IGF-I and IGF-2 were purchased from PeproTech Inc. The powder of LY5 was dissolved in sterile dimethyl sulfoxide to make a 20 mM stock solution and stored at −20 °C.

**Western Blot Analysis—**Cells were harvested after treatment with LY5 or dimethyl sulfoxide at 60–80% confluence for 24 h, then lysed in cold RIPA lysis buffer containing a protease inhibitor mixture and phosphatase inhibitor mixture. The lysates were subjected to 10 or 12% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a PVDF membrane. Membranes were incubated with a 1:1000 dilution of specific primary antibody and 1:10,000 HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. Primary antibodies including phospho-STAT3 (Tyr-705), STAT3, phosphor-STAT1 (Tyr-701), STAT1, phospho-STAT5 (Tyr-694), STAT5, cleaved caspase-3, GAPDH, and secondary antibody are all from Cell Signaling Technology. Membranes were analyzed using en-
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hanced chemiluminescence Plus reagents and scanned with the Storm Scanner (Amersham Biosciences Inc.).

Reverse Transcriptase-Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)—RNA was extracted from the cell using RNAeasy Mini Kits (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription was done using an OmniScript reverse transcription kit (Qiagen). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed under the following conditions: 5 min at 94 °C followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55–65 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C with a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. Primer sequences and source information of STAT3 downstream target genes were reported previously (38-41).

STAT3 Phosphorylation Induced by Cytokines or Growth Factors—DAOY cells were seeded to grow overnight. Then the cells were cultured in serum-free medium for 24 h and pretreated with 1, 2.5, or 5.0 μM LY5 for 4 h, followed by the stimulation with 50 ng/ml of IL-6, LIF, IGF-1, IGF-2, IFN-γ, or EGF for 30 min. The cells were harvested and analyzed by Western blot for P-STAT3, P-STAT1, or P-STAT5.

Immunofluorescence—UW288-1 cells were seeded on glass coverslips in a 6-well plate. The next day, the cells were cultured in serum-free medium for 24 h and pretreated with 1, 2.5, or 5.0 μM LY5 for 4 h, followed by induction with 50 ng/ml of IL-6, IFN-γ, or EGF for 30 min. Cells were fixed with cold methanol for 15 min and blocked with 5% normal goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h. The cells were incubated with primary antibodies of STAT3, P-STAT1, or P-STAT5 (Cell Signaling, 1:100) overnight at 4 °C. After incubation with anti-rabbit IgG (H + L), F(ab’)2 fragment Alexa Fluor® 555 secondary antibody (Cell Signaling, 1:200), the cells were mounted using Vectashield Hardset mounting medium with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Photomicrographs were captured by Leica Microsystems.

Cell Migration Assay (in Vitro Wound-healing Assay)—HUVEC and UW288-1 cell migrations were detected using the wound-healing assay described by Thaloor et al. (42). Briefly, when cells grew into confluent monolayer in plate, we scratched the cells in the same width using yellow tip. After washing, HUVEC cells were incubated with medium containing VEGF (10 ng/ml) with or without LY5 (300 nM). UW288-1 cells were treated with LY5 (0.5 and 1 μM) or dimethyl sulfoxide. Cells were allowed to migrate into the scratched area for 22 or 48 h, and images were captured with a microscopic camera system. The percentage of wound healing was calculated by the equation: (percent wound healing) = average of ((gap area: 0 h) – (gap area: 48 h))/gap area: 0 h (43).

Confocal Microscopy—HUVEC cells were grown in Lab-Tek 4-well chamber slides (Nunc/Thermo Scientific) to ~60% confluence, and treated with PBS, VEGF (10 ng/ml), dimethyl sulfoxide with VEGF, or 300 nm LY5 for 18 h with VEGF. The cultures were fixed in cold 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at 4 °C and permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100. The cells were probed using 10 μg/ml of mouse anti-human β-tubulin I primary antibody (Sigma, clone TUB 2.1) overnight at 4 °C, and then stained using 10 μg/ml of goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor® 488-conjugated F(ab’)2 (Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature. F-actin in the cells was stained at room temperature for 1 h using 4 units/ml of Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated phallolidin (Invitrogen). The cells nuclei were then stained by incubating with 300 nM DAPI (Invitrogen) for 5 min at room temperature. After mounting a coverslip, 1024 × 1024 pixel images were taken using a Zeiss Axiovert 710 confocal microscope with a slice depth of 1 μm. Image processing was performed using the Zeiss Image Browser software package.

HUVEC Tube Formation—Cell culture plates (96-well) were bottom-coated with a thin layer of ECM gel (50 μl/well), which was left to polymerize at 37 °C for 60 min. HUVEC (2–3 × 10^4 cells) were stimulated with VEGF in 150 μl of medium and added to each well on the solidified ECM gel. Culture medium was added to each well in the presence or absence of LY5 (300 nM). The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 12–18 h and the endothelial tubes were quantified using a fluorescent microscope after staining with Calcein AM dye. Tube forming ability was quantified by counting the total number of cell clusters and branches under a ×4 objective and four different fields per well. The results are expressed as mean-fold change of branching compared with the control groups. Each experiment was performed at least three times.

In Vitro Three-dimensional Angiogenesis Assays—The three-dimensional in vitro angiogenesis assay was performed essentially as described by the manufacturer’s instructions (Promo cell). Briefly, the assay plate was placed in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 5% CO2) and HUVEC (400 cells each well) implanted in collagen gels were prepared. The transport medium from the bottom of the well was aspirated and added to 200 μl of test medium including VEGF (20 ng/ml) with or without LY5 (300 nM). The image was taken after HUVECs were cultured in test medium for 24 h, and the average endothelial vascular sprout length was measured without staining using a phase-contrast microscope and an ocular micrometer. Sprout formation was assessed in two independent experiments.

Human Angiogenesis Array—Proteome profiler antibody array (R & D Systems, catalogue number ARY007) was used according to manufacturer’s instructions to detect the relative levels of expression of 55 angiogenesis related proteins in HUVEC cells treated with or without LY5 (300 nM). After blocking the membranes, 300 μg of protein of lysed HUVECs in control and LY5-treated groups was added and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The membranes were washed and incubated with streptavidin-HRP for 30 min. Immunoreactive signals were visualized by using Super Signal Chemiluminescence substrate (Pierce). Array data on developed x-ray film was quantified by scanning the film using Bio-Rad Molecular Image Gel Doc™ XR+ and data were analyzed using Image Lab™ software.

STAT3 siRNA Transfection—Human STAT3 siRNA and negative control siRNA (Cell Signaling Technology, 100 nM) were transfected into UW288-1, UW426, or DAOY cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 48 h, the cells were harvested and lysed for protein or gene analysis as described above or processed to cell viability assay.

MTT Cell Viability Assay—Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 3000 cells/well and cultured for 24 h. For the cell viability experiment, cells were treated by LY5 at 0.5, 1, 5, and 10 μM in triplicate for 24 h. For the STAT3 siRNA experiment, cells were transfected with or without STAT3 siRNA for
48 h and treated with LY5 (1 and 2 μM) in triplicate for 24 h. For a combination effect experiment, cells were irradiated with 4 gray x-ray and cultured for 7 days, then treated by LY5 (2, 3 μM) in triplicate for 24 h. 25 μl of MTT (Sigma) was added to each well. Then 100 μl of N,N-dimethylformamide (Sigma) solubilization solution was added to each well. The absorbance was read at 595 nm.

Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase-PCR—Mature microRNA-21 (miR-21) gene expression was determined by quantitative reverse transcriptase (44). Briefly, total mRNA, including micro-RNAs, was isolated from cells using a miRNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). The cDNA was generated using the miScript II reverse transcription kit (Qiagen). Real-time PCR amplification was performed using the miScript SYBR Green PCR Kit and miScript Primer Assays (primer sequence of miR-21: 5′-UAGCUUAUCAGAUGUGUUGA) (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol with an Applied Biosystems 7900 HT Fast Real-time PCR System. U6 was used as an internal control for template normalization. The relative gene expression level between treatments was calculated using the following equation: relative gene expression = 2^(-ΔΔCTsample - ΔΔCTcontrol).

Statistical Analysis—Significance of correlations was done using GraphPad Prism software. Unpaired t tests were used for analyses assuming Gaussian populations with a 95% confidence interval. Data are presented as mean ± S.E. Differences were analyzed with the Student’s t test, and significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

LY5, a Novel Small Molecule That Targets STAT3—As the STAT3 SH2 domain is critical for the activation and biological function of STAT3, we designed a new small molecule inhibitor blocking its SH2 domain phosphotyrosine binding site to inhibit STAT3 activation. A new FBDD approach, in silico site-directed FBDD, was applied to identify the fragments from known STAT3 inhibitors that target to the STAT3 SH2 domain. On the basis of the binding mode, two fragments that were specific for each of the two binding sites: site Tyr(P)-705 and side pocket were selected out and linked to form a new compound, named LY5. This new compound was screened via computational docking mode and demonstrated most favorable docking energy and binding mode to STAT3 SH2 domain. Fig. 1A shows a docking model of LY5 binding to the STAT3 SH2 domain (Protein Data Bank code 1BG1) and LY5 fits well to the two key binding pockets, “site Tyr(P)-705” and “side pocket,” predicting that LY5 will be a selective inhibitor of STAT3. The chemical structure and synthesis of LY5 was shown in Fig. 1B. Strong binding energy of LY5 to STAT3 (~7.9 kcal/mol) also suggested that LY5 is a potent small molecule inhibitor that targets STAT3.

LY5 Diminishes STAT3 Phosphorylation and Induces Apoptosis in Human Medulloblastoma Cells—LY5 was analyzed for its inhibitory effect on STAT3 phosphorylation in human medulloblastoma cell lines (DAOY, UW426, and UW288-1), which express persistent STAT3 phosphorylation. LY5 dramatically decreased the level of STAT3 phosphorylated at tyrosine residue 705 (Tyr-705) in all three medulloblastoma cell lines (Fig. 2A). Considering that activated STAT3 binds to the promoter regions to regulate the transcription of target genes that are involved in tumor cell proliferation and anti-apoptotic processes (16, 18), we measured the expression of downstream target genes of STAT3 by reverse transcriptase PCR to analyze the impact of LY5 on the inhibition of STAT3. As shown in Fig. 2B, downstream targeted genes of STAT3 such as cyclinD1, survivin, and bcl-XL in UW426, UW288-1, and DAOY medulloblastoma cell lines were down-regulated when treated with LY5. We also observed LY5 treatment suppressed the expression of miR-21 (Fig. 2C) in which gene expression was elevated in many kinds of cancer (45, 46). This result was consistent with the report that miR-21 was directly activated by STAT3 and the inhibition of STAT3 by siRNA strongly decreased miR-21 expression (47), which indicated the inhibitory effect of LY5 on STAT3. In addition, we found that treatment with LY5 resulted in apoptosis in human medulloblastoma cells as evidenced by the cleaved caspase-3 (Fig. 2, A and D). Cell viability was decreased by LY5 treatment (Fig. 2D), which confirmed the inhibitory effect of LY5 on tumor cell proliferation. However, LY5 did not induce apoptosis in normal
human cell lines including human hepatocytes, human skeletal muscle myoblasts, and human coronary smooth muscle cells (Fig. 2D). These results indicated that LY5 selectively induced apoptosis in cancer cells expressing persistent STAT3 phosphorylation. Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of LY5 on STAT3 phosphorylation was evaluated in other types of cancer cell lines including sarcoma, breast, and pancreatic cancers. LY5 significantly suppressed the level of STAT3 phosphorylated in a dose-dependent manner in all three human cancer cell lines as shown in Fig. 2E. The effects of LY5 on P-STAT3, P-JAK2, P-P65 NF-κB, and P-Smad1/5 were shown in Fig. 2F. LY5 showed dramatic inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation but did not inhibit or did not significantly inhibit P-JAK2, P-P65 NF-κB, and P-Smad1/5. Only certain reduction of P-P65 NF-κB in UW426 cells was observed but P-P65 NF-κB in UW288-1 cells was slightly increased by LY5.

We next used a small interfering RNA (siRNA) approach to specifically knockdown STAT3 to assess the effects on medulloblastoma cell viability. STAT3 inhibition by STAT3 siRNA suppressed persistent STAT3 phosphorylation (Fig. 3A), expression of the STAT3 downstream targets (Fig. 3B and C), and survival/cell viability (Fig. 3D), and induced apoptosis (Fig. 3A) in human medulloblastoma cells. These results indicate that medulloblastoma cells are addicted to a STAT3-dependent survival pathway and are sensitive to STAT3 inhibition, which strongly supports a rationale to target persistent STAT3 signaling with a potential for cancer therapy. The inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation by the small molecular inhibitor LY5 is consistent with reduced phosphorylated STAT3 protein and STAT3 downstream target gene expression as well as induction of apoptosis by STAT3-specific siRNA in human medulloblastoma cells. Furthermore, Fig. 3D showed that cell viability was decreased in UW426 and
When STAT3 was silenced by STAT3 siRNA, but LY5 treatment did not enhance the inhibition of cell viability, suggesting that LY5 is selective for STAT3.

LY5 Inhibits STAT3 Phosphorylation and Blocks STAT3 Nuclear Translocation Induced by Growth Factors and Cytokines—It is known that when cytokines and growth factors specifically bind to their respective receptors, STAT3 is activated by the phosphorylation of its tyrosine residue in a SH2 domain through cytokine receptors and the Janus kinase (JAK) (48, 49). The activated STAT3 dimers translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus to exert its function as a transcription factor. To determine whether LY5 suppresses STAT3 activation induced by cytokines or growth factors, human medulloblastoma cells (DAOY) were cultured in serum-free medium for 24 h and then pretreated with LY5 followed by stimulating with growth factors or cytokines including IL-6, IGF-1, IGF-2, LIF, EGF, as well as IFN-γ, then the levels of STAT3, STAT1, and STAT5 protein phosphorylation were examined. The STAT3 phosphorylation level was increased by stimulation of IL-6, IGF-1, IGF-2, or LIF, but this increase of STAT3 phosphorylation was inhibited by LY5 treatment in a dose-dependent manner. In contrast, LY5 had no inhibitory effect on increased STAT1 or STAT5 phosphorylation stimulated by IFN-γ or EGF, respectively, in DAOY cells (Fig. 4A).

As mentioned above, LY5 was designed to inhibit STAT3 activation and dimerization, so we hypothesize that LY5 should be able to prevent STAT3 from translocating to the nucleus induced by IL-6. To test this hypothesis, UW288-1 cells were starved in serum-free medium for 24 h and then pretreated with LY5 followed by IL-6 stimulation, after fixation, immunofluorescence was conducted as described under “Experimental Procedures.” As illustrated in Fig. 4B, IL-6 induced STAT3 translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus in UW288-1 cells, but we observed that most of STAT3 was retained in the cytoplasm when cells were treated with LY5, suggesting LY5 treatment blocked STAT3 nuclear translocation mediated by IL-6. However, LY5 treatment did not block STAT1 or STAT5 nuclear translocation induced by IFN-γ or EGF, respectively, in UW288-1 cells (Fig. 4, C and D).

LY5 Inhibits Cell Migration—We have shown that LY5 effectively inhibits STAT3 activation, suppresses its downstream target gene expression, and induces apoptosis in human medulloblastoma cells that harbor persistent STAT3 phosphorylation. Therefore, we next examined whether LY5 blocks STAT3-dependent cell migration, which may be an important process in tumor metastasis. For this purpose, a wound healing assay was conducted. As shown in Fig. 5A, HUVEC cells that were stimulated with VEGF migrated within 22 h to fill the scratched area, but LY5 treatment prevented this migration. Consistent with the above result, LY5 also significantly blocked medulloblastoma cells to migrate through the scratched area (Fig. 5B). In addition, considering F-actin and microtubule cytoskeletal elements that are regulated by STAT3 both involve the function of cell migration (50, 51), the ability of LY5 to inhibit F-actin fiber and microtubule formation was evaluated by confocal microscopy as described under “Experimental Procedures.” In the presence of LY5 treatment, Fig. 5B shows the cells had a condensed, rounded morphology, F-actin had compressed into fewer fibers or disappeared from the leading edge, and microtubules curled over without extending to the leading edge of the cells. However, F-actin in cells treated by VEGF without the presence of LY5 produced thin, identical fibers spanning the length of the cells, and microtubules formed a dense lattice that emanated from the center of the cells. This
result suggests that inhibition of STAT3 by LY5 disrupts the F-actin and microtubule cytoskeletal elements.

**Inhibition of STAT3 by LY5 Represses Angiogenesis in Vitro**—Increasing evidence suggests that STAT3 is also an important facilitator of angiogenesis under both physiological and pathological situations (30, 52). The activation of STAT3 not only regulates VEGF production and function in a variety of human cancers, but also correlates with the expression of other critical angiogenic factors including angiopoietin, MMP-9, CXCL16, as well as IGF-binding protein (28, 53). To study the anti-angiogenic activity of LY5, human HUVEC were stimulated with VEGF in the absence or presence of LY5 and tube formation, a three-dimensional angiogenesis assay as well as angiogenesis array were examined. As shown in Fig. 6A, the number of branches was obviously decreased by LY5 treatment, which showed that LY5 inhibited HUVEC tube formation. The treatment also abolished VEGF-induced sprouting from pre-formed spheroids of HUVEC in a three-dimensional in vitro angiogenesis assay (Fig. 6B), suggesting that LY5 may play a crucial role in VEGF-induced angiogenic sprouting of blood vessels. Finally, to evaluate the inhibitory effect of LY5 on various important angiogenic factors including VEGF, we examined the levels of 55 angiogenesis-associated proteins using a human angiogenesis array. Compared with the control group, the LY5-treated group showed a dramatic decrease of VEGF, MMP-9, Angiopoietin, tissue factor, and many other critical regulators of angiogenesis (Fig. 6C). Taken together, data from the above experiments suggests that targeting STAT3 by LY5 inhibits angiogenesis in vitro.

**The Effects of LY5 Combined with Cisplatin and X-ray Irradiation**—Cisplatin is one of the first line chemotherapeutic agents used in medulloblastoma. However, cancer cells often develop resistance to cisplatin, which limits clinical therapeutic effectiveness. It is found that cisplatin-resistant cancer cells frequently express elevated levels of P-STAT3/STAT3 and is one of the mechanisms of drug resistance (54, 55), because the constitutive STAT3 signaling pathway can mediate the survival signals and confer resistance to apoptosis induced by chemotherapeutic agents (56–59). We therefore reasoned that the STAT3-selective inhibitor LY5 should be able to enhance cisplatin-mediated inhibition of cell viability. Indeed, we observed that a combination of LY5 and cisplatin generates a statistically significant decrease of cell viability than single agents alone in UW288-1 and UW426 human medulloblastoma cell lines (Fig.
A. Furthermore, radiotherapy has been commonly used for treating medulloblastoma (60–62). To evaluate the combinational effect of LY5 and irradiation, cell viability was measured for UW288-1 or UW426 cells, which was irradiated with 4 gray x-ray and cultured for 7 days, then treated by LY5. Our result showed that combinational treatments of LY5 and x-ray radiation produced a statistically better inhibitory effect than a single treatment alone (Fig. 7A). These results suggested that the small molecule inhibitor LY5 enhanced the killing efficiency of non-toxic doses of cisplatin and x-ray, which provided promising improvement for cancer therapy.

B. DISCUSSION

Constitutive activation of STAT3 signaling is crucial to tumorigenesis, proliferation, survival, and invasion of various human cancers and cancer cell lines, promoting it as a very attractive drug development target for tumor treatment (63–65). The first phosphotyrosyl peptide was reported as a STAT3 inhibitor in 2001 to inhibit STAT3 activity in vitro and in vivo by competing with the native phosphopeptide of STAT3 protein (15). This peptide and subsequently developed peptidomimetics inhibitors elucidate the role of STAT3 and the impact of the Stat3 inhibitor. Because of the challenge of the metabolic instability and poor cell permeability, the use of peptide and peptidomimetics inhibitors was limited. Therefore, additional research works focus on developing non-peptide small molecule inhibitors of STAT3, which were mostly designed to directly inhibit STAT3 activity through disrupting STAT3-STAT3 dimerization. Among all these reagents, STA-21 was discovered by a structure-based virtual screening as one small
molecule compound that blocks STAT3 dimerization, DNA binding, and transcriptional activity in human breast cancer cells (3). Another example of the non-peptide small molecule STAT3 inhibitor (66), S3I-201 was identified as a STAT3-STAT3 dimerization disruptor to inhibit STAT3 activity, and induce apoptosis of tumor cells harboring aberrantly active STAT3, and suppress tumor growth in human breast cancer xenograft models. A couple of its analogs with improved potency, including S3I-201.1066, BP-1-102, and S3I-1757, were proved to inhibit malignant transformation, tumor cell growth, migration, and invasion (67, 68). To date, however, few STAT3 inhibitors are available as cancer therapy drugs used in clinical trials (69). More research efforts to discover more druggable small molecule STAT3 inhibitors with high potency and bioavailability for tumor therapy are still needed. In this study, we have demonstrated a novel developed small molecule STAT3 inhibitor, LY5, inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation, decreased STAT3 downstream gene expression, and induced apoptosis in

![Inhibition of STAT3 by LY5 represses angiogenesis in vitro.](image)

A, LY5 inhibits HUVEC tube formation. HUVECs were grown in M200 with VEGF (10 ng/ml) for 18-20 h in the absence or presence of LY5 (300 nM). The number of branches was determined by CalcinM staining and tube formation was quantified by counting the total number of branches and cell clusters as described under “Experimental Procedures.” B, LY5 treatment decreased the length of endothelial vascular sprouts. Representative phase-contrast photographs of endothelial vascular sprouts were shown in a three-dimensional angiogenesis assay. Length of endothelial vascular sprouts in a three-dimensional angiogenic assay (bottom panel) is expressed as mean of vascular sprout length ± S.E. for three independent experiments. *, p < 0.05. C, the expression of various critical angiogenic factors in human endothelial cells was determined using a Proteome profiler antibody array as described under “Experimental Procedures.” The effect of LY5 treatment is quantified in the histogram.
human medulloblastoma cell lines expressing persistent STAT3 phosphorylation. LY5 also selectively decreased inducible STAT3 phosphorylation, blocked STAT3 nuclear localization upon IL-6 stimulation, inhibited cell migration, and suppressed angiogenesis in vitro.

Using the new in silico site-directed computational fragment-based drug design approach, we identified LY5 as a non-peptide cell-permeable inhibitor of STAT3 dimerization to block STAT3 activation with low IC_{50} values (0.5–1.4 μM) and strong binding affinity to the STAT3 SH2 domain confirmed by the fluorescence polarization assay (36). The IC_{50} values of the inhibition of cell viability by LY5 measured in UW288-1, UW426, and DAOY are 0.364, 0.318, and 0.488 μM, respectively. The ability of LY5 to significantly inhibit STAT3 phosphorylation at the lower drug concentration in medulloblastoma expressing constitutive STAT3 suggested its high potency. Several known STAT3 downstream targets, such as cyclinD1, survivin, and bcl-XL, were decreased by LY5 treatment as demonstrated by RT-PCR analysis and angiogenesis array, which also support the idea of LY5 as a potent STAT3 inhibitor. In addition, the result that LY5 only induced apoptosis in tumor cell, but no influence on normal human cells showed LY5 is potent in inhibiting cancer cells but lower toxicity to normal human cells. We also observed that LY5 is a potent inhibitor of persistent STAT3 phosphorylation in cancer cells from other types of cancer including sarcoma, breast, and pancreatic cancers as shown in Fig. 2E. Furthermore, our results showed that treatment of LY5 combined with cisplatin or x-ray radiation produced a statistically better inhibitory effect than single treatment alone, which suggested LY5 enhanced the killing efficiency of nontoxic doses of cisplatin and x-ray. On the basis of these findings, LY5 could be extended to an application to investigate its inhibitory effect on other tumor types of cancer cells with persistent STAT3 signaling or animal tumor in vivo.

In summary, we developed a novel non-peptide small molecule STAT3 inhibitor, LY5, which selectively inhibited persistent STAT3 activation and induced apoptosis in medulloblastoma cells. This study demonstrated LY5 is a promising therapeutic drug candidate for human medulloblastoma through inhibiting STAT3 signaling.
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