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Abstract. Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian submanifolds (HSLAG) are a natural generalization of special Lagrangian manifolds (SLAG). The latter only make sense on Calabi-Yau manifolds whereas the former are defined for any almost Kähler manifold. Special Lagrangians, and, more specifically, fibrations by special Lagrangians play an important role in the context of the geometric mirror symmetry conjecture. However, these objects are rather scarce in nature. On the contrary, we show that HSLAG submanifolds, or fibrations, arise quite often. Many examples of HSLAG fibrations are provided by toric Kähler geometry. In this paper, we obtain a large class of examples by deforming the toric metrics into non toric almost Kähler metrics, together with HSLAG submanifolds.

1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a closed smooth manifold endowed with a symplectic form $\omega$ and an almost complex structure $J$. If the bilinear form defined on each tangent space at $m \in M$
$$g_J(v, w) = \omega(v, Jw), \quad \forall v, w \in T_m M$$
is a Riemannian metric, we say that $J$ is a compatible almost complex structure on the symplectic manifolds $(M, \omega)$. Such a triplet $(M, \omega, J)$ is then called an almost Kähler manifold. If in addition $J$ is integrable, $(M, \omega, J)$ is a Kähler manifold. The space of all compatible complex structures on a given symplectic manifold will be denoted $\mathcal{AC}_\omega$.

Let $L$ be a closed manifold and $\ell : L \to M$ a Lagrangian embedding. In other words, $\dim M = 2 \dim L$ and $\ell^* \omega = 0$. Hamiltonian transformations $u \in \text{Ham}_\omega$ of $(M, \omega)$ act on such Lagrangian maps by composition on the left
$$u \cdot \ell = u \circ \ell.$$Sometimes we shall use a different, yet equivalent, point of view where $\text{Ham}_\omega$ leaves $\ell : L \to M$ fixed and acts instead on the space $\mathcal{AC}_\omega$ by
$$u \cdot J = u^* J.$$Given an almost Kähler manifolds $(M, \omega, J)$, a Lagrangian embedding is called Hamiltonian stationary if it is a critical point of the volume functional under Hamiltonian deformations. As a short hand, such an embedding will be called a HSLAG embedding and its image a HSLAG submanifold of $(M, \omega, J)$. These Lagrangians were introduced by Oh [9] and may be understood as a natural generalization of special Lagrangian manifolds (SLAG), defined in the case of a Calabi-Yau manifolds.
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More concretely, for $J \in \mathcal{AC}_\omega$ and a Lagrangian embedding $\ell : L \to M$, the volume $\text{vol}(\ell, J) := \text{vol}(\ell(L), g_J)$ is the volume of $L$ endowed with the pull-back metric $\ell^* g_J$. By definition of the the action of $\text{Ham}_\omega$, we have

$$\text{vol}(u \cdot \ell, J) = \text{vol}(\ell, u \cdot J),$$

so that finding critical points of the volume functional under the action of $\text{Ham}_\omega$ on either $\mathcal{AC}_\omega$ or the space of Lagrangian embeddings are equivalent problems.

The group $\text{Diff}(L)$ of diffeomorphisms of $L$ also acts on the space of Lagrangian embeddings on the right by $\ell \cdot v = \ell \circ v$, where $v \in \text{Diff}(L)$.

However the volume is invariant under this action so that the problem of finding a stationary Lagrangian embedding is greatly underdetermined. Sometimes we may think of Lagrangian submanifold rather than embeddings to avoid this infinite dimensional degree of freedom in the equation.

### 1.1. The case of a single Lagrangian.

We shall prove the following existence theorem for rigid HSLAG embeddings up to small deformations of the compatible almost complex structure:

**Theorem A.** Let $(M, \omega, J_0)$ be a closed Kähler manifold and $L$ a closed manifold. Let $G$ be the group of Hamiltonian isometries of $(M, \omega, J_0)$ and $\ell : L \to M$ be a rigid HSLAG embedding.

There exists a $G$-invariant neighborhood $W$ of $J_0$ in $\mathcal{AC}_\omega$ and a map $\psi : W \to \text{Ham}_\omega$ such that $\psi(J_0) = \text{id}$, with the property that every $G$-orbit in $W$ contains a representative $J$, such that the Lagrangian embedding $\psi(J) \cdot \ell : L \to M$ is HSLAG with respect to $J$.

**Remarks 1.1.1.**

1. As we shall see, the map $\psi$ is in fact continuous once suitable Hölder topologies are introduced.

2. The rigidity assumption of Theorem A means that infinitesimal deformation of the given HSLAG can only come from Hamiltonian isometries. This property will be introduced precisely at Definition 2.2.1.

3. If the group of Hamiltonian isometries $G$ is trivial, the above theorem, in particular the construction of the map $\psi$, follows from the implicit function theorem. If $G$ is not trivial, the problem becomes obstructed and this is more tricky. In this case the solution $J$ in a given $G$-orbit comes from the minimization of a finite dimensional problem in a $G$-orbit.

A large pool of examples is provided by toric Kähler manifolds which typically have a non trivial group $G$. It turns out that Lagrangian tori of standard toric Kähler manifolds are automatically rigid and stable HSLAG. More precisely, we have the following result:

**Theorem B.** Let $(M, \omega, J_0)$ be a closed toric Kähler manifold endowed with the Guillemin metric. Let $\mu : M \to P$ be the moment map with image the moment polytope $P$. Then for any interior point $p$ of $P$, the Lagrangian torus $L_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$ is HSLAG, rigid and stable in $(M, \omega, J_0)$.

A special case of this result concerns $\mathbb{C}P^n$ endowed with its standard toric action and the Fubini-Study metric, was proved by Ono in [11]. Theorem A applies in this context for each regular fiber. Notice that in the one dimensional case, $\mathbb{C}P^1$
is the round sphere and complex dimension one HSLAG submanifolds are just curves of constant curvature.

Remark 1.1.2. Few constructions of HSLAG submanifolds are known. By variational methods, Schoen and Wolfson provided an existence theorem for HSLAG submanifolds with singularities, on 4-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds (cf. [12, 13]). Joyce, Schoen and Lee constructed microscopic HSLAG tori in almost Kähler manifolds (cf. [6, 7]). More recently, Biquard and Rollin constructed HSLAG representative of vanishing cycles in the smoothing of extremal Kähler surfaces with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Gorenstein singularities in \([2]\).

We point out that the above constructions all deal with small or possibly singular Lagrangian submanifolds, whereas the construction proposed in the current paper addresses the case of large and smooth Lagrangian submanifolds.

1.2. Toric fibrations. SLAG fibrations are a cornerstone of geometric mirror symmetry but seem to be rather scarce in nature. Our initial motivation for this work was to exhibit various fibrations by HSLAG submanifolds and show that contrarily to the SLAG case, they arise quite often.

A local Lagrangian toric fibration of a Kähler manifold \((M, \omega, J_0)\) is a smooth family of Lagrangian maps \(\ell_t : L \to M\), with parameter \(t \in B(0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n\), for some \(r > 0\), where \(n = \dim \mathbb{C} M\) and \(L = T^n\) is a real \(n\)-dimensional torus, such that the map \(B(0, r) \times L \to M\) defined by \((t, x) \mapsto \ell_t(x)\) is a smooth embedding. If every torus \(\ell_t : L \to M\) is HSLAG, we say that the local fibration is HSLAG.

Example 1.2.1. Toric Kähler manifolds provide natural examples of local toric HSLAG fibrations according to Theorem B. The fibration does not extend globally since tori collapse at the boundary of the moment polytope.

Other interesting (singular) HSLAG toric fibrations also occur as part of the SYZ mirror symmetry conjecture. In this case, tori are SLAG hence HSLAG.

Remark 1.2.2. The reader may wonder why we restrict to Lagrangian fibrations with toric fibers as above: let \((M, \omega)\) be a 2\(n\)-dimensional manifold endowed with a submersion \(\pi : M \to B^n\), where \(B^n\) is an open ball in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), such that the fibers are Lagrangian compact submanifolds of \(M\). An elementary argument shows that in such a situation, the fibers must be \(n\)-dimensional manifolds diffeomorphic to the real torus \(T^n\). Indeed, let \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) be the standard coordinate functions on \(\mathbb{R}^n\) understood as functions on \(M\). These functions induce Hamiltonian vector fields \(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n\) on \(M\). Since the fibers are Lagrangian, the vector fields \(Y_j\) must be tangent to the fibers of \(\pi\). The fact that the functions \(x_i\) are invariant along the fibers and that \(Y_j\) leave the symplectic form invariant imply that the Lie brackets \([Y_i, Y_j]\) vanish. We have \(n\) globally defined linearly independent vector fields \(Y_j\) along each Lagrangian fibers. As they are compact, any connected component of the fibers must be diffeomorphic the real torus.

Definition 1.2.3. Given a HSLAG embedding \(\ell : L \to M\) into a Kähler manifold \((M, J_0, \omega)\), where \(L\) and \(M\) are closed, let \(G_\ell\) be the subgroup of Hamiltonian isometries \(G\) preserving the image of \(\ell : L \to M\). In other words \(u \in G_\ell\) if and only if \(u \circ \ell(L) = \ell(L)\). We denote by \(G_\ell^0\) the identity component of \(G_\ell\).

Let \(\ell_t : L \to M\) be a local Lagrangian toric fibration such that \(\ell_0 = \ell\). Such fibration is said to be \(G_\ell^0\)-invariant if the action of \(G_\ell^0\) preserves the image of each embedding \(\ell_t : L \to M\).
Notice that with the above definition, \( G^\circ_t \) acts trivially on the parameter space \( t \in B(0, r) \).

Our next result is an existence theorem for local HSLAG toric fibrations:

**Theorem C.** Let \((M, \omega, J_0)\) be a closed Kähler manifold and \( \ell_t : L \to M \) a \( G^\circ_t \)-invariant local toric HSLAG fibration for \( t \in B(0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( \ell_0 \) is rigid.

Then for all sufficiently small positive perturbation of \( J \), there exists a Hamiltonian transformation \( v \) of \( M \) and \( \delta \in (0, r) \) such that \( \ell_t = v \circ \ell_t \) defines a local HSLAG fibration in \((M, \omega, J)\) for \( t \in B(0, \delta) \).

The definition of positive perturbations of \( J_0 \) shall be given at §6.2. Although we need this technical assumption for the proof of Theorem C, we conjecture that every generic almost complex structure is positive. By definition, the set of positive almost complex structures is open, once an appropriate topology is introduced on \( \mathcal{AC}_\omega \). In Theorem D and Theorem 6.2.3, we manage to prove that if \( \ell_0 : M \to L \) is rigid and stable, then the space of positive almost complex structure form a non-empty open set of \( \mathcal{AC} \) with \( J_0 \) in its closure. To avoid technical aspects of the statement, we state the result as follows:

**Theorem D.** Let \((M, \omega, J_0)\) be a Kähler manifold and \( \ell : L \to M \) be a rigid and stable HSLAG, where \( M \) and \( L \) are closed.

Then, the open set of positive deformations is not empty and has \( J_0 \) in its closure. More precisely, there exists a smooth path of almost complex structures \( \tilde{J}_s \in \mathcal{AC}_\omega \), defined for \( s \in [0, \varepsilon) \) with \( \varepsilon > 0 \), such that \( \tilde{J}_0 = J_0 \) and \( J_s \) is positive for all \( s > 0 \).

In particular, Theorem D shows that the statement in Theorem C, with the additional assumption of stability of \( \ell_0 \), is not empty. This applies to the case of toric HSLAG fibrations coming from toric Kähler manifolds given by Theorem B.

**Remark 1.2.4.** Considering \( G^\circ_t \)-invariant HSLAG toric fibration is not really restrictive. Indeed, we shall prove in Theorem 6.3.2 that given a rigid HSLAG embedding \( \ell : L \to M \) into a Kähler manifold \((M, \omega, J_0)\), where \( L \) is a real torus, it is always possible to find a \( G^\circ_t \)-invariant HSLAG local fibration \( \ell_t : L \to M \) such that \( \ell_0 = \ell \), under some mild assumptions. In particular, these assumptions apply in the context of toric Kähler manifolds (cf. Proposition 6.3.1), which constitute our main class of examples and applications.

**Remark 1.2.5.** The Hamiltonian transformation \( v \) provided by Theorem C may not be close to the identity as it comes from an auxiliary finite dimensional minimization problem in the spirit of Theorem A. Some evidence of this fact are illustrated with a particular example (cf. Example 6.1.1).

Theorem C applies to the case of \( \mathbb{CP}^n \) with its Fubini-Study metric. The result only deals with positive perturbation of the metric, but we expect it to hold under softer genericity assumptions.

More generally, we would expect that the standard singular HSLAG fibration by Lagrangian tori of \( \mathbb{CP}^n \) can be globally deformed for a generic choice of Kähler metric close to the Fubini-Study metric on \( \mathbb{CP}^n \).

We gather our results in the case of toric manifolds in the following corollary:
Corollary E. Let $(M, \omega, J_0)$ be a closed toric Kähler manifolds endowed with the Guillemin metric and $\mu : M \rightarrow P$ the corresponding moment map. Let $t_0$ be a point in the interior of the moment polytope $P$. Then

1. for every compatible almost complex structure $J$ sufficiently close to $J_0$, there exists a Hamiltonian transformation $v$ of $(M, \omega)$ such that $v(\mu^{-1}(t_0))$ is a HSLAG submanifold of $(M, \omega, J)$.

2. The space of positive deformations $J$ of the almost complex structure of $(M, \omega, J_0)$ with respect to $\mu^{-1}(t_0)$ is a non empty open set with $J_0$ in its closure. Is $J$ is positive and sufficiently close to $J_0$, there exists a Hamiltonian transformation $v$ with the property that each submanifold $v(\mu^{-1}(t))$ is HSLAG in $(M, \omega, J)$ for $t$ sufficiently close to $t_0$ in $P$.

2. Basic theory of HSLAG

In the rest of this paper, $M$ and $L$ are always a closed (i.e. compact without boundary) manifolds, unless specified otherwise. In addition dim $M = 2 \dim L$, $\omega$ is a given symplectic form on $M$ and $\ell : L \rightarrow M$ is a Lagrangian embedding, that is an embedding such that $\ell^* \omega = 0$.

2.1. The Euler-Lagrange equation of HSLAG. HSLAG manifolds are defined by a variational problem. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation is easily recovered as we shall explain now. Let $H$ be the mean curvature vector field along $\ell : L \rightarrow M$ defined using the metric $g_J$. The Maslov form is the 1-form $\alpha_H \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L)$ defined by

$$\alpha_H = \ell^* \omega(H, \cdot).$$

Let $f_t \in \text{Ham}_\omega$ be a family of Hamiltonian transformations such that $f_0 = \text{id}|_M$. Then

$$V = \frac{d}{dt} f_t \bigg|_{t=0}$$

is a Hamiltonian vector field on $(M, \omega)$. Let $v : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian function for $V$ (i.e. such that $dv = \iota_V \omega$). The family $f_t$ induces a Hamiltonian deformation of the map $\ell : L \rightarrow M$ defined by $\ell_t = f_t \circ \ell$. The restriction of the vector field $V$ along $\ell : L \rightarrow M$ is precisely the infinitesimal variation of the family $\ell_t$ at $t = 0$. The usual first variation formula of the volume gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}(\ell_t, g_J) \bigg|_{t=0} = - \int_L \langle H, V \rangle \text{vol}^g_L$$

$$= - \int_L \langle \alpha_H, \alpha_V \rangle \text{vol}^g_L$$

$$= - \int_L \langle \alpha_H, \ell^* dv \rangle \text{vol}^g_L$$

$$= - \int_L \langle d^* \alpha_H, \ell^* v \rangle \text{vol}^g_L$$

where $g_L = \ell^* g_J$.

A critical point is obviously given by the equation

$$d^* \alpha_H = 0,$$

where $d^*$ is the operator defined on the space of differential forms on $L$, using the metric $g_L$. 
The second variation of the volume is obtained by differentiating the $t$-dependent quantity $d^* \alpha_H$ along the deformation $\ell_t$. It turns out that the second variation is of the form
\[ \Box \ell^* v \]
where $\Box$ is an elliptic operator of order 4 acting functions on $L$. More precisely, we have the following formula (cf. [6]), which holds for any Lagrangian embedding (not necessarily stationary) in a Kähler manifold
\[ \Box u = \Delta^2 u + d^* \alpha_{\text{Ric}^+ (J \nabla u)} - 2d^* \alpha_{B (JH, \nabla u)} - JH \cdot JH \cdot u. \] (2.1)
Here $\Delta$ is the Riemannian Laplacian on $(L, g_L)$, $B$ is the second fundamental form of $\ell : L \to M$, and $\text{Ric}^+$ is defined by $\text{Ric}(x, y) = \langle \text{Ric}^+ (x), y \rangle$ for $x, y$ normal vectors to $L$. If the almost complex structure is not integrable, the formula is more complicated, but the leading term $\Delta^2$ remains unchanged.

We introduce the Kernel of this operator $K_L = \ker \Box$.

**Remark 2.1.1.** If $\ell : L \to M$ is a minimal submanifold (i.e. $H = 0$), the operator $\Box$ is simpler. In particular, we have
\[ \langle \Box u, u \rangle = \int_L |\Delta u|^2 - \text{Ric}(J \nabla u, J \nabla u) \text{vol} g_L \]
and it follows that $K_L = \mathbb{R}$ for any Kähler manifold of non positive Ricci curvature. These conditions are fullfield in the case of
- special Lagrangians in Calabi-Yau manifolds,
- standard Lagrangian tori in the flat torus $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$.

Generally, $K_L$ is not reduced to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\Box$ need not be selfadjoint. However, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.2.** Given a Kähler manifold $(M, \omega, J)$ and a Lagrangian embedding $\ell : L \to M$, the operator $\Box$ on $L$ can be written
\[ \Box v = Dv - JH \cdot JH \cdot v \]
where $D$ is selfadjoint.

In particular, if $\ell : L \to M$ is HSLAG, the vector field $JH$ is divergence free on $L$ and $\Box$ is selfadjoint.

**Proof.** Using $L^2$ inner product on $L$ with $g_L$ the induced metric, we see that $\langle \Delta^2 v, w \rangle = \langle v, \Delta^2 w \rangle$. Using the fact that $\alpha_{J \nabla u} = dw$ on $L$, we find
\[ \langle d^* \alpha_{\text{Ric}^+ (J \nabla v)}, w \rangle = \langle \alpha_{\text{Ric}^+ (J \nabla v)}, \alpha_{J \nabla w} \rangle = \int_L \text{Ric}(J \nabla v, J \nabla w) \text{vol} g_L. \]
Since the Ricci tensor is symmetric, we deduce that
\[ \langle d^* \alpha_{\text{Ric}^+ (J \nabla v)}, w \rangle = \langle v, d^* \alpha_{\text{Ric}^+ (J \nabla w)} \rangle \]
Similarly, we compute
\[ \langle d^* \alpha_{B (JH, \nabla v)}, w \rangle = \langle \alpha_{B (JH, \nabla v)}, \alpha_{J \nabla w} \rangle = \langle \alpha_{B (JH, \nabla v)}, J \nabla v \rangle. \]
The tensor $S(u, v, w) = \langle JB (u, v), w \rangle$ is symmetric. We deduce that
\[ \langle d^* \alpha_{B (JH, \nabla v)}, w \rangle = \langle v, d^* \alpha_{B (JH, \nabla w)} \rangle. \]
This proves the first statement of the lemma.
Integration by part gives
\[
\langle -JH \cdot JH \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle JH \cdot v, JH \cdot w \rangle + \int vw JH \cdot \operatorname{vol}^g L.
\]
If the embedding is HSLAG, then \(d^* \alpha_H = 0\). But this equation is equivalent to the fact that \(\operatorname{div}(JH) = 0\) and in turns \(JH \cdot \operatorname{vol}^g L = \operatorname{div}(JH) \operatorname{vol}^g L = 0\). It follows that the operator \(v \mapsto -JH \cdot JH \cdot v\) is also selfadjoint. \(\square\)

2.2. **Hamiltonian isometries, rigidity and stability.** Suppose that \((M, \omega, J_0)\) is a Kähler manifold and and \(\ell : L \to M\) is a HSLAG embedding. Let \(G\) be the group of Hamiltonian isometries of the Kähler manifold. Any one parameter subgroup \(f_t : M \to M\) of \(G\) gives rise to a deformation \(\ell_t := f_t \circ \ell\) of \(\ell\). However
\[
g_{L,t} = \ell_t^* g_{J_0} = \ell^* f_t^* g_{J_0} = \ell^* g_{J_0} = g_{L}
\]
since \(f_t\) is an isometry. In particular the quantity \(d^* \alpha_H\) is independent of \(t\), therefore \(\square \ell^* v = 0\), for a Hamiltonian function \(v : M \to \mathbb{R}\) given by the variation \(f_t\).

The space of Hamiltonian functions induced by 1-parameter families of Hamiltonian isometries of \((M, \omega, J_0)\) is the space of Killing potentials denoted \(\mathcal{K}_M\). Our observation shows that there is a canonical restriction map
\[
\ell^* : \mathcal{K}_M \to \mathcal{K}_L
\]
where \(\mathcal{K}_L\) is the kernel of the operator \(\square\).

**Definition 2.2.1.** For a Kähler manifold \((M, \omega, J_0)\), a Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian embedding \(\ell : L \to M\) is called **rigid** if the associated restriction map \(\mathcal{K}_M \to \mathcal{K}_L\) is surjective.

If in addition, the operator \(\square\) is positive on a complement of the kernel \(\mathcal{K}_L\), we say that \(\ell : L \to M\) is **stable**.

According to Definition 2.2.1, a Hamiltonian Lagrangian embedding is rigid if, and only if, infinitesimal Hamiltonian stationary deformations of the Lagrangian can only come from of (globally defined) infinitesimal Hamiltonian isometry of the Kähler manifold \((M, \omega, J_0)\).

**Examples 2.2.2.**

1. If \(\mathcal{K}_L = \mathbb{R}\), the Lagrangian embedding \(\ell : L \to M\) must be rigid.
2. In particular all examples given by Remark 2.1.1 (SLAG in Calabi-Yau manifolds, Lagrangian tori in the flat torus, HSLAG with \(H = 0\) in non-positive Ricci-curvature Kähler manifolds) are rigid.
3. It is also known that the Clifford torus in \(\mathbb{CP}^n\) is rigid and stable [9, 10].

3. **Examples of Lagrangians toric fibrations**

Fibrations of Calabi-Yau manifolds by special Lagrangian (SLAG) tori play a central role in mirror symmetry. Unfortunately, these fibrations seem to be scarce in nature. Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangians (HSLAG) are a natural generalization of SLAG and they make sense on every (almost) Kähler manifold. We then turn to the question of existence of HSLAG fibrations for Kähler manifolds.

A large pool of examples of such fibrations arise from toric Kähler geometry. A toric Kähler manifold is endowed with a Hamiltonian isometric toric action. Generic orbits of the torus action are Lagrangian tori. Since the metric is invariant
under the torus action, it follows that the mean curvature of the orbits is also invariant and, in turn, that the Maslov form $\alpha_H$ must be parallel. In particular $d^*\alpha_H = 0$ and we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.0.3.** The fibration by Lagrangian tori of a toric Kähler manifold has the property that each smooth fiber (i.e. corresponding to an interior point of the polytope) is Hamiltonian stationary with respect to the toric metric.

3.1. Kähler reductions. Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ be a symplectic manifold and $G$ a connected compact subgroup of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Assume that $\mu : \tilde{M} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a $G$–equivariant momentum map and that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of $\mu$. Then $N = \mu^{-1}(0)$ is a $G$–invariant submanifold and $G$–orbits are coisotropic, so the quotient $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ inherits of a symplectic structure $\omega$ defined as $\pi^* \omega = \tilde{\omega}|_{TN}$. The symplectic orbifold obtained this way is called the symplectic reduction of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$.

Whenever there is a $G$–invariant compatible Kähler metric $\tilde{g}$ on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ then the whole Kähler structure descends on $M$ making the quotient map

$$\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \to M$$

a Riemannian submersion. The resulting structure $(M, \omega, g)$ is called the Kähler reduction of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g})$.

It would be interesting to see if the stationary properties (being HSLAG, stable, rigid..) of a $G$–invariant Lagrangian lying in $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset \tilde{M}$ are preserved under this operation. We prove below it is the case for toric manifold.

**Lemma 3.1.1.** $\tilde{L}$ is a $G$–invariant Lagrangian of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ lying in $\mu^{-1}(c)$ if and only if $\pi(\tilde{L}) = L$ is a Lagrangian in $(M, \omega)$. Suppose that $\tilde{g}$ is a $G$–invariant compatible Kähler metric on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ such that there exists a positive constant $\kappa$ depending only on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g})$ and $G$ such that $\text{vol}(\pi^{-1}(L)) = \kappa \text{vol}(L)$ for every Lagrangian $L$ in $M$. Then $L$ is HSLAG whenever $\tilde{L}$ is and is stable whenever $\tilde{L}$ is.

**Proof.** Put $N = \mu^{-1}(c)$. The first statement follows the fact that for every $p \in N$, the kernel of $\omega_p$ in $T_pN$ (i.e those $v \in T_pN$ such that $\omega_p(v, w) = 0$ for all $w \in T_pN$) coincides with the tangent space of the orbit of $G$.

Given a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, take any $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M})$ which is a $G$–invariant extension of the function $\pi^* f \in C^\infty(N)^G$. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the Hamiltonian vector field associated to $\tilde{f}$. Observe that $\tilde{X}$ is tangent to $N$ since for each $a \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\langle d\mu(\tilde{X}), a \rangle = -\tilde{\omega}(X_a, \tilde{X}) = d\tilde{f}(X_a) = 0.$$

Hence, for any Lagrangian $L \subset M$ and $\tilde{L} = \pi^{-1}(L)$ the variation $\tilde{\phi}_t(\tilde{L})$ induced by $t\tilde{X}$, stays in $N$ and $\phi_t(\tilde{L}) = \pi^{-1}(\phi_t(L))$. Thus, $\text{vol}(\phi_t(\tilde{L})) = \kappa \text{vol}(\phi_t(L))$. So that $L$ is HSLAG if $\tilde{L}$ is.

Moreover, for any Lagrangian $L \subset M$, the $G$–invariant submanifold $\tilde{L} = \pi^{-1}(L)$ is Lagrangian in $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ via the composition of inclusions $\tilde{i} : \tilde{L} \hookrightarrow N \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}$ and

$$\tilde{i}^* \tilde{\omega}(\tilde{X}, \cdot) = \pi^*(\iota^* \omega(X_f, \cdot))$$

where $df = -\omega(X_f, \cdot)$. Hence, $\kappa \square(f_{\mu_*}) = \square(\pi^*(f_{\mu_*}))$. □
Corollary 3.1.2. Assume, in addition to the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1.1, that $\tilde{L}$ is rigid then, for any function $f \in C^\infty(L)$ such that $\Box f = 0$, there exists a Killing potential $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\tilde{f}_k = \pi^* f$.

3.2. Case of $(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS})$ and Kähler toric manifolds. Oh observed that each Lagrangian torus of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of the form

$$T_{r_0, \ldots, r_n} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid |z_i| = r_i \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

is a critical point of the volume under Hamiltonian deformations (HSLAG). He proved also that it is rigid and stable by direct computations. One way to state his result is the following

Proposition 3.2.1 (Oh, [10]). Let $\phi_t \in \text{Ham}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}, \omega_{FS})$ be a path of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with $\pi_0 = 1d$ and $X = (\frac{d}{dt}\phi_t)_{t=0} \in \text{ham}(\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}, \omega_{FS})$. Let $f$ be a smooth Hamiltonian function for $\tilde{X}$. Then, $L = T_{r_0, \ldots, r_n}$ is a HSLAG and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathrm{vol}(\phi_t(L)) \geq 0$$

Moreover, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathrm{vol}(\phi_t(L)) = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{f}_k$ lies in the span of

$$\{ \sin \theta_1, \cos \theta_1, \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j), \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) \}_{i,j=0}.$$  \hspace{1cm}  

Remarks 3.2.2. The hypothesis of Oh’s result can be replaced by “given a function $f \in C^\infty(L)$, or equivalently an exact 1–form $\alpha_V = \iota^* \omega(V, \cdot) \in \Omega^1(L)$,...”.

By Delzant–Lerman–Tolman theory, see [3, 8], any compact toric symplectic orbifold $(M, \omega, T)$ is the symplectic reduction of $(\mathbb{CP}^d, \omega_{std})$ with respect to a subtorus $G \subset \mathbb{T}^d$. Via this construction the manifold inherits of a Kähler metric $\omega_G$, called the Guillemin metric [5].

We recall the Delzant construction which is determined by the rational labelled polytope $(P, \nu, \Lambda)$ associated to $(M, \omega, T)$. Denote the Lie algebra $t = \text{Lie} T$ and the momentum map $x : M \to P \subset t^*$. We use the convenient convention that $\nu = \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$ is a set of vectors in $t$ so that if $F_1, \ldots, F_d$ are the codimension 1 faces (the facets) then $v_k$ is normal to $F_k$ and inward to $P$. The lattice $\Lambda$ defines the torus as $T = t/\Lambda$. Being rational means $\nu \subset \Lambda$. From the rational pair $(P, \nu)$, the torus $G$ is determined by its Lie algebra $g = \ker q$ where $q : \mathbb{R}^d \to t$ is

$$q(x) := \sum_{i=1}^d x_i v_i.$$  \hspace{1cm}  

Hence, the compactness of $P$ implies that $q$ is surjective and that $T = \mathbb{R}^d/G$.

The defining affine functions of $(P, \nu)$ are the $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d \in \text{Aff}(t^*, \mathbb{R})$ such that $P = \{ x \in t^* \mid \ell_k(x) \geq 0 \}$ and $d_k = v_k$. Denote the inclusion $i : \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mu_0 : \mathbb{C}^d \to (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ the (homogenous of degree 2) momentum map of the action of $\mathbb{T}^d$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$ so that $i^* \mu_0$ is a momentum map for the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$. One side of the Delzant–Lerman–Tolman correspondence states that $(M, \omega, T)$ is $T$–equivalently symplectomorphic to the symplectic reduction of $(\mathbb{C}^d, \omega_{std})$ at the level $c = \iota^*(\ell_1(0), \ldots, \ell_d(0)) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. In the following, we identify $(M, \omega, T)$ with this reduction.

\footnote{To recover the original convention introduced by Lerman and Tolman in the rational case, take $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\frac{1}{m_k} v_k$ is primitive in $\Lambda$ so $(P, m_1, \ldots, m_d, \Lambda)$ is a rational labelled polytope.}
Note that since \( \mu_o(z) = \frac{1}{2}(|z_0|^2, \ldots, |z_n|^2) \) the defining equations of \( N = (\iota^*\mu_o)^{-1}(e) \) involve only the square radii \( r_i^2 = |z_i|^2 \) and, thus, \( N \) is foliated by tori (of various dimension between \( \dim G \) and \( d \)) of the form (3.1). Moreover, for each \( x \in P \) the interior of \( P \), \( L_x = \mu^{-1}(x) \subset M \) is a Lagrangian torus such that \( \pi^{-1}(L_x) = \tilde{L}_x \) is a \( d \)-dimensional torus of the form (3.1). Finally, observe that if \( \tilde{f} \) is a Killing potential of \((\mathbb{C}^d, \omega_{\text{std}})\) which is \( G \)-invariant on some \( d \)-dimensional torus of the form (3.1) then \( \tilde{f} \) is \( G \)-invariant on any \( d \)-dimensional torus of the form (3.1).

**Lemma 3.2.3.** Let \( G \) be a subtorus of \( \mathbb{T}^d \) and \( \iota : G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^d \) the inclusion. The volume, with respect to the standard flat metric of \( \mathbb{C}^d \), of the orbits of \( G \) is constant on the regular level set of the momentum map \( \iota^*\mu_o : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathfrak{g}^* \).

**Proof.** Let \( g \) be the standard metric on \( \mathbb{C}^d \). We have 

\[
g = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{d\mu_i^2}{2\mu_i} + 2\mu_i d\theta_i^2
\]

where \( \mu_i = \frac{1}{2}|z_i|^2 \) and \( \theta_i \) is the angle coordinates. Hence, for \( z \in \mathbb{C}^d \) such that \( G \cdot z \) is of full dimension, the action identifies \( G \cdot z \) with \( G \) and the metric induced on the orbit is \( \iota^*h \) where \( h = 2\mu_i d\theta_i^2 \). Clearly \( \iota^*h \) only depends on \( \iota^*(\mu_o(z)) \).

This last lemma implies that the present situation fulfill the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1.1 which we combine with Corollary 3.1.2 and Proposition 3.2.1, to get the following Proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.4.** Any \( L_x \subset M \) Lagrangian torus obtained as level set of the momentum map \( \mu : M \to \mathfrak{t}^* \) is HSLAG, stable and rigid for the Guillemin metric \( \omega_G \).

**Remark 3.2.5.** Lemma 3.2.3 applies more generally to toric rigid metrics in the sense of [1]. Therefore there is a bigger class of metric on which Proposition 3.2.4 extends.

The complex projective space with its Fubini-Study metric \((\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\text{FS}})\) is a case of that last Proposition. Indeed, one way to see \( \mathbb{C}P^n \) is as a Kähler reduction of \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \) with respect to the diagonal Hamiltonian action of \( S^1 \). This coincides with the Hodge fibration \( \pi : S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n \) and fits with the toric structure of \((\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\text{FS}})\) obtained by quotient of the isometric toric action of \( \mathbb{T}^{n+1} \) on \((\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \omega_{\text{std}})\). We denote this quotient \( T = \mathbb{T}^{n+1}/S^1 \), \( t = \text{Lie} T \) and the momentum map \( \mu : \mathbb{C}P^n \to \mathfrak{t}^* \). For any \( L_x \subset \mathbb{C}P^n \) Lagrangian torus obtained as level set of the moment map, \( \pi^{-1}(L_x) \) is a torus of the form (3.1). It may be more convenient to take the (more natural) momentum map

\[
[Z_0 : \cdots : Z_n] \mapsto \left( \frac{|Z_0|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|^2}, \ldots, \frac{|Z_n|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^n |Z_i|^2} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
\]

so that the \( r_i \)'s in (3.1) are just the \( |Z_i|^2 \)'s.

The \( S^1 \) invariant functions on \( T_{r_0,\ldots,r_n} \) in the kernel of \( \Box \) are \( \{ \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j), \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) \}_{i,j=0}^n \) so Proposition 3.2.4 holds in this case and reads as follow.

**Proposition 3.2.6 ([11]).** Any \( L_x \subset \mathbb{C}P^n \) Lagrangian torus obtained as level set of the moment map \( \mu : \mathbb{C}P^n \to \mathfrak{t}^* \) is HSLAG, stable and rigid for the Fubini-Study metric.
4. Deformation theory

In this section, we are assuming that $\ell : L \to M$ is a Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian embedding, where $(M, \omega, J_0)$ is a Kähler manifold. For each almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{AC}_\omega$ sufficiently close to $J_0$, we would like to find a Hamiltonian deformation $\ell : L \to M$ of the Lagrangian embedding $\ell : L \to M$ which is Hamiltonian stationary with respect to $(M, \omega, J)$. It turns out that this problem can not be solved directly by the implicit function theorem since the equations are generally overdetermined.

4.1. Diffeomorphisms of the source space. The group of diffeomorphisms $\text{Diff}(L)$ acts on Lagrangian embeddings $\ell : L \to M$ by composition on the right. Such an infinite dimensional group action gives a huge group of symmetries which preserves the equation for HSLAG embeddings. This indeterminacy of the equations is easily removed by seeking Hamiltonian deformations of the image $\mathcal{L} = \ell(L)$ as a Lagrangian submanifold of $M$ instead. This boils down to consider the space of Lagrangian embeddings up to reparametrizations.

4.2. Group of isometries. From now on, we are assuming that $\ell : L \to M$ is a HSLAG embedding with respect to $(M, \omega, J_0)$ and that it is rigid.

The group $G$ of Hamiltonian isometries of $(M, \omega, J_0)$ has a corresponding space of Hamiltonian potentials $K_M \subset C^\infty(M)$. Their restriction to $L$ is $\ell^*(K_M) \subset C^\infty(L)$ and agrees with $K_L = \ker \Box_{\ell, J_0}$ by the rigidity assumption. An issue when trying to apply directly the implicit function theorem is that the linearization of the HSLAG equations given by the operator $\Box_{\ell, J_0}$ is generally neither injective nor surjective.

4.3. Lagrangian neighborhood theorem. A sufficiently small tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{L} = \ell(L)$ in $M$ is symplectomorphic to a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the zero section $\ell_0 : L \to T^*L$ endowed with its canonical symplectic form. In addition $\ell$ is identified to $\ell_0$ via the symplectomorphism. Small Lagrangian deformations of $\mathcal{L}$ are given by graphs of sections $\alpha$ of $T^*L \to L$, where $\alpha$ is a sufficiently small closed 1-form on $L$. Furthermore, Hamiltonian deformations are given by exact 1-forms. Thus, every smooth function $f$ on $L$, defines a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*L$ which is the graph of $df : L \to T^*L$ and this graph is a Hamiltonian deformation of the zero section $\ell_0 : L \to T^*L$. If $f$ is sufficiently small (in $C^1$-norm), using the symplectomorphism between the tubular neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, each section $df$ defines a Lagrangian embedding $\ell_f : L \to M$ which is a Hamiltonian deformation of $\ell : L \to M$.

4.4. Vector bundles. The image of the map $\ell^* : K_M \to C^\infty(L)$ is $K_L = \ker \Box_{\ell, J_0}$ by rigidity, but it may not be injective. After passing to a subspace $\mathcal{K}_M^0 \subset \mathcal{K}_M$, we obtain an isomorphism $\ell^* : \mathcal{K}_M^0 \to \mathcal{K}_L$.

For any embedding $\tilde{\ell} : L \to M$ sufficiently close to $\ell : L \to M$, the map $\tilde{\ell}^* : \mathcal{K}_M^0 \to C^\infty(L)$ remains injective. Using this observation, we are going to introduce vector bundles of finite rank over the space of functions.

However, the space of smooth functions $C^\infty(L)$ is not suited to apply the implicit function theorem. Instead, we shall work with Hölder spaces $C^{k,\eta}(L)$, where...
0 < \eta < 1 is the Hölder parameter and \( k \) is the number of derivatives accounted for. For any function \( f \in C^{4,\eta}(L) \) sufficiently small, the map \( \ell_f^*: \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow C^{3,\eta}(L) \) remains injective. Its image is a finite dimensional vector space denoted \( \mathcal{R}_f \subset C^{3,\eta}(L) \).

The spaces \( \mathcal{R}_f \) are the fibers of a smooth vector bundle \( \mathcal{R} \) over a neighborhood of the origin in \( C^{4,\eta}(L) \).

Let \( \mathcal{H}' \) be the orthogonal complement of \( \mathcal{K}_L = \mathcal{R}_0 \) in \( C^{3,\eta}(L) \), where the \( L^2 \) inner product is induced by \( \ell \) and \( J_0 \). For any \( f \in C^{4,\eta}(L) \) sufficiently small, we have a splitting of vector bundles \( C^{3,\eta}(L) = \mathcal{R}_f \oplus \mathcal{H}' \), and the projection on the first factor parallel to \( \mathcal{H}' \) is denoted \( \pi_f : C^{3,\eta}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_f \).

Similarly, we will need to consider the \( L^2 \)-orthogonal complement \( \mathcal{H} \) of \( \mathcal{K}_L \) in \( C^{4,\eta}(L) \) which gives the splitting \( C^{4,\eta}(L) = \mathcal{K}_L \oplus \mathcal{H} \). (4.1)

4.5. Implicit function theorem. We introduce the map
\[
\Psi : C^{4,\eta}(L) \times C^{4,\eta}(L) \times AC^{2,\eta} \rightarrow C^{0,\eta}(L)
\]
defined as follows: let \((f, k, J)\) be an element of \( C^{4,\eta}(L) \times C^{4,\eta}(L) \times AC^{2,\eta} \). The function \( f \) admits a decomposition \( f = f_L + h \) where \( f_L \in \mathcal{K}_L \) and \( h \in \mathcal{H} \) according to the splitting (4.1). For \( f \) and \( k \) sufficiently small, we may use the Lagrangian embedding \( \ell_{k+h} : L \rightarrow M \). We define
\[
\Psi(f, k, J) = d^*\alpha_H + \pi_{h+k}(f)
\]
where \( d^*\alpha_H \) is computed with respect to the Lagrangian embedding \( \ell_{k+h} : L \rightarrow M \) and the almost complex structure \( J \).

The differential of \( \Psi \) at \((0, 0, J_0)\) is given by
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial f} \right|_{(0,0,J_0)} \cdot \dot{f} = \dot{f}_L + \square_{\ell,J_0} \dot{h}
\]
where we used the decomposition \( \dot{f} = \dot{f}_L + \dot{h} \in \mathcal{K}_L \oplus \mathcal{H} \). This operator is clearly an isomorphism.

By the implicit function function theorem, we deduce the following proposition

**Proposition 4.5.1.** There are open neighborhoods \( U, V \) of \( 0 \) in \( C^{4,\eta}(L) \) and a \( G \)-invariant open neighborhood \( W \) of the almost complex structure \( J_0 \) in \( AC^{2,\eta} \) together with a smooth map
\[
\phi : V \times W \rightarrow U
\]
such that
\[
\Psi(\phi(k, J), k, J) = 0
\]
for all \((k, J) \in V \times W \). Furthermore \( \phi(k, J) \) is the only solution \( f \in U \) of the equation \( \Psi(f, k, J) = 0 \) where \((k, J) \in V \times W \).
By definition, a solution of the equation $\Psi(f, k, J) = 0$ provides a Lagrangian embedding $\ell_{h+k} : L \to M$ satisfying the equation

$$d^*\alpha_H \in \mathcal{K}_{h+k}. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus, our problem of finding a HSLAG embedding is solved up to a finite dimensional obstruction. The solution of this type are called relatively HSLAG embeddings.

**Definition 4.5.2.** A Lagrangian embedding $\ell : L \to M$ into an almost Kähler manifold $(M, \omega, J)$ such that $d^*\alpha_H$ is the restriction of a Hamiltonian potential in $\mathcal{K}_M$ is called a relatively HSLAG embedding.

More information on the regularity of $(k, J) \in V \times W$ provide more regularity on $f = \phi(k, J)$ by standard bootstrapping argument for elliptic equations. In particular, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.5.3.** If $(k, J) \in V \times W$ are smooth, then $f = \phi(k, J)$ is smooth and so is $d^*\alpha_H$ for the corresponding relatively HSLAG embedding.

It is worth pointing out that many cases are dealt with using the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.5.4.** Let $\ell : L \to M$ be a rigid HSLAG embedding into $(M, \omega, J_0)$ with $\mathcal{K}_L = \mathbb{R}$. Then for all compatible almost complex structure $J$ sufficiently close to $J_0$ in $C^{2, \eta}$-norm, there exists a Hamiltonian deformations $\tilde{l} : L \to M$ of $\ell$ which is a HSLAG embedding with respect to $(M, \omega, J)$.

**Proof.** For $J$ sufficiently close to $J_0$, we use the decomposition $\phi(0, J) = f_L + h$ given by the splitting (4.1). By assumption $f_L$ must be a constant. The embedding $\ell_h : L \to M$ satisfies the equation $d^*\alpha_H = c$, for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, with respect to the almost Kähler structure $(M, \omega, J)$. Since $d^*\alpha_H$ is $L^2$-orthogonal to constants, we deduce that $c = 0$. $\square$

4.6. **Residual isometry group action and killing the obstruction.** The next step is to look for solutions of the equation $\Psi = 0$ such that the finite dimensional obstruction (4.3) vanishes. The residual group action of $G$ on $W$ is the key to achieve this goal. Indeed, we have a modified volume functional

$$\tilde{\text{vol}} : W \to \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$\tilde{\text{vol}}(J) = \text{vol}(L, \ell^*_h g_J). \quad (4.4)$$

where $\phi(0, J) = f = f_L + h \in \mathcal{K}_L \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is given by Proposition 4.5.1.

Notice that $\text{vol}$ is a perturbation of the volume functional

$$\text{vol} : W \to \mathbb{R}$$

of $\ell : L \to M$ defined by $\text{vol}(J) = \text{vol}(L, \ell^*_g J)$. Nevertheless, $\tilde{\text{vol}}$ and $\text{vol}$ need not to agree generally.

Each $G$-orbit of almost complex structure in $W$, is compact, since $G$ is. Thus the modified volume functional $\tilde{\text{vol}}$ restricted to a $G$-orbit admits critical points, for instance a minimum. Let $J$ be such a point in a given orbit. Then we have the following result.
Proposition 4.6.1. Let $J$ be a smooth almost complex structure, which is a critical point of the modified volume functional $\text{vol}$ given by (4.4), restricted to a $G$-orbit of $W$. Then, the Lagrangian embedding $\ell_h : L \to M$ deduced from $\phi(0, J) = f_L + h$ via Proposition 4.5.1 is HSLAG.

As a direct consequence, we obtain a proof of one of our main results:

Proof of Theorem A. We have a map $W \to U$ given by $J \mapsto \phi(0, J)$. For each $J$ the decomposition $\phi(0, J) = f_L + h \in \mathcal{K}_L \oplus \mathcal{H}$ provides a Lagrangian embedding $\ell_h$, which is a Hamiltonian deformation of $\ell$. An easy exercise of symplectic geometry shows that one can define a smooth map $\psi$ on $W$ such that $\psi(J)$ is a Hamiltonian transformation of $(M, \omega)$ with the property that $\psi(J) \circ \ell = \ell_h$ and $\psi(J_0) = \text{id}$ as in Theorem A. We give an outline of the argument to keep this paper self-contained. The function $h$ is a priori defined on $L$. However a tubular neighborhood of $\mathcal{L} = \ell(L)$ is identified with a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of the 0-section of the contangent bundle $T^*L \to L$. The function $h$ can be understood as a function on $\mathcal{V}$ by pull back. We fix a suitable smooth compactly supported cut-off function $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{V}$ equal to 1 in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{L}$. Then $\varphi h$ makes sense as a globally defined function on $M$. The corresponding Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\varphi h}$ is well defined on $(M, \omega)$. By integrating upto time 1, the flow of the vector field defines Hamiltonian transformation $\psi(J)$ of $(M, \omega)$, with regularity a $C^{4, \eta}$. If $J$ is sufficiently close to $J_0$, the function $h$ is very close to 0 in $C^{4, \eta}$-norm. In particular we have $\psi(J) \circ \ell = \ell_h$, by construction.

By assumption $J$ is smooth here, hence by Lemma 4.5.3 so is $h$. Thus $\psi(J)$ is also a smooth Hamiltonian transformation so that we can avoid the complication of introducing a group of Hamiltonian transformations with suitable Hölder topology.

For the second part of the theorem, given $J \in W$, it suffices to find $u \in G$ such that $u \cdot J$ is a critical point of the functional $\text{vol}$ (such $u$ exists by compactness of $G$). Then $u \cdot J$ satisfies the claim of Theorem A thanks to Proposition 4.6.1. □

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 4.6.1. Let $f = \phi(0, J)$. Notice that by Lemma 4.5.3, the function $f$ is smooth and so is $h$. The smooth embedding, $\ell_h : L \to M$, satisfies the equation $d'\alpha_H = \psi$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{R}_h$, which is also smooth. By definition, $\psi = v \circ \ell_h$ for some function $v \in \mathcal{K}_M$, by rigidity.

Let $u_t \in G$ be a 1-parameter subgroup of $G$ such that $u_0 = \text{id}$ and $\frac{du_t(\omega)}{dt}|_{t=0} = X_v(x)$. In other words, the tangent vector to the 1-parameter subgroup is the Hamiltonian vector field $X_v$ associated to $v$.

We consider the orbit $J_t = u_t^* J$ under the 1-parameter subgroup action. Since $J$ is a critical point of the modified volume functional on its $G$-orbit, we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \widetilde{\text{vol}}(J_t) = 0.$$

Looking more closely at the modified volume functional, this means that the volume is critical for the 1-parameter family of Lagrangian embeddings $\ell_{h_t} : L \to M$ with respect to the almost complex structures $J_t$, where $\phi(0, J_t) = \tilde{f}_t = f_{L,t} + \tilde{h}_t \in \mathcal{K}_L \oplus \mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 4.5.3, the functions $\tilde{f}_t$, $\tilde{h}_t$ and $f_{L,t}$ are all smooth. Furthermore, they depend smoothly on $t$. 
Changing the point of view, this means that the volume of the Lagrangian embeddings $\tilde{\ell}_t := u_t \circ \ell_{h_t} : L \to M$ is critical with respect to the fixed almost complex structure $J$.

Since the volume of a Lagrangian embedding depends only on the volume of its image, we may work up to the action $\text{Diff}(L)$. Hence, we may assume after composing $\tilde{\ell}_t$ on the right by a suitable family of diffeomorphism that they are given by $\ell_{h_t + k_t} : L \to M$, where $k_t$ is a smooth family of functions on $L$ such that $\frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \psi$.

The solution of the relative HSLAG equation are invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(L)$, therefore we have a one parameter family of solutions of the equation

$$\Psi(\tilde{f}_t, k_t, J) = 0,$$

with critical volume at $t = 0$.

Differentiating at $t = 0$ gives the identity

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial f}(f, 0, J) \cdot \dot{f} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial k}(f, 0, J) \cdot \dot{k} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\dot{f} = \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_t}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{k} = \frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} = \psi.$$

The computation of the operator $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial k}$ is similar to $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial h}$ and we find

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial k}(f, 0, J) \cdot \dot{k} = L_{f, J} \cdot \dot{k} + \Box_{\ell_{h_t}} \dot{k},$$

where $L_{f, J} \cdot \dot{k} = \frac{d}{dt} \pi_{h_t + k_t}(f)|_{t=0}$. We choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, to be fixed afterward. According to Lemma 4.6.3, up to passing to sufficiently small open sets $U$ and $W$, we have the estimates

$$\|L_{f, J} \cdot \dot{k}\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon_0 \|\dot{k}\|_{L^2}$$

and since $\dot{k} = \psi \in \mathfrak{h}_h$, by Lemma 4.6.4

$$\|\Box_{\ell_{h_t}} \dot{k}\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon_0 \|\dot{k}\|_{L^2}.$$

We deduce that the $L^2$-norm of the LHS of (4.5) is bounded by $2\varepsilon_0 \|\psi\|_{L^2}$. Applying Lemma 4.6.2, we obtain the estimate

$$\|\dot{f}\|_{L^2} \leq 2C\varepsilon_0 \|\psi\|_{L^2}.$$

In particular we have an estimate

$$\|\dot{h}\|_{L^2} \leq 2C\varepsilon_0 \|\psi\|_{L^2}.$$

If we choose $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2C}$, we have

$$\|\dot{h}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{L^2}.$$

By the first variation formula, we have

$$\dot{\text{vol}} = \langle \alpha_H, d\dot{h} + d\psi \rangle = \langle d^*\alpha_H, \dot{h} + \psi \rangle = \langle \psi, \dot{h} + \psi \rangle = \|\psi\|^2 + \langle \psi, \dot{h} \rangle.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, it follows that

$$\dot{\text{vol}} \geq \|\psi\|^2 - \|\psi\| \|\dot{h}\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|^2.$$
This is a contradiction unless $\psi = 0$. □

Here are the technicals lemmata used in the proof of Proposition 4.5.1. These results are standard and some proofs may be omitted.

The operator $\partial \Psi / \partial h|_{0,0,J_0}$ is an isomorphism. The first eigenvalue of small perturbations of this operator remain uniformly bounded away from 0 in the sense of the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.6.2.** For all neighborhood $U$ and $W$ of Proposition 4.5.1 chosen sufficiently small, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that all $(f,J) \in U \times W$ and $F \in C^{4,\eta}(L)$

$$\|F\|_{L^2} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial h}(f,0,J) \cdot F \right\|_{L^2}.$$ 

**Lemma 4.6.3.** For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there are sufficiently small open sets $U$ and $W$ in Proposition 4.5.1 such that for all $(f,J) \in U \times W$ and all function $F \in \mathfrak{K}_h$

$$\|L_{f,J}F\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|F\|_{L^2}.$$ 

**Proof.** The proof is easily done by contradiction. If the lemma is not true, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a family $(f_j, J_j) \in U \times W$ converging toward $(0, J_0)$ and $F_j \in \mathfrak{K}_h$ such that $\|F_j\|_{L^2} = 1$ while $\|L_{f_j,J_j}F_j\|_{L^2} > \varepsilon$.

Using the fact that $\mathfrak{K}$ is a finite rank bundle over $U \times W$ with a smoothly varying $L^2$-inner product, we may assume, after passing to a subsequence, that $F_j$ converge to $F \in \mathfrak{K}_0 = \mathfrak{K}_L$ with the property that $\|F\|_{L^2} = 1$ and $L_{0,0,J_0}F \neq 0$. But this is impossible since $\pi_h(0)$ is identically 0, so that $L_{0,0,J_0} \equiv 0$. □

**Lemma 4.6.4.** For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there are sufficiently small open sets $U$ and $W$, as in Proposition 4.5.1, such that for all function $F \in \mathfrak{K}_h$, we have

$$\|\Box_{\ell,J}F\| \leq \varepsilon \|F\|_{L^2}.$$ 

5. The volume functional

This section gathers some results and observations on the volume functional that will be needed to show that the space of positive perturbations, introduced at §6.2 in not empty, under mild assumption, in particular for the proof of Theorem D and Theorem 6.2.3.

5.1. Main technical result. We start with a Kähler manifold $(M, \omega, J_0)$. Let $G$ be its group of Hamiltonian isometries. We consider a rigid HSLAG embedding $\ell : L \to M$ as in Theorem A.

Let $G_\ell$ be the subgroup of isometries of $G$ preserving the image of $\ell : L \to M$. In other words $u \in G_\ell$ if and only if $u \circ \ell(L) = \ell(L)$. We denote by $G_\ell^0$ the identity component of $G_\ell$.

We consider the subspace of compactible almost complex structures which differ from $J_0$ by a diffeomorphism of $M$. The connected component of $J_0$ in this space is denoted $J_\omega \subset A\mathcal{C}_\omega$.

The standard volume functional here refers to the map

$$\text{vol}_J : G/G_\ell^0 \to \mathbb{R}$$

defined by $\text{vol}_J([u]) = \text{vol}(L, \ell^*g_{\omega,J})$.

The variational formulas for the volume functional are much easier to carry out assuming the base complex structure is integrable but the result is likely to
hold for almost Kähler metrics. Of course, it holds for any almost Kähler metric $(J, \omega)$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the Kähler metric $(J_0, \omega)$. Then main technical result of this section is the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.1.1.** There exists a smooth 1-parameter family of complex structures $J_s \in W$ defined for $s \geq 0$ such that

1. $J_0$ is our given complex structure
2. $\ell : L \to M$ is HSLAG with respect to $J_s$ for all $s \geq 0$
3. $\text{vol}_{J_s} : G/G^s_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ admits a non-degenerate local minimum at $u = \text{id}$ for all $s > 0$.

**5.2. Some variational formulae.** First, we have to carry out some variational formulas. In order to do that we identify a neighborhood of $L$ with a neighborhood of the zero section in $T^*L$ and a set of coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ on $L$ is completed on $T^*L$ to give Darboux coordinates $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$. In these coordinates, $dy_i$ vanishes on $TL$, so the volume form $dv_J$ on $L$ is

$$dv_J = \sqrt{|g_L|} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

where $g_L = g_i dx_i \otimes dx_j$ is the restriction of the metric $g$ on $L$, $|g_L|$ the determinant of $(g_{ij})$. The variation of $\Phi$ along a path $\{t\} \times J_t$ starting at $J$ is then given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} \text{vol}_J(u) = \int_L \text{tr}(g_L^{-1} g_d) dv_J = \int_L \text{tr}(g_L^{-1} \dot{g}) dv_J. \quad (5.1)$$

More generally, for a given $u \in G$,

$$d_J \Phi(J)(u) = \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} \text{vol}_J(u) = \int_{u(L)} \text{tr}(g_{u(L)}^{-1} \dot{g}) dv_J.$$

For $J \in J_\omega$, the tangent $T_J J_\omega$ is a subspace of endormorphism of $TM$ and has a natural complex structure $J$ given by

$$JA = J \circ A$$

for $A \in T_J J_\omega$. Denoting the orbit of $J$ by under $	ext{Symp}_0$ by $\mathcal{G}.J = \text{Symp}_0(\omega) \cdot J$, one can prove, see [4], that

$$T_J J_\omega = \{-L_Z J \mid Z \in \mathcal{Symp}(\omega) + J \mathcal{Ham}(\omega)\} \simeq T_J \mathcal{G}.J + J T_J \mathcal{G}.J. \quad (5.2)$$

The last equality uses $L_J Z = J L_Z J$ which holds thanks to the integrability of $J$. Note that the right hand side of (5.2) is not a direct sum in general.

Let $J_t$ be a path in $J_\omega$ defined for small $t$ such that $g_t = \omega(J_t \cdot \cdot)$ be the corresponding variation of Riemannian metrics, so that $g_0 = g$.

For $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, let $X_\phi \in \mathcal{Ham}(\omega)$ be the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field that is $d\phi = -\omega(X_\phi, \cdot)$. We denote by $D$ the Levi-Civita connection and $d^c \phi = -d\phi \circ J$. Recall that for a 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$, the Levi-Civita is defined as $D\alpha(X, Y) = X.(\alpha(Y) - \alpha(D_X Y))$ and the Hessian of $\phi$ is $D^2 \phi$, a symmetric tensor since $D$ has no torsion. The $J$–invariant and anti-invariant parts of $D\alpha$ are

$$D^+ \alpha(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(D\alpha(X, Y) \pm D\alpha(JX, JY)).$$

**Lemma 5.2.1.** Let $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$,

a) if $J = -L_{X_\phi} J$, then $\dot{g} = -2D^- d^c \phi$.

b) if $J = -J L_{X_\phi} J$, then $\dot{g} = 2D^- d\phi$. 
Proof. Since $\omega$ does not vary along the path $(\omega, g_t, J_t)$ and that $\mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}\omega = 0$, in the case a), we have, using [4, Lemma 1.20.2], that
\[ \dot{g} = -\omega(\dot{J}, \cdot) = \omega(\mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}J(\cdot), \cdot) = -2D^- (X_\phi^2) = -2D^- d^c\phi \] (5.3)
since $g(X_\phi, \cdot) = d^c\phi$. In case b), we have
\[ \dot{g} = -\omega(\dot{J}, \cdot) = \omega(J\mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}J(\cdot), \cdot) = -g(\mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}J(\cdot), \cdot) \]
\[ = -(\mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}\omega) + \mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}g(J(\cdot), \cdot) = \mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}g(J(\cdot), \cdot). \]

Since $(g, J)$ is Kähler, $DJ = 0$ and so, for $Z, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have
\[ \dot{g}(Y, Z) = \mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}g(JY, Z) = g(D_{JY}X_\phi, Z) + g(JY, D_ZX_\phi) \]
\[ = (JY).g(X_\phi, Z) - g(X_\phi, D_{JY}Z) + (Z).g(JY, X_\phi) - g(DZJY, X_\phi) \]
\[ = (JY).d^c\phi(Z) - d^c\phi(D_{JY}Z) + Z.d^c\phi(JY) - d^c\phi(DZ(JY)) \]
\[ = -(JY).d\phi(JZ) + d\phi(D_{JY}JZ) + Z.d\phi(Y) - d\phi(DZ(Y)) \]
\[ = -DD\phi(JY, JZ) + DD\phi(Y, Z) = 2D^- d\phi(Y, Z) \] (5.4)

Consequently, taking a variation $\dot{J} = -\mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}J - J\mathcal{L}_{X_\omega}J$ we have
\[ d^c\Phi(J)(u) = -2 \int_{u(L)} \text{tr} \left( g_u^{-1}(L) (D^- d^c\phi - D^- d\psi) \right) dv_J. \] (5.5)

Lemma 5.2.2. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X, Y \in T_pM$ then
\begin{itemize}
  \item[a)] $D^- d^c\phi(X, Y) = -D^- d\phi(JX, Y)$,
  \item[b)] $2D^- d\phi(X, Y) = dd^c\phi(X, JY)$,
  \item[c)] $2(D^- d\phi(JX, X) + D^- d\phi(X, X)) = dd^c\phi(X, JX)$.
\end{itemize}

Proof. For a), recall that $d^c\phi = -d\phi \circ J$. We use that $DJ = 0$ as follow
\[ 2D^- d^c\phi(X, Y) = Dd^c\phi(X, Y) - Dd^c\phi(JX, JY) \]
\[ = X.d^c\phi(Y) - d^c\phi(D_X Y) - (JX).d^c\phi(JY) + d^c\phi(D_{JX} JY) \]
\[ = -X.d\phi(JY) + d\phi(D_{JX} JY) - (JX).d\phi(Y) + d\phi(D_{JX} JY) \]
\[ = -Dd\phi(X, JY) - Dd\phi(JX, Y) \]
\[ = Dd\phi(J^2 X, JY) - Dd\phi(JX, JY) \]
\[ = -2D^- d\phi(JX, Y) \] (5.6)

For b), note that $Dd\phi$ is the Hessian, thus symmetric, hence
\[ 2D^+ d^c\phi(X, Y) = Dd\phi(X, Y) + Dd\phi(JX, JY) \]
\[ = X.d\phi(Y) - d\phi(D_X Y) + (JY).d\phi(JX) - d\phi(D_{JY} JX). \] (5.7)

Using that $D$ has no torsion, we see it coincides with
\[ dd^c\phi(X, JY) = X.d^c\phi(JY) - (JY).d^c\phi(X) - d^c\phi(D_{JY} JY - D_{JX} X) \]
\[ = X.d\phi(Y) + (JY).d\phi(JX) - d\phi(D_X Y) - d\phi(D_{JY} JX). \] (5.8)
For c), using again that $Dd\phi$ is symmetric we have
\[
2(D^+d\phi(JX, X) + D^-d\phi(X, X)) = Dd\phi(JX, X) + Dd\phi(X, JX) \\
+ Dd\phi(X, X) - Dd\phi(JX, JX)
\]
\[
= Dd\phi(X, X + JX) + Dd\phi(JX, X - JX)
\]
\[
= 2D^+d\phi(X, X - JX)
\]
(5.9)

Now, using formula b) just above, we have $2D^+d\phi(X, X - JX) = dd^c\phi(X, J(X - JX)) = dd^c\phi(X, JX) + dd^c\phi(X, X) = dd^c\phi(JX, JX)$. \hfill \Box

5.3. Particular variations. Let $\varphi$ be a real smooth function on $M$. We consider a family of almost complex structures $J_s \in \mathcal{J}_\omega$, defined for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently close to zero and such that
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} J_s = -\mathcal{L}_{X_\varphi} J_s + J_s \mathcal{L}_{X_\varphi} J_s
\]
(5.10)
for all $s$ sufficiently small, where $X_\varphi$ is the Hamiltonian vector field deduced from $\varphi$.

We have the following variation formula for the volume:

**Proposition 5.3.1.** Let $\varphi_s$ be a smooth family of real smooth functions on $M$ for small $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the corresponding of $J_s \in \mathcal{J}_\omega$ satisfying (5.10). Then
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \text{vol}_{J_s}(u) = \int_L \left( (\Delta^g \varphi) \circ u \circ \ell \right) \text{vol}(u \circ \ell)^* g_{J_s}).
\]
Proof. Using the first and last formulas of Lemma 5.2.2, we get that
\[
d_J \Phi(J)(u) = -2 \int_{u(L)} \text{tr}(g_{u(L)}^{-1} dd^c \phi(\cdot, J\cdot)) d\mathcal{V}_J = -2 \int_{u(L)} \Delta^g \phi \ d\mathcal{V}_J
\]
(5.11)
where $\Delta^g \phi$ is the Laplacian of $\phi$ on $M$ with respect to the Kähler metric $g$. Indeed, taking $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ an orthonormal basis of $T_pL$, the trace
\[
\text{tr}(g_{u(L)}^{-1} dd^c \phi(\cdot, J\cdot)) = \sum_{i=1}^n dd^c \phi(v_i, Jv_i)
\]
is the symplectic trace of $dd^c \phi$ which turns out to be the Laplacian of $\phi$ at $p$ up to a sign, see for e.g. [4, p.33]. Observe that to obtain (5.11) we didn’t use the fact that $G$ fixes $J$ so the formula holds at any point of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$. \hfill \Box

The variation of $J_s$ depends on first derivatives of $\varphi$. If $\phi$ vanishes up to order 1 along the image of $\ell : L \rightarrow M$, the almost complex structures $J_s$ are independent of $s$ along $\ell$ as well. The metric $g_s$ deduced from $\omega$ and $g_s$ must also be independent of $s$ along $\ell$. Is $\varphi$ vanishes to a higher order, say up to order 2 along $\ell$, the mean curvature along $\ell$ will also be independent of $s$. Since the mean curvature are independent of $s$ we have the following lemma

**Lemma 5.3.2.** Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function vanishing up to order 2 along the image of $\ell : L \rightarrow M$, and $J_s$ be a family of almost complex structures defined from $\varphi$ as above. Then $\ell : L \rightarrow M$ is HSLAG with respect to $J_s$ for all $s$ sufficiently small.
For $s = 0$, since $u$ acts by isometry on $g_0$, we deduce from Proposition 5.3.1 that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\text{vol}_J(u)|_{s=0} = \int_L ((\Delta^{g_0}\varphi) \circ u \circ \ell) \text{vol}(g_L).$$

**Lemma 5.3.3.** Let $(N^k, g)$ be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $k$ and $p \in N$. Let $r : N \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Riemannian distance to $p$ in $N$. The function $r^4$ is a smooth function in the neighborhood of $p \in N$ with the property that

$$\Delta(r^4) = -4r^2(k + 2) + o(r^3),$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian associated to the metric $g$.

**Proof.** It is well-known that $r^2$ is smooth, so does $r^4$. Taking normal coordinates $(r, \theta)$ centered at $p$ we know the metric tend up to order 1 to the Euclidean metric at $p$. Then $|\nabla r|^2 \to 1$ and $\Delta r^2 \to -2k$ when $r \to 0$. It suffices to use the formula $\Delta r^4 = 2r^2\Delta r^2 - 2g(\nabla r^2, \nabla r^2) = 2r^2(\Delta r^2 - 4g(\nabla r, \nabla r))$ to get the result. \hfill \Box

All the ingredients above can be used to give a proof of the main result of this section.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.1.** Returning to our setup, still identifying a neighborhood of $\ell(L)$ in $M$ with a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of the 0–section in $T^*L$ and considering the Kähler metric induced by $g$ on $\mathcal{V}$. Denote the natural projection $T^*L \to L$. We consider the function $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4(k+2)}d^2(\pi(\alpha),\alpha)^4$ where $d^2$ is the distance induced by $g$. Then, when restricted on a fiber $T^*_pL \cap \mathcal{V}$, $\varphi$ is the 4-th power of the distance function to $p$ up to a constant factor and satisfies the last lemma. If $(\ell_p, \theta_p)$ are normal coordinates of $T^*_pL \cap \mathcal{V}$ centered at $p$ then

$$\varphi(p, \ell_p, \theta_p) = \frac{r^4}{(k+2)^2}.\text{ The lemma above (see the proof to be convinced it works as well) gives then }$$

$$\Delta^g \varphi = r^2p + O(r^3).$$

Let $u_t \in G$ be a one parameter subgroup of $G$ such that $u_0 = \text{id}$. Since $\varphi$ vanishes upto order 3 along $\ell : L \to M$ we have $\Delta^{g_0} \varphi = 0$ upto order 1 along $\ell$, where $g_0$ is the Riemannian metric deduced from $J_0$ and $\omega$. Therefore

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}((\Delta^{g_0}\varphi) \circ u_t \circ \ell)|_{t=0} = 0$$

on $L$. In particular

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\text{vol}_J(u_t)|_{(s,t)=0} = 0.$$

The second order $t$-derivative is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\text{vol}_J(u_t)|_{(s,t)=0} = \frac{1}{2} \int_L Q(X, X)\text{vol}(g_L)$$

where $Q$ is the Hessian quadratic form of $\Delta^{g_0} \varphi$ and $X$ is the Hamiltonian vector field tangent to $u_t$ at $t = 0$ along $\ell$. If $\Delta^{g_0} \varphi = r^2p + O(r^3)$, we deduce that $Q$ is definite positive in directions transverse to $\ell$. If $u_t$ is transverse to $G_\ell^0$, then $X$ is not everywhere tangent to $\ell$. Hence we have proved that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\text{vol}_J(u_t)|_{(s,t)=0} > 0$$

unless $u_t$ is tangent to $G^\ell_\theta$ at $t = 0$. 
We deduce that if \( u_t \) is transverse to \( G_t^0 \) at \( t = 0 \)
\[
\text{vol}_{J_s}(u_t) = c + b_s t^2 + o(t^2)
\]
where the constant \( b_s \) is strictly positive for \( s > 0 \). This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.1. \( \square \)

5.4. **Other properties of the volume functional.** Our variationnal formulas can be used to show that the standard volume functional has Morse properties for generic almost complex structures. Unfortunately we are interested in the modified volume functional (4.4) in this paper, and this is why we relied on Theorem 5.1.1 instead. For the interested reader, we state the following result, which is in the spirit of the proof of existence of Morse function in the finite dimensional setting, although it is not used in the rest of the paper:

**Proposition 5.4.1.** Given a compact Kähler manifold \( (M, \omega, J_0) \) and a compact Lagrangian \( \ell : L \rightarrow M \). The map \( \Phi : J_\omega \rightarrow C^\infty(G/G_\ell) \), defined as
\[
\Phi(J) := \text{vol}_J
\]
is a submersion in a neighborhood of \( J_0 \).

**Proof.** The map \( u \mapsto u(L) \) is injective on \( G/G_\ell \) so there exists a point \( p \in L \) such that there is a neighborhood \( V \) of \( \text{id} \in G \) satisfying the condition:
\[
\forall u \in V, \ u(p) \in L \text{ if and only if } u \in G_\ell. \tag{5.13}
\]
The neighborhood \( V \) depends on \( p \) but since it is an open condition on \( p \) we can choose a neighborhood \( U \) of \( p \) in \( L \) such that condition (5.13) holds. Then the orbit map \( \psi(q, \gamma) = \gamma(q) \) induces a smooth foliation of the image \( W := \psi(U \times V) \), in \( M \). The leafs of \( W \) are \( u(L) \cap W \cong U \) for \( u \in G/G_\ell \). Actually, we have a diffeomorphism
\[
\tau : W \cong U \times V \tag{5.14}
\]
where \( V_\ell \subset G/G_\ell \) denotes the image of \( V \) via the quotient map \( G \rightarrow G/G_\ell \).

We can easily pushforward any bump function \( \psi_U \) from \( U \) to the whole \( W \) via the action of \( G \) and any function \( f \) on \( V_\ell \) defines a \( G_\ell \)-invariant function on \( V \). The pull-back \( \tau^*(\psi_U \times f) \) on \( W \) may be extended to \( M \) so that it integrates to 0. Taking the Green function of this extension to be the variation \( \dot{J} \) as above, we get that (5.11) becomes
\[
d_J\Phi(\dot{J})(u) = f(u)
\]
for all \( u \in V_\ell \). From which we conclude that \( d_J\Phi \) is surjective on \( C^\infty(V_\ell) \). \( \square \)

6. Deformation theory for local HSLAG toric fibrations

6.1. **Obstacles to overcome.** So far, we only considered the case of a single HSLAG embedding \( \ell : L \rightarrow M \). We would like to extend the theory to the case of a local HSLAG toric fibration \( \ell_t : L \rightarrow M \) as defined in §1.2. There are several issues for extending Theorem A to a Lagrangian fibration:

1. The fibration becomes singular at the boundary of the polytope in the case of the standard fibration by Lagrangian tori of a toric Kähler manifold. In the case of a SLAG fibration of a K3 surface, certain fibers have several irreducible components. Such issues related involve complicated analytical problems that we shall not tackle at this paper. This is why we restrict our attention to local fibrations by smooth Lagrangian tori as in §1.2.
(2) Proposition 4.6.1 involves the choice a local minimum of the volume functional seen as a function on $G$. One cannot make a consistent choice a minimum for a family of tori, unless the volume has some special properties. We shall prove that for a generic choice of metric the volume functional is non degenerate, which allows to get around this issue.

**Example 6.1.1.** The fact that the Hamiltonian transformation $v$ of Theorem C is not necessarily small can be readily observed in an example.

We consider the unit 2-dimensional sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a given axis going from the north to the south pole and its standard complex structure obtained by rotating each tangent plane by an angle $\pi/2$.

Every embedded circle $C$ is automatically Lagrangian in such a low dimensional case. Furthermore, the HSLAG property is equivalent to the fact that the circle has constant curvature. There is a standard fibration $\ell_t : S^1 \to S^2$, for $t \in (-1, 1)$ of the sphere by circles of constant curvature, known as the parallels, obtained by rotating the sphere about its axis.

![Diagram of parallels](image)

We pick an axis $(D)$ going through the sphere center, which is distinct from the given axis, and does not belong to the equator plane. We consider a deformation of the sphere, with prescribed area, into an ellipsoid of revolution, with axis $(D)$. As shown by the picture below, there is an obvious fibration by circle of constant curvature. However this fibration is far from the original fibration which suggests that a jump must occur.

![Diagram of ellipsoid](image)

However, this only a heuristic argument since we do not have a proof that this HSLAG fibration is the only one on an ellipsoid of revolution.

We now give a correct argument. The equator $\ell_0 : S^1 \to S^2$ is a geodesic, hence its Maslov form $\alpha_0$ vanishes. On the other hand, the sign of the integral $\int_{S^1} \alpha_t$ of the Maslov form $\alpha_t$ of $\ell_t$ changes when $t$ goes through 0.

We consider a variation $J_s$ of the standard complex structure $J_0$ on the sphere $S^2$, compatible with the symplectic form. The Maslov form of $\ell_t$ now depends on $s$ as well, and we denote it by $\alpha_{t,s}$. By continuity, there exists $t_s$ for each
sufficiently small deformation $J_s$ such that $\int_{S^1} \alpha_{t_s, s} = 0$. If there is a Hamiltonian transformation $v_s$ of the sphere such that $v \circ \ell$ is a HSLAG fibration with respect to $J_s$, then $v \circ \ell_{t_s}$ has constant curvature with exact Maslov form and it must be a geodesic.

Now, we pick a particular variation $J_s$, where each $J_s$ induces the metric of an ellipsoid of revolution with three distinct axes unless $s = 0$. Such surfaces are known to have only three closed geodesics. Up to a rotation, we may assume that none of the three geodesics agree with the equator of the starting round sphere. This shows that $v_s$ is not close to the identity for $s$ close to 0.

6.2. Positive perturbations. We start with a Kähler manifold $(M, \omega, J_0)$. Let $G$ be its group of Hamiltonian isometries. We consider a rigid HSLAG embedding $\ell : L \to M$ as in Theorem A.

Let $G_\ell$ be the subgroup of isometries of $G$ preserving the image of $\ell : L \to M$. In other words $u \in G_\ell$ if and only if $u \circ \ell(L) = \ell(L)$. We denote by $G_0^\ell$ the identity component of $G_\ell$.

Using the notation of §4.6, we consider almost complex structures $J \in W$ sufficiently close to $J_0$ and the corresponding relatively HSLAG embedding $\ell_h : L \to M$ on $(M, \omega, J)$. The modified volume functional $\tilde{\text{vol}} : W \to \mathbb{R}$ is generally not $G$-invariant. However $G_\ell$ leaves $L = \ell(L)$ invariant by definition. It follows that the map $\Psi$ defined at (4.2) is $G_\ell$-equivariant, and so is the map $\phi$ defined in Proposition 4.5.1. In turn, the modified volume functional $\tilde{\text{vol}} : W \to \mathbb{R}$ is $G_\ell$-invariant. Hence, the modified volume functional may be understood as a map $\tilde{\text{vol}}_J : G_\ell / G_0^\ell \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\tilde{\text{vol}}_J([u]) = \tilde{\text{vol}}(u \cdot J)$. By Proposition 4.6.1, critical points of this functional correspond to HSLAG embeddings. Such critical points are generally not non-degenerate. For instance, the choice $J = J_0$ provides a constant function $\tilde{\text{vol}}_{J_0}$ since $J_0$ is $G$-invariant and all critical points are degenerate.

**Definition 6.2.1.** An almost complex structure $J \in W$ is called a positive deformation of $J_0$ with respect to the rigid HSLAG $\ell : L \to M$ if the corresponding functional $\tilde{\text{vol}}_J : G_\ell / G_0^\ell \to \mathbb{R}$ admits a non-degenerate local minimum. The subset of $W$ that consists of positive deformations is denoted $W^+$.

We have the following obvious result, by stability of non-degenerate local minimum:

**Lemma 6.2.2.** The set of positive deformations $W^+$ of $J_0$ is an open subset of $W$, endowed with its $C^{2,2}$-topology.

The openness result does not insure that $W^+$ is non-empty. Although we suspect that it is never empty, we shall prove it under some reasonable technical assumptions:

**Theorem 6.2.3.** Let $(M, \omega, J_0)$ be a Kähler manifold and $\ell : L \to M$ be a rigid and stable HSLAG.

Then, the open set of positive deformations $W^+ \subset W$ of $J_0$ is not empty. Furthermore, there exists a smooth family of complex structure $J_s \in W$, defined for $s \geq 0$, such that $J_s \in W^+$ for all $s > 0$. In other words, $J_0$ is in the closure of $W^+$. 

Notice that Theorem D is a less technical restatement of the above theorem.

**Proof.** Let $J_s$ be a family of complex structures as in the above proposition.

**Lemma 6.2.4.** Under the stability assumption of Theorem 6.2.3, the functional  
$$
\tilde{\text{vol}}_{J_s} : G/G^0_\ell \to \mathbb{R}
$$
amits non-degenerate local minimum at the identity for every $s > 0$ sufficiently small.

**Proof.** The fact that $\tilde{\text{vol}}$ admits a critical point at the identity is clear, since $\ell : L \to M$ is a HSLAG in $(M, \omega, J_s)$.

The only thing to be proved is the fact that it is non-degenerate. Let $u_t \in G$ be a one parameter subgroup of $G$ transverse to $G_\ell$, with $u_0 = \text{id}$ and $\dot{k} \in K_M$ a corresponding Hamiltonian function such that $\frac{d}{dt}u_t|_{t=0} = X_{\dot{k}}$.

Let $J_{s,t} = u_t^*J_s$ and $\ell_{t,s} = \ell_{h_{s,t}} : L \to M$ be the corresponding solution, a relatively HSLAG embedding, provided by the implicit function theorem as in Proposition 4.5.1.

By definition $\ell_{s,0} = \ell$. We can switch our point of view using $\tilde{\ell}_{s,t} = u_t \circ \ell_{s,t}$ with a fixed complex structure $J_s$. By the second variation formula, we have

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\text{vol}(\tilde{\ell}_{s,t}, g_{J_s})|_{t=0} = \langle \Box_J(k + \dot{h}), k + \dot{h} \rangle,
$$

where $\dot{h} \in \mathcal{H}$ is the projection of $\frac{d}{dt}\phi|_{(0,J_s)} \cdot \dot{k}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Expanding the above inner product and integrating by part we obtain

$$
\langle \Box_J(k + \dot{h}), k + \dot{h} \rangle + 2\langle \Box_J(k + \dot{h}), \dot{h} \rangle.
$$

For $s > 0$, since $J_s$ is provided by Theorem 5.1.1 and we have the non-degeneracy property (3). The second term is non negative for $s$ sufficiently small by the stability assumption of $\ell : L \to M$ into $(M, \omega, J_0)$. The third term is controlled by the first two terms since $\Box_J(k + \dot{h})$ converges to 0 as $s$ goes to 0 and the eigenvalues of $\Box_J(k)$ are uniformly bounded from below in the direction $\dot{h}$. Hence the second variation of the volume must be positive for $s > 0$ sufficiently small. \hfill \Box

In conclusion, the complex structures $J_s$ belong to $W^+$ for $s > 0$ sufficiently small. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.2.3. \hfill \Box

### 6.3. Invariant Lagrangian fibrations.

We would like to extend the deformation theory of §4 to the case of a fibration. For this purpose, we choose to restrict to the case of $G^0_\ell$-invariant fibrations in the sense of Definition 1.2.3. This technical assumption is not too demanding as it is satisfied by examples provided by toric Kähler geometry. We state few observations on $G^0_\ell$-invariant fibrations in the following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.3.1.** Let $\ell_t : L \simeq M^{2n}$ be a Lagrangian fibration with $\ell_0 = \ell$ and $t \in B(0,\varepsilon)$ and such that $L$ is compact.

1. If $G^0_\ell$ acts effectively on $\ell(L)$ then $G^0_\ell$ is a torus of dimension at most $n$.
2. If $\ell_t$ is a $G^0_\ell$-invariant fibration then $G^0_\ell$ is a torus of dimension at most $n$.
3. If $G^0_\ell = \mathbb{T}^n$ then there exists a $G^0_\ell$-invariant fibration $\tilde{\ell}_t : L \to M$ such that $\tilde{\ell}_0 = \ell$ is a neighborhood of $\ell(L)$.
4. If $(M, g, \omega, J)$ is a toric Kähler manifold with momentum map $\mu : M \to P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\mu^{-1}(p) \mid p \in \tilde{P}$ is a $\mathbb{T}^n$-invariant fibration.
Proof. The first affirmation follows the observation that the orbit of \( G_\ell^o \) in \( \ell(L) \) must be isotropic, thus a torus, thanks to the formulas
\[
d\omega(X_a, X_b) = -\omega([X_a, X_b], \cdot) = -\omega(X_{[a,b]}, \cdot)
\]
where \( X_a \) is the vector field induced on \( M \) by \( a \in \text{Lie } G_\ell^o \). The second affirmation is a consequence of the observation that in the case of \( G_\ell^o \)-invariant fibration we have \( G_\ell^o \subset G_\ell^o \) and there is an open and dense subset of \( t \in B(0, \varepsilon) \) such that \( G_\ell^o \) acts effectively on \( \ell_t(L) \). For the third one, we consider the generic orbits of \( G_\ell^o = \mathbb{T}^n \), which must be Lagrangian by the formula (6.1) above. The fourth affirmation is obvious. \( \square \)

**Theorem 6.3.2.** Let \((M, \omega, J_0)\) be a Kähler manifold and \( \ell : L \to M \) a rigid HSLAG embedding, where \( L \) is a real torus.

Assume that non-trivial harmonic forms on \( L \) for the induced metric do not vanish at any point. Then there exists a \( G_\ell^o \)-invariant HSLAG fibration \( \ell_\alpha : L \to M \) such that \( \ell_0 = \ell \).

**Proof of Theorem 6.3.2.** The compact group \( G_\ell \) preserves the image of \( \ell : L \to M \). Thus \( G_\ell \) has an induced action on \( L \) by diffeomorphism. This action also induces a symplectic \( G_\ell \)-action on \( T^*L \). The starting point of our setup to apply the implicit function Theorem (cf. §4) requires the choice of a symplectic diffeomorphism between a neighborhood of the image of \( \ell \) in \( M \) and a neighborhood of the zero-section in \( T^*L \). This symplectomorphism can be chosen to be \( G_\ell \)-equivariant.

We have a Riemannian metric \( g_L \) on \( L \) induced by \( g_{J_0} \). Since \( G_\ell \) acts isometrically on \((M, g_{J_0})\), the induced action on \((L, g_L)\) is also isometric. In particular, \( G_\ell \) acts on the space \( \mathcal{H}^1(L, g_L) \) of harmonic 1-forms of \((L, g_L)\). Since elements of \( G_\ell^o \) are homotopic to the identity in \( \text{Diff}(L) \), they act trivially on the cohomology of \( L \), hence on the space of harmonic 1-forms \( \mathcal{H}^1(L, g_L) \).

One can construct a standard Lagrangian toric fibration
\[
\mathcal{H}^1(L, g_L) \times L \to T^*L
\]
given by \((\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha_x \). This construction is \( G_\ell \)-equivariant, by definition. Using the \( G_\ell \)-equivariant identification between a neighborhood of the 0-section of \( T^*L \) and a neighborhood of the image of \( \ell \), we deduce a local lagrangian toric fibration
\[
\hat{\ell} : K \times L \to M
\]
where \( K \) is a \( G_\ell \)-invariant neighborhood of the origin in \( \mathcal{H}^1(L, g_L) \). For \( K \) sufficiently small, this map is indeed an embedding since by assumption, harmonic 1-forms do not vanish at any point. We use the notation \( \hat{\ell}_\alpha = \hat{\ell}(\alpha, \cdot) \) in the sequel.

By definition \( \hat{\ell}_0 = \ell \) so it must be HSLAG. However \( \hat{\ell}_\alpha \) may not be HSLAG for \( \alpha \in K \). By construction the fibration is \( G_\ell \)-equivariant and the action induced by \( G_\ell^o \) is trivial on the parameter space \( \alpha \in K \).

Using a version of the implicit function theorem with parameter as in Proposition 4.5.1, one can perturb each map \( \hat{\ell}_\alpha \) for \( \alpha \in K \) by a Hamiltonian deformation, provided \( K \) is sufficiently small, in order to get a relatively HSLAG Lagrangian embedding. More precisely, there exists a smooth map
\[
\phi : K \to U,
\]
with the notations of Proposition 4.5.1, such that the lagrangian embedding \( \ell_\alpha \) defined by the 1-form \( \alpha + dh_\alpha \), where \( h_\alpha = \phi(\alpha) \) is relatively HSLAG.
By uniqueness of the solution of the IFT and the fact that $G$ acts by isometries on $g_{J_0}$, we obtain that $\phi$ is a $G_\ell$-equivariant map. In particular, the Lagrangian fibration $\ell_\alpha : L \to M$ is also $G_\ell$-invariant.

The invariance of the metric also implies that the volume of $\ell_\alpha$ is invariant under the action of $G$. This forces the equation $d^*\alpha_H = 0$ by the first variation formula for the volume. Therefore, each $\ell_\alpha$ must be HSLAG. □

6.4. Fibrations and positive perturbations. At this stage, all the tools necessary to handle the case of HSLAG fibrations have been introduced.

Let $\ell_t : L \to M$ be a HSLAG toric fibrations into a Kähler manifold $(M, \omega, J_0)$, with $G$ its the group of Hamiltonian isometries. We are assuming that $\ell_t$ is $G_\ell_0$-invariant.

We are assuming that $J \in W^+$ is a positive perturbation with respect to $\ell = \ell_0$. By stability of non-degenerate minimum, we deduce that $J$ is positive with respect to every $\ell_t$, for $t$ sufficiently small.

Provided $\ell_0$ is rigid, using the implicit function theorem, we deduce a family of Hamiltonian deformations $\ell_{t,h,u}$ of $\ell_t$ which are relatively HSLAG with respect to the complex structures $u \cdot J$ for all $u \in G$. Equivalently, $u \cdot \ell_{t,h,u}$ is relatively HSLAG with respect to $J$. For each $t$, there exists a non-degenerate local minimum of the modified volume functional $\tilde{\text{vol}}$. Since it is non degenerate, we may choose $u_t$, depending smoothly on $t$ such that $u_t \cdot \ell_{t,h,u}$ achieve such a local minimum of $\tilde{\text{vol}}$.

By Proposition 4.6.1, $u_t \cdot \ell_{t,h,u} : L \to M$ must be HSLAG with respect to $(M, \omega, J)$. We deduce the following proposition:

**Proposition 6.4.1.** Let $\ell_t : L \to M$ be a $G_\ell$-invariant HSLAG toric fibration in a Kähler manifold $(M, \omega, J_0)$ such that $\ell_0$ is rigid.

For each positive almost complex structure $J$ compatible with $\omega$ and sufficiently close to $J_0$, there exists a smoothly varying family of Hamiltonian transformations $v_t$ such that $v_t \circ \ell_t$ is HSLAG with respect to $(M, \omega, J)$.

Since we have a local smooth fibration, we readily deduce

**Corollary 6.4.2.** Under the assumptions of Proposition 6.4.1, there exists Hamiltonian transformations $v$ such that $v \circ \ell_t$ is a HSLAG toric fibration with respect to $(M, \omega, J)$.

This proves Theorem C.
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