Radiation GRMHD Simulations of the Hard State of Black Hole X-Ray Binaries and the Collapse of a Hot Accretion Flow
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Abstract

We present global radiation GRMHD simulations of strongly magnetized accretion onto a spinning, stellar mass black hole at sub-Eddington rates. Using a frequency-dependent Monte Carlo procedure for Compton scattering, we self-consistently evolve a two-temperature description of the ion–electron fluid and its radiation field. For an Eddington ratio $L/L_{\rm Edd} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, the emergent spectrum forms an apparent power-law shape from thermal Comptonization up to a cutoff at $\approx 100$ keV, characteristic of that seen in the hard spectral states of black hole X-ray binary systems. At these luminosities, the radiative efficiency is high ($\approx 24\%$) and results in a denser midplane region where magnetic fields are dynamically important. For $L/L_{\rm Edd} \approx 10^{-2}$, our hot accretion flow appears to undergo thermal runaway and collapse. Our simulations demonstrate that hot accretion flows can be radiatively efficient and provide an estimate of their maximum luminosity.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Accretion (14); Black hole physics (159); Radiative transfer (1335); Magnetohydrodynamics (1964); X-ray binary stars (1811)

1. Introduction

Accreting black holes in X-ray binary systems (BHBs) show distinct spectral and variability states (e.g., Remillard & McClintock 2006; Done et al. 2007; Belloni & Motta 2016). The “soft” states show thermally dominated spectra peaking at a photon energy of $\approx 1$ keV, broadly consistent with optically thick emission from a geometrically thin accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). The spectrum in the “hard” states is instead an inverted (hard) power law rising to a cutoff at $\approx 100$ keV, thought to arise from inverse Compton scattering in hot, optically thin plasma (e.g., Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980). Synchrotron emission from relativistic jet electrons may also contribute (Markoff et al. 2001).

BHB hard states are observed over a wide range of sub-Eddington luminosities, $10^{-4} \lesssim L/L_{\rm Edd} \lesssim 10^{-1}$ (e.g., Maccarone 2003). At the low end of this regime the infalling gas is collisionless with virial (hot) ions and colder electrons (e.g., Ichimaru 1977; Rees et al. 1982; Narayan & Yi 1994). At the high end, the radiative cooling time should become shorter than the inflow time (Rees et al. 1982). The resulting collapse of the hot accretion flow to a thin disk has long been associated with hard to soft state transitions (e.g., Esin et al. 1997). Both the radiative efficiency and maximum accretion rate of hot accretion flows remain uncertain. Their values in analytic theory depend on the use of simplified prescriptions for angular momentum transport, electron heating, and radiative cooling (e.g., Yuan & Narayan 2014).

It is now possible to address some of these shortcomings using MHD accretion theory. Radiative general relativistic MHD (GRMHD) simulations of weakly magnetized hot accretion flows with turbulent electron heating prescriptions have previously found low radiative efficiencies $\lesssim 1\%$ and maximum luminosities $L/L_{\rm Edd} \lesssim 10^{-4}$ (Ryan et al. 2017; Sadowski et al. 2017), far below that observed in the hard spectral state of BHBs. In the limit of strong magnetization and high black hole spin parameter, radiation GRMHD models of M87 have found higher radiative efficiency (Chael et al. 2019).

Here we perform 3D, two-temperature, radiation GRMHD simulations of strongly magnetized accretion onto a spinning stellar mass black hole with frequency-dependent Monte Carlo radiative transfer (Section 2). We show that for these parameter choices, equilibrium states reach higher luminosities than previously possible, $L/L_{\rm Edd} \approx 10^{-4}$–$10^{-2}$. For $L/L_{\rm Edd} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, radiative cooling reduces the scale height of the accretion flow (Section 3). The emergent spectrum forms an apparent inverted power law, typical of that seen in BHB hard states. At $L/L_{\rm Edd} \approx 10^{-2}$, our hot accretion flow solution appears to undergo a cooling runaway and collapse (Section 4). We compare our results with hard state phenomenology and analytic theory in Section 5, and briefly discuss the implications for observed state transitions in BHB systems.

2. Radiation GRMHD Simulations

We carried out radiation GRMHD simulations using the public code ebhlight (Ryan et al. 2015, 2017). ebhlight uses the HARM scheme for conservative ideal GRMHD (Gammie et al. 2003; Noble et al. 2006) and a Monte Carlo treatment of the anisotropic, frequency-dependent radiation field. The electron entropy is evolved separately (Ressler et al. 2015), with heating contributions from Coulomb collisions (Sadowski et al. 2017) and grid-scale dissipation. Assuming a thermal distribution, the electrons interact with photons via synchrotron and bremsstrahlung emission and self-absorption and Compton scattering.

The simulations were initialized from a gas torus (Fishbone & Moncrief 1976) with an inner radius of $r_{\rm in} = 12\, r_g$, a pressure maximum radius of $r_{\rm max} = 25\, r_g$, and a dimensionless black hole spin parameter $a = 0.9375$, where $r_g = GM/c^2$ and we set $M = 10M_\odot$. The grid resolution of $320 \times 256 \times 160$ cells in modified spherical Kerr–Schild coordinates was chosen to adequately resolve both the magnetorotational instability (Dexter et al. 2020a) and the time-dependent evolution of the...
mass accretion rate and magnetic flux accumulation onto the black hole (Dexter et al. 2020b). The torus was seeded with poloidal magnetic field, whose topology was chosen to saturate the magnetic flux on the black hole (a magnetically arrested disk, or MAD state; Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Ruzmaikin 1974; Igumenshchev et al. 2003; Tchekhovskoy et al. 2011). We adopt an electron heating prescription from particle-in-cell calculations of magnetic reconnection with a modest guide field (Werner et al. 2018). According to this prescription, electrons receive $f_e = 1/4 - 1/2$ of the total dissipated energy depending on the magnetization parameter $\sigma \equiv b^2/\rho$, where $b$ and $\rho$ are the magnetic field strength and rest mass density in $GM = c = 1$ units.

We first ran a nonradiative GRMHD simulation for $t = 9 \times 10^5 \ r_g/c$. Radiation was then initialized, with a simulation mass scale $M_{\text{init}}$ chosen to approximately match target accretion rate values of $\dot m = M/M_{\text{Edd}} = 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}$, and $10^{-3}$, where $0.1M_{\text{Edd}} c^2 = L_{\text{Edd}}$. We subsequently evolved both the radiative and nonradiative simulations for an additional $(1-2) \times 10^5 \ r_g/c$, sufficient to reach radiative and inflow equilibrium over the same range in radius ($\lesssim 20 \ r_g$), where the inflow equilibrium radius at time $t$ is defined by $t = r_{eq}/v_f$ (e.g., Narayan et al. 2012), and $v_f = u_f/(\rho u)$ the coordinate four-velocity. Superphoton packets reaching $r = 40 \ r_g$ were removed from the grid and recorded, accounting for the gravitational redshift at that location (Ryan et al. 2015). No radiative processes were considered outside that radius. Table 1 lists time-averaged properties of our calculations over the final 500 $r_g/c$. Radially dependent quantities are averaged over the range $3-15 \ r_g$. Angle brackets denote shell averages:

$$
\langle x \rangle = \frac{\int \int \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{-g} \rho \ x}{\int \int \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{-g} \rho},
$$

$$
\langle x \rangle_J = \frac{\int \int \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{-g} \ J \ x}{\int \int \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{-g} \ J},
$$

where $\sqrt{-g}$ is the metric determinant and $J$ is the cooling rate per volume. In practice the integration is carried out in code coordinates.

The eblight radiation field is represented by a number of weighted superphoton packets, $N_{\text{ph}}$ chosen so that the average number of emission and scattering events per cooling time is large, $Q \equiv N_{\text{ph}}/J \gg 1$, where $N$ is the average rate of emission or scattering events and $u_c$ is the electron internal energy density. The simulations presented here used a total of $N_{\text{ph}} \simeq (5-30) \times 10^5$, or $\approx 80-450$ superphoton packets per grid cell. The quality factors for both emission ($Q_{\text{em}}$) and scattering ($Q_{\text{sc}}$) interactions are $\gtrsim 100$. Such large $Q$ values should result in convergence of the radiation field and electron thermodynamics (Yao et al. 2021).

We parallelized the radiation runs using 1 MPI process per node, and OpenMP across each node. The domain is split into hemispheres, each containing the full radial grid. This results in an equal number of superphoton packets on all nodes and at all times to within $\pm 5\%$ when using 64 nodes. Our radiative simulations were $\approx 5-30 \times$ more computationally expensive than nonradiative GRMHD simulations performed with eblight at the same grid resolution. The cost increased with $\dot m$, due to both the higher number of superphoton packets used to resolve the radiation field and the higher rate of absorption and scattering interactions.

### 3. Numerical Models of the Hard State

At low $\dot m$, a new radiative equilibrium is reached rapidly. The radiation is produced close to the black hole where timescales are short, and the cooling primarily modifies the electron temperature (e.g., Ryan et al. 2017, 2018; Chael et al. 2019). At higher $\dot m$, cooling changes the accretion flow structure and equilibrium is only reached after an inflow time from the outermost radius of interest. We determine the time range over which a new steady state has been reached by waiting for the flow to establish stationary radial profiles of fluid quantities, including the total cooling rate and gas and electron temperature. By these criteria, M3 appears to reach a stable equilibrium for $r \lesssim 20 \ r_g$ within $\approx 2000 \ r_g/c$. For $r > 20 \ r_g$, the gas is still cooling and inflow equilibrium has not yet been reached. We note that this procedure is complicated by turbulent fluctuations in $M$ on comparable timescales to our time averaging, which can produce secular changes in the normalization of $J$ and $\tau_{sc}$.

Figure 1 shows radial profiles of vertically integrated scattering optical depth and density-weighted shell averages of the electron heating ratio from Coulomb collisions and viscous dissipation ($\langle Q_{\text{coll}}/J \rangle (\langle Q_{\text{visc}}/J \rangle$), the gas and electron temperatures, and the density scale height. All runs are optically thin to electron scattering in the vertical direction, although the M3 run approaches $\tau_{sc} \sim 1$ near $r \approx 20 \ r_g$. For that simulation, electron heating from Coulomb collisions exceeds that from grid-scale dissipation for $r \gtrsim 3 \ r_g$. The electron temperatures are far below those from nonradiative GRMHD: radiative cooling is important in all cases. For $\dot m \gtrsim 10^{-3}$, the average electron temperature is nonrelativistic. In the M3 simulation, radiative cooling reduces the gas temperature and pressure and in turn the density scale height.

Azimuthally averaged snapshots of fluid and radiation quantities are shown in Figure 2 for each simulation reaching a steady state. The M5 and M4 models structurally are still geometrically thick, hot accretion flows. The high magnetization chosen here results in plasma $\beta \equiv p_{\text{gas}}/p_B \gtrsim 1$ in the disk and $< 1$ outside of it, where $p_{\text{gas}}$ and $p_B = b^2/2$ are the gas and magnetic pressure. For model M3, radiative cooling of the gas results in the formation of a strongly magnetized ($\beta < 1$),

### Table 1: Averaged Properties of the Final 500 $r_g/c$ of Our eblight Simulations

| Name | $M_{\text{init}}$ (10$^{10}$ g) | $N_{\text{ph}}$ (10$^8$) | $\dot m$ (10$^{-3}$) | $\langle H \rangle/r$ | $\langle \beta \rangle$ | $\langle Q_{\text{em}} \rangle$ | $\langle \tau_{sc} \rangle$ | $L_{\text{Edd}}$ (10$^{-3}$) | $L_{sc}$/$L_{\text{Edd}}$ | $\Gamma_{3:20}$ | $E_{\text{peak}}$ (keV) | $L/M_2^2$ |
|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| M5   | 1                             | 0.50                     | 0.02                 | 0.20              | 3.44          | 922            | 342            | 10.58          | 0.02            | 0.7           | 2.10          | 0.01           | 0.08           |
| M4   | 10                            | 1.42                     | 0.44                 | 0.22              | 2.57          | 2318           | 196            | 4.33           | 0.79            | 5.5           | 1.92          | 0.73           | 0.18           |
| M3   | 17                            | 1.48                     | 1.34                 | 0.15              | 0.72          | 2129           | 159            | 2.84           | 3.22            | 18.4         | 1.76          | 121.75         | 0.24           |
| M3f  | 30                            | 1.72                     | 1.57                 | 0.13              | 0.90          | 2308           | 122            | 1.52           | 6.22            | 36.2         | 1.66          | 121.75         | 0.40           |

Note. The mass unit $M_{\text{init}}$ and average number of superphoton packets $N_{\text{ph}}$ are independent variables, while the other quantities are simulation outcomes. We italicize the M3f results since that simulation fails to achieve a steady state.
denser region close to the midplane for all radii \( r \lesssim 20 \, r_g \), with a scale height \( \langle H \rangle / r \approx 0.15 \).

In all cases, the radiative cooling by both emission \( \langle J_{\text{em}} \rangle \) and scattering \( \langle J_{\text{sc}} \rangle \) are concentrated toward the equatorial plane in the dense accretion flow, rather than in a surrounding corona or jet. This is partly by construction: we do not allow radiation from magnetically dominated regions where \( \sigma > 1 \). Compton cooling becomes increasingly important at higher \( \dot{m} \), as can be seen in plots of the Compton \( \gamma_c \) parameter (calculated using \( \tau_{sc} \) in the radial direction, right middle panels). A region with \( \gamma_c > 1 \) forms in the M4 and M3 simulations near the equatorial plane and extends out to large radii.

Time-averaged spectra integrated over a solid angle are shown in Figure 3. The thin lines show the separate emission and inverse Compton scattering contributions. Synchrotron radiation is the dominant emission process in all cases and peaks in the optical band (\( \sim 10^{15} \) Hz). A secondary peak due to optically thin bremsstrahlung grows in relative strength with increasing \( \dot{m} \) (secondary hard X-ray emission peak near \( \sim 10^{19} \) Hz).

For the M5 model, inverse Compton scattering produces a subdominant contribution to the bolometric luminosity \( \langle L_{\text{em}} / L_{\text{sc}} \rangle \lesssim 1 \) in Table 1. For the M4 and M3 models, repeated inverse Compton scattering of synchrotron seed photons is the dominant cooling mechanism. The result is a hard power-law X-ray spectrum extending to a thermal cutoff at a photon energy of \( \approx 100 \) keV. The radiative efficiency also increases with \( \dot{m} \). Its value of \( \approx 24\% \) for the M3 model is somewhat higher than that of a Novikov & Thorne (1973) thin accretion disk for this spin parameter.

4. Collapse of a Hot Accretion Flow

For simulation M3, Coulomb coupling is a significant source of electron heating and the gas cools efficiently by inverse Compton scattering. The resulting, apparent equilibrium is poised at the edge of instability: both the scattering optical depth and Coulomb electron heating ratio are approaching unity. Once those values are exceeded, no stable two-temperature solution is expected (e.g., Rees et al. 1982; Yuan & Narayan 2014).

We demonstrate this explicitly using a simulation with a slightly higher mass unit (M3f in Table 1). This model reached an initial radiative equilibrium at a small radius \( r \lesssim 10 \, r_g \). The optical depth increases to \( \tau_{sc} \sim 1 \), and Coulomb collisions...
Figure 2. Azimuthally averaged snapshots from equilibrium states of our simulations. The accretion flow Compton $\gamma$ parameter ($y_C$, calculated using $\tau_{sc}$ in the radial direction) and Compton cooling rate $J_{sc}$ preferentially increase at higher $\dot{m}$, while the electron temperature $\theta_e = kT_e/m_e c^2$ decreases. Efficient radiative cooling results in the formation of a dense midplane “disk” in the M3 simulation, where magnetic fields are dynamically important (plasma $\beta < 1$). The black contours correspond to magnetization $\sigma = 1$. 
Comptonization models (e.g., Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980; Haardt & Maraschi 1993). The spectrum is exponentially cut off at a thermal energy of $\gtrsim 100\text{keV}$, again broadly in agreement with observations of the hard state (e.g., Grove et al. 1998) and without requiring any nonthermal particle acceleration.

The cooling is concentrated toward the inner radii in all cases. The average emission radius of $\langle r_{\text{e}} \rangle \lesssim 6 r_g$ is consistent with observations of a compact X-ray emitting region (Dai et al. 2010; Uttley et al. 2014). A small amount of additional luminosity would likely be produced from the neglected region $r > 40 r_g$. The radiated luminosity is produced by a small fraction of the total volume, and the electron temperature weighted by emissivity, $\langle \theta_{\text{e}} \rangle$, exceeds that weighted by density, $\langle \theta_{\text{d}} \rangle$ (Table 1 and Figure 1). For the M3 model, $\langle \theta_{\text{e}} \rangle \approx 3$ while $\langle \theta_{\text{d}} \rangle \approx 0.2$ at the average emission radius.

The HARM algorithm requires the (artificial) injection of mass and internal energy in order to limit the fluid magnetization, set to here to $\sigma \lesssim 50$. We neglect electron–photon interactions whenever $\sigma > 1$ to ensure that this material does not contribute to the radiation field. The chosen cutoff value is arbitrary. At low $\dot{m}$, $\langle \sigma \rangle \lesssim 1$ for the M5 model and higher $\sigma$ material would likely cause order-unity changes to the emergent spectrum and bolometric luminosity (see Chael et al. 2019). As inverse Compton cooling from larger radii becomes more important at high $\dot{m}$, $\langle \sigma \rangle$ decreases and the results for the M4 and M3 models should be less sensitive to the chosen cutoff value.

Axisymmetric radiative GRMHD simulations have been carried out using ebhlight for $\dot{m} = 10^{-9}$–$10^{-5}$ for supermassive black holes ($M = 10^8 M_\odot$ or $\approx (3–6) \times 10^9 M_\odot$; Ryan et al. 2017, 2018). Those calculations reached $L_{\text{edd}} / \dot{m} \lesssim 10^{-4}$. Qualitatively, our results are similar. The effects of radiative cooling and Coulomb coupling become more important with increasing $\dot{m}$, and a significant fraction of the radiated luminosity originates from inverse Compton scattering at larger radii. We find radiative efficiencies a factor $\approx 10$ higher at $\dot{m} = 10^{-5}$. This is most likely due to higher accretion flow temperatures in our models, resulting from the interplay between strong magnetization (lower plasma $\beta$) and our choice of a more uniform electron heating prescription. For example, the emergent radiation here is produced by the dense accretion flow rather than the jet wall. Similar radiative efficiencies of $\approx 10\%$ have been found in 3D radiation GRMHD models of strongly magnetized accretion onto a rapidly spinning black hole for conditions relevant to M87 (Chael et al. 2019; Yao et al. 2021). Avara et al. (2016) further showed that the radiative efficiency of MAD accretion can significantly exceed the Novikov & Thorne (1973) value, as we find for model M3. Kinch et al. (2021) found a similar hard, power-law spectrum and high radiative efficiency in a post-processed model including a weakly magnetized, geometrically thin disk for $L_{\text{edd}} / \dot{m} \sim 10^{-2}$. For the accretion rate range here, our models show $\approx 3–5 \times$ higher radiative efficiencies than found in analytic models (Xie & Yuan 2012). Our limiting value of $\dot{m} \approx 10^{-3}$ is also about an order of magnitude higher than Ryan et al. (2017) estimated. We see similar differences in the Coulomb to viscous heating ratio between our models and theirs, and cooling may also be somewhat more important at fixed $\dot{m}$ for higher $M_\bullet$.

For $L_{\text{edd}} / \dot{m} \lesssim 10^{-2}$, our hot accretion flow model M3f appears to collapse due to thermal instability in a similar fashion as long predicted by analytic accretion theory. This

---

**Figure 3.** Averaged spectrum in time and solid angle for each simulation. Contributions from emission and inverse Compton scattering are shown as thin lines. The synchrotron spectrum peaks at $\approx 10^{20}$ Hz with a significant X-ray inverse Compton component. Multiple scattering results in a hard power-law spectral shape for the M4 and M3 models.
maximum luminosity is lower than the highest observed from hard state BHBs \( (L/L_{\text{Edd}} \lesssim 10^{-1}) \). However, cold accretion disks appear to be present in at least some luminous BHB hard states (e.g., Miller et al. 2006; Kara et al. 2019) and the hard power law also softens for \( L/L_{\text{Edd}} \lesssim 10^{-2} \) (Skipper & McHardy 2016). Numerical methods that do not rely on sampling individual absorption and scattering events, possibly including moment closure methods (Ryan & Dolence 2020) or implicit Monte Carlo (Roth & Kasen 2015), will be needed to study the equilibrium flow structure and spectrum following collapse to an optically thick accretion disk.

Our models assume that the black hole has (i) saturated magnetic flux and (ii) high spin. Existing measurements suggest that high spin may be common in BHBs (McClintock et al. 2011). If sufficient magnetic flux is available from the binary companion, it may be efficiently advected in the quiescent and hard spectral states (e.g., McKinney et al. 2012) at least for smaller binary systems where a hot accretion flow is expected in the outer region (Begelman & Celotti 2004). Future parameter surveys can explore the dependence of the maximum \( \dot{m} \) and radiative efficiency for hot accretion flows on the black hole magnetization and spin. We also neglect radiative cooling for \( r > 40 \, r_g \), even though the scattering optical depth and Coulomb to viscous heating ratios are found to increase outward. The evolution of the accretion flow and the accumulation of magnetic flux could be significantly altered if the flow becomes optically thick at a larger radius.

The simulations presented here are \( \approx 10-30 \times \) more computationally expensive than nonradiative GRMHD, and as such are limited to short durations of \( \approx 0.1 \) s for a \( 10 \, M_\odot \) black hole. Comparisons to the rich phenomenology of timing features including low-frequency quasi-periodic oscillations and energy-dependent time lags may become possible with longer-duration simulations, especially if they can reach radiative and inflow equilibrium to larger radius.
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Figure 4. Apparent collapse of the M3f simulation. The sampled density-weighted, shell-averaged profiles are spaced by 100 \( r_g/c \) over the final 1000 \( r_g/c \) from early (red) to late (blue) times. The gas temperature and density scale height steadily decrease, while the vertical scattering optical depth increases and exceeds unity at large radius. The total cooling rate and luminosity increase, and bremsstrahlung emission becomes comparable to synchrotron (dotted lines). Coulomb collisions are the main source of electron heating at all radii, and the ion–electron temperature ratio approaches 1 for \( r \gtrsim 5r_g \).
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