Prostaglandin F2α Induces Goat Corpus Luteum Regression via Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Autophagy
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Corpus luteum (CL) is a transient endocrine tissue that produces progesterone for maintaining pregnancy in mammals. In addition, the regression of CL is necessary for the initiation of the estrous cycle. Extensive research has shown that the prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α) induces the regression of CL in ruminants. However, the mechanisms of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and autophagy in the regression of goat CL induced by PGF2α are still unclear. In this study, ovaries of dioestrus goats and goats that were 3 months pregnant were collected to detect the location of the ER stress-related protein GRP78. The relationship between the different stages of the luteal phase of goat CL during the estrous cycle and changes in the expression of ER stress-related proteins and autophagy-related proteins was confirmed by western blot analysis. The results showed that both ER stress and autophagy were activated in the late luteal phase of the goat CL.

To reveal the function of ER stress and autophagy in the CL regression process induced by PGF2α, we used 4-phenyl butyric acid (4-PBA) and chloroquine (CQ) for inhibiting ER stress and autophagy, respectively. Through the apoptotic rate detected by the flow cytometry and the expression of ER stress- and autophagy-related proteins detected by western blotting, we demonstrated that ER stress promoted goat luteal cell apoptosis and autophagy, and that apoptosis can be enhanced by the inhibition of autophagy. In addition, knockdown of EIF2S1, which blocked the PERK pathway activation, promoted apoptosis by reducing autophagy in goat luteal cells treated with PGF2α. In conclusion, our study indicates that ER stress promotes goat luteal cell apoptosis to regulate the regression of CL and activates autophagy to inhibit the goat luteal cell apoptosis via PERK signaling pathway.
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INTRODUCTION

In mammals, the corpus luteum (CL) is an endocrine gland whose function and survival are limited in scope and time. After ovulation, follicular granulosa cells and theca cells divide and differentiate rapidly into luteal cells to develop CL in mammals (Caligioni, 2009). As secretory cells, the function of luteal cells is regulated by steroidogenic enzymes such as the steroidogenic acute regulatory
protein (STAR) and 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (3β-HSD) (Rekawiecki et al., 2008). The CL persists and produces progesterone throughout pregnancy. However, if the egg does not fertilize, the CL regresses within a few days, allowing a new estrous cycle to begin (Jiemtaweeboon et al., 2011). Thus, the development and function of CL are crucial for maintaining pregnancy, and the regression of the CL is necessary for the initiation of the next estrous cycle (Stocco et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2016).

The regression of CL is a complex and delicate physiological process. Prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α), a luteolytic hormone, is produced locally in the endometrial luminal epithelium and CL. A previous study has shown that the concentration of PGF2α in CL tissue decreases in the mid-luteal phase and increases significantly in the late-luteal phase (Berisha et al., 2018), suggesting that PGF2α plays a regulatory role in bovine CL regression. In addition, the regression of CL in cows induced by a PGF2α surge consists of two phases: functional luteolysis in the first 12 h (i.e., a rapid decline in progesterone secretion) and structural luteolysis after 12 h (i.e., apoptosis of luteal cells) (Berisha et al., 2010). Extensive research has shown that PGF2α induces luteal cell apoptosis through the activation of the death receptor-mediated pathway (the extrinsic pathway) and the mitochondrial-dependent pathway (the intrinsic pathway) (Stocco et al., 2007; Rovani et al., 2017). In addition, it has been reported that the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα, IL-1β, and IFNγ facilitate and induce the onset of apoptotic processes and phagocytosis in the regressing bovine CL (Neuvians et al., 2004). These results suggest that apoptosis plays an important role in structural luteolysis in ruminants. Previous research has suggested that increased levels of the C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP), phospho-c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), and cleaved Caspase3 lead to ER stress-mediated apoptosis and CL regression in cattle (Park et al., 2013). In rats, levels of ER stress-related proteins, such as the glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78), activating transcription factor 6 isoform α (ATF6α), CHOP, and pro-apoptotic factor cleaved Caspase3, were increased in the late luteal stage. The luteal cell apoptotic rate was decreased as ER stress was inhibited by tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) in vitro. Thus, the ER stress-mediated apoptotic pathway is involved in the CL regression in rats (Yang et al., 2015). These studies demonstrate that ER stress is a novel pathway of regulating luteal cell apoptosis and generally occurs in the late luteal phase of the rat CL. Previous studies have shown that the unfolded protein response (UPR), which consists of activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6), the protein kinase-like ER kinase (PERK) pathway, and the inositol-requiring enzyme 1α (IRE1) pathway, was activated to relieve ER stress or induce apoptosis and autophagy (Song et al., 2018). However, the potential regulatory mechanism of UPR in goat CL regression is still unclear.

Autophagy is an intracellular process that mediates the degradation and recycling of cytoplasmic components. The expanding membrane forms an autophagosome that encloses the cargo. The autophagosomes and lysosomes then fuse and form autophagolysosomes that degrade and export back into the cytoplasm for reuse by the cell (Yang and Klionsky, 2010). It was initially thought to alleviate nutrient deficiencies and act as a survival response to many types of cellular stress (Mizushima, 2007; Levy et al., 2017). However, autophagy and apoptosis often occur in the same cell, mostly in a sequence in which apoptosis follows autophagy (Wirawan et al., 2010). Some evidence has shown that autophagy promotes cell death by excessive self-digestion and degradation of essential cellular constituents (Young et al., 2012; Yoshida, 2017). In addition, autophagy can be induced by ER stress via the AMPK pathway (Song et al., 2018). During autophagy, the microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3) is converted from LC3-I to LC3-II and localized to autophagosomes. The expression of LC3-II is related to the formation of autophagosomes (Nara et al., 2002). Sequestosome1 (SQSTM1, P62), an adaptor protein that interacts with LC3-II, is a selective cargo receptor that is degraded along with misfolded proteins at the end of autophagy (Liu et al., 2017). Therefore, changes in the expression of LC3-II and P62 are usually used as indicators to detect the level of autophagy. In cattle, it has been reported that the expression of autophagy-related genes (ATG3, ATG7, and LC3) and the pro-apoptosis factor Caspase3 was significantly higher in the late CL than in the mid-CL (Aboelenain et al., 2015). These results suggest that simultaneous upregulation of autophagy-related factors and pro-apoptosis factors are involved in CL regression. Further study of the regulatory relationship between autophagy and apoptosis in ruminant CL regression is therefore needed. In mouse embryonic fibroblasts, PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) activation can induce autophagy and activate transcription factor 4 (ATF4), which is a key upstream transcription factor in the activation of many autophagy genes (B’Chir et al., 2013). The eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit 1 (EIF2S1) phosphorylation also plays a protective role in cell death by inducing adaptive autophagy (Ogbechi et al., 2018). These studies suggest that ER stress plays an important role in the regulation of autophagy-mediated apoptosis. However, the molecular mechanisms involved and the regulatory relationship between autophagy and ER stress in CL regression are not clearly understood.

In this study, we examine the function of ER stress and autophagy in goat CL regression and explore the potential regulatory mechanisms involved in ER stress and autophagy in goat luteal cell death induced by PGF2α.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics**

All animal experiments in this study were approved by the Experiment Center of Northwest A&F University and were in accordance with the Ethics on Animal Care guidelines for the use of animals in experimental research (Approval ID: 2016ZX08008002).

**Collection of Goat Ovaries and CL**

Goat ovaries were collected from sexually mature healthy goats and from goats that were pregnant for 3 months in a
local abattoir (Yangling, Shannxi, China) within 10–20 min of slaughter. The duration of pregnancy was determined by the size and morphological characteristics of the fetus. Fresh ovaries stored on ice were taken back to our laboratory within 30 min for subsequent sampling. The complete CL was exfoliated during the estrous cycle from the non-pregnant goats’ ovaries on ice, and each CL was divided into two equal parts. These CL tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until RNA and protein extraction. Based on the detection of morphological characteristics and the levels of marker genes’ expression, such as STAR, 3βHSD, LH-R, and CYP19A1, in these goat CLs as previously described (Farin et al., 1986), the stages of the luteal phase of the CLs were categorized into five main groups [i.e., early (1–3 day after ovulation), mid1 (4–7 day after ovulation), mid2 (8–12 day after ovulation), mid3 (13–16 day post-ovulation), and late (17–20 post-ovulation)] (Figures 1B,C). For one independent experiment, we exfoliated goat CLs from at least three ovaries in each luteal stage (n = 3 ovaries per stage). All other ovaries fixed in paraformaldehyde (4%) for immunohistochemistry analysis were collected from three dioestrous goats and three pregnant goats.

**Goat Luteal Cell Culture and Treatment**

Goat luteal cells were immortalized by transfection with human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT). The cells were obtained from Tong Dewen’ laboratory and stockpiled in our laboratory. It has been proven that the immortalized luteal cells by hTERT retained their original characteristics and may provide a useful model to study luteal cell functions (Li et al., 2012). This goat luteal cell line has been used to study the effect of swainsonine on luteal cell apoptosis, steroidogenesis, and viability (Huang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014). Luteal cells were grown in 60-mm cell culture dishes containing Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium/Nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12 medium, 1:1, HyClone) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Goat luteal cells were cultured in DF-12 medium and CQ (100 µM) treated with PGF2α (1 µM) at the same time. After treatment for 24 h, cells were collected for assessment.

**Transducing Short Hairpin Interfering RNAs (shRNAs) via Lentiviral Infection**

The U6 RNAi cassette fragment from pSilencer 2.1-U6 hygro (Cat. No. AM5760, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States) was amplified and cloned into pCD513B-1 (SBI, Mountain View, CA, United States), which contains a GFP expression construct, to generate a pCD513B-U6 lentiviral vector (Chen et al., 2019). Lentivirus vectors encoding the ERSF2S1 shRNA (shEIF2S1) and non-silencing negative control (shNC) were constructed by our group. The sequences of the shNC and shEIF2S1 are shown in Table 1. The recombinant lentivirus vector was packaged and transduced into HEK 293T cells. The medium was harvested 48 h after transfection and filtered through a 0.45-µm PVDF filter. An appropriate number of lentiviral particles (MOI = 20) were transduced into goat luteal cells using 8 µg/ml polybrene. The medium containing the virus was removed after 12 h of incubation and replaced with fresh culture medium. After 24 h, the cells were treated with PGF2α (1 µM) for further trials.

**Immunohistochemistry**

The ER stress molecule GRP78 was detected by immunohistochemistry in the ovaries of three dioestrous goats and in the ovaries of three goats that were 3 months pregnant. Paraformaldehyde (4%) was used to fix the goat ovaries for 48 h. Different concentration gradients of alcohol were used for dehydration, and the goat ovaries were later embedded in paraffin wax. Sections (7-µm thick) were fixed on glass slides and were subsequently dehydrated in a 37°C incubator for 12 h and were placed in citrate buffer (pH = 6.0). To retrieve the antigen, samples were heated to 92°C for 15 min. Then, samples were washed thrice in PBS [8 g/L (w/v) NaCl, 0.2 g/L (w/v) KCl, 1.44 g/L (w/v) Na2PO4, and 0.24 g/L (w/v) KH2PO4; pH 7.4]. The sections were pretreated with 0.3% (v/v) H2O2 in methanol to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. After washing with PBS, the sections were incubated with 10% goat serum for 30 min at 37°C. After blocking, the sections were incubated for 12 h at 4°C with rabbit anti-GRP78 antibody (Abcam ab21685; 1:500). After washing with PBS,

---

**Table 1 | Short hairpin interfering RNA (shRNA) inserts.**

| shRNA | Sequence (Loop in bold letters) (5’-3’) |
|-------|----------------------------------|
| shEIF2S1 | GATCCGGCAGATTTGGAAGTGCTTTG |
| shNC | GTAATTCAAAAAAGCAGATATTGAAGTGGCTT |

---
the sections were incubated with biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG antibody at 37°C for 1 h and HRP-labeled streptavidin (SA-HRP) at 37°C for 30 min. Positive reactions were visualized using a diaminobenzidine (DAB, Sigma Aldrich)-peroxidase substrate and a 30-s counterstaining with hematoxylin. Finally, the sections were counterstained, dehydrated, and mounted. Negative control slides were incubated with pre-immune serum instead of the primary antibody. The slides were imaged using a digital microscope (Motic, BA400).

### Immunofluorescent Staining
After the treatment for 24 h, cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 12 h at 4°C and permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min. After washing with PBS for 5 min, the cells were incubated with primary antibodies, including rabbit anti-LC3B (Sigma L7543; 1:200) and mouse anti-LAMP1 (Abcam ab233567; 1:200), at 4°C for 1 h. The cells were then incubated with donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 and donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen, Life Technologies; 1:500) at 37°C for 1 h. Next, the nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and incubated for 5 min. The fluorescent signals were detected under a Nikon epifluorescence microscope (Nikon, Eclipse 80i).

### RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analysis
Hundred milligrams of CL tissue were cut from each CL to extract RNA. The CL tissue of all goat test groups was ground to less than 0.1 mm in RNAiso set on ice. Total RNA of the goat CL was extracted using RNAiso (TaKaRa, Cat. No. 9109) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA samples were treated with 30 μL of RNase-free Dnase. The cDNAs were synthesized by SYBR Green Master Mix (Vazyme Bio) in Bio-Rad CFX96 (CFX, Bio-Rad Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. All primer sets were designed to span introns to avoid amplifying products from genomic DNA. Primer sequences of the primers are shown in Table 2. Quantification of mRNA was performed using the \( 2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct} \) method. The relative quantity for each sample was normalized by the geometric average of 36B4 as the internal control gene in all samples.

### Western Blot Analysis
The ovaries and cells were lysed in the radio-immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech). Cell suspensions were oscillated three times after incubation on ice for 5 min. The cell debris and total protein were then separated by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 15 min. Protein concentration was detected by the BCA assay (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech). Total protein (20 μg) loaded into each well was separated into different-sized proteins by gel electrophoresis using a 15% SDS-PAGE gel. The proteins were then electro-transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore). After incubation, the membrane was blocked with 10% skim milk in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 [TBST; 8 g/L (w/v) NaCl, 0.2 g/L (w/v) KCl, and 3 g/L (w/v) Tris base; pH 7.4,0.5% Tween-20], and were incubated at 4°C with the antibody for 12 h. The membranes were then washed for 10 min with TBST and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at 25°C. Finally, immunoreactive bands were detected using the Gel Image System (Tannon Biotech), and the density of immunoblots was measured using the Image J software (Java). The antibodies used were: rabbit anti-GRP78 (Abcam ab21685; 1:1000), rabbit anti-ATF4 (Proteintech 10835-1-AP; 1:1000), rabbit anti-EIF2S1 (Abcam ab26197; 1:1000), rabbit anti-ATF6 (Abcam ab21685; 1:1000), rabbit anti-phospho-EIF2S1 (Abcam ab32157; 1:1000), rabbit anti-phospho-IRE1 (Abcam ab124945; 1:1000), rabbit anti-phospho-ATF6 (Abcam ab83504; 1:1000), rabbit anti-P62 (Proteintech 18420-1-AP; 1:500), rabbit anti-CYP19A1 (Abcam ab18995;1:1000), mouse anti-β-actin (Genshare Biological, China; 1:5000), HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (Genshare Biological, China; 1:5000), and HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse (Genshare Biological, China; 1:5000).

### Analysis of Apoptosis
After the treatment for 24 h, apoptotic cells were quantified using an Annexin V-FITC and PI or Annexin V-APC and PI apoptosis detection kit (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech). Cells were trypsinized with 0.25% trypsin without EDTA, and collected via centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 15 min. After washing with PBS and centrifugation, cells were resuspended in 500 μL binding buffer, followed by the addition of 5 μL PI and 5 μL Annexin V-FITC or 5 μL Annexin V-APC, and then incubated for 15 min at 25°C. Apoptosis was detected by the flow cytometry (BD, FACS Arima™ II) within 1 h. The experiments were replicated thrice.

### Statistical Analysis
Data are presented as the mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments which were performed using five groups of CL tissues collected from goat ovaries during the estrous cycle and cell samples with separate treatments. The data were tested for normality or homogeneity of variance before statistical analysis. Data analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. All the experiments were replicated at least three times for each group.

| Gene | Sequences (5′–3′) | References or GenBank accession number |
|------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 36b4 | Forward: TGAAGCATGTGGCAGCTGATT | NM_001314331.1 |
| Reverse: ATGGTCAAGCATTGGCAGTTT | |
| 3pHSD | Forward: GAAGGCTGTCGGAGAACAGTCA | NM_001285716.1 |
| Reverse: ATGGTCAAGCATTGGCAGTTT | |
| STAR | Forward: GTTTGAGGGCTCACGAGGAG | XM_013975437.2 |
| Reverse: CQAAGAGGCTTGTGCTCGTATT | |
| LH-R | Forward: GCAGTAGGCTGCTGTTTTTC | NM_001314279.1 |
| Reverse: GCAGCATGGGATGAGGTA | |
Differences were considered significant at \(^*P < 0.05\), \(^{**}P < 0.01\), and \(^{***}P < 0.001\).

RESULTS

Localization of GRP78 Protein and the Expression of ERS-Related Proteins in Goat CL

Goat CLs were collected from three dioestrous goats and three pregnant goats to detect the expression and localization of the GRP78 protein as an ER stress marker. The expression and localization of the GRP78 protein in goat ovaries were detected by immunohistochemical staining. The results showed that the expression of GRP78 was present in the ovaries of both non-pregnant and pregnant goats and localization of GRP78 was in the CL and follicular cells (Figure 1A). According to the macroscopic appearance, LH receptor, and the expression of steroidogenic genes including STAR, 3βHSD, and CYP19A1, which are genes that are expressed in different stages of the luteal phase of the goat CL, the luteal phase can be divided into three main groups. In Figures 1B,C, the dark red tissues with high expression of the LH receptor, STAR, and 3βHSD mRNA and a low expression of the CYP19A1 protein indicate the mid-luteal phase of the goat CL. The bright red tissues with low expression of the LH receptor, STAR, and 3βHSD mRNA and high expression of the CYP19A1 protein indicate the early-luteal phase of the goat CL. The gray-white tissues with low expression of the LH receptor, STAR, and 3βHSD mRNA and high expression of the CYP19A1 protein indicate the late-luteal phase of the goat CL. The expression of ER stress-related proteins GRP78, UPR sensors including phosphorylated-IRE1, IRE1, phosphorylated-EIF2S1, EIF2S1, ATF4, cleaved ATF6, autophagy-related protein LC3, and apoptotic factor cleaved Caspase3 in the different stages of the luteal phase of goat CL were detected by western blot analysis. Combined with the density of the immunoblots measured by Image J software (Java), the results indicated that the protein of GRP78, phosphorylated-IRE1, ATF4, phosphorylated-EIF2S1, EIF2S1, cleaved ATF6, and LC3-II were highly expressed in the early and late luteal phases of the goat CL, but lower at the mid-luteal phase of the goat CL (Figure 1D; \(p < 0.05\)). The expression of cleaved Caspase3 was higher in the late luteal phase of the goat CL than the early and mid-luteal phases of the goat CLs (Figure 1D; \(p < 0.05\)). These results demonstrate that ER stress and autophagy occur in the late luteal phase of the goat CL and that UPR may regulate goat luteal cell apoptosis in the late luteal phase.

PGF2α Induces ER Stress, Autophagy, and Apoptosis in Goat Luteal Cells

To induce apoptosis, luteal cells were treated with 1 \(\mu M\) PGF2α, which is the main hormone that causes CL regression in the late luteal phase, for 24 h. The apoptotic rates of luteal cells were detected by Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining using flow cytometry, which revealed that 1 \(\mu M\) PGF2α significantly increased the apoptotic rate (15.62 ± 3.12%) compared with control (4.76 ± 0.24%) (Figure 2A; \(p < 0.05\)).

Next, we detected the expression of ER stress-related proteins, autophagy-related proteins, and pro-apoptosis factors in goat luteal cells treated with 1 \(\mu M\) PGF2α for 24 h. Western blot analysis indicated that the expression of GRP78 and UPR sensors including cleaved ATF6, phosphorylated-EIF2S1, EIF2S1, ATF4, phosphorylated-IRE1, autophagy-related protein LC3-II, and pro-apoptosis factor cleaved Caspase3 increased significantly in the cells treated with 1 \(\mu M\) PGF2α for 24 h compared with the control group (Figure 2B; \(p < 0.05\)). These results further prove that ER stress-mediated UPR signaling and autophagy are involved in goat luteal cell apoptosis induced by PGF2α.

Effect of ER Stress and Autophagy on Apoptosis of Goat Luteal Cells Under PGF2α Stimulation

To demonstrate the roles of ER stress and autophagy in the regulation of goat luteal cell apoptosis, we used 4-PBA or CQ to inhibit ER stress or autophagy in the cells which were also treated with PGF2α, and detected the apoptotic rate of goat luteal cells with different treatments by Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining using flow cytometry. Neither 4-PBA (1 \(\mu M\)) nor CQ (100 \(\mu M\)) had an effect on cell apoptosis compared with the control group (Figures 3A,C,F,G). Flow cytometry analysis showed that the apoptotic rate of cells treated with PGF2α was significantly increased compared with control group (Figures 3A,B,G; \(p < 0.001\)). However, the apoptotic rate of cells treated with PGF2α and 4-PBA was significantly lower than that in cells treated with PGF2α (Figures 3B,D,G; \(p < 0.01\)). Furthermore, the apoptotic rate of cells treated with PGF2α and CQ was dramatically increased compared with that of cells treated with PGF2α (Figures 3B,E,G; \(p < 0.01\)). In summary, ER stress promotes apoptosis of goat luteal cells, while apoptosis can be inhibited by autophagy under PGF2α stimulation.

ER Stress Promotes Autophagy of Goat Luteal Cells Under PGF2α Treatment

Previous studies have shown that ER stress can induce autophagy by increasing the expression of autophagy markers such as LC3-II (Song et al., 2018). To reveal the relationship between ERS and autophagy in goat luteal cells, we used 4-PBA or CQ to inhibit ERS or autophagy in cells treated with PGF2α. We also detected the expression of the ER stress-related protein GRP78, UPR sensors including cleaved ATF6, IRE1, ATF4, EIF2S1, and the autophagy markers LC3 and P62. Western blot analysis indicated that the expression of GRP78, cleaved ATF6, phosphorylated-IRE1, ATF4, phosphorylated-EIF2S1, EIF2S1, and LC3-II were significantly decreased, whereas the expression of P62 did not change significantly when the cells were treated with PGF2α and 4-PBA compared with when the cells treated with PGF2α alone (Figures 4A; \(p < 0.05\)). This result illustrated that when 4-PBA inhibited ER stress in goat luteal cells, autophagy was also decreased. However, the expression of LC3-II and P62 significantly increased while that of GRP78, ATF6, ATF4, phosphorylated-EIF2S1, and phosphorylated-IRE1 did not.
FIGURE 1 | ER stress, autophagy, and apoptosis-related proteins’ expression changes in different stages of the luteal phase of the goat corpus luteum. (A) The detection of ER stress molecule Grp78 in goat ovaries by IHC. (a,b) Negative control; (c,d) Non-pregnant goat ovary; (e,f) Pregnant goat ovary. Scale bar: a, c, and e: 10 mm; b, d, and f: 100 mm. (B) The detection of CYP19A1 protein expression in different corpus luteum to demonstrate the stages in the luteal phase of different corpus luteum. (C) The detection of STAR, 3βHSD, and LH-R mRNA expression in different corpus luteum to demonstrate the stages in the luteal phase of different corpus luteum. (D) The detection of ER stress, autophagy, and apoptosis-related protein expression in different stages of the luteal phase of the goat corpus luteum is performed by western blot analysis. Data in the bar graph represent the means ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of three independent experiments (N = 3). Means are compared with one-way ANOVA combined with Tukey’s post hoc tests. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 compared to late.
FIGURE 2 | PGF2α induces ER stress, autophagy, and apoptosis in goat luteal cells. (A) Cells cultured in medium with PGF2α (1μM) or isopycnic PBS for 24 h are stained with Annexin V-FITC/PI and analyzed by flow cytometry. B: Cells are treated with PBS or PGF2α for 24 h. The expression of IRE1, GRP78, ATF6, ATF4, and LC3 are detected by western blot analysis. The histogram shows relative protein expression displayed in (B) from three separate experiments. Data in the bar graph represent the means ± SEM of three independent experiments (N = 3). Means are compared with one-way ANOVA combined with Tukey’s post hoc tests. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 compared to control.

Effect of EIF2S1 Knockdown on Apoptosis and Autophagy in Goat Luteal Cells

Previous results revealed that the PERK pathway was activated when we detected the expression of p-EIF2S1 and that the ATF4 protein was increased significantly (Figures 1D, 2B, 4A; p < 0.05). To demonstrate the role of EIF2S1 in the regulation of goat luteal cell apoptosis, we introduced shEIF2S1 lentiviruses to inhibit the expression of EIF2S1 in luteal cells. The cells were transduced with lentiviruses for 36 h before treatment with PGF2α for 24 h. Western blot analysis showed that the expression of EIF2S1 and phosphorylated-EIF2S1 were distinctly decreased in the shEIF2S1 cells compared with that in the shNC cells (Figure 5B, p < 0.05). It also showed that knockdown of EIF2S1 had no effect on the expression of ATF6 and IRE1 (Figure 5B). The apoptotic rate of these treated were detected by Annexin V-APC/PI double staining. Flow cytometry analysis showed that knockdown of EIF2S1 significantly increased apoptosis in the cells treated with PGF2α.
PGF2α compared with that in the shNC cells (Figure 5A; p < 0.001). In contrast, we detected the expression of LC3-II to demonstrate the role of EIF2S1 in the regulation of goat luteal cell autophagy. Western blot analysis showed that knockdown of EIF2S1 inhibited the expression of LC3-II compared with the shNC group (Figure 5B; p < 0.01).

DISCUSSION

The CL plays an important role in maintaining pregnancy and regulating the estrous cycle (Stocco et al., 2007; Pugliesi et al., 2014). In our study, we found that GRP78, one of the main regulators of ER stress-mediated UPR signaling due to its multiple functional roles in protein folding, was located in the CL of both non-pregnant and pregnant goats. This suggests that UPR may play an important role in the fate (formation and regression) and function of goat CL. How the UPR is activated throughout the luteal phase in the goat CL is, however, unknown. Through the detection of LH-R, STAR, 3β-HSD, and CYP19A1 expression, along with macroscopic appearance, we first confirmed the stages of the luteal phase of the goat CL (Figures 1B,C). After this, we detected the expression of GRP78, phosphoEIF2S1, ATF4, cleaved ATF6, and phosphoIRE1, which are ER stress markers. Our results showed that the expression of ER stress-related proteins increased in the late luteal phase of the goat CL, consistent with the findings in rats and cattle (Park et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2015). In addition, we found that high expression of ER stress-related proteins in the early luteal phase was similar to that in the late luteal phase of goat CL. A previous study showed that UPR signaling modules are activated by ER stress crosstalk with signaling pathways that are key in the control of cell differentiation (Hetz, 2012). We therefore speculated that UPR may be involved in the process of goat luteal cells dividing from follicular granulosa cells and theca cells. The regression of the CL occurs in the late luteal phase of the goat CL and is accompanied with the apoptosis of the luteal cells stimulated by PGF2α (Tanaka et al., 2000; Diaz et al., 2002; Luttgenau et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2019). A previous study revealed that the caspase-dependent apoptosis pathway was significantly activated in bovine CL after PGF2α-injection (Kliem et al., 2009). Consistent with this, we found a high expression of the pro-apoptotic factor cleaved Caspase3 in the late stages of the luteal phase of the goat CL, suggesting that UPR may be involved in goat luteal cell apoptosis, as UPR was also activated in the late stages of the luteal phase. We also detected an increased level of autophagy in the late stages of the luteal phase of the goat CL, which is similar to a previous report that autophagy occurs in luteal cells during CL regression (Choi et al., 2014; Aboelenain et al., 2015; Grzesiak et al., 2018). This suggests that both autophagy and UPR are involved in CL regression, and we predict that both autophagy and UPR regulate luteal cell apoptosis in the late luteal phase of goat CL.

To prove the effect of autophagy and ER stress on goat luteal cell apoptosis, we established an apoptosis model of goat luteal cells using PGF2α, produced by endometrial luminal epithelial cells, which is the key molecule that causes functional and structural regression of the CL (Niswender et al., 2000; Stouffer et al., 2013). We treated goat luteal cells with 1µM PGF2α (Sigma, P5069) for 24 h to induce cell apoptosis (Yang et al., 2015;
FIGURE 4 | Effect of 4-PBA and CQ on ER stress and autophagy under PGF2α treatment. (A) Cells cultured in medium with PGF2α (1 µM), PGF2α (1 µM), and 4-PBA (1 µM) to inhibit ERS or PGF2α (1 µM) and CQ (100 µM) to inhibit autophagy for 24 h. The expression of IRE1, GRP78, ATF6, ATF4, cleaved-PARP, LC3, and P62 are detected by western blot analysis. Data in the bar graph represent the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (N = 3). Means compared with one-way ANOVA combined with Tukey’s post hoc tests. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 compared to PGF2α group. (B) Confocal microscopy images of LC3 and LAMP1 expression in the goat luteal cells which are treated with PBS, PGF2α (1 µM), PGF2α (1 µM), and 4-PBA (1 µM) or PGF2α (1 µM) and CQ (100 µM). Scale bar = 5 µm.
FIGURE 5 | Effect of EIF2S1 knockdown on goat luteal cell apoptosis, ER stress, and autophagy under PGF2α treatment. (A) Cells cultured in medium with PGF2α (1 µM) (b,d) or isopycnic PBS (a,c) for 24 h are stained with annexin V-APC/PI and analyzed by flow cytometry. (e) The histogram shows the apoptotic rate displayed in (a–d) from three separate experiments. (B) The changes in the expression of p-EIF2S1, ATF6, LC3, and p-IRE1 under EIF2S1 knockdown. The goat luteal cells infected with a lentivirus specific for EIF2S1 and a negative lentivirus (shNC) for 48 h. Then, cells are treated with PGF2α (1 µM) for 24 h, total protein from LCs were subjected to western blot analysis. Data in the bar graph represent the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (N = 3). Means are compared with one-way ANOVA combined with Tukey's post hoc tests. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
The expression of ER stress-related proteins and the autophagy marker LC3-II were increased in goat luteal cells treated with PGF2α, similar to results from rat luteal cells (Choi et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2015). Moreover, our results showed that all three UPR signaling pathways were activated in goat luteal cells treated with PGF2α, suggesting that they are all involved in apoptosis or autophagy in goat luteal cells. However, the regulatory relationships among UPR, autophagy, and apoptosis are still unclear in goat luteal cells stimulated by PGF2α.

Goat luteal cells were treated with 4-phenyl butyric acid (4-PBA) and chloroquine (CQ) to inhibit ER stress and autophagy. The apoptotic rates in Figure 3 were similar to those in previous studies, which showed that the ER stress promotes apoptosis, whereas the autophagy inhibits apoptosis in goat luteal cells treated with PGF2α (Booth et al., 2014; Song et al., 2017). Our data on the decreased expression of ER stress-related proteins were consistent with those of a previous report that ER stress can be inhibited by 4-PBA (Gao et al., 2019). Moreover, we detected the expression of the autophagy-related proteins LC3-II and P62 and the location of LC3 and LAMP1 in goat luteal cells treated with PGF2α and 4-PBA. LC3-II is a necessary factor for the formation of autophagosomes, which is increased by autophagy inducers (Ravanan et al., 2017), and P62 is the adaptor protein essential for the sequestration of the autophagy pathway, which is biodegraded in the autophagolysosome if autophagy is completed in an orderly manner (Lamark et al., 2017). Our results showing a decreased expression of LC3-II and no colocalization between LC3 and LAMP1 explained that autophagy could be reduced when ER stress was inhibited by 4-PBA in goat luteal cells treated with PGF2α. In contrast, we treated PGF2α and CQ with the luteal cells to inhibit autophagy. As previously reported, CQ is an inhibitor of autophagy, which blocks lysosomal function to inhibit the formation of autophagolysosomes (Maes et al., 2014). As expected, the contents of P62 and LC3-II significantly increased when CQ blocked the formation of autophagolysomes that degrade proteins, including P62 and LC3-II, at the end of autophagy. Furthermore, our results confirmed that inhibition of autophagy did not affect ER stress in the luteal cells treated with PGF2α and CQ. Based on our results and earlier research on the relationship between autophagy and ER stress (Song et al., 2018), we believe that there is also a potential mechanism in autophagy regulated by ER stress in goat luteal cells.

EIF2S1 is a critical response protein for several forms of stress, including ER stress (Donnelly et al., 2013). PERK, an ER stress sensor, promotes the phosphorylation of EIF2S1, which has been shown to promote apoptosis (Lin et al., 2009). We introduced shEIF2S1 lentiviruses to inhibit the PERK pathway in goat luteal cells. However, our results showed that the apoptotic rate of goat luteal cells treated with PGF2α was significantly increased when there was a knockdown of EIF2S1. To reveal the mechanism by which EIF2S1 plays an anti-apoptotic role in goat luteal cells stimulated by PGF2α, we detected the level of autophagy, which proved that the EIF2S1-ATF4 pathway could activate transcription of numerous autophagy genes and that ATF4 plays an important role in bortezomib-induced autophagy (B’Chir et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2018). Our results also illustrate that autophagy was reduced when EIF2S1 was knocked down. Previous research expounded that the phosphorylation of EIF2S1 protects cells by a mechanism that involves adaptive autophagy (Ogbechi et al., 2018), which is consistent with our results that showed that knockdown of EIF2S1 reduced the inhibition of autophagy on goat luteal cell apoptosis induced by PGF2α (Figures 3, 5B). Above all, our results indicated that phosphorylation of EIF2S1 promoted autophagy to protect goat luteal cells from apoptosis induced by PGF2α. In addition, we suggest that the activated IRE1 or ATF6 pathway may play an important role in promoting apoptosis in goat luteal cells stimulated by PGF2α.

In summary, we explored the change in expression of ER stress markers in all three UPR signaling pathways, pro-apoptotic factor cleaved Caspase3, and autophagy-related protein LC3-II in different stages of the luteal phase of goat CL. In addition, further relationships between ER stress and autophagy regulated goat luteal cell apoptosis. Moreover, ER stress-mediated UPR promotes autophagy to inhibit goat luteal cell apoptosis by activating the PERK signaling pathway.
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