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Abstract

In financial services industry, compliance involves a series of practices and controls in order to meet key regulatory standards which aim to reduce financial risk and crime, e.g. money laundering and financing of terrorism. Faced with the growing risks, it is imperative for financial institutions to seek automated information extraction techniques for monitoring financial activities of their customers. This work describes an ontology of compliance-related concepts and relationships along with a corpus annotated according to it. The presented corpus consists of financial news articles in French and allows for training and evaluating domain-specific named entity recognition and relation extraction algorithms. We present some of our experimental results on named entity recognition and relation extraction using our annotated corpus. We aim to furthermore use the the proposed ontology towards construction of a knowledge base of financial relations.
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1. Introduction

Strict regulatory regimes mandate financial institutions (e.g. banks, insurance companies, investment firms) to rigorously monitor their customers’ financial activities. Operating in fear of massive fines in case of undetected violation of the regulations, these institutes have set up a procedure called Know Your Customer (KYC). Today KYC procedure is a time consuming effort of collecting information through declarative questionnaires and watchlist lookups. Furthermore, each customer’s profile need periodical reviews to ensure the accuracy of the provided information. The regulations in force also evolve over time and legislators do not specify a standard of sufficient information in order to prevent financial institutes from enforcing a bare minimum control. This has led financial institutions to seek as much data as possible on their clients’ financial activities. In this context, automated solutions can help financial institutions to continuously update the information that can impact the assessment of risk profiles, e.g. ownership and managerial changes and company’s domains of activity. This goal can be achieved by means of a knowledge base (KB) of the financial relations of the clients which benefits from population through automated information extraction. For example, the news headline “Jean-Dominique Senard appointed as Renault Chairman” announces a financial event (managerial change) and thus, implies that the existing KYC records of those entities need to be updated. We would therefore like to extract the entities Jean-Dominique Senard as a Person, Renault as Company, and chairman as a Role (Named Entity Recognition). We would also like to extract the relation between the person and his role, and that between the role and the affiliated company (Relation Extraction). Extracting such information from unstructured data raises several challenges. Among other determining factors, the performance of named entity recognition and relation extraction algorithms depend on the available resources in the destination language. In this regard, applications for non-English languages (including French) face extra challenges related to available tools and the amount of training data. Besides the destination language, specific domains (e.g. economy and finance) further limit the available training resources. For instance, information about companies and their executives is quite limited in freely available knowledge bases such as DBpedia (Auer et al., 2007) or Freebase (Bollacker et al., 2008). These sources are mostly based on Wikipedia which does not include commercial non-encyclopedic information. Furthermore, the writing style of the target texts can impact information extraction. While the official press provides well-formed texts, other sources such as social media are often written in an informal, not always grammatically correct style. The quality of the texts (e.g. typographical errors, missing text excerpts) can also affect the information extraction algorithms. In this work, we present an ontology of financial concepts and relations that are important from the KYC and financial compliance point of view. This ontology serves as a model for custom named entity recognition and relation extraction tasks and will eventually be used as the schema of a future knowledge base of financial relations (Jabbari et al., 2019). We have created a French-language corpus consisting of news articles published in financial press annotated according to our ontology. This corpus allows for training and evaluating named entity recognition and relation extraction algorithms.

2. Related Works

Information extraction tasks often require annotated corpora for training and evaluation. Such linguistic resources are often rare or limited for French and other non-English languages in general. WikiNER (Nothman et al., 2013) is one of the few existing multilingual works in this regard. WikiNER offers a French corpus of Wikipedia texts annotated according to Wikipedia’s schema.
papers (Neudecker, 2016) is another project which aims to construct an annotated corpus of historic newspapers in French and other European languages. Nevertheless, like most of the existing work in Named Entity Recognition (NER), it only contains standard entity types, such as persons, organizations, and locations. However, for domain-specific application, e.g. financial compliance, we need corpora that include domain-specific entity types which are unfortunately publicly unavailable. Extraction of key financial relations and events for populating knowledge bases needs a more fine-grained model, i.e. a domain-specific ontology. Ontologies are models meant to organize the knowledge as hierarchies of concepts and the relations between them. More specifically, ontologies can be defined as models to guide the relation extraction task (Reyes-Ortiz, 2018). In order to develop specialized information extraction tools, we need corpora annotated according to domain-specific ontologies in the target language.

Extraction of financial information is not an entirely unturned research area and we have identified several economy-related ontologies in the literature. The Resource Event Agent (REA) ontology (McCarthy, 1982) is a classic ontology originally developed for accounting applications. The main concepts in REA are resources (e.g. money or services), events (e.g. transactions), and actors (e.g. companies or individuals). The Ontology of Economic Events (OEE) (Benetka et al., 2017) further extends this ontology by including a semantic hierarchy (e.g. get → earn → profit-gross). They used a semi-supervised method that starts from a set of verbs describing common transactions in economic contexts (e.g. acquire, sell). At the end of the process, they propose a hierarchy of the most common verbs describing monetary transactions organized into five levels. However, their approach is limited to texts containing a monetary value and thus only covers a subset of financial relations. Semantic-based pipeline for economic events (SPEED) (Hogenboom et al., 2010) is an another example which employs a language processing pipeline combined with an ontology of economic concepts. One of the shortcomings of SPEED is that it does not address the ambiguity problem induced by conflicting reports of a single event.

Although some ontologies exist for financial compliance (See (Wang et al., 2007) and (Gaidukovs et al., 2017), they are not intended for automated information extraction tasks, e.g. Named Entity Recognition and Relation Extraction. An appropriate ontology should allow for annotation of all relevant information in text (e.g. domains of activity, management personnel, mergers and other events) without including excessively fine-grained concepts that do not appear explicitly in the text. Given the absence of appropriate annotation schema and lack of annotated corpora in French language, we consider that constructing a domain-specific ontology and an annotated corpus is a key effort towards building knowledge management tools for financial compliance. While the ontology is used as annotation schema in the first place, it will be served as the model for organizing the extracted information in the form of a future knowledge base of financial relations. The corpus itself allows for training and evaluating information extraction tools, such as named entity and relation extraction algorithms.

3. Dataset Collection

The information important to financial compliance experts appears in various data sources, such as official reports, news articles, and social media. Each of these sources has different writing styles and their information bear varying levels of availability and reliability. On the one hand, information issued in official reports are well written, rather unambiguous and with the highest levels of reliability. On the other hand, in social media, e.g. tweets, information is often communicated through informal writing style and their reliability is unverified due to the characteristics of such media. In this corpus, we have focused on news articles as they follow the standard writing style that is common in official reports and other reliable sources. Moreover, the amount of publicly available news articles are far beyond the volume of accessible official reports. In order to create an economically-oriented corpus, we selected forty daily French financial newspapers as our main sources. Through private APIs, first we collected a dataset of more than 1 million news articles published between April 2011 and March 2019. Next, we compiled a list of 130 keywords including major companies’ names (e.g. top 40 French companies in stock value), financial interactions (e.g. mergers, acquisitions), currencies, etc. By means of those keywords, we selected 130 news articles at random for manual annotation, ensuring the sample covers diverse financial relations and events.

4. Annotation Schema

The majority of the existing entity recognition algorithms such as Stanford CoreNLP tools (Bauer et al., 2015) only classify basic entity types, i.e. Person, Organization, and Location or do not support French language at all. Since our main goal in this work to create a corpus of annotated texts that can be used to train and evaluate custom named entity recognition and relation extraction algorithms, we decided to define a custom annotation schema.

Towards building an appropriate schema or ontology, we first identify the concepts and relations important to banking compliance. We gathered the relevant information by studying current practices in banks (e.g. KYC questionnaires) and by discussing with the experts of the compliance domain. We also investigated existing domain-specific ontologies used in knowledge bases, such as DBpedia (Auer et al., 2007) and Freebase (Bollacker et al., 2008). While some key entities and relations are present in existing models (e.g. Person, Company, and Location), many other concepts are not covered by those schema. We hence crafted our ontology with a few instructions in mind: our model is aimed to be used as an annotation schema and thus, should allow to annotate the information that is explicitly present in text. This makes our approach different from excessively fine-grained ontologies that are not suitable for information extraction from text. Also, we aimed to keep our ontology compatible with the existing models in order to be able to further integrate existing data in external sources (e.g. complementary data on cities and countries). The resulting ontology was first implemented in OWL format using Protégé (Musen, 2013) tool and its entities and relations were adopted as annotation schema. Through a
phase of trial annotation, we further refined our ontology in order to cover as much relevant information as possible and reduce possible ambiguities.

4.1. Entities

The entity types currently annotated in our corpus are described in Table 2. Wherever hierarchies of concepts are present (e.g. Organization > Company), annotators were instructed to annotate the entity with the more specific type (i.e. Company) unless it was unclear from the context. Along with custom entity types, we have incorporated classic types.

Person denotes physical persons (first and last names). Organization type covers all public, private, national or international institutions. Organization is further categorized into Company (corporations and businesses), GeoPolitical entity (national governments and international political organizations), and Media (press, broadcasts and websites). Location is also integrated with several subtypes: WorldRegions (continents and other world regions, e.g. Middle East), LocalRegion (states and provinces), and City (urban areas). We still tagged capital cities as City where they were referring to the government of a country (e.g. Washington as US government).

Through initial annotation efforts, we further trimmed down ambiguous entity types by either removing or merging them. We also added entity types that we found in our text sources that were not included in the initial schema. We advised annotators to tag noun groups, proper names, and trigger words depending on the corresponding types. For instance, entity types like Person and Organization are often mentioned as proper names (e.g. Emmanuel Macron, Total S.A.), Role instances are often present in form of noun groups (e.g. Directeur Marketing). Others like Acquisition are mostly mentioned by trigger nouns which can be in turn, nouns or verbs, e.g.:

| Entity Type | Definition | Examples (French) |
|-------------|------------|-------------------|
| Person      | Physical Persons | Emmanuel Macron, Carlos Ghosn |
| Organization:Association | Unions, clubs, and NGOs. It was not used due to its juridical ambiguity. | CGT, Greenpeace |
| Organization:Company | Private or public corporation | Total S.A., Airbus |
| Organization:GPE | National/International geopolitical entities | gouvernement français, UE |
| Organization:Media | Press and broadcasts | Le Figaro, BBC |
| Location:WorldRegion | World regions and continents | Asie, moyen orient |
| Location:Country | World countries | France, USA |
| Location:LocalRegion | Local regions, states and provinces | Californie, Normandie |
| Location:City | Cities, towns and urban areas | Grand Londres, New York |
| Role | Professional roles and positions | PDG, patron |
| Currency | Currencies and their symbols | Euro, CHF, $ |
| Asset:FinancialAsset | Non-physical assets | actions, obligations |
| Asset:TangibleAsset | Physical assets and goods | immobilier, véhicules |
| Asset:MoneyAmount | Monetary amount without its currency | dix millions, 10,35 |
| Document | Official documents, diplomas, etc. | passeport, contract |
| Financing | Financements and investments | financement, investissement |
| Merger | Consolidation of two or more companies into one company | fusion, opération M&A |
| Demerger | Converse of a Merger | scission |
| Acquisition | Transfer of ownership of a company (Acquirer) to another (Acquirer) | acquisition, rachat |
| Activity | Economical sector of activity | aéronautique, énergie |
| IPO | Initial Public Offering | introduction en bourse |
| Penalty:Fine | Financial punishments | amende, contravention |
| Penalty:Imprisonment | Prison sentence | peine de deux ans, condamnation |
| Penalty:Sanction | Embargo and sanctions | sanctioné, interdit |
| Shareholding | This category was finally not used in annotations | actionnariat |
| BusinessDeal | Commercial transactions, i.e. payments and purchases | transaction, paiement |

Table 1: Definition of annotated entity types according to our ontology

Mercredi, Total a annoncé l’acquisition du néerlandais PitPoint. (This Wednesday, Total announced the acquisition of Dutch PitPoint)

Google a racheté le leader de la vidéo en ligne YouTube pour 1,65 milliard de dollars. (Google has bought the leader of online video YouTube for $1.65 billion)
Compound entities (e.g., Bank of England) were annotated as a single entity (i.e., Organization) and nested entities (i.e., England) were intentionally left out. Finally, in case an entity was referred to with more than one mention, such as acronyms, translations, etc., every mention was annotated separately and were associated together by isSameAs relations.

4.2. Relations

A relation connects two or more named entities (arguments of the relation) and describes the type of association and interaction between those entities. Contrary to named entities which are explicit, i.e. expressed as sequences of words, relations are often implicit and can be recognized by the semantics of the context. A domain knowledge model (ontology) which consists in concepts, events, connections, and characteristics can be formalized by means of a set of both entity types and relations. While constructing our ontology, we noticed that a piece of information can be modeled in several ways. In Figure 1, the professional relationship between a company and its CEO is formalized in two fashions. The first one relates the person to his company via a direct workFor relation and his role as CEO is incorporated as a property of this relation. In the second one, the same information is represented by two relations instead: the hasRole relation denotes the position of the person and points to the mention of CEO in the text and the second relation (roleDepartment) shows in which company/department this position is held.

We decided to opt for the second approach in Figure 1 for a few reasons. First, this approach is more dependent on named entities explicitly present in text rather than complex semantic relations. Also, we know that Relation Extraction (RE) is generally a more challenging task compared to Named Entity Recognition (NER) and hence, we benefit from fine-grained NER to drive the relation extraction task. Moreover, we target text sources written in formal style and thus, this approach allows to adopt rule-based methods to relation extraction based on the types of entities and their grammatical relations in the sentence. Finally, by favoring entities to properties, we can enrich our future knowledge base with more nodes and improve querying on archives, e.g. querying all positions held by a person through time or all persons who occupied a specific position in a company.

Instead of semantically complex relations to describe financial events and relations, we have adopted simpler relations which link entities as engaging parties. A financial relation is therefore composed of several basic relations. In this way, annotators were able to partially annotate a complex financial relation or event even if all ontological relations were not explicit in the text (e.g., payment parties are expressed explicitly, but the value is missing).

5. Corpus Statistics

Our corpus currently contains 130 manually annotated news article. Table 3 reports overall statistics of the corpus in terms of its volume and numbers of annotated entities and relations. The current corpus is annotated by four members of our team with engineering and financial operations backgrounds. The annotation was carried out using the open-source tool BRAT (Stenetorp et al., 2012) which allows for both entity and n-ary relation annotation based on custom schema. Each annotated document was reviewed twice to reduce error. In its current state, our corpus contains a total of 6736 named entities and 1754 annotated relations. The number of entities, however, varies greatly be-
Table 2: Definition of annotated relations and the possible entity types of their arguments according to our ontology

| Relation                              | Definition                                                                 | Argument 1                          | Argument 2                          |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| hasRole                               | Occupation of a position in an organization                              | Person                              | Role                                |
| roleDepartment                       | Attachment of a position to an organization                              | Role                                | Organization                        |
| hasIncomingParty                      | Entering Parties                                                         | Merger/Demerger                      | Company/Organization                |
| hasOutgoingParty                      | Outcome party of a merger/demerger                                       | Merger/Demerger                      | Company/Organization                |
| hasAcquirer                           | Initiator of an acquisition                                              | Acquisition                         | Company                             |
| hasAcquiree                           | Subject of an acquisition                                                | Acquisition                         | Company                             |
| hasActivity                           | Participation of an organization in a sector of activity                 | Organization                        | Activity                            |
| hasHQ                                 | Having headquarters in a location                                        | Organization                        | Location                            |
| hasNationality                        | Having citizenship of a country                                           | Person                              | Country                             |
| isLocated                             | Localisation of an entity                                                | *                                   | Location                            |
| owns                                  | Ownership of assets                                                      | Person/Organization                 | Asset                               |
| ownedBy                               | Converse of ownership relation                                           | Asset                               | Organization/Person                 |
| hasCurrency                           | Corresponding currency of a money value                                   | MoneyAmount                         | Currency                            |
| hasObject                             | Contract object of a transaction                                         | BusinessDeal/Financing               | Asset/MoneyAmount                   |
| hasCreditor                           | beneficiary of a transaction                                             | BusinessDeal/Financing               | Activity/Organization/Location      |
| hasDebtor                             | Initiator of a transaction                                               | BusinessDeal/Financing               | Person/Organization                 |
| hasIPOCompany                         | Company going public in the IPO                                           | IPO                                 | Company                             |
| hasIPOMarket                          | IPO’s launch market                                                      | IPO                                 | Organization/Location               |
| hasCondemned                          | The condemened party of a penalty sentence                               | Penalty                             | Person/Organization                 |
| hasIssuingAuthority                   | The authority issuing the penalty                                        | Penalty                             | Organization                        |

Table 3: Statistics of the manually annotated corpus

|                     | Total | Median per document |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|
| Documents           | 130   |                     |
| Sentences           | 3697  | 18                  |
| Words               | 64556 | 441                 |
| All Entities        | 6736  | 32                  |
| All Relations       | 1754  | 7                   |

6. Experiments

We created the presented corpus with the goal of training named entity recognition and relation extraction models capable of retrieving the entity and relation types defined in our ontology. We hence conducted a series of experiments to evaluate baseline performance of our corpus for named entity recognition (NER) and relation extraction (RE). These experiments are meant as a proof of concept for developing information extraction tools based on our corpus. Future development of our corpus thus can improve the reported scores.

6.1. NER Experiment

We trained a NER model using the open-source NLP framework spaCy (Honnibal and Montani, 2017) which provides a training module allowing for custom NER training. Our experimental setup included spaCy version 2.1.4 on Python 3.7.3 running on a machine with Intel quad-core processor (Kaby Lake), Linux 4.4.0, and 16GB of memory. We randomly selected 80% of the annotated data for training, keeping the remaining 20% for evaluation. We used spaCy’s French model (fr_core_news_md) which supports Person, Location, and Organization entity types and we trained it with our selected custom and standard entity types, i.e. Role, Currency in addition to Person, Location, and Organization. Other annotated custom entity types (e.g. Asset, Acquisition) were not included individually due to the limited number of available annotations for these types. However, we empirically observed that including other types under a single entity type (Miscellaneous) can improve the NER performance for the target entity types. For the same reasons, we also combined subtypes (e.g. City, Country) into their parent class (i.e. Location) for training and evaluation.

The results of the NER evaluation are reported in Table 4 for each entity type, we calculated NER evaluation metrics which include precision, recall, and F1-score. We observed...
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the best overall accuracy for Person (best precision score) and Currency (best recall score) entity types, given the limited number of world currencies, such performance can be explained by the less variability of Currency mentions. Next, we report the best performance for Location, Person, and Organization entity types. These entity types are also the most frequent types in the corpus and have certain features (e.g. initial capital letters) that may explain the supe-

rior performance. Finally, for Role type the average F1-

score is lowest at 0.71. This score can be explained by the smaller number of Role mentions in the training set and also varying length of the mentions. For instance, a position title such as “directeur adjoint en charge des finances, des sys-
tèmes d’information et de la direction industrielle” (Deputy director in charge of finances, information systems, and industrial management) may be partially retrieved as “di-
recteur adjoint en charge des finances” (Deputy director in charge of finances). Although the retrieved text contains important information on the mentioned position, such partial extraction counts as an error. By including partial extrac-
tions, the performance levels would be considerably higher for Role type.

6.2. RE Experiment

Following the named entity recognition (NER) experiment, we started a series of experiments on relation extraction (RE). In this section, we report the experiment we con-
ducted on extraction of the relations that we have de-

fined around the entity type Role, i.e. hasRole and roleDepartment. Similar to entity types, the relations in our ontology are defined based on real world concepts and how they appear in text sources. We have thus de-

fined which types of entities are accepted as arguments of each relation (Table 2). Such approach in relation extraction allows to filter out non relevant results, e.g. Person:hasRole:Location and helps rule-based relation extraction.

Using spaCy’s dependency parser, we extract the depen-
dency tree for each annotated phrase containing relations around Role. As we work with texts written in formal style, most of the mentions of these relations follow a series of dependency patterns. Once a relation is identified by a pat-

ttern, we verify the recognized type of the entities engaged in the relation in order to associate the result to the relevant relation type (e.g. a hasRole relation requires a Person and a Role instance as arguments). We identified and integrated 6 patterns in our relation extraction algorithm and observed strong precision rates with both exact and partial entity ex-

traction (see Table 5). In contrast, recall rates remain lower in comparison for both tests. We hypothesize that recall rates can further be enhanced by adding additional patterns. We also look forward to examine our approach for other relation types and also, to examine statistical approaches to relation extraction.

7. Conclusion

In this work, we presented a domain-specific ontology of fi-
nancial entities and relations that we used as an annotation schema for French news. We created a corpus of annotated texts in French that can be used to train and evaluate informa-
tion extraction models. We demonstrated some of our experimental results on entity recognition and relation ex-

traction using our corpus as training dataset. In parallel to our ongoing experiments and our efforts towards building a knowledge base of financial relations, we continue to ex-

tend our corpus by adding more annotated documents.
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