Role of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Treating Children With Overactive Bladder From Pooled Analysis of 8 Randomized Controlled Trials
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Purpose: Transcutaneous electrical neural stimulation (TENS), as a non-invasive modality, has been clinically used as an alternative treatment for children with overactive bladder (OAB). We conducted a pooled analysis to explore the effect of TENS on OAB.

Methods: The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis guideline was followed in this study. The MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials databases, as well as the reference lists of the retrieved studies, were used to find trials relevant for assessing the use of TENS to treat OAB.

Results: Of the 246 records identified, 8 publications were analyzed in our study. Our analysis found that TENS resulted in a greater decrease of wet days/wk, daily voiding frequency, daily incontinence episodes, and daily number of voids than was observed in the control group. Furthermore, TENS-treated patients showed similar visual analogue scale (VAS) scores to patients in the control group, demonstrating that the application of TENS did not increase patients’ discomfort and pain. TENS had a relative advantage in the number of partial responses, but no clear differences were found in frequency of no response or a full response compared to the control group. In urodynamic testing, TENS led to obvious improvements in average voided volume and maximum voided volume in children with OAB.

Conclusions: TENS had a remarkable effect on the improvement of urodynamic indexes and objective OAB symptoms without a significant increase in VAS scores for children with OAB.
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INTRODUCTION

Pediatric overactive bladder (OAB), which involves spontaneous or provoked detrusor contractions, can be detected during urodynamic testing if the child’s bladder is full, in accordance with the specifications of the International Children’s Continence Society; this condition may cause frequency, urinary urgency, incontinence, and nocturia, with major impacts on daily life [1-3]. A recent study reported that OAB occurs in 15%–20% of children, with a higher prevalence in boys; however, its prevalence declines with age, from 23.0% at age 5 to 12.2% at age 13 [4,5].

Currently, our understanding of the etiology and pathophysiology of OAB is incomplete, which is reflected by the lack of adequate treatment. Studies have found that in 42% to 70% of children with OAB, standard urinotherapy with a timer watch is effective [6,7]. However, 2%–4% of children discontinue medication because of its low efficacy and associated side effects [8]. Transcutaneous electrical neural stimulation (TENS) is a non-pharmacological, noninvasive modality that has been clinically used as an alternative treatment for children with OAB [9]. Its advantages—including its noninvasive and painless nature, as well as a lack of adverse reactions and drug interactions—are expected to make TENS a more predominant choice of treatment modality. However, due to limitations in the the quantity and quality of previously published analyses, there is still insufficient evidence to demonstrate the efficacy of TENS by a pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Therefore, we performed this meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of TENS treatment in children on urodynamic indexes and objective OAB symptoms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protocol

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis guideline was followed in this study [10].

Sources and Search

The MEDLINE (through June 2019), Embase (through June 2019), and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials databases, as well as the reference lists of the retrieved studies, were used to find trials that were relevant to the effects of TENS treatment in children on urodynamic indexes and objective OAB symptoms. We screened and included studies in our meta-analysis if the trials met the eligibility criteria. The search items were as follows: (“transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation” or (“transcutaneous” and “electric” and “nerve” and “stimulation”) or “transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation” or (“transcutaneous” and “electrical” and “nerve” and “stimulation”) or “transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation”) and (“urinary bladder, overactive” or (“urinary” AND “bladder” and “overactive”) or “overactive urinary bladder” or (“overactive” and “bladder”) or “overactive bladder”). Two authors independently screened the documents for inclusion. All disagreements were resolved by consensus of the authors.

Quality Assessment

We used the Cochrane risk of bias tool [11] to evaluate the quality of each study. The quality items were selective outcome reporting, blinding, allocation concealment, incomplete outcome data, random sequence generation, and other sources of bias. A graph summarizing the risk of bias was generated based on discussions among the authors, as shown in Fig. 1. Then, individual studies were assessed in line with principles derived from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions v5.10 [12]. Every article was evaluated with a grade of A (satisfied almost all of the quality criteria), B (partially satisfied or fuzzy), or C (barely satisfied). All reviewers independently assessed whether each study fit the criteria, and then extracted the data from the selected studies.

Fig. 1. Risk of bias summary and graph. RCT, randomized controlled trial.
| Study                          | Country | Study design | Treatment                  | Sample size (boys/girls) | Mean age (yr) ± SD | Intervention period | Nerve stimulation scheme                                                                 | Main inclusion criteria                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hagstroem et al. (2009) [21]  | Denmark | RCT          | TENS                       | 13 (7/6) 12 (3/9)        | 8.7 ± 2.0           | 4 Weeks             | 10-Hz frequency with a 200-μsec pulse duration and biphasic waveform; 2 hours daily.     | Age 5 to 14 years, daytime urinary incontinence at least 2 days per week, urgency, normal urinalysis, unremarkable urinary tract ultrasound and normal physical examination. Incontinence had to be refractory to a minimum of 12 months of urotherapy. |
| Lordêlo et al. (2010) [20]    | Brazil  | Single-blind prospective RCT | TENS                       | 21 (8/13) 16 (4/12)      | 7.5 ± 3.0           | 20 Sessions; 3 times weekly                                      | 20 Sessions of TENS, 10-Hz frequency with a generated pulse of 700 μsec; 3 times weekly, with sessions of 20 minutes.                         | Children older than 4 years with OAB.                                                                                                           |
| Sillén et al. (2014) [19]     | Sweden  | RCT          | TENS + Standard urotherapy  | 30 (19/11) 32 (16/16)    | 8 ± 1.5             | 12 Weeks            | Twice a day for 20 minutes each time; 10-Hz frequency; maximal level tolerated by the child and reached a maximum of 40 mA.                        | Children 5–12 years; Micturition frequency ≥ 7; Incontinence episodes ≥ 1 within 7 days; Urgency.                                            |
| Patidar et al. (2015) [18]    | India   | Single-blind prospective RCT | PTNS                       | 21 (N/A) 16 (N/A)        | 7.71 ± 2.22         | 12 Weeks            | Adjustable voltage pulse intensity of 0–10 mA, a fixed pulse width of 200 μsec, and a frequency of 20 Hz; A weekly session of 30 minutes.          | Non-neurogenic OAB unresponsive to behavioral therapy and at least 6 months of anticholinergic medication.                                      |
| Boudaoud et al. (2015) [17]   | France  | Double-blind RCT | PTNS                       | 11 (5/6) 9 (5/4)         | 11 ± N/A            | 12 Weeks            | Below the pain threshold at 10 mA, frequency at 10 Hz, and continuous stimulation of 200 msec during 30 minutes; Two sessions per week.            | Children over the age of 6 with primary or secondary non-neurogenic OAB; Absence of anatomical abnormality in the lower urinary tract; Partial response or non-response to anticholinergics after a well-conducted treatment of at least 6 consecutive months. |
| De Paula et al. (2017) [16]   | Brazil  | Double-blind prospective RCT | PTENS + Urotherapy guidelines | 8 (3/5) 8 (3/5)          | 6.5 (6.0–7.7)       | 60 Days              | Once a week, lasting for 20 minutes, at a frequency of 10 Hz, pulse width of 700 msec, and variable intensity determined by the tolerance threshold of the child. | Aged 3–18 years of age with a clinical diagnosis of OAB not previously treated for this disease or having gone at least 6 months without any treatment. |
| Borch et al. (2017) [15]      | Denmark | Double-blind RCT | TENS                       | 12 (7/5) 12 (9/3)        | 8.8 ± 2.1           | 48 Hours             | A 10-Hz frequency with a 200-sec pulse duration and biphasic waveform; The children were instructed to use the highest tolerable intensity with a maximum of 40 mA. | Age 5–14 years, overactive bladder, daytime urinary incontinence at least 2 days/week and a minimum of 5 micturitions/day; Refractory to a minimum of 12 months of standard urotherapy and 3 months of pharmacotherapy. |
| Borch et al. (2017) [14]      | Denmark | Double-blind RCT | TENS + oxybutynin           | 22 (11/7) 23 (10/4)      | 7.65 ± 1.77         | 10 Weeks             | A 10-Hz frequency with a 200-sec pulse duration and biphasic waveform; Children were instructed to use the highest tolerable intensity up to a maximum of 40 mA. | Age 5–14 years; Urge incontinence > 2 x/ wk; > 4 micturitions/day; Ongoing symptoms of OAB; Refractory to > 2 months of standard urotherapy; A normal physical examination. |

SD, standard deviation; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TENS, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; PTNS, transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation; PTENS, parasacral transcutaneous electrical neural stimulation; N/A, not available; OAB, overactive bladder.
Data Extraction
One author read the articles and extracted the following data: general information on the study (e.g., the name of the first author, publication date, country, and the study design), the characteristics of the patients (e.g., age), the interventions performed in the different groups (e.g., TENS or placebo, nerve stimulation scheme, and duration), and data on outcomes, including wet days/wk, visual analogue scale (VAS) scores, average voided volume (AVV), maximum voided volume (MVV), the number of patients with no response (or a partial or full response), daily voiding frequency, daily incontinence episodes, and daily number of voids. All the extracted data were checked by another author.

The main outcome of interest for this study was wet days/week. The secondary outcomes investigated to assess effectiveness were VAS scores, the numbers of patients with no response (or a partial or full response), daily voiding frequency, daily incontinence episodes, and daily number of voids. MVV and AVV were used as outcomes of urodynamic testing to assess the effectiveness of TENS treatment.

A VAS was used for pain assessment, in accordance with common practice in clinical medicine. The basic method is to use a scale with a length of about 10 cm, marked with 10 scale markers on one side, with the ends marked as “0” and “10,” respectively. On this scale, 0 refers to no pain, and 10 corresponds to the most severe (unbearable) pain.

Statistical Analysis and Meta-Analysis
RevMan ver. 5.1 [12] was used to perform a meta-analysis of continuous and dichotomous data. The mean difference (MD)
with a 95% confidence interval (CI) [13] was employed to compare continuous data, while the odds ratio (OR) with a 95% CI was used to compare dichotomous data among various groups. The I² test and Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test were employed to evaluate statistical heterogeneity; on this basis, we chose a fixed-effects model if P > 0.05, and otherwise used a random-effects model. This meta-analysis did not require ethical approval or patient consent, since all data were obtained from previously published articles.

RESULTS

Study Selection Process, Search Results, and Characteristics of the Trials

We found 246 papers by searching MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials databases. Of these papers, 208 were excluded based on the abstract according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Twenty-nine articles were excluded because they did not provide useful data, and 1 article was excluded because it described an experiment. Eight papers described RCTs [14-21] evaluating the effects of TENS treatment in children on urodynamic indexes and objective OAB symptoms. Details on the studies and characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The study selection process is depicted in Fig. 2.

Risk of Bias in the Studies

All 8 of the studies included in our meta-analysis followed a randomization process. Five papers [14,15,18,19] had an appropriate calculation of the number of patients. Two studies [19,20] did not specify a blinding protocol, with a Jadad score of B (Table 2). Fig. 1 presents a graphical summary of the risk of bias.

Table 2. Quality assessment of individual study

| Study                  | Allocation sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding | Loss to follow-up | Calculation of sample size | Statistical analysis                        | Level of quality | ITT analysis |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Hagstroem et al. (2009) [21] | A                             | A                      | A        | 2                 | Yes                         | Student t-test; Mann-Whitney rank-sum test; Fisher exact test; chi-square test; Wilcoxon signed ranks test | A                | No           |
| Lordêlo et al. (2010) [20]   | A                             | A                      | B        | 2                 | No                          | Student t-test; Mann-Whitney rank-sum test; Fisher exact test; chi-square test | A                | No           |
| Sillén et al. (2014) [19]   | A                             | A                      | A        | 7                 | Yes                         | Mann-Whitney U-test; Fisher exact test; Wilcoxon signed ranks test | A                | Yes          |
| Patidar et al. (2015) [18]  | A                             | A                      | B        | 3                 | Yes                         | Wilcoxon signed rank test; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; Mann-Whitney U-test; | A                | No           |
| Boudaoud et al. (2015) [17] | A                             | A                      | A        | 0                 | No                          | Wilcoxon signed rank test; Mann-Whitney U-test; Fisher exact test | A                | No           |
| De Paula et al. (2017) [16] | A                             | A                      | A        | 0                 | No                          | Mann-Whitney test; Fisher exact test         | A                | No           |
| Borch et al. (2017) [15]    | A                             | A                      | A        | 0                 | Yes                         | ANOVA; chi-square test; Student t-test        | A                | No           |
| Borch et al. (2017) [14]    | A                             | A                      | A        | 13                | Yes                         | ANOVA; chi-square test; Student t-test        | A                | Yes          |

A, almost all quality criteria met: low risk of bias; B, one or more quality criteria met: moderate risk of bias; ITT, intention-to-treat; ANOVA, analysis of variance.

Fig. 3. Funnel plot of publication bias. OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.
No evidence of publication bias was found (Fig. 3). No uniform intervention period, frequency, intensity, pulse duration, or nerve stimulation scheme was found across the 8 studies (Table 1), and this variation automatically introduced considerable heterogeneity into the analysis. The quality assessment of individual studies is shown in Table 2.

**Primary Outcomes**

**Wet days/week**

Three papers with 86 patients (TENS group, 43 patients; control...
group, 43 patients) reported data on wet days per week. As shown in Fig. 4, TENS led to a greater decrease in wet days per week (MD, -2.56; 95% CI, -3.99 to -1.13; P = 0.0005).

Outcomes of Urodynamic Testing

**Maximum voided volume**
Six papers with 188 patients (TENS group, 100 patients; control group, 88 patients) analyzed changes in MVV. A random-effects model showed a statistically significant difference in MVV (MD, 23.96; 95% CI, 9.22–38.71; P = 0.001) (Fig. 5). This result implies that MVV showed significant improvement after TENS treatment in pediatric OAB patients.

**Average voided volume**
Six papers with 188 patients (TENS group, 100 patients; control group, 88 patients) analyzed changes in AVV. A random-effects model showed a statistically significant difference in AVV (MD, 29.64; 95% CI, 12.80–46.47; P = 0.0006) (Fig. 5). This result implies that AVV showed significant improvement after TENS treatment in pediatric OAB patients.

**Secondary Outcomes**

**Visual analogue scale scores**
To evaluate changes in VAS scores, 4 RCTs with 123 patients (TENS group, 64 patients; control group, 59 patients) were ana-

---

Fig. 6. Forest plots showing the numbers of patients with no response, a partial response, and a full response. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom.
lyzed. The MD was 0.79 (95% CI, -0.72 to 2.29; P = 0.31) (Fig. 4). This result indicated that TENS treatment did not cause obvious pain and discomfort in pediatric OAB patients.

**Numbers of patients with no response, a partial response, and a full response**

Five RCTs with 189 patients (TENS group, 97 patients; control group, 92 patients) included data on the number of patients with no response. There was no significant difference in the number of patients with no response (OR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.08–1.47; P = 0.15) (Fig. 6).

Six RCTs with 226 patients (TENS group, 118 patients; control group: 108 patients) included data on the number of patients with a partial response. Forest plots showed that the TENS group had a larger number of patients with a partial response than the control group (OR, 1.35; 95% CI, 0.34–4.30; P = 0.01) (Fig. 6).

Six RCTs with 242 patients (TENS group, 126 patients; control group: 116 patients) included data on the number of patients with a full response. Forest plots showed no significant difference between the TENS and control groups in the number of patients with a full response (OR, 3.34; 95% CI, 0.98–12.03; P = 0.05) (Fig. 6).

**Daily voiding frequency and daily incontinence episodes**

Two RCTs enrolling 87 patients (TENS group, 43 patients; control group, 44 patients) contained data on daily voiding frequency and daily incontinence episodes. Forest plots showed that the TENS group had a visually notable reduction in daily voiding frequency (MD, -0.70; 95% CI, -1.00 to -0.41; P < 0.00001) and daily incontinence episodes (MD, -0.82; 95% CI, -1.16 to -0.47; P < 0.00001) (Fig. 7).

**Daily number of voids**

Two RCTs included data on the daily number of voids in 74 patients (TENS group, 42 patients; control group, 32 patients). Forest plots showed that the TENS group had significantly fewer daily voids than the control group (MD, -3.16; 95% CI, -3.61 to -2.71; P < 0.00001) (Fig. 7).

**DISCUSSION**

Over time, increasingly many studies have investigated the use of TENS to treat children clinically diagnosed with OAB, as it is considered to be an effective, noninvasive, and easy-to-use treatment modality [22]. It has a few reported side effects, such as skin reactions under adhesive electrodes, and very few con-

---

**Fig. 7.** Forest plots showing changes in daily voiding frequency, daily incontinence episodes, and daily number of voids. TENS, transcutaneous electrical neural stimulation; SD, standard deviation; IV, inverse variance; CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom.
transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation were clearly different across the studies, and the range of parameters was often wide. The frequency of electrical stimulation ranged from 10 to 20 Hz, but 2 studies [24,25] showed that a higher frequency of the current led to significant improvements in patients’ quality of life. Most studies reported a relatively consistent pulse duration of 200 ms, although this standard was not completely uniform. The intensity of electrical stimulation varied widely (up to 40 mA) and was defined in some studies as variable, depending on the tolerance of each child. However, previous studies on electrical stimulation of the bladder have shown that a shorter duration of electrical stimulation did not significantly change the process of contraction, and a lower frequency of electrical stimulation did not increase the effect of stimulation [26,27]. Different treatment regimens in terms of the frequency, duration of each treatment, and total duration of treatment seem to affect outcomes, giving the impression that the longer the treatment, the better.

Theoretically, the pathophysiological mechanism of detrusor overactivity is complicated, mainly due to the interaction of factors related to the peripheral nervous system and central nervous system [28]. Imbalances or interruptions of signals in regulatory pathways may lead to overactivity of the detrusor muscle. The mechanisms of the above neural regulatory pathways are not well understood [29]. Neuromodulation may lead to a rebalancing of the inhibitory and excitatory functions of the central nervous system, which controls the systolic and diastolic functions of the detrusor muscle of the bladder. Stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve results in depolarization of the afferent fibers of the sacral and lumbar vertebrae, which suppresses bladder contractile activity. Afferent stimulation, mainly by means of the direct pathway of the sacral cord, exerts a central inhibitory effect on preganglionic bladder motor neurons [30].

The immediate effect of electrical nerve stimulation is achieved by directly stimulating a nerve or muscle, which affects the process of nerve transmission within the context of nerve regulation, but no immediate response occurs in patients without neuropathologic dysfunction. Electrical stimulation of the lower urinary tract has been shown to induce chemical changes capable of increasing adrenergic reactions and leading to detrusor relaxation [31]. At the same time, electrical stimulation can also reduce cholinergic reactions, change the content of other neurotransmitters (e.g., nitric oxide and serotonin), and increase the content and concentration of endorphins or enkephalins in cerebrospinal fluid [31]. This may also explain why TENS did not increase pain and discomfort in patients with OAB compared with the control group.

Compared with adults, the theoretical advantage of electrical nerve stimulation in children lies in the greater plasticity of the central and peripheral nervous systems, which have the potential to be reshaped. A growing body of evidence suggests that lower urinary tract dysfunction in adults is intrinsic and longstanding, and electrical stimulation of the nerves therefore does not work as well as in children [32,33].

To summarize, TENS had a remarkable effect on the improvement of urodynamic indexes and objective OAB symptoms without a significant increase in pain and discomfort for children with OAB. In the future, TENS may become the mainstream treatment of children with OAB, and more large-scale clinical studies are needed to explore the safety of this method.

However, some limitations of our meta-analysis should be discussed. First, there was no uniform definition of the criteria used to determine the intervention period, frequency, intensity, pulse duration, or nerve stimulation scheme. Second, this meta-analysis only included 8 trials, with relatively few subjects. How-
ever, all of the included studies aligned with our inclusion criteria, and we are of the opinion that these limitations do not have a major impact on the results. Nonetheless, there is still a need for additional high-quality trials to provide more evidence.

In conclusion, TENS had a remarkable effect on the improvement of urodynamic indexes and objective OAB symptoms without a significant increase in pain and discomfort for children with OAB.
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