Growth, nutrient utilization, body composition, hematology and histopathology of the liver of *Clarias gariepinus* fed cooked sunflower based diets
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**Abstract:** This study investigated the use of cooked sunflower seed meal as soybean meal replacer in *Clarias gariepinus* diet in a 56-day feeding trial using growth performance, nutrient utilization, body composition, digestibility, hematology and liver histology as indices of assessment. Sunflower seed meal was cooked for 10, 20 and 30 minutes. Each of the differently cooked sunflower seed meal replaced soybean meal portion of control diet at 15, 30 and 45% to produce nine 40% crude protein, 18kJ/g test diets. A diet without sunflower meal served as the control. Triplicate groups of fish in 70-litre capacity aerated rectangular plastic tanks were allotted to each dietary treatment at a stocking rate of 15 fingerlings (3.72±0.22g average weight) per tank in a completely randomized design. Fish were fed to satiation. Data obtained from the experiment were subjected to statistical analysis. The results of the experiment revealed that up to 30% replacement level of 10- and 20-minutes cooked sunflower produced a statistically similar results with soybean-based control diets.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The trend of growth in aquaculture production witnessed in the recent years all over the world (Troell et al., 2014) calls for the need to develop economical feed that will support the growth of fish and sustainable aquaculture production (Azaza et al., 2009). Soybean has been a conventional protein source feed ingredient for aquaculture species but its competitive use among other livestock users and even human beings is on the rise and this could be a threat to the sustainability of aquaculture production (Azaza et al., 2009; Tacón and Metian, 2008). A lot of researchers have ventured into prospecting the economically viable and nutritionally comparable plant protein source to ease the problem of high cost of conventional protein feedstuffs and their availability question in fish feed manufacture (Hassaan et al., 2017; Hassaan et al., 2015; Jimoh et al., 2013a; Jimoh and Aroyehun, 2011; Jimoh et al., 2014b; Jimoh et al., 2013b; Kumar et al., 2010; Saha and Ghosh, 2013). Sunflower seedmeal has been identified as a good source of especially sulphur-containing amino acids (Gohl, 1951). More so, like all other unconventional protein source, it is a low-cost plant protein source when compared to soybean meal (Hassaan et al., 2015; Köprücü and Sertel, 2012) and readily available in the market (Lozano et al., 2007). As a result of its good nutrient profile, research directions have been made on its use in fish feed. Sunflower seedmeal has been included in the diet *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Jackson et al., 1992); *Onchorynchus mykiss* (Sanz et al., 1994; Tacón et al., 1984); *Oreochromis niloticus* (Sintayehu et al., 1996); *Onchorynchus mykiss* (Stickney et al., 1996); *Anguilla anguilla* (Garcia-Gallego et al., 1998); *Tilapia rendalli* (Olvera-Novoa et al., 2002); *Salmo salar* (Gill et al., 2005); *Sparus aurata* (Lozano et al., 2007); *Acanthopagrus schlegelii* (Hassaan et al., 2018). Work on inclusion of sunflower seed meal in the diet of *Clarias gariepinus* were Akintayo et al. (2008) and Fagbenro et al. (2010). However, a major setback to the use of plant protein sources lie in their deficiency in some essential amino acids primarily lysine, higher fibre content, the presence of anti-nutritional factors (Alarcón et al., 1999; Francis et al., 2001; Gaylord et al., 2004; Hertrampf and Piedad-Pascual, 2012).

Processing of plant protein sources is necessary in order to improve their nutritive value (Soltan, 2005). It leads to little or no antioxidant in plant protein sources thereby increase their utilization. Adeparusi and Jimoh (2002) reported that thermal processing of plant protein sources and their inclusion level significantly affect their digestibility not only in fish but also in other farmed animals. Paucity of information exists on the use of sunflower in *Clarias gariepinus* diet. Akintayo et al. (2008) fed toasted sunflower meal to *Clarias gariepinus* while Fagbenro et al. (2010) included unprocessed sunflower seedmeal in *Clarias gariepinus* diet. An attempt is therefore being made in this study to investigate the inclusions of differently cooked sunflower seedmeal on the nutrient utilization, growth performance, digestibility, body composition, hematology and histopathology of the liver of *Clarias gariepinus*. 
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Feed ingredients' processing and diet preparation

Three batches of sunflower seed were put in boiling water (100°C) for 10, 20 and 30 minutes to serve as processing time interval. The seedmeal were dried, ground, and locally made screw press was used to mechanically defat the sample. The three samples were designated as C10, C20, and C30 respectively according to their time of processing. Nine iso-nitrogenous (30% crude protein) and isocaloric diet having fishmeal, soybean meal, sunflower meal, cassava flour, fish and vegetable oil (1:1) and vitamin – mineral premix as the ingredients. Each batch of seedmeal were included at 15, 30 and 45% replacement level with soybean meal (Table 1) following the recommendation of Hertrampf and Piedad-Pascual (2012) that 20% sunflower meal should be included in the omnivorous species. The feedstuff that has been pulverized with hot water added to aid binding was fed into a Hobart - 200T pelleting and mixing machine to produce pellets which were sun-dried (30-32°C) then kept frozen in a refrigerator. Proximate composition of the diets prepared were carried out using the methods of AOAC (1990). The method of Spackman et al. (1958) was followed in amino acid analysis of differently-processed sunflower seed meals using the ion exchange chromatography (IEC). The amino acid analysis was carried out in the Department of Zoology, University of Jos, Nigeria using Automatic Technicon Sequential Multi-sample Amino Acid Analyzer (Model No 0209, Technicon, Ireland).

Table 1. Proximate composition and essential amino acid profile of differently processed sunflower seed meal

| Proximate          | Processed Sunflower | C10   | C20   | C30   |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Moisture           | 9.1                 | 8.97  | 9.28  |
| Crude Protein      | 40.39               | 38.36 | 35.83 |       |
| Crude Fibre        | 11.90               | 12.83 | 12.59 |       |
| Crude Lipid        | 5.38                | 6.22  | 5.41  |
| Ash                | 11.28               | 10.38 | 12.28 |       |
| NFE                | 22.02               | 22.02 | 24.62 |       |
| Amino Acid         |                     |       |       |       |
| Lysine             | 3.68                | 3.36  | 3.20  |
| Histidine          | 2.00                | 3.56  | 2.92  |
| Arginine           | 8.97                | 8.95  | 9.04  |
| Threonine          | 3.13                | 3.34  | 3.41  |
| Valine             | 3.97                | 4.03  | 4.07  |
| Methionine         | 1.03                | 1.40  | 1.79  |
| Isoleucine         | 2.91                | 3.22  | 4.95  |
| Leucine            | 3.72                | 5.92  | 6.29  |
| Phenylalanine      | 5.71                | 5.04  | 5.07  |       |

Experimental system and fish

Fingerlings of Clarias gariepinus (<5g) were obtained from Ondo state Government Fish Farm, Alagbaka, Akure, Nigeria and transported life to the laboratory of the Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture inside aerated polythene bags. The fish was acclimated in glass tanks on commercial pelleted diet for seven days. Fifteen fingerlings were stocked into each tank randomly with three replications per treatment. Experimental diet was allotted randomly to the tanks and each group of fish was fed to apparent satiation two times in a day at 9:00-10:00 hrs and 16:00-17:00 hours for 56 days. Fish was removed from each tank every 14 days and batch-weighed with the weight in each dietary group recorded accordingly. Siphoning during the first 3 weeks of the experiment served to collect faecal samples. The faecal samples were oven-dried at 45°C and was analyzed for its proximate composition. Daily mortality was monitored and recorded. At the beginning of the feeding trial and at the end, composite whole fish was sacrificed for carcass analysis of its crude protein, crude Ash fibre, and lipid. Dissolved Oxygen and water temperature were monitored three times in each week using a combined digital YSI Do Meter (YSI, Model 57) electronic pH meter (Metter Toledo, Model 320) was used in monitoring pH.

Acid insoluble ash (AIA) analysis

The AIA was calculated based on the procedures explained in Adeparusi and Jimoh (2002). AIA in faeces and feed was gotten by adding 25ml of 10% HCl to the ash content that has been previously weighed with a water glass serving as cover. The resulting mixture was boiled gently over a low flame for 5 minutes after which it was filtered using an ashless filter and washed with hot distilled water, the residue from the filter was returned into the crucible and then ignited until it is carbon – free and it was weighed.

% AIA = \frac{\text{weight of AIA}}{\text{weight of ash}} \times 100

Digestibility Coefficient

The value obtained for AIA in different faecal samples and diets were used as indicator in the calculation of digestibility coefficient as described in Jimoh et al. (2014a); Jimoh et al. (2010).

Organic Matter Digestibility (AOMD) was calculated as follow

% AOMD = 100 - \left( \frac{100 \times (\% \text{ AIA in Feed})}{(\% \text{ AIA in Faeces})} \right)

% Digestibility = 100 - \left[ \frac{(\% \text{ AIA in Feed}) \times (\% \text{ Nutrients in Feed})}{(\% \text{ AIA in Faeces}) \times (\% \text{ Nutrients in Faeces})} \right]
Table 2. Gross, proximate composition (g/100g dry matter), acid insoluble ash and energy content of experimental diets at varying replacement levels of differently cooked sunflower seedmeals

| Diet | CF115 | CF130 | CF145 | CF215 | CF230 | CF245 | CF315 | CF330 | CF345 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| CTR  | 27.24 | 27.24 | 27.24 | 27.24 | 27.24 | 27.24 | 27.24 | 27.24 | 27.24 |
| Soybean Meal | 46.71 | 39.71 | 32.70 | 25.70 | 39.71 | 37.91 | 32.70 | 37.91 | 37.91 |
| Sunflower | -     | 7.62  | 15.24 | 22.87 | 7.92  | 15.84 | 23.75 | 8.34  | 16.68 |
| Corn Meal | 11.25 | 11.25 | 11.25 | 11.25 | 11.25 | 11.25 | 11.25 | 11.25 | 11.25 |
| Fish Oil | 5.09  | 5.09  | 5.09  | 5.09  | 5.09  | 5.09  | 5.09  | 5.09  | 5.09  |
| Premix | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  | 5.00  |
| Starch | 4.71  | 4.09  | 3.48  | 2.85  | 3.79  | 2.88  | 1.97  | 1.97  | 1.97  |
| Total  | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   |

Proximate Composition

| Component          | CTR  | CF115 | CF130 | CF145 | CF215 | CF230 | CF245 | CF315 | CF330 |
|--------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Moisture           | 9.7±2 | 9.2±0.10 | 9.3±0.04 | 9.7±0.46 | 9.2±0.03 | 9.2±0.03 | 9.2±0.04 | 9.3±0.03 | 9.2±0.05 | 9.3±0.06 |
| Crude Protein      | 20.19±0.08 | 39.30±0.08 | 40.29±0.07 | 40.27±0.11 | 40.22±0.16 | 40.29±0.09 | 40.27±0.04 | 40.24±0.07 | 40.28±0.07 | 40.27±0.01 |
| Crude Lipid        | 12.16±0.02 | 12.14±0.03 | 12.13±0.05 | 12.14±0.03 | 12.15±0.05 | 12.10±0.03 | 12.17±0.05 | 12.17±0.08 | 12.12±0.04 | 12.12±0.04 |
| Crude Fibre        | 9.7±0.04 | 9.7±0.15 | 9.6±0.16 | 9.7±0.10 | 9.7±0.17 | 9.7±0.13 | 9.5±0.14 | 9.5±0.23 | 9.3±0.09 | 9.3±0.08 |
| Ash                | 5.8±0.05 | 5.8±0.12 | 6.0±0.14 | 5.6±0.55 | 5.8±0.20 | 5.9±0.73 | 5.8±0.45 | 5.7±0.42 | 5.7±0.49 | 5.7±0.47 |
| NFE                | 22.33±0.51 | 22.72±0.50 | 22.52±0.22 | 22.00±0.17 | 22.78±0.15 | 22.62±0.82 | 22.85±0.50 | 22.95±0.21 | 23.71±0.40 | 23.11±0.58 |
| AIA                | 0.7±0.05 | 0.8±0.02 | 0.7±0.04 | 0.7±0.05 | 0.7±0.07 | 0.7±0.03 | 0.7±0.04 | 0.8±0.07 | 0.8±0.07 | 0.7±0.07 |

Energy (KJ/g)

| Diet | CF115 | CF130 | CF145 | CF215 | CF230 | CF245 | CF315 | CF330 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| CTR  | 18.06±2.05 | 18.17±0.48 | 18.13±1.13 | 18.00±2.86 | 18.16±1.93 | 18.13±4.30 | 18.19±3.31 | 18.20±1.15 | 18.25±2.22 |

Table 3. Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test using SPSS 17.0 version. Duncan’s multiple – range test (Zar, 1996) was used to separate the treatment means where ANOVA revealed significant difference (P<0.05).

RESULTS

Whole body composition

The whole-body composition of fish at the beginning and at the end of the experiment is presented in Table 3. Significant variations (P< 0.05) existed between the final and initial body composition of fish used during the experiments with respect to proximate analysis. Carcass crude protein reduced with increase in cooking time and inclusion level. No significant variations (P>0.05) was observed in the carcass protein of fish fed CTR, CF115, CF130, CF215, CF315 while the lowest value was recorded for fish fed CF345.
Survival, growth performance and nutrient utilization

Growth performance and nutrient utilization by Clarias gariepinus fed varying levels of cooked sunflower meal based experimental diets are expressed in Table 4. Percentage survival was very high (>80%) across all dietary treatments and groups and no significant difference (p>0.05) was recorded in percentage survival of all the fish fed the dietary treatment except the fish fed CSF145. The control diets trated group had the best growth performance. However, the mean weight gain, specific growth rate, % weight gain, and feed intake were statistically similar (p>0.05) among the fish fed control diet and diets CSF115, CSF130, CSF215 and CSF230.

Faecal sample proximate composition

The differently fed Clarias gariepinus faecal samples’ proximate compositions are presented in Table 5. A reduced nutrient contents of the faecal samples tested was observed when compared with that of the feed. The protein content of the faecal samples of fish fed diet CTR, CSF115, CSF130, CSF230, CSF315 and CSF330 were statistically similar (p>0.05). No significant variation (p>0.05) was observed in the crude lipid content of the faecal samples of fish fed diet CTR and that of fish fed other test diets except CSF245. The crude fibre content of the faecal output of fish fed diet CTR and fish fed other test diets except diets CSF130, CSF230 and CSF315 were statistically indifferent (p>0.05).

The faecal AIA of the differently fed fish did not significantly vary (P>0.05) except that of the fish fed CSF245 and CSF345. Similarly, no statistical variation (P>0.05) was observed in the energy value of the faecal samples of fish fed all the dietary treatments.

Apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients in each diet

The apparent nutrient digestibility coefficient of cooked sunflower-meal based diets fed to Clarias gariepinus fingerlings is given in Table 6. Significant variations (p<0.05) was observed in the nutrient digestibility’s values of fish fed various dietary treatments. However, no significant difference (p>0.05) was recorded in the AOMD of control diet group and test diets fed groups except that of the fish fed CSF245 and CSF345. Similarly, there was no significant variations (p>0.05) in the APD of control diet fed fishand the fish fed other test diets except those fed diets CSF145, CSF245 and CSF345. Same trend of results as recorded for APD was observed in the AID of the fish fed dietary treatments except that significant variation (p<0.05) was recorded between the fish fed control diets and the fish fed CSF245, CSF345, and CSF345 diets. The AED value of the fish fed control diets were not significantly different (p>0.05) from the fish fed CSF115, CSF130, CSF215 and CSF230 diets. So also, no significant difference (p>0.05) was recorded in the AED values of the fish fed diets CSF145, CSF315, and CSF330.
Fish fed control diets were not significantly different (p>0.05) from the fish fed the test diets except the fish groups fed diets CSF245, CSF330, and CSF345. Apparent ash digestibility and apparent carbohydrate digestibility coefficient in all fish fed the test diets did not significantly vary (p>0.05) from control except that of the CSF345.

Hematological profile

The hematological parameters of Clarias gariepinus fed differently cooked sunflower meal-based diets replacing soya bean meal is presented in Table 7. There existed significant variations (p<0.05) in the haemoglobin content of the fish fed various dietary treatments. However, there was no significant variations (p>0.05) in the haemoglobin content of fish fed control diets and test diets CSF315, CSF115, CSF215, CSF125. Similar pattern as observed above was also recorded for the PCV of the fish fed different dietary treatment except that there was significant difference (p<0.05) between the control diets and diet CSF130. There was no significant difference in the white blood cell counts of fish group fed the control diet and those fed test diets; CSF130, CSF145, CSF215, CSF230, CSF315, and CSF330. RBC decreases with increase in levels of inclusion of differently cooked sunflower. No significant variation (p>0.05) existed in the MCHC of fish fed differently cooked sunflower meal-based diets and control diets except in fish fed test diets CSF345. The MCV follows the same pattern as recorded for MCHC except that fish fed diets CSF245 and CSF345 showed significant variation (p<0.05) in MCV from other dietary treatments. The MCH of fish fed the different dietary treatments were statistically similar (p>0.05). The trend of results of the ESR of fish fed differently cooked sunflower meal-based diets were similar to the pattern of results of the RBC recorded above.

Histopathology

Different dietary treatments exhibit different changes in the liver of Clarias gariepinus. Diffuse hepatic vacuolation was recorded in control diet. Other test diets exhibit diffuse to severe fatty infiltration of hepatocytes with diffuse to severe, diffuse vacuolar degeneration of hepatocytes. Severe fatty infiltration of hepatocytes was recorded in fish fed diet CSF115, CSF215,230 and CSF345. Severe hepatic vacuolation were recorded in fish fed CSF130,145, CSF245, CSF315 and CSF345.

Table 5. Proximate composition (g/100 g dry matter), acid insoluble ash and energy contents of faecal samples of Clarias gariepinus fed varying replacement levels of sunflower seedmeal-based diets

| Parameter              | CSF115 | CSF130 | CSF145 | CSF15 | CSF230 | CSF245 | CSF315 | CSF330 | CSF345 |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Moisture               | 10.63±0.61 | 10.00±1.42 | 9.62±0.29 | 10.10±0.23 | 10.07±0.45 | 9.87±0.39 | 9.84±0.46 | 9.99±0.60 | 9.93±0.47 |
| Ash                    | 9.65±0.41  | 10.07±1.21 | 10.44±5.51 | 10.89±0.23 | 10.62±0.56 | 10.99±2.33 | 11.37±1.71 | 12.26±2.05 | 12.43±2.88 |
| Energy (kcal/g)        | 337.44±3.29 | 337.44±2.93 | 339.49±2.36 | 345.19±19.59 | 343.92±6.70 | 335.29±15.24 | 342.23±5.41 | 323.16±14.14 | 330.26±21.93 |

Table 6. Apparent digestibility coefficient of nutrients of cooked sunflower meal-based diets fed to Clarias gariepinus

| Parameter               | CSF115 | CSF130 | CSF145 | CSF15 | CSF230 | CSF245 | CSF315 | CSF330 | CSF345 |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| AOMD                   | 76.99±1.69 | 75.85±0.53 | 77.19±1.03 | 77.09±0.27 | 77.25±1.01 | 77.38±0.69 | 74.70±0.49 | 77.47±1.31 | 75.31±0.99 |
| APD                    | 91.01±0.42 | 90.92±0.36 | 90.41±0.72 | 88.53±0.62 | 88.37±0.00 | 90.92±1.98 | 89.39±1.11 | 91.36±0.82 | 90.19±2.00 |
| ALD                    | 88.35±0.92 | 87.01±1.32 | 87.08±0.79 | 87.24±1.97 | 88.39±0.99 | 86.59±1.49 | 83.56±2.05 | 89.05±1.22 | 85.39±1.79 |
| AED                    | 82.19±2.38 | 81.24±0.77 | 82.14±0.67 | 81.48±0.86 | 81.97±0.57 | 82.51±0.46 | 80.09±0.56 | 83.29±0.63 | 81.33±0.67 |
| AAD                    | 77.13±2.29 | 74.76±5.74 | 75.42±1.71 | 74.58±1.13 | 75.15±0.96 | 74.39±1.13 | 69.91±5.09 | 70.98±0.60 | 67.08±4.85 |
| AFD                    | 53.19±1.46 | 53.48±0.43 | 52.83±1.56 | 53.89±1.58 | 56.71±6.60 | 51.68±1.88 | 48.49±7.44 | 50.97±9.69 | 49.25±3.33 |
| ACD                    | 52.68±0.59 | 49.75±7.16 | 55.84±5.16 | 54.95±0.58 | 58.63±0.33 | 56.81±3.32 | 53.43±0.62 | 56.85±7.17 | 54.11±3.45 |

Row means with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05)

NFE: Nitrogen Free Extract
AIA: Acid Insoluble Ash
Table 7. Hematological profile of blood of Clarias gariepinus fed cooked sunflower meal-based diets

| Experimental Diets | CTR   | CSF115 | CSF130 | CSF145 | CSF215 | CSF230 | CSF245 | CSF315 | CSF330 | CSF345 |
|--------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Hb                 | 10.19±0.06<sup>a</sup> | 10.10±0.12<sup>a</sup> | 9.86±0.18<sup>ab</sup> | 9.15±0.23<sup>c</sup> | 10.08±0.07<sup>b</sup> | 6.65±0.15<sup>d</sup> | 8.79±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 10.20±0.18<sup>i</sup> | 9.62±0.13<sup>e</sup> | 8.69±0.22<sup>h</sup> |
| PCV                | 30.33±0.13<sup>ab</sup> | 30.39±0.40<sup>ab</sup> | 29.37±0.39<sup>ab</sup> | 27.14±0.84<sup>bc</sup> | 30.44±0.21<sup>a</sup> | 28.57±0.30<sup>bc</sup> | 27.03±0.65<sup>bc</sup> | 30.74±0.16<sup>bc</sup> | 28.81±0.82<sup>bc</sup> | 27.01±0.32<sup>bc</sup> |
| WBC (x10<sup>6</sup>) | 6.50±0.14<sup>abc</sup> | 6.59±0.12<sup>abc</sup> | 6.43±0.04<sup>b</sup> | 6.52±0.14<sup>abc</sup> | 6.40±0.21<sup>b</sup> | 6.68±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 6.45±0.14<sup>abc</sup> | 6.74±0.05<sup>b</sup> |
| RBC                | 3.31±0.50<sup>e</sup> | 3.35±0.04<sup>b</sup> | 3.23±0.08<sup>abc</sup> | 2.93±0.07<sup>a</sup> | 3.34±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 3.19±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 2.87±0.11<sup>c</sup> | 3.37±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 2.81±0.02<sup>bc</sup> |
| MCHC               | 33.58±0.35<sup>abc</sup> | 33.25±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 33.56±0.16<sup>abc</sup> | 33.70±0.18<sup>abc</sup> | 33.12±0.01<sup>abc</sup> | 32.62±0.18<sup>abc</sup> | 32.51±0.81<sup>abc</sup> | 33.18±0.42<sup>abc</sup> | 32.51±0.43<sup>abc</sup> |
| MCV                | 91.7±0.09<sup>abc</sup> | 90.6±0.04<sup>abc</sup> | 90.9±0.11<sup>abc</sup> | 92.60±0.64<sup>abc</sup> | 91.14±0.52<sup>abc</sup> | 92.71±0.71<sup>abc</sup> | 94.37±1.22<sup>abc</sup> | 91.23±1.07<sup>abc</sup> | 92.34±2.21<sup>abc</sup> | 96.28±0.40<sup>abc</sup> |
| MCH                | 30.82±0.65<sup>abc</sup> | 30.14±0.02<sup>abc</sup> | 30.51±0.26<sup>ab</sup> | 31.21±0.04<sup>abc</sup> | 30.19±0.17<sup>abc</sup> | 30.24±0.07<sup>abc</sup> | 30.69±1.17<sup>abc</sup> | 30.27±0.04<sup>abc</sup> | 30.84±0.55<sup>abc</sup> | 30.96±0.55<sup>abc</sup> |
| ESR                | 3.67±0.02<sup>i</sup> | 3.63±0.04<sup>i</sup> | 3.55±0.06<sup>i</sup> | 3.29±0.09<sup>i</sup> | 3.63±0.02<sup>i</sup> | 3.47±0.06<sup>i</sup> | 3.16±0.04<sup>i</sup> | 3.67±0.07<sup>i</sup> | 3.46±0.04<sup>i</sup> | 3.13±0.08<sup>i</sup> |

Row means with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

Hb: Haemoglobin content (gm/100ml)
PCV: Packed Cell Volume (%)
WBC: White Blood Cell Count (10<sup>6</sup>mm<sup>3</sup>)
RBC: Red Blood Cell Count (10<sup>6</sup>mm<sup>3</sup>)
MCHC: Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (%)
MCV: Mean Corpuscular Volume (u<sup>3</sup>)
MCH: Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (pg)
ESR: Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (mm/hr)

Figure 1. The Photomicrographs (5µm; x400 magnification) of liver of Clarias gariepinus fed diet (a) CTR: diffuse hepatic vacuolation (b) CSF115: severe fatty infiltration of hepatocytes (c) CSF130: severe, diffuse vacuolar degeneration of hepatocytes (d) CSF145 There is severe, diffuse hepatic vacuolation (e) CSF 215: severe fatty infiltration, with diffuse vacuolation of hepatocyte (f) CSF 230: severe fatty infiltration of hepatocytes (g) CSF 245: severe, diffuse hepatic vacuolation (h) CSF 315: very severe, diffuse vacuolation (i) CSF 330: diffuse or moderate hepatic vacuolation (j) CSF 345: severe hepatic vacuolation and fatty infiltration of hepatocytes.
DISCUSSION

The best growth performance was recorded in fish fed the soybean-based control diets which was not statistically different from the fish exposed to diets CSF115, CSF130, CSF215 and CSF230. Lower cooking time and inclusion level of sunflower seed meal had a comparable performance with control diets. Our result is in consonance with the observation of Köprüçü and Sertel (2012) who also reported the superior growth performance by carp, *Clarias gariepinus*, fed soybean based control diet over that of fish fed sunflower based diets. The nutrient profile of soybean seed meal is superior to that of other plant protein sources (Storebakken, 2000). The reduction in growth performance by *Clarias gariepinus* at higher inclusion level might not be unconnected to higher crude fibre content of sunflower seedmeal. Higher content of crude fibre has been reported to limit its use in aquaculture diets (Hertramf and Piedad-Pascual, 2012). Other plausible reason could be the presence of chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid; the phenolic compounds that have capacity to reduce protein solubility (González-Pérez and Vereijken, 2007). Crude fibre and these phenolic compounds are present at lower inclusion level but their presence could still be contained by the physiological mechanisms of fish. There is tolerable level of these compounds in fish within which the physiological mechanism of fish will not be impaired (Francis et al., 2001). Other studies that establish lower growth performance at higher inclusion of sunflower seedmeal are Jackson et al. (1982); Tacon et al. (1984); Stickney et al. (1996); Sintayehu et al. (1996); Olvera-Novoa et al. (2002).

Carcass crude protein and carcass crude lipid followed the same trend as observed with inclusion performance. They reduced with increase in cooking time and inclusion level. No significant variation was recorded in the carcass protein of fish fed CTR, CSF115, CSF130, CSF215, CSF315. This result is in consonance with the finding of Hassaan et al. (2015) who reported decrease in carcass protein with increase inclusion level of fermented soybean meal. Our results are in tandem with the observation of Saha and Ghosh (2013) for rohu, *Labeo rohita* fed *Jatropha curcas* seedmeal and Hassaan et al. (2017) for fermented, de-oiled *Jatropha curcas* fed to *Oreochromis niloticus*. The reduction in carcass lipid content at higher inclusion level observed in this study could be traceable to phenolic compounds, chlorogenic acid, an anti-oxidant that has capacity to reduce deposition of lipid in the carcass (Sun et al., 2017). Similar observation was made by Hassaan et al. (2017). The reduction in lipid level with increasing inclusion level recorded in this study is in consonance with the observation of Zhou et al. (2011). Jimoh et al. (2019) reported similar trends of results when hybrid lemon fin barb (*Barbonymus gonionotus ♀ × Hypsibarbus wetmorei ♂*) was fed diets containing selected leaf meals of dietary high fibre.

The non-significant difference recorded in the apparent protein and lipid digestibility of control diet fed fish group and other test diets’ fed groups except those fed diets CSF145, CSF245 and CSF345 gave a better picture of the growth performance trend, the higher the amount of input to metabolism as a result of digestibility, the higher the anabolism activity for protein accretion (Lim et al., 2004). The lower digestibility coefficient recorded for protein and lipid in this study at higher inclusion level irrespective of the processing time employed was in tandem with the report of Hassaan et al. (2017). At higher inclusion level, the non-digestible cellulose content increases, the anti-nutrient component increases making the amino acids in the diet become imbalanced (Eusebio et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2011) which explains why the digestibility coefficient reduced when compared with control.

No significant differences were recorded in the haemoglobin content, RBC and PCV controls diet fed group and fish fed test diets; CSF315, CSF115, CSF215, CSF130. Similarly, there was no significant difference in the white blood cell counts of fish group fed the control diet and those fed test diets except CSF345. These are primary indicator of fish physiology; good for knowing the health status of fish (Bahmani et al., 2001). Diet composition can change blood profile (Feist and Longshaw, 2000). The trend of results obtained in this study agrees with Aderolu et al. (2015) who fed degraded rice husk to Nile tilapia. Blom et al. (2001) and Rinchard et al. (2003) also reported a decrease in primary haematological parameters with inclusion of plant protein sources. Possible reason for the trend of haematological parameters recorded in this study might be attributed to the stress created as a result of anti-nutrient, higher fibre content and quality of amino acid content of the diet (Zhou et al., 2011). Although contrast is the report of Jimoh et al. (2015a) who fed *Citrullus lanatus* to *Oreochromis niloticus* that higher profile of haematological parameters were recorded.

Diffuse to severe fatty infiltration of hepatocytes with diffuse to severe vascular degeneration of hepatocytes. Severe hepatic vacuolation were recorded in fish fed CSF130,145, CSF245, CSF315 and CSF345. Jimoh et al. (2015b) reported similar trends of results when *Citrullus lanatus* was fed to Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). The pathological condition recorded in this study might be attributable to the presence of anti-nutrients in the feedstuff. Gatta et al. (2011) gave plausible reason to the high vacuolation of the hepatocytes as dietary lipid induced. The presence of high vacuolation of the liver was reported also reported by Valente et al. (2011). Liver is susceptible to damage as a result of its metabolic function of detoxifying xenobiotic compounds. (Nero et al., 2006).

CONCLUSION

The replacement level of soybean meal by sunflower seedmeal that supports optimum performance by *Clarias gariepinus* appear from this study to be up to 30% for 10 and 20 minutes cooked sunflower seedmeal or 15% for 30 minutes cooked sunflower seedmeal.
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