Abstract

New statistical models for analysing survival data in an intensive care unit context have recently been developed. Two models that offer significant advantages over standard survival analyses are competing risks models and multistate models. Wolkewitz and colleagues used a competing risks model to examine survival times for nosocomial pneumonia and mortality. Their model was able to incorporate time-dependent covariates and so examine how risk factors that changed with time affected the chances of infection or death. We briefly explain how an alternative modelling technique (using logistic regression) can more fully exploit time-dependent covariates for this type of data.

In the present issue of Critical Care Wolkewitz and colleagues use competing risks models to examine risk factors for nosocomial pneumonia and mortality in an intensive care unit [1]. Competing risks models offer significant advantages over standard survival analysis [2]. In a standard survival analysis there is one event (for example, death) and one time (for example, days until death). Often we have a set of covariates and want to know which are most predictive of the event. In competing risks models the number of events can be greater than one. In the study by Wolkewitz and colleagues there were three competing risks: nosocomial pneumonia, death and discharge. Covariates can depend on the competing risk. A good example from the study by Wolkewitz and colleagues is elective surgery before admission, which increased the risk of nosocomial pneumonia but decreased the risk of death and discharge.

Competing risks models can incorporate time-dependent covariates using a Cox proportional hazards model. A time-dependent covariate is one that changes during the study period; for example, ventilation (yes/no). A time-independent covariate does not change; for example, sex. Time-dependent covariates can be richer than time-independent covariates because they offer the chance to examine the order of exposure and outcome [3]. The study by Wolkewitz and colleagues involved 10 binary time-dependent covariates, including nosocomial pneumonia.

To use time-dependent covariates the data need to be arranged in a nonstandard format [2,4]. A new row needs to be added each time a covariate changes. As an example of a competing risks model, consider the subject presented in Table 1. When this subject entered the intensive care unit their start time was set to 0. After 2 days they contracted a nosocomial infection, and so their period with this pattern of covariates was censored. On day 3 the subject was ventilated, and so a new row is added to include this covariate pattern. After being ventilated for 1 day the subject died. Ventilated and nosocomial infection are binary time-dependent covariates, whereas sex is a time-independent covariate. Data in this format can be analysed using a Cox proportional hazards model.

An alternative method to the competing risks model is a multistate model [2]. Using this multistate method, subjects move over time between a set of states. A three-state model using the present example is shown in Figure 1. A survival analysis is then run for every transition (that is, every arrow in the diagram). For this multistate model the example data would be arranged as presented in Table 2. There is therefore one row per subject per transition. The big disadvantage of this arrangement is that time-dependent covariates can only be updated when a subject first enters a state. For the example data, therefore, the change in ventilation on day 3 has been lost.

An alternative arrangement is to exploit the equally spaced nature of the data and create a row for each day. Such an arrangement is presented in Table 3 for the example data. Using this format we can analyse the data using logistic regression (with status as the dependent variable) [4]. This type of logistic regression has been shown to be equivalent to time-dependent analysis using Cox models [5], but has some important advantages.

The first advantage is that lagged covariates can be added [3]. For example, the effect of a nosocomial infection on the
Another advantage is that the proportional hazards assumption can be broken, either by allowing the effect of the covariate to change with time (as per the lagged covariate) or by allowing the intercept to vary with time (which alters the overall baseline risk).

The third advantage is that, using a mixed effects logistic regression, random effects can be added to help explain differences between subjects [3].

The main disadvantage of such logistic regression models is that they rely on equally spaced data (for example, days, hours), and are not applicable to continuous time results. In intensive care unit studies, however, minutes are rarely important and the data could safely be rounded to hours.

Time-dependent covariates are critically important in studies concerning lengths of stay in hospital. Covariates such as nosocomial infection occur at varying times, and a key question is estimating how much longer a patient can expect to stay in hospital.
to stay in hospital if they become infected. Wolkewitz and colleagues have made a big step toward properly incorporating time-dependent covariates in this context using competing risks models [1]. The model we have suggested more fully exploits these important covariates and relaxes the assumptions concerning proportional hazards.
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