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Abstract

Driven by the impact of influenza A viruses on human and animal health, much research is conducted on this pathogen. To support this research, we designed an all influenza A-embracing reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) for the generation of DNA from influenza A virus negative strand RNA genome segments for full-length genome deep sequencing on a Genome Sequencer FLX instrument. For high reliability, the RT-PCRs are designed such that every genome segment is divided into two amplicons and for the most variable segments redundancy is included. Moreover, to minimize the risk of contamination of diagnostic real-time PCRs by sequencing amplicons, RT-PCR does not generate amplicons that are amenable to RT-qPCR detection. With the presented protocol we were able to generate virtually all amplicons (99.3% success rate) from isolates representing all so far known 16 hemagglutinin and 9 neuraminidase subtypes and from an additional 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1 virus. Three isolates were sequenced to analyze the suitability of the DNA for sequencing. Moreover, we provide a short R script that disambiguates the sequences of the primers used. We show that using unambiguous primer sequences for read trimming prior to assembly with the genome sequencer assembler software results in higher quality of the final genome sequences. Using the disambiguated primer sequences, high quality full-length sequences for the three isolates used for sequencing trials could be established from the raw data in de novo assemblies.

Introduction

Influenza A virus is one of the major threats in modern health care. Besides influenza viruses that cause seasonal epidemics, pandemic viruses such as the 2009 H1N1 swine origin influenza A virus have a significant impact on human and animal health. Therefore, intensive research is carried out tackling different aspects of influenza A virus properties, very often trying to correlate phenotypes with genotypes.

The segmented negative strand RNA genome of influenza A virus comprises 8 segments ranging in size approximately from 850 nt to 2350 nt. Novel viruses arise due to antigenic drift and antigenic shift. Antigenic drift is caused by continuous mutation of the genomes due to the high error rate of the viral RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase. Reassortments of genome segments during infection of a single host with different influenza A viruses result in antigenic shift (reviewed in [1]). Consequently, a high variability of the genome composition leading to diverse virus mixtures may be expected. Therefore, the complete characterization of influenza A viruses is only possible by precise determination of full-length genome sequences by deep sequencing. Also for diagnostics, there is the need for comprehensive tools in this field. This demand has been highlighted once more by the sudden and unexpected emergence and fast spread of the pandemic H1N1 swine origin influenza A virus in 2009.

The existing DNA sequencing capacity that is now available with the different next generation sequencing technologies [2] more than suffices to accurately sequence any DNA sample at any desired sequence depth. On the contrary, adequate protocols for correspondingly satisfying preparation of DNA in sufficient amount and quality for sequencing of complete virus genomes are not at hand.

The most apparent problem in full-length virus genome sequencing is to target the sequencing process away from host nucleic acids to viral nucleic acids. Preparing a simple shotgun sequencing DNA library, the most comprehensive approach, will most likely result in a huge amount of host specific instead of full-length viral sequences. The same holds true when working with libraries prepared from cDNA synthesized from RNA with random priming [3,4]. So far, different techniques to focus the sequencing have been described [5,6,7,8]. First of all, the most obvious is to enrich virions from cell culture or from host tissue or fluids by centrifugation before extracting the genomic DNA/RNA [5]. This may be a very time-consuming and laborious procedure with sometimes uncertain outcome. Secondly, it is possible to enrich for the portion of nucleic acids that is the aim of the sequencing effort if these can be caught by probing the complete DNA/RNA. This procedure was engaged, for example, in the first official RNA sequencing protocol for the Genome Sequencer FLX (GS FLX) [7]. Here, the influenza A genome segments were enriched by hybridization to biotinylated probes directed against the conserved ends of the genome segments. Subsequently, the probes were bound to streptavidin beads, the samples washed and finally the captured RNA was eluted. A similar approach was used.
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PCR Design

Results

PCR Design

Primers were designed on the basis of alignments of all influenza A full-length segment sequences that included the conserved ends and regardless of the host of the virus isolate available at the time of primer design. Positioning of the primers for reverse transcription and amplification was done following three key criteria: (i) None of the 8 genome segments should be reversely transcribed and amplified as a single amplicon but for better performance be divided in a 5'- and a 3'-part. (ii) In order to reduce the risk of PCR failure caused by non-matching primers due to influenza A virus evolution, we made use of the conserved regions at both the 5' and the 3' segment ends as primer binding sites. (iii) To be also compatible with diagnostic settings, the two amplicons to be generated for each segment should, if possible, not lead to the generation of DNA traceable in the real-time PCR assays in use in diagnostic laboratories for influenza A diagnostics. This prevents interference with diagnostics by PCR products that might be released at any step during the sequencing library preparation process. The RT-PCR design resulting from these considerations is depicted schematically in figure 1. As can be seen in figure 1 (and table 2), in some instances, we use redundant inner segment specific primers. This redundancy is necessary due to the high variability in the sequences of the respective genome segments.

Generation of sequencing amplicons

The functionality of our PCR protocol was tested with 17 different influenza A isolates that comprise all so far known 16 hemagglutinin (HA) and 9 neuraminidase (NA) types. In addition, a 2009 pandemic influenza A isolates that comprise all so far known 16 hemagglutinin (HA) and 9 neuraminidase (NA) types.

**Table 2. Primers used for RT-PCR amplification of influenza A virus genomic segments.**

| Genome segment | Primer designation | Primer sequence | Approximate product size (bp) |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 5' part        | general forward    | Pan-IVA-1F_M13F | TCCCGAGTTCGACCTGGTCACCTGGAAACAGG |
| 1              | Pan-IVA-S1-1254R   | GCA ATC CTC YTG TGA RAA YAC CAT | 1270 |
| 2              | Pan-IVA-S2-1253R   | GAT TGG Agy CCR TCC CAC CA | 1370 |
| 3              | Pan-IVA-S3-1264R   | CCT TGT TGA ATT CAC TYT GTA DTA TCC A | 1280 |
| 4              | Pan-IVA-S4-1451R   | ACC AGA AGT TCA GCA TIR TAW GWC C | 1385 |
| 5              | Pan-IVA-S5-1471R   | CGA TCG GGY TCG BTG CTT T | 1490 |
| 6              | Pan-IVA-S6-1158R   | TNT TGC TTT TNG TTC CYA WCC A | 1075 |
| 7              | Pan-IVA-S7-124R    | TGC AAA AAC ATC TTC AAG TYT CTG | 140 |
| 8              | Pan-IVA-S8-600R    | CTC GAA CHG TGT TAY CAT TCC A | 610 |
| 3' part        | general reverse    | Pan-IVA-1R_M13R | GGAACACCTAGCATGAGCTTAGAGAAAACAGG |
| 1              | Pan-IVA-S1-1051F   | GAA GAA GTG CTT ACR GCCA AAY CT | 1310 |
| 2              | Pan-IVA-S2-1233F   | TGA GYC CTG GYA TGA TGA TGG | 1130 |
| 3              | Pan-IVA-S3-957F    | GAG AAC ATT CTT TGG ATG ARA GGA | 1295 |
| 4              | Pan-IVA-S4-1198F   | GTA TCA GGG RRG GGG RAT ACC | 1340 |
| 5              | Pan-IVA-S5-1471R   | CGA TCG GGY TCG BTG CCT TCC A | 1490 |
| 6              | Pan-IVA-S6-1158R   | TNT TGC TTT TNG TTC CYA WCC A | 1075 |
| 7              | Pan-IVA-S7-124R    | TGC AAA AAC ATC TTC AAG TYT CTG | 140 |
| 8              | Pan-IVA-S8-600R    | CTC GAA CHG TGT TAY CAT TCC A | 610 |

Leifer and colleagues [12]. For bead bound clonal amplification of the DNA libraries, the DNA libraries were subjected to duplicate emulsion PCRs (emPCR) with the GS emPCR kit I (Roche), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with 2 copies per bead. After bead recovery and enrichment, the beads were sequenced using a GS LR70 sequencing kit (Roche) and the appropriate instrument run protocol. The resulting sequencing reads were sorted according to the genome segments to which they related and were subsequently assembled into one contig (i.e., a set of overlapping sequencing reads) per segment with the GS FLX sequence assembly software newbler (version 2.3; Roche). During the assembly, the primer sequences were trimmed off the raw data. In addition, to check whether or not the sequences were complete, we performed a mapping along appropriate reference sequences using the GS FLX reference mapper software (version 2.3; Roche).

**Table 2. Primers used for RT-PCR amplification of influenza A virus genomic segments.**

| Genome segment | Primer designation | Primer sequence | Approximate product size (bp) |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 5' part        | general forward    | Pan-IVA-1F_M13F | TCCCGAGTTCGACCTGGTCACCTGGAAACAGG |
| 1              | Pan-IVA-S1-1254R   | GCA ATC CTC YTG TGA RAA YAC CAT | 1270 |
| 2              | Pan-IVA-S2-1253R   | GAT TGG Agy CCR TCC CAC CA | 1370 |
| 3              | Pan-IVA-S3-1264R   | CCT TGT TGA ATT CAC TYT GTA DTA TCC A | 1280 |
| 4              | Pan-IVA-S4-1451R   | ACC AGA AGT TCA GCA TIR TAW GWC C | 1385 |
| 5              | Pan-IVA-S5-1471R   | CGA TCG GGY TCG BTG CTT T | 1490 |
| 6              | Pan-IVA-S6-1158R   | TNT TGC TTT TNG TTC CYA WCC A | 1075 |
| 7              | Pan-IVA-S7-124R    | TGC AAA AAC ATC TTC AAG TYT CTG | 140 |
| 8              | Pan-IVA-S8-600R    | CTC GAA CHG TGT TAY CAT TCC A | 610 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019075.t002
Sequencing the PCR products

Most importantly, we were interested in the applicability of the amplicons for sequencing with regard to purity, i.e. absence of non-specifically amplified host sequences, and with respect to completeness of the influenza A sequences. Therefore, we sequenced the DNA fragment pools confected from the PCR products of three isolates. For this sequencing trials, we chose A/turkey/Ontario/6118/68 (H8N4), A/shearwater/West Australia/2576/79 (H15N9), and the 2009 pandemic H1N1 A/Germany-BY/74/2009 (H1N1).

Primer disambiguation for sequence analysis

In general, in assemblies of data obtained from sequencing PCR products it is necessary to trim off the primer sequences before assembly in order not to distort the final sequences. This is even more important when amplicons have been generated with primers including ambiguities, i.e. incompletely specified bases [13], as is the case with the primers used here. Failure to trim off the primer sequences will result in final sequences which include these ambiguities and hence deviate from the true sequence.

The powerful 454 assembler newbler used in this study cannot handle nucleotide sequence ambiguity codes in trim information [14]. If there is no clearly dominating base at a certain position, the assembler is not able to determine the consensus base at that position. This either leads to low quality basecalls, single base insertions or deletions, or the software splits the sequences at these ambiguous positions leading to a fragmentation of the final genome sequences. Therefore, it is necessary to disambiguate the primer

Table 3. Overview of the PCR results obtained with all different virus isolates.

| Subtype | Segment   | 5' part | 3' part |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
|         |           | 1 2 3 4 | 5 6 7 8 |
| H1N1    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H1N1 pandemic |       | + + + + | + + + + |
| H2N2    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H3N1    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H4N6    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H5N2    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H6N2    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H7N3    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H8N4    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H9N2    |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H10N7   |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H11N6   |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H12N5   |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H13N8   |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H14N5   |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H15N9   |           | + + + + | + + + + |
| H16N3   |           | + + + + | + + + + |
sequences to allow for correct trimming. To this end, a short R [15] program (see supplemental File S1) was written. This program makes use of the seqinr package [16]. Table 4 summarizes the data obtained in de novo assemblies with or without primer disambiguation. From the numbers shown there it is evident that disambiguation of the primers enhances final data quality.

Due to the impact of primer disambiguation, for all further analyses, disambiguated primer sequences were used.

Analysis of data quality, quantity, and usability

For analyses of the quality and quantity of the obtained raw sequences, we first performed a mapping of the reads along the known reference sequences. This allowed us to assess the purity, completeness, and fidelity. Moreover, the raw data were assembled without any advance sequence information in order to test the usability for de novo assembly.

Reference mapping. The purity of the generated DNA was different for the three isolates. In the worst case, A/shearwater/West Australia/2576/79 (H15N9), the proportion of non-specifically amplified and subsequently sequenced host nucleic acids was 3.7%. In the remaining 2 cases, 3.3% (A/turkey/Ontario/6118/68 (H8N4)) and 1.0% (A/Germany-BY/74/2009 (H1N1)) of all sequencing reads were host sequences.

With regard to completeness, we were particularly curious whether the complete sequences for segments 5 and 7 could be generated. This was interesting because we used very short PCR products (<300 bp) for the 3’ and 5’ part of these segments, respectively. Such short DNA fragments are depleted during preparation of the sequencing libraries. Nevertheless, mapping of the sequence reads against the appropriate reference sequences showed that all segments had been completely sequenced. In all cases, only the sequences of the binding sites of the general forward and reverse primers, respectively, were missing from the final sequences due to primer trimming.

Besides the assessment of DNA purity and sequence completeness, mapping also provided information about the fidelity of the newly generated sequences. The results of the sequence comparisons are compiled in Table 5. Except for a few deviations in the regions of the general primers, i.e. outside the coding regions, we rarely detected sequence deviations in the sequences of all 3 isolates. With regard to the deviations in the primer regions it is necessary to note that these occur due to primer trimming. Primer trimming leads to a diminished sequence depth in the trimmed regions causing a diminished sequence quality. With regard to those deviations which were found in the coding regions, we detected 3 differences between newly established and the reference sequences for all segments of isolate A/shearwater/West Australia/2576/79 (H15N9). Namely, we found one deviation in the HA gene (a677g), one base substitution in the NA (a866t), and another difference in the NP gene (a1459c) which is a primer derived substitution. In the sequences for isolate A/turkey/Ontario/6118/68 (H8N4), we detected 1 difference within the open reading frames. This n499g was located in segment 1 and obviously is not a deviation from the reference sequence. The figures for the pandemic H1N1 virus were much the same as for the other 2 isolates. Here, we also found a single deviation from the reference sequences, namely an a937g substitution in segment 2. In summary, we conclude that the sequence fidelity achievable with the established protocol is excellent. With an overall error rate of only 0.032% (including those deviations that are located in the general primer regions) the concordance of the newly determined with the beforehand known reference sequences from all 3 isolates that were sequenced is very high. It is important to note that the deviations may be acquired by the virus during repeated cell or egg culture passages.

De novo assembly. In order to test if the quality of the raw data and the overlaps of the PCR amplicons are sufficient for a de novo assembly, we assembled the raw sequence data without advance sequence information. To this end, we performed an assembly of the raw sequencing reads using the 454 assembler software newerbler. As published before for sequencing of highly pathogenic avian influenza A H5N1 viruses [9], complete assembly into a single contig (a set of overlapping sequencing reads) per segment was only possible after allocating the sequencing reads to the segments. The assemblies of the data resulted in one contig per segment for all 3 isolates. Only the sequence for the segment 7 of the H8N4 virus was truncated. Here, the sequence for nucleotides 1–76 was missing in the de novo assembled sequence, caused by the depletion of the small fragment representing this region. Hence, the coding sequence for the H8N4 genome was 99.59% and those for the two other isolates were 100% complete. The main characteristics of all de novo assemblies are summarized in table 6. As for mapping, the fidelity of the de novo assembled sequences was very high. Here, the total error rate was 0.030%, again including those mutations that were detected in the general primer binding regions which resulted in a relatively low quality due to the trimming during the assembly.

The results of the comparisons of our de novo assembled unrevised sequences with the available reference sequences (table 7) predominantly showed the same differences which were detected by mapping (see table 5). Remarkably, four of the deviations detected by mapping located in the general primer binding regions were not detected in the assemblies. This provides an additional hint that these deviations are artifacts. Moreover, with regard to the coding regions, we did not detect the a866t substitution in the H15N9 NA sequence. On the other hand, three single nucleotide deletions were found in the de novo assembled sequences. In particular, we found one deletion in segment 7 of the pandemic H1N1, one deletion in segment 6 of the H8N4 virus, and one deletion in segment 5 of the assembly for the H15N9 virus’s sequence. From the detailed assembly characteristics stored by the

Table 4. Summary of the impact of primer disambiguation on sequence quality obtained by de novo assembly.

| Isolate | Number of Contigs | Number of bases | Number (%) of Q39—bases* | Number of Contigs | Number of bases | Number (%) of Q39—bases* |
|---------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| H1N1    | 23                | 13814          | 81 (0.59)                | 18                | 13528          | 49 (0.36)                |
| H8N4    | 36                | 13806          | 84 (0.61)                | 26                | 13507          | 64 (0.47)                |
| H15N9   | 67                | 14626          | 163 (1.11)               | 51                | 14301          | 130 (0.91)               |

*Q39—bases are those with a quality score of 39 or less, i.e. with probability ≤99.987%.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019075.t004
Discussion

In several reports the possibility to benefit from shotgun high throughput sequencing for applications in virus research has been shown [see for instance [5,6,7,8]]. However, none of the presented protocols is useful for targeted full-length genome deep sequencing. This is due to limitations in the sample preparation caused by failure to focus or laborious protocols used to target the sequencing process to the aim of the work. Our previously reported protocol for sequencing highly pathogenic influenza A H5N1 [9] is very sensitive, accurate, and useful for the specialized goal. However, its spectrum is too narrow to be useful in influenza A virus research in general or in situations like the 2009 pandemic of the swine origin influenza A H1N1. Therefore, we set out to improve the method making use of the benefits of our first protocol but adding usability and broadening the spectrum of the method to influenza A viruses regardless of the subtype.

The presented results of the sequencing trials done with 3 isolates clearly show that we reached our goal to broaden the spectrum without losing the specificity that is necessary to target the sequencing. With a maximum of only 3.7% of the reads representing host nucleic acids, we obviously successfully direct sequencing to the influenza A genome. Nevertheless, the scale of our approach is very good. This is highlighted by a success rate of about 99.3% for all PCRs as tested with 17 different isolates that represent all 16 HA and 9 NA types, respectively, and one 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus. Therefore, we conclude that focusing the sequencing must not necessarily diminish comprehensiveness. Unlike the protocol for subtype independent sequencing of influenza A viruses initially provided by 454 life sciences for use with the GS FLX [7], we neither need delicate techniques for enrichment of the target nucleic acid nor for preparation of cDNA carrying the adapters necessary for GS FLX sequencing.

By introduction of the protocol of Wiley and colleagues [11] and omission of the gel purification initially included in the sequencing protocol for highly pathogenic influenza A H5N1 [9], we gain the possibility to fully automate sample preparation. Only quantification of the PCR products and preparation of the DNA pools has to be done manually. This permits to raise the throughput which is possible since the introduction of the MID adapters for the GS FLX. These MID adapters provide a recognizable sequence tag at the beginning of each read. These tags allow to group the sequence reads belonging to different DNA libraries. Taken together, this makes sequencing less laborious and more economic.

Another benefit of the new protocol presented here is the design of the PCRs in order not to compromise diagnostic qPCR assays in use in influenza diagnostic laboratories. With the exception of the two small fragments generated for sequencing the M and NP segments, none of the sequencing amplicons is detectable in the respective qPCR assays (data not shown). Therefore, laboratories can be protected from sequencing amplicons that may interfere with real-time PCR diagnostics. Skipping the two small fragments

| Table 5. Details of the sequence comparison performed by mapping the generated sequencing reads along the previously reported sequences as a reference. |
|---|---|---|
| **Segment** | **Reference** | **Deviations** |
| | | |
| **H1N1** | | |
| 1 | HM138498.1 | n499g (X158E) |
| 2 | HM138499.1 | CY014662.1 |
| 3 | HM138497.1 | CY005830.1 |
| 4 | HM138501.1 | CY014659.1 |
| 5 | HM138494.1 | CY005829.1 |
| 6 | HM138500.1 | CY014660.1 |
| 7 | HM138496.1 | CY005828.1 |
| 8 | HM138495.1 | CY014661.1 |
| **H8N4** | | |
| 1 | CY005831.1 | |
| 2 | CY014662.1 | |
| 3 | CY005830.1 | |
| 4 | CY014659.1 | |
| 5 | CY005829.1 | |
| 6 | CY014660.1 | |
| 7 | CY005828.1 | |
| 8 | CY014661.1 | |
| **H15N9** | | |
| 1 | GU052266.1 | |
| 2 | GU052265.1 | |
| 3 | GU054101.1 | |
| 4 | CY006034.1 | |
| 5 | CY005727.1 | |
| 6 | GU052261.1 | |
| 7 | GU052263.1 | |

*aGenbank Accessions of the reference sequences used for the mapping. 
*bNucleotide substitutions, insertions, and deletions compared to the reference sequences indicated in the column reference; the impact on the coded amino acid is given in parentheses.
*primer derived deviation.
*mutation outside the coding region.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019075.t005

| Table 6. Summary of data characterizing the quantity and quality of the sequences obtained by de novo assembly. |
|---|---|---|
| **Isolate** | **Length** | **% Coverage of the coding sequence** | **No. (%) of Q40+ bases** |
| | (no. of bases) | | |
| A/Germany-BY/74/2009(H1N1) | 13520 | 100 | 13458 (99.69) |
| A/turkey/Ontario/6118/68(H8N4) | 13421 | 99.59 | 13798 (99.65) |
| A/shearwater/West Australia/2576/79(H15N9) | 13464 | 100 | 13223 (99.53) |

*Q40+-bases are those with a quality score of 40 or higher, i.e. with probability ≥99.99%.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019075.t006
for segments 5 and 7 does not result in loss of much information: In the case of segment 5, the 5' portion, which is not detectable with qPCR assays, comprises 95% of the coding region. For segment 7, the small 5' part adds 17 codons, i.e. about 7% of the ORF.

Sequencing RNA directly from specimens without enriching for virus nucleic acids results in high proportions of host sequence reads. In two different studies in which new viruses were detected only 0.014% and 0.091% of the reads, respectively, represented virus sequences [3,4]. This underlines the necessity to direct the sequencing process. The aforementioned different approaches for focusing the library preparation and hence the sequencing process to the virus nucleic acids are less restricted than our approach [5,6,7,8] but, nevertheless, strong constraints exist: The protocol of Potgieter and co-workers [6] only works with dsRNA, excluding its application to the virus and classical swine fever virus [6,12]. With our here presented protocol, this kind of analyses is made possible for all subtypes of influenza A viruses.

Altogether, we were able to markedly improve our previously reported protocol [9]. With the high quality of the final sequence data that are produced with our technique, we conclude that the presented method is suitable for routinely sequencing complete influenza A virus genomes with a high throughput. In addition, due to the great sequence depth that can be achieved even for low-abundance virus sequences, this kind of analyses is made possible for all subtypes of influenza A viruses.

Supporting Information

File S1 This file contains the R-code that disambiguates nucleotide sequences and writes a new file containing these disambiguated sequences. The script relies on the seqinr package [16].
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