Down-regulation of MYH10 driven by chromosome 17p13.1 deletion promotes hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis through activation of the EGFR pathway
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Abstract

Somatic copy number alterations (CNAs) are a genomic hallmark of cancers. Among them, the chromosome 17p13.1 deletions are recurrent in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Here, utilizing an integrative omics analysis, we screened out a novel tumour suppressor gene within 17p13.1, myosin heavy chain 10 (MYH10). We observed frequent deletions (~38%) and significant down-regulation of MYH10 in primary HCC tissues. Deletion or decreased expression of MYH10 was a potential indicator of poor outcomes in HCC patients. Knockdown of MYH10 significantly promotes HCC cell migration and invasion in vitro, and overexpression of MYH10 exhibits opposite effects. Further, inhibition of MYH10 markedly potentiates HCC metastasis in vivo. We preliminarily elucidated the mechanism by which loss of MYH10 promotes HCC metastasis by facilitating EGFR pathway activation. In conclusion, our study suggests that MYH10, a candidate target gene for 17p13 deletion, acts as a tumour suppressor and may serve as a potential prognostic indicator for HCC patients.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The global incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the most common primary liver malignancy, will reach a million by 2025.\(^1\)\(^2\) Owing to their high recurrence and metastasis rates, the 5-year survival rate of HCC patients remains limited. Elucidating the molecular mechanisms of HCC initiation and progression may lead to improved diagnostic and therapeutic strategies.\(^3\)

Somatic chromosome copy number alterations (CNAs), which generally consist of tens or hundreds of genes, are common features of human cancers.\(^4\)\(^5\) Accumulating evidence has indicated that several CNAs functioned as the drivers of human cancers, which occur at the early stages of tumorigenesis.\(^6\) For instance, chromosome 3p deletions are detected in more than 90% of lung cancers,\(^7\) and chromosome 8q is frequently amplified in HCCs.\(^8\) Among them, chromosome 17p13.1 deletions are extremely common in both hematopoietic malignancies and solid cancers, including HCC.\(^9\)\(^10\) It has been proposed that tumour suppressor genes are enriched in cancer-associated chromosome deletion regions while oncogenes are enriched in chromosome amplicons.\(^10\) However, given that a large number of genes are involved in each CNA region, it has been challenging to functionally identify the potential tumour suppressor genes or oncogenes for better mechanistic insights of these CNAs. A recent study had assumed that the known function of 17p deletion is for TP53 loss of heterozygosity (LOH).\(^11\) It was demonstrated that 17p deletion could promote cancer development through both TP53-dependent and -independent mechanisms. However, the additional tumour suppressor genes in this region remain unexplored. There are about tens of genes on chromosome 17p13.1 and a large amount of effort has been made to identify new tumour suppressor genes in this region.\(^12\)

Recently, it has been reported that EIF5A and ALOX15B, both located within 17p13.1, prevent tumorigenesis by promoting apoptosis of pre-malignant B cells.\(^13\)\(^14\) These studies imply that potential tumour suppressor genes or oncogenes for better mechanistic insights of these CNAs. A recent study had assumed that the known function of 17p deletion is for TP53 loss of heterozygosity (LOH).\(^11\) It was demonstrated that 17p deletion could promote cancer development through both TP53-dependent and -independent mechanisms. However, the additional tumour suppressor genes in this region remain unexplored. There are about tens of genes on chromosome 17p13.1 and a large amount of effort has been made to identify new tumour suppressor genes in this region.\(^12\)

MYH10 is a member of non-muscle myosin II, and influences the cell migration by regulating the localization of the centriole and the Golgi apparatus.\(^13\)\(^14\) It has been found that the dysregulation of MYH10 participated in the invasion of breast cancer cells.\(^15\) Loss-of-function (LOF) mutations in MYH10 have been proved to promote cell migration and associate with metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma and glioma.\(^16\)\(^17\) However, it lacks evidence for MYH10’s tumour-suppressive role in HCC progression.

In this study, utilizing an integrative omics analysis in HCC, we screened out MYH10 as a novel tumour suppressor within the genomic deletion region at chromosome 17p13.1. Consistent with its recurrent copy number loss and down-regulation in HCC tissues, MYH10 plays a tumour-suppressive role in HCC by reducing HCC metastasis both in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, MYH10 exerts its functions through attenuating the EGFR pathway.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Genomic CNA, gene expression and clinical relevance analyses based on publicly available data from HCC samples

The genomic copy number data and mRNA expression data of the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)-liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC) cohort (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) were applied for genomic characterization of 17p13.1 and screening for novel tumour suppressor gene(s). The relative copy number (log\(_2\) transformed) > 0.3 was defined as genomic amplification, while the relative copy number (log\(_2\) transformed) < -0.3 was considered as deletion. In addition to the TCGA dataset, the other 11 gene expression profile datasets were obtained from the HCCDB database (http://lifeome.net/databse/hccdb), including the International Cancer Genome Consortium Liver Cancer-RIKEN Japan (ICGC-LIRI-JP) cohort, GSE22058, GSE36376, GSE3898, GSE76427, GSE10143, GSE25097, GSE14520, GSE46444, GSE54236 and GSE64041. The difference in MYH10 expression levels between HCCs and ANTLs was assessed by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. \(p < 0.05\) and \(\log_2\text{(fold-change)} < -0.2\) was considered to be statistically significant. Survival information in TCGA-LIHC was used to analyse the clinical relevance of MYH10 loss or down-regulation. The PRISMA flow was shown in Figure S1.

2.2 | HCC patients recruitment and tissue samples collection

A total of 154 pairs of human HCC tissues and ANTLs were collected for this study. The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinling Hospital (Nanjing City, China), Jindu Hospital (Nanjing City, China) and Guangxi Cancer Hospital (Nanning City, China) helped recruit the cohort between 2007 and 2016 (Table S1) as previously described.\(^18\) The HCC tissues were used to genotype the genomic copy number of MYH10 by CNVplex assays, and all pairs of HCC tissues and ANTLs were applied for examining the protein expression levels of MYH10 by immunohistochemistry (IHC) assays. These newly diagnosed and untreated (chemotherapy or radiotherapy) HCC samples were pathologically confirmed and tumour free. Consents for sample collection were obtained from the HCC patients or their guardians. This study was performed under the supervision of Medical Ethical Committee of Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine (Beijing, China).

2.3 | DNA extraction and CNA analyses by quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays

First, we extracted total DNA from the liver cell lines using Trizol Reagent (15596026, Invitrogen, USA). To determine the DNA copy numbers of MYH10, a pair of primers were designed on the basis of the intron sequences of MYH10. The average genomic content of three genes, including LTBP1 (at 2p22.2 locus), SATB1 (3p24.3) and
ANO3 (11p14.3), which were confirmed to have no copy number alterations in our HCC cohorts (data not shown), was used as the internal reference. All primers were listed in Table S6. The qPCR assays were performed using SYBR FAST qPCR kit (KK4607, Kapa Biosystems, USA) on IQ5 real-time PCR system (BioRad, USA).

2.4 | Validation of CNAs by CNVplex assays

The genomic copy number of MYH10 was profiled by CNVplex assays (GENESKY, China). The CNVplex assays were performed as previously described. Briefly, each sample was subjected to capillary electrophoresis after the ligation reaction and PCR amplification. The relative copy number of MYH10 was normalized to the average copy number of four reference gene loci, including POLR2A, POP1, RPP14 and TBX15. All probes were listed in Table S6. Clean data were obtained after GeneMapper 4.1 (ABI, USA) analysis.

2.5 | RNA extraction and real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) assays

Total RNAs were extracted from the cultured cells using RNApure tissue&cell kit (CW05845, CWBIO, China). The cDNAs were synthesized using PrimeScript RT reagent kit (RR037A, Takara, Japan). The RT-qPCR assays were performed using SYBR FAST qPCR kit (KK4607, Kapa Biosystems, USA) on IQ5 real-time PCR system (BioRad, USA). The relative mRNA expression levels of ANO3, MYH10, DUSP6, DUSP4, SPRED1 and MYH10 were calculated with the 2−ΔΔCt method and normalized to GAPDH, respectively. The primer sequences for RT-qPCR are shown in Table S6.

2.6 | Cell lines and plasmids

The human normal liver cell line L-02 and HCC cell line HepG2 were purchased from the China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC, Wuhan City, China); three HCC cell lines (SMMC7721, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H) were kindly provided by Prof. Shuhan Sun (Navy Military Medical University, Shanghai, China); the HCC cell line Huh7 was a gift from Prof. Chunyan Tian (Beijing Institute of Navy Military Medical University, Shanghai, China); three HCC cell lines (SMMC7721, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H) were kindly provided by Prof. Shuhan Sun (CCTCC, Wuhan City, China); three HCC cell lines (SMMC7721, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H) were kindly provided by Prof. Shuhan Sun (CCTCC, Wuhan City, China); three HCC cell lines (SMMC7721, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H) were kindly provided by Prof. Shuhan Sun (CCTCC, Wuhan City, China); three HCC cell lines (SMMC7721, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H) were kindly provided by Prof. Shuhan Sun (CCTCC, Wuhan City, China); three HCC cell lines (SMMC7721, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H) were kindly provided by Prof. Shuhan Sun (CCTCC, Wuhan City, China). The genomic copy number of MYH10 was profiled by CNVplex® assay (GENESKY, China). The CNVplex assays were performed using SYBR FAST qPCR kit (KK4607, Kapa Biosystems, USA) on IQ5 real-time PCR system (BioRad, USA). The relative mRNA expression levels of MYH10, DUSP6, DUSP4, SPRED1 and MYH10 were calculated with the 2−ΔΔCt method and normalized to GAPDH, respectively. The primer sequences for RT-qPCR are shown in Table S6.

2.7 | Cell proliferation and colony formation assays

The proliferation rate of HCC cells was detected using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) (CK04, Dojindo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

For colony formation assays, 1000 cells were seeded in 6-well plates per well. Two–three weeks later, the colonies were stained with 0.5% crystal violet for 25 min at room temperature and then scanned and counted. An average number of colonies were obtained from three replicates. Three replicates were set up for each experiment and three independent experiments were conducted.

2.8 | Cell migration and invasion assays

A total of 5 × 10⁴ cells (200 μL) were planted on the top chamber of each insert (353097, BD Biosciences, USA) or matrigel invasion chamber (354480, BD Biosciences, USA). Six hundred microlitre DMEM (containing 20% FBS) was injected into the lower chambers. After incubation at 37°C for 24–48 h, cells that migrated to the other side of the insert were stained with 0.5% crystal violet. The inserts were subsequently rinsed clean and counted by the IX71 inverted microscope (Olympus, Japan). An average number of cells were obtained from three random fields of view of the chamber. All experiments were repeated three times.

2.9 | Nude mice assays

The experiments were carried out in compliance with the requirements of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Center for Protein Sciences at Beijing (Beijing, China). Five- to six-week-old male nude BALB/c mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratories (Beijing, China). HepG2 cells transfected with scramble control or shRNA (shMYH10-1 and shMYH10-2 were pooled as 1:1) were diluted to 2 × 10⁷ per mL. For subcutaneous tumour formation assay, 2 × 10⁶ cells HepG2 cells were injected subcutaneously into each side of the mice back (n = 6). The length (L) and width (W) of the lump was measured every 4 days with callipers. The equation V = (1/2) × L × W² was used to calculate the tumour volume. After 68 days, the mice were sacrificed, the tumours were stripped, and tumour weights were measured.

For in vivo metastasis model, SMMC7721 cells stably expressing firefly luciferase (pCMV-luciferase) were transfected with scramble control or shMYH10 (shMYH10-1 and shMYH10-2 were pooled as 1:1). These cells (2 × 10⁶) were injected into the lateral tail vein of BALB/c nude mice (n = 6). The first test was performed after 24 h with the IVIS® Lumina II system (Caliper Life Sciences, USA). The luciferase
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substrate (Gold Biotech, USA) was injected intraperitoneally into the mice 10 min prior to the assay. Thereafter, the test was performed every 7 days. After 4 weeks, all mice were sacrificed, and their lung tissues were applied for haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. The numbers of metastatic tumours were evaluated based on H&E staining.

2.10 mRNA expression profiling upon MYH10 knockdown

Total RNAs were extracted from HepG2 cells stably transfected with scramble control (shCtrl) or shMYH10 (shMYH10-1 and shMYH10-2) were pooled as 1:1) using RNApure tissue&cell kit (CW05845, CWBIO, China). Three replicates were available for both the shCtrl and shMYH10 groups. The Affymetrix GeneChip Human Gene U133 Array was used for gene expression profiling. The profiling was performed by CapitalBio Corporation (Beijing, China) following the protocol of Affymetrix (USA). Raw data were processed by using Robust Multi-array Average (RMA).19 The difference of gene expression levels between shMYH10 and shCtrl was assessed by DESeq2. Adjusted p (false discovery rate [FDR]) <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

2.11 Gene set enrichment analyses

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)21 was performed based on the paired groups (shMYH10 vs. shCtrl) with the genes ranked according to their log2 fold-changes (shMYH10 vs. shCtrl). The significant gene sets were identified by the weighted Kolmogorov–Smirnov test from the MsigDB (v6).21 False discovery rate (FDR) was calculated by the Benjamini–Hochberg method. The FDR <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Cytoscape and EnrichmentMap22 were applied for the visualization and cluster of GSEA results, respectively.

2.12 Immunoblotting assays

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer plus EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (04693132001, Roche, Germany) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (CW2383, CWBIO, China) for 30 min in ice. The cell lysates were centrifuged and boiled to make total cellular protein. The protein samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transblotted onto the BioTrace™ NT Nitrocellulose Transfer Membrane (P/N66485, Pall, USA). Place the membrane in the target primary antibody dilution and incubate overnight at 4°C. After washing the membrane with Tris-buffered saline/Tween (TBST), incubate the secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. The immunobands were detected with Tanon™ Femto-sig ECL Chemiluminescent Substrate (180-506, Tanon, China) and gel image analysis system (1600, Tanon, China).

2.13 Immunohistochemistry (IHC) assays

The protein expression levels of MYH10 were examined by IHC assays in tumour tissues and adjacent non-tumour tissues from HCC patients. The following operations were performed on the slides, including deparaffinization, dehydration and washing. After incubation with 3% H2O2 for 10 min, the slides were placed in a citrate buffer (pH = 6.0) at high pressure for 2 min. The slides were then incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibody dilution (anti-MYH10: 1:200; ab230823, Abcam, USA). After washing, the slides were treated by the GTVision™ TV Detection System (GK500710, DAKO, Denmark). Then, all the slides were stained with 3, 3-diaminobenzidine tetra-hydrochloride (DAB) and haematoxylin. Image information of the slides was captured through the Olympus BX51 microscopic/Digital Camera System (Olympus, Japan). The overall score was obtained by scoring the proportion of positive staining tumour cells (0, none; 1, <1/100; 2, 1/100 to <1/10; 3, 1/10 to <1/3; 4, 1/3 to <2/3; and 5, >2/3) and the intensity of staining (0, none;
1, weak; 2, intermediate; and 3, strong). Statistical differences between HCC and ANTL groups were analysed using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

### 2.14 Statistical analyses

All quantification data are indicated as mean ± standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. Statistical differences of multiple group comparisons were analysed using two-sided Student’s t-test or ANOVA. Disease-free survival (DFS) ranges from the day of resection to the day of the first HCC recurrence, death or last follow-up. Overall survival (OS) ranges from the date of the surgery to death or the last follow-up. Survival analysis was performed by the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test. In all statistical tests, \( p < 0.05 \) was considered to be significant unless stated otherwise. Statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.1.2) software.
FIGURE 2  MYH10 is down-regulated in HCC tissues, suggesting poor outcomes of HCC patients. (A) MYH10 is recurrently deleted in HCC tumours from the validation cohort (VALI, n = 154) determined by CNVplex assays. Three independent probes were applied to genotype the genomic copy number of MYH10. Four genes, including POLR2A, POP1, RPP14 and TBX15, were applied as the internal references for normalization. (B) Protein levels of MYH10 were analysed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) assays in the VALI cohort. P value was calculated with Wilcoxon rank-sum test. HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma tissue; ANTL, adjacent non-tumour liver tissue. (C) The protein expression levels of MYH10 in HCC tumours with MYH10 deletion or those with no deletion, p value was obtained by Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (D) The relative copy numbers of MYH10 were determined in multiple human hepatocyte cell lines, including one immortalized hepatocyte cell line (L-02) and five HCC cell lines (HepG2, SMMC7721, Huh7, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H) by quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays. Three genes, including SATB1, ANO3 and LTBP1, were used as internal reference for normalization. (E) The mRNA levels of MYH10 were determined in multiple human hepatocyte cell lines utilizing real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) assays. (F) The mRNA expression levels of MYH10 in MYH10 copy number deletion group and non-deletion group cell lines. p Value was obtained by Student’s t-test. (G) Kaplan–Meier analysis for the overall survival (OS) rate (up) and disease-free survival (DFS) rate (bottom) of HCC patients in the TCGA-LIHC cohort. Patients with relative copy number (log2) of MYH10 >−0.3 or <−0.3 in primary tumour tissues were designated as non-deletion or deletion subgroup, respectively. p Value was obtained by log-rank test. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. (H) Kaplan–Meier analysis for OS rate (up) and DFS rate (bottom) of HCC patients in the TCGA-LIHC cohort. The MYH10 mRNA expression levels were classified by the higher two tertiles in the low expression group versus the lowest tertile representing the high expression group. p Value was calculated by log-rank test. (I) Kaplan–Meier curves for OS rate (up) and DFS rate (bottom) of HCC patients in the VALI cohort. The patients with MYH10 IHC score >3 or ≤3 in primary tumour tissues were designated as high or low expression subgroup, respectively. p Value was obtained by log-rank test

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Integrative omics analysis prioritizes MYH10 as a candidate functional target of chromosome 17p13.1 deletion

Previous studies have found that losses of chromosome 17p13.1 were common in HCCs.23,24 Tens of genes were within 17p13.1, and a large number of efforts have been made to illustrate the novel tumour suppressor gene(s) in this region.22 In this study, we were aimed to identify the functional gene(s) within this region through an integrative omics analysis combined with functional validation. First, we profiled the genomic copy number status at 17p13.1 by analysing the genomic data of 373 HCC patients in the TCGA-LIHC cohort. The results showed that approximately 55% of HCC tumours carry the 17p13.1 deletions (Figure 1A). Then, we examined the cis-regulation of 17p13.1 deletion on the expression levels of the 47 genes within 17p13.1 using the TCGA-LIHC dataset. We observed that the expression levels of 19 (40.4%, 19/47) genes are significantly correlated with the copy numbers in these types of cancer (Figure 1E). Moreover, the expression levels of MYH10 are significantly correlated with the copy numbers in these types of cancer (Figure 1F), suggesting MYH10 deletion as a trans-cancer genomic feature.

3.2 | Genomic deletion or down-regulation of MYH10 predicts poor outcomes of HCC patients

To validate these genomic findings, we first genotyped the copy number of MYH10 in HCC tissues and ANTLs from a validation cohort consisting of 154 HCC patients (designated as VALI cohort; Table S1) by CNVplex assays.25 It was confirmed that ~38% of HCC patients are affected by MYH10 deletion (Figure 2A). To further examine the dysregulation of MYH10 in HCC, we also detected the protein expression levels of MYH10 in the VALI cohort by IHC assays. MYH10 protein was predominantly located at cytoplasm and significantly decreased in HCC tissues compared to ANTLs (p = 2.40 × 10−42; Figure 2B), especially in those patients with vascular invasion (p = 0.031; Table S1). Again, HCC tumours with MYH10 deletions also presented lower expression levels of MYH10 compared to those without MYH10 deletions (p = 0.0001; Figure 2C). Consistent with the findings in clinical specimens, using RT-qPCR assays, we also observed that MYH10 is globally deleted or down-regulated in HCC cell lines (including HepG2, SMMC7721, Huh7, HCCLM3 and MHCC97H), especially in those ones with higher metastatic capacity (HCCLM3 and MHCC97H), compared to the human hepatocyte cell line L-02 (Figure 2D and E). Consistently, the MYH10 CNA-mRNA cis-correlation was observed in these types of cell lines (p = 0.0011; Figure 2F).

Further, we evaluated the potential of MYH10 deficiency to predict prognosis in HCC patients. In TCGA-LIHC cohort, the results showed that the genomic deletion at MYH10 locus is significantly correlated with decreased overall survival (OS) rate (Log-rank p = 0.017, HR = 1.55; Figure 2G) and disease-free survival (DFS) rate (Log-rank...
Additional, Kaplan–Meier survival analyses of HCC patients from TCGA-LIHC cohort based on the MYH10 mRNA expression suggested worse OS ($p = 0.031$, HR = 1.51; Figure 2H) and DFS ($p = 0.017$, HR = 1.86; Figure 2I) in HCC patients from the VALI cohort. Taken together, these data enhanced the persuasiveness of MYH10 as a marker for evaluating the prognosis status of HCC patients.

$\odot$ 2022 The Authors. Published by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
3.3 | MYH10 reduces HCC cells migration and invasion in vitro

Next, we examined the tumorigenic effects of MYH10 on HCC cells. The mRNA expression levels of endogenous MYH10 were higher in L-02, HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells than in the other HCC cell lines (Figure 2E). Therefore, we constructed L-02, HepG2 and SMMC7721 cell lines with stable expression of shRNAs targeting MYH10 (Figure 3A). Knockdown of MYH10 has no significant effects on the growth of L-02, HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells (Figure 3B, C). However, transwell assays revealed that knockdown of MYH10 in L-02, HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells markedly enhances the abilities of these cells migration and invasion (Figure 3D).

We further examined the effects of MYH10 overexpression on HCC cells. We constructed HCC cell lines HCCLM3 and MHCC97H that stably overexpressed MYH10. (Figure 3E). As expected, overexpression of MYH10 had no significant effect on either proliferation or plate colony formation of HCCLM3 and MHCC97H cells (Figure 3F and G). However, overexpression of MYH10 was able to reduce the migration and invasion abilities of these two types of cells (Figure 3H). Taken together, these results suggested that MYH10 has no effect on HCC cells proliferation, but plays suppressive roles in cells migration and invasion in vitro.

3.4 | Down-regulation of MYH10 facilitates HCC metastasis in vivo

We next focused on the tumorigenicity and metastasis function of MYH10 in HCC in vivo. MYH10-depleted HepG2 cells and the control HepG2 cells were used for the subcutaneous xenograft model. The results showed that knockdown of MYH10 does not affect the tumour growth and tumour weight (Figure 4A–C), indicating that MYH10 is not required for in vivo tumorigenicity. Next, we sought to examine whether depletion of MYH10 promotes HCC metastasis. The bioluminescence imaging (BLI) results showed that knockdown of endogenous MYH10 significantly promotes lung metastasis of SMMC7721 cells (Figure 4D): 83% of mice from the MYH10-depleted group developed lung metastases, while no mice in the control group developed such metastases (p = 0.015; Figure 4E). Histological analyses confirmed that the mice injected with MYH10-depleted cells had more metastatic nodules in the lungs than the mice from the control group (Figure 4F). Together, these results suggested that loss of MYH10 facilitates HCC metastasis in vivo.

3.5 | Depletion of MYH10 enhances the EGFR pathway

Next, we sought to explore the underlying mechanism that MYH10 promotes HCC cells migration, invasion and metastasis. To achieve this, we described by mRNA expression profiles the genes with altered mRNA expression in MYH10-depleted HepG2 cells compared to the control cells (Table S4). We identified a total of 442 down-regulated genes and 228 up-regulated genes (Figure 5A and B). KEGG enrichment analyses showed that these differentially expressed genes (DEGs) are significantly represented by the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 (PI3K-AKT) pathway, virus infection and focal adhesion (Figure 5C). Additionally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed seven functional subnetworks modulated by MYH10 depletion, including negative regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), negative regulation of EGF response, ECM and EMT, NF-xB pathway, Wnt pathway and embryonic development, all of which are pronounced in MYH10-depleted cells (Figure 5D and Table S5). These functional enrichment results are consistent with previous findings that MYH10 affects EMT and cell differentiation.\textsuperscript{17,26,27} Notably, among them, negative regulation of EGF response/MAPKs subnetworks was tensely connected, which exhibited significant association between them (Figure 5D and E).

Indeed, the dysfunction of the EGFR pathway has been shown to involve in the malignant transformation and progression of a broad variety of cancers, including HCC.\textsuperscript{28–30} Therefore, we hypothesized that MYH10 exerts its tumour-suppressive role by reducing the activation of EGFR pathway. Indeed, we observed that several key negative regulators of EGFR or MAPKs are significantly down-regulated in MYH10-depleted cells (Figure 5F), including Sprouty RTK signalling antagonist 2 (SPRY2),\textsuperscript{31} dual-specificity phosphatases (DUSPs, such as DUSP6, DUSP4, DUSP5, DUSP1, DUSP7
(A) Western blot analysis of MYH10, p-EGFR, EGFR, p-ERK1/2, ERK1/2, p-AKT, AKT, and GAPDH in HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells after transfection with shCtrl or shMYH10-1 and shMYH10-2.

(B) Western blot analysis of Flag (MYH10), p-EGFR, EGFR, p-ERK1/2, ERK1/2, p-AKT, AKT, and GAPDH in HCCLM3 and MHCC97H cells after transfection with Flag-MYH10.

(C) Cell viability analysis of HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells after transfection with shCtrl, shMYH10-1, shMYH10-2, shMYH10-1 + Gefitinib, and shMYH10-2 + Gefitinib.

(D) Migration assay of HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells after transfection with shCtrl, shMYH10-1, shMYH10-2, shMYH10-1 + Gefitinib, and shMYH10-2 + Gefitinib.

(E) Western blot analysis of MYH10, p-EGFR, EGFR, p-ERK1/2, ERK1/2, p-AKT, AKT, and GAPDH in HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells after treatment with Gefitinib (10 μM).

**Key:** shCtrl, shMYH10-1, shMYH10-2, shMYH10-1 + Gefitinib, shMYH10-2 + Gefitinib
and DUSP10) and Sprouty related EVH1 domain containing proteins (SPREDs, such as SPRED1 and SPRED2), which were confirmed by RT-qPCR assays (Figure 5G). Further, we confirmed in the TCGA-LIHC cohort that the mRNA expressions of these genes were positively correlated with MYH10 expression (Figure 5H). Taken together, these data suggested that inhibition of EGFR pathway activation may be responsible for the tumour-suppressive effect of MYH10.

3.6 Inhibition of EGFR was required for MYH10's tumour-suppressive function

We next explored whether the anti-tumorigenic function of MYH10 on the EGFR pathway in HCC cells. Indeed, we observed that knockdown of MYH10 in HepG2 and SMCC7721 cells increases the phosphorylation levels of EGFR (p-EGFR) and its major downstream cascades ERK1/2 (p-ERK1/2) and AKT (p-AKT) (Figure 6A). In contrast, overexpression of MYH10 in HCCLM3 and MHCC97H cells significantly reduced the levels of p-EGFR, p-ERK1/2 and p-AKT (Figure 6B). These results suggested that MYH10 inhibits EGFR signalling in HCC cells.

We next explored whether the anti-tumorigenic function of MYH10 is dependent on the EGFR pathway in HCC cells. Therefore, we treated the MYH10-depleted HCC cells with Gefitinib, a kind of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, to investigate the changes in cell growth and migration. Consistently, MYH10 depletion exhibited no significant effects on the growth of HepG2 and SMCC7721 cells either with or without Gefitinib treatment (Figure 6C). However, the Gefitinib treatment significantly limited the pro-migratory effects of MYH10 depletion on HepG2 and SMCC7721 cells (Figure 6D). Accordingly, knockdown of MYH10 in HepG2 and SMCC7721 cells was able to increase the levels of p-EGFR, p-ERK and p-AKT (Figure 6E); however, Gefitinib treatment significantly counteracted the activation of the EGFR pathway caused by MYH10 deletion (Figure 6E). In conclusion, these results suggest that MYH10 is highly likely to affect HCC progression by inhibiting the EGFR pathway.

4 DISCUSSION

In the present study, we clarified a novel candidate tumour suppressor gene MYH10 driven by 17p13.1 deletions in HCC through an integrative omics analysis. In the TCGA-LIHC and VALI cohort, MYH10 is down-regulated in primary HCC tissues. Meanwhile, lower MYH10 levels predict worse OS and DFS rates in patients with HCC, supporting that MYH10 may be a prognostic biomarker for HCC worth more investigation. We further revealed that inhibition of MYH10 markedly potentiates HCC metastasis in vitro and in vivo. Our results also demonstrated that loss of MYH10 promotes HCC metastasis by enhancing the activation of the EGFR pathway.

Given the high prevalence of CNAs and their pivotal prognostic relevance in human cancers, it is important to dissect the underlying mechanisms of CNAs in cancer progression and treatment. Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) on chromosome 17p13 was found to be a common phenomenon in HCC. Wang et al. revealed the highest incidence of genomic imbalance at 17p13 (65%) compared to any other chromosome locations. LOH at 17p13.1 has also been observed in several other types of cancer, likewise in lung cancer and colon cancer. These studies suggested that 17p13.1 plays an important role in the development of tumours, including HCC. It is well known that the 17p13.1 region contains several tumour suppressor genes, such as TP53 and PHF23. However, several other genes are located within the 17p13.1 region and their expressions are significantly correlated with the copy number of 17p13.1, therefore deserving to be investigated. Here, we prioritized a novel candidate tumour suppressor gene MYH10 within this depleted region through an integrative omics analysis. To our best knowledge, this is the first study to elaborate on the clinical relevance of MYH10 in HCC. We observed that MYH10 deletion occurred in ~38% of primary HCCs that drives the down-regulation of MYH10. Furthermore, down-regulation of MYH10 was significantly associated with poor outcomes of HCC patients. Our results also indicated that MYH10 deletion is a trans-cancer genomic feature and deserves more attention on its biological and clinical relevance in multi types of cancer.

MYH10 is one of the isoforms of non-muscle myosin II, and has been known to participate in cell adhesion and migration. Although several studies provide a close linkage between MYH10 and tumorigenesis, the roles of MYH10 are controversial. For instance, MYH10 could promote metastasis through accelerating initial rates of lamellar spreading in breast cancer. In contrast, in nasopharyngeal carcinomas, MYH10 was down-regulated by miR-200a and was shown to inhibit cell migration and invasion. Here, we revealed the anti-metastatic role of MYH10 in HCC cells through loss-of- and gain-of-function experimental assays. These discordances might be due to tumour heterogeneity that MYH10 exerts its role in a context-dependent manner. Further studies are warranted to explore the functional consequence of MYH10 in different types of cancer. Our findings highlighted that MYH10 is a novel tumour suppressor of
HCC, providing an important supplement to the mechanism of HCC development and metastasis.

It is widely accepted that the EGFR pathway, as well as downstream networks involving MEK-ERK and PI3K-AKT, was hyperactivated and played important roles in promoting tumour metastasis of multiple cancers, including HCC.29,37,38 Here, the EGFR pathway was identified as the leading pathway modulated by MYH10. Further, we revealed that several negative regulators of EGFR or MAPKs, including SPRY2, DUSPs and SPREDs,31–33 are down-regulated by MYH10 depletion. These negative regulators acted at different cascades of the EGFR signalling pathway. For instance, SPRY2 can antagonize EGFR activity by inhibiting downstream ERK activation.39 DUSPs often inhibit EGFR activation by blocking the PI3K-AKT signalling.40 SPRED proteins modulate EGFR signalling by inhibiting the RAS/ERK pathway.41 However, the aberrant expression of these negative regulators in HCC is largely unknown. Our findings suggested that MYH10 is a novel repressor of the EGFR pathway through inducing the expression of these negative regulators, thus possessing a novel therapeutic vulnerability in HCCs with EGFR hypoactivation.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the survey revealed that, for the first time, MYH10 functions as a promising tumour suppressor driven by copy number deletion at 17p13.1 in the development of HCC. Depletion or down-regulation of MYH10 suggests worse outcomes in HCC patients. Depletion of MYH10 triggered activation of the EGFR pathway, which in turn promoted metastasis of HCC cells. More evidence is necessary to elucidate the mechanism of MYH10 in HCC, which may be beneficial to develop a novel treatment strategy for this malignancy.
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