This paper presents a new approach for the squint-mode spotlight SAR imaging. Like the frequency scaling algorithm, this method starts with the received signal dechirped in range. According to the geometry for the squint mode, the reference range of the dechirping function is defined as the range between the scene center and the synthetic aperture center. In our work, the residual video phase is compensated firstly to facilitate the following processing. Then the range-cell migration with a high-order range-azimuth coupling form is processed by a nonlinear frequency scaling operation, which is different from the original frequency scaling one. Due to these improvements, the algorithm can be used to process high squint SAR data with a wide swath and a high resolution. In addition, some simulation results are given at the end of this paper to demonstrate the validity of the proposed method.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Spotlight synthetic aperture radar (SAR) often operates in the squint mode. Several algorithms can be used for the squint mode spotlight SAR processing, that is, the polar format algorithm (PFA) [1], the range migration algorithm (RMA) [2], the chirp scaling (CS) algorithm [3], and the frequency scaling (FS) algorithm [4], the former three of which have been comprehensively discussed in [5]. The PFA limits the quality of the final image because of polar-to-rectangular interpolation and has a higher computational burden due to two interpolations compared to the RMA technique with one interpolation [5]. The RMA is supposed to be squint angle independent. However, the interpolation degrades the image at the edges for high squint angle. Moreover, the spectrum in the range wave number direction after the Stolt mapping requires expansion and thus increases the computational load. Modified Stolt mapping methods [6, 7] introduced a change of the variable range wave number to overcome these problems.

The algorithms of CS and FS are more attractive because they avoid interpolation and the computing burden is reduced greatly. In the former algorithm, the range cell migration is approximately written as a polynomial, and is accurately corrected except the range-dependent secondary range correction (SRC) error. However, with increasing the squint angle, the error becomes significant and degrades the image. In the latter algorithm, which is presented specially for spotlight SAR data processing, the dechirped signal has been applied to reduce the sampling frequency in range. When processing high squint spotlight SAR data, the FS algorithm also suffers the trouble caused by the SRC error. Based on the CS algorithm, a nonlinear chirp scaling (NCS) algorithm [8] has been proposed to deal with the squint mode strip-map SAR imaging, in which the CS technique is extended to the cubic order to achieve the effect of the range-dependent filtering required in the SRC.

In this paper, a nonlinear frequency scaling method is presented. Inspired by the NCS algorithm, the FS operation has been extended to the cubic order to perform a more accurate SRC. Before the nonlinear frequency scaling operation, the dechirping function for the squint mode is defined, and the residual video phase is compensated to remove the side effect caused by the dechirping operation. Some simulation results for an X-band airborne spotlight SAR in the squint mode are given to demonstrate the validity of the proposed
algorithm. The detailed description of the algorithm is given in Section 2, the simulation results are presented in Section 3, and the conclusion appears in Section 4.

2. ALGORITHM DESCRIPTION

2.1. Dechirping function and signal model

A simple geometry of airborne squint mode spotlight SAR is shown in Figure 1, where \( h \) is the flight altitude, \( \theta \) is the angle of view, \( r_c \) is the distance from the center of the scene to the flight line, \( r_{\text{min}} \) and \( r_{\text{max}} \) are the minimum and maximum distance from the scene to the flight line, and \( R_c \) is the distance between the scene center and the synthetic aperture center. The platform moves with velocity \( v \) along a straight line, and the radar beam is steered to spotlight the scene center. The squint angle \( \varphi \) is defined as the angle between the view axis of the radar at the synthetic aperture center and the broadside direction.

At a certain azimuth time \( t_a \), the slant range \( R(t_a; r_0) \) between the radar sensor and a point target at position \( (r_0,0) \) can be expressed as

\[
R(t_a; r_0) = \left[ r_0^2 + (vt_a)^2 \right]^{1/2}. \tag{1}
\]

For short, \( R(t_a; r_0) \) is written as \( R(t_a) \) in the following text. The received chirp signal from the target is

\[
s(t_a, t_c; r_0) = C \cdot \text{rect} \left[ \frac{t_c - 2R(t_a)/c}{T_p} \right] \times \text{rect} \left[ \frac{t_a - (t_{a,\text{start}} + t_{a,\text{end}})/2}{T_{\text{spot}}} \right] \times \exp \left[ -j\frac{4\pi R(t_a)}{\lambda} \right] \times \exp \left\{ j\pi k_e \left( t_c - \frac{2R(t_a)}{c} \right)^2 \right\}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( C \) is constant term, \( t_c \) is fast time, \( \lambda \) is the radar wavelength, \( k_e \) is the chirp rate, and \( c \) is the speed of light. \( T_p \) and \( T_{\text{spot}} \) are the pulse width and the synthetic aperture time, respectively, \( t_{a,\text{start}} \) and \( t_{a,\text{end}} \) are the start and the end of \( t_a \), respectively.

In this paper, a dechirping operation is performed at the receiver. The dechirping function is defined as

\[
H_{\text{Decirp}} = \exp \left[ -j\pi k_e \left( t_c - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^2 \right], \tag{3}
\]

where the reference range is chosen as \( R_c \) which is presented by the solid line in Figure 1. The dechirped signal can be described as

\[
s_{\text{dechirp}}(t_a, t_c; r_0) = C \cdot \text{rect} \left[ \frac{t_c - 2R(t_a)/c}{T_p} \right] \times \text{rect} \left[ \frac{t_a - (t_{a,\text{start}} + t_{a,\text{end}})/2}{T_{\text{spot}}} \right] \times \exp \left[ -j\frac{4\pi R_c}{\lambda} \right] \times \exp \left\{ -j\frac{4\pi k_e}{c^2} \left[ R(t_a) - R_c \right] \left( t_c - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right) \right\} \times \exp \left\{ j\pi k_e \left( t_c - \frac{2R(t_a)}{c} \right)^2 \right\}.
\]

According to the appendix of [4], the range Doppler domain signal after the dechirping and the azimuth Fourier transform (FT) is described as

\[
S_0 (f_a, t_c; r_0) = C \cdot \left\{ \text{rect} \left[ \frac{t_c - 2R_c/c}{T_p} \right] \exp \left[ j\frac{4\pi k_e}{c} R_c \left( t_c - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right) \right] \times \exp \left[ -j\frac{4\pi r_0}{\lambda} \left\{ \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda k_e}{c} \left( t_c - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^2 \right] - \left( \frac{\lambda f_c}{2v} \right)^2 \right\} \right] \ast \exp \left\{ -j\pi k_e t_c^2 \right\} \right\},
\]

where \( f_c \) denotes the azimuth frequency, and \( \ast \) is the convolution operation. For the squint mode in Figure 1, the center of fast time \( t_c \) becomes \( 2R_c/c \), and thus

\[
\left| \frac{\lambda k_e}{c} \left( t_c - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\lambda k_e}{c} \frac{T_a}{2} \right| = \frac{B}{2f_c} \ll 1, \tag{6}
\]

where \( B \) is the bandwidth of the transmitted signal, and \( f_c \) is the carrier frequency. Therefore, the definition of the dechirping function makes the phase error small enough when the phase of the signal is expanded into the Taylor series in the range-Doppler domain.

2.2. Preprocessing residual video phase compensation

In (5), the phase of the last exponential term is called the residual video phase (RVP), which is a side effect of the dechirping. The RVP term can be removed completely from the radar signal in a preprocessing operation.
First, the dechirped signal is transformed into the range frequency domain, according to (C.8) and (C.9) in the appendix of [5], where the constant term \( C \) is omitted:

\[
S_1(t_o, f_c; r_o) = \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi R(t_o)}{\lambda} \right] \\
\times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi k_c}{c^2} \left( R(t_o) - R_c \right)^2 \right] \\
\times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi R(t_o) - f_c}{c} \right] \\
\times T_p \text{sinc} \left\{ \pi T_p \left( f_c + \frac{2 k_c}{c} \left( R(t_o) - R_c \right) \right) \right\},
\]

(7)

where \( f_c \) is the range frequency. Since \( F = f_c + (2k_c/c)[R(t_o) - R_c] \) and \( \exp(-j\pi/k_c \cdot F^2) \approx 1 \) when \(-1/T_p < F < 1/T_p\), therefore (7) can be simplified as

\[
S_2(t_o, f_c; r_o) = T_p \text{sinc} \left\{ \pi T_p F \right\} \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi R(t_o)}{\lambda} \right] \\
\times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi R(t_o) - f_c}{c} \right] \exp \left( j \frac{\pi}{k_c} f_c^2 \right).
\]

(8)

The last exponential term \( \exp(j\pi/k_c \cdot f_c^2) \) in the frequency domain expression of (8) corresponds to the RVP term in the time domain expression of (5). Multiplying by a phase compensation function, the RVP term can be removed in the range frequency domain. In the domain of fast time and slow time, the output is

\[
S_3(t_o, t_e; r_o) = \text{rect} \left[ \frac{t_e - 2 R_c/c}{T_p} \right] \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi R(t_o)}{\lambda} \right] \\
\times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi k_c}{c} \left( R(t_o) - R_c \right) \right] \\
\times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi R(t_o) - f_c}{c} \right] \\
\times \exp \left( j \frac{\pi}{k_c} f_c^2 \right).
\]

(9)

### 2.3. Nonlinear frequency scaling algorithm

Transforming (9) by the principle of stationary phase [9] for the azimuth Fourier transformation, we can obtain the range-Doppler domain expression similar to (5), that is,

\[
S_4(f_a, t_e; r_o) = \text{rect} \left[ \cdot \right] \exp \left( -j \frac{4 \pi r_0 \beta}{\lambda} \right) \\
\times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi k_c}{c} \left( R(t_e) - R_c \right) \right] \\
\times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4 \pi R(t_e) - f_c}{c} \right] \\
\times \exp \left( j \phi_3 \left( t_e - 2 R_c/c \right)^3 \right).
\]

(11)

Generally, the quartic and the higher-order errors can be neglected even in the case of a large squint angle. In (11),

\[
\beta(f_a) = \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda f_a + f_{dc}}{2v} \right)^2},
\]

\[
K_m = \frac{c^2 \beta^3}{2 \lambda k_c^2 (\beta^2 - 1) r_0} = K_{mref} + K_s \cdot \Delta f,
\]

\[
K_{mref} = \frac{c^2 \beta^3}{2 \lambda k_c^2 (\beta^2 - 1) r_e},
\]

\[
K_s = \frac{4 \lambda k_c^2 (\beta^2 - 1) r_e}{c^2 \beta^4},
\]

\[
\Delta f = -\frac{2 k_c}{c \beta} (t_o - r_c),
\]

\[
\phi_3 = \frac{2 \pi \lambda k_c^2 r_0 \beta^2 - 1}{\beta^3}.
\]

In (12), \( f_{dc} \) is Doppler centroid, \( K_m \) is written as the sum of a constant term and a linear term. In [4], the original FS operation scales the range frequency by \( 1/\beta \), that is, the main part of the phase in (11) is scaled as

\[
\frac{-4 \pi k_c}{c \beta} \left( R(t_e) - R_c \right) \left( t_e \beta - \frac{2 R_c}{c} \right) - \frac{\pi}{K_m} \left( t_e \beta - \frac{2 R_c}{c} \right)^2 + \ldots.
\]

(13)

The secondary range compression and the bulk range shift are performed by

\[
\frac{4 \pi k_c}{c \beta} \left( R(t_e) - R_c \right) \left( t_e \beta - \frac{2 R_c}{c} \right) + \frac{\pi}{K_{mref}} \left( t_e \beta - \frac{2 R_c}{c} \right)^2 - \ldots.
\]

(14)

Obviously, the phase compensation of the FS algorithm is completed only for the second exponential term in (11). The quadratic and the cubic exponential terms in (11) are formed to be \( s_{rc}(f_a, t_e; r_o) \) which is referred to as the secondary range compression term in [4], and are compensated by \( s_{rc}(r_{ref}) \) in the FS algorithm, where \( r_e \) is chosen as the reference range \( r_{ref} \). However, in the case of a large squint angle and a large scene, the error from approximation \( r_0 \approx r_{ref} \approx K_m \approx K_{mref} \) cannot be neglected any longer, and the phase error caused by the incompletely matched \( s_{rc}(r_{ref}) \) distorts the image severely.

The quadratic and cubic phases \( \phi_2 = -\left( \pi/K_m \right) \left( t_e - 2 R_c/c \right)^2 \), \( \phi_3 = \phi_3 \left( t_e - 2 R_c/c \right)^3 \) are the function of azimuth frequency \( f_a \), range time \( t_e \), and the target range. The
The quadratic phase error shown in Figure 2 is too large to make the image focused. Therefore, the quadratic phase error has to be compensated. However, the cubic phase error is acceptable if compared with the quadratic phase error. A nonlinear method [8] has been used to solve this problem caused by the approximation error, where the coefficient of the quadratic term is also scaled to be range independent. In the proposed algorithm, the main part of phase in (11) after the nonlinear FS can be written as

\[ -\frac{4\pi k_c}{c} \left( r_0 - R_c \beta \right) \left( t_i \beta - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right) - \frac{\pi}{K_{\text{meet}} \beta} \left( t_i \beta - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^2 + \cdots. \]  

Though (11) is not a strict chirp signal, if the cubic term is small enough, it is possible to apply the principle of stationary phase to obtain its FT. The fundamental FT pair in the nonlinear operation can be described as

\[ \exp \left( -j \frac{\pi}{k} t^2 \right) \exp \left( -j \frac{2\pi}{3} y f^3 \right) \Leftrightarrow \exp \left( j \pi k f^2 \right) \exp \left( j \frac{2\pi}{3} y k^3 f^3 \right), \]

where the coefficient \( y \) should satisfy \( |y| \ll 1/(4k^2 f) \). The derivation is given in Appendix A.

### 2.4. Phase compensation functions

The block diagram of the nonlinear FS algorithm is shown in Figure 3. The signal at the stage of the dashed line box below in Figure 3 corresponds to (11). In order to accurately compensate the quadratic term and minimize the errors
from higher-order terms, a small-phase filter function is multiplied before the FS operation, that is,

\[ H_{\text{cubic}} = \exp \left\{ -j \frac{2\pi}{3} \left[ Y_m + \frac{3}{2\pi} \phi(r_{\text{ref}}) \right] \left( t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^3 \right\}, \]  

(17)

where \( Y_m = K_s(1/\beta - 0.5)/K_{\text{mref}}^3 (1/\beta - 1) \). The derivation of \( Y_m \) is given in Appendix B. The output of the cubic filter is approximately written as

\[ S_5(f_a, f_e; r_0) = S_4(f_a, f_e; r_0) \cdot H_{\text{cubic}} \]

\[ = \text{rect} \left[ \cdot \right] \exp \left( -j \frac{4\pi r_0}{\lambda} \right) \times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4\pi k_c}{c} \left( \frac{r_0 - R_c}{\beta} \right) \left( t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right) \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ -j \frac{\pi}{K_m} \left( t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ -j \frac{2\pi}{3} Y_m \left( t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^3 \right]. \]  

(18)

According to (16), we can write the expression after the range FT as

\[ S_6(f_a, f_e; r_0) = \exp \left( -j \frac{4\pi r_0}{\lambda} \right) \times \exp \left[ -j \frac{4\pi R_c}{c} \left( f_e - f_d \right) \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ j\pi K_s (f_e - f_d)^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ j\frac{2\pi}{3} Y_m K_m^3 (f_e - f_d)^3 \right], \]  

(19)

where

\[ f_d = -\frac{2k_c}{c} \left( \frac{r_0 - R_c}{\beta} \right) = \frac{2k_c}{c} \left( R_c - r_e / \beta \right) + \frac{2k_c}{c} (r_c - r_0) \]

\[ = f_{\text{ref}} + \Delta f, \quad f_{\text{ref}} = \frac{2k_c}{c} \left( R_c - r_e / \beta \right), \]  

(20)

where \( f_d \) is the counterpart of the scatterer trajectory \( \tau_d \) mentioned in the NCS algorithm [8]. The frequency \( f_d \) is moved to the desired trajectory \( f_s = f_{\text{ref}} + \beta \cdot \Delta f \) after the nonlinear FS operation, and thus the range migration can be corrected.

The frequency scaling function is extended to the cubic order such that the coefficient of the quadratic term is scaled as a range-independent one, that is,

\[ H_{\text{FS}} = \exp \left[ j \frac{4\pi R_c}{c} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (f_e - f_{\text{ref}}) \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ j\pi q_2 (f_e - f_{\text{ref}})^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ j\frac{2\pi}{3} q_3 (f_e - f_{\text{ref}})^3 \right], \]  

(21)

where \( q_2 = K_{\text{mref}} (1/\beta - 1), q_3 = K_s (1/\beta - 1)/2 \).

Multiplied by \( H_{\text{FS}} \), the signal becomes as follows:

\[ S_7(f_a, f_e; r_0) = S_6(f_a, f_e; r_0) \cdot H_{\text{FS}} \]

\[ = \exp \left( -j \frac{4\pi r_0}{\lambda} \right) \exp \left[ -j \frac{4\pi R_c}{c} (f_e - f_i) \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ j\pi K_{\text{mref}} (f_e - f_s)^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ j\frac{2\pi}{3} K_s (f_e - f_s)^3 \right] \exp \left( j\phi_\Delta \right). \]  

(22)

The derivation of (22) and the definition of \( \phi_\Delta \) are also given in Appendix B. According to (16), the signal after the inverse FT in range can be expressed as

\[ S_8(f_a, f_e; r_0) = \exp \left( -j \frac{4\pi r_0}{\lambda} \right) \exp \left[ j2\pi f_s \left( t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right) \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ -j \frac{\pi^2}{K_{\text{mref}}} \left( t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ -j \frac{\pi}{3} K_s^2 \left( t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c} \right)^3 \right] \times \exp \left( j\phi_\Delta \right). \]  

(23)
After the multiplication by the frequency scaling function $H_{FS}$ and the inverse FT in range, the secondary range compression and the bulk range cell migration correction (RCMC) can be performed using the range-matched filter function. The range-matched filter function is given by

$$H_{MF} = \exp\left[-j\frac{\pi}{K_{mref}}\left(t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c}\right)^2\right] \times \exp\left[j\frac{\pi}{3K_{mref}(1-\beta)}\left(t_e - \frac{2R_c}{c}\right)^3\right].$$

After the range-matched filtering and the range FT, the signal is focused in range, that is,

$$S_\phi(f_a, f_c; r_0) = \exp\left(-j\frac{4\pi f_0}{\lambda}\beta\right) \sin\left[f_c + \frac{2K_c}{c}(r_0 - r_c)\right] \times \exp\left(-j\frac{2R_c}{c}\frac{R_c}{f_c}\right) \exp(j\phi_\Delta).$$

Finally, a focused image can be obtained by the azimuth filtering and the azimuth inverse FT. The azimuth filter function is given as follows:

$$H_{AF} = \exp\left[-j\frac{4\pi r_0}{\lambda}(1-\beta)\right] \exp(-j\phi_\Delta) \exp\left(j2\pi\frac{2R_c}{c}\frac{R_c}{f_c}\right).$$

Shifting the range spectrum to make $f_{ref} = 0$ before the frequency scaling operation will simplify the expressions of $H_{FS}$, $H_{MF}$, and $H_{AF}$.

3. SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to evaluate the proposed algorithm, some simulations for an airborne spotlight SAR in the squint mode have been performed. The system parameters are given in Table 1. First, the results obtained by using the FS algorithm [4], the FS algorithm with the dechirping function given in this paper, and the proposed algorithm, have been compared. The squint angle is defined as 15 degree. The echo of a point target at the center of the scene is simulated and the contour plots by the three algorithms are shown in Figure 4. From Figure 4, the contour of point target by the FS algorithm [4] is defocused so severe that the target cannot be identified; the image by the FS algorithm with the dechirping function given in this paper is acceptable, however, its main lobe is broadened and represents a small position shift; as expectation the image processed by the proposed algorithm shows excellent focus performance and the range and azimuth peak position all agree with the theoretical values.

Another simulation under the same system parameters with the squint angle $\varphi = 60^\circ$ is implemented. The distance from the center of the scene to the flight path $r_c$ is 30 km, the synthetic aperture $L$ is 1800 m, the signal bandwidth is

| Wavelength | Altitude $h$ | Slant range $R_c$ | Velocity $v$ | Ground resolution | Scene size |
|------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|
| 0.03 m     | 4 Km        | 60 Km            | 200 m/s     | 1 m × 1 m        | 1 Km × 1 Km |

Table 1: System parameters for an airborne X-band SAR.
According to the principle, the stationary points that make the most contributions satisfy the following:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi(t) = -\frac{2\pi}{k} t - 2\pi y t^2 - 2\pi f t = 0. \quad (A.3)$$

The solution to this equation is

$$t = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4fk^2y}}{2ky}, \quad \text{when } |y| \ll \frac{1}{|4k^2f|}, \ t \approx -kf. \quad (A.4)$$

Substituting the solution into the integral phase expression, we can obtain the phase of the FT, that is,

$$\phi(f) = \pi kf^2 + \frac{2\pi}{3}yk^3f^3. \quad (A.5)$$

As a result, we can obtain the FT pair in (16).

## B. DERIVATION OF THE NONLINEAR FREQUENCY SCALING FUNCTION

In this section, the derivation of variables $q_s, q_3, Y_m, \phi_A$ is presented. Here, (19) and (21) are rewritten as (B.1) and (B.2) as follows:

$$S_0(f_s, f_c, r_0) = \exp(-j\frac{4\pi r_0}{\lambda}\beta) \exp\left[-j\frac{4\pi R_c}{c}(f_s - f_d)\right] \times \exp\left[j\pi K_m(f_c - f_d)^2\right] \times \exp\left[j\frac{2\pi}{3}Y_mK_m^3(f_c - f_d)^3\right], \quad (B.1)$$

$$H_{FS} = \exp\left[j\frac{4\pi R_c}{c}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)(f_c - f_{f_{rel}})\right] \times \exp\left[j\pi q_s(f_c - f_{f_{rel}})^2\right] \times \exp\left[j\frac{2\pi}{3}q_3(f_c - f_{f_{rel}})^3\right]. \quad (B.2)$$

Multiplied (B.1) by (B.2), that is, $S_0^* H_{FS}$, the quadratic and the cubic terms of the phase expression can be written as a polynomial of $f_c - f_s$, where constant $\pi$ is neglected:

$$K_m(f_c - f_d) + \frac{2}{3}Y_mK_m^3(f_c - f_d)^3 + q_2(f_c - f_{f_{rel}})^2 + \frac{2}{3}q_3(f_c - f_{f_{rel}})^3 = C_3(f_c - f_s)^3 + C_2(f_c - f_s)^2 + C_1(f_c - f_s) + C_0, \quad (B.3)$$

where the relationships $f_d = f_{f_{rel}} + \Delta f, f_s = f_{f_{rel}} + \beta \cdot \Delta f$ have been applied and the polynomial coefficients of $f_c - f_s$ can be calculated as

$$C_3 = \frac{2}{3}(Y_mK_m^3 + q_3),$$

$$C_2 = 2(Y_mK_m^3 + q_3)\beta \Delta f + (K_m + q_2 - 2Y_mK_m^3\Delta f),$$

$$C_1 = 2(Y_mK_m^3 + q_3)\beta^2 \Delta f^2 + 2(K_m + q_2 - 2Y_mK_m^3\Delta f)\beta \Delta f + (2Y_mK_m^3\Delta f^2 - 2K_m\Delta f). \quad (B.4)$$
In (B.4), unknown variables \( q_2, q_3, \) and \( Y_m \) are used to make that \( C_1, C_2, \) and \( C_3 \) are independent of \( \Delta f. \) Expanding \( C_1, C_2, \) and \( C_3 \) into polynomials of \( \Delta f = (2k_c/c\beta)(r_c - r_0) \) and substituting the expression of \( K_m = K_{mref} + K_i \Delta f, \) therefore, the coefficient of the cubic term is as follows:

\[
C_3 = \frac{2}{3}(Y_m K_{mref}^2 + q_3) + 2Y_m K_{mref} K_i \Delta f \\
+ 2Y_m K_{mref} K_i^2 \Delta f^2 + \frac{2}{3} Y_m K_i^3 \Delta f^3,
\]

and the coefficient of the quadratic term

\[
C_2 = K_{mref} + q_2 + [K_i + 2q_2\beta + 2Y_m K_{mref}^3 (\beta - 1)] \Delta f \\
+ 6Y_m K_{mref}^2 K_i (\beta - 1) \Delta f^2 + \cdots,
\]

and the coefficient of the linear term

\[
C_1 = [2K_{mref} (\beta - 1) + 2q_2\beta] \Delta f \\
+ [2Y_m K_{mref}^3 (\beta - 1)^2 + 2q_2\beta^2 + 2K_i (\beta - 1)] \Delta f^2 \\
+ 6Y_m K_{mref}^2 K_i (\beta - 1)^2 \Delta f^3 + \cdots.
\]

In order to compensate src term exactly, the coefficients of \( \Delta f \) in (B.5), (B.6), and (B.7) are preferred to be zero. However, the case of \( Y_m = 0 \) is meaningless, thus, the following equations hold:

\[
K_i + 2q_2\beta + 2Y_m K_{mref}^3 (\beta - 1) = 0, \\
2K_{mref} (\beta - 1) + 2q_2\beta = 0, \\
2Y_m K_{mref}^3 (\beta - 1)^2 + 2q_2\beta^2 + 2K_i (\beta - 1) = 0.
\]

Solving the linear equations, we obtain

\[
q_2 = K_{mref} \left( \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \right), \\
q_3 = K_{mref} (\beta - 1), \\
Y_m = \frac{K_i (1/\beta - 0.5)}{K_{mref} (1/\beta - 1)}.
\]

By ignoring higher-order terms of \( \Delta f \) in the coefficients of \( f_e - f_s, \) (B.3) is approximated as follows:

\[
\frac{2}{3}(Y_m K_{mref}^3 + q_3) (f_e - f_s)^3 + (K_{mref} + q_2) (f_e - f_s)^2 + \cdots \\
= A(f_e - f_s)^2 + \frac{2}{3} BA^3 (f_e - f_s)^3 + \phi_\Delta,
\]

where

\[
A = \frac{1}{\beta} K_{mref}, \\
BA^3 = \frac{K_i}{\beta (1 - \beta)}, \\
\phi_\Delta = \left[ -\frac{2}{3} Y_m K_i^2 \right] \Delta f^6 + \left[ -2Y_m K_{mref} K_i^2 - 2Y_m K_i^3 f_{ref} \right] \Delta f^5 \\
+ \left[ -2Y_m K_{mref}^2 K_i - 2Y_m K_i^2 f_{ref} - 2Y_m K_i^3 f_{ref} \right] \Delta f^4 \\
+ \left[ K_i (1 - \beta) - 6Y_m K_{mref} K_i f_{ref} \right] \Delta f^3 \\
- 2Y_m K_{mref} K_i^2 f_{ref} \Delta f^2 + \left[ -2Y_m K_{mref} K_i f_{ref} \right] \Delta f
\]
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Preliminary call for papers

The 2011 European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO-2011) is the nineteenth in a series of conferences promoted by the European Association for Signal Processing (EURASIP, www.eurasip.org). This year edition will take place in Barcelona, capital city of Catalonia (Spain), and will be jointly organized by the Centre Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya (CTTC) and the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC).

EUSIPCO-2011 will focus on key aspects of signal processing theory and applications as listed below. Acceptance of submissions will be based on quality, relevance and originality. Accepted papers will be published in the EUSIPCO proceedings and presented during the conference. Paper submissions, proposals for tutorials and proposals for special sessions are invited in, but not limited to, the following areas of interest.

Areas of Interest

* Audio and electro-acoustics.
* Design, implementation, and applications of signal processing systems.
* Multimedia signal processing and coding.
* Image and multidimensional signal processing.
* Signal detection and estimation.
* Sensor array and multi-channel signal processing.
* Sensor fusion in networked systems.
* Signal processing for communications.
* Medical imaging and image analysis.
* Non-stationary, non-linear and non-Gaussian signal processing.

Submissions

Procedures to submit a paper and proposals for special sessions and tutorials will be detailed at www.eusipco2011.org. Submitted papers must be camera-ready, no more than 5 pages long, and conforming to the standard specified on the EUSIPCO 2011 web site. First authors who are registered students can participate in the best student paper competition.

Important Deadlines:

| Event                       | Deadline    |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Proposals for special sessions | 15 Dec 2010 |
| Proposals for tutorials      | 18 Feb 2011 |
| Electronic submission of full papers | 21 Feb 2011 |
| Notification of acceptance   | 23 May 2011 |
| Submission of camera-ready papers | 6 Jun 2011 |

Webpage: www.eusipco2011.org