How Pistachio Hybrid “P. Integerrima × P. Vera “ grows and responses to NaCl salinity
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ABSTRACT
The effects of NaCl salinity on the seed germination, growth, and some physiological characteristics in ‘Akbari,’ ‘Akbari’ hybrid (P. integerrima × ‘Akbari’), ‘Qazvini,’ and ‘Qazvini’ hybrid (P. integerrima × ‘Qazvini’) were investigated. The experiment was conducted with three salinity levels (0, 100, and 200 mM) as NaCl. The results indicated that the highest germination percent in salt-treated seeds was observed in ‘Akbari’. Prolin and Na concentrations in the leaves were significantly (P ≤ 0.01) increase by salinity regardless of the various cultivars. Vegetative growth in terms of rootlet, stem and leaf number, fresh weight, dry weight were reduced by salinity. However, the reduction of growth was varied with cultivars. Leaf number, fresh and dry weight of ‘Akbari’ and ‘Akbari’ hybrid in salinity treatments was higher than that of treated two other cultivars. Finally results were showed that although ‘Akbari’ showed higher tolerance to salinity but significant differences were not observed between ‘Akbari’ and ‘Akbari’ hybrid rootstocks. Hence ‘Akbari’ hybrids could be appropriate candidates for researches and cultivation of pistachio in the saline areas.
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Introduction

The genus Pistacia L. belongs to the Anacardiaceae family that comprises about 83 genera and 860 species. This genus involves at least 11 trees and shrub species. Among these species, P. vera L. is the only economically cultivated species, whereas the P. atlantica, P. integerrima, and P. terebinthus are used as rootstocks for P. vera (Sheikhi et al., 2019). P. vera is cultivated in the arid and semi-arid areas of Iran and is referred to as the “green gold” due to its abundant health-benefiting nutrients and commercial importance (Akbari et al., 2018a; Aliakbarkhani et al., 2017; Talebi et al., 2016). Being the most economically important horticultural crop, pistachio has the most cultivation area among all horticultural crops in Iran (Aliakbarkhani et al., 2015; Taghizadeh-Alisaei et al., 2017).

Salinity and water deficit in pistachio orchards of Iran reduce the yield and productivity and causes billions of economic losses per annum (Goharrizi et al., 2020; Khoyeri et al., 2016). Soil salinization is a severe menace for crop productivity with devastating global effects, estimated at 50% of the land loss by 2050 (Akbari et al., 2020a). Saline soils, commonly known with electrical conductivity of more than 4 ds/m and pH < 8.2, are encompassing about 41 million ha (25%) of Iran’s lands (Mesgaran et al., 2017). One of the most important characteristics of the pistachio trees is the ability to store large amounts of sodium in the roots and stems (Picchioni et al., 1990). Although the species used as pistachio rootstock are considered semi-tolerant to saline and poor-quality soils, the appropriate commercial production has been occurred in deep, well-drained, sandy loam soils. (Akbari et al., 2018b; Jamshidi Goharriz et al., 2019). It has been reported that salinity causes the reduction in fruit
tree biomass, canopy height, trunk diameter, leaf area, leaf number, and overall yield (Ferguson et al., 2002; Bastam et al., 2013).

Roots are considered as an anchor for the plants in the soil, absorbing water and nutrients; storage organ, and are the primary zone of contact with the soil elements and organisms. These organs are a fundamental part of coordinating the plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses. However, their systems differ significantly in construction and function, both within and between species (Warschefsky et al., 2016). In the long-lived woody plants, such as pistachios, grafting is the common way to clonally propagate desirable scions (cultivars), and for its cultivation, choosing an appropriate rootstock is an indispensable necessity (Del Carmen Gijón et al., 2010). It has been indicated that leaves are more sensitive to salinity than stems (Karimi et al., 2009; Mohamad Khani, 1997). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the sensitivity of pistachio leaves and stems to salinity are more than its underground organs (Adish et al., 2010), which indicates the importance of rootstocks in alleviating the adverse effects of salinity stress on pistachio growth.

Tavallali et al. (2008) demonstrated that NaCl salinity, 150 mM, g with different concentrations of calcium sulfate in P. vera (cv. 'Qazvini' and 'Badami zarand') rootstocks reduced the relative water content of leaves in 'Badami Zarand' while has no significant decrease in 'Qazvini' rootstock. In addition, 150 mM NaCl significantly increased the electrolyte leakage percentage in 'Badami Zarand,' also salt treatments significantly increased the concentration of sodium in the leaves and roots of 'Badami Zarand,' reducing potassium content in the shoots. The tolerance of different P. vera rootstocks to saline and drought conditions have been demonstrated in several studies (Khoyerdi et al., 2016; Akbari et al., 2018a; Akbari et al., 2020a) and was shown to be significantly varying among the domesticated pistachio rootstocks. However, some literature now exists concerning the superiority of 'Qazvini' (Hokmabadi et al., 2005), ‘Akbari’ (Adish et al., 2010; Akbari et al., 2018a; Goharrizi et al., 2020), and UCB-1 cultivar (Raoufi et al., 2020a) to salinity stress over the other P. vera rootstocks. However, in new researches, it has been determined that 'Akbari' rootstock is more tolerant to salinity than other rootstocks (Raoufi et al., 2019).

In the salinity challenges coupled with poor drainage condition, sustainable long-term productivity is requiring improved new rootstocks with more salt tolerance (Akbari et al., 2018). Strong vigor and rapid growth along with tolerance to abiotic stress (salinity, drought, cold) are the most important objectives of pistachio rootstock breeding (Sheikhi et al., 2019). Efforts toward producing vigorous rootstocks with acceptable tolerance to salinity are continuing. Among the species commonly used as rootstocks (P. atlantica, P. integerrima P. terebinthus, and P. vera) the P. integerrima is the most vigorous rootstock, by the way, the tolerance of this rootstock to abiotic stress is not acceptable (Ferguson et al., 2005).

University of California hybrid pistachio rootstock (UCB-1) has been developed in university of California pistachio hybrid rootstock breeding program. This rootstock is the result of a specific P. atlantica female pollinized by a specific P. integerrima male (Sheikhi et al., 2019). UCB-1 has shown to have more growth and salinity tolerance than its parents do, by the way, this rootstock is not commonly used in Iran due to its susceptibility to winter cold and cannot tolerate the winter cold as the P. vera (Ferguson and Haviland, 2016). Besides, in the cold areas the cultivars grafted on UCB-1 usually do not fall asleep compared to those grafted on P. vera, P. atlantica, and P. terebinthus, and are very susceptible to early cold weather from October through early December, causing winter juvenile tree dieback.

In this research, the 'Qazvini' and ‘Akbari’ were used as maternal parents and the P. integerrima species used as paternal. However, the salinity tolerance of 'Qazvini' and ‘Akbari’ and their hybrids with P. integerrima were investigated.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials and Study Plan

This experiment was carried out in Buin Zahra, Qazvin, Iran (50° 4’E and 35° 46’ N 1210 m). The climate of this region is temperate tends hot and dry. Also, Laboratory works were carried out in
Shahed University, Tehran, Iran. To produce the hybrid rootstocks seeds, pollination were carried out in two phases during the full bloom period. The ‘Qazvini’ and ‘Akbari’ were used as maternal parents and *P. integerrima* species used as paternal.

In order to produce hybrid seeds, the pollens of *P. integerrima* were collected and stored in a freezer at −20°C and used for the female flowers protected in the pollination bags (Figure 1). Salinity tolerance of hybrids and female parents was studied prior to pollination, the viability test of *P. integerrima* pollen was investigated using the IKI method (Gunver-Dalkilic and Dayl-Dogru, 2011). In each crossing, many seeds were obtained and 12 seeds were planted in each replication to decrease heterozygosity effects.

The study was designed as two separated parts; first at the germination stage and the second in the seedling stage of the plant growth. To investigate the tolerance of hybrids and female parents in the germination stage, a factorial experiment was designed based on a completely randomized design with three replications with 12 seeds in each of them to decrease heterozygosity effects. For the seedling stage, the same study was designed with four replications by 12 plants in each of them. The first factor comprised four rootstocks (‘Qazvini,’ hybrid of *P. integerrima* × ‘Qazvini,’ ‘Akbari,’ and *P. integerrima* × ‘Akbari’); the second factor involved three different NaCl salinity concentrations (0, 100, and 200 mM in irrigation water). After harvesting, the pistachio hybrid seeds and the parent’s seeds were immersed in water for 24 hours before planting and disinfected.

**Germination Experiment**

First, the seeds were sterilized with Benomyl fungicide at a concentration of 3000 ppm for 20 minutes and sodium hypochlorite at a dose of 10% for 10 minutes to prevent fungal attack. Germination experiment was carried out in 10 cm diameter petri plates. A filter paper was placed in the bottom of each petri, 12 seeds were placed on every petri, and with a filter paper was covered (Figure 2). Salinity treatments were performed by adding 10 mL of NaCl solution every with concentrations of 0, 100, and 200 mM, and each weak filter papers were replaced. The petri plates were sealed by parafilm in order to protect the humidity and avoid the seeds to face drought stress.

The seeds were immediately transferred to the growth chamber with a constant temperature of 25°C and sufficient light. The germination experiment continued for 30 days and on day 30, the number of germinated seeds were recorded and the rootlets length were measured.

*Figure 1. A protected female flower in pollination bag.*
Cultivation Situation

The germinated seeds were planted in polyethylene pots containing a mixture of 50% medium grain perlite and 50% washed pumice. Seedlings were irrigated by half-strength Hoagland nutritional solution for 2 months. Salinity treatments were started 2 months after planting and continued for 2 months. Plants were irrigated every 3 days (each time 1 l each pot), in each irrigation time, approximately 200 ml exited at the bottom of the pots and remained in the pot trays. After salinity treatments, the following growth and physiological parameters were measured:

![Figure 2. The pistachio germinated seeds.](image1)

![Figure 3. Measuring the diameter and root length.](image2)
Growth Characteristics

In order to evaluate the effects of different salinities on growth parameters, seedling height, number of leaflets, and seedlings diameter were measured in the pots in two stages, 15 days and 50 days after the beginning of salinity treatments (Figure 3). In addition, at the end of the experiment, the root, stem and leaf fresh weight, and leaf area were measured using the leaf area meter, and after drying the samples in an oven (70°C after 24 hours) dry weight were measured using a digital scale.

Relative Water Content

In order to measure the relative water content of the leaves, the fresh weight (FW) of well-expanded leaves were immediately determined. The samples were then placed in the water at room temperature and 24 hours light and turgid weight (TW) was measured. The samples were dried in the oven at 70°C for 24 hours and their dry weight (DW) were determined (Barrs and Weatherley, 1962). At the end, the relative water content of leaves was calculated using the following formula:

\[ \%RWC = \frac{(FW - DW)}{(TW - DW)} \times 100 \]

Electrolyte Leakage

To measure electrolyte leakage, two fresh leaves were taken as samples. About 0.2 grams of each leaves were weighted and washed by distilled water and placed into covered test tubes, 10 ml of distilled water was added to it and placed on a 120 rpm shaker for 24 hours at laboratory temperature. After 24 hours, their initial electro conductivity (EC1) were measured by a digital EC meter. The samples were then placed in a 90–100°C hot water bath for 20 minutes and after cooling, their secondary electro conductivity (EC2) was measured (Lutts et al., 1996). Finally, Electrolyte leakage percentage (EL) was obtained by the formula (No 2):

\[ EL\% = \frac{EC1}{EC2} \times 100 \]

Sodium and Potassium Content

The concentration of Na and K was measured in the leaves. About 0.1 g milled leaves were weighed from each sample and transferred to the Kjeldahl digestive tubes. Then, 10 ml of concentrated nitric acid (65%) was added to each tube. Two tubes without plant samples and only nitric acid were used as
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control. Samples were stored overnight (12 hours) at room temperature. Then, the samples were kept in the digested oven for 3–4 hours at 110°C until the sample’s color was clarified (yellow amber). About 20 ml of distilled water were added to each tube and filtered by a filter paper and the final volume reached 100 ml in the balloon. The obtained extract was used to measure Sodium and Potassium amounts. The amount of Potassium and Sodium in the samples was read by a Flame Photometer apparatus. Potassium to Sodium ratio was calculated. The ratio of potassium to sodium can be considered as resistance to salinity criterion.

**The Proline Content**

The leaves’ free proline content was measured according to the method described by Bates et al. (1973). The amount of 0.5 g fresh weight, including fresh leaf texture, was mixed and grinded with 10 ml of 3% sulfosalicylic acid and centrifuged at 3,000 rounds for 5 minutes. Then, 2 ml of supernatant, 2 ml Ninhydrin acid, and 2 ml acetic acid were added to the test tube. The test tubes were placed in a hot water bath at a temperature of 90–100°C for 1 hour and after cooling, 10 ml of Toluene was added to each test tube and were shaken for 15–20 seconds. After cooling at room temperature for 30 minutes, two separate layers were formed. The wavelength of the red phase formed at the top of the tubes was recorded at 520 nm by a spectrophotometer (Bates et al., 1973).

**Data Analysis**

The experiment was carried out based on a completely randomized factorial design with three replicates in germination and four replicates in the seedling stage respectively. The rootstocks have been chosen as the first factor and three levels of NaCl concentrations (0, 100, and 200 mM) as the second factor. The data are presented as mean ± SD and the means were compared by Duncan’s multiple range test to discover the values and differences. The SAS software v. 9.01 was used to test the Normality and analyzing the data and the graphs were generated by Excel software (2016).

**Results and Discussion**

**Germination Percentage and Root Length**

The effects of salinity on germination percentage of rootstocks were significant ($P < .01$); however, their interaction (salinity levels and rootstocks) was not significant. Comparing rootstocks (Table 1) indicated that the ‘Akbari’ hybrid (P. integririma × ‘Akbari’) had a lower germination percentage than the other studied rootstocks. Moreover, in all rootstocks a significant decrease in germination percentage was observed by increasing salinity level (Table 4). On average, the germination percentage changed from 55% in the control group to 31.67% in 100 mM and 13.33% in 200 mM. The reduction in germination percentage in 100 mM (equivalent to EC about 7.3 dS/m) was about 42.5% and in 200 mM (equivalent to EC about 14.6 dS/m) was 75.8%. The results are in accordance with the results of Hokmabadi et al. (2005) indicating P. vera cv. ‘Badami zarand’ 50% reduction in germination percentage at salinity level of 96 mM NaCl.

Salinity significantly affected the rootlet length of plants so that the increased salinity decreased the rootlet length (Table 4). The rootlet length changed from 2.61 cm in the control treatment to 0.74 cm in 100 mM and 0.14 cm in 200 mM salinity treatments. The rootlet length of ‘Akbari’ and ‘Qazvini’ is significantly superior in comparison with two hybrid rootstocks. This clearly shows that domesticated pistachio rootstocks have most likely better rootlet growth than nondomesticated ones. Rootlet growth decreased in the second and third salinity level by 71.6% and 94.6%, respectively.
Table 1. The mean comparison of variety effect on physiological and morphological characteristics.

| Variety   | Germination percentage | Rootlet length (15 days) | Stem height (15 days) | Stem height (50 days) | Stem diameter (15 days) | Stem diameter (50 days) | Leaves number (15 days) | Leaves number (50 days) | Leaf area          |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Qazvini   | 33.33 ± 5.53 a         | 1.59 ± 0.48 a             | 13.83 ± 0.79 b         | 15 ± 0.41 c            | 2.13 ± 0.08             | 2.49 ± 0.13 b           | 12.42 ± 0.96 b         | 10.83 ± 1.04 c         | 5084.83 ± 607.68 c   |
| Qazvini Hybrid | 34.44 ± 6.84 a      | 0.63 ± 0.26 c             | 12.25 ± 0.78 b         | 14.25 ± 0.69 c         | 1.96 ± 0.1             | 2.34 ± 0.12 b           | 14.25 ± 0.94 b         | 12.5 ± 1.13 b          | 4706.75 ± 762.3 c    |
| Akbari    | 41.11 ± 8.45 a         | 1.42 ± 0.43 a             | 17.42 ± 1.23 a         | 19.67 ± 0.85 b         | 2.16 ± 0.1             | 3 ± 0.18 a              | 17.33 ± 1.37 a         | 15.42 ± 1.59 a         | 8507.25 ± 968.16 a   |
| Akbari Hybrid | 24.44 ± 5.22 b      | 1.01 ± 0.37 b             | 19.42 ± 1.29 a         | 21.08 ± 1.08 a         | 2.37 ± 0.12            | 3.27 ± 0.16 a           | 14.83 ± 1.39 ab        | 15 ± 1.97a            | 6609.33 ± 923.25 b   |

The same letters indicate no significant difference among the columns.
Table 2. The mean comparison of variety Effect on physiological and morphological characteristics.

| Variety      | Root fresh weight | Stem fresh weight | Leaf fresh weight | Root dry weight | Stem dry weight | Leaf dry weight |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Qazvini      | 2.38 ± 0.29 bc    | 1.72 ± 0.16 b     | 1.18 ± 0.13 c     | 0.93 ± 0.1 b    | 0.86 ± 0.07 b  | 0.65 ± 0.07 c  |
| Qazvini Hybrid | 1.87 ± 0.23 c    | 1.45 ± 0.12 b     | 1.23 ± 0.22 c     | 0.76 ± 0.1 c    | 0.72 ± 0.06 c  | 0.57 ± 0.08 c  |
| Akbari       | 3.07 ± 0.26 a     | 2.83 ± 0.22 a     | 2.84 ± 0.31 a     | 1.17 ± 0.12 a   | 1.43 ± 0.11 a  | 1.49 ± 0.19 a  |
| Akbari Hybrid | 2.69 ± 0.21 ab    | 2.8 ± 0.17 a      | 2.36 ± 0.25 b     | 1.18 ± 0.12 a   | 1.43 ± 0.08 a  | 1.24 ± 0.14 b  |

The same letters indicate no significant difference among the columns.

**Growth Characteristics**

Vegetative growth characteristics were affected by the salinity treatments (Figure 4). Stem height and diameter, the number of leaves after 15 and 50 days, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of roots, were significantly affected by salinity (Table 5). Moreover, the interaction of rootstock and salinity affected the final stem height, leaf number, leaf area, leaf fresh weight, stem, and leaf dry weight. Among the studied rootstocks, ‘Akbari’ and ‘Akbari’ hybrid showed maximum final stem diameter, the final number of leaves, stem fresh weight, root, and stem dry weight compared to other rootstocks (Table 1 and 2).

Raoufi et al. (2019) revealed that ‘Akbari,’ ‘Ahmad-Aghai,’ and ‘UCB-1’ had the lowest reduction in morphological and growth parameters under salinity stress. Also, they showed that these cultivars had the highest chlorophyll content, SPAD index, and soluble sugar content under salinity conditions. These rootstocks by osmoregulation, protector substances, and balancing of toxic ions had better growth and morphological characteristics in saline condition.

Salinity decreases the leaf area and number of leaves by affecting the amount of cell division or by affecting cell enlargement size (Rahneshan et al., 2018). This decrease might be because of the increased costs for the plant’s cells for producing and accumulating the osmotic regulatory materials. The increased energy consumption causes the cells to receive less energy for division and as a result their growth will reduce (Volkmar et al., 1998). Literatures have shown that the growth of developing young leaflets depends on cell division, followed by the irreversible increase in the cell size. Growth often depends directly on turgid, and the development is related to biochemical processes that alter the properties of the cell wall (Dubey, 1997). The relative salinity tolerance in pistachios is probably due to the growth reduction in the shoots, especially leaves, in order to reduce the evapotranspiration and increase the root resistance against ion displacement (Benmahioul et al., 2009). Our results indicate that the fresh and dry weight of the roots was not affected by the interaction between the rootstock and the salinity. It has been demonstrated that the sensitivity of leaves and stems of pistachios to salinity is higher than the plant’s underground organs (Adish et al., 2010; Karimi et al., 2009; Picchioni et al., 1990).

Stem height was not influenced by 100 mM salinity level after 15 days of stress and significantly decreased of 200 mM. However, after 50 days of stress, the effect of 100 mM was also significant. Stem diameter was not affected by salinity after 15 days in all studied rootstocks; however, after 50 days, the rootstocks showed a different response to salinity stress. Regarding the number of leaves, the interaction effect of variety and salinity significantly affected the studied values after 50 days.

Stem height after 50 days, leaf number after 50 days, leaf area, fresh weight of root, stem and leaf, dry weight of root, stem, and leaf have significantly decreased by increasing the salinity levels. As a result, the salinity level of 100 mM has been the threshold of reducing the mentioned growth characteristics. Increasing the concentration of NaCl in irrigation water to more than 75 mM causes a significant reduction in the total biomass of pistachio (Hokmabadi et al, 2005). Other studies have also demonstrated that the average salinity of the pistachio root development zone for a 50% reduction in vegetative growth is between 7.9 and 10 ds/m (Sepaskhah and Maftoun, 1988). Significant decrease in stem and root growth in electrical conductivity of 8.7 dS/m was reported by Picchioni et al. (1990).

Since the rootstock of ‘Akbari’ and ‘Akbari’ hybrid has significantly exceeded in the growth characteristics, it might be inferred that these rootstocks can be introduced as semi-tolerant rootstocks to saline conditions.
Physiological Characteristics

Relative Water Content of Leaves (RWC)

Results indicate that the rootstocks have different RWC in response to salinity (Table 3). Among the studied rootstocks 'Qazvini' had the minimum RWC in the leaf than other rootstocks. According to Balaguer et al. (2002), the lower reduction in RWC could be due to the sufficient amount of osmotic regulation in the plant. More the plant could resist under stress conditions to decreasing the RWC of the leaf, it is considered as a plant that is resistant to salinity and drought. Hence, in the present study, it was concluded that pistachios resist to lose water through leaves in the conditions of salinity stress.

Similar to our findings, Hokmabadi et al. (2005) demonstrated that the relative leaf water percentage in different salinity treatments was not significantly different. In another on Pistacia mutica spices, P. vera cv. 'Sarakhs' and P. vera cv. 'Badami' no significant difference with increasing salinity from 0 to 150 mM was observed in Badami and Sarakhs rootstocks (Panahi, 2009).

Electrolyte Leakage Percent

Based on the results of the analysis of variance, the effects of rootstock, salinity levels, and their interaction were significant in changing electrolyte leakage. 'Qazvini' hybrid (P. integrima × 'Qazvini') had the lowest percentage of electrolyte leakage with an average of 39.4% among the four rootstocks under testing (Table 3). With increasing salinity, electrolyte leakage was significantly increased (Figure 5). The electrolyte leakage percentage was 35.13% in the control, in the second level of salinity was 54.44% and in the third salinity level was 59.71%. Figure 5 shows the effects of different salinity levels on the percentage of electrolyte leakage in studied pistachio rootstocks. In accordance to our finding, Tavallali et al. (2008) investigated the effects of salinity stress in the 'Qazvini' and 'Badami Zaran' pistachio rootstocks and demonstrated that 150 mM NaCl treatment

| Table 3. The mean comparison of variety effect on physiological and morphological characteristics. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variety         | Leaf relative water content | Electrolyte leakage % | Sodium leaves | Potassium leaves | Potassium to sodium ratio | Proline concentration |
| Qazvini Hybrid  | 73.64 ± 3.43 b | 48.47 ± 4.37 c | 8.42 ± 0.74 | 23.39 ± 1.11 a | 2.95 ± 0.23 a | 0.47 ± 0.05 b |
| Qazvini Hybrid  | 82.94 ± 1.36 a | 39.4 ± 1.75 d  | 8.83 ± 1.01 | 12.61 ± 1.35 c | 1.57 ± 0.18 c | 0.61 ± 0.06 a |
| Akbari Hybrid   | 81.51 ± 2.06 a | 53.31 ± 3.02 b | 8.75 ± 0.68 | 17.58 ± 1.13 b | 2.2 ± 0.22 b  | 0.47 ± 0.05 b  |
| Akbari Hybrid   | 81 ± 2.69 a    | 57.85 ± 4.05 a | 8.67 ± 0.97 | 12.49 ± 0.49 c | 1.79 ± 0.27 bc | 0.42 ± 0.04 b  |

The same letters indicate no significant difference among the columns.

| Table 4. The mean comparison of salinity level effect on physiological and morphological characteristics. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Salinity level  | Germination percentage | Stem height (15 days) | Stem height (50 days) | Stem diameter (15 days) | Stem diameter (50 days) | Leaves number (15 days) |
| 1               | 55 ± 4.04 a | 16.94 ± 1.1 a | 20 ± 1.17 a | 2.19 ± 0.07 a | 3.01 ± 0.14 a | 17.63 ± 0.98 a |
| 2               | 31.67 ± 2.64 b | 16.44 ± 0.98 a | 17.44 ± 0.66 b | 2.21 ± 0.09 a | 2.87 ± 0.14 a | 14.38 ± 1.15 b |
| 3               | 13.33 ± 1.75 c | 13.81 ± 1.22 b | 15.06 ± 0.7 c | 2.06 ± 0.11 a | 2.44 ± 0.16 b | 12.13 ± 0.75 b |

The same letters indicate no significant difference among the columns.

| Table 5. The mean comparison of salinity level effect on physiological and morphological characteristics. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Salinity level  | Leaf area | Root fresh weight | Stem fresh weight | Leaf fresh weight | Root dry weight | Stem dry weight |
| 1               | 8951.31 ± 786.74 a | 3.23 ± 0.23 a | 2.69 ± 0.24 a | 2.51 ± 0.32 a | 1.42 ± 0.07 a | 1.37 ± 0.12 a |
| 2               | 6518.06 ± 297.89 b | 2.43 ± 0.18 b | 2.26 ± 0.15 b | 2.05 ± 0.17 b | 0.94 ± 0.05 b | 1.13 ± 0.07 b |
| 3               | 3211.75 ± 375.32 c | 1.85 ± 0.16 c | 1.65 ± 0.16 c | 1.15 ± 0.18 c | 0.67 ± 0.08 c | 0.82 ± 0.08 c |

The same letters indicate no significant difference among the columns.
significantly increased the electrolyte leakage percentage in Badami rootstock, while ‘Qazvini’ had a lower percentage of electrolyte leakage (Tavallali et al., 2008).

**Sodium Content of the Leaves**

According to Table 3, no significant difference was observed among the studied rootstock; however, salinity stress levels significantly increased the Sodium content of the Leaves. With increasing salinity levels, the amount of leaf sodium increased (Table 6). So that the amount of sodium in leaf dry weight was increased from 6.06 mg/g in the control group to 8.56 mg/g in the second salinity level and 11.38 mg/g in the third level of salinity.

Literatures indicate that with increasing salinity, sodium concentration increases in pistachio above-ground organs (Behboudian et al., 1986; Ferguson et al., 2002; Tavallali et al., 2008). The results of Hokmabadi et al. (2005) on the effect of different levels of salinity on *P. vera* cv. ‘Badami Zaran,’ *P. vera* cv. ‘Sarakhs,’ and *P. vera* cv. ‘Qazvini’ rootstocks showed that ‘Qazvini’ rootstock has the lowest amount of sodium in stem among the studied rootstocks (Hokmabadi et al., 2005).

**Potassium Amount of the Leaves**

The results showed that potassium content in the leaves was different among the studied rootstocks, and effects of salinity levels were not observed to be significant. ‘Qazvini’ rootstock with 23.39 mg/g of dry weight in the leaves had the highest amount of potassium in the leaf (Table 6).

The effects of salinity on growth and element uptake in pistachio rootstocks had no effects on leaf potassium content (Picchioni et al., 1990). This indicates that the tolerance of pistachio to salinity might be attributed to its ability to preserve the high capacity of sodium in the roots (Picchioni et al., 1990). Additionally, the results of Karimi et al. (2015) revealed that potassium content in the shoots was not affected by salinity treatments. The results have shown that the potassium content of stems and roots decreased by increasing NaCl concentration but did not affect the concentration of potassium in the leaf. In consistency with our finding, Tavallali et al. (2008) demonstrated that

**Table 6.** The mean comparison of salinity level effect on physiological and morphological characteristics.

| Salinity level | Leaf relative water content | Sodium content of the leaves | Potassium content of the leaves | Potassium to sodium ratio | Proline concentration |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1              | 82.38 ± 1.75                | 6.06 ± 0.38 c                | 16.72 ± 1.51                   | 2.87 ± 0.2 a              | 0.39 ± 0.02 b         |
| 2              | 81.11 ± 2.14                | 8.56 ± 0.47 b                | 16.37 ± 1.5                    | 1.97 ± 0.19 b             | 0.44 ± 0.03 b         |
| 3              | 75.84 ± 2.68                | 11.38 ± 0.57 a               | 16.47 ± 1.4                    | 1.54 ± 0.17 c             | 0.65 ± 0.05 a         |

The same letters indicate no significant difference among the columns.
‘Qazvini’ had a lower leaf Sodium accumulation and higher potassium content than Badami Zarand (Tavallali et al., 2008).

**Potassium to Sodium Ratio**

The results showed that the rootstock type and salinity stress levels significantly affect the potassium to sodium ratio. This ratio significantly decreased by increasing the salinity levels (Table 6) ranging from 2.87 in the control group to 1.97 in the second salinity level and to 1.54 in the third salinity level. The highest ratio of potassium to sodium was observed in the ‘Qazvini’ rootstock (Table 3).

The ratio of potassium to sodium can be considered as a criteria for tolerance to salinity. With increasing salinity levels, potassium amount, and potassium/sodium ratio have been deceased in pistachio leaf and root (Banakar and Ranjbar, 2010). Sodium competes with potassium to absorb root cells in the salty soils. The high sodium/potassium ratio reduces the growth and toxicity of the plants. Sepaskhah and Maftoun (1988) reported that by increasing salinity, the potassium content of almond and hazelnut tended to increase. In addition, the potassium to sodium ratio in the ‘Badami’ rootstock was higher than two other rootstocks (Sepaskhah and Maftoun, 1988). Picchioni et al. (1990) showed that increasing the amount of salt due to increased sodium content in the leaf has been decreased potassium to sodium content (Picchioni et al., 1990).

Raoufi et al. (2020b) reported that salt stress induces the absorbance of Na and Cl and reduces K concentration. In their study, ion concentration in different organs of pistachio rootstocks increased by increasing salinity levels. ‘Akbari’ kept the low level of Na in the leaves while UCB-1 contained a higher K level in the leaves. Akbari et al. (2018b) reported pistachio rootstock which can keep K in their leaves over sodium can be considered as more tolerant under salt stress.

**Proline Concentration of Leaves**

The results indicated that the content of proline in the leaves of rootstocks was differently affected (P < .01) by salinity stress. However, the interaction of rootstock and salinity did not show a significant effect (Table 3). The 200 mM salinity level significantly increased the proline content (Table 6). The highest proline content was observed in the ‘Qazvini’ hybrid with 0.61 mg/g leaf fresh weight (Table 3).

Proline is an important osmolyte that maintains the cell osmoregulation under salt stress. Many studies have shown that proline accumulation is a common response of plants under stress (Sheikh-Mohamadi et al., 2017; Akbari et al., 2018a). The accumulation of proline due to water and salt stress results from proline synthesis in different tissues. It has been demonstrated that salinity stress prevents proline oxidation in mitochondria (Volkmar et al., 1998). Proline plays a key role in cellular regulation, such as carbohydrates and organic acids. More osmolyte accumulation during cellular stress is one of the plant’s defense mechanisms against water loss or wilt (Akbari et al., 2020a). Research indicates the positive effect of Proline accumulation on osmotic regulation of the cell. Therefore, Proline can be introduced as an osmotic regulator in the cytoplasm section of plants (Levitt, 1980). Under the saline condition, typically higher levels of proline content in a plant species is a biological marker for better salinity tolerance (Akbari et al., 2018; Raufi et al., 2020). Hokmabadi et al. (2005) reported that the highest amount of Proline accumulation was related to the ‘Qazvini’ rootstock among the three rootstocks of ‘Badami Zarand,’ ‘Sarakhs,’ and ‘Qazvini.’ So, our results are also confirmed by previous researches. The accumulation of proline under salt stress could be due to the four follow reasons: (1) its biosynthesis, (2) decreased rate of its oxidation to glutamate by P5C dehydrogenase and its dehydrogenase in mitochondria, (3) its decreased utilization because of protein synthesis, and (4) due to the upregulating stress-protective proteins (Akbari et al., 2018b).

A mechanism that plants adopted to reduce the negative effects of salt stress is increasing antioxidant enzymes activity to deactivate the free radicals (Grattan and Grieve, 1998; Greenway and Munns, 1980; Maas and Grattan, 1999). Higher activities of CAT, APX, SOD, and POD were observed in pistachio rootstocks forced by salt stress. Raufi et al. (2020) reported that the highest activities of CAT, APX, and
SOD were recorded for UCB-1, it was not observed a significant difference between UCB-1 and Akbari rootstocks as well as between Akbari and Ahmad-ghaee rootstocks. They said the most activity of POD was observed in Akbari rootstock which was significantly higher than other cultivars. However, they revealed that there was a highly positive relationship between antioxidant enzyme action and proline, phenol, and Na concentrations in the root, shoot, and the leaf. In their study, Akbari and UCB-1 rootstocks with higher enzyme activity contained upper phenol and proline contents and lower Na content in compared with other rootstocks.

**Conclusion**

Strong vigor and rapid growth along with higher tolerance to salinity stress are desired traits for pistachio rootstocks breeders and growers. Our findings showed that although ‘Akbari’ showed higher salinity tolerance but significant differences were not observed between ‘Akbari’ and ‘Akbari’ hybrid rootstocks. Hence ‘Akbari’ hybrids could be appropriate candidates for the future researches and cultivation of pistachio in the saline areas.
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