Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors as diuretic adjuvants in acute decompensated heart failure: a case series
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Abstract

Aims Diuretic resistance is common in acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF). When loop diuretic monotherapy is ineffective, thiazides are often recommended as adjunctive therapy, but these agents have many side effects and are associated with worsened survival. In contrast, sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i’s), initially developed as glucose-lowering medications for type 2 diabetes, improve heart failure outcomes. A candidate contributory mechanism for this benefit is their diuretic effects. We sought to describe the safety and efficacy of SGLT-2i’s as loop diuretic adjuvants in ADHF.

Methods and results We retrospectively analysed patients who received adjuvant SGLT-2i therapy between August 2016 and June 2018 at Yale-New Haven Hospital. Thirty-one patients comprised the cohort, 58% of whom had type 2 diabetes. Compared with the 24 h prior to SGLT-2i initiation, average weight loss improved (1.0 ± 2.2 kg, P = 0.03 at Day 1; 1.7 ± 4.9 kg, P = 0.08 at Day 2; and 2.1 ± 5.6 kg, P = 0.06 at Day 3), as did urine output (3.7 ± 2.0 L, P = 0.002 at Day 1; 3.4 ± 1.7 L, P = 0.02 at Day 2; and 3.1 ± 1.7 L, P = 0.02 at Day 3) while loop diuretic dosing remaining stable. Creatinine remained unchanged during the 3 days after initiation, as did blood pressure and the incidence of hypokalaemia (P = NS for all).

Conclusions In this cohort of patients with ADHF, SGLT-2i’s improved weight loss, urine output, and diuretic efficiency without worsening of creatinine, potassium, or blood pressure. Further study of SGLT-2i’s as a loop diuretic adjuvant is warranted.
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Background

Loop diuretics are the mainstay of therapy for patients with acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF) and volume overload.1 However, many patients demonstrate a poor response, with up to 50% considered diuretic resistant.2 In such cases, thiazides are often recommended as the first-line diuretic adjuvant, but they have multiple potentially severe side effects that may explain the observed signal for worsened clinical outcomes with these agents.3,4 Therefore, there is a substantial unmet need to safely improve effective diuresis in loop diuretic-resistant patients.

Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i’s) increase urinary excretion of both glucose and sodium and appear to produce a durable reduction in blood volume.5,6 However, unlike traditional diuretics, they may do so with limited activation of the neurohormonal or electrolyte profile of the patient.7 Although originally developed as
glucose-lowering medications for patients with type 2 diabetes, SGLT-2i’s have been shown to improve event-free survival in patients with chronic heart failure, irrespective of the degree of hyperglycaemia or even diabetic status. Furthermore, a recent pilot study examining SGLT-2i’s in ADHF suggested a potential benefit with respect to secondary 60 day clinical outcomes of worsening heart failure, death, or heart failure readmission. We added this therapeutic class to our inpatient formulary as a diuretic adjuvant for loop diuretic resistance based on the known pharmacodynamic actions of the drug, along with early mechanistic studies suggesting that SGLT-2i’s were clinically meaningful natriuretic agents in combination with loop diuretics.

**Aims**

Herein, we report our experiences with the SGLT-2i’s in ADHF and describe the safety and efficacy of this approach in a real-world cohort. Specifically, we describe the effects of SGLT-2i’s on diuretic efficiency, urine output, and weight loss, as well as renal function, blood pressure, and plasma glucose concentrations.

**Methods**

Patients included in this report received an SGLT-2i between August 2016 and June 2018 during a hospitalization for ADHF at Yale-New Haven Hospital, a 1541-bed academic medical centre in New Haven, CT, USA. Canagliflozin (100 mg, 300 mg) and then subsequently empagliflozin (10 mg, 25 mg; after the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial was reported) were approved by our hospital’s pharmacy and therapeutics committee for the treatment of diuretic resistance in the inpatient heart failure service. Patients were judged to have diuretic resistance by clinical assessment of the treating heart failure cardiologist. Charts were subsequently reviewed, and patients receiving SGLT-2i strictly for diabetes management in the absence ADHF were excluded. To examine the potential efficacy, comparisons were pre-SGLT-2i to post-SGLT-2i administration, and therefore, patients receiving an SGLT-2i on admission were excluded. For those who received an SGLT-2i during multiple admissions, only the first encounter was analysed.

Medical record data regarding diuretics administered, urine output, and laboratory values were extracted in 24 h intervals; administration of the first dose of an SGLT-2i was used as the reference time point. Administered doses of loop diuretics were totalled and converted to intravenous (IV) furosemide equivalents with 40 mg IV furosemide equivalent to 80 mg oral (PO) furosemide, 1 mg IV or PO bumetanide, and 20 mg PO torsemide. Similarly, doses of thiazide diuretic agents were extracted and converted to metolazone equivalents, where 10 mg of hydrochlorothiazide were equal to 1 mg of metolazone. Daily weights were extracted from the chart. For days in which multiple weights were recorded, priority was given to standing weight over bed weight and then to the first measurement of the day.

The main outcomes for efficacy (weight, urine output, and diuretic efficiency) as well as safety (serum creatinine, potassium, glucose, and blood pressure) were collected at 24, 48, and 72 h after SGLT-2i administration. The equation used to calculate diuretic efficiency, or the increase in urine output that would follow a doubling of diuretic dose, has been described previously. Wilcoxon signed rank test or paired t-test was used to compare baseline to post-treatment values. Baseline characteristics are presented as median (interquartile range) or mean (± standard deviation). A two-tailed P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 26, Armonk, New York).

**Table 1 Characteristics of the patients at baseline**

| Characteristic | Cohort N = 31 |
|---------------|--------------|
| Age (years)   | 63 ± 13      |
| Female sex, n (%) | 6 (19)   |
| Black race, n (%) | 4 (13)  |
| Weight (kg)   | 90 ± 23      |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 113 ± 21 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 64 ± 10 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 83 ± 15 |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | 80 ± 16 |
| SpO2 (%) | 96 ± 2       |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL) | 1.7 ± 1.0 |
| BUN (mg/dL)   | 48 ± 28      |
| Blood glucose (mg/dL) | 151 ± 61 |
| Haemoglobin (mg/dL) | 10.5 ± 2.7 |
| Haematocrit (%) | 33 ± 7     |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (%) | 40 ± 20 |
| HFrEF, n (%) | 17 (55)      |
| Haemoglobin A1C ≥ 6.5 | 18 (58) |
| Haemoglobin A1C | 7.0 ± 1.5   |
| Estimated GFR by CKD-EPI | 50.2 ± 24.0 |
| Mean (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 19 (61) |
| Rate of <60 mL/min/1.73 m², n (%) | 9 (29) |
| In-hospital therapy | 10 (32) |
| Loop diuretic dose (furosemide equivalents) | 160 (30–320) |
| Loop diuretic administered | 13 |

| BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; LVAD, left ventricular assist device; SpO2, peripheral capillary oxygen saturation. Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in metres.

*Race was identified through review of the electronic health record.

*Therapy received during the day of SGLT-2i initiation.
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Results

A total of 31 patients received an SGLT-2i for diuretic resistance during a hospital admission for ADHF. More than half of the population had diabetes (18/31, 58%), and the average A1c for the cohort was 7.0 ± 1.5%. The average left ventricular ejection fraction was 40 ± 20%, and 58% (18/31) had a left ventricular ejection fraction <40%. There were several indicators of high illness severity, including median length of stay of 25 days (15–58 days), 29% (9/31) of patients having a ventricular assist device, and 32% (10/31) requiring inotropes and/or vasopressors at baseline. Central venous pressure was measured in seven patients (median = 15 mmHg, interquartile range 11.5 to 15.25 mmHg). On the day of SGLT-2i therapy initiation, patients were receiving 160 mg (30–320 mg) of furosemide equivalents. Patients were hospitalized for a median of 6.4 days (interquartile range 3.5 to 15.1 days) prior to SGLT-2i initiation. Full baseline characteristics can be found in Table 1.

Compared with weight 24 h prior to treatment, average weight decreased by 1.0 ± 2.2 kg at Day 1 (P = 0.03), 1.7 ± 4.9 kg at Day 2 (P = 0.08), and 2.1 ± 5.6 kg at Day 3 (P = 0.06) after SGLT-2i administration. Daily urine output improved during Day 1 (P = 0.002), Day 2 (P = 0.02), and Day 3 (P = 0.02) compared with the 24 h prior to treatment (Figure 1A). While the diuretic dose remained constant at Day 1, there was a tendency towards reduction during Day 2 and Day 3, but this did not reach statistical

Figure 1 Trends in diuretic efficiency before and after SGLT-2i therapy (A) as well as urine output (B) and loop diuretic dose (C), which are the primary determinants of diuretic efficiency. Diuretic efficiency is defined as the amount of urine output that would result from a doubling of the diuretic dose. SGLT-2i, sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor.
significance (Figure 1B). There was no change in thiazide use before and after therapy (seven patients prior, eight patients post, \( P = 0.40 \)). Diuretic efficiency was stable prior to SGLT-2i administration but increased on Day 1 (\( P = 0.02 \)), Day 2 (\( P = 0.03 \)), and Day 3 (\( P = 0.12 \)) (Figure 1C). Haematocrit improved overall with 19/26 patients (73%) achieving haemoconcentration after SGLT-2 administration.

Creatinine remained unchanged from baseline during the 3 day follow-up period (0.0 ± 0.5 mg/mL change, \( P > 0.99 \) on Day 1), with similar trends seen at Day 2 and Day 3 (\( P > 0.95 \) for both, Figure 2A). Blood urea nitrogen was similarly stable (Figure 2B). There was no significant difference in blood glucose from baseline to Day 1 (\( P = 0.91 \)), Day 2 (\( P = 0.40 \)), nor Day 3 (\( P = 0.84 \), Figure 2C). The change in blood pressure from baseline to Day 1 was small and not statistically significant (−3.0 ± 11.4 mmHg, \( P = 0.17 \), Figure 2D), with this trend persisting throughout the last 2 days analysed (\( P = NS \) for both). Three patients developed hypokalaemia (potassium ≤3 mg/dL) in the 3 days prior to initiation, whereas only one patient experienced hypokalaemia in the 3 days following. No patients were diagnosed with a urinary tract infection or genital mycotic infection during the observation period.

### Conclusions

In this case series of patients with ADHF and generally high disease severity, therapy with an SGLT-2i was associated with improved urine output and weight loss after therapy. These effects were observed without escalation of loop diuretic or thiazide therapy, and the resultant diuretic efficiency was markedly improved. Lastly, we detected no signals for harm, including deterioration of renal function, adverse change in blood pressure or electrolytes, or genitourinary infections while on therapy.

This study adds incrementally to the recent prospective study of SGLT-2i’s in ADHF by Damman et al. as these investigators did not find a significant change in weight or diuretic efficiency, despite significantly improved urine output. This incongruency with our findings may be explained in part by higher disease severity in our population. Nearly one in three required mechanical circulatory support and a similar proportion were receiving inotropes and/or vaspressors, meaning they would have been excluded from the Damman et al. study. Renal dysfunction was also highly prevalent, with nearly two in three having an estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73 m² at baseline. Lastly, loop diuretic
dosing was substantially higher, as patients received an average of 230 mg of furosemide equivalents on just the first day of SGLT-2i therapy. This is a critical point as mechanistic studies have suggested that the synergism observed between loop diuretics and SGLT-2i’s is only present in patients previously receiving loop diuretics.6,11

This report is limited by the fact that it was a case series lacking randomization, blinding, or a control group. Because physicians were starting SGLT-2i’s largely due to lack of response of the patient to loop diuretics, it is possible that other parallel interventions were occurring (beyond thiazide and loop diuretic dosing, which we were able to track). As such, we cannot conclude that any of the observed differences were causally induced by the SGLT-2i’s. Given these limitations, the results should be considered as hypothesis-generating only.

In a retrospective analysis, this population of largely diuretic-resistant ADHF patients receiving loop diuretics and an SGLT-2i demonstrated a more robust diuresis without signals for worsening renal function or hypotension. This suggests a potential role for SGLT-2i as a diuretic adjuvant in patients with ADHF unresponsive to loop diuretics, and further large-scale prospective study is warranted.
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