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Abstract

Nowadays, although the technology keeps developing, the quantity of human resources who have competence and expertise in sharia economic continues to be minimum. It gives several direct impacts on sharia banking. One of the direct impacts is high turnover intention. The purpose of this study was to look at the Transformational Leadership effect, Perceived Organizational Support, and Workload on Turnover Intention in one of sharia banking in Jakarta. Samples of the study were employees who worked at one of sharia banking in Jakarta. Sampling was conducted using nonprobability techniques, which is purposive sampling with the Slovin formula of 101 people. This study was conducted quantitatively, and the analysis method used in this study was multiple linear regression analysis. The results of the study showed that transformational leadership, perceived organizational support and workload variables have a significant effect on turnover intention. The result of the study also showed that what affects turnover intention the most is workload. It was proven by the results of the simultaneous test (F test) and partial test (t-test) which showed the numerous value of three independent variables that supported the hypothesis.
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INTRODUCTION

In Indonesia, the constantly growing digital era of technology has made several impacts on the sharia banking industry. One of the impacts is the emergence of new competitors who can seize a sharia banking market share. According to the Financial Services Authority [49], the market share of sharia banking can only reach 5.94%. This is also the result of the minimum amount of human resources who have expertise and competence in sharia economics. Therefore, it has a direct impact on sharia banking if it is not balanced with good corporate behavior and appropriate to its employees. Another impact on the company is a high turnover intention. Turnover intention is someone’s desire or intention to leave both the company and their job. A high turnover intention for employees is found in one of sharia banking in Jakarta. One indication of the high turnover intention in the company is an increase in turnover rate from 2017 of 1.40%, in 2018 of 1.42%, and 2019 of 3.66%. As well as the discovery of more employees who left the company than those who entered. The results of the pre-questionnaire conducted on 30 employees showed a high turnover intention. The results of the pre-questionnaire showed that there is a total average percentage of 75% which indicates that employees have the desire to leave the company if there are other companies that offer better wages, better career paths, more guaranteed employee welfare as well as the employees have plans to find job vacancies at other companies. The indication of high turnover intention in a sharia banks in Jakarta can be seen from several things which are related to the behavior of the employee. One of the indications is the increase in the work procedure violations. It is shown in the graph below:
The graph showed that there has been high employee tardiness in working for three consecutive years. The high percentage of employee tardiness indicates the high desire of employees to leave the company where many employees start violating work rules by coming late. The author conducted a pre-survey of 30 employees to find out what factors and variables which affect the high turnover intention of this sharia bank in Jakarta. From the results of the pre-survey, it was found that 3 main factors were considered to be very affecting the turnover intention, including transformational leadership of 87%, perceived organizational support of 73%, and the workload of 67%. Therefore, the author needs to conduct a study entitled “The Effect of Transformational Leadership, Perceived Organizational Support, and Workload on Turnover Intention Sharia Banking Company in Jakarta”.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Transformational Leadership

Robbin and Judge [1] stated that leadership is the capability to influence a gagle by achieving a group vision or goal. According to Bass in Yukl [2], with transformational leadership, followers are stimulated to do more than initially expected. Besides that, they will become loyal, admire, trust, and respect for leaders. Meanwhile, according to Mickahail and Aquino [3], transformational leadership is described as an inspirational and collaborative figure. According to Sultana and Jabeen [4], leaders, whose transformational leadership qualities are good, are always involved in appealing behavior, encourage their followers’ enthusiasm, and know-how to treat their followers well. Also, followers will unconsciously follow their leader’s behavior. It will encourage them to produce great output and improve organizational goodwill. Abouraia and Othman [5] say that a transformational leader is a leader who tends to perform the individual success, have high expectations, and give recognition. Sharanya and Himabindu [6] state that transformational leadership style focuses on the team, including the development of the team, the motivation of the team and the collaboration of the employees within the team. Collaboration with employees happens at the various organizational level for a better chance.

According to Sun and Wang [7], if the transformational leadership is implemented properly, it will mostly affect the employees not to quit from the company. Besides that, Arthi and Sumathi [8] mention that transformational leadership gives a great influence on employee’s turnover intention. Another thing that is also able to give a significant influence on reducing turnover intention is the individualized consideration dimension. According to Manoppo [9], transformational leadership strongly influences turnover intention. In line with Manoppo’s statement, Ariyabuddhiphongs and Kahn [10] state that turnover intention is stricken by transformational leadership. Shah, et al. [11] state that the role of transformational leadership is very important to reduce turnover intention, even with transformational leadership can increase employee involvement in their work and the company. Jauhar, et al. [12] state that the biggest influence on the company is transformational leadership. It will assist the company in employee effectiveness, satisfaction, organizational commitment, and trust. It will also help the company to increase its overall employee performance and leadership. And most importantly, it helps the company to lower turnover intentions. Pravichai and Ariyabuddhiphongs [13], Promchart and Potipiroon [14], and [15] found that transformational leadership significantly affects turnover intention.

Perceived Organizational Support

According to Robbins and Judge [16], perceived organizational support is the level at which workers trust that the organizations will help their financial problem and appreciate their contribution. Meanwhile, according to Eisenberger and Stinglhamber [17], perceived organizational support (POS) is the
same as it is explained by Robbin and Judge. Perceived Organizational Support is the perceptions of the employees who trust that the organizations will help their financial problem and appreciate their contribution, which is proven by giving significant benefits to the employees. Perceived Organizational Support gives a big impact on employees’ work performances. The employees will work harder when they feel that the organization supports them. This will increase the employees’ work performance. Wang and Wang [18] state that if the employees do not feel supported by the organization, their work performance will get worse. They will have a passive attitude toward their work and it might reduce efficiency. According to Liu, et al. [19], the lower the organizational support for employees, the worse the employees’ work performance will be. The employees will be lack encouragement and more likely to get difficulties which might encourage them to resign from the company.

Previous research conducted by Choi and Chiu [20], Haar [21], and Nadeem [22], show that the turnover intention is strongly stricken the perceived organizational support. Adan Gok [23] states that employee turnover intensity can be reduced by perceived organizational support. The employees whose organization values and appreciate their efforts tend to stay and minimize their turnover intention. The same statement is stated by Akgunduz and Sanli [24]. They mention that strong organizational support may reduce the employees’ turnover intention. Engelbrecht and Samuel [25] and Azis [26] state that perceived organizational support have a strong relationship with the employees' intention to quit. Meanwhile, AlHashmi, et al. [27] found that there is no direct impact of the perceived organizational support on turnover intention. Also, a study conducted by Wong and Wong [28] showed that there is no significant effect of the perceived organizational support on turnover intention.

**Workload**

Tarwaka [29] states that workload is the result of the demand for tasks. It is also the result of the work environment including employee skills and behavior, the workplace, and the workers’ perceptions. According to Munandar [30] workload is a work condition with job descriptions that have to be finished in a short time. Meanwhile, the Minister of Home Affairs Regulation No. 12 of 2008 stated that workload is the total of job that has to be carried by an organizational unit and a position. Besides that, the workload is not only the product of time norm but also work volume. Meanwhile, Suciarty, et al. [31] states that a workload that is too high allows employees to consume excessive energy and overstress could happen or vice versa, if the workload is not too high it allows employees to feel the burden and boredom.

Previous research conducted by Rizka, et al. [32] and Suarthana and Riana [33] find that workload has a significant influence on turnover intention. Tulangow [34] also find that there is a big effect of the workload on turnover intention. It is because everyone can think that they can find another job that suits their abilities if the office they are working in now gives them too much work. According to Erat, et al. [35] a high workload will increase the potential for employees to have a desire to leave the company (turnover intention). The results of the study of Suputra [36] and Askiyanto [37] also show that there is a significant effect of workload on turnover intention. Meanwhile, a study conducted by Alfahhtooh [38] and Pradita and Satrya [39] find that workload gives only a small effect on the employee turnover intention.

**Turnover Intention**

According to Mobley [40], the turnover intention is the intention of an employee or a tendency of an employee to quit the job or move to another workplace to another according to their own will. Robbins and Judge [1] describe turnover intention as a degree of employee possibility to quit the company due to the low interest in the current job or the availability of another job alternative. Meanwhile, according to Dharma [41], the turnover intention is the tendency of the employee to find another new job or to quit the company in a short or long period. According to Asriani and Riyanto [42], the high turnover intention will cause losses in various aspects, especially in terms of time, finance, and effectiveness also the efficiency of company management because turnover intention will also have an impact on employees’ performance decline. Maska and Riyanto [43] also argued that turnover intention is the desire of employees to deliberately choose to quit the company shortly. If employees cannot accept and like their work, then the desire to do turnover will be major. Several factors affect turnover intention. The factor is the external factor. The external factors include the institutional factors and labor markets such as workspace conditions and supervision. It also includes the employee’s characteristics, such as attitude, intelligence, empowerment, gender, age, stress, and length of work, workload, and individual reactions to work [44].
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

HYPOTHESIS
H1: Transformational leadership affects turnover intention.
H2: Perceived organizational support (POS) affects turnover intention.
H3: Workload affects turnover intention.
H4: Transformational leadership, perceived organizational support, and workload simultaneously affect turnover intention.

METHODOLOGY
Population and Sample
The populations in this study were 134 employees who worked in one of sharia banking in Jakarta. This study used a nonprobability sampling method as well as a purposive sampling method. The determination of the sample uses the Slovin formula to obtain 101 samples.

Data Collection Method
Data collection method used in this study is a survey method, which is the technique of collecting and analyzing data in the form of opinions from the subjects studied through a questionnaire using a Likert scale of 1-5. According to Sekaran and Bougie [45], the Likert scale of 1-5 is used to measure the person’s or group’s opinions perceptions, attitudes about social phenomena. The Likert scale uses five degrees of an answer, they are 1 (Strongly disagree), 2 (Disagree), 3 (Slightly Disagree/Neutral), 4 (Agree), and 5 (Strongly agree).

Data Analysis Method
This research uses quantitative research to measure behavior, knowledge, opinions, and attitudes [46]. The data obtained in this study were analyzed by using the data quality test such as validity test and reliability test. Besides that, the data were also analyzed by using a classic assumption test, hypothesis test, multiple linear regression analysis, and inter-dimensional correlation matrix tests processed using SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solutions) version 23.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Validity Test Results
The test was done by comparing the r table value with the calculated r-value. If calculated r-value > r table value then the statement or indicator is interpreted as valid, whereas if calculated r-value < r table value then the statement or indicator is interpreted as invalid [47]. The r table value is obtained from degree of freedom (df) = n – 2, 101 – 2 = 99. Then the r table value obtained is 0, 1956. All validity test results of transformational leadership, perceived organizational support, workload, and turnover intention variables are shown as valid, where the calculated r-value is bigger than r table value.

Reliability Test Results
The test was done by looking at the Cronbach Alpha (α) value. A constructor variable is reliable if it gives Cronbach Alpha value > 0.60 [47]. The reliability
test results using SPSS 23 program showed that all variables were declared reliable where transformational leadership had Cronbach alpha value of 0.857, perceived organizational support of 0.901, the workload of 0.746, and turnover intention of 0.933.

**Classical Assumption Test Results**

The test includes a multicollinearity test, a normality test, and heteroscedasticity test. The normality test was distributed by viewing Kolmogorov-Smirnov value. If Asymp. Sig (2-tailed)> 0.05, then the data is normally distributed [47]. The results of this study found that Asymp Sig (two-tailed) value was 0.195, greater than 0.05 so all data in this study meet the assumptions of normally distributed data.

The aim of the multicollinearity test is to find out whether the regression model correlates with the independent variables. A regression model is considered good if the multicollinearity does not occur. The cut-off values commonly used to indicate multicollinearity are Tolerance value <0.10 and VIF value > 10 [37]. The results of the study showed that the transformational leadership variable obtained a tolerance value of 0.623 and a VIF value of 1.606. The perceived organizational support variable got a tolerance value of 0.748 and a VIF value of 1.338. Meanwhile, the workload variable got a tolerance value of 0.784 and a VIF value of 1.275. So, in short, it can be stated that the three independent variables did not occur multicollinearity due to the tolerance value < 0.10 and VIF value < 10.

To find out whether the regression model has variance inequality from one residual observation to another, researchers performed a heteroscedasticity test. A regression model is considered as a good model if the heteroscedasticity does not occur. The examination of heteroscedasticity indication is to look at the radiant diagram pattern. The characteristics that indicate the absence of heteroscedasticity are if the transmission diagram does not form a pattern and the dots spread below and above the number 0 on Y-axis [37].

![Fig-3: Heteroscedasticity Test Results](image)

The data results were processed with SPSS 23

**Multiple Linear Regression Analysis Test Results**

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficient | Standardized Coefficient |
|-------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1     |                            |                          |
| (Constant) | -2.474 | 0.666 |
| Transformational Leadership | 0.345 | 0.159 |
| Perceived Organizational Support | 0.515 | 0.143 |
| Workload | 0.688 | 0.167 |
| t | Sig | |
| -3.713 | 0.000 |
| 2.167 | 0.033 |
| 3.609 | 0.000 |
| 4.114 | 0.000 |

Dependent Variable: Turnover Intention

\[ Y = -2.474 + 0.345 \times X_1 + 0.515 \times X_2 + 0.688 \times X_3 + e \]
A value (constant) is -2.474, which means that if transformational leadership, perceived organizational support, and workload variables are not carried out properly and accordingly, the employee's desire to leave their jobs is quite major. The minus sign 2.474 in the constant indicates the intensity level of employees wanting to leave the company is currently high. This means that when other companies offer jobs that are more interesting to the employees, the employees will move. The way to fix high turnover intention is to make positive activities or influential independent factors.

Transformational leadership shows a positive regression coefficient of 0.345. The movement of a positive regression coefficient of 0.345 will make the negative constant value closer to positive so the turnover intention became low. So if the regression coefficient for the other independent variables is constant (unchanged), then the addition of the transformational leadership variable will lower the turnover intention. In short, if the leader implemented the transformational leadership variable properly, the employees' intention and desire to leave the job becomes low. They most likely choose to stay and continue to work in their current company.

Perceived Organizational Support shows a positive regression coefficient of 0.515. The movement of a positive regression coefficient of 0.515 will make the negative constant value closer to positive so the turnover intention became low. So if the regression coefficient for other independent variables is constant (unchanged), then the addition of perceived organizational support variable will lower the turnover intention rate. It means if perceived organizational support variable in employees is good, this will make the employee's desire to quit becomes low or they will remain loyal to the company and their job.

The workload shows a positive regression coefficient of 0.688. The movement of a positive regression coefficient of 0.688 will make the negative constant value closer to positive so the turnover intention became low. So if the regression coefficient for other independent variables is constant (unchanged), then the addition of workload variable (the more it fits the employee's ability) will lower the turnover intention rate. It means if the workload variable given to employees is appropriate and not excessive, this will make employees' desire to quit becomes low or they will remain loyal to the company and their job.

Hypothesis Test Results

The hypothesis test consists of three tests. They are a simultaneous significance test (F statistical test), an individual parameter significance test (t-test), and a determination coefficient test ($R^2$). The simultaneous significance test (F statistical test) is used to show whether all independent variables included in the model have a simultaneous effect on the dependent variable. If the probability or Sig value < 0.05 then the model is accepted and has a simultaneous effect on the dependent variable [47]. The results of this study found that the Sig value of 0.000 is less than 0, 05 and the calculated F value of 26.422 is greater than F table value (2.698). So it can be concluded that transformational leadership, perceived organizational support and workload have a simultaneous effect on turnover intention.

The individual parameter significance test (t-test) shows to which extent the effect of explanatory or independent variables individually in explaining the dependent variable variation [47]. The t table value is obtained from degree of freedom (df) = n – 2, 101 – 2 = 99. So the t table value obtained is 1.984.

1. Transformational leadership partially has a significant effect on turnover intention. This can be seen from calculated t value of 2,167 (greater than t table = 1,984) and sig value of 0,033 (less than 0,05).
2. Perceived organizational support partially has a significant effect on turnover intention. This can be seen from calculated t value of 3,609 (greater than t table = 1,984) and sig value of 0,000 (less than 0,05).
3. Workload partially has a significant effect on turnover intention. This can be seen from calculated t value of 4,114 (greater than t table = 1,984) and sig value of 0,000 (less than 0,05).

The determination coefficient test ($R^2$) is used to measure to which extent the model's ability to explain the dependent variable variation [47]. The results of this study indicate the value of Adjusted R Square is 0.433 or 43.3%, which means that the contribution of turnover intention can be explained by transformational leadership, perceived organizational support, and workload variables. And the remaining 56, 7% is described by other variables which were not examined in this study. They are compensation, career development, job satisfaction, and work environment.

Inter-Dimensional Correlation Matrix Test Results

Based on correlation results between the dimensions of transformational leadership and turnover intention variables, it is found that the greatest correlation value between the idealized influence dimension and thoughts of quitting dimension at 0.478. According to Siregar [48], the value of 0.478 is within a fairly strong relationship level category. While the value of the smallest correlation between the inspirational motivation dimension and the intention to quit dimension is 0.295 and is within a weak relationship level category.

Based on correlation results between the dimensions of perceived organizational support and turnover intention variables, it is found that the greatest
correlation value between organizational rewards and job conditions dimension with the desire to find another job dimension of 0.509. According to Siregar [48], the value of 0.509 falls into a fairly strong relationship category. Meanwhile, the smallest correlation value between the fairness dimension and the desire to find another job dimension of 0.223 and is within the weak relationship category.

Based on the correlation results between the dimensions of workload and turnover intention variables, it is found that the greatest correlation value between the mental workload dimension and wanting to leave dimension of 0.603. According to Siregar [48], the value of 0.603 falls into a strong category. Meanwhile, the smallest correlation value between the time load dimension and the desire to find another job dimension of 0.125 and is within the very weak relationship category.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusions

According to the hypothesis and analysis test results which have been explained, the conclusions are as follows:

1. According to the result of the correlation matrix test between the dimensions of transformational leadership and turnover intention variables, there has been a high correlation between the idealized influence dimension and the thoughts of quitting dimension. A low correlation was also found between inspirational motivation and the desire to leave dimensions. So, in short, there has been a significant effect of transformational leadership on turnover intention in one of sharia banking in Jakarta.

2. According to the result of the correlation matrix test between the dimensions of perceived organizational support and turnover intention variables, there has been a high correlation between organizational rewards and job conditions dimension with the desire to find another job dimension. A low correlation was also found between fairness and wanting to find another job dimensions. So, in short, there has been a significant effect of the perceived organizational support on turnover intention in one of sharia banking in Jakarta.

3. According to the result of the correlation matrix test between the dimensions of workload and turnover intention variables, there is a high correlation between mental workload and wanting to leave dimensions. A low correlation was also found between time load and wanting to find another job dimensions. So, in short, there has been a significant effect of workload on turnover intention in one of sharia banking in Jakarta.

4. There has been a significant effect of transformational leadership, perceived organizational support, and workload simultaneously or together on turnover intention in one of sharia banking in Jakarta.

Suggestions

According to the result of this study and the conclusions that have been described, the author proposes several suggestions that can be taken into consideration in lowering turnover intention:

1. Suggestions for transformational leadership affecting turnover intention
   a. The leaders can conduct small discussions or briefings that are administrated on a daily basis by providing directions and explanations of the work that employees must do and targets to be achieved.
   b. The leaders must always monitor and supervise employee's work progress and provide advice and evaluation of employee work.
   c. The company provides training programs such as the Leadership Development Program for the leaders on how to be good role models and have a positive impact on their employees.

2. Suggestions for perceived organizational support affecting turnover intention
   a. The company needs to make improvements by always providing regular training according to the employee's needs and the company opens promotion opportunities for all employees.
   b. Companies need to improve the safety of employees at work (such as tightening the security system by imposing insurance for all employees) and hold gatherings or outings with employees to reduce employee stress at work.

3. Suggestions for workload affecting turnover intention
   a. Companies need to make improvements to the existing work environment so it becomes more comfortable so employees can concentrate and enjoy their work properly without feeling the excessive burden.
   b. Companies can increase employees’ competence by improving and developing a training system so that employees are ready to face workload challenges.

4. Suggestions for the next researchers
   It is recommended for future researchers who want to examine regarding the variables used in this study, which are Transformational Leadership, Perceived Organizational Support, Workload, and Turnover Intention so that further research can develop other variables including compensation variables, work environment, career development, job satisfaction, and so on. Further researchers can also research different objects such as in manufacturing companies with more respondents in different research objects.
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