Pharmacognostic evaluation of *Artemisia maritima* L. a highly medicinal specie of genus *Artemisia*
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**A B S T R A C T**

The light and scanning electron microscopic observations were carried out for anatomical features of leaf, pollens and powder. Microscopic studies provide useful information for identification and authentication of adulteration in *A. maritima*. Nutritional analysis of *A. maritima* revealed that life fundamental macromolecules such as carbohydrates (49.63 %) crude proteins (13.17 %) and crude fibers (21.06 %) were present in sufficient quantity while crude fats (4.11 %) reported in low quantity. The life essential elements such as Mg (9.472 ± 0.011), Ca (4.152 ± 0.135) and Fe (4.112 ± 0.002) were found in high concentration while heavy metals reported under the safety threshold of WHO. These observations favored *A. maritima* an alternative of food. Appreciable quantity of phenolics (17.64 ± 0.574) and flavonoids (7.67 ± 0.069) were found while qualitatively active phytochemicals were reported.

The FTIR characterization of *A. maritima* crude powder revealed chromatogram in 3328.61 to 408.68 frequency range and 24 characteristic peaks on the basis of which different compounds of biological importance were classified. HPLC-UV technique quantified and identified six phenolic compounds morin, epigallocatechin gallate, catechin hydrate, ellagic acid, pyrogallol and rutin. Identification of compounds through GC–MS chromatogram revealed the presence of 46 compounds in methanolic fraction however 17 compounds of biological importance were selected.

In-vitro biological evaluation of *A. maritima* for antioxidant, antimicrobial, antidiabetic (12.61 ± 0.113 %) and cytotoxic activities (LC50 = 20 μg/ml) suggested that methanolic fractions exhibited the highest activity as compared to chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions. The MIC values of 10 or 15 mg/ml were recorded for most of the fungal pathogens. Antibacterial activity revealed 3.75 mg/ml of MIC values against *B. subtilis* and 1.87 mg/ml against *S. aureus, E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*. In-vivo biological evaluation revealed that maximum inhibition was observed for crude extract at 250 mg/kg body weight. The mechanism underlined in-vivo analgesic responses was carried out which revealed that naloxone (morphine and tramadol antagonist) showed no prominent effect while Glibenclamide pretreatment minutely modified the analgesic action. These observations clearly indicted the absence of opioid receptors and involvement of ATP sensitive potassium channels.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Among the angiosperm family Asteraceae is a largest family which includes over 20,000 species which are cosmopolitan in nature. Economically important genus Artemisia includes in family Asteraceae distributed along Europe, North America and Asia (Shehata et al., 2015). Artemisia is represented by well known 25 species in Pakistan (Zeb et al., 2019). Artemisia belongs to Anthemideae tribe (Nigam et al., 2019). The genus Artemisia of Anthemideae tribe has diverse biological and chemical contents and contains essential oil due to which current evaluations focused on phyto-constituent of this genus (Abad et al., 2012). Focused studies are required on Artemisia due to ecological, economic and species diversity (Vallée et al., 2003).

Decoction of A. maritima is important to cure intermittent fever (Ahmad et al., 2016). Leaf extraction of A. maritima is also used in Pakistan for skin diseases (Fahad and Bano, 2012). Artemisia maritima traditionally called “Zoon, Rooner and Tarkha” in the North-eastern area of Pakistan is used as anti-inflammatory, antimalarial and also antiseptic (Hayat et al., 2009). Artemisia campestris subsp. maritima have been evaluated for its antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and anti-rheumatic activities (Behmanesh et al., 2007). In the Sub-Continent of Indo-Pak the native communities and herbal industries generally face the difficulties in proper identification. They are made misguided and deal with completely different taxa (Khan et al., 2011). The raw materials used by the pharmaceutical industry and people are usually obtained from market, which may be contaminated, substituted or adulterated accidently or deliberately (Handa, 2004). The drug identification involves physical, chemical, biochemical and biological features (Alamgir, 2017). Present study designed to carry out various pharmacognostic features of A. maritima to differentiate and authenticate it on the basis of various physical, chemical, biochemicals, and biological pharmacognostic features.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant materials

Plant material shade dried after collected and was grounded using blender. An identified specimen was deposited to the herbarium of Islamia College Peshawar with voucher number ICP090618. Plant material of 2 kg was macerated in 10 L of methanol with occasional shaking was kept for one week. The extract was then filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 45. The filtrate obtained was evaporated in a rotary evaporator at 40 °C and about 50 g of methanolic crude extract was obtained. These processes were repeated twice. Crudemethanolic extract of (5 g) was stored in refrigerator at 2–4 °C for further use. The remaining extract was suspended with various organic solvents by their increasing polarity i.e. chloroform and ethyl acetate. All the fractions were dried using rotary evaporator. The dried fractions were stored at 4 °C in the refrigerator for future use (Jamil et al., 2012).

2.2. Chemicals and reagents

The chemical reagents used in proximate analyses were NaOH, H2SO4, HNO3, C25H30O16, KBr, column gradients system consists of solvent B (deionized water: methanol: acetic acid in the ratio of 180: 100: 20; v/v) and solvent C (water: methanol: acetic acid in the ratio of 80: 900: 20; v/v). Chemicals and reagents for in-vitro biological activities were (CH3)2SO, (DMSO), C14H2N3O6 (DPPH), C6H5O6 (Ascorbic acid), C6NaFeK3 (Potassium ferric cyanide), saubouraud dextrose agar (SDA), Turbinifine, Cefixime-USD, commercial sea salt, starch, iodine, α-amylase, KI (potassium iodide), C25H43NO18 (Acarbose). Chemicals and reagents for in-vivo biological activities were CH3COOH (Acetic acid), CH2O (Formalin), C16H25NO2 (Tramadol), C13H21NO4 (Naloxone).

2.3. Microscopic characterization

Microscopic characterization such as light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy was carried out using standard procedure as adapted by Singh et al. (2018), Khan and Khan (2020) using light microscope (Meiji MX5200H) and scanning electron microscope (JEOL-JSM 5910).

2.4. Proximate analysis (nutritional and elemental analysis)

Nutritional contents such as crude fat, crude protein, crude fiber and carbohydrate percentage were calculated by using method of Charles et al. (2005) as:

\[ \text{Crude fat (\% of D.M.)} = \frac{\text{initial weight} - \text{final weight}}{\text{initial weight}} \times 100 \]  

(1)

\[ \text{Crude protein (\%)} = \frac{\text{ml of 0.1 N Sulphuric acid used \times 0.1 (normality) \times 1.4007 \times dilution factor \times F}}{\text{Weight}} \]  

(2)

\[ \text{Crude Fiber (\%)} = \frac{W2 - W3}{W1} \times 100 \]  

(3)

\[ \text{Carbohydrate content} = 100 - (\% \text{ moisture} + \% \text{ protein} + \% \text{ crude lipid} + \% \text{ crude fiber} + \% \text{ ash}) \]  

(4)

Determination of total ash (%), dry matter, moisture and gross food energy were calculated by Sadia et al. (2014) using the relation as given:

\[ \text{Total Ash (\%)} = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100 \]  

(5)

\[ \text{Dry matter} = 100 - \% \text{ of moisture} \]  

(6)

\[ \text{Moisture (\%)} = \frac{(w2 - w3) \times 100}{w2 - w1} \times 100 \]  

(7)

\[ \text{Gross food energy (kcal \cdot 100 g} - 1) = 4 \times \text{protein} + 4 \times \text{carbohydrate} + 9 \times \text{fat} \]  

(8)

Elemental analysis was performed according to the standard procedure of Allen et al. (1974) with the help of Shimadzu AA-670 atomic absorption spectrophotometer using the relation: Nutrient cation in plants

\[ = \frac{\text{ppm in extract} - \text{blank}}{\text{W}} \times \frac{A}{W} \times \text{dilution factor} \]  

(9)

2.5. Phytochemical screening (qualitative and quantitative)

Qualitative screening of tannins, saponin, flavonoid, terpenoid and alkaloid were carried using Khan et al. (2010). Quantitatively total phenolic contents (TPC) and total flavonoid contents (TFC) Sakanaka et al. (2005).
2.6. Phytochemical characterization

The Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy analysis was performed following Deshmukh and Ghanawat (2020). HPLC-UV characterization and quantification were carried out according to Zeb (2015). The chemical investigations through gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC/MS) were carried out according to Jamil et al. (2012). Cytotoxic potential was carried out according to Meyer-Alber et al. (1992) by using the relation as:

\[
\% \text{Death} = \left( \frac{\text{Sample} - \text{control/control}}{\text{control}} \right) \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{enzyme inhibition} = \left( \frac{\text{ODx} - \text{ODy/ODz}}{\text{ODy}} \right) \times 100
\]

Whereas: ODx, ODy and ODz are absorbance of test samples, negative control and blank.

2.7. In-vitro biological evaluation

The DPPH free radical scavenging activity, total antioxidant capacity (TAQ) and total reducing power (TRP) was calculated according to Phull et al. (2017). In antifungal activity-seven fungal pathogenic strains e.g. Aspergillus niger, Fusarium solani, Aspergillus fumigatus, Mucor specie, Helminthosporium solani, Candida albicans and Aspergillus flavus were used. In antibacterial activity-four pathogenic strains were used including gram positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis) and gram negative (Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli) according to Jamil et al. (2012). Cytotoxic potential was carried out according to Meyer-Alber et al. (1992) by using the relation as:

\[
\% \text{Death} = \left( \frac{\text{Sample} - \text{control/control}}{\text{control}} \right) \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{enzyme inhibition} = \left( \frac{\text{ODx} - \text{ODy/ODz}}{\text{ODy}} \right) \times 100
\]

Whereas: ODx, ODy and ODz are absorbance of test samples, negative control and blank.

2.8. In-vivo biological evaluation

In-vivo biological analgesic activities such as writhing test by acetic acid and licking response by formalin according to Shoaib et al. (2019). Hot plate test was carried out according to Eidi et al. (2016). While mechanistic approach for the modulation of pain among all fractions and extracts carried out according to Muhammad et al. (2012).

3. Results

3.1. Microscopic characterization

\textbf{a. Foliar and pollen characterization}

The leaf and pollen anatomical features were studied out using light microscope and scanning electron microscope given in Table 1. Results were obtained in micro meter (μm) with ±SEM. The observations recorded are given in Fig. 1 and Fig. S1.

\textbf{b. Powder characterization}

Powder drug of \textit{A. maritima} were evaluated for different characters (Table S1). Organoleptic evaluation revealed yellowish brown colour, aromatic odour and slightly salty taste. The observations under light and scanning electron are given in Fig. S2.

3.2. Proximate analysis

\textbf{a. Nutritional analysis}

The evaluation of \textit{A. maritima} for nutritional analysis (in grams per 100 g dry weight) revealed good information for various nutritional components such as crude fats, crude proteins, crude fibers, ash contents, moisture contents and carbohydrates (Fig. S3).

\textbf{b. Elemental analysis}

Elemental analysis of different elements (Fig. S4) such as calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), potassium (K), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd) and chromium (Cr) were evaluated. The results revealed high concentration of Mg contents (9.47 ± 0.01) followed by Ca (4.15 ± 0.13) and Fe contents (4.11 ± 0.002). The current study showed that none of the heavy metals contents (Pb, Cd and Cr) reported in \textit{A. maritima} exceeded the safety threshold.

3.3. Phytochemical analysis

Qualitatively and quantitatively various phytochemical were determined and results have been shown in Table S2.

3.4. Phytochemical characterization

\textbf{3.4.1. The Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy}

The FTIR chromatogram from the crude powder of \textit{A. maritima} (Fig.S5) revealed 24 characteristic peaks and confirmed the presence of medicinally important functional groups (Table S3 identified from the literature) in the frequency range (3328.61 to 408.68).

\textbf{3.4.2. HPLC-UV characterization}

The HPLC-UV chromatogram (Fig. S6) of methanolic extracts of \textit{A. maritima} revealed identification and quantification of six com-

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{LM and SEM of leaf and pollen characters of \textit{A. maritima}.}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Foliar Characters (μm) ± SEM} & \textbf{Adaxial surface} & \textbf{Abaxial surface} & \textbf{Pollen Character (μm) ± SEM} & \textbf{Observations} \\
\hline
Length of epidermal cell & 11.4 ± 0.84 & 11.09 ± 1.74 & Polar Diameter & 3.3 ± 1.342 \\
Width of epidermal cell & 7.2 ± 0.72 & 5.98 ± 1.65 & Equatorial Diameter & 5 ± 0.997 \\
No. of epidermal cells & 53.4 ± 4.72 & 59.6 ± 7.98 & P/E Ratio & 0.67 ± 2.24 \\
Length of guard cells & 3.2 ± 0.38 & 2.58 ± 0.41 & Colpi Length & 3.314 ± 1.4 \\
Width of guard cells & 2.1 ± 0.41 & 1.7 ± 0.44 & Colpi Width & 2.56 ± 1.65 \\
No. of stomata & 13.4 ± 0.50 & 30.4 ± 8.11 & Exine Thickness & 1.17 ± 2.07 \\
Length of stomata & 11.3 ± 0.63 & 9.7 ± 0.80 & No of sterile pollen & 12.71 ± 5.50 \\
Width of stomata & 8.5 ± 0.84 & 7.98 ± 0.63 & No of fertile pollen & 5.143 ± 2.56 \\
Length of subsidiary cell & 12.8 ± 1.56 & 10.86 ± 1.91 & & \\
Width of subsidiary cells & 6.6 ± 1.90 & 6.3 ± 1.35 & & \\
Length of stomatal pore & 3.1 ± 0.36 & 2.68 ± 0.54 & & \\
Width of stomatal pore & 2.1 ± 0.25 & 1.88 ± 0.43 & & \\
Length of Trichome & N/A & 11.38 ± 3.22 & & \\
Width of Trichome & N/A & 3.24 ± 0.28 & & \\
Stomatal Index & 25.1 ± 5.8 & 51.1 ± 16.6 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Mean ± SEM (n = 7 number), LM = Light microscope and SEM = Scanning electron microscope.
Table 2
Identification and quantification of phenolic compounds in *A. maritima* extracts.

| No. of Peak | Retention time (min) | Phenolic compounds Identity | HPLC-UV *k*<sub>max</sub> (nm) | Peak Area of sample | Peak Area of standard | Concentration (µg/ml) | Identification Reference |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1          | 8                    | Epigallocatechin gallate     | 320                           | 61.796              | 7261.47              | 0.085                  | Reference Standard     |
| 2          | 12                   | Morin                       | 320                           | 77.093              | 20                   | 38.546                 | Reference Standard     |
| 3          | 16                   | Ellagic acid                | 320                           | 271.117             | 319.24               | 8.492                  | Reference Standard     |
| 4          | 20                   | Catechin hydrate            | 320                           | 22.426              | 78                   | 2.875                  | Reference Standard     |
| 5          | 22                   | Rutin                       | 320                           | 48.514              | 2241.2               | 0.216                  | Reference Standard     |
| 6          | 28                   | Pyrogallol                  | 320                           | 123.216             | 1.014                | 1215.147               | Reference Standard     |

Table 3
Ascorbic acid equivalent (% TRP, TAC and DPPH) antioxidant activity.

| Fractions | Antioxidant assay | TRP | TAC | DPPH |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|-----|------|
| Methanolic| 66.7 ± 0.311<sup>a</sup> | 44.02 ± 0.211<sup>a</sup> | 80.7 ± 0.097<sup>a</sup> |
| Chloroform| 54.4 ± 0.415<sup>b</sup> | 37.35 ± 0.368<sup>b</sup> | 79.087 ± 0.0489<sup>b</sup> |
| Ethyl acetate| 39.24 ± 0.144<sup>c</sup> | 16.43 ± 0.276<sup>c</sup> | 73.1 ± 0.036<sup>c</sup> |

Key: Values shown in the table are mean ± SE (n = 3). The means which share different superscript (a-c) letters in the columns are significantly (p < 0.05) different from each other.

3.5.2. Antifungal activities

Antifungal activities of *A. maritima* crude methanolic extracts and their subsequent fractions (ethyl acetate and chloroform) were performed using agar tube dilution method at a concentration of 15 mg/ml (Table 4). The statistically variable results (at P < 0.05) revealed that methanol and chloroform fractions were found effective. The most active fractions were evaluated for MIC value using disc diffusion method which revealed that most of the fungal strains were inhibited with MIC values of 10 or 15 mg/ml (Table S5).

3.5.3. Antibacterial activities

Antibacterial assay was performed against the selected bacterial strains and six concentrations viz. 0.937 mg/ml, 1.875 mg/ml, 3.75 mg/ml, 7.5 mg/ml, 15 mg/ml and 30 mg/ml of each samples were used. The results (Table 5) revealed that all the fractions were found to inhibit the growth of selected bacterial strains however methanolic fractions showed excellent activities at P < 0.05. More over, the active fractions were selected for MICs calculation the results are given in Table S6.

3.5.4. Cytotoxic activity

The methanolic extracts of *A. maritima* showed significant cytotoxic activity at all concentration with LC50 value of 20 µg/ml (Table S7).

3.5.5. Alpha-amylase anti-diabetic activity

In-vitro alpha-amylase enzyme inhibition activity of the extracts (Table S8) revealed highest enzyme inhibition (12.61 ± 0.113 %) for methanolic fractions.
3.6. In-vivo biological evaluation

Results from crude extracts and fractions revealed no mortality even at a maximum dose up to 1500 mg/kg (b.w) when orally administered. Hence, 125 and 250 mg/kg dose for crude extracts and 75 mg/kg for subsequent fractions were chosen to evaluate analgesic activities.

3.6.1. Writhing test by acetic acid

The results revealed a dose dependent pain relief and maximum inhibition (77.17%, P < 0.001, n = 8) for the crude extract of *A. maritima* (Am-Crd) at 250 mg/kg as compared to control (Diclofenac sodium) at a dose of 10 mg/kg (88.42%) (Fig. 2). Formalin test results of Phase-I and phase-II revealed dose-dependent inhibitory effect in mice with formalin induced nociception. The crude extracts (Am-Crd) at 250 mg/kg and ethyl acetate fractions at 70 mg/kg revealed significantly higher inhibition of the neurogenic and inflammatory phases when compared with control (morphine 5 mg/kg and indomethacin 10 mg/kg) (Fig. 3).

3.6.2. Hot plate test

The central analgesic effect of the samples is commonly assessed by Hot plate test. A significant inhibition was shown by crude extract (Am-Crd) at a dose of 250 and 125 mg/kg followed by fractions of ethyl acetate (Am-Et-75) and chloroform (Chf-75) as compared to the control group (tramadol and morphine) with the result of 80.45% (P < 0.001, n = 8) and 85.12% (P < 0.001, n = 8) (Fig. 4).

The crude extracts and fractions of chloroform and ethyl acetate exhibited an activity resembling more to that of morphine and tramadol, giving an idea towards the involvement of central mechanism. Therefore, in next step, a non-selective opioid antagonist (naloxone) was used in response of agonistic effects of morphine to find out the possible involvement of opioid receptor.

3.6.3. Involvement of opioid receptor

The possible involvement of opioid receptor was determined using hot plate test. A reversal of inhibitory potential was observed in animals pre-treated with naloxone. The results show that naloxone (morphine and tramadol antagonist) showed no prominent effect. This agonistic and antagonistic effect of morphine/tramadol and naloxone indicates the absence of possible involvement of opioid receptors (Table S9).

3.6.4. Involvement of ATP-sensitive K+ channel pathway

A possible role for ATP sensitive potassium channel in the analgesic effect of the plant extract and fractions was investigated. Glibenclamide pretreatment minutely modified the analgesic action of the tested samples. This observation suggests that ATP sensitive potassium channels are very partly involved in the analgesic action of the tested samples (Table S10).

4. Discussion

Medicinal plants faced issues related to adulteration of morphologically similar species (Ahmed et al., 2019). Genus *Artemisia* consist closely similar but morphologically different species which make *Artemisia* extremely difficult to identify correctly (Ghafoor 2002). The role of microscopy is highly important in the validation and identification of novel medicinal plants (Fatima et al., 2018). Pollen grains studies are extensively used in taxonomy for identification of different closely related flowering plants (Bahadur et al., 2019). The foliar epidermal features are used as an excellent diagnostic tool for the determination of taxonomic interaction in plants (Hussain et al., 2019). Microscopy of powder drugs helps

Table 5

Results of antibacterial activities (zone of inhibition in mm) of different fractions of *A. maritima*.

| Bacterial Strains | Gram positive bacteria | Gram negative bacteria | Phase I | Phase II |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------|
| Bacterium subtilis| Bacillus subtilis | Staphylococcus aureus | Escherichia coli | Pseudomonas aeruginosa |
| Conc. a | Conc. b | Conc. c | Conc. a | Conc. b | Conc. c | Conc. a | Conc. b | Conc. c |
| Disc diffusion method | Meth | CHl | E.a | PC1 +ve | PC2 +ve |
| Meth | 19 ± 1.41 a | 14.5 ± 0.70 bc | 13.5 ± 0.70 bc | 19.5 ± 0.70 |
| CHl | 17 ± 1.41 a | 13.5 ± 0.70 bc | 9.5 ± 0.70 c | 17.5 ± 0.70 |
| E.a | 15 ± 1.41 a | 11 ± 0 b | 6.5 ± 0.70 c | 15 ± 0.70 |
| PC1 +ve | 28.5 ± 0.70 | 26.5 ± 0.70 | 26.5 ± 0.70 | 26.5 ± 0.70 |
| PC2 +ve | 19.5 ± 0.70 | 17.5 ± 0.70 | 17.5 ± 0.70 | 17.5 ± 0.70 |

Key: PC1 and PC2 (positive control i.e. Cefixime & Roxithromycin) Conc (concentration). Values shown in the table are mean ± SE (n = 3). The means which share different superscript letters (a-c) in the columns are significantly (p < 0.05) different from each other.
in the authentication, identification and adulteration detection in
the herbal drugs (Soni et al., 2011). The present microscopic studies
of different parameters of A. maritima supported by previous stud-
ies such as; Hameed et al. (2020), Hussain et al. (2019),
Ivashchenko and Ivanenko (2017) and Hayat et al. (2010). Working
on the bark of different plants Singh et al. (2018), Xavier et al.
(2015) and Khan and Khan (2020) reported similar organoleptic
properties, tissues and cells. The current scheme of study is an easy
step to distinguish unadulterated from adulterated ones.

From nutritional point of view value of fats fall <2 % because it is
a macronutrient of less abundance. The protein level is usually 5 %
or above and varies in different fruits (Demir and Özcan, 2001). In
proximate analysis ash contents reveals the direct estimation of
total quantity of minerals in a specific sample (Younis et al.,
2016). The current study revealed that A. maritima contain suffi-
cient nutrient which are in agreement with (Younis et al., 2016),
Penuel et al. (2013) and Sadia et al. (2014). The proper mainte-
nance of physiological and biochemical functions of life need
proper amount of minerals (Pontieri et al., 2014). Human health
faces serious challenges and affecting globally due to the deficiency
of minerals (Subhani et al., 2015). The current study revealed that A.
maritima was found to contain higher concentration of Ca, Na, K
and Zn. Heavy metals quantified in the current study did not
exceeded permissible limits of WHO safety threshold.
According to Stanoevici et al. (2009) and Mustafa et al., (2010) methanolic fractions were found rich with total phenolic and total flavonoid contents similar results found in the current study. The quantity of phenolic contents reported in *A. maritima* main the current study parallel to those reported by Pereira et al. (2018), Dib et al. (2017), Megdiche-Ksouri et al. (2015), Ghilisi et al. (2016) and Al Jahid et al. (2016) with minor variations. Plants (herbs, vegetables, fruits) have a broad range of free radical scavenging constituents such as flavonoids, phenolic acids, tannins, alkaloids, terpenoids and other metabolites with high antioxidant activity (Krishnan et al., 2011). Different reports such as Pandey et al. (2017), Abiri et al. (2018), and Sharopov et al. (2020) confirmed the antioxidant status genus *Artemisia*. The antibacterial assays revealed that methanolic extracts and fractions of chloroform and ethyl acetate were found effective. The study such as Khan et al. (2014) and Steppen et al. (2014) reported greater antimicrobial activity. The findings of cytotoxic effects of *A. maritima* supported by previous work done of Pereira et al. (2018) and Abiri et al. (2018). The highest antidiabetic activity supported by findings of Chen et al. (2019) and Fatima et al. (2017). The *in-vitro* studies of the current studies provide details of different strains extracts and fractions which are different from the previous work done. Moreover they studied different species of *Artemisia*. These activities may be attributed to the active constituents present in *A. maritima*.

The abdominal constriction assay induced with acetic acid is helpful for finding out peripheral analgesic response (Neto et al., 2004). Formalin induced nociception is used to quantify the potentials of a substance to relieve continuous moderate pain produced (Oliveira et al., 2009). To find out the spinal pathways in the regulation of pain response the tail immersion test is used (Khatun et al., 2015). The opioid receptors are widely distributed in the peripheral and central nervous systems. In response to noxious stimuli the endogenous opioids activate the opioid receptors (Koneru et al., 2009). The cellular membrane glycoprotein are opioid receptors which are responsible to change the conduction of potassium (K⁺) and calcium (Ca⁺) ions (Du Pen et al., 2004). The study outcomes for possible mechanism of pain in agreement with the previous findings of Habib and Waheed (2013), (Afsar et al., 2013) and (Qing-Hu et al., 2015). Previous work done on different *Artemisia* species for analgesic response also reported dose dependent and solvent based activities such as; Hadi et al. (2014), Habib and Waheed (2013) and Ashok and Upadhayya (2013). The analgesic activities in the current study confirmed the traditional uses of genus *Artemisia* administered as pain with scientific basis as confirmed the existence of antinoceptive potentials of *A. maritima*.

According to Singh et al. (2010), spectroscopic techniques can be used in quality control of herbal medicines. The findings of current study for FTIR are supported by previous studies such as Kim et al., (2009). The compounds quantified and reported in GC–MS and HPLC analysis (pyrogallol and ellagic acid in high quantity) confirmed its active biological nature antioxidants, antimicrobial, cytotoxic, antidiabetic and analgesic from the literature such as Cynthia et al., (2018), Sampath et al. (2021), Mondal et al. (2020), Zheng et al. (2018), Savic et al. (2019), Gupta et al. (2019), Fatima et al. (2017) and Chen et al. (2018). Therefore, it is concluded that the presence of these compounds are responsible for the potentiomedical nature of the *A. maritima* and can be attributed to biological activities revealed by *A. maritima* in the current study.

5. Conclusion

Genus *Artemisia* is highly medicinal and well known for its medicinal and pharmacological value. The species of genus *Artemisia* are closely related therefore proper authentication and identification is highly important. This study was therefore focused on the microscopic characterization which revealed useful information for identification of the selected plant. Biological evaluation (In-vitro and In-vivo) revealed good results which concluded that *A. maritima* has an excellent potential to treat the diseases related to our performed experiments. These results also confirmed the ethno pharmacological uses of this species for different ailments. The phytochemical characterization provided useful information about the active constituents and biomolecules found in *A. maritima* which may be attributed to the observed biological potential. However, further experiments in this connection are needed to confirm the observed biological potential and also to isolate responsible compounds in pure state.
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