Distinct Adsorption Configurations and Self-Assembly Characteristics of Fibrinogen on Chemically Uniform and Alternating Surfaces including Block Copolymer Nanodomains
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ABSTRACT  Understanding protein–surface interactions is crucial to solid-state biomedical applications whose functionality is directly correlated with the precise control of the adsorption configuration, surface packing, loading density, and bioactivity of protein molecules. Because of the small dimensions and highly amphiphilic nature of proteins, investigation of protein adsorption performed on nanoscale topology can shed light on subprotein-level interaction preferences. In this study, we examine the adsorption and assembly behavior of a highly elongated protein, fibrinogen, on both chemically uniform (as-is and buffered HF-treated SiO2/Si, and homopolymers of polystyrene and poly(methyl methacrylate)) and varying (polystyrene-block-poly(methyl methacrylate)) surfaces. By focusing on high-resolution imaging of individual protein molecules whose configurations are influenced by protein—surface rather than protein—protein interactions, fibrinogen conformations characteristic to each surface are identified and statistically analyzed for structural similarities/differences in key protein domains. By exploiting block copolymer nanodomains whose repeat distance is commensurate with the length of the individual protein, we determine that fibrinogen exhibits a more neutral tendency for interaction with both polystyrene and poly(methyl methacrylate) blocks relative to the case of common globular proteins. Factors affecting fibrinogen—polymer interactions are discussed in terms of hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions. In addition, assembly and packing attributes of fibrinogen are determined at different loading conditions. Primary orientations of fibrinogen and its rearrangements with respect to the underlying diblock nanodomains associated with different surface coverage are explained by pertinent protein interaction mechanisms. On the basis of two-dimensional stacking behavior, a protein assembly model is proposed for the formation of an extended fibrinogen network on the diblock copolymer.
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Human fibrinogen (Fg) is a protein with a highly elongated shape and composed of three interweaved polypeptide chains of Aα, Bβ, and γ that are connected together by 29 disulfide bonds. The structures of the 340 kDa dimeric protein were first imaged by electron microscopy (EM), revealing the molecular length of 47.5 nm with roughly spherical D and E domains.1 Later, more complex depictions of Fg such as 45 nm-long heptanodular and octanodular models were reported on the basis of EM and crystallographic observations.2–6 In more recent years, atomic force microscopy (AFM) operated in air/liquid has been extensively used to visualize directly Fg in less invasive sampling conditions involving a biological buffer with no need of staining, crystallization, or vacuum drying.7–24 The use of surface-sensitive AFM techniques has been demonstrated for structural investigations of Fg adsorbed on surfaces such as mica, highly oriented pyrolytic graphite, gold, and glass.10–21 In some of those cases, original substrates have been further treated with modifying layers such as silane, poly-L-lysine, and self-assembled monolayer compounds before Fg adsorption.7–9,13,18 AFM has also been extensively employed to obtain force—distance relationships of Fg interacting with various surfaces.22–24 In this paper, we focus our discussions on high-resolution structural investigations of surface-bound Fg using AFM.
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Although variations in the protein length, width, and height are reported depending on the underlying substrates and sampling conditions, a trinodular Fg conformation is predominantly reported in the aforementioned AFM studies. In contrast, the more complex Fg conformations previously reported as the hepta- and octanodular models have rarely been identified via AFM, and therefore, their topographic profiles have not been systematically examined in previous AFM studies. Protein imaging parameters such as the size of AFM scan, the surface loading degree of Fg, and the choice of substrates for Fg adsorption can affect the successful identification of topographic details on the single protein and subprotein level. High-resolution structural imaging can be valuable in identifying new protein configurations and protein–surface interaction preferences. Therefore, more AFM efforts with the parameters optimized for imaging individual proteins are warranted to resolve fine topographic features within a single protein. In addition, statistical analyses of the resolved features are needed for the meaningful comparison and correlation of newly observed protein configurations via AFM with the chemical/physical properties of the substrates.

One of the major biomedical significances of Fg pertains to its role in thrombosis and how it affects blood coagulation and adhesion of platelets to biomaterial surfaces. Polymers are increasingly employed as promising alternatives to existing metallic and ceramic biomaterials as they offer a wide variety of chemical structures and properties. In addition, the application of polymers as coating layers of existing biomaterials has been steadily growing to improve the biocompatibility of metals and ceramics. As such, Fg adsorption studies on polymers whose surfaces are seldom explored in previous AFM investigations of the plasma protein may be highly beneficial to the field of polymer-based biomaterial design and development.

Herein, we carry out topographical examinations to identify surface-dependent Fg conformations at the single protein and subprotein level. Our efforts in this paper focus on high-resolution structural investigations of individual Fg molecules whose configurations are influenced by protein–surface interactions rather than protein–protein interactions in most cases. We then obtain statistical data on Fg subdomain dimensions on both chemically uniform and alternating surfaces. On the basis of high-resolution AFM imaging, we describe in detail the dominant configurations of Fg molecules on five different surfaces that are silicon- and polymer-based. We then compare the preferred protein conformations on each surface on the basis of protein–surface interaction mechanisms.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

AFM data presented in Figure 1A–D display substrate-dependent, conformational variations of Fg upon adsorption onto the chemically uniform surfaces of n-SiO2 (Figure 1A), m-SiO2 (Figure 1B), PS (Figure 1C), and PMMA (Figure 1D). A total of 20 μg/mL Fg is used for 3 min deposition onto the two silicon-based
surfaces, whereas 5 μg/mL of Fg is deposited for 5 min on the two homopolymer-based surfaces. Figure 1E,F shows schematic representations of Fg based on what have been reported earlier in the literature.\textsuperscript{1,6,42} The two commonly discussed Fg models are depicted as the trinodular model in Figure 1E and the complex model in Figure 1F. In the trinodular model portrayed in Figure 1E, Fg consists as three spherical nodules embodying the two D as well as the E domains, and the spherical nodules are linked by coiled-coil connectors.\textsuperscript{1} The middle nodule of E is smaller in size than those of D at the ends. The connector cable is reported to be 0.8—1.5 nm in diameter and 16 nm in length.\textsuperscript{1} In the complex model outlined in Figure 1F, Fg displays heptanodular domains. In this case, each D domain is divided into two lobes stemming from the independent folding of β and γ chains that are shaped into the βC and γC lobes, respectively.\textsuperscript{2,3,6} A small, non-helical globular domain, serving as a binding site to plasmin, is also included in each coiled-coil arm of the complex model. The α chain is longer than the β and γ chains and extends toward the center of the molecule from the βC and γC lobes, forming a globular αC domain at its C-terminus. Experimental conditions such as pH and certain ion species can affect the degree of the physical separation between the C-termini of the α chains and the E domain via the formation of disulfide bonds between the two.\textsuperscript{42}

From all Fg on n-SiO\textsubscript{2} in our AFM measurements, three distinct nodes encompassing the D and E domains are unambiguously observed, see Figure 1A. The overall adsorption configuration resembles the trinodular model rather than the complex model. However, no connector cables are visible in our measurements between the three nodules of Fg on n-SiO\textsubscript{2}. This is similar to many previous AFM results reporting similar trinodular Fg shapes on different surfaces.\textsuperscript{9,11,16,19,43} The two models with connector cables in Figure 1E,F are based on EM observations. For EM inspections carried out under vacuum, Fg plates are typically prepared on substrates such as thin carbon films using a high pressure spray gun containing Fg in a volatile buffer mixed with glycerol.\textsuperscript{1,6,42} Subsequently, metal (Pt, Pd, or W) shadowing of the protein is carried out in a vacuum evaporator to increase contrast in electron density. On the other hand, Fg samples for AFM imaging under ambient conditions are usually prepared in physiologically relevant buffers. While these differences in protein sample preparation may result in the morphological disparity involving the connector cable regions observed by AFM and EM, the expected conformations of surface-confined Fg in actual biomaterial applications will mimic what are observed by AFM more closely.

Adsorption onto m-SiO\textsubscript{2} leads to complete unraveling of protein chains for the majority of the surface-bound Fg molecules. Remnants of denatured Fg are frequently observed on m-SiO\textsubscript{2} surface and the typical topographic panels of completely unraveled Fg are provided in Supporting Information, Figure S1. Buffered HF treatment used to prepare m-SiO\textsubscript{2} surface can result in partial fluorination of silicon atoms by forming (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SiF\textsubscript{6},\textsuperscript{44} and it is well-known that Fg adsorption onto fluorinated polymeric surfaces is greatly discouraged.\textsuperscript{45,46} Our AFM observation of Fg on SiO\textsubscript{2}-based surface agrees with these indications of fluorinated surfaces resisting protein adsorption. The number of adsorbed Fg is significantly reduced on m-SiO\textsubscript{2} to approximately 5% of those found on n-SiO\textsubscript{2}. For the intact Fg population, only the nodules centering at the D domains are observed on m-SiO\textsubscript{2}. The two D nodules of the intact Fg are connected by a thin connecting
thread. In contrast to Fg on n-SiO₂, virtually no node is identified around the E domain, rendering a binodal configuration, Figure 1B. The binodal shape may be an indication of the denaturation process prone to Fg on m-SiO₂. The swollen nodal features of the D domains may be caused by this unraveling of the protein chains. Further chain unfolding may lead to complete denaturing of one or both D domain. The latter is evidenced by a small population of Fg molecules on m-SiO₂ that are intact with only one of the two D domains accompanied by a short tail of thin lines. A typical example of Fg undergoing this chain unraveling process is marked with an arrow in Figure 1B.

Fg on PS homopolymer in Figure 1C reveals a more elongated shape with 13 ± 0.5% longer than the average length of Fg on PMMA. Fg on PS exhibits a larger number of smaller nodes than what are observed on other chemically uniform surfaces. Both the nodes at the D and E positions are much narrower and thinner in size than those measured on n-SiO₂, m-SiO₂, and PMMA. Connector cables between different nodes are clearly identified from Fg on PS. The elongated conformation and the frequent appearance of small nodes may be attributed to the presence of the αC chains/nodules and plasmin-sensitive sites, similar to what are depicted in the complex model. The typical Fg configuration on the other chemically uniform PMMA surface is shown in Figure 1D. Fg chooses quite different conformations on PMMA when compared to those on PS and the binodal conformation is observed from the majority of Fg population on PMMA, resembling the protein configuration on SiO₂. Similar to those on n-SiO₂, no connector threads between the three nodes are observed from Fg on PMMA. However, when comparing the same protein domains between the two surfaces, the spherical nodules around the D and E domains become smaller in size on PMMA than those on SiO₂. At the same time, the domain size difference between the D and E is larger on n-SiO₂ than that measured between the two domains on PMMA. To recap the preferred conformations of adsorbed Fg on the chemically uniform surfaces, the protein molecules display a binodal configuration on m-SiO₂, a trinodal shape on n-SiO₂ and PMMA, and a more complex configuration on PS. The amount of Fg on the four chemically uniform surfaces is found to be the highest to lowest in the order of PS > PMMA > n-SiO₂ > m-SiO₂.

To elucidate the differences in Fg configurations on polymeric surfaces with nanoscale chemical homogeneity, Fg adsorption characteristics are further investigated on the PS-b-PMMA nanodomains. PS-b-PMMA diblock copolymer nanodomains form upon thermal annealing above its glass transition temperature in an Ar atmosphere. This phase separation process results in the self-assembly of a chemically alternating, striped surface of PS and PMMA nanodomains whose surface structures mimic fingerprint patterns. The nanodomains exhibit a repeat spacing of 45 nm while presenting both polymer blocks to the air/polymer interface.32,35 This repeat distance is measured along the short axis of the patterns from PS to PS nanodomains (or PMMA to PMMA) and commensurate with the length scale of individual Fg molecules. The persistence length scale of the nanostripes along the long axis of nanodomains is related to the domain curvature which is much larger than the length of a single Fg. A low Fg concentration of 5 μg/mL is deposited for 20 s on PS-b-PMMA nanodomains. The highest amount of Fg is found on PS-b-PMMA among the five substrates used in this study.

On the periodically repeating polymeric nano-templates consisting of PS (darker areas in AFM panels) and PMMA (lighter areas) blocks, two orientations are dominantly adopted by Fg as displayed in Figure 2. Adsorption events of the two dominant arrangements on PS-b-PMMA are profiled inside the white and black dotted circles in the overlaid topography and phase AFM images of Figure 2A. The first adsorption case groups Fg molecules with the main (D-E-D) axis oriented perpendicular to the long axis of the underlying, striped nanodomains. In this case, the protein adsorption engages both PMMA and PS phases. The second adsorption case yields Fg molecules with the main axis oriented within a single polymeric phase of the PS nanodomain. We define these two Fg adsorption conformations as two phase (TP) and single phase (SP) orientations. The zoomed-in AFM data in Figure 2B clearly display individual Fg molecules taking the TP and SP orientations in the left and right panels, respectively. The ratio of the adsorption frequencies between the two orientations is approximately TP:SP = 40:60%.

The adsorption characteristics of the elongated Fg, especially in the TP orientation, are quite different from what was previously observed from globular proteins on the same types of polymeric surfaces. We and others have previously reported strong adsorption preferences of proteins such as immunoglobulin G (IgG),35-47 bovine serum albumin (BSA),35,37,47 fibronectin (Fn),37 horseradish peroxidase (HRP),39 mushroom tyrosinase (MT),38 and protein G (PG)35 on the PS block. In the earlier studies carried out by Kumar et al. and Lau et al., their adsorption onto PS-b-PMMA nanodomains occurs exclusively to the PS domains and the PMMA domains are left completely devoid of the proteins below a monolayer surface coverage.35-38,47 In contrast to the strongly biased adsorption of the globular proteins, adsorption of Fg on PS-b-PMMA tends to be shared by the PS and PMMA blocks.

On the diblock copolymer nanodomains, Fg exhibits an elongated length along its main axis and small multiple nodes on its body, signifying the presence
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of aC chains. In both TP and SP, Fg adsorption onto PS-b-PMMA nanodomains leads to configurations analogous to the complex model of Figure 1F, yielding results similar to the PS case. In TP, the center E domain of Fg lies in the middle of a PMMA domain, positioning the protein main axis vertical to the polymer nanodomain direction. The two D domains at the protein ends are found in the neighboring PS domains. In this adsorption geometry, aC chains are kept spread apart from the center E domain rather than folding toward it. The C-termini of the a chains are placed near the PMMA domains closest to either end of the protein. In SP, the center E domain is located at an interfacial region of PS:PMMA, instead of the middle of a PMMA domain as found in the TP case. In SP, the protein adapts a bow-shaped curvature while keeping a large section of the Fg main body aligned along the long axis of the underlying nanodomain at the PS:PMMA interface. At the same time, a portion of the entire aC chain folds back toward the protein center in SP, instead of spreading away from the main axis of the protein as in TP. This folding of aC chain proteins on both ends in SP leads to fuller topographic profiles along the main body of Fg than in the TP case. The bent configurations of Fg in both TP (the bend at the aC chains/domains) and SP (the bow-shaped curvature) are similar to EM and X-ray results in that the subdomains of Fg are not located collinearly and a small bend near the two distal end domains gives a better fit than the colinear model in simulating those experimental data.3

It is not yet clear what factors control the TP and SP orientations of Fg upon adsorption onto PS-b-PMMA. When the protein deposition conditions such as the pH, concentration, and the presence of ions are kept the same in our experimental conditions, factors related to the underlying polymeric templates may dictate the occurrence likelihood of the two orientations rather than those associated with proteins. Fg with the TP orientation tends to appear more on the nanostripe areas of high curvatures as shown in the left panel of Figure 2A, whereas the SP orientation in the right panel of Figure 2A is preferred by regions with straighter nanodomains. In addition, we observe that the SP orientation is favored as the protein concentration is increased. The concentration-dependent adsorption behavior will be discussed later in detail with regard to self-assembly of Fg molecules into a large network.

All our AFM data presented in this paper were collected in ambient conditions after gentle N2 drying of the proteins. AFM imaged in air, the configurations and conformations of the proteins observed in this study will closely mimic the conditions of proteins spotted onto polymeric surfaces of protein microarrays/chips and the environments of proteins linked to silicon-based surfaces in lab-on-a-chip protein detection devices. Therefore, our efforts can be beneficial in developing next-generation nanoprotein arrays and solid-state protein detection devices while providing fundamental insight onto nanoscale adsorption behavior of proteins. It is not clear how proteins adsorb from the solution phase to the surface phase of different polymeric blocks. In buffer, proteins will expose more of their hydrophilic regions to the exterior while burying hydrophobic cores inside. Hence, the initial adsorption of proteins onto PS-b-PMMA is likely to be on the PMMA domains followed by migration to PS. This migration may happen sometime after the
initial adsorption while still in buffer or during the drying process. When considering the typical translational diffusion coefficient of Fg $2 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s (in water at 37 °C), Fg can move the characteristic repeat distance of the underlying PS-b-PMMA domains (45 nm) approximately in 20 ms. Although the time scale of Fg adsorption onto the polymeric surfaces is determined to be relatively fast in our experiments as several tens of seconds to several minutes, the diffusion-based time scale provides enough opportunities for Fg to adsorb and migrate to the nearby polymeric nanodomains of more preferred interactions after protein chain unfolding. Work to elucidate the extended process of solution to surface adsorption and rates of Fg adsorption/desorption is currently under progress.

Driving forces such as van der Waals (dispersion), electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic/hydrophilic interactions can affect protein adsorption onto surfaces. Table 1 lists water contact angle values for the five substrates used for Fg adsorption to compare their hydrophobicity characteristics. We have previously reported the important role of hydrophobic/hydrophilic interactions in various adsorption cases of globular proteins onto polymeric surfaces by carrying out control experiments to rule out the effect of the other forces. Similar to the case of globular proteins, hydrophobicity of the underlying substrate seems to play an important role in the adsorption of the highly anisotropically shaped Fg. In our combined AFM and contact angle analysis, Fg conformations analogous to the trinodular and complex model are expected on surfaces with lower and higher hydrophobicity, respectively. This tendency agrees with the general trend for Fg conformations reported previously in different hydrophobic and hydrophilic systems. For example, when H$_2$SO$_4$/H$_2$O$_2$-treated SiO$_2$ and muscovite mica were used as substrates, trinodular Fg conformations similar to what we have observed on n-SiO$_2$ and PMMA were detected. On the other hand, on a titanium oxide surface with a water contact angle of greater than 85°, a more complex morphology of Fg similar to what we have identified on PS and on PS-b-PMMA was reported.

From the adsorption results gathered in the TP case, interaction preferences of each protein subdomain in a single Fg molecule can be deduced with respect to the hydrophobicity/philicity of the two polymeric blocks in PS-b-PMMA. Two factors in the TP arrangement permit the direct correlation of interaction preferences between the protein subdomains and the polymeric blocks in PS-b-PMMA. The first is contributed by the nanoscale diblock copolymer template with chemical heterogeneity on the size scale comparable to the protein length. The second factor is attributed to the fully elongated αC-D-E-D-αC conformation of Fg in TP with minimized folding or collapsing of protein chains onto themselves. This unique configuration enables an unambiguous identification of each protein subdomain and its position with respect to PS and PMMA. Our AFM results of Fg in TP indicate that E domains favor the hydrophilic PMMA, whereas D domains prefer the more hydrophobic PS. They also suggest that the plasmin-sensitive domains prefer the amphiphilic interfacial areas defined by PS:PMMA and the bulk of the αC chains favors the PS block whereas the αC domains show a preference to PMMA.

The isoelectric points of PS and PMMA are 3.5–5 and 2–4, respectively. At the Fg adsorption condition of pH 7.4, both polymer surfaces have negative charges. PMMA blocks will exhibit more negative character than PS blocks due to the lower pl values. The net charges of each protein subdomain under this pH condition are provided in Figure 2D. The experimental observations made in the TP case of Fg can be reasoned from the electrostatic interaction point of view. When considering the D and E domains of Fg, PMMA blocks will be preferred by the E domains as

### Table 1. Water Contact Angle and Surface Roughness Values of the Five Substrates Assessed for Fg Adsorption

| Surface       | Chemical Structure | Isoelectric Point (pI) | H$_2$O contact angle via 0/2 method | Roughness (nm) |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Silicon-based surface |                   |                        |                                   |                |
| n-SiO$_2$     | SiO$_2$-Si$^{3+}$  | 3.9$^{44}$             | 29°                               | 0.09 0.11      |
| m-SiO$_2$     | (NH$_4$)$_2$SiF$_6$ | 5.8$^{34,42}$         | 58°                               | 0.13 0.16      |
| Polymer-based surface |                   |                        |                                   |                |
| PMMA          |                    | 2.4$^{45}$             | 70°                               | 0.30 0.38      |
| PS-b-PMMA     |                    |                        |                                    |                |
| PS            |                    | 3.5$^{56}$             | 92°                               | 0.23 0.29      |

* $R_a$ and $R_q$ correspond to the average roughness and root mean square average roughness, respectively. (a) A network of thin SiO$_2$ is formed natively on top of a Si wafer. (b) Buffered HF disrupts the extended network of tetrahedral Si(–O)$_4$ network in the SiO$_2$ layer and forms (NH$_4$)$_2$SiF$_6$. (c) The pI value is from a direct HF treatment$^{54}$ (dipped into a 1:50 HF/deionized water (DI) solution for 15 s followed by a 1 min DI rinse) instead of a buffered HF etch.
they exhibit a less net negative charge \((-2\) for the E domain versus \(-4\) for the D domain). Between the \(\alpha C\) chains and the main body of Fg, the negatively charged PS domain will tolerate \(\alpha C\) chains displaying net positive charges more than the negatively charged main body of the protein. These tendencies agree with the protein configuration in TP where the E domain lies in the middle of PMMA, while the \(\alpha C\) arms are located in PS. The bow shaped Fg configuration in SP may be also reasoned from electrostatic interactions. When \(\alpha C\) chains are folded onto the main body of the protein as in the SP arrangement, the overlapping of protein chains will lead to partial cancellation of the net negative charges of the Fg main body by the net positive charges of the \(\alpha C\) chains. Specifically, the net negative charges on the D domain \((-4\) and the coiled-coil region \((-3\) will be offset mainly by the net positive charge of the \(\alpha C\) chain \((+1)\). On the other hand, the E domain can cancel out their charges more effectively than the D domain via its domain-overlapping with the C-terminus of the \(\alpha C\) chain \((-2\) and \(+2,\) respectively). In this case, the amphiphilic PS:PMMA interfacial regions may be able to attract the charge-neutralized Fg domains effectively. The characteristic shape of Fg molecules commonly observed in the SP configuration may stem from the Fg adsorption preference onto the PS:PMMA interfacial regions.

The surface-dependent morphological variations of Fg are catalogued by performing statistical AFM line analysis of the key domains on approximately 100 individual Fg molecules adsorbed on each surface. The average surface roughness values of the underlying substrates measured before protein deposition are provided in Table 1. The AFM roughness measurements indicate that silicon-based surfaces (roughness less than \(2\) Å) are slightly smoother than the polymer-based platforms (\(2-4\) Å). Figure 3 displays the protein height and diameter histograms of the D and E domains as well as the histograms for the D-E-D domain length. The average values of the key Fg domains are summarized along with their standard deviations in Figure 4. The reported D-E-D length in Figures 3 and 4 does not include that of \(\alpha C\) chains. The height of the D domain is found to be the highest on \(m\)-SiO\(_2\) whereas the diameters of both the D and E domains are the largest on \(n\)-SiO\(_2\). Between polymeric surfaces, the heights of the D and E domains are measured to be similar to one another when comparing the topological profiles of the same Fg domains. When not including \(\alpha C\) chains, the protein length is longer on the silicon-based surfaces than on polymeric surfaces. However, when the full length of Fg is considered including the extended \(\alpha C\) chains, the most elongated conformation of Fg is found on PS and the second longest on PS-b-PMMA. As discussed earlier regarding hydrophobic protein interaction mechanisms, the statistical length data in Figures 3 and 4 indicate that the more elongated Fg configurations are expected on polymeric surfaces with a greater hydrophobic character. A body of earlier work by Wertz et al.
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has reported extended Fg footprint on a hydrophobic than hydrophilic surface.\textsuperscript{57–59} When bovine Fg adsorption was studied in these efforts via total internal reflectance fluorescence on OH- and C16-surfaces with their respective contact angle values of 54–56° and 109–111°, it was also found that Fg footprint was larger on the more hydrophobic surface.\textsuperscript{57–59} While these studies provide valuable insights into the adsorption behavior Fg molecules as an ensemble on hydrophobic versus hydrophilic surfaces, our AFM results compliment these earlier work from the adsorption perspective of individual Fg molecules on nanoscale surfaces.

In addition to Fg adsorption at the concentration yielding a low protein number density on the surface of PS-b-PMMA as seen in Figure 2, surface assembly characteristics of the protein in intermediate and high concentration regimes are also examined. Under the deposition condition of 20 s with an intermediate concentration of 20 \( \mu g/mL \), SP arrangements of Fg dominate the adsorption events on PS-b-PMMA as displayed in Figure 5. The majority of the protein molecules under this condition is confined to the PS regions of PS-b-PMMA, and TP adsorption events involving both PMMA and PS domains are significantly reduced. Fg molecules in the SP case can exhibit either a perpendicular \( (SP_{\perp}) \) or parallel \( (SP_{\parallel}) \) orientation on the PS phase with respect to the long axis of the polymeric nanodomains. Typical Fg configurations observed from the two cases of \( SP_{\perp} \) and \( SP_{\parallel} \) are depicted in the diagram shown in Figure 2C. The frequency ratio between the TP:SP adsorption events is 25:75%. The occurrence ratio between the perpendicular and parallel orientations in SP is \( SP_{\perp}:SP_{\parallel} = 20:80\% \).

When increasing the Fg concentration further to 50 \( \mu g/mL \) for the same deposition time, the SP adsorption event shows the same growing trend over TP and the frequency of the perpendicular orientation rises among Fg molecules taking the SP configuration. At this concentration, the ratio between TP:SP changes to 20:80\%, while the occurrence ratio between the perpendicular and parallel orientations in SP switches to \( SP_{\perp}:SP_{\parallel} = 35:65\% \). The exact source of the preferred SP adsorption to TP under the increased protein concentration still needs to be determined. It is likely that SP arrangements may be due to more dominant influence of the hydrophobic interactions between Fg and PS over the electrostatic interactions associated with the net charges of Fg subdomains and the PS and PMMA phases. For systems involving a larger number of proteins, the free energy of the surface-bound proteins can be effectively lowered by driving many protein molecules to the more hydrophobic phase of PS than to PMMA, although such processes may result in electrostatic energy penalties. When taking both the protein and surface into consideration, the electrostatic penalty in SP is due to the tendency for \( \alpha C \) domains/chains to prefer PMMA to PS. However, from the electrostatic considerations of solely the protein not including the polymeric substrate, Fg assembled in SP configuration can minimize the electrostatic repulsions from different protein subdomains more
effectively than TP. In SP, the net negative charges along the main body of Fg are neutralized by the net positive charges of the \( \alpha C \) domains placed spatially in close proximity. A more neutralized net charge of Fg can be realized in the \( \alpha C \) arm-folded SP. When the number of adsorbed proteins increases in PS-b-PMMA, this minimization of the electrostatic repulsion between Fg molecules themselves in SP may enable dense assembly and packing of Fg molecules close to one another on the surface.

A full surface coverage of Fg on all available PS domains of PS-b-PMMA is obtained when the protein concentration is further increased to 100 \( \mu g/mL \). We define this surface loading condition as the monolayer-forming state. Assembled protein patterns under this condition are shown in the topography and phase AFM images in Figure 6 which faithfully reproduce the shape of the underlying polymeric nanodomains. From the physical size of Fg and the available PS area in PS-b-PMMA, we determine that this protein deposition condition yields a loading density of 0.94 mg/m\(^2\). Although it is difficult to discern individual Fg molecules at this surface coverage, several interesting observations are made from the self-assembled network of Fg that are different from the previously reported, monolayer-forming patterns of the globular protein, IgG.\(^{35,37,41} \) Two IgG proteins tend to pack side by side along the minor axis of the polymeric nanodomain on PS.\(^{35} \) In contrast, the monolayer patterns of Fg on PS-b-PMMA contain lobes that typically appear as triplets along the short axis of the nanodomain. In addition, periodically spaced, thin lines spanning over PMMA domains are identified. The lines connect two closest Fg lobes, each lying on different PS domains. Such structures that have not been observed before in the globular protein self-assembly are discussed in detail in the following section.

Figure 7A displays a contrast-adjusted topography image to show only the triplet Fg lobes packed on the PS domains. Three distinctive regions of lobes are observed parallel to the long axis of the polymeric nanodomain, forming a chain of Fg lobes between two neighboring PS domains. The model displays a close-packing geometry of bow-shaped Fg molecules in perpendicular rather than parallel orientation with respect to the long axis of the polymeric nanodomain.
than parallel orientation with respect to the long axis of the PS-b-PMMA nanodomain. When the AFM image contrast is restored to show topological features appearing on both polymeric domains, a new topological feature of thin lines traversing over the PMMA domains is clearly visible as displayed in Figure 7B. Fg lobes located on the PS domains on either side of the PMMA are connected via these lines. The magnified 2D and 3D images of Figure 7CD display the periodically appearing linkers. They may be attributed to the αC chains donated from each side by a pair of Fg molecules, each Fg lying on two neighboring PS domains. Packed this way on PS-b-PMMA, the free energy of surface-bound Fg may benefit from both standpoints of hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions. The main bodies of packed protein molecules are located on the PS domains for maximizing hydrophobic interactions. In addition, αC chains from each Fg pair are unfolded away from the main body of Fg and placed over the PMMA domains, which is more electrostatically favored than having the αC chains folded on PS. AFM section measurement is performed on the periodic linker lines marked with a white dashed line in Figure 7C and a series of white arrows in Figure 7D. The results in Figure 7E reveal that the linker lines exhibit a repeat spacing of 18.8 nm. The characteristic Fg assembly patterns on PS-b-PMMA may be explained by a surface packing model hypothesized in the schematic representation shown in Figure 7F. The suggested model is based on our AFM topographic results exhibiting the typical appearance of triplet lobes along the short axis of the PS nanodomain. The two close-packing scenarios in the parallel and perpendicular orientations of bow-shaped Fg molecules can lead to two and three chains of lobes along the long axis of the polymer nanodomain, respectively. In the assembly model suggested in Figure 7F, the bow-shaped Fg molecules surface-pack on the PS domains via the latter scenario and form a stack of bows whose major protein axis is oriented perpendicular to the long axis of the polymeric nanodomain. The linker lines are established between the D domains of Fg on a PS domain and another closely located D domains from a different Fg on a neighboring PS domain.

Figure 8 displays topography panels of surface-bound Fg on the three polymeric surfaces of PS, PMMA, and PS-b-PMMA when Fg concentrations of 1000 μg/mL for PS and PMMA and 500 μg/mL for PS-b-PMMA are used for 20 s deposition. We estimate that the respective Fg deposition conditions lead to the protein surface density of 1.6, 1.2, and 1.8 mg/m² on PS, PMMA, and PS-b-PMMA, respectively. Figure 8A,B shows typical topographic panels of Fg adsorbed on PS and PMMA homopolymer, respectively, whereas Figure 8C,D has the adsorption results on the PS-b-PMMA nanodomains. The number density of surface-bound proteins is highest to lowest in the order of PS-b-PMMA, PS, and PMMA similar to the surface density order reported for the globular protein of IgG. Fg forms a dense network on the PS-b-PMMA surface and the striped patterns defined by the underlying polymeric nanodomains are no longer clearly identifiable in Figure 8C. Bigger grains and interlacing strings appear on the entire surface at this concentration, instead of the smaller lobes seen in Figure 7. It is likely that the grains are formed by a cluster of layered lobes. As profiled in Figure 8E, AFM line analysis on these grains in the two directions of the blue and red arrows marked in Figure 8D shows a shorter and longer, periodic spacing of 20 and 40 nm, respectively. These repeat distances are correlated with the intermolecular distances of Fg in two orthogonal directions in the assembly model presented in Figure 8F. In this model, the short repeat distance is attributed to the spacing between two Fg molecules adsorbed on the same PS domain, whereas the gap between the periodically arranged Fg molecules on two different PS domains is responsible for the long repeat distance.
CONCLUSION

In summary, we systematically examine surface-specific Fg conformations on silicon-based (n-SiO$_2$, m-SiO$_2$) and polymer-based (PS, PMMA, PS-b-PMMA) substrates by first performing high-resolution AFM imaging focusing on individual proteins and then by statistically analyzing the topological profiles of key subdomains on each surface. We discuss the differences and similarities between the characteristic adsorption behavior of the highly anisotropically shaped Fg molecule in terms of the physical/chemical properties of the substrate, protein–polymer interaction forces, protein surface density, and trinodular/complex conformational models. We investigate Fg subdomain-specific adsorption preferences and orientations to the PS and PMMA block by exploiting PS-b-PMMA diblock copolymer nanodomains, which provide a periodically and chemically varying surface on the length scale commensurate with single Fg molecules. Adsorption behavior of the Fg is compared to that of common globular proteins reported earlier on the same nanoscale polymeric domains. Adsorption of Fg molecules is affected less by the exclusive interaction between the protein and the PS block and Fg exhibits a more neutral tendency for shared interaction with both PS and PMMA blocks. We also examine Fg configurations and molecular orientations during its assembly and surface packing into a larger protein network. We hypothesize Fg assembly and packing model on PS-b-PMMA on the basis of the AFM results, which signifies the first attempt to discern Fg domain-specific interaction preferences to chemically alternating, nanoscale surfaces. In this assembly model, the bow-shaped Fg molecules in SP configuration surface-pack on the PS domains by forming a stack of bows whose major protein axis is perpendicular to the long axis of the polymeric nanodomain. The linker lines are established via stretched αC chains over PMMA connecting between the D domain of a Fg molecule on a PS domain and a closely located D domain from another Fg molecule situated on a neighboring PS domain.

METHODS

Silicon-based substrates of n-SiO$_2$ and m-SiO$_2$ are prepared by using Si wafers (resistivity < 1 Ω cm, thickness: 0.017 in.) obtained from Silicon Quest International, Inc. (San Jose, CA). The surface of n-SiO$_2$ contains a natively formed oxide layer on top of Si and is used as is after cleaning with deionized water (DI) and ethanol. The surface of m-SiO$_2$ is prepared by immersing the precleaned substrate in a buffered HF etching medium (6:1 volume ratio of 40% NH$_4$F:49% HF in DI) for 2 min and then rinsing with an ample amount of DI. The substrates are then dried under a gentle stream of N$_2$ before use. Polymer-based substrates of PS, PMMA, and PS-b-PMMA are prepared from the poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) homopolymer and alternating (PS-b-PMMA diblock copolymer) surfaces are made by spin coating 2% (w/v) polymeric solutions at 3500 rpm for 5 to 1000 s, and a cooling rate of 2 K/min. This process yields periodically and chemically varying surface on the nanoscale polymeric domains. Adsorption of Fg molecules is affected less by the exclusive interaction between the protein and the PS block and Fg exhibits a more neutral tendency for shared interaction with both PS and PMMA blocks. We also examine Fg configurations and molecular orientations during its assembly and surface packing into a larger protein network. We hypothesize Fg assembly and packing model on PS-b-PMMA on the basis of the AFM results, which signifies the first attempt to discern Fg domain-specific interaction preferences to chemically alternating, nanoscale surfaces. In this assembly model, the bow-shaped Fg molecules in SP configuration surface-pack on the PS domains by forming a stack of bows whose major protein axis is perpendicular to the long axis of the polymeric nanodomain. The linker lines are established via stretched αC chains over PMMA connecting between the D domain of a Fg molecule on a PS domain and a closely located D domain from another Fg molecule situated on a neighboring PS domain.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. Hall, C. E.; Slayter, H. S. The Fibrinogen Molecule: Its Size, Shape, and Mode of Polymerization. J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol. 1959, 5, 11–27.
2. Weisel, J. W.; Phillips, G. N.; Cohen, C. A Model from Electron Microscopy for the Molecular Structure of Fibrinogen and Fibrin. Nature 1981, 289, 263–267.
3. Weisel, J. W.; Stauffacher, C. V.; Bullitt, E.; Cohen, C. A Model for Fibrinogen: Domains and Sequence. Science 1985, 230, 1388–1391.
4. Kollman, J. M.; Pandi, L.; Sawaya, M. R.; Riley, M.; Doolittle, R. F. Crystal Structure of Human Fibrinogen. Biochemistry 2009, 48, 3877–3886.
5. Yang, Z.; Mochalkin, I.; Veerapandian, L.; Riley, M.; Doolittle, R. F. Crystal Structure of Native Chicken Fibrinogen at
47. Lau, K. H. A.; Bang, J.; Hawker, C. J.; Kim, D. H.; Knoll, W. Modulation of Protein-Surface Interactions on Nanopatterned Polymer Films. *Biomacromolecules* 2009, 10, 1061–1066.
48. Lau, K. H. A.; Bang, J.; Kim, D. H.; Knoll, W. Self-Assembly of Protein Nanoarrays on Block Copolymer Templates. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2008, 18, 3148–3157.
49. Martinez, M. C. L.; Rodes, V.; Garcia de la Torre, J. Estimation of the Shape and Size of Fibrinogen in Solution from its Hydrodynamic Properties using Theories for Bead Models and Cylinders. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 1984, 6, 261–265.
50. Bamford, C. H.; Cooper, S. L.; Tsuruta, T. *The Vroman Effect*; VSP BV: The Netherlands, 1992.
51. Damme, H. S. V.; Beugeling, T.; Ratering, M. T.; Feijen, J. Protein Adsorption from Plasma onto Poly(α-alkyl)methacrylate Surfaces, In *The Vroman Effect*; Bamford, C. H., Cooper, S. L., Tsurutta, T., Eds.; VSP: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1992; pp 123–138.
52. Latour, R. A. Biomaterials: Protein–Surface Interactions. In *Encyclopedia of Biomaterials and Biomedical Engineering*, 2nd ed.; Informa Healthcare: New York, 2008; Vol. 1, pp 270–284.
53. Tsapikouni, T. S.; Missirlis, Y. F. pH and Ionic Strength Effect on Single Fibrinogen Molecule Adsorption on Mica Studied with AFM. *Colloids Surf., B* 2007, 57, 89–96.
54. Trevino, K. J.; Shearer, J. C.; Tompkins, B. D.; Fisher, E. R. Comparing Isoelectric Point and Surface Composition of Plasma Modified Native and Deposited SiO2 Films Using Contact Angle Titrations and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy. *Plasma Process. Polym.* 2011, 8, 951–964.
55. Beattie, J. K. The Intrinsic Charge on Hydrophobic Microfluidic Substrates. *Lab Chip* 2006, 6, 1409–1411.
56. Bolt, P. S.; Goodwin, J. W.; Ottewill, R. H. Studies on the Preparation and Characterization of Monodisperse Polystyrene Latices. VI. Preparation of Zwitterionic Latices. *Langmuir* 2005, 21, 9911–9916.
57. Wertz, C. F.; Santore, M. M. Fibrinogen Adsorption on Hydrophilic and Hydrophobic surfaces: Geometrical and Energetic Aspects of Interfacial Relaxations. *Langmuir* 2001, 17, 706–715.
58. Wertz, C. F.; Santore, M. M. Effect of Surface Hydrophobicity on Adsorption and Relaxation Kinetics of Albumin and Fibrinogen: Single-Species and Competitive Behavior. *Langmuir* 2001, 17, 3006–3016.
59. Wertz, C. F.; Santore, M. M. Adsorption and Relaxation Kinetics of Albumin and Fibrinogen on Hydrophobic Surfaces: Single-Species and Competitive Behavior. *Langmuir* 1999, 15, 8884–8894.