Paraspinal Muscle Sparing Versus Percutaneous Screw Fixation: A Comparative Enzyme Study of Tissue Injury during the Treatment of L4-L5 Spondylolisthesis
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Objective: Screw fixation via the paraspinal muscle sparing approach and by percutaneous screw fixation are known to diminish the risk of complications, such as, iatrogenic muscle injury as compared with the conventional midline approach. The purpose of this study was to evaluate tissue injury markers after these less traumatic screw fixation techniques for the treatment of L4-L5 spondylolisthesis.

Methods: Twenty-two patients scheduled for posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) at the L4-L5 segment for spondylolisthesis were prospectively studied. Patients were divided into two groups by screw fixation technique (Group I: paraspinal muscle sparing approach and Group II: percutaneous screw fixation). Levels of serum enzymes representing muscle injury (CK-MM and Troponic C type 2 fast), pro-inflammatory cytokine (IL-8), and anti-inflammatory cytokine (IL-1ra) were analyzed using ELISA techniques on the day of the surgery and 1, 3, and 7 days after the surgery.

Results: Serum CK-MM, Troponic C type 2 fast (TNNC2), and IL-1ra levels were significantly elevated in Group I on postoperative day 1 and 3, and returned to preoperative levels on postoperative day 7. No significant intergroup difference was found between IL-8 levels despite higher concentrations in Group I on postoperative day 1 and 3.

Conclusion: This study shows that percutaneous screw fixation procedure is the preferable minimally invasive technique in terms of minimizing muscle injury associated with L4-L5 spondylolisthesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) is a widely performed surgical procedure for the management of pain and spinal instability resulting from spondylolisthesis. However, conventional PLIF by the midline approach for screw fixation requires a large skin incision and extensive dissection of paraspinal muscles, and thus, increases the risk of complications over the short and long term.

The paraspinal muscle sparing approach and percutaneous screw fixation are known to be less invasive procedures as compared with the conventional midline approach and these techniques have been used with the goal of reducing muscle injury. However, no comparative quantitative analysis has been performed on tissue trauma and systemic inflammatory responses after these minimally invasive techniques. Muscle injury during spine surgery increases serum concentrations of muscle-originated enzymes such as creatine kinase (CK), aldolase, Troponic C type 2 fast (TNNC2), lactate dehydrogenase, etc. Among them, CK-MM and TNNC2 are specific for skeletal muscle injury that rapidly release into circulation. The pro-inflammatory cytokine (IL-8) and anti-inflammatory cytokine (IL-1ra) are also related directly to the degree of muscle injury.

The purpose of this study was to compare these minimally invasive techniques of screw fixation in terms of muscle injury and inflammatory response by quantitatively analyzing serum enzyme and inflammatory cytokine levels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-two patients who underwent single level PLIF for L4-L5 spondylolisthesis were included in this study. Patients...
RESULTS

1. Patient demographics and clinical data

No significant intergroup difference was observed for age, gender, or type of spondylolisthesis. The mean operative time from skin incision to complete wound closure was 208.4 minutes (range, 178-230 minutes) in Group I and 151.7 minutes (range, 125-187 minutes) in Group II (p=0.083). The amounts of intraoperative blood loss and of postoperative blood transfusion and the extent of midline skin incision were also higher in Group I, though not significantly so (Table 1).

2. Changes in creatinine kinase (CK-MM)

Mean CK-MM concentration was significantly higher in Group I (500.3±44 ng/mL versus 299.4±54 ng/mL; p=0.014) on day 1, and on day 3 (384.4±31 IU/L versus 215.3±43 ng/mL; p=0.011). CK-MM concentrations returned to baseline at 7 days postoperatively in both groups (Fig. 1).

3. Changes in tropoin C type 2 fast (TNNC2)

Mean TNNC2 concentration showed a steeper increase in Group I than in Group II on day 1 (413±45 pg/mL versus 287±39 pg/mL; p=0.005) and day 3 (348±59 pg/mL versus 249±36 pg/mL; p=0.011). The concentrations of TNNC2 also returned to baseline at 7 days in both groups (Fig. 2).
Fig. 1. Intraoperative photographs of screw fixation via paraspinal muscle sparing approach. (A) Through a midline skin incision, the spinal processes, laminae, and facet joints were exposed. (B) Following decompression and discectomy, two titanium cages are inserted into the intervertebral space. (C) Paramedian fascial incision are made in 2-3 cm lateral to midline and screws are inserted via the paraspinous muscle sparing approach between longissimus and multifidus muscle. (D) Postoperative skin incision shows single midline incision.

4. Changes in the serum levels of IL-8

Although IL-8 levels increased more rapidly after surgery in Group I than in Group II, this increase was no more rapid than that observed for other cytokines in either group. Furthermore, IL-8 levels were not significantly different at any time in the two study groups (Fig. 3).

5. Changes in the serum levels of IL-1ra

IL-1ra concentrations were significantly higher in Group I than in Group II on day 1 (1,230±1842 pg/mL versus 785±103 pg/mL, respectively; p=0.001) and day 3 (824±107 pg/mL versus 530±97 pg/mL, respectively; p=0.002). Concentrations in both groups returned to baseline on day 7 (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Traditional procedures for symptomatic spondylolisthesis involve spinal decompression and fusion with supplemental instrumentation\(^a\). However, the traditional implantation of spinal instrumentation by midline approach requires extensive
tissue dissection to expose entry points and provide the required lateral-to-medial orientation for optimal screw trajectory\(^8\). As a result, excessive dissection may result in the denervation of muscles and facet capsules, proximal facet joint damage, and the weakening of other supportive structures, and cause prolonged postoperative pain and functional disability\(^1,11\).

In contrast, minimally invasive percutaneous screw fixation and screw fixation via the paraspinal muscle sparing approach are more desirable because they cause less muscle damage than the traditional midline approach, and have positive effects on postoperative trunk muscle performance\(^3,6\).

The previous clinical reports on minimally invasive techniques have included various clinical parameters, such as, the visual analogue pain scale, operative time, intraoperative and postoperative blood loss, and overall clinical results\(^4,5\). Kim et al\(^11\) also reported mini-open PLIF had less tissue injury and less systemic response than the conventional PLIF by midline approach. However, no previous report has compared these less invasive techniques quantitatively using the serum levels of inflammatory markers of invasiveness. It is known that muscle injury during spine surgery is directly associated with retraction time and the external compression force exerted by the retractor.

In the present study, percutaneous screw fixation caused smaller increases in the serum levels of inflammatory mediators and muscle enzymes. Mean operative time was shorter and estimated blood loss was lower in Group II (percutaneous screw fixation group) although differences were not significant. In the kit, anti-CKM antibody binds specifically to the human CK-MM isoform, which is a creatine phosphokinase predominantly found in skeletal muscles. On the other hand, TNNC2 is a fast skeletal troponin C isoform that is expressed in fast-twitch skeletal muscles. In contrast, the slow troponin C isoform (TNNC1) is expressed in heart muscle and slow-twitch skeletal muscles, and thus, CK-MM and TNNC2 have been used as markers of skeletal injury\(^14,16\). In the present study, levels of muscle enzymes in both groups were found to be significantly different. Both CK-MM and TNNC2 levels were significantly increased on day 1 and 3, and returned to baseline on day 7.

Any type of injury, including major surgery, can cause massive systemic inflammation with clinical manifestations. Macrophages initially induce interleukins (IL)-1 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha in reaction to surgical stress. Although these cytokines are not detectable in peripheral blood following the surgery because of their small amounts or rapid decomposition, these pro-inflammatory cytokines are believed to induce the productions of IL-6 and IL-8\(^11\). In particular, IL-8 (a pro-apoptotic cytokine) is produced by endothelial cells, and is responsible not only for the accumulation of neutrophils at sites of inflammation but is also for chemotaxis attracting basophils and lymphocytes to sites of initial systemic inflammatory reactions. However, in this study, the serum IL-8 levels were non-significantly different in the groups, though higher in Group I. The difference between peak IL-8 concentrations on day 1 was not as great as differences between other markers, possibly because time to peak concentrations differed. It has been reported that the concentrations of other cytokines peak on day 1, whereas IL-8 concentrations peak on the day of spinal surgery\(^7\).

Anti-inflammatory cytokine and pro-inflammatory cytokine inhibitors induce compensatory reactions by controlling or down regulating proinflammatory cytokines. IL-1ra is an inhibitor of IL-1 and occupies the cell surface receptor of IL-1 and its serum level is considered a more reliable marker of than IL-1 itself\(^2\). In the present study, the difference between IL-1ra levels in the two groups remained significant until day 3. This is consistent with the findings of previous studies, which found that compensatory response is as extensive as initial inflammatory response in terms of achieving a balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory responses and restoring immunologic homeostasis\(^12\).

In this study, we included single-level lumbar interbody fusion cases for L4-L5 spondylolisthesis only and excluded patients with chronic medical diseases. Although no clinical complication was encountered, statistically meaningful intergroup differences were found for all except IL-8. As a result, our results indicate that systemic inflammatory reactions are more severe for screw fixation via the paraspinal muscle sparing approach as compared with percutaneous screw fixation. Despite its prospective nature, the present study had a small patient group, and thus, we suggest that further larger-scale investigations.
involving more rigorous patients and the selection of more markers should be undertaken.

CONCLUSION

Although both screw fixation via the paraspinal muscle sparing approach and percutaneous screw fixation are known to be satisfactory techniques that cause less muscle injury than the conventional midline approach, the present study shows that percutaneous screw fixation may significantly reduce systemic inflammatory response as compared with the paraspinal muscle sparing approach during the acute postoperative period.
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