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Abstract

Background: Little focus has been paid to the role of poor mental health and childhood abuse among young people with regard to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) risk behaviour and HIV prevention in Africa. The aim of this study was to determine the association between mental health, childhood abuse and HIV sexual risk behaviour among a sample of university students in Ivory Coast.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted with undergraduate students that were recruited randomly from classes at the Félix Houphouët Boigny University of Cocody. The sample included 824 university students (50% men and 50% women), with a mean age of 23.7 years (SD = 2.7).

Results: Of the 824 university students who completed the survey, 17.6% reported depression, 10.8% screened positive for post-traumatic stress disorder, 8.3% reported at least monthly heavy episodic drinking, 13.5% reported childhood physical abuse and 4.7% sexual abuse, 33.9% had two or more sexual partners in the past 12 months, 66.3% had inconsistent condom use, 23.6% had alcohol use in the context of sex and 16.7% had a history of a sexually transmitted infection. In multivariable analysis among men, lack of religiousness and alcohol use in the context of sex were associated with HIV risk behaviour, and among women, poorer family background, experience of sexual and physical partner violence, alcohol use in the context of sex and depression were associated with HIV risk behaviour.

Conclusions: Poor mental health (depression) including alcohol use and partner violence was found to be associated with HIV risk behaviour. Coordinated mental health and sexual and reproductive health services to meet the needs of university students would be desirable.

Introduction

The infection rate of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) in Ivory Coast is estimated at 7.1% in adults ages 15–49. Ivory Coast has a generalised HIV epidemic with the highest prevalence rate in the Western African region. Populations at comparatively high risk for HIV infection include women ages 20–24 and youth [1]. High HIV risk behaviour has been reported among youth in Abidjan, Ivory Coast [2].

Poor mental health is a major cause of morbidity in middle-income countries, with depression constituting the heaviest disease burden [3]. The HIV epidemic contributes to increased depression rates both in persons who live with HIV [4] and in those who are indirectly affected by HIV/AIDS [5]. Several reviews in the general population indicate that there may be a relationship between poor mental health including substance use and childhood abuse and HIV risk behaviour such as multiple sexual partners and unprotected sex [6-15].

Studies with university students found that anxiety [16], depression [16,17], psychological distress [17], alcohol use [17-22], drug use [21,22] and traumatic experiences [23], in particular childhood abuse, physical abuse and especially sexual abuse [24], were associated with various forms of HIV risk behaviour. Currently, there is...
no sufficient data on university students regarding the association between mental disorders, childhood abuse and HIV sexual risk behaviour within the West African context. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the association between mental health, childhood abuse and HIV sexual risk behaviour among Félix Houphouët Boigny University undergraduate students in Ivory Coast.

Methods
Sample and procedure
A cross-sectional survey design was used. An anonymous, self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data. Concerning the sampling procedure, we conducted a simple random sampling, stratified and weighted on the size of units of training and research (UTR) of Félix Houphouët Boigny University. Indeed, from the size of the different units of training and research (faculties), we determined the percentage weight of each unit training and research (faculty) compared to the total number of students at the Félix Houphouët Boigny University. Then, we assigned this percentage to 800 students in question, which gave us the number of student per unit training and research or faculty. In each UTR, we conducted a simple random sample rate. Permission to carry out the study was obtained from the President of Félix Houphouët Boigny University and ethical clearance was also taken. The cover pages of the questionnaires briefly explained about the study and provided instructions to the respondents on how to fill it up. It also provided information about the researchers. It also mentioned that anonymity and confidentiality would be maintained and that the participation of students was voluntary. It specified that data would be used only for research purposes. Written informed consent was taken from participating students, and the study was conducted from 7 January to 2 February 2013.

Measures
Traumatic experiences
Participants were asked if they had ever been hit by a sex partner, forced to have sex, physically abused as a child, sexually abused as a child and diagnosed as HIV positive. Traumatic experience items were coded as yes/no [25].

Post-traumatic stress disorder
A seven-item screener \( (\alpha = 0.77) \) was used to identify post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms in the past month [25,26]. Items asked whether the respondent had experienced difficulties related to a traumatic experience (e.g., ‘Did you begin to feel more isolated and distant from other people?’ and ‘Did you become jumpy or get easily startled by ordinary noises or movements?’). Consistent with epidemiological evidence, participants who answered affirmatively to at least four of the questions were considered to have a positive screen for PTSD [25,26].

Depressive symptoms
We assessed depressive symptoms using the ten-item version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale [27]. While the CES-D ten-item survey has not been directly compared to clinical diagnosis of major depression, the sensitivity and specificity of the CES-D 20-item survey has been reported to average 80% and 70%, respectively, compared to formal diagnostic interview [28]. Scoring is classified from 0 to 9 as having mild level of depressive symptoms, 10 to 14 as moderate depressive symptoms, and \( \geq 15 \) representing severe depressive symptoms [29]. The Cronbach \( \alpha \) reliability coefficient of this ten-item scale was 0.72 in this study.

Alcohol consumption
Alcohol consumption was measured by asking participants which of the following terms best described them: non-drinker, special occasion drinker, occasional and regular drinker. Occasional and regular drinkers were asked, ‘how often do you have (for men) five or more and (for women) four or more drinks on one occasion?’ Response options ranged from 1 = never to 5 = daily or almost daily.

HIV risk behaviour items were developed based on literature review [25,30,31] and assessed four components of HIV risk, number of sexual partners, protected or unprotected sexual intercourse, alcohol use in the context of sex and history of a sexually transmitted infection (STI). HIV risk behaviour, therefore, was assessed with four items, number of sexual partners in the past 12 months, condom use consistency in the past 3 months with primary partner, alcohol use in the context of sex in the past 3 months and ever having been diagnosed with an STI.

Socioeconomic background was assessed by having students rate their family background as wealthy (within the highest 25% in Ivory Coast, in terms of wealth), quite well off (within the 50% to 75% range for their country), not very well off (within the 25% to 50% range from Ivory Coast) or quite poor (within the lowest 25% in their country, in terms of wealth). We subsequently divided the students into poorer (not very well off and quite poor) and wealthier (wealthy, quite well off) categories.

Religiousness
Religiousness was assessed with the five-item Duke University Religion Index (DUREL) [32]. The instrument assesses the three major dimensions of religiosity: organizational religious activity, non-organizational
religious activity, and intrinsic religiosity (or subjective religiosity) [33]. The DUREL measures each of these dimensions by a ‘separate subscale’, and correlations with health outcomes are analysed by subscale in separate models [33]. Cronbach alpha for the intrinsic religiosity scale was 0.60 for this sample.

Data analysis
The data were analysed using IBM SPSS (version 20.0). First, gender differences were analysed for all variables using chi-square tests. Since there were significant gender differences on a number of variables, subsequent models were analysed separately for men and women. Second, logistic regression analyses were done to identify traumatic experiences that were in bivariate analysis associated with a positive screen for PTSD and a positive screen for depression [25]. Third, logistic regression was used to identify factors that were associated with HIV risk behaviour. Predictor variables were entered in a single step.

Table 1 Sample characteristics

| Variable                        | Total (n = 824) | Men (n = 412) | Women (n = 412) | Statistic |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|
|                                | Number | SD (%) | Number | SD (%) | Number | SD (%) |          |           |
| Socio-demographics             |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| Age (years)                    |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| 18–22                          | 272    | 33.0   | 114    | 27.7   | 158    | 38.3   | 0.005    |           |
| 23–25                          | 325    | 39.4   | 177    | 43.0   | 148    | 35.9   |          |           |
| 26–30                          | 227    | 27.5   | 121    | 29.4   | 106    | 25.7   |          |           |
| Family background              |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| Quite poor                     | 162    | 20.6   | 108    | 27.7   | 54     | 13.7   | <0.001   |           |
| Not very well off              | 489    | 62.3   | 236    | 60.5   | 253    | 64.1   |          |           |
| Quite well off, wealthy        | 134    | 17.1   | 46     | 11.8   | 88     | 22.3   |          |           |
| Religious affiliation          |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| Muslim                         | 144    | 17.5   | 72     | 17.5   | 72     | 17.5   | <0.001   |           |
| Protestant                     | 301    | 36.5   | 169    | 41.0   | 132    | 32.0   |          |           |
| Catholic                       | 339    | 41.1   | 140    | 34.0   | 199    | 48.3   |          |           |
| Others                         | 40     | 4.9    | 31     | 7.5    | 9      | 2.2    |          |           |
| Religiousness                  |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| Organised religious activity   | 4.9    | 1.3    | 4.8    | 1.4    | 5.0    | 1.2    | 0.027    |           |
| Non-organised religious activity | 3.5   | 1.9    | 3.3    | 1.9    | 3.8    | 1.8    | <0.001   |           |
| Intrinsic religiosity          | 16.2   | 2.1    | 15.9   | 2.2    | 16.4   | 1.9    | <0.001   |           |
| Traumatic experiences          |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| Ever been hit by a sex partner | 53     | 7.2    | 14     | 3.9    | 39     | 10.3   | 0.001    |           |
| Ever been forced to have sex   | 109    | 14.7   | 29     | 7.9    | 80     | 21.2   | <0.001   |           |
| Physically abused as a child   | 99     | 13.5   | 65     | 17.7   | 34     | 9.2    | 0.001    |           |
| Sexually abused as a child     | 35     | 4.7    | 14     | 3.8    | 21     | 5.6    | 0.258    |           |
| HIV positive                   | 8      | 1.1    | 4      | 1.1    | 4      | 1.1    | 0.997    |           |
| Mental health                  |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| Screened positive for depression | 143   | 17.6   | 63     | 15.4   | 80     | 19.8   | 0.228    |           |
| Screened positive for PTSD     | 88     | 10.8   | 38     | 9.4    | 50     | 12.3   | 0.240    |           |
| Heavy episodic drinking        | 68     | 8.3    | 55     | 13.3   | 13     | 3.2    | <0.001   |           |
| HIV risk                       |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |           |
| Two or more sexual partners    | 261    | 33.9   | 177    | 45.5   | 84     | 22.1   | <0.001   |           |
| Inconsistent condom use         | 430    | 66.3   | 207    | 61.8   | 223    | 71.0   | 0.013    |           |
| Alcohol in the context of sex  | 171    | 23.6   | 110    | 30.0   | 61     | 17.1   | <0.001   |           |
| History of STI                  | 122    | 16.7   | 50     | 13.8   | 72     | 19.6   | 0.036    |           |
Results

Sample characteristics

Of the 860 students approached for the study, 824 agreed to participate in the survey (96% response rate). The sample included 824 university students (50% men and 50% women), with a mean age of 23.7 years (SD = 2.7), 43.2% studying in their first year, 22.8% second year, 21.6% third year and 12.4% fourth year, and 82.9% were from a poorer family background. Most students were, by religious affiliation, Christians (77.6%) and Muslims (17.5%). Regarding mental health, 17.6% reported depression, 10.8% screened positive for PTSD and 8.3% reported at least monthly heavy episodic drinking. Childhood physical abuse was 13.5% and sexual abuse 4.7%. High HIV risk behaviour was reported: 33.9% had two or more sexual partners in the past 12 months, 66.3% had inconsistent condom use, 23.6% had alcohol use in the context of sex and 16.7% had a history of a STI. Both women and men indicated that they were subjected to partner violence, with 21.2% of women reporting sexual violence. Few (1.1%) indicated that they were HIV positive (see Table 1).

Predictors of multiple sexual partners

In bivariate analysis among men, lack of organised and non-organised religious activities, lack of intrinsic religiosity,

| Variable                          | Men                                      | Women                                   |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                                   | Crude odds ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) | Crude odds ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) |
| Socio-demographics                |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| Age (years)                       |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| 18–22                             | 1.00                                     | –                                       | 1.00                      | –                             |
| 23–25                             | 1.30 (0.80–2.13)                        | –                                       | 1.72 (0.96–3.06)          | –                             |
| 26–30                             | 1.16 (0.69–1.97)                        | –                                       | 1.63 (0.86–3.08)          | –                             |
| Family background                 |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| Quite poor                        | 1.00                                     | –                                       | 1.00                      | 1.00                          |
| Not very well off                 | 0.99 (0.61–1.59)                        | –                                       | 0.52 (0.27–1.00)*         | 0.45 (0.20–1.02)              |
| Quite well off, wealthy           | 0.86 (0.42–1.76)                        | –                                       | 0.47 (0.21–1.05)          | 0.35 (0.12–0.99)*             |
| Religious affiliation             |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| Muslim                            | 1.00                                     | –                                       | 1.00                      | –                             |
| Protestant                        | 0.59 (0.34–1.05)                        | –                                       | 0.59 (0.27–1.29)          | –                             |
| Catholic                          | 1.26 (0.71–2.25)                        | –                                       | 1.39 (0.71–2.72)          | –                             |
| Others                            | 0.93 (0.39–2.18)                        | –                                       | 1.21 (0.22–6.69)          | –                             |
| Religiousness                     |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| Organised religious activity      | 0.78 (0.67–0.96)***                     | 1.11 (0.84–1.45)                       | 0.86 (0.70–1.05)          | –                             |
| Non-organised religious activity  | 0.79 (0.70–0.89)***                     | 0.79 (0.65–0.97)*                      | 0.87 (0.76–1.00)*         | 0.88 (0.75–1.05)              |
| Intrinsic religiosity             | 0.54 (0.35–0.83)**                      | 0.59 (0.31–1.13)                       | 0.56 (0.33–0.95)*         | 0.73 (0.39–1.39)              |
| Traumatic experiences             |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| Ever been hit by a sex partner    | 1.81 (0.58–5.66)                        | –                                       | 2.04 (1.00–4.21)*         | 1.21 (0.48–3.06)              |
| Ever been forced to have sex      | 1.34 (0.62–2.91)                        | –                                       | 2.99 (1.72–5.19)***       | 2.53 (1.25–5.12)**            |
| Physically abused as a child      | 0.96 (0.56–1.66)                        | –                                       | 1.12 (0.48–2.59)          | –                             |
| Sexually abused as a child        | 1.54 (0.53–4.56)                        | –                                       | 1.52 (0.56–4.10)          | –                             |
| Diagnosed HIV positive            | 0.00                                     | –                                       | 1.17 (0.12–11.36)         | –                             |
| Alcohol use                       |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| Heavy episodic drinking           | 2.66 (1.45–4.88)**                      | 2.17 (0.82–5.73)                       | 2.42 (0.67–8.77)          | –                             |
| Alcohol use in the context of sex | 2.62 (1.64–4.18)***                     | 2.78 (1.39–5.58)**                     | 4.13 (2.27–7.50)***       | 3.07 (1.50–6.29)**            |
| Mental health                     |                                           |                                         |                           |                              |
| Screened positive for depression  | 1.88 (1.00–3.48)*                       | 2.24 (0.89–5.65)                       | 1.55 (0.81–2.93)          | –                             |
| Screened positive for PTSD        | 0.54 (0.24–1.20)                        | –                                       | 1.36 (0.62–2.98)          | –                             |

*P < .05; **P < .01; ***P < .001.
heavy episodic drinking, alcohol use in the context of sex and having screening positive for depression were associated with two or more sexual partners in the past 12 months. In multivariate analysis among men, lack of non-organised religious activity and alcohol use in the context of sex were associated with two or more sexual partners in the past 12 months. Further, in bivariate analysis among women, poorer family background, lack of non-organised religious activity, lack of intrinsic religiosity, experience of physical and sexual partner violence and alcohol use in the context of sex were associated with two or more sexual partners in the past 12 months. In multivariate analysis among women, experience of physical and sexual partner violence was associated with inconsistent condom use in the past 3 months. Further, in bivariate analysis among women, experience of physical and sexual partner violence was associated with inconsistent condom use in the past 3 months. In multivariate analysis among

### Predictors of inconsistent condom use

In bivariate analysis among men, only other religious affiliation was associated with inconsistent condom use in the past 3 months. Further, in bivariate analysis among women, experience of physical and sexual partner violence was associated with inconsistent condom use in the past 3 months. In multivariate analysis among

### Table 3 Logistic regression analyses predicting inconsistent condom use

| Variable                          | Men          | Women         | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
|                                   | Crude odds ratio (95% CI) | Crude odds ratio (95% CI) |                             |
| **Socio-demographics**            |              |               |                             |
| Age (years)                       |              |               |                             |
| 18–22                             | 1.00         | 1.00          | –                           |
| 23–25                             | 1.01 (0.59–1.75) | 1.16 (0.66–2.04) | –                           |
| 26–30                             | 1.02 (0.57–1.81) | 1.42 (0.76–2.66) | –                           |
| **Family background**             |              |               |                             |
| Quite poor                        | 1.00         | 1.00          | –                           |
| Not very well off                 | 1.11 (0.65–1.88) | 1.50 (0.74–3.05) | –                           |
| Quite well off, wealthy           | 1.66 (0.74–3.72) | 1.42 (0.63–3.20) | –                           |
| **Religious affiliation**         |              |               |                             |
| Muslim                            | 1.00         | 1.00          | –                           |
| Protestant                        | 1.86 (0.98–3.51) | 2.09 (0.97–4.52) | –                           |
| Catholic                          | 1.69 (0.89–3.22) | 0.98 (0.51–1.88) | –                           |
| Others                            | 3.28 (1.14–9.47)* | 0.97 (0.16–5.82) | –                           |
| **Religiousness**                 |              |               |                             |
| Organised religious activity      | 0.93 (0.78–1.10) | 1.19 (0.97–1.45) | –                           |
| Non-organised religious activity  | 0.93 (0.82–1.05) | 1.09 (0.95–1.26) | –                           |
| Intrinsic religiosity             | 0.83 (0.52–1.32) | 1.22 (0.72–2.08) | –                           |
| **Traumatic experiences**         |              |               |                             |
| Ever been hit by a sex partner    | 1.28 (0.38–4.34) | 2.64 (1.00–6.05)* | 5.62 (1.29–24.57)*          |
| Ever been forced to have sex      | 1.53 (0.61–3.80) | 1.94 (1.02–3.75)* | 1.49 (0.73–3.04)          |
| Physically abused as a child      | 0.96 (0.54–1.72) | 1.03 (0.41–2.57) | –                           |
| Sexually abused as a child        | 3.56 (0.78–16.37) | 0.81 (0.29–2.23) | –                           |
| Diagnosed HIV positive            | 0.00         | 0.00          | –                           |
| **Alcohol use**                   |              |               |                             |
| Heavy episodic drinking           | 1.28 (0.68–2.41) | 0.56 (0.17–1.80) | –                           |
| Alcohol use in the context of sex | 1.02 (0.63–1.66) | 0.84 (0.45–1.55) | –                           |
| **Mental health**                 |              |               |                             |
| Screened positive for depression  | 0.69 (0.35–1.36) | 1.04 (0.54–2.01) | –                           |
| Screened positive for PTSD        | 0.89 (0.39–2.04) | 1.13 (0.48–2.68) | –                           |

*P < .05.
women, experience of physical partner violence remained associated with inconsistent condom use in the past 3 months (see Table 3).

**Predictors of history of STI**

In bivariate analysis among men, lack of non-organised religious activity and alcohol use in the context of sex were associated with a history of STI, while in multivariate analysis, lack of non-organised religious activity remained associated with a history of STI. Further, in bivariate analysis among women, alcohol use in the context of sex and having screened positive with depression were associated with a history of STI, while multivariate analysis having screened positive with depression remained associated with a history of STI (see Table 4).

**Discussion**

This study found a high prevalence of poor mental health (depression and PTSD symptoms), high reported childhood physical and sexual abuse and high HIV risk behaviour (multiple sexual partners, unprotected sex, alcohol in the context of sex and history of STI) among a sample of university students in Ivory Coast. The high prevalence of HIV risk behaviour is calling for HIV prevention intervention programs among university students.

**Table 4 Logistic regression analyses predicting history of STI**

| Variable                          | Men                      | Women                     |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                   | Crude odds ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) | Crude odds ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) |
| Age                               |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| 18–22                             | 1.00                     | –                         | 1.00                     | –                         |
| 23–25                             | 1.54 (0.68–3.51)         | –                         | 1.51 (0.82–2.77)         | –                         |
| 26–30                             | 1.85 (0.79–4.30)         | –                         | 1.26 (0.65–2.44)         | –                         |
| Family background                 |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Quite poor                        | 1.00                     | –                         | 1.00                     | –                         |
| Not very well off                 | 0.72 (0.37–1.42)         | –                         | 0.88 (0.42–1.88)         | –                         |
| Quite well off, wealthy           | 0.83 (0.30–2.31)         | –                         | 0.49 (0.19–1.25)         | –                         |
| Religious affiliation             |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Muslim                            | 1.00                     | –                         | 1.00                     | –                         |
| Protestant                        | 1.65 (0.64–4.31)         | –                         | 1.08 (0.47–2.47)         | –                         |
| Catholic                          | 1.50 (0.56–3.99)         | –                         | 1.45 (0.68–3.12)         | –                         |
| Others                            | 1.41 (0.37–5.43)         | –                         | 0.00                     | –                         |
| Religiousness                     |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Organised religious activity      | 1.00 (0.80–1.24)         | –                         | 0.82 (0.66–1.01)         | –                         |
| Non-organised religious activity  | 0.84 (0.70–1.00)*        | 0.82 (0.68–0.99)*         | 0.90 (0.78–1.04)         | –                         |
| Intrinsic religiosity             | 0.77 (0.40–1.47)         | –                         | 0.95 (0.55–1.66)         | –                         |
| Traumatic experiences             |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Ever been hit by a sex partner    | 2.03 (0.53–7.78)         | –                         | 0.97 (0.41–2.31)         | –                         |
| Ever been forced to have sex      | 1.12 (0.37–3.41)         | –                         | 1.75 (0.98–3.13)         | –                         |
| Physically abused as a child      | 0.88 (0.39–1.99)         | –                         | 1.63 (0.72–3.68)         | –                         |
| Sexually abused as a child        | 1.84 (0.49–6.94)         | –                         | 1.12 (0.36–3.49)         | –                         |
| Diagnosed HIV positive            | 3.05 (0.77–34.34)        | –                         | 1.42 (0.15–13.84)        | –                         |
| Alcohol use                       |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Heavy episodic drinking           | 1.53 (0.71–3.28)         | –                         | 0.74 (0.16–3.42)         | –                         |
| Alcohol use in the context of sex | 1.96 (1.05–3.66)*        | 1.67 (0.87–3.19)          | 1.92 (1.01–3.64)*        | 1.68 (0.57–3.24)          |
| Mental health                     |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Screened positive for depression  | 1.55 (0.67–3.58)         | –                         | 2.17 (1.17–4.03)*        | 1.99 (1.03–3.85)*         |
| Screened positive for PTSD        | 1.54 (0.59–4.02)         | –                         | 1.75 (0.81–3.76)         | –                         |

*P < .05.
The prevalence of depression and PTSD was similar to other studies in Africa [16,34-36]. The study found that poor mental health (depression) including alcohol use was associated with HIV risk behaviour among this sample of university students in Ivory Coast. This finding is in concordance with previous studies among university students [16,17,19-23]. While associations between alcohol use [17-22] and HIV risk behaviours have previously been found among university students, the mechanisms explaining the association between alcohol use and HIV risk behaviours in this setting are not fully understood [17]. Alcohol use in the context of sex and binge drinking seem to be associated in particular with indiscriminate forms of risky sex (e.g., having multiple or casual sex partners), which is keeping with the studies of American college populations [37,38].

The prevalence of childhood physical and sexual abuse was in this study (17.7% and 9.2% physical abuse among men and women, respectively, and 3.8% and 5.6% sexual abuse among men and women, respectively) similar to what was found in community samples in South Africa, Tanzania and Zimbabwe (14.9%–27.4% and 4.3%–15.6% physical abuse among men and women, respectively, and 1.6%–4.5% and 2.4%–4.9% sexual abuse among men and women, respectively) [15]. Contrary to some other studies [15,24,39], this study did not find an association between childhood physical and sexual abuse and HIV risk behaviour. The study found that among women, the experience of physical and/or sexual partner violence was associated with HIV risk behaviour. As found in other studies [23,40-44] women who experience intimate partner violence are at risk for HIV through high-risk heterosexual contact. Overall, poor mental health, traumatic experiences and substance use may synergistically interact to increase HIV risk behaviour [26].

The study found that among men, lack of religiousness was associated with HIV risk behaviour (multiple sexual partners and history of STI), but it was not associated with condom use. Similarly, in a study among university students in Uganda for those who rated religion as less important in their family, the probability of having a high number of lifetime partners increased significantly, while the role of religion seemed to have no impact on condom use [45]. Religious beliefs and attitudes on sexual matters appear to have considerable influence on the sexual conduct of young men in the Ivory Coast [46] which can be utilised for HIV prevention programs. Finally, women from poorer family backgrounds were more likely to be engaging in sex with multiple partners. It is possible that students from poorer backgrounds engage more likely in transactional sex [47].

Study limitations

Limitation pertains to the generalizability of the study results, where caution should be taken when interpreting these results, as only full-time undergraduate students between the ages of 18 to 30 years were included in this study. It is unknown to what extents these findings can be generalised to part-time or non-resident students. The data used in the study were obtained by self-report which could have been the result of desired participants’ responses. Although the study was anonymous, the sensitive nature of the items related to sexual behaviour could have an impact on the participants’ responses. Moreover, this study was based on the data collected in a cross-sectional survey. We cannot, therefore, ascribe causality to any of the associated factors in the study. A further limitation was that certain mental health measures were brief screenings and may be interpreted only as indicators of poor mental health [26,27]. In addition, the study did not screen for the history of severe mental illness, which has been found to be associated with HIV risk behaviour [44], and should be included in future studies.

Conclusions

High rates of depression, PTSD, childhood abuse and HIV risk behaviour were found among the studied university student population. Poor mental health (depression) including alcohol use and partner violence was associated with HIV risk behaviour. Coordinated mental health and sexual and reproductive health services to meet the needs of university students would be desirable.
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