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ABSTRACT

Countries worldwide imposed different swift protective measures such as movement restrictions and staying at home measures to mitigate the spread of COVID-19. Despite the benefits gained from such measures in containing the spread of the infection, adverse lifestyle consequences happened. In this study we aimed to assess the impact of staying at home measures imposed during COVID-19 pandemic on dietary behaviors, physical activity, and body weight in Qatar’s population. A population based cross sectional survey was conducted between December 2020 and February 2021 targeting adults ≥18 years. A total of 1,408 participants completed the survey in four languages. Concerning the overall diet perception, 27.8%, and 33.2% perceived that their overall diet has become less healthy, or healthier respectively, since the start of staying at home measures. Almost half of all participants reported weight gain. Participants reported increased sitting/reclining time (1.94 h/day mean increase), screen times (2.05 h/day mean increase) with \( p < 0.001 \), and decreased exercise time (0.11 h/day mean reduction) with \( p < 0.001 \). The ordinal logistic regression analysis showed that the numbers of unhealthy dietary behaviors adopted by participants, and adverse changes in exercise, setting/reclining and screen times were significantly associated with weight gain. The results of this study indicate that peoples in Qatar have experienced adverse lifestyle changes with regard to their diet, physical activity, and body weight. More emphasis should be put on encouraging people to maintain healthy lifestyle behaviors during home confinement measures that might be imposed during any public health crises or any potential future outbreaks.

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused governments in various countries to take swift and protective measures to limit the spread of the infection by closing shopping malls, gyms, and schools, and discouraging social gatherings. Many countries resorted to adopting more strict measures such as imposing total lockdowns or even curfews (Abed Alah et al., 2019). Unfortunately, such mandated restrictions on movements prevented people from going to work, school, or gym, and forced them toward more sedentary lifestyles (Stockwell et al., 2021; Violant-Holz et al., 2020). The evolving literature has shown reductions in physical activity among populations in various countries due to lockdowns (Stockwell et al., 2021; Ammar et al., 2020; Meiring et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2021). Some studies reported some mode-specific increases in physical activity particularly among subgroups who were less active prior lockdowns. However, the total physical activity levels in these respective populations still decreased (Stockwell et al., 2021; Suka et al., 2021; Mauger et al., 2020 Jun 1; Schnitzer et al., 2020 Aug). A sizable body of evidence has suggested that sedentary behaviors are independent risk factors for adverse health conditions. Such behaviors are associated with increased cardiovascular and all-cause mortality (Seguin et al., 2014; Dunstan et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2013; George et al., 2013; León-Muñoz et al., 2013), type 2 diabetes mellitus (Krishnan et al., 2009; Ford et al., 2010; van der Berg et al., 2016), cancer (Campbell et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2010; Seguin et al., 2014; Dunstan et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2013), adverse metabolic outcomes, and increased incidence...
services were interrupted during the pandemic in an attempt to mitigate restrictive measures beginning March 2020 to contain the spread of COVID-19. These measures included limiting the number of people going out to work to 20% of total employees, closure of educational institutions, shopping malls, wedding halls, gyms, parks, beaches, and restaurants, interruption of some public transportation services, and panic buying behaviors exhibited by some people (Leone et al., 2020), may result in an increased consumption of highly processed foods, which tend to be high in fats, sugars and salt (World Health Organization, 2020). Feelings of boredom resulting from staying at home for prolonged periods of time may increase the desire to eat unhealthy snacks as opposed to eating healthy foods (Moynihan et al., 2015). Boredom has been associated with an increased energy intake as well as the consumption of higher quantities of fats, carbohydrates, and proteins (Moynihan et al., 2015). The stress surrounding this pandemic has pushed people toward overeating, mostly looking for sweetened “comfort foods” (Yılmaz and Gökmen, 2020; Razzoli et al., 2017). A growing body of evidence has shown that staying at home measures during the COVID-19 pandemic has had a negative impact on several aspects of lifestyles such as levels of physical activity and dietary behaviors in health-compromising directions. Studies have shown that during the lockdown associated with the pandemic people reported less physical activity (Schnitzer et al., 2020; Ammar et al., 2020; Meiring et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2021; Ismail et al., 2020; Husain and Ashkanani, 2020; Zachary et al., 2020; Gönricka et al., 2020), weight gain (Zachary et al., 2020), and more unhealthy dietary patterns such as snacking more frequently, eating out of control, and eating more (Ammar et al., 2020; Robinson et al., 2021; Ismail et al., 2020; Husain and Ashkanani, 2020; Zachary et al., 2020), and an increase in daily sitting and screen times (time spent in front of TV, smart devices, laptops) (Ammar et al., 2020; Ismail et al., 2020; Husain and Ashkanani, 2020; Gönricka et al., 2020). In Qatar, maintaining healthy lifestyles is a priority as reflected in the government’s public health strategy 2017–2022 (Ministry of Public Health Qatar, 2021). Six wellness centers were established in Primary Health Care to offer wellness services such as weight control, exercise regimens, and dietary modifications by healthy lifestyle specialists (Primary Healthcare Corporation, 2021). The ultimate goal of these centers is the prevention and control of any existing lifestyle related diseases (Primary Healthcare Corporation, 2021). Unfortunately, such services were interrupted during the pandemic in an attempt to mitigate the spread of the infection. Qatar implemented several preventive and restrictive measures beginning March 2020 to contain the spread of COVID-19. These measures included limiting the number of people going out to work to 20% of total employees, closure of educational institutions, shopping malls, wedding halls, gyms, parks, beaches, and restaurants, interruption of some public transportation services, and strongly emphasizing the importance of staying at home through various media channels. However, Qatar did not impose a complete lockdown or a curfew; people were still allowed to leave their homes whenever they wanted, and they were able to practice outdoor physical exercises. However, with the other restrictive measures in place and with the hot humid weather in Qatar during that period, people were reluctant to leave their homes unless absolutely necessary. Easing and lifting of restrictions took place over a phased controlled process that involved four phases with first phase beginning on June 15, 2020 and the fourth on September 15, 2020, with two weeks interval between each of the phases (Supreme Committee for Crisis Management, 2020). The transition from one phase to the next depended on a stringently defined ”monitor-review-adapt” process and close monitoring of a set of restriction-specific key performance indicators such as the number of new COVID-19 cases and the epidemic curve (Supreme Committee for Crisis Management, 2020). Based on these factors, Qatar could not reach phase four on time for returning to normal. In fact, at the time of conducting this study between December 2020 and February 2021, while most restrictions were eased, they never reached a back to full normal state with 100% operational services. People still worked from home, restaurants, gyms, shops, and malls opened with limited capacities, and staying at home recommendations continued to be emphasized. We believe that assessing the impact of such restrictive measures on the lifestyle of the multicultural population of Qatar that hosts over 80 different nationalities is indeed a fertile area for research that needs to be explored.

In this population-based study we aimed to assess the impact of staying at home measures imposed during the COVID-19 pandemic on dietary behaviors, physical activity, and body weight. To the best of our knowledge this is one of the few studies to address this area in the Middle East, which will add value to the preexisting and evolving literature. Our objectives were to explore the changes reported by Qatar’s population in their dietary behaviors, physical activity, and body weight and their associated factors. We believe that the results of this study will promote the availability of evidence for policy makers and will guide the implementation of effective lifestyle related interventions.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and the target population

A population based cross-sectional survey was conducted between December 2020 and February 2021. The target population included adults ≥18 years, who stayed in Qatar for at least two months between March and August 2020, which was the time when Qatar had strict public health measures such as closure of shopping malls, schools, gyms, and working from home, and emphasized the importance of staying at home measures.

2.2. Study procedure

A web-based self-administered survey was developed using the SurveyMonkey software. The link to the survey was posted on the social media platforms of Hamad Medical Corporation (HMC) such as Instagram, Facebook, and Twitter, which are generally accessible by the public. We also utilized snowballing sampling method by circulating the link through emails and WhatsApp groups. The survey started with an introductory letter that stated the purpose of the study, that participation was voluntary, and assured the anonymity and confidentiality of collected information. Taking the survey implied informed consent. Participants were free to terminate the survey at any time. Reminders with repoting of the links on social media were done on a regular basis. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of HMC (MRC 05-195).

2.3. Study questionnaire

The questionnaire was developed in English after a thorough literature review and after consulting with experts in the field. It was then translated into three other languages (Arabic, Malayalam, and Urdu) by an accredited translation body. We conducted a comprehensive literature review using databases like PubMed, and Google Scholar utilizing different sets of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms. We consulted a nutritionist and a lifestyle specialist upon selecting the different sets of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms. We translated into three other languages (Arabic, Malayalam, and Urdu) by an accredited translation body. We conducted a comprehensive literature review using databases like PubMed, and Google Scholar utilizing different sets of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms. We consulted a nutritionist and a lifestyle specialist upon selecting the final items to be included in the questionnaire who also assured the face validity of the questionnaire and modified the items to avoid ambiguity. The questionnaire consisted of three sections. The first section explored participants’ sociodemographic characteristics (age, gender, nationality, marital status, highest degree of education,
employment status and whether they worked from home or not during the staying at home measures, and the presence of any chronic diseases). The second and the third sections explored the changes in dietary behaviors and their underlying factors in addition to changes in weight, and changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviors (including changes in time spent in exercise, sitting/reclining, and screen time) respectively.

2.4. Outcome measures

We measured participants’ self-reported perceived changes in their dietary behaviors and physical activities. To assess changes in diet, participants were asked to select the type of dietary changes they adopted since the start of COVID-19-related staying at home measures for the period between March and August 2020 using seven statements (five statements indicated unhealthy changes and two indicated healthier ones). The statements were: “I tend to eat more fatty food”, “I tend to eat more sugar/chocolate/sweets”, “I tend to eat more fast/junk food”, “I tend to eat more processed/canned food than fresh food”, “I tend to eat larger quantities of food”, “I tend to eat more vegetables/fruit and fresh food”, and “I tend to depend more on home cooking”. The total number of unhealthy dietary behaviors was calculated for each participant. Reasons for the underlying dietary changes were assessed for each direction of change by allowing participants to select one or more reasons from a list that was developed after thorough literature review, and they were allowed to specify other reasons not present in the list. Examples for reasons behind unhealthy changes included: “Increase stress and worry during COVID-19 pandemic makes me eat more”, “Increased boredom while staying at home makes me eat more”, “Financial problems since the start of COVID-19 related measures limited my ability to buy healthier alternatives”, “Processed/canned food is easier to store and use than fresh food during “staying at home” measures”. Examples of reasons behind healthier changes included: “Healthy eating habits strengthen my immunity against contracting COVID-19”, “Less accessibility to fast food restaurants”, “Closing of gyms and movement restriction make me more worried about my fitness and or weight and so I tend to eat healthier alternatives”. Participants also reported their perceived weight change on an ordinal scale indicating their average weight gain since the start of staying at home measures (no change, <3 kg, 3–6 kg, 7–10 kg, >10 kg). To assess the changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviors, participants were asked to report separately the average time spent in exercise (regardless of the type or intensity of exercise), sitting/reclining, and screen time expressed as hours/day both before and during the staying at home measures. They were also asked whether they used to go to the gym regularly before the gym closures.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Descriptive statistics were presented as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. After testing for normality and taking into account the number of unhealthy dietary behaviors also reported at least one unhealthy one. For example, 65.9% and 68.8% of those who reported consuming more fruits and vegetables, and depended more on home cooking, reported one or more unhealthy dietary changes, respectively. Participants who perceived unhealthy changes in their overall diet attributed these changes mostly to feelings of boredom during staying at home measures (284, 72.4%), longer time spent in front of screens such as TV, mobiles, computers (274, 69.9%), and stress and worry during COVID-19 pandemic (231, 58.9%). A smaller proportion reported other factors such as limited accessibility and/or availability of healthier food alternatives (95, 24.2%), that processed/canned food was easier to store and use than fresh food during staying at home measures (79, 20.2%), and inability to buy healthier alternatives due to COVID-19 related financial constraints (76, 19.4%). On the other hand, healthier dietary changes among those who perceived a healthier overall diet were attributed to participants’ beliefs that a healthier diet would strengthen their immunity against contracting the COVID-19 infection (373, 79.7%), and fear of catching the infection if ordered food from restaurants (226, 48.3%). Participants in the younger age groups, females, unmarried, and those working from home reported significantly higher numbers of unhealthy dietary changes. Participants with a history of chronic diseases and with nationalities of Asia-Pacific origin had significantly lower number of unhealthy dietary changes Table 2.

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic characteristics

As shown in Table 1, the survey was completed by 1408 participants. Of these, 825 (58.6%) completed the English version, followed by 23.2%, 17.3%, and 0.9% of the participants completed the Malayalam, Arabic, and Urdu versions, respectively. Male to female ratio was 1:4.1 and 58.8% were males. The majority of participants 1084 (77%) were 25–44 years old, 1132 (80.4%) were married, and 1107 (78.6%) had completed a college degree or higher. The top three nationalities were Indians (53.4%), Filipinos (5.5%), and Qataris (4.3%). Almost three quarters (1070; 76%) were employed and 53.1% of them were working from home during staying at home measures. Of the total participants, 306 (21.7%) suffered from chronic diseases.

3.2. Changes in dietary behaviors

Concerning the overall perception of diet, about one third (392, 27.8%) of participants perceived that their overall diet had become less healthy since the start of staying at home measures; 468 (33.2%) perceived a healthier change, and the remaining perceived no changes in their overall diet. As shown in Fig. 1, participants reported several unhealthy dietary behavioral changes such as consuming more fatty food (44.3%), sugar/sweets (46.7%), junk food (32.2%), processed and/or canned food (17.4%), and larger quantities of food (44.2%). On the other hand, 75.4% and 63.1% of them reported consuming more vegetables, fruits, and fresh food and depending more on home-cooking respectively. However, most participants who reported such healthy dietary behaviors also reported at least one unhealthy one. For example, 65.9% and 68.8% of those who reported consuming more fruits and vegetables, and depended more on home cooking, reported one or more unhealthy dietary changes, respectively.

Participants who perceived unhealthy changes in their overall diet attributed these changes mostly to feelings of boredom during staying at home measures (284, 72.4%), longer time spent in front of screens such as TV, mobiles, computers (274, 69.9%), and stress and worry during COVID-19 pandemic (231, 58.9%). A smaller proportion reported other factors such as limited accessibility and/or availability of healthier food alternatives (95, 24.2%), that processed/canned food was easier to store and use than fresh food during staying at home measures (79, 20.2%), and inability to buy healthier alternatives due to COVID-19 related financial constraints (76, 19.4%). On the other hand, healthier dietary changes among those who perceived a healthier overall diet were attributed to participants’ beliefs that a healthier diet would strengthen their immunity against contracting the COVID-19 infection (373, 79.7%), and fear of catching the infection if ordered food from restaurants (226, 48.3%). Participants in the younger age groups, females, unmarried, and those working from home reported significantly higher numbers of unhealthy dietary changes. Participants with a history of chronic diseases and with nationalities of Asia-Pacific origin had significantly lower number of unhealthy dietary changes Table 2.

3.3. Changes in physical activity

Participants reported a minor, yet statistically significant reduction in the average time spent in exercise (0.11 h/day). This equals to 6.6 min/day mean reduction, p < 0.001 with small effect size (r –0.17). However, group analysis demonstrated in Table 3 showed a statistically significant reduction in exercise time for those who used to go to gyms before their closure (p < 0.001) and and minor non significant increase
for those who did not (p = 0.527). Participants also reported a significant increase in sitting/reclining time (1.94 h/day mean increase), screen time (2.05 h/day mean increase) with p < 0.001 and large effect sizes (r 0.80, and 0.76 respectively).

To determine the predictors of changes in exercise, sitting/reclining and screen times, we carried out three models of multivariable logistic regression considering the change (increase or no increase, decrease or no decrease) in times as a binary dependent variable. The three models were statistically significant when compared to the null models (p < 0.001) and of good fit. Regression analysis showed that participants with nationalities of Middle Eastern-North African and European origins were about two times more likely to report an increase in sitting/reclining and screen times compared to those of Asia-Pacific origin (p < 0.001). Those who were previously active and used to go to the gym regularly were more likely to report adverse changes in all of the measured times compared to the less active people. Table 4 shows the results of the logistic regression analysis.

3.4. Changes in weight

Almost half of the sample (695; 49.4%) reported weight gain with 52.2% reporting a 3–6 kg average increase in weight since the start of staying at home measures. We used an ordinal logistic regression analysis to determine the predictors of weight gain taking into consideration the reported weight gain (no change, <3 kg, 3–6 kg, 7–10 kg, >10 kg) as the dependent ordinal variable. Two models were carried out: one to analyze the impact of sociodemographic characteristics on weight gain, and the second to analyze the impact of the number of unhealthy dietary behaviors adopted during staying at home measures, and the changes in time spent in exercise, sitting/reclining, and screen time (all adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics) on weight gain. Both models were of good fit and statistically significant (χ²(18) 112.124, p < 0.001) and (χ²(26) 491.69, p < 0.001) for the first and second models respectively. Approximately 8% and 32% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance in the weight gain can be explained by the first and the second models respectively. In the first model, participants with nationalities of Middle Eastern-North African and Sub-Saharan African origins were more likely to fall in higher weight gain categories than those from nationalities of Asia-Pacific origin with (OR 1.88, CI95% 1.43–2.48, p < 0.001) and (OR 1.83, CI95% 1.08–3.07, p = 0.023) respectively. Those who used to go to the gym regularly before the staying at home measures were more likely to report higher weight gain than those who did not (OR 1.78, CI95% 1.39–2.28, p < 0.001). On the other hand, participants aged 55–64 years were more likely to fall in lower weight gain categories compared to those aged 18–24 years (OR 0.41, CI95% 0.19–0.88, p = 0.023). No significant associations were found between weight change and gender, employment status (whether working from home or not), or history of chronic diseases (see Table 5).

In the second model, participants who reported a higher number of unhealthy dietary behavioral changes, were more likely to report greater weight gain (p < 0.001). For example, those who reported five unhealthy dietary changes were 13 times more likely to report higher weight gain categories compared to those who did not report any unhealthy changes (OR 13.41, CI95% 26.85–69.60, p < 0.001). The mean reduction in exercise time, and the mean increases in sitting/reclining, and screen times were significantly associated with greater weight gain (see Table 6).

4. Discussion

Despite the benefits gained from the strict measures, including movement restrictions and staying at home orders in mitigating the spread of the COVID-19 infection, adverse health consequences have occurred. In this population-based study, we explored the impact of staying at home measures on dietary behaviors, physical activity, and body weight. The sample in this study is somehow representative of the wider population in Qatar in terms of the age distribution and the ethnic origin of participants because 93.7% of Qatar’s population above 24 years are between 25 and 54 years and 4.7% are between 55 and 64 years consistent with our study findings (Planning and Statistic Authority, 2021). Additionally, over 70% are non-Arabs similar to our study in which the non-Arabs comprised 80.6% of the total sample (Population of...
On the other hand, the sex ratio in our sample (male: female ratio of 1.4:1) does not match the one in the true population (male: female ratio of 3.4:1) (Qatar – The World Factbook, 2021). Moreover, 78.6% of the study participants had a college degree or higher contrary to Qatar’s population where only 29% of those 15 years and above have a similar education level (Planning and Statistics Authority, 2019).

Regarding the overall perception of changes in dietary behaviors, nearly a third reported that their diet became less healthy since the start of the staying at home measures. This is consistent with a study in the United States where 31% of the participants reported worsened overall dietary behaviors (Khubchandani et al., 2020). Participants reported several unhealthy changes in their diet such as eating more fatty food and more sugar/sweets consistent with other studies conducted in neighboring Gulf countries such as Kuwait (Husain and Ashkanani, 2020), and the United Arab of Emirates (UAE) (Ismaiel et al., 2020). However, about one third of the participants in our study reported eating more fast-junk food unlike the studies in Kuwait and UAE where participants had reported drastic decreases in such food consumption. The switch to less healthier food choices, which are high in fat and sugar among the participants, may be attributed to emotional eating behaviors (eating due to stress or boredom). In fact, almost three quarters attributed such behaviors to increased feelings of boredom during home confinement and COVID-19 related stress. The evolving literature is currently shedding some light on the growing problem of emotional eating behaviors during the Covid-9 pandemic (Khubchandani et al., 2020; Rodgers et al., 2020; Al-Musharaf, 2020). On the other hand, one study that assessed food choice determinants during the COVID-19 pandemic had shown a decrease in perception of the importance of mood as a determinant which may promote the intake of a diet of higher nutritional value (Glabksa et al., 2020).

Although a high proportion of our participants reported healthy dietary behavioral changes such as consuming more fruits and vegetables (75.4%), and depending more on home cooking (63.1%), most of them reported at least one additional unhealthy dietary change. This is consistent with the findings of a recent scoping review that concluded that the lockdown due to Covid-19 results in both favorable and non-favorable dietary behaviors (Bennett et al., 2021). In our study, females, those of younger ages, and those unmarried reported higher numbers of unhealthy dietary changes similar to other studies (AlAbadi et al., 2021; Deschasaux-Tanguy et al., 2019). We believe that unmarried individuals in Qatar are less likely to cook at home and depend on ordering food from restaurants that had continued providing services without interruption during the pandemic. Furthermore, lack of family support to these individuals during the pandemic might have been a source of stress resulting in adverse dietary behaviors, such as emotional eating.

This study, as well, showed a significant reduction in physical activity and an increase in sedentary behaviors expressed in terms of reduction in the time spent in exercise, and increase in sitting/reclining and screen times similar to other studies (Ammar et al., 2020; Robinson et al., 2020; Schnitzer et al., 2020; Brancaccio et al., 2020; Ismaiel et al., 2020; Husain and Ashkanani, 2020; Zachary et al., 2020; Górnicka et al., 2020). It is of paramount importance for people to maintain physical activity during staying at home measures. Evidence has shown that maintaining moderate intensity exercises is immunoenhancing rendering the human body more resistant to infections such as the COVID-19 (Scudiero et al., 2021). The reduction in exercise time in our study was minor, yet statistically significant for those who used to be active and were going to the gym regularly before the pandemic. Those who were not previously active showed a non-significant minor increase in their exercise time. On the other hand, studies in Austria (Schnitzer et al., 2020) and Belgium (Constandt et al., 2020) showed significantly higher exercise levels and more engagement in sport activities among previously less active people during the lockdowns. The reduction in exercise might be related to an inability to adapt to training at home after gym closures, lack of exercise equipment, or limited space at homes to allow performing different forms of exercise. Furthermore, the hot and humid weather in Qatar during summertime when restrictions were in place might have caused people reluctant to participate in outdoor activities.
exercises when permitted. Two thirds of our participants reported a significant increase in screen time. One possible explanation may be that distant operation of schools, offices and other institutions might have resulted in an increased use of digital devices as a way of interpersonal communication. Moreover, during staying at home measures, many health care institutions began providing virtual, instead of face-to-face, consultations with patients. As well, being forced to stay at home might have impelled people to spend more time in front of televisions or mobile devices for leisure purposes. Another reason for such increased time might have been a need to closely follow global events related to the pandemic. Lastly, with the closure of shopping malls and many retail stores, online shopping became the only means for consumers to satisfy their consumption needs.

Regarding the changes in body weight, a higher proportion of participants (49.4%) in our study perceived weight gain since the start of staying at home measures compared to other studies (Ismail et al., 2020; Zachary et al., 2020; Keel et al., 2020). This finding is alarming and could worsen existing obesity figures in Qatar and it signals a potential epidemic of lifestyle related non-communicable diseases in the post COVID-19 era. According to a report published by Qatar Biobank, the prevalence of obesity and overweight in Qatar is 43%, and 35%, respectively (Qatar Biobank Annual Report 2018/2019). The reduction in exercise time, the increase in sitting/reclining and screen times, and the number of unhealthy dietary changes were found to be significant predictors of weight gain in this study. These findings replicate the results of other studies that reported similar associations (Zachary et al., 2020; Reyes-Olavarría et al., 2020). However, the female gender was found to be a predictor of weight gain in other studies, but not in ours (Reyes-Olavarría et al., 2020; Dogas et al., 2020; Di Renzo et al., 2020).

The indirect long term health consequences of COVID-19 might be more dangerous than its direct effects and constitute a potentially major public health concern. The emergence of different SARS-CoV-2 variants that appeared initially in the United Kingdom, South Africa, and the recent Delta variant in India after a period of relative viral genetic stability is concerning and can lead to a severe epidemic rebound (Fontanet et al., 2021). In fact, authorities in various countries are racing to contain the spread of the highly contagious Delta variant and are reimposing lockdown measures after periods of lifting restrictions (COVID, 2021). The ability of the SARS-CoV-2 to mutate in ways that accelerates virus transmission and reduces vaccine effectiveness, vaccine hesitancy, and the naïve assumptions about herd immunity, given the appearance of new and challenging SARS-CoV-2 variants, could seriously result in repeated outbreak recurrences (Skegg et al., 2021).

History of previous pandemics and infectious outbreaks tells us that COVID-19 will not be the last pandemic, particularly with continued population growth, climate change, globalization and international travel that serve as triggers for emerging infections (Thoradeniya and Jayasinghe, 2021). As COVID-19 continues to spread and movement restriction measures are applied, the promotion of healthy lifestyles becomes increasingly more critical to prevent adverse health impacts. Additionally, researchers need to focus on addressing the persistence of such negative repercussions on health in the post pandemic era. It is worth noting that now it is the right time for countries to invest in introducing physical literacy among their populations which is a multidimensional concept that encompasses cognitive, emotional and social components that have a mutually beneficial relationship with physical activity. Physical literacy improves people’s confidence, knowledge and understanding of the importance of engaging in physical activity for life (Shahidi et al., 2020).

### 4.1. Strengths and limitations

This study had several strengths. As a population study, we were able to recruit an acceptable sample size of 1408 participants. The survey was distributed in four languages which may be considered a point of

| Variable | Median number of the adopted unhealthy dietary behaviors | p-value |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Age      |                                                          | <0.001  |
| Gender   |                                                          | 0.03    |
| Highest degree of education |                                                    | 0.91    |
| Employment status |                                                | 0.003   |
| Employment during “staying at home” measures |                        |         |
| Marital status |                                                | <0.001  |
| Presence of chronic disease/s |                                | 0.042   |
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Table 2

Differences in the number of unhealthy dietary behaviors adopted by participants among different sociodemographic subgroups during “staying at home” measures.

Table 3

Changes in exercise, setting/reclining, and screen times before and during “staying at home” measures.

| Variable | Mean difference a | T0 Median (Q1-Q3) | T1 Median (Q1-Q3) | p-value b | r² |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|----|
| Exercise time (Hours/day) |                  |                   |                   | <0.001    | -0.60 |
| Previously active (attended gym regularly) | -0.57 | 1 (1-1) | 1 (0-1) |           |
| Previously not active | 0.02 | 1 (1-1) | 1 (1-1) | 0.527 |
| Setting/reclining time (Hours/day) |                  |                   |                   | <0.001    | 0.76 |
| 1.94 | 3 (2-6) | 6 (3-10) |           |
| Screen time (Hours/day) |                  |                   |                   | <0.001    | 0.80 |
| 2.05 | 3 (2-6) | 6 (3-9) |           |

Abbreviations: T0, time before “staying at home” measures; T1 time during “staying at home” measures; Q1, quartile 1 (25th percentile); Q3, quartile 3 (75th percentile); r, Rank-biserial correlation coefficient.

a The mean difference in the exercise time (hours/day) for the whole sample (regardless of their previous gym attendance) was -0.11 (hours/day).
| Using Wilcoxon signed rank-rest.

b When applying Wilcoxon signed rank-rest for the difference in the exercise time (hours/day) before and during “staying at home” measures for the whole sample (regardless of their previous gym attendance), there was significant difference with p < 0.001 and effect size r = 0.17.
| Variable                        | Exercise Time | Sitting/Reclining Time | Screen Time |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|
|                                | Decreased No (%) | $\chi^2$ test p-value | Multivariable regression analysis | Increased No (%) | $\chi^2$ test p-value | Multivariable regression analysis | Increased/No (%) | $\chi^2$ test p-value | Multivariable regression analysis |
| Age                            | 18–24         | 21 (36.8)              | 0.258       | [Reference] | 43 (75.4)              | 0.018                   | [Reference] | 47 (82.5)              | 0.031                   |
|                                | 25–34         | 157 (29.1)             | 0.82       | (0.42–1.62) | 331 (61.3)             | 0.65                    | (0.32–1.32) | 357 (66.1)             | 0.45                    |
|                                | 35–44         | 135 (24.8)             | 0.68       | (0.24–1.37) | 308 (56.6)             | 0.53                    | (0.26–1.09) | 344 (36.2)             | 0.038                    |
|                                | 45–54         | 51 (25.1)              | 0.58       | (0.27–2.17) | 116 (57.1)             | 0.12                    | (0.24–1.11) | 120 (59.1)             | 0.34                     |
|                                | 55–64         | 13 (22.0)              | 0.45       | (0.17–1.14) | 31 (25.2)              | 0.42                    | (0.17–1.01) | 35 (59.3)              | 0.34                     |
|                                | 65+           | 1 (20.0)               | 0.58       | (0.56–5.95) | 5 (100.0)              | 0.00                    | (Reference) | 3 (60.0)               | 0.29                     |
| Gender                         | Male          | 198 (23.9)             | 0.003      | (0.64–1.16) | 459 (55.4)             | 0.001                   | (0.74–1.29) | 493 (59.5)             | <0.001                   |
|                                | Female        | 180 (31.0)             | 0.86       | (Reference) | 375 (64.7)             | 1                      | (Reference) | 413 (71.2)             | 1                       |
| Nationality (classification by regions) | Americans | 17 (37.8)             | <0.001     | (Reference) | 30 (66.7)              | <0.001                 | (Reference) | 32 (71.1)              | <0.001                   |
|                                | Sub-Saharan Africa | 18 (39.3)          | 0.442      | (0.66–2.58) | 39 (72.2)              | 1.30                    | (0.67–2.54) | 40 (74.1)              | 1.22                     |
|                                | Europe        | 46 (34.8)              | 1.40       | (0.75–2.60) | 91 (77.8)              | 2.11                    | (1.13–3.97) | 94 (80.3)              | 2.12                     |
|                                | Middle East – North Africa | 95 (22.0)       | 1.34       | (0.92–2.32) | 195 (71.4)             | 1.94                    | (1.42–2.65) | 214 (78.4)             | <0.001                   |
|                                | Asia – Pacific | 202 (27.8)           | 1          | (0.97–1.85) | 479 (52.1)             | 1                      | (Reference) | 526 (57.2)             | 1                       |
| Highest degree of education    | No formal education | 5 (2.78)           | 0.004      | (Reference) | 2.46                   | 0.191                  | 0.84             | (0.27–2.64) | 10 (55.6)              | 0.002                    |
|                                | High school diploma | 44 (19.3)        | 1.55       | (0.66–3.65) | 116 (50.9)             | 0.93                    | (0.50–1.74) | 122 (53.5)             | 0.60                     |
|                                | College or Higher | 321 (29.0)        | 2.23       | (1.01–4.95) | 681 (61.5)             | 1.19                    | (0.67–2.11) | 739 (66.8)             | 0.83                     |
|                                | Vocational training | 8 (14.5)         | 1          | (Reference) | 28 (50.9)              | 1                      | (Reference) | 35 (63.6)              | 1                       |
| Employment status              | Employed Work from home regularly | 160 (28.2)    | 0.015      | (Reference) | 0.96                   | 0.813                  | (Reference) | 0.84                   | 0.004                    |
|                                | Not employed Work from Home regularly | 113 (22.5)   | 0.81       | (0.54–1.20) | 236 (47.0)             | 0.60                    | (0.42–0.85) | 257 (51.2)             | 0.004                    |
| Marital status                 | Married       | 282 (24.9)            | 0.001      | (0.64–1.25) | 645 (57.0)             | 0.001                  | (Reference) | 708 (62.5)             | 0.004                    |
|                                | Not married   | 96 (34.8)             | 0.89       | (Reference) | 189 (68.5)             | 1                      | (Reference) | 198 (71.7)             | 1.00                     |
| Chronic disease                | Yes           | 84 (27.5)             | 0.787      | (Reference) | 1.23                   | 0.211                  | (Reference) | 187 (61.1)             | 1.00                     |
|                                | No            | 294 (26.7)            | 1          | (Reference) | 664 (60.3)             | 1                      | (Reference) | 719 (65.2)             | 1.00                     |
| Being previously active (attending gym regularly) | Yes         | 155 (50.5)            | <0.001     | (Reference) | 3.60                   | <0.001                | (Reference) | 239 (77.9)             | <0.001                   |
|                                | No            | 223 (20.3)            | 1          | (Reference) | 614 (55.8)             | 1                      | (Reference) | 667 (60.6)             | 1.00                     |

Abbreviations: AOR adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
changes in physical activity is mainly based on the reduction in exercise reliability was not assessed. Additionally, our conclusion about adverse times and the increase in sedentary behaviors. However, other forms of

survey was developed based on a thorough literature review, its

together at the time of conducting this study. Fourth, while the ques

 questionnaire that assesses all the aspects of lifestyle we needed, all

sampling technique we used might have introduced selection bias

one of the few conducted in the Middle East to address this important

We also acknowledge that this study had several limitations. First,

We also acknowledge that this study had several limitations. First,

being previously active (attending gym regularly)

Abbreviations: AOR adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

strength in a multilingual country like Qatar. In addition, this study was

The results of this study indicate that Qatar’s population experienced adverse lifestyle changes with regard to their diet and physical activity. About 50% reported weight gain which is an alarming finding that can precipitate future epidemics of lifestyle related non-communicable

5. Conclusion

Table 5

Sociodemographic predictors of increased body weight during COVID-19 related “staying at home” measures using ordinal logistic regression analysis (model 1).

| Variable | No (%) of participants in each weight category | AOR (95%CI) | p-value |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age | | | |
| 18–24 (n = 57) | No increase in weight: 4 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) | 1 [Reference] | 0.098 |
| 25–34 (n = 540) | <3 kg: 12 (21.1) 16 (28.1) | 1.05 | 0.365 |
| 35–44 (n = 544) | 3-6 kg: 36 (6.7) 17 (3.1) | 0.98 | 0.658 |
| 45–54 (n = 203) | 7-10 kg: 16 (7.9) 3 (1.5) | 0.65 | 0.135 |
| 55–64 (n = 59) | >10 kg: 1 (1.7) 2 (3.4) | 0.41 | 0.023 |
| 65+ (n = 5) | | | |

| Gender | Male (n = 828) | 463 (55.9) | 1 [Reference] | 0.119 |
| Female (n = 580) | 250 (43.1) | 0.82 | 0.092 |

| Nationality (classification by regions) | Americas (n = 45) | 18 (40.0) | 1 [Reference] | 0.356 |
| Europe (n = 117) | 46 (39.3) | 1.30 | 0.992 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa (n = 54) | 21 (7.0) | 0.74 | 0.203 |
| Middle East – North Africa (n = 273) | 116 (42.5) | 1.88 | <0.001 |
| Asia – Pacific (n = 919) | 512 (55.7) | 1.43 | 0.144 |

| Highest degree of education | No formal education (n = 18) | 13 (1.0) | 1 [Reference] | 0.083 |
| High school diploma (n = 228) | 144 (63.2) | 0.10 | 0.012 |
| College or Higher (n = 1107) | 531 (48) | 0.48 | 0.27 |
| Vocational training (n = 55) | 25 (45.5) | 0.68 | 0.41 |

| Employment status during “staying at home” measures | Employed n = 1070 | Work from home (n = 568) | 0.98 | 0.891 |
| Work regularly (n = 502) | 284 (56.6) | 1.02 | 0.76–1.37 |
| Not employed (n = 338) | 150 (44.4) | 0.78 | 0.58–1.10 |

| Marital status | Married (n = 1132) | 592 (52.3) | 1.05 | 0.745 |
| Not married (n = 276) | 121 (43.8) | 0.79 | 0.39–1.19 |

| Chronic disease | Yes (n = 306) | 171 (55.9) | 0.94 | 0.643 |
| No (n = 1102) | 542 (49.2) | 0.72 | 0.42–1.22 |

| Being previously active (attending gym regularly) | Yes (n = 307) | 109 (35.5) | 1.78 | <0.001 |
| No (n = 1107) | 604 (54.9) | 1.39 | 0.38–3.89 |
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Table 6
Dietary behavioral and physical activity changes as predictors of weight gain during COVID-19 related “staying at home” measures using ordinal logistic regression analysis (Model 2).

| Variable | No (% of participants in each weight gain category) | AOR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Number of unhealthy dietary behaviors | | | |
| 0 (n = 411) | 332 (80.8) | 39 (9.5) | 33 (8.0) | 5 (1.2) | 2 (0.5) | 1 (Reference) | | |
| 1 (n = 271) | 157 (57.9) | 51 (18.8) | 53 (19.6) | 7 (2.6) | 3 (1.1) | 2.64 (1.87-3.72) | <0.001 |
| 2 (n = 241) | 107(44.4) | 39 (16.2) | 78 (32.4) | 15 (6.2) | 20 (8.0) | 4.71 (3.32-6.68) | <0.001 |
| 3 (n = 197) | 61 (31.0) | 31 (15.7) | 75 (38.1) | 23 (11.7) | 7 (3.6) | 7.78 (5.37-11.27) | <0.001 |
| 4 (n = 180) | 35 (19.4) | 26 (14.4) | 81 (45.0) | 26 (14.4) | 12 (6.7) | 13.32 (9.04-19.63) | <0.001 |
| 5 (n = 108) | 21 (19.4) | 16 (14.8) | 43 (39.8) | 17 (15.7) | 11 (10.2) | 13.41 (8.56-21.02) | <0.001 |
| Mean time difference for each weight gain category | | | |
| Exercise time difference* (Hours/day) | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.43- | 0.68- | 0.66- | 0.78 (0.70–0.86) | <0.001 |
| Sitting/reclining time difference† (Hours/day) | 1.29 | 1.89 | 2.56 | 3.48 | 5.03 | 1.04 (1.01–1.08) | 0.026 |
| Screen time Difference* (Hours/day) | 1.46 | 1.70 | 2.74 | 3.67 | 4.35 | 1.06 (1.02–1.10) | 0.003 |

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
* Adjusted for variables in Table 5.
† Calculated as time during any public health crises or any potential future outbreaks.

Diseases and worsen an already growing problem of obesity in Qatar. Although staying at home measures are critically important to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 infection, the findings of this study should be taken into consideration for future regulations in Qatar. It is of paramount importance to encourage people to maintain healthy lifestyle behaviors during staying at home measures that might be imposed during any public health crises or any potential future outbreaks. Evidence from this study should further stimulate efforts directed toward assessing and following up patients’ lifestyle related indices by healthcare workers and guide the implementation of effective lifestyle related interventions.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Muna Abed Alah: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft. Sami Abdeen: Methodology, Writing – original draft. Vahe Kehyayan: Supervision, Writing - review & editing. Iheb Bougmiza: Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Qatar National Library (QNL) for funding the publication of this article. We would like to thank Dr. Safoora Nazer for assisting in reviewing the Urdu version of the questionnaire, and the HMC communication department for uploading the survey to SurveyMonkey software.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

Abed Alah, M., Abdeen, S., Kehyayan, V., 2020. The first few cases and fatalities of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the Eastern Mediterranean Region of the World Health Organization: A rapid review [Internet]. Vol. 13, Journal of Infection and Public Health, Elsevier Ltd; 2020 [cited 2021 Jul 3]. p. 1367-72. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7303600/.

Allabadi H, Dahis J, Aghabeklian V, Khader A, Khammass U. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on dietary and lifestyle behaviours among adolescents in Palestine. Dyn. Hum. Heal..2020(2):7. Available from: http://journalofhealth.co.nz/?page_id=2179.

Al-Musharaf S. Prevalence and predictors of emotional eating among healthy young Saudi women during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nutrients. 2020;12(1):1-17. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7598723/.

Ammar, A., Brach, M., Trabelsi, K., Chitourou, H., Boulkhis, O., Masmoudi, L., et al., 2020. Effects of COVID-19 home confinment on eating behaviour and physical activity: results of the ECLB-COVID-19 international online survey. Nutrients. May 28 [cited 2021 Mar 14];12(6):1583. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2072-643X/12/6/1583.

Bennett G, Young E, Butler I, Coe S. The Impact of Lockdown During the COVID-19 outbreak on dietary habits in various population groups: a scoping review. Front. Nutrit., Front. Med. S.A.; 2021 (8). p. 626432. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7969464/.

Bowden Davies KA, Pickles S, Sprung VS, Kemp GJ, Alam U, Moore DR, et al. Reduced physical activity in young and older adults: metabolic and musculoskeletal implications. Vol. 10, Therapeutic Advances in Endocrinology and Metabolism. SAGE Publications Ltd; 2019. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC6878603/.

Brancazzo M, Mennetti C, Gentile A, Correlle L, Buzzachera CF, Ferraris C, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Job Activity, Dietary Behaviors and Physical Activity Habits of University Population of Naples, Federico II-Italy. Int J Environ Res Public Heal 2021, Vol 18, Page 1502. 2021;18(4):1502. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/4/1502.htm.

Campbell, P.T., Patel, A.V., Newton, C.C., Jacobs, E.J., Gaspurt, S.M., 2013. Associations of recreational physical activity and leisure time spent sitting with colorectal cancer survival. J. Clin. Oncol. 31 (7), 876-885.

Constanzi, B., Thibaut, E., De Bosscher, V., Scheerder, J., Ricour, M., Willem, A., 2020. Exercising in times of lockdown: an analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on levels and patterns of exercise among adults in Belgium. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health Artic. [cited 2021 Mar 16]; Available from: www.mdpi.com/journal/ijerph.

COVID-19. News restriction of food intake during the Coronavirus pandemic news | Al Jazeera [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jul 19]. Available from: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/6/26/covid-19-covid-variant-forces-new-lockdowns-as-europe-escapes-curbs.

Dhachan-Tanguy M, Drusne-Pecolli N, Essedidk Y, De Esdeyni FS, Alles B, Andreuva VA, et al. Diet and physical activity during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) lockdown (March-May 2020): results from the French NutriNet-Sante cohort study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr.. 2021;113(4):924–38. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7989637/.

Di Renzo, L., Guaiterli, P., Pivari, F., Soldati, L., Attinà, A., Cinelli, G., et al., 2020. Eating habits and lifestyle changes during COVID-19 lockdown: an Italian survey. J. Transl. Med. 18 (1). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7278251/.

Dogat Z, Kalcina LL, Dodig IP, Demirovski S, Madirezza K, Volic M, et al. The effect of COVID-19 lockdown on lifestyle and mood in Croatian general population: a cross-sectional study. Croat Med. J.. 2020;61(4):309–18. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7480750/.

Duncan, D.W., Barr, E.M., Healy, G.N., Salmon, J., Shaw, J.E., Balkau, B., et al., 2010. Television viewing time and mortality: The Australian diabetes, obesity and lifestyle study (audiba). Circulation 121 (8), 384–391.

Fontanet A, Autran B, Linda K, Knuty MP, Karim SSA, Sriskand D. SARS-CoV-2 variants and ending the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet (London, England). 2021;397(10278):952. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7906631/.

Ford, E.S., Schulze, M.B., Kröger, J., Pincon, T., Bergmann, M.M., Boeing, H., 2010. Television watching and incident diabetes: findings from the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition-potsdam study. J. Diabetes 2 (1), 23-27.

Ford, E.S., Li, C., Zhao, G., Pearson, W.S., Tsai, J., Churilla, J.R., 2010. Sedentary behavior, physical activity, and concentrations of insulin among US adults. Metab. clin. exp. 59 (9), 1268-1275.

George, E.S., Rosenkranz, R.R., Kolt, G.S., 2013. Chronic disease and sitting time in middle-aged Australian males: Findings from the 45 and Up Study. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 10 (1), 20.

Gliński D, Skolimowska D, Gurek D. Population-based study of the changes in the food choice determinants of secondary school students: Polish adolescents’ COVID-19
