INTEGRAL/IBIS nine-year Galactic hard X-ray survey
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ABSTRACT

Context. The INTEGRAL observatory operating in a hard X-ray/gamma domain has gathered a large observational data set over nine years starting in 2003. Most of the observing time was dedicated to the Galactic source population study, making possible the deepest Galactic survey in hard X-rays ever compiled.

Aims. We aim to perform a Galactic survey that can be used as the basis of Galactic source population studies, and perform mapping of the Milky Way in hard X-rays over the maximum exposure available at $|b| < 17.5^\circ$.

Methods. We used sky reconstruction algorithms especially developed for the high quality imaging of INTEGRAL/IBIS data. We present sky images, sensitivity maps, and catalog of the sources detected in the three energy bands $17, 60, 80$ keV based on the improved sky reconstruction method for the IBIS telescope. The sensitivity of the survey was essential in these crowded regions. This makes the Swift/BAT and INTEGRAL/IBIS surveys complementary to each other.

Results. We present the selected nine-year averaged sky images, sensitivity maps, and catalog of the sources detected in three energy bands: $17, 60, 80$ keV. This survey is the most sensitive X-ray survey ever compiled. The total number of sources in the reference $17, 60$ keV band includes 402 objects exceeding a $4.7\sigma$ detection threshold on the nine-year time-averaged map. Among the identified sources with known and tentatively identified natures, 253 are Galactic objects (108 low-mass X-ray binaries, 82 high-mass X-ray binaries, 36 cataclysmic variables, and 27 are of other types), and 115 are extragalactic objects, including 112 active galactic nuclei and 3 galaxy clusters. The sample of Galactic sources with $S/N > 4.7\sigma$ has an identification completeness of $\sim 92\%$, which is valuable for population studies. Since the survey is based on the nine-year sky maps, it is optimized for persistent sources and may be biased against finding transients.
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1. Introduction

A large fraction of astrophysical phenomena cannot be studied via observations of individual sources, but require instead large statistical studies. The last few decades have provided us with great opportunities for studies of the populations of compact sources (black holes, neutron stars, white dwarfs) in our Galaxy and nearby galaxies.

In particular, surveys of the sky in hard X-rays were performed with the IBIS telescope (Ubertini et al. 2003) of the INTEGRAL observatory (Winkler et al. 2003) and Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Barthelmy et al. 2005) at the Swift observatory (Gehrels et al. 2004). In contrast to Swift, with a nearly uniform all-sky survey, which is especially useful for studies of active galactic nuclei (AGNs; Tueller et al. 2010; Cusumano et al. 2010; Ajello et al. 2012), the INTEGRAL observatory provides a sky survey with exposures that are deeper in the Galactic plane and has higher angular resolution, which is essential in these crowded regions. This makes the Swift/BAT and INTEGRAL/IBIS surveys complementary to each other.

The INTEGRAL observatory has been successfully operating in orbit since its launch in 2002. Over the past few years, INTEGRAL data has allowed us to construct high quality catalogs (Revnivtsev et al. 2004b, 2006; Molkov et al. 2004; Krivonos et al. 2005, 2007b, 2010b; Bird et al. 2006, 2007, 2010), to reveal new types of sources (Courvoisier et al. 2003; Bird et al. 2006, 2007, 2010), to construct new science data centre funded by ESA member states (espec-
Fig. 1. Dead time-corrected exposure map of the INTEGRAL all-sky survey (January 2011, public data). The grid gap in the Galactic latitude is 17.5°, which is a half-height of the current Galactic survey. Blue contours represent exposure levels of 10, 150, 800, 2000, and 4000 ks. The effective exposure in the GC region is 12 Ms, which corresponds to 26 Ms of a nominal time.

Galaxy, and 2) a guiding line for new surveys of a new generation of hard X-ray focusing telescopes (e.g. NuSTAR described in Harrison et al. 2010; and Astro-H in Takahashi et al. 2010).

The full set of sky maps is available at the SkyView Virtual Observatory1 (McGlynn et al. 1998) and Russian Science Data Center2 for the INTEGRAL observatory at the Space Research Institute (IKI), Moscow.

2. Survey

To conduct the current Galactic survey, we selected publicly available INTEGRAL data from December 2002 to January 2011 (spacecraft revolutions 26-1013). Every individual INTEGRAL observation with typical exposure time of 2 ks (so called Science Window, ScW) was analyzed with a specially developed software package (see e.g. Krivonos et al. 2010a, and references therein) to produce sky images in three energy bands: 17–60, 17–35, and 35–80 keV. In contrast to our previous surveys, the flux scale in each ScW sky image was adjusted using the flux of the Crab nebula measured in the nearest observations. This procedure was used to account for the ongoing detector degradation and loss of sensitivity at low energies.

In total, we obtained 73 489 sky images in each band, which comprises ~132 Ms of the effective (dead time-corrected) exposure. The survey sky mapping was organized in six overlapping 70° × 35° Galactic cartesian projections centered on zero Galactic latitude (b = 0°) and l = 0°, ±50°, ±115°, and l = 180°. The latitude coverage of the survey |b| < 17.5° was chosen with the IBIS/ISGRI field of view (28° × 28°) and standard 5° × 5° observational pattern in mind. Thus, we used all observations performed by INTEGRAL in the Galactic plane. Figure 1 illustrates the INTEGRAL exposure map of publicly available observations up to January 2011.

The survey sky coverage versus the 4.7σ limiting flux is shown in Fig. 2. The peak sensitivity of the survey is 2.9 × 10−12 erg s−1 cm−2 (~0.20 mCrab in 17–60 keV) at a 4.7σ detection level. The survey covers 90% of the geometrical area (12 680 degrees) down to the flux limit of 2 × 10−11 erg s−1 cm−2 (~1.41 mCrab) and 10% of the total area down to the flux limit of 4.9 × 10−12 erg s−1 cm−2 (~0.30 mCrab).

Table 1. Exclusion radius around bright sources.

| Name      | Exclusion radius, deg |
|-----------|-----------------------|
| Crab      | 23.2                  |
| Sco X-1   | 14.0                  |
| Cyg X-1   | 4.8                   |
| Cyg X-3   | 3.9                   |
| Vela X-1  | 3.3                   |
| GX 301-2  | 2.2                   |
| GRS 1915+105 | 3.2               |

2.1. Systematic noise

INTEGRAL/IBIS deep sky mosaics are usually affected by a systematic noise caused by the source confusion in the region of GC and by the imperfect sky reconstruction (Krivonos et al. 2010a).

The large field of view (FOV) of the INTEGRAL/IBIS telescope leads to a high probability of having some bright X-ray source within the instrument FOV during any galactic observations. Therefore, to work at the level of Poisson noise, the INTEGRAL/IBIS telescope image reconstruction procedure should have a dynamic range of 104 and more, which is very difficult to achieve owing to the imperfect modelling of the mask shadow, the individual pixel sensitivity, the variability of the background pattern, etc.

In spite of the latest version of the IBIS sky image reconstruction allowing us to reach the dynamic range of the images ~103, some sky artefacts are still present around bright sources, such as Crab, Sco X-1, Cyg X-1, Cyg X-3, Vela X-1, GX 301-2, and GRS 1915+105 (see a sky mosaic at l = +50° in Fig. 3). To prevent any false detections, we masked out circular regions around bright sources with radii listed in Table 1. The exclusion radius was chosen to contain all significant (>4.7σ) negative excesses (indicators of the systematic noise, which is assumed to be symmetric) around a given bright source. We note that known sources with S/N > 10σ falling inside these regions were included in the source catalog. A rejection of areas with high systematic noise significantly improved the quality of the survey mosaics, which is demonstrated in Fig. 4, where we show a distribution of signal-to-noise (S/N) values for pixels in the sky mosaic at l = +50°. As seen from Fig. 4, the masked sky

---

1 http://skyview.gsfc.nasa.gov
2 http://hea.iki.rssi.ru/integral
Fig. 3. INTEGRAL/IBIS hard X-ray (17–60 keV) map of the sky region of Cyg X-1, Cyg X-3, and GRS 1915+105 at $l = +50^\circ$ with masked area shown as green circles. The corresponding S/N distribution of pixels is shown in Fig. 4.

image does not contain strong deviations from the Gaussian distribution, in contrast to the original one. Masked areas around bright sources reduce the geometrical area of the survey by 13% (Fig. 2).

Systematic effects are less important in the harder energy bands. Figure 5 shows that a sky image of the GC region in the 35–80 keV energy range is practically free of the systematic noise with respect to 17–60 keV band, which is confirmed by the S/N distribution of image pixels in Fig. 6.

2.2. Detection threshold
Given the IBIS/ISGRI angular resolution of the survey, the sky map contains $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ statistically independent pixels. Taking into account the minor contribution of the systematic noise, we adopted a conservative detection threshold of $(S/N)_{\text{lim}} > 4.7\sigma$ to ensure that the final catalog contains no more than one spurious source assuming Poisson statistics.

A source detection in the region of $\sim 17$ degrees around GC should be interpreted with special care because of the possible false peaks induced by the systematic noise. The latter is revealed by a distorted shape that differs significantly from the instrumental point-spread function (PSF), which is a two-dimensional Gaussian ($\sigma = 5'$). The sky map of the GC region contains 12 peaks above $4.7\sigma$ in the reference 17–60 keV energy band. All these candidate sources have a very distorted shape, and none of them have been detected in the 35–80 keV energy range, which also points to a false detection. Therefore, all excesses in the GC region have been attributed to the systematic noise.

3. Catalog of sources
The catalog was compiled from the source sample exceeding the detection threshold in the reference 17–60 keV energy band. The list of sources is presented in Table 2, and its content is described below.

| Column (1) “Id” | source sequence number in the catalog. |
| Column (2) “Name” | source name. Their common names are given for sources whose nature was known before their detection by INTEGRAL. Sources discovered by INTEGRAL or those whose nature was established thanks to INTEGRAL observations are named “IGR” |
| Columns (3, 4) “RA, Dec” | source equatorial (J2000) coordinates. |
| Columns (5–7) “Flux” | time-averaged source flux in the 17–60 keV, 17–35 keV, and 35–80 keV energy bands, respectively. |
Table 2. Census of Galactic and extragalactic sources at $|b| < 17.5^\circ$.

| Energy range, keV | AGN  | LMXB | HMXB | CV | Other | NotID | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|------|----|-------|-------|-------|
| 17–60             | 104+8$^\pm$3 | 100+8$^\pm$3 | 76+6$^\pm$1 | 35+1$^\pm$1 | 30 | 34 | 402 |
| 17–35             | 89+7$^\pm$1 | 98+7$^\pm$1 | 74+6$^\pm$1 | 35+1$^\pm$1 | 28 | 22 | 367 |
| 35–80             | 76+4$^\pm$1 | 80+5$^\pm$1 | 66+3$^\pm$1 | 13 | 26 | 7 | 280 |

Notes. The number of sources with a tentative classification is denoted with $S$ index. Figure 7 shows the chart for source classes detected in the 17–60 keV energy band.

Fig. 5. INTEGRAL/IBIS hard X-ray (35–80 keV) map of the sky region around the GC. The total exposure is about 26 Ms in the GC region. The image is shown in terms of S/N with the color map ranging from values of 0 to 25. This color scheme is used to emphasize sky background variations. Figure 6 demonstrates a corresponding S/N distribution of pixels.

Fig. 6. Signal-to-noise ratio distribution of a number of pixels in the hard X-ray image shown in Fig. 5. The dashed line represents the normal distribution with unit variance and zero mean. Red and blue histograms show pixel distributions for images in the energy band 17–60 keV (high systematic noise, see text) and 35–80 keV, respectively.

Column (8) “Type” – general astrophysical type of the object: LMXB (HMXB) – low- (high-) mass X-ray binary, AGN – active galactic nucleus, SNR – supernova remnant, CV – cataclysmic variable, PSR – isolated pulsar or pulsar wind nebula (PWN), SGR – soft gamma repeater, RS CVn – coronally active binary star, SymbStar – symbiotic star, Cluster – cluster of galaxies. A question mark indicates that the specified type is not firmly determined, so should be confirmed.

Determining of the natures of the sources is complicated and a continually ongoing process. During the compilation of the catalog, we selected from our point of view, the most recent or reliable, identifications from the literature. In some cases (when references are not given), the identifications had been performed using recent observations of the Chandra, XMM-Newton, and Swift observatories, both the Simbad and NED database, as well as the 2MASS catalog.

Column (9) “Ref.” – references. These are mainly provided for new sources and are related to their discovery and/or nature. The papers describing a routine analysis of a given source e.g. confirmation of their nature, a refined position, etc. are not referenced.

Column (10) “Notes” – additional notes such as type subclass, redshift information, alternative source names. The redshifts of the extragalactic sources were obtained from the Simbad and NED databases.

Column (8) “Type” – general astrophysical type of the object: LMXB (HMXB) – low- (high-) mass X-ray binary, AGN – active galactic nucleus, SNR – supernova remnant, CV – cataclysmic variable, PSR – isolated pulsar or pulsar wind nebula (PWN), SGR – soft gamma repeater, RS CVn – coronally active binary star, SymbStar – symbiotic star, Cluster – cluster of galaxies. A question mark indicates that the specified type is not firmly determined, so should be confirmed.

Determining of the natures of the sources is complicated and a continually ongoing process. During the compilation of the catalog, we selected from our point of view, the most recent or reliable, identifications from the literature. In some cases (when references are not given), the identifications had been performed using recent observations of the Chandra, XMM-Newton, and Swift observatories, both the Simbad and NED database, as well as the 2MASS catalog.

Column (9) “Ref.” – references. These are mainly provided for new sources and are related to their discovery and/or nature. The papers describing a routine analysis of a given source e.g. confirmation of their nature, a refined position, etc. are not referenced.

Column (10) “Notes” – additional notes such as type subclass, redshift information, alternative source names. The redshifts of the extragalactic sources were obtained from the Simbad and NED databases.

3 Simbad Astronomical Database http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr
4 NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu
4. Concluding remarks

Our Galactic survey is based on sky maps averaged over a nine-year time period, which obviously means that it has a strong bias against finding low S/N transient sources. This ensures that the current survey contains mainly persistent objects, in addition to, however, objects with strong intrinsic variability.

We have presented detailed source statistics in Table 2 and a chart of source types in Fig. 7. Our Galactic survey has an identification completeness of $$(\text{N}_{\text{tot}} - \text{N}_{\text{NotID}})/\text{N}_{\text{tot}} = 0.92$$, which provides a strong statistical basis for population studies. In the complementary paper of Lutovinov et al. (in prep.), we note in particular that we used the source sample and sensitivity maps of the current survey to study the HMXB luminosity function and their spatial distribution in the Galactic disk.
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