Far- and deep-ultraviolet surface plasmon resonance sensors working in aqueous solutions using aluminum thin films
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Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensors detect refractive index changes near the surface of a metal thin film as changes in the intensity of reflected light, which are induced by SPR wavelength and angle shifts. SPR sensors have been used in various fields such as medical diagnostics1-3 and environmental monitoring4,5. For example, SPR sensors are widely used in biosensors for medical diagnostics, and the reported best Limit of Detection (LOD) of cancer markers is less than 1 ng mL−13. In practical applications, changes in the intensity of reflected light are detected at a fixed angle and wavelength. To determine the suitable wavelength for SPR sensors, it is necessary to obtain the reflection spectra.

Recently, we proposed new SPR sensors that use short-wavelength light in the deep-ultraviolet (DUV, 200–300 nm) and the far–ultraviolet (FUV, 120–200 nm) regions, while conventional SPR sensors use light in the visible region. SPR and SPR-like systems working in the UV region have been reported by some groups6-8. Al is a suitable metal for FUV–DUV–SPR studies because its plasma frequency (2.4 × 1016 s−1)9 is higher than the light frequency in the FUV and DUV regions. The plasma frequencies of Au and Ag are 1.37 × 1016 s−1 and 1.36 × 1016 s−1, respectively10,11, and Au and Ag thin films are widely utilized for visible-SPR sensors. Although many target molecules of SPR sensors such as DNA and proteins have no absorbance in the visible region12,13, they have strong absorption and high refractive indices in the FUV-DUV region12,13. In addition, the penetration depth of the evanescent wave in the FUV-DUV region is less than 50 nm, which is much shorter than that in the visible region. Owing to the higher refractive indices of target molecules and the shorter penetration depth of the evanescent light, FUV-DUV-SPR sensors are expected to have three remarkable properties: high sensitivity, material selectivity, and surface measurement accuracy; these properties were discussed in our previous paper14. For example, we demonstrated that the shift in the SPR angle caused by the presence of 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-
o-2-propanol (HFIP) for the FUV-DUV-SPR sensor was larger than that for the visible-SPR sensor. In addition, according to simulations based on the Fresnel equations, FUV-DUV-SPR sensors can detect refractive index changes in the 2-nm-thick region on the metal film 16 times more sensitively than visible-SPR sensors.

However, until quite recently, investigations of the SPR properties in the FUV regions were done only in a high vacuum atmosphere and there was no report about FUV-DUV-SPR sensors. This is because atmospheric H2O and O2 molecules yield very intense absorptions in the FUV region, so the environment on the metal surface could not be controlled. Therefore, the measurement ranges in most Al-SPR studies were limited to the DUV region (>200 nm). In contrast, we have recently reported the FUV-DUV-SPR properties of Al thin films with varying surface refractive indices by putting an organic liquid on the Al films. To obtain the reflection spectra in the FUV region, a new attenuated total reflectance (ATR) spectrometer was developed. In this spectrometer, the optics part and the sample part are separated by an internal reflection element (IRE). The sample part is exposed to air, and the environment around the sample can be controlled. Using this ATR system, we measured the SPR properties of the Al thin film on a quartz prism in the 180–300 nm wavelength region and the 45°–80° angle region. By increasing the refractive index n on the Al film, the SPR angle and SPR wavelength became larger and longer, respectively, compared to those in the air.

In this study, the SPR wavelength was measured for Al thin films evaporated on quartz and sapphire prisms by varying the refractive indices on the Al films. On replacing the quartz prism with a sapphire prism, the SPR wavelength became shorter, from 334.4 nm to 215.2 nm in HFIP. The SPR wavelength of the Al thin film on the sapphire prism was still in the DUV region (~227.5 nm), even in water. As conventional SPR sensors are often used in aqueous solutions as biosensors and chemical sensors, it is expected that the FUV-DUV-SPR sensors will also be used aqueous solutions. The present Al thin film on the sapphire prism showed the red-shift of the SPR wavelength in the DUV region on increasing the concentration of the sucrose water solution, which may lead to the development of the FUV-DUV-SPR sensor working in the solutions.

Experimental

The Kretschmann configuration was used to excite the SPR of an Al film deposited on quartz and sapphire prisms (purchased from Opto-line, Tokyo) by vapours deposition (5.0 × 10⁻⁴ Pa, deposition rate ~10 nm s⁻¹). Their thickness and surface roughness, measured by atomic force microscopy, were approximately 23.0 ± 0.5 nm.

The prisms were set on the ATR spectrometer, and the reflection spectra were obtained with the incident angle at 70°. A deuterium lamp and a halogen lamp were used as the light sources for the spectral measurements in the 170–450 nm and 400–650 nm regions, respectively. The optics section is purged with dry N₂ gas, which does not absorb FUV light. The details of the system are described in the previous paper.

In order to change the refractive index of the environment near the evaporated Al film, HFIP and water were cast on the Al film. Subsequently, sucrose water solutions with various concentrations (0.025–0.250 M) were cast on the Al film to increase the refractive index little by little. It should be noted here that these liquids have no significant absorbance in the measured wavelength region.

In order to analyse the experimentally obtained reflection spectra, reflection spectra were simulated according to the Fresnel equations based on a bilayer (aluminium and alumina) model with varying refractive index of the environment on the film.

Results and Discussion

SPR properties of the Al film on the quartz prism.

Figure 1a shows the reflection spectra in the 170–650 nm region of the Al thin film on the quartz prism in air, HFIP, and water. In the case of the Al thin film on the semi-cylindrical quartz prism, the reflection spectra of a quartz prism without Al film were measured and used as reference. Reflectance is defined as I/I₀, where I and I₀ are the reflected light intensities from the quartz prism with and without Al film, as shown in Fig. 1e, respectively. Spectral dips were observed at ~190.3 nm in air (n = 1.000), ~334.4 nm in the HFIP (nD = 1.275), and ~568.0 nm in water (nD = 1.333), respectively, which means that the Al-SPR was excited at these wavelengths. The value of nD represents the refractive index at 589.3 nm, which is the wavelength of the sodium D line. Although only p-polarized light can excite the SPR, the present incident light includes both p-polarized and s-polarized light. As a result, the minimum reflectance value is around 0.5 at most. Because of the space limitation of the present system, it is difficult to set a polarizer for the FUV-DUV region. When the reflection spectra were measured by the fourth harmonic of a Nd:YAG laser (266 nm), reflectance at the SPR angle became almost 0 because of p-polarized light.

It should be noted here that Al is easily oxidized, and oxidation has significant effects on SPR properties. Our previous work revealed that the reflection spectra of the prepared film can be reproduced based on a bilayer (aluminium and alumina) model using the Fresnel equations. When the thicknesses of Al and Al₂O₃ are assumed to be 19 nm and 4 nm, respectively, the calculated results show a good agreement with the experimental results. More details are available in our previous paper.

The obtained reflection spectra (Fig. 1a) were simulated by the Fresnel equations using the optimized bilayer (Al 19 nm/Al₂O₃ 4 nm) model as shown in Fig. 1b. When the refractive indices n on the Al 19 nm/Al₂O₃ 4 nm bilayer film were 1.000, 1.275, and 1.333, the calculated SPR wavelengths were 173, 313, and 572 nm, respectively. The calculated wavelengths were shorter than the measured ones because the calculations were done using the refractive indices in the visible region (nD). The refractive indices in the short-wavelength region are larger than those in the visible region, which results in larger shifts of the measured SPR wavelength. However, it is difficult for many materials to obtain chromatic dispersion of the refractive indices (i.e. real part n and imaginary part k) in the FUV and DUV regions because of the huge absorption as described in ref. 22. Considering the refractive index dispersion of HFIP in the FUV-DUV region calculated by ourselves using density functional theory (DFT) in our previous paper, the calculated SPR wavelength shifts to longer wavelengths (from 313 to 331 nm) and approaches the experimentally obtained one (334 nm). As ascribed in Fig. 1c, the prisms were exchanged when
I₀ and I were measured. Although we tried to put the prism on the same position as exactly as possible, the position are different by times, results in the baseline deviations and differences between Fig. 1a and b; reflectance in the short wavelength region in Fig. 1a were over “1.0”. Even so, the SPR wavelengths matched with the simulation results were successfully obtained. It should be also noted that the SPR wavelength in water is in the visible region (~568 nm) when the Al thin film is made on the quartz prism. According to the Fresnel equations, even if the incident angle is larger (89°), the SPR wavelengths in HFIP and water are about 260 nm and 310 nm at most, respectively.

**SPR properties of the Al film on the sapphire prism.** Subsequently, Al was evaporated on the trapezoid sapphire prism instead of the quartz prism, and the reflectance spectra in the 170–300 nm region were measured in HFIP and water (Fig. 1c). These liquids were selected because they have little absorbance in the measurement wavelength, which makes it easier to discuss the refractive index dependence of the SPR. In the case of the Al thin film on the sapphire prism, it is difficult to prepare two sapphire prisms that have the same optical properties in the FUV-DUV region. This is because intrinsic defects have significant effects on the optical properties of sapphire and each sapphire prism has different optical properties. Therefore, differently from the case of a quartz prism, a sapphire prism without Al film cannot be used as reference for another sapphire prism with Al film. Alternatively, reflectance is defined as \( I_{\text{sample}}/I_{\text{air}} \), where \( I_{\text{sample}} \) and \( I_{\text{air}} \) are the reflected light intensities from the Al film measured on a sapphire prism with and without a sample on the Al film, as shown in Fig. 1f. That is to say, the reflectance spectrum measured in air is used as the reference. According to simulations based on the Fresnel equations, an Al film on a sapphire prism in air has no SPR absorbance and has almost constant reflectance intensity in the 180–300 nm region at a 70° incident angle (Fig. 1d, black line). Thus, in the present study, the reflectance spectrum in the air can be used as the reference to determine the SPR wavelength with materials on the Al film.
In Fig. 1c, the SPR wavelengths were observed at ~215.2 nm in HFIP ($n_D = 1.275$) and ~227.5 nm in water ($n_D = 1.332$), respectively. Similarly to the Al thin film on the quartz prism, the SPR wavelength shifts to longer wavelengths with the increment of the refractive index on the Al surface. The experimentally obtained reflection spectra were reproduced by the Fresnel equations using the 4 nm thick Al$_2$O$_3$ model (Al 19 nm/Al$_2$O$_3$ 4-nm-bilayer model), as shown in Fig. 1d. The simulated SPR wavelengths were 201 nm and 216 nm when the refractive indices on the Al/Al$_2$O$_3$ bilayer film were 1.275 and 1.332, respectively.

Table 1 summarizes the SPR wavelength and half width at half maximum (HWHM) of the experimentally obtained spectra in Fig. 1a,c. In the presence of HFIP and water on the Al thin films, the SPR wavelength shift of the Al thin film on the quartz prism is larger than that on the sapphire prism. Figure 2 illustrates a schematic of the dispersion relations of surface plasmon (solid lines) with small (green) and large (red) surrounding dielectric constants on the metal ($\varepsilon_s$), and the light lines in the prisms (dashed lines) with small (orange) and large (purple) dielectric constants ($\varepsilon_p$). Circles and arrows represent the SPR excitation points and angular frequency differences between the small $\varepsilon_s$ and large $\varepsilon_s$, respectively.

**Table 1.** SPR wavelength and half width at half maximum (HWHM) dependence on the surroundings of the Al thin film on quartz and sapphire prisms.

| Prism     | Surroundings | SPR wavelength | HWHM |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|------|
| Quartz    | Air          | 190.3 nm       | —    |
| Quartz    | HFIP         | 334.4 nm       | 63 nm|
| Quartz    | Water        | 568.0 nm       | 120 nm|
| Sapphire  | HFIP         | 215.2 nm       | 25 nm|
| Sapphire  | Water        | 227.5 nm       | 30 nm|

In Fig. 1c, the SPR wavelengths were observed at ~215.2 nm in HFIP ($n_D = 1.275$) and ~227.5 nm in water ($n_D = 1.332$), respectively. Similarly to the Al thin film on the quartz prism, the SPR wavelength shifts to longer wavelengths with the increment of the refractive index on the Al surface. The experimentally obtained reflection spectra were reproduced by the Fresnel equations using the 4 nm thick Al$_2$O$_3$ model (Al 19 nm/Al$_2$O$_3$ 4-nm-bilayer model), as shown in Fig. 1d. The simulated SPR wavelengths were 201 nm and 216 nm when the refractive indices on the Al/Al$_2$O$_3$ bilayer film were 1.275 and 1.332, respectively.

Table 1 summarizes the SPR wavelength and half width at half maximum (HWHM) of the experimentally obtained spectra in Fig. 1a,c. In the presence of HFIP and water on the Al thin films, the SPR wavelength shift of the Al thin film on the quartz prism is larger than that on the sapphire prism. Figure 2 illustrates a schematic of the dispersion relations of surface plasmon (solid lines) with small (green) and large (red) surrounding dielectric constants on the metal ($\varepsilon_s$), and the light lines in the prisms (dashed lines). Intersection points (circles) mean that the surface plasmon is excited at wavenumber $k$ and angular frequency $\omega$. The surface plasmon dispersion relation and the light line are expressed by equations (1) and (2), where $c$ represents the light speed and $\varepsilon_s, \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_p$ represent the dielectric constants of the surroundings, metals, and prisms, respectively. Theta ($\theta$) is the incident angle, and it is 70° in this study.

$$k_{SP} = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_s \varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_m}}$$

$$k_L = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\varepsilon_p} \sin \theta$$

By incrementing $\varepsilon_s$, $\omega$ in the surface plasmon dispersion relation becomes smaller, which means an alternation from the green line to the red line. When $\varepsilon_s$ increases, the slope of the light line decreases from the orange line to the purple line. As described in Fig. 2, the shift of the resonance angular frequency following an increase in the value of $\varepsilon_s$ in the prism with a smaller $\varepsilon_p$ is larger than that in the prism with a larger $\varepsilon_p$. If a material has no absorbance, its dielectric constant corresponds to the square of its refractive index $n$. The relationship between the angular frequency $\omega$ and wavelength $\lambda$ is an inverse proportion. In the present case, by placing materials (i.e., HFIP and water) on the Al film, the surrounding dielectric constant increases, and the SPR angular frequency becomes smaller (i.e., red shift of the SPR wavelength). In addition, the refractive index of quartz (~1.5) is smaller than that of sapphire (~1.8); thus, the SPR wavelength shift of the Al on quartz is larger than that on sapphire.

It should be emphasized that the SPR wavelength of the Al thin film on the sapphire prism exists in the DUV region even in water, which is different from the Al thin film on the quartz prism. Therefore, the Al thin film on the sapphire prism can be used as a FUV-DUV-SPR sensor, which can be expected to have the three advantages previously described, in the water solution. Actually, according to the permittivity of Al and the experimentally obtained SPR wavelength, the penetration depths of the SPR in water are ~120 nm for Al on the quartz prism and...
~45 nm for Al on the sapphire prism, respectively. It means that the SPR of the Al thin film on the sapphire prism is sensitive to more narrowly limited surface depth. In addition, as ascribed in Table 1, the Al film on the sapphire prism shows a much narrower HWHM than that on the quartz prism because the SPR wavelength of the Al film on the sapphire prism is in the short-wavelength (i.e., high-energy) region. This is also an advantage of using sapphire prism for SPR sensors.

SPR wavelength dependence of sucrose concentrations in water. Figure 3a shows the reflection spectra of the Al thin film on the sapphire prism in pure water and sucrose water solutions with various concentrations (0.025–0.250 M). When the concentrations of the sucrose water solutions were 0 (pure water), 0.025, 0.050, 0.150, and 0.250 M, the refractive indices measured by the refractometer (PAL-RI, Atago Co., Ltd. Japan) were 1.3325, 1.3338, 1.3355, 1.3404, and 1.3454, respectively. The SPR wavelengths were 227.05 ± 0.38, 227.63 ± 0.41, 228.43 ± 0.29, 229.33 ± 0.63, and 230.73 ± 0.21 nm (average ± standard deviation, sample number n = 5) for 0, 0.025, 0.050, 0.150, and 0.250 M sucrose water solutions, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3b, there is a clear positive relationship between the refractive index n and the SPR wavelength, presenting a possibility for using the SPR sensor in the DUV region. Both water and sucrose have no absorption in the wavelength region used for measurements. It should be emphasized here that the present film exhibited the stable SPR properties; i.e. the SPR wavelengths measured on multiple days were almost the same, leading to the narrow error bars in Fig. 3b; in spite of the natural oxidation of the Al film. Doherty and Davis reported that the growth of naturally formed aluminum oxide films on Al single crystals stopped about 3 nm thickness10, which means that the Al film can be used as the stable sensor after the enough oxidation.

As ascribed in the introduction, the FUV-DUV-SPR sensor are expected to have three advantages compared to the conventional visible-SPR sensors; high sensitivity, material selectivity, and surface measurement accuracy. In order to discuss these advantages in the water solution, simulations based on the model shown in Fig. 4a were
FUV-DUV-SPR sensor has the potential as a superior sensor compared to the conventional visible-SPR sensor. have strong absorption in the FUV and DUV regions not in the visible region. Hence, it can be concluded that the resulting in the material selectivity. Many target materials of the SPR sensor such as DNA and antigen proteins have absorption at the incident wavelength, its refractive index is even higher around the resonant wavelength, in the FUV and DUV regions than in the visible region, leading to still higher selectivity. When a target material have the surface measurement accuracy. In addition, as mentioned above, materials have larger refractive indices 87°; which is one-sixth of that for the FUV sensor. Therefore, the Al-based FUV-DUV-SPR sensor is expected to have the surface measurement accuracy. In addition, as mentioned above, materials have larger refractive indices in the FUV and DUV regions than in the visible region, leading to still higher selectivity. When a target material has absorption at the incident wavelength, its refractive index is even higher around the resonant wavelength, resulting in the material selectivity. Many target materials of the SPR sensor such as DNA and antigen proteins have strong absorption in the FUV and DUV regions not in the visible region. Hence, it can be concluded that the FUV-DUV-SPR sensor has the potential as a superior sensor compared to the conventional visible-SPR sensor.

Conclusions

As shown in Fig. 4b, in the case of the Al-based FUV-DUV sensor, the SPR angle shifts from 64° to 76° at 180 nm wavelength when the refractive index in the 1 nm thick region on the metal surface changes from 1.333 to 2.333. On the other hand, the SPR angle for the visible sensor shifts only 2° at 630 nm wavelength; from 85° to 87°; which is one-sixth of that for the FUV sensor. Therefore, the Al-based FUV-DUV-SPR sensor is expected to have the surface measurement accuracy. In addition, as mentioned above, materials have larger refractive indices in the FUV and DUV regions than in the visible region, leading to still higher selectivity. When a target material has absorption at the incident wavelength, its refractive index is even higher around the resonant wavelength, resulting in the material selectivity. Many target materials of the SPR sensor such as DNA and antigen proteins have strong absorption in the FUV and DUV regions not in the visible region. Hence, it can be concluded that the FUV-DUV-SPR sensor has the potential as a superior sensor compared to the conventional visible-SPR sensor.
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