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Abstract

We show explicit formulas for the evaluation of (possibly higher-order) fractional Laplacians \((-\Delta)^s\) of some functions supported on ellipsoids. In particular, we derive the explicit expression of the torsion function and give examples of \(s\)-harmonic functions. As an application, we infer that the weak maximum principle fails in eccentric ellipsoids for \(s \in (1, \sqrt{3} + 3/2)\) in any dimension \(n \geq 2\). We build a counterexample in terms of the torsion function times a polynomial of degree 2. Using point inversion transformations, it follows that a variety of bounded and unbounded domains do not satisfy positivity preserving properties either and we give some examples.

1 Introduction

The fractional Laplacian \((-\Delta)^s\), \(s > 0\), is a pseudodifferential operator with Fourier symbol \(|\cdot|^{2s}\) which can be evaluated pointwisely via a hypersingular integral (see (2.1) below). This operator has many applications in mathematical modeling and the set of solutions of boundary value problems involving the fractional Laplacian has a rich and complex mathematical structure, see [6, 9, 18].

One of the main obstacles in the study of this operator is the difficulty of evaluating explicitly \((-\Delta)^s\), even on simple functions, see for example [1, 3, 15, 16] and the references therein for some of the few exceptions that are available in the literature. For the same reason, explicit solutions of boundary value problems are rare.

In this paper, we show some explicit formulas for the evaluation of the fractional Laplacian of polynomial-like functions supported in ellipsoids. Our first result concerns the explicit expression of the torsion function of an ellipsoid. Let

\[ H^s_0(\Omega) := \{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \} \quad \text{for any } s > 0 \]

and \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\) denotes the usual fractional Sobolev space of order \(s > 0\) (see, for example, [4], for standard existence and uniqueness results in this setting). If \(s = m \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(H^s_0(\Omega)\) is the usual Sobolev space \(H^m_0(\Omega)\).

Theorem 1.1. Let \(n \geq 2\), \(s > 0\), \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) be a symmetric positive definite matrix, and let

\[ E := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \cdot x < 1 \}. \]

Then, there is \(\kappa = \kappa(n, s, A) > 0\) such that \(u_\kappa : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) given by \(u_\kappa(x) := (1 - Ax \cdot x)^s_+\) solves pointwisely

\[ (-\Delta)^s u_\kappa = \kappa \quad \text{in } E, \tag{1.1} \]

and \(u_\kappa\) is the unique (weak) solution of (1.1) in \(H^s_0(E)\).

Here \(f_+\) denotes the positive part of \(f\). The explicit value of \(\kappa(n, s, A)\) can be computed in terms of hypergeometric functions \(2F_1\) (see (2.8), (2.4), and (3.11)). In particular, for (two-dimensional) ellipses with axes of length \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_1}}\) and \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_2}}\) we have that

\[ \kappa = 4^n \Gamma(1 + s)^2 a_1^{1/2} a_2^{-1/2} 2F_1 \left( s + 1, \frac{1}{2}; 1; 1 - \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) \quad \text{for } a_1, a_2 > 0, \]
The name torsion function comes from elasticity theory, where \( u_1 \) denotes the Prandtl torsion stress function describing the deformation of an elastic body subject to surface forces. The function \( u_1 \) also has applications in fluid mechanics (modelling the pressure gradient of a flow in a viscous fluid), see [25] and the references therein. A solution of (1.1) in general domains for any \( s > 0 \) is usually also called torsion function, and its explicit expression is often useful for checking inequalities and to formulate or disproof general conjectures (see, for example, [24, 25, 29]).

Theorem 1.1 relies on the following more general result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let \( j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq -|s| - 1 \), and \( u_{s+j}(x) = (1-Ax \cdot x)^{s+j}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then \( u_{s+j} \) solves pointwisely

\[
(-\Delta)^s u_{s+j} = f_j \quad \text{in } E, \quad u_{s+j} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E,
\]

where \( f_j \) is the polynomial of degree \((2j)\) given by

\[
f_j(x) = \begin{cases} 
C_{n,s,j} \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2}+k)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+k)} \left( \frac{f_j}{x} \right)^{j-k} (Ax \cdot \theta)^{2k} d\theta, & \text{if } j \geq 0, \\
0, & \text{if } j \leq -1,
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
C_{n,s,j} = \frac{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+s) \Gamma(1+s+j)}{\pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+s) \Gamma(1+s+j)}.
\]

Theorem 1.2 can be in turn deduced as a particular case of Theorem 3.2 (see also Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5). The proof of Theorem 3.2 relies on direct computations mainly inspired by [15, 16].

Using this approach, we can also calculate the evaluation of \((\Delta)^s\) of functions such as

\[
x_i u_s \quad \text{and} \quad x_i^2 u_s
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), see Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7. With a similar strategy one may compute the fractional Laplacian \((\Delta)^s\) of \( x_i^2 u_s \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) (although the length of the expressions increases considerably with \( k \)).

These formulas are of independent interest since, as mentioned earlier, there are very few examples of explicit computations regarding fractional Laplacians. However, one of our main motivations in studying these expressions is related to the problem of the positivity preserving property (p.p.p., from now on) for higher-order elliptic operators, which we describe next.

We say that the operator \((\Delta)^s\) satisfies a p.p.p. (in \( \Omega \)) if

\[
u \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ whenever } u \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^s(\Omega) \text{ and } (-\Delta)^s u \geq 0 \text{ pointwisely in } \Omega.
\]

Property (1.4) is sometimes called weak maximum principle and it holds for general domains if \( s \in (0, 1] \). The p.p.p. is one of the cornerstones in the analysis of linear and nonlinear second-order elliptic problems, and it is involved in results regarding existence of solutions, uniqueness, regularity, symmetry, monotonicity, geometry of level sets, etc.

Whenever \( s > 1 \), the verification of (1.4) is a delicate issue; it can be shown that (1.4) holds for any \( s > 0 \) whenever \( \Omega \) is a ball [2, 13] or a halfspace [1]; however, (1.4) does not hold in general. For \( s > 1 \), the validity of (1.4) depends strongly on the geometry of \( \Omega \), but hitherto there is no way of knowing which domains satisfy (1.4) and which ones do not. The classification of domains satisfying (1.4) is a long-standing open problem in the theory of higher-order elliptic equations, see [19, Section 1.2].

One way of approaching this problem is to find first some examples of domains where (1.4) does not hold, and to try to identify a common nature. In particular, the ellipse is known to be incompatible with the p.p.p. whenever it is eccentric enough. This striking example shows that convexity, smoothness, and symmetry are not properties that guarantee the validity of (1.4). Next we include a list of references concerned with ellipses and the absence of a p.p.p.:

1) The first available result dates back to [17] for the bilaplacian \( s = 2 \) in dimension \( n = 2 \), where it is shown that an ellipse with axes ratio \( 5/3 \) does not satisfy (1.4). Later, in [23], it is mentioned a ratio of about 1.17 is enough.
ii) In [27] a machinery is designed to extend the two-dimensional examples to higher dimensions. We remark that this approach strongly relies on a separation of variables that is not available for the fractional Laplacian (2.1).

iii) For \( s = n = 2 \), [32] builds an explicit and elementary example: an ellipse with axes ratio equal to 5; the explicit sign-changing solution is a polynomial of degree 7.

iv) A thorough analysis for \( s = n = 2 \) is performed in [28], finding a counterexample in terms of a polynomial of degree 6 in an ellipse with axes ratio equal to \( \sqrt{19} \approx 4.359 \). The authors also show that it is not possible to construct a counterexample in an ellipse with polynomials of degree less than 6; moreover, it is also shown that counterexamples with degree 6 polynomials are only possible if the axes ratio is larger than \( \approx 4.352 \) (this threshold also appears in our analysis, see Section 4.1).

v) The first example for \( s = 3 \) and \( n = 2 \) was given in [33]: in this case, the ellipse has an axes ratio equal to 12 and the explicit sign-changing solution is a polynomial of degree 8.

vi) Finally, [34] suggests that, for \( s = 4 \) and the same ellipse as in [33], it is possible to find an explicit nodal solution which is a polynomial of degree 12.

Other domains where a general \( p.p.p. \) fails are some domains with corners [10] (in particular squares), cones [26], domains with holes [20], elongated rectangles [14], some large cylindrical domains [22], and some limacons and cardioids [12]. For a survey on this subject for the bilaplacian in the context of the “Boggio-Hadamard conjecture”, we refer to [19, Section 1.2] and the references therein.

All the techniques mentioned above are either incompatible or very hard to extend to the fractional setting \( s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N} \), this case requires new ideas. Nevertheless, we believe that the study of \( p.p.p. \) in the fractional regime is relevant, since it offers a novel perspective on the subject using the continuity of the solution mapping, see [24].

For fractional powers there is only one known counterexample to (1.4), given in [4] (see also [2, Theorem 1.11]), where it is shown that, for \( s \in (k, k + 1) \) with \( k \) a positive odd integer, two disjoint balls and dumbbell shaped domains do not satisfy \( p.p.p. \).

In the following, we show that, using our explicit computations in ellipsoids, we can construct counterexamples (1.4) in any dimension \( n \geq 2 \) and for \( s \in (1, \sqrt{3} + 3/2) \), where \( \sqrt{3} + 3/2 \approx 3.232 \). We follow the ideas from the above mentioned paper [32], where a counterexample in ellipses is built in terms of an explicit polynomial. For \( n \geq 2 \), let

\[
E_a := \begin{cases} 
\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2 < 1\}, & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } a_i > 0, \\
\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + ax_i^2 < 1\}, & \text{if } a > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

(1.5)

For functions in \( \mathcal{H}^{s}_0(E_a) \) and \( s > 1 \), the fractional Laplacian can be evaluated via the hypersingular integral (2.1), but it can also be evaluated as a composition of operators (see [5, Corollary 1.4]), namely,

\[
(-\Delta)^s u = \begin{cases} 
(-\Delta)(-\Delta)^{s-1} u & \text{for } s \in (1, 2), \\
(-\Delta)^2(-\Delta)^{s-2} u & \text{for } s \in (2, 3).
\end{cases}
\]

We emphasize that the order of the differential operators cannot be interchanged freely in the context of boundary value problems. For more details, see [5, 30].

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( n \geq 2 \) and \( s \in (1, 2) \). There are \( \alpha_0 = \alpha_0(s, n) > 1 \) and \( \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(s, n) \in (0, 1) \) such that, for every \( a > \alpha_0 \) and \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \), the function \( U_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[
U_\varepsilon(x) := \left((1 - x_1)^2 - \varepsilon\right)^{s} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 - ax_n^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

belongs to \( \mathcal{H}^{s}_0(E_a) \), it changes sign in \( E_0 \), and \( (-\Delta)^s U_\varepsilon > 0 \) in \( E_0 \).

For larger values of \( s \) one can still construct a counterexample, but the shape of \( U_\varepsilon \) is slightly more involved.
Theorem 1.4. Let \( n \geq 2 \) and \( s \in (1, \sqrt{3} + 3/2) \). There are constants \( a_0 > 1, \epsilon_0 \in (0, 1), \gamma \geq 0, \) and \( \delta \geq 0, \) depending only on \( s \) and \( n, \) such that, for every \( a > a_0 \) and \( \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0), \) the function \( U_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) given by
\[
U_\epsilon(x) := (p(x) - \epsilon) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 - a_{\epsilon}^2\right)^+,
\]
where \( p(x) := (1 - x_1)^2 + \gamma(1 - x_1) - \delta \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} x_k^2 + a_{\epsilon}^2\right), \) belongs to \( \mathcal{H}_n^s(E_a) \), \( U_\epsilon \) changes sign in \( E_a \) and \( (-\Delta)^s U_\epsilon > 0 \) in \( E_a \).

We emphasize that Theorem 1.4 is the first counterexample to (1.4) in the range \( s \in (2, 3) \). In contrast to the results in [32] and [33] which rely on explicit computations of polynomials that can be verified quickly with a computer, the fractional case is much more complex, even with the explicit form of the fractional Laplacian \((-\Delta)^s U_\epsilon\), since these formulas are given in terms of hypergeometric functions which are in general difficult to manipulate. To overcome this difficulty, we use an asymptotic analysis as the length of one of the axis in the ellipsoid goes to zero; it turns out that a suitable normalization of the hypergeometric functions simplifies in the limit and its asymptotic behavior can be determined with precision (see Lemma A.1). This is enough to guarantee the positivity of \((-\Delta)^s U_\epsilon\) for thin enough ellipsoids.

As to the upper bound \( \sqrt{3} + 3/2 \) for \( s \) in Theorem 1.4, it is a technical limitation of our asymptotic approach involving polynomials \( p \) of degree strictly higher than two, and we do not pursue this here. See the discussion in Section 4.1 and see [34] for a counterexample to the p.p.p. for \( s = 4 \) in terms of a polynomial of degree 12.

Via a point inversion transformation, one can use Theorem 1.4 to show that a wide variety of shapes do not satisfy (1.4) either. To be more precise, in [1] (see also [13]) the following result is shown.

Proposition 1.5 (Proposition 1.6 in [1]). Let \( \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n, c, s > 0, u \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{-\nu\}), \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{-\nu\} \). Then
\[
(-\Delta)^s \left(\frac{u \circ \sigma(x)}{|x + \nu|^{n-2s}}\right) = c^{2s} (-\Delta)^s u(\sigma(x)) \left|\frac{x + \nu}{|x + \nu|^{n+2s}}\right|^2 - \nu.
\]

To understand the geometrical meaning of the point inversion transformation \( \sigma \), see Figure 4. We have the following consequences of Theorems 3.2, 1.4, and Proposition 1.5. Let \( n \geq 1, c > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial E_a, \) and
\[
\Omega(a,c,\nu) := \begin{cases} 
\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \left(c \frac{x_i + \nu_i}{|x + \nu|^2} - \nu_i\right)^2 < 1 \right\} & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } a_i > 0, \\
\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \left(c \frac{x_i + \nu_i}{|x + \nu|^2} - \nu_i\right)^2 + a \left(c \frac{x_n + \nu_n}{|x + \nu|^2} - \nu_n\right)^2 < 1 \right\} & \text{if } a > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Corollary 1.6. Let \( n \geq 1, c > 0, a \in \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( a_i > 0, \) and \( \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial E_a. \) Then \( -\nu \not\in \Omega(a,c,\nu) \) and, for \( s > 0, \) the function
\[
w_s(x) := \frac{1}{|x + \nu|^{n-2s}} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \left(c \frac{x_i + \nu_i}{|x + \nu|^2} - \nu_i\right)^2\right)^+, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]
is a pointwise solution of
\[
(-\Delta)^s w_s(x) = \frac{k}{|x + \nu|^{n+2s}} \quad \text{in } \Omega(a,c,\nu), \quad w_s = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega(a,c,\nu)
\]
for some constant \( k = k(n,s,c,a) > 0. \)
Corollary 1.7. Let \( n \geq 2, a, c > 0, \) and \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial E_a \) such that \( \Omega(a,c,v) \) is a bounded domain. Then \(-v \notin \Omega(a,c,v)\) and, for every \( s \in (1, \sqrt{3} + 3/2) \), there is \( a_0 = a_0(s, n) > 1 \) such that \( \Omega(a,c,v) \) does not satisfy (1.4) for every \( a > a_0 \). For the case \( \Omega(a,c,v) \) unbounded, the claim still holds under the assumption \( n > 4s \).

To see some of the different (bounded and unbounded) domains represented by \( \Omega(a,c,v) \) for \( n = 2 \) and \( n = 3 \), see Appendix B.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some of the most relevant notation and important definitions. In Section 3 we show Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and deduce the explicit formulas regarding functions of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, as well as those of Corollaries 1.6 and (1.7).

2 Notation and definitions

2.1 The higher-order fractional Laplacian

Any positive power \( s > 0 \) of the (minus) Laplacian, \( (-\Delta)^s \), has the same Fourier symbol (see [31, Chapter 5] or [5, Theorem 1.8]) as the following hypersingular integral,

\[
L_{m,s} u(x) := \frac{c_{n,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\delta_n u(x,y)}{|y|^{n+2s}} \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) is the dimension, \( m \in \mathbb{N}, s \in (0, m), \)

is a finite difference of order \( 2m \), and \( c_{n,m,s} \) is the positive constant given by

\[
c_{n,m,s} := \begin{cases}
\frac{4^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi^{n/2} \Gamma\left(-s\right)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left(\begin{array}{c} 2m \\ m-k \end{array}\right) k^{2s} \right)^{-1}, & s \in (0,m) \setminus \mathbb{N}, \\
\frac{4^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{2 \pi^{n/2}} \left( \sum_{k=2}^{m} (-1)^{k-s+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2m \\ m-k \end{array}\right) k^{2s} \ln(k) \right)^{-1}, & s \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}.
\end{cases}
\]

2.2 Ellipsoids

Let \( n \geq 1, a \in \mathbb{R}^n, a_i > 0, \) and \( A = \text{diag}(a_k)_{k=1}^n \) a diagonal matrix. Then, for \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\langle x, y \rangle_a := A x \cdot y \quad \text{and} \quad |x|_a := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_a}
\]
define an equivalent scalar product and norm in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (note that the converse is also true for any symmetric positive definite matrix \( A \), after a suitable rotation of the axes). Let \( E_a \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) denote the open unitary ball with respect to the \( a \)-norm, \( i.e., \)

\[
E_a := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_a < 1 \}.
\]

In Section 4 we use \( a \) to denote a positive real number, in this case we use the convention given in (1.5).

For \( \beta > -1 \), let the function \( u_{\beta} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be given by

\[
u_{\beta}(x) := (1 - |x|^2)^{\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

We also let

\[
\mu(d\theta) = \frac{d\theta}{|\theta|^{n+2s} |A\theta|},
\]

where \( d\theta \) denotes the surface measure of \( \partial E_a \), and

\[
J_0 := \int_{\partial E_a} \mu(d\theta),
\]
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These integrals appear frequently in our explicit evaluations. In the particular case \( a_1 = \ldots = a_{n-1} = 1 \), the integrals \( J_0 \) and \( J_i^{(k)} \) can be computed explicitly as well as their asymptotic profile as \( a_n \uparrow \infty \), see Lemma A.1.

\[ j_i^{(k)} := a_k^i \int_{E_{a_i}} \theta_i^{2i} \mu(d\theta), \quad k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.5) \]

\[ \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(z + 1)} = \int_0^1 t^{z-1} e^{-t} \, dt \]

the gamma function. If \( z \in (-\infty, 0) \setminus \mathbb{Z} \), we let \( \Gamma(z) \) be given by the iterative definition \( \Gamma(z + 1) = z \Gamma(z) \).

\[ B(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a + b)} \]

the beta function. Note that in this case

\[ B(a, b) = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{a-1} t^{b-1} \, dt = \int_0^\infty t^{a-1} e^{-(1+t)t} \, dt. \]

\[ _2F_1(a, b; c; z) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(a)_k(b)_k}{(c)_k k!} z^k, \quad (2.6) \]

where \( (q)_k \) is the Pochhammer symbol given by \( (q)_0 = 1 \) and \( (q)_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (q + i) \). Note that if \( q \notin \mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, 0) \), then \( (q)_k = \frac{(q)_k}{(q)_0} \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and hence in particular, if \( a, b, c \notin \mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, 0) \), then

\[ _2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(a+k) \Gamma(b+k)}{\Gamma(c+k)} \frac{z^k}{k!}. \]

If instead \( q \in \mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, 0) \), then

\[ (q)_k = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k + q \geq 1. \quad (2.7) \]

Moreover, if \( c > b > 0 \), then by using the meromorphic extension of the hypergeometric function we have for \( z < 1 \)

\[ _2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \frac{1}{B(b, c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} \, dt. \quad (2.8) \]

\[ \text{Lemma 3.1.} \quad \text{Let} \ s > 0 \ \text{and} \ \beta > 0. \ \text{Then, for} \ i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \ \text{and} \ x \in E_{a_i}, \]

\[ (-\Delta)^j(x, u_\beta(x)) = -\frac{1}{2(\beta + 1)a_i} \partial_i(-\Delta)^j u_{\beta+1}(x), \quad (3.1) \]
\[ (-\Delta)^s (x \cdot u_\beta(x)) = \frac{1}{2(\beta + 1) a_i} \left( \delta_{ij}(-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+1}(x) + \frac{1}{2(\beta + 2) a_j} \partial_j(-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+2}(x) \right), \]  

(3.2)

where \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta. In particular,

\[ (-\Delta)^s (x \cdot u_\beta(x)) = -\frac{1}{2(\beta + 1) a_i} \delta_i(-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+1}(x) \]  

(3.3)

\[ (-\Delta)^s (x \cdot u_\beta(x)) = \frac{1}{2(\beta + 1) a_i} \left( (-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+1}(x) + \frac{1}{2(\beta + 2) a_j} \partial_j(-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+2}(x) \right) \]  

(3.4)

Proof. Let us first notice that, for any \( \beta > 0 \) and \( x \in E_\alpha \),

\[ \partial u_{\beta+1}(x) = -2(\beta + 1)(1 - |x|^2/k)(Ax)_i = -2(\beta + 1)a_i x u_\beta(x), \]  

(3.5)

\[ \partial (x \cdot u_{\beta+1}(x)) = \delta_{ij} u_{\beta+1}(x) - 2(\beta + 1)a_i x u_\beta(x), \]  

(3.6)

Identity (3.5) directly gives (3.1). Iterating the same idea, from (3.6) one deduces

\[ (-\Delta)^s (x \cdot u_\beta(x)) = \frac{1}{2(\beta + 1) a_i} \left( \delta_{ij}(-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+1}(x) - \delta_i(-\Delta)^s (x \cdot u_{\beta+1}(x)) \right) = \] 

\[ = \frac{1}{2(\beta + 1) a_i} \left( \delta_{ij}(-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+1}(x) + \frac{1}{2(\beta + 2) a_j} \partial_j(-\Delta)^s u_{\beta+2}(x) \right). \]  



Theorem 3.2. Let \( s > 0 \) and \( \beta > -1 \). Then

\[ (-\Delta)^s u_\beta(x) = \frac{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s) \Gamma(1 + \beta) c_{n,m,s}}{\Gamma(1 + \beta - s) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) c_{1,m,s}} \times \] 

\[ \times \int_{\partial E_\alpha} (u_1(x) + \langle x, \theta \rangle^2_\alpha) \frac{1}{2s} \Gamma(1 + \beta + 1) \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\langle x, \theta \rangle^2_\alpha}{|\alpha|} \right) \mu(d\theta) \]  

(3.7)

for \( x \in E_\alpha \), where \( c_{n,m,s} \) is given in (2.2).

Proof. We consider spherical coordinates with respect to the \( \alpha \)-norm by writing any \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n \) as \( z = t \theta \) with \( t > 0 \) and \( \theta \in \partial E_\alpha \). This transformation has the Jacobian \( t^{n-1}/|\alpha| \), since, by the coarea formula (notice that \( |\nabla |_{\alpha} = Ax/|x|_\alpha \)),

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)}{|\nabla |_{\alpha}} \, |\nabla |_{\alpha} \, dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial E_\alpha} \frac{f(x)|x|_{\alpha}}{|Ax|} \, dx \, dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial E_\alpha} \frac{f(t \theta)}{|\alpha|} \, d\theta \, t^{n-1} \, dt. \]

We recall notation (2.3) and write

\[ (-\Delta)^s u_\beta(x) = \frac{c_{n,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial u_\beta(x, y)}{|y|^{n+2s}} \, dy = \frac{c_{n,m,s}}{2} \int_{\partial E_\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\partial u_\beta(x, t \theta)}{|t|^{n+2s}} \, dt \, d\mu(d\theta) = \frac{c_{n,m,s}}{4} \int_{\partial E_\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\partial u_\beta(x, t \theta)}{|t|^{n+2s}} \, dt \, d\mu(d\theta). \]

We now focus on the inner integral: recall that

\[ \partial u_\beta(x, t \theta) = \sum_{k=-m}^m (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} (1 - |x + t \theta|^2_\alpha)^\beta. \]

Apply the change of variables

\[ t = -\langle x, \theta \rangle_\alpha + \tau \sqrt{1 - |x|^2_\alpha + \langle x, \theta \rangle^2_\alpha}, \]

(3.8)
rearrange
\[
1 - |x + k\theta|^a_u = 1 - |x - k(x, \theta)\theta + k\tau \theta \sqrt{1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u}|^2 = \\
= 1 - |x|^a_u - k^2 (x, \theta)^2_u - k^2 \tau^2 (1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u) + 2k(x, \theta)^2_u - 2k(1 - k)(x, \theta)^2_u \tau \sqrt{1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u} \\
= \left( \frac{1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u}{1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u} \right) \times \left( 1 - (1 - k)^2 \frac{(x, \theta)^2_u - 2k(1 - k)\tau (x, \theta)^2_u}{\sqrt{1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u}} \right) \right) (1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u),
\]

and deduce
\[
\int_{|t|^{1+2s}} \delta_u \beta(t, \tau) \, dt = (1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u)^{\beta - s} \times \\
\int_{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) \left( 1 - (1 - k)^2 \frac{(x, \theta)^2_u - 2k(1 - k)\tau (x, \theta)^2_u}{\sqrt{1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u}} \right) \, d\tau,
\]

which amounts to (after a translation in the \(\tau\) variable)
\[
\int_{|t|^{1+2s}} \delta_u \beta(t, \tau) \, dt = (1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u)^{\beta - s} \int_{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) \left( 1 - (\tilde{x}_\theta + k\tau)^2 \right) \, d\tau,
\]

where \(\tilde{x}_\theta := (x, \theta)^2_u (1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u)^{-1/2}\). Now, using a particular case of\(^1\) [16, Corollary 4], we know that
\[
(-\Delta)^{\beta/2} (1 - z^2)^{\beta} = c_{1, m, s} \int_{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) (1 - z^2)^{\beta} \, d\tau \\
= \frac{2^{\beta} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s) \Gamma(1 + \beta)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta - s) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \, 2F_1\left( s + \frac{1}{2}, -\beta + s; \frac{1}{2}; z^2 \right) \quad \text{for } z \in (-1, 1).
\]

Therefore, by (3.8) and (3.9),
\[
(-\Delta)^{\beta} u_\beta(x) = \frac{c_{n, m, s}}{4} \int_{E_u} \left( 1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u \right)^{\beta - s} \int_{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) (1 - (\tilde{x}_\theta + k\tau)^2) \, d\tau \, \mu(d\theta) \\
= \frac{c_{n, m, s}}{2c_{1, m, s}} \int_{E_u} \left( 1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u \right)^{\beta - s} (-\Delta)^{\beta} (1 - \tilde{x}_\theta^2) \, \mu(d\theta),
\]

\[= \frac{2^{\beta - 1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s) \Gamma(1 + \beta)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta - s) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} c_{1, m, s} \int_{E_u} \left( 1 - |x|^a_u + (x, \theta)^2_u \right)^{\beta - s} \, 2F_1\left( s + \frac{1}{2}, -\beta + s; \frac{1}{2}; \tilde{x}_\theta^2 \right) \, \mu(d\theta).
\]

\(^1\)In the notations of [16, Corollary 4], we fix \(V(x) \equiv 1\), \(l = 0\), \(\delta = n = 1\), \(\sigma = \beta\) and \(\rho = s\).
In the next corollaries we collect some consequences of Theorem 3.2. For this let

\[ k_{n,s} := \frac{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(n/2 + s)}{\pi^{n/2}}. \]  

(3.10)

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( s > 0 \). Then it holds

\[ (-\Delta)^s u_s(x) = \Gamma(1 + s)k_{n,s} J_0 \quad \text{for } x \in E_a. \]  

(3.11)

Moreover, for any \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( s - \ell > -1 \), it also holds

\[ (-\Delta)^\ell u_{s-\ell}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in E_a, \]  

(3.12)

with \( J_0 \) as in (2.4).

**Proof.** Both statements follow by just considering respectively \( \beta = s \) and \( \beta = s - \ell \) in (3.7). Note that for (3.11) we are using that \( 2F_1 \left( s + \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}; t \right) = 1 \) for \( t \in (-1, 1) \) and, moreover, since

\[ c_{n,m,s} = \frac{4^s \Gamma(n/2 + s)}{\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(-s)} \left( \sum_{k=-m}^m (1-k) \binom{2m}{m-k} \right)^{-1}, \quad s \in (0, m) \setminus \mathbb{N}, \]  

we have

\[ \frac{c_{n,m,s}}{c_{1,m,s}} = \frac{\Gamma(n/2 + s) \sqrt{\pi}}{\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s)}. \]  

Note that the same holds for \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) and hence

\[ \frac{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s) c_{n,m,s}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) c_{1,m,s}} = \frac{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(n/2 + s)}{\pi^{n/2}} = k_{n,s}. \]

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By (3.11), we have that

\[ \tau(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+s)k_{n,s} J_0} \left( 1 - |x|^2 \right)^s, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \]  

(3.13)

satisfies pointwisely that

\[ (-\Delta)^s \tau(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in E_a. \]  

(3.14)

Moreover, \( \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{1}^s(E_a) \). For \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) this is clear, so let \( s \notin \mathbb{N} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( s \in (m, m+1) \). We argue with the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality (see, e.g., [8, Theorem 1]),

\[ \|f\|_{W^{s,p}(E_a)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{0,p_1}(E_a)}^{\theta} \|f\|_{W^{2,p_2}(E_a)}^{1-\theta} \quad \text{for all } f \in W^{s_1,p_1}(E_a) \cap W^{s_2,p_2}(E_a), \]  

(3.15)

which for some \( C \in \mathbb{R} \) independent of \( f \) is satisfied for \( 1 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty, 0 < s_1 < s < s_2 \) satisfying for some \( \theta \in (0, 1) \) the relation

\[ s = \theta s_1 + (1-\theta) s_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}. \]

Next note that for any \( \beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{N}^n_0 \) with \( |\beta| = m \) and \( |\beta'| = m+1 \) there is a constant \( \tilde{C} > 0 \) such that

\[ |\partial^\beta \tau(x)| \leq \tilde{C} (1 - |x|)^{s-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial^\beta \tau'(x)| \leq \tilde{C} (1 - |x|)^{s-1} \quad \text{for } x \in E_a \]

so that \( \tau \in W^{m,m}(E_a) \) and also \( \tau \in W^{m_1,p_1}(E_a) \) for \( 1 < p_2 = \frac{1}{1 + m - s} \geq 1 \). By (3.15) with \( \theta = 1 + m - s, s_1 = m, s_2 = m+1, \) and \( p_1 = \infty \), we then have \( \tau \in W^{s,p}(E_a) \) for all \( p = \frac{p_1}{\theta} < \frac{1}{1 + m - s} \). Since \( (1 + m - s)(s-m) \leq \frac{1}{4} \), we have in particular \( \tau \in H^s(E_a) = W^{s,2}(E_a) \). Since also \( \tau/\text{dist}(\cdot, E_a), \partial E_a \) \( s \in L^m(E_a) \), it follows that \( \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{1}^s(E_a) \) also for \( s \notin \mathbb{N} \). But then, by uniqueness of weak solutions, \( \tau \) is the unique weak solution of (3.14) in \( \mathcal{H}_{1}^s(E_a) \). \( \square \)
Remark 3.4 (Torsion function in an ellipse). The torsion function given in Theorem 1.1 can be extended to any ellipse and the constant can be computed explicitly. Let \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0 \) and \( \mathcal{E} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \alpha_2 x_2^2 < 1 \} \). For \( a = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \) let \( \tau \) be given by (3.13). Finally, let \( \tilde{\tau} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R} \) be given by

\[
\tilde{\tau}(x) = \alpha_1^{-1} \tau(\alpha_1^{-1} x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1^s \Gamma(1 + s) k_{n,s}} \left( 1 - \alpha_1 x_1^2 - a \alpha_1 x_2^2 \right)_+^{1/s} \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2^{2s} \Gamma(1 + s)^2 \alpha_1^{s + 1/2} \alpha_2^{1/2} \Gamma(s + 1, \frac{1}{2}; 1; 1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2})} \left( 1 - \alpha_1 x_1^2 - a \alpha_1 x_2^2 \right)_+^{1/s},
\]

since, by Lemma A.1,

\[
J_0 = a^{-1/2} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( s + 1, \frac{1}{2}; 1; 1 - \frac{1}{a} \right) = \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \right)^{-1/2} \pi \frac{\Gamma(1 + s + j)}{\Gamma(1 + j)} k_{n,s} \int_{\partial E_a} \frac{\left( u_1(x) + (x, \theta) \right)^2}{\mu(d \theta)} \mu(d \theta).
\]

Then, for \( x \in \mathcal{E} \), \( (-\Delta)^s \tilde{\tau}(x) = (-\Delta)^s \tau(ax) = 1 \).

The case \( \beta = s + j \) with \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) in Theorem 3.2 is particularly useful, and therefore we state it as a corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, for \( x \in E_a \),

\[
(-\Delta)^s u_{s+j}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(1+s+j)}{\Gamma(1+j)} \frac{1}{k_{n,s}} \int_{\partial E_a} \frac{\left( u_1(x) + (x, \theta) \right)^2}{\mu(d \theta)} \mu(d \theta).
\]

In the particular cases \( j = 1, 2 \), Table 1 hold.

| \( j \) | \( \Gamma(1+s+j)^{-1} k_{n,s} \frac{1}{(-\Delta)^s u_{s+j}(x)} \) for \( x \in E_a \) |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| \( -|s| - 1 \) | 0 |
| \( \vdots \) | \( \vdots \) |
| \( -1 \) | 0 |
| 0 | \( \int_{\partial E_a} \mu(d \theta) \) |
| 1 | \( \int_{\partial E_a} \frac{\left( u_1(x) - 2 \alpha_1 x_1^2 \right)}{\mu(d \theta)} \mu(d \theta) \) |
| 2 | \( \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \int_{\partial E_a} \left( u_1(x)^2 - 4 \alpha_1^2 x_1^2 \left( x, \theta \right) \right)^2 \mu(d \theta) \) |

Table 1: Significant examples.

**Proof.** Identity (3.16) simply follows by considering \( \beta = s + j \) in (3.7). In order to deduce the particular cases listed in Table 1, we need to remark that, as one of the arguments in the hypergeometric function is a negative integer, then the hypergeometric function reduces to a polynomial, see (2.7). Such polynomials for \( j = 1, 2 \) can be found in Table 2. The calculation of \( k_{n,s} \) follows as in the proof of Corollary 3.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** As mentioned above, this Theorem follows immediately from Corollary 3.5, since for \( j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( v, w > 0 \) we have

\[
(v+w)^j \frac{\Gamma(s+1/2+k)}{\Gamma(s+1/2)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \frac{w^k}{(v+w)^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{(s+1/2+k)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2+k)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_k \frac{(-1)^k w^k (v+w)^{j-k}}{j!}.
\]
In order to compute \((3.19)\), we consider the following differential identities

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_k u_1(x) &= -2a_k x_k, & \partial_k^2 u_1(x) &= -2a_k, \\
\partial_k (x, \theta)^2_a &= 2a_k (x, \theta)_a \theta_k, & \partial_k^2 (x, \theta)^2_a &= 2a_k^2 \theta_k^2,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \frac{(-\Delta)^y U(x)}{\Gamma(1 + s) k_{n,s}} = \]
\[ = J_0 - 2a_k^{1/2} J_0 x_k^2 \frac{1}{2} J_0 u_1 (x) - s \sum_{i=1}^n a_i J_1^{(i)} x_i^2 + \frac{1}{8a_k} \left( 8a_k^2 J_0 x_k^2 - 4J_0 a_k u_1 (x) + 8sa_k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i J_1^{(i)} x_i^2 \right) \]
\[ + 32sa_k J_1^{(k)} x_k^2 - 8sa_k J_1^{(k)} u_1 (x) + 16s(1-s) a_k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \int \frac{\theta^2 \theta^2 \mu (d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \]
\[ = J_0 - 2a_k^{1/2} J_0 x_k^2 - s J_1^{(k)} + \frac{n}{2} s J_2^{(k)} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2 + 2s(1-s) a_k J_2^{(k)} + 2s(1-s) a_k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \int \frac{\theta^2 \theta^2 \mu (d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \]
\[ = \left[ J_0 + 5s J_1^{(k)} + 2s(1-s) J_2^{(k)} \right] a_k x_k^2 - 2 \left[ J_0 + 2a_k J_1^{(k)} a_k^{1/2} J_2^{(k)} + J_0 - s J_1^{(k)} \right] \]
\[ + s J_2^{(k)} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2 + 2s(1-s) a_k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \int \frac{\theta^2 \theta^2 \mu (d\theta)}{\partial E_a} . \]

In view of (3.20)-(3.21), equation (3.19) can be rewritten

\[ \frac{(-\Delta)^y U(x)}{\Gamma(1 + s) k_{n,s}} = \]
\[ = J_0 - 2a_k^{1/2} J_0 x_k^2 - s J_1^{(k)} + \frac{n}{2} s J_2^{(k)} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2 + 2s(1-s) a_k J_2^{(k)} + 2s(1-s) a_k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \int \frac{\theta^2 \theta^2 \mu (d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \]
\[ = J_0 - 2a_k^{1/2} J_0 x_k^2 - 4s a_k^{1/2} J_1^{(k)} x_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} J_0 u_1 (x) - s \sum_{i=1}^n a_i J_1^{(i)} x_i^2 \]
\[ + 32sa_k J_1^{(k)} x_k^2 - 8sa_k J_1^{(k)} u_1 (x) + 16s(1-s) a_k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \int \frac{\theta^2 \theta^2 \mu (d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \]
\[ = 2 \left[ (2, \theta)_a^2 \partial_a \theta_a \right] - 2 \left[ (2, \theta)_a^2 \partial_a \theta_a \right] + 16s(1-s) a_k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \int \frac{\theta^2 \theta^2 \mu (d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( a_i J_0 x_i^2 + 4s a_i J_1^{(i)} x_i^2 - s J_1^{(i)} u_1 (x) + 2s(1-s) a_i \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 x_i^2 \int \frac{\theta^2 \theta^2 \mu (d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \right) . \]
Remark 3.9. Consider \( a_1 = \ldots = a_{n-1} = 1 \) and \( a_n = a \). In this particular case one has

\[
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{a_i}{\partial E_a} \int \theta_i^2 \theta_i^2 \mu(d\theta) \right] a_i x_i^2 = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{\int \theta_i^2 \theta_i^2 \mu(d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \right] x_i^2 + \left[ \frac{\int \theta_n^2 \theta_n^2 \mu(d\theta)}{\partial E_a} \right] a x_n^2
\]

and therefore

\[
\frac{(-\Delta)^s \left( (1-x_1) u_s(x) \right)}{k_{n,s} \Gamma(1+s)} = J_0 - \left[ J_0 + 2s J_1^{(1)} \right] x_1,
\]

\[
\frac{(-\Delta)^s \left( (1-x_1)^2 u_s(x) \right)}{k_{n,s} \Gamma(1+s)} = \left[ J_0 + 5s J_1^{(1)} + 2s(1-s) J_2^{(1)} \right] x_1^2 + 2 \left[ J_0 + 2s J_1^{(1)} \right] x_1 + J_0 - s J_1^{(1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left[ J_1^{(1)} + 2(s-1) \int \theta_i^2 \theta_i^2 \mu(d\theta) \right] x_i^2 + \left[ J_1^{(1)} + 2(s-1) a \int \theta_n^2 \theta_n^2 \mu(d\theta) \right] a x_n^2,
\]

\[
\frac{(-\Delta)^{s} \left( u_s(x) \right) \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_i^2 + a x_n^2 \right)}{k_{n,s} \Gamma(1+s)} = \left[ x_0 - J_1^{(1)} + 2(s-1) \left( J_1^{(1)} - J_2^{(1)} \right) \right] x_1^2 - s \left[ J_0 - J_1^{(1)} \right] + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left[ J_1^{(1)} + 2(s-1) J_2^{(1)} + 2s(1-s) J_2^{(1)} \right] x_i^2 + 2s(1-s) a \int \theta_n^2 \theta_n^2 \mu(d\theta) \left. x_i^2 \right|_{\partial E_a}
\]
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shown that there is a constant $(4) \text{Counterexample to positivity preserving properties in ellipsoids} \text{For the sake of clarity we summarize the above in the following table for the particular case}$ \text{From Lemmas 3.6, 3.7, and 3.8 it follows that}$

\[
\frac{(-\Delta)^s \left((a_{1/2})_n u_0(x)\right)}{k_{n,s} \Gamma(1+s)} = J_0 - (J_0 + 2s J_1) x_n
\]

\[
\frac{(-\Delta)^s \left((1-a_{1/2})_n^2 u_0(x)\right)}{k_{n,s} \Gamma(1+s)} = [J_0 + 5s J_1 + 2s(s-1) J_2] x_n^2 - 2 [J_0 + 2s J_1] x_n + J_0 - s J_1
\]

\[
+ s \left[ J_1 + 2(s-1) (J_1 - J_2) \right] x_n^2.
\]

For the sake of clarity we summarize the above in the following table for the particular case $n = 2$.

| $p(x)$ | $\Gamma(1+s)^{-1} k_{n,s}^{-1} (-\Delta)^s [(pu_0)_n](x)$ for $x \in E_a$ |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------|
| $1-x_1$ | $J_0 - [J_0 + 2s J_1] x_1$ |
| $(1-x_1)^2$ | $\left[ J_0 + 5s J_1 + 2s(s-1) J_2 \right] x_1^2 - 2 \left[ J_0 + 2s J_1 \right] x_1 + J_0 - s J_1$

\[
+ s \left[ J_1 + 2(s-1) (J_1 - J_2) \right] x_1^2
\]

\[
+ \left[ (2s+1)(s+1) J_0 - s(4s+1) J_1 + 2s(s-1) J_2 \right] x_1^2
\]

Table 3: The particular case $n = 2$, $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = a$, where we simply write $J_i$ for $J_i^{(1)}$.

4 Counterexample to positivity preserving properties in ellipsoids

In the following, we give a counterexample to the positivity preserving property (see (1.4)) of $(-\Delta)^s$, $s > 1$, in an ellipsoid $E_a$, where we choose $a_1 = \ldots = a_{n-1} = 1$, and $a_n = a > 1$ sufficiently large. To this end, we consider

\[
U(x) := p(x) u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4.1}
\]

where $p$ is a polynomial of degree two such that $p - \varepsilon$ is sign-changing for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that once we have shown that there is a constant $k > 0$ such that

\[
(-\Delta)^s U \geq k \quad \text{in } E_a, \tag{4.2}
\]

it follows, by linearity, that for a suitable $\varepsilon > 0$ small the function $U_\varepsilon := (p - \varepsilon) u_0$ has a nonnegative fractional Laplacian while the function itself is sign-changing in $E_a$.

We begin with a heuristic explanation of the strategy. We choose $p(x) = p_2(x_1) + \gamma p_1(x_1) - \delta q(x)$ for constants $\gamma, \delta \geq 0$ to be fixed later and where

\[
p_2(x_1) = (1-x_1)^2, \quad p_1(x_1) = 1-x_1, \quad \text{and} \quad q(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} x_k^2 + ax_n^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

From Lemmas 3.6, 3.7, and 3.8 it follows that

\[
(-\Delta)^s (p_2 u_0) = P_2(x_1) + R_2(x_2, \ldots, x_n)
\]

\[
(-\Delta)^s (p_1 u_0) = P_1(x_1),
\]

for some degree 2 polynomials $P_2$ and $R_2$, for some degree 1 polynomial $P_1$. 
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\[ (-\Delta)^s(qu_s) = Q(x_1) + R_0(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \quad \text{for some degree 2 polynomials } Q \text{ and } R_0. \]

To achieve (4.2) we then need, in particular, that \( \delta \) satisfies
\[ R_2 - \delta R_0 \geq 0, \quad \text{in } E_0. \] (4.3)

The choice of \( \gamma \) is far more delicate, but from a geometric point of view it can be made intuitively optimal: indeed, in the worst case scenario, the polynomial \( P_2(x_1) \) has two real roots \( P_{2,-} < P_{2,+} < 1 \), while \( P_1(x_1) \) always has one \( P_{1,+} \). In this case, it holds that \( P_{2,-} \) and \( P_{1,+} \) are both of the order \( 1 - P_{2,-} = O\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = 1 - P_{1,+}, \quad \text{as } a \uparrow \infty. \)

But then, if we aim at having \( P_2(x_1) + \gamma P_1(x_1) > 0 \) in \((-1, 1)\), it is enough to verify (see Figure 1)
\[ P_{2,+} < P_{1,+} \] (4.4)

and consequently choose
\[ \gamma = -\frac{P_2'(P_{2,+})}{P_1'}. \] (4.5)

(noticing that the derivative of \( P_1 \) is a negative constant): with this choice of \( \gamma \), we will have \( P_2(x_1) \geq -\gamma P_1(x_1) \) in \((-1, 1)\) by convexity.

By taking \( \delta > 0 \) such that (4.3) is satisfied, and replacing \( P_2(x_1) \) with \( P_2(x_1) + \delta Q(x_1) \), the range of possible choices of \( s \) so that (4.4) is satisfied can even be enlarged.

The conditions that need to be verified in this argument and their compatibility (on top of an asymptotic analysis as \( a \uparrow \infty \)) is basically the technical reason why the strategy stops working at finite \( s \): nevertheless we expect that increasing the degrees of the involved polynomials could give some more flexibility in the computations, resulting in a wider range for \( s \).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{gamma_choice.png}
\caption{A choice of \( \gamma \) that implies \( P_{2,\delta} + \gamma P_1 > 0. \)}
\end{figure}

Theorem 4.1 follows directly from the next result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let
\[ p(x) := (1-x_1)^2 + \gamma(1-x_1) - \delta \left( \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} x_k^2 + a x_n^2 \right). \] (4.6)

Then, for every \( s \in (1, \sqrt{3} + 3/2) \), there are \( \gamma, \delta \geq 0 \), and \( a_0 > 1 \) such that the following holds: for every \( a \geq a_0 \) there is \( K > 0 \) such that
\[ (-\Delta)^s(pu_s)(x) \geq \frac{K J_0}{a^s} \quad \text{for all } x \in E_0. \]
In particular, for every \( a \geq a_0 \) there is \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that the function \( U_\varepsilon = (p - \varepsilon)u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(E_a) \) satisfies
\[
(-\Delta)^s U_\varepsilon(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in E_a.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** In the following, we perform an asymptotic analysis letting \( a \uparrow \infty \). To this end, let us first recall (2.4) and (2.5). By Lemma A.1, we have
\[
j_1 := \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} \frac{a J_1^{(1)}}{J_0} = \frac{1}{2s - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad j_2 := \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} \frac{a^2 J_2^{(1)}}{J_0} = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } s \in \left(1, \frac{3}{2}\right); \\ \frac{3}{(2s - 1)(2s - 3)} j_1, & \text{if } s > \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases}
\]
(4.7)

Moreover, \( \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} \frac{a J_1^{(1)}}{J_0} = \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} \frac{J_1^{(i)}}{J_0} = 0 \) for all \( s > 1 \). Let
\[
A := (1 - s\delta) J_0 + s(5 - \delta(2s - 3)) J_1^{(1)} + 2s(s - 1)(1 + \delta) J_1^{(2)}, \\
B := J_0 + 2s J_1^{(1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad C := (1 + \delta s) J_0 - s(1 + \delta) J_1^{(1)}.
\]

We denote by
\[
P_1(x_1) = J_0 - B x_1, \\
P_{2, \delta}(x_1) = A x_1^2 - 2B x_1 + C,
\]
\[
Q_\delta(x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{h \in \partial^2 E_u} \left[ \int_{\partial E_u} \partial_a \left( \int_{\partial E_u} \partial^2 \mu(d\theta) \right) x_i^2 + \int_{\partial E_u} \partial_a \left( \int_{\partial E_u} \partial^2 \mu(d\theta) \right) x_i^2 \right]
\]
so that, for \( x \in E_u \), we have
\[
\frac{(-\Delta)^s (p U)(x)}{1 + (1 + s) f_{n,s}} = P_{2, \delta}(x_1) + \gamma P_1(x_1) + Q_\delta(x_2, \ldots, x_n), \quad x \in E_u.
\]

We first note that the discriminant of \( P_{2, \delta} \) is given by
\[
B^2 - AC = J_0^2 + 4s J_1^{(1)} + 4s^2 J_1^{(2)} - \left( (1 - s\delta) J_0 + s(5 - \delta(2s - 3)) J_1^{(1)} + 2s(s - 1)(1 + \delta) J_1^{(2)} \right) (J_0 + s J_1^{(1)}) + s(1 + \delta)(1 - s\delta) J_1^{(1)} + s^2(5 - \delta(2s - 3))(1 + \delta)(1 - s\delta) J_0 J_1^{(1)}
\]
\[
+ s(4 - (1 + s\delta)(5 - \delta(2s - 3)) + (1 + \delta)(1 - s\delta) J_0 J_1^{(1)} + s^2(4 + (5 - \delta(2s - 3))(1 + \delta) J_1^{(1)}
\]
\[
- 2s(1 + \delta)(1 - s\delta) J_0 J_1^{(1)} + s^2(2(s - 1)(1 + \delta) J_1^{(1)}) + s^2(2(s - 1)(1 + \delta) J_1^{(2)}
\]
\[
- 2s(1 + \delta)(1 + s\delta) J_0 J_1^{(1)} + s^2(2(s - 1)(1 + \delta) J_1^{(2)}
\]
If \( s \in (1, 3/2) \) and \( \delta = 0 \), then
\[
\frac{a^2 (B^2 - AC)}{J_0^2} = 9s^2 \left( \frac{a J_1^{(1)}}{J_0} \right)^2 - 2s(s - 1) \frac{a^2 J_1^{(1)}}{J_0} + 4s^2(2(s - 1) \frac{a J_1^{(1)}}{J_0} \frac{a J_1^{(2)}}{J_0} \downarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } a \uparrow \infty.
\]
so that there is \( a_0 > 0 \) such that \( P_{2,0} \) is positive for all \( a \geq a_0 \). On the other hand, if \( s \in (3/2, 2) \) and \( \delta = 0 \), then, using (4.7) and the notation \( f \sim g \) (for \( a \uparrow \infty \)) whenever \( \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} f(n) / g(n) = 1 \),

\[
\frac{a^2(B^2 - AC)}{J_0^2} = 9s^2 \left( \frac{aJ_1^{(1)}}{J_0} \right)^2 - 2s(s-1) \frac{a^2J_2^{(1)}}{J_0} + 2s(s-1) \frac{aJ_1^{(1)} aJ_2^{(1)}}{J_0}
\]

\[
\sim 9s^2 \frac{J_1^2}{J_0^2} \left( 6 \frac{s(s-1)}{2s-3} \right) = \frac{sJ_1}{(2s-1)(2s-3)} (s-2)(2s+1) < 0.
\]

The claim in the case \( s \in (1, 2) \) hence follows by choosing \( \delta = \gamma = 0 \), noting that for \( \delta = 0 \) we have

\[
Q_0(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \geq \left[ (s+1)J_0 + 4sJ_1^{(n)} - sJ_1^{(1)} + 2s(s-1)J_1^{(n)} - 2s(s-1)a \int \frac{\theta_n^2 \theta_1^2 \mu(d\theta)}{\partial E_n} \right] a^2_n
\]

\[
> J_0 + 4sJ_1^{(n)} + 2s(s-1)J_1^{(n)} - s(s-1)a \int \frac{\theta_n^2 \theta_1^2 \mu(d\theta)}{\partial E_n}
\]

\[
\geq J_0 + 4sJ_1^{(n)} + 2s(s-1)J_1^{(n)} - (s-1)J_1^{(1)} a^2_n \geq 0,
\]

using that \( J_0 \geq J_1^{(1)} \) and \( J_1^{(n)} \geq J_1^{(1)} \) by (A.2) in Lemma A.1 and the definition of the hypergeometric function.

In the following we assume \( s \geq 2 \). Moreover, we assume that \( \delta \) is such that

\[
A = (1-s\delta)J_0 + s(5-\delta(2s-3))J_1^{(1)} + 2s(s-1)(1+\delta)J_2^{(1)} > 0
\]

(4.10)

this is asymptotically satisfied as \( a \uparrow \infty \) if \( s\delta < 1 \).

For the positivity of \( Q_0 \) we first consider identities

\[
J_1^{(n)} = J_0 - (n-1)J_1^{(1)},
\]

\[
a \int \frac{\theta_n^2 \theta_1^2 \mu(d\theta)}{\partial E_n} = J_1^{(1)} - J_2^{(1)} - (n-2) \int \frac{\theta_n^2 \theta_1^2 \mu(d\theta)}{\partial E_n}
\]

from which we deduce that \( Q_0 \geq 0 \) for \( a \) sufficiently large if

\[
s(2s-1)J_1^{(1)} - \delta(s+1)(2s+1)J_0 \geq 0.
\]

In view of the last inequality, we choose

\[
\delta < \frac{1}{a} \lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{s(2s-1)}{s+1}(2s+1)J_0 = \frac{s}{(s+1)(2s+1)} a;
\]

(4.11)

remark how this choice for \( \delta \) also fulfills (4.10) for \( a \) large. Not that, in view of (4.10), the largest root of \( P_{2,\delta} \) is given by

\[
P_{2,+} := \frac{B + \sqrt{B^2} - AC}{A},
\]

(4.12)

provided \( B^2 \geq AC \). We remark that \( ^3 \)

\[
B^2 - AC \sim s^2 \delta^2 J_0^2 - 2s \delta (2s+1) J_0 J_1^{(1)} + 9s^2 (J_1^{(1)})^2 - 2s(s-1) J_0 J_2^{(1)}
\]

\[
\sim J_0 \left( \frac{\delta^2}{2} \frac{s^2}{a^2} \right) - \frac{2s(2s+1)}{2s-1} \frac{\delta}{a} + \frac{9s^2}{(2s-1)^2} \frac{1}{a^2} - \frac{6s(s-1)}{(2s-1)(2s-3)} \frac{1}{a^2}
\]

as \( a \uparrow \infty \).

The root of \( P_1 \) is given by

\[
P_{1,+} := \frac{J_0}{B}
\]

(4.13)

\(^2\text{If this is not the case, then } P_{2,\delta} \text{ is positive and it is sufficient to take } \gamma = 0.\)

\(^3\text{We use the asymptotic behaviors stated in (A.3), on top of identities (A.9) and (A.10): mind that all this relies on the restriction } s > 3/2.\)
As explained above, with $\gamma$ as in (4.5) we have $P_{2,\delta} + \gamma P_1 > 0$ in $[-1,1]$, if (and only if) we can find $\delta$ such that

$$P_{2,+} < P_{1,+},$$

(4.14)

where the strict inequality is needed due to the asymptotic analysis. This inequality is moreover equivalent to

$$B^2 + B\sqrt{B^2 - AC} < J_0 A.$$

Asymptotically, this is satisfied if and only if

$$1 + \frac{4s}{2s-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} + \sqrt{s^2 \delta^2 - \frac{2s(2s+1)}{2s-1} \frac{\delta}{\alpha} + \frac{9s^2}{(2s-1)^2 \alpha^2} - \frac{6s(s-1)}{(2s-1)(2s-3) \alpha^2} < 1 - s \delta + \frac{4s}{2s-1} \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

which is equivalent to

$$s^2 \delta^2 - \frac{2s(2s+1)}{2s-1} \frac{\delta}{\alpha} + \frac{9s^2}{(2s-1)^2 \alpha^2} - \frac{6s(s-1)}{(2s-1)(2s-3) \alpha^2} < \left(-s \delta + \frac{s}{2s-1} \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2$$

for $\delta < \frac{1}{2s-1} \frac{1}{\alpha}$, i.e.,

$$\delta > -\frac{1}{s+1} \left(\frac{3(s-1)}{2s-3} - \frac{4s}{2s-1} \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

(4.15)

As the condition $a \delta < 1/(2s-1)$ is already implied by (4.11), we are left to verify what values of $s$ allow for a non-empty range of $\delta$ as resulting from (4.11) and (4.15): these are those values that satisfy

$$-\frac{3(s-1)}{2s-3} + \frac{4s}{2s-1} < \frac{s}{2s+1}$$

which in particular holds for $s \in [2, \sqrt{3} + 3/2]$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** This follows directly from the first part of the proof of Theorem 4.1.

### 4.1 A computer-assisted analysis in two dimensions

Theorem 4.1 shows that the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ does not satisfy a positivity preserving property in the ellipse $E_a$ for $a$ large enough. Its proof uses an asymptotic analysis as $a \uparrow \infty$ and constructs an explicit counterexample for any $a$ sufficiently large ($a > a_0$ for some $a_0 > 1$) and for $s \in (1,s_0)$ with $s_0 := \sqrt{3} + 3/2 \approx 3.232$. In this section we fix $n = 2$ and address the following questions:

i) How large is $a_0$?

ii) What can be said for $s \geq s_0$?

The answer to these questions depends on the explicit calculations developed in Section 3, which involve several hypergeometric functions. These functions can be expressed as a series (2.6) or as an integral (2.8). However, direct calculations using these representations are usually hard to perform; nevertheless, computers are very efficient and precise manipulating and approximating the values of hypergeometric functions, and we use this to answer questions i) and ii).

#### 4.1.1 The behaviour of $a_0$ in the simplest case

Let

$$p(x) := (1-x_1)^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

(4.16)

then the value of $(-\Delta)^s(p u_x)$ in $E_a$ can be computed explicitly in terms of hypergeometric functions, see Table 3. In particular,

$$(-\Delta)^s(p u_x) > 0 \quad \text{in } E_a \quad \text{if } B^2 - AC < 0,$$

(4.17)

where $A$, $B$, and $C$ are given in (4.8). In Figure 2 we present a plot of the nodal regions of $D(a,s) := B^2 - AC$ (note that $A$, $B$, and $C$ are all explicit functions of $a$ and $s$).
Figure 2: The nodal regions of $D(a,s) := B^2 - AC$ for $s \in (1,2)$ with $a \in (1,500)$ (left) and $a \in (1,2000)$ (right). For $(s,a)$ in the white region one can construct a counterexample to positivity preserving properties for $(-\Delta)^2$ in the ellipse $E_a$ with axes 1 and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}$.

In particular, Figure 2 shows that (4.17) holds for all $s \in (1,2)$ and $a > a_0$ for some $a_0 > 0$, as stated in Theorem 4.1, however $a_0 \uparrow \infty$ as $s \downarrow 1$, whereas for $s = 3/2$ we have $a_0 < 115$. Note that, if $s \uparrow 2$, then we also have that $a_0 \uparrow \infty$ whenever $p$ has the simple form (4.16); but, by using a more general polynomial $p$ as in (4.6) for suitable $\delta$ and $\gamma$, one can obtain a counterexample for $s$ larger.

4.1.2 Extended range for counterexamples

If $s \geq s_0$, then the asymptotic analysis in the proof of Theorem 4.1 cannot be successfully implemented. However, one can show that a counterexample can be obtained for some $s \geq s_0$ if $a$ is not very large.

To be more precise, let $\gamma$ be as in (4.5) and let

$$
\delta = \frac{s(\gamma_1^{(1)} + 2(s-1)(\gamma_1^{(1)} - \gamma_2^{(1)}))}{(s+1)J_a - sJ_1^{(1)} - 2s(s-1)(\gamma_1^{(1)} - \gamma_2^{(1)}) + 2s(s+1)J_1^{(2)}}
= \frac{s(a-1)(\gamma_1^{(1)} - \gamma_1^{(2)})}{\gamma_1^{(1)} (s+1; s+1; \frac{a}{a-1})} + \frac{2s(s+1)(a-1)(\gamma_1^{(1)} - \gamma_2^{(1)})}{\gamma_1^{(1)} (s+1; s+1; \frac{a}{a-1})}.
$$

This choice of $\delta$ is such that $Q_\delta \equiv 0$ (see (4.9) and use (A.2) and (A.10)).

Let $P_{1,+}$ and $P_{2,+}$ be as in (4.12) and (4.13). Then a counterexample can be successfully constructed if $P_{1,+} > P_{2,+}$, see (4.14). Let

$$
h(a,s) := P_{1,+} - P_{2,+}.
$$

Then we can compute numerically that $h(11,s) > 0$ for $s \in (3,3.8456)$, see Figure 3. Observe also that $h(20,3.8) < 0$; in particular, this implies that large values of $a$ are not always optimal to construct a counterexample.

To argue the optimality and the consistency of our approach, we remark that the root of the mapping $a \mapsto h(a,2)$ can be computed numerically, and it is given by $b_0 \approx 18.94281916344395$ (see Figure 3), which is the same threshold found in [28, Theorem 5.2], obtained with different arguments than ours in the study of the bilaplacian in two-dimensional ellipses.
Figure 3: The nodal regions of $h(a,s)$ for $(a,s) \in (5,20) \times (2,4)$ (left) and for $(a,s) \in (5,1000) \times (2,4)$ (right). The dashed line represents $s_0 = \sqrt{3} + 3/2$. For $(s,a)$ in the white region one can construct a counterexample to positivity preserving properties for $(-\Delta)^s$ in the ellipse $E_a$ with axes 1 and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

4.2 Counterexamples to p.p.p. in sets obtained by point inversion

Proof of Corollary 1.6. We argue as in [1, Proposition 1.6]. Fix $c > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $a_1 > 0$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial E_a$, $\sigma$ as in (1.7), $\Omega := \Omega(a,c,v) = \sigma(E_a)$, and let $K_z(x) := [x + v]^{2n-2s}\zeta(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{v\}$ and $z \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that $v \not\in \overline{\Omega}$. Then, if $u_t(x) := (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2)^t$, we have that $w_t = K_t u_t$. By [1, Lemma 3.3], one can compute $(-\Delta)^s w_t$ pointwisely in $\Omega$. Then, for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_\Omega w_t (-\Delta)^s \phi \, dx = c^{2n-4s} \int_\Omega K_t(t w_t) (-\Delta)^s K_t \phi(x) \, dx
$$

by a change of variables ($y = \sigma(x)$) and by Proposition 1.5, where we used that $K_t(t z) = t^{2n-2s} z$ and that the Jacobian for $x \mapsto \sigma(x)$ is $c^n |x + v|^{-2s}$. Integrating by parts (see, for example, [5, Lemma 1.5]),

$$
\int_\Omega (-\Delta)^s w_t(x) \phi(x) \, dx = \int_{E_a} (-\Delta)^s u_t(y) \frac{\phi(\sigma(y))}{|x + v|^{n-2s}} \, dy = k \int_\Omega \frac{c^n |x + v|^{-2s} \phi(x)}{|x + v|^{n-2s}} \, dy
$$

for some constant $k > 0$. Since this holds for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we have that $(-\Delta)^s w_t(\Omega) = k |x + v|^{-n-2s}$ pointwisely in $\Omega$, as claimed.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. We use the notation from the proof of Corollary 1.6. Assume that $\Omega$ is bounded or that $n > 4s$ and let $U_t$ be given by Theorem 1.4. Then, a direct calculation shows that $W := K_t U_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, $W$ is signed changing and, by Proposition 1.5 and Plancherel’s Theorem,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{W}|^2 \, d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^s W \, dx = c^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{U_t(\sigma(x)) (-\Delta)^s U_t(\sigma(x))}{|x + v|^{n-2s}} \, dx \leq c^{2s} \int_{E_a} \frac{U_t(\sigma(x)) P(\sigma(x))}{|x + v|^{n-2s}} \, dx \leq c^{2s} \int_{E_a} \frac{U_t(\sigma(x)) P(x)}{|x + v|^{2s+n}} \, dx
$$

where $\hat{W}$ is the Fourier transform of $W$ and $P$ is a polynomial of degree two given by Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7. In particular $W \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Arguing as in Corollary 1.6, we obtain that $(-\Delta)^s W > 0$ pointwisely in $\Omega$. 

\[\Box\]
A\hspace{1em}\text{Asymptotic behavior of } J^{(k)}_i

Recall that $\mu$ is defined in (2.3) with a diagonal matrix $A$ with entries $a_1 = \ldots = a_{n-1} = 1$ and $a_n = a$.

**Lemma A.1.** Let $n \geq 2$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and

$$J^{(k)}_i = a_k^i \int_{\partial \Omega} \theta^2 \mu(d\theta), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

as in (2.5), where $J_0 := J^{(1)}_0 = \ldots = J^{(n)}_0$. Then

$$
J^{(n)}_i = a^{-1/2} \omega_{n-2} B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \zeta F_1\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}; i + \frac{n}{2}; 1 - \frac{1}{a}\right)
$$

and

$$
J^{(k)}_i = a^{-1/2} \omega_{n-2} B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \zeta F_1\left(s + \frac{n}{2}; i + \frac{n}{2}; 1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \ldots, n-1,
$$

where $\omega_d = \frac{2\pi^{d+1/2}}{\Gamma((d+1)/2)} = |S^d|$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, $\lim_{a_i \to a_0} J^{(n)}_{i} = 1$ and,

1. if $s > i - \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\lim_{a_i \to a_0} \frac{a^i J^{(1)}_i}{J_0} = \frac{\Gamma\left(i + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(i + s - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(i + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(i + s\right)} = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{1 + 2k}{2s - 2k - 1};
$$

2. if $s \leq i - \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\lim_{a_i \to a_0} \frac{a^i J^{(1)}_i}{J_0} = a_{n-2} B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) B\left(i - s - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} + i\right)}{B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right)}
$$

and in particular $\lim_{a_i \to a_0} \frac{a^i J^{(1)}_i}{J_0} = \infty$ and $\lim_{a_i \to a_0} \frac{a^{-i} J^{(1)}_i}{J_0} = 0$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, i\}$ with $s > i - j - \frac{1}{2}$.

**Proof.** Let $\theta = (\sin(\phi_{n-1})P_{n-2}(\phi'), a^{-1/2} \cos(\phi_{n-1}))$, with $\phi_{n-1} \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and $P_{n-2}(\phi')$ is the parametrization of $\partial B_{1}^{n-1}(0) \cap \{x_n > 0\}$, that is $P_0 \equiv 1$ and for $n > 2$,

$$P_{n-2} = \left(P_{n-3}(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n-3}) \sin(\phi_{n-2}), \cos(\phi_{n-2})\right), \quad \phi_k \in (0, \pi) \text{ for } k = 1, \ldots, n-2
$$

Then

$$\det J^T_0 J_0 = \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}) + a^{-1} \sin^2(\phi_{n-1})$$

for $n = 2$ and for $n > 2$ we have

$$\det J^T_0 J_0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi_{n-1})P_{n-2}(\phi') & -a^{-1/2} \sin(\phi_n) \\ \sin(\phi_{n-1})J^T_{P_{n-2}}(\phi') & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}) + a^{-1} \sin^2(\phi_{n-1}) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2(\phi_{n-1})J^T_{P_{n-2}}(\phi') \end{pmatrix} = \det J^T_{P_{n-2}}(\phi')J_{P_{n-2}}(\phi') = \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} 2 \sin^2(\phi_{n-1}) \phi_k.
$$

We begin with $k = n$, where the above parametrization gives

$$J^{(n)}_i = \omega_{n-2} \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\cos^2(\phi_{n-1})(\cos^2(\phi_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{1/2}(\sin^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{n/2-1}}{\left(\sin^2(\phi_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \cos^2(\phi_{n-1})\right)^{n+1/2}(\sin^2(\phi_{n-1}) + a \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{1/2}} d\phi_{n-1}$$
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by symmetry. With the change of variable \( \phi_{n-1} = \arccos(t) \), \( \frac{d\phi_{n-1}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \) (and afterwards \( t^2 = \tau \)) it follows that

\[
2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos^2(\phi_{n-1})(1 - \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{n/2-1}}{(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{a}) \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{n+2/2}} \, d\phi_{n-1} = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos^2(\phi_{n-1})(1 - \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{n/2-1}}{(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{a}) \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{n+2/2}} \, d\phi_{n-1}
\]

\[
= \int_0^{\pi/2} \tau^{1/2}(1 - \tau)^{(n-3)/2}(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{a}) \tau)^{-s-n/2} \, d\tau
\]

\[
= B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \, {}_2F_1\left(s + \frac{n}{2}, i + \frac{1}{2}; i + \frac{n}{2}; 1 - \frac{1}{a}\right)
\]

where we have used the integral representation of the hypergeometric function \( {}_2F_1 \). This proves (A.2) for \( k = n \).

In the following, given two functions \( f \) and \( g \), we use notation \( f \sim g \) as \( a \uparrow \infty \), if \( \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} = 1 \). With the change of variable \( t = (a - 1) \tau \) we have as \( a \uparrow \infty \)

\[
B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \, {}_2F_1\left(s + \frac{n}{2}, i + \frac{1}{2}; i + \frac{n}{2}; 1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \sim \int_0^{1/2} \tau^{1/2}(1 - \tau)^{(n-3)/2}(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{a}) \tau)^{-s-n/2} \, d\tau
\]

\[
= \frac{a^{s+n/2}}{(a-1)^{i+1/2}} \int_0^{a-1} t^{-1/2}(1 - \frac{t}{a-1})^{(n-3)/2}(a-t)^{-s-n/2} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{a^{s+n/2}}{(a-1)^{i+n/2-1}} \int_0^{a-1/2} t^{-1/2}(a - 1 - t)^{(n-3)/2}(a-t)^{-s-n/2} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{a^{s+n/2}}{(a-1)^{i+n/2-1}} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} t^{-1/2}(1 - t)^{(n-3)/2}(t + 1)^{-s-n/2} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{a^{s+n/2}}{(a-1)^{(a-1)/2}} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} (1 - \frac{t}{a-1})^{-1/2} t^{(n-3)/2}(t + 1)^{-s-n/2} \, dt
\]

\[
\sim a^{s+1/2} \int_0^{(n-3)/2} (t + 1)^{-s-n/2} \, dt = a^{s+1/2} B\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, s + \frac{1}{2}\right).
\]

(A.4)

Note now that the asymptotic behavior of \( J_i \) follows from (A.4) and it reads

\[
J_i^{(n)} \sim \omega_{n-2} B\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, s + \frac{1}{2}\right) \, a^s \quad \text{as} \quad a \uparrow \infty,
\]

(A.5)

so that \( \lim_{a \uparrow \infty} \frac{J_i^{(n)}}{J_i^{(k)}} = 1 \) as claimed.

For \( k = 1, \ldots, n-1 \), by symmetry, it follows that \( J_i^{(k)} = J_i^{(1)} \). Moreover, with the above parametrization we have

\[
\theta_i = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin(\phi_k), \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_k \in (0, \pi),
\]

so that with a similar calculation as for \( k = n \) we have

\[
J_i^{(1)} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\cos^2(\phi_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{a} \sin^2(\phi_{n-1})}{(\sin^2(\phi_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{a} \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{n/2}} \, d\phi_{n-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{k-1/2}(\phi_k) \, d\phi_k
\]
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of the hypergeometric function and the dominated convergence theorem, we have 
from which (A.2) follows for \( k \). If \( s \uparrow \infty \), then, using again the integral representation of the hypergeometric function and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} J_i^{(1)} = \omega_{n-2} B\left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \right) B\left( \frac{1}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) / (2n-1),
\]

which shows the first part in 2. If \( s > i - \frac{n}{2} \) then with the change of variable \( t = (a-1) \tau \) we have from (A.6) as \( a \uparrow \infty \)

\[
d'J_i^{(1)} = \frac{2\pi^{(n-2)/2}}{a^{(n-1)/2}} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} B\left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}, i + \frac{n-1}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\tau}{(a-1)\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} t^{-1/2} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{a-1} \right)^{(n-3)/2+i} (a-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{2\pi^{(n-2)/2}}{a^{(n-1)/2}} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} (a-1-t)^{-(n-3)/2+i} (a-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{2\pi^{(n-2)/2}}{a^{(n-1)/2}} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{a-1} \right)^{-(n-3)/2+i} (a-1-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
\sim 2\pi^{(n-2)/2} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} (a-1-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{2\pi^{(n-2)/2}}{a^{(n-1)/2}} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} (1 - \frac{t}{a-1})^{-(n-3)/2+i} (a-1-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
= 2\pi^{(n-2)/2} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} (a-1-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
= 2\pi^{(n-2)/2} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} (1 - \frac{t}{a-1})^{-(n-3)/2+i} (a-1-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
= 2\pi^{(n-2)/2} a^{(n-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{(a-1)/2} (a-1-t)^{-s-n/2} dt
\]

\[
= 2\pi^{(n-2)/2} a^{(n-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2})} B\left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}, s - i + \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]

(A.8)
Finally, we have with (A.4)
\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{a^j J^{(n)}}{J_0} = \frac{2\pi^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2}) B(i + \frac{n-1}{2}, s_i + \frac{1}{2})}{\omega_{i-2} \Gamma(i + \frac{n-1}{2}) B(\frac{n-1}{2}, s_i + \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{\Gamma(i + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s_i - \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(s_i + \frac{1}{2})} = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{1+2k}{2s_i - 2k - 1},
\]
if \( s_i > i - \frac{1}{2} \).

as claimed in 1. If instead \( s_i < i - \frac{1}{2} \), then by (A.7) we have
\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{a^{-j} J^{(n)}}{J_0} = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } i - j > s_i + \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i - j < s_i + \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}
\]

The case of \( s_i = i - \frac{1}{2} \) now follows similarly, noting that in this case \( a^{1/2} J_i = O(\ln(a)) \) for \( a \to \infty \).

\[\square\]

**Lemma A.2.** In the notations of Lemma A.1, we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial E_0} \int_{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 \mu(d\theta) = \frac{1}{3} J_2^{(1)} \quad \text{for } i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, i \neq k,
\]
(A.9)
\[
a \frac{\partial}{\partial E_0} \int_{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 \mu(d\theta) = J_1^{(1)} - \frac{n+1}{3} J_2^{(1)} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}.
\]
(A.10)

**Proof.** The proof of (A.9) closely follows the computation in the proof of (A.2). Indeed, by symmetry,
\[
\int_{\partial E_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 \mu(d\theta) = \int_{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 \mu(d\theta) \quad \text{for } i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, i \neq k,
\]
and with
\[
J := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(\cos^2(\phi_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{n} \sin^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{1/2} (\sin^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{n/2+1}}{(\sin^2(\phi_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{n} \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{s_i + n/2} (\cos^2(\phi_{n-1}) + \cos^2(\phi_{n-1}))^{1/2}} d\phi_{n-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{k+3}(\phi_k) d\phi_k \right)
\]
we have
\[
\int_{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 \mu(d\theta) = J \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^2(\phi_1) \cos^2(\phi_1) d\phi_1 = J \left( \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^2(\phi_1) d\phi_1 - \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^4(\phi_1) d\phi_1 \right) = J_2^{(1)} \left( \frac{2}{3\pi} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{3} J_2^{(1)}.
\]

For the proof of (A.10) we proceed as follows using again the symmetry:
\[
a \frac{\partial}{\partial E_0} \int_{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 \mu(d\theta) = a \int_{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \theta_2^2 \mu(d\theta) = \int_{\partial E_0} \theta_1^2 \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_i^2 \right) \mu(d\theta) = J_1^{(1)} - J_2^{(1)} - \frac{n-2}{3} J_2^{(1)},
\]
where we have used (A.9) in the last identity. \[\square\]

**B Point inversion transformations**

For \( a, c > 0 \) and \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \), let \( \sigma \) and \( \Omega = \Omega(a, c, v) \) be defined as in Corollary 1.6, namely, for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{-v\} \),
\[
\sigma(x) := c \frac{x + v}{|x + v|^2} = v, \quad \Omega = \Omega(a, c, v) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma_i(x)^2 + a \sigma_n(x)^2 < 1 \right\}.
\]
The geometrical meaning of the point inversion transformation $\sigma$ is that of an inversion with respect to the boundary of a sphere of radius $\sqrt{c}$ centered in $-\nu$, see Figure 4. Note that if $c = 1$ and $\nu = 0$, then $\sigma$ is the usual Kelvin transform.

![Figure 4: The associated point inversion $\sigma$ is an inversion with respect to the boundary $\partial B_{\sqrt{c}}(-\nu)$. In the picture we see the ellipse $E_{25}$ with axis of length 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ (dashed), its transformation $\sigma(E_{25})$ and the circle $\partial B_{\sqrt{c}}(-\nu)$ (dotted) for $\nu = \left(-\frac{6}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, $c = 2$ (left), and for $\nu = (0, -1)$, $c = \frac{1}{2}$ (right).](image)

Varying $\nu$ and $c$ gives rise to a wide variety of shapes, as illustrated in the following figures, see also [21], where a point inversion transformation is used to show the existence of domains for which the bilaplacian’s torsion function is sign-changing. We thank G. Sweers for sharing references [12, 21, 22] with us.

![Figure 5: From left to right and top to bottom: the ellipse $E_{25}$, $\Omega(5^2, 1, (-1.03, 0))$, $\Omega(5^2, 10, (\frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5}))$, $\Omega(5^2, 10, \left(-\frac{3}{10}, -\frac{3}{10}\right))$, $\Omega(15^2, 1, (0, -1.1))$, $\Omega(5^2, \frac{1}{10}, (\frac{99}{100}, 0))$. The last two figures are $\Omega(10^2, 10, (0, 0, -1.1))$ and $\Omega(30^2, \frac{1}{10}, (-0.99, 0))$, which are examples of unbounded domains.](image)
Figure 6: From left to right and top to bottom: The ellipsoid $E((1,4,9), \Omega((1,4,9),1/2,(0,0,0)))$, $\Omega((1,9,16^2),1/2,(-\frac{2}{10},-\frac{1}{10},-\frac{1}{10}))$, $\Omega((1,16,36),10,(0,0,-1.1))$. The last two figures are $\Omega((1,4,9),1,(0,0,0))$ and $\Omega((1,9,25),1/2,(-0.98,0,0))$, which are examples of unbounded domains represented by the exterior of the last two shapes.
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