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**Abstract:** In this study, P25-titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) was doped with ruthenium (Ru) by systematically varying the Ru content at 0.15, 0.30, 0.45 and 0.6 mol%. The synthesized Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials have been characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis, UV-visible (UV–Vis) spectroscopy, and electrochemical impedance (EIS) spectroscopy. The XRD patterns of undoped and Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials confirm the presence of mixed anatase and rutile phases of TiO$_2$ while EDX spectrum confirms the presence of Ti, O and Ru. Further, UV-visible absorption spectra of doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterial reveal a slight red shift on Ru-doping. The short circuit current density ($J_{SC}$) of the cells fabricated using the Ru-doped TiO$_2$ photoanode was found to be dependent on the amount of Ru present in TiO$_2$. Optimized cells with 0.3 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ electrodes showed efficiency which is 20% more than the efficiency of the control cell ($\eta$ = 5.8%) under stimulated illumination (100 mWcm$^{-2}$, 1 sun) with AM 1.5 filter. The increase in $J_{SC}$ resulted from the reduced rate of recombination upon doping of Ru and this was confirmed by EIS analysis.
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1. **Introduction**

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have been studied intensively as an alternative energy source due to their low cost, easy fabrication and more environmentally friendly nature. A DSSC consists of an electron transporting mesoporous metal oxide layer on a transparent conducting oxide coated glass, dye, electrolyte and a counter electrode. Generally, the visible light is converted into electricity in DSSCs through spectral sensitization of wide bandgap semiconductors such as SnO$_2$ [1], SrTiO$_3$ [2], Nb$_2$O$_5$ [3], ZnO [4] and TiO$_2$ [5]. Among the semiconductors explored for DSSCs so far, TiO$_2$ remains the most promising material [1]. Although natural dyes used as sensitzers in DSSC are cheap compared to synthetic dyes, their reported efficiencies are rather low [6–9]. The concept of a dye-sensitized solid-state solar cell was first proposed by Tennakone et al. in 1988 [10] and then O'Regan and Grätzel reported an efficiency of 7.1% and a current density greater than 12 mAcm$^{-2}$ for DSSC in which $I^-/I_3^-$ redox couple and TiO$_2$ were used as liquid electrolyte and ETM, respectively [5]; later, the maximum efficiency of 11.1% was reported by Nazeeruddin et al. [11,12]. However, relaxation of oxidized dye...
and recombination processes connected to the charge carriers hinder the performance of DSSCs. One of the alternative strategies to reduce the above said problem in DSSCs is the use of doped TiO$_2$ electrode. It is evident that dopant materials narrow the bandgap and increase the charge traps of TiO$_2$ and hence modify the properties, such as conduction band energy, charge transport, recombination and collection of charge carriers [13]. Different dopants, such as transition metals, alkali earth metals [14,15], non-metals [16,17], and rare earth elements [14,18], have been employed; but the incorporation of transition metals into TiO$_2$ gives rise to the formation of a wide range of new energy levels arising from the partially filled d-orbitals of transition metals close to the conduction band (CB) of TiO$_2$, that leads to a reduction in the bandgap and enhancement in harvesting visible light. This makes transition metals suitable for tuning the CB structure [13].

Various transition metals (Ag [19,20], Co [21], Mn [21], Zn [22], Cr [23], Nb [24], W [25], Cu [26], Y [27] and Sc [28]) have been used as dopant in TiO$_2$. Ruthenium (Ru) appears to be a good dopant as the Ru$^{4+}$ ion has an ionic radius of 0.062 nm which is comparable to that of Ti$^{4+}$ ion (0.061 nm) [29]. The utilization of Ru-doped TiO$_2$ as ETM in DSSCs has not yet been studied in depth. In 2009, Houskova et al. reported that Ru-doping decreases the bandgap of TiO$_2$ [30], while a contradictory observation was reported by Kong et al. in 2015 [31]. In another study, So and co-workers (2012) reported η of 5.2% using 0.02 at.% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanotube layers in DSSC [32]. Lu et al. (2016) achieved η of 5.39% for the Ru-doped TiO$_2$ [33]. However, the use of Ru-doped P25-TiO$_2$ (Degussa P25—commercially purchased TiO$_2$ nanopowder) as a mesoporous metal oxide in DSSCs has not been reported so far, although Ru-doped P25-TiO$_2$ has been widely employed to enhance photocatalytic activities [34–36].

In this study, RuCl$_3$·xH$_2$O was used as a precursor to synthesize Ru-doped electrodes and their performances in DSSCs were investigated by systematically varying the Ru content. The synthesised Ru-doped TiO$_2$ was characterized by XRD, Raman, UV–visible, EDX and EIS spectroscopy studies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

All reagents and solvents used were obtained from commercial sources; absolute ethanol (>99%, Sigma Aldrich), Triton TM X-100 (laboratory grade, Sigma-Aldrich), ruthenium (III) chloride hydrate (Reagent Plus-grade, Sigma-Aldrich), titanium dioxide nanopowder (21 nm primary particle size, ≥99.5% trace metals basis, Sigma-Aldrich), di-tetrabutylammonium cis-bis(isothiocyanato)bis(2,2′-bipyridyl-4,4′-dicarboxylato)ruthenium(II) dye (95%, Sigma-Aldrich), acetonitrile (Gradient grade, Sigma-Aldrich), tert-butyl alcohol (≥99.7%, Sigma-Aldrich), acetylacetone (≥99.5%, Fluka Analytical).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of Ru-Doped TiO$_2$ Nanomaterials

To achieve a reproducible outcome and comparison, a simple doping of Ru in P25-TiO$_2$ was used to prepare Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials. Then 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% of RuCl$_3$·xH$_2$O were added to the TiO$_2$ nanopowder (P25) and stirred vigorously for one hour at room temperature (step 1, 2) and then it was dried at 100 °C with continuous stirring for 2 hours (step 3). The product was ground well (step 4) and the resulting Ru-TiO$_2$ powder mixtures were annealed at 500 °C for 3 h (step 5) (Figure 1) [26,35,37,38].
2.2. Fabrication of DSSCs

The fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) coated conducting glass (sheet resistance 7.5 Ω/cm²) was used as the current collector. It was cleaned initially with soap water and subsequently with distilled water and ethanol using an ultrasonic bath. Then, the synthesized Ru-doped TiO₂ nanomaterial was made into paste by mixing with deionized water, acetylacetone and Triton X-100 binder and coated on FTO by the doctor-blade technique using a glass rod with adhesive tapes (3 M Scotch tapes) as spacers and the thickness of the TiO₂ film was about 7 μm. The prepared Ru-doped TiO₂ films were dried and calcinated at 500 °C for 30 minutes. Then the coated glasses were soaked in 0.3 mM solution of N719 dye in acetonitrile/tert-butyl alcohol (50%/v/v) for 12 h. After the dye-sensitization process, the photoanode was washed with acetonitrile to remove the unanchored dye molecules and dried. A platinum-coated FTO glass plate was used as the counter electrode. The dye-coated Ru-doped TiO₂ electrode and Pt counter electrode [26] were used to assemble the cell and I⁻/I₃⁻ electrolyte was used as redox electrolyte.

2.2.3. Characterization

The structural properties of the synthesized nanomaterials were studied by the X-ray diffraction method using scan range (2θ) between 20° and 95° with step size of 0.02° and scan speed of 1°/min. A Raman spectroscopic study was carried out using a laser confocal Raman microscope (Renishaw, UK, Model: Invia). The optical absorbance spectra were recorded using Shimadzu 1800 Scanning Double Beam UV-visible spectrophotometer. The elemental composition of the synthesized nanomaterials was analyzed by the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy technique. The photovoltaic performance of the cells was studied using Keithley-2400 source measurement unit (SMU) under simulated irradiation of intensity 100 mWcm⁻² with AM 1.5 filter (Peccell-PEC-L12, Japan). Current–voltage (I–V) characteristics in the dark were measured before and after the illumination which confirmed no change in device behaviour [39,40]. The effective area of the photoelectrode was 0.25 cm². Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out on the DSSCs using Metrohm Autolab potentiostat/galvanostat (PGSTAT 128N) with a frequency response analyzer (FRA 32M).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. X-ray Diffraction and Raman Spectroscopy

The crystal structure of the synthesized nanomaterials was investigated by the X-ray diffraction method (XRD). Figure 2 represents the XRD patterns of undoped TiO₂ electrode, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO₂ electrodes and diffraction peaks for 2θ diffraction angles were monitored.
between 20° and 80°. The major peaks are observed at 25.2°, 37.6°, 48.2°, 53.7°, 55°, 62.5°, 68.5°, 70.2° and 74.89° and they correspond to the reflection planes of (101), (004), (200), (105), (211), (204), (116), (220) and (215) which confirms the presence of well-crystallized pure anatase TiO$_2$ phase and peaks at 27.39°, 36.07° and 41.2° correspond to the reflection planes of (110), (101) and (111) for minor rutile TiO$_2$ phase. This indicates that the anatase and rutile crystal structures are retained even after the TiO$_2$ being doped with Ru. The average crystallite size was calculated by the Scherrer Equation [15]

$$d = \frac{k\lambda}{B\cos\theta}$$

where $d$ is the crystallite size, $k$ is a dimensionless shape factor which has a typical value of about 0.89, $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength of Cu (0.5406 nm), $\theta$ is the Bragg angle corresponding to the anatase (101) peak, and $B$ is the line broadening at half the maximum intensity (FWHM). The average crystallite size of undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials were 17.34, 17.34, 17.79, 17.56 and 17.34 nm and no significant change was observed in the crystallite size. Phase transformation from anatase to rutile was not observed on Ru-doping and hence the crystallite size remains the same. Ismael et al. reported that doping of Ru into the TiO$_2$ lattice does not change the TiO$_2$ crystal structure but the predominant anatase peaks of Ru-doped TiO$_2$ are shifted slightly towards lower 2θ values when the ruthenium dopant is incorporated in TiO$_2$ lattice [41]. Ionic radii of Ru$^{4+}$ and Ti$^{4+}$ are 0.062 and 0.061 nm, respectively. As both the ions have almost the same ionic radii, in the Ru-doped TiO$_2$, the incorporated ruthenium ions may be substituting for titanium ions in the lattice. However, crystallite peaks of Ru could not be clearly observed in Ru-doped TiO$_2$ which may be due to low mol% of the dopant and similar observations have been reported by Senthilnanthan et al. (2010) and Elezović et al. (2013) [35,42].

**Figure 2.** XRD patterns of undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials.

Figure 3a shows the Raman spectra obtained for the undoped TiO$_2$ and Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials. The Raman spectrum of the undoped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles was dominated with five bands corresponding to the six Raman active modes. Well resolved TiO$_2$ Raman peaks with the D$_{4h}$ space group at about 170, 216, and 657 cm$^{-1}$ (E$_g$), 539 cm$^{-1}$ (A$_{1g} + B_{1g}$), and 420 cm$^{-1}$ (B$_{1g}$) were observed which correspond to the anatase phase of TiO$_2$ [43]. Figure 3b shows that the peak intensity became weak and also the peak became broader with the increase in the percentage of Ru-doping. In general, the Raman line shape, intensity and position are strongly influenced by lattice strain, defects,
and the crystallite size and shape. There was no peak for the Ru and the same behaviour is observed in the XRD studies. This suggests that Ru ions have been successfully incorporated in the TiO$_2$ lattice.

![Graph of Raman spectra](image)

**Figure 3.** (a) Raman spectra of undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials; (b) Detailed E$_g$-170 cm$^{-1}$ Raman peaks of undoped TiO$_2$ and Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials.

3.2. UV–Visible Absorption Spectroscopy

UV–visible spectroscopy was employed to study the optical properties of the prepared nanomaterials. Five milligrams of the nanomaterial was dispersed in 100 ml of the deionized water in an ultrasonic bath and 1 ml of the dispersed sample was transferred to a standard quartz cuvette for measurement [23]. Figure 4a represents the optical absorption spectra of undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials. As can be seen from Figure 4a the absorption peak of undoped TiO$_2$ nanomaterial appears in the UV region whereas there is a slight red shift in the absorption spectrum of Ru-doped TiO$_2$ and this red shift is found to increase with the increase in Ru-doping indicating the bandgap narrowing due to the introduction of a mid-bandgap or impurity levels located between the valence band and the conduction band of TiO$_2$. In addition, the light absorption in the range from 400 to 700 nm is found to increase with increasing Ru content in the Ru-doped TiO$_2$, accompanied with a change in the colour from white to reddish black [41].
The bandgap ($E_g$) of undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials was calculated using Tauc plots, where the intercept of the tangent to the plot ($\alpha h\nu$) versus $h\nu$ gives a good estimation of the direct bandgap for a semiconductor. The optical absorbance coefficient of a semiconductor for direct transition is given by the equation

$$\alpha = A \frac{(h\nu - E_g)^n}{h\nu}$$

(2)

where $h\nu$ = photon energy, $\alpha$ = absorbance coefficient, $E_g$ = bandgap energy, $A$ = constant and the exponent 'n' depends on the type of transition and it may have values of 1/2, 2, 3/2 and 3, corresponding to the allowed direct, allowed indirect, forbidden direct and forbidden indirect transitions, respectively.

As shown in the Figure 4b, undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials have bandgap values of 3.16, 3.12, 3.05, 3.02 and 2.98 eV, respectively (straight-line intercept to the energy axis). The reduction in bandgap can be attributed to the insertion of Ru into the TiO$_2$ lattice. Since the lower edge of the CB is made up of Ti $^{4+}$ 3d bands [15], the substitution of Ti $^{4+}$ with the Ru cation might have affected the CB structure. A similar observation has been reported by Wang et al., Lu et al. and Ismael et al. [29,33,41].

**Figure 4.** (a) UV–visible absorption spectra of undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials; (b) Plot of ($\alpha h\nu$)$^2$ versus Photon energy for undoped TiO$_2$, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterials.
3.3. Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy

Figure 5 illustrates the elemental analysis of the undoped and Ru-doped TiO₂ samples, studied using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy in the binding energy region of 0.0–20.0 KeV and the results are summarized in Table 1, which reveals the existence of Ti, O and Ru elements in Ru-doped TiO₂.
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Table 1. Atomic percentage of Ru-doped TiO₂ in terms of energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) investigation.

| Samples          | EDX Result-Ru at. % |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Undoped TiO₂ (a) | 0                   |
| 0.15 mol% Ru (b) | 0.10                |
| 0.30 mol% Ru (c) | 0.25                |
| 0.45 mol% Ru (d) | 0.46                |
| 0.60 mol% Ru (e) | 0.75                |

3.4. J–V Characteristics

Figure 6a represents the J–V characteristics of DSSCs (in each type four devices were made and readings of the champion cells have been reported) made of photoanodes containing TiO₂ electrodes doped with different mol% of Ru and its control under simulated irradiation intensity of 100 mWcm⁻² with AM 1.5 filter. The corresponding photovoltaic parameters such as the short circuit current density.
(J_{SC}), open-circuit photovoltage (V_{OC}), fill factor (FF) and power conversion efficiency (\eta) of these cells with Ru-doped TiO\textsubscript{2} electrodes and undoped TiO\textsubscript{2} electrode are summarized in Table 2. Moreover, Figure 6b indicates the influence of different Ru mol\% dopant on J_{SC} and \eta. Ru-doping produces little improvement in V_{OC} and FF. The control cell showed V_{OC} of 0.66 V, which slightly increased to 0.69 V when 0.3 mol\% Ru was doped. When the mol\% of Ru dopant increases, J_{SC} shows a slight increase from 12.9 to 14.42 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} up to 0.15 mol\% Ru and then it attains a maximum of 14.73 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} for 0.3 mol\% Ru, subsequently, the J_{SC} values show a downward trend with further increase in Ru mol\%. A similar trend was reflected in the \eta versus Ru mol\% plot. The overall efficiency of the cell is mainly influenced by J_{SC}. Similar observations have been reported by So and co-workers (2012) in DSSCs with Ru-doped TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes [32]. In our study, the cell fabricated with 0.3 mol\% Ru-doped TiO\textsubscript{2} electrode showed the best \eta of 7% which is over 20\% enhancement relative to undoped TiO\textsubscript{2} based DSSC (\eta = 5.78\%).
Table 2. Current-voltage (J–V) characteristics of the DSSCs assembled with different mol% Ru-doped P25-TiO2 photoanodes and its control P25-TiO2 photoanodes.

| mol% of Ru in TiO2 | JSC (mA/cm²) | VOC (V) | FF | η (%) |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|-----|-------|
| 0.6               | 13.25        | 0.66    | 0.70| 6.24  |
| 0.45              | 14.06        | 0.66    | 0.68| 6.42  |
| 0.3               | 14.73        | 0.69    | 0.68| 7.00  |
| 0.15              | 14.42        | 0.67    | 0.67| 6.50  |
| 0                 | 12.90        | 0.66    | 0.66| 5.78  |

3.5. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

The interfacial charge transport phenomena of the DSSCs can be studied using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Figure 7 shows the Nyquist plots of the electrochemical impedance spectra of DSSCs based on the control and 0.15, 0.3, 0.45 and 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO2 photoanodes, which were measured at frequencies from $10^{-2}$ to $10^6$ Hz in the dark, with a bias applied voltage of 10 mV [24]. The small semicircle in the high-frequency range corresponds to the charge transfer resistance ($R_1$), which is related to the charge transfer at the interface of the electrolyte/Pt counter electrode and FTO/TiO2 interface. A larger semicircle in the low frequency region is mainly related to the charge recombination resistance ($R_2$) across the TiO2/electrolyte interface with a partial contribution from electron transport and accumulation in TiO2 photoanode [44]. $R_1$ and $R_2$ values can be estimated from the diameter of the semicircles and resistance related to electron recombination ($R_2$) increases with Ru-doping up to 0.3 mol% and then it starts to decrease with the increase in concentration of Ru. The higher values of resistance related to electron recombination indicate reduced electron recombination in Ru-doped electrodes [45]. This is the reason for the higher JSC values for the Ru-doped devices in the J–V measurement [32] even though increased recombination resistance and reduced recombination rate improve the Voc of the Ru-doped devices, this can also be attributed to the reduction in the bandgap as well [15]. A similar observation was reported by Wang et al. in perovskite solar cells [29] and also Ismael et al. (2019) reported that Ru-doping could facilitate the separation and migration of photogenerated electron–hole pairs [41].
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**Figure 7.** Nyquist plot of DSSCs with 0.15, 0.3, 0.45, 0.6 mol% Ru-doped TiO2 photoanodes and the control cell in dark.
4. Conclusions

In the present study, Ru-doped TiO$_2$ electrodes were fabricated by treating TiO$_2$ with RuCl$_3$·xH$_2$O and by systematically varying the Ru content from 0.15% to 0.6%. The XRD pattern of Ru-doped TiO$_2$ nanomaterial confirms the presence of mixed anatase and rutile phases of TiO$_2$. Optical absorption spectra of pure TiO$_2$ and Ru-doped TiO$_2$ reveal a slight red shift in the absorption spectrum upon Ru-doping. Among the DSSCs fabricated, the cell with 0.3 mol% Ru-doped TiO$_2$ electrode exhibited an optimum efficiency which is over 20% enhancement when compared to the control cell under stimulated AM 1.5 filter (100 mWcm$^{-2}$, 1 sun). The EIS analysis of the cells confirms that charge recombination resistance is significantly increased upon Ru-doping that effectively suppresses the charge recombination rate, which results in better electron transport in the cell.
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