**Crithidia mellificae** infection in different mammalian species in Brazil
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**A B S T R A C T**

**Crithidia mellificae**, a monoxenous trypanosomatid considered restricted to insects, was recently reported to infect a bat. Herein, *C. mellificae* has been demonstrated to have a wider range of vertebrate hosts and distribution in Brazilian biomes than once thought. Parasites isolated from haemocultures were characterized using V78 SSU rDNA and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase genes. Coatis (*Nasua nasua*) in the Cerrado; marmosets (*Callithrix sp.*) and bats (*Carollia perspicillata, Myotis ladvic, M. iszekoshii, Artibeus lituratus*) in the Atlantic Forest; crab-eating foxes (*Cerdocyon thous*) and ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*) in the Pantanal biomes were infected by trypanosomatids that displayed choanomastigote forms in haemocultures in Giemsa-stained slide smears. Molecular characterization and phylogenetic inference confirmed the infection of *C. mellificae* in these animals. Moreover, slight differences in *C. mellificae* sequences were observed. **Crithidia mellificae** growth curves were counted at 27°C, 36°C and 37°C, and the morphotypes were able to grow and survive for up to 16 days. Sero- logical titers for *C. mellificae* were observed in nonhuman primates, demonstrating that this parasite is able to induce a humoral immune response in an infected mammal. These results showed that host specificity in trypanosomatids is complex and far from understood.

**1. Introduction**

The Kinetoplastea class (Excavata: Euglenozoa) is composed of free-living and parasitic protozoan species (**Adl** et al., 2019). This class includes the Trypanosomatidae family, consisting of 25 genera (**d’Aville-Levy** et al., 2015; **Maslov** et al., 2019; **Kostygov** et al., 2020; **Lukes** et al., 2021) that include obligatory parasites of invertebrates, vertebrates, and plant hosts (**Hoare**, 1966; **Vickerman**, 1976; **Lukes** et al., 2014). These species are traditionally classified according to the number of hosts that they infect during their life cycle: monoxenous species—those that have only one host, usually an invertebrate host; and dixenous species—those that require an invertebrate and a vertebrate or plant host (**Hoare**, 1966).

With the improvement of molecular biology tools and an increasing number of phylogenetic studies, seven subfamilies have been recognized for the Trypanosomatidae family: Leishmaniinae (**Jirkó** et al., 2012; **Kostygov** and **Yurchenko**, 2017); Blechomonadinae (**Votýpka** et al., 2013); Paratrypanosomatinae (**Flegontov** et al., 2013); Strigomonadinae (**Votýpka** et al., 2014); Phytonadinae (**Yurchenko** et al., 2016); Trypanosomatinae (**Maslov** et al., 2019) and Blastocriithidiinae (**Lukes** et al., 2021). Nineteen genera are classified as monoxenous trypanosomatids (**d’Aville-Levy** et al., 2015; **Kaufer** et al., 2017; **Kostygov** et al., 2020; **Lukes** et al., 2021): Angomononas, Blastocrithidia, Blechomonas, Crithidia, Herpetomonas, Kentomonas, Jaenimonas, Lafortella, Leptomonas, Lotmania, Novymonas, Obuscuromonas, Paratrypanosoma, Rhyynchiodomonas, Sergeia, Strigonomonas, Vickermania, Wallacemonas and Zelonia. Usually, their hosts are invertebrates from the Diptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera and Siphonaptera orders (**Kozminsky** et al., 2015). Dixenous trypanosomatids include six genera: Endotrypanum, Leishmania, Paraleishmania, Porcisia, and Trypanosoma, which infect vertebrate hosts, and...
condition is still open to debate, since new evidence has revealed that injection (ketamine chloridrate 10% and acepromazine 1% for bats and ( Santos et al., 2018 ). Animals were anaesthetized via intramuscular®...

was reported to infect a nectar-feeding bat ( Rangel et al., 2019 ). In this variety of host species (broad specificity) ( Maslov et al., 2013 ). This single or a few closely related host species (narrow specificity) or a cation of several trypanosomatids that were under the name ( Olsen, 1986 ; Ishemgulova et al., 2017 ; Kaufer et al., 2017 ). Phyloge- netic reconstructions have revealed a polyphyletic origin of the genus ( Merzlyak et al., 2001 ; Yurchenko et al., 2008 ; Teixeira et al., 2011 ; Kostygov et al., 2014 ). Beyond Culex mosquitoes, Crithidia spp. have been described in different insect groups with worldwide distribution, including bees (Hemiptera) from the Bombus and Apis genera (Langridge and McGhee, 1967 ; Lipa and Triggiani, 1988 ); reduviids (Hemiptera) from Zelus leucogrammus (Ishemgulova et al., 2017 ); bugs (Heteroptera) from the Leptopetalops and Largus genera (Yurchenko et al., 2009 ); and hoverflies (Diptera) from the Eristalis genus (Yurchenko et al., 2014 ). Crithidia mellificae and Crithidia bombi species are the most studied species under natural conditions since they are pathogenic to honeybees and bumblebees, causing economic losses in agriculture (Schmid-Hempel and Tognazzano, 2010; Strobl et al., 2019).

Host specificity is defined as the capability of a parasite to infect a single or a few closely related host species (narrow specificity) or a variety of host species (broad specificity) (Maslov et al., 2013). This condition is still open to debate, since new evidence has revealed that trypanosomatids are much less restricted than traditionally affirmed (Dario et al., 2017; Rangel et al., 2019; Rodrigues et al., 2019).

From this perspective, some monoxenous trypanosomatids are considered nonspecific to their invertebrate hosts (Merzlyak et al., 2001; Votyipka et al., 2010; Tye et al., 2013; Kozinsky et al., 2015). Crithidia mellificae is a monoxenous trypanosomatid classically associated with honeybees (Langridge and McGhee, 1967). However, recently, this parasite species was reported to infect a nectar-feeding bat (Rangel et al., 2019). In this study, we show that C. mellificae is able to parasitize other mammalian orders and demonstrate its high dispersion in nature.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Mammal sampling

Fieldwork was conducted in two Brazilian biomes: Atlantic Forest from Rio de Janeiro (in the “Estação Biológica Fiocruz Mata Atlântica” – EFMA) and Cerrado from Campo Grande municipality (in the “Vila da Base Aérea”), and we also captured wild carnivores from the Pantanal biome (Braga, 2019). Mammal captures were performed in different periods (Supplementary Table S1). In the Atlantic Forest, bats were captured using mist nets (Zootech 9 × 5 m, 20 mm mesh), Callithrix sp. were captured in Tomahawk live traps (50 × 20 × 23 cm; Equi-
po Fauna®). Coatis (Cerrado biome) were captured using box traps (90 × 45 × 50 cm; EquiposFauna®) baited with bacon and tinned sardines (Santos et al., 2018). Animals were anaesthetized via intramuscular injection (ketamine chloride 10% and acepromazine 1% for bats and primates; tiletamine hydrochloride and zolazepan hydrochloride for carnivores). Before the blood puncture, all animals were cleared of fur in the blood collection area by a scalpel, and the area was sterilized with antiseptic soap and iodinated ethanol (70%) for blood withdrawal by cardiac puncture (bats and primates) and cephalic puncture (coatis).

Appropriate biosecurity techniques and personal protective equipment were used during all procedures of collection and handling of the biological samples according to the licences of the ethics committees of the collaborating institutions: Dom Bosco Catholic University (protocol 001/2017) and Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Licences LW-63/14, LM-6/ 18 and L50/16). The capture and sample collection of wild species was permitted by the Chico Mendes Institute of Biodiversity Conservation, Brazilian Environmental Agency (ICMBio - SISBIO licence numbers 5612-2, 496628-8, 19037-1 and 40968-1 for Campo Grande and Rio de Janeiro study sites, respectively), in accordance with Brazilian regulations.

2.2. Parasitological diagnosis

For parasitological diagnosis, fresh blood examination was performed by optical microscopy exam of a blood drop on a slide. In a flame safety area, blood samples (600 μl) of each mammal were inoculated in two tubes (300 μl each) containing Novy-MacNeal-Nicolle (NNN) me-
dium with a Liver Infusion Triptose (LIT) overlay containing 10% foetal calf serum and 140 mg/ml gentamycin sulfate. Haemocultures were incubated at 27 °C in a BOD incubator and examined twice a month for up to five months. Parasite cells that eventually grew were immediately amplified in LIT medium, cryopreserved, and deposited in the Coleção de Trypanosoma de Mamíferos Silvestres, Domésticos e Vetores (COL-TRYP/Fiocruz). Positive haemocultures were stained with Giemsa and observed by optical microscopy (Zeiss Axioplan microscope, Oberko-
chen, Germany). The amplified haemocultures were washed with phosphate-saline buffer (PBS) and centrifuged at 4000 × g, and the pel-
lets were stored at –20 °C for molecular characterization.

2.3. Molecular characterisation and phylogenetic analysis

Total genomic DNA from mammalian haemocultures was extracted using a DNase Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) ac-
cording to the manufacturer’s instructions. To identify Trypanosomatidiae species infections, DNA samples were submitted to conventional PCR for analysis of V7V8 SSU rDNA (~800 bp) and glycosomal glycer-
alddehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (~900 bp) genes (Borghesan et al., 2013). Amplified products were visualized in a 2% agarose gel stained with SYBR® Safe DNA gel stain (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, EUA). The amplified products were purified (Illumina GFX PCR DNA and gel band purification kit - GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK) and sequenced for both strands of DNA (BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit - Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer available at the PDTIS-/FIOCRUC sequencing platform.

To obtain V7V8 SSU rDNA and gGAPDH consensus sequences, the sequences were assembled and edited using the SeqMan program (DNASTAR Lasergene). The consensus sequences were aligned and correct-
ed using MegAlign software (Kumar et al., 2018). For the first screening, the sequences were compared with nucleotide sequences deposited in GenBank using the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool - https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST.cgi) algorithm.

Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) analyses were performed to confirm C. mellificae species. For these analyses, we aligned the sequences using ClustalW available in MegAlign software (Kumar et al., 2018). The best substitution models were the transition with invariant sites (TIM3+I) for V7V8 SSU rDNA and the General Time Reversible with invariant sites plus gamma distributed sites (GTR + I + G) for gGAPDH, as indicated by the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) score in jModelTest 2.2 (Darriba et al., 2012). The ML tree reconstruction was performed in the IQ-TREE program (Nguyen et al., 2015; Chernomor et al., 2016) available on PhyloSuite v.1.2.2. For branch support, ultrafast bootstrapping (Hoang et al., 2018) was per-
fomed with 5000 replicates with 1000 maximum interactions and 0.99
minimum correlation coefficients. To validate the ultrafast bootstrap results, the SH-aLRT branch test was also applied with 5000 replicates. Bayer tree reconstruction was performed in Beast v2.6.2 (Bouckaert et al., 2019). The Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method was used to assign prior *C. mellificae* information. The Yule model was used for tree reconstruction. Three independent runs were performed for 20 M with 5 M pre-burning and sampling every 2 M generations. Runs were converged, and the effective sample size (ESS) was calculated in TRACER v1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2018). The parameters analysed presented an ESS higher than 500. The three runs for V7V8 SSU rDNA and gGAPDH were combined in LogCombiner after 25% exclusion (burn-in). The final tree was generated with maximum clade credibility (MCC) based on 16.878 trees (burn-in = 5625) and a 0.6 posterior probability limit in TreeAnnotator. The clade statistical support was visualized by the bootstrap values and posterior probability method in Figtree v1.4.3. The V7V8 SSU rDNA and gGAPDH sequence data generated and analysed in this study can be found in the GenBank repository database [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/] under the accession numbers MN879775 to MN879795 and MN913351 to MN913371, respectively (Table 1).

A pairwise distance matrix (PDM) for V7V8 SSU rDNA in the *Crithidia* genus was constructed to evaluate the distance between each species using MegaX software (Kumar et al., 2018). The Tamura-Nei parameter model plus gamma distribution among sites (TrN + G) was used for PDM analysis, as suggested by the AICc score in jModelTest v.2 (Darriba et al., 2012). The sequences used in the phylogenetic tree and PDM are listed in supplementary Table S2.

### 2.4. *Crithidia mellificae* growth curves at 27 °C, 36 °C and 37 °C

The cryopreserved sample c828, deposited in the COLTRYP collection, was removed from nitrogen liquid, and grown in NNN medium overlayed with LIT at 27 °C for three days and transferred to LIT medium for exponential phase growth. For curve growth analysis, the parasites were counted in a Neubauer chamber (BLAUBRAND, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) for an initial inoculum in LIT (day zero) of 3 × 10^6 parasites/mL. This initial inoculum value was the same as that used for *Crithidia luciliae thermophila* (Ishemgulova et al., 2017). The initial inoculum was seeded in three tubes (triplicate) and incubated at 27 °C and 36 °C. The parasites were diluted in formaldehyde when all parasites were alive or trypan blue solutions when the haemoculture presented nonviable parasite forms and counted in a Neubauer chamber (BLAUBRAND, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) on days 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 and 16. To observe whether growth was maintained, on day 8, a new inoculum of 3 × 10^4 parasites/mL was established in LIT medium in triplicate, the tubes were incubated at 27 °C and 36 °C, and the parasites were counted on the same days as for the first growth assay. We also determined parasite growth at 37 °C from the haemoculture on the 16th day of growth at 27 °C, as described above.

For each day and temperature, culture medium smear slides were set up and stained with Giemsa for morphological examination. The morphological forms were observed at 1000× magnification under an optical microscope (Zeiss Axioskop microscope, Oberkochen, Germany).

### 2.5. Serological tests in primates

Serological tests by indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFAT) were performed according to Camargo (1966) to evaluate whether the parasites can induce a humoral immune response and the production of antibodies against infection in primates. The antigens (*T. cruzi*: F90 (DTU TcI) and Y88 (DTU TcII) strains (*Leishmania*: L579 – *L. infantum* and L566 – *L. braziliensis* strains and the *C. mellificae*: LBT11071 strain) were prepared as follows: epimastigotes (*T. cruzi*), promastigotes (*Leishmania*) and choanomastigotes (*C. mellificae*) from eight-day-old cultures in LIT medium were washed three times and suspended in PBS. This final suspension, adjusted so that approximately 40 flagellates could be counted per dry high-power field, was distributed on the appropriate slides, and air-dried at room temperature, according to Lisboa and colleagues (2006). Primate sera were tested on antigen-coated slides with an IgG anti-*rhesus* conjugate with fluorescein isothiocyanate (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) antibody. The cut-off value for IFAT was 1:10 for all trypansomatids tested. IFATs for *T. cruzi*, *Leishmania* and *C. mellificae* were not performed in carnivores and bats due to the lack of conjugated fluorescein antibodies.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Trypanosomatid diagnosis in mammals

In this study, 72 sylvatic mammals were captured in the three

| Coltryp ID | Host | Biome         | Blood sample collection date | Parasite isolation date | GenBank accession number |
|-----------|------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| c818      | Carolia perspicillata | Atlantic Forest | 2018-09-20 | 2018-09-28 | MN879775 MN913351        |
| c819      | Myotis laevi | Atlantic Forest | 2018-09-20 | 2018-09-28 | MN879776 MN913352        |
| c820      | Antiebus literatus | Atlantic Forest | 2018-09-20 | 2018-09-28 | MN879777 MN913353        |
| c821      | Antiebus brouarti | Atlantic Forest | 2018-09-20 | 2018-09-28 | MN879778 MN913354        |
| c822      | Myotis izeckshuni | Atlantic Forest | 2018-09-21 | 2018-09-28 | MN879779 MN913355        |
| c825      | Callithrix sp. | Atlantic Forest | 2018-10-16 | 2018-10-22 | MN879780 MN913356        |
| c826      | Callithrix sp. | Atlantic Forest | 2018-10-16 | 2018-10-22 | MN879781 MN913357        |
| c827      | Callithrix sp. | Atlantic Forest | 2018-10-16 | 2018-10-22 | MN879782 MN913358        |
| c828      | Callithrix sp. | Atlantic Forest | 2018-10-16 | 2018-10-22 | MN879783 MN913359        |
| c829      | Callithrix sp. | Atlantic Forest | 2018-10-16 | 2018-10-22 | MN879784 MN913360        |
| c830      | Callithrix sp. | Atlantic Forest | 2018-10-16 | 2018-10-22 | MN879785 MN913361        |
| c833      | Cardocyon thous | Pantanal | 2018-10-18 | 2018-12-11 | MN879786 MN913362        |
| c834      | Cardocyon thous | Pantanal | 2018-10-20 | 2018-12-11 | MN879787 MN913363        |
| c835      | Leopardus pardalis | Pantanal | 2018-10-24 | 2018-12-11 | MN879788 MN913364        |
| c836      | Cardocyon thous | Pantanal | 2018-10-31 | 2018-12-11 | MN879789 MN913365        |
| c844      | Nasua nasua | Cerrado | 2019-01-29 | 2019-02-18 | MN879790 MN913366        |
| c845      | Nasua nasua | Cerrado | 2019-01-29 | 2019-02-18 | MN879791 MN913367        |
| c846      | Nasua nasua | Cerrado | 2019-01-29 | 2019-02-18 | MN879792 MN913368        |
| c847      | Nasua nasua | Cerrado | 2019-01-23 | 2019-02-18 | MN879794 MN913370        |
| c848      | Nasua nasua | Cerrado | 2019-01-23 | 2019-02-18 | MN879795 MN913371        |
forms on the 20 observed in the fresh haemoculture preparations of 21 haemocultures (Nasua nasua ocelots (4] and 2], Molossus [n = 1], Myotis [n = 7], Phyllostomus [n = 1], Sturnira [n = 4] and Tonaia [n = 2]), and six marmoset primates (Callithrix sp.) from the Atlantic Forest; five crab-eating foxes (Cerdocyon thous) and four ocelots (Leopardus pardalis) in the Pantanal (Braga, 2019); and 17 coatis (Nasua nasua) in the Cerrado biomes (Supplementary Table S1).

During the parasitological survey, choanomastigote forms were observed in the fresh haemoculture preparations of 21 haemocultures from the following mammalian hosts (Table 1): five [5/40] bats (Carollia perspicillata [1/3], Myotis lavali and Myotis izecksohni [2/7], Artibeus lituratus [2/14]); six marmosets [6/6]; six coatis [6/17]; three crab-eating foxes [3/5] and one ocelot [1/4] (Fig. 1). The choanomastigote forms started to be observed on haemocultures on the seventh [n = 3] and eighth [n = 4] days for bat blood samples and on the sixth day for marmoset blood samples [n = 6]. In the case of the carnivore haemocultures, the coati haemocultures presented choanomastigote forms on the 20th [n = 3] and 26th [n = 3] days of examination; for the crab-eating foxes and the feline from the Pantanal biome, the choanomastigote forms were observed on the 41st, 52nd, 54th and 48th days of examination, respectively.

None of these samples were positive in the fresh blood exam. The typical Crithidia choanomastigote forms observed in fresh haemoculture exams were later confirmed on Giemsa-stained slides (Fig. 2). In view of the obtained results, a suspicion was raised that the growing flagellates could be monoxenous trypanosomatids from the Crithidia genus.

3.2. Trypanosomatid species identification

Parasites isolated from the 21 positive haemoculture samples were subjected to DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing of the V7V8 SSU rDNA and gGAPDH genes. In an initial screening, according to the BLAST algorithm, the 21 sequences obtained for V7V8 and gGAPDH presented similarity above 98.65% to various C. mellificae sequences deposited in GenBank. After this, phylogenetic analysis was performed, and all haemoculture isolates clustered together with parasite strains for both gene and phylogenetic inferences, in which we observed a high bootstrap value with ML analysis and a high posterior probability with BI inference (Fig. 3).

By analysing in detail the phylogenetic inference, it was clear that the sequences presented genetic diversity with both genes. In the V7V8 SSU rDNA inference (Fig. 3A), samples c818 (bat) and c830 (mar-moset) clustered together but separately from the other samples with significant supporting values for both reconstructions (Fig. 3A). In the gGAPDH phylogenetic inference (Fig. 3B), this genetic diversity was better observed, since two different clusters were observed between C. mellificae sequences with significant branch support: one cluster formed only with sequences originating from small mammals (reference sequence COLTRYP00685), and the other cluster formed with C. mellificae from mammals and from Apis mellifera sequences (reference sequence clones 277-1-2a and 2)

In PDM for the V7V8 SSU rDNA gene, 11 sequences analysed in this study did not diverge from the other two C. mellificae strains (HF60 from a tabanid and ATCC30862 from Vespula squamosa), demonstrating that there was no genetic difference between these isolates according to the analysed gene, except for these ten sequences: c819, c821, c822, c825, c828, c829, c830, c833, c834, and c849 (Table 2). As demonstrated in Table 2, these sequences showed 0.001 to 0.007 divergence from honeybee, tabanid and other mammalian sequence samples. In detail, the c819, c822 and c828 sequences did not present genetic divergence between them (0.000), and the sequences with the highest genetic divergence were c829, c833 and c834 (Table 2).

Fig. 1. Crithidia mellificae distribution map in bats, primates, and carnivores from the Atlantic Forest (Rio de Janeiro state), Pantanal and Cerrado (Mato Grosso do Sul State) biomes. The red spots indicate mammalian C. mellificae infection in the three studied biomes: coati (Nasua nasua) in the Cerrado biome; crab-eating fox (Cerdocyon thous) and ocelot (Leopardus pardalis) in the Pantanal biome; short-tailed bat (Carollia perspicillata), insectivorous bats (Myotis lavali and Myotis izecksohni), frugivorous bat (Artibeus lituratus) and marmosets (Callithrix sp.) in the Atlantic Forest biome. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
3.3. *Crithidia mellificae* growth curves, thermal resistance, and morphological forms at different temperatures

In this assay, we observed and confirmed that *C. mellificae* sample c828 was capable of resisting three different temperatures. Growth curves at 27°C and 36°C on day 1 (Table 3) revealed 78 and 45 times parasite growth, respectively, showing rapid parasite multiplication at both temperatures. At 36°C, the parasite presented a short log phase, reaching a maximum growth rate at day 2, and the population decreased at day 3 and remained stable until day 16 (Fig. 4). In relation to the growth at 27°C, the log phase took one day longer, reaching the maximum rate on day 3 (Fig. 4). Parasite growth started to decrease on day 4 and was stable at day 8 (Fig. 4). In contrast to the growth curve at 37°C, parasite growth was slower on day 1 than at temperatures of 27°C and 36°C (19 times – Table 3), reaching its maximum rate on day 3 (Fig. 4). The parasite growth curve rapidly declined, and parasite growth did not remain stable, with the total parasite cell/ml number decreasing in the days examined (Table 3; Fig. 4).

When we compared the two inoculums at 27°C and 36°C, we observed that the parasite growth curves presented the same behaviour pattern (Fig. 5): the log phase at day 2 for 36°C (Fig. 5B and C) and at day 3 at 27°C (Fig. 5A and C), and the parasite growth remained stable after day 4 (Fig. 5).

We observed at 27°C (Fig. 6B to Fig. 6G) the presence of characteristic *Crithidia* forms, which were elongated and thin. It was also possible to observe the presence of multiplication forms on almost every day of growth, even on day 16, when the viable parasites observed were smaller and there was a predominance of degenerate forms (Fig. 6G). For parasite growth at 36°C (Fig. 6H to M), we demonstrated the presence of rounded and large *Crithidia* forms, especially on day 2 (Fig. 6I), when the parasite growth peak was observed at this temperature. The morphological forms were observed after the growth peak, and a greater presence of thin than large forms was observed (Fig. 6E and J, Fig. 6K). After that, we observed both rounded larger and thinner morphologies, and on day 8 (Fig. 6L), the presence of degenerated forms was more evident. At 37°C (Fig. 6N–S), we observed a greater presence of rounded large forms since day 1 and the presence of degenerated forms on day 4. On day 16 (Fig. 6S), the predominance of the degenerated form was observed, but we observed integrity morphological forms.

With the second inoculums at 27°C and 36°C, we observed that the growth curve peak (day 3 for 27°C and day 2 for 36°C) morphological forms were similar at 27°C (Figs. 6D and 7A), with round and thin shapes. For the 36°C second inoculum (Fig. 7B), we observed a different morphological pattern when compared to the first inoculum (Fig. 6I), in which the abundance of rounded large forms was not observed; instead, we observed thinner forms.

3.4. Serological test for *Crithidia mellificae* infection in primates

In the serological analysis (IFAT) performed only for primates, the six serum samples tested presented the following serological titers for *C. mellificae* (Table 4): 1:10 (n = 2); 1:20 (n = 1); 1:40 (n = 2) and 1:80 (n = 1). This shows that *C. mellificae* was capable of inducing a humoral immune response in primates. In relation to *T. cruzi* antibodies, three samples presented serological titers for *T. cruzi* (1:10 [n = 2] and 1:20 [n = 1]), indicative of cross-reaction; however, these *T. cruzi* serological titers were borderline, and these samples presented higher serological titers for *C. mellificae* (c826 [1:40], c829 [1:40] and c830 [1:80]) (Table 4). The three other samples presented negative serological titers for *T. cruzi* infection. For *Leishmania* spp., none of the six mammalian serum samples presented serological titers showing, in this case, the absence of cross-reaction.

4. Discussion

Classically, monoxenous trypanosomatid species have been described as having only a single host, usually invertebrates from the Diptera, Hemiptera and Siphonaptera orders (Kozinsky et al., 2015). In this study, we described the occurrence of *C. mellificae* in different mammalian orders from three distinct Brazilian biomes. This
trypanosomatid species was first described in *Apis mellifera* honeybees in Australia (Langridge and McGhee, 1967), but it was also observed infecting other invertebrate hosts, such as *Vespula squamosa* (wasp; Vespidae), *Osmia cornuta* (orchard bee; Megachilidae) and *O. bicornis* (mason bee; Megachilidae) in the United States (Schwarz et al., 2015), and haematophagous horse fly insects (*Haematopota griseicoxa*) in Western Africa (Votýpka et al., 2019). In addition to being a generalist insect parasite, *C. mellificae* has a broad geographical distribution since its occurrence was observed in invertebrate insects from Japan (Morimoto et al., 2013), Belgium (Ravoet et al., 2013), Algeria (Menail et al., 2016) and the United States (Cornman et al., 2012). This species was recently reported for the first time infecting a mammal species, a nectarivore bat species *Anoura caudifer* from the Atlantic Forest of southeastern Brazil (Rangel et al., 2019).

This amazing finding represents a paradigm break. Here, we are going a step further, as we are demonstrating that *Crithidia* not only is able to infect other bat species (*C. perspicillata*, *M. taecksonhi*, *M. inuvi* and *A. lituratus*) that display different feeding habits and ecology but also infects other and unrelated wild mammal taxa. In addition to this wide diversity of mammalian hosts, the parasite is widely distributed in distinct habitats and Brazilian biomes. We obviously do not know the fate of these infections, whether they will be self-limiting and how long they will last. We know even less about the role that these mammals may eventually play in the biology of *C. mellificae*. However, one thing we can affirm is that the species presents a capacity to infect highly ecologically diverse mammalian species, which points to an immense ecological resilience of this parasite species.

**Fig. 3.** Phylogenetic analysis of V7V8 SSU rDNA and gGAPDH sequences from bat, primate, and carnivore haemocultures by maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian (BI) inference analyses. (A) For V7V8 SSU rDNA, the analysis was inferred using the transition-parameter model with invariant sites (TIM3 + I). (B) For gGAPDH, the analysis was inferred using the General Time Reversible with invariant plus gamma distributed sites (GTR + I + G). Maximum likelihood ultrafast and SH-aLRT bootstrap values and Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown near the nodes. The numbers at the nodes indicate support by 5000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates in the ML analysis. The scale bar shows the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. The dashes at the nodes represent bootstrap or posterior probability lower than 60 or 0.6.
Table 2

| Crithidia mellefi sp. | ATCC39382 | ATCC39384 | ATCC39385 | ATCC39386 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| C. mellefi sp.       | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| C. mellefi sp.       | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| C. mellefi sp.       | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| C. mellefi sp.       | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |

important defence barriers, such as skin, phagocytic cells, and the complement system action of mammalian hosts, since we were able to isolate the parasite by haemoculture. None of the 21 blood samples examined in this study were positive in fresh blood smears, and we have never seen choanomastigote forms in any fresh blood test of the wild mammals we have examined in the Trypanosomatid Biology Laboratory (IOC/Fiocruz) over the years. It is rare to find parasites in fresh blood exams; even T. cruzi, a common mammalian trypanosomatid, is rarely seen in fresh blood preparations (Jansen et al., 2020). Therefore, we performed parasitological and serological diagnoses to determine infection. Additionally, parasitemia of C. mellefi sp.-infected mammals was high enough to be detected by not sensitive but specific parasitological techniques, in this case, haemocultures. Many questions emerge from these findings, including the transmission strategies. What is certain is that the encounter frequency of insect monoxenous trypanosomatids parasitizing mammals is increasing, as has been described (Podlipaev et al., 2004; Votýpka et al., 2010; Votýpka et al., 2012a,b).

Monoxenous trypanosomatids are transmitted via contamination, predation, coprophagy and necrophagy routes (Wallace, 1966; Frolov et al., 2021). Even though the infected bat species present different feeding habits, it is suggested that they may be infected by licking the bite site after a bee sting that has choanomastigote-infected faeces (Rangel et al., 2019). Primates in the genus Callithrix sp. are gummivore-insectivore animals, but they can also feed on fruits (Susman and Kinzey, 1984; Rylands and Farias, 1993). We can speculate that possible C. mellefi sp. transmission between this primate species could be via the ingestion of fruits contaminated by insect faeces with choanomastigote forms. Another possible route of C. mellefi sp. infection in primates could be by the ingestion of bees trapped in gum. Concerning carnivore species, canids have an eclectic feeding habit that includes fruits and insects (Brady, 1979; Gatti et al., 2006; Ferreira et al., 2013). It can be hypothesized that these mammals could be infected by preying on insects or other animals infected by C. mellefi sp. On the other hand, felines are strict carnivores (Bisbal, 1986; Meza et al., 2002; Martins et al., 2008), and a plausible explanation, in this case, is that infection was due to mammalian predation. In all these situations, we hypothesized that oral transmission was the main route of C. mellefi sp. infection.

Recently, monoxenous trypanosomatids have been reported infecting sylvatic mammals and humans, including not only immunosuppressed individuals but also immunocompetent individuals. In mammals, Crithidia sp. was reported in an Australian marsupial Bettongia penicillata (Cooper et al., 2018); Blastocrithidia sp. was reported in a bat from the USA (Hodo et al., 2016), and Angomonas deanei, Herpetomonas samuellpessosi and Leptomonas spp. were demonstrated in scent glands of Didelphis marsupialis under experimental conditions (Jansen et al., 1988). Human infection cases by monoxenous trypanosomatids Leptomonas seymouri, Crithidia sp. and Herpetomonas samuellpessosi were observed in Africa, Asia, and the American continents (Dede et al., 1995; Pacheco et al., 1998; Boisseau-Garsaud et al., 2000; Morio et al., 2008; Ghosh et al., 2012; Kraeva et al., 2015; Gobakhshio et al., 2018). Regarding the finding of Crithidia in immunocompetent patients in Iran (Gobakhshio et al., 2018), Kostygov et al. (2019) did not agree with a monoxenous trypanosomatid infection of an immunocompetent host. These authors report that the infection was only possible because of the impairment of the host immune system due to coinfection with other parasites. In Brazil, a fatal human case of a Crithidia fasciiculata-like infection was reported in Sergipe state (Maruyama et al., 2019). The recent emergence of monoxenous trypanosomatid infections in mammals can be justified by more discriminative diagnostic methodologies, especially molecular biology. This phenomenon is probably much more common than reported and must be taken into consideration when studying trypanosomatid infections.

According to Votýpka et al. (2012b), host switches of monoxenous trypanosomatids from their original insect hosts to new insects are likely to occur because insects present simpler defence mechanisms than mammals. This can be one of the explanations for the broad distribution
Moreover, its capacity to infect mammals, as we observed, still represents a challenging question. It is important to note that the finding of C. mellificae in Haematopota insects (horse fly insects) (Votýpka et al., 2019) also demonstrates that the occurrence of Crithidia in mammals might not be occasional or accidental, since these female insects present haematophagous feeding behaviour, which reinforces the possibility of vector transmission by haematophagous insects. Previous studies reported that some monoxenous trypanosomatid species have lower host specificity and can therefore be found in different invertebrate hosts (Maslov et al., 2007; Votýpka et al., 2010, 2012a). This situation can be observed not only in monoxenous environments but also in all members from the Kinetoplastea class, even in species considered to be free-living protozoa, in which there is an increasing number of unusual host occurrences (Auty et al., 2012; Dario et al., 2017; Szőke et al., 2017).

Comparing C. mellificae SSU rDNA sequences from bats, primates and carnivores with sequences identified from Tabanidae and honeybee insects, 11 samples presented no genetic divergence. This shows that C. mellificae possibly presents a unique genetic profile and circulates between different hosts in different geographical environments. In this case, genetic diversity was not necessary to increase the parasite’s host diversity. This genetic profile was competent to maintain itself in several

### Table 3

Average parasite cell count per ml (triplicate) for each temperature growth assay.

| Temperature growth | Day parasite/mL counting |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
|                   | day 0 | day 1 | day 2 | day 3 | day 4 | day 8 | day 16 |
| 27°C              | 3 × 10⁴ | 2.39 × 10⁶ | 30.5 × 10⁵ | 60.6 × 10⁶ | 37.3 × 10⁸ | 18.3 × 10⁹ | 17.2 × 10⁹ |
| 36°C              | 3 × 10⁴ | 1.095 × 10⁸ | 19.7 × 10⁶ | 2.79 × 10⁸ | 2.34 × 10⁷ | 1.16 × 10⁸ | 1.54 × 10⁸ |
| 37°C              | 3 × 10⁴ | 5.75 × 10⁵ | 3.125 × 10⁸ | 3.833 × 10⁶ | 4.25 × 10⁵ | 1.5 × 10⁷ | 5 × 10⁴ |

### Fig. 4

Crithidia mellificae parasite growth curves at 27°C (blue line), 36°C (red line) and 37°C (purple line) from sample c828. The parasite cell number was transformed to the base 10 logarithm value (Y axis) for better curve visualization. The log phases were observed between day 1 and day 3 at 27°C and 37°C and between day 1 and day 2 at 36°C. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

### Fig. 5

Crithidia mellificae parasite growth curves at 27°C (blue and black lines) and 36°C (red and green lines) from sample c828. The parasite cell number was transformed to the base 10 logarithm value (Y axis) for better curve visualization. The log phases were observed between day 1 and day 3 for growth at 27°C (A) and on day 1 and day 2 for growth at 36°C degrees (B). All curves were visualized together, confirming repetition in parasite growth (C). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
Fig. 6. *Crithidia mellificae* forms at different temperatures and days of growth from the c828 sample stained with Giemsa. A: *C. mellificae* inoculum on day zero; B to G: *C. mellificae* morphological forms at 27°C on day 1 (B), day 2 (C), day 3 (D), day 4 (E), day 8 (F) and day 16 (G) of cell growth; H to M: *C. mellificae* morphological forms at 36°C on day 1 (H), day 2 (I), day 3 (J), day 4 (K), day 8 (L) and day 16 (M) of cell growth; N to S: *C. mellificae* morphological forms at 37°C on day 1 (N), day 2 (O), day 3 (P), day 4 (Q), day 8 (R) and day 16 (S) of cell growth.
mammal species and orders with distinct ecological profiles and is just a few steps away from suggesting that this parasite is a dixenous trypanosomatid if it cannot already be considered as such. Ten sequence samples, four from marmosets, three from bats, two from crab-eating foxes and one from coat presented genetic diversity from the other sequences (0.001 to 0.007 divergence). Although we are reporting this genetic diversity, the difference between the sequences was minimal but enough to rule out accidental contamination.

We demonstrated that *C. mellificae* was capable of growing and maintaining itself at different temperatures for up to 16 days. *Crithidia mellificae* is not the only species from the *Crithidia* genus capable of surviving at temperatures higher than 27°C; *C. l. thermophila* is capable of surviving at 34°C (Ishemgulova et al., 2017). Therefore, we can say that *C. mellificae* is thermotolerant to high temperatures, which explains the occurrence of this trypanosomatid infecting different species of mammals. In the morphological forms observed at different temperatures, rounded large forms predominated at 36°C and 37°C. Most likely, the parasite passes through an adaptative shock due to this high temperature, resulting in these most observed forms at peak growth. After the peak, the thinner forms were more commonly observed, possibly because they were able to survive this event.

It was observed that *C. mellificae* was able to cross all innate immune barriers to establish infection and was capable of stimulating the humoral immune response, at least in primates. Specific antibodies against monoxenous trypanosomatids have already been detected in *D. marsupialis* serum after experimental infection by *A. deanei*, *H. samuellipessoai*, *L. samueli* and *Leptomonas* sp. by inoculation via scent gland (Jansen et al., 1988). We observed a lower/absent serological titer for *T. cruzi* and absence of *Leishmania* sp. in the same serum tested for *C. mellificae* antigen. Cross-reaction in serological tests involving trypanosomatids is common, mainly among *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* and *Leishmania* sp. (da Silveira et al., 2001; Caballero et al., 2007; Nieto et al., 2009). Even other taxonomically distant parasites, such as *Ehrlichia canis*, *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Neospora caninum* and *Babesia canis*, may cross-react in leishmaniasis serological tests (Zanette et al., 2014). Although we did not detect cross-reaction between *C. mellificae* and *Leishmania* sp., *C. mellificae* antibodies were capable of reacting with *T. cruzi* antigens, although serological titers were very low. False-positive serological results may occur since monoxenous trypanosomatids are poorly studied, and their presence in nature and other hosts may be more frequent. In addition, the use of multiple methodologies is essential to confirm trypanosomatid infections.

We can exclude laboratory contamination because the mammal capture and haemoculture exams were performed in different time periods and we do not maintain *Crithidia* cultures in our facilities. Therefore, these results represent important findings, breaking the concept of insect trypanosomatids being monoxenous and insect restricted. These findings also show how much is unknown of parasitic specificity, a key topic in these times of so many emerging parasitic diseases. Monoxenous trypanosomatids might be the key to understanding the origin of the parasitism phenomenon within the Trypanosomatidae family (Flegontov et al., 2013; Lukes et al., 2014; Maslov et al., 2019). Host specificity has no sharp contour, with constant spillover and changes in hosts in nature. We can affirm that parasitic specificity within the Trypanosomatidae family is complex and far from being solved. It is important to question why monoxenous trypanosomatids have been found in mammals only over the last few years. One hypothetical explanation for this question is that concerning fundamentally digenetic trypanosomatids, many of which were recognized as pathogenic, a careful and unbiased examination has not been performed, therefore unusual forms that would eventually appear in culture media have been overlooked. Given the set of results presented herein, *C. mellificae* can be a generalist species of invertebrate and mammalian host species. Therefore, we can hypothesize that *C. mellificae* species is one step closer to becoming dixenous.

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to express our gratitude to Bruno Alves Silva, Pedro Cordeiro-Estrela, Andressa Fraga, and Henrique Concone, Laíza de Queiroz Viana Braga for their help in fieldwork, to Marcos Antonio dos Santos Lima e Carlos Arde for technical support in haemoculture and Dra Samanta Cristina das Chagas Xavier for technical support in the serological methodology. We would also like to thank the Technological Development and Inputs for Health Program of the Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (PDTIS/FIOCRUZ) sequencing platform for sequencing our isolates. Special thanks to Dr Vera Bongertz for helpful comments on the
English review. This study was funded by Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (Fiocruz), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) and Fundação de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento do Ensino, Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul (FUNDECT).

CNPq provided a PDJ fellowship (2018-2019) and FAPERJ provides a post-doctoral #1 fellowship (2019-nowadays) to MAD. CAPES provided a PNPD fellowship to FLR. RM has received financial support from FAPESP and CNPq. HMM receives a “Bolsa de produtividade (Pq 308768/2017-5)” fellowship from CNPq and financial support from FUNDECT (03/2016 PPSPS-MS process # 59/300.069/2017). ALRR receives a “Jovem Cientista do Nosso Estado” fellowship from FAPERJ and “Bolsa de produtividade” fellowship from CNPq.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2021.04.003.

References

Adl, S.M., Bass, D., Lane, C.E., Lukes, J., Schoch, C.L., Smirnov, A., Agatha, S., Berney, C., Brown, M.W., Burki, F., Cardenas, P., Čepička, I., Chistyakova, L.K., Lamp, J.D., Dunthorn, M., Edwardsen, B., Eigt, V., Guillou, L., Hamb, V., Heise, A.A., Hoppernath, M., James, T.V., Karvounaskas, A., Karpo, S., Kim, E., Kolisko, M., Kudryavtsev, A., Lah, D.J.G., Lara, E., Gall, L.L., Lynn, D.H., Mann, D.G., Massana, R., Mitchell, E.A.D., Morrow, C., Park, J.S., Pawloowski, J.W., Powell, M.I., Richter, D.J., Ruckert, S., Shadwick, L., Shimano, S., Spigel, F.W., Torruella, G., Youssef, N., Zalogunsky, V., Zhang, Q., 2019. Revision to the classification, nomenclature, and diversity of eukaryotes: a eukaryotic metazoa. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 66, 4–119.

Auyt, H., Anderson, N.E., Piccozzi, K., Lembo, T., Mubangwa, J., Hoare, R., Fyymagwa, R.D., Mable, B., Hamili, C., Cnelrelw, S., Whurnb, S.C., 2012. Trypanosoma diversity in wildlife species from the Serengti and Luangwa Valley ecosystems. PloS Neglected Trop. Dis. 6, e1828.

Bisbal, F.J., 1986. Food habitats of some neotropical carnivores in Venezuela, (Carnivora, Procyoniformes), in a restiga area of Espirito Santo State, Brazil. J. Trop. Ecol. 22 (1), 227–230.

Garin, Y.J., Sulahian, A., Ménéceur, P., Pratlong, F., Prina, E., Gangneux, J., Dedet, J.P., Roche, B., Pratlong, F., Fellgott, P., Luke, J., 2013. Diet of the coat Nausus naurus (Carnivora: procyonidae) in an area of woodland inserted in an urban environment in Brazil. Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 86, 95–102.

Lefèvre, G., Votýpka, J., Mariscal, J.A., Avila-Levy, C., Pavan, M.G., Opperdoes, F.R., Spodareva, V., d’Avila-Levy, C., Moscovici, M., Limburg, P.J., Kment, P., 2015. Leishmania species, including Trypanosoma cruzi, in sylvatic and peridomestic bats of Texas, USA. Acta Trop. 164, 259–266.

Kraeva, N., Butenko, A., Hlavˇardeňová, P., Kraeva, N., Hoare, R., Ingham, J., 2001. Chagas disease: recombinant antigens for serological diagnosis. Trends Parasitol. 21, 126–128.

Lefèvre, G., Votýpka, J., Mariscal, J.A., Avila-Levy, C., Pavan, M.G., Opperdoes, F.R., Spodareva, V., d’Avila-Levy, C., Moscovici, M., Limburg, P.J., Kment, P., 2015. Leishmania species, including Trypanosoma cruzi, in sylvatic and peridomestic bats of Texas, USA. Acta Trop. 164, 259–266.

Trypanosoma cruzi: adaptations to the dixenous life cycle. In: Trypanosomatids: statistical analysis of the distribution and transmission patterns of trypanosomatids and phytomonads in insects. Trends Parasitol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2021.02.004.

Milder, R.V., Teixeira, M.M.G., Camargo, E.P., 2013. Molecular phylogenetic redefinition of Herpetomonas (Kinetoplastida, Trypanosomatidae), a genus of insect parasites associated with flies. Protist 164, 129–152.

Buckaert, R., Vaughan, T.G., Barido-Sottani, J., Dachè, S., Fourment, M., Gavrushina, A., Heled, J., Jones, G., Küntner, D., De Maio, N., Matschiner, M., Mendes, F.K., Müller, N.F., Ogivie, H.A., du Plessix, L., Popinga, A., Rambaut, A., Rampson, D., Siverson, B., Opperdoes, F.R., Archibald, J.M., 2013. Molecular phylogenetic redefinition of Herpetomonas (Kinetoplastida, Trypanosomatidae), a genus of insect parasites associated with flies. Protist 164, 129–152.

Brady, C.A., 1979. Observations on the Behavior and Ecology of the Crab-Eating Fox (Cerdocyon thous). Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 161–167.

Lefèvre, G., Votýpka, J., Mariscal, J.A., Avila-Levy, C., Pavan, M.G., Opperdoes, F.R., Spodareva, V., d’Avila-Levy, C., Moscovici, M., Limburg, P.J., Kment, P., 2015. Leishmania species, including Trypanosoma cruzi, in sylvatic and peridomestic bats of Texas, USA. Acta Trop. 164, 259–266.

Kraeva, N., Butenko, A., Hlavˇardeňová, P., Kraeva, N., Hoare, R., Ingham, J., 2001. Chagas disease: recombinant antigens for serological diagnosis. Trends Parasitol. 21, 126–128.

Lefèvre, G., Votýpka, J., Mariscal, J.A., Avila-Levy, C., Pavan, M.G., Opperdoes, F.R., Spodareva, V., d’Avila-Levy, C., Moscovici, M., Limburg, P.J., Kment, P., 2015. Leishmania species, including Trypanosoma cruzi, in sylvatic and peridomestic bats of Texas, USA. Acta Trop. 164, 259–266.

Kraeva, N., Butenko, A., Hlavˇardeňová, P., Kraeva, N., Hoare, R., Ingham, J., 2001. Chagas disease: recombinant antigens for serological diagnosis. Trends Parasitol. 21, 126–128.

Lefèvre, G., Votýpka, J., Mariscal, J.A., Avila-Levy, C., Pavan, M.G., Opperdoes, F.R., Spodareva, V., d’Avila-Levy, C., Moscovici, M., Limburg, P.J., Kment, P., 2015. Leishmania species, including Trypanosoma cruzi, in sylvatic and peridomestic bats of Texas, USA. Acta Trop. 164, 259–266.

Kraeva, N., Butenko, A., Hlavˇardeňová, P., Kraeva, N., Hoare, R., Ingham, J., 2001. Chagas disease: recombinant antigens for serological diagnosis. Trends Parasitol. 21, 126–128.

Lefèvre, G., Votýpka, J., Mariscal, J.A., Avila-Levy, C., Pavan, M.G., Opperdoes, F.R., Spodareva, V., d’Avila-Levy, C., Moscovici, M., Limburg, P.J., Kment, P., 2015. Leishmania species, including Trypanosoma cruzi, in sylvatic and peridomestic bats of Texas, USA. Acta Trop. 164, 259–266.

Kraeva, N., Butenko, A., Hlavˇardeňová, P., Kraeva, N., Hoare, R., Ingham, J., 2001. Chagas disease: recombinant antigens for serological diagnosis. Trends Parasitol. 21, 126–128.

Lefèvre, G., Votýpka, J., Mariscal, J.A., Avila-Levy, C., Pavan, M.G., Opperdoes, F.R., Spodareva, V., d’Avila-Levy, C., Moscovici, M., Limburg, P.J., Kment, P., 2015. Leishmania species, including Trypanosoma cruzi, in sylvatic and peridomestic bats of Texas, USA. Acta Trop. 164, 259–266.

Kraeva, N., Butenko, A., Hlavˇardeňová, P., Kraeva, N., Hoare, R., Ingham, J., 2001. Chagas disease: recombinant antigens for serological diagnosis. Trends Parasitol. 21, 126–128.
