New Antarctic clawed lobster species (Crustacea: Decapoda: Nephropidae) from the Upper Cretaceous of James Ross Island
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Abstract

A new species of nephopid lobster, *Hoploparia echinata* sp. nov., from the James Ross Island in the Antarctic Peninsula is here described and illustrated. The material was collected in the Santa Marta Formation (Santonian–Campanian), the basal unit of the Marambio Group, Larsen Basin, located in the western portion of the Antarctic Peninsula. *Hoploparia echinata* sp. nov. can easily be differentiated from its congeners by the presence of distinct short spines on dorsal and ventral margins on the third maxillipeds, merus of the chelipeds and pereopods; these are the characters not described in other *Hoploparia* species so far.
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Introduction

Antarctica plays a very important role in understanding faunal groups with Gondwanan origin (Crame 1989; Clarke et al. 2004). However, on the account of the continent’s inhospitable conditions, its remoteness and the high cost of operations, Antarctica’s fossil record is generally scarce compared to deposits in other continents (e.g., Kellner 1996; Feldmann & Schweitzer 2017; Kellner et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2019). The James Ross Basin, in the Antarctic Peninsula, has one of the best-preserved and highly diverse fossil assemblages of the continent (Feldmann 1990; Feldmann et al. 1993). In the stratigraphic context of this basin, the Santa Marta Formation (Santonian–Campanian) has abundant records of decapods, especially nephopids (Feldmann & Tshudy 1989; Feldmann et al. 1993). Among the Nephropidae, the genus *Hoploparia* McCoy, 1849 is the best-known fossil group, with 67 species described worldwide, ranging from the Lower Cretaceous (Valanginian) to the Miocene (Tshudy & Sorhannus 2003; Schweitzer et al. 2010). Although well represented in the fossil record, the genus is considered to be a “wastebasket taxon”, difficult to characterize (Tshudy & Sorhannus 2003). Despite the high diversity of the genus, *Hoploparia stokesi* (Weller, 1913), from the Lower Cretaceous to the Paleocene, and *H. gazdzickii*...
Feldmann & Crame, 1998 from the Early Miocene are the only known species in the Antarctic region, with several specimens collected on Seymour, Vega, King George, Mumps and Cockburn islands (Ball 1960; Feldmann & Tshudy 1987; Feldmann & Crame 1998; El-Shazly 2015).

PALEOANTAR fieldwork at Santa Marta Cove, James Ross Island, during the Antarctic summer of 2015/16 (supported by PROANTAR) revealed a great number of fossil specimens, including decapods. Two specimens collected in the horizons of the Santa Marta Formation exhibit some characteristics previously unknown in other Hoploparia species, and a new taxon, *H. echinata* sp. nov., is proposed.

**Geological settings**

The Marambio Group, Larsen Basin, is located in the western portion of the Antarctic Peninsula, on James Ross Island (Fig. 1). The Larsen Basin, according to Macdonald et al. (1988), is divided into two sub-basins: South and James Ross. The James Ross Sub-basin originated during the breakdown of Gondwana with the deposition of a Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary sequence in a retroarc basin (Hathway 2000). It is considered one of the thickest and most complete volcano-sedimentary sequences deposited in the Cretaceous–Paleogene of the Southern Hemisphere (Crame et al. 1996) and is divided...
lithostratigraphically into the Nodenskjöld Formation and the Gustav and Marambio groups (Hathway 2000; Hathway & Riding 2001; Riding & Crame 2002).

The Marambio Group crops out in portions of the James Ross, Vega, Humps, Snow Hill, Seymour and Cockburn islands. These deposits represent a progradant system composed of a variety of sandstones, siltstones and mudstones and coquina levels, which were deposited under storm conditions at the internal to external platform (Crame et al. 1991; Crame et al. 2004). The Marambio Group is divided into the Santa Marta, Snow Hill Island, Lopez de Bertodano and Sobral formations (Fig. 2).

The Santa Marta Formation (Santonian–Campanian) is the basal unit of the Marambio Group. It is over 900 m thick and consists of a sequence of intercalated sandstones, siltstones and volcanic tuffs (Olivero 2012), interpreted as a sequence of volcanoclastic deposits formed in a deltaic environment (Scasso et al. 1991; Olivero 2012).

In the north-western portion of James Ross Island, the Santa Marta Formation is divided into the Lachman Crags and the Herbert Sound members (Crame et al. 1991).

The basal Lachman Crags Member (late Coniacian to late Campanian) has a thickness of approximately 850 m and consists of rare conglomerate clays, siltstones and sandstones that were deposited in a shallow marine environment (Crame et al. 1991; Carvalho et al. 2013). The upper Herbert Sound Member (late Campanian to the early Maastrichtian [Crame et al. 1991]) is 250 m thick and consists of fine sandstone with cross-stratification and coquina levels (Crame et al. 1991; Olivero et al. 1986; Crame et al. 1999; Olivero & Medina 2000).

The fossil specimens studied here were collected in layers that correspond to the upper portion of the Lachman Crags Member, which is the sole stratigraphic unit in the immediate area where the specimens were collected. They were found in concretions that were scattered on the surface and lack any feature indicating significant transportation. Such preservation is rather common in fossils found at James Ross Island (e.g., Kellner et al. 2011).

Material and methods

The descriptive terminology mostly follows that used by Holthuis (1974) and Tshudy & Sorhannus (2003). Descriptions, drawings and photographs were made using a Nikon SMZ 745T stereomicroscope equipped with a camera lucida and a Leica EZ4 W, both with digital cameras attached. The paratype was coated with Paraloid B-72 and ultraviolet lights were used to photograph the holotype.

Information provided for the comparative material has been retrieved from the labels of the material examined at the USNM, which may be divergent from what is presented in the original descriptions of *H. stokesi* (Weller, 1903) and *Metanephrops jenkinsi* Feldmann, 1989. For a list of all the examined material, see Table 1.

Systematic palaeontology

Order Decapoda Latreille, 1802
Infraorder Astacidea Latreille, 1802

Remarks: The Astacidea are easily distinguished from the other lobsters by the presence of a generally large, true chelae (i.e., with the dactylus opposed to an extension of the propodus on most of its length) on the first pair of pereopods, this being the largest and most robust pair (Holthuis 1991). Although not fully preserved in the new species, the first pereopod is the largest and more robust, with the merus and carpus fully preserved and the palm partially preserved proximally (Fig. 3). The palm...
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Table 1 List of comparative material observed in the present study.

| Species | Sampling site | Geology | Age | Type material |
|---------|---------------|---------|-----|---------------|
| **Hoploparia stokesi** (Weller, 1903) | USNM 410841 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Non-type |
| USNM 410855 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Non-type |
| USNM 458905 | Sl | LBF, Unit 9 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 458907 | Sl | LBF, Unit 9 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410891 | Sl | LBF, Unit 9 | LCr | Non-type |
| USNM 458910 | Sl | LBF, Unit 9 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 458911 | Sl | LBF, Unit 9 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 458917 | Sl | LBF, Unit 9 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 458919 | Sl | LBF, Unit 9 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410842 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410844 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410846 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410847 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410848 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410849 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410853 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410859 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 410883 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7 | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 430025 | Sl | LBF, Unit 7–9 | LCr, LCa | Non-type |
| USNM 458920 | VIFP | LBF | LCr | Non-type |
| USNM 458904 | VIFP | LBF | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 458912 | MI | LBF, CLM | LCr | Paratype |
| USNM 458914 | CI | LBF, CLM | LCr | Paratype |

**Genus Hoploparia** McCoy, 1849

Remarks: The material is considered to be a *Hoploparia* species on the basis of the carapace with small tubercles mostly in the posterior half, and the presence of the cervical, postcervical, branchiocardiac and inferior grooves and the absence of carinae common in other groups, such as *Metanephrops* Jenkins, 1972, in which most species have the carapace with supraorbital and postorbital carinae. Also, some of the characters used by Tshudy (1993) to define *Hoploparia* are presence of a long and spinose rostrum; with a postcervical groove well impressed over most of its length; a cervical groove and a branchiocardiac groove usually present. As compared with *Hoploparia longimana* Sowerby, 1826, the type species of the genus, both species share a carapace posteriorly granulated and an elongated cheliped, and a palm armed with few tubercles. However, the new species has the pleural and tergal regions of the pleon separated with a marked sulcus (smooth in *H. longimana*). Also, *Hoploparia* is mostly known from shallow marine Cretaceous deposits (Tshudy & Sorhannus 2000), which is the case of Lachman Crags Member, where the material studied here was collected.

*Hoploparia echinata* sp. nov.

Zoobank act: LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:643B193A-3B0F-437D-B447-808FDD6EB8C

Figures 3a–e, 4, 5

**Etymology.** From the Latin *echinatus*, which means spiny, referring to the spinulose characteristic of the legs and third maxillipeds.

**Type material.** The holotype and the paratype are deposited in the MN, under catalogue numbers MN 10435-I and 10436-I, respectively. The material was not lost in the fire of the MN/UFRJ in 2018 (Kellner 2019).

**Type locality.** Santa Marta Cove, James Ross Island, Antarctica.

**Stratigraphic unit.** Santa Marta Formation.

**Type age.** Upper Cretaceous (Santonian–Campanian).

**Diagnosis.** Carapace with small tubercles sparsely distributed, mostly on the posterior half; postcervical, intercervical, cervical grooves visible; without branchial, intermediate carina on posterior half of carapace. Third maxillipeds, cheliped and pereopods 2 and 3 ornamented with distinct, short spines on dorsal and ventral margins.

**Description.** Carapace poorly preserved, represented by an imprint on the matrix, with small tubercles sparsely distributed, mostly on the posterior half; cervical,

is elongated, slightly inflated and with distinct tubercles sparsely distributed in all surfaces, common characteristics of several Astacidea, including *Hoploparia*. We therefore consider the new species an Astacidea.

Family Nephropidae Dana, 1852

Remarks: According to Holthuis (1974: 732), “The pattern of the grooves of the carapace is very striking” with several grooves recognized in some or all Nephropidae. The carapace grooves in the new species (i.e., cervical, postcervical, branchiocardiac and inferior; Fig. 3) seem to be a reasonable ground for the inclusion in this family.
Fig. 3  (a) Holotype (MN 10435-I) of *H. echinota* sp. nov. in lateral view under ultraviolet light, specimen dry, uncoated. The red arrow indicates the position of the carapace; the yellow arrow indicates the position of the endophragmal skeleton. (b) Holotype in lateral view with inverted colours. (c) Line drawing of the holotype: carapace, pereopods, pleon, telson and uropods detached. (d) Paratype (MN 10436-I) third maxilliped and rostrum, specimen dry, coated with Paraloid B-72. (e) Line drawing of the third maxilliped and rostrum of the paratype. Abbreviations: Car, carapace; ce, cervical groove; pc, postcervical groove; bc, branchiocardiac groove; i, inferior groove; R, rostrum; Che, cheliped; P2–P5, pereopods 2–5; 3mxpd, third maxilliped; 1pl to 6pl, first to sixth pleonite; U, uropod; T, telson.
**Fig. 4** Detailed view of the merus of *H. echinata* sp. nov. holotype (MN 10435-I). The red arrows show the ventral and a dorsal spine. The yellow arrow shows a line of three ventrolateral tubercles.

**Fig. 5** Reconstruction of *H. echinata* sp. nov. in its palaeoenvironment. Illustration by Maurilio Oliveira (palaeoartist, MN/UFRJ).
postcervical, branchiocardiac and inferior grooves visible, without branchial, intermediate carina on posterior half of carapace. Margins of the posterior half of the carapace indiscernible from the matrix. Cephalic appendages not preserved. Rostrum fragment (paratype) possible long and at least laterally flattened distally, slightly upward and tapering distally, with, at least, four distinct spines dorsally, smooth ventrally; rostral spines forward directed. Third maxilliped distinctly preserved in paratype, slightly compressed laterally, with ischiium, merus and carpus complete, propodus partially preserved, coxa and basis not preserved; ischiium with two blunt tubercles ventrally; merus with four-five short, strong spines on ventral margin, one dorsally; carpus smooth. A fragment with two segments in holotype is possibly part of the third maxilliped. First and second maxillipeds not preserved. Cheliped preserved; merus and carpus fully preserved, laterally compressed; palm partially preserved proximally, slightly inflated. Merus with two spines and three strong, blunt tubercles ventrally, one dorsal acute, short spine; merus with additional row of blunt tubercles ventrolaterally; carpus smooth; palm partially preserved with distinct tubercles, sparsely distributed in all surfaces. Pereopods laterally compressed. P2 with merus and carp preservsed, propodus partially preserved; merus with line of five, well-spaced, acute, short spines on ventral margin, dorsal margin with three small projections grouped distally. P3 merus preserved, other articles not preserved, merus with only one acute tubercle on ventral margin medially. P4 with only merus preserved, apparently smooth. P5 with ischiium partially preserved, apparently smooth; merus and carpus fully preserved, smooth; propodus partially preserved, smooth. Pleon with six pleonites, all preserved; pleural and tergal regions separated with visible sulcus on second to fifth pleonites; pleonites without spines or tubercles. First pleonite reduced, second slightly longer, third to fifth pleonites of about same size. Second and third pleonites with one posterolateral strong spine; fourth with two distinct spines on lateral margin; fifth pleonite with one blunt tubercle anteriory, one strong spine posteriorly on lateral margin; sixth pleonite smooth, short. Telson and uropods apparently without ornamentation, uropods only partially preserved.  

Discussion

The holotype material of *H. echinata* sp. nov. consists of a carapace disconnected from pleon and pereopods, with the endophragmal skeleton visible under ultraviolet light. The position of the carapace displaced from the pereon and pleon suggests this could be an exuvia by the typical “lobster open moult” position (Daley & Drage 2016). The paratype is represented by the third maxilliped, some pereopod fragments and a fragment putatively identified as the distal part of the rostrum. The new species can easily be differentiated from other *Hoploparia* by the ornamentation of the third maxilliped, merus of the cheliped and pereopods with distinct, acute, short spines on dorsal and ventral margins (in contrast to other *Hoploparia*, which have smooth third maxillipeds and pereopods, chelipeds ornamented only in the palm and fingers). Despite the great amount of *Hoploparia* material known, there is no mention of spines or ornamentation in maxillipeds and pereopods in any other species of the genus. In fact, from the more than 60 known species of *Hoploparia*, only two original descriptions mention the third maxillipeds: *Hoploparia catalunica* Garassino, Artal & Pasini, 2009 and *Hoploparia miyamotoi* Karasawa, 1998, both without ornamentation. Also, Quayle (1987), in the designation of a neotype to *Hoploparia gammaroides* McCoy, 1849, provided a detailed description and figure of its third maxilliped ornamented with finely punctuation and spaced pores, but no spines.

Compared with its congeners in the austral region, *H. echinata* sp. nov. differs from *Hoploparia antarctica* Wilckens, 1907 by the gastroorbital, antennal, buccal, urogastric and branchiocardiac grooves apparently absent, which are present in the carapace of *H. antarctica* (Aguirre-Urreta et al. 1991). *Hoploparia gazdzickii* Feldmann & Crame, 1998 can be differentiated from the new species by the presence of a straight antennal groove, two rows of spines on the cephalic region and an antennal spine, all absent in *H. echinata* sp. nov. The new species seems to be morphologically more closely related to *H. stokesi* (Weller, 1903), from which it can be distinguished by the presence of distinct, acute tubercles and spines on the dorsal and ventral pereopod margins.

*Hoploparia* is a very speciose genus, especially in Europe, where more than 50 species are known (El-Shazly 2015). For Antarctica, *H. echinata* sp. nov. is the fourth species described. It is unknown whether the paucity of *Hoploparia* species found so far in Antarctica is a function of the challenges of carrying out research there or if it reflected the small number of species that lived in this region. In any case, the great morphological variation among species included in the genus *Hoploparia* makes it a challenge to characterize. This genus forms a paraphyletic group, considered a “wastebasket taxon”, default receptacle for taxa excluded from other higher groupings for several authors (e.g., Tshudy & Sorhannus 2003; Tshudy et al. 2018). Likewise, we observe that there is a high degree of morphological variation in the specimens attributed to *H. stokesi*, indicating that a revision of the species and of the genus is needed. This would not be an easy task given the number of species in the genus and amount of material from different parts of the world attributed to *Hoploparia*. 
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