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Abstract
Background: Long-acting reversible contraceptive methods are the most effective, safe, convenient and cost-effective compared to other modern contraceptive methods. The prevalence of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization among married women in the study area was only 5% out of users of all methods and eligible group, which is comparatively low compared to the regional and national targets. Objective: To identify determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization among married women of the reproductive age group in Ambo town, 2016
Methods: Community based unmatched case-control study was conducted in Ambo town among married women of reproductive age group. 140 users and 280 non-users were randomly included. Bivariable and multivariable logistic regression was used to identify candidate variables and independent predictor variables respectively. Adjusted odds ratios together with their corresponding 95% CI were calculated to assess the strength of association and statistical significance. Result: 139 users and 279 non-users were interviewed giving a response rate of 99%. Moderate level of knowledge on long-acting reversible contraceptive methods (AOR= 8.73, 95%CI: 3.08 - 24.77), Good level of knowledge (AOR=13.99, 95%CI: 4.93-39.71), spousal discussion on long-acting reversible contraceptive methods (AOR=2.88, 95%CI: 1.3-6.36), positive attitude toward long-acting reversible contraceptive methods (AOR=7.07, 95% CI: 3.77-13.24), Women from households in the poorest wealth quintile (AOR= 6.83, 95%CI: 2.68-17.38), Women from households in the medium wealth quintile (AOR=5.83, 95%CI: 2.23- 15.23) were positive determinants ,whereas intention to give birth in the future (AOR=0.09, 95%CI: 0.02- 0.36) and woman’s expectation of restriction to methods use (AOR=0.2, 95%CI: 0.08-0.48) were negative determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization.
Conclusion: Good knowledge, moderate knowledge, positive attitude, wealth index and spousal discussion were positive determinants, while intention to give birth in the future and woman’s expectation of restriction to method use were negative determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization. Stakeholders should emphasize behavioral change communication to increase knowledge on long-acting reversible contraceptive methods, strengthen inter-spousal discussion and bring a positive attitude toward long-acting reversible contraceptive methods.
Keywords: long-acting reversible contraceptive methods, married women of reproductive age, Ambo town

Background
Long-acting reversible contraceptive methods are the most effective to prevent pregnancy, convenient, cost-effective for programs over time, this in turn help governments to save related costs and enhance in achieving the national and international health set targets as compared to other methods. Two of the LARC methods are Implants and Intrauterine device (IUD) and they prevent pregnancy for up to 3 to 7 years and 10 to 12 years respectively. Provision of the LARC methods helps women or couples who want to space or limit their pregnancies by having more choices. The use of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods can also enhance improvements in the health and well-being of entire families in various many ways. Once either method is removed, women’s fertility returns almost immediately (1).

Long-acting reversible contraceptive methods are the most effective (>99%) methods of contraceptives and are relatively safe and convenient. During one year of typical use, LARC methods are 3 to 60 times more effective than most short-acting contraceptive methods. The long term nature of these forms of contraception does not require daily motivation on the part of users and thus have higher continuation and effectiveness rates. Couples also require fewer visits to health providers, thus saving time, effort and money and the patient load at health care facilities gets lessened (1).

For the government system, the use of LARC methods can result in substantial cost savings for which in turn helps in achieving the national and international health set targets. Similarly, they have vital role to reduce maternal mortality and morbidity, elective abortions as well. According to studies conducted in various countries, about 300,000 abortions were experienced per year in Vietnam, more than 100,000 in Ukraine, and 80,000 in Turkey in which this can be prevented by the use of these most effective methods (2).

For some countries, continuous rapid population growth has become a problem. This might be due to non-use of effective contraceptive methods. Majority of this growth occurs in developing countries, where the fertility rate is very high. Africa’s population is currently increasing faster than any other
major region and projected to account for 25% of the world population by 2050 (3). The condition in Ethiopia is still similar to that of most African countries. The total fertility rate in the country is 4.8 and 5.6 in the Oromia region and the national population growth rate is estimated at 2.7% per year which is still very high when compared with other countries (4).

Though LARC methods have a vital role, they are given in few health care facilities, remain relatively low in coverage and were not included as part of programs in the majority of national reproductive health and family planning programs. More than 350 million couples worldwide have limited or no access to effective and affordable FP, especially to LAPMs. Strong family planning programs provides a full range of contraceptive methods, but in many places, LAPMs are the least available, the least used and possibly the least understood methods by clients (1, 7).

About 25% of women and couples in sub-Saharan Africa who want to space or limit their births are not using any form of contraception. Even though women in sub-Saharan Africa reported that they prefer to space their births by more than two years, most of the births in the region are still spaced closer than that (8, 9).

Data from demographic and health surveys of sub-Saharan countries depicted that very few women were recently utilizing LARC methods due to several factors such as myths and misconceptions, fear of side effects, the opposition of partner, lack of knowledge and the like (10,11).

Only thirteen percent (13%) of the world’s married women use Intrauterine Contraceptive Device (IUCD) as their method of contraception (13). In sub-Saharan Africa, in spite of its attractive features, IUD remains underutilized; only two percent of users rely on the IUD as a method of contraception (14). In Ethiopia, the Contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) is only 29%. IUCD, Implants and female sterilization are the least used methods of modern contraceptive each accounting only for 0.3%, 3.4% and 0.5% respectively (4).

Evidence from other countries and including Ethiopia depicted that factors such as fertility-related reason, opposition to use, lack of knowledge and method related reason were identified as barriers to LARC methods utilization (16).

So far, most studies conducted on determinants of LARC methods utilization were concentrated more
on identifying determinants related with socio-demographic, reproductive health factors and which is not enough to depict the full picture of the determinants of LARC methods utilization. Attitude, income, and level of knowledge on LARC methods could also be important categories of determinants where most previous studies were fail to consider.

The finding of the study could help program managers, different stakeholders, and policy makers by providing important information to enhance the utilization of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods among married women of reproductive age group.

The prevalence of LARC methods utilization among married women in Ambo town is 5%, which is comparatively low as compared to the regional and national targets. The majority of married women in the town are not using LARC methods and even those who are using modern contraceptives were relying on SAFPMs (18). Therefore, this study was aimed to identify determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization among married women of the reproductive age group in Ambo town, Oromia region, west Ethiopia.

Methods

**Study period and setting**

The study was conducted from May 01-30/2016 in Ambo town, Oromia Regional State, located at 110 km distance on the west of Addis Ababa which is the capital city of the country. The town has six administrative kebeles. The total population of the town for the year 2016 was estimated to be 79,059 (18).

The proportion of women in childbearing age was 22.2 % (17,551) of the population. The town has one General Hospital, two public health centers, two higher and three medium private clinics that provide LARC methods service as an integral component of other health care services (Fig 1). All these facilities in the study area are providing LARC methods services for free and the national government is making these methods available.

**Study design**

A community based unmatched case-control study design was conducted to identify determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization among married women of the reproductive
age group in ambo town, Oromia region, west Ethiopia.

**Population**

Users were married women who were using one of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods and non-users were married women who were not using any of modern contraceptive methods. Users and non-users who were lived at least for six months in the study area were included in the study.

**Sampling:** The sample size was determined by using EpiInfo software version 7.1 with an assumption of 95% confidence interval, power 80%, Case to control ratio 1:2; with inter-spousal discussion as exposure variable, 93.6% of users and 83% of non-users with exposure, Odds ratio of 3.0 (24) and 10% non-response compensation in both groups. Accordingly, 140 users and 280 non-users were included in the study.

The entire six kebeles (the lowest administrative units in Ambo town) were included in the study. Prior to carry out the actual study, pilot survey was conducted by the trained UHEW to identify & know the number of existing users and non-users in the six kebeles of Ambo town and the response rate was 100%. Users were first identified by self-report that they are using the LARC method and then they were asked to bring a service identification card/appointment card to cross-check the service card against the method they were using. Non-users were first identified by self-report and then cross-checked from the UHEW registration book to confirm that they really non-users. A total of 1,302 users and 1,806 non-users were identified during the pilot survey. During the pilot survey, unique identification numbers were given for each user and non-user which was also written on their residential homes to facilitate sampling process. A separate sampling frame was prepared for users and non-users. Then computer-generated random number method was used to select users and non-users.

**Data collection procedure and measurement**

Data was collected by face to face interview method (interviewer-administered method). Six twelve grade complete females who can speak the local language (Afan Oromo) were selected, trained and recruited as data collectors. Two Diploma nurses were employed as supervisors. The interview was delivered in Afan Oromo language. The data collectors were trained on the contents of the
questionnaire, interview approach and confidentiality. The supervisors were trained on the contents of the questionnaire, interview approach and how to support data collectors. One data collector was assigned per each kebele and collected the data by moving from home to home. Supervisors supported data collectors by providing logistics required for data collection, collected the filled questionnaire from each data collectors, checked completeness and consistency of the collected data and submitted to Principal investigator daily.

Variables included in this study were; Socio-demographic and economic variables which comprises age, education, occupation, income; reproductive health-related variables which comprises number of parity, number of living children, sex composition of living children, history of stillbirths, history of induced abortion, intention to give birth in the future; individual-related variables which comprises knowledge of LARC methods, attitude toward LARC methods utilization, inter-spousal discussion, responsible person to limit number of children and source of information and Health facility related variables which comprises distance, expectation of availability of method mix and expectation of restriction to method use.

Knowledge of the respondents on LARC methods was measured by the total number of correct answers to 11 items on knowledge questions, with a minimum score of 0 and a maximum of 11. Those who scored 80% and above were declared as having good knowledge, those who scored 50-79% were declared as having moderate knowledge and those who scored less than 50% were declared as having poor knowledge (24).

The attitude of the respondents toward LARC methods was measured by Likert scale type questions. This was measured by the total number of correct answers from fifteen attitude questions toward LARC methods and the mean score of these answers was computed. Then respondents were declared as having a positive attitude and a negative attitude. Those who scored above mean to the correct answers from attitude measuring LARC methods questions were considered as having a positive attitude and those who scored mean and below mean to the correct answers from attitude measuring LARC methods questions were considered as having a negative attitude (26).

Wealth index was computed as a composite indicator of living standard based on variables related to
ownership of selected household assets, presence of livestock and materials used in the house. Variables that used to measure wealth index at household level were piped water source, flush toilet piped to sewer system, electricity, separated room for sleeping, separated room for cooking, refrigerator, mobile phone, fixed phone line, radio, electrically working griddle, own home, cement roof type, vehicle, and livestock. The computation was made using principal component analysis (PCA) and composite variables were extracted by summing up the principal components into three components. The adequacy of the model for PCA was checked by the value of Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sample adequacy (KMOSA) and it became 0.78 and the sample was adequate. Eigen values were used to decide the number of PCs to be retained. Only PCs with Eigen values greater than 1.0 were retained. Three components explained the wealth index with the overall cumulative variance percentage of 69.4%. Detection of outliers & inter-item consistency was performed. To check inter-item consistency, Chronbach alpha of factor lodgings were computed and the value was 0.82. Also, Quintiles of the wealth index were computed.

Both interviewers and supervisors were trained for three days. The content of the training were interview approach, ways to maintain confidentiality and how to keep the privacy of the study participants. Pre-testing of the questionnaire was also done on 21 married women of reproductive age group (7 users and 14 non-users) in Guder town, which is located at 12 km distance to the west of Ambo town. Finally, the data collection tool was refined based on the findings obtained from the pre-test.

**Data processing and Analysis**

Data were coded manually and checked for its completeness and consistency. Then data were entered and cleaned by EpiData version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 21.0; where recoding, computing, and other statistical analysis were performed. Descriptive statistics were computed to explore frequency distribution, central tendency, variability (dispersion) and distribution of outcome and explanatory variables. Bivariable analysis was performed to identify candidate variables (P-value less than 0.25) for multivariable logistic regression. Finally, multivariable logistic regression was fitted using a standard enter method to identify independent predictors of LARC methods utilization.
Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test was used to assess model fitness (P-value = 0.02). Adjusted odds ratios together with their corresponding 95% CI were calculated to assess the strength of association and statistical significance.

**Ethical Assurance**

Ethical clearance and supportive letter to undertake the study was obtained from the Ethical Review Board of the College of Health Science of Jimma University. Permission letter to conduct the research was obtained from the Oromia Regional Health Bureau.

Prior to data collection, the participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their right to refuse participation, discontinue the interview or measurement and their full right to say "no" (opt-out), and it was clearly stated that their decision of "no" will not affect any of their right to health provisions intended for women. Written consent was obtained from study participants of age greater than 16 years. Written informed consent was obtained from a parent or guardian for participants under 16 years old.

Confidentiality and privacy of the study participants were assured and protected by using a unique questionnaire identification number during an interview. Two women, one for IUCD and one for Implant, those in a need of using LARC methods utilization were linked to health facilities where the services are available.

**Results**

**Socio-demographic characteristics**

Of the sample women, 139 users and 279 non-users were enrolled in the study, giving a response rate of 99% in both groups.

The mean age of overall respondents enrolled in the study was 28.6 years with a standard deviation of 5.7 years; with a minimum age of 15 and a maximum age of 44 years. The mean age of users and non-users were 28.0 years (SD=6.34 years) and 27.84 years (SD=5.74 years) respectively. The majority of users and non-users were in the age range of between 25-34 years (Table 1).

About 91(65.5%) users and 195(69.9%) non-users were Oromo by Ethnicity and 82(59.0) users and 145(52.0) non-users were Orthodox Christian/Eastern Orthodox by religion (Table 1).
**Individual related characteristics**

Regarding other individual related characteristics, 87.8% of users and 63.6% of non-users had a discussion with their husbands on long-acting reversible contraceptive use.

Based on LARC methods knowledge assessment 77(55.4%) users and 99(35.5%) non-users had good knowledge on LARC methods, 54(38.8%) users and 110(39.4%) non-users had moderate knowledge on LARC methods (Table 2).

The mean score of an attitude of the respondents was 49.43(SD=7.8). 106(76.3%) of users and 107(38.4%) of non-users had a positive attitude; whereas the rest of the users and non-users had a negative attitude towards LARC methods utilization (Table 2).

**Reproductive health-related factors**

The mean age at first marriage was 19.54 years (SD= 1.68 years). About 94(67.6%) users and 245(87.8) non-users were in need of more children (Table 3)

**Health facility-related factors**

Among all respondents; 44(31.7%) of users and 160(57.3%) of non-users expected that there was a restriction to method use at health facility, and 49(35.3%) of users and 137(49.1%) of non-users expected that all LARC methods were not available at health facilities (Table 3).

Bivariable analysis of determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization

Bivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to identify candidate variables for multivariable logistic regression analysis. Accordingly, age, wealth index, knowledge, attitude and other variables were identified as candidate variables for multivariable logistic regression (Table 4).

Independent predictors of LARC methods utilization among married women in Ambo town

The results from multivariable logistic regression showed that wealth index, spousal discussion, level of knowledge, attitude, intention to give birth in the future and expectation of restriction to the method used at the health facility were independent predictors of LARC methods utilization.

The spousal discussion was the independent predictor of LARC methods utilization. The odds of LARC utilization was about three times higher among women who discussed contraceptives with their partners than those women who did not discussed (AOR=2.88, 95% CI: 1.3- 6.36).
The level of knowledge was another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization. The odds of LARC utilization was about 9 and 14 times higher among women who had moderate and good knowledge on LARC methods than those women who had poor knowledge respectively (AOR=8.73, 95% CI:3.08-24.77, and AOR =13.99, 95% CI:4.93- 39.71).

The positive attitude of the respondent was also another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization. The odds of LARC utilization was about seven times higher among women who had a positive attitude than those women with a negative attitude (AOR= 7.07, 95% CI: 3.77-13.24)

Intention to give birth in the future was another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization. The odds of LARC utilization was about 91% less among women who intended to give birth in the future compared to those women who were not intended to give birth (AOR=0.09 95% CI: 0.02- 0.36).

Wealth index was another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization. The odds of LARC utilization was about seven times higher among women from households in the poorest wealth quintile than those women from households in the richest wealth quintile (AOR= 6.83 95%CI 2.68-17.38). Similarly, the odds of LARC utilization was about six times higher among women from households in the medium wealth quintile than those women from households in the richest wealth quintile(AOR=5.83, 95%CI: 2.23- 15.22).

This study finding also depicted that expectation of restriction to the method used at the health facility was an independent predictor of LARC utilization.

The odds of LARC utilization was about 80% less among women who expected restriction to method use at health facilities compared to those women who didn’t expect. (AOR=0.2, 95%CI: 0.08- 0.48)

Discussion
The results from this study showed that wealth index, spousal discussion, knowledge, attitude, intention to give birth in the future and expectation of restriction to the method used at the health facility were independent predictors of LARC methods utilization.

The spousal discussion was found to be an independent predictor of LARC methods utilization.

The odds of LARC utilization was about three times higher among women who discussed contraceptives with their partners than those women who did not discussed. This finding is
comparable with the studies conducted in Nekemte town, Debremarikos town, Hosanna town and the study conducted on determinants of long-acting contraceptive use among reproductive-age women in Ethiopia: Evidence from EDHS (19, 20, 24 and 35). This comparable finding might be due to the similarity in socio-demographic characteristics.

This study also depicted that knowledge was another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization.

The odds of LARC utilization was about 9 and 14 times higher among women who had moderate and good knowledge on LARC methods than those women who had poor knowledge respectively. The finding of this study can be comparable with the study conducted in Hossana town, southern Ethiopia and Ugandain Lubaga division (24, 27).

The attitude of the respondent was another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization.

The odd of LARC utilization was about seven times higher among women who had a positive attitude than those women with a negative attitude. The finding of this study is comparable with the study conducted in Mekele town, Northern Ethiopia and Ugandain Lubaga division (26, 27).

This study finding also depicted that expectation of restriction to the method used at the health facility was an independent predictor of LARC utilization.

The odds of LARC utilization was about 80% less among women who expected restriction to method use at health facilities compared to those women who did not expect.

Intention to give birth in the future was another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization.

The odds of LARC utilization was about 91% less among women who intended to give birth in the future compared to those women who were not intended to give birth.

The finding of this study can be comparable with the study conducted in Hossana town (24). The comparability might be due to the similarity in the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Wealth index was also another independent predictor of LARC methods utilization.

The odd of LARC utilization was about seven times higher among women from households in the poorest wealth quintile than those women from households in the richest wealth quintile. Similarly,
the odds of LARC utilization was about six times higher among women from households in the medium wealth quintile than those women from households in the richest wealth quintile. The possible justification for this could be the poorest and medium women in wealth are economically incapable of bringing up another child so that they utilized LARC methods than the richest. The findings identified by this particular study can help program managers, different stakeholders, and policymakers by providing such important information to enhance the utilization of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods among married women of reproductive age group at large. Also, more action has to be undertaken to increase women’s knowledge on LARC methods utilization through promoting discussion between partners, to change the attitude of married women towards LARC methods utilization, emphasis has to be given to improve the norm of partner communication on LARC methods utilization, design scale-up strategy to change myths & misconceptions by the town health office in collaboration with the regional health office and various stakeholders working on this sector.

In this study, variables such as women's educational status, women's occupation, number of live children and fertility-related decisions were not independently associated with LARC methods utilization unlike in other studies conducted on determinants of LARC methods utilization in Ethiopia. This might be due to variation in socio-demographic factors among the study subjects.

Lack of adequate literature especially on LARC methods with case-control study design in the Ethiopian context in general and in the Oromia region in particular limits further elaboration of discussion and comparison.

As the study design used for this particular study was case-control study which analytical design and relatively optimal to determine factors that independently associated with LARC methods utilization.

Similarly, the selected users and non-users were comparable

As limitation of this particular, there might be recall bias as the exposure variables were assessed retrospectively. However, intensive training for data collectors and supervisors were given on interview approach to overcome and minimize recall bias.

Conclusions
Wealth index, spousal discussion, knowledge, Attitude, Intention to give birth in the future and woman’s expectation of restriction to LARC methods uses at the health facility were determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization.

Stakeholders working on this sector should emphasize behavioral change communication to strengthen knowledge of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods, to strengthen inter-spousal discussion about modern contraceptives and to bring a positive attitude toward long-acting reversible contraceptive methods.

This is, to mean, more actions have to be undertaken to increase women’s knowledge on LARC methods utilization through promoting discussion between partners by the town health office in collaboration with regional health office and various stakeholders working on this sector.

Also, more action has to be undertaken to bring a positive attitude of married women toward LARC methods utilization, emphasis has to be given to improve norm of partner communication on LARC methods utilization, design scale-up strategy to change myths & misconceptions by the town health office in collaboration with regional health office and various stakeholders working on this sector.

Additionally, creating continuous awareness on benefits and availability of LARC methods utilization by town health office in collaboration with HEWs and NGOs currently working in the study area is paramount.

Moreover, maximum efforts have to be done to establish strong referral linkage among health facilities, HEWs, currently being implemented and incorporated strategies at grass root level such as health development armies & one to five networks inclusive of married women together with their partners.
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Tables
Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of married women in Ambo town; 2016

| Variables       | Users       | N   |
|-----------------|-------------|-----|
|                 | n (%)       | n   |
| Age in years    |             |     |
| 15-24           | 21(15.1)    | 7   |
| 25-34           | 97(69.8)    | 1   |
| ≥ 35            | 21(15.1)    | 3   |
| Ethnicity       |             |     |
| Oromo           | 91(65.5)    | 1   |
| Amhara          | 28(20.1)    | 5   |
| Gurage          | 10(7.2)     | 2   |
| Others*         | 10(7.2)     | 1   |
| Christian Orthodox | 82(59.0) | 1   |
| Protestant      | 46(33.1)    | 1   |
| Religion        | Others** | 11(7.9 ) | 2 |
|-----------------|----------|----------|---|
| Women's Educational status | Informal | 13(9.4 ) | 2 |
|                 | Primary (grade 1-8) | 20(14.4) | 6 |
|                 | Secondary (grade 9-11) | 49(35.2 ) | 1 |
|                 | Tertiary(College & above) | 57(41.0 ) | 8 |
| Husband's Educational status | Informal | 12(8.6) | 2 |
|                 | Primary (grade 1-8) | 33(23.7) | 7 |
|                 | Secondary (grade 9-11) | 35(25.2) | 8 |
|                 | Tertiary (College& above) | 59(42.5) | 9 |
| Women's occupation | Housewife | 67(48.2) | 1 |
|                 | Government employee | 38(27.3) | 6 |
|                 | Merchant | 21(15.1) | 4 |
|                 | Others*** | 13(9.4) | 3 |
| Husband's occupation | Government employee | 46(33.0) | 8 |
|                 | Merchant | 65(46.8) | 1 |
|                 | Daily laborers | 15(10.8) | 3 |
|                 | Others**** | 13(9.4) | 3 |
|                 | First quintile (Poorest) | 40(28.8) | 4 |
| Wealth index         | Second quintile (Poor) | Third quintile (Medium) | Fourth quintile (Rich) | Fifth quintile (Richest) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                     | 29(20.9)               | 12(8.6)                 | 42(30.2)               | 16(11.5)                |

Key: * represents ethnic groups such as Sidama, Tigre, and Kambata
** represents religion category such as Wakefata and Pagan;
*** represents women’s occupation such as Driver & Mechanic
**** represents husband’s occupation such as Driver & Mechanic

Table 2: Individual related characteristics of married women in Ambo town; 2016
| Variables                                                   | Users   | Non-users |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
|                                                           | n (%)   | n (%)     |
| Have a discussion with her husband                         |         |           |
| Yes                                                         | 122(87.8) | 213(63.6) |
| No                                                          | 17(12.2)  | 66(23.4)  |
| The main source of information about LARC methods           |         |           |
| TV/Radio                                                    | 71(51.1)  | 115(41.4) |
| Urban Health extension (UHEW)                               | 56(40.3)  | 132(47.7) |
| Others*                                                     | 12(8.6)   | 32(11.8)  |
| Responsible person to decide to limit the number of children|         |           |
| Wife                                                        | 10(7.1)   | 13(8.6)   |
| Husband                                                     | 15(10.9)  | 91(4.7)   |
| Joint decision                                              | 114(82.0) | 175(62.7) |
| Attitude toward LARC methods                               |         |           |
| Negative                                                    | 33(23.7)  | 172(61.0) |
| Positive                                                    | 106(76.3) | 107(38.2) |
| Knowledge of LARC methods                                  |         |           |
| Poor                                                        | 8(5.8)    | 70(25.1)  |
| Moderate                                                    | 54(38.8)  | 110(39.3) |
| Good                                                        | 77(55.4)  | 99(35.6)  |

Key: * Indicates health workers other than UHEWs, such as friends, family and the like.

Table 3: Reproductive health and health facility-related characteristics of married women in Ambo town; 2016.
|                      | ≤ 3          | 67(52.3) |
|----------------------|--------------|----------|
|                      | ≥ 3          | 48(18.9) |
| Age at first marriage| <18 years    | 28(20.1) |
|                      | ≥ 18 years   | 111(79.9)|
| Age at first pregnancy| <18 years   | 21(15.1) |
|                      | ≥ 18 years   | 118(84.9)|
| Age at first delivery| <18 years    | 19(13.6) |
|                      | ≥ 18 years   | 120(86.4)|
| Experienced stillbirth| No          | 123(95.3)| |
|                      | Yes          | 6(4.7)   |
| Experienced abortion in lifetime| No | 118(91.5) |
|                      | Yes          | 11(8.5)  |
| Number of live births| ≤ 2          | 59(45.7) |
|                      | 3-4          | 50(38.8) |
|                      | ≥ 5          | 20(15.5) |
| Intention to give birth in the future| Yes | 94(67.6) |
|                      | No           | 45(32.4) |
| Reason for intention to give birth in the future| Need more children | 60(63.2) |
|                      | Need of son  | 22(23.2) |
|                      | Others*      | 13(13.6) |
| Home distance from Health facility in minute (walking hour)| <30 minute | 62(45.3) |
|                      | ≥ 30 minute  | 77(54.7) |
| Do you expect all LARC methods available at the health facility| Yes | 90(64.7) |
|                      | No           | 49(35.3) |
Do you expect restriction to method use at the health facility

|                | Yes          | Non-users  |
|----------------|--------------|------------|
|                | Users 139    | N(%)279    | COR (95% CI) |
| Yes            | 44(31.7)     |            |              |
| No             | 95(68.3)     |            |              |

Key: * indicates reasons for intention to give birth such as having no child before and child death

Table 4: Candidate variables for multivariable logistic regression to identify determinants of LARC methods utilization among married women in Ambo town; 2016

| Variables                      | Users 139 | N(%)  | Non-users 279 | COR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------|----------------|---------------|
| Age                            |            |       |                |               |
| 15-24                          | 21(15.1)   | 73(26.2) | 1              |               |
| 25-34                          | 97(69.8)   | 168(60.2) | 2.01(1.16,3.47) |               |
| ≥ 35                           | 21(15.1)   | 38(13.6) | 1.92(0.93,3.95) |               |
| Wealth index                   |            |       |                |               |
| First quintile (Poorest)       | 40(28.8)   | 43(15.4) | 1              |               |
| Second quintile (Poor)         | 29(20.9)   | 44(15.8) | 0.71(0.38,1.34) |               |
| Third quintile (Medium)        | 12(8.6)    | 81(29.0) | 0.16(0.08,0.34) |               |
| Fourth quintile (Rich)         | 42(30.2)   | 44(15.8) | 1.03(0.56,1.88) |               |
| Fifth quintile (Richest)       | 16(11.5)   | 67(24.0) | 0.26(0.13,0.51) |               |
| Discussion (spousal communication) |        |       |                |               |
| No                             | 17(12.2)   | 66(23.7) | 1              |               |
| Yes                            | 122(87.8)  | 213(63.6) | 2.22(1.25,3.96) |               |
| Level of knowledge             |            |       |                |               |
| Poor                           | 8(5.8)     | 70(25.1) | 1              |               |
| Moderate                       | 54(38.8)   | 110(39.4) | 4.29(1.29,9.57) |               |
| Good                           | 77(55.4)   | 99(35.5) | 6.81(3.09,14.99) |               |
| Level of attitude              |            |       |                |               |
| Negative                       | 33(23.7)   | 171(61.6) | 1              |               |
| Positive                       | 106(76.3)  | 108(38.4) | 5.16(3.26,8.17) |               |
| Ever pregnant                  |            |       |                |               |
| No                             | 10(7.2)    | 69(24.7) | 1              |               |
| Yes                            | 129(92.8)  | 210(75.3) | 4.24(2.11,8.52) |               |
| Intention to give birth in the |            |       |                |               |
| No                             | 45(32.4)   | 34(12.2) | 1              |               |
| Yes                            |            |       |                |               |

25
|                                | Yes               | No               | \( \text{OR} \)         |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| **The expectation of women on all LARCs available at HF** |                   |                  |                          |
| Yes                            | 90(64.7)          | 142(50.9)        | 1.77 (1.65, 6.16)        |
| No                             | 49(35.3)          | 137(49.1)        | 1                       |
| **The expectation of women on restriction to methods in HF** |                   |                  |                          |
| Yes                            | 44(31.7)          | 160(57.3)        | 0.34(0.22, 0.53)         |
| No                             | 95(68.3)          | 119(42.7)        | 1                       |

| **Women's education** | Informal | Primary (grade 1-8) | Secondary (grade 9-11) | Tertiary (College & above) |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| No                    | 13(9.4)  | 20(14.4)            | 49(35.2)               | 57(41.0)                  |
| Yes                   | 25(9.0)  | 67(24.0)            | 101(36.2)              | 86(30.8)                  |
| \( \text{OR} \)       | 1        | 0.57(0.25, 1.32)    | 0.93(0.44, 1.98)       | 1.2(0.60, 2.69)           |

| **Husband's education** | Informal | Primary (grade 1-8) | Secondary (grade 9-11) | Tertiary (College & above) |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| No                      | 12(8.6)  | 33(23.7)            | 35(25.2)               | 59(42.5)                  |
| Yes                     | 20(7.2)  | 78(28.0)            | 86(30.8)               | 95(34.0)                  |
| \( \text{OR} \)        | 1        | 0.97 (0.44, 2.12)   | 0.68 (0.41, 1.15)      | 0.66(0.39, 1.09)          |

| **Women's occupation** | Housewife | Government employee | Merchant | Others |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------|--------|
| No                     | 67(48.2)  | 38(27.3)            | 21(15.1) | 13(9.4) |
| Yes                    | 125(44.8) | 68(24.4)            | 48(17.2) | 38(13.6)|
| \( \text{OR} \)       | 1         | 1.04(0.64, 1.71)    | 0.82(0.45, 1.48)       | 0.64(0.32, 1.28)          |

| **Husband's occupation** | Government employee | Merchant | Daily laborers | Others |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------|--------|
| No                       | 46(33.0)            | 65(46.8)| 15(10.8)      | 13(9.4) |
| Yes                      | 81(29.1)            | 129(46.2)| 38(13.6)     | 31(11.1)|
| \( \text{OR} \)        | 1                    | 0.89 (0.55, 1.42)| 0.7(0.35, 1.39)| 0.74(0.35, 1.55)|

| **The main source of information about LARC methods** | TV/Radio | UHEW | Others |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------|------|--------|
| No                                                   | 71(51.1)| 56(40.3)| 12(8.6)|
| Yes                                                  | 115(41.4)| 113(47.4)| 32(11.2)|
| \( \text{OR} \)                                     | 1       | 1.59(0.77, 3.31)| 1.096(0.53, 2.2)|

| **Responsible person to decide to limit the number of children** | Wife | Husband | Joint decision |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------|----------------|
| No                                                             | 10(7.1)| 15(10.9)| 114(82.0) |
| Yes                                                            | 13(4.7)| 91(8.6) | 175(62.7) |
| \( \text{OR} \)                                               | 1     | 0.33(0.09, 1.17)| 0.19(0.10, 1.03) |

| **Experienced stillbirth** | No | Yes |
|----------------------------|----|-----|
| No                         | 123(95.3)| 6(4.7) |
| Yes                        | 190(90.5)| 20(9.5) |
| \( \text{OR} \)           | 1    | 0.46(0.18, 1.19) |
Table 5: Factors independently associated with LARC methods utilization among married women in Ambo town; 2016

| Variables                                      | Users (n=139) | Non-users (n=279) | AOR (95% CI) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| **Wealth index**                               |              |                   |              |
| First quintile                                 | 40           | 43                | 6.83(2.68,17.38) |
| Second quintile                                | 29           | 44                | 0.84(0.31, 2.27) |
| Third quintile                                 | 12           | 81                | 5.83(2.23, 15.29) |
| Fourth quintile                                | 42           | 44                | 3.21(1.31, 7.85) |
| Fifth quintile                                 | 16           | 67                | 1            |
| **Discussion (spousal communication)**         |              |                   |              |
| No                                            | 17           | 66                | 1            |
| Yes                                           | 122          | 213               | 2.88(1.3, 6.36) |
| **Knowledge of LARC methods**                  |              |                   |              |
| Poor                                          | 8            | 70                | 1            |
| Moderate                                      | 54           | 110               | 8.73(3.08, 24.77) |
| Good                                          | 77           | 99                | 13.99(4.93, 39.71) |
| **Attitude toward LARC methods**               |              |                   |              |
| Negative                                      | 33           | 171               | 1            |
| Positive                                      | 106          | 108               | 7.07(3.77, 13.24) |
| **Intention to give birth in the future**      |              |                   |              |
| No                                            | 45           | 34                | 1            |
| Yes                                           | 94           | 244               | 0.09 (0.02, 0.36) |
| **The expectation of restriction to method use at HF** | | | |
| No                                            | 95           | 119               | 1            |
| Yes                                           | 44           | 160               | 0.2(0.08, 0.48) |

Figures
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Map showing the study area, taken from Ambo town health office (18)

Figure 2
LARC methods mentioned by women
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