Electrical Conductivity at Surfaces of Silica Nanoparticles with Adsorbed Water at Various Relative Humidities
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Electrical conductivity of silica nanoparticles deposited on electrodes from colloidal suspension were measured under controlled relative humidity (RH). The electrical conductivity values at 1 kHz increased with increasing RH. Amounts of water adsorbed on silica nanoparticles were measured by quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) and they also increased with increasing RH. An empirical power law relation was observed between the reported surface conductivity and RH data for a silica glass. By applying this relation to the silica nanoparticles, the water film thicknesses are estimated to be from 0.08 nm to 0.23 nm. The corresponding specific surface area (SSA) becomes smaller (102 m²g⁻¹) than the reported value (215 m²g⁻¹), indicating aggregation of the nanoparticles. In fact, aggregated rod-like structures were observed under electron and laser scanning microscopy. The electrical conductance of deposited silica nanoparticles under various RH can be understood by surface conductance in thin water films adsorbed on the nanoparticles. [DOI: 10.1380/ejssnt.2018.376]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Electrical conduction in insulating or semi-conducting materials under normal environmental ambient conditions is considered to be occurring through adsorbed water on these materials [1−4]. These electrical properties of surfaces became increasingly important in modern materials sciences, especially for nanoparticles because of their large surface area [5, 6]. The electrical conduction on wet surfaces of nanoparticles might lead to undesirable properties of materials in daily use, degradation of materials and their decreasing safety [7].

In the earth’s surfaces, rocks and soils above the water table (groundwater) is unsaturated with water inside their pore spaces. In these pores, solid/water/air interfaces are present like the above materials under normal relative humidity (RH) conditions. The electrical conductivity in these unsaturated rocks suggest that surface conductivity changes with decreasing degrees of water saturation and is different from the conductivity in bulk pore water [8]. It should be noted that surface conductivity through wetted mineral grain networks in rocks and soils can be a good measure of water connectivity and material transport properties (permeability, diffusivity) [9, 10].

However, despite several measurements of electrical conductivity on wet material surfaces [1, 2, 11], quantitative understanding of surface conductivity has not been obtained. In particular, relations of surface conductivity with thicknesses of water film adsorbed on material surfaces have never been investigated.

Electrical conductivity of nanoparticles (silica [12], aluminum oxide [5]) have been measured in aqueous solutions, but surface conductivity cannot be evaluated in the presence of bulk water. Electrical conductivity of silica gel [13], silica glass [11], silica monolith [14], TiO₂ nanoparticles [3] and cellulose [15] have been measured under various RH conditions. Among them, Soffer and Folman (1966) [11] measured surface conductivity of a porous silica glass (Vycor glass) under different water vapor pressures. They observed increasing surface conductivity values with increasing water vapor pressure (RH).

On the other hand, Asay and Kim (2005) [16] examined adsorbed water layers on silicon oxide with increasing RH at room temperature by attenuated total reflection infrared (ATR-IR) spectroscopy. They evaluated water film thicknesses of 0.2 nm to 3 nm corresponding to 1 to 10 water molecular layers at RH values from 7.3% to 99.4%. Torun et al. (2014) [17] evaluated water adsorption to silica nanoparticles by FTIR combined with quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). They found adsorption of one monolayer of water on silica at low RH and oriented two monolayers of water at high RH leading to the formation of capillary bridge. Zornoza-Indart and Lopez-Arce (2016) [18] used colloidal silica nanoparticles in consolidation of historical heritage stones by means of agglomeration of spherical silica nanoparticles. However, electrical conductivity measurements have not been conducted in relation to adsorbed water on silica surfaces.

Therefore, in this study, both electrical conductivity and water adsorption amounts have been measured under varying RH conditions on silica. Since only very small amounts of water are adsorbed on flat silica surfaces such as quartz with small surface area, silica nanoparticles are used in this study having large surface area to enable meaningful measurements of both electrical conductivity and adsorbed water. For precise measurements of small amounts of adsorbed water, quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) method [19] was employed here.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Silica nanoparticles

A commercially available colloidal silica nanoparticle suspension (Ludox LS, Sigma-Aldrich), which has been studied by Hamamoto et al. (2015) [20], was employed here. The original suspension has 30 wt% of silica parti-
incubator at room temperature with silica gel. The silica suspension was placed on a QCM sensor and dried in the incubator. The dried suspension was dropped on an electrode of the electrical impedance meter to form a dispersing agent.

According to the manufacturer report, SO$_2$ is a dispersing agent for silica nanoparticles. The particle radius ($r$) of silica nanoparticles is given by $r = 6$ nm, and the particle density ($\rho = 2.2 \times 10^3$ kg m$^{-3}$) gives $SSA_{si} = 227$ m$^2$g$^{-1}$. According to the manufacturer report, SO$_2$ is included in the original silica suspension as Na$_2$SO$_4$ as a dispersing agent.

100 $\mu$L of the original silica suspension (30 wt%) was dropped on an electrode of the electrical impedance meter and dried in an incubator at room temperature with silica gel.

For QCM measurements, the silica suspension was diluted to 0.44 wt% and 2.5 $\mu$L of the diluted silica suspension was placed on a QCM sensor and dried in the incubator at room temperature with silica gel.

**B. RH control system**

In order to control relative humidity (RH) of silica nanoparticles, the following saturated salts (chemical reagents, Wako Chemical Industries and Kanto Chemical) were placed in an incubator (Fig. 1): NaOH, CH$_3$COOK, MgCl$_2$, K$_2$CO$_3$, NaBr, NaCl, KCl, K$_2$SO$_4$ [21]. From about 14 g to 52 g of these materials are placed in plastic containers. For hygroscopic compounds, they were simply put in plastic containers, while some pure water was added to non-hygroscopic ones. RH and temperature in the incubator were monitored every second by a humidity and temperature sensor (SHT21, Sensirion) (Fig. 1).

**C. QCM measurement**

A QCM was used in this study for measuring mass of water adsorbed on silica nanoparticles by changes in resonant frequency of quartz using Sauerbrey’s equation [22]:

$$\Delta F = - \frac{2F_0^2}{\rho_q \rho_q} \frac{\Delta m}{\Delta c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta F$ is the change in resonant frequency (Hz), $F_0$ is the starting resonant frequency (Hz) at around 8.97 MHz, $\rho_q$ is the density of quartz ($2.65 \times 10^3$ kg m$^{-3}$ [23]), $\mu_q$ is the shear modulus of quartz ($2.95 \times 10^{10}$ kg m$^{-1}$s$^{-2}$ [23]), $\Delta m$ is the mass change (kg), and $\Delta c$ is the area of the electrode ($1.96 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$).

First a standard Au coated (300 nm thickness) quartz crystal was tested for QCM measurements. However, this Au-QCM sensor with deposited silica nanoparticles did not oscillate. Therefore, a QCM sensor with SiO$_2$ film (50 nm) on the Au electrode [QA-A9M-SiO2-S(M)(SEP), Seiko EG&G: $\phi 5$ mm electrode on 7.9 mm square AT cut quartz] was employed in this study. This is considered to be due to physicochemical affinities of silica nanoparticles on SiO$_2$ layer on the Au electrode of QCM.

2.5 $\mu$L of the silica suspension (0.44 wt%) was placed on the above QCM sensor and dried in the incubator by silica gel. Weights of adsorbed water on silica particles were measured by a QCM instrument (QCM-30L, Seiko EG&G) at various RH values controlled by the saturated salts (Fig. 1).

**D. Electrical conductivity measurement**

Electrical conductivity of adsorbed water on silica surfaces was measured by an impedance meter [Frequency Response Analyzer PSM1735 with Impedance Analysis Interface (IAI), Newtons4th LTD (N4L)] and a sample fixture (Dielectric Test Fixture 16451B, Agilent) for frequencies from 10 Hz to 1 MHz at room conditions ($P \sim 0.1$ MPa, $T \sim 25^\circ$C) (Fig. 1). 100 $\mu$L of the original silica suspension (30 wt%) was dropped on a lower electrode of the sample fixture. The upper electrode was then pressed on the silica deposited lower electrode by a micrometer head and dried in the incubator by silica gel. Electrical conductivity values of silica nanoparticles sandwiched between the two electrodes were measured at various RH in the incubator (Fig. 1).

Impedance magnitudes $|Z|$ ($\Omega$) and phase angles $\phi$ ($^\circ$) are obtained by the electrical measurement. The complex impedance $Z$ ($\Omega$) is given by:

$$Z = |Z| e^{i\phi}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The complex admittance $Y$ (S) is the inverse of the...
The complex conductivity $\sigma^*$ ($S \cdot m^{-1}$) can be calculated from $Y$:

$$\sigma^* = \sigma' + i\sigma'' = Y \frac{l}{A},$$

where $l$ is the length between the electrodes and $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the sample. In this study, $l = 0.5$ mm by the micrometer displacement and $A = 1.96 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$ for the circular electrode with 2.5 mm radius.

III. RESULTS

A. Changes in weights by QCM

Weight changes of the adsorbed water on the silica nanoparticles were obtained from resonant frequency shifts by Sauerbrey’s equation (Eq. 1) (Table I). Figure 2 shows the weight changes ($\Delta m$) against RH values. $\Delta m$ values of the sample-free QCM sensor (open diamond in Fig. 2) are less than 17 ng. This background water adsorption on the QCM sensor is less than 8% of the weight changes on silica particles. Therefore, $\Delta m$ values of the QCM sensor with silica particles (filled circle) are considered to be mainly by water adsorption to the silica particles. With increasing RH, about 70 ng to 260 ng of water was adsorbed on the silica nanoparticles.

B. Electrical conductivity of silica particles

Complex conductivity values of silica particles with various RH are plotted against frequency from 10 Hz to 1 MHz (Fig. 3). The data with increasing RH are shown in different colors from red (RH = 17.4%) to blue (RH = 94.6%). The real part of conductivity ($\sigma'$) increases with increasing RH and becomes relatively constant for frequencies lower than 1 kHz. The imaginary part of conductivity ($\sigma''$) also increases with increasing RH at lower frequencies. It increases with frequency. Since the imaginary part of conductivity ($\sigma''$) reflects electrical capacitance of silica nanoparticles, we will use the real part of conductivity ($\sigma'$) at 1 kHz for further analyses as representative values showing systematic changes with RH. It should be noted that these values are mostly

| RH (%) | $\Delta F$ (Hz) | $\Delta m$ (ng) | $\sigma'$ ($S \cdot m^{-1}$) at 1 kHz |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 17.4   | 0               | 0               | $3.9 \times 10^{-6}$                |
| 30.8   | $-67$           | 72              | N/A                                 |
| 39.5   | $-89$           | 96              | $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$                |
| 48.7   | $-108$          | 116             | $7.0 \times 10^{-5}$                |
| 60.0   | N/A             | N/A             | $2.8 \times 10^{-4}$                |
| 77.8   | N/A             | N/A             | $3.2 \times 10^{-3}$                |
| 84.7   | $-209$          | 224             | $5.3 \times 10^{-3}$                |
| 94.6   | $-241$          | 259             | $7.5 \times 10^{-3}$                |
FIG. 5. Surface conductivity of silica nanoparticles at 1 kHz (red filled circle with SSA = 215 m²g⁻¹) and a silica glass (Vycor glass) from Soffer and Folman, 1966 (blue filled circle) are plotted against their water film thicknesses. The data for the silica glass can be fitted by a power law (Eq. 7). The silica nanoparticles data are fitted to the power law relation (red open circle) yielding smaller SSA value (102 m²g⁻¹) and larger water film thickness.

The obtained surface conductivity $\Sigma_s$ (S) of silica nanoparticles are plotted against the water film thickness calculated from Eq. (5) by using the reported specific surface area ($SSA_{si} = 215$ m²g⁻¹) under the same RH (red filled circle in Fig. 5).

Soffer and Folman (1966) [11] reported the d.c. surface conductivity for adsorbed water vapor on a silica glass (Vycor glass). The sample was 20 mm × 10 mm and 1.25 mm in thickness. Specific surface area is 175 m²g⁻¹, as measured by the Ar adsorption Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) method. Water film thickness on the silica glass was calculated here from adsorbed volume of water vapor converted to mass using by the ideal gas law and specific surface area. Obtained surface conductivity and water film thickness for the silica glass are plotted in Fig. 5 (blue filled circle). These data can be empirically fitted by the following power law (blue line):

$$\Sigma_s = 1.8 \times 10^{-4} h^{0.3}. \tag{7}$$

Water film thicknesses on the silica glass and silica nanoparticles are in the similar value ranges. However, the surface conductivity values for silica nanoparticles are larger than those for the silica glass, showing a deviation from the linear trend [Eq. (7)] in Fig. 5.

The larger surface conductivity of silica nanoparticles than the silica glass in Soffer and Folman (1966) can be due to nano-pore structure formation, capillary condensation of water and condensation of dissolved ions such as sodium and sulfate in such pore structure.

By assuming that the surface conductivity of silica nanoparticles is on the empirical power law relation [Eq. (7)] for the silica glass, corresponding specific surface area for silica nanoparticles can be obtained to be $SSA = 102$ m²g⁻¹ by the least squares fitting of the data (red open circles in Fig. 5). The real specific surface area of deposited silica particles on the QCM sensor can be thus smaller than the reported specific surface area for separated nanoparticles ($SSA_{si} = 215$ m²g⁻¹) because of aggregation. The water film thickness for silica nanoparticles becomes larger by this fitting ranging from 0.08 nm to 0.23 nm (red open circles in Fig. 5). Since the water film thickness for silica nanoparticles with the reported $SSA_{si}$ was considered to be too small for adsorbed layers of water molecules, the above new evaluation with smaller SSA might be more realistic.

In order to examine aggregation of samples, Scanning Electron Microscopy equipped with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometer (SEM-EDS) and Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy (LSCM) observation were performed for...
silica nanoparticles deposited on the QCM sensor. SEM observation on silica nanoparticles at magnifications from 80, 350, and 3000 indicate rod-like assembly of silica particles (initial diameter of 12 nm) [Fig. 6(a–c)]. EDS analyses on these particles clearly demonstrated that they are corresponding to silica composed of Si and O [Fig. 6(d, e)].

LSCM observation of the same sample with ×10 objective lens [Fig. 7(a)] show similar aggregated structures of silica nanoparticles to SEM results [Fig. 6(a)]. LSCM observation with ×100 objective lens [Fig. 7(b)] show also the rod-like assembly as in Fig. 6(c). Digital image analyses of these rods indicate that they have widths of several micrometers and heights of several hundred nanometers [Fig. 7(c)].

Although real specific surface area of these rod-like structures with enough spatial resolution could not be evaluated, aggregation of silica nanoparticles (initial diameter of 12 nm) was confirmed both by SEM-EDS and LSCM. This can explain the smaller specific surface area \(\text{SSA}_{\text{si}} = 215 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}\) estimated from the surface conductivity measurements than that of original separated particles \(\text{SSA}_{\text{si}} = 215 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}\) (Fig. 5).

V. CONCLUSIONS

Electrical conductivity values of silica nanoparticles with adsorbed water were measured under controlled relative humidity (RH). The representative electrical conductivity \(\sigma'\) values at 1 kHz increased with increasing RH. Amounts of water adsorbed on silica nanoparticles were measured by quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). The absorbed water mass \(\Delta m\) values by QCM increased with increasing RH.

The water film thickness \(h\) at various RH was first evaluated by using the reported specific surface area of silica nanoparticles \(\text{SSA}_{\text{si}} = 215 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}\). They are calculated to be less than 0.11 nm. The water film thicknesses on a silica glass are evaluated by using the literature data measuring surface conductivities at various RH. These data show an empirical power law (a linear trend) between the surface conductivity and the water film thickness \(h\) in a logarithmic plot. By assuming that the surface conductivity of silica nanoparticles is on the same empirical power law relation for the silica glass, corresponding specific surface area for silica nanoparticles can be obtained to be \(\text{SSA} = 102 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}\). The real specific surface area of deposited silica particles on the QCM sensor can be thus smaller than the reported specific surface area for separated nanoparticles \(\text{SSA}_{\text{si}} = 215 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}\) because of aggregation. In fact, SEM-EDS and LSCM observations of the sample on the QCM sensor indicated aggregated rod-like structures of silica nanoparticles.

The water film thickness for silica nanoparticles becomes larger by this fitting ranging from 0.08 nm to 0.23 nm, which are more realistic for adsorbed water molecular layers. The electrical conduction of deposited silica particles under various RH conditions can be understood by surface conduction in thin water films adsorbed on the nanoparticles.
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