Identification of the Most Suitable Reference Gene for Gene Expression Studies With Development and Abiotic Stress Response in Bromus Sterilis
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Abstract

*Bromus sterilis* is an annual weedy grass, causing high yield losses in winter cereals. Frequent use of herbicides had led to the evolution of herbicide-resistance in this species. Mechanisms underlying herbicide resistance in *B. sterilis* must be uncovered because this problem is becoming a global threat. qRT-PCR and the next-generation sequencing technologies can contribute to elucidation of the resistance mechanisms. Although qRT-PCR can calculate precise fold changes, its preciseness depends on the expression of reference genes. Regardless of stable expression in any given condition, no gene can act as a universal reference gene. Hence, it is necessary to identify the suitable reference gene for each species. To our knowledge, there are no reports on the suitable reference gene in any brome species so far. Thus, in this paper, the stability of eight genes were evaluated using qRT-PCR experiments followed by expression stability ranking via five most commonly used softwares for reference gene selection. Our findings suggest using a combination of 18S rRNA and ACCase to normalise the qRT-PCR data in *B. sterilis*. Besides, reference genes are also recommended for different experimental conditions. The present study outcomes will facilitate future molecular work in *B. sterilis* and other related grass species.

Introduction

One of the major plant protection problems encountered by the farmers across the globe is regarding the weeds. Herbicides have been widely used to manage weeds and magnify the main crop's yield quality and quantity. Despite their success in managing weeds, constant use of similar herbicides has evolved resistance in many weedy species Owing to its rapid population dynamics and lack of efficient herbicides, barren brome (*Bromus sterilis L.*) has grown into a troublesome weed in winter cereals in many south and north America countries, middle and west Europe1–3. Besides the most frequent ALS and ACCase resistance in Europe (http://www.weedscience.org/Home.aspx), United Kingdom also reported *B. sterilis* resistance against glyphosate in 20194. These results indicate the prerequisite for monitoring more barren brome populations.

Gene expression studies have contributed immensely in elucidating the target gene amplification and expression and the over-expression of detoxifying enzyme genes related to herbicide resistance and other abiotic stresses5,6. Moreover, with the development of next-generation sequencing technologies, there is a need to validate the expression of a greater number of genes involved in abiotic stresses7,8. qRT-PCR is widely used for such comparative gene expression studies. The reliability of the qRT-PCR depends on the selection of a stable reference gene.

Compared to the traditional polymerase chain reaction (PCR), quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) has many advantages like high specificity, rapidity and sensitivity, making it an essential part of comparative expression studies9,10. Previously, the relative quantification of gene expression was done either by Northern blot or by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The most important limitation of these methods is their inability to detect extremely low expression, resulting in replacing the pre-existing methods by microarrays and qRT-PCR9,11. Even though these modern techniques are highly sensitive and can calculate precise fold changes, their preciseness is highly dependent on the expression of a reference gene. Ideally, a reference gene refers to constitutive genes required to maintain basic cellular functions of an organism. These genes are known to have stable gene expression in all cells under both normal and
pathophysiological conditions\textsuperscript{12–14}. The steps of qRT-PCR are reclined to variations; therefore, to overcome these variations, target gene transcription levels must be normalised to reference genes transcription levels. Any error in selecting a suitable reference gene may lead to misleading results. Hence, selecting a reliable reference gene is necessary for molecular biology-oriented studies\textsuperscript{9,14–17}. The most commonly used references genes for normalisation of plant gene expression studies are \textit{ubiquitin} (\textit{UBQ}), \textit{β-tubulin} (\textit{β-TUB}), ribosomal RNA genes (\textit{18S} rRNA and \textit{25S} rRNA), \textit{glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase} (\textit{GAPDH}), \textit{eukaryotic elongation factor} (\textit{eEF}), \textit{eukaryotic initiation factor 1} (\textit{EIF1}), \textit{actin} (\textit{ACT}), \textit{acetyl-CoA carboxylase} (\textit{ACCase}) etc\textsuperscript{9,18}. Although these genes are known to have a stable expression in any given condition, several studies documented variability in their expression level between species of plants or different stress conditions or developmental stages\textsuperscript{19–21}. As no gene can act as a universal reference, it is necessary to systematically select and identify the suitable reference gene for each species\textsuperscript{22,23}.

There are no reports of a suitable reference gene in \textit{B. sterilis} or any other brome species. Our study aims to identify a suitable reference gene for gene expression studies in \textit{B. sterilis} (or barren brome). Increasing the number of treatments might lead to more variations in results, which decreases the chance of identifying a suitable reference gene\textsuperscript{9}. In this study, we had selected eight common candidate reference genes (\textit{UBQ}, \textit{ACT}, \textit{GAPDH}, \textit{18S} rRNA, \textit{25S} rRNA, \textit{ACCase}, \textit{β-TUB} and \textit{eEF}) identified in \textit{B. sterilis} and evaluated the stability of their gene expression in three developmental stages (two-leaves, three-leaves and four-leaves), two different plant organs (shoots and leaves) and one abiotic stress (drought stress). The most suitable candidate was selected based on the ranking provided by different widely used statistical software for reference gene analysis (comparative ΔCt, BestKeeper, NormFinder, geNorm and RefFinder). Additionally, the most suitable reference gene was used to validate a herbicide-stress experiment. Our study provides a basis for identifying the suitable reference gene for future gene expression studies in \textit{B. sterilis} and will aid in impending studies on the molecular basis underlying the herbicide resistance in barren brome.

\section*{Results}

\subsection*{Primer efficiency and candidate genes expression}

1.2\% agarose gel electrophoresis was used to check the integrity of the RNA. The quantity and quality of RNA were evaluated by nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific™, US). The A260/A280 values ranged from 1.90 to 2.05. These samples were further used to synthesise cDNA, which was used for the qRT-PCR experiments. In all the qRT-PCR amplification, a single peak was obtained (supplementary Fig. 1). The selected primers for this study showed efficiency values between 92.32\% and 106.79\%, which falls under acceptable range. The correlation coefficient values ranged from 0.980 to 0.999 (Table 1). The expression profile of the eight candidate genes is shown in the Fig. 1. \textit{18S} rRNA showed the lowest cycle threshold value (Ct), indicating high expression of the gene, whereas \textit{ACT} showed the highest Ct value, indicating low expression.
Table 1
Primer information of the eight candidate reference genes

| Gene                  | Sequence                      | Annealing temperature | Amplicon length | Primer efficiency | R² value |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|
| Ubiquitin_forward primer | GCACAAGCACAAGAAGGTGA         | 60°C                  | 210 bp          | 99.46%           | 0.997    |
| Ubiquitin_reverse primer | AGTGGTTTGCCATGAAGGTC         |                       |                 |                  |          |
| Actin_forward primer   | ATGCAGATTCTCGTTGGAC          |                       | 172bp           | 102.34%          | 0.980    |
| Actin_reverse primer   | GATGTCTCCAGCTCTGCTC          |                       |                 |                  |          |
| GAPDH_forward primer   | AGCGACATCAAGCTCAAGAA         | 58°C                  | 241bp           | 92.44%           | 0.994    |
| GAPDH_reverse primer   | CGTTGACACAAACCACAAAC         |                       |                 |                  |          |
| 18S rRNA_forward primer | AAACGGCTACCACATCCAAG     |                       | 154bp           | 92.42%           | 0.999    |
| 18S rRNA_reverse primer | CCTCAATGGATCCTCGTTA         |                       |                 |                  |          |
| 25S rRNA_forward primer | CCCAGTGCTCTGAATGCTAA        |                       | 211bp           | 92.32%           | 0.999    |
| 25S rRNA_reverse primer | GTCTTCTTTCCTCCGCTGATT       |                       |                 |                  |          |
| ACCase_forward primer  | GCTGCTATTG GCCAGTGCTTA      | 57°C                  | 171bp           | 95.77%           | 0.989    |
| ACCase_reverse primer  | AAGCTTGTTCAGGCGAGAAA        |                       |                 |                  |          |
| β-Tubulin_forward primer | AGTACCCTGCCCTCACAGTC   |                       | 150bp           | 106.79%          | 0.996    |
| β-Tubulin_reverse primer | TCTGCTCGTAACCTCCTTT     |                       |                 |                  |          |
| eukaryotic elongation factor_forward primer | CCTGCACGTGTCATTGATGCT |                       | 185bp           | 94.51%           | 0.988    |
| eukaryotic elongation factor_reverse primer | CTGCCTGACACCAAGAGTGA   |                       |                 |                  |          |

Gene Expression Stability Analysis
Developmental stages-related experiments

18S rRNA was identified as the stable reference gene by comparative ΔCt and RefFinder. BestKeeper software identified ACCase as the most stable reference gene (Table 2). NormFinder analysis revealed 18S rRNA and
eEF as the most stable genes, whereas geNorm analysis ranked 18S rRNA and ACCase as the best reference gene for developmental stages-related experiments in B. sterilis (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Except, comparative ΔCt, all the used software identified GAPDH as the least stable gene. According to the comparative ΔCt analysis, eEF is the least stable gene.
Table 2

Expression stability of candidate genes analysed by ΔCt, BestKeeper, NormFinder and RefFinder. RefFinder compares the results evaluated by four different programs (comparative ΔCt method, geNorm, BestKeeper and NormFinder) and based on the geomean of ranking values, provides a comprehensive ranking. St. dev.: standard deviation; St. dev [+/- CP]: standard deviation of crossing point (CP) values, eEF: eukaryotic elongation factor, ACCase: Acetyl-CoA carboxylase.

### Life stages (two-leaves stage, three-leaves stage and four-leaves stage)

| Rank | Comparative ΔCt | BestKeeper | NormFinder | RefFinder |
|------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|      | Genes          | Average of STDEV | Genes | Std dev [+/- CP] | Gene name | Stability value | Genes | Geomean of ranking values |
| 1    | 18S rRNA       | 0.7        | ACCase     | 0.19      | 18S rRNA | 0.132         | 18S rRNA | 1.73               |
| 2    | Actin          | 0.79       | eEF        | 0.47      | ACCase   | 0.134         | 25S rRNA | 2.71               |
| 3    | 25S rRNA       | 0.8        | 18S rRNA   | 0.65      | Actin    | 0.154         | Actin   | 2.99               |
| 4    | β-tubulin      | 0.81       | Ubiquitin  | 0.65      | eEF      | 0.166         | β-tubulin | 3.25               |
| 5    | ACCase         | 0.89       | Actin      | 0.86      | β-tubulin| 0.215         | ACCase  | 3.64               |
| 6    | GAPDH          | 0.94       | 25S rRNA   | 0.88      | 25S rRNA | 0.224         | Ubiquitin | 5.63               |
| 7    | Ubiquitin      | 0.94       | β-tubulin  | 0.97      | Ubiquitin| 0.248         | eEF     | 5.66               |
| 8    | eEF            | 1.18       | GAPDH      | 0.99      | GAPDH    | 0.275         | GAPDH   | 6.4                |

Best combination of two genes: 18S rRNA & eEF

### Plant organs (stem and leaf)

| Rank | Comparative ΔCt | BestKeeper | NormFinder | RefFinder |
|------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|      | Genes          | Average of STDEV | Genes | Std dev [+/- CP] | Gene name | Stability value | Genes | Geomean of ranking values |
| 1    | 18S rRNA       | 1.39       | 18S rRNA   | 0.76      | 18S rRNA | 0.125         | 18S rRNA | 1                   |
| 2    | 25S rRNA       | 1.48       | ACCase     | 0.83      | ACCase   | 0.146         | 25S rRNA | 1.86               |
| 3    | Actin          | 1.67       | 25S rRNA   | 0.89      | β-tubulin| 0.204         | Actin   | 3.46               |
| 4    | Ubiquitin      | 1.72       | Actin      | 1.1       | Ubiquitin| 0.206         | ACCase  | 3.76               |
| Rank | Genes | Comparative ΔCt | BestKeeper | NormFinder | RefFinder |
|------|-------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|      | Genes | Average of STDEV | Genes     | Genes      | Genes     | Genes     | Geomean of ranking values |
| 1    | 18S rRNA | 0.93 | ACCase | 0.26 | eEF | 0.191 | 18S rRNA | 1.19 |
| 2    | β-tubulin | 1 | 18S rRNA | 0.54 | β-tubulin | 0.295 | β-tubulin | 2 |
| 3    | 25S rRNA | 1.05 | 25S rRNA | 0.73 | 18S rRNA | 0.304 | 25S rRNA | 3 |
| 4    | ACCase | 1.21 | β-tubulin | 0.75 | ACCase | 0.326 | ACCase | 3.25 |
| 5    | Actin | 1.24 | Actin | 0.77 | GAPDH | 0.349 | Actin | 5 |
| 6    | GAPDH | 1.27 | GAPDH | 1.02 | 25S rRNA | 0.406 | GAPDH | 5.42 |
| 7    | Ubiquitin | 1.35 | Ubiquitin | 1.17 | Actin | 0.516 | Ubiquitin | 6.74 |
| 8    | eEF | 2.3 | eEF | 1.48 | Ubiquitin | 0.581 | eEF | 8 |

Best combination of two genes: GAPDH & 18S rRNA

0.165

All samples (plant life stages, plant organs and drought stress)

| Rank | Genes | Comparative ΔCt | BestKeeper | NormFinder | RefFinder |
|------|-------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|      | Genes | Average of St. dev. | Genes     | Genes      | Genes     | Genes     | Geomean of ranking values |
|      | Genes | St. dev. [+]/- CP | Gene name  | Stability value | Genes     | Geomean of ranking values |

| Rank | Genes | Comparative ΔCt | BestKeeper | NormFinder | RefFinder |
|------|-------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| 5    | ACCase | 1.79 | GAPDH | 1.38 | 25S rRNA | 0.214 | Ubiquitin | 4.36 |
| 6    | GAPDH | 2.02 | Ubiquitin | 1.51 | Actin | 0.214 | GAPDH | 5.73 |
| 7    | eEF | 2.37 | eEF | 1.81 | eEF | 0.229 | eEF | 7 |
| 8    | β-tubulin | 2.89 | β-tubulin | 3.01 | GAPDH | 0.323 | β-tubulin | 8 |

Best combination of two genes: ACCase & eEF

0.113
|   | 18S rRNA | 18S rRNA | 18S rRNA | 18S rRNA | 18S rRNA |   |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| 1 | 1.39    | 0.72    | 0.175   | 1       |         |   |
| 2 | 1.48    | 0.73    | 0.198   | 25S rRNA| 1.86    | 1.39|
| 3 | Actin   | 0.8     | 0.237   | Actin   | 3.22    | 0.72|
| 4 | ACCase  | 1.06    | 0.249   | ACCase  | 3.36    | 1.75|
| 5 | Ubiquitin| 1.33    | 0.257   | Ubiquitin| 5.48    | 1.97|
| 6 | GAPDH   | 1.68    | 0.303   | GAPDH   | 5.48    | 1.97|
| 7 | eEF     | 1.77    | 0.323   | eEF     | 7       | 2.39|
| 8 | β-tubulin| 2.75    | 0.369   | β-tubulin| 8       | 2.78|

Best combination of two genes: Ubiquitin & ACCase

### Plant organs related studies

In gene expression studies with the plant organs, 18S rRNA has been ranked as the most stable gene by comparative ΔCt, BestKeeper and RefFinder (table 2). NormFinder analysis identified ACCase and eEF as the most stable genes (table 2). Based on the geNorm analysis, 18S rRNA and ACCase might be the best reference gene for plant organs-related studies in B. sterilis (fig 2). β-TUB was identified as the least stable gene by comparative ΔCt, BestKeeper and RefFinder, whereas NormFinder and geNorm analysis, identified GAPDH as the least stable gene.

### Under drought stress

For studies under drought stress, comparative ΔCt and RefFinder identified 18S rRNA as the most suitable reference gene (table 2). BestKeeper software identified ACCase as the most stable reference gene (table 2). NormFinder analysis revealed GAPDH and 18S rRNA as the most stable genes, whereas geNorm analysis ranked 18S rRNA and β-TUB as the best reference gene (table 2 and fig 2). eEF was identified as the least stable gene by ΔCt, BestKeeper and RefFinder, whereas NormFinder and geNorm analysis, identified UBQ as the least stable gene for studies under drought stress on B. sterilis.

### Combined conditions

When all the conditions were taken together, 18SrRNA was identified as the most stable reference gene, irrespective of the method (table 2 and fig 2). NormFinder analysis results for combined conditions revealed that UBQ and ACCase can be considered the best reference gene and the geNorm algorithm ranked 18SrRNA and ACCase, as the best reference gene (table 2 and fig 2). β-TUB was identified as the least stable gene by...
comparative ΔCt, BestKeeper and RefFinder, whereas NormFinder and geNorm analysis, identified GAPDH as the least stable gene.

Pairwise variation analysis

The optimal number of the reference genes required for the normalisation were determined from the pairwise variation results, based on the average expression stability (M) values (cutoff: M<1.5).

The optimal number of the reference genes required for the normalisation for experiments related to the developmental stages and plant organs are one and two, respectively. Under drought stress, four candidate genes were considered to be suitable for normalisation. When all the conditions were considered together, pairwise variation result suggested that two reference genes will be required for the normalisation (fig 3).

Relative expression of the acetolactate synthase (ALS) gene under herbicide stress

Based on the analysis of the commonly used software for reference gene analysis, 18S rRNA, a combination of 18S rRNA & ACCase were identified as the most suitable candidate genes for gene expression studies in Bromus sterilis whereas β-TUB as the least stable gene. To validate the reliability of the candidate genes, relative expression of the acetolactate synthase under herbicide stress was evaluated using the best and the least stable candidate genes. When normalised with 18S rRNA and combination of 18S rRNA & ACCase, B. sterilis biotype showed two-fold ALS gene overexpression after herbicide treatment compared to the control, whereas with β-TUB, the result is almost eight times (fig 4).

Discussion

Recent reports from the United Kingdom and the Czech Republic on B. sterilis, developing resistant against commonly used herbicides, indicate that if remained uncontrolled these species might become a concern worldwide\textsuperscript{24,25}. Herbicide resistance mechanisms can be target-site based (TSR) and/or non-target site-based (NTSR). Target-site based mechanisms involve nucleotide polymorphisms\textsuperscript{26}, gene amplification\textsuperscript{6} and gene over-expression\textsuperscript{27}, whereas increased detoxification by enhanced metabolism\textsuperscript{28,29} and/or reduced herbicide uptake and translocation\textsuperscript{28} fall under non-target site-based herbicide resistance. Irrespective of the mechanism/s of resistance, qRT-PCR and the next-generation sequencing technologies have been used recently as a common technique to investigate the resistance mechanism in different weed species\textsuperscript{5}. qRT-PCR experiments require an appropriate reference gene to normalise the target transcript levels. Any misapprehension in selecting a stable reference gene might lead to ambiguous results. Hence, the selection of a reliable reference gene is obligatory. Even though suitable candidate genes under different experimental condition were identified in many weedy species, like Alopecurus sp.\textsuperscript{20}, Eleusine sp.\textsuperscript{8}, Avena sp.\textsuperscript{30,31,32}, Descurainia sp.\textsuperscript{33} etc., but till date, there are no reports on the systematic selection of stable reference genes under any conditions for barren brome or any other related brome species.

This study used qRT-PCR to evaluate the expression stability of eight candidate reference genes in barren brome under different experimental conditions. The most stable reference genes for each experimental condition were identified exclusively. geNorm software identified the ideal pair of genes with the least
variation in their expression ratios for each experimental condition. For studies related to life stages, geNorm identified that a single gene would be suitable for normalising the qRT-PCR based gene expression values. 18S rRNA was chosen as the best reference gene studies with life stages of *B. sterilis*. For studies related to plant organs and under drought stress, pairwise variation analysis recommended using two and four genes, respectively. 18S rRNA and ACCase were chosen as the most suitable candidates for plant organs-related studies whereas, for studies under drought stress, we recommend using 18S rRNA, β-TUB, 25S rRNA and ACCase. When all the conditions were considered together, 18S rRNA and ACCase were identified as the most suitable gene as β-TUB as the least stable gene. Validation under herbicide-stress indicated both 18S rRNA and the combination of 18S rRNA and ACCase could be suitable. 18S rRNA, a component of the 40S ribosomal small subunit in eukaryotes, has been recognised to have a steady expression in grasses under different stresses in earlier studies. 18S rRNA is a primary constituent of all eukaryotic cells. Hence, 18S rRNA is known to have extremely high expression in the most cell types, so, it can be challenging to use it as an endogenous normaliser gene. Moreover, synchronized use of multiple reference genes will also decrease the chance of biased normalisations. Finally, from our study results, 18S rRNA and ACCase appeared to be the most suitable reference genes and β-TUB as the least stable gene to normalise the qRT-PCR data in *B. sterilis*.

Rapid advances in the use of molecular biology techniques in plant biotechnology had increased the demand for identification of reference genes, which will be more stable compared to the traditional reference genes. The reference genes identified and validated in our study will assist the studies related to the elucidation of the abiotic stress and their regulatory mechanisms. Comparative RNA-seq transcriptome analysis between the control and experimental plants can be regarded as the most straightforward way to identify the genes involved in abiotic stresses like herbicide stress. Recent studies on herbicide resistance mechanisms of *B. sterilis* suggest that both TSR and NTSR can be linked with the herbicide resistance in these species. Nevertheless, detailed follow-up studies are essential to delineate further the regulatory mechanisms underlying the observed herbicide resistance mechanism. However, among the herbicide resistance mechanisms, NTSR mechanisms are considered as more complex to elucidate than the TSRs. Comparative RNA-seq studies between the herbicide-resistant and susceptible plants will facilitate unravelling plausible resistance mechanisms in barren brome. Nevertheless, the RNA-seq data should be further cross-checked by qPCR, whose reliability depends on selecting the reference genes. This is the first study to evaluate and validate experiment-condition specific reference genes in brome species to the best of our knowledge. We had identified and validated internal reference gene suitable for normalising qRT-PCR experiments. Thus, our reference genes can be used during any RNA-seq based transcriptome or gene expression studies on *B. sterilis*. Our findings may provide a basis for future molecular work on *B. sterilis* and can also be used during gene expression studies in other related species, after preliminary validation.

**Methods**

**Plant materials**

The population of *B. sterilis*, used for this study was collected from winter wheat fields in the Ústecký region of the Czech Republic (50.2612525N, 13.4818572E). 25 cm² pots (filled with chernozem soil, clay content 46% (loamy soil), soil pH (KCl) 7.5, sorption capacity of soil: 209 mmol (+), 87 mg kg⁻¹ P, 203 mg kg⁻¹ K, 197
mg kg\(^{-1}\) Mg, 8073 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Ca), were used to plant the seeds. The pots were kept in an open-air vegetation hall (with roof-top). Plant samples from three developmental stages (2-leaves stage, 3-leaves stage and 4-leaves stage), two different plant organs (shoots and leaves) and one abiotic stress (drought stress) were used for this study. For, drought stress, watering was interrupted when the plants reach three- to four-leaves stage, till symptoms of wilting were observed. Wilted leaves samples were collected and stored at -80°C (until further use). For herbicide stress, the plants were treated with pyroxsulam (a group of triazolopyrimidine sulfonamide ALS-inhibiting herbicide) at two- to three-leaf stage with recommended dose (1.875 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)). Herbicide was sprayed by using a laboratory spray chamber equipped with a Lurmark 015F80 nozzle with spray volume of 250 L ha\(^{-1}\) and pressure 120 kPa. The leaves samples were collected before treatment and 24 hours after treatment and stored at -80°C for RNA extraction.

**RNA extraction, complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis and primer design**

RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used to extract RNA from the fresh tissues (±80 mg per sample). TURBO DNA-free™ (Invitrogen, US) Kit was used to remove gDNA contamination. RNA integrity was verified by running the samples on 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis. cDNA was synthesised by High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) from the quality-checked gDNA-free RNA template (1μg per reaction). Degenerate primers were designed for 8 common candidate reference genes (UBQ, ACT, GAPDH, 18S rRNA, 25S rRNA, ACCase, β-TUB and eEF) based on their homologous sequences in other plants species (table 1). The primers were designed using Primer-BLAST (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) and Primer3 software (https://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/). All the primers were tested by general PCR, performed using a C1000 thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), using cDNA template (10ng per reaction). The thermocycler was programmed at an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 5 s at 95°C, 10 s at 57 to 60°C (based on the annealing temperature of the primer pairs) and 30 s at 72°C along with a final extension step for 10 min at 72°C. The PCR amplified products were verified in the 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis (data not shown).

**qRT-PCR experiment and data analysis**

PowerUp™ SYBR™ Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems™, USA) was used to conduct qRT-PCR assay in StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems™, USA). The reaction mixture contained 5 μL of SYBR Green Master Mix, 1 μL of primer mix and 4 μL of cDNA (2.5 ng/μL). For primer efficiency (E) and correlation coefficient (R\(^2\)) calculation, qRT-PCR assay was performed with diluted series of cDNA samples. E = \{10\(^{(-1/slope)}\) – 1 * 100\%\} was used to calculate the values of E. The thermocycler was programmed at an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 57 to 60°C (based on the annealing temperature of the primer pairs). To obtain the melting curves, stepwise heating was performed from 60°C to 95°C. All qRT-PCR experiments were conducted with 5 biological replicates. Quantification cycle threshold (Ct) values obtained from the StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems™, USA) was exported and used for further calculations. Gene expression stabilities of the eight candidate genes in the *B. sterilis* were examined by geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper, according to Chen et. al., 2017\(^8\). Besides, comparative ΔΔCt\(^36\) and RefFinder\(^8\) were also used. The relative ALS gene expression, before and after herbicide treatment, was calculated using the 2\(^{ΔΔCt}\) method\(^37,38\).
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Figures

Figure 1

Expression levels of the eight candidate genes. Ct values obtained from three developmental stages (2nd, 3rd and 4th leaves), two different plant organs (shoots and leaves) and an abiotic stress (drought stress) were compared and plotted.
Figure 2

gNorm ranking of the candidate genes under different tested conditions. A. Developmental stages, B. plant organs, C. Drought stress, and D. All combined.
Figure 3

Pairwise variation to determine the optimal number of reference genes. The recommended cutoff value under which there is no need for another gene is 0.15.
Relative expression of the acetolactate synthase gene under herbicide stress. Relative gene expression between and 24 hours after treatment were compared and normalization was done with 18S rRNA, 18S rRNA|ACCase and β-tubulin.
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