Abstract: Demand has increased for analytical solutions to determine the velocities and dispersion coefficients that describe solute transport with spatial, temporal, or spatiotemporally varying conditions encountered in the field. However, few analytical solutions have considered spatially, temporally, or spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficients and velocities. The proposed solutions consider eight cases of dispersion coefficients and velocities: both spatially dependent, both spatiotemporally dependent, both temporally dependent, spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient with spatially dependent velocity, temporally dependent dispersion coefficient with constant velocity, both constant, spatially dependent dispersion coefficient with spatiotemporally dependent velocity, and constant dispersion coefficient with temporally dependent velocity. The spatial dependence is linear, while the temporal dependence may be exponential, asymptotic, or sinusoidal. An advection–dispersion equation with these variable coefficients was reduced to a non-homogeneous diffusion equation using the pertinent coordinate transform method. Then, solutions were obtained in an infinite medium using Green’s function. The proposed analytical solutions were validated against existing analytical solutions or against numerical solutions when analytical solutions were unavailable. In this study, we showed that the proposed analytical solutions could be applied for various spatiotemporal patterns of both velocity and the dispersion coefficient, shedding light on feasibility of the proposed solution under highly transient flow in heterogeneous porous medium.
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1. Introduction

The dispersion coefficient and pore velocity in an advection-dispersion process have generally been treated as constants in previous studies [1–5]. However, recharge rates vary regionally and temporally, and therefore groundwater velocity can change over time and space. Specifically, groundwater flow around a river can shift between river-to-land and land-to-river flow patterns due to changes in rainfall and evapotranspiration conditions. Moreover, groundwater levels near the sea fluctuate continuously due to tides. Groundwater flow can vary spatially due to pumping and injection for contaminant remediation [6,7]. Groundwater velocity can also vary with distance under steady recharge conditions [8,9], and similar spatially varying velocity can be observed in open channel flows where water velocity increases proportional to distance due to steady lateral inflow that is uniformly distributed over its whole length [10]. Additionally, velocity may change temporally in response to the rainy season or tidal effects [11,12]. In all of these situations, the groundwater velocity in a system may change temporally, spatially, or spatiotemporally. Therefore, the dispersion coefficient may also change temporally, spatially, or spatiotemporally.
In various previous studies, groundwater velocity has often been treated as a constant mean value \[13–19\]. In theoretical and field analyses, longitudinal dispersivity is scale-dependent due to the influence of natural heterogeneities that produce irregular flow patterns at the field scale \[20–22\]. On the other hand, temporally variable dispersion coefficients have also been considered by many researchers \[23–27\]. Field experiments and numerous theoretical studies have demonstrated that dispersion coefficients are functions of time or travel distance \[15,23\]. Following Rehfeldt and Gelhar \[21\], dispersivity in transient flow is a function of both the spatial and temporal variability through the interrelation of bulk hydraulic conductivity and the flow factor.

Accordingly, in order to account for situations characterized by various spatiotemporal patterns of both velocity and the dispersion coefficient, many researchers have provided analytical solutions under specific types of both velocity and dispersion coefficient they hypothesized. For example, Su et al. \[15\], Basha and El-Habel \[28\], and Aral and Liao \[25\] provided analytical solutions for a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient with a uniform flow field, and these analytical solutions were compared to the breakthrough curves observed in laboratory testing by Su et al. \[15\]. Selvadurai \[29\] provided an analytical solution with a constant diffusion coefficient and time-dependent velocity for the situation in which transient flow is induced at the inflow boundary. Unlike either constant velocity or dispersion coefficients as above, Huang et al. \[30\], Zamani and Bombardelli \[12\] and Suk \[31\] assumed both the dispersion coefficient and velocity to be spatially, temporally, and spatiotemporally dependent, respectively, for solving their problems, and provided analytical solutions. Furthermore, in order to analyze the effects of velocity fluctuations on dispersive mixing in a porous medium, Sternberg et al. \[32\] used a spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient. Besides, in order to explain solute transport behavior in much less permeable layers (such as a rock matrix or aquitard) of a stratified multi-layer system, Zhou et al. \[33\] applied the concept of a scale-dependent diffusion coefficient.

Demand for consideration of various velocities and dispersion coefficients measured under actual field conditions is increasing, but few analytical solutions are available that consider spatiotemporal variation in both velocity and the dispersion coefficient \[31,34–36\], although many analytical solutions exist \[9,15,25,28,29,32,37–50\]. Specifically, Kumar et al. \[34\] and Sanskrityayn et al. \[35\] employed a dispersion coefficient proportional to the square of the velocity, while Sanskrityayn et al. \[36\] and Suk \[31\] allowed for a linear relationship between the dispersion coefficient and advection velocity. However, situations in which the dispersion coefficient is linearly proportional to velocity are of great hydrological importance in the groundwater literature, and therefore a linear relationship between the dispersion coefficient and velocity has been widely applied in numerous previous studies. Suk \[31\] proposed an analytical solution with spatiotemporal variations in both velocity and the dispersion coefficient in a homogeneous aquifer based on a linear relationship between velocity and the dispersion coefficient, but did not consider velocity variability in a heterogeneous aquifer. In addition, the analytical solution obtained by Sanskrityayn et al. \[36\] with both temporal and spatial dependency was limited to only three cases: both dispersion coefficient and velocity spatially dependent, both spatiotemporally dependent, and both temporally dependent.

However, the present proposed analytical solution considers eight types of analytical solutions for various dispersion coefficients and velocities, as shown in Table 1: dispersion coefficient and velocity both spatially dependent, both spatiotemporally dependent, both temporally dependent, spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient with spatially dependent velocity, temporally dependent dispersion coefficient with constant velocity, both dispersion coefficient and velocity constant, spatially dependent dispersion coefficient with spatiotemporally dependent velocity, and constant dispersion coefficient with temporally dependent velocity. Accordingly, the analytical solutions proposed in this study are an extension of those in Sanskrityayn et al. \[36\]. Furthermore, the proposed analytical solutions can be degenerated to other existing analytical solutions, including those of Basha.
and El-Habel [28], Sanskrityayn et al. [36], Yeh [51], De Marsily [52], Selvadurai [29], and Singh et al. [11], as shown in Table 1 and described in Section 3.

Table 1. Application areas of the proposed analytical solution.

| Sect. | Dispersion Coefficient, D | Velocity, u | Source | Ref. |
|-------|---------------------------|-------------|--------|-----|
|       | $\text{a C}$ | $\text{b L}$ | $\text{c T}$ | $\text{d S}$ | $\text{a C}$ | $\text{b L}$ | $\text{c T}$ | $\text{d S}$ | $\text{§ I}$ | $\text{† C}$ |
| 2.3.1 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Not existed | [28] | 
| 2.3.2 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | [36] | [36] | [51] | [52] |
| 2.3.3 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Not existed | [29] | [29] | 
| 2.3.4 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | [36] | [36] | [36] | [11,36] |

$a$ C is Constant, $b$ L is Linear distance-dependent, $c$ T is Time-dependent, $d$ S is Spatiotemporally dependent, $§$ I is Instantaneous point injection, and $†$ C is Continuous point injection. Time-dependent indicates a non-dimensional temporal function, which could be expressed as an exponential, asymptotical, or sinusoidal function.

The objective of this study is to present analytical solutions for various types of dispersion coefficients and velocities to meet the requirement for consideration of various dispersion coefficients and velocities under field conditions. In this study, the spatial dependence is assumed to be linear and the temporal dependence to be exponential, asymptotical, or sinusoidal. To solve those problems, a general form of the advection dispersion equation (ADE) with spatially, temporally, or spatiotemporally dependent parameters was reduced into a diffusion equation with a constant diffusion coefficient using appropriate transformation equations. Then, Green’s function method (GFM) was employed to obtain analytical solutions because it can handle easily a non-homogeneous boundary value problem. GFM is based on the superposition principle and provides a solution to an inhomogeneous differential equation with a driving term that is delta function. In addition, GFM is a convenient method for solving more complicated non-homogeneous diffusion equation and Green’s function can easily be obtained through Fourier transform in infinite medium.

2. Mathematical Formulation and Analytical Solution

The general form of the linear ADE in a one-dimensional infinite medium [53] can be written as:

\[
\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D(x,t) \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} - u(x,t)c \right] + q(x,t), \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad t > 0
\]  
(1)

where $c(x,t)$, $D(x,t)$, $u(x,t)$, and $q(x,t)$ are the concentration, dispersion coefficient, pore velocity, and the sink/source term, respectively, at position $x$ and time $t$. Initially, the domain is assumed to be solute free. For the initial conditions,

\[
c(x,t = 0) = 0, \quad -\infty < x < \infty
\]  
(2)
We used the following expressions for the dispersion coefficient and pore velocity:

\[ D(x,t) = D_0 h_1(x,t) = D_0 (ax \phi_1 + \phi_2(t)) \]  
\[ u(x,t) = u_0 h_2(x,t) = u_0 (ax \phi + \phi(t)) \]

where \( D_0 \) and \( u_0 \) are the dispersion coefficient and pore velocity obtained at the local scale, respectively, and \( a \) is the spatial dependence parameter, such that \( ax \) is dimensionless. \( \phi_1(t) \), \( \phi_2(t) \), and \( g(t) \) are dimensionless time functions determined by the user. The dimensionless function \( \phi(t) \) in Equation (4) is determined from \( \phi_1(t) \), \( \phi_2(t) \), and \( g(t) \) through derivation of the pertinent transformation under some restrictions: the spatial dependence parameter \( a \) cannot exceed the range of 0.05 to 0.1 for porous medium [40], the local-scale dispersion coefficient \( D_0 \) is limited to values of less than 1, the local-scale velocity of \( u_0 \) is sufficiently greater than 0, and the temporal dependent function is limited to exponential, asymptotical, or sinusoidal.

Using the dispersion coefficient and velocity expressed in Equations (3) and (4), respectively, Equation (1) becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D_0 h_1(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2} - u_0 \left[ h_2(x,t) - \frac{D_0}{u_0} \frac{\partial h_1(x,t)}{\partial x} \right] \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} - au_0 g(t) + q(x,t)
\]

The first-order term is eliminated using the transformation

\[ c(x,t) = K(x,t) \exp \left[ -au_0 \int_0^t g(t')dt' \right] \]

Equation (5) becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial K}{\partial t} = D_0 h_1(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x^2} - u_0 \left[ h_2(x,t) - \frac{D_0}{u_0} \frac{\partial h_1(x,t)}{\partial x} \right] \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} + q(x,t) \exp \left( au_0 \int_0^t g(t')dt' \right)
\]

where \( t' \) denotes the dummy variable. The initial condition in Equation (2) becomes:

\[ K(x,t = 0) = 0; -\infty < x < \infty \]

The following two coordinate transformation equations were developed (for details, see Appendix A):

\[ X^2 = \frac{4}{a^2} \left| \frac{ax \phi_1(t) + \phi_2(t)}{\beta(t) \phi_1(t)} \right| \]

and

\[ T = \int_0^t \frac{\phi_1(t')}{\beta(t')} dt' \]

where

\[ \beta(t) = \exp \left( au_0 \int_0^t g(t')dt' \right) \]

\[ \phi(t) \] in Equation (4) is obtained as follows (see Appendix A):

\[
\phi(t) = \frac{1}{(au_0 \phi_1)} \left[ au_0 g(t) \phi_2 + \frac{\beta^2 D_0 \phi_1^2}{2} + \frac{\phi_2 \phi_1'}{\phi_1} - \phi_2' \right]
\]
where prime marks are used to denote differentiation with respect to \( t \). Using the transformations expressed in Equations (9) and (10), Equation (7) is reduced to a non-homogeneous diffusion equation with a constant coefficient, as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial K(X, T)}{\partial T} = D_0 \frac{\partial^2 K(X, T)}{\partial X^2} + \frac{Q(X, T)}{\phi_1(t)} \beta^2(t)
\]  

(13)

where \( Q(X, T) = q \left( \frac{X^2 \beta - \frac{X}{\phi_1(t)}}{\phi_1(t)}, T^{-1}[T(t)] \right) = q(x, t) \). Here, \( T^{-1}[T(t)] = t \). \( X \) and \( T \) are new spatial and temporal variables, respectively. The initial condition for the diffusion equation becomes:

\[
K(X, T = 0) = 0, \quad -\infty < X < \infty, \quad T > 0
\]

(14)

Using GFM [28,51,54], the analytical solution of the diffusion Equation (13), subject to the initial conditions described by Equation (14), can be obtained as:

\[
K(X, T) = \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0(T-\xi)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(X - \chi)^2}{4D_0(T-\xi)} \right\} \frac{Q(\chi, \xi)}{\phi_1(\xi)} \beta^2(\xi) d\chi d\xi
\]

(15)

where \( \chi \) and \( \xi \) are dummy variables. Using the transformations in Equations (6) and (9), and (10), the solution of concentration can be written as:

\[
c(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\infty} \frac{Q_1(\xi, t_0)}{\beta(t)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0(T-\xi)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(X - \eta)^2}{4D_0(T-\xi)} \right\} \times \frac{\sqrt{\beta(t_0)}}{a_\xi} \times d\xi d\xi d_0
\]

(16)

where \( \eta = 2\sqrt{\frac{\xi}{a_\beta(t_0)}}, \xi = \int_0^{t_0} \frac{\phi_1(t)^{\prime}}{\beta(t)} dt', \) and \( Q_1(\xi, t_0) = q \left[ \xi - \frac{\phi_2(t_0)}{a_\phi(t_0)}, t_0 \right]. \)

2.1. Instantaneous Point Injection

An instantaneous point source \( q(x, t) \) in Equation (1) was assumed to be injected at \( x = x_0 \cdot q(x, t) \) can be defined as:

\[
q(x, t) = M \delta(x - x_0) \delta(t)
\]

(17)

where \( M \) is the injected pollutant mass per unit area in the porous medium and \( \delta(\bullet) \) is the Dirac delta function. An analytical solution can be obtained in the case of an instantaneous source using Equation (16), as follows:

\[
c(x, t) = \frac{M}{\beta(T)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0 T}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{a^2 D_0 T} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\phi_2(t_0) + a_\phi(t_0)}{\phi_1(t_0)}} \sqrt{\frac{\phi_2(t) + a_\phi(t)}{\phi_1(t)}} \right\}^2 \right\} \times \sqrt{\frac{\phi_1(0)}{a_\phi(0) \phi_1(0) + \phi_2(0)}}
\]

(18)

2.2. Continuous Point Injection

A continuous source injected at \( x = x_0 \) at a groundwater velocity of \( u(x, t) \) can be defined as

\[
q(x, t) = C_0 u(x, t) \delta(x - x_0), \quad t > 0
\]

(19)

where \( C_0 \) is the injected concentration. The analytical solution for solute transport in the case of a continuous source as defined in Equation (19) can be obtained using Equation (16) as
\[ c(x, t) = \frac{C_0}{\beta(t)} \int_0^t \frac{u(x_0, t_0)}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0(T - \zeta)}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{4 \zeta} \left( \frac{\phi_2(t) + a \phi_1(t)}{\beta(t) + \phi_1(t)} \right)^2 \right] \times \frac{\beta(t_0) \phi_1(t_0)}{ax_0 \phi_1(t_0) + \phi_2(t_0)} dt_0 \] (20)

2.3. Specific Cases of Dispersion Coefficient and Velocity

The analytical solutions for both instantaneous and continuous sources are presented here for eight specific cases of dispersion coefficient and velocity variations (Table 1). The first case is a spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient and spatially dependent velocity. The second case represents both the dispersion coefficient and velocity being spatially dependent. The third case involves a spatially dependent dispersion coefficient with spatiotemporally dependent velocity. In the fourth case, both the dispersion coefficient and velocity are spatiotemporally dependent. These four cases can be degenerated into the following additional four cases using a very small value of the spatial dependence parameter, namely a temporally dependent coefficient with constant flow, both dispersion coefficient and velocity temporally dependent (Table 1). The second and fourth cases were also explored by Sanskrityayn et al. [36] and therefore the analytical solution proposed in this study is an extension of that of Sanskrityayn et al. [36]. In Appendix B, the present analytical solution is mathematically derived as a generalization of the analytical solution of Sanskrityayn et al. [36].

2.3.1. Case 1: Spatiotemporally Dependent Dispersion Coefficients and Spatially Dependent Velocities

In the case of \( \phi_1(t) = \phi_2(t) = \psi(t) \), and \( g(t) = 1 \), \( \phi(t) \) is determined as \( \phi(t) = 1 + \frac{a D_0}{2}\frac{\psi(t)}{u_0} \) using Equation (12). The spatial dependence parameter \( a \) cannot exceed the range of 0.05 to 0.1 for a porous medium [40]. If the local-scale dispersion coefficient \( D_0 \) is limited to values of less than 1, the local-scale velocity of \( u_0 \) is sufficiently greater than 0, and \( \psi(t) \) is limited to exponential, asymptotical, or sinusoidal functions, then \( \phi(t) \approx 1 \). Under the assumptions \( \phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), g(t), \) and \( \phi(t) \), the dispersion coefficient and velocity are \( D(x, t) = D_0(1 + ax) \psi(t) \) and \( u(x, t) = u_0(1 + ax) \) based on Equations (3) and (4), respectively. In this case, a spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient and spatially dependent velocity are considered. From Equations (18) and (20), the respective solutions for an instantaneous source and continuous source injected at \( x_0 = 0 \) become:

\[ c(x, t) = \frac{M \exp(-au_0 t)}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0 T}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{D_0 T} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(1+ax) \exp(-au_0 T)} - 1}{a} \right)^2 \right] \] (21)

and

\[ c(x, t) = C_0 u_0 \int_0^t \frac{\exp(-au_0 t) \sqrt{\exp(au_0 t)}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0 (T - \zeta)}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{D_0 (T - t)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(1+ax) \exp(-au_0 T)} - \sqrt{\exp(-au_0 t)}}{a} \right)^2 \right] \left[ u_0 + \frac{a D_0 \psi(t_0)}{2} \right] dt_0. \] (22)

Furthermore, four expressions of \( \psi(t) \) can be considered, as can the corresponding expressions for the new time variable \( T \) in Equations (21) and (22), as follows:

(i) \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \) and \( T = \frac{1 - \exp(-mt - au_0)}{m + au_0} \).

(ii) \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \) and \( T = \int_0^t \frac{\exp(-au_0 t')}{(1 + mt')} dt' \).
\[ (iii) \ \psi(t) = 1 + mt / (1 + mt) \text{ and } T = \frac{2[1 - \exp(-au_0 t)]}{au_0} - \frac{1}{(1 + mt)} \int_0^t \exp(-au_0 t') dt'. \]

\[ (iv) \ \psi(t) = t / (t + k) \text{ and } T = \int_0^t \frac{1}{rt} \exp(-au_0 t') dt'. \]

From Equations (21) and (22), an analytical solution can easily be obtained for a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient with uniform flow with an instantaneous source and a continuous source, respectively. If \( a \to 0 \), then \( D(x, t) = D_0 \psi(t) \) and \( u(x, t) = u_0 \). Basha and El-Habel [28] provided an analytical solution for a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient \( D_0 \psi(t) \) with uniform flow \( u_0 \) in the case of \( \psi(t) = t / (t + k) \). The analytical solutions of Basha and El-Habel [28] for instantaneous and continuous sources can be obtained using Equations (21) and (22) for \( a \to 0 \), respectively.

2.3.2. Case 2: Both Dispersion Coefficient and Velocity Spatially Dependent

In the case of \( \phi_1(t) = g(t) = 1 \) and \( \phi_2(t) = b \), \( \phi(t) \) is determined as \( \phi(t) = b + \frac{a}{2} \frac{D_0}{u_0} \) using Equation (12). If the local-scale dispersion coefficient \( D_0 \) is limited to less than 1 and \( u_0 > 0 \), then \( \phi(t) \approx b \) for values of the spatial dependence parameter \( a \) from 0.05 to 0.1 [40]. Under such \( \phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), g(t) \), and \( \phi(t) \) conditions, the spatially dependent dispersion coefficient and velocity are \( D(x, t) = D_0(b + ax) \) and \( u(x, t) = u_0(b + ax) \) based on Equations (3) and (4), respectively. The corresponding analytical solution with the dispersion coefficient and velocity described above can be obtained using Equation (18) for an instantaneous source:

\[ c(x, t) = \frac{M \exp(-au_0 t)}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0 T}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{D_0 T} \left\{ \sqrt{(b + ax) \exp(-au_0 t) - \sqrt{b + af_0}} \right\}^2 \right] \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{a x_0 + b}} \]  

\[ \text{Equation (23)} \]

where \( T = \frac{1}{au_0} [1 - \exp(-au_0 t)] \). An analytical solution for a continuous source injected at \( x = x_0 \) can be obtained using Equation (20):

\[ c(x, t) = \frac{C_0}{\exp(au_0 t)} \int_0^t \frac{u_0(b + ax_0) + \frac{a D_0}{2}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0(T - \zeta)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{D_0 (T - \zeta)} \left\{ \sqrt{(b + ax) \exp(-au_0 t) - \sqrt{b + ax_0}} \right\}^2 \right\} \times \sqrt{\frac{\exp(au_0 t)}{ax_0 + b}} dt_0 \]  

\[ \text{Equation (24)} \]

If \( x_0 = 0, b = 1 \) and \( a \to 0 \) in Equation (23), then \( D(x, t) \to D_0, u(x, t) \to u_0, \sqrt{(b + ax) \exp(-au_0 t)} \to \sqrt{b + ax_0} \to t \to t \), and \( T \to t \). Accordingly, the solution of Equation (23) applies to the case of both a constant dispersion coefficient and velocity given by Yeh [51], Haberman [54], and Basha and El-Habel [28] for an instantaneous source. In other words, if \( b = 1 \) and \( a \to 0 \) in Equation (24), the expression \( \sqrt{(b + ax) \exp(-au_0 t)} \) approaches \( \sqrt{x - x_0 - u_0 (t - t_0)} \) and \( T - \zeta \) becomes \( t - t_0 \). Accordingly, the solution of Equation (24) for a continuous source is as follows:

\[ c(x, t) = C_0 u_0 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0 (t - t_0)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4D_0 (t - t_0)} [x - x_0 - u_0 (t - t_0)]^2 \right\} dt_0 \]  

\[ \text{Equation (25)} \]

De Marsily [52] obtained an analytical solution using both the dispersion coefficient and velocity constant in a semi-infinite homogeneous medium for a continuous source injected at \( x = x_0 \), which is equivalent to Equation (25). Assuming \( x_0 = 0 \) in Equation (25), we can obtain the solutions of Yeh [51], Beck et al. [35], and Yeh and Yeh [56] with both the dispersion coefficient and velocity constant and a continuous point source at the origin.
2.3.3. Case 3: Spatially Dependent Dispersion Coefficient with Spatiotemporally Dependent Velocity

In the case of \( \phi_1(t) = \phi_2(t) = 1, g(t) = \psi(t), \phi(t) \) is determined as \( \phi(t) = \psi(t) + \frac{aD_0}{\gamma u_0} \) using Equation (12). If the local-scale dispersion coefficient of \( D_0 \) is limited to values less than 1, and \( u_0 \gg 0 \), then \( \phi(t) \approx \psi(t) \) for the range of \( a \) from 0.05 to 0.1 [40]. Under such \( \phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), g(t), \) and \( \phi(t) \) conditions, the dispersion coefficient and velocity are \( D(x,t) = D_0(1 + ax) \) and \( u(x,t) = u_0(1 + ax)\psi(t) \), respectively. In this case, the dispersion coefficient is spatially dependent and velocity is spatiotemporally dependent, and Equations (18) and (20) for the instantaneous and continuous sources, respectively, can be rewritten as follows:

\[
c(x, t) = \frac{M}{\beta(t)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0 T}} \exp \left[ - \frac{1}{a^2 D_0 T} \left\{ \frac{1 + ax}{\beta(t)} - 1 \right\}^2 \right] \quad (26)
\]

and

\[
c(x, t) = \frac{C_0 u_0}{\beta(t)} \int_0^T \frac{\psi(t_0) + aD_0/2}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0 T - \xi}} \exp \left[ - \frac{1}{a^2 D_0 (T - \xi)} \left\{ \frac{1 + ax}{\beta(t_0)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta(t_0)}} \right\}^2 \right] \times \sqrt{\beta(t_0)} dt_0 \quad (27)
\]

where \( \beta(t) = \exp \left( au_0 \int_0^t \psi(t') dt' \right) \) and \( T = \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{\beta(t')} \). In accordance with the previous section, exponentially decelerating, asymptotically decelerating and asymptotically accelerating forms of \( \psi(t) \) are considered and corresponding expressions for the new time variable \( T \) and \( \beta(t) \) can be obtained as follows:

(i) \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \). Using Equations (10) and (11), we obtain \( T = \int_0^t \exp \left[ \frac{au_0}{m} \{ \exp(-mt) - 1 \} \right] dt' \) and \( \beta(t) = \exp \left[ \frac{au_0}{m} \{ 1 - \exp(-mt) \} \right] \).

(ii) \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \), and thus \( T = \left\{ (1 + mt)^{1-\frac{au_0}{m}} - 1 \right\} / (m - au_0) \) and \( \beta(t) = (1 + mt)^{\frac{au_0}{m}}. \)

(iii) \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt) \); therefore, \( T = \int_0^t \exp(-2au_0 t') (1 + mt')^{\frac{au_0}{m}} dt' \) and \( \beta(t) = \exp(2au_0 t')/(1 + mt')^{\frac{au_0}{m}}. \)

If \( a \to 0 \), the analytical solution with a constant dispersion coefficient, temporally dependent velocity, and continuous source can be obtained from Equation (27), which is the same as the analytical solution provided by Selvadurai [29].

2.3.4. Case 4: Both Dispersion Coefficient and Velocity Spatiotemporally Dependent

In the case of \( \phi_1(t) = \phi_2(t) = g(t) = \psi(t), \phi(t) \) is calculated as \( \phi(t) = \psi(t) + \frac{aD_0}{\gamma u_0} \psi(t) \) using Equation (12). If the local-scale dispersion coefficient of \( D_0 \) is limited to values less than 1, \( u_0 \gg 0 \), and \( \psi(t) \) is limited to exponential, asymptotical, or sinusoidal functions, then \( \phi(t) \approx \psi(t) \) for the range of \( a \) from 0.05 to 0.1 [40]. Under such \( \phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), g(t), \) and \( \phi(t) \) conditions, the dispersion coefficient and velocity are given by \( D(x,t) = D_0(1 + ax)\psi(t) \) and \( u(x,t) = u_0(1 + ax)\psi(t) \), respectively. Accordingly, the dispersion coefficient and velocity are both spatiotemporally dependent. Using Equation (10), the new time variable \( T \) can be expressed as \( T = \frac{1}{au_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\beta(t)} \right] \), and \( \beta(t) \) can be obtained using Equation (11). The solutions for an instantaneous source and a continuous source can be obtained using Equations (18) and (20), respectively, as:

\[
c(x, t) = \frac{M}{\beta(t)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_0[1 - 1/\beta(t)]}} \exp \left[ - \frac{u_0}{aD_0[1 - 1/\beta(t)]} \left\{ \frac{1 + ax}{\beta(t)} - 1 \right\}^2 \right] \quad (28)
\]
\[ c(x, t) = \frac{C_0}{\beta(t)} \int_0^t \frac{u_0 [\psi(t_0) + aD_0/2]}{\sqrt{4\pi \alpha_0} [1/\beta(t_0) - 1/\beta(t)]} \exp \left[ -\frac{u_0}{aD_0 [1/\beta(t_0) - 1/\beta(t)]} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{1 + \alpha m}{\beta(t_0)}} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta(t)}} \right\}^2 \right] \times \beta(t_0) dt_0 \] (29)

In accordance with previous sections, exponentially decelerating, asymptotically decelerating, asymptotically accelerating, and sinusoidal forms of \( \psi(t) \) are considered and the corresponding expressions for the new time variable \( T \) and \( \beta(t) \) can be obtained as follows:

(i) \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \), and thus \( \beta(t) = \exp\{au_0(1 - \exp(-mt))/m\} \).

(ii) \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \), and thus \( \beta(t) = (1 + mt)^{au_0/m} \).

(iii) \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt / (1 + mt) \), and thus \( \beta(t) = \exp(2au_0 t) / (1 + mt)^{au_0/m} \).

(iv) \( \psi(t) = 2 + \cos(mt) \), and thus \( \beta(t) = 2t + \sin(mt)/m \).

By setting \( a \to 0 \), analytical solutions for solute transport from instantaneous and continuous sources in the case of both temporally dependent dispersion coefficients and velocity can be obtained simply from Equations (28) and (29), respectively. Notably, dispersion coefficients and velocities corresponding to \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \) were used by Singh et al. [11] to obtain analytical solutions with temporal dependence of both dispersion coefficients and velocity for a continuous source, which can be obtained from Equation (29) by substituting \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \) and \( \beta(t) = \exp\{au_0(1 - \exp(-mt))/m\} \). Similarly, the analytical solutions for a continuous source given by Zamani and Bombardelli [12] under conditions of both dispersion coefficients and velocity being temporally dependent, corresponding to \( \psi(t) = 2 + \cos(mt) \) and \( \beta(t) = 2t + \sin(mt)/m \). In Zamani and Bombardelli [12], \( m \) (day\(^{-1}\)) defines the seasonal fluctuation frequency of groundwater. In tropical regions, recharge into the aquifer is maximal after the rainy season and is minimal in summer.

3. Verification and Discussion

This section describes the verification of the proposed analytical solutions through comparison against either existing analytical solutions or the numerical solution from two-dimensional subsurface flow, fate and transport of microbes and chemicals (2DFATMIC) [57]. When existing analytical solutions were available, we compared the proposed solutions against existing analytical solutions; otherwise, comparison was performed against the numerical solutions of 2DFATMIC. To guarantee that the numerical solution had negligible numerical error, 2DFATMIC employed a sufficiently fine grid and small time step (\( \Delta x = 0.005 \) m and \( \Delta t = 0.01 \) day) to maintain low Courant and Péclet numbers. To assess the performance of the proposed analytical solution with various dispersion coefficients and velocities, concentration distributions were calculated for eight different time step (\( \Delta t \)) and for the homogeneous case using a very small \( a \), and for the homogeneous case using the larger value of \( a \).

Figure 2 shows the proposed analytical solutions obtained with a spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient and spatially dependent velocity, representing Case 1 in Section 2.3.1. In this case, no previous analytical solution exists, and therefore verification
was performed through comparison with the numerical solution of 2DFATMIC. To describe solute transport for a spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient and spatially dependent velocity under Case 1 from an introduced instantaneous source, solutions of Equation (21) for both the dispersion coefficient and velocity are shown for two dispersion coefficient expressions, \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt) \) and \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \), and for two spatial values \( a \), 0.1 m\(^{-1}\) and 0.0001 m\(^{-1}\). The input parameters used for the proposed analytical solution illustrated in Figure 2 are indicated in Table 2. The effect of temporal dependence of the dispersion coefficient is illustrated in Figure 2, which shows solutions to Equation (21) for asymptotically accelerating expressions of \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt) \) and asymptotically decelerating expressions of \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \), described by cases (ii) and (iii) in Section 2.3.1, respectively. As shown in the upper-left subfigure of Figure 2, the asymptotically accelerating form of \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt) \) increases over time, but the asymptotically decelerating form of \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \) decreases over time. Accordingly, the dispersion coefficient for the accelerating form increases over time, while that for the decelerating form decreases over time. Because the dispersion coefficient is higher for the accelerating form than for the decelerating form at any time \( t \), the peak concentration is lower for the accelerating form than for the decelerating function with both values of \( a \), as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** A schematic diagram of the flow domain including initial condition, boundary domains, and sinks/sources for solute transport. \( c(x, t) \) is the concentration, \( D(x, t) \) is dispersion coefficient, \( u(x, t) \) is pore velocity, \( q(x, t) \) is the sink/source term, and \( x_0 \) is the location of sinks/sources.
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**Figure 2.** Comparison of analytical solutions for an instantaneous source from Equation (21) with a spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient and spatially dependent velocity \( (a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1}) \) shown as solid curves and with a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient and constant velocity \( (a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}) \) shown as dashed curves, with numerical solutions of 2DFATMIC at \( t = 25 \text{ days} \). Temporal values \( \psi(t) \) are shown in the upper-left subfigure for asymptotically decelerating and asymptotically accelerating temporal functions, respectively.
In addition, to assess the effects of spatial dependence of the dispersion coefficient and velocity, two values of \(a\) were applied in a heterogeneous medium (\(a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1}\)) and a homogeneous medium (\(a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}\)). As shown in Figure 2, at all positions in the heterogeneous medium (\(a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1}\)), the dispersion coefficient and velocity were higher than in the homogeneous medium (\(a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}\)), and thus solute transport was faster in the heterogeneous medium (\(a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1}\)) than in the homogeneous medium (\(a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}\)). In addition, the peak concentrations were lower in a heterogeneous medium than in a homogeneous medium. As shown in Figure 2, the numerical solutions obtained using 2DFATMIC aligned well with the proposed solutions for the cases described above.

In Figure 3a,b, the proposed analytical solutions were obtained for a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient with uniform flow from instantaneous and continuous sources, respectively. A temporally dependent dispersion coefficient with uniform flow is a special case of Case 1, described in Section 2.3.1, which is obtained using a very small value of \(a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}\). Using the asymptotically accelerating temporal expression of \(\psi(t) = t/(t + k)\), the solutions for an instantaneous source described by Equation (21) and for a continuous source expressed in Equation (22) are compared with existing solutions corresponding to Equations (28) and (29) of Basha and El-Habel [28], respectively, in Figure 3. As shown in the upper-left subfigures of Figure 3a,b, the asymptotically accelerating temporal function \(\psi(t) = t/(t + k)\) increases over time, but the slope of \(\psi(t)\) decreases with \(k\) (day). For example, in the case of \(k = 0\) days, \(\psi(t)\) is maximized, whereas in the case of \(k = 50\) days, \(\psi(t)\) is minimized. Accordingly, the dispersion coefficient is maximized at \(k = 0\) days, and minimized at \(k = 50\) days. Because the dispersion coefficient is higher in the case of \(k = 0\) days than for \(k = 20\) days and \(k = 50\) days, the plumes are more widely spread and the peak concentrations are lower at \(k = 0\) days, as shown Figure 3a,b.

Figure 3a,b show that the proposed solutions align perfectly with the existing solutions of Basha and El-Habel [28] for instantaneous and continuous sources, respectively, with a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient and constant velocity. The temporally dependent dispersion coefficient and constant velocity have been commonly applied.

### Table 2. Parameters used for analysis of all examples.

| Figs     | \(u_0\) (m/Day) | \(D_0\) (m²/Day) | \(x_0\) (m) | \(t\) (day) | \(a\) (m⁻¹) | \(b\) (-) | \(m\) (Day⁻¹, k (Day)) | \(M\) (g/m²), \(C_0\) (g/m³) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Figure 2 | 0.20            | 0.25            | 0           | 0          | 5           | 0.1, 0.0001 | 1                      | \(m = 0.05\), \(M = 1\)     |
| Figure 3a| 0.25            | 1.0             | 0           | 0          | 5           | 0.1, 0.0001 | 1                      | \(k = 0.20\), \(M = 1\)     |
| Figure 3b| 0.25            | 1.0             | 0           | 0          | 5           | 0.1, 0.0001 | 1                      | \(k = 0.20\), \(C_0 = 1\)   |
| Figure 4 | 2.0             | 0.25            | 0           | 0          | 1, 3, 5     | 0.1, 0.0001 | 1                      | \(-\), \(M = 1\)             |
| Figure 5 | \(D = D_0\), \(u = u_0\) for Equation (25) and \(D(x) = D_0 x, u(x) = u_0 x\) for Equation (24) | \(D = D_0\) | \(u = u_0\) | \(\psi = \psi(t)\) | \(m = 0.05\) | \(M = 1\) | \(-\) | \(-\) | \(-\) | \(-\) | \(-\) | \(-\) |
| Figure 6a,b| 0.20            | 0.25            | 0           | 25         | 0.1, 0.0001 | 1                      | \(M = 1\)     |
| Figure 7 | 0.20            | 0.005           | 0           | 5, 25, 50  | 0.0001      | 1                      | \(m = 0.01\) | \(C_0 = 1\)   |
| Figure 8a,b| 2.0             | 0.25            | 0           | 5          | 0.1, 0.0001 | 1                      | \(m = 0.2\) | \(M = 1\)     |
| Figure 9a| 0.20            | 0.01            | 0           | 5, 25, 50, 100 | 0.0001 | 1                      | \(M = 0.0008\) | \(C_0 = 1\)   |
| Figure 9b| 0.20            | 0.01            | 0           | 5, 25, 50  | 0.0001      | 1                      | \(-\), \(M = 12.41\) | \(C_0 = 1\)   |
to solute transport considering the influence of natural heterogeneity, which produces irregular flow patterns at the field scale [23–28].

**Figure 3.** Comparison of the proposed analytical solutions (shown as solid curves) with a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient and constant velocity \(a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}\) at \(t = 5\) days (a) for an instantaneous source based on Equation (21) and (b) for a continuous source described by Equation (22), together with the solutions at \(t = 5\) days obtained by Basha and El-Habel [28] (indicated with circles). The temporal values \(\psi(t) = t/(t+k)\) of the asymptotically accelerating temporal function are shown in the upper-left subfigure for \(k = 0, 20,\) and \(50\) days, respectively.

For Case 2, with spatial dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity, as described in Section 2.3.2, the parameter values listed in Table 2 are used to solve Equation (23) for an instantaneous source, as shown in Figure 4. Both the dispersion coefficient and velocity increase linearly across space, depending on the spatial dependence parameter \(a(\text{m}^{-1})\). To represent heterogeneous and homogeneous media, two values of the spatial dependence parameter \(a(\text{m}^{-1})\) are employed, as before. In a heterogeneous medium \((a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1})\), the dispersion coefficient and velocity increase across space, while in a homogeneous medium \((a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1})\), the dispersion coefficient and velocity change little spatially, and thus remain at almost constant values. Therefore, as the travel distance increases, the dispersion coefficient and velocity increase more sharply in a heterogeneous medium \((a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1})\) than in a homogeneous medium \((a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1})\). Accordingly, as shown in Figure 4, as the travel distance increases, the plumes migrate faster in a heterogeneous medium \((a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1})\) than in a homogenous medium \((a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1})\), as the velocity is greater in the heterogeneous medium than in the homogeneous medium. In addition, peak concentrations are lower in a heterogeneous medium than in a homogeneous medium, as the dispersion coefficient is greater in the heterogeneous medium than in the homogenous medium. Lastly, all analytical solutions proposed for these cases are identical to the solutions of Sanskrityayn et al. [36], as shown in Figure 4.

To validate the proposed analytical solution of Equation (25) for solute transport with a constant dispersion coefficient and velocity assumed to be \(a = 0 \text{ m}^{-1}\) for a continuous source, the analytical solution of De Marsily [52] was used for comparison, as shown in Figure 5. The detailed input parameters for these analytical solutions are listed in Table 2. In addition, the proposed analytical solution of Equation (24) with spatial dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity for a continuous source was verified against the analytical solutions of Zoppou and Knight [10], as shown in Figure 5. The detailed input...
parameters for these analytical solutions are listed in Table 2. Note that in the analytical solution of De Marsily [52], the velocity was assumed to be constant, whereas in Zoppou and Knight [10] the velocity was assumed to increase with travel distance. Accordingly, the analytical solution for the concentration distribution reported by De Marsily [52] shows a flat trend around the source point, while that of Zoppou and Knight [10] decreases rapidly from the source point, as shown in Figure 5. Moreover, the proposed analytical solutions for a constant dispersion coefficient and velocity, as well as for spatial dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity with a continuous source align well with the analytical solutions of De Marsily [52] and Zoppou and Knight [10], respectively, as shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Comparison of the proposed analytical solutions at $t = 1$, 3, and 5 days for an instantaneous source described in Equation (23) with spatial dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity ($a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1}$), shown as solid curves, and with both the dispersion coefficient and velocity ($a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}$) constant, shown as dashed curves, along with the solutions obtained by Sanskritiyayn et al. [36] at $t = 1$, 3, and 5 days.

To verify the analytical solutions of Equation (26) for an instantaneous source with a spatially dependent dispersion coefficient and spatiotemporally dependent velocity, as described for Case 3 in Section 2.3.3, against the numerical solutions of 2DFATMIC, a comparison was conducted, as shown in Figure 6a.

The temporal dependence of velocity was considered using the exponentially decelerating, asymptotically accelerating, and asymptotically decelerating expressions of $\psi(t)$ described in Section 2.3.3, as well as a constant value of $\psi(t)$. As shown in the upper-left subfigure of Figure 6a, the asymptotically accelerating form of $\psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt)$ increases over time, whereas the asymptotically and exponentially decelerating forms of $\psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt)$ and $\psi(t) = \exp(-mt)$ both decrease over time. Accordingly, velocity increases over time when using the asymptotically accelerating form, but decreases over time with the exponentially and asymptotically decelerating forms. Thus, in Figure 6a, transport is faster with the asymptotically accelerating form of $\psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt)$ than with the constant expression of $\psi(t) = 1$, while transport is slower with the asymptotically and exponentially decelerating forms of $\psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt)$ and $\psi(t) = \exp(-mt)$ than with the constant expression of $\psi(t) = 1$. In turn, solute transport is fastest when
than with and

\[ \psi(t) = \frac{1}{1+mt} \]

in- as the dispersion coefficient for the asymptotically accelerating form with

\[ \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \]

and maximal with the exponentially decelerating form of \( t = 0 \). The peak concentration was minimal with the asymptotically accelerating form of \( t = 0 \).

Figure 5. Comparison of the proposed analytical solution (shown as a solid line) obtained using Equation (25) with a constant dispersion coefficient and velocity for a continuous source with the analytical solution (indicated by squares) of De Marsily [52]. Comparison of the proposed analytical solution (shown as a dashed line) of Equation (24) with a spatially dependent dispersion coefficient and velocity for a continuous source with the analytical solution (indicated by circles) of Zoppou and Knight [10] is also shown.

Figure 6. Comparison of the proposed analytical solutions at \( t = 25 \) days for an instantaneous source described in Equation (26) with the numerical solutions of 2DFATMIC, when (a) the dispersion coefficient is spatially dependent and velocity is spatiotemporally dependent (as 0.1 m\(^{-1}\)), and when (b) the dispersion coefficient is constant and velocity is temporally dependent (as 0.0001 m\(^{-1}\)). The temporal values \( \psi(t) \) are shown in the upper-left subfigure for the constant, exponentially decelerating, asymptotically decelerating, and asymptotically accelerating forms.
The analytical solutions obtained using a constant dispersion coefficient with temporally dependent velocity for an instantaneous source can be obtained from Equation (26) using \( a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1} \). As described above, to verify the analytical solutions, analytical solutions were obtained for a homogeneous medium \( (a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}) \) and compared to the numerical solutions of 2DFATMIC, as shown in Figure 6b. Depending on the function of \( \psi(t) \) used, as described in Section 2.3.3, transport values were obtained that followed a similar trend as the values with a spatially dependent dispersion coefficient, spatiotemporally dependent velocity, and an instantaneous source obtained previously. Namely, solute transport is fastest with an asymptotically accelerating function, while an exponentially decelerating function results in the slowest transport value.

Peak concentrations with a constant dispersion coefficient, temporally dependent velocity and an instantaneous source showed little difference in behavior relative to those obtained with a spatially dependent dispersion coefficient, spatiotemporally dependent velocity and an instantaneous source, as demonstrated by comparison of Figure 6a,b. As shown in Figure 6b, the peak concentrations are almost identical for all four expressions of \( \psi(t) \), due to the constant dispersion coefficients used in all four expressions. On the other hand, as shown in Figure 6a, the peak concentrations varied among expressions of \( \psi(t) \). The peak concentration was minimal with the asymptotically accelerating form of \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt) \), and maximal with the exponentially decelerating form of \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \), as the dispersion coefficient for the asymptotically accelerating form with the longest travel distance is greatest, whereas the dispersion coefficient for the exponentially decelerating form with the smallest travel distance is lowest with the spatially dependent dispersion coefficients shown in Figure 6a.

All analytical solutions obtained using a spatially dependent dispersion coefficient with spatiotemporally dependent velocity, i.e., Case 3 in Section 2.3.3, for an instantaneous source, as well as those obtained with a constant dispersion coefficient and temporally dependent velocity, aligned well with numerical solutions obtained from 2DFATMIC, as shown in Figure 6a,b, respectively.

In addition to the case of a constant dispersion coefficient and temporally dependent velocity for an instantaneous source discussed above, the analytical solutions of Equation (27) for a continuous source were validated through comparison with the analytical solution obtained by Selvadurai [29] under the assumption of an exponentially decelerating velocity over time and a constant diffusion coefficient. The analytical solution of Selvadurai [29] simulated one-dimensional solute transport under advective-dispersive conditions encountered in column flow, which was characterized by exponential decay of the velocity over time and a constant dispersion coefficient. The proposed analytical solution was obtained using Equation (27) with a very small value of \( a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1} \) and compared to the analytical solution of Selvadurai [29]. As shown in Figure 7, the proposed analytical solution was identical to that of Selvadurai [29].

To describe solute transport from an instantaneous source with spatiotemporal dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity, as well as with temporal dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity, as described for Case 4 in Section 2.3.4, Equation (28) was employed to obtain concentration distributions in a heterogeneous medium \( (a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1}) \) and a homogeneous medium \( (a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}) \). Figure 8a,b show the concentration distributions in a heterogeneous medium and a homogeneous medium, respectively, for three temporal dependence forms of \( \psi(t) \) described as (i)–(iii) in Section 2.3.4 and a constant value of \( \psi(t) = 1 \). The three temporal dependence forms of \( \psi(t) \) are the exponentially decelerating expression \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \), the asymptotically accelerating expression \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt) \) and the asymptotically decelerating expression \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \), as shown in Figure 8a,b. Therefore, in Figure 8a,b, transport is faster in the case of \( \psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt) \) than with \( \psi(t) = 1 \), as the velocity is greater when using the asymptotically accelerating form than with \( \psi(t) = 1 \). On the other hand, transport with \( \psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt) \) or \( \psi(t) = \exp(-mt) \) is slower than with \( \psi(t) = 1 \), as the velocities are slower for the asymptotically and exponentially decelerating forms than...
for $\psi(t) = 1$. In addition, as illustrated in Figure 8a,b, the peak concentrations are lower for $\psi(t) = 1 + mt/(1 + mt)$ than for $\psi(t) = 1$, as the dispersion coefficients are larger for the asymptotically accelerating form than for $\psi(t) = 1$. Meanwhile, peak concentrations are higher for $\psi(t) = 1/(1 + mt)$ and $\psi(t) = \exp(-mt)$ than for $\psi(t) = 1$, as the asymptotically and exponentially decelerating forms have smaller dispersion coefficients than $\psi(t) = 1$.
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**Figure 7.** Comparison of the proposed analytical solution (shown as a solid curve) for a continuous source described by Equation (27) with a constant dispersion coefficient and temporally dependent velocity ($a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}$) to the analytical solution (indicated with circles) obtained by Selvadurai [29] using a constant diffusion coefficient with exponentially decelerating velocity. The temporal value $\psi(t)$ of the exponentially decelerating temporal function are shown in the upper-left subfigure.
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**Figure 8.** Comparison of the proposed analytical solutions for an instantaneous source based on Equation (28) with the analytical solutions of Sanskrityayn et al. [36] when (a) the dispersion coefficient and velocity are spatiotemporally dependent ($a = 0.1 \text{ m}^{-1}$), and when (b) the dispersion coefficient and velocity are temporally dependent ($a = 0.0001 \text{ m}^{-1}$), at $t = 5$ days. The temporal values of $\psi(t)$ are shown in upper-left subfigure for the constant, exponentially decelerating, asymptotically decelerating, and asymptotically accelerating forms, respectively.
Furthermore, the transport rates for all temporal functions when both the dispersion coefficient and velocity are temporally dependent in a homogeneous system, as illustrated in Figure 8b, are slower than the transport rates for all temporal functions when both the dispersion coefficient and velocity are spatiotemporally dependent in a heterogeneous system, as illustrated in Figure 8a. This difference arises because the advection velocities are smaller for all temporal functions in a homogeneous system than in a heterogeneous system. The reason why the advection velocities for all temporal functions in a homogeneous system are low is apparent from the advection expressions listed in Table 2: in the homogeneous system, the advection velocities do not increase with distance, while in the heterogeneous system, the advection velocities increase with travel distance. Furthermore, Figure 8a,b clearly show that the peak concentrations for all temporal functions when both the dispersion coefficient and velocity are temporally dependent in a homogeneous system, as illustrated in Figure 8b, are higher than the peak concentrations for all temporal functions when both the dispersion coefficient and velocity are spatiotemporally dependent in a heterogeneous system, as shown in Figure 8a. This difference can be attributed to differences in the dispersion coefficients between the cases in which both dispersion coefficient and velocity are temporally dependent and both are spatiotemporally dependent. The dispersion coefficients of all temporal functions with a temporally dependent dispersion coefficient and velocity in a homogeneous system are smaller than the coefficients of all temporal functions with a spatiotemporally dependent dispersion coefficient and velocity in a heterogeneous system, which is apparent from the dispersion coefficient expressions in Table 2. Specifically, in a homogeneous system, the dispersion coefficients do not increase with distance, while dispersion coefficients in a heterogeneous system increase with travel distance.

As shown in Figure 8a,b, all proposed analytical solutions of Equation (28) for solute transport from an instantaneous source with spatiotemporal dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity or temporal dependence of both the dispersion coefficient and velocity, described as Case 4 in Section 2.3.4, are identical to the analytical solutions of Sanskrityayn et al. [36].

Finally, in contrast to the proposed analytical solution for an instantaneous source with both the velocity and dispersion coefficient temporally dependent, which is a special case of Case 4 in Section 2.3.4, the proposed analytical solution for a continuous source with both the velocity and dispersion coefficient temporally dependent, another special case of Case 4 described in Section 2.3.4, were compared with the solutions of Singh et al. [11] and Zamani and Bombardelli [12]. Singh et al. [11] provided analytical solutions of solute transport in an aquifer where flow velocity and the dispersion coefficient decreased exponentially over time. Zamani and Bombardelli [12] provided analytical solutions for solute transport in an aquifer where groundwater flow changed sinusoidally over time. The sinusoidal form can be used to represent seasonal rainfall variations in tropical regions or to indicate monthly or daily tidal variations. Accordingly, Singh et al. [11] and Zamani and Bombardelli [12] provided analytical solutions for a continuous source with temporal dependence of both the velocity and dispersion coefficients, but employed velocity and dispersion coefficients with different temporal dependences. As shown in Figure 9a,b, the proposed analytical solutions obtained using Equation (29) with $a = 0.0001$ m$^{-1}$ agree well with those of Singh et al. [11] and Zamani and Bombardelli [12], respectively.
4. Conclusions

Numerous classical analytical solutions have been applied under limited circumstances where the velocity or dispersion coefficient can be considered constant. To increase the utility of such analytical solutions and support consideration of various dispersion coefficient and velocity types, we generalized the analytical solutions for one-dimensional solute transport under various conditions of the flow regime and dispersion coefficients. The generalized analytical solutions consider solute transport from instantaneous and continuous point sources with eight types of dispersion coefficient and velocity. In addition, the flow velocity and dispersion coefficient can vary temporally, spatially, or spatiotemporally.

In this study, the eight velocity and dispersion coefficient patterns were considered to account for various situations encountered in the field. The spatial dependencies of velocity and dispersion coefficients are linear, while their temporal dependencies include asymptotically accelerating, asymptotically decelerating, exponentially decelerating, and sinusoidal forms. The ADE with various spatiotemporally variable coefficients was reduced to a solvable form through pertinent coordinate transformations, together with definition of new spatial and temporal variables. The transformed equation was solved using the Green’s function method. This study showed that the proposed analytical solution could be used to accurately describe the distinctive features of the observed breakthrough curve at various locations in laboratory tests, to provide a solution of solute transport with highly transient flow rates induced from the inflow source, and to analyze the effects of velocity fluctuation on dispersive mixing in a porous medium.

Previous analytical solutions developed for special cases with various spatiotemporal variations in the velocity and dispersion coefficients employed in this study were used to validate the proposed analytical solutions [10–12,28,29]. Furthermore, when no analytical solutions were available, the proposed analytical solutions were verified through comparison with the numerical solutions of 2DFATMIC. In addition, mathematical derivation was used to demonstrate that the analytical solutions proposed in this study are an extension and generalization of previously reported analytical solutions.
Finally, the analytical solutions presented here are not only applicable to specific situations, such as solute transport under seasonal rainfall variations and monthly or daily variations due to tidal effects in areas near a river or sea, but could also be used to validate numerical solutions that account for complicated situations characterized by various spatiotemporal patterns of both velocity and the dispersion coefficient.
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**Appendix A**

To reduce the variable coefficients of the ADE in Equation (7) and obtain the diffusion equation with a constant coefficient, as expressed in Equation (13), the coordinate transformation equations in Equations (9) and (10) are derived as follows:

Given that

\[ X = X(x, t), \quad T = T(t). \]  

(A1)

Using Equation (A1), Equation (7) can be rewritten as:

\[ f(t) \frac{\partial K(X, T)}{\partial T} = D_0 h_1 \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 K(X, T)}{\partial x^2} - \left[ u_0 h_2 \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} - D_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h_1 \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} \right) \right] \frac{\partial K(X, T)}{\partial X} + Q(X, T) \beta(t), \]  

(A2)

where

\[ f(t) = \frac{dT}{dt}. \]  

(A3)

If Equation (A2) is equivalent to the diffusion equation in Equation (13), then

\[ h_1 \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} \right)^2 = f \quad \text{and} \]  

(A4)

\[ D_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h_1 \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} \right) - u_0 h_2 \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = 0. \]  

(A5)

Substituting Equation (A4) into Equation (A5), Equation (A5) is obtained, as follows:

\[ \frac{D_0}{2} \sqrt{h_1} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} - u_0 h_2 \sqrt{h_1} \frac{f}{h_1} - \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = 0 \]  

(A6)
Integrating Equation (A4) with respect to \( x \), we obtain

\[
X = \int \sqrt{\frac{f}{h_1}} \, dx. \tag{A7}
\]

Using Equation (A7), Equation (A6) can be rewritten as follows:

\[
\frac{D_0}{2} \sqrt{\frac{f}{h_1}} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} - u_0 h_2 \sqrt{\frac{f}{h_1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \int \sqrt{\frac{f}{h_1}} \, dx \right] \tag{A8}
\]

Using the terms \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) from Equations (3) and (4), Equation (A8) can be expressed as

\[
a(a D_0 \phi_1 - 2 u_0 \phi) \sqrt{\frac{f}{h_1}} - 2 a^2 u_0 g \sqrt{\frac{f}{h_1}} x = \left\{ 4 \frac{\phi_1}{\sqrt{f \phi_1}} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\sqrt{f}}{\phi_1} \right) + 2 \phi_1' \right\} \sqrt{\frac{f}{h_1}}. \tag{A9}
\]

Equating the coefficients of \( x^0 \) and \( x^1 \) from both sides of Equation (A9), we obtain

\[
4 \phi_1 \phi_2 \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\sqrt{f}}{\phi_1} \right) + 2 \phi_2' = a^2 D_0 \phi_1^2 - 2 a u_0 \phi_1 \phi \tag{A10}
\]

and

\[
2 \phi_2' \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\sqrt{f}}{\phi_1} \right) + \phi_1' = -a u_0 \phi_1 g \tag{A11}
\]

From Equation (A11), \( f(t) \) can be obtained as

\[
f(t) = \phi_1(t) \exp \left[ -a u_0 \int_0^t g(t') \, dt' \right]. \tag{A12}
\]

From Equation (A12), we can express \( f(t) \) in terms of \( \phi_1(t) \) and \( g(t) \) as:

\[
f(t) = \frac{\phi_1(t)}{\beta(t)}, \tag{A13}
\]

where

\[
\beta(t) = \exp \left[ a u_0 \int_0^t g(t') \, dt' \right] \tag{A14}
\]

Using Equations (A3) and (A13), we can obtain a new temporal variable as follows:

\[
T = \int_0^t \frac{\phi_1(t')}{\beta(t')} \, dt'. \tag{A15}
\]

From Equations (A7) and (A13), we can obtain the new spatial variable as follows:

\[
X^2 = \frac{4}{a^2} \left| \frac{ax \phi_1(t) + \phi_2(t)}{\beta(t) \phi_1(t)} \right|, \tag{A16}
\]

Equation (A16) is the same as Equation (9). Using Equations (A10) and (A11), we can obtain an expression of \( \phi(t) \) as:

\[
\phi(t) = \frac{1}{(au_0 \phi_1)} \left[ a u_0 g(t) \phi_2 + \frac{a^2 D_0 \phi_1^2}{2} + \frac{\phi_2 \phi_1'}{\phi_1} - \phi_2' \right]. \tag{A17}
\]
Appendix B

To verify that the present analytical solution can be degenerated into the analytical solution of Sanskrityayn et al. [36], consider: $\phi_1(t) = \psi(t), \phi_2(t) = \psi(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{a^2 D_0}{2} \int_0^t \psi(t') dt' \right]$ and $g(t) = \psi(t)$. Using $\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), g(t)$, and Equation (12), we determine $\phi(t)$ as follows:

$$\phi(t) = \psi(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{a^2 D_0}{2} \int_0^t \psi(t') dt' \right]$$  \(\text{(A18)}\)

Using Equations (3), (4), and (A18), we obtain the dispersion coefficient and velocity as

$$D(x,t) = D_0 \psi(t) \left[ 1 + ax + \frac{a^2 D_0}{2} \int_0^t \psi(t') dt' \right]$$  \(\text{(A19)}\)

$$u(x,t) = u_0 \psi(t) \left[ 1 + ax + \frac{a^2 D_0}{2} \int_0^t \psi(t') dt' \right]$$  \(\text{(A20)}\)

where the dispersion coefficient and velocity in Equations (A19) and (A20), respectively, are identical to the dispersion coefficient and velocity of Sanskrityayn et al. [36]. Therefore, using $\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), g(t)$, and $\phi(t)$ described above, we obtain an analytical solution identical to that of Sanskrityayn et al. [36]. Therefore, the present paper is a generalization of the work of Sanskrityayn et al. [36].
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