Atrial Remodeling in Pregnant Hypertensive Women: Comparison between Chronic and Gestational Hypertension
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Abstract: Objectives: Left atrial (LA) enlargement is a common finding in hypertensive patients (pts), however little information is available on LA changes during pregnancy. The present study evaluated LA size and function in pregnant women with hypertension.

Methods: Patients population included 30 women with chronic hypertension and 30 patients with gestational hypertension. A control group of 16 normotensive pregnant women was selected. Serial echocardiography was performed at 12 and 24 week of gestation in chronic hypertension and at 24 week in gestational hypertension and after delivery. LA diameters and volumes were measured and compared. LA conduit volume, passive and active emptying volumes were calculated. Patients were divided in 2 groups according to max LAV Index > 32 ml/m² at 24 week of gestation.

Results: Patients with chronic hypertension showed higher LA diameters (42 ± 2.0 vs. 36.5 ± 1.8; p<0.001) and LA volumes (maximal volume 45.5 ± 4.0 vs. 38.1 ± 7.3; p<0.001) compared with patients with gestational hypertension. The median value of max LAVI was 32 ± 6.2 ml/m² in chronic and 26 ± 5 ml/m² in gestational hypertension (p<0.01). During follow-up patients with max LAVI > 32 ml/m² had more clinical complications evaluated as increase of therapy, fluid retention that need diuretic and hospitalization.

Conclusion: Patients with chronic hypertension showed a more marked increased of LA volumes compared to gestational hypertension due to a remodeling of atrial shape as effect of chronic overload. Patients with more dilated LA volumes developed a greater number of clinical complications suggesting that a dilated LA could be a parameter of hemodynamic instability.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic hypertension induces important functional and geometrical changes in left size of heart, involving both ventricle and atrium. The type and extent of remodeling depends on the strength and the duration of exposure to the “stressors” i.e. the duration of elevated blood pressure and the level of systolic pressure [1, 2]. During diastole, the left atrium (LA) is directly exposed to pressures in left ventricle that increased with the decrease of left ventricular compliance, subsequently the LA pressure increase to maintain adequate filling and the rise in atrial wall tension leads to chamber dilatation and stretching of the atrial myocardium [3, 4].

In patients with hypertension left atrial enlargement may developed early. Mechanisms for accelerated LA remodeling included severe diastolic dysfunction, deranged plasma volume control, intensified neurohormonal activation, as well as development of an atrial myopathy secondary to oxidative stress and lipoapoptosis [5-7].

Hemodynamic changes (i.e. increased plasma volume) occur early in pregnancy and persisted during all time of gestation [8, 9]. In non-pregnant women, when volume increases of the magnitude seen in pregnancy it rapidly returned to baseline levels through humoral and neural mechanism [10]. The sensitivity of these regulatory mechanisms is significantly attenuated in pregnancy, such that the normal hypervolemia of pregnancy is maintained. The atrial distention is involved in these control mechanisms and it is possible that LA atrial changes induced by chronic hypertension influenced hemodynamic responses during pregnancy. Although the ventricular changes that occur during pregnancy have been the subject of intense study, the effect of pregnancy on left atrial size and shape has received little investigative attention.

The aim of the present study was to evaluated changes in LA geometry and function in pregnant women with chronic hypertension compared with women with gestational hypertension.
in the absence of proteinuria, the disease was suspected in a woman who was normotensive before 20 weeks’ gestation. Blood pressure >140 mm Hg systolic or >90 mm Hg diastolic in a woman who was normotensive before 20 weeks’ gestation was defined as chronic hypertension. Hypertension that is present and observable before pregnancy or that is diagnosed before the 20th week of gestation was defined as gestational hypertension. Patients with gestational hypertension were not taking any medication at the time of enrollment. During pregnancy all patients were treated with methyldopa 250-500 mg/day. All patients were in sinus rhythm. Exclusion criteria were: diabetes, previous episodes of supraventricular arrhythmias, clinical symptoms or signs of heart failure, a global ejection fraction less than 50% on echocardiographic examinations, undetermined gestational age, tobacco use, poor echocardiographic windows not allowed echo measurements and preexisting chronic medical problems. We also excluded patients with a prior pregnancy complicated by severe preeclampsia or intrauterine growth restriction.

The protocol was approved by the Internal Ethics Committee and all patients signed an informed consent form.

Doppler Echocardiography

A complete mono- and two-dimensional color Doppler echocardiogram was performed on each patient using a commercial Hewlett Packard echocardiograph.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Groups

| Parameters          | Chronic   | Gestational | Normotensive | p       |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Mean Age (years)    | 34 ± 5    | 33 ± 6      | 34 ± 4       | n.s.    |
| Height (m)          | 1.64 ± 0.1| 1.68 ± 0.09 | 1.66 ± 0.2   | n.s.    |
| Weight (Kg)         | 61 ± 12   | 65 ± 11     | 63 ± 10      | n.s.    |
| SBP (mmHg)          | 144 ± 16  | 134 ± 20    | 112 ± 12     | 0.05 Ch vs Gh 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and GH |
| DBP (mmHg)          | 90 ± 10   | 87 ± 10     | 80 ± 5       | 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and GH |
| LVEF (%)            | 66 ± 6    | 67 ± 9      | 68 ± 8       | n.s.    |
| LVEDV (ml)          | 110 ± 15  | 105 ± 17    | 96 ± 17      | 0.001 Ch vs Gh 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and GH |
| LVESV (ml)          | 36 ± 10   | 38 ± 9      | 25 ± 8       | 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and Gh |
| IVSd (cm)           | 1.12 ± 0.09| 0.95 ± 0.1 | 0.77 ± 0.2   | 0.001 Ch vs Gh 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and Gh |
| PWd (cm)            | 1.15 ± 0.07| 0.97 ± 0.09| 0.81 ± 0.08 | 0.001 Ch vs Gh 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and Gh |
| Mitral E/A          | 0.85 ± 0.02| 0.70 ± 0.03| 1.10 ± 0.05  | 0.001 Ch vs Gh 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and Gh |
| MV DT (msec)        | 230 ± 15  | 255 ± 16    | 172 ± 29     | 0.001 Ch vs Gh 0.001 Nl vs. Ch and Gh |
| PV S/D ratio        | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.1   | 1.0 ± 0.1    | n.s.    |
| TDI mitral E/E'     | 11 ± 3    | 10 ± 4      | 10 ± 1.3     | n.s.    |

Abbreviations: SBP= systolic blood pressure; DBP= diastolic blood pressure; MBP= mean Blood Pressure; LVEF= left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDV= left ventricle end-diastolic volume; LVESV= left ventricle end-systolic volume; IVSD= Interventricular septum diastolic thickness; PWd= posterior wall diastolic thickness; MV DT mitral valve deceleration time; PV S/D=pulmonary vein systolic/diastolic ratio; TDI=tissue Doppler imaging; n.s.= non significant; Ch=chronic; GH=gestational; Nl=normotensive.
LA function was assessed using the following parameters:

- Transmitral pulsed Doppler was recorded from the apical 4-chamber view, with the sample volume positioned between the tips of the mitral leaflets. Peak early filling (E) and atrial filling (A) velocities were recorded. Deceleration time and pressure half time was measured from the transmitral flow pattern.

The atrial ejection force (dynes) was evaluated using the following equation:

\[ 0.5 \times 1.06 \times \text{mitral orifice area} \times (\text{peak A velocity})^2 \]

[12, 13].

The following parameters were measured and compared:

- LA size was measured during systole along the parasternal long axis view from 2-dimensionally-guided M-mode tracing and from the apical four chamber view [14];
- LA volumes were measured from the apical 4-chamber and 2-chamber views by means of the biplane area-length method [15]. LA volumes \((\text{cm}^3/\text{m}^2)\) were determined just before the mitral valve opening (maximal volume, max LAV), at the onset of atrial systole (p wave of electrocardiogram, P volume), and at mitral valve closure (minimal volume, min LAV) [16]. From the LA volumes the following parameters were estimated: LA passive emptying was assessed as: LA passive emptying volume (max LAV-P volume, \((\text{cm}^3/\text{m}^2)\)), conduit volume \([\text{left ventricular stroke volume} - (\text{Max LAV-min LAV}) \times \text{(cm}^3/\text{m}^2)]\) and LA passive emptying fraction (LA passive emptying volume/max LAV); LA active emptying was estimated using: LA active emptying volume \((\text{P volume-min LAV (cm}^3/\text{m}^2)\)), and LA active emptying fraction \(\text{(LA active emptying volume/P volume)\); LA total emptying volume was also calculated (max LAV-min LAV \((\text{cm}^3/\text{m}^2)\)). LA emptying fraction \((\text{LAEF})\) was calculated via dividing the difference between max LAV and min LAV by max LAV.

The max LAV index (LAVI) was calculated as ratio to body surface area and LA enlargement [16]. Patients were divided in 2 groups according to LAVI >32 ml/m² at the time of echo control performed at 24 weeks of gestation.

Left Ventricular (LV) internal dimension, and septum and posterior wall thickness were measured according to the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines [14]. LV mean wall thickness was determined as the mean value of septum and posterior wall thickness at the end of diastole [17].

**Follow-Up**

Serial echocardiographic examinations were performed at 12 and 24 week of gestation and 1 month after delivery in women with chronic hypertension and at 24 week of gestation and 1 month after delivery in gestational hypertension. Normotensive patients were evaluated at 12 and 24 weeks of gestation and after delivery.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are expressed as mean value ± 1 SD for continuous variables. The statistical significance of serial changes in echocardiographic parameters was determined by 1-way analysis of variance for repeated measures. Comparison between groups was analyzed by independent t test. A statistical software (SPSS Version 11.0 SPSS, Inc) was used for statistical analysis. A p value <0.05 (2-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Clinical characteristics and echocardiographic data of patients are shown in Table 1. All patients with chronic hypertension had the diagnosis before the 12 week of gestation. In patients with chronic hypertension we compared changes developed during pregnancy (12 versus 24 week of pregnancy).

---

Table 2. Echo Parameters Related to Left Atrium: Comparison between Data Obtained at 12 and 24 Week of Gestation in Patients with Chronic Hypertension and after Delivery

| Parameters                      | 12 week  | 24 week  | p     | After delivery          | p     |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| LA ant-post diam (mm)           | 40.8 ± 2.1 | 42.0 ± 2.0 | 0.05  | 39.8 ± 2.2              | 0.001 vs. 12 and 24 wk |
| LA long axis diam (mm)          | 50.7 ± 2.8 | 53.5 ± 2.1 | 0.001 | 49.0 ± 2.0              | 0.001 vs. 24 wk |
| Maximal vol (cm²/m²)            | 40.7 ± 3.4 | 45.5 ± 4.0 | 0.001 | 41.2 ± 6.0              | 0.001 vs. 24 wk |
| Minimal vol (cm²/m²)            | 19.1 ± 2.0 | 21.0 ± 3.2 | n.s.  | 20.0 ± 2.6              | n.s.  |
| P atrial vol (cm²/m²)           | 22.0 ± 1.9 | 20.2 ± 2.1 | 0.001 | 21.1 ± 2.1              | n.s.  |
| Conduit vol (cm²/m²)            | 34.0 ± 3.2 | 33.4 ± 3.3 | n.s.  | 34.0 ± 2.9              | n.s.  |
| Passive empty vol (cm²/m³)      | 12.0 ± 1.5 | 15.2 ± 1.1 | 0.001 | 12.5 ± 1.4              | 0.001 vs. 24 wk |
| Passive emptying fraction       | 0.55 ± 0.1 | 0.81 ± 0.2 | 0.001 | 0.55 ± 0.3              | 0.001 vs. 24 wk |
| Active empty vol (cm²/m³)       | 8.5 ± 1.2 | 7.2 ± 2.0 | n.s.  | 8.1 ± 2.1              | 0.001 vs. 24 wk |
| Active emptying fraction        | 0.38 ± 0.1 | 0.34 ± 0.1 | n.s.  | 0.39 ± 0.2              | 0.001 vs. 24 wk |
| LA total empty vol (cm³/m²)     | 21.5 ± 2.5 | 22.8 ± 2.3 | n.s.  | 21.7 ± 2.3              | n.s.  |
| Atrial Ejection Force (dynes)   | 12.2 ± 2.1 | 13.5 ± 2.4 | n.s.  | 13.7 ± 3.0              | n.s.  |

Legend: see Table 1.
gestation) and after delivery. Then we compared data obtained at 24 week of gestation and after delivery in patients with chronic and gestational hypertension and with normal pregnancy.

**Chronic Hypertension (Table 2)**

At baseline LA dimensions were greater than normal, and further increased at 24 week of gestation. Maximal volume and LA passive emptying volume significantly increased. On contrary P atrial volume significantly decreased. Minimal LA volume, LA active emptying volume and LA total emptying volume did not change significantly. Atrial function was evaluated by using atrial ejection force, a parameter of atrial systolic function, and LAEF. LAEF increased from 44 ± 4 to 46 ± 3, p = 0.008 trend to significance while AEF didn’t show significantly variation during pregnancy. After delivery the left atrium tended to reduce and volumes tended to return to baseline values.

**Comparison between Chronic and Gestational Hypertension (Table 3)**

The antero-posterior left atrial diameter and the long axis diameter, measured from 4 chamber view, were greater in chronic hypertension compared with gestational hypertension (respectively, 42.0 ± 2.0 vs. 36.5 ± 1.8; p < 0.001 and 53.5 ± 2.1 vs. 50.2 ± 2.5; p < 0.001). Normotensive women had smaller diameters compared to chronic and gestational hypertension. The mean LA max and minimal volumes were had smaller diameters.

| Parameters       | Chronic (Ch) | Gestational (Gh) | p    | Normal (Nl) | p    |
|------------------|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|------|
| LA ant-post diam (mm) | 42.0 ± 2.0   | 36.5 ± 1.8       | 0.001| 35.2 ± 1.0  | 0.01 vs. Ch n.s. vs. Gh|
| LA long axis diam (mm) | 53.5 ± 2.1   | 50.2 ± 2.5       | 0.001| 45.2 ± 1.7  | 0.001 vs. Ch 0.001 vs. Gh|
| Maximal vol (cm³/m²) | 45.5 ± 4.0   | 38.1 ± 7.3       | 0.001| 35.1 ± 3.2  | 0.001 vs. Ch 0.001 vs. Gh|
| Minimal vol (cm³/m²) | 21.0 ± 3.2   | 17.5 ± 2.2       | 0.001| 10.9 ± 1.4  | 0.001 vs. Ch 0.001 vs. Gh|
| P atrial vol (cm³/m²) | 20.2 ± 2.1   | 19.4 ± 3.5       | n.s. | 18.3 ± 2.8  | n.s.  |
| Conduit vol (cm³/m²) | 33.4 ± 3.3   | 38.0 ± 3.1       | 0.001| 27.3 ± 4.5  | 0.001 vs. Ch 0.001 vs. Gh|
| Passive empt vol (cm³/m²) | 15.2 ± 1.1   | 9.2 ± 2.1        | 0.001| 9 ± 1.7     | 0.001 vs. Ch n.s. vs. Gh|
| Passive emptying fraction | 0.81 ± 0.2   | 0.47 ± 0.3       | 0.001| 0.5 ± 0.5   | 0.001 vs. Ch n.s. vs. Gh|
| Active empt vol (cm³/m²) | 7.2 ± 2.0    | 9.7 ± 1.8        | 0.001| 5.2 ± 1.8   | 0.05 vs. Ch 0.001 vs. Gh|
| Active emptying fraction | 0.34 ± 0.1   | 0.49 ± 0.8       | 0.001| 0.41 ± 0.1  | 0.001 vs. Ch 0.001 vs. Gh|
| LA total emptying vol (cm³/m²) | 22.8 ± 2.3   | 19.6 ± 2.2       | 0.001| 17.8 ± 4.0  | 0.001 vs. Ch n.s. vs. Gh|
| Atrial Ejection Force (dynes) | 13.5 ± 2.4   | 13.2 ± 2.4       | n.s. | 15.2 ± 1.9  | 0.001 vs. Ch 0.001 vs. Gh|

Legend: see Table 1.
DISCUSSION

The present study evaluated the effects of pregnancy on LA size and function in women with chronic and gestational hypertension.

The major finding was that patients with chronic hypertension had a more dilated LA compared to patients with gestational hypertension. We hypothesized that this difference could be the effect of a previous LA remodeling in chronic hypertension, however some changes occurring in geometry and function reversed after delivery in both groups of patients, suggesting a role of pregnancy related hemodynamic changes.

The behavior of pressure in normal pregnancy is well known; the diastolic pressure drops to an average of 10 mmHg below no pregnant values by mid-pregnancy, then slowly approaches no gravid levels in the third trimester. Women with chronic hypertension tend to have even greater decreases in their blood pressure in early pregnancy, and their "normal" rise in the 3rd trimester may be misdiagnosed as preeclampsia [18].

A different path-physiological mechanism seems to be involved in gestational hypertension, in which a spasm of small arteries all over the body causes an increase in peripheral vascular resistance [19, 20]. The factors causing the spasm of the small arteries might have a similar effect on the myocardium. This lead to a different hemodynamic balance in chronic and gestational hypertension, however, due to the complex cluster of mechanisms involved in hypertension, we cannot exclude a contribution of generalized small arteries spasm in chronic hypertension leading to increased arterial resistance. Recently, a paper from Powe and coworkers has identified an imbalance of proangiogenic and antiangiogenic proteins as a key factor in the pathogenesis of the preeclampsia [21].

Several studies found that chronic hypertension induced functional and anatomical remodeling in left atrium [1, 2, 7]. LA reservoir and pump function are increased in hypertensive patients with impaired LV relaxation. During ventricular systole, the LA reservoir volume compensated the impaired early LV filling [7].

We found that in chronic hypertension, LA diameters and LA maximal volume increased progressively from 12th to 24th week of gestation. Similarly the passive emptying volumes contribution to LV stroke volume increased whereas LA active emptying volume and conduit volume remain unchanged. These results were in agreement with previous study that found a direct correlation between max LAV and LA passive emptying volume in normal subjects [22]. During pregnancy, the active emptying fraction reduced, suggesting a reduction in the LA reservoir function. LA reservoir function is related to LA relaxation and compliance as well as LV longitudinal systolic fiber shortening [23, 24]. Thus, reduced LA reservoir function reflected not only the extent of atrial remodeling but also the underlying ventricular dysfunction which contributed to LA remodeling. LA phasic dysfunction, specifically the impairment of LA reservoir function, represented a more advanced phase of LA remodeling developing after LA enlargement [25].

After delivery, the reduction of LA passive emptying volume and fraction suggested a normalization of LA function. Patients with chronic hypertension had a LA remodeling secondary to chronic remodeling of the left ventricle and to an enhancement of left atrial changes developed before pregnancy. Further changes in atrial function depended upon volume overload during pregnancy and were reversible.

In patients with gestational hypertension changes of LA dimension and volumes were similar to that observed in chronic hypertension, although women with chronic hypertension had greater LA size at baseline as the effect of long-lasting pressure loading.

LA diameters and volumes were increase in women with gestational hypertension compared to normotensive women; however they were smaller than that observed in women affected by chronic hypertension. The conduit volume was more increased in gestational hypertension compared to chronic hypertension, suggesting that early diastolic filling was acutely augmented in these patients, whereas the compensatory late filling mechanism was reduced in gestational hypertension. In gestational hypertension the left atrium was previously normal and the pressure overload was brief, this short-lasting overload induced a temporarily increase in left ventricular volumes and an impairment of diastolic dysfunction. Similarly, the atrial dysfunction reported in patients affected by gestational hypertension was transient and the atrial shape changes were similar to that observed in normotensive pregnant women.

After delivery the LA of women with gestational hypertension was reduced suggesting that changes developing during pregnancy were reversible and were mightly induced by the fluid overload.

Complications were more frequent in women having LA volume index values >32 ml/m2, both with chronic and gestational hypertension. We use this cut-off because Moller and coworkers found that a LA volume index >32 ml/m2 was a powerful predictor of mortality after acute myocardial infarction and provided prognostic information incremental to clinical data [26].

Due to a dilated LA at baseline the number of women with chronic hypertension was higher. These preliminary observations, on a small number of subjects, suggested that a dilated LA could a marker of a provisional imbalance and that these patients would benefit from more frequent follow-up visit to avoid complications.

CONCLUSION

Changes in left atrial size and function are common in patients affected by chronic and gestational hypertension. Atrial function is reduced in patients with chronic hypertension compared to normotensive and gestational hypertension as effect of long-lasting pressure overload. Despite the limitation related to the low number of patients that developed complications we believe that the present study provides important insight in term of pathophysiology of hypertension during pregnancy and the relation between left atrial changes and complications. Due to the limited number of adverse events we reported, we suggest that further studies are needed to specifically address this issue.
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