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Abigail Williamsa, Emi Itoa*, Steffen Mischkeb, Daniel Palchanb, Amir Sandlerd, Mordechai Steinb,cd and Ahuva Almogi-Labind

aDepartment of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA; bUniversity of Iceland, Institute of Earth Sciences, 102 Reykjavík, Iceland; cInstitute of Earth Sciences, Hebrew University, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 9190401, Israel and dGeological Survey of Israel, Jerusalem 9692100, Israel

Abstract

Detrital sediments of the Sea of Galilee are predominantly pedogenic products of settled dust and local bedrocks transported from Upper Galilee and the Golan Heights. Using the mineralogy, chemistry, and Nd and Sr isotope ratios of the core LK12–22 collected offshore of the Ginosar valley and of contemporaneous soils from the Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud catchments, we reconstructed Late Holocene regional hydroclimate. The core samples span εNd isotope values of –6 to –2 and 87Sr/86Sr ratios of 0.7075 to 0.7077 between the isotope fields of the Terra rossa soils and basaltic soils. Sediments from the drier Iron Age and Arabic and Ottoman periods are closer in Nd–Sr isotope ratios of the basaltic soils, while those of the wetter Middle to Late Bronze and Roman–Byzantine periods are closer to the Terra rossa soils, reflecting enhanced mobilization of sediments from the Tzalmon catchment where Terra rossa–type soils accumulated. This result corroborates other regional data that indicate semiarid to temperate conditions in the south Levant during most of the Late Holocene. Wetter conditions over the Galilee Mountains and the Ginosar valley catchment during the Roman period could have promoted the flourishing farming-fishing society that heralded the rise of Christianity.
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INTRODUCTION

The East Mediterranean–Levant region, fringing the semiarid subtropical Sahara–Arabian desert belt, is predicted by climate modelers to become a hot spot of severe droughts and intense flooding events with devastating effects on human societies (Held and Soden, 2006; Kelley et al., 2012, 2015; IPCC, 2014; Donat et al., 2019). The reliability of these climate predictions depends on the knowledge of natural climatic patterns that are in turn reconstructed from the geological records of relevant periods. Extensive efforts have been made by the paleohydroclimate community using archives such as the Dead Sea lacustrine records (e.g., Enzel et al., 2003; Migowski et al., 2006; Kushnir and Stein, 2010, 2019), speleothems (Bar-Matthews et al., 2003), and deep-sea cores (Schlichman et al., 2001, 2002; Bookman et al., 2021) to reconstruct Late Holocene hydroclimate of the East Mediterranean–Levant region, which spans the subtropical Mediterranean and the desert climatic zones (Fig. 1).

The Sea of Galilee (Lake Kinneret) is primarily fed by the Jordan River, which originates in Mount Hermon. The lake also receives water and sediments from streams originating in the Golan Heights and Upper and East Galilee Mountains. As such, the sediments that are deposited in the lake mainly reflect the hydroclimatic conditions in the Mediterranean climate zone, areas that are predominantly affected by Mediterranean rainstorms (e.g., Dayan and Morin, 2006). Paleoenvironmental studies that relate to the Sea of Galilee focused on topics such as the precipitation of endogenic calcite associated with algal blooms and geochemical studies of Melanopsis shells (e.g., Katz and Nishri, 2013; Zaarur et al., 2016; Fruchter et al., 2017; Lev et al., 2019); nutrient cycling and mobilization; accumulation of eolian deposits (e.g., Singer et al., 1972; Serruya, 1978; Ganor et al., 2000; Koren and Klein, 2000; Gross et al., 2013); pollen studies (e.g., Langgut et al., 2013; Miebach et al., 2017); and lake-level reconstruction (Hazar el et al., 2004, 2005; Lev et al., 2019).

Here, we explore the mobilization of fine-grained sediments that comprise surface cover material of the Ginosar valley catchment (Fig. 1) to the lake and discuss the hydroclimatic conditions that controlled the erosion of these surface cover materials during the Late Holocene. For this, we determined the sedimentological and geochemical properties of allogenic sediments that were deposited in the lake, including sediments of Core LK12–22 that was collected offshore of the Ginosar valley (Fig. 1), recovering a sedimentary record of the past ~4 ka. We use the Nd and Sr isotopes of the non-carbonate fraction of the cored sediments to reconstruct the sources and history of surface cover soil formation, erosion, and mobilization in the catchment area of the
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Ginosar valley (the depositional area of the Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud (nahal = stream; Fig. 1). The Nd and Sr isotope ratios allow us to discern the sources of the fine-grained detrital particles and to detect the temporal variations in the origins of the non-carbonate material that accumulated on the lake floor. Then, we expand our sediment-source tracing for fine-grained detrital particles that accumulated in the different sectors of the lake floor and discuss the origin and conditions of surface cover formation, erosion, and mobilization in the various catchment areas around the lake.

The Sea of Galilee region has a long history of human activity. Many outstanding prehistoric sites such as the early Pleistocene Ubeidiya site (Tchernov, 1987) and the late Pleistocene (~22 cal ka BP) Ohalo II site (Nadel, 1990) exist along the lake’s ancient shores and nearby areas. The lakeshore areas were occupied by flourishing cities and smaller settlements, including farming-fishing villages of the Roman period where early Christianity was becoming established. These historical developments occurred within the background of regional and local environmental conditions such as aridity changes and seismic activity. Thus, understanding the hydroclimate of the region during these Late Holocene cultural and historical changes provides useful information as we contemplate the future.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The Sea of Galilee, the only freshwater reservoir in Israel, fills one of the morphotectonic depressions along the Dead Sea Transform (Ben-Avraham et al., 2014; Stein, 2014). The lake lies at the northern extension of the tectonic Kinnarot basin (Fig. 1a), which has a...
gentle basin morphology without deep-cutting valleys or depressions. The sediments filling the lake are flat-lying, and seismic profiles show deepening toward the north-central location (near Station A; Fig. 1b; Ben-Gai, 2009). Most of the streams that feed the lake run through the marginal flat valleys, such as the Beit Tsaida valley at its north-northeastern side or the Ginosar valley at its northwestern side, depositing most of their sediment loads within these marginal valleys. Suspended materials arrive with low energy into the lake. The Jordan River, the main inflow to the Sea of Galilee, meanders through the Beit Tsaida valley before gently discharging into the lake.

In addition to the Jordan River, a few other nahals drain the central Golan Heights, and saline springs discharge into the lake mainly along the western edge of the lake (Kolodny et al., 1999; Flexer et al., 2000; Fruchter et al., 2017; Lev et al., 2019). Streams that originate in Upper and East Galilee (Fig. 1b), such as Nahal Amud and Nahal Tzialmon, are dry or nearly dry by the time they reach Ginosar valley (Israel Hydrological Survey database, Israel Water Authority, Jerusalem) because their water has been appropriated for irrigation. The Hula basin marshes, north of the Sea of Galilee, used to trap most of the sediment being carried by Jordan River and its tributaries before being drained in the 1950s for agricultural purposes (Gophen et al., 2003), so the Jordan River was not a major source of detrital sediments delivered to the Sea of Galilee (Lev et al., 2019). In the 1960s, some of the saline spring waters were diverted to reduce the salinity of lake water.

The Ginosar valley, the focus of this study, consists of alluvial fans of two streams: Nahal Amud and Nahal Tzialmon (Fig. 1b). The alluvial fans merge at the Sea of Galilee and comprise a broad and morphologically flat depositional plain ~5 km wide where the fan intersects the lake and ~2 km long from the coast to the apex of the fan. Today, the extension of the Ginosar valley lies under ~10 m of lake water when the lake level stands at 209 m below mean sea level (m bmsl). In 1999, when the lake was at a low stand of 214 m bmsl, the lake reeded from the Ginosar valley, and a significant part of the currently submerged plain was exposed, displaying its gently sloping and smooth morphology. The same gently sloping morphology was observed in other near-shore parts of the lake that were exposed during the 1999 low stand. This indicates that Ginosar valley streams do not carry high-energy discharges that can carve out canyons or gullies underwater, having lost most of their energies when they emerge at the top of alluvial fans.

The central region of the Upper Galilee Mountains consists mainly of Mesozoic and Cenozoic marine limestone and dolostone that are covered by soils and in places by Late Cenozoic basalt flows. Of the two streams that flow through the Ginosar valley, the Nahal Tzialmon catchment area currently receives annual precipitation of 400–600 mm, and Nahal Amud drains the higher-elevation areas of the Upper Galilee Mountains (e.g., Mt. Meron, 1208 m above sea level) and their eastern slopes with annual precipitation of 400–900 mm. The surface cover of the Galilee Mountains is composed mainly of Terra rossa (mainly Rhodic, Chromic, and Leptic Cambisols) soils. Some Grumosols (mainly Pellic, Haplic, and Leptic Vertisols) and Protogrumosols (mainly Protogrumic Regosols) develop on basalts, and we refer to them as "basaltic soils." Some Grumosols (mainly Pellic, Haplic, and Leptic Vertisols) and Protogrumosols (mainly Protogrumic Regosols) develop on basalts, and we refer to them as "basaltic soils." A simplified soils map, based on the Soil Association Map of Israel (Dan et al., 1976; Dan and Koyumdjiski, 1979) is shown in Figure 1b. Because the Beit Tsaida valley at the northeastern side of the lake (Fig. 1) and the Lower Jordan valley at the southern and southwestern sides of the lake were covered during the last glacial period by paleo-Lake Lisan, which deposited fine-grained sediments (Hazen et al., 2005), these areas represent another source of fine detritus to the lake today.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Core acquisition

Core LK12-22 (32.83861°N, 35.53373°E), measuring 143 cm long, was collected with a UWITEC hammer corer fitted with a PVC liner tube at a water depth of 12 m in January 2012 at the extension of the alluvial fan of the Ginosar valley. The lake level was at 213.3 m bmsl, thus the top of the core was at ~225 m bmsl. Immediately after core acquisition, to prevent the sediment from being disturbed during transport, the empty space at the top and bottom of the liner tube was filled with blocks of floral foam. The core was shipped to the Free University of Berlin for a detailed ostracod study (Kalanche, 2015). Before being transported to Berlin, the core was cut into two segments at 65 cm, which created a 1-cm loss of the core material just above and below this depth so that the upper segment is from 0 to 64 cm, and the lower half is from 66 to 143 cm. The detached sediment from 64 to 65 cm and another from 65 to 66 cm were retained. The core sections were split at the Free University. One half of the core remained in Berlin and the other half was later shipped to

---

### Table 1. Surface-sediment samples from the Sea of Galilee.

| Sample ID | Location (lat., long.) | Water depth (m) | Site remarks |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| LK1       | 32.88575°N, 35.60892°E | 5.0             | Near Jordan River mouth |
| LK7       | 32.86572°N, 35.60453°E | 22.0            | ~2.4 km south of Jordan River mouth |
| LK9       | 32.85229°N, 35.60007°E | 27.0            | ~4 km south of Jordan River mouth |
| LK10      | 32.82104°N, 35.58897°E | 32.0            | Center of lake |
| LK12      | 32.76797°N, 35.57550°E | 27.0            | West-central part of lake |
| LK15      | 32.74557°N, 35.57742°E | 19.0            | South-central part of lake |
| LK17      | 32.72895°N, 35.59735°E | 12.0            | South-central part of lake |
| LK41      | 32.72511°N, 35.61234°E | 8.0             | South near shore |
| LK46      | 32.81178°N, 35.64328°E | 10.0            | Central eastern near shore |
| LK105     | 32.89088°N, 35.61995°E | 0.1             | Nahal Majrasa |
| LK159     | 32.83431°N, 35.53203°E | 10.0            | 560 m N of LK12-22 core site |
| LK161     | 32.84521°N, 35.52095°E | 6.0             | 830 m NNE of LK12-22 core site |
to the Continental Scientific Drilling Facility (CSD Facility) at the University of Minnesota for core processing, initial core description, and archiving.

**Surface-sediment samples**

Surface-sediment samples were collected using an Ekman dredge and stored in Whirl-Pak bags. Two samples, LK159 and LK161, were collected offshore of the Ginosar valley. LK1 was collected from the mouth of the Jordan River and nine other samples were collected from different locations across the lake bottom (Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Soil samples**

Surface-soil samples were collected from the catchment area of the Ginosar valley at several sites along or near the Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud (Fig. 1b; Table 2). Approximately 100 g of surface soil was collected using a trowel and stored in a plastic bag under ambient temperature.

**Core processing**

At the CSD Facility, split cores were analyzed for point magnetic susceptibility (MS) measured every 5 mm along the center of the split core surface with the Geotek MSCL-XYZ scanner and imaged with the Geotek Geoscan-III. The Geoscan-III uses a line-scan CCD camera with polarizing filters to prevent any glare from the wet sediment. Images were scanned at 20 pixels/mm. The split core surface was already oxidized when it arrived at the CSD Facility, and the color description is that of oxidized sediment.

**XRF analysis**

Ten sediment samples were chosen from portions of Core LK12-22 representing a different lithology and analyzed with a Rigaku Miniflex X-ray diffractometer at the University of Minnesota. The sediment was ground to a fine powder (<63 μm) with distilled deionized water in an agate mortar and pestle, and after a corundum spike was added, the slurry was pipetted onto a slide before analysis. Scanning conditions were 20°–56° 2θ range and a step size of 0.02°. The Jade program was used to identify the minerals and their qualitative abundance. The soil samples were analyzed at the Geological Survey of Israel (GSI) using a Panalytical X’Pert3 Powder diffractometer equipped with a PIXcel detector. Scanning conditions were: 3°–70° 2θ range, step size 0.013°, and equivalent time per step of 30.6 s, ending up with total time of ~11 min.

**Radiocarbon analysis**

The chronology of the core was established by radiocarbon analysis of charcoal picked from nine depths throughout the core. We screened 85 samples of ~20 g each but did not find any terrestrial plant material in the core. However, previous chronological studies at the shores of the Sea of Galilee demonstrated that the charcoal ages are reliable and consistent with radiocarbon ages that were measured on twigs or seeds from the same stratigraphic horizon (e.g., at the Ohalo II trench; Hazan et al., 2005).

We extracted ~1 cm$^3$ of sediment from a thickness of 0.5 cm and washed this aliquot through a 125 μm sieve using doubly deionized (DDI) water. The material remaining in the sieve was suspended in DDI water in a picking tray. The wet charcoal was picked using a binocular microscope and tweezers and stored in a 2 dram (7.4 mL) glass vial, which had previously been burned for 4 hours at 550°C to ensure that the vial was free of any modern organic carbon. The vial was partially filled with DDI water and several drops of 10% HCl to prevent the growth of mold and preserve the integrity of the charcoal. If the amount of

| Sample ID | Location (lat., long.) | Depth (cm) | Site remarks                  |
|-----------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Rvd 1     | 32.84601°N, 35.45997°E | 0          | Tzalmon catchment             |
| Rvd 2     | 32.84601°N, 35.45997°E | 10         | Tzalmon catchment             |
| Rvd 3     | 32.84601°N, 35.45437°E | 10         | Tzalmon catchment, in contact with in situ rock |
| Rvd 4     | 32.84601°N, 35.45437°E | 0          | Tzalmon catchment, surface    |
| Haz       | 32.89917°N, 35.40278°E | 5          | Tzalmon catchment, southern slope of Mt. Hazon |
| Amud 1    | 32.86891°N, 35.50239°E | 0          | Amud catchment, stream channel |
| Amud 2    | 32.86891°N, 35.50239°E | 0          | Amud catchment, terrace 50 cm above stream bottom |
| Huk 1     | 32.89395°N, 35.48565°E | 5          | Amud catchment, west of Hukok kibbutz |
| Huk 2     | 32.87526°N, 35.48565°E | 0          | Amud catchment, above a basalt quarry in contact with broken basalt |
| Klh 1     | 32.88651°N, 35.51016°E | 0          | Amud catchment, southern point of Moshav Kahal |
charcoal from the initial picking was insufficient, an additional ∼1 cm$^3$ of sediment from the original sample depth was processed. If there was not enough sediment left from the original depth, additional samples were taken from above and below the original interval. The overall sampled interval never exceeded 2 cm in thickness in order to maintain the precision of the age determination.

The charcoal samples (Table 3) were sent to the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory’s Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry. The results were calibrated using OxCal 4.4 (Bronk Ramsey, 2009), which uses INTCAL20 (Reimer et al., 2020). An age–depth model was constructed based on Bayesian statistics with the rbaco package 2.5.7 (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rbaco/index.html, accessed January 20, 2022; Blaauw and Christen, 2011; Blaauw et al., 2021) The age at the top of the core was constrained as ∼62 cal yr BP (2012 CE), the year the core was collected. The calibrated age probability distributions are also calculated by rbaco. We report the calibrated ages as cal yr BP or cal ka BP.

**Grain-size analyses**

Core LK12-22 was subsampled in 2- to 2.5-cm-thick segments (∼5 cm$^3$) for grain-size analysis. Different colored bands, segments that appeared coarse with shell fragments, and sediments that appeared fine-grained were sampled to obtain some idea of grain-size range. Fifteen samples were processed and analyzed at the University of Minnesota using a Horiba Grain-Size Analyzer LA-920. The sample processing procedure consisted of removal of shell fragments and any grains larger than 2 mm in diameter, removal of organic matter with 30% H$_2$O$_2$, dissolution of biogenic silica with 1 M NaOH, and the dissolution of carbonate minerals with 0.5 N HCl. The treated samples were centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 5 min and rinsed with deionized water. The centrifuge and rinse procedures were repeated three times. Each sample was introduced into an analysis chamber with a sodium hexametaphosphate solution (5 g Na-HMP to 1 L DDI water). The Horiba autosampler holds 12 samples, and 4 samples were selected at random for a duplicate analysis to check for consistency throughout each run. Alumina powder of known grain-size distribution was used at the start and end of each day to check the calibration of the instrument.

**Sr and Nd isotope analyses**

Sr and Nd isotope ratios of fine sediment (<63 μm) of the core, surface sediment from the lake, and soil samples were analyzed. Samples from the core were taken approximately every 10 cm, except where lithologic changes dictated taking additional samples (Table 4). The isotope analyses were performed on two dissolution fractions: a carbonate fraction that was leached by weak acetic acid and a non-carbonate fraction dissolved in HF + solution fractions: a carbonate fraction that was leached by weak ples (Table 4). The isotope analyses were performed on two dis-

42 A. Williams et al.

**Microfossils**

Bulk samples from every other centimeter-thick slice of the core were washed through a 63 μm sieve for microfaunal identification. The ostracods in these samples were identified, their abundance was recorded, and the presence of detrital foraminifera was also recorded.

**RESULTS**

**Radiocarbon ages**

Uncalibrated radiocarbon ages of the samples range from 3605 ± 80 (2σ) to 200 ± 60 14C yr BP (Table 3). No charcoal was recovered between 100 and 57 cm. Samples LK1 (18.5–19.0 cm) and LK5 (51.0–52.5 cm) yielded age reversals (Table 3). Calibrated (and modeled) ages range from 4141 ± 17 to 168 ± 155 (3788 to 186) cal yr BP (Table 3). The age–depth model is shown in Figure 2.

**Grain size of the core sediments**

The grain-size range of non-carbonate sediments of the core is from clay to fine sand, with two samples (97 and 82 cm) containing medium sand (Fig. 3). All samples are strongly to weakly bimodal, with the fine fraction centered around 0.5 to 0.8 μm. Two samples (97 and 82 cm) show only a weak secondary peak in the fine fraction. Twelve of the 15 samples have coarse fraction maxima in the range of 15 to 50 μm. One sample from Unit C (97 cm) has a coarse fraction maximum at 60 μm. One Unit D sample (82 cm) with a coarse fraction peak at 80 μm is from the bottom of the unit and contains many shell fragments. A sample from the top of the Unit D (70 cm depth) is dark red and appears very fine-grained. The maximum grain-size range of this sample was 6 to 8 μm. One other sample with a coarse fraction of only ∼5 μm is from Unit F (36 cm), with similar visual characteristics. Three samples (Unit A: 123 cm; Unit C: 84 cm; and Unit F: 12 cm) also have finer coarse fractions (peak 15 to 17 μm) and are also dark red in color. Light-colored sediments have a significantly higher proportion of coarser grains, with peak abundance around 50 μm.

**Stratigraphy and lithology of the LK12-22 core**

Core LK12-22 was divided into six stratigraphic units based on the degree of lamination, qualitative and quantitative grain size, the XRF data, and mineralogy (Figs. 3–4; Supplementary Fig. 2; Supplementary Tables 2–5). Quartz and calcite are present throughout the core. Common minor minerals are dolomite and clay minerals, and feldspar was identified in some intervals. Most of the silicate minerals have grain sizes of fine silt to fine sand. Smear slides indicate an abundance of fine-grained (<5 μm) endogenic calcite. We identified two major sedimentary facies units: laminated marl and massive marl (Lev et al., 2019). Most of the core shows no lamination, and only the bottom 21 cm of the core consists of alternating laminae of calcite and fine detritus.
Unit A (143–122 cm) is characterized by subcentimeter laminations gradually decreasing in color contrast up-core. Alternating randomly between dark brown, reddish-taupe, brownish-red, and cream-colored sediment, the lamination thickness ranges from millimeter to centimeter scale with no apparent grain-size gradation throughout the entire section. Between 138 and 137 cm, there is an abundance of broken mollusk fragments. MS increases up-core, while Ca decreases. High-resolution XRF intensity variations generally correspond with visual laminations in this unit (Fig. 4). Ostracod shells are nearly absent in this unit. In general, the darker sediment coincides with higher Ti and Fe, and lighter-colored sediment occurs with higher Ca intensities. This may indicate alternations between carbonate-poor and carbonate-rich laminae. XRD analysis of sediment from 130 to 128 cm shows that the major minerals are quartz, clay minerals, and calcite with minor dolomite.

Unit B (122–100.5 cm) is massive reddish taupe-colored marl with fossil foraminifera at 108 and 106 cm. XRF Ti and Fe intensities are anti-correlated with Ca. They show little variation for the rest of the unit. The overall mottled appearance of the sediment is reflected in the characteristic reddish color, while Unit D is grayer. The remainder of the unit is characterized by subcentimeter quartz and clay minerals with minor calcite and dolomite. The interval between 86 and 85 cm consists mainly of quartz, calcite, some feldspars and minor dolomite, but no clay minerals.

Unit D (83–60 cm) has a 1.5-cm-thick layer of broken and whole shells of freshwater mollusks at the base of the unit and fossil foraminifera at 76.5 cm (Fig. 4). The boundary between Units C and D has an abrupt change in grain size, lithology, and sediment color. The upper part of Unit C is the commonly observed reddish color, while Unit D is grayish. The remainder of the unit shows little sedimentary fabric such as banding and lamination whether in X-radiography or by visual inspection. It also lacks charcoal (>125 μm), which is found throughout the rest of the core. Overall, the MS and the chemical composition are relatively uniform throughout the unit. XRF results from 83 to 82 cm are primarily quartz and calcite with minor dolomite but no clay minerals.

Unit E (60–42 cm) is a predominantly massive brownish-gray marl with little visible sedimentary fabric. The only noticeable features occur in the form of thin red lamina and a bleb of clay-sized sediment at 58 cm and 56 cm, respectively. Fossil foraminifera are found at 51, 49, and 46 cm. MS and XRF data show slightly higher intensities of K, Ti, and Fe at the bottom of this unit and only minor variation for the rest of the unit. The overall mottled appearance of the sediment is reflected in the X-radiography with no evidence of lamination. XRD analyses indicate quartz and calcite as the major minerals at 56–55 cm and at 52–50 cm.

Unit F (42–0 cm) is brownish-gray with indistinct reddish zones from 35 to 30 cm; an isolated 1-cm-thick red layer at 22–20 cm; a shell-rich layer at 28 cm; and fossil foraminifera at 32, 29, and 20 cm. MS peaks at 23–20 cm and then declines toward the top of the core. XRF intensities of K, Ti, and Fe show a subtle increase around 23 cm, while Ca shows a decrease. XRD results at 32.5–30.8 cm (part of the reddish zone) indicate quartz and calcite as major minerals, with clay minerals and dolomite as minor minerals. XRD on the sediment from 17 to 15 cm shows the major minerals to be quartz and calcite.

### Table 3. 

| Sample | CAMS number | Depth (cm) | 14C age, yr BP | 2σ | cal yr BP age | 2σ | rbacon-modeled age, cal yr BP | Lithological unit |
|--------|-------------|------------|----------------|----|--------------|----|----------------------------|------------------|
| LK 1   | 166565      | 19.0–18.5  | 275            | 70 | 327          | 103| 186                        | A                |
| LK 2   | 166566      | 26.0–25.5  | 200            | 60 | 168          | 155| 282                        |                 |
| LK 3   | 166567      | 35.0–34.5  | 335            | 80 | 387          | 123| 430                        |                 |
| LK 4   | 166568      | 43.5–42.5  | 505            | 90 | 527          | 111| 608                        |                 |
| LK 5   | 166569      | 52.5–51.0  | 1605           | 60 | 1490         | 121| 1366                       | E                |
| LK 6   | 166570      | 56.5–55.0  | 1300           | 140| 1187         | 242| 1461                       |                 |
| LK 7   | 166571      | 103.5–102.0| 2240           | 200| 2289         | 463| 2726                       |                 |
| LK 8   | 166572      | 112.5–111.0| 3060           | 120| 3228         | 281| 3098                       | B                |
| LK 9   | n.a.        | 121.0–120.0| Too small      |    |              |    |                            | A                |
| LK 10  | n.a.        | 129.0–127.5| Too small      |    |              |    |                            |                 |
| LK 11  | 166573      | 136.5–136.0| 3605           | 80 | 4141         | 170| 3788                       |                 |
For the most part, the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios for the carbonate fraction of the core were uniform, with an average value of 0.70768 ± 0.00013 (2$\sigma$; Table 4).

The silicate fraction of the laminated Unit A has $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values between 0.7083 and 0.7096 and −5.6 and −3.5, respectively (Figs. 4 and 5). The highest $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values and lowest $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values occur in the massive Unit D, ranging

### Table 4. $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ results for Core LK12-22 sediment, lake surface-sediment, and soil.

| Sample ID | Core depth (cm) | $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ | Sr conc. (ppm) | $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ | $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ | Sr conc. (ppm) |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Core      | 5.0            | 0.70751                       | 21.1           | a                             | a              | a              |
| Core      | 12.0           | 0.70760                       | 26.7           | 0.70767                       | −3.0           | 3.14           |
| Core      | 21.0           | 0.70764                       | 12.1           | 0.70851                       | −3.6           | 2.46           |
| Core      | 25.0           | 0.70768                       | 15.2           | 0.70726                       | −3.7           | 4.67           |
| Core      | 36.0           | 0.70765                       | 19.3           | 0.70683                       | −3.1           | 4.81           |
| Core      | 46.0           | 0.70773                       | 19.1           | 0.70748                       | −3.6           | 5.15           |
| Core      | 57.0           | 0.70764                       | 21.2           | 0.70760                       | −3.6           | 5.76           |
| Core      | 59.0           | 0.70766                       | 23.5           | 0.70730                       | −3.2           | 5.47           |
| Core      | 68.0           | 0.70772                       | 22.3           | 0.71028                       | −5.0           | 3.23           |
| Core      | 87.0           | 0.70756                       | 10.3           | 0.71092                       | −4.5           | 1.71           |
| Core      | 88.0           | —                             | —              | 0.71127                       | −5.3           | 1.95           |
| Core      | 94.0           | 0.70773                       | 4.85           | 0.70875                       | −4.7           | 6.35           |
| Core      | 123.0          | 0.70771                       | 15.7           | 0.70964                       | −5.2           | 4.20           |
| Core      | 127.0          | 0.70772                       | 14.9           | 0.70830                       | −3.5           | 6.80           |
| Core      | 133.0          | 0.70765                       | 16.2           | 0.70926                       | −4.5           | 3.41           |
| Core      | 137.0          | 0.70774                       | 20.9           | 0.70873                       | −4.6           | 3.85           |
| Core      | 141.0          | 0.70774                       | 18.5           | 0.70961                       | −5.6           | 2.30           |
| LK1       | —              | 0.70750                       | —              | 0.70451                       | −4.7           | —              |
| LK7       | —              | 0.70760                       | —              | 0.70621                       | −4.8           | —              |
| LK9       | —              | 0.70762                       | —              | 0.70708                       | −10.1          | —              |
| LK10      | —              | 0.70763                       | —              | 0.70758                       | −2.7           | —              |
| LK12      | —              | 0.70763                       | —              | 0.70741                       | −8.3           | —              |
| LK15      | —              | 0.70725                       | —              | 0.70742                       | −10.0          | —              |
| LK17      | —              | 0.70763                       | —              | 0.70740                       | −8.8           | —              |
| LK105     | —              | 0.70773                       | —              | 0.70798                       | −11.2          | —              |
| LK159     | —              | 0.70781                       | —              | 0.70764                       | −5.6           | —              |
| LK161     | —              | 0.70779                       | —              | 0.70840                       | −5.6           | —              |
| Rvd 1     | —              | —                             | 2.91           | 0.71193                       | −5.6           | 71.0           |
| Rvd 2     | —              | —                             | 1.76           | 0.71241                       | −5.1           | 66.3           |
| Rvd 3     | —              | —                             | 4.89           | 0.71135                       | −6.1           | 83.8           |
| Rvd 4     | —              | —                             | 6.20           | 0.71116                       | −5.5           | 77.7           |
| Amud 1    | —              | —                             | 10.6           | 0.70787                       | −4.9           | 153            |
| Amud 2    | —              | —                             | 10.0           | 0.70870                       | −6.1           | 188            |
| Huk 1     | —              | —                             | 7.78           | 0.70916                       | −6.0           | 110            |
| Huk 2     | —              | —                             | 3.40           | 0.70355                       | 2.7            | 366            |
| Kh        | —              | —                             | 5.15           | 0.71171                       | −7.6           | 75.2           |
| Haz       | —              | —                             | 1.91           | 0.71283                       | −5.4           | 71.3           |

*Sample lost.
between 0.7103 and 0.7113 and −5.3 and −4.5, respectively. In massive Units E and F, $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios are the lowest, ranging from 0.7068 to 0.7085 and $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values are the highest, between −3.6 and −3.0 (Fig. 5).

The silicate fraction of the lake surface-sediment samples from the vicinity of the core site (LK159, LK161, labeled as Ginosar sediments) has $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios of 0.7076 to 0.7084 and $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values of −5.6, similar to the soil samples of the lower part of the Nahal.
Amud catchment (Amud 1 and Amud 2). Other surface-sediment samples have \( ^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratios of 0.7062 to 0.7071 and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values of \(-4.8\) to \(-1.3\). The basaltic soil sample (Huk 2) containing basalt fragments, collected above the Nahal Amud valley, has a \( ^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratio of 0.7035 and a \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) value of 2.7. Soils collected from the catchments of the Tzalmon and Amud streams (except Amud 1, Amud 2, and Huk 2) have the highest \( ^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratios and lowest \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values, varying between 0.7111 and 0.7128 and between \(-7.6\) and \(-5.1\), respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

**Chronology of Core LK12-22**

Using the modeled ages, Unit A approximately corresponds with the Middle to Late Bronze Age (1750–1150 BCE), Unit B with the Iron Age (1150–586 BCE), Units C and D with the Persian–Hellenistic–Roman–Byzantine periods (586 BCE–632 CE), and Units E and F with the Arabic and Ottoman periods (632–1917 CE; Fig. 4). No charcoal was recovered from Units C and D, possibly indicating that wildfires were less frequent during the Persian–Hellenistic–Roman–Byzantine periods. All archaeological periods refer specifically to those applicable to the Levant (Table 5).

Age intervals indicated for different lithologic units (see next section) are median ages for each depth determined with the radiocarbon age–depth model (Blaauw and Christen, 2011; Blaauw et al., 2021). The carbon age–depth model suggests that sedimentation rate was 0.35 mm/yr for the interval of 143–50 cm (\(\sim\)2600 yr) and a higher rate of 0.65 mm/yr for the interval of 43–0 cm (\(\sim\)650 yr). These rates are low compared with the sedimentation rate of \(~2\) mm/yr recorded for the Holocene section.
reconstructed at the depocenter of the lake near Station A (Schiebel and Litt, 2018; Fig. 1). There, mostly endogenic calcite is deposited from the lake water (e.g., Fruchter et al., 2017), responding to the continuous supply of Ca and bicarbonate ions by the Jordan River (Katz and Nishri, 2013).

Sediment deposition offshore of the Ginosar valley during the past $\sim$4 ka

Unit A (143–122 cm, $\sim$3.9 to 3.4 cal ka BP, the Middle to Late Bronze Age) consists of laminae of endogenic calcite deposited from the lake water and fluvial fine-grained sediment. In the modern lake, the deposition of endogenic calcite is associated with the spring to early summer algal bloom (Katz and Nishri, 2013; Fruchter et al., 2017; Lev et al., 2019). The preservation of the laminations is likely the result of sediment having been

Table 5. Archaeological and historical periods of the southern Levant.

| Periods           | Time interval (years) | Time interval (cal yr BP) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Ottoman           | 1516–1917 CE          | 434–33                   |
| Arabic            | Late Arabic           | 1291–1516 CE             | 659–434               |
|                   | Crusader              | 1099–1291 CE             | 851–659               |
|                   | Early Arabic          | 632–1099 CE              | 1318–851              |
| Byzantine         | 330–632 CE            | 1620–1318                |
| Roman             | 32 BC–330 CE          | 1982–1620                |
| Hellenistic       | 332–32 BCE            | 2282–1982                |
| Persian           | 586–332 BCE           | 2536–2282                |
| Iron Age          | 1150–586 BCE          | 3100–2536                |
| Late Bronze Age   | 1550–1150 BCE         | 3500–3100                |
| Middle Bronze Age | 1750–1550 BCE         | 3700–3500                |

Figure 5. $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values in the silicate fractions of LK12-22 core sediments, surface sediments from the lake, and soil samples. Sediment samples of LK12-22 (A to F) lie along an array between the field of Terra rossa (TR) soils and basaltic soils (e.g., Huk-2 sample). Sediment samples from Units A, C and D lie within the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$–$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ field of Fazael soils (Palchan et al., 2018). The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$–$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ field of Terra rossa (TR) soils encloses all Tzalmon soil samples and partly overlaps the field of the silicate fraction of settled dusts transported from the Sahara Desert (Haliva-Cohen et al., 2012; Palchan et al., 2019). Amud soil samples define a field that lies between the isotope values of settled desert dusts and the “Valley Loess” (Palchan et al., 2018). The lacustrine surface sediments marked as “Lake sediments” lie on various segments of the diagram. Part of them lie on the vertical extension of the Valley Loess between $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios $\sim$0.7080 ± 1 and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values $\sim$–11 to $\sim$–8 (Palchan et al., 2018). The inset (b) shows the field of the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of the silicate fractions of LK12-22 core samples, emphasizing that they form an array between the isotope fields of the Terra rossa and basaltic soils.

Figure 6. Relevant Sea of Galilee cores (Lev et al., 2019; and this study). The locations of the cores are shown in Fig. 1. The numbers next to each core indicate the depth of obtained core sediments in meters below mean sea level (m bmsl). The core tops of the Ohalo (OH II) trench and Core KIN2 are very close to the SW shoreline of the last glacial maximum or older age. The top of Core SOG2, from the southern shallower part of the lake (226 m bmsl), is of the Younger Dryas age and that of Core SOG3, located close to Core LK12-22, is of latest Holocene age. Solid red color indicates massive marls, and black and white stripes indicate laminated marls.
undisturbed by waves and from bioturbation due to hypoxic to anoxic conditions. The near absence of ostracod shells in this unit indicates deposition at a minimum water depth of ~15 m, which is the shallowest upper boundary of oxic to hypoxic bottom waters today. Fifteen meters is the greatest depth where ostracods live in the lake today (Kalanke, 2015; Lev et al., 2019).

Laminations on a similar scale are not found in any other part of the core. A laminated sequence of a coeval period, barren of ostracods, was documented in Core SOG3 (Figs. 1b and 6) and was correlated to Mid-Holocene high lake stand (Lev et al., 2019). Core KIN2, which was drilled at Ohalo II archaeological site, shows that ~6 m sequence of continuously laminated sediments was deposited between ~28 and 24 cal ka BP during an earlier high stand when the lake reached its maximum elevation of 170 m bmsl (Hazar et al., 2005; Lev, 2016; Miebach et al., 2017). Thus, the laminated sequence in LK12–22 was likely deposited at times of significant freshwater supply to the lake during a more humid climate, as suggested by Hazan et al. (2005), Langgut et al. (2013), and Lev et al. (2019). The deposition of laminated sediments possibly began earlier than ~3.9 cal ka BP (the bottom of Unit A), coinciding with Mid-Holocene high lake stand (~200 m bmsl) documented at Tel Bet Yerah above the Ohalo shore (Hazar et al., 2005; Lev et al., 2019; Fig. 1) and with the timing of high stand at the hypersaline Dead Sea (Migowski et al., 2006).

Above Unit A, the sediment is largely composed of massive marls. This sedimentary facies characterizes the rest of the core, except for some small intervals in Units C and D. Nevertheless, there are some significant differences in the mineralogy, grain size, and other properties of sediments comprising various massive marl sections in the rest of the core.

Unit B (122–100.5 cm) consists of massive marls that were deposited between ~3.4 and 2.6 cal ka BP, an interval that encompasses the Iron Age. The mineralogy of quartz, calcite, and some feldspars and clays and textural appearance and color similar to Units E and F suggest that the marls are composed mostly of a mix of basaltic soils and mountain soils of Ginosar valley catchments.

Units C and D (100.5–83 cm and 83–60 cm, respectively) were deposited between 2.6 and 1.5 cal ka BP, which encompasses the Persian, Hellenistic, Roman, and Byzantine periods. The lower half of Unit C (100–90 cm) is coarse grained (Fig. 3) with very high Ca (Fig. 4). The minerals comprising the upper half of Unit C and most of Unit D (excluding the 2-cm-thick coarse sediment at the Unit C–D boundary) are mainly quartz, calcite, and clay that resemble the composition of the mountain soils. The lower half of Unit C contains broken and corroded fossil foraminifera that were likely weathered out of the Cretaceous–Eocene carbonate bedrock of the Galilee Mountains, and it is especially rich in Ca, but the XRD results of a sample from 98 to 95 cm only indicated quartz and calcite with little else (Supplementary Table 2), suggesting high Ca detected by XRF is mostly due to fossils. The presence of fossil remains is consistent with enhanced carbonate bedrock of the Galilee Mountains, and it is especially rich in Ca, but the XRD results of a sample from 98 to 95 cm only indicated quartz and calcite with little else (Supplementary Table 2), suggesting high Ca detected by XRF is mostly due to fossils. The presence of fossil remains is consistent with enhanced carbonate bedrock of the Galilee Mountains, and it is especially rich in Ca, but the XRD results of a sample from 98 to 95 cm only indicated quartz and calcite with little else (Supplementary Table 2), suggesting high Ca detected by XRF is mostly due to fossils. The presence of fossil remains is consistent with enhanced carbonate bedrock of the Galilee Mountains, and it is especially rich in Ca, but the XRD results of a sample from 98 to 95 cm only indicated quartz and calcite with little else (Supplementary Table 2), suggesting high Ca detected by XRF is mostly due to fossils. The presence of fossil remains is consistent with enhanced carbonate bedrock of the Galilee Mountains, and it is especially rich in Ca, but the XRD results of a sample from 98 to 95 cm only indicated quartz and calcite with little else (Supplementary Table 2), suggesting high Ca detected by XRF is mostly due to fossils. The presence of fossil remains is consistent with enhanced carbonate bedrock of the Galilee Mountains, and it is especially rich in Ca, but the XRD results of a sample from 98 to 95 cm only indicated quartz andcalcite...
identified with the Sabbatical Earthquake, which caused severe damage along the Dead Sea Transform. Marco et al. (2003) documented the damage in the nearby Galei Kinneret site (e.g., Herod stadium), linking it to the event documented north of the Dead Sea (~130 km south of Ginosar), arguing that its magnitude was ∼7. The earthquake caused damage in Capernaum (6 km north of Ginosar), seiche in the Dead Sea, and a tsunami in the Mediterranean Sea (Ben-Menahem, 1991) and was also identified as disturbed layers in Dead Sea cores (Migowski et al., 2004; Kagan et al., 2011). However, we cannot rule out that a slope failure may have been caused by an exceptionally large flood discharged by Nahal Amud or Nahal Tzalmon or by some other earthquake, such as the December 5, 1033 (912 cal yr BP) event that is estimated to have occurred near Jericho or Nablus, Israel (Salamon, 2010).

The hydroclimatic regime during the past ~1500 yr was generally drier than during the Roman–Byzantine periods, with occasional floods that delivered sediments that accumulated as massive marls. This time interval includes the Arabic and Ottoman periods, which were also described as arid periods in the Dead Sea catchment (Kushnir and Stein, 2019; Weber et al., 2021).

The Sr-Nd isotope values of main soil types in the Sea of Galilee catchment

By correlating the core sediments with catchment soil types, we can deduce the changes in the source of core sediments over time (Fig. 1b). Soil provenance reconstruction requires an accurate identification of the sources of fine-grained detrital sediments that were likely transported from the catchment of the Ginosar valley to the site of Core LK12-22 during the past ~4 ka. The main soil types of the Upper and East Galilee are: (1) Terra rossa, Pale Rendzina, and Brown Rendzina (mountain soils) and (2) Grumosols and Protogrumosols on basalts (basaltic soils). Colluvial–alluvial soils occupy low-lying areas near the lakeshore and interior valleys (Fig. 1b; see comparison to WRB classification in Supplementary Table 1). Mountain soils develop on the surface of the Judea–Samaria–Galilee mountain backbone of Israel under Mediterranean climate conditions. The source material of Terra rossa and Brown Rendzina is mainly dust, whereas that of Pale Rendzina is both dust and weathered bedrock (Yaalon, 1997; Sandler et al., 2015). The Cypress low-pressure system, the Mediterranean cyclones, brings rain and dust particles to the East Mediterranean–Levant region (Dayan and Morin, 2006; Kalderon-Asael et al., 2009). The dust particles, consisting mostly of quartz and calcite grains, the latter termed “desert dust calcites” (Haliva-Cohen et al., 2012), minor clay minerals, and some feldspars eventually settle on the surface of the Levant Southern such as the Negev Desert and the Judea, Samaria, and Galilee Mountains (Sandler et al., 2015; Palchan et al., 2018, 2019). There, chemical weathering and pedogenic processes of desert dust calcites transform the settled dust into various types of soil. The Terra rossa, whose pedogenic processes include complete dissolution of desert dust calcites, is mainly composed of silt-size quartz grains and clay minerals and shows $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of ~0.709–0.713 (e.g., Palchan et al., 2018, 2019). The complete dissolution of the desert dust calcite causes the higher $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the residual feldspar and clay minerals to characterize Terra rossa (Palchan et al., 2018, 2019). Overviews of the development of the mountain soils are given by Yaalon (1997), Singer (2007), and Sandler et al. (2015).

Calcium and bicarbonate ions from the dissolution of the desert dust calcite can partly form pedogenic carbonates; can be transported by runoff and groundwater to caves forming speleothems; or can be transported to the Mediterranean, the Sea of Galilee, or the Dead Sea, where they form endogenic carbonate minerals, such as aragonite in the last glacial Lake Lisan or the Holocene Dead Sea or calcite in the modern Sea of Galilee (Stein et al., 1997; Fruchter et al., 2017; Palchan et al., 2018; Belmaker et al., 2019; Lev et al., 2019). The mountain soils are also transported by runoff to the same destinations, providing detrital minerals to the water bodies (Haliva-Cohen et al., 2012; Palchan et al., 2018).

The modern surface cover materials of Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud occupy two distinct fields in the Nd-Sr isotope diagram (Fig. 5). The Nahal Tzalmon soils have $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values that are typical of mountain soils. The soils from the Nahal Amud catchment have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values similar to those of the Tzalmon soils but $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios that converge toward the composition of a type of loessial soils found in the Judean Desert and topographic lows of the northern Negev Desert. These “low-topography” loessial soils are characterized by $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of ~0.7080 and were termed “Valley Loess” by Palchan et al. (2018, 2019). The Sr in these Valley Loess soils is inherited from the calcite grains comprising the original desert dusts. The similarity between the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotope ratios of the Nahal Amud soils and those of the Valley Loess (and the desert dust calcites) probably indicates that the Nahal Amud soils were subjected to similar pedogenic processes that led to the formation of the Valley Loess.

$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values in Core LK12-22 as tracers of surface cover of the Ginosar valley catchment

The surface cover materials, such as mountain and basaltic soils of the catchment area, were transported by Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud to the lake. The silicate fraction of Core LK12-22 sediment lies on the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ diagram between the mountain soils that dominate the surface cover of Nahal Tzalmon catchment today and less radiogenic surface cover material that contains basaltic soils (Fig. 5). The silicate fraction of sediments of Unit A, the upper half of Unit C, and most of Unit D lies closer to the mountain soils field, while those of Units E and F are closer to the field of the basaltic soils (Fig. 5). Today, basaltic soils comprise the surface cover of the Ginosar valley close to the lake, while mountain soils extend more to the west in the higher reaches of Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud (Fig. 1b). Core sediments that represent wetter periods in the Upper and East Galilee, such as Units A, C, and D of Middle to Late Bronze, Roman, and Byzantine periods, contain mobilized mountain soils, while those that were deposited during drier periods (e.g., Units E and F, the Arabic and Ottoman periods) contain more basaltic soils. This difference between the Nd-Sr isotope compositions of wetter and drier time periods may be related to increased production rates of the mountain soils during wetter time intervals or to increased erosion and mobilization of mountain soils that accumulated earlier in topographically low areas of the Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud catchments to the Ginosar valley and the lake. The second scenario appears more likely, because it does not require that mountain soils form very quickly.

We note that the Nd-Sr isotope data of the LK 12-22 core samples from the wet intervals represented by Units A, C, and D lie in the field of the Fazael soils (e.g., Palchan et al., 2019). The reddish Fazael soils fill topographic lows along the Jordan valley and the
eastern flanks of the Samaria Hills (e.g., the Fazael area) and were transported to those valleys from the Judea and Samaria Mountains during the Early Holocene (Palchan et al., 2019). We think that similar type of soils formed and accumulated in the Tzalmon catchment in the Upper Galilee, possibly during the last glacial period, and were later mobilized to Ginosar valley and the Sea of Galilee during the wet periods of the Middle to Late Bronze and Roman–Byzantine times. Pollen data from the Holocene cores are consistent with higher precipitation on the Galilee Mountains during the Middle to Late Bronze Age and during the Roman–Byzantine period and drier conditions during the Iron Age and Arabic–Ottoman periods (Langgut et al., 2015; Schiebel and Litt, 2018). Yet the low sedimentation rates during all intervals of the core and the preservation of the last glacial soils in the Tzalmon catchment in the Upper Galilee suggest that the erosion, removal, and mobilization of soils from their sources in the Galilee to the lake were not significant. Otherwise, we would expect to see emptying of the soil sources. In other words, the landscape and the surface cover are nearly unchanged during most of the post glacial periods. Yet, while the last glacial period was most conducive for soil production, short-lived pedogenesis may have occurred during later periods, such as ∼5 ka BP (Crouvi et al., 2018), and possibly during the relatively wet periods of Middle to Late Bronze and Roman–Byzantine.

**Sources of non-carbonate detrital sediments to the Sea of Galilee**

While Core LK12-22 captures the detrital particles delivered from the catchments of the Nahal Amud and Nahal Tzalmon, inclusion of data from cores that were acquired at other areas of the lake (Fig. 1b) and surface sediments that we collected from various locations across the lake (Fig. 7a) provide a more comprehensive understanding of the type and mode of formation of sources of fine detrital particles that were mobilized from the entire catchment of the lake. There is no tight constraint on the ages of the lake’s surface sediments. The tops of the cores that were drilled in the southern shallow area of the basin (OH II and KIN2; Fig. 6) yielded ages of the last glacial period, during which Lake Lisan rose to its highest stand and merged with the Sea of Galilee (Hazan et al., 2005; Lev et al., 2019). The top of a core from the deeper northern area (Core SOG3; Fig. 6) of the basin yielded Late Holocene to modern ages.

The surface sediments from the vicinity of LK12-22 (LK159 and 161; Fig. 7a; Table 4) show $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values that are nearly identical to the basaltic Grumosols (Amud 1 and Amud 2 soil samples; Table 4), reflecting the drier climate also recorded in Unit F of LK12-22 (Figs. 5 and 7a). Thus, the surface sediments provide a snapshot of the composition of the surface cover of the regional catchment areas (Fig. 7b). The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of the silicate fraction of surface sediments in the northern sector of the lake bottom reflect Jordan River input (Fig. 7a). The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the silicate fractions of other surface sediments plot close to −0.7080. This ratio characterizes desert dust calcites comprising the settled desert dusts that blew in from the Sahara Desert (Haliva-Cohen et al., 2012). Non-carbonate authigenic minerals in the lake’s surface sediments formed in soils derived mainly from settled dusts inherited the Sr from the dissolved calcites, as was described for the formation of the Valley Loess in areas of topographic lows in the Judean Desert (Palchan et al., 2018). The Nd isotope values of surface sediments from the southwestern and northeastern sectors have very negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values between −11 and −8 (Fig. 7a). These very negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values reflect the contribution of Nd to authigenic minerals from the dissolved desert dust calcites that show $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values between −16 and −11 (Palchan et al., 2018, 2019) with possible additional contribution of Nd from silicates in the original desert dusts. During that last glacial period, basaltic soils could have formed in the northern areas of Israel—the Galilee and Golan Heights—where basaltic flows form part of the surface cover. Thus, the Nd-Sr isotopes of the lake floor surface-sediment samples and the LK12-22 core samples indicate that fine particles were eroded from areas where the surface cover comprises a mixture of basaltic and mountain soils, such as in the Ginosar valley catchment or from areas that are dominated by Valley Loess–type soils.

Overall, the preservation of these soils formed during the last glacial period in the Galilee and Golan Heights corroborate the conclusion based on LK12-22 sediment analyses that significant soil mobilization did not occur during the Holocene period. Yet the accumulation and preservation of eroded mountain soils within the fluvial soils in the lowlands around the Sea of Galilee support the prosperity of the settlements in the areas surrounding the west, north, and northwest of the Sea of Galilee, such as the Ginosar valley and the sites of Capernaum and Tabha during the Roman–Byzantine period.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The Late Holocene history of erosion and mobilization of soils from the Galilee Mountains to the Sea of Galilee is deciphered and used to determine the regional hydroclimatic conditions. This reconstruction is based on the mineralogical and chemical compositions and on Nd-Sr isotope ratios of sediments of LK12-22, which was cored offshore of the Ginosar valley, and of sediments collected from the several sites across the lake.

The core sediments span the past ∼4 ka in the lake’s history. The sediments are mostly composed of detrital silt-size quartz, calcite, and some clay minerals. Endogenic calcites also appear at the lower laminated section of the core during the Middle to Late Bronze time interval. The mountain soils were predominantly formed under the wetter conditions in the region during the last glacial period. The soils were later remobilized to the core site off the Ginosar valley in the Sea of Galilee by Nahal Tzalmon and Nahal Amud, reflecting the hydroclimatic conditions in the catchments.

The sources of the detrital materials that reached the core site off the Ginosar valley during the past 4 ka are traced by the mineralogy, grain size, and particularly the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$–$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ isotope values of the core sediments.

While mostly mountain soils were mobilized to the lake during the wetter Middle to Late Bronze Age (Unit A in the core) and Roman–Byzantine periods (the upper half of Unit C and all of Unit D), the drier Arabic–Ottoman periods (Units E and F) and possibly the Persian–Hellenistic time (Unit B) were characterized by mobilization of soils that contain more basaltic material. Thus, we conclude that wetter/drier periods were characterized by enhanced/reduced transport of mountain soils that currently characterize the Upper Galilee and the valleys of the Tzalmon catchment.

Yet the total amount of mobilized material during both wetter and drier periods of the last 4 ka was rather low, as indicated by the low sedimentation rate (average ∼0.37 mm/yr). This suggests
that most of the mountain soils that formed and accumulated during the wet last glacial period have not been removed. The surface cover still predominantly comprises the mountain soils. If sufficient moisture was available, agriculture could have flourished in the catchment areas of the Sea of Galilee during the drier Holocene.

Overall, the Sea of Galilee captures in its sedimentary records the temporal and spatial changes in the soil erosion and mobilization that reflect the regional hydroclimatic conditions. Our study also provides an environmental framework for the cultural–historical developments in the vicinity of the Sea of Galilee.
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