Oligoorganogermananes: Interplay between Aryl and Trimethylsilyl Substituents
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Abstract: Derivatives of main group elements containing element–element bonds are characterized by unique properties due to σ-conjugation, which is an attractive subject for investigation. A novel series of digermanes, Ar₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₂, containing aryl (Ar = p-C₆H₄Me (I), p-C₆H₄F (2), C₆F₃ (3)) and trimethylsilyl substituents, was synthesized by the reaction of germyl potassium salt, [(Me₂Si)₃GeK*THF], with triarylchlorogermanes, Ar₃GeCl. The optical and electronic properties of such substituted oligoorganogermananes were investigated spectroscopically by UV/vis absorption spectroscopy and theoretically by DFT calculations. The molecular structures of compounds 1 and 2 were studied by XRD analysis. Conjugation between all structural fragments (Ge-Ge, Ge-Si, Ge-Ar, where Ar is an electron-donating or withdrawing group) was found to affect the properties.
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1. Introduction

Research into organic derivatives of main group elements is a topical issue of organometallic chemistry [1–4]. Many efforts have been made to develop improved synthetic methods, determine new properties, find relationships between structures and properties, and produce novel materials. Some of the main group element derivatives are molecular compounds of group 14 elements (E = Si [5], Ge [6], Sn [7], Pb [8]) [9] containing element–element bonds (oligoorganotetrelanes). Unusual properties (UV/vis absorbance, luminescence, electrochemical activity, thermochromism, etc.) that appear in these compounds due to σ-conjugation [10] (Scheme 1) are of evident research interest [11].

Scheme 1. Schematic representation of oligoorganotetrelanes.
Compounds of this type can be molecular or polymeric. Molecular derivatives can be regarded as models for polymers and “hybrid” materials based on them [12–14], including molecular conductors [15] and semiconductors [16]. Furthermore, catenated group 14 element derivatives can be used as synthetic reagents. Thus, Me₂PhSi-GeEt₃ have been applied recently in C-H activation [17] of arenes for synthesis of Ar-GeEt₃ while (Me₂Si)₃SiR were used as radical precursors under photoredox conditions [18].

From the results of Weinert et al. [19,20] and Zaitsev et al. [21], aryl substituents are known to take part in σ-conjugation between Ge atoms in oligoorganogermanes (increasing by σ,π-conjugation the energy level of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)). Furthermore, the electron-donating or acceptor properties of the substituents also significantly determine the properties of catenated group 14 derivatives. At the same time, Marschner et al. have established for a wide range of molecular cyclic and linear trimethylsilyl and trimethylgermyl oligotetrelanes that the presence of sterically bulk EM₃ groups (E = Si, Ge; (Me₃E)₂GeEME₃nGe(EME₃)₃, n = 0–3) [22–24] significantly affects the conformation-promoting transoid disposition of the substituents in the E-E chain [25], which results in a more significant σ-conjugation.

The aim of the present research work was to study in detail the catenated Ge compounds containing aryl and trimethylsilyl groups. Compounds of such type have been studied previously only slightly by Malella and Geanangel [26] and Zaitsev et al. [27]. Here, we present their directed synthesis, study their structures, investigate their optical properties by absorption spectroscopy and establish the main structure–property features by quantum chemistry (DFT) calculations. As typical examples, we choose Ar₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃ (Ar = p-C₆H₄Me (1), p-C₆H₄F (2), C₆F₅ (3)), where the electronic properties of aryl groups change from donating to accepting (1 and 2 vs. 3). Interestingly, based on the electron-donating SiMe₃ (electronegativity for Ge, 1.99–2.02; Si, 1.74–1.91) [28] and electron-withdrawing p-C₆H₄F₃, C₆F₅ properties of the substituents in 2 and 3, both these compounds can be called donor-acceptor compounds [21,29].

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis

The target digermanes were synthesized in two-step synthesis (Scheme 2). The first stage (step 1) includes the in situ preparation of THF solvated germyl-potassium salt, [(Me₃Si)₃GeK*THF), by treatment of (Me₃Si)₄Ge with t-BuOK in THF, using the procedure developed by Marshner et al. [30]. At the second stage (step 2), the corresponding electrophiles Ar₃GeCl reacted with generated Ge nucleophile to give the products. The high yields (69–70%) were observed for compounds 1 and 2 (Ar = p-C₆H₄Me, p-C₆H₄F); in the case of 3 (Ar = C₆F₅), the yield decreased down to 10% due to side reactions, such as the substitution of F or C₆F₅ groups at the Ge atom by the strong germyle nucleophile [21]. Indeed, ¹⁹F NMR spectral analysis of the reaction mixture for 3 indicated the complex mixture of compounds, whereas pure product may be isolated only after chromatography. Interestingly, the developed chemistry for further substitution of SiMe₃ groups in 1–3 opens the new perspective [31] for applying Ar₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃ in the synthesis of functionalized compounds.

![Scheme 2. Synthesis of the digermanes studied.](image-url)
Compounds 1–3 were isolated as white powders with high solubility in all typical organic solvents including n-hexane, indicating their weak polarity and emphasizing yet again their analogy to alkanes. The compounds were characterized by NMR (\(^1H\), \(^{13}C\), \(^{19}F\)) (Supplementary Materials, Figures S1–S11) and UV/visible (Supplementary Materials, Figures S12–S14) spectroscopy, elemental analysis and X-ray diffraction analysis.

2.2. NMR Spectroscopy

The main NMR spectral parameters for compounds 1–3 (CDCl\(_3\), RT) are quite similar; the presence of one set of signals for each compound is characteristic of molecules with a highly symmetric structure (\(C_{3v}\) symmetry) in solution with free rotation of different molecular fragments.

2.3. XRD Structures

The molecular structures of molecular oligoorganogermanes 1 and 2 were studied in a crystal by X-ray diffraction analysis (Figures 1 and 2; Supplementary Materials, Table S1). Interestingly, the molecular structures of compounds with the Si\(_3\)Ge-GeC\(_3\) framework have not been studied previously.

![Figure 1. Molecular structure of compound (Me\(_3\)Si)\(_2\)Ge-Ge(C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Me-p)\(_3\) (1). Displacement ellipsoids are shown at a 50\% probability level. Minor component of disordered Me group is drawn by open lines. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ge(1)-Ge(2) 2.4393(2), Ge(1)-Si\(_{av}\) 2.3864(4), Ge(2)-C\(_{av}\) 1.9601(14); Si-Ge(1)-Si\(_{av}\) 107.973(16), Si-Ge(1)-Ge(2)\(_{av}\) 110.931(12), C-Ge(2)-C\(_{av}\) 107.68(6), C-Ge(2)-Ge(1)\(_{av}\) 111.21(4).](image)

The structural parameters of 1 and 2 are similar; an insignificant elongation of bond lengths is observed only at transition to 2, containing electron-withdrawing substituents. This structural feature has been observed in such donor–acceptor oligoorganogermanes earlier [21]. The key Ge-Ge bond length is typical of digermanes (2.4393(2), 2.4431(3) Å vs. 2.393(3) \([\text{Ph}_2(O_2CCCl_3)\text{Ge-Ge(O_2CCCl_3)Ph}_2]\) [32]–2.4787(7) \([\text{Me}_2\text{Si}_2\text{Ge-Ge(SiMe}_3)_3]\) [22] Å; cf. 2.419(1) Å in \((p-\text{MeC}_6\text{H}_4)_3\text{Ge-Ge(C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me-p})_3\) [33] and 2.4209(8) Å in \((p-\text{FC}_6\text{H}_4)_3\text{Ge-Ge(C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F-p})_3\) [34]), and the presence of sterically bulk hypergermyl, Hge [35,36], Ge(SiMe\(_3\))\(_3\) groups affects the elongation of the bond parameters. The Ge-Si bond lengths (average values, 2.3864(4) and 2.3908(5) Å, respectively) are within the normal range of 2.38–2.41 Å [22,37].
Germanium atoms in 1 and 2 adopt a slightly distorted tetrahedral geometry, T-4 (angle values vary within the range of 107°–112°). Tetrahedral $\tau_4$ parameters, $\tau_4 = (360° - \alpha - \beta)/141°$ ($\alpha, \beta$ are the largest bond angles) [38], are varied in the 0.96–0.99 range for Ge atoms in 1 and 2 ($\tau_4 = 1$ for an ideal T-4 geometry). The conformations of both molecules along the Ge-Ge bond (average Si-Ge-Ge-C torsions, 92.83(4)/27.17(4)° and 109.350(18), Si-Ge(1)-Ge(2) 109.590(14), C-Ge(2)-Cav 107.49(7), C-Ge(2)-Ge(1)av 111.39(5)).

Interestingly, the crystal of oligoorganogermane 2 is isostructural to Ph$_3$Al*pAs(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ [40], indicating a similarity between crystals of different main group elements (Ge vs. Al, As).

2.4. DFT Calculations

We performed DFT calculations to clarify the properties of investigated digermanes using model compounds, (Me$_2$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge(C$_6$H$_4$X-p)$_3$, in which the electronic properties of the Ar group were changed. In addition to the parent compound (Me$_2$Si)$_3$Ge-GePh$_3$ ($X = H$), we studied electron-donating ($X = $ Me, OMe, NMe$_2$) and electron-withdrawing ($X = $ F, CN, NO$_2$) substituents in the aromatic ring. The levels of the HOMO and LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) as well as the frontier orbital energy gap ($\Delta E$) are presented in Scheme 3.
H), we studied electron-donating (X = Me, OMe, NMe$_2$) and electron-withdrawing (X = F, CN, NO$_2$) substituents in the aromatic ring. The levels of electron density in HOMO and LUMO orbitals in (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GeSi(C$_6$H$_4$X-p)$_3$ are given in Figure 3; the data for (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GePh$_3$ are given in Supplementary Materials (Figure S14). For these digermanes, (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GeAr$_3$, the HOMO was distributed on the Ge-Ge bond with the inclusion of an Ar group, but to a lesser extent, which is typical of aryl oligoorganogermanes. The more stabilized HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 are localized on Ge-Si bonds. As is typical of arylgermanes, the LUMO and the higher-energy LUMO+1 and LUMO+2 were concentrated on aryl substituents. These data indicate that UV/vis absorption (Table 1) corresponds to $\sigma,\pi$-transitions (Ge-Ge to Ar), making the absorbance bands (see below), to some extent, less intensive in comparison with related compounds, where the HOMO and LUMO are on E-E bonds ($\sigma$-transitions, as in fully alkylated or alkylsilylated oligogermanes).

Scheme 3. Schematic representation of HOMO, LUMO levels and HOMO/LUMO gap ($\Delta E$) in model digermanes (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge(C$_6$H$_4$X-p)$_3$.

It should be noted one more time that before this work only (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GePh$_3$ (X = H) [27,41] had been synthesized and investigated. We found that the change of the electron properties of the Ar group in (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge(C$_6$H$_4$X-p)$_3$ determined the energy of frontier orbitals. At the introduction of electron-donating groups, the HOMO level was destabilized (increased in energy); this has been observed earlier by Weinert et al. [20] for related derivatives. At the same time, the LUMO level was also destabilized, but the general change of the HOMO/LUMO gap was insignificant. The narrowing of the energy gap in (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge(C$_6$H$_4$X-p)$_3$ was observed only for strong donors (X = NMe$_2$). A more complex situation was observed for electron-withdrawing groups; it depended strongly on the type of X. The typical feature in this case was the stabilization of the HOMO level; a stronger acceptor led to a greater energy decrease. Furthermore, a stronger acceptor led to a more significant LUMO stabilization, especially in comparison with the HOMO level change. In other words, in the case of electron-withdrawing substituents X, the decrease in the $\Delta E$ associated first of all with the stabilization of LUMO, leading to a remarkable decrease in its energy level. All this indicates that the introduction of strong withdrawing substituents should lead to a more significant HOMO/LUMO gap decrease. The most striking data were obtained for a yet unknown (calculated but not yet synthesized) NO$_2$ derivative, which challenges the need for such compounds.

The distribution of electron density in HOMO and LUMO orbitals in (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge(C$_6$H$_4$F-p)$_3$ (2) is presented in Figure 3; the data for (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GePh$_3$ are given in Supplementary Materials (Figure S14). For these digermanes, (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GeAr$_3$, the HOMO was distributed on the Ge-Ge bond with the inclusion of an Ar group, but to a lesser extent, which is typical of aryl oligoorganogermanes. The more stabilized HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 are localized on Ge-Si bonds. As is typical of arylgermanes, the LUMO and the higher-energy LUMO+1 and LUMO+2 were concentrated on aryl substituents. These data indicate that UV/vis absorption (Table 1) corresponds to $\sigma,\pi$-transitions (Ge-Ge to Ar), making the absorbance bands (see below), to some extent, less intensive in comparison with related compounds, where the HOMO and LUMO are on E-E bonds ($\sigma$-transitions, as in fully alkylated or alkylsilylated oligogermanes).
Figure 3. Graphical representation of the frontier orbitals of (Me$_3$Si)$_2$Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$F-$p$)$_3$ (2): (a) HOMO; (b) HOMO-1; (c) HOMO-2; (d) LUMO; (e) LUMO+1; (f) LUMO+2.

Table 1. Data of DFT calculations for compounds of the type of (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GeAr$_3$.

| Compound | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (Calcd.), nm | Oscillator Strength, f | Transition |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GePh$_3$ | 228 0.35 (0.15) | HOMO-LUMO+1 |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ (1) | 239 0.46 (0.11) | HOMO-2-LUMO |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$O-$p$)$_3$ | 235 0.57 (0.22) | HOMO-2-LUMO |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$N-$p$)$_3$ | 244 0.28 (0.58) | HOMO-2-LUMO |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$F-$p$)$_3$ (2) | 233 0.28 (0.27) | HOMO-2-LUMO+1 |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$CN-$p$)$_3$ | 261 0.42 (0.22) | HOMO-2-LUMO+1 |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$NO$_2$-$p$)$_3$ | 518 0.18 (0.01) | HOMO-2-LUMO+2 |

Theoretical analysis of UV/vis absorption spectral data for (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GeAr$_3$ (Table 1) shows a good correlation with the experiment (see Table 2 below).

Table 2. Comparison of absorption maxima in UV/visible spectra for 1–3 and related compounds.

| Compound | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$, nm ($\varepsilon \times 10^{-4}$, M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) | Solvent | Reference |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Me$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ | 234 (3.7) | CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | [27] |
| (p-MeC$_6$H$_5$)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ | 241 (1.8) | CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | [42] |
| (p-MeC$_6$H$_5$)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$F$_3$)$_3$ | 234 (4.6) | CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | [21] |
| (p-MeC$_6$H$_5$)$_3$Ge-Ge-GePh$_3$ | 240 | CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | [42] |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ | 209 (7.8) | n-pentane | [22] |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-GeCl$_3$ | 231 (1.4) | n-hexane | [21] |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-GeH$_3$ | 234 (2.7) | n-hexane | [27] |
| Me$_3$Si-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ | 230 (3.3) | n-hexane | [27] |
| Me$_3$Si-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ | 231 (1.8) | CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | [27] |
| (t-Bu)Me$_3$Si-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ | 233 (3.2) | n-hexane | [43] |
| (t-Bu)Me$_3$Si-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ | 235 (4.5) | CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | [43] |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_2$Ge-GePh$_2$ | 238 (1.5) | n-pentane | [44] |
| Me$_3$Si-Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ | 241 (2.5) | CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | [16] |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$Me-$p$)$_3$ (1) | 239 (3.1) | n-hexane | this work |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$H$_4$F-$p$)$_3$ (2) | 230 (3.3) | n-hexane | this work |
| (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-Ge-Ge(C$_8$F$_3$)$_3$ (3) | 242 (3.1) | n-hexane | this work |
2.5. UV/Vis Absorption

Absorption data for compounds 1–3 and related derivatives are given in Table 2. In general, investigation of UV/vis absorption is highly important for studies of catenated main group element derivatives and can be regarded as an estimation of conjugation in them.

These data clearly show that in digermanes the absorption maximum (and therefore the HOMO/LUMO gap) depends strongly on $\sigma$-$\pi$-conjugation (involvement of Ar groups in conjugation with Ge and Ge-Ge frameworks); the presence of aromatic substituents results in a red shift. At the same time, for (Me$_3$Si)$_3$Ge-GeAr$_3$, the electron properties of Ar affect UV/vis absorption, which is consistent with the DFT data. Thus, compounds with strong acceptor groups are characterized by a greater bathochromic shift; introduction of donating groups also results in red changes in (C$_6$F$_5$ > $p$-MeC$_6$H$_4$ > Ph > $p$-FC$_6$H$_4$) spectra. Furthermore, the Ge-Si conjugation also affects the shift of the absorption band. Besides, linear conjugation is more effective than the branched one (Si-Si-Ge vs. Si$_3$-Ge).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Experimental Details

All manipulations were performed under a dry and oxygen-free argon atmosphere using the standard Schlenk techniques. The $^1$H (400.130 MHz), $^{13}$C (100.613 MHz), $^{19}$F (376.498 MHz), and $^{29}$Si (79.495 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 or Agilent 400MR spectrometer at 298 K. Chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to internal Me$_4$Si ($^1$H, $^{13}$C, and $^{29}$Si NMR spectra) or external CFCl$_3$ ($^{19}$F spectra). Elemental analyses were carried out at the Microanalytical Laboratory, Chemistry Department, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, using a Heraeus Vario Elementar instrument or at the Laboratory of Microanalysis, N.D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry RAS on a PerkinElmer 2400 Series II CHN Elemental Analyzer. Matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) analyses were performed on a Microflex (Bruker Daltonics) time-of-flight mass spectrometer; the spectra were recorded in the positive linear mode. UV/visible spectra were obtained using a Thermo Scientific Evolution 300 double-beam spectrophotometer with a 0.10 cm cuvette.

Solvents were dried by standard methods and distilled prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran was stored under solid KOH and then distilled over sodium/benzophenone; n-hexane was refluxed and distilled over sodium. CDCl$_3$ was refluxed and distilled over CaH$_2$ under argon atmosphere.

Starting materials, (Me$_3$Si)$_4$Ge [45], (p-MeC$_6$H$_4$)$_3$GeCl [42,46], (p-FC$_6$H$_4$)$_3$GeCl [34], and (C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$GeCl [21], were obtained via previously reported procedures. t-BuOK and other reagents were used as supplied (Aldrich).

3.2. X-ray Crystallography

Crystal data, data collection, structure solution and refinement parameters for 1 and 2 are given in Table S1 (Supplementary Materials). Experimental intensities were measured on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer (graphite monochromatized Mo-Kα radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using the $\omega$ scan mode. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $I^2$ (SHELXTL) with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model. In 1, one of the methyl groups was found to be rotationally disordered. X-ray diffraction studies were performed at the Centre of Shared Equipment of IGIC RAS. Crystallographic data were deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publications nos. CCDC-2145489 and 2145490.

3.3. DFT Calculations

The calculations were performed with full geometry optimization and used the GAUSSIAN’09 program package [47]. The absence of imaginary vibration frequencies confirmed the stationary character of the structures. The hybrid $meta$ exchange-correlation functional
called M06-2X was used. It is a high-nonlocality functional with double the amount of non-local exchange (2X), parameterized only for nonmetals [48]. We used the time-dependent density functional computations [6–31 G (d, p) basis set], as implemented by Gaussian 09, which were utilized to explore the excited manifold and to compute the possible electronic transitions. The molecular orbitals and UV/visible spectra were constructed using the GaussView program. The UV spectra were calculated in an approximation of the polarizable continuum model in dichloromethane [49].

3.4. Synthesis

Synthesis of Ar₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃. General procedure. Step 1, synthesis of [(Me₃Si)₃Ge*THF] in situ. The procedure of Marschner et al. was used [30]. Solid t-BuOK (0.1540 g, 1.37 mmol) was added to a solution of (Me₃Si)₃Ge (0.5000 g, 1.37 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The mixture obtained was stirred for 5 h. The solution of [(Me₃Si)₃Ge*THF] in THF was used further without additional purification.

Step 2, synthesis of Ar₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃. At −78 °C, the solution of [(Me₃Si)₃Ge*THF] in THF, obtained as stated above in Step 1, was added dropwise to a solution of Ar₃GeCl (1.00 eq., 1.37 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The mixture obtained was stirred at the same temperature for 2 h, slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. Then, all volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by passing through a pad of SiO₂ using petroleum ether as an eluent. After evaporation, the solid obtained was recrystallized from a minimal amount of n-hexane to give Ar₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃.

1,1,1-Tris(p-tolyl)-2,2,2-tris(trimethylsilyl) digermane, (p-MeC₆H₄)₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃ (1). White powder. Yield: 0.6226 g (70%).

1H NMR (400.130 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.14 (s, 27H, 3SiMe₃), 2.34 (s, 9H, 3 MeC₆H₄-p); 7.12 (d, 3)J_H-H = 7.7 Hz, 6H, 3 meta-(p-C₆H₄)), 7.33 (d, 3)J_H-H = 7.7 Hz, 6H, 3 ortho-(p-C₆H₄)). 13C[1H] NMR (100.613 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.30 (1)J_{13C-29Si} = 45.4 Hz, SiMe₃); 21.43 (Me₃C₆H₄-p); 128.65 (meta-C₆H₄), 135.45 (ortho-C₆H₄), 136.86 (ipso-C₆H₄), 137.76 (para-C₆H₄).

MALDI-TOF MS: m/z 638 [M]+.

UV/visible absorption (n-hexane, λ_max in nm (ε in M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)): 239 (3.1 × 10⁴).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₄₆Ge₂Si₃ (Mᵣ 638.2386): C, 56.46; H, 7.58%. Found: C, 56.22; H, 7.38%.

Single crystals of compound 1 were obtained after recrystallization from n-octane at −30 °C.

1,1,1-Tris(p-fluorophenyl)-2,2,2-tris(trimethylsilyl) digermane, (p-FC₆H₄)₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃ (2). White powder. Yield: 0.6226 g (70%).

1H NMR (400.130 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.14 (s, 27H, 3SiMe₃), 7.05 (pt, 1)J_H-H = 8.6 Hz, 6H, 3 para-(p-C₆H₄)), 7.36 (dd, 3)J_H-H = 8.4 Hz, 3)J_{19F-1H} = 6.3 Hz, 6H, 3 ortho-(p-C₆H₄)). 13C[1H] NMR (100.613 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.30 (1)J_{13C-29Si} = 45.4 Hz, SiMe₃); 115.30 (d, 2)J_{13C-19F} = 19.8 Hz, meta-C₆H₄), 135.03 (d, 4)J_{13C-19F} = 3.7 Hz, ipso-C₆H₄), 136.95 (d, 3)J_{13C-19F} = 7.3 Hz, ortho-C₆H₄), 163.38 (d, 1)J_{13C-19F} = 248.1 Hz, para-C₆H₄).

19F NMR (376.498 MHz, CDCl₃): δ −112.83 (1F).

29Si[1H] NMR (79.495 MHz, CDCl₃): δ −4.30 (SiMe₃).

MALDI-TOF MS: m/z 650 [M]+.

UV/visible absorption (n-hexane, λ_max in nm (ε in M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)): 230 (3.3 × 10⁴).

Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₉F₃Ge₂Si₃ (Mᵣ 650.1303): C, 49.88; H, 6.05%. Found: C, 50.12; H, 5.92%.

Single crystals of compound 2 were obtained after recrystallization from n-octane at −30 °C.

1,1,1-Tris(p-fluorophenyl)-2,2,2-tris(trimethylsilyl) digermane, (C₆F₃)₃Ge-Ge(SiMe₃)₃ (3). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether, Rᵣ 0.2).

White powder. Yield: 0.1124 g (10%).

1H NMR (400.130 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.23 (s, 27H, 3SiMe₃).
\[^{13}\text{C}^1\text{H}\]\ NMR (100.613 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta 3.28 \ (J_{^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}-^{29}\text{Si}} = 44.3 \text{ Hz, SiMe}_3)\); 135.93–136.35 (m), 138.74–138.85 (m), 142.20–142.59 (m), 146.95–147.13 (m), 145.51–149.56 (m) (C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}). Several signals of carbons of C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5} groups were not found due to low intensity and high value of nuclear coupling.

\[^{19}\text{F}\] NMR (376.498 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta −158.91 − (−158.80) \ (\text{m, 2F}), −147.72 − (−147.33) \ (m, 1F), −126.49 − (−126.46) \ (m, 2F)\).

\[^{29}\text{Si}^1\text{H}\] NMR (79.495 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta −5.33 \ (\text{SiMe}_3)\).

MALDI-TOF MS: m/z 866 [M]+.

UV/visible absorption (n-hexane, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} \) in nm (\(\varepsilon \) in M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\))): 242 (3.1 \times 10^4).

Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{27}H\textsubscript{27}F\textsubscript{15}Ge\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{3} (\(M_w 866.0158\)): C, 37.45; H, 3.14%. Found: C, 37.08; H, 3.12%.

4. Conclusions

The results reported in the present work can be regarded as significant advances in main group metal chemistry. We showed that arylated and trimethylsilylated oligoorganogermanes could be easily synthesized by the interaction between aryl halogermanes and germyl potassium salts. Effective \(\sigma,\pi\)-conjugation between different structural fragments, Ar-Ge and Si-Ge-Ge, resulting in a bathochromic shift of absorption bands, was observed; the conjugation was explained by a HOMO/LUMO gap decrease. The conformational behavior of catenated germanes was determined by the steric size of the substituents; the effect of substituents’ size on bond lengths was less significant. The influence of chemical (number and type of E atoms in conjugation, electronic effects of substituents) and structural (conformational behavior) factors on the properties of oligogermanes makes them an attractive subject for further investigation by luminescence, conductive, thermal, and electrochemical methods. The intriguing properties of a series of substituted derivatives, found by DFT calculations, especially for a number of electron-withdrawing (X = NO\textsubscript{2}) compounds, will stimulate their synthesis.
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