ABSTRACT: A potential threat to the success of new tuberculosis (TB) drugs is the development of resistance. Using drugs in appropriate regimens, such as those recommended in the World Health Organization (WHO) treatment guidelines, prevents the development of resistance. We performed a systematic review to assess the prevalence of inappropriate prescription of TB drugs for the treatment of TB.

MEDLINE, EMBASE and other databases were searched for relevant articles in January 2011. Observational studies published from 2000 that included TB patients receiving treatment were selected. A treatment regimen was considered inappropriate if the regimen was not a WHO recommended regimen.

37 studies were included. Inappropriate treatment regimens were prescribed in 67% of studies. The percentage of patients receiving inappropriate regimens varied between 0.4% and 100%. In 19 studies the quality of treatment regimen reporting was low.

Despite the fact that assessment of inappropriate treatment was hampered by low quality of reporting, our data indicate a reasonable amount of inappropriate prescription of TB treatment regimens. Thus, there is a risk that new drugs will be used in inappropriate treatment regimens, even with WHO guidelines in place, introducing the risk of resistance development. This article highlights the need to improve implementation of the WHO treatment of TB guidelines.
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recommended regimens. Studies among healthcare workers show that not all healthcare workers have been exposed to the national TB treatment guidelines and many are not prescribing recommended regimens [10–12].

In intermediate-to-low TB incidence settings, a further challenge is that healthcare workers, due to the few cases of TB presenting, might not be aware of the global guidelines on TB treatment and, thus, do not follow these. The European Union (EU) is a heterogeneous setting, with countries and settings ranging from low- to high-TB incidence [13]. The challenge in low-incidence settings is to maintain the knowledge of TB and, thus, awareness of treatment regimens and guidelines.

To assess the future risk of new compounds being used in inappropriate TB regimens, we conducted a systematic review to assess the prevalence of inappropriate TB drug prescriptions (type, dose, frequency of dosing and combination) for the treatment of TB. Appropriate treatment was defined according to the WHO guidelines.

METHODS

Search strategy
To identify relevant studies we conducted a literature search in the bibliographic databases MEDLINE and EMBASE in January 2011. We searched for guidelines in the National Guideline Clearinghouse, the National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) databases. Abstracts of conference proceedings were searched in BIOSIS. Reviews and guidelines were searched for in the TRIP database. Keywords used in the search were determined in collaboration with the clinical librarian of the Dutch Cochrane Centre (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) and included “Tuberculosis” OR “TB” OR “Mycobacterium” AND, for TB treatment, “Prescriptions” OR “Treatment regimen” OR “Combination treatment” OR “Treatment strategy/-ies” OR “Drug supply” OR “Standard treatment/standard regimen” OR “Inappropriate use, appropriate use, rational use, irrational use, misuse”. The search was limited to publication years 2000–2010 as we were interested in recent prescription behaviour. We excluded case reports. The search strategy was supplemented by hand searching reference lists of identified articles and relevant review articles.

Selection of studies
We included observational studies investigating the prescription of TB treatment regimens in a TB patient population; these can include cross-sectional studies and cohort studies, both prospective and retrospective. Only studies in which treatment regimen was measured as an outcome were included. Therefore, we excluded studies in which prescription of a treatment regimen was only described in the methods section. Furthermore, we excluded studies that provided information about the prescribed drugs but not about the prescribed treatment regimens, and studies that did not report the treatment regimens in sufficient detail to make a judgment on the appropriateness of the regimen. This also included studies with multidrug-resistant TB patients that reported providing individualised treatment and did report the individual drug resistance patterns.

We searched publications from the year 2000 onwards. Papers with data collection starting before 1995 were excluded because the first WHO treatment guidelines were published in 1993. Assuming a 2-yr implementation period, these guidelines would only be expected to be followed from 1995 onwards. There was no language restriction.

Studies identified by the search strategy were reviewed for eligibility based on title and abstract by one investigator (M.J. van der Werf). Full manuscripts of the records kept based on title/abstract were assessed by one investigator (M.J. van der Werf). For both steps a 10% random sample was assessed by a second investigator (M.W. Langendam) and compared with the assessment of the first reviewer. Inconsistencies in assessment were discussed and disagreements resolved by consensus. A complete double selection was planned if the 10% random sample revealed relevant inconsistencies.

Data extraction
One reviewer (M.W. Langendam) extracted all relevant data items from the included studies using a data extraction form. A second reviewer (M.J. van der Werf) independently extracted the main results of the included studies and checked the other extracted results for a subsample of the articles. Inconsistencies were discussed to obtain consensus.

Data analysis and synthesis of results
The results were summarised qualitatively. For studies that described treatment regimens without indicating whether they were appropriate according to the WHO guidelines, the regimen was assessed and assigned to the appropriate or inappropriate category using the WHO guidelines as a reference. Table 1 presents the applicable WHO guidelines for different periods of data collection in the individual studies. In the first and third editions of the WHO-TB treatment guidelines (1993 and 2003, respectively) there were specific treatment guidelines for children. In the second edition in 1997, there were no specific guidelines for children. We also noted if the authors of the manuscripts considered the regimens to be appropriate or inappropriate. If the data allowed, we assessed the adequacy of dosing frequency, dosage and duration of TB treatment. We aimed to assess the prevalence of inappropriate TB regimen prescription for different patient populations and different geographical areas.

The quality of reporting of study characteristics and treatment regimens was assessed using a quality checklist developed for this review based on the STROBE statement (www.strobe-statement.org/index.php?id=strobe-home) (table 2).

RESULTS

Study selection
The search in MEDLINE and EMBASE resulted in 1,896 unique records. A total of 292 papers were considered potentially

| Period of data collection | WHO guidelines | [Ref.] |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------|
| 1995–1999                | First edition: 1993 | [6] |
| 1999–2004                | Second edition: 1997 | [7] |
| 2005–2010                | Third edition: 2003 | [8] |
relevant based on title/abstract assessment (fig. 1). After full-text assessment, 37 papers fulfilled the inclusion criteria. BIOSIS did not provide any additional records. Checking the reference lists of the included papers revealed no additional papers that fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The National Guideline Clearinghouse, NICE, SIGN and TRIP databases did not provide aggregated evidence on the prevalence of inappropriate use of TB regimens.

**Description of the included studies**

37 papers were included. Of these, 26 studies investigated treatment prescription in the general population (including three studies in children) and 11 studies were in special groups (four in extra-pulmonary TB, one in patients with isoniazid-resistant TB and six in special patient groups).

The 37 papers were from 22 countries, and one study was from multiple countries (this study is presented in both tables 3 and 4). Almost all continents were represented: Africa (n=6), South America (n=2), Europe (n=9) and Asia (n=20).

The included studies did not provide sufficient information to assess adequacy of dosing frequency, dose and duration of TB treatment.

**General population including children**

Of the 26 studies performed in the general population, 13 (50%) were performed in a hospital setting, 12 (46%) were based on TB registers and one study was performed in hospitals, a prison and welfare centres (tables 3–5). The sample sizes ranged between 32 and 24,760 TB patients.

14 studies had low-quality treatment regimen reporting and 10 of these also had low-quality reporting of the study and population characteristics. For the treatment regimens, this means that the regimens were not specified or that the described regimens were applied to an undefined mix of patients (new and re-treatment cases, pulmonary TB and extra-pulmonary TB cases, and/or smear-negative and -positive cases), in which case it was not possible to categorise the treatment as appropriate or inappropriate. According to the author statements in these 14 studies, in two studies, all patients received appropriate

---

**TABLE 2** Quality of reporting checklist

| Quality level   | Assessment                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Setting and population | Adequate description of Population demographics: at least age and sex  
Population TB: new/re-treatment, type of TB and smear positive/negative  
Setting: where did the patients come from, e.g. private or public hospital, evaluation of TB control programme  
Time period of data collection  
Sample size  
TB diagnosis  
| Moderate quality (**) | Adequate description of population, setting, time period of data collection and sample size, but no information on TB diagnosis  |
| Low quality (*) | No information on population, setting, time period of data collection and sample size |
| TB treatment regimens | All observed treatment regimens are reported, separately for new and re-treatment cases, for PTB and EPTB and smear-positive and smear-negative cases  
Treatment regimens are partly or fully specified, but information is missing. Assumptions are necessary for assessment of inappropriateness and/or assessment is imprecise (e.g. >10%)  
Treatment regimens are not specified in sufficient detail, but authors make a statement |

TB: tuberculosis; PTB: pulmonary TB; EPTB: extra-pulmonary TB.
| First author [ref.] | Country | Setting | Period of data collection | Sample size n | Inappropriate TB treatment regimens | Data quality |
|---------------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Ishikawa [14]       | Japan   | Treatment programme (not specified further) | Not reported | Not reported | Authors: 45% of smear-positive cases no standard treatment (HRZ[S]HR[E]) | * | * |
| Maseeh [15]         | India   | Tertiary care hospital | Not reported | 118 | Assessed from figure: 38% no HRZE (other four-drug combination or more than four drugs) | * | * |
| Thongkraung [16]    | Thailand | Hospitals (random sample of all Thai hospitals) | Not reported | 383 | Authors: 0% All initial prescription had adhered to guideline 43% had inappropriate dosage | * | * |
| DDP [17]            | Nepal   | NTP | 1996 | 1163 | Authors: no nationally recommended treatment regimen for 0.4% of the cases | * | * |
| Khan [16]           | Pakistan | Outpatient clinics private hospital | 1998 | 362 | Assessed: 21% (new pulmonary TB cases) | ** | ** |
| Quy [19]            | Vietnam | TB surveillance | 1998–2000 | 1834 | Assessed: 0% (new smear positives) | *** | *** |
| Okhado [20]         | Philippines | Patient survey in urban setting | 2000 | 380 | Assessed: 0% (all new cases and previously treated cases were treated with standard regimens, 2HRZ[ES]2HRZ[ES]/1HRZE/SHRE, respectively) | * | * |
| Koh [21]            | South Korea | Private hospital | 2000–2001 | 232 | Authors: 69% no HRZE for new pulmonary TB cases | ** | ** |
| Chamla [22]         | China   | Governmental TB hospital | 2001 | 417 | Assessed: New cases: up to 36% (other regimens appropriate) | *** | *** |
| Quy [23]            | Vietnam | Public/private project in hospitals | 2001 | 400 | Assessed (from table): New cases: 99% no NTP regimen (mostly over treatment in number of drugs and duration) | ** | ** |
| Chiang [24]         | Taiwan  | Patients reported to Taiwan CDC | 2003 | 1716 | Regimen: insufficient information Assessed (from table): inadequate dosage: 2-FDC (26%), 3-FDC (24%), RMP (36%) and INH (12%) | ** | * |
| Datta [25]          | India   | Hospital | 2003–2007 | 910 | Authors: 0% Appropriate treatment until 2006, and subsequently using DOTS strategy with standardised regimens (Revised National TB Control Programme) | * | * |
| Chengorn [26]       | Thailand | Public and private hospitals | 2004–2006 | 7526 | Assessed (from table): Overall: 7% no HRZE (Category 1 WHO); 20% in private facilities, 8% in small public facilities and 4% in large public facilities | *** | ** |
| Liu [27]            | China   | Patient survey in TB dispensaries | 2007 | 163 | Assessed: New cases: 15% * Re-treatment: 31% | * | * |
| Satyanarayana [28]  | India   | NTP, study in children | 2008 | 1074 | Assessed: <0.5% (WHO) 27% low dosage | *** | *** |
| TB Surveillance Center [29] | Japan | TB Surveillance | 2008 | 24760 | Authors: 21% did not use recommended treatment combination (Japanese Society for TB) | * | * |

SC: study characteristics; TR: treatment regimens; NTP: National TB Programme; CDC: Center for Disease Control; H: isoniazid; R: rifampicin; E: ethambutol; Z: pyrazinamide; S: streptomycin; WHO: World Health Organization; DOTS: directly observed treatment short course. * low quality; ** moderate quality; *** high quality. *: general population including children; #: children; +: this study is also reported in table 4 (results from Kenya and Senegal); 1: for patients that did receive HRZE but were smear negative or had less severe extra-pulmonary TB, HRZE is over treatment and therefore not appropriate; e: information on smear negative/positive is missing, all other variables reported.
### Table 4: Inappropriate tuberculosis (TB) treatment regimens in Africa

| First author [ref.] | Country | Setting | Period of data collection | Sample size n | Inappropriate TB treatment regimens | Data quality |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Diop [17]           | Kenya, Senegal | National TB programmes | 1996 | 11183 | Authors: no nationally recommended treatment regimen for 6.2% of the cases in Kenya, and 1.0% in Senegal | *           |
| Van Zyl [30]        | South Africa | Community health clinic, study in children | 1996–2003 | 99 | Authors: 4% (national guidelines) Assessed: > 77% (WHO) | *           |
| Salaniponi [31]     | Malawi | Non-private for non-profit hospitals | 1999–2000 | 1523 | Assessed: 0% (all patients with recurrent TB were treated with a re-treatment regimen [2HRZES/1HRZE/5HRE]) | **         |
| Harries [32]        | Malawi | Public hospitals | 2001 | 1211 | Assessed: new smear-positive pulmonary TB: 0% inappropriate regimen 7% wrong number of tablets | **         |
| Elmawalli [33]      | Egypt | Public hospital | 2003 | 249 | Authors: type and number of prescribed drugs not conforming to DOTS recommendations: 14% in initial phase and 5% in continuation phase | *           |
| Lalya [34]          | Benin | Hospital, study in children | 2003–2007 | 32 | Assessed: > 10% (thioacetazone regimens) Duration too long for most children (8 months) | *           |

**SC:** study characteristics; **TR:** treatment regimens; **WHO:** World Health Organization; H: isoniazid; R: rifampicin; E: ethambutol; Z: pyrazinamide; S: streptomycin. *: low quality; **: moderate quality; ***: high quality. #: general population including children; 1: this study is also reported in Table 3 (results from Nepal).

---

### Table 5: Inappropriate tuberculosis (TB) treatment regimens in Europe and America

| First author [ref.] | Country | Setting | Period of data collection | Sample size n | Inappropriate TB treatment regimens | Data quality |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Richardson [35]     | USA | TB control database | 1995–1998 | 770 | Authors: of the 770 cases, 28.7% did not receive the CDC/ATS recommended drug regimen (HRZE[S]) | *           |
| Grupo del Trabajo del PMIT [36] | Spain | TB register | 1996–1997 | 10053 | Authors: more than 76% of the subjects were treated in agreement with Spanish guidelines | *           |
| Diehl [37]          | Germany | Public health bureaus register | 1997–1999 | 515 | Authors: as the survey shows, preferred tuberculosis treatment in Hamburg was a three-drug regimen (86.7%), with an average duration of about 9 months. This deviates from the WHO recommended standard of a four-drug regimen, and also exceeds the recommended short-term treatment period of 6 months | ***         |
| Valin [38]          | France | Tertiary care hospitals, prison and welfare centres | 2004 | 629 | Authors: 14% no HRZE in intensive phase Duration of TB treatment was not as recommended in more than 33% of cases | ***         |
| Hasker [39]         | Uzbekistan | TB control programme | 2006 | 180 | Assessed (from table): Pulmonary TB, new smear positive: 26% New extra-pulmonary TB: 31% | **         |

**SC:** study characteristics; **TR:** treatment regimens; **CDC/ATS:** Center for Disease Control/American Thoracic Society; H: isoniazid; R: rifampicin; E: ethambutol; Z: pyrazinamide; S: streptomycin; WHO: World Health Organization. *: low quality; **: moderate quality; ***: high quality. #: general population including children; 1: for patients that did receive HRZE but were smear negative or had less severe extra-pulmonary TB, HRZE is over treatment and therefore not appropriate; 2: non-HRZE regimens not specified, these regimens might be appropriate for smear negatives and less severe forms of extra-pulmonary TB.
In eight studies, inappropriate regimens had been prescribed according to the authors; the percentage of patients on inappropriate regimens ranged from 0.4% to 45% [14, 17, 29, 30, 35–38]. For two studies we determined the prevalence of inappropriate treatment; this was 26% in the study from India and ≥10% in the study with children in Benin [15, 34]. Two studies did not provide information on treatment regimens but reported on inadequate numbers of tablets and/or inadequate dosage [24, 33].

Six studies provided moderate quality information on the observed treatment regimens [18, 21–23, 26, 27]. In all six studies, the prevalence of inappropriate treatment regimens could be calculated from the different treatment regimens or derived from a table in the paper, under the assumption that all cases were smear-positive cases (this could not be derived from the papers). For smear-negative cases, a non-isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol (HRZE) regimen might be appropriate, in which case the prevalence of inappropriate treatment would be overestimated in our calculations [8]. The percentage of prescription of inappropriate TB treatment regimens ranged between 7% and 100%.

For six studies, high-quality information on the observed treatment regimens was available [19, 20, 28, 31, 32, 39]. In one study, among new TB cases, inappropriate regimens were prescribed to 26% of smear-positive pulmonary TB patients, 4% of smear-negative pulmonary TB cases and 29% of extra-pulmonary TB cases [39]. In the study of SATYANARAYANA et al. [28], <0.5% received a regimen without rifampicin and thus received inappropriate treatment. There was no inappropriate treatment regimen prescription in the other studies.

**Special groups**

Of the 11 studies in special groups, four studies were performed in TB patients with extra-pulmonary TB (table 6) [40–43]. The WHO recommends Category 1 treatment (HRZE or HRZ plus streptomycin (S)) for new cases with severe forms of extra-pulmonary TB and Category 3 treatment for less severe forms (HRZ in 1993 and 1997, HRZE in 2003) [6–8]. In two studies, all patients were treated as recommended by the WHO; these studies had very small sample sizes [41, 42]. In the other two studies, the percentage of inappropriate treatment was 5% and 18%, respectively [40, 43].

One study investigated TB treatment of isoniazid-resistant patients in 2001–2005 [44]. The WHO had no recommendations on appropriate treatment for isoniazid-resistant patients until 2009; therefore, we could not assess the percentage of patients with inappropriate treatment.

Six studies reported on special populations such as pregnant females or AIDS patients. All studies had relatively small sample sizes; between nine and 52 TB patients. Two studies provided sufficient information (0% received inappropriate treatment) [45, 46], in another two studies 33% and 67% received inappropriate treatment, respectively [47, 48], and in a further two studies insufficient information was provided [49, 50].

**DISCUSSION**

In this article we assessed the prevalence of inappropriate prescription of TB drugs (type, dose, frequency of dosing and combination) for treatment of TB. Appropriate treatment was...
defined according to the WHO guidelines. In total, 37 studies on TB treatment regimens were included. Only eight of these studies (six in the general population and two in special groups) reported all information that was necessary to make an adequate assessment of the prevalence of the inappropriate treatment prescription. The main challenge with the data reporting was that the treatment regimens were often not provided separately for new and re-treatment cases, smear-positive and smear-negative cases, and pulmonary and extra-pulmonary TB (e.g., studies stated “all patients started on HRZE”). These patient characteristics are important to consider as the WHO recommends different treatment regimens for each of these categories.

We adopted a broad search and selection strategy to include as many studies as possible with data on prescription of TB treatment regimens. For 13 (35%) out of the 37 included studies, evaluation of the prescribed treatment was one of the objectives (i.e., being specifically mentioned in the objective or aim of the study) [15, 16, 18, 24, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 38, 39, 44]. One would expect that these studies score “moderate” or “high” quality on treatment regimen reporting, but this was only the case for six out of the 12 studies.

In 67% of the studies, inappropriate treatment regimens were prescribed and the percentage of patients on inappropriate treatment regimens varied widely between 0.4% and 100%. The data suggest that the prevalence of inappropriate treatment was lower in the more recent years. These findings have to be interpreted with caution, however, as the prevalence could often only be estimated and the quality of reporting was low.

It appears that many of the included studies are from settings that are, or should be, informed on WHO treatment guidelines. Few studies are from private healthcare settings and none of the studies examined patients of individual private doctors. These are two groups of healthcare workers in which familiarity with international TB guidelines might be lower and thus have a higher level of inappropriate treatment prescription. Studies examining what TB treatment regimens private practitioners would prescribe show that often national or international guidelines are not often followed [51–53].

Three studies were based in EU Member States with intermediate-to-low TB incidence (France, Germany, and Spain) [36–38] and in all three studies, inappropriate treatment of cases was reported. Although the number of studies is limited and the quality of reporting ranged from low to high, these findings show inappropriate TB treatment is prescribed in that the EU. As stated in the European action plan to fight TB in the EU [54] and the follow-up of the action plan [55], it is essential that all TB patients be diagnosed and provided optimal TB treatment; without such efforts, TB transmission cannot be prevented and TB elimination achieved. Furthermore, the risk for resistance development remains. Regardless of TB incidence in a given setting, providing accurate treatment is essential to prevent transmission as well as prevent development of drug resistance.

In 17 (46%) out of the 37 included studies, the definition of TB diagnosis was not specified. Inspection of the data revealed that the availability of a TB case definition was not related to the quality of reporting of the treatment regimens or prevalence of inappropriate treatment regimens.

10 (27%) studies had a data collection period that included two or more WHO guideline periods, for example 1993 and 1997. There are differences between the guidelines, the most important being the inclusion of streptomycin in Category 1 treatment. In 1993 and 1997 this was recommended in the guidelines, but not in 2003. In these cases, we considered both HRZE and HRZS as appropriate treatment.

TB treatment consists of different treatment modalities: regimen, dose, frequency and duration. Other important aspects that are necessary for a successful treatment outcome are treatment compliance and quality of the drugs. The WHO guidelines recommend treatment regimens with an intensive and continuation phase (e.g., HRZE for intensive phase and HR for continuation) and with a certain frequency (3 days per week or daily), duration (e.g., 6 months) and dosage (e.g., 4–6 mg kg⁻¹ INH daily). In this article we could only assess treatment regimen as there was insufficient information on dose, frequency and duration of treatment.

Defining any regimen that is in line with WHO Guidelines as “appropriate” and all others as inappropriate is a rough distinction between right and wrong. Treatment against TB may be inappropriate because: 1) the treatment duration is too short; 2) the regimen contains too few drugs; or 3) the dosage is insufficient. The second error may cause drug resistance, while the first error increases the risk of side-effects and consequently low-treatment compliance and, thus, increased treatment failure. Unfortunately, in most included studies the treatment regimens were not reported in sufficient detail to make a distinction between these two forms of inappropriate treatment.

### Conclusion

Despite the fact that assessment of the prevalence of inappropriate TB treatment regimens was hampered by low quality of reporting, our data indicate a reasonable amount of inappropriate prescription of TB treatment regimens, as this was observed in the majority of the included studies. Thus, there is a risk that new drugs will be used in inappropriate treatment regimens, even with official WHO guidelines in place, introducing the subsequent risk of resistance development to these new drugs. This article highlights the need to ensure optimal implementation of the WHO treatment of TB guidelines in all settings.
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