TWO LOCAL VOLUME DWARF GALAXIES DISCOVERED IN 21 cm EMISSION: PISCES A AND B
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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of two dwarf galaxies, Pisces A and B, from a blind 21 cm H i search. These were the only two galaxies found via optical imaging and spectroscopy of 22 H i clouds identified in the GALFA-H i survey as dwarf galaxy candidates. They have properties consistent with being in the Local Volume (<10 Mpc), and one has resolved stellar populations such that it may be on the outer edge of the Local Group (~1 Mpc from M31). While the distance uncertainty makes interpretation ambiguous, these may be among the faintest star-forming galaxies known. Additionally, rough estimates comparing these galaxies to ΛCDM dark matter simulations suggest consistency in number density, implying that the dark matter halos likely to host these galaxies are primarily H i-rich. The galaxies may thus be indicative of a large population of dwarfs at the limit of detectability that are comparable to the faint satellites of the Local Group. Because they are outside the influence of a large dark matter halo to alter their evolution, these galaxies can provide critical anchors to dwarf galaxy formation models.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The properties of faint dwarf galaxies at or beyond the outer reaches of the Local Group (1–5 Mpc) probe the efficiency of environmentally driven galaxy formation processes and provide direct tests of cosmological predictions (e.g., Klypin et al. 1999; Moore et al. 1999; Strigari et al. 2008; Kravtsov 2010; Kirby et al. 2010; Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2011; Pontzen & Governato 2012; Geha et al. 2013; Monachesi et al. 2014). However, searches for faint galaxies suffer from strong luminosity and surface brightness biases that render galaxies with L V < 106 L⊙ difficult to detect beyond the Local Group (Tollerud et al. 2008; Walsh et al. 2009; Hargis et al. 2014). Because of these biases, searching for nearby dwarf galaxies with methodologies beyond the standard optical star count methods are essential.

This motivates searchs for dwarf galaxies using the 21 cm emission line of neutral hydrogen (H i). While such searches cannot identify passive dwarf galaxies like most Local Group satellites, which lack H i (Grcevich & Putman 2009; Spekkens et al. 2014), they have the potential to find gas-rich, potentially star-forming dwarf galaxies. This is exemplified by the case of the Leo P dwarf galaxy, found first in H i and later confirmed via optical imaging (Giovanelli et al. 2013; Rhode et al. 2013).

Here we describe two dwarf galaxies identified via H i emission in the first data release of the Galactic Arecibo L-band Feed Array H i (GALFA-H i) survey (Peek et al. 2011). As described below, they are likely within the Local Volume (<10 Mpc) but just beyond the Local Group (~1 Mpc), so we refer to them as Pisces A and B. This Letter is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the data used to identify these galaxies. In Section 3, we consider possible distance scenarios, while in Section 4 we provide context and some conclusions. Where relevant, we adopt a Hubble constant of H0 = 69.3 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ from WMAP9 (Hinshaw et al. 2013).

2. DATA

The two galaxies we report on here were identified initially as cold H i clouds with possibly galaxy-like properties in DR1 of the GALFA-H i survey (Peek et al. 2011). Confirmation of these clouds as galaxies required additional optical imaging and spectroscopy, which we describe below.

2.1. H i Detection

GALFA-H i was performed with the Arecibo Observatory 305 m telescope, using the ALFA feed array and the GALSPECT spectrometer. GALFA-H i DR1 (Peek et al. 2011) includes velocities |V _{LSR} | < 650 km s⁻¹, covers 7520 square degrees of sky from δ = −1° to +38°, has a channel spacing of 0.2 km s⁻¹, and a spatial resolution of 4’. The sensitivity of DR1 varies with position, but the majority of the objects cataloged would have M_{HI} < 10⁶ M⊙ if at 1 Mpc. The two candidate dwarfs were first found in a GALFA-H i DR1 catalog that identified H i clouds with sizes <20’ and velocity FWHMs < 35 km s⁻¹ (Saul et al. 2012). From the Saul et al. (2012) sample of 1964 clouds, Grcevich (2013) identified 51 candidate galaxies with fluxes and sizes similar to the known gas-rich Local Group dwarf galaxies (particularly Leo T). The two candidates presented here were also identified by Saul et al. (2012) as being likely galaxies because they cannot be easily associated with known high-velocity cloud (HVC) complexes or Galactic gas in position-velocity space.

2.2. Optical Imaging

We performed follow-up optical imaging of 22 of the H i clouds from Grcevich (2013). These observations were performed with the pODI instrument on the WIYN Telescope in
the $g$- and $r$-band filters, with integration times of 600–1200 s per filter per target. Standard imaging reductions were performed by the ODI Portal, Pipeline, and Archive facility. These include bias subtraction, flat-fielding, and alignment of individual Orthogonal Transfer Array (OTA) cells into chips. The SWarp program (Bertin et al. 2002) was used to combine the individual exposures, and DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987) was used to perform PSF-fitting photometry on stars in the field.

Most of the H\textsubscript{i} clouds did not have optical counterparts with morphologies like nearby galaxies within the $\sim$4' GALFA-H\textsubscript{i} beam. Those in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Ahn et al. 2014) footprint show neither diffuse features like the galaxies described below, nor point source overdensities to the limit of the DR10 catalog. Similarly, our deeper pODI imaging showed neither overdensities nor red giant branch (RGB) features in the color–magnitude diagrams (CMD) down to $r \lesssim 24$ (an RGB tip distance $> 3$ Mpc) for any of the targets we observed other than the two described below.

Only two objects showed nearby dwarf galaxy-like optical counterparts within the GALFA-H\textsubscript{i} beam. The pODI images of these two candidates are shown in the upper panels of Figure 1. They are also visible in the SDSS, although the SDSS catalog incompletely debinds them into a mix of stars and galaxies. Also shown in the lower panels of Figure 1 are images from the GALEX All-sky Imaging Survey (AIS; Morrissey et al. 2007).

The morphology of the objects in these images and presence of detectable UV flux is consistent with both being dwarf (irregular) galaxies. Additionally, the presence of such point sources resolved in ground-based imaging implies that the galaxies are relatively nearby ($\lesssim 10$ Mpc). In particular, Pisces A (left panel of Figure 1) shows point sources resolved enough to generate a CMD. We discuss this further in Section 3 in the context of providing a distance estimate.

While the centroid of the optical (and GALEX) objects are offset by $30''$—$40''$ from the H\textsubscript{i} emission, this is well within the 4' uncertainty from the GALFA-H\textsubscript{i} beam. All other optical counterparts within the beam are less likely to be associated with the H\textsubscript{i}; they either appear stellar or are consistent with being distant background galaxies (and hence at too high a redshift to match the H\textsubscript{i}). Furthermore, the H\textalpha emission discussed in the
next section is clearly associated with these optical counterparts, and its velocity is consistent with the H\textsubscript{i}, confirming the association between the optical objects and the H\textsubscript{i} cloud.

2.3. Optical Spectroscopy

To confirm that the galaxies visible in Figure 1 correspond to the H\textsubscript{i} clouds discussed in Section 2.1, we observed both galaxies in twilight with the Double Spectrograph on the Palomar Hale 200 inch telescope on UT 2014 February 6. The slit positions are shown in the upper panels of Figure 1. The observations were wavelength calibrated, bias- and sky-subtracted using standard longslit techniques. We show the spectra of these objects in Figure 2, with the lower panels showing the wavelengths near H\textalpha and the upper panels displaying the H\textsubscript{i} emission.

The optical spectra reveal H\textalpha emission. Other emission lines were not detected, but flux estimates of other lines assuming typical star-forming dwarf galaxies suggest they should have S/N \textless 1, due to poor observing conditions. We fit the H\textalpha
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**Figure 2.** Spectra of the dwarf galaxies. The upper panels show the GALFA-H\textsubscript{i} spectra for the clouds near Pisces A (upper left) and Pisces B (upper right). The lower panels show the sky-subtracted longslit optical spectra near H\textalpha for Pisces A (lower left) and Pisces B (lower right). In all panels, the dashed black vertical lines are the emission features redshifted to 236 and 615 km s\textsuperscript{-1} for Pisces A and Pisces B, respectively. Both optical spectra show H\textalpha emission at velocities consistent with the H\textsubscript{i} peak, confirming that the optical galaxies correspond to the H\textsubscript{i} clouds. The negative flux spikes at 6530 and 6610 Å in the Pisces A optical spectrum are caused by difficult-to-subtract OH sky lines.
emission with Gaussian profiles, yielding a central velocity offset from the H\textsc{i} by only 3 ± 4 and 10 ± 35 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Table 1). This is well within the H\textsc{\alpha} 1\sigma uncertainties\(^7\), implying that the optical galaxies are indeed associated with the H\textsc{i} clouds. Because flux calibration was not possible for these observations due to non-photometric conditions, we cannot quantify the magnitude of star formation implied by the emission. However, the presence of any detectable H\textsc{\alpha} emission implies star formation is ongoing (or only ceased within the last ~10 Myr) in at least some parts of these galaxies.

### 3. DISTANCES

The basic details of the two objects described in Section 2 are summarized in Table 1. From these details and the morphology visible in the upper panels of Figure 1, it is clear that they are relatively nearby dwarf galaxies. In the imaging they are similar to the Leo P dwarf galaxy, also discovered in H\textsc{i}. Pisces A in particular has a very similar H\textsc{i} line width as Leo P (\(W_{50} \sim 25\) km s\(^{-1}\)), although both it and Pisces B are optically somewhat fainter than Leo P, while having similar 21 cm flux. Further comparisons require the answer to a crucial question: what is the distance to Pisces A and Pisces B? We consider this question for each galaxy in turn below.

#### 3.1. Pisces A

We consider two scenarios for the distance to Pisces A. The first is based on the assumption that Pisces A is in the Hubble flow. That is, its distance is simply \(D = v/H_0 = 3.5\) Mpc. This case is considered in the first column of Table 1. In this scenario, Pisces A is not part of the Local Group, but nearby, well within the Local Volume.

Our second scenario is based on the presence of resolved stars in Pisces A (upper-left panel of Figure 1). The imaging shows the presence of blue point sources suggestive of young main sequence stars. If we consider Leo P as a template for this galaxy, the brightest main sequence stars in Leo P are comparable in magnitude to the Pisces A stars (Rhode et al. 2013, using the color transformations of Jester et al. 2005). Hence, for our second distance scenario, detailed in the second column of Table 1, we assume a distance equal to that of Leo P (1.72 Mpc; McQuinn et al. 2013). Pisces A is relatively close in projection to M31, so in this scenario, \(d_{M31} = 1.1\) Mpc. This is comparable to the Local Group’s zero-velocity distance (~1.06 Mpc from McConnachie 2012), placing Pisces A just beyond the edge of the Local Group.

#### 3.2. Pisces B

Pisces B has a higher radial velocity than Pisces A (615 km s\(^{-1}\) from the H\textsc{i}), yielding a larger distance in the Hubble flow scenario (8.9 Mpc). In this case, Pisces B is still within the Local Volume, but somewhat more luminous than Leo P or Pisces A, possibly akin to a blue compact dwarf (BCD). This is further suggested by its higher H\textsc{i} line width (\(W_{50} \sim 45\) km s\(^{-1}\)). This scenario is considered in the third column of Table 1.

In the pODI imaging (upper right panel of Figure 1), Pisces B appears to contain several potential point sources. However, Pisces B has more diffuse light than Pisces A, making it difficult to obtain accurate photometry and estimate a distance based on stellar CMDs. Additionally, the much stronger H\textsc{\alpha} emission apparent in Figure 2 (lower right panel), as well as its detection in the UV with GALEX (FUV = 18.93, NUV = 18.87; Donovan Meyer et al. 2015), means that at least some of the brighter point sources may be unresolved H\textsc{i} regions rather than distinct stars. That said, if these point sources are resolved stars, it is possible that Pisces B is somewhat closer than the Hubble flow distance implies, and instead has a substantially positive peculiar velocity. In the absence of deeper and higher-resolution imaging to resolve this question, in the fourth column of Table 1, we simply consider the limiting case that Pisces B is as close as the Hubble Flow scenario for Pisces A.

### 4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In Figure 3, we show Pisces A and B in the context of nearby dwarf galaxies. These include the Local Group dwarfs (as compiled in McConnachie 2012), the SHIELD sample
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\(^7\) Uncertainties on the optical velocities are much higher than for the H\textsc{i} due to the lower resolution of the optical spectrum.
of nearby $10^6$–$10^7 M_\odot$ H\textsc{i}-rich galaxies (Cannon et al. 2011), and Leo P. The upper panel demonstrates that, depending on the distance, the galaxies described here may be among the faintest known star-forming galaxies. They also overlap in their basic structural properties with Local Group dwarfs, although they are somewhat more compact. Unlike the Local Group galaxies, however, they are well beyond the virial radii of any large dark matter halo like that of the MW or M31. Hence, they are crucial data points both for understanding how star formation functions at the lowest luminosities and as possible progenitors of the faint (predominantly passive) dwarf satellites of the Local Group.

Figure 3. Upper panel: comparison of Pisces A and Pisces B to nearby dwarf galaxies in size vs. luminosity. Lower panel: comparison of Pisces A and Pisces B to nearby galaxies in stellar mass vs. H\textsc{i} mass. Local Group galaxy properties are from McConnell (2012). Leo P properties are from McQuinn et al. (2013) (the size described there is for an outer detectable extent, and thus is an upper limit on $R_{\text{eff}}$). The SHIELD sample of low-mass H\textsc{i}-rich nearby dwarfs is from Cannon et al. (2011). The shaded bars show the properties of Pisces A (cyan) and Pisces B (blue) between the two distance scenarios discussed in the text. For the upper panel, we use the Jester et al. (2005) transformations to convert from $r$-band magnitudes for Pisces A and Pisces B. For the lower panel, we make the estimate $(M_*/L_V)/L_\odot \sim 1$.

Additionally, the lower panel of Figure 3 shows they have a slightly higher H\textsc{i} mass relative to typical dwarfs of the same luminosity. The resulting gas fractions (last row of Table 1), while high, are not necessarily surprising, as both the mean and scatter in gas fractions increase for fainter dwarfs (Geha et al. 2006; J. D. Bradford et al. in preparation). Furthermore, these galaxies were discovered initially via H\textsc{i}, so detection biases favor higher H\textsc{i} masses. Nevertheless, the existence of a significant nearby population of faint galaxies with high H\textsc{i} content may offer significant constraints on dwarf galaxy formation models.

Motivated by the existence of these galaxies, we are identifying further candidate nearby, faint, star-forming dwarfs in the SDSS. As discussed in Section 2.2, Pisces A and Pisces B have blue point sources in the SDSS catalog (although it mis-classes them as galaxies due to the surrounding diffuse light). We conducted a search in the DR10 catalog for similar clusters of blue objects not associated with known galaxies or Galactic structures. While most such overdensities turn out to be artifacts, $\sim 100$ show morphologies potentially consistent with nearby dwarf galaxies. An ongoing spectroscopic follow-up campaign on these objects shows that some do indeed have H\textalpha emission consistent with local galaxies, and we will present these results in a future paper.

4.1. Comparison to Simulation

Detailed use of these galaxies as data points for galaxy formation will require more firm distances, only possible with deeper and/or higher resolution photometry (which will be obtained by our approved Cycle 22 
Hubble Space Telescope program). However, the detection of Pisces A may have interesting interpretations in a cosmological context. To demonstrate this, we consider simulations from the ELVIS suite, designed to resemble the Milky Way/M31 pairing of the real Local Group (Garrison-Kimmel et al. 2014). We mock observe dark matter halos in a frame with $v_{\text{tan}} = 220$ km s$^{-1}$ and $d = 8$ kpc (relative to the host halo). GALFA-H\textsc{i} can distinguish high-velocity cloud complexes from galaxy candidates at $v_{\text{helio}} \gtrsim 90$ km s$^{-1}$ (Saul et al. 2012), so we only consider halos with corresponding mock $v_{\text{helio}}$. We also ignore halos inside the host’s virial radius, and with stellar masses $8 \times 10^5 < M_*/M_\odot < 8 \times 10^8$. This yields $13 \pm 4$ halos.

The first data release of GALFA-H\textsc{i} covers 18% of the sky, so we expect the order of one to three galaxies to be found in GALFA-H\textsc{i} based on the above estimate of the number of ELVIS halos. If Pisces A is anywhere in the distance range we consider here, it is the only one in the GALFA-H\textsc{i} DR1 that falls in that range. (The most likely distances for Pisces B place it beyond the edge of most of the ELVIS simulations.) This implies there is at least not an order-of-magnitude discrepancy between the expectations of $\Lambda$CDM and the observations. While only one object, this also hints that because the number density of H\textsc{i}-selected faint dwarfs is roughly the same as that of corresponding ELVIS halos, even these low-mass halos typically have H\textsc{i} if they are beyond the Local Group.

4.2. Conclusions

We report two new nearby dwarf galaxies discovered from their H\textsc{i} emission, Pisces A and B. They provide new opportunities to study some of the faintest star-forming galaxies. While
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8 Stellar masses in ELVIS were assigned via the abundance matching prescription of Garrison-Kimmel et al. (2014).
detailed interpretation of the galaxies depends on the (uncertain) distance, rough estimates of number density suggest broad consistency with Λ\textsubscript{CDM}. They also suggest that these galaxies could represent a larger population of nearby faint star-forming dwarfs at the limits of detectability. These galaxies, and others like them, may thus provide a stepping stone from the dwarfs of the Local Group to the realm beyond.
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