Combining fMRI and DISC1 gene haplotypes to understand working memory-related brain activity in schizophrenia
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The DISC1 gene is one of the most relevant susceptibility genes for psychosis. However, the complex genetic landscape of this locus, which includes protective and risk variants in interaction, may have hindered consistent conclusions on how DISC1 contributes to schizophrenia (SZ) liability. Analysis of DISC1 haplotypes through fMRI can provide insights into the neurobiology of this disorder. We assessed the brain correlates of DISC1 haplotypes associated with SZ through a functional neuroimaging genetics approach. First, we tested the association of two DISC1 haplotypes, the HEP1 (rs6675281-1000731-rs999710) and the HEP3 (rs151229-rs3738401), with the risk for SZ in a sample of 138 healthy subjects (HS) and 238 patients. This approach allowed the identification of three haplotypes associated with SZ (HEP1-CTG, HEP3-GA and HEP3-AA). Second, we explored whether these haplotypes exerted differential effects on n-back associated brain activity in a subsample of 70 HS compared to 70 patients (diagnosis × haplotype interaction effect). These analyses evidenced that HEP3-GA and HEP3-AA modulated working memory functional response conditional to the health/disease status in the cuneus, precuneus, middle cingulate cortex and the ventrolateral and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices. Our results are the first to show a diagnosis-based effect of DISC1 haplotypes on working memory-related brain activity, emphasising its role in SZ.

The Disrupted in Schizophrenia 1 gene (DISC1) was first recognised in the context of psychiatric illness when a balanced chromosomal translocation (1;11)(q42.1;q14.3) was found to segregate with major mental disorders, including schizophrenia (SZ)1. Since then, molecular investigations have highlighted that the liability of the DISC1 gene towards psychosis is mediated by the protein role in processes associated with the pathophysiology of SZ, such as neurodevelopment and neurosignalling2,3. In neurodevelopment, the DISC1 protein acts as a central coordinator of neuronal trafficking, enabling the proper delivery of a range of neuronal cargoes with spatial and temporal precision, thereby ensuring normal neuronal development and functional homeostasis4. More specifically, DISC1 is involved in many stages of neurogenesis, such as neural precursor proliferation, neuronal migration, and neuronal integration/maturation5. Also, the synaptic location of DISC1 in adult dendritic spines and its enrichment in the post-synaptic density have suggested a role in the functional regulation of synaptic plasticity4, which is supported by several studies that show synaptic plasticity impairments in a variety of different DISC1 mouse mutant models6. Finally, it is worth mentioning that DISC1 protein interacts directly with the dopamine D2 receptor7, the main target of antipsychotic medications, suggesting that functional changes in the DISC1 sequence could interfere with dopamine signalling and antipsychotic drug response. Overall, these
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risk for SZ, as well as in other mental disorders and psychosis-related traits. Additionally, recent data has shown lower DISC1 expression levels in patients with SZ, which, in turn, were associated with increased severity of symptoms. Therefore, the DISC1 gene is currently considered one of the most relevant susceptibility genes for psychosis. However, while many genes identified through Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) in SZ form part of the DISC1 regulome and interactome, this gene has never been identified through genome-wide approaches by itself. This lack of direct GWAS significance may be due to the DISC1 genetic structure, which is complex and includes protective and risk single-SNP variants. Efforts to characterise such complexity have identified epistatic effects among DISC1 polymorphisms on the susceptibility towards SZ, bipolar disorder, psychosis-related traits, and emotional liability. As well, from haplotype-based approaches, the combined effect of different alleles has been related to risk and protective effects towards SZ and schizoaffective disorders, confirming the interest in analysing the DISC1 variability considering its haplotypic structure. In this sense, among the haplotypes more robustly associated with psychosis are the so-called HEP3 haplotype (spanning at intron 1/exon 2), which includes the rs751229 and the rs3738401, and the HEP1 haplotype (spanning at exon 9/intron 9), which includes SNPs such as the rs6675281, the rs1000731 and the rs999710.

To better comprehend this complex genetic landscape and how DISC1 contributes to SZ, strategies based on quantifiable brain-derived phenotypes have been proposed. On the one hand, many studies have reported associations between different DISC1 SNPs and brain structural variations in adults and neonates, in line with the pivotal role of DISC1 in neurodevelopment. On the other hand, DISC1 variability has been found to affect cognitive performance in domains such as attention and working memory, and the brain functional response to executive function and memory tasks, confirming the interest in analysing the DISC1 variability considering its haplotypic structure. In this sense, among the haplotypes more robustly associated with psychosis are the so-called HEP3 haplotype (spanning at intron 1/exon 2), which includes the rs751229 and the rs3738401, and the HEP1 haplotype (spanning at exon 9/intron 9), which includes SNPs such as the rs6675281, the rs1000731 and the rs999710.

Table 1. Haplotype association results. Only those haplotypes showing significant frequency differences between healthy subjects (n = 138) and patients with SZ (n = 238) are reported in this table. The haplotype allelic combinations and the corresponding frequencies are shown for each group, as well as the logistic regression statistic (Wald, W), the p value (obtained after applying 10,000 permutations procedure) and the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

| Haplotype | Healthy Subjects | Patients with SZ | p value | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|
| HEP1-CTG  | 15.15%          | 9.94%           | W = 6.04, p = 0.013, OR (95% CI) = 0.63 (0.41–0.98) |
| HEP3-GA   | 30.91%          | 22.13%          | W = 6.56, p = 0.010, OR (95% CI) = 0.63 (0.45–0.89) |
| HEP3-AA   | 6.68%           | 12.52%          | W = 6.17, p = 0.011, OR (95% CI) = 2.03 (1.17–3.53) |

After identifying the DISC1 translocation, numerous genetic association studies and meta-analyses have also provided support for the role of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) and mutations at this gene in the risk for SZ, as well as in other mental disorders and psychosis-related traits. Additionally, recent data has shown lower DISC1 expression levels in patients with SZ, which, in turn, were associated with increased severity of symptoms. Therefore, the DISC1 gene is currently considered one of the most relevant susceptibility genes for psychosis. However, while many genes identified through Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) in SZ form part of the DISC1 regulome and interactome, this gene has never been identified through genome-wide approaches by itself. This lack of direct GWAS significance may be due to the DISC1 genetic structure, which is complex and includes protective and risk single-SNP variants. Efforts to characterise such complexity have identified epistatic effects among DISC1 polymorphisms on the susceptibility towards SZ, bipolar disorder, psychosis-related traits, and emotional liability. As well, from haplotype-based approaches, the combined effect of different alleles has been related to risk and protective effects towards SZ and schizoaffective disorders, confirming the interest in analysing the DISC1 variability considering its haplotypic structure. In this sense, among the haplotypes more robustly associated with psychosis are the so-called HEP3 haplotype (spanning at intron 1/exon 2), which includes the rs751229 and the rs3738401, and the HEP1 haplotype (spanning at exon 9/intron 9), which includes SNPs such as the rs6675281, the rs1000731 and the rs999710.

To better comprehend this complex genetic landscape and how DISC1 contributes to SZ, strategies based on quantifiable brain-derived phenotypes have been proposed. On the one hand, many studies have reported associations between different DISC1 SNPs and brain structural variations in adults and neonates, in line with the pivotal role of DISC1 in neurodevelopment. On the other hand, DISC1 variability has been found to affect cognitive performance in domains such as attention and working memory, and the brain functional response to executive function and memory tasks, confirming the interest in analysing the DISC1 variability considering its haplotypic structure. In this sense, among the haplotypes more robustly associated with psychosis are the so-called HEP3 haplotype (spanning at intron 1/exon 2), which includes the rs751229 and the rs3738401, and the HEP1 haplotype (spanning at exon 9/intron 9), which includes SNPs such as the rs6675281, the rs1000731 and the rs999710.

Table 1. Haplotype association results. Only those haplotypes showing significant frequency differences between healthy subjects (n = 138) and patients with SZ (n = 238) are reported in this table. The haplotype allelic combinations and the corresponding frequencies are shown for each group, as well as the logistic regression statistic (Wald, W), the p value (obtained after applying 10,000 permutations procedure) and the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

| Haplotype | Healthy Subjects | Patients with SZ | p value | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|
| HEP1-CTG  | 15.15%          | 9.94%           | W = 6.04, p = 0.013, OR (95% CI) = 0.63 (0.41–0.98) |
| HEP3-GA   | 30.91%          | 22.13%          | W = 6.56, p = 0.010, OR (95% CI) = 0.63 (0.45–0.89) |
| HEP3-AA   | 6.68%           | 12.52%          | W = 6.17, p = 0.011, OR (95% CI) = 2.03 (1.17–3.53) |

First, in our sample of 138 healthy subjects (HS) and 238 subjects with a diagnosis of SZ, our analysis at DISC1 haplotypic level revealed three haplotypes associated with the risk for the disorder. The haplotypes HEP1-CTG and HEP3-GA were more frequent in HS than in patients, while the HEP3-AA haplotype was significantly overrepresented in patients (Table 1).

Results

Genetic association study. First, in our sample of 138 healthy subjects (HS) and 238 subjects with a diagnosis of SZ, our analysis at DISC1 haplotypic level revealed three haplotypes associated with the risk for the disorder. The haplotypes HEP1-CTG and HEP3-GA were more frequent in HS than in patients, while the HEP3-AA haplotype was significantly overrepresented in patients (Table 1).

Neuroimaging association study. Based on the DISC1 haplotypes associated with SZ, we performed the neuroimaging analysis with the haplotypes HEP1-CTG, HEP3-GA and HEP3-AA in a subsample of 70 HS and 70 patients (groups matched for age, sex and estimated IQ). The haplotypes were dichotomised, and each
subject was defined as a carrier of 0 or 1/2 copies of the protective/risk haplotypes. Subsequently, the diagnosis x haplotype interactions were tested on n-back functional response and behavioural performance.

**N-back functional response.** While the haplotype x diagnosis status interactions were assessed in all the n-back contrasts (1-back vs baseline, 2-back vs baseline and 2-back vs 1-back), we focused on the 2-back vs baseline and 2-back vs 1-back findings because these contrasts are the ones better depicting working memory networks50.

The HEP1-CTG x diagnosis interaction revealed no significant results. Concerning the HEP3, we found that both haplotypic combinations interacted with diagnosis and modulated n-back functional response. In the case of the HEP3-GA haplotype, the interaction was significant in the 1-back vs baseline (fully described in Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. S1) and the 2-back vs baseline contrasts.

As regards the 2-back vs baseline contrast, one significant cluster of interaction was seen, involving the cuneus and precuneus medially and the right middle cingulate cortex and the superior parietal cortex (735 voxels, peak activation at Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates system (MNI) [-4, -66, 72], Z = 3.2, p = 0.0182). For interpretation of the direction of the interaction results, the mean activation scores were estimated from the areas where significance was detected, and the mean values were plotted. The mean activations of the region of interest (ROI) indicated that the patients with SZ carrying no copies of the protective HEP3-GA exhibited higher activation scores than those with 1or 2 copies. In contrast, the HS showed the opposite pattern (Fig. 1).

When the HEP3-AA haplotype was assessed, we found a significant interaction with the diagnosis in all the analysed contrasts (for 1-back vs baseline contrast results, see Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. S2). In the 2-back vs baseline, the diagnosis and HEP3-AA interaction was significant in three clusters: cluster (1) was in the left middle and posterior cingulate cortex, extending to the cuneus, precuneus, the thalamus and the paracentral lobule (850 voxels, peak activation at MNI [-22, -40, 28], Z = 4.10, p = 0.0082); cluster (2) was in the right hemisphere including the posterior and supramarginal gyrus, the middle cingulate cortex, the paracentral lobule and also reaching the hippocampus (930 voxels, peak activation at MNI [38, -4, 28], Z = 3.70, p = 0.00464); and, cluster (3) involved regions of the lingual and fusiform gyri on the left, the calcarine sulcus and the cerebellum (1348 voxels, peak activation at MNI [-28, -72, 10], Z = 4.06, p = 0.000333). In this contrast, ROI analysis revealed that for the three clusters, the HS and the patients with SZ showed similar activity profiles when they had no copies of the HEP3-AA risk haplotype. Conversely, among individuals with 1 or 2 copies of

---

**Figure 1.** (a) Brain regions showing the axial view of the cluster with significant diagnosis x HEP3-GA interaction in 2-back vs baseline contrast. The right side of the image represents the right side of the brain. The MNI coordinates are given for each slice. Units of the bar are the corresponding β values from the regression standardised to Z-scores. (b) Plot with the corresponding estimated marginal mean activity scores and ± 2 standard error (SE) for HEP3-GA haplotype in healthy subjects (42.90% with 0 copies and 57.10% with 1 or 2 (1/2) copies) and patients with SZ (51.40% with 0 copies and 48.60% with 1or 2 (1/2) copies).
the risk haplotype, HS showed increased activation than patients with SZ, who deactivated these regions. The mean activation scores for cluster 2 are shown in Fig. 2.

In the 2-back vs 1-back contrast, a significant interaction emerged in one cluster located in the right superior and middle frontal cortex, the middle and inferior orbitofrontal cortex and the dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal cortices (585 voxels, peak activation at MNI [48,44,-16], Z = 3.83, p = 0.0441). Within individuals with no copies of the risk HEP3-AA haplotype, there were barely any differences between the HS and the SZ patients. However, among individuals with 1 or 2 copies of the HEP3-AA risk haplotype, the response was in opposite

Figure 2. (a) Brain regions showing the axial view of the clusters with significant diagnosis × HEP3-AA interaction in 2-back vs baseline contrast. Cluster one is shown in red, cluster two in blue and cluster three in yellow. The right side of the image represents the right side of the brain. The MNI coordinates are given for each slice. Units of the bar are the corresponding β values from the regression standardised to Z-scores. (b) Plot corresponding to the 2nd cluster's estimated marginal mean activity scores ± 2 standard error (SE) for the HEP3-AA haplotype in healthy subjects (92.9% with 0 copies and 7.10% with 1 or 2 (1/2) copies) and patients with SZ (74.30% with 0 copies and 25.70% with 1 or 2 (1/2) copies).
directions depending on the diagnosis: HS with 1 or 2 risk copies responded with an activity increase, whereas patients showed minimal changes (Fig. 3).

N-back behavioural performance. The signal detection theory index of sensitivity (d') was the behavioural measure used (d'1 for 1-back and d'2 for 2-back). Higher values of d' indicate a better execution of the task. The comparison between HS and patients with SZ revealed significant differences at both n-back difficulty levels (d'1: F = 12.85, p < 0.001, d'2: F = 37.31, p < 0.001). Patients’ performance was significantly worse than the HS’ one, and the differences were more pronounced for d'2 scores (d'1 estimated marginal mean (SE) for HS: 4.24 (0.11) and for patients: 3.71 (0.11); d'2 estimated marginal mean (SE) in HS: 3.30 (0.09) and patients: 2.48 (0.09)). The interaction between the associated haplotypes and diagnosis revealed no significant results.

Discussion
This study explored whether DISC1 haplotypic variability exerted differential effects on working memory-related brain activity. We evidenced the association of three DISC1 haplotypes with SZ (HEP1-CTG, HEP3-GA and HEP3-AA) and subsequently the modulating role of HEP3-GA and HEP3-AA on brain activations during the performance of the n-back task depending on the health/disease status.

Our genetic association results add to previous research on the involvement of DISC1 haplotypic variability in the risk for SZ and other psychotic disorders. On the one hand, our data revealed that the HEP1-CTG (rs6675281, rs1000731 and rs999710) was associated with a protective effect (i.e., less frequent in patients than in HS). In line with these data, a HEP1 haplotype containing rs6675281-C and rs1000731-T alleles was identified to be underrepresented in patients with a schizoaffective disorder through a case–control study. Contrary, from a family-based approach, the opposite combination (rs6675281-T allele and rs1000731-C allele) was over-transmitted to the patients with SZ. On the other hand, our findings also indicated the protective effect of the HEP3-GA (rs751229, rs3738401) and the risk effect of the HEP3-AA (i.e., more frequent in patients as compared to HS). In this view, previous studies have likewise reported HEP3-AA to be more frequent in patients with a psychotic disorder than in their relatives. Nonetheless, it is of note that the allelic variants conferring HEP1 and HEP3 and the relative frequencies observed in patients and HS are not always in consensus across
Our genetic association analyses identified the haplotypic combinations related to SZ in our sample, leading to the assessment of their influence on brain functional differences in HS and patients with SZ. Through the fMRI analyses, we found no significant interaction between diagnosis and HEP1-CTG on n-back brain function. Given the scarce previous studies, a direct comparison of our results with others is not possible. However, it can be noted that one study reported changes in cortical thickness in the left supramarginal gyrus associated with a rare DISC1 haplotype containing the rs6675281-C allele.

With reference to HEP3, the two haplotypic combinations revealed significant interactions with the diagnosis on n-back brain response. The interaction between the HEP3-GA and diagnosis in both 1-back vs baseline and 2-back vs baseline implicated the cuneus, the precuneus and the middle cingulate cortex. We observed that HS deactivated such regions and that patients with no copies of the protective GA haplotype had a less marked deactivation or even failed to deactivate in the most difficult level (2-back vs baseline). Considering that our association findings related the GA haplotype to a protective effect towards the disorder, this neuroimaging result seems to be in the same direction. The precuneus forms part of the so-called default mode network, a network of regions that HS deactivate during the performance of a wide range of cognitive tasks and its failure of deactivation during the performance of the n-back and other tasks has also been reported in several studies in SZ. Additionally, changes in the precuneus structure and functional connectivity in SZ have been previously related to DISC1 genetic variability.

As regards the HEP3-AA, the interaction has been observed in all the n-back contrasts analysed. This suggests that the HEP3-3A haplotype modifies the different cognitive requirements engaged during the n-back. Concerning the 2-back vs baseline contrast, the interaction was found in regions related to the previously described HEP3-GA interaction, comprising the precuneus, the posterior and middle cingulate cortex and the cuneus. This suggests that, regardless of the haplotypic combination, the HEP3 haplotype may be involved in the functional response of these brain regions. In detail, we observed that among patients with SZ, those without the protective GA haplotype and those with the risk AA haplotype were the ones presenting activation patterns in opposite directions compared to the rest of the individuals. Since the haplotypes were dichotomised, eight individuals had 1 copy of each of the two haplotypes (1 of the protective HEP3-GA and the other of the risk HEP3-AA). To overcome this haplotypic overlap, we retested the interactions with the estimated mean activity scores once these subjects were removed from the analyses, and the results remained unchanged.

In the 2-back vs 1-back contrast, the regions with significant HEP3-3A interaction with diagnosis included the right ventrolateral and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortices. Previously, DISC1 variability has been found to modulate the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activation in response to working memory in healthy subjects. Likewise, a functional neuroimaging meta-analysis of different executive and working memory tasks found that the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex bilaterally and the right ventrolateral and premotor cortex were involved in these cognitive demanding tasks and also that their activation was reduced in SZ. Considering the HEP3-3A neuroimaging results together, the most distinctive pattern occurred within HS carrying 1 or 2 copies of this risk haplotype compared to the others (HS without it and all the patients). This pattern arises from the larger absolute degree of brain activity change observed between HS carriers and non-carriers of the risk haplotype, compared to the degree of change detected within patients. Such differential effect of diagnosis has already been highlighted by Crespi & Badcock, when reviewing the complex relationship between genetic factors and SZ intermediate phenotypes.

About the n-back behavioural analyses, we did not detect significant interaction effects between the diagnosis and either of the haplotypes. In this sense, the comparability of the results is hampered because previous studies assessing DISC1 variability on working memory do not report DISC1 behavioural analyses evaluated during fMRI protocols. However, one fMRI study is partially in line with our data, as they did not detect an effect of the DISC1 on behavioural performance when analysing one SNP at HEP1 (rs6675281) and a different work-of-the-art memory task. Beyond functional studies, neurocognitive evidence has associated a rare 4-SNP haplotype to a protective effect towards the disorder, this neuroimaging result seems to be in the same direction. The precuneus forms part of the so-called default mode network, a network of regions that HS deactivate during the performance of a wide range of cognitive tasks and its failure of deactivation during the performance of the n-back and other tasks has also been reported in several studies in SZ. Additionally, changes in the precuneus structure and functional connectivity in SZ have been previously related to DISC1 genetic variability.

Regarding the effects of HEP3 haplotype on gene expression, it has been highlighted that the regions covered by this haplotype are highly conserved after human and mouse divergence, and the fact that these noncoding regions have such evolutionary conservation may be indicative of some functional significance and/or a potential regulatory role. Furthermore, the rs3738401-G/A polymorphism, located in exon 2, is a missense variant that causes an Arg264Gln aminoacidic substitution. It has been reported that this polymorphism has a biological impact on Wnt signalling transduction pathways affecting neurogenesis, suggesting a putative mechanism for its role in decisive neurodevelopmental processes leading to psychiatric disorders. So, our results on the modulation effect that DISC1 haplotypic variability has on brain function would link the evidence highlighting the role of DISC1 in neurogenesis with the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying SZ.

Finally, some limitations of the current study need to be considered. First, for the genetic association analysis, our sample could be regarded as quite small; nonetheless, the fact that we inspected the haplotypic instead of single SNP variability adds power to our approach. Also, with 70 patients and 70 controls, our sample is large for functional imaging standards considering that most of the previous studies are focused exclusively on HS or include a reduced group of patients. On the other hand, the fact that the neuroimaging analyses were based on our haplotypic association results represents a strength of the study. Notwithstanding, future studies...
participants were right-handed and had an estimated IQ ≥ 70. Symptoms were evaluated with the Positive and Negative Symptoms Scale (PANSS) (TAP61,62). Ethical approval was obtained from the Germanes Hospitalàries Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided written informed consent about the study procedures and implications. All procedures were performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Methods

#### Sample

The genetic association analysis to identify DISC1 haplotypes related to SZ was conducted in a sample of 138 healthy subjects (HS) and 238 subjects with a DMS-IV-TR diagnosis of SZ (based on interviews by two psychiatrists). All participants were of European ancestry, between 19 and 65 years old. There were group differences regarding sex (χ² = 15.85 p < 0.001, 72% males within patients with SZ and 51% within HS) and age (t = −2.65 p = 0.008, mean age (SD) for patients with SZ = 41.98 (11.81) and for HS = 38.65 (11.64)). The HS had no personal or family history of psychiatric disorders or treatment. All participants met the same exclusion criteria: co-existent neurological disorder or medical illness affecting brain function, history of head trauma with loss of consciousness and history of drug abuse or dependence.

The neuroimaging analyses were performed in a subsample of 70 HS and 70 patients matched for age, sex, and estimated IQ (premorbid IQ in the patients), as assessed using the Word Accentuation Test (Test de acen-tuación de palabras, TAP) (Table 2). In addition to the previous inclusion criteria, all participants in this part of the study were right-handed and had an estimated IQ ≥ 70. Symptoms were evaluated with the Positive and Negative Symptoms Scale (PANSS) (Table 2).

Ethical approval was obtained from the Germanes Hospitalàries Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided written informed consent about the study procedures and implications. All procedures were carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Genotyping and haplotype estimation

Genomic DNA was extracted for all individuals either from buccal mucosa through cotton swabs using ATP Genomic Mini Kit Tissue (Taknokroma Analitica, S.A., Sant Cugat del Vallès, Span) or peripheral blood cells using Realpure SSS kit (Durviz, S.L.U., Valencia, Spain). The set of SNPs was selected according to previous studies in which DISC1 haplotypes associated with SZ were described25,28. Two SNPs within the HEP3 haplotype (rs751229 and rs3738401) and three SNPs within the HEP1 haplotype (rs6675281, rs1000731 and rs9987110) were genotyped (Table 3). The allelic discrimination was performed using a fluorescence-based procedure (Applied Biosystems Taqman 5 ′-exo nuclease assays) using standard conditions, and the polymerase chain reaction plates were read on ABI PRISM 7900HT instrument with SDS v2.1 software (Applied Biosystems). The genotyping call rate was > 0.97, and the method's accuracy was restated by running in duplicate 10% of the samples and confirming all the repeated genotypes. All SNPs were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in both diagnostic groups. The minor allele frequencies in our sample were similar to that described for the European population in the 1000 Genomes Project. There were no differences between the SNPs/haplotype frequencies from the whole sample and the neuroimaging subsample. For the neuroimaging approach, the estimation and tabulation of the individual haplotype phases were performed using PLINK 1.0763.

| Neuroimaging Association sample (HS/70, SZ/70) | Healthy Subjects | Patients with SZ | $\chi^2 = 0.00$, p = 1 |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Sex                                        | 48.22 (0.68)     | 48.22 (0.68)    |                         |
| Age                                        | 38.86 (11.34)    | 39.05 (11.31)   | U = 2433, p = 0.944     |
| Estimated IQ (TAP)                         | 103.03 (7.84)    | 102.03 (8.54)   | U = 2282, p = 0.482     |
| Illness duration                          | –                | 15.93 (11.63)   |                         |
| PANSS Total                                | –                | 60.40 (30.85)   |                         |
| PANSS Positive                             | –                | 38.55 (6.01)    |                         |
| PANSS Negative                             | –                | 23.75 (8.57)    |                         |
| CPZ equivalents                            | –                | 30.22 (12.68)   |                         |
| CPZ equivalents                            | –                | 533.21 (433.93) |                         |

Table 2. Sample description. Information on the healthy subjects (HS) and patients with SZ included in the neuroimaging association study. Sex description includes male:female count (frequency in males). The clinical description of patients includes Illness duration (in years), the PANSS scores, and chlorpromazine (CPZ) equivalent dose (mg/day). All the quantitative variables include the mean value and (standard deviation). *Data of illness duration was available for 67 patients. †Data of PANSS scores and CPZ equivalents were available for 65 patients.
network, which, according to recent independent component analysis, are the 2-back vs baseline and the 2-back memory load (the 1-back and the 2-back), and as the difficulty load increases, higher-order executive functions affected by SZ exhibit affectations48,68–71, we focused on the contrasts better characterising the working memory 1-back and d’2 for 2-back).

Subjects are not performing the task. All the individuals included in the analyses had positive d’ values (d’1 for 1-back and d’2 for 2-back). d’ indicate a better ability to discriminate between targets and distractors, while negative values indicate that subjects are not performing the task. All the individuals included in the analyses had positive d’ values (d’1 for 1-back and d’2 for 2-back).

The two memory load levels were presented in a blocked design manner. Each block consisted of 24 letters that were shown every 2 seconds (1 second on, 1 second off). All blocks contained five repetitions (one letter beforehand in the 1-back version and two letters beforehand in the 2-back version) located randomly within the blocks. Individuals had to indicate repetitions by pressing a button. Four 1-back and four 2-back blocks were presented in an interleaved way, and between them, a baseline stimulus (an asterisk flashing with the same frequency as the letters) was presented for 16 seconds. Characters were shown in green and red for 1-back and 2-back, respectively, to identify which task had to be performed. The same day, before the scanning session, all participants underwent a training session outside the scanner.

The behavioural measure used was the signal detection theory index of sensitivity, d’72. Higher values of d’ indicate a better ability to discriminate between targets and distractors, while negative values indicate that subjects are not performing the task. All the individuals included in the analyses had positive d’ values (d’1 for 1-back and d’2 for 2-back).

Neuroimaging data acquisition. In each scanning session, 266 volumes were acquired from a GE Sigma 1.5-T scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA). A gradient echo-planar imaging sequence depicted the blood oxygen level-dependent signal. Each volume contained 16 axial planes acquired with the following parameters: repetition time = 2000 ms., echo time = 20 ms., flip angle = 70°, section thickness = 7 mm, section skip = 0.7 mm, in-plane resolution = 3 × 3 mm. To avoid T1 saturation effects, the first 10 volumes were discarded.

Statistical analyses. Genetic association study. We tested all the possible allelic combinations for the two haplotypes assessed (HEP1 and HEP3) for association with SZ through a logistic regression model, including sex as a covariate (PLINK). The given p values are those obtained after 10,000 permutations procedure. Only those haplotypes significantly associated with the disorder were furtherly examined in the neuroimaging association study.

Neuroimaging association study. Based on our genetic association results, we performed the neuroimaging analysis with the HEP1-CTG, the HEP3-GA and the HEP3-AA in the matched subsample of 70 HS and 70 patients. Because of the haplotypic frequencies in our sample, the analyses were conducted considering all haplotypes as dichotomous variables and each subject was defined as a carrier of 0 or 1/2 copies of the protective/risk haplotypes.

The fMRI analyses were performed with the FEAT tool from FSL software (FMRIB Software, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK75). Images were corrected for movement and co-registered to a common stereotactic space (the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template). Subjects with an estimated maximum absolute movement > 3.0 mm or an average absolute movement > 0.3 mm were a priori excluded from the study to minimise unwanted movement-related effects. Normalised volumes were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian filter of 5 mm full-width at half maximum, and general linear models were fitted to generate individual activation maps for three different contrasts: 1-back vs baseline, 2-back vs baseline, and 2-back vs 1-back. The movement variables were added to the model as nuisance variables to control for movement in the scanner. All statistical tests were performed at the cluster level with a corrected p value of 0.05 and an initial height threshold of 2.3 (equivalent to an uncorrected p value of 0.01, using the Standard Field Theory correction implemented in FSL76). Afterwards, the interaction effect on brain function between the diagnosis and the three haplotypes was tested using regression models (whole-brain corrected and controlled for age, sex and estimated IQ). For interpretation of the direction of the interaction results, the mean activation scores were estimated from the areas where significance was detected with the FSLSTATS tool in FSL, and the mean values were plotted using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics, version 22).
was compared between HS and patients using an ANOVA (controlling for age, sex and estimated IQ). Next, the interaction between diagnosis and the three haplotypes was tested through full-factorial ANOVAs (including the diagnosis and haplotype main effects and controlled for age, sex and estimated IQ). These analyses were corrected for multiple comparisons (Bonferroni).
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