Introduction

Mechanical ventilation is a supportive and life-saving therapy in patients with acute lung injury (ALI)/acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Despite advances in critical care, mortality remains high [1]. During the last decade, the fact that mechanical ventilation can produce morphologic and physiologic alterations in the lungs has been recognized [2]. In this context, the use of low tidal volumes (VT) and limited inspiratory plateau pressure (Pplat) has been proposed when mechanically ventilating the lungs of patients with ALI/ARDS, to prevent lung as well as distal organ injury [3]. However, the reduction in VT may result in alveolar derecruitment, cyclic opening and closing of atelectatic alveoli and distal small airways leading to ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) if inadequate low positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) is applied [4]. On the other hand, high PEEP levels may be associated with excessive lung parenchyma stress and strain [5] and negative hemodynamic effects, resulting in systemic organ injury [6]. Therefore, lung recruitment maneuvers have been proposed and used to open up collapsed lung, while PEEP counteracts alveolar derecruitment due to low VT ventilation [4].

Lung recruitment and stabilization through use of PEEP are illustrated in Figure 1. Nevertheless, the beneficial effects of recruitment maneuvers in ALI/ARDS have been questioned. Although Hodgson et al. [7] showed no evidence that recruitment maneuvers reduce mortality or the duration of mechanical ventilation in patients with ALI/ARDS, such maneuvers may be useful to reverse life-threatening hypoxemia [8] and to avoid derecruitment resulting from disconnection and/or airway suctioning procedures [9].

The success and/or failure of recruitment maneuvers are associated with various factors: 1) Different types of lung injury, mainly pulmonary and extra-pulmonary origin; 2) differences in the severity of lung injury; 3) the transpulmonary pressures reached during recruitment maneuvers; 4) the type of recruitment maneuver applied; 5) the PEEP levels used to stabilize the lungs after the recruitment maneuver; 6) differences in patient positioning (most notably supine vs prone); 7) use of different vasoactive drugs, which may affect cardiac output and the distribution of pulmonary blood flow, thus modifying gas-exchange.

Although numerous reviews have addressed the use of recruitment maneuvers to optimize ventilator settings in ALI/ARDS, this issue remains controversial. While some types of recruitment maneuver have been abandoned in clinical practice, new, potentially interesting strategies able to recruit the lungs have not been properly considered. In the present chapter we will describe and discuss: a) Definition and factors affecting recruitment; b) types of recruitment maneuvers; and c) the role of variable ventilation as a recruitment maneuver.

Definition and factors affecting recruitment maneuvers

Recruitment maneuver denotes the dynamic process of an intentional transient increase in transpulmonary pressure aimed at opening unstable airless alveoli, which has also been termed alveolar recruitment maneuver. Although the existence of alveolar closure and opening in ALI/ARDS has been questioned [10], the rationale for recruitment maneuvers is to open the atelectatic alveoli, thus increasing end-expiratory lung volume, improving gas exchange, and attenuating VILI [11].
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recruitment maneuvers may also contribute to VILI [11, 12], with translocation of pulmonary bacteria [13] and cytokines into the systemic circulation [14]. Furthermore, since recruitment maneuvers increase mean thoracic pressure, they may lead to a reduction in venous return with impairment of cardiac output [15].

Various factors may influence the response to a recruitment maneuver, namely: 1) The nature and extent of lung injury, and 2) patient positioning.

Nature and extent of lung injury
The nature of the underlying injury can affect the response to a recruitment maneuver. In direct (pulmonary) lung injury, the primary structure damaged is the alveolar epithelium resulting in alveolar filling by edema, fibrin, and neutrophilic aggregates. In indirect (extrapulmonary) lung injury, inflammatory mediators are released from extrapulmonary foci into the systemic circulation leading to microvessel congestion and interstitial edema with relative sparing of intra-alveolar spaces [16]. Therefore, recruitment maneuvers should be more effective to open atelectatic lung regions in indirect compared to direct lung injury. Based on this hypothesis, Kloot et al. [17] investigated the effects of recruitment maneuvers on gas exchange and lung volumes in three experimental models of ALI: Saline lavage or surfactant depletion, oleic acid, and pneumonia, and observed improvement in oxygenation only in ALI induced by surfactant depletion. Riva et al. [18] compared the effects of a recruitment maneuver in models of pulmonary and extrapulmonary ALI, induced by intratracheal and intraperitoneal instillation of *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide, with similar transpulmonary pressures. They found that the recruitment maneuver was more effective for opening collapsed alveoli in extrapulmonary compared to pulmonary ALI, improving lung mechanics and oxygenation with limited damage to alveolar epithelium. Using electrical impedance and computed tomography (CT) to assess lung ventilation and aeration, respectively, Wrigge et al. [19] suggested that the distribution of regional ventilation was more heterogeneous in extrapulmonary than in pulmonary ALI during lung recruitment with slow inspiratory flow. However, this phenomenon and the claim that recruitment maneuvers are useful to protect the so called ‘baby lung’, i.e., the lung tissue that is usually present in ventral areas and receives most of the tidal ventilation, has been recently challenged. According to Grasso et al. [20], recruitment maneuvers combined with high PEEP levels can lead to hyperinflation of the baby lung due to inhomogeneities in the lung parenchyma, independent of the origin of the injury (pulmonary or extrapulmonary).

Recently, we assessed the impact of recruitment maneuvers on lung mechanics, histology, inflammation and fibrogenesis at two different degrees of lung injury (moderate and severe) in a paraquat ALI model [21].

Figure 1. Computed tomography images of oleic acid-induced acute lung injury in dogs at different inspiratory and expiratory pressures. Note the improvement in alveolar aeration at end-expiration after the recruitment maneuver. Large arrows represent inspiration and expiration. Double-ended arrows represent the tidal breathing (end-expiration and end-inspiration). Adapted from [4].
While both degrees of injury showed comparable amounts of lung collapse, severe ALI was accompanied by alveolar edema. After a recruitment maneuver, lung mechanics improved and the amount of atelectasis was reduced to similar extents in both groups, but in the presence of alveolar edema, the recruitment maneuver led to hyperinflation, and triggered an inflammatory as well as a fibrogenic response in the lung tissue.

**Patient positioning**

Prone positioning may not only contribute to the success of recruitment maneuvers, but should itself be considered as a recruitment maneuver. In the prone position, the transpulmonary pressure in dorsal lung areas increases, opening alveoli and improving gas-exchange [22]. Some authors have reported that in healthy [23], as well as in lung-injured animals [24], mechanical ventilation leading to lung overdistension and cyclic collapse/reopening was associated with less extensive histological change in dorsal regions in the prone, as compared to the supine position. Although the claim that body position affects the distribution of lung injury has been challenged, the development of VILI due to excessively high $V_t$ seems to be delayed during prone compared to supine positioning [25].

The reduction or delay in the development of VILI in the prone position can be explained by different mechanisms: (a) A more homogeneous distribution of transpulmonary pressure gradient due to changes in the lung-thorax interactions and direct transmission of the weight of the abdominal contents and heart [22], yielding a redistribution of ventilation; (b) increased end-expiratory lung volume resulting in a reduction in stress and strain [25]; and (c) changes in regional perfusion and/or blood volume [26]. In a paraquat model of ALI, the prone position was associated with a better perfusion in ventral and dorsal regions, a more homogeneous distribution of alveolar aeration which reduced lung mechanical changes and increased end expiratory lung volume and oxygenation [27]. In addition, the prone position reduced alveolar stress but no regional changes resulted in hyperinflation, ultrastructural changes in alveolar capillary membrane, increased lung and kidney epithelial cell apoptosis, and type III procollagen (PCIII) mRNA expression in lung tissue. On the other hand, recruitment maneuvers also improved oxygenation more effectively with a decreased PEEP requirement for preservation of the oxygenation response in prone compared with supine position in oleic acid-induced lung injury [28]. Those findings suggest that the prone position may protect the lungs against VILI, and recruitment maneuvers can be more effective in the prone compared to the supine position.

**Types of recruitment maneuver**

A wide variety of recruitment maneuvers has been described. The most relevant are represented by: Sustained inflation maneuvers, high pressure controlled ventilation, incremental PEEP, and intermittent sighs. However, the best recruitment maneuver technique is currently unknown and may vary according to the specific circumstances.

The most commonly used recruitment maneuver is the sustained inflation technique, in which a continuous pressure of 40 cmH$_2$O is applied to the airways for up to 60 sec [8]. Sustained inflation has been shown to be effective in reducing lung atelectasis [29], improving oxygenation and respiratory mechanics [18, 29], and preventing endotracheal suctioning-induced alveolar derecruitment [9]. However, the efficacy of sustained inflation has been questioned and other studies showed that this intervention may be ineffective [30], short-lived [31], or associated with circulatory impairment [32], an increased risk of baro/volutrauma [33], a reduced net alveolar fluid clearance [34], or even worsened oxygenation [35].

In order to avoid such side effects, other types of recruitment maneuver have been developed and evaluated. The most important are: 1) incrementally increased PEEP limiting the maximum inspiratory pressure [36]; 2) pressure-controlled ventilation applied with escalating PEEP and constant driving pressure [30]; 3) prolonged lower pressure recruitment maneuver with PEEP elevation up to 15 cmH$_2$O and end inspiratory pauses for 7 sec twice per minute during 15 min [37]; 4) intermittent sighs to reach a specific plateau pressure in volume or pressure control mode [38]; and 5) long slow increase in inspiratory pressure up to 40 cmH$_2$O (RAMP) [18].

**Impact of recruitment maneuver on ventilator-induced lung injury**

While much is known about the impact of recruitment maneuvers on lung mechanics and gas exchange, only a few studies have addressed their effects on VILI. Recently, Steimback et al. [38] evaluated the effects of frequency and inspiratory plateau pressure (Pplat) during recruitment maneuvers on lung and distal organs in rats with ALI induced by paraquat. They observed that although a recruitment maneuver with standard sigh (180 sighs/hour and Pplat = 40 cmH$_2$O) improved oxygenation and decreased PaCO$_2$, lung elastance, and alveolar collapse, it resulted in hyperinflation, ultrastructural changes in alveolar capillary membrane, increased lung and kidney epithelial cell apoptosis, and type III procollagen (PCIII) mRNA expression in lung tissue. On the other hand, reduction in the sigh frequency to 10 sighs/hour at the same Pplat (40 cmH$_2$O) diminished lung elastance and improved oxygenation, with a marked decrease in alveolar hyperinflation, PCIII mRNA expression in lung tissue, and apoptosis in lung and kidney epithelial cells.
However, the association of this sigh frequency with a lower Pplat of 20 cmH₂O worsened lung elastance, histology and oxygenation, and increased PaCO₂, with no modifications in PCIII mRNA expression in lung tissue and epithelial cells apoptosis of distal organs. Figure 2 illustrates some of these effects. We speculate that there is a sigh frequency threshold beyond which the intrinsic reparative properties of the lung epithelium are overwhelmed. Although the optimal sigh frequency may be different in healthy animals/patients compared to those with ALI, our results suggest that recruitment maneuvers with high frequency or low plateau pressure should be avoided. Theoretically, a recruitment maneuver using gradual inflation of the lungs may yield a more homogeneous distribution of pressure throughout the lung parenchyma, avoiding repeated maneuvers and reducing lung stretch while allowing effective gas exchange.

Riva et al. [18] compared the effects of sustained inflation using a rapid high recruitment pressure of 40 cmH₂O for 40 sec with a progressive increase in airway pressure up to 40 cmH₂O reached at 40 sec after the onset of inflation (so called RAMP) in paraquat-induced ALI. They reported that the RAMP maneuver improved lung mechanics with less alveolar stress. Among other recruitment maneuvers proposed as alternatives to sustained inflation, RAMP may differ according to the time of application and the mean airway pressure.

Recently, Saddy and colleagues [39] reported that assisted ventilation modes such as assist-pressure controlled ventilation (APCV) and biphasic positive airway pressure associated with pressure support Ventilation (BiVent+PSV) led to alveolar recruitment improving gas-exchange and reducing inflammatory and fibrogenic mediators in lung tissue compared to pressure controlled Ventilation. They also showed that BiVent+PSV was associated with less inspiratory effort, reduced alveolar capillary membrane injury, and fewer inflammatory and fibrogenic mediators compared to APCV [39].

The role of variable ventilation as a recruitment maneuver
Variable mechanical ventilation patterns are characterized by breath-by-breath changes in V₄ that mimic spontaneous breathing in normal subjects, and are usually accompanied by reciprocal changes in the respiratory rate. Time series of V₄ and respiratory rate values during variable mechanical ventilation may show long-range correlations, which are more strictly 'biological', or simply random (noisy). Both biological and noisy patterns of variable mechanical ventilation have been shown to improve oxygenation and respiratory mechanics, and reduce diffuse alveolar damage in experimental ALI/ARDS [40, 41]. Although different mechanisms have been postulated to explain such findings, lung recruitment seems to play a pivotal role.

Suki et al. [42] showed that once the critical opening pressure of collapsed airways/alveoli was exceeded, all subtended or daughter airways/alveoli with lower critical opening pressure would be opened in an avalanche. Since the critical opening pressure values of closed airways as well as the time to achieve those values may differ through the lungs, mechanical ventilation patterns that produce different airway pressures and inspiratory times may be advantageous to maximize lung recruitment and stabilization, as compared to regular patterns. Accordingly, variable controlled mechanical ventilation has been reported to improve lung function in experimental models of atelectasis [43] and during one-lung ventilation [44]. In addition, Boker et al. [45] reported improved arterial oxygenation and compliance of the respiratory system in patients ventilated with variable compared to conventional mechanical ventilation during surgery for repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms, where atelectasis is likely to occur due to increased intra-abdominal pressure.

There is increasing experimental evidence suggesting that variable mechanical ventilation represents a more effective way of recruiting the lungs than conventional recruitment maneuvers. Bellardine et al. [46] showed that recruitment following high V₄ ventilation lasted longer with variable than with monotonic ventilation in excised calf lungs. In addition, Thanhamanomai et al. [47] showed that variable ventilation improved recruitment in normal and injured lungs in mice. In an experimental lavage model of ALI/ARDS, we recently showed that oxygenation improvement following a recruitment
maneuver through sustained inflation was more pronounced when combined with variable mechanical ventilation [41]. Additionally, the redistribution of pulmonary blood flow from cranial to caudal and from ventral to dorsal lung zones was higher and diffuse alveolar damage less when variable ventilation was associated with the ventilation strategy recommended by the ARDS Network. Such a redistribution pattern of pulmonary perfusion, which is illustrated in Figure 3, is compatible with lung recruitment [41].

The phenomenon of stochastic resonance may explain the higher efficiency of variable ventilation as a recruitment maneuver. In non-linear systems, like the respiratory system, the amplitude of the output can be modulated by the noise in the input. Typical inputs are driving pressure, VT, and respiratory rate, while outputs are the mechanical properties, lung volume, and gas exchange. Thus, by choosing appropriate levels of variability (noise) in VT during variable volume controlled ventilation, or in driving pressure during variable pressure controlled ventilation [48], the recruitment effect can be optimized.

Despite the considerable amount of evidence regarding the potential of variable ventilation to promote lung recruitment, this mechanism is probably less during assisted ventilation. In experimental ALI, we showed that noisy pressure support ventilation (noisy PSV) improved oxygenation [49, 50], but this effect was mainly related to lower mean airway pressures and redistribution of pulmonary blood flow towards better ventilated lung zones.

**Conclusion**

In patients with ALI/ARDS, considerable uncertainty remains regarding the appropriateness of recruitment maneuvers. The success/failure of such maneuvers may be related to the nature, phase, and/or extent of the lung injury, as well as to the specific recruitment technique. At present, the most commonly used recruitment maneuver is the conventional sustained inflation, which may be associated with marked respiratory and cardiovascular adverse effects. In order to minimize such adverse effects, a number of new recruitment maneuvers have been suggested to achieve lung volume expansion by taking into account the level and duration of the recruiting pressure and the pattern/frequency with which this pressure is applied to accomplish recruitment. Among the new types of recruitment maneuver, the following seem particularly interesting: 1) incremental increase in PEEP limiting the maximum inspiratory pressure; 2) pressure-controlled ventilation applied with escalating PEEP and constant driving pressure; 3) prolonged lower pressure recruitment maneuver with PEEP elevation up to 15 cmH₂O and end-inspiratory pauses for 7 sec twice per minute during 15 min; 4) intermittent sighs to reach a specific plateau pressure in volume or pressure control mode; and 5) long slow increase in inspiratory pressure.
up to 40 cmH$_2$O (RAMP). Moreover, the use of variable controlled ventilation, i.e., application of breath-by-breath variable V$_t$s or driving pressures, as well as assisted ventilation modes such as Bi-Vent+PSV, may also prove a simple and interesting alternative for lung recruitment in the clinical scenario. Certainly, comparisons of different lung recruitment strategies and randomized studies to evaluate their impact on morbidity and mortality are warranted in patients with ALI/ARDS.
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