Sub-specialization among Nigerian ophthalmologists: status, disposition and barriers
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Abstract

Purpose To determine the status of sub-specialization among Nigerian ophthalmologists as well as their dispositions and barriers against sub-specialization with a view to providing valuable information for the purpose of human resources for eye care planning thereby providing useful insight into the future of ophthalmic practice in Nigeria.

Methods This was a web-based, cross-sectional study conducted among ophthalmologists in Nigeria. An online questionnaire was distributed through e-mails using Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT, USA). Information concerning socio-demographic characteristics, type of practice, location of practice, years of practice, status and disposition to sub-specialization as well as barriers to sub-specialization were obtained through the questionnaire.

Results Two hundred and four Nigerian ophthalmologists participated in the study out of which 118 (57.8%) were females. One hundred and ten (54.0%) respondents who had practised for more than 7 years were three times more likely to have undergone sub-speciality training compared to respondents who had practised for 7 years and below [odds ratio (OR) = 3.01, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.33–6.83, p = 0.01]. The main barriers to the availability and uptake of sub-specialty services as well as the challenges of sub-specialty services at the centres with established sub-specialty practice were non-availability/inadequate trained specialist and inadequate equipment.

Conclusion Nigerian ophthalmologists are well disposed to sub-specialization although the extent of sub-specialization among them was a little above average. The main barriers to the availability and uptake of sub-specialty services as well as the
challenges of sub-specialty services at the centres with established sub-specialty practice in this study were non-availability/inadequate trained specialist and inadequate equipment.
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Introduction

Sub-specialization involves a focused and intellectual pursuit of a better understanding of a specific aspect of a specialty [1]. It is a necessary and logical phenomenon which results from a tremendous expansion of the knowledge base, technical skills and the technology of a specialty [2]. The main factor that is possibly driving sub-specialization is the advancing body of knowledge [3–5]. Research is continually presenting new procedures, advancing protocols and most importantly, a better understanding of the pathogenesis and management of surgical conditions [5].

In recent years, sub-specialization in ophthalmology, like other surgical specialty, is increasingly emerging as an inevitable phenomenon in ophthalmic practice [6]. In fact, ophthalmology has rapidly expanded that it is almost impossible to maintain mastery of every bit of ophthalmic discipline [7]. Therefore, sub-specialization is needed to produce ophthalmologists who are well grounded with knowledge and practical skills in all areas of ophthalmic sub-specialties [7]. Sub-specialization leads to better quality of service to patients as well as better treatment outcome [5, 8]. This is quite important due to the changing pattern of eye diseases in Africa and globally. Despite the appreciation of the benefits of sub-specialization, there are concerns, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, as to whether this region is ripe for sub-specialization going by the shortage of ophthalmologist relative to the burden of eye diseases [9]. Nonetheless, there might be a paradigm shift to sub-specialty practice in Nigeria as postgraduate training programs regulating body like West African College of Surgeons (WACS) has reviewed her curriculum to meet this demand.

Furthermore, sub-specialization in ophthalmology is evolving in Nigeria and there appears to be a trend towards this phenomenon. In fact, the annual conference of the Ophthalmological Society of Nigeria has well-established sub-specialty meetings with formation of sub-specialty groups as obtainable in developed countries. However, there is lack of information concerning the status of sub-specialization among Nigerian ophthalmologists. Therefore, this study sought to determine the status of sub-specialization among Nigerian ophthalmologists as well as their dispositions and barriers against sub-specialization. It is hoped that the findings in this study will provide valuable information on the status of sub-specialty practice coupled with useful insight into the future of ophthalmic practice in Nigeria.

Materials and methods

This was a web-based, cross-sectional study conducted among ophthalmologist in Nigeria. The participants were contacted through their e-mail addresses obtained from the e-mail correspondence of Ophthalmological Society of Nigeria (OSN) as well as the databases of the National Postgraduate Medical College of Nigeria (NPMCN) and West African College of Surgeons (WACS). The e-mail addresses from the three sources were compared, and duplicated e-mail addresses were expunged. This study was exempted from full review by the Health Research Ethics Committee of the Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria (ADM/DSCST/HREC/APP/4628).

An online questionnaire was distributed through e-mails using Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT, USA) twice weekly for ten months (1st October, 2017 to 31st July, 2018) to optimize response rate. The objectives of the study and the procedures involved were explained to the respondents via e-mail before a semi-structured, web-based questionnaire was administered. Respondents were made to understand that consent would be implied by the completion of the questionnaire and the anonymity of their responses would be ensured with the use of the encrypted Qualtrics software. Respondents’ identifiable information (e-mails) was kept in one file, and data in another and no arbitrary code number was used to link identifiable information and the data. The setting was such that the online questionnaire could only be submitted once by each participant. Information concerning socio-demographic characteristics, type of practice, location of practice, years of practice, status and disposition to sub-specialization as
well as barriers to sub-specialization were obtained through the questionnaire.

Data obtained were analysed using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM-SPSS) version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Frequencies, means, median and standard deviations were generated to observe patterns of variable distribution among respondents. The association between categorical variables was analysed using Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact where appropriate. A \( p \) value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

Two hundred and four respondents completed the online questionnaire out of the expected 382 responses accounting for a study completion rate of 53.4%. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics and years of experience of the respondents. There were 118 (57.8%) females with a male-to-female ratio of 1:1.4. Their ages ranged from 29 to 70 years, and the median age was 45 years with an interquartile range of 14 years (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test < 0.001). Majority (92.1%) were between the ages of 30 and 59. The duration of practice post-qualification ranged from 3 months to 42 years with majority (62.7%) having practised for ten years and below. The median duration of practice post-qualification was 7 years with an interquartile range of 13 years (Kolmogorov Smirnov test < 0.001).

Almost all (99.5%) the respondents were in active ophthalmic practice. One hundred and forty-eight (72.5%) respondents practised in the Southern part of Nigeria with South-west geopolitical zone being the practice location of almost half (48.0%) of the respondents (Table 2). Majority (77.5%) of the respondents practised in the urban area, and most (61.8%) worked in Government tertiary hospital. The availability of sub-specialty services in Nigeria was rated by the respondents as average (49.0%), poor (42.2%), good (6.4%) and terrible (2.5%), while the

| Table 1 Demographic characteristics and years of experience of respondents |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|
| **Age group**               | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
| 20–29                       | 1        | 0.5     |
| 30–39                       | 50       | 24.5    |
| 40–49                       | 86       | 42.1    |
| 50–59                       | 52       | 25.5    |
| 60–69                       | 13       | 6.4     |
| 70–79                       | 1        | 0.5     |
| Undisclosed                 | 1        | 0.5     |
| Total                       | 204      | 100.0   |

| **Gender**                  | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Female                      | 118       | 57.8           |
| Male                        | 86        | 42.2           |
| Total                       | 204       | 100.0          |

| **Number of years post-qualification** | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| < 1–10                                 | 128       | 62.7           |
| 11–20                                 | 48        | 23.5           |
| 21–30                                 | 22        | 10.8           |
| 31–40                                 | 5         | 2.5            |
| 41–50                                 | 1         | 0.5            |
| Total                                 | 204       | 100.0          |

| **Geopolitical zone**                | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| North-central                        | 30        | 14.7           |
| North-east                           | 5         | 2.5            |
| North-west                           | 20        | 9.8            |
| South-east                           | 12        | 5.9            |
| South-west                           | 98        | 48.0           |
| South-south                          | 38        | 18.6           |
| Undisclosed                          | 1         | 0.5            |
| Total                                | 204       | 100.0          |

| **Location of hospital**             | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Rural                                | 7         | 3.4            |
| Semi-urban                           | 38        | 18.6           |
| Urban                                | 158       | 77.5           |
| Undisclosed                          | 1         | 0.5            |
| Total                                | 204       | 100.0          |

| **Type of hospital**                 | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Government-tertiary                 | 126       | 61.8           |
| Government-secondary                | 20        | 9.8            |
| Individual private practice         | 26        | 12.7           |
| Group private practice              | 15        | 7.3            |
| Mission hospital/clinic             | 14        | 6.9            |
| Military hospital                   | 2         | 1.0            |
| Undisclosed                          | 1         | 0.5            |
| Total                                | 204       | 100.0          |
uptake of sub-specialty services was rated as average (51.5%), good (25.0%), poor (20.1%), terrible (2.0%) and excellent (1.5%). The barriers to the availability and uptake of sub-specialty services were as summarized in Table 3.

Concerning sub-specialization in ophthalmic practice, 199 (97.5%) respondents felt it was necessary, 4 (2.0%) respondents were unsure of its necessity, while 1 (0.5%) respondent felt it was not necessary. One hundred and ten (54.0%) respondents had undergone sub-specialty training, 86 (42.1%) were yet to sub-specialize but planned to sub-specialize, while 8 (3.9%) respondents were not interested in sub-specialization (Table 4). The sub-specialties with the highest number of patronage were Paediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus (14.2%), Ophthalmic Plastic, Reconstructive, and Orbital Surgery (6.9%) and Vitreo-retinal Surgery (6.9%), while Low Vision

Table 3  Barriers to availability and uptake of ophthalmology sub-specialty services among respondents

| Barriers to availability                              | Frequency* | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Non-availability/inadequate trained specialist         | 157        | 77.0           |
| Non-availability/training centres                     | 148        | 72.5           |
| Cost                                                   | 111        | 54.4           |
| Limited opportunity to practice                        | 45         | 22.1           |
| Not a priority                                         | 17         | 8.3            |
| Less demand by patients                                | 13         | 6.4            |
| Not lucrative                                          | 3          | 1.5            |
| Others                                                 | 7          | 3.4            |

| Barriers to uptake                                     | Frequency* | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Non-availability/inadequate equipment                  | 153        | 75.0           |
| Non-availability/inadequate trained specialists        | 131        | 64.2           |
| Affordability of services                              | 124        | 60.8           |
| Accessibility of services                              | 115        | 56.4           |
| Not a priority                                         | 25         | 12.3           |
| Others                                                 | 19         | 9.3            |

*Some respondents gave multiple responses

Table 4  Sub-specialization among respondents

| Sub-speciality                                         | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Paediatric ophthalmology and strabismus                | 29        | 14.2           |
| Ophthalmic plastic, reconstructive, and orbital surgery (Oculoplastics) | 14        | 6.9            |
| Vitreo-retinal surgery                                 | 14        | 6.9            |
| Anterior segment (including cataract and refractive surgery) | 13        | 6.4            |
| Glaucoma                                               | 13        | 6.4            |
| Medical retina                                         | 11        | 5.3            |
| Public eye health/ community ophthalmology)            | 8         | 3.9            |
| Neuro-ophthalmology                                    | 3         | 1.5            |
| Comprehensive/general ophthalmology                    | 2         | 1.0            |
| low vision and rehabilitation                          | 1         | 0.5            |
| Uveitis and Immunology                                 | 1         | 0.5            |
| Ocular oncology                                        | 1         | 0.5            |
| Yet to sub-specialize but planning to do               | 86        | 42.1           |
| Not interested in sub-specialization                   | 8         | 3.9            |
| Total                                                  | 204       | 100.0          |
and Rehabilitation, Uveitis and Immunology as well
as Ocular Oncology were least patronized each hav-
ing one sub-specialist (Table 4). The sub-specialties
of interest to respondents planning to sub-specialize
were as shown in Table 5.

Personal interest, acquisition of special skills and
the need for sub-speciality at respondent’s workplace
were the most common reasons for sub-specialization
among respondents who had sub-specialized as well
as those who planned to sub-specialize (Table 6). Of
the 86 respondents who planned to sub-specialize,
the reasons for the delay were lack of sponsorship in
43 (50.0%) respondents, family consideration in 21
(24.4%), inability to get training centre in 10 (11.6%),
unsure of sub-specialty choice in 5 (5.8%) and other
reasons were given in 7 (8.2%) respondents. Of the
8 respondents who were not interested in sub-spe-
cialization, family consideration was the reason in
4 (50.0%) respondents, lack of interest, no need for
sub-specialty services at workplace and respondents
not in active practice were each given by one (12.5%)
respondents, while one (12.5%) respondent gave no
reason concerning the decision not to undergo sub-
specialty training.

Table 7 depicts logistic regression analysis to
determine the predictors of sub-specialization among
the respondents. Respondents who had practised for
more than 7 years were three times more likely to
have undergone sub-specialty training compared to
respondents who had practised for 7 years and below

### Table 5 Preferred sub-specialty of respondents planning to sub-specialize

| Sub-specialty                                      | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Ophthalmic plastic, reconstructive, and orbital surgery (oculoplastics) | 18        | 20.9           |
| Anterior segment (including cataract and refractive surgery) | 14        | 16.3           |
| Glaucoma                                           | 12        | 14.0           |
| Paediatric ophthalmology and strabismus            | 9         | 10.5           |
| Vitreo-retinal surgery                             | 8         | 9.3            |
| Medical retina                                     | 7         | 8.1            |
| Public eye health/ community ophthalmology         | 7         | 8.1            |
| Comprehensive/general ophthalmology                | 3         | 3.5            |
| Neuro-ophthalmology                                | 2         | 2.3            |
| Low vision and rehabilitation                      | 2         | 2.3            |
| Uveitis and immunology                             | 1         | 1.2            |
| Not sure of sub-specialty choice for now           | 3         | 3.5            |
| Total                                             | 86        | 100.0          |

### Table 6 Reasons for sub-specialty choice among respondents

| Reasons for sub-specialty choice | Respondents who had sub-specialized frequency (%) | Respondents who planned to sub-specialize frequency (%) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Personal interest                | 58 (52.7)                                        | 42 (48.8)                                              |
| Acquisition of special skill     | 25 (22.8)                                        | 22 (25.6)                                              |
| Need for sub-specialty at my work place | 20 (18.2)                                         | 14 (16.3)                                              |
| Availability of sponsorship      | 4 (3.6)                                          | 0 (0.0)                                                |
| Potential for research opportunity | 2 (1.8)                                           | 3 (3.5)                                                |
| Less busy                        | 0 (0.0)                                          | 2 (2.3)                                                |
| Demand for sub-specialty         | 0 (0.0)                                          | 2 (2.3)                                                |
| Lucrativeness                    | 0 (0.0)                                          | 1 (1.2)                                                |
| Prestige                         | 1 (0.9)                                          | 0 (0.0)                                                |
| Total                            | 110 (100.0)                                      | 86 (100.0)                                             |
[odds ratio (OR) = 3.01, 95% Confidence interval (CI) = 1.33–6.83, p = 0.01]. Table 8 summarizes the duration of training of respondents who had undergone sub-specialty training. Training duration of at least 12 months was significantly more common among respondents who sub-specialized in Vitreo-retinal surgery and Public Eye Health/Community Ophthalmology, while training duration of less than

### Table 7 Logistic regression for predictors of sub-specialization among respondents

| Predictors                        | B (regression coefficient) | Odds ratio | 95% Confidence interval | p value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Gender                            |                            |            |                         |         |
| Male                              | 0.19                       | 1.21       | 0.65–2.27               | 0.55    |
| Female†                           |                            |            |                         |         |
| Age (years)                       |                            |            |                         |         |
| > 46                              | 0.62                       | 1.86       | 0.81–4.29               | 0.14    |
| ≤ 46†                             |                            |            |                         |         |
| Experience (years)                |                            |            |                         |         |
| > 7                               | 1.10                       | 3.01       | 1.33–6.83               | 0.01*   |
| ≤ 7†                              |                            |            |                         |         |
| Geopolitical zone                 |                            |            |                         |         |
| South                             | 0.07                       | 1.07       | 0.52–2.20               | 0.85    |
| North†                            |                            |            |                         |         |
| Type of hospital                  |                            |            |                         |         |
| Government                        | 0.13                       | 1.14       | 0.57–2.30               | 0.71    |
| Non-Government†                   |                            |            |                         |         |
| Location of hospital              |                            |            |                         |         |
| Urban                             | 0.69                       | 1.99       | 0.94–4.20               | 0.07    |
| Non-urban†                        |                            |            |                         |         |

*Statistically significant; †—Reference category

### Table 8 Duration of sub-specialization among respondents

| Sub-specialty                                  | Duration of training | Total frequency (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|                                              | < 12 months frequency (%) | ≥ 12 months frequency (%) |
| Paediatric ophthalmology and strabismus       | 12 (41.4)            | 17 (58.6)           | 29 (100.0) | 0.27 |
| Ophthalmic plastic, reconstructive, and orbital surgery (ocularplastics) | 12 (85.7)            | 2 (14.3)            | 14 (100.0) | 0.01† |
| Vitreo-retinal surgery                        | 1 (7.1)              | 13 (92.9)           | 14 (100.0) | <0.001† |
| Anterior segment (including cataract and refractive surgery) | 8 (61.5)             | 5 (38.5)            | 13 (100.0) | 0.65 |
| Glaucoma                                       | 8 (61.5)             | 5 (38.5)            | 13 (100.0) |         |
| Medical retina                                | 10 (90.9)            | 1 (9.1)             | 11 (100.0) | 0.01† |
| Public eye health/community ophthalmology)    | 1 (12.5)             | 7 (87.5)            | 8 (100.0)  | 0.03† |
| Neuro-ophthalmology                           | 2 (66.7)             | 1 (33.3)            | 3 (100.0)  | >0.999† |
| Comprehensive/general ophthalmology           | 2 (100.0)            | 0 (0.0)             | 2 (100.0)  | 0.50† |
| Low vision and rehabilitation                 | 0 (0.0)              | 1 (100.0)           | 1 (100.0)  | 0.48† |
| Uveitis and immunology                        | 0 (0.0)              | 1 (100.0)           | 1 (100.0)  | 0.48† |
| Ocular oncology                               | 1 (100.0)            | 0 (0.0)             | 1 (100.0)  | >0.999† |
| Total                                         | 57 (51.8%)           | 53 (48.2%)          | 110 (100.0%) |         |

*Statistically significant; †= Fisher exact
12 months was significantly more common among respondents who sub-specialized in Medical Retina and Ophthalmic Plastic, Reconstructive, and Orbital Surgery (Oculoplastics).

Concerning the nature of sub-specialty training of the respondents, a larger proportion of respondents who sub-specialized in Paediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus (82.8%), Vitreo-retinal Surgery (85.7%), Anterior Segment (including Cataract and Refractive Surgery) (69.2%), Medical Retina (63.6%) and Glaucoma (53.8%) had predominantly hands-on training, while the training of a larger percentage of respondents who sub-specialized in Public Eye Health/Community Ophthalmology (100.0%), Neuro-ophthalmology (66.7%) and Ophthalmic Plastic, Reconstructive, and Orbital Surgery (57.1%) were not predominantly hands-on (Table 9). These proportions were only statistically significant among respondents who sub-specialized in Paediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus as well as Public Eye Health/Community Ophthalmology.

Of the 110 respondents who had undergone sub-specialization, 51 (46.3%) had their training in India, 15 (13.6%) were trained in the United Kingdom, and 13 (11.9%) were trained in Nigeria and 10 (9.1%) were trained in the USA. The remaining 21 (19.1%) respondents had their training in Egypt (4), Tanzania (3), Canada (2), Pakistan (2), Saudi Arabia (2), South Africa (2), Bangladesh (2), Dominican Republic (1), South Korea (1), Kenya (1) and Tunisia (1). Seventy-seven (70%) out of the 110 respondents who had undergone sub-specialization had their training sponsored. Of these 77, 24 (31.1%) were sponsored by Commonwealth Eye Health Consortium (CEHC), 21 (27.3%) were sponsored by their workplace, 15 (19.5%) had sponsorship from International Council of Ophthalmology (ICO), 3 (3.9%) had Federal/State Government’s sponsorship, while 14 (18.2%) were sponsored by other establishments.

One hundred and sixteen (56.9%) respondents reported established sub-specialty practice at their workplaces. The distribution of the established sub-specialties is as shown in Table 10. The challenges of sub-specialty services at the centres with established sub-specialty practice are as summarized in Table 11.

### Discussion

Human resource development is one of the core strategies of Vision 2020 Global initiative launched in 1999. Sub-specialization is one of the ways through

| Table 9 | Nature of sub-specialty training of respondents |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Sub-specialty | Nature of training | Total frequency (%) |
| Paediatric ophthalmology and strabismus | Predominantly hands-on frequency | 24 (82.8) |
| Ophthalmic plastic, reconstructive, and orbital surgery (oculoplastics) | Not predominantly hands-on frequency | 5 (17.2) |
| Vitreo-retinal surgery | | 29 (100.0) |
| Anterior segment (including cataract and refractive surgery) | | 0.01* |
| Glaucoma | Predominantly hands-on frequency | 7 (53.8) |
| Medical retina | Not predominantly hands-on frequency | 6 (46.2) |
| Public eye health/community ophthalmology | | 13 (100.0) |
| Neuro-ophthalmology | | 0.74 |
| Comprehensive/general ophthalmology | | 7 (63.6) |
| Low vision and rehabilitation | | 4 (36.4) |
| Uveitis and immunology | | 11 (100.0) |
| Ocular oncology | | <0.001† |
| Total | | 68 (61.8) |

*Statistically significant; † = Fisher exact
which human resource for eye health can be developed to provide high-quality specialised eye care services geared towards the prevention and management of blinding eye conditions. Sub-specialization is a growing phenomenon in Nigeria, but there is lack of information on the status of sub-specialization among Nigerian ophthalmologists as well as barriers towards sub-specialization. This study sought to fill this gap in knowledge and provide information that could be useful for human resource for eye health management and planning.

Almost half (48.0%) of the respondents in this study had their practice domiciled in the South-west geopolitical zone (GPZ). This finding mirrors the observation in previous studies conducted among the same population [10, 11]. It could also be a reflection of the unequal distribution of ophthalmologists in Nigeria which is skewed towards South-west GPZ as pointed out by Kyari et al. [11]. Also, the availability of more numbers of training institutions as well as practice opportunities in the South-west GPZ may be contributory as pointed out by Idowu et al. [10]. The preponderance of Government tertiary institution’s practice among respondents may not be unconnected with better remuneration, job security as well as better opportunities for career development in Government tertiary institution compared to other establishments.

Approximately 98% of the respondent felt sub-specialization was necessary. This is not surprising as 96.1% of the respondents have either undergone or planning to undergo sub-specialty training. This high level of disposition towards sub-specialization suggests a high level of awareness and acceptability

| Table 10 Established sub-specialties at work places of respondents |
| Sub-specialty | Frequency *N=116 | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| Glaucoma      | 82               | 70.7           |
| Paediatric ophthalmology and Strabismus | 77 | 66.4 |
| Medical Retina | 65               | 56.0           |
| Ophthalmic Plastic, Reconstructive, and Orbital Surgery (Oculoplastics) | 48 | 41.4 |
| Comprehensive/general ophthalmology | 48 | 41.4 |
| Vitreo-retinal surgery | 47 | 40.5 |
| Public eye health/community ophthalmology) | 47 | 40.5 |
| Anterior segment (including cataract and refractive surgery) | 35 | 30.2 |
| Low vision and rehabilitation | 32 | 27.6 |
| Neuro-ophthalmology | 17 | 14.7 |
| Ocular oncology | 10               | 8.6            |
| Uveitis and immunology | 3 | 2.6 |
| Ophthalmic pathology | 0 | 0.0 |

*Some respondents gave more than one response

| Table 11 Challenges of sub-specialty services at workplaces of respondents |
| Challenges of sub-specialty services | Frequency *N=116 | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Non-availability/inadequate equipment to practice | 93 | 80.2 |
| Non-availability/inadequate trained specialists | 51 | 44.0 |
| Inadequate in-service training | 46 | 39.7 |
| Reduced research activities | 29 | 25.0 |
| High patient burden | 28 | 24.1 |
| Low uptake of services | 20 | 17.2 |
| Inability to train others | 18 | 15.5 |
| Others | 5 | 4.3 |

* Some respondents gave more than one response
among the respondents. This is a welcome development as the incidence of eye diseases requiring sub-specialist services in sub-Saharan Africa has been reported to have increased [12]. According to the Nigerian national blindness and visual impairment survey, glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness in Nigeria [13]. The management of this condition especially when medical therapy fails would be laser or surgical intervention like trabeculectomy, minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries and glaucoma drainage devices which are better handled by glaucoma sub-specialist. However, concerns have been expressed with respect to whether sub-specialization is the way to go in sub-Saharan Africa going by the inadequacy of ophthalmologists in the face of huge burden of eye diseases [9]. This concern could be addressed by a model whereby sub-specialist practice is combined with general ophthalmic practice (especially cataract surgical services) as suggested by Musa et al. [14].

Fifty-four percent of the respondents in this study had undergone sub-specialized. This is higher than 32% reported by Kariuki et al. in a study involving 65 ophthalmologists in three East African countries of Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania [12]. The higher percentage in this study may be linked to the near-perfect disposition of the respondents to the need for sub-specialization. Also, it could be due to a high level of awareness of sponsorship opportunities for sub-specialty training among the respondents as 70% of those who had sub-specialized had their training sponsored. Furthermore, Nigerian ophthalmologist were major beneficiaries of ophthalmic sub-specialty sponsorships of Commonwealth Eye Health Consortium (CEHC) and the International Council of Ophthalmology (ICO) [15, 16]. These two organizations accounted for 50% of the sponsorship of respondents who had sub-specialized in this study. However, the willingness of majority of the respondents who had not sub-specialized to undergo sub-specialty training as observed in this study is in consonance with the observation of Kariuki et al. [12].

In this study, the three most patronized sub-specialties were Paediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus, Ophthalmic Plastic, Reconstruction and Orbital Surgery (Oculoplastics) as well as Vitreo-retinal Surgery. This is contrary to the observation by Kariuki et al. in East Africa where Glaucoma was the most patronized sub-specialty followed by Paediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus as well as Community Eye Health [12]. Given that glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness in Nigeria according to the Nigerian national blindness and visual impairment survey, one would have expected glaucoma to be among the top three most patronized sub-specialties among the respondents. However, this may not be surprising because the main reasons propelling sub-specialization among the respondents were not driven by national needs for sub-specialties but personal interest, need for acquisition of special skills and the need for sub-specialty at the work place of the respondents. It is instructive to note that glaucoma was also not one of the top three sub-specialty preferences in a recent study conducted among ophthalmology resident doctors in Nigeria [14]. The less popularity of glaucoma as a specialty of choice among the respondents may be due to the fact that uncomplicated glaucoma, just like cataract, is managed by all ophthalmologist in Nigeria and therefore perceived to be in the realm of general ophthalmic practice. It is interesting to note that glaucoma sub-specialty practice was reported to be well established by 70.7% of respondents who had established sub-specialty practice at their workplaces. This may suggest that such sub-specialty clinics may not necessarily be manned by sub-specialist. This explanation is similar to the observation of Idowu et al. who reported that the provision of oculoplastic care in Nigeria was not limited to oculoplastic surgeons as 67.1% of general ophthalmologists render such care in their practice [10]. Overall, mal-distribution of sub-specialization is evident in this study as sub-specialties like low vision and rehabilitation, uveitis and immunology as well as ocular oncology had very low patronage. Therefore, there is need to ensure that all sub-specialties are covered in a manner that aligns with the national need for each sub-specialty.

Despite the fact that Nigerian ophthalmologists were major beneficiaries of the sponsorship opportunities provided by CEHC and ICO, it is interesting that lack of sponsorships was the reason given for delay in commencement of sub-specialty training by half of respondents who have not sub-specialized but planning to undergo sub-specialty training. This underscores the inadequacy of sponsorship opportunities for sub-specialty training in Nigeria. Therefore, there is need for the Government to invest more in manpower development in this regard to improve the
quality of eye care delivery taking into cognizance the fact that the general populace will be the ultimate beneficiary. Kariuki et al. also reported lack of sponsorships to be the main pre-training challenges among the participants in their study [12].

The barriers to the availability and uptake of sub-specialty services as well as the challenges of sub-specialty services at the centres with established sub-specialty practice in this study revolved around non-availability/inadequate trained specialist, equipment and training centre as well as affordability of sub-specialty services (cost). Similar barriers have been highlighted in previous studies from Nigeria [10] and other African countries [12, 17]. This is not surprising especially in this study wherein majority of the respondent practice in Government establishment where Ophthalmology department competes with other departments for the limited Government’s funding. Therefore, it is imperative for Government to invest more in human resources development and infrastructure provision as well as creation of an enabling environment for private eye care establishment to flourish.

This study is not without its limitations. First, the average survey completion rate of 53.4% could limit the extent to which the findings could be generalized, especially in under-represented geopolitical zone like North-east. However, the study duration of 10 months coupled with twice weekly reminders could suggest that most of ophthalmologists who were interested in the study would have been captured. Although average, it is higher than the completion rate of 44% reported by Idowu et al. [10] in an online survey among same study population and for similar study period. Second, the extent of sub-specialization in this study could be an exaggeration when compared to the extent in climes where sub-specialty training of less than 12 months is not considered to be a full sub-specialty training.

In conclusion, Nigerian ophthalmologists are well disposed to sub-specialization although the extent of sub-specialization among them was a little above average. The barriers to the availability and uptake of sub-specialty services as well as the challenges of sub-specialty services at the centres with established sub-specialty practice in this study revolved around non-availability/inadequate trained specialist, equipment and training centre as well as affordability of sub-specialty services (cost).
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