The surfacing portion of the Iceberg of the Domestic Violence Phenomenon—data from the Israeli National Trauma Registry
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Abstract

Background: Domestic violence against women, which is an ancient phenomenon, is still thriving worldwide. The burden of domestic violence that is non-fatal on scene and its consequences in Israel are unknown. The purpose of this study was to provide evidence-based data regarding domestic violence-related hospitalizations among women in Israel.

Methods: The study is a retrospective cohort study of hospitalized patients included in the Israeli National Trauma Registry between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2020. All women aged 14 and older, hospitalized due to a violence-related injury in one of the six-level I Trauma Centers or one of the 15 regional Trauma Centers in Israel were included (n = 676).

Results: Domestic violence contributes to moderate, severe, and critical injuries in a quarter of abused hospitalized women. Among these women, 20% underwent surgery, and in-hospital mortality was recorded for 2% of the patients. For most cases (53%), the spouse or ex-spouse caused the injury. The family relationship with the perpetrator was distributed differently between the population groups. The proportion of brothers who attacked sisters was greatest among Arabs (14.4%), while the phenomenon of attacking a mother was infrequent in the Arab sub-group. In contrast, among Jewish women, the proportion of those injured by a son was high, especially among the group of Jewish immigrants from the Former Soviet Union (FSU) (17%) and other countries (26%). In a multivariable logistic regression model with at least moderate injury as a dependent variable, in comparison to Israeli Arabs, Jews had a higher odds for sustaining at least moderate injuries, while the odds of Jewish immigrants not from FSU or Ethiopia were the highest (OR = 4.5, 95% CI 2.0–9.9). The annual hospitalization risk was 1.3/100,000 and 5.8/100,000, respectively for Jews and Arabs in 2020, almost fivefold higher among Arab women in comparison to Jewish women (RR = 4.6, 95% CI 2.9–7.3).

Conclusions: Domestic violence prevention should pay special attention to populations at risk, such as Arab women and new immigrants, as those women are especially vulnerable and often without sufficient family support and lack of economic resources to exit the trap of domestic violence. The collaboration between social and health services, the police, and the local authorities is crucial.

*Correspondence: savitskyb@gmail.com
1 Israel National Center for Trauma and Emergency Medicine Research, Gertner Institute for Epidemiology and Public Health Policy Research, Sheba Medical Center, 52621 Tel-Hashomer, Ramat Gan, Israel
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article
Keywords: Domestic violence, Violence against women, Injury, Trauma registry

Introduction

Domestic violence against women, an ancient phenomenon, is still thriving worldwide [1, 2]. An Ecological Model has been used to conceptualize violence as a multifaceted phenomenon grounded in an interplay among personal, situational, and sociocultural factors [3]. The model is based on four levels: primary, microsystem, exosystem, and macrosystem. The first level ("primary" or "individual") represents personal factors which a person brings to the relationship. The second level ("microsystem" or "family") represents the close relationships. The third level ("exosystem" or "community") represents formal and informal institutions (i.e., identity groups, neighborhoods, social networks). The last level ("macrosystem" or "society") represents cultural norms [4].

In this context, risk factors for violence against women may be easily classified. In relation to the primary level, being the victim of abuse or witnessing family violence is most strongly associated with becoming an abuser [5]. In the microsystem (family) level, risk factors for violence against women are related to male dominance (for example, when the male head of the household controls all decisions regarding family finances), family conflicts, and heavy alcohol consumption by men [4]. The exosystem-related risk factors include unemployment or low socio-economic status (SES) and isolation of women, for example through limited support from the family and friends. Economic conditions are known triggers of violence. Underprivileged populations disproportionately account for the public health burden of violence in almost every society [6], and strong associations have been found between domestic violence and low household income, low educational level, consumption of alcohol, and drugs [5, 7, 8].

Finally, macrosystem factors include the broad set of cultural beliefs and attitudes which often support violence against women. The use of violence as a mean of control is perceived as more legitimate in patriarchal societies, where men are expected to hold control (especially over women), and violence allows to obtain and maintain that control [9]. The links between gender-based power and domestic violence are widely recognized, with violence being viewed both as a manifestation of deeply entrenched gender power inequities as well as a mechanism by which such inequities are enforced [7]. According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), at least 5 million acts of domestic violence occur annually to women aged 18 years and older in U.S. [10]. About 35% of females experience some form of physical injury related to Intimate Partner Violence (IPV), and the data from U.S. crime reports suggest that over half of female homicide victims are murdered by a current or former male intimate partner [10]. A meta-analysis of 29 studies assessing the scope of domestic violence against women aged 15 years and above who accessed healthcare in Arab countries, produced pooled prevalence estimates of lifetime exposure to any type of IPV of 73%, physical IPV—36%, sexual IPV—22%, and emotional/psychological IPV—50% [11].

Israel is a country of contradictions and is comprised of a diverse population. On the one hand, the country is a Western-style democracy where a woman was prime minister, another was awarded the Nobel Prize in chemistry, another was the president of the Supreme Court [12], and females, like males, enlist in compulsory army service. On the other hand, a Jewish woman cannot be granted a divorce without her husband’s permission [13], and according to Samah Salaime, a social worker who founded the Arab Women in the Center organization to aid victims of violence, "Israeli authorities treat the oppression of women as a value in Arab society" [14].

Jews from different cultural backgrounds and various degrees of religiosity comprise 75% of the population, and Israeli Arabs comprise almost 21% of the Israeli population [15]. The Arab culture is based on a patriarchal society where women are often expected to be dependent on their husbands, obey them, satisfy their needs, and take care of the children and maintain the household [16].

A study which compared Jewish and Arab women who were staying in shelters for victims of domestic violence concluded that Arab women, in comparison to their Jewish counterparts, were exposed to more physical abuse, received less family support, and the perpetrators were more likely to have access to weapons [17]. In a study conducted in 2015 among a sample of women visiting maternal and child health clinics, after adjustment for income and level of religiosity, Arab women had a 4.5 times higher rate of IPV compared to Jewish women [18].

In addition to the cultural diversity of Arabs and Jews, Jewish immigrants from around the globe have also contributed to cultural and behavioral diversity in Israel [18]. The most significant proportion of recent Jewish immigrants in Israel (comprising 20% of the total Jewish population) came from the Former Soviet Union and Africa (mainly Ethiopia) [19]. The findings of Sela-Shayovitz [20] suggest that between 1995 and 2007 in Israel, intimate femicide rates were much higher among immigrants in
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violence against women, was witnessed. Since the begin-
ing of the lockdowns in March 2020, a 75% increase in 
domestic violence was reported in Israel, according to 
Naila Awad, director-general of the Women Against Vi-
elence organization. The organization received about 700 
testimonies of domestic violence during the first half of 
the year, compared to 800 reports throughout the entire 
year of 2019 [26]. The Women’s International Zionist 
Organization (WIZO) announced that its Center for 
Prevention and Treatment of Domestic Violence 
recorded a 300% increase in the number of referrals and 
an increase of about 250% in the number of patients since 
the first lockdown earlier this year, compared to the same 
period the previous year [26]. Calls to WIZO’s emergency 
line for women affected by violence rose by about 75%.

Since the beginning of 2021, three outstanding cases 
of family violence were exposed through the media. In 
one case, Diana Raz, mother of four, was murdered by 
herself, a police officer who first used a knife and 
then shot one bullet into her thigh and a second bullet to 
her head [27]. The second victim, Shira Isakov, mother of 
one, survived the extremely violent attack by her husband 
following her intent to leave him. Shira’s husband stabbed 
her with a knife 20 times, leaving wounds on various 
parts of her body [28]. In the third case, Tatyana Kamins-
sky, a 53-year-old woman, an immigrant from Ukraine, 
was murdered by her 29-year-old son, and according to 
the neighbor, the mother suffered from recurrent acts of 
violence instigated by her son [29]. Due to the exposure 
of these and other violent cases in the media, there has 
been an increase in public awareness regarding violence 
against women.

Femicide represents only the tip of the iceberg of 
violence against women in Israel: while more than 20 
women are killed annually by a family member (mostly 
spouses) [30, 31], only half of the victims had filed a vi-
ience-related complaint with the police prior to being 
murdered [31]. While the cases resulting in death receive 
national attention, the burden and consequences of the 
cases which result in physical and mental trauma receive 
little attention, and the phenomenon is less known.

The purpose of this study was to analyze domestic vio-
ence-related hospitalizations among women. The results 
will provide evidence-based data regarding domestic 
violence in Israel and increase awareness of the problem 
among healthcare professionals in an effort to identify 
abused women and reduce violence-related injuries and 
mortality.

Methods

The study is a retrospective cohort study of hospitalized 
patients included in the Israeli National Trauma Regis-
try (INTR) between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 
2020. The INTR provides comprehensive data on hospi-
talized trauma patients from all six Level I trauma cen-
ters (TC) and 15 Level II TCs in Israel. All hospitalized 
trauma patients classified with an ICD-9-CM diagnosis 
code 800-989.9 who were admitted to the Department of 
Emergency Medicine (ED) and hospitalized, died in the 
ED or were transferred to or from another hospital are 
included in the database. The registry does not include 
casualties who died at the scene of the event or on the 
way to the hospital; and admissions 72 or more hours 
following the event. The data are recorded by trained 
trauma registrars at each TC under the supervision of 
a trauma director. Electronic files are transferred to the 
INTR, where quality assurance is carried out prior to 
data analysis. Data in the INTR is anonymous.

Exclusion criteria

Military or terror-related injury, occupational trauma, 
suicide attempts.

Inclusion criteria

All women aged 14 and older, hospitalized due to a vio-
ence-related injury in one of the six-level I TC’s or one of
the 15 regional TC’s in Israel and recorded in the INTR were included.

The victims of family violence were identified by searching the free text of the injury description. The following variables were created manually:

- Domestic violence yes (if the free text included an accurate description of the incident appropriate for domestic violence)/no (if the free text included an accurate description of robbery, brawl between neighbors or rape)/unknown (if the free text did not include an accurate description of the event or included a description of the brawl without specification who took part in the conflict).
- Perpetrator male spouse or ex-spouse (husband, boyfriend, ex-husband, ex-boyfriend)/father/brother/son/other or unknown relative.
- Pregnancy at the time of event: yes/no.

### Standard study variables

- Age was used as a continuous variable.
- Population group Immigrants from Former Soviet Union (IFSU)/Israeli born Jews (IBJ)/Immigrants from Ethiopia (EI)/Other Jewish Immigrants (OI)/Israeli Arabs (IA)/Foreign workers and tourists (FW)/Other or unknown.
- Injury Severity Score (ISS)—the sum of the squares of the single highest Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) score for each of the three most severely injured body regions [32] categorized 1–8 (mild)/9–14 (moderate)/16+ (severe or critical injury) [33].
- Intensive Care Unit (ICU) stay: yes/no.
- Trauma type: Blunt/Penetrating/Burn.
- Injured body region with AIS ≥ 3 (8 categories): (1) Head and neck isolated injury; (2) Isolated face injury; (3) Isolated chest injury; (4) Isolated abdomen injury; (5) Isolated limb injury; (6) Isolated external injury; (7) Injuries with AIS severity 1–2 only (nobody region injured with AIS ≥ 3); (8) Multiple injuries (two or more body region with AIS ≥ 3).
- Length of Stay (LOS): was used as a continuous variable.

### Statistical analysis

A univariate analysis examined the association between population group and injury characteristics using χ² test, ANOVA test for the differences in the age, or Kruskal Wallis non-parametric test for differences in LOS.

The risk of being hospitalized following domestic violence was calculated using the data on the Israeli population in 2019 according to the Central Bureau of Statistics (2,730,200 Jewish women and 660,800 Arab women aged 14 years and older) [34].

Multivariable analysis with logistic regression approach was used with at least moderate injury (ISS 9+) as a dependent variable. Age, population group, perpetrator relation to the victim, and trauma type were included in multivariable analysis (correlation between the variables were checked with Kendall’s Tau coefficient and all correlations were weak, with the strongest reaching Kendall’s Tau coefficient of 0.09).

Analyses were carried out with SAS V9.4 statistical software. For all analyses performed, a value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study was approved by the ethical committee of the Sheba Medical Center.

### Results

During the study period, a total of 1637 women were hospitalized due to a violence-related injury; of them, 41.3% (n=676) were victims of domestic violence, 28.0% (n=458) were victims of a brawl between neighbors, robbery at home/on the street or rape. Among 30.7% (n=503), the exact cause of the incident (domestic or other crime) was unknown.

This study focused on those women (n=676) who were identified as victims of domestic violence. Among them, 654 were Israeli citizens, and 22 were foreign workers or tourists. Among 676 hospitalized casualties, 12.4% (n=84) sustained moderate injuries (ISS 9–14), 11.1% (n=75) suffered from severe or critical injuries (ISS 16+) and 2% (n=13) died during hospitalization.

Victims of domestic violence who immigrated to Israel from the FSU and OI were significantly older than victims from other population groups (mean age 50.9 and 66.3 years, respectively for FSU and OI, compared to 30.8–42.4 years for other population groups) (Table 1). Immigrants from the FSU, aged 72 years and older, comprised 17%, and among other Jewish immigrants comprised 44%. The mean age of Arab victims was the lowest (30.8 years), and the proportion of girls aged less than 18 years among Arabs was 17% (vs. 5.3% among Jews, p<0.0001).

In most cases, the spouse or ex-spouse caused the injury. The family relation with the perpetrator was distributed differently between the population groups (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The proportion of brothers who attacked sisters was greatest among Arabs (14.4%), while the phenomenon of attacking a mother was very rare in the Arab sub-group. In contrast, among Jewish women, the proportion of those injured by a son was high, especially among the group of OI (26.0%) and among immigrants from FSU (16.9%).

Among the hospitalized victims, 19.1% (n=129) were pregnant when they were attacked (see Table 1 and Fig. 1). The highest prevalence rate of pregnant casualties was observed among EL (28.0%) and among Israeli Arabs (25.5%). Pregnant casualties had significantly higher...
frequency of mild injuries (ISS 1–8)—98% in comparison with non-pregnant (71%) ($p<0.0001$). Among the pregnant casualties, the most frequent perpetrator was the spouse or ex-spouse (60%). The proportion of at least moderate injuries was higher among OI (54%), immigrants from FSU (39.8%), and foreign workers and tourists (40.9%).

While the majority (77.4%, $n=523$) of women were beaten, 13.3% ($n=90$) were stabbed, 1% ($n=6$) were shot, and among 8.3% ($n=57$), the mechanisms of injury included pushing from higher grounds, burns, human bites or run over with a vehicle. Most casualties ($n=564, 83.4$%) sustained a blunt injury, 14.3% sustained penetrating injuries, and 2.2% suffered from burns.

The majority of injuries (77.4%, $n=523$) were not severe (AIS$<3$). The frequency of severe injuries by body region is depicted in Fig. 2.

The most frequent isolated severe injury was a head injury, in which 6.5% of the women ($n=44$) suffered from a severe (AIS$3+$) isolated head injury. Almost 5% of women suffered from multiple severe injuries. While the mean age of abused hospitalized women was 38.4, the mean age for mothers attacked by a son was 59.4 years, the mean age of girls who were assaulted by their fathers

---

Table 1  Hospitalized victims of domestic violence, demographic and injury characteristics by population group, 2011–2020

| Characteristics | IBJ | IFSU | EI | OI | IA | FW | Other or unknown | Total |
|-----------------|-----|------|----|----|----|----|-----------------|-------|
| n               | 159 | 83   | 25 | 50 | 318| 22 | 19              | 676   |
| Proportion within study population (%) | 23.5 | 12.3 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 47.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 100% |
| Age*, years Mean (SD) | 38.8 (18.2) | 50.9 (19.2) | 35.9 (12.3) | 66.3 (17.5) | 30.8 (14.2) | 34.1 (11.8) | 42.4 (16.4) | 38.4 (19.0) |
| Perpetrator’s family relation to the victim** (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Spouses/ex-spouse | 55.3 | 62.7 | 84.0 | 44.0 | 44.1 | 91.0 | 84.2 | 53.0 |
| Father | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 0 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| Brother | 8.8 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 0 | 14.4 | 4.5 | 0 | 9.3 |
| Son | 13.8 | 16.9 | 0 | 26.0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 |
| Other relative/s | 18.9 | 18.1 | 12.0 | 26.0 | 31.9 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 24.6 |
| Proportion of pregnant victims** (%) | 21.4 | 4.8 | 28.0 | 2.0 | 25.5 | 9.1 | 0 | 19.1 |
| Injury Severity** (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Mild Injury (ISS 1–8) | 73.6 | 60.2 | 76.0 | 46.0 | 88.4 | 57.2 | 73.7 | 76.4 |
| Moderate Injury (ISS 9–14) | 15.7 | 22.9 | 4.0 | 40.0 | 4.1 | 19.0 | 10.5 | 12.4 |
| Severe and critical Injury (ISS 16+) | 10.7 | 16.9 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 23.8 | 15.8 | 11.1 |

*p value of ANOVA test < .05
**p value of χ² test < .05
IBJ: Israeli born Jews, IFSU: Immigrants from Former Soviet Union, EI: Immigrants from Ethiopia, OI: Other Jewish Immigrants, IA: Israeli Arabs, FW: Foreign workers and tourists

---

Fig. 1  Study population ($n=676$)

Fig. 2  Perpetrator, by population group
was 18.8 years, and the age range of females who were attacked by other family members was 26.5–38.3, (see Table 2). Almost 22% of the women who were attacked by their spouse or ex-spouse were pregnant.

Injury severity and use of hospital resources differed among the victims. Mothers who were injured by their sons suffered from the most severe injuries (35% of women had a moderate/severe/critical injury). In comparison to other victims, mothers experienced the most extended hospital stays (median 2.5 days for mothers compared to 1–2 days for other victims), a greater need for surgery (25.8% for mothers vs. 10.2–18.7% for other victims), and the highest in-hospital mortality rate (4.8% for mothers vs. 1.2–3.7% for other victims).

In a multivariable logistic regression model with at least moderate injury as a dependent variable, trauma type was significantly associated with odds for suffering from at least one moderate injury, after adjustment for age, trauma type, population group, and perpetrator’s relation to the victim (see Table 3).

Table 2 Hospitalized victims of domestic violence, demographic and injury characteristics by relation with perpetrator, 2011–2020

|                  | Spouses/ex-spouse | Father | Brother | Son | Other relative/s | Total |
|------------------|-------------------|--------|---------|-----|-----------------|-------|
| n                | 358               | 27     | 63      | 62  | 166             | 676   |
| Proportion among study population | 53.0% | 4.0% | 9.3% | 9.2% | 24.6% | 100% |
| Age*, year Mean (SD) | 38.3 (16.9) | 18.8 (4.6) | 26.5 (13.9) | 59.4 (17.1) | 38.4 (19.9) | 38.4 (19.0) |
| Proportion of pregnant victims ** (%) | 21.5 | 0 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 25.3 | 19.1 |
| Injury Severity Score (ISS) (%)** | 73.1 | 85.2 | 87.3 | 64.6 | 82.5 | 76.4 |
|         Mild (ISS 1–8)         | 13.4 | 0 | 6.3 | 17.7 | 12.7 | 12.4 |
| Moderate (ISS 9–14) | 13.4 | 14.8 | 6.3 | 17.7 | 4.8 | 11.1 |
| Severe (ISS 16+) | 9.2 | 11.1 | 4.8 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 7.1 |
| Hospitalization in ICU (%)** | 2.0 [1–4] | 1.0 [1–5] | 2.0 [1–3] | 2.5 [1–7.25] | 1.0 [1–3] | 2.0 [1–4] |
| Length of Stay**, median [IQR] | 18.7 | 14.8 | 17.5 | 25.8 | 10.2 | 17.0 |
| Undergoing Surgery** (%) | 2.0 | 3.7 | 0 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| In-hospital mortality (%)** | | | | | | |

*p value of ANOVA test < .05
**p value of χ² test < .05
***p value of Kruskal Wallis test < .05
**N χ² test showed non-significant results
Casualties with penetrating trauma had almost seven-fold odds (OR = 6.911, 95% CI 4.138–11.570), and casualties with burns had fivefold higher odds (OR = 5.177, 95% CI 1.611–16.636) for moderate to critical injuries (ISS 9+). The relation of the perpetrator to the victim was not significantly associated with moderate-critical injuries. In comparison to Israeli Arabs (the group with the lowest odds for injury with ISS 9+), Jews had a higher odds for sustaining at least moderate injuries, while the odds of OI (Jewish immigrants not from FSU or Ethiopia) were the highest (OR = 4.473, 95% CI 2.014–9.935).

This model explained 30% in variance in probability to have at least moderate injury.

In 2019, 28 Jewish and 34 Arab women aged 14+ were hospitalized following a domestic violence event. Thus, the annual hospitalization risk was 1.0/100,000 for Jewish women and 5.2/100,000 for Arab women (RR = 5.0, 95% CI 3.0–8.3).

In 2020, 34 Jewish and 38 Arab women were hospitalized following domestic violence; thus, the annual hospitalization risk was 1.3/100,000 and 5.8/100,000, respectively for Jews and Arabs (RR = 4.6, 95% CI 2.9–7.3).

In comparison to 2019, during 2020, the risk of being hospitalized following a domestic violence event increased by 30% for Jewish women and by 12% for Arab women (the association was not significant: RR = 1.30, 95% CI 0.7–2.0 for Jewish women and RR = 1.12, 95% CI 0.7–1.8 for Arab women).

**Discussion**

The aim of the current study was to shed light on the scope of domestic violence-related hospitalizations among women in Israel. The outcomes show a higher risk among Arab women in comparison with Jewish women, with a non significant increase from 2019 to 2020 (the outbreak year of the Covid-19 pandemic). The highest probability to sustain moderate and severe injuries (after adjusting for age and other characteristics) was observed among Jewish immigrants from the FSU, other Jewish immigrants (OI), and foreign workers and tourists.

It should be taken into account that the data provided for this study represents an underestimation of the real risk since women often underreport abuse to hospital staff. Abbott [35] found that only 13% of abused women who visited the ED reported to the staff about being the victim of abuse. This low report rate is related to fear that the medical staff would report the problem to the authorities [36]. While women often hesitate to report violence, health care professionals often fail to suspect domestic violence and detect only 5% of battered women. For many abused women, the ED is the first and sometimes the only contact they have with health care clinicians [37]. Even if all women seeking care would be ready to report acts of

| Characteristics                  | n   | ISS 9+ (n, %) | Odds for injury with ISS 9+ |
|----------------------------------|-----|--------------|----------------------------|
|                                  | 676 | 159 (23.5%)  | Odds ratio (OR) 95% CI     |
| Age, years                       | –   | –            | 1.034                      | 1.021–1.048 |
| Trauma type                      |     |              | 6.911                      | 4.138–11.570 |
| Penetrating                      | 97  | 51 (52.6%)   | 5.177                      | 1.611–16.636 |
| Burn                             | 15  | 6 (40.0)     | 1.465                      | 0.610–3.521 |
| Blunt                            | 564 | 102 (18.1%)  | 2.140                      | 1.237–3.701 |
| Population group                 |     |              | 2.862                      | 1.487–5.509 |
| Israeli born Jews               | 159 | 42 (26.4%)   | 1.401                      | 0.465–4.225 |
| Immigrants from FSU             | 83  | 33 (39.8%)   | 4.473                      | 2.014–9.935 |
| Immigrants from Ethiopia         | 25  | 6 (24.0%)    | 3.974                      | 1.422–11.102 |
| Other Jewish immigrants         | 50  | 27 (54.0)    | 1.831                      | 0.578–5.802 |
| Foreign workers and tourists    | 22  | 9 (40.9%)    | Ref                        | –          |
| Other/unknown                   | 19  | 5 (26.4%)    | 1.831                      | 0.578–5.802 |
| Israeli Arabs                   | 318 | 37 (11.6%)   | Ref                        | –          |
| Relation of perpetrator to victim|     |              |                            |            |
| Spouse/ex-spouse                | 358 | 96 (26.8%)   | 1.465                      | 0.610–3.521 |
| Father                          | 27  | 4 (14.8%)    | 1.503                      | 0.370–6.114 |
| Son                             | 62  | 22 (35.5%)   | 0.891                      | 0.307–2.586 |
| Other/unknown                   | 166 | 29 (17.5%)   | 0.888                      | 0.343–2.302 |
| Brother                         | 63  | 8 (12.7%)    | ref                        | –          |

Bold values indicate statistically significance
domestic violence in the ED, these data will most likely represent only the most severe cases. In Western countries, it is estimated that about 25% of women experience intimate partner violence over their lifetimes [38]. In a research by Grynbaum et al. [39], screening of women who visited a primary care setting in Israel showed that lifetime prevalence of family violence is 30.8%, while 10% suffered from violence during the previous year.

A research by Fisher et al. [40] found that among non-pregnant women visiting a women’s health center clinic in northern Israel, 16% suffered minor physical abuse, and 8% suffered severe physical abuse. Likewise, among pregnant women, 20% sustained minor and 8% suffered severe physical abuse. Physical abuse directed to the abdomen (fetus-directed violence) was reported by 5% of pregnant women [40]. In our study, a fifth of hospitalized casualties were pregnant. However, it should be noted that it is recommended to hospitalize pregnant women for at least one day for observation, irrelevant to the type or severity of the injury, thus contributing to a higher hospitalization rate among pregnant women in comparison to non-pregnant women.

Following a high prevalence of domestic violence and its consequences for women, the detection ability of abused women among healthcare professionals should be improved. Of those victims of domestic violence seeking care, over 80% solicit care in a hospital, while others may seek care in other health professional settings [41]. Regardless of detection, there is a need for a comprehensive, multifaceted programs to provide relief to victims if we aspire to effectively combat the problem. Detection should be preceded by national and local efforts to prevent gender-based violence and followed by structured, multidimensional, and tailored interventions focused on helping victims of such violence. The collaboration between police, social and health services, and local authorities is crucial to preventing and treating this phenomenon [42]. Almost 76% of cases filed by the police following a domestic violence event in Israel are closed prior to court hearings, with the most frequent ground for case closing (87%) is insufficient evidence [31]. Thus, not only the victim of abuse often needs to gather and provide the police with sufficient proof of the crime, but also she and her children are often evacuated to a shelter and displaced from their everyday life. In contrast, the perpetrator will usually continue to live in his same apartment and carry on with his everyday routine, often without punishment. Circumstances leading to cases being not fully investigated and punished can often lead the perpetrators to continue his abusing behavior and pose an increased risk to his family members [43]. Indeed, unpunished perpetrators usually continue to abuse their victims [44], and this abuse may contribute not only to a greater risk of physical injury but also a deterioration in health status, chronic pain conditions, substance abuse, reproductive disorders, higher level of depression, anxiety and phobias, eating and sleep disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder, injury-related disability, self-harm, and in extreme cases death and suicide of the victim [44]. Furthermore, children exposed to violence toward their mother often suffer from emotional, mental, and social impairment that can affect developmental growth [45]. A strong association has been found between childhood experiences of abuse and the perpetration or experience of violence against women in adults [46].

Our findings that Arab women, in comparison to Jewish women, are at greater risk for hospitalization due to domestic violence support previous studies with similar outcomes in which Arab women are at high risk for abuse [17, 18, 20, 47]. In Israel, Arab women aged 14 and above comprise 19% of the female population [34]; however, their proportion among hospitalized victims of domestic violence in this study was 47%. Other studies also reported a higher risk of abuse among Arab women in comparison to Jewish women [17, 18, 20, 47]. The phenomenon of a higher frequency of violence against Israeli Arab women is related to several factors. The Arab minority in Israel is predominantly a patriarchal society abiding by attitudes that may promote violence against women [17]. The Muslim community regulates its unique court system and handles marriage and divorce under Islamic law. Eight regional Islamic law courts and one national appeals court operate in Israel under the supervision of Israel’s Ministry of Justice. Religiosity was found as one of the important factors significantly associated with higher IPV among Arab women in addition to younger age and low income [18].

Social Learning Theory [48] adds another explanation to higher rates of violence against women in Arab society. In a study by Haj-Yahia and Dawud-Noursi [49], it was reported that 18% of Arab adolescents were witnesses of father physical violence toward the mother during the past 12 months. When children become witnesses of domestic violence, they are susceptible to adopting violent tactics of resolving domestic conflicts; thus, domestic violence is transmitted from generation to generation [49]. Arab women have been reported to experience and witness more violence during their childhood compared to Jewish women [17].

Less family support provided to Arab women in the case of domestic violence [17], may explain their unwillingness to report these cases. In addition, given their minority status, there is a tendency in Arab society to avoid contact with the police [17]. Arabs in Israel view the police more negatively than Jews, and these findings are consistent with a large body of research on racial and
ethnic group relations with the police as well as the specific literature concerning deeply divided societies [50].

Finally, significant socio-economic differences exist between the Jewish majority of the population in Israel and Arab-Israelis, who often live in separate towns, villages, or neighborhoods [51]. The low socio-economic position is a known risk factor for domestic violence [52] independently associated with a higher rate of domestic violence [8]. Improvements in the socio-economic position of Arab women are expected to be more prevalent, as Arab women today qualify for a matriculation certificate at rates approaching those of Jewish women. In addition, there is a notable increase in higher education rates among Arab women. However, the lack of daycare centers, public transport, strong traditional norms, and limitations in Hebrew language fluency has led many of these women to choose the field of education, which is seen as more “feminine” and allows them to work within their community at hours convenient for mothers [53]. As the socioeconomic status of Arab women improves, there is hope for improvement in the status of women in the Arab community, which could hopefully lead to a decrease in family violence.

Another group which has a higher representation in the study population than in the general population of Israeli women is the population of Jewish immigrants [54]. It is expected that particularly new immigrant families would be more susceptible to increased aggression due to the mens’ attempts to regain their lost social status [55]. Attacked women in this sub-population were older (but this difference can not explain the higher injury severity in this group as adjustment for age was conducted), we believe that other differences were not taken into account, such as existence of comorbidities, as the data was not available in this database. One of the factors related to the abuse of older women by a family member could be due to crowded living conditions [56, 57]. The situation in which adult children live with their parents is more common among the immigrants from FSU [58], explaining the greater incidence of violent encounters exhibited by a son toward his mother. In addition, it was previously found that one-third of the femicide cases among the immigrants from FSU were committed under the influence of alcohol [20]; that is why it is logical to suppose that alcohol may be involved in initiating violence.

Among the Ethiopian casualties, the proportion of spouse or ex-spouse as an abuser is very high. The Ethiopian community is predominantly a patriarchal religious community, which has experienced many hardships related to absorption and adjustment into Israeli society. Ethiopian men in Ethiopia were the head of the family and well respected in the traditional Ethiopian patriarchal system. However, after arriving in Israel, many of them became unemployed or worked in low-income jobs and often lost their sense of power, honor, or respect [59]. Kacen [60] wrote in her ethnographic study about spousal abuse among Ethiopian immigrants in Israel: “During the cultural transition, the immigrants’ code of honor, traditional conflict-solving institutions, and family role distribution disintegrate. This situation, exacerbated by economic distress, proved conducive to women’s abuse”. According to previous studies, the community support for Ethiopian women in the case of family violence is very low [61].

Additional findings from the study, support the data showing an increase in domestic violence during the lockdowns associated with the Covid-19 pandemic, although this increase was not statistically significant. In Israel, from 2019 to 2020, there was an increase of about 28% in the number of reported domestic violence cases involving Jewish women, and an increase of 10% in the number of violent offenses against non-Jewish women in Israel [62]. In several other countries, the increases in emergency calls reporting domestic violence have been observed [63]. Violence against women tends to increase in any emergency, including epidemics [5, 64]. Stress, disruption of social and protective networks, increased economic hardship, and decreased access to services can exacerbate women’s risk of suffering domestic violence [63]. Economic instability following the lockdowns, partially expressed by the doubling of unemployment rates that reached 27.8% [65], as well as lack of safe and stable childcare due to lockdowns, worsened already tenuous situations during Covid-19 related lockdowns [66].

Study limitations
Data regarding all sub-groups of the Jewish population is not available in the INTR; thus, it was impossible to study the scope of violence-related hospitalization among the various religious sectors. In addition, SES and education level are not available in INTR data; thus, it was not possible to adjust ethnic differences to SES and/or education as possible confounding associations exist between the ethnic group and family violence characteristics.

Finally, as exact number of tourists and foreign nationals in Israel and their length of stay in the country are unknown, annual risk was not calculated among this group.

Policy implications and recommendations
The Ecological Model [4] suggests identifying and minimizing the risk factors at every level (individual, family, community, and society) through prevention and treatment interventions for putting a stop to violence against women [67]. Interventions should identify and reinforce
protective factors, such as decreasing the likelihood of women and girls to encounter violence, at each level within the ecological model. In Israel, domestic violence prevention should focus on populations at risk, including Arab women, new immigrants, and women living in low socioeconomic status areas. These women are especially vulnerable and often lack sufficient family support and resources to escape the trap of domestic violence. Among Arabs and Ethiopian women, it is essential to develop and strengthen community-based social support services available for female victims of violence. On the other hand, as the phenomenon of domestic violence is cross-sectoral, improvements in prevention and treatment should be made throughout the entire Israeli society.

Programs should be mindful of the different levels in the ecological model to achieve the desired results. Each level is interconnected, and choosing interventions at one or more levels will influence the risk and protective factors within other levels.

1. On the individual level, children living in domestic violence environments should be identified by educator staff. After identifying these children, who are potentially at risk for becoming abusers or victims in future relationships as grown-ups, they should receive professional counseling [68] to prevent continuation of the chain of violence. The Ministry of Education should be responsible for training educators to address this issue, from identifying children at risk to providing appropriate interventions in their families.

2. On the family level, women in low socio-economic communities and minority women should be provided with easy access to higher education and employment opportunities, which aims to empower and provide them independence. For example, a pilot program for Bedouin in the South of Israel enables this minority population to apply to five academic institutions of higher education without a psychometric examination and are provided with additional educational assistance. Similar programs should be implemented for women of other minorities and women of low socio-economic backgrounds. The Council for Higher Education should be in charge of such intervention programs, which encourages higher education.

The outcomes of this study showed that immigrant women are at higher risk of becoming victims of domestic violence. Thus, we recommend that the Ministry of Aliyah (Immigration) and Integration be part of an interdisciplinary team to identify immigrants at risk and provide language and culturally adapted prevention programs.

3. The community level should include cooperation with religious leaders. For example, in the Muslim community, the religious leaders (Imams) have significant influence and have the capacity to facilitate positive change, and contribute to health promotion [69]. Thus, they can educate members of the community regarding the importance of engaging in non-violent behaviors, customs, and practices in the home, in schools, and between couples [70].

4. The societal level should include the implementation of appropriate laws, legislations, and policies. The police should improve their response towards victims of domestic violence, enforce all legislation protecting abused women and restricting abusers.

Ministry of Health should invest in appropriate training to increase the knowledge and skill sets of medical staff in the effort of identifying the victims of domestic violence and access services dedicated to providing the necessary support and treatment for them.

Effective intervention programs should be multidisciplinary and include a variety of professionals, policy makers and representatives from various ministries (police, the court system, social and health services, and local authorities [71–78]. Findings regarding such interdisciplinary cooperation programs which incorporate response of different authorities to the domestic violence phenomenon showed promising improvements [75–79]. In such multidisciplinary cooperation, professionals from different agencies should, on the one hand, be aware of the core tasks, duties, responsibilities of every agency, but on another hand be ready to cross professional boundaries, as it is essential for genuine and flexible collaboration [80]. Public Health professionals should serve as coordinators of such strategies and mediators between the different agencies. Implementation of such future projects should be followed by assessment of its effectiveness. Although such follow-up is expected to be a challenging task, it is essential for future improved outcomes.
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