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Abstract—In most existing E-learning systems, activities' content and order are presented in a static manner without taking into consideration the learners characteristics, profiles or competencies. The challenge is to adapt and regulate learning processes according to the learner profile by applying learning models that use new information technologies. There are several adaptation approaches of E-learning environments, such as, adaptive hypermedia system, semantic web, etc. In our proposed system, we adopted a Competency Based Approach to offer each learner an individualized learning path for the acquisition of the competence targeted on the basis of the collaborative filtering. Concerning the technological aspect, the system is implemented as a web services while adhering to a service-oriented architecture. This allows interoperability with heterogeneous learning systems.
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1 Introduction

E-Learning is a type of distance education, and is characterized by the use of new internet multimedia technologies to improve learning quality. At present, Distance Learning based on Web because of its character of flexibility, simple, reliability, compatibility have become an important mode and development way in the Distance Learning [1]. This training method has been the subject of several research studies to define standards, develop specifications, standardize and implement tools and platforms. Certainly, the use of Web technologies in education field offers new opportunities to implement new didactical intentions in new learning contexts. However, the quality of these pedagogical approaches in IT environments depends on their ability to provide learners with learning pathways tailored to their needs. As a result, the Hu-
man Learning Computer Environments (EIAH) must manage learners differences and heterogeneity and offer them individualized pedagogical treatments.

For the implementation of the proposed system, we use the collaborative filtering algorithm to regulate the educational pathways for the competence acquisition, that leads us to consider different concepts, approaches and architectures: the learning individualization, competency-based learning, dynamic learning pathway, collaborative filtering, adaptive testing, Services oriented architecture, modeling pedagogical objects (actors and resources). The system was tested on Specialized Institute of Applied Technologies learners in Morocco.

2 State of the Art

The personalized e-learning system is a new distance learning method that aims to adapt learning to the learner profile. The personalization concept is based on an individualization approach of learning path to advance learner according to its rhythm, level and specificity in order to acquire a targeted skill.

Personalized learning systems was influenced by many theoretical researches in several fields like psychology, artificial intelligence, and education [2]. However, they agreed on the main elements of an personalized education system that can be summarized in: learner model, pedagogical resource model, learning model, adaptation model.

ANATOMY-TUTOR [3] for example, is an adaptive anatomy teaching system that focuses on the learner profile. This system uses an adaptation model that predefines the sequences and stereotype the knowledge.

Whereas AHA is an open Adaptive Hypermedia Architecture providing adaptive content presentation based on fragments as well as link annotation and link hiding [4]. The current version is based on AHAM (Adaptive Hypermedia Application Model) [5]. AHA uses an oriented content learning model, using fragment whose sequence will be decided at the time of a learning scenario.

ELM-ART [6] is an intelligent interactive educational system that offered a creative combination of intelligent tutoring and adaptive hypermedia technologies. It use an activities oriented learning model. ELM-ART provides adaptive navigation support, course sequencing, individualized diagnosis of student solutions, and example-based problem-solving support. It use an activities oriented learning model also.

Another learning model VARK adopted by other educational systems mainly involves the perception form preferred for learning, that is, whether it is delivered by visual perception, or auditory perception, or other perception modes [7].

The WELSA as a network education system with learning style adaptability integrates several features of models proposed by other scholars to build an instructional system of unified learning model adaptable to the course learners [8].

ALFANET [9] (Active Learning For Adaptive Internet) was developed within a European project from May 2002 to April 2005. It uses a service-oriented architecture, multi-agent technology and is based on IMS-LIP learner model technology.
"MATHEMA" is a Learner-controlled Adaptive Educational Hypermedia System. The educational purpose of the AEHS MATHEMA is to support senior high school students or novices of higher education, through an interactive and constructivist educational material, in learning Physics individually and/or collaboratively [10].

AMDPC (Adaptation with Multidimensional Customization Criteria) is an adaptive learning system for the field of computer networks. It focuses on customizing content and user interface, based on the Felder-Silverman learning style model and Witkin's cognitive style model. [11].

LS-AEHS (learning style based on the adaptive online learning hypermedia system) is an adaptive hypermedia system that teaches chemistry taking into consideration the learner's learning style. It is a system focused on adapting content to learning styles [12].

TANGOW (Task-based Adaptive learner Guidance On the Web) adapts dynamically the course structure and sequencing to the student's profile based on ILS (Index of Learning Styles) questionnaire developed by Felder and Soloman[13].

INSPIRE (INtelligent System for Personalized Instruction in a Remote Environment) is an Adaptive Educational Hypermedia System that supports several levels of adaptation: from full system-control to full learner-control, and offers learners the option to decide on the level of adaptation of the system by intervening in different stages of the lesson generation process and formulating the lesson contents and presentation[14].

At present, for the purpose of enhancing the teaching system of personalized distance learning, there is a popular foreign student model based on Bayesian network.

According to each student's evidence of the individualized distance learning system in the learning process, reasoning and predicting each student's next operation are performed[15].

A comparative study of the different learning systems in Table 1 revealed that the majority of adaptive systems have agreed on the main elements of an adaptive education system which are the learner model, the pedagogical resource model, the learning model and the adaptation model. We also found that the so-called "intelligent" systems are focusing on learning methods despite of the learner model. On the other hand, the hypermedia systems are based on resources model and learners' profiles without taking account of the learning processes.

Table 1. Adaptive and intelligent learning systems

| System   | Learner Model   | Resource Model | Learning Model | Adaptation Model |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| AEHS-LS  | Profile, level of knowledge | Dynamical- ly by the system | Domain model | Contents, Presentation, and navigation |
| AHA 3.0  | Profile, level of knowledge | Dynamical- ly by the system | Domain model | Contents, Presentation, and navigation |
| ALFANET  | Level of knowledge | IMS-QTI, IEEE-LOM | Oriented activities | Feedback to author + learning paths for user |

Rules of methods' selection, contents, learning paths.
| Platform          | Profile, level of knowledge | Dynamically by the system | Domain model | Oriented content | Presentation and navigation | Rules of learning unit selection | Learning paths |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| AMDPC            | Profile                      | Dynamic profile           | Domain model | Oriented content | Presentation and navigation | Rules of learning unit selection | Learning paths |
| ANATOM-TUTOR     | Profile                      | Dynamically by the system | Domain model | Oriented activities | Navigation, presentation | Predefined sequence and stereotype knowledge | Test activities |
| ELM-ART          | Prerequisite, level of knowledge | Static                   | Domain model | Oriented activities | navigation | Rules of methods' selection | contents |
| INSPIRE          | Profile, level of knowledge  | Dynamic                  | Domain model | Oriented activities | navigation | Rules of methods' selection | Learning paths |
| iWEAVER          | Level of knowledge           | Dynamic                  | Domain model | Oriented content | navigation | Link annotation, link hiding using user model values | Learning paths |
| LS-AEHS          | Profile, level of knowledge  | Dynamic                  | Domain model | Oriented content | navigation | Rules of methods' selection | contents |
| MATHEMA          | level of knowledge           | Dynamic                  | Domain model | Oriented content | Presentation and navigation | Predefined sequence, Feedback to author | contents |
| METADYNE         | Objectives, level of knowledge, profile | Dynamic  | Domain model | Resources oriented | Navigation, presentation | Rules of learning unit selection | contents |
| OSCAR CITS       | Profile, level of knowledge  | Dynamically by the system | Domain model | Oriented activities | Presentation and navigation | Rules of learning unit selection | Learning paths |
| PROTUS 2.0       | Profile, level of knowledge  | Dynamically by the system | Domain model | Oriented activities | Presentation and navigation | Rules of learning unit selection | Learning paths |
| TANGOW           | Objectives, level of knowledge, profile | Dynamic  | Domain model | Oriented content | Presentation and navigation | Predefined sequence and stereotype knowledge | contents |
| WELSA            | profile                      | Dynamic                  | Domain model | Resources oriented | Navigation, presentation | Rules of learning unit selection | contents |

### 3 Learner and Competency Modeling

In each learning system, online or face-to-face, adaptive or standard, the teacher goal is to be able to transmit a know-how to the learners. In the competency-based approach, this know-how is represented by a competency to be acquired. When it comes to an adaptive system, the goal is to be able to adapt the learning path to learner characteristics in order to eventually acquire the desired competencies. Three key words stand out in the adaptation process: learner, competence, teacher(Fig 1). We
therefore find it wise to start designing our approach by respecting the norms and standards by modeling learner and competency.

Fig. 1. Learning system components

The first phase of our work was to model our system according to IMS-LD, this is done by modeling learner and competency. The adoption of IMS LD is justified by its ability to integrate the notion of activity and the ability to support the development of theories and pedagogical approaches. Fig.2 presents a comparative study of standards LOM, SCORM and IMS LD.
3.1 Learner modeling

Learning path personalization process is based on the learner identification, his/her ability, prior knowledge and current performance for the acquisition of competencies. Thus, learner's feature recognition is the first phase of adaptive learning, called the modeling of learners.

In an Competence Based Approach(CBA), learner modeling is a competencies state representation. It should characterize learner static (profile) and dynamic (progress) information. In our proposed system, we adopt the IMS-LIP specifications. IMS LIP (Learner Information Package) is defined in a XML structure (Fig.3) for the exchange of data between systems learners including learning management systems[17]. IMS LIP model defines a structure of user data into eleven categories are: Identification, Accessibility, QCL, Activity, Goal, Competency, Interest, Transcript, Affiliation, Security Key, and Relationship.
3.2 Competency modeling

The use of competency-based approach requires competency modeling. To model the competence in our approach, we opted for the IMS RDCEO standard: IMS Reusable Definition of Competence or Educational Objective.

3.3 Learning object evaluation modeling

In our approach, the teacher is responsible for organizing the course in chapters containing a set of knowledge to acquire which we have named learning objects (LO). Each LO will be the subject of an evaluation proposed as part of an educational scenario. This uses a bank of evaluation items described in a formal and standard way. In our system, we opt for the IMS-QTI standard, which allows representing the data structure of a question and a test and their corresponding results. To provide interoperability, it was wise to use an XML file.
4 The Proposed Approach

4.1 System actors

The goal of our approach is to be able to choose a learning path adapted to each learner. This personalization will be done according to parameters such as learner learning style, understanding degree, as well as the difficulty of course topics, and course learning materials.

For this we have identified three actors for our system: Teacher, learner and the administrator.

The teacher roles are:

- Define the didactic strategy,
- Prepare the course by distinguishing the objectives to be achieved,
- Decompose the course into learning objects (LO),
- Define a difficulty level for each learning object,
- Develop an evaluation post-test for each LO and possibly a minimum score to have to validate the LO,
- Prepare the initial Cognitive State Test (CST) for evaluating the starting knowledge of the learner, that is the knowledge already possessed by the learner with respect to the topic to be learned. The results of these tests will implement our domain model and will be stored in the global database.

The administrator role is to manage the various tests and questionnaires, to consult the profiles, manage the domain and learner model, and to administer the database by creating the accounts, the privileges, the rights of access... etc.

On the other hand, the learner will be able to:

- Pass a questionnaire to determine his learning style
- Choose a topic and pass a pre-test
- Study the learning object recommended by the system and pass its evaluation

In this work we address the problem of helping learner during his learning activity by taking into consideration his cognitive state, his learning style and the teacher’s learning strategy.

To do this, we must first define the learning style of each learner. A learning style is defined as a characteristic of cognitive, affective, and psychological behavior that serves as a relatively stable indicator of how a learner perceives, interacts with, and responds to the learning environment [17]. Most known learning style models are Myers-Briggs model, Kolb model [18, 19, 20], Honey-Mumford model [19], Felder-Silverman model [19, 21, 22], Grasha-Riechman model. Table 2 represents some known Learning styles models.
Table 2. Some known Learning styles models.

| Learning style model       | Dimensions within the model                                      |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kolb model                 | Converger/Diverger                                               |
|                            | Assimilator/Accommodator                                        |
| Honey-Mumford model        | Activist/Reflector                                               |
|                            | Theorist/Pragmatist                                             |
| Felder-Silverman model     | Sensory/Intuitive                                               |
|                            | Visual/Verbal                                                   |
|                            | Inductive/Deductive                                             |
|                            | Active/Reflective                                               |
|                            | Sequential/Global                                               |
| Grasha-Riechman model      | Competitive/Collaborative                                        |
|                            | Avoidant/Participant                                            |
|                            | Dependent/Independent                                           |
| Myers-Briggs model         | Extravert/Introvert                                             |
|                            | Intuitive/Sensing                                               |
|                            | Feeling/Thinking                                               |
|                            | Judging/Perceiving                                              |

Our choice was therefore focused on the Felder-Silverman model (FSLSM) [21] because it is based on tendencies, asserting that learners with a high preference for certain behaviors may also act sometimes differently [23]. The Felder-Silverman model offers a questionnaire on the Learning Styles Index (ILSQ) with 44 questions divided into four sets of 11 questions to evaluate preferences [24]. Each set of questions defines one dimension of learner's cognitive model, which is thus made up of four dimensions according to Felder (Table 3). The questions are provide four values, between +11 and -11 [25], representing the learner's learning style preferences of each dimension.

Table 3. Learning Style Dimensions.

| Dimension   | Learning style | ILS sets of Questions     |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| processing  | Active         | Q1,Q5,Q9,Q13,Q17,Q21,Q25,Q29,Q33,Q37,Q41 |
| perception  | sensing        | Q2,Q6,Q10,Q14,Q18,Q22,Q26,Q30,Q34,Q38,Q42 |
| Reception   | Visual         | Q3,Q7,Q11,Q15,Q19,Q23,Q27,Q31,Q35,Q39,Q43 |
| Understanding | Sequential   | Q4,Q8,Q12,Q16,Q20,Q24,Q28,Q32,Q36,Q40,Q44 |

4.2 System architecture

The use of a Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) seemed wise to implement our approach. The goal is to decompose the functionality of our system on components, each component will be developed as a web service.

This architecture is based on service discovery concept and dynamic link. A service provider discovers this one in a directory according to the criteria expressed during the execution. The principles of SOA are:

- **Services autonomy**: services manage their functionality and are self-catering
- **Services statelessness**: services have no state, either they return the desired result or an exception
• **Abstraction of services**: the consumer of the service sees it as a black box, its logic is concealed.

• **Services discoverability**: the services are published in XML-based service books known as Universal Description Discovery and Integration (UDDI) for their discovery and consumption.

• **Services normalization**: Services are decomposed or consolidated (normalized) to minimize redundancy. In some, this may not be done, these are the cases where performance optimization, access, and aggregation are required.[26]

• **Composability of services**: the services can be used to compose other services.

By opting for this architecture we wanted to ensure:

• **Interoperability**: thus having a system independent of the different platforms and environments.

• **Reusability of services**.

The figure 5 presents the principles of services-oriented architecture.

![Fig. 4. The principles of service-oriented architecture](image)

We propose three orchestrated components in a SOA. These services are responsible for collection, analysis, adaptation, prediction and regulation of learning objects (LO) to personalize learning path.

Figure 6 shows the various stages of the proposed approach.
By following the principles of SOA, we have decomposed our system into three services:

- **Collection service**
- **Adaptation and prediction service**
- **Regulation service**

**Collection service:** is a web service that collects data from the database using SQL language. It should be noted that this database will be populated by three categories of information:

- **The Learners basic information:** Last name, first name, age, sex, ... etc.
- **Learner style:** knowing that each learner will pass the Learning Style Questionnaire (ILSQ) proposed by Felder-Silverman Model [27] to determine learner preferences and style.
- **The learner initial knowledge and prerequisites for each learning object (LO).**
  Thus, each learner will pass a cognitive state test (CST) concerning the subject to learn.

**Adaptation and prediction service:** The adaptation and prediction service is a web service used to classify learners and give predictions for learning objects. The idea is to find other learners whose past ratings for learning objects are similar for the active learner and use their ratings to predict current learner’s preference for a learn-
ing object he/she has not rated. For that, we opted for the K-NN algorithm, which is the most popular method used for classification, estimate, and prediction [28].

The measurement for the weight for similarity between two learners $u$, $v$ is the Pearson correlation coefficient [29].

$$w(u, v) = \frac{\sum_j^n (r_{u,j} - \bar{r}_u)(r_{v,j} - \bar{r}_v)}{\sqrt{\sum_j^n (r_{u,j} - \bar{r}_u)^2 \sum_j^n (r_{v,j} - \bar{r}_v)^2}} \quad (1)$$

where :

- $\bar{r}_u$ et $\bar{r}_v$ are the averages of learner $u$’s and $v$’s ratings respectively
- $r_{u,j}$ and $r_{v,j}$ are learner $u$’s ratings and learner $v$’s ratings for the learning object $j$.

If the learner $u$ and $v$ have a similar rating for a LO, $w(u, v)$ will be positive, else $w(u, v)$ will be negative.

The prediction step consist to generate predictions for current learner $u$ on learning object $j$. For that, K-NN uses similarity to select $N$ neighbors of $u$. Once $N$ has been selected, the recommender system combines the $N$ learners ratings to generate prediction for learner $u$’s preference for a learning object $j$:

$$P_{u,j} = \bar{r}_u + \frac{\sum_{v=1}^N w(u,v)(r_{v,j} - \bar{r}_v)}{\sum_{v=1}^N |w(u,v)|} \quad (2)$$

This prediction respects the algorithm 1

**Algorithm 1: Prediction**

**Input**
- Set of learning objects
- $LO = \{lo1, lo2, lo3, ..., lon\}$
- Learner $u$
- Predicted ratings and $N$ recommendations

**Method**
- for each $i$ in $LO$
  - Compute similarity using (1)
- end for
- Compute predicted ratings $P_{u,i}$ using (2)
- Generate top $N$ recommendation for target learner $u$.

The regulation service: Offers the learner the LO predicted by the adaptation service (which has the highest value $P_{u,LO}$ of equation 2. After having studied the predicted LO, the learner passes the LO post-test and gets a score. The result of the latter will update the learner model.

To model the business process of our system (Fig. 7) we have opted for the Business Process Modeling Notation(BPMN). The BPMN is a standard that has emerged by proposing a set of standardized graphical elements and a grammar for their manip-
ulation. It defines a business process diagram (BPD), which is based on a technique suitable for creating graphical models of business process operations.

![Business process diagram representing our system](http://www.i-jet.org)

Fig. 6. Business process diagram representing our system

5 Conclusions

Issues relating to the adaptation or individualization of the learning path and focusing on the learner profile have been widely discussed in recent years. Our proposal is different, it is based on web services' independence and reusability to implement components that are responsible for collection, prediction and regulation of learning objects. In this article, we propose a personalized e-Learning model that respects a service-oriented architecture, which takes into account learner characteristics and profile and uses a collaborative filtering method for the prediction system. For the design of our system we opted for the standard IMS Learning.

In the future work, the algorithm model will be implemented in detail, and the theory will be implemented in available systems to meet the actual demands the majority of learners.
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