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Abstract
PRAME/MAPE/OIP4 is a germinal tissue-specific gene that is also expressed at high levels in haematological malignancies and solid tumours. The physiological functions of PRAME in normal and tumour cells are unknown, although a role in the regulation of retinoic acid signalling has been proposed. Sequence homology and structural predictions suggest that PRAME is related to the leucine-rich repeat (LRR) family of proteins, which have diverse functions. Here we review the current knowledge of the structure/function of PRAME and its relevance in leukaemia.

PRAME is a cancer-testis antigen
PRAME, or preferentially expressed antigen in melanoma, was originally identified as a gene encoding a HLA-A24 restricted antigenic peptide presented to autologous tumour-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes derived from a patient with melanoma [1]. PRAME is synonymous with MAPE (melanoma antigen preferentially expressed in tumours) and OIP4 (OPA-interacting protein 4), and its expression profile defines it as a cancer-testis antigen [1]. Cancer-testis antigens (CTAs) are encoded by non-mutated genes expressed at high levels in germinal tissues and tumours, but which are absent from or detected at low levels in other tissues [2]. Examples include the MAGE, BAGE, GAGE and MAPE/PRAME protein families, all of which have been detected in tumours of many different histological types [2]. PRAME may be somewhat different to other cancer-testis antigens in that it shows some expression in normal tissues such as ovary, adrenal, placenta and endometrium [1]. The C-terminus of human PRAME (amino acids 453-509) was also identified in a yeast two-hybrid screen for host cell proteins that bind Neisseria gonorrhoeae opacity factors, in this case the OPA-P protein [3]. Thus PRAME is also known as OIP4 (OPA interacting protein), although the functional implications of the interaction are unknown. Interestingly, another cancer-testis antigen (OIP5) was isolated in the same screen [4].

Gene structure, expression and transcripts
The human PRAME gene is encoded on the reverse strand of chromosome 22 (22q11.22) extending over a region of approximately 12 kilobases. It is located within the human immunoglobulin lambda gene locus [5] which contains a large number of V_{\lambda} gene segments used to generate \lambda light chains during B cell development. This locus also contains several other non-immunoglobulin genes, for example PRAME is situated between tandem Suppressor of Hairy Wing genes (SUHW1/ZNF280A and SUHW2/ZNF280B) and a gene encoding a putative membrane glycoprotein (POM121L1). Adjacent to POM121L1 is the pseudogene BCR4 (or BCR4L), which has been identified as a breakpoint cluster region implicated in chromosome 22 rearrangements [6]. BCR4 shows significant homology to the 3' end of the original BCR gene at the Philadelphia chromosome breakpoint [6]. Interestingly, the BCR4 region is known to be amplified in the CML-derived cell line K562 [6]. Consistent with this, Northern blots (Fig. 1A) and semi-quantitative PCR data (Fig. 1B) confirmed that PRAME mRNA is highly elevated in K562 cells in comparison to other cell types such as Jurkat, U937 and HL60 which express PRAME at lower levels [1].
A number of PRAME mRNA transcripts showing differential abundance have been detected in normal testis, malignant tissues and leukaemia-derived cell lines [1,7]. The NCBI database annotates five PRAME mRNA transcripts ranging from 2.1-2.7 kb in length (2141, 2162, 2197, 2220, 2776 bases) and a qPCR study of these 5 mRNAs reported that the two shortest transcripts were the most abundantly expressed in testis and leukaemia cell lines [7]. However, sequence databases list at least 17 different PRAME mRNAs, the largest of which is a 3329 base transcript that is clearly detectable in northern blots of total RNA isolated from various cancer cell lines such as K562, Hela and HL60 (Fig. 1A and reference 1). Each of the major transcripts contains 6 exons, four of which contain coding sequence, and all encode an identical polypeptide of 509 amino acids. Differences in the 5’ ends of these transcripts suggest the existence of alternative transcription start sites. This is further supported by the strong promoter activity in reporter assays displayed by the sequence around the proximal transcription start site including exon 1a and the first intron of the PRAME gene (-165 to +365) [7].

Expressed sequence tags suggest that alternative splicing may produce up to 15 splice variants of PRAME, some of which potentially encode tissue-specific truncated PRAME proteins, although this remains to be verified by western blotting. A polyclonal antibody against PRAME raised by the Coulie group [8] and a commercial antibody (Abcam 32185) used in 2 studies [9,10], recognise a protein of the expected size (approximately 58kDa) in PRAME-expressing cell lines, although an apparently non-specific cross-reacting protein is also detected at around 75kDa [9]. There is a report in the literature of a monoclonal antibody specific for PRAME, although the protein detected (33kda) in CLL cells was below the expected size for full length PRAME [11]. Whether this corresponds to a truncated variant of PRAME in CLL or interaction with a PRAME-like protein remains unclear.

Four of the five validated PRAME transcripts contain unique 5’ untranslated regions (5’ UTRs). Similar sequence diversity is observed in the 5’UTRs of PRAME transcripts in other primates, suggesting these sequences may have functional significance in regulating PRAME expression in response to metabolic or developmental signals. As most PRAME 5’ UTRs contain multiple start and stop codons they would be expected to inhibit productive protein synthesis under the canonical model of cap-dependent translation. In agreement with this, RNA structure prediction algorithms indicate that human PRAME 5’ UTRs can form stable secondary structures, a feature likely to inhibit translation initiation under normal conditions. Indeed, data from our group indicates that PRAME translation is inhibited by

![Figure 1 PRAME expression in leukaemia and lymphoma cell lines](http://www.molecular-cancer.com/content/9/1/226)
hippuristanol (an inhibitor of the RNA helicase eIF4A required for translation of structured mRNAs) [FW, KS & DMH; unpublished].

**Regulation of PRAME and expression in malignancies**

While PRAME is absent or expressed at very low levels in most normal tissues tested, high levels of PRAME mRNAs are encountered in malignant cells, including the vast majority of primary and metastatic melanomas (88% and 95% respectively) [2]. Microarray and PCR studies have shown that PRAME is absent in normal hematopoietic tissues including bone marrow, CD34+ sorted bone marrow cells, unsorted peripheral blood cells and sorted B and T lymphocytes [12-15]. However, numerous studies have reported highly elevated levels of PRAME in both acute and chronic leukemias and non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas (Fig. 1B and references 12,13,15-19). PRAME up-regulation was observed in most AML cases with t(8;21) karyotype and 45% of AML cases with t(15;17) [13,16,17]. Significant association of PRAME expression has also been reported in ALL (17-42%) [18,19], CLL (27%) [11,20], myeloma (23-52%) [21,22] and chronic phase CML (36%) [12]. In CML, PRAME expression was found to correlate with disease progression, showing increased expression in blast crisis as compared with chronic phase disease [12,15]. PRAME is also associated with solid organ cancers including skin [1], breast [23-25], lung [1], head and neck cancers [26] and neurological neoplasms [27,28].

The regulation of PRAME gene expression is poorly understood, and thus the molecular basis of its expression in malignancies is largely unknown. It has been suggested that AML1-ETO and BCR-ABL fusion proteins may contribute to the up-regulation of PRAME [13,29], whereas SOX9 has been reported to repress PRAME expression [30]. However, no correlation was observed between expression of PRAME and SOX9 in samples from patients with CML [15]. Like other cancer-testis antigens [31,32], the PRAME gene is hypermethylated in normal tissues such as bone marrow, but hypomethylated in malignant cells [7,33-35]. As a consequence, in cell lines such as U937 that show low level PRAME expression, treatment with DNA demethylators such as 5’-aza-2’-deoxycytidine can strongly induce PRAME transcription (Fig. 1C) and studies have shown that this correlates with demethylation of specific cytosine/guanine dinucleotide rich regions in the PRAME promoter [7,33,34]. Similarly, methylation-specific PCR analyses demonstrated that hypomethylation of the PRAME promoter is significantly more frequent in CML with blast crisis compared with chronic phase disease [34]. PRAME mRNA is found frequently in advanced stages of malignancies such as melanoma [2], neuroblastoma [28] and breast cancer [23], though not in the earlier stages of these diseases. Thus, PRAME may have a role in disease progression, although whether it is a driver or passenger gene remains to be established.

Interestingly, a recent comparative genomic hybridization study detected microdeletions in the lambda immunoglobulin light chain locus (22q11) in 18% of untreated CLL cases, and also in cases of acute promyelocytic leukemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma [36]. The minimally deleted region included the ZNF280A, ZNF280B and PRAME genes and both mono-allelic and bi-allelic deletions were observed. In some cases the deletion of the 22q11 locus was the sole chromosomal abnormality detected, suggesting it may be an important factor in disease pathogenesis. Absence of PRAME expression in this CLL subgroup was confirmed by qPCR, but did not correlate significantly with other clinico-pathological factors, although a significant correlation was found for expression of lambda surface light chain in 22q11 deletions [36]. Homozygous deletion of this region (22q11.22) was also reported in cases of mantle cell lymphoma and corresponding cell lines, and the absence of both PRAME and ZNF280A expression was confirmed [37]. It remains to be established whether loss of PRAME or ZNF280A genes are significant contributory factors in the pathogenesis of leukaemias and non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas.

**The PRAME multigene family**

PRAME is a member of a multigene family present in humans and other mammals. However, orthologous genes appear to be absent in fish, amphibians and invertebrates. Like several other cancer-testis antigen gene families, PRAME appears to have undergone multiple gene duplications during hominid evolution, and at least 22 PRAME-like genes and 10 pseudogenes have been identified in the human genome [38]. This rapid evolution is consistent with adaptive (positive) selection similar to gene clusters involved in immunity and reproduction, such as the NALP family [39]. Interestingly, several PRAME-like proteins and NALPs have been proposed to be involved in gametogenesis, folliculogenesis and early embryogenesis in the mouse [40]. Oogenesins 1-3 are PRAME-like proteins that show highly selective expression in mouse ovary, whereas Oogenesin 4 was detected in both ovary and testis [41]. These proteins show considerable homology to PRAME and PRAME family members.

**PRAME is a leucine rich repeat (LRR) protein**

PRAME is a leucine-rich protein of which 21.8% of residues are leucine or isoleucine. Homology searches reveal that PRAME and PRAME-like proteins contain leucine-
**Figure 2** PRAME LRR repeats, subcellular localisation and interaction with nuclear receptors. A: Predicted domain structure of the human PRAME sequence indicating potential Leucine Rich Repeats (LRRs). The LRRs are numbered and indicated by the blue arrows; residues conserved in typical LRRs are highlighted in bold. The black boxes indicate regions predicted to have a high probability of α-helicity, and two predicted NLS sequences are underlined. The boxed area in red is a region implicated in interaction with retinoic acid receptors, and potentially contains LXXLL and CoRNR box-like motifs. B: Subcellular localisation of endogenous PRAME proteins in leukaemia cell lines. Leukaemia cell lines were cultured as described in the legend to Fig. 1, and harvested onto coverslips using a cytospin centrifuge. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilised with 0.2% Triton X-100 and blocked with 3% PBS prior to application of an α-PRAME antibody (Abcam ab31285) followed by secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 594 chicken anti-rabbit IgG - Invitrogen A21442). DNA was stained with a Hoechst stain (Sigma Aldrich 332581). Images were captured using LSM 510 Meta confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss). C: Yeast two-hybrid experiments to assess interactions of SRC1 nuclear receptor interaction domain (431-761) or full-length PRAME (1-509) with nuclear receptors were performed using the reporter strain S. cerevisiae L40 as described previously [68,69]. PRAME and SRC1 domains were expressed as LexA fusion proteins. Nuclear receptor ligand binding domains (RARα 200-464; RXRα 230-467; ERα 282-595; AR 625-919) were expressed as VP16 activation domain (411-490) fusion proteins, and reporter (β-galactosidase) specific activity was determined as described previously [68,69].
rich repeats (LRR), and are thus related to the LRR containing protein family (Fig. 2A) [42]. Typical LRR motifs such as those present in ribonuclease inhibitors are 20-30 amino acids in length, and contain the consensus sequence LXXLXLXXN/CX(1/2)L [43]. The LRR repeat forms a beta sheet followed by an α-helix, and the repeating units can induce a curved solenoid (horseshoe) fold with a parallel beta sheet on the concave side and helical elements on the convex side [44]. However, not all LRRs fit this consensus and atypical repeats are found among some families including the PRAME and NALP families. Secondary and tertiary structure predictions e.g. using Phyre software [45] suggest that PRAME is likely to adopt a fold similar to the LRR domains of Toll-like receptors (TLR3, TLR4) and internalin proteins (reference [38] and our unpublished analyses). The tertiary structure of the LRR stack provides an ideal module for molecular interactions with proteins, nucleic acids and other ligands; and LRR domains have important functions in cell immunity, cell adhesion and signal transduction. For example, in cell membrane-associated TLR proteins the LRR moiety is extracellular, and functions in sensing pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) [46]. Intracellular LRR proteins, such as NALP family, are also likely to be activated by PAMPs in antimicrobial immune responses, resulting in regulation of inflammation and apoptosis pathways [46]. Thus, the interaction of PRAME with a bacterial pathogenicity protein is intriguing, although, it remains to be established whether PRAME plays a role in immune response pathways.

Subcellular localisation of PRAME

The subcellular localisation of PRAME has been examined in a number of studies using different cell lines to express recombinant epitope-tagged or GFP-tagged PRAME. Over-expression of PRAME-FLAG and PRAME-GFP proteins in CHO cells (which do not express PRAME) was reported to induce aberrant cell morphology and cell death. However, in transfected cells expressing low levels of the PRAME-GFP, the protein was observed to localise to both the nucleus and peri-nuclear regions [47]. Consistent with this, we have detected PRAME-GFP and PRAME-FLAG in nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments, dependent on the cell type. For example, PRAME-GFP was found both in the nucleus and cytoplasm of Hela cells, whereas it was observed to be mainly cytoplasmic in U2OS cells (data not shown). In addition, in leukaemic cell lines that express high levels of the native protein, PRAME appears to be detected both in the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 2B). Consistent with its ability to localise to the nucleus, PRAME contains several candidate nuclear localisation signal (NLS) sequences including 157-KKRRKV-161 and 198-KVKRKKNV-205 (Fig. 2A)

Cellular functions of PRAME: repression of retinoic acid receptor signalling

PRAME has been reported to function as a repressor of retinoic acid (RA) signalling through interactions with retinoic acid receptors (RARs) and repression of the RARβ2 gene [8,48]. RARs are important regulators of haematopoietic differentiation and apoptosis. In the absence of ligand, RARs can repress their target genes by recruitment of SMRT and NCoR co-repressor complexes, which have associated histone deacetylase (HDAC) activities. Binding of retinoic acid induces a change in the conformation of the RAR ligand binding domain (LBD), promoting the recruitment of co-activator complexes with histone acetyltransferase activities. This can promote transcription of RA target genes, regulating differentiation, cell cycle arrest and caspase-dependent apoptosis pathways in responsive cells. The RARβ2 gene is highly up-regulated by RA, and is believed to be responsible for many of the beneficial effects of RA in cancer cells. As a consequence, suppression of RA responsiveness through hypermethylation of the RARβ2 gene promoter, which is a common feature of tumours, supports the hypothesis that RARβ2 has important tumour suppressor functions. Thus, it was proposed that repression of RAR function by PRAME might be an important contributory factor in AML disease progression [8].

Epping and colleagues demonstrated that over-expressed TAP-tagged PRAME can be co-immunoprecipitated with RARα, with the interaction being dependent on the C-terminus of PRAME [8]. Weak direct interactions of PRAME and RARα in GST-pulldown experiments were reported, whereas no binding of PRAME to ER or RXR was detected [8]. Due to its leucine-rich content, PRAME contains at least seven sequences matching the consensus of the LXXLL signature motif found in many nuclear receptor binding proteins [49]. However, only one of these (i.e., 467-LRELLCE-473, located close to the C-terminus of PRAME) was found to contribute to interactions with the RARα LBD in vitro [8]. Unlike most other LXXLL motif containing cofactors, the interaction of PRAME with RARα was not reported to be dependent on ligand. Moreover, mutations in the RARα AF2 helix, which is essential to generate the LXXLL peptide binding surface, did not alter the ability of PRAME to repress RA signalling [48].

Nuclear receptor co-repressors such as SMRT and NCoR contain CoRNR box motifs, which have the
consensus of LXXX(1/L)XXX(1/L). These motifs mediate ligand-independent binding to nuclear receptors. Two of the seven motifs in PRAME, including the most C-terminal motif, also fit the CoRNR box consensus (463-LHARlRELlCELG-475). Thus, it remains to be tested whether mutations in the RAR LBD that disrupt binding to CoRNR box peptides would also disrupt the ability of PRAME to impact on RA signalling. However, until the structure of the PRAME protein is known, it remains unclear, whether any of these motifs are available for protein-protein interactions. In our hands, the binding of PRAME to the LBDs of RARα or other nuclear receptors in GST pulldown (data not shown) or yeast two-hybrid assays (Fig. 2C) is very weak in comparison with other cofactors such as SRC1. Thus, the possibility remains that indirect interactions with other proteins may be important to facilitate the functional interactions of PRAME and RARs in vivo.

PRAME-mediated suppression of RA signalling was reported to involve the recruitment of the polycomb PRC2 complex component, EZH2. Over-expressed PRAME and EZH2 were shown to co-immunoprecipitate, although whether this is via direct interactions was not addressed [8]. Knockdown of EZH2 and EED relieved PRAME-dependent repression of the RARβ2 promoter, as did over-expression of a mutant of EZH2 protective in its SET domain methyltransferase function [8]. In addition to its reported interaction with RARs and EZH2, yeast two-hybrid screens have identified several other proteins that appear to interact with PRAME. However, the functional significance of reported interactions with nuclear bacterial OPA-P protein [3], the nuclear kinase STK19 [50], and UBE21 [51] remains to be investigated.

HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) can induce proliferation arrest and apoptosis of cancer cell lines, and have shown promise as anticancer agents in preclinical models and clinical trials. In a separate study, Epping and colleagues isolated both RAR and PRAME in a genetic screen for proteins that could block the effects of HDACi on cell proliferation [48]. It was shown that ectopic expression of PRAME blocked the suppressive action of HDACi compounds in colony formation assays. PRAME also blocked HDACi-mediated induction of RARβ2 and p21 genes, but had no impact on the effects of conventional chemotherapeutics (such as cisplatin, fluorouracil and bortezomib in the same model) [48].

The function of PRAME and its effect on gene expression in leukemic cells remains controversial due to conflicting observations in the literature. While in cell-based models PRAME was reported to down-regulate genes such as S100A4, RARβ2, p21 and Hsp27 [47], a clinical study reported that expression levels of these genes were not significantly associated with PRAME expression in pediatric AMLs [52]. A focussed microarray study of childhood AMLs examining the expression of 300 stress-related genes reported a correlation between PRAME expression with up-regulation of multidrug resistance genes (MRP3 and BCRP) and down-regulation of pro-apoptotic genes (CIAP2, AKT3, BAK1, BAX) [53]. However the same genes were unaffected when PRAME was over-expressed or silenced in cervical cancer cell lines [52]. Thus, further insight is needed into the tissue-specific effects of PRAME in gene regulation, and how this is achieved.

Effects of PRAME on cell proliferation and differentiation
The role of PRAME in proliferation and differentiation of haematopoietic tissues appears complex. For example, PRAME expression was associated with reduced proliferation of KG-1 leukaemic cells [47]. In the same study, knockdown of PRAME caused significantly increased tumorigenicity of K562 cells in a xenograft model, which was suggested to be due to reactivation of pro-apoptotic genes in the absence of PRAME [47]. In a more recent study, over-expression of PRAME was found to promote proliferation of various leukaemic cell lines and inhibit ATRA (all-trans retinoic acid)-induced myeloid differentiation [15]. However, these effects were found to be cell line-specific as they were only observed in cell lines that undergo myeloid differentiation following ATRA exposure. When PRAME was over-expressed in normal haematopoietic progenitor cells in the presence or absence of ATRA, myeloid differentiation was inhibited, although proliferation appeared unaffected. Furthermore, shRNA silencing of PRAME in primary cells led to increased myeloid differentiation. Thus, the consequences of induced PRAME expression for proliferation and differentiation of haematopoietic cells appears to be dependent both on cell lineage, and contributing factors involving other genetic or epigenetic mechanisms.

Clinical applications of PRAME: risk stratification, minimal residual disease monitoring and immunotherapy
Although the role of PRAME in acute leukaemia and other cancers is complex, it has promise both as a cancer biomarker and as a therapeutic target. In AML, PRAME is usually associated with a favourable response to chemotherapy and prolonged survival [13,14,54]. This was initially thought to be due to its expression in leukemias having favourable prognoses, such as AML M2 with t(8;21), AML M3 with t(15;17) and childhood B-ALL [13,16,55]. However, PRAME has been reported to be an independent prognostic factor in AML M3 with t (15;17) [16] and to be associated with longer overall
survival, even in karyotypes with generally poor prognosis such as deletion of the long arm of chromosome 7 and monosomy 7 [54]. In contrast, over-expression of PRAME mRNA is associated with poor prognosis in solid organ malignancies [23,24,28]. This raises the possibility that PRAME may have different roles in oncogenesis or tumour suppression dependent on the tumour type. Therefore, its usefulness in predicting clinical outcome in solid tumours remains unclear. However PRAME remains relevant in acute leukaemias for risk stratification, to monitor residual disease and as a potential target for immunotherapies.

Disease risk-stratification is imperative in order to best tailor therapies to meet a patient’s individual needs. At least a third of patients with de novo AML have normal cytogenetics and therefore are difficult to risk stratify [56]. Thus attempts have been made to construct a molecular stratification model for this group of intermediate risk patients, which includes PRAME expression [57]. Low PRAME expression at diagnosis was found to be associated with disease refractory to induction chemotherapy, shorter relapse-free survival and poorer overall survival [57]. In cancers other than acute leukaemias, PRAME expression is associated with negative outcomes. Indeed, high PRAME expression is associated with increased resistance to common chemotherapeutic regimens in diffuse large B cell lymphomas and Hodgkin’s disease [58,59]. Furthermore, it is associated with failure of second-line therapies and the development of point mutations in the ABL tyrosine kinase domain in chronic phase CML [15]. PRAME has also been proposed as a prognostic marker for poor outcome in solid tumours such as breast and ovarian cancers [10,23,24].

In addition, PRAME has been shown to be a useful indicator of minimal residual disease (MRD) in both acute and chronic leukaemias [16,18,55,60,61]. While PRAME mRNA was often significantly over-expressed in bone marrow samples from patients with newly diagnosed leukaemia (as compared with healthy donors), its expression level decreased to normal levels in patients who responded to treatment [17,18,60]. Moreover, increasing levels of PRAME mRNA have been detected in patients undergoing relapse, even prior to cytological diagnosis [16,18,60]. This is particularly advantageous in monitoring MRD in patients without known genetic markers.

CTAs were originally identified as proteins containing epitopes that induced cell-mediated immune responses in cancer patients. Thus they represent promising candidates for the development of immunotherapies specifically targeting cancer cells [62]. PRAME epitopes were reported to be recognised by HLA-A24 restricted cytotoxic T lymphocytes [1]. Thus, a specific immunotherapy targeting PRAME might offer a therapeutic benefit in graft versus leukaemia effects observed after allogeneic stem cell transplant, or to prolong a complete remission achieved by chemotherapy. PRAME has already been shown to be a prime candidate for such an immunotherapy, inducing strong immune responses in healthy volunteers and patients with AML, CML, ALL and melanoma [9,54,63,64], and could potentially form a

---

**Figure 3 Potential nuclear and cytoplasmic functions of PRAME.** Schematic representation depicting interactions of PRAME with nuclear proteins such as retinoic acid receptor (RAR), polycomb repressor EZH2 and the serine/threonine kinase STK19. Interaction with RARs and EZH2 is thought to modulate gene expression and responses to retinoic acid signalling. PRAME also interacts with the outer membrane opacity protein (OPA-P) from bacterial pathogen *N. gonorrhoea*, and may also interact with other pathogen-associated microbial patterns (PAMPs) entering the cytoplasm. In addition, expression of PRAME in cancer cells may allow it to function in sensing molecules associated with cancer or cancer-related inflammation.
polyvalent vaccine with other cancer-testis antigens [65,66]. Unfortunately, like other CTAs, PRAME can display heterogeneous expression levels within tumours, which could potentially allow some malignant cells to escape immunotherapy. Studies have shown that treatment with agents such as 5′-aza-2′-deoxycytidine [7,33-35] and clofarabine [67] can induce the expression of CTAs (including PRAME) through DNA demethylation. Thus, while anti-PRAME vaccines are currently being evaluated in clinical trials for their efficacy against PRAME-positive tumours, combination with demethylating agents to maximise CTA expression may be required for complete elimination of the tumour cells.

**Conclusions**

Human PRAME and its paralogues are related to LRR family proteins, some of which are known to have functions in cell immunity and signal transduction. PRAME may therefore serve as an intracellular sensor of pathogen-associated molecular particles (PAMPs) or molecules associated with cancer-related inflammation (Fig. 3). To confirm this, it will be important to identify proteins or other molecules that associate with PRAME, and determine whether PRAME adopts a structure similar to the LRR domains of TLR or NALP proteins. Murine orthologues of PRAME show an expression pattern that is restricted to the zygote, and later to ovary and testis tissues, suggesting that this family of proteins also functions in early embryogenesis and gametogenesis.

PRAME expression in cancers may therefore be due to reactivation of genes associated with 'stemness' or pluripotency, or in response to signals that activate immune or autoimmune responses associated with tumours.

The subcellular distribution of PRAME indicates it is likely to have both nuclear and cytoplasmic functions. Although direct interactions with retinoic acid receptors appear weak (Fig. 2), there is substantial evidence that PRAME may negatively regulate retinoic acid signalling, through recruitment of polycomb proteins such as EZH2 to promoter complexes (Fig. 3). It remains to be determined how these molecular functions of PRAME exert their effects on differentiation and proliferation of leukaemic cells. Thus, while the precise molecular functions of PRAME and its role in oncogenesis remain to be addressed, PRAME continues to serve as both a useful prognostic marker in acute leukaemias and solid tumours, and an attractive target for potential immunotherapy.
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