High expression of forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) is a poor prognostic biomarker in lung adenocarcinoma
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Background: Forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) is closely related to the formation and development of cancer. Because of differences in cellular origin, lung adenocarcinoma (ADC) and lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) usually exhibit different signatures. Therefore, it is essential to investigate the abnormalities of FOXM1 in the two subtypes separately.

Methods: Through the Oncomine and TCGA databases, we investigated the expression of FOXM1 mRNA, its prognostic value and possible mechanisms leading to its dysregulation. Furthermore, networks involving FOXM1 and its significantly altered neighboring genes were identified using the cBioPortal database. GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed using DAVID.

Results: Expression of FOXM1 mRNA was higher in lung tumor tissues than in normal tissues, and higher in SCC tissues than in ADC tissues. FOXM1 mRNA expression was correlated with N stage, TNM stage, age, sex and smoking history in ADC, but only correlated with N stage, age and sex in SCC. Survival analysis indicated that high expression of FOXM1 mRNA resulted in poor overall survival (OS) for ADC patients, but not for SCC patients. Cox regression analysis confirmed that FOXM1 mRNA expression was an independent prognostic indicator for ADC patients, and regression analysis identified a moderately positive correlation between FOXM1 mRNA levels and copy number alterations (CNAs), but a weakly negative association with DNA methylation. FOXM1 was mainly involved in cell cycle regulation, G2/M transition, G1/S transition and p53, PI3K-Akt and TGF-beta signaling pathway.

Conclusions: High expression of FOXM1 mRNA might be an independent biomarker of poor OS in ADC patients.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the most common causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 80% to 85% of all lung cancer cases, and lung adenocarcinoma (ADC) and lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) are the main histopathologic subtypes of NSCLC (1,2). ADC and SCC are very similar in clinical manifestations, but have significant differences in pathogenesis, treatment and prognosis (3). For example,
activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and in the anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) fusion typically occur in lung ADC but not lung squamous cell cancer, rendering drugs targeting these genes ineffective against lung squamous cell cancer (4). Further study of these differences in subtype characteristics will contribute to a deeper understanding and identification of lung cancers and molecular targeting strategies for treatment.

Forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) is one of the important members of the forkhead box (FOX) transcription factor family, whose members are characterized by a DNA-binding domain (DBD), also referred to as a forkhead or winged helix domain, consisting of greater than 100 amino acids (5). FOXM1 is a proliferation-related transcription factor whose expression is increased in proliferating cells, but decreased or absent in cells undergoing terminal differentiation (6). FOXM1 is also highly expressed in embryos, whereas in adults, it is only expressed in self-renewing tissues, such as thymus and testis, and a few proliferating cells (7). FOXM1 is a crucial regulator of the cell cycle (8), and mainly promotes G1-S and G2-M transitions during cell cycle progression, and ensures mitotic spindle integrity by positively regulating transcription of its downstream cell cycle-specific genes (9,10). FOXM1 is also involved in various of biological processes, such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, angiogenesis, and DNA damage repair (11). Normal expression and activity maintain the balance of transcriptional programs, ensuring appropriate growth and maturation during the development of embryos and fetuses, as well as maintaining proper homeostasis and normal repair of adult tissues. On the contrary, abnormal expression and activity of FOXM1 may cause the biological processes in which it is involved to be disordered, leading to tumor formation, progression, invasion and metastasis (12).

Previous studies have found that FOXM1 expression is up-regulated in lung cancer and leads to poor prognosis. However, the expression and prognostic value for different pathological types of lung cancer have not been further studied (13,14). Moreover, the mechanisms of FOXM1 mRNA expression dysregulation are far from being fully understood. Therefore, we investigate FOXM1 mRNA expression and its clinical value for patients with ADC and SCC.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-1103).

Methods

Oncomine analysis

The Oncomine database version 4.5 (https://www.oncomine.org/) was used to characterize FOXM1 profiles in lung cancer (15). We compared FOXM1 mRNA levels in lung cancer tissues vs. normal tissues in different datasets using the following threshold: fold change ≥2, P<0.05, gene rank: top 10%.

TCGA and cBioPortal analysis

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data from the cBioPortal database (16,17) (http://www.cbioportal.org/) was used to identify the association between FOXM1 mRNA expression, clinical variables and prognosis, as well as copy number alterations (CNAs) and DNA methylation in ADC vs. SCC patients. FOXM1 mRNA expression z-scores (RNA Seq V2 RSEM), DNA methylation (HM450), copy-number alterations (GISTIC) and survival data, including OS status, OS time, recurrence status and recurrence-free survival (RFS) time, were downloaded from the cBioPortal TCGA lung ADC cohort (n=586) (TCGA, provisional) and TCGA lung SCC cohort (n=511) (TCGA, provisional).

FOXM1 mRNA levels were divided into two groups (high expression and low expression) by setting the median expression as the cutoff. CNAs were defined in each gene by sample-specific thresholds as follows: deep deletion (−2), shallow deletion (−1), neutral/diploid (0), gain (+1) and amplification (+2) by using the Genomic Identification of Significant Targets in Cancer (GISTIC) algorithm. Patients were divided into 3 groups: a FOXM1 copy number amplification group (positive values), copy number loss group (negative values) and normal group (zero). For grouping DNA methylation, we calculated the 75th percentile of β-values from all analyzed patients and used it as the cut-off value. Subsequently, individuals with a β-value higher than the cut-off value were considered methylated, otherwise individuals were considered unmethylated.

Co-expression and network analysis

We used the cBioPortal database online tool (http://www.cbioportal.org/) (16,17) to construct a network for FOXM1 and the significantly altered neighboring genes in ADC (TCGA, Provisional). Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the enriched biological processes and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis were performed by using the DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.8 online platform (https://david-d.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) (18,19). Enrichment results with both a P value and false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05 were considered statistically significant.
**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed with SPSS 24.0 software (SPSS Inc.) and graphical data were constructed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Inc.). We used a two-tailed Student's t-test to identify the association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and clinical pathological features (age, sex, T stage, N stage, M stage, TNM stage and smoking history) of ADC patients or SCC patients. We used Kaplan-Meier curves and the log-rank test to analyze the correlation between FOXM1 mRNA expression and OS or RFS of ADC patients and SCC patients. We used Cox regression analysis to estimate whether FOXM1 mRNA expression was an independent risk factor for OS or ADC patients. The correlation between FOXM1 mRNA expression and DNA CNAs or DNA methylation was conducted by the Spearman or Pearson test. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethical statement**

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

**Results**

**FOXM1 mRNA expression is elevated in lung cancer tissues**

We investigated FOXM1 mRNA expression in lung cancer by using the Oncomine database. Results showed that expression of FOXM1 mRNA was increased in lung cancer tissues compared to normal tissues (Figure 1A). Two large sample size studies, by Bhattacharjee et al. (Figure 1B) and Hou et al. (Figure 1C), revealed that FOXM1 mRNA expression was specifically elevated in the lung cancer group (P=0.0026 and P<0.0001, respectively). There was a total of 14 studies, including 783 samples and fold changes between 3.167 and 44.293, and P values from 4.00E-03 to 7.67E-30, showing elevated FOXM1 in lung cancer (Table 1).

**Association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and clinicopathological parameters**

Because FOXM1 mRNA expression levels were higher in lung cancer, we further explored the associations between its mRNA expression and different clinicopathologic features in NSCLC patients via the TCGA database. We downloaded 586 ADC cases and 511 SCC cases, from the cBioPortal platform, and first compared the expression differences of FOXM1 mRNA in ADC and SCC patients. FOXM1 mRNA was more highly expressed in SCC patients than in ADC patients (P<0.0001, Figure 2). We then analyzed the relationship between FOXM1 mRNA expression and other clinical variables in ADC and SCC. Results showed that high expression of FOXM1 mRNA was correlated with higher N stage (P=0.02), advanced TNM stage (P=0.002), younger age at diagnosis (P=0.002), male patients (P=0.002) and longer smoking history (P=0.007) for ADC patients, but not with the T (P=0.3) or M stage (P=0.05) (Figure 3 and Table 2). However, in SCC patients, we observed that high expression of FOXM1 mRNA was only correlated with higher N stage (P=0.005), younger age at time of diagnosis (P=0.002) and male patients (P=0.009), but not with T stage (P=0.95), M stage (P=0.38), TNM stage (P=0.867) or smoking history (P=0.728) (Figure 4 and Table 2).

**Prognostic value of increased FOXM1 mRNA expression in ADC and SCC patients**

Because FOXM1 mRNA expression was significantly different between ADC and SCC patients, we examined whether this difference would lead to a difference in prognosis for patients of the two subtypes. To this end, we further explored the association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and patient prognosis in ADC and SCC patients. We used the Kaplan-Meier method to analyze the TCGA-ADC and TCGA-SCC survival data for OS and RFS. Results showed that ADC patients with high expression of FOXM1 mRNA had shorter OS (P=0.007, Figure 5A), but there was no statistical difference for RFS (P=0.12, Figure 5B). Surprisingly, we did not find any statistical differences for high or low FOXM1 mRNA in OS or RFS in SCC patients (P=0.433 and 0.551, respectively, Figure 5C,D).

To investigate the independent prognostic value of FOXM1 mRNA expression in ADC patients, we further conducted univariate and multivariate analyses of OS via TCGA data mining. Results demonstrated that, after adjustment for T stage, N stage, M stage and TNM stage, high FOXM1 mRNA expression was an independent prognostic factor for poor OS (P=0.012, HR, 1.574; 95% CI, 1.107 to 2.239, Table 3).

**FOXM1 mRNA expression is positively correlated with FOXM1 CNAs, but negatively correlated with DNA methylation**

To investigate the possible mechanism responsible for the enhanced expression of FOXM1 mRNA in lung
cancer, we analyzed the association between its mRNA expression and CNAs as well as DNA methylation by using the TCGA-ADC and TCGA-SCC data. There were 516 cases containing CNA data in ADC patients, and among these cases, 142 (27.6%) cases had FOXM1 copy number amplification (+1/+2). In SCC patients, there were 501 cases containing CNA data, including 259 (51.7%) cases with FOXM1 copy number amplification (+1/+2). We further calculated the association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and its CNAs. Findings showed that expression of FOXM1 mRNA was significantly elevated in the DNA copy number amplification group as compared to the normal DNA copy number group in both ADC and SCC patients (P<0.001, Figure 6A,B). Regression analysis confirmed a moderately positive correlation between FOXM1 mRNA expression and its CNAs (Spearman's r=0.4 and 0.5 for ADC and SCC patients, respectively). We further examined the association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and DNA methylation. Results showed that compared with the unmethylated group, FOXM1 had lower mRNA expression in the methylated group (P=0.002 and P<0.0001 in ADC and SCC patients, respectively, Figure 7A,B). Moreover, regression analysis showed a weakly negative correlation between FOXM1 mRNA expression and DNA methylation (Pearson's r=−0.2 and −0.3 in ADC and SCC patients, respectively, Figure 7C,D).

**Predicted functions and pathway enrichment analysis of the frequently altered neighboring genes with FOXM1 in ADC patients**

Due to our results showed that high expression of FOXM1 mRNA is an independent prognostic indicator of OS in ADC patients, but not SCC patients, we predicted functions and pathways enrichment analysis to explore the possible regulatory mechanism of FOXM1 in ADC patients. A
network for the top 50 altered neighboring genes with FOXM1 was constructed by the cBioPortal database to explain the mechanism of elevated FOXM1 mRNA expression resulting in worse OS in ADC patients. FOXM1 was closely associated with CCNE1, MYC, CKS1B, SKP2 and CDKN2A (Figure 8). Further GO functions and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of these genes were performed using the DAVID online analysis tool. GO (Biological process) enrichment analysis showed that regulation of the cell cycle, involving G2/M and G1/S transitions, were associated with the FOXM1 alterations in ADC (Figure 9A). KEGG enrichment analysis found that there were 18 pathways related to the FOXM1 alterations in ADC (Figure 9B). Among these pathways, cell cycle, pathways in cancer, small cell lung cancer, NSCLC, p53 signaling pathway, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway and TGF-beta signaling pathway were related with tumorigenesis and progression of ADC.

**Discussion**

In our study, we used Oncomine database analysis to confirm that FOXM1 mRNA expression is increased in lung cancer. In particular, FOXM1 mRNA expression in SCC is distinctly higher than in ADC, especially in Bhattacharjee’s study, which showed that the fold change was 44-fold increased in SCC, but only 3-fold increased in ADC. Moreover, we further show using the TCGA database, which has larger sample size, that FOXM1 mRNA expression is higher in SCC than in ADC.

Due to differences in the expression of FOXM1 at the mRNA level in ADC and SCC, we speculate that

Table 1 Fourteen studies displayed FOXM1 mRNA was significantly elevated expression in lung cancer tissues comparing to normal tissues by Oncomine database analysis

| Study name   | Cancer type                  | Normal, n | Cancer, n | Total, n | Fold change | P value   |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| Hou Lung     | Squamous cell lung carcinoma| 65        | 27        | 92       | 9.019       | 7.67E-30  |
| Hou Lung     | Lung adenocarcinoma          | 65        | 45        | 110      | 3.768       | 1.05E-13  |
| Hou Lung     | Large cell lung carcinoma    | 65        | 19        | 84       | 8.045       | 3.23E-07  |
| Bhattacharjee Lung | Squamous cell lung carcinoma | 17      | 21        | 38       | 44.293      | 5.53E-09  |
| Bhattacharjee Lung | Small cell lung carcinoma   | 17        | 6         | 23       | 15.129      | 2.63E-06  |
| Bhattacharjee Lung | Lung adenocarcinoma          | 17        | 132       | 149      | 3.257       | 4.00E-03  |
| Garber Lung  | Small cell lung carcinoma    | 6         | 4         | 10       | 5.209       | 2.58E-05  |
| Garber Lung  | Squamous cell lung carcinoma| 6         | 13        | 19       | 4.105       | 2.73E-06  |
| Garber Lung  | Lung adenocarcinoma          | 6         | 40        | 46       | 3.339       | 3.83E-06  |
| Garber Lung  | Large cell lung carcinoma    | 6         | 4         | 10       | 7.252       | 6.40E-04  |
| Su Lung      | Lung adenocarcinoma          | 30        | 27        | 57       | 4.025       | 2.05E-09  |
| Beer Lung    | Lung adenocarcinoma          | 10        | 86        | 96       | 26.543      | 2.07E-07  |
| Stearman Lung| Lung adenocarcinoma          | 19        | 20        | 39       | 4.725       | 1.01E-07  |
| Wachi Lung   | Squamous cell lung carcinoma| 5         | 5         | 10       | 3.167       | 3.00E-03  |
this difference may exert different regulatory effects on cancer cell behavior and may have different prognostic value in these two subtypes. First, we observed differences in FOXM1 mRNA expression and clinicopathological variables in ADC and SCC patients. In ADC patients, FOXM1 mRNA expression is correlated with N stage, TNM stage, age, gender and smoking history. However, in SCC patients, we observed that FOXM1 mRNA expression is only related to N stage, age and sex. Secondly, we further analyzed the association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and prognosis in ADC and SCC. Interestingly, although we found that FOXM1 mRNA is more highly expressed in SCC, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis did not find an association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and SCC patients for OS and RFS. However, our findings show that high expression of FOXM1 mRNA leads to worse OS in ADC patients, in whom we show, through multivariate analysis, that high expression of FOXM1 mRNA is an independent indicator of OS in ADC patients.

ADC and SCC have different features, such as location, cells of origin, pathology, oncogenic drivers, and molecular markers (20). Studies have reported that the two subtypes also have different prognoses. Han et al. suggested that SOX30 is a favorable and independent prognostic indicator in patients of ADC, but not in patients of SCC (21), and in another study showed that SOX30 binds to CTNNB1 to inhibit the Wnt/CTNNB1 signaling pathway, thereby suppressing tumor metastasis in early ADC, whereas in SCC, it does not bind to CTNNB1 and has no effect in SCC, which might be a reason result for the difference of
prognosis in ADC and SCC patients (22). The results are similar to our study, which show that SCC consistently expresses a higher mRNA level of FOXM1 than ADC, but Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated that FOXM1 is a marker for poor OS in ADC patients, but not SCC patients. Therefore, we speculated that FOXM1 might have a similar regulatory network and mechanism to SOX30, which is worth further validating.

Both genetic and epigenetic alterations, such as CNAs and DNA methylation, can influence gene expression and modulate cancer cell behavior (23,24). We demonstrate a moderately positive and weakly negative association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and CNAs and DNA methylation, respectively. Findings suggest that FOXM1 CNA might be the main mechanism influencing its elevated mRNA expression in ADC and SCC patients. We also found that FOXM1 has a higher amplification ratio in SCC patients than in ADC patients (51.7% vs. 27.6%). This result is consistent with our result that FOXM1 mRNA expression is higher in SCC, which further supports the positive regulation of FOXM1 gene copy number on FOXM1 mRNA expression. However, in some samples with increased FOXM1 mRNA expression, there is no copy number amplification or lack of DNA methylation which indicates that other mechanisms are responsible for its upregulation. Recently, studies have focused on the correlation between mRNA expression and miRNA regulation. Ke et al. reported that miR-149 inhibited expression of FOXM1, thereby restoring epithelial-mesenchymal transition [EMT in NSCLC cells (25)]. Ma et al. also demonstrated that miR-509-5p exerts tumor-suppressive effects by attenuating FOXM1 in NSCLC (26). Therefore, down-regulation of miRNA may also be one of the reasons for up-regulation of FOXM1 in ADC and SCC.

To clarify the possible mechanism of FOXM1 mRNA high expression attributed to worse OS in ADC patients, we conducted a predictive network of the frequently altered neighboring genes with FOXM1 in ADC. Results showed that FOXM1 is associated with expression of MYC, SKP2 and c-MYC in gastric cancer cells (27). Therefore, we hypothesize that elevated FOXM1 mRNA expression in ADC leads to upregulation of MYC and SKP2, thereby

| Table 2 Association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and the clinicopathological variables in ADC and SCC patients |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Variables                  | Classification | ADC (FOXM1 mRNA expression) | | SCC (FOXM1 mRNA expression) | |
| | Samples | Mean | 95% CI | P value | Samples | Mean | 95% CI | P value |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Gender               | Female         | 277         | 0.1073   | [0.1421, 0.6337] | 0.002*     | 130       | 0.4063   | [0.1153, 0.8141] | 0.009*     |
|                      | Male           | 240         | 0.4952   |                   |             | 371       | 0.8709   |                   |             |
| Age                  | ≤60            | 157         | 0.5645   | [−0.6909, −0.1551] | 0.002*     | 108       | 1.159    | [−0.9082, −0.216] | 0.002*     |
|                      | >60            | 341         | 0.1416   |                   |             | 384       | 0.5964   |                   |             |
| T stage              | T1-T2          | 448         | 0.2639   | [−0.1761, 0.566]  | 0.302      | 407       | 0.7482   | [−0.3835, 0.4066] | 0.954      |
|                      | T3-T4          | 66          | 0.4588   |                   |             | 94        | 0.7597   |                   |             |
| N stage              | N0             | 333         | 0.1992   | [0.4431, 0.5729]  | 0.022*     | 319       | 0.5911   | [0.1461, 0.7914] | 0.005*     |
|                      | N1             | 172         | 0.5078   |                   |             | 176       | 1.06     |                   |             |
| M stage              | M0             | 347         | 0.2812   | [−0.0026, 0.184]  | 0.051      | 411       | 0.7702   | [−1.963, 0.7462] | 0.378      |
|                      | M1             | 25          | 0.8717   |                   |             | 7         | 0.162    |                   |             |
| TNM Stage            | I-II           | 399         | 0.1958   | [0.1678, 0.7708]  | 0.002*     | 406       | 0.7481   | [−0.4341, 0.3659] | 0.867      |
|                      | III-IV         | 110         | 0.6651   |                   |             | 91        | 0.714    |                   |             |
| Smoking history      | 1              | 76          | −0.1133  | [0.1322, 0.8301]  | 0.007*     | 18        | 0.8982   | [−0.9831, 0.6873] | 0.728      |
|                      | 2/3/4/5        | 427         | 0.3678   |                   |             | 471       | 0.7503   |                   |             |

Student's t-test was used for statistical analysis. CI, confidence interval; T, the anatomical size of the primary tumors; N, the extent of lymph node involvement; M, the presence or absence of metastasis; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis; ADC, lung adenocarcinoma; SCC, lung squamous cell carcinoma. *, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
promoting tumor cell proliferation. In addition, CCNE1 involved in the state change of FOXM1. One study indicated that Cyclin E binds to CDK2, then leads to phosphorylation of retinoblastoma-1 (Rb-1) or other substrates, which regulates cell cycle G1-S phase transition (28). Furthermore, another study has revealed that Cyclin E overexpression associated with poor prognosis in NSCLC (29). Whether CCNE1 also directly binds to and phosphorylates FOXM1, thus exerting carcinogenic effects to contribute to poor OS of ADC patients deserves further investigation. Furthermore, Hayashi et al. determined that cyclin E expression is lower in ADC than in SCC (30). This finding supports our hypothesis because we also found that FOXM1 has lower expression in ADC than in SCC. In addition, our results showed that FOXM1 and CDKN2A maybe be the same complex and interact with each other. Study indicated that CDKN2A is one of the transcriptional targets of FOXM1, which is also a critical gene for the NF-kB and JNK pathways. FOXM1 can induce its expression and then involve in Kras\textsuperscript{G12D}-mediated lung tumorigenesis (31). Moreover, transgenic overexpression of activated Kras\textsuperscript{G12D} in mouse respiratory epithelium can induce lung ADCs (32). These reports fully demonstrate that high FOXM1 mRNA expression may contribute to poor OS in ADC patients by acting on these key upstream and downstream molecules.

GO function enrichment analysis showed that the altered neighboring genes with FOXM1 in ADC are mainly involved in regulating the cell cycle, including G2/M transition and G1/S transition. KEGG enrichment analysis found that FOXM1 could mediate the p53, PI3K-Akt and TGF-beta signaling pathways. These are common biological processes and pathways that have been identified to be

**Figure 4** Correlation between FOXM1 mRNA expression and clinicopathological parameters in SCC. FOXM1 mRNA expression was related to N stage, age at diagnosis and gender, but not with T, M or TNM stage, or smoking history in SCC patients. T, the anatomical size of the primary tumors; N, the extent of lymph node involvement; M, the presence or absence of metastasis; SCC, lung squamous cell carcinoma.
Figure 5 Prognostic value of FOXM1 mRNA expression in ADC and SCC patients. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis found that high FOXM1 mRNA expression was correlated with poor OS (A), but not correlated with RFS (B) in ADC patients. FOXM1 mRNA expression was not correlated with OS (C) or RFS (D) in SCC patients. OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; ADC, lung adenocarcinoma; SCC, lung squamous cell carcinoma.

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of OS in ADC patients

| Variables          | Classification | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                    |                | P value | HR     | 95% CI    | P value | HR     | 95% CI    |
| Age                | >60 vs. ≤60    | 0.363   | 1.160 | [0.843, 1.596] |          |        |          |
| Gender             | Female vs. male| 0.715   | 1.055 | [0.790, 1.410] |          |        |          |
| Smoking history    | 2/3/4/5 vs. 1 | 0.722   | 0.928 | [0.615, 1.401] |          |        |          |
| T Stage            | T3-T4 vs. T1-T2| 0.000* | 2.430 | [1.666, 3.543] | 0.004*  | 1.971 | [1.235, 3.146] |
| N Stage            | N1 vs. N0      | 0.000* | 2.592 | [1.931, 3.480] | 0.000*  | 2.044 | [1.380, 3.025] |
| M Stage            | M1 vs. M0      | 0.005* | 2.176 | [1.271, 3.727] | 0.319   | 1.392 | [0.727, 2.667] |
| TNM Stage          | III/IV vs. I/II| 0.000* | 2.267 | [1.961, 3.640] | 0.257   | 1.331 | [0.812, 2.180] |
| FOXM1 expression   | High vs. Low   | 0.004* | 1.537 | [1.146, 2.062] | 0.012*  | 1.574 | [1.107, 2.239] |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; T, the anatomical size of the primary tumors; N, the extent of lymph node involvement; M, the presence or absence of metastasis; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis; ADC, lung adenocarcinoma; OS, overall survival. *, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Figure 6 Association between FOXM1 mRNA expression and its gene CNAs in ADC and SCC patients. FOXM1 mRNA expression was higher in the gene-amplified group in both ADC patients (A) and SCC patients (B). CNAs, copy number alterations; ADC, lung adenocarcinoma; SCC, lung squamous cell carcinoma.

Figure 7 Correlation between FOXM1 mRNA expression and its gene DNA methylation in ADC and SCC patients. FOXM1 mRNA expression was lower in the DNA-methylated group in ADC patients (A) and SCC patients (B). Regression analysis indicated there was a weakly negative correlation between FOXM1 mRNA expression and its gene DNA methylation in ADC patients (C) and SCC patients (D). ADC, lung adenocarcinoma; SCC, lung squamous cell carcinoma.
Figure 8 Predicted network for the top 50 altered neighboring genes with FOXM1 in ADC by the cBioPortal database. ADC, lung adenocarcinoma.

Figure 9 GO-BP functions and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses for the significantly altered neighboring genes with FOXM1 in ADC, analyzed by DAVID. GO-BP, Gene Ontology-biological process; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; ADC, lung adenocarcinoma.
closely related to tumorigenesis and progression (33). In our study of FOXM1 mRNA expression, the association between its expression and clinicopathologic parameters, as well as patient prognosis, the network of FOXM1 and its co-expressed genes, and the function and pathway enrichments were based on data mining of the Oncomine and TCGA databases. Further in vivo and in vitro experiments and clinical trials are required to verify our conclusions.

Conclusions
The present study demonstrates that although FOXM1 mRNA expression is higher in SCC than in ADC, it is associated with poor OS in patients with ADC, but not in patients with SCC. Therefore, FOXM1 might be a potential prognostic biomarker in ADC.
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