Resistance of cassava genotypes to *Vatiga manihotae* (Drake 1922) (Hemiptera: Tingidae)
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A B S T R A C T

*Vatiga manihotae* (Drake 1922) (Hemiptera: Tingidae) is an important cassava pest due to the great potential damage and the increasing population in recent years. However, few studies about bioecology and control of the cassava lace bug have been conducted and their results don't provide adequate control strategies. An alternative to maintain the population below economic injury levels is through the adoption of host plant resistance. To improve the understanding about the bioecology and find new sources of resistance in cassava, the biological parameters and demographics of *V. manihotae* on five cassava genotypes (Santa Helena, MECu 72, Col 22, Clone 02 and Clone 03) under controlled conditions (25±2°C, 14L/10D) were evaluated. Duration and viability of the stages, the period egg-adult, sex ratio, the pre-oviposition period, fecundity and longevity of male and female was determined, and a fertility life table was prepared. Insects fed on MECu 72 showed increased nymphal, egg-adult, and pre-oviposition periods and reduced female fecundity and longevity in comparison to the other genotypes. Demographic parameters (Ro, r, T, DT) showed a significant impact on the growth potential of *V. manihotae* fed on MECu 72 indicating that the populations would diminish over time. The combined effect of these parameters indicated that MECu 72 has resistance on *V. manihotae* specimens hindering their development.

Introduction

Cassava production plays an important socioeconomic role, with crops concentrated in areas belonging to small producers, that are characterized by the use of few inputs and low levels of technology. Additionally, it is used as raw material in many industrial processes (Carvalho and Fukuda, 2006).

An estimated 200 species of arthropods are associated with cassava, which in high populations can cause economic damage (Bellotti et al., 1999). Several species are specific to cassava and adapted in varying degrees to the natural biochemical defenses of the plant. In Southeastern Brazil, the cassava lace bugs *Vatiga manihotae* (Drake 1922) and *V. illudens* (Drake 1922) (Hemiptera: Tingidae) are important cassava pests and are worrying the productive sector of cassava due to the population increase and potential damage (Bellon et al., 2012; Pietrowski et al., 2010).

These species of *Vatiga* have shown preference to feed on cassava and both nymphs and adults can cause damage to the plants (Bellotti et al., 2002). The adults are grayish brown, measuring approximately 3 mm (Froeschner, 1993). The nymphs are whitish and approximately 0.65 to 2.3 mm long depending on the nymphal period (Wengrat et al., 2015). Initially the lace bug populate the undersides of basal and middle leaves of the cassava, but at high population it can infest the apical leaves, being favored by dry periods (Bellotti et al., 2012; Pietrowski et al., 2010). Lace bugs can cause damage by feeding on the cell protoplast from the leaf parenchyma, leaving chlorotic points, which can evolve to brown-reddish shades (Farias and Alves, 2004). These injuries decrease the photosynthetic index, cause defoliation and, in severe attack, the complete plant defoliation (Farias and Alves, 2004).
2004; Pietrowski et al., 2010). These damages may cause reduction up to 55% in root productivity (Fialho et al., 2009).

For these species of *Vatiga* there are a lack of knowledge about the bioecology and level of damage, which become difficult the establish strategies to control them (Farias and Alves, 2004; Oliveira et al., 2001b). The scarcity of chemical and biological products to control insect pests associated with cassava is one of the main problems faced by farmers. At the moment there is no chemical registered for *Vatiga* sp. in Brazil (Agrofit, 2018). However, few studies about bioecology and control of the cassava lace bug have been conducted and their results don't provide adequate control strategies. For management of cassava pests the strategies to control include mainly chemical and biological control and host plant resistance (HPR) (Bellotti et al., 2012). This last method of control may be a promising alternative to maintain population of lace bugs below economic injury levels, because of the ease of widespread use by farmers, allows other methods of control and is economically viable and environmentally friendly (Bellotti and Arias, 2001; Lara, 1991).

Some cassava genotypes have been showing resistance to certain species of pests, as is the case of MEcu 72 genotype (Bellotti et al., 2012) to *Aleurotrauchelus socialis* Bondar, 1923 (Bellotti and Arias, 2001; Carabáli et al., 2010a; 2010b), *Bemisia tabulcata* Bondar, 1923 (Barilli et al., 2019) and *B. tabaci* (Gennadius, 1889) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) (Omongo et al., 2012). These insects when fed on MEcu 72 genotype have shown an increase in the development period, increase in the nymphal mortality rates and decrease of nymphal size and adults fecundity. To *V. illudens* the MEcu 72 genotype showed deterrence for oviposition, however, there are no studies about the biological parameters of lace bugs on this genotype (Oliveira et al., 2016). To improve the understanding about the bioecology and find new sources of resistance in cassava, the biological parameters and the demographics of *V. manihotae* on five cassava genotypes under controlled conditions were evaluated.

**Material and methods**

**Plants**

We evaluated one widely cultivated genotype in the South-Central region of Brazil (Santa Helena), two landrace genotypes widely studied for resistance to pests (MEcu 72 and Col 22) and two materials from the breeding cassava program of Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura (Clone 02 and Clone 33). The Clone 02 is the crossing between Santa Helena and MEcu 72, and the Clone 33 is the crossing between Santa Helena and Col 22. The plants were cultivated in the experimental field of the Estação de Cultivo Protegido e Controle Biológico Professor Dr. Mário César Lopes, at Unioeste in Marechal Cândido Rondon, Paraná, Brazil (24°33’30”S 54°02’44”W). According to Koppen climate classification, the regional climate is classified as type Cfa, subtropical, with average annual rainfall of 1,700 mm and yearly average temperature between 22°C and 23°C (Caviglione et al., 2000).

To insect rearing, cassava cutting (30-15 cm) of Baianinha genotypes were vertically fixed in 4-L pots containing soil and 10% organic compost. The pots were watered twice a day. When there were eight fully developed leaves, the plants were transferred to a semi-acclimatized room (25±2°C, 14L/10D).

**Insect rearing**

Adults of *V. manihotae* were collected in a commercial agricultural area of cassava from the Baianinha genotype, from median and apical leaves, in the municipality of Marechal Cândido Rondon. In a stereomicroscope the adult were sexed, following the morphological parameters described by Drake (1922) and Froschehner (1993). Five couples per leaf were placed in four leaves per plant in ten plants of the Baianinha genotype. To hold the insects on the leaves we used leaf cages made with the fabric type voil (30 cm long x 25 cm width). The oviposition was allowed for 72 h, after which the couples were removed. The leaves were monitored daily until nymph hatching to use in the study.

**Biological parameters**

Nymphs from the laboratory rearing were individually transferred to plastic boxes (11x11x3.5cm) containing moistened filter paper and a leaf lobe from the different cassava genotypes testes. The leaves of each genotype were collected from plants grown at the experimental station in a field conditions without treatment against pests. In the laboratory, the leaves were sanitized for 30 minutes in a solution of 0.25% sodium hypochlorite, rinsed in distilled water and dried with absorbent paper. The leaves were inspected in a stereomicroscope for the presence of eggs of lace bugs that were removed. The base of each leaf lobe was covered with moistened cotton and lined with aluminum foil, in order to maintain the leaf turgidity. Fresh leaf lobes were renewed three to four times per week.

The nymphs were observed daily under a stereomicroscope, recording ecdysis and nymph mortality. With these observations were defined the number of instars, the duration and viability of each instar, the nymphal and the egg-adult period. About 40 nymphs was initially evaluated in each genotype, each individualized nymph in each plastic box was a repetition.

After emergence, adults were sexed and the sex ratio was obtained. Seven couples were formed per genotype and maintained as described for nymphs. To MEcu 72 genotype, it was not possible to evaluate the seven couples, as there was a high mortality in the nymphal stage.

Every two days, new leaf lobes were provided and the amount of eggs deposited on the old leaf lobe were counted. Longevity of male and female, the pre-oviposition period, and fecundity were recorded to each couple. Leaf lobes containing ovipositions were kept until nymphs hatching in Petri dishes with agar at 2% in the bottom, to determine the duration of the egg stage and its viability.

**Fertility life table**

Following Silveira Neto et al. (1976) and Krebs (1994), a fertility life table was prepared from the biological data collected. The net reproductive rate (*R₀*), mean generation time (*T*), intrinsic rate of increase (*rₘ*), and doubling time (*DT*) were calculated using the equations:

\[
R₀ = \sum l_i m_x \\
T = \frac{(\sum l_i m_x) / \sum l_i m_x} \frac{ln(2)}{r_m} \\
r_m = \frac{ln R₀}{T \cdot 0.4343} \\
DT = \frac{ln (2)}{r_m}
\]

where *x* is the age of the individual in days, *lᵢ* the specific survival and *mᵢ*, the specific fertility.
The adaptation index of *V. manihotae* to the different genotypes was calculated following the equation $IA = \frac{(SBL*FDA)}{(PDL)}$, where, $IA$ – index of adaptation, SBL- nymphal stage survival, FDA – adult fecundity, and PDL – period of nymphal development, adapted from Boregas et al. (2013).

Statistical analysis

The data obtained were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and for homoscedasticity through the Cochran test. When necessary, data was log10 transformed for standardization. Variables in accordance with normality and homoscedasticity were subjected to ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test to uneven sample sizes (HSD) ($p \leq 0.05$). When the variables did not meet the statistical assumptions, the Kruskal-Wallis test followed Dunn’s test were performed, using the software Statistica 7.0 (Statsoft Inc., 2004). The viability of the nymphal and egg-adult periods were tested through the $\chi^2$ test for k proportions.

Variables were evaluated through Principal Component Analyses (PCA) and the correlation between the arrays of variables was assessed by means of the Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity. The number of principal components was defined by means of the Broken-Stick criterion. With the PCA it was possible to determine the explanatory variables for each individual assessed (Hair et al., 2006).

The parameters of the fertility life table were estimated by Jackknife technique, and the averages were compared using PROC GLM (SAS Institute, 2002), as described by Maia et al. (2000).

### Results

#### Biological parameters

The average duration ($F_{4, 180} = 7.15, p = 0.001$) and viability ($H_{4, 100} = 28.03, p = 0.001$) of the incubation period was affected by the cassava genotypes in which the insects were fed (Tables 1 and 2). Lace bugs fed on Clone 33 showed the shortest incubation period with significant difference in relation to insects fed on the others genotypes. Eggs from females fed on MECu72 showed the highest viability than eggs from females fed on other genotypes, being 27.5% higher compared to Clone 02 (the lowest viability) (Table 2).

The duration of the first instar ($F_{4, 146} = 2.41, p = 0.050$), fifth instar ($F_{4, 118} = 6.40, p = 0.001$), nymphal ($F_{4, 118} = 14.12, p = 0.001$) and egg-adult periods ($F_{4, 118} = 21.37, p = 0.001$) showed significant differences according to the cassava genotype (Table 1). There was no difference in the duration of the second ($F_{4, 175} = 1.05, p = 0.381$), third ($F_{4, 146} = 0.63, p = 0.642$), and fourth instars ($F_{4, 129} = 2.46, p = 0.048$). The genotype MECu72 interfered in the duration of the 5th instar, increasing the nymphal period by approximately two days in comparison to other genotypes. Insects fed on MECu72 showed the longest duration of egg-adult period, approximately three days longer than insects fed on Clone 33 (the shortest duration).

The survival rate of all instars of *V. manihotae* ($F_{4, 134} = 3.88, p = 0.422$; $F_{4, 100} = 3.53, p = 0.473$; $F_{4, 100} = 1.38, p = 0.848$; $F_{4, 100} = 8.14, p = 0.087$; $F_{4, 129} = 7.84, p = 0.098$), as well as the nymphal ($H_{4, 134} = 9.03, p = 0.060$) and egg-adult periods ($\chi^2 = 2.05, d.f = 4, p = 0.726$) showed no significant differences (Table 2). Despite that, considering only the survival rate of the nymphal period, insects fed on MECu72 showed 32% lower survival rate than the insects raised on Clone 02.

### Table 1

| Genotype | MEcu 72 | Col 22 | S. Helena | Clone 02 | Clone 33 | CV (%) |
|----------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Eggs     | 10.3 ± 0.21 a (80) | 10.4 ± 0.12 a (251) | 10.3 ± 0.11 a (227) | 10.4 ± 0.13 a (351) | 9.6 ± 0.15 b (254) | 8.06  |
| 1st instar | 2.7 ± 0.12 a (38) | 2.3 ± 0.09 b (40) | 2.6 ± 0.08 ab (42) | 2.6 ± 0.09 ab (43) | 2.4 ± 0.09 ab (40) | 23.1  |
| 2nd instar* | 2.1 ± 0.13 ab (32) | 2.0 ± 0.09 ab (33) | 1.9 ± 0.10 ab (37) | 2.1 ± 0.07 ab (42) | 2.0 ± 0.06 (36) | 38.7  |
| 3rd instar* | 2.1 ± 0.16 ab (26) | 1.9 ± 0.10 (27) | 2.1 ± 0.10 (32) | 2.0 ± 0.10 (34) | 1.9 ± 0.08 (32) | 43.4  |
| 4th instar* | 3.0 ± 0.24 ab (20) | 2.8 ± 0.14 ab (26) | 2.5 ± 0.10 ab (27) | 2.5 ± 0.09 ab (33) | 2.4 ± 0.16 (28) | 29.4  |
| 5th instar* | 4.7 ± 0.27 ab (16) | 3.8 ± 0.17 b (23) | 3.6 ± 0.11 b (27) | 3.6 ± 0.11 b (32) | 3.6 ± 0.12 b (25) | 14.1  |
| Nymphal | 14.6 ± 0.35 ab (16) | 12.8 ± 0.17 b (23) | 12.7 ± 0.16 b (27) | 12.7 ± 0.17 b (32) | 12.4 ± 0.17 b (25) | 7.4   |
| Egg-Adult | 24.9 ± 0.35 ab | 23.2 ± 0.17 b | 23.0 ± 0.16 b | 23.1 ± 0.17 b | 22.0 ± 0.17 c | 4.13  |

*Original Data presented. For analysis they were transformed into log10. Averages followed by the same letter in the row do not differ by Tukey test ($p > 0.05$). Values in parentheses represent n. of insects or eggs.

### Table 2

| Genotype   | MEcu 72 | Col 22 | S. Helena | Clone 02 | Clone 33 |
|------------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Eggs       | 83.3 a  | 62.4 b  | 58.2 b    | 55.8 b   | 58.7 b   |
| 1st instar | 94.7 ab | 97.4    | 97.7      | 100.0    | 100.0    |
| 2nd instar | 88.9 ss | 86.9    | 88.1      | 97.7     | 90.0     |
| 3rd instar | 81.3 ss | 81.8    | 86.5      | 80.9     | 88.9     |
| 4th instar | 76.9 ss | 96.3    | 84.4      | 97.1     | 87.5     |
| 5th instar | 80.0 ss | 88.5    | 100.0     | 94.1     | 89.3     |
| Nymphal    | 42.1 ss | 59.0    | 62.8      | 74.4     | 62.5     |
| Egg-Adult  | 35.1 ss | 36.8    | 36.5      | 41.5     | 36.7     |

*Averages followed by the same letter in the row do not differ by Kruskal-Wallis ($p > 0.05$).*
The pre-oviposition period (Fsex=7.35, p=0.001), female longevity (FX=3.60, p=0.01) and fecundity (FX=16.77, p=0.001) showed significant differences according the genotype testes (Table 3). The male longevity was not significantly different among genotypes (FX=0.82, p=0.524). Females raised on MEcu 72 had the longest pre-oviposition period, about eight days more to begin the oviposition than on the other genotypes, and the shortest longevity, 44 days less than females raised on Col 22 (the highest female longevity). The female fecundity was the lowest on MEcu 72, showing 92% reduction of eggs laid when compared to those fed with Clone 02. Insects raised on the genotypes Santa Helena, Col 22, Clone 33 and Clone 02 showed no difference among themselves, with short pre-oviposition period and high longevity and fecundity. Insects fed on the Clone 33 yielded more females than males, whereas all the other clones showed increased male sex ratio.

Fertility life table

*Vatiga manihotae* raised on the five genotypes showed significant differences in Ro, T, and DT (Table 4). Specimens raised on MEcu 72 showed the lowest values of Ro, T, rmb, and DT in comparison to the other cassava genotypes. For the Ro, *V. manihotae* raised on MEcu 72 presented values 91% lower than specimens raised on the Clone 02. The T was approximately 18 days shorter for those specimens fed on MEcu 72 in comparison to the Clone 02. The rmb was about 52% less than insects fed on the Clone 33. The insects fed on MEcu 72 showed negative values for DT.

The pattern of oviposition of *V. manihotae* was strongly influenced by the cassava genotype (Figure 1). The females raised on MEcu 72 had no pronounced peak of oviposition, the average of eggs every two days were less than one, only on the 34th day after emergence the females oviposited three eggs. The oviposition period of females raised on MEcu 72 and Clone 33 was the same, about 70 days, however, on Clone 33 the females oviposited between 6 to 8 eggs every two days. The females raised on Clone 02, Col 22 and Santa Helena showed the longest oviposition period, about 100 days, with average of oviposition between 3 to 5 eggs every two days.

The survival rate of females was different according to the genotype (Figure 1). The mortality of females raised on MEcu 72 began at 16th day after emergence, on the 34th day 50% of the females died. On the Clone 02 and Clone 33, the mortality began at the 20th and 24th day after emergence, respectively, however, 50% of the females died at 80th day on Clone 02 and at 54th day on Clone 33. The females raised on the Col 22 the mortality began at the 42nd day and 50% of the females died at the 94th day. For females raised on Santa Helena mortality began at day 54, increasing rapidly and 50% of the females had died at day 76.

Principal component analysis (PCA)

According the multivariate analysis of the data, we verified the cluster of the genotypes in two groups (Figure 2). The first axis of PCA represented 74.55% of the variation. This first canonical axis (F1) can be represented by the viability of nymphs, fecundity, longevity of females and males. The insects raised on Santa Helena, Col 22, Clone 02, and Clone 33 presented these factors in greater numbers and were located between the positive scores of this axis (to the right of the figure). Whereas MEcu 72 showed lower value relative to the variables analyzed, being located between the negative scores of this first axis.

Index of adaptation

The index of adaptation (IA) changed according to the genotype tested (Table 5). An index below one was observed for MEcu 72, this being 96% lower when compared with the higher index, which was shown by Clone 02. In MEcu 72, *V. manihotae* showed inferior biological parameters which indicates that this genotype is not suitable for *V. manihotae* development. The index of adaptation on Col 22 and Clone 33 showed intermediate values, being 40 and 44%, respectively, smaller than the largest IA observed. In the genotype Santa Helena and Clone 02, the index of adaptation was higher, in these two materials the females showed higher fecundity, indicating that these genotypes favor the development of *V. manihotae*.

### Table 3

| Genotype  | Male Longevity | Female Longevity | Fecundity | Pre-oviposition | Sex ratio |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| MEcu 72   | 37.3 ± 23.34   | 36.6 ± 10.49     | 23.7 ± 17.77 | 13.2 ± 2.33     | 0.47      |
| Col 22    | 70.1 ± 13.40   | 80.4 ± 9.19      | 226.6 ± 27.84 | 6.6 ± 0.95      | 0.43      |
| S. Helena | 71.8 ± 12.35   | 76.4 ± 7.53      | 265.3 ± 45.84 | 6.0 ± 0.62      | 0.46      |
| Clone 02  | 77.9 ± 10.09   | 73.6 ± 13.27     | 297.7 ± 76.16 | 5.0 ± 0.68      | 0.37      |
| Clone 33  | 72.4 ± 14.46   | 48.3 ± 7.13      | 193.1 ± 35.79 | 6.6 ± 0.84      | 0.63      |

*(Original data presented. For analysis they were transformed into log10. Averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by Tukey test (p<0.05). Values in parentheses represent no. of insects)*

### Table 4

| Genotype  | Ro (♀/♀) | T (days) | rmb (♀/♀/day) | DT (days) |
|-----------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| MEcu 72   | 3.89 (-1.81 – 9.60) | 35.0 (29.2 – 40.9) | 0.044 (-0.012 – 0.01) | -0.34 (-65.99 – 65.31) |
| Col 22    | 36.05 (25.21 – 46.88) | 53.2 (43.4 – 63.1) | 0.067 (0.056 – 0.079) | 10.3 (8.53 – 12.02) |
| S. Helena | 45.15 (26.06 – 64.24) | 47.2 (41.2 – 53.2) | 0.080 (0.065 – 0.096) | 8.5 (6.90 – 10.16) |
| Clone 02  | 46.26 (17.30 – 75.22) | 52.7 (45.4 – 59.9) | 0.073 (0.064 – 0.082) | 9.4 (8.26 – 10.57) |
| Clone 33  | 45.02 (24.61 – 65.43) | 41.4 (35.7 – 47.1) | 0.092 (0.087 – 0.098) | 7.5 (7.05 – 7.95) |

*(Averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by the method of jackknife (p<0.05). Values in parentheses represent confidence interval (CI).)*
Discussion

Cassava shows several genes that confer resistance against insects, which can provide benefits such as to maintain the insect populations below the level of economic damage, to reduce yield losses and management costs, as well as may be exploited in integrated pest management (Bellotti et al., 1999). The mechanisms of cassava resistance to insects are polygenic and horizontal, and the genes that confer resistance are difficult to be transmitted to the progeny (Vendramim and Nishikawa, 2001). This type of resistance allows to control a wide spectrum of insects (Bellotti and Kawano, 1980). It is reported to MEcu 72 that present resistance against different species of whitefly, as B. tabaci biotype B (Omongo et al., 2012), A. socialis (Bellotti and Arias, 2001; Carabali et al., 2010a; 2010b) and B. tuberculata (Barilli et al., 2019), which resulted in decrease of nymphal survival rate and reduced fecundity and longevity of adults.

Insects of V. manihotae fed on MEcu 72 showed increase of nymphal, egg-adult, and pre-oviposition periods and reduced fecundity and longevity of female in comparison to the other genotypes. However, the adults parameters were the most influenced by MEcu 72, especially in females, that need more suitable food for their reproductive performance (Parra 2009). Females delayed their oviposition period, to indicate longer periods for oocytes maturation and copulation (Awmack and Leather, 2002; Krüger et al., 2008), possibly due to requirement of supplement their nutritional reserves in the nymphal period. In addition, the females started to die earliest resulting in lower longevity and fecundity on MEcu 72.
With the fertility life table, it was possible to estimate the effects of genotypes in the insect population. Insects raised on MECu 72 had great impact on growth the population, reflecting mainly on the doubling time. The DT showed negative value and large variance, indicating that the populations would diminish over time or take a long time (65.31 days) to doubling the population on this genotype. These results emphasize that MECu 72 is not favorable for the development of *V. manihotae*.

These results to insects raised on MECu 72 may be explained by the production of secondary compounds that interfere in the development and/or reproduction of the insects evidencing the presence of antibiosis (Bellotti and Arias, 2001; Carabali et al., 2010a; Pinto-Zeallos et al., 2016). The secondary compounds act as a defense mechanism, and their concentrations usually changes according to the abiotic and biotic conditions (Bray et al. 2000; Gazola et al. 2018b). Secondary compounds comprise a series of chemical substances that may make the plant unsuitable for the development of insect, interfering in their survival, growth, fecundity and fertility (Bellotti and Arias, 2001; Ibanez et al., 2012).

Among the secondary compounds, the hydrocyanic acid may be associated with resistance to pests. For lace bugs, Cosenza et al. (1981) observed that lace bug infestations on cassava genotypes were related to levels of cyanogenic compounds in the plants. Sweet varieties (hydrocyanic acid of less than 100 ppm) were more infested than the bitter varieties (hydrocyanic acid above 100 ppm) by lace bugs (Fialho et al., 2009; Oliveira et al., 2001a). However, different genotypes presenting the same content of hydrocyanic acid showed different level of infestations (Vieira et al., 2011). According Rheinheimer (2013) MECu 72 and Santa Helena presents similar concentration of cyanide. In this study, only MECu 72 showed negative interference on the nymphal development. Cosenza et al. (1981) observed that some sweet genotypes presented more interference on the development resulting in fewer number of adults. Thus, the cyanogenic compounds may more related on the infestation of lace bug than the development of these.

Other important secondary compounds related in cassava are rutin, cafeic, p-coumaric, ferulic and trace amounts of gallic acid (Calatayud et al., 1994; Gazola et al., 2018a). According Calatayud (2000) rutin plays an anti-nutritious and a phagodeterrent role affecting the development of the nymphs of *Phenacoccus manihoti* Matile-Ferrero (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). However, it is important to note that the rutin and other secondary compounds content varies according to the genotype and the conditions of cultivation, such as nitrogen fertilization (Gazola et al., 2018b). Polyphenol oxidases and peroxidases, other compounds associated with plant defense, are enzymes involved on the response of plants to attack of insects. The increase of these compounds in MECu 72 by *P. manihoti* infestation was higher in comparison to Santa Helena (Rheinheimer, 2013). This may indicate that the activity of these enzymes may reduce the nutritional quality of the host, resulting in decreased nutritional supply and consequent reduction of fecundity to *V. manihotae*. However, more studies about the composition and effects of the secondary compounds from these genotypes are needed.

Biological parameters of *V. manihotae* were similar on Santa Helena, Col 22, Clone 02 and Clone 33. In those four genotypes, greater viability of nymphs, fecundity, and longevity of males and females was observed, especially those fed with the genotypes Santa Helena and Clone 02. These genotypes effectively formed a distinct group of genotypes favorable to the development of *V. manihotae*, while MECu 72 was isolated in the group with characteristics less favorable to the development of this species.

All these impacts of the MECu 72 in the population of *V. manihotae* are expressed in the index of adaptation and the PCA. It is possible to point out that for this species, the genotype MECu 72 is unsuitable for the development. Rheinheimer (2013) and Barilli et al., (2019), using biological parameters such as duration of nymphs, adults’ longevity and fecundity, classified MECu 72 as resistant to *P. manihoti* and *B. tuberculata*. Also according Barilli et al. (2019), Santa Helena was resistant to *B. tuberculata* and Rheinheimer (2013) classified Santa Helena as moderately resistant to *P. manihoti*. In the present study, this genotype has been shown susceptible to *V. manihotae* providing adequate development of the insect. This may be related to the fact that *P. manihoti* feeds on sap, whereas *V. manihotae* feeds from protoplasm.

Thus, according to the results obtained by several authors and by the biological parameters obtained here we infer that MECu 72 shows be a possible source of resistance to *V. manihotae*, which could be used in cassava breeding programs. However, the genes that confer resistance to pests are polygenic (Bellotti and Kawano, 1980) and its transmission to other materials is difficult (Vendramim and Nishikawa, 2001), as occurred with the Clone 02, which is crossings with MECu 72, but do not show resistance to lace bugs. It is therefore recommended more crossings with this genotype with other productive materials, in order to combine resistance to *V. manihotae* and productivity.
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