Double resonance response of a superconducting quantum metamaterial: manifestation of non-classical states of photons

M. A. Iontsev\textsuperscript{1}, S. I. Mukhin\textsuperscript{1} and M. V. Fistul\textsuperscript{1,2,3}
\textsuperscript{1} Theoretical Physics and Quantum Technologies Department, National University of Science and Technology "MISIS", 119049 Moscow, Russia
\textsuperscript{2} Theoretische Physik III, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44801 Bochum, Germany
\textsuperscript{3} Russian Quantum Center, 143025 Moscow region, Russia

(Dated: January 5, 2018)

We report a theoretical study of ac response of superconducting quantum metamaterials (SQMs), i.e. an array of qubits (two-levels system) embedded in the low-dissipative resonator. By making use of a particular example of SQM, namely the array of charge qubits capacitively coupled to the resonator, we obtain a second-order phase transition between an incoherent (the high-temperature phase) and coherent (the low-temperatures phase) states of photons. This phase transition in many aspects resembles the paramagnetic-ferromagnetic phase transition. The critical temperature of the phase transition, $T^*$, is determined by the energy splitting of two-level systems $\delta$, number of qubits in the array $N$, and the strength of the interaction $\eta$ between qubits and photons in the cavity. We obtain that the photon states manifest themselves by resonant drops in the frequency dependent transmission $D(\omega)$ of electromagnetic waves propagating through a transmission line weakly coupled to the SQM. At high temperatures the $D(\omega)$ displays a single resonant drop, and at low temperatures a peculiar double resonance response has to be observed. The physical origin of such a resonant splitting is the quantum oscillations between two coherent states of photons of different polarizations.

PACS numbers: 42.50.-p, 74.81.Fa, 74.50.+r

I. INTRODUCTION

Great attention is devoted to a theoretical and experimental study of novel superconducting quantum metamaterials (SQMs)\textsuperscript{1}. The SQMs consist of an array of superconducting qubits, e.g. charge qubits\textsuperscript{2}, flux qubits\textsuperscript{3}, transmons\textsuperscript{4} etc., embedded in low-dissipative resonator. Various macroscopic quantum coherent effects, such as coherent quantum oscillations between two states, microwave induced Rabi oscillations, Ramsey fringes, just to name a few, have been observed in the SQMs. Moreover, since a strong long-range interaction between qubits is provided by exchange of resonators photons, one can expect a strong variation of the energy spectrum of the SQMs with respect to a set of non-interacting qubits, and therefore, various collective coherent quantum effects in the SQMs. Indeed, in the Ref\textsuperscript{2} instead of a large amount of small different splittings, a single giant splitting has been observed in the spectrum of the SQM, and this effect indicates the presence of collective quantum beatings in the SQM.

The various quantum mechanical phenomena manifest themselves by resonant drops in the frequency dependent transmission coefficient $D(\omega)$ of electromagnetic waves propagating through the transmission line coupled (inductively or capacitively) to the SQM\textsuperscript{5,6}. Such measurement setup is presented schematically in Fig. 1. The theoretical analysis allowing one to express the transmission coefficient $D(\omega)$ in terms of the quantum-mechanical time-dependent correlation function of system of qubits, has been done in Ref\textsuperscript{13}.

On the other hand, the interaction of photons with an array of qubits results not only in the change of qubits spectrum but also it can lead to appearance of novel photon states in the SQMs. Indeed, it was predicted for the chain of small Josephson junctions\textsuperscript{14} and later for the chain of SQUIDS biased in the macroscopic quantum regime, i.e. flux qubits\textsuperscript{15} that at low temperatures the coherent state of photons occurs. Moreover, the second-order phase transition between the incoherent state of photons (the high temperature phase) and the coherent state of photons (the low-temperature phase) has been established\textsuperscript{16}. However, the physical properties of low-temperature phase have not been studied yet, and the analysis of the transmission wave coefficient $D(\omega)$ for different photonic states has not been carried out.

Therefore, in this Article by making use of a specific SQM, i.e. an array of charge qubits capacitively coupled to the resonator (see, Fig. 1), we provide a complete analysis of both the phase transition and physical properties of the photonic states in the low-temperature phase. By making use of a generic study of the transmission coefficient $D(\omega)$ we show that the low temperature phase of photonic states manifests itself by a peculiar double resonance response. This phenomena has an origin in the quantum beating between the coherent states of photons of different polarizations.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section II we present a particular model, elaborate the Hamiltonian and the effective action of the SQM. In the Sec. III we analyze in detail the phase transition in the photonic states. Especially, we will discuss the properties of the coherent low-temperature phase. In Sec. IV we apply the generic analysis in order to obtain the transmission coefficient $D(\omega)$ for different photonic states in the SQMs.
The Josephson phase, Josephson junctions is described by the time dependent
equations of motion, where the resonator
is characterized by the time and coordinate dependent
the classical equation of motion, where the resonator
The explicit expression for the Lagrangian is derived from

where $E_J$ is the Josephson coupling energy, $\omega_p$ is the
plasma frequency of the Josephson junction, and $V_i$ are
the tunable gate voltages allowing one to control the

The Lagrangian of the array of N small Josephson junctions

$\tilde{L}_{\text{ph}} = \frac{m}{2}(\dot{\phi}_i^2 - \omega_0^2 Q_i^2)$,

Next, we greatly simplify a whole problem by tak-
ing into account the interaction of arrays of qubits with

The Hamiltonian of the array of charge qubits, $\hat{H}_{\text{int}}$, is written as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \eta Q(t) \sum_i \hat{a}_z^{(i)}$$

where $\eta = \frac{\hbar}{2 e \omega_p}$.
where \( \eta = \frac{\hbar^2 \omega}{2 k_B T} \), and \( \sigma_z, \sigma_y \) are the corresponding Pauli matrices. In adiabatic regime as the self-frequency of the resonator \( \omega_0 \) is less than \( \delta / \hbar \) we obtain the adiabatic energy levels: \( E_{1,2} = \pm \sqrt{\delta^2 + (\eta Q)^2} \) of a single qubit interacting with electromagnetic field of the resonator. By making use of the procedure elaborated in Ref.\(^{12}\) we trace the expression of the partition function \( Z \) over the variables, \( \varphi_i \), and obtain the effective nonlinear Lagrangian which depends on the photon variable \( Q \), only:

\[
Z = \int D[Q] \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^{k_B T} d\tau L_{\text{eff}}[Q(\tau)] \right\}
\]

Thus, one can see that the interaction of photons with the array of qubits results in the effective nonlinear interaction between photons.

### III. PHASE TRANSITION IN STATES OF PHOTONS

The photonic states stabilized in the SQMs are essentially determined by the type of the effective \( Q \)-dependent potential

\[
U(Q) = \frac{m}{2} \omega_0^2 Q^2 - k_B T N \ln \cosh \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2 + (\eta Q)^2}}{2 k_B T} \right].
\] (11)

The potential changes its form at the transition temperature \( T^* = \frac{\delta (k_B T \ln[(1 + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha)])^{-1}}{2 m \omega_0^2} \). At high temperatures, i.e. \( T > T^* \), the \( U(Q) \) has a single minimum at \( Q = 0 \) (see Fig. 2a, blue line). In this case the photon state is the incoherent one, and the interaction between photons results in a decrease of the frequency of photons to, \( \omega_1 = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \tanh \frac{\delta}{2 k_B T}} \). For the incoherent state of photons the Kerr type of nonlinearity, \( KQ^4/4 \), occurs in the SQM. The Kerr constant \( K \) has a following form:

\[
K(T) = \frac{N}{\hbar^3} 4 \delta^3 \left[ \tanh(x) - \frac{x}{\cosh^2(x)} \right], \quad x = \delta/(2 k_B T).
\] (12)

The Kerr constant is rather small in the limit \( T \gg T^* \). The temperature dependence of \( K \) is shown in Fig. 2b.

However, at low temperatures \( T < T^* \) the effective potential \( U(Q) \) has two minima at \( Q_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{m \omega_0^2 / 4 \delta} \) separated by the maximum at \( Q = 0 \) (see Fig. 2a, red line). Each minimum corresponds to the coherent state of photons. These states are characterized by non-zero values of quantum-mechanical average of charge amplitude, \( \langle Q \rangle = Q_{\pm} \). Frequency of such photon field is also renormalized to, \( \omega_2 = 2 \omega_0 \sqrt{-1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \tanh \frac{\delta}{2 k_B T}} \). The temperature dependence of the photon frequency in a whole range of temperature is shown in Fig. 2c for different values of parameter \( \alpha \).

Moreover, these two coherent states of photons are degenerate states having the same energy but they differ by the polarization of electromagnetic field. The macroscopic quantum tunneling through the barrier (see, Fig. 3a) results in a small splitting \( \Delta \) between photonic states macroscopic energy levels. As a consequence the coherent quantum Rabi oscillations between these macroscopic quantum photon states with the frequency \( \omega_R = \Delta / \hbar \) can be established. The frequency of such oscillations is rather small, and it is obtained in the quasiclassical approximation as

\[
\omega_R = \Delta / \hbar = \omega_2 \exp \left[ -\frac{2}{3 \hbar} \sqrt{2 m \Delta Q_*^2} \right].
\] (13)

### IV. AC RESPONSE OF A QUANTUM METAMATERIAL

As it was shown in Ref.\(^{12}\) the quantum dynamics of SQMs is directly observed by measurements of electromagnetic wave (EW) propagation in the transmission line coupled to the SQM. Such measurement setup is shown in Fig. 1. Similarly, the different states of photons considered in the Sec. III manifest themselves in the frequency dependent transmission coefficient, \( D(\omega) \). Indeed, the EWs propagation in the transmission line is determined by the following equation:

\[
\frac{1}{c^2_0} \frac{\partial^2 q(y, t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial y^2} = \kappa \delta(y - y_0) Q(y_0, t),
\] (14)

where \( y \) is the coordinate along the transmission line, \( q(y, t) \) is the charge distribution in the EW, \( \kappa \) is the inductive coupling between the transmission line and the SQM. The Hamiltonian of the SQM interacting with the EWs in the transmission line is written as

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{SQM} - \kappa q(y_0, t) Q(y_0, t).
\] (15)

The right-hand part of Eq. (14) is determined by the quantum-mechanical average of \( Q(t) \), i.e.

\[
\langle Q(t) \rangle = \kappa \int_0^t ds \chi_{QQ}(t - s) q(s),
\]

where \( \chi_{QQ}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \langle [Q(t), Q(t)] \rangle > 0 \) is the imaginary part of the correlation function \( C(t) \). By making use of the Fourier transformation we arrive on the well-known problem: the propagation of EWs in the 1D channel in the presence of a single scatterer. Thus, we obtain the transmission coefficient as:

\[
D(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\kappa^2 3m \langle Q(t) \rangle}{\omega^2}}.
\] (16)
Therefore, the singularities of the $\chi_{QQ}(\omega)$ determine the resonant drops in the $D(\omega)$ dependence. In the high-temperature incoherent photon state the $\chi_{QQ}(\omega)$ is the response function of the harmonic oscillator of the frequency $\omega_1$. By making use of a standard analysis\textsuperscript{16} we obtain

$$
\chi_{QQ}^{\text{incoh}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2m\omega_1} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_1 - i\gamma},
$$

where $\gamma$ is the dissipation parameter. Therefore, the frequency dependence $D(\omega)$ shows a single resonant drop at the frequency $\omega_1$ (see Fig. 4, blue curve) as the incoherent state of photons occurs in the SQM.

The situation drastically changes for the low-temperature phase, where the photonic states have four low-lying coherent states with the energies, $E_{1,2} = \pm \Delta/2$, $E_{3,4} = \hbar \omega_2 \pm \Delta/2$. Moreover, the external EW can excite the transitions between different parity states, i.e. $E_1 \to E_2$, $E_1 \to E_4$, and $E_2 \to E_3$. By making use of the generic expression\textsuperscript{16}

$$
C(t) = \sum_n \rho_n \sum_m \exp\{i(E_n - E_m)t\} \langle m|Q|n \rangle^2,
$$

where $\rho_n$ is the equilibrium density matrix, $\langle m|Q|n \rangle$ are the matrix elements for the $Q$ operator, the quantum-mechanical correlation function of the low-temperature photonic state contains three resonant terms:

$$
\chi^{\text{coh}}(\omega) = \frac{Q^2}{\omega_R - \omega - i\gamma} + \frac{\hbar}{m\omega_2 + \omega_R - \omega - i\gamma} + \frac{\hbar}{m\omega_2 - \Omega_R - \omega - i\gamma}.
$$

It leads to a single drop at low frequencies $\simeq \omega_R$ and double-resonant drop around the photon frequency $\omega_2$ in the frequency dependence of the transmission coefficient $D(\omega)$ (see Fig. 4, red line). Therefore, such resonant structure of the frequency dependent transmission coefficient is a fingerprint of macroscopic quantum oscillations between two Bose-condensate of photons.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion we have studied the various equilibrium photonic states occurring in the SQM, i.e. an array of superconducting qubits embedded in the low-dissipative resonator. We considered the adiabatic non-resonant regime as the photon energy of resonator $\hbar \omega_0$ is much smaller than the energy splitting of qubits, $\delta$. In this regime we obtained the second-order phase transition in the states of photons. At high temperatures $T > T^*$ the incoherent state of photons can be realized. In this case the interaction between photons and qubits results in a substantial decrease of the photon frequency as the temperature becomes closer to the transition temperature $T^*$ (see Fig. 3c). Moreover, the temperature dependent Kerr type of nonlinearity having a quantum origin occurs in the SQM (see Fig. 3b). At low temperatures ($T < T^*$) the coherent states of photons with two different polarizations occur in such a SQM. The frequency of coherent
FIG. 3: The frequency dependent transmission coefficient $D(\omega)$ for the incoherent (blue curve) and coherent (red curve) photon states. The parameters $\omega_1 = 0.8\omega_0$ corresponding to the temperature $k_B T = 4\delta$, $\omega_2 = 2\omega_0$ ($k_B T = \delta$), $\omega_R = 0.1\omega_0$, and $\gamma = 0.03\omega_0$ were used.

Thus, the coherent quantum oscillations of frequency $\omega_R$ between coherent states of photons can be provided by quantum tunneling through the barrier (see Fig. 2a).

By making use of an analysis of the EW propagation in the transmission line coupled to the SQM (see setup in Fig. 1) we obtain that different photon states manifest themselves as resonant drops in the frequency dependent transmission coefficient $D(\omega)$ (see Fig. 4). The incoherent state of photons displays a single drop at $\omega_1$, but the coherent state of photons has to show three resonant drops: at small frequency $\omega_R$ and double-resonant drop at frequencies $\omega_2 \pm \omega_R$. A crucial condition to observe these features is a low dissipation in the SQM, i.e. $\gamma < \omega_R$. The observation of such resonant structure in the $D(\omega)$ dependence provides the direct evidence of macroscopic quantum oscillations between two photonic condensates.
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