Role of COX-2 in carcinogenesis of colorectal cancer and its relationship with tumor biological characteristics and patients’ prognosis

Ai-Wen Wu, Jin Gu, Jia-Fu Ji, Guang-Wei Xu

INTRODUCTION
Cyclooxygenase (COX) is one of the rate-limiting enzymes in metabolism of arachidonic acid that catalyzes the arachidonic acid into a series of products such as prostaglandins and other eicosanoids. It has two isoforms, COX-1 and COX-2. COX-2 acts as both superoxidase and peroxidase that can transform arachidonic acid into PGG2, then PGG2, COX-2 is inducibly expressed in many human tissues by cytokines, oncogenes and tumor promoters[4-6]. Recent clinical epidemiological studies have demonstrated the preventive effect of COX inhibitors[4-6]. Cellular and animal experimental studies indicated its relevance to tumor invasion, metastasis, cell apoptosis, cell cycle, and body immunity[7]. Carcinogenesis and development of colorectal cancer are multistep and multistage processes involving cumulative effects of many genes[8,9]. It would contribute to cancer prevention and treatment to illuminate the role of COX-2 in the carcinogenesis of colorectal cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Patients and tissues
A total of 170 patients underwent surgical treatment in School of Oncology, Peking University, from January 1993 to September 2001 were retrospectively studied. All the patients including 70 males and 69 females (M:F=1.01:1) with median age 59 years (22-89) received pathological examination. There were 4, 12, 4, 6, 21 and 85 cases of the cancer located in ileocecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexture, tranverse colon, splenic flexture, descending colon, sigmoid colon and rectum, respectively. As to the invasion depth, one case was in mucosa, 5 in submucosa, 29 in muscularis propria, 60 in serosa or adventitia, 40 beyond serosa or adventitia or in adjacent tissue. All the patients were followed up till October 2001. Another 19 cases of colorectal adenomas and 29 normal colorectal tissues concurrently resected were selected for study, including 8 tubular adenomas, 7 villous adenomas and 4 tubulovillous adenomas.

Tissue array preparation
Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues were subjected to routine sectioning of 3-5 µm thickness and HE staining. Two typical tumor spots were chosen under microscopy for each case and marked on the corresponding spot on the tissue block. Then cylindric tissue columns were punctured with tissue arrayer (Beecher Instruments, USA) in the marked area and transferred to corresponding receiver pore of the prepared block. The tissue array block was then completed according to the predetermined scheme. The block was heated at 40 °C for 15 min and the surface was flattened for subsequent section of 5 µm thickness[10].

Immunohistochemical staining
Two step immunohistochemical staining was used for COX-2 detection. Tissue sections were dewaxed in xylene for 30 min, rehydrated through graded alcohol to PBS, then immersed in
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3 % hydrogen peroxide at room temperature for 10 min to quench endogeneous peroxidase activity. After washed with PBS, the sections were subjected to antigen retrieval in boiling sodium citrate buffer (0.01M, pH 6.0) for 10 min (microwave 450W). After cooled at room temperature, and washed with PBS and distilled water sequentially, 100 µl of diluted anti-COX-2 murine antibody from Cayman Chemical, USA (1:200) was applied for each section. Then the slides were incubated overnight in a humidified chamber. After washed with PBS, each of the sections was incubated at 37 °C for 45 min with 100 µl of goat anti-mouse IgG from Zhongshan Biological Corp, Beijing, China. After washed with PBS again, the sections were subjected to sequential 3, 3-diaminobenzidine (DAB Kit, Zhongshan Biological Corp, Beijing, China) for immune complex visualization and then counterstained with haemotoxylin for 30 seconds. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded sections of human colon carcinoma with strong staining served as positive control whereas PBS instead of antibody as negative control. The COX-2 staining was independently reviewed by two immunohistochemistry experts. Microscopically, the slides with no staining in negative control and specific dark yellow staining of cytoplasm and neuclear membrane in positive control were eligible for further analysis. Semiquantitative scoring system was adopted according to the staining intensity: 0 for no staining, 1 for weak yellow, 2 for dark yellow and 3 for brown staining with granular distribution. The mean score was used for statistical analysis and the threshold for positivity was 2[11].

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS software, 10.0, USA. The relationship between COX-2 expression and categorical variables was compared with χ² test or Fisher two-sided exact test. Continuous variables were analyzed with t test and P<0.05 was considered significant. Cox proportional hazards model and Log rank test as well as Kaplan-Meier were used for multivariate analysis of prognostic factors and survival estimation[12].

RESULTS

Localization of COX-2 protein
Immunohistochemical assay demonstrated that COX-2 protein was located in the cytoplasm and nuclear membrane. The staining was weak yellow, dark yellow and brown at a low power field and diffuse or granular staining at a high power field under microscopy (Figures 1A-C).

Expression of COX-2 in colorectal tissues
A weak staining of COX-2 was observed in normal tissue with a positive rate of 24.1 % (7/29). COX-2 expression was relatively stronger in adenoma and the rate of positivity was 57.9 % (11/19) (Figure 1D). COX-2 expression was much stronger in the tumor cells with dark yellow or brown staining with occasional granular distributions. Some sections revealed gradual staining escalation from normal mucosa, adenoma to carcinoma. 84.9 % of the cancer tissues scored not lower than 2 were considered positive, which much higher than those in normal and adenomatous tissues (P<0.01).

Relationship between COX-2 expression and clinicopathological factors of colorectal cancer
The study failed to find the correlation between COX-2 expression in tumor and factors such as age and gender of the patients, tumor location, size, gross type, differentiation, invasion depth, vessel emboli, lymph node metastasis, haemotogenous metastasis and TNM staging (Table 1).

Prognostic factor analysis of colorectal cancer patients
The median follow-up period was 55 months for the whole group (1-106 months). Two cases were missed and the follow-up rate was 98.5 %. Two and five-year survival rates of the whole group were 79.9 % and 61.6 %, respectively. Eligible prognostic factors such as age and gender of the patients, tumor location, size, gross type, differentiation, invasion depth, vessel emboli, lymph node metastasis, COX-2 expression and TNM staging were introduced into the Cox proportional hazards model and analyzed using the backward:
walled. The results demonstrated that TNM staging and vessel emboli were independent prognostic factors while COX-2 expression did not affect the prognosis of colorectal cancer patients (Figure 2).

Table 1 Relationship between COX-2 expression and clinicopathological factors in colorectal cancer

| Item                        | Total | COX-2 positive | Positive rate (%) | χ² value | P value |
|-----------------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|----------|---------|
| Gender                      |       |                |                   |          |         |
| Male                        | 70    | 61             | 87.1              | 0.557    | 0.455   |
| Female                      | 69    | 57             | 82.6              |          |         |
| Tumor location              |       |                |                   |          |         |
| Colon                       | 54    | 46             | 85.1              | 0.006    | 0.939   |
| Rectum                      | 85    | 72             | 84.7              | 0.330    | 0.849   |
| Gross type                  |       |                |                   |          |         |
| Protruding or fungoid       | 59    | 50             | 84.7              | 0.100    | 0.993   |
| Ulcerative                  | 76    | 65             | 85.5              | 0.004    | 0.962   |
| Infiltrative                | 4     | 3              | 75.0              | 0.391    | 0.541   |
| Differentiation             |       |                |                   | 3.917    | 0.040   |
| Papillary                   | 3     | 3              | 100.0             |          |         |
| Well-differentiated         | 56    | 49             | 87.5              | 0.188    | 0.673   |
| Moderately-differentiated   | 52    | 42             | 80.0              | 0.188    | 0.673   |
| Poorly-differentiated       | 11    | 8              | 72.7              | 0.188    | 0.673   |
| Mucinous/ signet cell       | 17    | 16             | 94.1              | 0.188    | 0.673   |
| Invasion depth              |       |                |                   | 0.188    | 0.910   |
| Mucosa to muscularis propria|       |                |                   |          |         |
| Serosa or adventitia        | 60    | 51             | 85.0              | 0.965    | 0.324   |
| Exteraseso or extraadventitia| 44    | 38             | 86.4              | 0.965    | 0.324   |
| TNM staging                 |       |                |                   | 0.965    | 0.324   |
| Stage I                     | 32    | 26             | 81.3              | 0.965    | 0.324   |
| Stage II                    | 50    | 43             | 86.0              | 0.965    | 0.324   |
| Stage III                   | 44    | 37             | 84.1              | 0.965    | 0.324   |
| Stage IV                    | 13    | 12             | 92.3              | 0.965    | 0.324   |
| Vessel emboli               |       |                |                   | 0.003    | 0.955   |
| Yes                         | 39    | 33             | 84.6              | 0.003    | 0.955   |
| No                          | 100   | 85             | 85.0              | 0.003    | 0.955   |
| Lymph node metastasis       |       |                |                   | 0.234    | 0.628   |
| Yes                         | 53    | 44             | 83.0              | 0.234    | 0.628   |
| No                          | 86    | 74             | 86.0              | 0.234    | 0.628   |
| Haemotogenous metastasis    |       |                |                   | 1.108    | 0.292   |
| Yes                         | 40    | 32             | 80.0              | 1.108    | 0.292   |
| No                          | 86    | 74             | 86.0              | 1.108    | 0.292   |
| Age                         | 57.2±3.1 | 57.3±1.2      | 0.962             | 0.962    | 0.392   |
| Maximal diameter (cm)       | 4.3±0.3 | 4.6±0.9        | 0.599             | 4.3±0.3  | 4.6±0.9 |

*Refers to paired t test, others with χ² test or Fisher two side exact test; *Refers to that more than 25 % of the theoretical values were less than 5 and no statistical significance was reached even after the combination.

Figure 2 COX-2 expression and patients' survival (Kaplan-Meier curve).

DISCUSSION

Cumulative studies have demonstrated that COX-2 plays an important role in the carcinogenesis and development of many kinds of human cancers such as colorectal cancer[21,23], gastric cancer[14,15], lung cancer[16] and esophageal cancer[17]. Most of the colorectal cancers are derived from adenomas. Many genes are involved in the course from normal colorectal mucosa, adenoma to cancer such as APC, DCC, p53, etc.[9,18-23]. The rate of COX-2 expression increases with the course of cancer development. As identified in our study, we found that most normal tissues with negative or weak positive staining had a positive rate of 24.1 % (7/29), adenomatous tissues stained stronger had a positive rate of 57.9 % (11/19), while the positive rate in cancer reached 84.9 %. A statistically significant difference existed ($\chi^2$=46.997, $P<0.001$) among the three groups. In addition, some sections revealed a significant trend of gradual staining escalation from normal mucosa, adenoma to carcinoma. The present data indicated that COX-2 might be involved in early carcinogenesis, which was also supported by animal experiments. Oshima et al.[24] found that when the COX-2 gene in a familiar adenomatous polyposis mouse model Apc−/− was knocked out, the number of colon polyps decreased and the size reduced significantly. The same inhibitory effect was noted when the specific COX-2 inhibitor was used.[13,25]. As stated in the prospective study on 60 000 people, the relative risk factor for colorectal cancer was reduced to 0.60 when more than 16 tablets of aspirin, a non-specific COX-2 inhibitor, was taken daily[26]. This conclusion was verified by subsequently prospective randomized trials[9,16,23,27].

The characteristics of invasion and metastasis are acquired when carcinogenesis of epithelial cells in colon mucosa occurs. Thus cancer cells might invade through the mucosa, submucosa, muscularis propria and even the adventitia to the adjacent tissues. When blood and lymphatic vessels are invaded, metastasis might occur. Invasion and metastasis are also a multistage process[20] involving many genes including COX-2. Caco-2 cell line, when transfected with COX-2, acquires increased invasiveness. It was found that COX-2 overexpressing Caco-2 cells increased about 6 times in its ability of invasion and had more lateral extension. Furthermore, metalloproteinate-2 was activated and the expression of membrane-type metalloproteinate also increased 2.8 times than the control[20], indicating the involvement of COX-2 and its metabolites in tumor invasion[20]. Tomazawa et al.[28] injected mouse cell line colon-26 into the tail vein of VALA/C mice with simultaneous administration of JTE-52, a selective COX-2 inhibitor, into the abdominal cavity. The results showed that JTE-52 group with COX-2 overexpression had less lung metastasis than the control, but no difference was found in the group with low COX-2 expression. Therefore, COX-2 expression might be associated with metastasis of colorectal cancer, especially haematogenous metastasis.

Few clinical data are available on the relationship between COX-2 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of colorectal cancer. Thus we carried out this study in 170 colorectal cancer patients surgically treated in our institution with tissue microarray assay and tried to illustrate the relationship between COX-2 expression and clinicopathological factors of colorectal cancer. For certain reasons, only 139 cases could be analyzed and 84.9 % of the cases were positively stained according to our modified criteria of staining intensity, which combined the Masanaga’s criteria[29] with characteristics of tissue microarray technique. Among the 107 cases of advanced colorectal cancer, the expression rate of COX-2 was 86.1 %, 84.1 %, 92.3 % in stages II, III, and IV respectively. Even in stage I, COX-2 expression could reach 81.3 %. The data
indicated that COX-2 expressed high in cancer tissues. Further analysis showed that COX-2 protein expression increased with the invasion depth, its positive rate was 80%, 82.8%, 85% to 86.4% from submucosa, muscularis, serosa/adventitia to extraserosa/extraadventitia and adjacent tissues respectively, though it did not reach statistical significance (P=0.910).

Two pathways for colorectal cancer metastasis are the lymphatic and haemogenous pathways. Masunaga et al. [31] reported that there was a significant difference between the two groups with less or more than 3 metastatic lymph nodes based on their study in 100 patients. However, no statistical difference was found between the two groups with/without ≤3 lymph nodes metastasis in our study. It was inconsistent with the results of Masunaga et al. [31].

One hundred and twenty-two colorectal cancer patients had no haemogenous metastasis preoperatively. Forty cases of haemogenous metastasis were found at the end of follow-up, in which 32 COX-2 positive patients had carcinoma (32/107) while 8 out of 19 COX-2 negative patients had haemogenous metastasis. Still no correlation was found between COX-2 expression and haemogenous metastasis. Contrary to our results, Tomozawa et al. [32] from Japan demonstrated that COX-2 expression was correlated with haemogenous metastasis especially in the recurrence of colorectal cancer both in clinical studies and in animal experiments. High COX-2 expression group showed a higher rate of haemogenous metastasis. As an explanation, tumor invasion and metastasis are such a complex course that involves multiple genes. Thus, the role of one single gene should be carefully evaluated.

Few studies are available on COX-2’s role as a prognostic factor, and there are still different opinions [33,34]. Reports from Tomozawa et al. [32] indicated that COX-2 expression was the only significant prognostic factor while the traditional TNM staging did not reach statistical significance. Our study introduced 13 eligible prognostic factors such as age and gender of the patients, tumor location, size, gross type, differentiation, invasion depth, vessel emboli, lymph node metastasis, COX-2 expression and TNM staging into the analysis, and found that TNM staging and vessel emboli could serve as independent prognostic factors instead of COX-2 expression. This was consistent with the results from Masunaga et al. that also demonstrated that prognosis involved many factors and many genes, and expression of one single gene was not sufficient to determine the patients’ prognosis.

In conclusion, our study found that COX-2 protein expression increased gradually from normal tissue, adenoma to carcinoma and no association existed between COX-2 expression and clinicopathological factors as well as prognosis of colorectal cancer patients. Therefore, it is concluded that COX-2 may play an important role in the early stage of carcinogenesis. Though involvement of COX-2 has been established, further study is needed to clarify its role in the development of colorectal cancer.
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