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Abstract

In this note we are looking after nilpotent projective curves without embedded points, which have rational normal curves of degree $d$ as support, are defined (scheme-theoretically) by quadratic equations, have degree $2d$ and have only linear syzygies. We show that, as expected, no such curve does exist in $\mathbb{P}^d$, and then consider doublings in a bigger ambient space. The simplest and trivial example is that of a double line in the plane. We show that the only possibility is to take a certain doubling in the sense of Ferrand (cf [5]) of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}^d$ embedded further linearly in $\mathbb{P}^{2d}$. These double curves have the Hilbert polynomial $H(t) = 2dt + 1$, i.e. they are in the Hilbert scheme of the rational normal curves of degree $2d$. Thus, it turns out that they are natural generalizations of the double line in the plane as a degenerate conic. The simplest nontrivial example is the curve of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^4$, defined by the ideal $(xz - y^2, xu - yv, yu - zv, u^2, uv, v^2)$. The double rational normal curve allow the formulation of a Strong Castelnuovo Lemma in the sense of [6], for sets of points and double points. In the last section we mention some plethysm formulae for symmetric powers.

1 Introduction

The syzygies for Veronese or Segre embeddings are not known, with some simple exceptions. In very few cases they are ”pure” (cf. [2] for a list, in which the simple case of the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ is missing, or use [11] to produce the same list, as ”extremal rings of format 2”) and no other cases are given explicitly in the literature. Already beginning with the Veronese embeddings $v_d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ the syzygies are not linear, excepting some small $d$’s. It was shown in [4] that the first $3d - 3$ syzygies are
linear and in [10] that exactly at the step $3d - 2$ (if $d \geq 3$) they are failing to be linear. The case $d = 3$ is easy and already known (cf [2]), the resolution being pure. The simplest case when the syzygies are not pure is the Veronese embedding $v_d$ of $\mathbb{P}^2$ into $\mathbb{P}^d$, given by monomials of degree 4 (cf. Lemma 4). The syzygies of the rational normal line ($\mathbb{P}^1$ embedded via the Veronese morphism $v_d$ in $\mathbb{P}^d$) are linear and are well understood, being given by the Eagon-Northcot complex. The nilpotent curves which have a rational normal curve as support are far from having quadratic equations or linear syzygies, even when we consider "mild" nilpotency (locally Cohen-Macaulay or even complete intersections). In this paper we construct, for each $d$, a family of double structures on the normal rational curve of degree $d$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$, which have linear syzygies. More precisely, we consider the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^d$ given by $v_d$ composed with a linear embedding $\mathbb{P}^d \to \mathbb{P}^d$ and double conveniently this curve by the Ferrand’s method (cf. [5]).

In the last section, which strictly speaking does not interact with the rest of this note, one gives some "plethysm formulae" for symmetric powers, as consequences of minimal resolutions of Veronese embeddings.

2 Preliminaries

We fix an algebraically closed field $k$ and all our schemes are algebraic schemes over it.

Let $X$ be a subscheme in a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathcal{I}_X$ its sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$. By definition, the graded ideal of $X$ is $I_X = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{I}(\ell))$. To a minimal resolution of the graded ideal $I := I_X$, over the graded ring of polynomials $R = k[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$, let say of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leftarrow I & \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_1} b_{1j} R(-d_{1j}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_2} b_{2j} R(-d_{2j}) \leftarrow \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

written also:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leftarrow I & \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_1} b_{1j} R(-d_{1j}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_2} b_{2j} R(-d_{2j}) \leftarrow \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

one associates canonically a resolution of the sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_X$ of the type:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{I} & \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_1} b_{1j} \mathcal{O}(-d_{1j}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_2} b_{2j} \mathcal{O}(-d_{2j}) \leftarrow \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

which we shall call also minimal resolution of $\mathcal{I}$.

The corresponding resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X$:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X & \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_1} b_{1j} \mathcal{O}(-d_{1j}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_2} b_{2j} \mathcal{O}(-d_{2j}) \leftarrow \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

will be called a minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X$, although it does not corresponds in general to a minimal resolution of the associated graded $R$-module.
⊕H^0(𝒪(ℓ)). The 𝒪–homomorphisms in these resolutions correspond to matrices of homogeneous polynomials in $X_i$, whose degrees are determined by the numbers $d_{ij}$.

To a (minimal) resolution of a graded $R$–module $M$, let say of the form:

$$0 \leftarrow M \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_1} b_{0j} R(-d_{0j}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_2} b_{1j} R(-d_{1j}) \leftarrow \ldots$$

one associates the polynomial in two variables:

$$P_M(x,t) = \sum_{ij} b_{ij} t^{d_{ij}} x^i$$

which depends only on $M$ (cf. [7]) and contains the whole information as far as the “Betti numbers” $b_{ij}$ and the “shiftings” of degrees $d_{ij}$ are concerned.

We shall use also a notation for a minimal resolution considered in [7]:

$$0 \leftarrow M \leftarrow \bigoplus_j M_{0j}(M) \otimes R(-j) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \bigoplus_j M_{ij}(M) \otimes R(-j) \leftarrow$$

where $M_{ij}(M)$ are vector spaces, only finitely many different from 0.

The following lemma should be very well known, but we know no reference for it.

**Lemma 1** Let $X$ be a subscheme in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and consider $\mathbb{P}^n$ embedded linearly in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$. If $P_{X,n}(x,t) = \text{the polynomial associated to the minimal resolution of } O_X \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^n$, then the polynomial associated to the minimal resolution in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ is

$$P_{X,n+m}(x,t) = P_{X,n}(x,t)(1 + xt)^m$$

Proof. It is enough to prove this lemma for $m = 1$. Observe that a minimal resolution of the graded module $M$ over $R = k[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$:

$$0 \leftarrow M \leftarrow L_0 \leftarrow L_1 \leftarrow L_2 \leftarrow L_3 \leftarrow \ldots ,$$

where $L_i = \oplus_j R(-d_{ij})$, gives the following minimal resolution of $M$ as $R' = k[X_0, \ldots, X_{n+1}] = R[X_{n+1}]$–module:

$$0 \leftarrow M \leftarrow L'_0 \leftarrow L'_1 \leftarrow L'_2 \leftarrow \ldots ,$$

where $L'_i = \oplus_j R'(-d_{ij})$ and $X = \text{multiplication by } X_{n+1}$.

As it is very well known, the syzygies of the rational normal curve are given by an Eagon-Northcott complex. Let $X$ be the rational normal curve of
degree \(d\) in \(\mathbb{P}^d\), i.e. \(X = \) the image of \(\mathbb{P}^1\) under the Veronese embedding \(v_d\). Then one has the following minimal resolution (cf. e.g. [3], [7]):

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell-1) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell+1) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell+2) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell+3) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell+d) \leftarrow 0
\]

For the following considerations we shall need also the resolutions of all line bundles on \(X\). As \(X \cong \mathbb{P}^1\), \(Pic(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}\). We denote by \(\mathcal{T}\) a line bundle on \(X\) corresponding to the generator \(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{P}^1(1)\) of \(Pic(X)\). \(\mathcal{T}\) is not induced from any line bundle on \(\mathbb{P}^d\), but all powers \(\mathcal{T}^\ell\) are, namely \(\mathcal{T}^\ell = v_\ell^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(\ell))\).

For us it is sufficient to know the minimal resolution of \(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^2, \ldots, \mathcal{T}^{d-1}\), but the general case is equally easy.

**Lemma 2** If \(\mathcal{T}\) is a line bundle on the rational normal curve \(X\) in \(\mathbb{P}^d\), which corresponds to \(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{P}^1(1)\), then the minimal resolutions of \(\mathcal{T}^j\) in \(\mathbb{P}^d\) (\(j = 1, \ldots, d-2\)), are of the shape:

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{T}^j \leftarrow (j+1)\mathcal{O} \leftarrow j\left(\frac{d}{1}\right)\mathcal{O}(1) \leftarrow (j-1)\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \left(\frac{d}{j}\right)\mathcal{O}(j-2) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \left(\frac{d}{d}\right)\mathcal{O}(-d) \leftarrow 0
\]

and the resolution of \(\mathcal{T}^{d-1}\) is of the shape:

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{T}^{d-1} \leftarrow d\mathcal{O} \leftarrow (d-1)\left(\frac{d}{1}\right)\mathcal{O}(-1) \leftarrow (d-2)\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \left(\frac{d}{d-1}\right)\mathcal{O}(-d+1) \leftarrow 0
\]

Proof. Standard exercise. Observe that only for \(j = d-1\) all the syzygies are linear.

**3 Doubling rational normal curves of degree \(d\)**

We recall shortly the Ferrand’s method of doubling (cf. [3], [5], or [6]). Given a locally Cohen-Macaulay curve \(X\) embedded in a regular scheme \(\mathbb{P}\), let \(N_X\) be the conormal sheaf of \(X\) in \(\mathbb{P}\), i.e. \(N_X = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2\), where \(\mathcal{I}\) is the sheaf of ideals of \(X\) in \(\mathbb{P}\). Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be an invertible bundle on \(X\), \(\omega = \omega_X\) be the
dualizing sheaf of $X$, and $p: N_X \to \omega \otimes \mathcal{L}$ a surjective homomorphism. Then the kernel of $p$ will have the form $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_P$, which defines a scheme $Y$ having the support $X$, but with double multiplicity in every point of $X$. One has the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \to N_X \to \omega \otimes \mathcal{L} \to 0$$

which gives the exact sequences:

$$0 \to \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J} \to \omega \otimes \mathcal{L} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \omega \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \omega \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_Y^* \to \mathcal{O}_X^* \to 0.$$  

From the last exact sequence one obtains the exact sequence:

$$H^1(\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}) \to Pic(Y) \to Pic(X) \to H^2(\omega \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

The curve $Y$ is locally Gorenstein and one shows easily that its dualizing sheaf is

$$\omega_Y|_X \cong \mathcal{L}^{-1}.$$  

In the case when the curve $X$ is Gorenstein, any locally free sheaf of rank one can be written as $\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}$, with $\mathcal{L}$ conveniently chosen, so that a doubling of $X$ is given by a surjection $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \to \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}$ a locally free sheaf of rank 1. The above exact sequences are written then with $\mathcal{L}$ instead of $\omega \otimes \mathcal{L}$.

We recall that the normal bundle to the rational normal curve of degree $d \geq 3$, considered as a bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$, is

$$(d - 1)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d + 2) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d + 2)$$

**Lemma 3** *There is no double structure on the rational normal curve of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^d$ whose homogeneous ideal is generated by quadrics and has only linear syzygies.*

Proof. Suppose that such a double curve does exist. The case $d = 2$ being trivial, suppose $d \geq 3$. Let

$$p: \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \to \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r), \quad r \geq -d - 2$$

be the data defining it. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the sheaf of ideals of $Y$ in $\mathbb{P}^d$. It is easy to see from the exact sequence:

$$0 \to J \to \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{L}(t)) \to \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^1(\mathcal{J}(t)) \to 0$$


that the homogeneous ideal \( J \) of \( Y \) has depth(\( J \)) \( \geq 2 \), hence the minimal resolution of \( J \) has length at most \( d - 1 \):

\[
0 \leftarrow J \leftarrow b_1 \mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow b_2 \mathcal{O}(-3) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow b_{d-1} \mathcal{O}(-d) \leftarrow b_d \mathcal{O}(-d-1) \leftarrow 0
\]

It follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
h^1(J) &= h^d(b_d \mathcal{O}(-d-1)) = b_d \\
H^1(J(1)) &\cong H^d(b_d \mathcal{O}(-d)) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

The exact sequence:

\[
0 \to J \to I \to \mathcal{L} \to 0 \quad (∗)
\]

gives:

\[
\begin{align*}
b_d &= h^1(J) = h^0(L) \quad (∗∗) \\
0 &= h^1(J(1)) \cong H^0(L(1)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d + r)) \quad (∗∗∗)
\end{align*}
\]

(∗∗∗) shows that \( r < -d \) and (∗∗) gives then \( b_d = 0 \), i.e. \( Y \) is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay in \( \mathbb{P}^d \). The resolution of \( J \) shows that \( H^2(J) = 0 \). Then the exact sequence (∗) gives \( H^1(L) = 0 \), i.e. \( r \geq -1 \). So far we got \(-1 \leq r < -d \), contradiction. ■

4 Rational Normal Curves of Degree \( d \) Embedded in \( \mathbb{P}^{d+e} \)

Because of the result of the last section, it remains to look after doublings of rational normal curves lying in a linear subspace of the projective space.

To fix the notation, let \( X \) be the image of the embedding:

\[
\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Veronese}} \mathbb{P}^d \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathbb{P}^{d+e}.
\]

\( X \) is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay in \( \mathbb{P}^{d+e} \) and, according to Lemma 1, the polynomial associated to the minimal resolution of \( X \) in \( \mathbb{P}^{d+e} \) is the polynomial corresponding to the embedding of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) in \( \mathbb{P}^d \) multiplied with \((1 + tx)^e\).

**Theorem 1** There exist double structures \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+e} \) on rational normal curves \( X \) of degree \( d \) in \( \mathbb{P}^d \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+e} \) defined by quadratic equations and having only linear syzygies, only when \( e = d \) and the line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) associated to the doubling is such that \( \mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \). The minimal resolution is then of the shape:

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \binom{2d}{2} \mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow 2 \binom{2d}{3} \mathcal{O}(-3) \leftarrow 3 \binom{2d}{4} \mathcal{O}(-4) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \ell \binom{2d}{\ell + 1} \mathcal{O}(-\ell - 1) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow (2d - 1) \binom{2d}{d} \mathcal{O}(-2d) \leftarrow 0
\]

i.e. is that of a rational normal curve of degree \( 2d \) in \( \mathbb{P}^{2d} \).
Proof. Like in the proof of Lemma 3, one shows that a double structure $Y$ with linear syzygies should be arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. In this case finding the minimal resolution of $Y$ (i.e. of $\mathcal{I}$) is equivalent to finding the minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}_Y$, which should be of the shape:

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(-d - e) \leftarrow 0$$

It is easy to see, in fact like in the proof of Lemma 3, that the following vanishings take place:

$$H^1(\mathcal{I}(\ell)) = 0, \quad H^2(\mathcal{I}(\ell)) = 0, \quad H^1(\mathcal{L}(\ell)) = 0, \quad (\ell \geq 0)$$

It follows that, if $\mathcal{L}|_b = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r)$, then $r \geq -1$ and the graded rings $R(X)$, $R(Y)$ associated to $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathcal{O}_Y$ and the graded module $M$ associated to $\mathcal{L}$ fit in an exact sequence:

$$0 \leftarrow R(X) \leftarrow R(Y) \leftarrow M \leftarrow 0$$

which comes from the exact sequence:

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \leftarrow 0$$

Then we have for each $j$ the following exact sequence of vector spaces (cf. [1]):

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{0j}(X) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{0j}(Y) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{0j}(\mathcal{L}) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{1j}(X) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{1j}(Y) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{1j}(\mathcal{L}) \leftarrow \ldots,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{0j}(X) := \mathcal{M}_{0j}(R(X))$, $\mathcal{M}_{0j}(Y) := \mathcal{M}_{0j}(R(Y))$.

In the following we shall denote by $\mathbf{m}_{ij}(\ldots)$ the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{ij}(\ldots)$. Observe that $\mathbf{m}_{ij}(X) \neq 0$ only for $j = i$ and $j = i + 1$ and $\mathbf{m}_{ij}(Y) \neq 0$ only for $j = i + 1$.

As $\mathbf{m}_{0r}(X) = 0$, $\mathbf{m}_{0r}(Y) = 0$, for $r \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{m}_{00}(X) = 1$, $\mathbf{m}_{00}(Y) = 1$, it follows $\mathbf{m}_{00}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, i.e. $r < 0$.

The above exact sequence for $j = 1$ gives $\mathbf{M}_{01}(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbf{M}_{11}(X)$ and, as $\mathbf{m}_{11}(X) = e$, it follows $e = h^0(\mathcal{L}(1)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d + r))$. Then $r + d + 1 = e$, i.e. $r = e - d - 1$ and $e \leq d$. As $H^0(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ and $H^0(\mathcal{L}(1)) \neq 0$, it follows that $-d < r < 0$. i.e. $L = \mathcal{T}^{e-1}(-1)$. Then the minimal resolution of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{P}^d$ is, for $e \neq d$ of the form:

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \leftarrow e\mathcal{O}(-1) \leftarrow (e - 1)\binom{d}{1}\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow (e - 2)\binom{d}{2}\mathcal{O}(-3) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow (e - 3)\binom{d}{3}\mathcal{O}(-4) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \binom{d}{e - 1}\mathcal{O}(-e) \leftarrow \binom{d}{e + 1}\mathcal{O}(-e - 2) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow 2\binom{d}{e + 2}\mathcal{O}(-e - 3) \leftarrow 3\binom{d}{e + 3}\mathcal{O}(-e - 4) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow (d - e)\binom{d}{d}\mathcal{O}(-d - 1) \leftarrow 0$$
and the polynomial attached to the minimal resolution of $L$ in $\mathbb{P}^{d+e}$ is $(et + (e - 1)(d_1) t^2 x + (e - 2)(d_2) t^3 x^2 + \ldots + (d_{e-1}) t^e x^{e-1} + (d_{e+1}) t^{e+2} x^e + 2(d_{e+2}) t^{e+3} x^{e+1} + \ldots + (d - e - 2)(d_{d}) t^{d+1} x^{d-1})(1 + tx)^e$. This shows that $m_{ij} \neq 0$ only for $j = i + 1$ if $i < e$ and $m_{ij} \neq 0$ only for $j = i + 1$ and $j = i + 2$ if $i \geq e$. Then one should have the exact sequence:

$$0 = M_{d+e-1,d+e+1}(Y) \leftarrow M_{d+e-1,d+e+1}(L) \leftarrow M_{d+e,d+e+1}(X) = 0 \leftarrow \ldots,$$

which contradicts $M_{d+e-1,d+e+1}(L) \neq 0$. It remains $e = d$ and $r = -1$.

We show now that there are rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$, which are rational normal curves in a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^d$ and which have a doubling in $\mathbb{P}^{2d}$ with linear syzygies. For that, consider the Veronese embedding $v$ of $\mathbb{P}^2$ given by the monomials of degree $d$ in the homogeneous coordinates $x_0, x_1, x_2$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then $u_{i_0,i_1,i_2} = v(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^N$, $N = \binom{d+2}{2} - 1$. Let $P$ be the image of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^N$. If we cut $P$ with the hyperplane $u_{00d} = 0$ we get a l.c.i. curve $Z$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ of degree $d^2$. The dualizing sheaf of $Z$ is

$$\omega_Z \cong \omega_P|_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N-1}}(1) \quad \text{and so} \quad \omega_Z|_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-3 + d).$$

This curve has nilpotents and the reduced structure $X = Z_{red}$ is the rational normal curve contained in the linear subspace $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^d$ given by $u_{i_1,i_2,i_3} = 0$, for $i_3 \neq 0$ on which the homogeneous coordinates are $v_{i_1,i_2} = u_{i_1,i_2,0}$ for $i_1 + i_2 = d$. Consider the point $u$ ($u_{i_1,i_2,i_3} = 0$, for $(i_1, i_2, i_3) \neq (d00)$). Locally in $u$, the curve $Z$ is contained in $N-3$ hypersurfaces which are regular in $x$. This shows that $Z$ is a quasiprimitive structure on $X$ (cf. [1], [3] or [4]). As the degree of $X$ is $d$, the multiplicity of $Z$ in any point is $d$. According to loc. cit. the curve $Z$ admits a filtration with Cohen-Macaulay curves $X = Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset \ldots Y_{d-1} \subset Y_d = Z$ which are generically l.c.i. and such that there are a line bundle $L$ on $X$ and $d-2$ effective divisors $D_3, \ldots, D_d$ on $X$ which fit into the following exact sequences:

$$0 \to L \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} = \mathcal{O}_X \to 0$$

$$0 \to L^2(D_2) \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_3} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \to 0$$

$$0 \to L^3(D_2 + D_3) \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_4} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_3} \to 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$0 \to L^{d-1}(D_2 + \ldots + D_{d-1}) \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_d} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_{d-1}} \to 0$$
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Consider $\mathcal{L}|_P^1 = \mathcal{O}_P^1(r)$. The above exact sequences are possible only for $r \geq -1$. From the general theory (cf. [1], [8] or [9]) one has:

\[
\omega_Z|_X \cong \omega_X \otimes L^{-(d-1)}(-D_2 - \ldots - D_d) \quad \text{and so}
\]

\[
\omega_Z|_P^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-2 - (d-1)r - \delta_2 - \ldots - \delta_d),
\]

where $\delta_j \geq 0$ are the degrees of $D_j$'s.

From the two expressions of $\omega_Z|_P^1$ one gets:

\[-(r + 1)(d - 1) = \delta_3 + \ldots + \delta_d.
\]

As the left-hand side member of this equality is $\leq 0$, $d \geq 2$ and the right one is $\geq 0$, it follows:

\[r = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_j = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j.
\]

In other words the multiple structure $Z$ on $X$ is "primitive" in the terminology of Bănică and Forster. In particular it is l.c.i. and in fact all the $Y_j$'s are l.c.i. $Y_2$ is a double structure on $X$, of the type we are looking for, because it lies in the linear subspace $u_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = 0$, $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 = d$, $i_3 \geq 2$.

In the following we shall find all double curves $Y \subset P^2$ with support $X$ and linear syzygies. To fix the notation, take $X = v_d(P^1) \subset P^d \subset P^{2d}$ and let $x_0, x_1$ be the homogeneous coordinates on $P^1$. As already shown, one has to consider doublings $Y$ defined by ideals $\mathcal{I}_Y$ which are kernels of surjections

\[\mathcal{I}_X \to \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{L}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{L} \quad \text{is a bundle on} \quad X \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathcal{L}|_{P^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-1).
\]

We have immediately $H^1(\mathcal{I}_Y) = 0$.

From the exact sequence:

\[0 \to H^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{L}(1)) \to H^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(1)) \to 0,
\]

as $\dim H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(1)) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{L}(1)) = d$, in order to have $Y$ not lying in a hyperplane, one should take $p$ such that the induced map $H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{L}(1))$ is an isomorphism, i.e. one should take $p$ such that its component

\[d\mathcal{O}_{P^{2d}}(-1)|_X \cong d\mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-d) \to \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-1) \cong \mathcal{L}|_{P^1}
\]

is defined, restricted to $P^1$, by a basis of the component of degree $d - 1$ of the polynomial ring $k[x_0, x_1]$.

From now on we show that such a $p$ will produce $Y$ with the required properties. The above exact sequence gives $H^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(1)) = 0$. In the commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^{2d}}(t)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{L}(1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^{2d}}(t)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(t + 1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{L}(t + 1))
\end{array}
\]
our choice of $p$ and the fact that $\mathcal{L}(1)$ is generated by its global sections show that the bottom morphism is surjective and hence $H^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(t+1)) = 0$, for all $t \geq 0$, i.e. $Y$ is projectively normal.

In the following we shall show that the minimal resolution of $\mathcal{I}_Y$ is pure and all the syzygies are linear. As $Y$ is projectively normal it is the same to show this property for $\mathcal{O}_Y$.

The minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X$ corresponds to the polynomial

$$(1 + tx)^d (1 + \binom{d}{2} t^2 x + 2 \binom{d}{3} t^3 x^2 + \ldots + (d - 1) \binom{d}{d} t^d x^{d-1}) =$$

$$(1 + tx)^d + 1/x (1 + tx)^d + dt (1 + tx)^{2d-1} - 1/x (1 + tx)^{2d},$$

the minimal resolution of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to

$$(1 + tx)^d (d \left( \begin{array}{c} d \\ 0 \end{array} \right) t + (d - 1) \left( \begin{array}{c} d \\ 1 \end{array} \right) t^2 x + \ldots + \left( \frac{d}{d - 1} \right) t^d x^{d-1}) =$$

$$dt (1 + tx)^{2d-1}.$$

From here it follows that the only nonzero $M_{ij}$ for $X$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are:

$$M_{ii}(X) = \binom{d}{i} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i \leq d$$

$$M_{i,i+1}(X) = \binom{d}{i+1} + d \binom{2d-1}{i} - \binom{2d}{i+1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2d - 1$$

$$M_{i,i+1}(\mathcal{L}) = d \binom{2d-1}{i},$$

where we make the usual convention that the binomial coefficient are zero when they do not make sense. As $H^1(\mathcal{L}(\ell)) = 0$ for any $\ell \geq 0$, from the exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \leftarrow 0$$

one obtains for each $j \geq 0$ the exact sequence (cf. [7]):

$$0 \leftarrow M_{0j}(X) \leftarrow M_{0j}(Y) \leftarrow M_{0j}(\mathcal{L}) \leftarrow M_{1j}(X) \leftarrow M_{1j}(Y) \leftarrow M_{1j}(\mathcal{L}) \leftarrow \ldots .$$

One gets immediately $m_{00}(Y) = 1$ and $m_{0j}(Y) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$ and from:

$$0 \leftarrow M_{01}(\mathcal{L}) \leftarrow M_{11}(X) \leftarrow M_{11}(Y) \leftarrow M_{11}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$$

it follows $M_{11}(Y) = 0$, i.e. $Y$ is not contained in any hyperplane. From here $M_{ii} = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$. For $k \geq i + 2$ one has the exact sequence:

$$0 = M_{ik}(X) \leftarrow M_{ik}(Y) \leftarrow M_{ik}(\mathcal{L}) = 0,$$

which shows that the minimal resolution of $Y$ is linear. As the Hilbert polynomial of $Y$ is that of a rational normal curve of degree $2d$, this proves the theorem.

For double rational normal curves one has a similar result to Theorem (3.c.6) in [8], with the notation for the Koszul cohomology from [7]:

\[\begin{aligned}
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \leftarrow 0
\end{aligned}\]
Theorem 2 (Strong Castelnuovo Lemma for double rational normal curves)

(i) Let \(\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \ldots, \Pi_e\} \subset \mathbb{P}^d\) be a scheme consisting from points and double points (i.e. multiplicity 2 scheme structure on points), lying on a double rational normal curve. Then \(K_{2d-1,1}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \ldots, \Pi_e; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(1)) \neq 0\).

(ii) Conversely, let \(\Pi = \{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \ldots, \Pi_e\} \subset \mathbb{P}^d\) be a scheme consisting from points and at most \(d\) double points, such that, taking the reduced structure one gets points \(P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_e\) in linear general position in a linear subspace \(\Lambda\) of dimension \(d\), denoted in the following simply \(\Lambda\).

Proof. (i) The proof is the same as for the corresponding implication in [7].

Theorem (3.c.6), namely if \(Y\) is a double rational normal curve containing \(\Pi = \{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \ldots, \Pi_e\}\), then the map

\[K_{2d-1,1}(Y) \rightarrow K_{2d-1,1}(\Pi)\]

is injective.

(ii) Because of the correspondence between minimal resolutions and Koszul cohomology, Lemma 1 shows that \(K_{d-1,1}(\Pi; \mathbb{P}^d) \neq 0\) implies \(K_{2d-1,1}(P) \neq 0\). Conversely, if \(K_{d-1,1}(\Pi; \mathbb{P}^d) = 0\), then \(M_{d-1,d}(\Pi, \mathbb{P}^d) = 0\) and so also \(M_{d,d+1}(\Pi, \mathbb{P}^d) = 0\); hence \(M_{2d-1,2d}(\Pi) = 0\), i.e. \(K_{2d-1,1}(P) = 0\). By this the equivalence in the two conditions in 2) is shown.

Applying [7], (3.c.6), there is a rational normal curve \(X\) in \(\mathbb{P}^d\) which contains \(P\). It remains to show that there is a doubling \(Y\) of \(X\), such that \(\Pi \subset Y\). Suppose that the doubled points are \(P_1, \ldots, P_\delta\). Then \(\Pi\) corresponds to an exact sequence:

\[0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Pi/\mathcal{I}_P^2 \rightarrow I_P/I_P^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^\delta k_\ell \rightarrow 0,
\]

where \(k_\ell\) is the skyscraper \(k\) in the point \(P_\ell\). We have to show that there is a surjection

\[N_{X,\mathbb{P}^d} := \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\]

such that its restriction to the direct summand \(d\mathcal{O}_X(-1)\) of \(N_{X,\mathbb{P}^d}\) is also surjective and moreover, the corresponding map \(H^0(d\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}(1))\) is an isomorphism. We show this in soundso simple steps.

Step 1. The map \(d\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_P/\mathcal{I}_P^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_\delta k\) is surjective.

It is enough to show that the map

\[\mathcal{I}_\Lambda/\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^2 = d\mathcal{O}_\Lambda(-1) \rightarrow d\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_P/\mathcal{I}_P^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_\delta k \rightarrow 0\]
is surjective. This follows from the commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
\vdots & & & & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_\Lambda/\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^2 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_p/\mathcal{I}_p^2 & \rightarrow & \bigoplus \delta \kappa & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \\
\vdots & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_\Pi/\mathcal{I}_\Pi^2 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_p/\mathcal{I}_\Lambda + \mathcal{I}_p^2 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_p/\mathcal{I}_\Pi + \mathcal{I}_\Lambda & = & 0
\end{array} \]

Step 2. There exists a map \( \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \) so that the induced map \( H^0(d\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}(1)) \) is an isomorphism.

We may suppose that the number of double points in \( \Pi \) is exactly \( d \), because otherwise we add new (double) points on \( X \). Let \( \Delta = \sum_{\ell=1}^d p_\ell \) be the divisor on \( X \) of points which are the support of the double points in \( \Pi \). Apply the functor \( \text{Hom}(d\mathcal{O}_X(1), ?) \) to the exact sequence on \( X \):

\[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(-\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0 \]

and get the exact sequence:

\[ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(d\mathcal{O}_X(1), \mathcal{L}(-\Delta)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(d\mathcal{O}_X(1), \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(d\mathcal{O}_X(1), \bigoplus \delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(d\mathcal{O}_X(1), \mathcal{L}(-\Delta)) = dH^1(\mathcal{L}(-\Delta)(1)) = dH^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = 0 \]

In particular the canonical map \( d\mathcal{O}_X(1) \rightarrow \bigoplus \delta \kappa \) factors into \( d\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \overset{p}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{L} \overset{\text{evaluation}}{\rightarrow} \bigoplus \delta \kappa \). Restricted to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), \( p \) is given by \( d \) homogeneous forms of degree \( d - 1 \). The above composition with the evaluation map will produce a \( d \times d \) matrix of maximal rank. From here follows that the \( d \) forms which define \( p \) are linearly independent.

Step 3. The ideal \( \mathcal{J} = \ker(\mathcal{I}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2 \overset{p}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{L}) \) defines a double rational normal curve with the required properties.

Everything follows from the commutative diagram:

\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}(-\Delta) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_X & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_\Pi & \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_p & \rightarrow & \bigoplus \delta \kappa & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array} \]

with exact rows and columns. ■

Remark 1 The l.c.i. curve \( Z \) which we used above has the same Betti numbers as the Veronese image of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). The syzygies of the \( Y_j \)'s are related
by numerous exact sequences of the type we used for $Y_2$ but it seems difficult
to find this way the Betti numbers of $v_d(\mathbb{P}^2)$. We can use this idea to produce
some particular cases, for instance $d = 4$. But, in fact, the shape of syzygies
for $v_4(\mathbb{P}^2)$ can be obtained easier directly:

**Lemma 4** The minimal resolution of $X := v_4(\mathbb{P}^2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{14}$ has the shape:

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow 75\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow 5360\mathcal{O}(-3) \leftarrow 1947\mathcal{O}(-4) \leftarrow 4488\mathcal{O}(-5)
\leftarrow 7095\mathcal{O}(-6) \leftarrow 7920\mathcal{O}(-7) \leftarrow 6237\mathcal{O}(-8) \leftarrow 3344\mathcal{O}(-9) \leftarrow 1089\mathcal{O}(-10)
\leftarrow 120\mathcal{O}(-11) \oplus 55\mathcal{O}(-12) \leftarrow 24\mathcal{O}(-13) \leftarrow 3\mathcal{O}(-14) \leftarrow 0$$

Proof. By [2] the syzygies have degrees 1 or 2, by [4] the first 9 matrices have
linear entries. Using the Serre duality and the fact that $\omega(1)$ is generated
by global sections, the minimal resolution should have the s hape:

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow 75\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow 5360\mathcal{O}(-3) \leftarrow 1947\mathcal{O}(-4) \leftarrow 4488\mathcal{O}(-5)
\leftarrow 7095\mathcal{O}(-6) \leftarrow 7920\mathcal{O}(-7) \leftarrow 6237\mathcal{O}(-8) \leftarrow 3344\mathcal{O}(-9) \leftarrow 1089\mathcal{O}(-10)
\leftarrow 120\mathcal{O}(-11) \oplus 55\mathcal{O}(-12) \leftarrow 24\mathcal{O}(-13) \leftarrow 3\mathcal{O}(-14) \leftarrow 0$$

as we can compute step by step. Now use the $SL_3$–invariance of the minimal
resolution of $\omega_X$ to get $x = 0$, because the invariant minimal resolution of
$\omega_X$ begins as follows:

$$\omega_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \leftarrow S_{4,1}(V)\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow \left( S_{5,3,1}(V) \oplus S_{7,1,1}(V) \right)\mathcal{O}(-3)
\leftarrow \left( S_{8,5}(V) \right)\mathcal{O}(-4) \leftarrow \ldots ,$$

as one computes directly. Here $V$ is a vector space of dimmension 3 and $S_{\ldots}$
are Schur functors.

## 5 Plethysm formulae coming from syzygies

If we take the action of the group $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ on the projective space in which $\mathbb{P}^n$
is Veronese there exists an invariant minimal resolution of the image. This
will give recurrence formulae for $S^m(S^dV)$, where $V$ is such that $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(V^*)$.
Our notation is $\mathbb{P}(V)$ for the space of lines through the origin of $V$. So, when
the minimal resolution is known, the plethysm is reduced mainly to tensor
products, for which one has the Littlewood-Richardson rule.

I. We begin with the case of the Veronese embeddings of the line. Let
$\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(V^*)$, where $V$ is a vector space of dimension 2. The Eagon-Northcott
Now, twisting this exact sequence by $O$ denote sections one gets recurrence formulae. For the sake of simplicity, we shall recall in Section the following formula, valid for $\dim V = 2$ (cf. [3], Ex. 11.35 p. 160):

$$\Lambda^m (S^m(V)) = S^m(S^{m-1+m})$$

Recall also that the Littlewood-Richardson rule takes for $V = 2$ a very simple shape:

$$S^m S^n = S^{m+n} + S^{m+n-2} + S^{m+n-4} + \ldots + S^{|m-n|}$$

Then:

$\ell = 2$:

$$S^2(S^d) = S^{2d} + S^2(S^{d-2}) = 0$$

Applying this repeatedly, one gets (cf. also [3]):

$\ell = 3$:

$$S^3(S^d) = S^{3d} + S^2(S^{d-2}) \cdot S^d - S^1 \cdot S^3(S^{d-3})$$

$\ell = t$:

$$S^t(S^d) = S^{td} + S^2(S^{d-2}) \cdot S^{t-2}(S^d) - S^1 \cdot S^3(S^{d-3}) \cdot S^{t-3}(S^d) + S^2 \cdot S^4(S^{d-4}) \cdot S^{t-4}(S^d) - \ldots + (-1)^{d-1} S^{d-3} \cdot S^1 \cdot S^{t-d+1}(S^d) + (-1)^d S^{d-2} \cdot S^d(S^0) \cdot S^{t-d}(S^d)$$

**Example 1**

$$S^3(S^3) = S^9 + S^2(S^1) \cdot S^3 - S^1 \cdot S^3(S^0) = S^9 + S^2 \cdot S^3 - S^1$$

$$S^3(S^6) = S^{18} + S^2(S^4) \cdot S^6 - S^1 \cdot S^3(S^3) = S^{18} + (S^8 + S^4 + I) \cdot S^6 - S^1 \cdot (S^9 + S^5 + S^3) = S^{18} + S^{14} + S^{12} + S^{10} + S^8 + 2S^6 + S^2$$

etc.
II. Consider now the Veronese embedding of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) by monomials of degree 2. It is a standard exercise to show that the minimal resolution is pure (cf. [2], [3]) and that, invarantly, it has the shape:

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{2,2}\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{2,1}\mathcal{O}(-3) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{1,1}\mathcal{O}(-4) \leftarrow 0
\]

From here one deduces the following recurrence formula, in the case of \( \dim V = 3 \):

\[
S^t(S^2) = S^{2t} + S_{2,2} \cdot S^{t-2}(S^2) - S_{2,1} \cdot S^{t-3}(S^2) + S_{1,1} \cdot S^{t-4}(S^2)
\]

**Example 2**

\[
\begin{align*}
S^2(S^2) &= S^4 + S_{2,2} \\
S^3(S^2) &= S^6 + S_{2,2} \cdot S^1(S^2) - S_{2,1} \cdot S^0(S^2) = S^6 + S_{4,2} + S_{2,2} \\
&= S^6 + S_{4,2} + I \\
S^4(S^2) &= S^8 + S_{2,2} \cdot S^2(S^2) - S_{2,1} \cdot S^2 + S_{1,1} = \\
&= S^8 + S_{6,2} + S_{4,1} + S_{4,2} + S_{3,2} + 2S_2
\end{align*}
\]

III. Take now the Veronese embedding of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) by monomials of degree 3. The minimal resolution (cf. [2], [3]) can be written invarantly:

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{4,2}\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow (S_{5,4} + S_{5,1} + S_{4,2} + S_{2,1})\mathcal{O}(-3) \\
\leftarrow (S_{6,3} + S_{5,4} + S_{5,1} + S_{4,2} + S_{3,3} + S^3 + S_{2,1})\mathcal{O}(-4) \\
\leftarrow (S_{6,3} + S_{5,4} + S_{5,1} + S_{4,2} + S_{3,3} + S^3 + S_{2,1})\mathcal{O}(-5) \\
\leftarrow (S_{5,4} + S_{5,1} + S_{4,2} + S_{2,1})\mathcal{O}(-6) \leftarrow S_{4,2}\mathcal{O}(-7) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(-9) \leftarrow 0
\]

From here it follows the following recurrence formula, valid if \( \dim V = 3 \):

\[
S^t(S^3) = S^{3t} + S_{4,2} \cdot (S^{t-2}(S^3) - S^{t-7}(S^3)) \\
- (S_{5,4} + S_{5,1} + S_{4,2} + S_{2,1}) \cdot (S^{t-3}(S^3) - S^{t-6}(S^3)) \\
+ (S_{6,3} + S_{5,4} + S_{5,1} + S_{4,2} + S_{3,3} + S^3 + S_{2,1}) \cdot (S^{t-4}(S^3) - S^{t-5}(S^3)) \\
+ S^{t-9}(S^3)
\]

IV. Consider now the Veronese embedding of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) in \( \mathbb{P}^9 \), by monomials of degree 3. Then the minimal resolution (cf. [2], [3]) can be written invarantly:

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_X \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{2,2}\mathcal{O}(-2) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{3,2,1}\mathcal{O}(-3) \leftarrow (S_{3,3,2} + S_{3,1})\mathcal{O}(-4) \\
\leftarrow S_{3,2,1}\mathcal{O}(-5) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{2,2}\mathcal{O}(-6) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(-8) \leftarrow 0
\]
and this provides the following recurrence formula, valid for \( \dim(V) = 4 \):

\[
S'^t(S^2) = S^{2t} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2} \cdot (S^{t-2}(S^2) + S^{t-6}(S^2)) - \mathcal{S}_{3,2,1} \cdot (S^{t-3}(S^2) + S^{t-5}(S^2)) + (\mathcal{S}_{3,3,2} + \mathcal{S}_{3,1}) \cdot S^{t-4}(S^2) - S^{t-8}(S^2)
\]
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