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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most challenging problems of theoretical physics is how to merge the physics occurring at small scales (quantum level) with those at large scales (classical general relativity). The resolution to this problem is extremely important, because at small scales, the classical general relativity breaks down and the description of gravitational interaction becomes impossible. The efforts toward constructing a consistent quantum theory of gravity has encountered serious obstacles. One of these obstacles is that Einstein’s theory of classical general relativity (perturbatively) is not a renormalizable theory and thus, the conventional quantization techniques are not applicable. However, alternative modified theories are developed to serve the resolution of this problem. String [1, 2] and loop quantum gravity [3] theories are developed for dealing with the problems at small scales. It has been shown in string theory that some timelike singularities are resolved.

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in another alternative theory within the context of quantum gravity, —the Hořava - Lifshitz (HL) theory of gravity [4, 5]. The HL theory incorporates an anisotropic scaling of space and time. As a consequence of this scaling, while the Lorentz invariance is broken at high energies (short distances, UV regime), the Lorentz invariance is recovered at low energies (IR regime). The HL gravity theory “could therefore serve as a UV completion of Einstein’s general relativity” [5]. The said theory is also called in literature as the power-counting renormalizable theory. Currently, there are several versions of HL theory that can be classified whether or not the detailed balance and projectability conditions are imposed.

In literature, there are a variety of studies related to the HL gravity. The developments in this theory are collected and presented in a recent progress report, prepared by Wang [6]. Among the others, the spherically symmetric solutions having characteristics analog to the Schwarzschild solution have attracted considerable interest, in particular, the solution found by Kehagias and Sfetsos (KS) [7, 8], which describes static, spherically symmetric black hole solution in the limiting case of $(3 + 1)$-dimensional HL gravity. Whether in classical general relativity or modified theories, solutions, admitting black holes are always more fascinating and, as a result, attracts more attention. For example, the underlying physics of the KS black hole solutions are extensively studied in terms of geodesics (particle motion) [9–15]. In addition to aforementioned references, the bending of light and quasinormal modes of KS black hole spacetime is also considered in [16].

However, solutions admitting naked singularities are always undervalued both in classical general relativity and in modified theories. One reason that may be linked to this view is the violation of Penrose’s weak cosmic censorship hypothesis (CCH). According to this hypothesis, all singularities in physically realistic spacetimes are hidden by the horizons of black holes, which preserve the deterministic nature of the classical general relativity. However, many
solutions have been found to Einstein’s equations that may exhibit naked singularities. The formation of the naked singularity in the KS solution can be given as an example. And, the purpose of this paper is to investigate this naked singularity within the framework of quantum mechanics.

Spacetime singularities are predicted by Einstein’s Theory of General Relativity and are described as the geodesics incompleteness with respect to the point particle probe. Spacetime is geodesically incomplete, if it contains at least one geodesic that is inextendible. In other words, at the singularities, all the laws of physics are broken down, and that is why, all these alternative theories are emerging to resolve this challenging problem. The reasons for this are that before reaching the singularity, we are in a microscopic region of the space that instead of the laws of classical general relativity, the laws of quantum gravity are expected to be replaced, hence, any attempt at investigating singularities in conjunction with quantum mechanics should be considered as an important step in the right direction.

In this study, the KS naked singular spacetime representing spherically symmetric static vacuum solution in the HL gravity will be investigated within the framework of quantum mechanics. The KS metric incorporates two parameters, the gravitational mass parameter $M$ and the Hořava parameter $\omega$, which represents the influence of the quantum effects. These two parameters determine the physical characters of the KS spacetime. If the product $\omega M^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the KS metric possesses a black hole solution with two horizons. Thus, the curvature singularity at $r = 0$ is covered by these horizons and preserves the CCH. However, if the product $\omega M^2 < \frac{1}{2}$, there are no horizons and the curvature singularity at $r = 0$ becomes visible to asymptotic observers, which is called a naked singularity. The observational constraints on the value of $\omega$ presented in [12, 17, 18], do not exclude the existence of the KS naked singularities, hence, in light of these observational facts, it is very important to focus on the naked singularities in the KS spacetimes. It is shown in [19] that the optical signatures of the KS naked singularity is different from the signatures of the standard black holes in classical general relativity. Furthermore, circular geodesics in the KS naked singularity spacetimes are studied in [20] and compared with the counterparts in classical general relativity.

In this paper, we focus on the quantum nature of the KS naked singularity. We investigate whether this classically singular spacetime remains quantum mechanically singular or not. In our analysis, quantum particles (fields) obeying the Klein-Gordon and the Dirac equations will be sent to the KS naked singularity, thus, our analysis will be based on a wave probe, which leads to the notion of quantum singularity. In doing this, the work of Wald [21], which was developed by Horowitz and Marolf (HM) [22] for static spacetimes will be used. The criterion of HM incorporates with quantum field theory in curved spacetime. Hence, the analysis is based on the motion of quantum particles (fields) in a classical curved background.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we give a brief review of the KS spacetime and the description of the KS metric in a Newman-Penrose formalism. In section III, the HM criterion is briefly explained. The naked singularity in the KS spacetime is analyzed by probing the singularity with quantum fields obeying the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations. The paper ends with a conclusion and discussion in section IV.

II. REVIEW OF THE KS SPACETIME

The 3 + 1—dimensional action describing the Hořava – Lifshitz gravity is given in [2] as,

$$
S = \int dt d^3x \sqrt{g} N \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \left( K_{ij} K^{ij} - \Lambda K^2 \right) - \frac{\kappa^2}{2 \omega^3} C_{ij} C^{ij} + \frac{\kappa^2 \mu}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon^{ijk} R^{(3)}_{il} \nabla_j R^{(3)}_{kl} - R^{(3)}_{ij} R^{(3)j} - \frac{1}{4} R^{(3)}_{ij} R^{(3)j}}{4} \right) \right\} (1)
$$

in which

$$
K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \left( g_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i \right),
$$

is the second fundamental form and

$$
C^{ij} = \epsilon^{ikl} \nabla_k \left( R^{(3)}_{lj} - \frac{1}{4} R^{(3)} g_{lj} \right),
$$

is the Cotton tensor with, $\kappa, \lambda$ and $\omega$ as dimensionless coupling constants. On the other hand, $\mu$ and $\Lambda_W$ are dimensionful with mass $[\mu] = 1$ and $[\Lambda_W] = 2$. The corresponding metric is given by

$$
ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + g_{ij} \left( dx^i + N^i dt \right) \left( dx^j + N^j dt \right),
$$
where $g_{ij}$, $N^i$ and $N$ are the dynamical fields.

The above Lagrangian has been considered by Kehagias and Sfetsos in the limiting case of $\Lambda_W \to 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, which leads to, perhaps, one of the important solution obtained so far within the context of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity. The obtained solution is spherically symmetric, which describes asymptotically flat black hole metric. This metric is important, because, it constitutes the analog of Schwarzschild solution of classical general relativity. The metric obtained by KS is given by

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right),$$

in which

$$N^2 = f(r) = 1 + \omega r^2 - \sqrt{r (\omega^2 r^3 + 4\omega M)},$$

where $M$ is an integration constant with dimension $[M] = -1$ and $\omega = 16\mu^2/\kappa^2$. The obtained metric possesses black hole solution with two horizons located at

$$r_{\pm} = M \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2\omega M^2}} \right),$$

provided that $\omega M^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The Ricci scalar diverges as $1/r^{3/2}$, indicating true curvature singularity at $r = 0$, which is covered by horizons. The metric (5), has interesting properties that for large $r$ in fixed $\omega$ or large $\omega$ in fixed $r$, possesses usual Schwarzschild black hole behavior. This is the case whenever $r \gg (M/\omega)^{1/3}$, which allows the metric function (6) to be written in the following form,

$$f(r) \approx 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + O\left(r^{-4}\right).$$

The classical properties of this particular case has been analyzed in [13], by investigating particle geodesics. It is shown in the analysis that the KS black hole solution is more flattened compared with Schwarzschild black hole in and around horizon, and as a result of this effect, the gravitation becomes weaker near the center of the region. The effective potential of the KS black hole, as in the case of Reissner-Nordström black hole, has a repulsive character. The overall effect of these properties on the particle motion is that no particle falls to the center like Schwarzschild black hole, but particles are scattered to infinity or trapped in periodic orbits. This behavior in the particle motion is persistent with non-vanishing angular momentum [3]. But, for the radial motion (with vanishing angular momentum), null geodesics always reach the curvature singularity at $r = 0$, while timelike geodesics depending on their energy $E$ are either trapped on a radial geodesics or reach the singularity at $r = 0$. This picture can be made more transparent in the following way. For $r > r_+$, the coordinate $r$ is a spacelike, $t$ is a timelike and the coordinate singularity at $r = r_+$ is interpreted as event (outer) horizon. Inside this event horizon $r_- < r < r_+$, $r$ is timelike, $t$ is spacelike and the coordinate singularity at $r = r_-$, is interpreted as the inner horizon. However, in the innermost region $0 < r < r_-$, the space is static and the coordinate $r$ becomes spacelike, $t$ becomes timelike. In addition, the character of the curvature singularity at $r = 0$ is timelike. The timelike character of the singularity in spherically symmetric case can be seen by analysing the behavior of tortoise coordinate $r_*$ in the limit of $r \to 0$. If the singularity is at a finite value of $r_*$, then it is timelike, but if it is at $r_* = -\infty$, the singularity is null. In the case of KS black hole solution, the tortoise coordinate is given by,

$$r_* = r + \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln \left| \frac{r - r_+}{r_+} \right| + \frac{1}{2\kappa_-} \ln \left| \frac{r - r_-}{r_-} \right|,$$

in which $\kappa_{\pm} = \frac{2\omega r_{\pm}^2 - 1}{4\omega_{\pm}(\omega_{\pm}^2 + 1)}$. The limit $r \to 0$, reveals that $r_* = 0$ and hence, it is timelike. This structure of KS black hole is in marked contrast when compared with Schwarzschild black hole whose innermost region is dynamic and the curvature singularity at $r = 0$ is spacelike.

The KS naked singularity possesses similar behaviour against a particle probe. We show this by calculating the geodesic equations in the naked singular KS spacetime. The KS solution becomes naked singular whenever $\omega M^2 < \frac{1}{2}$. In this paper, we will take $M = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\omega = 1$, which satisfies the naked singularity condition and the corresponding metric function near the singularity is given in Eq.(30). The conserved quantities are the energy $E$ and the angular momentum $l$. Restricting the motion in an equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, we have the following constants of motion,

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = -\frac{E}{f(r)}, \quad \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau} = \frac{l}{r^2}.$$
Using these conserved quantities we obtain
\[
\left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = E^2 - f(r) \left( \epsilon + \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right),
\]
(11)
and
\[
\left( \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{2r^4}{l^2} (\varepsilon_{eff} - V_{eff}(r)),
\]
(12)
in which \( \tau \) is a proper time, the effective potential \( V_{eff}(r) \) and the effective energy \( \varepsilon_{eff} \) are given by,
\[
V_{eff}(r) = \frac{1}{2} f(r) \left( \epsilon + \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right), \quad \varepsilon_{eff} = \frac{E^2}{2}.
\]
(13)

We consider motion with zero angular momentum (i.e. \( l = 0 \)) such that the particles move radially. Radial null geodesics (\( \epsilon = 0 \)), imply the motion of massless particle (photon). The time required for a photon to reach a singularity from an initial position \( r_0 \) can be calculated using Eq.(11) and is given by
\[
\pm (t - t_0) = \sqrt{2r_0} + \ln |1 - \sqrt{2r_0}|,
\]
(14)
here, \( t \) is the time measured by a distant observer and \( t_0 \) is the initial time. Similar calculation for the timelike geodesics (\( \epsilon = 1 \)), which defines the motion of massive particles yields,
\[
\pm (t - t_0) = -\frac{4}{3} \delta^{3/2} + 2\delta \sqrt{\delta + \sqrt{2r_0} - \frac{2}{3} (\delta + \sqrt{2r_0})^{3/2}},
\]
(15)
in which \( \delta = E^2 - 1 \). Using Eq.(11), we also calculate the radial acceleration acting on the massive particle for \( l = 0 \) case. This calculation reveals that
\[
\frac{d^2r}{d\tau^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{df(r)}{dr} = \frac{1}{8r} > 0.
\]
(16)
Positive radial acceleration implies repulsive force on the particle that follows timelike geodesics. As a result, depending on the energy \( E \) of the particle, this repulsive force may reflect the particle back.

A. The Description of the KS solution in a Newman-Penrose Formalism

The KS metric is investigated with the Newman-Penrose (NP) formalism, in order to clarify the contribution of the parameter \( \omega \). The set of proper null tetrads \( 1 - forms \) is given by
\[
l = dt - \frac{dr}{f(r)},
\]
(17)
\[
n = \frac{1}{2} (f(r)dt + dr),
\]
\[
m = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} (d\theta + i \sin \theta d\phi),
\]
\[
\bar{m} = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} (d\theta - i \sin \theta d\phi).
\]
The non-zero spin coefficients in this tetrad are
\[
\beta = -\alpha = \frac{\cot \theta}{2\sqrt{2r}}, \quad \rho = -\frac{1}{r}, \quad \mu = -\frac{f(r)}{2r}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{4} \frac{df(r)}{dr}.
\]
(18)
The non-zero Weyl and the Ricci scalars are
\[
\Psi_2 = -\frac{M}{r^3} \left( 1 + \frac{4M}{\omega r^3} \right)^{-1/2},
\]
(19)
\[
\phi_{11} = \frac{9M^2}{2r^6\omega} \left(1 + \frac{4M}{\omega r^3}\right)^{-3/2},
\]
(20)

\[
\Lambda = -\frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{\omega}{2} \left(1 + \frac{4M}{\omega r^3}\right)^{-1/2} + \frac{M}{r^3} \left(1 + \frac{4M}{\omega r^3}\right)^{-3/2} + \frac{5M^2}{2r^6\omega} \left(1 + \frac{4M}{\omega r^3}\right)^{-3/2}.
\]
(21)

The spacetime character is Petrov type \(-D\), since, the only non-zero Weyl scalar is \(\Psi_2\). The parameter \(\omega\) represents the contribution of HL gravity. In the large limit of \(\omega \gg 1\), the Ricci scalars \(\phi_{11}\) and \(\Lambda\) vanishes, and the Weyl scalar \(\Psi_2\) remains the only non-zero component with a value of \(\Psi_2 \approx -\frac{2M^2}{r^6}\), as in the case of Schwarzschild black hole.

### III. QUANTUM PROBE OF THE KS NAKED SINGULARITY

As explained with justifications in the introduction, the main purpose of this paper is to analyze the naked singularity of the KS spacetime with quantum particles/fields. To serve the purpose, the criterion developed by HM will be used in this study. According to this criterion; the classically singular spacetime remains quantum mechanically singular, if the spatial part of the wave operator is not essentially self-adjoint. If this is the case, then, the future time-evolution is not uniquely determined and hence, the corresponding spacetime is regarded as quantum mechanically singular or quantum singular. Thus, the HM criterion requires a unique time-evolution in order to say that the corresponding spacetime is quantum mechanically regular or quantum regular.

The general mathematical formalism of this criterion is given in detail in [23–25]. At this stage, we prefer to give the main theme of the HM criterion. Let us consider a relativistic scalar particle/field with mass \(\tilde{m}\) satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation. The key point is to split the spatial and time part of the Klein-Gordon equation and write it in the form of

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = -A\psi,
\]
(22)

where \(A\) is the spatial wave operator. According to the HM criterion, the singular character of the spacetime with respect to quantum probe is characterized by investigating whether the spatial part of the wave operator \(A\) has unique self-adjoint extensions (i.e. essentially self-adjoint) in the entire space or not. If the extension is unique, it is said that the space is quantum mechanically regular. In order to make this point more clear, consider the Klein-Gordon equation for a free particle that satisfies

\[
i \frac{d\psi}{dt} = \sqrt{A_E}\psi,
\]
(23)

whose solution is

\[
\psi(t) = e^{-it\sqrt{A_E}}\psi(0),
\]

in which \(A_E\) denotes the extension of the wave operator \(A\). If \(A\) does not have unique self-adjoint extensions, then the future time evolution of the wave function (24) is ambiguous. And, HM criterion defines the spacetime as quantum mechanically singular. But, if the wave operator \(A\) has a unique self-adjoint extension, then the future time evolution of the quantum particle described by (24) is uniquely determined by the initial conditions and the criterion of HM, defines this spacetime as quantum mechanically regular.

More specifically, the HM criterion incorporates with the self-adjointness of the operators. Thus, the space on which these operators operate must be specified. The natural function space for quantum mechanics is the Hilbert space, which is known to be the space of square integrable functions \(L^2(0,\infty)\). The characteristic property of the Hilbert space is that the squared norm of the solution (let’s say \(R\)) associated with the operator must satisfy the condition of

\[
\mathcal{H} = \{ R : \|R\|^2 < \infty \}.
\]

In fact, the condition on the squared norm \(\|R\|^2 < \infty\), implies that the solution exists and does not include unbounded solutions. It is also important to note that, the operator \(A\) is a symmetric and positive operator on the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\).

To test for essential self-adjointness, two powerful methods, namely, the standard method of von Neumann’s deficiency indices and the Weyl’s limit point - limit circle criterion (used in [31–34], for the same purpose) are the most widely used ones. In this paper, both methods will be used for investigating the self-adjointness of the wave operator \(A\). The mathematical definitions of these two methods are given in Appendix to ensure the reader’s comprehensive understanding.

In this study, the naked singularity of the KS spacetime will be probed with two different quantum particles: \(spin - 0\) scalar particles obeying the Klein-Gordon equation and \(spin - 1/2\) particles obeying the Dirac equation.
A. The Klein-Gordon fields

The massive Klein-Gordon equation in general is given by,

\[
\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu} \left[ \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \right] - \tilde{m}^2 \right) \psi = 0, \tag{25}
\]

in which \(\tilde{m}\) is the mass of the scalar particle. The Klein-Gordon equation is written for the metric (5) and after separating time and spatial parts, we have

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = f^2 (r) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + f (r) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{f (r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi^2} + f (r) \cot \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + f (r) \left( \frac{2 f (r)}{r} + f' (r) \right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} - f (r) \tilde{m}^2 \psi. \tag{26}
\]

When we compare equations (26) and (22), the spatial part of the wave operator is written as

\[
A = -f^2 (r) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - f (r) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{f (r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} - f (r) \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - f (r) \left( \frac{2 f (r)}{r} + f' (r) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + f (r) \tilde{m}^2.
\]

The next step is to test the spatial part of the wave operator \(A\) for essential self-adjointness.

1. Method 1: The von Neumann Criterion of Deficiency Indices

The standard method that deals with the concept of deficiency indices was discovered by Weyl [26] and generalized by von Neumann [27] in the Theorem 1 given in Appendix. The determination of the deficiency indices \((n_+, n_-)\) of the operator \(A\), is reduced to count the number of solutions to equation

\[
(A^* \pm i) \psi = 0, \tag{27}
\]

that belongs to the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\). If there are no square integrable \((L^2(0, \infty))\) solutions (i.e., \(n_+ = n_- = 0\)) in the entire space, the operator \(A\) possesses a unique self-adjoint extension and it is called essentially self-adjoint. Consequently, the method to find a sufficient condition for the operator \(A\) to be essentially self-adjoint is to investigate the solutions satisfying equation (27) that do not belong to the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\).

Applying separation of variables to equation (27), in the form of \(\psi = R (r) Y_l^m (\theta, \varphi)\), yields the following radial equation for \(R(r)\):

\[
R'' + \frac{(r^2 f')'}{fr^2} R' - \left[ \frac{l(l + 1)}{f^2} + \tilde{m}^2 \pm \frac{i}{f^2} \right] R = 0, \tag{28}
\]

in which prime denotes the derivative with respect to \(r\) and \(R = R(r)\).

The square integrability of the solutions of (28) for each sign ± is checked by calculating the squared norm, in which the function space on each \(t = \text{constant} \) hypersurface \(\Sigma_t\) is defined as \(\mathcal{H} = \{ R : \| R \|, \text{exist and finite} \}\). The squared norm for \((3 + 1)\) -dimensional space can be defined as [22],

\[
\| R \|^2 = \int_{\Sigma_t} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} RR^* d^3 \Sigma_t. \tag{29}
\]

The spatial operator \(A\) is essentially self-adjoint if neither of the solutions of Eq.(28) is square integrable over all space \(L^2(0, \infty)\). The behavior of the Eq.(28), near \(r \to 0\) and \(r \to \infty\) will be considered separately in the following subsections.

Since our aim is to analyze the naked singularity of the KS spacetime, it is important to note that in our analysis, the mass parameter \(M\) and the Hořava parameter \(\omega\) will be chosen in such a way that the inequality \(\omega M^2 < \frac{1}{2}\) holds. Therefore, if \(M = \frac{1}{2}\), then the Hořava parameter \(\omega < 2\). In the rest of the paper, the mass parameter and the Hořava parameter are taken as \(M = \frac{1}{2}\) and \(\omega = 1\), respectively.
a. The case of $r \to 0$: In the case when $r \to 0$, the metric function (6) behave as
\[ f(r) \approx 1 - \sqrt{2r} + O(r^2), \]
thus, the Eq.(28) is simplified to,
\[ R'' + \frac{9}{2r} R' - \frac{1}{r^2} R = 0, \]
whose solution is
\[ R(r) = C_1 r^{\gamma_1} + C_2 r^{\gamma_2}, \]
in which $C_1, C_2$ are the integration constants and
\[ \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left( -7 + \sqrt{49 + 16(l+1)} \right), \quad \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \left( 7 + \sqrt{49 + 16(l+1)} \right). \]
The square integrability of the solution (32) is checked by calculating the squared norm defined in equation (29) in the limiting case of the metric (5) when $r \to 0$, which is given by
\[ \|R\|^2 \sim \int_0^{\text{const.}} r^2 |R|^2 \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{2r}} dr. \]
We perform the analysis for different modes of solution. If $l = 0$, which corresponds to $s$-wave mode, the solution becomes $R(r) = C_1 + \frac{C_2}{r^{7/2}}$. The square integrability analysis for this particular solution has revealed that $\|R\|^2 \to \infty$, which is not square integrable, thus, the solution does not belong to the Hilbert space. If $l \neq 0$, as long as $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 \neq 0$, the square integrability condition indicates that $\|R\|^2 \to \infty$, hence the solution does not belong to Hilbert space.

b. The case of $r \to \infty$: In the case when $r \to \infty$, the metric function (6) behave as
\[ f(r) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{r} + O(r^{-4}). \]
Thus, the Eq.(28) reduces to
\[ R'' + \frac{2}{r} R' + \left( -\tilde{m}^2 \pm i \right) R = 0, \]
whose solution is given by
\[ R(r) = \frac{C_3}{r} \sin \kappa r + \frac{C_4}{r} \cos \kappa r, \]
in which $\kappa = \sqrt{\pm i - \tilde{m}^2}$, and $C_3, C_4$ are the integration constants (in general complex). The square integrability is checked with the following norm written for the case $r \to \infty$,
\[ \|R\|^2 \sim \int_{\text{const.}}^\infty \frac{r^3 |R|^2}{r - 1} dr. \]
When the solution (37) is substituted into equation (38), with $C_3 = C_4 = 1$, we have the following integral to be integrated
\[ \|R\|^2 \sim \int_{\text{const.}}^\infty \left( \frac{r}{r - 1} \right) (1 + 2 \sin \kappa r \cos \kappa r) dr = \int_{\text{const.}}^\infty \frac{r dr}{r - 1} + 2 \int_{\text{const.}}^\infty \frac{r \sin \kappa r \cos \kappa r}{r - 1} dr. \]
The first integral can be integrated easily and the result is
\[ \int_{\text{const.}}^\infty \frac{r dr}{r - 1} = (r - 1 + \ln |r - 1|) \bigg|_{\text{const.}}^{\infty} \to \infty. \]
The second integral is evaluated by using the comparison test, especially developed for the improper integrals. The second integral can be written as,

\[ I = \int_{\text{const}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r^2 \sin 2\kappa r \cos 2\kappa r}{r - 1} \right) dr = \int_{\text{const}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r \sin (2\kappa r)}{r - 1} \right) dr \]  

We replace \( \sin (2\kappa r) \) with its power series expansion,

\[ \sin (2\kappa r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(2\kappa r)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}, \]  

and the second integral becomes

\[ I = \int_{\text{const}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{r - 1} \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(2\kappa)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \right) dr = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(2\kappa)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \int_{\text{const}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r^a}{r - 1} \right) dr, \]  

in which \( a = 2n + 2 \). It should be noted that the series in front of the second integral is analysed with D’Alambert ratio test for a convergency. It is found that the series is absolute convergent. If we let \( t = r - 1 \); since \( r \gg 1 \), implies \( t \gg 1 \), and the second integral becomes proportional to the following integral

\[ \sim \int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{(t + 1)^a}{t} dt. \]  

As a requirement of the comparison test, we define the following inequality,

\[ 0 \leq \frac{t + 1}{t} \leq \frac{(t + 1)^a}{t}. \]  

The following integral can be evaluated easily and we find that it diverges,

\[ \int_{c}^{\infty} \left( \frac{t + 1}{t} \right) dt = (t + \ln |t|) \Big|^{\infty}_{\text{const}} \to \infty. \]  

According to the comparison test, divergence of the integral \( \int_{c}^{\infty} \left( \frac{t + 1}{t} \right) dt \), implies the divergence of \( \int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{(t + 1)^a}{t} dt \). In view of this analysis, the solution (37) fails to satisfy square integrability condition, and hence, does not belong to the Hilbert space.

The method of von Neumann’s deficiency theorem for defining whether the operator \( A \) has a unique self-adjoint extension (or essentially self-adjoint) or not, necessitates the investigation of the solution of Eq.(28) in the entire space \((0, \infty)\) and the counting of the number of solutions that does not belong to the Hilbert space. In other words, if there is one solution that fails to be square integrable for the entire space, then the operator \( A \) is said to be essentially self-adjoint. Our analysis has shown that the solutions of the Eq. (28), near \( r \to 0 \) and \( r \to \infty \), are not square integrable. Hence, the operator \( A \) is essentially self-adjoint and the future time evolution of the quantum particles/waves can be predicted uniquely. Consequently, the classical naked singularity in the KS spacetime becomes quantum mechanically regular when probed with massive bosons described by the Klein-Gordon equation.

2. Method 2: Weyl’s limit circle - limit point criterion

The massive Klein-Gordon equation given in Eq.(25) has mode solutions in the following separable form

\[ \psi = \frac{1}{r} e^{-i\tilde{\omega}t} R(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \]  

where \( \tilde{\omega} \) is the frequency of the scalar wave, \( Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \) are spherical harmonics and \( r \) is the radial coordinate. The radial part of the wave equation is obtained as

\[ R'' + \frac{f'}{f} R' + \frac{1}{f} \left[ \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \tilde{m}^2 - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^2}{f} \right] R = 0, \]  

where \( l \) is a separation constant. In this method, one has to write the equation (48) in one-dimensional Schrödinger - like wave equation and investigate its effective potential near \( r \to \infty \) and \( r \to 0 \).
a. The case when \( r \to \infty \): In order to write the above equation in one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation, we use the tortoise coordinates defined by \( dr_* = \frac{dr}{r} \) and we found that

\[
 r_* = r + \ln |r - 1|. \tag{49}
\]

Note that in this particular limit the metric function given in equation (35) is used. In tortoise coordinates the radial wave equation (48) becomes

\[
 \frac{d^2 R}{dr_*^2} - \left( \frac{r - 1}{r} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \tilde{m}^2 + \frac{r\tilde{\omega}^2}{r - 1} \right] R = 0. \tag{50}
\]

It should be noted that the above equation involves two variables \( r \) and \( r_* \). It must be reduced to a single variable. To do this, we use the standard logarithmic inequality defined by \( \ln(x) \leq x - 1 \); for \( x > 0 \). In our case \( x = r - 1 \), hence, the logarithmic inequality becomes, \( \ln(r - 1) \leq r - 2 \); for \( r > 1 \). This inequality leads us to state, \( r > r - 2 > \ln(r - 1) \).

Since we are interested as \( r \to \infty \), then \( r \gg \ln |r - 1| \) and the tortoise coordinate approximates to \( r_* \approx r \). From this result, \( \frac{d^2 R}{dr_*^2} = \frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} \) in equation (50). So, the equation (50) can be written as function of \( r \) only in the following form

\[
 \frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \left[ -\tilde{\omega}^2 + V(r) \right] R = 0, \tag{51}
\]

in which \( V(r) \) is the effective potential given by

\[
 V(r) = \frac{l(l+1)(r-1)}{r^3} + \frac{(r-1)\tilde{m}^2}{r} - \frac{r-1}{r^4}. \tag{52}
\]

Now, we will apply the Weyl’s limit circle-limit point criterion. Note that the potential \( V(r) \) is bounded below such that,

\[
 V(r) \geq - \left\{ \frac{1 + l(l+1)}{r^3} + \frac{\tilde{m}^2}{r} \right\}. \tag{53}
\]

As a requirement of the Theorem 3 item (i), we define the positive differentiable function \( M(r) \) as,

\[
 M(r) = \frac{1 + l(l+1)}{r^3} + \frac{\tilde{m}^2}{r}, \tag{54}
\]

and item (ii) of Theorem 3 imposes the condition that the integration of \( (M(r))^{-1/2} \) must be,

\[
 \int_1^\infty (M(r))^{-1/2} \, dr = \infty. \tag{55}
\]

Our calculation has revealed that the requirement (ii) of Theorem 3 is satisfied. Finally, the last condition which states that \( (M(r))' / (M(r))^{3/2} \) is bounded near \( \infty \), is verified by calculating \( (M(r))' / (M(r))^{3/2} \) explicitly and given by

\[
 (M(r))' / (M(r))^{3/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1 + l(l+1)}{r^3} + \tilde{m}^2 r}}. \tag{56}
\]

It is clear that in the limit \( r \to \infty \), this resulting expression is bounded near \( \infty \). This analysis shows that the effective potential near \( \infty \) is in the limit point case. As a result, the Hamiltonian operator has a unique extension and thus, it is essentially self-adjoint.

b. The case when \( r \to 0 \): In the case when \( r \to 0 \), the metric function (30) is used. The tortoise coordinate and the corresponding one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation reads as

\[
 r_* = 1 - \sqrt{2r} - \ln \left| 1 - \sqrt{2r} \right| \tag{57}
\]

\[
 - \frac{d^2 R}{dr_*^2} + V(r) R = -\tilde{\omega}^2 R \tag{58}
\]
in which the effective potential \( V(r) \) is given by
\[
V(r) = \frac{l(l+1)(1-\sqrt{2r})}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} + \tilde{m}^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{2r} \right).
\]
(59)

As before, one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation (58) involves two variables \( r \) and \( r_\ast \). It must be reduced to a single variable. Recall, the series expansion of logarithmic function, \( \ln(1 \pm x) = \pm x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \pm \frac{1}{3}x^3 \ldots \) and using it in the equation (57), we obtain that the tortoise coordinate approximates to \( r_\ast \simeq 1 + r \). Hence,
\[
d_2^2 R_d r^2 = d_2^2 R_d r^2 \text{ in equation (58) and becomes as a function of } r \text{ only. We are interested in the leading behavior of the effective potential as } r \to 0.
\]
Thus, the first term is the dominant term of the effective potential and in this particular limit one has,
\[
V(r) \sim \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}.
\]
(60)

Thus, by Theorem 4, if \( l(l+1) \geq 3/4 \) then the Hamiltonian operator is in the limit point case at zero and therefore, it is essentially self-adjoint.

We can thus conclude that the classical KS naked singular spacetime is quantum mechanically non-singular. This is proved by analyzing the self-adjointness of both the spatial part of wave operator and the Hamiltonian operator of the one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation. In our analysis, two different methods are used to test for self-adjointness of the operators. The results of both methods are in complete agreement.

### B. The Dirac fields

The Newman-Penrose formalism will be used to find the Dirac fields propagating in the background geometry of the naked singular KS spacetime. We follow the formalism of Chandrasekhar \[28\] and, hence, we shift the signature of the metric (5) to \(-2\). The Chandrasekhar-Dirac (CD) equations in Newman-Penrose formalism are given by

\[
(D + \epsilon - \rho) F_1 + (\tilde{\delta} + \pi - \alpha) F_2 = 0,
\]
(61)
(\[
(\Delta + \mu - \gamma) G_2 - (\delta + \bar{\pi} - \bar{\alpha}) G_1 = 0,
\]
(\[
(D + \bar{\epsilon} - \bar{\rho}) G_2 + (\delta + \beta - \tau) F_1 = 0,
\]
(\[
(\Delta + \bar{\mu} - \bar{\gamma}) G_1 + (\bar{\delta} + \beta - \bar{\tau}) F_2 = 0,
\]
where \( F_1, F_2, G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are the components of the wave function, \( \epsilon, \rho, \pi, \alpha, \mu, \gamma, \beta \) and \( \tau \) are the spin coefficients. The non-zero spin coefficients are given in Eq.(18). The solution procedure of the set of CD equations (61) is exactly the same as in the references \[29,30\]. Thus, applying the same procedures, we end up with a resulting one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation with effective potential that governs the Dirac field,

\[
\left( \frac{d^2}{dr_{\ast}^2} + k^2 \right) Z_\pm = V_\pm Z_\pm,
\]
(62)

\[
V_\pm = \left[ \frac{f\lambda^2}{r^2} \pm \lambda \frac{d}{dr_{\ast}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{r}}{r} \right) \right].
\]
(63)

In these equations, \( Z_\pm = R_1 \pm R_2 \), represents the combination of the two solutions of the CD equations and \( \lambda \) denotes the separability constant.

#### 1. Method 1: The von Neumann Criterion of Deficiency Indices

In analogy with equation (22), the radial operator \( A \) for the Dirac equations can be written as,

\[
A = \frac{d^2}{dr_{\ast}^2} + V_\pm.
\]

If we write the above operator in terms of the usual coordinates \( r \), by using \( \frac{d}{dr_{\ast}} = f \frac{d}{dr} \), we have
Our aim now is to investigate whether this radial part of the Dirac operator is essentially self-adjoint or not. We do this by considering Eq.(27) and counting the number of solutions that do not belong to Hilbert space. Thus, Eq.(27) becomes

\[
\left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{f'}{f} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{f^2} \left[ \frac{f \lambda^2}{r^2} \pm \lambda \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{\sqrt{f}}{r} \right) \right] \right) \psi(r) = 0.
\]  

(65)

The solutions of (65) should be tested for square integrability over all space \( L^2 (0, \infty) \). To do this, the behavior of (65), near \( r \to 0 \) and \( r \to \infty \) will be considered separately in the following subsections.

a. The case of \( r \to 0 \): Note that when \( r \to 0 \), the metric function transforms to (30) and using (30) in equation (65) yields,

\[
\psi'' + \frac{1}{2r} \psi' + \frac{\sigma}{r^{3/2}} \psi = 0
\]

where \( \sigma = \frac{\lambda(\lambda \pm 3/2)}{\sqrt{2}} \), whose solution is

\[
\psi(r) = C_5 r^{1/4} J_1 \left( a r^{1/4} \right) + C_6 r^{1/4} N_1 \left( a r^{1/4} \right).
\]

(67)

in which \( C_5, C_6 \) are integration constants and \( a = 4\sqrt{\sigma} \). The square integrability is checked by using the definition of norm given in Eq.(29), in the limiting case of the metric (5) when \( r \to 0 \). The result of our analysis is that the solution when \( r \to 0 \) is square integrable, because \( \|\psi\|_2 < \infty \), indicating that the solution (67) belongs to the Hilbert space.

b. The case of \( r \to \infty \): In the limiting case of \( r \to \infty \), using the metric function (35) in (65), gives

\[
\psi'' \pm i\psi = 0
\]

(68)

and its solution is given by,

\[
R(r) = C_7 \sin \eta r + C_8 \cos \eta r,
\]

(69)

in which \( \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i \pm 1) \), and \( C_7, C_8 \) are the integration constants (in general complex). The square integrability is checked with the norm defined in Eq.(29) written for the case \( r \to \infty \). The result is that the solution fails to satisfy square integrability condition \( \|R\|^2 \to \infty \), and hence, does not belong to the Hilbert space.

In view of the analysis, there is one solution (near, \( r \to \infty \)) that does not belong to the Hilbert space in the entire space. As a result, the spatial operator \( A \), has a unique extension and it is said to be essentially self-adjoint. And, the future time evolution of the Dirac field can be predicted uniquely. Therefore, the naked singularity of the KS spacetime remains quantum regular when probed with fermions (spin \(-1/2\)) obeying the CD equations.

2. Method 2: Weyl’s limit circle - limit point criterion

The CD equations have been written in one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation and the effective potential is found to be as in equation (63). Now, the behavior of the effective potential will be analyzed near \( r \to \infty \) and \( r \to 0 \), for self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian operator \( H = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V_\pm \).

a. The case when \( r \to \infty \): We have stated earlier that in the limit \( r \to \infty \), the tortoise coordinate approximates to \( r_* \simeq r \). The effective potential (63) in the limit \( r \to \infty \) can be written as,

\[
V_\pm \simeq \frac{\lambda^2}{r^2} \mp \frac{\lambda}{r^2}.
\]

(70)
This effective potential has been analyzed for both possible cases, namely,

\[ V_+ = \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda}{r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad V_- = \frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda}{r^2}. \]  

(71)

If we define \( M(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \), then \( V_+ \geq -\frac{1}{r^2} \). Thus, the requirement of item (ii) of Theorem 3, showed that,

\[ \int_1^\infty (M(r))^{-1/2} \, dr = \int_1^\infty \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right)^{-1/2} \, dr = \infty \]  

(72)

and hence, it is satisfied. Item (iii) of the Theorem 3, indicates that \( (M(r))' = -\frac{2\lambda}{r^2} \), and

\[ \frac{(M(r))'}{(M(r))^{3/2}} = -\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^{3/2}} < \infty, \]  

(73)

which is bounded near infinity. Our analysis has indicated that for the effective potential \( V_+ \), the Hamiltonian operator is in the limit point case. However, the effective potential \( V_- \) do not satisfy the requirements of the Theorem 3 and hence, for this particular case the Theorem 3 does not work. In order to clarify this case, we use the Corollary defined in the Appendix.

When the first item of the Corollary is used as below, we have

\[ \int_1^\infty \frac{dr}{\sqrt{K - V_\pm (r)}} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dr}{\sqrt{K - \frac{|\lambda^2 \mp \lambda|}{r^2}}} = \frac{1}{K} \sqrt{Kr^2 - (\lambda^2 \mp \lambda)} \big|_1^\infty = \infty, \]  

(74)

which satisfies the item (i). The second item of the Corollary reveals that

\[ (V_\pm (r))' |V_\pm (r)|^{-3/2} = -2 \left( \lambda^2 \mp \lambda \right) |\lambda^2 \mp \lambda|^{-3/2} \]  

(75)

which is bounded near infinity. In view of this analysis, we can conclude that the effective potential is in the limit point case.

b. The case when \( r \to 0 \): Near \( r \to 0 \), the tortoise coordinate becomes \( r_* \simeq 1 + r \), and the effective potential in terms of leading terms as \( r \to 0 \), is given by

\[ V_\pm \simeq \frac{\lambda^2 \mp \lambda}{r^2}. \]  

(76)

As a result, by Theorem 4, if \( (\lambda^2 \mp \lambda) \geq 3/4 \), then the Hamiltonian operator is in the limit point case at zero and therefore, it is essentially self-adjoint.

In view of this analysis, the Hamiltonian operator both at zero and infinity is essentially self-adjoint. Hence, the KS naked singularity remains quantum regular when probed with fermionic waves. Again, as in the case of bosonic waves, the results of the two methods are in complete agreement.

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We have studied the KS naked singularity in Hořava’s gravity in view of quantum mechanics. In our analysis, we have used the HM criterion that incorporates with the essential self-adjointness of the spatial part of the wave operator \( A \) in the natural Hilbert space of quantum mechanics. This space is a linear function space with square-integrable functions \( L^2(0, \infty) \).

In our analysis, the KS naked singularity is probed with two different types of quantum fields. Bosonic waves (scalar wave, with \( spin = 0 \)) and fermionic waves (Dirac field, \( spin = 1/2 \)) governed by the Klein-Gordon and CD equations, respectively, are used. The calculations have revealed that when the singularity is probed with bosonic and fermionic waves, the spatial part of the wave operator \( A \) and the Hamiltonian operator of the one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation on the KS naked singular spacetime is essentially self-adjoint.

The essential self-adjointness in both probe implies that if quantum field dynamics, in other words, waves are considered in place of classical particles dynamics, i.e. geodesics, the KS naked singularity is "smoothed-out". As a result, the classically KS naked singular spacetimes becomes quantum mechanically regular.

The notable outcome of this study is that the quantum nature of the KS naked singularity has a distinctive character when compared with its analog models in classical general relativity. As it was demonstrated in [22, 23] the naked
singularities in negative mass Schwarzschild \((m < 0)\), and the extremal Reissner-Nordström \((|e| > m)\) spacetimes were quantum mechanically singular.

In a parallel study, the quantum nature of a quantum cosmological model within the context of HL gravity is considered in [31]. It was shown that the quantum Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker universe filled with radiation in the context of HL gravity is quantum mechanically nonsingular. The result in cosmological models and our findings for the KS naked singular spacetimes show that it is possible to heal the apparent singularities in HL gravity within the framework of quantum mechanics.

V. APPENDIX

The two widely used theorems for determining the essential self-adjointness of the operator \(A\) are briefly presented.

A. The von Neumann Criterion of Deficiency Indices

This method for determining the number of self-adjoint extensions of the operator \(A\) was discovered by Weyl [26], and generalized by von Neumann [27]. The deficiency subspaces \(N_{\pm}\) are defined by

\[
N_+ = \{ \psi \in D(A^*), \quad A^* \psi = Z_+ \psi, \quad \text{Im} Z_+ > 0 \} \quad \text{with dimension } n_+ \tag{A1}
\]

\[
N_- = \{ \psi \in D(A^*), \quad A^* \psi = Z_- \psi, \quad \text{Im} Z_- < 0 \} \quad \text{with dimension } n_-
\]

The dimensions \((n_+, n_-)\) are the deficiency indices of the operator \(A\). The indices \(n_+ (n_-)\) are completely independent of the choice of \(Z_+ (Z_-)\) depending only on whether or not \(Z\) lies in the upper (lower) half complex plane. Generally one takes \(Z_+ = i\lambda\) and \(Z_- = -i\lambda\), where \(\lambda\) is an arbitrary positive constant necessary for dimensional reasons. The determination of deficiency indices is then reduced to counting the number of solutions of \(A^* \psi = Z \psi\); (for \(\lambda = 1\),

\[
A^* \psi \pm i \psi = 0. \tag{A2}
\]

that belong to the Hilbert space.

**Theorem 1.** For an operator \(A\) with deficiency indices \((n_+, n_-)\) there are three possibilities

(i) If \(n_+ = n_- = 0\), then \(A\) is (essentially) self-adjoint (in fact, this is a necessary and sufficient condition).

(ii) If \(n_+ = n_- = n \geq 1\), then \(A\) has infinitely many self-adjoint extensions, parametrized by a unitary \(n \times n\) matrix.

(iii) If \(n_+ \neq n_-\), then \(A\) has no self adjoint extension.

In view of this theorem, if there are no square integrable solutions (i.e. \(n_+ = n_- = 0\)) for all space \((0, \infty)\), the operator \(A\) possesses a unique self-adjoint extension and thus, it is essentially self-adjoint.

B. Weyl’s limit circle - limit point criterion

A theorem of Weyl [26, 35], relates the essential self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian operator to the behavior of the effective potential of the one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation, which in turn determines the behavior of the wave packet. This involves to determine whether the effective potential is in the limit circle or limit point case. The radial part of the wave equation can be written as a one-dimensional Schrödinger-like equation \(H \psi(x) = \lambda \psi(x)\) where the Hamiltonian operator \(H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)\) and \(\lambda\) is a constant. Here, any singularity is assumed to be located at \(x = 0\). Reed and Simon [35], states the following definition.

**Definition.** The potential \(V(x)\) is in the **limit circle case** at infinity (respectively at zero) if for some, and therefore all, \(\lambda\), all solutions of

\[
- \frac{d^2 \psi(x)}{dx^2} + V(x) \psi(x) = \lambda \psi(x)
\]

are square integrable at infinity (respectively at zero). If \(V(x)\) is not in the limit circle case at infinity (respectively at zero), it is said to be in the **limit point case**.
This definition clearly states that, whether the potential $V(x)$ is in the limit circle case or in the limit point case indicates if the solutions to the one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation are unique. There are two linearly independent solutions at infinity (respectively at zero) for the Schrödinger-like wave equation for a given $\lambda$. If $V(x)$ is in the limit circle case at infinity (respectively at zero), both solutions are square integrable at infinity (respectively at zero), and also, all linear combinations are square integrable as well. But if there is one solution that fails to be square integrable then $V(x)$ is in the limit point case. This is the main idea of testing for quantum singularities; there is no singularity in quantum mechanical point of view if the solution is unique, as it is in the limit point case [32].

The following theorems from [35], give us a criterion to decide whether the Hamiltonian operator $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ is essentially self-adjoint (i.e. unique self-adjoint extension) or not.

**Theorem 2. Weyl’s limit point-limit circle criterion** (Theorem X.7 of Ref. [35]). Let $V(x)$ be a continuous real-valued function on $(0, \infty)$. Then $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ if and only if $V(x)$ is in the limit point case at both zero and infinity.

At infinity ($x \to \infty$), the limit circle-limit point behavior can be established with the help of the following theorem and its subsequent corollary.

**Theorem 3.** (Theorem X.8 of Ref. [35]). Let $V(x)$ be a continuous real-valued function on $(0, \infty)$ and suppose that there exists a positive differentiable function $M(x)$ so that

(i) $V(x) \geq -M(x)$

(ii) $\int_1^\infty (M(x))^{-1/2} dx = \infty$

(iii) $M'(x)/(M(x))^{3/2}$ is bounded near $\infty$.

Then $V(x)$ is in the limit point case (complete) at $\infty$.

**Corollary.** (Corollary following Theorem X.8 of Ref. [35]). Let $V(x)$ be differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and bounded above by $K$ on $[1, \infty)$. Suppose that

(i) $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{k-V(x)}} = \infty$.

(ii) $(V(x))' |V(x)|^{-1/2}$ is bounded near infinity.

Then $V(x)$ is in the limit point case at $\infty$.

At zero ($x \to 0$), the limit circle-limit point behavior can be established with the help of the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** (Theorem X.10 of Ref. [35]). Let $V(x)$ be a continuous and positive near zero. If $V(x) \geq \frac{3}{4}x^{-2}$ near zero then $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ is in the limit point case at zero. If for some $\epsilon \geq 0$, $V(x) \leq (\frac{3}{4} - \epsilon) x^{-2}$ near zero, then $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ is in the limit circle case.
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