HOLOMORPHIC PROJECTION FOR $\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R})$ – THE CASE OF WEIGHT $(4,4)$

KATHRIN MAURISCHAT

Abstract. We define non-holomorphic Poincaré series of exponential type for symplectic groups $\text{Sp}_m(\mathbb{R})$ and continue them analytically in case $m = 2$ for the small weight $(4,4)$. For this we construct certain Casimir operators and study the spectral properties of their resolvents on $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. Using the holomorphically continued Poincaré series, the holomorphic projection is described in terms of Fourier coefficients using Sturm’s operator.
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INTRODUCTION

The explicit description of the projection of functions to their holomorphic part is important for several parts of the theory of automorphic functions. For example it revealed the true role of mock theta functions, and one can use it to describe the central values of Rankin $L$-functions. The classical approach for symplectic groups to holomorphic projection is by Sturm-type arguments ([22]). Coarsely, given Fourier coefficients of a function $F$, averaging over their imaginary parts yields Fourier coefficients of a holomorphic function. This is proven by unfolding the inner product of $F$ against a system of Poincaré series. Sturm [22] invented this method for genus one and high weight. Pan-chishkin [17] established an approach for arbitrary genus $m$ and high scalar.
weights $\kappa > 2m$. But it is the case of low weight $\kappa < 2m$ which is of arithmetical interest, e.g. in \cite{2} the interesting weight for genus one is two. We develop a method which establishes the holomorphic projection by Sturm’s operator for genus two and scalar weight $\kappa = 4$. The method also applies to weight $\kappa = 3$. But there other phenomenons arise which do not lead to a proper description of the holomorphic projection (see \cite{14}).

The description of cusp forms by Poincaré series is first systematically presented in \cite{16}. For $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ Neuenhöfer \cite{15} establishes the case of weight zero. He introduces essentially two types of Poincaré series

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma} f_\tau(\gamma \cdot z, s)
$$

involving

\begin{align}
(1) \quad f_\tau(z, s) &= |z|^s (1 - |z|^2)^s,
\end{align}

respectively

\begin{align}
(2) \quad f_\tau(z, s) &= e^{2\pi i \tau z} \text{Im}(z)^s.
\end{align}

Panchishkin \cite{17} studies two-variable Poincaré series generalizing type (1) above. Klingen \cite{5} uses Poincaré series for arbitrary genus $m$ generalizing type (2) in an obvious way. We use Poincaré series $\mathcal{P}_\tau(g, s_1, s_2)$ of exponential type and scalar weight $\kappa$ on the symplectic group $\text{Sp}_m(\mathbb{R})$ which are generalizations of the latter choosing

$$
f_\tau(g, s_1, s_2) = e^{2\pi i \tau (s_1 + s_2)} \frac{\text{tr}(\tau \text{Im} z)^{s_1} \det(\text{Im} z)^{s_2}}{j(g, i)^\kappa},
$$

for complex variables $s_1, s_2$ and $z = g \cdot i$ in the Siegel halfspace. We have the following convergence result (Corollary 4.4).

**Theorem 0.1.** These Poincaré series $\mathcal{P}_\tau(g, s_1, s_2)$ converge absolutely and uniformly on compact sets within

$$
\{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Re}(s_2 + \kappa) > 2m \text{ and } \text{Re} \left( \frac{2}{m}s_1 + 2s_2 + \kappa \right) > 2m \}.
$$

There, they belong to $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

For high weight $\kappa > 2m$ these Poincaré series are cusp forms at their point of holomorphicity $(s_1, s_2) = (0, 0)$. Most part of this work is devoted to their analytic continuation for low weight $\kappa \leq 2m$. For this we apply resolvents of certain Casimir operators. In case of genus one this approach (see Appendix) is a well-studied application of the theory of Eisenstein series due to Roelcke \cite{18, 21}. For genus two there is a series of technical difficulties we have to manage. We apply Langlands’ theory of Eisenstein series (section 2) for $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R}))$
to localize the spectral roots (section 3) of the two Casimir operators
\[ D_+(u, \Lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \text{ long root}} (\check{\alpha}(\Lambda) - u), \]
\[ D_-(v, \Lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \text{ short root}} (\check{\alpha}(\Lambda) - v). \]

Here \( \Lambda \in a^*_\mathbb{C} \) is a spectral parameter (infinitesimal character) encoded by some Cartan subalgebra \( a \) of the symplectic lie algebra and \( \check{\alpha} \) denotes the coroot of the root \( \alpha \). The complex variables \( u \) and \( v \) are affine linear transforms of \( s_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v-2u-1) \) and \( s_2 = \frac{1}{2}(u-(\kappa-m)) \). The existence of the resolvents \( R_+(u) \) and \( R_-(v) \) of \( D_+(u) \) and \( D_-(v) \), respectively, as meromorphic functions is restricted by the occurrence of continuous spectral components in \( L^2(\Gamma/\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R})) \). We have (Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3):

**Theorem 0.2.** The resolvent \( R_+(u) \) is a meromorphic function on \( \text{Re} u > \frac{1}{2} \).
The resolvent \( R_-(v) \) is a meromorphic function on \( \text{Re} v > 1 \).

The operators \( D_+(u) \) and \( D_-(v) \) are constructed such that their resolvents applied to the Poincaré series give the meromorphic continuation of the latter in the direction of \( u \) and of \( v \), respectively. This involves a number of vast computations solved with the computer algebra system Magma. By this and some simple consequences of the theory of Eisenstein series we get analytic continuation to the point of holomorphicity (Theorem 6.3) in case of scalar weight \( \kappa = 4 \).

**Theorem 0.3.** Let \( m = 2 \) and \( \kappa = 4 \). The \( L^2 \)-limit
\[ \mathcal{P}_\tau(\cdot, 0, 0) = \lim_{s_2 \to 0} \mathcal{P}_\tau(\cdot, 0, s_2) \]
exists in \( L^2(\Gamma/\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R})) \). It has got a holomorphic \( C^\infty \)-representative.

Having continued the Poincaré series holomorphically, holomorphic projection is immediate (Theorem 7.2).

**Theorem 0.4.** The Sturm operator establishes the holomorphic projection in case of genus \( m = 2 \) and scalar weight \( \kappa \geq 4 \).

Concerning generalizations, the case of arbitrary symplectic groups seems out of reach at the moment, as the number of necessary calculations grows exponentially. But the shapes of \( D_+(u, \Lambda) \) and \( D_-(v, \Lambda) \) lead to the suspicion that some generalization for special orthogonal groups \( \text{SO}(2, 2n + 1) \) should be possible. This is upcoming work.

1. **Casimir elements**

Let \( G = \text{Sp}_m(\mathbb{R}) \) be the symplectic group of genus \( m \). Later on we will restrict to the case \( m = 2 \). We realize \( G \) as the group of those \( g \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( gWg = W \) for
\[ W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_m \\ E_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]
We have the usual action of $G$ on the Siegel halfspace $\mathcal{H}$, for $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$, 

$$g \cdot z = (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}.$$ 

Let $K$ be the stabilizer of $i = iE_m \in \mathcal{H}$, thus $K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. We denote by 

$$g \mapsto g \cdot i =: z = x + iy$$

the obvious isomorphism of $G/K$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Siegel fundamental domain for the action of $\text{Sp}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{H}$. We define the function $J : G \times \mathcal{H} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $J(g, z) = cz + d$, and the factor of automorphy $j(g, z) = \det(J(g, z))$. The constraint of $J := J(\cdot, i)$ to $K$ defines the isomorphism of $K$ to the unitary group $U_m$. By Iwasawa decomposition, every element $g \in G$ can be written as $g = pk$, where $k \in K$ and $p$ is parabolic, 

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} T & U \\ 0 & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Here $T$ has lower triangular shape. Choosing all its diagonal elements $t_1, \ldots, t_m$ to be positive, $T$ is uniquely determined by $g$, and $z = UT' + iTT'$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. We have the matrix realization of $\mathfrak{g}_C \subset M_{2m,2m}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of those $g$ satisfying $gW + Wg = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_C = \mathfrak{p}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t}_C$, where $\mathfrak{t}_C$ is the Lie algebra of $K$ given by the matrices satisfying 

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & S \\ -S & A' \end{pmatrix}, \quad A' = -A, \quad S' = S,$$

and 

$$\mathfrak{p}_\pm = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X & \pm iX \\ \pm iX & -X \end{pmatrix}, \quad X' = X \right\}.$$ 

Let $e_{kl} \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{C})$ be the elementary matrix having entries $(e_{kl})_{ij} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$ and let $X^{(kl)} = \frac{1}{2}(e_{kl} - e_{lk})$. The elements $(E_{\pm})_{kl} = (E_{\pm})_{lk}$ of $\mathfrak{p}_\pm$ are defined to be those corresponding to $X = X^{(kl)}$, $1 \leq k, l \leq m$. Then $(E_{\pm})_{kl}, 1 \leq k \leq l \leq m$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{p}_\pm$. A basis of $\mathfrak{t}_C$ is given by $B_{kl}$, for $1 \leq k, l \leq m$, where $B_{kl}$ corresponds to $A_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(e_{kl} - e_{lk})$ and $S_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(e_{kl} + e_{lk})$. For abbreviation, let $E_{\pm}$ be the matrix having entries $(E_{\pm})_{kl}$. Similarly, let $B = (B_{kl})_{kl}$ be the matrix with entries $B_{kl}$ and let $B^*$ be its transpose having entries $B_{kl}^* = B_{lk}$. Thus, $E_+, E_-, B$ and $B^*$ are matrix valued matrices. Taking formal traces of them and their formal products, e.g. $\text{tr}(E_+ E_-)$, such traces are not invariant under cyclic permutations of their arguments. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_m(\mathbb{R})$ the center $\mathfrak{z}_C$ of the universal enveloping Lie algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_C)$ is generated by $m$ elements. For any basis $\{X_i\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_C$ let $\{X_i^*\}$ be its dual with respect to the nondegenerate bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_C$.

$$\mathcal{B}(g, h) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(g \cdot h).$$
The Killing form is given by $4(m + 1)B$. Then the elements

$$D_r = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} \text{tr}(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_r})X^*_{i_1} \cdots X^*_{i_r}$$

are easily seen to belong to the center of the universal enveloping algebra and are independent of the chosen basis. Here $\text{tr}(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_r})$ denotes the trace of the matrix product $X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_r}$. With respect to $B$, we get the dual basis $(E_\pm)^*_{kl} = 1_{1+\delta_{kl}}(E_\mp)_{kl}$ as well as $B^*_{kl} = B_{lk}$ for all $k, l$.

**Proposition 1.1.** [13], [24] In terms of the basis above,

$$D_2 = \text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+) + \text{tr}(BB) + \text{tr}(B^* B^*),$$

$$D_4 = \text{tr}(E_+ E_- E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+ E_- E_+) + \text{tr}(BBBB) + \text{tr}(B^* B^* B^* B^*) + \sum_{\zeta \in Z_4} (\text{tr}(\zeta (E_+ E_- B^* B)) + \text{tr}(\zeta (E_- E_+ BB^*)) + \text{tr}(\zeta (E_+ E_- B^*))),$$

where $Z_4$ is the group of cyclic permutations of four elements.

For applications, we get the following reformulations:

**Corollary 1.2.** Let $C_1 := \frac{1}{2}D_2$ and $C_2 := \frac{1}{2}D_4$. Then

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+)) + \text{tr}(BB),$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}(E_+ E_- E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+ E_- E_+) + \text{tr}(B^4) + \text{tr}((B^*)^4)) + 2(\text{tr}(E_+ E_- B^* B^*) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+ BB)) - \sum_{i,j,k,l} \{(E_+)_kl, (E_-)_{ij}\} B_{kj} B_{li} + \frac{(m + 1)^2}{2}(\text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+)).$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+)) = \text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + (m + 1) \text{tr}(B),$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}(E_+ E_- E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+ E_- E_+)) = \text{tr}(E_+ E_- E_+ E_-) + \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+)) \text{tr}(B) + \frac{m + 2}{2}(\text{tr}(E_+ E_- B^*) + \text{tr}(E_- E_+ B)).$$

For $m = 2$, the Casimir elements $C_1, C_2$ generate the center $\mathcal{Z}_C$ of the universal enveloping algebra.

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** The formulae for the traces are obtained by rearranging. Similarly the formulae for $C_1, C_2$ follow by rearranging those of Proposition 1.1. For $m = 2$, we will see in Prop. 1.3, that $\mathcal{Z}_C$ is generated by $C_1, C_2$. □
1.1. **Harish-Chandra homomorphism.** The following well-known results on the Harish-Chandra homomorphism and $K$-types are included in order to fix the precise values for Casimir operators needed later on, which indeed depend on the choices. The Harish-Chandra homomorphism is described as follows (see for example [7, IV. 7]). Take any Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_C$ of $\mathfrak{g}_C$ and fix a system of positive roots $\Delta^+$ of $\mathfrak{g}_C$ for $\mathfrak{h}_C$ and let $\delta$ be half the sum of positive roots. Define $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{N} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C)$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C) \oplus (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{N}).$$

Let $p_+: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C)$ be the projection with respect to this decomposition. Let $\tau_+: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C)$ be given on $\mathfrak{h}_C$ by

$$\tau_+(h) = h - \delta(h)$$

and on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C)$ by algebraic continuation. Then the Harish-Chandra homomorphism

$$\gamma : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C)$$

is $\tau_+ \circ p_+$. Restricted to $\mathfrak{z}_C$ this is an isomorphism

$$\gamma : \mathfrak{z}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C)^W,$$

which is independent from the chosen positive system $\Delta^+$. Here $W$ denotes the Weyl group $W(\mathfrak{g}_C, \mathfrak{h}_C)$. For explicit formulae in case of genus two, we choose $\mathfrak{h}_C = \mathbb{C}B_{11} + \mathbb{C}B_{22} \subset \mathfrak{k}_C$, which is a Cartan subalgebra for both, $\mathfrak{k}_C$ and $\mathfrak{g}_C$. Let $\Delta^+$ be the set of positive roots for $\mathfrak{h}_C$ such that their root spaces belong to $\mathbb{C}B_{12} + \mathfrak{p}^-$. Writing $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ for $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_C^*$, where $\Lambda_j = \Lambda(B_{jj})$, these root spaces are

$$\mathfrak{g}_{(1,-1)} = \mathbb{C}B_{12}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{(2,0)} = \mathbb{C}(E_-)_{11},$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{(1,1)} = \mathbb{C}(E_-)_{12}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{(0,2)} = \mathbb{C}(E_-)_{22}.$$

Half the sum of positive root is

$$\delta = \delta_G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Lambda \in \Delta^+} \Lambda = (2, 1),$$

while $\delta_K = \frac{1}{2}(1, -1)$, and

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C)\mathfrak{p}^- + \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C)B_{12},$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C) + B_{21} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C).$$

Next we compute the images of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ under $\gamma$. Using Corollary 1.2 and the Lie bracket relations, we get

$$p_+(\mathcal{C}_1) = p_+ (\text{tr}(B^2) + (m + 1) \text{tr}(B)), $$

where

$$p_+(\text{tr}(B^2)) = \sum_j B_{jj}^2 + (B_{11} - B_{22}).$$
And

\[ p_+ \left( \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(B^4) + \text{tr}(B^{*4})) \right) = B_{11}^4 + B_{22}^4 + (B_{11} - B_{22}) \left( 2(B_{11}^2 + B_{22}^2 + B_{11} B_{22}) + B_{11} - B_{22} + 1 \right), \]

\[ p_+ \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(E_+ E_+ E_+ E_+) \right) = 8(B_{11}^3 + B_{22}^3) + 8(B_{11} + B_{22})^2 + 8(B_{11} - B_{22}), \]

\[ p_+ (2 \text{tr}(E_+ E_+ BB)) = 8(B_{11}^3 + B_{22}^3) + 2(B_{11} + B_{22})(B_{11}^2 + B_{22}^2) + 2(B_{11} - B_{22})(9B_{11} + 5B_{22} + 4), \]

\[ p_+ \left( \sum_{i,j,k,l} \{(E_+)_{kl}, (E_-)_{ij}\} B_{kj} B_{li} \right) = 4(B_{11}^3 + B_{22}^3) + 2(B_{11} + B_{22})B_{11} B_{22} + 5(B_{11}^2 - B_{22}^2). \]

Applying \( \tau_+(B_{jj}) = B_{jj} - (m + 1 - j) \) we receive

**Proposition 1.3.** Let \( m = 2 \). The images of the Casimir elements under the Harish-Chandra homomorphism are

\[ \gamma(C_1) = B_{11}^2 + B_{22}^2 - 5, \]

\[ \gamma(C_2) = B_{11}^4 + B_{22}^4 - 17 + 3\gamma(C_1). \]

As \( \gamma(C_2) \) is not a multiple of \( \gamma(C_1) \), they generate \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_C)^W \).

**Corollary 1.4.** Let \( m = 2 \) and \( \Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \in \mathfrak{h}^*_C \). Then

\[ \Lambda(C_1) = \Lambda(\gamma(C_1)) = \Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2 - 5, \]

\[ \Lambda(C_2) = \Lambda(\gamma(C_2)) = \Lambda_1^4 + \Lambda_2^4 - 17 + 3\Lambda(C_1). \]

By Bezout’s theorem, the Casimir elements have at most eight zeros \( \Lambda \) in common. These obviously are \((\pm 1, \pm 2), (\pm 2, \pm 1)\), the Weyl group conjugates of \( \Lambda = (2, 1) \). Cor. 1.4 is independent of the chosen Cartan subalgebra \( \mathfrak{h} \) in the sense that any isometric isomorphism to a second Cartan subalgebra \( \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \) will produce the same formulae. Especially, if we choose the diagonal subalgebra \( \mathfrak{a} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \) then Cor. 1.4 remains true with respect to the coordinate functions \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \).

### 1.2. Representations of scalar \( K \)-type.

It is wellknown (see 12) that \( \text{tr}((E_+ E_-)^n) \) are invariant differential operators for fixed \( K \)-type. However, the Casimir operators are globally defined. Here we fix the connection for scalar weight \((\kappa, \ldots, \kappa)\).

**Lemma 1.5.** Let \( \pi \) be a representation of \( K \) of highest weight \((\kappa, \ldots, \kappa)\). Then the actions of the basis elements \( B_{kl} \), \( 1 \leq k,l \leq m \), of \( \mathfrak{g}_C \) is given by

\[ \pi(B_{kl}) = \kappa \cdot \delta_{kl}. \]
Proof. Let \( \pi = (\kappa, \ldots, \kappa) \) be irreducible. Then \( V_\pi \) has dimension one and highest and lowest weight vectors coincide. Under \( dJ \) the element \( B_{kl} \) is mapped to \( A_{kl} - iS_{kl} = e_{lk} \). As \( \exp(te_{lk}) = E_m + te_{lk} \) for \( k \neq l \) respectively \( \exp(te_{kk}) = E_m + (e^t - 1)e_{kk} \) is upper or lower triangular, we get \( \pi(B_{kl}) = d\frac{d}{dt}\pi(\exp(te_{lk})) |_{t=0} = \kappa \delta_{kl} \).

Proposition 1.6. Let \( \pi \) be a smooth representation of \( G \). Then the action of the Casimir elements on its \( K \)-type \((\kappa, \ldots, \kappa)\) are given by

\[
\pi(C_1) = \pi(\text{tr}(E_+E_-)) - \kappa m(m + 1 - \kappa)
\]

and

\[
\pi(C_2) = \pi(\text{tr}(E_+E_-E_+E_-)) + m\kappa^4 + ((m + 1)^2 - 2\kappa(m + 1) + 2\kappa^2)(\pi(\text{tr}(E_+E_-)) - \kappa m(m + 1))
\]

Proof of Proposition 1.6. Apply Lemma 1.5 to Corollary 1.2. The result on \( C_1 \) is due to [24, Chapter 4].

Proposition 1.7. [26, Theorem 1.1] If \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) are representations of \( G \) of the same infinitesimal character containing the same scalar \( K \)-type, then \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) are isomorphic.

2. ON THE SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION OF \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \)

Let \( G = \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) be the symplectic group of genus two. Let \( \Gamma \) be any subgroup of finite index in the full modular group \( \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) containing the group

\[
\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm E_2 & * \\ 0 & \pm E_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}
\]

of translations. The group \( G \) acts on \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \) by right translations, and this \( G \)-action comes along with an action of the universal enveloping algebra \( \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \) on \( C^\infty \)-vectors. As \( \Gamma \backslash G \) isn’t compact, the spectrum of \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \) contains continuous parts. We need some knowledge of the spectral decomposition and extract this out of Langlands’ theory of Eisenstein series [10]. (See also [8], [24].)

As the action of the center \( z_C \) of \( \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \) commutes with that of \( G \) and \( \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \), it acts by scalars on irreducible components. Via the Harish-Chandra homomorphism these scalars are determined by the infinitesimal character \( \Lambda \in a^*_C \) of the representation. In general, the action of some Casimir element \( C \) on any spectral component parametrized by \( \Lambda \) is given by applying the Harish-Chandra homomorphism to \( C \). In case of a 1- or 2-dimensional parametrization (i.e. in case of a component of the continuous spectrum) this involves a 1- or 2-dimensional integral of (a residue of) an Eisenstein series against \( \Lambda \).

Let \( a \) be the split component of the Borel subgroup

\[
B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} T & X \\ 0 & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid T \text{ upper triangular} \right\} \subset G.
\]
Identifying $\mathfrak{a}_C^\ast$ with $\mathbb{C}^2$ by choosing Euclidean coordinates $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, the system of positive roots corresponding to $B$ is

$$\Sigma^+ := \{\alpha_1 = (0, 2), \alpha_2 = (1, -1), \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\} \subset \mathfrak{a}_C^\ast.$$ 

Let $\delta = (2, 1)$ be half the sum of positive roots. The Weyl group $W$ of $G$ acts on $\mathfrak{a}_C^\ast$. It is generated by the simple reflections $s_{\alpha_1}$ and $s_{\alpha_2}$. We have $B = NAM$, where $N$ is the unipotent radical normalized by $B$, the diagonal torus $A$ has Lie algebra $a$, and $M \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$ is finite. Correspondingly, for $g \in G$ we have $g = namk$, where $k = k(g) \in K$ and $a = a(g) \in A$ etc. Let

$$E_B(g, \phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap B) \backslash \Gamma} a(\gamma g)^{\delta + \Lambda} \phi(\gamma g, \Lambda)$$

be an Eisenstein series for $B$. Here the function $\phi$ belongs to a space $V$ such that for all $g \in G$ the function $\phi(gk^{-1})$ is of the same $K$-type and the function $\phi(mg)$ belongs to a simple admissible subspace $V_M \subset L^2((\Gamma \cap M) \backslash M)$ (so $\phi$ is any function on the finite quotient). The Eisenstein series converges absolutely in the cone

$$I := \{\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_C^\ast|\langle \Lambda, \gamma \rangle > \langle \delta, \gamma \rangle, \gamma \in \Sigma^+\}.$$ 

For a simple root $\alpha_i$ let $\alpha = \ker(\alpha_i) \subset a$ and define $\alpha$ by $a = \alpha \perp \omega$. For any $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_C^\ast$, let $\Lambda = \omega \Lambda + \omega \Lambda$, where $\omega \Lambda = \Lambda |_{\mathfrak{a}_C} \text{ and } \omega \Lambda = \Lambda |_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are continued to $\mathfrak{a}_C$ by zero. Especially, $\omega \alpha_i = 0$, so $\mathbb{C} \alpha_i = (\omega \mathfrak{a})$. Let $B \subset \mathfrak{p}$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ with split component $\mathfrak{a}$ and corresponding decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{a} M$. Here $\mathfrak{m}$ is either $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times Z_2$ (Klingen) or $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes Z_2$ (Siegel). There is a parabolic subgroup $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ corresponding to $B$,

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}.$$ 

The split component of $\mathfrak{p}$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{a}$. The identity

$$E_B(g, \phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathfrak{p}) \backslash \Gamma} \omega \phi(\gamma g, \omega \Lambda)$$

holds for $\Lambda \in I$, if

$$\omega \phi(\gamma g, \omega \Lambda) := \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathfrak{p}) \backslash \Gamma} a(\gamma g)^{\delta + \omega \Lambda} \phi(\gamma g)$$

is an Eisenstein series for $\mathfrak{m}$. Whenever the inner Eisenstein series is defined, the series $(4)$ converges on the convex hull $C(I \cup s_{\alpha_i}(I))$. As $s_{\alpha_i}(\omega \Lambda) = \omega \Lambda$, the initial terms of the scattering operator $M(s, \Lambda)$, $s \in W$, are given by

$$M(s_{\alpha_i}(\omega \Lambda) = M(s_{\alpha_i}(\omega \Lambda),$$

where $M(s_{\alpha_i}(\omega \Lambda)$ is identified with the scattering operator belonging to $\omega \Lambda$. Especially, $M(s_{\alpha_i}(\omega \Lambda)$ is meromorphic in the complex variable $\omega \Lambda$ with only a finite number of poles $\omega \Lambda = c$, where $0 \leq c \leq 1$. Accordingly, the Eisenstein
Figure 1. Examples of pole hyperplanes for Eisenstein series.

The Eisenstein series $E_B(g, \phi, \Lambda)$ is meromorphically continued to $C(I \cup s_{\alpha_i}(I))$ with a finite number of pole hyperplanes $H_{\alpha_i}(c)$, with $0 \leq c \leq 1$,

$$H_{\alpha_i}(c) = \{ \Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^* | \langle \Lambda, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle = c \} = \left\{ \frac{c}{2} \alpha_i + (\mathfrak{a}_c^*)^* \right\}.$$

Here $\check{\alpha}_i$ is the coroot of $\alpha_i$. The Eisenstein series $E_B(g, \phi, \Lambda)$ and the scattering operator $M(s, \Lambda)$ have meromorphic continuation to $\mathfrak{a}_c^*$ and satisfy the functional equations

$$M(ts, \Lambda) = M(t, s\Lambda)M(s, \Lambda),$$

$$E_B(g, \phi, \Lambda) = E_B(g, M(s, \Lambda)\phi, s\Lambda).$$

So $E$ and $M$ only have a finite number of pole hyperplanes given by the images of $H_{\alpha_1}(c)$ and $H_{\alpha_2}(c)$ under the Weyl group,

$$H_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(c) = s_{\alpha_1}H_{\alpha_2}(c), \quad H_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(c) = s_{\alpha_2}H_{\alpha_1}(c).$$

Especially, the continuations are holomorphic on the cones $s(I)$, $s \in W$.

The spectrum of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ arising from the Borel group is described as follows. For $\Lambda_0 \in \text{Re } I$ the function

$$\tilde{\phi}(g) = \int_{\text{Re } \Lambda = \Lambda_0} E_B(g, \phi, \Lambda) \, d\Lambda$$
belongs to $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$, and the scalar product of two such can be expressed by

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi} \rangle = \int_{\Re \Lambda = \Lambda_0} f(\Lambda) \, d\Lambda,$$

where

$$f(\Lambda) = \sum_{s \in W} (M(s, \Lambda)\Phi(\Lambda), \Psi(-s\tilde{\Lambda}))$$

for certain functions $\Phi, \Psi : \mathfrak{a}_C^* \to V$ of fast decay. We choose a path in $\mathfrak{a}^*$ from $\Lambda_0$ to zero which omits the intersections $H_\gamma(c) \cap H_{\gamma'}(c')$ of hyperplanes. Evaluating the integral (5) by the residue theorem we get a term

$$\int_{\Re \Lambda = 0} f(\Lambda) \, d\Lambda,$$

which gives rise to a 2-dimensional parametrized ($\Re \Lambda = 0$) spectral component. (By the functional equations, it is enough to parametrize this component by a cone contained in $\Re \Lambda = 0$. But for our purpose the location $\Re \Lambda = 0$ is sufficient.) This cannot be decomposed into irreducibles and gives rise to the so-called 2-dimensional spectral component. Further we get residual terms at any intersection point $z = z(\gamma, c)$ of the path with a hyperplane $H_\gamma(c)$. For simple roots $\gamma = \alpha_j$ we have $\tilde{\alpha}_j = \frac{c}{2} \alpha_j$ and the terms are (up to constants)

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}^*} \text{res}_{\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}} f(\Lambda + \tilde{\Lambda}) \, d\mathfrak{a}^*.$$

For these we again apply the residue theorem to get terms

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}^*} \text{res}_{\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}} f(\Lambda + \tilde{\Lambda}) \, d\mathfrak{a}^*,$$

which give rise to spectral components which cannot be decomposed into irreducibles and are parametrized by the 1-dimensional sets

$$K_{\alpha_j}(c) = \frac{c}{2} \alpha_j + i(\mathfrak{a})^*$$

for simple roots. For non-simple roots $\gamma$ we can express $K_\gamma(c) = sK_{\alpha_j}(c)$ for $s \in W$ with $\gamma = s\alpha_j$. Further we get residual terms

$$\text{res}_{\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}} f(\Lambda + \tilde{\Lambda})$$

at intersection points $\tilde{\Lambda} = H_{\alpha_j}(c) \cap H_{\gamma'}(c')$ of hyperplanes between $z = \frac{c}{2} \alpha_j + \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $\frac{c}{2} \alpha_j$, which give rise to spectral components which decompose to irreducible representations with infinitesimal character $\tilde{\Lambda}$. (Correspondingly for non-simple roots.) As there is the constraint $0 \leq c \leq 1$ for the pole hyperplanes, the real parts of all these spectral components belong to a circle of radius $\delta = \sqrt{5}$. These cases exhaust the spectrum $L^2(B) \subset L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$.

If there is more than one $\Gamma$-conjugation class of the Borel subgroup $B$, then any of these classes produces a picture like this. But as all Borel subgroups are conjugated under $\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, their Langlands parameters can be mapped into $\mathfrak{a}_C^*$ accordingly. They can produce additional hyperplanes $H_\gamma(c)$, but $c$ is still bounded by one.
There are shares of the Klingen and Siegel parabolics $P = \bullet P$ occurring analogously. We have Eisenstein series

$$E_P(g, \phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \bullet P) \setminus \Gamma} a(\gamma g)^{\delta_P + \Lambda} \phi(\gamma g, \Lambda),$$

for $g = n a m k$, where $k = k(g) \in K$ and $a = a(g) \in \bullet A$, $n = n(g) \in \bullet N$ and $m = m(g) \in \bullet M$. Here $\Lambda$ belongs to $\bullet a^*_C \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $\phi$ is such that for all $g \in G$ the function $\phi(gk^{-1})$ is of the same weight and the function $\phi(mg)$ belongs to a simple admissible subspace of $L^2_0((\Gamma \cap \bullet M) \setminus \bullet M)$. The Eisenstein series are convergent for $\text{Re} \, \Lambda >> 0$ and have meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with a finite number of poles $\Lambda = c$. The functions

$$\tilde{\phi}(g) = \int_{\text{Re} \, \Lambda = \Lambda_0} E_P(g, \phi, \Lambda) \, d\Lambda$$

belong to $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ for $\Lambda_0 >> 0$ and are spectrally decomposed by a residue process like above. This produces irreducible spectral components indexed by $\Lambda = c$ and a continuous component indexed by $\text{Re} \, \Lambda = 0$. Translated to the whole image in $a^*_C$ by adding the character terms of induction to receive the infinitesimal characters, these also correspond to points in $a^*_C$ or a 1-dimensional spectral component contained in the 2-dimensional parameter $\text{Re} \, \Lambda = 0$ in $a^*_C$.

The share of the parabolic group $G$ itself is given by discrete series representations. So we have

$$L^2(\Gamma \setminus G) = L^2_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma \setminus G) \bigoplus_{\Lambda} L^2_\Lambda(\Gamma \setminus G).$$

Here $L^2_\Lambda(\Gamma \setminus G)$ denotes the direct sum of the isotypical components of irreducible unitary representations with infinitesimal characters $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$. And the continuous spectrum $L^2_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma \setminus G)$ decomposes into

$$L^2_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma \setminus G) = L^2_{\text{Re} \, \Lambda = 0}(\Gamma \setminus G) \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Sigma^+, c} L^2_{\gamma, c}(\Gamma \setminus G).$$

We fix a description in coordinates.

**Lemma 2.1.** There are finitely many real values $0 \leq c \leq 1$ such that the 1-dimensional components of the continuous spectrum in $L^2(B)$ are given in Langlands coordinates $\Lambda \in a^*_C$ by

$$K_{\alpha_1}(c) = \frac{c}{2}(0, 2) + i \mathbb{R}(1, 0),$$

$$K_{\alpha_2}(c) = \frac{c}{2}(1, -1) + i \mathbb{R}(1, 1),$$

$$K_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(c) = \frac{c}{2}(1, 1) + i \mathbb{R}(1, -1),$$

$$K_{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}(c) = \frac{c}{2}(2, 0) + i \mathbb{R}(0, 1).$$

By the functional equations of Eisenstein series, one can restrict these parameterizations to a half-line in $i \mathbb{R}$. But as the above description is sufficiently exact for the following, we stick to this simple notation.
Proposition 2.2. The 1-dimensional continuous component $K_{\alpha_1}(1) = (i\mathbb{R}, 1)$ actually occurs in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

Proof. In the Eisenstein series $E_B(g, \phi, \Lambda)$ for $B$ we choose $\phi \equiv 1$. We use (4) for the Klingen parabolic, i.e. the parabolic $\mathcal{P}$ with split component $\mathfrak{a} = \ker(\alpha_1)$ and $\mathfrak{M} \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The inner Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}(g, \phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{P}) \backslash \Gamma} \phi(\gamma g)$, is a $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-Eisenstein series which has got a simple pole in $\Lambda = 1$. So the residue $\text{res}_{\Lambda = 1} E_B(g, 1, \Lambda)$ is nonzero, as well is the residue $\text{res}_{\Lambda = 1} M(s_{\alpha_2}, \Lambda)$ of the scattering operator. In consequence, the $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$-function

$$\int_{\text{Re} \Lambda = \Lambda_0} E_B(g, 1, \Lambda) \, d\Lambda$$

has a non-zero spectral component at $K_{\alpha_1}(1)$. □

3. Resolvents

Let $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \cup (-\Sigma^+)$ be the complete system of roots. For $\alpha \in \Sigma$ let $\check{\alpha}$ denote its coroot. Let $u$ and $v$ be complex variables. Define

$$D_+(u, \Lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma \text{ long}} (\check{\alpha}(\Lambda) - u),$$

$$D_-(v, \Lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma \text{ short}} (\check{\alpha}(\Lambda) - v).$$

In terms of the chosen basis above, for $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \in a_\mathbb{R}^*$ we have

$$D_+(u, \Lambda) = (\Lambda_1^2 - u^2)(\Lambda_2^2 - u^2),$$

$$D_-(v, \Lambda) = ((\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)^2 - v^2)((\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)^2 - v^2).$$

By Cor. 1.4 $D_+(u, \Lambda)$, respectively $D_-(v, \Lambda)$, is the image of

$$D_+(u) := \frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 - C_2 + 11C_1 - 2(u^2 - 1)C_1 + 2(u^2 - 1)(u^2 - 4)), $$

$$D_-(v) := 2C_2 - C_1^2 - 34C_1 - 2(v^2 - 9)C_1 + (v^2 - 9)(v^2 - 1),$$

respectively, under the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. In section 5 these Casimir operators will turn out to be the right choice for applications to the Poincaré series. For this, we distinguish between the variables $u$ and $v$, although this is redundant for this paragraph.

Being Casimir operators, $D_+(u, \Lambda)$ and $D_-(v, \Lambda)$ respect the spectral decomposition of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ (see (6), (7) of section 2). So $D_+(u, \Lambda)$ and $D_-(v, \Lambda)$ describe their action on the spectral component parametrized by $\Lambda$. We use this to study the
existence of their resolvents $R_+(u)$ and $R_-(v)$ in the variable $u$, respectively $v$. For the existence of the resolvent $R_+(u)$ in some point $u \in \mathbb{C}$, we have to check that $D_+(u)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded (see [3], for example). Whenever defined for all $u$ in an open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, the resolvent $R_+(u)$ is analytic on $U$. (Analogously for $R_-(v).$

The discrete spectrum. Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ be the parameter of a fixed discrete constituent $L^2_A(\Gamma \backslash G)_\kappa$. Then $D_+(u, \Lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree four in $u$. As such it has at most four zeros. Apart from these zeros,

$$R_+(u) := \frac{1}{(\Lambda_1^2 - u^2)(\Lambda_2^2 - u^2)}$$

is a constant, thus bounded, operator. While at a zero of $D_+(u, \Lambda)$, the resolvent $R_+(u)$ obviously has a pole. The resolvent $R_-(v)$ is dealt with completely analogously. As there are only finitely many irreducible constituents, we get:

**Proposition 3.1.** On the discrete spectrum $\oplus_\Lambda L^2_A(\Gamma \backslash G)$, the resolvents $R_+(u)$ and $R_-(v)$ exist as meromorphic functions in the complex variable $u$ (resp. $v$), each having only a finite number of poles.

The 2-dimensional continuous spectrum. Next we look at the case of the 2-dimensional continuous spectrum, which is parametrized by $\text{Re} \Lambda = 0$ (including 1-dimensional continuous components occurring in $L^2(P)$, where $P$ is a Siegel or Klingen parabolic). So we may assume $\Lambda = (it_1, it_2)$ for real $t_1, t_2$. Then

$$D_+(u) = (t_1^2 + u^2)(t_2^2 + u^2)$$

is a polynomial in $t_1, t_2$ which never vanishes if we assume $\text{Re} u > 0$. Especially, the minimum

$$c(u) := \min_{t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}} |D_+(u)| > 0$$

exists. Thus, the inverse $D_+(u)^{-1}$ is bounded by $c(u)^{-1}$, and the resolvent $R_+(u)$ exists on the 2-dimensional continuous spectrum as long as $\text{Re} u > 0$. But for $\text{Re} u = 0$ we get zeros $(t_1, t_2)$ of $D_+(u)$, which are very difficult to study and which may produce essential singularities of the resolvent. Similarly, for

$$D_-(v) = ((t_1 + t_2)^2 + v^2)((t_1 - t_2)^2 + v^2)$$

the resolvent $R_-(v)$ exists as long as $\text{Re} v > 0$. To sum up:

**Proposition 3.2.** On the 2-dimensional continuous spectrum $L^2_{\text{Re} \Lambda = 0}(\Gamma \backslash G)$, the resolvent $R_+(u)$ (respectively $R_-(v)$) is an analytic function for $\text{Re} u > 0$ (respectively $\text{Re} v > 0$).

The 1-dimensional continuous spectrum. For the remaining 1-dimensional components of the continuous spectrum parametrized by $K_\gamma(c)$ (see Lemma 2.1), we first determine the zeros of $D_+(u)$ (respectively $D_-(v)$) on $K_\gamma(c)$. For a fixed $u$ (respectively $v$) we denote by

$$\begin{align*}
N_+(u) &= \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_K^c | D_+(u, \lambda) = 0 \}, \\
N_-(v) &= \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_K^c | D_-(v, \lambda) = 0 \}
\end{align*}$$
the set of zeros of $D_+(u, \Lambda)$ (respectively $D_-(v, \Lambda)$) in $\mathbb{C}^*$ (see Figure 2). Having this restriction by Proposition 3.2 nevertheless, we restrict to $\text{Re } u > 0, \text{Re } v > 0$. We easily find:

- \[ K_{\alpha_1}(c) \cap N_+(u) = \begin{cases} K_{\alpha_1}(c), & \text{if } u = c \\
\emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]
- \[ K_{\alpha_2}(c) \cap N_+(u) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{c}{2}(1, -1) \pm iy(1, 1) \right\}, & \text{if } u = \frac{c}{2} + iy \\
\emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]
- \[ K_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(c) \cap N_+(u) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{c}{2}(1, 1) \pm iy(1, -1) \right\}, & \text{if } u = \frac{c}{2} + iy \\
\emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]
- \[ K_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(c) \cap N_+(u) = \begin{cases} K_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(c), & \text{if } u = c \\
\emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]
\[ K_{\alpha_1}(c) \cap N_-(v) = \begin{cases} \{ \frac{c}{2}(0, 2) \pm iy(1, 0) \}, & \text{if } v = c + iy \\ \emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]

\[ K_{\alpha_2}(c) \cap N_-(v) = \begin{cases} K_{\alpha_2}(c), & \text{if } v = c \\ \emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]

\[ K_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(c) \cap N_-(v) = \begin{cases} K_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(c), & \text{if } v = c \\ \emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]

\[ K_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(c) \cap N_-(v) = \begin{cases} \{ \frac{c}{2}(2, 0) \pm iy(0, 1) \}, & \text{if } v = c + iy \\ \emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]

We discuss the component \( L^2_{\alpha,c}(\Gamma \setminus G, \kappa) \) parametrized by the 1-dimensional set \( K_{\alpha_1}(c) = (i\mathbb{R}, c) \) in detail. For this we may assume \( \Lambda = (it, c) \). Then,

\[ D_+(u, \Lambda) = -(c^2 - u^2)(t^2 + u^2) \]

is zero for \( \Re u > 0 \) if and only if \( u = c \). As the polynomial \( t^2 + u^2 \) does not vanish for any \( u \) with \( \Re u > 0 \), the minimum

\[ m_+(u) := \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |t^2 + u^2| > 0 \]

exists. Thus,

\[ |D_+(u, \Lambda)| \geq |c^2 - u^2| \cdot m_+(u) \]

is bounded away from zero if \( u \neq c \). But \( (c^2 - u^2)R_+(u) \) is an operator on this component which is bounded by \( m_+(u)^{-1} \), and which differs from \( R_+(u) \) by the constant \( (c^2 - u^2) \), so the resolvent \( R_+(u) \) itself just has a pole of order one in \( u = c \). Indeed, applying \( R_+(u) \) to \( \phi \) in the scalar product formula \([5]\) we get a share in the \( K_{\alpha_1}(c) = (i\mathbb{R}, c) \)-component of

\[ \frac{1}{u^2 - c^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t^2 + u^2} \text{res}_{\Lambda_2 = \frac{c}{2}} f(it + \Lambda_2) \, dt, \]

which has a simple pole in \( c = u \) and is bounded else.

In contrast, the operator

\[ D_-(v, (it, c)) = ((c + it)^2 - v^2) ((c - it)^2 - v^2) \]

has the zeros \( v \in c + i\mathbb{R} \) in case \( \Re v > 0 \). Within \( \mathbb{C} \), this set is a real line which we cannot bypass. The resolvent \( R_-(v) \) cannot be established as a meromorphic function for \( \Re v \leq c \). But for \( \Re v > c \) the minimum

\[ m_-(v) := \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |((c + it)^2 - v^2) ((c - it)^2 - v^2)| > 0 \]

exists. So does the resolvent, being bounded by \( m_-(v)^{-1} \).

One easily checks that these two cases essentially describe the other 1-dimensional components: If the intersection \( K_{\alpha}(c) \cap N_+(u) \) (resp. \( K_{\alpha}(c) \cap N_-(v) \)) is all of \( K_{\gamma}(c) \), then the resolvent \( R_+(u) \) (resp. \( R_-(v) \)) is meromorphic on \( \Re u > 0 \) (resp. \( \Re v > 0 \)) with a single pole of order one in \( u = c \) (resp. \( v = c \)). But if the intersection \( K_{\gamma}(c) \cap N_+(u) \) (resp. \( K_{\gamma}(c) \cap N_-(v) \)) consists of at most two
 points, then on the line $\text{Re} \, u = \frac{c}{2}$ (resp. $\text{Re} \, v = c$) the resolvent $R_+(u)$ (resp. $R_-(v)$) has singularities we cannot deal with.

**Proposition 3.3.** On the 1-dimensional continuous spectral components of $L^2(B)$, the resolvent $R_+(u)$ exists for $\text{Re} \, u > \frac{1}{2}$ as a meromorphic function having poles of order one for finitely many values of $u$, where $u = 1$ occurs. The resolvent $R_-(v)$ exists for $\text{Re} \, v > 1$ as a holomorphic function.

**Proof of Proposition 3.3.** By the arguments above, we get a bound $b_+$ for $R_+(u)$ to exist, 

$$b_+ := \max \left\{ \frac{c}{2}, c \text{ occurring in one of the } K_{\alpha_1}(c), K_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(c) \right\}.$$ 

By Lemma 2.1, we have $c \leq 1$, where $c = 1$ actually occurs, as $H_{\alpha_1}(1)$ is one of the two walls margining the cone of convergence of the Eisenstein series. So $b_+ = \frac{1}{2}$. For $\text{Re} \, u > \frac{1}{2}$, the resolvent $R_+(u)$ has simple poles at $u = c$, for $c$ occurring in one of the $K_{\alpha_1}(c)$ and $K_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(c)$. Similarly, let 

$$b_- := \max \{ c, c \text{ occurring in one of the } K_{\alpha_1}(c), K_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(c) \} \leq 1.$$ 

Then $R_-(v)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re} \, v > b_-$. □

4. **Poincaré series**

**Definition 4.1.** Let the genus $m$ be arbitrary. Let $s_1, s_2$ be complex variables, and let $\tau$ be a positive definite $(m, m)$-matrix with half-integral entries. Define the Poincaré series

$$\mathcal{P}_\tau(g, s_1, s_2) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma} H_\tau(\gamma g, s_1, s_2),$$

where 

$$H_\tau(g, s_1, s_2) := \frac{\exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z))}{j(g, i)^\kappa} \text{tr}(\tau y)^{s_1} \det(y)^{s_2}.$$ 

Due to their exponential term we call them Poincaré series of exponential type. They are of weight $\kappa$. The function $H_\tau(g, s_1, s_2)$ is nonholomorphic (in the variable $g$) apart from $(s_1, s_2) = (0, 0)$. Klingen studied very closely defined series $P_\tau^0(g) = \sum h(\gamma g)$ for $h(g) = \exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)) \det(y)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}$, which converge for $\kappa > 2m$. But in section 4 we will fix genus $m = 2$ and $\kappa = 2m = 4$. So the Poincaré series do not converge in their point of holomorphicity. We will use other coordinates in this case which fit better into spectral theory:

**Definition 4.2.** For $m = 2$ define the Poincaré series $P_\tau(g, u, v)$ by

$$P_\tau(g, u, v) := \mathcal{P}_\tau(g, s_1, s_2),$$

where 

$$s_1 = \frac{v - 2u - 1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 = \frac{u - (\kappa - m)}{2}.$$ 

By abuse of notation, we usually omit the dependence on $\tau$ in our notations, i.e. $P(g, u, v) := P_\tau(g, u, v)$. 

**Definition 4.3.**
Figure 3. Cone A of convergence of the Poincaré series in case of genus $m = 2$.

**Theorem 4.3.** The series
\[ S_\tau(z,l_1,l_2) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau \gamma \cdot z)) \text{tr}(\tau \text{Im } \gamma \cdot z)^{l_1} \det(\text{Im } \gamma \cdot z)^{l_2} \]
converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets in the cone given by:

- (a) $\text{Re } l_1 \geq 0$ and $\text{Re } l_2 > m$
- (b) $\text{Re } l_1 \leq 0$ and $\text{Re } (l_2 + \frac{l_1}{m}) > m$.

For $(l_1, l_2)$ fixed, it is absolutely bounded by a constant independent of $\tau$ and belongs to $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

From this we deduce the properties of the Poincaré series.

**Corollary 4.4.** The Poincaré series $P_\tau(g, s_1, s_2)$ of weight $\kappa$ converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets within
\[ \{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Re}(2s_2 + \kappa) > 2m \text{ and } \text{Re}\left(\frac{2}{m}s_1 + 2s_2 + \kappa\right) > 2m\}. \]

It belongs to $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. For $m = 2$ the Poincaré series $P(g, u, v)$ have got the cone of convergence (see Figure 3)
\[ A = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Re } u > 2 \text{ and } \text{Re } v > 5\}. \]

**Proof of Corollary 4.4.** The series in Theorem 4.3 and the Poincaré series have the same series of absolute values if we identify
\[ l_1 = s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad l_2 = s_2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}, \]
as $j(g,i)^{-\kappa} = \det(y)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}$ for $y = \text{Im}(g \cdot i)$. The two domains of (a) and (b) glue together to the claimed one. The result for $P(g, u, v)$ is a coordinate transform of this. \(\square\)
To prove Theorem 4.3, one can show absolute convergence by estimating the series $S_\tau(z,l_1,l_2)$ against Eisenstein series. Then the two parts of the convergence area are quite obvious: While for $\text{Re}l_1 \geq 0$, the factor $\text{tr}(\tau y)^l_1$ is seized by the exponential factor, one uses Lemma 4.6 in case $\text{Re}l_1 < 0$ to seize the trace by the determinant. Then any of Borel, Klingen or Siegel Eisenstein series produce the same constraints. But for square integrability, we had to use truncations of Eisenstein series, which is hard work for higher genus. We prefer another approach inspired by [5]. There, Klingen uses a “reproducing integral” on the Siegel halfplane $H$ involving the function

$$\frac{\det(\text{Im} z)\det(\text{Im} w)}{|\det(z - \bar{w})|^2}.$$  

Looked at from group level, this formula is nothing else than the left-invariance of the Haar measure on $G/K$ applied to the $K$-bi-invariant function above. The following observations generalize Klingen’s trick from this point of view. They will prove Theorem 4.3 as a special case.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $f$ be some positive $K$-invariant function on $G$, and let

$$\mathcal{P}(g_z,f) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} e^{M \text{tr}(\tau\gamma z)} f(\gamma g_z),$$

where $M > 0$ is some real constant. Assume there is a $K$-bi-invariant positive function $u$ on $G$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) $\int_{G/K} u(g_z^{-1}g_w) \, dv_w = c_1 < \infty$

(ii) For

$$L(g_z) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\infty} \int_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} u(g_z^{-1}g_{\gamma^{-1}} g_w) \frac{e^{M \text{tr}(\tau \text{Im} z)}}{f(g_z)} \, dv_w$$

there exists a constant $c_2(z) > 0$ such that $L(\gamma g_z) \geq c_2(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\mathcal{P}(g_z,f)$ is absolutely convergent with $|\mathcal{P}(g_z,f)| \leq \frac{2c_1}{c_2(z)}$.

Notice that the constant $c_1$ of condition (i) is indeed independent of $g_z$, as the Haar integral is left-invariant. While the constant $c_2(z)$ of condition (ii) only depends on $\Gamma g_z K$. For example, we may choose the representative $z \in H$ to be reduced modulo 1.

**Proof of Proposition 4.5.** We have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} |L(\gamma g_z)e^{-M \text{tr}(\tau\gamma z)} f(\gamma g_z)| = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\infty} \int_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} u(g_z^{-1}g_{\gamma^{-1}} g_w) \, dv_w$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma, \mathcal{F}} u(g_z^{-1}g_{\gamma w}) \, dv_w = 2c_1,$$
by condition (i). As \( L(\gamma g_z) \geq c_2 \) by condition (ii), we deduce from the last equality

\[
|\mathcal{P}(g_z,f)| \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma} e^{-M \text{tr}(\tau \text{Im}(\gamma z))} f(\gamma g_z) \leq \frac{2c_1}{c_2(z)},
\]

\( \Box \)

The function

\[
u(g_z,g_w) = \frac{\text{det}(\text{Im} z) \text{det}(\text{Im} w)}{|\text{det}(z - w)|^2}
\]
is constant on the diagonal, symmetric, positive, and leftinvariant, i.e. satisfies \( u_j(hg_z, hg_w) = u_j(g_z, g_w) \) for all \( h \in G \).

**Lemma 4.6.** Let \( S \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{R}) \) be a symmetric positive definite matrix.

(a) There is a constant \( k = k(m) > 0 \) such that

\[
\text{tr}(S)^m > k \cdot \text{det}(S).
\]

(b) There are positive constants \( m_1 = m_1(S) \) and \( m_2 = m_2(S) \) such that for any symmetric positive definite \( Y \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{R}) \)

\[
m_1 \text{tr}(Y) \leq \text{tr}(SY) \leq m_2 \text{tr}(Y).
\]

**Proof.** This is wellknown and easy to prove. Choosing \( k = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( m_1 = S = m_2 \), the Lemma is obviously true in case \( m = 1 \). So let \( m \geq 2 \). We may assume \( S = \text{diag}(s_1, \ldots, s_m) \) to be diagonal, as for any \( S > 0 \) there exists an orthogonal matrix \( L \) such that \( L'SL \) is diagonal. Then use \( \text{tr}(S) = \text{tr}(L'SL) \) in (a), while (b) being true for all \( Y \) is equivalent to (b) being true for all \( LYL' \). For (a) we get by induction on \( m \)

\[
\text{tr}(S)^m = (s_1 + \cdots + s_m)^m = \text{tr}(S^m) + m! \cdot \text{det}(S) + R,
\]

where \( R \) is a polynomial in \( s_1, \ldots, s_m \) with positive coefficients, and where in any monomial at least two but at most \( m - 1 \) different \( s_j \) occur. So

\[
0 < \text{tr}(S^m) + R = \text{tr}(S)^m - m! \cdot \text{det}(S),
\]

which implies the claim with \( k = m! \). For (b) we have \( \text{tr}(SY) = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j Y_{jj} \). Choosing \( m_1 = \min_j \{s_j\} \) and \( m_2 = \text{tr}(S) \), the estimations hold. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 4.7.** The integral

\[
\int_{G/K} u(g_z,g_w)^k \, dg_w
\]
exists if and only if \( k > m \).

**Proof of Lemma 4.7.** In view of the left-invariance of the Haar measure, we may assume \( z = i \). Let \( w = x + iy \). We use the Cayley transform of the Siegel halfplane to the unit circle \( \mathbb{E}_m = \{ \zeta = \zeta' \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{C}) \mid 1 - \zeta > 0 \} \) given by

\[
w \mapsto \zeta = (w - i)(w + i)^{-1},
\]
which implies \( y = \frac{1}{2}(w + i)(1 - \zeta \zeta')(w + i) \). We get

\[
\text{det}(1 - \zeta \zeta') = 4^m \cdot u(g_i, g_w).
\]
Accordingly,
\[
\int_{G/K} u(g_i, g_w) \, dg_w = 4^{-mk} \int_{E_m} \det(1 - \zeta \bar{\zeta})^k \, dv_{\zeta}.
\]
Here the measure is chosen such that \( dv_{\zeta} = \det(1 - \zeta \bar{\zeta})^{-1} d\xi \, d\eta \) for \( \zeta = \xi + i\eta \). By [4, Theorem 2.3.1], the integral \( \int_{E_m} \det(1 - \zeta \bar{\zeta})^k \, dv_{\zeta} \) exists if and only if \( k > m \).

While Lemma [4,7] is a tool for condition (i) of Proposition [4,5] the following is helpful for condition (ii).

**Lemma 4.8.** There exists a compact set \( C \subset F \) with \( \text{vol}(C) > 0 \) and a polynomial \( Q \) with positive coefficients such that for all \( w \in C \) and all \( z \in H \) reduced modulo 1
\[
Q(\text{tr}(\tau y))^{-1} \leq \frac{u_2(g_z, g_w)}{\det(y)} \leq \text{const.}.
\]

**Proof of Lemma 4.8.** There is a compact set \( C \subset F \) with \( \text{vol}(C) > 0 \) such that for all \( w \in C \) and all \( z \) reduced modulo 1 we have
\[
|\det(z - \bar{w})|^2 \leq P(\text{tr}(\tau y)),
\]
for some polynomial \( P \) with strictly positive coefficients. Thus,
\[
Q(\text{tr}(\tau y))^{-1} \leq \frac{u_2(g_z, g_w)}{\det(y)},
\]
where \( Q \) equals \( P \) up to a multiple constant depending on \( C \). As this fraction is bounded for \( w \) and \( z \) as above, (11) follows.

**Proof of Theorem 4.3.** Define for \( z = x + iy \)
\[
h_\tau(z, l_1, l_2) := \exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)) \text{tr}(\tau z)^{l_1} \det(z)^{l_2}.
\]
Then the series in question is
\[
S_\tau(z, l_1, l_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_\infty} h_\tau(\gamma \cdot z, l_1, l_2),
\]
and we have
\[
|h_\tau(g, l_1, l_2)| = e^{-2\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)} \text{tr}(\tau y)^{Re l_1} \det(y)^{Re l_2}.
\]
So we will assume \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) to be real. We prove part (a) first, so let \( l_1 \geq 0 \). We check conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4.5 for \( u(g_z, g_w)^{l_2} \). By Lemma 4.7 the constant
\[
c_1(l_2) := \int_{G/K} u(g_z, g_w)^{l_2} \, dw > 0
\]
exists iff \( l_2 > m \). So (i) is satisfied. By Lemma 4.8 we have for \( z \) reduced modulo 1 and \( w \in C \subset F \)
\[
\frac{u(g_z, g_w)^{l_2}}{\text{tr}(\tau y)^{l_1} \det(y)^{l_2}} \geq \tilde{Q}(\text{tr}(\tau y))^{-1},
\]
with \( \tilde{Q}(X) = X^{l_1}Q(X) \). Thus,

\[
L(g_z) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\infty}} \int_{\alpha \cdot \mathcal{F}} u(g_z, g_w)^{l_2} \frac{e^{2\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)}}{\text{tr}(\tau y)^{l_1} \det(y)^{l_2}} dv_w
\]

\[
\geq \int_C \frac{e^{2\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)}}{\tilde{Q}(\text{tr}(\tau y))} dv_w \geq c_2(l_1, l_2) > 0,
\]

where \( c_2(l_1, l_2) = \text{vol}(C) \cdot c_3 \) for any bound \( c_3 > 0 \) satisfying

\[
\frac{e^{2\pi X}}{\tilde{Q}(X)} > c_3.
\]

so \( c_2(l_1, l_2) \) is indeed independent of \( z \). Especially, condition (ii) of Proposition 4.5 is satisfied and we deduce that \( S_\tau(z, l_1, l_2) \) is absolutely convergent and bounded by

\[
|S_\tau(z, l_1, l_2)| \leq \frac{c_2(l_1, l_2)}{c_1(l_2)}.
\]

For \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) in any compact set we can choose the constants \( c_1, c_2 \) uniformly, so the series converges absolutely and uniformly there. As the constants \( c_1(l_2) \) and \( c_2(l_1, l_2) \) do not depend on \( g_z \), the series is square integrable, thus belongs to \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \). For part (b), that is \( l_1 \leq 0 \), we use Lemma 4.6(a) to estimate

\[
\text{tr}(\tau y)^{l_1} \leq k \cdot \det(y)^{\frac{l_1}{m}}
\]

for a constant \( k = k(m, \tau) > 0 \). Thus,

\[
|h_\tau(z, l_1, l_2)| \leq k \cdot |h_\tau(z, 0, l_2 + \frac{l_1}{m})|
\]

and accordingly, \( S_\tau(z, l_1, l_2) \) is majorized by \( S_\tau(z, 0, l_2 + \frac{l_1}{m}) \). The latter is already seen to be absolutely convergent and to belong to \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \) in case \( \text{Re}(l_2 + \frac{l_1}{m}) > m \). \( \square \)

5. Action of Casimir elements on Poincaré series

We give formulae for the action of the Casimir elements \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) on the Poincaré series. Some preparatory remarks are in due.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \) be of degree \( n \), then

\[
|XH_\tau(g, s_1, s_2)| \leq c \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n} |H_\tau(g, s_1 + j, s_2)|
\]

with a constant \( c > 0 \) depending only on \( X \) and \( \tau \).

**Corollary 5.2.** Within the domain of convergence of the Poincaré series,

\[
XP_\tau(g, s_1, s_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \Gamma} XH_\tau(\gamma g, s_1, s_2) \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G),
\]

for any \( X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_C) \).
Proof of Corollary 5.2. By Lemma 5.1, the right hand side is majorized by a sum of convergent Poincaré series $\mathcal{P}_r(g, s_1 + j, s_2)$. Thus, it belongs to $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ and equals the left hand side. □

We first remark the following basic Lemma.

Lemma 5.3. Let $g = pk$, where $k \in K$ corresponds to $k \in U$ and let $J = J(g) = T'^{-1}k$. Then $j(g, i) = det(J(g))$. For the basis elements of $\mathfrak{g}_C$ we have

\[
\begin{align*}
(E_-)_{ab}J &= 0, & (E_+)_{ab}J &= -2\bar{J}X^{(ab)}, & B_{ab}J &= -Je_{ba}, \\
E_-j(g, i) &= 0, & E_+j(g, i) &= -2j(g, i)\bar{k}'k, & B_{ab}j(g, i) &= -j(g, i)\delta_{ab}, \\
(E_-)_{ab}J &= 2fX^{(ab)}, & (E_+)_{ab}J &= 0, & B_{ab}J &= Je_{ab}.
\end{align*}
\]

For functions $h(z)$ of the Siegel halfspace, we have $Bh(z) = 0$ and (24, Chapter 3)

\[E_-h(z) = (-4i)J' (y\partial(h(z))y) J, \quad E_+h(z) = 4iJ' (y\partial(h(z))y) \bar{J}.\]

Here $\partial = (\partial_{ab})_{ab} = \frac{1+\delta_{ab}}{2}(\partial_{z_{ab}} - i\partial_{y_{ab}})$. For convenience, we collect some easy formulae:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial(e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)}) &= 0, & \partial(e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)}) &= 2\pi i \tau e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)}, \\
\partial_y(\text{tr}(\tau y)) &= \tau, & \partial_y(\det(y)) &= \det(y)y^{-1}, \\
\partial_y(y_{ab}) &= X^{(ab)}, & \partial(f(y)) &= \frac{i}{2} \partial_y(f(y)) = -\partial(f(y)).
\end{align*}
\]

Proof of Lemma 5.7. The function

\[H_+(g, s_1, s_2) = \frac{\exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z))}{j(g, i)^\kappa} \text{tr}(\tau y)^{s_1} \det(y)^{s_2}\]

belongs to $C^\infty$. Using Lemma 5.3 we compute the action of the basis of $\mathfrak{g}_C$: 

\[
\begin{align*}
B_{ab}H_+(g, s_1, s_2) &= \delta_{ab}\kappa H_+(g, s_1, s_2), \\
(E_-)_{ab}H_+(g, s_1, s_2) &= 2s_1H_+(g, s_1 - 1, s_2)(k'T\tau Tk)_{ab} \\
&+ 2s_2H_+(g, s_1, s_2)(\bar{k}'k)_{ab}, \\
(E_+)_{ab}H_+(g, s_1, s_2) &= 2(\kappa + s_2)H_+(g, s_1, s_2)(\bar{k}'k)_{ab} \\
&- 8\pi H_+(g, s_1, s_2)(\bar{k}'T\tau Tk)_{ab} \\
&+ 2s_1H_+(g, s_1 - 1, s_2)(\bar{k}'T\tau Tk)_{ab}.
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that any single element of $T\tau T$ is seized by $c(\tau) \text{tr}(\tau y)$, for some constant $c(\tau) > 0$. So, as $K$ is compact, the claim follows for elements $X$ of $\mathfrak{g}_C$. The Lemma follows for arbitrary $X \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}_C)$ by iterating the argument above. □

In Corollary 5.2 notice that $X$ acts from the right, while the summation is over left translates. So for the action of $C_1$ and $C_2$ on the Poincaré series, we are reduced to compute the action on $H_+(g, s_1, s_2)$. By Proposition 1.6 it is enough to compute the actions of $\text{tr}(E_+E_-)$ and $\text{tr}(E_+E_-E_+)$. Notice that
$H_\tau$ is of the form $j(g, i)^{-\kappa} h(z)$, for a function $h$ of the Siegel halfspace. Define the differential operator

\begin{equation}
D(h) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{m} \left( \partial((y\tilde{\partial}(h)y)_{ab}) \right)_{ba}.
\end{equation}

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $m$ and $\kappa$ be arbitrary. Let $h$ be a smooth function of the Siegel halfspace. Then:

\[
\text{tr}(E_+ E_-)(j(g, i)^{-\kappa} h) = j(g, i)^{-\kappa}(16 \cdot D(h) + 8i(m + 1 - \kappa) \text{tr}(y\tilde{\partial}(h))).
\]

**Proof of Proposition 5.4.** We make frequent use of Lemma 5.3. By the product rule,

\[
\text{tr}(E_+ E_-)(j(g, i)^{-\kappa} h) = j(g, i)^{-\kappa} \text{tr}(E_+ E_-)(h) + \text{tr}(E_+ (j(g, i)^{-\kappa}) \cdot E_- (h)),
\]

where

\[
\text{tr}(E_+ (j(g, i)^{-\kappa}) E_- (h)) = -8i\kappa \cdot j(g, i)^{-\kappa} \text{tr}(y\tilde{\partial}(h)).
\]

The proposition follows once we have proved

\[
\text{tr}(E_+ E_-)h(z) = 8i(m + 1) \text{tr}(y\tilde{\partial}(h(z))) + 16D(h(z)).
\]

But this follows summing up the terms

\[
(E_+)_a (E_-)_{ba}(h) = (-4i)(E_+)_a (J' y\tilde{\partial}(h)yJ)_{ba} = 8i \sum_k (\tilde{J} X^{(ab)})_{ka} (y\tilde{\partial}(h)yJ)_{kb}
\]

\[
+ 16 \sum_{k,l} J_{ka} J_{lb} (\tilde{J}' y\tilde{\partial}((y\tilde{\partial}(h)y)_{kl})y\tilde{J})_{ab}
\]

\[
+ 8i \sum_k (y\tilde{\partial}(h)yJ)_{ak} (J X^{(ab)})_{kb};
\]

where $(\tilde{J} X^{(ab)})_{kb} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{kb} + \delta_{ab}) \tilde{J}_{ka}$. The first and the last sum yield $4i(m + 1) \text{tr}(y\tilde{\partial}(h))$ each, and the middle one yields $16D(h)$. □

Calculations like those for Proposition 5.4 yield:
Proposition 5.5. Let \( m \) and \( \kappa \) be arbitrary. Let \( h \) be a function of the Siegel halfspace. Then:

\[
\begin{align*}
j(g, i)^\kappa \text{tr}(E_+E_-E_+E_-)(j(g, i)^{-\kappa}h) &= \\
&= \left[ 8i(m+1)(m+1-\kappa)(m+2-2\kappa) \cdot \text{tr}(y\partial(h)) \\
&+ 16(m+1)(3m+4-4\kappa) \cdot D(h) \\
&+ 4i j(g, i)^\kappa \text{tr}(E_+E_-)(j(g, i)^{-\kappa} \text{tr}(y\partial(h))) \\
&- 32(m+1)(m+2-2\kappa) \sum_{a,b} (\partial((y\partial(h)y)_{ab}))_{ba} \\
&+ 16(4i)(m+2-2\kappa) \sum_{a,b,c} (\partial((y\partial((y\partial(h)y)_{ab}))_{cb}))_{ac} \\
&+ 16 \cdot (8i)(m+1-\kappa) \sum_{a,b,c} (\partial((y\partial((y\partial(h)y)_{ab}))_{cb}))_{ac} \\
&+ 16^2 \sum_{a,b,c,d} \left( \partial((y\partial((\partial((y\partial(h)y)_{ab})_{bc})y)_{cd}))_{da} \right)
\right].
\end{align*}
\]

6. Results for genus two and weight four

Fix genus \( m = 2 \) and weight \( \kappa = 4 \). Recall from Definition 4.2\( \textup{\textup{(14)}} \)

\[
s_1 = \frac{v - 2u - 1}{2}, \quad s_2 = \frac{u - 2}{2}
\]

in this case. According to Corollary 4.4 the Poincaré series

\[
P(g, u, v) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \frac{\exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau \gamma \cdot z))}{j(\gamma g, i)^\kappa} \text{tr}(\tau \text{Im}(\gamma \cdot z))^\frac{n-2n-1}{2} \det(\text{Im} \gamma \cdot z)^\frac{n-2}{2}
\]

converges in the cone defined by Re \( u > 2 \), Re \( v > 5 \). The point of holomorphicity \((u, v) = (2, 5)\) belongs to closure of \( A \). We give results on the action of Casimir elements \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) on the Poincaré series above using Propositions 5.4 and 5.5. The elementary but vast computations were verified by the computer algebra system Magma. These results crucially depend on the weight \( \kappa = 4 \).

\[
C_1 P(g, u, v) = 4(s_1^2 + 2s_1s_2 + 2s_2^2 + 2s_1 + 5s_2 + 8)P(g, u, v) \\
- 16\pi (s_1 + s_2)P(g, u, v + 2) \\
- 8 \det(\tau) s_1 (s_1 - 1)P(g, u + 2, v) \\
+ 32\pi \det(\tau) s_1 P(g, u + 2, v + 2),
\]
and

\[ C_2 P(g, u, v) = \]
\[
4(4s_1^4 + 16s_1^3s_2 + 24s_1^2 + 24s_1^2s_2 + 72s_1^2s_2 + 57s_1^2 + 16s_1s_2^2 \\
+ 72s_1s_2^2 + 114s_1s_2 + 46s_1 + 8s_2^4 + 40s_2^3 + 84s_2^2 + 51s_2 + 26)P(g, u, v) \\
-256\pi^2 \det(\tau)(s_1 + s_2)(4s_1 + 2s_2 + 1)P(g, u + 2, v + 4) \\
+32\pi \det(\tau)s_1(16s_1^2 + 36s_1s_2 + 30s_1 + 24s_2^2 + 40s_2 + 13)P(g, u + 2, v + 2) \\
-8\det(\tau)(s_1 - 1)(8s_1^2 + 24s_1s_2 + 28s_1 + 24s_2^2 + 60s_2 + 43)P(g, u + 2, v) \\
+512\pi^2 \det(\tau)^2 s_1(s_1 - 1)P(g, u + 4, v + 4) \\
-256\pi \det(\tau)^2 s_1(s_1 - 1)(s_1 - 2)P(g, u + 4, v + 2) \\
+32\det(\tau)^2 s_1(s_1 - 1)(s_1 - 2)(s_1 - 3)P(g, u + 4, v) \\
-16\pi(s_1 + s_2)(8(s_1 + s_2)(s_1 + s_2 + 4) + 37)P(g, u, v + 2) \\
+256\pi^2(s_1 + s_2)(s_1 + s_2 + 1)P(g, u, v + 4). \]

We are going to use the Casimir action to continue the Poincaré series analytically. If the Poincaré series produced by a Casimir operator \( D \) had an area of convergence larger than \( P(g, u, v) \) it was applied to, we could apply the resolvent of \( D \) to them and would get an analytic continuation of \( P(g, u, v) \) to that area as long as the resolvent exists. But both, \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \), applied to the series produce several series which aren’t of convergence better than \( P(g, u, v) \) itself at the same time. This is much better understood by Figure 4. There, the shifted series are marked according to their shifts (e.g. \( P(g, u + 2, v + 4) \) corresponds to a shift by \((2, 4)\)).
But defining
\[ D_+(u) := \frac{1}{2}(C_1^2 - C_2 + 11C_1 - 2(u^2 - 1)C_1 + 2(u^2 - 1)(u^2 - 4)) \]
we compute
\[ D_+(u)P(g, u, v) = \]
\[ 16 \det(\tau)^2 s_1(s_1 - 1)(s_1 - 2)P(g, u + 4, v) \]
\[ -128\pi \det(\tau)^2 s_1(s_1 - 1)(s_1 - 2)P(g, u + 4, v + 2) \]
\[ +256\pi^2 \det(\tau)^2 s_1(s_1 - 1)P(g, u + 4, v + 4) \]
\[ +8\det(\tau)s_1(s_1 - 1)(u + 1)(v + 1)P(g, u + 2, v) \]
\[ -182\det(\tau)s_1(s_1s_2 + \frac{5}{2}s_1 + \frac{4}{3}s_2^2 + \frac{2}{3}s_2 - 2)P(g, u + 2, v + 2) \]
\[ +64\pi^2 \det(\tau)(v - u - 3)(u - 3)P(g, u + 2, v + 4). \]
So there is an area \( B \) (see Figure 5) such that the shifts of \( x = (u, v) \) by \((4, 0), (4, 2), (4, 4), (2, 0), (2, 2), \) and \((2, 4)\) occurring in (15) belong to \( A \) if and only if \( x \in A \cup B \). That is, the occurring shifted Poincaré series have concerted area of convergence
\[ A \cup B = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Re } u > 0 \text{ and } \text{Re } v > 5\} \]
Similarly, defining
\[ D_-(v) := 2C_2 - C_1^2 - 34C_1 - 2(v^2 - 9)C_1 + (v^2 - 9)(v^2 - 1), \]
and calculating
\[ D_-(v)P(g, u, v) = +512\pi^2 (s_1 + s_2)(s_1 + s_2 + 1)P(g, u, v + 4) \]
\[ +64\pi(s_1 + s_2)(u - 1)(v + 1)P(g, u, v + 2) \]
\[ +128\pi \det(\tau)s_1(s_1 - 3)(v + 1)P(g, u + 2, v + 2) \]
\[ -1024\pi^2 \det(\tau)(s_1 + s_2)^2P(g, u + 2, v + 4), \]
for any point \( x = (u, v) \) the shifts (see Figure 6) by \((0, 4), (0, 2), (2, 2)\) and \((2, 4)\) belong to area \( A \) if and only if \( x \) belongs to \( A \cup C \). The four shifted Poincaré series occurring in (16) have concerted area of convergence
\[ A \cup C = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Re } u > 2 \text{ and } \text{Re } v > 3\} \]
Containing polynomials in the complex variables \( u \) and \( v \), the operators \( D_-(v) \) and \( D_+(u) \) aren’t selfadjoint any more but are very near to, as their real and imaginary parts are. The operators \( D_+(u) \) and \( D_-(v) \) originally were constructed such that only shifts in \( u \) or \( v \), respectively, occur. But their true shape is revealed by (8).
The resolvents of \( D_-(v) \) and \( D_+(u) \) were studied in section 3 (Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3). As the resolvent \( R_+(u) \) of \( D_+(u) \) is a meromorphic function for \( \frac{1}{2} < \text{Re } u \), we obtain the meromorphic continuation of the Poincaré series to the halfstripe \( B' \) (see Figure 7) by the \( L^2 \)-function
\[ P(\cdot, u, v) = R_+(u)P_+(\cdot, u, v). \]
Figure 5. A point \( x = (u,v) \) and its shifts corresponding to the shifted Poincaré series under \( D_+ (u) \). If \( x \) belongs to \( A \cup B \), then all the shifts belong to \( A \).

Analogously, \( R_- (v) P_- (\cdot, u, v) \) establishes the meromorphic continuation to the halfstrip \( C \), as the resolvent \( R_- (v) \) is meromorphic on \( 3 < \text{Re} v \). Now both, \( R_+ (u) \) and \( R_- (v) \) give meromorphic continuation to area \( D \). We can iterate this argument for \( R_- (v) \) as long as this resolvent exists as a meromorphic function, i.e. as long as \( \text{Re} v > 1 \) (area \( E \)). We get

**Theorem 6.1.** The Poincaré series \( P(\cdot, u, v) \) admits a meromorphic continuation in \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \) to the cone

\[
\{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Re} u > \frac{1}{2}, \text{Re} v > 1 \}.
\]

The poles are contained in a finite number of lines \( u = \text{const.} \) and \( v = \text{const.} \).

Theorem 6.1 is the best result we can get globally on \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \). But by the means of section 3 we can deduce exactly in which spectral components a pole in question occurs. According to the spectral decomposition, we may decompose the Poincaré series within its domain of convergence into its orthogonal spectral components

\[
P(\cdot, u, v) = P_{\text{cont}}(\cdot, u, v) + \sum_{\Lambda} P_{\Lambda}(\cdot, u, v).
\]
Figure 6. A point $x = (u,v)$ and its shifts corresponding to the shifted Poincaré series under $D_-(v)$. If $x$ belongs to $A \cup C$, then all the shifts belong to $A$.

Figure 7. Areas for continuation of the Poincaré series using the resolvents $R_+(u)$ and $R_-(v)$. 
Corollary 6.2. \( \text{(a) Any component } P_{\Lambda}(\cdot, u, v) \text{ of a discrete spectral component parametrized by } \Lambda \text{ has meromorphic continuation to the entire plane } \mathbb{C}^2. \text{ Its singularities are at most poles and lie on the lines } u = u_0 - 2N_0 \text{ and } v = v_0 - 2N_0, \text{ where } u_0 \text{ and } v_0 \text{ are zeros of } D_+(u, \Lambda) \text{ and } D_-(v, \Lambda) \text{ respectively.} \)

\( \text{(b) The component } P_{\text{Re } \Lambda=0}(\cdot, u, v) \text{ of the 2-dimensional continuous spectrum has analytic continuation to the cone } \{(u, v) \mid \text{Re } u > 0, \text{Re } v > 0\}. \)

\( \text{(c) Any component } P_{\gamma,c}(\cdot, u, v) \text{ of a 1-dimensional spectral component parametrized by } K_{\gamma}(c), \text{ where } \gamma = \alpha_1 \text{ or } \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, \text{ has meromorphic continuation to the cone } \{(u, v) \mid \text{Re } u > 0, \text{Re } v > c\}. \text{ Its singularities are at most poles of order one and lie on } u = c. \text{ Here } u = 1 \text{ occurs.} \)

\( \text{(d) Any component } P_{\gamma,c}(\cdot, u, v) \text{ of a 1-dimensional spectral component parametrized by } K_{\gamma}(c), \text{ where } \gamma = \alpha_2 \text{ or } \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \text{ has meromorphic continuation to the cone } \{(u, v) \mid \text{Re } u > \frac{c}{2}, \text{Re } v > 0\}. \text{ Its singularities are at most poles of order one and lie on } v = c. \)

For the analytic continuation to the critical point \((u, v) = (2, 5)\) we point out that we do not establish complete analyticity there, but boundedness along the line \(s_1 = 0\). This is exactly the line we need further on for the result on holomorphic projection.

Theorem 6.3. Let \(m = 2\) and \(\kappa = 4\). The \(L^2\)-limit
\[
P(\cdot, 2, 5) := \lim_{u \to 2} P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)
\]
exists. It is (formally) holomorphic and has got a \(C^\infty\)-representative.

Proof of Theorem 6.3. Spectral pole components in \((u, v) = (2, 5)\). As the limit point in question is in the boundary of the convergence area, the possible poles are those of the resolvent \(R_+(2)\) we use for continuation. They are at most simple. By Cor. 6.2 the continued Poincaré series do not have poles within the continuous spectrum, as \(u = 2 > \frac{1}{2}\) and \(v = 5 > 1\). So the only possible pole components are the discrete ones indexed by \(\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)\), for which
\[
D_+(2, \Lambda) = 0.
\]

Up to Weyl conjugation, these are \(\Lambda = (2, \Lambda_2)\).

The limit \(P(\cdot, 2, 5) := \lim_{(u,v) \to (2,5)} P(\cdot, u, v)\) exists in \(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)\). Along the limit series \(s_1 = 0\), equivalently, \(v = 2u + 1\), we find using
\[
D_+(2) = D_+(u) + (u^2 - 4)(C_1 - (u^2 - 1))
\]
and (15)
\[
D_+(2)P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = (u^2 - 4)(C_1 - (u^2 - 1))P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) + 64\pi^2 \text{det}(\tau)(u - 2)(u - 3)P(\cdot, u + 2, 2u + 5).
\]

Thus,
\[
D_+(2)^n P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = (u^2 - 4)^n (C_1 - (u^2 - 1))^n P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) + (u - 2)P(\cdot, u),
\]
where \( \mathcal{P}(\cdot, u) \) is a symbol for a \( \mathbb{C}[u] \)-linear combination of Poincaré series actually converging in \((u, v) = (2, 5)\). Choosing \( n = 2 \) greater than the maximal possible pole order in \((u, v) = (2, 5)\), we have

\[
\lim_{u \to 2}(u^2 - 4)^n(C_1 - (u^2 - 1))^n \mathcal{P}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = 0
\]

as well as

\[
\lim_{u \to 2}(u - 2)\mathcal{P}(\cdot, u) = 0.
\]

Applying Schwarz’ inequality, we deduce

\[
\lim_{u \to 2}\|D_+ (2)^n \mathcal{P}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)\|^2 = 0.
\]

Written according to the spectral decomposition,

\[
0 = \sum_{\Lambda} |D_+ (2, \Lambda)|^{2n} \lim_{u \to 2} \|\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)\|^2 \\
+ \lim_{u \to 2} \|D_+ (2)^n \mathcal{P}_{\text{cont}}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)\|^2.
\]

For the component of the continuous spectrum we deduce

\[
\lim_{u \to 2} \|\mathcal{P}_{\text{cont}}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)\|^2 = \lim_{u \to 2} |R_+ (2)^n D_+ (2)^n \mathcal{P}_{\text{cont}}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)|^2 \\
\leq \|R_+ (2)\|^2 \lim_{u \to 2} \|D_+ (2)^n \mathcal{P}_{\text{cont}}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)\|^2 = 0.
\]

While for any discrete spectral component the limit \( \lim_{u \to 2} \|\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)\|^2 \) exists and is nonzero only if \( D_+ (2, \Lambda) = 0 \). So the limit

\[
P(\cdot, 2, 5) := \lim_{u \to 2} P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)
\]

exists as \( L^2 \)-function.

*The only non-vanishing spectral component is indexed by \( \Lambda = (2, 3) \).* Along the line \( v = 2u + 1 \) we have

\[
D_-(2u + 1)P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = 128\pi^2 u(u - 2) P(\cdot, u, 2u + 5) \\
+ 64\pi u(u - 2)(u - 1)(u + 1) P(\cdot, u, 2u + 3) \\
- 256 \det(\tau)(u - 2)^2 P(\cdot, u, 2u + 5).
\]

Here the functions \( P(\cdot, u, 2u + 5) \) and \( P(\cdot, u, 2u + 5) \) are meromorphically continued to \( \text{Re} u > 1 \) with at most simple poles in \( u = 2 \) at the spectral zeros \( \Lambda = (2, \Lambda_2) \) of \( D_+(2, \Lambda) \). We describe their residues with help of the simple Casimir operator \( C_1 \), which acts by the scalar \( \Lambda_2^2 - 1 \) on the component indexed by \( \Lambda = (2, \Lambda_2) \). On \( s_1 = 0 \) we have

\[
C_1 P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = 4(2s_2^2 + 5s_2 + 8) P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) - 8\pi (u - 2) P(\cdot, u, 2u + 3).
\]

So as \( L^2 \)-functions

\[
(\Lambda_2^2 - 9) \lim_{u \to 2} \mathcal{P}(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = -8\pi \lim_{u \to 2} (u - 2) \mathcal{P}(\cdot, u, 2u + 3).
\]
While on $s_1 = 1$,

$$C_1(\cdot, u, 2u + 3) = 4(2s_2^2 + 7s_2 + 11)P(\cdot, u, 2u + 3) - 8\pi u P(\cdot, u, 2u + 5) + 32\pi \det(\tau) P(\cdot, u + 2, 2u + 5).$$

Here the last series $P(\cdot, u + 2, 2u + 5)$ converges in $u = 2$, so

$$(\Lambda_2^2 - 45) \lim_{u \to 2} (u - 2)P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 3) = -16\pi \lim_{u \to 2} (u - 2)P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 5).$$

So on the one hand

$$\lim_{u \to 2} D_-(2u + 1)P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = 128\pi^2 \lim_{u \to 2} u(u - 2)P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 5) + 64\pi \lim_{u \to 2} (u - 2)(u^2 - 1)P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 3) = 2(\Lambda_2^2 - 9)(\Lambda_2^2 - 45) \lim_{u \to 2} P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 1).$$

But on the other hand, by (11)

$$\lim_{u \to 2} D_-(2u + 1)P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 1) = (\Lambda_2^2 - 9) (\Lambda_2^2 - 49) \lim_{u \to 2} P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 1).$$

So for $\lim_{u \to 2} P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)$ not to vanish we must have $\Lambda_2^2 = 9$ or $\Lambda_2^2 = 65$. Here $\Lambda = (2, \pm \sqrt{65})$ is not the infinitesimal character of a spectral component of $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. It does not belong to the Eisenstein spectrum as $\|\Lambda\|^2 > \|\delta\|^2 = 5$. It does not belong to the (limits of) discrete series, as $\sqrt{65}$ is not integer. The only remaining spectral component of $\lim_{u \to 2} P(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)$ is indexed by $\Lambda = (2, 3)$ and belongs to the holomorphic limit of discrete series $\Pi_{(2,3)}$ of minimal $K$-type $(4, 4)$ (Prop. 11.7).

The $L^2$-limit $P = (\cdot, 2, 5) := \lim_{u \to 2} P_\Lambda(\cdot, u, 2u + 1)$ is formally holomorphic. We have seen $P(\cdot, 2, 5) = P_\Lambda(\cdot, 2, 5)$ for $\Lambda = (2, 3)$. As $C_1(\Lambda) = 8$ and $C_1 = \text{tr}(E_+ E_-) - \kappa m(m + 1 - \kappa) = \text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + 8$, we have

$$0 = \langle \text{tr}(E_+ E_-)P(\cdot, 2, 5), P(\cdot, 2, 5) \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1,2} \|E_+ P(\cdot, 2, 5)\|^2,$$

so $P(\cdot, 2, 5)$ is formally holomorphic.

The $L^2$-limit $P(\cdot, 2, 5)$ is represented by a $C^\infty$-function. Adding an appropriate term to the Casimir $\text{tr}(E_+ E_-) + 8$, we obtain an elliptic differential operator.

7. Holomorphic projection

Let $L^2_\kappa(\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{H})$ be the Hilbert space of functions on $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{H}$ of weight $\kappa$ with scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_\mathcal{F} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \det(y)^\kappa \, dv_z.$$
Define the following Poincaré series of weight \( \kappa \) on the Siegel halfplane \( \mathcal{H} \)

\[
p_{\tau}(z, s) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma} e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau \gamma \cdot z)} \frac{\det(\text{Im} \gamma \cdot z)^s}{j(\gamma, z)^{\kappa}}.
\]

As

\[
p_{\tau}(g \cdot i, s) = j(g, i)^{\kappa} p_{\tau}(g, 0, s),
\]

analytic properties are inherited:

**Corollary 7.1.** For \( \Re s + \frac{\kappa}{2} > m \), the series \( p_{\tau}(z, s) \) converges absolutely and locally uniformly in \( s \), and uniformly on the Siegel fundamental domain \( \mathcal{F} \). For \( m = 1 \) or \( m = 2 \) and \( \kappa \geq 2m \) the limit

\[
p_{\tau}(\cdot) := \lim_{s \to 0} p_{\tau}(\cdot, s) \in L_{\kappa}^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{H})
\]

exists and defines a holomorphic cuspform of weight \( \kappa \) for \( \Gamma \).

**Proof of Corollary 7.1.** This is the translation of convergence (Cor. 4.4, 7.3) and continuation (Thm. 6.3, 7.6) on group level. The uniform convergence on \( \mathcal{F} \) follows from

\[
|p_{\tau}(z, s)| \leq \det(y)^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}} c(s),
\]

and the fact that \( \mathcal{F} \) is contained in a stripe \( \det y > c > 0 \). The holomorphicity is equivalent to the formal holomorphicity of the Poincaré series on group level (see [24], p. 49). So \( p_{\tau} \) is a holomorphic modular form of weight \( \kappa \geq 2m \) which is square integrable. It is either a cuspform or a lift of one (or a sum of both). For the latter, we get from [25, Theorem 2], (see also [24, Lemma 9 and p. 108 Bemerkung]) the contradiction \( \kappa < m \). So \( p_{\tau} \) actually is a cuspform itself. \( \square \)

Now we describe the projection to the holomorphic part of the spectrum in \( L_{\kappa}^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{H}) \). For \( m = 2 \) and \( \kappa = 4 \), this is an application of our results. It is well-known for high weight \( \kappa > 2m \) ([17]) and for genus \( m = 1 \) ([2]). We prove Theorem 7.2 by the usual unfolding method. It is nearly word-by-word [2, IV.1] or [17, 2.4], respectively. We recall the gamma function of level \( m \)

\[
\Gamma_m(s) = \pi^{m(m-1)/4} \prod_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(s - \nu/2).
\]

For \( \Re s > \frac{m-1}{2} \) there is a representation by the Euler integral

\[
\Gamma_m(s) = \int_Y e^{-\text{tr}(y)} \det(y)^{s-\frac{m+1}{2}} \, dy,
\]

where \( Y = \{ y = y' \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \mid y > 0 \} \). Define further \( X := \{ x = x' \in M_m(\mathbb{R}) \mid |x_{jk}| \leq \frac{1}{2}, 1 \leq j, k \leq m \} \). Recall ([17, 2.4]) that a nonholomorphic modular form \( F \in \mathcal{M}_m(\Gamma) \) is of bounded growth if for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \)

\[
\int_X \int_Y |F(z)| \det(y)^{\kappa-(m+1)} e^{-\varepsilon \text{tr}(y)} \, dy \, dx < \infty.
\]
If $\kappa \geq m + 1$, equivalently, for an arbitrary $v \geq 0$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_X \int_Y |F(z)| \det(y)^v e^{-\varepsilon \text{tr}(y)} dy \, dx < \infty .$$

**Theorem 7.2.** Let $m = 1$ or $m = 2$ and let $\kappa = 2m$. Respectively, let $m$ be arbitrary and let $\kappa > 2m$. Let $F \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma)$ be of moderate growth and let

$$F(z) = \sum_\tau A_\tau(y) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)}$$

be its Fourier expansion. That is, the sum is over all symmetric, half-integral $\tau$, and the coefficients $A_\tau$ are smooth functions on $Y$. Define for $\tau > 0$

$$a(\tau) := c(m, \kappa)^{-1} \det(\tau)^{\kappa - \frac{m+1}{2}} \int_Y A_\tau(y) e^{-2\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)} \det(y)^{\kappa - (m+1)} dy ,$$

where $c(m, \kappa) := (4\pi)^{m\left(m - \frac{m+1}{2}\right)} \Gamma_m(\kappa - \frac{m+1}{2})$. Then the function $\tilde{F}$ given by the Fourier expansion

$$\tilde{F}(z) = \sum_{\tau > 0} a(\tau) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)}$$

is a holomorphic cuspform of weight $\kappa$ for $\Gamma$ and for all $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma)$ we have

$$\langle F, f \rangle = \langle \tilde{F}, f \rangle .$$

**Proof of Theorem 7.2.** $F$ being of bounded growth, the following integral exists for $\text{Re } s \geq 0$

$$\int_X \int_Y F(z) e^{-2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)} \det(y)^s \, dv_z$$

$$= \int_X \int_Y \sum_{\tau} A_\tau(y) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}((\tau - \tau)z)} e^{-2\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)} \det(y)^{s + \kappa - (m+1)} \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \int_Y A_\tau(y) e^{-2\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)} \det(y)^{s + \kappa - (m+1)} \, dy .$$

The value at $s = 0$ of the last expression is by definition

$$\int_Y A_\tau(y) e^{-2\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)} \det(y)^{s + k - (m+1)} \, dy|_{s=0} = c(m, \kappa) \det(y)^{\frac{m+1}{2} - \kappa} a(\tau) .$$

On the other hand, for $\text{Re } s + \frac{\kappa}{2} > m$, we get by unfolding

$$\langle F, p_\tau(\cdot, \bar{s}) \rangle = \int_F F(z) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau \gamma \cdot \bar{z})} \frac{\det(\text{Im } \gamma \cdot \bar{z})^s}{j(\gamma, z)^{\kappa}} \det(y)^{\kappa} \, dv_z$$

$$= \int_F \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F(\gamma \cdot \bar{z}) e^{-2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau \gamma \cdot \bar{z})} \det(\text{Im } \gamma \cdot \bar{z})^s \, dv_z$$

$$= \int_X \int_Y F(z) e^{-2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau \bar{z})} \det(y)^{s + \kappa} \, dv_z .$$
where we may interchange integration and summation because the Poincaré
series converges uniformly in vertical stripes with $\det y > c > 0$. The two sides
of this equation have analytic continuation to $s = 0$, which must be equal:
\[
\langle F, p_\tau \rangle = c(m, \kappa) \det(\tau)^{m+1/2-\kappa} a(\tau).
\]
Further, there exists a function
\[
\tilde{F}(z) = \sum_{\tau > 0} b(\tau) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(\tau z)} \in S_\kappa(\Gamma)
\]
which represents the antilinear mapping $S_\kappa(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}, f \mapsto \langle F, f \rangle$. That is, for
all $f \in S_\kappa(\Gamma)$,
\[
\langle F, f \rangle = \langle \tilde{F}, f \rangle.
\]
By the same calculation as for $F$, we find
\[
\langle \tilde{F}, p_\tau \rangle = b(\tau) \int_Y e^{-4\pi \text{tr}(\tau y)} \det(y)^{\kappa-(m+1)} \, dx \, dy
\]
\[
= b(\tau) c(m, \kappa) \det(\tau)^{m+1/2-\kappa},
\]
which is valid for $\kappa > m$. As $p_\tau$ itself is a holomorphic cuspform, we must
have $a(\tau) = b(\tau)$. □

**Appendix – The case genus one**

The classical case of genus $m = 1$ traces back to Selberg and Roelcke. Especially, the case $\kappa = m + 1 = 2$ is part of [2]. The methods used in this paper apply to genus one and this case gives a short outline of the ideas omitting the technical requirements for genus two. The specific arguments for $m = 1$ are
due to Rainer Weissauer.

Consider the symplectic group of genus one, that is the special linear group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The spectral decomposition of $L^2(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ with respect to the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by right translations is well known (see for example [9]). We use the common Langlands parameter $\Lambda$. So we have the Hilbert space orthogonal sum
\[
L^2(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) = L^2_{\text{cont}}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \bigoplus_{\Lambda} L^2_\Lambda(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))
\]
of isotypical components $L^2_\Lambda(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ of irreducible unitary representations $\Pi_\Lambda$ of infinitesimal character $\Lambda$, and the continuous spectrum $L^2_{\text{cont}}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. The Casimir operator $C_1$ is given by (cf. Corollary 1.2)
\[
C_1 = E_+ E_- + B^2 - 2B,
\]
where
\[
E_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm i \\ \pm i & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
are the generators of $\mathfrak{g}_C = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})_C$. The image of $C_1$ under the Harish-Chandra homomorphism is $\Lambda^2 - 1$. On the continuous spectrum we have $\Lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$, so the Casimir $C_1$ is negative definite, being bounded from above by $-1$. While the
discrete spectrum contains exactly one representation for which \( \Lambda^2 - 1 = 0 \) which contains the \( K \)-type \( \kappa = 2 \), namely the discrete series representation of lowest \( K \)-type \( -\kappa = -2 \), and further representations which satisfy \( \Lambda^2 - 1 > c \) for a constant \( c > 0 \).

Let \( \Gamma_\infty \) be the subgroup of translations in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). We define Poincaré series of weight \( \kappa = m + 1 = 2 \) by

\[
P_\tau(g, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} h_\tau(\gamma g, s),
\]

where \( \tau \) is a positive integer and for

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix} y^\frac{1}{2} & xy^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})
\]

and \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) the function \( h_\tau \) is t given by

\[
h_\tau(g, s) = e^{2\pi i \tau z} \frac{y^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}{j(g, i)^\kappa} = e^{2\pi i \tau (x+iy)} y^{\frac{\kappa}{2} + s} e^{i \kappa \theta}.
\]

The convergence result of Theorem 4.3 for genus \( m = 1 \) is:

**Corollary 7.3.** For genus \( m = 1 \) and \( \kappa = m + 1 \) the Poincaré series

\[
P_1^\tau(g, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} e^{2\pi i \tau \gamma z} \frac{(\text{Im} \gamma \cdot z)^{\text{Re} s - \frac{1}{2}}}{j(\gamma g, i)^{\kappa}}
\]

converges absolutely and belongs to \( L^2(\Gamma \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \) in case \( \text{Re} s > \frac{1}{2} \).

We usually write

\[
P_\tau(\cdot, s) = P_{\text{cont}}(\cdot, s) + \sum_\Lambda P_\Lambda(\cdot, s)
\]

according to the Hilbert space decomposition. Next we compute the action of \( C_1 \) on the Poincaré series. The constraint \( \kappa = m + 1 \) implies that the share of \( B_2^2 - 2B \) of the Casimir vanishes on the Poincaré series of weight \( \kappa \). We have

\[
C_1 h_\tau(g, s) = 16 j(g, i)^\kappa \partial y^2 \bar{\partial} (e^{2\pi i \tau z} y^s))
\]

\[
= 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}) h_\tau(g, s) - 16\pi \tau (s - \frac{1}{2}) h_\tau(g, s + 1).
\]

This result can be verified easily using the Casimir operator in its classical shape ([9], X, §2)

\[
C_1 = 4y^2 \left( \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 \right) - 4y \partial_x \partial_y
\]

for functions on \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), or by a short evaluation of Proposition 5.4 in case \( m = 1 \). Notice that for functions of level \( \kappa \), the operators \( E_\pm \) are the shift \( \pm 2 \)-operators ([9], VI, §5). The Casimir \( C_1 \) corresponds to the Laplacian on the Siegel halfplane up to a factor \( -\frac{1}{4} \). As we study a representation by right translations, we may sum up all the left-translates of Equation (17) to get

\[
C_1 P_\tau(g, s) = 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}) P_\tau(g, s) - 16\pi \tau (s - \frac{1}{2}) P_\tau(g, s + 1),
\]
and the Poincaré series $P_\tau(g, s + 1)$ on the right hand side actually converges for $\text{Re } s > -\frac{1}{2}$.

**Theorem 7.4.** The resolvent $R(s) = (C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}))^{-1}$ exists for $\text{Re } s > 0$ and $s$ outside a discrete set containing $s = \frac{1}{2}$, where it has a simple pole.

**Proof.** We compute the image of $(C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}))$ under the Harish-Chandra homomorphism,

$$\Lambda(C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4})) = \Lambda^2 - 4s^2.$$

For the continuous spectrum the zeros $s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ are contained in $i\mathbb{R}$. Restricting to the case $\text{Re } s > 0$, the operator $(C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}))$ is therefore injective on the continuous spectrum and we have

$$|C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4})| \geq 4|s|^2.$$

In the discrete spectrum we actually have zeros $s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$. But as $\Lambda$ takes discrete values only, these zeros are discrete as well. For example, $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is a zero for $\Lambda = \pm 1$. Apart from these zeros,

$$|C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4})| \geq \min_{\Lambda} \{|\Lambda^2 - 4s^2|\} =: c(s) > 0,$$

so the operator $(C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}))^{-1}$ exists if we assume $\text{Re } s > 0$. As we have

$$\| (C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}))^{-1} \| \leq \max\left\{ \frac{1}{c(s)}, \frac{1}{4|s|^2} \right\},$$

it is bounded. As the singularities are simple zeros of $\Lambda(C_1 - 4(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}))$, they are simple poles of $R(s)$.

**Corollary 7.5.** The Poincaré series $P_\tau(\cdot, s)$ is continued meromorphically to the area $\text{Re } s > 0$. It has a pole in $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of at most order one.

**Proof.** By equation (18), $R(s)\left(-16\pi\tau sP_\tau(g, s + 1)\right)$ defines the meromorphic continuation. As $R(s)$ has got a simple pole in $s = \frac{1}{2}$, the resulting pole of the continuation is at most simple. \(\square\)

For the limit $s \to \frac{1}{2}$ we apply the Casimir operator once more

$$\text{(19)} C_2^2 P_\tau(g, s) = 16(s^2 - \frac{1}{4})^2 P_\tau(g, s) - 64\pi\tau(s - \frac{1}{2})^2 (s + \frac{1}{2}) P_\tau(g, s + 1)$$

$$-16\pi(s - \frac{1}{2})C_1 P_\tau(g, s + 1).$$

Notice that the limit of the $L^2$-norm of each single term on the right hand side is zero as

$$\lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \| (s - \frac{1}{2})^2 P(\cdot, s) \| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \| (s - \frac{1}{2}) P(\cdot, s + 1) \| = 0.$$
Applying Schwarz’ inequality to the norm of Equation (19), we find
\[
\lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \|C_1^2 P_\tau(\cdot, s)\|^2 = 0,
\]
or written according to the spectral decomposition,
\[
0 = \sum_\Lambda |\Lambda(C_1)|^2 \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \|P_\Lambda(\cdot, s)\|^2 + \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \|C_1^2 P_{cont}(\cdot, s)\|^2.
\]
We deduce \( \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \|C_1^2 P_{cont}(\cdot, s)\| = 0 \). As \( C_1^2 \) is positive definite on the continuous spectrum, thus \( \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \|P_{cont}(\cdot, s)\| = 0 \). Further, \( \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} \|P_\Lambda(\cdot, s)\| = 0 \) as long as \( \Lambda(C_1) \neq 0 \). So the only nontrivially continued spectral component is that belonging to \( \Lambda = 1 \). Accordingly, we have an analytic continuation of the Poincaré series \( P_\tau(\cdot, s) \) to the point \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) as \( L^2 \)-function, and the only nontrivially continued spectral component is that belonging to the discrete series of minimal weight \( \kappa = 2 \). But now
\[
C_1 P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) = (E_+ E_-) P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) = 0,
\]
which implies
\[
0 = \langle (E_+ E_-) P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}), P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) \rangle = -\langle E_- P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}), E_+ P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) \rangle.
\]
That is, \( E_- P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) = 0 \) in \( L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \), that is \( P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) \) is formally holomorphic. Now \( C_1 \) can easily be changed to an elliptic differential operator by adding appropriate terms. So the limit \( P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) \) is the solution of an elliptic differential equation with \( C^\infty \)-coefficients. As local regularity of the coefficients is inherited by the solution, \( P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) \) itself is \( C^\infty \). We have proved:

**Theorem 7.6.** The limit
\[
P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) := \lim_{s \to \frac{1}{2}} P_\tau(\cdot, s)
\]
exists in \( L^2(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \). Its only nonzero spectral component belongs to the discrete series \( \Pi_{\Lambda=1} \). Thus, \( P_\tau(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}) \) is a zero of the Casimir operator. It is represented by a \( C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \)-function, which is actually holomorphic.
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