Effects of Intracellular Calcium and Actin Cytoskeleton on TCR Mobility Measured by Fluorescence Recovery
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Abstract

Background: The activation of T lymphocytes by specific antigen is accompanied by the formation of a specialized signaling region termed the immunological synapse, characterized by the clustering and segregation of surface molecules and, in particular, by T cell receptor (TCR) clustering.

Methodology/Principal Findings: To better understand TCR motion during cellular activation, we used confocal microscopy and photo-bleaching recovery techniques to investigate the lateral mobility of TCR on the surface of human T lymphocytes under various pharmacological treatments. Using drugs that cause an increase in intracellular calcium, we observed a decrease in TCR mobility that was dependent on a functional actin cytoskeleton. In parallel experiments measurement of filamentous actin by FACS analysis showed that raising intracellular calcium also causes increased polymerization of the actin cytoskeleton. These in vitro results were analyzed using a mathematical model that revealed effective binding parameters between TCR and the actin cytoskeleton.

Conclusion/Significance: We propose, based on our results, that increase in intracellular calcium levels leads to actin polymerization and increases TCR/cytoskeleton interactions that reduce the overall mobility of the TCR. In a physiological setting, this may contribute to TCR re-positioning at the immunological synapse.

Introduction

The activation of T lymphocytes by antigenic ligands displayed on the surface of antigen presenting cells (APC) is the central event in developing an adaptive immune response. During the T cell/APC interaction, TCR bind to peptide-major-histocompatibility-complex (pMHC) present on the surface of the APC [1]. T cells are observed to respond sensitively and specifically to antigenic stimulation [2,3].

Upon conjugation between T cells and APC, TCR and accessory molecules move towards the T cell/APC contact site, forming a signaling area at the interface that has been named the immunological synapse (IS) [4,5]. TCR movement towards the IS has been thoroughly documented and shown to reflect the intensity of antigenic stimulation [6,7] but we do not have a complete picture of how surface receptor signaling modulates TCR motion.

TCR motion on live cells has been studied using fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP, [8]) techniques. In a primary study, Sloan-Lancaster et al. showed that GFP-tagged CD25/ζ-chain chimera expressed on the surface of HeLa cells exhibit very low mobility [8]. Following this observation, we employed a TCR β-chain-deficient Jurkat T cell line transfected with a GFP/fusion TCR β-chain to measure lateral mobility of fully assembled TCR/CD3 complexes using FRAP. We showed that TCR are mobile on the surface of Jurkat T cells [9]. Using a different approach, M. Krummel and colleagues also showed that TCR are mobile on the surface of murine T cells and that they accelerate towards the IS during antigen recognition [10]. More recently, it has been shown that TCR dynamically form micrometer-scale clusters that move in a directed fashion towards the center of the IS during antigen recognition [11–13]. TCR recruitment to the center of the IS has been associated with productive signaling [5,14] and with TCR internalization [13,15].

TCR directed motion requires an energy-consuming mechanism and a natural candidate for this mechanism is an interaction between TCR and the cortical actin cytoskeleton (CAC). T cells...
need a functional actin cytoskeleton to form productive conjugates with APC [13,16,17] and cortical actin has further been implicated in the transport of IS components to the T cell/APC contact site [18–20]. However, an explicit link between the actin cytoskeleton and TCR dynamics has not been established at the molecular level. In particular it is not clear how activation signals triggered by TCR engagement might modulate TCR mobility via CAC-dependent mechanisms.

Here, we report on efforts to characterize TCR mobility in human T lymphocytes using fluorescence recovery techniques. We stimulated T cells using ionomycin, a drug known to increase the concentration of intracellular calcium ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]. We show that [Ca\(^{2+}\)], increase markedly reduces TCR mobility on the T cell surface via an actin cytoskeleton-dependent mechanism. We also show that actin polymerization increases following [Ca\(^{2+}\)], increase, suggesting a direct link between calcium induced CAC polymerization and constraints on TCR mobility on the T cell surface.

**Results**

**TCR mobility is similar in naïve and activated human T cells**

We initially focused on studying TCR mobility on unstimulated T cells. We used three CD4\(^{+}\) T cell populations: naïve T lymphocytes freshly isolated from cord blood (CBTL); T cells from a line obtained by cultivating for two weeks in vitro naïve T cells in the presence of magnetic beads coated with anti-CD3 plus anti-CD28 mAbs (activated CBTL); freshly isolated T lymphocytes from peripheral blood of adult healthy donors (PBL). We stained cells from each population using anti-CD3 Fab antibodies labeled with Cy5 [7,21] and performed FRAP experiments. We applied the method described in Dushek at al. [22] to analyze FRAP recovery curves and extract the mobility parameters of the TCR. Two parameters were calculated: the diffusion coefficient (D, generally expressed in \(\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}\)) and the mobile fraction (M\(_f\)), indicating the fraction of TCR that are mobile on the timescale of the experiment. The results of this analysis are presented in Figure 1 and Table 1. TCR had a diffusion coefficient (D, expressed as mean±SEM) of 0.049±0.008 \(\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}\) in CBTL, 0.035±0.006 \(\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}\) in activated CBTL and 0.061±0.009 \(\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}\) in PBL. The values of D were not found to be significantly different (p>0.05). The mobile fraction (M\(_f\)) of TCR was very high in all cell populations, supporting the notion that TCR are constitutively mobile on living T cells (Table 1).

These results show that the basic parameters of TCR dynamics on the surface of T cells are not significantly different in T cells at different stages of activation, indicating that TCR mobility is not involved in allowing activated T cells to be more responsive to antigenic stimulation.

[Ca\(^{2+}\)] increase affects TCR mobility by an actin cytoskeleton dependent mechanism

We next investigated whether calcium signaling in T cells could affect TCR mobility. To this end, T cells were loaded with the calcium probe FLUO-4 (a dye that increases its light emission when bound to Ca\(^{2+}\)) before staining with anti-CD3/Cy5 Fab antibodies. This allowed us to detect signal transduction in parallel with TCR dynamics [7,23]. We stimulated T cells with ionomycin to mimic the [Ca\(^{2+}\)] increase that follows productive TCR engagement [24]. Our results show that [Ca\(^{2+}\)] increase results in a substantial decrease in TCR lateral diffusion in PBL, as measured by a reduction of D (Fig. 2A and Table 1). Pre-treatment of T cells with 10 \(\mu\text{M}\) cytochalasin D (a drug that inhibits actin cytoskeleton function) abolished the effect of ionomycin on TCR mobility (Fig. 2A). The values of D were 0.017±0.002 \(\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}\) in PBL treated with ionomycin, 0.068±0.014 \(\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}\) in PBL treated with both cytochalasin D and ionomycin. Similar results were obtained when T cells were treated with 50 nM latrunculin B instead of cytochalasin D [24]. Interestingly, cytochalasin D/latrunculin B treatments did not significantly affect basal lateral mobility of TCR indicating that this process is not dependent on actin cytoskeleton function. Ionomycin treatment, although affecting TCR lateral diffusion (D values) did not affect the mobile fraction of TCR that was again

![Figure 1. TCR mobility does not depend on the activation state of T cells.](https://example.com/figure1.png)
close to 1 in the different samples (). Our results indicate that the activation of the calcium signaling pathway reduces TCR mobility in T cells by an actin cytoskeleton-dependent mechanism. They also show that [Ca] increase, although reducing the mobility of individual TCR, does not reduce the number of mobile molecules.

**[Ca^{2+}]** increase induces polymerization of the actin cytoskeleton

The above results suggested that, following [Ca^{2+}] increase, the actin cytoskeleton becomes a constraint for TCR mobility. To define a link between [Ca^{2+}] increase and actin cytoskeleton function, we used FACS analysis to measure F-actin (polymerized actin). PBL were either untreated or treated with increasing concentrations of ionomycin or latrunculin B (as a control of actin cytoskeleton de-polymerization) for 15 minutes at 37°C. Cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained with Alexa-488 labelled phalloidin to selectively tag F-actin. As shown in Figure 3, treatment with latrunculin B decreased the amount of F-actin in T cells in a dose-dependent fashion, supporting the notion that FACS analysis is suitable for quantification of F-actin [25].

**Modeling reveals effective TCR binding parameters to the actin cytoskeleton**

We have shown that the observed decrease in TCR mobility following ionomycin treatment is mediated by the cortical actin cytoskeleton. We can extend the pure diffusion model, used to obtain the results in Table 1, to include binding interactions between TCR and the actin cytoskeleton as follows.

![Figure 2. TCR mobility is modulated by intracellular calcium via the actin cytoskeleton. A. Mean FRAP recovery curves are shown for Peripheral blood T Lymphocytes (PBL, blue curve n = 61), PBL treated with cytochalasin D (PBL+CytoD, green curve n = 20), PBL treated with ionomycin (PBL+iono, orange curve n = 37), and PBL treated with ionomycin and cytochalasin D (PBL+iono+CytoD, light blue curve n = 25). B. Mean FRAP recovery curves are shown for PBL (blue line, n = 61) PBL treated with latrunculin B (PBL+LatB, pink curve n = 10), PBL treated with ionomycin (PBL+iono, orange curve n = 37), and PBL treated with ionomycin and latrunculin B (PBL+iono+LatB, red curve n = 10).](https://example.com/figure2)
\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 T - k_{on} T + k_{off} C
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = k_{on} T - k_{off} C
\]

where T is the concentration of diffusing TCR, C is the concentration of TCR bound to the cytoskeleton, D is the diffusion coefficient of TCR, \(k_{off}\) is the unbinding rate, and \(k_{on}\) is the effective binding rate. The effective binding rate is \(k_{on} = \bar{k}_{on} S\), where \(\bar{k}_{on}\) is the intrinsic binding rate of TCR directly to the cytoskeleton or binding through a putative mediator that links TCR to the cytoskeleton. S is the concentration of binding sites available to diffusing TCR which are assumed to be homogenously distributed. This binding plus diffusion model has been previously used in FRAP analysis to extract binding parameters [26].

In Table 2 we summarize the results of fitting the pure diffusion model and the binding plus diffusion model described above to the PBL data and the PBL+ionomycin data. When fitting the binding model the only free parameters are \(k_{on}\) and \(k_{off}\) and we set D = 0.0609 \(\mu m^2/s\), the free diffusion coefficient. The fitting procedure we used is described in Dushek et al [22]. We find that both the pure diffusion and binding models accurately fit both data sets (Figure 4). Using a statistical test to compare the explanatory power of each model (Akaike’s Information Criterion, AIC) we find that both models explain the PBL data equally well. However, we find that the binding model has significant additional power in explaining the PBL+ionomycin data (compare the probabilities in Table 2). This suggests that TCR binding is quite possibly responsible for the slow FRAP recovery after ionomycin treatment as opposed to explanations involving a smaller mobile fraction or a change in the underlying TCR diffusivity. In this case, the binding model reveals rapid binding kinetics between the TCR and the putative cytoskeletal binding partner with \(k_{on} = 0.22 s^{-1}\) and \(k_{off} = 0.05 s^{-1}\). Put another way, in the experiments with PBL+ionomycin, a TCR might be expected to bind the cytoskeleton on average every 5 s and unbind after 20 s.

**Discussion**

In the present work we have shown, in agreement with previous studies [9,10], that TCR are constitutively mobile on the surface of T cells. This lateral mobility (with a diffusion coefficient in the region of 0.05–0.1 \(\mu m^2/s\)) is in principle sufficient to allow individual TCR to patrol the entire T cell surface within about 30 minutes. The diffusivity of TCR is similar in T cells at different stages of activation, indicating that this parameter is not involved in allowing activated T cells to be more responsive to antigenic stimulation.

Fast lateral mobility and rapid cell surface patrolling by TCR may be instrumental in resting conditions to allow T cells to rapidly sense antigenic stimuli. However it seems likely that, upon antigenic stimulation, regulated TCR motion would be needed to...
permit spatially localized signaling. Accordingly we find that [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase (a central and early signal in T cell activation) induces a significant decrease of TCR mobility. Our results also show that this reduction in TCR mobility requires a functional actin cytoskeleton. This suggests that following [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase, TCR become associated with actin cytoskeleton or with actin binding proteins. Fitting a model for TCR diffusion and binding to an immobile partner to our data suggests that this may indeed be the case.

Our observations, by showing that TCR may be bound or trapped by F-actin, raise the question of how they could move towards the IS. It is well established that F-actin is enriched at the IS [27]. Moreover, work from M.M. Davis and colleagues showed that cortical F-actin moves towards the T cell/APC contact site in antigens stimulated T cells [18]. Our present work points towards an important role of actin cytoskeleton in control of TCR motion although we did not focus in this study on TCR mobility during T cell/APC cognate interaction.

We also showed that pharmacological [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase results in a increased level of F-actin in T cells. The concentration of ionomycin at which a clear shift in F-actin cellular content was observed was 2.5 μg/ml. In the FRAP experiments, 0.5 μg/ml ionomycin (a concentration previously used to activate T cells, [16]) was sufficient to induce a reduction of TCR mobility. The reason for this discrepancy is presently elusive. It is possible that the effect on TCR mobility precedes significant actin polymerization. Alternatively, differences in sensitivity between the two experimental methods could be the reason for the observed discrepancy. This result suggests that upon initial conjugation of a T cell with a cognate APC the productive and localized engagement of a small number of TCR may lead rapidly via [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase and actin polymerization to global control of TCR motion.

Mathematical models of immune synapse formation commonly include TCR transport towards the center of the immune synapse, driven by the actin cytoskeleton [14,28–31]. Important parameters in these models are effective reaction rates between TCR and the actin cytoskeleton, which to our knowledge have not been previously reported. For example, in the study of Burroughs et al., it was assumed that the effective on- and off-rates were identical and equal to 0.1 s\textsuperscript{-1}. It would be interesting to investigate the effects of a larger on-rate and smaller off-rate, as reported in the present work, on the results of this and other models.

Several lines of evidence established a link between CAC polymerization and TCR signaling [32]. Treatment of T cells with drugs affecting actin cytoskeleton such as cytochalasin D, aborts antigen induced [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase indicating that actin polymerization is required to sustain signaling in T cells [16]. Moreover, deficiency or mutation in several molecules regulating the actin cytoskeleton such as Vav-1, Gcd42 and Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) affect IS formation [33]. Some of these proteins such Nck and WASP are recruited to the TCR signaling area together with polymerized actin [34].

Interestingly, while actin cytoskeleton polymerization is usually considered to be required for the activation of calcium pathway [16,32], here an original reverse mechanism is proposed: [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase is shown to enhance actin cytoskeleton polymerization and in turn modulate TCR mobility.

We speculate that the binding or trapping of TCR by the actin cytoskeleton network could also be instrumental in favoring the assembly of the TCR associated signaling cascade. The notion that TCR move very rapidly is difficult to reconcile with the necessity of assembling complexes of adaptor molecules and signaling components at the IS. The observation that TCR mobility is affected by global [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase is compatible with the formation of localized signaling scaffolds favoring complex molecular interactions. This hypothesis is in agreement with reported data by M. Dustin and colleagues showing that a functional actin cytoskeleton is required to allow TCR micro-clusters to signal and that high concentration of polymerized actin is confined to TCR clusters [13]. It is tempting to speculate that global [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase induced by the engagement of a few TCR at the T cell/APC contact site (via polymerization of CAC) might lead to the rapid formation of a much greater number of TCR clusters in the nascent IS. If so, this would equip T cells with preformed signaling units ideal for sensitive detection of a limited number of antigenic ligands.

Our work outlines a previously unexpected link between the calcium pathway, the actin cytoskeleton and TCR mobility. Although we have not examined the detailed mechanisms of this triangular interaction our results highlight the ability of TCR populations to control their own mobility via calcium signaling.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell isolation and culture**

Purification of CD4\textsuperscript{+} human PBL: T cells were isolated from the whole blood of healthy volunteer donors (Centre de Transfusion Sanguine, CHU Purpan, Toulouse) as described [35]. Briefly, PBMC were purified from blood by centrifugation through Ficoll-Hypaque (Pharmacia Biotech, Sweden). CD4\textsuperscript{+} T lymphocytes were purified using RosetteSep Kit (StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada). Cell purity was assessed by FACS analysis (Facsan, Becton Dickinson) using FITC-labelled anti-CD4 mAb (clone RPA-T4, BD Pharmingen). CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells were cultured in complete RPMI 1640 (Gibco, Paisley, Scotland) containing 5% human serum. In parallel experiments, umbilical Cord Blood T Lymphocytes from healthy volunteer donors (CBTL) were purified from whole cord blood (kindly
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provided by the Obstetric Service of the Hôpital Paul de Viguier, CHU Purpan, Toulouse) as above described. In some cases CBTL were cultured and expanded for 14 days in RPMI 1640, 5% human serum, IL-2 (10 IU/ml) using Dynabead CD3/CD28 T Cell Expander (Dynalbiotech, Oslo, Norway). Bead: cell ratio was 1:1.

Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching
Anti-CD3ε mAbs (TR66, IgG1, [36]) were digested by using the IgG1 Fab and F(ab)’2 kit (Pierce biotechnology TM, Rockford). Fab fragments (5 mg/ml in 0.1 M Sodium carbonate, pH = 9.3) were labelled with Cy5 Monoreactive dye pack (Amersham BioscienceTM, Piscataway). The labelled Fab fragments were separated from non-conjugated dye by gel chromatography by the use of Nap-10 Columns (Amersham Bioscience). This step was followed by an overnight dialysis in 1x PBS by using a Lyser Dialysis cassettes (Pierce biotechnology) [7].

PBMC derived CD4+ T cells were washed and re-suspended in RPMI, 5% FCS, 1% FCS at 4°C pre-warmed 5% FCS/10 mM HEPES at 37°C and kept in ice until used for FRAP experiments. FRAP was performed in Lab-Tek chambers [Nalgene Nunc, Rochester, NY] in pre-warmed 5% FCS/10 mM HEPES at 37°C, 5% CO2 on a confocal microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) using a Plan-Apochromate 63X objective and the 633 nm laser. A rectangular region (2 μm x 1.4 μm) was defined on the surface of T cells. This region was irradiated using the 633 nm, 543 nm and 488 nm lasers with 100% intensity for 3 s. The aperture of the pinhole was adjusted to obtain optical slices of 2 μm depth. Before and after bleaching, the whole field was visualized by irradiating with 488 nm laser with 4% intensity and 633 nm laser with 17% intensity. Images were taken at 1 second intervals.

In some experiments, cells were treated either with ionomycin (0.5 μg/ml) at the time of the time-lapse recording. In some experiments cells were treated with cytochalasin D (10 μM) or latrunculin B (50 nM) before the beginning of the assay.

Fitting procedures
We used the method for FRAP measurements of surface diffusion described in [37]. Briefly, a small region of the cell membrane was bleached and the fluorescence recovery curve was fit using a two-dimensional recovery equation to estimate the TCR diffusion constant and the mobile fraction of TCR. The Matlab function lsqcurvefit was used for fitting.

We also fit a simple exponential to every FRAP experiment. Using the time-constant of the recovery as an indicator of TCR mobility gave the same conclusions as using the diffusion coefficient (analysis not shown).

Quantification of F-actin by phalloidin staining and flow cytometry
A method described by Downey et al [38] was used with some modifications [25]. Briefly, after incubation at 37°C with different drugs PBL were fixed with 3% PFA, permeabilized with 0.1% saponin and stained with 160 nM Alexa 488-labelled phalloidin. Cells were analyzed on a FACS Calibur (Becton Dickinson). Gating was done to exclude by forward and side scatter criteria cell debris and cell clumps. In some samples T cells were treated with 0.5–2.5 μg/ml ionomycin or with 50 to 500 nM latrunculin B for 15 minutes at 37°C, before fixation.

Acknowledgments
We thank Loic Dupre for discussion and critical reading of the manuscript.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: OD SM DC SV. Performed the experiments: SM SS DD IC. Analyzed the data: OD DC. Wrote the paper: OD DC SV.

References
1. Davis MM, Krogsgaard M, Huse M, Huppa J, Lillemoe BF, et al. (2007) T cells as a self-referential, sensory organ. Ann Rev Immunol 25: 681–704.
2. Harding CV, Unanue ER (1990) Quantitation of antigen-presenting cell MHC class II/peptide complexes necessary for T-cell stimulation. Nature 346: 574–576.
3. Irvine DJ, Purbhoo MA, Krogsgaard M, Davis MM (2002) Direct observation of ligand recognition by T cells. Nature 419: 845–849.
4. Monks CR, Freiberg BA, Kuiper H, Sciaik N, Kuiper A (1998) Three-dimensional segregation of supramolecular activation clusters in T cells. Nature 395: 62–66.
5. Grakoui A, Bromley SK, Sumen C, Davis MM, Shaw AS, et al. (1999) The immunological synapse: a molecular machine controlling T cell activation. Science 285: 221–227.
6. Parte B, Pitcher LA, van Oers NS, Wulfing C (2005) T cell receptor (TCR) clustering in the immunological synapse integrates TCR and costimulatory signaling in selected T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 2904–2909.
7. Depoil D, Zarin R, Guiraud M, Chauveau A, Harriague J, et al. (2005) Immunological synapses are versatile structures enabling selective T cell polarization. Immunity 22: 185–194.
8. Shao-Lanaster J, Preley J, Ellenbogen J, Yamazaki T, Lippincott-Schwartz J, et al. (1998) ZAP-70 association with T cell receptor zeta (TCRzeta): fluorescence imaging of dynamic changes upon cellular stimulation. J Cell Biol 141: 613–624.
9. Favier B, Burroughs NJ, Weidelson L, Valitutti S (2001) TCR dynamics on the surface of living T cells. Int Immunol 13: 1255–1302.
10. Moss WC, Irvine DJ, Davis MM, Krummel MF (2002) Quantifying signaling-induced reorganization of T cell receptors during immunological synapse formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 15028–15029.
11. Yokosuka T, Sakata-Sogawa K, Kobayashi W, Hiroshima M, Hashimoto-Tate A, et al. (2005) Newly generated T cell receptor microclusters initiate and sustain T cell activation by recruitment of Zap70 and SLP-76. Nat Immunol 6: 1253–1262.
12. Campa G, Varma R, Dustin ML (2005) Actin and agonist MHC-peptide complex-dependent T cell receptor microclusters as scaffolds for signaling. J Exp Med 202: 1031–1036.
13. Varma R, Campi G, Yokosuka T, Saito T, Dustin ML (2006) T cell receptor-proximal signals are sustained in peripheral microclusters and terminated in the central supramolecular activation cluster. Immunity 25: 117–127.
14. Cemerski S, Das J, Locasale J, Arnold P, Giurisato E, et al. (2007) The stimulatory potency of T cell antigens is influenced by the formation of the immunological synapse. Nature 26: 345–355.
15. Lee KH, Dinner AR, Tu C, Campi G, Raychaudhuri S, et al. (2005) The immunological synapse balances T cell receptor signaling and degradation. Science 302: 1210–1222.
16. Valitutti S, Dissing M, Aktories K, Gallati H, Lanavezzica A (1995) Sustained signaling leading to T cell activation results from prolonged T cell receptor occupancy. Role of T cell actin cytoskeleton. J Exp Med 181: 577–584.
17. Negulescu PA, Krasieva TB, Khan A, Kershbaum HH, Calahan MD (1994) Polarity of T cell shape, motility, and sensitivity to antigen. Immunity 4: 421–430.
18. Wulfing C, Davis MM (1998) A receptor/cytoskeletal movement triggered by costimulation during T cell activation. Science 202: 2266–2269.
19. Kainzka T, Dooglas AD, Varma R, Dustin ML, Vale RD (2007) Mechanisms for segregating T cell receptor and adhesion molecules during immunological synapse formation in Jurkat T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 20296–20301.
20. Dustin ML (2007) T-cell activation through immunological synapses and kinapses. Immuno Rev 221: 77–89.
21. Wiedemann A, Depoil D, Farouhi M, Valitutti S (2006) Cytotoxic T lymphocytes kill multiple targets simultaneously via spatiotemporal uncoupling of lytic and stimulatory synapses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 10985–10990.
22. Durack O, Das R, Coomb D (2008) Analysis of membrane-localized binding kinetics with FRAP. Eur Biophys J.
23. Farouhi M, Utzny C, Salio M, Cerundolo V, Guiraud M, et al. (2003) Lytic versus stimulatory synapse in cytotoxic T lymphocyte/target cell interaction: manifestation of a dual activation threshold. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 14143–14150.
24. Lewis RS (2001) Calcium signaling mechanisms in T lymphocytes. Annu Rev Immunol 19: 497–521.
25. Valitutti S, Desing M, Lanzavecchia A (1993) Role of cAMP in regulating cytotoxic T lymphocyte adhesion and motility. Eur J Immunol 23: 790–795.
26. Sprague BL, Pogo RL, Stavreva DA, McNally JG (2004) Analysis of binding reactions by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching. Biophys J 86: 3473–3495.
27. Rounier A, Olivo-Marin JC, Arpin M, Michel F, Martin M, et al. (2001) The membrane-microfilament linker ezrin is involved in the formation of the immunological synapse and in T cell activation. Immunity 15: 715–728.
28. Burroughs NJ, Wulfing C (2002) Differential segregation in a cell-cell contact interface: the dynamics of the immunological synapse. Biophys J 83: 1784–1796.
29. Figge MT, Meyer-Hermann M (2006) Geometrically repatterned immunological synapses uncover formation mechanisms. PLoS Comput Biol 2: e171.
30. Weikl TR, Lipowsky R (2004) Pattern formation during T-cell adhesion. Biophys J 87: 3665–3678.
31. Qi SY, Groves JT, Chakraborty AK (2001) Synaptic pattern formation during cellular recognition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 6548–6553.
32. Billadeau DD, Nole JC, Gonnez TS (2007) Regulation of T-cell activation by the cytoskeleton. Nat Rev Immunol 7: 131–143.
33. Tooley AJ, Jacobelli J, Moldovan MG, Douglas A, Krummel MF (2005) T cell synapse assembly: proteins, motors and the underlying cell biology. Semin Immunol 17: 65–75.
34. Barda-Saad M, Braiman A, Titerence R, Bunnell SC, Barr VA, et al. (2005) Dynamic molecular interactions linking the T cell antigen receptor to the actin cytoskeleton. Nat Immunol 6: 80–89.
35. Zaru R, Cameron TO, Stern LJ, Muller S, Valitutti S (2002) Cutting edge: TCR engagement and triggering in the absence of large-scale molecular segregation at the T cell-APC contact site. J Immunol 168: 4207–4211.
36. Lanzavecchia A, Scheidegger D (1987) The use of hybrid hybridomas to target human cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Eur J Immunol 17: 105–111.
37. Dushek O, Coombs D (2008) Improving parameter estimation for cell surface FRAP data. J Biochem Biophys Methods 70: 1224–1231.
38. Downey GP, Chan CK, Trudel S, Grinstein S (1990) Actin assembly in electroporpermeabilized neutrophil role of intracellular calcium. J Cell Biol 110: 1975–1982.