BSDEs generated by fractional space-time noise and related SPDEs
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Abstract. This paper is concerned with the backward stochastic differential equations whose generator is a weighted fractional Brownian field:

\[ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T Y_s W(ds, B_s) - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \]

where \( W \) is a \((d + 1)\)-parameter weighted fractional Brownian field of Hurst parameter \( H = (H_0, H_1, \cdots, H_d) \), which provide probabilistic interpretations (Feynman-Kac formulas) for certain linear stochastic partial differential equations with colored space-time noise. Conditions on the Hurst parameter \( H \) and on the decay rate of the weight are given to ensure the existence and uniqueness of the solution pair. Moreover, the explicit expression for both components \( Y \) and \( Z \) of the solution pair are given.
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1 Introduction and main result

Let \( \mathbb{R}^d \) be the \( d \)-dimensional Euclidean space. Let \( W = (W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d) \) be a weighted fractional Brownian field. Namely, \( W \) is a mean-zero Gaussian random field with the following covariance structure:

\[ \mathbb{E}[W(t, x)W(s, y)] = R_{H_0}(s, t)\rho(x)\rho(y) \prod_{i=1}^{d} R_{H_i}(x_i, y_i), \]  

where and throughout the paper, we assume \( H_i \in (1/2, 1) \) for all \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, d \), and \( R_H(\xi, \eta) = \left[ |\xi|^{2H} + |\eta|^{2H} - |\xi - \eta|^{2H} \right]/2 \), for all \( \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \rho(x) \) is a continuous function from \( \mathbb{R}^d \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) satisfying some properties which will be specified later. We consider the following (one dimensional) linear backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE for short) with fractional noise generator:

\[ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T Y_s W(ds, B_s) - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \]

where \( B \) is a \( d \)-dimensional standard Brownian motion. Our interest in this equation is motivated from the following three aspects.
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(a) The first aspect is the nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula (in our special case) which relates the following two stochastic differential equations: the first one is the backward doubly stochastic differential equation (BDSDE for short)

\[ Y_t^{t,x} = \phi(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) \, dr \]

\[ + \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) W(dr, X_r^{t,x}) - \int_s^t Z_r^{t,x} dB_r , \]

where \( X_s^{t,x} \) is the solution to the following stochastic differential equation

\[ dX_s^{t,x} = b(X_s^{t,x}) \, ds + \sigma(X_s^{t,x}) \, dB_s, \quad s \in [t,T], \quad X_t^{t,x} = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]

The second one is the stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE for short)

\[
\begin{aligned}
- du(t,x) &= [L u(t,x) + f(t,x, u(t,x), \nabla u(t,x) \sigma(x))] \, dt \\
&+ g(t,x, u(t,x), \nabla u(t,x) \sigma(x)) W(dt, x), \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.3)

where \( L \) is the generator associated with the Markov process \( X_s^{t,x} \). There are many articles along this direction since the work of [10]. Most scholars studied the BDSDEs under various conditions, whose solution can be used as the nonlinear Feynman–Kac formula to represent the solution to the correlated semi-linear SPDEs driven by white noise. We refer to [7, Theorem 5.1] and the references therein for the exact relation between the solutions of these two equations. It is worth noting that, BDSDEs and probabilistic interpretation (nonlinear Feynman-KAC formula) of SPDEs driven only by temporal white noise have been studied extensively in several directions, see e.g. [4], [5], [6] and [8]. Although Feynman-Kac formulas of (linear or non-linear) SPDE with spatial-temporal noise is obtained in [1], [12] and [16] for example, there are limited works to characterize the solution of SPDEs by using the solution of BSDEs. To the best of our knowledge only [7] and [9] dealt with such problems.

(b) If \( b = 0 \) and \( \sigma = 1 \), then \( L = \frac{1}{2} \Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \) is the half of the Laplacian. If further \( g(r,x,u,p) = u \), then the above SPDE (1.3) becomes

\[ - du(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u dt + u(t,x) W(dt, x), \quad u(T,x) = \phi(x) . \]

(1.4)

This equation has enjoyed a great attention in recent decade (when the terminal condition is replaced by the initial condition and the noise \( W(dt, x) \) is replaced by more singular one \( \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_d} W(dt, x) \)), often in the name of parabolic Anderson model. We refer to a survey work [11] and references therein for further study. Let us only point out that many works do not require that the noise is white in time in their study: For the SPDE in the above case (b), the associated BDSDEs becomes

\[ Y_s^{t,x} = \phi(B_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T Y_r^{t,x} W(dr, B_r^{t,x}) - \int_s^t Z_r^{t,x} dB_r , \]

(1.5)

where \( B_s^{t,x} = x + (B_s - B_t) \) is a \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motion starting at time \( t \) from the point \( x \). This equation is of the form (1.2). Its probabilistic interpretation, the explicit form and some sharp properties of solution will be the main focus of this paper.
To illustrate our main results of finding the explicit representation of the solution pair using partial Malliavin derivatives we shall follow the idea of [1]. Define (we shall justify it in the next section)

$$\alpha^t_s = \exp \left[ \int_s^t W(dr, B_r) \right]$$

(1.6)

and denote by $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(B_s, 0 \leq s \leq t; W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d)$ the $\sigma$-algebra generated by Brownian motion up to time instant $t \geq 0$ and $W(t, x)$ for all $t$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we have formally the following candidate for the solution pair

$$\begin{cases}
Y_t = (\alpha^t_0)^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \alpha^T_0 \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \quad \text{by } [13 \text{ Equation (2.11)}], \\
Z_t = D_t^BY_t = D_t^B\mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \quad \text{by } [13 \text{ Equation (2.23)}],
\end{cases}
\tag{1.7}
$$

where $D_t^B$ is the Malliavin gradient with respect to the Brownian motion $B$ (see next section for the definition and properties), and $\mathbb{E}^B$ is the expectation with respect to $B$ (explained in detail in the proof of Proposition 2.1). Here is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^d (2H_i - \beta_i) < 2$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{D}^{1,q}_B$ is measurable w.r.t. $\sigma$-field $\mathcal{F}_T^B$, for $q > \frac{2}{2H - 1}$, where $H = \min\{H_0, \ldots, H_d\}$. Then we have the following results:

1. The processes $\{(Y_t, Z_t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ formally defined by (1.7) are well-defined and square integrable, and they are the solution pair to the BSDE (1.2). Moreover, $Z$ has the following alternative expression:

$$Z_t = \mathbb{E}\left[ e^{\int_t^T W(dr, B_r)} D_t^B \xi + \int_t^T e^{\int_s^T W(dr, B_r)} Y_s (\nabla_\xi W)(ds, B_s) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

(1.8)

2. If for all $q > 2$, $\mathbb{E}|D_t^B \xi - D_s^B \xi|^q \leq C|t - s|^\kappa q/2$ for some $\kappa \in (0, 2)$, then for any $a > 1$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have the following Hölder continuity for $Y$ and $Z$:

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t - Y_s|^a \leq C_a |t - s|^{a/2}, \quad \mathbb{E}|Z_t - Z_s|^2 \leq C_C |t - s|(2H_0 + H - 1 - \varepsilon)^{\kappa}, \quad \forall s, t \in [0, T].$$

(1.9)

3. If a pair $(Y, Z)$ satisfies (2) for some $a, \kappa > 0$, then $(Y, Z)$ is represented by (1.7) and hence the BSDEs (1.2) has a unique solution.

4. If $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}^2_\xi(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{M}^2_\xi(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the solution pair of BSDEs (1.2) so that $Y, D^BY$ are $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ then the solution also has the explicit expression (1.7) and hence the BSDEs (1.2) has a unique solution.

**Remark 1.2.** Since we assume $H_0 > 1/2$, we see $2H_0 + H - 1 > 0$. We can only obtain the Hölder continuity of $Z$ in the mean square sense. We encounter the difficulty to deal with high moments for $Z$.

Now let us point out the novelty compared to two relevant works. In the work [9], the generator $W$ is a fractional Brownian motion (the generator $W$ does not depend on $x$). In the work [7] $W$ can depend on the space $x$, but it is assumed that that it is a backward martingale with to
time variable $t$ so that the backward martingale technology can be used. In our above theorem, neither the assumption that $W$ is independent of $x$, nor the assumption that $W$ is a backward martingale is assumed. In particular, we can obtain the explicit solution (for linear equation) and use this expression to obtain the some kind sharp Hölder continuity for the solution pair which, to our best knowledge, are new.

Here is the organization of this work. In next section, we shall show that the quantity $\int_s^t W(dr, B_r)$ in (1.7) is well-defined and is exponentially integrable so that $Y_t$ is well-defined. In Section 3 we obtain some properties of the process $Y_t$ and show that it is Malliavin differentiable and $Z_t$ is well-defined. We show that the pair $(Y_t, Z_t)$ is the solution to the linear BSDE (1.2). A great difficulty is that we need to show that the process $Y$ is in $S^2_p(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ and $Z$ is in $M^p(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ due to the singularity of the noise $W$ in the generator. We overcome this difficulty by Talagrand theorem 3.2, Borell theorem 3.3, and a new Lemma 3.7. In Section 4, we use the explicit expression to obtain Hölder continuity of the solution pair. The Hölder continuity of the process $Z_t$ is always a difficult problem (see e.g. [13, 18, 19]) however plays a critical role in numerical method. In Section 6, we discuss the relation between the linear BSDE (1.5) and the stochastic PDE (1.4).

2 Exponential integrability of $\int_t^T W(ds, B_s)$

Let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space, on which the expectation is denoted by $\mathbb{E}$. Let $\{B_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be a $d$-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Suppose $W = \{W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is a weighted fractional Brownian space-time field whose covariance is given by (1.1). The stochastic integral with respect to $W$ is well-defined in many references, and we refer to [11] and references therein for more details. We shall use this concept freely. For example, we denote $W(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t, x) W(dt, x) dx$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the set of all smooth functions with compact support from $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}$. We denote the spatial covariance as

$$q(x, y) = \rho(x)\rho(y) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(x_i, y_i), \quad \forall x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^T, \quad y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function of power decay, and we will specify the conditions that $\rho$ are satisfied later. It is known that

$$\mathbb{E}[W(h)W(g)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}} h(t, x) g(s, y) |s - t|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(x)\rho(y) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(x_i, y_i) ds dt dx dy \quad (2.2)$$

for all $h, g \in \mathcal{D}$. It is clear that $\langle h, g \rangle_\mathcal{H}$ is a scalar product on $\mathcal{D}$. We denote $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert space by completing $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to this scalar product.

Let $F$ be a cylindrical random variable of the form

$$F = f(W(\phi_1), \ldots, W(\phi_n)),$$

where $\phi^i \in \mathcal{D}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $f \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., $f$ and all its partial derivatives have polynomial growth. The set of all such cylindrical random variables is denoted by $\mathcal{P}$. If $F \in \mathcal{P}$ has the above
form, then $D^WF$ is the $\mathcal{H}$-valued random variable defined by

$$D^WF = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(W(\phi_1), \ldots, W(\phi_n)) \phi_j.$$ 

The operator $D^W$ is closable from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$, namely $D^W$ is the Malliavin derivative operator with respect to the fractional Brownian motion $W$. We define the Sobolev space $D_{1,p}^W$ as the closure of $\mathcal{P}$ under the following norm:

$$\|D^WF\|_{1,p} = (\mathbb{E} |F|^p + \mathbb{E} \|D^WF\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p)^{1/p}.$$ 

Let us denote by $\delta$ the adjoint of the derivative operator given by duality formula

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(u)F) = \mathbb{E} \langle D^WF, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for any $F \in D_{1,2}^W$, where $\delta(u)$ is also called the Skorohod integral of $u$. We refer to [3] and [2] for a detailed account on the Malliavin calculus. For any random variable $F \in D_{1,2}^W$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, we will often use the following formula in the text:

$$FW(\phi) = \delta(F \phi) + \langle D^WF, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$ 

Accordingly, we can define $D^B$ the Malliavin derivative operator with respect to the standard Brownian motion $B$ and $D_{1,p}^B$ the Sobolev space in the same way. We say a random field $F \in D_{1,p}^W$ if $F$ is an element both in $D_{1,p}^B$ and $D_{1,p}^W$.

The stochastic integral studied earlier is useful in this paper but is not sufficient for our purpose. We also need to introduce a new kind of nonlinear stochastic integral similar to that of Kunita ([20]). To this end, we introduce the approximation of $\dot{W}$ as follows.

$$\dot{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B_s) = \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_{\eta}(s - r)p_{\varepsilon}(B_s - y)W(dr, y)dy,$$

where $\varphi_{\eta}$ and $p_{\varepsilon}$ are the approximation of the Dirac delta functions:

$$\varphi_{\eta}(t) = \frac{1}{\eta}1_{[0, \eta]}(t), \quad p_{\varepsilon}(x) = (2\pi\varepsilon)^{-d/2}e^{-|x|^2/2\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for all } \eta, \varepsilon > 0.$$ 

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function of power decay, i.e., $\rho$ satisfying $0 \leq \rho(x) \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{d}(1 + |x_i|)^{-\beta_i}$, where $\beta_i \in (0, 2)$ and $2H_i > \beta_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ and suppose

$$\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^{d}(2H_i - \beta_i) < 2.$$ 

Then the stochastic integral $V_{t}^{\varepsilon, \eta} := \int_t^T \dot{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B_s)ds$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to a limit denoted by

$$V_t = \int_t^T W(ds, B_s).$$ 

Moreover, conditioning on $\mathcal{F}^B$, $V_t$ is a mean-zero Gaussian random variable with variance

$$\text{Var}^W(V_t) = \int_t^T \int_t^T |s - s'|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(B_s)\rho(B_{s'}) \prod_{i=1}^{d} R_{H_i}(B^i_s, B^i_{s'})dsds'.$$
Proof. Suppose $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta' \in (0, 1)$. Throughout the paper denote by $\mathbb{E}^W$ the expectation with respect to the random field $W$ which considers other random elements as fixed “constant”. For example, if $F(W, B)$ is a functional of $W$ and $B$, then $\mathbb{E}^W(F(W, B)) = \mathbb{E}(F(W, B) | \mathcal{F}^B)$. By Fubini’s theorem and \eqref{2.3}, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_t^T \hat{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B_s) ds \int_t^T \hat{W}_{\varepsilon', \eta'}(s, B_s) ds \right] = \alpha_{H_0} \int_t^T \int_t^T \int_t^s \int_t^{s'} \varphi_{\eta}(s-r) \varphi_{\eta'}(s'-r') p_{\varepsilon}(B_s-y) p_{\varepsilon'}(B_{s'}-y') \left| r-r' \right|^{2H_0-2} \rho(y) \rho(y') \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(y_i, y'_i) dy dy dr dr' ds ds' 
\]

(2.7)

\[
\mathbb{E}^{X, X'} \left\{ \rho(\sqrt{\varepsilon} X + B_s) \rho(\sqrt{\varepsilon'} X' + B_{s'}) \prod_{i=1}^d \left[ R_{H_i}(\sqrt{\varepsilon} X_i + B_{s_i}, \sqrt{\varepsilon'} X'_i + B'_{s'_i}) \right] \right\} dr dr' ds ds' 
\]

=: I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta'),

where $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d), X' = (X'_1, \cdots, X'_d)$ are independent standard random variables, which are also independent of $\mathcal{F}^B$.

To study the limit of above $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta')$ as $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta' \to 0$, we observe that, firstly \[ \text{Lemma A.3} \] directly yields

\[
\int_t^s \int_t^{s'} \varphi_{\eta}(s-r) \varphi_{\eta'}(s'-r') \left| r-r' \right|^{2H_0-2} dr dr' \leq |s-s'|^{2H_0-2}. 
\]

(2.8)

Moreover,

\[
q(y, y') = \frac{1}{2} \rho(y) \rho(y') \prod_{i=1}^d |y_i|^{2H_i} + |y'_i|^{2H_i} - |y_i-y'_i|^{2H_i} 
\]

\[
\leq C \rho(y) \rho(y') \prod_{i=1}^d (|y_i|^{2H_i} + |y'_i|^{2H_i}) 
\]

\[
\leq C \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + |y_i|^{2H_i})(1 + |y'_i|^{2H_i})(1 + |y_i|^{-\beta_i})(1 + |y'_i|^{-\beta_i}) \]

(2.9)

\[
\leq C \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + |y_i|^{2H_i-\beta_i})(1 + |y'_i|^{2H_i-\beta_i}) 
\]

where and throughout this paper $C$ is a generic constant depending only on $H_i, i = 1, \ldots, d$.

This can be used to show that

\[
I_1(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', s, s') := \mathbb{E}^{X, X'} \left[ \rho(\sqrt{\varepsilon} X + B_s) \rho(\sqrt{\varepsilon'} X' + B_{s'}) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(\sqrt{\varepsilon} X_i + B_{s_i}, \sqrt{\varepsilon'} X'_i + B'_{s'_i}) \right] 
\]

(2.10)
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is a pathwise bounded continuous function of $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', s, s'$ in the concerned domain (almost surely with respect to $B$). Thus, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta')]
= \alpha H_0 \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \int_t^T \int_t^s \varphi_\eta(s-r)\varphi_\eta'(s'-r')|r-r'|^{2H_0-2}I_1(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', s, s')dr'ds' \\
\leq \alpha H_0 \int_t^T \int_t^T |s-s'|^{2H_0-2} \mathbb{E} (1 + |B_s^i|)^{2H_i-\beta_i} (1 + |B_{s'}^i|)^{2H_i-\beta_i} ds'ds' \\
\leq C|T-t|^{2H_0} < \infty.
\]

Moreover, for $s \neq s'$, as $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta'$ tend to zero we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta' \to 0} I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta')
= \alpha H_0 \int_t^T \int_t^T \int_t^s \varphi_\eta(s-r)\varphi_\eta'(s'-r')|r-r'|^{2H_0-2}I_1(\varepsilon, \varepsilon', s, s')dr'ds' \\
= \alpha H_0 \int_t^T \int_t^T |s-s'|^{2H_0-2} \rho(B_s)\rho(B_{s'}) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_s^i, B_{s'}^i) ds'ds'.
\]

Therefore, if we put $\varepsilon = \varepsilon', \eta = \eta'$ and use the estimates (2.9) and (2.10), and with the help of Lebesgue's convergence theorem we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( V^\varepsilon_{t, \eta} - V^\varepsilon'_{t, \eta'} \right)^2 = \mathbb{E} \left( V^\varepsilon_{t, \eta} \right)^2 - 2\mathbb{E} \left( V^\varepsilon_{t, \eta} V^\varepsilon'_{t, \eta'} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left( V^\varepsilon'_{t, \eta'} \right)^2 \to 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon, \varepsilon', \eta, \eta' \to 0.
\]

As a consequence we have $V^\varepsilon_{t, \eta}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$. It has then a limit denoted by $V_t$, proving the proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function satisfying (2.4). Then, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \lambda \int_t^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \right] < \infty.
\]

**Proof.** From (2.6) and the first inequality in (2.9), it follows

\[
I := \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}^W \exp \left( \lambda \int_t^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \right]
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{\alpha H_0 \lambda^2}{2} \int_t^T \int_t^T \int_t^T |s-r|^{2H_0-2} \rho(B_s)\rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_s^i, B_r^i) dsdr \right) \right]
\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( C\alpha H_0 \lambda^2/2 \int_t^T \int_t^T |s-r|^{2H_0-2} \rho(B_s)\rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d \left( |B_s^i|^{2H_i} + |B_r^i|^{2H_i} \right) dsdr \right) \right].
\]

Note that

\[
\rho(B_s)\rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d \left( |B_s^i|^{2H_i} + |B_r^i|^{2H_i} \right) \leq 2^d \rho(B_s) \prod_{i=1}^d \sup_{s \in [t,T]} |B_s^i|^{2H_i}
\]
\[ \leq 2^d \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 + \sup_{s \in [t,T]} |B^i_s|)^{2H_i - \beta_i} \leq 2^d (1 + \sup_{s \in [t,T]} \sum_{i=1}^{d} |B^i_s|)^{\sum_{i=1}^{d} 2H_i - \beta_i} \]  
\[ =: C_d (1 + \sup_{s \in [t,T]} \sum_{i=1}^{d} |B^i_s|)^\alpha. \]

We have
\[ I \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( C \int_t^T \int_t^T \left| s - r \right|^{2H_0 - 2} dsdr \cdot \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [t,T]} \sum_{i=1}^{d} |B^i_s| \right)^\alpha \right) \right], \]

which is finite thanks to Fernique's theorem (e.g. \cite{3} Theorem 4.14]) since \( \alpha < 2 \), completing the proof of the proposition. \( \square \)

## 3 Linear backward stochastic differential equation

Now we consider the backward stochastic differential equation (1.2). In order to study the regularity of \((Y,Z)\), we approximate it by (2.3) and obtain the following approximation of (1.2):

\[ Y_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} = \xi + \int_t^T Y_s^{\varepsilon, \eta} \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^{\varepsilon, \eta} dB_s, \quad t \in [0,T]. \]  

(3.1)

Due to the regularity of the approximated noise \( \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta} \) and Proposition 2.2, we can explicitly express its solution as follows (see e.g. \cite{1} and references therein):

\[ \begin{align*}
Y_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(r, B_r) dr \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \quad \text{by [13] Equation (2.11)}, \\
Z_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} &= D^B \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(r, B_r) dr \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \quad \text{by [13] Equation (2.23)},
\end{align*} \]

(3.2)

where \( D^B = (D^B_1, \cdots, D^B_d)^T \) is the Malliavin gradient operator with respect to the Brownian motion \( B \), so that \( Z_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} \) is a \( d \)-dimensional vector.

We have proved that \( \int_t^T W(ds, B_s) \) is exponentially integrable in Proposition 2.2. Then we can define

\[ Y_t := \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \]  

(3.3)

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume \( \xi \in L^q(\Omega) \) for some \( q > 2 \). Then for any \( t \in [0,T] \), we have \( Y_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} \) converges to \( Y_t \) in \( L^p(\Omega) \) for all \( p \in [1, q] \).

**Proof.** Denote \( V_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} = \int_t^T \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B_s) ds \). Let \( q'p = q \) and \( 1/p' + 1/q' = 1 \). From (3.2), (3.3), Jensen's inequality and Hölder’s inequality it follows

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| Y_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} - Y_t \right|^p \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \xi \right|^p \left( \exp \left( V_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} \right) - \exp \left( V_t \right) \right) \right]^p \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \xi \right|^p \left( \exp \left( V_t^{\varepsilon, \eta} \right) - \exp \left( V_t \right) \right)^{pp'q'} \right]^{1/p'}. \]  

(3.4)
Similar to Proposition 2.2 we can prove
\[ \sup_{\varepsilon, \eta \in (0, 1]} \mathbb{E} \left| \exp(\lambda V_{t, \varepsilon, \eta}^\varepsilon, \eta) \right| < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Proposition 2.1 implies that \( V_{t, \varepsilon, \eta}^\varepsilon, \eta \rightarrow V_t \) in probability. Thus, we prove the proposition by Lebesgue’s convergence theorem.

Let us denote
\[ S_p^F(0, T; \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \psi = (\psi_s)_{s \in [0, T]} : \psi \text{ is a real-valued } \mathcal{F}\text{-adapted continuous process}; \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\psi_s|^p \right] < \infty \right\}. \]

To prove \( Y = \{ Y_t, t \in [0, T] \} \in S_p^F(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \) for all \( p \in [1, q] \), we shall first recall Talagrand’s majorizing measure theorem.

**Lemma 3.2.** (Majorizing Measure Theorem, see e.g. [15, Theorem 2.4.2]). Let \( T \) be a given set and let \( \{ X_t, t \in T \} \) be a centred Gaussian process indexed by \( T \). Denote by \( d(t, s) = \left( \mathbb{E} \left| X_t - X_s \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \) the associated natural metric on \( T \). Then
\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in T} X_t \right] \asymp \gamma_2(T, d) := \inf_{A} \sup_{t \in T} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n/2} \text{diam } (A_n(t)), \]
where “\( \asymp \)” indicates the asymptotic notation. Note that the infimum is taken over all increasing sequence \( A := \{ A_n, n = 1, 2, \cdots \} \) of partitions of \( T \) such that \( \#A \leq 2^n \) (\( \#A \) denotes the number of elements in the set \( A \)), \( A_n(t) \) denotes the unique element of \( A_n \) that contains \( t \), and \( \text{diam } (A_n(t)) \) is the diameter (with respect to the natural distance \( d(\cdot, \cdot) \)) of \( A_n(t) \).

We shall apply the above majorizing measure theorem to \( V_t = \int_t^T W(ds, B_s) \) as a random variable of \( W \) (which is Gaussian under the conditional law knowing \( B \)). The associated natural metric (which is a random variable of \( B \)) is (assuming \( t > s \))
\[ d(t, s) := \sqrt{\left( \mathbb{E} \left| W_t - W_s \right|^2 \right) - \left( \mathbb{E} \left| W_t \right|^2 - \mathbb{E} \left| W_s \right|^2 \right)} = \sqrt{\left( \mathbb{E} \left| W_t \right|^2 - \mathbb{E} \left| W_s \right|^2 \right)} \]
\[ = \sqrt{\int_t^s \int_s^t \alpha_{H_0} |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(B_u) \rho(B_v) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_u^i, B_v^i) \, du \, dv} \quad (3.5) \]
\[ \leq C_H \nu(B) |t - s|^{H_0}, \]
where \( C_H \) is a constant depending only \( H_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, d \) and \( \nu(B) := C_d (1 + \sup_{u \in [0, T]} \sum_{i=1}^d |B_u^i|^{\alpha}) \). (3.6)

Next, we choose the admissible sequences \( \{ A_n \} \) as uniform partition of \( [0, T] \) such that \( \#(A_n) \leq 2^{2^n} \\
[0, T] = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^{2^n} - 1} \left[ j \cdot 2^{-2^n - 1} T, (j + 1) \cdot 2^{-2^n - 1} T \right]. \)
Thus, we can deduce that, by Lemma 3.2

\[
E[W \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} V_t \right)] \leq C \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n/2} \text{diam} \left( A_n(t) \right) \tag{3.7}
\]

where \( A_n(t) \) is the element of uniform partition \( A_n \) that contains \( (t) \), i.e.,

\[
A_n(t) = \left[ j \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} T, (j + 1) \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} T \right)
\]

such that \( j \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} T \leq t < (j + 1) \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} T \).

Since \( (A_n) \) is a uniform partition, and by using the bound (3.5) we see the diameter of \( A_n(t) \) with respect to \( d(t, s) \) can be estimated by

\[
\text{diam} \left( A_n(t) \right) \leq C_H \nu(B) 2^{-H_0 2^{n-1}} T^{H_0}.
\]

Inserting this result into (3.7), we have

\[
E[W \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} V_t \right)] \leq C \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n/2} \text{diam} \left( A_n(t) \right) \leq C_H \nu(B) T^{H_0} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n/2} 2^{-H_0 2^{n-1}} \leq C_H \nu(B) T^{H_0}.
\]

We also need the following two results to show \( Y \in \mathcal{S}_\mathbb{P}^p(0,T;\mathbb{R}) \).

**Lemma 3.3.** (Borell-TIS inequality, see e.g. [14, Theorem 2.1]). Let \( \{X_t, t \in T\} \) be a centered separable Gaussian process on some topological index set \( T \) with almost surely bounded sample paths. Then \( E \left( \sup_{t \in T} X_t \right) < \infty \), and for all \( \lambda > 0 \),

\[
P \left( \sup_{t \in T} X_t - E \left( \sup_{t \in T} X_t \right) > \lambda \right) \leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\sigma_T^2} \right),
\]

where \( \sigma_T^2 := \sup_{t \in T} E \left( X_t^2 \right) \).

**Lemma 3.4.** If the process \( \{X_t, t \in T\} \) is symmetric, then we have

\[
E \left[ \sup_{t \in T} |X_t| \right] \leq 2E \left[ \sup_{t \in T} X_t \right] + \inf_{t_0 \in T} E \left[ |X_{t_0}| \right]. \tag{3.9}
\]

Now we can state and prove one of the main results of this work.

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose \( \xi \in L^q(\Omega) \) for some \( q > 2 \) and suppose that (2.3) holds. Then we have \( Y^{\varepsilon, \eta} \) converges to \( Y = \{Y_t, t \in [0,T]\} \in \mathcal{S}_\mathbb{P}^p(0,T;\mathbb{R}) \) for all \( p \in [1,\tilde{q}) \).

**Proof.** We just need to verify \( Y_t \in \mathcal{S}_\mathbb{P}^p(0,T;\mathbb{R}) \). Let \( q'p = q \) and \( 1/p' + 1/q' = 1 \). By (3.2) and Jessen’s inequality and Doob’s martingale inequality we see

\[
E \left[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} Y_t \right]^p = E \left[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} E^B \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T W(ds, B_s) \right) | \mathcal{F}_t^B \right] \right]^p \leq E \left[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} E^B \left[ |\xi|^p \exp \left( p \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |V_t| \right) | \mathcal{F}_t^B \right] \right]
\]
\[
\left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \|\xi\|_q^{1/p'} \left[ \mathbb{E} \exp \left( pp' \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |V_t| \right) \right]^{1/p'}.
\]

Denote by \( \|V\|_T := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} V_t \). From Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 it follows for all \( \lambda > 0 \),

\[
P^W \left\{ \|V\|_T - \mathbb{E}^W \|V\|_T \geq \lambda \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\sigma^2_T} \right), \tag{3.10}
\]

The above term \( \sigma^2_T \) is defined and bounded by

\[
\sigma^2_T = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}^W [V_t^2] \leq C_{T,H_0} \sup_{u \in [0,T]} \rho(B_u) \prod_{i=1}^d |B^i_u|^{2H_i} \leq C_{T,H_0,d} \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [t,T]} \sum_{i=1}^d |B^i_s| \right)^\alpha, \tag{3.11}
\]

by (2.6) and (2.13). From (3.10) we have for any \( m > 0 \),

\[
\mathbb{E}^W \left[ \exp \left( m \|V\|_T \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \exp \left( m \|V\|_T - \mathbb{E}^W \|V\|_T \right) \right] \cdot \exp \left( \lambda \mathbb{E}^W \|V\|_T \right)
\]

\[
\leq m \exp \left( \lambda \mathbb{E}^W \|V\|_T \right) \int_0^\infty e^{m\lambda} \mathbb{P} \left( \|V\|_T - \mathbb{E}^W \|V\|_T \geq \lambda \right) d\lambda
\]

\[
\leq 2m \exp \left( \lambda \mathbb{E}^W \|V\|_T \right) \int_0^\infty e^{m\lambda} \cdot e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2\sigma^2_T}} d\lambda
\]

\[
\leq 2\sqrt{2\pi} m \cdot \sigma_T \exp \left( mC_H T^{H_0} \nu(B) + \frac{m^2}{2} \sigma^2_T \right).
\]

Since for all \( x > 0 \), we have \( x \cdot e^{-x^2} \leq 2e^{-x^2} \). Therefore, taking account (3.6) and (3.11) it yields that, there is a constant \( C_{T,H,m,d} \) which only depends on \( T, m, d, H_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, d \) such that:

\[
\mathbb{E}^W \left[ \exp \left( m \|V\|_T \right) \right] \leq 4\sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left( C_{T,H,m,d} \left( 1 + \sup_{u \in [0,T]} \sum_{i=1}^d |B^i_u| \right)^\alpha \right).
\]

By the Fernique’s theorem we obtain \( \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \exp \left( m \|V\|_T \right) \right] \right] < \infty \), which implies \( \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_t|^p \right] < \infty \). That is to say \( Y = \{ Y_t, t \in [0,T] \} \in \mathcal{S}^p_F(0,T;\mathbb{R}) \) for all \( p \in [1,q) \). The convergence of \( Y^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) to \( Y = \{ Y_t, t \in [0,T] \} \in \mathcal{S}^p_F(0,T;\mathbb{R}) \) for all \( p \in [1,q) \) is routine and a little bit more complicated. But the essential estimates are the same as above.

Now we want to study the second component of the solution pair of (3.1), i.e. \( Z^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \{ Z_s^{\varepsilon,\eta}, s \in [0,T] \} \) defined by (3.2). Introduce the space

\[
\mathcal{M}^2_F(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \phi = (\phi_s)_{s \in [0,T]} : \mathbb{R}^d \text{-valued} \mathbb{F} \text{-progressively measurable and } \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |\phi_s|^2 ds \right] < \infty \right\}.
\]

**Theorem 3.6.** Denote

\[
\bar{H} = \max\{H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_d\} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{H} = \min\{H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_d\}.
\]

Suppose \( \sum_{i=1}^d (2H_i - \beta_i) < 2 \), terminal condition \( \xi \in \mathbb{D}^{1,q}_B \) is measurable w.r.t. \( \sigma \)-field \( \mathcal{F}^B_T \), for \( q > \frac{2}{2\bar{H}-1} \). Then \( Z^{\varepsilon,\eta} \in \mathcal{M}^2_F(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( Z^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) has a limit \( Z = \{ Z_s, s \in [0,T] \} \) in \( \mathcal{M}^2_F(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d) \).

This limit can be written as

\[
Z_t = D_t^{B} Y_t = D_t^{B} \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \left| \mathcal{F}_t \right. \right] \tag{3.13}
\]
Since it involves the term \( W \) into the above expression, we have
\[
Z_t \text{ in the expression of } D_t^B\{.
\]
Assuming we shall get around this difficulty by introducing two independent standard Brownian motions \( \mathbf{W} \) are identical copies of the Brownian motion \( \mathbf{B} \) given by (3.2). But it is inconvenient to deal with the Malliavin derivative of the conditional expectation. We find that it is more convenient to find \( D_r^BY_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) by working on (3.1) directly. In fact applying \( D_r^B \) to (3.1) yields
\[
D_r^BY_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = D_r^B\xi + \int_t^T \hat{W}_{r,\eta}(s, B_s)D_r^BY_s^{\varepsilon,\eta}ds + \int_t^T Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta}\nabla_x\hat{W}_{r,\eta}(s, B_s)I_{[0,\varepsilon]}(r)ds - \int_t^T D_r^Z_s^{\varepsilon,\eta}dB_s.
\]
Denote \( \tilde{Y}_t = D_r^BY_t^{\varepsilon,\eta}, \tilde{Z}_t = D_r^BZ_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) (we fix \( r \)) and we can rewrite the above equation as
\[
\begin{cases}
    d\tilde{Y}_t = -\hat{W}_{r,\eta}(t, B_t)\tilde{Y}_tdt - Y_t^{\varepsilon,\eta}\nabla_x\hat{W}_{r,\eta}(s, B_s)I_{[0,\varepsilon]}(r)dt + \tilde{Z}_tdB_t, & r \leq t \leq T \\
    \tilde{Y}_T = D_r^B\xi.
\end{cases}
\]
This is another linear backward stochastic differential equation, whose solution has the following explicit form.
\[
D_r^BY_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = E\left[ e^{\int_t^T \hat{W}_{r,\eta}(\tau, B_{\tau})d\tau} D_r^B\xi + \int_t^T e^{\int_s^T \hat{W}_{r,\eta}(\tau, B_{\tau})d\tau} Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta}\nabla_x\hat{W}_{r,\eta}(s, B_s)ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad t \geq r.
\]
By [1] Equation (2.11) and [1] Equation (2.23) we have
\[
Z_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = D_t^B Y_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = E\left[ e^{\int_t^T \hat{W}_{r,\eta}(\tau, B_{\tau})d\tau} D_t^B\xi + \int_t^T e^{\int_s^T \hat{W}_{r,\eta}(\tau, B_{\tau})d\tau} Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta}\nabla_x\hat{W}_{r,\eta}(s, B_s)ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] =: Z_t^{0,\varepsilon,\eta} + Z_t^{1,\varepsilon,\eta}.
\]
Assuming \( D_r^B\xi \) is nice, we \( Z_t^{0,\varepsilon,\eta} \) can be treated in exactly the same way as \( Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} \).

We shall focus our effort on showing \( Z_t^{1,\varepsilon,\eta} \in \mathcal{M}_F^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \). Substituting \( Y_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) given by (3.2) into the above expression, we have
\[
Z_t^{1,\varepsilon,\eta} = E\left[ \int_t^T e^{\int_s^T \hat{W}_{r,\eta}(\tau, B_{\tau})d\tau} \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T \hat{W}_{r,\eta}(u, B_u)du \right) \nabla_x\hat{W}_{r,\eta}(s, B_s)ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] ds.
\]
Since it involves the term \( \nabla_x\hat{W}_{r,\eta}(s, B_s) \), this term is much more difficult to deal with. We shall fully explore the normality of the Gaussian field \( W \). Moreover, there is a conditional expectation in the expression of \( Z_t^{1,\varepsilon,\eta} \) which seems to stop us carrying out any meaningful computations. We shall get around this difficulty by introducing two independent standard Brownian motions \( B^1, B^2 \) which are identical copies of the Brownian motion \( B \). Denote \( \mathcal{F}_t^{B^1,B^2} = \sigma\{B_s^1, B_r^2, 0 \leq s, r \leq T\} \).
Lemma 3.7. Use the following lemma to compute the above expectations. As random variables of \( W \) only denotes the expectation with respect to \( W \), which consider other random variables as "fixed constant". Then, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}^W \left[ Z_{t}^{1,\epsilon,\eta} \right]^2 = \mathbb{E}^W \int_t^T \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi(B^1) \xi(B^2) \left( \nabla_x W_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_1, B_{s_1}^1) \right)^T \nabla_x W_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_2, B_{s_2}^2) \exp \left( \int_t^T \left[ \hat{W}^H_{\epsilon,\eta}(u, B_u^1) + \hat{W}^H_{\epsilon,\eta}(u, B_u^2) \right] du \right) \right]_{B_1^1=B_2^2=B} ds_1 ds_2
\]

where \( I_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_1, s_2) \) is defined by

\[
I_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_1, s_2) := \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}^W \left\{ \nabla_{x_i} \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_1, B_{s_1}^1) \nabla_{x_i} \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_2, B_{s_2}^2) \exp \left( \int_t^T \left[ \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(u, B_u^1) + \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(u, B_u^2) \right] du \right) \right\}.
\]

Denote

\[
\begin{cases}
Z_{1,i}^{\epsilon,\eta} = \nabla_{x_i} \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_1, B_{s_1}^1); \\
Z_{2,i}^{\epsilon,\eta} = \nabla_{x_i} \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_2, B_{s_2}^2);
\end{cases}
\]

\[
Y_{\epsilon,\eta} = \int_t^T \left[ \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(u, B_u^1) + \hat{W}_{\epsilon,\eta}(u, B_u^2) \right] du.
\]

Then

\[
I_{\epsilon,\eta}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}^W \left\{ Z_{1,i}^{\epsilon,\eta} Z_{2,i}^{\epsilon,\eta} \exp \left( Y_{\epsilon,\eta} \right) \right\}.
\]

As random variables of \( W \) (namely for fixed \( B^1, B^2 \), \( Z_{1,i}^{\epsilon,\eta}, Z_{2,i}^{\epsilon,\eta}, Y_{\epsilon,\eta} \) are jointly Gaussians, we shall use the following lemma to compute the above expectations.

Lemma 3.7. Assume that \( X_1, X_2, Y \) are jointly mean zero Gaussians. Then

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ X_1 X_2 \exp(Y) \right] = \left( \mathbb{E}(X_1 Y) + \mathbb{E}(X_2 Y) + \mathbb{E}(X_1 X_2) \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Y^2) \right).
\]

Proof. For any constants \( s, t \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \exp(Y + sX_1 + tX_2) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Y + sX_1 + tX_2)^2 \right\}
\]

\[
= \exp \left[ \left\{ \mathbb{E}(Y^2) + s^2 \mathbb{E}(X_1^2) + t^2 \mathbb{E}(X_2^2) + 2st \mathbb{E}(X_1 X_2) \right\} / 2 \right].
\]

Thus

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ X_1 X_2 \exp(Y) \right] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \bigg|_{s=t=0} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Y + sX_1 + tX_2)^2 \right\}
\]

\[
= \left( \mathbb{E}(X_1 Y) + \mathbb{E}(X_2 Y) + \mathbb{E}(X_1 X_2) \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Y^2) \right).
\]

This is \( (3.17) \).
Applying the above Lemma to evaluate $I^{\varepsilon,\eta}(s_1, s_2)$ yields

$$
E^W \left[ Z^1_{t,\varepsilon,\eta} \right]^2 = \int_t^T \int_t^T E \left[ \xi(B^1)\xi(B^2) \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left( A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{1,i} + A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{2,i} + A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{3,i} \right) \right) \right] \exp \left( \frac{A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{i}}{2} | F_{t,B^1,B^2} | \right) ds_1 ds_2
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^d I^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{j,i,t},
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{1,i} & := E^W (Z^1_{1,i} Z^2_{2,i}), & A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{2,i} & := E^W (Z^1_{1,i} Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}), \\
A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{3,i} & := E^W (Z^2_{2,i} Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}), & A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{4} & := E^W ((Y^{\varepsilon,\eta})^2)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
I^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{j,i,t} := \int_t^T \int_t^T E \left[ \xi(B^1)\xi(B^2)A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{j,i} \exp \left( \frac{A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{i}}{2} | F_{t,B^1,B^2} | \right) \right] ds_1 ds_2.
$$

Let us consider $I^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{j,i,t}$ in details. The other terms can be treated in similar way. First, let us compute

$$
A^{\varepsilon,\eta}_{1,i} = E^W \left[ \nabla x_i W_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s_1, B^1_{s_1}) \nabla x_i W_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s_2, B^2_{s_2}) \right]
$$

$$
= \alpha H_0 \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \varphi_\eta(s_1 - r_1) \varphi_\eta(s_2 - r_2) |r_2 - r_1|^{2H_0 - 2} dr_1 dr_2
$$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla x_i p_\varepsilon(B^1_{s_1} - w) \nabla x_i p_\varepsilon(B^2_{s_2} - z) \rho(w) \rho(z) dR_{H_1}(w_i, z_i) dw dz
$$

$$
= J^p_1(s_1, s_2),
$$

where $J^p_1(s_1, s_2)$ and $J^p_2(s_1, s_2)$ are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
J^p_1(s_1, s_2) & := \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \varphi_\eta(s_1 - r_1) \varphi_\eta(s_2 - r_2) |r_2 - r_1|^{2H_0 - 2} dr_1 dr_2, \\
J^p_2(s_1, s_2) & := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla w_i p_\varepsilon(B^1_{s_1} - w) \nabla z_i p_\varepsilon(B^2_{s_2} - z) \rho(w) \rho(z) dR_{H_1}(w_i, z_i) dw dz
\end{align*}
$$

where we recall that $q(x, y)$ is the spatial covariance of noise given by (2.1). Notice that $J^p_2(s_1, s_2)$ is independent of $\varepsilon$. It is elementary to see that

$$
J^p_1(s_1, s_2) \leq \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \varphi_\eta(s_1 - r_1) \varphi_\eta(s_2 - r_2) |r_2 - r_1|^{2H_0 - 2} dr_1 dr_2 \to |s_2 - s_1|^{2H_0 - 2} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon, \eta \to 0.
$$

Moreover, for any $p < 1/(2 - 2H_0)$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$, by Hölder’s inequality we have

$$
|J^p_1(s_1, s_2)|^p \leq \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} |r_2 - r_1|^{(2H_0 - 2)p} dr_1 dr_2
$$

$$
\times \left( \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \varphi_\eta(s_1 - r_1) \varphi_\eta(s_2 - r_2) dr_1 dr_2 \right)^{p/q}.
$$
The above second factor is less than or equal to 1. Making substitutions $s_1 - r_1 \to r'_1 \eta$ and $s_2 - r_2 \to r'_2 \eta$ we have

$$\sup_{\eta \in (0, 1)} |J^\eta_1(s_1, s_2)|^p \leq \sup_{\eta \in (0, 1)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |s_2 - s_1 + \eta(r'_1 - r'_2)| \cdot (2H_0 - 2)^p \, dr'_1 dr'_2 < \infty. \quad (3.22)$$

Now we consider $J^\varepsilon_2$. Integration by parts yields

$$J^\varepsilon_2(s_1, s_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} p_\varepsilon(B^1_{s_1} - w)p_\varepsilon(B^2_{s_2} - z)\nabla w_i \nabla z_i q(w, z) dwdz$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} p_\varepsilon(B^1_{s_1} - w)p_\varepsilon(B^2_{s_2} - z) \prod_{j \neq i} R_{H_j}(w_j, z_j) \left[ \nabla w_i \rho(w) \nabla z_i \rho(z) R_{H_i}(w_i, z_i) \right.$$

$$\left. + \nabla w_i \rho(w) \rho(z) \left( H_i |w_i - z_i|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(z_i) - H_i |w_i - z_i|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(w_i - z_i) \right) \right.$$  

$$\left. + \rho(w) \nabla z_i \rho(z) \left( H_i |w_i - z_i|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(z_i) - H_i |w_i - z_i|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(w_i - z_i) \right) \right.$$  

$$\left. + \rho(z) \rho(w) \alpha_{H_i} |w_i - z_i|^{2H_i - 2} \right] dwdz$$

$$= J^\varepsilon_{21}(s_1, s_2) + J^\varepsilon_{22}(s_1, s_2),$$

where

$$J^\varepsilon_{21}(s_1, s_2) := E^{X, X'} \left[ \prod_{j \neq i} R_{H_j}(B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X_j, B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X'_j) \right.$$

$$\times \left( \nabla x_i \rho(B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X) \nabla x_i \rho(B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X') R_{H_i}(B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X_j, B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X'_i) \right.$$  

$$\left. + \nabla x_i \rho(B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X) \rho(B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X') \left( H_i |B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X'_i|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(B^2_{s_1} + \varepsilon X'_j) \right.$$  

$$\left. - H_i |B^2_{s_1} + \varepsilon X'_j|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(B^2_{s_1} + \varepsilon X'_i) \right) \right.$$  

$$\left. + \rho(B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X) \nabla x_i \rho(B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X') \left( H_i |B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X'_i|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(B^2_{s_1} + \varepsilon X'_j) \right.$$  

$$\left. - H_i |B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X'_j|^{2H_i - 1} \operatorname{sign}(B^2_{s_1} + \varepsilon X'_i) \right) \right]$$

and

$$J^\varepsilon_{22}(s_1, s_2) := \alpha_{H_i} E^{X, X'} \left[ \prod_{j \neq i} R_{H_j}(B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X_j, B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X'_j) \right.$$

$$\times \rho(B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X) \rho(B^2_{s_2} + \varepsilon X') |B^1_{s_1} + \varepsilon X_i - B^2_{s_2} - \varepsilon X'_i|^{2H_i - 2}$$

with $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d), X' = (X'_1, \cdots, X'_d)$ being independent standard Gaussian random variables, which are also independent of $B^1, B^2$. From the definition, we can consider $J^\varepsilon_{21}(s_1, s_2)$ as a random variable of $B^1$ and $B^2$. From the above expression it is easy to see that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} |J^\varepsilon_{21}(s_1, s_2)| \leq C \left( 1 + |B^1_{s_1}|^m + |B^2_{s_2}|^m \right), \quad (3.24)$$

for some positive constants $C$ and $m$. 
As concerns for $J_{j_1j_2}(s_1, s_2)$, we can find two constants $p, q$ satisfying $p < 1/(2 - 2H_0)$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$ such that by Hölder’s inequality,

$$J_{j_1j_2}(s_1, s_2) \leq \alpha H_i \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{X, X'} \left[ \prod_{d \neq i} (R_{H_i}(B_{s_1}^{1,j} + \varepsilon X_j, B_{s_2}^{2,j} + \varepsilon X_j'))^q \rho_i(B_{s_1}^1 + \varepsilon X) \rho_i(B_{s_2}^2 + \varepsilon X') \right] \right\}^{1/q} \times \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{X, X'} \left[ |B_{s_1}^{1,i} + \varepsilon X_i - B_{s_2}^{2,i} - \varepsilon X_i'|^{(2H_i-2)p} \right] \right\}^{1/p}.$$ 

By the Lemma A.1 of [1] the above second expectation is bounded by $|B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}|^{(2H_i-2)p}$. Thus, by the assumption on $\rho$ and by the definition of $R_H$ we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in [0,1]} |J_{j_1j_2}(s_1, s_2)| \leq C \left( 1 + |B_{s_1}^1|^m + |B_{s_2}^2|^m \right) \cdot |B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}|^{(2H_i-2)p}. \quad (3.25)$$

Moreover, from (3.20), (3.21) and (3.23) we have

$$\lim_{\eta, \varepsilon \to 0} A_{j_1j_2}^{\varepsilon, \eta} = \lim_{\eta, \varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \nabla_x W_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s_1, B_{s_1}^1) \nabla_x W_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s_2, B_{s_2}^2) \right] \left. \right|_{s = s_1}$$

$$= \alpha H_0 |s_2 - s_1|^{2H_0-2} \prod_{d \neq i} R_{H_i}(B_{s_1}^{1,j}, B_{s_2}^{2,j}) \left[ \nabla_x \rho_i(B_{s_1}^1) \nabla_x \rho_i(B_{s_2}^2) \rho(B_{s_1}^1) \rho(B_{s_2}^2) \right] R_{H_i}(B_{s_1}^{1,i}, B_{s_2}^{2,i})$$

$$+ \nabla_x \rho_i(B_{s_1}^1) \rho_i(B_{s_2}^2) \left( 2H_i |B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}|^{2H_i-1} \text{sign}(B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}) - 2H_i |B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}|^{2H_i-1} \text{sign}(B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}) \right)$$

$$+ \rho(B_{s_1}^1) \nabla_x \rho_i(B_{s_2}^2) \left( 2H_i |B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}|^{2H_i-1} \text{sign}(B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}) + 2H_i |B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}|^{2H_i-1} \text{sign}(B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i}) \right)$$

$$+ \alpha H_0 \rho_i(B_{s_1}^1) \rho_i(B_{s_2}^2) \left( B_{s_1}^{1,i} - B_{s_2}^{2,i} \right)^{2H_i-2}.$$ 

Using the spatial covariance $q(x, y)$, we can write

$$\lim_{\eta, \varepsilon \to 0} A_{j_1j_2}^{\varepsilon, \eta} = \alpha H_0 |s_2 - s_1|^{2H_0-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial y_j} q(x, y) \bigg|_{x = B_{s_1}^{1,i}, y = B_{s_2}^{2,j}}. \quad (3.26)$$

Analogously to (3.20), (3.22), (3.24) and (3.25), we can show the boundedness of other $A_{j_1j_2}^{\varepsilon, \eta}$'s uniformly w.r.t. $\varepsilon, \eta$. So we can apply the dominated convergence theorem below. In particular, we have

$$\lim_{\eta, \varepsilon \to 0} A_{j_1j_2}^{\varepsilon, \eta} = \alpha H_0 \int_t^T |s_1 - u|^{2H_0-2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} q(x, y) \bigg|_{x = B_{s_1}^{1,i}, y = B_{s_2}^{2,j}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} q(x, y) \bigg|_{x = B_{s_1}^{1,i}, y = B_{s_2}^{2,j}} \right] du.$$ 

$$\lim_{\eta, \varepsilon \to 0} A_{j_1j_2}^{\varepsilon, \eta} = \alpha H_0 \int_t^T |s_2 - u|^{2H_0-2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} q(x, y) \bigg|_{x = B_{s_2}^{1,i}, y = B_{s_2}^{2,j}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} q(x, y) \bigg|_{x = B_{s_2}^{1,i}, y = B_{s_2}^{2,j}} \right] du.$$ 

As for $A_{4,j_1j_2}^{\varepsilon, \eta}$, we have by definition of $Y^{\varepsilon, \eta}$

$$A_{4,j_1j_2}^{\varepsilon, \eta} = \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_t^T \int_t^T \left( \nabla \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(u, B_{u_1}^1) + \nabla \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(u, B_{u_2}^2) \right) \left( \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(v, B_{v_1}^1) + \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(v, B_{v_2}^2) \right) dvdu \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{4,i}^{\varepsilon, \eta}.$$
where

\[ A_{41}^{\varepsilon,\eta} := \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_t^T \int_t^T W_{\tau,\eta}(u, B_u^1) W_{\tau,\eta}(v, B_v^1) du dv \right], \]

\[ A_{42}^{\varepsilon,\eta} := 2 \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_t^T \int_t^T \dot{W}_{\tau,\eta}(u, B_u^2) \dot{W}_{\tau,\eta}(v, B_v^2) du dv \right], \]

\[ A_{43}^{\varepsilon,\eta} := \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_t^T \int_t^T \dot{W}_{\tau,\eta}(u, B_u^3) \dot{W}_{\tau,\eta}(v, B_v^3) du dv \right]. \]

Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1 we can show that \( A_{4i}^{\varepsilon,\eta} \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) can be bounded by a bound analogous to (2.10). Thus, we have

\[ \lim_{\eta,\varepsilon \to 0} A_{4i}^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \int_t^T \int_t^T \alpha_H |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \left[ q(B_u^1, B_v^1) + 2q(B_u^1, B_v^2) + q(B_u^2, B_v^2) \right] du dv. \] (3.27)

Combining the above with (3.22)-(3.27) enables us to apply the dominated convergence theorem to obtain

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon,\eta \to 0} I_{1,i,t}^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \alpha_H \int_t^T \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \xi(B_1) \xi(B_2) |s_2 - s_1|^{2H_0 - 2} \partial_{i,i} q(B_{s_1}, B_{s_2}) \right] + \mathcal{Y}(t, T, B_1, B_2) \big|_{B_1 = B_2 = B} ds_1 ds_2, \] (3.28)

where \( \partial_{i,i} q(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial y_i} q(x,y) \) and

\[ \mathcal{Y} := \exp \left\{ \int_t^T \int_t^T \alpha_H |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \left[ q(B_u^1, B_v^1) + 2q(B_u^1, B_v^2) + q(B_u^2, B_v^2) \right] du dv \right\}. \] (3.29)

In a similar way we can show the existence of the limits of \( I_{2,i,t}^{\varepsilon,\eta} \), \( I_{3,i,t}^{\varepsilon,\eta} \), and we can further identity these limits.

Thus, we can easily deduce that \( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_t^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2 dt \) exists. In order to take the limit, it would be sufficient to show that, along a subsequence, \( Z^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) converges to some \( Z \in \mathcal{M}_F^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \). But this is guaranteed by the fact that \( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_t^{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2 dt \) is bounded w.r.t. \( \varepsilon, \eta > 0 \). Indeed, as before we can also show that \( Z^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{M}_F^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \), whose limit is denoted by \( Z = \{Z_t, t \in [0, T]\} \). We can also write \( Z \) as (3.13) and (3.14) (whose justification is given through our above approximation).

After we have found the limit \( Y \) (Theorem 3.5) and the limit \( Z \) (Theorem 3.6), we want to show that they are the solution to (1.2). To this end we shall take limit in equation (3.1). Since we have shown the convergence of \( Y_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) and \( Z_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) as in Theorems 3.5 and Theorems 3.6 we only need to discuss the limit of \( \int_t^T Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} \dot{W}_{\tau,\eta}(s, B_s) ds \). Before discussing this limit we give the definition of a (Stratonovich) stochastic integral with respect to \( \int_t^T F_s W(ds, B_s) \).

**Definition 3.8.** Let be given a random field \( F = \{F_t, t \geq 0\} \) such that \( \int_0^T |F_s|^2 ds < \infty \) almost surely, for all \( T > 0 \). Then the Stratonovich integral \( \int_t^T F_s W(ds, B_s) \) is defined as the following limit in probability if it exists (compared this with Proposition 2.1 when \( F_s \equiv 1 \)):

\[ \int_t^T F_s \dot{W}_{\tau,\eta}(s, B_s) ds. \]
**Theorem 3.9.** Suppose \( \sum_{i=1}^{d}(2H_i - \beta_i) < 2 \) and \( \xi \in L^q(\Omega) \) for \( q > \frac{2}{2H - 1} \), where \( H = \min\{H_0, \ldots, H_d\} \). Then for any \( t \in [0, T] \), we have

\[
\int_t^T Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) ds \to \int_t^T Y_s W(ds, B_s)
\]

in \( L^2 \) sense, as \( \varepsilon, \eta \downarrow 0 \).

**Proof.** By (3.1), Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 we know

\[
\int_t^T Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) ds = Y_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} - \xi + \int_t^T Z_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} dB_s
\]

converges in \( L^2 \) sense to the random field \( A_t := Y_t - \xi + \int_t^T Z_s dB_s \) as \( \varepsilon, \eta \) tend to zero. Hence, if

\[
B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} := \int_t^T (Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s) \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) ds \to 0 \tag{3.30}
\]

in \( L^2(\Omega) \), then we have \( \int_t^T Y_s \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) ds = \int_t^T Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) ds - B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) will converge to \( A \) in \( L^2(\Omega) \). Previously, we have proved \( A \) is well-defined, and then \( Y_s \) will be Stratonovich integrable. Thus, by Definition 3.8 we directly have

\[
\int_t^T Y_s W(ds, B_s) = \lim_{\varepsilon, \eta \downarrow 0} \int_t^T Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) ds = A,
\]

i.e., the equation (1.2) is satisfied.

In the remaining part of the proof, we shall show (3.30). First we note that, recalling the definition of \( \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta} \) in (2.3) we have

\[
\int_t^T \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) ds = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_t^s \varphi_\eta(s-r)p_\varepsilon(B_s-y) W(dr, dy) dy ds. \tag{3.31}
\]

Recall \( F \cdot W(\phi) = \delta(F\phi) + \langle DW, \phi \rangle_H \). Then, we obtain

\[
(Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s) \dot{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, B_s) = (Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s) \int_t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_\eta(s-r)p_\varepsilon(B_s-y) W(dr, dy) dy
\]

\[
= \int_t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s) \varphi_\eta(s-r)p_\varepsilon(B_s-y) W(\delta r, y) dy + \langle DW(Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s), \varphi_\eta(s-\cdot)p_\varepsilon(B_s-\cdot) \rangle_H.
\]

Hence, by stochastic Fubini’s Theorem, \( B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} \) can be written as

\[
B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_t^T (Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s) \varphi_\eta(s-r)p_\varepsilon(B_s-y) W(\delta r, y) dy ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T \langle DW(Y_s^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s), \varphi_\eta(s-\cdot)p_\varepsilon(B_s-\cdot) \rangle_H ds \tag{3.32}
\]

\[
:= B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta,1} + B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta,2}.
\]
For the term $B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta,1}$, we define
\[
\phi_{r,y}^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \int_t^T (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s) \varphi_{\eta}(s-r)p_{\varepsilon}(B_s-y)ds,
\]
and with the help of $L^2$ estimate for Skorokhod type stochastic integral, it yields:
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[\|B_t^{\varepsilon,\eta,1}\|_2^2\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_{r,y}^{\varepsilon,\eta}\|^2_\mathcal{H}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\|D^W \phi_{r,y}^{\varepsilon,\eta}\|^2_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}}\right].
\] (3.33)
The above first term can be estimated as follows:
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_{r,y}^{\varepsilon,\eta}\|^2_\mathcal{H}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{[t,T]^2} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s)(Y_{r}^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_r)ight.
\]
\[\times \langle \varphi_{\eta}(s-)p_{\varepsilon}(B_s - \cdot), \varphi_{\eta}(r-)p_{\varepsilon}(B_r - \cdot) \rangle_\mathcal{H} dsdr \bigg].
\] (3.34)
Recalling the definition in (2.2), and combining with the proof in Proposition 2.1 (refer to (2.8) and (2.10)) we deduce that
\[
\langle \varphi_{\eta}(s-)p_{\varepsilon}(B_s - \cdot), \varphi_{\eta}(r-)p_{\varepsilon}(B_r - \cdot) \rangle_\mathcal{H}
= \alpha \int_{[t,T]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_{\eta}(s-u)\varphi_{\eta}(r-v)p_{\varepsilon}(B_s-y)p_{\varepsilon}(B_r-z)
\times |u-v|^{2H_0-2} \rho(y)\rho(z) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(y_i, z_i)dudvdz
\]
\[\leq C|t-s|^{2H_0-2} \rho(B_s)\rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_s^i, B_r^i).
\] (3.35)
Substituting this into (3.34) and with the help of (2.9) we have
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_{r,y}^{\varepsilon,\eta}\|^2_\mathcal{H}\right] \leq C \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{[t,T]^2} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s)(Y_{r}^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_r)|t-s|^{2H_0-2} \rho(B_s)\rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_s^i, B_r^i) dsdr \right]
\]
\[\leq C \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s \in [0,T]} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon,\eta} - Y_s)^4\right]^{1/2}
\times \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{[t,T]^2} |t-s|^{2H_0-2} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(1 + |B_s^i|^{2H_i-\beta_i}\right)\left(1 + |B_r^i|^{2H_i-\beta_i}\right) dsdr \right)^2\right]^{1/2}.
\] (3.36)
Thanks to Theorem 3.5, Proposition 2.2 and the dominated convergence theorem, we see that $
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_{r,y}^{\varepsilon,\eta}\|^2_\mathcal{H}\right]$ converges to zero as $\varepsilon, \eta$ tend to zero.

Secondly, we have to deal with $\mathbb{E}\left[\|D^W \phi_{r,y}^{\varepsilon,\eta}\|^2_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}}\right]$, the second term in (3.33). By Malliavin calculus and (3.31) we have
\[
D^W Y_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi D^W \exp\left(\int_t^T \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s,B_s)ds\right)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]
= \mathbb{E}\left[\xi \exp\left(\int_t^T \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(s,B_s)ds\right) \int_t^T \varphi_{\eta}(s-)p_{\varepsilon}(B_s - \cdot)ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right].
\] (3.37)
We denote \( F_{t,s}^{B_1, B_2} = \sigma(B^1_u, B^2_v, 0 \leq u \leq t, 0 \leq v \leq s; W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d) \) the \( \sigma \)-algebra generated by \( B^1, B^2 \) and \( W \). Recalling the definition (2.3) we know that, for random variable \( W \) (namely for fixed \( B \)), \( \int_t^T \hat{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B_s) ds \) is Gaussians. Then Proposition 2.1 and (3.35) tell us

\[
\mathbb{E}^W (D^{W}_{Y_t^\varepsilon, \eta}, D^{W}_{Y_t^{\varepsilon', \eta'}})_\mathcal{H}
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E}^W \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \int_t^T \hat{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B^1_s) ds + \int_t^T \hat{W}_{\varepsilon', \eta'}(s, B^2_s) ds \right) \right]
\]

\[
\leq \alpha_{H_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \int_t^T \hat{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, B^1_s) ds + \int_t^T \hat{W}_{\varepsilon', \eta'}(s, B^2_s) ds \right) \right]
\]

\[
(3.38)
\]

We have to prove the integrability of (3.38). Put \( a, b \) be two positive constants such that \( 1/a + 1/b = 1 \) and \( 2a < q \). With the help of Proposition 2.2 and Hölder’s inequality,

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \xi(B^1) \xi(B^2) \exp \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_t^T \left| s - r \right|^{2H_0-2} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{R_{H_i}(B^{1,j,i}_s, B^{2,k,i}_s)\rho(B^{1,j,i}_s)\rho(B^{2,k,i}_s)}{\prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B^{1,j,i}_s, B^{2,k,i}_s)dsdr} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\leq \left\| \xi \right\|_q^2 \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_t^T \left| s - r \right|^{2H_0-2} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{R_{H_i}(B^{1,j,i}_s, B^{2,k,i}_s)\rho(B^{1,j,i}_s)\rho(B^{2,k,i}_s)}{\prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B^{1,j,i}_s, B^{2,k,i}_s)dsdr} \right)^{1/2b} \right] \right)^{1/2b}
\]

\[
\leq \infty.
\]

That is, we get \( \mathbb{E} (D^{W}_{Y_t^\varepsilon, \eta}, D^{W}_{Y_t^{\varepsilon', \eta'}})_\mathcal{H} \) is integrable. Hence, in a similar idea as that shown in (3.36), we obtain \( Y_t^\varepsilon, \eta \) also converges to \( Y_t \) in \( D_t^{1,2} \) as \( \varepsilon, \eta \downarrow 0 \). Then putting \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon', \eta = \eta' \),

\[
\sup_{\varepsilon, \eta \in (0, 1)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left\| D^{W}_{Y_t^\varepsilon, \eta} \right\|_\mathcal{H}^2 < \infty.
\]

Hence, combining (3.35), (3.37) and (3.38) we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| D^{W}_{\phi^\varepsilon, \eta} \right\|_\mathcal{H}^2 \right] \]
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\[ E \left[ \int_{[t,T]^2} \left\langle D^W Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}_s - Y_s, D^W Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}_r - Y_r \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right. \]
\[ \times \left. \left\langle \varphi_{\eta}(s - \cdot)p_\varepsilon(B_s - \cdot), \varphi_{\eta}(r - \cdot)p_\varepsilon(B_r - \cdot) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \right] \]
\[ = \alpha_{H_0} E \left[ \int_{[t,T]^2} \langle D^W (Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}_s - Y_s), D^W (Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}_r - Y_r) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right. \]
\[ \times \left. |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(B_s) \rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B^i_s, B^i_r) ds dr \right] \]
\[ \leq C E \left[ \int_{[t,T]^2} \langle D^W (Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}_s - Y_s), D^W (Y^{\varepsilon,\eta}_r - Y_r) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right. \]
\[ \times \left. |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} \prod_{i=1}^d [(1 + |B^i_s|)^{2H_i - \beta_i}(1 + |B^i_r|)^{2H_i - \beta_i}] ds dr \right]. \]
defined in (3.32) converges to zero in $L^2$ as $\varepsilon, \eta$ tend to zero.

Now we are going to bound $B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 2}$. We have

$$D^W Y_s = \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T W(dr, B_r) \right) | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta(B_r - y) W(dr, y) dy \right) | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \delta(B_r - \cdot) | \mathcal{F}_s \right].$$

Thus, by (3.37) and (3.44) we have

$$B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 2} = \int_t^T \left< D^W (Y_s^{\varepsilon, \eta} - Y_s), \varphi_\eta(s - \cdot) p_\varepsilon(B_s - \cdot) \right>_{\mathcal{H}} ds$$

$$= \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T W_{\varepsilon, \eta}(r, B_r) dr \right) \times \left< \varphi_\eta(r - \cdot) p_\varepsilon(B_r - \cdot), \varphi_\eta(s - \cdot) p_\varepsilon(B_s - \cdot) \right>_{\mathcal{H}} dr | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds$$

$$- \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \delta(B_r - \cdot) \varphi_\eta(s - \cdot) p_\varepsilon(B_s - \cdot) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds$$

$$:= B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 3} - B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 4}.$$

Note that,

$$\left< \delta(B_r - \cdot), \varphi_\eta(s - \cdot) p_\varepsilon(B_s - \cdot) \right>_{\mathcal{H}}$$

$$= \int_{[s,T]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \delta(B_u - y) \varphi_\eta(s - v) p_\varepsilon(B_s - z) \rho(y) \rho(z) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_1}(y^i, z^i) dr dv$$

$$= \int_{[s,T]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \varphi_\eta(s - v) p_\varepsilon(B_s - z) \rho(B_u) \rho(z) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_1}(B_u^i, y^i) dudv dz.$$

Thus, by Fubini’s Theorem and previous estimates, we have

$$|B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 3}| \leq \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T W_{\varepsilon, \eta}(r, B_r) dr \right) \int_s^T |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(B_s) \rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_1}(B_s^i, B_r^i) dr | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds$$

(3.46)

and

$$|B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 4}| = \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \int_{[s,T]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \varphi_\eta(s - v) p_\varepsilon(B_s - y) \rho(B_u) \rho(y) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_1}(B_u^i, y^i) dudv dy | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds$$

$$\leq \int_t^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_s^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \int_s^T |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(B_s) \rho(B_r) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_1}(B_s^i, B_r^i) dv | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds.$$
Proposition [2.2] and dominated convergence theorem guarantee the integrability of these two expressions. Now, with the help of dominated convergence theorem we get $B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 3}$ and $B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 4}$ converge in $L^2$ to

$$
\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp\left( \int_0^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \right] \int_0^T |s - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(B_s) \rho(B_v) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_s^i, B_v^i) dr |\mathcal{F}_s| ds
$$

as $\varepsilon, \eta$ tend to zero which also mean that $B_t^{\varepsilon, \eta, 2}$ converges in $L^2$ to zero as $\varepsilon, \eta$ tend to zero. □

4 H"{o}lder continuity of $Y$ and $Z$

Let the Assumption (2) in Theorem 1.1 be satisfied. Now we can prove the H"{o}lder continuity of $Y$ and $Z$.

**Proof.** First we prove the H"{o}lder continuity of $Y$. Recall $q > \frac{2}{2H - 1}$, where $H = \min\{H_0, \ldots, H_d\}$. Thus for all $a \in (1, q)$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[|Y_t - Y_s|^a] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_t) |\mathcal{F}_t\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_s) |\mathcal{F}_s\right]|^a \right] 
$$

$$
\leq 2 \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_t) |\mathcal{F}_t\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_s) |\mathcal{F}_s\right]|^a \right] 
+ \mathbb{E}\left[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_s) |\mathcal{F}_s\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_s) |\mathcal{F}_s\right]|^a \right] \right) 
= 2(I_1 + I_2).
$$

(4.1)

For $I_1$, one can use Jensen’s inequality and the exponential integrability of Proposition 2.2 to get, for two positive constants $p', q'$ satisfying $1/p' + 1/q' = 1$ and $aq' < q$,

$$
I_1 = \mathbb{E}\left[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_t) |\mathcal{F}_t\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi \exp(V_s) |\mathcal{F}_s\right]|^a \right] 
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi (|V_t - V_s| \exp(\max\{V_t, V_s\})) |\mathcal{F}_s\right]|^a \right] 
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi^{q'} \exp(q' \max\{V_t, V_s\}) |\mathcal{F}_s\right] \right)^{a/q'} \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ |V_t - V_s|^{p'} |\mathcal{F}_s\right] \right)^{1/p'} \right] 
\leq C \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ |V_t - V_s|^{ap'} \right] \right)^{1/p'}.
$$

(4.2)

By (2.5), (2.6) and the equivalence between the $L^2$-norm and the $L^p$-norm for a Gaussian random variable, it yields that

$$
I_1 \leq \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ |V_t - V_s|^{ap'} \right] \right)^{1/p'} \leq \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \int_0^t W(dr, B_r) \right)^{ap'/2} \right] \right)^{1/p'} \leq C \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ W |\mathcal{F}_t\right] \right)^{1/p'} 
\leq C \left( \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_0^t W(dr, B_r) \right]^{ap'/2} \right)^{1/p'} 
\leq C \left( \int_0^t \int_0^t \alpha H_0 |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \rho(B_u) \rho(B_v) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_u^i, B_v^i) du dv \right)^{ap'/2} \right)^{1/p'} 
\leq C \left( \int_0^t \int_0^t (|u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} du dv \right)^{aq'/p'} \mathbb{E}\left( \int_0^t \int_0^t (\rho(B_u) \rho(B_v) \prod_{i=1}^d R_{H_i}(B_u^i, B_v^i))^{n} du dv \right)^{aq'/p'} \right)^{1/p'}
$$

(4.3)
\[ \leq C |t - s|^{aH_0 - \varepsilon}, \]

where \( \varepsilon \) is an arbitrary positive constant, \( n, m > 1 \) such that \( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} = 1 \).

For \( I_2 \), denote by \( \psi_t = \exp \left( \int_0^t W(ds, B_s) \right) \). Proposition 2.2 tells us that, \( \xi \psi_T \) is \( L^q(\Omega) \)-integrable for \( q > \frac{2}{2H - 1} \). Moreover, Clark-Ocone formula implies that,

\[ \xi \psi_T = \mathbb{E}[\xi \psi_T] + \int_0^T f_r dB_r, \]

where

\[ f_r = \mathbb{E}[D_r^B(\xi \psi_T)|\mathcal{F}_r] = \mathbb{E}[\psi_T D_r^B(\xi)|\mathcal{F}_r] + \mathbb{E}[D_r^B(\psi_T)|\mathcal{F}_r]. \quad (4.4) \]

Thus, from the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and the fact that \( a > 2 \) we deduce that

\[ I_2 = \mathbb{E} \left| \psi_s^{-1} \left( \mathbb{E}[\xi \psi_T|\mathcal{F}_r] - \mathbb{E}[\xi \psi_T|\mathcal{F}_s] \right) \right|^a \]

\[ = \mathbb{E} \left| \psi_s^{-1} \int_s^t f_r dB_r \right|^a \leq (\mathbb{E} |\psi_s^{-2a}|)^{1/2} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^t |f_r|^2 dr \right]^{a/2} \right), \quad (4.5) \]

Taking (4.4) into above formula yields that

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^t |f_r|^2 dr \right]^a \]

\[ \leq C \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^t |\mathbb{E}[\psi_T D_r^B(\xi)|\mathcal{F}_r]|^2 dr \right]^{a/2} \right) + C \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^t |\mathbb{E}[\xi D_r^B(\psi_T)|\mathcal{F}_r]|^2 dr \right]^{a/2} \right) \]

\[ \leq C \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E} (D_r^B)^{2q'} dr \right)^{a/2} \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E} (\psi_T)^{2p'} dr \right)^{a/2} \]

\[ + C \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E} (\xi D_r^B)^{2q'} dr \right)^{a/2} \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E} (D_r^B)^{2p'} dr \right)^{a/2} \]

\[ \leq C |t - s|^{a/2} \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E} (W(\psi_T)^{p'}) dr \right)^{a/2} \leq C |t - s|^{a/2}. \]

Because we assume that \( H > \frac{1}{2} \), the Hölder continuous coefficient can only be \( \frac{1}{2} \).
Next we have to consider the Hölder continuity of $Z$. Recall (3.14) for the expression of $Z$:

$$Z_t = D_t^B Y_t = \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\int_t^T W(x,B,t) \, dt} D_t^B \xi + \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T W \left( du, B_u \right) \, du \right) \left( \nabla_x W \left( ds, B_s \right) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\zeta_t D_t^B \xi + \xi \exp \left( V_t \nabla_x V_t \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t} \right] =: Z_t^1 + Z_t^2,$$

where we recall the definition of (2.25) for $V_t$ and where we denote $\nabla_x V_t = \int_t^T \nabla_x W \left( ds, B_s \right)$. $Z^1$ is easy to deal with. In fact, similar to the way to treating (1.11), (4.3), (4.5), and by the assumption that $B_t^B \xi \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{E} |D_t \xi - D_s \xi|^q \leq C|t - s|^\kappa q/2$ for some $\kappa > 0$, we see

$$\mathbb{E} \left| Z_t^1 - Z_s^1 \right|^2 \leq C|t - s|^\kappa 1.$$

We shall focus on $Z^2$.

$$\mathbb{E} \left| Z_t^2 - Z_s^2 \right|^2 = \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_t) \nabla_x V_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \right)^2$$

$$\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_t) \nabla_x V_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_t) \nabla_x V_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right)^2$$

$$+ 2 \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \right)^2 \quad (4.6)$$

$$:= 2(I_1 + I_2).$$

For $I_1$, with the help of Jensen’s inequality we have

$$I_1 \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_t) \nabla_x V_t - \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s \right]^2$$

$$\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_t) - \xi \exp(V_s) \right]^2 + 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_t - \nabla_x V_s \right]^2$$

$$\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(\max(V_t, V_s)) \nabla_x V_t - \nabla_x V_s \right]^2 + 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_t - \nabla_x V_s \right]^2$$

$$:= 2(I_{1,1} + I_{1,2}).$$

We can find two constant $a, b$ such that $1/a + 1/b = 1, 1 < a < \frac{1}{2 - 2H_0 - \varepsilon}$ and $2b < q$. Then we have

$$I_{1,1} \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ (\nabla_x V_t)^{2a} \right] \right)^{1/a} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi^{2b} (V_t - V_s)^{2b} \right] \right)^{1/b}$$

$$\leq C \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi^{2b} (V_t - V_s)^{2b} \right] \right)^{1/b} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d R_{H_j} \left( \int_s^T \int_s^T \left( u - v \right)^{2H_0 - 2} |B_u^{i} - B_v^{i}|^{2H_i - 2} \prod_{j \neq i} |R_{H_j} (B_u^{j} - B_v^{j}) \rho (B_u^{j}) \rho (B_v^{j}) du dv \right) \right)^{a/1}$$

$$\leq C|t - s|^{2H_0 - \epsilon}.$$  

As for $I_{1,2}$, we deduce similarly that

$$I_{1,2} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^{2b} \exp(2bV_t) \right]^{1/b} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \nabla_x V_t - \nabla_x V_s \right)^{2a} \right)^{1/a}$$

$$\leq C_0 \mathbb{E} \left[ |\xi|^{2b} \exp(2bV_t) \right]^{1/b} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^T \int_s^T (\nabla_x W (du, B_u))^T (\nabla_x W (dv, B_v)) \right] \right)^{a/1}$$

$$\leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^T \int_s^T |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} |B_u^{i} - B_v^{i}|^{2H_i - 2} \prod_{j \neq i} |R_{H_j} (B_u^{j} - B_v^{j}) \rho (B_u^{j}) \rho (B_v^{j}) du dv \right] \right)^{a/1}$$

(4.8)
Finally, we deal with

\[ I \]

We have analogously to (3.15)

\[ \text{The integrability inside the integral of } I \]

\[ 2 \]

\[ E \]

\[ E \]

\[ \leq C |t - s|^{2H_0 + H - 1 - \varepsilon} . \]

As \( I_2 \), the Clark-Ocone formula yields

\[ \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s = E^B [\xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s] + \int_s^T E \left[ D^B_r (\xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s) \mid F_r \right] dB_r. \]  

(4.9)

Thus we have

\[ I_2 = E \left[ \int_s^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ D^B_r (\xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s) \mid F_r \right] \right)^2 dr \right] \]

\[ = E \left[ \int_s^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \nabla_x V_s D^B_r (\exp(V_s)) \mid F_r \right] \right)^2 dr + \mathbb{E} \int_s^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) D^B_r (\nabla_x V_s) \mid F_r \right] \right)^2 dr \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_s^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s D^B_r \xi \mid F_r \right] \right)^2 dr \]  

\[ =: I_{2,1} + \int_s^t I_{2,2} dr + I_{2,3} . \]

The integrability inside the integral of \( I_{2,3} \) is obvious due to (4.7). For \( I_{2,1} \) we have

\[ \mathbb{E} \int_s^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) \nabla_x V_s \mid F_r \right] \right)^2 dr = \mathbb{E} \int_s^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp(V_s) (\nabla_x V_s)^2 \mid F_r \right] \right)^2 dr \]

\[ \leq \int_s^t \left( \mathbb{E} [\xi^b \exp(bV_s)] \right)^{2/b} (\mathbb{E} (\nabla_x V_s)^{2a})^{2/a} ds \leq C |t - s| . \]

Finally, we deal with \( I_{2,2} \). We shall use the technique as in (3.15). Notice that,

\[ D^B_r (\nabla_x V_s) = D^B_r \left( \int_s^T \nabla_x W(du, B_u) 1_{[0, u]}(r) \right) = \int_s^T \nabla^2_x W(du, B_u) . \]

We have analogously to (3.15)

\[ I_{2,2} = E \left( E \left[ \xi (B^1(x)) \xi (B^2) \exp \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} \frac{\alpha H_0}{2} \int_s^T \int_s^T |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} R_{H_j}(B^j_u, B^k_v) \rho(B^j_u) \rho(B^k_v) du dv \right) \right] \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \int_s^T \int_s^T \text{Tr} \left( (\nabla^2_x W(du, B^1_u))^T \nabla^2_x W(dv, B^2_v) \right) \right) \]

\[ B^1 = B^2 = B . \]

Using the Hölder inequality, we have

\[ I_{2,2} = \left[ E \left( E \left[ \xi (B^1(x)) \xi (B^2) \exp \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} \frac{\alpha H_0}{2} \int_s^T \int_s^T |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} R_{H_j}(B^j_u, B^k_v) \rho(B^j_u) \rho(B^k_v) du dv \right) \right] \right) \right]^{1/b} \]  

(4.12)
\[
\times \left[ \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{s \vee r}^{T} \int_{s \vee r}^{T} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \nabla_{x}^{2} W(du, B_{u}^{1}) \right)^{T} \nabla_{x}^{2} W(dv, B_{v}^{2}) \right] \ dudv \right]^{a} \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right] \right)^{1/a} \\
\leq C I_{2,1}^{1/a},
\]

where
\[
I_{2,1} = \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{s \vee r}^{T} \int_{s \vee r}^{T} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \nabla_{x}^{2} W(du, B_{u}^{1}) \right)^{T} \nabla_{x}^{2} W(dv, B_{v}^{2}) \right] \ dudv \right]^{a} \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right) .
\]

We shall consider the term that contains
\[
J_{i} = \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{r}^{T} \int_{r}^{T} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} W(du, B_{u}^{1}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} W(dv, B_{v}^{2}) \right]^{a} \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right) \\
\leq C_{a} \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \mathbb{E}^{W} \left[ \int_{r}^{T} \int_{r}^{T} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} W(du, B_{u}^{1}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} W(dv, B_{v}^{2}) \right]^{2} \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right) \right)^{a/2} \\
= C_{a} \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{r}^{T} \int_{r}^{T} |u - v|^{2H_{0} - 2} |B_{u}^{1,i} - B_{v}^{2,i}|^{2H_{i} - 4} \rho(B_{u}^{1,i}) \rho(B_{v}^{2,i}) \prod_{j \neq i} |R_{H_{j}}(B_{u}^{1,j}, B_{v}^{2,j})| \ dudv \right]^{a/2} \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right) + C_{a},
\]

where in the above first inequality, we used the hypercontractivity for \( \mathbb{E}^{W} \) and in the above last inequality, there are terms such as the derivatives with respect to \( \partial_{x_{i}} \rho \) and \( \partial_{x_{i}} \rho \partial_{x_{i}} R_{H_{i}} \) which are easy to be bounded. By using Hölder’s inequality again, the above expectation is bounded by a multiple of \( 1/a' \) power of (for any \( a' > 1 \))
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_{r}^{T} \int_{r}^{T} |u - v|^{2H_{0} - 2} |B_{u}^{1,i} - B_{v}^{2,i}|^{2H_{i} - 4} \ dudv \right)^{a a'/2} \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right) \\
= \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{r}^{T} \int_{r}^{T} |u - v|^{2H_{0} - 2} \left( |B_{u}^{1,i} - B_{r}^{1,i}| - |B_{v}^{2,i} - B_{r}^{2,i}| \right) \right. \\
+ |B_{r}^{1,i} - B_{r}^{2,i}|^{2H_{i} - 4} \ dudv \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right) \\
= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E}^{X,Y} \int_{r}^{T} \int_{r}^{T} \left| u - v \right|^{2H_{0} - 2} \sqrt{u - rX - \sqrt{v - rY}} \right. \\
+ \left. |B_{r}^{1,i} - B_{r}^{2,i}|^{2H_{0} - 4} \ dudv \right]^{a a'/2} \left| \mathcal{F}_{r}^{B^{1}, B^{2}} \right| \left| B^{1} = B^{2} = B \right. \right),
\]

where \( X \) and \( Y \) are two independent standard Gaussians. The above expectation in \( X \) and \( Y \) are bounded by (denoting \( Z = \left| B_{r}^{1,i} - B_{r}^{2,i} \right| \) and choosing \( a a'/2 < 1 \))
\[
\mathbb{E}^{X,Y} \left[ \int_{r}^{T} \int_{r}^{T} \left| u - v \right|^{2H_{0} - 2} \sqrt{u - rX - \sqrt{v - rY} + Z}^{2H_{0} - 4} \ dudv \right]^{a a'/2} = 27
\]
and finally we deduce (1.7) explicit expression
Suppose that the conditions in Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Let Proposition 5.1.
the uniqueness of BSDEs (1.2). We need the following proposition.
We have proved parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.1. In this section, we are going to prove part (3),

5 Uniqueness of solution

Before we prove Proposition 5.1, we first need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Recall the notation

$$\alpha_s^t = \exp \left\{ \int_s^t W(dr, B_r) \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)
Then $\alpha_1^t$ satisfies the following equation.

$$
\alpha_0^t - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} [e^{K_{t_{i+1}} - K_{t_i}}] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_0^{t_i} (K_{t_{i+1}} - K_{t_i}) + R^n_t,
$$

where

$$
R^n_t = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (K_{t_{i+1}} - K_{t_i}) \int_0^1 \left[ e^{K_{t_i} + (K_{t_{i+1}} - K_{t_i})u} - e^{K_{t_i}} \right] du.
$$

Combining (5.3) with (5.12) yields

$$
|R^n_t| \leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} e^{K_r} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n} |K_{t_{i+1}} - K_{t_i}|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
$$

This proves that $\alpha_0^t$ satisfies (5.2).

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $(Y, Z)$ satisfy (1.2) and let $\alpha_t$ be given as above. Suppose the conditions of Proposition 5.1 are satisfied. Then

$$
\alpha_0^{T} \xi - \alpha_0^{t} Y_t = \int_t^T \alpha_0^{s} Z_s dB_s.
$$

**Proof.** Let $(Y, Z)$ satisfy (1.2) and we use partition $\pi_n = \{t = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = T\}$. Taking (5.2) into account we have

$$
\alpha_0^{T} \xi - \alpha_0^{t} Y_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \alpha_0^{t_{i+1}} Y_{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_0^{t_i} Y_{t_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \alpha_0^{t_{i+1}} (Y_{t_{i+1}} - Y_{t_i}) + Y_{t_i} (\alpha_0^{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_0^{t_i}) \right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \alpha_0^{t_{i+1}} - \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} Y_r W(dr, B_r) + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} Z_r dB_r \right)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{t_i} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \alpha_0^{r} W(dr, B_r)
$$

(5.6)
where

\[ \tilde{R}_i^n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \alpha_0^t Y_t \int_{t}^{t+1} W(dr, B_r) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \alpha_0^{t+1} - \alpha_0^t \right) Y_t \int_{t}^{t+1} W(dr, B_r) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_0^t \int_{t}^{t+1} [Z_r - Z_{t+1}] dB_r + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \alpha_0^{t+1} - \alpha_0^t \right) \int_{t}^{t+1} Z_r dB_r \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_t \int_{t}^{t+1} \left[ \alpha_r^t - \alpha_0^t \right] W(dr, B_r) \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{1,i} + R_{2,i} + R_{3,i} + R_{4,i} + R_{5,i}. \] (5.7)

For \( R_{1,i} \), using (5.1) we get

\[
|R_{1,i}|^2 \lesssim E \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} [Y_r - Y_{t_i}] W(dr, B_r) \right]^2 \\
= E \left[ \int_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} (Y_r - Y_{t_i}) (Y_s - Y_{t_i}) |s - r|^{2H_o - 2} q(B_r, B_s) dr ds \right] \\
+ E \left[ \int_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \int_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \int_{[t_i, s]} \int_{R^{2d}} D_W r_{r,s} (Y_u - Y_{t_i}) D_W v_{r,s} (Y_s - Y_{t_i}) \right. \\
\left. \times |u - v|^{2H_o - 2} |s - r|^{2H_o - 2} q(B_u, w) q(B_s, y) dw dy dv dr ds \right]. \] (5.8)

Recalling (2.9) that covariance \( q(x, y) \) satisfies

\[ |q(x, y)| \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 + |x_i|)^{2H_i - \beta_i} (1 + |y_i|)^{2H_i - \beta_i}, \] (5.9)

where \( \beta_i > 2H_i + 1, i = 1, \ldots, d \), it yields

\[
|R_{1,i}|^2 \lesssim \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left[ |Y_r - Y_{t_i}|^2 \right]^{1/2} E \left[ |Y_s - Y_{t_i}|^2 \right]^{1/2} |s - r|^{2H_o - 2} \sup_{\omega, s, r} q(B_s, B_r) ds dr \\
+ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left[ \left| D_{r,s} W (Y_r - Y_{t_i}) \right| \right]^{1/2} E \left[ \left| D_{v, u} W (Y_s - Y_{t_i}) \right| \right]^{1/2} \sup_{\omega, u, v} |u - v|^{2H_o - 2} |s - r|^{2H_o - 2} q(B_u, w) q(B_s, y) dv du dr ds. \] (5.10)
If $Y$ satisfies condition (3) in Theorem 1.1 that is, the continuity coefficient of $Y$ is $\frac{1}{2}$, then we can directly obtain

$$|R_{1,i}|^2 \lesssim C \left( |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{1+2H_0} + |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{4H_0} \right) \quad (5.11)$$

If $Y$ satisfies condition (4) in Theorem 1.1 then from (1.2), we have

$$Y_r - Y_{t_i} = \int_r^{t_i} Y_s W(ds, B_s) - \int_r^{t_i} Z_s dB_s, \quad r \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]. \quad (5.12)$$

With the help of (7.1) again and the fact that $(Y, Z) \in S_2^p(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times M_2^p(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ as well as that $Y$ also belongs to $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E} |Y_r - Y_{t_i}|^2 \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[t_i, r]^2} Y_s Y_u |s - u|^{2H_0-2} q(B_s, B_u) dsdu \right] + 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[t_i, r]^2} \int_{[u, r]} \int_{[t_i, s]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} D_s^W Y_u D_r^W Y_s \times |u - v|^{2H_0-2} |s - s'|^{2H_0-2} q(B_u, w) q(B_s, y) dw dy dv ds \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_r^{t_i} Z_s dB_s \right]^2 \leq 2 \int_{[t_i, r]^2} \mathbb{E} \left[ |Y_s|^2 \right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ |Y_u|^2 \right]^{1/2} |s - u|^{2H_0-2} \sup_{\omega, s, u} |q(B_s, B_u)| dsdu + 2 \int_{[t_i, r]^2} \int_{[u, r]} \int_{[t_i, s]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathbb{E} \left[ |D_s^W Y_u|^2 \right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ |D_r^W Y_s|^2 \right]^{1/2} |u - v|^{2H_0-2} \times |s - s'|^{2H_0-2} \sup_{\omega, s, u, w, y} |q(B_u, w) q(B_s, y)| dw dy dv ds \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_r^{t_i} Z_s^2 ds \right] \leq C(|r - t_i|^{2H_0} + |r - t_i|^{4H_0} + |r - t_i|).

Taking this result back to (5.10) we get

$$|R_{1,i}|^2 \lesssim C \left( t_{i+1} - t_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |r - t_i|^{1/2} |s - t_i|^{1/2} |s - r|^{2H_0-2} dsdr + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} t_{i+1} - t_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |s - r|^{2H_0-2} dsdr \right).$$

$$\leq C \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} t_{i+1} - t_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |s - r|^{2H_0-2} dsdr + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |r - t_i| t_{i+1} - t_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |u - v|^{2H_0-2} |s - r|^{2H_0-2} dv dsdr \right).$$

$$\leq C \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} t_{i+1} - t_i |^{1+2H_0} + |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{4H_0} \right).$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |R_{1,i}|^2 \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{1/2 + H_0} \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{1/2 + H_0 - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \to 0, \ n \to \infty.$$
Using (7.1) again, we get

\[
|R_{5,i}|^2 \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left( \alpha_0^s - \alpha_0^t \right) W(ds, B_s) \right)^2
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} D^W_{r,y} (\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t) \cdot D^W_{v,w} (\alpha_0^s - \alpha_0^t) \right.
\]

\[
\times |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} q(B_u, w) q(B_s, y) dwdydvdudsdr \]  

\[
:= R_{5,1,i} + R_{5,2,i}.
\]

For \(R_{5,2,i}\), recalling (5.1) we have

\[
R_{5,2,i} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t) \delta(B_r - y) \cdot (\alpha_0^s - \alpha_0^t) \delta(B_v - w) \right.
\]

\[
\times |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} q(B_u, w) q(B_s, y) dwdydvdudsdr \]  

\[
= \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{s} (\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t) (\alpha_0^s - \alpha_0^t) \right.
\]

\[
\times |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} q(B_u, B_v) q(B_s, B_r) dwdvdudsdr \]  

Taking this result back to (5.15), and with the help of (4.2), (4.3), we obtain

\[
|R_{5,i}|^2 \lesssim \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_i} \mathbb{E} \left[ |(\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t) (\alpha_0^s - \alpha_0^t)| s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} q(B_s, B_r) \right] dsdr
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t) \cdot (\alpha_0^s - \alpha_0^t)
\]

\[
\times |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} q(B_u, B_v) q(B_r, B_s) dudvdsdr \]  

\[
\leq C \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t|^4 \right]^{1/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t|^4 \right]^{1/4} |r - s|^{2H_0 - 2} \mathbb{E} \left[ |q(B_r, B_s)|^2 \right]^{1/2} dsdr
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{s} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\alpha_0^u - \alpha_0^t|^4 \right]^{1/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\alpha_0^s - \alpha_0^t|^4 \right]^{1/4}
\]

\[
\times |r - s|^{2H_0 - 2} |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} \mathbb{E} \left[ |q(B_u, B_v) q(B_r, B_s)|^2 \right]^{1/2} dudvdsdr \]  

\[
\leq C \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (r - t_i)^{H_0} (s - t_i)^{H_0} (r - s)^{2H_0 - 2} dsdr
\]

\[
+ C \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_{i+1}} (u - t_i)^{H_0} (s - t_i)^{H_0} |r - s|^{2H_0 - 2} |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} dudvdsdr
\]

\[
\leq C(t_{i+1} - t_i)^{2H_0} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (r - s)^{2H_0 - 2} dsdr
\]

\[
+ C(t_{i+1} - t_i)^{2H_0} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{r}^{t_{i+1}} |r - s|^{2H_0 - 2} |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} dudvdsdr.
\]

32
\[ \leq C \left( (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{4H_0} + (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{6H_0} \right). \]

Thus
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |R_{5,i}| \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{2H_0} \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{2H_0-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \to 0, \ n \to \infty.
\]

For \( R_{3,i} \), from the orthogonality of the increments of standard Brownian motion and the fact that \( \alpha_0^t = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t W(dr, B_r) \right\} \) is \( \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \)-adapted, we have
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_0^{t_i} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} [Z_r - Z_{t_i}] dB_r \right]^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\alpha_0^{t_i}|^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} [Z_r - Z_{t_i}] dB_r \right]^2 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \mathbb{E} |Z_r - Z_{t_i}|^2 dr.
\]

(5.18)

If \( Z \) satisfies condition (3) in Theorem 1.1, we have easily
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |R_{3,i}| \lesssim C \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\kappa+1}.
\]

(5.19)

Denote \( \tilde{Y}_t = D_r^B Y_t, \ \tilde{Z}_t = D_r^B Z_t \) (we fix \( r \)), and from (1.2) we obtain
\[
D_r^B Y_t = \tilde{Y}_t = D_r^B \xi + \int_t^T \tilde{Y}_s W(ds, B_s)
\]
\[
+ \int_r^T Y_s \nabla_x W(ds, B_s) - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_s dB_s, \ 0 \leq t \leq r \leq T.
\]

(5.20)

Therefore, we first need to verify the square integrability of \( \int_t^T Y_s \nabla_x W(ds, B_s) \), and then we can treat (5.20) in a similar way to that for (1.2). We can write
\[
\mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^T Y_s \nabla_x W(ds, B_s) \right|^2 = \mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^T Y_s \nabla_x \delta(B_s - x)W(ds, x)dx \right|^2
\]

(5.21)

From (7.1) and by integration by parts, for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \),
\[
\mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^T Y_s \nabla_x W(ds, B_s) \right|^2
\]
\[
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[t,T]^2} Y_r Y_s |s-r|^{2H_0-2} \nabla_{x,y} q(B_r, B_s) dy dr ds \right]
\]
\[
+ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[a,b]^2} \int_{[r,b]} \int_{[s,b]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_r^W Y_r D_s^W Y_s \nabla_{r,s}^y q(B_r, y) \nabla_y^x q(B_s, y) dy dr ds dx \right]
\]
\[
\times |u-v|^{2H_0-2} |s-r|^{2H_0-2} \nabla_{x,y} q(B_u, w) \nabla_x q(B_s, y) dw du dv dy dr ds
\]
\[
\leq \int_{[t,T]^2} \mathbb{E} \left[ |Y_r|^2 \right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ |Y_s|^2 \right]^{1/2} |s-r|^{2H_0-2} \sup_{\omega, r, s} |\nabla_{x,y} q(B_r, B_s)| dy dr ds
\]

(5.22)
From (5.22) we have

\[ Y, D \]

Finally it is easy to obtain

\[ Y, B \]

Since

\[ \text{of (7.1) again, it has} \]

\[ \text{We can deal with the second term } \tilde{Z} \]

For the above first term \( \tilde{Z} \)

\[ \text{Thus we have (} \tilde{\eta} \text{)} \]

Thus \( \tilde{T} \) of BSDE (5.20) is well-defined, i.e., \( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |\tilde{T}|^2 + |\tilde{Z}|^2 dt \right] < \infty \). Using the classical conclusion that \( Z_t = D_t^B Y_t, \forall t \in [0, T] \) (see e.g. [13]), we can treat \( Z_r - Z_{t_i} \) as

\[ Z_r - Z_{t_i} = (D_r^B \xi - D_{t_i}^B \xi) + \int_r^{t_i} D_s^B Y_s W(ds, B_s) \]

\[ + \int_r^{t_i} Y_s \nabla_x W(ds, B_s) - \int_r^{t_i} D_s^B Z_s dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t_i \leq r \leq T \quad (5.23) \]

For the above first term \( \tilde{Z}_1 \) we can use the assumption \( \mathbb{E}|D_r^B \xi - D_r^B D_{t_i}^B \xi|^2 \leq C|r-t_i|^\kappa \) for some \( \kappa > 0 \). We can deal with the second term \( \tilde{Z}_2 \) in (5.23) in the similar way as in (5.8). In fact, with the help of (7.1) again, it has

\[ \mathbb{E} \left| \tilde{Z}_2 \right|^2 \]

\[ \leq \int_r^{t_i+1} \int_r^{t_i+1} \mathbb{E} \left[ D_s^B Y_s \right]^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ D_s^{B \prime} Y_{s'} \right]^2 |s-s'|^{2H_0-2} \sup_{\omega, u, s, v, y} q(B_s, B_s') \] dsds'

\[ + \int_r^{t_i+1} \int_r^{t_i+1} \int_r^{t_i+1} \int_r^{t_i+1} \mathbb{E} \left[ D_s^W (D_r^B Y_s) \right]^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ D_v^W (D_r^B Y_v) \right]^2 |s-s'|^{2H_0-2} \sup_{\omega, u, s, v, y} q(B_s, w)q(B_v, y) \] dudvds'ds'

(5.24)

Since \( Y, D^B Y \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2} \), we have the estimate

\[ \mathbb{E} \left| \tilde{Z}_2 \right|^2 \leq C \int_r^{t_i+1} \int_r^{t_i+1} |s-s'|^{2H_0-2} \] dsds'

\[ + C \int_r^{t_i+1} \int_r^{t_i+1} \int_s^{t_i+1} \int_s^{t_i+1} |u-v|^{2H_0-2} |s-s'|^{2H_0-2} \] dudvds'ds'

(5.25)

\[ \leq C \left( (t_i+1-r)^{2H_0} + |t_i+1-r|^{4H_0} \right). \]

From (5.22) we have

\[ \mathbb{E} \left| \tilde{Z}_3 \right|^2 \leq C \left( (t_i+1-r)^{2H_0} + |t_i+1-r|^{4H_0} \right). \]

(5.26)

Finally it is easy to obtain

\[ \mathbb{E} \left| \tilde{Z}_4 \right|^2 \leq \sup_{s \in [r, t_i]} \mathbb{E} |D_s^B Z_s|^2 |t_i-r| \leq C |t_i-r|. \]

(5.27)
Taking those estimates back to (5.18) we have
\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \mathbb{E} |Z_r - Z_{t_i}|^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{2H_0 + 1} + |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{4H_0 + 1} \right) \right)^{1/2},
\]
which implies
\[
\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{3,i} \right|^2 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{2H_0 + 1} + |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{4H_0 + 1} \right)
+ |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\kappa + 1} + |t_{i+1} - t_i|^2)
\]
(5.29)
\[
\leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{\kappa + 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |t_{i+1} - t_i| \to 0, \ n \to \infty.
\]
For $R_{2,i}$ and $R_{4,i}$, it is easy to deduce that
\[
|R_{2,i}|^2 \leq C \left( \mathbb{E} |Y_{t_i}|^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \alpha_0^{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_0^{t_i} \right]^4 \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} W(dr,B_r) \right]^{4/2}
\]
(5.30)
\[
\leq C |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{4H_0},
\]
and
\[
|R_{4,i}|^2 \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \alpha_0^{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_0^{t_i} \right]^4 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} Z_r dB_r \right]^2
\]
(5.31)
\[
\leq C |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{2H_0 + 1}.
\]
Thus we have
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |R_{2,i}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{2H_0} \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{2H_0 - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \to 0, \ n \to \infty.
\]
and
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |R_{4,i}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{H_0 + 1/2} \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{H_0 - 1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \to 0, \ n \to \infty.
\]
Hence, letting the mesh size $|\pi_n|$ goes to zero yields $\tilde{R}_n^T \to 0$, $P$-a.s., and the right side of (5.6) converges to $\int_{t}^{T} \alpha_0^t Z_r dB_r$. This concludes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. In equation (5.5) we take the conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t^B$ we see to obtain
\[
\alpha_0^t Y_t = \mathbb{E} \left[ \alpha_0^T \xi |\mathcal{F}_t \right].
\]
Thus,
\[
Y_t = (\alpha_0^t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^B \left[ \alpha_0^T \xi |\mathcal{F}_t^B \right] = \mathbb{E}^B \left[ \alpha_0^T \xi |\mathcal{F}_t^B \right] = \mathbb{E}^B \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_{t}^{T} W(dr,B_r) \right) |\mathcal{F}_t^B \right].
\]
(5.32)
From the general relationship between $Z$ and $Y$ (e.g. \[13\]) we have

$$Z_t = D_t^B Y_t = D_t^B \mathbb{E} \left[ \xi \exp \left( \int_t^T W(dr, B_r) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t^B \right]. \quad (5.33)$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition. \hfill \Box

## 6 BSDEs and semilinear SPDEs

In this section we obtain the regularity of the solution to the BSDE, and then establish the relationship between the SPDE

$$-du(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(t, x) dt + u(t, x) W(dt, x), \ u(T, x) = \phi(x). \quad (6.1)$$

and our BSDE

$$Y_{s,t}^x = \phi(B_{t-s}^x) + \int_s^T Y_{r,t}^x W(dr, B_{r-s}^x) - \int_s^T Z_{r,t}^x dB_r, \ s \in [t, T]. \quad (6.2)$$

**Theorem 6.1.** Suppose $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let \{u(t, x) : t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\} be a random field such that $u(t, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable for each $(t, x)$, $u \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ a.s. and let $u(t, x)$ satisfy (6.1). Then $u(t, x) = Y_{t,x}^t$, $\nabla u(t, x) = Z_{t,x}^t$, where $(Y_{t,x}^t, Z_{t,x}^t)$ is the solution of (6.2).

**Proof.** It suffices to show that $(u(s, X_{s,t}^x), \nabla u(s, X_{s,t}^x))_{s \in [t, T]}$ solves BSDE (6.2). Since $W(t, x)$ is not differentiable in $t$ and $x$, one could not apply Itô’s formula to $u(s, X_{s,t}^x)$. Let us consider

$$-d\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(t, x) dt + \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(t, x) \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(dt, x), \ u(T, x) = \phi(x). \quad (6.3)$$

Recall (2.3) we have

$$\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, x) = \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x, s-r)p_\varepsilon(x-y) W(dr, y) dy.$$

We see that $u(t, x)$ is differentiable with respect to $x$. Now we can use Itô’s formula to $u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, X_{s,t}^x)$ to deduce

$$u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(t, X_{s,t}^x) = \phi(X_{s,t}^x) + \int_s^T u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(r, X_{s,t}^x) \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(r, X_{s,t}^x) dr - \int_s^T \nabla u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(r, X_{s,t}^x) dB_r. \quad (6.4)$$

Note that $X_{s,t}^x = x + B_r - B_t = B_{s-t}^x$, and by the uniqueness of BSDE we know $Y_{s,t}^{x, \varepsilon, \eta} = u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, X_{s,t}^x)$, $Z_{s,t}^{x, \varepsilon, \eta} = \nabla u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, X_{s,t}^x)$ satisfy

$$Y_{s,t}^{x, \varepsilon, \eta} = \phi(B_{s-t}^x) + \int_s^T Y_{r,t}^{x, \varepsilon, \eta} W(r, B_{s-r}^x) dr - \int_s^T Z_{r,t}^{x, \varepsilon, \eta} dB_r, \ s \in [t, T]. \quad (6.5)$$

Theorem \[13\] yields

$$Y_s = \lim_{\varepsilon, \eta \to 0} u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, X_{s,t}^x) = \lim_{\varepsilon, \eta \to 0} Y_{s,t}^{x, \varepsilon, \eta}, \quad Z_s = \lim_{\varepsilon, \eta \to 0} \nabla u_{\varepsilon, \eta}(s, X_{s,t}^x) = \lim_{\varepsilon, \eta \to 0} Z_{s,t}^{x, \varepsilon, \eta} \quad (6.6)$$
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is a solution pair of BSDE \((6.2)\). It remains to show SPDE \((6.3)\) converges to \((6.1)\). From the classical Feynman-Kac formula it follows

\[
u^{\epsilon,\eta}(t, x) = \mathbb{E}^B \left[ \phi(X_t^{\epsilon,x}) \exp \left\{ \int_t^T W^{\epsilon,\eta}(r, X_r^{\epsilon,x}) \, dr \right\} \right] = \mathbb{E}^B \left[ \phi(B_T^\epsilon) \exp \left\{ \int_t^T W^{\epsilon,\eta}(r, (B_T^\epsilon)) \, dr \right\} \right].\tag{6.6}
\]

Define

\[
u(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \phi(B_T^\epsilon) \exp \left\{ \int_t^T W(dr, (B_T^\epsilon)) \right\} \right]. \tag{6.7}
\]

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can deduce

\[
\lim_{\epsilon,\eta \to 0} \mathbb{E}^W |\nu^{\epsilon,\eta}(t, x) - \nu(t, x)|^p = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \geq 2. \tag{6.8}
\]

Since \(\nu^{\epsilon,\eta}\) satisfies \((6.3)\) for any \(C^\infty\) function \(\psi\) with compact support, we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu^{\epsilon,\eta}(t, x) \psi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \psi(x) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu^{\epsilon,\eta}(s, x) \Delta \psi(x) \, dx \, ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \nu^{\epsilon,\eta}(s, x) \psi(x) \nabla \psi(s, x) \, dx \, ds. \tag{6.9}
\]

Letting \(\epsilon, \eta \to 0\) will yield

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(t, x) \psi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \psi(x) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(s, x) \Delta \psi(x) \, dx \, ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \nu(s, x) \psi(x) \nabla \psi(s, x) \, dx \, ds, \tag{6.10}
\]

since

\[
\lim_{\epsilon,\eta \to 0} \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu^{\epsilon,\eta}(s, x) \psi(x) \nabla \psi(s, x) \, dx \, ds = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(s, x) \psi(x) \nabla \psi(s, x) \, dx \, ds. \tag{6.11}
\]

In fact, \((6.11)\) can be deduced in a similar way to that of Theorem 3.9. This proves the conclusion. \(\square\)

**Theorem 6.2.** Suppose the same conditions as in Theorem 1.1 and let \((Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})\) be the solution pair of BSDE \((6.2)\). Then \(u(t, x) := Y_t^{t,x}, t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\) is in \(C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})\) and is the solution of SPDE \((6.1)\).

**Proof.** Notice that \(u(t + h, X_{t+h}^{t,x}) = Y_{t+h}^{t+h,x} = Y_{t+h}^{t,x}\). We still use the approximated BSDE \((6.5)\). Define \(u^{\epsilon,\eta}(t, x) := Y_t^{\epsilon,\eta,t,x}, t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\). We want to show that \(u^{\epsilon,\eta}(t, x)\) satisfies \((6.1)\). An application of Itô’s formula yields that for \(h > 0\)

\[
u^{\epsilon,\eta}(t + h, X_t^{\epsilon,x}) - u^{\epsilon,\eta}(t + h, X_{t+h}^{\epsilon,x}) = - \int_t^{t+h} \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^{\epsilon,\eta}(t + h, X_s^{\epsilon,x}) \, ds
\]

\[
- \int_t^{t+h} \nabla u^{\epsilon,\eta}(t + h, X_s^{\epsilon,x}) \, dB_s. \tag{6.12}
\]
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Combining this with the backward SDE satisfied by $u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, x) := Y^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_t$, $t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t + h, x) - u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, x) = u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t + h, X^{t,x}_s) - u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t + h, X^{t,x}_{s+h}) + u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t + h, X^{t,x}_{s+h}) - u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, x)$

$$= -\int_t^{t+h} \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t + h, X^{t,x}_s) \, ds - \int_t^{t+h} \nabla u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t + h, X^{t,x}_s) \, dB_s$$

$$- \int_t^{t+h} Y^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\eta}_s(s, X^{t,x}_s) \, ds + \int_t^{t+h} Z^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s \, dB_s.$$  

(6.13)

Thus, let $\pi_n$ be a partition $t = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$. By (6.13), we have

$$\phi(x) - u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, x) = -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, x) \, ds - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, X^{t,x}_s) \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\eta}_s(s, X^{t,x}_s) \, ds$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left[ Z^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s - \nabla u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, X^{t,x}_s) \right] \, dB_s.$$  

(6.14)

On the other hand, it is elementary to show that random field $\{Z^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s, t \leq s \leq T\}$ has a continuous version (e.g., [7, Proposition 5.2]) such that

$$Z^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s = D^B_s Y^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s = \nabla Y^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s (\nabla X^{t,x}_s)^{-1},$$  

(6.15)

and in particular, $Z^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s = \nabla Y^{\varepsilon,\eta,t,x}_s$. Thus, if we let mesh sizes of the partitions $\pi_n$ go to zero, then it yields

$$\phi(x) - u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, x) = -\int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, x) \, ds - \int_0^t u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, x) \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\eta}_s(s, x) \, ds,$$  

(6.16)

or by Duhamel’s principle we obtain

$$\phi(x) - u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(t, x) = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x - y) u^{\varepsilon,\eta}(s, y) \dot{W}^{\varepsilon,\eta}_s(s, x) \, dy \, ds.$$  

(6.17)

From Theorem 3.9 letting $\varepsilon, \eta \to 0$ we get

$$\phi(x) - u(t, x) = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x - y) u(s, y) W(\, ds, y) \, dy.$$  

(6.18)

The above formula means that $u(t, x) := Y^{t,x}_t$ of BSDE (6.2) is a mild solution of SPDE (6.1).  

\section{Appendix}

\textbf{Proposition 7.1.} Let $Y$ be a process such that its Malliavin derivative exists and assume that $D^W_s Y_s$ is integrable with respect to $s$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_a^b Y_t W(\, ds, B_s) \right)^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[a,b]^2} Y_r W_s |s - r|^{2H_0-2} q(B_r, B_s) \, dr \, ds \right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[a,b]^2} \int_{[a,b]} \int_{[a,b]} D^W_r Y_u D^W_v Y_s |u - v|^{2H_0-2} \right. \left. |s - r|^{2H_0-2} q(B_u, w) q(B_s, y) \, dw \, dy \, dr \, ds \right].$$  

(7.1)
Proof. Recalling $W(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t,x)W(dt,x)dx$. We have

$$E \left( \int_a^b Y_s W(ds, B_s) \right)^2 = E \left( \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_s \delta(B_s - x)W(ds, x)dx \right)^2. \quad (7.2)$$

Denote by $F := \int_a^b Y_s W(ds, B_s)$ and we shall use $F \cdot W(\phi) = \delta(F\phi) + \langle DW \phi \rangle_\mathcal{H}$. From the definition of spatial covariance (2.1), it follows

$$E \left( \int_a^b Y_s W(ds, B_s) \right)^2 = E \left( \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F \cdot Y_s \delta(B_s - x)W(ds, x)dx \right)^2 = E \left( \langle DW \ Y_s \rangle_\mathcal{H} \right). \quad (7.3)$$

Note that,

$$D^W_{r,y} = D^W \left( \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_s \delta(B_s - x)W(ds, x)dx \right) = \int_r^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^W_{r,y} Y_s \delta(B_s - x)W(ds, x)dx + Y_r \delta(B_r - y). \quad (7.4)$$

Substituting this computation into (7.1) we have

$$E \left( \int_a^b Y_s W(ds, B_s) \right)^2 = I_1 + I_2. \quad (7.5)$$

For $I_2$, it is easy to deduce

$$I_2 = E \left[ \int_{[a,b]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_r \delta(B_r - y) \cdot Y_s |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2}q(y, B_s)dydrds \right] \quad (7.6)$$

$I_1$ has the following expression

$$I_1 = E \left[ \int_{[a,b]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_r^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^W_{r,y} Y_s \delta(B_s - x)W(ds, x)dx \cdot Y_s |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2}q(y, B_s)drdsdy \right] \quad (7.7)$$

where

$$I_3 = \int_r^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^W_{r,y} Y_s \delta(B_s - x)W(ds, x)dx \cdot Y_s.$$
Using $F \cdot W(\phi) = \delta(F\phi) + \langle D^W F, \phi \rangle_H$ again we have

$$I_3 = \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_r^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^W_{r,y} Y_s \delta(B_s - x) W(ds, dx) \right] = \mathbb{E}^W \langle D^W_{r,y} Y_s \delta(B_s - \cdot), D^W Y_s \rangle_H$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_{[r,b]} \int_{[a,s]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^W_{r,y} Y_s \delta(B_u - x) D^W_{v,w} Y_s |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} q(x, w) dx dw dv du \right]$$

\hspace{1cm} (7.8)

$$= \mathbb{E}^W \left[ \int_{[r,b]} \int_{[a,s]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^W_{r,y} Y_u D^W_{v,w} Y_s |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} q(B_u, w) dw dv du \right].$$

Substituting this back to (7.7) we obtain

$$I_2 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{[a,b]} \int_{[r,b]} \int_{[a,s]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^W_{r,y} Y_u D^W_{v,w} Y_s |u - v|^{2H_0 - 2} |s - r|^{2H_0 - 2} q(B_u, w) q(y, B_s) dw dv du dr ds \right].$$

\hspace{1cm} (7.9)

Inserting the expressions for $I_1$ and $I_2$ into (7.5) yields the proposition.
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