A FULLY DIAGONALIZED SPECTRAL METHOD USING GENERALIZED
LAGUERRE FUNCTIONS ON THE HALF LINE

FU-JUN LIU\textsuperscript{1}, ZHONG-QING WANG\textsuperscript{2}, AND HUI-YUAN LI\textsuperscript{3}

Abstract. A fully diagonalized spectral method using generalized Laguerre functions is proposed and analyzed for solving elliptic equations on the half line. We first define the generalized Laguerre functions which are complete and mutually orthogonal with respect to an equivalent Sobolev inner product. Then the Fourier-like Sobolev orthogonal basis functions are constructed for the diagonalized Laguerre spectral method of elliptic equations. Besides, a unified orthogonal Laguerre projection is established for various elliptic equations. On the basis of this orthogonal Laguerre projection, we obtain optimal error estimates of the fully diagonalized Laguerre spectral method for both Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems. Finally, numerical experiments, which are in agreement with the theoretical analysis, demonstrate the effectiveness and the spectral accuracy of our diagonalized method.

1. Introduction

Spectral methods for solving partial differential equations on unbounded domains have gained a rapid development during the last few decades. An abundance of literature on this research topic has emerged, and their underlying approximation approaches can be essentially classified into three catalogues \cite{4,27}:

(i) truncate an unbounded domain to a bounded one and solve the problem on the bounded domain subject to artificial or transparent boundary conditions \cite{22,26};

(ii) map the original problem on an unbounded domain to one on a bounded domain and use classic spectral methods to solve the new problem \cite{9}; or equivalently, approximate the original problem by some non-classical functions mapped from the classic orthogonal polynomials/functions on a bounded domain \cite{2,9,7,11,12,27,31,34};

(iii) directly approximate the original problem by genuine orthogonal functions such as Laguerre polynomials or functions on the unbounded domain \cite{6,10,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,24,30,32,33,35}.
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The third approach is of particular interest to researchers, and has won an increasing popularity in a broad class of applications, owing to its essential advantages over other two approaches. These direct approximation schemes constitute an initial step towards the efficient spectral methods, which admit fast and stable algorithms for their efficient implementations.

As we know, the Fourier spectral method makes use of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator which are orthogonal to each other with respect to the Sobolev inner product involving derivatives, thus the corresponding algebraic system is diagonal [41 5 25]. This fact together with the availability of the fast Fourier transform (FFT) makes the Fourier spectral method be an ideal approximation approach for differential equations with periodic boundary conditions. Although the utilization of the genuine orthogonal polynomials/functions in this direct approach usually leads to a highly sparse (e.g., tri-diagonal, penta-diagonal) and well-conditioned algebraic system, however, in many cases, people still want to get a set of Fourier-like basis functions for a fully diagonalized algebraic system [28].

The main purpose of this paper is to construct the Fourier-like Sobolev orthogonal basis functions [8 21] for elliptic boundary value problems on the half line \( \Lambda = (0, \infty) \). For this purpose, we shall first extend the definition of Laguerre polynomials \( \{ L_k^{\alpha}(x) \}_{k \geq 0} \) and Laguerre functions \( \{ l_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = e^{-x} x^{\beta} L_k^{\alpha}(x) \}_{k \geq 0} \) for \( \beta > 0 \) to allow \( \alpha \) being any real number. The resulting generalized Laguerre functions are proven to be the eigenfunctions of certain high order Sturm-Liouville differential operators (see Lemma 2.8 of this paper). Moreover, they are complete and mutually orthogonal in \( H^{r,\alpha}_{x+\nu}(\Lambda) \) for any nonnegative integer \( r > -\alpha - 1 \) with respect to an equivalent Sobolev inner product (see (2.22) of this paper).

Since the problem is dependent on the inner product originated from the coercive bilinear form of the elliptic equation, it does not necessarily coincide with the equivalent Sobolev inner product, further efforts should be paid to obtain the Fourier-like basis functions for a fully diagonalized spectral approximation, in spite of the Sobolev orthogonality of \( \{ l_k^{1,\beta}(x) \}_{k \geq 0} \). Starting with \( \{ l_k^{1,\beta}(x) \}_{k \geq 0} \), stable and efficient algorithms are then proposed to construct the Fourier-like basis functions for the non-homogeneous Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems of the second order elliptic equations. In the sequel, both the exact solution and the approximate solution can be represented as infinite and truncated Fourier series in \( \{ l_k^{1,\beta}(x) \} \), respectively. Although the fully diagonalized spectral methods are studied for second order equations, they can be readily generalized to solve 2\( r \)-th order equations by starting with \( \{ l_k^{r,\beta}(x) \} \).

An ideal spectral approximation to differential equations may guarantee an optimal error estimate in its convergence analysis. To match this requirement, various orthogonal projections involving different orders of derivatives and boundary conditions have been designed and studied case by case, which frequently make the numerical analysis in spectral method a tedious task. Moreover, the traditional routine to measure the approximation error is first to establish the norm defined by a second-order self-adjoint differential operator, and then estimate the upper bound of the approximation error with the induced norms. However, this practical approach usually fails to characterize the function space in which the orthogonal projection has an optimal error estimate.

To conquer these difficulties, we need a unified definition of the orthogonal spectral projections with a systematic numerical analysis. Fortunately, the Sobolev orthogonality of the generalized Laguerre functions \( \{ l_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \} \) with a negative integer \( \alpha = -n \) enables us to define the unified orthogonal projection \( \pi_N^{n,\beta} \) from \( H^{r,\alpha}_{x+\nu}(\Lambda) \) to the finite approximation space for all nonnegative integer \( r \geq n \), ignoring the specific value of \( r \). More importantly, such an orthogonal projection \( \pi_N^{n,\beta} \) interpolates the endpoint function values up to the \((n-1)\)-th derivative, i.e., \( \partial^\ell \pi_N^{n,\beta} u(0) = \partial^\ell u(0) \) for any \( 0 \leq \ell \leq n-1 \) and \( N \geq n \). This endpoint interpolation property ensures \( u - \pi_N^{n,\beta} u \in H^0(\Lambda) \),
thus makes $\pi^{-n,\beta}$ applicable to both the Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems, and available to multi-domain spectral methods. Besides, owing to the clarity of the orthogonality structure of the generalised Laguerre functions, one can not only derive an optimal order of the convergence for the approximated function, but also get a generic characterization of the function space where the orthogonal projection has an optimal error estimate.

Therefore, the second purpose of this paper is to establish such a unified orthogonal Laguerre projection, and apply it to the convergence analysis on the fully diagonalized Laguerre spectral method for both the Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems of second order elliptic equations.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first make conventions on the frequently used notations, and then introduce generalized Laguerre polynomials and functions with arbitrary index $\alpha$. The fully diagonalized Laguerre spectral methods and the implementation of algorithms are proposed in Section 3 for the Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems of second order elliptic equations. Section 4 is then devoted to the convergence analysis of the unified orthogonal projection together with our Laguerre spectral methods. Finally, numerical results are presented in Section 5 to demonstrate the effectiveness and accuracy of the proposed diagonalized Laguerre spectral methods, which are in agreement with our theoretical predictions.

2. Generalized Laguerre polynomials and functions

2.1. Notations and preliminaries. Let $\Lambda = (0, \infty)$ and $\varpi(x)$ be a weight function which is not necessary in $L^1(\Lambda)$. We define

$$L^2_{\varpi}(\Lambda) = \{v \mid v \text{ is measurable on } \Lambda \text{ and } \|v\|_{\varpi} < \infty\},$$

with the following inner product and norm,

$$(u,v)_{\varpi} = \int_{\Lambda} u(x)v(x)\varpi(x)dx, \quad \|v\|_{\varpi} = (v,v)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u, v \in L^2_{\varpi}(\Lambda).$$

For simplicity, we denote $\frac{d^k}{dx^k} = \partial_x^k$ and $\frac{dv}{dx} = v'$. For any integer $m \geq 0$, we define

$$H^m_{\varpi}(\Lambda) = \{v \mid \partial_x^k v \in L^2_{\varpi}(\Lambda), \ 0 \leq k \leq m\},$$

with the following semi-norm and norm,

$$|v|_m,\varpi = \|\partial_x^m v\|_{\varpi}, \quad \|v\|_m,\varpi = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} |v|_{k,\varpi}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For any real $r > 0$, we define the space $H^r_{\varpi}(\Lambda)$ and its norm $\|v\|_{r,\varpi}$ by function space interpolation as in [1]. In cases where no confusion arises, $\varpi$ may be dropped from the notations whenever $\varpi(x) \equiv 1$. Specifically, we shall use the weight functions $w = w(x) = x$ and $w^{\alpha} = w^{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha}$ in the subsequent sections.

We denote by $\mathbb{R}$ the collection of real numbers, by $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{Z}^-$ the collections of nonnegative and negative integers, respectively. Further, we let $\mathbb{P}_k$ be the space of polynomials of degree $\leq k$.

Let $\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{Z}^- \cup (-1, +\infty)$. We also define the characteristic functions $\chi_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\chi_n(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -\alpha, & \alpha + n \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \\ 0, & \alpha + n \in (-1, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

For short we write $\chi(\alpha) = \chi_0(\alpha)$. 

\[\square\]
2.2. Generalized Laguerre polynomials. It is well known that, for \( \alpha > -1 \), the classical Laguerre polynomials \( L_k^\alpha(x) \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \), admit an explicit representation (see [24]):

\[
L_k^\alpha(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \frac{(\alpha+\nu+1)k-\nu}{(k-\nu)!\nu!}(-x)^\nu, \quad x \in \Lambda, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{2.1}
\]

where we use the Pochhammer symbol \( (a)_n = a(a+1)\ldots(a+n-1) \) for any \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \).

The classical Laguerre polynomials can be extended to cases with any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and the same representation as (2.1), which are referred to as the generalized Laguerre polynomials (cf. [23]). Obviously, the generalized Laguerre polynomials \( L_k^\alpha(x) \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \), constitute a complete basis for the linear space of real polynomials as well, since \( \deg L_k^\alpha = k \) for all \( k \geq 0 \).

The generalized Laguerre polynomials fulfill the following recurrence relations.

**Lemma 2.1.** For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), it holds

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_0^\alpha(x) &= 1, \\
L_1^\alpha(x) &= -x + \alpha + 1, \\
(k+1)L_{k+1}^\alpha(x) &= (2k+\alpha+1-x)L_k^\alpha(x) - (k+\alpha)L_{k-1}^\alpha(x), \quad k \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}
\]

**Proof.** The recurrence relation (2.2) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) can be derived from those of the classic Laguerre polynomials for \( \alpha > -1 \) by the continuation method. Here, we also give a concrete proof by the representation (2.1). Using the expression (2.1), we obtain that for integer \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
\begin{aligned}
(2k+\alpha+1)L_k^\alpha(x) &- (k+\alpha)L_{k-1}^\alpha(x) - (k+1)L_{k+1}^\alpha(x) \\
&= (2k+\alpha+1)\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^\nu\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)}{\nu!(k-\nu)!\Gamma(\alpha+\nu+1)}x^\nu - (k+\alpha)\sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^\nu\Gamma(k+\alpha)}{\nu!(k-\nu-1)!\Gamma(\alpha+\nu+1)}x^\nu \\
&\quad - (k+1)\sum_{\nu=0}^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^\nu\Gamma(k+\alpha+2)}{\nu!(k-\nu+1)!\Gamma(\alpha+\nu+1)}x^\nu.
\end{aligned}
\]

Then a direct computation shows that

\[
\begin{aligned}
(2k+\alpha+1)L_k^\alpha(x) &- (k+\alpha)L_{k-1}^\alpha(x) - (k+1)L_{k+1}^\alpha(x) \\
&= \sum_{\nu=1}^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1}\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)}{\nu-1!(k-\nu+1)!\Gamma(\alpha+\nu)}x^\nu = xL_k^\alpha(x).
\end{aligned}
\]

The desired result is now derived. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.2.** For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( k \geq 0 \), it holds

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_k^\alpha(x) &= L_k^{\alpha+1}(x) - L_k^{\alpha+1}(x), \\
\partial_x L_k^\alpha(x) &= -L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(x), \\
x\partial_x L_k^\alpha(x) &= kL_k^\alpha(x) - (k+\alpha)L_{k-1}^\alpha(x), \\
L_k^\alpha(x) &= \partial_x L_k^\alpha(x) - \partial_x L_{k+1}^\alpha(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6}
\]

where \( L_k^\alpha(x) \equiv 0 \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^- \).

**Proof.** The recurrence relations (2.3)–(2.6) can be obtained readily by using similar arguments as in Lemma 2.1. Moreover, by (2.3) and (2.4), it is easy to derive (2.0). \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.3.** For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), the generalized Laguerre polynomials \( L_k^\alpha(x) \) satisfy the Sturm-Liouville equation

\[
x^{-\alpha}e^x\partial_x(x^{\alpha+1}e^{-x}\partial_x L_k^\alpha(x)) + \lambda_k L_k^\alpha(x) = 0, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{2.7}
\]
or equivalently,
\[ x\partial_x^2 L_k^\alpha(x) + (\alpha + 1 - x)\partial_x L_k^\alpha(x) + \lambda_k L_k^\alpha(x) = 0, \quad k \geq 0, \]  
with the corresponding eigenvalue \( \lambda_k = k. \)

\textbf{Proof.} Lemma \ref{Lemma2.4} can be proved by the continuation method from the Sturm-Liouville equation of the classic Laguerre polynomials for \( \alpha > -1. \) Also one can give a proof by using the representation \ref{2.1}. We omit the details here. \( \square \)

We are interested in those generalized Laguerre polynomials with an integer index \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^- \).

\textbf{Lemma 2.4.} \textit{For any} \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^- \), \textit{we have}
\[ L_k^\alpha(x) = (-x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(k + \alpha)!}{k!} L_{k+\alpha}^{-\alpha}(x), \quad k \geq \chi(\alpha). \]  
\textit{And for any} \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), \textit{the following orthogonality relation holds:}
\[ \int_{\Lambda} L_k^\alpha(x) L_m^\alpha(x) x^\alpha e^{-x} dx = \gamma_k^\alpha \delta_{k,m}, \quad \gamma_k^\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!}, \quad k, m \geq \chi(\alpha), \]  
\textit{where} \( \delta_{k,m} \) \textit{is the Kronecker symbol.}

\textbf{Proof.} The identity \ref{2.9} comes directly from \ref{2.1}. The orthogonality relation \ref{2.10} is known for classic Laguerre polynomials with \( \alpha \in (-1, +\infty) \); while for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^- \), \ref{2.10} can be obtained immediately from \ref{2.9} together with \ref{2.10} for \( \alpha \in (-1, +\infty) \). \( \square \)

We now conclude this subsection with some generalized Laguerre polynomials \( L_k^\alpha(x) \) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^- \).

| \( \alpha = -1 \) | \( \alpha = -2 \) | \( \alpha = -3 \) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( k = 0 \) | \( k = 1 \) | \( k = 2 \) | \( k = 3 \) | \( \ldots \) | \( k \geq \chi(\alpha) \) |
| \( 1 \) | \( -x \) | \( \frac{1}{2}x(x-2) \) | \( -\frac{1}{6}x(x^2-6x+6) \) | \( -\frac{1}{6}x^2L_{k-1}^1(x) \) |
| \( 1 \) | \( -x-1 \) | \( \frac{1}{2}x^2 \) | \( -\frac{1}{6}x^2(x-3) \) | \( \ldots \) |
| \( 1 \) | \( -x-2 \) | \( \frac{1}{2}x^2+x+1 \) | \( -\frac{1}{6}x^3 \) | \( \ldots \) |

2.3. \textbf{Generalized Laguerre functions.} In this subsection, we shall introduce the generalized Laguerre functions with arbitrary parameters \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \beta > 0 \) and present some properties.

The generalized Laguerre functions \( I_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x), k \geq 0 \) are defined by
\[ I_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta x} L_k^\alpha(\beta x), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \beta > 0, \]  
and the multiplication of \( e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta x} \) and the leading term of \( L_k^\alpha(\beta x) \) is simply referred to as the leading term of \( I_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \).

According to \ref{2.9}, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^- \), we have
\[ I_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (-\beta x)^{-\alpha} \frac{(k + \alpha)!}{k!} I_{k+\alpha}^{-\alpha}(x), \quad k \geq \chi(\alpha), \]  
which means that \( x = 0 \) is a zero of \( I_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \) with the multiplicity \( -\alpha \), i.e.,
\[ \partial_x^n I_k^{\alpha,\beta}(0) = 0, \quad k \geq \chi(\alpha), \quad \nu = 0, 1, \ldots, -\alpha - 1. \]  
Due to \ref{2.2} \& \ref{2.6}, the generalized Laguerre functions satisfy the following recurrence relations:
Lemma 2.5. For any \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), it holds that

\[
\beta t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = -(k + 1) t_{k+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + (2k + \alpha + 1) t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) - (k + \alpha) t_{k-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x), \tag{2.14}
\]

\[
l_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = l_{k+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) - l_{k-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x), \tag{2.15}
\]

\[
\partial_x t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = -\beta l_{k+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) - \frac{\beta}{2} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = -\frac{\beta}{2} [l_{k+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + l_{k-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)], \tag{2.16}
\]

\[
x \partial_x t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{k + 1}{2} l_{k+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) - \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) - \frac{k + \alpha}{2} l_{k-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x), \tag{2.17}
\]

\[
\partial_x t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) - \partial_x t_{k+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \beta (t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + t_{k+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)). \tag{2.18}
\]

Hereafter, we use the convention that \( t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = 0 \) whenever \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^- \).

The generalized Laguerre functions are eigenfunctions of certain singular Sturm-Liouville differential operators.

Lemma 2.6. For any \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), it holds that

\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (-1)^\nu \binom{n}{\nu} \beta^{2n-2\nu} \frac{\lambda_{n}^{\alpha} l_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x)}{2^{n+1} n^2} x^{-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} \left( x^{n+1-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right) = \frac{\beta n}{2n} \lambda_{n-1}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{2.19}
\]

where \( \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} \) satisfies the following recurrence relation,

\[
\lambda_{0}^{\alpha} = 1, \quad \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} = (k + \alpha + 1) \lambda_{n-1}^{\alpha} + k \lambda_{n-1}^{\alpha+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad k \geq 0. \tag{2.20}
\]

Proof. We prove (2.19) and (2.20) by induction. It is obvious that (2.19) holds for \( n = 0 \). Moreover, by virtue of (2.7) and (2.11) we have

\[
-x^{-\alpha} \partial_x \left( x^{\alpha+1} \partial_x t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right) + \frac{\beta^2}{4} x t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\beta}{2} (2k + \alpha + 1) t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\beta}{2} \lambda_{k+1}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x), \quad k \geq 0,
\]

which gives (2.19) and (2.20) for \( n = 1 \).

We now assume that (2.19) and (2.20) hold for an integer \( n \geq 1 \). Then by the recursive formula of binomial coefficients together with (2.13) and (2.16),

\[
I := \sum_{\nu=0}^{n+1} (-1)^\nu \binom{n+1}{\nu} \beta^{2n+2-2\nu} \frac{\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}{2^{n+2-\nu} n^2} x^{-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} \left( x^{n+1-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n+1} (-1)^\nu \left( \binom{n}{\nu} + \binom{n}{\nu-1} \right) \beta^{2n+2-2\nu} \frac{\lambda_{n}^{\alpha}}{2^{n+2-\nu} n^2} x^{-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} \left( x^{n+1-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\beta^2}{4} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n+1} (-1)^\nu \binom{n}{\nu} \beta^{2n-2\nu} x^{-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} \left( x^{n+1-\alpha} \partial_x^{\nu} t_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right),
\]

Thus by the induction assumption, (2.14), (2.17) and (2.18), we derive that

\[
I = \frac{\beta^{n+2}}{2^{n+2}} \lambda_{k,n}^{\alpha+1} \left[ \partial_x^{\nu} \left( \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta} \right) \right] + \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} x^{-\alpha} \partial_x \left( x^{n+1} \partial_x^{\nu} \left( \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} \lambda_{k,n}^{\alpha+1} \left[ \partial_x^{\nu} \left( \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta} \right) \right] + \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} x^{-\alpha} \partial_x \left( x^{n+1} \partial_x^{\nu} \left( \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} \lambda_{k,n}^{\alpha+1} \left[ \partial_x^{\nu} \left( \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta} \right) \right] + \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} x^{-\alpha} \partial_x \left( x^{n+1} \partial_x^{\nu} \left( \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} t_k^{\alpha,\beta} \right) \right)
\]
Proof. More generally, for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha + 1 \in \{1, 2, -3, \cdots \} \quad \text{or (b),} \quad \alpha + 1 \in (-1, +\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad k, m \geq 0.
\]
This ends the proof. \( \square \)

For any \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \beta > 0 \), define the bilinear form on \( H^\alpha_{w,\alpha+n}(A) \times H^\alpha_{w,\alpha+n}(A) \),
\[
a^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(u, v) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \frac{n(2n-2\nu)\beta^{2n-2\nu}}{2n-2\nu} (\partial_{x}^{\nu} u, \partial_{x}^{\nu} v)_{w,\alpha+n}. \tag{2.21}
\]
It is obvious that \( a^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(\cdot, \cdot) \) is an inner product on \( H^\alpha_{w,\alpha+n}(A) \) if \( \alpha + n > -1 \).

**Theorem 2.1.** The generalized Laguerre functions \( l^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(x) \), \( k \geq \chi(\alpha) \) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) are mutually orthogonal with respect to the weight function \( w^\alpha \),
\[
a^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta}) = (l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta})_{w^\alpha} = \beta^{-\alpha-1}\gamma^\alpha_{k,\beta}\delta_{k,m}, \quad k, m \geq \chi(\alpha). \tag{2.22}
\]
More generally, for any \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \alpha + n \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
a^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta}) = \beta^{-\alpha-1}\gamma^\alpha_{k,\beta}\delta_{k,m}, \quad k, m \geq \chi(\alpha), \tag{2.23}
\]
where the positive numbers \( \gamma^\alpha_{k,\beta} \) satisfy the recurrence relation
\[
\gamma^\alpha_{k,0} = \gamma^\alpha_{k+2}, \quad \gamma^\alpha_{k,n+1} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^\alpha_{k,n-1} + \gamma^\alpha_{k+1,n-1}], \quad n \geq 1. \tag{2.24}
\]
under the convention that \( \gamma^\alpha_{k,0} = 0 \) whenever \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^- \).

**Proof.** The orthogonality \( 2.22 \) is an immediate consequence of \( 2.10 \). Meanwhile, the recursive formula \( 2.21 \) of binomial coefficients together with \( 2.15 \) and \( 2.16 \) yields
\[
a^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n+1} \left[ \binom{n}{\nu-1} + \binom{n}{\nu} \right] \frac{\beta^{2n-2\nu}}{2n-2\nu} (\partial_{x}^{\nu} l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, \partial_{x}^{\nu} l^\alpha_{m,\beta})_{w,\alpha+n+1+1}
\]
\[
= \frac{\beta^2}{4} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{\nu-1} \frac{\beta^{2n-2(\nu-1)}}{2n-2(\nu-1)} (\partial_{x}^{\nu-1} l^\alpha_{k,\beta} + l^\alpha_{m,\beta})_{w,\alpha+n+1+1}
\]
\[
+ \binom{n}{\nu} \frac{\beta^{2n-2\nu}}{2n-2\nu} (\partial_{x}^{\nu} l^\alpha_{k,\beta} - l^\alpha_{m,\beta})_{w,\alpha+n+1+1}
\]
\[
= \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \left( \binom{n}{\nu} \frac{\beta^{2n-2\nu}}{2n-2\nu} (\partial_{x}^{\nu} l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, \partial_{x}^{\nu} l^\alpha_{m,\beta})_{w,\alpha+n+1+1} + (\partial_{x}^{\nu} l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, \partial_{x}^{\nu} l^\alpha_{m,\beta})_{w,\alpha+n+1+1} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left[ a^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta}) + a^\alpha_{\nu,\beta}(l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta}) \right].
\]
To complete the proof \( 2.23 \), we proceed by induction on \( n \). By \( 2.23 \) we get
\[
a^\alpha_{1,\beta}(l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta}) = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left[ \beta^{-\alpha-1} \gamma^\alpha_{k,\beta} + \beta^{-\alpha-1} \gamma^\alpha_{k+1,\beta} \right] \delta_{k,m} = \frac{\beta^{-\alpha}}{2} \left[ \gamma^\alpha_{k,\beta} + \gamma^\alpha_{k+1,\beta} \right] \delta_{k,m}, \tag{2.26}
\]
if either (a). \( \alpha + 1 \in \{1, -2, -3, \cdots \} \) and \( k, m \geq -\alpha \); or (b). \( \alpha + 1 \in (-1, +\infty) \) and \( k, m \geq 0 \). This exactly gives \( 2.23 \) for \( k, m \geq \chi(\alpha) \) with \( n = 1 \).

Assume that the result \( 2.23 \) for \( k, m \geq \chi(\alpha) \) with \( n = p \) holds. We now verify the result with \( n = p + 1 \). Clearly, by \( 2.23 \) we have
\[
a^\alpha_{p+1,\beta}(l^\alpha_{k,\beta}, l^\alpha_{m,\beta}) = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left[ \beta^{p-\alpha+1} \gamma^\alpha_{k,p} + \beta^{p-\alpha+1} \gamma^\alpha_{k+1,p} \right] \delta_{k,m} = \frac{\beta^{p-\alpha}}{2} \left[ \gamma^\alpha_{k,p} + \gamma^\alpha_{k+1,p} \right] \delta_{k,m},
\]
if either (a). \( \alpha + 1 \in \{-p-1, -p-2, \cdots \} \) and \( k, m \geq -\alpha \); or (b). \( \alpha + 1 > -p-1 \) and \( k, m \geq 0 \). This statement implies the result \( 2.23 \) for \( k, m \geq \chi_{n+1}(\alpha) \) with \( n = p + 1 \). This ends the proof. \( \square \)
The normalization constants $\gamma_{k,n}^\alpha$ and the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,n}^\alpha$ are closely related. In effect, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, k \geq \chi(\alpha), n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we get that

\[
\gamma_{k,n}^\alpha = \beta^{\alpha+1-n} u_n^\alpha \left( \gamma_{k,n}^\alpha, t_k^n \right) = \beta^{\alpha+1-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \nu \end{array} \right) \frac{\beta^{2n-2\nu}}{2^{2n-2\nu}} \left( \partial_x^{\nu} u_n^{\alpha,\beta}, \partial_x^{\nu} t_k^n \right)_{w^{\alpha+n}}
\]

where the third inequality sign is obtained by integration by parts combined with (2.13).

Moreover, for sufficiently large $k$, an induction procedure starting with (2.20) reveals

\[
\lambda_{k,n}^\alpha = (2k + \alpha + 1)^n + \mathcal{O}(k^{n-2}),
\]

which implies

\[
\frac{\gamma_{k,n}^\alpha}{\gamma_{k,n+1}^\alpha} = \frac{2}{2k + \alpha + 1} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-3}).
\]

The following eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,n}^0$ and normalization constants $\gamma_{k,n}^0$ are of our particular interest,

\[
\lambda_{k,0}^0 = 1, \quad \lambda_{k,n}^0 = 2^n (k - n + 1)_n, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]

\[
\gamma_{k,0}^0 = 1, \quad \gamma_{k,n}^0 = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min(k,n)} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \nu \end{array} \right), \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.
\]

3. Fully diagonalized spectral methods

In this section, we propose the fully diagonalized spectral methods using generalized Laguerre functions for solving differential equations on the half line. The main idea is to find a system of Sobolev orthogonal functions [5] [21] with respect to the coercive bilinear form arising from differential equation, such that both the exact solution and the approximate solution can be explicitly expressed as a Fourier series in the Sobolev orthogonal functions. Although we only consider in this section non-homogenous Robin/Dirichlet boundary value problems of a second order equation, one can extend the fully diagonalized spectral methods for solving partial differential equations of an arbitrary high order.

3.1. Robin boundary value problems. Consider the second order elliptic boundary value problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
-u''(x) + \gamma u(x) = f(x), & x \in \Lambda, \\
-u'(0) + \mu u(0) = \eta, & \lim_{x \to +\infty} u(x) = 0, & \mu \geq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

A weak formulation of (3.1) is to find $u \in H^1(\Lambda)$ such that

\[
A_{\gamma,\mu}(u, v) := \mu u(0)v(0) + (u', v') + \gamma(u, v) = (f, v) + \eta v(0), \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Lambda).
\]

The Lax-Milgram lemma guarantees a unique solution to (3.2) if $f \in (H^1(\Lambda))^\prime$.

Let

\[
X_N^\beta := \{ e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta x} p(x) : p \in \mathbb{P}_N \} = \{ k^{-1,\beta} : 0 \leq k \leq N \}.
\]

The generalized Laguerre spectral scheme for (3.1) is to find $u_N \in X_N^\beta$, such that

\[
A_{\gamma,\mu}(u_N, v_N) = (f, v_N) + \eta v_N(0), \quad \forall v_N \in X_N^\beta.
\]
For an efficient approximation scheme, one usually chooses the generalized Laguerre functions \( \{l_k^{-1,\beta}(x)\}_{0 \leq k \leq N} \) as the basis functions for problem (3.3). However, we are eager for an ideal approximation scheme whose (total) stiff matrix, in analogue to the Fourier spectral method for periodic problem, is diagonal. Obviously, the utilization of the basis functions \( \{R_k(x)\}_{0 \leq k \leq N} \) leads to a tridiagonal algebraic system. To this end, we shall construct new basis functions \( \{R_k^\beta(x)\}_{0 \leq k \leq N} \) which are mutually orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product which are mutually orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product \( A_{\gamma,\mu}(\cdot,\cdot) \) instead of \( a_1^{-1,\beta}(\cdot,\cdot) \) defined in Theorem 2.1

Lemma 3.1. Let \( R_k^\beta \in X_k^\beta, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) be the Sobolev orthogonal Laguerre functions such that \( R_k^\beta - l_k^{-1,\beta} \in X_{k-1}^\beta \) and

\[
A_{\gamma,\mu}(R_k^\beta, R_m^\beta) = \rho_k \delta_{k,m}, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.
\]

Then \( R_k^\beta(x), k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) satisfy the following recurrence relation,

\[
R_0^\beta(x) = l_0^{-1,\beta}(x), \quad R_k^\beta(x) = l_k^{-1,\beta}(x) - d_{k-1} R_{k-1}^\beta(x), \quad \forall k \geq 1,
\]

where \( \rho_0 = \mu + \frac{\beta}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \) and

\[
d_{k-1} = \frac{\beta}{4\rho_{k-1}} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta \rho_{k-1}}, \quad \rho_k = -d_{k-1} \rho_{k-1} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}, \quad k \geq 1.
\]

Proof. By the orthogonality assumption (3.4) of \( \{R_k^\beta\} \),

\[
l_k^{-1,\beta}(x) = R_k^\beta(x) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{A_{\gamma,\mu}(l_k^{-1,\beta}, R_m^\beta)}{\rho_m} R_m^\beta(x).
\]

Meanwhile, by (3.2) and (2.21), for any \( k > m \geq 0 \),

\[
A_{\gamma,\mu}(l_k^{-1,\beta}, R_m^\beta) = a_1^{-1,\beta}(l_k^{-1,\beta}, R_m^\beta) + \mu l_k^{-1,\beta}(0) R_m^\beta(0) + (\gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4})(l_k^{-1,\beta}, R_m^\beta).
\]

Both the first and the second terms in the righthand side above are zero due to the orthogonality relation (2.24) of \( \{l_k^{-1,\beta}\} \) and the homogeneity boundary condition (2.13) for \( l_k^{-1,\beta}, k \geq 1 \). Further by (2.14) and the orthogonality relation (2.22) for \( \{l_k^\beta\} \),

\[
A_{\gamma,\mu}(l_k^{-1,\beta}, R_m^\beta) = (\gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4})(l_k^{-1,\beta}, R_m^\beta) = (\gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4})(l_k^{-1,\beta}, l_k^{-1,\beta}) \delta_{m,k-1}
\]

\[
= \left( \gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4} \right) \left( l_k^0, l_k^0 \right) / \left( l_k^{-1,\beta}, l_k^{-1,\beta} \right) \delta_{m,k-1}
\]

\[
= \left( \gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4} \right) \left( l_k^0, l_k^0 \right) \delta_{m,k-1} = \left( \frac{\beta}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \right) \delta_{m,k-1}, \quad k > m \geq 0,
\]

which, in return, implies

\[
l_k^{-1,\beta}(x) = R_k^\beta(x) + d_{k-1} R_{k-1}^\beta(x), \quad d_{k-1} = \frac{\beta}{4\rho_{k-1}} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta \rho_{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 1.
\]

We now turn to the proof of the recurrence identity for \( \rho_k, k \geq 0 \). Firstly, a direct computation shows

\[
\rho_0 = A_{\gamma,\mu}(R_0^\beta, R_0^\beta) = A_{\gamma,\mu}(l_0^{-1,\beta}, l_0^{-1,\beta}) = \mu + \frac{\beta}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}.
\]

Further, for \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
\beta = a_1^{-1,\beta}(l_k^{-1,\beta}, l_k^{-1,\beta}) = A_{\gamma,\mu}(l_k^{-1,\beta}, l_k^{-1,\beta}) + \left( \frac{\beta^2}{4} - \gamma \right)(l_k^{-1,\beta}, l_k^{-1,\beta})
\]
\[ A_{\gamma,\mu}(R_k^\beta(x) + d_{k-1}R_{k-1}^\beta(x), R_k^\beta(x) + d_{k-1}R_{k-1}^\beta(x)) + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{4} - \gamma\right)(l_k^0 - \beta - l_{k-1}^0) = \rho_k + d_{k-1}^2\rho_{k-1} + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{4} - \gamma\right)\frac{2}{\beta}, \]

where we have used (2.23) and (2.24) for the first equality sign, (3.5) and (2.15) for the third equality sign, and (3.4) and (2.22) for the fourth equality sign. The proof is completed. \(\square\)

Obviously, \(X_N^\beta = \{R_k^\beta : 0 \leq k \leq N\}\). Thus the variational forms (3.2) and (3.3) together with the orthogonality of \(\{R_k^\beta\}\) lead to the following main theorem in this subsection.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(u\) and \(u_N\) be the solution of (3.1) and (3.3), respectively. Then both \(u\) and \(u_N\) have the explicit representations in \(\{R_k^\beta\}\),

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k R_k^\beta(x), & \quad & \quad u_N(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \hat{u}_k R_k^\beta(x), \\
\hat{u}_k &= \frac{1}{\rho_k} A_{\gamma,\mu}(\hat{u}, R_k^\beta) = \frac{1}{\rho_k} \left[ \left(f, R_k^\beta\right) + \eta R_k^\beta(0) \right], & \quad & \quad k \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

3.2. **Dirichlet boundary value problems.** Consider the second order elliptic boundary value problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
-u''(x) + \gamma u(x) &= f(x), & \quad & \quad \gamma > 0, \quad x \in \Lambda, \\
u(0) &= \eta, & \quad & \quad \lim_{x \to +\infty} u(x) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

A weak formulation of (3.6) is to find \(u \in H^1(\Lambda)\) such that \(u(0) = \eta\) and

\[
A_{\gamma}(u, v) := (u', v') + \gamma(u, v) = (f, v), & \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Lambda).
\]

Clearly, if \(f \in (H_0^1(\Lambda))'\), then by Lax-Milgram lemma, (3.7) admits a unique solution.

Let \(X_N^{0,\beta} := \{e^{-\frac{\beta}{4}x}p(x) : p(0) = 0 \text{ and } p \in \mathbb{P}_N\} = \{l_{k-1}^{-1,\beta} : 1 \leq k \leq N\}\). The generalized Laguerre spectral scheme for (3.6) is to find \(u_N \in X_N^{0,\beta}\), such that \(u_N(0) = \eta\) and

\[
A_{\gamma}(u_N, v_N) = (f, v_N), & \quad \forall v_N \in X_N^{0,\beta}.
\]

To propose a fully diagonal approximation scheme for (3.7), we need to construct new basis functions \(\{S_k^\beta\}_{1 \leq k \leq N}\) which are mutually orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product \(A_{\gamma}(\cdot, \cdot)\).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \(S_k^\beta \in X_N^{0,\beta}, k \geq 1\) be the Sobolev orthogonal Laguerre functions such that \(S_k^\beta - l_k^{-1,\beta} \in X_N^{0,\beta}\) and

\[
A_{\gamma}(S_k^\beta, S_m^\beta) = \varrho_{k\delta_{k,m}}, \quad k, m \geq 1.
\]

Then we have

\[
S_k^\beta(x) = l_k^{-1,\beta}(x), & \quad S_k^\beta(x) = l_k^{-1,\beta}(x) - d_{k-1}S_{k-1}^\beta(x), \quad k \geq 2,
\]

where \(\varrho_1 = \frac{4\gamma + \beta^2}{2\beta}\) and

\[
d_{k-1} = \frac{\beta}{4\varrho_{k-1}} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta\varrho_{k-1}}, & \quad \varrho_k = -d_{k-1}^2\varrho_{k-1} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}, \quad k \geq 2.
\]

**Proof.** The proof is in the same way as Lemma 3.1. We neglect the details. \(\square\)
To deal with the non-homogenous boundary condition, we need to supplement \( S_0^\beta(x) \) which is orthogonal to all functions in \( H_0^1(\Lambda) \) with respect to \( A_\gamma(\cdot, \cdot) \). Suppose

\[
S_0^\beta(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{s}_m l_m^{-1,\beta}(x) \quad \text{with } \hat{s}_0 = 1,
\]

such that \( S_0^\beta(0) = 1 \). Then by (2.14), (2.23) and (2.22),

\[
0 = A_\gamma(S_0^\beta, l_k^{-1,\beta}) = a_1^\alpha(\beta) (S_0^\beta, l_k^{-1,\beta}) + (\gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4}) (S_0^\beta, l_k^{-1,\beta})
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{s}_m a_1^\alpha(\beta) (l_m^{-1,\beta}, l_k^{-1,\beta}) + (\gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{s}_m (l_0^{\beta} - l_{m-1}^{\beta}, l_0^{\beta} - l_{k-1}^{\beta})
\]

\[
= \beta \hat{s}_k + (\gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4}) \frac{2\hat{s}_k - \hat{s}_{k-1} - \hat{s}_{k+1}}{\beta}
\]

\[
= (\gamma + \frac{\beta^2}{4}) \frac{2\hat{s}_k}{\beta} - (\gamma - \frac{\beta^2}{4}) \frac{\hat{s}_{k-1} + \hat{s}_{k+1}}{\beta}, \quad k \geq 1.
\]

The characteristic equation for the above three term recurrence relation reads

\[
(\beta^2 - 4\gamma)z^2 + 2(4\gamma + \beta^2)z + (\beta^2 - 4\gamma) = 0,
\]

which admits two distinct real roots \( z_{\pm} = \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma} \pm \beta}{2\sqrt{\gamma} \pm \beta} \) if and only if \( \gamma > 0 \). In this case, all \( \hat{s}_m, m \geq 0 \) can be expressed as

\[
\hat{s}_m = c_+ \frac{(2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^m}{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^m} + c_- \frac{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^m}{(2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^m}.
\]

(3.11)

with the coefficients \( c_\pm \) to be determined by \( \hat{s}_0 = 1 \) and \( \lim_{m \to \infty} \hat{s}_m = 0 \). As a result,

\[
c_+ = 1, \quad c_- = 0, \quad \text{and } S_0^\beta(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^m}{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^m} l_m^{-1,\beta}(x).
\]

Also, we need a function \( S_{0,N}^\beta \in X_N^0,\beta \) which satisfies \( S_{0,N}^\beta(0) = 1 \) and is orthogonal to all functions in \( X_N^0,\beta \) with respect to \( A_\gamma(\cdot, \cdot) \). Let us write

\[
S_{0,N}^\beta(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \hat{s}_m l_k^{-1,\beta}(x).
\]

Then \( \{\hat{s}_m\}_{0 \leq m \leq N} \) is determined by (3.11) for \( 1 \leq m \leq N \) together with the endpoint values \( \hat{s}_0 = 1 \) and \( \hat{s}_{N+1} = 0 \). Solving the system for \( c_\pm \) we finally have

\[
c_+ = \frac{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^{2N+2}}{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^{2N+2} - (2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^{2N+2}}, \quad c_- = -\frac{(2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^{2N+2}}{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^{2N+2} - (2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^{2N+2}},
\]

\[
S_{0,N}^\beta(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^{2N+2-m}(2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^m - (2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^{2N+2-m}(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^m}{(2\sqrt{\gamma} + \beta)^{2N+2} - (2\sqrt{\gamma} - \beta)^{2N+2}} l_k^{-1,\beta}(x).
\]

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( u \) and \( u_N \) be the solution to (3.6) and (3.8), respectively. Then both \( u \) and \( u_N \) have the explicit representations in \( \{S_k^\beta\} \),

\[
u(x) = \eta S_0^\beta(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k S_k^\beta(x), \quad u_N(x) = \eta S_{0,N}^\beta(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{u}_k S_k^\beta(x),
\]

\[
\hat{u}_k = \frac{1}{\theta_k} A_\gamma(u, S_k^\beta) = \frac{\langle f, S_m^\beta \rangle}{\theta_m}, \quad k \geq 1.
\]
4. Convergence analysis

In this section, we shall derive the optimal error estimate for the spectral methods using generalized Laguerre functions. To this end, we first conduct some numerical analysis on the orthogonal projections.

4.1. Orthogonal projections. Let \( r, N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), \( \alpha > -r - 1 \) and \( \beta > 0 \). Define the orthogonal projection \( \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} : H_{w^{\alpha+r}}(\Lambda) \rightarrow X_N^{\beta} \) such that

\[
\alpha_r^{\alpha,\beta}(u - \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u, v) = 0, \quad v \in X_N^{\beta}. \tag{4.1}
\]

In view of the orthogonality relation (2.23), \( \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u \) is a truncated Fourier series of \( u \) in \( \{t_{k}^{\alpha,\beta}\} \),

\[
\pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \hat{u}_k l_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\beta}(x), \quad u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k l_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\beta}(x), \quad \hat{u}_k = \frac{\alpha_r^{\alpha,\beta}(u, t_k^{\alpha,\beta})}{\alpha_r^{\alpha,\beta}(t_k^{\alpha,\beta}, t_k^{\alpha,\beta})}. \tag{4.2}
\]

In return, one obtains that, for any nonnegative integers \( N \) and \( s \) with \( N, s > -\alpha - 1 \),

\[
\alpha_s^{\alpha,\beta}(u - \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u, v) = 0, \quad v \in X_N^{\beta},
\]

which states that \( \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} = \pi_{s,N}^{\alpha,\beta} \) for all admissible \( s, r \) and \( N \). For this reason, we shall omit the subscript \( r \) and simplify write \( \pi_{N}^{\alpha,\beta} = \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} \).

Besides, (2.12) clearly states \( \partial_{x}^{r} l_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\beta}(0) = 0, \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \) for any \( k \geq n \geq 1 \). Thus for \( N \geq n \), \( \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u \) preserves the endpoint values of \( u \) up to the \((n - 1)\)-th order derivative, i.e.,

\[
\partial_{x}^{\ell} \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u(0) = \partial_{x}^{\ell} u(0), \quad \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1. \tag{4.3}
\]

In other words, \( u - \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u \in H_{0}^{r}(\Lambda) \) for any \( u \in H^{n}(\Lambda) \) if \( N \geq n \).

To measure the error between \( u \) and \( \pi_{r,N}^{\alpha,\beta} u \), we introduce the equivalent norm in \( H_{w^{\alpha+r}}(\Lambda) \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta} = [\alpha_r^{\alpha,\beta}(u, u)]^{1/2} = \left[ \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \frac{\beta^{2r-2\nu}}{2^{2r-2\nu}} (\partial_{x}^{\nu} u, \partial_{x}^{\nu} u)_{w^{\alpha+r}} \right]^{1/2}. \tag{4.4}
\]

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), \( \alpha > -r - 1 \) and \( \beta > 0 \). Then for any function \( u \in H_{w^{\alpha+r}}(\Lambda) \) and any nonnegative integers \( N, s > -\alpha - 1 \),

\[
\|u - \pi_{N}^{\alpha,\beta} u\|_{s,\alpha,\beta} \lesssim (\beta N)^{\frac{s-r}{2}} \|u - \pi_{N}^{\alpha,\beta} u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta} \lesssim (\beta N)^{\frac{s-r}{2}} \|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta}, \quad r \geq s, \tag{4.4}
\]

where the implicit constants \( c = c(\alpha, r) \) is independent of \( \beta, N, s \) and \( u \).

**Proof.** By (4.2) and the orthogonality (2.23),

\[
\|u - \pi_{N}^{\alpha,\beta} u\|_{s,\alpha,\beta}^{2} = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-\alpha-1} \gamma_{k,s}^{\alpha} \hat{u}_{k}^{2} = \beta^{s-r} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\gamma_{k,r}^{\alpha}}{\gamma_{k,s}^{\alpha}} \right) \beta^{r-\alpha-1} \gamma_{k,r}^{\alpha} \hat{u}_{k}^{2}.
\]

By (2.29), one reveals that

\[
\frac{\gamma_{k,s}^{\alpha}}{\gamma_{k,r}^{\alpha}} \lesssim \frac{2^{r-s}}{(2k + \alpha + 1)^{r-s}} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{r-s}}, \quad k \geq N + 1, r \geq s.
\]

As a result,

\[
\|u - \pi_{N}^{\alpha,\beta} u\|_{s,\alpha,\beta}^{2} \lesssim (\beta N)^{s-r} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \beta^{r-\alpha-1} \gamma_{k,r}^{\alpha} \hat{u}_{k}^{2} = (\beta N)^{s-r} \|u - \pi_{N}^{\alpha,\beta} u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{2} \lesssim (\beta N)^{s-r} \|u\|_{r,\alpha,\beta}^{2},
\]
which leads to (4.1).

4.2. Convergence analysis. We first give the error estimate of the generalized Laguerre spectral method (3.8) for the non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem (3.6).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $u$ and $u_N$ be the solutions to (3.6) and (3.8), respectively. If $u \in H^r_{w_{r-1}}(\Lambda)$ and integer $r \geq 1$, then for sufficiently large $N$,

$$||(u - u_N)'||^2 + \gamma ||u - u_N||^2 \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{4\gamma}{\beta^2}\right) \left(\beta N\right)^{1-r} ||u||_{r-1,\beta}^2,$$

and

$$||u - u_N||_{w^{-1}} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta}\right) \left(\beta N\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ||u||_{r-1,\beta}. $$

**Proof.** We first prove the inequality (4.5). By (3.7), we get

$$(\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u, v_N) + \gamma (\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u, v_N) = (f, v_N) + ((\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u, v_N') + \gamma (\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u, v_N), v_N) \in X^0_{\alpha, \beta}.$$ Subtracting the above equation from (3.8) yields

$$(\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u_N, v_N') + \gamma (\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u_N, v_N) = ((\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u, v_N') + \gamma (\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u, v_N).$$

The above with (4.1) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives that for any real number $q$,

$$\left|((\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u_N, v_N') + \gamma (\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u_N, v_N)\right| = \left|(1 + q) ((\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u, v_N') + \frac{4\gamma + \beta^2 q}{4} (\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u, v_N)\right|$$

$$\leq |1 + q| \left|((\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u', v_N') + \frac{4\gamma + \beta^2 q}{4} \left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right| \left|v_N'\right|\right|$$

$$\leq \left|(1 + q)^2 \left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|^2 + \frac{(4\gamma + \beta^2 q)^2}{16\gamma} \left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|^2 \right|^{1/2} \left|v_N'\right|^2 + \frac{(4\gamma + \beta^2 q)^2}{16\gamma} \left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|^2 \right|^{1/2}.$$ Taking $v_N = u_N - \pi_N^{-1,\beta} u \in X^0_{\alpha, \beta}$, we obtain

$$\left|\left|u_N - \pi_N^{-1,\beta} u\right|\right|^2 \gamma \left|u_N - \pi_N^{-1,\beta} u\right|^2 \lesssim (1 + q)^2 \left|\left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|\right|^2 + \frac{(4\gamma + \beta^2 q)^2}{16\gamma} \left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|^2.$$ This, along with the triangle inequality, leads to

$$\left|\left|u - u_N\right|\right|^2 + \gamma \left|u - u_N\right|^2 \lesssim \left|\left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|\right|^2 + \frac{(4\gamma + \beta^2 q)^2}{16\gamma} \left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|^2.$$ Now taking $q = -\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta}$ and using (4.4), we obtain

$$\left|\left|u - u_N\right|\right|^2 + \gamma \left|u - u_N\right|^2 \lesssim \left|\left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|\right|^2 + \frac{(4\gamma + \beta^2 q)^2}{4} \left|\pi_N^{-1,\beta} u - u\right|^2.$$ We next verify the inequality (4.6) using a duality argument. Consider the auxiliary problem

$$-xv''(x) + \gamma xv(x) = u(x) - u_N(x) \text{ in } \Lambda, \quad v(0) = 0.$$ Its weak form is

$$(\phi', v') + \gamma (\phi, v) = (\phi, u - u_N)_{w^{-1}}, \quad \forall \phi \in H^1_0(\Lambda),$$
which admits a unique solution \( v \in H^1_\Omega \). Moreover, (4.7) yields
\[
\| u - u_N \|_{w^{-1}}^2 = \langle -x v'' + \gamma x v, -v'' + \gamma v \rangle = \| v'' \|^2_w + \| v' \|^2_w + 2\gamma \| v' \|^2_w = \| v \|^2_{2-1.2\sqrt{\gamma}}.
\] (4.8)
where the second equality sign is derived by integration by parts. Further, a direct computation leads to
\[
\|\cdot\|_{2-1.2\beta} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma}\right)\|\cdot\|_{2-1.2\sqrt{\gamma}}.
\] (4.9)

Hence, taking \( \varphi = u - u_N \) and using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have
\[
\| u - u_N \|_{w^{-1}}^2 = \langle (u - u_N)'', v'' \rangle + \gamma (u - u_N)' + \gamma (u - u_N, v)
\leq \| (u - u_N)'' \|^2_w + \frac{4\gamma^2}{\beta^2} \| u - u_N \|^2_w \leq \frac{4\gamma^2}{\beta^2} \| u - u_N \|^2_w + \frac{\beta^2}{16} \| u - u_N \|^2_w
\leq (\beta N)^{-1/2} \| u - u_N \|^2_w + \frac{4\gamma^2}{\beta^2} \| u - u_N \|^2_w
\]
\[
\leq \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) (\beta N)^{-1/2} \| u - u_N \|^2_w \times \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\beta}\right) \left[\| (u - u_N)' \|^2 + \gamma \| u - u_N \|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\leq \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) (\beta N)^{-1/2} \| u - u_N \|^2_w \times \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\beta}\right)^2 \left(\beta N\right)^{1/2-\gamma/2} \| u \|_{r^{-1},-1}\beta
\leq \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\beta}\right)^2 (\beta N)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| u - u_N \|^2_w \| u \|_{r^{-1},-1}\beta,
\]
which ends the proof of (4.6). \( \square \)

Next, we present the main theorem on the generalized Laguerre spectral method for the Robin boundary value problem (3.1).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( u \) and \( u_N \) be the solutions to (3.1) and (3.3), respectively. If \( u \in H^r_{w^{-1}}(\Omega) \) and integer \( r \geq 1 \), then for sufficiently large \( N \),
\[
\mu|u(0) - u_N(0)|^2 + \| (u - u_N)' \|^2 + \| u - u_N \|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{4\gamma}{\beta^2}\right) (\beta N)^{1-r} \| u \|^2_{r^{-1},-1}\beta
\]
and
\[
\| u - u_N \|_{(1+w)^{-1}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\beta}\right)^2 (\beta N)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| u \|_{r^{-1},-1}\beta.
\]

**Proof.** By (4.11) and (3.2),
\[
\mu \pi^{-1,2}_N u(0)v_N(0) + \langle (\pi^{-1,2}_N u)'', v' \rangle + \gamma (\pi^{-1,2}_N u, v_N)
= \mu u(0)v_N(0) + (u', v_N') + \gamma (u, v_N) + \gamma (\pi^{-1,2}_N u - u, v_N)
= f, v_N) + \eta v_N(0) + \langle (\pi^{-1,2}_N u - u)'', v' \rangle + \gamma (\pi^{-1,2}_N u - u, v_N), \quad v_N \in X^\beta.
\]
Subtracting the above equation by (3.3) yields
\[
\mu (\pi^{-1,2}_N u(0) - u_N(0))v_N(0) + \langle (\pi^{-1,2}_N u - u_N)'', v' \rangle + \gamma (\pi^{-1,2}_N u - u_N, v_N)
= \langle (\pi^{-1,2}_N u - u)'', v' \rangle + \gamma (\pi^{-1,2}_N u - u, v_N).
\]
Then a similar argument as in the proof of (4.6) gives (4.11).
We next verify the inequality (4.12) using a duality argument. For any $g \in L^2_{w^{-1}+w^{-2}}(\Lambda)$, we consider the auxiliary problem

$$- xv''(x) + \gamma xv(x) = g(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \Lambda, \quad -v'(0) + \mu v(0) = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Its weak form is

$$\mu \varphi(0)v(0) + (\varphi', v') + \gamma (\varphi, v) = (\varphi, g)_{w^{-1}}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Lambda),$$

which admits a unique solution $v \in H^1(\Lambda)$. Taking $\varphi = u - u_N$, we have

$$\mu(u(0) - u_N(0))v(0) + (u' - u_N', v') + \gamma(u - u_N, v) = (u - u_N, g)_{w^{-1}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Moreover, by (3.2) and (3.3) we get

$$\mu(u(0) - u_N(0))v_N(0) + (u' - u_N', v'_N) + \gamma(u - u_N, v_N) = 0, \quad \forall v_N \in X^\beta. \quad (4.15)$$

Let $v_N = \pi_N^{1,\beta} v$ in (4.15). Then by (4.14), (4.15) and (4.3) we deduce

$$(u - u_N)', (v - \pi_N^{-1,\beta} v)' + \gamma (u - u_N, v - \pi_N^{-1,\beta} v) = (u - u_N, g)_{w^{-1}}. \quad (4.16)$$

Further by integration by parts, parts (4.13) yields

$$\|g\|_{w^{-2}} = (- v'' + \gamma v, - v'' + \gamma v) = \|v''\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|v\|^2 + 2\gamma \|v'\|^2 + 2\gamma \mu v^2(0), \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\|g\|_{w^{-1}}^2 = (- x v'' + \gamma x v, - v'' + \gamma v) = \|v''\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|v\|^2 + 2\gamma \|v'\|^2 - \gamma^2 v^2(0). \quad (4.18)$$

Hence

$$\|v\|_{2,-1,2/\sqrt{\gamma}}^2 = \|v''\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|v\|^2 + 2\gamma \|v'\|^2 \leq \|g\|_{w^{-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|g\|_{w^{-2}} \leq (1 + \frac{1}{2\beta})^2 \|g\|_{w^{-1}+w^{-2}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Thus, a similar argument as (4.10) gives

$$|(u - u_N, g)_{w^{-1}}| \leq \|(u - u_N)', (v - \pi_N^{-1,\beta} v)' + \gamma (u - u_N, v - \pi_N^{-1,\beta} v)\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2\gamma}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\beta}\right)^2 \|g\|_{w^{-1}+w^{-2}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2\gamma}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\beta}\right)^2 \beta (\Lambda)^{1/2} \|u\|_{r,-1,\beta} \quad (4.19)$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\|u - u_N\|_{1+w^{-1}} = \frac{x}{1+x} (u - u_N)\|_{w^{-1}+w^{-2}} = \sup_{g \in L^2_{w^{-1}+w^{-2}}(\Lambda), g \neq 0} \frac{|(u - u_N, g)_{w^{-1}+w^{-2}}|}{\|g\|_{w^{-1}+w^{-2}}} \approx \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\beta}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{\beta}\right)^2 \beta (\Lambda)^{1/2} \|u\|_{r,-1,\beta},$$

which ends the proof of (4.12).

5. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we examine the effectiveness and the accuracy of the fully diagonalized Laguerre spectral method for solving second order elliptic equations on the half line. The righthand terms \(\{f, R_s^k\}_{k=0}^N\) and \(\{f, S_s^k\}_{k=1}^N\), as well as the discrete errors, are evaluated through the Laguerre-Gauss quadrature with \(2N + 1\) nodes (cf. (30)).
5.1. **Dirichlet boundary value problems.** We first examine the fully diagonalized Laguerre spectral method for the Dirichlet boundary value problem (3.6). We take $\gamma = 1, \eta = 1$ in (3.6) and consider the following three cases of the smooth solutions with different decay properties.

- **Case 1:** $u(x) = e^{-x}(\sin(2x) + \eta)$, which is exponential decay with oscillation. In Figures 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 we plot the log$_{10}$ of the discrete $L^2$, $H^1$- and $L^2_{w-1}$-errors vs. $N$ with various values of $\beta$. Clearly, the approximate solutions converge at exponential rates. We also see that for fixed $N$, the scheme with $\beta = 4$ produces better numerical results than that with $\beta = 1, 2$. However, the choice of the optimal scaling factor $\beta$ for a given differential equation is still an open problem. Generally speaking, the choice of $\beta$ depends on the asymptotic behavior of solutions.

- **Case 2:** $u(x) = (x + \eta)(1 + x)^{-h}$ with $h > 1$, which is algebraic decay. In Figures 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6, we plot the log$_{10}$ of the discrete $L^2$, $H^1$- and $L^2_{w-1}$-errors vs. log$_{10}(\beta N)$ with fixed $\beta = 4$ and various values of $h$. They show that the faster the exact solution decays, the smaller the numerical errors would be.

- **Case 3:** $u(x) = (\sin(2x) + \eta)(1 + x)^{-h}$, which is algebraic decay with oscillation. In Figures 5.7, 5.8 and 5.9, we plot the log$_{10}$ of the discrete $L^2$, $H^1$- and $L^2_{w-1}$-errors vs. log$_{10}(\beta N)$ with fixed $\beta = 4$ and various values of $h$. Since $\|(\sin(2x) + \eta)(1 + x)^{-h}\|_{r, -1, \beta} < \infty, \ \forall 0 \leq r < 2h$, the expected $L^2$, $H^1$- (resp. $L^2_{w-1}$-) errors given by Theorem 4.2 can be bounded by $c(\beta N)^{3/2-h+\varepsilon}$ (resp. $c(\beta N)^{-h+\varepsilon}$) for any $\varepsilon > 0$. The observed convergence rates plotted in Figures 5.7, 5.8 and 5.9 also agree well with the theoretical results.

![Figure 5.1: $L^2$-errors.](image1)

![Figure 5.2: $H^1$-errors.](image2)

5.2. **Robin boundary value problems.** We take $\mu = 1, \gamma = 1$ and $\eta = 0$ for the Robin boundary value problem (3.1) and consider two examples with different decay properties for the exact solutions.
Figure 5.3: $L^2_{w^{-1}}$-errors.

Figure 5.4: $L^2$-errors with $\beta = 4$.

Figure 5.5: $H^1$-errors with $\beta = 4$.

Figure 5.6: $L^2_{w^{-1}}$-errors with $\beta = 4$.

Figure 5.7: $L^2$-errors with $\beta = 4$.

Figure 5.8: $H^1$-errors with $\beta = 4$. 
5.3. **On the condition numbers.** To demonstrate the essential superiority of our diagonalized Laguerre spectral method to the classic Laguerre methods, we finally examine the issue on condition numbers for the resulting algebraic systems. The diagonalized Laguerre spectral method use the Sobolev-orthogonal Laguerre functions \({\mathcal{S}_{\beta}^{N}(x)/\sqrt{\rho_{k}}}\) and \({\mathcal{R}_{\beta}^{N}(x)/\sqrt{\rho_{k}}}\) as the basis functions for (3.8) and (3.3), respectively. All the condition numbers of the corresponding total stiff
matrices are equal to 1. While in the classical Laguerre spectral method, the basis functions for \( (3.8) \) and \( (3.3) \) are chosen as \( \{l^0_k \beta(x)\}_{k=0}^{N-1} \) and \( \{l_k \beta(x)\}_{k=0}^{N} \), respectively. The corresponding total stiff matrices have off-diagonal entries.

In Table \( 5.1 \) below, we list the condition numbers of the total stiff matrices of the classical Laguerre spectral method for \( (3.6) \) with \( \gamma = 1 \) versus various \( N \) and \( \beta \). The condition numbers of the classical Laguerre spectral method for \( (3.1) \) with \( \gamma = 1 \) and \( \mu = 1 \) are tabulated in Table \( 5.2 \). We note that the condition numbers of the resulting systems increase asymptotically as \( O(N^2) \).
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