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Abstract

The permeability and mechanical behavior in sandy mudstone are crucial to the hazard prevention and safety mining. In this study, to investigate the evolution and characteristic of permeability and mechanical properties of mudstone during the in-site loading process, triaxial compression-seepage experiments were performed. The increase of permeability and decrease of mechanical strength gradually evaluated to the decrease of permeability and increase of mechanical strength subjected to the increase of confining stress from 5 to 15 MPa, which corresponds to the transformation from brittleness to ductility of mudstone, and the transformation threshold of 10 MPa confining stress was determined. The shear fractures across the sample at brittle regime, while shear fracture does not cross the sample or even be not generated at semibrittle and ductile state. The dynamic decrease, slight decrease, and residual response were determined in axial strain, and the divided zone increases with the increase of confining stress. The relatively higher permeability corresponds to the higher pore pressure as the increase of confining stress. The volumetric strain increases as the increase of confining stress, compared to that decrease correspond to the increase of the pore pressure, and the higher volumetric strain and the lower permeability. In addition, an improved permeability model was developed to describe the loading-based permeability behavior considering the Klinkenberg effect.

1 Introduction

The mechanical behaviors and seepage properties of sandy mudstone have been widely studied in the field of radioactive waste disposal, shale gas exploration, cap-rock behavior of hydrocarbon reservoirs, carbon geo-sequestration, underground coal gasification, and coal mining (Gale et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2015; Rezaeyan et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2021). With increasing depth, the transitional deformation behavior from brittleness to ductility and complex transport characteristics occurred in the rock (Bishop, 1967; Renner et al., 2000; Nygård et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2021). At the macroscopic scale, brittle rock is characterized by a macroscopic fracture with much localized deformation, whereas ductile rock is distinguished by uniformly distributed deformation (Evans et al., 1990). Furthermore, the brittle-ductile transition deformation behavior can be obtained with a mixed microscopic transfiguration mechanism (Evans et al., 1990), while the permeability change varies with the mining activity. An abundant work focused on this field and outstanding findings were reported.

Existing studies in this area mainly focused on the destruction properties, which includes the bearing capacity and fracturing patterns (Petley, 1999; Renner et al., 2000; Boulin et al., 2013; Rezaeyan et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2021). Meanwhile, the stress depended brittle or ductile rock was also a hot issue, and it was evaluated using triaxial compression strengths and residual strengths (Rutter, 1986; Wang et al., 2019), as well as Young's modulus and Poisson's ratios during the linear elastic deformation stage (Rickman et al., 2008; Bai and Wierzbicki, 2010; Memon et al., 2020), of rocks under various stress situations. The two key parameters of internal friction angle and cohesion derived using the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion may be used to define it for brittle rocks (Hucka and Das, 1974; Singh et al., 2011; Nooraiepour et al., 2017). The smaller disparity between the maximum strength and residual
strength, or the lower Young's modulus and internal friction angle of rocks, on the other hand, indicates a higher degree of ductility (Evans et al., 1990; Brantut et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2021).

The seepage property influences the mechanical behavior in mudstone. The permeability evolution of mudstone caused by different deformation modes, such as elastic deformation, brittle failure, and ductile deformation, is extensively investigated (Djeran-Maigre et al., 2000; Zhang and Rothfuchs, 2008; Zhang, 2016; Wu et al., 2021). The permeability is observed to drop fast during the early loading stage and later rise, and the permeability turning point associates well with the alteration in volumetric strain known as dilatancy (Schulze et al., 2001). Therefore, the permeability of mudstone in brittle regime increases considerably as dilatancy and failure develop, while the permeability of ductile mudstone keeps constant at the strain hardening stage (Popp et al., 2001; Alkan, 2009). Additionally, the dynamic response of permeability is reported by the pattern of localized or distributed deformation determined by the effective stress (De Paola et al., 2009). Wu et al., (2021) conducted a series of experiments, composed of the conventional triaxial compression tests, the hydrostatic loading-unloading tests, and the triaxial loading-unloading tests, on mudstone to study the permeability evolution at various stress conditions. Zhang et al., (2021) characterized the permeability variation of the fractured sandy mudstone by considering the impact of hydro-mechanical coupling, fracture morphology, and mineral composition. In addition, the permeability models are usually developed on different empirical functions, such as exponential functions (Seidle et al., 1992; Li et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2019), cubic functions (Gangi, 1978; Kwon et al., 2001) and logarithmic functions (Kranzz et al., 1979; Walsh, 1981). To predict the coal permeability at the yielding and post-failure stage, Xue et al., (2015) developed a permeability model considering the damage process. To define the variation in coal permeability when effective stress changes from the elastic deformation stage to the post-peak stage, Chen et al. (2016) introduced an effective stress-dependent exponential permeability model. To investigate the permeability behavior with stress varies throughout the unloading process, Zhang et al., (2017) put forward an analytical permeability model that included the influence of damage evolution.

The change in mudstone permeability and mechanical properties caused by mining activity is complex, it would be of great significance to investigate the mechanical behavior and transport properties of mudstone subjected to the in-site stress impact. In this study, the triaxial compression-seepage experiments were performed, and the relationship of damage behavior and seepage characteristics and the influence of deformation on permeability response at brittle or ductile state were analyzed. An improved permeability model was developed, and the permeability evolution of mudstone was discussed.

2 Experimental Section

2.1 Sample preparation

The dark grayish sandy mudstone block used in this study was collected from a coal mine in the Ordos Basin, which has bedding and clastic texture, showing foliated fabric described by light and dark bands. Some cylindrical samples, with a diameter of 50 mm, were drilled parallel to the bedding planes of the
sandy mudstone block by a core-drilling machine. Then the cylinders were shaped to $\Phi 50 \times 100$ mm cylindrical samples by a grinding device with a high-precision standard. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) results show that the sandy mudstone mainly consists of clay mineral (24.3%), quartz (20.7%), plagioclase (15%), ankerite (15%), calcite (11.3%), and other minerals (13.7%). The averaged density and porosity of the sandy mudstone are 1.99 g/cm$^3$ and 8.1%, respectively.

2.2 Experimental apparatus

The triaxial seepage tests were conducted using a hydromechanical coupling triaxial permeation apparatus (Fig. 1). A servo-hydraulic double pump booster was utilized to control the confining pressure up to 70 MPa with an accuracy of 0.01 MPa, and a servo-hydraulic booster was applied to ensure the axial force up to the maximum force of 1000 kN. A gas pressure reducing valve and two different gas mass flow controllers were employed to regulate the gas pressure and flow. The axial strain and the radial strain were measured by a linear variable differential transducer (LVDT) and an extensometer chain, respectively. The apparatus can provide an axial and confining stress acting on the samples with a stable temperature during measuring permeability in this work.

2.3 Experimental procedure

In this work, N$_2$ with a purity of 99.999% was chosen as the testing gas, and different confining stresses (5 MPa, 10 MPa, and 15 MPa) and gas pressures (2 MPa, 3 MPa, and 4 MPa) were considered in the experimental tests. Nine sandy mudstone samples were prepared, and each test corresponded to one sample under one stress state. Axial stress was applied at a rate of 0.1 mm/min using a displacement control, and all the experimental tests were carried out at 25°C. Moreover, the sample information and experimental conditions used in each test were listed in Table 1. The specific test procedure is described below: (1) The sandy mudstone samples were firstly dried at a constant temperature of 50 °C for 48 h. And then the dried sandy mudstone sample was sealed with the base pedestal and top cap by the heat shrinkable sleeve. Subsequently, the sample was placed into the triaxial pressure cell. (2) After completing the installation of the triaxial cell, the air compressor was opened to discharge air and fill the triaxial cell with silicone oil. And then the axial stress and confining stress were set to predefined values. (3) Afterwards, the outlet valve was closed and the vacuum pump was turned on to vacuum degassing the sample for 2h. And then N$_2$ with specified pressure was injected to the lower end of the sample and finally flowed to the outlet via a stainless steel pipe which is connected to the gas mass flow controller. Finally, the axial stress controlled by displacement control mode was loaded to the higher end of the sample until destruction.
| Specimen No. | D (mm) | L (mm) | ρ (g/cm³) | σ₃ (MPa) | P₁ (MPa) |
|-------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| S1          | 49.45  | 100.18 | 1.98      | 5        | 2        |
| S2          | 50.04  | 99.95  | 2.05      | 5        | 3        |
| S3          | 50.23  | 100.16 | 1.96      | 5        | 4        |
| S4          | 50.03  | 100.20 | 1.97      | 10       | 2        |
| S5          | 49.36  | 100.20 | 2.05      | 10       | 3        |
| S6          | 49.62  | 100.28 | 1.95      | 10       | 4        |
| S7          | 49.48  | 100.07 | 2.03      | 15       | 2        |
| S8          | 50.07  | 99.81  | 1.98      | 15       | 3        |
| S9          | 50.04  | 100.16 | 1.97      | 15       | 4        |

Note: D - Diameter; L - Length; ρ - Averaged Density; σ₃ - Confining Pressure; P₁ - inlet gas Pressure;

Considering Darcy's law, the permeability of sandy mudstone was obtained:

\[
k = \frac{2qP₀\mu L}{A(P₁² - P₂²)}
\]

where \( k \) is the permeability (m²); \( q \) is the gas permeation rate (m³/s); \( P₀ \) is the standard atmospheric pressure (Pa); \( \mu \) is the gas kinematic viscosity (Pa·s); \( L \) is the length of the rock sample (m); \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of the rock sample (m²); \( P₁ \) is the inlet or upper stream gas pressure (Pa); \( P₂ \) is the outlet or downstream gas pressure (Pa).

### 3 Results And Discussion

#### 3.1 Mechanical behavior and permeability evolution

The bearing capacity and transport property of rock are prominently determined by its mineral grains composition and pore-fracture system. With the change of stress condition, the response of deformation and permeability behavior keeps a dynamic process. The pore-fracture structure and texture of rock are the main reasons for the difference in initial permeability. The deformation behavior, both of brittle and ductile rock, can be divided into five typical stages (Fig. 2), and the most difference between brittle and ductile rock is at the fifth stage, termed strain softening stage and strain hardening stage, respectively.
Correspondingly, this distinction is related to different deformation mechanisms, further leading to the variable permeability behaviors.

### 3.1.1 The impact of confining stress

The relationships of stress-strain-permeability of sandy mudstone are shown in Fig. 3 and the volumetric strain-axial strain behaviors are shown in Fig. 4. The sandy mudstone performs brittle, brittle-ductile transition, and ductile under the studied confining stresses. The deformation behavior of sandy mudstone characterized brittle deformation under a low confining pressure of 5 MPa, and its deformation behavior consists of five classical stages: the compaction stage (I), the elastic deformation stage (II), the yielding stage (III and IV), and the post-destruction stage (V) (Fig. 3a-c), which leads to different corresponding permeability evolution (Fig. 2a). At the beginning of Stage I, the total volume and permeability decrease fastly with the deviatoric stress increasing due to the pre-existing microcracks closed continuously. Once reach Stage II, only restricted microcracks generate so the permeability decreases slowly without increase. And the elastic modulus can be altered as primary cracks close continuously in this stage. In Stage III and IV, the fractures start to grow and coalesce to each other, while the permeability did not increase, but continued to decrease gradually which presumably because the macro-fracture is not formed and the cracks are still compressed. In these states, it leads to plastic strain which is followed by a volume increase (Fig. 4a) or dilatancy (Fig. 4b and c) due to microfracturing, and reduced bearing capacity and elastic modulus of sandy mudstone. In Stage V, the macroscopic fracture which cut through the end of specimen formed by the coalescence of grain boundary and intragranular cracks, resulting in the permeability behavior of sudden increase and then a gradual increase (Paterson and Wong, 2005). After the sample failure, the permeability normally remains constant or slightly undulates, which is presumably because of the constriction and blocking by dislodging particles of the formerly established gas flow paths (Hangx et al., 2010). Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3a-c, the permeability evolution after the sample failure does not increase a lot, and the incremental permeability is even lower than the original permeability for sandy mudstone.

Under higher confining pressure of 10-15 MPa, the deformation behavior of sandy mudstone showed brittle-ductile transition and ductile deformation characteristics, and its deformation characteristic is also composed of five stages (Fig. 2b). In Stage I and II, the permeability decreases because the pre-existing microcracks closed continuously, which is similar to brittle deformation characteristics. Despite the presence of microcracks in Stage III and IV, the fractures are prevented and the dislocation mobility is enhanced. Hence, the permeability gradually decreases. Note that the volumetric strain curve of brittle-ductile transition sandy mudstone approximatively keeps symmetric parabola distribution characteristics before the dilatancy stage (Fig. 4d-f). In Stage V, with the deviatoric stress increasing, the shear bands generate, nucleate and develop to form a shear macro-fracture at a high angle with the compacted stress for brittle-ductile transition sandy mudstone and it does not penetrate the two end surfaces. For ductile sandy mudstone, strain localization can occur, involving mainly cracking, in which case the rock accumulates distributed microcracks without any macroscopic rupture. Therefore, the brittle-ductile and ductile deformation of sandy mudstone at normal temperature is mainly attributed to the plastic flow
deformation mechanism. Different from brittle sandy mudstone, the permeability of brittle-ductile
transition sandy mudstone keeps stabilized or decreases a little as the high-angle shear fractures. In
contrast to the ultimate permeability evolution of damaged brittle-ductile transition sandy mudstone, the
permeability of ductile sandy mudstone has a slightly reduced trend at the strain hardening process
because of the cataclastic flow behavior. In general, the permeability decreases as an exponential pattern
under the whole compaction process for both brittle-ductile transition and ductile sandy mudstone.

The comparison of stress-strain and permeability-strain behavior of sandy mudstone under different
confining pressures is presented in Fig. 5. As shown in Fig. 6, when the confining pressure is small, the
rock is brittle and prone to shear failure. With the confining pressure increasing, the test results gradually
show the characteristics of weakening brittleness, increasing ductility and transformation from
brittleness to ductility. In this study, as the gas pressure is constant, the sandy mudstones transform from
strain softening at the post-peak stage to strain hardening state with confining pressure increasing (Fig.
5). In light of Cao et al., (2005) and Yu et al., (2013), the confining pressure threshold of brittle-ductile
transition critical state is finally determined as 10MPa for studied sandy mudstone.

3.1.2 The impact of pore pressure

The comparison of stress-strain and permeability-strain behavior of sandy mudstone under different
gas pressures is shown in Fig. 7. When the confining pressure is small and constant ($\sigma_3 = 5$ MPa), the
deformation and permeability evolution were similar and the increased permeability of sample S1, S2,
and S3 at the destruction stage are 2.89, 3.12, and 4.63% with the increase of pore pressure, respectively,
indicating that enlarging the gas pressure can increase the seepage channel at the post-peak stage.
Different from the brittle sandy mudstone, the permeability of both brittle-ductile transition and ductile
sandy mudstone at Stage V maintains constant or decreases a little, mainly because they did not develop
shear fracture penetrating the top and bottom surfaces, even the pore pressure is increased to reduce the
effective stress. Overall, the alteration of gas pressure has little effect on sandy mudstone with different
deformation regimes.

3.2 Failure modes of sandy mudstone

The failure characteristics of sandy mudstone are presented in Fig. 8, and the corresponding SEM results
are shown in Fig. 9. The samples S1, S2, and S3 (brittle sandy mudstone) formed intuitionistic
macroscopic shear fractures without cohesion after destruction. The semibrittle sandy mudstone (at
brittle-ductile transition) developed a high-angle shear macro-fracture with a few cohesive and it does not
pierce the top and bottom end surfaces, while the ductile sandy mudstone showed fully plastic flow
deformation, which undergoes homogeneous large strains without macrofracturing. At the microscopic
scale, the brittle regimes of sandy mudstone are mainly composed of cataclastic flow, which involves
cracking (either intragranular or intergranular), and is distinctly different from the initial state. There is a
mixed behavior (cataclastic and plastic flow) that can be observed for brittle-ductile transition sandy
mudstone in Fig. 9c. Comparing the microscopic observation of brittle sandy mudstone, the micro-
processes of ductile sandy mudstone can be fully plastic with elevated confining pressure.
4 Improved Permeability Model

4.1 Model development

As the internal microstructure of sandy mudstone consists of cracks and matrices, the sandy mudstone can be presumed to be the matchstick geometry. As a result, the classical exponential permeability model for sandy mudstone at elastic state can be presented as (Seidle et al., 1992):

\[ k_i = k_0 e^{-3C_f \left( \sigma_e - \sigma_{e0} \right)} \]

where \( k_i \) is the permeability of sandy mudstone, \( m^2 \); \( k_0 \) is the initial permeability of sandy mudstone, \( m^2 \); \( C_f \) is the compression coefficient of fractures, MPa\(^{-1} \); \( \sigma_e \) is the effective stress, MPa; and the \( \sigma_{e0} \) is the initial effective stress, MPa.

Klinkenberg (1941) pointed that the gas slippage phenomenon will be significant as the flow path size in fracture closes to the mean free path of the gas molecules at a lower gas pressure, which will further affect the permeability. So, by considering the Klinkenberg effect, the exponential permeability equation can be represented as:

\[ k_i = k_{ini} \left( 1 + \frac{B}{P} \right) e^{-3C_f \left( \sigma_e - \sigma_{e0} \right)} \]

where \( B \) is the Klinkenberg constant, MPa; \( P \) is the mean pore pressure, MPa.

In this study, the Boit's coefficient is considered to remain constant at 1, and the effective stress in deviatoric stress loading can be expressed as (Chen et al., 2016):

\[ \sigma_e = \frac{3P_{eff} + \Delta \sigma}{3} \]

where \( P_{eff} \) is the effective confining stress which equals to the confining stress \( \sigma_3 \) minus the pore pressure \( P \), MPa; \( \Delta \sigma \) is the deviatoric stress, MPa.

According to the experimental results, the permeability decreases continuously in the yielding stage, and it remains unchanged or slightly decreases at strain hardening process for ductile and brittle-ductile transition sandy mudstone with increasing of the effective stress, so the exponential permeability model (Eq. 3) can depict the variation process of permeability well in all stages. However, for brittle and some semibrittle sandy mudstone, the permeability shows a large increase with the decrease of effective stress.
in the post-peak stage. Hence, the exponential permeability model could not describe the change process of permeability in the post-peak stages for brittle and some semibrittle sandy mudstone.

To better describe permeability behavior under the overall triaxial compression process for brittle and some semibrittle sandy mudstone, a correction factor $\beta$ is imported to enhance the prediction accuracy of permeability. The correction factor $\beta$ can be introduced as the modified coefficient for the difference between the predicted permeability and the test permeability, corresponding to the effective stress. Furthermore, the peak point of the effective stress is regarded as a distinguishing point for brittle and some semibrittle sandy mudstone due to the permeability before and after failure varies dramatically, which is defined as $\sigma_s$. Based on the thinking of symmetry, the improved piecewise permeability model before and after $\sigma_s$, combining the correction factor $\beta$, can be updated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
    k_i &= k_{nl} \left(1 + \frac{B}{P}\right) e^{-3C_j(\sigma_e - \sigma_{e0})}, \sigma_e \leq \sigma_s \\
    k_i &= \beta(\sigma_e) k_{nl} \left(1 + \frac{B}{P}\right) e^{-3C_j(2\sigma_s - \sigma_e - \sigma_{e0})}, 2\sigma_s - \sigma_e > \sigma_s
\end{align*}
$$

The relationship between the correction factor $\beta$ and the deviatoric effective stress can be acquired using Eq. 3 and experimental permeability data. Then, the correction function of $\beta$ can be obtained by fitting the results. Fig. 10 illustrates the relationship between the modification factor $\beta$ and the effective stress after symmetry for studied brittle and some semibrittle sandy mudstone. And the correction function of $\beta$ can be gained by fitting, which is expressed as Eq. 6.

$$
\beta = a(2\sigma_s - \sigma_e) + b
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the fitting parameters, and the analogous results of fitting parameters are used at the residual stress stage.

### 4.2 Model validation

To verify the revised permeability model, the analytical permeabilities acquired using Eqs. 3, 5, and 6 are compared with the test results for the sandy mudstone in triaxial compression tests (see Fig. 11). And the corresponding parameters applied in the improved models are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.
Table 2

Parameters of the improved permeability models

| Specimen No. | $k_{\text{ini}}$ | B   | $C_f$ |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-------|
| S1           | 7.430            | 0.025 | 0.019 |
| S2           | 1.3145           | 0.298 | 0.010 |
| S3           | 13.473           | 0.039 | 0.017 |
| S4           | 2.595            | 0.041 | 0.014 |
| S5           | 15.011           | 0.084 | 0.017 |
| S6           | 7.681            | 0.098 | 0.017 |
| S7           | 5.087            | 0.061 | 0.015 |
| S8           | 6.352            | 0.157 | 0.021 |
| S9           | 7.739            | 0.320 | 0.016 |

Table 3

Parameters used in the modified function of $\beta$

| Specimen No. | a     | b     |
|--------------|-------|-------|
|               | Fitting value | Mean value | Fitting value | Mean value |
| S1           | 0.079  | 0.059 | 0.130  | 0.293 |
| S2           | 0.042  |       | 0.569  |       |
| S3           | 0.074  |       | 0.457  |       |
| S5           | 0.046  |       | 0.205  |       |
| S6           | 0.054  |       | 0.106  |       |

As listed in Table 3, the fitted parameter $a$ for correction factor $\beta$ is in range of $0.042-0.079$ with a mean value of $0.059$, and the fitted parameter $b$ is in the range of $0.106-0.569$ with a mean value of $0.293$, indicating that the average relative errors between the experimental data and model prediction for sandy mudstone decreases linearly as the effective stress decrease at residual stress stage, and can be estimated by $0.059 \left(2 \sigma_e - \sigma_s \right) + 0.293$. As shown in Fig. 11, the permeability increases slightly with the effective stress further decreasing at the residual stress stage for brittle sandy mudstone (Fig. 11a-c). Figure 11d and e presents that the permeability keeps constant or decreases a little after peak effective stress for brittle-ductile transition sandy mudstone. For ductile sandy mudstone, the permeability decreases continuously with the effective stress increases (Fig. 11g-i). For brittle and semibrittle sandy mudstone, the results can be modeled well, except Sample S2 (Fig. 11b), using Eqs. 5 and 6. The results of sample S4 after peak effective stress are not modeled because Sample S4 does not reach the residual stress stage. Equation 3 is used for ductile sandy mudstone in triaxial compression tests, and it matches
well between the experimental data and model (Fig. 11g-i). Notably, the mentioned permeability model focus on characterizing the permeability evolution of different deformation regime of sandy mudstone with the effective stress varies from elastic deformation to failure, and some empirical parameters are used in the model. Therefore, this model is an exploration for clay rock and necessitates further validation and improvement for better presentation and broader applications in future studies.

5 Conclusions

In this study, a series of triaxial compression-seepage experiments were conducted on sandy mudstone, and the response of permeability and mechanical properties was observed. To describe the permeability behavior in the whole process of triaxial compression, an improved permeability model of sandy mudstone considering the Klinkenberg effect was proposed. The main findings are as follows:

(1) The confining pressure has more contribution to the brittle-ductile transformation than pore pressure for sandy mudstone. As the increase of confining stress, increasing ductility and transformation from brittleness to ductility was observed, and the brittle and ductile state in sandy mudstone was determined. The permeability of the mudstone gradually decreases in the ductile stage. The permeability does not increase a lot and the final permeability is even lower than the initial permeability at brittle state, while the permeability usually keeps constant or decreases a little for brittle-ductile transition and ductile sandy mudstone.

(2) The failure modes corresponding to the brittle and ductile state are different. A macroscopic shear fracture generated after destruction for brittle sandy mudstone crosses the ends of the sample with the microscopic observation of cataclastic flow, while the developed high-angle shear band is not across at semibrittle state, which has a mixed behavior (cataclastic and plastic flow) at the microscopic scale. For ductile state, it usually undergoes homogeneous large strains without macrofracturing and can be fully plastic flow at strain hardening stage.

(3) The improved permeability model is developed based on the classical exponential permeability model and slippage effects. The correction factor $\beta$ related linearly to the effective stress can well reflect the difference between the measured permeability and the permeability obtained from the improved model. And the damage-based permeability was well described.
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Figures
Figure 1

Triaxial mechanical-seepage coupling experimental apparatus.
Figure 2

The diagram of the typical deviatoric stress-strain and volumetric strain-axial strain curves of brittle rocks (a) and ductile rocks (b) under triaxial compression tests [1,22].

Figure 3

Relationship for stress-strain-permeability of sandy mudstone in triaxial compression and permeability tests.
Figure 4

Relationship for volumetric strain and axial strain of sandy mudstone in triaxial compression and permeability tests
Figure 5

The differential stress, permeability and volumetric strain data are plotted versus axial strain for sandy mudstone in different gas pressures triaxial compression and permeability tests (a-c is gas pressure of 2 MPa, 3 MPa and 4 MPa, respectively).
Figure 6

The diagram of brittle-ductile transition curves of rock [7].
Figure 7

The differential stress, permeability and volumetric strain data are plotted versus axial strain for sandy mudstone in different confining pressures triaxial compression and permeability tests (a-c is confining pressure of 5 MPa, 10 MPa and 15 MPa, respectively).

Figure 8

The failure characteristics of sandy mudstone in triaxial compression and permeability tests.

Figure 9

SEM pictures of sandy mudstone at (a) initial state, (b) brittle failure state, (c) brittle- ductile transition and (d) ductile failure state.
**Figure 10**

Relationship between modified factor and effective stress after symmetry at $\sigma_e=\sigma_s$ for (a) Sample S1, (b) Sample S2, (c) Sample S3, (d) Sample S5 and (e) Sample S6.
Figure 11

Permeability of sandy mudstone in triaxial compression tests, and modeling results from the improved model i.e., Eqs. (3), (5) and (6).