Abstract

Here, we present positions and radial velocities for over 4000 globular clusters (GCs) in 27 nearby early-type galaxies from the SLUGGS survey. The SLUGGS survey is designed to be representative of elliptical and lenticular galaxies in the stellar mass range $10 < \log M_*/M_\odot < 11.7$. The data have been obtained over many years, mostly using the very stable multi-object spectograph DEIMOS on the Keck II 10 m telescope. Radial velocities are measured using the calcium triplet lines, with a velocity accuracy of $\pm 10$–15 km s$^{-1}$. We use phase space diagrams (i.e., velocity–position diagrams) to identify contaminants such as foreground stars and background galaxies, and to show that the contribution of GCs from neighboring galaxies is generally insignificant. Likely ultra-compact dwarfs are tabulated separately. We find that the mean velocity of the GC system is close to that of the host galaxy systemic velocity, indicating that the GC system is in overall dynamical equilibrium within the galaxy potential. We also find that the GC system velocity dispersion scales with host galaxy stellar mass, in a similar manner to the Faber–Jackson relation for the stellar velocity dispersion. Publication of these GC radial velocity catalogs should enable further studies in many areas, such as GC system substructure, kinematics, and host galaxy mass measurements.
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1. Introduction

Radial velocities for globular clusters (GCs) beyond the Local Group were first published in the 1980s (Hesser et al. 1986; Huchra & Brodie 1987; Mould et al. 1987). Although these studies typically had individual GC velocity uncertainties of $\geq 50$ km s$^{-1}$, they quickly showed the benefit of spectroscopically confirming GC candidates. For example, several of the brightest GC candidates around M87 from the imaging study of Strom et al. (1981) were shown to be background galaxies by Huchra & Brodie (1984).

As well as confirming that candidates from imaging are indeed bona fide GCs, radial velocities were employed to probe GC kinematics relative to the host galaxy (Hesser et al. 1986), investigate the velocity dispersion profile in the galaxy halo (Mould et al. 1987), and derive the enclosed mass to large radii (Huchra & Brodie 1987).

GC radial velocity studies have tended to focus on a small number of nearby massive early-type galaxies with rich GC systems, e.g., NGC 1316 (Richtler et al. 2014), NGC 1399 (Schuberth et al. 2010), NGC 3311 (Richtler et al. 2011; Misgeld et al. 2011), NGC 5128 (Beasley et al. 2008; Woodley et al. 2010), NGC 4472 (M49, Zepf et al. 2000; Côté et al. 2003), NGC 4486 (M87, Côté et al. 2001; Strader et al. 2011), NGC 4594 (M104, Bridges et al. 2007; Dowell et al. 2014), and NGC 4636 (Schuberth et al. 2012). The number of GCs studied in a given system and the typical velocity uncertainty have improved since the earlier studies of the 1980s and 1990s. However, very few lower mass early-type galaxies had been studied by the mid 2000s.

The dual aims of the SLUGGS survey (Brodie et al. 2014) are to collect high-quality GC and galaxy starlight spectra for a representative sample of early-type galaxies over a wide range of stellar mass (i.e., $10 < \log M_*/M_\odot < 11.7$). The galaxy starlight spectra are used to probe the kinematics and metallicity of the host galaxy (see Brodie et al. 2014 for details) and have been reported elsewhere in the literature (see http://sluggs.swin.edu.au). Over the last decade, we have obtained over 4000 GC radial velocities associated with the 25 main galaxies, as well as “bonus” galaxies, of the survey. Results have been published on a continuous basis over the years. This includes GC kinematics of individual galaxies (NGC 1407, Romanowsky et al. 2009; NGC 4494, Foster et al. 2011; NGC 4473, Alabi et al. 2015; NGC 4649, Pota et al. 2015), interacting galaxies (NGC 3607 and NGC 3608, Kartha et al. 2016), and a sample of a dozen galaxies (Pota et al. 2013a). We have also used GC kinematics to derive mass models of the host galaxy, thereby exploring its dark matter content (Napolitano et al. 2014; Pota et al. 2015; Alabi et al. 2016).

In the next section, we summarize the SLUGGS early-type galaxy sample and the observational setup used. We then discuss the removal of potential contaminants and present the final GC radial velocity catalogs.
2. The Host Galaxy Sample and Observations

Our sample consists of GC systems associated with 25 early-type galaxies from the SLUGGS survey plus two of the three bonus galaxies (NGC 3607 and NGC 5866) that were observed with the same setup. Table 1 lists the 27 galaxies and some relevant properties, such as their distance, stellar mass, effective radius, morphology, environment, systemic velocity, stellar velocity dispersion within 1 kpc, and position (J2000 coordinates). Most of these properties are taken from Brodie et al. (2014), which also lists other properties of the galaxies.

We have obtained wide-field multi-filter imaging of the SLUGGS galaxies using the Subaru telescope under e1 arcsec seeing conditions. This is supplemented by HST and CFHT imaging. Publications presenting the imaging analysis of SLUGGS galaxies include NGC 1407 (Romanowsky et al. 2009), NGC 4365 (Blom et al. 2012), NGC 4278 (Usher et al. 2013), NGC 720, 1023 and 2768 (Kartha et al. 2014), NGC 1023 (Forbes et al. 2014), NGC 3115 (Jennings et al. 2014), and NGC 3607 and 3608 (Kartha et al. 2016). We plan to publish an imaging analysis of the GC systems of the remaining SLUGGS galaxies in due course.

Spectroscopic observations of GC candidates were obtained over the last decade using the DEIMOS spectrograph (Faber et al. 2003) on the Keck II 10 m telescope. The DEIMOS instrument is used in multi-slit mode, with each slit mask covering an area of ~16 × 5 arcmin². With a flexure compensation system, DEIMOS is a very stable instrument and ideal for obtaining red spectra of objects over a wide field-of-view. For the SLUGGS survey, we use the 1200 lines per mm grating, the OG550 filter, slit width of 1 arcsec, and a central wavelength of 7800 Å. This gives 50–100 spectra per mask around the calcium triplet (CaT) feature covering a wavelength range of ~6500–9000 Å. Each mask targets either GC candidates or locations near the galaxy center in order to obtain spectra of the underlying galaxy starlight. GCs are selected to cover the full range of expected colors, but have a bias toward the brighter objects in a given GC system (in order to maximize the signal-to-noise). Our setup has a spectral resolution of ~1.5 Å (FWHM). Observations were obtained under seeing conditions of typically e1 arcsec.

The spectra are reduced using the spec2d data reduction pipeline (Cooper et al. 2012), which produces sky-subtracted, wavelength calibrated spectra. We use FXCOR (Tonry & Davis 1979) within IRAF, along with 13 stellar template spectra (observed with DEIMOS in the same setup but in long slit mode), to determine the radial velocity of each object. Velocity errors are the quadrature combination of the FXCOR error and the standard deviation from the 13 stellar templates (which cover a range of metallicity and spectral type), which give a minimum measurement uncertainty of ±3 km s⁻¹. We visually check each spectrum and require that at least two of the three CaT lines (8498, 8542, 8662 Å) and Hα (if included in the redshifted spectrum) are present. A small percentage of the spectra are “marginal,” in the sense that we cannot be sure about the identification of the lines (e.g., due to low S/N or
Table 2

| ID            | R.A. (degree) | Decl. (degree) | V (km s⁻¹) | Vsys (km s⁻¹) |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| NGC720_star1  | 28.166625     | −13.666556     | 158        | 7             |
| NGC720_star2  | 28.221083     | −13.783722     | 6          | 5             |
| NGC720_gal1   | 28.231667     | −13.773028     | 99         | 99            |

Note. ID, R.A. and decl. (J2000), heliocentric radial velocity, and velocity uncertainty. Velocities and velocity uncertainties of 99 denote no measured value.
(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

3. Background Galaxies and Foreground Stars

Our initial GC candidate selection is largely based on ground-based imaging, which will include some contaminants, i.e., both compact background galaxies and foreground stars. By examining phase space diagrams, i.e., the radial velocity versus galactocentric radius of the GC candidates (see the Appendix for such diagrams of each galaxy’s GC system), it is fairly straightforward to identify and remove background galaxies on the basis of their high velocities, i.e., \( V > 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (from either absorption or emission lines).

For most GC systems, the GCs are also well-separated in velocity from the most extreme Milky Way stars, which generally have velocities within \( \pm 300 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (although some rare examples of very high-velocity halo stars do exist; Brown et al. 2010). For the half-dozen GC systems that may overlap in velocity with Milky Way stars, one can assume that the GC velocities are distributed symmetrically about the galaxy’s systemic velocity and use those GCs with higher-than-systemic velocities are distributed symmetrically about the galaxy velocity with Milky Way stars, one can assume that the GCs deemed to be associated with the interacting galaxy NGC 4342 (\( V_{\text{sys}} = 761 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) have been removed (see Blom et al. 2014 for details). Table 2 lists foreground star and background galaxy contaminants (we do not quote actual recession velocities for background galaxies, as we only applied absorption line poor sky subtraction). In these cases, we take a conservative approach and do not include them in our confirmed GC catalogs (nor those of confirmed contaminants). Radial velocities are corrected to heliocentric velocities. Our tests of repeatability (i.e., from observing the same objects on different nights) indicates a systematic rms velocity uncertainty of \( \pm 10–15 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Pota et al. 2013a, 2015).

Table 3

| Galaxy (NGC) | Neighbor Galaxy | \( \Delta V_{\text{sys}} \) (km s⁻¹) | \( \Delta R \) (arcmin) |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 3377         | NGC 3377A       | 117                               | 7.0                    |
| 3607         | NGC 3605        | 281                               | 2.8                    |
| 3607         | NGC 3608        | −284                              | 5.9                    |
| 3608         | NGC 3607        | 284                               | 5.9                    |
| 3608         | NGC 3605        | 565                               | 8.4                    |
| 4111         | NGC 4117        | −142                              | 8.6                    |
| 4111         | UGC 07094       | 13                                | 11.6                   |
| 4278         | NGC 4283        | −436                              | 3.5                    |
| 4278         | NGC 4286        | −24                               | 8.6                    |
| 4365         | NGC 4366        | −33                               | 5.1                    |
| 4365         | NGC 4370        | 461                               | 10.1                   |
| 4374         | NGC 4387        | 452                               | 10.3                   |
| 4459         | NGC 4468        | 283                               | 8.6                    |
| 4473         | NGC 4479        | 1384                              | 11.4                   |
| 4474         | NGC 4468        | 702                               | 5.6                    |
| 4486         | NGC 4478        | −65                               | 8.7                    |
| 4649         | NGC 4647        | −299                              | 2.6                    |
| 5846         | NGC 5846A       | −489                              | 0.6                    |
| 5846         | NGC 5845        | 240                               | 7.3                    |
| 5846         | NGC 5850        | −844                              | 10.3                   |
| 7457         | UGC 12311       | −76                               | 7.8                    |

Note. Neighbor galaxies that lie within 12 arcmin on the sky, <1000 km s⁻¹ in systemic velocity difference and <4 mag difference, systemic velocity of SLUGGS galaxy minus that of the neighbor, and projected distance on the sky.

4. Neighboring Galaxies

A neighboring galaxy may also possess its own GC system that, if close in projection on the sky and in radial velocity, could be confused with that of the primary SLUGGS galaxy. For most of the SLUGGS galaxies, there is no nearby neighbor of substantial size and, hence, rich GC system. The main exception is the Leo II galaxy group. Here, we have used \( HST \) and Subaru imaging, along with the spectroscopically confirmed GCs, to remove any GCs likely associated with the dwarf galaxy NGC 3605 and assign the bulk of GCs to either NGC 3607 or NGC 3608 (Kartha et al. 2016). GCs identified as being associated with NGC 4459 may, in principle, belong to the very rich GC system of nearby NGC 4486 (M87). For NGC 4459, the bulk of its GCs lie within \( ~2 \text{ galaxy effective radii} \), but some half-dozen objects lie at large radii and may actually belong to M87. For NGC 4278, we include here, the three GCs that may be associated with NGC 4283 as identified by Usher et al. (2013). For NGC 1407 and NGC 1400, the galaxies are separated by over 1000 km s⁻¹ in velocity and 10 arcmin on the sky, so it is straightforward to assign their relative GC systems. Otherwise, the neighboring galaxies tend to be low-mass galaxies and/or located at large projected galactocentric radii. Table 3 lists potential neighbor galaxies that are projected within 12 arcmin, differ by less than 1000 km s⁻¹ in systemic velocity, and are less than 4 mag different from the primary SLUGGS galaxy. From our phase-space diagrams (see the Appendix), the contribution from neighboring galaxies’ GC systems appear to be small and we
Table 4
Ultra-compact Dwarf Radial Velocities

| UCD ID     | R.A.          | Decl.         | V  | Vₚ | Rad |
|------------|---------------|---------------|----|----|-----|
| NGC321_UCD1 | 32.086091     | 10.990721     | 1705 | 6 | 0.28 |
| NGC1023_UCD1 | 40.144680     | 39.090030     | 619  | 4 | 2.63 |
| NGC1023_UCD2 | 40.115950     | 39.078000     | 338  | 3 | 1.15 |
| NGC1407_UCD1 | 55.007179     | -18.630067    | 2110 | 5 | 3.84 |
| NGC1407_UCD2 | 55.067500     | -18.481872    | 2164 | 5 | 5.98 |
| NGC1407_UCD3 | 55.056921     | -18.541622    | 1665 | 5 | 2.49 |
| NGC1407_UCD4 | 55.058625     | -18.641786    | 1482 | 5 | 3.74 |
| NGC1407_UCD5 | 55.089904     | -18.725344    | 1712 | 5 | 9.01 |
| NGC1407_UCD6 | 54.861854     | -18.688042    | 1995 | 6 | 12.5 |
| NGC1407_UCD7 | 55.041750     | -18.568922    | 1954 | 5 | 0.80 |
| NGC1407_UCD8 | 54.963000     | -18.458556    | 1621 | 35| 7.51 |
| NGC1407_UCD9 | 55.096717     | -18.505539    | 1973 | 3 | 5.22 |
| NGC1407_UCD10 | 55.017663    | -18.562511    | 2509 | 4 | 2.09 |
| NGC1407_UCD11 | 55.039700    | -18.560778    | 1187 | 4 | 1.28 |

| NGC2768_UCD1 | 137.903214    | 60.071148     | 1194 | 5 | 2.04 |
| NGC4365_UCD1 | 186.096020    | 7.317350      | 1518 | 5 | 1.30 |
| NGC4365_UCD2 | 186.026120    | 7.320890      | 1446 | 5 | 3.32 |
| NGC4365_UCD3 | 186.082990    | 7.300690      | 979  | 5 | 0.44 |
| NGC4365_UCD4 | 186.110730    | 7.319560      | 1186 | 5 | 1.96 |
| NGC4365_UCD5 | 186.148890    | 7.306630      | 1186 | 5 | 1.96 |
| NGC4365_UCD6 | 186.086620    | 7.311630      | 898  | 5 | 1.89 |
| NGC4365_UCD7 | 186.120030    | 7.366040      | 929  | 5 | 2.90 |
| NGC4494_UCD1 | 187.856312    | 25.772158     | 1281 | 5 | 0.37 |
| NGC4494_UCD2 | 187.852679    | 25.804469     | 1341 | 5 | 1.77 |
| NGC4494_UCD3 | 187.863926    | 25.767058     | 1152 | 5 | 0.86 |
| NGC4669_UCD1 | 190.950662    | 11.534806     | 826  | 3 | 2.27 |
| NGC4669_UCD2 | 190.938146    | 11.589529     | 1275 | 4 | 2.55 |
| NGC4669_UCD3 | 190.912098    | 11.576443     | 796  | 5 | 1.45 |
| NGC4669_UCD4 | 190.700026    | 11.920495     | 1221 | 5 | 2.25 |
| NGC4669_UCD5 | 190.713204    | 11.549560     | 1526 | 5 | 0.27 |
| NGC4669_UCD6 | 191.042458    | 11.578678     | 1450 | 5 | 7.56 |
| NGC4669_UCD7 | 190.735808    | 11.619961     | 1227 | 5 | 11.4 |
| NGC4669_UCD8 | 190.788416    | 11.648972     | 1042 | 5 | 9.49 |

Note. Ultra-compact dwarf ID, R.A., and decl. (J2000), heliocentric radial velocity (km s⁻¹), velocity uncertainty (km s⁻¹), and galactocentric radius (arcmin).

Table 5
Globular Cluster Radial Velocities

| GC ID      | R.A.          | Decl.         | V  | Vₚ | Rad |
|------------|---------------|---------------|----|----|-----|
| NGC720_S1  | 28.165375     | -13.732361    | 1794 | 11| 5.07 |
| NGC720_S2  | 28.217625     | -13.731111    | 1805 | 10| 2.06 |
| NGC720_S3  | 28.165917     | -13.715389    | 1772 | 11| 5.21 |

Note. Globular cluster ID, R.A., and decl. (J2000), heliocentric radial velocity (km s⁻¹), velocity uncertainty (km s⁻¹), galactocentric radius (arcmin).

This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.

5. Ultra-Compact Dwarfs (UCDs)

As well as removing background galaxies and foreground stars from our GC object lists, we have attempted to remove an additional source of “contamination” by UCDs. UCDs appear very similar to GCs in ground-based imaging, and lack a standard definition. Working definitions have included half light sizes greater than 10 pc and/or luminosities brighter than $M_V < -11$ (i.e., on the order of ω Cen in our Galaxy). In order to measure sizes for objects around SLUGGS galaxies (which have typical distances of 20 Mpc), HST imaging is generally required, and not always available for our GC sample. Here, we have taken a conservative approach of excluding the small number of GC-like objects with an equivalent luminosity of $M_V < -11$ (this roughly corresponds to $M_V < -11$ and masses greater than two million solar masses); thus, our GC object lists may still include a small number of low-luminosity UCDs with sizes greater than 10 pc (see Forbes et al. 2013). We tabulate the objects we identify as UCDs in Table 4 for the galaxies NGC 821, 1023, 1407, 2768, 4365, 4494, and 4649. We note that Table 4 includes the three objects identified as UCDs around NGC 4494 by Foster et al. (2011), even though they have luminosities of $M_V < -11.8$, which is slightly fainter than our limit. For a discussion of UCDs around NGC 4486 (M87), we refer the interested reader to Strader et al. (2011). We adopt a naming convention of NGCXYYY_UCDXX, i.e., the galaxy NGC name and a sequence of identified UCDs.
6. GC Radial Velocity Catalogs

In Table 5, we present our GC radial velocity catalogs. Each catalog lists the GC ID, its position, heliocentric radial velocity, velocity uncertainty, and galactocentric radius (in arcminutes) for each SLUGGS galaxy. The position of each galaxy center is given in Table 1. For object IDs, we use a naming convention of NGCXXX_SXXX, i.e., the galaxy NGC name and a sequence of SLUGGS velocity-confirmed GCs. We do not include any GCs that we have determined to have marginal (i.e., non-secure) measurements of their velocity. The catalog for NGC 3115 includes GCs observed by Arnold et al. (2011) using Keck/LRIS and Magellan/IIMACS as well as Keck/DEIMOS. For NGC 4649, the catalog includes GCs observed using Gemini/GMOS, MMT/Hectospec as well as Keck/DEIMOS as compiled by Pota et al. (2015). Our catalog for NGC 4486 includes GCs observed by the MMT/Hectospec, particularly at large galactocentric radii, as well as Keck/DEIMOS. See Strader et al. (2011) for details. Our Keck/DEIMOS observations of NGC 4365 were extended to include GCs around NGC 4342, which is separated by \( \sim 20 \) arcmin and \( \sim 500 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) in velocity (Blom et al. 2014). Here, we only include GCs associated with NGC 4365, and refer the reader to Blom et al. (2014) for the GCs associated with NGC 4342. When a GC has been observed multiple times, we list the average velocity value and average uncertainty (combining errors in quadrature). These new, updated catalogs presented in Table 5 supersede previous SLUGGS GC radial velocity catalogs (e.g., Usher et al. 2012; Pota et al. 2013a).

In Table 6, we summarize our final GC radial velocity catalogs. We list the number of unique DEIMOS masks and the total integration time. Note that these masks were usually of dual purpose, i.e., as well as GCs, we obtained spectra of the underlying galaxy starlight to probe host galaxy kinematics (Arnold et al. 2014; Foster et al. 2016) and metallicity (Pastorello et al. 2014). If the emphasis of a given mask was on obtaining starlight, then the GC return rate may be lower than if we had dedicated the mask to GCs. Table 6 also lists the number of unique confirmed GCs—this excludes those objects determined to be marginal GCs, background galaxies, foreground stars, and UCDs. For each GC system, we calculate the error-weighted mean heliocentric velocity along with its uncertainty, and the velocity dispersion (the standard deviation of the distribution).

In Figure 1, we examine the difference between the mean velocity of the GC system with the galaxy systemic velocity as a function of the number of GCs observed. Each galaxy is coded by its Hubble type from Table 1. Most GC systems have a mean velocity that is similar to that of their host galaxy. The main outlier in our sample is NGC 4374, for which we have only 41 GCs. We suspect that this discrepancy is due to our limited and biased coverage of the GC system. There is no obvious trend with Hubble type or number of GCs observed (beyond the expected larger scatter for smaller sample sizes). We conclude that, overall, our GC radial velocity data sets are representative of the GC system dynamics, and they are qualitatively consistent with being in dynamical equilibrium within the galaxy potential. Future work will investigate this issue in more detail; in particular, whether substructure (e.g., due to a past merger) is present in these GC systems. For example, in the case of a recent major merger, a “ringing effect” is expected (A. Burkert 2016, private communication), whereby GCs at large radii will deviate to positive and negative velocities as they settle into equilibrium.

Early-type galaxies are well-known to display a relationship between their luminosity (or stellar mass) and the velocity dispersion of their stars. This is commonly called the Faber–Jackson relation (Faber & Jackson 1976). For typical early-type galaxies, the scaling is \( M_\text{s} \propto \sigma^4 \), but for the most massive galaxies, the scaling steepens to an exponent of \( \sim 8 \) (Kormendy & Bender 2013). In Figure 2, we show the relation between the velocity dispersion of the GC system and galaxy stellar mass. Stellar masses are calculated from the total 3.6 \( \mu \text{m} \) luminosity with an age-dependent mass-to-light ratio (Forbes et al. 2017). A Faber–Jackson style \( \sigma^4 \) relation is overplotted, showing that...
the GC system of typical early-type galaxies obeys a similar relation, and it steepens toward the more massive galaxies. For other kinematic scaling relations between GC systems and their host galaxies, see Pota et al. (2013a, 2013b).

7. Summary

After removing foreground stars, background galaxies, and suspected UCDs from our object lists, we present catalogs of over 4000 GC radial velocities and positions for the SLUGGS early-type galaxies. Phase space diagrams for each galaxy indicate that contamination from nearby galaxies is low. We show that the mean velocity of the GC system is closely aligned with the systemic velocity of the host galaxy, and that the velocity dispersion of the GC system scales with host galaxy mass, similar to the well-known Faber–Jackson relation. We hope that these data prove useful in future studies of GC systems. As new data are obtained, we plan to make them available on the SLUGGS website http://sluggs.swin.edu.au.
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Appendix

In Figures 3–9, we show the distributions of GCs in phase space, i.e., velocity versus projected galactocentric radius for individual host galaxies (see Alabi et al. 2016 for a summary...
Figure 4. Phase space diagram of GCs associated with NGC 1407, NGC 2768, NGC 2974, and NGC 3115.

Figure 5. Phase space diagram of GCs associated with NGC 3377, NGC 3607, NGC 3608, and NGC 4111.
Figure 6. Phase space diagram of GCs associated with NGC 4278, NGC 4365, NGC 4374, and NGC 4459.

Figure 7. Phase space diagram of GCs associated with NGC 4473, NGC 4474, NGC 4486, and NGC 4494.
Figure 8. Phase space diagram of GCs associated with NGC 4526, NGC 4564, NGC 4649, and NGC 4697.

Figure 9. Phase space diagram of GCs associated with NGC 5846, NGC 5866, and NGC 7457.
plot stacked by galaxy mass). We also show the galaxy systemic velocity, effective radius, and the location of neighbor galaxies (from Table 3). The GC systems generally have a velocity distribution that is symmetric around the galaxy systemic velocity, but there are some notable exceptions e.g., NGC 4374 as highlighted in Figure 1. These plots show that the contribution of GCs from the neighbor galaxies to the overall GC system of the primary SLUGGS galaxy is negligible. A.J.R. is supported as a Research Corporation for Science Advancement Cottrell Scholar.
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We would like to point out to the reader that the catalog of globular cluster (GC) radial velocities (listed in Table 5) still contains some multiple entries. In such cases GCs were observed more than once and are recorded in Table 5 with a unique GC ID, along with their coordinates and radial velocity measurement. If the reader wishes to exclude, or average, multiple measurements then GCs within one spatial pixel (i.e., 0.119 arcsec) in R.A. and decl. can be identified. This would include two dozen GCs in the galaxies NGC 720, 1407, 2768, 3608, 4365, 4459, 4474, and 4697. In general, the multiple GCs have individual radial velocities within their quoted errors and have an rms scatter of ±15 km s⁻¹. The conclusions of the paper are unchanged.
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