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Abstract

We construct new M-theory solutions of M5 branes that are a realization of the fully localized ten dimensional NS5/D6 and NS5/D5 brane intersections. These solutions are obtained by embedding self-dual geometries lifted to M-theory. We reduce these solutions down to ten dimensions, obtaining new D-brane systems in type IIA/IIB supergravity. The worldvolume theories of the NS5-branes are new non-local, non-gravitational, six dimensional, T-dual little string theories with eight supersymmetries.
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1 Introduction

Fundamental M-theory in the low-energy limit is generally believed to be effectively described by $D = 11$ supergravity [1]. This suggests that brane solutions in the latter theory furnish classical soliton states of M-theory, motivating considerable interest in this subject. There is particular interest in supersymmetric $p$-brane solutions that saturate the BPS bound upon reduction to 10 dimensions.

Recently interesting new supergravity solutions for localized D2/D6 and D2/D4 intersecting brane systems were obtained [2, 3]. By lifting a D6-(D4-)brane to four-dimensional Taub-NUT/Bolt and Eguchi-Hanson geometries embedded in M-theory, these solutions were constructed by placing M2-branes in the Taub-NUT/Bolt and Eguchi-Hanson background geometries. The special feature of these constructions is that the solution is not restricted to be in the near core region of the D6-(D4-)brane.

Since the building blocks of M-theory are M2- and M5-branes, it is natural to investigate the possibility that this type of construction could be extended to the 5-brane case. This is the subject of the present paper, in which we consider the embedding of Taub-NUT/Bolt and Eguchi-Hanson geometries in M-theory with a single M5-brane. We find three different solutions of interest, two of which preserve 1/4 of the supersymmetry. We then compactify these solutions on a circle, obtaining the different fields of type IIA string theory. Explicit calculation shows that in all cases the metric is asymptotically (locally) flat, though for some of our compactified solutions the type IIA dilaton field diverges at infinity.

The outline of our paper is as follows. In section 2 we discuss briefly the field equations of supergravity, the M5-brane metric and the Killing spinor equations. In section 3 we present the solutions that preserve some of the supersymmetry. We begin by considering four-dimensional Taub-NUT space (in section 3.1), for which we find two NS5/D6 intersecting solutions. Another metric with similar self-dual properties is the Eguchi-Hanson (EH) metric, which we consider in section 3.2; we obtain two more NS5/D6 intersecting brane solutions for this case. In section 3.3 we apply T-duality transformations on type IIA solutions and find type IIB NS5/D5 intersecting brane solutions. In section 4 we present solutions that do not preserve any supersymmetry. We discuss the embedding of four-dimensional Taub-Bolt space in M-theory and show that the partial differential equation can again be separated into two ordinary differential equations. The solution of one of these differs from the Taub-NUT case; although an analytic solution is not possible, we find a numerical solution of that equation. In section 5 we consider the decoupling limit of our solutions and find evidence that in the limit of vanishing string coupling, the theory on the worldvolume of the NS5-branes is a new little string theory.

2 Review of M5-branes and KK Reduction

The general Lagrangian and the equations of motion for eleven dimensional supergravity are given in [4]. The ones relevant for us here are when we have maximal symmetry (i.e., for
which the expectation values of the fermion fields is zero); these are
\[ R_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} g_{mn} R = \frac{1}{3} \left[ F_{mn pq} F_n^{pq} - \frac{1}{8} g_{mn} F_{pqrs} F^{pqrs} \right] \quad (2.1) \]
\[ \nabla_m F^{mn pq} = -\frac{1}{576} \epsilon^{m_1 \ldots m_8 npq} F_{m_1 \ldots m_4} F_{m_5 \ldots m_8} \quad (2.2) \]

where in what follows \( m, n, \ldots \) are 11-dimensional world space indices, and \( a, b, \ldots \) are 11-dimensional tangent space indices. For our purposes here, with a general M5-brane, the metric and four-form field strength can be written (see also, for example, [5])
\[ ds^2 = H(y, r)^{-1/3} \left( -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + \ldots + dx_5^2 \right) + H(y, r)^{2/3} \left( dy^2 + ds_4^2(r) \right) \quad (2.3) \]
\[ F_{m_1 \ldots m_4} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon_{m_1 \ldots m_5} \partial^{m_5} H \quad (2.4) \]

where \( ds_4^2(r) \) is a four-dimensional (Euclideanized) metric written in spherical coordinates, depending on the radius \( r \) and the quantity \( \alpha = \pm 1 \), which corresponds to an M5-brane and an anti-M5-brane respectively. The general solution, where the transverse coordinates are given by a flat metric, admits a solution with 16 Killing spinors [5]. In the sequel we demonstrate that, though the number of Killing spinors is reduced, we can embed Taub-NUT and Eguchi-Hanson metrics into this eleven dimensional M5-brane formula to give supersymmetric solutions.

By embedding a Taub-NUT or Eguchi-Hanson metric, one achieves a form that can be easily reduced down to ten dimensions using the following equations
\[ \hat{g}_{mn} = \left[ e^{-2\Phi/3} (g_{a\beta} + e^{2\Phi} C_a C_\beta) \right. \left. + \nu e^{4\Phi/3} C_\alpha \right. \left. + \nu^2 e^{4\Phi/3} \right] \quad (2.5) \]
\[ \hat{F}_{(4)} = F_{(4)} + H_{(3)} \wedge dx_{10}. \quad (2.6) \]

Here \( \nu \) is the winding number (the number of times the M5-brane wraps around the compactified dimension) and \( x_{10} \) is the eleventh dimension, on which we compactify. We use hats in the above to differentiate the eleven-dimensional fields from the ten-dimensional ones that arise from compactification. \( F_{(4)} \) and \( H_{(3)} \) are the RR four-form and the NSNS three-form field strengths corresponding to \( A_{a\beta\gamma} \) and \( B_{a\beta} \).

The number of non-trivial solutions to the Killing spinor equation
\[ \partial_m \epsilon + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{abm} \Gamma^{ab}_m \epsilon + \frac{1}{144} \Gamma^m_{npqr} F_{npqr} \epsilon - \frac{1}{18} \Gamma^{pqrs} F_{mpqr} \epsilon = 0 \quad (2.7) \]
determine the amount of supersymmetry of the solution, where the \( \omega \)'s are the spin connection coefficients, and \( \Gamma^{a_1 \ldots a_n} = \Gamma^{[a_1} \ldots \Gamma^{a_n]} \). The \( \Gamma^a \) matrices are the eleven dimensional equivalents of the four dimensional Dirac gamma matrices, and must satisfy the Clifford algebra
\[ \{ \Gamma^a, \Gamma^b \} = -2\eta^{ab}. \quad (2.8) \]
In ten dimensional type IIA string theory, we can have D-branes or NS-branes. Dp-branes can carry either electric or magnetic charge with respect to the RR fields; the metric takes the form

\[ ds_{10}^2 = f^{-1/2} \left( -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + \ldots + dx_{p+1}^2 + f^{1/2} \left( dx_{p+2}^2 + \ldots + dx_9^2 \right) \right) \]

where the harmonic function \( f \) generally depends on the transverse coordinates.

An NS5-brane carries a magnetic two-form charge; the corresponding metric has the form

\[ ds_{10}^2 = -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + \ldots + dx_5^2 + f \left( dx_6^2 + \ldots + dx_9^2 \right) . \]

In what follows we will obtain a mixture of D-branes and NS-branes.

3 Supersymmetric Solutions

3.1 Embedding Taub-NUT

The eleven dimensional M5-brane metric with an embedded Taub-NUT metric has the following form

\[ ds_{11}^2 = H(y,r)^{-1/3} \left( -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \right) + \\
+ H(y,r)^{2/3} \left( dy^2 + ds_{TN4}^2 \right) \]

\[ F_{\psi\theta\phi y} = 2nr^2 \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}, \quad F_{\psi\theta\phi r} = -2nr(r + 2) \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \]

where the Taub-NUT metric \( ds_{TN4}^2 \) is given by

\[ ds_{TN4}^2 = \frac{1}{f(r)} \left( d\psi' + 2n \cos(\theta) d\phi \right)^2 + f(r) dr^2 + (r^2 - n^2) \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 \right) \]

and we choose \( \alpha = 1 \). An equivalent form of this metric that is more useful for reduction down to ten dimensions is given by replacing \( \psi' = 4n \psi \) and \( r \to r + n \), to give

\[ ds_{TN4}^2 = \left( \frac{4n}{f(r)} \right)^2 \left( d\psi + \frac{1}{2} \cos(\theta) d\phi \right)^2 + f(r) \left( dr^2 + r^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 \right) \right) \]

\[ \tilde{f}(r) = \left( 1 + \frac{2n}{r} \right) \]

a form previously utilized for the M2-brane \[2\] \[3\], with \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) having period \( 2\pi \). The form \[3,6\] is more convenient for determining how much supersymmetry is preserved, whereas the form \[3,3\] is more convenient for solving for \( H(y,r) \) and reducing to ten dimensions.
This metric (3.1) is a solution to the eleven dimensional supergravity equations provided $H(y, r)$ is a solution to the differential equation

$$\frac{r}{2(r + 2n)} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r + 2n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial y^2} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

This equation is straightforwardly separable. Substituting

$$H(y, r) = 1 + Q_{M5} Y(y) R(r) \quad (3.8)$$

where $Q_{M5}$ is the charge on the M5-brane, we arrive at two differential equations

$$\frac{d^2 Y(y)}{dy^2} + c^2 Y(y) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

$$r \frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + 2 \frac{dR(r)}{dr} - c^2 (r + 2n) R(r) = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

These have the solutions

$$Y(y) = C_1 \cos(cy) + C_2 \sin(cy) \quad (3.11)$$

$$R(r) = D_1 e^{-cr} G(1 + cn, 2, 2cr) + D_2 e^{-cr} U(1 + cn, 2, 2cr) \quad (3.12)$$

where $G$ is the hypergeometric function, and $U$ is the Kummer U function [6]. Requiring the solution to decay for large $r$ implies $D_1 = 0$, in which case this solution is now exactly the same as that obtained in the M2 case [2, 3].

For small $r$ the Taub-NUT metric becomes

$$ds_{TN_4}^2 = dz^2 + z^2 d\Omega_3^2. \quad (3.13)$$

In the $n \to \infty$ limit, keeping $z^2 = 8nr$ fixed (i.e. $r \ll n$), the function $R(r)$ must become

$$R(r) \to D_2 \frac{2K_1(2c\sqrt{2nr})}{c\Gamma(cn)\sqrt{2nr}}. \quad (3.14)$$

The final solution will be a superposition of all possible solutions

$$H_{TN_4}(y, r) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int dc \{ f_1(c) \cos(cy) + f_2(c) \sin(cy) \} e^{-cr} U(1 + cn, 2, 2cr) \quad (3.15)$$

where $f_1(c)$ and $f_2(c)$ are functions of $c$ that also contain the integration constants $C_i$, $D_2$ from above. In the small $r$ limit, we require that the above solution satisfies

$$1 + Q_{M5} \lim_{z^2 \ll 8nr} \int dc \{ f_1(c) \cos(cy) + f_2(c) \sin(cy) \} e^{-cr} U(1 + cn, 2, 2cr) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int dc \{ f_1(c) \cos(cy) + f_2(c) \sin(cy) \} \frac{2K_1(2c\sqrt{2nr})}{c\Gamma(cn)\sqrt{2nr}} = 1 + \frac{Q_{M5}}{R^3} \quad (3.16)$$
where \( R = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} = \sqrt{y^2 + 8nr} \). This implies \( C_2 = 0 \) in (3.11) and gives \( f_1(c) = \frac{c^2 \Gamma(cn)}{2\pi} \). The final solution is

\[
H_{TN_4}(y, r) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int dc \frac{c^2 \Gamma(cn)}{2\pi} \cos(cy) e^{-cr} U(1 + cn, 2, 2cr). \tag{3.17}
\]

By reversing the sign of the separation constant \( c^2 \) in equations (3.9) and (3.10) (so that \( c \rightarrow \bar{c} \)) we easily obtain another solution. In this case the equation (3.9) has the decaying solution

\[
Y_{\bar{c}}(y) = \bar{C}_c e^{-\bar{c}y} \tag{3.18}
\]

and the radial equation has the solution,

\[
R_{\bar{c}}(r) = \bar{D}_e \frac{(-i)W_M(-i\bar{c}n, 1/2, 2i\bar{c}r)}{r} = \bar{E}_\bar{c} e^{-i\bar{c}r} G(1 + i\bar{c}n, 2, 2i\bar{c}r) \tag{3.19}
\]

where \( G \) is a hypergeometric function that is finite at \( r = 0 \), and undergoes damped oscillations until it vanishes at \( r = \infty \). Here \( \bar{D}_e \) or \( \bar{E}_\bar{c} \) are constants that depending only on \( n \) and the separation constant \( \bar{c} \). Writing the general solution of the metric function as a superposition of solutions yields

\[
\bar{H}_{TN_4}(y, r) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int_0^\infty d\bar{c} R_{\bar{c}}(r) Y_{\bar{c}}(y) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int_0^\infty d\bar{c} \tilde{f}(\bar{c}) e^{-i\bar{c}r} G(1 + i\bar{c}n, 2, 2i\bar{c}r) e^{-\bar{c}y} \tag{3.20}
\]

where \( \tilde{f}(\bar{c}) = \bar{C}_c \bar{E}_\bar{c} \). The function \( \tilde{f}(\bar{c}) \) may again be determined from a consideration of the near horizon limit where \( r << n \). This gives

\[
\lim_{z^2 < 8nr} \int_0^\infty d\bar{c} \tilde{f}(\bar{c}) e^{-i\bar{c}r} G(1 + i\bar{c}n, 2, 2i\bar{c}r) e^{-\bar{c}y} = \int_0^\infty d\bar{c} \tilde{f}(\bar{c}) \frac{I_1(2i\bar{c}\sqrt{2nr})}{i\bar{c}\sqrt{2nr}} e^{-\bar{c}y} = \frac{1}{(y^2 + 8nr)^3/2} \tag{3.21}
\]

and yields \( \tilde{f}(\bar{c}) = \frac{e^2}{2} \) so that

\[
\bar{H}_{TN_4}(y, r) = 1 + \frac{Q_{M5}}{2} \int_0^\infty d\bar{c} (e^{-i\bar{c}r} G(1 + i\bar{c}n, 2, 2i\bar{c}r) e^{-\bar{c}y}) \bar{c}^2. \tag{3.22}
\]

Although the terms in the integrand (enclosed by curly brackets) approach a finite value at \( r = y = 0 \) (unlike the situation for the 2-brane scenario where the analogous integrand diverges on the brane location \( \boxed{2, 3} \)), the quantity \( \bar{h}(y) = \{ \bar{H}_{TN_4}(y, 0) - 1 \}/Q_{M5} = \frac{1}{y} \) diverges at \( y = 0 \). In figure 3.1, a log-log plot of \( h(r) \sim (H(y = 0, r) - 1) \) versus \( \frac{x}{n} \) is given, where we choose the normalization coefficient such that it approaches unity as \( r \) goes to zero. A log-log plot of a similarly normalized \( \bar{h}(y) \) clearly yields a horizontal line.

We can reduce the metric (3.11) down to ten dimensions using the Kaluza-Klein prescription. The radius \( R_\infty \) of the circle of compactification with line element \( R_\infty^2 \left[ d\psi + \frac{1}{2} \cos(\theta) d\phi \right]^2 \) is

\[
R_\infty = 4n = g_s \ell_s. \tag{3.23}
\]
using the form in eq. (3.3). Reducing to ten dimensions gives the following NSNS dilaton

$$\Phi = \frac{3}{4} \ln \left\{ \frac{H^{2/3}}{\nu^2 \tilde{f}} \right\}. \quad (3.24)$$

The NSNS field strength of the two-form associated with the NS5-brane, found from (2.6), is given by

$$H_{(3)} = \frac{F_{\theta\phi\psi}}{4n} d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge dy + \frac{F_{\theta\phi\nu}}{4n} d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge dr \quad (3.25)$$

where $F_{\theta\phi\psi}$ and $F_{\theta\phi\nu}$ are given in (3.2). From (3.25), the NSNS two form is given by

$$B_{(2)} = r^2 \cos \theta \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} dy \wedge d\phi + r(r + 2n) \cos \theta \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} d\phi \wedge dr. \quad (3.26)$$

The RR fields are

$$C_{(1)} = 2n \cos(\theta) d\phi \quad (3.27)$$
$$A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0 \quad (3.28)$$

where $C_\alpha$ is the field associated with the D6-brane, and the metric in ten dimensions is given by:

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \tilde{f}^{-1/2} \left( -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \right) + H \tilde{f}^{-1/2} dy^2 + H \tilde{f}^{1/2} (dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_5^2) \right). \quad (3.29)$$

From (3.27), (3.25) and the metric, we can see that the above ten dimensional metric is an $\text{NS5} \perp \text{D6(5)}$ brane solution. We have explicitly checked that the above 10-dimensional metric (3.29), with the other fields (the dilaton (3.24), the 1-form field (3.27), and the NSNS field strength (3.25)) furnish a solution to the 10-dimensional supergravity equations of motion.
As with the 2-brane scenario, the solution (3.1) preserves 1/4 of the supersymmetry. Inserting \( \epsilon = H^{-1/12} \epsilon' \) into eq. (2.7) we find that the presence of the brane implies the projection

\[
\left( 1 - \Gamma \hat{\psi} \hat{\phi} \hat{y} \right) \epsilon = 0
\]

or, because we are in eleven dimensions,

\[
\left( 1 - \Gamma \hat{x} \hat{x}_2 \hat{x}_3 \hat{x}_4 \hat{x}_5 \right) \epsilon = 0
\]

and we are left with 1/2 of the supersymmetry. The remaining equations are

\[
\partial_{\psi} \epsilon - \frac{1}{2f^2} df \Gamma \hat{\psi} \epsilon + \frac{n}{2(r^2 - n^2) f} \Gamma \hat{\phi} \epsilon = 0 \quad (3.32)
\]

\[
\partial_{\theta} \epsilon + \frac{n}{2(r^2 - n^2)^{1/2} f^{1/2}} \Gamma \hat{\psi} \epsilon - \frac{r}{2(r^2 - n^2)^{1/2} f^{1/2}} \Gamma \hat{\phi} \epsilon = 0 \quad (3.33)
\]

\[
\partial_{\psi} \epsilon - \frac{n \cos(\theta)}{2f^2} df \Gamma \hat{\psi} \epsilon - \frac{n \sin(\theta)}{2(r^2 - n^2)^{1/2} f^{1/2}} \Gamma \hat{\phi} \epsilon - \frac{r \sin(\theta)}{2(r^2 - n^2)^{1/2} f^{1/2}} \Gamma \hat{\phi} \epsilon = 0 \quad (3.34)
\]

and they have the solution

\[
\epsilon = \exp \left\{ -\frac{\theta}{2} \Gamma \hat{\psi} \phi \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{\phi}{2} \Gamma \hat{\phi} \right\} \tilde{\epsilon}
\]

where

\[
\Gamma \hat{\psi} \hat{\phi} \epsilon = +\epsilon
\]

so that 1/4 of the supersymmetry is preserved.

### 3.2 Embedding Eguchi-Hanson

Another metric that lends itself to KK reduction is the Eguchi-Hanson (EH) metric. We consider the embedding

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\textit{ds}}_{11}^2 &= H(y, r)^{-1/3} \left( -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + \ldots + dx_5^2 \right) + H(y, r)^{2/3} \left( dy^2 + ds_{EH}^2 \right) \\
F_{\psi \theta \phi y} &= \frac{\sin(\theta)(r^4 - a^4)}{16r} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}, \quad F_{\psi \phi \phi r} = -\frac{r^3 \sin(\theta)}{16} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
ds_{EH}^2 &= \frac{r^2}{4g(r)} \left[ d\psi + \cos(\theta) d\phi \right]^2 + g(r) dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{4} \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 \right) \\
g(r) &= \left( 1 - \frac{a^4}{r^4} \right)^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 3.2: Numerical solution of radial equation (3.43) for $R/10^6$ in EH case, as a function of $\frac{a}{r}$. So for $r \approx a$, $R$ diverges and for $r \approx \infty$, it vanishes.

is the EH metric.

Eqs. (3.37,3.38) solve the supergravity equations provided

$$\frac{(3r^4 + a^4) \partial H}{2r^5} + \frac{(r - a)(r + a)(r^2 + a^2) \partial^2 H}{2r^4} + \frac{1}{2 \partial y^2} = 0. \tag{3.41}$$

This is separable; substituting in (3.8) gives two differential equations

$$\frac{d^2 Y(y)}{dy^2} + c^2 Y(y) = 0 \tag{3.42}$$

$$r(r^4 - a^4) \frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + (3r^4 + a^4) \frac{dR(r)}{dr} - c^2 r^5 R(r) = 0. \tag{3.43}$$

Eq. (3.42) has the solution

$$Y(y) = C_1 \cos(cy) + C_2 \sin(cy) \tag{3.44}$$

and Eq. (3.43) doesn’t have a solution in terms of known analytic functions unless $c = 0$. However the power-series solutions near $r = a$ are

$$R(r) = \left(\tilde{C}_1 \ln \left(\frac{r}{a} - 1\right)\right) \left[1 + \frac{c^2 a^2}{4} \left(\frac{r}{a} - 1\right) + \frac{c^2 a^2}{8} \left(\frac{r}{a} - 1\right)^2 + \frac{c^4 a^4}{64} \left(\frac{r}{a} - 1\right)^2 + \cdots\right] +$$

$$+ \tilde{C}_2 \left[-\frac{1 + c^2 a^2}{2} \left(\frac{r}{a} - 1\right) - \frac{1 + c^2 a^2}{8} \left(\frac{r}{a} - 1\right)^2 - \frac{3c^4 a^4}{64} \left(\frac{r}{a} - 1\right)^2 + \cdots\right] +$$

$$+ \mathcal{O}((r - a)^3) \tag{3.45}$$

and the solution of interest logarithmically diverges at $r = a$. A typical numerical solution of (3.43) versus $\frac{a}{r}$ is given in figure 3.2.

For the most general solution, we obtain

$$H_{EH}(y, r) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int_0^\infty dc \{g_1(c) \cos(cy) + g_2(c) \sin(cy)\} R_c(r). \tag{3.46}$$
To fix the measure functions \( g_1(c) \) and \( g_2(c) \), we compare the above relation to that of a metric function of a brane in a five-dimensional flat metric \( \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes S^2 \), obtained by looking at the near horizon limit. We note that for \( r = a(1 + \epsilon^2) \), where \( \epsilon \ll 1 \), the metric (3.39) reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
ds_{r=a(1+\epsilon^2)}^2 &= a^2 \left\{ \epsilon^2 [d\psi + \cos(\theta)d\phi]^2 + d\epsilon^2 \right\} + \frac{a^2}{4} \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2 \right) \\
&\approx z^2 d\psi^2 + 2d\epsilon^2 + \frac{a^2}{4} \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2 \right)
\end{align*}
\]

which is \( \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes S^2 \) with the radial length equal to \( \sqrt{z^2 + \frac{a^2}{4}} \). If we assume that the parameter \( a \) is small, the differential equation (3.43) reduces to

\[
\ddot{\tilde{R}} + \frac{3}{\tilde{r}} \tilde{R} - c^2 \tilde{R} = 0 \quad (3.49)
\]

where \( \tilde{r} = r - a = ae^2 \approx 0 \) and the overdot denotes \( \frac{d}{dr} \). This equation has the solution

\[
\tilde{R}_c(\tilde{r}) = \frac{A_c}{\tilde{r}} K_1(\tilde{r}) + \frac{B_c}{\tilde{r}} I_1(\tilde{r})
\]

which will vanish at infinity provided \( B_c = 0 \), though it will diverge at \( \tilde{r} = 0 \).

Taking these limits into account in equation (3.46), we find that \( g_2(c) = 0 \) and

\[
\int_0^\infty dc g_1(c) \frac{K_1(\tilde{r})}{\tilde{r}} \cos(\tilde{c}y) = \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1}{(z^2 + \frac{a^2}{4} + y^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{y^3}
\]

where we can absorb the constant \( A_c \) into the definition of \( g_1(c) \). By comparing the above relation with the known integral

\[
\int_0^\infty dc \frac{K_1(\tilde{r})}{\tilde{r}} \cos(\tilde{c}y) = \frac{\pi}{2(\tilde{r}^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2y^3}
\]

we find that \( g_1(c) = \frac{2\tilde{c}^2}{\pi} \), and so we find

\[
H_{EH}(y, r) = 1 + \frac{Q_{M5}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dc \left( 2\tilde{c}^2 \cos(\tilde{c}y) \tilde{R}_c(\tilde{r}) \right)
\]

By reversing the sign of the separation constant \( c^2 \) we obtain the other alternative solution

\[
\tilde{H}_{EH}(y, r) = 1 + \tilde{Q}_{M5} \int_0^\infty \tilde{f}(\tilde{c}) d\tilde{c} e^{-\tilde{c}y} \tilde{R}_c(r)
\]

where \( \tilde{R}_c(r) \) is the solution of the equation (3.43) with \( c \rightarrow i\tilde{c} \) and a typical numerical solution for \( \tilde{R}_c(r) \) versus \( \frac{a}{r} \) is given in figure 3.3. This solution diverges at \( r = a \) and has damped oscillatory behaviour at large \( r \). By dimensional analysis, we have \( \tilde{f}(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{f}_0\tilde{c}^2 \).
The metric (3.37) can also be reduced down to ten dimensions. Doing so gives the following NSNS dilaton field

$$\Phi = \frac{3}{4} \ln \left[ \frac{\omega^2 H^{2/3}}{4\nu^2 g^4} \right]$$

(3.55)

where we define the dimensionless coordinate $\omega = r/a$ (so that $g = g(\omega)$), and field strength (again associated with the 2-form field $B_{\alpha\beta}$ of the NS-brane),

$$\mathcal{H}_{(3)} = \frac{F_{\theta\phi y}}{a} d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge dy + \frac{F_{\theta\phi r}}{a} d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge dr$$

(3.56)

with the coefficients given by (3.38). The RR fields are

$$C_{(1)} = a \cos(\theta) d\phi$$

(3.57)

$$A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$$

(3.58)

The metric in ten dimensions is given by

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{\omega}{2\nu} \left\{ g^{-1/2} (-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2) + H g^{-1/2} dy^2 + H g^{1/2} a^2 \left( d\omega^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{4g} d\Omega_2^2 \right) \right\}$$

(3.59)

which describes an NS5 $\perp$ D6(5) brane system (D6 because we have a one-form (3.57), which is the field for a D6-brane - see [7]) that is distinct from the previous case (3.29). We have explicitly checked that the above 10-dimensional metric (3.59), with the other given fields: dilaton (3.55), one form field (3.57), and NSNS field strength (3.56), is a solution to the 10-dimensional supergravity equations of motion.

The metric (3.59) is locally asymptotically flat (though the dilaton field (3.55) diverges). For large $\omega$ it reduces to

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{\omega}{2\nu} \left\{ -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 + dy^2 + a^2 (d\omega^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{4g} d\Omega_2^2) \right\}$$

(3.60)
which is a 10D locally flat metric with solid deficit angles. The Kretchmann invariant of this spacetime vanishes at infinity and is given by

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{224 \nu^2}{\omega^6 a^4}$$

(3.61)

and all the components of the Riemann tensor in the orthonormal basis have similar behaviour, vanishing at infinity.

In checking the number of supersymmetries in this solution, we again find the presence of the brane induces the projection (3.30). Insertion of this relation into (2.7) yields the remaining equations

$$\partial_\psi \epsilon + \frac{1}{4f} \Gamma_{\psi r} \epsilon - \frac{r}{8f^2} \frac{df}{dr} \Gamma_{\psi r} \epsilon + \frac{1}{4f} \Gamma_{\psi \phi} \epsilon = 0$$

(3.62)

$$\partial_\phi \epsilon + \frac{1}{4f^{1/2}} \Gamma_{\phi \psi} \epsilon - \frac{1}{4f^{1/2}} \Gamma_{\phi \phi} \epsilon = 0$$

(3.63)

$$\partial_\psi \epsilon + \frac{\cos(\theta)}{4f} \Gamma_{\psi \phi} \epsilon + \frac{\cos(\theta)}{4f} \Gamma_{\phi \psi} \epsilon - \frac{r \cos(\theta)}{8f^2} \frac{df}{dr} \Gamma_{\psi \phi} \epsilon - \frac{\sin(\theta)}{4f^{1/2}} \Gamma_{\phi \psi} \epsilon - \frac{\sin(\theta)}{4f^{1/2}} \Gamma_{\phi \phi} \epsilon = 0$$

(3.64)

which can easily be solved via

$$\Gamma_{\psi \phi} \epsilon = -\epsilon$$

(3.65)

where

$$\epsilon = \exp \left\{ \frac{\psi}{2} \Gamma_{\psi} \right\} \tilde{\epsilon}.$$  

(3.66)

Thus, embedding an Eguchi-Hanson metric into the eleven dimensional equations also gives a 1/4 supersymmetry preserving solution.

### 3.3 T-dual IIB configurations

In this section, we apply T-duality transformations to the IIA NS5⊥D6(5) configurations. We begin with the case derived from the Taub-NUT solution, given by fields (3.24), (3.26), (3.27), (3.28) and (3.29).

Applying T-duality [8] in the $x_1$ direction of the metric (3.29), gives the IIB dilaton field

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{H}{f}$$

(3.67)

with the following 10D metric,

$$\tilde{ds}_{10}^2 = \tilde{f}^{-1/2} \left( -dt^2 + \tilde{f} dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \right) + H \tilde{f}^{-1/2} dy^2 + H \tilde{f}^{1/2} (d\tau^2 + \tau^2 d\Omega_2^2)$$

(3.68)
where we set \( \nu = 1 \). The only non-zero components of the dual NSNS two form are given by

\[
\tilde{B}_{r\phi} = B_{r\phi} \\
\tilde{B}_{y\phi} = B_{y\phi}
\]

(3.69)

and so the metric (3.68) describes a IIB NS5 \( \perp \) D5(4) brane configuration. We note that the IIB RR axion and four-form fields are zero. The only non-zero component of the RR two form field turns out to be

\[
\tilde{B}_{\phi x_1} = C_\phi
\]

(3.70)

The field strength of this two form can be integrated over an 3-sphere. The result is the charge carried by the D5-brane in a IIB NS5 \( \perp \) D5(4) system. We have explicitly checked that the IIB metric (3.68), with the NSNS fields (3.67), (3.69), and the RR two form field (3.70) forms a solution to the 10-dimensional IIB supergravity equations of motion.

A similar situation holds for the IIA NS5 \( \perp \) D6(5) configuration, given by the metric (3.59) and other fields (3.55), (3.56), (3.57) and (3.58). In this case, T-duality along the \( x_1 \)-direction of the metric (3.59) with \( \nu = 1 \), gives the IIB dilaton field

\[
\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\omega^2 H}{4g} \right)
\]

(3.71)

with the following 10D metric,

\[
\tilde{ds}_{10}^2 = \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ g^{-1/2} \left( -dt^2 + \frac{4g}{\omega^2} dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \right) + \\
+ H g^{-1/2} dy^2 + H g^{1/2} a^2 \left( d\omega^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{4g} d\Omega_2^2 \right) \right\}
\]

(3.72)

The only non-zero components of the dual NSNS two form are given by

\[
\tilde{B}_{r\phi} = -\frac{\sqrt{a} \cos \theta}{8a} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \\
\tilde{B}_{y\phi} = \frac{(r^4 - a^4) \cos \theta}{8r a} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}
\]

(3.73)

and so the metric (3.72) describes a IIB NS5 \( \perp \) D5(4) brane configuration distinct from the previous case. Similar to the preceding case, the IIB RR axion and four-form fields are zero. The only non-zero component of the RR two form field turns out to be

\[
\tilde{B}_{\phi x_1} = a \cos \theta
\]

(3.74)

We have also explicitly checked that the IIB metric (3.72), with the NSNS fields (3.71) and (3.73), and the RR two form field (3.74) provide a solution to the 10-dimensional IIB supergravity equations of motion.
4 Non-Supersymmetric Solutions

We consider here embedding the Taub-Bolt version of the metric (3.1). The resultant solutions do not preserve any supersymmetry. However it has interesting properties that are qualitatively similar to the previous cases. The metric function $H$ behaves the same way near the brane core and at infinity, and is an integrated product of a decaying function and a damped oscillating function far from the brane. Near the brane core, the convolution of the two functions diverges, as for the supersymmetric cases.

4.1 Embedding Taub-Bolt

The Taub-Bolt metric can be written as

$$ds_{T^4}^2 = \left(\frac{4n}{f}\right)^2 \left(d\psi + \frac{\cos(\theta)}{2}d\phi\right)^2 + \tilde{f}dr^2 + r(r + 2n)\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2\right)$$

$$\tilde{f}(r) = \frac{2r(r + 2n)}{(r - n)(2r + n)}$$

and can be embedded into the 11D metric

$$ds_{11}^2 = H(y, r)^{-1/3}\left(-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2\right) + H(y, r)^{2/3}\left(dy^2 + ds_{T^4}^2\right)$$

where the four-form field strength is given by

$$F_{\psi\theta\phi y} = n\left(2r^2 - rn - n^2\right)\sin(\theta)\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}, \quad F_{\psi\theta\phi r} = -2(r + 2n)rn\sin(\theta)\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}. \quad (4.4)$$

An inspection of the metric (4.1) indicates that $\psi, \phi$ each have period $2\pi$, and that the radius of the circle on which we are reducing is again $4n$. However, because of the new form (4.1), (12), this is a solution of the eleven dimensional equations of motion provided that $H(y, r)$ now obeys

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial y^2} + \frac{(4r - n)}{4r(r + 2n)} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} + \frac{(r - n)(2r + n)}{4r(r + 2n)} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial r^2} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

This is separable, and using (3.8) gives two differential equations to be solved:

$$\frac{d^2 Y(y)}{dy^2} + c^2 Y(y) = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

$$(r - n)(2r + n)\frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + (4r - n)\frac{dR(r)}{dr} - 2c^2 r(r + 2n)R(r) = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Eq. (4.6) has the solution

$$Y(y) = C_1 \sin(cy) + C_2 \cos(cy) \quad (4.8)$$
whereas eq. (4.7) does not have a solution in terms of known analytic functions. A power-series solution near the origin yields two solutions, one of which has a logarithmic divergence at \( r = n \). A typical numerical solution of (4.7) versus \( n \) is given in figure 4.1.

We note that the most general solution for the metric function is a superposition of the different solutions of the equations (4.6) and (4.7), corresponding to different values of the separation constant \( c \). We have

\[
H_{TB_4}(y, r) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int_0^\infty dcp(c) \cos(cy) R_c(r) \tag{4.9}
\]

where \( p(c) = p_0 c^2 \) by dimensional analysis, with \( p_0 \) is a constant that can be absorbed into the definition of \( Q_{M5} \). Since the graph of \( R_c(r) \) given in figure 4.1 is qualitatively the same as the corresponding graph for \( TN_4 \), the behaviour of the metric function (4.9), is similar to the NUT case (3.17). The other solution is

\[
\tilde{H}_{TB_4}(y, r) = 1 + Q_{M5} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{c} \tilde{p}(\tilde{c}) e^{-\tilde{c}y} R_{\tilde{c}}(r) \tag{4.10}
\]

where again \( \tilde{p}(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{p}_0 \tilde{c}^2 \).

Reducing this solution down to ten dimensions gives NSNS and RR fields of the same form as (3.24)-(3.28) (with the \( H \) and \( \tilde{f} \) now being these new solutions), but the metric in ten dimensions is given by

\[
ds_{10}^2 = \frac{1}{\nu} \left\{ \tilde{f}^{-1/2} \left( -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \right) + \\
+ H \tilde{f}^{-1/2} dy^2 + H \tilde{f}^{1/2} dr^2 + H \tilde{f}^{-1/2} (r + 2n) d\Omega_2^2 \right\} \\
= \frac{1}{\nu} \left\{ \tilde{f}^{-1/2} \left( -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \right) + \\
+ H \tilde{f}^{-1/2} dy^2 + H \tilde{f}^{1/2} \left( dr^2 + r' \left( r' + \frac{3n}{2} \right) d\Omega_2^2 \right) \right\} \tag{4.11}
\]
where we have set \( r = r' + n \). This describes an NS5⊥D6(5) brane configuration, but again it must be noted that this solution is not supersymmetric. We have explicitly checked that the above 10-dimensional metric (4.11), with equations (3.24)-(3.28), where \( \tilde{f} \) is given by (4.2), is a solution to the 10-dimensional supergravity equations of motion. The metric (4.11) is locally asymptotically flat; for large \( r \) it reduces to

\[
\left. ds^2_{10} = \frac{1}{\nu} \left\{ -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 + dy^2 + dr^2 + r(r + 2n)d\Omega^2_5 \right\} \right. 
\]

which is a 10D locally flat metric. The Kretchmann invariant of this spacetime vanishes at infinity and is given by

\[
R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{12\nu^2n^4}{r^4(r + 2n)^4} \sim \frac{1}{r^8}
\]

and all the components of the Riemann tensor in an orthonormal basis have similar \( \frac{\nu n^2}{r^2(r+2n)^2} \sim \frac{1}{r^4} \) behaviour, vanishing at infinity.

5 Decoupling Limits

We now wish to perform an analysis of the decoupling limits of the solutions presented above. As the steps are the same for each case, we will only present one case (Taub-NUT) in detail, and mention the differences involved for the other two. Details of the decoupling limit can be found in [9]; we also provided a brief review in our previous M2 work [3].

At low energies, the dynamics of our IIA NS5-branes will decouple from the bulk. Near the NS5-brane horizon \( (H >> 1) \), we are interested in the behaviour of the our NS5-branes in the limit where string coupling vanishes

\[
g_s \to 0 \quad (5.1)
\]

and

\[
\ell_s = \text{ fixed.} \quad (5.2)
\]

In these limits, we rescale the radial coordinates such that they can be kept fixed

\[
Y = \frac{y}{g_s\ell_s^2}, \quad U = \frac{r}{g_s\ell_s^2}. \quad (5.3)
\]

This will cause the harmonic function of the D6-brane for the Taub-NUT solutions to change to

\[
\tilde{f}(r) = 1 + \frac{2n}{r} = 1 + \frac{N_6}{2U\ell_s} \equiv f(U) \quad (5.4)
\]

where we now generalize to \( N_6 \) D6-branes and we have used (5.2), (5.3) and (3.23). A similar transformation happens in the EH and TB cases, where in the EH case the rescaling \( a = A\ell_s^2g_s \) is used.
All six of the harmonic functions for the NS5-branes above can be shown to rescale according to $H(Y,U) = g_s^{-2} h(Y,U)$, through the use of (5.2), (5.3), (3.23), etc., where appropriate. For example, the first of our harmonic functions for the Taub-NUT solutions (3.17) becomes

$$H_{TN_4}(Y,U) = \frac{\pi N_5}{g_s^2 \ell_s^3} \int dP P^2 \Gamma \left( \frac{P}{4\ell_s} \right) \cos (PY) e^{-PU} \left( 1 + \frac{P}{4\ell_s}, 2, 2PU \right)$$

where we have used $\ell_p = g_s^{1/3} \ell_s$ to rewrite

$$Q_{M5} = \pi N_5 \ell_p^3 = \pi N_5 g_s \ell_s^3$$

and we have rescaled $c = P g_s^{-1} \ell_s^{-2}$ so that $h(Y,U)$ has no $g_s$ dependence.

The ten-dimensional decoupled metrics are then given by inserting the appropriate harmonic functions, along with the above limits, into the metrics. For example, the metric (3.29) becomes, in the decoupling limit

$$ds_{10}^2 = f^{-1/2}(U) (-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2) +$$

$$+\ell_s^4 \left\{ h(Y,U) f^{-1/2}(U) dY^2 + h(Y,U) f^{1/2}(U) (dU^2 + U^2 d\Omega_2^2) \right\}.$$  

In the limit of vanishing $g_s$ with fixed $\ell_s$ (as we did in (5.1) and (5.2)), the decoupled free theory on NS5-branes should be a little string theory (i.e. a 6-dimensional non-gravitational theory in which modes on the 5-brane interact amongst themselves, decoupled from the bulk). We note that our NS5/D6 system is obtained from M5-branes by compactification on a circle of self-dual transverse geometry. Hence the IIA solution has T-duality with respect to this circle. The little string theory inherits the same T-duality from IIA string theory, since taking the limit of vanishing string coupling commutes with T-duality. Moreover T-duality exists even for toroidally compactified little string theory. In this case, the duality is given by an $O(d,d,\mathbb{Z})$ symmetry where $d$ is the dimension of the compactified toroid. These are indications that the little string theory is non-local at the energy scale $\ell_s^{-1}$ and in particular in the compactified theory, the energy-momentum tensor can not be defined uniquely.

We now consider the analysis of the decoupling limits of the IIB solutions presented in section 3.3. At low energies, the dynamics of IIB NS5-branes will decouple from the bulk. Near the NS5-brane horizon ($H >> 1$), the field theory limit is given by

$$g_{YM5} = \ell_s = \text{fixed}$$

We rescale the radial coordinates $y$ and $r$ as in (5.3), such that their corresponding rescaled
coordinates $Y$ and $U$ are kept fixed. The harmonic function of the D5-brane for the Taub-NUT solutions becomes

$$\tilde{f}(r) = 1 + \frac{2n}{r} = 1 + \frac{\pi \tilde{N}_5}{2U g_{YM5}} \equiv \tilde{f}(U) \quad (5.9)$$

where $\tilde{N}_5$ is the number of D5-branes.

The harmonic function of the NS5⊥D5 system (3.68), given in eq. (3.17) can be shown to rescale according to $H(Y,U) = g_s^{-2} \tilde{h}(Y,U)$, where

$$\tilde{h}(Y,U) = \frac{N_5}{2g_{YM5}^4} \int dP P^2 \Gamma \left( \frac{P}{4g_{YM5}} \right) \cos(PY) e^{-PU} U \left( 1 + \frac{P}{g_{YM5}^4}, 2, 2PU \right) \quad (5.10)$$

In this case, the ten-dimensional metric (3.68), in the decoupling limit becomes

$$\tilde{ds}_{10}^2 = \tilde{f}^{-1/2}(U) \left( -dt^2 + \tilde{f}(U) dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \right)
+ g_{YM5}^4 \tilde{h}(Y,U) \{ \tilde{f}^{-1/2}(U) dY^2 + \tilde{f}^{1/2}(U) (dU^2 + U^2 d\Omega_2^2) \} \quad (5.11)$$

The decoupling limit illustrates that the decoupled theory in the low energy limit is super Yang-Mills theory with $g_{YM} = \ell_s$. In the limit of vanishing $g_s$ with fixed $\ell_s$, the decoupled free theory on IIB NS5-branes (which is equivalent to the limit $g_s \to \infty$ of decoupled S-dual of the IIB D5-branes) reduces to a IIB (1,1) little string theory with eight supersymmetries.

6 Conclusions

The central thrust of this paper is the construction of supergravity solutions for fully localized NS5/D6 brane intersections without restricting to the near core region of the D6 branes. We have constructed these solutions by lifting Taub-NUT, Eguchi-Hanson and Taub-Bolt spaces into M-theory. These exact solutions are new M5 metrics, and are presented in equations (3.17), (3.22), (3.53), (3.54), (4.9), and (4.10) which are the main results of this paper.

The common feature of all of these solutions is that the brane function is a convolution of an exponentially decaying ‘radial’ function with a damped oscillating one. The ‘radial’ functions vanish far from the M5-brane and diverge near the brane core. Dimensional reduction to 10 dimensions gives us different NS5/D6 brane intersections, which in two of our cases - the Taub-NUT and Eguchi-Hanson spaces (which have self-dual Riemann curvature) - preserve 1/4 of the supersymmetry and yield metrics with acceptable asymptotic behaviour. In the last case, involving the 4-dimensional Taub-Bolt metric, the system is not supersymmetric. However the general functional structure of the brane is qualitatively the same as for the supersymmetric cases: the $r$-dependent part of the metric function diverges for small $r$ and falls off rapidly for large $r$, whereas the $y$-dependent part of the metric functions is given by an oscillating sine function (or decaying exponential function).

We note that all the solutions where the integrands contain $e^{-\tilde{c}y}$, are not convergent for all values of $y$. To make the integral convergent for $y < 0$, one can replace $e^{-\tilde{c}y}$ by $e^{-\tilde{c}|y|}$,
but only at the price of introducing a source term at \( y = 0 \) in the corresponding Laplace equation for \( \tilde{H}(y, r) \).

We considered the decoupling limit of our solutions and found that NS5-brane can decouple from the bulk. The resulting theory on the NS5-brane in the limit of vanishing string coupling with fixed string length is a little string theory.

In the standard case, the system of \( N_5 \) NS5-branes located at \( N_6 \) D6-branes can be obtained by dimensional reduction of \( N_5N_6 \) coinciding images of M5-branes in the flat transverse geometry. In this case, the worldvolume theory (the little string theory) of the IIA NS5-branes, in the absence of D6-branes, is a non-local non-gravitational six dimensional theory \[12\]. This theory has \((2,0)\) supersymmetry (four supercharges in the \(4\) representation of Lorentz symmetry \( Spin(5,1)\)) and an R-symmetry \( Spin(4)\) remnant of the original ten dimensional Lorentz symmetry. The presence of the D6-branes breaks the supersymmetry down to \((1,0)\), with eight supersymmetries. Since we found that some of our solutions preserve \(1/4\) of supersymmetry, we expect that the theory on NS5-branes is a new little string theory.

By T-dualization of the 10D IIA theory along a direction parallel to the worldvolume of the IIA NS5, we find a IIB NS5\(\perp D5(4)\) system, overlapping in four directions. The worldvolume theory of the IIB NS5-branes, in the absence of the D5-branes, is a little string theory with \((1,1)\) supersymmetry. The presence of the D5-brane, which has one transverse direction relative to NS5 worldvolume, breaks the supersymmetry down to eight supersymmetries. This is in good agreement with the number of supersymmetries in 10D IIB theory: T-duality preserves the number of original IIA supersymmetries, which is eight. Moreover we conclude that the new IIA and IIB little string theories are T-dual: the actual six dimensional T-duality is the remnant of the original 10D T-duality after toroidal compactification.

A useful application of the exact M-brane solutions in our paper is to employ them as supergravity duals of the NS5 worldvolume theories with matter coming from the extra branes. More specifically, these solutions can be used to compute some correlation functions and spectrum of fields of our new little string theories.

In the standard case of \( A_{k-1} \) \((2,0)\) little string theory, there is an eleven dimensional holographic dual space obtained by taking appropriate small \( g_s \) limit of an M-theory background corresponding to M5-branes with a transverse circle and \( k \) units of 4-form flux on \( S^3 \otimes S^1 \). In this case, the supergravity approximation is valid for the \((2,0)\) little string theories at large \( k \) and at energies well below the string scale. The two point function of the energy-momentum tensor of the little string theory can be computed from classical action of the supergravity evaluated on the classical field solutions [10].

Near the boundary of the above mentioned M-theory background, the string coupling goes to zero and the curvatures are small. Hence it is possible to compute the spectrum of fields exactly. In reference [11], the full spectrum of chiral fields in the little string theories was computed and the results are exactly the same as the spectrum of the chiral fields in the low energy limit of the little string theories. Moreover, the holographic dual theories can be used for computation of some of the states in our little string theories.

We conclude with a few comments about possible directions for future work. Investiga-
tion of the different regions of the metric (3.1) or alternatively the 10D string frame metric (5.7) with a dilaton (also for other considered EH and TB cases) for small and large Higgs expectation value $U$ would be interesting, as it could provide a means for finding a holographical dual relation to the new little string theory we obtained. Moreover, the Penrose limit of the near-horizon geometry may be useful for extracting information about the high energy spectrum of the dual little string theory [13]. The other open issue is the possibility of the construction of a pp-wave spacetime which interpolates between the different regions of the our new IIA NS5-branes.
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