Theoretical modeling and experimental research on the depth of radial material removal for flexible grinding
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Abstract

Abrasive belt flap wheel has large elastic deformation, which can better fit the surface of aero-engine blades. Reasonable control of the depth of radial material removal can effectively improve the grinding efficiency and profile accuracy of the blade surface. The depth of radial material removal for flexible grinding was studied through the process parameters in this article. First, the material removal rate model was established based on Hertz elastic contact theory and Preston equation. Then, according to the principle of equivalent material removal volume, a novel approach to determine the depth of radial material removal was proposed. Finally, the experiments for both plane and surface were implemented on a vertical machining center. The results indicate that the proposed method can improve the accuracy and consistency for flexible grinding.

Keywords: Titanium alloy; Flexible grinding; Depth of radial material removal; Prediction model; Experiment analysis

1 Introduction

It is well known that blades are important part of aero-engine. The surface quality and profile accuracy of blades will directly determine the performance of aero-engine. The poor surface quality of blades are prone to fatigue failure, deformation or fracture in the environment of high temperature [1], high pressure and high speed, resulting in short service life, and reducing engine performance, or even damage. Now, the multi-axis CNC milling method is widely applied to blades of aero-engine in domestic and overseas [2], but the surface of blades will have obvious milling residual knife marks. Thus, it is necessary to precisely grind blades after milling process [3]. Therefore, it is necessary to use the grinding technology to remove residual margin in order to obtain the better surface quality. [4] At present, the grinding technology of blades is still mainly manual grinding. However, manual grinding has disadvantages such as low efficiency, poor consistency of machining accuracy, and high labor intensity. So, it is very important to develop a new grinding technology in the field of aero-engine blades machining.

Zhang et al. [5] proposed a collision-free planning algorithm for the robot's grinding path, which reduced the number of collision detections and improved efficiency of grinding. Ding et al. [6] based on the automatic polishing system of industrial robots, a polishing method with force-displacement coupling control is proposed, which can effectively achieve concave surface polishing. Tian et al. [7] proposed the algorithm for generating the position and posture of the robot tool and the tool gravity compensation algorithm to achieve constant polishing pressure control and improve the quality of the processed surface. ZhhsAO et al. [8] proposed a dual-mode switching composite adaptive strategy based on fuzzy neural network to achieve high-precision control of polishing force, improved blade processing accuracy and surface consistency. Xiao and Huang [9] established a life-cycle material removal (LCMR) model based on abrasive belt grinding, which can effectively predict the material removal rate during machining. Zou et al. [10] used minimum quantity lubrication technology under appropriate lubrication and grinding parameters to effectively improve the surface quality of the abrasive belt after grinding. The above methods are all based on robots or abrasive belt CNC machine tools, but the robot stiffness is poor and the grinding track error is large, which is not suit-
able for machining high-precision parts. And, the abrasive belt CNC machine tool is not suitable for machining the blisk due to the large size of the grinding head, which is easy to interfere with the blade.

Hung et al. [11] conducted grinding experiments on Ti-6Al-4V with CBN wheel under different grinding parameters. It was found that feed rate, radial grinding depth and cooling conditions had significant effects on the roughness, and wet grinding produced less defects. Shi et al. [12] studied a new high thermal conductivity vitrified bond CBN grinding wheel to improve grinding temperature, grinding force and processing surface quality. Dai et al. [13] studied the effect of rotation speed on grinding performance, and performed high-speed grinding experiments with ceramic CBN wheel. Under given experimental conditions, the optimal rotation speed was determined and the surface roughness was reduced to less than 0.4 µm. Ding et al. [14] compared the high-speed grinding performance of Inconel 718 with polycrystalline CBN and monocrystalline CBN. These studies are based on the rigid grinding wheel. The rigid grinding wheel can’t fit the blade surface well, which easily causes oversold and undersold, resulting in poor surface accuracy and contour consistency.

As a new type of grinding method, the abrasive belt flap wheel grinding has the advantages of simple structure and small volume, which can be used for machining narrow inlet and outlet channels of blisk on five-axis CNC machine tools [15]. In addition, because the abrasive belt flap wheel has good ductility when rotating, it can be closely attached to the surface of blade, thereby ensuring the consistency of blade profile. Huai et al. [16,17] optimized the abrasive belt flap wheel grinding process parameters and improved the polishing quality and efficiency through the roughness prediction model and blade adaptive flexible polishing path planning method. Zhang et al. [18] used the response surface method to establish the roughness prediction model and optimized the optimal grinding process parameters. Zhao et al. [19] obtained the best process parameters through single factor experiments and quadratic regression methods, which significantly improved the processing quality. The above researches must actually measure the grinding force, the grinding force value during grinding is small, and there will be greater noise when the CNC machine tool is running, which will affect the accuracy of the grinding force signal collection. Therefore, in order to accurately control the surface profile accuracy, the actual grinding depth in the radial direction is studied. First, the material removal rate model was established based on Hertz elastic contact theory [20-22] and Preston equation [23]. Then, according to the principle of equivalent material removal volume, a novel approach to determine the depth of radial material removal was proposed. Finally, the experiments for both plane and surface were implemented on a vertical machining center. The results indicate that the proposed method can improve the accuracy and consistency for flexible grinding.

2 Depth of radial material removal model

2.1 Grinding mechanism of the abrasive belt flap wheel

The abrasive belt flap wheel is a flexible abrasive tool that bonds the abrasive belt flap to the substrate, which has the characteristics of abrasive belt grinding and flexible grinding, as shown in Fig. 1. In the grinding process, under the action of centripetal force, the radius of the abrasive belt flap wheel will increase with the speed. Then, the abrasive belt flap wheel contacts the surface of workpiece to generate the grinding force, and the size of grinding force directly affects the depth of radial material removal. After the specification of abrasive belt flap wheel is determined, the grinding force can be controlled by the deformation of abrasive belt flap wheel, and because the amount of material removed during the grinding of abrasive belt flap wheel is little, the radial theoretical grinding depth \( a \) can be approximated to the maximum elastic deformation \( \delta_{max} \) of abrasive belt flap wheel, that \( \delta_{max} \approx a_c \).

The surface of aero-engine blade is a complex curved surface with great curvature variation, but the abrasive belt flap wheel with significant elasticity, which can fit the blade surface well. Therefore, the contact zone can still be considered as the elastic contact between elastic cylinder and rigid cylinder. Based on the Hertz elastic contact theory, the relationship between normal grinding force and contact width is shown in Eq. (1).
2.2 Average grinding pressure

The material removal model with the abrasive belt flap wheel is established based on Preston equation, as shown in Eq. (2). Equation (2) reveals that when other grinding parameters are determined, the material removal rate is mainly affected by the pressure, which is the grinding force $F_n$. The grinding force $F_n$ is mainly affected by the radial theoretical grinding depth $a_e$. Therefore, in the abrasive belt flap wheel grinding, maintaining a constant radial theoretical grinding depth is important for the stability of the material removal rate.

\[
\frac{dh}{dt} = KPV \quad (2)
\]

\[
P = \frac{F_n}{A} \quad (3)
\]

where, $h$ is the thickness of material removal, $K$ is the Preston coefficient, determined by the material properties of abrasive belt flap wheel and the material properties of workpiece, $V$ is the relative motion speed of abrasive belt flap wheel and workpiece, $A$ is the contact zone.

During the machining process, the material removal rate distribution of the single contact zone of abrasive belt flap wheel and workpiece is a curved surface. For the convenience of research, the average material removal rate of single contact zone is taken as the material removal rate of abrasive belt flap wheel, as shown in Eq. (4).
\[ H_m = KP_m V_m \]  

where, \( P_m \) is the average grinding pressure in contact zone, \( V_m \) is the average relative motion speed.

Figure 2a shows the contact between abrasive belt flap wheel and convex surface of workpiece. Set the point \( M_1 \) coordinates as \((x_{m1}, y_{m1})\), it can be seen from Fig.2a that the point \( O_1 \) coordinate is \((0, R - \delta_{\text{max}})\), the point \( O_2 \) coordinate is \((0, -R_2)\). From the point \( M_1 \) on both the surface of abrasive belt flap wheel and the surface of workpiece, as shown in Eq. (5).

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{m1}^2 + (y_{m1} - R_1 + \delta_{\text{max}})^2 &= R_1^2 \\
    x_{m1}^2 + (y_{m1} + R_2)^2 &= R_2^2
\end{align*}
\]  

(5)

where, \( \delta_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum deformation of the abrasive belt flap wheel.

Hence, from Eq. (3) and Eq. (5), and Figure 2a shows that the point \( M_1 \) is on the left side of coordinate system, so the value of \( x_{m1} \) is shown in Eq. (6).

\[
x_{m1} = -\left[ \left( \frac{2R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - \delta_{\text{max}}^2}{2\delta_{\text{max}} - 2R_1 - 2R_2} \right)^2 - \frac{2R_1R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - R_2\delta_{\text{max}}^2}{\delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 - R_2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

(6)

Contact half width of the convex surface is:

\[
a = |x_{m1}| = -\left[ \left( \frac{2R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - \delta_{\text{max}}^2}{2\delta_{\text{max}} - 2R_1 - 2R_2} \right)^2 - \frac{2R_1R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - R_2\delta_{\text{max}}^2}{\delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 - R_2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

(7)

According to the Equations (1), (3) and (7), \( P_{m1} \) can be written as:

\[
P_{m1} = \frac{\pi E_{wb}}{8R_e} \left[ -\left( \frac{2R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - \delta_{\text{max}}^2}{2\delta_{\text{max}} - 2R_1 - 2R_2} \right)^2 + \frac{2R_1R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - R_2\delta_{\text{max}}^2}{\delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 - R_2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

(8)

Figure 2b shows the contact between abrasive belt flap wheel and concave surface of workpiece. Similarly, the average pressure of the contact between the abrasive belt flap wheel and concave surface is shown in Eq. (9).

\[
P_{m2} = \frac{\pi E_{wb}}{8R_e} \left[ -\left( \frac{2R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - \delta_{\text{max}}^2}{2\delta_{\text{max}} - 2R_1 + 2R_2} \right)^2 + \frac{2R_1R_2\delta_{\text{max}} - R_2\delta_{\text{max}}^2}{\delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 + R_2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

(9)
Figure 2 shows the variation law of pressure in contact zone with deformation of the abrasive belt flap wheel. It is consistent with the actual situation. The reason is that within the deformation range of the abrasive belt flap wheel, the contact force increases with the increase of the deformation, and the contact area is also increasing.

Figure 3 shows the relationship between contact pressure and deformation.

2.3 Relative grinding speed

In the grinding process, the abrasive belt flap wheel will produce certain elastic deformation due to the grinding force. Therefore, the linear speed at each point of the contact zone is determined by the speed and deformation of the abrasive belt flap wheel, as shown in Eq. (10).

\[ V_c = 2\pi n(R_1 - \delta) \]  

(10)

where, \( V_c \) is the linear speed of contact point of the abrasive belt flap wheel, \( n \) is the speed of the abrasive belt flap wheel, \( \delta \) is the deformation of abrasive belt flap wheel, respectively, \( 0 \leq \delta \leq a_c \). Since the grinding feed rate \( V_c \) is much less than the linear speed of abrasive belt flap wheel, the linear speed of contact point of the abrasive belt flap wheel can be approximated as the relative motion speed.

Figure 4a shows that when the abrasive belt flap wheel is in contact with the surface of the workpiece, the projection of its
contact zone on the XOZ plane is a rectangle.

Figure 4b and Figure 4c show the analysis of grinding speed when the abrasive belt flap wheel is in contact with convex surface and concave surface respectively. Suppose point A is any point on the contact zone. According to the geometric relationship in Fig. 4b and Fig. 4c, the speed equations of point A on the convex and concave surfaces are shown in Eq. (11) and Eq. (12), respectively.

\[
V_1 = 2\pi n \left[ R_2^2 + \left( R_1 + R_2 - \delta_{\text{max}} \right)^2 \right. \\
- 2 \left( R_1 + R_2 - \delta_{\text{max}} \right) \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2} \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} 
\]

(11)

\[
V_1 = 2\pi n \left[ R_2^2 + \left( R_2 + \delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 \right)^2 \right. \\
- 2 \left( R_2 + \delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 \right) \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2} \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} 
\]

(12)

Therefore, the average relative motion speed of convex surface contact and concave surface contact are shown in Eq. (13) and Eq. (14), respectively.

\[
V_{1m} = \frac{\pi n}{a} \int_{-a}^{a} \left[ R_2^2 + \left( R_1 + R_2 - \delta_{\text{max}} \right)^2 \right. \\
- 2 \left( R_1 + R_2 - \delta_{\text{max}} \right) \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2} \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx 
\]

(13)

\[
V_{1m} = \frac{\pi n}{a} \int_{-a}^{a} \left[ R_2^2 + \left( R_2 + \delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 \right)^2 \right. \\
- 2 \left( R_2 + \delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 \right) \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2} \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx 
\]

(14)

According to the Equations (4), (8) and (13), \( H_{m1} \) can be written as:

\[
H_{m1} = \frac{K \pi^2 \alpha_{\text{sh}}}{8 R_e} \int_{-a}^{a} \left[ R_2^2 + \left( R_1 + R_2 - \delta_{\text{max}} \right)^2 \right. \\
- 2 \left( R_1 + R_2 - \delta_{\text{max}} \right) \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2} \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx 
\]

(15)

\[
H_{m2} = \frac{K \pi^2 \alpha_{\text{sh}}}{8 R_e} \int_{-a}^{a} \left[ R_2^2 + \left( R_2 + \delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 \right)^2 \right. \\
- 2 \left( R_2 + \delta_{\text{max}} - R_1 \right) \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2} \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dx 
\]

(16)
According to the principle of equal material removal volume of the abrasive belt flap wheel during processing, and it is combined with the traditional material removal volume formula, as shown in Eq. (17).

\[
\begin{align*}
H_v &= a_p b V t \\
H_v &= H_m b t d L
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

where, \( H_v \) is the material removal volume, \( a_p \) is the depth of radial material removal, \( t \) is the contact time, \( dL \) is the unit distance of the abrasive belt flap wheel moving on workpiece.

The relationship between the depth of radial material removal and the material removal rate is obtained, as shown in Eq. (18).

\[
a_p = \frac{H_m}{V} dL
\]

(18)

3 Verification experiments

3.1 Experiment platform

The reliability of the radial material removal depth model with the abrasive belt flap wheel in the actual machining process is verified through experiments. Process parameters in this experiment are shown in Table 1. The experimental platform is a three-axis vertical machining center VMP-40A, the workpiece material are block of TC4 and cylinders of TC4 with different radii, the abrasive tool is the abrasive belt flap wheel, as shown in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b. In order to reduce the error of the elastic deformation caused by the speed of the abrasive belt flap wheel in the experiment, it is necessary to measure the relationship between the radius of the abrasive belt flap wheel and the speed before the experiment, as shown in Fig. 6. The surface of block is ground according to the process parameters in Table 2. The height values of surface profile before and after grinding are obtained by WENZEL coordinate measuring machine to calculate the depth of radial material removal. The reverse solution is carried out to determine the coefficient \( K \) of Preston equation in the theoretical model. The average Preston equation coefficient is \( K = 6.633 \times 10^{-5} \).

| Table 1 | Grinding parameters |
|---------|---------------------|
| Material | TC4 piece | TC4 cylinder |
| workpiece | Length (mm) | 340 | / |
| | Width (mm) | 120 | / |
| | Radius (mm) | / | 10/15/20/25/35/40/45 |
| abrasive belt flap wheel | Abrasive | SiC | SiC |
| | Mesh (μm) | 320 | 320 |
| | Radius \( R_f \) (mm) | 10 | 10 |
| | Length (mm) | 15 | 15 |
Table 2  Preston coefficient $K$ solution experiment

| No. | $n$ (r/min) | $V_w$ (mm/min) | $a_e$ (mm) | $a_p$ (µm) | $K$       |
|-----|-------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| 1   | 6000        | 100            | 0.8        | 0.298      | $6.57\times10^{-5}$ |
| 2   | 6000        | 100            | 1.0        | 0.338      | $6.65\times10^{-5}$ |
| 3   | 8000        | 100            | 0.8        | 0.301      | $6.74\times10^{-5}$ |
| 4   | 8000        | 100            | 1.0        | 0.329      | $6.57\times10^{-5}$ |

3.2 Analysis of results

Used the WENZEL coordinate measuring machine to measure the block and cylinders with different radii, randomly select five points on the surface of workpiece for measurement, and calculated the average value, as shown in Table 3 and Table 4. Figure 7a and Figure 7b showed the comparison of measured and predicted values. The result showed that the theoretical value was close to the measured value. The average error of the TC4 block was 6.54%, and the average error of the TC4 cylinder was 7.42%, indicated that the difference between the two was not large. The depth of radial material removal model had high reliability for both plane workpieces and cylindrical workpieces were proved.
The main effect analysis was carried out on the predicted value of the plane and the predicted value of the curved surface, as shown in Figure 8a and 8b. Figure 8a and Figure 8b showed the depth of radial theoretical grinding was the biggest influencing factor, which was consistent with the actual machining. The depth of radial material removal decreased with the increase of speed, mainly because within the deformation range of abrasive belt flap wheel, its radius would increase with the increase of speed. Therefore, under other same parameters, the grinding force became smaller. The feed rate had little effect on the actual grinding depth in the radial direction, because the feed rate was small compared to the linear speed of the contact point. In the curved surface grinding, the difference curvature radius of workpiece would affect the size of contact area, and the pressure in the contact area would be changed, thereby affected the depth of radial material removal.

Table 3 TC4 piece experimental combinations and results

| No. | \( n \) (r/min) | \( V_w \) (mm/min) | \( a_e \) (mm) | Measure \( a_p \) (µm) | Predictive \( a_p \) (µm) | Error (%) |
|-----|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 1   | 6000           | 100            | 0.6          | 0.237             | 0.26              | -9.7     |
| 2   | 6000           | 150            | 0.8          | 0.281             | 0.301             | -7.12    |
| 3   | 6000           | 200            | 1.0          | 0.352             | 0.337             | 4.26     |
| 4   | 7500           | 100            | 0.8          | 0.305             | 0.298             | 2.3      |
| 5   | 7500           | 150            | 1.0          | 0.324             | 0.334             | -3.09    |
| 6   | 7500           | 200            | 0.6          | 0.221             | 0.257             | -16.29   |
| 7   | 9000           | 100            | 1.0          | 0.306             | 0.33              | -7.84    |
| 8   | 9000           | 150            | 0.6          | 0.245             | 0.254             | -3.67    |
| 9   | 9000           | 200            | 0.8          | 0.281             | 0.294             | -4.63    |

Table 4 TC4 cylinder experimental combinations and results

| No. | \( R_2 \) (mm) | \( n \) (r/min) | \( V_w \) (mm/min) | \( a_e \) (mm) | Measure \( a_p \) (µm) | Predictive \( a_p \) (µm) | Error (%) |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 1   | 10             | 6000           | 100            | 0.6          | 0.346             | 0.379             | -9.54    |
| 2   | 10             | 8000           | 200            | 0.8          | 0.468             | 0.437             | 6.62     |
| 3   | 12.5           | 6000           | 100            | 0.6          | 0.325             | 0.359             | -10.46   |
| 4   | 12.5           | 8000           | 200            | 0.8          | 0.428             | 0.413             | 3.5      |
| 5   | 15             | 6000           | 200            | 0.6          | 0.312             | 0.345             | -10.58   |
| 6   | 15             | 8000           | 100            | 0.8          | 0.408             | 0.397             | 2.7      |
| 7   | 20             | 6000           | 200            | 0.6          | 0.299             | 0.326             | -9.03    |
| 8   | 20             | 8000           | 100            | 0.8          | 0.395             | 0.375             | 5.06     |
| 9   | 25             | 8000           | 100            | 0.6          | 0.292             | 0.311             | -6.51    |
| 10  | 25             | 6000           | 200            | 0.8          | 0.381             | 0.365             | 4.2      |
| 11  | 35             | 8000           | 100            | 0.6          | 0.274             | 0.296             | -8.03    |
| 12  | 35             | 6000           | 200            | 0.8          | 0.380             | 0.348             | 8.42     |
| 13  | 40             | 8000           | 100            | 0.8          | 0.273             | 0.292             | -6.96    |
| 14  | 40             | 6000           | 100            | 0.8          | 0.376             | 0.342             | 9.04     |
| 15  | 45             | 8000           | 200            | 0.6          | 0.265             | 0.288             | -8.68    |
| 16  | 45             | 6000           | 100            | 0.8          | 0.373             | 0.338             | 9.38     |

Fig. 7 Comparison of measured-predicted values
4 Conclusions

(1) Within a certain speed range, the radius of the abrasive belt flap wheel will increase linearly with the increase of speed. The pressure in the contact zone shows a parabolic distribution with the deformation of the abrasive belt flap wheel.

(2) The radial theoretical grinding depth has a great influence on the stability of material removal rate in the grinding of the abrasive belt flap wheels.

(3) Based on the Preston equation and Hertz elastic theory, the relationship between the radial theoretical grinding depth and the material removal rate is derived. And according to the principle of conservation of material removal, the depth of radial material removal model is obtained.

(4) Through the experiment of the amount of grinding material removed by plane and curved surface, the reliability and applicability of the depth of radial material removal model are verified.

(5) Analyzed the influence of speed, feed rate, theoretical radial grinding depth and workpiece curvature radius on the depth of radial material removal, provided a reference for the technical parameters of the abrasive belt flap wheel grinding titanium alloy blades.
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