Glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty: Risk factors and its management
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Abstract
Glaucoma is the second leading cause of graft failure after penetrating keratoplasty. Prompt diagnosis and aggressive management is mandatory to salvage the graft. Indications of penetrating keratoplasty, lens status, additional procedures with penetrating keratoplasty, preexisting glaucoma and re-graft are the main risk factors for glaucoma after penetrating keratoplasty. Various newer modalities like recent tonopen, ultrasound biomicroscopy, and anterior segment optic coherence tomography are helpful tools for its diagnosis. Various newer drugs, surgical modifications such as trabeculectomy with antimetabolites like mitomycin-C and 5 fluorouracil, glaucoma drainage devices, cyclodestructive procedures have added the chances of success of its management, but still graft failure secondary to glaucoma is very common.
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Introduction
Glaucoma following penetrating keratoplasty (PK) has a relatively high frequency, it can appear both in early as well as late post-operative period of PK. It is very hard to diagnose glaucoma after PK. Even if intraocular pressure (IOP) remains high for longer duration, can lead to damage of endothelial cells. Medical or surgical treatment can also affect the fate of graft negatively.1 Graft rejection and high astigmatism are well known complications of PK. Glaucoma after PK leads to irreversible visual loss and is second leading cause for graft failure due to significant endothelial cell loss especially in patients who have already low endothelial reserve.2 Post-PK glaucoma (PPKG) is an elevation of IOP greater than 21 mmHg, independent of the optic nerve or visual field changes as it is difficult to perform in these cases because of high astigmatism and hazy graft. Tonometry is also difficult in these cases because of thick or astigmatic corneal graft. The definition of PPKG does not justify the glaucoma because of practical deficiencies and in cases of preexisting glaucoma it is difficult to define this.

Some studies enclose all these cases in PPKG, meanwhile others include only the cases that require escalation of antiglaucoma therapy to maintain IOP as medications, laser or surgical treatment.3

Incidence of PPKG
Different studies showed a variable incidence of PPKG, ranging from 9 to 31% early postoperatively and from 18 to 35% in the late postoperative period. Raj et al reported the overall incidence of raised IOP after PK was 32.25%.45

Various Risk Factors
Recognition of risk factors is important for the prevention, diagnosis and early treatment of PPKG.

Pre-operative and Intraoperative Risk Factors
The most significant risk factors are preexisting glaucoma, lens status (i.e. aphakia, pseudophakia) and the disease for which PK is performed. Hemanth et al. compared the incidence of glaucoma after PK in phakic, pseudophakic, and aphakic eyes. The aphakic group had the highest risk, followed by the pseudophakic and phakic group; but no statistically significant difference was found between pseudophakic and phakic patients.3 Raj et al reported that subjects with age group >40 years, corneal opacity, increased recipient size and thicker grafts were risk factors for PPKG.5 Tight and superficial suturing with long bites, larger recipient bed with same size donor button, retained viscoelastic can cause PPKG. Karadog et al reported that traumatic corneal scar, graft thinning, graft infection, deep infective keratitis, bullous keratopathy and graft rejection were all high-risk factors. Those eyes with previous anterior segment surgery or had a pre-existing inflammatory condition had a higher chance of developing glaucoma post-operatively.6 Tight suturing, larger trephine sizes, long bites (more compressed tissue), excessive manipulation of iris and angles, iridoplasty, goniosynechiolysis, increased peripheral corneal thickness can also aggravate this condition.7 (Fig. 1 a,b)

Post-operative Risk Factors
In early post-operative period various causes of raised IOP are inflammation, retained viscoelastic substances, hyphema, pupillary-block glaucoma, preexisting glaucoma in aphakic eyes and after additional procedures with PK like cataract surgery, Iris repair or pupillary reconstruction or vitrectomy. In addition to these factors long term topical steroid, graft rejection, ghost cell glaucoma, malignant glaucoma and chronic angle-closure glaucoma can be triggering factors for PPKG. In aphakic patients of PK, absence of lens makes relaxed posterior support and anterior support is lost due to incised descemet’s membrane which leads to aqueous outflow obstruction due to trabecular complex collapse which eventually leads to PPKG.8 Due to this fact in aphakic patients 1 mm oversized donor button graft reduce the incidence of PPKG.9
Diagnosis
Post-operative IOP assessment, disc and visual fields examination become difficult affairs after PK due to changes in corneal thickness and post-operative astigmatism. Gonioscopy can be done to find out the extent of the PAS. Detailed stereoscopic optic disc evaluation is required. Due to irregular corneal surface in early post-operative period, IOP can be measured independent of the corneal thickness with the Mackay-Marg electronic applanation tonometer, the pneumatic applanation tonometer, tono-pen, or recent dynamic contour tonometer (DCT). Goldmann applanation tonometer (GAT) can be used only if graft surface epithelium is intact. Prism rotation is done so that the red mark on the prism holder is set at the flatter meridian (negative axis) of the cornea to obtain accurate reading. Average of two readings taken 90 degrees apart can be taken. Corneal edema and corneal opacity reduces the accuracy of GAT. False low readings will be observed in corneal edema and false high in corneal scarring. Increase in the corneal thickness leads to overestimation of IOP. Proview phosphené tonometer is also available to measure IOP through the lid. Ultrasound biomicroscope (UBM) is useful in opaque corneas to assess the angle and anterior-segment anatomy where the details are not clearly visible. UBM helps to diagnose relative pupillary block, PAS and plateau iris configuration. It provides an accurate objective quantification of angles, anterior-chamber depth (ACD) assessment, location and extent of iridocorneal adhesions phakic/aphakic status, IOL position, and corneal graft thickness. Quadrant of placement of the tube of the glaucoma drainage device (GDD) in the anterior chamber (AC) can be planned with UBM if details are not visible. In opaque grafts PAS leads to PPKG frequently. ASOCT is non-contact, non-invasive, high-resolution, real-time modality for detailed visualization of anterior segment with opaque corneal grafts. The longer wavelength light scatters less in opaque tissues, allows deeper penetration and permits imaging through the limbus to visualise angle structures such as the scleral spur and angle recess. The commonly used quantitative parameters are as angle opening distance, angle recess, trabecular iris space area, iris thickness, AC width. Site of trabeculectomy can be decided pre-operatively with ASOCT. In post-operative follow up rapid and high-resolution images of the AC angle, PAS, posterior synechiae (with IOL) and obstruction of an AC drainage tube can be observed. Complete angle evaluation using ASOCT is recommended in all eyes with PPKG before planning any surgical intervention. Post-operative evaluation of bleb can also be done with AS-OCT. (Fig. 2a,b,c)

Management
PPKG can damage the graft as it leads to enhanced endothelial cells attrition and damage. Therefore, it should be prevented and treated aggressively.

Prophylaxis
Graft failure is commonly seen in pre-existing glaucoma in aphakic and pseudophakic eyes and is difficult to treat. Reinhard et al reported the 3-year graft survival rate in 71% patients with a pre-existing glaucoma in contrast to 89% without such history. Oversizing of donor graft by 0.5-1 mm provides good AC depth and lower incidence of PAS which decreases chances of postoperative glaucoma. Removal of viscoelastic from AC at the end of the surgery and careful wound closure with deep suturing are the factors which prevent PPKG. Steroids and cycloplegics in postoperative period can decrease inflammation, prevents PAS formation and pupillary block glaucoma.

Medical Treatment
Beta-adrenergic blocking agents (timolol, betaxolol) reduces the aqueous production. But these drugs can damage the ocular surface by impairing the quantity and quality of the mucus layer of tear film and subsequently leads to dry eye. Corneal anesthesia and dry eye can damage epithelium and eventually it affects the graft clarity. Brimonidine tartrate 0.2% a selective alpha-2 adrenergic agonist is useful in controlling IOP and is better tolerated but known for its allergic reactions. Miotics are not safe because it can induce uveitis which may initiate graft rejection and increase the risk of a retinal detachment hence not recommended for aphakic eyes. Topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitors like dorzolamide and brinzolamide suppress the carbonic anhydrase enzyme in corneal endothelium and lead to irreversible corneal decompensation. These drugs should not
be used in patients with a past history of graft rejection or with limited endothelial cell counts.\textsuperscript{17} Systemic carbonic anhydrase inhibitors are useful in the treatment of pressure spikes in the immediate postoperative period. These drugs should be used cautiously in elderly patients because of their side effects such as paresthesias, tinnitus, gastrointestinal disturbances, fatigue, depression, anorexia, and weight loss.\textsuperscript{18}

Prostaglandin analogs increase the uveoscleral outflow and decrease IOP. It should not be used in patients with history of herpes simplex keratitis because it can lead to its recurrence. It can induce uveitis and cystoid macular edema in aphakia and pseudophakia. Benzalkonium chloride (BAC 0.01% concentration) is the preservative used in the majority of these topical medications which can damage corneal epithelium by damaging cell walls and microvilli. Preservative free drugs should be used after PK to protect the corneal epithelium. Post operative topical steroids should be tapered or replaced by least potent steroid to reduce the chances of raised IOP. Topical cyclosporin A 0.5% may also help to control the pressure, reduces chances of dry eye also. Perry et al reported that after replacement of topical corticosteroids by topical cyclosporin A 0.5% in 21 (84%) of their 25 patients mean reduction of IOP by 8.7 mm Hg was found. Graft clarity was maintained in all patients except one with allograft rejection.\textsuperscript{19}

Surgical Treatment

Laser trabeculoplasty is indicated in patients whose IOP is not controlled with topical medications. Argon laser trabeculoplasty (ALT) is helpful in patients with open angle glaucoma, clear grafts with IOP in range of 20-25 mmHg. Van meter reported its success in PPKG in aphakic and pseudophakic eyes.\textsuperscript{20} It is not without limitations. In cases of PAS and poor visibility of the trabecular meshwork it cannot be done. Trabeculectomy is the surgical procedure but it is usually not effective in PPKG because of dense scarring at perilimbal areas, extensive PAS and shallow AC. In aphakic eyes chances of the failure of surgery is further increased due to blockage of the trabeculectomy ostium by the vitreous. The success of surgery can be enhanced by the use of anti-metabolites (5-fluouracil [5FU] and mitomycin-C[MMC]) by their antifibroblastic activities. Subconjunctival injection of 5 FU with dose of 5 mg in 0.1 cc is given daily for 7-10 days in the immediate postoperative period for 7-10 days.\textsuperscript{21} Mitomycin-C 0.04% can be applied for 2-4 min subconjunctivally or sub-sclerally which increases the success rate of filtering surgery. Misaki et al observed 73.0% success after trabeculectomy with mitomycin C without any anti-glaucoma medications. Trabeculectomy without mitomycin C failed within 6 months in most of the cases. Persistent epithelial defect, cystoid macular oedema, choroidal detachment, leaking bleb and graft rejection had been reported.\textsuperscript{22} Ayyala reported 77% success rate in IOP control with use of mitomycin-C in trabeculectomy patients of PKG.\textsuperscript{23}

Raj et al reported successful IOP control post trabeculectomy with releasable suture in PPKG patients till three months as compared to trabeculectomy augmented by MMC. At six months of follow up results in both groups were comparable.\textsuperscript{24} GDD creates a collateral pathway and pushes aqueous from the AC to an equatorial plate via a tube which leads to bleb formation. The use of GDD in PKG was first reported by Kirkness in 1987. The risk of graft rejection was high by retrograde passage of the inflammatory cells into the AC by these devices despite good IOP control.\textsuperscript{25} Post-surgical uveitis, extensive PAS and multiple prior surgeries can lead to graft compromise.\textsuperscript{26} Sherwood reported good IOP control with GDD after two years of follow up i.e up to 96%.\textsuperscript{27} The location for the tube of device remains controversial. Arroyave found no difference in IOP control between the AC and pars plana tube placement, but 83% of grafts remained clear with tubes in pars plana as compared to 48% into AC. Tube into the vitreous cavity significantly reduces its interaction with graft which leads to improvement in graft survival.\textsuperscript{28} Sidoti studied pars plana tube insertion after pars plana vitrectomy and found IOP control in 62% and clear grafts in 41% of the eyes after 2 years but was associated with higher rate of posterior segment complications.\textsuperscript{29} Ritterband studied 83 eyes in which the drainage tube was either placed in the pars plana primarily or moved from the AC to the pars plana at

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Fig_2}\\
\caption{Peripheral anterior synechiae at graft host junction; (c): Demonstrating various aqueous pockets of bleb}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{IP International Journal of Ocular Oncology and Oculoplasty, April-June 2019;5(2):44-48}
the time of PK and reported IOP control in 83% and graft clarity in 59% of patients after 2 years. Shunt tubes can be occluded in into the vitreous cavity so complete vitrectomy with removal of the vitreous skirt is mandatory to prevent its occlusion. Well-placed tube in the AC away from the cornea allows its observation at the slit lamp and easy access for laser and needling to remove any tissues if the tube tip is blocked. Cyclodestructive procedures can be employed to lower IOP by decreasing aqueous humour production by ablating the portion of ciliary body. Destruction of the ciliary body can be achieved through transscleral application of cryotherapy or transscleral or endoscopic delivery of diode, krypton or Nd:YAG laser. Recent studies showed success rate of 72% after an year of single treatment of diode laser in terms of improvement in visual acuity, no graft rejection and minimal anti-glaucoma medications. No complications were noticed in form of phthisis bulbi or graft failure.

Conclusions

Graft survival and permanent irreversible visual loss due to glaucoma are two important factors to be managed in PPKG. Knowledge of the various risk factors such as pre-existing glaucoma, pseudophakia, aphakia and repeat PK may increase the chances of success of PK. Avoidance of predisposing factors, timely diagnosis of PPKG along with aggressive and timely management remains the cornerstone for preserving optimal graft clarity and visual function following PK.
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