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A facile and general route to synthesize hierarchically porous metal oxide nanostructures was reported here. The results indicate that the hierarchically porous metal oxides show high sensitivity to toxic or dangerous gases. The signal sensitivity of the gas sensors exhibits a good linear relation with the VOC gas concentration. As one of the as-synthesized hierarchically porous metal oxides, ZnO exhibits the lowest detection limit of 15 ppb for formaldehyde, which is much lower than the limit value of indoor formaldehyde (60 ppb). Furthermore, the sensitivity of the ZnO porous nanosheets decorated with Au nanoparticles is 10 ppb for formaldehyde, which is about 1.5 times higher than that of the unmodified ZnO porous nanosheets.

Among a large number of semiconductor metal oxides, Co3O4, ZnO and NiO are significant gas-sensitive materials due to their high sensitivity, low cost and strong operability.17–19 To date, many porous structures of these three metal oxides have been synthesized. However, there are few reports on the use of a facile and general method to synthesize their hierarchically porous structures. As we all know, complex synthesis methods increase experimental costs, and may also produce a lot of toxic and harmful substances, which is not conducive to laboratory experiments and industrial production.20–22 Therefore, using a facile and general method to synthesize Co3O4, ZnO and NiO with hierarchically porous structures has offered hope towards solving this problem. In order to enhance strong points and avoid weaknesses, the use of a convenient synthesis method to obtain high performance gas-sensing materials has become extremely essential and urgent.

In this study, we present a facile and general route to fabricate hierarchically porous metal oxide materials and modify their surfaces with a noble-metal using a two-step method. According to this design, sensitive materials such as Co3O4, ZnO and NiO were utilized in the manufacture of gas sensors to detect VOCs, such as alcohol, acetone, methyl alcohol, formaldehyde and n-butanol. After decorating with Au nanoparticles, better gas-sensing properties were obtained, especially in relation to high sensitivity, fast response and shortened recovery time, demonstrating that our method is an effective strategy to enhance the gas performance of materials.

Experimental

Materials
The materials used in this experiment were all of analytical grade and used directly without further purification. HAuCl4·4H2O was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co.,
Lco(CH3CO2)2·4H2O, Zn(NO3)2, Ni(CH3COO)2·4H2O and H2NCONH2 were obtained from Shantou Xilong Chemical Factory.

Synthesis of the hierarchically porous metal oxides

The synthetic processes were performed using a two-step synthesis method. Step one involved the synthesis of three precursors using a hydrothermal method. Step two was the calcination of the as-synthesized precursors in order to obtain a porous structure. During the synthesis procedure of the precursors, 25 mL of 0.4 mol L⁻¹ Co(CH3CO2)2·4H2O or 25 mL of 0.4 mol L⁻¹ Zn(NO3)2 was added into 50 mL of 0.8 mol L⁻¹ H2NCONH2. Each of the two solutions was placed in a beaker under stirring for 30 minutes and then the mixtures were moved to Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclaves. These two chemical reactions were allowed to proceed in the autoclaves for 10 hours at a constant temperature of 100 °C. To synthesize the third material, 10 mL of 0.4 mol L⁻¹ Ni(CH3COO)2·4H2O and 10 mL HOCH2CH2NH2 were dissolved in 34 mL H2O. The mixed solution was maintained in another autoclave at 120 °C for 64 hours. After that, the three obtained precipitates were washed 3 times with deionized water and dried at 60 °C for 30 minutes in order to collect the precursors, namely, Co(CO3)0.5(OH)0.1·H2O, Zn5(CO3)2(OH)6 and Ni(OH)2 in order. Finally, the hierarchically porous Co3O4, ZnO and NiO materials were acquired by calcining the precursors from room temperature to 400 °C, raised at a ramp rate of 1 °C min⁻¹ and kept at the target temperature for 80 minutes.

Surface modification of hierarchically porous metal oxides with Au nanoparticles

The surface modification of the hierarchical structures was carried out using a one-step hydrothermal reaction. For the three reactions, 0.1 g of Co(CO3)0.5(OH)0.1·H2O, Zn5(CO3)2(OH)6 or Ni(OH)2 was placed in a mixture of 10 mL deionized water and 3 mL 1 mg mL⁻¹ HAuCl4·4H2O solution. For the purpose of achieving good mixing uniformity, the solutions were stirred at room temperature for 4 hours and preserved in three Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclaves at 120 °C for 1 hour. After washing and drying, the precursors were calcined from room temperature to 400 °C and kept at 400 °C for 80 minutes. Finally, the Co3O4, ZnO and NiO decorated with Au nanoparticles were obtained.

Characterization

The samples in the experiment were characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Bruker D8 Focus diffractometer. The X-ray tubes were operated with an electric current of 40 mA and a voltage of 40 kV. The morphology of the precursors and final products was obtained using scanning electron microscopy (SEM Hitachi S4800) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM FEI F30). The pore features were analyzed using a Bruker D8 Focus diffractometer. The XRD patterns of as-synthesized Co3O4, ZnO and NiO, achieved by annealing the precursors.

Fabrication and sensing measurements of the gas sensors

In order to certify the sensing performance, gas sensors were constructed using the following procedure. The metal oxide powders, which served as the sensing materials, were ground to coat onto a ceramic tube. Then the devices were calcined at 460 °C for 3 days, to enhance their stability in the following experiments. The best operating temperature of each material was found through preliminary experiments. Then the gas sensors were exposed to the target gases in turn, to obtain the relevant experimental data.

Results and discussion

Morphology and structure characterization

As shown in Fig. 1a, the XRD patterns of the three precursors reveal that they belong to Co(CO3)0.5(OH)0.1·H2O (JCPDS card number 00-002-1606) and Zn5(CO3)2(OH)6·2H2O (JCPDS card number 01-070-2190) and Ni(OH)2 (JCPDS card number 00-009-0655). The XRD patterns of the materials synthesized from the precursors after calcination are shown in Fig. 1b. The patterns of Co3O4, ZnO and NiO, which are consistent with Co3O4 (JCPDS card number 01-087-0869), ZnO (JCPDS card number 01-076-4337) and NiO (JCPDS card number 01-087-1777), are depicted in Fig. 1b.

Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of the precursors Co(CO3)0.5(OH)0.1·H2O, Zn5(CO3)2(OH)6·2H2O and Ni(OH)2. (b) XRD patterns of as-synthesized Co3O4, ZnO and NiO, achieved by annealing the precursors.
no. 48-0083), Zn$_5$(CO$_3$)$_2$(OH)$_6$ (JCPDS card no. 19-1458) and Ni(OH)$_2$ (JCPDS card no. 73-6824). The data show that the precursors were successfully synthesized in the hydrothermal reaction. After annealing from room temperature to 400 °C, the XRD patterns of the three final products were recorded, as shown in Fig. 1b. No other material characteristic peaks were detected. The characteristic peaks of the three metal oxides correspond to JCPDS card no. 78-1970, JCPDS card no. 71-5960 and JCPDS card no. 71-6743, indicating that the products Co$_3$O$_4$, ZnO and NiO were obtained using this general method.

The morphologies and structures of the obtained products were detected using SEM, TEM and HRTEM. In Fig. 2a$_1$–a$_4$, there are a great many superfine porous nanowires making up the Co$_3$O$_4$ flower-like architecture. Careful observation found that the average diameter of the porous nanowires is below 10 nm. Furthermore, they are dense in their pore distributions. The porous ZnO flowers are shown in Fig. 2b$_1$–b$_4$. Evidently, the ZnO flowers have specific hierarchically structural features. They all consist of thin sheets and there is a greater number of pores of different sizes distributed on the surface of each sheet. The average diameter of these pores is about 20–40 nm. In addition, the thickness of the nanosheet is around 15 nm, which can be seen from Fig. S1.† Fig. 2c$_1$ is the low-magnification SEM image of the porous NiO flowers, which shows that the sample is made up of a huge number of hierarchical sheet structures. It can be observed that the sizes of the NiO flowers are uniform and their diameters are around 10 µm. Fig. 2c$_2$ exhibits the porous features of the NiO nanosheets clearly and more detailed porous structural features can also be seen in Fig. 2c$_3$ and c$_4$.

From the standpoint of morphology, structure, reagent source and synthesis strategy, Co$_3$O$_4$, ZnO and NiO have several things in common. (1) The chemical materials used in the experiments are common reagents that can be purchased easily. Furthermore, the main materials in the syntheses are all general metal salts, so they are very cheap. (2) The precursors are all basic carbonates or hydroxide. In accordance with the stated method, the synthesis procedure of these precursors does not require high experimental temperatures, which saves energy. During this process, no poisonous and harmful gases are generated, so it is suitable for both laboratory research and industrial production in batch. (3) The final products are all composed of secondary structures, showing potential applications in other research areas, such as sewage treatment and drug loading. Furthermore, the pores placed on the secondary structures are dense, which can provide more gas channels, offering the possibility of higher sensitivity and a quicker response.

---

**Fig. 2**  SEM, TEM and HRTEM images of the as-synthesized (a$_1$–a$_4$) Co$_3$O$_4$ flower-like structures with porous nanowires, (b$_1$–b$_4$) ZnO porous nanosheets, and (c$_1$–c$_4$) NiO porous nanosheets. The images above clearly show the porous and hierarchical characteristics of the prepared samples.
Formation mechanism of the pores

The thermo-gravimetric (TG) curves of Co(CO$_3$)$_{0.5}$(OH)$_{0.1}$·H$_2$O, Zn$_5$(CO$_3$)$_2$(OH)$_6$ and Ni(OH)$_2$ can be seen in Fig. 3. We can clearly see that the overall trend of the three TG curves is similar. Their weight loss can be divided into three stages from room temperature to 700 °C. The first weight loss of less than 5% occurred between 50 and 200 °C. This weight loss is mainly due to the thermal evaporation of water bound to the surfaces of the precursors. The second stage of weight loss occurred from 200 to 400 °C. The weight loss that occurred in this stage was over 15%. This means that the precursors decomposed when heated to produce water and carbon dioxide. The third weight loss up to 400 °C was negligible. According to the reactions detailed in eqn (1)–(3), the calculated weight losses are 25.96%, 22.32% and 19.41%, consistent with the curves of the TG analysis. Therefore, it can be concluded that the thermal decomposition of Co(CO$_3$)$_{0.5}$(OH)$_{0.1}$·H$_2$O, Zn$_5$(CO$_3$)$_2$(OH)$_6$ and Ni(OH)$_2$ was complete and Co$_3$O$_4$, ZnO and NiO were formed by the decomposition of the precursors. This also explains how the porous architectures developed. These decomposition reactions are as follows:

Co(CO$_3$)$_{0.5}$(OH)$_{0.1}$·H$_2$O $\xrightarrow{\Delta}$ Co$_3$O$_4$ + H$_2$O + CO$_2$ (1)

Zn$_5$(CO$_3$)$_2$(OH)$_6$ $\xrightarrow{\Delta}$ ZnO + H$_2$O + CO$_2$ (2)

Ni(OH)$_2$ $\xrightarrow{\Delta}$ NiO + H$_2$O (3)

Through the above statement, we have demonstrated the formation process of the metal oxides characterized with large nanopores. In order to further confirm the internal structures of

Fig. 3 TG curves of the precursors Co(CO$_3$)$_{0.5}$(OH)$_{0.1}$·H$_2$O, Zn$_5$(CO$_3$)$_2$(OH)$_6$ and Ni(OH)$_2$.

Fig. 4 (a) N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms of the Co$_3$O$_4$ nanowires, ZnO nanosheets and NiO nanosheets. (b–d) The BJH pore size distribution curves of Co$_3$O$_4$, ZnO and NiO.
the porous oxides, N₂ adsorption-desorption experiments were utilized to explore the architectural properties. As can be observed from Fig. 4a, the N₂ adsorption quantity of Co₃O₄, ZnO and NiO increased when the relative pressure was raised, which is very common in porous materials. The calculated results of the BET specific surface area are 35.8 m² g⁻¹ for the Co₃O₄ porous nanowires, 34.5 m² g⁻¹ for the ZnO porous nanosheets, and 72.8 m² g⁻¹ for the NiO porous nanosheets. The pore sizes can be seen from Fig. 4b–d, and it is clear that the peaks on the pore size distribution curves are narrow, which shows that the pore sizes are uniform.

**Surface modification by Au nanoparticles**

According to some recent studies, the gas-sensing properties of materials are closely related to their morphology, structure and modification with noble-metals. On the basis of the above structures and morphologies, surface modification experiments were carried out using a noble-metal. From Fig. 5, it can be easily seen that Au nanoparticles are evenly distributed on the surfaces of the three metal oxides and there was no obvious agglomeration (see Fig. S2†). In the TEM images, the Au nanoparticles are highlighted using bright color. The diameters of the Au nanoparticles are less than 100 nm. For the sake of convenience, the three metal oxides with surface modification will be called Co₃O₄–Au, ZnO–Au and NiO–Au, in order.

**Sensing properties of as-synthesized samples**

As is well known, the sensitivity of a semiconductor metal oxide gas sensor largely depends on the operating temperature. Therefore, finding the best operating temperature is the first step in studying the performance. As depicted in Fig. S3†, the best operating temperatures are 240 °C, 440 °C and 260 °C for Co₃O₄, ZnO and NiO, respectively. Sensitivity, as an important performance indicator of a gas sensor, can be defined as follows:

\[ S = \frac{R_{\text{gas}}}{R_{\text{air}}} \]

\( R_{\text{gas}} \) is the resistance value in the target gas and \( R_{\text{air}} \) is the resistance value in air. In this paper, the sensors were used to test the gas sensitivity of common volatile organic compounds like alcohol, acetone, methyl alcohol, formaldehyde and n-butanol. From Fig. 6a, we can see that the sensitivities to 100 ppb of VOCs are larger than 1.5. Among the VOCs, the three materials are more sensitive to n-butanol than to the other gases. The sensitivity of Co₃O₄, ZnO and NiO to n-butanol is 5.8, 38.3 and 3.3, respectively. Furthermore, these three kinds of hierarchically porous metal oxide-based sensors have a quick response and recovery to VOCs. When exposed to VOCs, their response and recovery times were mostly less than 25 seconds (Fig. 6b). For example, the recovery time of Co₃O₄ to formaldehyde was only 15 seconds and that of ZnO to acetone was only 7

---

**Fig. 5** Typical TEM images of (a and b) Co₃O₄–Au, (c and d) ZnO–Au, and (e and f) NiO–Au.

**Fig. 6** (a) Gas sensitivities and (b1 and b2) response and recovery times of porous Co₃O₄, porous ZnO, and porous NiO.
seconds. In particular, the response and recovery times of NiO to formaldehyde were 5 seconds and 7 seconds, respectively. In practice, the lowest detection limit is a significant measure that reflects the capability of a gas sensor. The responses of ZnO under various concentrations from 15 ppb to 1000 ppb of formaldehyde are displayed in Fig. 7. It is clear that the sensitivity increased with increasing concentration of formaldehyde. The graph also reveals that the lowest detection limit of porous ZnO is 15 ppb for formaldehyde. According to national and international standards for indoor air quality (IAQ), the highest allowable concentration of formaldehyde is 60 ppb; therefore, these hierarchical porous ZnO nanosheets can fully meet detection requirements.

For the purpose of achieving the best performance due to noble-metal surface modification, experiments to find the optimum loading amount were carried out (Fig. S4†). It can be found that the sensitivity curves show a parabolic trend with increasing Au loading amount in the range of 1–50%. Apparently, when the Au loading amount is 3%, the sensitivities of Co3O4–Au, ZnO–Au and NiO–Au to VOCs achieve their maximum values.

Fig. 8 shows the increase in sensitivity of the metal oxides before and after the surface modification. When the gas sensors were exposed in an atmosphere of 50 ppb VOCs, it was easy to observe the better performance of the metal oxide containing

![Graph showing detection limits of ZnO for formaldehyde at room temperature.](image1)

**Fig. 7** The detection limits of ZnO for formaldehyde at room temperature.

![Sensitivity curves for Co3O4/ZnO–Au, ZnO–Au and NiO–Au to 50 ppb VOCs.](image2)

**Fig. 8** The sensing responses of Co3O4/ZnO–Au, ZnO–Au and NiO–Au to 50 ppb (a) alcohol, (b) acetone, (c) methyl alcohol, and (d) formaldehyde at each optimum operating temperature.
the optimum Au loading amount. For example, when these gas sensors were in the best working condition, the sensitivities of ZnO–Au/ZnO to 50 ppb alcohol, acetone, methyl alcohol and formaldehyde were 8.4, 4.7, 5.5 and 6.2 times greater, respectively, confirming that the enhancements achieved after surface modification were enormous. After Au modification, the lowest detection limit of porous ZnO–Au was as low as 10 ppb for formaldehyde.

In addition to the above measures, the linear relation with the VOC concentration is also a major indicator for the gas-sensing properties of a material. Based on the best operating temperature and Au loading amount, the sensitivity linearity was recorded when the acetone and methyl alcohol concentration were in the range of 1 to 5 ppb. As shown in Fig. 9, with an increase in concentration, the sensitivity of Co3O4 improved linearly. This reveals that Co3O4 and Co3O4–Au exhibit great linearity at low gas concentrations and the sensitivity linearity is improved through Au surface modification.

To date, many studies have confirmed the positive effect of noble-metal nanoparticles. The phenomenon of increasing gas sensitivity is also well explained. On one hand, the addition of Au nanoparticles can lead to the formation of a rich active center, thus it is beneficial for enhancing gas adsorption and increasing the concentration of the reaction. Meanwhile, adding Au nanoparticles can also reduce the reaction activation energy and increase the reaction rate. On the other hand, Au at the surface of the metal will participate in the oxygen adsorption reaction. This leads to the formation of more O2− and O2− at the material surface. When the sensors come into contact with gases, the oxygen adsorbed on the surface of the material will react with the gas molecules, leading to more electron transfer. Therefore, this phenomenon will result in a more obvious change in the resistance of gas-sensitive materials.

Conclusions

In summary, hierarchically porous metal oxides with controlled morphology and noble-metal surface modification have been successfully achieved via a facile and general two-step method. According to step one, three hierarchical precursors, namely, Co(CO3)0.5(OH)0.1·H2O, Zn3(CO3)2(OH)6 and Ni(OH)2, with different morphologies were achieved. After calcination, the corresponding oxides were prepared, which contain many pores densely distributed in their secondary structures. The samples exhibit high response and sensitivity to VOCs, such as alcohol, acetone, methyl alcohol, formaldehyde and n-butanol. The lowest detection limit of ZnO is 10 ppb for formaldehyde. Au surface modification can further enhance the gas-sensing properties of the hierarchically porous oxides. In particular, the signal linearity of Co3O4 becomes better even when the gas sensors are exposed to a low gas concentration. Thus, the study highlights the obvious advantages of the gas sensors in their operability, convenience, cost consumption and environmental friendliness. It also takes both performance and application into consideration. Therefore, the method presented in this work to construct hierarchically porous architectures has wide application potential in the field of gas sensors and other disciplines.
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