Rates of inclusion of teenagers and young adults in England into National Cancer Research Network clinical trials: Report from the National Cancer Research Institute (NCRI) Teenage and Young Adult Clinical Studies Development Group

L Fern1, S Davies2, T Eden3, R Feltbower4, R Grant5, M Hawkins6, I Lewis7, E Loucaides8, C Rowntree9, S Stenning10 and J Whelan*1

1Department of Oncology, University College London Hospitals NHS trust, London NW1 2PG, UK; 2Teenage Cancer Trust, 3rd Floor, 93 Newman Street, London W1T 3 EZ, UK; 3Academic Unit of Adolescent and Paediatric Oncology, c/o Teenage Cancer Trust Young Oncology Unit, Christie Hospital NHS Trust, Withington, Manchester M2 0BX, UK; 4Paediatric Epidemiology Group, Centre for Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9LN, UK; 5St Briccdeley Surgery, St Briccdeley Road, Kirkcaldy, Fife KY1 1PH, UK; 6Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, University of Birmingham, 815T, UK; 7University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9LN, UK; 8National Cancer Research Institute, PO Box 123, Lincoln Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, UK; 9Department of Haematology, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK; 10Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit, 222 Euston Road, London NW1 2DA, UK

Poor inclusion rates into clinical trials for teenagers and young adults (TYA; aged 13–24 years) have been assumed but not systematically investigated in England. We analysed accrual rates (AR) from 1 April 2005 up to 31 March 2007 to National Cancer Research Network (NCNR) Phase III trials for the commonest tumour types occurring in TYA and children: leukaemia, lymphoma, brain and central nervous system, bone sarcomas and male germ cell tumours. AR for 2005–2007 were 43.2% for patients aged 10–14 years, 25.2% for patients aged 15–19 years, and 13.1% for patients aged 20–24 years in the tumour types analysed. Compared with accrual from 1 April 2005 to 31 March 2006, AR between 1 April 2006 and 31 March 2007 increased for those aged 10–14 and 15–19 years, but fell for those aged 20–24 years. AR varied considerably among cancer types. Despite four trials being available, patients over 16 years with central nervous system tumours were not recruited. Rates of participation in clinical trials in England from 2005 to 2007 were much lower for TYA older than 15 years compared with children and younger teenagers. The variations in open trials, trial age eligibility criteria and extent of trial activation in treatment centres in part explain this observation. Other possible influences, such as difficulties associated with the consent of TYA require further evaluation. Closer dialogue between those involved in planning and running trials for children and for adults is necessary to improve trial availability and recruitment. Further research is required to identify trends in trial availability and accrual for those tumours constituting the remaining 26% of TYA cancers.
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The excellent rates of survival now commonplace for children affected by cancer have been in part attributed to very high rates of accrual to clinical trials at diagnosis (Stiller and Eatock, 1999). It has been estimated that as many as 70% of children in the Western World will enter a clinical trial (Ablett and Pinkerton, 2003). Inclusion in clinical trials is widely regarded as being associated with enhanced quality-of-care, the attention of a broader group of specialised professionals (Ferrari and Bleyer, 2007), and is seen as an indicator of an optimum standard of care. Recent data from the United States have documented a rapid fall-off after the age of 14 years in the proportion of patients enrolled in National Cancer Institute-supported trials and it is this same group that appears to have gained least from the overall improvements in survival from cancer experienced by younger children and by adults aged more than 40 years (Bleyer et al, 2005).

Poor inclusion rates into clinical trials for teenagers and young adults have been presumed by clinical investigators for some time but had not systematically investigated in England. We set out to determine current inclusion rates of those with the most common cancers occurring in the age range of 13–24 years into National Cancer Research Network-endorsed (NCNR) clinical trials between 1 April 2005 and 31 March 2007. We wished to compare this with similar data for children and investigate any factors, which may be associated with better trial enrolment.
METHODS

We analysed accrual by age to phase III, intervention trials recruiting newly diagnosed patients with selected tumour types under the auspices of the NCRN. Similarly, we analysed trials in selected tumour types from the Children’s Cancer and Leukaemia Group (CCLG), some of which were not randomised. We included NCRN-funded studies and other studies approved for inclusion in the NCRN portfolio (http://public.ukcrn.org.uk/search/Portfolio.aspx?Level=1).

We selected cancer subtypes for analysis based on the frequency of presentation within the following age groups: children (aged 0–12 years) and teenagers and young adults (TYA) (aged 13–24 years). In TYA, lymphomas, brain and central nervous system (CNS) tumours, germ cell tumours, leukaemia and bone sarcomas together make up 74% of the newly diagnosed patients (Alston et al, 2007). To compare accrual rates for children we then analysed the most common tumour types accounting for a similar proportion of newly diagnosed tumours in this cohort: leukaemia, lymphoma, brain and central nervous system tumours which account for 73% of tumours (Childhood Cancer Research Group, 2007). Trials in these tumour types were selected from the NCRN and CCLG portfolios. Only trials open for accrual at any time between 2005 and 2007 were included (NCRN: http://www.ukcrn.org.uk). Trials endorsed by the NCRN collect data from 1 April to 31 March each year. We requested accrual data for the same time periods from CCLG.

We determined the clinical trial availability by manually searching each trial summary to select study type; study design; eligibility criteria and current recruitment status. The trials selected are shown in Table 1. We obtained accrual data for the selected trials by contacting the trial’s principle investigator. Age at trial entry for included patients was most often released by the clinical trial co-ordinator, statistician or data manager. Patients were then divided into quintiles corresponding to the 5-year age groups used by the Office for National Statistics (ONS; 0–4, 5–9, 10–14, 15–19, and so on). We obtained the ages of all patients recruited to the selected trials, not just those aged 13–24 years. We were thus also able to compare the inclusion rates of TYA in trials relevant to their age group with the inclusion rates of older adults to the same trials. The tumour types analysed account for just 12% of tumours occurring in those aged 25–59 years (Office for National Statistics, 2005).

We obtained cancer incidence data from the ONS in 2004, Series MB1 no. 35, which lists cancer registrations in England (Office for National Statistics, 2005). The following ICD-10 diagnosis codes were used: CNS C71, leukaemia C91–C95, Hodgkin’s lymphoma C81, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma C82–C85, testis C62, sarcoma C40, C41. As this was the most recent incidence data available, we have assumed a similar number of incident cases during the two 12-month periods analysed, 2005–2006, and 2006–2007. The percentage accrual of patients, or accrual rate, was expressed as the proportion of patients entered onto the selected trials compared with the number of new cases diagnosed in 2004.

We applied simple descriptive statistics judging this appropriate for an observational dataset for which we could not control the sample size or number of incidence cases. In addition, statistical comparisons between years and groups could only be deemed valid if we were able to use real time incidence data rather than deriving information from the most recent years.

RESULTS

A total of 2608 cases of cancer (excluding non-melanoma of the skin) were recorded in patients aged 0–24 years in 2004. The number and proportion of the incident cases with cancer of the subtypes we selected were 1604 or 61.1% of cases. Figure 1 depicts the number of patients in England who were entered onto the selected trials between 2005 and 2007. A total of 1214 patients aged 0–59 years were enrolled onto trials for the selected tumour types during 2006–2007, representing 14.3% of 8501 new diagnoses between the ages of 0 and 59 years for these selected tumour types during 2004. This is higher than the NCRN figure of approximately 6.0% accrual to randomised trials (National Cancer Research Network, 2006) 2006–2007, and is due to the high accrual rates of paediatric patients through CCLG centres, compared with TYA and adult tumour types. Accrual rates for 2005–2006 in the selected tumour types were slightly lower at 13.5% (1148 patients).

The decline in trial participation after 15 years is particularly notable when trial entry is shown as a proportion of newly diagnosed cases. This is prominent in both TYA and adult tumours. Between 2005–2006 and 2006–2007 the percentage of patients aged 10–14 years (97 of approximately 243 patients) were entered into clinical trials. This fell to 23.0% for 15–19-year-olds (85 of approximately 369 patients), and just 13.8% for patients aged 20–24 years (61 of approximately 442 patients). During 2006–2007 accrual to trials for patients aged 10–14 and 15–19 years improved by 6.6 and 4.4%, respectively. This was accompanied by a decline of 14.4% in accrual rates for patients aged 20–24 years.

We also divided the cohort into two 10-year age spans to broadly reflect care within children’s or adult services. New cancers in the tumour types studied occurred almost twice as often in those aged 15–24 years (811 cases in the tumour types analysed) compared with 5 to 14-year-olds (472 cases in the tumour types analysed). During 2005–2006 the percentage of patients entering trials changed from 47.8% for ages 5–14 years (226 of approximately 472 patients), to just 18.0% of patients 15–24 years (156 of approximately 811 patients). Similarly, between 2006 and 2007 the percentage of patients aged 5–14 years entering trials was 51.3% (242 of approximately 472 patients), compared with 19.2% of patients aged 15–24 years (156 of approximately 811 patients; Figure 3). The average over both years shows that approximately 50% of patients aged between 5 and 14 years will be recruited to trials compared with less than 20% of patients aged 15–24 years for selected tumour types.

Accrual of TYA was lower than that for children in the following tumour types: leukaemia, CNS tumours, and bone sarcoma (Table 2). Accrual of TYA only exceeded that of children in male germ cell tumours (MGCT) where no patients under the age of 14 were recruited to trials during 2005–2007 reflecting the low incidence rate of this tumour in the 10–14 age group (seven new cases in 2004). Two trials were open for TYA with MGCT which have overlapping age eligibility criteria, CCLG GC3, a Phase III trial for a newly diagnosed extra cranial malignant germ cell tumour with an upper age limit of 18 and NCRN TE3, a Phase III trial for male patients with good risk metastatic germ cell cancer of the testis with age eligibility of 16–50 years. For 2005–2006 accrual for patients aged 20–24 years was just 2.3% (three patients of approximately 131) compared with 5.2% of patients aged 15–19 years (three patients out of approximately 58; one being recruited to the CCLG trial and two to the NCRN TE-3 trial). Few trials were open during 2005–2006 for the commonest lymphoma affecting children and TYA. For example, there were no studies for patients aged 0–17 years with Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Between 2005 and 2006, we found similar accrual of paediatric and TYA patients to lymphoma trials, 6.3% of patients aged 5–14 years vs 6.6% of patients aged 15–24 years (Table 2). However, analysis by quintiles demonstrated a pattern of decline in patients over 15 years (Table 2). Approximately 8.6% of patients aged 10–14 years (7 of approximately 81 patients) were recruited to trial between 2005 and 2006. Despite almost twice the incidence of lymphoma in patients aged 15–19 years, the accrual rates were almost half that of those aged 10–14 years, with just 4.5% of patients aged 15–19 years being recruited to trials (7 of approximately 156 patients). However, accrual rates increase
Bone sarcoma

EURAMOS-1
A randomised trial of the European and American Osteosarcoma Study Group to optimise treatment strategies for resectable osteosarcoma based on histological response to pre-operative chemotherapy.

EUROEWINGS 99 (ET 2000 03)
European Ewing Tumour Working Initiatives of National Groups: Ewing Tumour Studies 1999

Brain and central nervous system

LGG 2004
Cooperative multicentre study for children and adolescents with low-grade glioma

PNET4
A prospective randomised controlled trial of hyperfractionated vs conventionally fractionated radiotherapy in standard risk medulloblastoma.

SIOP Ependymoma
SIOP study of combined modality treatment in childhood ependymoma

Germ cell (male)

BEP
A randomised phase III toxicity study of day 2,15 short (30 m ) vs day 1,23 long (72 hours) infusion bleomycin

GC 3
Protocol for the treatment of extracranial germ cell tumours in children and adolescents

Leukaemia

AML 15
Medical Research Council working parties on leukaemia in adults and children. Acute myeloid leukaemia trial 15

EiPhALL
European Intergroup Study on post induction treatment of Philadelphia positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia with imatinib

MRC CLL5
The value of autografting younger patients with high risk chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (cll). A Randomised Phase III Intergroup Trial

SPIRIT
ST1571 Prospective International Randomised Trial. A phase III, prospective randomised comparison of imatinib 400 mg vs imatinib 800 mg vs imatinib plus pegylated interferon in patients with newly-diagnosed chronic myeloid leukaemia.

UKALL XII
Medical Research Council Trial for adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia Under 56 years of age. To compare related donor transplant vs autologous transplant vs chemotherapy.

UKALL2003
Medical Research Council working party on leukaemia in Children. UK National Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia (ALL) Trial UKALL 2003

Lymphoma

ALCL 99
International protocol for the treatment of childhood anaplastic large cell lymphoma

BNLI MCD vs FMD
BNLI-Randomised Control Trial of MCD vs FMD in follicular NHL

Mantle Cell P3
A Randomised Controlled Trial of fludarabine/cyclophosphamide combination with or without rituximab in patients with untreated mantle cell lymphoma

PRIMA
A multicentre, phase III, open-label, randomised study in patients with advanced follicular lymphoma evaluating the benefit of maintenance therapy with Rituximab (MabThera) after induction of response with chemotherapy plus Rituximab in comparison with no maintenance therapy

R-CHOP 14 vs 21
A phase III multicentre Randomised Clinical Trial comparing rituximab with CHOP given every 14 days and rituximab with CHOP given every 21 days for the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed diffuse large B cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma

RNLI STANFORD V
Protocol for a randomised phase III study of the Stanford V regimen, compared with ABVD for the treatment of advanced Hodgkin’s disease

Waldenstrom’s study
A randomised trial of Chlorambucil vs Fludarabine as initial therapy of Waldenström’s Macroglobulinaemia and Splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes

Watch and Wait
Rituximab in treating patients with newly diagnosed Stage II, Stage III, or Stage IV Follicular Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma

Abbreviations: BNLI: British National Lymphoma Investigation; CCNU: Lomustine; FMD: Fludarabine, Mitoxantrone and Dexamethasone; MCD: mitoxantrone, chlorambucil and dexamethasone; SIOP: International Society of Paediatric Oncology. *This trial was suspended prior to closing.

Table 1

| Portfolio/trial acronym | Title | Phase | Age eligibility criteria | Status during 1 April 2005 – 31 March 2007 |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Bone sarcoma EURAMOS-1 | A randomised trial of the European and American Osteosarcoma Study Group to optimise treatment strategies for resectable osteosarcoma based on histological response to pre-operative chemotherapy | III | 40 years | Opened September 06 |
| Bone sarcoma EUROEWINGS 99 (ET 2000 03) | European Ewing Tumour Working Initiatives of National Groups: Ewing Tumour Studies 1999 | III | 50 years | Open |
| Brain and central nervous system | | | | |
| Brain and central nervous system | LGG 2004 (CNS 2004 03) PNET4 (CNS 2003 05) | | | |
| | A prospective randomised controlled trial of hyperfractionated vs conventionally fractionated radiotherapy in standard risk medulloblastoma. | III | 4–22 years | Closed December 2006 |
| | SIOP Ependymoma (CNS 1999 04) | | | |
| | SIOP study of combined modality treatment in childhood ependymoma | III | 3–21 years | Open |
| | | | | |
| Germ cell (male) | | | | |
| | BEP Continuous infusional bleomycin – TE3 GC 3 (GC 2005 04) | | | |
| | A randomised phase III toxicity study of day 2,15 short (30 m ) vs day 1,23 long (72 hours) infusion bleomycin | III | 16–50 years | Open |
| | Protocol for the treatment of extracranial germ cell tumours in children and adolescents | III | 0–18 years | Opened May 2005 |
| Leukaemia | | | | |
| AML 15 | Medical Research Council working parties on leukaemia in adults and children. Acute myeloid leukaemia trial 15 | III | < 60 years | Open |
| EiPhALL | European Intergroup Study on post induction treatment of Philadelphia positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia with imatinib | III | < 18 years | Open |
| MRC CLL5 | The value of autografting younger patients with high risk chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (cll). A Randomised Phase III Intergroup Trial | III | > 18 years | Open |
| SPIRIT | ST1571 Prospective International Randomised Trial. A phase III, prospective randomised comparison of imatinib 400 mg vs imatinib 800 mg vs imatinib plus pegylated interferon in patients with newly-diagnosed chronic myeloid leukaemia. | III | > 18 years | Open |
| UKALL XII | Medical Research Council Trial for adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia Under 56 years of age. To compare related donor transplant vs autologous transplant vs chemotherapy. | III | 15–55 years | Closed to Ph negative patients December 2006 |
| UKALL2003 | Medical Research Council working party on leukaemia in Children. UK National Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia (ALL) Trial UKALL 2003 | III | 1–18 years | Open |
| Lymphoma | | | | |
| Lymphoma | ALCL 99 (NHL 2000 06) | | | |
| | International protocol for the treatment of childhood anaplastic large cell lymphoma | III | 0–22 years | Open |
| | BNLI MCD vs FMD | | | |
| | BNLI-Randomised Control Trial of MCD vs FMD in follicular NHL | III | 18–70 years | Closed April 2006 |
| | Mantle Cell P3 | | | |
| | A Randomised Controlled Trial of fludarabine/cyclophosphamide combination with or without rituximab in patients with untreated mantle cell lymphoma | III | > 18 years | Opened December 2006 |
| | PRIMA | | | |
| | A multicentre, phase III, open-label, randomised study in patients with advanced follicular lymphoma evaluating the benefit of maintenance therapy with Rituximab (MabThera) after induction of response with chemotherapy plus Rituximab in comparison with no maintenance therapy | III | > 18 years | Closed March 2007 |
| | R-CHOP 14 vs 21 | | | |
| | A phase III multicentre Randomised Clinical Trial comparing rituximab with CHOP given every 14 days and rituximab with CHOP given every 21 days for the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed diffuse large B cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma | III | > 18 years | Open |
| | RNLI STANFORD V | | | |
| | Protocol for a randomised phase III study of the Stanford V regimen, compared with ABVD for the treatment of advanced Hodgkin’s disease | III | 18–60 years | Closed March 2008 |
| | Waldenstrom’s study | | | |
| | A randomised trial of Chlorambucil vs Fludarabine as initial therapy of Waldenström’s Macroglobulinaemia and Splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes | III | > 18 years | Open |
| | Watch and Wait | | | |
| | Rituximab in treating patients with newly diagnosed Stage II, Stage III, or Stage IV Follicular Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma | III | > 18 years | Open |
again for patients aged 20–24 years with 8.3% of patients entering trials (16 of approximately 192 patients). During 2006 and 2007 accrual rates for 10–14 years and 20–24 years fell by 6.1 and 0.5%, respectively. Accrual for patients aged 15–19 years remained the same over both time periods.

Brain and other CNS tumours account for approximately 14.3% of cancers in patients aged 13–24 years (Alston et al, 2007). Accrual of TYA to relevant trials for the 2-year period 2005–2007 was very low. Four CCLG trials were open recruiting newly diagnosed patients, the upper age eligibility of these trials ranging from 16 to 22 years. Accrual by age, in 2-year intervals is shown in Figure 4 together with trial availability and age eligibility criteria. No patients over 16 years had been included from opening these trials until 31 March 2007. There were no open trials during this period for patients with newly diagnosed CNS tumours aged 23–24 years.

For bone sarcomas there were two large international randomised trials open, one each for Ewing’s tumours (EURO-Ewing’s 99) and osteosarcoma (EURAMOS-1), which together account for over 90% of bone sarcomas in TYA. These trials have upper age limits of 50 and 40 years respectively. The first year of accrual data analysed between 1 April 2005 and 31 March 2006 included only 6 months of accrual data to EURAMOS 1, which opened in England in September 2005. Accrual to these two trials for patients aged 0–59 years was 4.2% for 2005–2006 and 24.1% for 2006–2007. A decline in accrual beyond the age of 15 still persists despite an age eligibility criterion, which spans the TYA age range. Between 2005 and 2006, accrual of 10 to 14-year-olds was 56.3% (nine of approximately 16 patients), and 22.2% for 15 to 19-year-olds (10 of approximately 45 patients). Between 2006 and 2007 almost 100% of patients aged 10–14 years were recruited, falling to 73.3% of patients aged 15–19 years.
The NCRN and CCLG leukaemia trials demonstrated high accrual across all age groups for 2005–2006, (Table 2). Accrual for patients aged 10–14 years was approximately 100%, falling to 91.5% for patients aged 15–19 years, with similar accrual rates (92.9%) for patients aged 20–24 years. However, for 2006–2007 this fell considerably across all age groups reflecting the closure of the main study for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL), UKALLXII, for a common subset of patients (Philadelphia negative ALL). During 2006–2007 accrual rates into NCRN and CCLG haematology portfolios fell from approximately 100% of patients aged 10–14 years, to just 77.5% of patients aged 15–19 years with a further decline for those aged 20–24 years to 48.2%, which was almost half the accrual rate compared with 2005–2006 for this age group.

**DISCUSSION**

Improving recruitment to high quality clinical trials has been a constant theme in strategies aimed to improve cancer outcomes, outlined in the NHS Cancer Plan of 2000 and perhaps more notably in the recent Cancer Reform Strategy 2007 which specifically refers to age inequalities in clinical trial accrual (Department of Health, 2000, 2007). Patients in trials, in addition to potentially receiving improved therapy, may experience a ‘secondary gain’, benefiting from enhanced quality-of-care and the attention of a broader group of specialised professionals (Ferrari and Bleyer, 2007). The excellent survival rates now achieved for teenagers and young adults with frequently presenting tumour types selected consistently with data reported from the United States, Italy and Australia (Bleyer et al, 2005; O’Brien et al, 2006; Ferrari and Bleyer, 2007).

We have developed a methodology which allows up-to-date assessment of age-dependant trial accrual. We have demonstrated year by year sensitivity to changes in accrual resulting from trials opening and closing and have not been limited to trials emanating from a single trial organisation, but rather sought all those that may be relevant to TYA. Monitoring of interventions to improve trial accrual will now be possible in an accurate, and timely way. This approach contrasts with reports from other countries which have either described accrual rates from several years previously (Bleyer et al, 2005, 2006) or have not detailed the data sources and methodology (Ferrari and Bleyer, 2007).

Trials may not be open for all stages of all tumour types at all times. In the period studied, few if any, trials were available for some of the cancers that are common in young people, including Hodgkin’s lymphoma and germ cell tumours. During 2005–2006, accrual to acute leukaemia trials was very high with little evidence of an age effect reflecting both broad eligibility criteria and a committed clinical community. However, this was affected by the closure of UKALL XII to Philadelphia negative ALL patients with a significant decrease in accrual across all ages during 2006–2007. Bone sarcoma trials also have age eligibility criteria spanning the biological spectrum of osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma. Despite this, the accrual rate of 15 to 24-year-olds was approximately half that of 5 to 14-year-olds in both years analysed. In the UK most paediatric oncology services care for children up to the age of 16. The decreased accrual rate in 15 to 24-year-olds may be accounted for by an altered priority for clinical research in rare cancers in adult oncology services or a smaller proportion of older patients meeting other eligibility criteria. Further research is required to elucidate this.

The criteria which define the age range of patients eligible for trial entry are an important determinant of accrual. Commonly these reflect the source of a clinical trial, whether developed by paediatric or adult investigators rather than the age incidence of the particular tumour type. We found examples where age eligibility criteria overlapped between trials, presenting potential confusion for clinicians and patients. Emergence of data from the US, France, Holland, UK and now Sweden, have demonstrated that TYA with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia treated on paediatric protocols have better event-free survival and overall survival.
compared with protocols used for adults (Stock et al., 2000; Boissel et al., 2003; De Bont et al., 2004; Hallbook et al., 2006; Ramanujacher et al., 2007). These data resulted in co-operation within the UK between paediatric and adult haematologists. Consequently, the age eligibility criteria of the current trial for ALL has been amended from 18 to 20 and now to 25 years. This co-operation has resulted in additional accrual of TYA to this trial and may provide a model for other cancers.

Brain tumours are the most common cause of death from cancer in 15 to 24-year-olds (Geraci et al., 2007). A recent survival analysis by Birch et al. (2008) has demonstrated there has been no sustained improvements for TYA with high-grade brain tumours during 1979 – 2003. Four trials were open with upper age limits ranging between 18 and 21 years during the period studied. No patients over 16 years with brain tumours have been included in these trials since opening. This clearly demonstrates that measures beyond the setting of age eligibility criteria appropriate to tumour biology are required to improve rates of inclusion of young people. This may include enhanced dialogue between research groups representing paediatric and adult services during the planning of new trials.

These data will serve as a benchmark for assessing the success of measures to improve clinical trial accrual of TYA across England. The analysis only reflects trials open to recruitment during 2005 – 2007. The effect of opening and closing trials is visible within this first 2-year analysis. Large trials such as the osteosarcoma study, EURAMOS-1, which did not start accruing in the UK until September 2005, made a significant improvement to the overall accrual rate across all ages. However, an age-dependent effect was still evident. The CCLG germ cell tumour study GC-3, which did not have all centres activated during 2005 – 2006, was also under-represented in the first year of analysis. For this trial, accrual did not appear to improve markedly as a consequence of activation of more centres.

We have used cancer incidence data from 2004 as this is most recently available, but is not concurrent with our accrual data which is between 1 April 2005 and 31 March 2006. Although it is unlikely that changes in incidence will have significantly affected the results, difficulties in the tumour classification used by cancer registries may have led to inappropriate inclusion of some tumours, for example some benign brain tumours. Trials may include patients with only certain stages of disease and we were

| Portfolio | 1 April 2005 – 31 March 2006 | 1 April 2006 – 31 March 2007 | % Change |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Bone     |                            |                             |          |
| 0–4 years| 0.0                        | 66.6                        | +66.6    |
| 5–9 years| 37.5                       | 68.7                        | +31.2    |
| 10–14 years | 56.3                       | 100.0                       | +43.7    |
| 15–19 years | 22.2                       | 73.3                        | +51.1    |
| 20–24 years | 28.6                       | 42.8                        | +41.2    |
| Children aged 5–14 years | 46.9                       | 100.0                       | +53.1    |
| Older teenagers and young adults, 15–24 years | 24.2                       | 63.6                        | +39.4    |
| Brain and central nervous system |                  |                             |          |
| 0–4 years| 28.4                       | 29.7                        | +1.3     |
| 5–9 years| 20.0                       | 32.9                        | +12.9    |
| 10–14 years | 18.3                       | 20.7                        | +12.4    |
| 15–19 years | 17.9                       | 10.3                        | -7.6     |
| 20–24 years | 0.0                        | 0.0                         | 0.0      |
| Children aged 5–14 years | 19.1                       | 26.3                        | +7.2     |
| Older teenagers and young adults, 15–24 years | 8.6                        | 4.9                         | -3.7     |
| Germ cell |                            |                             |          |
| 0–4 years| 0.0                        | 100.0                       | +100.0   |
| 5–9 years| 0.0                        | 0.0                         | 0.0      |
| 10–14 years | 0.0                        | 0.0                         | 0.0      |
| 15–19 years | 5.2                        | 3.4                         | -1.8     |
| 20–24 years | 2.3                        | 3.1                         | +0.8     |
| Children aged 5–14 years | 0.0                        | 0.0                         | 0.0      |
| Older teenagers and young adults, 15–24 years | 3.2                        | 3.2                         | 0.0      |
| Leukaemia |                            |                             |          |
| 0–4 years| 84.1                       | 77.7                        | -6.4     |
| 5–9 years| 99.1                       | 85.5                        | -13.6    |
| 10–14 years | 100.0                      | 100.0                       | 0.0      |
| 15–19 years | 91.9                       | 77.5                        | -14.0    |
| 20–24 years | 92.9                       | 48.2                        | -44.7    |
| Children aged 5–14 years | 100.0                      | 91.3                        | -8.7     |
| Older teenagers and young adults, 15–24 years | 92.3                       | 64.5                        | -27.8    |
| Lymphoma |                            |                             |          |
| 0–4 years| 5.0                        | 10.0                        | +5.0     |
| 5–9 years| 0.0                        | 3.2                         | +3.2     |
| 10–14 years | 8.6                        | 2.5                         | -6.1     |
| 15–19 years | 4.5                        | 4.5                         | 0.0      |
| 20–24 years | 8.3                        | 7.8                         | -0.5     |
| Children aged 5–14 years | 6.3                        | 2.6                         | +3.7     |
| Older teenagers and young adults, 15–24 years | 6.6                        | 6.3                         | +0.3     |
unable to refine the incidence data to account for this, for example to accurately determine the proportion of patients with good risk GCT who would be potentially eligible. We also anticipated reporting accrual data by each National Cancer Research Network but accurate cancer incidence data in the required age bands was not available. Further, we encountered concerns about the release of small case numbers where the identification of individual patients is seen as a potential hazard.

The data we have presented covers approximately 74% of TYA tumours. Further study is required to identify trends in trial availability and accrual for those tumours constituting the remaining 26% of TYA cancers. We anticipate that trial participation will be lower for this cohort as the range of tumour types is greater including early onset of common adult carcinomas with smaller numbers of incident cases for each cancer.

TYA are less likely than children to be included in clinical trials of the most common cancers for their respective age groups. Improvements in accrual may contribute to improved treatment outcomes. This may be achieved through giving greater consideration to TYA in the planning of clinical trials and ensuring that relevant trials are active in centres treating young people. Particular attention is required to improve trial accrual in cancers where survival is poor, such as brain and bone tumours. Recognition of TYA in new performance incentives for cancer outcomes. This may be achieved through giving greater consideration to TYA in the planning of clinical trials and ensuring that relevant trials are active in centres treating young people. Particular attention is required to improve trial accrual in cancers where survival is poor, such as brain and bone tumours. Recognition of TYA in new performance incentives for cancer outcomes. This may be achieved through giving greater consideration to TYA in the planning of clinical trials and ensuring that relevant trials are active in centres treating young people.
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