LABLAB EFFECT ON SOIL PROPERTIES AND SUBSEQUENT MAIZE-COWPEA INTERCROP
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ABSTRACT
The ability of herbaceous legumes to supply nitrogen to subsequent cereal crops could be harnessed to alleviate the difficulties in cereal production due to poor soil fertility and expensive inorganic fertilizers. Field experiments were carried out in Zaria, Nigeria to determine the soil improvement potential of Lablab purpureus accessions and evaluate the grain and fodder response of maize-cowpea intercrop to one-year fallow rotation. Six lablab accessions (ILRI 147, ILRI 4612, PI 388013, PI 183451, PI 195851 and PI 532170) of different maturity groups and natural vegetation represented the fallow treatments. The maize and cowpea test crops were TZ E Comp.5 W and IT99K-241-2, respectively. Lablab fallow improved soil organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. The early maturing PI 388013 increased phosphorus and potassium by 179 and 100 %, respectively whereas extremely late maturing PI 195851 increased nitrogen by 18 % while another early maturing accession PI 183451 increased organic carbon by 17 %. Lablab fallow did not influence grain and 500-seed-weight of maize; it did not also influence 100-seed-weight, grain and fodder yields of cowpea. The fallow period for lablab may not have been long enough for significant improvement of soil properties to impact maize and cowpea performance in relation to natural fallow. The study showed that a maize-cowpea intercrop following lablab in rotation can be of rational inclusion in the farming system of the zone.
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INTRODUCTION
Lablab (Lablab purpureus [L.] Sweet), a multi-purpose legume used for food, fodder, soil improvement, soil protection and weed control (Ewansiha et al., 2007), may be grown as a component crop in mixed farming systems (NAS, 1979). In northern Nigeria, lablab provides food for humans, fodder for livestock and income from the sale of grains and fodder (Bhat and Etejere, 1985; Thomas and Sunberg, 1995; Iwuafor and Onunze, 2000; Adeoye and Onufade, 2000). Ewansiha et al., 2008, opined that increasing use of lablab within the mixed crop-livestock farming systems would increase the availability of livestock feed, while improving soil fertility and providing food for the people at the same time from the same piece of land. Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata [L.] Walp.) is a major component of the traditional cropping systems in Africa, Asia, and Central and South America where it is widely grown in mixtures with other crops in various combinations (Olufajo and Singh, 2002). Maize (Zea mays L.) which is the most important cereal crop in sub-Saharan Africa (IITA, 2006), is rapidly replacing millet (Pennisetum glaucum L.) and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) as the staple grain crop in the northern Guinea savanna (Fakorede et al., 2003; Kamara et al., 2005). Maize, millet and sorghum are commonly grown in intercrop combinations with cowpea (Stoop, 1986; NAERLS and NPAFS, 2010).

Low soil fertility is among the key factors affecting cowpea intercrop productivity (Olufajo and Singh, 2002). Nitrogen is the most limiting nutrient in maize production in the savannas of West and Central Africa (Carsky and Iwuafor, 1995). The high price of inorganic fertilizer and poor access by farmers are
constraints to N fertilizer use among farmers in the sub-region (Smith et al., 1997; Akintoye et al., 1999). One strategy that may help in improving the productivity of maize-cowpea intercrop under low soil fertility is to combine the use of residual nitrogen from nitrogen fixing legumes and inorganic fertilizer. Previous studies (Cheruiyot et al., 2001; Ewansiha et al., 2008) showed that soil N status and yield of maize improved following the growing and incorporation of lablab residues. Adding N fertilizer to plots formerly grown to lablab enhanced the productivity of subsequent maize. However, no information exists on the effect of lablab on maize-cowpea intercrop even with added nitrogen fertilizer. This information is desirable in order to establish the productivity of cereal-legume intercropping system under the combined use of legume fallow and low rate of nitrogen fertilizer. This study was therefore conducted to determine the soil improvement potential of lablab accessions and evaluate the grain and fodder response of intercropped maize and cowpea to lablab fallow.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

Field studies were conducted during the 2008, 2009 and 2010 growing seasons (June-November) at Samaru, Zaria in the northern Guinea savanna of Nigeria (11° 11’N latitude, 7° 38’ E, longitude; 686 m asl) on a sandy loam classified as alfisols with basement complex parent material. Rainfall is monomodal, starting in May and ending in October with an average rainfall of 1000 mm. The zone has a growing period of 151–180 days, with a daily mean temperature of 20°C during the growing season. The rainfall and temperature during the trial period are summarized in Table 1.

Plant materials, treatments and experimental design

A total of six lablab accessions (PI 388013, very early maturing, PI 183451, early maturing, PI 532170, intermediate maturing, ILRI 147, late maturing, ILRI 4612, very late maturing, and PI 195851, extremely late maturing) as identified by Ewansiha (2002) were evaluated in the study. These accessions were initially obtained from Texas A & M University, and International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Addis-Ababa, Ethiopia. At the time of their evaluation in this trial, they have been multiplied severally in Nigeria. Maize variety intercropped with cowpea was early maturing TZE Comp. 5 W; the cowpea variety was late maturing IT99K-241-2. The maize and cowpea varieties were obtained from the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. The experiment was laid out as a randomized complete block design with four replications. The treatments consisted of the lablab accessions and a weedy control. Each treatment plot measured 3 × 5 m and consisted of four rows with 0.75 m spacing between rows.

Agronomic practices

In 2008, the trial field was disc-harrowed following vegetation kill with paraquat (1:1-dimethyl-4, 4-bipyridinum dichloride). The trial field was divided into two portions, A and B. The lablab fallow was established on portion A in 2008 and on portion B in 2009. The plots were hand-ridged using hoes. Three seeds of the lablab accessions were planted at a spacing of 20 cm within row at a depth of 2-4 cm. The seeds were planted on 18 July in 2008 and 29 June in 2009. At planting, the experiments received a basal application of SSP at a rate of 30 kg P ha⁻¹. Seedlings were left un-thinned. Insecticide, karate (50 g/l lambda-cyhalothrin manufactured by Syngenta Crop protection AG, Switzerland) mixed with Nugor (40% w/v dimethoate manufactured by United Phosphorus Limited, India), was applied thrice at a rate of one litre ha⁻¹ to control insect pests. Weeds were controlled by hoe-weeding at three and six weeks after planting (WAP). In 2009 and 2010, maize was planted to all lablab and weedy treatment plots of the previous year. Lablab residues or weeds were evenly spread and incorporated at ridging. Maize was planted on 20 June in 2009 and on 19 June in 2010. Three seeds of maize were planted at a spacing of 25 cm within row at a depth of 2-4 cm. Seedlings were thinned to one plant stand⁻¹ at two WAP. At planting, the experiments received a basal application of NPK 15:15:15 at a rate of 30 kg N, P and K ha⁻¹. At three WAP, urea was side-dressed to provide nitrogen at a rate of 30 kg ha⁻¹. Weeds were controlled by hoe-weeding at three and five WAP. At six WAP, cowpea was introduced into the maize when earthening-up was done. Three cowpea seeds were planted half-way between two stands of maize within row and seedlings were thinned to two plants stand⁻¹ at two WAP. Similar to lablab, insect pests were sprayed thrice with karate (50 g/l lambda-cyhalothrin manufactured by Syngenta Crop protection AG, Switzerland) mixed with Nugor (40% w/v dimethoate manufactured by United Phosphorus Limited, India) at a rate of one litre ha⁻¹. A single hoe-weeding was carried out just before flowering began. Lablab and cowpea were harvested when pods have turned fully brown and dried while maize was harvested when cobs turned brown and leaves were dried.
Data collection

Temperature and rainfall data were obtained from the Institute of Agricultural Research (IAR) Agro-Meteorological Station located near the experimental plot. At the onset of the experiment, initial soil samples were collected randomly across the experimental field at 0-25 cm soil depth using soil auger. The soil samples were bulked and a composite sample was taken. In 2009 and 2010, just before maize was planted, soil sample was taken from each treatment plot. The soil samples were bulked according to each treatment and composite sample was taken. All composite samples were dried, ground and sieved using a 1 mm sieve. The composite samples were taken to the Analytical Services Laboratory (ASLAB) of IITA for the determination of soil pH, organic carbon (OC), total N, extractable P, and exchangeable K following standard procedures (IITA, 1982).

Field data were collected from the two middle rows leaving the outside rows. At maturity, lablab and cowpea pods were harvested, air-dried for two weeks and shelled. Grains were weighed and expressed in kg ha\(^{-1}\), adjusted to 14 % moisture content using Dickey-john grain moisture tester. The fodder was rolled up and left in the plot to dry. When constant weight was achieved, fodder was weighed and calculated in kg ha\(^{-1}\). Mean 100-seed weight was recorded for each plot. For maize, ears were removed, air-dried for one week and shelled. Grains were weighed and expressed in kg ha\(^{-1}\), adjusted to 12 % moisture content using Dickey-john grain moisture tester. Each plot stover (stem, leaf, and husk) was sun-dried to a constant weight on the field, weighed and expressed in kg ha\(^{-1}\). Mean 500-seed weight was recorded for each plot.

Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS for Windows Release 9.2 (SAS Institute, 2008). The SAS procedure used for the ANOVA was Generalized Linear Model (GLM). Means procedure in the SAS programme with the option Duncan (for Duncan’s multiple range test) was used in separating the means of the main effects. Variability of means is presented as standard error (s.e.) at 5% test of significance. The analysis was done over the two years due to non-significant interactions.

RESULTS

Growing conditions

The soils utilized in the current study were well-drained, sandy-loam with mean pH of 5.1. Rainfall in the three years of experimentation was higher than the mean for the zone being 1140, 1278 and 1127 mm in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively (Table 1). Mean maximum and minimum temperatures during the growing seasons were 32.1 and 19.3°C respectively.

Soil properties

The soil properties before and after lablab are presented in Table 2. Organic carbon and nitrogen contents of the natural fallow deteriorated after cropping whereas P and K contents increased although not to the extent recorded by lablab accessions. On average, there were increases in soil properties due to lablab for OC (7 %), N (10 %), P (68 %) and K (13 %). Highest increases were recorded in plots previously planted to PI 183451 for OC (11%), PI 195851 for N (18 %) and PI 388013 for P and K (179 and 100 %, respectively).

Grain yield, 100-seed-weight and fodder yield of lablab accessions

Grain yield, 100-seed-weight and fodder yield of lablab accessions are presented in Table 3. Lablab accessions ILRI 147 and PI 183451 produced similar grain yields which were significantly higher than those obtained from ILRI 4612, PI 195851, PI 388013 and PI 532171. Significant differences did not occur among these other accessions in grain yield. The 100-seed-weight of lablab ranged from 16.5 to 22.1 g. ILRI 147 and PI 183451 produced seeds that were significantly heavier than those of PI 388013 and PI 532170. Accessions PI 388013 and PI 532170 had the lightest seeds. Fodder yields of ILRI 147, ILRI 4612 and PI 532170 were similar but significantly higher than those of PI 195851, PI 388013 and PI 183451. Lowest fodder yield was obtained from natural fallow plot when compared with the lablab accessions.
Table 1. Rainfall and temperature at Samaru during the experimental period

| Month     | Rainfall (mm) | Temperature (°C) | Rainfall (mm) | Temperature (°C) | Rainfall (mm) | Temperature (°C) |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
|           | min | max | min | max | min | max | min | max | min | max |
| January   | 0   | 13.6 | 29 | 0   | 14.1 | 33.8 | 0 | 13.4 | 33.8 |
| February  | 0   | 15.7 | 32 | 0   | 16.9 | 36.3 | 0 | 17.4 | 37.1 |
| March     | 0   | 19.9 | 38.6 | 0 | 19.6 | 38 | 0 | 21.1 | 37.2 |
| April     | 72.6 | 21.8 | 37.4 | 20.3 | 23.2 | 38.4 | 52.4 | 22.8 | 38.5 |
| May       | 95.2 | 21.9 | 35 | 85.1 | 22.2 | 35.5 | 92.9 | 22.7 | 35.4 |
| June      | 111.7 | 20.9 | 33.1 | 89.5 | 21 | 33.2 | 158.3 | 20.6 | 32.6 |
| July      | 201.3 | 20 | 30.5 | 285 | 20 | 32.3 | 216.8 | 19.4 | 30.3 |
| August    | 352.6 | 19.5 | 29.7 | 439.7 | 20.4 | 30 | 313.4 | 20.1 | 29.8 |
| September | 217.5 | 25.5 | 31.4 | 206.7 | 20 | 31.9 | 211.2 | 20.9 | 31.2 |
| October   | 89 | 18.2 | 33.2 | 151.7 | 20.3 | 32.8 | 82.3 | 20.6 | 32.6 |
| November  | 0 | 12.8 | 33.8 | 0 | 14.8 | 32.4 | 0 | 16.2 | 33.7 |
| December  | 0 | 14.6 | 32.1 | 0 | 13.3 | 33.5 | 0 | 12.6 | 31.8 |
| Total     | 1139.9 | 1278 | 1127.0 | 1127.0 |

Table 2. Lablab effects on subsequent soil pH, OC, total N, extractable P, and exchangeable K

| Treatment | pH(H₂O) 1:1 | OC (g kg⁻¹) | N (g kg⁻¹) | P (µg g⁻¹) | K⁺ Cmol kg⁻¹ |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Before Lablab | 5.1 | 5.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 0.23 |
| ILRI 147 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 0.52 | 2.9 | 0.15 |
| ILRI 4612 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 0.55 | 5.3 | 0.30 |
| PI 195851 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 0.59 | 5.4 | 0.21 |
| PI 388013 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 0.55 | 9.5 | 0.46 |
| PI 183451 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 0.56 | 4.4 | 0.19 |
| PI 532170 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 0.53 | 6.9 | 0.25 |
| Natural fallow | 5.1 | 5.4 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 0.24 |

Table 3. Grain yield, seed weight and fodder yield of lablab

| Treatment | Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) | 100-seed-weight (g) | Fodder yield (kg ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| ILRI 147 | 1371.6a | 21.9a | 3872.0a |
| ILRI 4612 | 819.7b | 18.9abc | 3852.0a |
| PI 195851 | 931.3b | 20.3ab | 2400.0b |
| PI 388013 | 595.0b | 17.6bc | 2412.0b |
| PI 183451 | 1317.7a | 22.1a | 2554.0b |
| PI 532170 | 939.7b | 16.5c | 3222.0a |
| Natural fallow | - | - | 1492.4c |
| Mean | 995.8 | 19.6 | 2942.1 |
| SE | 125.67 | 1.10 | 294.47 |

Mean values within each column having similar letter(s) in common are not significantly different at 5% level of probability according to Duncan Multiple Range Test.

Table 4. Lablab effects on grain yield, seed weight and fodder yield of subsequent intercropped maize

| Treatment | Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) | 500-seed-weight (g) | Fodder yield (kg ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| ILRI 147 | 3501.4 | 117.3 | 3141.4ab |
| ILRI 4612 | 3355.9 | 121.6 | 4072.4a |
| PI 195851 | 3568.9 | 124.3 | 3484.8ab |
| PI 388013 | 3433.7 | 112.0 | 3179.3ab |
| PI 183451 | 3494.8 | 123.3 | 3338.8ab |
| PI 532170 | 3111.4 | 117.3 | 3413.6ab |
| Natural fallow | 3001.4 | 110.0 | 2774.3b |
| Mean | 3352.5 | 118.0 | 3343.1 |
| SE | 368.07 | | |

Mean values within each column having similar letter(s) in common are not significantly different at 5% level of probability according to Duncan Multiple Range Test.
Grain yield, 500-seed-weight and fodder yield of maize

Maize grain yield ranged from 3001.4 for fallow plot to 3568.9 kg ha$^{-1}$ for PI 195851 plot; significant differences did not occur among the treatments (Table 4). Similarly, significant differences did not occur among the treatments for 500-seed-weight. Five hundred-seed-weight ranged from 110.0 for natural fallow plot to 124.3 g for PI 195851 plot. Fodder yield was significantly higher for ILRI 4612 than for natural fallow. However, fodder yield was similar among other treatments.

Grain yield, 100-seed-weight and fodder yield of cowpea

There were no significant differences among the treatments for cowpea grain yield, 100-seed-weight and fodder yield (Table 5). Averaged over all treatments, cowpea grain yield was 362.1 kg ha$^{-1}$, 100-seed-weight 21.7 g and fodder yield 519 kg ha$^{-1}$.

**DISCUSSION**

The prevailing soil and weather conditions especially rainfall during the growing seasons were adequate for crop production. Ewansiha et al. (2008) reported that amount of rainfall can improve or adversely affect lablab performance depending on whether lablab is early or late maturing. According to Cheruiyot et al. (2001), maize is produced with high rainfall (300-600 mm) whereas lablab and cowpea are produced with the low rainfall (250 – 400 mm) in Kenya.

Results showed that lablab can improve several soil properties at the same time. Organic carbon increased by 4-13 % in plots following lablab compared to natural fallow. Abunyewa and Karbo (2005) reported a higher organic content for pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) and leucaena (*Leucaena leucocephala*) compared to a natural fallow system. Similarly, soil N increased by 6-20 % in plots following lablab compared to natural fallow. This finding is in agreement with previously reported values of 4-18 % for legumes in northern Nigeria (Carsky et al., 1999). Among several legumes studied, Cheruiyot et al. (2001) reported highest increase in soil N in lablab plots, which was due to high residue quality. Ewansiha et al. (2007) reported up to 184 kg N ha$^{-1}$ for lablab shoot. The higher soil P and K obtained in plots planted to PI 388013 may indicate that this accession is better able to mine soil P and K from deeper soil horizons. Alternatively, decaying tissues of PI 388013 may have the potential to release more P and K than other lablab accessions. Again, PI 388013 may have utilized less the P that was previously applied to the lablab plots. Nonetheless, the ability of PI 388013 and ILRI 4612 to substantially improve soil P and K may be of practical significance. This may mean that when crops such as cowpea and maize with high P/K requirement follow lablab in rotation, lesser amounts of these macro nutrients will be required.

Maize from plots previously grown to lablab had grain yields and seed weights that were not significantly different from those of natural fallow plots with no lablab. This finding did not however support earlier reports (Cheruiyot et al., 2001; Ewansiha et al., 2008). This may be due to the short fallow period of one year used in the present study compared with the two-year fallow reported by Ewansiha et al. (2008). This may mean that the one-year fallow period was not long enough for lablab to improve the soil for significant response by subsequent maize for these traits. In addition, the fodder yield of lablab was low compared to those earlier reported (Ewansiha et al., 2008). The soil improvement potential of lablab is related to the biomass (Cheruiyot et al., 2001).

Maize grain yields ranging from 3.0 - 3.6 t ha$^{-1}$ recorded in this study is below the potential maize grain yield (4 to 6 t ha$^{-1}$) estimated for the region (Fakorede et al., 2003). Tarawali (1994), however, reported similar grain yield (3.0 t ha$^{-1}$) for maize following legume fallow. Although not to a large extent, maize

### Table 5. Lablab effects on grain yield, seed weight and fodder yield of subsequent intercropped cowpea

| Treatment       | Grain yield (kg ha$^{-1}$) | 100-seed-weight (g) | Fodder yield (kg ha$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| ILRI 147       | 371.4                     | 22.4                | 475.0                       |
| ILRI 4612      | 331.4                     | 21.6                | 458.3                       |
| PI 195851      | 393.8                     | 22.5                | 583.3                       |
| PI 388013      | 297.8                     | 21.7                | 483.3                       |
| PI 183451      | 363.9                     | 21.8                | 483.3                       |
| PI 532170      | 370.2                     | 21.2                | 600.0                       |
| Natural fallow | 405.2                     | 21.0                | 550.0                       |
| Mean           | 362.0                     | 21.7                | 550.0                       |
grain yield may have been reduced by intercropping. In a review involving maize and cowpea intercrop, Olufajo and Singh (2002) reported that maize grain yields were not affected by the cowpea component. On the other hand, maize yield following legumes will depend on the degree of N limitation (Muhr et al., 2002). Yields of maize might have improved if there where higher availability of N.

Grain yield, seed weight and fodder yield did not vary among the treatments. Grain and fodder yields were low, averaging 0.4 and 0.5 t ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. Low intercrop productivity has earlier been reported (Terao et al., 1997). Among the several reasons that have been advanced for the low productivity of intercropping systems is shading (Mortimore et al., 1997; Terao et al., 1997; Olufajo and Singh, 2002). The morphologically shorter component, usually cowpea, suffers greater yield reduction as a result of the shading effect of the taller cereal plants. Whenever intercropped cowpea affected maize, maize reduced cowpea yields more than the effect of cowpea on maize yields (Ofori and Stern, 1987) because of the greater competitive ability of maize when intercropped with cowpea.

The present study has shown that a maize-cowpea intercrop following lablab in rotation can be of rational inclusion in the farming system of the zone. Before this time, reported works on crop rotation has always been between two crops, for example, maize following soybean or cowpea or lablab. The present work has shown that a mixture of maize and cowpea can follow lablab. In this case, the soil is not only improved for the following crop, usually a cereal, but there is an enterprise diversity that yields both cereal and leguminous products. This contributes to the improvement of the livelihoods of the rural farmers in terms of better nutrition: the cereal provides their carbohydrate needs while the legume provides a cheap source of protein. The system also provides both cereal and leguminous fodder which ensures quality fodder for household animals.

**CONCLUSION**

Lablab fallows improved soil properties. Fallow treatments, lablab or natural, did not influence grain yield and seed weight of maize; it did not also influence seed weight, grain and fodder yields of cowpea whereas fodder yield of maize was significantly higher only in plots previously planted to ILRI 4612. The fallow period for lablab may not have been long enough for significant improvement of soil properties to impact maize and cowpea performance in relation to natural fallow. The maize-cowpea intercrop may have limited higher yield in cowpea. In future studies, in a short fallow that involves one year or season, higher lablab biomass should be aimed at by narrowing the spacing between two rows of lablab. This may help to achieve quicker soil improvement in the short period.
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