Detecting recurrent head and neck cancer using electronic nose technology: A feasibility study
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this feasibility study was to assess the diagnostic performance of an electronic nose (e-nose) as a noninvasive diagnostic tool in detecting locoregional recurrent and/or second (or third) primary head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) after curative treatment.

Methods: Using an e-nose (Aeonose, The eNose Company, Zutphen, The Netherlands), breath samples were collected from patients after curative treatment of an HNSCC with a locoregional recurrence or second (or third) primary tumor (N = 20) and from patients without evidence of recurrent disease (N = 20). Analyses were performed utilizing artificial neural networking based on patterns of volatile organic compounds.

Results: A diagnostic accuracy of 83% was observed in differentiating follow-up patients with locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC from those without evidence of disease.

Conclusion: This study has demonstrated the feasibility of using an e-nose to detect locoregional recurrent and/or second (or third) primary HNSCC.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Head and neck cancer (HNC) has a major impact on global health, being the seventh most common cancer worldwide.1 The vast majority of HNCs are squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Despite recent advances in diagnostic approaches and treatment modalities for HNC, the 5-year survival rates have improved only marginally in the past decades.2 In part, this can be attributed to the high rates of locoregional recurrence and second primary HNSCC. Several authors report a 10% to 50% rate of recurrence,3,4 approximately 75% of which found within 2 years after curative treatment. The probability of developing a second primary HNSCC within 5 years after initial diagnosis is approximately 20%.5,6

Diagnosing locoregional recurrence and/or second primary HNSCC has proven to be challenging. Tumor recurrence and complications following radiotherapy, one of the main (adjuvant) treatment modalities, often present with identical clinical symptoms. Furthermore, oncological treatment often severely alters both anatomy and physiology. Consequently, it is difficult to assess the indication for an endoscopic procedure solely by clinical examination and diagnostic imaging. In addition, pathologic differentiation between (radio) necrosis and tumor can be difficult. As a
result, less than half of endoscopic procedures correctly differentiate a recurrent HNSCC from postirradiation complications at first attempt, with relatively high rates of false-negative biopsies.\textsuperscript{7,8} It is therefore necessary to improve the diagnostic approach for previously treated patients with HNSCC with suspected locoregional recurrence and/or second primary HNSCC. The need to develop new strategies is urgent, in that early diagnosis could lead to higher survival rates and fewer futile endoscopic procedures under general anesthesia.

A promising diagnostic and screening tool for this purpose is volatile organic compounds (VOCs) analysis. VOCs are gaseous products of both physiological and pathological processes in the human body. Disease is often associated with altered metabolism, resulting in a modified VOC output with a distinctive fingerprint.\textsuperscript{9} Several techniques have been used to assess VOCs. One combines gas chromatography with mass spectrometry; another, called electronic nose technology (e-nose), is based on pattern recognition rather than component identification.\textsuperscript{10} VOCs have been found in feces, urine, headspace of microorganism cultures, and exhaled breath. The compounds have been associated with respiratory,\textsuperscript{11} urogenital,\textsuperscript{12} and neurological disease,\textsuperscript{13} as well as with malignancies of the lung,\textsuperscript{14,15} colorectal,\textsuperscript{14,16} and head and neck origin.\textsuperscript{14,17-20}

The present study used an Aeoneose (The eNose Company, Zutphen, the Netherlands), a low-cost, rapid, portable, handheld, and noninvasive diagnostic tool for VOC pattern recognition in breath samples. Using this device, our group illustrated the ability of an e-nose to differentiate healthy patients from patients with primary HNSCC\textsuperscript{18} and lung carcinoma\textsuperscript{21} and to discriminate patients with primary HNSCC from those with bladder cancer, colon carcinoma, and lung carcinoma.\textsuperscript{14,19} To our knowledge, no other studies have been performed to investigate the use of e-nose technology in diagnosing recurrent and/or second primary HNSCC.

The aim of this feasibility study was to determine the diagnostic performance of an e-nose as a noninvasive diagnostic tool in detecting locoregional recurrent and/or second (or third) primary HNSCC after prior curative treatment.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants

This study was conducted at a tertiary care referral hospital: the Maastricht University Medical Center. Patients with histopathologically or cytologically confirmed locoregional recurrent and/or second (or third) primary HNSCC were included along with a follow-up group (ie, patients who had previously been curatively treated for HNSCC and showed no evidence of recurrent disease). All enrolled patients without evidence of recurrent HNSCC at the time of e-nose measurement remained tumor free at least up till the end of the study, with a minimal follow-up duration of 6 months. Only patients with HNSCC originating from the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, or larynx were included. Locoregional recurrence was defined as a newly diagnosed HNSCC at a distance of less than 2 cm from the primary tumor site or in an adjacent regional lymph node after a disease-free period of 6 months or more but less than 2 years. A tumor arising farther than 2 cm from a primary tumor site or after a disease-free period of more than 2 years was considered as a second primary HNSCC. A tumor arising less than 6 months after curatively intended treatment was considered as residual disease and therefore such patients were not included in the present study.\textsuperscript{22} This study protocol is approved by the METC. Project registration number 11407. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Exclusion criteria were: age under 18, current tracheostomy, supplemental oxygen, current carcinoma in situ, having had any treatment for current tumor or a history of any other form of cancer. Patients unable to complete an e-nose measurement or to endure a nose clip were excluded as well. Follow-up patients having had any oncological treatment within 6 months prior to measurement were excluded to rule out any adverse short-term effects of treatment on VOC output. A past history of cutaneous squamous-cell or basal-cell carcinoma was permitted due to the fact that the vast majority of such cases represent localized disease with an extremely low incidence of metastasis.\textsuperscript{23}

Tumor characteristics and medical history were gathered from the clinical records. TNM stages were established by an experienced head and neck tumor board using the American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging Manual (seventh edition). Patients' smoking habits were documented. Their smoking history was reported in pack years, which were calculated using an online pack year calculator.\textsuperscript{24} Smoking cessation was defined as no smoking for at least 1 month. Side effects or adverse effects during or shortly after measurement were documented. Oral informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study protocol was approved by the local medical ethics committee in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 | Study design

All patients were asked to inhale and exhale through the e-nose for 5 minutes. Before starting the measurement, they were instructed to breathe through the device to familiarize themselves with the procedure. To prevent entry of nonfiltered air, a nose clip was placed on the nose. Participants were instructed to close their lips over the mouthpiece at all
times, not to talk, and, if possible, not to sneeze or cough during the procedure. Measurements of patients not meeting these standards were not used for analysis.

E-nose measurements took place in accordance with “standard cancer care” based on national guidelines for diagnostic routines in patients with cancer. To eliminate potential interference in the diagnostic process, no individual e-nose outcomes were given to the participants or the medical practitioners. The results were labeled “sick” or “healthy”.

We then determined the diagnostic performance of an e-nose in detecting locoregional recurrent and/or second (or third) primary HNSCC after prior curative treatment. This was achieved by analysis of the e-nose measurements, whereby breath patterns of follow-up patients without evidence of disease were compared to follow-up patients with histopathologically or cytologically confirmed locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC. To reduce the long-term effects of oncological treatment on the analysis of e-nose measurements, participants with recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC were randomly matched to patients (without evidence of disease during measurement) who had received similar oncologic treatments for primary HNSCC. This was accomplished by means of “case-control matching” analysis performed with a statistical software package of IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (Armonk, New York: IBM Corp.). The matching resulted in a cohort of follow-up patients with locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC (N = 20) and follow-up patients without evidence of disease (N = 20), whereby the variances in received oncological treatment modalities, were equal for the two groups.

2.3 | Materials

The e-nose used in this study (Aeonose; The eNose Company, Zutphen, the Netherlands), harbors three metal-oxide sensors (AS-MLV sensors, Applied Sensors GmbH). During measurement, the hotplates are heated and cooled in 32 steps, accurately regulating temperature between 260°C and 340°C. Although the sensors are exposed to exhaled air, temperature-dependent reduction and oxidation (redox) reactions of VOCs on the metal-oxide surface affect the conductivity of the sensors. The registered changes in conductivity represent a unique VOC pattern. A full measurement procedure lasts about 15 minutes, of which 5 minutes are spent on inhalation and exhalation. The remaining time is used for sensor regeneration and detecting possible low-concentrated VOCs (for a more detailed discussion on this point-of-care device, see van Hooren et al).19 To eliminate possible device-related confounding factors, two Aeonoses (serial numbers 309 and 362) were used in this study.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Differences in baseline characteristics were determined using independent sample t test, Fisher’s exact Test, and Mann Whitney U test. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (Armonk, New York: IBM Corp.).

Each e-nose measurement yields 64 (temperature values) times and 36 (measurement cycles) times 3 (sensors) data points, generating a multiway data set consisting of conductivity values. After preprocessing, the data are compressed using a TUCKER3-like solution generating low-dimensional vectors for each measurement. Subsequently, these vectors, representing unique VOC patterns, are used to train an artificial neural network (ANN). The combination of several preprocessing techniques, vector lengths, and neural network topologies results into several models for separating patients who are “sick” from “healthy.” A model showing proper diagnostic accuracy was selected. Preprocessing, data compression, and ANN analysis have been integrated in a proprietary software package (Aethena: The eNose Company, Zutphen, the Netherlands). “Leave-10%-out” cross-validation was applied to prevent fitting of the data on artifacts instead of breath profile classifiers. All data were labeled either “sick” (ie, follow-up patient with recurrent, second, or third HNSCC) or “healthy” (ie, follow-up patient without evidence of disease) when processed by Aethena.

The individual predictive values (ranging from −1 to 1) were presented in a scatterplot and subsequently used to assemble a receiver operating characteristics curve (ROC curve).

3 | RESULTS

A total of 40 patients were enrolled in this study. The collection of breath samples did not result in any adverse effects requiring medical attention. The study included follow-up patients with HNSCC without evidence of disease (N = 20) and follow-up patients with histopathologically or cytologically confirmed locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC (N = 20). Baseline characteristics are shown in Tables 1 and 2. A significant difference in mean age was observed between the two groups.

Follow-up patients with locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC were compared to follow-up patients without evidence of disease. Figure 1 displays a scatterplot of individual predicted values as calculated by ANN on the basis of e-nose measurements. To obtain the best possible diagnostic values, the threshold was set to −0.06. Individual predicted values above this threshold were classified as positive, and values below this threshold were classified as negative for recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC.
HNSCC. Substantial variances in individual predicted values were observed; approximately 80% of the predictive values were located between \(-0.5\) and 0.5.

A sensitivity (SE), specificity (SP), positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and overall diagnostic accuracy of respectively 85%, 80%, 81%, 84%, and 83% were achieved. The corresponding ROC curve, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.85, is presented in Figure 2.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

In this feasibility study, we examined the ability of an e-nose to discriminate between follow-up patients with locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC, on the one hand, and follow-up patients without evidence of disease, after prior curative treatment, on the other. To attenuate the effects of oncological treatment on e-nose VOC output, participants were randomly matched based on oncological treatment undergone previously. The substantial variances in individual predicted values indicate that, besides having (had) malignant disease and oncologic treatment, a complex interplay of multiple factors contributes to VOC output, as visualized in Figure 1. Nonetheless, our results show high diagnostic accuracies in differentiating follow-up patients with from those without recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC. Our findings illustrate the feasibility of using an e-nose for diagnosing recurrent and second (or third) primary HNSCC after prior oncological treatment.

In the present study, participants with locoregional recurrent HNSCC as well as participants with second (or third) primary HNSCC were analyzed together. Combining these groups could possibly mispresent the true diagnostic performance of an
The ability of an e-nose to discriminate follow-up patients with locoregional recurrent or second primary HNSCC from those without disease might depend on previous oncologic treatment. There is evidence suggesting that irradiated normal tissue is subjected to persistent injury at molecular and cellular level (e.g., oxidative stress, hypoxia, and inflammation), resulting in metabolic derangements and complications of radiotherapy (e.g., mandibular osteoradionecrosis). Furthermore, recent studies propose the possibility of a self-sustaining immunologic response to irradiated normal tissue, similar to an autoimmune reaction, following radiotherapy. Such a response has the potential to modify VOC output in irradiated patients. This may imply that separate predictive models based on prior treatment modalities need to be constructed in order to achieve the best possible diagnostic accuracies by means of an e-nose. No studies have been conducted yet to evaluate the association between long-term metabolic and immunogenic alterations following radiotherapy in relation to VOC output. Further research is needed in order to gain more insight in their potential role in VOC metabolism.

This is the first study to describe the potential role of an e-nose in diagnosing locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC. A recent systematic review evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of $^{18}$FDG-PET and $^{18}$FDG-PET/CT in detecting locoregional recurrent HNSCC at least 12 months following curative treatment. In their article, the authors found a pooled SE and SP of 92% and 91%, respectively, of which the latter significantly increased with time after primary treatment. Similar diagnostic accuracies were found for $^{18}$FDG-PET/MRI. A recent review described the potential role of apparent diffusion coefficients using MRI with diffusion-weighted imaging. That review reported
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sensitivities and specificities ranging from 85% to 95% and 69% to 100%, respectively, for the detection of locoregional HNSCC at least 3 months after initial treatment. Nonetheless, these imaging techniques have disadvantages that should not be ignored: the use of ionizing radiation and/or contrast medium, limited use within the first weeks after radiotherapy, and high costs. Narrow-band imaging (NBI) during flexible transnasal endoscopy is a relatively new optical method that is potentially suitable for detecting recurrent HNSCC. Different studies report promising diagnostic accuracies, with sensitivities and specificities ranging from 88% to 100% and 92% to 98%, respectively. However, it should be kept in mind that diagnostic performance is dependent on the clinicians' experience. In addition, for reliable examination of the laryngeal mucosa, direct laryngoscopy under general anesthesia is required. Furthermore, a regional lymph node recurrence and distant metastasis cannot be detected by NBI. An e-nose might be useful in overcoming the common disadvantages of modern imaging techniques and NBI. Potentially, the device could be used to identify patients suspected of recurrent or second primary HNSCC who could benefit from examination under general anesthesia (with biopsies taken). Moreover, the e-nose as a rapid, real-time, and low-cost diagnostic procedure might be particularly useful as a screening tool in primary health care and/or in less developed countries.

This is the first study to illustrate the diagnostic performance of an e-nose in diagnosing locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC. An e-nose seems to have potential as a rapid, real-time, and noninvasive tool for diagnosing recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC. A larger study, including a blinded group for validation, would be needed to determine whether an e-nose can be incorporated in the follow-up of patients with HNSCC.

5 | LIMITATIONS

This feasibility study has some limitations due to its design, and the results have to be interpreted accordingly. Due to matching, half of the participants were follow-up patients without evidence of disease whose history of oncological treatment was similar to that of follow-up patients with malignant disease. As a result, the group of follow-up patients without evidence of disease may not be an authentic representation of this population in a tertiary care hospital.

A possible limitation of this study is related to the use of ANN to determine the diagnostic performance of an e-nose. The models created by this technique could have been based partially on artifacts that are not directly related to malignant disease. The level of alcohol consumption and/or (history of) alcohol abuse was not documented, and the mean age differed significantly between the two groups, possibly contributing to artifacts. Cross-validations were done to reduce the influence of these issues but cannot exclude it entirely.

The group of patients with recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC was relatively small, possibly restricting the potential of ANN to calculate the predictive values of both groups. The vast majority of follow-up patients with malignant disease had second (or third) primary HNSCC, making the results less applicable for detection of locoregional recurrence of HNSCC. Also, due to the small number of participants in the current study, local and regional recurrences of HNSCC were not analyzed separately, thereby possibly limiting the diagnostic potential of an e-nose. Furthermore, a subgroup analysis for patients having stage I/II tumors might be relevant for the clinical use of the e-nose. However, the number of patients diagnosed with stage I/II tumors in our study population was not sufficient to create a reliable model with the ANN.

None of the patients without malignant disease received a diagnostic work-up to exclude cancerous disease, as no clinical symptoms were present at the time of sample collection.

6 | CONCLUSION

This is the first study to illustrate the potential of an e-nose as a noninvasive diagnostic tool in the follow-up of patients with HNSCC. With a diagnostic accuracy of 83%, an e-nose is regarded as playing a feasible role in detecting locoregional recurrent or second (or third) primary HNSCC, after prior curative treatment. A larger study, including a blinded group for validation, is needed to determine whether an e-nose could be incorporated in the follow-up of patients with HNSCC.
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