Rethinking temperature effects on leaf growth, gene expression and metabolism: Diel variation matters
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Abstract
Plants have evolved to grow under prominently fluctuating environmental conditions. In experiments under controlled conditions, temperature is often set to artificial, binary regimes with constant values at day and at night. This study investigated how such a diel (24 hr) temperature regime affects leaf growth, carbohydrate metabolism and gene expression, compared to a temperature regime with a field-like gradual increase and decline throughout 24 hr. Soybean (Glycine max) was grown under two contrasting diel temperature treatments. Leaf growth was measured in high temporal resolution. Periodical measurements were performed of carbohydrate concentrations, carbon isotopes as well as the transcriptome by RNA sequencing. Leaf growth activity peaked at different times under the two treatments, which cannot be explained intuitively. Under field-like temperature conditions, leaf growth followed temperature and peaked in the afternoon, whereas in the binary temperature regime, growth increased at night and decreased during daytime. Differential gene expression data suggest that a synchronization of cell division activity seems to be evoked in the binary temperature regime. Overall, the results show that the coordination of a wide range of metabolic processes is markedly affected by the diel variation of temperature, which emphasizes the importance of realistic environmental settings in controlled condition experiments.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Leaf growth is tightly coupled to the environment by internal oscillators such as the circadian clock (Farré, 2012; McClung, 2001; Nozue et al., 2007; Ruts, Matsubara, Wiese-Klinkenberg, & Walter, 2012). By controlling gene expression and metabolic processes, the circadian clock enables plants to synchronize their metabolism with external stimuli, thus optimizing photosynthesis, growth, survival and competitive advantage (Caldeira, Jeanguenin, Chaumont, & Tardieu, 2014; Dodd et al., 2005; Green, Tingay, Wang, & Tobin, 2002). Environmental factors such as light, temperature, water or nutrient availability affect leaf growth (Pantin, Simonneau, & Muller, 2012; Pantin,
Simonneau, Rolland, Dauzat, & Muller, 2011). Rapid changes of these factors can potentially alter the short-term, diel (24 hr) pattern of leaf growth. It was reported frequently that leaves of dicot plants show pronounced diel growth fluctuations that are repetitive but that differ between species and that are largely independent of the diel temperature regime (Bunce, 1977; Nozue et al., 2007; Poiré et al., 2010; Walter, Silk, & Schurr, 2009). In contrast, leaf growth of graminoid monocots has often been reported as tightly linked to diel variations of the temperature regime, which appears to be fairly similar across species (Gallagher, 1979; Nagelmüller, Kirchgessner, Yates, Hilltold, & Walter, 2016; Sadok et al., 2007; Yates et al., 2019).

Over decades, plant physiological studies have been performed under controlled conditions in climate chambers. There, environmental factors are typically set to a regime that deviates markedly from that under natural conditions in the field: Light intensity, humidity and temperature are often controlled in a rather binary, step-wise way and not in gradually fluctuating regimes similar to what would be found in the field. With respect to temperature, climate chamber studies often apply day-night temperature differences of less than 6°C in a binary (constant day/constant night temperatures) regime (Poorter et al., 2016). It is unclear whether this feature affects growth, metabolism and gene expression—and if yes, to which extent.

Based on the recent development of a method to analyse dicot leaf growth in the field and in the growth chamber (Mielewczik, Friedli, Kirchgessner, & Walter, 2013), it became obvious that diel patterns of dicot leaf growth might differ markedly when analysed either in the field or in controlled conditions. Differences in the organization of the leaf growth zone between graminoid monocots and dicots might favour the special significance of this effect in leaves of dicot plants (Nelissen, Gonzalez, & Inzé, 2016). In graminoid monocots, the zones of cell division and of cell expansion are clearly separated. The dividing cells of young, graminoid monocots are situated close to the ground and are surrounded by the sheath of older leaves, which protect them from some environmental fluctuations (Allard & Nelson, 1991; Brégard & Allard, 1999). In contrast, dicot leaves show cell division and cell expansion in tissue that is exposed to the sunlight (Avery, 1933; Poethig & Sussex, 1985) and thereby to a very different microclimate compared to the protected growth zone of graminoid monocot leaves. The buffering effect of the ground also benefits rosette or rosette-like dicot species such as Arabidopsis thaliana or seedlings of Nicotiana tabacum. The difference between monocot and dicot plants becomes more pronounced in species with a less prostrate growth habit, with leaves situated several cm above ground. Therefore, species such as soybean (Glycine max) would be more appropriate model organisms to analyse the influence of differing temperature regimes on dicot leaf growth and metabolism.

The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that the environmental perception mechanisms of the plant, such as the circadian clock, are sensitive not only to the values of temperature perceived but also to the temporal change in temperature (temporal pattern) to which a growing leaf is exposed. We further hypothesized that this perception affects the underlying metabolism and gene expression, which control the temporal progression of growth processes in G. max. Carbon stable isotopic composition (here given as δ13C value) of plants can be used to reconstruct plant physiological responses to changing environmental conditions [e.g. temperature and/or vapour pressure deficit (VPD)]. The temporal pattern of these responses over a diel course controlled by the circadian clock is per se not modifying the δ13C value. We expect that the different temperature regimes will imprint on the carbon isotopic composition of plants.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Leaf growth in soybean [G. max (L.) Merrill, variety Gallec] was measured in preliminary experiments in the field in 2014 and under controlled conditions in 2015. Based on these results, the main experiment presented in this study was performed in 2017 under controlled conditions in climate chambers.

2.1 Leaf growth measurements

Leaf growth was measured using a marker tracking approach through digital image sequences, as described in detail in Friedli and Walter (2015) and Mielewczik et al. (2013). In short, the terminal leaflet of the youngest, most recently unfolded trifoliate leaf of each measured plant was fixed in a metal frame by glueing five strings to the margin of the leaflet and tautening it over a second metal ring with 10 g lead weights. White plastic beads (8 mm in diameter) were threaded onto the strings close to the margin of the leaflet to serve as artificial landmarks for the later tracking. A camera was installed on top of each leaflet, and images were taken every 90 s (120 s in 2017) for around 1 week, when the measurements of fresh plants started. Relative growth rate (RGR) was captured by tracking the displacement of the plastic beads in the resulting image sequence. For the field measurements, weatherproof closed-circuit television (CCTV) cameras (Lupusnet HD – LE934, CMOS sensor, maximal resolution of 1920 × 1,080 pixels, Lupus-Electronics GmbH, Germany) were used. In the controlled condition experiments, monochrome CMOS camera (DMK 23GP031, maximal resolution of 2,592 × 1,944 pixels, The Imaging Source Europe GmbH, Bremen, Germany) was used.

2.2 Preliminary field experiment 2014

Measurements of field-grown soybean were conducted at the research station for plant sciences of ETH Zurich in Eschikon, Lindau (Switzerland, 47.449° N, 8.682° E, 520 m a.s.l.; soil type: eutric cambisol) in 2014. Soybean (variety Gallec) was grown in 6.5 m by 1.5 m plots (7 rows per plot, 18 cm row width, 60 seeds/m²) as part of a larger field trial managed according to best agricultural practice with no additional treatment factors. Leaf growth measurements were performed over a period of 4 weeks between 20 June and 21 July 2014.
During each week, the youngest, most recently unfolded trifoliate leaves of six independent plants were measured simultaneously. Data from 12 independent leaves grown under comparable weather conditions were combined in one weekly time course (Figure 1a). Relative growth rate (RGR) was captured every 90 s and aggregated to 3-hr average per leaf. The solid red lines show the air temperature in the field (a) and under controlled conditions (b), shaded areas indicate the dark period. Data for (b) were adapted from fig. S4 of Friedli and Walter (2015), with kind permission of Wiley.

2.3 Preliminary climate chamber experiment 2015: Simulated field conditions and switch to binary temperature regime

Soybean seeds were inoculated with ‘HiStick Soybean Inoculant’ (Becker Underwood Limited, UK) and grown in QuickPot trays (88 cm³ per seedling, Herkuplast Kubern GmbH, Germany) filled with a sterilized substrate (Substrat1, Klasmann-Deilmann GmbH, Germany) that was autoclaved at 121°C for 30 min prior to sowing. After 18 days, seedlings were transferred to clay pots (12 cm in diameter) filled with a mixture by weight of 2/3 ‘sterile Landerde’ (RICOTER Erdaufbereitung AG, Switzerland) and 1/3 fire-dried quartz sand (0.7–1.2 mm, Carlo Bernasconi AG, Switzerland). After transplantation, plants were grown in climate chambers (Conviron, Winnipeg, Canada) with climate parameters (temperature, relative humidity, temporal cycle of light intensity) set to match the average conditions of six successive days (21–26 June 2014) recorded during the growth measurements in the field in 2014. In this setting, the temperature gradually changed from 26°C during the light period to 12–15°C during the dark period. Light intensity was 580 ± 75 μmol photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) m⁻² s⁻¹. Two types of fluorescent lamps with a 2:1 mixture were installed in the climate chamber (Master TL5 HO 54 W/840, Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Eindhoven, The Netherlands and FH054W/T5/GRO, Havells Sylvania Europe Ltd, London, UK). Relative humidity (RH) was set to 60% during the light and the dark period, and a light/dark photoperiod of 13:11 hr was applied. Plants were watered three times per week to ensure unlimited water supply. New plants were grown for each measurement week.

Leaf growth of terminal leaflets of the second to the fourth trifoliate leaf (TL2–TL4) was measured simultaneously on six independent plants for around 1 week per TL. The climate chamber was set to mimic field conditions as described above for the first 2.5 days of the leaf growth measurements. Then, the temperature treatment was switched to a binary regime as used in Friedli and Walter (2015) and kept until the end of the measurement week. Temperature was constant at 24°C during the light period and at 20°C during the dark period, respectively. RH was set to 60% during the light and the dark period, and a light/dark photoperiod of 13:11 hr was applied.
2.4 Climate chamber experiment 2017: Comparison of binary temperature regime and simulated field conditions

In the 2015 climate chamber experiment, the plants experienced different daily mean temperatures and photoperiods depending on the respective treatment. This difference between treatments was realized because the first treatment should represent field conditions, and the second treatment should match the conditions under which soybean leaf growth was measured extensively in previous studies (Friedli & Walter, 2015). In order to directly compare a gradually fluctuating (Gr) temperature regime simulating field conditions to a binary (Bi) temperature regime with constant day and night temperatures, the main experiment of this study was performed in 2017.

In this experiment, photoperiod and mean temperature were the same across both treatments. The photoperiod regime was set to 16 hr light/8 hr dark period. For a 1 hr transition period from light to dark and vice versa, light intensity was gradually decreased or increased, respectively, to simulate dusk and dawn. While maintaining the same mean temperature, the treatments differed in the diel temperature regime. In the Bi treatment, temperature was set constantly to 21°C during the light and to 17°C during the dark period, respectively (Figure 2a). In the Gr treatment, temperature was set to reach a minimum of 13°C at the end of the night and to gradually reach the maximum of 27°C in the early afternoon (Figure 2b).

Plants were cultivated as described above for the 2015 experiment and grown in the same climate chambers, which were set to the Bi conditions. After 14 days, seedlings were transferred to clay pots and then grown in two separate climate chambers under the respective treatment (Bi or Gr) for 7–9 days until the measurement and sampling period at second trifoliate leaf stage.

2.5 Leaf sampling and phenotypic data analysis

In the 2017 experiment, growth analysis was supplemented with carbohydrate and gene expression analysis. Thus, samplings of the second trifoliate leaves of independent plants grown under the
respectively. Growth data of both iterations were combined resulting in
n = 9 replicates per treatment. Carbohydrate and gene expression
analysis was performed with only the samples from the first iteration.

Relative growth rate (RGR, mean of n = 9 replicates per treatment; %hr⁻¹)
for every time point (t) was modelled using a linear
model with temperature (T; °C), vapour pressure deficit (VPD; kPa),
light (L; kW m⁻²) and leaf area (A; px) as independent variables with
the respective parameter estimates β₁ to 4 following (Nagelmüller,
Yates, & Walter, 2018):

\[
RGR = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot T \cdot L + \beta_2 \cdot VPD + \beta_3 \cdot L + \beta_4 \cdot \sqrt{A + \epsilon}. \tag{1}
\]

Leaf area was included as an age factor to account for the decline
in RGR over time. All statistical analyses were performed in the R
environment (R Core Team, 2018).

2.6 | δ¹³C values of respired CO₂

In order to measure stable carbon isotope composition of dark
respired CO₂ (δ¹³Cₑ), five plants per treatment were removed from
the climate chambers at the respective sampling timepoints (T1–T6)
and kept for 30 min in the dark to avoid the light-enhanced dark
respiration (LEDR) period (Atkin, Evans, Ball, Lambers, &
Pons, 2000; Barbour, Mcdowell, Tcherkez, Bickford, &
Hanson, 2007; Tcherkez et al., 2003; Werner, Buchmann, Siegwolf,
Korneli, & Gessler, 2011). The second trifoliate leaf was cut, and
the two lateral leaflets were flash-frozen using liquid nitrogen. The
central leaflet was placed immediately into a gas-tight Tedlar bag
(Keyka Ventures) according to Barbour et al. (2011) and Barthal,
Cieraad, Zakharova, and Hunt (2014). The bag was flushed multiple
times with synthetic CO₂-free air (20% O₂ and 80% N₂, Pangas,
Switzerland) until a CO₂-free atmosphere was measured by an
infrared gas analyser (LI-820, LI-COR). Afterwards, the bag con-
taining the leaf was put in the dark for about 1 hour, and then bag
headspace air was sampled with a gas-tight syringe (BD Plastipak,
Switzerland), transferring 20 mL gas into a 12 mL pre-evacuated
gas-tight glass vial (‘Exetainer’, Labco, England). The δ¹³Cₑ was
measured with a modified Gasbench II coupled to a DeltaMassXP iso-
tope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, ThermoFisher, Germany) as
described by Zeeman et al. (2008). The ¹³C value of ambient CO₂
(δ¹³Cₑ) was measured in both treatments and averaged to
δ¹³Cₑ = −9.29 ± 0.72‰.

2.7 | Quantification of starch and water-soluble sugars

Starch, sucrose, fructose and glucose contents were quantified as
described in earlier studies (Ruckle et al., 2017; Ruckle, Bernasconi,
Kölliker, Zeeman, & Studer, 2018). The flash-frozen samples (see
above) were lyophilized, and biomass was recorded as lyophilized leaf
weight. The lyophilized leaves were homogenized into powder using a
Mixer Mill MM 400 (Retsch, Haan, Germany). Water-soluble carbohy-
drates were then extracted from 10 mg of the powder with ethanol
washes. Starch was digested with α-amylase and amyloglucosidase
(Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Glucose, fructose and sucrose were
quantified based on enzymatic conversion of NADP to NADPH.
NADPH was quantified by light absorption at 340 nm using an
Empire plate reader (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA).

2.8 | δ¹³C values of bulk organic matter, sugar and
starch

From the lyophilized leaf powder used for the quantification of
starch and soluble sugars (above), 2 mg was weighed in 5n capsules
(5 x 9 mm, Saentis, CH) for δ¹³C analysis of bulk leaf organic mate-
rial (δ¹³Cₑ). Measurement of δ¹³C values was done using a Flash
EA 1112 Series elemental analyser (ThermoFisher, Germany)
coupled to a DeltaMassXP IRMS via a ConFlo III as described by
Brooks, Geilmann, Werner, and Brand (2003) and Werner, Bruch,
and Brand (1999).

Carbohydrates for isotopic analysis were extracted according to
Lehmann et al. (2019). In brief, 100 mg lyophilized leaf powder were
weighed in 2 mL reaction tubes together with 1.5 mL 85° C deionized
water in order to prevent enzymatic activities. These samples were
incubated for 30 min at 85° C in a water bath. Afterwards, samples
were centrifuged for 2 min at 10,000g, the supernatant was separated
for sugar extraction and the remainder (pellet) was kept for starch
extraction, respectively. A total of 1 mL of the supernatant was added
to a column filled with a cation exchanger (Dowex 50WX8, hydrogen
form, 100–200 mesh, Sigma-Aldrich, CH), that was placed above a
second column filled with an anion exchanger (Dowex 1X8, chloride
form, 100–200 mesh, Sigma-Aldrich, CH). The sugar fraction was
eluted with 30 mL deionized water. The sugars were then lyophilized,
re-dissolved in deionized water and stored at −20° C for stable isotope
analysis. Starch was enzymatically separated from the pellet according
to standard protocols (Richter et al., 2009; Wanek, Heintel, &
Richter, 2001). The pellet was washed multiple times using an MCW
solution (methanol/chloroform/deionized water, 12/5/3, v/v/v) as well
as deionized water to remove any organic carbon and dried overnight.
On the second day, the pellet was re-solubilized in water, the starch
gelatinized at 100°C for 15 min and then broken down to sugars
at 85°C for 2 hr using the heat-resistant α-amylase (EC 3.2.1.1,
Sigma-Aldrich, CH). The enzyme was then separated from sugars using
centrifugation filters (Vivaspin 500, Sartorius, Germany). For δ¹³C mea-
surements, aliquots from the starch-derived sugar (δ¹³Cₑ) and sugar
Carbon isotope discrimination and apparent isotope fractionation

In order to calculate carbon isotope discrimination ($\Delta$), $\delta^{13}$C of sugars ($\delta^{13}$Csugars) as the first product of photosynthesis and $\delta^{13}$C of the ambient climate chamber air ($\delta^{13}$Cair) as the source of CO$_2$ were used following the equation by Farquhar, Ehleringer, and Hubick (1989):

$$\Delta = \frac{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{air}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sugars}}}{1 + \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sugars}}}.$$  

In addition, the apparent isotope fractionation $e$ was calculated based on $\delta^{13}$Csugars and the $\delta^{13}$C of dark respired CO$_2$ ($\delta^{13}$C$_{R}$) following Salmon, Buchmann, and Barnard (2016):

$$e = \frac{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sugars}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{R}}{1 + \delta^{13}\text{C}_{R}}.$$  

2.10 RNA extraction and analysis

Total RNA from all samples was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) as described by the manufacturer. Then, quality was checked using the Agilent 4200 TapeStation system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The samples were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 system at the Functional Genomics Center Zurich (Zurich, Switzerland). The sequence reads were mapped to the NCBI Glycine max genome v2.0.39 (Schmutz et al., 2010) using TopHat (v2.0.13; Kim et al., 2013) with Bowtie2 (v2.2.3; Langmead & Salzberg, 2012). Gene expression was calculated using cufflink (v2.0.13; Kim et al., 2013) with Bowtie2 (v2.2.3; Langmead & Salzberg, 2012). Gene expression was measured using cuffdiff program (Trapnell et al., 2012) using the Glycine_max_v2.0.39.gff3 annotation data (Schmutz et al., 2010).

To investigate the differences between the treatments, comparisons between Bi and Gr treatments were made per time point to avoid nonspecific circadian-regulated genes. Genes were defined as differentially expressed (DE) when their expression changed twofold and was significant (adjusted $p$-value < .05). DE genes of both treatments across all time points were subjected to a principal component analysis (PCA) in order to obtain an overview of the relationships between samplings and treatments. In order to favour expression dynamics rather than abundance-driven clustering, the PCA was performed using the sample-time-point correlation matrix.

Expression profiles of all DE genes across all time points were z-score normalized and clustered in a 4x4 self-organizing map (SOM) using the R kohonen package (v3.0.10; Wahrens & Krüsselbrink, 2018). Functional groups were identified by performing a gene ontology enrichment analysis with Fisher’s exact test on each cluster using R TopGO (v.2.34.0; Alexa & Rahnenfuhrer, 2018).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Diel leaf growth in field-grown soybean follows temperature

In the 2014 field experiment, the relative growth rate (RGR) of leaves of field-grown soybean was analysed and compared to measurements performed under controlled conditions with a binary temperature regime. The diel growth pattern observed in the field differed considerably from the growth pattern observed under binary controlled conditions. In the field, RGR mirrored the increasing temperature with an increase during the day and with overall lower growth rates at night compared to growth rates at day (Figure 1a). In contrast, the observed RGR in the binary temperature regime displayed the reported pattern for soybean growth, where nocturnal RGR is high, reaching a maximum towards the end of the night/beginning of the day, whereas a minimal growth rate is observed in the mid-afternoon (Figure 1b; Friedli & Walter, 2015). In order to test whether the observed pattern from the field could be reproduced under controlled conditions, an experiment was performed in 2015 for which the diel temperature mimicked the temperatures experienced in the field (Figure S1a–c). Under the simulated field conditions, leaf RGR reached a maximum in the afternoon, as was observed in the field (see Day 1–2 in Figure S1a,b). This growth pattern immediately switched back to maximum RGR at the end-of-night, as soon as the temperature was changed to a classic non-dynamic, binary regime (see Day 3 in Figure S1a,b).

3.2 A binary temperature regime induces a peak of leaf growth in the early morning

Based on these results, another controlled experiment was set up in 2017 with the same mean temperature and photoperiod in the Bi and Gr treatment to allow for direct comparison. Leaf growth measurements showed pronounced differences in diel RGR patterns between the treatments (Figure 2a,b). Plants in the Bi treatment had a maximum RGR at the beginning of the day. Then, RGR gradually declined towards the dark period, but a second maximum was observed in the middle of the night. In contrast, the growth pattern in the Gr treatment resembled the one observed in the field. RGR peaked in the afternoon and then gradually declined towards the end of the day, with no increase in RGR at night. These contrasting patterns became increasingly apparent when the diel trend (i.e. seasonal, Figure S2b) was extracted through time series decomposition (Figure S2a–d).

In the Bi treatment with constant day and night temperatures, there was no apparent relationship between RGR and temperature (Figure 2a). In contrast, the Gr treatment with a field-like temperature cycle showed a diel growth pattern highly correlated with temperature (Figure 2b). Beyond this observation, RGR was modelled within each treatment using a simple growth model based on temperature, VPD, light and the leaf size/age (Equation (1); Nagelmüller et al., 2018). For the Gr treatment, the model was able to explain 64%
of the variation in RGR (Figure S3a), with significant effects of temperature, light and leaf size (Table 1). In comparison, the model performed poorly for the Bi treatment ($R^2 = 0.27$, Figure S3b), where only leaf size showed a significant effect (Table 1). Since absolute leaf size is finite, RGR decreased as leaves aged in both treatments. However, the decline was greater and more linear in the Bi treatment in the first half of the experiment compared to the Gr treatment (Figure S2c).

The differences in diel growth pattern are reflected in the increase in leaf size over time between the treatments (Figure 2c). Leaves in the Bi treatment had significantly higher night growth rates (Figure 3c), which was in accordance with the higher night temperature in this treatment (Figure S4e). This resulted in significantly larger leaves in the morning in this treatment. However, this lag was compensated until evening in the Gr treatment (Figures 2c and 3d) due to significantly higher growth rates in the afternoon (Figure 3c), even though the average temperature during the day was the same in both treatments (Figure S4e). Nevertheless, leaves in the Bi treatment showed a slightly higher total growth (Figure 2c; $212 \pm 7.5\%$ compared to $198 \pm 6.6\%$ SE, $p = .195$), which is attributed to minor differences in growth conditions. Temperature, relative humidity (RH), VPD and light were monitored throughout the experiment (Figure S4a–d). The temperature sum was similar for the Bi and Gr treatments, but due to technical limitations of growth chamber control, plants in the Bi treatment experienced a slightly higher temperature sum of $76.5^\circ\text{C}$ Cd compared to $72.0^\circ\text{C}$ Cd in the Gr treatment, due to a difference of $1.13^\circ\text{C}$ in daily mean temperature (Figure S4e).

### 3.4 Differences in diel temperature pattern cause differences in gene expression

Given the differences in RGR and metabolite accumulation patterns between the two treatments, RNA sequencing (RNAseq) was...
performed to determine whether growth was actively repressed or growth phases differently partitioned due to metabolic processes. Or alternatively, whether diurnal growth changed due to altered endogenous rhythmicity in response to the temperature treatments. RNAseq and subsequent gene expression analysis identified 5,042 unique differentially expressed genes in a pairwise comparison of the treatments at each time point.

The number of DE genes varied across time points, with T3 having the least (n = 97, Table 2) and T6 having the most (n = 2,469) DE genes. The overlap of DE genes among the time points is illustrated in

**FIGURE 3** Leaf concentration of starch (a) and sucrose (b) as well as carbon isotope discrimination (e) and apparent isotope fractionation (f) at the six sampling time points (T1–T6). Blue squares and green triangles show the mean of n = 5 samples for the respective treatment and time point (Bi, binary temperature regime, blue squares; Gr, gradual temperature regime, green triangles). Blue and green dots show individual measurement points of the respective treatment and error bars indicate the SE. (c) The average growth rate (RGR, % hr⁻¹) between the sampling timepoints and (d) the leaf area percentage of the initial leaf area at the respective sampling timepoint [mean ± SE of n = 9 leaves per treatment, for (c,d), respectively]. Red asterisks and dots indicate the significance of the differences between the treatments (***p < .001; **p < .01; *p < .05; /p < .1) and shaded areas indicate the dark period.
To identify changes in biological processes between the two treatments, a 4 × 4 self-organizing map (SOM) was used to cluster the expression profiles (Figure S6). Using gene ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis, we found clusters enriched for growth (clusters 1, 3 and 10), cell division (clusters 4 and 8), carbon metabolism (cluster 2), photosynthesis (clusters 5, 6 and 11) and circadian rhythm (clusters 12 and 16). Some clusters show inconsistent expression patterns between T1 and T6 (i.e. clusters 7, 14 and 15) in the Bi treatment. A possible explanation for this effect could be leaf ageing from 1 day to the next, which is also represented by the markedly declining growth rate (Figure S2c).

3.5 A binary temperature regime evoked a synchronization of cell division

The SOM analysis yielded two distinct clusters enriched with genes associated with different processes in the cell cycle (Figure S6; clusters 4 and 8). Together, 253 genes contained at least one GO term related to cell division processes, presenting a broad spectrum of molecular functions (Table S2). These include known regulatory groups positively associated with cell division/cell proliferation like KINESINs (Messin & Millar, 2014; Müller, Han, & Smith, 2006; Wordeman, 2010), CYCLINs, CYCLIN-DEPENDANT KINASEs (Gutierrez, 2009; Vercruysse, Baekelandt, Gonzalez, & Inzé, 2020), SYNTAXIN OF PLANTS 111 (Völker, Stierhof, & Jürgens, 2001) or GROWTH-REGULATING FACTORS (Vercruysse et al., 2020; Table S2). All of these genes were over-expressed in the Bi treatment at T2 (Figure 5a), coinciding with a strong decline in RGR after the growth peak in the early morning. In contrast, the expression of these genes was random in the GR treatment.

**Figure 4.** Distribution of differentially expressed (DE) genes between samples and time points. (a) Venn diagram showing the number of unique DE genes per time point and the number of common DE genes between time points. (b) Principal component analysis using the correlation matrix of DE genes per sample (n = 3), time point (T1–T6, see colour legend) and treatment (squares = binary, triangles = gradual diurnal temperature regime)
Temperature treatments affect expression of different regulatory genes associated with the circadian clock

Clusters 12 and 16 contained a number of circadian clock genes, which were up-regulated at night, depending on the treatment (Figure S6). At night (T4, T5), type-A response regulators (ARR5, ARR6 and ARR9) were up-regulated in the Bi treatment coinciding with the night growth peak in this treatment (Figure 5b). ARR3 and ARR9 on the other hand were down-regulated at T2 coinciding with the decline in RGR and with the up-regulated cell division in the Bi treatment at this time point.

In the Gr treatment with more natural temperature fluctuations, a number of circadian clock genes were up-regulated at night when temperatures were low (Figure 5c). These were namely the morning loop genes PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 5 (PRR5) and PRR7, the even components EARLY FLOWERING 4 (ELF4), LUX ARRHYTHMO (LUX) and GIGANTEA (GI) and the clock-regulated genes COLD CIRCADIAN RHYTHM AND RNA BINDING 2 (CCR2) and CONSTANS-LIKE 9 (COL9).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, growth of soybean leaves was analysed in high temporal resolution in the field and under controlled conditions. The first important finding was that diel growth pattern measured in the field was profoundly different from what is expected based on findings from controlled conditions. The expected pattern of maximum growth towards the end of the night is well known from *A. thaliana* (Pantin et al., 2011), seedlings of *N. tabacum* (Poiré et al., 2010; Walter et al., 2009) as well as soybean (Friedli & Walter, 2015). However, in the field experiment, plants did not show this pattern; instead, RGR closely followed temperature. It was possible to reproduce the
observed growth pattern in the field under controlled conditions by mimicking a natural temperature regime. Not only did this alter the diel growth pattern but resulted in clear differences in metabolism and gene expression. Temperature effects on growth were not detectable in the Bi regime but became apparent in the Gr regime, even though average temperature was kept similar in both treatments.

Accumulation and storage of carbon as starch and sucrose during the afternoon in the Bi treatment was consistent with the reduced afternoon RGR in this treatment. This observation agrees with photosynthates being utilized less for growth processes during the afternoon compared to the Gr treatment (Gibon et al., 2004, 2009; Graf, Schlereth, Stitt, & Smith, 2010; Stitt & Zeeman, 2012). At night, starch concentration decreased in the Bi treatment, while sucrose concentration increased until T3 to then drop sharply towards T4. This aligns well with increased RGR in the second half of the night and conveys that this substrate has been used for growth at night in the Bi treatment. In the Gr treatment, the less pronounced increase of starch and sucrose throughout the day that occurs in parallel to a strong increase in growth activity could be explained by carbohydrates fuelling growth directly from photosynthesis within the growing leaf. Thus, starch synthesis would be in competition with growth. This hypothesis is supported by a strong decrease in total soluble sugar content at this time in the Gr treatment when compared to the values of the Bi treatment. The decrease in starch concentration and coinciding increase in sucrose concentration at night, however, is not reflected in the growth data of the Gr treatment. A possible explanation could be that starch was mobilized and translocated to other plant organs. However, this is speculative, as only one leaf per plant was sampled and carbohydrate fluxes were not analysed.

In this study, plants were grown under long days (16 hr) as this corresponds to the naturally experienced growth conditions in the field. Therefore, carbohydrates are expected not to be limiting for night growth. This is reflected in the starch degradation overnight, which did not deplete starch completely, but reduced it only by about 30% of the starch concentration at the end of the day. This is in accordance with results of other studies (Sulpice et al., 2014) and may explain the small overall differences in diurnal starch concentrations between the two treatments. In both treatments, carbohydrate concentrations increased from T1 to T6 (from 1 day to the next), reflecting the transition of the growing leaf from a sink to a source organ (Pantin et al., 2011; Pilkington et al., 2015; Ruts et al., 2012).

Since there was no difference in post-photosynthetic carbon isotope fractionation $\epsilon$ between treatments, it can be concluded that there is no difference in carbon isotope fractionation due to respiration, mainly for maintenance. Our measured $\epsilon$ values fit to the reported range of values (Ocheltree & Marshall, 2004). Consequently, the differences observed in $\delta^{13}C$ of bulk, sugars, starch and respired CO$_2$ are predominately the result of carbon isotope discrimination $\Delta$ that takes place during the day, when CO$_2$ is fixed and assimilated into sugars during photosynthesis (Figure 3c). Higher $\Delta$ in Gr compared to Bi indicates a higher $c_4/c_3$ ratio (the ratio of intercellular to ambient CO$_2$ concentrations; Farquhar et al., 1989) in leaves growing under Gr compared to Bi. High $c_4/c_3$ can either be due to high stomatal conductance, allowing unrestricted diffusion of ambient CO$_2$ into the leaf, leading to high $c_4$ despite high CO$_2$ fixation during photosynthesis, or due to low CO$_2$ fixation, keeping $c_4$ high independent of stomatal conductance. Since all plants were watered regularly to unlimited water supply and RGR during the day was higher in plants grown under Gr compared to Bi (Figure 2a), lower CO$_2$ fixation can be excluded as an explanation for higher $\Delta$ in Gr compared to Bi. Higher stomatal conductance can also explain why we observed higher $\Delta$ with higher VPD and higher daytime temperature (co-occurring with higher VPD conditions; Figure S4a–c) in the Gr treatment compared to the Bi treatment. For example, already O’Leary (1995) showed declining $\delta^{13}C$ values with increasing temperature, which is in line with our observations of higher $\Delta$. Combining our observations of $\Delta$ (Figure 3e) with the results of the RGR model (Table 1) suggests a larger effect of temperature than of VPD on $\Delta$, since RGR was unaffected by VPD for both Gr and Bi (probably driven by the unlimited water supply), but strongly affected by temperature for Gr (but not for Bi). Thus, stable carbon isotope data clearly point to a daytime process, that is, a temperature-related difference in carbon assimilation between these two experimental regimes, also supported by the differences observed earlier in the carbohydrate concentration analysis.

It is known from previous studies with starch-free Arabidopsis mutants that the carbohydrate status has a direct impact on the amplitude and phasing of diel leaf growth patterns (Wiese, Christ, Vinrich, Schurr, & Walter, 2007). Mutants that cannot store carbohydrates produced via photosynthesis during the afternoon show a higher growth rate during this time of the day (similar to soybean plants under the Gr regime). At night, however, these leaves are depleted in energy and carbohydrates for cell wall synthesis which inhibits their growth (Wiese et al., 2007). Similar shifts in growth pattern are seen in this study in the Gr treatment even though carbon can be considered non-limiting. This points towards the importance of temperature for diel growth processes. Although there were minor differences in diel starch turnover, growth was significantly lower at night in the Gr treatment, along with low temperature. Previous research in Arabidopsis showed that growth and metabolism respond strongly to day temperatures but are largely insensitive to cool nights (Pyl et al., 2012). Whereas this is in agreement with the carbon metabolite results of this study, the growth data indicate a strong, non-compensated effect of night temperature. Additionally, pgm mutants (no detectable plastidial phosphoglucomutase) of several plant species have more negative $\delta^{13}C$ values of bulk plant material (Lehmann et al., 2019) corresponding to a higher $\Delta$ as compared to their wild-type analogues similar to the soybean plants under Gr in contrast to plants in Bi regime.

The changes in growth pattern and carbohydrate metabolism induced by a change in the diel temperature regime were also reflected in the gene expression data. The expression patterns of the clock genes up-regulated at night in the Gr treatment align well with the lower night temperatures in this treatment. PRR5 and PRR7 as well as ELF4 and LUX are important components of the temperature compensation mechanism in the A. thaliana circadian clock (Jones,
The upregulation of genes associated with cell division processes at T2 in the Bi treatment indicates a synchronization of the remaining cell division activity in the soybean leaves under the binary temperature regime (also: ARR and other activities are aligned with this). This peak in cell division activity might be related to the decline in growth rate in the afternoon, as overexpression of CYCLIN D3 in transformed Arabidopsis leads to an increase in cell division but hampered cell expansion (Breuninger & Lenhard, 2010; Dewitte et al., 2003; Gonzalez, Vanhaeren, & Inzé, 2012). However, this interpretation remains speculative. Although 26 genes of the CYCLIN family as well as the transcriptional activator AINTEGUMENTA were found among the over-expressed genes at T2 in the Bi treatment, CYCLIN D3 itself was not identified (see Table S2). However, synchronized cell division would lead to a subsequent phase of rapid leaf growth induced by an early phase of intensive cell expansion. This corresponds with the observed peak of leaf growth activity during the night in the Bi treatment. In the Gr treatment on the other hand, the remaining cell division activity is spread out during the diel cycle and mirrors that of the temperature course.

The synchronization of cell cycle was unexpected as there are, to the knowledge of the authors, no reports of naturally occurring cell division synchronization in higher plants. Yet, synchronization of cell cycle has been observed in algae, cyanobacteria and other unicellular organisms, which are controlled by the circadian clock (de Winter, Klok, Cuaresma, Barbosa, & Wijffels, 2013; Mittag, 2001; Mori & Johnson, 2001; Yang, Pando, Dong, Golden, & van Oudenaarden, 2010). Given that the type-A response regulators negatively regulate the response to the phytohormone cytokinin (To et al., 2004) and because cytokinin plays a key role in plant growth, morphogenesis and cell division (Wemer, Motyka, Strnad, & Schmülling, 2001), the expression patterns found for these genes might explain a synchronization of the remaining cell division activity in the tissues of the analysed leaves.

Thus, the results reported here support the view that in the investigate dicot plants, growth is more temperature driven than regulated by the circadian clock—at least under natural temperature regimes and long days. This stands in contrast to the reported temperature independence of dicot leaf growth pattern (Bunce, 1977; Nozue et al., 2007; Poiré et al., 2010; Walter et al., 2009) but is in agreement with findings from the monocot Brachypodium distachyon, where growth follows temperature despite clear circadian gene expression (Matos et al., 2014). Apart from the differentially expressed genes discussed above, the global diurnal gene expression pattern remained largely similar between the treatments in this study, reflecting the similarity of the treatments apart from the diel temperature course. Therefore, other factors such as posttranslational regulation as well as interactions with other environmental stimuli would be important aspects for further investigation into the control of diel leaf growth patterning.

Both temperature and light are important factors for a ‘realistic’ behaviour of plant growth and metabolism under controlled conditions. Annunziata et al. (2017) demonstrated that diel carbon and nitrogen metabolism were significantly altered when Arabidopsis plants were grown in sunlight compared to a binary or sinusoidal artificial illumination. Similar effects on metabolism and gene expression were shown with respect to temperature (Annunziata et al., 2018). The presented findings confirm these results from the literature and directly link them to leaf growth, showing the manifold effects of temperature on plant function. Moreover, the clock appears to synchronize cell division in the late afternoon. Broadly, the core clock is retained regardless of temperature regime, but some elements are recruited for this synchronization. The results suggest that when plants experience a natural diel temperature cycle, similar to that in the field under long days and non-limiting carbohydrate conditions, their leaf growth follows that of temperature. Yet, under a binary temperature regime, the circadian clock plays a prominent role in leaf expansion.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Together, these results have important implications for further investigations into plant metabolism, plant–environment interactions and the genetic control thereof. If the aim is to understand or predict how plants develop in natural environments, climate conditions set to a more realistic regime could lead to results that are more transferrable. State-of-the-art climate chambers allow mimicking natural conditions in greater extent compared to the current study. Digital controllers, lights and sensors allow for precise, real-time and dynamic control of temperature, humidity and light (Cruz et al., 2016). In contrast, specific processes (e.g. cell division in the current study) may become more accessible under binary or steady-state settings. Thus, applying binary or steady-state settings for one or multiple variables could be beneficial to study processes isolated from the effects of these environmental variables.
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