Since the serendipitous discoveries of chlorpromazine and imipramine, the precursors of current antipsychotics and antidepressants, respectively, we have made arguably few strides towards the improvement of clinical outcomes. Our major gains have been in the area of pharmacotherapy acceptance and tolerability. The development of serotonin reuptake inhibitors has dramatically reduced the side effects and lethality in overdose of commonly prescribed antidepressants. Similarly, second-generation antipsychotics have significantly decreased the incidence of extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS), including tardive dyskinesia and parkinsonism, but at the same time have increased the long-term likelihood of mortality and morbidity secondary to adverse metabolic effects.

We remain in an era of uncertainty with regard to the underpinnings of individual variability in order to preemptively differentiate treatment responders from non-responders. Our current evidence-based medicine relies on large randomized control trials and meta-analyses—average medicine, which ignores individual differences. This dependence on large group analyses places us at a risk of discarding subgroup-specific treatment options owing to their failure to prove efficacious across entire populations.

There is a new era emerging in psychiatry of personalized medicine that will focus on individual differences that are not evident phenomenologically. Much research is directed towards identifying genes, endophenotypes, and biomarkers of disease that will facilitate diagnosis and predict treatment outcome. We are at the threshold of being able to predict treatment response, primarily through genetics and neuroimaging. In this review we discuss the most promising markers of treatment response and adverse effects emerging from the areas of pharmacogenetics and neuroimaging in depression and schizophrenia.
biomarkers of disease that will facilitate diagnosis and predict treatment outcome. Pharmacogenetic studies that explore the role of an individual’s genetic makeup in determining the effectiveness of pharmacotherapy are of increasing interest. The rationale for the hypothesized role of pharmacogenetics is based on observations made in family and twin studies, where closely related relatives tend to show similar response or side-effect patterns (reviewed in ref 2). All proteins, including those involved in the metabolism and central effects of pharmaceuticals, can differ as a result of naturally occurring variability in the DNA sequence of the associated gene. This has led investigators to study gene variants for their association with antipsychotic drug outcome. Gene variants (ie, alleles or polymorphisms) that code for the enzymes responsible for drug metabolism can affect pharmacokinetics, and therefore the amount of drug available in the body to elicit a response. In addition, gene variants can affect pharmacodynamics, the therapeutic effect of a drug in the target organ (Figure 1, “PK and PD”). Representative

**Selected abbreviations and acronyms**

- **5-HT** serotonin
- **5-HTTLPR** serotonin transporter-linked polymorphic region
- **ACC** Anterior cingulate cortex
- **BDNF** brain-derived neurotrophic factor
- **CYP** cytochrome P450
- **PM** poor drug metabolizer
- **UM** ultrarapid drug metabolizer

---

**Figure 1.** The influence of pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic and environmental factors on pharmacotherapy response and side effects (source: www.silvermedia.ca).
examples in breast cancer research include the overexpression of the HER2 gene, a positive predictor of response to the drug trastuzumab (Herceptin), and the predictive value of active cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2D6 alleles in tamoxifen discontinuation. In psychiatry, we lack basic laboratory investigations to diagnose mental illness, let alone genetic advances to guide treatment. A primary goal of current research is to characterize the etiologies and biological susceptibilities of heterogeneous, complex conditions, such as depression and psychosis. We are at the threshold of being able to predict treatment response, primarily through genetics and neuroimaging. Personalized medicine in psychiatry is a broad topic. As such, we will confine our review to the most promising markers of treatment response and adverse effects emerging from the areas of pharmacogenetics and neuroimaging in depression and schizophrenia.

**Genetics of antipsychotic drug metabolism, response, and side effects in schizophrenia**

Antipsychotic drugs remain the cornerstone of treatment in schizophrenia. However, more than 20% of patients do not initially respond to treatment with drug therapy. In addition to lack of response, many patients discontinue their medication due to side effects, which can have serious and devastating consequences. In the following sections we discuss the genetics of antipsychotic drug metabolism, response, and side effects in schizophrenia.

**Genetics of antipsychotic drug metabolism**

The vast majority of antipsychotic drugs are metabolized by the liver enzymes CYP2D6 and CYP2C19, which play critical roles in determining plasma drug levels. Gene variants that confer altered enzymatic activity influence plasma drug levels, and therefore can predict effective drug doses and potential side effects. The CYP2D6 gene codes for an enzyme that is responsible for metabolizing the majority of antipsychotic medications. This enzyme shows genetic variability in activity and is highly polymorphic, with over 70 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and copy number variations (CNVs). These variations can influence antipsychotic drug activity and a patient’s ability to metabolize them. Individuals can be classified, based on their gene polymorphisms, as poor (PM), intermediate (IM), extensive/normal (EM), or ultrarapid drug metabolizers (UM). The frequency of PMs, IMs, EMs, and UMs varies across ethnicities. For example, Europeans show the highest frequency of CYP2D6 PMs and African-Americans show the highest frequency of CYP2D6 UMs.

In theory, the risk of side effects may be higher in individuals with compromised drug metabolism capabilities because of higher drug plasma levels. Alternatively, drug plasma levels may be lower and medications, as a result, less efficacious in individuals with high enzymatic activity. The vast majority of individuals will have no or little impaired enzyme activity (ie, are IM or EM). However, it may be extremely valuable for those individuals who show impaired (PM) or markedly increased activity (UM) to have this information taken into consideration when selecting antipsychotic medication, determining appropriate dosage, or interpreting plasma levels in the context of drug monitoring. Estimated dose adjustments for antipsychotics have been described based on an individual’s metabolizer status. CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 diagnostic testing is FDA approved with the Roche AmpliChip®, but is also available at decreasing costs every year through other companies. Importantly, results from genotyping analyses are only one factor affecting drug plasma levels and should be considered in conjunction with other important criteria, such as comedication, smoking, and diet.

**Genetics of antipsychotic treatment response**

Another important focus of investigation has been antipsychotic drug response in schizophrenia. The first-generation studies exploring the genetics of antipsychotic treatment outcome were published in the early to mid 1990s. They were performed with small sample sizes and included patients treated mainly with clozapine, but not exclusively. The most interesting findings, albeit mixed, were obtained for the serotonin 2A (5-HT2A) and the dopamine 2 (DRD2) receptor gene polymorphisms. These results suggested that the effect size of these polymorphisms is low and that other factors, including other genes and gene variants, are likely to be involved. Second-generation studies have included larger samples, more sophisticated analyses, and multiple polymor-
phisms, which allow for the investigation of haplotypes and genome-wide associations. These continue to produce promising results for the 5-HT2A and DRD2 gene polymorphisms. A comprehensive analysis which included 12 DRD2 gene polymorphisms in a sample of 232 well-characterized subjects identified protective haplotypes in both Europeans and African-Americans. A review by Arranz et al concluded that the –141C/T polymorphism in the DRD2 gene is of particular significance due to its association with treatment outcome in two independent samples. A more recent meta-analysis of almost 700 individuals supported the association between the –141C/T polymorphism and antipsychotic drug response. Intriguingly, this polymorphism is located in the promoter region and could have regulatory effects in addition to functional relevance. Although the role of the DRD2 gene in antipsychotic response is not conclusive, these findings are of particular interest since D2 is the main target of antipsychotics. 5-HT2A is proposed to be involved in the unique therapeutic action of clozapine. Two studies with sufficient statistical power have demonstrated a role for the structural 5-HT2A His452Tyr polymorphism in predicting clozapine response. Significant associations have also been described in at least a dozen other genes, such as DRD3, DRD4, 5-HT1A, 5-HT2C, 5-HT6, 5-HTT, BDNF, COMT, GNb3, MDR1, MTHFR, Nef3, NRG1, Rgs4 and TNF-alpha. Of note, the first whole genome-wide association study of antipsychotic drug response was recently conducted by Sullivan et al. This approach involves no a priori hypotheses of candidate genes or gene variants, and as a result makes it difficult to interpret the significance of results in the context of adequately controlling for multiple variable testing. No significant findings have been reported thus far. Also of note, only a few studies have tested for a direct association between CYP450 gene polymorphisms and drug response. These have yielded mostly negative results.

Overall, some interesting findings exist in the area of genetics and antipsychotic response. However, many associations are not conclusive and represent a small fraction of the total variance of treatment outcome. Because the entire genome and candidate gene variability have not been fully explored, more robust observations are expected with the utilization of DNA sequencing techniques. The category “treatment response” may be too broad an outcome measure in genetic studies of heterogeneous conditions. Studies that target specific symptoms, such as neurocognitive and verbal memory scores, may yield more convincing findings.

Genetics of antipsychotic-induced side effects

Antipsychotics can induce a variety of side effects, such as involuntary movements (eg, tardive dyskinesia) and weight gain, both of which appear to be genetically determined. Compared with phenotypes like treatment response, an analysis of genetic factors associated with side effects may offer several advantages. First, side effects are often more closely related to plasma levels, which can sometimes be predicted by gene variants involved in drug metabolism. Second, compared with treatment response the occurrence of side effects may be more closely related to specific pharmacodynamic relevant receptors. Third, some side effects such as weight gain can be assessed more easily and reliably as compared with complex phenotypes, such as treatment response.

In a prototypical study of its time, Pollock et al prospec-tively distinguished poor P450 2D6 metabolizers from EM among a group of elderly patients suffering from dementia treated with perphenazine. The poor metabolizers had significantly greater side effects than the 40 extensive metabolizers. In the case of tardive dyskinesia, previous reports have indicated that CYP1A2 may be of importance. Other studies which focused on the Ser9Gly variant of the DRD3 gene reported significant associations, which were supported in two meta-analyses. Several interesting studies have now been published regarding the genetics of antipsychotic-induced weight gain. The CYP2D6 gene has been associated with increasing weight. In pharmacodynamic analyses, the most consistent findings involve the promoter polymorphisms of the 5-HT2C gene and the leptin gene. Both genes are involved in energy and fat metabolism in studies of humans and animals (reviewed in ref 30, Figure 2). Further interesting findings are reported in the ADRA2A and SNAP-25 genes, with replications in independent samples.

In summary, studies assessing the genetic underpinnings of side effects to antipsychotic medications have yielded interesting findings, although effect sizes for single genes (or gene variants) are small.
Genetics of antidepressant response and drug metabolism in depression

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the fourth major causes of disability worldwide, with tremendous socioeconomic consequences. Adverse early life events are major predictors of later development of MDD, though genetic factors also appear to have a significant influence (37% heritability in twin studies). Antidepressants are the cornerstone in treating depression; however, only 50% to 70% of the patients respond to initial therapy, and less than 40% patients achieve full remission. Furthermore, efficacy of an antidepressant is often only apparent after treating for 4 to 8 weeks. A reliable tool to predict antidepressant response would be of great service to the clinician, leading to greater efficacy.

Figure 2. The interaction between peripheral molecules and central pathways modulating food/energy intake. AgRP, Agouti related protein, GABA, gamma aminobutyric acid, MC4, melanocortin receptor 4, NPY, neuropeptide, POMC, proopiomelanocortin, α-MSH, alpha melanocyte stimulating hormone. (source: www.silvermedia.ca) Adapted from ref 30: Muller DJ, Kennedy JL. Genetics of antipsychotic treatment emergent weight gain in schizophrenia. Pharmacogenomics. 2006;7:863-887. Copyright © Future Medicine Ltd, 2006.
and rapidity of response. Pharmacogenetics offers an individually tailored alternative to the trial and error prescription regime. Concordance for antidepressant response has been observed in family studies implicating the role of genetic factors.38,39

**Genetics of antidepressant drug metabolism**

The therapeutic level achieved by antidepressants is heavily influenced by the metabolic activity of the CYP450 enzymes. CYP2D6 is involved in the metabolism of most tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) and some SSRIs. Functional polymorphisms lead to varying degrees of metabolic activity that influence plasma drug levels, and allow for the categorization of distinct phenotypes (see *Genetics of antipsychotic drug metabolism* section above).6,40,41 The UM phenotype is associated with increased clearance of antidepressants and lack of response.42-44 Accordingly, the PM phenotype is reported to lead to increased adverse events with antidepressant treatment.45,46 Some evidence suggests that functional polymorphisms in the CYP2C19 gene also influence serum levels of antidepressants metabolized by this enzyme. UM (CYP2C19*17/*17) exhibited the lowest concentrations of escitalopram, whereas patients with the PM genotype (CYP2C19*2 or *3) exhibited the highest serum levels.47

**Genetics of antidepressant treatment response**

The selection of candidate genes for investigation is based on the hypothesized association with pharmacological targets of antidepressants. The ability of earlier antidepressants to increase the availability of monoamines within the synapse by either blocking monoamine reuptake (eg, imipramine) or inhibiting monoamine oxidase (eg, iproniazid) led to the monoamine-deficiency hypothesis of depression. As a result, several genes from the monoaminergic systems (eg, serotonin, noradrenaline, and dopamine receptors and transporters) have been investigated for their association with response to antidepressant treatment.48 Among these, the serotonergic system is the most widely investigated. Genetic variation within the serotonin transporter (5-Hydroxytryptamin transporter, 5-HTT; SLC6A4) is suspected of conferring a vulnerability to anxiety and affective disorders. 5-HTT is the principal site of initial action for several antidepressants, including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs).49 Polymorphisms within the promoter region were described shortly after the original isolation of the SLC6A4 cDNA on chromosome 17q12 by Lesch et al.50 In particular, a site approximately 1200 bp 5’ of the first exon of the SLC6A4 gene involves a 22 bp repetitive sequence consisting of two subtypes, a short (S) allele with 14 copies and a long (L) allele with 16 copies.51 This variation is frequently referred to as the serotonin transporter-linked polymorphic region (5-HTTLPR). The S allele is associated with a reduction of function as compared with the L allele. Cells homozygous for the L variant can have up to 66% more 5-HTT mRNA expression, greater serotonin transporter density in platelet and neuron cell membranes, and two times the serotonin uptake than cells with the S/S genotype (Figure 3).52-57 The L variant is generally associated with a better antidepressant response in Caucasian patients.58 In a meta-analysis by Serretti et al, L carriers had better response and remission rates within 4 weeks of antidepressant treatment when compared with subjects with the SS genotype. Conversely, in an investigation of the STAR*D sample treated with citalopram (total n=1659) no association was observed between the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and treatment tolerance or outcome.59 In another analysis of the STAR*D sample a significant association was found between the L allele and remission in white nonhispanic patients.60 Recently, the Genome Based Therapeutic Drugs for Depression (GENDEP) study61 found that the L allele was associated with better response to escitalopram. A significant interaction was identified between 5-HTTLPR, drug and gender, with the effect concentrated in males. Of note, the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs2020933, found at the 5’ end of the 5-HTTLPR gene, also influenced treatment outcome in this study. A common A>G functional polymorphism within the L allele has also been identified.62 The G variant of this polymorphism (LG) shows transcription levels similar to the S allele, whereas the A genotype (LA) shows higher expression levels. In the STAR*D study they reported a significant association between the LA allele and reduced adverse events in the white nonhispanic population, but not with treatment outcome.63 The influence of 5-HTTLPR on antidepressant response is quite robust to ethnic differences although significant
heterogeneity exists in Asian samples. In contrast to Caucasian subjects, Asians carrying the S allele have been reported to respond better to antidepressants, although findings are mixed (see refs 37, 58, 63). Another gene of active investigation is HT2RA, which codes for the 5-HT2A receptor, a target of both antidepressant medications and second-generation antipsychotics. A polymorphism rs7997012 found in the second intron was significantly associated with citalopram response in the STAR*D study. In addition to this variant, the A1438G polymorphism also showed evidence of association with treatment outcome. Participants who were homozygous for the A allele had an 18% absolute risk reduction of having no treatment response compared with those homozygous for the G allele. This finding appeared specific to white subjects. Conversely, the GENDEP study failed to replicate this association with rs7997012, and found that the G allele of another polymorphism, rs9316233, was associated with escitalopram response. Inconsistent findings have also been reported for the C allele of the T102C polymorphism. Despite the lack of consistent findings for a specific polymorphism moderating response, the HT2RA gene as a whole appears to be of importance in depression outcome.

Figure 3. Serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4) and function. 5-HTTLPR x PFC/Amygdala endophenotype interaction. Allelic variation of the serotonin transporter (5-HTT), including the serotonin-transporter-gene-linked polymorphic region (5-HTTLPR), the variable number of tandem repeats (Intron 2 VNTR), rs25531 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), and the missense variant Ile425Val (I425V). The long (L) allele (orange) of 5-HTTLPR produces significantly less 5-HT mRNA and protein expression, than the short (S) allele (blue), leading to higher concentrations of serotonin in the synaptic cleft. 5-HTTLPR S allele carriers show significantly less functional coupling between the amygdala and perigenual anterior cingulate cortex than L/L individuals. MAOA, monoamine oxidase A. (source: www.silvermedia.ca)
Many other genes associated with the different monoaminergic systems that are either inconsistently associated with antidepressant response or that have produced contradictory results are reviewed in detail elsewhere (see refs 58, 63). These include HTR1A, TPH1, TPH2, MAOA, MAOB, COMT, DAT1, SLC6A3, D2, D3, D4, NET1, SLC6A2, ADRA2A, ADRB1, G protein, beta polypeptide 3.

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is an important peptide abundantly expressed in limbic structures. BDNF is critical for axonal growth, neuronal survival, and synaptic plasticity. The observations of stress-induced decrease in hippocampal BDNF levels and increase in BDNF-mediated signaling following chronic treatment with antidepressants suggest a possible role in depression and its treatment.48,65,66 However, inconsistent and region-specific effects have also been observed.48 A functional coding SNP rs6265 causes a Valine to Methionine change at codon 66, which leads to impaired intracellular trafficking and secretion of the mature BDNF protein. Carriers of the Met allele have significantly lower hippocampal volume than subjects homozygous for the Val allele.67 Although several studies have found an association between the Met allele and antidepressant response,63,68 the sample sizes were small, and the results have been inconsistent.61 In addition to the Val66Met allele, a polymorphism in the 5' untranslated region of the BDNF gene (rs61888800) was associated with antidepressant response in Mexican-American subjects.69 This observation requires replication. Early life stress and dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis are also linked with depression treatment outcome.70 One of the important genes that has emerged from the HPA axis is FKBP5 (FK506 binding protein 51), a cochaperone of 90 kDa heat shock protein, which regulates glucocorticoid receptor sensitivity. Carriers of the TT genotype of rs1360780 polymorphism in intron 2 of FKBP5 were demonstrated to have a better treatment outcome than other genotypes.71 This observation was replicated in a separate sample in the same study, and in two other independent studies. Smaller investigations of Spanish and Korean populations failed to reproduce this association (see ref 72).

**Genetics of antidepressant-induced side effects**

Side effects of antidepressant treatment have emerged as important reasons for medication discontinuation and non-compliance. The first-generation TCAs and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) were primarily associated with sedation, weight gain, and anticholinergic side effects, including dry mouth, blurred vision, cardiac effects, and death by overdose. The newer antidepressants, including SSRIs and SNRIs, have better and safer side-effect profiles, but tend to cause nausea, diarrhea, nervousness, agitation, insomnia, and sexual side effects.

Similar to studies of antidepressant response, the candidate genes extensively investigated in relation to antidepressant induced side effects are from the serotonergic system. The presence of the 5-HTTLPR L allele is generally associated with fewer treatment related side effects. Negative studies are also reported in the literature. A recent meta-analysis found the L allele conferred protection against antidepressant side effects for all antidepressants (OR 0.64),63 the significance of which became more robust when analyzed with SSRI-induced side effects only. The same meta-analysis found that the presence of the -1438 G/G polymorphism of HTR2A increased the risk of antidepressant side effects (OR 1.91). Several other pharmacodynamic genes were investigated with contradictory results.63 Although strongly related to drug plasma levels, few important discoveries have been made linking pharmacokinetic associated genes and antidepressant-induced side effects. CYP2D6 polymorphisms are reported to influence the emergence of TCA and MAOI side effects, but not the majority of the newer antidepressants (for details see ref 72). Thus, the clinical utility of pharmacokinetic genes predicting side effects remains limited. To date, the most promising observations of an association between genes and antidepressant side effects have come from the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism.

**Personalized medicine and neuroimaging**

A major barrier to progress in the study of complex diseases, such as schizophrenia and depression, is the heterogeneity arising from etiological and phenotypic diversity. A significant amount of neuroimaging research has been conducted to identify biomarkers or endophenotypes which may reduce the heterogeneity. Proximal markers are presumed to be less genetically complex than the clinical phenotype. The identification of intermediary phenomena and specific gene-endophenotype linkages may increase the individual variability explained by candidate genes. The validity of biomark-
ers and endophenotypes is contingent on their sensitivity and specificity for the disease in question. In the next sections we present the most promising neuroimaging markers of treatment response in depression and schizophrenia.

**Neuroimaging markers of antidepressant treatment outcome**

**Anterior cingulate cortex**

The most commonly reported finding in neuroimaging studies of depression is that increased rostral anterior cingulate cortex (rACC) activity predicts later response to depression treatment, including antidepressants, CBT, and sleep deprivation. Structural MRI measurements of the ACC have also demonstrated an association with treatment response. The ACC is implicated in numerous brain functions, likely due to its neuroanatomical position as a bridge between frontal cortical and subcortical structures. The rACC, primarily Brodmann area 25, is consistently reported to be hyperactive in depressed treatment responders. According to Mayberg et al’s theory of depression, cortical-subcortical regulation shifts from the dorsolateral to the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (PFC), which contributes to rACC hyperactivity. It is this region that is a target of deep brain stimulation (DBS) studies of treatment-resistant depression. In further support of this theory, two independent groups of researchers have identified increased pretreatment activity in rACC in responders using low-resolution electromagnetic tomography. Functional neuroimaging studies during active task conditions can facilitate distinguishing responders from non-responders by targeting the neurocircuitry involved in depression. The aim is to reduce unexplained background cerebral activity (“noise”) present during the resting state, thereby increasing the signal-to-noise ratio. Common approaches involve comparing the brain regions activated during the presentation of affectively laden or sad facial expressions versus neutral or positive stimuli. In an fMRI study by Chen et al., participants who displayed greater pretreatment activation within ACC in response to negative versus neutral stimuli displayed the greatest response to treatment. Other fMRI studies have also demonstrated a relationship between pretreatment ACC activity and treatment outcome.

**Amygdala**

Greater pretreatment amygdala activity is also associated with treatment response. Increased signal in the amygdala following the presentation of negative facial expressions is related to major depression severity and was demonstrated to predict improvement. Normalization of amygdala reactivity to affective stimuli is consistently reported to occur with antidepressant treatment. The same study that reported an association between PFC activity and response to CBT found that heightened amygdala activity to negative words also predicted response. Intriguingly, there is evidence to suggest that the variability in amygdala and PFC activity is moderated, in part, by the serotonin transporter gene 5-HTTLPR.

**5-HTTLPR x PFC/Amygdala endophenotype interaction**

5-HTTLPR appears to have modulatory effect on emotion via top-down cortico-amygdala regulation. On the one hand, diminished cortical structure and function is associated with depression and anxiety. Results from functional brain imaging studies suggest that the S allele contributes to increased amygdala reactivity via direct anterior cingulate (ACC)-amygdala dysregulation, and indirect compensatory activation of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. Consistent with these findings, the S allele was associated with peak gray-matter volume reductions in the subgenual ACC, a structure implicated in both depression and anxiety. On the other hand, the gain of function L allele had the opposite effect of the S variant on corticoamygdala regulation. The LA/LA genotype confers a modest risk of OCD, which is associated with hyperfrontality, including increased ACC metabolic activity and gray matter volume.

**Hippocampus**

Lower hippocampal volume is associated with depression, frequency of episodes, and chronicity of illness. Hippocampal volume loss, as measured with structural MRI, is also characteristic of late-life depression and may be independently influenced by the val66met
BDNF (See section Genetics of antidepressant drug response) and 5-HTTLPR polymorphisms. 5-HTTLPR appears to influence the pathogenesis of depression depending on the age of onset. The S allele was associated with reduced hippocampal volumes in elderly subjects with early-onset depression (ie, first episode 50 years or younger), while homozygosity for the L variant predicted smaller hippocampal volumes in depressed subjects with later onset. By contrast, the L/L genotype appears to contribute to the relationship between late-onset depression and dementia. Reduced hippocampal volume in this context may represent the effects of subcortical ischemia in vascular cognitive impairment or the prodromal symptoms of depression often seen in Alzheimer’s dementia. Although not consistently reported, some studies have shown that antidepressant treatment may prevent or even reverse hippocampal atrophy via neurogenesis. More research is required to determine the reliability of hippocampal atrophy as a predictor treatment response.

Other regions

White matter hyperintensities on structural MRI negatively predict treatment response in late-onset depression. Fewer white matter lesions are associated with remission and maintenance of remission in late-life depression with antidepressant treatment. White matter disease commonly results in varied neuropsychological deficits, primarily memory, executive, and language function, which are associated with poor response to antidepressants. Although the 5-HT transporter is widely believed to be involved in the pathogenesis and treatment of depression, positron emission tomography (PET) studies have shown both increased and decreased binding potential of the 5-HT transporter in the context of depression. These mixed results may reflect inter-study variation of etiology or mood state leaving it as an unreliable biomarker at present.

Neuroimaging markers of antipsychotic treatment outcome

Neuroimaging findings predicting treatment response to antipsychotics are less robust than those for antidepressants. Both brain atrophy by various measures (eg, sulcal width, ventricle size, etc.) and rate of gray matter loss are associated with poorer treatment outcome. Venticulomegaly and cortical and cerebellar atrophy were found to predict response. More recently, the extent of gray matter atrophy over time was a better predictor of outcome than baseline abnormalities. Neurochemical (PET) imaging offers a minimally invasive means of exploring distinct properties and cerebral distribution of neurotransmitter systems in vivo through the binding of receptor specific radiotracers. Binding potential is a principal measure in PET imaging studies that reflects both the density of available neuroreceptors and the affinity of a radiotracer to a given receptor. PET studies of dopamine 2 receptor (D2) binding potential have shown that greater than 60% occupancy is associated with increased likelihood of antipsychotic response, while greater than 80% robustly predicts EPS. The clinical application of neurochemical PET imaging remains limited by cost and availability, and while it has been instrumental in the reduction of antipsychotic dosing over the past decade and predicting dosing of second-generation antipsychotic drugs, it has not been applicable to the individual patients due to high inter-subject variability. However, a recent line of investigation in older patients with schizophrenia has provided new evidence from neuroreceptor PET imaging that may have potential for bedside translation. These studies have suggested that measurable changes in receptor reserve with aging is associated with antipsychotic medication and that medicated older patients on a stable dose of risperidone maintain individually consistent levels of receptor occupancy, plasma concentration, and psychopathology, supporting the use of this technology in prospective studies. Presuming medication adherence, PET imaging data may, in the future, be used to facilitate the determination if worsening symptomatology or side effects are either due to alterations in neurochemistry or drug failure. Theoretically, this could be performed with antidepressant radiotracers specific for the serotonin transporter as well.

In the future, PET imaging in conjunction with genetic testing for CYP 450 metabolism may help define individually tailored antipsychotic dosing schedules. UM may require higher doses of an antipsychotic to achieve the desired receptor occupancy, beyond the upper limits of what is currently defined as the normal range. Conversely, PM may require typically subtherapeutic doses to avoid developing side effects, such as EPS. Preliminary work directed towards age-specific dosing...
of antipsychotics has shown that EPS occurs at 50% to 70% D₂ receptor occupancy in the elderly, which suggests treatment efficacy occurs at even lower receptor blockade (~40% to 50%). In the same study, a very strong association was observed between D₂ receptor occupancy and antipsychotic plasma levels. Pending replication, these results raise the possibility of predicting individualized antipsychotic dosing. Using population pharmacokinetic methodology in conjunction with neurotransmitter PET data, our group is currently investigating the predictive validity of individualized antipsychotic dosing using widely available bedside measures including plasma drug levels, drug dose, demographic factors, and concomitant medications.

**Conclusion**

Personalized medicine promises the development of individually designed treatments based on the integration of all clinically relevant information, including data derived from laboratory, genetic, and imaging investigations, etc. The identification of pharmacogenetic and neuroimaging biomarkers associated with side effects and treatment response are active areas of research in psychiatry. The question is, when will genetic testing and sophisticated functional neuroimaging studies be implemented in clinical practice? With regards to genetic testing, a relative timeline can already be given. Genetic tests for critical drug-metabolizing genes, such as CYP2D6 and CYP2C19, are already available and can provide clinically useful information, potentially improving response rates and safety for those individuals who are poor or rapid metabolizers. It remains uncertain whether widespread genotyping prior to the onset of treatment therapy can contribute substantially to therapeutic outcome. Challenges facing the field include phenotypic and etiological heterogeneity, technological limitations, and con-temporary research approaches. It seems that genetic testing for side-effect prediction has the highest likelihood of being incorporated into clinical practice. Supporting this, a test for clozapine-induced agranulocytosis is now available with satisfying sensitivity and specificity. Computational models that include gene variants and other factors associated with antipsychotic-induced weight gain have yielded promising results. Tests related to treatment response may follow through the inclusion of more sophisticated genotyping techniques (eg, sequencing) and the analysis of refined endophenotypes, such as specific symptoms or symptom clusters. Future development of algorithm-based approaches requires the integration of additional genetic and nongenetic factors.

Neuroimaging research has produced encouraging associations between imaging endophenotypes and treatment outcome, such as the 5-HTTLPR x PFC/amygdala interaction. Nonetheless, these observations lack the positive and negative predictive value required to reliably distinguish responders from nonresponders to be used clinically. Based on current research, imaging markers explain a significant, but modest, portion of the total variance. More research is required with larger, less heterogeneous samples in conjunction with other markers, eg, genotyping and electrophysiological measures. Most neuroscience research thus far involves the application of various theoretical approaches (ie, neuroimaging, genetics, neuropsychological, and physiological, etc) in isolation. The next step in the development of personalized medicine is the formation of standardized multimodal research models to better characterize markers of treatment response. Now is a time for optimism in the emerging ability of pharmacogenetics and neuroimaging to provide meaningful help to the physician in developing individually tailored treatments for complex, heterogeneous psychiatric disorders.
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La intersección de la farmacología, las imágenes y la genética en el desarrollo de la medicina personalizada

Actualmente se cuenta con numerosos ensayos controlados, randomizados y meta-análisis para tomar decisiones clínicas; lo que nos coloca en riesgo de descartar opciones terapéuticas específicas para un subgrupo de pacientes o en forma individual debido a su fracaso en la demostración de eficacia en grandes poblaciones. En la medicina personalizada está emergiendo una nueva era que se focalizará en las diferencias individuales, las que no son evidentes fenomenológicamente. Hay mucha investigación orientada a identificar genes, endofenotipos y biomarcadores de enfermedad lo que facilitará el diagnóstico y la predicción de la evolución terapéutica. Estamos en el umbral de la capacidad de predecir la respuesta al tratamiento, fundamentalmente a través de la genética y de las neuroimágenes. En esta revisión se analizan los marcadores más prometedores de la respuesta terapéutica y de los efectos adversos, que están surgiendo desde la farmacogenética y de las neuroimágenes en la depresión y la esquizofrenia.
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