LUBAC controls chromosome alignment by targeting CENP-E to attached kinetochores
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Faithful chromosome segregation requires proper chromosome congression at prometaphase and dynamic maintenance of the aligned chromosomes at metaphase. Chromosome mis-segregation can result in aneuploidy, birth defects and cancer. The kinetochore-bound KMN network and the kinesin motor CENP-E are critical for kinetochore-microtubule attachment and chromosome stability. The linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex (LUBAC) attaches linear ubiquitin chains to substrates, with well-established roles in immune response. Here, we identify LUBAC as a key player of chromosome alignment during mitosis. LUBAC catalyzes linear ubiquitination of the kinetochore motor CENP-E, which is specifically required for the localization of CENP-E at attached kinetochores, but not unattached ones. KNL1 acts as a receptor of linear ubiquitin chains to anchor CENP-E at attached kinetochores in prometaphase and metaphase. Thus, linear ubiquitination promotes chromosome congression and dynamic chromosome alignment by coupling the dynamic kinetochore microtubule receptor CENP-E to the static one, the KMN network.
Chromosome missegregation can cause aneuploidy, which is linked to human birth defect and cancer. Precise alignment of chromosomes at the spindle equator is a key step for chromosome segregation and relies on chromosome congression at prometaphase and subsequent stable chromosome alignment at metaphase. Previous work has suggested that the plus end-directed kinetochore motor CENP-E (312-kD) contributes not only to the congression of pole-proximal chromosomes, but also continues to maintain the alignment of aligned chromosomes. During prometaphase, the recruitment mechanism of CENP-E to unattached kinetochores is well known and involves the Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes. CENP-E transports pole-proximal chromosomes to the metaphase plate during congression, a process that requires its motor activity. At prometaphase, KNL1 (kinetochore null protein 1), a component of the KMN network, is a critical platform for the recruitment of kinetochore proteins. Phosphorylation of KNL1 at MELT motifs mediated by Mps1 is required for the recruitment of Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes, which subsequently recruit CENP-E to unattached kinetochores. However, when cells enter metaphase, microtubule attachment releases Mps1 from kinetochores, leading to KNL1 dephosphorylation and reduced levels of Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes from kinetochores on attached chromosomes. On the other hand, CENP-E is still present at these attached kinetochores on aligned chromosomes. This pool of CENP-E maintains the dynamic chromosome alignment at metaphase. The mechanism by which CENP-E remains on attached chromosomes is not understood.

Ubiquitin-mediated degradation of critical regulators drives multiple cell cycle transitions. Polyubiquitination of Cyclin B1 and Securin by the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) is critical for the metaphase-anaphase transition. Ubiquitin chains can be assembled through one of seven lysines (branched ubiquitination) or the N terminus of ubiquitin (linear ubiquitination). Compared to branched ubiquitination, the functions of linear ubiquitination are less well studied. The linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex (LUBAC) complex is the only known E3 that specifically conjugates linear ubiquitin chains to substrates. NEMO is one of the well-characterized substrates of LUBAC in this process. Linear ubiquitination of NEMO by LUBAC does not cause its degradation but rather mediates its oligomerization and subsequent NF-κB activation.

In this study, we report an unexpected function for LUBAC-dependent linear ubiquitination in chromosome alignment and segregation. LUBAC catalyzes the linear ubiquitination of the kinesin motor CENP-E to specifically anchor it at attached kinetochores on mono-oriented or bi-oriented chromosomes, thus promoting full chromosome congression, dynamic chromosome alignment and accurate chromosome segregation. Furthermore, we demonstrate that KNL1 acts as a receptor of linear ubiquitin chains to anchor CENP-E at attached kinetochores. Thus, linear ubiquitin chains constitute a critical mechanism for chromosome congression and alignment by coupling the dynamic kinetochore microtubule motor CENP-E to the static one, the KMN network. Overall, we reveal the role of linear ubiquitin chains in mitosis, and establish this non-degradation ubiquitination system as a safeguard of chromosome segregation.

**Results**

**Targeted siRNA screen identified LUBAC as mitotic regulator.** Ubiquitination of critical cell-cycle regulators by the E3 ligase APC/C and their orderly degradation is a widely known mechanism that regulates mitotic transitions. We explored the possible involvement of proteins containing ubiquitin-binding domains (UBD) in mitosis, because these proteins control diverse cellular functions by recognizing various types of ubiquitin signals. We transfected HeLa cells with an arrayed small interfering RNA (siRNA) library targeting the genes encoding UBD-containing proteins (Supplementary Fig. 1a), and used high-content microscopy to screen for proteins required for mitosis by determining the percentage of mitotic cells (mitotic index, MI) (Supplementary Fig. 1b). siRNAs that resulted in the increase by at least 1.5-fold of the average mitotic index (4.2%) were defined as candidate genes. Among them, HOIP (also known as RNF31), HOIL-1L (also known as RBCK1) and SHARPIN (SHANK-associated RH domain interacting protein) (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1c, d) were identified as potential mediators of mitosis. These three proteins are the subunits of the linear ubiquitin chain assembly E3 complex LUBAC. While LUBAC and M1-linked Ub mainly function in immunity and inflammation, there are limited reports on functions of LUBAC outside of immunity. We decided to focus on the possible involvement of this important E3 ligase in mitotic progression.

The LUBAC complex modulates chromosome alignment. To investigate how LUBAC regulates chromosome alignment, we depleted LUBAC components from HeLa cells stably expressing GFP-tagged histone H2B and monitored their mitotic progression by time-lapse imaging. Because HOIL-1L and SHARPIN are partially redundant adaptors of the catalytic subunit HOIP, we co-depleted both in our experiments. Depletion of HOIP or co-depletion of HOIL-1L and SHARPIN caused a prolonged mitotic delay, chromosome missegregation during anaphase and increased mitotic cell death (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 2a-e). Particularly, LUBAC depletion led to a dramatic increase in the percentage of cells with chromosome misalignment (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 2f). Similar phenotypes were also observed in U2OS cells (Supplementary Fig. 2g, h). Importantly, the defect of chromosome alignment in HOIP RNAi cells was rescued by the expression of wild-type HOIP, but not its ligase-dead mutant C699S/C702S/C871S/C874S (CS) (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 2i). In addition, we observed chromosome misalignment in primary MEF cells from E13.5 embryos of Shaprin/cpdm/cpdm (cpdm) mice (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 2j). We further explored the physiological function of LUBAC by performing pho-H3 staining of heart tissues from E17.5 embryos of Shaprin/cpdm/cpdm (cpdm) mice. These tissues indeed showed elevated mitotic index compared to wild-type mice (Fig. 1f, g). Taken together, these data suggest that LUBAC regulates chromosome alignment and mitosis progression under physiological condition, and this mitotic function of LUBAC requires its E3 ligase activity. It has been reported that HOIP-deficient mice die at E10.5 due to TNF-dependent cell death. However, deletion of Tnf or Tnf1 only partially rescues the lethality of HOIP-deficient mice. Thus, it is likely that the loss of the mitotic function of LUBAC described in our study is a contributing factor to the lethality of HOIP-deficient mice.

LUBAC affects CENP-E localization at attached kinetochores. To investigate how LUBAC regulates chromosome alignment, we next tested the effects of LUBAC knockdown on kinetochore components that are known to play a key role in chromosome alignment. We quantitated the fluorescence intensity of kinetochore components on unaligned and aligned chromosomes in the presence or absence of HOIP. The intensity of the CREST signal was used as a reference for the quantitation to which all fluorescence intensities were normalized. Among the twelve proteins tested, HOIP depletion only affected the kinetochore localization of CENP-E (Supplementary Fig. 3a). The knockdown
of HOIP did not alter the expression levels of any of those kinetochore proteins (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Furthermore, we found that knockdown of HOIP specifically affected the kinetochore localization of CENP-E on aligned chromosomes (Fig. 2a, b). Almost 80% of mitotic cells depleted of HOIP exhibited decreased CENP-E intensity on aligned chromosomes (Fig. 2c).

Both sister kinetochores of aligned chromosomes are attached by spindle microtubules (bi-orientation), and kinetochores of unaligned chromosomes might be either attached or unattached by microtubules. We next tested whether LUBAC also affected the localization of CENP-E at attached kinetochores on unaligned sister-kinetochroms in LUBAC RNAi cells. It is well known that Mad1 is enriched at unattached kinetochores and unaligned sister-chromatids in LUBAC RNAi cells. It is well known that Mad1 is enriched at unattached kinetochores and unaligned sister-chromatids in LUBAC RNAi cells. Therefore, we used Mad1 localization to indicate the microtubule attachment status of kinetochores on these unaligned chromosomes. LUBAC knockdown indeed specifically decreased the CENP-E intensity at attached kinetochores, but not unattached kinetochores, on these unaligned chromosomes (Fig. 2d-f).

To further confirm this specific effect of LUBAC depletion on CENP-E localization, we examined the kinetochore localization of CENP-E in nocodazole-treated and MG132-treated cells.

Nocodazole creates unattached kinetochores by depolymerizing microtubules. MG132 arrests cells at metaphase, with the kinetochores attached to microtubules and aligned at the metaphase plate. Again, HOIP depletion decreased the fluorescence intensity of CENP-E at attached kinetochores in MG132-treated cells, while it did not alter the CENP-E localization at unattached kinetochores in nocodazole-treated cells (Fig. 2g-i and Supplementary Fig. 3c). Similar results were also obtained in HeLa cells co-depleted of the other two subunits of LUBAC (Supplementary Fig. 3d-f). In addition, this effect of LUBAC depletion on CENP-E localization was rescued by wild type HOIP, but not by the ligase dead CS mutant (Fig. 2j and Supplementary Fig. 3g). Furthermore, the localization of Bub1, Bub3, and BubR1 on attached kinetochores was not decreased by LUBAC depletion (Supplementary Fig. 3a). These results suggest that the ligase activity of LUBAC maintains CENP-E at attached kinetochores on chromosomes, independently of known prometaphase kinetochore recruiters of CENP-E.

LUBAC regulates KT-MT attachment and chromosome alignment. It is reported that CENP-E contributes to both the congression of pole-proximal chromosomes (in prometaphase) and...
LUBAC is critical for CENP-E localization on attached kinetochores. a CENP-E localization in control or HOIP depleted mitotic cells. b Quantification of relative intensity of kinetochore CENP-E on aligned (sicontrol, n = 23 cells; siHOIP, n = 20 cells) chromosomes in a. c Percentage of mitotic cells with less than 50% of relative intensity of kinetochore CENP-E on aligned chromosomes of control cells in control (n = 23) or HOIP depleted (n = 20) cells. d CENP-E localization at unattached (Mad1 signal, strong) kinetochores or attached (Mad1 signal, weak) kinetochores on unaligned chromosomes in control or HOIP depleted mitotic cells. e Quantification of relative intensity of CENP-E signals at attached kinetochores (e) or unattached kinetochores (f) on unaligned chromosomes. f CENP-E localization in control or HOIP depleted mitotic cells synchronized by Nocodazole or MG132. g, h, and i Quantification of relative intensity of CENP-E on unattached (Nocodazole treated) or attached (MG132 treated) chromosomes. j Expression of GFP-HOIP WT, but not CS mutant, rescued CENP-E localization at attached kinetochores on aligned chromosomes. n = 15 cells in each group. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments. The average CENP-E/CREST ratio of each group was normalized to control cells. Dashed boxes, regions of aligned chromosomes. Insets, zoomed-in views of the boxed regions. NS not significant, ***P < 0.001; two-sided Student’s t-test. Scale bars, 5 µm (main image) and 0.3 µm (magnified region).

In prometaphase, chromosome capture by spindle microtubules is largely a stochastic process. There will be chromosomes with one kinetochore attached and the other unattached (mono-oriented) at this stage. Given that CENP-E is required for kinetochore-microtubule (KT-MT) attachment and LUBAC knockdown affects the CENP-E localization at attached kinetochores on unaligned pole-proximal chromosomes, we next investigated whether these pole-proximal chromosomes become unstably attached when LUBAC was knocked down because of the loss of CENP-E. To test this possibility, we directly observed the microtubule attachment status at kinetochores by cold treatment assay in LUBAC or CENP-E RNAi cells. Similar to the knockdown of CENP-E, depletion of LUBAC also led to pole chromosome misalignment. Interestingly, we found that most pole-proximal mono-oriented chromosomes became unattached when LUBAC or CENP-E was knocked down, indicating that microtubule attachment of these chromosomes is unstable in LUBAC or CENP-E depleted cells (Fig. 3a, b). Taken together, LUBAC knockdown affects the CENP-E localization at attached kinetochores on unaligned pole-proximal chromosomes. Subsequently, these pole-proximal chromosomes become unstably attached to microtubules and thus cannot congress to spindle equator. This finding can explain why pole-proximal chromosomes fail to congress in cells depleted for LUBAC (Fig. 3c).

In metaphase, CENP-E is essential for the stable attachment of kinetochores to microtubule plus ends and for the maintenance of already aligned chromosomes (in metaphase).
the development of tension across kinetochores on aligned chromosomes. Because knockdown of HOIP affected the kinetochore localization of CENP-E on aligned chromosomes (bi-oriented chromosomes), we examined and quantified kinetochore–microtubule fiber (k-fiber) fluorescence (cold treatment) and microtubule-induced tension across sister kinetochores of aligned chromosomes in LUBAC-depleted cells. LUBAC depletion resulted in a decrease of cold-stable k-fibers (Fig. 3d, e) and of average distances between sister kinetochores on aligned chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b).
b). These results further suggest that deficient kinetochore association of CENP-E induced by LUBAC depletion reduces the stability of microtubule attachment and kinetochore tension on aligned chromosomes. Thus, although the majority of chromosomes can establish alignment at the spindle equator in CENP-E-deficient or LUBAC-deficient cells, sister kinetochores on these aligned chromosomes cannot maintain stable microtubule attachment and develop normal tension.
CENP-E at attached kinetochores on aligned chromosomes contributes to the maintenance of already aligned chromosomes at metaphase. It is reported previously that inhibition of the motor activity of CENP-E by GSK-923295 leads to a marked depletion of CENP-E from kinetochores on aligned chromosomes and causes chromosome misalignment. We next examined whether LUBAC is required for the maintenance of chromosome alignment. To do so, we treated Velcade-arrested metaphase HeLa cells with the fungal metabolite gliotoxin, which inhibits LUBAC by binding to the RING-IBR-domain of HOIP. In non-treated cells, CENP-E localized to the kinetochores on aligned chromosomes (Fig. 3f and Supplementary Fig. 4c). Treating metaphase cells with LUBAC inhibitor Gliotoxin markedly decreased the kinetochore-localized CENP-E on aligned chromosomes (Fig. 3f and Supplementary Fig. 4c). Meanwhile, Gliotoxin hardly induced apoptosis under this condition (Supplementary Fig. 4d and 4e).

To exclude the possibility that Gliotoxin regulates CENP-E localization through inhibiting or affecting other reported processes and targets, we next detected CENP-E localization at metaphase cells treated with inhibitors targeting the proteasome (MG132), farnesyl transferase (FTI-277), GGTase (GGTI 298), or histone methyltransferases (Chaeotocin, G9a and SUV39H1 inhibitor). Our data showed that none of them had an effect on the localization of CENP-E at metaphase cells (Supplementary Fig. 4f, g). Taken together, these results suggested that Gliotoxin regulates CENP-E localization at metaphase most likely by inhibiting the LUBAC E3 ligase activity, but not through targeting other known targets. Of course, we cannot exclude the possibility of the pan-inhibition of multiple known or unknown targets by Gliotoxin as a contributing factor to the observed phenotypes.

High spatial and temporal resolution time-lapse imaging showed that some chromosomes failed to congress to the spindle equator and some of the already aligned chromosomes were not maintained at the metaphase plate in LUBAC knockdown cells (Supplementary Fig. 4h). Collectively, our results so far have demonstrated that LUBAC promotes both the congression of pole-proximal chromosomes and the maintenance of already aligned chromosomes by regulating the localization of CENP-E at attached kinetochores and KT-MT attachment. Our model suggested that LUBAC-dependent CENP-E localization to attached kinetochores of mono-oriented chromosomes promotes congression, and such localization to bi-oriented chromosomes stabilizes chromosome alignment. Therefore, the unaligned chromosomes in LUBAC knockdown cells could be divided into two groups: (I) chromosomes failed to congress and (II) chromosomes initially reached the spindle equator but then exited.

The kinesin motor CENP-E is a LUBAC substrate in mitosis. Since the E3 activity of LUBAC is required for CENP-E localization (Figs. 2j and 3f), we next examined whether CENP-E is a substrate of LUBAC. We found that LUBAC specifically interacted with CENP-E, but not the kinetochore protein Ska3, in mitotic cells (Fig. 4a). Meanwhile, purified HOIP could bind to CENP-E in vitro, indicating that HOIP is responsible for the direct interaction between LUBAC and CENP-E (Fig. 4b). Consistently, we observed that Myc-HOIP localized to kinetochores and spindle poles in mitotic cells (Supplementary Fig. 5a). These results suggested that E3 ligase LUBAC could interact with CENP-E in mitosis.

Next, we detected whether CENP-E could be linearly ubiquitinated by LUBAC in mitosis. The result showed that CENP-E was ubiquitinated in mitosis by LUBAC wild type, but not the ligase-dead CS mutant (Fig. 4c), as shown by an antibody that specifically recognized linear polyubiquitin chains. We confirmed that this antibody could not recognize K48-linked or K63-linked polyubiquitin chains (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Moreover, we found that linear ubiquitin chains could also colocalize with CENP-E at kinetochores in LUBAC overexpressed metaphase HeLa cells (Supplementary Fig. 5c). In addition, linear ubiquitination of CENP-E was abolished by co-expression of the linear ubiquitin-specific deubiquitinase OTULIN (Fig. 4d), which is known to specifically disassemble linear polyubiquitin chains. To test whether LUBAC has the same effect on the endogenous CENP-E in mitosis, we examined the linear ubiquitination of endogenous CENP-E in mitotic cells. Importantly, the linear ubiquitination of endogenous CENP-E, but not K48 or K63 ubiquitination, was reduced by HOIP depletion, indicating that the linear ubiquitination of CENP-E requires LUBAC (Fig. 4e). In addition, the blots for total Ub showed that the ubiquitination level of CENP-E was reduced but still detectable when co-transfected with LUBAC ligase-dead CS mutant or together with OTULIN (Fig. 4c, d). Furthermore, the total ubiquitination of endogenous CENP-E was reduced rather than abolished when HOIP was knocked down (Fig. 4e). Thus, these results indicate that other types of Ub conjugates might be present on CENP-E in mitosis. It will be interesting to explore the function of these Ub linkages in future studies.

Furthermore, we found that linear ubiquitination of endogenous CENP-E only occurs in mitosis, but not in interphase (Fig. 4f). To further confirm the linear ubiquitination of endogenous CENP-E, we performed pull down assay with GST-coupled M1-SUB. Consistently, the linearly ubiquitinated CENP-E could only be detected in mitosis, and the smear was reversed by OTULIN co-expression (Fig. 4g). Taken together, these results suggest that CENP-E is a linear ubiquitination substrate of LUBAC in mitosis.
Linear ubiquitination regulates CENP-E localization. We further identified the LUBAC-catalyzed ubiquitination sites of CENP-E using mass spectrometry. Before doing this, we mapped the interaction region of CENP-E with HOIP, and found that both the N-terminal (residues 1-1360) and C-terminal region (residues 1340-2701) of CENP-E could bind strongly to HOIP (Supplementary Fig. 5d). Moreover, the N-terminal region of CENP-E, but not its C-terminal region, could be efficiently linear-ubiquitinated by LUBAC (Fig. 5a). Thus, we performed the mass spectrometry using the N-terminal region of CENP-E. The ubiquitinated peptides of CENP-E and multiple signature linear ubiquitin peptides containing the sequence GGMQIFVK were identified (Supplementary Data 1, Supplementary Fig. 5e).

We next established Flp-In T-REx HeLa stable cell lines expressing Tet-inducible wild type CENP-E or CENP-E KR mutants. We found that LUBAC-mediated linear ubiquitination of CENP-E 5KR mutant (including K45R, K301R, K346R, K546R, and K580R) was dramatically decreased compared to wild-type CENP-E (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 5f, g). Moreover, compared to wild type CENP-E, CENP-E 5KR was deficient in localizing to aligned chromosomes although it retained localization on unaligned chromosomes (Fig. 5c-f). Thus, cells expressing CENP-E 5KR mutant were deficient in chromosome alignment (Fig. 5c). Thus, the five lysines, K45, K301, K346, K546, and K580, are the major linear ubiquitination sites of CENP-E required for maintaining chromosome alignment.

**KNL1 is a receptor for linear ubiquitin chains in mitosis.** Next, we examined how linear ubiquitin chains of CENP-E regulated its localization on attached kinetochores. In the innate immune response, the linear ubiquitin chains attached to NEMO are recognized by the UBAN domain (ubiquitin binding domain in ABIN and NEMO) within NEMO. We hypothesized that there are receptors for linear ubiquitin chains of CENP-E at attached kinetochores. Based on our bioinformatics analysis, none of the known kinetochore proteins contain a UBAN domain. Next, we enriched possible binding partners of linear ubiquitin chains on mitotic chromosomes using GST-tetraubiquitin and then identified the bound proteins by mass spectrometry (Supplementary Data 2). Interestingly, the kinetochore scaffold KNL1 was among these candidates, and GST-tetraubiquitin could bind to KNL1, but not to pho-H3 (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 6a).

We decided to test the possible involvement of KNL1 in binding linear ubiquitin chains. We found that only KNL1, but not the Skp complex, purified from mitotic cells could efficiently bind to untagged linear tetraubiquitin chains (Supplementary...
Fig. 6b). Importantly, the linearly ubiquitinated CENP-E could interact with endogenous KNL1 in MG132-arrested mitotic cells (Fig. 6b). This finding suggests that the linear ubiquitin chains are essential for the CENP-E-KNL1 interaction at metaphase. We next investigated whether the depletion of KNL1, similar to the knockdown of LUBAC, could affect CENP-E localization at attached kinetochores. When KNL1 was knocked down, the localization of CENP-E at unattached kinetochores was expectedly reduced, presumably because KNL1 also recruits CENP-E indirectly through Bub1/BubR1-Bub3 complexes. Importantly, CENP-E localization was affected much more severely at attached kinetochores comparing to unattached ones (Fig. 6c-e), consistent with a role of KNL1 in anchoring ubiquitinated CENP-E at attached kinetochores.

Further mapping experiments showed that the N-terminal region (residues 1-338) of KNL1 was sufficient to bind to the linear ubiquitin chains (Fig. 6f and Supplementary Fig. 6c-e). A slightly smaller region of KNL1 (residues 1-300) could no longer bind to the linear ubiquitin chains (Fig. 6f and Supplementary Fig. 6e). This result suggested that residues 300-338 of KNL1 are critical for binding to the linear ubiquitin chains. This region is unlikely to be sufficient for ubiquitin chain binding, as a KNL1


Fig. 6 KNL1 is the receptor for linear ubiquitin chains of CENP-E at attached KT. a Chromosome isolation fraction of MG132-arrested metaphase HeLa cells was pulled down by GST-tagged linear tetraubiquitin (GST-Ub4), and then endogenous proteins were detected by indicated antibodies. Loadings of GST proteins were determined by Ponceau S staining. b Flag-CENP-E was transiently transfected into HeLa cells with or without LUBAC. MG132-arrested metaphase cells were collected, and the immunoprecipitates and cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblot. c CENP-E localization in control or KNL1 depleted mitotic cells synchronized by Nocodazole or MG132. Green, CENP-E; red, CREST; blue, DNA. d Quantification of relative CENP-E intensity on unattached (Nocodazole treated) (d, n = 18 cells in each group) or attached (MG132 treated) (e, n = 18 cells in each group) kinetochores in d. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments. e Schematic diagram showing the regions of KNL1 that interact with the linear ubiquitin chains (linear Ub4). Red line, interaction; gray line, no interaction. f The interaction between recombinant GST-fused truncations of KNL1 (residues 1-338) and the linear Ub4 chains. g Chromosome isolation fraction of MG132-arrested metaphase Flp-In T-Rex HeLa stable cell lines expressing GFP-KNL1 WT or Δ300-338 mutant was pulled down by GST-Ub4, and then GFP-KNL1 was detected by anti-GFP antibody. Loadings of GST proteins were determined by Ponceau S staining. h CENP-E localization in control or MG132-arrested stable cell lines in h depleted of endogenous KNL1. Red, CENP-E; green, GFP-KNL1; magenta, CREST; blue, DNA. i, k Quantification of CENP-E signals on unattached (Nocodazole-treated) (i, GFP-KNL1 WT, n = 24 cells; GFP-KNL1Δ300-338, n = 23 cells) or attached (MG132-treated) (k, n = 23 cells in each group) kinetochores in i. Each dot represents one cell. Bars indicate the median. The average CENP-E/CREST ratio of each group was normalized to control cells. Dashed boxes, regions of aligned chromosomes. NS not significant. ***P < 0.001; two-sided Student’s t-test in d, e and j, two-sided Mann-Whitney U test in k. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Fragment (residues 248-494) containing this region fails to bind to ubiquitin chains (Fig. 6f and Supplementary Fig. 6d). Importantly, in vitro GST pulldown assay showed that recombinant 1-338 of KNL1, but not 1-300 or 300-388 truncations, was able to bind to the linear ubiquitin chains (Fig. 6g). Taken together, these results indicate that KNL1 might be a direct receptor of linear ubiquitin chains.

The N-terminal region (1-338 aa) of KNL1 (KNL1N) contains several functional motifs, including the microtubule-binding domain (residues 1-68), PP1-binding RVSF and SILK motifs, two KI motifs (KI1 and KI2) and three phospho-MELT motifs phosphorylated by Mps1 that mediate the recruitment of Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes to unattached kinetochores. Microtubule attachment leads to PP1 recruitment at attached kinetochores and dephosphorylation of MELT motifs, thus releasing the Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes from KNL1. We performed the linear ubiquitin chains binding assay in vitro by pre-incubating microtubules and PP1 with KNL1N to mimic microtubule attachment status at attached kinetochores. Even in the presence of microtubules and PP1, the KNL1N still efficiently interacted with linear tetrabiubiquitin chains (Supplementary Fig. 6f). This result suggested that KNL1N might be responsible for interacting with the linear ubiquitin chains of CENP-E at attached kinetochores in vivo.

To further confirm the functional importance of linear ubiquitin binding by KNL1, we created a mutant with its critical ubiquitin-binding region (residues 300-338) deleted and established Flp-In T-Rex HeLa stable cell lines expressing Tet-inducible KNL1 WT or the Δ300-338 mutant. Expectedly, KNL1Δ300-338 mutant was deficient in binding untagged linear ubiquitin chains (Fig. 6h). Strikingly, unlike wild type KNL1, KNL1Δ300-338 mutant could not restore the fluorescence intensity of CENP-E at attached kinetochores on metaphase chromosomes in MG132-treated KNL1 RNAi cells, while it did not alter the CENP-E localization at unattached kinetochores in nocodazole-treated cells (Fig. 6i-k and Supplementary Fig. 6g). Consequently, cells expressing KNL1Δ300-338 were deficient in chromosome alignment (Fig. 6i). Importantly, KNL1Δ300-338 mutant was functional in supporting Bub1 localization at both unattached and attached kinetochores (Supplementary Fig. 6h, i). Therefore, these data suggest a direct mechanism of linear ubiquitination-dependent CENP-E localization at attached kinetochores.

Discussion

Faithful chromosome segregation requires proper chromosome congression at prometaphase and maintenance of the aligned chromosomes at metaphase1,54. The mitotic motor CENP-E is critical for both the congression of pole-proximal chromosomes and the maintenance of already aligned chromosomes. Our evidence here strongly supports a model in which LUBAC-dependent regulation of CENP-E is critical for establishing (congression) and maintaining dynamic chromosome alignment. In this model, LUBAC mediates the linear ubiquitination of CENP-E and facilitates the anchoring of CENP-E by KNL1 at attached kinetochores. LUBAC-dependent CENP-E localization to attached kinetochores of mono-oriented and bi-oriented chromosomes promotes chromosome congregation and alignment through stabilizing kinetochore-microtubule attachment (Fig. 7a).

Chromosome capture by spindle microtubules is a largely stochastic process, and chromosome alignment occurs asynchronously16,39. CENP-E is critical for establishing and maintaining chromosome alignment at the spindle equator2,3. At unattached kinetochores, CENP-E is mainly recruited by the Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes7. Once microtubule attachment releases these recruiters, LUBAC-mediated linear ubiquitination of CENP-E is responsible for anchoring it at attached kinetochores (Fig. 7b). Our discovery provides a mechanism for keeping CENP-E at attached kinetochores. This mechanism is presumably insensitive to microtubule attachment, and thus is fundamentally different from the known microtubule-sensitive CENP-E recruitment mechanisms at unattached kinetochores. Although CENP-E is a major functional substrate of LUBAC during mitosis, we cannot rule out the possible presence of additional target proteins involved in the chromosome alignment process.

We have further defined a direct mechanism by which linear ubiquitin chains promote CENP-E localization and identified KNL1 as a receptor of linear ubiquitin chains at attached kinetochores. The linear ubiquitin chains bind to the N-terminal region of KNL1 in the absence of Mps1 in vitro, indicating that unphosphorylated KNL1 is capable of linear ubiquitin chain binding. The same KNL1 region, when phosphorylated by Mps1, can mediate the recruitment of Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes to unattached kinetochores. This intriguing possibility is that, once microtubule attachment causes KNL1 dephosphorylation and releases the Bub complexes, this KNL1 region is free to bind to the linear ubiquitin chains of CENP-E, keeping CENP-E at attached kinetochores. This might explain why LUBAC only regulates the localization of CENP-E at attached kinetochores, but not at unattached kinetochores. Future experiments are needed to test this hypothesis.
KNL1 depletion from human cells reduces CENP-E localization at both attached and unattached kinetochores, leading to a chromosome misalignment phenotype very similar to that of CENP-E deficiency. Deletion of a critical ubiquitin-binding region of KNL1 recapitulates the chromosome misalignment phenotypes of LUBAC depletion, and only affects CENP-E localization at attached kinetochores. Our functional data establish KNL1 as an important downstream effector of LUBAC-dependent linear ubiquitination. Of course, we cannot exclude the existence of additional receptors of linear ubiquitination in mitosis. In contrast to ubiquitination that leads to degradation, this type of linear ubiquitination strengthens protein-protein interactions for mitotic progression. Our results reveal a non-degradation type of ubiquitin signal for establishing and maintaining chromosomes alignment to ensure subsequent accurate metaphase–anaphase transition.

In conclusion, we have uncovered an unexpected function of LUBAC as a mitotic regulator, and identified KNL1 as a linear ubiquitin chain receptor at attached kinetochores. Chemical inhibitors targeting mitotic regulators, such as Aurora kinases, CENP-E and PLK1, are being developed as anti-cancer drugs. Inactivation of LUBAC can cause mitotic cell death. Being an enzyme, LUBAC is a potential mitotic target for anti-cancer therapy. LUBAC inhibitor has been shown to suppress the inflammatory immune response. Therefore, targeting LUBAC with small molecules may be a promising approach to treat inflammation-associated cancers.

**Methods**

**Mouse strains and animal work.** All animal experiments were performed with the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the National Center of Biomedical Analysis in Beijing. Animals were housed in a pathogen-free facility under a 12-h light cycle. All embryos used for this study were obtained from natural matings of virgin females of 8–10 weeks of age. Noon on the day of the discovery of a vaginal plug was considered embryonic day E0.5. The SHARPIN-deficient (C57BL/KaLawRij Sharpincpdm/Sharpincpdm, abbreviated to Sharpincpdm) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. Sharpincpdm mice carry a spontaneous mutation in exon 1 of the Sharpin gene, resulting in the frame shift and premature termination of the SHARPIN protein. For genotyping of cpdm mice, exon 1 of the Sharpin gene was amplified by the frame shift and subsequent sequencing to identify a guanine deletion. The sex of embryos was not determined for the analyses conducted in this study.

**Cell culture and cell synchronization.** HeLa, U2OS and HEK293T cells were obtained from the ATCC. HeLa-GFP H2B stable cell line and HeLa-RFP H2B stable cell line were kindly provided by Dr. Stephen Doxsey and Dr. Chuanmao Zhang. Hp-In T-Rex host HeLa cell line was a kind gift from Dr. Bailong Xiao. To isolate mitotic cells, cells were synchronized by double-thymidine (2 mM, T1895, Sigma) and then released into fresh medium for 10–11 h. To collect prometaphase or metaphase cells in immunofluorescence assays, cells were incubated in thymidine-containing medium for 24 h, further released into fresh medium for 10 h and treated with nocodazole (100 ng/ml, M1404, Sigma) or proteasome inhibitor MG132 (10 μM, 474790, Calbiochem) for 1 h. To collect metaphase in linear ubiquitin chains binding assays, cells were synchronized by thymidine–nocodazole arrest and shaken off, then release into MG132 for 2 h.

Primary MEFs were generated from E13.5 embryos in accordance with standard procedures. Second-passage MEFs were used to perform indicated experiments. Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum.

**Fig. 7** The linear ubiquitin chains of CENP-E anchor it at attached kinetochores. **a** Model of LUBAC controlling chromosome congression and alignment maintenance by mediating the linear ubiquitination of CENP-E. KNL1 then anchors the linear ubiquitin chains on CENP-E at attached kinetochores. **b** At unattached kinetochores, CENP-E is mainly recruited by the Bub1-Bub3 and BubR1-Bub3 complexes (left). Once microtubule attachment releases these recruiters, LUBAC-mediated linear ubiquitination of CENP-E is responsible for anchoring it at attached kinetochores (right).
tetracycline-free fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 U/ml streptomycin in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO2.

siRNA Library screening and high-content microscopy. Custom human siRNA library targeting ubiquitin binding domains (UBD) containing proteins was designed and synthesized with a pool of four siRNA duplexes for each gene arrayed in a 96-well format (Dharmacon). In total, the UBDs include 15 types and the UBD-containing siRNA library targets 190 genes. Cells were transfected with siRNAs and stained as described in Supplementary Fig. 1b. Then fluorescence images of stained cells were collected using an IN Cell Analyzer 2000 high content fluorescence microscope (GE Healthcare) and analyzed by the IN Cell Analyzer Workstation 3.7 using Multi Target Analysis Module. For all measurements, 9 fields in each well (>10,000 cells) were collected with a ×20 objective. The Threshold Filter was used to screen positive cells with pSer10-H3 staining intensities over 160 gray level.

Transfection with siRNA and DNA. siRNAs were performed with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (13778, Invitrogen). The #1 siRNAs targeting three LUBAC components were used in most of the LUBAC depletion experiments in this study unless indicated otherwise (Supplementary Data 3). For the rescue assays in HOIP-depleted cells, 12 h before siRNA transfection, RNAi-resistant plasmids were transfected using TurboFect transfection reagent (RO351, Thermo Scientific). Following transfection, cells were synchronized in mitosis and examined by time-lapse imaging or immunostaining. For the experiments involving GFP-CENP-E WT/SKR mutant or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant, CENP-E siRNA was transfected and Flp-In T-Rex stable cell lines expressing Tet-inducible GFP-CENP-E WT/SKR mutant or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant. After transfection 12 h, cells were synchronized into mitosis as described above in ‘Cell culture and cell synchronization’ section and added with tetracycline (1 μg/ml, 85346, Calbiochem) to induce GFP-CENP-E WT/SKR mutant or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant expression.

Constructs. Myc-HOIP WT and HA-HOIL-1L were supplied by Dr. Kazuhiko Iwai. GFP-CENP-E WT was a kind gift from Dr. Don W. Cleveland. HA-OTULIN and GST-M1-SUB was obtained from Dr. Mads Gyrd-Hansen. GST-Ub4 was supplied by Dr. Takanori Iwai. GFP-CENP-E WT was a kind gift from Dr. Don W. Cleveland. HA-OTULIN, Flag-CENP-E, GFP-HOIP, Flag-Ska3 or GFP-KNL1 were provided by Dr. Hongtao Yu. HA-SHARPIN, Flag-CENP-E, GFP-HOIP, Flag-Ska3 or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant, Myc-GFP were amplified by PCR and cloned into pX459, pCGN1 or pSpCas9 (2.7) vectors. Flag-CENP-E and Flag-KNL1 truncations were amplified from full length CENP-E or KNL1 and cloned in pcDNA3.0. HOIP CS mutant, CENP-E SKR mutant and all the siRNA-resistant plasmids described here were generated by PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis (Supplementary Data 3).

Generation of Flp-In T-Rex HeLa stable cell lines. To generate Flp-In T-Rex HeLa stable cell line expressing Tet-inducible GFP-CENP-E WT/SKR mutant or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant, Flp-In T-Rex host HeLa cell line was cotransfected with pOG44 and pcDNA5/FRT/TO (Invitrogen) inserted with a siRNA-resistant plasmid. For each gene arrayed in a 96-well format (Dharmacon), in total, the UBDs include 15 types and the UBD-containing siRNA library targets 190 genes. Cells were transfected using TurboFect transfection reagent (RO351, Thermo Scientific). Following transfection, cells were synchronized in mitosis and examined by time-lapse imaging or immunostaining. For the experiments involving GFP-CENP-E WT/SKR mutant or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant, CENP-E siRNA was transfected and Flp-In T-Rex stable cell lines expressing Tet-inducible GFP-CENP-E WT/SKR mutant or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant. After transfection 12 h, cells were synchronized into mitosis as described above in ‘Cell culture and cell synchronization’ section and added with tetracycline (1 μg/ml, 85346, Calbiochem) to induce GFP-CENP-E WT/SKR mutant or GFP-KNL1 WT/A 300-338 mutant expression.

Time-lapse imaging. HeLa/GFP-H2B, U2OS/GFP-H2B and HeLa/RFP-H2B stable cell lines were used to perform the time-lapse assays58,59. For the high resolution imaging, we used Eclipse Ti-E Microscope with an UltraView spinning-disc confocal scanner unit (Perkin Elmer) (Figs. 3 and 4), a ×63/1.40 Oil objective on Zeiss LSM 780 system (Figs. 6 and 7) and a ×63/1.4 Oil objective on Zeiss LSM 880 system (Fig. 5). To distinguish unattached and attached kinetochores on unaligned chromosomes, we used Mad1 localization as the indicator, kinetochores with strong Mad1 signal are unattached ones and kinetochores with weak Mad1 signal are attached ones.

Images were acquired with a ×63/1.40 or ×100/1.40 Oil objective on Nikon Eclipse Ti-E Microscope with an UltraView spinning-disc confocal scanner unit (Perkin Elmer) (Figs. 3 and 4), a ×63/1.4 Oil objective on Zeiss LSM 880 system (Fig. 5) or a ×100/1.40 Oil objective on a DeltaVision Imaging Restoration Module (Applied Precision Instruments) (Figs. 2 and 6). The settings were kept constant for experimental and control groups in the same experiment. The representative images acquired by DV system were processed by iterative constrained deconvolution (SoftWoRx, Applied Precision Instruments). Maximal intensity projections of the entire Z-stack are shown, and optical sections show individual kinetochores more clearly (insets).

To count mono-oriented or unattached pole-proximal kinetochores in cold treatment assay, CREST staining was used to identify kinetochore pairs in individual Z-stacks. We defined the pole-proximal region as an area covered by a circle with 2.5 μm in radius centered around the spindle pole. Each kinetochore was classified as mono-oriented or unattached depending on whether microtubule fibers ended at the kinetochore. Kinetochores for which the attachment state could not be clearly determined were not counted.

All quantification was performed on raw images without deconvolution. Briefly, the raw images were projected and exported as tiff files, and further analyzed with ImageJ [National Institutes of Health] software. To quantify the relative intensity of kinetochore components, kinetochore regions were selected based on CREST signal, and an area with the same size was selected in non-kinetochore
regions for background determination. The relative kinetochore intensity of a given protein was calculated as the mean of its background-subtracted kinetochore intensity divided by the background-subtracted kinetochore intensity of CREST. To quantify the relative intensities of K-fibers, microtubule signals were quantified by measuring the total fluorescent intensity of a 60 × 200 pixel area on the spindle equator, and normalizing to the signals of CREST with Image J. A 10 × 10 pixel area was selected in non-tubulin regions for background determination.

Immunohistochemistry. For immunofluorescence staining of heart tissues, tissue samples from mice were frozen in Tissue-Tek O.C.T compound. Sections (6 μm thick) were stained with anti-phospho Ser10 histone H3 (P-H3) (1:500; Abcam), rabbit anti-CENP-E (1:2000; Millipore), rabbit anti-Flag (1:10000; sc-2965, Sigma), rabbit anti-HA (1:1000, sc-7392, Santa Cruz), mouse anti-GFP (1:500; sc-9996, Santa Cruz), mouse anti-α-tubulin (1:1000, TS168, Sigma), rabbit anti-phospho-histone H3 (Ser10) (1:5000, 05-817 R, Millipore), rabbit anti-β-tubulin (1:1000, B5651, Sigma), rabbit anti-β-Actin (1:10000, ab131157, Abcam), rabbit anti-NeuN (1:1500, gift from Dr. Don W. Cleveland), sheep anti-HOIP and anti-HOIL-1L (1:5000, a gift from Dr. Philip Cohen), rabbit anti-CENP-E (1:1000, #44444, CST), anti-linear Ub62 (1:2500, a gift from Dr. Vishva M. Dixit, Genentech Inc.), rabbit anti-ubiquitin K63 (1:1000, 05-1307, Millipore), rabbit anti-ubiquitin K65 (1:1000, 05-1308, Millipore), rabbit anti-SkA3 (1:1000, a gift from Dr. Hontgao Yu), rabbit anti-KNL1 (1:5000, a gift from Dr. Hontgao Yu). Western blot antibody against SHARPIN of primary MEF cells was: rabbit anti-SHARPIN (1:500, Millipore). Images have been cropped for presentation (uncropped scans of the blots were shown in Supplementary Fig. 7).

Chromosome isolation. Mitotic cells were collected and lysed with lysis buffer containing: the MTris buffer pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5 M NaOAc, 0.5% NP-40, 1% Glycerol, 5 mM NaF, 10 mM beta-Glycerophosphate, 0.5 mM Na2VO3, complete protease inhibitor, phosSTOP and 1 mM DTT (lysis buffer: cell pellet washed 3 times with PDB buffer supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml BSA, then washed five times with PDB buffer). Discard the supernatant portion was used in the GST-Ub4 pull-down assay.

Mass spectrometric analysis. To isolate ubiquitinated CENP-E for MS analysis, HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with Flag-CENP-E (1-1360 aa), Ub-luciferase and CENP-E, ubiquitinated CENP-E for MS analysis, then extracts from MG312-arrested metaphase 293T cells were prepared. Immunoprecipitation was carried out with mouse anti-Flag M2 affinity gel. These immunoprecipitated Flag-tagged proteins were incubated together with linear Ub4 (Boston Biochem company) overnight at 4 °C in PDB buffer supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml BSA, then washed five times with PDB buffer.

Statistical analysis. Statistical comparisons between two groups were carried out by two-sided Student’s t-test or the two-sided Mann–Whitney U test when a normal distribution could not be assumed. Statistical calculations were performed with SPSS software. For all tests, we tested data for normality and variance, and differences were considered statistically significant if P values were less than 0.05 (as indicated by *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; NS not significant). No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized. No samples were excluded. The investigators were blinded to assess all the staining and time-lapse assays.

Reporting summary. Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
All mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD011786. The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files, or from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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