Comparison of Clinical Characteristics and Effects of Modified Jaw Thrust Maneuver During Drug-Induced Sleep Endoscopy (DISE) between Positional and Non-Positional Obstructive Sleep Apnea Patients
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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Positional OSAS is characterized by an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) score >5, which, while sleeping in the supine position, is double that in non-supine position. This study was performed to compare the clinical characteristics of positional OSAS and non-positional OSAS patients, and the effects of the modified jaw thrust maneuver during drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE) between positional OSAS and non-positional OSAS patients. Materials and Methods: 68 positional OSAS patients and 19 non-positional OSAS patients were included. They all underwent full-night polysomnography and DISE. The modified jaw thrust maneuver was introduced during DISE. Airway structural changes induced by the modified jaw thrust maneuver were evaluated and documented. Results: There were no statistically significant differences in Friedman stage or tonsil grade, body mass index, Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS) score, blood pressure, AHI, or obstrctive pattern between the positional and non-positional OSAS patients. However, mean arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2), lowest SaO2, and total arousal index values were more severe in the non-positional OSAS patients. After introduction of the modified jaw thrust maneuver, retrolingual level obstruction showed a tendency toward a higher rate of airway opening in positional OSAS patients than in non-positional OSAS patients. Conclusions: The effects of a mandibular advancement device (MAD) can be estimated by carrying out a modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE. The tendency toward a higher rate of airway opening in positional OSAS patients than non-positional OSAS patients in retrolingual level obstruction after jaw thrust maneuver introduced during DISE may be clinically important for MAD. (J Clinical Otolaryngol 2018;29:190-197)
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Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) is a common disorder characterized by recurrent episodes of breathing cessation due to upper airway collapse during sleep. Common symptoms of OSAS include unexplained daytime sleepiness, restless sleep, and loud snoring. It occurs when the throat muscles intermittently relax and block the airway during sleep. The severity of OSAS varies and is determined by multiple factors, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), sleep posture, etc. Positional OSAS is a phenotype of OSAS in which sleep apneas come about largely during sleep in the supine position.1) In 1984, Cartwright2) defined positional OSAS patients as those in whom the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) was
at least twice as high in the supine position than in the lateral position. Although prevalence rates vary depending on the criteria defining positional OSAS, positional OSAS accounts for a considerable proportion of the OSAS population, ranging from 50% to 60% of OSAS patients who present to sleep clinics. Various surgical and non-surgical options are in use for the treatment of snoring and sleep apnea. Non-surgical methods include weight control, lifestyle changes, continuous positive pressure respiration, and use of intraoral devices, such as mandibular advancement devices (MAD). It is very meaningful to predict treatment outcomes, and a number of studies on various therapeutic predictors, such as physical measurements and polysomnography (PSG), have been carried out.

Positional therapy, such as avoidance of the supine posture during sleep, and use of MAD appears to be adequate in positional patients. Yoshida et al. reported that the effectiveness of MAD therapy is greatly influenced by sleep posture.

Previous studies regarding treatment outcomes with MAD focused on changes in the overall severity of OSAS and did not examine defined subgroups of OSAS patients. Dynamic tests which can directly assess anatomical changes are very important in OSAS patients, and studies of dynamic pharyngeal airway collapsibility must be carried out to identify anatomical factors that contribute most to defining positional and non-positional OSAS. The jaw thrust maneuver is known to be effective for clearing the airway. A modified jaw thrust maneuver conducted under drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE) allowed us to indirectly evaluate the degree of upper airway extension in patients with sleep apnea. Thus, we hypothesized that the treatment outcome of MAD according to subgroup could be anticipated by making observations of the anatomical changes of the upper airway with the modified jaw thrust maneuver under DISE according to OSAS subgroup.

This study was performed to compare the clinical characteristics of positional OSAS and non-positional OSAS patients, and the effects of the modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE between positional OSAS and non-positional OSAS patients.

Materials and Methods

Before DISE, all patients received a exhaustive ear, nose, and throat (ENT) checkup. Their Friedman stage and tonsil grade were recorded. All patients underwent full-night PSG (WE-1000K; Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan). The research protocol was reviewed and approved by our institutional review board (IRB approval number BSM 2018-01).

Materials

We retrospectively evaluated 87 patients (mean age, 42.1 years; range: 18–73 years) diagnosed with OSAS in our hospital (defined as AHI score > 5) from 2015 to 2017. We ruled out patients with obvious retrognathia or mandibular dysplasia, and those who had undergone prior surgery of the soft palate or tongue. To exclude any gender bias, female patients were also ruled out.

The study population was divided into positional patients with supine AHI score at least twice as high as the non-supine AHI score, and non-positional patients with a supine AHI score less than double the non-supine AHI score. Of the 87 subjects, 68 patients were included in positional OSAS and 19 patients were included in non-positional OSAS. There were no significant differences in age, BMI, mean Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS) score, or blood pressure (BP) between the two groups (Table 1).

Drug induced sleep endoscopy

In all cases, DISE procedures were carried out by ENT surgeons in a dim and quiet operating room, with each patient in the supine position. Sleep was induced by intravenous administration of midazolam and careful respiratory monitoring was followed. The anesthesiologist titrated the drug slowly to 0.07 mg/kg per patient; boluses of 1–2.5 mg were given to a maximum of 7.5 mg per patient using a target-controlled...
infusion system. An extra bolus was administered to the patients who were anxious. After the patient was deeply asleep (sufficiently to snore, show obstructions, and respond sluggishly to a light glabella or loud auditory stimulus: Ramsay’s level of sedation scale score of 5\(^8\)), a flexible video laryngoscope of 4 mm

| Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the patients |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                   | Positional | Non-positional | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Number of patients                          | 68         | 19             |
| Mean age (mean±SD, years)                    | 42.5±12.8  | 40.5±13.7      | 0.730   |
| BMI (mean±SD, kg/m\(^2\))                   | 26.4±3.2   | 27.0±4.0       | 0.704   |
| ESS score (mean±SD)                          | 9.1±5.0    | 9.2±4.0        | 0.786   |
| BP (mean±SD, mmHg, systolic)                 | 127.6±16.8 | 127.8±13.5     | 0.798   |
| BP (mean±SD, mmHg, diastolic)                | 79.6±11.1  | 79.7±9.0       | 0.819   |

Statistics, Mann-Whitney U-test; Statistical significance, p<0.05. SD : standard deviation, BMI : body mass index, ESS Score : Epworth sleepiness scale score, BP : blood pressure

**Fig. 1.** A : Narrowing of the lateral diameter at the retrolingual level in a positional obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) patient before introducing the modified jaw thrust maneuver during drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE). Expiration (Left). Inspiration (Right). B : Improvement of narrowing of the lateral diameter at the retrolingual level in a positional OSAS patient after introducing the modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE. Expiration (Left). Inspiration (Right).
in diameter was inserted softly through the nose and the pattern of upper airway obstruction was recorded. The modified jaw thrust maneuver was then performed and changes in the upper airway obstruction pattern were also recorded. After all, video images of all DISE procedures were evaluated by a single otolaryngologist.9)

Classification of DISE findings

We divided the upper airway into two parts: the retropalatal level (the region posterior to the soft palate) and the retrolingual level (the region of the pharynx posterior to the vertical portion of the tongue). The retropalatal level was subdivided into the palate [anteroposterior (AP) diameter] and lateral pharyngeal wall (lateral diameter). The retrolingual level was subdivided into the tongue base (AP diameter) and the lateral pharyngeal wall (lateral diameter). In addition, the stage of airway obstruction was classified as no obstruction (grade 0), partial obstruction (grade 1; 50–75% obstruction), and complete obstruction (grade 2, >75% obstruction).10) Thus, the patterns of upper airway obstruction in OSAS patients were evaluated and documented.

Modified jaw thrust maneuver

We introduced a modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE, and airway structural changes induced by the modified jaw thrust maneuver were evaluated and documented. The degree of improvement in the pattern of airway obstruction was examined and the percentage of patients with changes in grade was calculated (Figs. 1, 2).

Statistical analysis

The Mann-Whitney U test and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare the clinical characteristics and effects of the modified jaw thrust maneuver between positional OSAS and non-positional OSAS patients. All statistical tests were performed using IBM SPSS.

---

**Fig. 2.** A: Narrowing of the AP diameter at the retrolingual level in a non-positional OSAS patient before introducing the modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE. Expiration (Left). Inspiration (Right). B: Improvement of narrowing of the AP diameter at the retrolingual level in a non-positional OSAS patient after introducing the modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE. Expiration (Left). Inspiration (Right).
Statistics software (ver. 23.0; IBM Corp., Chicago, IL). In all analyses, \( p < 0.05 \) was taken to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

**Comparison of Friedman stage and tonsil grade between the two groups**

There were no statistically significant differences in Friedman stage or tonsil grade between the positional OSAS group and non-positional OSAS group (\( p = 0.586 \) and 0.382, respectively) (Table 2).

**Comparison of polysomnographic data between the two groups**

The mean arterial oxygen saturation (SaO\(_2\)), lowest SaO\(_2\), and total arousal index values were more severe in the non-positional OSAS patients, and the difference in total arousal index was statistically significant (\( p = 0.027 \)). The rapid eye movement (REM) sleep value was significantly lower in the non-positional OSAS patients than in the positional OSAS patients (\( p = 0.030 \)). The remaining variables (AHI, sleep efficiency, NREM1 sleep, NREM2 sleep, and NREM3 sleep) were not significantly different between the two groups (Table 3).

**Comparison of DISE findings between the two groups**

There were no statistically significant differences in the pattern or degree of obstruction between the positional OSAS group and non-positional OSAS group (Table 4).

### Table 2. Comparison of Friedman stage and tonsil grade between the two groups

| Friedman stage | Positional (%) | Non-positional (%) | \( p \)-value |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Low grade*    | 35.3          | 42.1              | 0.586        |
| High grade†   | 64.7          | 57.9              |              |
| Tonsil grade  |               |                   | 0.382        |
| Low grade‡    | 22.1          | 31.6              |              |
| High grade§   | 77.9          | 68.4              |              |

Statistics, Fisher’s exact test; Statistical significance, \( p < 0.05 \). *: Low grade of Friedman stage: includes grade 1 and 2 of Friedman stage. †: High grade of Friedman stage: includes grade 3 and 4 of Friedman stage. ‡: Low grade of tonsil grade: includes grade 0 and 1 of tonsil grade. §: High grade of tonsil grade: includes grade 2, 3, and 4 of tonsil grade.

### Table 3. Comparison of polysomnographic data between the two groups

|                        | Positional (mean ± SD, events/h) | Non-positional (mean ± SD, events/h) | \( p \)-value |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| AHI                    | 24.6 ± 13.8                      | 40.1 ± 32.2                          | 0.267        |
| Mean SaO\(_2\)         | 96.7 ± 1.7                       | 94.9 ± 4.4                           | 0.429        |
| Lowest SaO\(_2\)       | 78.6 ± 9.6                       | 69.9 ± 17.3                          | 0.078        |
| Sleep Efficiency       | 82.8 ± 9.7                       | 82.9 ± 8.3                           | 0.677        |
| Total Arousal Index    | 26.6 ± 11.9                      | 46.0 ± 28.4                          | 0.027        |
| NREM1 Sleep            | 39.9 ± 15.2                      | 42.7 ± 23.5                          | 0.715        |
| NREM2 Sleep            | 35.5 ± 17.1                      | 36.8 ± 19.9                          | 0.708        |
| NREM3 Sleep            | 2.5 ± 3.8                        | 3.2 ± 5.8                            | 0.846        |
| REM Sleep              | 21.4 ± 9.2                       | 16.1 ± 8.3                           | 0.030        |

Statistics, Mann-Whitney U-test; Statistical significance, \( p < 0.05 \). AHI: apnea-hypopnea index, SD: standard deviation, SaO\(_2\): oxygen saturation, REM: rapid eye movement, NREM1: non-REM stage 1, NREM2: non-REM stage 2, NREM3: non-REM stage 3.
Comparison of changes in DISE findings by modified jaw thrust maneuver between the two groups

|                      | Positional | Non-positional | p-value |
|----------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| Retropalatal         |            |                |         |
| AP                   | Low grade* (%) | 5.9            | 10.5       | 0.608 |
|                      | High grade† (%) | 94.1           | 89.5      |
| Lateral              | Low grade* (%) | 17.6           | 21.1      |
|                      | High grade† (%) | 82.4           | 78.9      |
| Retrolingual         |            |                |         |
| AP                   | Low grade* (%) | 35.3           | 36.8      | 0.901 |
|                      | High grade† (%) | 64.7           | 63.2      |
| Lateral              | Low grade* (%) | 25.0           | 26.3      |
|                      | High grade† (%) | 75.0           | 73.7      | 1.000 |

Statistics, Fisher’s exact test; Statistical significance, p < 0.05. *: Low grade: includes grade 0, †: High grade: includes grade 1 and 2. Retropalatal: region posterior to the soft palate, Retrolingual: region of the pharynx posterior to the vertical portion of the tongue, AP: anteroposterior

Comparison of changes in DISE findings by modified jaw thrust maneuver between the two groups

Retrolingual level obstruction showed a higher rate of airway opening in positional OSAS patients than in non-positional OSAS patients (79.4% vs. 68.4% in AP and 73.5% vs. 52.6% in lateral, respectively), but the differences were not statistically significant (p = 0.360 in AP and p = 0.082 in lateral) (Table 5).

Discussion

Although the pathophysiology of OSAS is complicated, it is well known that sleep position affects the occurrence and severity of sleep apnea. The underlying mechanism is thought to be related to gravity, but this remains to be confirmed. Several types of OSAS have been defined, with patients typically classified as having positional OSAS or non-positional OSAS. The most common definition of positional OSAS is total AHI ≥ 5/h and supine AHI at least twice as high as non-AHI. The frequency of positional OSAS among OSAS patients varies somewhat according to ethnicity, ranging from 67% to 75% in Asian populations and from 50% to 60% in Western populations. The high prevalence of OSAS in Asian populations is because Asian people have a lower BMI than Westerners, and obesity is an important determinant of positional OSAS. There have been a number of studies regarding anthropometric and PSG results according to OSAS subtype. Some groups reported that positional OSAS patients were younger and less obese, while others found no differences in BMI between patients with positional and non-positional OSAS. Oksenberg et al. reported that non-positional OSAS patients had significantly higher AI and AHI values, lower mean oxygen saturation, and longer snoring times than those with positional OSAS. These results were similar to those of other studies, as well as the present study.

MAD is a common non-surgical treatment for OSAS and is used as an alternative to positive airway pressure (PAP) devices. MAD maintains the mandib-
ular position in the upper extremity, which enhances and collapses airway opening. MAD is currently the first-line treatment for mild OSA and severe OSA only when persistent PAP therapy fails or is rejected.\(^{16}\) Although MAD significantly reduces OSAS in the majority of patients, about a third show negligible improvement.\(^ {17}\) Therefore, accurate prediction of treatment outcome is highly desirable. Understanding the patient’s phenotype with regard to response to MAD therapy can improve patient selection.

In treatment planning for OSAS patients, it is important to identify the pattern and degree of airway obstruction. Diagnostic tools, such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are widely used for anatomical evaluation of the upper airway. However, these tools are performed when the patient is awake and therefore do not accurately reflect the pattern of upper airway obstruction during sleep. On the other hand, DISE, designed by Croft and Pringle\(^ {18}\) in 1991, can be used to observe the site of upper airway occlusion during sleep. DISE uses sedative-hypnotics to induce obstruction in OSAS patients, thereby facilitating anatomical assessment of obstructive physiology. DISE has some limitations, but approaches a more actual sleep state better than other diagnostic tools.\(^ {19}\)

The modified jaw thrust maneuver is an airway opening maneuver used during resuscitative efforts when there is suspicion of cervical injury. The modified jaw thrust maneuver performed during DISE is effective for clearing the airway and allows assessment of the degree of airway extension in OSAS patients.\(^ {7}\) The modified jaw thrust maneuver and MAD are similar, in that the jaws are pulled forward against gravity. Therefore, the effect of MAD can be estimated by carrying out the modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE.\(^ {7}\)

This is the first study to compare the results of induced modified jaw thrust maneuver during DISE between positional OSAS patients and non-positional OSAS patients. Here, we compared the clinical characteristics of positional OSAS patients and non-positional OSAS patients, and the findings of DISE and effects of the modified jaw thrust maneuver. 1) There were no statistically significant differences in Friedman stage or tonsil grade, BMI, ESS score, BP, AHI, or pattern of airway obstruction between the positional and non-positional OSAS patients. However, the mean SaO\(_2\), lowest SaO\(_2\), and total arousal index values were more severe in the non-positional OSAS patients. 2) After introducing the modified jaw thrust maneuver, retrolingual level obstruction tended to show a higher rate of airway opening in positional OSAS patients than in non-positional OSAS patients. This tendency may be clinically important for MAD, but further studies are required to examine this in more detail.

This study had some limitations. First, an insufficient number of cases have not yet been studied, and second there have been no studies to determine the effectiveness of treatment after wearing a MAD. Therefore, further research is required to address these issues.
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