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Abstract— The study investigated sweet potato production for poverty alleviation in Nasarawa State of Nigeria. Data were collected from 180 sweet potato farmers randomly selected from Keffi, Kokona and Karu Local Government Areas and interviewed using structured interview schedule. Results of the study show that adult males played a dominant role in sweet potato production especially in land preparation 79% and ridging 81% respectively, while women and children played major role in planting 97%, weeding 94% and harvesting 93% in the area. The study further reveals that if sweet potato is well managed, it has the potential for food security and alleviating farmers from their poverty. This suggests that sweet potato should be given adequate attention in terms of production, value addition and marketability.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) is a creeper of the Convolvulaceae family. It originated from Central America and is widely grown as important staple food in most parts of the world. Presently, Nigeria is number one producer of sweet potato in Africa with annual output of 3.46million metric tons (FAO, 2006) and globally the second largest producer after China. The crop is grown for both human and animal consumption. Sweet potato is the only crop among the root and tuber crops that has a positive per capita annual rate of increase in production in Sub-Saharan Africa (Tewe et al, 2003). It is the only member of the genus ipomoea whose roots are edible and is one of the world’s most important foods crops due to its high yield and nutritive value (Data and Eronico, 1987). According to Chukwu (2001), within the root crop belt of Nigeria, especially the South-East agro-ecological zone, sweet potato has joined the league of life saving-crops as cassava. It blends well with rice, cowpea and plantain in most diets (Ejechi et al., 2009). Fawole (2007) reported that sweet potato remains one of the three most important root crops in the world. In spite of these important aspects, less research has been done on sweet potato than on the other roots crops. The other major root crops, for instance, have had ongoing systemic studies for decades. Therefore, there is a dearth of information on the economics of sweet potato production in Nigeria.

The high agronomic potentials of sweet potato has been established at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and the National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), which are both located in the humid zone of Nigeria (Tewe et al, 2003). Its production provides job opportunity for the farmers, thus raising their income. Sweet potato is consumed without much processing in most parts of the Tropics. It is either eaten boiled, roasted or fried. Although sweet potato is a crop that is consumed in all parts of the country, its level of production still remains low. The crop ranks among the five most important food crops in over 50 developing countries (All about sweet potato, 2008).The roots can also be slightly fermented in water for 2-3 days to reduce the sweetness, then sun dried and milled, mixed with either yam or cassava flour for eating. The leaves and tender shoots of sweet potato are used as vegetable food. The leaves contain, on dry matter basis about 8% starch 4% sugar 27% protein and vitamins therefore are very nutritious. It also contains about 56mg carotene per 100kg dry matter. The leaves are usually eaten boiled or incorporated into soup and stews (Matthew et al, 2008).

Industrially, sweet potato flour can be used to substitute wheat bread making or maize flour in balanced feeds. Baby foods have been formulated using sweet potato while some bakeries blend 15-30% of sweet potato flour for making bread and 20-30% for pastries. It is also used in the brewing of alcoholic drinks and as sweeteners in non-alcoholics drinks. (Agbo and Ene,1992). Sweet potato starch can also have medicinal value. According to Hartwell (1971), the leaves decoction is used in folk remedies for tumor of the mouth and throat. Reported to be alternative, aphrodisiac, astringent, bactericide, demulcent, fungicide, laxative and...
tomic, industrial potentials of sweet potato have not been fully exploited due mainly to a chronic lack, of awareness of the commercial benefits derivable from sweet potato (Azogu and Olomo, 2002). Little research is known to have been undertaken on the economics of sweet potato production compared to other roots and tubers like cassava and yam (Azogu and Olomo). Cultivation of root and tuber crops in Nigeria as in most Africa countries is threatened by the low prices of the crops and their products.

With the rising cost of labour and transportation, rural farmers can hardly sustain their farming systems considering the meager returns from their harvest. It is therefore advantageous to diversify the use of crop roots beyond those of the traditional food industry in Africa countries. Because sweet potato surpasses other root crops in terms of agronomic potentials, diversification into other food, feed and industrial uses will increase demand, ensure attractive prices and consequently encouraged farmers, to sustain and expand their root crop farming units.

In order to solve the problem of hunger in the society, there is need to increase production of crops with minimum effort, find market for the produce and improves its quality for acceptability by the public. Sweet potato is one of such crops. It requires minimum management practices such as weeding and fertilizer application. Hence the objectives of this study were: (i) to describe the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents (ii) determine the gender roles of families in the production of sweet potato (iii) to access the consumption rate of sweet potato (iv) to access marketability of sweet potato

II. METHODOLOGY
The survey was conducted in Karu, Kokona and Keffi of Nasarawa State, in 2016 to examine sweet potato production for poverty alleviation in Nasarawa State of Nigeria. The three agricultural zones in the area study were purposively selected based on strategic importance of sweet potato in the farming system of the sampled zones in the area. In each zone one Local Government Area was selected by simple random sampling technique from the list of all Local Government Areas in the State. Then in each Local Government Area, 6 communities were similarly selected by random sampling technique, and in each community, 10 sweet potato farmers were equally selected through the same sampling technique. 60 Respondents were obtained from each agricultural zone making up a sample size of 180 respondents for the entire study area, using structured questionnaires, relevant data on house hold sweet potato production were collected from the respondents. Data were analyzed with descriptive statistics.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-economic Characteristics of Respondents

Sex
Result from Table 1 showed that majority of the respondents were male farmers (62.2%) for Nasarawa State, while the female farmers accounted for (37.8).  

Age
Result from Table 1 also showed that majority of the farmers age bracket 21-30 accounted for 0% in Nasarawa State. Age bracket 31-40 accounted for 5.0% 41-50 accounted for 21.1%, 51 -60 accounted for 37.2%, greater than 60 years accounted for 36.7%. From the above, it can be seen that they have able people for farming activities. This means, if the advantage of these able men are taken, production will increase, poverty and unemployment will be reduced.

Marital Status
With reference to table 1, 90.0 % of the respondents are in Nasarawa State are married, 6.7 % and 3.3% are single and widowed in Nasarawa State, respectively. The marital status of the farmers account for marital stability, which accounted for higher productivity.

Level of Education
Majority of the respondent’s table1 had formal Education; Tertiary education accounted for 7.2% in Nasarawa State, Secondary education constituted 15.6% for Nasarawa State, Primary education accounted for 32.8% for Nasarawa State. While Those farmers who had no education accounted for 44.4% for Nasarawa State. The state has fairly educated farmers, which could make adequate use of agricultural information for optimal production.

Household Size
With reference to Table 1 the average household size of 1-5 accounted for 5.0% for Nasarawa State, 6-10 constituted 35.6%, 11-15 accounted for 21.7% while 16- 20 accounted for 24.4% and above 20 accounted 13.3%, respectively. In the absence of adult males, underage males were in some places designated household heads. In all, 88% of the households were male headed and 12% female headed.

Major Occupation
The result from table 1, showed that occupation of majority of the farmers in Nasarawa State is 100% 

Farm experience in Farming of Sweet potato
The result from table 1 showed that 14.9% of the respondents in Nasarawa State had between 1-5 years experience in sweet potato farming, 6-10 had 25.6%, 11-15 had 22.8%, 16-20 had 15% and above 20 had 21.7%, respectively.
**Farm Size**
Majority of the respondents table 1 Nasarawa State accounted for 35% between 1-2ha, 35% between 3-4ha, 15% between 5-6ha, while above 6ha accounted for 14.9%, respectively. Their hectarage is quite small because more than 62% in Nasarawa State fall within 1-2ha. Which implies that their production output is still very low, which has contributed to their poverty and unemployment.

**Association Membership**
The results showed that 98.9% of the farmers belong to sweet potato grower association of Nigeria (POGMAN) while 1.1% does not belong to any group in Nasarawa State while in FCT State (POGMAN) accounted for 80% membership, (ECOMC) accounted for 3.3% while (CBNCO) accounted for 0.6% and no response accounted for 6.1% Table 1

**Estimated Annual On-Farm Income**
The distribution of respondents according to their income revealed that about 68.5% of the respondents had annual income of between N20,000 – N100,000, while 25.0% had N101,000 – N500,000 and 6.1% had N501,000–N1,000,000. The mean annual income was (N196, 226.50).Farmers with low income will not be able to purchase subsidized farm inputs provided by the government. This implies that respondents with high farm income are most likely to purchase government inputs.

**Membership of Organizations**
Distribution of the respondents according to their membership of organization revealed that 96.2% belonged to organizations and the remaining 3.8% did not belong to any. Being a member of any organization could be an avenue for accessing information on increased productivity.

**Fertilizer use**
The fertilizer used was procured from Federal and State government fertilizer programme. Because of the delay of the fertilizer getting to the farmers, the percentage usage by farmers was quite encouraging.

**Estimated Annual Off-Farm Income**
Distribution of respondents according to their annual off-farm income revealed that 53.9% had annual off-farm income of between N20,000 – N50,000, followed by N51,000 – N100,000 (24.4%), N101,000 – N150,000 (6.7%), N151,000 – N200,000 (10.6%) and N201,000 - N250,000 (4.4%), respectively. The mean off-farm income was N38, 127.50. This is in addition to the annual on-farm income which could assist the farmer in purchasing more subsidized inputs to increase production.

**Planting Materials**
Distribution of respondents according to the plant materials grown by farmers’ revealed that 87.2% of the respondents got their materials from International Institute for Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan and National Roots Crops Research Institute Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. While the remaining 12.8% got their planting materials from local vendors.

**Labour Use**
Majority 83.3% of the respondents use family labour while the remaining 16.7% used hired labour.

---

**Table 1: Distribution of Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents**

| Socio-economic Characteristics | Nasarawa (n=180) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|                              | Freq | %   | Mean |
| **Sex:**                     |      |     |      |
| Male                         | 112  | 62.2|      |
| Female                       | 68   | 37.8|      |
| **Age(years)**               |      |     |      |
| 21 – 30                      |      | -   | 0    |
| 31 – 40                      | 9    | 5.0 |      |
| 41 – 50                      | 38   | 21.1|      |
| 51 – 60                      | 67   | 37.2|      |
| > 60                         | 66   | 36.7|      |
| **Marital Status**           |      |     |      |
| Single                       | 12   | 6.7 |      |
| Married                      | 162  | 90.0|      |
| Widowed                      | 6    | 3.3 |      |
| Divorced                     |      | -   | -    |
| Level of Education                  |       |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| No formal education                | 80    | 44.4  |
| Primary education                  | 59    | 32.8  |
| Secondary education                | 28    | 15.6  |
| Tertiary education                 | 13    | 7.2   |
| Mean of years spent in             |       | 8.4   |
| Acquiring formal education         |       |       |

| Household size (number)            |       |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1 – 5                              |       |       |
| 6 – 10                             | 9     | 5.0   |
| 11 – 15                            | 64    | 35.6  |
| 16 – 20                            | 39    | 21.7  |
| >20                                | 44    | 24.4  |
|                                    | 24    | 13.3  |

| Major occupation                   |       |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Farming                            |       |       |
| Fishing                            | 180   | 100   |
| Farming/Trading                    | -     | -     |
| Hunting                            | -     | -     |

| Farming experience (years)         |       |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1 – 5                              |       |       |
| 6 – 10                             |       |       |
| 11 – 15                            | 27    | 14.9  |
| 16 – 20                            | 46    | 25.6  |
| >20                                | 41    | 22.8  |
|                                    | 27    | 15.0  |

| Farm size (hectares)               |       |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1 – 2                              |       |       |
| 3 – 4                              |       |       |
| 5 – 6                              | 63    | 35.0  |
| >6                                 | 63    | 35.0  |
|                                    | 27    | 15.0  |

| Estimated Annual On-farm Income (Naira) |       |       |
|----------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 20,000 – 100,000                       |       |       |
| 101,000 – 500,000                      |       |       |
| 501,000 – 1,000,000                    | 124   | 68.5  |
|                                        | 45    | 25.0  |

| Fertilizer use                       |       |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Fertilizer purchase from Government  | 196,226.5 |       |
| Not purchase from Government         | 171   | 95    |

| Membership of Organizations          |       |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Yes                                  |       |       |
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The survey reported that a woman in the household was engaged in sweet potato selling and most of the women ranked sweet potato as their most important cash crop. Sweet potato’s importance as the principal cash crop varied considerably by area, with it being an extremely important source of cash for women in Nasarawa State.

Table 2: Ranking of importance of sweet potato as a food security and as a source of cash

|                      | Mz | Sg | Sp | Gn |
|----------------------|----|----|----|----|
| Food security        | 26 | 39 | 25 | 10 |
| Cash crop:           | 18 | 12 | 35 | 35 |

Source: Aboajah 2009 survey. Mz – maize, Sg- sorghum, Sp- sweet potato, Gn- groundnut
Sweet Potato production, consumption and food security

Sweet Potato is the fourth most important food crop in the world (IITA, 2002). The World’s annual output is greater than annual output of all other roots and tuber crops (FAOSTAT, 2008). Sweet potato is cultivated in 140 countries and more than 100 of which are located in the tropical and sub-tropical zones (Beukema et al. 1990). Annual world production currently totals 314.37 million tonnes and covers 19.55 million hectares (FAOSTAT, 2008).

More than a million people worldwide eat sweet potato and the crop forms an important part of the diet of more than half a billion consumers in developing countries (FAOSTAT, 2008). In Africa, Nigeria occupies the seventh position in terms of total sweet potato production, 840,000 tonnes in 2007. This implies that with the average market price of ₦70, 000/tonnes in 2007 over ₦5 billion circulated in the Nigerian economy through sweet potato production. Aboajah (2009) revealed in a study on sweet potato consumption pattern of households, that households in North Central Nigeria spend only 10% of their food budget on sweet potato. Similarly, FAOSTAT (2008) reported that Nigeria has the lowest per capital sweet potato consumption of 3.27kg in Africa. The households in Nasarawa State of North Central Nigeria also identified sweet potato being a staple food crop, nutritional content convenient fast food, easy to cook compared with other food crops as most important factors influencing sweet potato consumption. These cardinal issues are paramount in solving food security problems. Nigerian’s estimated cultivated area under sweet potato in 2007 is 266,000 hectares with an average yield of 3.27 tonnes/ha (FAOSTAT, 2008).

Labour Contribution by Gender to Farm Activities

(Sweet potato) Nasarawa State

The contribution of labour by gender in farmers of activities showed that in land preparation in Nasarawa State Adult male account for (79%) adult female (3%), male children (16%) and female children 2%. Ridging, in Nasarawa State Adult male accounted for (81%), Adult female (2%), male children (16%) and female children (17%), planting activities in Nasarawa State adult male accounted for (3%) in weeding, adult female accounted for (53%), male children accounted for (8%) in harvesting adult female accounted for 21%, children male and female accounted for 72%, respectively. From the table above, showed clearly that adult male and male children are more involved in land preparation and ridging while their counterpart are pruned more in planting, weeding and harvesting. Table 3

| Activities  | Adult M | Adult F | MC   | FC   |
|------------|---------|---------|------|------|
| Land Prep. | 79      | 3       | 16   | 2    |
| Ridging    | 81      | 2       | 16   | 1    |
| Planting   | 3       | 74      | 10   | 13   |
| Weeding    | 8       | 53      | 21   | 18   |
| Harvesting | 7       | 21      | 38   | 34   |

Adult M= Adult male, Adult F = Adult female, MC= Male child and FC= female child

Income from Sales of Sweet potato

Total amount for sweet potato sales in Nasarawa State, there was a total of ₦286,300 of which Keffi accounted for 32.4%, Karu 34.7% and Kokona 32.0% with the average sales of ₦1545, ₦1655 and ₦1572 respectively for Keffi, Karu and Kokona.

From the above, the survey show that while Keffi in Nasarawa has the least with ₦1545. Table 4.

| SWEET POTATO SALES | Amount (₦) | Total Sales | Average |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Keffi              | 99,200     | 32.4        | 1545    |
| Karu               | 99,300     | 34.7        | 1655    |
| Kokona             | 94,300     | 32.9        | 1572    |
| Total              | 286,300    | 100         | 4772    |

IV. CONCLUSION

The consumption patterns of sweet potato in the surveyed areas indicates that the crop is a major staple food in the diet of Nasarawa State by extension Nigeria. However, the utilization base of sweet potato is mainly limited to boiled or steamed roots for food and minimal feeding of vines and peelings to livestock. There is virtually no storage of mature fresh roots except in-ground as a crop and there is very little processing. The most important role of sweet potato is that it is a food security crop. Developing early maturity and drought tolerant varieties that are resistant/tolerant to major pests and diseases would help fill the gap during sweet potato scarcity when demand is high. Demand of sweet potato is high immediately after drought period. There is need for research into the utilization of vines for food, feed, identifying varieties with good drying and processing properties, developing low-cost post harvesting storage technologies and processing sweet potato into food, animal feed and other products to stimulate production and increase income generation among farmers. The war against food security, which is expressed as inadequate food supply,
instability in its availability and un-affordability by consumers, could be fought by effective use and production of sweet potato. The conductive climate in Nasarawa State which allows for three cycles of sweet potato production in a year makes sweet potato highest yielding tuber crop if adequate attention is focused on research. The high nutrients content of sweet potato is an added advantage in food security. The Sweet Potato Research Programme has been playing the leading role in sweet potato research and in expanding sweet potato production to new frontiers. Sweet potato from the last decade experienced a remarkable increase because of its activities as food security crop.

For sweet potato crop to play more roles in food security and poverty alleviation in Nigeria, if well manage could boost the nation economic and reduce poverty. Sweet potato production, processing and marketing are still at subsistence level.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Varieties with good processing qualities should be scaled up through research

- Proper funding and provision of infrastructure and other equipments necessary in research activities
- Promotion of efforts to seek non-traditional funding for research and development of R & T.
- Policy makers also need to be sensitive to the allocation of resources within national R & T programme in order to ensure that post-production activities is not underfunded in relation to production research
- The problem of food insecurity in the study area can be reduced if sweet potato consumption and processing techniques are encouraged.
- The study area need to reduce ignorance about food forms, correct faulty food habits to improve on the supply of food available.
- Diversification of sweet potato consumption will be enhanced if sweet potato flour and sweet potato starch processing plants are provided and policies put in place to encourage adding sweet potato flour to wheat flour in the preparation of bread.
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