Abstract. In this paper we define the notion of nonlocal magnetic Sobolev spaces with non-standard growth for Lipschitz magnetic fields. In this context we prove a Bourgain - Brezis - Mironescu type formula for functions in this space as well as for sequences of functions. Finally, we deduce some consequences such as the \( \Gamma \)-convergence of modulars and convergence of solutions for some non-local magnetic Laplacian allowing non-standard growth laws to its local counterpart.

1. Introduction

The magnetic Laplacian \( \Delta^A := (\nabla - iA)^2 \) plays a fundamental role in the description of particles interacting with a magnetic field \( B = \text{curl}(A) \), where \( A: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the magnetic potential.

This operator can be seen as the gradient of the convex functional

\[
I^A(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iAu|^2 \, dx,
\]

in the sense that the solution \( u: \Omega \to \mathbb{C} \) to the problem

\[
-\Delta^A u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
\]

is the unique minimizer of

\[
J^A(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iAu|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \Re(f \bar{u}) \, dx,
\]

where for a complex number \( z \in \mathbb{C} \), we denote by \( \Re z \) and \( \Im z \) the real and imaginary parts of \( z \), and \( \bar{z} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( z \).

Several nonlinear generalizations have been studied in the past years, such as the magnetic \( p \)-Laplace operator \( (1 < p < \infty) \), denoted by \( -\Delta^A_p \) and defined as the gradient of the convex functional

\[
I^A_p(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iAu|^p \, dx,
\]

where for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \), \( |z|^p_p := |\Re z|^p_p + |\Im z|^p_p \), \( |\cdot| \) is the euclidean norm in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \Re, \Im \) denotes the real an imaginary parts of \( z \) respectively. See for instance [13] for existence results for \( -\Delta^A_p \) and [1] for this operator in the context of graphs.

Again, the solution to

\[
-\Delta^A_p u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
\]

is the unique minimizer of

\[
J^A_p(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - iAu|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \Re(f \bar{u}) \, dx.
\]

On the other hand, when studying phenomena allowing behaviors more general than power laws, such as anisotropic fluids with flows obeying nonstandard rheology [8] or capillarity phenomena,
these magnetic operators need to be extended to consider nonstandard growth different that powers or different behaviors near zero and near infinity. In these cases, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces become the natural framework to deal with.

Given an Orlicz function $G: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ (see next section for precise definitions), and $g = G'$, the magnetic $g$–Laplace operator is defined as the gradient of the functional

$$I_G^A(u) := \int_\Omega (G(|\Re(\nabla u + iAu)|) + G(|\Im(\nabla u + iAu)|)) \, dx$$

and again, the solution to

$$-\Delta_g^A u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$

is the unique minimizer of

$$J_G^A(u) := I_G^A(u) - \int_\Omega \Re(f \bar{u}) \, dx.$$  

In the last decades there has been an increasing interest in the study of equations driven by nonlocal operators since they arise naturally in many important problems of nature. This fact leaded up to consider operators describing nonlocal magnetic phenomena. For instance, in the mid 80s a fractional relativistic generalization of the magnetic Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$ was introduced in \cite{[9] [11], [10] Section 3.1} by means of the so-called Weyl pseudo-differential operator defined with mid-point prescription

$$\mathcal{H}_A u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{i(x-y)(\xi+A(\frac{x+y}{2}))} \sqrt{\xi^2 + m^2u(y)} \, dyd\xi,$$

where here, $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable function.

When $m = 0$, in \cite{[10]} Eq. (3.7]) it is shown that for $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ the expression above can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_A u(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y) \right) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \, dy.$$

Furthermore, in \cite{[4]}, this nonlocal operator was generalized to admit a family of kernels depending on a parameter $s \in (0, 1)$ as

$$(-\Delta^A)^s u := c_{n,s} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which recovers the expression of $\mathcal{H}_A$ for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and also recovers the fractional Laplacian when $A \equiv 0$. This operator is the gradient of the functional

$$I_s^A(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dx dy,$$

up to some normalization constant.

The connection of this magnetic fractional laplacian $(-\Delta^A)^s$ with the classical magnetic laplacian $-\Delta^A$ was provided in \cite{[10]} where it is proved that their corresponding energies converge as the fractional parameter $s$ converges to 1, much in the spirit of the celebrated result of Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu (BBM for short). See \cite{[2]}.}

Recently, in \cite{[15]} the authors introduce a fractional version of the magnetic $p$–Laplacian $-\Delta^A_p$. The magnetic fractional $p$–Laplacian considered in \cite{[15]}, denoted by $(-\Delta^A_p)^s$, is defined as the
gradient of the functional
\[
I_{s,p}^A(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+sp}} \, dx \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
Observe that for \( p = 2 \) this definition agrees with the one given for \((-\Delta^A)^s\) and in this case, when the parameter \( s \) converges to 1, one recovers the magnetic \( p \)-Laplace operator \(-\Delta^A_p\). See \[15\] for the details.

The purpose in this work is the analysis of a fractional version of the magnetic \( g \)-Laplace operator \((-\Delta^A_g)^s\) and the study of the limit as the fractional parameter \( s \) goes to 1.

This problem in the case of zero magnetic potential (i.e. \( A = 0 \)) was addressed in \[7\]. In that paper, the authors introduced what they called the fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, as
\[
W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) : I_{s,G}(u) < \infty \},
\]
for \( 0 < s < 1 \), where
\[
I_{s,G}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{dx \, dy}{|x-y|^n}
\]
and
\[
L^G(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u|) \, dx < \infty \right\}.
\]

Then, in \[7\], they went on to define the fractional \( g \)-Laplace operator \((-\Delta^A_g)^s\) as the gradient of the functional \( I_{s,G} \) and prove the convergence of this fractional operator to the (by now) classical \( g \)-Laplace operator \(-\Delta_g^A\).

To this end, we consider a Lipschitz magnetic potential \( A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) and an Orlicz function \( G \). Then, the magnetic fractional \( g \)-Laplace operator \((-\Delta^A_g)^s\) is defined as the gradient of the non-local energy functional
\[
I_{s,G}^A(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left( G(|\Re(D^A_s u(x,y))|) + G(|\Im(D^A_s u(x,y))|) \right) \frac{dx \, dy}{|x-y|^n},
\]
where \( D^A_s u(x,y) \) is the magnetic Hölder quotient of order \( s \) defined as
\[
D^A_s u(x,y) := \frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})}u(y)}{|x-y|^s}.
\]
Observe that when \( G(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^p \) we recover the functional \( I_{s,p}^A \).

In this manuscript we will be interested in the behavior of \( I_{s,G}^A \) as \( s \uparrow 1 \) and its connection with the local energy functional \( I_G^A \) which is closely related with the magnetic \( g \)-Laplace operator \(-\Delta^A_g\) as we mentioned above.

Our first result states a magnetic Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu identity for fractional Orlicz-Sobolev functions.

To this end, following \[7\], given an Orlicz function \( G \), we define its spherical limit as
\[
\tilde{G}(a) := \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(a|z_N| r^{1-s}) \, dS z \, dr.
\]
provided that this limit exists.
Theorem 1.1. Let $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ be fixed and let $G$ be an Orlicz function satisfying the growth condition

\[(L) \quad p_- \leq \frac{tG'(t)}{G(t)} \leq p_+,\]

and such that the limit in (S) exists. Let $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Lipschitz continuous function. Then, for any $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$, it holds that

\[(1.4) \quad \lim_{s \to 1} (1-s)I_{s,G}^A(u) = I_{\tilde{G}}^A(u),\]

where $\tilde{G}$ is defined by (S).

Remark 1.2. In view of [7, Proposition 2.16], whenever $\tilde{G}: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is well defined, there exist positive constant $c_1$ and $c_2$ such that

\[c_1G(t) \leq \tilde{G}(t) \leq c_2G(t) \quad \text{for every } t > 0.\]

We refer to [7] for the explicit computation of $\tilde{G}$ in some particular examples.

Remark 1.3. The limit in (1.4) is understood in the sense that if $u \in W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the limit is finite and coincides with $I_{\tilde{G}}^A(u)$ and, if

\[\liminf_{s \to 1} I_{s,G}^A(u) < \infty,\]

then $u \in W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (1.4) holds.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we deduce some $\Gamma-$convergence results for the modulars and therefore the convergence of the solutions of the magnetic fractional $g-$Laplace operator to its the local magnetic counterpart. In fact, our result on the convergence of the operators $(-\Delta^A_g)^s$ to $-\Delta^A_g$ reads as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Let $G$ be an Orlicz function satisfying (L) such that (S) exists and let $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Lipschitz continuous function. Let $G^*$ be the Legendre’s transform of $G$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a bounded open set and $f \in L^{G^*}(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$.

For each $0 < s < 1$, let $u_s \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ be the unique solution to

\[(-\Delta^A_g)^s u_s = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u_s = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega.\]

Then $u_s \to u$ as $s \to 1$ in $L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ where $u$ is the unique solution to

\[-\Delta^A_g u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega,\]

where $\tilde{g} = \tilde{G}'$.

We observe that this last result seems to be new, even in the magnetic $p-$laplacian setting.

**Organization of the paper.** In section 2, we collect some preliminaries on Orlicz functions that will be used throughout the paper, define the magnetic Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and prove some elementary properties of these spaces.

In section 3 we prove some technical results needed in the proof of our main results.

Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Finally, in section 5, we derive some consequences of Theorem 1.1 and, in particular, we show the proof of Theorem 1.4.
2. Preliminaries

2.1. Orlicz functions. We start by recalling the definition of the well-known Orlicz functions.

Definition 2.1. \( G: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is called an Orlicz function if it can be written as

\[
G(x) = \int_0^x g(t) \, dt
\]

where the real-valued function \( g \) defined on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) is positive, right continuous, nondecreasing and \( g(0) = 0 \) and \( g(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to \infty \).

It is easy to see that an Orlicz function \( G \) satisfies the following properties.

\((P_1)\)  
\( G \) is Lipschitz continuous, convex, increasing and \( G(0) = 0 \).

\((P_2)\)  
\( G(ab) \leq bG(a) \) for any \( 0 < b < 1, a > 0 \).

\((P_3)\)  
\( G \) is super-linear at zero, that is \( \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \frac{G(x)}{x} = 0 \).

We say that an Orlicz function \( G \) satisfies the \( \Delta_2 \) condition if there exists \( C > 2 \) such that

\((\Delta_2)\)  
\( G(2x) \leq CG(x) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \).

From \((\Delta_2)\) it is easy to see that for any \( a, b \geq 0 \)

\[
G(a + b) \leq \frac{C}{2}(G(a) + G(b))
\]

where \( C \) is the constant in the \( \Delta_2 \) condition.

In [12, Theorem 4.1] it is shown that the \( \Delta_2 \) condition is equivalent to

\[
\frac{tg(t)}{G(t)} \leq p_+
\]

for some \( p_+ > 1 \) (then the constant in \((\Delta_2)\) is just \( 2^{p_+} \)).

For most of our computations we will require the stronger hypothesis

\((L)\)  
\( 1 < p_- \leq \frac{tg(t)}{G(t)} \leq p_+ < \infty \).

The lower inequality in \((L)\) is easily seen as being equivalent to the \( \Delta_2 \) condition of the complementary function (or Legendre’s transform) of \( G \), which is defined as

\[
G^*(s) := \sup_{t>0}\{st - G(t)\}.
\]

Therefore, condition \((L)\) is equivalent to the fact that both \( G \) and \( G^* \) satisfy the \( \Delta_2 \) condition. Let us recall that this is what is needed in order for the Orlicz space \( L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \) to be reflexive. See [12] and the next subsection for definitions and properties of Orlicz spaces.

Moreover, it is easy to check that \((L)\) implies that

\[
\min\{a^{p_+}, a^{p_-}\}G(b) \leq G(ab) \leq \max\{a^{p_+}, a^{p_-}\}G(b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]
2.2. Magnetic Fractional Orlicz–Sobolev spaces. Given an Orlicz function $G$, a fractional parameter $0 < s < 1$ and a function $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the spaces $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and $W_A^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as

$$L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) := \{ u: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable}: I_G(u) < \infty \},$$

$$W_A^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}): I^A_{s,G}(u) < \infty \},$$

where the modulars $I_G$ is defined as

$$I_G(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|Re u|) + G(|Im u|) \, dx,$$

and $I^A_{s,G}$ is defined \[1.2\].

We also define the space $W_A^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$W_A^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}): I_G(u), I^A_G(u) < \infty \},$$

where $I^A_G(u)$ is defined in \[1.1\].

Along this paper we will always assume that $A$ is a bounded and Lipschitz continuous function.

In these spaces we consider the Luxemburg norm defined through the modulars $I^A_{s,G}$, namely

$$\|u\|^A_{s,G} = \|u\|_G + |u|_{s,G}^A,$$

where

$$\|u\|_G := \inf \{ \lambda > 0: I^G(\frac{u}{\lambda}) \leq 1 \}$$

is the usual (Luxemburg) norm on $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and

$$|u|_{s,G}^A := \inf \{ \lambda > 0: I^A_{s,G}(\frac{u}{\lambda}) \leq 1 \}.$$

Remark 2.2. Observe that if $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$G(|Re z|) + G(|Im z|) \leq 2G(|z|) \quad \text{and} \quad G(|z|) \leq C(G(|Re z|) + G(|Im z|)),$$

where $C$ is the constant in the $\Delta_2$ condition. Hence the functionals $I^A_{s,G}$ and $I_G$ turn out to be equivalent to

$$\tilde{I}^A_{s,G}(u) := \int\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D^A_{s,G} u(x, y)|) \frac{dxdy}{|x - y|^n}$$

and

$$\tilde{I}_G(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u|) \, dx$$

respectively.

3. Some technical results

In this section we establish some properties on magnetic Orlicz-Sobolev the spaces and prove some useful properties on magnetic modulars. Finally we state a compactness result in $W_A^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 3.1. $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ is dense in $W_A^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided that the Orlicz function $G$ satisfies the $\Delta_2$ condition.

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to that of \[14\] Theorem 7.22] with the obvious modifications and using the $\Delta_2$ condition.

Proposition 3.2. Let $G$ be an Orlicz function satisfying the $\Delta_2$ condition. Then the spaces $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and $W_A^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are separable Banach spaces.

If we further assume $\[4\]$, then the dual space of $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ can be identified with $L^{G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and $W_A^{1,G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are reflexive spaces.
3.1. Modular of convolutions. In this paragraph we analyze the behavior of the modular of convolutions. As usual, we denote by $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the standard mollifier with $\text{supp}(\rho) = B_1(0)$ and $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n}\rho(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ is the approximation of the identity. It follows that $\{\rho_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is a family of positive functions satisfying

$$\rho_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{supp}(\rho_\varepsilon) = B_\varepsilon(0), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_\varepsilon \, dx = 1.$$ 

Given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ we define the regularized functions $u_\varepsilon \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ as

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u \ast \rho_\varepsilon(x).$$

In this context we prove the following useful estimate on regularized functions.

**Lemma 3.3.** Given an Orlicz function $G$ satisfying the $\Delta_2$ condition, let $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ be the family defined in (3.1). Then there exists a constant $C$ depending on $n$, $\|A\|_\infty$ and $C$, the constant in $\Delta_2$, such that

$$I_{s,G}^A(u_\varepsilon) \leq C \left( I_{s,G}^A(u) + \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s}\right) I_G(u) \right),$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < s < 1$.

**Proof.** By Remark 2.2, it is enough to prove the result for the functionals $\tilde{I}_{s,G}^A$ and $\tilde{I}_G$.

First, observe that the modular $\tilde{I}_{s,G}^A(u_\varepsilon)$ can be expressed as

$$\tilde{I}_{s,G}^A(u_\varepsilon) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s^A u_\varepsilon(x, x+h)|) \frac{dxdh}{|h|^n}.\tag{3.2}$$

Now, observe that

$$|D_s^A u_\varepsilon(x, x+h)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x-y) - e^{-ih \frac{A(x+h/2)}{s}} u(x-y+h)|}{|h|^2} \rho_\varepsilon(y) \, dy$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|e^{-ih \frac{A(x+h/2)}{s}} - e^{-ih \frac{A(x-y+h/2)}{s}}|}{|h|^s} |u(x-y+h)| \rho_\varepsilon(y) \, dy.$$ 

Next, we use that $|1 - e^{it}| \leq |t|$ for $|h| < 1$ and $|1 - e^{it}| \leq 2$ for $|h| \geq 1$, and we obtain the bound

$$|D_s^A u_\varepsilon(x, x+h)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_s^A u(x-y, x-y+h)| \rho_\varepsilon(y) \, dy + C \min\{|h|^s, |h|^{1-s}\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x-y+h)| \rho_\varepsilon(y) \, dy,$$ 

where $C$ depends on $\|A\|_\infty$.

Now, using $\Delta_2$ and Jensen’s inequality, we get

$$G(|D_s^A u_\varepsilon(x, x+h)|) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s^A u(x-y, x-y+h)|) \rho_\varepsilon(y) \, dy$$

$$+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(C \min\{|h|^s, |h|^{1-s}\} |u(x-y+h)|) \rho_\varepsilon(y) \, dy$$

$$= C((i) + (ii)).$$

**Proof.** The proof is standard and it is omitted. □
Integrating (i) over $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, using Fubini’s theorem and the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_\varepsilon dy = 1$, we find that

\[(3.3) \quad \int\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|D^A_s u(x - y, x - y + h)|) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \frac{dx dh}{|h|^n} = \tilde{I}^A_{s,G}(u).\]

Now, we deal with the integral of (ii). First we observe that from (3.2) it follows that $G(Ct) \leq C^\kappa G(t)$ where $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $2^{\kappa - 1} \leq C < 2^\kappa$. Hence, integrate (ii) over $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and obtain

\[
\int\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\min\{|h|^s, |h|^{1-s}\}|u(x - y + h)|) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \frac{dx dh}{|h|^n}
\leq C^{\kappa} \int\int_{|h|<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x - y + h)|) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \frac{dx dh}{|h|^{n-1+s}}
\leq C^{\kappa} \int_{|h|\geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x - y + h)|) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \frac{dx dh}{|h|^{n-s}}.
\]

Next, we use Fubini’s theorem and the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_\varepsilon dy = 1$ to find that

\[
\int\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\min\{|h|^s, |h|^{1-s}\}|u(x - y + h)|) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \frac{dx dh}{|h|^n} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1 - s}\right) \tilde{I}_G(u).
\]

The proof is now complete. \qed

### 3.2: Modular of truncations

Let us estimate the behavior of modulars of truncated functions. Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\eta = 1$ in $B_1(0)$, supp($\eta$) = $B_2(0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|\nabla \eta\|_\infty \leq 2$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\eta_k(x) = \eta(\frac{x}{k})$. Observe that $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

\[
0 \leq \eta_k \leq 1, \quad \eta_k = 1 \text{ in } B_k(0), \quad \text{supp}(\eta_k) = B_{2k}(0), \quad |\nabla \eta_k| \leq \frac{2}{k}.
\]

Given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ we define the truncated functions $u_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as

\[(3.4) \quad u_k = \eta_k u.\]

In the next lemma we analyze the behavior of the modular of truncated functions.

**Lemma 3.4.** Given an Orlicz function $G$ satisfying $\Delta_2$, let $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the functions defined in (3.4). Then there exists a constant $C$ depending on $n$, $\|A\|_\infty$ and $C$, the constant in the $\Delta_2$ condition, such that

\[
I^A_{s,G}(u_k) \leq C \left( I^A_{s,G}(u) \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{k(1 - s)} \right) I_G(u) \right).
\]

**Proof.** As in the previous proof, by Remark 2.2 it is enough to prove the Lemma for the functionals $\tilde{I}^A_{s,G}$ and $\tilde{I}_G$.

Observe first that $D^A_s u_k(x, y) = \eta_k(y)D^A_s u(x, y) + u(x)D_s \eta_k(x, y)$, where

\[
D_s \eta_k(x, y) = \frac{\eta_k(x) - \eta_k(y)}{|x - y|^s}.
\]

Then, from (3.2) and since $\eta_k \leq 1$ we have

\[
G(|D^A_s u_k(x, y)|) \leq \frac{C}{2} G(|D^A_s u(x, y)|) + \frac{C}{2} G(|u(x)||D_s \eta_k(x, y)|).
\]
Then we get
\[
\tilde{I}_{s,G}^{A}(u_k) \leq \frac{C}{2} \tilde{I}_{s,G}^{A}(u) + \frac{C}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x)||D_s\eta_k(x,y)|) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n}.
\]

The integral above can be splitted as follows
\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|< 1} \right) G(|u(x)||D_s\eta_k(x,y)|) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n} := I_1 + I_2.
\]

The monotonicity of \( G \) and \((\Delta_2)\) allow us to bound \( I_1 \) as follows
\[
I_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} \frac{G(2|u(x)|)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dxdy \leq C n\omega_n \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{s+1}} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x)|) dx = \frac{C n\omega_n}{s} I_G(u).
\]

We deal now with \( I_2 \). Observe that, since \( |
\nabla \eta_k| \leq \frac{2}{k} \) and \((\Delta_2)\) holds,
\[
I_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{G\left(\frac{2}{k} |u(x)|\right)}{|x-y|^{n+1}} dxdy \leq \frac{n\omega_n}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{0}^{1} G(|u(x)|) \frac{dr}{r^{s}} dx = \frac{n\omega_n}{k(1-s)} \tilde{I}_G(u),
\]

where we have used \((\Delta_3)\) in the last inequality.

From these estimates the conclusion of the lemma follows.

3.3. A compactness result for \( W_{s,A}^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) spaces. In this subsection we prove the compactness of the immersion \( W_{s,A}^{s,G} \) into \( L^G \). The proof lies on a variant of the well-known Fréchet-Kolmogorov Compactness Theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( 0 < s < 1 \) and \( G \) an Orlicz function satisfying \((\Delta_2)\). Then for every bounded sequence \( \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_{s,A}^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), i.e., \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (I_{s,G}^{A}(u_n) + I_{G}^{A}(u_n)) < \infty \), there exists \( u \in W_{s,A}^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and a subsequence \( \{u_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that \( u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \) in \( L^G_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \).

This theorem is an immediate consequence of the analogous compactness result for the inclusion \( W_{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^G_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \) proven in [7] Theorem 3.1 combined with the next result.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( G: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) be an Orlicz function verifying the \((\Delta_2)\) condition and let \( A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) be a bounded magnetic potential. Then
\[
W_{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_{A}^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]

Moreover, there exists \( C > 0 \) depending on \( n, s, \|A\|_{\infty} \) and \( C \) such that
\[
I_{s,G}^{A}(u) \leq C \left( I_{s,G}^{A}(u) + \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s} \right) I_{G}(u) \right),
\]
\[
I_{s,G}(u) \leq C \left( I_{s,G}^{A}(u) + \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s} \right) I_{G}(u) \right).
\]

**Proof.** By Remark \((\Delta_2)\) is enough to prove the lemma for the functionals \( \tilde{I}_{s,G}^{A}, \tilde{I}_G \) and \( \tilde{I}_{s,G} \), where
\[
\tilde{I}_{s,G}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D_s u(x,y)|) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n},
\]
and \( D_s u(x,y) := \frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^n} = D_s^0 u(x,y) \).
Assume first that \( u \in W^{s,G}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then
\[
|D_s^A u(x, y)| \leq |D_s u(x, y)| + \frac{|1 - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{2+n}{2})}|}{|x-y|^s}|u(y)|.
\]
Therefore, from (A9) we obtain
\[
G(|D_s^A u(x, y)|) \leq C \left( G(|D_s u(x, y)|) + G \left( \frac{|1 - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{2+n}{2})}|}{|x-y|^s}|u(y)| \right) \right)
\]
and so
\[
\tilde{I}_{s,G}^A(u) \leq C \left( \tilde{I}_{s,G}(u) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G \left( \frac{|1 - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{2+n}{2})}|}{|x-y|^s}|u(y)| \right) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n} \right).
\]
Now, using that
\[
|1 - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{2+n}{2})}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{||A||_\infty|x-y|} & \text{if } |x-y| \geq 1 \\ \frac{2}{|x-y|} & \text{if } |x-y| < 1, \end{cases}
\]
the last integral is bounded as
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|1 - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{2+n}{2})}|)|u(y)|) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{|x-y|<1} G(||A||_\infty|x-y|^{1-s}|u(y)|) \frac{dx}{|x-y|^n} + \int_{|x-y|\geq1} G(2|x-y|^{-s}|u(y)|) \frac{dx}{|x-y|^n} \right) dy \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(y)|) \left( \int_{|x-y|<1} \frac{dx}{|x-y|^{n+s-1}} + \int_{|x-y|\geq1} \frac{dx}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \right) dy = C \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s} \right) \tilde{I}_G(u).
\]
So we arrive at
\[
\tilde{I}_{s,G}^A(u) \leq C \left( \tilde{I}_{s,G}(u) + \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s} \right) \tilde{I}_G(u) \right).
\]
On the other hand, if \( u \in W^{s,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n) \),
\[
|D_s u(x, y)| \leq |D_s^A u(x, y)| + \frac{|1 - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{2+n}{2})}|}{|x-y|^s}|u(y)|
\]
and arguing exactly as before, we obtain
\[
\tilde{I}_{s,G}(u) \leq C \left( \tilde{I}_{s,G}^A(u) + \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s} \right) \tilde{I}_G(u) \right).
\]
The proof is complete.

4. A BBM Formula in \( W^{s,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n) \)

In this section we prove our first main results. Our proof makes use of the following two key lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. Let $G$ be an Orlicz function satisfying [\(\Delta_2\)] and let $A$ be a Lipschitz magnetic field. Then there exists a constant $C$ depending on $n$, $\|A\|_\infty$, $\|\nabla A\|_\infty$ and $C$, the constant in the $\Delta_2$ condition, such that

$$I^A_{s,G}(u) \leq C \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 - s} + \frac{1}{s} \right) I_G(u) + \frac{1}{1 - s} I^A_G(u) \right).$$

Proof. Once again, by Remark 2.2 it is equivalent to prove the result for the functionals $\tilde{I}^A_{s,G}$, $\tilde{I}_G$ and $\tilde{I}^A_G$.

Let us first assume that $u \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and split $\tilde{I}^A_{s,G}(u)$ as follows

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} G(|D^A_s u(x, y)|) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} := I_1 + I_2,$$

where $I_1$ denotes the integral over $|x - y| < 1$ and $I_2$ over its complement.

Let us bound $I_1$. For a fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let us denote for the moment $\phi(y) = e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)$. Therefore we can write

$$\phi(x) - \phi(y) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \phi(tx + (1 - t)y) \, dt = \int_0^1 \nabla \phi(tx + (1 - t)y) \cdot (x - y) \, dt.$$

A direct computation gives that for a.e. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\nabla \phi(y) = e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})} \nabla u(y) - i \left( A \left( \frac{x+y}{2} \right) + \frac{y-x}{2} \nabla A \left( \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right) e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{2})} u(y)$$

from where,

$$|\nabla \phi(y)| \leq |\nabla u(y) - iA(y) u(y)| + (|A(\frac{x+y}{2}) - A(y)| + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla A\|_\infty |x - y|) |u(y)|$$

$$\leq |\nabla u(y) - iA(y) u(y)| + \|\nabla A\|_\infty |x - y||u(y)|.$$

Since $|x - y| < 1$, we get

$$|D^A_s u(x, y)| \leq \int_0^1 |\nabla u(tx + (1 - t)y) - iA(tx + (1 - t)y) u(tx + (1 - t)y)| |x - y|^{1-s} \, dt$$

$$+ \|\nabla A\|_\infty \int_0^1 |u(tx + (1 - t)y)||x - y|^{1-s} \, dt.$$

Now, by using Jensen’s inequality and $\Delta_2$

$$G(|D^A_s u(x, y)|) \leq C \left( \int_0^1 G(|\nabla u(tx + (1 - t)y) - iA(tx + (1 - t)y) u(tx + (1 - t)y)| |x - y|^{1-s}) \, dt$$

$$+ \int_0^1 G(|u(tx + (1 - t)y)||x - y|^{1-s}) \, dt \right),$$

where $C$ depends on $C$ and $\|\nabla A\|_\infty$. 
Then, since $|x - y| < 1$, from (P3)

\[ I_1 \leq C \left( \int_{|x-y|<1} \int_0^1 G(|\nabla u(tx + (1-t)y) - iA(tx + (1-t)y)u(tx + (1-t)y)|) \ dt \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \right. \]

\[ + \left. \int_{|x-y|<1} \int_0^1 G(|u(tx + (1-t)y)|) \ dt \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \right) \]

\[ \leq C \left( \int_{|x|<1} |z|^{1-s-n} \ dz \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\nabla u - iA(x)u|) \ dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u|) \ dx \right). \]

Finally, by using polar coordinates we get

\[ I_1 \leq C \frac{1}{1-s} \left( \tilde{I}_{s,G}(u) + \tilde{I}_G(u) \right), \]

with $C$ depending on $n$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\|\nabla A\|_\infty$.

The term $I_2$ can be bounded using (2.2) and (\Delta_2). Indeed,

\[ I_2 \leq \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} G(|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(x)}u(y)|) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \]

\[ \leq C \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} \left( G(|u(x)|) + G(|u(y)|) \right) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \]

\[ = C \int_{|y|\geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( G(|u(x)|) + G(|u(x-h)|) \right) dx \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+1}} \]

\[ = 2C \int_1^\infty r^{-s-1} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|u(x)|) dx \]

\[ = 2Cn\omega_n \tilde{I}_G(u). \]

This concludes the proof of the lemma for $u \in C_1^{1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Finally, by Lemma 3.1 given $u \in W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one can take a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_1^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_k \to u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It implies that

\[ G(|D_s^n u_k(x,y)|) \to G(|D_s^n u(x,y)|) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n. \]

Therefore, by Fatou’s Lemma, we obtain that

\[ \tilde{I}_{s,G}(u) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \tilde{I}_{s,G}(u_k) \leq C \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s} \right) \tilde{I}_G(u_k) + \frac{1}{1-s} \tilde{I}_G^A(u_k) \right) \]

\[ = C \left( \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{1-s} \right) \tilde{I}_G(u) + \frac{1}{1-s} \tilde{I}_G^A(u) \right). \]

The proof is now complete. $\Box$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $G$ be an Orlicz function satisfying (\Delta_2) such that the limit in (\S) exists and $u \in C_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$. Then, for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have that

\[ \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\Re D_s^n u(x,y)|) \frac{dy}{|x-y|^n} = \tilde{G}(\Re (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x))) \]

and

\[ \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\Im D_s^n u(x,y)|) \frac{dy}{|x-y|^n} = \tilde{G}(\Im (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x))). \]
where \( \hat{G} \) is defined in \((S)\).

Proof. Let us prove \((4.4)\). The formula \((4.3)\) follows analogously.

For each fixed \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we split the integral

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\|D^su(x, y)\|) \frac{dx}{|x - y|^n} := I_1 + I_2,
\]

where \( I_1 \) denotes the integral over the set \( \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < 1 \} \), and \( I_2 \) over its complement.

For each fixed \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), let \( \phi(y) = e^{i(x-y)A(x)u(x)}u(y) \). Since \( u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \), we have that \( \phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \) and hence we have

\[
\phi(y) = \phi(x) + \nabla \phi(x)(y - x) + O(|x - y|^2),
\]

where the big-\( O \) depends on the \( C^2 \) norm of \( u \), on \( \|A\|_{\infty} \) and on \( \|\nabla A\|_{\infty} \).

Observe that

\[
\phi(x) = u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \phi(x) = (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x))(y - x).
\]

Combining \((4.4)\) and \((4.5)\) we arrive at

\[
D^su(x, y) = (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x))\frac{(x - y)}{|x - y|^s} + O(|x - y|^{2-s}).
\]

Hence, since \( G \) is Lipschitz continuous, for any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( x \neq y \) we have that

\[
\left| G(\|D^su(x, y)\|) - G\left( \left| \nabla \left( (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x))\frac{(x - y)}{|x - y|^s} \right) \right| \right) \right| \leq C \left| D^su(x, y) - (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x))\frac{(x - y)}{|x - y|^s} \right|
\]

\[
\leq C|x - y|^{2-s}.
\]

From this estimate it immediately follows that

\[
\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) I_1 = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{|x - y| < 1} G\left( \left| \nabla \left( (\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x))\frac{(x - y)}{|x - y|^s} \right) \right| \right) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n}.
\]

Observe now the following. If \( z \in \mathbb{C}^n \),

\[
\int_{|h| < 1} G\left( \left| \nabla z \right| \frac{|h|}{|h|^s} \right) \frac{dh}{|h|^n} = \int_{|h| < 1} G\left( \left| \nabla z \right| \frac{|h|}{|h|^s} \right) \frac{dh}{|h|^n} = \int_0^1 \int_{S^{n-1}} G(|\nabla z| |w_n| r^{1-s}) dS_w \frac{dr}{r}.
\]

Therefore, in view of definition \((S)\), we get

\[
\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) I_1 = \hat{G}(\left| \nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x) \right|).
\]

Finally, since \( G \) is increasing and \((2.2)\) holds, \( I_2 \) is bounded as

\[
I_2 \leq \int_{|x - y| \geq 1} \frac{G(2\|u\|_\infty)}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dy = G(2\|u\|_\infty) n \omega_n \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^{1+s}} dr = \frac{n \omega_n}{s} G(2\|u\|_\infty),
\]

from where we can derive that

\[
\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) I_2 = 0.
\]

Summing up, from \((4.6)\) and \((4.8)\) we obtain \((4.2)\). \( \square \)
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Given \( u \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \) with \( \text{supp}(u) \subset B_R(0) \), in view of Lemma 4.2 it only remains to show the existence of an integrable majorant for

\[
(1 - s) F^R_s(x) := (1 - s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\Re D^A_s u(x, y)|) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n}
\]

and for

\[
(1 - s) F^G_s(x) := (1 - s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(|\Im D^A_s u(x, y)|) \frac{dy}{|x - y|^n}.
\]

We perform all our computations for \( F^R_s \), since the ones for \( F^G_s \) are completely analogous. Without loss of generality we can assume that \( R > 1 \).

First, we analyze the behavior of \( F^R_s(y) \) for small values of \( y \). When \( |y| < 2R \) we can write split the integral \( F^R_s(x) \) as \( I_1 + I_2 \), where the first term corresponds to integrate over \( B_1(x) \) and the second one over its complement.

Arguing as in (4.1) and (4.7) we obtain that

\[
I_1 \leq C \frac{n \omega_n}{1 - s} G(\|\nabla u\|_{\infty} + \|A\|_{\infty} \|u\|_{\infty}) + \|\nabla A\|_{\infty} G(\|u\|_{\infty})
\]

and

\[
I_2 \leq \frac{n \omega_n}{s} G(2 \|u\|_{\infty}).
\]

When \( |x| \geq 2R \) the function \( u \) vanishes and we have that

\[
F^R_s(x) = \int_{B_R(0)} G \left( \frac{\Re(e^{i(x-y)A} \frac{s}{|x|} u(y))}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{dy}{|x-y|^n}.
\]

Since \( |x - y| \geq |x| - R \geq \frac{1}{2}|x| \), from the monotonicity of \( G \), (\ref{Delta}) and (\ref{A}) (since \( |x| \geq 2 \)) we get

\[
|F^R_s(x)| \leq \frac{2^n}{|x|^n} \int_{B_R(0)} G \left( \frac{2^s |u(y)|}{|x|^s} \right) dy \leq C \int_{B_R(0)} G \left( \frac{|u(y)|}{|x|^s} \right) dy
\]

\[
\leq C \int_{B_R(0)} G(|u(y)|) dy \leq C \int_{B_R(0)} G(|u(y)|) dy,
\]

for any \( s \geq \frac{1}{2} \).

From (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), there is \( C = C(n, G, u) \) independent of \( s \) such that

\[
(1 - s)|F^R_s(x)| \leq C \left( \chi_{|x| \leq R(x)} + \frac{1}{|x|^{n + \frac{1}{2}}} \chi_{|x| \geq R(x)} \right) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]

Then, from Lemma 4.2 and the Dominated Convergence Theorem the result follows for any \( u \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \).

Let us extend the result for any \( u \in W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n) \). According to Proposition 3.1 let \( \{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \) be a sequence such that \( u_k \to u \) in \( W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then

\[
(1 - s) I^A_{s,G}(u) - I^A_G(u) \leq (1 - s) \left| I^A_{s,G}(u) - I^A_{s,G}(u_k) \right| + (1 - s) \left| I^A_{s,G}(u_k) - I^A_G(u_k) \right| + \left| I^A_G(u_k) - I^A_G(u) \right|.
\]
Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the modular $I^A_G$ is continuous on $W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and since $u_k \to u$ in $W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that there exists $k_0$ such that for $k \geq k_0$,

$$|I^A_G(u_k) - I^A_G(u)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and using [7, Lemma 2.6] one can take $\delta > 0$ (to be fixed) such that

$$(4.13) \quad (1-s)|I^A_{s,G}(u) - I^A_{s,G}(u_k)| \leq (1-s)\delta I^A_{s,G}(u_k) + (1-s)C_\delta I^A_G(u - u_k).$$

Observe that from Lemma 4.1 we have that $(1-s)I^A_{s,G}(u_k) \leq K$ for some positive constant $K$. Moreover, again from Lemma 4.1 there is some $k_1$ such that for $k \geq k_1$ it holds that $(1-s)I^A_{s,G}(u - u_k) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4K}$. Consequently, it follows that (4.13) can be bounded as

$$(1-s)|I^A_{s,G}(u) - I^A_{s,G}(u_k)| \leq \delta K + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for $k \geq k_1$. Hence, choosing $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{4K}$ we find that (4.12) is upper bounded as

$$\left|(1-s)I^A_{s,G}(u) - I^A_{s,G}(u_k)\right| \leq \varepsilon + \left|(1-s)I^A_{s,G}(u_k) - I^A_{s,G}(u_k)\right|$$

for all $k \geq \max\{k_0, k_1\}$. Finally, the desired result follows by fixing a value of $k \geq \max\{k_0, k_1\}$ and taking limit as $s \uparrow 1$.

To finish the proof, let us see that if $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ is such that

$$\liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)I^A_{s,G}(u) < \infty,$$

then $u \in W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Given $u \in L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$, according to Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 if we define the approximating family

$$u_{k, \varepsilon} = \rho_c \ast (\varepsilon \eta_k) \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}),$$

it satisfies

$$\liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)I^A_{s,G}(u_{k, \varepsilon}) < C,$$

with $C$ independent on $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The first part of this theorem gives that

$$I^A_{1,G}(u_{k, \varepsilon}) = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s)I^A_{s,G}(u_{k, \varepsilon}) < C,$$

then, from Remark 1.2 \{$u_{k, \varepsilon}$\}$_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded in $W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consequently, from Proposition 3.2 there exists a sequence $u_j = u_{k_j \varepsilon_j}$ with $k_j \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon_j \downarrow 0$ and $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_j \to \tilde{u}$ weakly in $W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, since $u_{k, \varepsilon} \to u$ in $L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ as $k \to \infty$, and $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we can conclude that $\tilde{u} = u \in W^{1,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as required.  \(\square\)

5. Some consequences and applications

In this final section, we show some immediate consequences of Theorem 1.1. This section can be seen as a follow up of [7, Section 6] where the same type of applications were derived for the case of $A \equiv 0$.

Throughout this section $G$ will be an Orlicz function satisfying (I), such that the limit in (S) exists.

When working on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (bounded or not) it is useful to introduce the following notations.
The space \( W^{1,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) \) denotes, as usual, is defined as the closure of \( C^\infty_c(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \) with respect to the \( \| \cdot \|_{1,A,G} \) norm.

In the fractional setting, we use the following definitions

\[
W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) := \{ u \in W^{s,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \}.
\]

Alternatively, one can consider

\[
\widetilde{W}^{s,G}_A(\Omega) := C^\infty_c(\Omega) \| \cdot \|_{s,A,G}.
\]

In the classical case, i.e. when \( G(t) = t^p \) and \( A = 0 \), these spaces \( W^{s,p}_{A,0}(\Omega) \) and \( \widetilde{W}^{s,p}_A(\Omega) \) are known to coincide when \( s < \frac{1}{p} \) or when \( 0 < s < 1 \) and \( \Omega \) has Lipschitz boundary. See [5].

In this paper, we shall not investigate the cases where these spaces \( W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) \) and \( \widetilde{W}^{s,G}_A(\Omega) \) coincide and use the space \( W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) \) to illustrate our applications.

In what follows, every function \( u \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \) it will be assumed to be extended by 0 to \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \).

Finally, observe that the inclusions

\[
W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) \subset W^{s,G}_A(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^G(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})
\]

imply

\[
L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \subset L^{G^*}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \subset W^{-s,G^*}_A(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{s,G^*}_A(\Omega),
\]

where \( W^{-s,G^*}_A(\Omega) \) denotes the (topological) dual space of \( W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) \).

5.1. **Poincaré’s inequality.** A first consequence that we get is the Poincaré’s inequality.

Poincaré’s inequality in the magnetic setting is a straightforward consequence of the so-called diamagnetic inequality. This inequality for the classical setting is well-known (see for instance [14, Theorem 7.21])

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( A: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n \) be a measurable magnetic potential such that \( |A| < \infty \) a.e. in \( \Omega \) and let \( u \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) \). Then the following diamagnetic inequality holds

\[
|\nabla |u|(x)| \leq |\nabla u(x) - iA(x)u(x)|,
\]

for a.e. \( x \in \Omega \).

The fractional analog of (5.1) was provided in [4, Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2], namely:

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) be a measurable magnetic potential such that \( |A| < \infty \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and let \( u: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C} \) be a measurable function such that \( |u| < \infty \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Then, the following fractional diamagnetic inequality holds

\[
||u(x)| - |u(y)|| \leq |e^{-i(x-y)\cdot A(x-y)/2}u(x) - u(y)|,
\]

for a.e. \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

**Remark 5.3.** Observe that the fractional diamagnetic inequality [5.2] can be stated as

\[
|D_s|u|(x,y)| \leq |D_s^A u(x,y)|,
\]

a.e. \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where \( D_s v(x,y) = \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x-y|^s} \).
With the help of these diamagnetic inequalities \((5.1)\) and \((5.2)\) it is easy to prove a Poincaré inequality in the context of Orlicz-Sobolev and fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

First recall the classical Poincaré inequality in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Even though it is well known, we include a proof here for the reader convenience and to recall a precise estimate of the constant.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) be a bounded domain and \(G: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be an Orlicz function. Then, for every \(u \in W^{1,G}_0(\Omega)\),

\[
I_G(u) \leq I_G(d|\nabla u|),
\]

where \(d = \text{diam}(\Omega)\).

**Proof.** The proof is standard. Let assume first that \(u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega), \; x_0 \in \partial \Omega\) be fixed and for any \(x \in \Omega\) we get the estimate

\[
u(x) = u(x) - u(x_0) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} u(x_0 + t(x - x_0)) \, dt \leq |x - x_0| \int_0^1 |\nabla u(x_0 + t(x - x_0))| \, dt.
\]

Now we use that \(|x - x_0| \leq d\) and Jensen’s inequality to obtain

\[
G(|u(x)|) \leq \int_0^1 G(d|\nabla u(x_0 + t(x - x_0))|) \, dt.
\]

Finally, we integrate in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) with respect to \(x\) and apply Fubini’s theorem to conclude the desired result.

The proof for general \(u \in W^{1,G}_0(\Omega)\) follows by a density argument. \(\square\)

The Poincaré inequality for fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces was proved in [6, Theorem 2.12].

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) be a bounded domain and \(G: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be an Orlicz function satisfying \((L)\). Then, for every \(0 < s < 1\) and every \(u \in W^{s,G}_0(\Omega)\),

\[
I_G(|u|) \leq I_{s,G}((1 - s)Cd^su),
\]

where \(d = \text{diam}(\Omega)\) and \(C\) depends on \(n, p_+\) and \(p_-\).

Combining the Poincaré’s inequalities of Theorems \(5.4\) and \(5.5\) together with the diamagnetic inequalities \((5.1)\) and \((5.2)\) we can easily prove the Poincaré inequalities for the Magnetic Orlicz-Sobolev and fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) be a bounded domain, \(G: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be an Orlicz function satisfying \((L)\) and \(0 < s < 1\). Then, there exists a constant \(C = C(n, p_-, p_+)\) such that

\[
I_G(u) \leq I^{A}_{s,G}((1 - s)Cd^su),
\]

for every \(u \in W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega)\), where \(d = \text{diam}(\Omega)\).

Moreover, for every \(u \in W^{1,G}_{A,0}(\Omega)\), it holds

\[
I_G(u) \leq I^A_G(du).
\]

**Proof.** First let us deal with the case \(s = 1\).

In this case we use Theorems \(5.4\) and \(5.5\) to conclude that

\[
I_G(u) \leq I_G(d|\nabla u|) \leq I_G(d|\nabla u - iAu|) = I^A_G(du).
\]
Now, for the case $0 < s < 1$, we use Theorem 5.5 and (5.3) to conclude that
\[ I_G(u) \leq I_{s,G}((1-s)Cd^s|u|) \leq I_{s,G}^A((1-s)Cd^s|u|). \]
This finishes the proof. □

As a simple corollary we obtain the Poincaré inequality for Luxemburg norms.

**Corollary 5.7.** Under the previous assumptions, there exist a constant $C = C(n, p_-, p_+, d)$ such that
\[ \|u\|_G \leq (1-s)C|u|_{s,G}^A, \]
for every $u \in W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega), 0 < s \leq 1$.

5.2. $\Gamma-$convergence. Let us recall the definition of $\Gamma-$convergence.

**Definition 5.8.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $F, F_k : X \to \mathbb{R}$. We say that $F_k \Gamma-$converges to $F$ if for every $x \in X$ the following conditions are valid.

(i) (lim inf inequality) For every sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ such that $x_k \to x$ in $X$,
\[ F(x) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} F_k(x_k). \]

(ii) (lim sup inequality). For every $x \in X$, there is a sequence $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ converging to $x$ such that
\[ F(x) \geq \limsup_{k \to \infty} F_k(y_k). \]
This sequence $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is usually called as the recovery sequence.

The functional $F$ is called the $\Gamma-$limit of the sequence $\{F_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and it is denoted by $F_k \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$ and
\[ F = \Gamma-\lim_{k \to \infty} F_k. \]

**Remark 5.9.** In the case where the functions are indexed by a continuous parameter, $\{F_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$, we say that
\[ F = \Gamma-\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} F_\varepsilon, \]
if and only if for every sequence $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$, it follows that $F_{\varepsilon_k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$.

Now, let us fix $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, and an Orlicz function $G$.

For any $0 < s < 1$, we define the functional $\mathcal{J}_s : L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by
\[ \mathcal{J}_s(w) = \begin{cases} (1-s)I_{s,G}^A(w) & \text{if } w \in W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \]
and the limit functional $\mathcal{J} : L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$
\[ \mathcal{J}(w) = \begin{cases} I_{1,G}^A(w) & \text{if } w \in W^{1,G}_{A,0}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

**Theorem 5.10.** With the previous notation we have that
\[ \mathcal{J} = \Gamma-\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathcal{J}_{1-\varepsilon}. \]
The proof of Theorem 5.10 is a direct consequence of our previous results. Indeed, the limsup inequality follows just by choosing the constant sequence as the recovery sequence, whilst the liminf is the content of the next proposition.

**Proposition 5.11.** Let \( G \) be an Orlicz function such that the limit in (S) exists. Let \( \{ u_{\varepsilon} \}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( u_{\varepsilon} \to u \) in \( L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \). Then

\[
J(u) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} J_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \varepsilon_k \downarrow 0 \) and denote \( u_k := u_{\varepsilon_k} \). Since \( u_k \to u \) in \( L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \), we can assume that \( u_k \to u \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

We can also assume, without loss of generality, that \( \sup_k J_{\varepsilon_k}(u_k) < \infty \) and therefore, by Lemma 3.6 and [7, Theorem 5.1], we obtain that \( u \in W_A^s G(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 5.12 to the function \( u \) to conclude that, for any \( \delta > 0 \), there exists \( s_\delta \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[
(1 - \delta) I_{s,G}^A(u) \leq (1 - s) I_{s,G}^A(u),
\]

for every \( s \in (s_\delta, 1) \).

Observe that by Fatou’s lemma we have that, for any \( s \in (0, 1) \)

\[
(1 - s) I_{s,G}^A(u) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} (1 - s) I_{s,G}^A(u_k).
\]

Combining (5.4) and (5.5), we obtain the existence of \( k_\delta \) such that

\[
(1 - \delta) I_{s,G}^A(u) \leq (1 + \delta) I_{s,G}^A(u_k),
\]

for every \( k \geq k_\delta \) and every \( s_\delta < s < 1 \). So from (5.6) we conclude that

\[
\frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} I_G^A(u) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} (1 - s_k) I_{s_k,G}^A(u_k) = \liminf_{k \to \infty} J_{\varepsilon_k}(u_k).
\]

Now the result follows taking \( \delta \downarrow 0 \). \( \square \)

The main feature of the \( \Gamma \)-convergence is that it implies the convergence of minima.

**Theorem 5.12.** Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space and let \( F, F_k : X \to \mathbb{R} \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), be such that \( F_k \) \( \Gamma \)-converges to \( F \). Assume that for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) there exist \( x_k \in X \) such that \( F_k(x_k) = \inf_X F_k \) and suppose that the sequence \( \{ x_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X \) is precompact.

Then every accumulation point of \( \{ x_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a minimum of \( F \) and

\[
\inf_X F = \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf_X F_k.
\]

The proof of Theorem 5.12 is elementary. For a comprehensive study of \( \Gamma \)-convergence and its properties, see [3].

Consider now \( f \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \) and define the functionals \( \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \) as

\[
\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(u) := J_{1-\varepsilon}(u) - \int_\Omega \Re(f \bar{u}) \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(u) := J(u) - \int_\Omega \Re(f \bar{u}) \, dx.
\]

Since \( u \mapsto \int_\Omega \Re(f \bar{u}) \, dx \) is continuous in \( L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \), Theorem 5.10 implies that \( \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \mathcal{F} \). See [3, Proposition 6.21].

Let us apply Theorem 5.12 to the family \( \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \). With this aim, let us verify that, given \( \varepsilon_k \downarrow 0 \), there exists a sequence \( \{ u_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \) of minimizers of \( \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_k} \) which is precompact in \( L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \).
The proof of the next lemma is standard. We state it for future references and leave the proof to the reader.

**Lemma 5.13.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $G$ be a uniformly convex Orlicz function and $f \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega)$ such that

$$F_\varepsilon(u) = \inf_{v \in W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega)} F_\varepsilon(v) = \min_{v \in W^{s,G}_{A,0}(\Omega)} F_\varepsilon(v).$$

Now, a simple consequence of Lemma 3.6 and [7, Theorem 5.1] gives the compactness of the sequence of minima. Again, the details of the proof are left to the readers.

**Lemma 5.14.** Let $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded subset. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_k \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ be the minimum of $F_{\varepsilon_k}$. Then $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ is precompact.

As a corollary of Lemmas 5.13 and 5.14 and Theorem 5.12 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.15.** Let $G$ be a uniformly convex Orlicz function, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded and let $u_\varepsilon \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ be the minimum of $F_\varepsilon$. Then there exists $u \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ such that $u = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u_\varepsilon$ in $L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ and $F(u) = \min_{v \in L^G(\Omega; \mathbb{C})} F(v)$.

Finally, Theorem 1.4 is a trivial consequence of Theorem 5.15.
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