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Abstract: This study compares the novel Asia Distal Lateral Tibial Locking Plate mechanical stability to that of the current anterolateral and medial tibial plates based on finite element analysis. Four-part fracture fragment model of the distal tibia was reconstructed using CAD software. A load was applied to simulate the swing phase of gait. The implant stress and the construct stiffness were compared. The results of the anterolateral plate and the medial plate were similar and the displacement values were determined lower than those in the medial plate. In the simulated distal tibia fracture, the Aplus Asia Distal Lateral Tibial Locking Plate and medial distal tibial plate tibia fixations will lead to a stiffer bone-implant construct compared to the anterolateral distal tibial plate. Moreover, the stress in the Aplus Asia Distal Lateral Tibial Locking Plate was lower than those for the medial distal tibial plate and anterolateral bone plates. The Aplus Asia Distal Lateral Tibial Locking Plate has better stabilization and is an anterolateral plate that avoids more soft tissue damage than other bone plates. The Aplus Asia Distal Lateral Tibial Locking Plate could be one of a suitable design in tibia distal fracture fixation.
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1. Introduction

The treatment of distal tibial fractures can be challenging for a surgeon, which has high infection rate, wound healing complications, and post-traumatic arthritis. In an effort to preserve the soft tissue and envelope perform the appropriate osseous reconstruction, multiple surgical approaches and fixation strategies have been developed. The anterolateral plating and medial plating were two major options for plate osteosynthesis via a variety of surgical approaches [1–3]. Previous studies demonstrated the biomechanical advantages of the medial plate; however medial plating would cause soft tissue problems ranging from skin irritation to necrosis [3,4]. The superficial peroneal nerve is better visualized in the anterolateral approach [5]. Despite these advantages, anterolateral plating fixation stability may be inferior to medial plating in the treatment of distal tibial fractures [6,7].

The anterolateral plates analyzed in the biomechanical literatures [6,7] are L-shaped, with a 3.5 mm LCP Anterolateral Distal Tibia Plate (DePuy Synthes, Paoli, PA, USA) and Distal Lateral Tibial Locking Plate (Zimmer Inc, Warsaw, Indiana). The articular part of the...
anterolateral plate is capable of accepting only four screws, whereas the medial plates have six or nine screws to purchase the bone fragments. Although the anterolateral the medial implants are fixed at different positions and have different geometric configurations, it can be speculated that more screws in the medial plate contribute to better stability compared to the anterolateral plate. In a plate/bone mapping study for a large cohort of pilon fractures [8], the authors suggested no current anterolateral distal tibial locking plate was found to be superior to the others in capturing all OTAC3 pilon fragment fracture lines. Sohn et al. [9] recently evaluated anterolateral fragment reconstruction with the anatomically precontoured locking plate in the pilon fracture. Sohn et al. concluded that a newly designed anterolateral distal tibia plate may be necessary when the commonly used distal tibia locking plate fails to cover the anterolateral fragment.

In order to obtain better fragment catching and anatomical reduction, a low profile, multi-screw fixation plate has recently been introduced. This plate allows for screw insertion trajectory versatility to maximize fragment capture. However, it is unknown whether the novel implant can provide sufficient fixation strength compared to the medial tibial plating. This study compares the mechanical stability of the novel ADLT (Asia Distal Lateral Tibial Locking Plate) to the current anterolateral and medial tibial plates based on finite element analysis. The mechanical stability was defined that had lower fragment bone displacement and larger axial stiffness on tibia after implantation.

### 2. Materials and Methods

3D intact model of the tibia geometry was reconstructed from CT images of a healthy 58-year-old Chinese male. This subject doesn’t have any bone tissue or soft tissue disease. The slice thickness of the CT scan image (Light Speed VCT, GE Medical System, General Electric Company, USA) is 1.25 mm, and in-place resolution of 512 × 512 pixels. IRB approval of this study is from Show Chwan Memorial Hospital (No. 1021004). The 3D tibia model via the cortical shell and cancellous core were reconstructed by a CAD software, PTC Creo 2.0 (Parametric Technologies Corp., Needham, MA, USA). The cortical thickness was approximately 2.5 mm and 4.5 mm in medial and post wall of this tibial site, respectively. Simulated AO/OTA 43-C1 type fracture was used in this study [10]. A 1 mm gap was simulated between bone fragments (Figure 1) [11].

![Figure 1. The AO/OTA 43-C1 type fracture tibia bone model.](image)

Three distal tibial plates were involved in the current study. They are the novel ADLT plate (A Plus Biotechnology Co. Ltd., New Taipei City, Taiwan) and two common implants:
3.5 mm LCP anterolateral distal tibial plate (Synthes 3.5 mm ADT) and 3.5 mm LCP medial distal tibial plate (Synthes 3.5 mm MDT) (Depuy Synthes, Paoli, PA, USA). All plate models were affixed to the tibial shaft with six 3.5-mm locking screws. For the articular fixation, the Aplus ADLT was designed with four distal 2.7-mm locking screws as rafting fixation support, two diagonal 2.7-mm screws for posterior fragment capture, and two 2.7-mm strut screws for construct support (Figure 2). The Synthes 3.5 mm ADT has only four 3.5-mm locking screws in the distal head (Figure 2b) while it accepts six 3.5-mm locking screws in the Synthes 3.5 mm MDT (Figure 2c).

Figure 2. Three different type distal tibia plates were implanted on a distal tibia (a) Aplus ADLT bone plate, (b) Synthes ADT bone plate, and (c) Synthes MDT bone plate.

The ANSYS Workbench 19 (ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA) was used for computational analysis. A convergence test was performed based on total strain energy. For the convergence test, a new tibia FE model with more element and node numbers were calculated and the presented FE model results were compared with those from the new FE model. After convergence test completion, each design model has 511,899 elements on average. Due to the bone plate and threaded screw hole complex geometry, the mesh consists of only tetrahedral elements. The thread on the plates and screws was simplified as a smooth outer surface.

For load and boundary conditions in each group, the distal end of the tibia was fully constrained in all degrees of freedom. A three-point crutch gait was used for the load condition. Due to the inability of normal walking immediately after operation, the injured leg seldom contacts the ground when a pair of crutches are used. However, muscle forces are generated during the gait swing phase [12]. The adjacent muscles such as the gastrocnemius and soleus generate about 10 percent of the body weight in a tibia when
a leg is in the swing phase in a normal gait cycle [13]. Normal body weight of an adult is 70 kg, and 10 percent of the body weight (70 N) was applied to the tibial plateau [14]. FEA model was assumed as isotropic and linear elastic materials. The material properties of Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio assigned in the FE models are summarized in Table 1 [15]. A frictional contact behavior was defined between the fracture fragments with a coefficient of friction of 0.2 for possible contact after loading. Friction coefficient between the bone-plate interactions was 0.42 [16] whereas full constraints were applied between the surrounding bone and screws, and between the screw hole of the plate and the screw head to simulate tightened locking. Each group was compared in terms of the maximum von Mises stress in each plate and the stiffness of the plate-bone construct. The construct stiffness was derived from the load and vertical displacement data.

Table 1. Material properties of the models.

| Model Part                  | Young’s Modulus (Mpa) | Poisson’s Ratio |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Cortical bone               | 17,500                | 0.3             |
| Cancellous bone             | 1500                  | 0.12            |
| Titanium alloy (Plate and screw) | 110,000              | 0.3             |

3. Results

The Aplus ADLT stress distribution, Synthes 3.5 mm ADT and Synthes 3.5 mm MDT locking plates are shown in Figure 3. Table 2 summarize the comparison between fixation with anterolateral (ATL), the medial distal tibia (MDT) and Asia Distal Lateral Tibial (ADLT) plate fixation. In the finite element analysis, the maximum von Mises stress on three different bone plates were 144.15 MPa, 181.00 MPa and 228.82 MPa, respectively. The Aplus ADLT plate has the smallest maximum von Mises stress than 3.5 mm ADT and 3.5 mm Synthes MDT locking plates.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** The von Mises stress distribution for three different bone plates and maximum von Mises stress around the screw hole. (a) Aplus ADLT bone plate, (b) Synthes 3.5 mm ADT bone plate, and (c) Synthes 3.5 mm MDT bone plate.
The displacement in Z axis of the Aplus ADLT, Synthes 3.5 mm ADT and Synthes 3.5 mm MDT locking plates was shown in Figure 4. The fracture surface displacements in the Z axis were 0.0575 mm, 0.0444 mm, and 1.3433 mm, respectively. The Z axis of Aplus ADLT bone plate displacement was similar to that of Synthes 3.5 mm MDT, and below half that of Synthes 3.5 mm ADT locking plates. The axial stiffness were 1219.51 N/mm in Aplus ADLT, 1576.58 N/mm in Synthes 3.5 mm MDT, and 52.12 N/mm in Synthes 3.5 mm ADT, respectively. The Aplus ADLT bone plate stiffness was similar to that of Synthes 3.5 mm MDT, and larger than Synthes 3.5 mm ADT locking plates significantly.

Figure 4. The z-axis tibia fracture surface displacement (a) Aplus ADLT bone plate groups, (b) Synthes 3.5 mm ADT bone plate groups, and (c) Synthes 3.5 mm MDT bone plate groups.
Table 2. Comparison between fixation with anterolateral (ATL), the medial distal tibia (MDT) and Asia Distal Lateral Tibial (ADLT) plate fixation.

| Bone Plate Type | Maximum Bone Plate von Mises Stress (MPa) | Stiffness (N/mm) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| ADT             | 228.82                                   | 52.12           |
| MDT             | 181.00                                   | 1576.58         |
| ADLT            | 144.15                                   | 1219.51         |

4. Discussion

A pilon fracture is a type of break that occurs at the distal end of the tibia (shinbone) and involves the ankle joint weight-bearing surface. Its common cause is high-energy impact and is accompanied with severe soft tissue injuries. In most cases the soft tissue injury clinical manifestations are prolonged, with peak edema usually occurring 3 to 5 days after the injury. Mingo-Robinet et al. [17] and Bartonícek et al. [18] confirmed that posterior malleolus fracture anatomical reduction plays an important role in ankle joint stability. The pilon fracture treatment goals are articular surface and distal tibial alignment anatomical restoration while preserving the tenuous soft tissue envelope. Non-invasive surgical articular surface anatomical reduction is difficult to achieve [19]. Surgical treatment is an effective tool to reduce fragmented bone and restore the tibia stability, but achieves minimal to results for soft tissue damage. A variety of surgical approaches have been described to provide adequate plafond fracture line visualization during internal fixation while respecting the soft tissue biology [20].

Better understanding of soft tissue role in fracture repair has led to the development of minimally invasive plating techniques and low profile contoured plates. Similarly, soft tissue envelope evaluation became one of the major determinants for the surgical strategy, the surgeon’s preference and together with fracture pattern. Clinically, varied bone plate systems were developed, to reduce and fix the fragmented bone. The bone plate system can be classified as the anterolateral and medial implants according to different set orientation. Raja et al. [6] compared the anterolateral (ADT) and medial distal tibia (MDT) locking plate stability in treating complex distal tibial fractures. The results show that the MDT provided better stability compared to the Synthes 3.5 mm ADT. Similar result was found in this present study, the Synthes 3.5 mm MDT and Aplus ADLT have better stabilization than the Synthes 3.5 mm ADT. Lee et al. [21] compared medial and anterolateral distal tibia fracture plating with ORIF. The results of both groups were similar in respect to the malunion rate, functional score, union rate, injury mechanism, range of ankle motion, operative time and both medial and lateral plating achieved good functional outcomes with low malunion rate. However, the anterolateral plating group had a lower complication and fewer hardware issues. Furthermore, the subcutaneous tissue on the medial distal tibia is thin, and medial anatomic plates on the distal medial side of the tibia cause soft tissue issues [22].

The present study compared implant fracture construct stability and the effectiveness of Synthes 3.5 mm ADT, Synthes 3.5 mm MDT versus Aplus ADLT plate for the repair of complex distal tibia fracture. Maximum von Mises stress data obtained from the stress distribution pattern in bone plate and the amount of bony fragment displacements suggest that the Aplus ADLT is superior to the Synthes 3.5 mm ADT and Synthes 3.5 mm MDT. The fragmented bone fixation depends on the bone plate placement position. This will affect the structure stabilization. MacLeod et al. demonstrate that larger bridging spans increase interfragmentary movement without substantially increasing plate stress [23]. Tommaso et al. indicated that screw orientation affects the pressure distribution at the bone/screw interface [24]. Besides, screw diameter and number of screws play an important role. In this present, the Synthes 3.5 mm ADT plate have largest Z axis displacement than Synthes 3.5 mm MDT and Aplus ADLT plate. The result was led using different types and
numbers of bone screws. Bone screws of the same diameter and length were employed to fix the fragmented bone in each bone plate model. Six bone screws were implanted at the bone plate proximal side. The difference with another bone plate, Synthes 3.5 mm ADT applied just six screws to fix the fragmented bone. Aplus ADLT and Synthes 3.5 mm MDT implanted eight bone screws at bone plate distal side. The difference between Aplus ADLT and Synthes 3.5 mm MDT include the implanted position and the geometrical configurations except for the number of screws. In addition, the opposite screw insertion technology have the potential advantage in the stresses on the bone plate and screws [25].

In this study, the Aplus ADLT bone plate system has minimum VMS stress in the plate and bone displacement Z-axis. The Aplus ADLT has these advantages included below; the lateral bone plate can avoid the soft tissue injury, triangular and anatomical plate design at the bone plate distal side. It has maximum rate in covering the bone surface. It is a locking plate design, although there may be issues such as fragment rotational instability, this situation could not be simulated in this study. The use of locking head screws, in addition to the ligamentotaxis effect of the surrounding tissue, may have overcome this issue. It is well established that locking screws have larger pullout mechanism than conventional thereby maintaining the bone-implant construct stability [26]. Most stress around the screw hole of the ADT were higher than 100 MPa while the stress in many regions of the ADLT and MDT was under than 50 MPa, indicating the ADT might have more possibility of plate breakage during rehabilitation period. It was noted that von Mises stress was concentrated at the bend of the ADT and the MDT, which could be explained by leverage of load transmitted from the distal screws. Interestingly, the location of stress concentration for the ADLT was at the articular of the implant, meaning the implant design could provide anti-bending effect. The screw hole placement in the articular part of the ADLT features a triangle fixation configuration for fragment capture and two struct screws to resist the external force. These may contribute to high resistance to plate bending during loading. Consequently, we suggested that the newly designed implant, ADLT could be an alternative option for the treatment of the tibial pilon fractures. The Aplus ADLT could offer the similar stiffness with MDT plate and better than ALT plate. Therefore, the Aplus ADLT could be one of a suitable design in tibia distal fracture fixation.

Several limitations were observed in this study. The plate-bone constructs were examined under one loading and boundary condition based on previous publications [6,14,27]. In this present study, only one dimension was analyzed, the different tibia dimension was included to evaluate in further study. As we know, this simulated situation is certainly not representative of all physiological environment. Further development of new model to simulate more physiological conditions is necessary for future researches such as the evaluation of the risk of implant failure and the mechanics between implant–bone interfaces and the dynamic loading condition. The dynamic loading enhances the growth factors reaction [28]. The ADLT is a new implant expected to provide adequate stability for fracture fixation as the medial distal tibial plate (MDT) do. The lowest biomechanical requirement for a bone plate is to assure the initial stability after the fracture fixation. To evaluate the strength of the ADLT and compare with other commercialized implants, further biomechanical test is necessary to evaluate the ultimate strength of the bone-plate construct and the fatigue properties. In addition, further simulations to analyze the effect of the screw positioning on the fixation stability for this kind of fracture type are interesting in surgical field, especially for the novel ADLT plate design. Moreover, fracture configuration is also shown to be one type fracture mode to be used for fracture fixation. This study made use of known some parameters and deduced information based on previous studies [10,29–31]. Furthermore, biomechanical testing may be necessary to provide more information. Finite element modeling was another limitation. Although it is known to be a good choice for biomechanical analysis, cadaver bone studies would provide stronger conclusions.
5. Conclusions

This biomechanical study investigated the effect of three different bone plate implantation methods after tibial distal pilon fracture reduction using computed methods. The study results show that tibia Aplus ADLT and Synthes 3.5 mm MDT fixation methods will lead to a stiffer bone-implant construct compared Synthes 3.5 mm ADT. Moreover, the stress in the Aplus ADLT plate was lower than that for the Synthes 3.5 mm MDT and Synthes 3.5 mm ADT bone plates. The Aplus ADLT has better stabilization and is an anterolateral plate that avoids more soft tissue damage than the other bone plates. The Aplus ADLT could be a more suitable tibia distal fracture fixation design.
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