Geotechnical properties of residual soils from the North-east of Argentina
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ABSTRACT
North-east Argentina is almost completely covered by residual soils, as well as southeast Paraguay and central and southern Brazil. These residual soils arise as the result of the weathering of basalt. This article presents certain mechanical, physical and geomechanical properties of residual soils from Oberá, Misiones, in north-east Argentina based upon tests on specimen from 71 boreholes. Changes in the mineralogical, physical and geomechanical characteristics with depth are related to different degrees of weathering. The correlations between different soil properties are presented and analysed and mean and coefficient of variation of the most significant soil properties is discussed and compared with results reported for similar soils from different places. The results indicate that the studied residual soils have physical, mineralogical and mechanical properties that are highly dependent on the level of alteration. Variability of soil properties increases at higher levels of degradation and chemical alteration.

Introduction
Residual soils are characterised by the different chemical, physical and biological processes that modify the structure and primary minerals of intact rock. A weathering profile arises as a consequence of the transformation of rock mass into soil particles, decreasing its apparent density and increasing the hydraulic conductivity of the porous media (Gidigasu 2012; Huat, Toll, and Prasad 2012; Rocchi and Coop 2015). The geotechnical characteristics of residual soils differ from those of transported soils in their high dependence on the degree of decomposition resulting from their genesis. Climate, topography and drainage conditions affect soil genesis (Fookes 1997; Singh, Sharma, and Tobisch 2005; Huat, Gue, and Ali 2007; Toll 2012). Physical and chemical weathering are responsible for: (a) decrease in particle size and changes in mineralogy (Fookes 1997; Rahardjo et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2017), (b) decrease in bond strength between particles (Leroueil and Vaughan 1990), (c) increase in porosity and permeability (Samingan, Leong, and Rahardjo 2003; Wesley 2009, 2010), (d) loss of stiffness and soil density (Wesley 2010; Rahardjo et al. 2012; Blight and Leong 2012), (e) induced changes in the physical and mechanical soil properties (Charles, Leung, and Lau 2004; Pineda, Colmenares, and Hoyos 2014; Rocchi and Coop, 2015) and (f) changes in soil fabric due to wetting and drying cycles (Gullà, Mandaglio, and Moraci 2006; Pineda, Colmenares, and Hoyos 2014). Thus, weathering is a phenomenon of great importance in the geotechnical behaviour of residual soils.

Physical weathering affects parent material without inducing changes in chemical and mineralogical properties. Common physical processes are joint decompression, thermal expansion/contraction, crystal growth and organic activity (Mitchell and Soga 2005). Chemical weathering is a complex phenomenon that affects chemical composition and mineralogy through reactions with water, dissolved ions, oxygen and carbon dioxide (Wesley 2009; Huat, Toll, and Prasad 2012). Common reactions responsible for chemical weathering include hydrolysis, chelation, ion exchange, oxidation and carbonation (Blight and Leong 2012). Cellular micro-organisms (bacteria, algae and protozoa) and fungi are frequently found in weathering profiles, acting simultaneously with the processes described above. Different phenomena take place together, making it difficult to isolate chemical, physical and biological contributions to the weathering of rocks.

Near the surface soils suffer pedogenic processes that promote the addition, loss, and transformation of the material. The main processes are eluviation–illuviation that involves the loss and addition of material, respectively, leaching that removes soluble salts and lateralisation (Vaz 1996). Gidigasu (2012) indicates that lateralisation involves the leaching, under appropriate drainage conditions, of combined silica and bases and a relative accumulation of oxides and hydroxides of sesquioxides (mainly AlO₃, Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂).

Vargas (1974) proposed a weathering profile for residual soils from Brazil derived from granite, gneisses and basalts. The author defined the upper soil layers as ‘mature residual soils’ what it is now known as lateritic soils and the soil layer below it as ‘young soils’ or saprolite. The term lateritic soil and saprolite were adopted during the International Conference on Tropical Soils in...
1985. Saprolite refers to that part of the weathering profile where the soil largely preserves the microfabric and volume of the parent rock (Aydin 2006; Lacerda 2010; Pineda, Colmenares, and Hoyos 2014). The criteria for soil to be described as saprolite is (Wesley 2010): (a) it is soils in the geotechnical sense, (b) it exhibits clear inherited structural features that make possible the identification of the parent rock and (c) it is authigenically residual, meaning it is derived directly from the weathering of the rock below it.

Vaz (1996) presents a weathering profile for tropical regions, with soil and rock horizons based on the excavation and drilling methods. Two horizons for soil and three for rocks are identified based on pedogenetic changes and the degree of alteration of the parent rock. According to Vaz (1996), the mature residual soil, or eluvial soil, has homogeneous characteristics in terms of colour, grain size and mineralogical composition, the total absence of texture and structure of the rock matrix (relict structures). The young residual soil, or saprolite, is a soil layer where pedogenetic processes are incipient or very limited. On the other hand, Gidigasu (2012) and Mitchell and Soga (2005) define laterite as the soil with a silica to alumina ratio (SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$) lower than 1.33, while in lateritic soils this ratio falls to between 1.33 and 2.00, and when it surpasses 2.00 the soils are known as non-lateritic tropical weathered soils.

Several researchers have worked on classification, microstructure and main properties of residual soils from Brazil, Malaysia and many other places around the world (Güttierre, Nobrega, and Vilár 2009; Futai, Almeida, and Lacerda 2004; Rahardjo et al. 2004, 2012). However, there is no database for residual soils from Argentina. The purpose of this work is to analyse and discuss the main physical, chemical, mineralogical and geotechnical properties of residual soils from Oberá, Misiones, in the north of Argentina, with the objective of determining the effect of variability due to in-depth weathering. Obtained results are compared with other works on residual soils.

Degree of weathering

Following the procedure developed by Vaz (1996), the weathering profiles were divided into two significant horizons for residual soils: (a) eluvial soil which is homogeneous and shows no more traces of the original rock and (b) saprolite that shows significant heterogeneities and still maintain several properties inherited from the parent rock. The degree of alteration of the material was evaluated by determining two weathering indexes. The first determines the degree of weathering from the silica to sesquioxide ratio, as follows (Fookes 1997; Gidigasu 2012):

$$Kr = \left( \frac{\%SiO_2}{60} + \frac{\%Fe_2O_3}{160} \right)$$  

(1)

This index identifies lateritic soils. Values of $Kr < 2.0$ are typical of oxide-rich ferrallitic soils, ferrisols and some ferruginous soils, whereas fersiallitic and some ferruginous soils have $Kr$ values $> 2.0$; ferrites, ferrallites, allites and most indurated ferrallitic soils have $Kr$ values $< 1.33$.

The second index was the Weathering Index of Parker (1970) (WIP) that considers Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^+$ and Mg$^{2+}$ mobilisation and leaching as follows:

$$WIP = \left( \frac{Na^+}{0.35} + \frac{Mg^{2+}}{0.9} + \frac{K^+}{0.25} + \frac{Ca^+}{0.7} \right) \cdot 100$$  

(2)

Different WIP values indicate the probability of ions being mobilised due to weathering. Close to 0 values correspond to higher rock alteration while values close to 100 are obtained in less weathered rock materials.

Geology of the study area

Oberá City in Misiones Province is in the north of Argentina (latitude 27°29′00″S and longitude 55°08′00″O) (Figure 1). The climate is subtropical without a dry season, with annual mean highest and lowest temperatures of 26.5 and 16.0 °C, respectively, and mean precipitation close to 2300 mm/year. These conditions, the abundant subtropical rainforest and its relatively flat topography favor the weathering of rock and the formation of residual soils.

The study area is a small part of the largest continental flood basalt in the world, the Serra Geral formation, located in the south of Brazil, east of Paraguay, north-east Argentina and part of Uruguay (Gentili and Rimoldi 1979; Fodor, Corwin, and Sial 1985). This volcanic formation ranges in age from Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous and is composed of interstratified layers of basalt and sandstones. It is represented in the study area by the Posadas Member (magmatic) and the Solari Member (clastic), as described by Herbst (1971) and Remesal et al. (2011).

Near the soil surface, there is organic soil that suffers significant wet–dry cycles due to weather conditions. The organic matter content and the influence of the climate are progressively reduced with depth (Wesley 2010; Blight and Leong 2012). Weathering of the Posadas and Solari Members originate a saprolite layer, also known as ‘young soil’ (Vargas 1974), and near the soil surface eluvial soils, also known as lateritic or ‘madure’ soils, arises as the consequence of pedogenic processes. These soils have a reddish colour, are rich in iron and aluminium oxides and contain high kaolinitic content (Reinert 2007). This area was selected given that its geology can be considered as representative of extensive areas of South America and many places around the world with similar geologic formations. The results obtained may thus be relevant for many other places, not only northern Argentina but also neighboring countries with similar soil profiles, where important infrastructure projects may be constructed in the future.

Materials and methods

Representative samples were taken from the Posadas and Solari Members from 71 site explorations performed in a 52 km$^2$ area in the city of Oberá, in Misiones, Argentina. The spatial distribution of the samples was fairly uniform within the study area resulting in a mean of 1.36 boreholes per km$^2$. Disturbed specimens were obtained from the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) using the Terzaghi sampler, while thin-wall Shelby tubes were used to get undisturbed specimens (Figure 2). Eluvial soils, or ‘lateritic soils’ were identified from 0.3 m below the surface to 7.0 m depth, with a homogeneous red colour in all soil layer (Figure 2(a)). Saprolite was commonly found below 5 m depth.
and with clear heterogeneities in colour and texture (Figure 2(b)). Most of the boreholes were drilled from the surface till the top of the weathering rock strata in order to sample specimens with different degrees of weathering.

The specimens were analysed to determine the main mineralogical, physical, chemical, and geotechnical properties of the residual soils in this region. In addition, a representative soil profile from Oberá City was selected in order to evaluate the typical vertical spatial variability of chemical properties and mineralogical composition. These properties were only determined in this representative soil profile in the borehole located at latitude $S\ 27^\circ\ 30'\ 40.24''$ and longitude $W\ 55^\circ\ 6'\ 58.70''$, due

Figure 1. Location of the study area.

Figure 2. Representative specimens recovered with the SPT (a) and Shelby (b) tubes.
to time and cost issues. An excavation was performed to collect soil specimens every 0.5 m from the soil surface to 14 m in depth where a moderately weathered rock stratum was reached. This allowed specimens of eluvial soils layer to be taken from the surface to 6.3 m below and from the deeper saprolite to 14 mtrs below the surface.

**Laboratory and field tests**

The data obtained were moisture content (ASTM D2216, ASTM 2014), unit weight and void ratio (ASTM D2167, ASTM 2014), blow count from Standard Penetration Tests (ASTM D1586, ASTM 2014), specific gravity (ASTM D854, ASTM 2014), Atterberg limits (ASTM D4318, ASTM 2014), triaxial tests (ASTM D7181, ASTM 2014) and mineralogy from the X-ray diffraction test. Special care was taken during sampling and during the storage of samples given that disaggregation, drying and moistening of soil may affect the results obtained in the case.

Even though some authors use Atterberg limits for the classification of residual soils, in the case of tropical soils the results may depend on the drying method and temperature (Vaughan, Maccarinii, and Mokhtar 1988). In this work, the specimens were dried at room temperature during 60 days before testing by following recommendations given by Fookenes (1997).

Grain size distribution was determined following recommendations of the ASTM D6913 (ASTM 2014) and Fookenes (1997). For these experiments, the specimens were tested at natural moisture content, given that drying induces mineral degradation, and using sodium hexametaphosphate as a dispersant (Gidigasu 2012).

Consolidated drained triaxial tests were performed in the undisturbed specimens recovered with the Shelby tubes and tested at confined pressures of 50, 100 and 150 kPa. The initial moisture content of the soil was between 10 and 20%. All specimens were tested at natural moisture content and selected specimens were tested under saturated conditions. The results are representative for the observed behaviour under unsaturated state. Therefore, obtained results are material parameters for Mohr-Coulomb failure envelopes to be used in total stress analysis, rather than material properties.

Selected specimens from five different depths from the representative soil profile were characterised with X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscope (SEM) tests.

Mineralogy was evaluated from X-ray diffraction test analysis, using random powders and oriented slides, with a Philips 3020 Goniometer with PW3710 Controller X-ray diffractometer with automatic slit and a Cu-Ka tube (at $\lambda = 1.5406 \AA$, 40 kV, 20 mA). The results were analysed by the Rietveld method, and the procedure proposed by Schultz (1964) was used to semi-quantify the presence of non-clay minerals. Mineralogy of soil was determined for the total sample and clay fraction for specimens from different depths in order to evaluate the evolution of mineralogy along the weathering profile.

A high-resolution FE-SEM Sigma SEM was used to study the fabric of the eluvial soils and saprolite with complementary semi-quantitative chemical analysis by means of energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) measurements.

**Variability and statistical analysis**

Physical and geotechnical data were analysed for the eluvial soils and saprolite in the study area. The parameters considered were blow count from SPT, void ratio, liquid limit, dry unit weight and cohesion and friction angle. Correlations between these physical and mechanical properties were determined in order to assess the coefficient of correlation and variability of soil properties. The correlation between different geotechnical properties was determined as follows:

$$\rho_{x,y} = \frac{\text{Cov}[x, y]}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

$$\text{Cov}[x, y] = E[(x_i - E[x])(y_i - E[y])]$$

where $\rho_{x,y}$ = coefficient of correlation, $x$ and $y$ = soil properties for which correlation is determined, $\text{Cov}[.]$ = covariance, $E[.]$ = mean value and $\sigma[.]$ = standard deviation. The aim was to identify any non-apparent relationship/dependence between the properties of the different tested soils.

**Results**

**Chemical and mineralogical properties**

Figure 3 shows typical SEM images with the corresponding EDX analysis for eluvial soils (Figure 3(a) and (b)) and for saprolite (Figure 3(c) and (d)). In both cases, the soil fabric observed is dominated by clay particles and aggregation of clay particles joined by precipitated oxides and sesquioxides, which matches the arrangement proposed by Collins (1985). Specimens appear to have a porous microstructure and doughy appearance, making it difficult to individualise isolated particles, regardless of the amplification factor of the SEM. Isolated kaolinite particles were detected in only a few SEM, and the presence of iron oxides was inferred from the Fe peak identified in the EDX shown in Figure 3(b) and (d).

Figure 4 shows the diffractogram of selected eluvial soil and saprolite specimens. The mineralogical analysis of the total sample indicated that it is mainly composed by goethite (a-FeO(OH)) and haematite (Fe$_2$O$_3$) and that the fraction of goethite tended to decrease with depth (small quantities of quartz of unknown origin were identified in the eluvial soil). The analysis of the clay fraction allowed identifying kaolinite, smectite and illite as the principal clay minerals of these soils. The presence of these minerals was corroborated by testing specimens treated with ethylene glycol, after calcination in the muffle furnace. The most abundant clay mineral identified was kaolinite for all specimens with amounts that ranged from 53 to 76%. Close to 10% of the smectite content was identified in the eluvial soil while a small fraction of illite (close to 5%) was identified in the saprolite. The obtained variation of amount and type of secondary clay minerals can be associated to the different degree of weathering within the soil profile (Gidigasu 2012).
Figure 3. (a) SEM of eluvial soils at 3 m depth; (b) EDAX analysis of eluvial soils at 3 m depth; (c) SEM of saprolite at 6.5 m depth; (d) EDAX analysis of saprolite at 6.5 m depth.

Figure 4. X-ray diffraction tests performed in eluvial soils from 4 m below the surface for total (a) and clay (b) fractions, and in saprolite from 12 m below the surface for total (c) and clay (d) fractions.
Geotechnical properties and variation with depth

Tables 1 and 2 summarise the most significant physical and mechanical properties of the eluvial soil and saprolite, respectively, compiled from the 71 explorations performed in the study area. These tables present the mean, minimum, maximum, standard deviation and coefficient of variation (COV) of the most relevant soil properties.

As expected for this type of soils the variability in geotechnical properties tends to be higher than for transported sediments (Phoon and Kulhawy 1999). These changes in geotechnical properties can be attributed to the heterogeneous weathering and the spatial variability of soil properties, as shown by Branco et al. (2014). The COV depends on the natural variability of each soil property and also capture measurement errors (Phoon et al. 2016).

The eluvial soils have a liquid limit from 37 to 51% and a plasticity index between 5 and 32%. The saprolites have a liquid limit from 33 to 48% and a plasticity index between 8 and 17%. Figure 5 shows the liquid limit and plasticity index results in the plasticity chart. More than 95% of tested samples fell within the region of medium plasticity soil (liquid limit between 30 and 50%). Therefore, these index properties (liquid limit and plasticity index) are within the expected values for soil with medium compressibility (Adeyemi and Wahab 2008).

The spatial variability of geotechnical properties in the vertical direction can be attributed to different degrees of alteration, even within the same zone in the soil profile (De Salvo 1990). Figure 6 shows typical variation in the vertical direction for moisture content, unit weight, void ratio, specific gravity, cohesion and friction angle. The natural moisture content shows significant variations and, in general, mean values for saprolite are lower than for eluvial soils (Figure 6(a)). The specific gravity (Figure 6(b)) ranged from 2.65 to 2.85, which is within the values in the literature for basalt-derived residual soils as studied here (Sandroni 1985). The slight decrease of specific gravity with depth observed in the eluvial soil and saprolite zones may be produced by the mineralogical changes that these soils suffer within the weathering profile (Blight and Leong 2012). The obtained changes of specific gravity with depth are in good agreement with the variations of kaolinite, oxides and sesquioxides with depth shown in Figure 4. Figure 6(c) shows the influence of depth on the soil void ratio. Very high void ratios were obtained for the entire soil profile. The decrease in void ratio with depth can be associated with a lower degree of alteration of the rock. The unit weight tends to increase with depth as expected due to the lower void ratio and degree of weathering of deeper specimens (Figure 6(d)). The Mohr–Coulomb parameters are presented in Figure 6(e) and (f). Cohesion of eluvial soils resulted between 2 and 45 kPa while for saprolito were from 2 to 20 kPa. The high variation on friction angles can be associated with differences in soil texture and particle size distribution with depth, as reported by Blight and Leong (2012).

The degree of weathering for the selected soil profile was quantitatively determined by means of Equation (2) (Table 3). Values for WIP decreased from 90 near the soil surface to 45 for the specimen taken from 12.3 m, which is in agreement with the variation of specific gravity and void ratio with depth shown in Figure 6. This can be attributed to greater biological influence (e.g. roots) and infiltration of water from rainfall near the surface. In addition, the silica to sesquioxide ratio (Kr) defined in Equation (1) was greater than 2 in all cases, indicating that the tested specimens correspond to fersiallitic tropical soils (Fookes 1997).

The intensity of weathering not only generates secondary minerals but also alters soil texture (or grain size distribution) (Rahardjo et al. 2004). Figure 7 shows the influence of depth on grain size distribution. Clearly soil texture, the sand, silt and clay fractions, are expected to decrease while silt content increases with depth.
clay fractions, changes through the soil profile. The clay fraction decreased from 60% near the surface to close to 35% at 12.3 m while the opposite trends were obtained for the silt and sand fraction that increased with the soil depth. These trends can be attributed to the lower degree of weathering expected at higher depth (Northmore et al. 1992).

Figure 8 shows the probabilistic distribution of the void ratio measured for eluvial soil and saprolitic soils. Void ratios were clearly higher than 1 in more than 90% of eluvial soil specimens tested (Figure 8(a)) and lower than 1 in more than 95% of the saprolite samples (Figure 8(b)). These results match previous results reported in the literature (Fookes 1997).

The variability of geotechnical properties in eluvial soils is mainly associated with weathering as well as with the natural variation of soil properties vertically and horizontally. In addition, saprolites frequently contain randomly oriented discontinuities and a cemented structure, affecting the mechanical properties of these soils (Aydin 2006).

The results of SPT blow counts, void ratio, liquid limit, dry unit weight, cohesion and friction angle were statistically analysed in order to identify correlations between each other. These correlations can be seen in the figure matrix in Figure 9, while the COV for each parameter is given in Tables 1 and 2. With this
The mean and COV of LL for the eluvial soil were 43.9 and 11%. In the case of saprolite the mean and COV of LL were 38.8 and 14%. These results are in good agreement with data reported by West and Dumbleton (1970) and Lohnes et al. (1983). Also, the COV of LL for eluvial soil and saprolite were between 10 and 20% which is the range suggested by Harr (1977). West and Dumbleton (1970) reported mean LL = 49% and COV = 3.1% for residual soil derived from basalt in Malaysia, while Lohnes et al. (1983) indicated that residual soils also derived from basalt in Hawaii has mean LL = 47% and a COV = 2.0. Mean and COV of PL for the eluvial soil were 26.9 and 4% while for saprolite were 11.1 and 30%. Ugbe (2011) tested residual soils derived from basalt in Nigeria and obtained mean LL = 36.4% with a COV = 18%, and a mean PL = 14.5% with a COV = 19%. In the case of plasticity index, results reported in this work are also in good agreement with those reported by Ugbe (2011).

In the case of void ratio, the obtained mean values (Tables 1 and 2) were slightly higher than those measured by Miguel and Villar (2009) in residual soils from Brazil. Significantly lower values were reported by Rahardjo et al. (2012) in residual soils derived from granite. The wide range of mean void ratio for residual soils reported in literature can be attributed to its dependence on parental rock type and degree of weathering as indicated by Wesley (2009).

Finally, the unsaturated friction angle and cohesion reported in this work were controlled by the soil type and test conditions. Rahardjo et al. (2012) indicated that a decrease of fine content emerges as decreases in cohesion. Measured friction angles show significant variability that can be associated with differences in soil texture and particle size distribution, as reported by Blight and Leong (2012). Also the variability in the degree of weathering and the difficulty in obtaining high quality samples can be responsible for this trend (Rahardjo et al. 2012). As consequence, the COV for the Mohr-Coulomb envelope parameters obtained in this work resulted slightly higher or close to the upper limit suggested by Harr (1977).

**Discussion**

The results presented herein are useful to compare variability and trends with data available in recent literature for similar residual soils.
Conclusions

This study analyses the main physical, chemical, mineralogical and geotechnical properties of residual soils from the north-east Argentina. The main conclusions can be summarised as follows:

- The mineralogical and physical properties of the residual soils are the result of the weathering of basalt. Rock alteration induces changes in soil structure within the soil profile, which are manifest in significant variations in void ratio, granulometry and mineralogy with depth. The most abundant mineral in all the soil profile is kaolinite, according to the X-ray diffraction test results. Other minerals found in less proportion include illite, goethite, smectite, quartz and haematite.

- The silica to sesquioxide ratio is higher than 2 for the eluvial and saprolitic soils, characterising the tropical soil tested as fersiallitic. Oxides and sesquioxides joint clay particles and aggregation of clay particles and therefore are responsible for the soil fabric as observed in the SEM images.

- The spatial variability of granulometry, clay content, void ratio, liquid limit and plasticity index in the vertical direction are useful properties for evaluating the degree of weathering of soils. The average plasticity index and liquid limit values are higher for eluvial soil than for saprolite.

- Geomechanical properties show a complex heterogeneity that makes it difficult to relate mechanical and index properties in the eluvial soil and the saprolite. This can be attributed to the high variability in soil properties associated with local weathering conditions. Additional soil data is needed in order to characterise the effect of field scale heterogeneities on the geotechnical properties. These properties are fundamental for the design of geotechnical structures in north-east Argentina, but our results may also be relevant for other sites with residual soils with similar properties.
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