ABSTRACT

Background: To date, cultural clinical research has primarily focused on differences between ethnic groups when investigating causal beliefs about mental disorders. While individual as well as contextual factors are considered important for gaining a better understanding of cultural influences, research on causal beliefs about post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and cultural correlates in laypersons is scarce.

Objective: This study aimed at gaining a better understanding of the association between causal beliefs about PTSD and cultural aspects, as well as other contextual and individual correlates of causal beliefs.

Method: We conducted a cross-sectional, vignette-based online survey with 737 laypersons from Mexico, Ecuador, Germany, Greece, and Russia. Participants completed the revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R) and reported several cultural and sociodemographic (e.g. country of residence, gender, personal values) as well as mental health-related variables (e.g. PTSD symptoms, previous seeking of help). Latent class analysis (LCA) was performed to identify subgroups of individuals expressing similar causal beliefs for PTSD. Multinomial logistic regression was used to analyse covariates of class membership.

Results: LCA resulted in a three-class solution of causal beliefs: a traumatic event–focused class (41.1%); an intrapersonal causes class (40.1%); and a multiple causes class (18.0%). Multinomial logistic regression analysis revealed country of residence, gender, personal value of security, PTSD symptoms, and mental health literacy as significant covariates of class membership.

Conclusions: Integrating a more diverse concept of culture into cultural clinical research can be a valuable addition to group comparisons based on nationality or ethnicity. Cultural clinical research needs to move towards a more integrated approach that accounts for the complexity of culture. Including additional contextual and sociodemographic factors can help to reach a more accurate understanding of the cultural influences on the development of causal beliefs and mental health.

Creative causales comunes sobre el trastorno de estrés postraumático y los correlatos culturales en cinco países

Antecedentes: Hasta la fecha, la investigación clínica cultural se ha centrado principalmente en las diferencias entre grupos étnicos al investigar las creencias causales sobre los trastornos mentales. Si bien los factores individuales y contextuales se consideran importantes para obtener una mejor comprensión de las influencias culturales, la investigación sobre las creencias causales sobre el trastorno de estrés postraumático (TEPT) y los correlatos culturales en las personas legales es escasa.

Objetivo: Este estudio tuvo como objetivo obtener una mejor comprensión de la asociación entre las creencias causales sobre el TEPT y los aspectos culturales, así como otros correlatos contextuales e individuales de las creencias causales.

Método: Realizamos una encuesta en línea transversal basada en viñetas con 737 legos de México, Ecuador, Alemania, Grecia y Rusia. Los participantes completaron el cuestionario de percepción de la enfermedad revisado (IPQ-R en su sigla en inglés) y reportaron varias variables culturales y sociodemográficas (e.g. país de residencia, género, valores personales) así como variables relacionadas con la salud mental (e.g. síntomas de TEPT, búsqueda previa de ayuda). Se realizó un análisis de clases latentes (LCA en su sigla en inglés) para identificar subgrupos de individuos que expresan creencias causales similares sobre el TEPT. Se utilizó la regresión logística multinomial para analizar las covariables de pertenencia a una clase.

Resultados: El LCA resultó en una solución de tres clases de creencias causales: una clase centrada en un evento traumático (41.1%); una clase de causas intrapersonales (40.1%); y una clase de causas múltiples (18.0%). El análisis de regresión logística multinomial reveló el país de residencia, el género, el valor personal de la seguridad, los síntomas del TEPT y el conocimiento en salud mental como covariables importantes de la pertenencia a una clase.
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1. Introduction

When people experience mental disorders, or observe them in others, they tend to search for explanations. Those affected by, or encountering others affected by, mental health symptoms hold so-called causal beliefs about them, which are part of a wider range of beliefs that can also include ideas about labels, timeline, prognosis, and treatment (Dinos, Ascoli, Owiti, & Bhui, 2017; Rüddell, Bhui, & Priebe, 2009). Laypersons’ causal beliefs can differ considerably from medical or psychosocial clinicians’ models of diseases. In contrast to scientific models, these beliefs are also dynamic strategies for dealing with illnesses that are shaped by social and cultural context, or personal experiences, and evolve over time (Kirmayer & Bhugra, 2009).

Taking causal beliefs into consideration in mental health care has proven useful at several stages of counselling and treatment (Dinos et al., 2017; Petrie & Weinman, 2006). Causal beliefs can influence symptom severity (Massad & Hulsey, 2006), whether a person seeks treatment (Sheik & Furnham, 2000; Spoont, Sayer, & Nelson, 2005), and what kind of treatment is sought (Hinton & Kirmayer, 2013; Slewaa-Younan et al., 2020). Particularly in transcultural settings, but also in general, a sensitive approach to causal beliefs is the basis for effective interventions, as patient satisfaction and treatment effectiveness increase when patient and practitioner concur about a model (Benish, Quintana, & Wampold, 2011; Callan & Littlewood, 1998).

2. Causal beliefs in cultural clinical research

Although ‘culture’ is widely assumed to shape causal beliefs and consequently health disparities, there is a relative lack of empirical investigation of those claims, and research on the pathways through which culture may influence causal beliefs is scarce (Hruschka, 2009; Kagawa-Singer, 2012). For post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), in contrast to other mental illnesses, the traumatic event is already included as a cause in the diagnostic criteria. Consequently, in studies across several cultural groups, many participants identified the traumatic event as one potential cause (e.g. May, Rapee, Coello, Momartín, & Aroche, 2014; Slewaa-Younan et al., 2017). Further studies on causal beliefs about PTSD have focused mainly on differences between ethnic groups, finding, for instance, higher agreement on spiritual or religious causal beliefs for refugee populations from Sudan or Sub-Saharan Africa in comparison to residents from Germany or Australia, respectively (Grupp, Moro, Nater, Skandranri, & Mewes, 2018; May et al., 2014). This research has given valuable insights into the problems that can arise when Western concepts of mental health are applied to people with a different cultural background. However, many studies are implicitly or explicitly based on the assumption that participants can be grouped into ‘Western’ and ‘non-Western’, and that the study’s results can be extended to other countries according to this dichotomy. This can be problematic as it does not take into consideration the huge differences that can be found among these broad groups (Maercker, 2019). In response,
contemporary cultural psychiatry has been moving towards a perspective that accounts for the dynamics of individuals’ hybrid identities, which are in constant transaction and transformation (Good, Willen, Hannah, Vickery, & Park, 2011). The Lancet Commission on Culture and Health defined culture as ‘a set of practices and behaviors defined by customs, habits, language, and geography that groups of individuals share.’ They clarify, that ‘culture, therefore, does not equate solely with ethnic identity, nor does it merely refer to groups of people who share the same racial heritage’ (Napier et al., 2014, p. 1609).

Instead, culture can be seen as a living, adapting system that offers contextualization within the geographic, historical, social, and political realities of diverse communities (Kagawa-Singer, 2012). Therefore, to better understand cultural influences in cultural clinical research it is necessary to consider other factors that may interact with culture, including demographic (e.g., age, gender) and geographic (e.g., region or country), and also attitudes, beliefs and values (Chao & Moon, 2005; Heim, Maercker, & Boer, 2019; Hruschka, 2009; Kagawa-Singer, 2012).

Though applying a broader concept of culture has proven to be a better predictor for mental distress than origin or ethnic group per se (Bhui & Bhugra, 2001), research is scarce on other factors that might be related to causal beliefs about PTSD. Studies have considered age (Spoont et al., 2005), education (Grupp et al., 2018; Spoont et al., 2005), religion or religiosity (Grupp et al., 2018; May et al., 2014), and economic aspects (Aidoo & Harpham, 2001). However, few studies have used standardized and comparable instruments and even fewer have considered the interrelations between these characteristics.

In this study, we sought to explore whether cultural, contextual, and sociodemographic characteristics are associated with differences in causal beliefs about PTSD. Towards this aim, we chose an etic methodological approach, assuming that, following a violent attack, PTSD symptoms can be seen as a universal human reaction. While an emic perspective may have revealed more detailed and culturally rich information, this approach allowed us to compare the results and identify correlates across the chosen countries. Our first goal was to identify profiles of causal beliefs for PTSD among the general population of five different countries. Our second goal was to identify characteristics associated with latent class membership. In line with previous research, we expected participants’ country of residence, religion, age, and education to be significantly associated with class membership. In the exploratory analyses, we controlled for mental health–related factors and sought to identify additional correlates by including characteristics that have proven important for mental health research, such as gender, migration, socioeconomic status, and personal values.

3. Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional, online vignette-based survey using Unipark (Questback GmbH, 2018), targeting laypersons in Mexico, Ecuador, Germany, Greece, and Russia from February 2019 to May 2019. For our study we chose only high- or middle-income countries varying in mental health burden and having differing mental health care systems (World Health Organization [WHO], 2017). The research ethics committee of the Department of Education and Psychology at Freie Universität Berlin approved this study (202/2018).

3.1. Participants

To be included, participants had to be 18 years of age or older and currently live in one of the five targeted countries. Internet access and literacy were also required. Potential participants accessed the survey via a link, distributed over internet platforms, social media advertisements and postings, health-related online forums, institutional and university mailing lists, and local organizations. While random sampling was not possible, in order to create a diverse sample, recruitment strategies focused on targeting a wide range of age and interest groups, as well as diverse educational and occupational backgrounds. Participants were informed about the aims of the study, and duration and privacy issues, and gave informed consent. To provide an incentive to participate in the study, and to complete the survey, participants could voluntarily enter a prize drawing after completing the survey.

3.2. Study design

Vignette studies are a well-established method for assessing mental health beliefs (Wei, McGrath, Hayden, & Kutcher, 2015). In this study, participants were presented with a short case vignette describing a fictitious person (Mary/Alex) with PTSD symptoms according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5; American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013; Appendix). Thereafter, participants answered questions concerning their causal beliefs about mental health disorders, which were adapted to refer to the case vignette. The gender of the fictitious person in the vignette was matched to the gender of the participant to aid identification.

3.3. Instruments

Questionnaires were administered in the respective national languages of the included countries (Spanish, German, Greek, and Russian). Where no translations were available, a three-step approach was used to obtain valid instruments. Firstly, two bilingual mental health professionals translated and back-translated the
questionnaires for each language. Secondly, the two translators discussed discrepancies between the translations and agreed on the best possible translation. Thirdly, all involved translators and the authors (CM, NS, and CKA) discussed potentially ambiguous items to eliminate discrepancies between different languages and ensure participants’ interpretation of items would be as similar as possible between the languages used.

### 3.3.1. Sociodemographic and cultural variables
Participants answered questions regarding country of residence, country of origin, migration, age, gender, years of education, self-identified religious affiliation, and religiosity (Fragebogen zu Lebensbedeutungen und Lebenssinn [LeBe], Schnell & Becker, 2007, subscale explicit religiosity with higher values representing higher agreement on religious attitudes). The subjective socioeconomic status scale was used to assess social status (Adler, Epel, Castellazzo, & Ickovics, 2000), in which participants were asked to position themselves on a drawing of a 10-rung ladder according to their subjective standing in society. Participants’ perceived cultural closeness to their country of residence was measured with an adapted version of the Pictorial Representation of Illness and Self Measure (PRISM; Sensky & Büchi, 2016). Participants used a slider ranging from 1 (‘not close at all’) to 20 (‘very close’) to indicate how close they felt to the culture of their country of residence.

### 3.3.2. Personal values
The revised Portrait Value Questionnaire (PVQ-RR; Schwartz, 2017) is devised to capture personal value orientation. Each of the 57 items is a short portrait of a fictitious person’s values, for example, ‘It is important to her never to violate rules or regulations’. Participants are asked to answer on a six-point Likert scale how much the fictitious person is ‘not like me at all’ to ‘very much like me’. The gender of the person in the portraits was matched to the gender of the participants to improve identification. Validated translations of the PVQ-RR were available, and provided by the author, in all included languages. To reduce complexity, the 10 basic value scores can be aggregated into higher order dimensions (Heim et al., 2019). We followed the suggestion of Maercker et al. (2015) with the aggregated value orientations of 1) traditional value orientation (universalism, benevolence, security, tradition, and conformity) and 2) modern value orientation (achievement, power, self-direction, stimulation, hedonism).

### 3.3.3. Causal beliefs
The revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R; Moss-Morris et al., 2002) was used to assess causal beliefs. The IPQ-R has been applied to mental health research and has demonstrated good validity and reliability across illnesses and languages (Moss-Morris et al., 2002). This study used only the subscale on possible causes of the disease with 18 items, which consists of a range of disparate causes that are rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (‘strongly disagree’) to 5 (‘strongly agree’). For further analysis, answers were dichotomized such that answers with values 4 (‘agree’) and 5 (‘strongly agree’) were combined into an ‘agree’-category, while all other answers were combined into a ‘disagree’-category. Instructions were adapted to refer to the vignette and asked ‘How strongly do you agree or disagree, that each factor caused Maria’s problems?’. Validated translations of the IPQ-R were available, in Spanish, German and Greek.

### 3.3.4. Traumatic exposure and probable PTSD symptoms
The Primary Care PTSD Screen, which assesses previous potentially traumatic experiences with one item (Prins et al., 2016), was used to measure traumatic exposure. For participants who reported having experienced at least one potentially traumatic event, PTSD symptoms, according to the DSM-5 criteria, were assessed with the 20-item PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5; Weathers et al., 2013). To determine whether participants experienced PTSD symptoms, we followed the DSM-5 diagnostic rule. As recommend, each item rated as 2 = ‘Moderately’ or higher was considered an endorsed symptom. Participants that met DSM-5 diagnostic criteria according to this recommendation were considered as probably having PTSD. Internal consistency was very good (α = .95). Validated translations of the PCL-5 were available in German.

### 3.3.5. Well-being
The World Health Organization-Five Well-Being Index (WHO-5) is a five item, self-report measure of current mental well-being (WHO, 1998) where each item is scored from 5 (‘all of the time’) to 0 (‘none of the time’). By multiplying the sum score by 4, a range from 0 (absence of well-being) to 100 (maximal well-being) is calculated. The WHO-5 shows good construct validity as a unidimensional scale for measuring well-being and adequate validity as a screening tool for depression (Topp, Østergaard, Søndergaard, & Bech, 2015). Validated translations of the WHO-5 were available, and provided by the WHO, in all included languages (Psykiatric Center North Zealand, 2018). Internal consistency was good (α = .87).

### 3.3.6. Mental health literacy
We used recognition of a mental disorder as an indicator for mental health literacy (Jorm, 2000). To this aim, we determined whether participants recognized PTSD in the vignette by using the answers to the open-ended question (‘What, if any, is Mary’s problem?’).
We considered PTSD as recognized when participants mentioned words such as traumatized, trauma, traumatic stress, post-traumatic stress or PTSD, and as not recognized when none of these were mentioned. Two independent raters coded each participant’s answer. In cases of disagreement, consensus was reached by discussion.

3.3.7. Previous contact with mental health services

Previous experience with mental health services was considered both in terms of provider and patient experience, with one question for each: ‘Have you ever worked in mental health services in your life?’ [yes/no]; and ‘Have you ever seen a mental health professional (e.g. psychologist, psychiatrist, counselor) to get help for your personal problems?’ [yes/no].

3.4. Statistical analyses

Respondents who answered items randomly were excluded prior to analyses, in order to ensure data quality. In order to identify such careless respondents, we determined several indicators following Meade and Craig (2012) by using the ‘careless’ package in R4.0.2. For every participant we considered duration of participation, LongString and the Psychometric Synonyms Index as overall measures as well as intraperson variance and Mahalanobis distance for each separate scale. Participants, who were flagged on one or more indicators were rated independently by two authors (CM, CKa) and excluded from the study, when careless data patterns became apparent. In cases of disagreement, consensus was reached by discussion.

In order to identify subgroups of individuals expressing similar causal beliefs about PTSD, we performed latent class analysis (LCA). LCA is a person-centred approach that can be used to identify latent subpopulations in a population based on a certain subset of variables, and subsequently analyse the relation between subpopulation membership and a variety of covariates (Lanza & Rhoades, 2013; Nylund-Gibson & Choi, 2018). Person-centred approaches have become more popular in the field of behavioural research as they allow for more precise estimations of individual differences in complex processes, but have not yet been used to investigate causal beliefs about mental disorders. However, LCA has proven a suitable methodological approach for similar phenomena such as help seeking profiles, attitudes, or health beliefs (Hays & Gilreath, 2017; Smail et al., 2021).

Several statistical criteria were considered in order to identify the optimal number of classes (Nylund, Asparouhov, & Muthén, 2007). For each k-class solution, a bootstrap likelihood ratio test (BLRT) as well as the Vuong-Lo-Mendell-Rubin LRT (VLMLRT) were performed; a significant LRT indicated that the k-class model was a significantly better fit for the data than the k-1-class model. In addition, Akaike information criterion (AIC), Bayesian information criterion (BIC), and adjusted BIC (aBIC) were evaluated, with lower values indicating a better fit (Geiser, 2012). We considered entropy to determine how accurately the model classified individual cases into latent classes. Higher entropy values indicated better classification, with values greater than .80 indicating good and 1 indicating perfect classification of individual cases into classes (Nylund-Gibson & Choi, 2018). Lastly, we considered boundaries, parsimony and size, and interpretability of the distinct classes.

To identify cultural covariates of observed class membership, we conducted Pearson χ² tests for categorical covariates and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), or the Kruskal-Wallis-test, for continuous covariates. When analyses uncovered significant differences between classes, covariates were included in a logistic multinomial regression model. For inclusion in the regression model, all categorical variables were dichotomized and coded in a way that allowed for meaningful interpretation: country of residence was effect coded as deviation from unweighted grand mean, and all other categorical variables were dummy coded with a reference category (Eid, Gollwitzer, & Schmitt, 2017).

As suggested by Vermunt (2010), the three-step approach was used for multinomial logistic regression, which takes the inaccuracy of class assignment into account when assessing latent class covariates in multinominal regressions. Analyses were carried out using R and MPlus 8.4.

For most variables, the proportion of missing values was less than 0.5%. Only the religiosity score and the scale for measuring participants’ emotional closeness to their country (PRISM) had higher percentages of missing values (7.5% and 7.2%, respectively). Accordingly, missing values for covariates of class-membership were dealt with using expectation-maximization-based single value imputation, as implemented in SPSS 27, to avoid listwise deletion. Missing values for latent class indicators were dealt with using full information maximum likelihood estimation, as implemented in MPlus.

4. Results

4.1. Sociodemographic characteristics

Of N = 853 participants who were shown the vignette, N = 756 completed the survey, N = 19 persons had to be excluded for giving careless responses. The inter-rater reliability on exclusion as a result of careless response patterns was excellent (κ = .84; Landis & Koch, 1977). The final sample consisted of N = 737 participants (492 females, 66.8%), and age ranged from 18 to 78 years (M = 36.2, SD = 13.9). Most participants
resided in Germany at the time of the study (N = 261), followed by Greece (N = 183), Russia (N = 134), Ecuador (N = 99), and Mexico (N = 60).

More than half of the participants (54.5%) reported having experienced at least one potentially traumatic event. When screened for symptoms of mental health problems, 22% (n = 165) of the total sample met the screening criteria for probable PTSD according to the PCL-5. Almost half of the participants reported having visited a mental health professional at least once in their lives, while a minority indicated having working experience in mental health services. Two hundred and forty-six participants (38.2%) were classified as having recognized the problems described in the vignette as related to PTSD. Interrater-reliability was excellent (κ = .93; Landis & Koch, 1977). See Table 1 for characteristics of the overall sample by country.

4.2. LCA

To identify the optimal number of latent classes to fit the data, the 1- to 5-class solutions were evaluated and compared according to fit indices, parsimony, and interpretability. We stopped at estimating a six-class solution since the number of boundaries increased and visual inspection indicated that interpretability and separability of classes were low. The VLMR-LRT favoured the two-class model, as it was non-significant when fitting the three-class solution. However, it showed poor interpretability, and since AIC, BIC, and aBIC decreased with a higher number of classes, the two-class model was dismissed. The five-class solution had a lower AIC and aBIC, and higher entropy than the three- and four-class solution; at the same time, it exhibited higher BIC, a significant number of boundaries, and poor interpretability, and was therefore also dismissed. Fit indicators slightly favoured the four-class solution over the three-class solution; however, visual inspection revealed that the four-class solution simply subdivided one of the classes in the three-class solution into two similar profiles. Because of its parsimony, and in consideration of the small differences in fit indicators, the three-class solution was chosen as the final solution. Overall, it demonstrated good interpretability, no boundaries, and a good entropy (0.83). Table 2 presents fit indices for the 1 to 5 class solutions.

Latent class 1 consisted of participants who exclusively identified the traumatic stressor (stress or worry) as responsible for the symptoms described in the vignette (traumatic event focused, n = 303, 41.1%). Participants in latent class 2 also identified the traumatic stressor, but also considered individual psychological factors to be likely causes. In addition to stress or worry, they showed high rates of agreement in the items emotional state, personality, and mental attitude (individual psychological, n = 301, 40.1%). Participants in latent class 3 were the least specific when identifying possible causes. Beyond traumatic stress and individual psychological factors, they also endorsed a wide range of external, psychosocial risk factors (accident/injury, family problems, behaviour, overwork, alcohol) as probable causes for the symptoms described (multicausal beliefs, n = 133, 18.0%). In none of the classes, biological risk factors (hereditary, germ or virus, diet, poor medical care in the past, pollution), or chance or bad luck, were considered to be likely causes. See Figure 1 for details.

4.3. Covariates of class membership

We examined differences among the observed classes by χ²-test, one-way ANOVA, and, where requirements for ANOVA were not met, the Kruskal-Wallis-test. Table 3 shows variable characteristics by class; numbers are given as percentage of category by class (e.g. 33.1% identified as male in the total sample, whereas the percentage of participants that identified as males was 27.7% in the traumatic event-focused class, 31.8% in the individual psychological class, and 48.1% in the multicausal beliefs class, respectively). The three classes differed in terms of country of residence, gender, education, previous occupation in the mental health field, probable PTSD, mental health literacy (recognizing PTSD), and the personal value orientations of self-direction (modern values), and tradition and security (traditional values). See Figure 2 for a graphical representation of class membership by country. All variables with significant differences in the bivariate analyses were included in the multinominal logistic regression analysis.

4.4. Multinominal logistic regression

Table 4 shows covariates of class membership as included in the regression model. Compared to the traumatic event-focused class, the likelihood for membership in the multiple causes class was

| Table 1. Sample characteristics and PTSD rates across the five countries. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Gender = Male, N(%)         | Total sample (N = 737) | Mexico (N = 60) | Ecuador (N = 99) | Germany (N = 261) | Greece (N = 183) | Russia (N = 134) |
| Gender = Male, N(%)         | 243 (32.7) | 22 (36.3) | 45 (45.5) | 76 (29.2) | 65 (35.7) | 34 (25.4) |
| Age in years, M(SD)         | 36.2 (13.91) | 31.3 (10.68) | 35.0 (12.21) | 36.2 (15.08) | 37.9 (13.45) | 36.8 (14.19) |
| Probable PTSD = Yes, N(%)   | 165 (22.4) | 18 (30.0) | 23 (23.2) | 43 (16.5) | 39 (21.3) | 42 (31.3) |
| Probable PTSD = Yes, N(%)   | 165 (22.4) | 18 (30.0) | 23 (23.2) | 43 (16.5) | 39 (21.3) | 42 (31.3) |

1N = 735, two participants gave other as their gender and were excluded from analysis due to their small category size.
significantly higher for participants from Greece or Ecuador, as well as for males and participants with probable PTSD, but lower for participants from Russia.

Compared to the traumatic event-focused class, the likelihood for being part of the individual psychological causes class was significantly higher for participants from Greece, but lower for participants from Russia. Participants who did not recognize PTSD in the vignette, and those who reported lower values on the personal value scale of security, were significantly more likely to be in the individual psychological class compared to the traumatic event-focused class.

Compared to the individual psychological causes class, the likelihood for males to be members of the multiple causes class was significantly higher, for participants with probable PTSD, and for participants who reported higher values on the PVQ-RR scale of security. Years of education, having previously worked in a field related to mental health, and the personal value scales of tradition and self-direction did not emerge as significant covariates in regression analysis.

5. Discussion

Our vignette study explored whether cultural and mental health–related characteristics are associated with causal beliefs for PTSD. To our knowledge, this is the first study applying LCA to causal beliefs about PTSD. This approach allowed us to find types of causal beliefs rather than compare approval to distinct constructs. It also enabled us to apply a multifaceted concept of culture and include a wide variety of covariates.

Three latent classes of causal beliefs about PTSD were identified: a traumatic event–focused class, whose members primarily identified traumatic stress as being responsible for the symptoms described in the vignette; a second individual psychological causes class, whose members also considered individual psychological factors to be likely causes; and a third multiple causes class whose members made the least distinction when identifying possible causes and mentioned a wide range of external psychosocial risk factors in addition to traumatic stress and individual psychological factors.

Overall, our findings accord with previous results in the field. First, among all latent classes, a majority of the participants identified traumatic stress as a potential cause, confirming previous results (e.g. May et al., 2014; Slewa-Younan et al., 2017) as well as the validity of the presented vignette. Second, the majority rejected biological causes in all classes, which is also in agreement with previous results from predominantly Western populations (May et al., 2014;Spoont et al., 2005). Interestingly, more than half of the participants expressed agreement about there being more than one explanation. This indicates that
different causal beliefs may in fact not compete with but complement each other, as has been suggested, for example, for psychological and spiritual models in non-Western populations (Furnham & Igboaka, 2007; Grupp et al., 2018).

Additional analysis revealed several cultural factors associated with class membership. First, country of residence and class membership were found to be significantly related. This is in line with previous studies comparing participants by ethnic or national origin and indicates that environmental factors are important for the development of causal beliefs about PTSD. Interestingly, differences in causal beliefs were also found between countries that might be perceived as having much in common because they are geographically close and have a common language, such as Mexico and Ecuador, or because they are part of a political union that cooperates on mental health policies, such as Germany and Greece. This is important, as it indicates that the often-used East–West dichotomy is outdated and has little predictive value and that, on the contrary, grouping countries on selective parameters may even be misleading in this way (Maercker, 2019). Second, gender and class membership were found to be significantly related, with males being more likely to be in the multiple causes class. In previous research, gender has not explicitly been considered a correlate of causal beliefs, but so far has only been included as a covariate (Grupp et al., 2018; Slew-Younan et al., 2017). Our results indicate that gender should be included as a meaningful correlate when researching causal beliefs, and stress the importance of gender-sensitive approaches in all aspects of PTSD research (Olff, 2017). Third, regarding value orientation, security, which is considered a traditional value, was associated with class membership. Participants who reported, for example, they would rather avoid dangers or indicated the importance of a country’s safety and stability were less likely to be in the individual psychological class in comparison to the traumatic event–focused class and the multiple causes class. In previous research, traditional values have been connected to higher PTSD symptoms in German and Chinese crime victims (Maercker et al., 2009), and trauma victims were more likely to feel socially excluded and blamed for their symptoms in traditional groups (Bennett Herbert & Dunkel-Schetter, 1992). Our results indicate that people endorsing traditional values may be less likely to support individual psychological causal beliefs. Further research is needed to establish a better understanding of the complex interrelations between traditional values, causal beliefs, and PTSD symptoms. For all other cultural characteristics, no significant differences were found between classes. And, for several variables, differences between the classes were found on the bivariate level, but not when controlling for other covariates in the regression analysis. This underlines the importance of using multivariate methods when doing cultural clinical research. Results regarding age and education must be interpreted with care due to the limited representativeness of our sample, as previous studies have found both factors to be connected to certain aspects of causal beliefs (Grupp et al., 2018; Spoont et al., 2005). Particularly with regards to migration, perceived closeness to the country’s culture and religion, it is possible that the relations with class membership cancelled one another out, since analyses were performed on the entire sample. A more detailed analysis at the country level may reveal differences in this respect.
Table 3. Variable characteristics for the overall sample and variable characteristics by latent classes for the 3-class solution. Pearson chi-square tests were conducted for categorical predictors (upper table) and one-way analyses of variance or Kruskal-Wallis-test were conducted for continuous predictors (lower table).

| Country of Residence                  | N (% of category in class) | Traumatic event focused (N = 303) | Individual psychological (N = 301) | Multicausal beliefs (N = 133) | χ² | df | Cramer's V |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|----|-----------|
| Total sample (N = 737)               |                           |                                  |                                   |                               |    |    |           |
| Mexico                               | 60 (8.1)                  | 26 (8.6)                         | 21 (7.0)                          | 13 (9.8)                      | 41.89*** | 8, 737 | 0.17     |
| Ecuador                              | 99 (13.4)                 | 32 (10.6)                        | 40 (13.3)                         | 27 (20.3)                     |    |    |           |
| Germany                              | 261 (35.4)                | 122 (40.3)                       | 102 (33.9)                        | 37 (27.8)                     |    |    |           |
| Greece                               | 183 (24.8)                | 48 (15.8)                        | 93 (30.9)                         | 42 (31.6)                     |    |    |           |
| Russia                               | 134 (18.2)                | 75 (24.8)                        | 45 (15.0)                         | 14 (10.5)                     |    |    |           |
| Working in Mental Health previously = Yes | 98 (13.3)                | 52 (17.2)                        | 32 (10.1)                         | 14 (10.5)                     | 6.67* | 2, 737 | 0.10     |
| Gender = Male¹                       | 243 (33.1)                | 84 (27.7)                        | 95 (31.8)                         | 64 (48.1)                     | 17.66*** | 2, 737 | 0.16     |
| Religion²                            |                           |                                  |                                   |                               | 0.79 | 4, 737 | 0.03     |
| Christian                            | 417 (56.6)                | 165 (54.5)                       | 175 (58.1)                        | 77 (57.9)                     |    |    |           |
| Other                                | 81 (11.0)                 | 35 (11.5)                        | 32 (10.6)                         | 14 (10.5)                     |    |    |           |
| None                                 | 239 (32.4)                | 103 (34.0)                       | 94 (31.3)                         | 42 (31.6)                     |    |    |           |
| Previous Help Seeking = Yes          | 356 (48.3)                | 158 (52.1)                       | 136 (45.2)                        | 62 (46.6)                     | 3.116 | 2, 737 | 0.07     |
| Migration = Yes                      | 116 (15.7)                | 47 (15.5)                        | 51 (16.9)                         | 18 (13.5)                     |    |    |           |
| Partnership = Yes                   | 406 (55.1)                | 169 (55.8)                       | 175 (58.1)                        | 62 (46.6)                     | 5.05 | 2, 737 | 0.08     |
| Probable PTSD = Yes                  | 165 (22.4)                | 58 (19.1)                        | 64 (21.3)                         | 43 (32.3)                     | 9.62** | 2, 737 | 0.11     |
| Mental health literacy = recognized PTSD | 264 (35.8)                | 131 (49.6)                       | 93 (33.2)                         | 40 (15.2)                     | 12.33** | 2, 737 | 0.13     |

| Mean (SD)                            |                           |                                  |                                   |                               |    |    |           |
| Total sample (N = 737)               |                           |                                  |                                   |                               |    |    |           |
| Education                            | 15.78 (4.72)              | 16.28 (4.79)                     | 15.60 (4.40)                      | 15.06 (5.08)                  |    |    |           |
| WHO-S Score                          | 55.15 (21.16)             | 54.52 (21.50)                    | 54.49 (20.94)                     | 58.11 (20.75)                 |    |    |           |
| Religiousness                        | 2.74 (1.59)               | 2.76 (1.61)                      | 2.81 (1.57)                       | 2.54 (1.61)                   |    |    |           |
| PRISM                                | 15.09 (4.48)              | 14.78 (4.60)                     | 15.10 (4.32)                      | 15.77 (4.41)                  |    |    |           |
| Age                                  | 36.15 (13.90)             | 36.92 (13.96)                    | 35.17 (13.71)                     | 36.64 (13.17)                 |    |    |           |
| ANOVA/Kruskal-Wallis-Test            |                           |                                  |                                   |                               |    |    |           |
| PVQ-RR self direction³              | 0.71 (0.63)               | 0.80 (0.63)                      | 0.69 (0.62)                       | 0.55 (0.65)                   | 8.03*** | 2, 737 | 0.021    |
| PVQ-RR power³                        | -1.43 (0.93)              | -1.48 (0.98)                     | -1.39 (0.88)                      | -1.39 (0.93)                  | 2.88 | 2, 737 | 0.008    |
| PVQ-RR conformity³                  | -0.18 (0.72)              | -0.19 (0.75)                     | -0.19 (0.69)                      | -0.13 (0.70)                  | 0.81 | 2, 737 | 0.002    |
| PVQ-RR tradition³                   | -0.71 (0.72)              | -0.78 (0.77)                     | -0.70 (0.69)                      | -0.59 (0.64)                  | 0.37 | 2, 737 | 0.001    |
| PVQ-RR benevolence³                 | 0.74 (0.51)               | 0.76 (0.54)                      | 0.71 (0.51)                       | 0.75 (0.45)                   | 3.65* | 2, 737 | 0.010    |
| PVQ-RR universalism³                | 0.35 (0.60)               | 0.38 (0.64)                      | 0.36 (0.58)                       | 0.25 (0.56)                   | 0.65 | 2, 737 | 0.002    |
| PVQ-RR stimulation³                 | -0.23 (0.88)              | -0.27 (0.94)                     | -0.19 (0.85)                      | -0.26 (0.83)                  | 2.11 | 2, 737 | 0.006    |
| PVQ-RR achievement³                 | 0.07 (0.80)               | 0.08 (0.86)                      | 0.09 (0.76)                       | 0.00 (0.70)                   | 1.33 | 2, 737 | <0.001   |
| PVQ-RR security³                    | 0.30 (0.59)               | 0.34 (0.65)                      | 0.24 (0.55)                       | 0.36 (0.53)                   | 2.04 | 2, 737 | <0.001   |

Descriptive statistics were computed based on the imputed dataset.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

¹N = 735, two participants gave other as their gender and were excluded from analysis due to their small category size. ²The categories Buddhist, Hindu, Jewish, Muslim, and Other were summarized as Other due to their small category sizes.

Abbreviations: PRISM, Pictorial Representation of Illness and Self Measure; PVQ-RR, portrait value questionnaire revised; SSS, subjective socioeconomic status; WHO-S, World Health Organization-Five Well-Being Index.
### Table 4. Multinomial logistic regression predicting class membership.

| Multicausal beliefs vs. traumatic event focused (reference) | Estimates | SE | OR | 95%-CI | p-Value |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----|----|--------|---------|
| Living in Mexico                                          | 0.06      | 0.35 | 1.06 | 0.53–2.12 | .873   |
| Living in Ecuador                                         | 0.56      | 0.27 | 1.75 | 1.04–2.96 | .037   |
| Living in Germany                                         | −0.21     | 0.22 | 0.81 | 0.53–1.24 | .336   |
| Living in Greece                                          | 0.65      | 0.24 | 1.92 | 1.2–3.06 | .006   |
| Living in Russia                                          | −1.06     | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.19–0.62 | <.001  |
| Male gender                                               | 0.83      | 0.25 | 2.28 | 1.39–3.75 | .001   |
| Years of education                                        | −0.04     | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.91–1.01 | .145   |
| Previously worked in MH                                   | −0.32     | 0.34 | 0.73 | 0.37–1.42 | .350   |
| MHL: Recognition                                          | −0.43     | 0.27 | 0.65 | 0.38–1.11 | .122   |
| Probable PTSD                                            | 0.86      | 0.28 | 2.36 | 1.37–4.07 | .002   |
| PVQ-RR: Self-direction                                    | −0.42     | 0.22 | 0.66 | 0.43–1.00 | .052   |
| PVQ-RR: Security                                          | 0.06      | 0.22 | 1.06 | 0.69–1.62 | .799   |
| PVQ-RR: Tradition                                        | 0.08      | 0.18 | 1.08 | 0.77–1.53 | .648   |

| Individual psychological vs. traumatic event focused (reference) | Estimates | SE | OR | 95%-CI | p-Value |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----|----|--------|---------|
| Living in Mexico                                                | −0.24     | 0.34 | 0.78 | 0.41–1.51 | .466   |
| Living in Ecuador                                               | 0.31      | 0.26 | 1.36 | 0.81–2.28 | .247   |
| Living in Germany                                               | −0.11     | 0.18 | 0.89 | 0.63–1.27 | .525   |
| Living in Greece                                                | 0.67      | 0.21 | 1.96 | 1.30–2.94 | .001   |
| Living in Russia                                                | −0.62     | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.35–0.82 | .004   |
| Male gender                                                     | −0.03     | 0.23 | 0.97 | 0.62–1.51 | .891   |
| Years of education                                              | −0.03     | 0.02 | 0.97 | 0.93–1.01 | .149   |
| Previously worked in MH                                         | −0.48     | 0.32 | 0.62 | 0.33–1.15 | .129   |
| MHL: Recognition                                                | −0.61     | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.36–0.84 | .006   |
| Probable PTSD                                                    | 0.16      | 0.25 | 1.17 | 0.72–1.91 | .523   |
| PVQ-RR: Self-direction                                           | −0.17     | 0.18 | 0.84 | 0.56–1.99 | .325   |
| PVQ-RR: Security                                                | −0.43     | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0.45–0.93 | .019   |
| PVQ-RR: Tradition                                               | −0.02     | 0.16 | 0.98 | 0.72–1.33 | .904   |

| Multicausal beliefs vs. individual psychological (reference)    | Estimates | SE | OR | 95%-CI | p-Value |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----|----|--------|---------|
| Living in Mexico                                                | 0.30      | 0.39 | 1.35 | 0.63–2.90 | .439   |
| Living in Ecuador                                               | 0.25      | 0.28 | 1.29 | 0.75–2.22 | .362   |
| Living in Germany                                               | −0.1      | 0.24 | 0.91 | 0.56–1.46 | .685   |
| Living in Greece                                                | −0.02     | 0.23 | 0.98 | 0.62–1.54 | .929   |
| Living in Russia                                                | −0.44     | 0.32 | 0.65 | 0.34–1.22 | .176   |
| Male gender                                                     | 0.86      | 0.26 | 2.35 | 1.40–3.95 | .001   |
| Years of education                                              | −0.01     | 0.03 | 0.99 | 0.94–1.05 | .776   |
| Previously worked in MH                                         | 0.16      | 0.39 | 1.17 | 0.55–2.52 | .684   |
| MHL: Recognition                                                | 0.18      | 0.29 | 1.19 | 0.67–2.12 | .542   |
| Probable PTSD                                                    | 0.70      | 0.29 | 2.01 | 1.14–3.57 | .017   |
| PVQ-RR: Self-direction                                           | −0.25     | 0.22 | 0.78 | 0.50–1.21 | .287   |
| PVQ-RR: Security                                                | 0.49      | 0.22 | 1.63 | 1.06–2.51 | .027   |
| PVQ-RR: Tradition                                               | 0.10      | 0.17 | 1.10 | 0.79–1.55 | .569   |

N = 735, two participants gave other as their gender and were excluded from regression analysis by listwise deletion due to their small group size. Categorical predictors were effect coded (deviation from unweighted grand mean) or dummy coded. Positive estimates/ OR > 1 indicate that class membership is more likely compared to the reference group. Negative estimates/ OR < 1 indicate that class membership is less likely compared to the reference group.

* Coding for country of residence: deviation from unweighted grand mean. **: Female; 1: Male. *: Never worked in mental health; 1: Previous work in the field of mental health. °: No PTSD; 1: Screened positive in self-report for probable PTSD (PTSD-Checklist for DSM-5, PCL-S). †: Did not recognize PTSD in vignette. #: male gender. Additional note: PTSD rates differed considerably between countries.

### 5.1. Limitations

The results of this study need to be interpreted in light of its limitations. First, data was collected using convenience sampling through an online survey. As can be seen in the sample characteristics, our participants were relatively young and well educated, and the majority of participants were female. Also, our overall sample exhibited unusually high rates of PTSD endorsement as we used a self-report screening instrument and PTSD rates differed considerably between countries. This should be taken into consideration when interpreting the results.

Besides cultural and sociodemographic factors, mental health–related factors were also significantly related to causal beliefs. Participants recognizing PTSD were more likely to be in the traumatic event-focused class, and participants with probable PTSD were more likely to be in the multiple causes class. It is possible that recognizing PTSD in someone else led participants to unidimensional and rather simple explanations, while people who themselves have PTSD symptoms might be more likely to endorse multicausal beliefs, owing to their own experiences when dealing with their illness (e.g. lack of social support, financial problems). However, these results must be interpreted with care as our overall sample exhibited unusually high rates of PTSD endorsement and PTSD rates differed considerably between countries. Overall, these results indicate that considering various cultural dimensions and controlling for mental health–related factors can be valuable additions when researching causal beliefs about mental disorders and cultural differences in general.
interpreting the results. Second, it must be noted that we chose an etic approach. While this approach allowed direct comparisons, it prevented us to include culturally specific emic aspects in our analysis. All the results of our study are highly dependent on the symptoms described in the vignette. Although the vignette was designed to be as descriptive as possible, it is based on DSM-5 criteria for PTSD, and is thereby already influenced by cultural beliefs and norms. This limitation also extends to our psychometric tools, since not all the questionnaires had validated translations in every language. Though we chose validated versions wherever possible and followed recommendations for translating instruments closely, we cannot ensure, that measures are, in fact, measurement invariant. Third, the vignette method entails a problem, as its generalizability to clinical situations is limited and not all of the described symptoms are specific to a diagnosis of PTSD. Also, the study focused on causal beliefs for PTSD, and we did not examine other aspects of explanatory models, such as stigmatizing attitudes or potential cures. Lastly, our study included a small selection of countries and the results cannot be generalized to other countries.

6. Conclusions and implications

Integrating a more diverse concept of culture into mental health research can be a valuable addition to group comparisons based on nationality or ethnicity, which are still widely used in cultural clinical research. In addition to country of residence, our study revealed that, among laypersons, gender, mental health, knowledge about mental health, and personal values are significant covariates of causal beliefs for PTSD. Of further interest, we found systematic differences in countries that are geographically close or share a language. Research, therefore, needs to move away from transcultural comparisons towards a more integrated approach that attends to the complexity of cultural influences. Focusing on easily visible differences, such as ethnicity, may lead researchers to overestimate the influence of cultural aspects while neglecting other influential factors. Adopting a diverse concept of culture and including other contextual factors in research can help us acquire a more nuanced understanding of cultural influences in the development of causal beliefs and mental health in general. Considering causal beliefs in clinical practice should become an integral part of diagnostic processes and in treatment. Practitioners should be careful not to overestimate the influence of nationality or ethnic group on causal beliefs and pay attention to individual causal beliefs and peoples’ tendency to entertain multiple beliefs simultaneously. While cultural aspects clearly may account for differences in causal beliefs, other factors, such as gender, may be just as important.
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Appendix. Vignette

Imagine Mary, a fictitious person. Mary is about your age. For the past two months, she has been sleeping poorly. She often has vivid nightmares that cause her to frequently wake up in the middle of the night feeling very frightened. She is jumpy and easily startled and has lost interest in activities she previously enjoyed. This all began a few months ago, after an incident in which her life was endangered. Mary was out alone when two armed men threatened her with a knife and robbed her. After the incident, Mary felt numb for several days; then the nightmares began in which she still clearly sees the armed men. She is easily startled by unexpected noises. Since the event, Mary has been very afraid to go outside alone and expects danger at all times. She tries not to think about the assault and does not want to talk about it with others.