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Abstract. Skeletal muscle hypertrophy can be induced by hormones and growth factors acting directly as positive regulators of muscle growth or indirectly by neutralizing negative regulators, and by mechanical signals mediating the effect of resistance exercise. Muscle growth during hypertrophy is controlled at the translational level, through the stimulation of protein synthesis, and at the transcriptional level, through the activation of ribosomal RNAs and muscle-specific genes. mTORC1 has a central role in the regulation of both protein synthesis and ribosomal biogenesis. Several transcription factors and co-activators, including MEF2, SRF, PGC-1α, and YAP promote the growth of the myofibers. Satellite cell proliferation and fusion is involved in some but not all muscle hypertrophy models.
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INTRODUCTION

Skeletal muscle has a remarkable capacity to undergo hypertrophy, i.e. increase in size, in response to certain physical activities, such as those based on resistance exercise, or to hormones, such as androgens, responsible for the difference in muscle size between males and females. Muscle hypertrophy is an interesting object of study per se, as a model of growth in cell biology, but is also clinically relevant. The decreased muscle mass in old age is a risk factor for frailty, falls and fractures, and is also found in a wide range of chronic diseases. The recognition that muscle wasting is a widespread condition affecting millions of people has stimulated the study of the molecular mechanisms responsible for the maintenance of the muscle mass and the search for treatments able to induce muscle hypertrophy and increase in muscle force.

The hypertrophy process has been extensively analyzed in humans, using different training protocols based on resistance exercise, and in animal models, such as the overload hypertrophy induced by tenotomy or ablation of synergistic muscles. Muscle hypertrophy can be evaluated quantitatively at the macroscopic level using a variety of imaging techniques, including dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), computed tomography (CT) scanning, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound assessment, or at the microscopic level, by measuring the cross-sectional area (CSA) of the muscle fibers [see 1].

We have previously reviewed some aspects of muscle hypertrophy in the broader context of muscle...
adaptation and remodeling [2, 3]. The aim of this review is to focus on the molecular pathways underpinning the hypertrophic process. We will first consider the extracellular signals acting on muscle fibers and triggering the hypertrophic response. These include i) hormones and growth factors acting directly as positive regulators of muscle growth or indirectly by neutralizing negative regulators, and ii) mechanical signals acting at the level of the plasma membrane or through the muscle cytoskeleton. We will subsequently focus on two control hubs regulating muscle hypertrophy: the translational control responsible for protein synthesis, and the transcriptional control, which regulates the expression of two major sets of genes required for muscle hypertrophy, the genes of ribosomal RNAs and proteins and the muscle-specific genes coding for contractile, EC coupling and metabolic proteins.

**PRO-HYPERTROPHIC HORMONES AND GROWTH FACTORS**

A selection of the most important hormones and growth factors which affect muscle mass and are able to induce skeletal muscle hypertrophy is shown in Fig. 1 and is briefly discussed below.

**IGF1**

IGF1 is a potent growth factor affecting muscle growth during development and acting both systemically as a typical hormone produced by the liver under the control of growth hormone and locally as a paracrine/autocrine factor produced by skeletal muscle. IGF1 is able to induce muscle hypertrophy by binding a specific receptor (IGF1R) and activating the PI3K-Akt-mTOR signaling pathway, which is discussed below. The study of IGF1 is complicated by the existence of multiple isoforms with variable potency in inducing muscle hypertrophy, by the partial overlapping of activity with insulin (insulin can activate the IGF1 receptor and vice versa) and by the presence of binding factors that may act to enhance or attenuate IGF1 signaling. IGF1 released by muscle fibers has been implicated in different models of muscle hypertrophy; however, overload-induced hypertrophy is completely unaffected in mouse muscles lacking the IGF1 receptor [4].

**Follistatin-Myostatin-BMP**

Myostatin (GDF8) and activin A are members of the TGFβ superfamily which act as negative regulators of muscle mass by binding to the activin type II receptor (ActRII). Their effect is blocked by the endogenous inhibitor, follistatin, which acts as a pro-hypertrophic signal [5]. Myostatin inactivation or follistatin overexpression, induced in adult mouse muscles by injection of antibodies to myostatin or by injection of the follistatin variant Fst288, causes muscle hypertrophy with a slow-to-fast switch in fiber type composition [6, 7]. Muscle hypertrophy is also induced by a soluble form of ActRII in mice and monkeys, however interpretation of this model is complicated by the fact that soluble ActRII may sequester other ligands binding to this receptor, which explains the adverse effects seen in humans after this treatment [see 8]. Binding of myostatin to its receptor leads to Smad3 phosphorylation that interferes with the Akt-mTOR pathway whereas follistatin activates this pathway and promotes protein synthesis [9, 10, 11]. In contrast, the effect of phosphorylated Smad3 following nuclear translocation and binding to target genes has not yet been defined with respect to its role in muscle hypertrophy. Other members of the TGFβ superfamily, such as some bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), have opposite effects on muscle growth compared with myostatin [12]. In particular, overexpression of BMP7 was shown to induce mouse muscle hypertrophy [12, 13]. This effect is mediated by signaling through Smad1/5/8 and requires the activation of the Akt-mTOR pathway, as BMP-mediated hypertrophy of skeletal muscle was prevented by inhibition of mTOR signaling.
Androgens

Androgens, such as testosterone, are potent inducers of skeletal muscle hypertrophy by binding to the androgen receptor (AR), followed by nuclear translocation and target gene regulation. In addition, testosterone can be converted into dihydrotestosterone, which is the most powerful androgen due to its high affinity for the AR, through the activity of 5α-reductase type 1 (Srd5a1), which is expressed in skeletal muscle and is upregulated by physical exercise in rats [14]. In vivo studies indicate that androgen withdrawal in male mice decreases muscle myofibrillar protein synthesis through suppression of Akt/mTORC1 signaling, possibly mediated by down-regulation of IGF-1 expression, and this is readily reversible by androgen administration [15]. Androgens can also act through a nongenomic signaling pathway mediated by the binding of androgens to surface receptors and leading to activation of Akt-mTOR, as suggested by the finding that the increase in myotube size and activation of Akt-mTOR in vitro are not inhibited by androgen receptor antagonists that block the genomic effects of androgens [16]. Androgens also induce satellite cell proliferation followed by fusion and myonuclear accretion, however, these processes are not required for androgen-induced muscle hypertrophy as muscle fiber size is increased in satellite cell-depleted mice treated with testosterone [17].

β2-agonists

Epinephrine interacts with the β2 adrenergic receptor (β2AR), a G protein-coupled receptor coded by the ADRB2 gene, which is the most abundant adrenergic receptor present in muscle fibers. Binding of β2 agonists to the receptor activates adenylate cyclase with generation of cyclic AMP and activation of protein kinase A (PKA). Chronic treatment with β2 agonists as clenbuterol leads to muscle hypertrophy through still poorly defined pathways, which appear to involve the IGF1-PI3K-Akt-mTOR cascade [18, 19]. The role of PKA-dependent phosphorylation of the transcription factor CREB (cAMP response element binding protein) and associated coactivators in mediating muscle hypertrophy is not known, although the pro-hypertrophic factor MEF2 (see below) could be involved, as a dominant-negative CREB in postnatal mouse muscles caused muscle wasting that was associated with reduced expression of MEF2 target genes [see 20]. Identification of the pathways mediating the β2AR signaling is complicated by the fact that, following agonist binding, β2ARs undergo rapid desensitization through receptor phosphorylation by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) and subsequent recruitment of the adaptor protein, β-arrestin [21]. Both GRKs and β-arrestin initiate signaling cascades, which are G protein-dependent and receptor independent, that may affect the pro-hypertrophic pathway. For example, muscle-specific GRK2 knockout enhances clenbuterol-stimulated hypertrophy [22] whereas the hypertrophic response was abrogated in mice lacking β-arrestin 1, which is the predominant β-arrestin isoform in skeletal muscle [23].

Osteocalcin

The role of osteocalcin, a bone-derived hormone, as a pro-hypertrophic signal was revealed by the finding that osteocalcin null mice or mice with muscle-specific knockout of the osteocalcin receptor, GPRC6A, undergo muscle atrophy, and supported by the finding that treatment with exogenous osteocalcin for 4 weeks is sufficient to increase muscle mass of adult mice [24]. This effect may be due to the fact that osteocalcin promotes muscle protein synthesis, as indicated by studies in cultured myotubes, but the signaling pathway involved has not been determined. It was suggested that osteocalcin is a central component of a muscle-bone-muscle endocrine axis, whereby interleukin 6 (IL-6) released by skeletal muscle during exercise acts on osteoblasts to induce the release of bioactive osteocalcin that in turn acts on muscle cells [25]. However, recent studies using new osteocalcin knockout models have not confirmed an endocrine role of osteocalcin nor a pro-hypertrophic effect on skeletal muscle [26, 27].

MECHANOTRANSDUCTION AND MUSCLE HYPERTROPHY

Resistance exercise increases muscle mass in humans and animals, and the fact that only contractions against a load produce this effect suggests that mechanical signaling is involved. The search for specific mechanosensors responsible for muscle hypertrophy has mainly focused on the plasma membrane and on the sarcomeric cytoskeleton, however no clear signaling pathway leading from the sensors to the final translational and transcriptional targets has emerged so far [28].
Plasma membrane mechanosensors: dystrophin, integrin and GPR56.

Mechanical signals generated by muscle contraction or by passive stretch are transmitted through two multiprotein complexes spanning the plasma membrane and connecting extracellular matrix (ECM) with intracellular cytoskeleton: the dystrophin glycoprotein complex (DGC) and the integrin adhesion complex. These structures are especially abundant at sites of high longitudinal or lateral force transmission, the myotendinous junctions and costameres, and act as shock absorbers stabilizing the sarcolema during contraction/stretch. Mutations of dystrophin or α7β1 integrin, the predominant integrin form present in adult skeletal muscle, cause contraction-induced muscle injury in mice. In addition to this structural role, both dystrophin and integrin act as scaffold for signaling proteins and are thus potentially involved in mediating the pro-hypertrophic effect of contractile activity against high load, as occurs in resistance exercise, or passive stretch.

Integrins are linked to actin through the adaptor protein talin and may activate different signaling pathway through focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and integrin linked kinase (ILK), two kinases potentially involved in mechanotransduction in striated muscle [29]. However, it is not clear whether FAK and ILK mediate muscle hypertrophy in response to resistance exercise or in overload models [30]. The integrin-associated protein, melusin, has been implicated as a load sensor, as the levels of melusin decrease in unloaded muscles in rats and humans and muscle atrophy induced by unloading can be prevented by melusin overexpression [31].

Other studies point to possible pathways connecting the dystrophin glycoprotein complex to growth-promoting signaling pathways and muscle hypertrophy. Muscle hypertrophy induced by functional overload is reduced in dystrophin-deficient mdx mice [32]. Neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS), a DGC component bound to dystrophin through α-syntrophin, is rapidly activated after synergist ablation and overload hypertrophy is blunted in nNOS knockout mice [33]. The signaling cascade proposed involves peroxynitrite generated by nNOS activating the cation channel Trvp1, thus inducing an increase of intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration that subsequently triggers mTOR activation. Another study showed that γ1-syntrophin interacts with and regulates the activity of diacylglycerol kinase-zeta (DGKζ), which phosphorylates diacylglycerol to yield phosphatidic acid [34]. Interestingly, phosphatidic acid synthesized by DGKζ regulates the mechanical activation of mTOR signaling and muscle hypertrophy, and overexpression of DGKζ induces skeletal muscle hypertrophy [35] (Fig. 2). Another potential connection between dystrophin and muscle hypertrophy is based on the finding that the insulin receptors associate with DGC-rich clusters at costameres and this association, which is stabilized by plakoglobin, promotes insulin signaling through the PI3K-Akt pathway [36]. The finding that cancer cachexia is accompanied by reduced levels of dystrophin and is partly prevented in dystrophin transgenic mice suggests that DGC dysfunction plays a critical role in cancer-induced wasting [37].

Another signaling pathway potentially involved in mechanotransduction at the plasma membrane...
is through GPR56, a member of the adhesion G protein-coupled receptor family, whose extracellular ligand is collagen type III (coded for by the COL3A1 gene). GPR56, whose expression is controlled by the transcriptional coactivator PGC-1α (see below), appears to drive muscle hypertrophy downstream of a Gα12/13–Rho pathway leading to mTOR activation and increase in protein synthesis [38]. The role of this pathway in mediating overload-induced hypertrophy is supported by the finding that the hypertrophic response is blunted in GPR56 knockout mice.

**Sarcomeric mechanosensors**

Mechanosensors embedded at different locations in the sarcomere have been implicated in the activation of signaling pathways leading to muscle hypertrophy [39]. These include proteins located in the Z-disk, such as muscle LIM protein (MLP, coded by CSRP3), which translocates to the nucleus in response to mechanical strain in cultured muscle cells [40, 41], at the I-band, like ankyrin-repeat domain 2 (ANKRD2) and other muscle ankyrin repeat proteins (MARPs), as well as four and a half LIM domain proteins (FHL1–4) implicated in cardiac hypertrophy [42], or at the M-band, where the kinase domain of titin may act as a sensor of mechanical signals leading to derepression of the transcription factor SRF [43]. Titin-based mechanosensing has also been recently described in a mouse model in which the denervated hemidiaphragm is passively stretched by the contralateral, innervated hemidiaphragm and undergoes hypertrophy: the degree of hypertrophy was found to vary in titin mutant models showing decreased and increased titin stiffness, high stiffness resulting in an exaggerated hypertrophy response [44].

**TRANSLATIONAL CONTROL OF MUSCLE HYPERTROPHY: THE CENTRAL ROLE OF THE AKT-MTOR PATHWAY**

It is known since the early studies of Goldberg [45] that protein synthesis is increased in experimental models of rat muscle hypertrophy and subsequent studies showed that the rate of muscle protein synthesis increases significantly following a single bout of resistance exercise in humans [46]. Several lines of evidence indicate that the rate of protein synthesis in skeletal muscle is controlled by a kinase cascade activated by growth factors such as IGF1 and comprising in sequence the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), Akt (also called protein kinase B, PKB) and the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) [47]. That stimulation of this pathway leads to muscle hypertrophy was first suggested by the finding that transfection of adult mouse muscle with a RAS mutant selectively activating Akt leads to striking hypertrophy of transfected fibers [48]. Activation of this pathway is required for load-induced skeletal muscle hypertrophy, as shown by gain- and loss-of-function genetic approaches and by the finding that muscle hypertrophy is blocked by rapamycin, a selective inhibitor of mTOR [49, 50] (Fig. 2A).

In particular, the raptor-binding, rapamycin sensitive mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) can stimulate protein synthesis by phosphorylating the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding proteins (4E-BPs) and the ribosomal S6 protein kinase 1 (S6K1) [see 51]. In addition, mTORC1 controls the translation of terminal oligopyrimidine (TOP) mRNAs, which code for ribosomal proteins and several initiation and elongation factors, by acting on LARP1, a key repressor of TOP mRNA translation [52]. The upstream activators of mTOR, in addition to growth factors such as IGF1 and insulin acting through the PI3K-Akt cascade, are different amino acids, acting via Rag GTPases, and mechanical signals, such as phosphatidic acid generated by DGKζ, as discussed in the previous section. However, the signaling pathways linking mechanical overload and mTOR activation during muscle hypertrophy induced by exercise are still unclear.

**TRANSCRIPTIONAL CONTROL: RIBOSOMAL BIOGENESIS**

The coordinated regulation of two major sets of genes is required for muscle hypertrophy: the genes coding for ribosomal RNAs, involved in ribosomal biogenesis, and the muscle-specific genes coding for contractile, EC coupling and metabolic proteins, whose expression is controlled by transcription factors such as MEF2 and SRF, or transcriptional co-regulators, such as PGC-1α.

While mRNAs derived from protein-coding genes, including those coding for ribosomal proteins, are generated by RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II), the synthesis of ribosomal RNAs requires RNA polymerase I (RNA Pol I), producing the 47S ribosomal RNA (rRNA), which is subsequently processed to mature 5.8S, 18S and 28S ribosomal components, and RNA polymerase III (RNA Pol III), which synthesizes 5S rRNA and the transfer RNAs.
rRNA processing and ribosome assembly take place in the nucleolus. The ubiquitous transcription factor MYC controls ribosome biogenesis and translation through a variety of mechanisms [53]. In addition, as shown in Fig. 2B, mTORC1 activates ribosomal biogenesis by controlling the activity of MAF1, a key repressor of RNA Pol III [54, 55], and of transcription initiation factor 1A (TIF-IA), which regulates RNA Pol I transcription [56]. RNA PolII is also controlled by S6K1 through phosphorylation of the upstream binding factor (UBF) [57]. rRNA synthesis requires a continuous supply of nucleotides and it has been shown that S6K1 promotes nucleotide biosynthesis by phosphorylation of CAD (carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 2, aspartate transcarbamoylase, dihydroorotase), the enzyme that catalyzes the first three steps of de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis and thus increases the pool of nucleotides available for the RNA synthesis that accompanies cell growth [58, 59].

Muscle growth is accompanied by and dependent on ribosomal biogenesis [60, 61]. The rRNA pool, which accounts for more than 80% of total RNA, increases during muscle hypertrophy in response to the activity of different regulatory factors, including MYC and UBF, whose levels and activities are increased during resistance exercise [61]. Muscle hypertrophy caused by activation of an inducible Akt transgene is also accompanied by an increase in RNA levels and by increased CAD phosphorylation [62]. The role of the different mTORC1-dependent pathways controlling rRNA and tRNA transcription during muscle hypertrophy remains to be established. Interestingly, interindividual variability in the hypertrophic response to the same exercise training protocol appears to correlate with parallel changes in markers of ribosomal biogenesis [63] (Fig. 3). Other studies in humans reveal a correlation between dose-dependent training responses, RNA accumulation and increases in muscle mass [64].

**TRANSCRIPTIONAL CONTROL: TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS AND COREGULATORS**

Different transcription factors and coactivators/corepressors are involved in muscle hypertrophy. In this section, we will focus on the transcription factors MEF2 and SRF, their transcriptional coregulators, and the coactivators PGC-1α4 and YAP/TAZ.
The transcriptional activity of myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF2) factors is controlled by different repressors. MEF2 factors promote muscle growth during development and in the adult by regulating the expression of muscle-specific genes. MEF2 transcriptional activity is controlled by different repressors, including muscle-specific repressors like myogenic regulatory factor 4 (MRF4, coded by MYF6) and ubiquitous repressor as nuclear receptor co-repressor 1 (NCoR1) and class II histone deacetylases (HDACs), like HDAC4. Under normal conditions (upper panel) muscle size is maintained in the adult by a balance between these inhibitory factors and different stimulatory influences, including MEF2 post-translational changes, not depicted in the scheme. Loss of repressor activity (lower right panel), such as muscle-specific knockout of NCoR1 or muscle-specific knockdown of MRF4, lead to upregulation of MEF2 transcriptional activity and muscle hypertrophy. Increased repressor function (lower left panel), e.g. denervation-induced up-regulation and nuclear translocation of MRF4 and HDAC4, reduce MEF2 transcriptional activity and contribute to muscle atrophy.

and the co-repressor NCoR1, as shown by the finding that MRF4 knockdown causes HDAC4 nuclear export [67], muscle gene expression is repressed by HDAC4 through direct binding and inhibition of MEF2 activity [68] and muscle atrophy upon denervation is partially prevented by double knockout of HDAC4 and HDAC5 [69]. Accordingly, activation of MEF2 and muscle hypertrophy are induced by muscle-specific knockdown of NCoR1 [70] (Fig. 4).

A role of MEF2 in maintaining muscle size and preventing muscle atrophy is supported by the finding that denervation atrophy is accompanied by markedly decreased MEF2 transcriptional activity and by upregulation and nuclear translocation of MRF4 [71] and HDAC4 [72]. MEF2 transcriptional activity is also decreased in human skeletal muscle following muscle unloading induced by prolonged bed rest [73]. Accordingly, a recent study has shown that MEF2 transcriptional activity and MEF2 target gene expression is decreased in a mouse model of spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy (SBMA) caused by polyglutamine (polyQ) tract expansion in the androgen receptor [74]. Under these conditions MEF2 function is apparently disrupted due to sequestration of MEF2 into the polyQ intranuclear aggregates and a similar effect is seen in other models of polyQ disease that exhibit skeletal muscle atrophy, such as a mouse model of Huntington disease. Interestingly, MEF2 transcriptional activity and muscle atrophy can be rescued by a caMEF2 mutant that shows less propensity for co-aggregation [74]. Another recent study reported that caMEF2 prevents muscle wasting in cachectic tumor-bearing mice [75]. This study identified the myocilin gene *Myoc* as a transcriptional target of MEF2 and showed that loss of myocilin mediates tumor-associated muscle wasting while caMEF2 prevents concomitant *Myoc* downregulation. The role of myocilin in the regulation of muscle size is supported by the muscle hypertrophy phenotype observed in transgenic mice overexpressing myocilin [76]. However, it is not clear how myocilin affects muscle size, one possibility being that myocilin binds to and stabilizes the DGC [76]. The DGC may be involved in the transmission of mechanical signals to pro-hypertrophic pathways such as Akt-mTOR, thus promoting protein synthesis and preventing protein degradation, as shown by a study on cancer cachexia in mice [37].

A simplified scheme of the regulation of MEF2 transcriptional activity by inhibitory factors such as HDAC4, NCoR1 and MRF4 is shown in Fig. 4. Interestingly, a similar scheme holds true also for cardiac muscle, with NCoR1 and class II HDACs repressing MEF2 activity and MEF2-dependent cardiac hypertrophy [see 77]. However, MRF4 is exclusively expressed in skeletal muscle, thus could be a specific therapeutic target to promote skeletal muscle hypertrophy. Future studies should clarify the role of alternative splicing of MEF2 factors [78], leading to MEF2 variants which have different effects on cardiac hypertrophy [79] and can also affect skeletal muscle hypertrophy [80]. It should be stressed that what is found in cardiac hypertrophy is not necessarily valid for skeletal muscle hypertrophy. For example, cardiac hypertrophy is controlled by the Ca$^{2+}$-calmodulin dependent phosphatase calcineurin and Ca$^{2+}$-calmodulin dependent kinase (CaMKII), acting via nuclear factor of activated T cell (NFAT) and MEF2 transcription factors, respectively [81, 82]. However, pharmacological inhibitors of calcineurin activity gave variable results in skeletal muscle according to the different experimental setting [83], and genetic loss of calcineurin did not block overload-induced skeletal muscle hypertrophy [84]. On the other hand, the role of CaMK-dependent pathways in skeletal muscle hypertrophy has not been determined by specific loss-of-function approaches.
The MRTF-SRF axis is also regulated by STARS (striated muscle activator of Rho signaling), coded by ABRa (Actin-binding Rho-activating protein) gene. STARS, acting together with the small GTPase RhoA, binds G-actin promoting actin polymerization and thus relieving the G-actin inhibitory effect on MRTF, allowing the nuclear import of MRTFs and stimulation of SRF activity [88, 89, 90]. Interestingly, a study on cardiac muscle has shown that STARS is controlled by MEF2c in response to mechanical stress, suggesting that STARS couples MEF2 and SRF signaling [91]. In human skeletal muscle, resistance training-induced muscle hypertrophy is accompanied by upregulation of STARS, MRTFs and SRF [92]. In mouse skeletal muscle, hypertrophy induced by synergist elimination is blunted by Srf gene knockout induced specifically in the adult [93], as a result of factors released from myofibers and acting on associated satellite cells (see below).

A recent study has shown that a specific MRTF-B phosphorylation site on serine 66, detected by a phosphoproteomics screen and similar to an ERK-dependent MRTF-A phosphorylation in another cell system, is induced in human muscle by high intensity resistance exercise and is required for MRTF-B nuclear translocation and for transcription of SRF target genes [94] (Fig. 5). The same study revealed that exercise also induces chromatin remodeling at SRF target gene loci in myonuclei through histone 3 phosphorylation on serine 10 (H3S10). H3S10 phosphorylation is mediated by mitogen- and stress-activated kinases (MSK1/2), which are in turn activated by p38 MAPK. (Modified from [94]).

Serum response factor (SRF)

The transcription factor SRF belongs, like MEF2, to the MADS (MCMI, Agamous, Deficiens, and SRF) box superfamily of transcription factors. SRF is essential for muscle growth, as muscle-specific depletion of mouse Srf causes severe skeletal muscle hypoplasia leading to perinatal lethality [85], and for muscle maintenance in adult stages, as loss of Srf induced in adult mice leads to progressive loss of muscle mass and a sarcopenia-like phenotype [86]. SRF is known to activate transcription of sarcomeric actins and actin-binding proteins by associating with myocardin-related transcription factors (MRTFs) and a scheme of the pathways regulating the MRTF-SRF axis is starting to emerge (Fig. 5). Muscles expressing a dominant negative form of MRTF-A display a phenotype similar to that of Srf knockout [81]. Double knockout of MRTF-A and B causes an even more severe phenotype with dysregulation of contractile protein expression [87].

PGC-1α4

PGC-1α4 is a splice variant of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) coactivator 1α (PGC-1α), coded by the PPARGC1A gene, a transcriptional coactivator with multiple roles in different tissues, including skeletal muscle [95]. PGC-1α factors act by binding to different transcriptional factors, including nuclear receptors, such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), estrogen-related receptors (ERRs), and thyroid hormone receptors (TRs). Whereas the PGC-1α1 variant is induced by endurance training and affects mitochondrial biogenesis, PGC-1α4 was reported to be
increased by resistance exercise and to induce muscle hypertrophy, possibly through increased IGF1 expression and reduced myostatin levels [96]. However, there are conflicting results about the induction of PGC-1α by resistance training in humans [97, 98] and in experimental models. PGC-1α was increased during the reloading/hypertrophic phase using the hindlimb suspension–reloading model [96], however, chronic overload induced by synergist ablation was unaffected by muscle-specific knockout of the PGC-1α gene [99]. Interpretation of these results is difficult because appropriate mouse genetic models of PGC-1α isoform-specific gain- and loss-of-function are lacking [100]. As reported above, deletion of the PGC-1α target gene, GPR56, impairs muscle hypertrophy by reducing activation of mTORC1 signaling [38]. Muscle hypertrophy induced by overexpression of the mitochondrial calcium uniporter (MCU), leading to increased mitochondrial calcium uptake, was reported to be accompanied by a marked increase in PGC-1α expression, while viral-mediated MCU shRNA knockdown in adult muscle caused muscle atrophy [101]. However, a recent study using a genetic inducible model showed that ablation of MCU at fetal, postnatal, and adult stages had no significant impact on overall muscle growth [102]. An open issue with PGC-1α is that the transcription factor(s) mediating its pro-hypertrophic function have not been identified.

YAP/TAZ

The major components of the Hippo pathway, an evolutionarily conserved pathway that controls tissue growth, are the kinases MST1/2 and LATS1/2, the transcriptional coactivators YAP and its analog TAZ and the transcription factors TEAD1-4. YAP is sequestered in the cytoplasm by LATS1/2-mediated phosphorylation, or by alternative pathways, while inactivation of the kinase allows the translocation of YAP into the nucleus and stimulation of TEAD transcriptional activity that promotes tissue growth. The identification of YAP/TAZ as crucial mediators of mechanotransduction in different cell types [103] has stimulated the study of these transcriptional coregulators in striated muscle. A role of YAP in promoting muscle hypertrophy was supported by the finding that YAP overexpression causes muscle hypertrophy and increased protein synthesis while YAP knockdown causes muscle atrophy by reducing protein synthesis [104, 105]. The physiological regulation of the pathways leading to YAP activation remains largely unknown. Interestingly, Rho GTPase activity, which is known to mediate mechanotransduction in muscle through different pathways, including GPR56, integrin and STARS (see above), is required to induce YAP nuclear localization and transcriptional activity in cultured cells [103]. YAP function may also be affected by the DGC as suggested by the finding that YAP directly binds dystroglycan, a component of the DGC, leading to inhibition of cardiomyocyte proliferation [106]. It was shown that YAP signaling is constitutively active in mdx skeletal muscle, which is unresponsive to loading, possibly due to aberrant increase in cytoskeletal and extracellular matrix stiffness in these muscles [107]. A recent study has shown that phosphatidic acid, generated by phospholipase D, suppresses YAP phosphorylation thereby inducing YAP transcriptional activity in cultured cells [108]. Phosphatidic acid has been implicated in the regulation of muscle size through mTOR signaling [35, see above]. However, the role of mTOR in mediating YAP activation remains to be established, as gain-and loss-of-function studies suggest that YAP positively regulate skeletal muscle size independently of mTOR signaling [104, 105].

SATELLITE CELL ACTIVATION AND MUSCLE HYPERTROPHY

Muscle growth during development and regeneration requires the obligatory participation of satellite cells (SCs), specialized stem cells located under the basal lamina of the muscle fibers, which undergo proliferation and fusion with the associated muscle fiber. On the other hand, the contribution of SCs during muscle hypertrophy in adult muscle appears to vary in different models of hypertrophy. SC proliferation and fusion is induced at early stages after synergist ablation [109, 110, 111], thus leading to an increase in the number of myonuclei [108], and can be induced by exercise in both human and experimental models [see 113, 114, 115]. In contrast, muscle hypertrophy induced by myostatin inactivation or Akt activation is not accompanied by SC activation [116, 117]. It is likely that the activation of SCs in certain muscle hypertrophy models, such as strenuous exercise in humans or overload hypertrophy in animals, is induced by muscle damage, thus is essentially similar to muscle regeneration or repair. A discussion of the factors and pathways that promote SC activation under these conditions is outside the scope of this review.
Different approaches were used to establish whether SC activation is required for mouse muscle hypertrophy. Hypertrophy induced by synergist ablation was unaffected by diphteria toxin-mediated elimination of SCs [118], however, a subsequent study using the same approach showed that hypertrophy does not occur in the absence of SCs [119], in agreement with previous studies based on muscle irradiation [120]. The notion that muscle hypertrophy requires SC fusion and myonuclear accretion is supported by the effect of SC-specific knockout (scKO) of myomaker, a muscle-specific membrane protein necessary for fusion of SCs. Both overload hypertrophy induced by synergist ablation and muscle hypertrophy induced by high-intensity interval training in the treadmill were abrogated by myomaker scKO induced by tamoxifen treatment in adult mouse muscles [121, 122].

An interesting though poorly explored area of investigation concerns the cross-talk between myofibers and SCs during muscle hypertrophy. As reported above, deletion of Srf induced in adult mice within myofibers but not in SCs was found to impair overload hypertrophy [93]. SC proliferation and fusion was also impaired in this model as SRF activation within myofibers leads to paracrine release of interleukin 6 (IL-6), affecting SC proliferation, and interleukin 4 (IL-4), which is required for SC fusion [93]. On the other hand, it remains to be established whether and how SC incorporation into hypertrophying myofibers affects the molecular mechanisms of protein synthesis leading to the increase in myofiber size. Ongoing single-nucleus RNA-seq (snRNA-seq) studies, which allow to identify distinct myonuclear populations in skeletal muscle fibers [123, 124, 125] have revealed the existence of specific myonuclear subsets during early postnatal development, when SCs are actively incorporated into muscle fibers [119]. It will be of interest to determine whether “immature” myonuclei, corresponding to newly fused SC, are also detected during muscle hypertrophy.

PERSPECTIVES AND OPEN ISSUES

In this short review we selected only major factors and pathways of muscle hypertrophy from the huge amount of information collected in a large number of human and animal studies. However, other factors and pathways potentially important for muscle hypertrophy should be explored and a number of open questions should be answered in future studies. Omics approaches, including the identification of genes whose gain or loss-of-function affects muscle mass [126], will further contribute to this research. Some open issues and new fields to be explored are briefly discussed below.

Non-coding RNAs

In addition to coding genes, muscle hypertrophy can also be modulated by non-coding RNAs, including microRNAs and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), which have been mainly investigated in muscle atrophy. A number of lncRNAs were found to increase in skeletal muscle in different hypertrophy models, such as mechanical overload and deficiency of the myostatin gene [127], however in most cases their role has not been validated by gain- and loss-of-function experiments. A well characterized lncRNA involved in muscle hypertrophy is Lnc-mg, which causes hypertrophy when overexpressed in vivo, whereas conditional knockout of Lnc-mg in skeletal muscle results in muscle atrophy [128]. Lnc-mg acts as a competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNA) by acting as molecular sponge for a microRNA, miR-125b, which in turn controls protein abundance of Igf2. Another example is the lnc-RNA Chronos, which acts as an inhibitor of muscle growth as shown by the finding that in vivo knockdown causes muscle hypertrophy [129].

The callipyge phenotype in sheep, characterized by marked hypertrophy of hind limb and loin muscles, is also of interest in this respect. This phenotype is due to a point mutation in an imprinted locus close to the DLK1 (Delta-Like homolog 1), which is probably responsible for muscle hypertrophy because transgenic mice over-expressing Dlk1 have increased muscle mass and myofiber diameter [130]. Interestingly, the expression of DLK1 is controlled by a microRNA gene cluster (miR-379/miR-544) located in the same locus [131]. This microRNA gene cluster was also found to be markedly upregulated during the rapid muscle growth that occurs in mice around the second week of postnatal life [132].

Muscle pathology

Muscle hypertrophy is relevant for muscle pathology not only as a means to contrast muscle atrophy, but potentially also to reduce muscle pathology itself. For example, muscle hypertrophy induced by muscle-specific Akt activation was found to promote sarcolemma stability and prevent the force drop
induced by eccentric contractions in dystrophin-deficient mouse skeletal muscle [133, 134]. However, this may be due to the upregulation of the utrophin-glycoprotein complex, as well as proteins associated with Z-disks and costameres, and proteins with anti-glycoprotein complex, rather than to muscle hypertrophy per se. On the other hand, loss of Akt-mTORC1 signaling in different pathologies can contribute to muscle wasting per se, but it can also impair other aspects important for restoring muscle mass, like fiber innervation [135, 136].

Muscle physiology

Sport science provides a variety of training protocols optimized to promote muscle growth, most of which have not been investigated at the molecular level. For example, there is evidence that blood flow restriction, when combined with exercise, even low-load exercise, leads to a significant increase in the degree of hypertrophy compared to exercise alone, however the mechanism of this effect is not clear. The study of the interindividual variability in the response to the same training protocol (responders vs non-responders, see Fig. 3) may provide useful information on the mechanism of muscle hypertrophy: in particular, omics techniques are likely to reveal novel clues through an unbiased approach. Age-dependent differences in the development of muscle hypertrophy are especially important in this respect given the importance in promoting muscle growth and prevent sarcopenia in the increasing aging population.

Muscle size and muscle force

Finally, a crucial question is whether the increase in muscle size is reflected in an increase in muscle force. Several studies indicate that the two parameters are not always correlated in different models of muscle hypertrophy. For example, a proportional increase in muscle size and force is not always seen following resistance exercise [see 137, 138], and extreme hypertrophy without corresponding increase in strength has been reported in body builders [139, 140, 141]. The same is true for animal models: for example, a constitutively actively Akt transgene causes a parallel increase in muscle size and force [117], whereas myostatin mutation induces muscle hypertrophy without a corresponding increase in force generation [142]. It will be important to investigate the molecular underpinnings of the different models of muscle hypertrophy to identify the pro-hypertrophic factors and pathways efficient in promoting muscle strength, because an increase in muscle size not accompanied by an increase in force is functionally meaningless.
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