Identification of Durum Wheat Cultivars and Their Tetraploid Relatives with Low Cadmium Content
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SUMMARY

In this study, 71 durum wheat cultivars (Triticum durum Desf.), 22 emmer wheat (Triticum dicoccum L.) and 11 wild emmer (Triticum dicoccoides L.) genotypes were genetically characterized to determine the alleles associated with high cadmium (Cd) content. After genotypic characterization, 14 cultivars selected among all genotypes with low and high Cd content were phenotyped by a pot experiment to verify the genotypic data. Identification of 32 durum wheat, one emmer wheat and four wild emmer genotypes showed that they have alleles associated with high Cd content, while 68 genotypes of which 39 durum wheat, 21 emmer wheat and 7 wild emmer cultivars had alleles associated with low Cd content. Moreover, phenotypic data obtained from pot experiment were similar to the molecular data. To sum up, the marker successfully classified durum wheat cultivars into either high or low accumulators and these results can be safely used in breeding programs to improve new durum wheat cultivars with alleles associated with low Cd content. Due to routine use of phosphorus fertilizers in agricultural areas and other anthropogenic factors related to Cd toxicity, new durum wheat cultivars with low Cd content should be urgently developed for safe production of macaroni or other types of wheat products for human and animal consumption.
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INTRODUCTION

The accumulation of heavy metals in the soil has a major impact on the environment. Heavy metals accumulated in the soil are stored in plant tissues over time and, therefore, pose a threat to human and animal health (1,2). Cadmium (Cd) is one of the toxic metals which cause very serious health problems for humans. So far, diseases caused by Cd such as itai-itai have been diagnosed (2). Metal industry, fossil fuels, domestic waste, application of pesticide and phosphorus fertilizers are the greatest sources of Cd pollution (3). Moreover, 30 000 t of Cd are added to environment annually and 13 000 t of these are caused by human activities (4).

Many countries have determined several restrictions for the mass fraction of Cd in phosphorus fertilizers. Germany for instance has limited the Cd mass fraction in phosphorus fertilizers to 200 mg/kg (5). In Sweden, phosphorus fertilizers have been subjected to taxes when the Cd mass fraction exceeds 5 mg/kg and imports of phosphorous fertilizers with a Cd mass fraction above 100 mg/kg are prohibited (6). This implementation encourages the production of low-Cd fertilizers and reduces Cd input into the soil.

Cd is mostly accumulated in the human body through food consumption, mainly thanks to a high intake of cereals. It is known that the contribution of cereal products to daily Cd intake ranges from 20 to 43 % (7). Wheat (Triticum L.) is the first among the cultivated plants in terms of production and harvested area worldwide and wheat products provide 20 % of the daily calories and also 20 % of the protein, especially in 94 developing countries with more than 4.5 billion people (8). There is a large genetic variation especially in durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) regarding Cd accumulation in the grain and it is known that this species has higher Cd content than other cool season cereals: rye<barley<oat<bread wheat<durum wheat (6-9).

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and The International Codex
Alimentarius Commission of World Health Organization (WHO) has standardized the maximum allowable Cd mass fraction in wheat grain to be 0.1 mg/kg (10). In order to reduce Cd uptake and toxicity, many alternative methods such as the use of plant growth regulators and plant nutrients are applied (2). However, the most environmentally friendly and efficient strategy are the development of new genetic materials with low cadmium content (2) and breeding programs have been carried out in many countries for this purpose. For instance, in Canada, where Cd is one of the most serious environmental problems, durum wheat cultivars have been developed since 2003 via marker-assisted selection (MAS) (11-13). A major gene Cd1 (14), which is located in the long arm of chromosome 5B, controls the Cd accumulation in durum wheat (15, 16). Several tightly linked markers with gene Cd1 have been developed to detect the allele associated with low content of Cd in durum wheat such as dominant SCAR marker ScOPC20 (15) and co-dominant CAPS marker usw47 (17). These markers can be used to characterize Cd accumulation in both tetraploid durum wheat and other tetraploid wheat relatives such as emmer (Triticum dicoccum L.) and wild emmer (Triticum dicoccoides L.).

The aim of the study is to molecularly characterize Turkish durum wheat gene pool and some emmer wheat and wild emmer genotypes via usw47 marker for allele associated with low Cd content. Additionally, some selected durum wheat cultivars with alleles associated with low or high Cd content were tested in pot experiment to verify the molecular data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetic materials

Seventy-one durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) cultivars and advanced breeding lines with 2 universal controls, 24 emmer wheat (Triticum dicoccum L.) genotypes and 11 wild emmer (Triticum dicoccoides L.) genotypes (Table 1) were used as genetic materials in this study. Canadian durum wheat cultivar

Table 1. Different tetraploid germplasm materials used in the study

| No. | Genotype | Species | Genotype | Species | Genotype | Species |
|-----|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| 1   | Triticum durum Desf. | Sizit 79 | Triticum durum Desf. | TGB 02420 | Triticum dicoccoides L. | Dty 812 |
| 2   | Triticum durum Desf. | Ankara 74 | Triticum durum Desf. | TR79489 | Triticum dicoccoides L. | TR61225 |
| 3   | Triticum durum Desf. | Sis gd 14 v | Triticum durum Desf. | TR69596 | Triticum dicoccoides L. | TR69623 |
| 4   | Triticum durum Desf. | Sis gd 14 e | Triticum durum Desf. | TR68784 | Triticum dicoccoides L. | TR68789 |
| 5   | Triticum durum Desf. | Sis gd 14 c | Triticum durum Desf. | TR68817 | Triticum dicoccoides L. | TR68857 |
| 6   | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 7   | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 8   | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 9   | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 10  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 11  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 12  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 13  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 14  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 15  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 16  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 17  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 18  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 19  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 20  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 21  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 22  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 23  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 24  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 25  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 26  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 27  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 28  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 29  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 30  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 31  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 32  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 33  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 34  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 35  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |
| 36  | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 | Triticum durum Desf. | 37 |

74-83 emmer and 98-108 wild emmer genotypes were obtained from Turkish Seed Gene Bank (Ankara, Turkey), 84-97 emmer genotypes were developed in a project funded by TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, project no. 214O401)
Genetic characterization

Seeds of all genotypes were sown on trays and then leaf samples were collected from plants for DNA extraction at 2-3 leaf stage. DNA was extracted according to cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (18). The extracted DNA samples were loaded on agarose gel (Biomain, Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ, USA) with a DNA standard in order to determine the quality and concentration of the DNA and then were stored in sterile distilled water at -20 °C until use. To amplify Cdu1 gene alleles by PCR, the co-dominant CAPS marker usw47, which was derived from an expressed sequence tag (EST) XBF474090 co-segregating with Cdu1 (16), was used.

PCR was carried out as follows: the total volume of the reaction mixture was 15 μL containing 100 ng genomic DNA, 1× PCR buffer (Sigma Aldrich, Merck, St. Louis, MO, USA), 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (Sigma Aldrich, Merck), 0.2 mM of dNTP mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) 0.4 μM of usw47 forward primer (5'-GCTTAGGACTTGGATTGAT-3'), 0.4 μM of usw47 reverse primer (5'-AGTGATCTAAGCTTCTTATA-3'), 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase (Sigma Aldrich, Merck). Amplification was performed in a thermocycler (MyGenie Commander and advanced line Dt 812 kindly provided by Dr Y. Ruan from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada were used as negative and positive control, respectively. Ten emmer wheat and all wild emmer genotypes were obtained from Turkish Seed Gene Bank (Ankara, Turkey). Other emmer wheat lines used in the study were developed via selective breeding in a project funded by TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, Project no. 214O401).

Pot experiment and elemental analysis

After molecular analysis, a small set of commonly cultivated 14 genotypes (Ege-88, Amanos-97, Sançanak 98, Şölen 2002, Turabi, Svevo, Zenit, Firat-93, Fuatbey 2000, GAP, Gediz 75, Tüten 2003, Diyarbakır and Levante) was grown in pots in three replicates. The soil was mixed with acidic peat, in 1:1 ratio to increase the Cd uptake by plants, and then each pot was filled in with 2 kg of the mixture. A volume of 10 mL of CdCl₂·H₂O (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to each pot with automatic pipette to achieve final Cd mass fraction of 8 mg/kg. At physiologically ripening stage based on Zadoks growth scale (Z 98), grain and stem parts were sampled for each genotype and the samples were dried at 70 °C to constant mass. Dried plant samples of 0.5 g each were digested with 10 mL HNO₃/HClO₄ acid (4:1; Merck) mixture on a hotplate. The samples were then heated until a clear solution was obtained. The same procedure was repeated several times. The samples were filtered and diluted to 100 mL using distilled water, and then Cd mass fraction of the combusted samples with other elements such as P, Mg, Ca, K, Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn was measured by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) (Optima, PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Additionally, soil in each pot was analyzed to determine total Cd accumulation from the soil in the biomass of each genotype at the end of the pot experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genetic characterization

Fig. 1 shows the results of PCR analysis of alleles associated with the accumulation of Cd obtained from usw47 marker. According to banding patterns of usw47, there are three possible alleles: for low Cd content, high Cd content and heterogeneous. Fig. S1 and Fig. S2 show all gel visualizations obtained from molecular analysis. Genotyping results of 108 tested tetraploid wheats are shown in Table 2.

Based on the molecular analysis, 21 (52.5 %) out of 40 durum wheat cultivars had alleles associated with high and 12 (47.5 %) with low Cd content, and 19 (36.4 %) out of 33
advanced breeding lines had alleles associated with high and 21 (63.6 %) with low Cd content (Table 2 and Fig. S1). Additionally, only 1 (4 %) of the 24 emmer wheat genotypes had alleles associated with high Cd content, and 7 (63.6 %) of 11 wild emmer genotypes with low and 4 (36.4 %) with high Cd content (Table 2 and Fig. S2). Similar results were obtained by Zimmerl et al. (17), who reported 166 (53 %) of 314 tetraploid wheat genotypes associated with low Cd content and usw47 marker can be successfully used to determine low Cd accumulators in tetraploid wheat accessions. Moreover, Vergine et al. (21) also genetically characterized tetraploid genotypes by a sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) marker, ScOPC20 in terms of Cd accumulation. However, this marker allows to display two different alleles: one associated with low Cd content (band absent) and another with high Cd content (band present), therefore, heterogeneous state cannot be detected.

Table 2. Determination of alleles of durum wheat cultivars associated with low and high Cd content based on molecular analysis

| No. | Molecular evaluation | No. | Molecular evaluation | No. | Molecular evaluation |
|-----|---------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|---------------------|
| 1   | High                | 37  | High                | 73  | Low                 |
| 2   | High                | 38  | Low                 | 74  | Low                 |
| 3   | High                | 39  | Low                 | 75  | Low                 |
| 4   | Low                 | 40  | Low                 | 76  | Low                 |
| 5   | High                | 41  | High                | 77  | Low                 |
| 6   | Low                 | 42  | Low                 | 78  | High                |
| 7   | High                | 43  | High                | 79  | Low                 |
| 8   | High                | 44  | Low                 | 80  | Low                 |
| 9   | High                | 45  | High                | 81  | Low                 |
| 10  | High                | 46  | Low                 | 82  | Low                 |
| 11  | High                | 47  | Low                 | 83  | Low                 |
| 12  | Low                 | 48  | Low                 | 84  | Low                 |
| 13  | Low                 | 49  | Low                 | 85  | Low                 |
| 14  | Low                 | 50  | Low                 | 86  | Low                 |
| 15  | High                | 51  | Low                 | 87  | Low                 |
| 16  | High                | 52  | High                | 88  | Low                 |
| 17  | High                | 53  | High                | 89  | Low                 |
| 18  | Low                 | 54  | High                | 90  | Low                 |
| 19  | High                | 55  | Low                 | 91  | Low                 |
| 20  | High                | 56  | Low                 | 92  | Low                 |
| 21  | High                | 57  | Low                 | 93  | Low                 |
| 22  | Low                 | 58  | High                | 94  | Low                 |
| 23  | High                | 59  | Low                 | 95  | Low                 |
| 24  | Low                 | 60  | High                | 96  | Low                 |
| 25  | Low                 | 61  | Low                 | 97  | Low                 |
| 26  | High                | 62  | Low                 | 98  | Low                 |
| 27  | Low                 | 63  | High                | 99  | Low                 |
| 28  | Low                 | 64  | High                | 100 | High                |
| 29  | Low                 | 65  | High                | 101 | Low                 |
| 30  | Low                 | 66  | High                | 102 | High                |
| 31  | Low                 | 67  | Low                 | 103 | High                |
| 32  | Low                 | 68  | Low                 | 104 | Low                 |
| 33  | High                | 69  | Low                 | 105 | Low                 |
| 34  | Low                 | 70  | Low                 | 106 | Low                 |
| 35  | Low                 | 71  | Low                 | 107 | Low                 |
| 36  | High                | 72  | High                | 108 | High                |
In addition to grain, stem Cd mass fractions were determined (Fig. 2b). The addition of Cd (8 mg/kg) to the soil mixture increased the stem Cd mass fraction in almost all cultivars used in pot experiment. Moreover, among control samples, Gap cultivar had the highest stem Cd mass fraction, while Tüten 2002 cultivar had the lowest (Fig. 2b and Table S2). Durum wheat cultivars with low Cd mass fraction in their stems can be beneficial feed sources especially for small ruminants that graze on wheat stems and leaves after grain harvest under rainfed conditions in Turkey. Svevo cultivar also had the highest stem Cd mass fraction in addition to its high grain Cd accumulation (Fig. 2b). The results of a two-way ANOVA show that there were significant differences (p<0.01) in mass fractions of all elements determined in stem for cultivar and cultivar × treatment interaction (Table S2). On the other hand, Cd mass fractions in underground parts (roots and stubble) of cultivars grown in the soil with the addition of 8 mg/kg Cd were also determined and the results showed that most of the added Cd was accumulated by the plants (Fig. 2c). While Amanos-97 cultivar had the lowest Cd mass fraction in each organ in general, Ege-88 cultivar had the highest Cd mass fraction in the underground parts in particular (Fig. 2c). Considering Cd distribution in plant organs, most of the Cd was found in the underground parts, in which cultivars with the alleles associated with low Cd content had 4.13 mg/kg, i.e. 67 % total Cd (Fig. 3a), whereas those containing alleles associated with high Cd content had 3.75 mg/kg, i.e. 60 % of the total Cd (Fig. 3b).

![Fig. 2. Mass fractions of Cd in: a) grain, b) stem and c) underground parts of durum wheat cultivars in both control and samples grown in the soil with the addition of w(Cd)=8 mg/kg](image)

mass fractions of these elements among cultivars. Moreover, taking into account cultivar × treatment interaction, a significant difference was found in the mass fractions of all elements at the p<0.01 except for phosphorus (p<0.05). As expected, phenotypic data obtained from pot experiment for Cd accumulation in the grain were similar to molecular data. Low mass fraction of Cd was found in cultivars Ege-88, Amanos-97, Sançanak 98, Sölen 2002 and Turabi, which have the allele associated with low Cd content (Fig. 2a). Zimmerl et al. (17) and Perrier et al. (22) reported that varieties with the allele associated with high Cd content had 2.4-fold more Cd in the grain than the varieties with the allele associated with low Cd content.

![Fig. 3. Distribution of Cd content in durum wheat organs of: a) cultivars with alleles associated with low and b) high Cd content](image)
Correlation and multivariate analyses of Cd mass fraction in the grain

In order to understand the relationships among elements, correlation analysis was performed (Table 3). There was a negative correlation between the grain Cd and Cu (r=−0.76, p<0.01) and Mn (r=−0.56, p<0.01) in the control samples. Grain Cd was also negatively correlated with Mg (r=−0.55, p<0.01) in the grain samples grown in soil with added Cd (Table 3). An opposite finding was reported by Perrier et al. (22) that grain Cd was positively correlated with Mn (r=0.61, p<0.01) and Mg (r=0.38, p<0.05). In addition to these, there was a non-significant correlation between the grain Cd and Cu (22). Liu et al. (23) also studied correlations between Cd and mineral nutrients in parts of roots and leaves in rice and they reported that Cd$^{2+}$ was generally correlated with Fe$^{3+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$. Jali et al. (24) conducted a similar study of durum wheat with different Cd mass fractions added to nutrient solution and they reported that for all of them, the mass fractions of Mn, Zn, Cu and Fe were not affected significantly but Cd additions to the solution depressed the uptake of Zn and Mn. A similar negative interaction between Cd and Mn was also found in this study.

Additionally, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to determine the relationships between genotypes and plant organs (Fig. 4). PCA showed that the first two components (PC1 and PC2) accounted for 96.31% of the total variance. PC1 explained 70.18% variance, while PC2 elucidated 26.13% of the total variance (Fig. 4). Moreover, contribution of each plant organ to the PC1 and PC2 shows that Cd in the underground parts of plant (43.51) was major contributor to PC1, whereas Cd in the grain (79.72) mainly contributed to PC2 (Table 4). Vergine et al. (21) similarly performed PCA for determination of Cd mass fraction in durum wheat and they reported that roots and kernels contributed to PC1 and grains mostly contributed to PC2. As a result of biplot visualization, different groups were revealed for each plant organ such as underground part (shoots and roots), stem and grain (Fig. 4). Each circle represents different group of Cd mass fractions in each plant organ in the bi-plot graph. The Diyarbakir and Levante cultivars, marked with yellow colour, accumulate the highest mass fractions of Cd in the grain. The second group marked with green consists of Svevo and Tüten 2002 cultivars, which had the highest mass fractions of Cd in the stem. The third group marked with blue colour comprises Amanos-97 and Sarıçanak 98 cultivars, which accumulate high mass fractions of Cd in the root. All other cultivars, Firat-93, GAP, Fuatbey-2000, Zenit, Gediz 75, Turabi and Ege-88, had lower Cd mass fractions in all plant organs (Fig. 4). Svevo cultivars accumulated the highest mass fraction of Cd in both grain and stem, while Amanos-97 cultivar had the lowest mass fraction of Cd in the stem and grain. At this point, difference in the root to grain translocation of Cd among durum wheat genotypes is very important to develop new cultivars that can be grown in Cd-contaminated soils. If this translocation is weak or root sequestrates the Cd efficiently, grain Cd content will be low (25, 26). In addition to this, partitioning of Cd among plant organs is the second important strategy for low Cd mass fraction in the grain. Perrier et al. (22) highlighted that growing long-stemmed cultivars may have advantages since lower mass fractions of Cd are moved to plant organs such as stem, leaves, bracts, rachis and

Table 3. Correlations between Cd and other elements in the grain of control samples and samples grown in soil with the addition of dw(Cd)=8 mg/kg

| Treatment               | P     | K     | Ca    | Mg    | Fe    | Zn    | Cu    | Mn    |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Control                 | -0.32 | -0.08 | -0.28 | 0.15  | -0.26 | -0.21 | -0.76 | -0.56 |
| dw(Cd)=8 mg/kg          | -0.27 | 0.36  | 0.27  | -0.55 | -0.35 | -0.19 | -0.48 | -0.31 |

*p<0.01

Fig. 4. Bi-plot obtained with principal component analysis (PCA) of Cd mass fractions in plant organs of different durum wheat cultivars
grains. Arduini et al. (27) found that partitioning to shoots and grains with increasing Cd supply was markedly higher in Svevo cultivar. They also reported that high Cd content in grains of Svevo cultivar may be related to the high allocation of biomass in roots during vegetative growth stage coupled with high post-heading dry matter accumulation and root to grain re-mobilization. Higher accumulation of elements from the soil in the plant is a desired trait to obtain higher yield and quality; therefore, breeding studies have focused on improving yield components to increase crop yield (28). Due to these concerns, modern wheat varieties tend to accumulate elements such as Cd in the grain (21,22,28). However, since high Cd content in the grain is not a desirable trait, cultivars with alleles associated with low Cd content and high yield should be given first priority in durum wheat breeding.

| Plant organ (ground part, stems and grains) | PC1 | PC2 |
|------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Underground parts (root and stubble)     | 43.51 | 3.19 |
| Stem                                     | 38.82 | 17.10 |
| Grain                                    | 17.68 | 79.72 |

CONCLUSIONS

In a nutshell, Cd is released into the environment in many ways, including the use of intensive phosphate fertilizers, sewage sludge and fossil fuel combustion in addition to natural Cd sources, and therefore Cd contamination of the soils has increased worldwide. In recent years, lower accumulation of Cd has been a breeding priority in addition to other quality traits especially in Cd-contaminated soils, and many wheat varieties have been developed with marker-assisted breeding. In this study, molecular analysis showed that 24 durum wheat cultivars, one emmer wheat and four wild emmer genotypes accumulated high mass fractions of Cd, while 68 genotypes had the allele associated with low Cd accumulation. Moreover, these molecular findings were supported by elemental analyses performed after pot experiment using a small set of 14 cultivars. In conclusion, since chemical or elemental analyses are expensive and time consuming for selection of genotypes with low levels of Cd, marker-assisted studies can be effectively used for both selection and introgression of Cdu1 alleles to adapted common durum wheat cultivars with low grain Cd content.
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