Dynamic gene regulation by nuclear colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor in human monocytes and macrophages
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Despite their location at the cell surface, several receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) are also found in the nucleus, as either intracellular domains or full length proteins. However, their potential nuclear functions remain poorly understood. Here we find that a fraction of full length Colony Stimulating Factor-1 Receptor (CSF-1R), an RTK involved in monocyte/macrophage generation, migrates to the nucleus upon CSF-1 stimulation in human primary monocytes. Chromatin-immunoprecipitation identifies the preferential recruitment of CSF-1R to intergenic regions, where it co-localizes with H3K4me1 and interacts with the transcription factor EGR1. When monocytes are differentiated into macrophages with CSF-1, CSF-1R is redirected to transcription starting sites, colocalizes with H3K4me3, and interacts with ELK and YY1 transcription factors. CSF-1R expression and chromatin recruitment is modulated by small molecule CSF-1R inhibitors and altered in monocytes from chronic myelomonocytic leukemia patients. Unraveling this dynamic non-canonical CSF-1R function suggests new avenues to explore the poorly understood functions of this receptor and its ligands.
Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) constitute the largest family of catalytic receptors with 58 members. Upon stimulation, RTKs undergo homo-oligomers or hetero-oligomers formation required for cytoplasmic catalytic domain auto-phosphorylation. In turn, multiple signaling pathways are activated and relay information from the cell membrane to intracellular compartments to trigger critical cellular processes. Beside this canonical mode of signaling, several RTKs are also present in the cell nucleus as either intracellular domains (ICD), or full length proteins. ICD are generated by proteolytic cleavage or alternative mRNA splicing. Full length RTKs usually translocate from the cell surface to the nucleus through importin β-mediated pathways. In the nucleus, RTKs phosphorylate proteins and regulate gene transcription.

The nuclear localization of CSF-1R remains a controversial issue. CSF-1R proteolytic cleavage generating an intracellular domain (ICD) migrates in the nucleus was initially reported. The nuclear localization of CSF-1R remains a debated topic, with some studies suggesting it can be recruited to the nucleus, while others have shown it is mainly cytoplasmic. Recent studies have demonstrated that CSF-1R can be transiently recruited to the nucleus upon stimulation, likely to modulate its signaling activity.

CSF-1R is involved in several human diseases, its constitutive inactivating mutation induces a leuko-encephalopathy while its stimulation supports tumor progression and chronic inflammatory diseases. CSF-1R-targeting strategies are currently tested clinically in treating tumor infiltrated with macrophages.

Upon binding of either Colony-Stimulating Factor 1 (CSF-1) or interleukin (IL)-34, its two known ligands, CSF-1R undergoes oligomerization, relieving catalytic domain inhibition and activating signal transduction. The nuclear localization of CSF-1R has been associated with diverse functions, such as transcriptional regulation, cell migration, and signaling inhibition. Confocal imaging has shown that CSF-1R can transiently translocate from the cell surface to the nucleus through Golgi apparatus and endoplasmic reticulum before nuclear addressing through importin β-mediated pathways. In the nucleus, RTKs phosphorylate proteins and regulate gene transcription to modulate DNA damage response, cell proliferation, survival, and migration. Therapeutic strategies targeting RTKs were developed, mostly to treat cancers, and RTKs nuclear accumulation could modulate their efficacy.

Nuclear CSF-1R holoreceptor location is driven by its ligand. Peripheral blood monocytes were exposed to AF488-labeled recombinant CSF-1 for 15 min before fixation and staining with anti-CSF-1R antibody. As expected, CSF-1 and CSF-1R co-localized mainly at the plasma membrane and in the cytoplasm. CSF-1 was also detected in monocyte nucleus where it co-localizes with CSF-1R. Upon binding of CSF-1R to its receptor, it undergoes oligomerization, relieving catalytic domain inhibition and activating signal transduction. The nuclear localization of CSF-1R is controversial, with some studies suggesting it can be recruited to the nucleus, while others have shown it is mainly cytoplasmic. Recent studies have demonstrated that CSF-1R can be transiently recruited to the nucleus upon stimulation, likely to modulate its signaling activity.

CSF-1R is recruited on chromatin in human monocyte nucleus. Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by deep sequencing (ChIP-Seq) was performed with an anti-CSF-1R antibody in monocytes sorted from three healthy donors. Broad peak calling using MACS2 algorithm identified a mean number of 36,884 peaks, of which 4980 (13%) were common to the three samples. Intersection with Irreproducible Discovery Rate (IDR) analysis selected a set of 2303 common peaks. Cis-Regulatory Annotation System (CEAS) indicated that CSF-1R was preferentially recruited to intergenic (63.5%) regions. To gain insight into CSF-1R function at the chromatin level, we performed genome-wide analysis of H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 marks by ChIP-seq. For example, CSF-1R was recruited upstream of KLF6, PU.1 and CEBPB genes.

**Results**

A fraction of CSF-1R is located in human monocyte nucleus. We sorted human monocytes from healthy donor peripheral blood and detected CSF-1R in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus by confocal microscopy. The signal specificity was confirmed by the use of a blocking peptide mimicking the epitope recognized by CSF-1R antibody, and by monocyte transfection with CFMS siRNA. CSF-1R was also detected in monocyte nucleus by imaging flow cytometry (Supplementary Fig. 1a, b).

Monocyte fractionation into nuclear versus cytoplasmic and membrane fractions followed by immunoblotting confirmed CSF-1R nuclear detection as a full-length protein with partially (130 kDa) and fully glycosylated (170 kDa) forms (Fig. 1e). Again, signal specificity was demonstrated by two CFMS targeting siRNAs, which totally abolished the signal in the nucleus and the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Finally, CSF-1R localization was observed by electron microscopy in heterochromatin and euchromatin (Fig. 1f), which was validated by a distinct antibody targeting CSF-1R N-terminal fragment (Supplementary Fig. 1d).

Monocyte fractionation without denaturation, followed by immunoblotting, detected a transient CSF-1R dimerization in the membrane and cytoplasmic fraction after 10 min of CSF-1 treatment, which was not detected in nuclear extracts, even after prolonged immunoblot exposure, suggesting the nuclear expression of monomeric holoreceptor (Supplementary Fig. 1e). All together, these results demonstrate the presence of a fraction of full-length CSF-1R in human monocyte nucleus.
transcription factor binding sites, the most significant being EGR2 and EGR1 motifs (Fig. 3e). The ten biological pathways with highest enrichment identified by gene ontology (GO) analysis were related to monocyte and macrophage functions (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting a role for nuclear CSF-1R in supporting monocyte trophic functions.

CSF-1R interacts with EGR1 to downregulate gene expression. Since CSF-1R is recruited on EGR1 motifs and EGR1 is a transcription factor involved in monocytopoiesis23, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments in whole monocyte extracts, which demonstrated an interaction between the two proteins (Fig. 4a). We reasoned that CSF-1R and EGR1 peaks might colocalize in genome-wide analysis. ChIP-seq experiments were performed on two healthy donor monocyte samples with an anti-EGR1 antibody. Broad peak calling using MACS2 algorithm identified 3542 common peaks (Supplementary Data 3). A large fraction of EGR1 peaks (56.9%) co-localized with CSF-1R peaks and were mainly localized to intergenic regions (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig. 3a and Supplementary Data 4). Ranking heatmap centered on CSF-1R common peaks further showed their
Motif analysis obviously identified EGR1 (Supplementary Fig. 4c). Deep sequencing of these libraries, clones was very low as compared with wild type cells of DNA captured by the anti-CSF-1R antibody in EGR1-deleted clones and their wildtype counterpart. Importantly, the quantity of nuclear CSF-1 positive cells (mean +/− SEM of 3 independent experiments, scale: 10 μm, Mann-Whitney test: *P < 0.05). e Monocytes were treated or not with indicated concentrations of BLZ945 and GW2580 before imaging flow cytometry analysis of CSF-1R nuclear localization (MFI, mean fluorescence intensity, mean +/− SEM, Unpaired t test: ***P < 0.001, n = 3). f Monocytes were treated or not for 3 h with 200 nM GW2580, in the absence or presence of 20 nM Leptomycin B, fixed and stained for CSF-1R or control IgG before imaging flow cytometry analysis of CSF-1R nuclear localization (mean +/− SEM, Paired t test: ***P < 0.001, n = 2).

colocalisation with EGR1 and H3K4me1 while being distant from H3K4me3 peaks (Fig. 4c). We also observed that 78.2% of common CSF-1R and EGR1 peaks colocalized with H3K4me1 mark (Supplementary Data 5), which is exempliﬁed on speciﬁc genes, namely PU.1, KLF6 (Fig. 4d), C3, CEBPD and CMKL1 (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Motif analysis obviously identiﬁed EGR2 and EGR1 motifs as EGR1 recruitment sequences (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Collectively, these results argue for EGR1 and CSF-1R co-recruitment on chromatin with H3K4me1 mark on monocyte nucleus.

To explore the role of EGR1 in recruiting CSF-1R at the chromatin level, we deleted EGR1 gene in THP1 monocytic cell line using Crisper/Cas9 technology. Preliminary experiments detected 2124 CSF-1R peaks common to primary human monocytes and THP1 cells. We obtained three clones with EGR1 homozygous deletion, which was validated by Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Fig. 4a) and RT-qPCR (Supplementary Fig. 4b). We performed CSF-1R ChIP-seq analysis in these clones and their wildtype counterpart. Importantly, the quantity of DNA captured by the anti-CSF-1R antibody in EGR1-deleted clones was very low as compared with wild type cells (Supplementary Fig. 4c). Deep sequencing of these libraries, whose results were pooled for analysis, indicated that EGR1 deletion abrogated CSF-1R localization at EGR1 sites, for example on PU.1, CEBPD (Fig. 4e), CALML5, TLR10, TOM1, and ROR2 genes (Supplementary Fig. 4d).

We then transfected monocytes with CFMS-targeting or scrambled siRNA to establish whether CSF-1R could be involved in gene regulation. CSF-1R downregulation induced a significant increase in the expression of several genes on which CSF1R was detected by ChIP-seq experiments, including KLF6 (Fig. 5a). Since this experiment could not uncouple membrane and nuclear CSF-1R functions, we also cloned four identiﬁed EGR1 motif sequences upstream of a LUCIFERASE reporter gene and transfected monocytes with this construct and CFMS-speciﬁc or control siRNA. An increased LUCIFERASE expression was observed after CSF-1R downregulation, suggesting a repressive role of CSF-1R on EGR1 sites (Fig. 5a). Since ChIP-seq experiments identiﬁed CSF-1R and EGR1 on PU.1 promoter (Fig. 4d), we also cloned PU.1 promoter with (PU.1 promoter 1) or without (PU.1 promoter 2) CSF-1R recruitment site upstream of LUCIFERASE gene. In monocytes transfected with the ﬁrst plasmid, we observed an increased LUCIFERASE expression after CSF-1R downregulation (Fig. 5c), together with an increased expression of PU.1 (Fig. 5d). These effects were not observed with the second plasmid encoding a promoter deleted of CSF-1R
recruitment site (Fig. 5c, d). Collectively, these data indicate that CSF-1R negatively regulates \( PU.1 \) gene expression in human monocytes.

Differential CSF-1R chromatin localization in macrophages. Primary monocytes exposed to CSF-1 during 72 h differentiate into macrophages. Immunoblot analysis of nuclear extracts collected during this process detected a transient decrease in nuclear CSF-1R expression, 6 to 24 h after CSF-1 stimulation. After 72 h, the lower molecular weight CSF-1R was increased in macrophages (Fig. 6a). CSF-1R nuclear staining was quantified over the time after CSF-1 treatment by confocal imaging, showing again a decrease of CSF-1R nuclear localization after 24 and 72 h compared with resting monocytes (Fig. 6b). Confocal imaging also detected CSF-1R in the nucleus of a fraction of these macrophages (Fig. 6c, d), which was confirmed by orthogonal views (Fig. 6e) and further validated by immunogold staining and electron microscopy (Fig. 6f). Interestingly, nuclear CSF-1R was mainly located on the verge of heterochromatin, which suggested its association with actively transcribed regions.24

**Fig. 3** CSF-1R is recruited to the chromatin in human monocyte nucleus. a Venn diagram of peak calling (input normalization) from ChIP-seq experiment with sc-46662 anti-CSF-1R antibody performed on peripheral blood monocytes collected from 3 healthy donors. b Repartition of the ChIP-seq peaks common to the 3 donors on the genome (right panel) as compared with hg19 reference genome annotation (left panel). c, d Peak calling for CSF-1R (blue), H3K4me1 (orange) and H3K4me3 (purple). ChIP-seq on KLF6 (c) and SPI1/PU.1 (d) genes in the three healthy donor monocytes (TSS: transcription starting site, TTS: transcription termination site). e Motif analysis of ChIP-seq peaks common to the 3 healthy donor monocyte samples.
indicated that CSF-1R was less recruited to intergenic regions (26.4%) as compared with untreated monocytes (63.5%, Fig. 3b) while a significant fraction of CSF-1R was detected on promoter-TSS (transcription starting site) (11.9%), exonic (9.1%) and 5′ UTR (9.9%) regions (Supplementary Fig. 5b). CSF-1R promoter-TSS recruitment was validated by ChIP-qPCR for CFMS, MYB, EZH2, FOS, YY1 and IL6R genes in macrophages from independent healthy donors, RAC2 being used as a negative control (Supplementary Fig. 5c).

Comparison of peak localization in monocytes and macrophages identified 1192 common peaks (representing 23.9% and 21.2% of monocyte and macrophage peaks, respectively, Supplementary Data 7). ChIP-Seq experiments with histone marks H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 performed on the same samples showed a higher fraction of CSF-1R associated with H3K4me1 in monocytes and H3K4me3 in macrophages (Fig. 7a and Supplementary Data 8–11, respectively). Ranking heatmaps centered on TSS indicated that CSF-1R is relocalized around the TSS where it colocalizes with H3K4me3 in macrophages (Fig. 7b), as exemplified for MAFB, JUN, and MYC genes (Supplementary Fig. 5d). CSF-1R location also changed from the promoter region of PU.1 gene in monocytes to PU.1 first intron in

**Fig. 4** CSF-1R interacts with EGR1 to modulate gene expression. a Co-immunoprecipitation experiment using an anti-CSF-1R (sc-365719) or a control IgG in monocytes followed by immunoblotting with anti-CSF-1R and anti-EGR1 antibodies (n = 3). Asterisk (*) indicates a longer exposure of the blot. b Venn diagram of peak calling (input normalization) common to three donors from ChIP-seq experiment with sc-46662 anti-CSF-1R and sc-110X anti-EGR1 antibodies.

c Ranking heatmap centered on CSF-1R peaks (top) and on gene transcription starting sites (TSS) of CSF-1R, H3K4me1, EGR1 and H3K4me3 peaks in monocytes.

d Peak calling for H3K4me1 (orange), H3K4me3 (purple), EGR1 (red), CSF-1R (blue). ChIP-seq on PU.1 and KLF6 genes in monocytes (TSS: transcription starting site, TTS: transcription termination site).

e Peak calling on PU.1 and CEBPD genes for EGR1 in monocytes and for CSF-1R in monocytes (Mo) from two donors (in blue, same donors as in Fig. 3), wild-type THP-1 clones (THP1/WT), THP-1 clones deleted for EGR1 (pool of 3 clones, THP1/CRISPR).
macrophages where it colocalizes with H3K4me3 mark (Supplementary Fig. 5e). GO analysis of CSF-1R-interacting genes in macrophages showed a dramatic change as compared with monocytes as biological pathways with highest enrichment were related mainly to epigenetics and transcription regulation (Supplementary Table 2). Enforcing this dynamic process, motif analysis revealed CSF-1R recruitment on different transcription factor binding sites such as E2F4, ELK4, YY1 (yin yang 1), and ELK1, not seen in monocytes (Fig. 7c). All together, CSF-1-induced monocyte differentiation into macrophages modifies CSF-1R recruitment on chromatin. ELK proteins bind to serum response elements to modulate immune response\textsuperscript{25,26}, while YY1 is involved in macrophage response to lipopolysaccharides\textsuperscript{27}. Co-immunoprecipitation (Fig. 7d) and immunofluorescence (Supplementary Fig. 5f) experiments in macrophages argued for CSF-1R interaction with ELK1 and YY1 proteins.

siRNA mediated downregulation of CSF-1R in CSF-1 treated monocytes induced a significant decrease in YY1, ASXL1, CBL, and CJUN expression (Supplementary Fig. 6a), supporting the idea that CSF-1R may directly or indirectly participate to the transcription of these genes in macrophages. We then selected, two genes whose expression upon CSF-1R downregulation was decreased by CSF-1R downregulation in macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 6b). The other one is CSF2RB whose expression increases in monocytes when CSF-1R is downregulated (Fig. 5a) while being not affected by CSF-1R downregulation in macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 6b). The other one is CSF2RB, which is not significantly modified by CFMS siRNA in monocytes (Fig. 5a) while its expression is dramatically decreased by CSF-1R downregulation in macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 6b).

**CSF-1 modulates fastely CSF-1R recruitment on chromatin.** Having observed that monocyte exposure to CSF-1 for 72 h induced CSF-1R relocalization on gene TSS, we explored the kinetics of this event by treating monocytes with CSF-1 for only 6 h. ChIP-seq experiments (Supplementary Data 12) identified an increase in CSF-1R recruitment on exonic, promoter-TSS, TTS

---

**Fig. 5** CSF-1R negatively regulates PU.1 gene expression in human monocytes. **a** The expression of indicated genes was measured by RT-qPCR in monocytes transfected 72 h before with a scramble (SCR) or a CFMS specific siRNA (mean +/− SEM of 6 independent experiments, results in CFMS-siRNA transfected cells normalized to SCR-siRNA = blue hatched line; Mann-Whitney test: * \( P < 0.05; \) ns, non significant). **b** Expression of LUCIFERASE (LUC, normalized to Renilla) and CFMS (normalized to TUBULIN) genes in monocytes, 48 h after transfection with a scramble (SCR) or a CFMS specific siRNA and PGL4.17-EGR1 motif plasmid (mean +/− SEM of 3 independent experiments, results in CFMS-siRNA transfected cells normalized to SCR-siRNA = blue hatched line; Paired \( t \) test: \( * P < 0.05, \) **\( P < 0.01)\). **c** Expression of LUCIFERASE (normalized to Renilla) and CFMS (normalized to TUBULIN) in monocytes 48 h after transfection with SCR or CFMS specific siRNA and PGL4.17-PU1 promoter 1 (complete) or PGL4.17-PU1 promoter 2 (deleted of CSF-1R recruitment site) plasmids (mean +/− SEM of 3 independent experiments, normalization to control siRNA with PU.1 promoter 1 = blue hatched line, Paired \( t \) test: \( * P < 0.05, \) **\( P < 0.01)\). **d** Expression of PU.1 and CFMS genes in monocytes 72 h after transfection with SCR or CFMS specific siRNA (mean +/− SEM of 4 independent experiments, normalization to control siRNA, Paired \( t \) test: \( * P < 0.05)\).
(transcription termination site) and 5′UTR regions compared with unstimulated monocytes (Fig. 8a, b). The fraction of CSF-1R peaks colocalizing with H3K4me3 after 6 h of CSF-1 exposure was 90% (Supplementary Data 13). Only 28% of CSF-1R peaks remained similar to unstimulated cells (Fig. 8c and Supplementary Data 14). CSF-1R was detected on a fraction of chromatin sites identified in macrophages, e.g., PU.1 TSS, while some CSF-1R localizations as CSF2RB TSS were only transiently detected in cells treated for 6 h with CSF-1 (Fig. 8c). Pathway analysis indicated that CSF-1R localizes mainly to genes involved in CSF-1 signaling, phagocytosis, and migration (Supplementary Table 3). Most of these pathways differ from those identified in resting

Fig. 6 Differential CSF-1R chromatin localization in CSF-1-induced macrophages. a Nuclear proteins were extracted from monocytes stimulated with 100 ng/mL CSF-1 during the indicated times, and analyzed by immunoblotting for CSF-1R, Lamin B (nucleus marker) and α-tubulin (cytosol marker) (n = 3). b Monocytes were stimulated or not with 100 ng/mL CSF-1 during the indicated times, stained with an anti-CSF-1R antibody (C-ter sc-692) or a control IgG and Dapi, followed by confocal imaging analysis of CSF-1R nuclear localization (MFI, mean fluorescence intensity, A.U. arbitrary units, mean ± SD, Paired t test: ***P < 0.001, n = 3). c Monocytes were treated 3 days with 100 ng/mL CSF-1, fixed and stained for CSF-1R or control IgG (green) and Dapi (blue) followed by confocal imaging (n = 3, scale: 10 μm). d Quantification of the signal generated by CSF-1R labeling (green) and Dapi labeling (blue) according to indicated axes (A.U.: arbitrary units, ROI: region of interest, scale: 10 μm). e Macrophages were stained with an anti-CSF-1R antibody (C-ter sc-692) and Dapi (blue), followed by confocal imaging analysis (stack of 50 pictures of 0.2 μm) to reconstitute an orthogonal view (scale: 10 μm). f Monocytes were differentiated 3 days with 100 ng/mL CSF-1, fixed and stained for CSF-1R (sc-692) followed by electron microscopy (n = 1, scale: 500 nm)
Fig. 7 Differential CSF-1R chromatin localization in CSF-1-induced macrophages. **a** Venn diagram of ChIP-seq peak number in untreated monocytes (Day 0) and monocytes treated with 100 ng/mL CSF-1 for 3 days (Day 3) showing peaks common to the 3 donors. Left: CSF-1R and H3K4me1 peaks; right: CSF-1R and H3K4me3 peaks. **b** Ranking heatmap centered on CSF-1R peaks (top) and on gene transcription starting sites (TSS) of CSF-1R, H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 peaks in CSF-1-differentiated macrophages (3 days 100 ng/mL). **c** Motif analysis of ChIP-seq experiment on 3 day CSF-1 differentiated monocytes (100 ng/mL). **d** Co-immunoprecipitation of CSF1R and ELK1 (upper panel) or YY1 (lower panel). Immunoprecipitation (IP) was performed with an anti-ELK1 (sc-365876) or anti-CSF-1R (sc-365719) and a control IgG in monocytes treated for 3 days with 100 ng/mL CSF-1, followed by immunoblotting with an anti-CSF-1R (n = 2 each) or an anti-YY1 (n = 3) antibodies.
**Fig. 8** CSF-1 modulates CSF-1R recruitment on chromatin within a few hours. a Repartition of CSF-1R ChIP-seq peaks and the intersection of CSF-1R and H3K4me3 peaks on the genome of monocytes exposed for 6 h to 100 ng/mL CSF-1. Reference genome is shown on Fig. 3b. b Heatmap centered on CSF-1R peaks (top) and on gene transcription starting sites (TSS) of CSF-1R and H3K4me3 peaks in unstimulated monocytes (0 h) and monocytes exposed to CSF-1 for 6 h (6 h). c ChIP-Seq experiments showing CSF-1R, H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 peak localization on PU.1, CSF2RB, SYK and STAT6 genes in monocytes, either untreated (0 h) or treated with CSF1 for 6 h (6 h) or 3 days (72 h) (TSS: transcription starting site, TTS: transcription termination site). d Motif analysis of the CSF-1R ChIP-seq peaks from monocytes stimulated with 100 ng/mL CSF-1 for 6 h.

| Motif | Transcription factor | Percentage of target sequence | P value |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| ![Motif](image1) | ELK4 | 25.7% | 1e-18 |
| ![Motif](image2) | YY1 | 5.3% | 1e-15 |
| ![Motif](image3) | ELK1 | 24.7% | 1e-15 |
| ![Motif](image4) | ELF1 | 22.9% | 1e-15 |
| ![Motif](image5) | STAT5 | 9.9% | 1e-13 |
monocytes, as well as in macrophages. Motif analysis indicated CSF-1R localization on Elk and YY1 motifs, as in macrophages, and ELF1 and STAT5 motifs, which were only transiently detected after 6 h of CSF-1 stimulation (Fig. 8d). These results demonstrate a dynamic CSF-1R/chromatin interaction through monocyte to macrophage differentiation upon CSF-1 exposure.

Abnormal CSF-1R expression in CMLL monocytes. CMLL is a myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative syndrome characterized by an abnormal expansion of circulating classical monocytes.28,29 We detected a heterogeneous decrease in CFMS mRNA and CSF-1R protein expression in patient monocytes compared with healthy donor monocytes (Fig. 9a, b). In many patient samples, the decreased expression of CSF-1R precluded CSF-1R ChIP-seq experiments. In those in which we succeeded in carrying out CSF-1R immunoprecipitation, we generated a bed file of 2276 reproducible peaks (intersection of 4980 peaks obtained with the monoclonal N-terminal CSF-1R antibody with 23,480 peaks obtained with the polyclonal, C-terminal CSF-1R antibody). Some enrichments on chromatin sites were conserved in healthy donors and patient monocytes, e.g., on intergenic area on chromosome 1 and CEBPA and BCL2 genes (Fig. 9c) whereas others were lost in some patient cells, e.g., in BP1F1 gene, downstream of API1M1 gene and in intergenic areas on chromosomes 11 and 22 (Fig. 9d). These results indicated a heterogeneous disruption of CSF-1R interaction with chromatin in CMLL patient monocytes.

Discussion

The present study demonstrates the presence of a fraction of CSF-1R in human primary monocyte nucleus and its recruitment to chromatin where it colocalizes with H3K4me1 marks and interacts with EGR1 to modulate gene expression. Upon CSF-1-induced monocyte differentiation into macrophage, nuclear CSF-1R is relocated on chromatin within a few hours to differentially influence gene expression through interaction with YY1 and ELK transcription factors. Small molecule inhibitors of CSF-1R interfere with these nuclear functions that are otherwise disorganized in CMLL dysplastic monocytes.

Several RTKs have been shown to modulate gene transcription by interacting with transcription factors30,31, carrying these proteins to the nucleus32, promoting their recruitment to DNA33, or phosphorylating nuclear proteins34,35. CSF-1R itself was shown to be recruited to specific genes in breast cancer cells36. We now demonstrate a physiological and dynamic role of CSF-1R in regulating gene expression along the differentiation of human monocytes. These cells, which circulate in the blood stream, are considered as an intermediate developmental stage between bone marrow precursors and some tissue macrophage populations. Nuclear CSF-1R may be a molecular component of monocyte differentiation into macrophages through modulating the expression of key regulatory genes such as CEBPD and KLF6.36 CSF-1R staining was diffuse in resting monocytes. The punctual staining detected in response to CSF-1 treatment may indicate internalization in endocytic vesicles following receptor activation at the membrane level, and the formation of protein complexes involving CSF-1R along specific chromatin sites in the nucleus. We noticed a decrease in nuclear CSFIR upon treatment with CSF-1R small molecule inhibitors, suggesting that interaction of the receptor with its ligand may drive its nuclear accumulation. The slight but reproducible increase in nuclear CSFIR observed at highest BLZ945 concentration could indicate a toxic effect of the compound.

A distinct interaction of CSF-1R with chromatin is observed among cell types at the level of individual genes, e.g., CSF-1R is recruited to distinct sequences on CMYC and C1JUN genes in macrophages and breast cancer cells, respectively.39 As CSF-1R lacks DNA binding domain, it may be recruited to these DNA sequences through interaction with other proteins, including transcription factors. These interactions may change with the functional program followed by these cells, e.g., CSF-1R interaction with EGR1 detected in monocytes is lost in macrophages in which the transcription factor expression is downregulated.36 Changes in epigenetic landscape along monocyte differentiation may be part of the molecular mechanisms that modulate CSF-1R interaction with nuclear chromatin.37,38 An endotoxin challenge would probably modify the pattern of CSF-1R/chromatin interaction in either monocytes or macrophages.

CSF-1R relocalization to active genes with an H3K4me3 mark was detected as soon as 6 h after CSF-1 stimulation without being totally similar to that observed after 72 h of CSF-1 exposure, suggesting a dynamic pattern of CSF-1R recruitment to chromatin. Monocytes are highly plastic cells whose differentiation is diverted towards osteoclasts when RANKL is combined with CSF-1,37 while a combination of CSF-2 and IL-4 promotes monocyte/macrophage differentiation.38 Each of these processes may generate a specific pattern of nuclear CSF-1R interaction with chromatin. Finally, CSF-1R expression level and chromatin recruitment are altered in CMLL patient monocytes, which may be related to splicing and epigenetic aberrations that characterize this disease36,39 and further supports the dysplastic nature of these cells.

Nuclear CSF-1R could also play an important role in CSF-1 capacity to instruct hematopoietic stem cell commitment towards monocyte lineage through promoting PU.1/SPI1 gene transcription, as depicted in mice.40 The differential recruitment of CSFIR on PU.1/SPI1 sequence in monocytes and macrophages suggests a subtle regulation of this gene in response to CSF-1. More generally, CSF-1R nuclear functions could be shared with other RTK subfamily members such as PDGFR (Platelet-derived growth factor receptor), which was detected in cell nucleus, as well as KIT (Mast/Stem cell growth factor receptor) and FLT3 (Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3), whose nuclear localization remains to be explored. Finally, therapeutic strategies targeting these receptors are currently developed, e.g., CSF-1R-targeting strategies are used to deplete tumor-associated macrophages.41 Further investigations will indicate how nuclear location of CSF1R could modulate the response to these drugs.42,43

Methods

Antibodies and reagents. For immunofluorescence, imaging cytometry and electron microscopy experiments, we used anti-CSF-1R antibodies (sc-692, sc-365719), anti-ELK-1 antibody (sc-365876) and corresponding isotype controls (normal rabbit IgG sc-2027, normal mouse IgG sc-2025) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Clini-
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siences, Nanterre, France). Secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-Rabbit; were purchased at ThermoFisher Scientific (Walhalla, USA) and at TEBU-Bio (Le Perray-en-Yvelines, France) (goat anti-rabbit and anti-mouse with gold parti-

cles). For immunoblot experiments, we used Cell Signaling Technology (Clini-

ciences, Nanterre, France) antibodies: anti-CSF-1R (#3152), anti-YY1 (#2185), anti-HDAC2 (#2540), anti-α Tubulin (#3873); Santa Cruz Biotechnology antibi-

todies: anti-GAPDH (sc-22323), anti-EGR1 (sc-110), anti-LAMIN B (sc-6217); anti-ACTIN (A5441) from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) and anti-H3K4me3 from Active Motif (39159) (La Hulpe, Belgium). Secondary anti-

bodies were purchased at ThermoFisher Scientific (anti-rabbit, anti-mouse and anti-

goat HRP-conjugated). For immunoprecipitation experiments, we used anti-CSF-1R (sc-65719), (anti-ELK1 (sc-365876) or control Mouse IgG (sc-2025) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. For ChIP-qPCR and ChIP-seq experiments we used two anti-

CSF-1R antibodies (sc-692 and sc-46662), EGR1 antibody (sc-110X), corresponding isotype controls (normal rabbit IgG sc-2027, normal mouse IgG sc-2025) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, anti-H3K4me3 and H3K4me1 from Active Motif (39159 and 39297, respectively). Chemical inhibitors of CSF-1R were purchased at Interchim (BLZ945, ThermoFisher Scientific) and Sigma-Aldrich (GW2580).

Monocyte sorting and culture. Peripheral blood mononucleated cells (PBMC) were obtained from healthy donor buffy coats (Établissement Français du sang, Rungis, France) or newly diagnosed CMLL patients whose samples were collected
**Fig. 9** CSF-1R is abnormally expressed and recruited on chromatin in CMML monocytes. 

(a) Total proteins were extracted from isolated control or CMML monocytes and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies that recognize CSF-1R and actin.

(b) CFMS expression was assessed by RNA-sequencing and qPCR in monocytes of 9 and 13 CMML patients, respectively, compared with 3 and 8 control buffy coats (Ctrl), respectively (mean +/− SEM, Mann–Whitney test: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01).

(c) ChIP-Seq experiments showing CSF-1R peak localization on intergenic area on chromosome 1 (chr1: intergenic), upstream of CEBPA and on BCL2 genes that are conserved in control and CMML monocytes.

(d) ChIP-Seq experiments showing CSF-1R peak localization on BPIFB1, downstream of AP1M1 and on intergenic area on chromosome 11 and 22 (chr11: intergenic and chr22: intergenic) that can be lost in CMML compared with healthy donor monocytes (CSF-1R N-ter: sc-46662 monoclonal antibody in dark blue, CSF-1R C-ter: sc-692 polyclonal antibody in light blue).
Confocal microscopy. 150 × 10⁶ monocytes were cytospun 5 min at 650 × g then fixed 10 min with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). For adherent macrophages cultured in chamber slides (Nunc Lab-Tek II, ThermoFisher Scientific), the medium was removed and the cells directly fixed with PFA on the slide. After 3 washes in PBS, cells were incubated with anti-CSF-1R antibody (2 µg/mL) or isotype control (2 µg/mL) with blocking peptide if necessary (sc-6929, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) diluted in 0.5% saponin in PBS for 30 min. Cells were then washed with 0.05% saponin and incubated 1 h at room temperature (RT), followed by wash. The secondary antibody was added (10 µg/mL) with 0.05% saponin during 30 min following wash. Slides were mounted using Vectashield mounting medium with Dapi (Vectorlabs, Clinisciences). Specimens were examined with a laser confocal microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and with the nuclear CSF-1R fluorescence was quantified using Imager software. The nucleus areas were selected in each cell using Dapi staining before measuring the mean intensity of CSF-1R fluorescence inside each nucleus with ROI manager. Live cell imaging movie and orthogonal view were created with Imager software.

CSF-1 chemical modification. Human recombinant CSF-1 (Peprotech) was coupled with Alexa Fluor 488 using the Alexa fluor 488 Microsale Protein Labeling Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the supplier instructions.

Live cell imaging. Adherent cells cultured in chamber slides were serum-deprived, then stained with 100 ng/mL Hoechst (BD Biosciences, Le Pont de Claix, France) during 30 min, stimulated 15 min with 1 µg/mL fluorescent CSF-1 before wash and living cell observation with a spinning disk microscope (Andor Technology, Belfast, UK) during 10 min (1-min delay between each image).

Electron microscopy. Cells were centrifuged and fixed as a pellet with 1.6% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, rinsed and equilibrated in 30% methanol, deposited in a Leica EM AF25/FSP automatic reagent handling apparatus (Leica Microsystems) and embedded in Lowicryl K4M under UV for 40 h at −18 °C and 0.1 mW/cm² UV. For immunogold electron microscopy (IEM), ultra-thin sections were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the anti-rabbit CSF-1R antibody diluted 1/10 in PBT (1× PBS + 5% BSA + 0.05% Triton X-100) and for 30 min with a secondary anti-rabbit antibody coupled to 10-nm gold particles (BBInternational, Cardiff, UK) diluted 1/25 in PBS. Thin sections were then contrasted with uranyl acetate and analyzed with a Tecnai Spirit (FEI, Hillsboro, OR). Digital images were taken with a SiS MegaviewIII charge-coupled device camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Imaging cytometry. Two to 10 × 10⁶ cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 10 min at 4 °C, washed with 0.05% saponin and incubated 1 h at 4 °C with primary CSF-1R antibody or control isotype diluted in 0.05% saponin. After washing, cells were incubated with a secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit AF488, 20 µg/mL) diluted in 0.05% saponin for 1 h at 4 °C, washed, and resuspended in 2% PBS-PBS before adding 200 ng/mL Dapi (BD Biosciences). Samples were analyzed on ImageStream X MK2. Focused cells were selected using Gradient RMS function and analysis was performed on singulet CSF-1R+/Dapi− cells on IDEAS software.

Cell fractionation and protein extraction. For extracting the cytoplasmic membrane and the nuclear fractions, cells were incubated 15 min on ice in a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 µM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF (Buffer 1). NP-40 (0.625%) was added and the cells briefly vortexed. Samples were then centrifuged 1 min at 16,000×g at 4 °C. The supernatant (consisting of cytoplasmic plus membrane fraction) was collected and the pellet rinsed with Buffer 1 3 times (centrifugation 1 min at 16,000×g and supernatant discarded). The rinsed pellets (consisting of the nuclear fraction) were resuspended in 2× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Marne-la-Coquette, France) supplemented with 0.1 M DTT and 1× Protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) during 15 min with agitation at 4 °C. 4× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad) supplemented with 0.1 M DTT was added to the cytoplasmic fraction and all the samples were then boiled 10 min at 95 °C. To explore the location of CSF-1R dimers, the same protocol was used without DTT in both buffers. After compartment separation, the samples were sonicated 3 times and 4× Laemmli added to cytoplasmic fraction. Samples were then used without boiling. Extraction of total proteins from CMMI peripheral blood was performed with RIPA/PROTEIN Purification Plus Kit (NorgenBiotek, Interchim, Montluçon, France) according to the supplier instructions. 4× Laemmli, 0.1 M DTT and 1× Protease inhibitor cocktail were added and the samples were boiled 10 min at 95 °C.

Immunoprecipitation. Cells were lysed 20 min on ice in a buffer containing 1% Triton X-1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P40, 10 mM Glycerol, 1 mM Na3VO4 and 1× Protease inhibitor cocktail (100 µl for 10 × 10⁶ cells). Samples were then used without boiling. Extraction of total proteins from CMML supernatant (constituting of cytoplasmic plus membrane fraction) was collected. Ten µg of CSF-1R or ELK1 antibody or negative control IgG were added for 10 × 10⁶ cells and the samples incubated one night at 4 °C with agitation. One hundred µl of Protein A/G PLUS-Agarose (Santa Cruz biotechnology) were incubated with the samples during 2 h at 4 °C with agitation. The complexes were then precipitated with 1 min centrifugation at 2000×g and the supernatant, consisting of <output> was collected. The remaining beads were washed 5 times with 500 µl of lysis buffer without NP-40. 2× Laemmli with 0.1 M DTT and Protease inhibitor cocktail 1× was added on the beads and outputs. The samples were then boiled 10 min at 95 °C.

Immunoblotting. Proteins were separated on polyacrylamide gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (ThermoFisher Scientific). Membranes were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS, with 0.1% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 40 min at RT, incubated overnight at 4 °C with the primary antibodies (dilution 1/1000), then washed and further incubated with 0.1% Tween-20 1 h at RT with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (InVitroGen, Sainte-Julie, Quebec, Canada) and with 0.1 M DTT was added to the cytoplasmic fraction and all the samples were then boiled 10 min at 95 °C.

Gene expression analysis. Total RNA was isolated from primary monocytes or macrophages with RNeasy mini Kit (Qiagen). Reverse transcription was converted using random hexamers (ThermoFisher Scientific) and reverse transcriptase (Super Script II, ThermoFisher Scientific). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using SYBR-Green (ThermoFisher Scientific) in an applied biosystem 7300 thermocycler using the standard SYBR-Green detection protocol as outlined by the manufacturers (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher Scientific). Monocytes were cultured for 2 days with CSF-1 (100 ng/mL), medium was then replaced by the same medium without antibiotics, and cells were transfected with 0.1 nmol siRNA for 0.3 × 10⁶ cells according to the supplier instructions. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were collected using EDTA for gene expression analysis. We used Stealth siRNA duplex (ThermoFisher Scientific) targeting CFMS and Stealth RNAi siRNA Negative Control. Small interfering RNAs were introduced into macrophages by transfection with lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Monocytes were cultured for 2 days with CSF-1 (100 ng/mL), medium was then replaced by the same medium without antibiotics, and cells were transfected with 0.1 nmol siRNA for 0.3 × 10⁶ cells according to the supplier instructions. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were transfected using EDTA for gene expression analysis.

Transient transfection and siRNA knockdown. Small macrophages were introduced into monocytes by transfection (Lonza). Briefly, 5 × 10⁶ monocytes resuspended in 100 µl nucleic acid solution with 1nmol siRNA (CSF1-R (1): 5′-GGCCUAACCCCAAAGUAUGGUGGA-3′; CSF1-R (2): 5′-GGC AUCGGGCUAGGAUGCAGAGU-3′; CSF1-R (3): 5′-GCUACAGCAGCA GCGGUGAUUGU-3′) and 150 ng total plasmids were electroporated. Cells were incubated 24 h with 5 ml of pre-warmed complete medium and 10 ng/mL IL-3 (Peprotech) were subsequently added. 48–72 h after transfection, cells were collected using EDTA for gene expression analysis. We used Stealth siRNA duplex (ThermoFisher Scientific) targeting CFMS and Stealth RNAi siRNA Negative Control. Small interfering RNAs were introduced into macrophages by transfection with lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Monocytes were cultured for 2 days with CSF-1 (100 ng/mL), medium was then replaced by the same medium without antibiotics, and cells were transfected with 0.1 nmol siRNA for 0.3 × 10⁶ cells according to the supplier instructions. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were transfected using EDTA for gene expression analysis.

ChIP-qPCR and ChIP-seq experiments. Cells were cross-linked with addition of 1% formaldehyde directly to the culture medium for 10 min at RT with agitation. Fixation was stopped by addition of 125 mM glycine during 5 min at RT with agitation and samples were then washed 2 times in ice-cold PBS before addition of SDS lysis buffer (Millipore, 10 ul. per 1 × 10⁶ cells) supplemented with 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Active Motif). Samples were vortexed and incubated 15 min on ice before 10 min sonication at 40 W (Covaris S220, Wescordean, USA). The chromatin immunoprecipitation was carried out using ChIP-it express kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (Active Motif). Enriched DNA from ChIP and Input DNA fragments were end-repaired, extended with an ‘A’ base on the 3′ end, ligated with indexed paired-end adapters (NEXTtiles, Bioo Scientific, Protegene, Saint Marcel, France) using the Bravo Platform (Agilent, Les Ulis, France), size-selected for 4 cycles of PCR with AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France) and amplified by PCR for 10 cycles more. Fifty single-end
sequencing was performed using Illumina HiSeq 2000 (Illumina, San Diego, USA) or fifty-cycle paired-end sequencing was done using Illumina NovaSeq 6000.

ChiP-qPCR experiments were performed in the same way as RT-qPCRs with 2 μL of ChIP or IgG samples instead of cDNA. Specific forward and reverse primers are in Supplementary Table 5.

**ChiP-seq analysis.** Reads were aligned into human genome hg19 with BWA aln (v0.7.3a). Peak calling for H3Kme3 and EGR1 was performed using MACS 2.1 using default options for narrow peaks. For CSF-1R and H3K4me1 ChiP-seq analysis, MACS2.1 was used using the following parameters—nomodel—broad—extsize 200. Annotation and motif analyses have been done with HOMER (v4.9.1) using a window of 600 bp around peak summit. Bigwig files normalized for sequencing depths have been generated with deeptools v3.1.2. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV 2.4.14) was used to browse short reads across the genomic regions of interest (TSS, peaks) were done using deeptools v3.1.2.

**Plasmids.** For luciferase assays, we cloned EGR1 known motif identified by ChiP-seq analysis for CSF-1R recruitment in a Luciferase reporter system (pGL4.17 vector, Promega, Charbonnières-les-Bains, France). Four EGR1 motif identified sequences (F primer: 5′−CTAGCTGGCTTGTTGCGTGTTGCGTGTTGGA-3′; R: 5′−AACGTCCAACAGCCACACACCCAGCAAC CAGCCACA CACCCAGAC-3′) were ordered at Eurofins (Les Ulis, France), denatured 5 min at 95°C and hybridized by slow temperature drop before enzymatic cloning. CSF1R binding PU.1 promoter sequences (PU.1 promoter 1 (complete) F primer: 5′−ATTAGCTACTTACTTACCTGTCACCC-3′; R: 5′−TAA GGTCCACAGCGGCCGGAC-3′) and CSF1R binding PU.1 promoter 2 (without EGR1 recruitment sequence): 5′−ATTAGCTACTGTTATGGTGGATGATAG-3′; R: 5′−ATTG AGATCTTTCCAGCGGGCTCGA-3′ were first amplified with PCR from healthy monocyte genomic DNA, purified, sub-cloned in TOPO 2.1 vector (ThermoFisher Scientific) before enzymatic cloning in pGL4.17 vector. Luciferase plasmids were co-transfected with Renilla control reporter vector used for transfection efficiency normalization as described previously42.

**CRISPR Knockout.** Two EGR1 guide RNA sequences and Cas9 were encoded in V2 CRISPR-GFP or Chery plasmid (one for each guide). Guide sequences were designed in the first exon of EGR1 with CRISPRspor software (http://crispr.tedor.net/) and cloned in one of the two lentiviral vectors: EGR1 guide 1 F: 5′−A AAXC CGCGTGTTAGCTAGAGG-3′; R: 5′−R CACCCCACGTCATGACGCGC-3′, EGR1 guide 2 F: 5′−R AAXC CGCGTGTTAGCTAGAGG-3′; R: 5′−R CACCCCACGTCATGACGCGC-3′. For lentiviral production, 293 T were co- transduced with plasmid of interest along with pCMV and pMD2G plasmids using jetPRime reagent (Polyplus transfection, Ozyme) according to the manufacturer instructions. Retroviral particles were collected 48 h after transfection and concentrated by ultracentrifugation. THP-1 cells were co-transduced with a MOI of 2.5 with both guides and single-cell sorted based on their positive GFP and Cherry expression (BD Influx). EGR1 knockout was assessed by PCR and Sanger sequencing with the following primers: F: 5′−ATAGAGGCGGATCCGGGAGTCG-3′; R: 5′−GAACCCCGGCTCAGTTAAGACGTC-3′. All other relevant data supporting the key findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files or from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request. A reporting summary for this Article is available as a Supplementary Information file.
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