Influence of hydrolysis degradation of silane coupling agents on mechanical performance of CAD/CAM resin composites: In silico multi-scale analysis
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The aim of this study was to build an in silico computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) resin-composite-block (RCB) model with different silane coupling ratios and to evaluate the physical and mechanical properties of the models, including the elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio, compressive strength, and maximum principal strain. Nanoscale CAD/CAM RCB models were designed by using CAD software that consisted of twelve spherical silica nanofiller particles and a resin matrix. Seven nanoscale models with different silane coupling ratios were prepared with the same filler volume contents. Homogenization analysis was conducted by using voxel-base finite-element analysis software to predict the elastic moduli and Poisson’s ratio of the macro CAD/CAM RCB. Localization analysis was used to analyze the maximum principal strain distribution in the hydrolysis layer. In silico multi-scale analysis demonstrated that the compressive strength of the CAD/CAM RCB was reduced with a decrease in the silane coupling ratios of the fillers.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) techniques in dentistry, the demand for restoratives produced by the CAD/CAM technique has been increased steadily because of their promising clinical performance, esthetic appearance, uniform quality, and cost-effectiveness. Among the CAD/CAM restorative materials, CAD/CAM resin composite blocks (RCB) have been selected over CAD/CAM ceramic blocks because of their machinability, edge toughness, and stress-relaxation behavior for implant restorations. The mechanical properties of the CAD/CAM RCB are improved considerably by applying a high-pressure and high-temperature polymerization technique and by incorporating nanofiller particles. However, some mechanical properties, including the flexural strength and elastic modulus, of resin composite materials remain inferior to that of ceramic materials, such as lithium disilicate glass ceramic or yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystals.

When fillers are incorporated into the CAD/CAM RCB resin matrix, the filler surfaces are treated by silane coupling agents, which promote adhesion between the inorganic fillers and organic matrices through dual reactivity. The silane mixture does not tend to show a perfect coupling ratio because the organic acid that is added to the silane to promote bonding on the organic matrix side may decrease the bond durability on the filler side. Furthermore, filler-matrices that are formed by the silane layer could fail because of the hydrolysis of silane coupling agents, which can occur in watery intraoral environments. Thus, defective filler-matrix interfaces arise because of the reasons described above, and the mechanical properties of the CAD/CAM RCB can be decreased. It is not known how the defective filler-matrix interfaces affect the mechanical properties of the CAD/CAM RCB, because it has not been possible to establish reasonable in vitro models with controllable silane coupling ratios thus far.

Instead of in vitro models, in silico three-dimensional finite-element analysis has been used to predict the properties of resin composite materials, such as resin properties with various filler contents or filler sizes. Yamaguchi et al. have confirmed that predicted flexural strengths by in silico analysis was significantly correlated to those obtained by in vitro tests. By using nanoscale properties of CAD/CAM RCB components, including the mechanical properties of silica fillers and resin matrices, macroproperties, such as the elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio of the CAD/CAM RCB can be predicted by homogenization analysis. When a force is added to the CAD/CAM RCB at a macroscale, the strain and stress distribution of the CAD/CAM RCB, including the maximum principal strain (MPS), which is known as the failure criteria of composite materials at a certain nanoscale area can be analyzed by localization analysis. By in silico multi-scale analysis, which is an in silico technique that combines homogenization and localization analysis, the mechanical properties of CAD/CAM RCB under various conditions can be investigated.

In silico multi-scale analysis has been established to solve nonlinear elastic problems of heterogeneous substance considering the material properties in micro-scale such as composites and recently introduced to
predict mechanical properties of composites\textsuperscript{18}, porous ceramics\textsuperscript{28}, and titanium\textsuperscript{29}.

The aim of this study was to build in silico CAD/CAM RCB models with different silane coupling ratios and to evaluate the physical and mechanical properties of the models, including the elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio, compressive strength, and MPS.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Nanoscale models with different silane coupling ratios**

Nanoscale models of CAD/CAM RCB were designed by using CAD software (Solidworks Simulation 2011, Dassault Systèmes Solidworks, Waltham, MA, USA) that consisted of spherical silica 12 nanofiller particles with a 40-nm diameter and a resin matrix (urethane dimethacrylate: UDMA/triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate: TEGDMA) (90×90×90 nm) by following material composition of the commercially available CAD/CAM RCB: Katana Avencia Block (Kuraray Noritake Dental, Tokyo, Japan)\textsuperscript{20} (Fig. 1). Seven nanoscale models with different silane coupling ratios (75.5, 81.7, 87.9, 91.7, 94.4, 98.1, and 100%) were prepared with the same filler volume contents of 55.161% (Fig. 2). Each silane coupling layer was defined as 2.0 nm ensure the minimum thickness (one voxel size: 1.0 nm), that is close to the theoretical silane chain length\textsuperscript{25}. The material properties of the silica and UDMA/TEGDMA are summarized in Table 1.

**Homogenization analysis**

The elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio for the macroscale CAD/CAM RCB were calculated by homogenization analysis in voxel-based finite-element analysis software (VOXELCON2015, Quint, Tokyo, Japan). Each model was isotropically voxelized by 1.0 nm in resolution and the total voxel number was 729,000. The element-by-element method was selected as a matrix solver with an error of 0.0001. The models were analyzed for seven different silane coupling ratios.

**Macroscale model for compressive analysis**

A macroscale model of the CAD/CAM RCB (3×3×3 mm) was designed by using CAD software (Fig. 1) for in silico compressive analysis. The macroscale model was isotropically voxelized by 0.05 mm in resolution and the total voxel number was 216,000.

**Compressive analysis**

The bottom surface of the macroscale model was fixed and a 6,075 N load was applied on the top center surface of the macroscale model. An in silico compressive analysis was conducted by using voxel-based finite-element analysis software with an elastic moduli and Poisson’s ratios from the homogenization analysis. Compressive strengths were defined as values when the fracture loads exceeded 6,075 N. The MPS distribution in the macroscale model was observed and normal strains in the x-, y-, and z-axes and shear strains in the yz-, zx-, and xy-planes were recorded at the maximum MPS value.

**Localization analysis**

The MPS distribution in the nanoscale models where the

---

**Table 1** Material properties of CAD/CAM RCB

|                        | Elastic modulus (GPa) | Poisson’s ratio |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Silica                 | 72                    | 0.16            |
| UDMA/TEGDMA            | 2                     | 0.35            |

---

![Fig. 1 Nanoscale model of CAD/CAM RCB. Silica fillers (gray) and resin matrix (transparent yellow).](image1)

![Fig. 2 Hydrolysis layer of silane coupling agents (purple) and resin matrix (transparent gray). Elastic modulus of the hydrolysis layer was set to 0 GPa and a 2-nm thickness.](image2)
maximum MPS values were obtained in the macroscale model was examined by localization analysis. The maximum MPS values at the nanoscale that related to the threshold of fracture initiation were compared.

RESULTS

Elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio
Elastic moduli and Poisson’s ratios that were obtained by the homogenization analysis are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. For a silane coupling ratio below 87.2%, the change in elastic moduli converged, whereas the change in Poisson’s ratios showed an opposite trend.

Compressive strength
The in silico compressive analyses showed that maximum MPS values were observed at the vertex on the top surface of the CAD/CAM RCB. Normal strains in the x-, y-, and z-axes at the maximum MPS values were \(8.89 \times 10^4\), \(8.89 \times 10^4\), and \(-2.03 \times 10^5\), respectively. The shear strains in the yz-, zx-, and xy-planes at the same location were \(2.82 \times 10^4\), \(-2.82 \times 10^4\), and \(-4.16 \times 10^4\), respectively. The compressive strengths of each silane coupling ratio are shown in Fig. 5. For the silane coupling ratio below 87.2%, the change in compressive strength and elastic moduli converged.

![Graphs showing elastic moduli and compressive strengths](image1)

**Fig. 3** Elastic moduli obtained by in silico homogenization analysis of nanoscale model of CAD/CAM RCB for each silane coupling ratio.

**Fig. 4** Poisson’s ratios obtained by in silico homogenization analysis of nanoscale models of CAD/CAM RCB for each silane coupling ratio.

**Fig. 5** Predicted compressive strengths of macroscale model of CAD/CAM RCB.

![MPS distribution graph](image2)

**Fig. 6** MPS distribution obtained by in silico localization analysis at section of nanoscale model of CAD/CAM RCB. Each red arrow indicates the location of the maximum observed MPS.
MPS at the nanoscale

The MPS distribution in the nanoscale model for seven different silane coupling ratios is shown in Fig. 6. The maximum MPS values for a 75.5, 81.7, 87.9, 91.7, 94.4, 98.1, and 100% silane coupling were 7.22×10⁶, 7.12×10⁶, 1.13×10⁶, 1.12×10⁶, 9.62×10⁶, 9.25×10⁶, and 5.68×10⁶, respectively. All maximum MPS values were observed at a hydrolysis layer that excluded the 100% silane coupling model.

DISCUSSION

We have established an in silico multi-scale analysis for CAD/CAM RCB with different silane coupling ratios. The multi-scale analysis could clarify the influence of hydrolysis of the silane coupling agents for CAD/CAM RCB on the mechanical performance. Hydrolysis of the silane coupling agents was assumed as a 2-nm-thin layer in a resin matrix with no elasticity (0 GPa, that is no bonding condition). Those layers were designed as a complete symmetric model to avoid any mechanical property anisotropy, which could focus only on factors of hydrolysis degradation. The detection of silane-coupling-agent hydrolysis by CAD/CAM RCB by in vitro tests remains challenging. The in silico model that was established in this study may provide an alternative to in vitro tests to investigate the influence of silane-coupling-agent hydrolysis on the mechanical performance of CAD/CAM RCB.

The mean of the elastic modulus of a CAD/CAM RCB model that was based on cryo-electron microscopy images, and assuming perfect silane coupling among the amorphous fillers and resin matrix has been reported as 10.71±1.79 GPa²⁰. The elastic modulus for a 100% silane coupling model consists of spherical fillers and resin matrix was 11.82 GPa in this study. This result suggests that the filler shape (spherical or amorphous) does not influence the elastic modulus of the CAD/CAM RCB significantly and may influence the shrinkage-stress/strain kinetics²⁶,²⁷. The elastic modulus of the 75.5% silane coupling model decreased to 16.8% compared with that of the 100% silane coupling model. These results support that excessive water uptake may decrease the elastic modulus for the resin composites further²⁸ compared with the ceramics because of the hydrolytic degradation²⁹. The decrease in elastic modulus may induce the debonding of CAD/CAM resin composite crowns by pumping or deformation and margin spread²⁹.

The Poisson’s ratio of each CAD/CAM RCB ranged from 0.198 to 0.229 (difference=0.031, 13.5% reduction). The Poisson’s ratios of the four different commercial CAD/CAM RCB have been reported from 0.277 to 0.306 with no statistically significant difference³⁰, which suggests that the change in Poisson’s ratio that was obtained in this study may not cause hydrolytic degradation.

By decreasing the silane coupling ratio, the compressive strength decreased by 10.1%. The relationship between the silane coupling ratio and the compressive strength was non-linear, which suggests that the compressive strength has a potential for improvement by increasing the silane coupling ratio of 94.4%. The larger compressive strength may improve the fatigue resistance of the CAD/CAM resin composite crowns³¹. Silane coupling agent, gamma-methacryloxypropyl trimethoxysilane (γ-MPTS) was used mostly for commercial CAD/CAM RCB and resin composites for filling. By using or developing hydrophobic silane coupling agents³², the mechanical performance of the CAD/CAM RCB may be improved.

The MPS that was used in this study has been reported as a failure criterion to predict flexural strengths for resin composites³³. All maximum MPS values that were obtained by localization analysis were observed at a hydrolysis layer that excluded the 100% silane coupling model, which suggests that fracture initiation may occur at the location hydrolysis of the silane coupling agents. For the 87.9% and 91.7% silane coupling models with a spherical thin hydrolysis layer that covered the center filler, the maximum MPS values were larger than those of other models. These results suggest that fracture initiation is related to the silane layer morphology.

Within the limitation of this in silico multi-scale analysis, the influence of hydrolysis of the silane coupling agents for CAD/CAM RCB on mechanical performance could be quantified. As a further study, long-term water absorption tests, such as for a month or a year and the establishment of an approach to detect free hydroxyl groups are ongoing to investigate the change in elastic modulus of the CAD/CAM RCB in vitro. Additionally, the investigation of hydrolysis degradation in a resin matrix is important to elucidate which materials (silane coupling agents or resin matrix) improve the mechanical performance of the CAD/CAM RCB most. By comparing the in vitro compressive strength of the CAD/CAM RCB, a percentage of silane-coupling-agent hydrolysis may be predictable. The percentage is useful to understand the current hydrolysis of silane coupling agents of the test CAD/CAM RCB and to accelerate the development of new CAD/CAM RCB with an excellent mechanical performance.

CONCLUSIONS

The in silico multi-scale analysis that was established in this study shows that the compressive strength of the CAD/CAM RCB was reduced when the silane coupling ratios of the fillers decreased. This approach would be useful to accelerate the development of new CAD/CAM RCB with an excellent mechanical performance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. JP19K10244) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). We thank Laura Kuhar, PhD, from Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.
CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1) Miyazaki T, Hotta Y. CAD/CAM systems available for the fabrication of crown and bridge restorations. Aust Dent J 2011; 56 Suppl 1: 97-106.
2) Alamoush RA, Silikas N, Salim NA, Al-Nasrawi S, Satterthwaite JD. Effect of the composition of CAD/CAM composite blocks on mechanical properties. Biomed Res Int 2018; 2018: 4893143.
3) Fasbinder DJ. Clinical performance of chairside CAD/CAM restorations. J Am Dent Assoc 2006; 137 Suppl: 22S-31S.
4) Awad D, Stawarzcyk B, Liebermann A, Ilie N. Translucency of esthetic dental restorative CAD/CAM materials and composite resins with respect to thickness and surface roughness. J Prosthet Dent 2015; 113: 534-540.
5) Lauvahutanon S, Takahashi H, Shiozawa M, Iwasa N, Asakawa Y, Oki M, et al. Mechanical properties of composite resin blocks for CAD/CAM. Dent Mater J 2014; 33: 705-710.
6) Chavali R, Nejat AH, Lawson NC. Machinability of CAD/CAM materials. J Prosthet Dent 2017; 118: 194-199.
7) Attia A, Abdelaziz KM, Freitag S, Kern M. Fracture load of composite resin and feldspathic all-ceramic CAD/CAM crowns. J Prosthet Dent 2006; 95: 117-123.
8) Pfirschfitter M, Preis V, Behr M, Rosentritt M. Edge strength of CAD/CAM materials. J Dent 2018; 74: 95-100.
9) Magne P, Silva M, Oderich E, Boff LL, Enciso R. Damping behavior of implant-supported restorations. Clin Oral Implants Res 2013; 24: 143-148.
10) Nguyen JF, Mignonney V, Ruse ND, Sadoun M. Resin composite blocks via high-pressure high-temperature polymerization. Dent Mater 2012; 28: 529-534.
11) Okada K, Kameya T, Ishino H, Hayakawa T. A novel technique for preparing dental CAD/CAM composite resin blocks using the filler press and monomer infiltration method. Dent Mater J 2014; 33: 203-209.
12) Lawson NC, Bansal R, Burgess JO. Wear, strength, modulus and hardness of CAD/CAM restorative materials. Dent Mater 2016; 32: e275-e283.
13) Wendler M, Belli R, Petschelt A, Mevec D, Harrer W, Lube T, et al. Chairside CAD/CAM materials. Part 2: Flexural strength testing. Dent Mater 2017; 33: 99-109.
14) Matinlinna JP, Lassila LV, Oxcan M, Yli-Urpo A, Vallittu PK. An introduction to silanes and their clinical applications in dentistry. Int J Prosthodont 2004; 17: 155-164.
15) Aida M, Tabei N, Kimoto S, Tanimura H, Takahashi H, Yaguchi T, et al. Ceramic bond durability and degradation mechanism of commercial gamma-methacryloxypropyl trimethoxysilane-based ceramic primers. Am J Dent 2012; 25: 231-234.
16) Ferracane JL. Hygroscopic and hydrolytic effects in dental polymer networks. Dent Mater 2006; 22: 211-222.
17) Li J, Li H, Fok AS, Watts DC. Numerical evaluation of bulk material properties of dental composites using two-phase finite element models. Dent Mater 2012; 28: 996-1003.
18) Yamaguchi S, Inoue S, Sakai T, Abe T, Kitagawa H, Imazato S. Multi-scale analysis of the effect of nano-filler particle diameter on the physical properties of CAD/CAM composite resin blocks. Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin 2017; 20: 714-719.
19) Yamaguchi S, Mehdawi IM, Sakai T, Abe T, Inoue S, Imazato S. In vitro/on silico investigation of failure criteria to predict flexural strength of composite resins. Dent Mater J 2018; 37: 152-156.
20) Lee C, Yamaguchi S, Ohta K, Imazato S. Mechanical properties of computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing resin composites assuming perfect silane coupling using in silico homogenization of cryo-electron microscopy images. J Prosthodont Res 2019; 63: 90-94.
21) Burk RC. Standard failure criteria needed for advanced composites. Astronaut Aeronaut 1983; 21: 58-62.
22) Guedes JM, Kikuchi N. Preprocessing and postprocessing for materials based on the homogenization method with adaptive finite-element methods. Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng 1990; 83: 143-198.
23) Takano N, Zako M, Kudo F, Kimura K. Microstructure-based stress analysis and evaluation for porous ceramics by homogenization method with digital image-based modeling. Int J Solids Struct 2003; 40: 1225-1242.
24) Takano N, Fukasawa K, Nishiyabu K. Structural strength prediction for porous titanium based on micro-stress concentration by micro-CT image-based multiscale simulation. Int J Mech Sci 2010; 52: 229-235.
25) Matinlinna JP, Lung CYK, Tsoi JKH. Silane adhesion mechanism in dental applications and surface treatments: A review. Dent Mater 2018; 34: 13-28.
26) Satterthwaite JD, Maisuria A, Vogel K, Watts DC. Effect of resin-composite filler particle size and shape on shrinkage-stress. Dent Mater 2012; 28: 609-614.
27) Satterthwaite JD, Vogel K, Watts DC. Effect of resin-composite filler particle size and shape on shrinkage-strain. Dent Mater 2009; 25: 1612-1615.
28) Ruse ND, Sadoun MJ. Resin-composite blocks for dental CAD/CAM applications. J Dent Res 2014; 93: 1232-1234.
29) Rosentritt M, Preis V, Behr M, Hahnel S. Influence of preparation, fitting, and cementation on the vitro performance and fracture resistance of CAD/CAM crowns. J Dent 2017; 65: 70-75.
30) Choi BJ, Yoon S, Im YW, Lee JH, Jung HJ, Lee HH. Uniaxial/biaxial flexure strengths and elastic properties of resin-composite block materials for CAD/CAM. Dent Mater 2019; 35: 389-401.
31) Nihei T, Dabanoglu A, Teranaka T, Kurata S, Ohashi K, Kondo Y, et al. Three-body-wear resistance of the experimental composites containing filler treated with hydrophobic silane coupling agents. Dent Mater 2008; 24: 760-764.