Multiplex, Rapid, and Sensitive Isothermal Detection of Nucleic-Acid Sequence by Endonuclease Restriction-Mediated Real-Time Multiple Cross Displacement Amplification
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We have devised a novel isothermal amplification technology, termed endonuclease restriction-mediated real-time multiple cross displacement amplification (ET-MCDA), which facilitated multiplex, rapid, specific and sensitive detection of nucleic-acid sequences at a constant temperature. The ET-MCDA integrated multiple cross displacement amplification strategy, restriction endonuclease cleavage and real-time fluorescence detection technique. In the ET-MCDA system, the functional cross primer E-CP1 or E-CP2 was constructed by adding a short sequence at the 5’ end of CP1 or CP2, respectively, and the new E-CP1 or E-CP2 primer was labeled at the 5’ end with a fluorophore and in the middle with a dark quencher. The restriction endonuclease Nb.BsrDI specifically recognized the short sequence and digested the newly synthesized double-stranded terminal sequences (5’ end short sequences and their complementary sequences), which released the quenching, resulting on a gain of fluorescence signal. Thus, the ET-MCDA allowed real-time detection of single or multiple targets in only a single reaction, and the positive results were observed in as short as 12 min, detecting down to 3.125 fg of genomic DNA per tube. Moreover, the analytical specificity and the practical application of the ET-MCDA were also successfully evaluated in this study. Here, we provided the details on the novel ET-MCDA technique and expounded the basic ET-MCDA amplification mechanism.
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INTRODUCTION

Dramatic growth of available sequence information has made the specific detection of nucleic acids critical to the development of the modern biology sciences (Guo et al., 2009). Among the many technologies developed for the specific detection and analysis of nucleic acids, sequence-based amplification is one of the most important process and underpins the modern molecular biology...
The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) provides the most versatile technique to detect trace quantities of genes or nucleotide sequences present in sample materials (Letchumanan et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015a). However, PCR and its derivatives (such as real-time PCR and nested PCR) could not get rid of the limitation of thermal cycling steps for successful amplification, and the resultant instrumental restraint has been hampering the uptake of PCR-based analysis in point-of-use, field settings and more (Craw and Balachandran, 2012). Hence, the establishment of alternative methodologies for the simple, rapid and specific analysis of nucleic acid is in continuous demand.

Accordingly, dozens of isothermal nucleic acid amplification technologies have been devised by various laboratories in the past 20 years, which eliminated the use of a costly specialized apparatus, and exhibited high amplification efficiency comparable to the that of PCR (Zhao et al., 2015). These mainly include nucleic acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA), self-sustained sequence replication reaction (3SR), strand displacement amplification (SDA), exponential amplification reaction (EXPAR), helicase-dependent amplification (HDA), recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA), single primer isothermal amplification (SPIA), rolling circle amplification (RCA), loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), and cross-priming amplification (CPA; Deng and Gao, 2015; Zhao et al., 2015). Within these isothermal amplification techniques, NASBA, 3SR, SDA, EXPAR, HDA, RPA, and SPIA are still relatively complex protocols requiring multiple enzymes (two or more), rigorous optimization and/or special reagents (Zhao et al., 2015). Only a few of these assays (e.g., RCA, LAMP, and CPA) can be efficiently carried out at a fixed temperature using one enzyme (Zhao et al., 2015). However, RCA was restricted to amplify the circular target DNA, limiting the usefulness of the technique (Ali et al., 2014). Although LAMP and CPA methodologies have been verified to be useful for basic, clinical and applied research, the trace amounts of target sequences were still difficult to detect in various samples (Niemz et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014, 2015c).

In a recent study, a novel isothermal nucleic acid amplification technique, named multiple cross displacement amplification (MCDA), was devised to overcome the technical difficulties posed by current isothermal nucleic acid amplification methodologies and offered advantages on simplicity, sensitivity, specificity, time consumption and easiness in operation (Wang et al., 2015d). In order to be more valuable and widely applied in modern medicine and biology, the ability to simultaneously analyze multiple different targets in a single sample will be extremely important. For achieving multiplex MCDA-based detection, we devised the novel multiplex MCDA method we called endonuclease restriction-mediated real-time multiple cross displacement amplification (ET-MCDA). The ET-MCDA assay combines endonuclease restriction and real-time fluorescence detection with MCDA approach, which can simultaneously detect multiple targets in a single reaction in approximately 12 min. Here, we expound the basic ET-MCDA principle and demonstrate an application of the novel methodology.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Reagents

Nb.BsrDI was purchased from New England BioLabs (Beijing, China). The DNA Extraction Kits (QIAamp DNA Mini kits) were purchased from Qiagen (Beijing, China). The Loopamp™ Fluorescent Detection Reagent (FD) and Loopamp Kits were purchased from Eiken Chemical Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China).

#### ET-MCDA Primers

The _Salmonella_ and _Shigella_ were selected as model target microorganisms for validating the usability of ET-MCDA technology. Two specific genes (invA, _Salmonella_ spp.-specific gene; _ipaH_, _Shigella_ spp.-specific gene) were chosen as the target sequences for designing ET-MCDA primers (Galan et al., 1992; Gaudio et al., 1997). Based on the _invA_ gene of _Salmonella_ spp. and _ipaH_ gene of _Shigella_ spp., two sets of ET-MCDA primers were designed by primer software PRIMER PREMIER 5.0 and PrimerExplorer V4 (Eiken Chemical, Japan) according to the mechanism of ET-MCDA (Figure 1). Blast analysis ascertained that two sets of ET-MCDA primers were specific for _Salmonella_ spp. strains and _Shigella_ spp. strains. The details of primer design, primers sequences and locations of ET-MCDA primers were listed in Figure 2 and Table 1. The dark quencher used was Black Hole Quencher-1 and Black Hole Quencher-2 (Biosearchtech, Shanghai, China), and the fluorophores used were Cy3 and HEX, which can be detected in a real-time system that was used for conducting the ET-MCDA reactions. All of the oligomers were synthesized and purified by Tianyi-Biotech (Beijing, China).

#### Bacterial Strains

A total of 55 strains used in this study were listed in Table 2. These strains were stored in 10% (w/v) glycerol BHI broth at −70°C. All strains were refreshed three times on nutrient agar plate at 37°C, and then were applied to enrich and extract genomic DNA templates. Moreover, the _Salmonella enterica_ serovar _Enteritidis_ (ICDC-NPSa003) and _Shigella flexneri_ serotype 4av (ICDC-NPS007) strains were selected for the rest of confirmation performance, sensitivity analysis, optimal temperature and practical application conducted in this study.

#### Genomic DNA Extraction

According to the manufacturer’s instructions, bacterial genomic templates were extracted from all culture strains using DNA kits (QIAamp DNA Mini Kits; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The concentrations of extracted DNA templates were examined with ultraviolet spectrophotometer at A260/280 (Nano drop ND-1000, Calibre, Beijing, China). The DNA samples were stored at −20°C before they were used.

#### The MCDA Reaction

In order to evaluate the utility of two sets of MCDA primers, the MCDA assay either for _Salmonella_ spp. strains or _Shigella_ spp. strains was carried out as the following description. Briefly, The MCDA reaction was conducted with the Loopamp DNA amplification Kit in a final volume of 25 μl containing 0.4 μM each of displacement primers F1 and F2, 0.8 μM each of...
FIGURE 1 | Mechanistic description of the ET-MCDA amplification. (A) Schematic depiction of a new cross primer (E-CP1 or E-CP2), E-CP1/E-CP2, which was an extension of the cross primer CP1 (C1+P1)/CP2 (C2+P2) with a restriction endonuclease recognition site (Es, its complementary sequence site termed CEs) at the 5’ end, was modified with a fluorophore (F) at the 5’ end and a quencher (Q) in the middle. (B) The outline of ET-MCDA amplification. Step 1: The E-CP1 initiates
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amplification primers C1 and C2, 1.2 μM each of amplification primers R1, R2, D1, and D2, 2.4 μM each of cross primers CP1 and CP2, 12.5 μM 2 × reaction mix, 1 μl FD, 1.25 μl of Bst DNA polymerase (10 U) and 1 μl DNA template (250 pg/μl).

The reaction mixtures of normal MCDA were incubated at 63°C for 1 h and then heated at 85°C for 5 min to stop the reaction. A total of three methods were used for analyzing the normal MCDA amplification. The color change of positive reactions in MCDA tubes from light gray to green could be directly observed by FD reagent, and the MCDA products were also monitored by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels with ethidium bromide staining. Furthermore, real-time monitoring of normal MCDA amplifications was carried out by recording the optical density (OD) at 650 nm every 6 s using the Loopamp Real-time Turbidimeter LA-320C (Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd, Japan). A positive reaction was density as a threshold value of > 0.1 within 60 min and analysis of each dilution (sample) was examined at least two times. The reaction mixtures without templates were selected as a negative control.

The Standard ET-MCDA Reaction

To further evaluate the availability of two sets of ET-MCDA primers, the ET-MCDA approach either for Salmonella spp. strains or Shigella spp. strains was conducted as the following description. The reaction mixtures of standard ET-MCDA were carried out with the Loopamp DNA amplification Kit in a final volume of 25 μl containing 0.4 μM each of displacement primers F1 and F2, 0.8 μM each of amplification primers C1 and C2, 1.2 μM each of amplification primers R1, R2, D1, and D2, 1.2 μM E-CP1 and CP1 primers, 2.4 μM CP2 primers, 12.5 μM 2 × reaction mix, 1.25 μl of Bst DNA polymerase (10 U), 1.5 μl (15 U) of Nb.BsrDI endonuclease and 1 μl DNA template.

The amplification mixtures were performed at 63°C for 1 h and then incubated at 85°C for 5 min to stop the reaction. Mixtures without DNA template were chosen as a negative control. After amplification, the ET-MCDA products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels with ethidium bromide staining or directly observed the color change by FD reagent. Furthermore, the ET-MCDA amplifications were monitored by real-time detection.
In order to assess the optimal amplification temperature, the ET-MCDA reaction mixtures were conducted at a constant temperature ranging from 60 to 65°C for 1 h and then incubated at 85°C for 5 min to stop the reaction. Mixtures without the template were selected as a negative control.

The Optimal Reaction Temperature of ET-MCDA Method

In order to assess the optimal amplification temperature, the ET-MCDA reaction mixtures were conducted at a constant temperature ranging from 60 to 65°C for 1 h and then incubated at 85°C for 5 min to stop the reaction. Mixtures without the template were selected as a negative control.

The Multiplex ET-MCDA Method

For multiplex detections, the amplification mixtures of multiplex ET-MCDA conducted with the Loopamp DNA amplification Kit in a final volume of 25 µl containing 0.4 µM each of displacement primers Sal-F1 and Sal-F2, 0.2 µM each of amplification primers Sal-C1 and Sal-C2, 1.2 µM each of amplification primers Sal-R1, Sal-R2, Sal-D1, and Sal-D2, 1.2 µM Sal-E-CP1 and Sal-C1 primers, 2.4 µM Sal-C2 primers, 0.4 µM each of displacement primers Shi-F1 and Shi-F2, 0.2 µM each of amplification primers Shi-C1 and Shi-C2, 0.32 µM each of amplification primers Shi-R1, Shi-R2, Shi-D1, and Shi-D2, 0.32 µM Shi-E-CP1 and Shi-C1 primers, 0.64 µM Shi-C2 primers, 12.5 µl 2 x reaction mix, 1.25 µl of Bst DNA polymerase (10 U), 1.5 µl (15 U) of Nb.BsrDI endonuclease and 1 µl each DNA template of Salmonella spp. strains and Shigella spp. strains. The ET-MCDA mixtures were performed at 63°C for 60 min in a Rotor-Gene Q Real Time System (Qiagen) and mixtures without genomic DNA template was used as a negative control. Three replicates of the lowest detectable template amount were tested.

Evaluation of Sensitivity of the ET-MCDA Method

In order to make a comparative analysis of the ET-MCDA, MCDA, quantitative PCR (qPCR) and PCR approaches, the genomic DNA templates of strains ICDC-NPS003 (Salmonella Enteritidis) and ICDC-NPS007 (Shigella flexneri) were serially diluted to determine the limit of detection (LoD). The LoD of ET-MCDA, MCDA, qPCR, and PCR assays was defined by genomic DNA amount of the template. The lowest detectable template amount was tested in triplicate.

Evaluation of Specificity of the Multiplex ET-MCDA Method

In order to evaluate the analytical specificity of ET-MCDA technology, the multiplex ET-LAMP reactions were carried out under the conditions described above with purely genomic templates from 15 Salmonella strains, 20 Shigella strains, 20 non-Salmonella, and non-Shigella strains (Table 2). Analysis of each sample was performed in at least two independent experiments.

Practical Application of ET-MCDA to Salmonella and Shigella Detection in Human Blood Samples

The human blood samples were acquired from a healthy donor with the written informed consent. Our study was reviewed and

| Table 1 | The primers used in the study. |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Primers name<sup>ab</sup> | Sequences and modifications | Length | Genes<sup>b</sup> |
| Sal-F1 | 5'-CCCGCGATTCTTCATGTTG-3' | 18 nt | |
| Sal-F2 | 5'-GCTACGTTGTTCCTACG-3' | 19 nt | |
| Sal-C1 | 5'-TGGGAACTCTCCGCTGAGTCGTTG-3' | 47 mer | invA |
| Sal-C2 | 5'-CGACCGTTGTTCACGAGATCGC-3' | 41 mer | |
| Shi-F1 | 5'-CCACTCTCGCTTTCATGTTG-3' | 18 nt | |
| Shi-F2 | 5'-CCACTGCGTTTATCGC-3' | 19 nt | |
| Shi-R1 | 5'-CGACCGTTGTTCAGTCAG-3' | 21 nt | |
| Shi-R2 | 5'-GCCAACTCGCTTCCTCAG-3' | 22 nt | |
| Shi-C1 | 5'-CGACCGTTGTTCACGAGATCGC-3' | 21 nt | invA |
| Shi-C2 | 5'-CGACCGTTGTTCACGAGATCGC-3' | 22 nt | |
| Shi-D1 | 5'-CGACCGTTGTTCACGAGATCGC-3' | 21 nt | |
| Shi-D2 | 5'-CGACCGTTGTTCACGAGATCGC-3' | 22 nt | |
| Shi-D1 | 5'-CGACCGTTGTTCACGAGATCGC-3' | 21 nt | |

<sup>a</sup>Sal, salmonella; Shi, shigella.
<sup>b</sup>mer, monomeric unit; nt, nucleotide.
TABLE 2 | Bacterial strains used in this study.

| Bacteria                        | Serovar/ species                  | Strain no. (source of strains)\(^a\) | No. of strains |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| **Salmonella enterica**         | Choleraeis                        | ICDC-NPSa001                         | 1             |
|                                 | Dublin                            | ICDC-NPSa002                         | 1             |
|                                 | Typhimurium                       | ICDC-NPSa004                         | 2             |
|                                 | Weitwreden                        | ICDC-NPSa005                         | 1             |
|                                 | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 6             |
| **Shigella flexneri**           | 1d                                | ICDC-NPS001                          | 1             |
|                                 | 4a                                | ICDC-NPS002                          | 1             |
|                                 | 5a                                | ICDC-NPS003                          | 1             |
|                                 | 2b                                | ICDC-NPS004                          | 1             |
|                                 | 1b                                | ICDC-NPS005                          | 1             |
|                                 | 3a                                | ICDC-NPS006                          | 1             |
|                                 | 4av                               | ICDC-NPS007                          | 1             |
|                                 | 3b                                | ICDC-NPS008                          | 1             |
|                                 | 5b                                | ICDC-NPS009                          | 1             |
|                                 | Y                                 | ICDC-NPS010                          | 1             |
|                                 | Yv                                | ICDC-NPS011                          | 1             |
|                                 | Y                                 | ICDC-NPS012                          | 1             |
|                                 | X                                 | ICDC-NPS013                          | 1             |
|                                 | Xv                                | ICDC-NPS014                          | 1             |
| **Shigella sonneri**            | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 2             |
| **Shigella dysenteriae**        | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 2             |
| **Shigella boydii**             | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Shigella flexneri**           | 1d                                | ICDC-NPS001                          | 1             |
| **Listeria monocytophages**     | 1/2a                              | EGD-e                                | 1             |
|                                 | 4c                                | ATCC19117                            | 1             |
| **Enterohemorrhagic E. coli**   | U                                 | EDL933                               | 1             |
|                                 | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Enterocytogen E. coli**       | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Enterinvasive E. coli**       | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Enteropathogenic E. coli**    | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Plesiomonas shigelloides**    | U                                 | ATCC51903                            | 1             |
| **Enterobacter cloacae**        | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Enterococcus faecalis**       | U                                 | ATCC35667                            | 1             |
| **Yersinia enterocolitica**     | U                                 | ATCC23715                            | 1             |
| **Bacteroides sakazaki**        | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Vibrio cholerae**             | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Vibrio parahaemolyticus**     | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Vibrio vulnificus**           | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Staphylococcus aureus**       | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Campylobacter jejuni**        | U                                 | ATCC33291                            | 1             |
| **Bacillus cereus**             | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |
| **Pseudomonas aeruginosa**      | U                                 | Isolated strains (ICDC)              | 1             |

\(^a\)U, unidentified serotype; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; ICDC, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention; Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

In order to assess the practicability of ET-MCDA technology, the novel ET-MCDA technique was applied to identify and differentiate the target pathogens in human blood samples. The human blood samples were confirmed as being *Salmonella*- and *Shigella*-negative by conventional culture techniques and PCR assays. *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Enteritidis* (ICDC-NPS003) and *Shigella flexneri* serotype 4av (ICDC-NPS007) were simultaneously placed into the blood samples.

The artificially contaminated blood samples were performed as previous study (Wang et al., 2015b). Firstly, to test the minimal detectable colony forming units (CFUs), the cultures with *Salmonella Enteritidis* or *Shigella flexneri* strains were serially diluted (10^{-1}–10^{-9}) and the aliquots of 100 μl appropriate dilution (10^{-6}) were spread onto brain heart infusion (BHI) agar in triplicate. Then, the numbers of the inocula were counted after incubation at 37°C for 24 h. The following steps, the aliquots of 100 μl appropriate dilutions with *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Shigella flexneri* were simultaneously added to the blood samples, and the numbers of *Salmonella* were adjusted to approximate 3.9 × 10^{2}, 3.9 × 10^{3}, 3.9 × 10^{4}, 3.9 × 10^{5}, 3.9 × 10^{6}, and 3.9 × 10^{7} CFU/ml, *Shigella* for 3.4 × 10^{6}, 3.4 × 10^{7}, 3.4 × 10^{8}, 3.4 × 10^{9}, and 3.4 × 10^{10} CFU/ml. Simultaneously, aliquots (100 μl) of the artificially contaminated blood were applied to extract genomic DNA templates, and the supernatants (1 μl) were used for multiplex ET-MCDA, MCDA, qPCR and conventional PCR test. Non-contaminated blood samples were chosen as negative control and performances were conducted in triplicate independently.

**RESULTS**

**The ET-MCDA Design**

The ET-MCDA design and amplification scheme is depicted in Figure 1. The novel ET-MCDA technique integrates isothermal amplification method, real-time and fluorescence detection amplification. In the ET-MCDA system, the cross primer CP1 or CP2 contains a 5′ end short sequence (Es) that can be distinguished by restriction endonuclease Nb.BsrDI, and the novel cross primers are termed E-CP1 or E-CP2. The novel E-CP1 or E-CP2 is modified at 5′ end with a fluorophore and in the middle with a corresponding dark quencher (Figure 1A). One fluorophore is assigned to one target primer set, thus the ET-MCDA assay allows for real-time detection of multiple, distinct targets in a constant reaction using the novel E-CP1 or E-CP2 primer.

The restriction endonuclease Nb.BsrDI, which can recognize the sequence 5′-GCAATGNN-3′ (N = G, C, A, and T) and digests target sequence 5′-GCAATG-3′ at a single temperature from 60 to 65°C, is selected for the ET-MCDA reaction. In order to construct E-CP1 and E-CP2 primers, the nucleotide sequence 5′-GCAATG-3′ can be added to the 5′ end of any CP1 and CP2 primers, and the novel E-CP1 or E-CP2 maintains its function as a MCDA primer with the added advantage of simultaneous real-time determination of the ET-MCDA reaction by release of quenching (Figure 1B). Herein, the
ET-MCDA amplification, cleaving and real-time detection can be simultaneously conducted at a single temperature.

The Primer Design for the ET-MCDA Technology
In order to demonstrate the mechanism of ET-MCDA assay, the *invA* gene (GenBank accession no. NC 003197) and the *ipaH* gene (GenBank accession no. M32063), which were specific for *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. strains, were chosen as the model targets. Two ET-MCDA primer sets, which recognized 10 regions more than 200 base pairs on *invA* gene and *ipaH* gene, were designed by using the PrimerExplorer V4 (Eiken Chemical) and primer software PRIMER PREMER 5.0 according to the principle of ET-MCDA technique. The specificity of the two ET-MCDA primer sets was examined by using the NCBI (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool), and no exact match against non-*Shigella* spp. and non-*Salmonella* spp. strains. The details of the target sequences, primer design, location and sequences were presented in Figure 2 and Table 1.

Confirm and Detection of *Salmonella*- and *Shigella*-MCDA products
A color shift of positive reactions in *Salmonella*- and *Shigella*-MCDA tubes from light gray to green was directly seen with naked eyes within 1 h incubation periods at 63°C (Figures 3A,B). The MCDA products were also analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and the typical ladder-like patterns were visible (Figures 3C,D). Thus, two MCDA primer sets for *Salmonella* and *Shigella* detection were good candidates for establishment of the ET-MCDA approaches.

Confirm and Detection of ET-MCDA Products in Nonreal-Time Format
At 63°C, the ET-MCDA amplifications were carried out in the presence or absence genomic DNA templates according to the standard ET-MCDA condition. The ET-MCDA amplifications were directly detected in-tube by color transition of FD reagent from light gray to green, which were visible to the naked eye (Figures 4A,B). Then, the ET-MCDA products were also analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and the typical ladder-like patterns were observed but not in negative control (Figures 4C,D). These results indicated that the novel ET-MCDA strategy could correctly amplification target sequences and the *invA* - and *ipaH*-ET-MCDA primers were suitable for *Salmonella* and *Shigella* detection.

The Optimal Reaction Temperature of the ET-MCDA Assay
In order to test the optimal amplification temperature of the ET-MCDA method, the ET-MCDA reactions, which simultaneously contained the *Salmonella* and *Shigella* genomic templates at the level of 250 pg per tube, were performed at various temperatures (60–65°C) with 1°C intervals according to the multiplex ET-MCDA conditions. The results were detected by means of real-time format, and the typical kinetics graphs were yielded (Figure 5). Each amplification temperature provided a robust signal corresponding to Cy5 and Hex channel, with the faster amplification seen for method temperature 62–64°C, which were recommended as the standard detection temperature for ET-MCDA technique. In our study, the reaction temperature of 63°C, which achieved rapid determination of target sequences with better fluorescence signals, was selected for the rest of ET-MCDA performance conducted in this study.

Real-Time Detection of a Single Target in an ET-MCDA Reaction
In this report, we assessed ET-MCDA detection in a single target format by using separate amplification of *invA* sequence (*Salmonella*-specific gene) and *ipaH* sequence (*Shigella*-specific gene) from a *Salmonella* and *Shigella* genomic template with E-CP1 in each reaction. As expected, the release of quenching was seen as a robust increase of Cy5 and Hex signals, and positive reactions were produced in approximately 12 min (Figures 6A,B). The LoD of ET-MCDA assay for independently analyzing *invA* gene and *ipaH* gene was 6.25 and 3.125 fg genomic DNA templates per tube, respectively (Figures 6A,B). Moreover, the reaction products were also analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and the typical ladder-like patterns were seen in positive amplifications but not in negative amplification and control (Figures 6C,D). The LoD of the...
real-time measurement for *Salmonella*- and *Shigella*-ET-MCDA detections was in conformity with agarose gel electrophoresis analysis.

The LoD of ET-MCDA, MCDA, qPCR, and PCR approaches on *Salmonella* strains was 6.25 fg, 6.25 fg, 2.5 pg, and 25 pg per reaction, and on *Shigella* strains was 3.125 fg, 3.125 fg, 2.5 pg, and 25 pg per reaction, respectively (Figures 6, 7, Table 3). These results demonstrated that the analytical sensitivity of ET-MCDA methodology for identifying a single target was in complete accordance with MCDA assay, whereas was at least 400- and 4000-fold more sensitive than that of qPCR and PCR techniques, respectively.

**Real-Time Detection of Multiple Targets in an ET-MCDA Reaction**

In order to evaluate the capability of ET-MCDA for simultaneously detecting multiple targets, the novel ET-MCDA technique was applied to detect and differentiate two targets in a single reaction. In order to facilitate multiplex detection, we modified the amount of the primers on the base of standard ET-MCDA system, and the multiplex ET-MCDA reactions were also conducted at 63°C for 1 h. Under the multiplex conditions, two different fluorescence curves were simultaneously obtained from multiplex ET-MCDA reactions containing two complete primer sets and their corresponding genomic templates (Figure 8). The ET-MCDA technology successfully detected and distinguished *Salmonella* and *Shigella* in a single reaction, and simultaneously provided two sets of robust signals for two targets. The analytical sensitivity of ET-MCDA approach for simultaneously detecting invA and ipaH genes was 6.25 and 3.125 fg of each genomic DNA templates per reaction, respectively (Figure 8). No difference of LoD was observed between detecting a single target and multiple targets in ET-MCDA methodology.

**Analytical Specificity of the ET-MCDA Technology**

In order to determine analytical specificity of the novel ET-MCDA technique, we carried out the multiplex ET-MCDA amplifications under the multiplex conditions described above with the purely genomic DNA templates extracted from 20 *Shigella*, 15 *Salmonella*, and 20 non-*Shigella* and non-*Salmonella* strains (Table 2). The positive results were obtained only when genomic DNAs of *Shigella* and *Salmonella* isolates were used as templates in multiplex ET-MCDA reaction, and the non-*Salmonella*, non-*Shigella* and negative control examined by multiplex ET-MCDA system were negative after 1 h incubation period (Figure 9). Moreover, the target pathogens could be simultaneously detected and correctly differentiated in the same reaction tube. These results suggested that the novel ET-MCDA technique reported here was specific to target sequence identification.

**Evaluation of the ET-MCDA Technology by Using Artificially Contaminated Blood Samples**

To further validate the feasibility of the ET-MCDA technology as a nucleic acid analysis tool, the novel ET-MCDA assay was examined by the artificially adding *Salmonella* strain and *Shigella* strain into blood samples. The multiplex ET-MCDA technology could generated positive result when the contaminated numbers of *Shigella* and *Salmonella* were more than 3.9 × 10^2 CFU/ml (∼3.9 CFU/tube) and 3.4 × 10^2 CFU/ml (∼3.4 CFU/tube), respectively, and the two target pathogens were simultaneously detected and correctly identified in a single ET-MCDA reaction (Figure 10 and Table 4). The non-contaminated blood sample and negative control was found to be negative.

The LoD of multiplex ET-MCDA technique was identical with that of normal MCDA detection only for *Salmonella* or *Shigella* strains in artificially contaminated blood samples, respectively (Table 4). In contrast, the qPCR and PCR assays produced positive results when the contaminate numbers of *Salmonella* for 3.9 × 10^3 CFU/ml (∼39 CFU/tube) and 3.9 × 10^4 CFU/ml (∼390 CFU/tube), *Shigella* amounted to more than 3.4 × 10^3 CFU/ml (∼34 CFU/tube) and 3.4 × 10^4 CFU/ml (∼340 CFU/tube), respectively. The results demonstrated that the LoD of multiplex ET-MCDA was 10- and 100-fold more sensitive than that of qPCR and PCR approaches (Table 4).
FIGURE 5 | The optimal reaction temperature of the ET-MCDA assay. Two sets of ET-MCDA primers targeting invA and ipaH genes were used in the same reaction tube, (A,B) were simultaneously generated from Cy5 (labeling Sal-E-CP1 of invA) and Hex (labeling Shv-E-CP1 of ipaH) channels, respectively. The multiplex ET-MCDA amplifications were monitored by means of real-time format, and the corresponding curves of DNA concentrations were listed. Signal 1 indicates (Continued)
Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis strains of in Cy5 channel (A), Shigella flexneri strain for Hex channel (B), and signal 2 indicates negative control. Six kinetic graphs (1–6) were produced at different reaction temperature (60–65°C at 1°C intervals) with Salmonella genomic DNA at the level of 250 pg in Cy5 channel (A); another six kinetic graphs (1–6) were obtained at different detection temperature (60–65°C at 1°C intervals) with Shigella genomic DNA at the level of 250 pg in Hex channel (B). The graphs from 62°C to 64°C displayed robust amplification.

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**Figure 6** | Real-time detection of a single target in an ET-MCDA. Analytical sensitivity of Salmonella-ET-MCDA (A) and Shigella-ET-MCDA (B) for Salmonella and Shigella detection was analyzed by real-time format, and signals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 represent DNA levels of 25 ng, 2.5 ng, 250 pg, 25 pg, 2.5 pg, 250 fg, 25 fg, 12.5 fg, 6.25 fg, 3.125 fg, 1.56 fg, and 0.78 fg per tube and negative control. The LoD of Salmonella-ET-MCDA approach was 6.25 fg per tube, and the Shigella-ET-MCDA for 3.125 fg per tube. Analytical sensitivity of Salmonella-ET-MCDA (C) and Shigella-ET-MCDA (D) for Salmonella and Shigella detection was also analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and the positive reactions were observed as a ladder-like pattern on 2% agarose gel electrophoresis analysis. Lane 1, DL 100-bp DNA marker.
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**Table 3** | The sensitivity and time for single ET-MCDA targeting invA and ipaH genes compared with that of qPCR and conventional PCR techniques.

| Techniquesa | Isothermal amplification | Regions recognized | Multiplex detection | LoD for Salmonella spp. (no./reaction) | Fastest time (minutes) | LoD time (minutes)b |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| ET-MCDA     | +                        | 10                 | +                  | 6.25 fg/3.125 fg                       | 12                     | 35                  |
| MCDA        | +                        | 10                 | –                  | 6.25 fg/3.125 fg                       | 12                     | 35                  |
| qPCR        | –                        | 3                  | +                  | 2.5 pg/2.5 pg                          | 32                     | 56                  |
| PCR         | –                        | 2                  | +                  | 25 pg/25 pg                            | 150                    | 150                 |

aET-MCDA, endonuclease restriction-mediated real-time multiple cross displacement amplification; MCDA, multiple cross displacement amplification; qPCR, quantitative real-time PCR.
bLoD, limit of detection. The LoD values are the lowest genomic DNA level that was positively amplified in triplicate. The positive results of qPCR were generated as cT values, which converted to time for detection.

**DISCUSSION**

Isothermal amplification of the nucleic acids, which has been validated as a simple, rapid and efficient process, could specifically accumulate the target sequences at a single temperature (Zhao et al., 2015). Herein, numerous isothermal nucleic acids amplification technologies employing various amplification mechanisms have been established, which have been used as valuable molecular tools in basic research, medical diagnosis, epidemiology and many other fields. However, their uptake in the field of multiplex detection has been restricted, limiting the wider usefulness of these methodologies (Jodi Woon-Fei et al., 2015). Previous reports have devised several strategies for the multiplex isothermal detection, while these assays required special reagents, further processing and apparatus, and did not allow real-time detection (Wang et al., 2015a). Therefore,
FIGURE 7 | Sensitivity of the invA- and ipaH-MCDA techniques using serially genomic DNA with Salmonella strains and Shigella strains as templates. Sensitivity of invA-MCDA for Salmonella (A) and ipaH-MCDA for Shigella (B) detection was monitored by real-time measurement of turbidity and the corresponding curves of concentrations of genomic DNA were marked in the figure. The LoD of invA-MCDA assay was 6.25 fg per vessel, and the ipaH-MCDA for 3.125 fg per reaction. Sensitivity of invA-MCDA for Salmonella (C) and ipaH-MCDA for Shigella (D) detection was monitored by FD reagent, and a color shift of positive reactions in Salmonella- and Shigella-MCDA tubes from light gray to green were directly seen with naked eyes. Moreover, the sensitivity of invA-MCDA for Salmonella (E) and ipaH-MCDA for Shigella (F) detection was analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and the positive results were seen as a ladder-like pattern on 2% agarose gel electrophoresis analysis. Lane 1, DL 100-bp DNA marker.

the development of alternative techniques for simple, rapid, efficient and multiplex isothermal amplification detection is in continuous demand.

This report represented here describes the development of the novel endonuclease restriction-mediated real-time multiple cross displacement amplification (ET-MCDA), which integrated isothermal amplification approach, real-time and fluorescence detection technology. The key feature of the ET-MCDA technique was use of the endonuclease recognition site at the 5' end and fluorophore labeling of distinct targets, and use of the endonuclease restriction (Nb.BsrDI) for specially cleaving double-stranded sequences to release quenching, resulting in a gain of fluorescence signal. Thus, the novel ET-MCDA technology facilitated rapid detection and simultaneous identification of multiple different targets in only one isothermal step, eliminating the use of temperature-regulating equipment and the use of further post detection analysis. In the course of the ET-MCDA reaction, the amplification vessels were not opened, which effectively alleviated any carryover contamination. Moreover, the ET-MCDA methodology could offer real-time detection of single or multiple targets in a single tube, and positive results could be generated in as short as 12 min. Considering these traits, the ET-MCDA technique can be a valuable tool for devising handheld diagnostic devices, which could be used for detecting nucleic acids in various fields, such as field testing, clinical and point-of-care diagnosis, and more.

As a proof of concept, two important pathogens (Shigella and Salmonella), which were the most frequent bacterial causes of dysentery worldwide, were selected as models for illustrated the capability of single or multiplex ET-MCDA detection (Pfeiffer et al., 2012). First, we tested the ET-MCDA method in a single target format by using separate detection of Shigella spp.-specific gene (ipaH) and Salmonella spp.-specific gene (invA) with Shigella and Salmonella purely genomic templates,
Two sets of ET-MCDA primers targeting invA and ipaH genes were simultaneously added to a reaction tube. (A,B) were simultaneously yielded from Cy5 (labeling Sal-E-CP2 of invA) and Hex (labeling Shi-E-CP1 of ipaH) channels, respectively. The analytical sensitivity of multiplex-ET-MCDA for simultaneously detecting Salmonella (A) and Shigella (B) was analyzed by real-time format, and signals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 represent DNA levels of 25 ng, 2.5 ng, 250 pg, 25 pg, 2.5 pg, 250 fg, 25 fg, 12.5 fg, 6.25 fg, 3.125 fg, 1.56 fg, and 0.78 fg per tube and negative control. The LoD of multiplex ET-MCDA assay for Salmonella detection was 6.25 fg per reaction, and the LoD of multiplex ET-MCDA for Shigella detection was 3.125 fg per reaction.

**FIGURE 9** | The analytical specificity of multiplex ET-MCDA detection for different strains. The multiplex ET-MCDA amplifications were conducted using different genomic DNA templates and were monitored by means of real-time format. (A,B) were simultaneously generated from HEX and Cy5 channels. Signals 1-10, Shigella flexneri strains of serovar 1d (ICDC-NPS001), 4a (ICDC-NPS002), 5a (ICDC-NPS003), 2b (ICDC-NPS004), 1b (ICDC-NPS005), 3a (ICDC-NPS006), Shigella boydii, Shigella sonneri, and Shigella dysenteriae; signals 11–15, Salmonella Choleraesuis (ICDC-NPSa001), Salmonella Dublin (ICDC-NPSa002), Salmonella Enteritidis (ICDC-NPSa003), Salmonella Typhimurium (ICDC-NPSa004), Salmonella Weltevreden (ICDC-NPSa005); signals 16 and 17, two Salmonella strains of unidentified serotype; signals 18–35, Listeria monocytogenes stains of serovar 1/2a (EGD-e), 4c (19116), Enteroinvasive E. coli, Enteropathogenic E. coli, Enterotoxigenic E. coli, Enteraggregative E. coli, Plesiomonas shigelloides, Yersinia enterocolitica, Bontrobacter sakazaki, Enterobacter cloacae, Enterococcus faecalis, Vibrio paraaeromlyticus, Vibrio vulnificus, Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, Campylobacter jejuni, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, signal 36, negative control.

respectively. The analytical sensitivity of ET-MCDA approach for independently identifying Shigella and Salmonella strains was 3.125 and 6.25 fg pure DNA templates per reaction, respectively, which was consistent with the normal MCDA method (Figures 6, 7). However, the ET-MCDA technology performed better than qPCR assay with respect to detection speed and LoD. The novel ET-MCDA technique was at least 400-fold more sensitive than qPCR approach, and was faster than qPCR assay approximately 20 min, resulting in shortening total assay time (Table 3). Comparing with traditional PCR method, the ET-MCDA technique, obviating the use of agarose gel electrophoresis analysis, was at least 4000-fold more sensitive than PCR assay for detecting pure templates. The Salmonella-qPCR, Salmonella-PCR, Shigella-qPCR, and Shigella-PCR methods have been reported in previous studies, which were employed to ascertained the LoD of qPCR and PCR techniques (Daum et al., 2002; Fratamico, 2003; Aranda et al., 2004; Vu et al., 2004).

In this study, the multiplex ET-MCDA reactions also showed the robust amplification of the two targets, which successfully detected Shigella and Salmonella in a single tube, and simultaneously produced two sets of robust signals for target pathogens (Figure 8). The analytical sensitivity of ET-MCDA assays for simultaneously detecting Shigella and Salmonella strains was 3.125 and 6.25 fg of each genomic templates per reaction, respectively, which was identical to that of singlex ET-MCDA reaction (Figures 6, 8). No difference of LoD was observed between detecting a single target and multiple targets in an ET-MCDA system. Moreover, the analytical sensitivity of multiplex ET-MCDA reactions was also the same as that of
TABLE 4 | Comparison of ET-MCDA, MCDA, qPCR, and PCR technique for detection of Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. in artificially contaminated blood samples.

| Detection technique | Multiplex detection | LoD (no./reaction) 
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| ET-MCDA             | +                   | 3.9 CFU ∼ (3.9 × 10^2 CFU/ml) |
| MCDA                | −                   | 3.9 CFU ∼ (3.9 × 10^2 CFU/ml) |
| qPCR                | −                   | 39 CFU ∼ (3.9 × 10^2 CFU/ml)  |
| PCR                 | −                   | 390 CFU ∼ (3.9 × 10^4 CFU/ml) |

*ET-MCDA, endonuclease restriction-mediated real-time multiple cross displacement amplification; MCDA, multiple cross displacement amplification.

ordinary MCDA assay, but the novel ET-MCDA technique could simultaneously identify and distinguish multiple targets.

A set of 10 sequence-specific primers, which recognized 10 regions (F1, F2, C1, C2, P1, P2, R1, R2, D1, and D2) spanning more than 200 bp for specific detection of per target, provided a high degree of specificity (Wang et al., 2015d). For the ET-MCDA technique specificity test, positive results were produced from Shigella and Salmonella strains, and no positive signals were observed in the assay of non-Shigella and non-Salmonella strains (Figure 9). Moreover, the novel ET-MCDA approach established here could correctly differentiate target sequences in only one isothermal step with easily interpretable results.

In order to evaluate the practical application of ET-MCDA detection of Shigella strains and Salmonella strains in clinical samples, artificially contaminated blood samples were analyzed by ET-MCDA, MCDA, qPCR, and PCR assays. The LoD of ET-MCDA for Shigella strains and Salmonella strains detection in artificially contaminated blood samples was 3.4 CFU and 3.9 CFU per reaction, which was also in conformity with ordinary MCDA analysis (Table 4). However, the two target pathogens could be simultaneously detected within 40 min with only one isothermal amplification step and the positive reactions were displayed in real-time format (Figure 10). Furthermore, the analytical sensitivity of ET-MCDA methodology for artificially contaminated blood samples was 10- and 100-fold more sensitive than qPCR and PCR approaches. As such, the novel ET-MCDA technique was more suitable than MCDA, qPCR, and PCR methods for multiplex, rapid, sensitive and specific detection of Shigella strains and Salmonella strains in practical samples.

In conclusion, a novel ET-MCDA technology developed here, which integrated multiple cross displacement amplification strategy, restriction endonuclease cleavage and real-time fluorescence detection technique, facilitated multiplex, rapid, specific and sensitive detection of nucleic-acid sequence at a constant temperature. The ET-MCDA assay had the advantages over the PCR-based approaches, namely, modest equipment requirements, quick results, easy operation, cost and energy efficiency, high specificity and sensitivity, thus these attractive traits will motivate the researchers to explore the wider application of the novel technology for nucleic acid analysis in various fields.
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