Quantum noise in three-dimensional BEC interferometry
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Abstract – We develop a theory of quantum fluctuations and squeezing in a three-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate atom interferometer with nonlinear losses. We use stochastic equations in a truncated Wigner representation to treat quantum noise. Our approach includes the multi-mode spatial evolution of spinor components and describes the many-body dynamics of a mesoscopic quantum system.
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Atom interferometry is an important quantum technology at the heart of many proposed future applications of ultra-cold atomic physics. Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) or atom lasers are macroscopic quantum objects and have potential advantages as interferometric detectors and sensors, provided one can precisely extract atomic phase information. However, unlike photons, atoms can interact strongly, causing dephasing and loss of interference fringes. An intimate understanding of quantum many-body dynamics is the key to calculating interaction-induced dephasing in the measurement process. This is essential for a quantitative theory of atom interferometry.

In this letter we present a simple, yet quantitatively accurate theoretical approach to simulating the dynamics and evaluating limits of atom interferometry at large atom number, using a truncated Wigner representation [1–3]. This method extends the conventional Gross-Pitaevskii equations describing a Bose condensate to include quantum noise effects, including noise due to linear and nonlinear losses. The theory allows the accurate inclusion of quantum fluctuations due to nonlinear losses, which is a dominant effect when atom numbers are increased to improve fringe visibility.

Importantly, we can clearly demonstrate where fringe visibility is driven by quantum fluctuations, and where it is driven by trap inhomogeneity and dynamical effects, in order to choose optimal conditions for quantum noise reduction and spin squeezing. These calculations are a first step towards understanding mesoscopic superpositions and entanglement in ultra-cold atomic gases. An advantage of our method compared to the variational and perturbative approaches used elsewhere [4–6] is that it allows us to treat a large number of independent field modes, thus including degrees of freedom that are excited due to collisional and nonlinear loss dynamics [7,8]. Our theory can be readily extended to include finite-temperature initial conditions [2,9], which will be treated elsewhere. Nonlinear losses and finite-temperature effects can be also described within the confines of the variational approach [10].

Quantum phase diffusion is defined as the phase noise induced by number fluctuations which are conjugate to phase. This is a fundamental feature of BEC interferometry, and can only be removed when there are no interactions. However, there are other reasons for decoherence, which are also important. The approach used here captures all three significant features of atom interferometry that can result in decoherence: phase diffusion, losses, and trap inhomogeneity effects. The results given in this paper are applicable to simulations where the atom number per lattice point or mode is large. We focus on trapped BEC interferometry, for definiteness, although the method has a more general applicability.

We start by assuming that the BEC has s-wave interactions, together with Markovian losses due to n-body collisions. We employ a master equation together with the Wigner-Moyal quantum phase-space representation [11] and a truncation of third- and higher-order derivatives in the equations of motion. If we regard the commonly used Gross-Pitaevskii equation as a classical, first approximation to mean-field condensate dynamics, the truncated Wigner approach is best thought of as the second term in
an expansion in inverse particle number. This truncation has been shown to be valid in the limit of large particle number \([1–3]\). It has been further tested by comparison with the exact positive-P simulation method. The truncated Wigner method is particularly useful in low-dimensional and trap environments, where it has successfully predicted quantum squeezing and phase diffusion effects, in good agreement with dynamical experiments in photonic quantum soliton propagation \([12,13]\).

In the present letter, we treat an ultra-cold, interacting multi-component spinor Bose gas in \(D\) effective dimensions. The basic Hamiltonian is easily expressed using quantum fields \(\hat{\Psi}_j(x)\) and \(\hat{\overline{\Psi}}_j(x)\), where \(\hat{\Psi}_j(x)\) creates a bosonic atom of spin \(j\) at location \(x\), and \(\hat{\overline{\Psi}}_j(x)\) destroys one; the commutators are \([\hat{\Psi}_j(x),\hat{\overline{\Psi}}_k(x')] = \delta^{(D)}(x - x')\delta_{jk}\). The resulting physics of a dilute, low-temperature Bose gas is well described in the \(s\)-wave scattering limit by an effective Hamiltonian with contact interactions and external potentials:

\[
\hat{H}/\hbar = \int d^Dx \left\{ \hat{\Psi}_j^\dagger K_{jk} \hat{\overline{\Psi}}_k + \frac{U_{jk}}{2} \hat{\Psi}_j^\dagger \hat{\Psi}_j \hat{\overline{\Psi}}_k \hat{\overline{\Psi}}_k \right\}.
\]  

(1)

Here we omit the field argument \((x)\) for brevity, and use the Einstein summation convention of summing over repeated indices. \(K_{jk}\) is the single-particle Hamiltonian:

\[
K_{jk} = \left(-\frac{\hbar}{2m} \nabla^2 + \omega_j + V_j(x)/\hbar\right) \delta_{jk} + \hat{\Omega}_{jk}(t),
\]  

(2)

where \(m\) is the atomic mass, \(V_j\) is the external trapping potential for spin \(j\), \(\omega_j\) is the internal energy of spin \(j\), \(\hat{\Omega}_{jk}\) represents a time-dependent coupling that is used to rotate one spin projection into another, and \(U_{jk}\) is the atom-atom interaction term. Thus, \(\nu_j = \{\hat{\Psi}_j, \hat{\overline{\Psi}}_j\}\) is the spin-\(j\) atomic density. For a dilute gas at low enough temperatures, \(\langle A_{jk}\rangle = \langle \hat{\Omega}_{jk} \hat{\overline{\Omega}}_{jk} \rangle = \delta_{jk}\langle \hat{\Omega}_{jk} \hat{\overline{\Omega}}_{jk} \rangle\rangle = \delta_{jk} \delta^D(x-x')/2\). This initial noise is necessary because the Wigner representation generates symmetrically ordered correlation functions, and includes vacuum fluctuations. For greater accuracy, the initial state can be modified to account for initial correlations, thermal noise, or additional fluctuations. If normal ordered correlations are measured, one has to express them as a sum of symmetrically ordered terms.

This includes all the known nonlinear quantum noise effects of quantum dynamics, like phase diffusion, entanglement and quantum squeezing, in the limit of large particle number. The initial noise terms do not occur in the semi-classical Gross-Pitaevskii approximation, which is therefore unable to predict these effects. Thus, while the lossless equations are identical to the Gross-Pitaevskii equations, the inclusion of initial noise terms together with nonlinear interactions leads to quantum phase diffusion. Such methods can be used for either free-space or trapped atom interferometry, provided there is an appropriate mode truncation.

Additional quantum noise enters from the effects of damping and losses, due to the fluctuation dissipation theorem. These effects are important at high densities in atomic traps. They can be included via an additional Markovian master equation \([16]\) defined so that

\[
\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} = -i\hbar \left[ \hat{H}, \hat{\rho} \right] + \sum_{n,l} \kappa_l^{(n)} \int d^Dx L_l^{(n)}[\hat{\rho}],
\]  

(5)

where \(n\) is the number of interacting particles, \(l = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n)\) is a vector indicating the spins that are coupled, and we have introduced local Liouville loss terms,

\[
L_l^{(n)}[\hat{\rho}] = 2\hat{O}_l^{(n)}\hat{\rho}\hat{O}_l^{(n)\dagger} - \hat{O}_l^{(n)\dagger}\hat{O}_l^{(n)}\hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho}\hat{O}_l^{(n)\dagger}\hat{O}_l^{(n)}.
\]  

(6)

The reservoir coupling operators \(\hat{O}_l^{(n)}\) are the distinct \(n\)-fold products of local field annihilation operators, \(\hat{O}_l^{(n)} = \hat{O}_l^{(n)}(\hat{\Psi}) = \hat{\Psi}_{l_1}(x)\hat{\Psi}_{l_2}(x)\ldots\hat{\Psi}_{l_n}(x)\), describing local \(n\)-body collisional losses.

After transforming these new terms to evolution equations for the Wigner distribution, the drift term \(A_j\) changes the Gross-Pitaevskii evolution to include nonlinear damping, while the next terms in the evolution equation give rise to additional Fokker-Planck diffusion terms.
associated with quantum noise from the loss reservoirs, given by
\[
\frac{\delta^2}{\delta \Psi_j \delta \Psi_k^{\dagger}} \left\{ \sum_{n,l} \kappa_l^{(n)} \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_l^{(n)}(t)}{\partial \Psi_j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_l^{(n)}(t)}{\partial \Psi_k} \right\} W. \tag{7}
\]

This leads to a stochastic equation
\[
\frac{d\Psi_j}{dt} = -i \left( K_{jk} \Psi_k + U_{jk} |\Psi_k|^2 \Psi_j \right) - \Gamma_j + \sum_{n,l} \beta_{l,j}^{(n)} \zeta_{l,j}^{(n)}(x,t), \tag{8}
\]
where the nonlinear loss has the form
\[
\Gamma_j = \sum_{n,l} \kappa_l^{(n)} \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_l^{(n)}(\Psi)}{\partial \Psi_j} O_l^{(n)}(x), \tag{9}
\]
and \( \zeta_{l,j}^{(n)}(x,t) \) is a corresponding complex, stochastic delta-correlated Gaussian noise with
\[
\langle \zeta_{l,j}^{(n)}(x,t) \zeta_{l,j}^{(m)^*}(x',t') \rangle = \delta_{nk} \delta^{(m,n)} \delta(x-x') \delta(t-t'). \tag{10}
\]
The multiplicative noise coefficient
\[
\beta_{l,j}^{(n)}(\Psi) = \sqrt{\kappa_l^{(n)} \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_l^{(n)}}{\partial \Psi_j}} \tag{11}
\]
is a fluctuation dissipation term, so that the Wigner variables remain equivalent to the corresponding operators.

The loss coefficients in eq. (8) can be converted to the conventional form, which is defined using atom number losses:
\[
\dot{n}_j = -\gamma_{l,j}^{(n)} n_{l,j}^{m_1} n_{m_2} \cdots, \tag{12}
\]
where \( n_j \) is the density of component \( j \) and \( m_j \) is the number of spin-\( j \) atoms lost in the collision. The conversion can be carried out as \( \gamma_{l,j}^{(n)} = 2m_j \kappa_l^{(n)} \).

In this work we use a basis of plane waves in the volume \( \mathcal{V} \), and the density of component \( j \) is calculated as a probabilistic average:
\[
n_j(x) = \langle \Psi_j^* \Psi_j(x) \rangle \text{paths} - \frac{M}{2\mathcal{V}}. \tag{13}
\]

Here we use the fact that the approximate Wigner function is a probability distribution equivalent to an averaged sum over different simulation paths.

To illustrate the applications of this method we consider recent interferometry experiments with a two-component BEC involving two hyperfine states \( |F=1, m_F = -1 \rangle \) and \( |F=2, m_F = +1 \rangle \) in \(^{87}\text{Rb} \) [17]. A conventional Ramsey sequence (fig. 1(a)) has been used with a BEC confined in a cigar-shaped magnetic trap with the frequencies (97.0, 97.0, 11.69) Hz in a bias magnetic field of 3.23 G, so that magnetic-field dephasing is largely eliminated [18]. The first \( \pi/2 \) pulse prepares a non-equilibrium superposition of states \( |1, -1 \rangle \) and \( |2, +1 \rangle \) and the spatial modes of two components periodically separate and merge again [19]. The spatially separated spin components evolve differently, as they have different scattering lengths. As a result, these collective oscillations lead to periodic dephasing and self-rephasing of the BEC components, clearly visible in both GPE and Wigner simulations of interference fringe visibility \( \mathcal{V} \) (fig. 1(b)). Asymmetric losses of two states are one cause of the contrast decay. This can be partially compensated by the application of a spin echo pulse mid-way through the evolution (fig. 1(c)). The GPE simulations wrongly predict (dashed lines) that visibility is largely recovered at long evolution times using the spin echo method. However, the addition of quantum noise (solid line) via the Wigner simulations noticeably speeds up the visibility decay even with a spin echo pulse present. This is in agreement with experimental observations, and shows that these effects play a significant part in the decay of visibility, even for large particle numbers.

The important feature of these quantum dynamical simulations is that they are able to treat large numbers of atoms (55000 in this case), while correctly tracking all the quantum noise sources, and also extending the...
components scattering length and corresponding loss rate [20]:

\[ a_{12} \approx 80.0 \alpha_0, \gamma_{12}^{(2)} = 3.88 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^3/\text{s} \] (blue solid line), \( a_{12} = 85.0 \alpha_0, \gamma_{12}^{(2)} = 1.95 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^3/\text{s} \) (red dashed line), \( a_{12} = 90.0 \alpha_0, \gamma_{12}^{(2)} = 7.13 \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3/\text{s} \) (green dash-dotted line) and \( a_{12} = 95.0 \alpha_0, \gamma_{12}^{(2)} = 8.54 \times 10^{-14} \text{cm}^3/\text{s} \) (black dotted line).

Simulations to long time scales. Both of these features, large atom numbers and long time scales, are essential ingredients to accurate interferometric measurements. The simulations give accurate predictions despite large, multimode dynamical motion in three dimensions and substantial losses of most of the condensate atoms [17]. On longer timescales, the experimental accuracy is limited by technical noises, and we have no data for comparisons.

The Wigner method is able to predict not only the average values of different observables, but their variances too. As an example, we now calculate the degree of squeezing \( \xi^2 \), introduced in [21,22]. This allows the analysis of experiments beyond the usual shot noise limit [23,24]. If we define spin component operators as 
\[ \hat{S}_z = \left[ \hat{S}_x + \hat{S}_y \right]/2, \]
\[ \hat{S}_y = i[\hat{S}_x - \hat{S}_y]/2 \]

the average values of spin vector components can be calculated similarly to the average density in eq. (13). Now we can shift the centre of coordinates to the end of the vector \( \langle S \rangle = \{ \langle \hat{S}_x \rangle, \langle \hat{S}_y \rangle, \langle \hat{S}_z \rangle \} \) and rotate it, making the \( z' \)-axis parallel to \( \langle S \rangle \) and choosing the remaining axes \( x' \) and \( y' \) so that \( \Delta S_{z'}^2 \) is minimized.

Second-order moments of spin operators are represented using fourth-order moments of field operators and, therefore, can be calculated using wave functions in Wigner representation. In this new coordinate system the squeezing parameter is expressed simply as
\[ \xi^2 = \frac{N\Delta \hat{S}^2_{z'}}{\langle S \rangle^2}, \]

where \( N \) is the total number of atoms. The formula for the squeezing parameter in the original coordinate system can be found elsewhere [4]. The squeezing parameter serves as a measure of atomic entanglement in the condensate, when the atoms are entangled if \( \xi^2 < 1 \) [22].

As an illustration of the power of the Wigner method and of the effect of two-body losses we consider the temporal evolution of the squeezing parameter in a Ramsey interferometer for optically trapped two-component BEC in \(^{87}\text{Rb} \) (states \( |F = 1, m_F = +1 \rangle \) and \( |F = 2, m_F = -1 \rangle \)) near a Feshbach resonance at 9.1 G (fig. 2). Different inter-component scattering lengths (corresponding to different magnetic fields) were used in order to find the optimal regime with significant squeezing. The value \( a_{12} = 80.0 \alpha_0 \) provides stronger nonlinear interactions, but larger two-body losses quickly eliminate the squeezing effect. Feshbach tuning to \( a_{12} = 90.0 \alpha_0 \) ensures the best squeezing (−6 dB at 40 ms), whereas long-lasting squeezing is predicted for \( a_{12} = 95.0 \alpha_0 \).

These simulations predict the degree of quantum noise reduction, which is a technique that can be used to improve precision quantum interferometry. The quantum noise is initially reduced due to a stretching and rotation of the quantum noise ellipse, similar to that found in quantum soliton squeezing [12,25]. For lossless environments, this effect is optimized when the cross-species scattering length is maximally different to the intra-species scattering length, which is found near the Feshbach resonance. However, there are competing nonlinear loss effects at the Feshbach resonance, which means that some detuning of the magnetic field is essential to reduce these detrimental losses. Subsequently, the squeezing is destroyed in time as the two fields recombine and interfere with each other. Importantly, these quantum squeezing calculations indicate conditions that will allow this macroscopic quantum effect to be experimentally observed in ultra-cold atomic BEC for much larger atom numbers than calculated previously.

The Wigner calculation shows good agreement with other approximate methods like the two-mode variational method [4] which also includes the effect of particle losses. As an example consider the recent experiment [23], where multi-particle entanglement was generated using a state-dependent potential. A two-component BEC of 1250 atoms was prepared in a cigar-shaped trap with \( \omega_x = \omega_y = 2\pi \times 109 \text{ Hz}, \omega_z = 2\pi \times 500 \text{ Hz} \). After the first \( \pi/2 \) pulse, the potentials for both components were split, leading to the spatial separation of the components. At \( T = 12.7 \text{ ms} \) they overlapped again, and the second pulse was applied, allowing a measurement of the spin along the angle \( \theta: \hat{S}_y = \hat{S}_x \cos \theta - \hat{S}_z \sin \theta \). The Wigner method allows us to reconstruct the spin noise distribution in the plane orthogonal to the mean spin (fig. 3(a)) and the result can be compared with the two-mode model (fig. 3(b)). Spin tomography shows that the Wigner method predicts about the same shape of spin distribution, but the values of the maximum squeezing and the maximum unsqueezing are noticeably different than those calculated with the two-mode model. The calculated value of the quantum noise
and nonlinear losses in the condensate. In typical atom interferometry experiments can readily condition such as a coherent beamsplitter as employed as found in an extended 3-dimensional environment. Initial to treat as spatially inhomogeneous, many-mode problem quantum fluctuations. Furthermore, it is straightforward sampling of phase-space trajectories can be used to treat quantum fluctuations. Furthermore, it is straightforward to treat a spatially inhomogeneous, many-mode problem as found in an extended 3-dimensional environment. Initial conditions such as a coherent beam splitter as employed in typical atom interferometry experiments can be readily included, with proper accounting for both quantum effects and nonlinear losses in the condensate.

Fig. 3: (Color online) Reconstructed probability distribution for the value of the spin vector projected on the (y, z)-plane (a) and spin noise tomography (b). The variance $\Delta^2 S_y$ is connected to the width of the distribution $d_\theta$ in direction $\theta$ (blue line between two arrows in panel (a)) as $2\sqrt{\Delta^2 S_y} = d_\theta$. Plot (b) shows the dependence of the normalized variance on the rotation angle $\theta$ for the Wigner method (solid red line) and the two-mode variational method (dashed blue line, data taken from [23]).

at maximum squeezing ($-10.73 \pm 0.05$ dB) is greater than the two-mode model prediction ($-12.8$ dB). The noise at maximum unsqueezing ($15.16 \pm 0.05$ dB) is $1$ dB less than the two-mode model prediction. We believe that this is caused by the Wigner method being a more systematic type of approximation with a small parameter, and consequently, more suitable for such complex calculations. Since the technical noise in the experiment reduced the observed squeezing significantly (down to $-3.7$ dB), more accurate measurements will be necessary to test these differences quantitatively.

In conclusion, the truncated Wigner-Moyal quantum phase-space theory is well suited for a variety of calculations of many-body dynamics of quantum degenerate gases. Although the Wigner function is not a true probability, it becomes one to a good approximation in the limit of large particle number, so that a probabilistic (random) sampling of phase-space trajectories can be used to treat quantum fluctuations. Furthermore, it is straightforward to treat a spatially inhomogeneous, many-mode problem as found in an extended 3-dimensional environment. Initial conditions such as a coherent beam splitter as employed in typical atom interferometry experiments can be readily included, with proper accounting for both quantum effects and nonlinear losses in the condensate.
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