Influence of the Assembly Method on the Cell Current Distribution of Series–Parallel Battery Packs Based on Connector Resistance
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In order to meet the energy and power requirements of large-scale battery applications, lithium-ion batteries have to be connected in series and parallel to form various battery packs. However, unavoidable connector resistances cause the inconsistency of the cell current and state of charge (SoC) within packs. Meanwhile, different assembly methods and module collector positions (MCPs) may result in different connector resistance arrangements, thereby leading to different cell current distributions. Therefore, the correlation of connector resistance to battery pack performance is worth investigating. Based on the simplified equivalent circuit model (ECM), the mathematical models of cell current distribution within packs under different assembly methods are obtained in this paper. Then, we use COMSOL Multiphysics simulation to analyze the guidelines of series assembly for parallel modules and then study the influences of connector resistance and MCP on series–parallel battery packs. The results show that the assembly method with an equal distance between each cell and the assembly contact surface for series assembly can effectively reduce the inhomogeneous current. However, the cell current and SoC distribution within the series–parallel battery pack is completely independent of the Z-configuration and ladder configuration. In addition, for series–parallel battery packs, the non-edge parallel module part of the series–parallel battery pack can be replaced with a series cell module (SCM) structure. Finally, the influences of the value of the connector resistance and current rate on the cell current distribution are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

To meet the growing demand for energy and power, lithium-ion battery packs are growing rapidly in size, especially for large-scale applications such as electric vehicles (EVs) and grid-connected energy storage systems (ESSs) (Saw et al., 2016; Rogers et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). However, the available energy of a single cell is far from adequate for these applications (Ebner et al., 2013). Therefore, thousands of cells are usually connected in series and parallel connection topologies to power various EVs (Mahmoudzadeh Andvari et al., 2017), like the Tesla Model S (96s74p), Tesla Model 3 (96s46p), VW eGolf (88s3p), Nissan Leaf (2p96s), BMW i3 (96s2p), BAIC EU260 (3p90s), Renault Zoe (2p96s), and Audi e-tron (108s4p) (Rothgang et al., 2015a; Brand et al., 2016; Bruen and Marco, 2016), and large ESSs, like the working 1 MW Li-ion project (384s7p) in 2012 on the island of Hawaii and the Energy Superhub Oxford project (50 MW, the world’s largest hybrid lithium-ion/vanadium) in 2019 by Pivot Power (Rothgang et al., 2015b; Dubarry et al., 2017; Pivot power EDF renewable UK, 2019). The unavoidable connector resistances affect the cell current consistency in the pack (Wu et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2015; Shang et al., 2019). Meanwhile, there are various options for the module collector position (MCP) of the battery pack. Most MCPs lead to different equivalent resistances of each parallel branch in the battery pack, thereby leading to an inhomogeneous cell current distribution (Wang et al., 2015; Baumann et al., 2018). As a consequence, the inhomogeneous cell current has an enormous influence on the life cycle and safety of the battery pack (Offer and Brandon, 2012). Therefore, reducing the influence of connector resistance is crucial to optimizing the performance of the battery pack.

The ratio of connector resistance to the internal resistance of a cell has an important influence on the inhomogeneous cell current within the parallel battery pack (Wang et al., 2015; Grün et al., 2018; Lv et al., 2019). Further, Hosseinzadeh et al. (Hosseinizadeh et al., 2021) found that compared to cell internal resistance, capacity, and temperature, the ratio of connector resistance to cell internal resistance had the biggest influence on the performance of the parallel battery module. Meanwhile, MCP determines the equivalent resistance of each parallel branch, which directly affects the cell current distribution within the pack (Baumann et al., 2018). The longer distance between the cell and MCP leads to a lower cell current (Zhang et al., 2018), and the cell directly connected to the MCP presents a high current, which results in deep discharge and accelerated aging of this cell (Wang et al., 2015; Wang and Cheng, 2015). Moreover, the Z-configuration and the ladder configuration are two typical MCPs, and the inhomogeneous cell current of a parallel battery pack with the Z-configuration is better than that with the ladder configuration (Hosseinizadeh et al., 2019a; Hosseinizadeh et al., 2019b; Hosseinizadeh et al., 2021). Similarly, the middle configuration is also a common MCP of a parallel battery pack, and Rumpf et al. (Rumpf et al., 2018) find it preferable to the ladder configuration. In addition, the closer the cell is to the edge of MCP, the larger the differences among the cells and the higher the self-equalization loss (Lv et al., 2019). In a word, the connector resistance and MCP have a huge influence on the cell current distribution, which further affects the performance, service life, and safety of the battery pack. At present, most studies focus on the influence of connector resistance and MCP on the performance of battery packs, while lacking the approach to reduce this influence. Meanwhile, most publications aim at parallel battery packs, while series–parallel packs are less studied. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to study the influences of connector resistance and MCP on the performance of the series–parallel battery pack and provide the guidelines for manufacturers to reduce the influences.

In practice, considering the stability, self-balancing, and economic cost, the connection topology of the parallel cell module (PCM) is widely adapted (Plett and Klein, 2009; Brand et al., 2016; Luan et al., 2021). Then, the series–parallel battery pack can be formed by connecting parallel modules in series. Meanwhile, nickel plates are widely used in the assembly of series–parallel battery packs due to their corrosion resistance, high mechanical stability, and good weld ability (Brand et al., 2015; Grün et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2019). There are two typical series assembly methods for parallel modules connected by nickel plates, which are defined in this paper. Therefore, the advantages and disadvantages of the two typical assembly methods are analyzed based on the ECM and mathematical model in this paper. Then, by the assembly method with better performance, the 5s4p series–parallel battery pack is constructed, which is used to study the influences of connector resistance and MCP on the performance of the pack connected by nickel plates.

Simulation carried out by COMSOL Multiphysics was adopted for some previous studies (Hosseinizadeh et al., 2017; Hosseinizadeh et al., 2019a), which proves that it is a reliable way for research. COMSOL Multiphysics can set up multiple cells with consistent cell-to-cell parameters to exclude the interference of cell-to-cell parameter variations, which facilitates quantitative research methods. Meanwhile, different from studies with 2D models (Chang et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2021), COMSOL Multiphysics can accurately simulate the current distribution within a nickel plate. Through the combination of a 2D ECM and a 3D geometry model, we can perform a more realistic simulation of the charging and discharging of the battery pack, which contributes to the study of this paper. The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

1. The mathematical models of cell current distribution within packs under different assembly methods are obtained.
2. The guideline of series assembly for parallel modules is obtained to reduce the current inhomogeneity and improve the pack performance through COMSOL Multiphysics simulation.
3. The correlation of the connector resistance and current rate on the pack’s performance is gained to improve the efficiency of the pack.

The paper is structured as follows: in Equivalent Circuit Model and Mathematical Model Based on 3D Geometry, we analyze the cell current distribution of the packs with two typical assembly methods based on the 3D geometry models and ECMs and
construct the series–parallel battery pack by the assembly method with better performance. Then in Modeling, Verification, and Simulation, through COMSOL simulation, we verify mathematical models of the two assembly methods and investigate the influence of connector resistance and MCP on the performance of series–parallel battery packs. Thus, in Results and Discussions, we theoretically analyze the influences of assembly method difference, connector resistance, and MCP on the performance of a series–parallel battery pack and provide the guidelines to reduce these influences. Based on the current distribution characteristics of the series–parallel battery pack, we propose, model, analyze, and verify the PCM-SCM connection topology. In conclusion, we conclude this paper.

**EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT MODEL AND MATHEMATICAL MODEL BASED ON 3D GEOMETRY**

According to the case that nickel plates are widely used in the assembly of battery packs, the 3D geometry model of the parallel module is constructed. Figure 1A shows a common type of 4p parallel module connected by nickel plates. The parallel module is composed of positive and negative nickel plates and four cylindrical cells. The positive and negative collectors of the cell are connected to the positive and negative nickel plates, respectively, achieving a parallel configuration instead of connecting the positive collectors or negative collectors of adjacent cells sequentially through wires. As shown in Figure 1A, the positive and negative nickel plates of the parallel module are cuboids, and different surfaces of nickel plates can be selected as the contact surface for series assembly. Therefore, there are two typical series assembly methods for parallel modules: for simplicity, the series assembly method that parallel modules connected through the short surface is named L-Assembly (i.e., Figure 1C), and the series assembly method that parallel modules connected through the long surface is named S-Assembly (i.e., Figure 1B). Then, the ECMs with S-Assembly and L-Assembly are established based on the ECM of the cell, as shown in Figure 2B and Figure 2C, respectively. \( R_{\text{link}} \) is the connector resistance between adjacent cells in the parallel module, and \( R_{\text{inter}} \) is the connector resistance between adjacent parallel modules. The current path divides the nickel plate into several “small nickel plates”, which are the connector resistances. The current of each cell of the parallel module for S-Assembly can be expressed by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{\text{pm}} &= U_1 - (3I_1 + 2I_2 + I_3)R_{\text{link}} \\
U_{\text{pm}} &= U_2 - (2I_1 + 3I_2 + 2I_3 + I_4)R_{\text{link}} \\
U_{\text{pm}} &= U_3 - (I_1 + 2I_2 + 3I_3 + 2I_4)R_{\text{link}} \\
U_{\text{pm}} &= U_4 - (I_2 + 3I_3 + 3I_4)R_{\text{link}} \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( U_{\text{pm}} \) is the terminal voltage of the parallel module; \( I \) is the discharge current of the battery pack; and \( U_1, \ OCV_1, \ I_1, \) and \( R_1 \) are the terminal voltage, open-circuit voltage, current, and internal resistance of the \( i \)th cell, respectively. The connector resistance can be obtained by the following equation:

\[
R_{\text{link}} = \frac{\rho L}{S} \quad (4)
\]

where \( \rho \), \( L \), and \( S \) are the conductor material resistivity, conductor length, and conductor cross-sectional area, respectively. Since the Ohmic polarization occurs instantaneously, the cell current distribution at the beginning of discharge is affected by the terminal voltage of the battery pack, the connector resistance, and the Ohmic internal resistance of the cell. When the parameters between cells are consistent, the matrix equation of the initial cell current distribution of the parallel module for S-Assembly is obtained according to Eq. 3, that is,

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
3\theta + 1 & 2\theta & \theta & 0 \\
2\theta & 3\theta + 1 & 2\theta & \theta \\
\theta & 2\theta & 3\theta + 1 & 2\theta \\
0 & \theta & 2\theta & 3\theta + 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_2 \\
I_3 \\
I_4
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
k \\
k \\
k \\
k
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]
where \( k = \frac{OCV - U_{pm}}{R_0} \), \( \theta \) is the ratio of the connector resistance to the cell’s Ohmic internal resistance with \( \theta = \frac{R_{\text{link}}}{R_0} \). According to Eq. 5, the ratio of the initial discharge current of Cell 1 to Cell 4 of the parallel module for S-Assembly is

\[
I_1 : I_2 : I_3 : I_4 = 2 \theta + 1 : 2 \theta + 1 : 2 \theta + 1 : 2 \theta + 1.
\]

(6)

The current of each cell of the parallel module for L-Assembly can be expressed by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{pm} &= U_1 - \frac{1}{2} I_1 R_{\text{inter}} \\
U_{pm} &= U_2 - \frac{1}{2} I_2 R_{\text{inter}} \\
U_{pm} &= U_3 - \frac{1}{2} I_3 R_{\text{inter}} \\
U_{pm} &= U_4 - \frac{1}{2} I_4 R_{\text{inter}} \\
U_i &= OCV_i - I_i R_i \\
I &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} I_i.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

According to Eq. 7, the matrix equation of the initial cell current distribution of the parallel module for L-Assembly is obtained:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.5\bar{\theta} + 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.5\bar{\theta} + 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.5\bar{\theta} + 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5\bar{\theta} + 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_2 \\
I_3 \\
I_4
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
k \\
k \\
k \\
k
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(8)

where \( \bar{\theta} = \frac{R_{\text{link}}}{R_0} \). From Eq. 8, the ratio of the initial discharge current of Cell 1 to Cell 4 of the parallel module for L-Assembly is

\[
I_1 : I_2 : I_3 : I_4 = 1 : 1 : 1 : 1.
\]

(9)

Obviously, the cell current consistency of L-Assembly is better than that of S-Assembly. Therefore, L-Assembly is more suitable for parallel modules to be assembled in series.

Finally, based on the above theoretical research, the 5s4p battery pack is formed by assembling the parallel modules in series through L-Assembly. The 3D geometry model and the ECM are shown in Figure 1D and Figure 2D. In the following, the 5s4p battery pack is used to study the influence of MCP, connector resistance, and current rate on the performance of the series–parallel battery pack assembled by nickel plates. Two typical MCPs are shown in Figure 1D and Figure 2D. The
flow and distribution of current in the nickel plates are crucial to the study of the performance of a series–parallel battery pack. The ECM is suitable for the study of parallel battery packs with a simple assembly structure, such as S-Assembly. However, for the complex assembly, the connection impedance of nickel plates is hard to be replaced by a finite number of equivalent resistances, such as the L-Assembly in Figures 2C, D, where the interconnect network of $R_{\text{link}}$ and $R_{\text{inter}}$ is just an approximation. Fortunately, COMSOL Multiphysics can simulate the flow and distribution of current in the nickel plates, which is helpful for the study of the performance of series–parallel battery packs. Thus, COMSOL Multiphysics is adopted for the following study.

FIGURE 2 | (A) Equivalent circuit model of the cell. (B) Equivalent circuit model of S-Assembly. (C) Equivalent circuit model of L-Assembly. (D) Equivalent circuit model of the 5s4p battery pack.
TABLE 1 | Detailed parameters of LGM505000mAh.

| Parameter                  | Value          |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Nominal energy             | 18.20 Wh       |
| Minimum energy             | 17.60 Wh       |
| Minimum capacity           | 4800mAh        |
| Nominal voltage            | 3.63 V         |
| Charge end voltage         | 4.2 V          |
| Charge cut-off current     | 50 mA          |
| Standard charging current  | 1.455A         |
| Maximum charging current   | 3.395A         |
| Maximum discharge current  | 7.275A         |
| Weight (without washer)    | 68 ± 1 g       |
| Discharge cut-off voltage  | 2.7 V          |
| Energy density             | 263 Wh/kg      |

MODELING, VERIFICATION, AND SIMULATION

Based on the above mathematical model, the COMSOL simulation is carried out to discuss the cell current distribution of packs with S-Assembly and L-Assembly and further study the influence of connector resistance and MCP on the performance of series–parallel battery packs assembled by nickel plates.

Cell Modeling and Verification

The cell ECM built into COMSOL is consistent with that shown in Figure 2A. In this paper, the new lithium-ion 21700-format cell LGM505000mAh is ideally suited owing to their very-high-volume production recently. The detailed parameters are shown in Table 1. The nominal capacity of the cell is measured via a constant current discharge with a constant C/3 until the cut-off voltage (2.7 V).

The critical parameters (OCV, \( R_0, R_p, C_p \)) are related to SOC. To obtain the parameters, pulse power tests are carried out with 21700-format battery cells on a test bench. In a pulse test, there are three pairs of 60 s charging and discharging pulses at increasing magnitudes and scaled relative to the different maximum cell current rates (0.2, 0.5, 1C), followed by a 30 min rest at the ambient temperature of 25°C. Then, according to Eq. 1, the parameters are adjusted with the pattern search optimization algorithm in the program Matlab, such as the Recursive Least Squares algorithm. Moreover, the identified model parameters at different SOCs are shown in Figure 3A. It is obvious that the \( R_0, R_p, \) and \( C_p \) change little with SoC, except for extremely low SoC levels. Therefore, for the above theoretical calculation, the assumptions that the parameters can be seen as constant values are validated. The cell parameters are listed in Table 2. In addition, the OCV-SoC curve of the cell ECM is fitted by the dataset obtained from the discharging and charging at approximately C/25. The dataset contains 768 sets of data, as shown in Figure 3B.

The performance of the cell ECM is verified with a constant-current (CC) discharge. The CC discharge test is performed on the fully charged cell with 0.3 and 1C at an ambient temperature of 25°C, as shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that the simulated results are in good agreement with the experimental data. Finally, the ECM of the battery pack is built by setting the nodes to determine the connection relationship of the cells.

COMSOL Model and Simulation Procedures

First, the 3D geometry models of the battery pack shown in Figure 1B, Figure 1C, and Figure 1D are established by using COMSOL Multiphysics, respectively. The geometry parameters of the cell, positive and negative collectors, adopt the geometry size of the 21700 lithium battery. The distance between adjacent cells in the battery pack is 0.1 d, where d is the diameter of a cylindrical cell. The thickness of a nickel plate is 0.1 mm. The specific parameters of the 3D geometry model are shown in Table 3. Meanwhile, the positive and negative collector materials of the cell are set to aluminum and copper, respectively.

Second, based on the above cell ECM, some battery circuit modules of COMSOL are used to build the ECMs of the battery pack shown in Figure 1B, Figure 1C, and Figure 1D, such as the current source module and nickel plates. All nickel plates are set as domains for the current module, and they are used to simulate the current distribution and flow in the nickel plates. Meanwhile, all the circular contact surfaces of the nickel plate and cell electrode collector are set as circuit terminals to realize the electrical connection of the battery pack.

Finally, the combination of the 3D geometry model and the corresponding ECM is achieved by specifying the node positions of the ECM in the 3D geometry model. Compared with the study of the 2D ECM (i.e., Figures 2B–D), the biggest advantage of this method is that it can simulate the current distribution in the 3D space of the nickel plate, and the research results are more in line with the real situation.
CC discharge is adopted as the simulation condition for all battery packs. The initial SoC of each cell is equal with SoC = 100%. The battery pack stops discharging when any cell in the battery pack reaches the discharge cut-off voltage (2.9 V). The cycling test is performed on two fresh cells at 25°C. The Ohmic heat caused by the internal resistances of the cell and the interconnect resistance are not considered. Moreover, the influence of temperature on cell dynamics and connector resistance are all ignored, which has been adopted in other literature studies (Fill et al., 2018; Fill et al., 2019). The modeling and simulation process is shown in Figure 5.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the above results, we analyze the causes of the performance differences between S-Assembly and L-Assembly and obtain the guidelines for the series assembly of parallel modules in this section. Then, we discuss the influences of MCP, connector resistance, and current rate on the performance of a series–parallel battery pack assembled by nickel plates.

The Influence of Two Typical Series Assembly Methods

The 1°C discharge simulation results of S-Assembly and L-Assembly are shown in Figure 6. There are differences in the cell current distribution between different assembly methods, and the cell current consistency of L-Assembly is obviously better than that of S-Assembly. The current of each cell in L-Assembly tends to be equal, which is the same as the mathematical model (Eq. 9) in the Equivalent Circuit Model and the Mathematical Model Based on 3D Geometry. It can be seen from the 3D geometry model of L-Assembly that the distance between each cell and the assembly contact surface is equal, which means that the equivalent resistance of each parallel branch is the same. However, there are differences in the current of cells in S-Assembly. The discharge currents of cells 1, 4, 5, and 8 are larger than those of other cells. The phenomenon is consistent with the conclusions of other publications; that is, the cell closer to the module collector has the higher current. According to the 3D geometry model of S-Assembly shown in Figure 1B, the positions of the assembly contact surfaces can be regarded as the Z-configuration. The cell closer to the assembly contact surface has the higher current, which is due to the equivalent resistance of the parallel branch the cell located in is smaller. In order to prove the validity of the mathematical model for S-Assembly, the calculation results obtained by Eq. 6 are compared with the simulation results. According to Eq. 4, the value of connector resistance is 2.63 mΩ; since the resistivity of nickel is 6.84 × 10⁻⁸ Ω · m, the length, width, and thickness are 23.1, 6, 0.1 mm, respectively. The cell’s Ohmic internal resistance is 20 mΩ, so the value of θ in Eq. 6 is 0.1315. Table 4 shows the simulation and calculation results of the initial current rate of cells of the parallel module for S-Assembly. It can be seen that the calculated values are relatively consistent with the simulated values, which proves the validity of Eq. 5 and Eq. 6.

As shown in Figure 6B, the cell current consistency does not cause a significant difference in the cell terminal voltage. This
means that the uneven cell current distribution is difficult to be reflected by the cell terminal voltage in practical applications. However, differences in the assembly method can lead to the different terminal voltage of the battery pack, and the terminal voltage of the battery pack of L-Assembly is significantly higher than that of S-Assembly. It is related to the geometry of the nickel plate in the series–parallel battery pack and the current path in the nickel plate. Obviously, the slender nickel plate in S-Assembly has a longer distance for the current path and a smaller cross-sectional area perpendicular to the current direction, which means that the equivalent resistance of S-Assembly is larger than that of L-Assembly. Therefore, the increase of voltage loss results in a low terminal voltage.

Therefore, whether in terms of cell current consistency or pack terminal voltage, the series assembly method that parallel modules connected through the long surface (L-Assembly) performs better. In summary, the distance between each cell and the assembly contact surface should be equal when the parallel modules connected by nickel plates are assembled in series so that the equivalent resistance of each parallel branch is the same. Meanwhile, the equivalent resistance of parallel modules should be reduced as much as possible to reduce the voltage loss of the battery pack.

**Influence of Module Collector Position**

The 1°C discharge simulation results of the 5s4p battery pack are shown in Figure 7. The left part is the simulation result of the Z-configuration, and the right part is that of the ladder configuration. Obviously, the ladder and Z-configuration have the same effect on the distributions of cell current, voltage, and SoC and the terminal voltage of the battery pack. There is a new discovery in this paper that the cell currents of the non-edge parallel module of the series–parallel battery pack are consistent, which means that the non-edge parallel module part can be replaced with the SCM connection topology.

**Influence of Value of Connector Resistance**

According to the findings in the Influence of Module Collector Position, non-edge parallel modules have good cell current consistency with the same cell current rate, but the edge parallel modules of a series–parallel battery pack have inconsistency among the cell currents, and the shorter distance between the cell and the module collector leads to the higher current rate of the cell. The connector resistance affects the current distribution at the initial moment of discharge and directly determines the ratio of cell currents in edge parallel modules. Figure 8 shows the cell current rate of an edge parallel module at the beginning of the discharge process with different pack discharge currents (1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3C), where Cell 1 is the closest one to the module collector. For different pack discharge currents, the cell current ratio (Cell 1 to Cell 4) of the edge parallel module at the beginning of the discharge process is the same with 1:0.80:0.67:0.61.

Based on the characteristics of the cell current distribution of the series–parallel battery pack, the ECM of the edge parallel module of the 5s4p battery pack is provided, as shown in Figure 9. Therefore, the cell current distribution of the edge parallel module can be expressed by the following equations:

**FIGURE 5** Modeling and simulation process.
\[ \begin{align*}
\mathbf{U}_{\text{pm}} &= \mathbf{U}_1 \\
\mathbf{U}_{\text{pm}} &= \mathbf{U}_2 - (I_2 + I_3 + I_4)R_{\text{link}} \\
\mathbf{U}_{\text{pm}} &= \mathbf{U}_3 - (I_2 + 2I_3 + 2I_4)R_{\text{link}} \\
\mathbf{U}_{\text{pm}} &= \mathbf{U}_4 - (I_2 + 2I_3 + 3I_4)R_{\text{link}}.
\end{align*} \]

According to Eq. 10, the matrix equation of the initial cell current distribution of the edge parallel module is obtained, that is,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \theta + 1 & \theta & \theta \\
0 & \theta & 2\theta + 1 & 2\theta \\
0 & \theta & 2\theta & 3\theta + 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_2 \\
I_3 \\
I_4
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
k \\
k \\
k \\
k
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(11)

From Eq. 11, the ratio of the initial discharge current of Cell 1 to Cell 4 in the edge parallel module is

\[
I_1: I_2: I_3: I_4 = 1: \frac{\theta^2 + 3\theta + 1}{\theta^3 + 5\theta^2 + 6\theta + 1}: \frac{\theta + 1}{\theta^3 + 5\theta^2 + 6\theta + 1}
\]

(12)

where \( I_1 \) is the current of the cell closest to the module collector. Table 5 shows the simulation and calculation results of the initial cell current ratio of the edge parallel module. It can be seen that the calculated values are relatively consistent with the simulated values, which proves the validity of Eq. 11 and Eq. 12. Thus, the initial cell current distribution of the \( nP \) edge parallel module can be obtained as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \theta + 1 & \theta & \cdots & \theta \\
\vdots & \theta & 2\theta + 1 & 2\theta & \cdots & 2\theta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \theta & 2\theta & (n-2)\theta + 1 & (n-2)\theta & \cdots & (n-1)\theta + 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_2 \\
I_3 \\
\vdots \\
I_n
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
k \\
k \\
k \\
\vdots \\
k
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(13)

From Eq. 13, the ratio of the initial discharge current of each cell in the edge parallel module is related to the ratio of the connector resistance and the cell Ohmic internal resistance \( \theta \), and the smaller \( \theta \) contributes to the better cell current consistency. When the parallel number \( n \geq 3 \), there is the following relationship:

\[
I_{n+1}: I_{n+2}: \cdots: I_{n+m} = I_{n+2}: I_{n+3}: \cdots: I_{n+N}
\]

(14)

where \( N = n + 1 \). Thus, the cell current distribution of the \( nP \) edge parallel module is the same as that of the 2nd to the \( n+1 \)st cell in the \( (n+1) \) P edge parallel module. Since \( I_{n+1} \) is the largest in the \( (n+1) \) P edge parallel module, \( \frac{I_{n+2}}{I_{n+1}} < \frac{I_{n+3}}{I_{n+2}} \). Therefore, the cell current inconsistency in the \( nP \) edge parallel module enhances with the increase of parallel number.
Influence of Current Rate

The model validation results at high C-rates are shown in the supplementary material. Figure 10 shows the cell capacity utilization under different battery pack discharge current rates. The maximum, minimum, and average values of cell capacity utilization decrease with the increase of pack discharge current, which means that the cells are not completely discharged under high current rate conditions. The increase in battery discharge current leads to an increase in cell current. Due to the internal resistance of the battery, the larger cell current results in a larger
Ohmic voltage. Therefore, the cell reaches the discharge cut-off voltage early, which in turn leads to capacity loss of the battery pack.

It can also be seen from Figure 10 that the difference between the maximum and minimum values of cell capacity utilization increases with the increase of pack discharge current, which means that the increase of pack discharge current leads to an increase in the cell capacity inconsistency. According to the conclusions of the Influence of Value of Connector Resistance, the ratio of cell initial discharge current is the same when the connection resistance is constant. However, with the increase of pack discharge current, the difference of cell current also increases, which leads to an increase in SOC variation between cells.

Limitations and Future Work
The purpose of this paper is to study the influence of the assembly method and connector resistance, and a batch of cells with consistent parameters is needed to exclude the influence of single-cell inconsistencies. Therefore, the study requires a large number of cells with specific parameters, which causes the difficulty in statistical experiments. In this paper, the combination of the 3D geometry model and the corresponding ECM is achieved by COMSOL Multiphysics. Although the Thevenin model is not exactly the same as the actual battery, ignoring the effects of degradation and temperature, they are considered valid for the purposes of the paper.

Based on the results of the current study and the advantages of COMSOL Multiphysics in multiphysics field simulation, future work will focus on the influence of battery heating on the battery pack by adding heat-transfer fields to the existing pack model and exploring better methods to reduce the influence of temperature in terms of battery pack assembly.

CONCLUSION
In this paper, cells are connected in parallel by nickel plates to form a parallel module. Based on the parallel module, two series assembly methods for parallel modules are proposed. The performance differences between the two assembly methods are analyzed and verified based on the ECM and mathematical model. Then, the 5s4p PCM connection topology is constructed by the better assembly method. With COMSOL Multiphysics, the combination of a 3D geometry model and an ECM is achieved. Through 1C constant current discharge simulation, the advantages and disadvantages of the two assembly methods are verified, and the influences of MCP, connector resistance, and current rate on the performance of the series–parallel battery pack assembled by nickel plates are studied. The main conclusions of this paper are as follows:

1) The differences in series assembly methods of parallel modules lead to the different equivalent resistance distributions of the parallel branch, which leads to the different cell current distributions and the difference of battery pack voltage. The assembly method with an equal
distance between each cell and the assembly contact surface is more suitable for series assembly of parallel modules.

2) The Z-configuration and the ladder configuration have the same effect on the distributions of cell performance parameters and the terminal voltage of a series–parallel battery pack. However, cell current consistency in a parallel battery pack or in the edge parallel module at the beginning of discharge is related to the ratio of connector resistance and cell Ohmic resistance. Meanwhile, the cell current inconsistency increases with the increase of the ratio and parallel number.

3) Large battery pack currents can lead to inadequate discharge of the battery pack and aggravate cell capacity inconsistency. In order to improve the efficiency and extend the life of the battery pack, large current discharges should be avoided.
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