Quality of Life and Emotional Strain in Caregivers of Patients with Multiple Sclerosis
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Background and Purpose This study aimed to measure the quality of life, fatigue, stress, and depression in a consecutive sample of caregivers of multiple sclerosis (MS) patients.

Methods We included data from 131 consecutive caregivers of MS patients [age=51.2±12.8 years (mean±SD), males=53.4%, duration of caregiving=10.0±6.3 years]. We assessed the quality of life, fatigue, stress, and depression of the caregivers using the 36-item Short Form Health Survey, Krupp Fatigue Severity Scale, Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale, and Hamilton Scale for Depression, respectively. The disability status of the patients was assessed using the Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale. We used linear regression models to identify possible correlations between all of the aforementioned scales, while multivariable logistic regression models were employed to assess the correlations of caregiver fatigue with caregiver characteristics and patient disability.

Results The linear regression analyses revealed that caregiver fatigue was positively associated with stress and negatively correlated with both physical health status and mental health status. Caregiver stress was positively associated with depression and negatively correlated with both physical health status and mental health status. Depression was negatively correlated with both caregiver physical health status and mental health status. In multivariable logistic regression analysis, caregiver fatigue was found to be independently associated with education status [odds ratio (OR)=0.61, 95% CI=0.37 to 0.99], history of chronic disease (OR=5.52, 95% CI=1.48 to 20.55), other chronic diseases in the family (OR=7.48, 95% CI=1.49 to 37.47), and the disability status of the patient (OR=1.36, 95% CI=1.03 to 1.80).

Conclusions Fatigue, stress, and depression in caregivers of MS patients are negatively correlated with their physical health status and mental health status. Caregiver fatigue is independently associated with education status, history of chronic disease, other chronic disease in the family, and patient disability.
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INTRODUCTION

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic, demyelinating disease of the CNS that affects approximately 2.5 million people worldwide and is the most-common cause of neurological disability in young adults.1 MS is characterized by episodes of neurological symptoms that are usually followed by neurological deficits that lead to increasing disability over 30–40 years.2,3 The disabilities caused by the disorder include fatigue, decreased mobility, bladder and bowel dysfunction, depression, and cognitive impairment.4 These disabilities interfere with family life, work, and recreational activities, and require the patients and their families to adjust to lifestyle changes and restrictions.5 Half of MS patients will not be able to walk without unaided within 15 years after the onset of illness, and will be unemployed
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within 10 years. About 30% of MS patients need home assistance, and in about 80% of the cases this assistance is provided by informal caregivers, usually family members who mostly comprise their spouses or partners.

The assistance provided by informal caregivers covers a wide range of services, such as personal care, homemaking, mobility, and recreational activities. Caregivers play an important role in supporting patients suffering from MS at home. Caregivers also have to cope with the unpredictable course and prognosis of MS, including the possibility of the patient becoming severely disabled. Especially as the disease progresses, caregiving may become physically and emotionally more demanding and time-consuming, a combination that often leads caregivers to neglect their own needs and care, and also even neglect and mistreat patients. Some caregivers develop feelings of insufficiency and self-blame despite their commitment to the patient and adapting their lifestyle to the needs of the patient. Distressed caregivers might lose the ability to organize themselves and perform crucial tasks effectively. As Benito-León et al. suggested, the emphasis in MS treatment needs to shift from a patient-oriented approach to an approach that combines patients and caregivers, because caregivers are both “hidden patients” and “cotherapists” whose well-being is of the utmost importance for the well-being of the patients.

The aim of the present study was to measure the quality of life, fatigue, stress, and depression in caregivers of patients with MS, and to identify further possible correlations among these characteristics and characteristics of caregivers (age, gender, affinity with the patient, duration of caregiving, income, education, and hobbies) and the severity of the patient disability.

METHODS

Setting, study design, and subjects
One hundred and thirty-one caregivers of patients suffering from MS [age=51.2±12.8 years (mean±SD), males=53.4%, and duration of caregiving=10.0±6.3 years] and the corresponding patients themselves were prospectively enrolled in this study. Their baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. The MS patients were recruited from the Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Ioannina, Greece from October 2015 to March 2017. The inclusion criteria for the patients were 1) having a definite diagnosis of MS, 2) being stable at the time of the study [defined as no change in the Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) in the 3 months prior to study enrollment], 3) needing a caregiver to help them in everyday life, and 4) able to understand the aim of the study and give informed consent. The exclusion criteria for the patients were 1) acute phase or relapse of MS, 2)
change in the EDSS score during the previous 3 months, 3) co-

existence with another disabling disease not related to MS,

4) diagnosis of dementia according to Diagnostic and Sta-

tistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5 criteria, 5) history of

alcohol or substance abuse, or 6) refusing to give informed

consent.

For the caregivers, defined as the persons who provided

informal care on a regular basis, the inclusion criteria were

1) living with the patient and being responsible for his/her

eye day care and well-being, and 2) not being paid for care-

giving.

The complete study protocol was approved prior to study

initiation by the ethics committee of the University Hospital

of Ioannina (IRB approval number: 875-21.12.2015). Writ-

ten informed consent was obtained from all patients and

caregivers prior to study enrollment.

Methods

Caregiver assessments

The quality of life of the caregivers was assessed using the

36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) (non-commercial

license agreement, Office of Grants and Scholarly Research,

OPTUM; License number: OM 029174).

The SF-36 measures physical and mental health in the fol-

lowing eight dimensions: vitality, physical functioning, body

pain, general health perceptions, physical role functioning,

emotional role functioning, social role functioning, and men-

tal health. These eight dimensions are scaled so that the total

score of the SF-36 ranges from 0 to 100, with lower scores

meaning greater disability.16 The SF-36 is one of the most wide-

ly used quality-of-life instruments, and it exhibits high valid-

ity and reliability.17

Fatigue was assessed using the Krupp Fatigue Severity

Scale (FSS) that includes nine items related to fatigue and

its impact on everyday activities. Each item is scored from 1

to 7, and a total score of 36 or more indicates the presence of

fatigue. The FSS was chosen because it possesses high sensitiv-

ity and reliability.18

Stress was assessed with the Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale

(KCSS), which consists of ten questions dealing with caregiv-

ing, family, and financial status (seven, two, and one ques-

tion, respectively). Each answer is scored from 1 (no stress)

to 5 (extreme stress).19 KCSS is especially suitable for assess-

ing unpaid caregivers, usually the partner or other relatives of

the patient, and has satisfactory psychometric properties.20

Caregiver depression was assessed using the Hamilton

Scale for Depression (HAM-D). This scale consists of 21 items,

with the score being based on the first 17:8 are scored on a

5-point scale ranging from 0 (not present) to 4 (severe), and 9

are scored from 0 to 2.21 For evaluating the HAM-D scores,

we adopted the severity ranges for the HAM-D reported by

Zimmermann et al.22 as follows: no depression (score of 0–7),

mild depression (8–16), moderate depression (17–22), and

severe depression (≥23). The HAM-D has been considered a

gold standard for assessing the severity of depression in both

clinical settings and research.23

We used validated Greek versions of the SF-36,24 FSS,25

KCSS,20 and HAM-D.26

Patient assessments

The EDSS was applied by an experienced neurologist to rate

the disability status of each patient.27

Statistical analysis

We first used linear regression models to identify correlations

of the KCSS and its three domains (caregiving, family, and fi-

nancial status) with both the HAM-D and the two domains of

the SF-36 [physical component summary (PCS) and mental

component summary (MCS)]. Additional linear regression

analyses were performed to investigate the association of both

the PCS and MCS of the SF-36 with the FSS and HAM-D.

We then used univariable and multivariable logistic regres-

sion analyses to investigate possible associations of all baseline

ordinal characteristics of the caregivers and the severity of pa-

tient disability (quantified by the EDSS score) with the caregiv-

er fatigue status, as expressed by the numerical values on the

corresponding scale. All baseline characteristics that contrib-

uted to the outcome of interest in the initial univariable analy-

ses at p-values <0.1 were included in the multivariable model

as candidate variables. The final variables that were indepen-

dently associated in the multivariable logistic regression anal-

yses with the outcome of interest were selected by a backward

stepwise selection procedure using a p value of <0.05. Finally,

the association of caregiver fatigue with baseline non-ordinal

characteristics regarding the caregiver profession and their af-

finity with the patient was assessed using a one-way ANOVA.

All statistical analyses were performed using the stata sta-

tistical software (release 13 for Windows, StataCorp., College

Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Caregivers had scores on the FSS, KCSS, and HAM-D of 3.4±

1.8, 24.9±9.6, and 8.0±6.3, respectively, with severe depression

(HAM-D score ≥23) reported in 12.2%. Their SF-36 scores

for the PCS and MCS were 50.8±9.2 and 42.8±12.2, respec-
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Table 2. Associations of the KCSS with the FSS, HAM-D, and SF-36

|                    | FSS score | p       | HAM-D score | p       | PCS             | p       | MCS             | p       |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| KCSS score         | 0.38      | <0.001  | 0.99        | <0.001  | -0.51           | <0.001  | -0.49           | <0.001  |
| Caregiving         | 0.30      | <0.001  | 0.76        | <0.001  | -0.37           | <0.001  | -0.38           | <0.001  |
| Family             | 0.04      | <0.001  | 0.14        | <0.001  | -0.07           | <0.001  | -0.06           | <0.001  |
| Financial status   | 0.04      | <0.001  | 0.10        | <0.001  | -0.07           | <0.001  | -0.05           | <0.001  |

Data are r (95% CI) values.

FSS: Fatigue Severity Scale, HAM-D: Hamilton Scale for Depression, KCSS: Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale, MCS: mental component summary, PCS: physical component summary, SF-36: 36-item Short Form Health Survey.

Table 3. Associations of the FSS and HAM-D with the SF-36

|         | PCS         | p        | MCS         | p        |
|---------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| FSS score | -1.11       | <0.001   | -0.75       | <0.001   |
| HAM-D score | -0.30       | <0.001   | -0.33       | <0.001   |

Data are r (95% CI) values.

FSS: Fatigue Severity Scale, HAM-D: Hamilton Scale for Depression, MCS: mental component summary, PCS: physical component summary, SF-36: 36-item Short Form Health Survey.

Assessed using the KCSS (r=0.38, 95% CI=0.30 to 0.46, p<0.001), and negatively correlated with both physical health status (r=-1.11, 95% CI=-1.35 to -0.88, p<0.001) and mental health status (r=-0.75, 95% CI=-0.94 to -0.56, p<0.001). Similarly, caregiver stress (as assessed using the KCSS) was found to be positively associated with depression (r=0.99, 95% CI=0.79 to 1.19, p<0.001) and negatively correlated with both the physical health status (r=-0.51, 95% CI=-0.67 to -0.35, p<0.001) (Fig. 1A) and mental health status (r=-0.49, 95% CI=-0.59 to -0.38, p<0.001; Fig. 1B). Finally, depression (as assessed with the HAM-D) was negatively correlated with both the PCS (r=-0.30, 95% CI=-0.41 to -0.19, p<0.001) and MCS (r=-0.33, 95% CI=-0.40 to -0.26, p<0.001) of the SF-36.

In the univariable analysis of the associations of nonordinal baseline characteristics (Table 4), caregiver profession was significantly associated with fatigue (p=0.038), with unemployed caregivers reporting fatigue symptoms more often than the other categories. Similarly, the reported fatigue level varied significantly according to the affinity of the caregiver with the patient (p=0.027). In the univariable logistic regression analysis (Table 5), caregiver age (p=0.001), education (p=0.002), sports (p=0.006), history of chronic disease (p=0.002), other chronic disease in the family (p=0.012), and the disability status of the patient (p=0.023) were significantly associated with caregiver fatigue. In multivariable logistic regression analyses (Table 5), caregiver fatigue was independently associated with education status (OR=0.61, 95% CI=0.37 to 0.99, p=0.046), history of chronic disease (OR=5.52, 95% CI=1.48 to 20.55, p=0.011), other chronic disease in the family (OR=7.48, 95% CI=1.49 to 37.47, p=0.014) and disability status of the patient (OR=1.36, 95% CI=1.03 to 1.80, p=0.032).
DISCUSSION

This study found that caregivers experienced high levels of stress, as indicated by a KCSS score of 24.9±9.6, and a reduced quality of life, as indicated by PCS and MCS scores on the SF-36 of 50.8±9.2 and 42.8±12.2, respectively. We also found high rates of clinical depression in caregivers, with very severe depression (HAM-D score ≥23) reported in 12.2% (n=16), severe depression in 3.8% (n=5), and moderate depression in 9.2% (n=12) of the caregivers. Depression was negatively correlated with both physical health status and mental health status, and positively correlated with fatigue and caregiver stress. Labiano-Fontcuberta et al.28 reported depressive symptoms in 25% of a sample of 63 caregivers of MS patients, with 19% and 1% having moderate and severe depression, respectively. The caregivers with more depressive symptoms were mainly females and had greater comorbidity (additional diseases).

Caregiver fatigue was associated with their education status, history of chronic disease, history of other chronic disease in the family, their affinity with the patient, and the disability status of the patient. It was particularly notable that unemployed caregivers reported fatigue symptoms more often than the other categories. Employment seems to contribute to the well-being of caregivers. As other studies have suggested, well-being is associated with the ability of individuals to find life goals and a meaning-making system in their lives. The importance individuals give to life domains such as family, work, social relationships, and hobbies is crucial in determining how a domain contributes to their life well-being. Professional life functions as a protective factor against fatigue among caregivers, and age, gender, and personality characteristics may also play roles. The present study found that caregiver fatigue was not associated with gender or age. Males constituted 53.4% of our caregiver sample. That is in accordance with other studies, because MS is more prevalent in women and so men are more likely to be the spousal caregivers. According to Lee et al.,30 women caregivers tend to experience higher levels of strain, higher needs for emotional support, and higher perceived social support. However, no statistically significant difference was observed between the male and female caregivers in our sample.

Caregiver stress was correlated with physical disability of the patients (as measured by the EDSS), which is in accordance with previous reports of this factor being a strong indicator of caregiver stress.31 Katsavos et al.32 reported that caregiver stress was positively correlated with age, EDSS score, and disease duration, and negatively with cognitive, physical, and mental health. Depression in MS patients seems to be strongly correlated with depressive symptoms in caregivers, resulting in a less-satisfying relationship, reducing the motivation and investment of the caregiver in his/her role.4 The present study found that the severity of illness and the history of other chronic disease in the family were significantly correlated with care-

Table 4. Results from the univariable analysis of the association of baseline nonordinal characteristics with fatigue

| Variable             | Household (n=16) | Retired (n=38) | State employee (n=19) | Private employee (n=12) | Freelance (n=21) | Farmer (n=9) | Unemployed (n=16) | p  |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----|
| FSS score            | 3.65±1.80       | 3.84±1.86      | 3.01±1.86             | 2.60±1.32               | 2.75±1.28       | 2.45±1.42     | 4.15±2.09        | 0.038 |

FSS: Fatigue Severity Scale.

Table 5. Results from the univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses of the association of baseline ordinal characteristics with fatigue

| Variable             | Univariable logistic regression analysis | Multivariable logistic regression analysis |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                      | OR (95% CI)                              | OR (95% CI)                               |
| Age                  | 1.07 (1.03 to 1.11)                      | 1.00 (0.96 to 1.06)                       |
| Gender (male)        | 0.65 (0.29 to 1.45)                      | -                                         |
| Education            | 0.56 (0.39 to 0.81)                      | 0.61 (0.37 to 0.99)                       |
| Income               | 0.81 (0.59 to 1.11)                      | 0.184                                     |
| Chronic disease of caregiver | 5.43 (1.82 to 16.19)                      | 5.52 (1.48 to 20.55)                      |
| Other chronic disease in the family | 4.57 (1.40 to 14.85)                      | 7.48 (1.49 to 37.47)                      |
| Duration of care     | 0.99 (0.93 to 1.06)                      | 0.941                                     |
| Number of other caregivers | 1.04 (0.84 to 1.28)                      | -                                         |
| Sports               | 0.23 (0.08 to 0.66)                      | 0.006                                     |
| EDSS score           | 1.27 (1.03 to 1.56)                      | 1.36 (1.03 to 1.80)                       |

EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale, OR: odds ratio.
giver strain, although illness duration did not to influence caregiver strain. This could have been due to caregivers tending to get used to the diagnosis of MS but not to its severe consequences. Income does not seem to play a role in caregiver strain, although low income imposes restrictions on leisure activities, while education and employment does. Caregivers with higher education and who are employed experience less strain, perhaps because employment gives them the opportunity to change their roles and obligations as well as to have social relationships unrelated to the MS patient.

Despite the strengths of our study associated with its prospective design and the consecutive enrollment of both patients and caregivers, some limitations should also be acknowledged. First, since our sample was small and representative of an urban population in northwestern Greece, the obtained results might not be applicable to other populations. Second, the patient sample consisted of an ambulatory outpatient MS population and thus the more severely affected patients who require extensive or permanent nursing-home care were underrepresented. Finally, it should be noted that our patient group did not undergo an extensive neuropsychological assessment, and so we cannot draw any conclusions about a possible correlation between cognitive deficits of the patients and caregiver stress.

In conclusion, this study found that caregiver strain was positively correlated with the severity of illness, history of other chronic disease in the caregiver or another family member, their affinity with the patient, and the education of the caregiver. Illness duration, income, and caregiver hobbies do not seem to influence caregiver strain. We also found that high rates of depression in caregivers were positively correlated with the severity of illness, history of other chronic disease in the caregiver or another family member, and the education of the caregiver. Chronic disease in the caregiver or another family member, and the education of the caregiver, income, and caregiver hobbies do not seem to influence caregiver strain. This could have been due to caregivers tending to get used to the diagnosis of MS but not to its severe consequences.

In conclusion, this study found that caregiver strain was positively correlated with the severity of illness, history of other chronic disease in the caregiver or another family member, their affinity with the patient, and the education of the caregiver. Illness duration, income, and caregiver hobbies do not seem to influence caregiver strain. This could have been due to caregivers tending to get used to the diagnosis of MS but not to its severe consequences. Income does not seem to play a role in caregiver strain, although low income imposes restrictions on leisure activities, while education and employment does. Caregivers with higher education and who are employed experience less strain, perhaps because employment gives them the opportunity to change their roles and obligations as well as to have social relationships unrelated to the MS patient.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank OPTUM for the license to use the SF-36 (License number: OM 029174).

REFERENCES

1. Khan F, Pallant J, Brand C. Caregiver strain and factors associated with caregiver self-efficacy and quality of life in a community cohort with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil* 2007;29:1241-1250.
2. Phillips CJ. The cost of multiple sclerosis and the cost effectiveness of disease-modifying agents in its treatment. *CNS Drugs* 2004;18:561-574.
3. Campbell JD, Glushchyan V, Brett McQueen R, Cahoon-Metzger S, Livingston T, Vollmer T, et al. Burden of multiple sclerosis on direct, indirect costs and quality of life: National US estimates. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2014;3:227-236.
4. McKeown LP, Porter-Armstrong AP, Baxter GD. Caregivers of people with multiple sclerosis: experiences of support. *Mult Scler* 2004;10:219-230.
5. Minden SL, Frankel D, Hadden LS, Srinath KP, Perloff JN. Disability in elderly people with multiple sclerosis: an analysis of baseline data from the Sonya Slika Longitudinal Multiple Sclerosis Study. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2004;19:55-67.
6. Pozzilli C, Palmisano L, Mainero C, Tomassini V, Marinielli F, Ristori G, et al. Relationship between emotional distress in caregivers and health status in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2004;10:442-446.
7. Buchanan RJ, Radin D, Huang C, Zhu L. Caregiver perceptions associated with risk of nursing home admission for people with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Health J* 2010;3:117-124.
8. Figved N, Myhr KM, Larsen JP, Aarsland D. Caregiver burden in multiple sclerosis: the impact of neuropsychiatric symptoms. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2007;78:1097-1102.
9. Penwell-Waines L, Goodworth MC, Casillas RS, Rahn R, Stepleman L. Perceptions of caregiver distress, health behaviors, and provider health-promoting communication and their relationship to stress management in MS caregivers. *Health Commun* 2016;31:478-484.
10. Buchan R, Huang C. Health-related quality of life among informal caregivers assisting people with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil* 2011;33:113-121.
11. Shapiro J, Wigginsworth A, Morrison EH. Views on disclosing mistreatment: a focus group study of differences between people with MS and their caregivers. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2013;2:96-102.
12. Golla H, Mameeas S, Galushko M, Pfaff H, Volz R. Unmet needs of caregivers of severely affected multiple sclerosis patients: a qualitative study. *Palliat Support Care* 2015;13:1685-1693.
13. Argyriou AA, Karanasios P, Iliantii AA, Ikonomou G, Assimakopoulou K, Makridou A, et al. Quality of life and emotional burden of primary caregivers: a case-control study of multiple sclerosis patients in Greece. *Qual Life Res* 2011;20:1663-1668.
14. Benito-León J, Rivera-Navarro J, Guerrero AL, de Las Heras V, Balseiro J, Rodriguez E, et al. The CAREQOL-MS was a useful instrument to measure caregiver quality of life in multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:675-686.
15. Antoine P, Quandalle S, Christophe V. Living with a chronically ill relative: assessment of positive and negative dimensions of the experience of natural caregiver. *Ann Méd Psychol* 2010;168:273-282.
16. McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Lu JF, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups. *Med Care* 1994;32:40-66.
17. Patti F, Amato MP, Battaglia MA, Pitaro M, Russo P, Solaro C, et al. Caregiver quality of life in multiple sclerosis: a multicentre Italian study. *Mult Scler* 2007;13:412-419.
18. Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, Steinberg AD. The fatigue severity scale. Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arch Neurol* 1989;46:1121-1123.
19. Sadak T, Korpak A, Wright JD, Lee MK, Noel M, Buckwalter K, et al. Psychometric evaluation of Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale. *Clin Gerontol* 2010;34:268-280.
20. Pitsikali A, Galanakis M, Varvogli L, Darviri C. Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale (KCSS) greek validation on dementia caregiver sample. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2015;86:675-686.
21. Hamilton M. Rating depressive patients. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1980;41:21-24.
22. Zimmerman M, Martinez JH, Young D, Chelminski I, Dalrymple K. Severity classification on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. *J Affect Disord* 2013;150:384-388.
23. Cusin C, Yang H, Yeung A, Fava M. Rating scales for depression. In:
Bael L, Blais MA, editors. Handbook of Clinical Rating Scales and Assessment in Psychiatry and Mental Health. New York: Humana Press, 2009;7-10.

24. Pappa E, Kontodimopoulos N, Niakas D. Validating and norming of the Greek SF-36 Health Survey. Qual Life Res 2005;14:1433-1438.

25. Ferentinos P, Kontaxakis V, Havaki-Kontaxaki B, Dikos D, Lykouras L. Psychometric evaluation of the fatigue severity scale in patients with major depression. Qual Life Res 2011;20:457-465.

26. Stathopoulou H, Karanikola MN, Panagiotopoulou F, Papanasso-glou ED. Anxiety levels and related symptoms in emergency nursing personnel in Greece. J Emerg Nurs 2011;37:314-320; quiz 427.

27. Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). Neurology 1983;33:1444-1452.

28. Labiano-Fontcuberta A, Mitchell AJ, Moreno-Garcia S, Benito-León J. Anxiety and depressive symptoms in caregivers of multiple sclerosis patients: the role of information processing speed impairment. J Neurol Sci 2015;349:220-225.

29. Bassi M, Falautano M, Cilia S, Goretti B, Grobberio M, Pattini M, et al. The coexistence of well- and ill-being in persons with multiple sclerosis, their caregivers and health professionals. J Neurol Sci 2014;337:67-73.

30. Lee EJ, Pieczynski J, DeDios-Stern S, Simonetti C, Lee GK. Gender differences in caregiver strain, needs for support, social support, and quality of life among spousal caregivers of persons with multiple sclerosis. Work 2015;52:777-787.

31. Hakim EA, Bakheit AM, Bryant TN, Roberts MW, McIntosh-Michaelis SA, Spackman AJ, et al. The social impact of multiple sclerosis: a study of 305 patients and their relatives. Disabil Rehabil 2000;22:288-293.

32. Katsavos S, Artemiadis AK, Zacharis M, Argyrou P, Theotoka I, Chrysovitsanou C, et al. Predicting caregiving status and caregivers’ burden in multiple sclerosis. A short report. Neurol Res 2017;39:13-15.