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Abstract

Background and Objective  Approximately 5–40 % of patients treated with clopidogrel do not display an adequate antiplatelet response. Clopidogrel resistance may be caused by insufficient drug absorption or impaired metabolic activation of the drug. The aim of this study was to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel and its metabolites in plasma samples from patients treated with high and low doses of clopidogrel, to obtain a possible explanation for antiplatelet resistance.

Methods  The study included patients receiving either a single 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel (n = 17) or a 75 mg dose (n = 45) for at least 7 days before sample collection. The concentrations of clopidogrel and its metabolites—the inactive H3 and the pharmacologically active H4 isomers of the thiol metabolite and the inactive carboxylic acid metabolite—in plasma samples (stabilized with 2-bromo-3’-methoxyacetophenone) from three patients after 300 mg and from 41 patients after 75 mg of the drug were determined using a validated high-performance liquid chromatography method with tandem mass spectrometry. The non-stabilized samples from the remaining patients were analysed using a validated capillary electrophoresis method. The calculated concentrations were used to determine the pharmacokinetic parameters of the analytes. The pharmacodynamic response to clopidogrel treatment, expressed as adenosine diphosphate-induced platelet aggregation, was measured using a Multiplate analyser.

Results  The pharmacokinetic parameter values for the H3 and H4 isomers determined in the studied group of patients treated with clopidogrel 75 mg (maximum plasma concentration \( [C_{\text{max}}] \) 5.29 ± 5.54 and 7.13 ± 6.32 ng/mL for H3 and H4, respectively; area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to time \( t [\text{AUC}_t] \) 7.37 ± 6.71 and 11.30 ± 9.58 ng·h/mL for H3 and H4, respectively) were lower than those reported in healthy volunteers, according to the literature data. Platelet aggregation measured with a Multiplate analyser ranged between 37 and 747 AU·min. A significant correlation was found between the \( C_{\text{max}} \) of the active H4 isomer and platelet aggregation (\( p = 0.025 \)).

Conclusion  The \( C_{\text{max}} \) of the active H4 isomer and platelet aggregation measured by the Multiplate analyser may serve as markers of the patient response to clopidogrel therapy.

1 Introduction

The antiplatelet drug clopidogrel—a second-generation thienopyridine with an absolute S configuration at carbon 7—is widely used in the prevention of ischemic events. In the CAPRIE (Clopidogrel Versus Aspirin in Patients at Risk of Ischaemic Events) trial, clopidogrel was...
shown to be more effective than aspirin in reducing the risks of myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke and vascular death [1]. Despite the obvious advantages of clopidogrel, many clinical studies have shown that approximately 5–40% of patients treated with conventional doses of clopidogrel display inadequate antiplatelet responses, which may lead to serious cardiovascular complications [2]. The mechanisms underlying this phenomenon have not yet been confirmed. It has been suggested that reduced efficacy of clopidogrel may be caused by genetic polymorphisms of the transporters and enzymes participating in clopidogrel absorption and metabolic transformation, and by non-genetic factors [3]. The bioavailability of clopidogrel may be diminished because of secretion of the active drug by an efflux pump P-glycoprotein encoded by the multidrug resistance gene. This result may be reflected by visible inter-patient variability in the plasma concentrations of clopidogrel and its metabolites [4].

Clopidogrel is a pro-drug and requires complex metabolic activation in the liver. Up to 85% of the absorbed drug can be transformed by carboxyl esterases into a carboxylic acid derivative of clopidogrel (CLPM), the major metabolite circulating in the blood [5]. Although this metabolite is inactive, its determination in plasma was used to study the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel in an indirect manner for many years, because plasma concentrations of the parent drug are very low [6]. Only 15% of the absorbed clopidogrel dose is transformed by isoenzymes of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 to a thiol metabolite (CTM), which is responsible for the antithrombotic effect of clopidogrel [7]. CTM selectively and irreversibly inhibits adenosine diphosphate (ADP)-induced platelet aggregation by directly blocking ADP binding to the P2Y12 receptor located on the platelet surface [8]. Among the various CYP enzymes that catalyse the oxidative activation of clopidogrel, the CYP2C19 polymorphic variants *2 and *3 are mostly responsible for reduced exposure to CTM, leading to the decreased antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel in some patients [9]. CTM is a chiral compound and may exist as four isomers (H1–H4), of which only H3 and H4 were present in the obtained clinical samples [10]. In vitro studies have confirmed that the H4 isomer can be considered as the only active circulating isomer of CTM [9, 11]. Because of the analytical problems associated with low concentrations of the parent drug and the instability of its thiol derivative, only a small number of papers have focused on the pharmacokinetic aspects of clopidogrel treatment. The majority of these studies were performed in healthy volunteers and refer to the pharmacokinetics of the parent drug only [12] or one of the metabolites, either CTM [9, 13–16] or the inactive CLPM [17]. In the cited papers on CTM pharmacokinetics, the specific parameters characterizing the metabolite were calculated for the mixture of CTM isomers. Because only the H4 isomer is clinically relevant, such an approach may lead to overestimation of patient exposure to the active metabolite of clopidogrel. Only Tuffal et al. [10] distinguished between the pharmacokinetic parameters of the CTM isomers on the basis of their concentration in the plasma of healthy volunteers. That study was applicable only to CTM and did not consider the concentrations of clopidogrel or its main metabolite, CLPM. There is only one paper focusing on the pharmacokinetics of the parent drug and its two metabolites, CLPM and unstabilized CTM, in healthy volunteers. Because of the lack of a CTM standard, the concentration was approximated on the basis of the calibration curve prepared for clopidogrel [18].

Because the patient response to clopidogrel treatment may be affected by the presence of specific co-morbidities (e.g. diabetes mellitus, chronic renal disease or obesity) and by co-administered medications (e.g. proton pump inhibitors [PPIs]) [3], pharmacokinetic studies of clopidogrel and its metabolites would be especially useful in patients undergoing antiplatelet therapy. There are only a few reports on clopidogrel pharmacokinetics in patients treated with the drug. Erlinge et al. [19] reported the pharmacokinetics of CTM as a mixture of isomers in patients with diabetes. The pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel, CLPM and CTM were investigated in patients with myocardial infarction, but the concentrations of CTM were very low because it was likely not stabilized in plasma samples [20]. Moreover, Deray et al. [21] described the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel and CLPM but not those of the CTM isomers in patients with renal function impairment.

The objectives of this study were to investigate the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel and its metabolites—the pharmacologically active H4, and the inactive H3 and CLPM—in patients treated with high and low doses of clopidogrel, to measure the pharmacodynamic effect of clopidogrel and to estimate the pharmacodynamic–pharmacokinetic correlation.

2 Patients and Methods

2.1 Study Population

The study involved patients of Caucasian origin from central Poland undergoing elective coronary angiography, percutaneous coronary intervention, carotid artery stenting or peripheral artery interventions. Patients received an oral clopidogrel formulation under fasting conditions, either as a single 300 mg loading dose (n = 17) or as a 75 mg maintenance dose (n = 45) for 7 days prior to the
procedure. Patients with acute myocardial infarction, malignancies, oral anticoagulation therapy with a coumarin derivative, treatment with a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonist or other antiplatelet drugs except for aspirin, platelet count <100,000/µL, current liver dysfunction or impaired renal function (serum creatinine concentration >2 mg/dL) were excluded from the study. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee at Poznan University of Medical Sciences, and all patients gave written informed consent for participation.

2.2 Sample Collection

Blood samples for quantification of plasma analyte concentrations were collected before administration of clopidogrel and at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12 and 24 h after administration. A 7.5 mL aliquot of blood was drawn into collection systems containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic potassium salt (EDTA-K) [Sarstedt AG & Co., Nümbrecht, Germany]. To stabilize the highly labile CTM, 37.5 µL of a 500 mM acetonitrile solution of 2-bromo-3′-methoxyacetophenone (MPB) was added to the systems, in accordance with the procedure reported by Takahashi et al. [16]. The plasma was separated by centrifugation for 10 min at 1,620 × g and stored at −25 °C until further analysis.

2.3 Chemicals

(+)-S clopidogrel bisulfate (purity 99 %) and its carboxylic acid metabolite (CLPM; purity 99.6 %) were obtained from the Pharmaceutical Research Institute (Warsaw, Poland). The 3′-methoxyacetophenone derivatives of the clopidogrel thiol metabolite H3 (MP-H3) and H4 (MP-H4) isomers were a generous gift from Sanofi Aventis (Montpellier, France). Piroxicam, used as an internal standard, was obtained from Jelfa (Jelenia Góra, Poland). The alkylation agent MPB and formic acid (purity >95 %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie (Steinheim, Germany). Acetonitrile (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was of the high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) gradient grade. De-ionized water was used to prepare a mobile phase for HPLC (Simplicity UV, Millipore, USA). Drug-free human plasma was obtained from the Regional Centre of Blood Donation (Poznań, Poland).

2.4 Determination of Clopidogrel and its Metabolite Concentrations in Plasma

In the samples stabilized with MPB (from 3 patients treated with clopidogrel 300 mg and from 41 patients treated with 75 mg), the concentrations of clopidogrel, CLPM and the H3 and H4 isomers of CTM were measured by a validated HPLC method with tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) [22]. Briefly, 250 µL of plasma was spiked with 25 µL of internal standard solution at a concentration of 100 ng/mL. Protein precipitation was performed by adding 450 µL of acetonitrile to each sample. The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged for 10 min at 22,570 × g and a temperature of 20 °C before the supernatant was filtered using Mini Uni Prep filters (Whatman International Ltd., Maidstone, Kent, UK). The resulting filtrate was evaporated under a vacuum at 40 °C, and the dry residue was reconstituted in 200 µL of the mobile phase. A 25 µL aliquot was injected onto the HPLC–MS/MS system. The HPLC analysis was performed on a chromatograph Agilent 1200, which was coupled to a 6410 B Triple Quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer (both from Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The analytes were separated in the Zorbax Plus C18 column [100 mm × 2.1 mm, 3.5 µm] (Agilent Technologies, USA) at a column temperature of 40 °C. The mobile phase was a mixture of de-ionized water (A) and acetonitrile (B), both containing 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid. The gradient was as follows: 0–7 min linear from 42 to 90 % B, 7–7.5 min return from 90 to 42 % B and post-time of 5 min with 42 % B for column equilibration. The mobile phase flow was set at 0.35 mL/min. The eluent from the HPLC column was introduced directly to the MS interface, using electrospray ionization in the positive ion mode. The MS parameters were as follows: capillary voltage 4,000 V, nebulizer gas (nitrogen) pressure 40 psi (275.8 kPa), desolvation gas (nitrogen) flow 10 L/min and desolvation temperature 300 °C. Nitrogen was used as a collision gas. The specific transitions for the analytes were monitored using the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. The most sensitive mass transition was from m/z 322.1 to 212 for clopidogrel, from m/z 504.1 to 155 for the CTM isomers, from m/z 308.1 to 198 for CLPM and from m/z 332.1 to 228 for the internal standard. The method was linear in the ranges of 0.25–5.00 ng/mL for clopidogrel, 0.25–50.00 ng/mL for the MP-H3 and MP-H4 isomers, and 50–10,000 ng/mL for CLPM. The lower limits of quantitation (LLOQs) were 0.25 ng/mL for clopidogrel, MP-H3 and MP-H4, and 50.00 ng/mL for CLPM. The intra- and inter-assay precision values, expressed as relative standard deviations, were ≤18.1 % for clopidogrel, ≤15.2 % for CLPM, ≤10.1 % for MP-H3 and ≤19.9 % for MP-H4. The intra- and inter-day accuracy of the method, expressed as the relative error, was ≤16 %. The analytes were stable in samples stored for 6 h in the autosampler, and in plasma samples stored for 24 h at room temperature and for 3 months at −25 °C [22].

In plasma samples not treated with MPB (from 14 patients treated with clopidogrel 300 mg and from 4 patients treated with 75 mg), only the concentrations of CLPM were measured by a validated high-performance capillary electrophoresis method with diode array
detection, as described by Karaźniewicz-Łada et al. [23]. Briefly, 1 mL of plasma was mixed with 50 μL of the internal standard at a concentration of 10 mg/L and 1 mL of phosphoric buffer at pH 5.0. The analytes were extracted by a solid-phase extraction procedure on C18 columns (Bakerbond SPE™, J.T. Baker, Deventer, The Netherlands) and determined on an Agilent model 3DICE apparatus (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with the ultraviolet detector set at λ = 220 nm. The samples were automatically injected using a hydrodynamic injection at the anode. The temperature of the capillary was maintained by a thermostatic system at 25 °C. The separation was performed in a fused silica capillary, 35 cm × 50 μm i.d., 26.5 cm to the detector, filled with a 0.025 M phosphate buffer of pH 2.5. The system was controlled by ChemStation software. All experiments were performed at 25 kV and a 50 × 5 mbar-s injection (12 nL injected volume). The method was linear in the range of 0.5–10 mg/L for clopidogrel and 0.25–20 mg/L for CLPM. The intra- and inter-day accuracy and precision of the method were below 12 %. The recovery of the analytes from plasma samples was ≥80 % [23].

2.5 Pharmacodynamic Assay

Whole-blood platelet aggregation was measured in 38 patients receiving clopidogrel 75 mg daily. The samples for the aggregation assay were collected, 2–3 h after clopidogrel administration, in an S-Monovette system coated with hirudin (Sarstedt AG & Co.). The measurements were performed using an impedance aggregometer (Multiplate® analyser; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). After a 1:1 dilution of whole blood with 0.9 % NaCl solution and stirring for 3 min in the test cuvettes at 37 °C, 6.4 μmol/L of ADP was added, and the increase in electrical impedance was recorded continuously for 6 min. The platelet aggregation was quantified as arbitrary units (AU) and the area under the curve of the arbitrary units (AU-min). According to the recent consensus opinion of Bonello et al. [24], a cut-off point of 468 AU-min for platelet aggregation in response to ADP should be used as the threshold for an increased risk of thrombotic events during clopidogrel therapy. All materials used for platelet function testing were obtained from the manufacturer.

2.6 Pharmacokinetic Calculations

The plasma concentrations of clopidogrel, CLPM, H3 and H4 were used to calculate the pharmacokinetic parameters, using WinNonlin version 6.2 software (Pharsight, Mountain View, CA, USA). For the calculation, the non-compartmental technique was applied. The total area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) was estimated by the trapezoidal rule with extrapolation from time zero to infinity (AUC∞), using Clast/kel, where Clast is the last measurable concentration and kel is the elimination rate constant calculated from the terminal linear segment of the log plasma concentration–time data. The elimination half-life (t½) was estimated from ln2/kel. The maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) and the time to reach the Cmax (tmax) were derived directly from the observed plasma concentrations. The plasma drug clearance (CL/F) was calculated by dividing the dose (D) of each enantiomer by the AUC∞, assuming complete biological accessibility. The volume of distribution (Vd/F) was estimated from DLkel × AUC∞.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using Statistica version 8.0 software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). The coefficient of variation (CV %) was calculated as (mean/SD × 100). Normality was estimated with the Shapiro–Wilk test. The differences between the normally distributed variables were determined with the Student’s t test; in the other cases, the Mann–Whitney test was applied. Correlations between the parameters were calculated with the Spearman rank correlation coefficient for all non-normally distributed values. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

3 Results

3.1 Patient Characteristics

The detailed characteristics of the subjects are presented in Table 1. No statistically relevant differences were observed in the age, bodyweight and body mass index between the patient groups.

3.2 Determination of Clopidogrel and Its Metabolite Concentrations in Plasma

The mean plasma concentration–time profiles of clopidogrel, CLPM and the H3 and H4 isomers of CTM obtained in patients following administration of clopidogrel at doses of 75 and 300 mg are presented in Fig. 1. As suggested by Tuffal et al. [10], the samples with poor signs of haemolysis after addition of MPB (48 out of a total of 358 samples) were considered as not sufficiently stabilized, and their concentrations of H3 and H4 were not taken into account during the pharmacokinetic calculations.
3.3 Pharmacokinetic Parameters

The pharmacokinetic parameters calculated for clopidogrel, CLPM and the H3 and H4 isomers of CTM after administration of clopidogrel 75 and 300 mg are presented in Table 2. Clopidogrel was absorbed rapidly from the gastrointestinal tract, with $C_{\text{max}}$ values of 2 and 4.5 ng/mL following administration of clopidogrel 75 and 300 mg.

Table 1  Patient characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Clopidogrel 75 mg ($n = 45$) | Clopidogrel 300 mg ($n = 17$) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age [years; mean ± SD]         | 63.0 ± 8.5                    | 64.9 ± 9.9                    |
| Bodyweight [kg; mean ± SD]     | 81.8 ± 13.3                   | 80.4 ± 6.6                    |
| Body mass index [kg/m$^2$; mean ± SD] | 27.8 ± 5.4                   | 27.8 ± 3.2                    |
| Female sex [$n$]                | 14                            | 0                             |
| Carotid artery stenting [$n$]   | 3                             | 10                            |
| Coronary angiography [$n$]      | 16                            | 0                             |
| Percutaneous coronary intervention [$n$] | 25                        | 0                             |
| Peripheral artery intervention [$n$] | 1                          | 7                             |
| Hypertension [$n$]              | 37                            | 8                             |
| Hypercholesterolaemia [$n$]     | 7                             | 2                             |
| Diabetes mellitus [$n$]         | 15                            | 6                             |
| Dyslipidaemia [$n$]             | 8                             | 8                             |
| Proton-pump inhibitors [$n$]    | 18                            | 4                             |
| Statins [$n$]                   | 42                            | 14                            |
| Beta-blockers [$n$]             | 37                            | 7                             |
| ACE inhibitors [$n$]            | 30                            | 9                             |

ACE angiotensin-converting enzyme, SD standard deviation
respectively, and \( t_{\text{max}} \) values of 1.4 and 1.2 h, respectively. The low plasma concentrations of clopidogrel resulted from its rapid metabolism. The main clopidogrel metabolite, which is the biologically inactive CLPM, reached a \( C_{\text{max}} \) in plasma that was a thousand-fold greater than that of the parent drug (Fig. 1b). The second metabolite, which is a thiol derivative of clopidogrel, was quantifiable in plasma as the H3 and H4 isomers (Fig. 1c, d). The plasma concentrations of the biologically active H4 isomer following administration of clopidogrel 75 mg were slightly higher than those of its antipode, the inactive H3, whereas after a dose of 300 mg, the exposure to H4 was two times greater than the exposure to the H3 isomer (Table 2). The results were, however, strongly biased by the small number of subjects in the clopidogrel 300 mg group. The H3 and H4 isomers were eliminated rapidly, and their concentrations were below the limit of quantification at 6 h after clopidogrel administration in most subjects. CLPM was characterized by the slowest elimination rate, with a \( t_{1/2} \) of approximately 7 h.

The statistical analysis showed that the plasma concentrations of clopidogrel and its metabolites at 1–6 h for clopidogrel, 1–3 h for H3, 0.5–2 h for H4 and 1–24 h for CLPM were significantly correlated with their \( C_{\text{max}} \), \( AUC_t \), and \( AUC_{\infty} \) values. A significant correlation was found between the \( AUC_t \) of the active H4 isomer and the \( C_{\text{max}} \) (\( r = 0.466, p = 0.019 \)) and \( AUC_t \) (\( r = 0.434, p = 0.049 \)) of the parent drug. The differences between the pharmacokinetic parameters of the H3 and H4 isomers calculated after administration of clopidogrel 75 mg were not statistically significant. We did not observe any statistically significant influence of age, diabetes mellitus or co-administration of CYP2C19 inhibitors (mainly PPIs—omeprazole and pantoprazole) on exposure to the H3 and H4 isomers (Table 3).

### Table 2: Pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel, the carboxylic acid metabolite of clopidogrel (CLPM) and the H3 and H4 isomers of the clopidogrel thiol metabolite

| Parameter | Clopidogrel | H3 | H4 (active) | CLPM |
|-----------|-------------|----|-------------|------|
| Dose: clopidogrel 75 mg | (\( n = 41 \)) | (\( n = 30 \)) | (\( n = 30 \)) | (\( n = 45 \)) |
| \( C_{\text{max}} \) [ng/mL; mean ± SD] | 2.04 ± 2.0 | 5.29 ± 5.54 | 7.13 ± 6.32 | 2,516 ± 1,754 |
| \( t_{\text{max}} \) [h; mean ± SD] | 1.40 ± 1.07 | 1.08 ± 0.62 | 1.04 ± 0.53 | 1.37 ± 0.74 |
| \( t_{1/2} \) [h; mean ± SD] | 1.71 ± 1.28 | 0.78 ± 0.78 | 0.90 ± 0.86 | 7.14 ± 3.32 |
| \( AUC_t \) [ng·h/mL; mean ± SD] | 5.07 ± 3.80 | 7.37 ± 6.71 | 11.30 ± 9.58 | 11,077 ± 7,768 |
| \( V_d/F \) [\( \times 10^3 \) L/h; mean ± SD] | 6.29 ± 4.11 | 7.96 ± 6.85 | 11.97 ± 9.75 | 12,383 ± 8,552 |
| Dose: clopidogrel 300 mg | (\( n = 3 \)) | (\( n = 3 \)) | (\( n = 3 \)) | (\( n = 17 \)) |
| \( C_{\text{max}} \) [ng/mL; mean ± SD] | 4.51 ± 3.42 | 8.47 ± 1.69 | 17.92 ± 20.38 | 8,464 ± 3,772 |
| \( t_{\text{max}} \) [h; mean ± SD] | 1.17 ± 0.76 | 0.83 ± 0.29 | 0.83 ± 0.29 | 1.61 ± 1.21 |
| \( t_{1/2} \) [h; mean ± SD] | 1.99 ± 0.44 | 0.72 ± 0.18 | 0.84 ± 0.20 | 7.11 ± 3.50 |
| \( AUC_t \) [ng·h/mL; mean ± SD] | 20.82 ± 13.35 | 11.24 ± 8.31 | 25.04 ± 27.41 | 32,979 ± 12,321 |
| \( V_d/F \) [\( \times 10^3 \) L/h; mean ± SD] | 21.76 ± 13.34 | 11.70 ± 8.33 | 25.69 ± 27.54 | 37,249 ± 13,861 |

\( AUC_t \) area under the plasma concentration–time curve, \( AUC_t \) from time zero to time \( t \), \( AUC_{\infty} \) AUC from time zero to infinity, \( CL/F \) plasma drug clearance, \( C_{\text{max}} \) maximum plasma concentration, \( t_{1/2} \) elimination half-life, \( t_{\text{max}} \) time to reach the \( C_{\text{max}} \), \( V_d/F \) volume of distribution

\( \triangle \) Adis
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Table 3 Influence of baseline characteristics on systemic exposure to the H3 and H4 isomers of the clopidogrel thiol metabolite in patients receiving clopidogrel 75 mg

| Characteristic        | H3 C<sub>max</sub> [ng/mL; mean ± SD] | H3 AUC<sub>t</sub> [ng·h/mL; mean ± SD] | H4 C<sub>max</sub> [ng/mL; mean ± SD] | H4 AUC<sub>t</sub> [ng·h/mL; mean ± SD] |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Age                   |                                      |                                       |                                      |                                       |
| ≥65 years (n = 13)    | 6.22 ± 6.83                          | 8.13 ± 8.44                           | 7.91 ± 5.91                          | 10.40 ± 8.07                          |
| <65 years (n = 17)    | 4.57 ± 4.41                          | 6.34 ± 4.80                           | 6.54 ± 6.74                          | 11.48 ± 11.03                         |
| p value               | 0.267                                | 0.629                                 | 0.233                                | 0.923                                 |
| Diabetes mellitus     |                                      |                                       |                                      |                                       |
| Yes (n = 11)          | 5.96 ± 7.69                          | 7.88 ± 9.33                           | 8.04 ± 6.55                          | 11.69 ± 9.63                          |
| No (n = 19)           | 4.90 ± 4.02                          | 6.71 ± 4.47                           | 6.61 ± 6.30                          | 10.46 ± 9.78                          |
| p value               | 0.651                                | 0.655                                 | 0.478                                | 0.805                                 |
| Proton-pump inhibitors|                                      |                                       |                                      |                                       |
| Yes (n = 13)          | 5.94 ± 6.63                          | 7.76 ± 8.16                           | 9.04 ± 8.07                          | 13.10 ± 9.82                          |
| No (n = 15)           | 5.00 ± 4.93                          | 7.03 ± 5.52                           | 5.92 ± 4.53                          | 9.69 ± 9.81                           |
| p value               | 0.475                                | 0.980                                 | 0.394                                | 0.277                                 |

A<sub>UC</sub>; area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to time t, C<sub>max</sub> maximum plasma concentration

4 Discussion

To investigate the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel and its main metabolites (CLPM and the H3 and H4 isomers of CTM) in the studied population, an HPLC–MS/MS method was developed for simultaneous determination of all of these analytes in patient plasma samples [22]. The method was adequately accurate and precise and fulfilled the validation requirements for quantitative analysis of drugs and their metabolites in biological samples. The method offered an improved LLOQ (0.25 ng/mL) of the CTM isomers compared with the LLOQ of 0.5 ng/mL previously described by Tuffal et al. [10]. The majority of the developed HPLC–MS/MS assays for determination of the active thiol metabolite of clopidogrel do not distinguish between the H3 and H4 isomers of the compound [16, 25, 26] and may lead to overestimation of patient exposure to the active metabolite. In the present study, application of the selective HPLC–MS/MS method permitted investigation into the pharmacokinetics of the clinically relevant H4 isomer and the parent drug and its non-active metabolites, H3 and CLPM.

Pharmacokinetic data on clopidogrel, CLPM, and the H3 and H4 isomers of CTM are scarce and have mainly been determined in healthy volunteers. Several reports in the literature have indicated that the ethnic background of subjects has an influence on the pharmacokinetics of the parent drug. According to the published data, the C<sub>max</sub> values of clopidogrel varied from 0.9 ng/mL in Argentinian subjects [27] to 4.4 ng/mL in Egyptian healthy volunteers [28] following administration of clopidogrel 75 mg. The C<sub>max</sub> of 2.0 ng/mL obtained in the present study following the same dose is similar to the C<sub>max</sub> values of 1.5 and 2.5 ng/mL reported by Hurbin et al. [29] in US healthy volunteers in the fasted and fed states, respectively. A possible reason for the ethnicity-specific responses is significant variability in the frequencies of the CYP2C19 allelic variants found in different ethnic groups, which may affect the metabolism of clopidogrel [30]. Clopidogrel pharmacokinetics in patients with cardiovascular disease may differ from those in healthy subjects. Sibbing et al. [31] reported diminished conversion of clopidogrel into its active thiol metabolite in patients with a history of stent thrombosis following percutaneous coronary intervention. This was reflected by a significantly lower C<sub>max</sub> of CTM in patients (3.2 ng/mL) than in healthy control subjects (14.5 ng/mL) following administration of clopidogrel 600 mg. A similar conclusion may be drawn from the results of the present study, where the C<sub>max</sub> values of the H3 and H4 isomers of CTM were 5.29 and 7.13 ng/mL, respectively (Table 2), and were lower than those reported in healthy volunteers, according to the literature data. Following the same dose of clopidogrel 75 mg, Tuffal et al. [10] observed C<sub>max</sub> values of 17.8 ng/mL for H3 and 16.4 ng/mL for the H4 isomer, but in the study by Furlong et al. [32], a C<sub>max</sub> of 11 ng/mL for the H4 isomer was reported. We could speculate that conversion of clopidogrel into the H4 active isomer of the thiol metabolite was diminished in the studied group of patients, compared with healthy volunteers. One possible reason for this is impaired blood flow to the body tissues associated with cardiovascular disorders. This may result in decreased drug absorption and impaired metabolism of the drug in the liver. The higher CTM concentrations (>20 ng/mL) in plasma obtained by Peer et al. [26] resulted from application of a validated HPLC–MS/MS method that did not
distinguish between the H3 and H4 isomers. Geisler et al. [33] reported that an impaired response to clopidogrel treatment may be predicted by certain factors, such as advanced age (>65 years), a history of acute coronary syndromes, diabetes mellitus or renal failure. These variables may also have an influence on systemic exposure to the CTM isomers. In our study, neither age nor diabetes mellitus was significantly associated with the Cmax or AUC of the H3 and H4 isomers (Table 3). The multivariate analysis of variance showed no association between the combination of these variables and the pharmacokinetic parameters of the CTM isomers.

As demonstrated by the aforementioned studies, the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel and CTM are highly variable, with a CV of 50–80% for the calculated pharmacokinetic parameters [10, 29, 32]. The inter-subject variability was even more pronounced in the studied group of patients (CV >100%) and requires further explanation with reference to genetic polymorphisms of the ABCB1 and CYP isoenzymes, co-morbidities and drug–drug interactions. Identification of the sources that influence both the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic responses of the clopidogrel thiol metabolite (CTM) and the H4 isomer of CTM in patients receiving clopidogrel 75 mg. The solid lines represent the linear regression fit to the data. AU arbitrary units.

In the present study, variability in clopidogrel pharmacodynamics measured by ADP-induced platelet aggregation was observed, and it was associated with plasma concentrations of the CTM isomers. The significant correlation between the Cmax of the active H4 isomer and platelet aggregation indicates the usefulness of both factors as predictors of the patient response to clopidogrel therapy. The high platelet aggregation (747 AU-min) coexisting with low plasma concentrations of the H4 isomer (Cmax 2.5 ng/mL) determined in one subject suggests a poor response to clopidogrel treatment and may lead to
development of serious cardiovascular complications. According to the recent consensus opinion of Bonello et al. [24], platelet aggregation >468 AU-min in response to ADP as measured with the Multiplate analyser is a criterion for identification of patients at high risk of thrombotic events.

Some studies have demonstrated that monitoring of platelet function may be especially useful in patients with decreased clopidogrel metabolism. Such patients may be identified by genetic studies as carriers of the loss-of-function CYP2C19*2 alleles [35, 36].

Pharmacokinetic analysis of clopidogrel and its metabolites, especially the active H4 isomer, may be a useful tool for identification of patients who are at risk of high on-treatment platelet reactivity. Routine monitoring of platelet aggregation and H4 plasma concentrations during clopidogrel treatment may improve clinical outcomes in patients with cardiovascular diseases.

A significant correlation between concentrations at individual timepoints and the pharmacokinetic parameters $C_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{\infty}$, and $\text{AUC}_{\text{t}}$ of clopidogrel and its metabolites (see Sect. 3.3) suggests that less frequent monitoring of plasma concentrations for prediction of total drug exposure may be possible. The results might be useful for designing a limited-sampling strategy in which accurate information on the antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel can be obtained using plasma concentrations determined in a few blood samples at appropriate times. Strategies using a limited number of samples have proven to be useful in therapeutic monitoring of immunosuppressants [37] or anti-infective agents [38]. A significant correlation between the pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel and the AUC of the H4 isomer allows for prediction of patient exposure to the active metabolite in situations when only analysis of the parent compound is available.

5 Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first study of the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel and its main metabolites, the active H4 and inactive H3 and CLPM, in patients with cardiovascular diseases. Our results confirmed that pharmacokinetic data in healthy volunteers are not sufficient for accurate prediction of the therapeutic effect of clopidogrel in clinical conditions. The $C_{\text{max}}$ of the active H4 isomer and platelet aggregation measured with the Multiplate analyser may serve as indicators of the patient response to clopidogrel therapy. The differences in the pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel and its metabolites that were found in the studied group suggest that further studies of genetic and non-genetic sources of inter-individual variability should be performed.
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