Global Population Aging, National Development Level, and Vulnerability to the Pandemic
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Purpose: This study examined the roles of population aging and national development level in affecting different phases of novel coronavirus disease development with a view to advancing preparedness and corresponding policy.

Methods: Regression analysis was conducted using multisource data from the World Bank and Johns Hopkins COVID-19 Dashboard.

Results: Population aging is positively associated with confirmed cases of day-10, day-20, and day-30. The positive association between population aging and death does not emerge until day-20. Countries with a higher proportion of older males face higher risks of death. Countries with a higher proportion of males aged 70–74 years are at the highest risk of confirmed cases. National development level is not associated with confirmed cases, but developing countries face significantly higher risks of death of day-10 and day-20.

Conclusion: Prevention and control policies for older adults and underdeveloped areas and sex differences need to be studied.
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Introduction
The aged population is more susceptible to novel coronavirus disease, due to more severe underlying physical and mental health problems.1 Half the admissions to hospitals, over half the admissions to intensive care units, and 80% of deaths related to novel coronavirus disease are people >65 years old in China and the US.2 The case-mortality rate of those aged >80 years and those with comorbidities are higher (14.9%–20.2% in different countries),3,4 and nursing-home residents face the higher risks (49%–62% mortality rate).4,5 The age gradient in mortality is observed among older adults with novel coronavirus disease. Specifically, rates of severe symptoms are different among those aged >80, 65–79, and 50–64 years (81.3%, 43.2%, and 19.8%, respectively),6 and the mortality rate is disproportionately higher for the older groups (18.8%, 4.5%, 1.2%, respectively).4,6 Among patients with novel coronavirus disease, older patients have a higher mortality rate, due to the high case-fatality rate and symptomatic infection rate.7 This fact could be influenced by both the physiological aging process and especially the greater prevalence in older adult patients of frailty and comorbidities,6,9 where chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and malignancy can reduce intrinsic capacity and resilience and hinder the fight against infections.9
Although countries with deep population aging are mainly developed countries that have advanced health systems, the sudden surge in medical demand within a short period still overburdens the health system and can render it close to collapse.\textsuperscript{10} Developing areas whose health systems are more vulnerable and bearing the brunt of the rush for medical resources can be even worse hit. In many Latin American countries, universal health-care coverage is far from being reached.\textsuperscript{11} Self-medication, which can bring great health risks, is still prevalent,\textsuperscript{12} and targeted health policy and planning toward lower- and middle-class residents is insufficient in underdeveloped areas.\textsuperscript{13,14} In some less developed areas like Africa, the situation can be severe, as the health system is worse prepared for pandemics and the average age is becoming older than before.\textsuperscript{15,16} In west Africa, there are far fewer than five hospital beds and two doctors per 10,000 population, US$50 health expenditure per capita.\textsuperscript{17} Meanwhile, it is reported that Africa has become a young but rapidly aging continent, with a fourfold increase in the absolute number of old adults (between 2010–2050, from 56 to 215 million) which is close to the number in Europe (241 million).\textsuperscript{18} The composite risk of lower national development level and a rapidly aging population structure can leave developing areas at a disadvantage when faced with the pandemic.

A deep examination of associations among population aging, national development level, and the development of novel coronavirus disease is much needed. Though there has been some research alerting policymakers to the risk status of older adults, less attention has been paid to country-level relationships among population aging, national development level, and risk of novel coronavirus disease. This study divided the structure of population aging to reveal the disparity in vulnerability to novel coronavirus disease between countries with varying proportions of older adults. Also, sex differences can be seen in the manifestation and pathophysiology of many diseases,\textsuperscript{8} and sex-stratified analysis was done to further divide the structure of population aging, and thus to reveal differences in sex structure vulnerable to novel coronavirus disease among countries with varying proportions of older adults. Moreover, this study classifies national development levels to discuss the disparity in vulnerability to novel coronavirus disease between developed and developing countries. These devoted efforts could enrich relevant literature and provide policy recommendations based on findings.

### Literature Review

#### Population Aging and the Risk of Infectious Disease

Older adults usually suffer from a series of comorbidities, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease, which significantly predict the severity of novel coronavirus disease and make them most susceptible to it.\textsuperscript{19} Those aged ≥65 years old account for 45% of hospitalizations, 53% of intensive care–unit admissions, and 80% of fatalities as of late March2020.\textsuperscript{20} In a survey of nursing homes in the UK, 26% of nursing-home residents passed away within the initial 2 months of the outbreak, with all-cause mortality increasing by 203% compared to years prior, among which 40% had tested positive and of these, 43% had been found to be asymptomatic.\textsuperscript{21} Nursing homes of higher quality and on a larger scale have surprisingly experienced severer situations.\textsuperscript{22} In a survey covering 9,395 nursing homes in the US, 13.1% of small (<50 beds), 29.1% of medium-sized (50–150 beds), and 51.7% of large (>150 beds) establishments had reported confirmed cases.\textsuperscript{22}

Macroscopically, although regions with deep population aging have well-structured health-care systems and longer life expectancy, they may also face a high number of confirmed cases and high mortality from novel coronavirus disease, contributed to by population aging, since an upsurge in medical demand overburdens current health systems.\textsuperscript{23} Most health systems around the world lack resilience to cope well with the sharp growth in medical demands of specific diseases, leading many general health-care services messed up and to give way to this priority.\textsuperscript{24} As such, a run on finite medical resources weakens advantages of health systems in developed countries. The disparity in mortality from epidemics can thus be driven more by differences in demographic structure than by the capacity of health systems in the short term.\textsuperscript{25} Some countries with a younger population structure, like India, seem to have outperformed the US and some of European nations in mortality rates during epidemics.\textsuperscript{26} Even within a single country, the disparity in vulnerability to a pandemic can stem from population aging at different paces. The higher mortality from a pandemic is found in places that face social challenges resulting from deeper population aging, such as a mismatch.
between medical resources and the need for long-term care or the higher ratio of residents in weak physical condition.27

Sex Differences and Risk Disparity in the Pandemic
Sex differences in clinical severity and mortality during the novel coronavirus disease crisis have been observed, where males are generally at higher risk than females in terms of case fatality and other severe outcomes.28,29 This might partially result from differences between males and females in hygiene knowledge and awareness, adherence to protective guidelines, and health-behavior practices. It has been reported that females have exhibited a higher level of intention to conform with epidemic-prevention guidelines on hand hygiene, health behavior, and individual protection.30 They have been found to have higher daily frequency of hand-washing and using alcohol-based sanitizer than males.30 A higher proportion of females report adequate hand-washing before leaving restrooms,-31,32 whereas males have more reasons for not washing their hands (eg, no time, inconvenience, no need, do not feel like doing this) than females (eg, skin problems).30 Females also demonstrate more sneezing/coughing into their elbows,33 more conscious avoidance of unnecessary contact with people while ill, and more adherence to wearing a face mask in this pandemic.30 Moreover, sex difference in health-behavior practices may also result in the risk disparity in the novel coronavirus disease pandemic. The severity of symptoms of this pandemic is associated with a series of comorbidities, such as hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, which are commonly found in heavy smokers.34 The sex disparity in tobacco use is viewed as an old enemy in a new guise in the context of the pandemic.35 For example, the odds of tobacco use among males are more than twice those of females in Mumbai, India.36 The sex disparity in initiation, maintenance, and outcome expectancy of tobacco use is not limited to cigarettes, but can also be seen in vaping, e-cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, and chewing tobacco.37,38

National Development Level and Potential Risk in This Crisis: The Pros and Cons
The potential influence of national development level on the risk of novel coronavirus disease can manifest in two ways and may thus be unclear. The bright side lies in that the higher national development level is often associated with better health services, considered the key for a country to reduce the mortality rate from novel coronavirus disease. Less developed areas may face greater challenges, due to a lack of necessary medical devices, such as ventilators and personal protective equipment.39,40 The long-standing social vulnerability and worse coverage of their health systems can impose great risk on underdeveloped areas when faced with public-health crises.41,42 Also, comparatively worse public administration and policy response and lower education and economic levels in less developed areas may also contribute to higher risks of mortality in this pandemic.43 As noted earlier, smoking-related comorbidities (eg, hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) are closely associated with the severity of symptoms of this disease.34 Studies have demonstrated higher prevalence of tobacco use in areas with great social vulnerability, where populations face poverty, and the less educated and illiterate account for a large percentage.44,45 Therefore, in the initial phase of the pandemic, where the run on medical resources is yet to happen, developed areas may have the advantage of complete health systems and better economic support.

However, many developing areas seem to have outperformed developed areas in lowering the mortality rate, although the latter have better health-care facilities, higher-quality drinking water and sanitation, and longer life expectancy.46,47 Some studies have proposed that the lower level of urbanization associated with decentralized population distribution in developing areas can retard the spread of the pandemic.48 Long-term care facilities in developing areas are far from sufficient, and their accessibility depends on highly variable rural–urban divides and managerial standard of care.49 Such a shortage, however, surprisingly turns out to be an advantage in the pandemic. In many developed countries, nursing homes and long-term care facilities have been found to be closely related to cluster infection.50 In this case, the higher level of urbanization and better access to care services for the aged may turn into an extra burden for developed countries when measures of epidemic prevention and control are insufficient.

Methods
The percentage of the population aged >65 years for 2018 published by the World Bank is the most recent data available to measure the aging population at a national level (http://datatopics.worldbank.org/universal-health-coverage/coronavirus). Since the date of emergence of initial novel
coronavirus disease cases varies across countries and initial emergence may not have reached a serious stage, we set the first day of reaching ten cases as day-1 for each country. Data of confirmed cases and deaths from novel coronavirus disease (January 21 to April 27, 2020) came from the Johns Hopkins COVID-19 Dashboard (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html). day-10, day-20, and day-30 confirmed cases and deaths that reflect different phases of the pandemic’s development, are examined as outcome variables. National development level is classified by the World Bank into high-income, upper-middle-income, lower-middle-income, and low-income countries. Regression analysis was conducted using Stata 16.0:

$$\text{Confirmed cases and deaths (day-10, day-20, and day-30) = } \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ population aging} + \beta_2 \text{ national development level} + \epsilon$$

**Results**

Figure 1A depicts the world distribution of population aging. It is shown that population aging is occurring in many of the world’s biggest economies, and is much severer in developed countries than developing ones. Europe and Japan are just about the reddest regions on the map, with the highest percentages of aging populations in the world. These distribution characteristics are also seen in the case of both older female (Figure 1B and D) and older male populations (Figure 1C and E).

Figure 2 provides a depiction of the spatial distribution of confirmed cases and deaths around the world. It is shown that confirmed cases and deaths from day-10 to day-30 are deteriorating in most countries. Figure 3 illustrates the phase dynamics of distribution of confirmed cases and deaths. The histograms of confirmed cases and deaths gradually move rightward with time, showing that the pandemic has not been well controlled and substantial increases in confirmed cases and deaths have documented by more countries at day-30 than day-10. Flowcharts of regression samples are more clearly shown in Figure 4.

Table 1 (panels A–C) presents the main results. Population aging positively predicts day-10, day-20, and day-30 confirmed cases ($p<0.01$). However, population aging affects only day-20 and day-30 deaths ($p<0.01$) and does not significantly affect day-10 deaths ($p>0.05$). These results indicate that the effect of population aging on confirmed cases emerges immediately, but displays a delay in terms of deaths.

National development level is not associated with day-10, day-20, or day-30 confirmed cases ($p>0.05$), or with day-30 deaths ($p>0.05$), whereas it is significantly related to day-10 and day-20 deaths ($p<0.05$). These findings indicate that day-10, day-20, and day-30 confirmed cases do not vary with national development level. There is little disparity in day-30 deaths among countries at different development levels, although additional day-10 and day-20 deaths are significantly identified as national development level declines. These data may reflect that this health crisis can be coped with initially by countries at higher development levels; however, with the increasing severity of this crisis over time, it seems difficult for countries at higher development levels to cope much better than others.

Table 1 (panel D) divides the structure of aging populations grouped by age and sex. For confirmed cases, it is shown that countries that have a higher proportion of males aged 70–74 years are at highest risk (with the largest magnitude of coefficients) compared with other cases (ie, a higher proportion of males aged >80 years, of females aged 70–74 years, or of females aged >80 years).

For deaths, it is indicated that countries that have a higher proportion of males aged 70–74 years and >80 years are at higher risk than those with a higher proportion of females of the same ages.

**Discussion**

Since there is greater prevalence of frailty and comorbidities among older adults, countries with older population structures are faced with greater pressure in the novel coronavirus disease crisis. Such pressure is manifested more as confirmed cases rather than deaths, and the influence of population aging on deaths shows a delay. The findings of little disparity between developed and developing countries in confirmed cases and longer-term deaths (day-30 deaths) imply that even for developed countries, the epidemic outbreak was a big shock and overburdened their health systems. In northern Italy, for example, the number of people with novel coronavirus disease far exceeds available medical resources (eg, ventilators, intensive care–unit beds, and hospital beds). For short-term deaths (eg, day-10 and day-20 deaths), developing countries show significant additional mortality risks. Besides inadequate health systems in developing countries, this finding may also point to greater prevalence of tobacco use in developing countries, where the poor, less educated, and illiterate account for a higher population proportion, as smoking-related comorbidities have been found to be closely associated with the severity of symptoms of this pandemic. Further, when taking sex difference and age subgroups into consideration, countries with a higher proportion of older males face higher risks, among which those with a higher proportion of males aged 70–74 years are at highest risk. Similarly, higher smoking
prevalence among males than females may be a contributing factor to this finding.\textsuperscript{53}

**Policy Implications**

**Elevated Prevention and Control Measures Are Needed for Places Where Old Adults Gather**

As the influence of population aging on confirmed cases of novel coronavirus disease is significant in both the short and long term, irrespective of national development levels, elevated prevention and control measures that focus on gathering places of old adults (especially nursing homes, as the dominant collective residence of older adults) should be emphasized in both developed and developing countries. A lack of regularly scheduled training and updates in infection control is a critical problem resulting in insufficient prevention and control over infection in nursing homes.\textsuperscript{54} Full training (including taking a

Figure 1 Population aging around the world: percentages of those aged >65 years (A), females aged 70–74 years (B), males aged 70–74 years (C), females aged >80 (D), and males aged >80 years (E).
state or local course, a national association for professionals in infection control course, and certification in infection control) thus needs to be provided to nursing-home staff. It is also necessary to schedule training on a regular basis and adopt a variety of training methods (such as face-to-face training, in-services, posting flyers in care units, and computer- or video-based training tools) to improve training quality.

Asymptomatic COVID-19 residents and staff members contribute substantially to the dissemination of COVID-19 infection in long-term care facilities. Some approaches advocated by other researchers to protect older adults in long-term care facilities should be adopted by both developed and developing countries. Specifically, widespread testing of residents and staff members is needed,
regardless of symptom status, for close symptom monitoring.\textsuperscript{55,56} Frequent training in the use of personal protective equipment and ensuring a stockpile of appropriate personal protective equipment available on site are also recommended to prevent the spread of the current virus through contact and droplet transmission among residents and staff.\textsuperscript{56,57} Staff compartmentalization in patients and health care–professional areas also need to be implemented in nursing homes to cut off potential infection sources.\textsuperscript{58} Current workforce practices can be further improved in several aspects, such as insistence on not attending work while unwell and paid sick leave when in quarantine, including casual or temporary staff members, and completion of staff registration in all facilities at which they work.\textsuperscript{56}

**Figure 3** Confirmed cases and deaths around the world: confirmed cases of day-10 (A), day-20 (C), and day-30 (E); deaths of day-10 (B), day-20 (D), and day-30 (F).

Improving Medical Resource Supply Before It Is Too Late in Developing Areas is Critical for Reduction in Mortality

The findings of this study show that higher death rates are more likely to emerge in nations at the lower development level, and thus it is essential for health institutions in developing countries to improve medical resource allocation. First, establishing a stable and transparent financing mechanism can be the basis of realizing this goal.\textsuperscript{59} Second, it would help to develop an effective plan for medical resource allocation to implement risk analysis and risk management by monitoring and evaluating the shortage of medical resources for health-crisis response in developing countries. Third, developing supporting infrastructure and tools or direct investment in medical
resources can help enhance the overall supply of medical resources in developing countries. Fourth, a multifactorial resource-allocation strategy needs considering as the primary allocation method that equally weighs in-hospital survival and comorbidities contributing to short-term mortality (<6 months), in order to ensure that no group of people is unjustly disadvantaged by resource-allocation strategies.

Many medications and vaccines for prevention of COVID-19 infection are currently under investigation. Medications with promising results in effectiveness against COVID-19 need considering in the lists of medical resource supplies for developing countries. Future COVID-19 vaccination policies implemented by developing countries need to consider aging and physical and cognitive frailty associated with aging, since dysregulation of the immune system in older adults can cause poorer responses to vaccination.

Currently, recommended treatments for older patients with COVID-19 infection include symptomatic comprehensive supportive therapies, such as oxygen therapy, expectorants, prevention and treatment of infections, antivirals, and immunoregulators. Early screening, early diagnosis, and close monitoring for older patients are also needed to reduce the mortality rate associated with COVID-19, considering older patients have poorer prognostic factors and are more likely to experience critical diseases. It is thus necessary to ensure that medical resource supply can strongly support the implementation of such treatments and examination.

Susceptible Population–Specific Health-Communication and -Promotion Measures Are Needed in This Public Health Crisis

Susceptible population–specific health-promotion practices can be promoted as effective guidance in prevention and control of this health crisis. A lesson from Tokyo can be learned regarding applying a telehealth solution, a home version of the functional training-exercise video, to help the elderly practice healthy behaviors at home. This breakthrough alternative to usual group-exercise activities can sustain ongoing health-promotion activities for older adults during home stays. Moreover, health communication needs to show fairness to older adults, who are the vulnerable population. Considering older adults suffer a decrease in cognitive processing abilities, health
Table 1 Influence of population aging on confirmed novel coronavirus disease cases and deaths

|                       | Panel A1. Outcome variable: Ln (1 + day-10 confirmed cases) | Panel A2. Outcome variable: Ln (1 + day-10 deaths) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Coefficient | SE  | 95% CI      | Coefficient | SE  | 95% CI      |
| Population aging (% >65 years old) | 6.260**  | 1.923 | (2.461, 10.059) | 1.907  | 1.237 | (−0.536, 4.351) |
| National development level | Reference | | | Reference | | |
| High income | | | | | | |
| Upper-middle income | 0.081   | 0.254 | (−0.493, 0.509) | 0.409** | 0.188 | (0.368, 0.781) |
| Lower-middle income | 0.119   | 0.271 | (−0.417, 0.655) | 0.535** | 0.187 | (0.167, 0.904) |
| Low income | −0.116   | 0.297 | (−0.703, 0.471) | 0.441** | 0.215 | (0.016, 0.865) |
| Intercept | 3.700** | 0.312 | (3.083, 4.317) | 0.213 | 0.173 | (−0.129, 0.555) |
| Observations | 161   | | | 161 | 2.54 | (0.042) |
| F (p-value) | 6.38 (0.000) | | | | | |

|                       | Panel B1. Outcome variable: Ln (1 + day-20 confirmed cases) | Panel B2. Outcome variable: Ln (1 + day-20 deaths) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Coefficient | SE  | 95% CI      | Coefficient | SE  | 95% CI      |
| Population aging (% >65 years old) | 9.436**  | 2.504 | (4.489, 14.384) | 8.854** | 2.245 | (4.418, 13.290) |
| National development level | Reference | | | Reference | | |
| High income | | | | | | |
| Upper-middle income | 0.115   | 0.344 | (−0.566, 0.795) | 0.748* | 0.293 | (0.170, 1.327) |
| Lower-middle income | 0.241   | 0.367 | (−0.484, 0.965) | 1.031** | 0.296 | (0.446, 1.616) |
| Low income | −0.188   | 0.407 | (−0.992, 0.616) | 0.672 | 0.351 | (−0.021, 1.365) |
| Intercept | 4.539** | 0.401 | (3.746, 5.333) | 0.407 | 0.295 | (−0.176, 0.990) |
| Observations | 152   | | | 152 | 5.93 (0.000) | |
| F (p-value) | 7.62 (0.000) | | | | | |

|                       | Panel C1. Outcome variable: Ln (1 + day-30 confirmed cases) | Panel C2. Outcome variable: Ln (1 + day-30 deaths) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Coefficient | SE  | 95% CI      | Coefficient | SE  | 95% CI      |
| Population aging (% >65 years old) | 9.466**  | 2.695 | (4.143, 14.798) | 11.921** | 2.939 | (6.106, 17.737) |
| National development level | Reference | | | Reference | | |
| High income | | | | | | |
| Upper-middle income | 0.053   | 0.371 | (−0.682, 0.788) | 0.666 | 0.375 | (−0.077, 1.409) |
| Lower-middle income | 0.108   | 0.411 | (−0.706, 0.922) | 0.674 | 0.410 | (−0.137, 1.486) |
| Low income | −0.682   | 0.464 | (−1.600, 0.236) | 0.129 | 0.479 | (−0.820, 1.079) |
| Intercept | 5.730** | 0.436 | (4.867, 6.593) | 1.379** | 0.411 | (0.566, 2.192) |
| Observations | 132   | | | 132 | 6.74 (0.000) | |
| F (p-value) | 9.31 (0.000) | | | | | |

|                       | Panel D. Influence of sex-age subgroups (population %) on the confirmed cases/deaths |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Females 70–74 years (% of female population) | Males 70–74 years (% of male population) | Females >80 years (% of female population) | Males >80 years (% of male population) |
| Confirmed cases | | | | |
| Ln (1 + day-10 confirmed cases) | 0.233** | (0.096, 0.371) | 0.275** | (0.101, 0.450) | 0.134* | (0.029, 0.240) | 0.234* | (0.040, 0.428) |
| Ln (1 + day-20 confirmed cases) | 0.337** | (0.160, 0.514) | 0.419** | (0.195, 0.642) | 0.213* | (0.069, 0.357) | 0.396** | (0.127, 0.666) |
| Ln (1 + day-30 confirmed cases) | 0.325** | (0.129, 0.521) | 0.409** | (0.170, 0.648) | 0.219* | (0.067, 0.370) | 0.392** | (0.114, 0.671) |

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued).

| Panel D. Influence of sex-age subgroups (population %) on the confirmed cases/deaths |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Females 70–74 years (% of female population) | Males 70–74 years (% of male population)      | Females >80 years (% of female population)    | Males >80 years (% of male population)        |
| Deaths                                      |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Ln (1 + day-10 deaths)                      | 0.070                                         | 0.109**                                       | 0.047                                         | 0.117                                         |
|                                               | (-0.014, 0.154)                               | (0.009, 0.210)                               | (-0.025, 0.120)                               | (-0.008, 0.242)                               |
| Ln (1 + day-20 deaths)                      | 0.322**                                       | 0.448**                                       | 0.213**                                       | 0.475**                                       |
|                                               | (0.161, 0.483)                                | (0.250, 0.646)                               | (0.075, 0.352)                               | (0.242, 0.708)                                |
| Ln (1 + day-30 deaths)                      | 0.440**                                       | 0.583**                                       | 0.285**                                       | 0.587**                                       |
|                                               | (0.224, 0.655)                                | (0.319, 0.847)                               | (0.107, 0.464)                               | (0.266, 0.907)                                |

Notes: For panel D, regressions were conducted to examine the influence of sex-age subgroups (population %) on confirmed cases and deaths. Different populations (first row) were included separately as the independent variable in regressions, with country classification and intercept controlled (estimates not reported in this table to save space). For panels A–C, multivariate regression analyses were conducted. Robust regressions were conducted to control heterogeneity across countries. *p<0.05; **p<0.01.

Information explaining the virus, transmission, and protective measures should be clarified repeatedly and explained transparently. Communication formats should also be presented in an understandable way, such as with engaging animation and more attractive layouts. The contents of health communication need to include an emphasis on more susceptible older subgroups (e.g., older males aged 70–74 years) to raise their awareness of self-prevention and control and provide reminders of the dangerous period (e.g., day-20 and later for each country, based on the first day of reaching ten deaths as day-1) to guide timely prevention and control.

Limitations and Future Research

This study still has some limitations. It divided the structure of population aging into age and sex subgroups. With more information on population aging reported by countries, diversified classification can be conducted in future research to provide richer findings of relationships between population aging and the development of COVID-19. Moreover, this study adopts the classification of nation-development level of the World Bank. With an alternative official classification available, future research can examine more facets of nation-development levels for deep analysis of their association with the development of this public health crisis.

Conclusion

This study examined country-level relationships among population aging, national development level, and risk of novel coronavirus disease. Population aging was found to positively predict confirmed cases and deaths from this pandemic. Countries with a structure of population aging of a higher proportion of older males, especially those aged 70–74 years, were shown to face higher risks of confirmed cases and deaths. Compared with developed countries, developing countries were found to face higher risks of deaths from this pandemic in the short term. Targeted prevention strategies for older adults and underdeveloped areas are urgently needed.
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