Higher Twists and Color Polarizabilities in the Neutron
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Color polarizabilities of the neutron are extracted from data on the lowest moment of the spin-dependent $g_1$ structure function. New data in the resonance region from Jefferson Lab at $Q^2 \lesssim 1$ GeV$^2$, in combination with world data at higher $Q^2$, allow a systematic determination of the $1/Q^2$ corrections, and provide the first constraints on $1/Q^2$ corrections. The results suggest that higher-twist effects in the neutron are small, and that quark-hadron duality may be approximately valid, even down to $Q^2 \sim 1$ GeV$^2$.
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The nature of quark confinement in QCD remains a central mystery of strong interaction physics. Any satisfactory solution to this problem requires understanding of the dynamics of quark and gluon interactions at large distances, where QCD becomes highly nonperturbative.

The properties of the quark and gluon constituents of the nucleon are usually determined by examining how the quark and gluon fields respond to external probes. Deep inelastic electron scattering at high energy transfer $\nu$ and momentum transfer squared $Q^2$ has provided a wealth of information on the single-particle momentum and spin distributions of quarks and gluons in the nucleon. Interactions between quarks and gluons at short distances, which give rise to scaling violations, can be described perturbatively through the $Q^2$ evolution equations. To probe directly long-range quark-gluon interactions, on the other hand, requires the study of structure functions at intermediate values of $Q^2$, in the pre-asymptotic region.

In this region a transition takes place between deep inelastic scattering at high $Q^2$, where structure functions are determined by incoherent scattering from partons, and the low $Q^2$ region which is characterized by resonance dominance of structure functions $\bar{\psi}_\gamma \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 \psi$. The region of intermediate $Q^2$ ($Q^2 \sim 1$ GeV$^2$) exposes quark-gluon correlation effects, which are otherwise suppressed in the scaling regime, while still allowing an expansion in $1/Q^2$ to be meaningful. An example of such effects is the so-called color polarizabilities (not to be confused with the more familiar electromagnetic polarizabilities), which describe how the color electric and magnetic fields respond to the spin of the nucleon.

In this paper we analyze data from Jefferson Lab Hall A experiment E94-010 on the neutron spin dependent structure function $g_1^n$ at $Q^2 \lesssim 1$ GeV$^2$, which when consistently combined with the world high $Q^2$ data, enable a first accurate determination of the color polarizabilities in the neutron. In addition, we explore the closely related phenomenon of quark-hadron duality, where the $g_1$ spin structure function in the resonance region averages to the scaling function measured at high $Q^2$. This duality has been established in recent experiments for the unpolarized proton and deuteron structure functions $\bar{\psi}_\gamma \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 \psi$, but has not been verified in the case of spin-dependent scattering.

The analysis makes use of the operator product expansion (OPE) in QCD, where at large $Q^2$ the lowest moment, $\Gamma_1^n(Q^2)$, of the spin structure function $g_1$ of the neutron is expanded in inverse powers of $Q^2$,

$$\Gamma_1^n(Q^2) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \ g_1^n(x, Q^2) = \sum_{\tau=2,4,\ldots} \frac{\mu^n_\tau(Q^2)}{Q^2-\tau} \quad (1)$$

with the coefficients $\mu^n_\tau$ related to nucleon matrix elements of operators of twist $\leq \tau$. Here twist is defined as the mass dimension minus the spin of an operator, and $x = Q^2/(2M\nu)$ is the Bjorken $x$ variable, with $M$ the neutron mass. For each twist the $Q^2$ dependence in $\mu^n_\tau$ can be calculated perturbatively as a series in $\alpha_s$. Note that the application of the OPE requires summation over all hadronic final states, including the elastic at $x = 1$.

The leading-twist (twist-2) component, $\mu^n_2$, is determined by matrix elements of the axial vector operator $\bar{\psi}_\gamma \mu \gamma_5 \psi$, summed over various quark flavors. It can be
decomposed into flavor triplet ($g_A$), octet ($a_8$) and singlet ($\Delta \Sigma$) axial charges,

$$\mu_2^n(Q^2) = C_{ns}(Q^2) \left( -\frac{1}{12}g_A + \frac{1}{36}a_8 \right) + C_s(Q^2) \frac{1}{9} \Delta \Sigma, \quad (2)$$

where $C_{ns}$ and $C_s$ are the nonsinglet and singlet Wilson coefficients. The nonsinglet triplet axial charge is obtained from neutron $\beta$-decay, $g_A = 1.2670(35)$, while the octet axial charge is extracted from hyperon weak decay matrix elements assuming SU(3) flavor symmetry, $a_8 = 0.579(25)$. In order to factorize all of the $Q^2$ dependence into the Wilson coefficients, we use the renormalization group invariant definition of the matrix element of the singlet axial current, $\Delta \Sigma \equiv \Delta \Sigma(Q^2 = \infty)$.

The higher-twist contribution to $\Gamma_1^n(Q^2)$ can be obtained by subtracting the leading-twist term from the total,

$$\Delta \Gamma_1^n(Q^2) \equiv \Gamma_1^n(Q^2) - \mu_2^n(Q^2) = \mu_2^n(Q^2) \frac{Q^2}{Q^2} + \mu_2^n(Q^2) \frac{Q^2}{Q^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{Q^6} \right). \quad (3)$$

The coefficient of the $1/Q^2$ term contains a twist-2 contribution, $a_2^n$, and a twist-3 term, $d_3^n$, in addition to the genuine twist-4 component, $f_2^n$.

$$\mu_2^n = \frac{1}{9} M^2 \left( a_2^n + 4d_3^n + 4f_2^n \right). \quad (4)$$

The twist-2 term $a_2^n$ arises from the target mass correction, and is related to the second moment of the $g_1^p$ structure function.

Interaction terms appear through the twist-3 and twist-4 contributions. The coefficient $d_3^n$ is given by the twist-3 part of the $x^2$-weighted moment of the $g_2^p$ structure function, and corresponds to a matrix element of an operator which involves both quark and gluon fields.

The twist-4 contribution to $\mu_2^n$ is defined by the matrix element

$$f_2^n M^2 S^n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i e_i^2 \langle N| g \tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \gamma_i \psi_i | N \rangle, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$ is the dual gluon field strength tensor, and $g$ is the strong coupling constant.

The twist-3 and 4 operators describe the response of the collective color electric and magnetic fields to the spin of the neutron. Expressing these matrix elements in terms of the components of $\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$ in the nucleon rest frame, one can relate $d_2^n$ to $f_2^n$ to color electric and magnetic polarizabilities. These are defined as

$$\chi_E^n 2M^2 S = \langle N| j_\mu \times \vec{E}_n | N \rangle, \quad (6)$$

$$\chi_B^n 2M^2 S = \langle N| j_\mu \times \vec{B}_n | N \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where $\vec{S}$ is the neutron spin vector, $j_\mu = -g \tilde{\psi} \gamma_\mu \tau_a \psi$ is the quark current, and $\vec{E}_n$ and $\vec{B}_n$ are the color electric and magnetic fields, respectively. In terms of $d_2^n$ and $f_2^n$ the color polarizabilities can be expressed as

$$\chi_E^n = \frac{2}{3} (2d_2^n + f_2^n), \quad \chi_B^n = \frac{1}{3} (4d_2^n - f_2^n). \quad (8)$$

An analysis of the twist-4 matrix elements was carried out in Ref. using data on $\Gamma_1^n$ from the E143 experiment at SLAC and Fermilab. Subsequently, SLAC experiments E154 and E155, the HERMES Collaboration at DESY, and the SMC at CERN have collected data on $\Gamma_1^n$ over a larger range of $Q^2$. The precision of the earlier data in the resonance region was rather poor, and no data existed for $0 < Q^2 < 2$ GeV$^2$, which precluded the size of the twist-4 matrix elements from being well constrained. More recently, precision data from Jefferson Lab Hall A experiments E94-010 were collected on $\Gamma_1^n$ at several $Q^2$ values below 1 GeV$^2$. These data allow one for the first time to determine systematically the size of the $f_2^n$ matrix element, and estimate the $1/Q^4$ correction.

Before proceeding with the extraction, it is important to ensure that the various data sets are consistent with each other, in terms of the assumptions and extrapolations beyond the measured region employed in each analysis. For instance, each of the experimental analyses uses a different extrapolation to $x = 0$, which makes a naive comparison of the published $\Gamma_1^n$ values problematic. To this end we have reexamined all of the previous data on $\Gamma_1^n$ using the same set of assumptions for the $x \to 0$ as well as the $x \to 1$ extrapolations.

To evaluate $\Gamma_1^n$ for each experiment, we added to the quoted $\Gamma_1^n$ value from the measured region a contribution of the unmeasured low-$x$ region using the first order perturbative QCD analysis. This method was applied to the SLAC experimental results. In the case of the HERMES and Jefferson Lab experiments, the published $\Gamma_1^n$ values already include an estimate from the unmeasured low-$x$ region using the BT parametrization, while for the SMC experiment data exist above $W^2 = 1000$ GeV$^2$. Except for the HERMES data, the remaining contribution down to $x = 0$ was then evaluated assuming $g_1^p$ to be constant: $g_1^n(x, Q^2) = g_1^{BT}(x_{\text{min}}, Q^2)$. We did not include the SLAC E155 results since there only a moment deduced from a next-to-leading order (NLO) analysis is quoted using world data. Nevertheless, the NLO result for $\Gamma_1^n$ at $Q^2 = 5$ GeV$^2$ including the SLAC E155 data is very close to the result of SLAC E154 NLO analysis.

To include the unmeasured $x \to 1$ region, we used a new fit of the world neutron data which includes the
high-precision $A_1^n$ data of the Jefferson Lab E99-117 experiment [14] at large $x$. Since the elastic contribution is included separately, the maximum value of $x$ is defined for each experiment by the pion electroproduction threshold. The resulting total moments $\Gamma_1^n$ from the world data are plotted in Fig. 1 for $0.5 < Q^2 \leq 10$ GeV$^2$, where the total uncertainty in each data set is the quadratic sum of the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The Jefferson Lab experiment E94-010 (filled circles) extends the range of $Q^2$ with precision data below $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$. In all cases the data include both the inelastic and elastic contributions, with the latter taken from the fit in Ref. [20].

The twist-2 contribution to the $\Gamma_1^n$ from various experiments. The error bars are a quadratic sum of statistical and systematic uncertainties. The twist-2 contribution from Eq. (4) is given by the band with $\Delta \Sigma = 0.35$, and its width represents the uncertainty in $\alpha_s$. The elastic contribution is indicated by the long-dashed curve.

The twist-2 contribution $\mu_2^n$ is determined by fitting the neutron data in Fig. 1 assuming there are no higher twists in the data beyond $Q^2 = 5$ GeV$^2$, from which we obtain $\Delta \Sigma = 0.35 \pm 0.08$, where the uncertainty is statistical. Using this central value, the twist-2 contribution is illustrated in Fig. 1 by the shaded band, with the extrema representing the range of uncertainty associated with the value of $\alpha_s$ in the Wilson coefficients. The exact value of $\Delta \Sigma$ depends somewhat on the $x \to 0$ behavior assumed in the extrapolation beyond the measured region. However, since the higher-twist contributions are determined from the relative variation in $\Gamma_1^n$ from high to low $Q^2$, the absolute normalization of the leading-twist contribution does not play a major role in determining $f_2^n$.

The higher-twist contribution $\Delta \Gamma_1^n$, obtained by subtracting the leading-twist curves in Fig. 1 from data on the total moment $\Gamma_1^n$, is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of $1/Q^2$ for $\Delta \Sigma = 0.35$. Here we have used $a_2^n = -0.0031(20)$ for the target mass corrections, obtained from a fit to the world neutron data [15] at $Q^2 = 5$ GeV$^2$, and the value $d_2^n = 0.0079(48)$ for the twist-3 matrix element obtained from SLAC experiment E155X [21]. At this $Q^2$ value $a_2^n$ and $d_2^n$ are dominated by their leading-twist contributions.

While the $Q^2$ evolution of the (twist-2) $a_2^n$ is straightforward, the evolution of higher-twist structure functions is in general rather more involved. For the twist-4 $f_2^n$ matrix element the $Q^2$ evolution was computed in Refs. [1, 22] to leading logarithmic order. In this analysis we assume the leading-twist values for $a_2^n$ and $d_2^n$ at $Q^2 = 5$ GeV$^2$ and use the results from Refs. [1, 22] to account for the logarithmic $Q^2$ dependence of $f_2^n$. In practice, the inclusion of $\alpha_s$ dependence of the $1/Q^2$ corrections has very little influence on the values of the higher twists that we extract.

The solid curve in Fig. 2 represents a 2-parameter minimum $\chi^2$ fit to the $\Delta \Gamma_1^n$ data for $Q^2 > 0.5$ GeV$^2$, using Eq. (3) with $f_2^n$ and the $1/Q^4$ correction $\mu_6^n$ as free parameters. We neglect any possible $Q^2$ dependence in $\mu_6$ itself, which should be a reasonable assumption within the present uncertainties. The best fit values for the twist-4 and $1/Q^4$ corrections, using only the statistical uncertainty for each experiment, are found to be

$$f_2^n = 0.033 \pm 0.005, \quad \mu_6^n = (-0.019 \pm 0.002)M^4,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

normalized at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$. Including the total systematic uncertainty for each experiment, we find

$$f_2^n = 0.034 \pm 0.043, \quad \mu_6^n = (-0.019 \pm 0.017)M^4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
With this latter value of $f_{2}^{n}$, the overall $1/Q^2$ correction to the leading-twist term, at a scale $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$, including the target mass, twist-3 and twist-4 contributions extracted from data, is $\mu_{4}^{n} = (0.019 \pm 0.024) M^2$. Combining this with the $1/Q^2$ term, the total higher-twist contribution $\Delta \Gamma_{1}^{n}$ is almost exactly zero at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$, within uncertainties.

The reliability of truncating the expansion at $1/Q^2$ order down to $Q^2 = 0.5$ GeV$^2$ can be tested by adding a $\mu_{3}^{n}/Q^6$ term. Performing a 3-parameter fit results in almost identical values for $f_{2}^{n}$ and $\mu_{3}^{n}$, with $\mu_{3}^{n} = (0.00 \pm 0.03) M^6$. On the other hand, if the data are fitted with just the $1/Q^2$ term, one generally finds a smaller value for $f_{2}^{n}$, namely $f_{2}^{n} = -0.014 \pm 0.010$, with a somewhat larger $\chi^2$ (see also Ref. [22]). This clearly illustrates the influence of the JLab E94-010 data, which suggest a negative trend in $\Delta \Gamma_{1}^{n}$ for $Q^2 < 1$ GeV$^2$. Excluding the JLab results and fitting only the $Q^2 > 1$ GeV$^2$ data, the uncertainty on $f_{2}^{n}$ becomes 2–3 times larger, and the $\mu_{6}^{n}$ coefficient essentially unconstrained. A 1-parameter fit to the $Q^2 > 1$ GeV$^2$ data, indicated by the dashed curve in Fig. 2, gives a slightly smaller $f_{2}^{n}$ value, $f_{2}^{n} = 0.012 \pm 0.029$.

The value of $f_{2}^{n}$ extracted from the data can be compared with nonperturbative models. For example, QCD sum rules [24, 25] yield negative values for the twist-4 matrix elements: $f_{2}^{n} \mid_{\text{sum rule}} = -0.018(17)$ and $-0.013(6)$ from Refs. [24] and [25], respectively. On the other hand, calculations within the instanton model give $f_{2}^{n} \mid_{\text{instanton}} = 0.038$, in good agreement with our central value. Estimates of the $\tau = 4$ matrix elements using the MIT bag model [4], evolved from the bag scale, give $f_{2}^{n} \mid_{\text{bag}} = 0.0$, which is also consistent with our result.

Combining the extracted $f_{2}^{n}$ values with the $d_{2}^{n}$ value obtained by the E155X Collaboration [21], the color electric and magnetic polarizabilities, normalized at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$, are found to be

$$\chi_{E}^{n} = 0.033 \pm 0.029, \quad \chi_{B}^{n} = -0.001 \pm 0.016.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

These results indicate that both the color electric and magnetic polarizabilities in the neutron are relatively small, with the magnetic polarizability consistent with zero. The small values of the higher-twist corrections suggest that the long-range, nonperturbative interactions between quarks and gluons in the neutron are not as dominant at values of $Q^2 \gtrsim 0.5$ GeV$^2$ as one may have expected. This would imply strong cancellations between neutron resonances resulting in the dominance of the leading-twist contribution to $\Gamma_{1}^{n}$. If so, it would be a spectacular confirmation of quark-hadron duality in spin-dependent structure functions. A dedicated experiment [27] to study duality in neutron spin structure functions in the resonance region is currently being analyzed.

In summary, we have determined the first moment of the neutron spin structure function $g_1$ from the world data using consistently the same extrapolation method to $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. From these data we extracted the size of the twist-4 matrix element $f_2^n$ of the neutron. The color neutron polarizabilities were determined by combining $f_2^n$ and the twist-3 matrix element $d_2^n$ from SLAC experiment E155X, which leads to a small and slightly positive value of $\chi_E^n$ and a value of $\chi_B^n$ close to zero. Future precision measurements [27, 28] of the $g_1^n$ and $g_2^n$ structure functions at $Q^2 \approx 1 – 4$ GeV$^2$ will reduce the uncertainty in the extracted higher-twist coefficients, as will better knowledge of $\alpha_s$ in the intermediate $Q^2$ region.
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