Idiopathic osteosclerosis of the jaws on panoramic radiographic analysis in Indonesian Sub-Population
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Abstract

Objective: To determine prevalence of Idiopathic osteosclerosis (IO) by radiographic evaluation and to investigate the relationship between the finding in relation with age, gender and location in Indonesia Sub-Population.

Material and Methods: This was a cross-sectional study that panoramic radiograph of patients (1030 male and 1914 female) whose age range 10-82 years old and who visited Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Hasanuddin University Dental Hospital from 2017 and 2020. We evaluated group of age, location, appearance and occurrence of IO and analyzed using chisquare test.

Results: in total, 2944 panoramic radiographs were examined, IO found in 144 (4.8%) patients and 170 IO’s were detected. IO detected found in 144 (4.8%) patients and 170 IO’s were detected. IO detected mostly in mandible than maxilla (p<0.005) where premolar and molar area was the most common location of IO (42.3% and 41.7%; respectively). Most of cases was solitary lesion (<0.005) and unilateral (<0.005). In addition, most of IO separate from teeth (45.8%) and also related with periapical site (35.3%).

Conclusion: Idiopathic Osteosclerosis can defined as developmental variation that can occur in any age range, no predilection of sex. The frequency of IO in Indonesia Sub-population is slightly lower than other country
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Introduction

Idiopathic osteosclerosis (IO) is radiopacity in cancelous bone in body skeleton including jawbone that the cause of this lesion still unknown. Idiopathic osteosclerosis is asymptomatic and usually incidentally finding in routine radiograph examination such as panoramic radiograph and Cone beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) on various size and shape without cortical expansion. Range of size is from 1 mm to 1 to 3 cm however there was a case reported the size was 7 cm\(^1\), the IO could associate with teeth or separate with different appearance with normal trabecular bone. Most of cases are occur in mandible and premolar and molar site is the most area of IO\(^2\). Dense bone island, enostosis and focal periapical osteopetrosis are the other name of IO\(^3\). In several studies if IO showed hyperradiopaque appearance in jaw bone mostly demonstrate pathologic condition in panoramic radiograph\(^4-7\). There are other types of radiopaque in jaw bone such as concensing osteitis, focal cemento-osseous dysplasia, osteoma and exostosis could related to inflammation and trauma, fibro-osseous benign and overgrowth of bone. Although several previous study reported the morphometric of IO in different population\(^3,6,9-12\), this study will enrich the understanding and provide the characteristic of IO espesially in sub-population in Indonesia.

Material and Methods

We review 2954 panoramic radiographs of outpatients with in Hasanuddin University Dental Hospital from 2017 and 2021 whose age was 10-82 years old, and we excluded 10 patients due to the distortion and error of patient position. All the images was taken use our panoramic Xray modality (Soredex Panoramic X-mind Pano D + ceph; Finland); 73kV, 10mA, 20 seconds scan time. The images examination conducted by IS and examination using digital imaging of panoramic directly in computer and using default contrast and sharpness of the images. The image evaluation was performed maximum 50 images per day and repeated twice after all images was evaluated. We evaluate all the image except Images with distorsions, error of patient’s position and patients under 10 years old.

Radiographic criteria of idiopathic ostosclerosis

1. Well-defined radiopacity in jawbone that located surround the teeth, connect with teeth that have no caries or have small restoration or separate with teeth
2. The radiopacity related with teeth is free caries at least enamel caries or small restoration
3. There is no endodontics treatment
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4. The size more than 3 mm diameters
5. Without radiolucent rim

We excluded some lesion with following condition
1. Mixed lesion of radiopaque and radiolucent
2. Radiolucent with radiolucent rim
3. Chronic inflammation related with the teeth
4. Rest of deciduous or permanent teeth
5. Other identified bone conditions such as tori exostosis

Radiographic Investigation of idiopathic osteosclerosis

We evaluated location, internal structure, position of radio opaque in panoramic radiograph. We grouping the IO based on the relation with teeth structure 1) apical 2) inter-radicular of apical, 3) interradicular 4) separate with teeth. We identified wether IO unilateral or bilateral and solitary and multiple.

Statical analysis using SPSS (11.5; Chicago, IL, USA) to determine the differences of IO distribution which defined by number, group of age, region (maxilla/mandible, unilateral/bilateral), location and condition (solitary/multiple), relationship to teeth, group of age, and gender. The Chi-squared test was used to analyze the IO distribution that statistical significance consider with p value <0.005.

Results

In this study we evaluated panoramic radiograph of 2954 patients, We excluded 10 patients due to the distortion and error of patient position. aged 10-75 years. We identified radio opaque in jaw bone in 144 patients (60 male and 84 female) and showed predilecting of sex (p> 0.005) and the age mean is 30.2 years. We found unilateral lesion in 126 patients (87.5%) and 18 patients (12.5%) in bilateral of mandible (p<0.005). Most of patient's cases were solitary lesion (122 lesions;84.7%) and the rest of lesion were multiple (22 lesions;15.3%) (p<0.005). The IO cases finding showed that The third decade is the most finding of age group of IO. Eighteen cases (12.5%) in 10 to 20 years of age, 70 cases (48.6%) in 21 to 30 years of age, 32 cases (22.2%) in 31 to 40 years of age, 15 cases (10.4%) in 41 to 50 years of age, six cases (4.1%) in 51 to 60 years of age, one case (0.7%) in 61 to 70 years of age and two cases (1.3%) in over 70 years of age Table 1.

In this study, location of IO cases is 168 cases in mandible and two cases in maxilla (p<0.005). The appearance regio of IOs is equal distribution of molar and premolar regio which 72 cases (42.3%) occur in molar area, 71 cases (41.8%) occur in premolar area and the rest of cases, 27 cases (15.9%) occur in anterior area. In this study we indentified 170 IOs in defined location of IOs in four areas; Associate with apices, inter radicular in apical area, inter radicular of proximal area of the apices and separate from teeth Figure 1. Most of IOs was separate from teeth, we found 78 (45.9%) cases were separate from teeth, 60 (35.3%) cases in associate with apices, 30 (17.6%) cases in inter radicular in apical area and two (1.2%) cases located in inter radicular of proximal area of the apices (p<0.005) Table 2.

Discussion

Idiopathic Osteosclerosis (IO) usually incidentally found in routine radiograph examination without symptoms, with there is no tendency to growth but a few study reported that there were enlargement of IO size and need a treatments13,14. Most of cases has no special treatment, however, the regular evaluation through radiograph examination to monitor the IO shown the size and shape change. The IO is not shown clinical implication, however in implant treatment need an evaluation pre and post treatment15.

The cause of IO is unclear, some theories mention that the cause of IO was developmental bone variation and was not related with trauma or dental problem. The previous studies reported that prevalence of IO was varies from 0.15 -19.5%2-12, in our imaging analysis showed that the IO prevalence was 4.9%. We noted that high occurrence is on female on the second decade, on the other study reported that the occurrence of IO was third decade3,6,7 and the fourth decades2,3,5. In all studies showed that IO mostly occur on mandible and molar and premolar are most occurrence area of IO3-11. Several studies were conducted on various population, races or country, however the result of that studies shown that the IO is not predilect on specific races. In some studies, shown the predilection of IO associated with location, ages and gender47. Our study is in line with most studies related to location of IO that the apical and separate with teeth area is the most site of IO and premolar and molar of mandible are most site2,4-12. Our finding also showed most of IO occur unilateral and solitary lesion although could occur bilateral and multiple.

Identification of IO is very important in radiograph interpretation, radiopacity in jawbone could provide essential information of pathologic condition. The radiopacity surround of teeth with
deep and deep caries or teeth with endodontic treatment could periapical sclerosing osteitis. Periapical sclerosing osteitis is the increasing of bone calcification surround periapical area that the reaction of chronic infection. Periapical sclerosing osteitis usually cause the caries extension until the pulp or in teeth with endodontic treatment, widening of periodontal ligament and radiopacity is higher than normal alveolar bone. The other pathologic condition is Periapical Osseous Dyplasia (POD) especially in mature stage. POD demonstrated radiopaque in periapical area with radiolucent border and usually occur in bilateral are in the anterior mandible (ref POD), similar with IO, POD also occur in vital teeth, sometimes radiolucent border are not seen so that difficult to distinguish with IO.

The radiopacity in jawbone also could a mixed benign odontogenic such as odontoma, the appearance of odontoma is radiopaque with radiolucent border in jawbone and usually associate with impacted teeth. The distinguish between IO and odontoma is not to difficult because IO usually not relate with impacted teeth and without radiolucent border. The other benign that demonstrated radiopaque appearance is benign cementoblastoma. Cementoblastoma also shown radiopaque in apical and usually in vital teeth without abnormal condition in the crown area. The radiopacity of benign cementoblastoma is merged with apex structure dan surrounding with radiolucent rim. Differentiation between IO and benign cementoblastoma could be with identification of radiolucent rim, IO usually not relate with impacted teeth and without radiolucent border.

### Table 1 The patient data with IO related to sex, occurrence, appearance and group of age

|                | Number of cases | Percentage | p value |
|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------|
| **Sex**        |                 |            |         |
| Male           | 60              | 15.9%      | p>0.005 |
| Female         | 84              | 41.8%      |         |
| **Occurrence** |                 |            |         |
| Unilateral     | 126             | 87.5%      |         |
| Bilateral      | 18              | 12.5%      |         |
| **Appearance** |                 |            |         |
| solitary       | 122             | 84.7%      |         |
| Multiple       | 22              | 15.3%      |         |
| **Group of Age** |               |            |         |
| 10-20          | 18              | 12.5%      |         |
| 21-30          | 70              | 48.6%      |         |
| 31-40          | 32              | 22.2%      |         |
| 41-50          | 15              | 10.4%      |         |
| 51-60          | 6               | 4.1%       |         |
| 61-70          | 1               | 0.7%       |         |
| >70            | 2               | 1.3%       |         |

### Table 2 The IO’s distribution related to location and occurrence

| Idiopathic osteosclerosis | Number of lesions | Percentage | Significance level |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Maxilla                   | 168               | 98.8%      | P<0.005*          |
| Mandible                  | 2                 | 1.2%       |                   |
| Anterior                  | 27                | 15.9%      |                   |
| Premolar                  | 71                | 41.8%      | P<0.005*          |
| Molar                     | 72                | 42.3%      |                   |
| Associate with apices     | 60                | 35.3%      |                   |
| Inter radicular in apical | 30                | 17.6%      | P<0.005*          |
| Inter radicular of proximal area of the apices | 2 | 1.2% |     |
| Separate from teeth       | 78                | 45.9%      |                   |

Most of IO occur in premolar and molar region, one of the radiopaque appearance in premolar and molar area could resembling IO is sialolithiasis. Sialolithiasis is the condition of obstruction of salivary ducts which shown swelling in the mouth floor and accompanied with pain. In panoramic examination, when sialolith superimposed in apical of premolar or molar, it will slightly difficult to distinguish with IO. The identification of sialolithiasis could be prove with occlusal radiograph, the radiopaque mass shown in the mouth floor and separate with jawbone.
The identification of IO is essential to distinguish with other radiopaque lesions. In this study we discover the characteristic of IO from the radiograph examination and showed similar result with other studies. The limitation of this study is radiograph examination use a single method of radiograph. On the future study, using advance modalities such as CBCT and MDCT could provide comprehensive result of IO evaluation.
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