Connection of CVs and impedance spectra of reversible redox systems, as used for the validation of a dynamic electrochemical impedance spectrum measurement system
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Abstract
With the purpose of fast characterization of electrode reactions, a dynamic electrochemical impedance spectrum (dEIS) measurement system has been assembled which permits the continuous collection of audio-frequency impedance spectra while performing cyclic voltammetry measurements with the usual scan-rates up to 200 mV/s. The performance of this system was tested by analyzing the CV curves and impedance spectra taken simultaneously in ferro/ferricyanide containing aqueous solutions yielding an experimental demonstration of the connection of the semiintegrated reversible voltammograms and the Warburg coefficients.

1. Introduction
Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, EIS, is a widely used method of electrochemists; its main role is the determination of rates of interfacial processes. Impedance measurements are usually performed by applying a sinusoidal perturbation of ω frequency upon the potential or current and correlating the perturbation terms of these two quantities. The Z(ω) impedance spectra are compiled from frequency-by-frequency measurements. Devices needed for this method are commercially available, precise, though the measurement itself can be somewhat time-consuming, especially at low frequencies.

There are a couple of conditions which must prevail for the EIS measurement. The system should be in steady state for the time of the measurement even at the lowermost frequency. This is generally achieved at the “static” EIS measurements, i.e. when the ac perturbation of potential (or current) is applied upon a constant dc potential (or current). Nevertheless, if some property of the system is slowly changing, then the lowermost frequency should be chosen accordingly. In the same vein, the time of taking the complete spectrum should be minimized. A possible way of making the measurement faster is to use periodic perturbation with a periodic function comprising many harmonics. The signal of the perturbed potential and that of the corresponding current response are Fourier-transformed and impedance values are calculated for each harmonic component. Since the overall potential perturbation must not exceed a few millivolts to keep the current-voltage relation in a linear range, the phases of the harmonics must be carefully chosen. A random selection of those phase angles is usually acceptable. Such a periodic perturbation function made of dozens (maybe hundreds) of harmonics – preferably odd harmonics of approximately logarithmically equidistant
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frequencies – with random phases is called a “multisine”. It is worth to be noted that this method has been elaborated almost a half a century ago in various laboratories [1,2,3,4]. The big advantage of a multisine EIS over the traditional sine-after-sine EIS is in its speed. During the time needed for the base harmonic, the complete spectrum is measured – on the expense, obviously, of the accuracy of the spectrum points (for the analysis of the trade-off see [5]). Such an instrument has been assembled recently in our laboratory for testing two-electrode symmetrical cells: for electrochemical double layer capacitors [6,7]. This system could measure audio-frequency impedance spectra in a couple of seconds; it yielded the values of a simple, three to five elements equivalent circuit parameters within this time. It is worth to combine such a fast EIS measurement with the traditional cyclic voltammetry, CV; such a combination is called dynamic EIS. This method, dEIS, has already a long history, a number of devices have been constructed for the purpose of dEIS and various electrochemical phenomena were studied either by dEIS or by equivalent methods of different names [8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15]; for a recent review see e.g. [16]. Evidently, the scan-rate of the CV affects the low frequency limit of the EIS. As a rule of thumb: during one spectrum measurement the electrode potential should not be changed more than a couple of millivolts. For example, for 100 mV/s scan-rates with 20 Hz low frequency limit, we have one spectrum for every 5 mV; with 50 mV/s scan rate we can measure down to 10 Hz. In order to filter out the effect of the CV ramp signal appropriate windowing functions (e.g. of Hanning) should be applied. The subject of this paper is a dEIS setup, described in Section 3. This was tested with various dummy cells; however, its properties should be demonstrated with real electrochemical cells. To this aim we chose a well-known, well-defined electrochemical system whose properties are easy to be reproduced: this is the ferro-ferricyanide redox couple in an aqueous supporting electrolyte. The simultaneous CV and EIS measurements are described in Section 4; by their analysis we experimentally demonstrate the statement of Refs. [17] and [18] that for systems with reversible CVs the potential derivative of the semiintegrated CV equals to the Warburg admittance coefficient obtained from dEIS.

2. Background: CV and EIS of reversible redox systems

The electrochemical system was chosen to comply with the behavior of the theoretical so-called reversible redox systems at planar electrodes as treated in textbooks, like in Ch.6.2. of [24]. Accordingly, the theory of the ideal case considers the followings:

(a) An inert metal electrode of ideally planar surface is immersed in a quiescent electrolyte solution of large volume.

(b) The electrolyte contains a redox system in small concentration in a so-called base (or supporting) electrolyte, that is, which consists of inert ions of high concentration, and hence exhibits a high conductivity.

(c) Current is carried by migration of the supporting electrolyte’s ions in the bulk; whereas it flows across the metal/electrolyte interface via electron transfer associated with the redox system.

(d) The electron transfer across the interface is assumed to be infinitely fast; as a consequence the concentrations of the redox components are always set by the actual electrode potential.

(e) The concentration of the redox species is uniform throughout the solution at the experiment’s start time.
Due to condition (d) the current is determined by the transport of the redox species to the interface rather than by the charge transfer across the interface. The transport to the interface is governed solely by the laws of diffusion; other forms of transport (convection and migration) can be safely disregarded (cf. conditions (a) and (c)). Due to condition (b) the potential scale is not distorted by an IR drop; and as condition (c) claims there is no charging current involved, so double layer charging is out of the scope. The above textual assumptions are projected to mathematical form when we use the Fick-equations with one Cartesian coordinate for calculating the concentration map and the Nernst-equation as a boundary condition for connecting surface concentration with electrode potential. These equations always apply irrespectively of the actual \( E(t) \) potential dependence. CV and EIS are two archetypes of the measurements characterizing the transient current – electrode potential – the \( j(t) \) vs \( E(t) \) – relation: these are the large and small signal responses, respectively. The mathematical forms of these two archetypes: of the diffusional (Warburg) impedance and of the reversible cyclic voltammogram are well-known; see e.g. in Chs. 9.3. and 6.2 of [24].

Apart the difference of the range of the potential excursions, there is another important difference of the \( Z(\omega) \) impedance function and the \( j(E) \) voltammograms. The \( Z(\omega) \) impedance function is potential program invariant, i.e. it “does not remember” the actual form of the potential perturbation by which it has been measured. In contrast, the \( j(E) \) voltammograms depend on the actual \( E(t) \) function, e.g. on scan rates and the vertex potentials. However, there exists a procedure by which all reversible voltammograms can be transformed to the one and the same \( M_{\text{rev}}(E) \) function – that is, to a state function which depends on the actual \( E \) only rather than on the previous \( E(t) \) function. This procedure yields the so-called semiintegrated voltammogram, \( M(t) \) plotted against \( E(t) \), where the \( M(t) \) semiintegral is calculated from \( j(t) \) by the

\[
M(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{j(\tau)d\tau}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}
\]

(1)

convolution [19,20]. For reversible redox couples, the \( M_{\text{rev}}(E) \) is potential program invariant: it exhibits neither scan-rate dependence nor hysteresis for CVs of multiple scans. Potential program invariance implies that IR-drop distorted reversible CVs can be transformed to potential-program invariant form by correcting the potential scale by the IR drop, i.e. \( M(E_{\text{RC}}) \) is plotted where \( E_{\text{RC}} \equiv E-\text{IR} \).

As it has been shown in [17], \( dM_{\text{rev}}/dE \) equals the coefficient of the Warburg-admittance, \( \sigma \), obtained from EIS (the Warburg admittance is defined as \( Y_W = \sigma (i\omega)^{1/2} \) with \( i \) and \( \omega \) being the imaginary unit and the angular frequency, respectively). This is how the large-signal and small-signal response functions (CV and EIS, respectively) are related to each other through their potential-program invariant forms. In the present article we demonstrate experimentally just the same relation of CV and EIS: we measure CVs of a reversible redox system, calculate its \( dM_{\text{rev}}/dE \) function. Simultaneously we measure EIS, calculate the Warburg admittance’s coefficient. The two quantities should be equal.

The features of the reversible voltammograms are well-known (see Ch. 6.2 of [24]). Even if the charge transfer is very fast, there are various reasons why we do not get ideal, “textbook-conform” reversible CVs. These main reasons are as follows:
(a) Non-zero solution resistance. It causes a significant IR-drop yielding distortion of the CV with the most salient feature that peak separation increases with the scan rate. Fortunately, this is a deviation which can be corrected electronically during the measurement or numerically afterwards – just like in our present case.

(b) Capacitive current charging the double layer. This effect is pronounced at high scan rates because capacitive currents are proportional to the scan rate whereas the diffusion-controlled currents increase with the square root of the scan rate. Since the double layer charging depends on many and diverse parameters (among them uncontrollable ones) separation of the diffusional and charging currents is difficult. Perhaps the best way is to measure the reversible CVs with as-high-as-possible redox species concentrations at low scan rates.

(c) Too long measurement time. The diffusion-limited current decays to a level where the transport, due to “spontaneous convections” get comparable to it. In other words, the diffusion layer gets scrambled, therefore transport is somewhat enhanced. This effect appears as increased currents at the tails of the CVs. As a rule of thumbs, the diffusion-related experiments should not be longer than 10 seconds (or so); the scan-rate (or the potential range) should be chosen accordingly.

(d) Non-planar electrode geometry. The theory and the demonstration experiment involve planar electrodes. The diffusion layer width during the above-mentioned 10 seconds is in the order of magnitude of 100 microns. Hence no curvature smaller than this distance is compatible with the theory. For example, a convex bended surface would yield enhanced transport – appearing as increased current at the tails of the CV. In contrast, the surface of a 1 mm diameter cylindrical electrode can be safely regarded to be planar.

The above four conditions often contradict each other. However, it is possible to find appropriate conditions for reversible CVs. In our opinion, the best way to demonstrate the reversible nature of CVs is to present them in $M(E)$ representation (with applying IR correction of the potential, see later). The CVs are reversible ones, if all $M(E)$ plots are hysteresis-free and are the same for all scan-rates.

EIS on this system is traditionally measured by setting a $dc$ potential and waiting some time (say, ten seconds) for establishing the equilibrium concentrations of the reduced and oxidized species in the electrode’s neighborhood, as determined by the potential according to the Nernst-equation. Impedance spectrum is measured with such concentration conditions. Dynamic EIS is measured with continuously scanned potential. Provided that the spectra can be measured in a sufficiently short time during which potential change is little, the spectra do not depend on the scan-rate. This is so, because the concentration ratio of the reduced and oxidized species is adjusted instantaneously following potential change.

In general, analysis of EIS is usually done by fitting the parameters by the linear least-squares procedure [21] of an appropriate equivalent circuit to the spectrum, using modulus weighting [22]. For charge transfer reactions, the equivalent circuit is the so-called Randles circuit, $\mathcal{R}_s - (C_{dl} \ || \ (R_{ct} - W))$ where $\mathcal{R}_s$, $R_{ct}$, $C_{dl}$ and $W$ are the solution resistance, the charge transfer resistance, the double layer capacitance, and the diffusional (Warburg) element, respectively. For a sequence of EIS, $\mathcal{R}_s$ must be the same; for reversible systems $R_{ct}=0$. Unfortunately, for real systems the double layer’s impedance is often ill-defined: modelling it by $C_{dl}$ is an approximation only. It frequently has a more complicated frequency dependence like that of an adsorption impedance or one which can be approximated by a constant phase element.
The ill-defined nature of $C_{dl}$ can make the determination of the parallely connected $\sigma$ parameter of $W$ distorted – with no apparent indication in the output of the fit procedure ($\chi^2$ value, parameter errors or covariance matrix). We use the heuristic criterion for accept the fit results only if the impedance of the Warburg-term is tenfold smaller than that of the $C_{dl}$ at low frequencies – in other words, if the dominant term of the equivalent circuit is $W$.

3. Experimental

3a. The electronics and software needed for dEIS

For performing dEIS, a potentiostat is needed with two inputs for two program voltages, one for scanning the $dc$ term of the potential and another for the multisine signal. In the similar vein, also the outputs are doubled: two outputs are needed for the voltages representing $dc$ potential, $E_{dc}$ and current, $I_{dc}$, and another two outputs for those of $ac$ potential, $E_{ac}$ and current $I_{ac}$. The block diagram of such a potentiostat is shown in Fig.1.

The perturbation signal contains only certain harmonics of a base frequency; all these harmonics are of equal amplitudes and of random phases. The base frequency has been chosen to adhere to sampling rate, $f_s=50$ kHz, (or sampling time, $\Delta t=1/f_s$) of the four-inputs AD converter, ADC. As we use Fourier-transform to process the harmonic signals, it is practical to collect $2^N$ data-point arrays permitting the implementation of the fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithm [23]. In our measurements $N$ can be chosen 12 to 14, i.e. arrays of $4096\leq 2^N \leq 16384$ datapoints are taken. To get sharp spectrum lines, the period length of the base harmonic of frequency $f_0$ must match the time of the $2^N$ data-points, hence the low frequency limit is $f_0 = f_s / 2^N$. The high frequency limit, according to the Nyquist criterion, is $f_s / 2$. Finally, we chose only the odd, prime number harmonics (3,5,7 etc.) to be included in the multisine signal, the highest frequency was somewhat less than the Nyquist-frequency, $=0.7 \times f_s / 2$. Actually, for the CV of 100 mV/s scan-rate in Fig.3a, the characteristic data were $N=13$, $f_{min}=3 \times f_o=18.3$Hz, $f_{max}=0.67 \times f_s / 2=17.8$ kHz, with 39 frequencies in a spectrum (from the 3rd to the 2927th harmonics) chosen in a way that they are approximately logarithmically equidistant. Since the period time of the base harmonic is $1/(2^N f_s)=163.8$ ms, the potential difference of two neighboring spectra is 16.38 mV. This is somewhat more than the value set by our thumb’s rule (vide supra) but our preliminary measurements with decreased $N$s hence with larger potential resolution but narrower frequency range gave essentially the same results for this system.

The multisine voltage signal has been generated with a somewhat larger temporal resolution than the digitization: it was calculated with as an array of $5 \times 2^N$ length which was converted to voltage with 250 kHz frequency with a 16-bit DA converter. This yielded an $ac$ signal of 0.3 V rms amplitude which has been smoothed with a third-order RLC low-pass filter having a cutoff frequency of 10 kHz. This voltage signal is added to the program voltage with an attenuation of factor 100. The other source of the program voltage is the unattenuated voltage of a PAR-175 analog scan generator.
Fig. 1. Simplified scheme of the potentiostat with the cell containing working, counter and reference electrodes (WE, CE, RE, respectively). The role of the operational amplifiers OA0 to OA2 are the same as those in a usual adder potentiostat (cf Fig. 13.4.5 of [24]). The instrumentation amplifiers IA1 and IA2 are of the same high-pass transfer functions (ac amplification of 100 and cut-off frequency of 5 Hz); they deliver the ac voltages whose ratio is $Z(\omega)/R_{m,ac}$ (the value of $R_{m,ac}$ is approximately the same as that of the electrolyte resistance between WE and RE). For other abbreviations and details see the text.

The four output voltages (denoted as $I_{ac}, E_{ac}, I_{dc}, E_{dc}$) were digitized by a 16-bit analog-to-digital converter (ADC) the sampling rate of which on its four inputs was $f_s = 50$ kHz. During the 5-100 s long measurement these voltages are saved in binary arrays; just afterwards these arrays are split to shorter ones of $2^N$ length – these are processed further as follows:

CVs are generated from the arrays of $I_{ac}$ and $E_{ac}$; impedance spectra are calculated from the short arrays of $I_{ac}$ and $E_{ac}$ ac voltages. First, the $2^N$ length arrays are Fourier-transformed using Hanning-window. Then the $Z(\omega)$ impedances are calculated from the Fourier coefficients – based on that the ratio of the complex amplitudes of sinusoidal voltages of frequency $\omega$ is $E_{ac}(\omega)/I_{ac}(\omega) = Z(\omega)/R_{m,ac}$. Note that there exist an exactly 4 µs time delay between the sampling of $E_{ac}$ and $I_{ac}$. This delay was taken into consideration and corrected during the calculations.

The data acquisition unit (containing both the DAC and the ADC) have been assembled in our laboratory; Its full control and data readout is carried out by a PC via its USB interface by a
program written in C++. Some parts of the data processing (conversion of the binary readout of the digitizer and the FFT) are done by C++ subprograms called from a VBA program ("Excel macro"). This macro performs the subsequent calculations including the curve fitting (nonlinear least means squares minimization using modulus weighting [22]) the plotting, and documenting. A separate subprogram manages the data acquisition for the CV. The complete calculation – including FFTs, impedance calculations and curve fitting to extract the Randles-circuit parameters requires a couple of seconds following a measurement. The whole measurement and the subsequent analysis are carried out upon a single mouseclick.

3b. The experimental conditions
The electrochemical system was chosen with a view to simplicity: we used a standard, non-thermostated, three-electrode glass cell with gold working, platinum counter and saturated calomel reference electrodes (WE, CE, and RE in Fig.1). To minimize charging currents, following La Mantia’s advice [13], 0.5 M KF aqueous solution served as a base electrolyte, which contained 21.3 mM K₂Fe(CN)₆; it was deoxygenated by high purity (5N) argon bubbling. The gold working electrode of area 0.316 cm² was a wire of 1 mm diameter whose end of 1 cm was immersed in the electrolyte. Prior to immersion the gold wire was cleaned by concentrated nitric acid and also by annealing in a propane gas flame; the exact length of the immersed wire was set by a piece of a thin teflon tube pulled onto the wire after annealing.

4. The experiment
Three sets of impedance spectra were recorded in conjunction with CVs of 200, 100, and 50 mV/s between the limits 0 and 500 mV vs SCE, as shown in Fig.2. The impedance spectra were recorded at instances marked by the dots along the CVs. Two features of the CVs are remarkable: (i) Peak separation is much larger than 59 mV, and is increasing with scan-rate; (ii) The current does not exhibit any jump at the positive turning point, i.e. the capacitive current is negligibly small even with the largest scan-rate.

The semiintegrated CVs are shown in Fig.3a. Note that the plateau heights are just the same for the three CVs. This is an indication for that the current is purely diffusion-controlled at the positive end of the curve. In contrast, the plateaus of the semiintegrated CVs taken with lower scan rates (not shown) are tilted and exhibit hysteresis (most probably because at these low scan-rates the diffusion-limited current is so little as to make spontaneous convections also a significant transport mechanism).

The semiintegrated CV of Fig.3a exhibit hysteresis around the redox peaks – this hysteresis is just of the same origin as the increased peak separation on the CVs. Both are due to the IR drop, as it can be demonstrated as follows: From the simultaneous EIS measurements, \( R_\text{s} = 20.3 \) ohm (or, normalized by the area, 6.32 ohm×cm²). Re-plotting the semiintegrated CV with IR-drop corrected potential scale (i.e. \( M \) vs \( E_{\text{IR}} \)) the hysteresis in the redox-peak region disappears; the \( dM/dE_{\text{IR}} \) vs \( E_{\text{IR}} \) curves for the forward and backward scans coincide (Fig.3b).
Fig. 2. CVs at scan-rates as indicated (solid lines). The dots mark the potentials at which the impedance spectra have been measured; for the meaning of the crosses see the text.

Fig. 3. (a). The semiintegrated CVs of Fig. 2. (b). Same as a, but the potential scale has been corrected for the IR error. The derivative with respect to the IR-corrected potential is also plotted.
Fig. 4. (a) Impedance spectra taken during scans of both positive and negative directions, with all three scan rates (200, 100, and 50 mV/s). Circles and diamonds are spectra taken at $E_{\text{IRc}} = 200 \pm 8$ mV and $E_{\text{IRc}} = 300 \pm 8$ mV, respectively. Full and open symbols are for magnitudes and phase angles, respectively.

b.) Warburg-admittance coefficient, $\sigma$, vs IR-corrected potential, $E_{\text{IRc}}$, as evaluated from dEIS. The solid lines are the $dM/dE_{\text{IRc}}$ curves (same as those in Fig. 3b).

The impedance spectra were analyzed as follows:

Because of the reversibility, we expect identical spectra at the same $E_{\text{IRc}}$ potentials. We show spectra in Fig. 4a which were taken approximately at the same potentials (around 0.2 and 0.3 V, in the redox peak region) during scans of three different scan-rates, both at positive and negative scan directions. These, twice six spectra appear to be quite similar, demonstrating that the scan rate and direction of the scan does not affect the dEIS results.

All spectra have been analyzed by fitting the parameters of a Randles-circuit to the measured spectra; good fits, however, were obtained at the redox-peak region (crosses on Fig. 2 mark the IR-corrected potentials at which the spectra could be well fitted to the complete Randles-circuit. At these potential the $\sigma$ coefficient of the Warburg-admittance could be determined with little error; $\sigma$ vs $E_{\text{IRc}}$ plot is shown in Fig. 4b. In the same plot, with the same scale $dM/dE_{\text{IRc}}$ – the same function as in Fig. 3b – is also shown as solid lines. As this solid lines and the $\sigma(E_{\text{IRc}})$ points run together fairly well, we conclude that this measurement serves as a good illustration for the following statement: For reversible redox systems, dEIS give two potential functions: one is the $dM_{\text{rev}}/dE$ (calculated from the CV) the other is the $\sigma$ coefficient of the Warburg-admittance (as calculated from the impedance spectra). These are equal with each other.

Conclusions:

1. Based on simple potentiostat design principles and electronic circuits, employing data acquisition boards of 16 bit resolution and a few microsecond time resolution, it is possible to build dEIS setups by which series of audio-frequency impedance spectra can be measured, one in every 300 ms. This way impedance and CV measurements can be simultaneously performed.
2. Such a setup must be validated, not only by dummy cells, but also with well-known electrochemical systems. Perhaps the best for this purpose is a noble metal electrode immersed in some highly conducting aqueous solution containing ferro/ferricyanide as a minor component.

3. The CV and the impedance behavior of this electrochemical system, in the potential region of the redox peaks is to be measured and analyzed in that way as described. A dEIS system is of acceptable quality if the plots of $\frac{\text{d}M}{\text{d}E_{\text{IRC}}} \times N$ vs $E_{\text{IRC}}$ and $\sigma$ vs $E_{\text{IRC}}$ coincide just as in Fig.3c.

4. In accord with the theory of [17], the experiment of the present paper demonstrates the connection of CVs and impedances of systems with diffusion controlled charge transfer through their semiintegrated form of the former and the Warburg-coefficient involved in the latter.
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