AXIOMATIZATIONS OF SIGNED DISCRETE CHOQUET INTEGRALS
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Abstract. We study the so-called signed discrete Choquet integral (also called non-monotonic discrete Choquet integral) regarded as the Lovász extension of a pseudo-Boolean function which vanishes at the origin. We present axiomatizations of this generalized Choquet integral, given in terms of certain functional equations, as well as by necessary and sufficient conditions which reveal desirable properties in aggregation theory.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the so-called “signed (discrete) Choquet integral” (also called non-monotonic Choquet integral) which naturally generalizes the Choquet integral [1]. Traditionally, the Choquet integral is defined in terms of a capacity (also called fuzzy measure [10, 11]), i.e., a set function \( \mu : 2^n \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \) and \( \mu(S) \leq \mu(T) \) whenever \( S \subseteq T \). Dropping the monotonicity requirement in the definition of \( \mu \), we obtain what is referred to as a signed capacity (also called non-monotonic fuzzy measure). The signed Choquet integral is then defined exactly the same way but replacing the underlying capacity by a signed capacity. This extension has been considered by several authors, e.g., [3, 7, 8].

A convenient way to introduce the signed Choquet integral is via the notion of Lovász extension. Indeed, the signed Choquet integral can be thought of as the Lovász extension of a pseudo-Boolean function \( f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) which vanishes at the origin. Moreover, we retrieve the classical Choquet integral by further assuming that \( f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is nondecreasing.

In this paper we consider the latter approach to the signed Choquet integral. In Section 2 we recall the basic notions and terminology concerning Choquet integrals and Lovász extensions needed throughout the paper. In Section 3 we present various characterizations of the signed Choquet integral. First, we recall the piecewise linear nature of Lovász extensions which particularizes to the signed Choquet integral (Theorem 3.1). Then we generalize Schmeidler’s axiomatization of the signed discrete Choquet integral
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given in terms of continuity and comonotonic additivity, showing that positive homogeneity can be replaced for continuity (Theorem 3.2). The main result of this paper, Theorem 3.3, presents a characterization of families of signed Choquet integrals in terms of necessary and sufficient conditions which:

(1) reveal the linear nature of these generalized Choquet integrals with respect to the underlying signed capacities,
(2) express properties of the family members defined on the standard basis of signed capacities, and
(3) make apparent the meaningfulness with respect to interval scales of signed Choquet integrals.

We also discuss the independence of axioms given in Theorem 3.3.

Throughout this paper, the symbols ∧ and ∨ denote the minimum and maximum functions, respectively.

2. Choquet integrals and Lovász extensions

A capacity on \([n]\) is a set function \(µ : 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{R}\) such that \(µ(∅) = 0\) and \(µ(S) ≤ µ(T)\) whenever \(S ⊆ T\). A capacity \(µ\) on \([n]\) is said to be normalized if \(µ([n]) = 1\).

Definition 2.1. Let \(µ\) be a capacity on \([n]\) and let \(x ∈ [0, ∞]^n\). The Choquet integral of \(x\) with respect to \(µ\) is defined by

\[
C_µ(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (µ_{π,i} - µ_{π,i+1}) x_{π(i)},
\]

where \(π\) is a permutation on \([n]\) such that \(x_{π(1)} ≤ \cdots ≤ x_{π(n)}\) and \(µ_{π,i} = µ(\{π(i), \ldots, π(n)\})\) for \(i ∈ [n + 1]\), with the convention that \(µ_{π,n+1} = µ(∅)\).

The concept of Choquet integral can be formally extended to more general set functions and \(n\)-tuples of \(\mathbb{R}^n\) as follows. A signed capacity (or game) on \([n]\) is a set function \(v : 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{R}\) such that \(v(∅) = 0\).

Definition 2.2. Let \(v\) be a signed capacity on \([n]\) and let \(x ∈ \mathbb{R}^n\). The signed Choquet integral of \(x\) with respect to \(v\) is defined by

\[
C_v(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_{π,i} - v_{π,i+1}) x_{π(i)},
\]

where \(π\) is a permutation on \([n]\) such that \(x_{π(1)} ≤ \cdots ≤ x_{π(n)}\) and \(v_{π,i} = v(\{π(i), \ldots, π(n)\})\) for \(i ∈ [n + 1]\), with the convention that \(v_{π,n+1} = v(∅)\).

The more general concept of a set function \(v : 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{R}\) (without any constraint) leads to the notion of the Lovász extension of a pseudo-Boolean function, which we now briefly describe. For general background, see [4, 9].

Let \(S_n\) denote the symmetric group on \([n]\) and, for each \(π ∈ S_n\), define

\[
P_π = \{x ∈ \mathbb{R}^n : x_{π(1)} ≤ \cdots ≤ x_{π(n)}\}.
\]
Let \( v: 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a set function and let \( f: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be the corresponding pseudo-Boolean function, that is, such that \( f(1_S) = v(S) \). The Lovász extension of \( f \) is the continuous function \( \hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) which is defined on each \( P_\pi \) as the unique affine function that coincides with \( f \) at the \( n+1 \) vertices of the standard simplex \([0,1]^n \cap P_\pi\) of \([0,1]^n\). In fact, \( \hat{f} \) can be expressed as

\[
\hat{f}(x) = f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(f^n_i - f^n_{i+1})x_{\pi(i)} \quad (x \in P_\pi).
\]

where \( f^n_i = f(\{\pi(i), \ldots, \pi(n)\}) = v(\{\pi(i), \ldots, \pi(n)\}) \) for \( i \in [n] \) and \( f^n_{n+1} = f(0) \). Thus \( \hat{f} \) is a continuous function whose restriction to each \( P_\pi \) is an affine function.

It follows from (1) that the Lovász extension of a pseudo-Boolean function \( f: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is a signed Choquet integral if and only if \( f(0) = 0 \). Its restriction to \([0,\infty)^n\) is a Choquet integral if, in addition, \( f \) is nondecreasing.

It was also shown [6] that the Lovász extension \( \hat{f} \) can also be written as

\[
\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} m(S) \bigwedge_{i \in S} x_i \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n),
\]

where the set function \( m: 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{R} \) is the Möbius transform of \( v \), given by \( m(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S|-|T|} v(T) \). Thus, a signed Choquet integral has the form (2) with \( m(\emptyset) = 0 \).

3. Axiomatizations of Lovász extensions

We have a first characterization that immediately follows from the definition of Lovász extensions.

**Theorem 3.1.** A function \( g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is a Lovász extension if and only if

\[
g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x') = \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda) g(x') \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)
\]

for all comonotonic vectors \( x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n \). The function \( g \) is a signed Choquet integral if additionally \( g(0) = 0 \).

**Proof.** The condition stated in the theorem means that \( g \) is affine (since it is both convex and concave) on each \( P_\pi \). Hence, it is continuous on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and thus it is a Lovász extension. \( \square \)

The following theorem is inspired from a characterization of the Choquet integral by de Campos and Bolaños [2].

**Theorem 3.2.** A function \( g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is a Lovász extension if and only if the function \( h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \), defined by \( h = g - g(0) \),

(i) is comonotonic additive.

(ii) is continuous or satisfies \( h(rx) = rh(x) \) for all \( r > 0 \).

The function \( g \) is a signed Choquet integral if additionally \( g(0) = 0 \).
Proof. It is not difficult to see that the conditions are necessary. So let us prove the sufficiency. Fix \( \pi \in S_n \) and \( x \in P_\pi \). Then we have
\[
x = x_\pi(1)1_{[n]} + \sum_{i=2}^{n}(x_\pi(i) - x_\pi(i-1))1_{\{\pi(i),\ldots,\pi(n)\}}.
\]
By comonotonic additivity, we get
\[
h(x) = h(x_\pi(1)1_{[n]}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n}h((x_\pi(i) - x_\pi(i-1))1_{\{\pi(i),\ldots,\pi(n)\}}).
\]
Also by comonotonic additivity, we have
\[
0 = h(0) = h(1_{[n]} - 1_{[n]}) = h(1_{[n]}) + h(-1_{[n]})
\]
and hence \( h(-1_{[n]}) = -h(1_{[n]}) \). Moreover, if \( h(rx) = rh(x) \) for all \( r > 0 \)
(and even for \( r = 0 \) since \( h(0) = 0 \)), then \( h(r1_{[n]}) = rh(1_{[n]}) \) for all \( r \in \mathbb{R} \)
and hence
\[
h(x) = x_\pi(1)h(1_{[n]}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n}(x_\pi(i) - x_\pi(i-1))h(1_{\{\pi(i),\ldots,\pi(n)\}})
\]
where \( h_i = h(1_{\{\pi(i),\ldots,\pi(n)\}}) \) for \( i \in [n] \) and \( h_{n+1} = h(1_{\varnothing}) \).

Let us show that \( h \) satisfies the positive homogeneity property as soon as it is continuous. Comonotonic additivity implies that \( g(nx) = ng(x) \) for every \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and every positive integer \( n \). For any positive integers \( n, m \), we then have
\[
m h(x) = m h \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) = m h \left( \frac{m}{n} x \right)
\]
which means that \( h(rx) = rh(x) \) for every positive rational \( r \) and even for every positive real \( r \) by continuity.

In the following characterization of the signed Choquet integral, we will assume that the function to axiomatize is constructed from a signed capacity. More precisely, denoting the set of signed capacities on \([n] \) by \( \Sigma_n \), we now regard our function as a map \( f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), or equivalently, as the class \( \{f_v: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \in \Sigma_n \} \). We will adopt the latter terminology to state our result, which is inspired from a characterization given in [5].

For every \( T \subseteq [n] \), let \( v_T \in \Sigma_n \) be the unanimity game defined by \( v_T(S) = 1 \), if \( S \supseteq T \), and 0, otherwise. Note that the \( v_T \) \((T \subseteq [n]) \) form a basis (actually, the standard basis) for \( \Sigma_n \). Indeed, for every \( v \in \Sigma_n \), we have
\[
v = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} m_v(T) v_T,
\]
where \( m_v \) is the Möbius transform of \( v \).
Theorem 3.3. If the class \( \{f_v: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} : v \in \Sigma_n \} \) satisfies the following properties

(i) There exist \( 2^n \) functions \( g_T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) \((T \subseteq [n])\) such that
\[
f_v = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} v(T) g_T;
\]

(ii) For every \( S \subseteq [n] \), we have \( f_{v_S}(x) = 0 \) whenever \( x_i = 0 \) for some \( i \in S \);

(iii) For every \( S \subseteq [n] \), \( r > 0 \), \( s \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we have
\[
f_{v_S}(rx + s1_{[n]}) = rf_{v_S}(x) + s;
\]

then and only then \( f_v = C_v \) for all \( v \in \Sigma_n \).

Proof. The sufficiency is straightforward, so let us prove the necessity. Given the relation between \( v \) and \( m_v \), condition (i) is equivalent to assuming the existence of \( 2^n \) functions \( h_T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) \((T \subseteq [n])\) such that
\[
f_v = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} m_v(T) h_T.
\]

Thus \( f_{v_T} = h_T \). Therefore, it suffices to prove the following claim.

Claim. For any fixed \( T \subseteq [n] \), if the function \( f_{v_T}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii), then \( f_{v_T}(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in T} x_i \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). If \( x_1 = \cdots = x_n \), then
\[
f_{v_T}(x) = f_{v_T} \left( \left( \bigwedge_{i \in [n]} x_i \right) 1_{[n]} \right) = \bigwedge_{i \in [n]} x_i,
\]
since \( f_{v_T}(0) = 0 \) by (iii).

Otherwise, if \( \bigvee_{i \in [n]} x_i - \bigwedge_{i \in [n]} x_i \neq 0 \), then by (iii) we have
\[
f_{v_T}(x) = \left( \bigvee_{i \in [n]} x_i - \bigwedge_{i \in [n]} x_i \right) f_{v_T}(x') + \bigwedge_{i \in [n]} x_i,
\]
where
\[
x' = \frac{x - \left( \bigwedge_{i \in [n]} x_i \right) 1_{[n]}}{\bigvee_{i \in [n]} x_i - \bigwedge_{i \in [n]} x_i} \in [0, 1]^n.
\]

By (iii) and (ii),
\[
f_{v_T}(x') = f_{v_T} \left( x' - \left( \bigwedge_{i \in T} x'_i \right) 1_{[n]} \right) + \bigwedge_{i \in T} x'_i = \bigwedge_{i \in T} x'_i.
\]

By (iii), \( f_{v_T}(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in T} x_i \). \( \square \)

Note that the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are independent. Indeed,

(i), (iii) \( \not\Rightarrow \) (ii): Consider the class \( \{f_v: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} : v \in \Sigma_n \} \) given by the weighted arithmetic mean functions
\[
f_v(x) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} m_v(T) \left( \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{i \in T} x_i \right),
\]

where \( m_v \) is the Möbius transform of \( v \).
(i), (ii) \( \not\Rightarrow \) (iii): Consider the class \( \{ f_v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} : v \in \Sigma_n \} \) given by the multilinear polynomial functions

\[
  f_v(x) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} m_v(T) \prod_{i \in T} x_i,
\]

where \( m_v \) is the Möbius transform of \( v \).

(ii), (iii) \( \not\Rightarrow \) (i): Define the normalized capacity \( v^* \in \Sigma_3 \) by \( v^*(\{1, 2\}) = 0 \) and \( v^*(\{1, 3\}) = v^*(\{2, 3\}) = 1/2 \) and consider the class \( \{ f_v : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} : v \in \Sigma_3 \} \) given by \( f_v = C_v \) for every \( v \in \Sigma_3 \setminus \{v^*\} \), and

\[
  f_{v^*}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \right) \land x_3.
\]

Remark 1. (a) The conditions in Theorem 3.3 can be justified as follows. Condition (i) expresses the fact that the aggregation model is linear with respect to the underlying signed capacities. Condition (ii) expresses minimal requirements on the functions defined on the standard basis \( \{ v_S : S \subseteq [n] \} \) of \( \Sigma_n \). Condition (iii) expresses the fact that \( f_{v_S} \) is meaningful with respect to interval scales.

(b) The characterization given in Theorem 3.3 does not use the fact that \( v(\emptyset) = 0 \). Therefore they can be immediately adapted to Lovász extensions by redefining \( \Sigma_n \) as the set of set functions on \( [n] \).
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