Brain-to-brain communication: the possible role of brain electromagnetic fields (As a Potential Hypothesis)
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A B S T R A C T

Up now, the communication between brains of different humans or animals has been confirmed and confined by the sensory medium and motor facilities of body. Recently, direct brain-to-brain communication (DBBC) outside the conventional five senses has been verified between animals and humans. Nevertheless, no empirical studies or serious discussion have been performed to elucidate the mechanism behind this process. The validation of DBBC has been documented via recording similar pattern of action potentials occurring in the brain cortex of two animals. With regard to action potentials in brain neurons, the magnetic field resulting from the action potentials created in neurons is one of the tools where the brain of one animal can affect the brain of another. It has been shown that different animals, even humans, have the power to understand the magnetic field. Cryptochrome, which exists in the retina and in different regions of the brain, has been confirmed to be able to perceive magnetic fields and convert magnetic fields to action potentials. Recently, iron particles (Fe₃O₄) believed to be functioning as magnets have been found in various parts of the brain, and are postulated as magnetic field receptors. Newly developed supersensitive magnetic sensors made of iron magnets that can sense the brain's magnetic field have suggested the idea that these Fe₃O₄ particles or magnets may be capable of perceiving the brain's extremely weak magnetic field. The present study suggests that it is possible the extremely weak magnetic field in one animal's brain to transmit vital and accurate information to another animal's brain.

1. Introduction

Brain-to-brain communication, posited as one of the multiple kinds of telepathies, is the direct conveyance of feelings from one animal to another without using the common sensory channels of communication. In spite of many attempts to elucidate the mechanism of direct brain-to-brain communication between two animals and recording action potentials patterns occurring in the brain (Babiloni et al., 2006; King-Casas et al., 2005; Kingsbury et al., 2019; Liu and Pelowski, 2014), the molecular and cellular basis of this phenomenon is still unidentified. It is claimed that the ability to spot weak magnetic field energies may be a source of paranormal phenomena such as telepathy (M. A. Persinger and Healey, 2002). John Taylor and Eduardo Balanovski first discussed the electromagnetic fields emitted by human bodies as a potential mediator for telepathy (Taylor and Balanovski, 1979) and rejected this possibility according to the scientific evidence at that time. Their perception of the brain was as solely a physical body which ruled out biological processes and did not take into account the induction of action potentials (Roth and Basser, 1990) in the nerves or the existence of the protein Cryptochrome 2 as a supersensitive magnetic field receptor in the retina (Foley et al., 2011; Liedvogel and Mourtisen, 2010; Partch and Sancar, 2005) and numerous regions of the brain (Christiansen et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018; Li et al., 2013; McCarthy and Welsh, 2012). Furthermore, they were unaware of the presence of magnetic particles in the brain (Gilder et al., 2018) which have been postulated as magnetic field receptors (Shaw et al., 2015). According to McFadden, the brain's electromagnetic field (EMF) produces an image of the information in the neurons, and he claimed that brain's endogenous EMF impacts brain function (McFadden, 2002, 2013a) and proposed that the brain's EMF combines the information encrypted in millions of diverse neurons (McFadden, 2013b). Some evidence suggests that this theory may be correct (Agnati et al., 2018; Anastassiou et al., 2010; Fröhlich and McCormick, 2010; Reimann et al., 2013) demonstrating possibilities of a potential major role of EMF as a device of communication among cells inside the nervous system. In support of McFadden's hypothesis, researchers have attempted to decode human brain thoughts and emotions while recording electromagnetic activity in the cerebral cortex, thereby translating thoughts in the human brain into reading the brain as a text (Herff et al., 2015; Makin et al.,...
2. Brain magnetic fields

It has been established that a magnetic field occurs in the brain, spreading around the brain, which is detectable by magnetoencephalography (MEG; magnetic field) method (Agnati et al., 2018). The key factor in producing brain electromagnetic fields is the action potential (Hales, 2014), a phenomenon that occurs in neurons resulting in membrane depolarization, with the departure of ions through the cell membrane (Cifra et al., 2011) producing an ion currents that is always associated with a magnetic field perpendicular to its direction according to the right-hand rule (Singh, 2014). The dendritic current of pyramidal neurons simultaneously firing in parallel is the basis of brain magnetic fields (Hamalainen, 1991). One of the forms of action potential that happens in the brain is neural oscillation (Cebolla and Cheron, 2019). Neural oscillations are defined as repetitive patterns of action potentials occurring in neurons in the central nervous system (Basar, 2013). While oscillation related to a single neuron is intangible, the synchronized activity of a large numbers of neurons can give rise to large oscillations, generating a stronger EM field (Crick, 1996), observable by MEG or EEG. To produce a measurable signal, almost 50,000 neurons are required to exert action potential together (Obara, 1983). It is stated that action potentials do not usually produce an effective field, mostly because the flows related to action potentials stream in opposite directions and the brain magnetic field does not exceed the power of 1000 femtotesla (10^{-15}Tesla). Magnetic field measurement unit is Tesla) (Fammeter et al., 2004). One study has shown that the main source of the magnetic field is in the limbic system region, including from septum to forebrain, as well encompassing the area from hypothalamus to ventral midbrain and possibly also including the hippocampus (Khan and Cohen, 2019).

3. Electromagnetic field detectors in the brain

According to Gregory Nordmann (Nordmann et al., 2017), there are 3 prevailing proposals being weighed regarding how magnetic fields are sensed by animals. First is provocation of action potentials in neurons by electromagnetic induction; second is light-sensitive, chemical-based mechanism mediated by cryptochromes resulting in action potentials as nervous signals; and third is magnetite-based magnetoreceptors mechanically spotting the magnetic field, leading to neuronal action potentials.

3.1. Electromagnetic induction

Various studies have found that microorganisms can convey information among themselves via electromagnetic fields (Cleave and Thompson, 1936). For example, Pseudomonas fluorescens, a kind of bacteria, has been shown to communicate with one another using electromagnetic fields (Nikolaev et al., 2000) and it is indicated that Escherichia coli also has such ability (Trushin, 2003). A recently published paper found that membranes of bacteria depolarize via potassium ion channels and this depolarization propagates electrically to other bacteria by electrical stimulation just as happens between neurons (Prindle et al., 2015); while this paper did not discuss induction of action potentials between two bacteria which are close together, it may be surmised that this process could be very probable. In another recent study, the role of membrane-potential-based memory induced by potassium ion channels has been illustrated (Yang et al., 2020), reinforcing the possibility that the electromagnetic field created around the potassium channels is able to induce action potential in adjacent bacterial membranes, thus transferring the encoded memory to another bacterium (Figure 1). In the explanation of membrane-potential-based memory and also for more illustration of Figure 1, This can be explained that Current researches have indicated that memory can be encoded through targeted changes in the DNA sequence. This method has been effectively practical in numerous organizations, including bacteria. On the other hand, memory in biology is commonly associated with neurons in the brain, which use cellular membrane potential. Therefore, encoding memory at the membrane potential level in non-neuronal systems could offer novel insights to research memory creation and recovery. It has been revealed that bacteria undertaking membrane potential pulses are supposedly to experience them once more in the future, signifying that bacteria may have the capacity to store information about their past membrane potential state. This result proposes the likelihood that membrane potential-based memory could be encoded in a bacterial system.

Therefore, it may be supposed that encrypted cues such as memory can be transferred among bacteria by electromagnetic fields. Creating action potentials via electromagnetic induction resulting from a mass of neurons’ action potentials within a central nervous system has been asserted (Agnati et al., 2018) to act in such a way that the magnetic field due to the collective action potential of neurons induces action potential in the nearest neurons, thereby producing a neural message. This phenomenon is the topic of debate and is somewhat endorsed within the nervous system, but electromagnetic induction between two separate nervous systems has not yet been studied in practice or in theory.

A number of studies have indicated that exposure to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields (ELF-EMF) modifies animal behaviors (Cui et al., 2012; Luukkonen et al., 2011; Piacentini et al., 2008). Although exposure to ELF-EMFs could be a factor in the development of anxious state or oxidative stress. It has been established that extremely low frequency magnetic fields with frequencies from 1-3 Hz provoke action potentials in large-diameter myelinated nerve axons (Reilly, 2012). Moreover, 50 Hz electromagnetic fields have empirically increased the frequency of action potentials in isolated nerves (Ebrahimian et al., 2013). Exposure to 50 Hz ELF-MF resulted in an increase in the peak amplitude of action potentials, and after hyperpolarization potential and magnetic fields decreased in a time-dependent manner as well as the firing frequency and the duration of the action potential (Mohdad et al., 2008). It was also revealed that the propagation of action potential along the membrane creates an inhomoogeneous time-varying electromagnetic field (Isakovic et al., 2018). Furthermore,
communication between neurons has been hypothesized and postulated by inhomogeneous electromagnetic fields produced and disseminated by neurons (Isakovic et al., 2018). The EMF field produced by just one neuron can reach spatially to hundreds of microns and can change the closer neurons’ performance such as firing frequency (Gold et al., 2009). In this way, two neurons can communicate with together and affect each other’s activity, and as a result, the electromagnetic fields derived from the firing of each neuron could be considered to be a new type of neurotransmitter. This phenomenon forms a fast message system between neurons called ephaptic coupling (Schoikmann, 2015) where synapses or gap junctions are not involved and are simply a result of a local electromagnetic field derived from a neuron (Hagen et al., 2016). Ephaptic coupling is facilitated the excitation of neurons (Katz and Schmitt, 1940) which means it can increases the speed of transmission of neural messages within the brain system and also from the environment to the brain and vice versa, and thus can increase the speed of cognition by the nervous system. Exposure to ELF-EMFs can improve recognition such as memory retention as in rats (Karimi et al., 2019). Also, ELF-MF has improving effect on different cognitive disorder signs of Alzheimer disease in rat (Akbarnejad et al., 2015). Furthermore, ELF-EMF improves social recognition memory in rats (Vázquez-García et al., 2004). As mentioned earlier that ephaptic coupling can increase the speed of cognition, it may be possible that a part of the improving effect of ELF-MF on recovery of cognition is mediated by the effect of ELF-MF on boosting ephaptic phenomena.

If a brain magnetic field as weak as of 10–1000 femtotesla (10−15 Tesa) intensifies, is it possible that such magnetic fields can affect neuronal activity and trigger or change the pattern of action potentials of another brain via the electromagnetic induction rule? No studies currently exist on this topic, perhaps because magnetic fields with powers in the range of femtotesla (10−15 Tesa) are incapable of inducing action potentials in neurons. Some studies have assayed the effect of extremely weak magnetic fields in the range of mT of power on physiological aspects of neuronal action potentials in such a way that pulsed magnetic field of 1–15 mT power induced synchronized neuronal firing of molluscan brain ganglia (Azanza, Calvo, & del Moral, 2002) or 0.8 mT intensity in a magnetic field could change the action potentials pattern of snail neurons (Moghadam et al., 2008).

4. Voltage-gated channels

Calcium fluxes are crucial detectors of EMF. On one hand, electromagnetic fields directly affect voltage-gated calcium channels and activate them (Pall, 2013, 2014, 2016), while on the other hand, voltage-gated calcium channel activation contributes to the release of neurotransmitters in the brain and also to the secretion of hormones by neuroendocrine cells (Berridge, 1998; Dunlap et al., 1995; Wheeler et al., 1994). In this way, the simultaneous large-scale firing of a large number of neurons can affect voltage-gated calcium channels in pre-synaptic neurons and stimulate them to increase the release of neurotransmitters. Therefore, voltage-gated calcium channels may be surmised as potential receptors of a magnetic field radiated by massive firing of neurons within one brain. As declared earlier, there are no studies to address if this possibility is viable between brain to brain. Studies have shown that voltage-gated sodium channels contribute to the rising phase of the neuronal action potential (Banasiak et al., 2004; Ding et al., 2008; Fraser et al., 2005). It is known that extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields such as brain waves are able to increase ion currents in sodium voltage-gated cerebellar granule cells (Nie et al., 2013) and magnetic field exposure changes ion channel function in neurons. It is possible that the organization of sodium channels are affected by magnetic fields (Zheng et al., 2017).

4.1. Cryptochrome

As sunlight is the main basis of energy on earth, primitive creatures such as archebacteria and cyanobacters have adapted to encounter and deal with the energy of sunlight, since these existed before the ozone layer. Cryptochromes appear to be absent from eubacteria and archaebacteria (Gashmore et al., 1999). Cyanobacters produce primitive cryptochromes to defend themselves from ultraviolet damage since they developed without the protective layer of ozone, the initial documented indications of the presence of cryptochromes (Sancar, 1994). Thus, the first documented indications of existence of primitive cryptochromes is in cyanobacteria that interface with the sunlight without the protective layer of ozone. Cyanobacteria have developed defenses, such as photolysis to repair ultraviolet-damaged DNA (Sancar, 1994). Cryptochromes have been found in various animal lineages, including insects, fish, amphibians, and mammals (Lin and Todo, 2005). The protein cryptochrome-2 that is expressed in the retina of vertebrates (Möller et al., 2004; Nießner et al., 2016; Thompson et al., 2003; Tosini et al., 2007; Tosini et al., 2008; Zhu and Green, 2001) exhibits the ability to detecting magnetic fields (Foley et al., 2011; Gegear et al., 2010; Yong, 2011). Activation of cryptochromes occurs in the presence of blue light (Foley et al., 2011; Gegear et al., 2008; Giachello et al., 2016) and a recent study in Arabidopsis established that cryptochromes detect...
magnetic field independently of light (Hammad et al., 2020). This latest finding could be the basis for the idea that cryptochromes can detect magnetic fields without depending on a specific wavelength of light; thus, cryptochromes in the retina and in different regions of brain may act similarly in detecting magnetic fields. It has been claimed that magnetic fields lead to ions flux unsteadiness in voltage-gated channels due to CRY-induced disruption of neuronal activity throughout the embryonic period of Drosophila (Giachello and Baines, 2015). Moreover, there is a report explicating that cryptochromes mediate neuronal excitation and increase action potential rates in Drosophila larvae (Marley et al., 2014). A study by Giachello et al. declared that magnetic fields modulate CRY activity and affect isolated neuron activity by increasing action potential firing (Giachello et al., 2016). The latter study proposes crucial proof to indicate that external magnetic fields are able to generate action potentials through alteration of the activity of cryptochromes and subsequently change animal behavior. It can be concluded that one of mediators by which magnetic fields affect brain neurons is through cryptochromes. CRY-mediated membrane depolarization initiated by a magnetic field is attributed to inactivation of potassium voltage-gated channels (Fogle et al., 2015); this establishes that cryptochromes are associated with voltage-gated channels and that an additional capability of cryptochromes is to generate action potentials under effect of a magnetic field. Cryptochrome-2 reacts to the earth’s magnetic field at approximately 50μT power (Liedvogel and Mouritsen, 2010). The question that arises is whether the brain can create a magnetic field with the power of Earth’s magnetic field. We know the magnitude of the magnetic field produced by a neuron is approximately $3.0 \times 10^{-12}$ T (Isakovic et al., 2018). The cerebral cortex contains 100 billion neurons in human (Herculano-Houzel, 2009) and 21 billion neurons in rats (Korbo et al., 1990). Considering these points, if only one billion cerebral cortex neurons simultaneously activate in one direction, the magnetic field thus generated would be greater than the earth’s magnetic field. The firing rates of cortical neurons are extremely variable in different tests (Faisal et al., 2008; Shadlen and Newsome, 1998). However, based on MEG measurements, the brain magnetic field is no greater than few hundred femtotesla ($10^{-15}$Tesla) (Singh, 2014). Cortical fast-spiking neurons produce high-frequency action potentials reaching a frequency of 338Hz in the human temporal cortex, 450Hz in the frontal cortex of monkeys, and 215Hz in entorhinal cortices (a part of the parahippocampal cortex) (B. Wang et al., 2016). Considering the number of these types of neurons and how many can fire together, it is theoretically possible to generate a magnetic field that can be understood or perceived by cryptochromes.

### 4.2. Magnetic particles

Most living creatures need iron to exist; consequently, homeostasis of iron is crucial, and iron mineral collection may be the only method for a living creature to deposit surplus iron. Some species such as magnetotactic bacteria are capable of creating magnetite through oxide iron ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) in the shape of crystal strands (Uebe and Schüler, 2016). The occurrence of such materials as chains of single-domain magnetite particles has been found in fish (J. Kirschvink, Walker, Chang, Dizon and Peterson, 1985), amphibians and reptiles (Perry et al., 1985), birds (R. Wiltschko and Wiltschko, 2013), and mammals such as rats (Barandiarian et al., 2015). The existence of magnetic particles ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) in the human brain has been confirmed by various studies (J. L. Kirschvink, Kobayashi-Kirschvink and Woodford, 1992; Maher et al., 2016; Schulteiss-Grassi et al., 1999). It has also been established that the cerebral cortex, where similar action potential patterns have been recorded during brain-to-brain interface, contains magnetite similarly to other regions of the brain (Gilder et al., 2018). It has been suggested by some researchers that magnetic crystals are produced via internal biominer-alization playing a physiological role (Kobayashi and Kirschvink, 1995). In contrast, others have proposed that magnetite in the brain may be derived from external sources and enter via the nasal sinus cavity and olfactory bulb (Maher et al., 2016). Magnetite is supposed to create a practical receptor for a magnetic field (J. L. Kirschvink, Walker and Diebel, 2001; Winklesco and Kirschvink, 2010). It is assumed that there are two kind of magnetite, superparamagnetic (SPM) and single chain magnetite (SCM) (J. L. Kirschvink and Walker, 1985), which form the
basis of a kind of magnetoreception. SPM has a small mass, making it incapable of keeping a steady magnetic moment, but it will line up in the path of an external magnetic field. SD magnetite is big enough to hold its own magnetic power. Various studies have attempted to focus on role of brain magnetics and cryptochrome in the earth's magnetic field navigation in birds (Cadiou and McNaughton, 2016; Heyers et al., 2007; W. Wiltschko, Munro, Wiltschko and Kirschvink, 2002), fishes (Hellinger and Hoffmann, 2012), turtles (Irwin and Lohmann, 2005), and these studies have ignored the extremely weak magnetic fields that both of the brain, which is in the range of 10–100 femtotesla (10^-15 Tesla). However, one study has shown that magnetic fields with a magnitude of 20 femtotesla (10^-15 Tesla) can be detectable by instruments using nano-magnetite polymers, which consist of iron (Fe) and cobalt (Co) (Amirsolaimani et al., 2018). Another study found that it is possible to measure magnetic field of femtotesla (10^-15 Tesla) scale power using CoFe2O4 nanocrystals (Pavlopoulos et al., 2020). It has long been argued that the earth's magnetic field can interfere with the measurement of the brain magnetic field and it has also been maintained that the magnetic field of the earth disturbs the ability to sense the brain's magnetic field. The two last-mentioned studies found that detection of a magnetic field in the range of the brain is possible without a need for a shield from the earth's magnetic field. These documents chiefly evoke the idea that the brain magnetites are potentially able to recognize dramatically weak magnetic field of brain that has not been discussed so far (Figure 2). Up to now, there has been any empirical study which surveyed the exact mechanism by which these magnetites work to induce action potentials and cause an extremely weak magnetic field such as that of brain to be sensed.

5. Brain subconscious centers

It is has long been supposed that animals subconsciously process magnetic fields such as the earth's magnetic field (C. X. Wang et al., 2019). One of the subconscious regions of the brain is the limbic system, encompassing amygdala, hippocampus, thalamus, hypothalamus, basal ganglia, and cingulate gyrus and parahippocampal gyrus (Solms, 2017). Using functional MRI, two studies reported considerable activation of the right parahippocampal gyrus after effective telepathy, indicating a basic role of the limbic system for brain-to-brain interface (M. A. Persinger and Saroka, 2012; Venkatasubramanian et al., 2008). A previous study revealed involvement of the hippocampal region in telepathy (Roll et al., 2002). These findings raise the question of whether the subconscious regions of the brain plays a central role in telepathy. The parahippocampal lobe plays a fundamental role in recognizing social situations (Schulz et al., 2010). Prominently, limbic regions associated with the hippocampus are imperative for empathy of the mind’s conditions such as desires, intentions, and beliefs (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2004). The parahippocampal cortex is associated with the frontal cortex (Aminoff et al., 2013; Goldman-Rakic et al., 1984; Suzuki, 2009). The frontal cortex includes the premotor cortex and the primary motor cortex – cortical parts of the motor cortex. The front part of the frontal lobe is covered by the prefrontal cortex. The primary motor cortex is one of the principal brain areas involved in motor function. The role of the primary motor cortex is to generate neural impulses that control the execution of movement, sending impulses to activate skeletal muscles for appropriate action. The prefrontal cortex is one of the major cortical afferents of the motor cortex by sending fiber comprising essential scheduling and execution (Yip and Lui, 2019). The prefrontal cortex is involved in the regulation of behavior (Dunn and Kronenberger, 2013) and collects information from various areas of the brain to process information to act appropriately to plan and reach objectives (Puster, 2001; TEMBRA, 2018). In a recent study, it was shown that the occipital lobe was the brain region where a decoder person receives information sent from an encoder person (Jiang et al., 2019). Other studies established the same electroencephalographic records during telepathy in the occipital lobe (Duane and Behrendt, 1965; Kittensis et al., 2004; Standish et al., 2004; Wackermann et al., 2003) and concurrently in both the frontal and occipital lobes (M. Persinger, Koren and Tsang, 2003). Additional confirmation of involvement of the occipital lobe in telepathy has been proposed by functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies (Moulton and Kosslyn, 2008; Richards et al., 2005; Standish et al., 2003). Another region of the brain involved in telepathy is the cuneus (Achterberg et al., 2005). The cuneus is a brain region associated with empathy (Jackson et al., 2006) and also with telepathy (M. Persinger, 2013).

![Figure 3. Indicating the brain to brain communication starting within retina via cryptochrome2 (step 1) that receives and processes magnetic field information then optic nerve sends this information to the occipital lobe (step 2), the region that detailed and classified information and then this information are sent to parahippocampal gyrus (step 3) which additionally process and categorize information, specially in respect of emotions and thoughts and subsequently they are dispatched to prefrontal lobe (step 4) to be finally analyzed and concluded and make a propitious decision (step 1 is the reception of facing brain magnetic field by cryptochrome2, step 2 is sending processed information to the occipital lobe by optic nerve, step 3 is sending classified information from occipital lobe to parahippocampal gyrus, step 4 is dispatching of detailed information from parahippocampal gyrus to prefrontal lobe).](image-url)
Roll et al., 2002; Roll et al., 2002). The cuneus is a smaller lobe within the occipital lobe of the brain. Interestingly, the occipital lobe includes the visual cortex, processing visual information partly sent by cryptochrome-2, a magnetic field sensitive protein, from the retina. It seems that the brain electromagnetic fields and collaborations among frontal cortex, occipital lobe and limbic system such as parahippocampal region could be a basis of this assertion as to how mammals such as rats, bats, and humans are capable of communication from brain to brain without any obvious signals (Figure 3).

6. Mirror neurons

Some neurons of the brain, mirror neurons, are triggered mutually when an animal acts and when the animal observes an equal action done by the other animal (dear Lafargue, 2014; Keysers, 2009). Such neurons have been spotted in primates (Rizzolotti et al., 1999), rats (Carrillo et al., 2019) and birds (Akins et al., 2002). In the human brain, the regions of the motor cortex, the supplementary motor area, the primary somatosensory cortex and the inferior parietal cortex are confirmed to have mirror neurons (Adams, 2006). Mirror neurons seem to have a role in perceiving the actions of another animal, and in learning new skills by imitation (dear Lafargue, 2014). Mirror neurons are supposed to invoke actions which are observed (Schad, 2019). Furthermore, it is proposed that mirror neurons involve in the transfer of emotions, empathy, among animals (Gallese, 2001; Preston and De Waal, 2002). These neurons, contribute to the pre-conceptual and pre-verbal system of empathy, which means communication outside routine sense channels (Rizzolotti and Sinigaglia, 2008). It is hypothesized that telepathy phenomena, including thought transfer among humans, may be attributed to role of subconscious parts of brain mediated by mirror neurons (Haas, 2011), especially with regard to the existence of mirror neurons in subconscious centers of brain including cingulate amygdala, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus (Lebedeva et al., 2019). Noticeably, as previously mentioned, the parahippocampal gyrus is one of the brain regions that may be involved in the brain to brain interface (M. A. Persinger and Saroka, 2012; Venkatasubramanian et al., 2008). It is believed that when mirror neurons fires, the Mu wave of the brain is suppressed (Pineda, 2005). It is also supposed that mirror neurons are involved in the design of intentions in the brain (Sinigaglia and Rizzolotti, 2011). Brain-computer interface (BCI) is a technology that transfers the thoughts and intentions of human to a computer by analyzing and processing the magnetic field of the brain; it especially has a lot of application in severely physically disabled persons such as paralytics. One type of BCI is suppression of the Mu wave of the brain, resulting in firing mirror neurons to help control and manage a computer to induce a desirable action on the part of a disabled person (Schomer and Da Silva, 2012). The question that may arise is whether we can replace a computer with a human brain. If so, we can conclude that electromagnetic waves emitted by synchronized action potentials of mirror neurons can play a prominent role in brain-to-brain communication especially in regard to transferring the intentions of one brain to another, just as happened in Pais-Vieira et al.’s study, where a second rat that was physically and visually completely separated from a first rat, pressed a lever that caused the pellet to fall on the shelf like the first rat (Pais-Vieira et al., 2013). We can presume the action of this rat was a kind of imitation, and mirror neurons are involved in imitation (Oztop et al., 2006). This could indicate why the role of mirror neurons are seriously argued in telepathy. More detailed studies are needed to clarify the role of the magnetic field produced by mirror neurons in brain-to-brain communication.

7. Conclusion

It may be hypothesized that large synchronized outbursts of cortex neurons in the frontal lobe, an area extensively involved in social cognition in a wide variety of mammalian species from rodents to primates (Adolphs, 2001; Amodio and Frith, 2006; Cao et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2013; Eliades and Miller, 2017; Forbes and Grafman, 2010; Liang et al., 2018; Miller, Thomas, Nummela and de la Mothe, 2015; Pais-Vieira et al., 2013), produces electromagnetic fields around the brain. This field may be able to influence cortical neurons in the frontal lobe of another brain by inducing action potentials in large groups of neurons which can transmit information such as different emotions and cognition cues to the other brain. A possible confirmation of this phenomenon is seen in two studies carried out, one in rats (Pais-Vieira et al., 2013) and one in Egyptian fruit bats (Zhang and Yartsev, 2019). In both studies, action potentials were recorded in the frontal cortices of two rats or bats close to each other. Interestingly, after starting action potentials in animal 1 as the encoder, the same action potentials with the similar patterns immediately appeared in the frontal cortex of animal 2 as the decoder. In the case of the rat study, the decoder rat also performed the same action as the first encoder rat (Pais-Vieira et al., 2013). The potential role of brain magnetic particles (magnetites) may be effective in perceiving another brain’s magnetic field. It may be of significant interest in upcoming research to clarify the role of brain magnetic particles in brain-to-brain communication. The ubiquitous cryptochrome, a crucial receiver of the magnetic field, should be examined and its potential role in direct brain-to-brain communication may be elucidated by some fundamental research. The present study suggests that it is possible the extremely weak magnetic fields of animal’s brain could transmit vital and accurate information to another animal’s brain.
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