Brain simulation as a cloud service: The Virtual Brain on EBRAINS
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1. Introduction

This paper introduces cloud services for brain simulation that are now being offered on the open brain research platform EBRAINS (European brain research infrastructures; ebrains.eu), which makes scientific data, tools, and results accessible to everyone within a protected environment that promotes reproducible work. Scientific studies depend on increasingly complex workflows that are often difficult to replicate and the produced findings are often not confirmed by additional data (Aarts et al., 2015; Ioannidis, 2005). The data and the computational steps that produced the findings as well as the explicit workflow describing how to generate the results were identified as the minimal components for independent reproduction of computational results (Stodden et al., 2016). EBRAINS addresses these challenges by offering modelling and simulation services for collaborative brain research, databases with annotated and curated data of many modalities, atlases of human and rodent brains, image processing workflows, supercomputing resources, neurorheomorphometric systems, and virtual robots. EBRAINS was developed by the Human Brain Project, a research initiative funded by the European Commission with the mission to decode the human brain (Amunts et al., 2019, 2016). TVB cloud services (Tables 1, 2) were developed by the Human Brain Project subproject “The Virtual Brain” in collaboration with the two Human Brain Project partner projects TVB-Cloud (virtualbraincloud-2020.eu) and TVB-CD (bit.ly/3ogLVyb). To provide supercomputing resources, the Human Brain Project offers as part of the Interactive Computing E-Infrastructure project access to compute and storage resources of the Fenix infrastructure (fenix-ri.eu), a network of six European supercomputing centres.

TVB cloud services are interconnected and make use of EBRAINS cloud services (Fig. 1), which we briefly introduce in the following before focusing on the TVB services. Please see Table 3 for a glossary of technical terms and abbreviations. The ‘Collaboratory’ (Supplementary Note: The EBRAINS Collaboratory) provides online workspaces, called ‘collabs’, where research teams can exchange data and work together on documents, secured with access control to restrict usage to authorized users. ‘Lab’ provides JupyterLab instances for developing applications and running code in a protected environment that cannot be accessed by other users. Jupyter notebooks provide a programmatic interface to EBRAINS services, allow to execute live code and to link processing steps with visualized results and documentation. Data can be found and accessed via the ‘KnowledgeGraph’, which provides a graphical user interface (GUI) and Application Programming Interface for searching, populating, and editing the data base. The KnowledgeGraph uses controlled vocabularies and ontologies that are mapped with existing neuroimaging and brain simulation ontologies to store data in a structured format, which enables to search the EBRAINS platform for data sets and to identify relevant information (Supplementary Methods: Data integration and TVB-ready data). In addition, EBRAINS offers services for professional curation of data sets including mentoring of persistent identifiers like Digital Object Identifiers (DOI; doi.org), licensing, versioning, and setting up of data sharing agreements. RESTful APIs are used for connecting different cloud components, as well as for authentication, data transfer and control of supercomputers. Atlases provide common spatial reference spaces including a multilevel atlas of the human brain as well as the Waxholm Space rat brain atlas (Osen et al., 2019; Papp et al., 2014). The Multilevel Human Brain Atlas uses the Julich-Brain probabilistic cytoarchitectonic maps (Amunts et al., 2020) to link with template spaces such as BigBrain (Amunts et al., 2013) at the micrometre scale and MNI (Das et al., 2016) at millimetre scale, and combines them with imaging-based maps of function (Evans et al., 2012) and connectivity (Guevara et al., 2017). Linking a growing set of multimodal features, the Human Brain Atlas captures brain organization in its different facets.

What are the benefits of a cloud-based research platform? One important advantage are on-demand scalable computing resources. Neuroimaging and brain modelling workflows that are used to analyse large data sets (like the UK Biobank or the Human Connectome Project data sets) require processing power and storage beyond what personal computers can offer. On EBRAINS a network of powerful supercomputers enables to scale computing resources to the needs of a project. Another key advantage of cloud-based research is the ability for interoperable and reusable sharing of data and software, which is an urgent need as there is typically not one individual researcher doing all the work from data acquisition, analysis, hypotheses generation, model building, validation, up to writing and publishing. Rather, it is getting increasingly common that multiple teams, with team members being potentially scattered all around the planet, work together in large projects that require ongoing interaction and synchronization of data and code. Instead of frequently transmitting data sets via the internet and maintaining intricate software environments at multiple computing sites it is more efficient and practical to have a shared platform where teams can work together on datasets and run software in a common computing space. Problematically, sharing of and collaborative work on personal data raises privacy concerns: highly personal and detailed health data like MRI can be misused for malicious intents and must therefore be thoroughly protected, which is reflected in legislation like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of the European Union. With TVB on EBRAINS we created a software environment that globally implements state-of-the-art security mechanisms like encryption, access control and sandboxing to protect personal data, while at the same time workflows can be flexibly and reproducibly modified using containerized applications. These globally implemented measures for data protection make it easier for individual researchers to protect confidential data and to comply with the law. An additional benefit of TVB on EBRAINS workflows is that mechanisms for data management, provenance tracking and reproducible research are directly embedded using DataLad (Halchenko et al., 2021), which enables explicit tracking of all inputs, codes and processing steps that produced a result in a manner similar to how GitHub (github.com) is used for source code management. Having reproducibility already ‘built-in’ makes it not only easier for the scientist to understand and re-use their own complex workflows years later. More importantly, it makes it also easier for everyone else to understand and use a complex workflow or just individual steps thereof. With simple commands a reviewer, a student, or another researcher can start the entire process or just individual steps and verify the consistency and correctness of the research, or use...
Table 1
TVB cloud software, source codes and URLs leading to their main entry points.

| Service | URLs |
|---------|------|
| The Virtual Brain | thevirtualbrain.apps.hbp.eu |
| Brain network simulation | Collab (TVB-Multiscale) |
| | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/the-virtual-brain |
| | End-to-end use case |
| | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/user-story-tvb |
| | Source code |
| | github.com/the-virtual-brain/tvb-root Python library |
| | pypl.org/project/tvb-library |
| | Container image |
| | hub.docker.com/r/thethevirtualbrain/tvb-run Demo brain network model data |
| | zenodo.org/record/4,263,723#.YYRPgL1Bzxg |
| TVB Image Processing Pipeline | thevirtualbrain.apps.hbp.eu |
| Connectome analysis | Collab (Parallel CoSimulation) |
| | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/tvb-pipeline Source code |
| | github.com/BrainModes/tvb-pipeline |
| | Container images |
| | hub.docker.com/r/thethevirtualbrain/tvb_converter Web-App (TVB-Multiscale) |
| Multiscale Co-Simulation | tvb-nest.apps.hbp.eu |
| Two toolboxes for concurrent simulation of large-scale and spiking networks | Collab (TVB-Multiscale) |
| | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/tvb-nest-apps.hbp.eu |
| | Collab (Parallel CoSimulation) |
| | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/tvb-multiscale Source code (TVB-Multiscale) |
| | github.com/the-virtual-brain/tvb-multiscale Source code (Parallel CoSimulation) |
| | github.com/multiscale-code/TVB-NEST Container image (TVB-Multiscale) |
| | hub.docker.com/r/thethevirtualbrain/tvb-nest Container image |
| | hub.docker.com/r/thethevirtualbrain/tvb-nest Source code |
| | github.com/BrainModes/tvb-nest |
| TVB-HPC | Collab |
| Automatic code generation | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/fast_tvb Source code |
| | github.com/the-virtual-brain/tvb-root |
| Fast_TVB | Collab (TVB-Multiscale) |
| Parallelized simulation (multithreading) | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/fast_tvb Source code |
| | github.com/BrainModes/fast_tvb Container image |
| | hub.docker.com/r/thethevirtualbrain/fast_tvb Container image |
| | Collab |
| Bayesian Virtual Epileptic Patient | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/bayesian-virtual-epileptic-patient Source code |
| Epilepsy modelling | github.com/ins-amu/BVEP Container image |
| TVB Mouse Brains | github.com/ins-amu/BVEP |
| Mouse brain simulation | Collabs (TVB-Multiscale) |
| | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/tvb-mouse-brains Source code |
| | github.com/HumanBrainProject/openMINDS |
| | github.com/TheVirtualBrain/tvb-ready-dataset Metadata in JSON-LD format |
| TVB-ready dataset | github.com/FZJ-INM1-BDA/silabra-api Container image |
| SC, FC, and fMRI from tumour patients and controls | github.com/FZJ-INM1-BDA/silabra-python; github.com/FZJ-INM1-BDA/silabra-api Vizualizer |
| openMINDS metadata for TVB-ready data | brainsimulation.org/atlasweb_multiscale |
| Metadata in JSON-LD format | training.incf.org/collection/virtual-brain-simulation-platform |
| TVB atlas adapter | Collab (TVB-Multiscale) |
| Brain atlas | wiki.ebrains.eu/bin/view/Collabs/tvb-ready-data openMINDS schema |
| | github.com/HumanBrainProject/openMINDS Container image |
| | github.com/thevirtualbrain/tvb-ready-data Source code |
| | github.com/TheVirtualBrain/tvb-ready-data Metadata in JSON-LD format |
| | training.incf.org/collection/virtual-brain-simulation-platform |

and adapt it for another problem, without necessarily needing domain
knowledge about the used software, which helps to make workflows and
results more robust and easier to review and reproduce.

In the following we guide readers through the main components of
TVB on EBRAINS, highlighting their main features and the respective
advantages of cloud-based operation. Subsequently, we demonstrate an
detailing the inclusion of implementation mechanisms for reproducibility and provenance tracking (please see additional use cases in the Supplementary Material). We conclude the main part with a
description of data protection mechanisms, the TVB on EBRAINS shared
responsibility model, and a discussion. Technical details about the ser-
vice and their deployments can be found in the Methods section and
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exhaustive online documentation (Table 1). Supplementary material provides further information on the different components of TVB on EBRAINS.

2. Results

2.1. The Virtual Brain

TVB (thevirtualbrain.org) is an open-source software for simulating and analysing brain network models, which describe the brain as a graph that is composed of nodes that represent brain areas and edges that represent physical connections between these areas (Supplementary Note: Brain simulation with TVB) (Ritter et al., 2013; Sanz-Leon et al., 2013).

TVB can be directly used on EBRAINS from a web GUI (Table 1), without the need to install further software or to have a specific operating system, computing environment or hardware. In addition, TVB can also be used as a Python library for programming in the EBRAINS Lab (Fig. 1). Via these interfaces users can upload brain network models, configure, and run simulations, as well as postprocess and export results. TVB usage is introduced through Jupyter notebooks, explanatory videos, and technical documentation (Table 1). TVB’s main documentation is hosted at docs.thevirtualbrain.org.

Importantly, TVB interfaces with supercomputers to rapidly perform simulations that require extensive processing time and storage space. For example, parameter space explorations with hundreds of parameter sets can be simulated in parallel. The web GUI simplifies the process of running high-performance simulations as no further knowledge about supercomputer usage is required: the entire process of sending encrypted data to a supercomputer, decrypting, sandboxing processing, encrypting of results and transmission to the web GUI is handled by the software automatically without any intervention by the user.

2.2. TVB Image Processing Pipeline

Brain network modelling requires a description of the anatomical network that connects brain areas, called structural connectivity, which can be estimated from diffusion-weighted MRI data using the TVB Image Processing Pipeline. The pipeline takes anatomical, functional and diffusion MRI as input and provides as output structural connectivity, region-average functional MRI time series, functional connectivity, brain sur-
| Notation | Description |
|----------|-------------|
| access control (computer security) | selective restriction to consume, enter or use a resource |
| annotation of data | categorization and labelling of data |
| authentication (computer security) | verifying the identity of a computer system user |
| authorisation (computer security) | specifying access rights and privileges to resources; access control rules are used to decide whether access requests from (authenticated) users shall be granted or not |
| API; application programming interface | interface that connects computers or software |
| BIDS | Brain Imaging Data Structure; a standard for organizing neuroscience data |
| brain network model | a system of coupled differential equations for simulating brain activity |
| checksum | a small block of data that contains information about the contents of another block of data for the purpose of detecting errors |
| cloud computing | on-demand availability of computing power and storage over the internet |
| cloud service | infrastructure, platforms, or software made available through the internet |
| container image, containerization (software) | (creating) executable packages of software that include all dependencies needed to run an application reliably in different computing environments |
| controlled vocabulary | carefully selected list of words and phrases for unambiguous tagging of units of information |
| curation | organization and integration of data collected from various sources |
| data sharing agreement | legal contracts that detail what data are being shared and the appropriate use for the data |
| differential equation | an equation that relates functions and their derivatives (rate at which the value of a function changes with respect to a change of its argument) |
| EBRAINS | European Brain Research InfrastructureS |
| encryption | converting information into secret code that hides the information’s true meaning |
| functional connectivity | statistical relationships between brain signals represented as a network; often a matrix of pairwise correlation coefficients between region-average fMRI signals |
| General Data Protection Regulation | a regulation in European Union law on data protection and privacy with the aim to increase individual’s control and rights over their personal data |
| GUI | graphical user interface |
| Jupyter notebooks | open-source web application to create and share documents that contain live code, equations, visualizations and narrative text |
| JupyterLab | web-based interactive development environment for Jupyter notebooks |
| key (computer security) | a piece of information, which, when processed through a cryptographic algorithm, can encode or decode cryptographic data |
| knowledge graph | a data model and database for linking, integrating, and storing information in a graph structure |
| licensing (software) | providing a software product with a legal statement (license) that governs its use and redistribution |
| metadata | data that provides information (annotations) about other data |
| metadata schema | a definition how metadata is structured |
| MRI | magnetic resonance imaging |
| neuromorphic systems | electronic analogue circuits to mimic neuro-biological architectures |
| ontology (information science) | a way to organize data, information, knowledge by defining concepts, categories and their relationships |
| openMINDS | specifications for structuring metadata in neuroscience (github.com/HumanBrainProject/openMINDS) |
| persistent identifiers | a long-lasting reference to an (often digital) object (e.g., document, file, web page); one example are digital object identifiers (DOI, doi.org), which are widely used to identify publications and data sets |
| public-key cryptography | a system that uses a different key for encryption than for decryption; this has the advantage that the encryption key needs not to be communicated via insecure channels, while the key for decryption can be known by everyone (‘public’) without compromising safety |
| RESTful API | an architectural style for APIs where resources are provided in a textual representation that can be read and modified with a predefined set of operations |
| sandbox (computer security) | security mechanism for separating running programs in an effort to protect computing systems from failure or attacks, often used to run untrusted programs and code |
| structural connectivity | aggregated descriptions of the networks that couple neurons, neural populations and brain areas |
| supercomputer | a computer that is shared by many users and that provides a high level of performance regarding processor time, memory and storage space |
| TVB | The Virtual Brain, a software to simulate brain network models |
| UNICORE | interface for exchanging data and commands between different computers in a network (unicore.eu) |
| versioning (software) | assigning unique version names or unique version numbers to unique states of computer software |
| version control | tracking and managing changes to software code or data sets |
| virtual robots | computer simulation of a physical robot |

face triangulations, projection matrices for predicting EEG, and brain parcellations. The outputs can be directly uploaded to TVB for brain simulation and analysis. Users can configure and control pipeline steps from the TVB web GUI (Table 1), without needing to directly operate a supercomputer. A workflow orchestrator coordinates the execution of the pipeline and deals with privacy and reproducibility aspects. GUI and orchestrator ensure that the highly personal human brain data can only be accessed by authorized users, that they are always encrypted while at rest or in transit, and that they are only decrypted and processed inside a sandbox that is inaccessible by users of the cloud environment. In addition, the pipeline orchestrator supports provenance tracking and actionable reproducibility: the entire code, data, and all computational steps necessary to reproduce results starting from the raw data can be stored and re-run with a small set of simple commands on a chosen level of granularity, which enables easy reproduction of research results. The pipeline supports flexible processing workflows as it consists of a sequence of container images that can be adapted, exchanged, added, or removed. Containerization makes the pipeline more platform-independent: it can be executed on all similar hardware platforms that support container runtimes like Docker or Singularity. Accordingly, the pipeline serves as a prototypical example for general-purpose protected and reproducible cloud workflows.

2.3. Multiscale Co-Simulation

Multiscale Co-Simulation are two new Python toolboxes for simulating large-scale brain networks with TVB that interact with spiking networks in NEST (Geweig and Diesmann, 2007). The toolboxes
provide interfaces to couple the two simulators by connecting the programmatic Python interface of TVB (Sanz-Leon et al., 2013) with PyNEST (Eppler et al., 2009), a Python wrapper for NEST. Multiscale Co-Simulation can be downloaded as standalone container image or used on EBRAINS from Jupyter notebooks (Table 1).

The need for a high-performance environment is for multiscale co-simulations even more important than for single-scale simulations: instead of one resource-demanding simulator there are two and they need to be executed in parallel. Critically, the two simulators need to synchronize to exchange their respective inputs, which is costly because the latency of network interaction is often orders of magnitude higher than the time needed to compute these inputs. To address the involved bottlenecks, the toolboxes implement routines that optimize communication and parallel execution. The Multiscale Co-Simulation project is under ongoing development currently focussing on postulating and validating coupling scenarios between the scales, optimizing the user interfaces as well as optimizing performance. See Supplementary Methods: Multiscale Co-Simulation for more information.

2.4. High-Performance implementations of TVB

Large software products like TVB are often designed with the goal to ease maintainability and long-term development, but that often comes at the cost of non-optimal execution speeds and resource consumption. Algorithms that are not optimized for speed can be orders of magnitude slower than optimized versions: instead of taking days or weeks, a simulation can be done in mere minutes, depending on how it is implemented. Problematically, optimizing computer code for speed is challenging and a task that is largely independent from scientific tasks like postulating and validating a new model: researchers must be put in a position where they can easily manipulate a given model in order to rapidly test hypotheses. To make it easier to simulate high-performance codes, two different strategies were realized. The first one, TVB-HPC (Table 1), automatically produces high-performance codes for CPUs and GPUs using an easy XML-based language called RateML for model specification. RateML is based on the domain-independent language 'LEMS' (Vella et al., 2014), which allows for the declarative description of computational models using a simple XML syntax. The already existing example implementations can be easily adapted to test different models, without requiring any knowledge about algorithmic optimization. The second one, Fast-TV (Table 1), is a specialized high-performance implementation of the 'Reduced Wong Wang' model (Deco et al., 2014; Sanz-Leon et al., 2015). Written in C it makes use of several optimization strategies and a sparse memory layout to efficiently use CPU resources, which makes it possible to simulate extremely large models with millions of nodes even on a standard computer in a reasonable time. Further information and benchmarks are provided in Supplementary Methods: High-performance implementations.

2.5. TVB atlas and data adapters

TVB on EBRAINS provides interfaces for interoperability with different components and services offered on EBRAINS, which enables researchers to plug in different analysis and modelling tools into their custom workflows. While the different TVB components are already interoperable by design, there is a need for ‘adapters’ that enable to interconnect with other EBRAINS services like the sibra toolbox, which connects TVB with the Human Brain Atlas (Table 1). The Human Brain Atlas characterizes brain regions with a growing set of multimodal features, including transmitter receptor densities (Palomero-Gallagher and Zilles, 2019), cell distributions, and physiological recordings, based on the Julich-Brain cytotoxicarchitectonic maps (Amunts et al., 2020). Aligned with standard brain templates, the Human Atlas can be registered with individual brains to export multimodal microstructural ‘fingerprints’ that can be used to set the parameters of brain models. The sibra adapter gives direct programmatic access to EBRAINS atlas services like selecting a parcellation, browsing and searching brain region hierarchies, and obtaining maps of atlas features like the distributions of cell densities, neurotransmitters, or gene expression data. Internally, sibra connects with repositories like the EBRAINS KnowledgeGraph or the Allen Brain Atlas to retrieve the requested data, hiding the complexity of interacting with different services and minimizing common risks like misinterpretation of coordinates from different reference spaces. Complementary to sibra a viewer was implemented to visualize different atlas maps on the cortical surface (Table 1).

Additional adapters are under development that connect TVB with the Knowledge Graph and the Human Intracerebral EEG Platform to inform brain network model parameterization and to compare simulation results with empirical data. For example, it is planned to link intracranial electrophysiology recordings with the respective Julich-Brain Regions to set model parameters based on direct measurements of effective connectivity and transmission delays from stimulation experiments (Trebaul et al., 2018). See Supplementary Methods: TVB atlas and data adapters for more information.

2.6. Data integration and TVB-ready data

Another advantage of cloud-based operation is that research results from different groups can be directly integrated into a central data record where they can be found and re-used by others. This functionality is provided by the EBRAINS KnowledgeGraph, an ontology-based graph data base where data sets are richly annotated with openMINDS metadata in order to ensure their interpretability in the future (Table 1). The openMINDS metadata annotations define an exact classification of research inputs and outputs (for example, empirical recordings, software, articles, books, imaging coordinate systems, reference atlases, models, projects) against a scientific ontology or knowledge framework. To ensure data quality EBRAINS employs a team of expert curators who assist in creating and verifying that data format and metadata annotations fulfill state of the art practices for provenance tracking and data management with regard to long-term availability and interpretability of the results. Data in the KnowledgeGraph is protected by the ‘Human Data Gateway’, which controls access to human datasets through regulatory compliant data use agreements and access policies. A first example of modelling results that were integrated into the KnowledgeGraph are TVB-ready connectivity data sets in BIDS format from tumour patients and matched control participants. The data set contains region-average fMRI time series, FC, and SC from 31 brain tumour patients before and after surgery, and 11 healthy controls (Aerts et al., 2019). See Supplementary Methods: Data integration and TVB-ready data for more information.

2.7. End-to-end use case with reproducible brain model construction

Upon introducing the individual components of TVB on EBRAINS we now exemplify how they may be combined. Additional use cases are described in the Supplementary Material, especially in the section ‘Advanced use cases and training’. To get acquainted with TVB one may start by performing a few test simulations with TVB’s default structural connectivity to learn usage of the web GUI and the Python interface; documentation and tutorials explain the steps (Table 1). Visualizing the outputs for different parameter settings and fitting simulation results with empirical data (for example, using functional connectivity) helps to create an intuitive understanding of brain network model dynamics. Next, researchers may want to perform a more detailed analysis, for example, comparing individuals in patient versus control groups to study mechanisms of pathological versus healthy brain dynamics. Here, the researchers can use the TVB Image Processing Pipeline to compute individual structural and functional connectivity from human MRI data. Estimating connectomes from MRI data consists of many complex steps,
making it hard to explicitly track all the necessary provenance data to robustly reproduce a particular configuration of processing steps. Just a minor update of a dependency or an untracked renaming of a file can break the entire workflow and make a result not reproducible. The pipeline uses DataLad (datalad.org) to make its workflow reproducible in an actionable manner: all software and data are tracked in a way that the entire workflow or just individual steps can be easily re-run, archived, published and shared. With DataLad all data and code files are version-controlled and managed in a manner that is comparable to how software is managed with GitHub (github.com), allowing to capture complex hierarchical project structures and all computational steps from raw data to final figures.

When large cohorts are modelled users may find the speed of standard brain model implementations insufficient and switch to TVB’s high-performance implementations, which allow fast execution and easy generation of high-performance codes for custom models with TVB’s XML-based modelling language RateML. To inform model parameters researchers may decide to include microstructural information from the EBRAINS Human Brain Atlas using the silabra interface (Wang, 2020). Or they may extend large-scale models to encompass finer scales using TVB Multiscale to study hypotheses about brain function that span spatial scales from individual point neurons over populations to whole brain models. In a recent preprint this novel approach was used to study the effect of deep brain stimulation on a spiking basal ganglia model (Meier et al., 2021). Finally, the resulting data outputs can be annotated with metadata, curated, and integrated into the KnowledgeGraph for future reuse by the community.

2.8. Advanced use cases and training

In addition to the introductory use cases described above, EBRAINS provides tutorials for several advanced use cases (Table 1). The Bayesian Virtual Epileptic Patient tutorials showcase how Bayesian inference can be used to compute posterior probability distributions for region-wise parameter settings of TVB’s Epileptor model in order to study the spread of epileptic seizures (Jirsa et al., 2017, 2014). The approach makes use of prior distributions obtained from empirical data (for example, a patient’s structural connectivity, or lesions detected in MRI) and model simulations to take into account the likelihood for these observations. For example, estimating excitability parameters of an Epileptor brain network model yields a map of region-wise epileptogenicity to guide clinical decision-making. The Virtual Mouse Brain extends TVB with tractography-based as well as tracer-based mouse SC (Melozzi et al., 2017), which was estimated from the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas (Oh et al., 2014). Tutorials demonstrate how to export mouse connectivity at different resolutions and how to simulate strokes in mice (Allegra Mascaro et al., 2020). In addition to these notebook tutorials the INCF (International Neuroinformatics Coordination Facility) training space holds a dedicated collection for TVB with didactic use cases, video tutorials, Jupyter notebooks and example data sets (Table 1). See Supplementary Methods: Advanced use cases and training for more information.

2.9. What can go wrong? Common pitfalls of brain network modelling.

Although cloud services make it easier to run scalable modelling workflows there are several limitations to consider. Already one of the first steps, creating a brain network model from MRI data, involves several caveats. One major limitation of MRI tractography is that coupling strengths and time delays of nerve fibre tracts cannot be directly measured (Sotiriopoulou and Zalesky, 2019). Identifying and quantifying fibre tracts is based on a mapping from water diffusion to fibre orientations, which is in general an ill-posed problem as MRI voxels are too large to resolve individual fibers. Neither the orientation of fibers in a voxel can be resolved, nor can different arrangements like bending, fanning, crossing or kissing be distinguished. As a result, tractography provides only a model-based approximation of interregional coupling strengths and time delays. Problematically, these approximations are biased by factors like the distance of the regions, algorithmic choices, and individual anatomical properties (Jeurissen et al., 2019; Yeh et al., 2021). Furthermore, even if fibers could be reliably counted, there are several microstructural properties known to influence the strength of coupling that also cannot be directly measured like myelination, axon diameter and synaptic properties, which implicates that tractography results must be interpreted with caution (Jeurissen et al., 2019; Yeh et al., 2021). A related problem is node delineation and the question what is a meaningful parcellation of the brain to form the nodes of a network model? Unlike the microscale, where the mapping between nodes and neurons is obvious, defining nodes at the macroscopic is less clear. An intuitive criterion would be functional homogeneity: voxels get grouped based on how similar their activity is, which is plausible, because one model node is usually governed by one type of dynamics. However, matters are complicated by individual structure-function variability. For example, the size of a well-characterized area like V1 can vary twofold in size across subjects (Amunts et al., 2000; Van Essen, 2013), which would be missed by group-level parcellations. Similarly, the scale and the number of nodes heavily impacts the resulting model and they must therefore be aligned with the goals of the research (Proix et al., 2016). For example, the parcellation must be fine enough to be able to represent and differentiate between the specific features of the system that are related to the aims of the research. Probably one of the biggest challenges is to identify whether a given model can or cannot reproduce a set of observations, which is done in a process called ‘inference’ that works by comparing modelling outputs with the actual data and selecting the model that explains the observed phenomenon in a way that is deemed optimal. Problematically, already the related task of finding optimal parameter values for a given set of model equations suffers from the so-called ‘curse of dimensionality’: with each added dimension the space of possible model parameterizations increases exponentially (there is a combinatorial explosion in the possible values that the parameters can jointly take), making it harder to find models that generalize to the typically high-dimensional real-world scenarios in digital medicine (Berisha et al., 2021). Complex mechanistic models are poorly suited for inference, because computing the likelihood for a given observation is typically intractable (Cranmer et al., 2020), as this would require integrating over all potential outcomes of a simulation, the number of which increases exponentially with each model dimension. Likewise, complex systems are often degenerate, producing indistinguishable observations by infinitely many realizations of the same process. While new approaches for ‘likelihood-free’ simulation-based inference are under development (Cranmer et al., 2020), in practical cases often recourse is made to traditional approaches like relying on the insights of scientists into the system to construct powerful summary statistics to effectively compare observed with simulated data. A related problem, especially regarding clinical application, is that models always involve (per definition) enormous simplifications and are often based on assumptions that are only weakly justified and might be very restrictive. Consequently, the conclusions that can be drawn are a function of the validity of the knowledge that was used to build the model and the efficiency with which the verbal knowledge was translated into mathematical equations and then into computer code. Especially in clinical applications false expectations, misinterpretations and overconfidence in simulated results can lead to significant real-life problems. Consequently, these workflows may not be used in a “turn-key” manner and with the expectation that they will automatically produce meaningful results. To produce meaningful results and to adequately interpret them knowledge about modelling and numerical methods as well as neuroscience domain knowledge are fundamentally necessary.
2.10. Data protection in the TVB on EBRAINS cloud

Biomedical research is facing challenges because many methods lack technical infrastructure to protect the privacy of sensitive data. Research often involves that teams exchange and process sensitive data on shared infrastructure like the internet and high-performance computers, which poses risks for illegitimate access. Consequently, an important requirement for privacy protection is to enable secure processing of sensitive data in shared infrastructures, as the involved networks and computers can be accessed by many human and non-human users with only logical separation between them. Cloud platforms have the advantage that privacy technology and legal compliance measures can be globally implemented and offered as a standardized and certified service, which makes it easier for the individual researchers to overcome technical and organizational hurdles for demonstrating compliance with data protection law. The European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and similar international and national laws impose restrictions on the processing of personal data including storage and sharing. Problematically, biomedical data cannot be easily anonymized or pseudonymized such that all potentially identifiable information are removed, and potential re-identification is excluded (Byrge and Kennedy, 2018; Gymrek et al., 2013; Rocher et al., 2019). A principle means of ensuring GDPR-compliant data processing is the implementation of technical and organizational measures to ensure a level of security appropriate to the risk of the processing (Article 32 GDPR). To protect data by design and default (Article 25 GDPR), TVB on EBRAINS implements access control, public-key cryptography, and sandboxing (Fig. 2).

Access control mechanisms, like the TVB web GUI, hide direct access to systems where sensitive data are actively processed: users need to log into the GUI with their password and can only access data that they uploaded or created themselves or that was made available to them through the role-based access control and permission management functionalities of the EBRAINS Collaboratory (see Supplementary Note: The EBRAINS Collaboratory). Sensitive data is encrypted before upload to EBRAINS and remains encrypted at all times with the only exception being the time when a processing job is actively executed. Cryptographic keys are created ad-hoc and independently for each processing job and the system is designed such that no human gets into possession of the decryption key while the data is in the cloud: the sensitive data can only be decrypted at their final processing site by an automatic procedure. During the actual processing sensitive data may exist in unencrypted form, but only within isolated temporary memory locations that cannot be accessed by other users of the system (sandboxes). See Supplementary Methods: Data protection in the TVB on EBRAINS cloud for more information.

2.11. Shared responsibility & compliance

In addition to technical measures also organizational aspects must be considered for processing to be lawful. The GDPR describes two roles for lawful processing of personal data: data controllers and data processors. Data controllers are responsible for, and required to be able to demonstrate, compliance with GDPR (Art. 5, GDPR), by implementing technical and organisational measures that ensure appropriate security of the personal data (Art. 24, GDPR). In contrast, data processors process personal data only on behalf of data controllers, acting under the authority of the controller to carry out the processing (Art. 28/29, GDPR). When a user uses TVB on EBRAINS services to process personal data the user is always the data controller, while EBRAINS as a service provider is always the data processor, because the user is directing the processing through its interaction with the offered services, while EBRAINS is only executing the provided instructions. As data processor EBRAINS is responsible for protecting the global infrastructure with documented procedures and services on behalf of the user. As data controller a user maintains control over the data that it hosts or processes with TVB on EBRAINS, as mechanisms were put in place to prevent unauthorized access and to enable that data controllers can independently or jointly determine the means of the data processing. To use TVB cloud services a user must therefore agree to terms that clarify its personal responsibility regarding compliance with GDPR with respect to security precautions, access permissions, contact persons, personal responsibilities, monitoring, logging, and passing of information to third parties (ebrains.eu/terms).

3. Discussion

TVB cloud services were developed to lower the barriers to brain simulation and connectome analysis. They offer reproducible and protected workflows for collaborative computational neuroscience research. All codes are open source and available for download from EBRAINS and GitHub (Table 1). Software is packaged in container images that can be directly used without the need to install dependencies. Several software and data components have been peer-reviewed, and results were
published in academic journals (Table 2). To enable actionable reproducibility the image processing workflow is equipped with tools for data management and provenance tracking. All computational steps, inputs and software are tracked, and each step can be easily rerun and verified with a simple set of commands. Technical and organisational measures for protecting the privacy of personal data are globally implemented into the services offerings of the platform, making it easier for researchers to demonstrate compliance with data protection regulation. Access control, encryption and sandboxing ensure that sensitive data stays confidential. Comprehensive documentation in the form of manuals, tutorials, lectures, Jupyter notebooks, demo data, workshops, videos, use cases, mailing lists and support contacts provide efficient and didactic dissemination of knowledge and support. EBRAINS core services enable to map and organize complex projects by large remote teams into a persistent and replicable structure at a central and secure place, which makes it easier to pick up projects at a later time. The flexibility of the platform and its focus on community-driven research enable rapid adoption of advances in brain simulation and connectomics, as well as correction of errors. Technical and organisational security mechanisms are designed to provide highest data protection standards, while at the same time providing the required flexibility to enable state-of-the-art research. To keep the high quality of the cloud services, ongoing and future efforts are directed towards the continuous integration of improved community standards and best practices. The TVB on EBRAINS ecosystem can be transferred to other cloud environments within the European Open Science Cloud or beyond. Thus, it serves as a reference architecture for secure processing and simulation of neuroscience data in the cloud (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Discussion).

4. Methods

4.1. The Virtual Brain

The methods behind the main TVB neuroinformatics simulator are extensively described in several publications (Ritter et al., 2013; Sanz-Leon et al., 2015, 2013) and in online documentation (Table 1; docs.thevirtualbrain.org). To deploy TVB as cloud service it was implemented as container image executed on OpenShift, an open source container orchestration platform. This deployment serves TVB’s GUI via the web and automatically scales the number of running instances of the TVB container depending on demand. The GUI is connected with the EBRAINS identity and access management system to perform access control: only registered EBRAINS user can access the GUI and they can only access the data for which they were given role-based permission. Depending on their complexity, simulation jobs are either directly computed in the running OpenShift instance that serves the web GUI or on a supercomputer. Currently users still have the responsibility to manually encrypt their data with a public key before upload, but in a next release it is planned that this will be automatically performed by the upload function. After upload every project is individually re-encrypted with a dedicated key. Decryption only happens when a user opens a project in the web GUI and the decrypted data is immediately deleted when the project is closed or the user logs out. The decrypted project is not directly written to a file system, but only stored inside the running container. For high-demand operations that run on the supercomputer data is only decrypted after the job gets started by the job scheduler and only inside the running TVB container. See Supplementary Methods: Brain simulation with TVB for more information.

4.2. TVB Image Processing Pipeline

The TVB Image Processing Pipeline (Schirner et al., 2015b) allows users to select and combine dedicated neuroimaging workflow containers, like BIDS Apps (see Supplementary Note: BIDS Apps), into reproducible workflows that process MRI data on supercomputers while protecting the privacy of personal data in compliance with data protection regulation. Containerization makes it easier to deploy neuroimaging workflows, as they often rely on a high number of dependencies and computational steps. Users can select amongst different neuroimaging containers like fmriprep for functional MRI processing (Esteban et al., 2019), MniTrix3 connectome for diffusion MRI tractography (Smith and Connelly, 2019; Tournier et al., 2019), or the Human Connectome Project pipelines for both (Glasser et al., 2013). Like main TVB, the pipeline execution on the supercomputer can be controlled from the TVB web GUI without giving users direct access to the supercomputer. An orchestrator program on the supercomputer coordinates the execution of the container images and ensures that personal data is encrypted at all times, except for the duration of the processing and then only in the main memory of a sandboxed process (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Note: TVB Image Processing Pipeline for more details). To make workflow processing reproducible the open source distributed data management solution DataLad (datalad.org; Halchenko et al., 2021)) was used for version control and provenance tracking: all files involved in a workflow (such as data, code and computational environment) are stored within nested directory trees, which allows to explicitly store the evolution of a data set from its raw state to the final result. Checksums allow the user to uniquely identify the contents of every file, which in turn allows to verify the correct execution of every computational step and thereby full computational reproducibility of the entire workflow. See Supplementary Methods: TVB Image Processing Pipeline for more information.
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