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Introduction

During the development of multicellular organisms, numerous processes require a highly dynamic and organized interplay between cells and their environment. Cell migration, axonal pathfinding or the broad tissue rearrangements occurring during gastrulation are examples for such dynamic morphogenetic events. A prerequisite for these processes is the generation of force that drives cellular and intracellular movement and allows a cell to deform its membrane. One of the systems most commonly employed to generate force is the actin cytoskeleton.1-3 The actin filament system also contributes to development by regulating endocytosis, a fundamental process that is essential to downregulate or attenuate extracellular signaling, to shape morphogen gradients and to orchestrate cell fate decisions within a tissue. During endocytosis, actin generates the force that (1) drives membrane invagination, (2) helps to constrict the neck of a nascent vesicle, (3) aids the subsequent scission of the vesicle and (4) propels the vesicle away from the plasma membrane.4-9 Hence, it is easily conceivable that a tight regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and its intimate connection to the cell membrane is of great importance for a huge variety of developmental processes.

Over the past years, the Bin/Amphiphysin/Rvs (BAR) proteins have emerged as prime candidates to couple actin dynamics and membrane trafficking. The first BAR domain proteins that were identified are the yeast protein Reduced viability upon starvation (Rvs)161 and human Amphiphysin 1 (Amph1). Amph1 was found to be enriched in the brain and to associate with synaptic vesicles, whereas Rvs161 was identified in a screen for mutants defective in endocytosis.10 This suggested a role for these proteins in membrane internalization and trafficking. The first study that found a direct interaction between membranes and Amph1 revealed that the N-terminal part of the protein was necessary and sufficient to deform lipid droplets into narrow tubules in vitro.11 This N-terminal part of Amph1 was later identified as a novel membrane interacting protein domain, called BAR-domain, which is now the defining feature of all BAR proteins.11-14 This membrane tubulation activity observed in vitro is also relevant for the in vivo function of BAR domain superfamily proteins, as overexpression of these proteins or their N-terminal part in living cells induces the formation of hollow membrane tubules that extend into the cytoplasm and eventually pinch off from the plasma membrane.15-18

Structural Insights into the Role of BAR Domain Superfamily Proteins

The crystal structures of fifteen BAR family members have now been solved (summarized by McMahon H, at http://www.bar-superfamily.org). These studies revealed that BAR domains are basically composed of three anti-parallel coiled-coil helices which allow BAR family proteins to homodimerize.19,20 The crystal structures also revealed that the BAR domain superfamily is composed of several subfamilies, that differ slightly in the three-dimensional arrangement of these coiled-coil motifs, such as the “classical” BAR proteins, the N-BAR proteins (that contain an N-terminal amphipathic helix), the F-BAR proteins (Fes/CIP4 homology BAR) and the I-BARs (Inverse-BAR).21-25

BAR domain dimers form a crescent-shaped surface that is covered with positively charged residues. These allow them to directly interact with negatively charged membrane lipids, such as Phosphoinositides or Phosphatidylserine.19-21,26 Their ability to tubulate membranes and the crescent-shaped structure of the BAR domain dimers lead to the idea that these domains are used to sense and induce membrane curvature. A major advance in understanding the mechanism by which BAR proteins deform membranes was made by solving the crystal structures of the human Formin binding protein 17 (FBP17) and the Cdc42-interacting protein 4 (CIP4), two members of the F-BAR protein family.17,19,27,28 Shimada et al. (2007) found that FBP17 and CIP4 F-BAR domain dimers have the ability to associate with...
each other by end-to-end and lateral interactions. They form long filaments by joining end-to-end that wrap around a curved membrane, building a helical coat on its surface that is stabilized by lateral interactions. By this mechanism they stabilize the membranes’ curved shape and force it into a tubule of a specific diameter.19,21

F-BAR domains differ from classical BAR or N-BAR domains in that their concave surface has a larger diameter. Consistent with this, F-BAR proteins were found to force membranes into tubules with a wider diameter than BAR proteins.19,21 This suggests a sequential engagement of F-BAR and BAR domains in endocytosis. F-BAR proteins might induce and stabilize the initial, rather broad invagination of the endocytic pit, whereas BAR proteins might help to narrow the stalk between the membrane and the endocytic vesicle, which aids the subsequent scission of the vesicle (Fig. 1). Consistently, F-BAR and BAR proteins segregate from each other on membrane tubules, thereby forming functionally distinct microdomains.21

**F-BAR Proteins Interconnect WASP/WAVE, Dynamin and the Membrane**

F-BAR proteins were formerly recognized as a group of proteins termed Pombe Cdc15 homology (PCH) proteins. The archetypal feature of these proteins is their Fer/CIP4 homology (FCH) domain, which was shown to constitute a functional unit with a neighboring coiled-coil region, together forming the F-BAR domain.16,18,27 The C-terminal part of these proteins can include various combinations of SH3, SH2, tyrosine kinase and RhoGAP domains. Accordingly, the mammalian F-BAR proteins have been grouped into subfamilies, the best described of which are the FBP17/CIP4-related PCH proteins (FCRPs), the synaptic dynamin-associated proteins (Syndapins) and the srGAP subfamily.22,24,29 FCRPs induce tubular membrane invaginations in vitro and in vivo and participate in Dynamin-mediated endocytosis.17 Knock down of any FCRP in COS-7 cells leads to a decrease in EGF-receptor uptake.18 None of them is essential for EGFR endocytosis, but simultaneous knock down of all three FCRPs induces a much stronger effect, arguing that these proteins have redundant functions.38

A second important feature of many F-BAR proteins is the presence of a Src homology 3 (SH3) domain that binds not only Dynamin but also members of the Wiskott Aldrich Syndrome protein (WASP) family, that share their ability to activate the Arp2/3 complex and hence induce the nucleation of new actin filaments. The ability of FBP17 to interact with Neural (N)-WASP is also shared by CIP4 and Toca-1, the third member of the FCRPs, and all three proteins can recruit N-WASP to membrane tubules in cultured cells.19,24,28 Initially, Toca-1 was reported to be an essential factor for N-WASP activation in Xenopus oocyte cell extracts, as depletion of Toca-1 from these extracts inhibited N-WASP-mediated actin nucleation even in the presence of GTP-bound Cdc42 or PIP.30 In later studies, however, this model of N-Wasp activation proved to be incomplete. Takano et al. (2008) found that Toca-1- and FBP17-mediated N-WASP activation is strongly enhanced in the presence of liposomes of a specific diameter, even in the absence of Cdc42.31 This suggests, that the activation of N-WASP by FCRPs rather depends on their ability to recruit N-WASP to curved membranes than on an intrinsic ability of the FCRPs to directly activate N-WASP.

Recent studies in our group confirmed the idea that Cip4, the only FCRP in Drosophila, recruits WASP to promote Arp2/3-dependent vesicle movement in Drosophila S2R+ cells.32 Structured-Illumination Microscopy (SIM) of Drosophila S2R+ cells stained for endogenous Cip4 protein and F-actin (phalloidin) documents Cip4-marked vesicles localizing at the tips of actin tails (Fig. 1). These findings are in good agreement with studies that showed that bacterial pathogens recruit human Toca-1 to their surface to induce N-Wasp dependent actin polymerization that drives their intracellular movement and cell-to-cell spread.33 More importantly, we found that Drosophila Cip4 is able to form a complex not only with WASP but also with the related WASP family Verprolin homologous protein (WAVE, also called SCAR), and recruits both Nucleation promoting Factors (NPF) to invaginating membranes and endocytic vesicles. Actin comet tail-based movement of these vesicles depends not only on WASP but largely on WAVE function. Recently, evidence has accumulated that this previously unexpected interaction between FCRPs and WAVE proteins is well conserved throughout evolution. In C. elegans, Toca-1 and -2 bind to WAVE via Abi (as it is the case for Drosophila Cip4) and jointly regulate endocytosis in oocytes, while vertebrate Cip4 directly binds to Wav and regulates its phosphorylation by c-Abl.34

These data lead to a model for FCRP function, in which these proteins first induce curvature of the plasma membrane and recruit further FCRP dimers that stabilize this initial invagination (Fig. 2A). They form a helical coat around the endocytic pit that forces it to adopt a tubular shape with a specific diameter and enhances its further invagination (Fig. 2B). (N-)BAR proteins are recruited to the membrane tubule and help to constrict its neck (Fig. 2C). Hereafter, F-BAR and BAR proteins cooperatively recruit Dynamin to the neck, where it facilitates the scission of the vesicle (Fig. 2D and E). Constriction and scission both are processes that also involve actin polymerization, indicating that WASP and WAVE proteins may also be recruited by FCRPs during these stages of endocytosis. Finally, F-BAR proteins remain associated with the vesicle, and recruit WASP and WAVE proteins that mediate the formation of actin comet tails that push the vesicle into the cell (Fig. 2F).

**The in vivo Function of BAR Proteins—Lessons from the Fly**

Despite the detailed structural and biochemical knowledge about the mode of action of BAR proteins, our understanding how these proteins might function in a cellular and developmental context is still quite limited and complete loss-of-function situations are required to dissect the in vivo functions of BAR proteins. One of the very few BAR protein mutants that have been characterized in higher organisms is the mutant of the only Drosophila *syndapin (synd)* gene.35 As mammalian Synd proteins are specifically enriched in the brain, their involvement in
Figure 1. Model for BAR protein function during endocytosis. Schematic drawing, depicting the different steps at which F-BAR proteins are believed to function during endocytosis. (A) Induction of curvature: F-BAR protein dimers bind to and deform the plasma membrane. (B) Invagination/tubulation: By oligomerization, F-BAR proteins form a helical coat around the membrane, thereby stabilizing the invagination. They also might employ actin dynamics to generate the force for membrane invagination. (C) Constriction: (N-)BAR proteins associate with the neck of the tubule, mediating its constriction. (D) Dynamin recruitment: F- and (N-)BAR proteins recruit Dynamin, to also form a coat around the neck of the nascent vesicle, which will ultimately lead to the scission of the vesicle that is also aided by actin polymerization (E) Scission. (F) Vesicle movement: After the scission, WASP and WAVE proteins remain associated with the vesicle via F-BAR proteins, and mediate the formation of an actin tail that propels the vesicle into the cytoplasm.
impaired synaptic transmission. Nwk encodes for an F-BAR protein of the srGAP subfamily and contains a second SH3 domain instead of a RhoGAP domain. Nwk interacts with WASP and together they negatively regulate synaptic growth by mediating endocytosis of the bone morphogenic protein (BMP)—receptor Thickveins (Tkv) that relays positive growth signals from the muscle to the synapse.

The genetic analysis of Drosophila cip4 mutants, the orthologue of the mammalian Cip4/Toca-1/FBP17 proteins, supports the idea that F-BAR proteins differentially regulate various processes. In contrast, synaptic transmission and the rate of SVE are normal in synd null-mutants, suggesting that Syndapins might function differently in mammalian and invertebrate neurons. Also, Synd does not appear to be a general requirement for endocytosis or embryonic development, as maternal synd mutants die as pupae. Similarly, the functional characterization of Drosophila amphiphysin (damph) null mutant have also failed to substantiate a role at synapses. Like Synd, Damph is enriched at the postsynapse and regulates the SNARE-dependent postsynaptic membrane cycling of the cell adhesion molecule Fasciclin II (FasII).

F-Bar proteins, however, are not dispensable for synaptic development and function in Drosophila, as mutations in nervous wreck (nwk) lead to an increase in bouton number, NMJ length and complexity as well as abnormal bouton morphology and impaired synaptic transmission. Nwk encodes for an F-BAR protein of the srGAP subfamily and contains a second SH3 domain instead of a RhoGAP domain. Nwk interacts with WASP and together they negatively regulate synaptic growth by mediating endocytosis of the bone morphogenic protein (BMP)—receptor Thickveins (Tkv) that relays positive growth signals from the muscle to the synapse.

The genetic analysis of Drosophila cip4 mutants, the orthologue of the mammalian Cip4/Toca-1/FBP17 proteins, supports the idea that F-BAR proteins differentially regulate various processes. The phenotypic analysis of cip4 mutant flies revealed a distinct requirement of Cip4 in controlling cell polarization in the developing wing. In wild type wing epithelia, each wing cell forms a single hair, which is built on a scaffold of actin filaments. The site of actin polymerization is determined by sophisticated intercellular signaling of the Planar Cell Polarity (PCP-) pathway although the underlying molecular mechanisms are still unclear. Loss of cip4 function results in the formation of multiple wing hairs. Interestingly, inhibition of Dynamin function also results in a wing hair duplication phenotype, an observation that highlights for the first time the importance of endocytic trafficking for wing hair formation. Further biochemical and genetic evidence strongly supports a model in which Cip4

**Figure 2.** Cip4-marked vesicles localize at the tips of actin tails. (A) Structured Illumination Microscope (SIM) image of Drosophila S2 cells stained for endogenous Cip4 protein (red), F-actin (phalloidin, green). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (B) A magnified view of (A). Compared to other high-resolution microscopy techniques (e.g., STED) the SIM microscope achieves a resolution approaching 100 nm—double that of a conventional microscope without compromising on dyes or special sample treatment.
forms distinct functional complexes with WASP and WAVE in a tissue-specific manner to couple endocytic processes to the actin machinery. Cip4 recruits WAVE to control Dynamin-dependent cell polarization in the wing whereas it acts through WASP to regulate the Dynamin-dependent endocytosis of E-cadherin in the dorsal thorax epithelium. Consistently, Giuliani and co-workers provided evidence for the requirement of Toca-1 and Toca-2 proteins in regulating distinct aspects of C. elegans morphogenesis through WASP and WAVE.

Like Synd, Nwk and Damph, Drosophila Cip4 is also not absolutely required for endocytosis as all of these mutants are viable. Hence, different F-BAR proteins seemingly have evolved to facilitate the internalization of specific cell surface molecules by employing a common set of cellular factors in tissue-specific manner, rather than having a general function during endocytosis.

F-BAR Proteins are Implicated in the Pathogenesis of Human Diseases

So far, no vertebrate null-mutant for any FCRP has been described. Besides their well-established role in endocytosis, some cell culture studies point to a role for FCRPs in regulating specific aspects of immune system function that have also been associated with WASP function. CIP4, for example, is required for the polarization of microtubules at the immunological synapse in natural killer cells, which is required for targeted secretion of lytic granules that act cytotoxic on infected cells. FBP17, on the other hand, recruits WASP and Dynamin to podosomes and the phagocytic cup in macrophages, which is required for the formation of both structures and an effective immune response.

Also, FCRPs have already been implicated in various human diseases. The gene encoding FBP17 has been shown to be fused to the mixed lineage leukemia (MLL) gene in some patients suffering from acute myelogenous leukemia. Furthermore, a specific splice variant of CIP4 has been implicated in loss of beta-catenin-mediated cell adhesion in renal cancer and is associated with increased malignancy. CIP4 and Syndapin both bind to Huntingtin, and both proteins are upregulated in brains of patients suffering from Huntington’s disease. Huntington’s disease (HD) is a dominant neurodegenerative disorder that is caused by polyglutamine (polyQ) expansion tract in Huntingtin, a ubiquitously expressed protein with unknown functions. Numerous reports have described additional defects in the peripheral tissues of HD patients, including weight loss and altered glucose homeostasis. Mutant huntingtin impairs glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in insulin-producing beta-cells. Interestingly, RNAi silencing of CIP4a resulted in increased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle cells, potentiated by an increase in surface GLUT4 glucose transporter. This suggests that Cip4 function also contributes to the pathogenesis of the HD disease although the functional relationship between Cip4 and Huntingtin is still unclear. Compared to Cip4, the Wave-associated RacGAP protein (WRP), a member of the srGAP subfamily of F-BAR proteins, is functionally associated with the 3p syndrome. Patients with 3p-syndrome who are haploinsufficient for WRP show severe mental retardation. WRP plays a critical role in the Slit-Robo signal-transduction pathway and directly binds WAVE1, suggesting that the pathogenesis is caused by a misregulation of the neuronal signal-transduction machinery controlling the correct migration of neurons and their axonal connectivity. However, the precise role of F-BAR proteins in the pathogenesis of these diseases is still unclear.

Outlook

Our understanding of the mechanisms how F-BAR proteins couple membrane dynamics and actin dynamics in vivo is still quite limited. One of the major tasks in the future will be to determine the correct time course and the mechanics of F-BAR protein-mediated endocytic processes. How does the helical F-BAR domain coat on membrane tubules interact with Dynamin, which also forms a helical coat on the vesicles neck to facilitate the subsequent scission of the vesicle? When exactly are actin-nucleating proteins recruited to the membrane and for which steps of the process is their action required? It has become clear that both WASP and WAVE are employed by BAR/F-BAR proteins during endocytic processes, it is also an interesting question, whether (N-)WASP and WAVE act at the same stage during the endocytic process or whether they become differentially recruited at different steps. This picture might even become more complex, as novel members of the WASP protein family, such as WASH and WHAMM, have recently been identified, who also have a potential role in vesicle trafficking and might therefore also interact with F-BAR proteins and unpublished observations. Furthermore, studies in fission yeast and in vertebrate cell culture have revealed that some F-BAR proteins also interact with members of the Formin protein family of actin nucleators, an interaction, which also has not yet been in the focus of recent research.

Although most F-BAR proteins appear to participate in membrane trafficking and endocytic events, some family members appear to have evolved to fulfill very different cellular functions. The srGAP protein srGAP2, for example, was recently shown to mediate the formation of membrane extensions rather than invaginations and is required for neuronal migration in the vertebrate brain. Hence, it will be very interesting to see what other cellular and developmental processes members of this interesting protein family might regulate.
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