INTRODUCTION

Most breeding programs are aimed at obtaining economic profit via creating a maximally possible competitive product. In case of poultry breeding, it means breeding to increase the level of egg-laying capacity (number of eggs), quality of eggs (compliance with the standard for brooding and edible eggs) and quality of meat traits. Therefore, the aims of selection work in poultry breeding are defined by the tasks, specified according to the type of productivity trend for poultry – egg-laying, meat, or dual-purpose. Over the last decades, modern DNA-technologies have been actively introduced into poultry selection, which gave rise to marker-assisted (MAS) and genome selection (Wolc et al., 2016). The combination of modern DNA-technologies and classic selection approaches paved the way for the creation of highly productive competitive lines and breeds of poultry (Simrinder et al., 2013). Unfortunately, in this respect the Ukrainian...
an domestic poultry breeding somewhat lags behind novel global tendencies.

Regardless of all the progress, modern selection work is not limited to the use of commercial breeds of chickens only. Promising studies include the ones, using local and indigenous breeds from different regions of the world (Li et al., 2020). In this case, the foundation for breeding work is based on 1) the analysis of the genetic structure of populations of different chicken breeds, and 2) the search for associations between determined polymorphic loci and economic traits (Boschero et al., 2018; Chethan et al., 2018). It involves the study of both (multiple) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP’s) and Insertion and deletions (Indels) in the whole genome, and also the polymorphism of specific genes, the functional activity of whose products is related to ensuring the main physiological functions of the organism of animals (Bhattacharya et al., 2011; Heba et al., 2017; Dementeva et al., 2019).

One of the most promising types of marker assisted selection (MAS) in the context of selection with local chicken breeds is the method of Marker-Assisted-Pyramiding (MAP) (Xu et al., 2012). This approach is used for genotyping of birds by the combination of markers (loci), whose allelic variants are associated with the manifestation of similar economic traits. In future, the consistent application of MAP will help to obtain experimental populations of poultry with complex genotypes in terms of gene combinations. In this trend of studies, MAP may be presented as a combination of various objects of GAS (Gene-Associated Selection), i.e. selection at the level of one functional gene. Modeling of components of gene-associated selection opens rather wide perspectives and is actively used in selection programs of different countries with indigenous and local populations/breeds of chickens. A good illustration for the above mentioned may be found in the studies on polymorphism of genes, whose products are involved in the network of humoral regulation, and whose allelic variants are potentially associated with the manifestation of economic traits (Esmailnejad et al., 2018; Ahmadi et al., 2019; Bello et al., 2020).

The studies on populations and lines of chickens of Ukrainian selection are rather scarce (Kulibaba et al., 2018). Unfortunately, these results are almost not used in national selective genetic programs that leads to gradual withering of the potential of domestic poultry breeding. Therefore, the use of the experience of foreign scientists, actively studying and introducing modern DNA-technologies as an alternative variant in the practice of domestic poultry breeding, is one of urgent tasks of Ukrainian agricultural biology.

The aim of this study was to investigate the relation between egg quality traits of Poltava Clay chicken line 14 and Rhode-Island Red chicken line 38 and different genotypes for the prolactin gene (PRL), growth hormone gene (GH), growth hormone receptor gene (GHR), insulin-like growth factor I gene (IGF-I) and Mx gene (Mx). These studies are the continuation of our previous scientific work which was devoted to the study of the population genetic parameters of Ukrainian chicken lines by various DNA-markers. In this article is described the analysis of egg quality traits for two local chicken breeds with different genotypes by several functional genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The studies were conducted in the laboratory of molecular-genetic and physiological-biochemical research in animal breeding of the Institute of Animal Science, NAAS.

Poltava Clay chicken line 14 (n = 100) and Rhode-Island Red chicken line 38 (n = 100) – chickens of Ukrainian selection with dual-purpose productivity (eggs and meat) were used in the studies.

Feathers were used as a source of biological material. The commercial set of reagents DNA-Sorb-B was used to extract DNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (AmpliSens, Russian. https://interlabservice.ru/upload/iblock/318/DNA-sorb-B%20221217.pdf).

The amplification of fragments of the target genes involved the use of the following primers: prolactin gene (C-2402T) – agagccagcccagctttac and cctggctgtgttgtgaatttt (Alipanah et al., 2010); growth hormone gene (4 intron, MspI-polymorphism) – ctaaaggttcgaagagg and aactgtcgtaggtgctgtctg (Feng et al., 1997); growth hormone gene (4 intron, AluI-polymorphism) – gagggctgtgtgtgca and gactcaggtgtcaggct (Kulibaba et al., 2017); insulin-like growth factor I gene (promoter fragment) – cattgcggctcaggattgcagggct (Khadem et al., 2010); growth hormone receptor gene (2 intron) – ctaggtgtgtgttgtgctg (Luan et al., 2010); Mx gene (G2032A, mutation S631N) – agaggcagcccaggcattttac and cctggctgtgttgtgaatttt (Alipanah et al., 2010); growth hormone gene (4 intron, AluI-polymorphism) – gagggctgtgtgtgca and gactcaggtgtcaggct (Kulibaba et al., 2017); insulin-like growth factor I gene (promoter fragment) – cattgcggctcaggattgcagggct (Khadem et al., 2010); growth hormone receptor gene (2 intron) – ctaggtgtgtgttgtgctg (Luan et al., 2010).

The studies on populations and lines of chickens of Ukrainian selection are rather scarce (Kulibaba et al., 2018). Unfortunately, these results are almost not used in national selective genetic programs that leads to gradual withering of the potential of domestic poultry breeding. Therefore, the use of the experience of foreign scientists, actively studying and introducing modern DNA-technologies as an alternative variant in the
60 °C – for GHR; 60 °C – for Mx), elongation 72 °C, 45 s; 1 cycle – final elongation 72 °C, 10 min. The volume of the final mixture was 20 μL, the concentration of primers – 0.2 μM in each case.

PCR-RFLP polymorphism was studied for each locus using the corresponding restriction endonucleases – AluI (PRL, GH), MspI (GH), HindIII (GHR), HinfI (IGF-I) and Rsal (Mx) respectively. The restriction procedure was conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

The electrophoresis of restriction products was done in 3 % agarose gels. Ethidium bromide (0.5 mkg/ml) was used to visualize fragments under UV light (254 nm). The sizes of restriction fragments were determined using molecular weight markers M-50 and M-100 (InterLabService, AmpliSens® Biotechnologies, Russian).

The egg quality traits were registered according to Tsarenko (1988) by the following parameters: Ew (Egg weight); Yw (Yolk weight); Aw (Albumin weight); ESw (Egg Shell weight); ESth (Egg Shell thickness) (the average value between the indices of egg shell thickness at the sharp and blunt end of the egg, and in the middle); HU (Haugh unit) (Tsarenko, 1988). The measurements were done when chickens were 30 and 52 weeks old, according to the valuation requirements.

The analysis of associations between different genotypes in terms of each determined polymorphic locus and the investigated egg quality traits was conducted by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey Kramer Multiple Comparison test as an instrument of post-hoc testing. The calculations were done in Microsoft Excel using Real Statistics Resource Pack (http://www.real-statistics.com/free-download/real-statistics-resource-pack/). The distribution of sampling data was checked by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the data distribution was reliably different from the normal distribution, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was applied.

RESULTS

These studies were in line with the general theme of investigating the specificities of genetic variability for chicken populations of Ukrainian selection by the combination of loci of quantitative traits. PCR-RFLP was used to study polymorphism of target loci and determination of the corresponding alleles. The results of typing were used to determine various allelic variants in each investigated locus in the experimental populations of chickens (Figure).

The analysis of the distribution of allelic frequencies and genotypes demonstrated the absence of microevolutional changes in Poltava Clay and Rhode-Island Red chicken populations. The determined loci were polymorphic in each population with several exceptions.

For instance, locus GHR by HindIII-polymorphism in intron 2 of the gene was found to be monomorphic in chicken line 38, which confirmed previously obtained (in the other generation) results (Kulibaba, 2016). At the same time, birds of all the possible genotypes (A0 and B0) were determined within Poltava Clay chicken population. It is noteworthy that contrary to the other investigated loci, growth hormone receptor gene in chicken is related to hemizygous ones, explaining the absence of heterozygous genes. We found different alleles and genotypes in each experimental population by all the other loci.

Taking into consideration the fact that each investigated locus in the populations of earlier generations is presumably in the state of genetic equilibrium, under conditions of absent targeted selection work, indeed a considerable change in the frequency values of alleles and genotypes did not occur according to Hardy-Weinberg’s law in our earlier studies (Kulibaba, 2017). This regularity was also observed in our present study. No significant change in the frequency values of alleles of the investigated loci was noted in different generations which demonstrated complete absence of expressed form-building processes in the populations. The experimental populations of chickens were bred “in themselves”, and no reliable differences between frequency values were observed. The results obtained highlight the need for a complex approach in selecting local chicken breeds, which would involve both classic approaches and achievements of novel DNA-technologies. Otherwise, the situation will demonstrate status quo, when the only significant changes in the genetic structure by the combination of QTL are confirmed only on the stage of creating lines, while on the modern stage they are possible only due to different fluctuations (genetic drift) which contradicts the main ideas of breeding as a science, but unfortunately reflects the common trend in Ukrainian poultry breeding.

In any case, the presence of different allelic variants by each investigated locus in experimental populations of chickens helped analyze the association between different genotypes and egg quality traits. It should be noted that the specificities of genetic structure and conditions of keeping birds prevented from analyzing the association between the birds of all the present geno-
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types and experiment indices due to the insufficient number of chickens for analysis in some cases. Therefore, our tables present only the genotypes, by which it was possible to conduct statistical analysis for both experimental populations of chickens).

The results for the analysis of parameters of egg quality traits for Rhode-Island Red chickens, line 38, depending on the genotype in terms of determined polymorphic loci are presented in Table 1.

By prolactin locus, the analysis of indices was conducted only between chickens with genotypes CT and TT. The results of the study demonstrated the prevail of egg weight parameters for homozygotes with TT genotype. When chickens were 52 weeks old, the difference in terms of this index was significant (p<0.05). Homozygous chickens were also noted for higher parameters of egg yolk weight and egg shell weight (Table 1). It is of special interest that in terms of the number of eggs (egg-laying capacity), there was prevalence of heterozygous birds which had been previously demonstrated in our studies on line 38.

As for AluI-polymorphism in intron 4 of growth hormone gene, there was slight prevail of egg weight index for 30-week-old chickens with genotype CC over TT, but in general, no significant differences were determined.

As for Mspl-polymorphism in the intron 4 of growth hormone gene, we analyzed the parameters for birds of all the possible genotypes, but no significant differences were determined.
Table 1. Egg quality traits of line 38 chickens depending on the genotype in terms of determined polymorphic loci, x ± SE

| Genotype | Ew30, (g) | Yw30, (g) | Aw30, (g) | ESw30, (mcm) | ESw52, (g) | Yw52, (g) | Aw52, (g) | ESw52, (mcm) |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| **PRL, C-2402T** |           |           |           |             |            |          |          |             |
| CT       | 56.4 ± 0.79 | 14.2 ± 0.16 | 34.7 ± 0.69 | 7.6 ± 0.22 | 32.8 ± 0.44 | 59.8 ± 1.06¹ | 16.7 ± 0.29² | 36.0 ± 0.85 | 7.1 ± 0.22³ | 30.8 ± 0.68 |
| TT       | 57.1 ± 0.61 | 14.5 ± 0.15 | 34.7 ± 0.50 | 7.7 ± 0.14 | 32.2 ± 0.33 | 63.1 ± 0.69³ | 17.9 ± 0.20³ | 37.6 ± 0.53 | 7.7 ± 0.14³ | 30.8 ± 0.34 |
| **GH, AluI-polymorphism in intron 4** |           |           |           |             |            |          |          |             |
| CC       | 58.2 ± 2.01 | 14.5 ± 0.34 | 35.9 ± 1.61 | 7.7 ± 0.35 | 33.5 ± 1.27 | 61.8 ± 0.84 | 17.4 ± 0.26 | 37.2 ± 0.62 | 7.3 ± 0.24 | 31.8 ± 0.48 |
| CT       | 57.4 ± 0.82 | 14.5 ± 0.16 | 35.1 ± 0.73 | 7.8 ± 0.19 | 32.7 ± 0.39 | 62.7 ± 1.04 | 17.3 ± 0.23 | 37.9 ± 0.81 | 7.4 ± 0.17 | 30.8 ± 0.51 |
| TT       | 56.2 ± 0.62 | 14.3 ± 0.19 | 34.4 ± 0.50 | 7.6 ± 0.15 | 31.9 ± 0.36 | 61.9 ± 0.78 | 17.8 ± 0.29 | 36.5 ± 0.55 | 7.6 ± 0.19 | 30.6 ± 0.41 |
| **GH, MspI-polymorphism in intron 4** |           |           |           |             |            |          |          |             |
| AA       | 55.9 ± 1.33 | 14.1 ± 0.28 | 35.0 ± 1.04 | 7.8 ± 0.23 | 32.2 ± 0.74 | 61.2 ± 1.5 | 17.1 ± 0.33 | 36.5 ± 1.07 | 7.6 ± 0.26 | 32.2 ± 0.53 |
| BB       | 58.2 ± 2.01 | 14.5 ± 0.34 | 35.9 ± 1.61 | 7.7 ± 0.35 | 33.5 ± 1.27 | 61.8 ± 0.84 | 17.4 ± 0.26 | 37.2 ± 0.62 | 7.3 ± 0.24 | 31.8 ± 0.48 |
| CC       | 55.7 ± 1.30 | 14.5 ± 0.46 | 33.7 ± 0.93 | 7.5 ± 0.28 | 32.2 ± 0.85 | 61.0 ± 1.86 | 18.3 ± 0.71 | 34.9 ± 1.42 | 7.8 ± 0.50 | 30.2 ± 0.82 |
| AB       | 57.9 ± 1.38 | 14.5 ± 0.27 | 35.9 ± 1.19 | 7.5 ± 0.26 | 31.5 ± 0.53 | 63.7 ± 1.48 | 17.4 ± 0.37 | 38.8 ± 1.09 | 7.5 ± 0.19 | 31.0 ± 0.70 |
| AC       | 56.5 ± 0.83 | 14.2 ± 0.22 | 34.6 ± 0.70 | 7.7 ± 0.23 | 31.8 ± 0.40 | 62.1 ± 1.00 | 17.7 ± 0.35 | 37.1 ± 0.66 | 7.4 ± 0.25 | 30.4 ± 0.60 |
| BC       | 57.4 ± 1.24 | 14.7 ± 0.20 | 34.7 ± 0.89 | 8.0 ± 0.39 | 33.4 ± 0.59 | 62.8 ± 1.73 | 17.5 ± 0.27 | 37.8 ± 1.48 | 7.5 ± 0.34 | 30.7 ± 0.82 |
| **IGF-I, HinfI-polymorphism in promoter fragment** |           |           |           |             |            |          |          |             |
| AA       | 56.6 ± 1.56 | 14.6 ± 0.32 | 14.6 ± 0.32 | 7.3 ± 0.27 | 31.6 ± 0.75 | 63.1 ± 1.45 | 17.8 ± 0.31 | 37.8 ± 1.13 | 7.5 ± 0.28 | 31.0 ± 0.70 |
| AC       | 57.3 ± 0.62 | 14.5 ± 0.16 | 14.5 ± 0.16 | 7.8 ± 0.15 | 32.3 ± 0.36 | 64.5 ± 0.77 | 17.6 ± 0.27 | 37.3 ± 0.58 | 7.6 ± 0.17 | 31.0 ± 0.44 |
| CC       | 56.3 ± 0.91 | 14.2 ± 0.25 | 14.2 ± 0.25 | 7.7 ± 0.22 | 32.8 ± 0.44 | 61.4 ± 1.15 | 17.4 ± 0.31 | 36.7 ± 0.87 | 7.3 ± 0.19 | 30.4 ± 0.51 |
| **Mx, Rsal-polymorphism in exon 13** |           |           |           |             |            |          |          |             |
| AG       | 59.0 ± 0.93⁴ | 14.6 ± 0.23 | 36.7 ± 0.85⁵ | 7.8 ± 0.19 | 33.4 ± 0.54 | 63.5 ± 0.85 | 17.9 ± 0.27 | 37.9 ± 0.68 | 7.8 ± 0.19 | 31.4 ± 0.51 |
| GG       | 56.3 ± 0.56⁶ | 14.4 ± 0.15 | 34.3 ± 0.45⁶ | 7.7 ± 0.14 | 32.1 ± 0.29 | 61.8 ± 0.72 | 17.5 ± 0.21 | 37.0 ± 0.54 | 7.4 ± 0.14 | 30.7 ± 0.36 |

Note. a, b – the differences are significant (p<0.05) within the locus; no index – differences are not significant.
A similar situation was observed in case of polymorphic variants of the insulin-like growth factor I gene.

The situation was completely different for polymorphism of the Mx gene. In this case, we analyzed the parameters of heterozygous chickens AG with homozygotes GG. The results of our studies of egg quality traits for chickens with different genotypes by Mx gene demonstrated that heterozygous chickens were characterized by significantly higher egg and albumen weight in week 30. The tendency was still there in week 52, but the differences between the various genotypes were not significant (Table 1).

In case of line 14 of Poltava Clay chickens, we also analyzed egg quality traits of chickens with different allelic variants of growth hormone receptor gene. However, the studies were not possible for Alul-polymorphism in intron 4 of growth hormone gene due to insufficient number of chickens with different genotypes for statistical analysis. Only chickens with genotypes AC, BC and CC were analyzed in terms of MspI-polymorphism in intron 4. The results of studies are presented in Table 2.

The studies demonstrated significant differences by the index of egg weight on the 30th week of life (p < 0.05) in prolactin locus. Homozygous individuals CC and TT prevailed in these indices over heterozygotes CT. This tendency was preserved on the 52nd week of life, but the differences between the chickens with different genotypes were within the statistical error.

Significant differences between chickens with genotype BC and those with other genotypes were determined by Mspl-polymorphism in intron 4 of growth hormone gene in terms of egg weight on the 30th week of life. Significant differences in terms of egg weight were also determined on the 52nd week of life, and maximal differences were noted while comparing heterozygotes BC and homozygous chickens by allele C (Table 2). Significant differences were also demonstrated between chickens with genotypes AC and CC in terms of egg shell thickness.

As for HindIII-polymorphism in intron 2 of growth hormone receptor gene, a significant prevalence of individuals with the B0 genotype over A0 by parameters of egg yolk weight was noted at the age of 52 weeks (p < 0.05).

By locus of insulin-like growth hormone factor I gene, no significant differences were found for all the investigated egg quality traits, but as for Mx-gene, statistically significant differences were determined for egg shell thickness on the 52nd week of life (p < 0.05).

By parameters of egg form and Haugh units, no significant differences between the chickens with different genotypes were determined for each investigated locus within one line, the parameters were in the range of requirements to chicken assessment. The fluctuations for the egg form index in line 14 of Poltava Clay chickens were within 76.0–78.3; Haugh units on the 30th week of life fluctuated from 91.9 to 95.9. In line 38 of Rhode-Island Red chickens, the egg form index parameters varied from 77.5 to 79.3; Haugh units on the 30th week – from 92.9 to 97.9; on the 52nd week of life – 83.3–88.5.

**DISCUSSION**

The attractiveness of prolactin locus as an investigation object in the direction of studying the specificity of variations in egg quality traits is confirmed by many studies, conducted with both commercial and native indigenous chicken breeds. For instance, polymorphism by C-2402T was determined for native Vietnamese chicken breeds, while the frequency of allele T was prevalent in the experimental populations (Vu et al., 2016). This fact was also observed in our studies (first of all for dual-purpose Ukrainian chicken breeds) (Kulibaba et al., 2018). Promising mutations were found in the prolactin locus in different functional units of the gene in different breeds, the studies of associations with traits in which have been going on for rather a long time (Li et al., 2013; Osman et al., 2017). The functional relevance of prolactin as a regulator of many physiological functions of the animal organism as well as differences in the productivity direction (egg, meat and dual-purpose chicken breed) give grounds for the explanation of the diversity of the observed alleles which are related with egg-laying capacity. The involvement in the regulation of reproductive functions (egg-laying capacity) may serve as a possible explanation of the fact that during the study on productive traits of chicken lines of different breeds of Ukraine selection, it was prolactin locus to demonstrate the association with production traits practically in all investigated lines.

The genes of growth hormone and growth hormone receptor are more common investigation objects in the context of studying the associations of various genotypes with meat productivity parameters in chicken (Ouyang et al., 2008; Khaerunnisa et al., 2017). Although the relation with egg productivity and egg quality of chickens from different breeds for this gene are
Table 2. Egg quality traits of line 14 chickens depending on the genotype in terms of determined polymorphic loci, x ± SE

| Genotype | Ew30, (g) | Yw30, (g) | Aw30, (g) | ESw30, (g) | ESth30, (g) | Ew52, (g) | Yw52, (g) | Aw52, (g) | ESw52, (g) | ESth52, (g) |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| PRL, C-2402T |
| CC | 53.2 ± 0.90 | 14.3 ± 0.52 | 31.6 ± 1.02 | 7.1 ± 0.28 | 34.0 ± 1.88 | 61.7 ± 1.58 | 19.8 ± 0.98 | 34.0 ± 1.52 | 7.5 ± 0.41 | 32.4 ± 0.88 |
| CT | 50.9 ± 0.52a | 13.8 ± 0.23 | 30.5 ± 0.55 | 6.8 ± 0.14 | 33.9 ± 0.50 | 59.5 ± 0.70 | 19.2 ± 0.31 | 32.6 ± 0.63 | 7.6 ± 0.20 | 33.2 ± 0.58 |
| TT | 52.9 ± 0.70b | 14.3 ± 0.24 | 32.3 ± 0.82 | 6.7 ± 0.16 | 31.5 ± 0.46 | 60.8 ± 0.77 | 19.7 ± 0.29 | 33.6 ± 0.65 | 7.6 ± 0.17 | 33.9 ± 0.58 |
| GH, MspI-polymorphism in intron 4 |
| AC | 51.7 ± 1.16a | 13.9 ± 0.43 | 31.7 ± 1.42 | 6.6 ± 0.22 | 32.3 ± 0.73 | 61.0 ± 1.21a | 20.1 ± 0.40 | 33.4 ± 0.93 | 7.5 ± 0.22 | 31.3 ± 0.73a |
| BC | 57.7 ± 2.05b | 14.7 ± 0.67 | 40.8 ± 6.83 | 6.8 ± 0.17 | 32.0 ± 2.19 | 64.5 ± 2.27b | 20.0 ± 1.16 | 36.8 ± 0.74 | 7.7 ± 0.46 | 31.8 ± 1.34 |
| CC | 51.4 ± 0.44a | 14.0 ± 0.19 | 30.6 ± 0.34 | 6.6 ± 0.12 | 33.4 ± 0.46 | 59.8 ± 0.58a | 19.4 ± 0.26 | 32.8 ± 0.53 | 7.6 ± 0.15 | 34.2 ± 0.41b |
| GHR, HindIII-polymorphism in intron 2 |
| A0 | 51.0 ± 0.98 | 14.0 ± 0.51 | 30.9 ± 0.69 | 6.3 ± 0.23 | 33.3 ± 1.18 | 58.3 ± 0.72 | 18.2 ± 0.37a | 33.6 ± 0.50 | 6.9 ± 0.24 | 32.6 ± 1.31 |
| B0 | 51.5 ± 0.72 | 13.9 ± 0.30 | 31.8 ± 1.24 | 6.8 ± 0.15 | 33.2 ± 0.69 | 60.7 ± 0.78 | 19.6 ± 0.42b | 33.4 ± 0.71 | 7.3 ± 0.15 | 32.4 ± 0.69 |
| IGF-I, HinfI-polymorphism in promoter fragment |
| AC | 51.2 ± 1.07 | 13.6 ± 0.42 | 32.1 ± 1.71 | 6.7 ± 0.19 | 33.6 ± 1.17 | 60.1 ± 0.95 | 18.9 ± 0.52 | 33.1 ± 0.82 | 7.6 ± 0.18 | 33.6 ± 0.91 |
| CC | 51.2 ± 0.70 | 14.3 ± 0.36 | 30.3 ± 0.64 | 6.5 ± 0.18 | 32.9 ± 0.61 | 60.3 ± 0.93 | 19.5 ± 0.43 | 33.9 ± 0.63 | 6.8 ± 0.15 | 31.8 ± 0.96 |
| Mx, Rsal-polymorphism in exon 13 |
| AG | 52.82 ± 1.52 | 14.67 ± 0.47 | 34.38 ± 3.24 | 6.89 ± 0.35 | 32.37 ± 1.12 | 59.60 ± 0.82 | 19.14 ± 0.61 | 33.22 ± 0.74 | 7.10 ± 0.23 | 29.75 ± 1.78a |
| GG | 50.58 ± 0.63 | 13.71 ± 0.30 | 30.30 ± 0.57 | 6.50 ± 0.13 | 33.89 ± 0.83 | 60.39 ± 0.73 | 19.10 ± 0.39 | 33.96 ± 0.57 | 7.21 ± 0.16 | 33.46 ± 0.52b |

Note. a, b – differences are significant (p < 0.05) within the locus; no index – differences are not significant.
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A similar situation was found for insulin-like growth factor I gene. In general, its functioning is directly associated with GH and GHR, so they may be viewed as a unified functional system, which is more than justified from a physiological standpoint (Attarchi et al., 2017; Kazemi et al., 2018). However, regardless of perspectives, the phenomenon of potential breed specificity imposes limitations – it is not always possible to determine the association between different alleles of IGF-I and productive traits. The results, obtained using egg-laying hens of Turkish selection, coincide with the data, presented in this article, – no associations between various allelic variants by HinfI-polymorphism in the promoter fragment of IGF-I and egg quality traits were determined (Daş et al., 2018).

While analyzing the polymorphism of Mx-gene (mutation S631N) in both experimental populations of chickens, it was possible to analyze the association between different allelic variants and egg quality traits only for homozygotes GG and heterozygotes AG due to the specificities of genetic-populational structure. The absence of homozygotes AA in the experimental populations of chickens may be explained by the association of allele A and the resistance to diseases (first of all, to Marek’s disease), and between allele G and higher indices of egg-laying capacity (the number of laid eggs), which may have played its decisive role at the stage of line formation. However, allele A was preserved in both populations, which may have become possible due to the impossibility of dividing the animals by genotypes based on the phenotypic assessment. The regularity of prevalence of the frequency of allele G incidence in the population is generally notable for different commercial lines and breeds, the breeding work in which is conducted, first of all, with the purpose of enhancing egg-laying capacity (Susanti et al., 2017). However, a rather high, close to prevalence, frequency of allele A incidence is observed in local indigenous breeds of chickens (Pagala et al., 2017). Regardless of prevalent interest of scientists to the issues of resistance, some studies on the association between the alleles of Mx-gene and productive traits were also conducted. For instance, by parameters of egg-laying capacity, a positive association between allele G and egg-laying capacity was determined in populations of Indonesian chickens, but no significant differences were found in terms of egg weight (Sartika et al., 2011). Our studies determined the association between allele G and enhanced egg-laying capacity of chickens (number of eggs) and demonstrated the prevalence of heterozygous birds of line 38 for egg and albumen weight (Table 1).

Therefore, summing up the mentioned above, the results of the study demonstrate that there is a relation between the prolactin gene (transition C-2402T) of both lines of chickens of dual-purpose productivity direction and parameters of egg quality, i.e. there were differences in parameters for chickens with different genotypes. The loci of growth hormone (MspI-polymorphism in intron 4), growth hormone receptor and Mx-gene demonstrated breed specificity (GH and GHR for line 14, Mx – for line 38). When results of this study with previous ones are compared the following regularity can be observed: – lower parameters of egg weight were registered for chickens with different genotypes by different polymorphic loci (in this case it was prolactin locus and Mx-gene) that were notable for prevalence in the number of laid eggs. This fact is in good agreement with the general biological paradigm that for effective selection work not only marker genotypes but and specific tasks of the breeding work have to be taken into consideration (for instance, breeding to enhance egg-laying capacity or egg weight).

CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the relation of egg quality traits of Poltava Clay chicken line 14 and Rhode-Island Red chicken line 38 with different genotypes of the prolactin loci (transition C-2402T), growth hormone (AluI and MspI-polymorphism in intron 4), growth hormone receptor (HindIII-polymorphism in intron 2), insulin-like growth hormone factor I gene (HinfI-polymorphism in promoter fragment) and Mx gene (mutation S631N). Significant differences (p < 0.05) for egg quality indices by prolactin loci, growth hormone (MspI-polymorphism), growth hormone receptor and Mx gene were determined in the study results for Poltava Clay chicken line 14. There were significant differences in egg quality traits by prolactin and Mx gene loci for Rhode-Island Red chickens, line 38. No significant differences were determined in the investigated parameters of chickens with different genotypes by the remaining polymorphic loci. The results of our study may be used for elaboration of the breeding programs in marker-assisted selection of dual-purpose Ukrainian chicken lines.
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Мета. Дослідження показників якості яєць курей лінії 14 породи полтавська глянівка та лінії 38 породи род-айленд червоний різних генотипів за локусами пролактину (PRL), гормона росту (GH), рецептора гормона росту (GHR), інсуліноподібного ростового фактора I (IGF-I), гена Мх (Mх). Методи. Дослідження проводили з використанням методу полімеразної ланцюгової реакції та рестрикційного аналізу (PCR-RFLP). Результати. За результатами досліджень у лінії 14 виявлено вірогідну різницю за показниками якості яєць за локусами пролактину, гормона росту, рецептора гормона росту та Мх гена. За локусом пролактину гомозиготні особини СС та ТТ за показником маси яйця на 30 тиждень життя превосходять за значеннями над гетерозиготами СТ. За геном гормона росту максимальну різницю виявлено за масою яйця за порівнянням гетерозигот ГС та гомозигот СС. За геном рецептора гормона росту відмічено вірогідне превалювання (р < 0.05) особин з генотипом В0 над А0 за показником маси жовтка на 52 тиждень життя. За геном Мх виявлено статистично вірогідну різницю (р < 0.05) між особинами з генотипами АГ та ГГ за значенням товщини шкірулупи на 52 тиждень життя. Для курей лінії 38 показано вірогідну різницю (р < 0.05) за показниками якості яєць за локусами пролактину та гена Мх. За локусом пролактину для гомозигот ТТ є характерним превалювання значень (р < 0.05) за масою яйця, жовтка та шкірулупи. За геном Мх гетерозиготні особини характеризуються вірогідно більшими значеннями (р < 0.05) маси яйця та білка на 30 тиждень життя. За всіма іншими локусами в обох дослідних лініях курей вірогідної різниці за значеннями показників якості яєць між особинами з різними генотипами не виявлено. Висновки. Отримані за результатами досліджень дані стосовно асоціацій різних генотипів за виявленними поліморфними локусами з показниками якості яєць курей лінії 14 породи полтавська глянівка та лінії 38 породи род-айленд червоний рекомендовано використовувати у програмах селекційної роботи з метою отримання мікроліній з різними генотипами за локусами PRL, GH, GHR та Мх.

Ключові слова: поліморфізм, пролактин, популяція, кури, ген, алея, продуктивність, якість яєць.
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