Thermochronology of the Miocene Arabia-Eurasia collision zone of southeastern Turkey
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ABSTRACT

The Bitlis-Pütürge collision zone of SE Turkey is the area of maximum indentation along the >2400-km-long Assyrian-Zagros suture between Arabia and Eurasia. The integration of (i) fission-track analyses on apatites, (ii) (U-Th)/He analyses on zircons, (iii) field observations on stratigraphic and structural relationships, and (iv) preexisting U-Pb and Ar-Ar age determinations on zircons, amphiboles, and micas provides for the first time an overall picture of the thermochronometric evolution of this collisional orogen. The data set points to ubiquitous latest Cretaceous metamorphism of a passive margin sedimentary sequence and its igneous basement not only along the suture zone but across the entire width of the Anatolia-Tauride block north of the suture. During the early Paleogene the basement complex of the Bitlis and Pütürge massifs along the suture was rapidly exhumed due to extensional tectonics in a back-arc setting and eventually overlain by Eocene shallow-marine sediments. The entire Oligocene is characterized by a rather flat thermochronometric evolution in the Bitlis orogenic wedge, contrary to the widely held belief that this epoch marked the inception of the Arabia-Eurasia collision and was characterized by widespread deformation. Deposition of a thick Oligocene sedimentary succession in the Muş-Hins basin occurred in a retroarc foreland setting unrelated to continental collision. During the Middle Miocene, the Bitlis-Pütürge orogenic wedge underwent a significant and discrete phase of rapid growth by both frontal accretion, as shown by cooling/exhumation of the foreland deposits on both sides of the orogenic prism, and underplating, as shown by cooling/exhumation of the central metamorphic core of the orogenic wedge. We conclude that continental collision started in the mid-Miocene, as also shown by coeval thick syntectonic clastic wedges deposited in flexural basins along the Arabian plate northern margin and contractional reactivation of a number of preexisting structures in the European foreland.

INTRODUCTION

The >2400-km-long Bitlis-Zagros (Assyrian) suture zone in the Middle East (Fig. 1) marks the continental collision between Arabia and Eurasia. This is a major event in Earth’s history, which isolated the Mediterranean and the Indian ocean, and has been linked to mid-Cenozoic global cooling, Red Sea rifting, extension in the Aegean region, inception of the North and East Anatolian strike-slip fault systems, and development of the Anatolian-Iranian continental plateau (e.g., Şengör and Kidd, 1979; Dewey et al., 1986; Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000; Barazangi et al., 2006; Robertson et al., 2007; Allen and Armstrong, 2008; Yilmaz et al., 2010). The age of the continental collision has been the topic of much debate, with proposed ages ranging widely from the Late Cretaceous to the Pliocene (Hall, 1976; Berberian and King, 1981; Şengör et al., 1985; Yilmaz, 1993; Alavi, 1994; Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000; Agard et al., 2005; Robertson et al., 2007; Allen and Armstrong, 2008; Okay et al., 2010; McQuarrie and van Hinsbergen, 2013). Exact determination of the timing of the continental collision is crucial not only for understanding the evolution of the Bitlis-Zagros collisional orogen but also for elucidating the chronology and causative mechanisms of more general syn- and post-collisional processes like (i) the development of large-scale strike-slip systems accommodating plate convergence and (ii) the development of continental plateaux. In the Bitlis-Pütürge massifs of southeastern Turkey, i.e., the area of maximum continental indentation, high-temperature radiometric systems indicate a discrete episode of high-pressure–low-temperature (HP-LT) metamorphism in the latest Cretaceous (Hempton, 1985; Okay et al., 1985; Oberhänsli et al., 2010, 2012, 2013; Rolland et al., 2012; Topuz et al., 2017) which has been interpreted as the result of the collision between Eurasia and either Arabia or a smaller microplate. The only low-temperature thermochronometric data set available for the same region (Okay et al., 2010) (based on fission-track analyses on apatite in samples from both the basement units and the sedimentary cover) points to a discrete phase of rapid mid-Miocene cooling/exhumation interpreted as the onset of the Arabia-Eurasia hard collision. The results by Okay et al. (2010) do not rule out the possibility that the documented episode of Miocene cooling was only the last stage of a longer thermochronometric evolution and that the collision could have started somewhat earlier. This paper advances the state of the knowledge on this crucial area by integrating new apatite fission-track (AFT) and ZrHe data (this study) with other radiometric data from the literature (U-Pb on zircon and Ar-Ar on amphiboles and micas). The data ultimately provides a more complete picture of the thermo-tectonic evolution of selected segments of the Arabia-Eurasia collision zone. The results of this study indicate that the basement complex of the Bitlis and Pütürge massifs along the Assyrian suture...
experienced the following thermochronometric evolution during the Cenozoic: (i) cooling/exhumation between ca. 65 and 55 Ma probably resulting from backarc extension; (ii) stable temperatures during the Oligocene except for the Muş-Hınıs retroarc foreland basin, where the sediments being deposited underwent progressive burial; and (iii) rapid cooling/exhumation during the Miocene, marking the collision between the Arabian and Eurasian plates.

**GEOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK**

The Bitlis-Püürge Massif of southeastern Anatolia (Fig. 2) is a 500-km-long arcuate belt of allochthonous metamorphic rocks bordering the Arabian Platform to the south, from which it is separated by a narrow belt of Upper Cretaceous to Early Miocene mélange made of flysch and ophiolitic units (Hall,
The present-day structural configuration of the Bitlis orogenic wedge is largely the result of south-verging post-Eocene thrusting, as shown by pervasive deformation of Middle-Late Eocene sedimentary units, commonly as broken formations and mélanges at the sol of the Bitlis-Pütürge metamorphic rocks (Yazgan et al., 1983; Perinçek, 1990; Bilgiç, 2002; Günay and Şenel, 2002; Şenel and Ercan, 2002; Tarhan, 2002). Eocene thrusting was advocated by Hempton (1985), Yilmaz (1993), and Rolland et al. (2012). To the north, the imbricate structure of the Bitlis-Pütürge Massif is largely concealed by the Plio-Quaternary volcano-sedimentary rocks of the Anatolian Plateau, whereas towards the south the massif overlies tectonically mélangé complexes of various ages (e.g., Hakkâri Complex), as well as the thick sedimentary succession of the Arabian plate northern margin (e.g., Yilmaz, 1993).
The Bitlis-Pütürge Massif is generally considered to be the southern deformed margin of the Anatolide-Tauride terrane, originally separated from the Arabian Platform by the southern branch of the Neotethys (e.g., Barrière and Vrielynck, 2008; Stampfli and Hochard, 2009). It marks the area of maximum sedimentary indentation between Arabia and Eurasia, with widespread exposures of metamorphic rocks. In this region, the Assyrian suture is <150 km from the Late Cretaceous-Eocene Izmir-Ankara-Zerinc suture to the north (Fig. 1). These two suture zones mark the closure of the two branches of the western Neotethys (Barrière and Vrielynck, 2008; Stampfli and Hochard, 2009).

The area between the Izmir-Ankara-Zerinc and the Assyrian suture is largely covered by the mostly Plio-Quaternary volcanic/volcaniclastic rocks of the Anatolian Plateau. Paleozoic sedimentary rocks metamorphosed in the Late Cretaceous (Santonian-Campanian; Töpuz et al., 2017), crop out sparsely as inliers and are similar to those of the Bitlis-Pütürge Massif (both in terms of lithology and age of metamorphism). Metamorphism was interpreted to be synchronous with the emplacement of a very large body of ophiolite and underlying tectonic slices of ophiolite mélange across the entire Anatolide-Tauride terrane (Şengör and Yilmaz, 1981; Okay and Tüysüz, 1999). Erosional remnants of this napppe of ophiolite and ophiolite mélangé occur in the study area. Cenozoic sedimentation over the narrow area between the Izmir-Ankara-Zerinc and the Assyrian sutures was influenced by flexural processes due to the load exerted on the lithosphere by the orogenic wedges associated with the two suture zones (e.g., Huvaz, 2009). In general terms, outcrop areas of Eocene sedimentary successions tend to be concentrated to the north, i.e., close to the Izmir-Ankara-Zerinc suture; whereas Oligocene–Early Miocene successions are concentrated to the south, close to the Bitlis suture (Bilgic, 2002; Gündüz and Şenel, 2002; Şenel and Ercan, 2002; Tarhan, 2002). A large outcrop area of latest Eocene-to-Early Miocene sedimentary rocks to the west of Lake Van (Fig. 2) is commonly referred to as Muş Basin (e.g., Akay et al., 1988; Sancay et al., 2006; Hüsing et al., 2009), but in reality is an inlier of a much larger sedimentary basin (Muş-Hınıs Basin) spanning virtually the entire area shown north of the Bitlis Massif in Figure 2 and for the most part concealed by the Plio-Quaternary volcano-sedimentary succession (Huvaz, 2009).

### SAMPLES AND METHODS

Samples for apatite fission-track [AFT] and zircon (U-Th-Sm)/He [ZHe] analyses were collected along four transects across the Bitlis and Pütürge massifs and the collision zone, perpendicular to the strike of the main tectonic structures. Lithostratigraphic units from which the samples were taken comprise (i) the Bitlis and Pütürge metamorphic complexes, (ii) the Eocene sandstones of the Maden and Hakkari complexes, (iii) the Oligocene sandstones of the Muş-Hınıs foreland basin, and (iv) the Paleozoic ophiolitic complexes in the collision-induced faulted anticontinuous lines on the Arabian Plate (Fig. 2; Table 1), Samples analyzed for this paper are the same as those analyzed by Okay et al. (2010) except for an additional sample (TU-255) from the Muş-Hınıs Basin. New, multiple mineral separations were made in order to obtain enough apatite grains and a statistically robust number of fission-track measurements. This paper includes three new determinations of fission-track length distributions onapatite and seventeen new ZHe analyses on zircon. Integration of new analytical data with observations of stratigraphic/structural relationships and preexisting U-Pb and Ar-Ar age determinations on zircon, amphibole, and mica resulted in the definition of the thermochronological evolution of four samples.

Sample preparation and AFT analyses were carried out at the Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences of the University of

### TABLE 1. APATITE FISSION-TRACK ANALYTICAL DATA

| Sample | Coordinates (UTM) | Elevation (m) | Rock type | No. of crystals | Spontaneous ρs | ρs Ns | P(χ²) | Induced ρs | ρs Ns | P(χ²) | Dosimeter | Age (Ma) ± 1σ | Mean confined track length (μm) ± SE | Std. dev. | No. of tracks measured |
|--------|------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------|
| TU136  | 38S0251160       | 4260508      | Sandstone | 20             | 0.72          | 40     | 0.89   | 496       | 100.0 | 0.90   | 4293       | 13.4 ± 2.2      | 14.4 ± 0.2        | 1.24    | 51                     |
| TU138  | 38S0241967       | 4249698      | Sandstone | 16             | 0.46          | 22     | 0.55   | 264       | 100.0 | 0.90   | 4281       | 13.8 ± 3.1      | –                 | –       | –                      |
| TU140  | 37S0753971       | 4234870      | Sandstone | 4              | 5.14          | 43     | 4.84   | 405       | 91.1  | 0.90   | 4256       | 17.5 ± 2.8      | –                 | –       | –                      |
| TU142  | 37S0634579       | 4267009      | Gneiss     | 8              | –             | –      | –      | –         | –     | –      | –          | –                | –                 | –       | –                      |
| TU145  | 37S0607048       | 4277901      | Sandstone | 20             | 0.55          | 38     | 0.62   | 425       | 82.5  | 0.89   | 4219       | 14.6 ± 2.5      | –                 | –       | –                      |
| TU149  | 37S0476619       | 4240707      | Gneiss     | 20             | 1.60          | 112    | 1.44   | 1006      | 87.0  | 0.88   | 4181       | 18.0 ± 1.8      | 14.1 ± 0.2        | 1.35    | 72                     |
| TU151  | 38S0340100       | 4221763      | Chlorite schist | 102           | –             | –      | –      | –         | –     | –      | –          | –                | –                 | –       | –                      |
| TU158  | 38S0821648       | 4195176      | Sandstone | 20             | 0.88          | 53     | 1.18   | 711       | 65.1  | 1.01   | 4818       | 13.9 ± 2.1      | 15.2 ± 0.2        | 1.08    | 51                     |
| TU159  | 38S096240        | 4162747      | Sandstone | 6              | 0.53          | 14     | 0.39   | 102       | 75.4  | 1.00   | 4771       | 25.2 ± 7.2      | –                 | –       | –                      |
| TU255  | 37S0750864       | 423994       | Sandstone | 17             | 1.98          | 122    | 0.65   | 481       | 76.4  | 14.30  | 4679       | 53.3 ± 1.7      | 13.7 ± 0.2        | 1.38    | 62                     |

Note: Central ages were calculated using dosimeter glass CN5 and ρs—spontaneous track densities (×10⁵ cm⁻²) measured in internal mineral surfaces; Ns—total number of spontaneous tracks; ρs and ρs —induced and dosimeter track densities (×10⁵ cm⁻²) on external mica detectors (g = 0.5); Ns and Ns—total numbers of tracks; P(χ²) —probability of obtaining χ² value for degrees of freedom (where ν = number of crystals – 1); a probability >5% is indicative of a homogeneous population. Samples with a probability <5% were analyzed with the binomial peak-fitting method. SE—standard error; Std. Dev.—standard deviation.
Bologna, Italy. Apatite and zircon grains were concentrated by crushing and sieving, followed by hydrodynamic, magnetic, and heavy-liquid separation. Apatite grains were embedded in epoxy resin, polished in order to expose the internal surfaces within the grains, and spontaneous fission tracks (FT) were revealed by etching with 5N HNO₃ at 20 °C for 20 seconds. The mounts were then coupled with a low-uranium fission-track-free muscovite mica sheet (external detector method) and sent for irradiation with thermal neutrons (see Donelick et al., 2005, for details) at the Radiation Center of Oregon State University, USA. Nominal fluence of 9 x 10¹⁸ n cm⁻² was monitored with a CN5 uranium-doped silicate glass dosimeter. Induced fission tracks were revealed by etching of the mica sheets in 40% HF for 45 minutes at 20 °C. Apatite grains from 24 samples were sent for irradiation, however, most samples had too low uranium to generate enough tracks for a reliable age determination. Eight samples yielded apatite suitable for fission-track analysis. Spontaneous and induced fission tracks were counted under an optical microscope at x1250 magnification, using an automatic stage (FTStage system) plus a digitizing tablet. Central ages were calculated with the zeta calibration approach (Hurford and Green, 1983), using Durango (31.3 ± 0.3 Ma) and Fish Canyon Tuff (27.8 ± 0.2 Ma) age standards within grains exposing c-axis-parallel crystallographic planes.

Apatite track-length distributions were calculated by measuring horizontal confined tracks together with the angle between the track and the c-axis. Confined tracks constitute a small part of the FT population, therefore additional concentrates were mounted, polished, and etched for the analysis. Ultimately, four samples contained a statistically significant number of confined tracks. A quantitative evaluation of the thermal history of these four samples was carried out through modeling procedures, which find a range of cooling paths compatible with the apatite fission-track age and track-length distribution of each sample (Ketcham, 2005). In this work, inverse modeling of track-length data was performed using the HeFTy program (Ehlers et al., 2005), which generates the possible temperature-time (T-t) paths by a Monte Carlo algorithm. Predicted AFT data were calculated according to the Ketcham et al. (2007) annealing model for fission tracks revealed by etching. D₅₀ values (i.e., the etch pit length) were used to define the annealing kinetic parameters of the grains and the original track length. All available geological constraints (intrusion ages, metamorphic events, depositional ages, and stratigraphic relationships) and the results of ZHe analyses were incorporated into the thermochronometric modeling of the four selected samples (see next section).

Seven samples taken from the Bitlis-Pütürge metamorphic complex and the Eocene sandstones of the Hakkâri complex were prepared for ZHe analyses. Handpicked zircon grains were photographed and measured for alpha-ejection correction following methods described in Reiners and Brandon (2006) and Hourigan et al. (2005). Helium analysis was performed at the Radiogenic Helium dating laboratory of the Department of Geosciences of the University of Arizona, USA. The packets containing the single crystals to be analyzed and the standard crystals were placed in a stainless steel planchet inside a laser cell and degassed under vacuum by heating with a Nd-YAG laser for 15 minutes at 1–5 W. Helium blanks (0.1–0.05 fmol ⁴He) were determined by heating empty packets with the same procedure. The gas was then spiked with 4 pmol ³He, condensed in a cryogenic trap at 16 K, then released at 37 K into a small volume with an activated getter and the source of a Balzer quadrupole mass spectrometer with Channeltron electron multiplier. Masses of HD and H² were measured to correct the ⁴He measured ratios. The obtained ratios were referenced to ⁴He standards measured in the same way. After ⁴He measurement samples were retrieved from the laser cell, each packet was placed in a Teflon vial, spiked with calibrated ¹³⁵⁹Th, ²³⁵⁹U, and ¹⁴⁹Sm solution and dissolved by high-temperature, multi-step dissolution using high-pressure vessels and concentrated HF-HNO₃, and HCl acid (Reiners, 2005). Isotope ratios were then measured at the University of Arizona on a high-resolution (single-collector) Element2 inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometer.

### Analytical Results

Results of AFT analysis from the Bitlis-Pütürge collision zone and from the adjacent Muğ-Hınıs Basin are reported in Table 1. AFT central ages from the orogenic wedge cluster tightly between 13.4 ± 2.2 and 18.0 ± 1.8 Ma. Sample TU-159 (an Eocene turbidite sandstone from the Hakkâri Complex) has a central age of 25.2 ± 7.2 Ma, significantly older than all other samples. Sample TU-265, an Oligocene turbidite sandstone from the Muğ-Hınıs foreland basin, yielded an AFT central age of 53.3 ± 1.7 Ma, older than its depositional age. This implies that the sample was only partially reset because it never reached temperatures corresponding to the base of the partial annealing zone of apatite (~120 °C), as discussed below. Analyzed samples do not show any particular age-elevation correlation. All the samples passed the χ² test, indicating a single population of grains.

Table 2 provides a summary of (U-Th)/He analyses on zircon. All samples show a somewhat rapid cooling/exhumation through the partial retention zone. This is supported by (i) reproducible results of replicate analyses and (ii) no correlation of single grain ages with the equivalent sphere radius and eU (effective uranium). Therefore, the weighted mean of single grain ages adequately constrains the closure temperature of each sample. Most of the ZHe ages (samples TU-136, TU-142, TU-145, TU-149) cluster coherently between 44.2 and 370 Ma (Lutetian-Priabonian). The consistent results of replicate analyses of single samples indicate a rapid and widespread episode of cooling/exhumation in the Eocene. Sample TU-151 (Precambrian chlorite schist) yielded an age of 60.4 Ma, in line with higher temperature radiometric systems employed in the same area (Oberhansli et al., 2010, 2012, 2013), and was unaffected by later heating. Sample TU-138 (Precambrian gneiss) yielded an Early Miocene weighted mean age (22.4 Ma). All ZHe results were incorporated into the thermochronometric modeling (see below).

The thermochronometric modeling of sample TU-149 (Precambrian gneiss; Pütürge Massif; Fig. 2) is well constrained by (i) a 775 ± 0.7 Ma Ar/Ar age on phengites from mica schists of the overlying Paleozoic metasedimentary sec-
tation nearby (Rolland et al., 2012), (ii) mid-Eocene sedimentary rocks nonconformably overlying the Pütürge basement complex (e.g., Bilgic, 2002) (Fig. 3), and by our own (iii) ZHe (Table 2) and (iv) AFT (Table 1) analyses. Following Late Cretaceous metamorphism, the sample underwent fairly rapid cooling and exhumation to near-surface conditions induced by extensional tectonics (Fig. 3B). This interpretation is supported by field stratigraphic relationships as the Precambrian gneisses in the area are nonconformably overlain by the Maden Complex, a thick volcano-sedimentary succession deposited in a short-lived (Middle-Late Eocene) backarc basin (Yiğitbaş and Yılmaz, 1996). Deposition of the Maden Complex induced progressive burial heating of basement sample TU-149 between ca. 45 and 39 Ma. Such burial heating is constrained by the ZHe analyses indicating that the sample cooled below 200 °C in the Late Eocene (Table 2; Fig. 3B). The Oligocene thermal evolution of the sample is rather flat until ca. 19 Ma (Burdigalian), when the best-fit curve derived from the study of the apatite fission-track length distribution (Fig. 3B) shows a sudden increase in the cooling rate.

Thermochronometric modeling of sample TU-136 (Paleozoic metasandstone; central Bitlis Massif; Fig. 2) is constrained by (i) two Late Cretaceous (Campanian; 84.4–73.8 Ma) (Oberhänsli et al., 2012, 2013) metamorphic ages from similar rock units along tectonic strike to the southeast (Table 3), (ii) Middle-Late Eocene sedimentary rocks nonconformably overlying the Bitlis basement complex (e.g., Tarhan, 2002) (Fig. 4), and by our own (iii) ZHe analyses (Table 2) and (iv) AFT analysis (Table 1). The track-length frequency distribution is platikurtic—the result of a long residence time in the partial annealing zone—with abundant long tracks (15–17 μm) indicating a later phase of rapid cooling (Fig. 4C). The thermochronometric evolution of this metasedimentary sample from the Bitlis Massif is similar to the one described above for the Precambrian gneisses of the Pütürge Massif. Again, after Late Cretaceous metamorphism, the sample was rapidly exhumed to the surface, as shown by the Middle-Late Eocene nonconformable sedimentary cover of the Kızılağaç Formation and its equivalents, including the Maden Complex of the western Bitlis-Pütürge Massif (Şengör et al., 2008). Results of ZHe analyses constrain further the statistical model and pre-

| Sample | Raw age ± 2σ (Ma) | R_s (mm) | U (ppm) | Th (ppm) | 4He (nmol/g) | eU (ppm) | F_t 231U | F_t 232U | F_t 233Th | Fully F_t corrected age ± σ (Ma) |
|--------|------------------|----------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------------------|
| TU136  |                  |          |         |         |             |         |         |         |         |                                  |
| TU136_Zr2 | 29.1 ± 2.2      | 68       | 436     | 109     | 73          | 461.41  | 0.82    | 0.79    | 0.79    | 35.6 ± 1.3                      |
| TU136_Zr3 | 32.3 ± 2.4      | 43       | 482     | 47      | 86          | 493.00  | 0.72    | 0.68    | 0.68    | 44.7 ± 1.6                      |
| TU138  |                  |          |         |         |             |         |         |         |         |                                  |
| TU138_Zr1 | 18.5 ± 0.4      | 48       | 125     | 100     | 15          | 148.07  | 0.75    | 0.71    | 0.71    | 25.0 ± 0.3                      |
| TU138_Zr2 | 14.6 ± 0.4      | 50       | 165     | 94      | 15          | 187.12  | 0.76    | 0.72    | 0.72    | 19.5 ± 0.3                      |
| TU138_Zr4 | 16.4 ± 1.2      | 44       | 180     | 129     | 19          | 210.18  | 0.73    | 0.69    | 0.69    | 22.7 ± 0.8                      |
| TU142  |                  |          |         |         |             |         |         |         |         |                                  |
| TU142_Zr2 | 34.3 ± 1.0      | 46       | 290     | 166     | 61          | 329.27  | 0.74    | 0.70    | 0.70    | 46.7 ± 0.7                      |
| TU142_Zr3 | 31.0 ± 0.8      | 39       | 242     | 104     | 45          | 266.80  | 0.70    | 0.66    | 0.66    | 44.8 ± 0.6                      |
| TU142_Zr4 | 27.7 ± 1.2      | 37       | 848     | 1087    | 165         | 1103.95 | 0.68    | 0.64    | 0.64    | 41.1 ± 0.5                      |
| TU145  |                  |          |         |         |             |         |         |         |         |                                  |
| TU145_Zr1 | 27.9 ± 0.8      | 48       | 2168    | 1339    | 374         | 2482.57 | 0.75    | 0.71    | 0.71    | 37.6 ± 0.5                      |
| TU145_Zr2 | 25.8 ± 0.6      | 47       | 2572    | 1405    | 404         | 2901.92 | 0.74    | 0.71    | 0.71    | 34.9 ± 0.4                      |
| TU145_Zr3 | 26.7 ± 0.8      | 39       | 1763    | 1101    | 292         | 2022.16 | 0.70    | 0.66    | 0.66    | 38.6 ± 0.5                      |
| TU149  |                  |          |         |         |             |         |         |         |         |                                  |
| TU149_Zr1 | 22.7 ± 0.6      | 31       | 1266    | 153     | 160         | 1302.21 | 0.63    | 0.58    | 0.58    | 36.3 ± 0.5                      |
| TU149_Zr2 | 26.0 ± 0.8      | 35       | 958     | 162     | 140         | 995.75  | 0.67    | 0.62    | 0.62    | 39.0 ± 0.6                      |
| TU151  |                  |          |         |         |             |         |         |         |         |                                  |
| TU151_Zr1 | 53.3 ± 1.4      | 58       | 188     | 63      | 58          | 202.21  | 0.790   | 0.759   | 0.759   | 67.7 ± 0.9                      |
| TU151_Zr2 | 37.9 ± 1.2      | 36       | 301     | 49      | 64          | 312.14  | 0.675   | 0.630   | 0.630   | 56.4 ± 0.8                      |
| TU151_Zr3 | 46.8 ± 1.4      | 68       | 572     | 109     | 151         | 597.02  | 0.820   | 0.794   | 0.794   | 57.2 ± 0.8                      |
| TU155  |                  |          |         |         |             |         |         |         |         |                                  |
| TU155_Zr3 | 17.5 ± 0.4      | 36       | 327     | 263     | 37          | 389.14  | 0.672   | 0.628   | 0.628   | 26.3 ± 0.3                      |

Note: F_t —retentivity of alpha particle in a sphere of varying radius; R_s —equivalent sphere radius.
scribe a phase of burial heating during the Middle Eocene (Fig. 4B). The entire duration of the Oligocene (and Early Miocene) is characterized by the residence of the sample at stable temperatures, corresponding to the base of the partial annealing zone of apatite (~120 °C). A sudden increase in the rate of cooling occurred at 15–12 Ma (Middle Miocene) depending on whether we consider the mean or best-fit curve (Fig. 4B).

An Early Oligocene sandstone sample (TU-255) from the lower portion of the Muş foreland basin fill (Yazledere Formation, Figs. 2 and 3) yielded a broad single-grain age distribution (Fig. 4E) and a bimodal track-length distribution (Fig. 4G), indicating a complex thermal history. AFT central age for this sample is 53.2 Ma (Table 1), i.e., older than its depositional age. This implies that the sample has not been completely reset, thus partially retaining the original
| Sample          | Rock type          | Coordinates (UTM) | Dated mineral | Method          | Age (Ma) ± σ (Ma) |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Bitlis Massif   |                    |                   |               |                 |                   |
| VAN 26^        | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 69.8 ± 0.4        |
| VAN 27^        | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 69.2 ± 0.7        |
| VAN 29^        | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 68.8 ± 2.2        |
| VAN 36^        | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 68.0 ± 0.7        |
| VAN 75^        | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 73.8 ± 7.7        |
| VAN 75A^       | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 73.8 ± 7.7        |
| VAN 76^        | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 76.0 ± 0.7        |
| VAN 77^        | metapelite–muscovite | 40Ar/39Ar         | muscovite     |                 | 78.8 ± 0.6        |
| VAN 75^        | metapelite–phengite | 40Ar/39Ar         | phengite      |                 | 73.6 ± 4.4        |
| B157-1^        | eclogite           |                   | zircon        | U–Pb            | 82.4 ± 0.9        |
| B157-2^        | eclogite           |                   | zircon        | U–Pb            | 84.4 ± 0.9        |
| Pütürge Massif |                    |                   |               |                 |                   |
| Loc28^         | mica schist        | 37N 477060.9       | phengite      | 40Ar/39Ar       | 775 ± 0.7         |
| Loc59^         | amphibolites       | 37N 431046.1       | amphibole     | 40Ar/39Ar       | 47.1 ± 1.2        |
| 13TK51^        | augen gneiss       |                   | zircon        | U–Pb            | 551 ± 6           |
| 13TK54^        | augen gneiss       |                   | zircon        | U–Pb            | 544 ± 4           |
| Sample^        | mica schist        |                   | whole–rock    | K–Ar            | 71.2 ± 3.6        |
| dk704^         | metagranitic gneiss|                   | zircon        | U–Pb            | 84.2 ± 1.1        |
| dk173,8^       | metapletic schist  |                   | biotite       | 40Ar/39Ar       | 83.21 ± 0.07      |
| Baskil granitoids |                |                   |               |                 |                   |
| FK08-33^       | granodiorite       | N37°37′46.7″       | apatite       | fission track   | 48.39 ± 8.92      |
| FK08-36^       | granodiorite       | N38°38′11.1″       | apatite       | fission track   | 50.29 ± 9.09      |
| FK-06^         | granite            | N36°29′43.9″       | apatite       | fission track   | 40.17 ± 5.14      |
| FK08-38^       | granodiorite       | N38°49′10.5″       | apatite       | fission track   | 50.55 ± 5.64      |
| Maiden Complex |                    |                   |               |                 |                   |
| Loc46^         | gabbro             | 37N 484693.9       | amphibole     | 40Ar/39Ar       | 79.9 ± 0.4        |
| Loc46(duplicate)^ |                | 37N 484693.9       | amphibole     | 40Ar/39Ar       | 77.5 ± 0.7        |
| Keban-Malatya  |                    |                   |               |                 |                   |
| Loc49^         | marble             | 37N 476355.5       | muscovite     | 40Ar/39Ar       | 73.0 ± 0.5        |
| Ophiolite      |                    |                   |               |                 |                   |
| FK10^          | rhyolite           |                   | zircon        | U–Pb            | 74.6 ± 4.4        |
| FK48^          | rhyolite           |                   | zircon        | U–Pb            | 83.1 ± 2.2        |

^aOberhansli et al. (2012)
^bOberhansli et al. (2010)
^cRolland et al. (2012)
^dBeyarslan et al. (2016)
^eKaraoglan et al. (2013)
^fHempton (1985)
^gOberhansli et al. (2013)
^hKiliç and Ateş (2015)
^iKaraoglan et al. (2016)
Figure 4. Summary of analytical results for samples TU-136 (Precambrian gneiss; Bitlis Massif) and TU-255 (Oligocene sandstone; Muş-Hınıs Basin). See Figure 2 for location. (A and E) Radial plots of single-grain apatite fission-track (AFT) ages. (B and F) Time-temperature paths obtained from integrated inverse modeling of AFT data (this study), (U-Th)/He analyses on zircons (this study), Ar-Ar analysis on biotites, and U/Pb on zircons (Kılıç and Ates, 2015). Green areas mark envelopes of statistically acceptable fit, and the thicker lines correspond to the most probable thermal histories: red line is the mean of all statistically acceptable paths; blue line is the best-fit T-t path. Parameters related to inverse modeling are reported: GOF, goodness-of-fit gives an indication about the fit between observed and predicted data (values closer to 1 are best). (C and G) Histogram showing the confined-track length distributions of apatite grains. (D) Geological cross-section of the central Bitlis Massif (redrawn from Yazgan et al., 1983). See Figure 2 for location of trace of section.
thermal signature of the sediment source rocks contributing detritus to the Muş-Hınıs Basin. In such cases, central ages are hardly significant and only the statistical modeling of FT length distributions can constrain the $T$-$t$ path. Inverse modeling (Fig. 4F) depicts clearly a phase of post-depositional heating (ca. 28–16 Ma; Late Oligocene–Early Miocene), likely resulting from progressive sedimentary burial, followed by mid-Miocene rapid cooling/exhumation starting at ca. 15 Ma.

Sample TU-155 (Eocene sandstone from the Hakkâri Complex mélangé; Fig. 2) shows a fairly tight single-grain age distribution and a leptokurtic and unimodal track-length distribution (Figs. 5A, 5C). This translates in a simple thermochronometric evolution (Fig. 5B). The best-fit curve (Fig. 5B) shows (i) a phase of progressive heating ranging from deposition to ca. 29 Ma (latest Early Oligocene), followed by a phase of rather stable temperatures (29–13 Ma), in turn followed by rapid uplift starting in the mid-Miocene at ca. 12 Ma.

In summary, modeled samples come from a variety of rock types and tectonostratigraphic units, ranging from (i) polymetamorphosed Precambrian basement and (ii) its Paleozoic metasedimentary cover, to (iii) Eocene sediments incorporated in the frontal part of the Bitlis orogenic wedge and
(iv) Early Oligocene foreland deposits from the Muş-Hınıs Basin north of the Bitlis-Pütürge Massif. Despite such heterogeneity, all analyzed samples point to a coherent thermochronometric history. Most remarkably, they show rather flat T-t paths during the Oligocene in the orogenic wedge and a sudden increase in the cooling/exhumation rate in the mid-Miocene, both in the orogenic wedge and the adjacent foreland.

**DISCUSSION**

The areal distribution of radiometric ages (Fig. 6) in the Bitlis collision zone and its European foreland provides important clues as to the strain distribution pattern through time. Lower temperature radiometric systems (AFT and ZHe) yielded younger ages along a narrow belt coincident with the Bitlis-Pütürge
in the central portion of the belt, AFT central ages cluster tightly between 13.4 ± 2.2 and 14.6 ± 2.5 Ma, i.e., in the mid-Miocene. This cluster resulted from the rapid passage of the samples across the apatite partial annealing zone (~120–60 °C) and registered the last significant cooling/exhumation event suffered by the analyzed rock units. Integrated statistical modeling of all available thermochronological constraints (Ar/Ar, Rb/Sr, U/Pb, ZHe, AFT, stratigraphic relationships) confirm the importance of this sharp and discrete mid-Miocene cooling episode (Figs. 3–5).

AFT central ages from samples taken north of the Bitlis collision zone range consistently between 48.8 and 35.9 Ma (Middle-Late Eocene), not only in the study area but across a wide area comprising most of the eastern Anatolian plateau (Albino et al., 2014), and were not affected by later cooling/exhumation. Middle-Late Eocene cooling is coeval with final closure of the northern Neotethyan branch and the development of the İzmir-Ankara-Erzincan suture zone (Okay and Tüysüz, 1999; Stampfl and Hochard, 2009). In southern Anatolia there is evidence of Eocene extensional tectonics, including the opening of a backarc basin and deposition of a thick volcanosedimentary succession, the Maden Complex (e.g., Yiğitbaş and Yilmaz, 1996; Robertson et al., 2006; Karaoğlan et al., 2016). The relative chronology of these two contrasting tectonic regimes during the Eocene (shortening in central eastern Anatolia and extension in southern eastern Anatolia) has not yet been resolved and requires much additional work.

Results yielded by radiometric systems characterized by a higher closure temperature are age coherent and do not show any areal variation (Fig. 6). In fact, metamorphic rocks of the Bitlis-Pütürge Massif, as well as the other scattered outcrops of metamorphic rocks farther to the north all yielded Late Cretaceous (Campanian-Maastrichtian) metamorphic ages (Hempton, 1985; Oberhänslí et al., 2010, 2012, 2013; Karaoğlan et al., 2013; Rolland et al., 2012). Recent radiometric data by Topuz et al. (2017) indicate that the entire width of the eastern Anatolian Plateau, from the Erzincan-Sevan-Akera suture zone to the north to the Bitlis suture zone to the south, bears the marks of such Late Cretaceous metamorphic event. This implies that the cause of such metamorphism is not to be searched along the Bitlis collision zone.

The stratigraphy of the northermost sector of the Arabian platform provides a compelling record of the tectonic evolution of the adjacent Bitlis-Pütürge orogenic prism as it has been the lower plate of the Arabia-Eurasia subduction/collision zone during the entire Cenozoic. Two coarse-grained clastic inputs punctuate the stratigraphy of the northern Arabian platform south of the collision zone. The first one occurred in the Late Cretaceous (Late Campanian-Maastrichtian: Antak Formation, Tanjero Formation, and equivalents) and was related to the creation of structural relief, lithospheric flexure, and creation of accommodation resulting from widespread ophiolite obduction over the Arabian platform and related crustal shortening. Such a discrete and important episode of ophiolite obduction along the Anatolide-Tauride and Arabian northern continental margins has been described from western Anatolia to Oman (e.g., Coleman, 1981; Okay et al., 2001; Robertson, 2002) and is discussed further below. The second influx of coarse-grained clastics occurred in the Late Miocene (Şelmo Formation and its lateral equivalents) and is commonly interpreted as marking the onset of hard collision. These two clastic intervals are separated by Paleogene carbonate sediments and no coherent collision-related foreland basin stratigraphy for the Oligocene can be outlined (Fig. 7). If the Arabia-Eurasia collision took place in the Oligocene one would expect the presence of large volumes of orogen-derived sediments on the flexured lower (Arabian) plate, whereas the Oligocene succession south of the Bitlis-Pütürge orogenic prism lacks any evidence of synorogenic sedimentation (see also Robertson et al., 2016). The Oligocene stratigraphic hiatus (considered by some as evidence of collision-related tectonic deformation) may well be explained by the Oligocene eustatic sea level lowstand, one of the largest in Earth's history. Miller et al. (2008) concluded that a glacioeustatic sea level lowering of 55 m occurred in the Early Oligocene (35.7–33.5 Ma). Such sea level fall produced dramatic paleoenvironmental and stratigraphic changes in the Arabian flatlands (Nairn and Alsharhan, 1997; Jassim and Goff, 2006) and can account for the widespread Oligocene nondepositional hiatus. Therefore, we conclude that there is no stratigraphic evidence on the Arabian margin for an Oligocene collision with the Anatolide-Tauride terrane to the north. The thermochronometric reconstructions presented here (Figs. 3–5) underline the absence of significant Oligocene cooling/exhumation along the southern margin of the Anatolide-Tauride terrane and point instead to rapid cooling/exhumation in the Miocene, in agreement with field stratigraphic and structural relationships. A subsidence curve from the portion of the Mug-Hınıs retroarc foreland basin north of Lake Van also show a discrete episode of uplift in the mid-Miocene within the overall context of protracted subsidence typical of upper-plate (retroarc) foreland basins (Fig. 8).

Geological field evidence indicates that a large area south of the Erzincan-Sevan suture was covered by a series of large obducted ophiolites by mid-Campanian time. These took the form of either large, relatively coherent slabs now cropping out as klippen or widespread mélangé bodies (Biligic, 2002; Günay and Şenel, 2002; Şenel and Ercan, 2002; Tarhan, 2002). At the same time, the same area experienced HP-LT metamorphism (Hempton, 1985; Okay et al., 1985; Oberhänslí et al., 2010, 2012, 2013; Rolland et al., 2012; Topuz et al., 2017). For example, across the Pütürge Massif the basement complex and the metamorphic sole of the overlying ophiolitic nappes yielded virtually the same Late Cretaceous metamorphic ages [77.5 ± 0.7 Ma (Ar/Ar on phengites) and 78.7 ± 1.0 Ma (Ar/Ar on amphibole), respectively] (Fig. 3D; Table 3). North of the Pütürge Massif, other scattered inliers of metasedimentary rocks interspersed within the widespread volcano-sedimentary cover of the Anatolian Plateau yielded consistent Late Cretaceous metamorphic ages (Topuz et al., 2017). The radiometric data set is far from being complete, but the picture emerging in eastern Anatolia is one of a coherent metamorphic event across the entire area located between the Erzincan-Sevan suture to the north and the Assyrian suture to the south. This metamorphism is coeval with massive southward ophiolite obduction from the northern branch of the Neotethys onto the Anatolide-Tauride terrane (Stampfl and Hochard, 2009) (Fig. 8A).
In the past, the pre-Neogene basement of the Eastern Anatolia plateau has been interpreted as consisting largely of an accretionary prism spanning (north to south) the distance from the eastern Pontides to the Bitlis collision zone (Eastern Anatolia accretionary complex [EAAC]; Şengör and Yılmaz, 1981; Şengör et al., 2003). The EAAC concept has had wide resonance and is now ingrained in the scientific literature. However, recent field and labora-
tory data indicate that eastern Anatolia is instead characterized by continental assemblages that underwent high-temperature and medium-pressure metamorphism at middle- to lower-crustal depths during the Late Cretaceous (Yılmaz et al., 2010; Topuz et al., 2017), as discussed above. These continental assemblages are tectonically overlain by disrupted ophiolites or ophiolitic mélanges obducted in the Late Cretaceous and then pushed southward during
the closure of the northern branch of the Neotethys and the ensuing development of the Izmir-Ankara-Erzincan suture zone (e.g., Okay and Tüysüz, 1999). The synchronicity of obduction and widespread metamorphism as well as the structural relationship between the ophiolites and the underlying continental basement support the hypothesis that the emplacement of a large ophiolitic nappe complex was responsible for diffuse deformation and metamorphism of the underlying Paleozoic sedimentary cover of the Proterozoic basement complex of the Anatolide-Tauride terrane. According to Topuz et al. (2017), there is no indication of major strike-slip faults which might have interposed the continental fragments within the ophiolites and hence the scattered metamorphic inliers are interpreted to be the evidence of a more or less continuous continental substrate overthrust by the ophiolitic nappe complex. Ophiolite obduction took place in the Campanian (Fig. 9A), but southward tectonic transport of ophiolitic nappes (possibly including also sections of the underlying metamorphosed Paleozoic sedimentary cover of the Anatolide-Tauride terrane) continued during the Maastrichtian and the Paleocene (Fig. 9B) as the collision between the Anatolide-Tauride and Sakarya terranes was progressing. By the Middle Eocene (Fig. 9C) collision along the Izmir-Ankara-Erzincan suture zone was complete and subduction jumped to the southern margin of the Anatolide-Tauride terrane. The convergence rate between Africa and the southern margin of Eurasia (actually a collage of Gondwana-derived exotic terranes) had decreased, the subducting slab was affected by roll-back, and the upper plate underwent extension. Such extension is recorded by the Maden Complex, a mid-Eocene volcano-sedimentary succession developed in a back-arc basin (Yiğitbaş and Yılmaz, 1996) and presently occurring as intensely deformed tectonostratigraphic units within the Bitlis-Pütürge orogenic wedge. Widespread extension along the southern margin of the Anatolide-Tauride terrane as recorded by the Maden basin(s) is hardly compatible with the notion of an Eocene Arabia-Eurasia collision. Low-temperature thermochronological data for the Eurasian foreland north of the Bitlis-Pütürge suture zone suggest that the tectonic stresses related to the Arabian collision were transmitted efficiently over large distances, focusing preferentially at rheological discontinuities located as far as the Eastern Pontides and the Lesser Caucasus (Albino et al., 2014; Cavazza et al., 2017). Stress focused either (i) along the marked rheological difference between the
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polydeformed continental lithosphere of the Eastern Pontides and the relatively pristine quasi-oceanic lithosphere of the eastern Black Sea or (ii) along properly oriented segments of the Erzincan-Sevan-Akera suture zone. Since the late Middle Miocene, a new tectonic regime has been active. The westward translation of Anatolia currently accommodates most of the Arabia-Eurasia convergence, thus decoupling the foreland from the orogenic wedge and precluding efficient northward stress transfer. As soon as the two plates were mechanically coupled, the inception of the Northern and Eastern Anatolian fault systems absorbed much of the plate convergence. Tectonic escape of Anatolia was preconditioned and facilitated by slab rollback along the Aegean subduction zone (Jolivet and Brun, 2010).

CONCLUSIONS

Noble gas and fission-track thermochronometric data—integrated with radiometric data from the literature and the analysis of field stratigraphic and structural relationships—constrain the overall thermal history of the Bitlis-Pütürge metamorphic complex, i.e., the area of maximum indentation along the Assyrian-Zagros continental collision zone. The data set indicates widespread latest Cretaceous metamorphism of a passive margin sedimentary sequence and its igneous basement along the suture zone and across the entire width of the Anatolia-Tauride block north of the suture. This metamorphism is likely related to extensive southward obduction of oceanic lithosphere from the
northern branch of the Neotethys onto the northern continental margin of the Anatolide-Tauride block. Evidence for this interpretation lies in the occurrence of Late Cretaceous metamorphic rocks extending from the Izmir-Ankara-Erzin- can suture to the north to the Bitlis-Pütürge metamorphic complex to the south. The basement complex of the Bitlis and Pütürge massifs along the suture was then rapidly exhumed between ca. 65 and 55 Ma and eventually overlain by Eocene shallow marine sediments. Integrated statistical modeling shows that the Oligocene thermochronometric evolution of the orogen was rather featureless, contrary to the widely held belief that this epoch marked the beginning of the Arabia-Eurasia collision and was thus characterized by widespread deformation. Conversely, during the Middle Miocene, the Bitlis-Pütürge orogenic wedge was rapidly and significantly deformed both by (i) frontal accretion, as shown by cooling/exhumation of the foreland deposits on both sides of the orogenic prism, and (ii) underplating, as shown by cooling/exhumation of the central metamorphic core of the orogenic wedge. Orogenic growth is also substantiated by the stratigraphy of the lower (Arabian) plate, which shows the presence of a coarse-grained clastic wedge in the Middle Miocene as well as evidence of growth structures.

Taking into consideration that colliding continental margins are morphologically irregular and strain sequences are commonly diachronous along the strike of suture zones (Dewey et al., 1988), we emphasize that the results of this study applies only to the Bitlis-Pütürge sector of the Arabia-Eurasia collision zone and should not be necessarily applied also to the Zagros collision front to the southeast.
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