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ABSTRACT

Background: Melasma, which is fairly common in Indians, causes significant emotional and psychological impact. A Hindi instrument would be useful to assess the impact of melasma on the quality of life in Indian patients. Objective: To create a semantic equivalent of the original MELASQOL questionnaire in Hindi and validate it. Methods: A Hindi adaptation of the original MELASQOL (Hi-MELASQOL) was prepared using previously established guidelines. After pre-testing, the Hi-MELASQOL questionnaire was administered to 100 women with melasma visiting the out-patient registration counter of Safdarjung Hospital, Delhi. These women were also administered a Hindi equivalent of the Health Related Quality of Life (HRQOL) questionnaire. Melasma area severity index (MASI) of all the participants was calculated. Results: The mean MASI score was 20.0 ± 7.5 and Hi-MELASQOL score was 37.19 ± 18.15; both were highly, positively and significantly correlated. Reliability analysis showed satisfactory results. Physical health, emotional well-being and social life were the most adversely affected life domains. Limitations: It was a single-center study and the number of patients studied could have been larger. Conclusion: Hi-MELASQOL is a reliable and validated tool to measure the quality of life in Indians with melasma.
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INTRODUCTION

Melasma, a common disorder of hyperpigmentation, presents with irregular brown or grayish brown macules, usually located on the face and neck.[1] Women and individuals with Fitzpatrick’s skin type ranging between IV and VI are more commonly affected and exacerbation often occurs during summer months.[2,3] It is more commonly seen amongst dark-skinned individuals living in areas with intense ultraviolet (UV) radiations, such as Hispanics, Asians and African Americans.[1,4-6] Melasma can negatively affect the quality of life (QOL) of affected patients, with a pronounced impairment of emotional and social life.[7,8] In 2003, Balkrishnan et al. devised a new instrument called the melasma QOL (MELASQOL) questionnaire, to measure the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients with melasma.[7] Using the MELASQOL, Balkrishnan et al. found that in patients with melasma, social life, emotional well-being, recreation and leisure activities were the most commonly affected domains of quality of life.[7]

The goal of our study was to create and validate a semantic equivalent of the original MELASQOL
questionnaire in Hindi (Hi-MELASQOL), the national language of India. We used this Hindi language questionnaire to characterize the effect of melasma in Indian women.

**METHODS**

**Preparation of Hi-MELASQOL**
Adaptation of the original MELASQOL questionnaire into Hindi was performed by a process that involved translation, committee review, back-translation, review, pre-testing and final revision.

**Translation**
Four bilingual individuals independently prepared a Hindi translation of the original English MELASQOL questionnaire. The group was instructed to make a semantic equivalent of the original MELASQOL questionnaire and not merely a literal Hindi translation, keeping in mind the education level of the target population (about 12 years of elementary schooling). The Hindi translation was reviewed by a committee which included four of the authors (RS, RB, RKJ, AGP). The resulting finalized Hindi adaptation was then back-translated into English individually by four bilingual non-medical individuals. All the translators were unaware of the underlying concept and intent of the questionnaire. Two of the authors (RS, AGP) reviewed the back-translations. Those questions which were not semantically equivalent to the original questionnaire were re-translated and back-translated again until equivalence was attained.

**Pretesting**
The final version of the questionnaire was subjected to pretesting in 20 women with melasma who were recruited from the outpatient registration counter for all specialties of Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi. In order to determine the correct interpretation of each question, each patient was asked to justify her answers to the questions and to explain in detail what each question meant to her “in her own words.” If more than 1 patient (>5%) misunderstood the semantic definition of a question or found it difficult to read, it was designated as unreadable.

**Final revision**
Using the comments and feedback from the pilot study, the unreadable questions were revised and then back-translated. These questions were resubmitted to the authors (RS, AGP) for approval and were then presented again to the patients to ensure they were readable. The original English language MELASQOL questionnaire and its final Hindi language version are given in Table 1.

To validate the Hi-MELASQOL questionnaire, a validated HRQOL questionnaire was given to each patient [Table 2]. A similar process involving translation, committee review, back-translation, review, pre-testing and final revision was undertaken to develop the Hindi version of the HRQOL questionnaire [Table 2].

**Administration**
Approval for this cross-sectional study was obtained from the institutional review board of Safdarjung Hospital. To validate the questionnaire, 100 patients with melasma were identified and recruited by the investigators from the out-patient registration counter of Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi. Sample size calculation was based on the Spearman's correlation coefficient of 0.35 obtained in the study by Balkrishnan et al.[7] Inclusion criteria for the study were women of Indian origin with melasma who were ≥18 years and <55 years of age and who could understand and read Hindi. Exclusion criteria included current pregnancy or pregnancy within the last 6 months, menopause and history of bilateral oophorectomy. Women who were unwilling or unable to understand the questionnaire were also excluded from the study. After obtaining informed consent from the patients, demographic data, medical history and psychiatric history were recorded. Standardized and validated methods were adopted and objective measures were used in order to avoid information inaccuracies and biases. The severity of melasma in each patient was determined by a dermatologist using the melasma area severity index (MASI), a validated outcome measure for melasma.[9]

Each of the 100 patients were given the Hindi HRQOL and Hi-MELASQOL questionnaires. While filling the Hindi HRQOL questionnaire, they were asked to determine both their quality of life with melasma and also what their quality of life would have been, hypothetically, if they did not have melasma. Construct validity was confirmed by demonstration of high correlation between the Hi-MELASQOL scale and the HRQOL psychosocial domains. The Internal reliability of the scale was assessed using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient. Paired t-test was applied to compute Cohen’s d effect to measure the impact of melasma.
while comparing patient scores on HRQOL for “with melasma” and “without melasma”. For all statistical analyses, a $P \leq 0.05$ was considered to indicate a significant difference at 5% level of significance. SPSS software version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) was used for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were computed for all quantitative data. Correlation between MASI and Hi-MELASQOL was assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient to check for any association between disease severity and quality of life. It was also used to test any association of MASI and MELASQOL with age.

### Table 1: English and Hi-MELASQOL

| English Melasma quality of life scale (MELASQOL) * | Hindi Melasma quality of life scale (ME-LASQOL) † | Hindi Melasma quality of life scale (Hi-MELASQOL) † |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| The appearance of your skin condition         | आपकी झाड़ीया / चेहरे के दाग दिखने में कैसे लगते हैं | Aapki jhaaiyan/chehre ke daag dikhne mein kaise lagte hain |
| Frustration about your skin condition         | क्या आप अपनी झाड़ीया / चेहरे के दाग के बारे में कठिनाई अनुभव करते हैं | Kya aap apni jhaaiyan/chehre ke daag ke bare mein kathinaai anubhav karte hain |
| Embarrassment about your skin condition       | क्या आप अपनी झाड़ीया से लक्जित अनुभव करते हैं | Kya aap apni jhaaiyan se lajjit anubhav karte hain |
| Feeling depressed about your skin condition   | क्या आप अपनी झाड़ीया के बारे में उदासी महसूस करते हैं | Kya aap apni jhaaiyan ke baarey mein udaasii mahsoos karte hain |
| The effects of your skin condition on your interaction with other people (e.g. interaction with family, friends, close relationship etc.) | क्या आपकी झाड़ीया लोगों से (जैसे परिवार, मित्रों, समजें सम्बंधियों, परस्पर सम्बन्धित प्रक्रिया, इत्यादि,) व्यवहार करते समय प्रभाव डालती हैं | Kya aapki jhaaiyan logon se (jaisey parivaar, mitron, sagey-sambandhiyon, paarasparik prakriya, ityaadi) vyavahar karte samay prabhaav daalit hain |
| Your skin condition making it hard to show affection | क्या आपकी झाड़ीया लोगों के साथ मेलजोल पर प्रभाव डालती हैं | Kya aapki jhaaiyan logon ke saath meljol par prabhaav daalta hain |
| Skin discoloration making you feel unattractive to others | बदरंग त्वचा के कारण आप लोगों से स्वच्छ को अनाकर्षक महसूस करते हैं | Badrang twacha ke kaaran aap logon se swayamko anaakarkash mahsoos karte hain |
| Skin discoloration making you feel less vital or productive | बदरंग त्वचा के कारण आप अपने को कम उत्पादक महसूस करते हैं | Badrang twacha ke kaaran aap apneko kam utpadaadk mahsoos karte hain |
| Skin discoloration affecting your sense of freedom | बदरंग त्वचा आप की आज़ादी और आत्मविश्वास की भावना को प्रभावित करता है | Badrang twacha aap ki aazaadi aur aatmavishwas ki bhaavna ko prabhaavit karta hai |

*Each question was graded by the patient on a Likert scale of 1 (not bothered at all) to 7 (bothered all the time). The score of MELASQOL ranges from 7 to 70. A higher score indicates worse melasma-related health-related quality of life.

† मापक्रम । (मैं बिल्कुल भी चिंता नहीं करते) से ७ के मापक्रम (हमेशा चिंता करते हैं) को विचार दर, बारे में कैसा महसूस करते हैं? । बिल्कुल भी चिंता नहीं करते, २ - बहुत कम, ३ - कुछ अक्सर, ४ - कमी कभी, ५ - -थोड़ा कुछ, ६ - -बहुत कुछ, ७ - हमेशा चिंता करते हैं. मेलास्कोल के ७ से ७० तक आंके
Table 2: English and Hindi HRQOL validation questionnaire

| English health-related quality-of-life validation questionnaire* | Hindi health-related quality-of-life Validation Questionnaire† | Hindi health-related quality-of-life Validation Questionnaire† |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rate the quality of life regarding your workplace (in the home or outside the home) | आप के कार्यालय (घर में या घर के बाहर) के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Aap ka kaaryalay (ghar mein ya ghar ke bahaar) ke bare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |
| Rate the quality of life regarding your family relationships | आप के परिवारिक संबंध के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Aap ke paariwarik sambandh ke bare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |
| Rate the quality of life regarding your social life | आप के सामाजिक जीवन के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Aap ke saamajik jeevan ke bare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |
| Rate the quality of life regarding your sexual relationships | आपके सेक्स (यौन संबंध) के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Aapke sex (yaun sambandh) ke bare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |
| Rate the quality of life regarding your recreation/leisure activities | आप के मनोरंजन/खाली समय की गतिविधियों के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Aap ke manoranjan/khaali samay ki gatvividiyon ke bare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |
| Rate the quality of life regarding your physical health | आप की सेहत के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Aap ki sehat ke bare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |
| Rate the quality of life regarding money matters | धन के मामले के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Dhan ke maamle ke bare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |
| Rate the quality of life regarding your emotional well-being | आप की भावनात्मक तंद्रस्त्री के बारे में जीवन की गुणवत्ता दर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) | Aap ki bhaavatmak tadarust ke baare mein jeewan ki gunvatta dar (jeevan ke rahney ka star) |

The patients are asked to determine their QOL with melasma and what their QOL would have been if they did not have melasma.

*For each of the eight points the quality of life is rated on a scale of 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent).

Scale:

| Poor | Fair | Good | Very good | Excellent |
|-----|------|------|-----------|-----------|
| 1   | 2    | 3    | 4         | 5         |

मापक्रम १ (खराब) से ५ (उत्तम) के अनुसार निम्नलिखित के संबंध में अपने जीवन की गुणवत्तादर (जीवन के रहने का स्तर) बनाए ज्ञायत्र के साथ और यदि आपको ज्ञायत्र नहीं हैं तो मापक्रम:

| खराब | ठीक | अच्छा | बहुत अच्छा | उत्तम |
|------|------|-------|------------|------|
| १    | २    | ३     | ४          | ५    |
RESULTS

All the 100 recruited patients participated throughout the study. No problematic questions were found in the validation of Hi-MELASQOL and Hindi HRQoL questionnaire due to its simple format. The demographic details of the studied individuals are shown in Table 3. The mean age was 32 years. The average duration of melasma was 4 years. Since there was no further sub-division of subjects based on any other criterion and no use of any other scale except Hi-MELASQOL to measure the QOL, it was a simple one-time survey; there were no adjusted estimates or confounder estimates.

The mean MASI score as assessed by the physician was 20.0 ± 7.5. The Hi-MELASQOL score was 37.19 ± 18.15. The Spearman’s correlation between MASI and Hi-MELASQOL was 0.809 which implies they are highly and positively correlated. Also since the P value is < 0.05, we can conclude that the correlation between the two is significant at 5% level of significance.

Reliability analysis showed satisfactory results with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.861. Inter-item correlation (convergent validity) between the eight domains (work, family, social life, sex life, leisure, physical health, money matters and emotional well-being) was positive, ranging from 0.159 to 0.693. This implies there is a direct relation between the domains and that an increase in one variable implies an increase in another variable.

Comparison of Hi-MELASQOL scores with score values obtained for individual domains of the HRQOL showed that the overall score for the Hi-MELASQOL is negatively correlated with all the eight domains, indicating that an increase in the Hi-MELASQOL is associated with a decrease in all eight domains of HRQOL. Moreover, all except one of these correlations was significant (P < 0.05). Only money matters did not correlate significantly with Hi-MELASQOL (P = 0.081). The inverse correlation of Hi-MELASQOL is most significant with social life (P < 0.001) and less significant with leisure (P = 0.002). Spearman’s correlation was used as it is a non-parametric measure.

Table 4 lists the Cohen’s d effect size for the quality of life domains as assessed by HRQOL. A larger Cohen’s d effect size denotes a greater perceived improvement by the patient in quality of life if they were actually free from melasma and implies a greater impact of melasma on a particular domain. From this table we conclude that physical health is most likely to be perceived as affected by melasma followed by emotional well-being and social life.

The Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient between age and Hi-MELASQOL was 0.199 which is significant

Table 3: Demographics of the study population

| Characteristics of melasma patients | Distribution (n=100) (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)                         |                         |
| 18-30                               | 43                      |
| 31-40                               | 44                      |
| >41                                 | 13                      |
| Mean±SD                             | 32.42±6.96              |
| Time with melasma (years)           |                         |
| 0-5                                 | 73                      |
| 6-10                                | 27                      |
| Mean±SD                             | 4.12±2.62               |
| Marital status                      |                         |
| Married                             | 75                      |
| Unmarried                           | 25                      |
| Education (years completed)         |                         |
| 0-6                                 | 47                      |
| 7-12                                | 31                      |
| >12                                 | 22                      |
| Mean±SD                             | 7.81±5.91               |
| Employment                          |                         |
| Unemployed                          | 64                      |
| Employed                            | 36                      |
| Medical comorbidities               |                         |
| None                                | 75                      |
| One or more                         | 25                      |
| Previous treatment for melasma      |                         |
| No                                  | 53                      |
| Yes                                 | 47                      |
| Socioeconomic status                |                         |
| Low                                 | 40                      |
| Average                             | 39                      |
| High                                | 21                      |

SD: Standard deviation

Table 4: QOL domains (assessed by HRQOL) most affected by melasma

| Domain                | Cohen’s d effect size | Domain rank |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Physical health       | 1.75                  | 1.0         |
| Emotional well being  | 1.20                  | 2.0         |
| Social life           | 1.00                  | 3.0         |
| Work                  | 0.72                  | 4.0         |
| Sex life              | 0.69                  | 5.0         |
| Family life           | 0.68                  | 6.0         |
| Money matters         | 0.64                  | 7.0         |
| Leisure               | 0.63                  | 8.0         |

QOL: Quality of life
Sarkar, et al. Hi-MELASQOL for Indian patients

The mean Hi-MELASQOL score in the our study was 37.19. In the study by Freitag et al., Dominguez et al. and Aghaei et al. the mean MELASQOL score was found to be higher (37.5, 42 and 52.85 respectively) score. However, the Hi-MELASQOL score was higher as compared to the MELASQOL score in the study by Balkrishnan et al., Misery et al. and Dogramaci et al. (36, 20.9 and 29.9 respectively). These differences, if significant, could be due to variations in latitude, sun exposure, occupations, culture, skin type or other factors.

The Spearman’s correlation between MASI and Hi-MELASQOL was 0.809 which is considered high, indicating that quality of life worsens as melasma becomes more severe. This is in agreement with similar studies performed in most other languages; however, of note, no significant correlation was found in the studies by Balkrishnan et al., Freitag et al. and Dominguez et al.

The reliability analysis showed a satisfactory result with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.861 indicating a high level of internal consistency for the scale. The correlation of the Hi-MELASQOL scale with different HRQOL domains shows a negative correlation with all the domains except money matters. Thus, an increase in Hi-MELASQOL is associated with a decrease in the

**DISCUSSION**

It is important to have a disease-specific, dermatologically relevant HRQOL questionnaire for melasma which focusses on its effect on the psyche. Most researchers have found that melasma has a greater impact on the psychological health of the patient as compared to physical health. However our study showed that physical health was most likely to be perceived as affected by melasma. This could be due to less educated patient population in our study who are likely to be misinformed about the disease. In the authors experience, many patients associate melasma with iron deficiency and as a sign of some underlying undiagnosed physical ill health. These beliefs could possibly offer an explanation why our patients reported physical health to be most affected by melasma. This may also point towards an increased need to educate our patients about the etiopathogenesis of melasma.

MELASQOL was first compiled in English and has now been translated and validated in Spanish, French, Brazilian Portuguese, Persian and Turkish.

Table 5: MELASQOL in different languages

| Authors         | Language of MELASQOL | Sample size | Mean MELASQOL score | Domains of HRQOL most affected by melasma | Correlation between the QOL and disease severity |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Present study   | Hindi language       | 100         | 37.19               | Physical health, social life and emotional well being | The Spearman’s correlation between MASI and Hi-MELASQOL was 0.809 which implies that they are highly and positively correlated |
| Balkrishnan et al.[7] | English language | 102 women   | 36                  | Social life, recreation and leisure. Emotional well-being | Moderate correlation between the QOL and disease severity (MASI) |
| Freitag et al.[11] | Brazilian Portuguese version (MELASQOL-BP) | 85 women    | 37.5                | Emotional well-being | No correlation between the QOL and disease severity (MASI) |
| Dominguez et al.[8] | Spanish language (Sp-MELASQOL) | 99 women    | 42                  | Social life, emotional well-being, physical health, money matters | Moderate correlation between the QOL and MASI score (MASI score-10 and MELASQOL score-42) |
| Misery et al.[10] | French language (MELASQOL-F) | 28 women    | 20.9                | Family relationships, social life | - |
| Dogramaci et al.[13] | Turkish language (MELASQOL-TR) | 114 women   | 29.9                | Appearance of the skin, frustration, feeling unattractive to others, having a restricted sense of freedom | Statistically significant correlation between the QOL and MASI score |
| Aghaei et al.[12] | Persian language     | 147 patients (144 women and 3 men) | 52.83               | Social life, recreation and leisure, emotional well-being | Statistically significant correlation between the QOL and MASI score |

MELASQOL: Melasma quality of life, QOL: Quality of life, MASI: Melasma area severity index, Hi-MELASQOL: Hindi melasma quality of life
values of all seven domains. The inverse correlation of Hi-MELASQOL is most significant with social life. Overall, in our study, the three domains of quality of life (as assessed by the Hindi HRQOL questionnaire) most affected by melasma were physical health, social life and emotional well-being.

Our study also found a significant correlation between age and Hi-MELASQOL suggesting that older patients with chronic hyperpigmentation of the face suffer from a worse quality of life due to their condition than younger patients.

The results of our study overall are similar to studies conducted using the MELASQOL questionnaire in various parts of the world in different languages, giving further evidence of the negative impact of melasma, worldwide [Table 5].[7,8,11,12]

The limitations of the study were that it was a single-center study and that a larger number of patients could have been enrolled in the study.

CONCLUSION

The Hindi language version of the MELASQOL scale is a reliable and valid tool to assess the health-related quality of life in Hindi-speaking patients with melasma.
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