Investigating the Disk–Jet Structure in M87 through Flux Separation in the Linear and Circular Polarization Images
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Abstract

For testing different electron temperature ($T_e$) prescriptions in general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics (GRMHD) simulations through observations, we propose to utilize linear polarization (LP) and circular polarization (CP) images. We calculate the polarization images based on a semi-magnetically arrested disk GRMHD model for various $T_e$ parameters, bearing M87 in mind. We find an LP–CP separation in the images of the low-$T_e$ disk cases at 230GHz; namely, the LP flux mainly originates from downstream of the jet, and the CP flux comes from the counter-side jet, while the total intensity is maximum at the jet base. This can be understood as follows: although the LP flux is generated through synchrotron emission widely around the black hole, most of the LP flux from the jet base does not reach the observer, since it undergoes Faraday rotation ($\propto T^2_e$) when passing through the outer cold disk and is thus depolarized. Hence, only the LP flux from the downstream (not passing the cold dense plasmas) can survive. Meanwhile, the CP flux is generated from the LP flux by Faraday conversion ($\propto T_e$) in the inner hot region. Stronger CP flux is thus observed from the counter-side jet. Moreover, the LP–CP separation is more enhanced at a lower frequency, such as 86 GHz, but is rather weak at 43 GHz, since the media in the latter case is optically thick for synchrotron self-absorption so that all of the fluxes should come from the photosphere. The same is true for cases with higher mass accretion rates and/or larger inclination angles.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Black hole physics (159); Active galactic nuclei (16); Radio jets (1347); Radiative transfer (1335); Polarimetry (1278)

1. Introduction

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are known to produce energetic phenomena such as intense radiation and powerful outflows (Sanders et al. 1989), and are thought to be driven by a central supermassive black hole (SMBH) onto which matter accretes (Lynden-Bell 1969; Rees 1984; Blandford & Begelman 1999; Kato et al. 2008). A small subset of AGNs produces plasma jets that accelerate to relativistic speeds and are highly collimated (Blandford & Königl 1979; Bridle & Perley 1984; Zensus 1997). In theoretical studies of these AGN jets, magnetic fields are commonly believed to play an important role in extracting the rotational energy from the black hole (BH) and/or accretion flow and thus powering the plasma jets (Blandford & Znajek 1977; Blandford & Payne 1982).

It is well known that M87 in the Virgo cluster is a low luminosity AGN (LLAGN; Ho et al. 1997) with a jet aligned closely to our line of sight with an inclination angle to the jet axis, $\sim 160^\circ$ ($20^\circ$) (e.g., Mertens et al. 2016; Walker et al. 2018). The jet of M87 has been observed at various length scales over a wide range of wavelengths (Owen et al. 1989; Ford et al. 1994; Biretta et al. 1995; Macchetto et al. 1997; Marshall et al. 2002; Di Matteo et al. 2003; Aharonian et al. 2006; Gebhardt et al. 2011; Abramowski et al. 2012). In particular, observations of the jet base with high angular resolution provided by very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) have provided observational evidences of the persistent acceleration and collimation (Junor et al. 1999; Ly et al. 2007; Kovalev et al. 2007; Hada et al. 2011; Asada & Nakamura 2012; Nakamura & Asada 2013; Hada et al. 2013; Asada et al. 2014; Kino et al. 2014; Mertens et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2018; Nakamura et al. 2018; Park et al. 2019b). In this context, the first ever image of the shadow of the SMBH in M87 by the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT) provides us with a unique opportunity to study, for the first time, the connection between a powerful relativistic jets and the central engine (Doeleman et al. 2012; Lu et al. 2014; Akiyama et al. 2015; Chael et al. 2016; Akiyama et al. 2017a, 2017b; Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019a, 2019b).

The synchrotron emission, emitted within this inner region at radio wavelengths, is also known to have a polarization component that reflects the strength and orientation of the surrounding magnetic fields. VLBI observations also point to the existence of ordered magnetic field structure both through linear polarization (LP) images (Hada et al. 2016; Walker et al. 2018; Kravchenko et al. 2020) and analyses of Faraday rotation measure (RM) and electric vector position angle (EVPA) orientation (Owen et al. 1990; Zavala & Taylor 2002, 2003, 2004; Algaba et al. 2016; Park et al. 2019a).
Further, the EHT collaboration recently published LP images of M87$^*$ in 2017, which exhibited polarization angles in a nearly azimuthal pattern over a region of the asymmetric ring. In addition, day-to-day variation is evidence for the temporal evolution of the polarization in this inner region over one week (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2021a). They also found a low circular polarization (CP) fraction of the M87 core (<0.3%) from Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array—only 230 GHz observations (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2021a; Goddi et al. 2021).

To connect these observations to theoretical models of M87$^*$, researchers have calculated radiative transfer in the Kerr and Schwarzschild metrics (general relativistic radiative transfer; GRRT) based on (semi-)analytical models (Broderick & Loeb 2009; Kawashima et al. 2019; Jeter et al. 2020; Kawashima et al. 2021b) or calculation models such as those produced by general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics (GRMHD; Koide et al. 1999; Gammie et al. 2003; Komissarov 2005; Noble et al. 2006; Tchekhovskoy et al. 2011; McKinney et al. 2012) simulations. These models have produced synthetic images which reproduce many of the observed macroscopic features of the synchrotron emission from this inner region (Dexter et al. 2012; Moscibrodzka et al. 2016; Davelaar et al. 2019; Chael et al. 2019).

In order to extract useful information on the physical processes, we need to specify the regions producing the emission; however, this is difficult work. Since the light rays from near the black hole are bent and lensed by gravity, the emissions from the jet in the funnel region and from the equatorial accretion disk, which is considered to be radiatively inefficient accretion flow (RIAF; Narayan & Yi 1995; Kato et al. 2008; Yuan & Narayan 2014) in LLAGNs, are degenerated into a ring-like image. In addition, it is an unresolved issue how to determine the proton–electron coupling in the disc–jet structure in theoretical models.

In this regard, the polarization components can provide powerful tools to verify the disc–jet structure, because they carry out the information regarding the plasma properties not only in the emitting plasma, but also in the intervening plasma through the Faraday effects (rotation and conversion). Moscibrodzka et al. (2017) presented linearly polarized images and the RM images through GRRT calculations based on GRMHD models with M87$^*$ in mind. Their best-fit, jet-dominated (low electron-temperature disk) model gave consistent values of the LP fraction and RM with observations (Kuo et al. 2014). Ricarte et al. (2020) also showed resolved RM images, which gave strong spatial and temporal variabilities. These two studies demonstrated that the LP vectors originating from the counter (receding) jet are scrambled and depolarized by Faraday rotation in the disk, while those from the foreground (approaching) jet can survive from the Faraday depolarization and thus become dominant on the LP maps. The EHT collaboration compared the observed polarization structure with predictions from theoretical models, and attributed the low polarization fraction in the image to Faraday rotation internal to the emission region (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2021b). Further, the magnetically arrested disk (MAD; Narayan et al. 2003; Tchekhovskoy et al. 2011) models are favored over the standard and normal evolution (SANE; Narayan et al. 2012; Sadowski et al. 2013) models in their GRMHD model evaluation.

Meanwhile, we suggested in our previous works that the CP can be amplified by Faraday conversion (Jones & O’Dell 1977) from the LP in hot and dense plasma near the black hole, up to the extent comparable with the LP (Tsuneo et al. 2020, 2021). We have introduced an amplification process of the CP through combination of Faraday conversion and rotation (the rotation-induced conversion), which produces the CP components with signs imprinting the magnetic field configuration. Moscibrodzka et al. (2021) also showed the CP images enhanced by Faraday rotation and conversion. Ricarte et al. (2021) introduced a CP conversion process through a twist of the magnetic field along the line of sight on event horizon scales, in addition to the rotation-induced conversion. These processes of the rotation-induced and field-twist Faraday conversions, as well as the intrinsic CP component of synchrotron emission (Legg & Westfold 1968; Jones & O’Dell 1977; Jones 1988), have also been introduced and discussed in the context of CP detection in quasars (Hodge 1982; Enßlin 2003; Wardle & Homan 2003; Gabuzda et al. 2008; Homan et al. 2009).

In this way, studies have established that a unified interpretation of the LP and CP is essential for understanding of the magnetic field structure and plasma properties near the black hole (Gold et al. 2017; Anantua et al. 2020; Emami et al. 2021).

Along this line, we analyze and quantify the relationship among the polarization components on theoretical polarization images of M87$^*$ using correlation functions, focusing on the radiative processes in the disc–jet structure. Jiménez-Rosales & Dexter (2018) calculated the autocorrelation length of each (x- and y-) component of LP vectors on the image of Sgr A$^*$, and claimed that the correlation length (rather than the LP fraction) provides a reliable indicator of Faraday rotation depth. We take a slightly different approach; namely, we calculate auto- and cross-correlations among the total, LP, and CP intensities on ray-traced images obtained from GRRT calculation through GRMHD models, in an attempt to understand their relations to the Faraday rotation, the Faraday conversion, the synchrotron self-absorption (SSA), and the underlying plasma properties in the disc–jet structure in M87.

This paper is organized as follows: We outline the methodology for computing theoretical polarization images in Section 2. We then show our resultant images (3.1), correlation analyses (3.2), and an example of “LP–CP separation,” a separation along the jet direction between LP and CP intensity distributions (3.3). We examine the separation for various electron-temperature parameters in the disk in Section 4.1. The dependence on frequency is presented in Section 4.2. Other possibilities for the inclination angle and models with different mass accretion rates from M87$^*$ are discussed in Sections 4.4 and 4.5, respectively. We compare the results with existing observations and discuss prospects for future observations in Section 4.6. Section 5 presents our conclusion.

2. Method

2.1. GRMHD Model and Proton–Electron Coupling

We performed three-dimensional GRMHD simulation of RIAF around a Kerr BH with a dimensionless spin parameter of the black hole $a = 0.9375$ by using the GR-Radiation-MHD code UNABAMI (Takahashi et al. 2016, 2018), where the radiative effects are turned off (Kawashima et al. 2021a) in order to avoid any inconsistency possibly caused by its current
one-temperature-fluid approximation. The modified Kerr-Schild coordinate (e.g., Gammie 2004) is employed in the simulation. The inner- and outer-outflow boundaries are located at 0.96r_g ~ 1.29r_g and 3.33 × 10^{2} r_g, respectively, where r_g ≡ GM_/c^2 is the gravitational radius, G is the gravitational constant, M_ is black hole mass, c is the speed of light, and r_H ≡ (1 + √1 − α^2)r_g ≈ 1.35r_g is the outer horizon of the black hole. The simulation domain is divided into r × θ × ϕ = 200 × 128 × 64 meshes.

Initially, we set an isentropic hydroequilibrium torus rotating around the Kerr BH (Fishbone & Moncrief 1976) with the single-loop magnetic field configuration, which is embedded in a hot, static, uniform, and nonmagnetized ambient gas. The position of the inner edge and the pressure maximum of the torus are set at r = 20r_g and r = 33r_g on the equatorial plane, respectively. The specific heat ratio is assumed to be γ_{heat} = 13/9. We use a snapshot of the simulation data at t = 9 × 10^{3}r_g c^{-1}, at which the accretion flow is in a quasi-steady state after the sufficient mass supply from the initial torus via the growth of the magnetorotational instability (MRI; Balbus & Hawley 1991). We calculate the MRI quality factor (Q-factors), the numbers of cells across a wavelength of the fastest-growing MRI mode in each direction (Hawley et al. 2011), and obtain (Q_x, Q_y, Q_z) = (3.23, 3.97, 11.0) in the zero-angular momentum observer frame, averaging over r ≤ 20r_g and 60° ≤ θ ≤ 120° (see Section 4.7 for discussion about the resolution of the MRI modes).

GRMHD models are often categorized into two major groups, the MAD and SANE, which are divided by their strength of the dimensionless magnetic flux near the event horizon φ ≡ φ_{BH} / \sqrt{Mg c^2}, where φ_{BH} = (1/2)î]+/B^2 dA_ϕ. The MADs, which typically show the saturation of φ ≥ 50 (in Gaussian units), are characterized by the strong, dynamically important magnetic flux near the black hole, while the SANEs (φ ≤ 5) have the weak magnetic flux. Our GRMHD simulation shows φ ≈ 18, so that the magnitude of φ is between the typical values of MAD and SANE, and this state is sometimes referred to as semi-MAD.

Since the GRMHD simulation only gives temperature for protons, we have to determine electron temperature by postprocess to calculate synchrotron radiation transfer. As in Tsunetoe et al. (2020, 2021) and previous works including Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. (2019b, 2021b), we implement a relation equation between proton and electron temperature with the plasma β ≡ P_{gas}/P_{mag}, the gas-magnetic pressure ratio, and two parameters R_{low} and R_{high},

\[
\frac{T_e}{T_p} = R_{low} \frac{1}{1 + \beta^2} + R_{high} \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta^2},
\]

which was introduced in Mościbrodzka et al. (2016). In this scheme, T_e ≈ T_p/R_{low} in the strongly magnetized region such as in the jets, while T_e ≈ T_p/R_{high} in the weakly magnetized, gas-pressure-dominant region such as in the midplane disk. Here, we adopt parameters of R_{low} = 1 and R_{high} = 73 for our fiducial model, corresponding with a relatively high (or low) electron temperature in the jet (disk) region.8

While the electron temperatures are thought to be lower in the disk than in the jet from comparison with spectral energy distributions and RMIs (Mościbrodzka & Falcke 2013; Mościbrodzka et al. 2017) and with two-temperature calculations (Howes 2010; Ryan et al. 2018; Chael et al. 2019; Kawazura et al. 2019), a wide range of the proton–electron temperature ratios both in the disk and in the jet is suggested. As far as the radiative cooling is not incorporated, recently, Mizuno et al. (2021) demonstrated that this R − β prescription and choice of parameters (R_{low} = 1, R_{high} = 1−160) are consistent with the turbulent- and magnetic reconnection–heating prescriptions in GRMHD simulations with electron thermodynamics, in comparison of images at 230 GHz obtained from GRRT calculations based on them. We discuss other choices for R_{high} in Section 4.1, focusing on the difference between the low-T_e and high-T_e disks.

2.2. Polarimetric Radiative Transfer in the Kerr Metric

We perform full polarimetric radiative transfer with the Stokes parameters (T, Q, U, V) along light paths in the Kerr metric determined by the general relativistic ray-tracing method, using our code developed and implemented in Tsunetoe et al. (2020, 2021). The polarized radiative coefficients for the ultrarelativistic thermal distribution of electrons, the synchrotron emissivities (j_k, j_Q, j_U, j_V), SSA (α_{λ_{Q}}, α_{λ_{U}}, α_{λ_{V}}), and Faraday effects (χ_{Q}, χ_{U}, χ_{V}) are implemented into the code, based on previous works (Mahadevan et al. 1996; Scherbakov 2008; Dexter 2016). Further, the coefficient of Faraday rotation χ_{Q} is modified for accurate descriptions in the low-temperature and frequency ratio region, as discussed in Dexter et al. (2020) and Ricarte et al. (2020).

We adopt a black hole mass of M_ = 6.5 × 10^{6} M_⊙ and a distance of 16.7 Mpc for M87 (Mei et al. 2007; Gebhardt et al. 2011; Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019b), which give an angular diameter of ≈3.8 μas on the celestial sphere corresponding with the gravitational radius r_g. An inclination angle i of the camera is set to 160°, nearly face-on to the midplane disk, while other inclinations are also discussed in Section 4.4. We set the camera at r = 10^{5}r_g and calculate radiative transfer within r ≤ 100r_g,9 to present snapshot images with the “fast-light” approximation. We also scale a mass accretion rate onto the black hole M to reproduce the observed flux of ≈0.5 Jy at 230 GHz (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019c). M = 6 × 10^{−4} M_⊙ yr^{−1} for our fiducial model, which is comparable with those in the “passed” MAD models in Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. (2021b).

Throughout this work, the sigma cutoff of \( σ_{\text{cutoff}} = 1 \) (removing the region with the plasma magnetization \( σ ≡ B^2/4πρc^2 \)) is adopted in order to avoid unphysical effects arising because of low density floors in the MHD simulation. In Section 4.7, we discuss the validity of our results with the sigma cutoff comparing to a case without the cutoff.

3. LP–CP Flux Separation

3.1. Polarization Images

The raw images of the total intensity (I), the LP intensity (Q, U), and the CP intensity (V) at 230 GHz obtained by the polarimetric analyses of the raw images of the total intensity (I), the LP intensity (Q, U), and the CP intensity (V) at 230 GHz obtained by the polarimetric analysis...
radiative transfer calculation are shown from left to right in the panels of Figure 1, respectively. The total intensity (Stokes $I$) image in the left panel gives the photon ring, which is a circle with a radius of $\approx 20\,\mu$as and is beamed in the left side due to the gravity of the spinning black hole and to the relativistic beaming effect by helical motion of plasma. In addition, a hint of tail-like jet extends downward in the image.

In the central panel, the LP intensity (Stokes $Q^2 + U^2$) distributes tracing the total intensity, with fractions of $\sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}/I \sim 50\%$ in individual pixels. The noteworthy features are that the LP vectors are not ordered but show chaotic features because of the Faraday rotation occurring within the disk. The CP (Stokes $V$) image in the right panel shows an asymmetric ring-like feature with positive sign, which traces the photon ring in the total intensity image. The CP components with a fraction up to $|V|/I \sim 10\%$ in individual pixels are significantly stronger than those of the synchrotron emission $(|V|/I \sim 1\%)$, implying that these result from an amplification process through Faraday conversion in the hot region $(T_e \gtrsim 10^{10}\,\text{K})$ near the black hole, as was first demonstrated in Tsunetoe et al. (2020). These features of rotation of the LP vectors and amplified CP components in individual pixels agree well with the results in our previous work (Tsunetoe et al. 2020), based on two-dimensional semi-MAD models. Such monochromatic (uniform in $+/−$signs) CP ring features are also seen in the theoretical models in Bronzwaer et al. (2020), Moscibrodzka et al. (2021), Ricarte et al. (2021), and Emami et al. (2021).\footnote{See also Ricarte et al. (2021) for a discussion about the sign-flipping subrings.}

At the same time of calculating the images, we also calculate the intensity-weighted optical depths for each light ray to see the two Faraday effects and SSA; e.g.,

$$\tau_{\text{Frot},I} \equiv \int \rho I(s)ds/I_{\text{fin}}$$

for Faraday rotation depth, where $I_{\text{fin}}$ is a final value of Stokes $I$ in each pixel (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2021b). We further average them over the image, weighting by the total intensity in each pixel, and obtain the image-averaged, intensity-weighted optical depths, $\langle \tau_{\text{Frot},I} \rangle \simeq 1.7 \times 10^2$, $\langle \tau_{\text{Fcon},I} \rangle \simeq 1.1$, and $\langle \tau_{\text{SSA},I} \rangle \simeq 0.1$. (Here $\tau_{\text{Fcon},I} \equiv \int \sqrt{\rho^2 + \rho^2 I(s)}ds/I_{\text{fin}}$, $\tau_{\text{SSA},I} \equiv \int \rho I(s)ds/I_{\text{fin}}$.) From these, we understand that plasma near the black hole is optically thick for the Faraday effects but thin for the SSA for the lights at 230 GHz, typically. As a result, we obtained a clear photon-ring image but a dim foreground jet image, scrambled LP vectors, and amplified CP components (see Appendix B for the GRRT process for a pixel in the image).

Next, we show convolved (or blurred) images by Gaussian beam with size of $17\,\mu$as in Figure 2. We have chosen this beam size, bearing the EHT observation at 230 GHz in mind. In the central panel, we can see an asymmetric ring feature without extended jet components, which is consistent with the EHT observation of M87$^*$ in 2017 (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019a, 2019b).

While the Gaussian convolution on the whole tends to reduce the LP fraction in the central panel, with values of $\sim 10\%$–$20\%$, it recovers a “hidden” ordered structure of the LP vectors in a hybrid pattern of azimuthal and radial ones, reflecting (i.e., being perpendicular with) the magnetic field configurations at synchrotron emission. (Note that the magnetic field configuration is toroidally dominated in the disk region, while it has significant poloidal components in the jet region, roughly.) Further, a distribution of the LP intensity is shifted downward by $\lesssim 10\,\mu$as in the image, compared with those of the total intensity. This is because the LP vectors that originate in the downstream region of the approaching jet are not affected by the Faraday rotation because of small Faraday rotation depth, and thus keep a well-ordered structure in emission. Those originating from the upstream or the photon ring are, by contrast, chaotically rotated in the disk region (see also Moscibrodzka et al. 2017; Ricarte et al. 2020) and drastically decrease their intensity by the convolution of the observational beam (the beam depolarization). Such features as those seen in the convolved LP map agree with the observations of M87$^*$ in Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. (2021a), where the downward direction on the images in this work corresponds to the northeast on their observational images.

Meanwhile, the CP intensity in the left panel is distributed around the photon ring in the total intensity image, with
fractions of $|V|/I \sim$ a few percent. The centroid of the CP intensity is slightly shifted upward by $\approx 5 \mu$as, compared with that of the total intensity. This is because only those around the photon ring and from the receding jet can be amplified in the energetic region near the black hole through the Faraday conversion from the LP components, and the further background emission is more effectively converted with larger optical depth for the Faraday conversion.

Further, we confirmed that these 230 GHz images give a net LP fraction of 2.6%, an average LP fraction of 10.4% when convolved with 20 $\mu$as Gaussian beam, and a net CP fraction of 0.76%. All of these fractions satisfy the observational constraints in the model scoring in Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. (2021b).

Next, we describe the results for the total, LP, and CP intensities to the nearly face-on observer and their origin in Section 3.3.

3.2. Correlation Functions for the Images

3.2.1. Correlations in the Cartesian Coordinates ($x,y$)

In Figure 3, we show correlation functions in the Cartesian coordinates ($x$, $y$); that is, autocorrelation of total intensity (Stokes $I$), cross-correlations between $I$ and the LP intensity $P = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}$, and between $I$ and the absolute CP intensity ($|V|$), which are calculated from the convolved images in Figure 2. The correlation functions are calculated for a pair of $I$ and $S$ (= $I$, $P$, or $|V|$) at each pixel of $(x_i, y_j) = (m \Delta x, n \Delta y)$ by the following way:

$$ \langle I - S \rangle (m \Delta x, n \Delta y) \equiv \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} I(x_i, y_j) S(x_{i+m}, y_{j+n})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} I(x_i, y_j) S(x_i, y_j)} $$

where:

$$ \langle I - S \rangle \text{num}(m \Delta x, n \Delta y) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} I(x_i, y_j) S(x_{i+m}, y_{j+n}), $$

and:

$$ \langle I - S \rangle \text{den} = \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} I(x_i, y_j)^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} S(x_i, y_j)^2 \right)}, $$
so that \( \{I - I\}(0, 0) = 1 \). Here \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) are the size of pixels in the \( x \)- (horizontal) and \( y \)- (vertical) direction, respectively. \( N = 100 \) is the number of pixels in each direction.\(^{11}\)

The left panel in Figure 3 represents the two-dimensional autocorrelation functions of Stokes \( I \). We see the correlation lengths (at half maximum = 0.5) of \( 20 - 30 \) \( \mu \)as in various directions on the \((m\Delta x, n\Delta y)\)-plane, and we see that they show a vertically elongated shape. This reflects the vertically elongated emission profile in the left side of the ring (see the left panel of Figure 2). The cross-correlation between the total and LP intensities, \( I - P \), in the central panel shows a shape similar to that of the autocorrelation \( I - I \) in the left, but with a peak vertically shifted and at \( \sim -8 \) \( \mu \)as, meaning that the LP flux has a tendency to distribute downward by \( \sim 8 \) \( \mu \)as relatively to the total flux (see Figure 2; see also the statements in Section 3.1). In the right panel, conversely, the cross-correlation map between the total and CP intensities \( I - \abs{V} \) yields a peak at \( \sim +2 \) \( \mu \)as upward in the \( n\Delta y \)-direction. This is because the CP flux originates from the vicinity of the black hole and the counter-side (background) jet region, compared with the total flux (and LP flux). In this way, we can quantitatively assess the distinction between the total, LP, and CP intensity distributions through the cross-correlation analyses.

In order to more clearly examine the auto- and cross-correlation functions, we display in Figure 4 one-dimensional cross sections of the two-dimensional correlation functions displayed in Figure 3 in the vertical (left panel) and horizontal directions (right panel), respectively. The quantities are normalized by their maximum values. In the left panel, the cross-correlations of \( I - P \) and \( I - \abs{V} \) have their peaks at negative and positive \( n\Delta y \) values (corresponding to the downward and upward directions), respectively, while those in the \( m\Delta x \)-direction in the right panel do not show significant deviation from the autocorrelation profile, except a small transition of \( I - P \) to the left side corresponding to the tendency of the LP flux left-leaning relative to the total flux. The

\[ \text{autocorrelation profiles of } I - I \text{ in both of two panels have their peaks at the center of } (n\Delta y, m\Delta x) = (0, 0) \text{ by definition.} \]

\[ \text{3.2.2. Correlations in the Polar Coordinates } (r, \theta) \]

In the previous subsection, we analyzed the correlations in the Cartesian coordinates \((x, y)\) on the images. This choice is reasonable for the M87 jet, because the direction, or position angle, of the approaching jet has been accurately constrained and established for a wide spatial range (from approximately microarcsecond to kiloparsec scales) through multiwavelength observations (e.g., Algaba et al. 2021). It can be, however, advantageous to use a polar coordinate system when performing this type of cross-correlation analysis on single epoch images of ring-like features. With this in mind, here we introduce correlation analyses in the polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\) on the images with the origin at \((x, y) = (0, 0)\). This is particularly useful for the M87 images at 230 GHz, because these total intensity images show ring-like features, symmetrical about the origin of the images, as seen in Figure 2 or the actual observations by Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. (2019a, 2021a). The correlation functions in the polar coordinates are calculated from the Stokes parameters at each pixel of \((r_k, \theta_i) = (k\Delta r, l\Delta \theta)\) in the following way:

\[ \{I - S\}(i\Delta r, j\Delta \theta) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} r_k r_k + k \Delta r (r_k, \theta_i) S(r_k, \theta_{i+j})}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} r_k^2 I(r_k, \theta_i)\right)^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} r_k^2 S(r_k, \theta_{i+j})\right)^2}} \]

\[ (i, j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots), \]

so that \( \{I - I\}(0, 0) = 1 \). Here \( \Delta r \) and \( \Delta \theta \) are the size of pixels in the \( r \)- and \( \theta \)-directions, respectively. The factors of \( r_k \) and \( r_k + k \Delta r \) in the summation come from the area element in the two-dimensional polar coordinates, \( r \, dr \, d\theta \).

We show the auto- and cross-correlations in the polar coordinates in Figure 5, respectively.\(^{12}\) Autocorrelation \( I - I \) has peaks at \((i\Delta r, j\Delta \theta) = (0, 0)\) by definition. In the left panel,

\[ \text{See Appendix C for two-dimensional correlation maps in polar coordinates.} \]

\[ \text{11 Here, we take more coarse pixel composition in the convolved images than in the raw images in Figure 1 for faster calculation of the correlation functions. This does not change the results significantly because the size of convolutional beam is much larger than the pixel size.} \]

\[ \text{12} \]
two cross-correlations show similar profiles to that of the autocorrelation with correlation length (at half maximum) of \( \sim 15 \mu\text{as} \), although the cross-correlation \( I - P \) is slightly shifted in the larger \( i\Delta r \)-direction. We can see, in the right panel, narrow \( I - P \) profile with its peak at \( j\Delta \theta \sim +\pi/8 \) and wide \( I - |V| \) profile with its peak at \( j\Delta \theta \sim -\pi/16 \). Here positive (or negative) \( j\Delta \theta \) corresponds to the clockwise (counterclockwise) direction around the center of the images. Therefore, these results in polar coordinates quantitatively describe the fact that the total, LP, and CP fluxes on the images in Figure 2 are located roughly on the same circle in the order of the LP, total, and CP intensities, in the clockwise direction, if starting from twelve o’clock (i.e., y-axis on the images).

### 3.3. Schematic of the Faraday Rotation and Conversion around the Black Hole

In the previous subsections, we found a separation of the LP and CP intensities. This is because the LP (or CP) components are mainly from the downward (upward) position with respect to the bright part of the total intensity distribution. Here, we interpret these polarimetric features by using a schematic picture of the Faraday rotation and conversion effects around the black hole.

Figure 6 illustrates the case in which the system is Faraday thick but SSA thin, as in the case that we encounter in Section 3.1 (see the upper row of Table 1 for the Faraday
Table 1

Comparison between the Various Polarization Quantities at 230 GHz and at 86 GHz; the Vertical Peak Shifts of Cross-correlation Functions $I - P$ and $I - |V|$, the Total LP and CP Fractions, $P_{\text{tot}}/I_{\text{tot}}$ and $|V_{\text{tot}}|/I_{\text{tot}}$, and the Image-averaged Intensity-weighted Optical Depths for the Faraday Rotation and Conversion, $\langle \tau_{\text{Frot.}} \rangle$ and $\langle \tau_{\text{Fcon.}} \rangle$, from Left to Right

| Frequency   | $I - P$ Peak | $I - |V|$ Peak | $P_{\text{tot}}/I_{\text{tot}}$ | $|V_{\text{tot}}|/I_{\text{tot}}$ | $\langle \tau_{\text{Frot.}} \rangle$ | $\langle \tau_{\text{Fcon.}} \rangle$ | Figure Number |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 230 GHz     | −8 μ as      | +2 μ as       | 2.6%                             | 0.76%                            | $1.7 \times 10^2$                 | 1.1                              | Figures 2, 3, 4 |
| 86 GHz      | −25 μ as     | +17 μ as      | 4.2%                             | 0.64%                            | $1.3 \times 10^3$                 | 12                               | Figures 8, 9, 10 |

optical depths at 230 GHz; see also Appendix B for estimation maps of synchrotron emission and two Faraday effects). Here, the total flux is dominated by the emission from the jet base (green) and the inner hot disk (red) around the black hole. As for polarization components, the contribution to the LP components from the downstream of the approaching (foreground) jet dominates over that from the receding (background) jet, since the latter is suppressed by strong Faraday rotation and depolarization when propagating through the inner hot and the outer cold parts of the disk. Meanwhile, the CP image is dominated by the components from the receding jet or the inner hot disk near the black hole, which are converted over larger Faraday conversion depths than those from the approaching jet. Compared with the total intensity distribution, therefore, the LP flux is distributed in the downstream side of the jet, whereas the CP flux is distributed in the counter-side jet or around the photon ring.

As introduced above, we find that the separation of the LP and CP components from the total intensity distribution can be understood in terms of the Faraday rotation and conversion in the disc–jet structure around the black hole. In the following section, we survey such an LP–CP flux separation for plasma and observational parameters.

4. Conditions for the LP–CP Flux Separation

4.1. Dependence on the Electron-temperature Parameter $R_{\text{high}}$

In the model discussed so far, we fixed the parameters of $(R_{\text{low}}, R_{\text{high}}) = (1, 73)$ as our fiducial ones to describe proton–electron coupling in the disc–jet structure (see Equation (1)). While this choice seems reasonable in view of the recent GRMHD simulations including electron thermodynamics (Mizuno et al. 2021), a large range of $R_{\text{high}}$ values is also suggested. To see how the results depend on this particular choice of the parameter, we calculate the images at 230 GHz for five models with $R_{\text{high}} = 5, 28, 73, 238, 478$, for which we scale the mass accretion rate of $\dot{M} = (1.2, 4, 6, 10, 12) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ to reproduce the total flux of 0.5 Jy in M87*, respectively, and analyze their cross-correlation functions $I - P$ and $I - |V|$. In Figure 7, we show vertical peak separations of the cross-correlations $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ as functions of $R_{\text{high}}$. We also fit the vertical profiles with Gaussian function and plot their $1\sigma$ ranges as error bars on the figure (see Appendix E for the raw profiles of the cross-correlations). The peak positions of the $I - P$ correlation shift downward (in the downstream of the jet) by up to $\sim 10 \mu$ as, as $R_{\text{high}}$ increases. This is because the higher $R_{\text{high}}$ is, the lower the electron temperature in the disk becomes (with high plasma-$\beta$). The higher $R_{\text{high}}$ value, hence, requires higher mass accretion rate to reproduce the observed flux. As a result, such lower temperature and higher mass accretion give rise to stronger Faraday rotation in the disk (since

![Figure 7](image-url)
disk than in the jet. In the following subsections, we further survey the dependence of the LP–CP separation on observational frequencies $\nu$, observer’s inclination angles $i$, and accretion rates onto the black hole $\dot{M}$, for our fiducial model $(R_{\text{low}}, R_{\text{high}}) = (1, 73)$ with the low electron-temperature disk.

4.2. The LP and CP Separations at Multifrequencies

So far we have seen clear tendencies in the cross-correlation functions between the total intensities and the LP or CP intensities for our fiducial model. These are caused by the Faraday effects, which occur when radiation passes through the magnetized plasmas in the disk region, as described in Section 3.3. Since the Faraday effects are known to be more enhanced for lower-frequency (longer-wavelength) observations ($\rho_\nu \propto \nu^{-2} \sim \lambda^2$ for the Faraday rotation and $\rho_{Q,U} \propto \nu^{-3} \sim \lambda^3$ for the Faraday conversion; Shcherbakov 2008; Dexter 2016), we can expect that the LP–CP separation that we found at 230 GHz should be even clearer at lower frequencies, e.g., at 86 GHz. Therefore, we next survey the wavelength-dependence of the polarimetric correlations on the images, based on an angular resolution of global VLBI observations.

4.2.1. Correlation Maps of the Images at 86 GHz

We show the convolved polarimetric images at 86 GHz in Figure 8, as an example at a lower frequency. The size of the Gaussian beam, or angular resolution in VLBI observations, is assumed to be $45 \times 45$ $\mu$as, which is extrapolated from the one by the EHT at 230 GHz with the scale rule of the diffraction limit, $\propto \lambda/D$; it is a little optimistic when compared with the existing VLBI observations at 86 GHz (e.g., $123 \times 51$ $\mu$as; Kim et al. 2018). The total intensity image in the left panel shows a round emission profile on the left side on a linear scale, due to a round, larger-sized Gaussian beam profile and to the relativistic beaming effect. In the central panel, we can see the LP intensity distributed in the lower-left area on the image, which is obviously located in the downward region, compared with that of the total intensity. Note that the typical LP fraction is $\sim 20\%$. The CP components in the right panel show a broad feature by Faraday conversion and the leftward “separatrix” due to the helical magnetic field configuration and the relativistic aberration effect, at which a sign reversal occurs from a negative (on the left side) to positive sign (on the right side). The existence of such a separatrix was first noted by Tsunetoe et al. (2021), although the separatrix is here overwritten and bent by the component from the approaching (foreground) jet around the origin. In absolute values, the positive CP components in the upper right are brighter than other regions in the image.

Next, the two-dimensional correlation maps and their one-dimensional profiles in the Cartesian coordinates are shown in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. As mentioned above, we see that the peak shifts are larger at 86 GHz, compared with those at 230 GHz, because of the stronger Faraday effects. That is, the locations of the correlation peak in the left panel of

---

**Figure 8.** Same as the convolved images in Figure 2 but at 86 GHz with a larger field of view, being convolved with a Gaussian beam of $45 \mu$as (shown in the lower left of the left image). The beam size is a bit smaller than those in the present global VLBI observations at 86 GHz such as GMVA (e.g., $123 \times 51 \mu$as; Kim et al. 2018), with future observations in mind.

**Figure 9.** Same as the correlation maps of Figure 3 but for the images at 86 GHz in Figure 8.
stronger Faraday rotation the image-averaged, intensity-weighted optical depths for the maximum correlation, the relative offsets between two kinds of intensity distributions. The lower-left (also shows a separated structure of $I_{\lambda}$, which is more separated from the total $I_{\lambda}$, with a peak at $\sim 17 \mu$m (left-leaning) and $I - |V|$ with a peak at $\sim 17 \mu$m (right-leaning). These results are direct consequences of the feature seen in Figure 8 that the LP (CP) intensity is located in the upper-right (lower-left) area, relatively to the total intensity.

We summarize the results of the correlation analyses at 230 and 86 GHz in Table 1 with the total LP and CP fractions and the image-averaged, intensity-weighted optical depths for the Faraday rotation and conversion. As was explained above, the stronger Faraday rotation (conversion) at 86 GHz results in the larger separation between the total and LP (CP) intensities, than at 230 GHz. Meanwhile, giving the higher total LP fraction and lower total CP fraction at 86 GHz in spite of the stronger depolarization, the total polarization fractions do not predict the average Faraday depths, as also pointed out by Jiménez-Rosales & Dexter (2018) for the LP maps at 230 GHz of Sgr A*.

4.2.2. Dependence on Frequencies

We show the frequency-dependence of the vertical peak shifts in Figure 11: at 43, 86, 230, 345, and 690 GHz, which are calculated by assuming a Gaussian beam of 90, 45, 17, 10, and 5 $\mu$m, respectively.

As expected, the cross-correlation profiles at lower frequency show the larger tendency of the separation of the LP and CP components. That is, peaks of the cross-correlations $I - P$ (blue) trend off to the lower left up to $n\Delta y \sim 35 \mu$m (and $m\Delta x \sim 25 \mu$m; see Appendix E for more detailed information and figures) as the frequency decreases, which demonstrates that the LP flux distribution is more shifted toward the lower-left corner of the image along the beamed part of the approaching jet at lower frequencies. Likewise, peaks of $I - |V|$ (red) tend to trend toward the top ($n\Delta y \sim 15 \mu$m), meaning that the CP flux at lower frequencies down to 86 GHz is more separated from the total flux in the vertical direction. Exceptionally, the peak of the cross-correlation $I - |V|$ at 43 GHz behaves irregularly in the figure, showing coincidence of the total and CP intensity distributions (i.e., their cross-correlation shows a peak at $n\Delta y \sim 0$). In the following subsection, we interpret these results in terms of the depths of the Faraday rotation and conversion, and of the SSA in the radiative transfer process near the black hole.

4.3. Why Does CP Separation Disappear at 43 GHz?

In the previous subsection, we saw the relationship between the polarized intensity distributions and that of the total intensity at multifrequencies, finding peak separation increasing toward lower frequencies. We, however, noticed that such general tendency disappears for CPs at 43 GHz; why? Here, we describe how we understand these results by using two schematic pictures of Figure 12, in comparison with Figure 6. The left picture in Figure 12 illustrates the case in which the disk–jet system is optically thin both for the Faraday effects (rotation and conversion) and for the SSA. This corresponds to the cases when the observed frequency is high (say, 345 or 690 GHz) and/or when the accretion rate is relatively low (e.g., $\langle \tau_{\text{rot},J} \rangle \approx 19$ and $\langle \tau_{\text{con},J} \rangle \approx 0.05$ at 690 GHz; see also the frequency-dependence of the three optical depths shown in Figure 13). Here, the inner hot disk (with $\gtrsim 10^9$ K), outer cold disk (with $\lesssim 10^7$ K), and the jet are indicated by the red, light
Reach the observer without being affected by the Faraday effects nor the SSA, to emission directly come from the region near the black hole total, strong LP, and weak CP intensities at synchrotron blue, and green colors, respectively. We then see that all of the optical depths for the Faraday rotation and conversion, and the SSA, \( \langle \tau_{\text{Frot,I}} \rangle, \langle \tau_{\text{Con,I}} \rangle, \) and \( \langle \tau_{\text{SSA,I}} \rangle \) roughly follow the rules of \( \tau_{\text{Frot,I}} \propto \nu^{-2} \) and \( \tau_{\text{Con,I}} \propto \nu^{-3} \), which reflect the dependence of the coefficients of the Faraday effects, \( \rho_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-2} \) and \( \rho_{Q} \propto \nu^{-3} \).

Figure 12. Same as the schematic picture of Figure 6 but for the optically thin (left) and thick (right) cases for the Faraday rotation and conversion effects and the SSA. The left picture illustrates the case where the plasma near the black hole is optically thin both for the Faraday effects and for SSA, at higher frequencies (say, 345 and 690 GHz; see also Figure 13) or for lower mass accretion rates. In this case, all of the total, strong LP and weak CP intensities at synchrotron emission directly come from near the black hole. The right image illustrates the case where the system is Faraday thick and SSA thick at even lower frequencies (say, 43 GHz; see also Figure 13) or for even higher mass accretion rates. Here the total intensity and weak CP intensity originates from the surface of the photosphere (orange) of the disk–jet structure, while the LP flux is depolarized in the outer Faraday (rotation) thick plasma (blue) and is dominated by those from the downstream of the foreground jet. See Section 4.3 for a detailed description.

Figure 13. Frequency-dependence of the image-averaged intensity-weighted optical depths for the Faraday rotation and conversion, and the SSA, \( \langle \tau_{\text{Frot,I}} \rangle, \langle \tau_{\text{Con,I}} \rangle, \) and \( \langle \tau_{\text{SSA,I}} \rangle \). The gray dashed line corresponds to \( \tau = 1 \); \( \langle \tau_{\text{Frot,I}} \rangle \) and \( \langle \tau_{\text{Con,I}} \rangle \) roughly follow the rules of \( \tau_{\text{Frot,I}} \propto \nu^{-2} \) and \( \tau_{\text{Con,I}} \propto \nu^{-3} \), which reflect the dependence of the coefficients of the Faraday effects, \( \rho_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-2} \) and \( \rho_{Q} \propto \nu^{-3} \).

blue, and green colors, respectively. We then see that all of the total, strong LP, and weak CP intensities at synchrotron emission directly come from the region near the black hole without being affected by the Faraday effects nor the SSA, to reach the observer’s camera. We thus understand that all of the total, LP, and CP intensities originate from the same or nearby location, so that the peaks of cross-correlations \( I - P \) and \( I - |V| \) should be at zero shift; i.e., \((m\Delta x, n\Delta y) = (0, 0)\) as the frequency becomes higher in Figure 11.

Conversely, the right image in Figure 12 shows the case in which the disk–jet system is Faraday thick and SSA thick. This corresponds to the case when the observed frequency is low (say, 43 GHz) or when the accretion rate is relatively high, as was mentioned in Section 4.2.2 and will be introduced in Section 4.5 (e.g., the image-averaged intensity-weighted SSA depth is \( \langle \tau_{\text{SSA,I}} \rangle \approx 4.4 \) at 43 GHz, while \( \approx 0.11 \) at 230 GHz; see also Figure 13). Here, we can understand the exceptional behavior at 43 GHz in Figure 11 arises because of a very large SSA depth near the black hole. In such a case, the polarized emissions come only from the surface of the photosphere (indicated by the orange color). Therefore, the emitted CP intensity is not amplified and is distributed in a similar way to the total intensity, while the LP intensity is depolarized by Faraday rotation in the outer cold disk (blue) and is dominated by those from downstream of the approaching jet.

We also calculated the images and correlation functions at 22 GHz with a circular convolution beam of 90 \( \mu \)as, the same as at 43 GHz, because the beam size of 180 \( \mu \)as extrapolated from the diffraction limit is too large compared to the field of view of \( \approx 185 \mu \)as for safe analyses. The resultant images at 22 GHz show downward LPs but no upward CPs as in those at 43 GHz, which are also consistent with the description in the Faraday- and SSA-thick case. Meanwhile, they give a slightly smaller separation between the total and LP intensities compared to those at 43 GHz. This could be because the SSA photosphere (orange) drastically expands and approaches the sphere of the Faraday-rotation thick disk (blue) at 22 GHz.

In summary, we classify the behavior of the total, LP, and CP intensity distributions on the images as seen in Figure 11 into three regimes based on the optical depths, as pictured in Figures 6 and 12. At high frequencies at which the plasma is optically thin both for the Faraday effects and for the SSA, all of the total, dominant LP, and weak CP intensities are distributed in a similar way. At low frequencies at which the plasma is optically thick for the Faraday rotation and conversion, the LP distribution shifts upward while the amplified CP components are distributed downward compared with the total intensity distribution. At even lower frequencies
at which the plasma is optically thick both for the Faraday effects and for the SSA, the CPs become distributed similarly to the total intensities while the LPs continue to be distributed upward relatively to the total intensity.

4.4. Dependence on the Inclination Angle

In the context described above, one may intuitively expect that the spatial gaps among the total, LP, and CP intensities should depend on the inclination angle (viewing angle) of the observer. That is, the larger (or smaller) the inclination, or the closer an observer is to the edge-on (face-on) direction, the more (less) separated the total, LP, and CP intensity distributions are, since the longer (shorter) distance becomes projected onto the observer’s screen.

To examine the inclination angle-dependence of the correlations, we show the vertical shifts of the peaks of the correlation profiles for inclinations of $i = 150^\circ$ and $170^\circ$ in Figures 14 (see Appendix E for the profiles). Compared with our fiducial model with $i = 160^\circ$ displayed in Figure 4, we notice similar tendencies for other cases with different inclination angles; that is, a downward (or upward) shift of the cross-correlations with LP (CP), but larger (smaller) separations for larger (smaller) inclination, demonstrating the above intuition. We summarize these results for the inclination angle-dependence in Table 2.

We can thus conclude that the polarimetric correlation analyses are potentially important methods to give constraints on the inclination angle of the approaching jet in its base region, through the analyses of the separated polarization components on the images around the black hole, comparing the values constrained by observations of the larger-scaled jet at multifrequencies (e.g., $i \approx 162^\circ - 163^\circ$ ($17^\circ - 18^\circ$) in Mertens et al. 2016 and Walker et al. 2018).

4.5. Dependence on Accretion Rates onto the Black Hole $M$

In Section 4.1, we changed the parameter $R_{\text{high}}$ and accordingly scaled the mass accretion rate onto the black hole, $M$, to reproduce the observed flux of M87*. Here, we only change the accretion rate $M$ for a fixed $R_{\text{high}}$ (=73), bearing application to a variety of LLAGN jets in mind.

We calculate the images for $M = 6 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, a 10 times higher accretion rate than our fiducial model, and show the convolved images with 17 $\mu$m Gaussian beam in Figure 15. Compared with Figure 2, they show a broader emission profile consisting of the photon ring and the foreground jet, dominance of the LP intensity in the jet, and stronger CP components in the photon ring and from the background jet with the sign-flipping separatrix (see Figure 8 for the images at 86 GHz; see also Tsunetoe et al. 2021). We also show three maps of the auto- and cross-correlation functions in Figure 16. They reflect the polarimetric features described above and give larger separation between the total and LP and between the total and CP intensity distributions, than our fiducial model displayed in Figure 3.

In Figure 17, we show the vertical shifts of the peaks of the correlation functions $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ for four mass accretion rates, $M = (3, 6, 20, 60, 300) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Both of $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ give monotonic increases in their peaks as the accretion rate increases, demonstrating that the LP (or CP) intensity on the image for higher accretion rates originates from in more downward (upward) regions, relative to the total intensity emitting region (see Figure 6 and the left panel in Figure 12, see also their explanation in Sections 3.3 and 4.3).

4.6. Summary

The above results show that higher mass accretion rate $M$ leads to a higher particle density and stronger magnetic fields in nonradiative GRMHD simulations with a fixed black hole mass $M_\odot$, giving rise to stronger Faraday effects, as was shown in Section 4.1 ($\tau_{\text{prot}} \propto M^{3/2}$ and $\tau_{\text{con}} \propto M^2$). The highest accretion-rate model with $M = 3 \times 10^{-2} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ shows a somewhat different behavior; that is, it gives a small peak shift in $I - |V|$. This is because the highly accreted plasma becomes optically thick not only for the Faraday effects but also for the SSA, with $\langle \tau_{\text{SSA,J}} \rangle \approx 21$, and the polarized images are dominated by emission from the foreground photosphere (see the case at 43 GHz in Figure 11; see also the right image in Figure 12 and its explanation in Section 4.3).

The above results show that higher mass accretion rates give larger LP–CP separations, but even higher mass accretion suppresses the separation of the CPs due to the SSA effect, if the other parameters are fixed to those of M87*. This can be analogous with the LLAGNs with large-scale jets, such as 3C 279 or Cen A, because we here assume that the electrons are hotter in the jet than in the disk, and emission in the jet dominates over that in the disk. Meanwhile, we should be careful to apply these discussions to the LLAGNs without a large jet, like Sgr A*. Such LLAGNs can be modeled with the hotter disk, so that the disk emission becomes dominant. Our M87 models with higher disk temperature, as shown in Section 4.1, do not necessarily present the separation of CPs. In future works, we should statistically check the hot disk cases with various BH masses and inclination angles, bearing a variety of LLAGNs in mind.
4.6. Comparison with Observations

Here, we compare our results at multiwavelengths with existing observations including linear-polarimetry. As mentioned in Section 3.1 and also pointed out in Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. (2021a), the linear-polarimetric images at 230 GHz obtained by the EHT persistently show strong LP components in the southwest region on the ring feature. This region corresponds to the downstream side of the large-scale jet, extending from the bright region (south part of the asymmetric ring) in the total intensity image of our study, as pictured in Figure 18 (see the middle panel of Figure 2; note that the jet direction is downward in this plot). In this sense, our simulated images at 230 GHz are consistent with the observational features as was already discussed (see, e.g., Section 3.1). (Note, however, that it is observationally unclear if this region really corresponds to a jet).

We furthermore infer that this region may extend to the northwest jet, which was observed at lower frequencies (e.g., at 86 GHz). Hada et al. (2016) observed M87 jet at 86 GHz by the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) and the Green Bank Telescope, and presented the first 86 GHz polarimetric image in their Figure 10. They detected a polarized feature at ≈0.1 mas (=100 μas) downstream from the M87 core with an LP fraction of 3%–4%. Walker et al. (2018) presented the LP maps of M87 jet at 43 GHz by VLBA in their Figure 15, showing the peak of LP intensity at ≈0.15 mas (=150 μas) southwest of the core with a fractional LP of 1%–4%. Kravchenko et al. (2020) also gave the LP maps at 43 (and 24) GHz by VLBA in their Figure 1, with the LP emission peaks at ~0.1–0.2 mas (=100–200 μas) downstream with LP fraction of 2%–3% over a long period (2007–2018).

Our results at 86 GHz (and at 43 GHz) in Section 4.2 suggests that the LP intensities are distributed left-downward by 25–30 μas (30–40 μas) relatively to the total intensity, with an LP fraction of ≈20%. These are qualitatively consistent with the observations in that the LP maps at lower frequencies give larger separations from the total intensity images, suggesting that the LP components at multiwavelengths from near the black hole and the base region of the extended jet can be universally explained by a persistent description, as pictured in Figure 12. Meanwhile, the values of distances and LP fractions...
confirm the LP–CP separation feature at 230 GHz with an increased mass accretion rate of $M = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

To examine the uncertainty in the sigma cutoff $\sigma_{\text{cutoff}} < 1$, we also calculated a test model without the sigma cutoff. The resultant images at 230 GHz give only the downward LPs but not the upward CPs, because a lower mass accretion rate of $M = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ leads to small Faraday conversion depths, $(\tau_{\text{Fconv}}) \sim 0.1$. Meanwhile, the images at 86 GHz show both of the LP and CP separations due to large Faraday rotation and conversion depths.

In regards to the fluid model, we showed the MRI $Q$-values of $(Q_r, Q_\phi, Q_{\text{rot}}) = (3.23, 3.97, 11.0)$ in Section 2.1. The $Q_r$ seems sufficient compared with the fiducial value $Q \sim 6$ in Sano et al. (2004), although $Q_\phi$ and $Q_{\text{rot}}$ seem a bit insufficient. Meanwhile, these three values are insufficient compared to $Q_r \sim 10$ and $Q_{\text{rot}} \sim 20$ in Hawley et al. (2011). Based on the fact that depolarization by turbulent magnetic fields on a small scale can make a quantitative difference, we will perform highly resolved GRMHD simulations and polarized GRRT, and quantitatively analyze the results in future work.

In this work, we suggested the LP–CP separation features for the images based on semi-MAD models. It should be checked in future works whether and to what extent the LP–CP separation would be obtained for SANE or MAD models. The tendency of the LP–CP separation might be complicated by two conflicting factors: (1) We could assume that SANE models might give larger separations due to the larger Faraday depth with a higher mass accretion rate to reproduce the flux of M87*, while MADs might show smaller ones because of a lower mass accretion. (2) In contrast, another possibility is that the stronger magnetic field and higher jet velocity in MADs could result in a stronger LP flux in the approaching jet, i.e., larger LP–CP separation, which would be expected from Figure 4 in EHTC (2021b, paper VIII). In addition to those mentioned above, the separations can also be affected by the disc–jet structure and its time-variability, in particular to the MADs. Thus, it should be statistically tested both for the SANE-MAD regime and for various model parameters such as the BH spin, the electron-temperature prescription, and observer’s inclination angle.

Finally, all of the results and discussions above are based on one snapshot of the GRMHD model with different parameters at multifrequencies. To check the validity of the results for the choice of GRMHD snapshot, we newly pick up three snapshots in the quasi–steady state, in addition to the above one. Here, we calculate these four models for four different azimuthal angles of the observer’s camera, $\phi_{\text{camera}} = 0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $180^\circ$, and $270^\circ$, thus 16 images at 230 GHz in total.

As a result, we confirm the LP–CP separation with the shifts of up to $\sim 15 \mu$as in 13 out of 16 images, while the remaining three images show only $I - P$ peak shift but give both LP and CP separation in the images at 86 GHz. (See also Figure F1 in Appendix F for a scatter diagram with a histogram of $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ for these images.) Thus, we conclude that the results are robust for the choice of the GRMHD snapshot, although more statistical analyses including the time-variability should be performed in future works.

---

13 We can point out that the total (image-integrated) LP fractions in our model of 4.2% at 86 GHz and 4.6% at 43 GHz are comparable with the observed values in the peak LP regions.

14 We here distinguish the term of “choice of snapshot” from “time-variability,” in that we adapt a different scaling factor from simulation- to eggs-units for each snapshot to reproduce the M87* flux of 0.5 Jy in 2017.
5. Conclusion

While the LP and CP emissions from near the black hole and the base region of the jet can be a good tool to survey the magnetic field configuration possibly driving LLAGN jets such as M87, both observational and theoretical studies have suggested that they can be affected by the Faraday effects in magnetized plasma. In particular, for the M87 jet with a nearly face-on viewing angle ($\lambda \approx 160^\circ$) assumed, the LP vectors, especially from the background (receding) jet, can be scrambled by the Faraday rotation in the midplane disk, as pointed out by Moscibrodzka et al. (2017) and Ricarte et al. (2020). In addition, the CP components can be amplified by the Faraday conversion in the energetic region near the black hole through the medium of the Faraday rotation and twist of the fields, imprinting the direction and configuration of the magnetic fields (Tsunetoe et al. 2020, 2021; Moscibrodzka et al. 2021; Ricarte et al. 2021).

To examine and quantify the relationship between these polarization components and the plasma properties near the black hole, we calculated theoretical polarization images based on a moderately magnetized (semi-MAD) GRMHD model (with a magnetic flux in the intermediate range of $5 \lesssim \phi \lesssim 50$, which was not explicitly examined in Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2021b), and analyzed the correlation relations among the total intensity, LP, and CP components on the images. By surveying the peak shifts of correlation functions at multiwavelengths and for different model parameters, we established a unified description by three schematic pictures as in Figures 6 and 12:

1. Faraday thin and SSA thin case: at higher frequencies (i.e., 345 and 690 GHz for our fiducial model) and for lower mass accretion onto the black hole, the polarized synchrotron emission reaches to us without suffering the Faraday effects because both of the Faraday rotation and conversion are weaker. As a result, we observe the intrinsic polarization components consisting of dominant LP and weak CP with a distribution similar to the total intensity image.

2. Faraday thick and SSA thin case: the LP vectors from the background jet and the inner disk are strongly scrambled by the Faraday rotation in the disk and are depolarized after convolution by observational beam, while the CP components are amplified by the Faraday conversion near the black hole. As a result, the LP components from the downstream of the foreground (approaching) jet dominate over those from the upstream, the counter-side jet, or the photon ring, whereas the CP components are distributed around the photon ring and the counter-side jet. Thus, the downward LPs and upward CPs, relative to the total intensity distribution, are observed on the images (e.g., at 230 and 86 GHz for our fiducial model). These tendencies become more enhanced at lower frequency or for a higher mass accretion rate, as long as the SSA is not significant.

3. Faraday-thick and SSA-thick case: at even lower frequencies (say 43 GHz for our fiducial model) or for an even higher mass accretion rate, the SSA becomes significant in addition to the Faraday effects. In this case, the polarized emission comes from the surface of the photosphere. Therefore, the intrinsic CPs are observed in a similar distribution to the total intensities, while the LPs are depolarized in the outer disk and are dominated by those from the downstream.

We found that high electron-temperature disk (low $R_{\text{high}}$) models also show downward LP distributions, but do not necessarily give upward CP distributions. This is because the emission from the midplane disk, where the plasma structure is relatively turbulent, is dominant in these models, and thus the CP image is affected by the disk structure on a small scale rather than by the up- and downstream structure of the jet. Thus we can propose the LP–CP separation feature as a possible test of the proton–electron coupling in the disc–jet structure. We also confirmed that a larger viewing angle (i.e., more edge-on observer) gives a larger separation among the total, LP, and CP intensities because of the larger projected distance on the screen.

Comparing these results with existing observations of M87 by the EHT and other VLBI, we can see a persistent tendency at multifrequencies of the LP components distributed in the downstream region of the jet. We can further expect that future observations including both linear and circular polarimetries with high angular resolution at a large range of frequencies will give a strong constraint on the plasma properties such as the optical thickness for the Faraday effects and the SSA, the density/temperature distribution, and the magnetic field structure near the black hole and the jet base region.

In future works, we will examine the description obtained in this work in the context of the time-variable fluid model. As a precursor, we calculated the images of different snapshots with different azimuthal angles of the camera $\phi_{\text{camera}} = 0^\circ$ – $360^\circ$ (rotating the camera about the z-axis), and obtained the features variable but qualitatively consistent with the description for our fiducial one (e.g., Figures D1 or F1 in Appendices D and F; see also a discussion in Section 4.7). The contribution of nonthermal electrons to the synchrotron emission should be also discussed in future works, which is thought to be important especially for the images at lower frequencies. In addition, we should also verify the validity of the determination of the electron temperature, here by the $R \sim \beta$ prescription, through comparison with fluid calculations incorporating the radiative cooling.
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Appendix A
Maps of Plasma Quantities in the GRMHD Model

In Figure A1, we show the poloidal maps of four plasma quantities for our fiducial model, the plasma density $\rho$ in grams per cubic centimeter, the dimensionless electron temperature $\theta_e \equiv k_b T_e / m_e c^2$, the plasma-$\beta$ parameter, and the plasma magnetization $\sigma$. The particle density is scaled with the black hole mass $M = 6.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and the accretion rate $\dot{M} = 6 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. We take $(R_{\text{low}}, R_{\text{high}}) = (1, 73)$ in the determination of the electron temperature by Equation (1). The other two quantities are independent of the model parameters.

Figure A1. Maps of four plasma quantities in our GRMHD model with $R_{\text{low}} = 1, R_{\text{high}} = 73$. Upper left: the plasma density $\rho$ in grams per cubic centimeter. Upper right: the dimensionless electron temperature $\theta_e \equiv k_b T_e / m_e c^2$. Lower left: the plasma-$\beta$ parameter. Lower right: the plasma magnetization $\sigma$. Each map consists of a snapshot at $t = 9000t_g$ for $\phi = \pi$ in the left half and for $\phi = 0$ in the right half. In the former three maps, only the region with $\sigma < \sigma_{\text{cutoff}} = 1$ is plotted.
Appendix B
Radiative Coefficient Maps and Transfer Plots along a Light Path

We show three maps of the synchrotron emissivity \( j_x \) and coefficients of Faraday conversion and rotation, \( \rho_\phi \) and \( \rho_\psi \) at 230 GHz in Figure B1, which are estimated from the plasma density, electron temperature, and magnetic strength at \( t = 9000_\text{yr} \), ignoring the relativistic effects and the angle effect between the light path and magnetic field. Each map consists of no sigma cutoff case in the left half (\( \phi = \pi \)) and a sigma cutoff case in the right half (\( \phi = 0 \)). These estimation maps demonstrate that emissions in the edge of jet within a range of \(-5r_g < z < 5r_g\) dominate over those in the disk, except the region in the vicinity of the black hole \( r < 3r_g \), even with the sigma cutoff, while Faraday conversion and rotation are strong in the inner and outer disk, respectively, as pictured in Figure 6.

Further, we pick up a pixel pointed by a white “x” in the left image of Figure B2, and show the radiative transfer plots along the light path (shown in the central and right panels of Figure B2) in Figure B3. The pixel is located in the brightest region in the total intensity image, and around a “cross section” between the photon ring and the taillike jet feature.

We can follow up the radiative transfer plot lines of Stokes parameters in Figure B3 by four steps, referencing the radiative coefficients in Figure B1, as follows:

1. The synchrotron emission occurs in the jet-edge in the north (\( z > 0 \)) side simultaneously with the Faraday rotation and conversion processes. Combination of these effects leads to increase of both LP (\( \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2} \)) and CP (\( V \)), in addition to the total intensity \( I \), as we also introduced in Tsunetoe et al. (2020).
2. Entering the disk region around the equatorial plane, Faraday rotation becomes dominant. Thus, the LP vector is drastically rotated, giving rise to rapid oscillations of \( Q \) and \( U \).
3. In the jet-edge in the south (\( z < 0 \)) side, the emission arises again. While the total intensity increases, the rotated LP vector is partly canceled out with the new emission component. The CP does not change significantly due to weak Faraday conversion, because the light is now passing through the outer or downstream region relatively to the prior northern jet-edge. After leaving this region, the light enters the sigma cutoff region in the southern funnel region.
4. There is a low-\( \sigma \) region in the funnel distributed in a spiral shape in the three-dimensional fluid model. This feature can be seen, for example, as a “hump”-like feature along the jet-edge around \((5r_g, -5r_g)\) in the left panel of Figure B1. Here, the total intensity increases, and the LP is overwritten in a similar way to (3) in the south jet-edge, since the synchrotron emission occurs again.

As a result, we obtain the total intensity increased in the inner jet-edges and the downstream spiral low-\( \sigma \) component, which can be seen as the photon ring and the taillike jet on the image. Further, the obtained LP vector consists of the rotated components from the north (counter-side) jet-edge and the overwriting emission from the south (approaching) jet-edge. Finally, the obtained CP originates from those increased in the north (counter-side) jet-edge. Therefore, the LP map is dominated by the contributions from the approaching jet while the CP image is dominated by those from the counter-side jet, after the observational beam convolution. In this way, we demonstrate that the scenario pictured in Figure 6 actually occurs in the radiative transfer calculation.

Figure B1. Three maps of the synchrotron emissivity \( j_x \), Faraday conversion coefficient \( \rho_\phi \), and Faraday rotation coefficient \( \rho_\psi \) at 230 GHz, left to right. The values are estimated from the plasma density, electron temperature, and magnetic strength at \( t = 9000_\text{yr} \), ignoring the relativistic effects and the angle effect between the light path and magnetic field. Each map consists of no sigma cutoff case in the left half (\( \phi = \pi \)) and a sigma cutoff case in the right half (\( \phi = 0 \)). The jet emission is dominant over the disk emission, except the region in the vicinity of the BH \( r < 3r_g \). The Faraday effects are stronger in the disk than in the jet. A red circle in the left panel corresponds to the “hump”-like feature introduced in step (4) in Figure B3 and Appendix B.
Appendix C

Correlation Maps in Polar Coordinates

In Figure C1, we show three maps of auto- and cross-correlation functions \( I - I, I - P, \) and \( I - |V| \) for polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\) on the images at 230 GHz, defined by Equation (6). The positive (negative) \( j\Delta \theta \) corresponds to counterclockwise (clockwise) direction on the images. The maps have a period of \( 2\pi \) in the \( j\Delta \theta \) direction, so that they have same values in the top (\( j\Delta \theta = +\pi \)) and bottom (\( j\Delta \theta = -\pi \)).

The autocorrelation for the total intensity, \( I - I \), has a peak at \((r, \theta) = (0, 0)\) by definition. In the radial, \( r\Delta r \)-direction, two cross-correlations \( I - P \) and \( I - |V| \) deviate little from the center, reflecting the fact that most of the total, LP, and CP intensities are distributed on the common ring. Meanwhile, \( I - P (I - |V|) \) gives a peak at the positive (negative) region in the azimuthal, \( j\Delta \theta \)-direction. Now the total intensity image is brighter on the left side of the asymmetric ring feature, so these results quantify the tendency that the LP (CP) intensities are distributed in the lower left (upper left) of the common ring.

Figure B2. Left: the total intensity image at 230 GHz of our fiducial model (same with the left panel of Figure 1). We pick up a pixel around the "cross section" between the photon ring and the tail-like jet feature, shown by a white "x." Center and right: the light path corresponding to the pixel, projected to the \( y-z \) and \( x-z \) plane in the simulation coordinates, respectively.

Figure B3. The radiative transfer plots of Stokes parameters \((I, Q, U, V)\) and \(\sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}\) along the \(z\)-coordinate of the light path in Figure B2. The areas skipped by the sigma cutoff are marked with gray. The radiative process can be followed up by four steps \((1) - (4)\), as described in Appendix B.
Appendix D
Vertical Peak Shifts of $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ for the Cases Seen from Behind

In Figure D1, we show the vertical peak shifts of the cross-correlation functions for different $R_{\text{high}}$ parameters, as in Figure 7, but for the azimuthal angle position of the camera $\phi_{\text{camera}} = 180^\circ$, which corresponds to the observer on the opposite side with respect to the jet ($z$)-axis.
Appendix E
Vertical and Horizontal Profiles of Cross-correlation Functions $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ for Different Model Parameters

In the text, we showed only the vertical peak shifts of the correlation functions at the higher and lower frequencies except 230 and 86 GHz, and for various model parameters except a high accretion model with $\dot{M} = 6 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Here, we show the vertical ($y$-) and horizontal ($x$-) profiles of the correlation functions, in Figures E1 to E6.
Figure E1. $n\Delta y$ (left) and $m\Delta x$ (right) profiles of cross-correlations $I - P$ (top) and $I - |V|$ (bottom) for five parameters $R_{\text{high}} = 2, 10, 25, 80,$ and 160.
Figure E2. Same as Figure E1 but at five wavelengths of 43, 86, 230, 345, and 690 GHz.

Figure E3. Same as Figure 4 but for a high inclination angle of $i = 150^\circ$. 
Figure E4. Same as Figure 4 but for a low inclination angle of $i = 170^\circ$.

Figure E5. Same as Figure 4 but for the images for the high accretion model in Figure 15.
Appendix F
A Scatter Diagram with a Histogram of $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ Vertical Peaks for 16 Images

In Figure F1, we show a scatter diagram with a histogram of the peak shifts of $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ on the 16 images introduced in Section 4.7, where 13 out of 16 images show the LP–CP separation (in the yellow-marked region in the diagram). It also shows that nine of 16 images give $I - P$ peak shifts larger than 10 μas, while five images yield $I - |V|$ peak shift larger than 5 μas.
Figure F1. A scatter diagram with a histogram of vertical peak shifts of cross-correlations $I - P$ and $I - |V|$ on 16 images, for four snapshots (at $t = 9000t_g$, $9500t_g$, $10,000t_g$, and $11,000t_g$) and for four observer’s azimuthal angles ($\phi_{\text{camera}} = 0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $180^\circ$, and $270^\circ$). Thirteen out of 16 images show the LP–CP separation (i.e., positive $I - P$ peak and negative $I - |V|$ peak; yellow-marked region in the diagram), while the remaining three images do not present negative $I - |V|$ peak shifts. Furthermore, nine images give $I - P$ peak shifts larger than 10 $\mu$as, while five images yield $I - |V|$ peak shifts larger than 5 $\mu$as.
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