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Abstract
This retrospective study aimed to define the morphological characteristics of the distal femur in patients with hemophilia-related knee arthritis (HA) and develop precise femoral component installation during total knee arthroplasty (TKA) using a reference axis.

Computed tomography (CT) was performed in 75 patients [HA group: 34 patients, 48 knees; osteoarthritis (OA group): 41 patients, 48 knees] during 2017–2019. CT scans were constructed into three-dimensional models. We measured the medial (MPC) and lateral (LPC) posterior condyle widths, lateral anteroposterior (LAP) height, medial anteroposterior (MAP) height, mediolateral epicondyle (ML) width, and depths of the anterior patellar groove (X2) and the intercondylar notch (X4). Also, angles were measured between the posterior condylar line (PCL) and surgical transepicondylar axis (STEA) (PCA angle), anteroposterior axis (APA angle) and STEA (APA angle), anterior condylar line (ACL) and STEA (ACA angle), and clinical transepicondylar axis (CTEA) and PCL (CTA angle). ML/MAP, ML/LAP, X4/LAP, X2/LAP, and LPC/ML ratios were calculated.

There were no significant differences in any angles between the HA and OA groups (P > .05). However, the HA group had a smaller MPC (P < .05) and larger X4 than the OA group (P < .05). ML, ML/LAP, X2, MAP, and LAP showed no significant differences between the 2 groups.

ML, ML/LAP, and PCA showed no significant differences between the 2 groups. During TKA in hemophilia-related knee arthritis patients, the femoral component can be installed with PCL as the reference axis, although individual differences should be considered.

Abbreviations: CT = computed tomography, CTEA = clinical transepicondylar axis, HA = hemophilia-related knee arthritis, LAP = lateral anteroposterior height, LPC = lateral posterior condyle width, MAP = medial anteroposterior height, ML = mediolateral epicondyle width, MPC = medial posterior condyle width, OA = osteoarthritis, STEA = surgical transepicondylar axis, TKA = total knee arthroplasty, X2 = depths of the anterior patellar groove, X4 = depths of the intercondylar notch.
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1. Introduction
Hemophilia is an inherited, X-chromosome-linked, recessive bleeding disorder with a reported incidence of hemophilia A in 1/5000 male neonates [1] and hemophilia B in 1/30,000 male neonates.[2] Hemophilic arthritis, provoked by recurrent articular bleeding, is a common complication of severe hemophilia. In most cases, bleeding episodes begin during early childhood and continue into adult life. Because children do not have a conscious sense of self-protection during this period, motor organ injury with bleeding into the joint is quite common.[3] The most important musculoskeletal bleeding site is the joint space.[4] The exact musculoskeletal bleeding mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, it is probably that hemosiderosis in the synovium induces an inflammatory response that causes stimulates angiogenesis and immune system activation.[5] Over 90% of bleeding episodes occurs within the musculoskeletal system, 80% occurs within the joints.[4]

Some studies have shown characteristic radiographic changes due to hemophilia-related knee arthritis. Repeated bleeding into the knee joint leads to asymmetrical development and widening of the epiphysis, deepening of intercondylar notch, asymmetrical development of internal and external femoral condyle, femoral condyledgedevelopmental deformity, narrowing of the joint gap, formation of subchondral cysts, an irregular subchondral surface, and osteoporosis (Fig. 1).[6] Due to the femoral condyle developmental deformity, whether the femoral component can be installed in HA using PCL as reference axis in the same way as in OA need deeply thought.

TKA is an effective method for treating advanced hemophilic knee arthritis. To date, however, the morphological characteristics of the distal femur have not been studied.[7] Recurrent
particular bleeding causes distal femoral developmental deformity, which leads to difficulty selecting the correct rotational alignment of the femoral component and the installation position during TKA. No relevant studies have been reported on how to refer to the distal femoral anatomical landmarks during TKA of a patient with hemophilic knee arthritis. Therefore, we studied the morphological characteristics of the distal femur in patients with hemophilic knee arthritis who required TKA, with the aim of determining the best technique for selecting the correct rotational alignment of the femoral component and the most advantageous installation position.

2. Materials and methods
All patients with TKA in our hospital from July 2017 to December 2019 were enrolled in this study. There were 34 patients (48 knees) with hemophilic knee arthritis and 541 (620 knees) with osteoarthritis (OA), among whom 296 fulfilled the OA inclusion criteria. Among the 296 patients, in chronological order, 48 knees (41 patients) were extracted using systematic sampling. The composite of the 2 groups was divided into a study group (HA group: 34 patients, 48 knees) and a control group (OA group: 41 patients, 48 knees). Prior to undergoing TKA, we collected computed tomography (CT) scans to determine the morphological characteristics of the distal femur of the 96 knees in 75 patients. The average age of HA group was 34.5 ± 10.1 years (range 20–50 years), the average age of the OA group was 61.5 ± 2.7 years (range 55–65 years). The Ethics Committee of our hospital has approved the study (Batch Number: PJ-YX2020-010).

Inclusion criteria: male sex; no severe knee varus or valgus; for the HA group, age range of 20 to 50 years and for the OA group...
55 to 65 years; body mass index 22 to 25 kg/m²; absence of factor VIII inhibitor in HA group. Exclusion criteria: presence of a knee tumor; prior revision knee surgery; traumatic knee arthritis; rheumatoid knee arthritis; previous knee infection; previous knee surgery; or osteotomy. All surgery was completed by the same senior orthopedic surgeon.

Morphological characteristics of the distal femur were determined in all 75 patients (96 knees) using a Siemens Definition AS40 multi-slice spiral CT device (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). For this procedure, the patients were positioned so they were lying supine with their knee joints stretched straight. The scanning was performed parallel to the horizontal plane of the articular surface of the medial and lateral condyle of the distal femur. The scanning range was >10 cm from the superior pole of the patella to the distal tibial plateau, and the scanning interval was set at 2 mm. Three-dimensional reconstruction of scanned images was carried out using computer system reconstruction software, and the scanned raw data were burned onto an optical disc and exported to MIMICS 10.01 (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium). The measurement was carried out through the horizontal plane of the medial and lateral femoral condyles.

The MPC, LPC, LAP, MAP, ML, X2, and X4 were measured (Fig. 2A, 2B, 2C). The STEA, CTEA, PCL, ACL, and APA were identified on the CT images (Fig. 2D). The PCA, APSA, CTA, and ACA angles were measured, and the ML/MAP, ML/LAP, X4/LAP, X2/LAP, MPC/ML, and LPC/ML ratios were calculated. Three independent orthopedic surgeons evaluated all the data to ensure objectivity and rule out interobserver bias.

### 2.1. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0 statistical software (IBM, Inc, Armonk, NY, USA). Both sample populations were normally distributed. Metrological data subject to standard deviations. The HA and OA groups were analyzed using independent sample t test. A value of $P < .05$ was considered to indicate a significant difference.

### 3. Results

The morphological parameters for the distal femur in the 2 groups are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference between the HA and OA groups ($P > .05$) for the ML width, LAP height, MAP height, LPC width, or X2 depth. There was a between-group difference, however, in the MPC and X4 measurements: The HA group had a smaller MPC ($P < .05$) and a larger intercondylar notch ($P < .05$) than the OA group.

The proportional results of relevant data for the distal femur are presented in Table 2. The X4/LAP ratio was higher in the HA group than in the OA group ($P < .05$), whereas there was no significant difference between the groups for the X2/LAP ratio ($P > .05$). The ML/ML, MAP/LAP, and LPC/ML ratios also were not significantly different between the 2 groups ($P > .05$). The MPC/ML ratio, however, was lower in the HA group than in the OA group ($P < .05$).

The PCA was $4.3° ± 3.0°$ internally rotated relative to the STEA in the HA group and $3.3° ± 2.4°$ in the OA group. There was no significant difference in the PCA between the 2 groups ($P > .05$). The condylar twist angle was not significantly different in the 2 groups ($P > .05$). The APSA was $88.2° ±10.7°$ in the OA group and $83.4° ± 6.4°$ in the HA group ($P > .05$). There was no significant difference in the ACA angles between the 2 groups (Table 3).

### 4. Discussion

Recurrent intraarticular bleeding associated with hemophilic arthritis can lead to irreversible lesions in the articular structure. It can cause synovial hypertrophy, synovitis, degenerative changes in the articular cartilage, and subcartilage bone tissue destruction—ultimately resulting in joint deformity, fibrosis, and limited movement during adulthood.[13] Hemophilic arthropathy can affect one or more joints—primarily the knee, hip, ankle, and elbow joints—among which the knee joint is most commonly affected. If serious, patients can completely lose their ability to work and walk.[9] Although timely administration of the coagulation factor can avoid secondary joint lesions, 80% patients with severe hemophilia in developing countries suffer complications with joint lesions because of the price of drugs and the effects of their medical conditions.[10]

In this study, we found that there was no significant difference in the morphological parameters of the distal femur except for X4 and MPC. The X4 and the X4/LAP ratio was higher in the HA group than in the OA group ($P < .05$). Wangroongsab et al.[11] thought that the proper entry point for the femoral intra-medullary guiding rod during TKA should be $1.5 ± 2.01$ mm medial and $12.0 ± 2.72$ mm superior to the top of the femoral intercondylar notch. In addition, the MPC and MPC/ML ratio were lower in the HA group than in the OA group ($P < .05$). The MPC and LPC of HA group were $24.03 ± 3.94$ mm and $27.60 ± 4.92$ mm, the MPC and LPC of OA group were $(27.75 ± 3.58)$ mm and $(27.50 ± 2.20)$ mm, it is not difficult to find that the asymmetrical development of internal and external femoral condyle in HA group.

TKA is an effective method for treating advanced hemophilic arthritis. The success of TKA depends on precise placement of the implant, balance between the ligaments, and recovery of limb alignment.[12] The rotation alignment of the femoral component after TKA greatly influences the mechanics of motion, function of the knee joint, and survival of the prosthesis.[13] It can also maintain the rectangular shape of the flexion space of the knee joint and the smoothness of the joint. Rotational malalignment of the femoral component in TKA is associated with poor outcomes, including flexion instability, patellar maltracking, premature wear of the polyethylene, stiffness, anterior knee pain, and patient dissatisfaction.[13,14] During TKA, the rotation alignment and installation position of the femoral component are generally based on the anatomical signs of the distal femur. The most widely used anatomical signs are the APA, STEA, and PCL.

The transepicondylar axis may be divided into the STEA and CTEA. The STEA was established by drawing a line from the most prominent point of the lateral femoral epicondyle to a sulcus on the medial epicondyle, and the CTEA was established by drawing a line from the most prominent part of the lateral femoral epicondyle to the most prominent part of the medial epicondyle. The STEA is widely seen as a gold standard for rotational alignment of the femoral component.[13] It can define the flexion gap of the rectangle, and some believe that the rotational direction of the femoral component is parallel to the STEA. Franceschini et al.[16] suggested that, when the knee bends to $90°$, the STEA is perpendicular to the femoral mechanical axis and the tibial mechanical axis. But STEA has a fatal weakness that is not visible intraoperatively. In the present study, the CTA...
Table 1

| Morphological parameters | HA group (n = 34) Mean ± SD (range) | OA group (n = 41) Mean ± SD (range) | t    | P values |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|----------|
| ML width (mm)            | 82.97 ± 5.79 (73.7, 93.1)          | 82.67 ± 3.68 (77.4, 91.6)          | 0.214| .831     |
| LAP height (mm)          | 61.76 ± 5.30 (52.1, 71)            | 63.29 ± 3.38 (56.9, 69.8)          | −1.192| .241     |
| MAP height (mm)          | 58.35 ± 5.87 (46.5, 70.2)          | 60.30 ± 3.66 (53.2, 67.7)          | −1.382| .175     |
| MPC width (mm)           | 24.03 ± 3.94 (13.2, 28.9)          | 27.75 ± 3.58 (23.4, 38.2)          | −3.432| .001     |
| LPC width (mm)           | 27.60 ± 4.92 (19, 39.2)            | 27.50 ± 2.20 (23.4, 32.7)          | 0.095| .925     |
| X4 depth (mm)            | 26.71 ± 5.64 (17.9, 39.9)          | 21.29 ± 3.10 (14.3, 36.3)          | 4.128| .000     |
| X2 depth (mm)            | 8.78 ± 5.92 (2.2, 16)              | 8.07 ± 2.74 (2.8, 13.4)            | 0.691| .493     |
and ACA were not significantly different in the HA and OA groups ($P > .05$).

The APA, from the highest point in the intercondylar notch to the deepest point of the trochlea, has an important role in the reference axis of the rotating alignment of the femoral component. In 1 study, the distal femur was evaluated in 50 cadavers, with the results showing that the Whiteside line is perpendicular to the STEA. Cho et al showed that the APA can be applied to posterior condylar bone erosion or hypoplasia, but it is difficult to identify varus or valgus deformity due to destructive arthritis or trochlear dysplasia in the knee. Using APA alone to determine the rotation of the femoral component in patients with medial OA may lead to excessive external rotation.

The PCL was determined by drawing a line between the most distal point of the distal lateral to the distal medial femur internally rotated 3° relative to the STEA. In most cases, determining the STEA is difficult in most cases, and the PCL is much easier to determine intraoperatively: The anterior bone cut is usually made in 3° of external rotation relative to the PCL, and the STEA is parallel to the 3° of external rotation of the PCL. Some studies have shown that in most TKAs, the PCL is much easier to find intraoperatively, with 3° to 5° external rotation of the PCL typically used as a reference axis to install the femoral component to balance the flexion gap. Nevertheless, one must consider the individualization factor. We generally use PCL as a reference axis when installing the femoral component.

There was no significant difference in the ML, ML/LAP, or PCA between the HA and OA groups ($P > .05$). When TKA was performed, the reference axis between the 2 groups showed no

### Table 2
The proportions of relevant data of the distal femur.

| Proportions | HA group (n=34) Mean ± SD (range) | OA group (n=41) Mean ± SD (range) | t    | P values |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| ML/LAP      | $1.35 ± 0.11 (1.12, 1.55)$        | $1.31 ± 0.08 (1.20, 1.50)$        | 1.432| .159     |
| ML/MAP      | $1.43 ± 0.12 (1.23, 1.70)$        | $1.38 ± 0.09 (1.18, 1.55)$        | 1.798| .079     |
| MPC/ML      | $0.29 ± 0.05 (0.17, 0.38)$        | $0.34 ± 0.04 (0.27, 0.46)$        | -3.843| .000     |
| LPC/ML      | $0.33 ± 0.06 (0.25, 0.49)$        | $0.33 ± 0.03 (0.30, 0.39)$        | 0.031| .976     |
| X4/LAP      | $0.45 ± 0.08 (0.29, 0.57)$        | $0.35 ± 0.04 (0.24, 0.43)$        | 0.392| .000     |
| X2/LAP      | $0.14 ± 0.07 (0.04, 0.20)$        | $0.13 ± 0.04 (0.05, 0.20)$        | 1.019| .315     |

### Table 3
The relevant angles of the distal femur.

| Angles | HA group (n=34) Mean ± SD (range) | OA group (n=41) Mean ± SD (range) | t    | P values |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| ACA    | $6.3° ± 4.1° [1°, 17°]$           | $6.2° ± 4.5° [0°, 15°]$           | 0.101| .920     |
| PCA    | $4.3° ± 3.0° [1°, 12.4°]$         | $3.3° ± 2.4° [1°, 10°]$           | 1.187| .241     |
| CTA    | $4.8° ± 3.0° [0°, 10.4°]$         | $5.3° ± 3.0° [1°, 12.1°]$         | -0.801| .427     |
| APSA   | $88.2° ± 10.7° [71°, 111°]$       | $85.4° ± 6.4° [71°, 96.3°]$       | 1.892| .066     |
| AOB    | $135.6° ± 19.1° [101°, 162°]$     | $139.1° ± 8.9° [118.2°, 156°]$    | -0.819| .419     |

5. Conclusions

There were no significant differences in the PCA, ML, or ML/LAP ratio between the HA and OA groups. Consequently, when TKA is performed in patients with hemophilic knee arthritis, the femoral component can be installed with PCL as the reference axis, as it is for OA patients. Individual differences, however, should always be considered.
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