A ring accelerator for matter-wave solitons
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Abstract – We describe a new technique to accelerate and control a confined cloud of ultracold neutral atoms. In our configuration, a Bose-Einstein condensate trapped in a ring is accelerated by using an amplitude-modulated optical potential. The spreading of the atomic cloud is avoided by an adequate tuning of the scattering length. We also discuss other variations that offer new possibilities of robust control of atomic solitons. Our numerical results show that this cold matter accelerator is easily accessible in the frame of current experiments.
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Introduction. – The control of neutral atomic beams is a challenging problem in physics due to its potential applications in multiple fields like atom interferometry [1], coherent control [2], atom clocks [3] or quantum information [4], amongst others. The most common tool used to exert forces in neutral atoms are radiative interactions [5] that allow to exchange momentum between photons and atoms, as in the case of radiative pressure. Another good example is the dipole force, which appears in a laser intensity gradient and which can be interpreted in terms of absorption-stimulated emission cycles [6]. These processes allow to trap and cool atoms and are the basis of fundamental experiments with ultracold atoms like Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in alkali gases [7].

In the present work we will describe a simple technique that can be used to accelerate an ultracold beam of neutral atoms stored in a ring reservoir [8]. The first of these storage devices was the nevatron [9], which consists of a simple double-wire magnetic structure. After the nevatron, other schemes have been developed. In [10] a circular magnetic waveguide created by four coaxial circular electromagnets was proposed. In [11] the condensate storage ring was obtained by using the quadrupole field created by a four-wire geometry. In all these models, the condensate moves in regular cycles with constant angular velocity and the cloud spreads along the ring during beam propagation. The method we propose overcomes the previous problems. It allows to increase the angular velocity of a BEC confined in a ring and to avoid the spreading of the cloud. Thus, the final result is a compact beam of ultracold neutral atoms that can be accelerated indefinitely with the only limitation of the lifetime of the BEC.

The trick is twofold: in first place, we propose the use of a static amplitude-modulated optical potential. The idea is similar to a previous proposal [12] showing how to accelerate a condensate by employing a moving lattice, that transmits momentum to the condensate in packets of $2k\hbar$ (being $k$ the wave vector of the optical lattice). In second place, to avoid the spreading of the condensate during propagation and to get a suitable control of its motion, the scattering length has to be tuned to adequate negative values. The final result is a compact atomic soliton with increasing velocity in each round. The maximum final speed that can be achieved depends on the lifetime of the condensate which limits the number of cycles. We have also simulated the effect of frequency-modulation (FM) lattices in order to show other phenomena like controllable localization of the cloud.

In all the cases, our numerical calculations show that the parameters we use fit with usual values of current experiments.

Thus, we will first introduce the model and equations that we have used in this work, then we will present the numerical simulations showing acceleration of a beam in one and two-dimensional configurations with AM lattices. We will also include some analytical calculations that corroborate the numerical results. Finally, we will show the effect of FM lattices that allow to control the position of the condensate.
System and modeling equations. – A sketch of our system is plotted in fig. 1. It consists of a trapping ring illuminated with a periodic optical lattice. The mathematical description of the dynamics of the cloud is given by a Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation of the form

$$\frac{i\hbar}{\partial t} \Psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi + V(\vec{r}) \Psi + U|\Psi|^2 \Psi,$$

where $\Psi$ is the order parameter, normalized to the number $N$ of atoms in the cloud: $N = \int |\Psi|^2 \, d^3r$. $U = \frac{4\pi \hbar^2 a}{m}$ characterizes the 2-body interaction determined by the value of the scattering length $a$. In our model, we consider a ring potential $V(r, \theta)$ of radius $r_0$ and thickness $2L_\perp$ which varies azimuthally in the following form:

$$V(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} V_r - V_0 f(\theta), & r_0 - L_\perp \leq r \leq r_0 + L_\perp, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere}, \end{cases}$$

being

$$f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & -\alpha \leq \theta \leq 0, \\ A(\theta) \sin^2(\nu \theta), & 0 \leq \theta \leq \beta, \\ 0, & \beta \leq \theta \leq 2\pi - \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the trap has an angular dependence on the azimuthal variable $\theta$ as is sketched in fig. 1 and explicitly plotted in the upper-right picture of fig. 2. This configuration can be easily created by optical means. With this scheme, the BEC is initially confined by a square potential in a region of angular dimension $-\alpha \leq \theta \leq 0$ along the ring. The scattering length is negative, allowing the cloud to form a soliton. The trapping well is connected to an optical lattice of variable amplitude $A(\theta)$. In order to produce experimentally the optical lattice, we suggest the use of adequate phase masks that can produce radial periodic distributions of light as is shown in [13]. In order to generate a variable amplitude of the lattice the beam should shine the mask with an adequate tilt, which will induce an increasing amplitude along the ring.

To put the atomic soliton into motion, an initial kick is given to the cloud by a laser beam. Once the condensate has left the trap and circulates through the ring, the initial confining potential can be removed and $a$ is held at a negative value along the whole cycle.

Soliton acceleration. – In fig. 2, we show a one-dimensional version of our model. In this case, we consider that $V_r \gg V_0$ and thus the cloud is tightly confined in the plane perpendicular to the ring. We have analyzed the case of a set of $N \approx 10^5$ $^7$Li atoms with a negative scattering length. We must stress that our results are valid for other atomic species like $^{85}$Rb or $^{133}$Cs provided that $a$ is tuned to negative values. In our simulations we have used $a = -2$ nm, which is easily accessible by adequate tuning of Feshbach resonances [14]. We have chosen a ring such that the transverse width of the cloud is $w_\perp = 0.6$ $\mu$m. The longitudinal dimension of the cloud is $w \approx 10w_\perp$, thus for this cigar shape configurations, transverse effects can be neglected in a first approximation.

As can be seen in the upper plots of fig. 2, the potential along the ring consists of two parts: a square trap of width $L$ (dotted line) and a periodic lattice connected to it. Once the laser puts the cloud into motion, if the value of $a$ is negative enough, a robust atomic soliton [15] is emitted through the lattice. Thus, $a$ avoids the spreading of the cloud during propagation [16] allowing long times for handling the cloud.

Once the condensate has been emitted from the trap, it is necessary that the amplitude $A(\theta)$ of the lattice
increases along the ring in order to get acceleration of the center of mass of the cloud [17]. This can be appreciated in the lower pictures of fig. 2. In fig. 2a), we show the effect of a lattice with constant amplitude. As can be seen in the caption, no acceleration is obtained. In fig. 2b) one can appreciate the acceleration effect obtained with an exponentially modulated AM lattice, which is a configuration very suitable for experiments.

We must stress that similar results can be obtained with any rapidly growing function, as we have tested numerically. This acceleration can be explained qualitatively with a variational method [18]. This well-know technique uses a Gaussian trial function to average the Lagrangian density of eq. (1). As a result, a second-order ordinary differential equation is found for the center of mass of the condensate, located at an angle $\theta_0(t)$:

$$\ddot{\theta}_0 = -\frac{1}{4mr_0w} \frac{dI}{d\theta_0},$$

(3)

where dots designate time derivatives, $m$ is the atomic mass, $w$ is the longitudinal with of the cloud, $r_0$ the radius of the ring reservoir and $I$ is the following integral:

$$I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\frac{r_0^2(\theta - \theta_0)^2}{w^2} \right] V_0 f(\theta) d\theta.$$  (4)

Once the condensate has been emitted, if we assume that the frequency of the lattice is much faster than the oscillations of the condensate, we can approximate $f(\theta) = A(\theta) \sin^2(2\nu \theta) \approx A(\theta)/2$, where the factor $1/2$ comes from
averaging over the sine function that defines the lattice. In fact, our numerical simulations show that there is a critical value of the lattice period below which the soliton is only affected by the modulation function \(A(\theta)\) and not by the lattice. If we assume an exponential growth of the form \(A(\theta) = \exp(\eta \theta)\), we can obtain a compact formula for the acceleration of the atomic soliton:

\[
\dot{\theta}_0 = B \exp(\eta \theta_0), \tag{5}
\]

being \(B = \eta V_0 e^{(\eta w/2r_0)^2/(8mr_0^2)}\). Equation (5) can be easily integrated for initial conditions \(\theta_0(0) = \dot{\theta}_0(0) = 0\) yielding

\[
\theta_0(t) = \ln \left[1 - \tan^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{B\eta}{2}} t\right)\right]. \tag{6}
\]

Equations (5) and (6) fit the numerical simulation within an error of about 15%. Taking this factor into account, the agreement between the numerical simulation and the variational calculation is both qualitative and quantitative.

We must finally stress that the lattice should be switched off before the soliton reaches the boundary in order to avoid reflections. Repeating the process periodically, it is possible to obtain acceleration cycles that increase the angular velocity of the beam. For the experimental parameters given above we obtained a maximum variation of 30 mm/s per cycle of about 1 s, without spreading of the cloud and using a static lattice. This supposes a significant breakthrough comparing with previous proposals [19].

Fig. 4: (Color online) Numerical simulation of the ring configuration. Each frame has been taken at regular time intervals from \(t = 0\) to \(t = 8000 \nu_\perp^{-1}\). As can be seen in the pictures, the cloud does not spread during the cycles.

Fig. 5: (Color online) Effect of an AM lattice with linear decrease of the amplitude. The spatial period of the lattice is 4.5\(L_\perp\) in a) and 6.99\(L_\perp\) in b). The vertical axis is time from \(t = 0\) to \(t = 5400/\nu_\perp\). The rest of the parameters are the same as in fig. 2.
In order to check the validity of the one-dimensional model, we display in fig. 3 a series of images from a two-dimensional simulation in a rectilinear trap. The lattice in fig. 3 has twenty-five lines in the space of the initial soliton size. For our simulations, this means a fringe size of \( \approx 0.5 \mu m \), which can be easily obtained experimentally. The frames have been taken at the same intervals of time from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = 2.5 \) s. The other parameters are the same as in fig. 2, b). As can be appreciated from the pictures, the cloud keeps its shape in time, thus constituting a two-dimensional Bose-Einstein soliton. This is achieved as a combination of the guiding ring, the lattice and the nonlinear interactions. The periodic boundary conditions of the simulation allow to repeat the process for several cycles.

In fig. 4 we show the full ring configuration. The numerical values are the same as in the previous figures. Each frame has been taken at regular time intervals from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = 550/\nu_{\perp} \). As can be seen in the pictures, the cloud does not spread during the cycles. The combination of the guiding ring and the nonlinearity forms a \textit{rotary soliton} [20] which can be accelerated in each cycle. This opens new experimental possibilities with ultracold atom beams like particle colliders or precision atom interferometers.

**Soliton motion control.** – The control of the motion of the Bose-Einstein soliton, can be achieved by using AM or FM lattices. Figure 5 shows the effect of an AM lattice with linear decrease of the amplitude. The vertical axis is time from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = 4500/\nu_{\perp} \) for (a), and to \( t = 4000/\nu_{\perp} \) for (b). The rest of the parameters are the same as in fig. 2. As can be appreciated in the picture, the soliton turns back due to the decrease in the amplitude of the lattice. This effect could have potential use in the design of precise atomic interferometers. As can be appreciated by comparing both images a) and b) in fig. 5, the turning point can be precisely adjusted by simply changing the frequency of the lattice.

On the other hand, if the frequency of the lattice decreases along the ring of propagation, the soliton can be stopped at a given position. As is shown in fig. 6, this allows a robust control of the atomic beam along the ring. We have verified that the solution can be generalized for a 2D numerical simulation and different modulations of the lattice.

**Conclusions.** – In this work we have described a new scheme for obtaining acceleration of ultracold atomic beams. Our system is capable of both increasing the angular velocity of a BEC stored in a ring reservoir while avoiding its spreading. We have also discussed other effects that can be obtained by using AM and FM lattices which allow a robust control of the motion of the condensate. Our results are fully testable in the frame of current experiments with ultracold atomic gases.
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