Bypass of Dfi1 Regulation of Candida albicans Invasive Filamentation by Iron Limitation
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ABSTRACT Candida albicans filamentation, the ability to convert from oval yeast cells to elongated hyphal cells, is a key factor in its pathogenesis. Previous work has shown that the integral membrane protein Dfi1 is required for filamentation in cells grown in contact with a semisolid surface. Investigations into the downstream targets of the Dfi1 pathway revealed potential links to two transcription factors, Sef1 and Czf1. Sef1 regulates iron uptake and iron utilization genes under low-iron conditions, leading us to hypothesize that there exists a link between iron availability and contact-dependent invasive filamentation. In this study, we showed that Sef1 was not required for contact-dependent filamentation, but it was required for wild-type (WT) expression levels of a number of genes during growth under contact conditions. Czf1 is required for contact-dependent filamentation and for WT levels of expression of several genes. Constitutive expression and activation of either Sef1 or Czf1 individually in a dfi1 null strain resulted in a complete rescue of the dfi1 null filamentation defect. Because Sef1 is normally activated in low-iron environments, we embedded WT and dfi1 null cells in iron-free agar medium supplemented with various concentrations of ferrous ammonium sulfate (FAS). dfi1 null cells embedded in media with a low concentration of iron (20 μM FAS) showed increased filamentation in comparison to mutant cells embedded in higher concentrations of iron (50 to 500 μM). WT cells produced filamentous colonies in all concentrations. Together, the data indicate that Dfi1, Czf1, Sef1, and environmental iron regulate C. albicans contact-dependent filamentation.

IMPORTANCE Candida albicans is an opportunistic pathogen responsible for a larger proportion of candidiasis and candidemia cases than any other Candida species. The ability of C. albicans cells to invade and cause disease is linked to their ability to filament. Despite this, there are gaps in our knowledge of the environmental cues and intracellular signaling that triggers the switch from commensal organism to filamentous pathogen. In this study, we identified a link between contact-dependent filamentation and iron availability. Over the course of tissue invasion, C. albicans cells encounter a number of different iron microenvironments, from the iron-rich gut to iron-poor tissues. Increased expression of Sef1-dependent iron uptake genes as a result of contact-dependent signaling will promote the adaptation of C. albicans cells to a low-iron-availability environment.
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presence of serum, certain nutrient deficiencies, and growth in contact with a semi-solid surface (7–11).

The integral membrane protein Dfi1 has been shown to be important in contact-dependent filamentation (12). Signaling through Dfi1 during growth on agar medium results in the binding of calcium-bound calmodulin to the cytoplasmic Dfi1 tail (13). This binding leads to the phosphorylation of the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase Cek1, setting off a phosphorylation cascade that leads to the induction of filamentation. Deletion of both alleles of DFI1 results in a filamentation defect in cells grown on or embedded in agar medium. It has been shown that a deletion of DFI1 also results in a reduction in lethality of C. albicans in the intravenously inoculated mouse model of systemic candidiasis (12, 13). Cells with a defect in DFI1 are still able to form filaments in response to other cues, such as presence of serum, as demonstrated previously (12). Despite this knowledge, the downstream genetic targets of the Dfi1 pathway are still yet to be identified.

In order to successfully invade tissues and cause disease, C. albicans must be able to thrive in many different iron microenvironments. While in the gastrointestinal tract, the amount of available iron is relatively high, whereas in the bloodstream or tissue, iron is sequestered by the host and less available to Candida (14). Throughout the process of filamentation and invasion of host tissue, C. albicans thus encounters a change in iron availability.

In order to thrive in all of these environments, C. albicans has developed a network of factors that allow it to adapt to different levels of available iron. Iron uptake and utilization are primarily controlled by two transcription factors, Sef1 and Sfu1. Sef1 is responsible for upregulating iron uptake genes in environments with low iron (15). In high-iron environments, iron uptake pathways are repressed. Under high-iron conditions, phosphorylated Sfu1 binds to the SEF1 promoter in the nucleus, preventing transcription, and to Sef1 protein in the cytosol, tagging Sef1 for degradation (16). When starved for iron, Sef1 becomes phosphorylated, preventing Sfu1 binding. Sef1-P can then enter the nucleus, where it promotes the transcription of iron uptake and utilization genes (16). Furthermore, Sef1 has been shown to be required for virulence in a murine model (15).

Iron availability has been shown to influence filamentation during liquid and plated growth of mutants lacking the important regulator of filamentation Efg1p (17). However, effects of iron availability specific to filamentation during growth in embedded conditions via the Dfi1p pathway have not been previously described.

Here, we uncover a novel connection between contact-dependent filamentation and iron availability. To identify transcriptional targets of the Dfi1 pathway, we screened for genes that were upregulated during Dfi1 pathway activation using transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq). Numerous members of the Sef1 regulon were identified as differentially expressed in the presence or absence of Dfi1p. Further investigations revealed that Sef1 activation is able to bypass the invasive filamentation defect of the Dfi1 null mutant and promote contact-dependent filamentation. Taken together, the results demonstrate a role for Sef1 in the induction of C. albicans filamentation and invasion.

RESULTS

Artificial activation of Dfi1 to identify downstream targets. The Dfi1 pathway is activated during growth of C. albicans on a semisolid surface (12). While growth of colonies on the surface of agar models physiological growth in contact with a semisolid surface, these colonies contain a heterogeneous population of cells that were exposed to numerous different microenvironments. For example, some cells are exposed to the air, some are in the center of the colony, and some are in contact with the agar surface. Because of the heterogeneous nature of colonies grown on agar and in order to increase the sensitivity of gene expression analyses, a method to artificially activate the Dfi1 pathway in liquid culture was developed. The approach was based on the
previous observation that treating liquid cultures of *C. albicans* with the calcium ionophore A23187 in the presence of calcium activates the Dfi1 pathway because the treatment favors binding of calcium-bound calmodulin to the cytoplasmic Dfi1 tail (13).

Therefore, Ca\(^{2+}\)/A23187 treatment was used to activate Dfi1-dependent Cek1p activation, and thus downstream gene expression, as described previously (13). Briefly, log-phase cells from wild-type (WT) and *dfl1* null strains growing in minimal medium were treated with 4 \(\mu\)M A23187 or a vehicle control, in Ca\(^{2+}\)-containing medium as described in Materials and Methods. After 30 min of treatment, cells were harvested in RNAlater. RNA was extracted from cells stored in RNAlater, as described in Materials and Methods. RNA from three independent cultures of WT and *dfl1* cells with and without Ca\(^{2+}\)/A23187 treatment was sent to the Tufts University Core Facility for RNA-seq analysis. The Illumina TruSeq RNA library preparation kit was used to prepare samples for Illumina sequencing. Reads were aligned to the *Candida albicans* SC5314 genome (assembly ASM18296v3) using Bowtie, and differential gene expression was analyzed using Cuffdiff. A total of 6,264 genes were analyzed for each treatment group.

To identify targets of the Dfi1 pathway, the following comparisons were made: gene expression in untreated WT cells versus gene expression in WT cells treated with Ca\(^{2+}\)/A23187, gene expression in WT cells treated with Ca\(^{2+}\)/A23187 versus gene expression in *dfl1* null cells treated with Ca\(^{2+}\)/A23187, and gene expression in untreated WT cells versus gene expression in untreated *dfl1* null cells (Fig. 1A to C). For each of these comparisons, genes that were significantly differentially expressed (2-fold or greater) were identified. This analysis resulted in identification of 207, 123, and 156 genes, respectively, or 383 distinct genes. For each of these 383 genes, expression could be increased or decreased or show no change in each of the 3 comparison groups, resulting in 27 different possible patterns of gene expression. We focused on genes that showed differential expression in 2 or more of the 3 comparisons. Analysis of the 383 genes resulted in 93 genes that were differentially regulated in 2 or more of the comparison groups. These 93 genes represented 15 distinct patterns of gene expression (Fig. 1D and Table 1).

Interestingly, of these 93 genes, 13 were members of the Sef1 regulon. These genes are represented in bold in Table 1. Sef1 is a transcription factor that is responsible for upregulating genes for iron uptake. It is active in low-iron environments, and its expression and activation are repressed in high-iron environments. The Sef1 regulon is made up of 92 genes, so to have a number of these genes identified as potential targets of the Dfi1 pathway was of particular intrigue. Effects of the *dfl1* mutation on expression of *SEF1* or a second transcription factor, CZF1 (discussed below), were not detected, indicating that transcription of these genes was not altered by the absence of Dfi1 under the conditions of these experiments.

**Sef1 is not necessary for contact-dependent filamentation.** Based on the RNA-seq data that indicated a potential connection between Dfi1, contact-dependent filamentation, and the transcription factor Sef1, a role for Sef1 in contact-dependent filamentation was tested. A *sef1* null mutant strain (18) was shown to exhibit normal yeast morphology during growth in liquid culture (see Fig. S1A, left, in the supplemental material) and did not have a growth defect in rich, high-iron media (Fig. S1B) or in minimal low-iron media (Fig. S2A and B).

To determine whether Sef1 plays a necessary role in contact-dependent filamentation, we measured the ability of the *sef1* null mutant strain to produce filamentous colonies under embedded conditions. The WT and *sef1* null strains were embedded in yeast extract-peptone-sucrose (YP5) media and grown as described in Materials and Methods. Representative images of embedded colonies are shown in Fig. S1A, right. Results from the embedded filamentation assay are shown in Fig. S1C. On day 3, both the WT and *sef1* null strains exhibited about 90% filamentous colonies (Fig. S1C, left). By day 4, both strains showed 100% filamentous colonies, and thus, no difference between the WT and *sef1* null cells (Fig. S1C, right) was detected. This finding indicates that *SEF1* is not necessary for contact-dependent filamentation. Because expression and activation of Sef1 are repressed under high-iron conditions, this assay was also
performed using minimal, low-iron media. Under these conditions, a filamentation defect was not observed (Fig. S2C). These data indicate that Sef1 is not required for contact-dependent filamentation under either medium condition.

While the use of the calcium ionophore A23187 was useful for initial identification of targets of the Df1 pathway, this treatment is an artificial method for activating the Df1 pathway. Further gene expression analyses were performed using growth on the surface of agar to test for changes in gene expression under conditions in which the Df1 pathway was activated in a more physiologically relevant manner. To determine whether Sef1 plays a role in regulation of gene expression during growth on the surface of agar, we analyzed transcript levels for several genes. As stated above, 13 of 92 genes belonging to

---

**FIG 1** RNA-seq identified Df1 pathway-dependent gene expression. Following overnight growth in CM-U at 25°C, WT and dfl1 null mutant cells were treated with either the calcium ionophore A23187 (4 μM) or a vehicle control (100% ethanol). After 30 min of treatment, cells were harvested and frozen in RNAlater. RNA extracts were sent for RNA-seq analysis. Results are displayed in volcano plots. Genes in red are differentially regulated 2-fold or greater with a P value of <0.05. The number of genes in red is displayed above plot. (A) Genes differentially expressed in the WT treated with A23187 versus WT cells treated with vehicle control. (B) Genes differentially expressed in WT cells treated with vehicle control versus dfl1 null mutant cells treated with vehicle control. (C) Patterns of relative gene expression represented in the RNA-seq data. The letter below each pattern indicates corresponding information in Table 1. The number below each letter indicates the number of genes that exhibit each pattern.
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TABLE 1 Patterns of gene expression in response to Dfi1 pathway activation by A23187 treatment

| Pattern | Description | No. of genes | Gene(s) |
|---------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| A       | Expressed at a higher level in the WT than in the dfi1 null strain. Expression is upregulated by Dfi1 activation in both the WT and dfi1 null strains, but expression in activated WT cells is higher than in dfi1 null activated cells. | 1 | CFL5 |
| B       | Expressed at a higher level in the dfi1 null strain than in the WT. Expression is upregulated by Dfi1 activation in WT cells. Lower expression in treated dfi1 null cells than in untreated dfi1 null cells. | 3 | AMO1, HGT20, NUP |
| C       | No difference in expression in untreated WT and dfi1 null cells. Expression increases in WT cells when Dfi1 is activated but not in dfi1 null cells. | 6 | BMT9, CSA1, GAP2, HAP3, OPT1, SOD4 |
| D       | Expressed at higher levels in the dfi1 null strain than in the WT. Expression increases during ionophore treatment, regardless of whether Dfi1 is present. Expression of these genes is higher in treated dfi1 null cells than in treated WT cells. | 4 | DDR48, ICL1, orf19.2125, orf19.6816 |
| E       | No difference in expression in untreated WT and dfi1 null cells. Upon ionophore treatment, expression is increased relative to the untreated controls. Upon ionophore treatment, expression increases more in dfi1 null cells than in WT cells. | 7 | ECM331, RTA2, SLP3, orf19.2048, orf19.4476, orf19.4612, orf18.711 |
| F       | Expressed at higher levels in dfi1 null cells than in WT cells. Upon ionophore treatment, expression increases in WT cells. There is no response to ionophore treatment in dfi1 null cells. | 14 | AAT1, AMO2, CAN2, CIP1, GCCV2, QDR1, SEO1, SHM2, SNO1, SNZ1, orf19.1306, orf19.3222, orf19.3810, orf19.6017 |
| G       | Expressed at lower levels in untreated dfi1 null cells than their WT counterparts. Upon ionophore treatment, expression decreases in both WT and dfi1 null cells. | 2 | DF11, PGA26 |
| H       | No difference in expression in untreated WT and dfi1 null cells. Upon ionophore treatment, expression decreases in both WT and dfi1 null cells. The decrease in expression is greater in dfi1 null cells than WT cells. | 6 | ATO1, ATO2, CSR1, POL93, ZRT2, orf19.6035 |
| I       | No difference in expression in untreated WT and dfi1 null cells. Upon ionophore treatment, expression decreases in WT cells but not in dfi1 null cells. | 12 | ALS1, CCC1, CCP1, CRD2, GLX3, HEM4, ISA1, MCP1, NIP7, SDH2, SEF2, WH11 |
| J       | Expressed at lower levels in untreated dfi1 null cells than in the WT. Upon ionophore treatment, expression decreases in WT cells, but there is no change of expression in dfi1 null cells. | 1 | HGT12 |
| K       | Expressed at higher levels in untreated dfi1 null cells than in WT cells. Upon ionophore treatment, expression decreases in both WT and dfi1 null cells. The decrease is such that the difference in expression between WT and dfi1 null is no longer significant. | 2 | OSM2, orf19.2038 |
| L       | Expressed at higher levels in untreated dfi1 null cells than in WT cells. Upon ionophore treatment, expression decreases in both WT and dfi1 null cells. | 1 | BRG1 |
| M       | Expressed at higher levels in untreated dfi1 null cells than in WT cells. Upon ionophore treatment, expression decreases in both WT and dfi1 null cells, but expression decreases more in dfi1 null cells than in WT cells. | 1 | orf19.6079 |
| N       | Expressed at lower levels in dfi1 null cells than in WT cells. There is no response to ionophore treatment in either WT or dfi1 null cells. | 4 | FAV1, GUT1, PGA31, orf19.938 |
| O       | Expressed at lower levels in WT than in dfi1 null cells. There is no response to ionophore treatment in either WT or dfi1 null cells. | 29 | ALS2, ALS4, ASR1, ASR2, BMT4, CIRT48, CSH1, FGR17, GRP2, HSP12, PEX7, STF2, UCF1, orf19.1862, orf19.2371, orf19.2959.1, orf19.33, orf19.3439, orf19.4216, orf19.5468, orf19.5514, orf19.5626, orf19.5752, orf19.6311, orf19.670.2, orf19.7085, orf19.7310 |

*Patterns of gene expression identified in Fig. 1D. Gene patterns are identified by letters A to O.
*A description of the pattern of gene expression and the number of genes in each category is provided.
*The common names of the genes are listed. Gene names in bold represent genes that are members of the Sef1 regulon.

the Sef1 regulon were identified as potential targets of the Dfi1 pathway. We investigated whether the expression of these genes differed from that of other Sef1 regulon genes that were not identified by the RNA-seq experiment. Additionally, we analyzed the expression of other genes that are known to be activated under low-iron conditions but
are not regulated by Sef1. For this analysis, we identified a collection of 13 genes to examine. The genes fall into 3 general categories: RNA-seq hits that are members of the Sef1 regulon (CFL5, BMT9, CSA1, OPT1, and SOD4), members of the Sef1 regulon that were not RNA-seq hits (CFL1, CFL2, FET31, FTR1, GDH3, and MRS4), and genes that are regulated by low iron (19, 20) but were not identified by RNA-seq and are not members of the Sef1 regulon (FET33 and FTR2). To investigate whether expression of these genes was altered in the sef1 null mutant, we harvested cells grown on the surface of YPS agar medium, as described in Materials and Methods. After harvesting of the cells from the surface of the plates, the presence of invading cells was used to demonstrate the invasiveness of the strain during growth on the agar. Visual inspection, using 2.5× and 10× objectives, of the invading cells left behind after removing the colonies from the plates showed no discernible difference in invasive filamentation between WT and sef1 null mutant strains (Fig. S1D). RNA was extracted from WT and sef1 null mutant cells grown on YPS agar medium as described in Materials and Methods, and gene expression was examined via reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Despite there being no difference in filamentation, a significant decrease in expression was observed for 5 of the genes examined: CFL5, CSA1, SOD4, CFL2, and FTR1 (Fig. 2A). The magnitudes of the differences were variable and may be underrepresented in plated cells because of their heterogeneity. Ectopic expression of SEF1 in the sef1 null increased expression of FTR1, CFL5, and CFL2 (Fig. S3).

Interestingly, the defect in expression of these 5 genes was not observed in cells grown in liquid medium. WT and sef1 null cells grown in liquid, rich high-iron media were harvested during either log phase or post-exponential phase (4 days at 25°C). Under these conditions, we observed no difference in expression of CFL5, CSA1, SOD4, CFL2, or FTR1 between the WT and the sef1 null mutant (Fig. 2B). These findings indicate a contact-dependent function of Sef1 that is uncoupled from regulating the formation of filaments.

If Sef1 acts downstream of Dfi1 in the Dfi1 pathway, it is possible that there are other additional factors that also act downstream of Dfi1. Redundancy with other factors may explain why Sef1 is not necessary for filamentation under embedded conditions. A candidate factor that may also act downstream of Dfi1 is the transcription factor Czf1.

Czf1 is a zinc cluster DNA binding protein that is required for WT filamentation in cells grown in contact with agar but not under liquid growth conditions (21, 22). Recently, Czf1 has been shown to be a regulator of cell wall architecture and integrity and is also required for basal levels of caspofungin tolerance (23). Embedding a czf1 null strain as described above in rich high-iron media showed a defect of filamentation at early time points (Fig. S4A and B), consistent with previously published data (24). Of the 92 genes in the SeF1 regulon, 74 (80%) contain a putative Czf1p binding site in their upstream intergenic region (TTWRSCGCCG [25]) in their promoter (defined here as the entire upstream intergenic region). To compare this to the prevalence of the Czf1p binding site in the C. albicans genome overall, 200 genes were randomly selected and their promoter regions (entire upstream intergenic region) scanned for Czf1p binding sites. Of these 200 randomly selected genes, only 27% contained a Czf1p binding site in their promoter. This represents a significant enrichment of Czf1p binding sites among SeF1 regulon genes (P < 0.0001, Fisher’s exact test), leading us to hypothesize that Czf1 may regulate genes in the SeF1 regulon. Analysis of transcripts by RT-qPCR of the 13 genes listed above from WT and czf1 null cells plated on rich high-iron media showed a significant decrease in expression of 10 genes: CFL5, BMT9, CSA1, OPT1, SOD4, FET31, FTR1, GDH3, MRS4, and FET33 (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, 4 of the 5 genes differentially regulated in the sef1 null strain also require Czf1 for WT levels of expression; only CFL2 required Sef1 but not Czf1. Furthermore, all of the genes in this collection that were identified by the RNA-seq experiment as potential targets of the Dfi1 pathway required Czf1 for WT levels of expression under contact conditions. Therefore, we have identified genes whose expression requires Sef1 only (CFL2), Czf1 only (BMT9, OPT1, FET31, GDH3, MRS4, and
Sef1 and Czf1 are required for gene expression in plated cells. WT and sef1 null cells were grown overnight in YPD medium at 30°C and then plated on the surfaces of YPS agar plates and allowed to grow for 4 days at 25°C. (A) Gene expression in WT and sef1 null cells grown plated on the surface of YPS agar media for 4 days at 25°C. Genes are labeled to indicate whether they belong to the Sef1 regulon, whether they were identified in the RNA-seq study described above, and whether they contain a putative Czf1p binding site in their promoter region. (B) Gene expression in WT and sef1 null cells grown to log and post-exponential phases in liquid YPS media at 25°C. Results are normalized to average WT expression for each experiment. Two-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test was performed. (C) Gene expression in WT and czf1 null cells grown on YPS agar plates for 4 days at 25°C. Genes are labeled to indicate whether they belong to the Sef1 regulon, whether they were identified in the RNA-seq study described above, and whether they contain a putative Czf1p binding site in their promoter. Results are normalized to average WT expression for each experiment. For all panels, each point represents 1 biological replicate. Results from 3 experiments with 3 biological replicates per experiment are shown. Bars show means; error bars show SD. Significant differences were determined by t tests. n.s., not significant.
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FET33), or both Sef1 and Czf1 (CFL5, CSA1, SOD4, and FTR1) for WT levels of expression during growth under contact conditions.

In summary, Sef1 is not required for contact-dependent filamentation, while WT levels of embedded filamentation require Czf1. Both transcription factors are required for WT levels of CFL5, CSA1, SOD4, and FTR1 expression in cells growing on the surface of agar. However, despite 5 genes demonstrating Sef1-dependent expression during plated growth, none of these genes required Sef1 for WT levels of expression during liquid growth, indicating a potential role for Sef1 in contact-dependent filamentation.
Activated Sef1 is sufficient to overcome the dfi1 null filamentation defect. To determine whether Sef1 could play a functional role in the Dfi1 pathway and affect contact-dependent filamentation, we asked whether constitutive activation and expression of Sef1 would be sufficient to overcome the filamentation defect of a dfi1 null mutant. An activated Sef1 fusion (kindly provided by Joachim Marschhäuser, University of Würzburg) was used. The activated allele encodes a fusion of a Gal4 activation domain (GAD) to the C terminus of Sef1, causing the protein to be constitutively activated. The activated SEF1 allele is constitutively expressed under the control of the ADH1 promoter (26). This construct was transformed into the WT and dfi1 null strains by electroporation, and transformants were selected as described in Materials and Methods. All transformants were confirmed by PCR. The strains did not exhibit aberrant morphology when grown in liquid rich high-iron media (Fig. S5A).

WT, dfi1, WT/SEF1-GAD, and dfi1/SEF1-GAD strains were embedded in rich, high-iron media as described in Materials and Methods. On day 4, the WT strain exhibited filamentous colonies. The dfi1 null mutant strain yielded only 25% as many filamentous colonies as the WT (P < 0.0001, one-way analysis of variance [ANOVA] with post hoc Tukey multiple-comparison test), confirming the filamentation defect for the dfi1 mutant that has been previously reported (12) (Fig. 3A and Fig. S5B and S6). The WT/SEF1-GAD strain exhibited filamentation consistent with that of the WT, indicating that constitutive activation of Sef1p did not increase filamentation (Fig. 3A and Fig. S5B). When the SEF1-GAD allele was added to the dfi1 null strain, 100% of the scored colonies exhibited filamentation comparable to that of the WT, resulting in a statistically significant difference in filamentous growth between the dfi null strain and the dfi1/SEF1-GAD strain (P ≤ 0.0001, one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey multiple-comparison test). Activated Sef1p was thus sufficient to rescue the filamentation defect seen in the dfi1 null mutant strain (Fig. 3A and Fig. S5B). Additional WT and dfi1 null strains transformed at the same locus with a SAT1 cassette not encoding the SEF1-GAD allele were also characterized and showed no rescue of the dfi1 null filamentation defect (data not shown). Thus, activation of Sef1 was sufficient to bypass the filamentation defect caused by the lack of Dfi1. Sef1 activation, however, did not lead to filamentation under liquid growth conditions.

Embedded filamentation by these strains was also analyzed at 37°C. Under these conditions, the dfi1 null mutant did not exhibit a consistent defect in filamentation and the SEF1-GAD allele did not increase filamentation. Most likely, alternative filamentation regulatory pathways are activated at 37°C and these pathways mask the defect of the dfi1 null mutant and prevent the detection of bypass by the SEF1-GAD allele (data not shown).

To examine how constitutive expression and activation of Sef1 affects gene expression, the cultures that were embedded were also plated onto the surfaces of YPS agar plates, as described above. Cells were harvested from the agar after 4 days of growth at 25°C, and RNA was extracted as described in Materials and Methods. Five genes were selected for analysis: FTR1, CFL5, CFL2, OPT1, and CFL1. These genes were selected because of their various degrees of dependence on Sef1 and Czf1 as shown in Fig. 2A and B. These genes are all members of the Sef1 regulon; however, only CFL5, FTR1, and CFL2 required Sef1 for WT levels of expression in plated cells grown on rich, high-iron media. We observed that the WT/SEF1-GAD strain exhibited a 10-fold increase in expression of FTR1, CFL5, CFL2, and CFL1 relative to the WT (Fig. 3B). The dfi1/SEF1-GAD strain exhibited expression of FTR1, CFL5, CFL2, and CFL1 at levels similar to those of its WT counterpart (Fig. 3B). In contrast, constitutive expression and activation of Sef1 did not appear increase OPT1 expression under these growth conditions, consistent with the data shown in Fig. 2A. Together, these results indicate that while Sef1 was not necessary for contact-dependent filamentation, its activation was sufficient to bypass Dfi1 and promote embedded filamentation. Additionally, constitutive activation of Sef1 led to expression of some genes beyond their WT levels of expression.

When a similar constitutively expressed and activated CZF1 allele was introduced into the WT and dfi1 null strains, the cells exhibited enhanced filamentation. Both WT/
CZF1-GAD and dfi1/CFZ1-GAD strains formed filaments when grown in liquid culture (Fig. S5A). Embedding these strains as described above resulted in highly filamentous colonies. However, as shown in Fig. S5B, strains containing the CZF1-GAD allele exhibited shorter filaments than those of the WT strain. As with Sef1, we observed a rescue of the dfi1 null filamentation defect due to constitutive expression and activation of Czf1 ($P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3A). Similar to the experiment described above, we analyzed gene expression in WT/CZF1-GAD and dfi1/CFZ1-GAD strains grown on the surface of YPS agar. All of the genes analyzed contained putative Czf1 binding sites in their promoters (as defined above), but only FTR1, CFL5, and OPT1 required Czf1 for WT levels of expression under plated conditions (Fig. 3B). Analysis of gene expression in plated cells showed an increase in expression in 4 of the 5 genes (FTR1, CFL5, CFL2, and OPT1) when constitutively expressed and activated Czf1 was present (Fig. 3B). Constitutive activation and expression of Czf1 was not sufficient to induce higher levels of expression of CFL1. These results are consistent with the observation that expression of CFL5, FTR1, and OPT1 was lower in the czf1 null mutant but CFL1 was expressed at WT levels. Constitutive activation of Czf1 was also not sufficient to increase expression of CFL1.
over WT levels. Interestingly, a recent study showed that constitutive expression and activation of Czf1 were also sufficient to increase expression of CFL5 and OPT1 during growth in liquid in a liquid growth model (23).

To further examine the relationship between Sef1, Czf1, and gene expression during growth in contact with a semisolid surface, we generated a czf1 null strain with activated Sef1 (czf1 null–SEF1/GAD1) and a czf1 null strain with ectopically expressed CZF1. Both strains exhibited normal morphology when grown in liquid YPS media (Fig. S7A). These strains were grown on the surface of YPS agar as described above, and gene expression was analyzed. Ectopic expression of CZF1 rescued the defect in CFL5 expression exhibited by the czf1 null. FTR1 showed an increase close to 3-fold, but the result did not reach the level of statistical significance (P = 0.089). CFL2 expression was not defective in the czf1 null strain. Introduction of constitutively activated Sef1 to czf1 null cells increased expression of FTR1, CFL5, and CFL2 above the level observed in the null strain alone (Fig. S3B). The ability of activated Sef1 to increase gene expression in the czf1 null points to a potential redundant role for these factors in regulation of several genes. Taken together, these data showed that both Sef1 and Czf1 are capable of regulating invasive filamentation but demonstrate a complex pattern of gene expression dependent on the factors available and contact conditions.

**Low-iron conditions increased contact-dependent filamentation by a dfi1 null mutant.** Physiologically, Sef1 is activated during growth under low-iron conditions, and potentially its activation under these conditions could bypass the filamentation defect observed in the dfi1 mutant. To test this hypothesis, we grew cells in YNB media containing different levels of iron (noniron media plus 2% sucrose [NIMS] supplemented with ferrous ammonium sulfate [FAS] adapted from Hsu et al. [27]). Cells were embedded in the media as described in Materials and Methods. On day 4, colonies were inspected for evidence of invasive filamentation. As expected, WT colonies were nearly 100% filamentous under high-iron (50 to 500 μM) conditions (Fig. 4A and B), consistent with filamentation in rich, high-iron media (12). As the amount of iron in the media was decreased, WT cells retained their ability to form filaments identically to their high-iron counterparts. It was only in the absence of any added iron that WT colonies were nonfilamentous (Fig. 4B, 0 μM). These colonies were also smaller than those under higher-iron conditions, indicating that the lack of iron inhibited normal growth.

At relatively high concentrations of iron (50 to 500 μM), we observed that the dfi1 mutant exhibited lower levels of filamentous colonies (Fig. 4A and B), consistent with the defect in filamentation observed in rich, high-iron medium. However, at 20 μM iron, we observed an increase in the number of colonies that were scored as filamentous (Fig. 4A and B), indicating that low-iron conditions led to a partial bypass of the dfi1 null mutant defect in filamentation in embedded conditions. This rescue of filamentation was limited to contact conditions, as growth in liquid medium at 20 μM iron did not result in filamentation (Fig. S8). At very low concentrations of iron (0 to 10 μM), dfi1 null mutant colonies did not exhibit filamentation (Fig. 4B). Upon closer examination, these colonies were also found to be much smaller than their higher-iron counterparts, indicating that the lack of available iron was inhibiting growth. Consistent with previous results, introduction of a WT allele of DFI1 into the dfi1 null mutants restored filamentation during growth under embedded conditions (Fig. 4B, 20 μM and 200 μM FAS). Since Sef1 is induced under low-iron conditions, our model is that this filamentation recovery is due to induction and activation of Sef1p due to low iron. These results demonstrate an effect of Sef1 on filamentation during growth in contact with agar medium.

To further test the idea that Sef1 and low iron are regulators of contact-dependent filamentation, we examined the response of the czf1 null strain to these conditions. As shown above (Fig. S4A) and previously (24), embedding czf1 null cells in rich high-iron media results in a defect in filamentation. Embedding the same cells in minimal, low-iron agar media supplemented with 20 μM FAS resulted in a partial rescue of the czf1 null filamentation defect, with 50% of colonies exhibiting filamentation, compared to 25% in the time-matched control (Fig. 4C, left, and Fig. S7B, bottom). Furthermore, addition of a constitutively activated Sef1 allele to the czf1 null resulted in rescue of
the filamentation defect in the rich, high-iron YPS media, with nearly 85% of colonies scored as filamentous (Fig. 4C, right, and Fig. S7B, top). This evidence supports the idea that Sef1 and low iron are regulators of contact-dependent filamentation.

DISCUSSION

Dfi1 is a plasma membrane protein that activates an embedded filamentation signaling pathway. The Dfi1 signaling pathway is required for WT levels of invasive
filamentation in colonies grown under embedded conditions at 25°C. At 37°C, the dfi1 mutant does not exhibit a defect in filamentation in embedded conditions, presumably because other filamentation signaling pathways are also active. In this study, we identified two transcription factors that are effectors of the Dfi1 signaling pathway: Czf1 and Sef1. Czf1, a zinc cluster DNA binding protein, was previously shown to be required for WT filamentation under embedded conditions at low temperature but not under other conditions. The work described here showed that Czf1 is required for expression of several genes in cells growing on the surface of agar (Fig. 2C). Constitutive activation of Czf1 promoted filamentation under embedded conditions in the absence of Dfi1 and increased expression of several genes over their WT levels. These results support the model that Czf1 functions downstream of Dfi1 in a pathway that regulates embedded filamentation.

Less expectedly, we identified a role for low-iron and the zinc cluster DNA binding protein Sef1 in Dfi1-mediated and Czf1-mediated contact-dependent filamentation. While SEF1 was not necessary for contact-dependent filamentation, it was necessary for expression of several genes (CFL5, CSA1, CFL2, and FTR1) under contact conditions (Fig. 2A). Constitutive expression and activation of SEF1 resulted in a rescue of the dfi1 null contact-dependent filamentation defect, demonstrating that activated Sef1 can influence filamentation and was also sufficient to increase expression of a number of genes. Additionally, we demonstrated that decreasing the amount of iron present in the media resulted in a partial rescue of the dfi1 null contact-dependent filamentation defect, again consistent with the notion that activation of Sef1 increased filamentation. Similarly, both removal of iron and addition of constitutively activated Sef1 partially rescued the contact-dependent filamentation defect observed in czf1 null cells. This evidence indicates a role for both Sef1 and Czf1 in Dfi1-mediated contact-dependent filamentation.

Czf1 and Sef1 promote the expression of CFL5 and FTR1 in plated cells. These results support a cooperative model of CFL5 and FTR1 regulation by Sef1 and Czf1 in which the two factors function together to promote gene expression under these conditions. The putative binding site for Czf1 is present in the promoters of an estimated 27% of genes in the C. albicans genome and in the promoters of about 80% of the genes belonging to the Sef1 regulon, including CFL5, FTR1, and other genes analyzed. Thus, there may be substantial overlap between the Sef1 and Czf1 regulons. Activated Sef1 may be able to activate expression of genes that are usually regulated by Czf1 and to promote embedded filamentation under certain conditions.

Based on the evidence provided above, Sef1 and Czf1 have different effects on filamentation and gene expression. C. albicans requires Czf1 but not Sef1 for normal contact-dependent filamentation in YPS agar medium at low temperature. Sef1 may have a backup function in regulating contact-dependent filamentation under these conditions but could have a more prominent role under other conditions. Because expression and activation of Sef1 are normally repressed by Sfu1 under high-iron conditions, it is conceivable that there is not enough Sef1 present in YPS agar growth conditions to compensate for the lack of Czf1. Interestingly, though, Sef1 is still required for expression of a number of genes under high-iron conditions, and thus, the low levels of active Sef1 that are present may function together with Czf1 to bring about normal gene expression.

Taken together, these findings lead us to propose the following model for Dfi1, Sef1, and Czf1 interactions (Fig. 5). In normal, relatively high-iron media, when Dfi1 is present, activation of Dfi1 in response to a contact signal results in Czf1 activation; we propose that Czf1 is activated by phosphorylation. Activated Czf1 binds to promoters and allows activation of gene expression leading to filamentation. Under these conditions, we propose that the Dfi1 pathway also results in Sef1 activation by phosphorylation. Sef1 is not required for filamentation because Czf1 is present. In the absence of Dfi1, Czf1 and Sef1 are not activated and embedded filamentation is defective. However, in low-iron media,
low iron availability can trigger expression and activation of Sef1 and Sef1 expression and activation increases filamentation of the df1 mutant.

The gastrointestinal (GI) tract is an iron-replete environment (14). Contact-dependent filamentation is studied at low temperature in laboratory experiments, but contact signaling can also occur at higher temperatures. Thus, in the GI tract, activation of the Df1 pathway in response to a contact signal could lead to activation of Sef1, promoting expression of genes such as those described above, which are expressed in plated cells in a Sef1-dependent manner. If Sef1 is activated by the Df1 pathway coincident with the initiation of tissue invasion, the cells will be equipped to compete successfully for iron before they actually encounter the low-iron environment that is characteristic of tissue. Hence, early activation of Sef1 due to the action of the Df1 pathway may enhance the rapidity with which invading cells adapt to a change in iron availability.

Further, the fact that Sef1 also plays a role in contact-dependent filamentation highlights an intersection between filamentation and iron uptake. Other iron uptake genes, such as CFL1, have been shown to have functions in filamentation, with deletions leading to impaired filamentous growth and altered cell wall architecture under liquid
conditions. Recently, Luo et al. showed that iron acquisition was required for sustained hyphal development but not hyphal initiation (28). Furthermore, they suggested that Sef1 can be activated in response to the stimuli that induce hyphal growth in order to facilitate expression of iron uptake genes (28). Here, we propose that under the conditions of our experiments, hypha-inducing conditions activate Df1, and Df1 activation results in Sef1 and Czf1 activation. Further, we observed a rescue of the df1 filamentation defect specific to contact conditions when Sef1 was activated. Luo et al. postulated that hypha development is itself an iron-consuming process and therefore that the act of invading media creates an iron-poor environment, leading to the necessity of iron uptake (28). Our findings are consistent with this model.

As described above, we observed that expression of several genes under contact conditions was increased by Sef1 and Czf1. Deletion of one of these genes, FTR1, leads to attenuated virulence (29), and FTR1 transcript levels have been reported to be increased during hyphal elongation. Regulation of FTR1 expression may contribute to the effects of Df1 on invasive filamentation and the ability to produce a lethal infection in the intravenously inoculated mouse (12). Thus, the interconnection between the invasive filamentation pathway and the iron uptake system mediated by Df1 contributes to the pathogenicity of C. albicans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth conditions. All strains used are detailed in Table S1. C. albicans was routinely cultured using yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose) medium at 30°C. For specific studies, cells were grown in complete minimal medium minus uridine (CM-U) or yeast extract-yeast-peptone-sucrose (YPs) (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% sucrose). Noniron medium (NIM) was adapted from Hsu et al. (27) as follows: yeast nitrogen base (YNB) minus Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu (USBiological) was supplemented with 2.37 μM MnSO4, 1.39 μM ZnSO4, and 0.25 μM CuSO4, to reflect their normal concentrations in YNB. Bathophenanthroline disulfonic acid (BPS) at 100 μM was used to remove any residual iron. Ferrous ammonium sulfate (FAS) was added at 0 to 500 μM concentrations; 2% sucrose was also added (noniron media plus 2% sucrose [NIMS]). To create embedded conditions, 0.8% agarose was added and cells were embedded as described above. Cells were routinely cultured at 30°C or 25°C. Some mutants were obtained as part of a deletion collection (18). All deletions were confirmed by PCR.

Strain construction. C. albicans strains were transformed by electroporation as described by Reuss et al. (30). Plasmids containing SEF1-GAD and CZF1-GAD alleles were generously provided by the Morschhäuser lab. Described by Schillig and Morschhäuser (26), these were digested with KpnI and SacI and integrated into the genomes of the WT and df1 null strains at the ADH1 locus. SEF1 and CZF1 complementation alleles were constructed by digesting the SEF1-GAD- and CZF1-GAD-bearing plasmids (26) with Kasi and Affl to delete the Gal4 activation domain, resulting in ectopically expressed SEF1 and CZF1 with a 2-amino-acid C-terminal linker derived from the construct. These constructs were integrated into the genomes of the sef1 or czf1 null strains at the ADH1 locus. The presence of a SAT1 cassette allowed for the selection of transformants via nourseothricin resistance. The presence of the SEF1-GAD, CZF1-GAD, SEF1, and CZF1 alleles was confirmed by PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis. Four independently isolated strains of WT/SEF1-GAD and df1/SEF1 were characterized.

Artificial activation of Df1p pathway. Artificial activation of the Df1p pathway in liquid medium was done as previously described by Davis et al. (13). Briefly, C. albicans cells were treated with either a 4 μM concentration of the calcium ionophore A23187 or an equivalent volume of 100% ethanol as a vehicle (90 μL of ethanol or A23187 stock per 90 mL of culture). After 30 min, 10 mL of cells was collected by centrifugation, washed twice with 1% YPS buffer, and cells were grown on YPS-agar plates with or without 4 μM A23187 for 48 h. The resulting sorted DNA was used as input for Cuffdiff (Cufflinks v2.2.1) with the following modifications. Genes with a fold change of over 2 were considered.

Embedded filamentation assays. The growth of colonies under embedded conditions was performed as previously described by Zucchi et al. (12). Briefly, lukewarm 1% YPS agar was pipetted onto a
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drop of medium containing approximately 150 cells. Three replicate cultures of each strain were indepen-
dently embedded and plates were placed in a humidified chamber at 25°C for 4 days. After 4 days of
growth, embedded colonies were microscopically examined using 4× and 10× objectives for evidence
of filamentation. A colony was considered filamentous if it contained 20 or more visible filaments. The
reported percent filamentous colonies refers to the percentage of colonies counted that meet this crite-
rion; 75 to 125 colonies were counted per plate. Plates were scored blinded to prevent counting bias. All
filamentation assays were repeated 3 times.

Growth of strains on the surface of agar medium. Cells were grown as previously described (12).
Briefly, cells were plated to obtain single colonies on YPS with 1% agar and grown at 25°C for 4 days.
Cells were washed off the plate with RNA later and frozen at −80°C for later RNA analysis.

RT-qPCR. cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription of 10 μg of total RNA using SSIII (Invitrogen)
following the manufacturer’s protocol. Resulting cDNA was diluted 1:20 and used for gene expression anal-
ysis via qPCR. qPCRs were set up using SYBR green master mix (Applied Biosystems). qPCRs were run on
the Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus RT-qPCR system using standard reaction parameters. Primers used for
qPCR are listed in Table S2. All qPCR products were confirmed via sequencing. Samples with no template or
with RNA that was not converted to cDNA did not yield products.

Data availability. RNA-seq data generated by this project are available in the GEO database under
accession no. GSE193641.
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