Quantitative and qualitative features of carotid and coronary atherosclerotic plaque among men and women
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Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), particularly ischemic heart disease (IHD) and stroke, present epidemiologically in a different way among sexes. The reasons of these sex-based differences should be delved into sex-specific cardiovascular (CV) risk factors and different mechanisms of atherosclerotic progression. Imaging techniques of both carotid and coronary atherosclerotic plaques represent a tool to demonstrate sex-related features which might be used to further and better assess CV risk of male and female population. The aim of this review is to evaluate current knowledge on sex-specific qualitative and quantitative plaque features of coronary and carotid atherosclerosis. We also discuss the clinical implication of a sex-based plaque phenotype, evaluated with non-invasive imaging techniques, such as CT-angiography and MRI-angiography, to stratify CV risk.
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Introduction: Patho-physiology of sex-related differences in atherosclerosis

Atherosclerosis is a progressive disease that affects arteries, characterized by the accumulation of lipids, macrophages, fibrous elements and smooth muscle cells within the vessel, forming the atherosclerotic plaque. Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory condition that can lead to acute clinical events by plaque rupture and/or thrombosis, thus causing major events such as acute myocardial infarction (AMI), heart failure (HF), within the galaxy of ischemic heart disease (IHD), and stroke (1). These two cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) together represent the most common cause of death globally, with IHD and stroke responsible for 16 and 11% of the world's total deaths, respectively, and that is why atherosclerosis is a condition of global interest (2, 3).

In the past decades, several studies have proven that atherosclerosis is strongly associated with several risk factors, such as hypertension, smoking, dyslipidemia, diabetes, obesity, age and family history, leading to the concept of vulnerable patient, at higher risk of developing acute CV events in the near future (4–6). Nonetheless, it is well known that CVDs presents differently among men and women: IHD in women develops after 7–10 years compared to men, in fact men are three times more likely to develop acute coronary syndromes (ACS) under the age of 60, while this tendency decreases with age and the likelihood among sexes equalizes over 75 years. With regards to cerebrovascular events, women over the age of 85 have a higher risk of developing stroke than men, leading to greater disability, mortality and case fatality in this group (7). Additionally, stroke risk of developing stroke than men, leading to greater disability, mortality and case fatality in this group (7). Additionally, stroke risk of developing stroke than men, leading to greater disability, mortality and case fatality in this group (7).

This knowledge has progressively led to the establishment of sex-specific CV risk assessment (8, 9), with sex referring to and regulates available testosterone and estradiol. SHBG seems to be a potential risk stratification tool for predicting CV risk. In particular, there is an inverse association between serum SHBG levels and vascular risk factors (insulin resistance, diabetes, metabolic syndrome for example) and outcomes (IHD and stroke), which might be linked to either high free testosterone with consequent downstream pro-androgenic effects, or activation of inflammatory pathways (16, 17). Moreover, proteomic studies have shown that female and male endothelial cell secretome responds differently to cellular stress induced by the same injury. Endothelial cells seem to adopt different strategies: in male more commonly apoptosis, while in female cells autophagy. Among the proteins secreted during apoptosis, PTX3 was found to have a crucial role in male-specific endothelial response to stressors. These results suggest a novel mechanism for sex-specific pathophysiological responses and identify PTX3 as a possible pharmacological target, considering its role as regulator of pro- and anti-inflammatory signals at the vascular bed, which should be further studied (18).

Female-specific risk factors, both modifiable and not, should also be taken into consideration. Adverse pregnancy outcomes (i.e., gestational hypertension, preterm delivery, preeclampsia/eclampsia) are associated with increased long-term CV risk of the mother and the mechanism seems to be an altered inflammatory state which affects maternal vasculature (19, 20). Lifetime estrogen exposure, early menarche and short reproductive life span in particular, represent another
unmodifiable risk factor, as shown in a recent meta-analysis that highlights how a reproductive life span < 33 years is associated with higher rate of CVD events in midlife (21). Among the modifiable female-specific risk factors used in combination with oral contraceptive and menopausal hormone therapy play an important role that is still under study (22). Even though systemic autoimmune disease is not a sex-specific risk factor, it should be taken into consideration that females are disproportionately affected, accounting for the 78% of patients (23). On one hand, chronic inflammation caused by autoimmune disease is associated with endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis progression, on the other hand steroid used to treat these conditions worsen hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia (24).

Clinical and pathological evaluation has revealed that males develop atherosclerotic plaque earlier, with the atherogenesis starting at a younger age in men than women; intima-media thickness (IMT) is usually greater in men until the age of 75, when we assist to the late catch-up phenomenon in women. Plaque inflammatory state seems to be greater in males than females and more vulnerable/unstable features are seen in non-invasive imaging of the male population. Moreover, plaque burden is greater in males while individual stenosis is greater in females, but plaque burden, associated with vulnerability, better predicts adverse ischemic events (25).

In this setting, non-invasive imaging techniques such as ultrasound, computed tomography angiography (CTA) and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) can provide a useful tool to analyze plaque features among men and women. Thus, the aim of this review is to evaluate the current knowledge on sex-related differences of atherosclerosis in order to better stratify CV risk and target a preventive and effective therapy to the sub-population.

State of the art: Quantitative and qualitative aspects of carotid and coronary atherosclerotic plaque among men and women

Sex-related features in coronary atherosclerosis

CTA has proven to be a valid technology that can easily identify patients at risk of subsequent CV events, and it can guide treatment management, improving outcome (Table 1). Recent studies have shown that a quantitative analysis of atherosclerotic plaque burden on cardiac-CTA (CCTA) can stratify the risk of future events better than traditional CV risk factors, coronary artery calcification (CAC) and coronary artery stenosis severity (26). In particular, low-attenuation plaque burden proved to be the strongest predictor of fatal or non-fatal myocardial infarction and with a low-attenuation plaque burden greater than 4% the risk of having a myocardial infarction is 5 times higher. Thus, CCTA adds important prognostic information but whether this assessment has an equal prognostic value in men and women is still under study. In fact, as Williams et al. (27) hypothesized based on the SCOT-HEART multicenter randomized controlled trial, sex differences in CAD may be explained by CT plaque assessment, which included evaluation of adverse plaque characteristics: positive remodeling, low-attenuation plaque, spotty calcification and the “napkin ring” sign (Figure 1). They discovered that women had lower CAC score, less frequent adverse plaque features, less obstructive CAD and overall lower quantitatively assessed plaque burden, leading to fewer subsequent MI compared to men. These findings, in agreement with the CONFIRM registry (28) and the ICONIC (29) study results, suggest that, while women presenting with stable chest pain have a specific, sex-based, plaque phenotype, symptomatic patients presenting with ACS have no sex difference in quantitative plaque features in culprit lesions. Indeed, there was no difference in necrotic core volume despite women having lower fibrous/fibrofatty plaque volume compared to men. Thus, even though women with stable angina presents with a different set of plaque features, when it comes to culprit lesions causing MI, both sexes seem to have similar plaque characteristics. Recent studies also showed that, within a given CAC score group, women tend to have smaller number, but larger size and density of calcified plaques compared to men, reflecting a more advanced atherosclerotic state/higher levels of inflammation, in line with less frequent obstructive disease but higher CVD mortality among women (mean age: 56.2 years) compared with men (30) (Figure 2).

Additionally, El Mahdiui et al. (31) in their study evaluated plaque composition by serial CTA taking into consideration the influence of menopause. What they demonstrated is that men had more fibrofatty percentage atheroma volume (PAV), but pre-menopausal women, younger than 55 years, had greater regression of fibrous and non-calcified PAV over time compared to men of the same age, and given the positive association between non-calcified plaques with ischemia and ACS, these findings are in agreement with the lower risk of symptomatic CAD in young women (32). On the contrary, the lack of this regression in post-menopausal women suggests a progressive match to the non-calcified PAV of men with consequent increased risk of CAD in accordance with epidemiological data.

The PARADIGM study (33), a dynamic multinational observational registry of patients who underwent clinically indicated serial CCTA, also showed that total and compositional plaque volume (PV) progression rate differed among sexes: women had lower overall atherosclerotic burden in all age groups, reduced development of high-risk plaques and a PV progression mainly driven by calcified PV (faster calcified and slower non-calcified progression) while men had more non-calcified PV progression. The study demonstrated a 9-year delay in women for developing the total coronary atherosclerotic burden of men. The protective role of estrogen,
up phenomenon” in female atherosclerosis. Progression until 75 years of age, partially explaining the “catch up phenomenon” in female atherosclerosis (34). However, estrogen influence seems to be over-taken by the presence of risk factors resulting in worse outcome and prognosis for young men presenting with acute coronary syndrome compared to young men (35).

Finally, Xie et al. (36) found that non-obstructive left-main plaque was associated with 50% higher risk for adverse events among women, independently of CAD burden in other vessels, whereas this association was not significantly present among men; similarly, they found that women had a risk for future events 1.8-fold higher than men. Finding these sex-specific differences in prognosis provides an important risk and prognostic marker that should be considered during risk stratification of women. Thus, when evaluating prognosis, location of non-obstructive plaque should be considered.

New insights have been given by arterial and plaque characterization through intravascular ultrasound (IVUS), particularly concerning endothelial shear stress (ESS) which, with lower values, induces endothelial cell dysfunction and plaque progression. As Wentzel et al. (37) suggested in their study, which analyzed data from the PREDICTION study, coronary arteries and plaques were significantly smaller in females compared to males but ESS and ESS-related atheroma volume over time compared to men particularly concerning endothelial shear stress (ESS) which, with lower values, induces endothelial cell dysfunction and plaque progression. As Wentzel et al. (37) suggested in their study, which analyzed data from the PREDICTION study, coronary arteries and plaques were significantly smaller in females compared to males but ESS and ESS-related atheroma volume over time compared to men.

### TABLE 1 Studies regarding coronary atherosclerosis.

| Authors             | Number (patients) | Date published | Research objectives                                                                 | Main results                                                                 |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Williams et al.     | 1,769             | 2020           | Role of non-calcified low-attenuation plaque burden assessed by coronary-CTA as a predictor of future risk of myocardial infarction (MI) | Low-attenuation plaque burden the strongest predictor of fatal or non-fatal MI (low-attenuation burden > 4% = nearly 5 times higher risk to have subsequent MI) |
| Williams et al.     | 1,769             | 2021           | Role of CCTA plaque assessment in explaining prognostic differences among men and women presenting with chest pain | Women (58 ± 9 years) less likely to have adverse plaque features compared to men and lower risk of subsequent MI |
| Schulman-Marcus et al. | 5,632            | 2016           | Sex-specific associations between per-vessel CAD and major adverse CV events (MACE) over a 5-year period | Obstructive CAD more prevalent in men. Strong association between increased MACE risk and extent of per-vessel obstructive CAD |
| Conte et al.        | 468               | 2021           | Investigate sex and age differences in atherosclerotic features assessed by CCTA prior to acute coronary syndrome | Females had lower total plaque volume and fibrous/fibrofatty plaque volume within both the age groups |
| Shaw et al.         | 63,215            | 2018           | Sex differences in calcified plaque assessed by Agatson score and other CAC measures | Within CAC subgroups women had fewer calcified lesions and greater lesion size; CAC was associated with 1.3-fold higher risk for CV death among women; women with larger sized and more numerous CAC lesions had 2.2-fold higher CV mortality compared to men |
| El Mahdiui et al.   | 211               | 2021           | Role of sex and menopause on long-term plaque progression and evolution of plaque composition | Women had less fibrofatty atheroma volume on a per-lesion analysis; women < 55 years had more regression of fibrous and non-calcified atheroma volume over time compared to men |
| Lee et al.          | 1,255             | 2020           | Role of sex in total and compositional plaque volume progression in patients with CAD | 9-year delay in women in developing total PV than in men; high-risk plaques more prevalent in men; women had greater calcified PV progression, slower non-calcified PV progression and less development of high-risk plaques |
| Xie et al.          | 5,166             | 2017           | Prognostic significance of non-obstructive left main CAD among sexes | Presence of non-obstructive LM plaque increased the risk for composite outcome and adverse events in women |
| Langabeer et al.    | 16,861            | 2019           | Gender differences in non-STEMI acute coronary syndrome | At baseline women were older and more often with history of prior CVD; women had higher in-hospital mortality, 23 min longer stay at ED, less likely to receive early invasive strategy compared to men |
| Langabeer et al.    | 9,674             | 2018           | Sex-related effects in outcomes in a large regional STEMI system of care | Length of stay was longer for women; females were less likely to survive at discharge and to be discharged to home |

To determine the evolution of plaque composition, authors studied the continuous reduction in magnitude of ESS-dependent plaque growth was more marked in females compared to males but ESS and ESS-related atheroma volume over time were similar in both sexes. Only after stratifying for age they found that ESS-related plaque growth was more marked in women < 55 years and that female population showed a continuous reduction in magnitude of ESS-dependent plaque progression until 75 years of age, partially explaining the “catch up phenomenon” in female atherosclerosis.
Sex-related features in carotid atherosclerosis

Epidemiology tells us that men have higher lifetime risk of stroke than women, but if women suffer from a stroke it is usually more severe, leading to greater disability (38). These epidemiological dissimilarities may have root in a sex-based pattern of carotid atherosclerosis, which is constantly under study especially through plaque imaging, including ultrasound and CTA, but mainly MRI (Table 2). It is well known that
TABLE 2  Studies regarding carotid atherosclerosis.

| Authors                      | Number (patients) | Date   | Research                                                                 | Main results                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Van den Bouwhuijsen et al.   | 1,006             | 2012   | Carotid plaque components as determinants of plaque progression           | Intraplaque hemorrhage (IPH) and lipid core (indicators of unstable plaque) more prevalent in men than women                                  |
| Ota et al. (43)              | 131               | 2010   | MRI carotid plaque assessment as a tool to demonstrate sex differences  | Presence of thin/ruptured fibrous cap and lipid-rich necrotic core (LRNC) were more common in men; men had larger volumes of percent hemorrhage and necrotic core |
| Zhang et al. (44)            | 567               | 2021   | To compare carotid atherosclerotic features among sexes                 | In both symptomatic and asymptomatic arteries, men had greater lumen, wall and total vessel area, higher mean wall thickness, higher prevalence of LRNC |
| Van Dam-Nolen et al. (45)    | 224               | 2022   | To investigate sex differences in carotid plaque composition and         | Total plaque volume was higher in men; IPH and LRNC more prevalent in men; men had more often coexistence of calcifications, LRNC and IPH, of thin/ruptured fibrous cap, LRNC and IPH and of all plaque features |
| Van Dam-Nolen et al. (46)    | 182               | 2021   | To investigate the relation of lipoprotein(a) levels and carotid         | In women increased plasma Lp(a) was associated with IPH, in men with degree of stenosis                                                      |
| Schreiner et al. (47)        | 15,124            | 1996   | To study the association of lipoprotein(a) with preclinical              | Lp(a) was associated with increased wall thickness in men while in women the association was stronger when smoking and diabetes were present     |
| Song et al. (48)             | 189               | 2021   | Sex differences in non-stenotic carotid plaque composition in patients    | Men had higher calcified plaque volume and IPH/LRNC ratio in carotid ipsilateral to stroke side; control cohort showed no sex difference in plaque volumes ipsilateral to stroke |
| Singh et al. (50)            | 906               | 2017   | Age-specific sex differences in the presence of IPH                      | IPH was more prevalent in men for all ages; male sex modified the effect of age on the presence of IPH; with increasing age post-menopause, the odds of IPH in women become closer to that of men |

FIGURE 3
Fifty-six years old female showing fibrofatty plaque with concentric remodeling on right ICA (A). Plaque analysis confirmed the fatty composition of the plaque (B, white arrowheads).

imaging biomarkers of vulnerability exist and that they predict stroke risk: intraplaque hemorrhage (IPH), best seen with MRI (39) but recently studied with CT as well (40); lipid-rich necrotic core (LRNC) either on CT and MRI; thin-rupture fibrous cap (TRFC), with contrast-enhanced MRI; carotid plaque thickness and surface morphology with all three imaging modalities;
TABLE 3  Difference between men and women of high-risk plaque features in carotid and coronary arteries.

| High-risk features               | Prevalence       | References |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| **Carotid arteries**             |                  |            |
| IPH                              | Men > Women      | (42)       |
| LRNC                             | Men > Women      | (42–43)    |
| Thin/ruptured fibrous cap         | Men > Women      | (43)       |
| Wall thickness                    | Men > Women      | (44)       |
| Total vessel area                 | Men > Women      | (45)       |
| Total plaque volume               | Men > Women      | (46)       |
| Calcified plaque volume           | Men > Women      | (48)       |
| **Coronary arteries**             |                  |            |
| Low-attenuation burden > 4%      | Men > Women      | (26–27)    |
| Extent of per-vessel obstructive CAD | Men > Women  | (28)       |
| Total plaque volume               | Men > Women      | (29)       |
| Fibrous/fibrofatty plaque volume  | Men > Women      | (29–31)    |
| Larger sized and number of calcified lesions |       | (30)       |

plaque volume with CT because of its high spatial resolution (41). These imaging features of vulnerability present differently among men and women. Indeed, men tend to have vulnerable plaques more frequently than women, as seen in the Rotterdam study (42) where they demonstrated that in a population with carotid wall thickening, IPH and LRNC, indicating plaque vulnerability, are highly frequent and more prevalent in men (Figure 3).

Similarly, Ota et al. (43) assessed plaque characteristics in asymptomatic patients using 3-T MRI and they discovered that men present more frequently with LRNC, TRFC, larger percent volume of lipid core and IPH compared to women. With this features, atherosclerotic plaque is more prone to rupture, leading to subsequent ischemic events: hence, in their study Ota et al. suggested that 3-T carotid plaque MRI can identify high-risk phenotype and patients, and that their findings are consistent with epidemiological data, explaining why men age < 75 years have higher incidence of stroke than women.

Recently, in a study by Zhang et al. (44), both asymptomatic and symptomatic patients underwent 3-T carotid MRI. While in symptomatic carotid arteries, men showed similar plaque burden, despite larger vessel size, higher prevalence of LRNC and IPH compared to women, in asymptomatic carotid arteries men had more vulnerable features, such as higher percent LRNC and TRFC. Their findings are in agreement with the previous studies, indicating that asymptomatic male population tend to have vulnerable plaque features more frequently than women while plaque burden is similar in both sexes.

New insights have been given by the Plaque At Risk (PARISK) study (45), a prospective multicenter cohort study of patients with a high recurrent stroke risk with < 70% carotid stenosis. In particular, van Dam-Nolen et al. (46) examined patients with recent ischemic cerebrovascular symptoms and ipsilateral carotid stenosis < 70%, who underwent carotid MRI and CTA. They confirmed that men tend to have larger plaque volume, more vulnerable plaque composition (IPH, LRNC, TRFC) and more frequent coexistence of vulnerable features. They also suggested that sex-based plaque differences may not be related just to larger plaque burden in men: in fact, after adjusting the findings for total plaque volume, the prevalence of IPH and LRNC remained higher in men than in women, while the difference in IPH and LRNC volume disappeared, indicating that plaque burden does not fully explain the sex differences in carotid atherosclerosis and that sex plays an important role in the development of a vulnerable plaque rather than in the size of its components. This knowledge may lead to a sex-specific management of stroke and transient ischemic attack, with men benefitting from a carotid endarterectomy more than women (45).

Moreover, another work of van Dam-Nolen et al. (46) on the PARISK study, further evaluated the known importance of lipoprotein(a) as an independent risk factor for CVD and recurrent stroke (47). Not only they confirmed the positive association between Lp(a) levels and atherosclerosis, but also they suggested that Lp(a) distribution, as well as carotid plaque composition, differ between men and women and that Lp(a) levels peak during late perimenopause/postmenopause. Notably, they identified new associations between Lp(a) concentrations and plaque features: in male population elevated Lp(a) levels were associated with higher degree of stenosis, whilst in female population high Lp(a) levels tend to be associated with higher prevalence of IPH. This difference suggests that Lp(a) levels in women might be a stronger risk indicator for developing severe carotid atherosclerosis.

Additionally, Song et al. (48) examined sex differences in non-stenotic carotid plaque composition in patients with embolic stroke of undetermined source (ESUS) who underwent CTA. What they discovered is that in the atrial fibrillation cohort, used as control population, there was no significant sex difference in plaque volume and features, while among the ESUS cohort men had significantly higher IPH volume and IPH/LRNC ratio ipsilateral to stroke side compared to women, suggesting a differential contribution of atheroembolism from carotid plaque among men and women presenting with ESUS. Furthermore, they suggested IPH/LRNC ratio as a possible predictor of plaque rupture, stronger than LRNC alone, which may not always progress to rupture. In fact, IPH and increased neovascularity within a lipid-rich necrotic core can facilitate inflammation and core expansion, leading to greater rupture risk. Similarly, Saba et al. (49) observed that the ratio between IPH, indicated by Hounsfield units < 25 on CTA, and lipid volume is significantly associated with cerebrovascular events, hence representing a strong parameter for future events. Another study, by Singh et al. (50), also revealed that in patients with low-grade carotid stenosis the presence of IPH occurs more frequently with age, affecting less than 1% of patients before the age of 55 until a maximum of 12% by the age of 75 years,
and with sex, affecting more men than women. An interesting result of this study was also the absence of IPH in females before the age of 65 years, which was progressively replaced with age, indicating that sex modifies the effect of age on carotid IPH. Hence, the infrequency of IPH in women before the age of 75 might explain the lower incidence of stroke among women of this age group when compared to men.

Discussion

Atherosclerosis differs among sexes, both from a physio-pathological point of view and clinically as well. Epidemiology shows that women present with IHD after an average of 7–10 years compared to men; men under the age of 60 are three times more likely to present with ACS, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and NSTEMI (51); while this tendency decreases over 75 years, we see an increase of morbidity and mortality rate in women, with 7.4% in-hospital mortality for STEMI in women vs. 4.6% in men and 4.8 vs. 3.9%, respectively, for NSTEMI (52, 53). Moreover, women over the age of 85 have a higher risk of developing stroke than men, with 55,000 more females having a stroke than males every year, indicating that women might be treated less aggressively in primary and secondary prevention for this disease (7).

Other data from the Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2022 Update (54) revealed that stroke is more prevalent in men until the age of 80 years, but over 80 this tendency inverts, and that more females than men die of stroke each year, indicating that the cause might be this higher prevalence in elderly women.

What the literature reveals is that these epidemiological disparities have a physio-pathological counterpart which can be studied histologically and with invasive methods, but also with non-invasive techniques. In this context, radiology with CTA, MRA and ultrasound provides useful tools that may be used not only for academic purposes but also for clinical ones, giving information regarding plaque characteristics that can be linked to outcome and prognosis (Table 3). Indeed, CTA provides information regarding plaque features of vulnerability (positive remodeling, low-attenuation plaque, spotty calcification, “napkin ring” sign) and thus prognosis. In fact, low-attenuation plaque volume (26) and IPH/LRNC ratio (49) appeared to be two strong prognostic markers that can predict fatal and non-fatal future MI the former, and cerebrovascular events the latter. In addition, through CCTA it is possible to understand the female-specific coronary plaque phenotype, which partially explains the epidemiological data mentioned above. Women before menopause tend to have lower CAC score, less high-risk plaque features, less plaque volume, less obstructive CAD (27) and greater fibrous and non-calcified PAV regression (31). After menopause, the literature seems to identify a different female-specific coronary plaque phenotype, which tends to be more similar to that one of men: Less non-calcified PAV regression (31) and smaller number but larger size and higher density of calcified plaque (30), progressively matching, with a 9-year delay, the total coronary atherosclerotic burden of men (33). Additionally, taken into consideration that non-calcified PV seems to be influenced mainly by environmental factors, while CAC and calcified PV are linked to genetics (55), CCTA might be a useful tool to early detect non-calcified plaque in order to start an early lifestyle intervention, thus preventing coronary plaque formation.

Notably, the literature (27) shows that women presents with this specific, sex-based plaque phenotype more evidently when they are asymptomatic or in case of stable angina. Indeed, the above-mentioned sex-based features tend to flatten when ACS occurs, and no significant difference among men and women can be found in culprit lesions causing MI. The necrotic core volume is in fact similar among sexes. This finding might suggest the role of CCTA for risk stratification, before ACS occur, and the importance of primary prevention in order to treat aggressively those women presenting with high-risk features or markers of worse prognosis. With this regard, an interesting insight has been given by Xie et al. (36) who suggested that location of CAD should be assessed with CCTA in order to stratify the risk of future events in women: particularly, they found that non-obstructive left main plaque has a great prognostic implication, with a 50% higher risk for females of having adverse events, independently from CAD burden in other vessels.

Finally, a female-specific plaque phenotype can be seen in CT/MRI evaluation of carotid atherosclerosis as well. Women tend to have less features of vulnerability compared to men: less IPH, LRNC and calcified PV in particular, in accordance with a less frequent tendency to rupture compared to male carotid plaques (42, 43). Thus, CT and MRI represent valid techniques that can detect high-risk features, in order to discriminate which group of patients might benefit from an aggressive primary prevention therapy. Moreover, the ratio of IPH/LRNC seems to be a predictive marker of future plaque rupture and consequent cerebrovascular events, and various studies showed that the ratio is usually higher in the male population (48, 49). Ultrasound is worth mentioning because of its availability and cost feasibility; in addition, it offers accurate and reproducible imaging evaluation of lumen and vessel wall, particularly IMT. However, it has a low sensitivity and specificity for detection of other plaque features, such as fibrous cap, ulceration, plaque inflammation, IPH and LRNC (56). Ultrasound does not distinguish IPH and LRNC because they both appear hypoechoic, making it difficult to evaluate the ratio, and it is affected by calcified components that create acoustic shadow. For these reasons, ultrasound is not useful for the assessment of most quantitative measurements of carotid...
plaque and it is not considered the imaging modality of choice (41).

As suggested by Nasir et al. (57) the question is how and if this information will modify medical management, especially if the assessment of plaque phenotype will lead to consideration of a lipid-lowering therapy of various intensities and/or whether the presence of high-risk plaque characteristics will influence the decision-making process of starting antiplatelet treatment.

Further studies should be carried forward in order to fully comprehend how these insights can impact the clinical management of atherosclerosis in men and women, but what we know so far from the literature is that there are undeniable differences among sexes in atherosclerotic presentation, that these phenotypes can be successfully evaluated throughout non-invasive imaging techniques and that this type of plaque assessment should be strengthened and enhanced because of its prognostic implication.
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