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Abstract: The objective this research for estimate minimum cost of gabbage from its sources to disposal sites in Nonsung District Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand. By using genetic algorithms run in microsoft excel add-ins find the minimum cost and appropriate waste allocation. The distance applied in the simulation was displacement between two points not the true distance along the route. It was found from the study that the factors affecting the pattern of the hauling system and waste allocation were number of sources and waste quantities produced, number and capacity of transfer stations and disposal sites and hauling cost from different points.
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INTRODUCTION

The activity that happen in the every day life of majority human often is born remainder inventory uses and don't be required and touch abandon the garbage which will cause a problem builds the environment goes up a lot of such as the source of water is born contaminated, be born the smell doesn't desire, be disease birthplace differs thus for problem prevention that is born from the gabbage are effective affect the environment, solid waste management appropriately then should bring to consider in the remedy systematically, by consider topmost advantage of the engineering, sanitation, economics, social and the environment.

The saving collects and solid waste shipping are pillar element at must bring to consider in solid waste management because the step that must pay for expenses about 80 percentages of expenses in all solid waste management (Hagerty et al., 1978). Which in the saving carries the garbage does will by the arrangement seeks a car picks to carry go to serve pick carry area garbage source differs such as residence section, business quarter, industrial factory section, agriculture section, etc., (Udomsak, 2004).

Thereafter then induce still the station transfers the garbage for collects and the transportation go to disposal site. The garbage or might induce still the place eradicates the garbage directly very grasping is garbage can do many the way such as doing fertilizer burning down burying covers up and the forcing down filters outdoor or recycle which garbage shipping for be born top most necessary efficiency will tomust lead economics pillar comes in to consider share in shipping format for expenses in the shipping is lowland expenses most and can plan in the distribution sends garbage quantity from the source goes to disposal site transfer or that can eradicate appropriately and help give can decide in station choosing transfers the garbage or the place eradicates the garbage cooperates standard of the environment of area state form that get as well. This research will emphasize analysis of mathematics model for seek minimum expenses in garbage shipping from the source goes to still the place eradicates, by this model has used the principle researches to operate that pertaining to linear straight mathematics comes to help solve a problem in sending.

MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The mathematics model in this research, be the model that consider garbage shipping from the source goes to disposal site (Fig. 1) which might have or don't have the station transfers the garbage in shipping system all right.

Objective function: Minimize

{\[ Z = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} C_{ij} X_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} C_{jk} X_{jk} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} C_{kj} X_{kj} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{I} C_{ji} X_{ji} \]}

Subject to constraints
Fig. 1: Mathematical formulation for minimizing cost of transport garbage

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{jk} = W_i \quad \text{for } i
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{ij} \leq B_j \quad \text{for } j
\]

\[
X_{ij} \geq 0
\]

\[
X_{ik} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i, j, k
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{ik} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} X_{jk} \leq B_k \quad \text{for } k
\]

\[
P_j \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{jk} = 0 \quad \text{for } j
\]

Let:

\(W_i\) = All garbage quantity that is born from the source \(i\) unit/time

\(B_j\) = Garbage quantity that the station transfers the garbage \(j\) can accept unit/time

\(B_k\) = Garbage quantity that the disposal site the garbage \(k\) can accept unit/time

\(P_j\) = Fraction of garbage quantity after change the station on the way induce at the disposal site the garbage \(k\)

\(P_j = 1\) if the station on the way be the station transfers the garbage

\(P_j = 0.2\) if the station on the way be incinerator

\(N\) = Number of source \(i\)

\(J\) = Number of the station transfers the garbage \(j\)

\(K\) = Number of the disposal site \(k\)

THE GENETIC ALGORITHM HEURISTIC IN MICROSOFT EXCEL

Genetic algorithms (GAs) are one of the most popular heuristic algorithms that represent a powerful and robust approach for developing heuristic for complex and large-scale combinatorial optimization problems. A GA can be described as a probabilistic search, which imitates the process of natural selection and evolution to evolve a population of initial solutions. Each solution of a problem is treated as an individual, whose fitness is governed by the corresponding objective function value and some penalization to infeasibility. Pairs of individuals of a given population are selected to act as parents and reproduce to generate the next population of better individuals through a structured yet randomized information exchange known as crossover operator. Diversity is added to the population by randomly changing some genes (mutation operator). As new offspring are generated, unfit individuals in the population are replaced using the concept of survival of the fittest. This evaluation-selection-reproduction cycle is repeated until a satisfactory solution is found or other stopping criteria are met (Claudio B. Cunha, 2004).

GAs can be implemented in a variety of ways. The excellent books by (Goldberg, 1989; Davis, 1991 and Holland, 1975) describe many possible variants of GAs. We also refer to these books for various GA definitions and notations as chromosomes, alleles, genes, reproduction, etc., as well as for other problem specific operators.

In many problems with sufficient complexity, GAs may have a tendency to converge towards local optima rather than the global optimum of the problem. The likelihood of this occurring depends on the shape of the fitness landscape: Certain problems may provide an
Fig. 2: Genetic algorithm cycle

- From old method generate an initial population of randomly constructed solutions. Each of the solutions is generated so that a bit position in a given string can become a transfer station take the value 1, with a given probability p greater than zero; we adopted p = 0.15 based on preliminary experiments undertaken.
- Select two parent solutions for reproduction. Some different methods were tried. We chose to use the binary tournament selection method, since it provided the best results in terms of speed, requiring less number of iterations to converge to the best solutions, according to some preliminary experiments undertaken. In this method, two individuals are chosen randomly from the population. The fitter (smaller fitness value) individual is then allocated a reproductive trial. In order to produce a child, two binary tournaments are held, each one producing one parent.
- Generate a child solution by first applying a crossover operator to the selected parents. We use a single two-point crossover operator, in which two crossover points p 2 {1,..., N_1} and q 2 {p+1,...,N} are selected and the child solution will consist of the first p genes taken from the first parent, the next (q-p) genes taken from the second parent and the remaining (jNj_q) genes taken from the first parent, or vice-versa with equal probabilities. The crossover procedure is followed by a mutation procedure. Each bit in the offspring can be mutated (changed from 0 to 1 or vice versa) with some low probability.

Fig. 3: Objective Function Selection Step 2 of 6

- For each generated offspring solution, determine the initial assignment of the transfer station to the corresponding nearest disposal site and then calculate the fitness, taking into consideration the flows between hubs to determine the proper discount factor.
- Apply a local search heuristic to each generated individual in order to improve the assignment of the transfer station to the disposal site.
- Evaluate the new population and replace an individual in the solution by the child solution. We use an elitist generation replacement, in which only the n best individuals (n is the population size) are taken into the next generation.
- Steps 2-6 are repeated until a pre-defined number of iterations is reached.

This research use Optworks 1.2 solve the genetic algorithms (GAs) in microsoft excel have automatic setup of mutation, crossover, selection and probabilities as follows.

Figure 3 shows the step in variable insertion will begin at value specification. Objective function of the model which there is work format that resemble with solver of Microsoft Excel.
Fig. 4 shows the specification given name step changes that want to seek the value.

Figure 5 shows the value specification constraints be building limitation all of the model.

CONCLUSIONS

A building model for seek expenses in garbage shipping from the source goes to the disposal site and use the remedy with the way Genetic Algorithm, for seek cost minimum transport and garbage quantity in Nonsung District Nakhon Ratchasima Province consider 8 garbage sources there is garbage quantity happens altogether is 20 tons/day the station transfers 7 tons/day size capacity garbages and the place capacity 25 tons/day size capacity garbages from the remedy with GA meet that all cost in garbage shipping from garbage source goes to the disposal site by think the value manages of the station transfers the garbage, cause 5634.45 Bath/day minimum cost which can reduce the cost from originally 6785.23 Bath/day at born from the shipping that still no plan.
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