Attenuated TGFβ signalling in macrophages decreases susceptibility to DMBA-induced mammary cancer in mice
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Abstract

Background: Transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF\textbeta 1) is a multi-functional cytokine that regulates mammary gland development and cancer progression through endocrine, paracrine and autocrine mechanisms. TGF\textbeta 1 also plays roles in tumour development and progression, and its increased expression is associated with an increased breast cancer risk. Macrophages are key target cells for TGF\textbeta 1 action, also playing crucial roles in tumorigenesis. However, the precise role of TGF\textbeta-regulated macrophages in the mammary gland is unclear. This study investigated the effect of attenuated TGF\textbeta signalling in macrophages on mammary gland development and mammary cancer susceptibility in mice.

Methods: A transgenic mouse model was generated, wherein a dominant negative TGF\textbeta receptor is activated in macrophages, in turn attenuating the TGF\textbeta signalling pathway specifically in the macrophage population. The mammary glands were assessed for morphological changes through wholemount and H&E analysis, and the abundance and phenotype of macrophages were analysed through immunohistochemistry. Another cohort of mice received carcinogen 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA), and tumour development was monitored weekly. Human non-neoplastic breast tissue was also immunohistochemically assessed for latent TGF\textbeta1 and macrophage marker CD68.

Results: Attenuation of TGF\textbeta signalling resulted in an increase in the percentage of alveolar epithelium in the mammary gland at dioestrus and an increase in macrophage abundance. The phenotype of macrophages was also altered, with inflammatory macrophage markers iNOS and CCR7 increased by 110% and 40%, respectively. A significant decrease in DMBA-induced mammary tumour incidence and prolonged tumour-free survival in mice with attenuated TGF\textbeta signalling were observed. In human non-neoplastic breast tissue, there was a significant inverse relationship between latent TGF\textbeta1 protein and CD68-positive macrophages.

Conclusions: TGF\textbeta acts on macrophage populations in the mammary gland to reduce their abundance and dampen the inflammatory phenotype. TGF\textbeta signalling in macrophages increases mammary cancer susceptibility potentially through suppression of immune surveillance activities of macrophages.
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Introduction
Transforming growth factor beta1 (TGFB1) is a multi-functional cytokine with diverse roles in the regulation of cellular function, including proliferation, differentiation, migration, apoptosis and the immune response[1–3]. In the mammary gland, TGFB1 is predominantly produced by the mammary epithelium although TGFB1 may also come from a variety of other sources such as immune cells and from systemic circulation [4–6]. Many different cell types in the mammary gland are responsive to TGFB including epithelial cells, fibroblasts and immune cells; therefore, it can be difficult to identify the precise cell types and mechanisms through which TGFB1 exerts its many actions.

In the mammary gland, TGFB1 inhibits epithelial cell proliferation, cell death and ductal development [7–11]. TGFB1 is also implicated in tumour development and progression, and both stimulatory and inhibitory roles have been described [12–14]. In the early stages of tumourigenesis, TGFB1 acts as a tumour suppressor, through inhibition of cell proliferation, induction of apoptosis and suppression of growth factor, cytokine and chemokine production. As tumours progress, tumour cells can express abundant TGFB1 which appears to have largely pro-tumorigenic effects. This overexpression of TGFB1 by tumour cells modulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition, impairs immune surveillance and promotes angiogenesis, therefore promoting tumour invasion and metastasis.

Macrophages are bone marrow-derived cells present in the mammary gland stroma and regulate epithelial cell function during normal mammary gland development [15–17]. In breast cancer, macrophages play multiple roles in metastasis, angiogenesis and cell invasion and promote the growth and survival of tumour cells [18–20]. Macrophages are key target cells for TGFB1 action. Epithelial cell-derived TGFB1 regulates macrophage function and phenotype in the mammary gland [21], and macrophages may act as intermediary cells through which TGFB1 affects tumourigenesis. However, the impact of TGFB1 signalling on local macrophage populations in the mammary gland is still unclear.

TGFB1 signalling has also been implicated in susceptibility to breast cancer, where an association between breast cancer risk and the TGFB1 L10P gene polymorphism was reported by the Breast Cancer Association Consortium [22]. The TGFB1 L10P gene is linked to the increased cellular expression of TGFB1 [23], suggesting that increased abundance of TGFB1 might increase breast cancer risk. However, as TGFB1 is known as both a suppressor and promoter of breast cancer, the precise mechanisms to which it contributes to cancer risk remain unclear. Given the role of TGFB1 in regulating macrophage phenotype and function, we proposed that TGFB-regulated macrophages could affect breast cancer susceptibility.

In this study, a double transgenic mouse model was developed by breeding Cfms-rtTA and TetO-TbrII single transgenic mice. In the double transgenic mouse model, a dominant negative TGFB receptor is expressed specifically in macrophages in the presence of doxycycline. The expression of the dominant negative receptor attenuates TGFB signalling specifically in the macrophage population. The two single transgenic mouse strains used to generate the double transgenic were used as controls, and all mice were given doxycycline in their drinking water. The impact of attenuated TGFB signalling in macrophages on mammary gland development and mammary cancer susceptibility was investigated.

Methods
Animals
All animal experiments were approved by the University of Adelaide Animal Ethics Committee and were conducted in accordance with the Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes [24]. All mice were maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions with controlled light (12-h light, 12-h dark cycle) and temperature at the Laboratory Animal Services Medical School facility. Doxycycline-treated mice received doxycycline (2 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) in drinking water from the age of 6 weeks until culled.

Cfms-rtTA transgenic mice [25] were imported from Indiana University, USA, from Prof. Cong Yan’s laboratory. In this transgenic mouse model, the expression of a reverse tetracycline/doxycycline-responsive transactivator (rtTA) is driven by the macrophage-specific Cfms promoter such that rtTA expression is restricted to macrophages and is induced by administration of doxycycline.

TetO-TbrII transgenic mice [26] were purchased from the Jackson Laboratories (ME, USA). The disrupted TbrII gene encodes a dominant negative TGFB receptor which results in disrupted TGFB signalling. The expression of the dominant negative TGFB receptor is under the control of the TetO promoter. However, the transgene is silent in TetO-TbrII mice as the TetO promoter only drives the expression after activation by a specific tetracycline/doxycycline-responsive transactivator.

Cfms-TbrII double transgenic mice were generated by crossbreeding of Cfms-TbrII and TetO-TbrII single transgenic mice such that Cfms-TbrII mice carried one allele for each of the two transgenes. In the Cfms-TbrII double transgenic mouse, the expression of the transgenes is induced by the addition of doxycycline.
To track the oestrous cycle stage, analysis of vaginal smears was performed as described previously [27, 28]. The oestrous cycles of mice were tracked daily for at least 28 days.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from snap-frozen tissue dissected from Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice, using TRIzol (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Extracted RNA was treated with DNase to remove contaminating DNA, using reagents supplied in a TURBO DNA-free kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Expression of mRNA encoding the dominant negative TGFβ receptor (ΔTgfbrII) was quantified through quantitative real-time PCR (RT-PCR). RT-PCR amplification was performed using an ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System with either a TaqMan Universal MasterMix II (Life Technologies) or a 2×SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer pairs specific for dominant negative TGFβ receptor (Genbank accession number NM_029575.3) mRNA expression were designed and custom made by Life Technologies (Custom Plus TaqMan® RNA Assay) and supplied as a single tube that contains primers and probe. The ΔTgfbrII assay ID was AJ8966H and produced an amplicon of 79 bp. Expression of the ΔTgfbrII transgene was measured in duplicate and normalised to the house-keeping gene Actb (Genbank accession number NM_007393, assay ID Mm00607939_s1; amplicon length 115).

**DMBA-induced mammary cancer**

The susceptibility of mice to mammary gland cancer was investigated using the 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA)-induced mammary tumour model. Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TgfbrII and Cfms-TgfbrII mice received DMBA in sesame oil (1 mg/mL) weekly by oral gavage for 6 weeks from 6 weeks of age. Following the final carcinogen treatment, mice were palpated for tumours weekly until detection of a mammary gland tumour. Mice were then euthanized by cervical dislocation, and tumours were dissected for further analysis. Lesions were assessed in haematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections by veterinary pathologist LW to confirm the presence of mammary gland cancer.

**Tissue morphology and pathology**

To investigate the effect of attenuated TGFβ signalling in macrophages on mammary gland development at dioestrus, one side of the 4th pair of mammary glands from 3-month-old virgin doxycycline-treated Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TbrII and Cfms-TbrII females was dissected and stained as a whole mount with carmine alum as previously described [21]. The other side of the 4th pair of the mammary gland was paraffin-embedded, sectioned and stained with H&E. The 3rd pair of mammary glands were also dissected and fresh frozen in OCT.

Whole-mount images of the mammary glands were captured by MZ16 FA-Stereo microscope (Leica, The University of Adelaide, SA, Australia). H&E sections were captured as a digital image using a Nanozoomer 1.0 (Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan) at a zoom equivalent to ×40 objective lens. Quantification analysis of whole-mounts, histology, immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence was performed by an assessor blinded to mouse genotype. To determine the extent of ductal branching morphogenesis in the whole-mounted mammary glands, the three longest ducts from each mammary gland were selected, and the number of branch points on each duct was counted manually and expressed as branch points/mm.

To quantify the extent of alveolar development in the mammary gland, the epithelium was categorised as ductal (single epithelium layer) or alveolar (clusters of epithelial structures containing alveolar lumens). The classification of the ductal and alveolar epithelium was based on that developed by Fata et al. [29] and has been used previously [21, 30]. Ductal epithelium was defined as a single epithelial cell layer, and alveolar epithelium was defined as clusters of epithelial structures containing alveolar lumens (analogous to grades 0 and 3, respectively, according to the method developed by Fata et al.). The number of the ductal and alveolar epithelial structures were counted manually in H&E-stained sections, and one full section that included the inguinal lymph node (as a marker for the mid-section of the gland) quantified per mouse. The total number of alveolar structures in the section was expressed as a percentage of total epithelial structures (% alveolar structures = 100 × (alveolar structures/(ductal structures + alveolar structures))).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Macrophage abundance was determined by F4/80 antibody staining, using rat anti-F4/80 monoclonal antibody (1:50 dilution; overnight at 4 °C) (Caltag Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), followed by biotinylated rabbit anti-rat IgG (1:100 dilution; 40 min at room temperature) (Vector Laboratories) and ABC Elite kit (Vector Laboratories) with 3,3 diaminobenzadine (DAB) peroxidase (DAKO, Denmark).

CCR7 was detected using rat anti-CCR7 monoclonal antibody (1:200 dilution; overnight at 4 °C) (Abnova), followed by rabbit anti-rat HRP (1:200; 60 min at room temperature) (Dako). The detection of bound antibody
was performed using DAB (DAKO, Denmark) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

iNOS was detected on fresh frozen tissue sections from OCT-embedded tissue using rabbit anti-iNOS polyclonal antibody (1:300 dilution, overnight at 4 °C) (BD Pharmingen, BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA), followed by goat anti-rabbit HRP (1:400; 40 min at room temperature), and detected with using DAB peroxidase (DAKO, Denmark).

The stained tissue sections were captured as a digital image using a Nanozoomer at a zoom equivalent to a × 40 objective lens. The number of F4/80-, CCR7- and iNOS-positive cells in the mammary gland was counted, where only positive cells with visible haematoxylin-stained nuclei were included. For F4/80 staining, F4/80-positive macrophages were distinguished from F4/80-stained eosinophils on the basis of nuclear morphology. All results were expressed as positive cells/mm². The mean density of positive cells within the five ductal and the five alveolar stroma was calculated and grouped by mouse genotype.

**Immunofluorescence**

Macrophages positive for phosphorylated SMAD2, indicative of TGFβ signalling [31], were detected by immunofluorescent staining of the formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded mammary glands. Following antigen retrieval, F4/80 antibody conjugated to Alexa-750 (Caltag Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) and rabbit anti-pSMAD2 monoclonal antibody (Invitrogen) both at a 1:100 dilution were incubated with the slides overnight at 4 °C. Goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa-488 was used to detect pSMAD2 (1:500 dilution; 1 h at 4 °C) followed by 4′, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI) (Sigma, St Louis, USA) staining for 10 min at 1:1000 dilution. Images were captured and collected using an Olympus FV3000 Confocal Microscope at × 40 magnification. Macrophages were selected randomly for imaging, and the number of macrophages positive for pSMAD2 quantified and expressed as percent positive per mammary gland.

**Human non-neoplastic breast tissue**

Human non-neoplastic breast tissue was collected from female patients undergoing either reduction mammoplasty or mastectomy surgery at The Queen Elizabeth Hospital. Tissue collection was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Adelaide and The Queen Elizabeth Hospital (TQEH Ethics Approval #2011120). Participants were included if they were a woman aged between 18 and 75 years of age and capable of giving informed consent. Participants were excluded from the study in the event of pregnancy, current chemotherapy or high dependence on medical care resulting in the inability to give informed consent.

Macrophages were detected using monoclonal mouse anti-human CD68 primary antibody (1:50 dilution; 30 min) (Dako, Denmark). Before the sections were incubated with the primary antibody, the sections were placed in Dako EnVision™ high pH antigen retrieval solution (Dako) and brought to 90 °C in a water bath for 20 min. After primary antibody incubation, the sections were incubated with Dako EnVision™ HRP (ready-to-use, Dako) for 30 min at room temperature, and the detection of bound antibody was performed using DAB according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The tissue sections were counterstained with haematoxylin prior to dehydration and mounting. Mouse anti-human IgG was used as an isotype control. Stained tissue sections were captured as a digital image using a Nanozoomer at a zoom equivalent to a × 40 objective lens. Three epithelium clusters were randomly selected from each section for quantification. For each epithelium cluster, the total area of the cluster (mm²), the area of the epithelium (mm²) and the area of the stroma (mm²) were measured and calculated. The number of CD68-positive cells in each cluster was counted manually, and only positive cells with visible haematoxylin-stained nuclei were included. All results were expressed as positive cells/mm². The mean density of positive cells within the cluster’s epithelium and stroma from each patient was calculated.

TGFβ1 was detected through immunofluorescence using polyclonal affinity-purified chicken anti-latent TGFβ1 (1:40 dilution; overnight at 4 °C) (R&D Systems, MN, USA), followed by anti-chicken IgY FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (1:200 dilution; 60 min at room temperature) (Sigma-Aldrich). The sections were mounted in a fluorescent mounting medium (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) with DAPI and were stored at 4 °C in the dark until image capture. Fluorescence images of TGFβ1 staining were captured and collected using FV10i Confocal Microscope (Olympus, USA) with laser-power and photomultiplier settings kept constant for all experiments, and images were captured at × 60 magnification. Three epithelium clusters were randomly selected from each section. Using the ImageJ software, the mean staining intensity of TGFβ1 within epithelium was determined, and a mean value of 3 clusters per patient was calculated.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were assessed for normal distribution with a Shapiro-Wilk normality test using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, USA) or SPSS Statistics version 17.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) and presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.). Differences between the means were
evaluated by a one-way ANOVA with post hoc multiple comparisons test (Tukey’s multiple comparison test) if data were normally distributed. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test (with Dunn’s multiple comparison’s analysis) was used if data were not normally distributed. Linear regression analysis was conducted using SPSS Statistics version 17.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) if analysis of relationships between variables was required. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated using SPSS Statistics version 17.0 to analyse the survival function, and the log rank test was used to compare different Kaplan-Meier curves between the groups. The difference between the groups was considered statistically significant if \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Reduced positivity of macrophages for phosphorylated SMAD2 and elevated expression of the dominant negative TGFβ receptor transgene in Cfms-TbrII mice**

To determine whether doxycycline-treated Cfms-TbrII mice exhibited attenuated TGFβ signalling in macrophages, co-localisation of F4/80 and pSMAD2 was investigated. Macrophages detected in single transgenic control doxycycline-treated Cfms-TαTA and TetO-TbrII mice exhibited co-localisation with pSMAD2 (1a-c and 1d-f, respectively) whilst there was little co-localisation detected in Cfms-TbrII mice (Fig. 1g–i). Single-stained controls exhibited little to no staining in the green channel (F4/80 only) or red channel (pSMAD2 only) (Supplementary Figure S1). There were significantly less pSMAD2-positive macrophages in Cfms-TbrII mice compared to Cfms-rTA and TetO-TbrII controls (Fig. 1j). Messenger RNA expression of the dominant negative TGFβ receptor \( \Delta TgfbII \) was quantified in the spleens of doxycycline-treated transgenic mice by RT-PCR (Fig. 1k). The expression of \( \Delta TgfbII \) mRNA was significantly higher in doxycycline-treated Cfms-TbrII mice compared to doxycycline-treated Cfms-rTA and TetO-TbrII controls. These results confirmed that the dominant negative TGFβ receptor transgene is specifically expressed by doxycycline-treated Cfms-TbrII double transgenic mice and results in attenuated TGFβ signalling in mammary gland macrophages.

**Effect of attenuated TGFβ signalling in macrophages on oestrous cyclicity**

To investigate the effect of attenuated TGFβ signalling on oestrous cyclicity, cycles were tracked for a period of 28 days by histological analysis of vaginal smears in adult females for doxycycline-treated Cfms-rTA, TetO-TbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice. The oestrous cycle length and the number of cycles within 28 days from each group were unaffected by the mouse genotype (Table 1). There was no significant difference in the percentage of time spent in any stage of the oestrous cycle amongst the three groups.

**Attenuated TGFβ signalling affects mammary gland development at dioestrus**

Previous studies have shown that both TGFβ1 [10] and macrophages [15] are most abundant in the mammary gland at dioestrus; therefore, this stage of the cycle was chosen to examine the effect of TGFβ signalling in macrophages on mammary gland development. The overt morphology of mammary gland wholemounts was not affected by the transgene, and branch points per millimetre of the duct were not altered (Fig. 2). However, there was a small but significant increase in the percent of alveolar epithelial buds in mice with attenuated TGFβ signalling in macrophages (Fig. 3; 20% increase and 30% increase compared to Cfms-rTA and TetO-TbrII controls, respectively). There was no significant difference in the percentage of alveolar epithelium in the mammary glands from Cfms-rTA control mice compared to TetO-TbrII control mice.

**Attenuated TGFβ signalling increases macrophage abundance and inflammatory macrophage markers**

F4/80 is expressed at high levels on the cell membrane of macrophages and is a well-known macrophage differentiation marker [32]. F4/80-positive macrophages were observed in the mammary stroma surrounding the ductal and alveolar epithelium, as well as directly adjacent and in close contact with the epithelium of all transgenic mice (Fig. 4). No positive staining was observed in the mammary epithelium stained with isotype-matched irrelevant antibody (Supplementary Figure S2A). No significant differences were observed in the abundance of macrophages within the stroma or surrounding the ductal or alveolar epithelium between the two single transgenic control groups (Fig. 4g, h). There was a 46% and 68% increase in the number of macrophages within Cfms-TbrII ductal epithelium compared to that of Cfms-rTA ductal epithelium and TetO-TbrII ductal epithelium, respectively (Fig. 4g). No significant differences in macrophage density within the ductal stroma were observed amongst the three genotypes (Fig. 4g). There was a 51% and 92% increase in the number of macrophages within Cfms-TbrII alveolar epithelium, compared to that of Cfms-rTA alveolar epithelium and TetO-TbrII alveolar epithelium, respectively (Fig. 4h). Additionally, there was a 33% and 37% increase in the number of macrophages within Cfms-TbrII alveolar stroma, compared to that of Cfms-rTA alveolar stroma and TetO-TbrII alveolar stroma, respectively (Fig. 4h).

CCR7 is a chemokine receptor involved in lymphocyte homing [33] and is a phenotypic marker of “M1” or inflammatory type macrophages [34, 35]. CCR7-positive
cells were located in the stroma surrounding the ductal and alveolar epithelium in the mammary glands of doxycycline-treated Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice (Fig. 5). No positive staining was observed in the mammary epithelium stained with isotype-matched irrelevant antibody (Supplementary Figure S2B). There was a 47% and 74% increase in the number of CCR7-positive cells within Cfms-TbrII ductal stroma compared to that of Cfms-rtTA ductal stroma and TetO-TbrII ductal stroma, respectively (Fig. 5g). There was a 37% and 63% increase in the number of CCR7-positive cells within Cfms-TbrII alveolar stroma compared to that of

Fig. 1 Attenuation of TGFB signalling in macrophages from Cfms-TbrII transgenic mice. Representative immunofluorescent staining of the mammary glands with F4/80 (red channel; a, d, g) and pSMAD2 (green channel; b, e, h) antibodies, and merged images showing co-localisation and DAPI (blue channel) nuclear stain (c, f, i) from single transgenic control Cfms-rtTA (a–c) mice, TetO-TbrII (d–f) mice and double transgenic Cfms-TbrII (g–i) mice. Co-localisation was quantified and expressed as a percent of F4/80-positive macrophages that are pSMAD2 positive (n = 4/gp; j). The spleens collected from doxycycline-treated single transgenic control Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TbrII and double transgenic Cfms-TbrII mice, were analysed for ΔTgfbrII mRNA by RT-PCR and normalised to Actb expression (n = 12–13/gp; k). The result is given in arbitrary units where the average of the single transgenic control Cfms-rtTA is 1. Data are presented as mean + SEM with statistical analysis using a Kruskal-Wallis test, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.005.
Cfms-rtTA alveolar stroma and TetO-TbrII alveolar stroma, respectively. No significant differences were detected in the number of CCR7-positive macrophages within the stroma surrounding the ductal or alveolar epithelium between the two control groups.

iNOS is highly expressed by activated cytotoxic macrophages involved in antigen presentation and immune surveillance [36] and is considered a marker for "M1" or inflammatory type macrophages [34]. iNOS expression was observed in the mammary gland epithelial stromal compartment (Fig. 6). No positive staining was observed in the mammary gland stained with isotype-matched irrelevant antibody (Supplementary Figure S2C). There was a 180% and 110% increase in the number of iNOS-positive macrophages within Cfms-TbrII ductal stroma compared to that of Cfms-rtTA ductal stroma and TetO-TbrII ductal stroma, respectively. No significant differences were detected in the number of iNOS-positive macrophages within the stroma surrounding the ductal or alveolar epithelium between the two control groups.

Attenuated TGFB signalling in macrophages decreases mammary cancer susceptibility in mice

Doxycycline-treated Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice were challenged with the chemical carcinogen DMBA to investigate mammary cancer susceptibility. Fewer DMBA-treated Cfms-TbrII mice developed mammary gland tumours [6 of 20 mice; 30%] compared to Cfms-rtTA [10 of 20 mice; 50%] and TetO-TbrII [12 of 19 mice; 63%] mice. Moreover, there was a significant increase in tumour-free survival in DMBA-treated Cfms-TbrII mice compared to Cfms-rtTA \( (p = 0.02) \) and TetO-TbrII \( (p = 0.004) \) mice (log rank analysis; Fig. 7a). No significant difference was observed in tumour-free survival between the two control groups.

Oral administration of DMBA carcinogen resulted in the development of heterogeneous tumour types within the mammary gland consistent with previous reports [30, 37]. Histologically, the tumours were classified as adenocarcinoma (solid and glandular), carcinosarcoma, adenosquamous carcinoma and adenoma/mammary intraepithelial neoplasia (MIN) (Table 2). F4/80-positive cells were detected surrounding all tumours, often observed as small clusters (Fig. 7b–e). CCR7-positive staining was also detected in the tissue surrounding the tumours (Fig. 7f, g). In some of the tissue samples, F4/

Table 1 The average length of the oestrous cycle and the number of oestrous cycles with 28 days in adult doxycycline-treated Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice. Oestrous cycles were tracked for 28 days (between 8 and 12 weeks of age) by histological analysis of vaginal smears. Oestrus was defined as when > 90% of cells in vaginal smear were cornified epithelial cells. A single complete cycle was defined as the first day of oestrus through to the first day of the next oestrus (n = 8–12 per group). Data are presented as mean ± SEM, with statistical analysis conducted using a one-way ANOVA test (no significant differences detected)

|                | Cfms-rtTA | TetO-TgfbrII | Cfms-TbrII |
|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| Cycle length (days) | 5.04 ± 0.07 | 4.93 ± 0.11 | 4.84 ± 0.10 |
| Cycles (number)   | 4.8 ± 0.13 | 4.63 ± 0.11 | 4.75 ± 0.25 |

Fig. 2 The effect of attenuated TGFB signalling in macrophages on mammary gland morphogenesis. Representative mammary gland carmine alum-stained wholemounts of doxycycline-treated single transgenic control Cfms-rtTA \( \text{(n} = 10; \text{a)} \), TetO-TbrII \( \text{(n} = 8; \text{b)} \) and double transgenic Cfms-TbrII \( \text{(n} = 12; \text{c)} \) mice at dioestrus. The number of branch points/mm was calculated from the analysis of wholemounts \( \text{(d)} \). Data are presented as mean ± SEM with statistical analysis using a one-way ANOVA test.
80- and CCR7-positive staining was observed within the tumours. When this was observed, the staining was scattered and of lower abundance compared to staining in adjacent normal mammary tissue. F4/80- and CCR7-positive staining within tumours was scored as either none/minimal or few/scattered. Four of 10 Cfms-rtTA mice, 3 of 12 TetO-TbrII mice and 3 of 6 Cfms-TbrII mice exhibited scattered F4/80-positive macrophages (Fig. 7; Table 2). CCR7-positive staining was observed in the tumours of 2 of 10, 1 of 12, and 0 of 6 Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice, respectively. This data was not statistically analysed due to the mixed histology of the tumours and the small sample size available. Staining for iNOS was not done as all the tumours were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded, and this tissue preparation technique is not compatible with iNOS immunohistochemistry.

**Inverse relationship between epithelial cell-associated TGFB1 and macrophage abundance in human non-neoplastic breast tissue**

Having shown that a key role for TGFB in the mouse mammary gland is regulation of macrophages, with an impact on DMBA-induced tumour susceptibility, we next explored whether TGFB affects macrophage populations in human breast tissue. Latent TGFB1 was observed to be largely associated with the mammary epithelium; however, the abundance of TGFB1 was varied amongst participants and ranged from low to high expression (Fig. 8a, b). No positive staining was observed in human breast stained with isotype-matched irrelevant antibody (Supplementary Figure S3). CD68 is a pan macrophage marker which is highly expressed by human monocytes and tissue macrophages [38]. CD68-positive cells were found to be located within three different locations: (i) epithelial-associated CD68-positive macrophages were located between adjacent epithelial cells, (ii) epithelial-aligned CD68-positive macrophages were macrophages that aligned along the epithelium but not invaded into the epithelium and (iii) stromal CD68-positive macrophages were macrophages within the stroma (Fig. 8c, d). No positive staining was observed in human breast stained with isotype-matched irrelevant antibody (Supplementary Figure S2D). There was no statistical correlation between the latent TGFB1 expression and the abundance of epithelial-associated CD68-positive macrophages (data not shown). However, there was a significant inverse relationship between the abundance of latent TGFB1 and the abundance of both epithelial-associated macrophages (Fig. 8e; $R^2 = 0.26$, $p = 0.27$) and stromal macrophages (Fig. 8f; $R^2 = 0.33$, $p = 0.01$). No statistical correlation was observed between macrophage abundance and latent TGFB1 with patient characteristics such as age or menopausal status.

**Discussion**

TGFB is one of the key signalling pathways that regulates mammary gland development [39, 40, 41]. Many studies have demonstrated that TGFB signalling can inhibit mammary gland development in an autocrine manner [40, 42, 43], whereby diminished synthesis or signalling capacity of TGFB1 results in increased ductal elongation. Further transplantation studies have revealed that TGFB1 has both autocrine and paracrine effects on mammary gland development.
development as mice with a deficiency in epithelial cell-derived TGFB1 exhibited an overall normal mammary gland development, despite an increased rate of epithelial cell turnover [21] and accelerated ductal elongation [11]. Our observation of a small increase in the alveolar epithelium in mice with attenuated TGFB signalling in macrophages suggests a feedback loop between epithelial cells and local macrophages occurs, whereby epithelial cell-derived TGFB1 acts on macrophages that in turn regulate mammary epithelial cell development.

Macrophages play diverse and essential roles in mammary gland development [15–17], under the direction of cytokine signalling derived from the local tissue microenvironment [44]. In response to various signals, macrophages can undergo classical “M1” activation or alternative “M2” activation [34]. The “M1” phenotype is characterised by the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, high production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen intermediates which can promote tumour suppression. In contrast, “M2” macrophages are considered to

**Fig. 4** The effect of attenuated TGFB signalling on macrophage abundance and location in the mammary gland. Representative F4/80 antibody staining of macrophages around the ductal (a–c) and alveolar (d–f) epithelium. Examples of F4/80-positive epithelial cell-associated macrophages are indicated by arrows, and examples of F4/80-positive stromal macrophages are indicated by arrowheads. The number of F4/80-positive cells within the ductal epithelium and stroma (g) and within the alveolar epithelium and stroma (h) was calculated in the mammary glands from doxycycline-treated single transgenic control Cfms-rtTA (n = 10; a, d) mice, TetO-TbrII (n = 8; b, e) mice and double transgenic Cfms-TbrII (n = 12; c, f) mice. Data are presented as mean + SEM with statistical analysis using a one-way ANOVA test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
be involved in tissue remodelling and promoting tumour progression [34, 45]. For macrophages to fulfil multiple functions during mammary gland development and cancer progression, they function under the direction of different cytokines and hormones. TGFB1 regulates macrophage function in many tissues, and therefore, TGFB signalling could be one of the key signalling pathways involved in maintaining the balance of different populations of macrophages in the cycling mammary gland, enabling the mammary gland to undergo appropriate development and regression over the course of each ovarian cycle.

Doxycycline is a commonly prescribed antibiotic and is used experimentally in conditional transgenic studies. Doxycycline is also known to regulate macrophage polarisation and promotes a shift toward an “M1” type macrophage cell type in vitro and in choroidal tissue when administered intraperitoneally to mice [46]. It is possible in the present study that mammary macrophages were shifted toward the “M1” type macrophage
phenotype due to doxycycline provided in drinking water from the age of 6 weeks. As all the mice described in these studies were similarly treated, the differences observed between single transgenic controls and the double transgenic Cfms-TbrII mice are the result of TGFB attenuation in the transgenic model, rather than doxycycline treatment.

Epithelial cell-derived-TGFB1 has previously been demonstrated to prevent macrophage invasion into the mammary epithelium and suppress the activities of inflammatory type “M1” macrophages [21]. In agreement with this, we found an increased abundance of macrophages in both the ductal and alveolar epithelium in the mammary glands from doxycycline-treated single transgenic control Cfms-rtTA (n = 10; a, d) mice, TetO-TbrII (n = 8, b, e) mice and double transgenic Cfms-TbrII (n = 12; c, f) mice. Data are presented as mean + SEM with statistical analysis using a one-way ANOVA test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005.

Fig. 6 The effect of attenuated TGFB signalling on abundance and location of iNOS-positive cells within the mammary stroma. Representative iNOS antibody staining in the stroma around the ductal (a–c) and alveolar (d–f) epithelium. Examples of iNOS-positive stromal macrophages are indicated by arrowheads. The number of iNOS-positive (g) cells within the stroma was calculated in the mammary glands from doxycycline-treated single transgenic control Cfms-rtTA (n = 10; a, d) mice, TetO-TbrII (n = 8, b, e) mice and double transgenic Cfms-TbrII (n = 12; c, f) mice. Data are presented as mean + SEM with statistical analysis using a one-way ANOVA test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005.

Epithelial cell-derived-TGFB1 has previously been demonstrated to prevent macrophage invasion into the mammary epithelium and suppress the activities of inflammatory type “M1” macrophages [21]. In agreement with this, we found an increased abundance of macrophages in both the ductal and alveolar epithelium in the mammary glands with attenuated TGFB signalling in macrophages, suggesting that TGFB signalling plays a significant role in regulating macrophage abundance and invasion. The macrophages observed within the mammary epithelium were identified by F4/80 staining and did not express either iNOS or CCR7. Macrophage populations in the mammary gland are highly heterogeneous.
and can be defined by distinct markers and tissue location. Ductal epithelium-associated macrophages express MHCIi, CD11b and CD11c; exhibit long dendrite projections; and are intercalated between the epithelial bilayers of the duct [47, 48]. This population of macrophages express a gene signature that resembles tumour-associated macrophages [48].

In addition, impaired TGFB signalling in macrophages caused an increased abundance of “M1” iNOS-positive and CCR7-positive macrophages within the mammary gland stroma. Our findings are further supported by studies which have demonstrated that TGFB1 inhibits the expression of macrophage scavenger receptor during macrophage-mediated phagocytosis and downregulates the expression of “M1” marker iNOS in activated macrophages, thus resolving inflammation and preventing disease progression [49–53]. Taken together, this evidence strongly suggests the role of TGFB signalling to macrophages in the mammary gland appears to be twofold, through (1) inhibition of macrophage invasion into the epithelium and (2) downregulation of “M1” macrophages.

TGFB1 has both stimulatory and inhibitory roles in cancer progression; it acts as a tumour suppressor at the early stage of cancer development and promotes invasion and metastasis at the later stage of cancer progression [12–14]. The increased risk of breast cancer conferred by the presence of the TGFB1 L10P gene polymorphism suggests that increased production of TGFB1 is associated with increased susceptibility to breast cancer [22]. This is consistent with our observations, as a significant reduction in the incidence of mammary gland tumour formation, and longer tumour latency was observed in mice with attenuated TGFB signalling in macrophages challenged with DMBA carcinogen, indicating that TGFB-regulated macrophages might promote mammary cancer susceptibility in mice.

The balance of pro-tumourigenic or anti-tumourigenic activities of macrophages is dependent on cytokine signals derived from the local tissue microenvironment, including TGFB signalling [44, 54]. Consequently, attenuated TGFB signalling may alter the phenotypes and functions of macrophages and thus affect cancer progression.

### Table 2: Assessment of histological tumour type and F4/80- and CCR7-positive staining of DMBA-induced tumours in doxycycline-treated Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TgfbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice.

| Tumour Type                  | Cfms-rtTA F4/80 | CCR7 | TetO-TgfbrII F4/80 | CCR7 | Cfms-TbrII F4/80 | CCR7 |
|------------------------------|----------------|------|-------------------|------|----------------|------|
| Adenocarcinoma (solid)       | 3 (1)          | 67 (2)| 5                 | 20 (1)| 0              | N/A |
| % (N)                       | 33 (1)         | 67 (2)| 0 (0)            | 20 (1)| N/A            | N/A |
| Adenocarcinoma (glandular)  | 1 (0)          | 0 (0)| N/A              | N/A  | 50 (2)         | 0 (0) |
| % (N)                       | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)| N/A              | N/A  | 50 (2)         | 0 (0) |
| Carcinosarcoma              | 2 (0)          | 0 (0)| 0 (0)            | 0 (0)| 50 (1)        | 0 (0) |
| % (N)                       | 67 (2)         | 0 (0)| 67 (2)           | 0 (0)| N/A           | N/A |
| Adenoma/MIN                 | 1 (0)          | 0 (0)| 1                 | 0 (0)| N/A           | N/A |
| % (N)                       | 100 (1)        | 0 (0)| 100 (1)          | 0 (0)| N/A           | N/A |
| Total                       | 10             | 12   | 12                | 12   | 12             | 12   |
| % (N)                       | 40 (4)         | 20 (2)| 25 (3)           | 8 (1)| 50 (3)        | 0 (0) |

Table 2: Assessment of histological tumour type and F4/80- and CCR7-positive staining of DMBA-induced tumours in doxycycline-treated Cfms-rtTA, TetO-TgfbrII and Cfms-TbrII mice. Histology was assessed in H&E-stained tissue sections and classified as adenocarcinoma (solid or glandular), carcinosarcoma, adenosquamous carcinoma and adenoma/mammary intraepithelial neoplasia (MIN). F4/80 and CCR7 immunohistochmistry staining was classified as either none/minimal or scattered. The number of tumours of each type for each genotype is presented, and the percent and the number of those tumours exhibiting F4/80- or CCR7-positive staining classified as scattered are shown. In some cases, tumour staining was not assessed (N/A) as there was no tumour present.
susceptibility. The reduction of mammary gland tumour incidence in mice with impaired TGFB signalling in macrophages could be due to the increased abundance of nitric oxide-producing macrophages with enhanced capacity for immune surveillance [55]. Thus, aberrant TGFB signalling to macrophages may impair immune system protection from tumour formation leading to an increased risk of breast cancer. Conclusions on the role of TGFB-regulated macrophages on the further development of tumours, beyond tumour initiation induced by DMBA, was not possible. Differences in the distribution and phenotype of macrophages were observed between TGFB-attenuated and control mice, but this could be due to the variable histological tumour types observed.

Similar to the mouse mammary gland, TGFB1 is observed in human non-neoplastic breast epithelium; however, the expression level was found to vary between individuals. Macrophages are mainly located around the epithelium and within the epithelium and the stroma, and therefore are in close proximity to TGFB1. The significant inverse relationship between the abundance of TGFB1 and the abundance of macrophages is in agreement with our finding that reduced TGFB signalling increases macrophage abundance in the double transgenic mouse model. Taken together, this suggests that epithelial cell-derived TGFB1 is likely to exert regulatory actions on macrophages in the human breast, to an extent that varies between individuals dependent on the abundance and bioavailability of the cytokine.

**Conclusion**

TGFB1 has a significant role in regulating macrophages in the mammary gland and impacts upon normal development and susceptibility to mammary cancer. We propose that TGFB signalling in macrophages promotes mammary gland tumorigenesis in mice through suppression of immune surveillance activities of macrophages. Given the diverse nature of TGFB1 action, it is not desirable to broadly target this cytokine as a therapeutic strategy. However, a better understanding of the precise cell types and signalling pathways that result in the tumour-promoting effects of TGFB1 could lead to new approaches for breast cancer prevention in the future.
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