Maternal outcomes among emergency obstetric admissions at a tertiary care teaching hospital in Chitradurga, South India
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ABSTRACT

Background: The maternal mortality is a vital index of the quality and efficiency of obstetric services prevailing in a country. The obstetric emergencies are unexpected occurrences during pregnancy or puerperium requiring immediate attention. Obstetric emergencies can either happen suddenly or they can develop as a result of complications that are not properly identified, monitored or managed. These emergencies, to a large extent, are preventable. The purpose of this study was to understand the contributing factors of obstetric emergencies, their clinical presentation, management and maternal outcomes.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted among obstetric emergency admissions during October 2016 to September 2017, at a tertiary care hospital in urban area of central Karnataka.

Results: A total of 100 emergency admissions were observed in the study period. A 41% of were un-booked antenatal cases. A 13% of patients reached with the longest delay of 10-12 hours duration. A 31% of emergency admissions were in compromised condition. The majority of the cases were delivered by LSCS (55%).

Conclusions: In the study, nearly half of the pregnancies were unbooked. There were teenage pregnancies reported in the study. More than half of obstetric emergencies were from rural areas. In nearly 50% of admissions a delay of more than 5 hours in reaching this hospital was noted. Ignorance was a major factor which impeded the access of antenatal health care services. Health education to pregnant women about importance of accessing maternal health services, early ANC booking and regular checkups, identification of high-risk pregnancy and timely referral, availability of fully functional first referral units and transport facilities for these emergency patients. Availability of skilled medical professionals round the clock in these FRU’s are the need of the hour.
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INTRODUCTION

Maternal mortality is a vital index of the quality and efficiency of obstetric service, prevailing in a country. One of the most important causes of maternal morbidity and mortality are obstetric emergencies that occur unexpectedly, either during pregnancy or puerperium, as a result of complications that are not properly identified, monitored or managed with timely and appropriate medical care, during the course of pregnancy. An emergency can be defined as a situation of serious and often dangerous nature, developing suddenly and unexpectedly and demanding immediate attention in order to save life.1 These emergencies are often life-threatening and demand immediate specialized medical care.1,3 About 15% of all pregnant women may get a complication with an emergency during pregnancy, labour or puerperium.2,3
India is the second largest country in the world, next only to China, with a population of more than one billion. The existing demographic and socio-economic indicators of the country are showing that there is a scope for improvement. The main reasons for delay as per the delay model are delay in seeking treatment, delay in reaching health care facility, delay in identifying risk factors and delay in obtaining definitive treatment in the facility. The urban areas of the nation have well equipped and well-staffed maternity care institutions. Transport is also readily available so that these emergencies are timely attended with specialized care. However, higher percentage of the deliveries and obstetric emergencies are reported among women from rural areas, who belong commonly to lower socio-economic strata and often do not avail existing maternal health care services. The community health centres which are the first referral units (FRU), providing specialized medical care for obstetric emergencies with the facilities of obstetricians and gynaecologists, paediatricians, surgeons and physicians. They are also equipped with full-fledged blood bank and para-medical services round the clock. Such FRUs have the capacity to anticipate these obstetric emergencies, detect them early and treat them adequately. But, the existing FRUs are deficient in infrastructure and manpower in many parts of India, which has been adversely affecting health of rural population. In rural areas, rapid transportation services are also scarce, because of which the time taken to reach a referral hospital / taluk or district hospital is longer. And even after reaching the health care facility, there can be a possibility of a further delay of 2-3 hours before the initiation of necessary interventions, due to lack of specialized medical care services. The World Health Organization (WHO) therefore recommends that, to reduce maternal morbidity and mortality, these obstetric complications or emergencies should be treated at a first referral level by doctors trained in emergency obstetric care and who can carry out essential obstetric functions. Such first referral levels should be ideally situated closer to the patients’ residence.

Women comprise an important section of society, whose health should be focused upon by various preventive, therapeutic, surgical interventions during their child bearing period as it can pose a threat to their lives. The present study was conducted among the emergency obstetric admissions in the tertiary care teaching hospital of Chitradurga, to understand the contributing factors of obstetric emergencies, their clinical presentation, management and maternal outcomes prevalent in this region of central Karnataka.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital, Chitradurga, from 1st October 2016 to 30th September 2017, after obtaining ethical clearance from institutional ethics committee.

Inclusion criteria

- Pregnancies beyond 28 weeks of gestation, singleton or multiple pregnancies, pregnancies with obstetric emergencies in 1st and 2nd stage of labour (such as malpresentation, malpositions, deep transverse arrest, obstructed labour, cephalopelvic disproportion, antepartum haemorrhage, rupture uterus, eclampsia, etc) and pregnancies with 3rd stage labour complications like post-partum haemorrhage (PPH), rupture uterus, genital injuries, etc.
- Pregnancies with medical complications like anemia, diabetes mellitus, infective hepatitis, hypertension, heart disease etc., pregnancies with surgical complications like appendicitis, hernia etc were also considered in the inclusion criteria.
- Obstetric emergency cases referred from periphery to this hospital were also a part of inclusion criteria.

Exclusion criteria

- Consisted of pregnant women brought in moribund state.

All the obstetric emergency admissions which fulfilled the study criteria during this study period were included in the study, after obtaining informed consent from either the patient or their attenders. A semi-structured questionnaire consisting of details regarding socio-demographic profile, the reasons for referral, parity, antenatal care in present pregnancy, clinical presentations, present obstetric complications, previous obstetric, medical and surgical history and last menstrual period to calculate the gestational age were recorded. General physical examination including the vital signs, detailed obstetric examination and other system examinations, mode of deliveries, nature of surgery performed, number of units blood transfused and maternal outcomes were documented.

Statistical analysis

The data was compiled in Microsoft excel spread sheet and analyzed using SPSS for windows version 16.0. All the characteristics are summarized descriptively. For continuous variables, the summary statistics of N and mean were used. For categorical data, the number and percentage were used in the data summarized.

RESULTS

A total of 100 emergency admissions were observed in the study period. The majority of obstetric emergencies were among the age groups of 21-25 years (37%) and 26-30 years (36%). Most of the patients belonged to class II and III Socio-economic strata, according to modified BG Prasad classification (33% and 39% respectively). The majority were from the rural area (58%). A high percentage of the participants had studied up to primary
or secondary school level (37% and 34% respectively) (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio-demographic profile of the study population.

| Parameters                  | Groups   | Percentage (N=100) |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| Age group (years)           |          |                    |
| 16-20                       |          | 12                 |
| 21-25                       |          | 37                 |
| 26-30                       |          | 36                 |
| 31-35                       |          | 13                 |
| 36-40                       |          | 2                  |
| Socio-economic status       |          |                    |
| Class I                     |          | 14                 |
| Class II                    |          | 33                 |
| Class III                   |          | 39                 |
| Class IV                    |          | 13                 |
| Class V                     |          | 1                  |
| Place of residence          |          |                    |
| Urban                       |          | 42                 |
| Rural                       |          | 58                 |
| Educational status          |          |                    |
| 12th and/or above           |          | 27                 |
| Up to high school           |          | 34                 |
| Up to primary school        |          | 37                 |
| Illiterate                  |          | 2                  |
| Total                       |          | 100                |

A majority of the pregnant women were booked antenatal cases (59%) whereas 41% were un-booked cases. A higher percentage (66%) of these obstetric emergency admissions were referred from various other health care facilities whereas a 44% were direct admissions. Most of the referrals were from nursing homes and clinics (19%), followed by district hospitals (15%). A high percentage of 44% patients reached the hospital with a delay of 2-4 hours duration, followed by 32% of patients reaching the hospital with a delay of 5-10 hours duration. A 13% of patients reached with the longest delay of 10-12 hours duration. Ignorance (39%) was leading cause of delay in seeking care (Figure 1). A 31% of emergency admissions were in compromised condition.

The majority of the cases were delivered by Lower Segment Caesarean Section (LSCS-55 %) followed by vaginal delivery (44%) (Table 2). Most common indications for LSCS were malpresentations (23.6%) followed by antepartum haemorrhage (16.4%), fetal distress (16.4%) and CPD (14.5%). Of the 16.4% cases of antepartum haemorrhage, placenta previa was seen in 10.9% cases and abruption placenta in 5.5% cases. 2 of the 6 placenta previa cases had atonic PPH on table, which were managed by medical methods. Fetal distress was seen in 16.4% cases. Cephalopelvic disproportion in 14.5% cases and twin gestation in 10.9% of cases. Malposition was seen in 9.1% of cases, of which deep transverse arrest occurred in 7.3% of cases and Persistent occipito-posterior position was seen in 1 case (Table 3).

Table 2: Mode of deliveries.

| Mode of deliveries                                        | Percentage (N=100) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Abdominal deliveries                                      |                    |
| Emergency lower segment caesarean section (LSCS)          | 43                 |
| Emergency LSCS with bilateral tubectomoy                 | 12                 |
| Laparotomy                                                | 1                  |
| Total abdominal deliveries                                | 56                 |
| Vaginal deliveries                                        |                    |
| Instrumental                                              | 6                  |
| FTND with RMLE (full term normal delivery right mediolateral episiotomy) | 3                  |
| FTVD (full term vaginal delivery)                         | 17                 |
| Assisted breech delivery                                 | 5                  |
| PTVD (pre-term vaginal delivery)                          | 13                 |
| Total vaginal deliveries                                  | 44                 |
| Grand Total                                               | 100                |

The majority of the cases were delivered by Lower Segment Caesarean Section (LSCS-55 %) followed by vaginal delivery (44%) (Table 2). Most common indications for LSCS were malpresentations (23.6%) followed by antepartum haemorrhage (16.4%), fetal distress (16.4%) and CPD (14.5%). Of the 16.4% cases of antepartum haemorrhage, placenta previa was seen in 10.9% cases and abruption placenta in 5.5% cases. 2 of the 6 placenta previa cases had atonic PPH on table, which were managed by medical methods. Fetal distress was seen in 16.4% cases. Cephalopelvic disproportion in 14.5% cases and twin gestation in 10.9% of cases. Malposition was seen in 9.1% of cases, of which deep transverse arrest occurred in 7.3% of cases and Persistent occipito-posterior position was seen in 1 case (Table 3).

Table 3: Indications for LSCS.

| Indications for LSCS                                        | N=n55 (%)          |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Antepartum haemorrhage                                     | 9 (16.4%)          |
| Placenta previa                                            | 6 (10.9%)          |
| Abruptio placenta                                          | 3 (5.5%)           |
| Malpresentations                                           | 13 (23.6%)         |
| Breech                                                     | 11 (20.0%)         |
| Shoulder                                                   | 1 (1.8%)           |
| Cord presentation                                          | 1(1.8%)            |
| Malposition                                                | 5 (9.1%)           |
| Occipito posterior persistent                              | 1 (1.8%)           |
| Deep transverse arrest                                     | 4 (7.3%)           |
| Cephalopelvic disproportion                               | 8 (14.5%)          |
| Imminent eclampsia                                         | 4 (7.3%)           |
| Eclampsia                                                  | 1 (1.8%)           |
| Fetal distress                                             | 9 (16.4%)          |
| Twin pregnancy                                             | 6 (10.9%)          |
| Total                                                      | 55 (100.0%)        |

In this study maternal morbidity was seen in 42 % of cases. A high percentage of 17% patients received blood...
and blood component transfusion. Anaemia was present in 10% of cases and wound infection was present in 8% of cases, whereas there were no maternal mortalities (Figure 2).
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**Figure 2: Maternal morbidity after admission.**

**DISCUSSION**

The obstetric emergencies can happen suddenly, or they can develop as a result of a complication that are not properly managed or monitored. If an emergency is not properly treated, it may endanger the life or health of not only the mother but also her child. This study included a total of 100 obstetric emergency admissions and the obstetric outcomes were studied in relation to social and maternal factors.

In the present study, a majority (37%) of obstetric emergencies were in the age groups of 21-25 years whereas there also was a significant number of teenage pregnancies (16-20 years - in 12% of cases) (Table 1). The teenage pregnancies reflect the low socio-economic status and prevalent social custom in India which are also the causes for high fertility rates.9,10 Most of the emergency admissions in the present study were from rural areas (58%), which might be due to the existent gross disparity between the availability and utilization of maternal health services between urban and rural areas.5,11-13 Unavailability of adequate medical facilities, either public or private, improper utilization of these facilities due to ignorance, socio-cultural barriers could have been the reasons for such higher obstetric emergencies occurring in rural areas.5,11-13 A majority of patients in the present study belonged to lower socio-economic strata (Class III in 39%, Class IV 13%, Class V: 1%) It has been observed that women of lower socio-economic status often do not avail the existing maternal health services during pregnancy or at the time of delivery, which could have been the reasons for the emergency admissions.5,11-13

The obstetric emergencies were found to be more among primigravida (61% cases—Table 4). Similar results are found in the studies conducted by Goswamy P and Bindal J.14 Possible reasons for such high percentage of primigravidas coming to access care in obstetric emergency conditions could be due to lack of awareness regarding MCH care. A high percentage (41%) of unbooked cases were also found in the present study which is more than the study done by Thaker R et al (Table 4).15

**Table 4: Referral and obstetric details.**

| Parameters                              | Characteristics | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| ANC care status                         | Booked          | 59         |
|                                        | Un-booked       | 41         |
| Referral status                         | Referred        | 66         |
|                                        | Not referred    | 34         |
|                                        | Total           | 100        |
| Institution of referral (out of the total referrals N=66) | District hospital | 15         |
|                                        | Taluk hospital  | 9          |
|                                        | Community health center | 12     |
|                                        | Primary health center | 8      |
|                                        | Sub center      | 3          |
|                                        | Nursing home and clinics | 19     |
|                                        | Total           | 66         |
| Delay in reaching the hospital          | Time            | Percentage |
|                                        | 0-1 hour        | 5          |
|                                        | 1-2 hours       | 6          |
|                                        | 2-4 hours       | 44         |
|                                        | 5-10 hours      | 32         |
|                                        | 10-12 hours     | 13         |
| Obstetric index                        | Primigravida    | 61         |
|                                        | Multigravida    | 36         |
|                                        | Grand multigravida | 3      |
| Maternal condition                     | Compromised     | 31         |
|                                        | Good            | 69         |
|                                        | Total           | 100        |

Out of 100 emergency admissions, a majority of 66% were referrals from various other health institutions. 34% of cases were not referred and had direct emergency admissions. Among them, 9% were advised during antenatal checkup for hospital delivery. In most of the cases, the first access to health care was at PHC’s, from there they were referred to higher centres (from nursing homes 19%, from district hospitals 15%), and when found unmanageable in those centres, they were further referred to this tertiary care teaching hospital (Table 4). The cases were referred due to non-availability of obstetrician, anaesthetist, paediatrician and also lack of facilities for caesarean section, lack of blood transfusion facilities and also lack of high dependency unit facilities to manage these obstetric emergencies in these institutions.4,11

In majority of the emergency admissions, there was a delay in reaching this hospital by 2-4 hrs (44% of cases), 5-10 hrs (32%) and also 10-12 hrs duration (13%). The time taken could have been partly dependent on the mode of transportation and the distance of the place from the hospital and financial problem in patients.4 The precious
golden period was being wasted and they were brought in advanced stages of labour, even several hours after rupture of membranes, in infected conditions and in state of threatened rupture of uterus or several hours after start of haemorrhage in a haemodynamically unstable condition. The ignorance (32%) of the patients to seek medical aid was also an important factor for the delay in arriving the referral hospital (Table 4). Some of the referred cases failed to bring previous investigation reports and referral slips in hurry. The type I and type II delay affected the poor outcomes among mothers.2,4 Accompanying malnutrition and anaemia was also seen (compromised cases: 31%, Table 4).

A 55% of pregnancies were delivered by lower segment caesarean section (Table 2). Similar results are found in the study conducted at Guntur by Guguloth K and Sivarajani BSV.16 Malpresentations (23.6%) and antepartum haemorrhage (16.4%) were more common indications for LSCS observed in the present study (Table 3). Similar results are found in studies conducted elsewhere.16-19 This may be explained due to the fact that more number of cases were referred to this tertiary health centre from peripheral health care centres where operative facilities, blood transfusion facility and specialist care are unavailable.

The percentage of vaginal deliveries (44%) was lesser in present study when compared with the findings of study conducted by Sabale U and Patankar AM, but similar to the study conducted by Bindal J.20,21 This was due to the fact that more number of cases were referred from outside where both mother and fetus were in a unsuitable condition go for normal or operative vaginal deliveries, hence the safest route to deliver was abdominal route by caesarean section which was opted for the management of obstetric emergencies (Table 3). LSCS for Imminent eclampsia was done in 4% of cases and for Eclampsia in one case. Baby was matured in this case, as a quick method of termination of pregnancy, LSCS was done.

Rupture uterus is one of the most serious obstetric emergencies associated with high maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality (Table 2). There was 1 case of rupture uterus out of 100 cases. The rupture was in unscarred uterus. It was a silent rupture i. e. there was no maternal and fetal distress. It was a complete rupture. The site of the rupture was in lower segment extending to lateral wall. Etiology for this rupture was labour acceleration with oxytocin. It was repaired by rent repair followed by tubectomy.

In this study maternal morbidity was seen in 42% cases (Figure 2). The morbidity was high because of pre-existing anaeemia, malnutrition and pre-existing infection at the time of admission and cross infections in the hospital. Blood transfusion was done to manage excessive haemorrhage in 17% of cases which is comparable with the study conducted by Poornima M and Daver R.22 In this study, emergency blood transfusion of 2–4 pints per patient was done to manage acute blood loss due to haemorrhage in placenta previa, abruptio placenta, post-partum cases. Blood components transfusion was done in maximum cases. Wound infection without pyrexia was the complication seen in 8% cases, even after being treated with higher antibiotics and in 2 cases antibiotics were changed as per culture report. Moderate anaemia was seen in 10% cases following delivery. It was managed with blood transfusion in 3% cases and injectable iron followed by oral therapy in other 7% cases. Puerperal pyrexia was in 4% cases, managed by antibiotics and antipyretic.

Retained placenta was seen in 1 case. Under general anesthesia (GA) manual removal of placenta was done. Urinary tract infection was observed in 2% cases, which was managed with antibiotics as per urine culture report.

CONCLUSION

The obstetric emergency has a profound effect on the mother which can cause high maternal morbidity and mortality. One of the first and foremost goals of modern obstetrics is prevention of obstetric emergencies and to ensure that every pregnancy culminates in a healthy mother and a healthy baby. Though pregnancy is essentially a physiological process, unexpected complications may occur even in most healthy social environments. Efficient medical services are absolutely necessary to save lives. In the study, nearly half of the pregnancies were unbooked and belonged to middle/lower socio-economic strata. There were teenage pregnancies reported in the study.

More than half of obstetric emergencies were from rural areas and nearly 50% of admissions reached the hospital with a delay of more than 5 hours duration. Ignorance was a major factor which impeded the access of antenatal health care services. Health education to pregnant women about maternal health services and its proper implication, Early ANC booking and regular checkups, identification of high-risk pregnancy and timely referral, fully functional first referral units, availability of transport
facilities for these emergency patients, availability of obstetrician, anaesthetist, neonatologist, physician and surgeon services round the clock are the need of the hour.
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