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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Numerous behavioral studies have highlighted the contribution of visual perceptual deficits to the nonverbal cognitive profile of individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. However, the neurobiological processes underlying these widespread behavioral alterations are yet to be fully understood. Thus, in this paper, we investigated the role of neural oscillations toward visuoperceptual deficits to elucidate the neurobiology of sensory impairments in deletion carriers.

METHODS: We acquired 125 high-density electroencephalography recordings during a visual grating task in a group of 62 deletion carriers and 63 control subjects. Stimulus-elicited oscillatory responses were analyzed with 1) time-frequency analysis using wavelets decomposition at sensor and source level, 2) intertrial phase coherence, and 3) Granger causality connectivity in source space. Additional analyses examined the development of neural oscillations across age bins.

RESULTS: Deletion carriers had decreased theta-band (4–8 Hz) and gamma-band (58–68 Hz) spectral power compared with control subjects in response to the visual stimuli, with an absence of age-related increase of theta- and gamma-band responses. Moreover, adult deletion carriers had decreased gamma- and theta-band responses but increased alpha/beta desynchronization (10–25 Hz) that correlated with behavioral performance. Granger causality estimates reflected an increased frontal-occipital connectivity in the beta range (22–40 Hz).

CONCLUSIONS: Deletion carriers exhibited decreased theta- and gamma-band responses to visual stimuli, while alpha/beta desynchronization was preserved. Overall, the lack of age-related changes in deletion carriers implicates developmental impairments in circuit mechanisms underlying neural oscillations. The dissociation between the maturation of theta/gamma- and alpha/beta-band responses may indicate a selective impairment in supragranular cortical layers, leading to compensatory top-down connectivity.
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Prominent disruptions of brain oscillations, particularly in the gamma-band range, have been observed in patients with schizophrenia and during earlier stages of the disease in patients with a first episode of psychosis and individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis (1–4). The majority of the studies have shown impaired gamma-band response to auditory stimuli (2), while it has been suggested that abnormalities in high-frequency brain oscillations might extend to other sensory modalities. In particular, reduced power and synchronization of gamma-band oscillations during visual processing have been observed in patients with schizophrenia (11). Moreover, visual gamma-band impairments have been observed already at the first episode of psychosis stage (12). However, it remains to be elucidated whether such impairment predates the emergence of clinically detectable psychotic symptoms.

Gamma-band oscillations have been causally related to the activity of fast-spiking parvalbumin interneurons (13–17), which undergo profound maturational changes during the transition from adolescence to adulthood (18,19), including epigenetic modifications (20,21), and fluctuations in the glutamatergic drive onto parvalbumin interneurons through NMDA receptors (22,23). There is also evidence for late maturation of gamma-band oscillations in human electroencephalography (EEG) data (24). Studies on preclinical models of schizophrenia have further demonstrated that schizophrenia-like deficits, including gamma-band dysfunction, appear in early adulthood and can be rescued during a sensitive time window in late adolescence (25).

Genetic models of schizophrenia, such as the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS), are ideally suited to prospectively study neurodevelopmental changes associated with psychosis risk (26). Indeed, 22q11.2 deletion carriers are characterized by a high proneness to develop psychiatric disorders, in particular psychosis, with an estimated lifetime prevalence of around 30% (27,28). Behavioral, neuroimaging,
and genetic findings highlighted a shared neurobiological vulnerability between 22q11DS and idiopathic psychosis (29–31). For instance, 22q11DS is characterized by impaired visuospatial processing (32) that encompasses deficits in the discrimination of local details and selective deficits in visuospatial memory (32–35), which could reflect findings of reduced activation in ventral and dorsal streams (36,37). Consistently, studies in mice with the homologous deletion were characterized by deficits in gamma- and theta-band oscillations in V1 (38).

Risk genes such as DGCR8, PRODH, CCR4, and ZDHHC8 have been implicated in axonal growth and glutamatergic and GABAergic (gamma-aminobutyric acidergic) neural transmission (39–43), which are important for the generation of gamma-band oscillations (15). In line with this evidence, previous studies in human deletion carriers have identified deficient gamma-band response during auditory processing (44,45), but the ability of visual cortices to generate neural oscillations has not been investigated so far.

This study investigated oscillatory responses during visual perception and their relationship with brain development in deletion carriers to address this important question. Visual perception results from the interplay between neuronal oscillations at distinct frequency bands, with gamma- and theta-band oscillations subserving perceptual information transfer in low-level regions, while top-down beta-band oscillations convey feedback signaling according to the behavioral context (46,47). Moreover, studies have shown that supragranular layers predominantly propagate feedforward information in the gamma-band frequency, while infragranular layers subserve feedback activity in the beta-band frequency (46–48). For this reason, we additionally estimated Granger causality (GC) connectivity between high- and low-order areas in the visual system in source-reconstructed EEG data.

We expected to find a selective deficit in stimulus-induced gamma- and theta-band responses (25) and a lack of age-related increase in gamma-band power with respect to the control group as supported by studies in the homologous mouse model of 22q11DS (25). Furthermore, we hypothesized that deletion carriers would express increased top-down connectivity as a compensatory mechanism for deficits in visual circuits. Finally, we conducted exploratory analyses to test the association between oscillatory response and the degree of psychotic symptoms in deletion carriers.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Recruitment and Assessment of Patients

Individuals with 22q11DS and control subjects were recruited in the context of the 22q11DS Swiss Cohort (details available in the Supplement).

The occurrence of attenuated psychotic symptoms (APSs) was assessed in deletion carriers by means of the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes (49). Deletion carriers were divided into subgroups according to the presence of moderate to severe APS symptoms, using a cut-off score of 3 or higher in at least one of the corresponding items for positive symptoms of the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes.

Participants

Of 145 potential participants (age range = 7–30 years), 6 deletion carriers were not included in the study because of a medical history of epilepsy or epileptic seizures. Fourteen datasets (8 deletion carriers and 6 control subjects) were additionally excluded from the analyses because the number of accepted clean epochs with a correct answer was n < 40, resulting in 63 subjects with 22q11DS (mean age = 17.3 ± 6 years, 26 female) and 62 control subjects (mean age = 17.2 ± 7 years, 24 female). The participants of each group were divided into age bins: childhood (from 7 to 13 years; n = 39), adolescence (from 14 to 18 years; n = 39), and adulthood (≥19 years; n = 47) for the age-related analyses. Control subjects and deletion carriers were overall age and sex matched, as for age subgroups (Table 1).

Visual Paradigm

The visual paradigm consisted of a centrally presented, circular sine wave grating (Figure 1). The circular grating drifted inward toward the fixation point position, and the speed of this contraction increased (velocity step at 2.2 deg/s) at a randomized time point between 750 and 3000 ms after stimulus onset (12,50). The experimental protocol comprised 240 trials divided into three runs of 80 trials. Participants were instructed to press a button as soon as they noticed a speed increase. Stimulus offset was followed by a period of 1000 ms during which subjects were given visual feedback depending on their response. Before beginning the experiment, all participants underwent a training session with one researcher to be sure that they understood the task. Behavioral measures were calculated as the percentage of correct answers of the 240 trials and average reaction time.

EEG Data Acquisition During Visual Paradigm and Preprocessing

EEG data were continuously recorded with a sampling rate of 1000 Hz using a 256-electrode Hydrocel cap (Magstim-EGI) referenced to the vertex (Cz). The impedance was kept below 10 kΩ for all electrodes and below 10 kΩ for the reference and ground electrodes.

The preprocessing steps, including bandpass filtering, exclusion of artifactual periods, interpolation of noisy channels, and re-referencing (51–53) were performed using the free academic software Cartool (45,54). For further details, see the Supplement.

EEG Time-Frequency and Intertrial Phase Coherence Analyses

Only epochs with correct behavioral responses were considered for EEG analysis. Owing to the imbalance between the number of correct responses between groups, a percentage of the total epochs based on the distribution of the entire sample was randomly selected in control subjects to have a comparable number of epochs (control subjects: 129.2 ± 33.4; 22q11DS: 120.9 ± 49.5).

Time-frequency analysis was performed using Morlet transform (frequencies from 2 to 120 Hz, centered on steps of 2 Hz, with adapted resolution according to the full width at half maximum scheme) in MATLAB (version 2018b; The
Table 1. Demographic Information and Medical History Comprising Psychiatric Disorders According to DSM-5 and Medications Usage in Control Subjects and Deletion Carriers and in the Subgroups of Deletion Carriers Older Than 14 Years With and Without Psychotic Symptoms

| Demographic and Clinical Information | Control Subjects | Deletion Carriers | p Value | Nonpsychotic | Psychotic | p Value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Number of Subjects (% F)            | 62 (50%)         | 63 (49.2%)       | .76     | 28 (71.4%)   | 12 (58.3%)| .27     |
| Age, Years, Mean ± SD               | 17.3 ± 6.1       | 17.2 ± 7         | .93     | 21.6 ± 5.7   | 20.9 ± 6.1| .78     |
| Age Range, Years                    | 7–30             | 7–30             | N/A     | 14–30        | 14–30    | N/A     |
| FSIQ, Mean ± SD                     | 110.1 ± 17       | 75 ± 12.6        | <.01    | 73.3 ± 10.5  | 70.7 ± 16.4| .53     |
| Behavioral Performance, Number of Correct Answers, Mean ± SD | 158.3 ± 34.8 | 206.4 ± 29.9 | <.01 | 167.8 ± 26.7 | 167 ± 24.9 | .93 |
| Children, n (Mean Age ± SD), % F    | 16 (10.2 ± 2.4), 50% | 23 (10.4 ± 1.5), 47.8% | .76 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Adolescents, n (Mean Age ± SD), % F | 23 (15.7 ± 1.4), 47.8% | 16 (15.4 ± 1.3), 43.8% | .4 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Adults, n (Mean Age ± SD), % F      | 23 (23.5 ± 3.3), 52.2% | 24 (25.4 ± 3.8), 54.2% | .14 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Subjects Medicated, n (%)           | 0                | 24 (38.1%)       | N/A     | 10 (35.7%)   | 7 (58.3%) | .18     |
| Psychostimulants                    | 0                | 15 (23.8%)       | N/A     | 7 (25%)      | 3 (25%)  | .95     |
| Antidepressants                     | 0                | 12 (19%)         | N/A     | 7 (25%)      | 5 (41.7%)| .29     |
| Antipsychotics                      | 0                | 10 (15.9%)       | N/A     | 0 (0%)       | 7 (58.3%)| <.01    |
| Subjects Meeting Criteria for Psychiatric Diagnosis, n (%) | 0 | 41 (65.1%) | N/A | 14 (50%) | 8 (66.7%) | .33 |
| ADHD                                | 0                | 32 (50.8%)       | N/A     | 11 (39.3%)   | 6 (50%)  | .81     |
| Anxiety disorders                   | 0                | 30 (47.6%)       | N/A     | 10 (35.7%)   | 7 (58.3%)| .34     |
| Mood disorders                      | 0                | 4 (6.3%)         | N/A     | 2 (7.1%)     | 2 (16.7%)| .50     |
| Psychosis spectrum disorders        | 0                | 13 (20.6%)       | N/A     | 0            | 3 (25%)  | <.01    |
| SIPS Positive Symptoms Score, Mean ± SD | N/A              | 0.8 ± 1          | N/A     | 0.3 ± 0.4    | 2.1 ± 1.2 | <.01 |
| SIPS Negative Symptoms Score, Mean ± SD | N/A              | 2.1 ± 0.9        | N/A     | 2 ± 0.7      | 2 ± 0.9  | .01     |

p Values refer to the comparison between groups and subgroups performed with two-tailed t test and χ² test when appropriate.

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; F, female; FSIQ, Full Scale IQ; N/A, not applicable; SIPS, Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes.

MathWorks, Inc.). Time epochs from −1.5 to +1.5 seconds relative to the stimulus onset were averaged to event-related spectral perturbations (ERSPs) and normalized by the baseline period (−1.5 to −0.3 seconds) (55). Intertrial phase coherence (ITPC) amplitudes were also calculated from Morlet transform (56). At the sensor level, a cluster of predefined occipitoparietal electrodes was considered for further analyses. To investigate the interaction of spectral response with behavioral and clinical variables, neurophysiological indices were also calculated from averages of the ERSP along frequency bands of interest for theta, alpha/beta, and gamma and in time from 0.25 to 0.75 seconds for gamma and alpha/beta and from 0 to 0.4 seconds for theta.

For source analysis, the inverse solution (IS) was computed using Cartool version 61 based on individual T1-weighted images preprocessed in FreeSurfer image analysis suite, version 6.0 (57). An approximate number of 5000 solution points were distributed in the individually segmented gray matter mask. We used the Locally Spherical Model with Anatomical Constraints method for the lead field computation, which was age adjusted to reflect differences across age in skull conductivity and thickness (58,59). A distributed linear IS (Local AutoRegressive Average) was used to compute a transformation matrix from sensor level to IS (58). We obtained an individual Desikan-Killiany parcellation (60) from FreeSurfer. This individual parcellation natively aligned on the brain of each individual was then used to label the 5000 solution points from the IS model in 84 regions of interest (ROIs) covering cortical and subcortical structures. Using this individual IS model, time-frequency decomposition data from the surface were projected to the source space level and gathered in ROIs representing the whole brain.

GC Analysis

GC functional connectivity was computed in source space with a nonparametric approach (61) implemented in the MATLAB Toolbox FieldTrip (62). First, preprocessed EEG data were transformed to the singular value decomposition of the signal for each ROI using the individual IS model matrix and Desikan-Killiany parcellation (45). To increase trials number, we split epochs into 2 × 0.25-second segments (12,47) of the first 0.5 second after 0.25 second from the stimulus onset (from 0.25 to 0.75 seconds). Nonoverlapping ROIs activated by the
task belonging to high-order (superior frontal gyrus [SFG]) and low-order areas (cuneus, lateral occipital cortex [LOC]) in the visual system were selected, and GC data from each bilateral pair were averaged over hemisphere. Spectral density matrices were estimated from Fast Fourier–transformed data (0.25–0.75 seconds, 1–80 Hz) with 5-Hz frequency smoothing, matrix factorization, and variance decomposition. Finally, the directionality of connectivity (i.e., feedback vs. feedforward activity) was estimated by computing the direct asymmetry index (12,47).

**Statistics**
Statistical analyses were performed with MATLAB version 2018a. Independent two-tailed t tests (α level = 0.05) were performed to compare ITPC (from −0.5 to 0.5 seconds), ERSPs (from −0.5 to 0.75 seconds), and GC estimates between control subjects and individuals with 22q11DS.

The age-by-group interaction in behavioral and neurophysiological data was analyzed with two-way analyses of variance with the hierarchical between-subject factors group (control subjects, patients) and age (kids, adolescents, adults), and post hoc analyses were corrected for multiple comparisons using Tukey tests. Multiple linear regression was used to investigate correlations between clinical variables [including behavioral performance, clinical measures, and Full Scale IQ (63)] and neurophysiological data extracted from time-frequency decomposition in deletion carriers. False discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple comparisons with the Benjamini-Hochberg method (64) was applied to t tests, correcting for the number of frequency bins and time points at

**Figure 1.** Behavioral results. Upper panel: diagram of the inward-moving grating task. Participants are asked to report the change in speed of inward motion of the grating by button press. Lower panel: bar plots showing group and age subgroup mean and standard deviation for the percentage of correct answers and reaction times (in milliseconds). Asterisks indicate statically significant differences between groups (22q11DS < HC) and subgroups (kids < adolescents, kids < adults). 22q11DS, 22q11.2 deletion syndrome; HC, healthy control.
sensor level and, for the number of frequency bins, time points and ROIs at the source level. FDR correction was also applied for correction of GC between-groups comparison, correcting for the number of frequency bins and couples of nodes tested. FDR-corrected values are reported for the statistically significant time points, indicating the time window and frequency band of significance. Effect sizes were estimated with Cohen’s $d$.

**RESULTS**

**Behavioral Data Analysis**

A two-way analysis of variance was conducted to examine the effects of group and developmental stage on the percentage of correct responses (Figure 1). There was a significant difference between deletion carriers and healthy control subjects (66% vs. 86%; $F_{1,119} = 73.9, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.38$) and across age bins ($F_{2,119} = 26.3, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.30$). However, no age-by-group interaction was detected. Post hoc Tukey tests showed that the performance of children was significantly reduced compared with both adolescents and adults ($p < .001$). No differences were found for average reaction times ($457.3 \pm 91.2$ vs. $460.2 \pm 234; F_{1,119} = 0.02, p = .98$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.001$).

**ERSP Differences Between Control Subjects and Deletion Carriers**

Statistically significant differences between control subjects and deletion carriers were found at gamma- and theta-band frequencies over parieto-occipital electrodes, with deletion carriers having a decreased high and low gamma response during a sustained period ($58–68$ Hz, $0.25–0.75$ seconds, $t_{123} = 3.5, p < .001$, $d = 0.98$; $28–44$ Hz, $t_{123} = 3, p = .0135$, $d = 0.72$) and a decreased early theta response ($4–10$ Hz, $0–0.4$ seconds, $t_{123} = 3.4, p < .001$, $d = 0.78$) (Figure 2A). In addition, differences in ITPC were identified in the alpha frequency range ($8–14$ Hz, $0–0.5$ seconds, $t_{123} = 3.8, p = .04$) and earlier in beta/alpha-band.
Figure 3. Source space time-frequency analysis between control subjects and deletion carriers. (A) Brain map with regions showing a statistically significant decreased gamma response (58–68 Hz, 0–0.75 seconds) in deletion carriers. The colormap represents T values for differences between control subjects and deletion carriers plotted on the brain. (B) Brain map with regions showing a statistically significant lower theta response (4–10 Hz, 0–0.5 seconds) in deletion carriers during the first 0.5 second of the response. (C) Time-frequency plots for each brain region with decreased gamma response in deletion carriers. The colormap represents power values expressed in %. Regions of interest: a = superior parietal cortex; b = inferior parietal cortex; c = lateral occipital cortex; d = precuneus; e = cuneus; f = lingual gyrus; g = pericalcarine cortex; h = inferior temporal cortex. 22q11DS, 22q11.2 deletion syndrome; HC, healthy control.
low gamma range (26–36 Hz, 0–0.2 seconds, $t_{123} = 3.5, p = .006$) (Figure 2B).

Source space analysis revealed a decreased high gamma-band response (58–68 Hz) in deletion carriers in the bilateral cuneus and precuneus and right pericalcarine and superior parietal cortices (Figure 3A, C). In contrast, lower theta (4–8 Hz) responses in deletion carriers were localized to a wider occipital-temporo-parietal network, comprising the bilateral cuneus, pericalcarine cortex, LOC, lingual gyrus, precuneus, superior and inferior parietal cortices, and inferior temporal cortex (Figure 2B).

**Between-Groups Differences in Age-Related Gamma, Alpha/Beta, and Theta Responses**

To test the age-by-group interaction, we conducted a two-way analysis of variance for each frequency band of interest (i.e., theta, alpha/beta, and gamma) [46,47]. We found a statistically significant effect of group ($F_{1,119} = 21.5, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.16$) and age ($F_{2,119} = 5.3, p = .007$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.09$) on gamma-band responses (58–68 Hz, 0.25–0.75 s), with an age-by-group interaction ($F_{2,119} = 3.2, p = .04$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.06$). Post hoc analyses with Tukey test(s) showed that gamma-band response in control subjects was significantly higher in adults than in children ($p = .005$) and adolescents ($p = .02$).

There was also a significant effect of group ($F_{1,119} = 5.2, p = .025$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.06$) and age ($F_{2,119} = 8.6, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.15$) on alpha/beta-band desynchronization (10–25 Hz, 0.25–0.75 seconds), without an age-by-group interaction. Post hoc analyses showed that alpha/beta desynchronization in both groups was significantly higher in adults than in children ($p < .001$) and adolescents ($p = .012$) in both groups. Finally, we found a statistically significant effect of group ($F_{1,119} = 22.8, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.19$) and age ($F_{2,119} = 8.9, p < .001$,
partial $\eta^2 = 0.16$) on theta-band responses (4–8 Hz, 0–0.5 seconds), with an age-by-group interaction ($F_{2,119} = 3.2, p = .04$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.09$). Post hoc analyses showed that in addition to the higher theta-band response in control subjects compared with deletion carriers, the theta-band response in control subjects was significantly higher in children than in adults ($p = .003$) and adolescents ($p = .011$) (Figure 4). To verify whether the lack of statistically significant interaction with age for gamma-band response (58–68 Hz, 0.25–0.75 seconds) in deletion carriers depended on a relatively low sample size, we performed power analyses. With $\alpha = 0.05$ and power = 0.80, the projected sample size needed is approximately $n = 388$ for the comparison between adults and adolescents and $n = 1000$ for the comparison between adults and children. Given the magnitude of the projected sample size to find differences between age subgroups, we concluded that the age-by-group interaction observed reflected blunted developmental trajectories in deletion carriers.

**Correlation With Behavioral Performance and Full Scale IQ**

We fitted a regression model to test the association between behavioral performance and averaged oscillatory response in high gamma (58–68 Hz), low gamma (28–44 Hz), theta (4–8 Hz), and alpha/beta (10–25 Hz) bands in deletion carriers and control subjects. While the overall regression was not statistically significant for either of the groups, we found that alpha/beta-band response (0.25–0.75 seconds) significantly predicted the number of correct responses ($\beta = -0.54, p = .028$) in deletion carriers. In addition, another regression model was fitted to test the association between Full Scale IQ and the neurophysiological data described above, but the overall regression was not statistically significant, and there was no significant interaction with any variable in any group.

**GC Connectivity**

We found decreased top-down connectivity from the SFG to the LOC at beta frequency (22–40 Hz, $t_{123} = -3.18, p = .004$, $d = -0.7$) in control subjects (Figure 5). In addition, control subjects also had increased bottom-up connectivity from the cuneus to the LOC (65–75 Hz, $t_{123} = 3.38, p = .004, d = 0.65$) and decreased LOC to cuneus connectivity (23–40 Hz, $t_{123} = -3.35, p = .015, d = -0.8$) as compared with deletion carriers. The directed asymmetry indices were negative for SFG to LOC and for LOC to cuneus connectivity and positive for cuneus to LOC connectivity, indicating feedback and feedforward flow of information between the nodes.

**Figure 5.** Between-groups GC connectivity differences. Results of the comparison between deletion carriers and control subjects of GC connectivity estimates computed between 0.25 and 0.75 seconds after stimulus. GC values for each group are plotted across the frequency spectrum with error bars indicating SEM, and an arrow indicating the frequency range of significant group effects. The directed asymmetry indices were negative for SFG to LOC and for LOC to Cun connectivity and positive for Cun to LOC connectivity, indicating feedback and feedforward flow of information between the nodes, respectively. On the bottom of the figure, increased (red) and decreased (light blue) GC connections in deletion carriers are plotted on the surface of a standard Montreal Neurological Institute brain in sagittal and coronal planes. 22q11DS, 22q11.2 deletion syndrome; Cun, cuneus; GC, Granger causality; HC, healthy control; LOC, lateral occipital cortex; SFG, superior frontal gyrus.
respectively. No between-groups differences were found for SFG to cuneus GC connectivity.

Psychotic Symptoms and Brain Oscillations
Deletion carriers with APSs (n = 12) were compared with a group of age-matched nonpsychotic individuals with 22q11DS (n = 28). At sensor level, there was a significant reduction in high gamma-band responses (58–68 Hz) in deletion carriers with APS as compared with nonpsychotic deletion carriers, which, however, did not survive FDR correction (Figure S1). We performed a power analysis based on these results and with α = 0.05 and power = 0.80, the projected sample size needed to find a difference in gamma-band response (58–68 Hz, 0.25–0.75 seconds) between the two groups is approximately n = 64.

A regression model was fitted to test the association between Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes positive and negative subscales and averaged gamma-, theta-, or beta-band ERSPs or averaged alpha/beta ITPC amplitude in deletion carriers. The overall regression was not statistically significant, and there was no significant interaction with any variable.

DISCUSSION
In this study, we showed decreased theta- and gamma-band responses to visual stimuli in deletion carriers, together with an increase in top-down connectivity mediated by frontal cortices. In addition, while the maturational patterns of gamma- and theta-band responses were disrupted in individuals with 22q11DS, the development of alpha/beta responses was preserved. Together, these findings provide novel evidence for the involvement of neural oscillations in visual circuit dysfunctions in 22q11DS.

Impaired Theta- and Gamma-Band Responses to Visual Stimuli and Behavioral Correlates
The main finding was a marked decrease in the stimulus-induced power of low/high gamma- and theta-band responses in deletion carriers while alpha/beta desynchronization was intact. Source analysis localized group differences to occipital-parietal regions. The recruitment of these regions is consistent with previous studies (7,12,47). In contrast, decreased theta/gamma-band activity in visual areas in deletion carriers highlights the involvement of aberrant circuitry in sensory areas in 22q11DS. Compromised local circuit activity in V1 with decreased stimulus-elicited gamma- and theta-band responses has been similarly identified in the homologous mice model of 22q11DS (38). Several genes within the 22q11.2 region are implicated in interneuron migration (41,42) and GABAergic and glutamatergic signaling (39). Given the involvement of GABAergic and glutamatergic neural transmission in the generation of gamma-band oscillations (15), it is possible that the gamma-band response impairment identified in mice and human deletion carriers may be associated with the haploinsufficiency of key genes.

In contrast to the impairment in gamma-band responses, alpha/beta desynchronization was spared in individuals with 22q11DS. Furthermore, the subgroup of adult deletion carriers displayed even enhanced desynchronization compared with control subjects, which correlated with performance levels. Gamma and alpha/beta oscillations have been proposed to subserve distinct roles in information processing as well as involve different neural substrates. While gamma oscillations reflect the feedforward propagation of sensory stimuli (50,65), alpha and beta oscillations mediate top-down information representing the attention allocation toward visual stimuli (66–68). Moreover, the generation of distinct rhythms is also associated with different cortical layers (65,69). Gamma oscillations are assumed to arise from supragranular layers, while alpha/beta oscillations arise from infragranular layers. Studies in a mouse model of 22q11DS highlighted a disruption in the proliferation of basal progenitors, which predominantly give rise to supragranular pyramidal cells later in life (40), and altered migration of interneurons (41,42). Thus, the dissociation between impaired theta/gamma and preserved alpha/beta-band responses identified in our data may reflect a selective impairment of supragranular projection neurons and interneuron dysfunction in individuals with 22q11DS. Future research is needed to test this hypothesis.

Increased Alpha/Beta Desynchronization and Top-Down Connectivity in Deletion Carriers
We further explored frequency-resolved directed connectivity between high- and low-order visual areas and observed enhanced feedback information flow from the prefrontal cortex to the LOC at beta frequencies, while the feedforward communication in higher frequencies from V1 to LOC was impaired in deletion carriers. In normal conditions, heightened top-down control exerted over visual areas leads to increased gamma-band power (70,71), thus modulating sensory processing according to the behavioral context (72). However, despite increased top-down modulation of lower-order areas, deletion carriers display profound impairment in gamma-band response in the primary visual cortex and decreased bottom-up gamma signaling between primary and secondary visual areas.

Increased top-down and decreased bottom-up connectivity has been also identified in patients at clinical high risk for psychosis and patients with first episode of psychosis (12), suggesting a close overlap between circuit deficits caused by 22q11.2 deletion and early-stage psychosis. Moreover, previous ERSP studies in 22q11DS found enhanced feedback activity (39) and increased amplitude in negative late-latency components localized to the frontal cortex (37). Overall, elevated top-down modulation of visual areas in this study may constitute a compensatory mechanism for impaired feedforward activity in early sensory regions.

Differential Impact of Age on Frequency Bands
Our final aim was to investigate how neural oscillations during visual perception change during brain development. In control subjects, we identified age-related changes in induced power for theta-band (4–8 Hz), alpha/beta-band (10–25 Hz), and high gamma-band (58–68 Hz) oscillations during adolescence, which are consistent with previous findings (24). Remarkably, while deletion carriers exhibited preserved developmental patterns for alpha/beta frequencies, the age-related increase in gamma-band responses was largely absent.
Adolescence is characterized by the protracted maturation of both GABAergic neural transmission (73), including parvalbumin interneurons (20), and NMDA receptor expression (23) that could underlie the late development of high-frequency oscillations (18,19). Accordingly, it is conceivable that the failure to express adult-level gamma-band responses in deletion carriers is related to aberrant maturation of GABAergic and glutamatergic circuit motifs that could potentially also contribute to the risk of developing psychosis in 22q11 deletion carriers.

These findings are in line with previous studies showing reduced gamma-band response to auditory stimuli in deletion carriers and a similar developmental profile (45). In both deletion carriers and patients with idiopathic psychotic disorders, decreased gamma-band responses to auditory stimuli have been identified predominantly in the temporal cortex (2,44,45,74). Likewise, gamma oscillation impairment during visual processing has been mapped to the occipital cortex (7,12). Studies using magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) and positron emission tomography imaging have demonstrated a correlation between gamma-band power during auditory and visual tasks and GABA (gamma-aminobutyric acid) concentration or GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor density, respectively (75,76). Thus, findings of gamma-band impairment are in agreement with postmortem and MRS studies in patients with schizophrenia showing a marked reduction of GABA concentration in occipital and auditory cortices (77–80).

An interesting perspective is that the identified deficits in gamma-band response to sensory stimuli may be related to the disruption of GABAergic signaling also in 22q11DS. Studies conducted so far in 22q11DS to examine GABA are limited, despite previous research showing an increasing reduction of GABAergic and glutamatergic circuit motifs that could potentially also contribute to the development of psychosis in 22q11 deletion carriers.

**Conclusions**

This study offers novel insight into the neurobiology of visual circuit deficits in individuals with 22q11DS. Specifically, our findings suggest that impairments in gamma-band responses may lead to decreased bottom-up signaling, which in turn is associated with enhanced recruitment of top-down attentional control. Our data, by highlighting the importance of early intervention to improve developmental trajectories during critical phases of brain development, could potentially inform novel treatment strategies that target circuit deficits underlying visual impairments and the associated neurobiological mechanisms in deletion carriers.
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