Inhibition of Candida albicans by Fluvastatin Is Dependent on pH
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The cholesterol-lowering drug fluvastatin (FS) has an inhibitory effect on the growth of the pathogenic yeast Candida albicans that is dependent on the pH of the medium. At the low pH value of the vagina, FS is growth inhibitory at low and at high concentrations, while at intermediate concentrations (1–10 mM), it has no inhibitory effect. Examination of the effect of the common antifungal drug fluconazole in combination with FS demonstrates drug interactions in the low concentration range. Determination of intracellular stress and the activity of the FS target enzyme HMG-CoA reductase confirm our hypothesis that in the intermediate dose range adjustments to the sterol biosynthesis pathway can compensate for the action of FS. We conclude that the pH dependent uptake of FS across yeast membranes might make FS combination therapy an attractive possibility for treatment of vaginal C. albicans infections.

1. Introduction

Fluvastatin (FS) is a widely prescribed inhibitor of HMG-CoA reductase, the key enzyme in sterol biosynthesis [1]. Inhibition of sterol synthesis by fluvastatin has an antifungal effect on the opportunistic yeast pathogen Candida albicans and at high concentrations; the antifungal action of FS is synergistic with the action of other antifungal drugs [2, 3]. However, a combination drug therapy against C. albicans in which FS is combined with the commonly prescribedazole drugs seems impractical due to the high concentrations of FS required for an inhibitory effect at physiological pH [2, 3].

FS stands out from the other members of the statin family in that it is a fully synthetic molecule with a terminal carboxylic acid group. Because of its pKa of 5.5, FS is ionized at the physiological pH of 7.4. FS uptake into cells is dependent on pH. The uncharged protonated form of FS in the acidic pH of the intestine is taken up more readily that the charged molecule is present at serum pH [4].

The present study was undertaken to show that FS action on yeast is similarly dependent on the pH of the medium. It is of particular interest to establish if a more effective uptake of FS at low pH leads to synergism of FS with azole drugs at lower readily achievable statin concentrations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Assessing Yeast Growth. C. albicans strains from our collection of clinical isolates [5] were grown in synthetic medium [6] pH-adjusted with 0.1 M Sodium Phosphate buffer. Yeast growth was assayed by a modified microtiter broth dilution method [6]. Stationary cultures of yeast were diluted 1/20 000 in fresh medium, aliquoted to 200 μL with the indicated concentrations of drugs and incubated for 40 hours at 37°C in 96-well microtiter plates. Growth was estimated by recording the optical density at 620 nm (OD620) with a microplate reader. Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of drugs were determined as doses that reduced OD620 by >50% compared to control [7].

2.2. Assessing CDR1 Expression. The expression of the stress-response gene CDR1 was monitored by recording the fluorescence of C. albicans reporter strain CaSA1 in which the expression of green-fluorescent protein is under control of the CDR1 promoter [8].

2.3. Determination of HMG-CoA Reductase Activity. Ten OD600 units of a culture of C. albicans strain ATCC10231 in synthetic medium were harvested in mid log phase of
growth, washed twice with water and suspended in 300 μL of 100 mM KPO4 buffer at pH 7.0 supplemented with yeast protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo, USA). After addition of 300 μL of acid-washed glass beads, cells were ruptured in a Beadbeater instrument (Biospec, Bartlesville, Okla, USA). After removing cell debris from the glass-bead supernatant, HMG-CoA reductase activity in the crude extract was determined by following the oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm. The assay contained 0.3 mM HMG-CoA, 0.2 mM NADPH, 40 mM Dithiothreitol, and 10 μL of crude lysate in 100 mM KPO4 buffer at pH 7.0. Activity was normalized to protein concentration in the extract. Averages and standard deviations for three independent experiments were calculated [9].

2.4. Quantitative PCR. Expression of UPC2 [10] was assayed by quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qPCR). Total RNA was isolated with the Yeast Master Pure RNA kit (Epicentre Biotechnology, Madison, Wis) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qPCR was carried out using the qTaq DNA polymerase kit (Clontech, Mountain View, Calif) at a template concentration of 50 ng/μL. The following primers were used: PMA1 (control 1) 5′ TCCAACCTTTTCGATCCTGTCC 3′ and 5′ TTCCAGTGACCTTCACCTC 3′; 16S RNA (control 2) 5′ ATGGCCGTTCTTAATGTTG 3′ and 5′ GCCAAGGGTTATACTCGCTG 3′; UPC2 5′ CAGGACCTTTTGCAAGCAGAA 3′ and GCTCCACCTGCCTACTCTTC 3′. Averages and standard errors for three independent experiments are given.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Inhibition of C. albicans Growth by FS Is Dependent on Environmental pH. The effect of FS on growth of C. albicans was examined in four different clinical isolates from our collection. A typical dose-response curve is shown in Figure 1(a). While at a pH of 7.0 FS, it exhibits a simple dose/response correlation, the situation at pH 4.5 is different. Growth inhibition can be observed at low (0.5–1.0 μM) and high (>10 μM) concentrations, but not in the intermediate concentration range of 1–10 μM. Of the 6 statins examined in this study (Atorvastatin, Fluvastatin, Lovastatin, Mevastatin, Pravastatin, Simvastatin), FS was the only drug exhibiting a nonlinear dose response.

The low-dose growth-inhibitory action of FS at low pH values can be understood in light of the previously demonstrated pH dependency of FS uptake across biological membranes [4]. We propose that the protonated uncharged FS molecule has better access to the yeast cytoplasm than the charged molecule and thus can inhibit growth at lower concentrations.

The morphology of statin-treated cells was examined by light microscopy. There was no change in cell shape or size upon exposure to FS (data not shown).

The low dose/low pH inhibitory effect of FS can be exploited to sensitize C. albicans to the action of a common antifungal drug, fluconazole (Figure 1(b)). An azole drug was chosen for the analysis because both azoles and statins inhibit the synthesis of the major fungal membrane lipid ergosterol. While the statins inhibit an early step, the reduction of the steroid precursor hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA), azoles inhibit a later enzymatic step, the demethylation of 14α-sterol [11].

The fluconazole sensitivity of eight clinical isolates of C. albicans was assayed in the presence and absence of 0.5 mM FS. In all strains tested, the addition of FS lowered the MIC for fluconazole by at least a factor of four.

In order to validate the observed FS-mediated increases and decreases in fluconazole resistance, a checkerboard analysis [3] was performed at pH 4.5. However the low-dose sensitizing effects of FS did not meet the stringent criteria for synergism as put forward by White and coworkers [12].

3.2. CDR1-Fluorescence and HMG-CoA Activity Mirror FS Action. In an effort to better understand the biological implications of the nonlinear FS dose-response curve shown in Figure 1(a), we assessed the intracellular stress levels and HMG-CoA reductase activities of FS-treated C. albicans. The expression of the drug exporting pump Cdr1p increases after the action of a common environmental stress [8]. The FS-induced changes in CDR1 gene expression are a mirror image of the drug’s growth inhibitory effect (Figure 2(a)). In the intermediate concentration range of 1–10 μM, FS does not
induce drug resistance mechanisms. A similar correlation can be observed in the FS-induced changes in HMG-CoA reductase activity. While a low dose of FS inhibits C. albicans HMG-CoA reductase, the intermediate dose inhibits the enzyme significantly less (Figure 2(b)). Induction of the major steroid synthesis regulator UPC2 [10], as determined by qPCR, is detectable at low FS concentrations (factor 1.53 +/− 0.28 at 0.5 μM), indicating that FS indeed has a physiological effect in this range. However, UPC2 induction does not increase significantly with escalating FS concentrations (factor 1.69 +/− 0.24 at 20 μM) and can thus not explain an adaptive response at intermediate concentrations.

We propose the following explanation for our observations. At a low pH, the uncharged FS molecules enter the cell by diffusion though the membrane. Inside the cell, FS gets trapped by deprotonation at the higher intracellular pH, leading to an intracellular accumulation with subsequent inhibition of ergosterol biosynthesis. If a certain level of inhibition is exceeded, the cell might respond by inducing the activity of the steroid synthesis pathway [10, 13], apparently by a UPC2-independent mechanism. This then would lead to adequate production of ergosterol and to a lowering of intracellular stress in the presence of FS. Clearly, further studies are required to fully explain the FS resistance in the intermediate concentration range.

4. Conclusions

The data about the pH dependency of FS action on C. albicans give insight into the impact of statin therapy on yeast infection. In patients taking FS for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia, the serum concentration of FS falls into the intermediate range of 2–4 μM [14]. No fungistatic or fungicidal action of FS can be expected in this range, as the effective concentration of FS at physiological pH is >12.5 μM. However, at the low pH of the vagina (pH 3.8 to 4.5) low doses of FS are sufficient to reduce C. albicans survival. It is an appealing hypothesis that FS can used alone or in combination with azoles to improve fungal clearance from the vagina.
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