Epileptic seizures in the emergency room: clinical and electroencephalographic findings associated with brain perfusion patterns on computed tomography
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Abstract
Background Diagnosis of epileptic seizures, particularly regarding status epilepticus (SE), may be challenging in an emergency room setting. The aim of the study was to study the diagnostic yield of perfusion computed tomography (pCT) in patients with single epileptic seizures and SE.

Methods We retrospectively reviewed the records of patients who followed an acute ischemic stroke pathway during a 9-month period and who were finally diagnosed with a single epileptic seizure or SE. Perfusion maps were visually analyzed for the presence of hyperperfusion and hypoperfusion. Clinical data, EEG patterns, and neuroimaging findings were compared.

Results We included 47 patients: 20 (42.5%) with SE and 27 (57.5%) with single epileptic seizure. Of 18 patients who showed hyperperfusion on pCT, 12 were ultimately diagnosed with SE and eight had EEG findings compatible with an SE pattern. Focal hyperperfusion on pCT had a sensitivity of 60% (95% CI 36.4–80.2) and a specificity of 77.8% (95% CI 57.2–90.6) for predicting a final diagnosis of SE. The presence of cerebral cortical and thalamic hyperperfusion had a high specificity for predicting SE presence. Of note, 96% of patients without hyperperfusion on pCT did not show an SE pattern on early EEG.

Conclusions In acute settings, detection by visual analysis of focal cerebral cortical hyperperfusion on pCT in patients with epileptic seizures, especially if accompanied by the highly specific feature of thalamic hyperperfusion, is suggestive of a diagnosis of SE and requires clinical and EEG confirmation. The absence of focal hyperperfusion makes a diagnosis of SE unlikely.
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Introduction
Epileptic seizures are a common reason for emergency room (ER) visits [1]. Status epilepticus (SE), the most severe form of epilepsy, is a life-threatening condition [2]. Its incidence is rising and is currently estimated at approximately 10–20 cases per 100,000 person-years [3]. Diagnosis of SE is challenging and requires clinical experience and paraclinical diagnostic tools. Stroke and post-ictal epileptic phenomena often mimic SE without prominent motor symptoms (non-convulsive SE [NCSE]) [4]. In such cases, an electroencephalogram (EEG) is necessary to confirm diagnosis, but even at tertiary hospitals that deal with neurological emergencies, access to EEG can be delayed by 4 h or longer [5]. Seizure duration is one of the main modifiable prognostic factors in
SE and reductions in this time are closely correlated with better outcomes [6, 7].

Brain perfusion computed tomography (pCT) is a widely used neuroradiological imaging modality in ERs [8], and previous studies have shown its diagnostic value in patients with acute neurological deficits of epileptic origin [9–11]. Ictal abnormalities seen on pCT have several explanations, including upregulation of the neurovascular unit and blood–brain barrier disruption mediated by the hyperglutamatergic state of the brain during SE [12, 13]. There is thus growing interest in pCT as a potential tool for the rapid identification of epileptic seizures and SE in particular. The aim of this study was to assess the diagnostic yield of emergency pCT in single epileptic seizures and SE in an ER setting.

Methods

This was a single-center retrospective study of patients aged ≥ 18 years who underwent pCT following a non-contrast CT and CT angiography of the brain for suspected acute stroke within 24 h of symptom onset in the ER and who were subsequently diagnosed with a single epileptic seizure or SE following additional tests. The study was conducted in a tertiary hospital that offers emergency EEG and pCT and was approved by the local ethics committee (PR(AG)285/201). Data from all patients who followed the hospital’s acute stroke care pathway at any time between November 2019 and July 2020 were systematically obtained from the hospital’s electronic database. Those with unclear seizures, a new ischemic or hemorrhagic lesion on brain CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or cerebral arterial occlusion or stenosis on angiographic sequences were excluded. All patients were assessed by two neurologists on arrival at the ER. Epileptic seizures were diagnosed based on clinical features and EEG findings where available. SE was diagnosed based on clinical semiology and the EEG criteria from the 2015 Report of the International League Against Epilepsy Task Force on the Classification of Status Epilepticus [14]. Hyperperfusion on pCT was not taken into account to establish the diagnosis of SE. Clinical, EEG, and imaging data were retrospectively collected from the hospital’s electronic database. A record was also made of all intravenous anti-seizure drugs (ASDs) administered at any time between symptom onset and ancillary tests.

All patients underwent non-contrast CT, CT angiography, and pCT on a 128-slice scanner (Somatom Definition, Siemens Healthcare). The pCT study included dynamic acquisition (70 kV and 150 mAs) with injection of 50 mL of non-ionic iodinated contrast material (Iohexol) at a concentration of 350 mg/mL (Omnipaque 350) using an injection pump to obtain a constant flow of 5 mL/s. The acquisition conditions in all the imaging modalities were a slice thickness of 5 mm, a rotation time of 0.28 s, an image matrix of 512×512 to the convexity, and collimation of 32×1.2 mm. In total, 700 images were obtained per patient and processed at a workstation to analyze cerebral blood volume (CBV), regional cerebral blood flow volume (CBF), time to peak (TTP), and mean transit time (MTT). The images were reassessed by an experienced neuroradiologist (P.C.) blinded to the clinical data including final diagnosis and the findings of EEG. A qualitative visual analysis was carried out to identify areas showing perfusion differences in the various hemodynamic maps, focusing on cerebral cortex and thalamus. For the visual inspection of the raw pCT images, hyperperfusion was defined as an increase in CBF and a decrease in TTP and MTT in cerebral cortex and/or thalamus. Hypoperfusion was defined as focally decreased CBF and increased TTP and MTT relative to the contralateral brain hemisphere in all cases.

Emergent EEG lasting ≥45 min was performed within 24 h of symptom onset. All EEGs were recorded on a 32- and/or 64-channel digital EEG system (XLTEK, Deltamed). An array of 21 electrodes was used beyond the 10–20 system. The combination of referential (average) and bipolar EEG derivations with other signals (ECG and EMG) allowed for the acquisition of polygraphic EEG recordings. These were evaluated by an expert neurophysiologist (M.S. or V.T.), blinded to the pCT images. The EEG findings were described using the standardized international nomenclature of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology and the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society’s standardized terminology for neurocritical care [15]. The Salzburg criteria were used for the diagnosis of SE patterns on EEG [16]. Specific EEG features were categorized as non-epileptiform abnormalities (NEA), interictal epileptiform discharges (IED), single epileptic seizures (absence of continuous or fluctuating patterns suggestive of SE), and EEG patterns consistent with SE.

Descriptive and frequency statistics were obtained, and comparisons made in IBM SPSS Statistics, version 22.0. Comparisons between the three perfusion pattern groups (hyperperfusion, hypoperfusion, normal perfusion) were made using the Fisher exact test for categorical variables and the Kruskal–Wallis test for numerical variables. Significance was set at p<0.05. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated to assess the diagnostic value of pCT relative to final diagnosis and EEG findings.

Results

Of the 697 patients assessed during the study period, 47 (6.74%) met the inclusion criteria. The selection process and results of the imaging tests are shown in Fig. 1.
The mean age of the patients was 72.8 ± 16.1 years and 26 (55.3%) were women. Twenty-five patients (53.2%) underwent a brain MRI, while in the remaining 22 (46.8%), no follow-up imaging was considered necessary to clarify the diagnosis by the treating physician. Twenty patients (42.5%) received a final diagnosis of SE: nine (45%) patients suffered from NCSE with or without coma along with EEG patterns consistent with SE and 11 (55%) patients were clinically diagnosed with SE with prominent motor symptoms; 10 of these patients had a non-ictal pattern on EEG and one died shortly after CT before EEG could be performed due to concurrent fatal pneumonia from SARS-CoV-2 infection. The remaining 27 patients (57.5%) of the sample received a final diagnosis of a single epileptic seizure. No seizure clusters were observed. The clinical characteristics of the patients and EEG/pCT findings are summarized in Table 1.

Brain pCT was performed a median of 3 h (interquartile range [IQR], 2–12 h) after the last time seen asymptomatic. The scan was abnormal in 24 patients (51%): focal hyperfusion (cerebral cortical hyperperfusion accompanied or not by thalamic hyperperfusion) in 18 cases (38.3%) and focal hypoperfusion in six (12.7%). Thalamic hyperperfusion was identified in 8 (17%) of 47 patients, all of them with concurrent homolateral cortical hyperperfusion. No thalamic hypoperfusion was detected. Of the 18 patients with hyperperfusion, 12 (66.7%) were ultimately diagnosed with SE and six (33.3%) with a single epileptic seizure (Fig. 2a). All the patients in the hypoperfusion group were diagnosed with a single epileptic seizure. Three (50%) of them did not undergo brain MRI but previous diagnosis of epilepsy in all of them, no evidence of acute lesion on CT and transient deficits were considered sufficient evidence to reasonably rule out a transient ischemic stroke. The frequency of pCT alterations was not significantly influenced by time from symptom onset to pCT, seizure etiology, or intravenous administration of ASDs before neuroimaging studies (Table 1). In most cases, hyperperfusion was exclusively observed in the cortical gray matter, accompanied or not of homolateral thalamic hyperperfusion, with relative preservation of the underlying white matter (Fig. 3). Most cases of focal hypoperfusion involved both the cortical and subcortical regions.

EEG was performed in 41 patients (87.2%). Median time to EEG was 16 h (IQR, 8.5–22.5) from last time seen asymptomatic and 8 h (IQR: 4–14.5) from pCT. EEG showed epileptiform abnormalities in 22/41 patients (53.7%): IEDs in 12, EEG patterns consistent with SE in nine, and a single epileptic seizure in one. Eight of the nine patients with EEG findings consistent with SE showed hyperfusion on the admission pCT. Patients with other EEG abnormalities were more likely to show normal perfusion (Fig. 2a).

Detection of thalamic hyperperfusion accompanying cortical cerebral hyperperfusion was significantly associated to SE as final diagnosis and to an SE pattern on urgent EEG (Table 2).

Hyperperfusion on pCT had a sensitivity of 60% (95% CI, 36.4–80.2) for the detection of SE as final diagnosis. Thalamic hyperperfusion on pCT was identified exclusively in patients with final diagnosis of SE. The rest of the corresponding values for predicting final diagnosis or the presence of EEG patterns consistent with SE are shown in Table 3. Of note, 96% of patients without hyperperfusion on pCT did not have an SE pattern on EEG.
In the present study of patients presenting with an epileptic seizure at the ER of a tertiary hospital, focal hyperperfusion on early pCT was a useful marker of SE but not single epileptic seizures. Nearly two-thirds of the patients ultimately diagnosed with SE showed focal hyperperfusion on pCT, while those diagnosed with a single epileptic seizure mostly showed normal brain perfusion. These findings are consistent with previous reports of brain hemodynamics during SE and post-ictal states in similar settings [17].

However, a precise relationship between diagnosis of SE and pCT pattern was not observed in our study. Hyperperfusion had a 60% sensitivity and a 77.8% specificity for the detection of SE as a final diagnosis; this diagnostic yield is similar to that described in retrospective studies with a comparable median time from pCT to EEG (e.g., 8 h or more) [10, 11, 18]. Simultaneous acquisitions of EEG and pCT that could not only study the perfusion signature of SE but also characterize the observed brain perfusion changes depending on the EEG pattern, frequency and/or morphology of the observed ictal discharges or rhythmic activity could provide greater insights into why over one-third of the patients diagnosed with SE showed no abnormalities on pCT on our study. The authors of a retrospective study of seven critically ill patients who underwent fluorodeoxyglucose–positron emission tomography during EEG monitoring observed a positive correlation between the frequency of

Table 1  Demographic, clinical, and EEG features according to pCT findings

| Demographic, clinical, and EEG findings | pCT findings |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                        | Total (n = 47) | Hypoperfusion (n = 6, 12.7%) | Normal (n = 23; 48.9%) | Hyperperfusion (n = 18; 38.3%) | P value |
| Age; years (mean ± SD)                  | 72.8 (16.1)  | 72 (15.1)  | 78.4 (15.2)  | 65.5 (15.3)  | 0.046* |
| Sex                                     |             |            |              |              |        |
| Female; n (%)                           | 26 (55.3)   | 6 (100)    | 13 (56.5)    | 7 (38.9)     | 0.027  |
| Male; n (%)                             | 21 (44.7)   | 0 (0)      | 10 (44.5)    | 11 (61.1)    |        |
| History of epilepsy                     |             |            |              |              |        |
| Yes; n (%)                              | 11 (23.4)   | 3 (50)     | 2 (8.7)      | 6 (33.3)     | 0.047  |
| No; n (%)                               | 36 (76.6)   | 3 (50)     | 21 (91.3)    | 12 (66.6)    |        |
| Time from onset to pCT; hours (median, IQR) | 3 (2–12)     | 7.5 (1–14.7) | 3 (2–13)    | 4 (1.2–7.5) | 0.9 |
| Time from onset to EEG*; hours (median, IQR) | 16 (8.5–22.5) | 15 (10.7–19.2) | 16 (7.5–23.5) | 15 (9.7–21.7) | 0.99 |
| Diagnosis                               |             |            |              |              |        |
| SS; n (%)                               | 27 (57.4)   | 6 (100)    | 15 (65.2)    | 6 (33.3)     | 0.01   |
| SE; n (%)                               | 20 (42.6)   | 0 (0)      | 8 (34.8)     | 12 (66.7)    |        |
| Seizure etiology                        |             |            |              |              |        |
| Metabolic; n (%)                        | 10 (21.3%)  | 1 (16.7)   | 3 (13)       | 6 (33.3)     | 0.607  |
| Vascular; n (%)                         | 10 (21.3%)  | 1 (16.7)   | 4 (17.4)     | 5 (27.8)     |        |
| Tumoral; n (%)                          | 9 (19.1)    | 1 (16.7)   | 5 (21.7)     | 3 (16.7)     |        |
| ASD withdrawal; n (%)                   | 3 (6.4)     | 1 (16.7)   | 1 (4.3)      | 1 (5.6)      |        |
| PRES; n (%)                             | 3 (6.4)     | 1 (16.7)   | 2 (8.7)      | 0 (0)        |        |
| Unknown; n (%)                          | 12 (25.5)   | 1 (16.7)   | 8 (34.8%)    | 3 (16.7)     |        |
| ASDs before pCT                         |             |            |              |              |        |
| Yes; n (%)                              | 15 (31.9)   | 3 (50)     | 9 (39.1)     | 3 (16.7)     | 0.194  |
| No; n (%)                               | 32 (68.1)   | 3 (50)     | 14 (60.9)    | 15 (83.3)    |        |
| EEG*                                   |             |            |              |              |        |
| NEA; n (%)                              | 19 (46.3)   | 3 (75)     | 13 (61.9)    | 3 (18.8)     | 0.008  |
| IED; n (%)                              | 12 (29.3)   | 1 (25)     | 6 (28.6)     | 5 (31.3)     |        |
| SES; n (%)                              | 1 (2.4)     | 0 (0)      | 1 (4.8)      | 0 (0)        |        |
| SE; n (%)                               | 9 (22)      | 0 (0)      | 1 (4.8)      | 8 (50)       |        |

EEG: electroencephalography, pCT: perfusion computed tomography, SD: standard deviation, IQR: interquartile range, SS: single seizure, SE: status epilepticus, ASDs: anti-seizure drugs, PRES: posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome, NEA: non-epileptiform abnormalities, IED: interictal epileptiform discharges, SES: single epileptic seizure
*Bold typed p values represent statistically significant differences between groups.
‡: 41 patients underwent EEG registry. Percentages are calculated according to these numbers.
lateralized periodic discharges and glucose metabolism [19]. Taking in mind the unfeasibility of the latter in an ER setting, our group[9] compared prospectively in a previous study pCT images of patients with an EEG-confirmed diagnosis of focal-onset SE with post-ictal control patients and found that pCT hyperperfusion identified four of the five confirmed SE episodes. Similar results were reported by Hauf et al. [20] in a retrospective study of patients with confirmed NCSE who had previously undergone urgent pCT. Thus, although its presence is significantly suggestive of SE diagnosis when compared to single epileptic seizures in the proper setting, the low detection rate for SE diagnosis obtained in the present study underlines the in-force preponderance of clinical assessment and EEG over pCT examination in the ER. On the other hand, these results underscore the dynamic nature of hemodynamic perfusion changes associated with SE, previously demonstrated by human single-photon emission computed tomography [21].

However, the findings of the present study also point to a significant relationship between focal hyperperfusion of the brain measurable by pCT and epileptiform discharges on EEG in an acute setting, particularly in SE and sustained ictal activity on EEG. In a smaller study, Payabvash et al. [23] found that patients with epileptiform interictal discharges on follow-up EEG within 1 week of pCT showed higher ipsilateral relative CBF and CBV than patients with continuous or polymorphic slowing and normal EEG findings. In our study, however, most patients benefited from early EEG in the ER, supporting the robustness of the electroclinical associations observed. Hyperperfusion on pCT had high sensitivity for predicting persistent continuous seizure activity compatible with SE on urgent EEG. The high negative predictive value observed suggests that the likelihood of observing SE on early EEG is very low in patients without hyperperfusion on pCT. In addition, in the present study accompanying thalamic hyperperfusion was found to be a specific imaging marker of SE. In the first place, no single isolated seizure episode, even accompanied by cortical cerebral hyperperfusion, was associated to increased perfusion in thalamus. Moreover, as reported first on arterial spin labeling sequence on MRI by Ohtomo et al. [24] and recently on pCT by Giovannini et al. [22], thalamic hyperperfusion, although not sensitive, is highly specific of continuous sustained ictal activity compatible with SE on EEG. Therefore, the present study suggests that early pCT findings, together with other factors, such as clinical presentation [25], could serve as an adjunctive diagnostic tool for NCSE risk stratification; thus, probably avoiding delayed management in acute settings, especially if accompanied by the highly specific feature of thalamic hyperperfusion.

Fig. 2 Cumulative proportion of patients showing hyperperfusion on pCT according to final diagnosis (A) and EEG patterns (B). The bars represent groups of patients. Approximately two of every three patients who received a final diagnosis of SE had hyperperfusion. In the group of patients diagnosed with a single epileptic seizure, 78% showed no pCT abnormalities. EEG findings compatible with an SE pattern were almost exclusively observed in patients with hyperperfusion on pCT and more than two-fifths of patients with IEDs had hyperperfusion. pCT, perfusion computed tomography; NEA, non-epileptiform abnormalities; IED, interictal epileptiform discharges; SES, single epileptic seizure; SE, status epilepticus
Reliable prospective data have shown that pCT performed within 80 min of the end of a seizure shows post-ictal hypoperfusion in 80% of patients with epilepsy [26]. In our series, however, pCT revealed nonspecific patterns—mainly normal brain perfusion—in patients diagnosed with a single epileptic seizure. Most retrospective studies assessing pCT changes in patients with single epileptic seizures in an acute setting have shown
similar results to ours [17]; thus, pCT seems not useful regarding the diagnostic process of single epileptic seizures. In addition, none of the patients with hypoperfusion on pCT in our study were diagnosed with SE. Other authors, however, have reported “ictal hypoperfusion” in a small number of cases that could possibly be explained by the presence of adjacent hyperperfused areas [27] or the acquisition of images during post-ictal periods [28].

In brief, the current evidence suggests that SE should not be contemplated in the differential diagnosis when pCT shows isolated hypoperfusion in a patient with focal neurological deficits in an ER setting.

We decided to use a visual rather than a quantitative approach [29, 30] to analyze the perfusion maps, as a previous study by our group showed that visual analysis appears to be more sensitive for the detection of hyperperfusion [9], and most importantly, is easily applicable to routine clinical practice.

Table 2 Association between thalamic hyperperfusion and final diagnosis and EEG pattern

| Final diagnosis | Thalamic hyperperfusion | P value* |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------|
|                 | Yes (n = 8) | No (n = 39) |          |
| SS; n (%)       | 27 (57.4) | 0 (0)       | 27 (69.2) | < 0.0001 |
| SE; n (%)       | 20 (42.6) | 8 (100)     | 12 (30.8) |          |
| EEG‡ findings   |            |            | 0.003     |
| NEA; n (%)      | 19 (46.3) | 0 (0)       | 19 (55.9) |          |
| IED; n (%)      | 12 (29.3) | 2 (28.6)    | 10 (29.4) |          |
| SES; n (%)      | 1 (2.4)   | 0 (0)       | 1 (2.9)   |          |
| SE; n (%)       | 9 (22)    | 5 (71.4)    | 4 (11.8)  |          |

SS singles seizure, SE status epilepticus, EEG electroencephalography, NEA non-epileptiform abnormalities, IED interictal epileptiform discharges, SES single epileptic seizure

*Bold typed p values represent statistically significant differences between groups.
‡: 41 patients underwent EEG registry. Percentages are calculated according to these numbers.

The main limitations of our study are its small sample size and retrospective design, which inherently lead to biases, such as variations in the time of pCT and EEG acquisition and the interpretation of clinical features. To solve this issue, other group’s studies included for analysis only those patients that underwent an EEG examination close in time after the pCT [20, 22]. However, in the present study patients were included if an EEG was performed within 24 h of symptom onset. Thus, probably capturing a more realistic picture of regular delayed conventional EEG performance in many ERs [5]. In addition, longer, continuous EEG recordings in the ER could improve the characterization of patients along the ictal–interictal continuum and its neuroimaging correlate obtained on pCT. Another limitation of our study is that we did not investigate the diagnostic specificity of pCT by comparing results between patients diagnosed with SE and controls with other conditions likely to cause cerebral hyperemia, such as encephalitis [31]. In addition, in light of the presented results, further studies could assess pCT imaging usefulness to differentiate between SE, and other acute presenting non-ictal mimics, such as confusional or dissociative psychogenic states. Nonetheless, our study brings new evidence and emphasizes the potential value of pCT in the assessment of epileptic disorders in ER settings.

### Table 3

Predictive yield of cerebral cortical and thalamic hyperperfusion on pCT for SE as a final diagnosis and an SE pattern on EEG

| Final diagnosis: status epilepticus (SE) | EEG: SE pattern |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Se, % (95% CI)                         | Cortical | Thalamic | Cortical | Thalamic |
| 60 (36.4–80.2)                         | 40 (20–63.6) | 88.9 (50.7–99.4) | 55.5 (25.6–84.6) |
| 77.8 (57.2–90.6)                       | 100 (84.5–100) | 75 (56.2–87.9) | 93.7 (77.8–98.9) |
| 66.7 (41.1–85.6)                       | 100 (59.7–100) | 50 (20.7–69.4) | 71.4 (30.2–94.9) |
| 72.4 (52.0–86.5)                       | 69.2 (52.2–82.4) | 96 (77.7–99.8) | 88.2 (71.6–96.1) |

Se sensitivity, Sp specificity

### Conclusion

Observation of focal brain hyperperfusion on pCT in an appropriate clinical setting should raise suspicion of SE and lead to further clinical and EEG investigation, especially if cerebral cortical hyperperfusion is accompanied by increased perfusion of homolateral thalamus. The absence of hyperperfusion rules out SE in most cases. pCT is not useful in the ER for the detection of single epileptic seizures in ER due to its low diagnostic yield. Larger, prospective studies are needed to further study pCT as an adjunctive diagnostic modality for NCSE and EEG findings along the ictal–interictal continuum in emergency settings.
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