ABSTRACT: Fruit juices are important components of a healthy diet and a dietary source of nutrients, vitamins, and fiber and vital for human health. However, unless it is handled with safety and hygienic conditions, food can be a vehicle for the transmission of various agents of diseases resulting in food borne outbreaks. Thus, this study aimed to determine common pathogenic bacterial species in locally prepared fresh fruit juices sold in juice houses of Eastern Ethiopia. A cross-sectional study design was conducted from 1 January to 27 March 2020, in eastern Ethiopia. Seventy-eight juice samples were collected aseptically using a sterilized collecting jar from each juice house. Pour plate count method was used to determine Staphylococcus, Salmonella, and Shigella species. Finally, the data were analyzed using descriptive statistical tests such as Chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests. P-value of .05 was considered as a cut point for statistical significance. The study found Staphylococcus count ranged from 1.68 log CFU/mL with the mean value of 4.204 log CFU/mL. Overall, 58 (74.4%) of the fruit juice samples had Staphylococcus count, 19 (24.4%) had Salmonella and 12 (15.4%) had Shigella higher than the maximum permitted limit of Gulf standard, 2000 set for any type of fruit juice. In general, the study found more than two-thirds of fruit juice samples had at least 1 pathogenic bacteria species higher than the maximum permitted limit and potentially hazardous to consumer health. Thus, regular supervision and application of food hygiene and safety are essential to improve the quality of fruit juice and to prevent the consumption of contaminated fruit juices, which leads to food borne illness.

KEYWORDS: Bacteriological quality, food quality, food safety, food science, fruit juices

Background

Fruit juices are defined as unfermented but fermentable liquids obtained from the edible parts of appropriately mature fresh fruits maintained in sound condition by suitable means. Fruit juices are among the food products of great nutritional value, rich in vitamins (vitamins C and E), mineral salts, simple sugars, organic acids, and antioxidants, which are easily assimilated by human and important for human health.

Furthermore, fruit juice contains several important therapeutic properties that may reduce the risk of various diseases such as stroke, diabetes, heart disease, loss of bone, and neural tube defects like spina bifida, anencephaly during fetal development. Currently, fruit juices constitute a suitable and convenient meal for today’s lifestyle because they need no further preparation, they are low in calorie, are rich in fiber, and provide a great variety of vitamins, minerals, and other natural phytochemicals.

However, unless it is handled with safety and good hygienic conditions, food can be a vehicle for the transmission of various agents of diseases resulting in an outbreak of foodborne disease across the globe. Because, inadequate hygiene condition makes the product a good medium for the growth of microorganisms. Various studies showed that fruit juice may be a potential source of pathogenic bacteria like *E. coli* (E. coli 0157:H7), *Salmonella* species, *Shigella* species, and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Entrobacter species, Klebsiella and Serratia species,11 and *Listeria monocytogenes*. The most common pathogenic bacterial species that contribute to foodborne outbreaks in un-pasteurized juice include *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* species and *Cryptosporidium*.13

Nowadays, foodborne disease is an international public health problem resulting in potential health and economic impacts. In response to these problems, health, and other concerned organizations are increasing their efforts to improve the quality and safety of fruit and their products.11

However, in developing countries including in Ethiopia, there is no continuous assessment of food quality and safety where fresh fruit juices are prepared and sold. Most of the fruit juices being served to consumers had higher microbial loads than the specification set for fruit juices in some parts of the world, and these products were thought to be the cause of health problems.15

In Eastern Ethiopia, there was no adequate information existing on the prevalence of pathogenic bacterial species in fresh fruit juices. Availability of adequate and current evidence on pathogenic bacterial species is crucial for evaluating the quality of fruit juices and to protect consumer health. Thus, this study aimed to determine selected common pathogenic bacterial species in locally prepared fresh fruit juices sold in juice houses of Eastern Ethiopia.
Materials and Methods

Description of the study area and period

A cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the bacteriological quality and public health risk of locally prepared fresh fruit juices sold in juice houses of Eastern Ethiopia, particularly in Dire Dawa, Harar, and Jigjiga towns from 1 January to 27 March 2020. Dire Dawa, Harar, and Jigjiga towns are found at 520, 525, and 619 km, respectively, from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. Currently, these towns are trade centers for fruits, vegetables, and their products.

Study variables

Dependent variable. Bacteriological quality of locally prepared fruit juices

Independent variables. Socio-demographic characteristics of the food handlers (age, gender, year of service, educational status, training in food hygiene and safety); juice house hygiene conditions (latrine facilities, waste disposal receptacles, dish washing facilities, and hand washing facilities); personal hygiene and safety practices (hand washing habits, clothing/gown/head cover, washing utensils and storage condition of juice); and awareness and knowledge of juice makers.

Sample size and sampling technique

A total of 78 juice samples were collected from 26 juice houses aseptically and analyzed to determine the prevalence and bacterial status of fruit juice samples. From each juice house, the 3 most commonly consumed locally prepared fruit juices (mango, avocado, papaya, and mixed juice) were selected randomly after proportional allocation of the required juice samples to the study sites. At the same time, a total of 78 food handlers were selected randomly and interviewed to collect the required data, particularly on the sociodemographic characteristics and hygiene conditions. Similarly, 78 water samples were collected and analyzed to determine the quality of water used for diluting juice and washing utensils, following the standard procedures used for bacteriological water quality analysis.

Data collection for face-to-face interview

The data related to socio-demographic characteristics and hygiene conditions were collected using a pretested semi-structured questionnaire and an observational checklist. The questionnaire contains 3 sections (socio-demographic; hygienic practice; hygiene; and sanitation facilities). Initially, the questionnaire and checklist were prepared in English version. However, the questionnaire was translated to the local language of the study participants (Afan, Oromo, Amharic, and Somali versions).

Sample collection and processing for bacteriological analysis

Two hundred fifty milliliters of juice sample was collected aseptically from juice storage where the fruit juice was directly given to the consumers. Each fruit juice sample was labeled accordingly to minimize the error. Serial dilutions of 3 folds (10⁻¹, 10⁻², and 10⁻³) were done based on ISO 6887-1:1999 protocols. Then, triplicate diluted samples (3 plates or 3 tubes for each dilution) were used for each set of serial dilution (10⁻¹, 10⁻², and 10⁻³) to determine Salmonella, Shigella, and Staphylococcus. Each procedure was done under ISO 7218.

Bacteriological analysis of the samples

Locally prepared juice samples were analyzed for each of the following microorganisms: Salmonella species, Shigella, and Staphylococcus aureus. Each bacteriological analysis of a fruit juice sample was done using appropriate media designed for the identification and/or enumeration of selected bacteria species, following standard procedures. At the same time, quality of water used in the juice house was determined following the standard procedures used for bacteriological water quality analysis.

Detection of Salmonella species. The determination of Salmonella species in locally prepared fresh fruit juice samples was done using ISO 6579:2002(E) protocol. Initially, the sample was pre-enriched in Buffered Peptone Water (BPW), then incubated at 34°C to 38°C for 18 hours followed by enrichment in/on selective media using Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium with soya (RVS broth) broth and Muller-Kauffmann tetraethionate broth (MKTT broth). The RVS broth was then incubated at 41.5°C for 24 hours while the MKTT broth was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. From the cultures obtained, both enriched fruit juice samples were differently plated onto Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) agar (selective solid media) and incubated at 37°C and observed after 24 hours. Then, each culture was observed for the presence of typical colonies of Salmonella with a black center and a light transparent zone of red-dish color. Finally, biochemical tests were done for the confirmation of Salmonella using triple sugar iron inoculation on urea agar, and indole test. The formation of a red ring within 10 minutes was considered as a positive reaction for indole tests whereas a yellow/brown color was considered as a negative reaction.

Detection of Shigella species. The determination of Shigella species in locally prepared fresh fruit juice samples was done using ISO 21567:2004(E) protocol. The sample was inoculated into broth, then incubated at 41.5°C for 16 for 20 hours (enrichment in selective liquid medium). Then, from the enrichment culture, 3 medias such MacConkey agar, XLD agar, and Hektoen enteric agar were inoculated and all media were incubated at 37°C for 20 to 24 hours. Finally, a typical and...
suspected colony from each of the 3 agars were selected and purified on nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours to gain well-isolated colonies. Then, biochemical tests were done using Triple Sugar Iron (TSI) agar at 37°C for 24 hours (for H₂S and gas formation), semisolid nutrient agar (for motility tests) at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours, indole test at 37°C for 24 hours, and Urea agar at 37°C for 24 hours.¹⁹

**Determination of Staphylococcus aureus.** The determination of *Staphylococcus aureus* in locally prepared fresh fruit juice samples was done using ISO 6888-1:1999(E) protocol.²⁰ Surface of a solid selective culture medium was inoculated into triplicate plates with 0.1 mL of the test sample for each decimal dilution. The plates were incubated in an inverted position at 37°C and observed after 24 and 48 hours. The confirmation test (coagulase test) was done using brain-heart infusion broth (BH) (at 37°C for 24 hours incubation) and rabbit plasma (at 37°C for 4-24 hours). Then, the clot occupying more than half of the original volume of the liquid was considered as a positive coagulate test.²⁰ The result was calculated as:

\[
N = \frac{\Sigma a}{V (n_1 + 0.1 n_2) d}
\]

\(\Sigma a\) = the sum of the colonies identified on all plates.
\(V\) = the volume of inoculum on each plate in milliliters.
\(n_1\) = the number of plates selected at the first dilution.
\(n_2\) = the number of dishes selected at the second dilution.
\(d\) = the dilution rate corresponding to the first dilution.

The total number of microorganisms enumerated per mL of the sample was calculated using the number of colonies obtained from each plate. Finally, the results were presented as the number of positive *Staphylococcus* counts per mL and reported as log CFU/mL.

**Physicochemical and water quality analysis**

pH and temperature of juice sample were measured using portable digital pH and thermometer meter, respectively. Similarly, the quality of the water used for washing the utensils and preparing the juice were analyzed. For *Salmonella* and *Shigella* identification, 100 mL of water samples was filtered through 0.45 μm cellulose acetate membrane filter and the filter was dipped in sterile 90 mL buffered peptone water and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. After incubation, enriched broth (BPW) was inoculated to selective enrichment media. Then, 0.1 mL of enrichment broth was added into 10 mL of Rappaport Vassiliadis soya peptone broth and incubated at 41.5°C for 24 hours and then, 1 mL of enrichment broth was inoculated into 10 mL of Selenite cystine broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After the selective enrichment, colonies appeared on the disk was isolated onto *Salmonella-Shigella* agar (SSA) and Xylose lysine deoxycholate agar (XLD) following incubation at 37°C for 24 hours. Suspected colonies of *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. were identified based on colony appearances.²¹,²²

**Data quality control**

Before data collection, the questionnaire and observational checklist were pretested to check its clarity, sequence, and applicability. To minimize the error, the consistency of the procedure was kept while conducting the bacteriological analysis throughout the study. The bacteriological analysis was done by well-qualified and trained professionals. The samples were analyzed immediately to avoid a significant change. Furthermore, the aseptic technique was used throughout sampling, handling, and analyzing. Additionally, the control was used for each bacterial analysis to determine the quality of laboratory work or analysis. Triplicate samples were analyzed to confirm the contamination levels. Sterilization was done using an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes to sterilize the media and some equipments.²³ Alcohol based disinfectant (70% ethanol) was used to disinfect some materials and working environment.

**Data processing and analysis**

Each measurement of the different variables was systematically organized into tables and subsequently subjected to statistical analysis. Data analysis was done using SPSS version 22.0 statistical software. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as mean and range. Chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used to determine the statistically significant association among the variables. The data did not fulfill Chi-square test assumption were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. P-value of .05 was considered as a cut point for statistical significance.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the respondents**

Of the 78 participants interviewed, 54 (69.2%) were females, and 38 (48.7%) of the study participants were within the age ranging from 25 to 34 years and constituted the largest proportion. In addition, the study found that 71 (91.0%) of the respondents were not trained in food hygiene and safety. Thirty-one (39.7%) of the participants had attended secondary school while 38 (48.7%) had age ranging from 25 to 34 years old. On the other hand, 41 (52.6%) respondents had work experience ranging from 1 to 2 years. Furthermore, the current study found a significant association between bacterial contamination of juice (*Staphylococcus* and *Salmonella* or *Shigella*) and educational status, and training in food hygiene and safety (Table 1).

**Hygienic condition of juice houses**

The current study found 41 (52.6%) of food handlers always washed their hands with water and soap, and 65 (83.3%) of food handlers did not wear aprons/uniform. Furthermore, the study found a statistically significant association between contamination of juice with *Staphylococcus* and hygienic and safety conditions of food handlers (Table 2).
Physicochemical analysis of juice and water quality analysis

pH: The mean value of pH among the analyzed juice samples was 4.515 ± 0.63 (SD), whereas the mean value of temperature among the juice samples was 12.08 ± 2.63 (SD). Salmonella/Shigella was detected only in 9 (11.5%) water samples. On the other hand, the study found that there was no any statistically significant association between contamination of fruit juice and pH ($\chi^2 = 1.422$ [P value = .233]), and temperature of juice samples ($\chi^2 = 0.24$ [P value = .8]). However, there was statistically significant association between quality of water used and fruit juice contamination, in terms of Salmonella/Shigella ($\chi^2 = 9.63$ and P value=.003) (Table 3).

Bacteriological analysis of samples

Bacteriological status of fruit juice samples was done based on the bacterial load in triplicate of each plate (10⁻¹, 10⁻², and 10⁻³ serial dilutions for each juice sample) and later the mean value was taken particularly for Staphylococcus. Furthermore, Shigella and Salmonella species were reported as detected and not detected, and was evaluated toward Gulf standard 2000 (Table 4).

The overall total Staphylococcus count ranged from 1.68 to 4.94 log CFU/mL with a mean value of 4.204 log CFU/mL. The study found Staphylococcus count ranged from 1.91 to 4.785 log CFU/mL in mango juice, 2.40 to 4.94 log CFU/mL in avocado juice, 1.99 to 4.92 log CFU/mL in papaya juice and 1.68 to 4.93 log CFU/mL in mixed juice (Table 5).

The current study found 58 (74.4%) of fruit juice samples contaminated with Staphylococcus, higher than the maximum permitted level of Gulf standard, 2000 (3log CFU/mL) whereas 5 (6.4%) and 15 (19.2%) were satisfactory and acceptable, respectively. The acceptability distributions of Staphylococcus based on the types of juice is presented below (Figure 1).

Salmonella and Shigella. The current study found 19 (24.4%) and 12 (15.4%) of fruit juice samples contaminated with Salmonella and Shigella, respectively, and unsatisfactory or potentially hazardous to human health. In general, 7 (30.4%) and 5 (21.7%) of mango juice samples were unsatisfactory in terms of Salmonella and Shigella, respectively. And also, 4 (18.2%) and 3 (13.64%) of avocado juice samples were unsatisfactory in terms of Salmonella and Shigella, respectively (Figure 2).

Discussion

Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Seventy-eight fruit juice samples were collected from juice houses, found in 3 towns of Eastern Ethiopia and analyzed to determine Staphylococcus, Salmonella, and Shigella. Of the 78 participants interviewed, 54 (69.2%) were females. This study agreed with another study conducted in Mozambique reported a higher proportion 116 (79.5%) of female workers.

The acceptability distributions of Staphylococcus based on the types of juice is presented below (Figure 1).

Salmonella and Shigella. The current study found 19 (24.4%) and 12 (15.4%) of fruit juice samples contaminated with Salmonella and Shigella, respectively, and unsatisfactory or potentially hazardous to human health. In general, 7 (30.4%) and 5 (21.7%) of mango juice samples were unsatisfactory in terms of Salmonella and Shigella, respectively. And also, 4 (18.2%) and 3 (13.64%) of avocado juice samples were unsatisfactory in terms of Salmonella and Shigella, respectively (Figure 2).

Discussion

Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Seventy-eight fruit juice samples were collected from juice houses, found in 3 towns of Eastern Ethiopia and analyzed to determine Staphylococcus, Salmonella, and Shigella. Of the 78 participants interviewed, 54 (69.2%) were females. This study agreed with another study conducted in Mozambique reported a higher proportion 116 (79.5%) of female workers. This may be due to cultural related issues in developing countries, particularly in Ethiopia, males do not participate in food preparation. In addition, the study found 71 (91.0%) respondents had no training in food hygiene and safety while the study
Table 2. General hygienic and safety conditions of food handlers and juice houses in Eastern Ethiopia, 2020.

| VARIABLES | PARAMETERS | FREQUENCY (%) | SC $\chi^2$ (P-VALUE) | SS $\chi^2$ (P-VALUE) |
|-----------|------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| How do you wash your hands (N=78) | With water only | 37 (47.4) | 9.265 (.044)$^a$ | 1.102 (.294) |
| | With water and soap | 41 (52.6) | | |
| Aware that microorganisms can contaminate food (N=78) | Yes | 59 (75.6) | 21.640 (.000)$^a$ | 0.711 (.3990) |
| | No | 19 (24.4) | | |
| Aware of symptoms of foodborne illness (N=78) | Yes | 42 (53.8) | 9.034 (.04)$^a$ | 0.348 (.555) |
| | No | 13 (16.7) | | |
| Wearing aprons while serving juice (N=78) | Yes | 13 (16.7) | 17.155 (.000)$^a$ | 0.348 (.555) |
| | No | 65 (83.3) | | |
| Waste receiving receptacles (N=26) | Sacks | 14 (53.8) | 19.634 (.001)$^a$ | 2.989 (.409) |
| | Bin without cover | 6 (23.1) | | |
| | Bin with cover | 6 (23.1) | | |
| Hand washing equipment’s (N=26) | Available | 12 (46.15) | 9.930 (.021)$^a$ | 2.292 (.354) |
| | Not available | 14 (53.85) | | |
| Methods of preservation of fruit (N=26) | On Shelf | 15 (57.7) | 14.809 (.009)$^a$ | 4.486 (.213) |
| | In a bucket | 10 (38.5) | | |
| | On the floor | 1 (3.8) | | |
| Place to keep the juice after preparation (N=26) | In Jag | 12 (46.15) | 13.760 (.014)$^a$ | 2.614 (.443) |
| | In squeezing machine | 2 (7.7) | | |
| | In a refrigerator | 12 (46.15) | | |
| Frequency of cleaning material used to keep the juice (N=26) | Every day | 14 (53.8) | 9.930 (.021)$^a$ | 2.292 (.354) |
| | After each use | 12 (46.15) | | |
| What is done with juice which gone bad (N=26) | Mixing with a fresh juice | 14 (53.8) | 9.930 (.021)$^a$ | 2.292 (.354) |
| | I dispose it | 12 (46.15) | | |

Abbreviations: SC, Staphylococcus; SS, Salmonella and Shigella.
$^a$Statistically significant.

Table 3. Physicochemical analysis of juice sample, water quality analysis, and its association with fruit contamination.

| VARIABLES | PARAMETERS | FREQUENCY (%) | SALMONELLA/SHIGELLA $\chi^2$ (P-VALUE) |
|-----------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|
| pH | $\leq$4.6 | 38 (48.7) | 1.422 (.233) |
| | Above 4.6 | 40 (51.3) | | |
| Water quality (Salmonella/Shigella) | Detected | 9 (11.5) | 9.63 (.003)$^a$ |
| | Not detected | 19 (24.4) | | |
| Temperature of fruit juice sample | Below 10°C | 14 (17.9) | 0.2 (.88) |
| | Between 10°C and 60°C (danger zone) | 64 (82.1) | | |

$^a$Statistically significant.
conducted in Hossana Town, Ethiopia, reported none of the food handlers trained in food hygiene and safety.4

Prevalence of selected bacterial species

The overall total Staphylococcus count in the fruit juice was ranged from 1.68 to 4.94 log CFU/mL with a mean value of 4.2 log CFU/mL. The study found Staphylococcus count ranged from 1.91 to 4.79 log CFU/mL in mango juice, 2.4 to 4.94 log CFU/mL in avocado juice, 1.99 to 4.92 log CFU/mL in papaya juice, and 1.68 to 4.93 log CFU/mL in mixed juice samples. Furthermore, the current study found Staphylococcus counts in the juice samples lower than the finding of another study conducted in Ethiopia and found the Staphylococcus counts ranged from 4.85 to 5.23 log CFU/mL in avocado juice and 4.3 to 5.2 log CFU/mL in papaya juice.26

The presence of Staphylococcus count in fruit juices above 3.0 log CFU/mL is potentially hazardous to human health.24 However, the current study found 58 (74.4%) of fruit juice samples contaminated with Staphylococcus count higher than the maximum permitted limit of Gulf standard 2000. The difference may be related to poor hygienic and safety practices of food handlers. Because, Staphylococcus is commonly presenting in human nasal passage, throat, hair, and skin of food handlers.

Similarly, the presence of Salmonella or Shigella in any food indicates that the food is potentially hazardous to consumers’ health.28 However, the current study found 19 (24.4%) of fruit juice samples contaminated with Salmonella that was higher than the finding of another study conducted in Ethiopia reported 20.0% of samples contaminated with Salmonella species.8 However, lower than the finding of another study reported 40 (41.67%) of juice samples contaminated with Salmonella species.29 Furthermore, 12 (15.4%) of the samples were contaminated with Shigella that was lower than the finding of another study conducted in India reported 48.6% of fruit samples contaminated with Shigella species.27 The difference may be due to the presence of Salmonella and Shigella in the raw materials used for juice preparation, poor quality of water, or poor hygienic practices.

Furthermore, the current study found statistically significant association between contamination of fruit juice by Staphylococcus and educational status of participants ($\chi^2 = 22.28$), and training in food hygiene and safety ($\chi^2 = 15.73$). Similarly,

### Table 4. The recommended microbial standards for any fruit juices sold (Gulf standard 2000).24.

| STANDARD          | LEVEL | STAPHYLOCOCCUS (LOG CFU/ML) | SALMONELLA | SHIGELLA |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|
| Gulf standard 2000| MBLA  | 2                           | Not detected | Not detected |
|                   | MBLP  | 3                           | Not detected | Not detected |

Abbreviations: MBLA, maximum bacterial load anticipated; MBLP, maximum bacterial load permitted.

### Table 5. The range and mean Staphylococcus count (log CFU/mL) in locally prepared fresh fruit juice in juice houses in selected towns of Eastern Ethiopia, 2020.

| STUDY LOCATIONS      | TYPES OF JUICE | MINIMUM (LOG CFU/ML) | MAXIMUM (LOG CFU/ML) | AVERAGE (LOG CFU/ML) |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Harar town (n = 24)  | Mango          | 1.99                 | 4.20                 | 3.65                 |
|                      | Avocado        | 3.0                  | 4.94                 | 4.66                 |
|                      | Papaya         | 1.99                 | 4.91                 | 3.28                 |
|                      | Mixed          | 1.68                 | 3.88                 | 3.45                 |
| Dire Dawa town (n = 33) | Mango     | 1.91                 | 4.79                 | 4.20                 |
|                      | Avocado        | 2.398                | 4.53                 | 3.87                 |
|                      | Papaya         | 2.70                 | 4.30                 | 3.88                 |
|                      | Mixed          | 2.90                 | 4.15                 | 3.73                 |
| Jigjiga town (n = 21) | Mango          | 1.91                 | 4.79                 | 4.43                 |
|                      | Avocado        | 3.0                  | 4.08                 | 3.63                 |
|                      | Papaya         | 3.56                 | 4.91                 | 4.58                 |
|                      | Mixed          | 2.89                 | 4.93                 | 4.32                 |
there was statistically significant association between detection of *Salmonella/Shigella* in fruit juice and educational status of participants ($\chi^2 = 7.323$), and training in food hygiene and safety ($\chi^2 = 4.486$) that was in line with the finding of another study.\(^3\) Overall, about three-fifth (74.4%) of fruit samples were contaminated with at least 1 pathogenic bacterial species higher than the maximum permitted limit. Therefore, it is suggested to provide food safety training,\(^3\) and adequate hygiene and safety.\(^4\) Similarly, temperature control,\(^5\) preventing cross-contamination and sanitation for facility and utensils are important to protect the health of the consumers and the public by improving the quality of fruit juice. Implementing good manufacturing practice is also essential to prevent food borne disease and to protect the consumers health. Precautionary procedures,\(^6\) and periodic quality assessment of food also plays a major role in improving quality food.\(^7\)

**Conclusion**

Among fruit juice samples collected for bacteriological analysis, more than two-thirds of fruit juice samples had at least 1 pathogenic bacterial species (*Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and *S. aureus*) and potentially hazardous or risk to consumer health. Thus, regular supervision and monitoring to improve the quality of fruit juice is essential to prevent the consumption of contaminated fruit juices, which leads to food borne illness.
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Objective: The present study was conducted to evaluate the microbiological quality of fruit juice and vegetable salads sold in selected fruit juice houses in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Methods: A total of 100 juice samples were collected from 20 randomly selected juice houses in Addis Ababa. The samples were immediately transported to the laboratory and were cultured using standard methods.

Results: A total of 436 bacterial isolates were obtained from the collected juice samples. The isolates were identified as Pseudomonas (24.4%), Staphylococcus aureus (23.3%), Bacillus spp. (20.3%), Enterobacter spp. (18.9%), and Escherichia coli (16.1%).

Conclusion: The microbiological quality of fruit juices and vegetable salads found in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, was found to be poor, indicating a potential risk for foodborne infections.

Keywords: Fruit juice, vegetable salad, microbiological quality, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

References:

1. Codex. General standard for fruit juices and nectars (Codex Stan 247-2005). 2005. Accessed January 10, 2018. http://www.fao.org/fao-whocodexalimentarius/cb/0001eeas144873a00004714/report/en-

2. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). General Standard for Fruit Juices and Nectars. Codex Alimentarius Commission; 2005. Accessed January 6, 2018. www.codexalimentarius.org/standards/CXS_247.

3. Abbas E, Olatun O, Odeme J. Studies on the storage stability of sour soup. Afr J Biotechnol. 2006;5:108-112.

4. Abbios TG, Guggero BC, Fissu YH. Physical and microbiological quality of some juice products served in cafés/juice houses: the case of Hossana town, southern Ethiopia. J Nutr Food Sci. 2018;8:1-5.

5. Tansui F, Anwar Hossain M, Kamal Hossain M, Lopa D, Formuzali Hae KM. Quality assessment of industrially processed fruit juices available in Dhaka City, Bangladesh. Malays J Nutr. 2010;16:431-438.

6. Chatterjee SK, Khathik K, Savy S. Bacteriological analysis of street foods in Pune. Indian J Public Health. 2007;51:114-116.

7. Saroj SD, Shashidhar R, Dhowan V, Hujar S, Sharma A, Bandekar JR. Microbiological evaluation of sprouts marketed in Mumbai, India, and its suburbs. J Food Prot. 2006;69:2515-2518.

8. Leal A, Ribeiro MJ. Bacteriological safety of freshly squeezed mango and pineapple juice served in juice houses of Bahr Dar town, northwest Ethiopia. Int J Sci Basic Appl Res. 2012;6:24-35.

9. Mihiret H, Desta K. Microbiological criteria and quality of fruit and juice fruits in Ethiopia and international experience. J Mod Microbiol Diagn. 2015;4:207.

10. Agwu OK, Osisi-Chidi LN, Eziani CA. Microbial evaluation of orange fruit juice sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Am J Food Nutr Res. 2014;1:28-33.

11. Heaton JC, Jones K. Microbial contamination of fruit and vegetables and the behaviour of enteropathogens in the phyllosphere: a review. J Appl Microbiol. 2008;104:613-626.

12. Martinez-Gonzales NE, Hernandez-Herrera A, Martinez-Chavez L, et al. Spread of bacterial pathogens during preparation of freshly squeezed orange juice. J Food Prot. 2005;66:1490-1494.

13. Sharma M, Beuchat LR, Doyle MP, Chen J. Survival of salmonellae in pasteurized, refrigerated calcium-fortified orange juice. J Food Prot. 2002;65:1299-1304.

14. Hemisphere FK, Baye K, Telera AT, Tessema TS. Bacteriological quality of commonly consumed fruit juices and vegetable salads sold in some fruit juice houses in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. J Food Saf. 2019;39:e12563.

15. Tsige K, Tsegaye G, Ketema B. Microbiological safety of fruit juice served in cafes/restaurants, Jimma Town, southwest Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2018;28:69-76.

16. International Standard Organization; International Standard Organization (ISO 6887-1:1999). Microbiology of Food and Animal Feed Items. Preparation of Test Samples. Initial Suspension and Decimal Dilutions for Microbiological Examination - Part 1: General Rules for Initial Suspension and Decimal Dilutions. International Standard Organization; 1999.

17. International Standard Organization (ISO 6888-1:1999). Microbiology of Food and Animal Feed Items- Horizontal Method for the Detection of Shigella spp. International Standard Organization; 2002.

18. International Standard Organization (ISO 21567:2004). Microbiology of Food and Animal Feed Items - Horizontal Method for the Detection of Shigella spp. International Standard Organization; 2004.

19. International Standard Organization (ISO 6888:1-1999). Microbiology of Food and Animal Feed Items - Horizontal Method for the Enumeration of Coagulase-Positive Staphylococcus (Staphylococcus aureus and Other Species). International Standard Organization; 1999.

20. Health Protection Agency. Detection of Salmonella species. National Standard Method W 7. 2006. Accessed May 28, 2014. http://www.hpa-standardmethods.org.uk/pdf_sops.asp

21. Health Protection Agency. Detection of Salmonella species in water. National Standard Method W 8. 2007. Accessed May 28, 2014. http://www.hpa-standardmethods.org.uk/pdf_sops.asp

22. Mahfouza I, Arzina I, Kamruzzaman MM, et al. Microbial status of street vended fresh-cut fruits, salad vegetables and juices in Dhaka city of Bangladesh. Int Food Res J. 2016;23:2258-2264.

23. Gulf Standards. Microbiological criteria for food stuffs, part I GCC, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. 2000. Accessed February 12, 2018. http://dx.doi.org/www.pjbs.org/pjnon-line/fn21.pdf

24. Saluvandane A, Silva AC, Brito L, Malfeito-Ferreira M. Microbiological assessment of street foods at the point of sale in Maputo (Mozambique). Qual Safe Health Care. 2021;5:1-9.

25. Bulfi MF, Melkam DL. Microbiological quality of fruit juices sold in cafes and restaurants of Shewarobit town, Amhara, Ethiopia. Afi J Microbiol Res. 2018;12:631-638.

26. Reddi SL, Kumar RN, Balakrishna N, Rao VS. Microbiological quality of street vended fruit juices in Hyderabad, India and their association between food safety knowledge and practices of fruit juice vendors. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2014;3:970-982.

27. Annor GA, Baiden EA. Evaluation of food hygiene knowledge and attitudes and practices of food handlers in food businesses in Accra, Ghana. Food Nutr Sci. 2011;2:830-836.

28. Wedajo B, Kadire A. Assessment of bacterial load of some fresh and packed fruit juices in Arba Minch town, Ethiopia. Afr J Food Nutr Sci. 2019;9:1-7.

29. Nyenje ME, Odijide CE, Tanih NF, Green E, Ndiw RN. Foodborne pathogens recovered from ready-to-eat foods from roadside cafeterias and retail outlets in Alice, eastern Cape Province, South Africa: public health implications. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2012;9:2608-2619.

30. Rodríguez M, Valero A, Posadizquero GD, Carrasco E, Zuteza G. Evaluation of food handler practices and microbiological status of ready-to-eat foods in long-term care facilities in the Andalusia region of Spain. J Food Prot. 2011;74:1504-1512.

31. Kharroubi S, Naser NA, El-Hazekh MD, Sulaiman AA, Kassem IH. First nationwide analysis of food safety and acceptability data in Lebanon. Foods. 2020;9:1717.

32. Gizaw Z. Public health risks related to food safety issues in the food market: a systematic literature review. Environ Health Prev Med. 2019;24:68.

33. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Food facts. Eating outdoors: handling food safely. 2017. Accessed February 12, 2018. https://www.fda.gov/educationresource/foodfacts-eatingoutdoors-handlingfoodsafety

34. Todd EC, Michaels BS, Greig JD, Smith D, Holah J, Bartleson CA. Outbreaks of lass-positive Staphylococci (Staphylococcus aureus and Other Species). International Standard Organization; 2002.

35. Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs - Horizontal Method for the Detection of Salmonella Spp. International Standard Organization; 2002.

36. Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs - Horizontal Method for the Detection of Salmonella Spp. International Standard Organization; 2004.

37. Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs - Horizontal Method for the Detection of Yersinia Spp. International Standard Organization; 2007.

ORCID iD

Detcha Adare Mengistu https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0076-5586