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Background. Unbound plasma concentrations of β-lactam antibiotics vary widely and attainment of PK/PD targets is highly variable in critically ill patients, which may affect microbiologic cure or contribute to toxicity. PK/PD-based antibiotic dosing programs may provide more accurate doses that achieve predicted targets for a cultured organism.

Methods. This was a single center, prospective study of critically ill patients with culture positive gram-negative infections treated with meropenem (MEM) or piperacillin/tazobactam (TZP). A PK/PD-based antibiotic dosing app was used to select doses that had a probability of target attainment (PTA) of 90% or greater for time above MIC (T>MIC) of at least 40% for MEM and 50% for TZP. Total meropenem, piperacillin and tazobactam mid-point and trough concentrations were obtained at steady-state and adjusted for protein binding, to assess target attainment.

Results. Thirty-six patients were enrolled; 20 received MEM and 16 TZP. Antibiotic concentrations varied widely amongst patients, particularly with TZP. MEM and TZP concentrations are displayed in Table 1 and Figure 1. Doses evaluated for >90% probability of target attainment in the dosing calculator differed from standard package labeled doses for 25% (5/20) of MEM and 18.8% (3/16) of TZP patients. All (20/20) MEM and 94% (15/16) TZP patients maintained T>PMC for the entire dosing interval.

Conclusion. A PK/PD based antibiotic dosing calculator that provides individualized β-lactam doses can lead to altered doses that may increase probability of target attainment in critically ill patients. Future research is needed to review the relevance of PK/PD-based dose adjustments on clinical outcomes.

Table 1. Meropenem, piperacillin, and tazobactam concentrations adjusted for protein binding in μg/ml

|                  | Meropenem | Piperacillin | Tazobactam |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|
|                  | Midpoint  | Trough      | Midpoint   |
| Mean (SD)        | 15.92(11.23) | 9.24(8.34) | 81.25(81.79) |
| Low value        | 3.86(1.36)   | 14.51       | 5.68       |
| High value       | 41.45(26.38) | 31.26       | 269.78     |
| Median organism  | 0.25(0.25-4) | 6(4-32)    |            |
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Background. An accurate diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia in the Emergency Department (ED) is challenging, resulting in inappropriate antibiotic use, adversely impacting patient care and safety. Procalcitonin (PCT), a serum biomarker, has good positive predictive value for bacterial lower respiratory tract infections. We sought to evaluate the impact of using PCT in an antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP)-driven algorithm to manage patients with presumed pneumonia in the ED.

Methods. We performed an IRB-approved quality initiative, 4-month retrospective evaluation of adult patients evaluated for pneumonia using PCT in a 515-bed university-affiliated hospital. Initial PCT use was restricted to ED for hemodynamically stable patients with presumed pneumonia. Subsequent PCT levels were ordered by ASP team members at 8- to 12-hours and days 3, 5, and 7 to guide the duration of antibiotic use and interpreted as per existing guidelines. Prior to start of initiative, aggressive education was provided by ASP to ED staff, followed by algorithm implementation. Outcomes included hospital admission, days of antibiotics, antibiotic use ≤48 hours, total PCT levels, length of stay, and 30-day pneumonia readmission.

Results. Baseline demographics of initial 182 patients differed between negative and positive PCT groups with age (78 vs. 84, P = 0.037) and sex, male (88 vs. 15, P = 0.001). Negative PCT was associated with lower temperature (P = 0.0002), and white blood cell count (P = 0.0001) on admission (Figure 1). Patients with negative PCT had reduced antibiotic initiation (71% vs. 95%, P = 0.001) and were less likely to be admitted (89% vs. 98%, P = 0.078). A total of 460 PCT levels were collected (negative group: 303, median 2[2,2]), positive group: 157, median 4[3,4]). Patients with negative PCT had reduced antibiotic duration (P < 0.001) and length of stay (P = 0.004) (Figures 2 and 3). There were no reported adverse events or differences in 30-day pneumonia readmissions.
Conclusion. Implementation of a PCT algorithm through ASP is a novel and efficacious addition to improving diagnostic yield, targeting appropriate therapy, and reducing length of stay. The impact on antibiotic resistance remains to be determined.

Methods. IRB approved, quasi-experiment at four hospitals with an antimicrobial stewardship program. Dates: August 1, 2015–January 31, 2016 and August 1, 2016–January 31, 2017. Included: ≥18 years, commensal flora only to specify "no methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus/MRSA or Pseudomonas aeruginosa" (PA). The purpose of this study was to compare MRSA and PA antibiotic therapy utilization before and after the change.

Methods. IRB approved, quasi-experiment at four hospitals with an antimicrobial stewardship program. Dates: August 1, 2015–January 31, 2016 and August 1, 2016–January 31, 2017. Included: ≥18 years, commensal flora only to specify "no methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus/MRSA or Pseudomonas aeruginosa" (PA). The purpose of this study was to compare MRSA and PA antibiotic therapy utilization before and after the change.

Gaps in microbiology communication can lead to suboptimal antibiotic stewardship. Among 39,226 included admissions, de-escalation occurred in 14,138 (36%), escalation in 5,129 (13%), and antibiotics were unchanged in 19,959 (51%) (Figure). Percent de-escalation was significantly different among hospitals (median 37%, range 31–39%, P < 0.001). Infectious diagnoses with lower rates of de-escalation included intra-abdominal infection (23%), skin and soft tissue infection (28%), and ENT/upper respiratory tract infection (19%). Intensive care units had higher rates of both de-escalation and escalation (43% and 16%) when compared with non-ICU wards (35% and 13%, P < 0.001).

Conclusion. Improved microbiology communication to assist prescriber interpretation of commensal respiratory flora was associated with a reduction in the proportion of patients that received antibiotics targeting MRSA and PA.

Table 1.

| Antibiotic de-escalation | No antibiotic de-escalation | Unadjusted OR [CI] | Adjusted OR [CI] |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| No MRSA, no PA comment  | 77 (65%)                    | 28 (30%)           | 5.01 [2.5–10.0]  |
| Charlson Comorbidity    |                             |                    |                 |
| Index < 3               | 42 (38%)                    | 60 (55%)           | 3.4 [1.9–6.0]   |
| APACHE II ≤15          | 45 (39%)                    | 56 (61%)           | 2.5 [1.4–4.4]   |
| Long-term care          | 14 (12%)                    | 9 (10%)            | 0.8 [0.3–2.0]   |
| ≥2 SIRS criteria       | 52 (44%)                    | 53 (58%)           | 1.7 [1.0–3.0]   |
| Previous antibiotics    | 57 (48%)                    | 40 (44%)           | 0.8 [0.5–1.4]   |
| Hospitalization >48    | 51 (43%)                    | 39 (42%)           | 1.0 [0.6–1.7]   |
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Background. Antimicrobial stewardship programs promote de-escalation: moving from broad to narrow spectrum agents and/or stopping antibiotics as more clinical data return. A standard definition of de-escalation objectively applied to electronic data could provide a means to assess stewardship improvement opportunities.

Methods. We performed a retrospective cohort study of de-escalation events among five hospitals from the Duke Health System and the Duke Antimicrobial Stewardship Outreach Network using 2016 electronic medication administration record data. Antibiotics were ranked into four categories: narrow spectrum (e.g., cetazolin), broad spectrum, extended spectrum, and agents typically targeted for protection (e.g., meropenem). Included patients were cared for on inpatient units, had antibiotic therapy for at least 2 days, and had at least 3 days of hospitalization after starting antibiotics. De-escalation was defined as reduction in either the number of antibiotics or rank measured at two time points: day 1 of initiation of antibiotic therapy and day 5 (or day of discharge if occurring on day 3 or 4). Escalation was an increase in either number or rank of agents. Unchanged was either no change or discordant directions of change in number and rank. For all categories, the outcome was percent among qualifying admissions. Descriptive statistics were used to describe de-escalation among hospitals, unit type, and ICD-10 diagnoses.

Results. Among 39,226 included admissions, de-escalation occurred in 14,138 (36%), escalation in 5,129 (13%), and antibiotics were unchanged in 19,959 (51%) (Figure). Percent de-escalation was significantly different among hospitals (median 37%, range 31–39%, P < 0.001). Infectious diagnoses with lower rates of de-escalation included intra-abdominal infection (23%), skin and soft tissue infection (28%), and ENT/upper respiratory tract infection (19%). Intensive care units had higher rates of both de-escalation and escalation (43% and 16%) when compared with non-ICU wards (35% and 13%, P < 0.001).

Conclusion. We provided an objective, electronic definition of de-escalation and demonstrated variation among hospitals, units, and diagnoses. This metric may be useful for assessing stewardship opportunities.