Dexamethasone-Inducible Green Fluorescent Protein Gene Expression in Transgenic Plant Cells
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Genomic research has made a large number of sequences of novel genes or expressed sequence tags available. To investigate functions of these genes, a system for conditional control of gene expression would be a useful tool. Inducible transgene expression that uses green fluorescent protein gene (gfp) as a reporter gene has been investigated in transgenic cell lines of cotton (COT; Gossypium hirsutum L.), Fraser fir [FRA; Abies fraseri (Pursh) Poir], Nordmann fir (NOR; Abies nordmanniana Lk.), and rice (RIC; Oryza sativa L. cv. Radon). Transgenic cell lines were used to test the function of the chemical inducer dexamethasone. Inducible transgene expression was observed with fluorescence and confocal microscopy, and was confirmed by northern blot analyses. Dexamethasone at 5 mg/L induced gfp expression to the nearly highest level 48 h after treatment in COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC. Dexamethasone at 10 mg/L inhibited the growth of transgenic cells in FRA and NOR, but not COT and RIC. These results demonstrated that concentrations of inducer for optimum inducible gene expression system varied among transgenic cell lines. The inducible gene expression system described here was very effective and could be valuable in evaluating the function of novel gene.
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Introduction

Inducible gene expression systems using chemical inducers will be powerful tools for basic research in functional genomics and plant biology. Different chemical-inducible systems, based on activation and inactivation of the target gene by regulatory elements from prokaryotes, insects, and mammals, had been developed and used in a number of plant species (1–5). In these systems, different inducers such as antibiotic tetracycline (6–8), glucocorticoid or steroid hormone dexamethasone and estrogen (9–14), ethanol (15–18), copper (19, 20), benzothiadiazol (21), herbicide safeners (22), and ecdysone (3, 23, 24), have been used. Although the advantages and limitations of different inducible gene expression systems to regulate the temporal and spatial expression patterns existed (3), well developed inducible gene expression systems will dramatically increase the application of transgenic technology in forestry and agriculture (5, 25–27).
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Compared to regulated gene expression using constitutive promoters to transcribe a gene of interest, chemical-inducible gene expression systems offer a more general and flexible solution because chemical-inducible systems are quiescent in the presence or absence of inducers and therefore will not inhibit physiological activities (1, 28–30). If a foreign gene product expressed in animals and plants is going to interfere with regeneration, growth or reproduction, an inducible promoter is required (1, 2). With such a tool, plants can be regenerated while the promoter is inactive. Further analysis can then be performed after activating expression of the transgene (5, 28).

In this study, the glucocorticoid-inducible system (9, 12) was used. In this system, the chimaera transcription factor GVG consists of the yeast Gal4 binding domain (BD), the Herpes simplex VP16 activation domain (AD), and the rat glucocorticoid receptor (GR; ref. 9, 12). Induction is based on the property of the GR domain to localize the constitutively expressed GVG protein to the cytoplasm in the absence of the inducer (9). After treatment with glucocorticoid hormones such as dexamethasone, GVG
Inducible Gene Expression

is transferred to the nucleus and interact with GVG recognition sites cloned upstream of the targeting gene and activate transcription of the targeting gene (12, 31). The GVG-regulated gene expression has been reported to be rapidly inducible and its control is very tight (9, 12).

Although the GVG inducible gene expression system has been investigated extensively in dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants such as tobacco, Arabidopsis, and rice (9, 12, 32), it was not used to compare the differential gene expression induced by inducers in angiosperms and gymnosperms. We adapted the GVG system for specific use in transgenic angiosperm and gymnosperm cell lines using green fluorescence protein gene (gfp) as a reporter gene. Inducible expression of gfp reporter gene was analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively at different induction levels. Our results suggested that the GVG inducible gene expression system could be useful in studying regulated gene expression ranging from both angiosperm and gymnosperm plant species.

Results

Transgenic cell lines

Cell cultures derived from single callus clones were used to produce transgenic cell lines. Nine cell cultures of cotton (COT; Gossypium hirsutum L.), seven cell cultures of Fraser fir [FRA; Abies fraseri (Pursh) Poir], nine cell cultures of Nordmann fir (NOR; Abies nordmanniana Lk.), and five cell cultures of rice (RIC; Oryza sativa L. cv. Radon) were infected with the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 containing pINDEX3-m-gfp5-ER carrying a hygromycin phosphotransferase gene, a chimaeric transcription factor GVG, and a m-gfp5-ER reporter gene (Figure 1), respectively. Two transgenic cell lines from each species were isolated following selection on medium supplemented with hygromycin. Transgenic cell lines were transferred into fresh proliferation medium weekly for 10-12 weeks to produce more cells. Eight putative transgenic cell lines with hygromycin resistance were initially tested for the presence of the m-gfp5-ER by PCR. Eight hygromycin-resistant cell lines produced the expected band (816 bp), whereas DNA from non-transformed cell cultures did not yield such a product (Figure 2). Southern hybridization of digested genomic DNA demonstrated that the T-DNA insert was integrated in this eight transgenic cell lines (data not shown). Single copies of transgene were observed from genomic DNA of four transgenic cell lines from COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC (data not shown). GFP expression could not be visualized in all eight cell lines transformed with m-gfp5-ER due to transcription of m-gfp5-ER was not activated by GVG transcription factor. Four transgenic cell lines (COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC), each with one copy of the T-DNA insert, were used for future inducible gene expression experiments.

![Fig. 1](image-url) A linear plasmid map indicating the localization of the different genes, promoters, terminators and T-DNA borders. Genes: Hpt-int, hygromycin phosphotransferase gene with an intron from the castor bean Cat-1 gene; GVG, the chimaeric transcription factor consists of the yeast Gal4 binding domain (BD), the Herpes simplex VP16 activation domain (AD), and the rat glucocorticoid receptor (GR); m-gfp5-ER, a modified GFP protein with an endoplasmic reticulum targeting sequence. Promoters: 4UAS, the promoter containing four copies of the GAL4 UAS (upstream activation sequence) and the −46 to +1 region of the 35S promoter; 35S Pro, the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. Terminators: 35S Ter, the terminator of the CaMV 35S transcription unit; TE9, the poly(A) addition sequence of the pea ribulose biphosphate carboxylase small subunit rbcS-E9; T3A, the poly(A) addition sequence of the pea rbcS-3A. T-DNA borders: LB, left border; RB, right border. Arrows indicate gene translation orientation. The probe used in northern blot analysis of transgenic cell lines is the PCR fragment of the m-gfp5-ER gene. Binding sites of PCR primers gfpr and gpf are shown as black rectangles, their positions are indicated immediately above the m-gfp5-ER gene, and the predicted product size (816 bp) from gfpr and gpf is shown as a double-headed arrow.
PCR analysis of DNA isolated from transgenic cell lines. Lane M: 1-Kb DNA molecular markers (Gibco-BRL); lane P: pINDEX3-m-gfp5-ER plasmid control; lane C: non-transgenic cells control; lanes 1-8: transgenic cell lines COT (lanes 1 and 2), FRA (lanes 3 and 4), NOR (lanes 5 and 6), and RIC (lanes 7 and 8), respectively. The presence of the 816-bp band amplified by primers gfpf and gfpr from templates obtained from transgenic cell lines and absence of the 816-bp band amplified by primers gfpf and gfpr from templates obtained from non-transformed control cells indicate that the T-DNA is integrated into the pine genome.

**Dexamethasone-inducible gfp expression in transgenic cells**

Four transgenic cell lines (COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC, each of them with a single copy of transgene) were used to test inducible gene expression by different concentrations of dexamethasone (1, 5, and 10 mg/L, respectively). After transgenic cell lines were transferred to liquid medium containing 1, 5, and 10 mg/L dexamethasone, respectively, transgenic cell lines were observed every 4 h with fluorescence microscope in blue light. Inducible gfp activity was first observed at 4 h after treated by 10 mg/L dexamethasone in COT and RIC transgenic cell lines. These transgenic cell lines produced intense green fluorescence upon blue light excitation after 24 h on medium with 1, 5 or 10 mg/L dexamethasone. Expression of m-gfp5-ER was clearly visible at three concentrations of dexamethasone tested in all four transgenic cell lines. A sample of inducible gfp expression in all four transgenic cell lines 24 h after 5 mg/L dexamethasone treatment was shown as Figure 3. Induction was also analyzed at the RNA level by northern blot analysis (Figure 4). Among samples at 24, 48, and 72 h after treatment with dexamethasone, higher transcripts were observed from all four transgenic cell lines 48 h after treatment of 5 mg/L dexamethasone (Figure 4).

**Effect of dexamethasone-mediated induction on cell growth** was evaluated in transgenic cell lines. Transgenic cell lines (COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC) were sub-cultured weekly on liquid medium containing 0, 1, 5, and 10 mg/L, respectively. Transgenic...
Inducible Gene Expression

Fig. 4 Northern blot analysis of total RNA from transgenic cell lines. RNA (15 µg) was prepared as described in the experimental protocol section from transgenic cell lines COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC at 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h after treatment of 5 mg/L dexamethasone, respectively, and were hybridized (at 65°C) with the 816-bp m-gfp5-ER probe corresponding to the m-gfp5-ER gene. The integrity and the amount of RNA applied to each lane were verified by the control of 25S rRNA (lower panel).

cell cultures were harvested at 21 d after treatment with dexamethasone for measurement of fresh weight and dry weight. There are no significant differences in fresh and dry weight increase when 1 or 5 mg/L dexamethasone was applied for all four transgenic cell lines. The results demonstrated that cell growth was not inhibited by the addition of dexamethasone at 1 and 5 mg/L (Tables 1 and 2). However, 10 mg/L dexamethasone inhibited cell growth of FRA and NOR transgenic cell lines, but did not inhibit growth and development of COT and RIC transgenic cell lines (Tables 1 and 2). This reduction in growth is probably due to the fact that high levels of dexamethasone could potentially have toxic effects on transgenic cell cultures.

Quantitative analysis of inducible gfp expression

With the confocal microscopy, we have quantitatively determined dexamethasone-inducible transgene expression at different levels of inducer in COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC transgenic cell lines. Transgenic cell lines were sub-cultured on liquid medium with 1, 5, and 10 mg/L dexamethasone, respectively, for 24 h. Confocal images were taken by a LSM 510 Laser Scanning Microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, USA).

Table 1 Fresh Weight Increases of Transgenic Cell Lines (mg fresh weight/liter cell cultures/day)*

| Dexamethasone (mg/L) | COT | FRA | NOR | RIC |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0                   | 7.9±1.9a | 7.5±2.4a | 8.3±3.7a | 9.6±2.9a |
| 1                   | 7.8±2.1a | 7.1±2.5a | 7.9±2.5a | 9.5±2.8a |
| 5                   | 7.8±2.2a | 7.0±2.2a | 7.7±3.2a | 9.3±2.5a |
| 10                  | 7.6±1.8a | 5.1±1.5b | 5.3±1.7b | 9.2±2.4a |

*Fresh weight increases (mg fresh weight/liter cell cultures/day) of transgenic cell lines 21 days after treatment by different concentrations of dexamethasone. Data represent the mean ± SD. Values followed by different letters are significantly different (α=0.05) by ANOVA.

Table 2 Dry Weight Increases of Transgenic Cell Lines (mg dry weight/liter cell cultures/week)*

| Dexamethasone (mg/L) | COT | FRA | NOR | RIC |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0                   | 9.1±1.6a | 8.1±1.4a | 8.3±1.5a | 9.6±1.7a |
| 1                   | 8.9±1.4a | 8.0±1.5a | 8.2±1.2a | 9.5±1.8a |
| 5                   | 8.8±1.2a | 8.0±1.2a | 8.1±1.2a | 9.3±1.5a |
| 10                  | 8.7±1.3a | 6.6±1.3b | 6.5±1.0b | 9.2±1.4a |

*Dry weight increases (mg dry weight/liter cell cultures/week) of transgenic cell lines 21 days after treatment by different concentrations of dexamethasone. Data represent the mean ± SD. Values followed by different letters are significantly different (α=0.05) by ANOVA.

at different times (0, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 h, respectively) after treatment of dexamethasone, and an example was shown in Figure 5A-F. Quantitative fluorescence intensities (arbitrary unit) were determined from the confocal images. As shown in Figure 6, inducible m-gfp5-ER activities ranged between 52 (NOR transgenic cells at 1 mg/L dexamethasone) and 151 (RIC transgenic cells at 10 mg/L dexamethasone) arbitrary units of fluorescence intensity, with the higher fluorescence from transgenic cell lines on medium supplemented with 10 mg/L dexamethasone in all four transgenic cell lines (Figure 6). In the absence of dexamethasone, no m-gfp5-ER activity could be detected.

Dexamethasone-inducible gene expression was also quantitatively analyzed at different times (24, 48, and 72 h) after treatment with inducer in four transgenic cell lines, respectively. Transgenic cell lines (COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC) were transferred to medium containing 10 mg/L dexamethasone and cul-
Fig. 5 Confocal images of GFP expression in transgenic cell line RIC at 0 (A), 12 (B), 24 (C), 36 (D), 48 (E), and 72 (F) h at induction levels of 5 mg/L dexamethasone. No GFP fluorescence was detected in transgenic cell line without inducer.

Fig. 6 Quantitative analysis of GFP fluorescence from different transgenic cell lines COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC at different induction levels of dexamethasone (1, 5, and 10 mg/L), respectively. Quantitative fluorescence is expressed as intensity (arbitrary units). GFP fluorescence was detected 48 h after addition of inducer. No GFP fluorescence was detected in transgenic cell line without inducer. Experiments were repeated three times, and each replicate consisted of 30 cells. Values represent the means ± SD.

Fig. 7 Quantitative analysis of GFP fluorescence from different transgenic cell lines COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC at different times of induction (24, 48, and 72 h), respectively. Quantitative fluorescence is expressed as intensity (arbitrary units). Induction level of dexamethasone was 10 mg/L for all four transgenic cell lines. No GFP fluorescence was detected in transgenic cell line without inducer. Experiments were repeated three times, and each replicate consisted of 30 cells. Values represent the means ± SD.

Discussion

Inducible gene expression system would be useful in identifying functions of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) and novel genes produced from genomic research. Although different inducible gene expression systems are available (1–3, 27, 33), we have chosen the GVG system (9, 12) for dexamethasone-mediated transcriptional induction in four transgenic cell lines derived from different gymnosperm and angiosperm species. We used the m-gfp5-ER reporter gene (34) to test the inducible GVG system. The m-gfp5-ER reporter gene allows us to identify transgenic cells visually and to determine inducible gene expression quantitatively. In our study, four transgenic cell lines with one copy of m-gfp5-ER were used to analyze the dexamethasone-inducible gene expression. All four transgenic cell lines showed dexamethasone-inducible m-gfp5-ER activity 12 h after treatment. The fact that all cell lines were positive indicates that the organization of the T-DNA in the pINDEX3 vectors guarantees a high frequency of transgenic cell lines with an intact T-DNA insertion (12). Although different in-
ducible gene expressions were observed among transgenic cell lines, our results demonstrated that the widely used CaMV 35S promoter is a useful alternative for dexamethasone-inducible gene expression in transgenic cell lines derived from different plant species.

Confocal microscopy of transgenic cell lines showed that the 4UAS sequence upstream of the m-gfp5-ER is not recognized by native transcriptional activators within plant cells, because no m-gfp5-ER activities were detected in the absence of dexamethasone and differential gfp expressions were observed under different concentrations of dexamethasone. Our results demonstrated that expression of m-gfp5-ER gene was controlled tightly by dexamethasone. This is in agreement with the results of others in tobacco and Arabidopsis (1, 33). In the present investigation, we observed that 5 mg/L dexamethasone was already sufficient to obtain high levels of m-gfp5-ER induction (Figure 6). Although it has been reported that methylation of UAS sites can interfere with reporter-gene activation sites in transgenic tobacco plants (35), our results demonstrated that the use of the GVG system was not hampered by UAS methylation problems (9, 31, 33).

For determining the effects of dexamethasone on cell growth as reported in Kang et al (32), due to the nuclear localization of GVG after dexamethasone treatment, we quantitatively analyzed the fresh weight and dry weight increase of transgenic cells under different concentrations of dexamethasone (Tables 1 and 2). Our results demonstrated that no growth retardation occurred when 1 and 5 mg/L dexamethasone were used. However, decreased cell growth was observed in two transgenic cell lines (FRA and NOR) when 10 mg/L dexamethasone was applied (Tables 1 and 2). Higher concentrations of dexamethasone caused growth retardation in FRA and NOR transgenic cell cultures, but did not influence growth of COT and RIC cell cultures. The fact that the growth retardation derived from 10 mg/L dexamethasone was depended on cell lines demonstrated that optimum inducible gene expression systems varied among plant species. Therefore, selection of transgenic cell lines with a mild GVG phenotype in combination with optimized induction conditions makes this system suitable for different plant species (12). The system can nevertheless have useful applications and GVG effects can be minimized by careful adjustment of the induction conditions. Our results also demonstrated that quantitative analysis of GFP fluorescence based on confocal images of transgenic cells provided a reliable way to identify differentially inducible gene expression in transgenic plant cells.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid constructs

The pINDEX3 binary vector (12) was transferred into NovaBlue competent cells (Novagen, Madison, USA) following the NovaBlue Competent Cells Protocol. Plasmid pINDEX3 was prepared by Wizard Plus Minipreps DNA Purification System (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA), digested by SpeI and XhoI (Promega) at 37°C, respectively, and purified by QiAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, USA). The m-gfp5-ER fragments were amplified from plasmid pBINm-gfp5-ER (34, 36). The restriction enzyme SpeI and XhoI sites were introduced by PCR with Stratagene’s Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene, Cedar Creek, USA). For amplification of m-gfp5-ER, the forward primer 5′-aaacctggatgccttaaagactc-3′ and the reverse primer 5′-cttatgtttgtacccatcgatc-3′ (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, USA) were used. A total of 200 ng of plasmid DNA was used as a template in a 50-µL PCR reaction mix. The PCR reaction was programmed as described in Tang et al (37). PCR products were digested by SpeI and XhoI (Promega) at 37°C, respectively, and purified by QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit. Ligation of digested and purified insert (30 ng) and vector (90 ng) was conducted in 20 µL volume with 2.5 U T4 DNA Ligase (Roche Applied Science, Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, USA) at 16°C for 16 h. 1 µL of ligation products was used to transform 20 µL NovaBlue competent cells. Plasmid pINDEX3-m-gfp5-ER prepared by Wizard Plus Minipreps DNA Purification System was introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 competent cells by electroporation (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, USA).

Transformation of cultured cells and dexamethasone treatments

Cell cultures were prepared as described in Tang et al (38). Cell cultures of Fraser fir and Nordmann fir were used for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation as previously described (37). Cell cultures of cotton were used for Agrobacterium-mediated
transformation as previously described by Sunilkumar and Rathore (39). Cell cultures of rice were used for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation as previously described (40, 41). Transformation experiments were done three days after cell suspension cultures were transferred to fresh liquid medium. Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 grown to an optical density (OD\textsubscript{600 nm} = 0.8–1.0) in 3 mL of YEP broth (42), were centrifuged and re-suspended in liquid medium, then used to infect plant cells for 15 min. The infected plant cells were co-cultivated for 2 d. Agrobacterium was removed from plant cell cultures by washing with 500 mg/L Timentin (ticarcillin/clavulanic acid 3:0.1, SmithKline Beecham, Philadelphia, USA) solution for 15 min. The plant cell cultures were then transferred to fresh liquid medium containing 500 mg/L Timentin and subcultured every three days for 10 times. Cells derived from transformed cell suspension cultures were incubated in 150 rpm shaker in the dark at room temperature (25°C). After five weeks, putative transformants were transferred to a fresh hygromycin-containing medium. After six weeks, the cultures were actively producing 50-100 mg of tissue in 1 L cultures each week, and they were then used to prepare DNA for PCR and Southern blot analysis.

Transgenic cell lines (COT, FRA, NOR, and RIC) confirmed by PCR and Southern blot analysis were used for inducible gene expression experiments. Transgenic cell lines were grown in liquid medium for 3 d, and were then transferred into fresh liquid medium supplemented with different concentration of dexamethasone (1, 5, and 10 mg/L, respectively) for 24 h. Dexamethasone (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO, USA) was stored as 100 mM solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at –20°C. This system (excitation filter 480 nm; dichroic mirror X-100, 15 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}). The PCR conditions were followed with a final incubation of 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1.1% agarose gels at 25 s, 57°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 90 s. Cycling was followed with a final incubation of 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1.1% agarose gels in 1× TAE buffer (42) and were detected by UV light after staining with 0.1% ethidium bromide. A molecular marker of 1-Kb (Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, USA) was used. Southern blot analysis was conducted as previously described (37).

RNA isolation and northern blot analysis
Total RNA was isolated from 2 g transgenic cell cultures using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Electrophoresis and northern blotting of RNAs were performed as described by Tang and Tian (44). Baked blots were pre-hybridized in 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS, 10% dextran sulphate and 50 μg/mL denatured herring sperm DNA at 64°C, washed with 0.1× SSPE (1× SSPE is 180 mM NaCl, 10 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 1 mM EDTA, pH 6.5), 0.5% SDS at 45°C, and graphed. Digoxigenin (DIG)-Labelling m-gfp5-ER DNA (816 pb; Roche) was used as hybridization probes. Equal loading of RNA samples was verified on the control of 25S rRNA.

Qualitative and quantitative analysis of inducible gfp expression
Transgenic cells was observed with a stereo dissecting microscope equipped with a fluorescence module consisting of a 100-W mercury lamp and GFP Plus excitation and emission filters (Leica, Heerbrugg, Switzerland). This system (excitation filter 480 nm; dichroic mirror 505 nm LP; barrier filter 510 nm LP) permits visualization of GFP following excitation by blue light. Transgenic cells treated with different concentrations of dexamethasone and at different times of induction were observed. For quantitative fluorescence...
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