Helimagnon bands as universal excitations of chiral magnets
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I. INTRODUCTION

The spontaneous breaking of a continuous symmetry in a magnetically ordered state implies the existence of Goldstone modes. Thus, the low-energy spin-wave dispersions of ferromagnets and commensurate antiferromagnets are universal—they can be deduced from simple symmetry arguments and the description does not depend on microscopic details. In ferromagnets, where the order parameter is a conserved quantity, the resulting spin waves have a quadratic dispersion while in antiferromagnets the superposition of the normal modes of the sublattices leads to the well-known linear dispersion at low energies.

In recent years complex forms of magnetic order related to weak chiral interactions of noncentrosymmetric systems, also referred to as Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya (DM) interaction attract great interest. Systems in which DM interactions play an important role include multiferroics, the parent compounds of the high-temperature superconductors, thin magnetic films (Mn on a W substrate, or Fe on a Ir substrate), heavy-fermion superconductors and even itinerant-electron magnets such as MnSi, which displays a skyrmion lattice at ambient pressure, and an extended non-Fermi liquid (NFL) resistivity at high pressures. As DM interactions are of growing importance in noncentrosymmetric systems this raises the question for any universal properties of the spin excitations in systems with weak chiral interactions. Helimagnetic order is very well established in systems with magnetic frustration such as rare-earth elements such as Tb or Ho. An excellent experimental and theoretical account has been given by Jensen and Mackintosh but excitation spectra perpendicular to the magnetic propagation vector have not been studied before. In addition it has long been appreciated that helimagnetic order due to frustration differs fundamentally from helimagnetic order due to DM interactions. The work on frustrated magnets has been contrasted by studies of the tetragonal system Ba₂CuGe₂O₇, in which the influence of DM interactions on the excitation spectra has been addressed. However, Ba₂CuGe₂O₇ orders antiferromagnetically on local length scales. Due to the much stiffer spectrum of an antiferromagnet compared to a ferromagnet, the effects of DM interactions in a helical antiferromagnet are therefore substantially weaker and qualitatively different as compared to a helical ferromagnet such as MnSi. Moreover, the helimagnetic order in Ba₂CuGe₂O₇ is distorted and shows higher-order harmonic contributions of at least 20 %.

We have therefore decided to study the perhaps simplest example for the effects of DM interactions, notably cubic chiral helimagnets, where the uniform magnetization rotates slowly around an axis with a characteristic wave vector $\mathbf{k}_h$. As illustrated in Fig. 1, a helical state is essentially a ferromagnet on short length scales and an antiferromagnet for long distances. In fact, from a more general viewpoint, all...
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The periodicity $\lambda_h \approx 180$ Å of the helix results from the
competition of ferromagnetic-exchange interactions, as the
strongest scale, and DM interactions as a manifestation of
weak spin-orbit coupling in crystal structures without inver-
sion center, on an intermediate scale.23,24 The propagation
direction of the spin spiral is finally locked to the cubic space
diagonal through very small crystal-field interactions, pro-
viding the weakest scale. In comparison with the helical
modulation, the Fermi wave vector is large $k_F \approx 0.7$ Å$^{-1}$

MnSi has recently attracted great interest as the perhaps
best candidate displaying a genuine NFL metallic state in a
three-dimensional metal at high pressure.14,15,25 Moreover,
based on neutron scattering and $\mu$-SR at high pressures,26,27
it has been suggested that the NFL behavior may be related
to spin textures with nontrivial topology.28–31 In fact, a skyr-
mion lattice, was recently identified unambiguously at ambien-
t pressure in a small phase pocket just below $T_m$, which is
believed to be stabilized by thermal fluctuations.13 To resolve
the origin of these exciting properties it has become of great
importance in its own right to establish at first a full account
of the normal helimagnetic state and its spectrum of excita-
tions. This has also inspired the experimental study presented
here.

The three different energy scales governing the physics of
the helimagnetic state of MnSi result in four different re-
gimes for spin excitations. As a function of increasing mo-
mentum of the excitations these regimes may be summarized
as follows. For the smallest momenta $q$ one explores the anti-
ferromagnetic limit, $q \ll k_p$, where a linear spectrum of spin
waves is expected. Here $q$ is the wave vector measured from
the ordering vector $k_h$. The wavelength $\lambda_{\text{h}}$ of the helix is ex-
pected to be of the order of $k_h^2 / k_p$ and therefore extremely
tiny. The antiferromagnetic limit is followed by the heli-
magnetic regime for $k_p \ll q \ll k_h$, where the pinning of the helix can be neglected. Here the universal properties are
predicted to consist of a dispersion similar to an antiferromag-
net, $\omega \approx |q|^n$ with $n = 1$ for propagation parallel to $k_h$ whereas
in the transverse direction the spectrum is quadratic with $n
= 2$ characteristic of a ferromagnet.21,32 Further increasing the
momenta leads to the cross over between the helimagnetic
regime and ferromagnetic limit, $k_p \ll q \ll k_h$, with $k_c \approx k_F$ deep
in the magnetic phase. The magnetic structure is now probed
on a length scale short compared to its pitch and a spectrum
reminiscent of a ferromagnet may be expected because the
system locally appears to be a ferromagnetically aligned.
However, as shown below this expectation differs dramati-
cally from the observed behavior. Instead of ferromagnetic
magnons multiple helimagnon bands are excited, which may
be regarded as a new universal property of magnetic materi-
als. Finally, for the largest momenta, $q \approx k_h$, the ferromag-
netic spin waves cross over into the Stoner continuum as
established experimentally in a large number of systems. The
properties depend now on details of the Fermi surface, i.e.,
they are no longer universal.

Several pioneering inelastic neutron scattering studies have
been carried out in MnSi. In the mid 1970s Ishikawa et al.33
established for the first time in any magnetic metal the
existence of paramagnon fluctuations over major portions of

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Depiction of a low-energy spin wave
excitation of a ferromagnet with wavelength $\lambda_m$. The spins precess
around the uniform magnetization. [(b) and (c)] Sketch of a similar
excitation in the case of a helimagnet with a momentum, $q$, parallel
to the propagation vector of the helix, $k_h$. The wavelength $\lambda_{\text{h}}$ (b) is
smaller or (c) much larger than the pitch of the helix $\lambda_{\text{h}} \approx \frac{2\pi}{k_c}$. Note
that only for this parallel configuration the excitations of the ferro-
magnet and the helimagnet are very similar for short $\lambda_{\text{h}}$. (d) Recip-
ciprocal space map around the nuclear (110) Bragg peak. The loca-
tions of the magnetic Bragg satellites $k_1$ to $k_4$ associated with the
different helimagnet order are shown as color coded throughout the text.
Here the actual positions of the satellites for the domains $k_2$ and $k_3$ are out of the scattering plane
and only their projection onto the image plane is shown as empha-
sized by the open symbols. The locations at which the spin excita-
tions were measured are shown by the black open symbols. They
may be grouped along three trajectories, where the resolution ellip-
soids are shown in gray shading. Note that all of the spectra re-
corded may be simultaneously accounted for by the model de-
scribed in the text using just one parameter (the intensity).

of complex order can be interpreted as a superposition of
helimagnetic order.20 In cases where the pitch of the helix is
much larger than the lattice spacing, $\lambda_h \gg a$, a helix can
become remarkably stable against crystalline anisotropies.
The Goldstone theorem ensures that the excitations of an
incommensurate helimagnet are gapless (in case the heli-
magnet is commensurate the gap is exponentially small).21,22 In
this paper we report, that the spin excitations for a helix with
a long pitch display an universal property: the formation of
strongly coupled bands of helimagnons.

The B20 compound MnSi is ideally suited to study the
collective spin excitations of helimagnets due to DM inter-
actions experimentally because the magnetic properties re-
sult from a clear separation of energy scales in a metallic host.
MnSi crystallizes in the noncentrosymmetric-cubic space group $P2_13$ ($a = 4.558$ Å). Below $T_c = 29.5$ K and in
zero magnetic field a long-wavelength spin spiral with the
spins perpendicular to the propagation direction stabilizes.
the Brillouin zone. They further observed ferromagnetic spin waves when suppressing the helimagnetic state in an applied magnetic field. More recently polarized inelastic neutron scattering established that the spin fluctuations in the paramagnetic state are chiral and the existence of spin-flip excitations in a small applied field. The magnetic phase diagram of MnSi is remarkably rich. For small magnetic fields between 0.05 and 0.15 T, a reorientation transition takes place and the helimagnetic modulation aligns with the applied field to form the so-called conical phase. The helical modulation is suppressed for fields above ~0.6 T. Finally, a small phase pocket just below \( T_c \) has been identified recently as the first example of a skyrmion lattice, thereby demonstrating the inherent instability of the helical order to stabilize nontrivial spin textures in small magnetic fields.

For a comprehensive understanding it is consequently important to measure the spin excitations in the pristine (zero-field cooled) helical state. This has not been attempted before since four equally populated domains form, all of which contribute to the full spectrum of the spin excitations, as described below. In the following we denote these domains as follows: domain 1 corresponds to \( \mathbf{k}_1 \| (1,1,1) \), domain 2 corresponds to \( \mathbf{k}_2 \| (1,1,1) \), domain 3 corresponds to \( \mathbf{k}_3 \| (1,1,1) \), and domain 4 corresponds to \( \mathbf{k}_4 \| (1,1,1) \) (cf. Fig. 1). We confirmed that the domains are equally populated in our measurements.

The experimental study of the excitation spectra of the helimagnetic state are also extremely challenging because of the excitations for even smaller momenta deep in the helimagnetic regime and the antiferromagnetic limit described here we focus on the crossover between the ferromagnetic and the antiferromagnetic state. Summarized in Fig. 1
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III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

A large single crystal of ~8 cm³ grown by the Bridgman method was studied. The paramagnetic spin fluctuations of the same single crystal were reported previously in Ref. 34. The single crystal displayed a lattice mosaic of the order 0.5°. The specific heat, susceptibility, and resistivity of small pieces taken from this large single crystal were in excellent agreement with the literature, where the residual resistivity ratio was on the order of 100. The latter indicates good, though not excellent sample purity.

The bulk of our studies was carried out on the cold triple-axis spectrometer TASP at the Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI) (Ref. 37) with a few supplementary measurements on PANDA at the Forschungsinstitutenequipe Heinz Maier-Leibnitz (FRM II). For the experiment the single crystal was cooled with an ILL-type orange He cryostat. The sample was oriented with the [1,1,0] and [0,0,1] crystallographic directions in the scattering plane. In order to avoid second-order contamination of the neutron beam a beryllium filter was inserted between the sample and the analyzer.

The experiments were performed around the nuclear Bragg reflection (1,1,0) taking advantage of the large magnetic structure factor of the corresponding magnetic Bragg reflections [cf. Ref. 33 and Fig. 1(c)]. Two different setups were used. For setup I the triple-axis spectrometer was operated with fixed final wave vector \( k_f = 1.2 \text{ Å}^{-1} \). Additionally 20° Soller collimators were installed in the incident beam and in front of the analyzer whereas 40° collimators were used in front of the detector. In setup II we used \( k_f = 1.4 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) and a collimation setting: open-40°-MnSi-40°–80°. The energy resolution in setups I and II was approximately 40 µeV and 90 µeV, respectively. The corresponding \( Q \) resolution is depicted in Fig. 1. It is important to emphasize that the resolution achieved was about the best currently available.

In order to compare the model with the experimental results the theoretical scattering function \( S(\mathbf{Q},\omega) \) was convoluted with the resolution function of the triple-axis spectrometers we had used. For the fits presented in this paper a program called TASRESFIT (Ref. 40) has been developed in PYTHON to perform the convolution of the spectrometer resolution with the theoretical cross section by means of Monte Carlo integration.

Finally, some of the energy scans measured during the course of our experiments were contaminated by spurious scattering that was effectively caused by neutrons that were scattered incoherently from the monochromator crystals of the used triple-axis spectrometer [see, e.g., open symbols Fig. 2(f) at \( E = -0.4 \text{ meV} \)]. When the spectrometer is set to a nonzero energy transfer, i.e., \( |\mathbf{k}_f| \neq |\mathbf{k}_i| \) but the angle between \( \mathbf{k}_i \) and \( \mathbf{k}_f \) as well as the orientation of the sample correspond to a geometry that allows for Bragg scattering from the sample, the incoherently scattered neutrons from the monochromator that have a wave vector \( |\mathbf{k}_{inc}| = |\mathbf{k}_f| \) lead to the observation of accidental Bragg scattering. This type of spurious scattering is well known as Currat-Axe peaks in the literature. For the analysis we considered only scans where the spurious scattering could be separated well from the inelastic signal.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

All scans shown in the following were performed at a temperature of 20 K. This way the intensity was strong while still being significantly below \( T_c \) deep inside the helical phase. Summarized in Fig. 1(d) are the locations in reciprocal space where we have recorded excitation spectra. A total of 24 spectra were recorded. To cover the regime of interest near the magnetic ordering wave vector, we have mostly car-
FIG. 2. (Color online) Typical constant-$Q$ scans at selected locations of the three trajectories shown in Fig. 1. Note that the energy and momentum resolution is at the technical limit currently available. The strong elastic peak at 0 meV is due to incoherent scattering. The inset in each panel shows the precise location in reciprocal space as a black spot where data were recorded. The curves represent the intensity calculated in the model described in the text, where all data are accounted for by the same values of the ferromagnetic spin-wave stiffness, the helical wavelength and the intensity. [(a) and (b)] Data for the trajectory with $q \parallel k_1$; these scans are dominated by a very broad maximum. [(c) and (d)] Data for $q \perp k_1$; these scans show very broad, essentially featureless intensity that decreases for increasing energy. [(e) and (f)] Data for an arbitrary trajectory emanating from $k_1$; data for this trajectory are characterized by almost featureless intensity over an extremely wide range of energies and a distinct peak in a small range. The open data points below ~0.4 meV in (f) represent a spurious signal that arises from additional incoherent scattering of neutrons from the monochromator crystals of the triple-axis spectrometer.
ing small momenta, \( q < 0.3 \, \text{Å}^{-1} \), the low-energy excitations of the ferromagnet in the absence of spin-orbit interactions are described with high precision by a simple quadratic dispersion \( E_{\text{FM}} = c q^2 \). At the small wave vector relevant for our experiment the damping of those modes may be neglected.

Accordingly, the starting point of our theoretical description is a rotationally invariant nonlinear \( \sigma \) model (including the appropriate Berry-phase term) to describe the low-energy excitations of this ferromagnet. Following Refs. 42 and 43, we add to this model the leading spin-orbit coupling effect, which is given by the rotationally invariant DM interaction \( S_{\text{DM}} = g \mathbf{J} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{P}) \). Being linear in momentum, the DM interactions necessarily lead to an instability of the ferromagnet and stabilize a chiral helix of the form

\[
\Phi_b(x) = \hat{n}_1 \cos(\mathbf{k}_h \cdot x) + \hat{n}_2 \sin(\mathbf{k}_h \cdot x),
\]

where the unit vectors \( \hat{n}_1 \) and \( \hat{n}_2 \) are perpendicular to each other and to the ordering vector \( \mathbf{k}_h \). In our MnSi crystals the sign of \( g \) is such that \( \hat{n}_1, \hat{n}_2, \) and \( \mathbf{k}_h \) define a left-handed coordinate system. Corrections to Eq. (1) are small as they arise only from tiny forth-order terms in the weak spin-orbit coupling neglected in our calculation. In a ferromagnetic state these corrections lead to the formation of a gap. However, due to the spontaneously broken translation invariance and the Goldstone theorem there cannot be a gap for an incommensurate helimagnet. The only effect of the higher-order spin-orbit coupling terms is a modification of the spin wave spectrum for momenta much smaller than \( k_h \ll |\mathbf{k}_h| \), i.e., far below the experimental resolution available to date.

To describe the spin excitations of the helix we expand \( \Phi \) around the mean field, \( \Phi = \Phi_b + \delta \Phi \). As discussed below the physical situation becomes transparent by using a comoving coordinate system, where the order parameter at point \( x \) is rotated by the angle \( \mathbf{k}_h \cdot x \) around the \( \mathbf{k}_h \) axis using the space-dependent rotation matrix \( R \) with \( \Phi^{\prime} = R \Phi \). In the comoving coordinate system the helix is mapped on a ferromagnetic solution \( \Phi^\prime = \pi \hat{n}_1 \). Using length and energy units such that \( |\mathbf{k}_h| = 1 \) and \( E_{\text{FM}} = E_{\text{m}} = c k_h^2 = 1 \), all free parameters are fixed.

The long wavelength of the helix with the crystallographic lattice (which breaks translation invariance), implies a tiny magnetic Brillouin zone and the formation of an abundance of bands. For the further discussion it is helpful to split the momentum \( \mathbf{q}_h \parallel \mathbf{k}_h \) into \( \mathbf{q}_h = n \mathbf{k}_h + q_h \) introducing a band index \( n \) while using \( -k_h/2 < q_h \ll k_h/2 \). Denoting by \( \mathbf{q} \) both \( q_h \) and \( q_\parallel \), the Gaussian fluctuations around the mean field are described by the action

\[
S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,n', \mathbf{q}, \omega_n} \partial \Phi_n^\dagger(\mathbf{q}, \omega_n) M_{n,n'}(\mathbf{q}, i\omega_n) \partial \Phi_n'(\mathbf{q}, \omega_n),
\]

where each entry of \( M_{n,n'} \) is a two by two matrix describing the fluctuations in two spin directions perpendicular to the mean field \( \Phi_b \). For a ferromagnet one needs only a single matrix

\[
M_{\text{FM}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & i \omega \\ -i \omega & q^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

From the condition \( \det M = 0 \) one obtains the well-known dispersion, \( \omega = q^2 / 2 \) of a ferromagnet.

The fluctuations around the helimagnetic state are in contrast described by an infinite-dimensional matrix, where the DM interaction yields two changes with respect to the ferromagnetic state. First, one obtains an additional “1” in the diagonal entries \( M_{n,n}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \), which are given by

\[
M_{n,n}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & i \omega \\ -i \omega & 1 + q^2 \end{pmatrix},
\]

with \( q^2 = q_\parallel^2 + (q_x + n)^2 \). The matrix describes that a uniform rotation of the magnetization around the \( \mathbf{k}_h \) axis does not cost any energy while energy is needed for the other direction. Second, one obtains nonvanishing off-diagonal matrix elements given by

\[
M_{n,n \pm 1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i q_\parallel \\ i q_\parallel & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( q_\perp = q_y \pm i q_z \), for a helix oriented in \( z \) direction. The fact that only neighboring blocks are connected can be traced back to the observation that the chiral helix in Eq. (1) contains no higher-order Fourier components. This is an exact relation as long as higher-order spin-orbit coupling terms are neglected (see Sec. V). The simple matrix defined by Eqs. (4) and (5) leads to the physics explained below and describes the neutron-scattering experiments with high precision and no other parameters besides the (measured) pitch of the helix, the measured ferromagnetic spin-wave stiffness and the overall intensity as the only free parameter.

V. DISCUSSION

To compare the calculated spectra with the neutron-scattering results, one determines the momentum-diagonal \( 3 \times 3 \) susceptibility matrix \( \chi_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega) \propto \langle \delta \Phi \delta \Phi^\dagger \rangle_{\mathbf{q}, \omega} \) by inverting first the matrix \( M \) and undoing the basis change due to the rotation \( R \) defined above. The basis change implies that one has to calculate a convolution of \( \langle \delta \Phi^\prime \delta \Phi^\dagger \rangle \) and the rotation matrices \( R \). As \( R \) oscillates with wave vector \( \mathbf{k}_h \), this has the consequence that momenta \( \pm \mathbf{k}_h \) are added when momenta in the comoving frame are transformed back to the physical frame of reference. The scattering cross section for neutrons with a given momentum transfer \( \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{q} \) close to a reciprocal lattice vector \( \mathbf{G} \) of the MnSi crystalline lattice is proportional to \( [1 + n_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega)] \text{Im}[\text{Tr} [\chi_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega + i0)] - \langle \mathbf{Q} \chi_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega + i0) \langle \mathbf{Q} \rangle] \), where \( n_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega) = 1 / (e^{E_{\mathbf{q}} / T} - 1) \) is the Bose function and where we have taken into account, that the neutrons couple only to the component of \( \chi \) perpendicular to \( \mathbf{Q} \). Within the Gaussian approximation one obtains sharp modes. For a comparison with experiment these theoretical results are convoluted by the experimental resolution.

We first consider the excitations with vanishing momentum perpendicular to the propagation vector, \( \mathbf{q}_\perp = 0 \), predicted in this model. In this limit all off-diagonal matrices vanish and one obtains a single mode with the dispersion relation \( \omega = c q_{\parallel} / \sqrt{k_h^2 + q_\parallel^2} \), well known from the physics of Bose condensed atoms. It describes the crossover from a mode with linear dispersion at small momentum to a ferromagnetic mode with quadratic dispersion. This crossover is also
manifest in the eigenmodes as illustrated in Fig. 1. In the ferromagnetic limit \( k \gg q_0 \gg k_h \), the magnetization displays the typical precession [Fig. 1(b)] while it oscillates only perpendicular to \( q_0 \) for \( q_0 \ll k_h \) [Fig. 1(c)].

By transforming back to the physical coordinate system, one realizes that generically three copies of this mode can be observed in neutron scattering with unpolarized neutrons as shown in Fig. 3(a). Besides the two copies with minima at \( \pm k_h \) one also obtains generically a mode directly at the Bragg spot with zero momentum. However, in contrast to the dispersion of a ferromagnetic mode of identical spin stiffness \( c \) shown as red solid line, in the helimagnetic case the intensity vanishes for \( q \rightarrow 0 \). The fact that only three modes rather than a large number of bands can be observed, originates in the special symmetry of the helical state: a translation of the helix can be compensated by an appropriate rotation of the spins. Theoretically this property has actually long been known. Only higher-order spin-orbit coupling terms which break this symmetry may lead to the excitation of further modes, however, with a tiny weight.

The more important second example, which reveals an entirely unexpected property, concerns spectra for finite perpendicular momentum as shown in Fig. 3(b). Here the off-diagonal terms in Eq. (5) lead to a mixing of the modes. For vanishing \( q_0 \) and \( q_0 \ll k_h \) one obtains\(^{31,32} \)

\[
E(q) = \sqrt{3/8} c q^2 \]

which can, however, not be observed directly within our experimental resolution. In the limit \( q_0 \gg k_h \) one finds that more and more modes are excited. Mapping the matrix to a harmonic oscillator on a lattice (see, e.g., Ref. 45) one finds that typically of the order of \( \sqrt{q_0/k_h} \) bands are excited with equally spaced energies

\[
E_n(q) = c \left[ q_\perp^2 - 2k_b q_\perp + 2 \sqrt{q_\perp^2} (n + \frac{1}{2}) \right],
\]

\[ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \sqrt{q_\perp/k_b} \quad (6) \]

Here two aspects are remarkable. First, for increasing perpendicular momentum an increasing number of bands are excited. Second, the dispersion is essentially independent of \( q_0 \) for \( q_0 < \sqrt{q_\perp/k_b} \).
In Fig. 3(b) we plot the corresponding dispersion as a function of \( q_1 \) for \( q_\perp=4k_0 \) with \( c \) and \( k_0 \) given above. For clarity we consider only the domain associated with \( k_1 \). The transverse momentum strongly couples to the ferromagnetic branches (red solid lines), \( \omega(q)=c[(q_1+mk_0)^2+q_1^2] \) with \( m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) shifted by multiples of the reciprocal lattice vector \( k_0 \) of the magnetic Brillouin zone. As the DM interactions grows linearly with \( q \), more an more modes are coupled by Umklapp scattering when \( q_\perp \) increases. As described by Eq. (6) the repeated Umklapp scattering prohibits the motion of the spin excitations parallel to \( k_0 \) and leads to a very flat dispersion for \( q_1<\sqrt{q_1^2+k_0^2} \).

We finally illustrate in Fig. 4 the full complexity of the calculated helimagnon bands as probed experimentally by the two trajectories in Fig. 1. Here the area of the calculated dots is proportional to the intensity and the color coding refers to the relevant domain. The gray shaded bars show the location and parameter range of our measurements, where the width of the gray bars represents the resolution of our measurements. Using this approach we are able to account for the entire set of very broad and extraordinarily rich spectra measured (note the very different energy dependences in Fig. 2) without any further adjustments of parameters.

VI. CONCLUSION

In many magnetic materials excitation spectra like those observed here may be interpreted by invoking a host of non-universal properties. For instance, spin-orbit coupling and dipolar interactions cause magnetic anisotropies and the formation of energy gaps. Moreover, scattering from impurities and crystalline defects, the coupling to the particle-hole continuum in metals, magnon-phonon, and magnon-magnon interactions may lead (in combination with spin-orbit interactions) to a substantial damping of the magnetic excitations.

In summary, we report on an inelastic neutron scattering study of the perhaps simplest manifestation of weak chiral interactions: the helimagnetic order in the cubic B20 compound MnSi. The excellent quantitative account we achieve establishes the existence of a new universal property of the spin excitations: the formation of a large number of dispersive bands of helimagnons. Our study is also important for the theoretical description of the skyrmion lattice in MnSi which turns out to be stabilized relative to a conical phase only by thermal fluctuations. Therefore it is important to establish that a quantitative description of the fluctuations spectrum can be obtained in this material. We expect that similar phenomena will be relevant for a broad range of materials where long wavelength or incommensurate magnetic order lead to strong Umklapp scattering and the formation of multiple bands.
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