Survivality and Growth of Fry of Indian Major Carps Reared in Treated Effluents of PSPD, Bhadrachalam

T. Suguna*

Fisheries Research Station, S.V. Veterinary University, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author

Abstract

ITC paper boards and speciality papers division located at Sarapaka, Bhadrachalam, Khammam, Telangana is among the leading names in the business worldwide and largest manufacturer of paper, paper boards and bleached pulp in south Asia. The waste water generated in the process is treated chemically and biologically before discharge for irrigation. The survivability and growth of fry of Indian major carps (Catla catla, Labeo rohita and Cirrhinus mrigala) reared in treated effluents of PSPD, Bhadrachalam is evaluated. Fry are stocked in the ratio of 1:4:2 @ 3000/acre and fed with mixture of rice bran and groundnut cake. A significant enhancement in survivability and growth performance was noticed in the treated effluents, exhibiting their nutritive nature. Comparatively the growth rate of catla is higher due to abundance of zooplankton which is its choice food. Water quality parameters of treated effluents were conducive for fish rearing.
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Introduction

Industrial untreated waste water represents a heavy source of environmental pollution. It effects both the water quality as well as the microbial and aquatic flora. Industrial effluents are characterized by their abnormal water quality. Process water in paper and board mills contains a lot of sugars and lignocelluloses, which support the growth of bacteria, mold and some yeast. Effluents from fertilizer plants contain a higher concentration of potentially toxic wastes rich in Ammonia – nitrogen, urea, nitrate-nitrogen orthophosphate – phosphorous which support the growth of algae, yeast and cyanobacteria, cellulolyte bacteria such as Klebsiella pneumonia and Enterobacter have been isolated from spent water from the paper and pulp industries. The occurrence of these microbes in the effluents lead to excessive oxygen demand loading and also disturb the ecological equilibrium of the receiving waters with much loss of aquatic life and exosystem.
Industrialization is the index of modernization, which leads to alteration in the physical, chemical and biological properties of environment. Waste water from industries has been tried by several researchers for irrigation. Irrigation of paper mills effluents has shown encouraging results on Oats and Orchard grass (Hashimoto and Yokato, 1965) on paddy, wheat and groundnut (Khammad and Ketkar, 1969) Hansen et al., (1980) observed that irrigation with effluent is superior than the freshwater in respect of yield of sugarcane and plant characters. Whereas Somashekar et al., (1984) stated that the effluents not only contain nutrients that enhance the growth of crop plant but also have other toxic materials that reduces crop growth and have inhibiting soil characteristics.

Carp culture is contributing it’s lion’s share in freshwater fish production (J.K. Jena and Das, P.C. 2007) and meeting the demand of ever encouraging population. Pokhrel et al., (2004) stated that the pulp and paper production generates significantly large amounts of pollutants characterized by high concentration of suspended solids, chemical oxygen demand, toxicity and biological oxygen demand. The pollutants discharge from the paper industry affects aquatic and land ecosystems. Many studies reported toxic affects of untreated effluents of pulp and paper industrial waste waters (Schnell, A., Munkihrick, K.R. and Haley et al., 1985). Industries play important role in economic development of the country, if their waste water was treated appropriately, can be used for fish culture (Ujjania, N.C. et al., 2018).

In view of the conflicts on impact of effluents, a study was carried out on the treated effluents of Paperboard and Speciality Paper Division (PSPD), Bhadrachalam, Telangana to assess the suitability of the effluents on the earlier stages i.e. fry of Indian major carps.

**Materials and Methods**

Fry of 2.5 cm and 0.8 gms were stocked at the rate of 3000 / acre in Godavari water (control) and treated effluents in ponds at PSPD, ITC, Bhadrachalamin in the ratio of 1:4:2 and reared for three months.

Depth of water was maintained 0.75 – 1.0 m. Fertilization of ponds (0.085 ha) was not done. Mixture of rice bran and ground nut in 1:1.5 ratio is served as feed, as per the body weight @8-10 %, 6-8 % and 4-6 % in due monthly course, respectively.

The elemental analysis and water quality parameters of the treated effluents are done by standard methods as per the Manuel of MITCON, 2003, Shinde et al., 1997 and recorded regularly. The qualitative plankton analysis and growth performance are recorded fortnightly. The rate of survivality are recorded periodically. The fingerlings are Harvested after 3 months.

**Results and Discussion**

The fry of the Indian major carps reared in treated effluents were healthy without any sign of mortality and infection. The analytical results such as physico chemical, biological and elemental analysis of the treated effluents are as follows:

Comparatively the color of effluent was dark brown and smelling like rotten egg / sulphurous (Fig. 1, 2, 3). The pH of effluent ranged from 7.2 – 8.1. The effluent was slightly alkaline with TDS and Sulphate contents. The remaining parameters were present in optimum levels (Table 1). The elements, Na, K, Ca, Mg, and Mn were in lower concentrations where as, heavy metals like Cd, Pb, Ni, Hg, Cr, Co and Ag were not detectable (Table 2).
### Table 1: Physico chemical analysis of PSPD treated effluents

| Sl. No. | Factor                        | Values                                      |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1.      | Colour                        | Dark brown                                  |
| 2.      | Odour                         | Sulphurous / smelling like rotten egg.      |
| 3.      | Temperature °C                | 24 – 36 °C                                  |
| 4.      | pH                            | 7.2 – 8.2                                   |
| 5.      | Conductivity (dsm⁻¹ / cm)     | 2.1                                         |
| 6.      | Alkalinity (mg L⁻¹)           | 256                                         |
| 7.      | Total hardness (mg L⁻¹)       | 352                                         |
| 8.      | Total suspended solids (mg L⁻¹) | 81                                          |
| 9.      | Total Dissolved solids (Mg L⁻¹) | 1052                                      |
| 10.     | Dissolved Oxygen (Mg L⁻¹)     | 1.9 – 4.5                                   |
| 11.     | COD (Mg L⁻¹)                  | 152                                         |
| 12.     | BOD (Mg L⁻¹)                  | 23                                          |
| 13.     | Chlorides as CL (Mg L⁻¹)      | 158                                         |
| 14.     | Sulphates as So₄ (Mg L⁻¹)     | 580                                         |
| 15.     | Phosphorous (Mg L⁻¹)          | 1.8                                         |

### Table 2: Elemental analysis of PSPD treated effluents

| Sl. No. | Element | Concentrations (Mg ML⁻¹) |
|---------|---------|--------------------------|
| 1.      | K       | 23.81                    |
| 2.      | Ca      | 127.05                   |
| 3.      | Mg      | 21.53                    |
| 4.      | Fe      | 1.14                     |
| 5.      | Mn      | 0.19                     |
| 6.      | Zn      | 0.37                     |
| 7.      | Cu      | 0.14                     |
| 8.      | Na      | 175.3                    |
| 9.      | Cd      | ND                       |
| 10.     | Pb      | ND                       |
| 11.     | Ni      | ND                       |
| 12.     | Hg      | ND                       |
| 13.     | Cr      | ND                       |
| 14.     | Co      | ND                       |
| 15.     | Ag      | ND                       |

### Table 3: Biological analysis of PSPD treated effluents

| Sl. No. | Plankton                  | Zooplankton                                      |
|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1.      | Diatoms                    | Protozoa – Feeble in number                      |
| 2.      | Blue green algae           | Rotifers – appreciateable in number              |
| 3.      | Green algae                | Cladocerens – extra ordinary rise in population of moina. |
| 4.      | Euglenoids                 | Copepods – Fairly good                           |
**Fig. 1** Effluent water

**Fig. 2** Experimental ponds

**Fig. 3** Fry of IMC for stocking
Fig. 4 Rate of survivality

| Survival | Survival in Godavari waters | Survival in Treated effluents |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1        | 40                          | 60                            |
| 2        | 60                          | 80                            |
| 3        | 80                          | 100                           |
| 4        | 100                         | 120                           |

No of observations

Fig. 5a Growth performance: Variance in length

GW: Godavari Water, Eff: Effluents

Fig. 5b Growth performance: Variance in weight

GW: Godavari Water, Eff: Effluents

Fig. 6 Harvested fingerlings (control)
Blue green algae and green algae are predominantly seen in phytoplankton, whereas the Cladocerans, Copepodes and Rotifers are dominating in zooplankton (Table 3). The surviality (Fig. 4) and growth performance of fry reared in effluents are higher (Fig. 5a, 5b and 7) than that reared in Godavari waters / control (Fig. 5a, 5b and 6). The data of various parameters are coinciding with earlier findings of Ujjania et al., (2018) which reported of higher growth of carps reared in treated waste water of petro chemical industry.

In view of the encouraging results obtained, it infers that the plankton (phytoplankton and zooplankton) are fairly high in treated effluents which speaks of possible use of effluents as important resource for rearing of fish, as effluents are providing live fish food organisms. It is needless to mention that plankton are the choice fish food organisms.

Hence it can be concluded that the treated effluents from PSPD, Bhadrachalam, industry are nutritious and encouraged the growth of the fry of Indian major carps.
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