Go and stop signals for glial regeneration
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The regenerative response of ensheathing glia to central nervous system (CNS) injury involves proliferation and differentiation, axonal re-enwrapment and some recovery of behaviour. Understanding this limited response could enable the enhancement of it. In Drosophila, the glial progenitor state is maintained by Notch, an activator of cell division and Prospero (Pros), a repressor. Injury provokes the activation of NFκB and up-regulation of Kon-tiki (Kon), driving cell proliferation. Homeostatic switch-off comes about as two negative feedback loops involving Pros terminate the response. Importantly, the functions of the kon and pros homologues NG2 and prox1, respectively, are conserved in mammalian NG2 glia. Controlling these genes is key for therapeutic manipulation of progenitors and stem cells to promote regeneration of the damaged CNS.

Some glial cell types repair the damaged site, by clearing cell debris and forming a glial scar. Debris clearance is initiated by an inflammatory reaction, glial cells migrate to the lesion, engulf and dissolve axonal fragments, apoptotic cells and vacuoles [1]. In the adult mammalian CNS, this response is carried out by microglia (supported by extravasated monocytes), and most glial cell types can become phagocytic in Drosophila [2,4,5]. The rapid formation of a glial scar isolates the wound, restores tissue barriers and prevents further tissue expansion. It is elicited by activated astrocytes in mammals. This response may have evolved in warm-blooded animals, as no scar appears to form upon injury in the fish or insect CNS [2,6,7,8]. These glial repair responses will not be dealt with further here.

Glial cells of ensheathing cell lineages regenerate themselves upon injury [1]. Ensheathing glial cell lineages, like oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPCs, also called NG2 glia) in mammals respond to injury by undergoing compensatory proliferation to regenerate themselves, provide trophic support for neurons and re-enwrap axons, leading to some recovery of neuronal function and behaviour [1,8,10,11]. This regenerative response is evolutionarily conserved across animal phyla, for example, in insects, fish, rodents and humans. In the cockroach, injury induces extensive glial proliferation followed by recovery of normal conduction [12]. In Drosophila, neuronal genetic ablation in the embryo, and stabbing and crush injury in the larva, all induce proliferation of axon-associated neuropile glia [3,7,13,14,15]. In fish, rodents and humans, injury induces oligodendrocyte (OL) death, followed by the regenerative response of NG2 glia described above, leading to remyelination [8,11]. This response is induced after spinal cord injury, traumatic brain injury and stroke, and correlates with the remitting phases of multiple sclerosis [1,9,16]. However, despite extensive NG2-glia proliferation after injury, insufficient daughter cells differentiate into OLs, limiting axonal re-ensheathment and functional recovery. A crucial challenge to regenerative biologists focused on functional rescue of the damaged mammalian CNS is to find out how to enhance the differentiation of OPCs into OLs, and their subsequent progression to remyelination [1,17]. On the other hand, transplantation of olfactory ensheathing glia (OEG), NG2 glia and/or stem cells to the injury site, in the retina and spinal cord, have yielded encouraging results in the pursuit of functional restoration of the damaged CNS, in animal models and in humans [18,19]. Why such remarkable functional recovery takes place is not understood, but as well as involving glial regeneration and remyelination, it is likely to involve also
neuronal events. For instance, transplanted glia might provide trophic support for neurons, aid axonal navigation and re-growth. Either way, therapeutic cell transplantation relies on the prior precise manipulation of stem cells, OEG or OPCs [20]. In this context, discovering genes that control glial responses to injury, and their operating principles, is critical.

**Go and stops signals drive the glial regenerative response to CNS injury**

The fruit-fly *Drosophila* is a very powerful model organism to discover evolutionarily conserved molecular mechanisms. The neuropile of the *Drosophila* ventral nerve cord (VNC), which is equivalent to the mammalian dorsal spinal cord, is populated by neuropile glia (NG) [2**,13]. NG have been subdivided into ‘astrocytes’ and ‘ensheathing glia’ [4,21–23] (Figure 1a, mauve and green, respectively), but this nomenclature is not always helpful. The so called ‘astrocytes’ project into the neuropile and interact with synapses, but they also enwrap individual axons and clusters of axons. Larger axons are enwrapped individually, and thinner axons are enwrapped in clusters resembling Remak bundles of the mammalian peripheral nervous system [2**]. Axonal enwrapping is not as tight as in mammals, myelin is not produced, and no Nodes of Ranvier are formed [2**]. These glia express the genes *Notch* and *prospero* (*pro*) [2**,13,22,24]. *Pros* is required for axonal enwrapping within the neuropile [2**]. In mammals, the *pros* homologue *proxi* is expressed in OPCs and OLs, but not astrocytes [25*,26*]. The so-called ‘ensheathing glia’ do not enter the neuropile, but they wrap around the outside of the neuropile instead, cannot divide and do not express *Notch or pros* [2**,4**,7**,13,21,22]. Both *Pros*+ and *Pros*-negative glia express factors involved in neurotransmitter recycling [2**,22], a feature shared with astrocytes, OPCs and OLs. Notch+Pros+NG are the only glia to retain mitotic potential and divide in development or upon injury [2**,7**]. Like mammalian NG2 glia and Schwann cells, Notch+Pros+NG are at once progenitors and differentiated cells. Thus, NG share properties with mammalian astrocytes, NG2 glia/OPCs and OLs. To avoid further confusion, here we will refer to these cells called astrocytes by others, as Pros+NG.

Pros+NG proliferation is sensitive to neuronal interactions. During development, Pros+NG initially divide without G phases, and the G1 phase starts when NG contact axons bearing Serrate and Delta, which activate Notch signaling in these glia [13,27,28]. After axonal engagement, Notch+Pros+NG divide once more, and as glial cells are produced they sort axons into fascicles [13,27]. After axon guidance, VNC NG do not divide further, and Notch+Pros+NG remain quiescent or slow cycling until at least the end of larval life (they have not been studied later) [2**,13]. Notch+Pros+NG remain in a progenitor state as they retain mitotic potential and divide quickly if provided with Cyclin E [2**,13]. In contrast, Notch-negative, Pros-negative NG cannot divide, even if provided with Cyclin E [13]. Mitotic potential is maintained by the combined action of Notch, an activator of cell division, and Pros, an inhibitor of cell division. *Notch* and *pros* maintain each other’s expression, thus their antagonistic functions prevent cell division but keep cells ready to divide [13] (Figure 1b). Although divisions are rare, they occur in wild-type larvae in around 1/1000 VNCs, thus they are experimentally challenging to detect [2**]. Most likely, these divisions are homeostatic, taking place as required. By contrast, genetic neuronal ablation in the embryonic VNC and stabbing and crush injury in larval VNCs, induce extensive Notch+Pros+NG proliferation [2**,7**,13]. Thus, the antagonistic functions of Notch and Pros endow NG with a mechanism that enables them to adjust their number during neural circuit formation, to maintain homeostasis, and to promptly divide on injury (Figure 1a,b).

Quiescent progenitors are also present in the adult brain, but went undetected for a long time [15*,29*]. No proliferation can be observed with mitotic markers in the adult brain, but they can be detected, for example, with Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker (MARCM) clones as slow cycling progenitors [15*,29*]. Apoptosis, stabbing injury and genetic neuronal ablation in the adult brain all induce cell proliferation [14**,15*,29*]. At least some of the adult progenitors are glia [14**,15*], but whether all are glia, or if they are Notch+Pros+, like in the VNC, is unclear. Some of the progenitors for both neurons and glia in developing adult brain are Pros+Notch+, and Notch+ determines gliogenesis [30]. Either way, *Drosophila* neurites in VNC and brain retain quiescent or slow cycling progenitors throughout the life-course that regulate neuronal and glial cell number, enable homeostatic cell number adjustments, and are activated in response to injury.

A key driver of NG proliferation is *kon-tiki* (*kon*), the *Drosophila* orthologue of mammalian *NG2*. *NG2* and *kon* encode transmembrane proteins and are highly evolutionarily conserved, with large extracellular domains, and smaller intracellular domains, both of which can be cleaved [31]. *Kon* is dynamically expressed in NG during development [7**]; it is expressed in proliferating Notch+Pros+NG during axon guidance, is switched off as glial division ceases, and is switched on again in pupal and adult brain. Kon triggers proliferation of Notch+Pros+NG, but it cannot induce proliferation of Pros-negative NG. Kon is also required for the onset of glial differentiation in daughter cells, but glial differentiation maintenance depends on Pros [7**]. Pros regulates the expression of factors involved in neurotransmitter recycling, like Glutamine Synthetase and Ebony, and is required for axonal enwrapping [2**,7**,13,22].

Upon CNS injury, the lesion typically first expands and then shrinks (Figure 1a) [2**]. The expansion coincides
The glial regenerative response to CNS injury in fruit-flies and mammals. (a) The Drosophila larval ventral nerve cord. The Notch+Pros+NG (mauve) have cell bodies surrounding the neuropile, with part of their cytoplasm extending into regions of the neuropile, where axons and dendrites are located (white). Neuronal cell bodies and other glial cell types are located in the cortex (grey). Notch+Pros+NG divide during axon guidance, but they rarely divide in larval life, which lasts for five days. Divisions in larva are homeostatic. Upon injury to the larval VNC, the lesion first expands as many cells die. Injury induces compensatory proliferation of surviving NG. Subsequently, proliferation ceases, glial cells differentiate and the lesion shrinks. (b) Molecular mechanism underlying the glial regenerative response in Drosophila. NG are kept ‘ready to divide’ through the mutually dependent, yet antagonistic functions of Notch and Pros. Injury induces the activation of NFκB/Dorsal and Notch-dependent up-regulation of kon (homologue of NG2) expression. Kon induces proliferation of Notch+Pros+NG. Kon also activates the expression of pros. Pros inhibits proliferation and activates glial differentiation. Negative feedback by Kon on Notch, and by Pros on kon expression, terminates the response to injury. Pros regulates the expression of NFκB/dorsal, which remains in the cytoplasm ready to respond to future injuries. (c) The mammalian dorsal spinal cord. NG2 glia populate the white matter, that is, neuropile with myelinated axons (in white). Some of these NG2 glia normally divide producing meylinating oligodendrocytes (OLs, not shown). Injury induces cell death, lesion expansion and subsequent compensatory proliferation of remaining NG2 glia. Newly produced glia can then differentiate into astrocytes or OLs, and can spontaneously re-myelinate axons, as the lesion shrinks. Genes and resulting molecular mechanisms in these responses are evolutionarily conserved.

with extensive local cell death, and tissue shrinkage is associated with the glial regenerative response. Injury causes the up-regulation of kon expression in NG, and Kon induces proliferation of Notch+Pros+NG [7**] (Figure 1a,b). Following proliferation, Kon activates glial differentiation genes, including pros [7**]. This may also include genes involved in the repair response, such as draper, which encodes an engulfment receptor, as over-expression of kon greatly enhances repair [7**]. Kon is necessary and sufficient for the glial regenerative
response to injury. If kon expression is knocked-down, the wound does not shrink and is heavily vacuolated; when kon is over-expressed, the wound shrinks further than in controls, and vacuolization is reduced [7**]. The injury-induced up-regulation of kon expression depends on Notch. Following cell division, Kon represses Notch, thus limiting the lifetime of kon expression to a narrow time window [7**]. Thus, the Notch-Kon loop enables glial proliferation, whilst setting a timer for the regenerative response (Figure 1b).

The injury-induced up-regulation of kon might also depend on NFkB homologue Dorsal, [2**,7**]. NFkB normally rests inactive in the cytoplasm, but injury causes the TNF-dependent nuclear translocation of NFkB Dorsal in Pros+Notch+NG [2**]. Here, NFkB regulates gene expression and can activate cell proliferation. One of the targets of NFkB/Dorsal is pros [2**,7**], which is activated in daughter cells enabling glial differentiation. In turn, Pros activates NFkB/Dorsal in daughter cells too, restoring the levels of NFkB protein in the cytoplasm, where it resides in the inactive state. NFkB/Dorsal is only activated again in response to injury. In this way, Pros prepares glia to respond to subsequent injuries [2**]. Thus, the Pros-NFkB loop primes glia to respond to injury (Figure 1a,b).

As well as restoring glial cell number, the regenerative response also enables glial differentiation (Figure 1a,b). The onset of glial differentiation depends on Kon, as kon activates pros expression, and loss of kon in development results in loss of the glial cell markers Repo, Ebony, GS, Naz, and Pros [7**]. However, maintenance of the differentiated glial state does not depend on Kon, but on Pros instead [2**,7**,13]. After regenerative proliferation, Pros also represses kon expression [7**]. Thus, the Kon-Pros loop enables glial differentiation and cell number homeostasis. The sequential switch-off of Notch by Kon, and of kon by Pros, terminates the response to injury (Figure 1b).

This mechanism has two fundamental components: positive feedback loops (Pros-Notch and Pros-NFkB/Dorsal) that create ‘go signals’, driving a fast regenerative response to injury with nuclear translocation of NFkB/Dorsal, and a surge in Kon protein levels, possibly also Notch, together triggering glial proliferation; and negative feedback loops (Notch-Kon and Kon-Pros) that deliver ‘stop signals’ that switch off Notch and kon and activate pros, to repress proliferation and consolidate differentiation [2**,7**] (Figure 1b). If the relative contributions of these genes are changed experimentally, the response to injury can be shifted from promotion to prevention of the regenerative response, or induction of tumourous over-growth [2**,7**].

To conclude, the glial regenerative response is both plastic and homeostatic. The glial regenerative response is the re-activation of a developmental programme that coordinates glial proliferation with neural circuits, and maintains structural homeostasis throughout the life-course. This explains why such a mechanism would have been evolutionarily conserved. Importantly, it means that understanding developmental mechanisms is key to promoting regeneration and repair.

Conserved mechanisms for NG-2 glia proliferation and differentiation

As in Drosophila, the mammalian spinal cord and brain are also populated with glial progenitors, NG2-glia that can divide (Figure 1c). Similar to flies, injury induces cell death and lesion expansion, followed by the compensatory proliferation of remaining NG2-glia and lesion shrinkage (Figure 1c). In mammals, NG2 is expressed in OPCs, pericytes and microglia, but not in astrocytes, OLs or neurons [25*]. Similarly to Drosophila NG, NG2+OPCs have active Notch1 signaling, which maintains their proliferative state and inhibits their differentiation into OLs [32]. NG2 is required for OPC proliferation in development and upon injury (Figure 1c). NG2−/− knock-out mice have reduced OPC proliferation and fewer OLs [33**]. NG2 is also involved in the glial regenerative response, although results differ with the injury method. Upon cuprizone-induced demyelination, loss of NG2 expression in knock-out mice does not affect proliferation or differentiation of OPCs [34]. However, loss of NG2 function exacerbates the damage caused by traumatic brain injury [35]. And lyssolecithin-induced demyelination in NG2−/− knock-out mice leads to reduced proliferation of OPCs [36,37**]; and with conditional NG2 knock-out either in OPCs or in myeloid cells, it reduces OPC proliferation, neuronal loss and cell debris clearance [37**]. In essence, the disparities reflect that NG2 is also required for the inflammatory response, and the commonalities that, much like in fruit-flies, NG2 is required for OPC proliferation in development and upon injury.

NG2 is not only expressed in OPCs but also in stem cells in adipose tissue and muscle, in pericytes that line the blood vessels and phagocytic macrophages/microglia [38,39]. Like NG2, kon in Drosophila is also expressed in cells of the circulatory system (the dorsal vessel or heart), in muscles and in phagocytic glia (Drosophila NG are phagocytic) [40]. Such shared profiles indicate deep evolutionary conservation and relevant functions. NG2 and kon and their interacting partners could reveal novel mechanisms for cell proliferation, phagocytosis and cell fate that could operate in multiple contexts.

In mammals, whilst injury readily induces NG2 glia proliferation, the differentiation of daughter cells into myelinating OLs does not always proceed successfully [1,3]. The sustained up-regulation of Notch is one cause for OL differentiation failure [32], and a key challenge is to identify genes that antagonize Notch, and promote OL differentiation. Drosophila pros and its mammalian orthologue prox1 encode homeo-domain transcription factors...
with a universal function in repressing cell proliferation and activating cell differentiation. pros orthologues are expressed and required by glia in flies, worms and mammals \cite{2, 13, 27, 41, 42}. In the mouse, prox1 is not expressed in astrocytes, it is expressed in some OPCs at low levels, and at high levels in all OLs \cite{25, 26}. Thus, either there are two types of NG2+OPCs (Pross+ and Prox1−), or Prox1 levels rise gradually as OPCs differentiate into OLs \cite{26}. The co-existence of NG2, Notch and Prox1 in OPCs mirrors the colocalisation of Notch, Pros and Kon in Drosophila NG. Conditional prox-1 knock-out in the NG2+OPC cell lineage reduces OL number, prevents OL differentiation and increases NG2 cells and NG2 proliferation \cite{26}. Thus, like in Drosophila, Prox1 is required to promote OL differentiation in the mouse \cite{26}. This strongly indicates that prox1 is a key gene to target in NG2 glia to promote the transition from OPCs to OLs, and sustain OL differentiation.

### Conclusion

To conclude, evolutionarily conserved molecular mechanisms regulate regenerative glial proliferation and differentiation in the fruit-fly VNC and mammalian spinal cord. Next it will be important to find out how general this molecular mechanism is - for instance, whether it functions also in injury responses in the brain - and why glial regeneration has such a remarkable effect on neuronal recovery. Intriguingly, glial Pros-1 regulates non-autonomously neuronal shape and function in C. elegans \cite{41, 42}. Both fruit-flies and mammals bear slow cycling brain progenitors, but findings are either limited (fruit-flies) or controversial (mammals). For instance, in mammals whether progenitors are astrocytes or NG2 glia, and may be able to produce multiple CNS glial cell types and/or neurons, is highly debated \cite{3, 43, 44}. Some striking similarities between fruit-fly and mammalian progenitors \cite{11, 14, 15, 45} invoke further investigation. The manipulation of stem cells for transplantations and in vivo reprogramming to restore function following CNS damage \cite{20} will continue to benefit from the discovery of molecular mechanisms through Drosophila genetics.
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