Strong lattice correlation of non-equilibrium quasiparticles in a pseudospin-1/2 Mott insulator Sr₂IrO₄
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In correlated oxides the coupling of quasiparticles to other degrees of freedom such as spin and lattice plays critical roles in the emergence of symmetry-breaking quantum ordered states such as high temperature superconductivity. We report a strong lattice coupling of photon-induced quasiparticles in spin-orbital coupling Mott insulator Sr₂IrO₄ probed via optical excitation. Combining time-resolved x-ray diffraction and optical spectroscopy techniques, we reconstruct a spatiotemporal map of the diffusion of these quasiparticles. Due to the unique electronic configuration of the quasiparticles, the strong lattice correlation is unexpected but extends the similarity between Sr₂IrO₄ and cuprates to a new dimension of electron-phonon coupling which persists under highly non-equilibrium conditions.

Spin-orbital coupling Mott insulator Sr₂IrO₄ (SIO)¹,² shares with cuprates several distinctive features that are characteristics for high temperature superconductors (HTSC): quasi-two dimensional square lattice, single Hubbard Band insulator, spin 1/2³, and Heisenberg antiferromagnetic coupling⁴. Upon electron doping, Sr₂IrO₄ also produces the Fermi arc parallel to that observed in HTSC cuprates⁴. The structure, spin, and electronic phase similarity between the two make SIO an ideal test bed for understanding the material properties essential for HTSC and lead to the speculation of superconductivity in iridium oxides upon doping⁵,⁶.

A cardinal issue in HTSC is the electron pairing mechanism. For conventional superconductors, electrons in Cooper pairs are bonded by lattice vibrations (i.e., phonons). For HTSC, although the high transition temperature and the unconventional d-wave pairing symmetry suggest that the pairing mechanism may be associated with strong electron-electron interaction (EEI) and/or spin fluctuations, evidence shows that electron–phonon interaction (EPI) may play an important role as well⁷–¹¹. One way to interrogate the electron phonon coupling is via photon excited non-equilibrium quasiparticles (QP)¹²,¹³ that relax via both EEI and EPI and lead to strong lattice correlations¹⁴,¹⁵. The strong lattice correlation of O-p to Cu-d excitation in the HTSC cuprate parent compound La₂CuO₄ (LCO)¹⁴ has not been reproduced in any other materials.

Despite the similarities, SIO is a Mott-insulator while cuprates are charge transfer (CT) insulators with completely different electronic structure². The active orbital in cuprate is the strongly anisotropic e_g d_{x^2−y^2}, whereas in SIO the active orbital is an equal superposition of the t_2g d_{xy}, d_{xz}, and d_{yz} wave with less anisotropy. Although strong electron-phonon coupling has been suggested by optical spectroscopy in temperature dependent analyses in iridates¹⁶–¹⁹, the difference in electronic structure leads to a different QP configuration as compared to cuprates²⁰ and alludes to different EPI. It is therefore instructive to explore if photo-doping in SIO also generates strong lattice correlations similar to that observed in LCO.

We report a surprisingly strong lattice response of SIO thin films to optical excitation using time-resolved x-ray diffraction that is directly correlated to electronic dynamics probed via transient optical absorption spectroscopy (TAS). The excitation photo energy dependence suggests that the QP consists of an electron at the bottom of the upper Hubbard band (UHB) (doublon) and a hole at the top of the lower Hubbard band (LHB)
We have also reconstructed a spatiotemporal transport map of the QPs along the c-axis based on the dynamic structure response.

The lattice dynamics are measured via observing the shift of the (0 0 12) crystallographic diffraction peak of (0 0 1) oriented SIO thin films. A schematic of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1(a) and the results for a 100 nm film at 1.5 and 3.0 eV pump photon energy is shown in Fig. 1(b). For example, in the 1.5 eV pump case, the diffraction angle $\theta$ is shifted from the peak position at 27.9° to a lower angle by 0.06°, corresponding to a strain (relative lattice parameter change) of 0.21%, indicating a significant expansion of the c-axis lattice which decays over a time scale of 20 ns (Fig. 1(c)). Accompanying the shift, there is a significant broadening of the diffraction peak (Fig. 1(d,e)). Strikingly, the broadening is much smaller for the 1.5 eV than for the 3.0 eV pump energy, whereas the peak shift is much larger (Fig. 1(b,c) and S1). In fact, while the temporal recoveries of the strain are almost identical for the two pump energies, the recoveries of the peak broadening are drastically different. For the 3.0 eV pump, there is a rapid initial drop within the first 2 ns (Fig. 1(d)), while for the 1.5 eV pump case, the peak broadening increases initially to a maximum at about 1 ns followed by a slow decay. The peak strain is linearly dependent on the laser fluence (Fig. S1). Note that the absorption length is significantly larger for the 1.5 eV pump (70 nm) than the 3.0 eV pump (29 nm) \(^{21}\).

There is also a strong thickness dependence in the recovery of the structure dynamics (Fig. 2(a)): the thicker the film, the longer the recovery time. The strain as a function of time for 1.5 and 3.0 eV pump. (d,e): fractional root mean square (rms) broadening ($\Delta w$) of the diffraction peak for the two pump photon energies. Symbols are experimental data and the solid lines in (c–e) are fitting using the model described by Eq. (1–3). We also give the average strain $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and the standard deviation $\sigma(\varepsilon)$, (right axis in (c,d)) of the modeled strain profile using dashed lines in (c–e). The incident laser fluence was 20 mJ/cm\(^2\).

Figure 1. (a) A schematic of the time resolved x-ray diffraction experiment. (b) Shift of the (0 0 12) diffraction peak due to laser excitation at 1.5 and 3.0 eV pump energy for a 100 nm SIO film. (c) The strain $\varepsilon$ as a function of time for 1.5 and 3.0 eV pump. (d,e): fractional root mean square (rms) broadening ($\Delta w$) of the diffraction peak for the two pump photon energies. Symbols are experimental data and the solid lines in (c–e) are fitting using the model described by Eq. (1–3). We also give the average strain $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and the standard deviation $\sigma(\varepsilon)$, (right axis in (c,d)) of the modeled strain profile using dashed lines in (c–e). The incident laser fluence was 20 mJ/cm\(^2\).

(holon)\(^{20}\). We have also reconstructed a spatiotemporal transport map of the QPs along the c-axis based on the dynamic structure response.

The lattice dynamics are measured via observing the shift of the (0 0 12) crystallographic diffraction peak of (0 0 1) oriented SIO thin films. A schematic of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1(a) and the results for a 100 nm film at 1.5 and 3.0 eV pump photon energy is shown in Fig. 1(b). For example, in the 1.5 eV pump case, the diffraction angle $\theta$ is shifted from the peak position at 27.9° to a lower angle by 0.06°, corresponding to a strain (relative lattice parameter change) of 0.21%, indicating a significant expansion of the c-axis lattice which decays over a time scale of 20 ns (Fig. 1(c)). Accompanying the shift, there is a significant broadening of the diffraction peak (Fig. 1(d,e)). Strikingly, the broadening is much smaller for the 1.5 eV than for the 3.0 eV pump energy, whereas the peak shift is much larger (Fig. 1(b,c) and S1). In fact, while the temporal recoveries of the strain are almost identical for the two pump energies, the recoveries of the peak broadening are drastically different. For the 3.0 eV pump, there is a rapid initial drop within the first 2 ns (Fig. 1(d)), while for the 1.5 eV pump case, the peak broadening increases initially to a maximum at about 1 ns followed by a slow decay. The peak strain is linearly dependent on the laser fluence (Fig. S1). Note that the absorption length is significantly larger for the 1.5 eV pump (70 nm) than the 3.0 eV pump (29 nm)\(^{21}\).

There is also a strong thickness dependence in the recovery of the structure dynamics (Fig. 2(a)): the thicker the film, the longer the recovery time. The strain as a function of time is found to be of a stretched exponential function $\varepsilon(t) = a + b \exp(-(t/\tau)^\beta)$, where $a$ is a long time scale strain decaying over more than 150 ns, $b$ is the amplitude at time zero, and $\beta = 0.61 \pm 0.09$ is the stretched exponential, respectively. The characteristic recovery time $\tau$ extracted is 1.4 $\pm$ 0.4, 8.1 $\pm$ 1, and 15 $\pm$ 2 ns, respectively for the 20, 50 and 100 nm films. The peak broadening $\Delta w$ is also strongly dependent on the film thickness (Fig. S1).
There are several commonly known causes for photon induced lattice expansion, including photostriction via the piezoelectric effect\(^2\), the deformation potential\(^2\), and heating. SIO is not piezoelectric so photostriction plays no role. The deformation potential of SIO is negative due to the negative \(dE_g/dp\)\(^2\),\(^2\)\(^4\),\(^5\), thus the effect causes lattice contraction and is inconsistent with our observation. Thermal expansion can also be excluded due to the insensitivity of the lattice parameter to the temperature\(^2\)\(^6\) and the insensitivity of the photo induced strain to the sample temperature (Fig. S2). In addition, using known thermal properties, a thermal simulation reveals that the thermal diffusion equilibrates the film temperature in less than 1 ns and thus does not explain the broadening of the diffraction peaks that persist over a time scale of 20 ns (Figs S3 and S4).

In the TAS measurement, immediately after the laser excitation, a photon induced transparency, i.e., negative optical density (OD), is observed at about 1 eV (Fig. 3) and is a manifestation of the overall electronic response of the system to the photo excitation. There is a fast and a slow component. The fast component lasts less than 1 ps and can be attributed to recombination and cooling of the photo excited carrier via phonon and magnon emission\(^1\)\(^7\). Furthermore, the absorption spectra also stabilize after 0.4 ps (Fig. 3(e,f)) and persist though the relaxation. When convolved with a 100 ps gate to emulate the X-ray data resolution, the OD and strain dynamics overlap with each other, exhibiting the same thickness dependence (Fig. 2(a)).

We attribute the lattice expansion to the presence of excited carriers, or QPs. The thickness dependent dynamics in Fig. 2 thus implies that QPs are long-lived and their dynamics are dominated by diffusion and recombination at surfaces and interfaces\(^2\)\(^7\). Furthermore, the stretched exponential characteristics indicate that hopping of the QPs is a continuous- time random walk with a time dependent diffusion constant\(^2\)\(^8\)\(^–\)\(^3\)\(^1\):

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} N(t,z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( D(t)^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} N(t,z) \right),
\]

where \(N\) is the QP density, \(D(t) = D t^{-\gamma}\) is diffusion parameter with \(1 > \gamma > 0\), where \(\gamma\) is a measure of the trap energy distribution\(^2\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^0\). The equation has the following initial and boundary conditions,

\[
N(0 , z) \propto \exp \left( -\frac{z}{\alpha} \right),
\]

\( \alpha \) being the effective diffusion length.
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(a) The normalized strain recovery dynamics for 20, 50, and 100 nm films with 1.5 eV pump. The corresponding pump fluences are 20 mJ, 3.7, 20 mJ/cm\(^2\), and the peak strains are 0.34%, 0.12%, and 0.19%, respectively. Also shown in (a) is the absolute optical density (OD) from the TAS measurement for the 20 and 100 nm films with temporal resolution scaled down to 100 ps; more details are in Fig. 3. (b) The characteristic time \(\tau\) as a function of the film thickness. The error bars are due to measurement of the films under different fluences and temperatures (See Fig. 4(a) for the 20 nm film case). Using \(\tau = (Z/\pi)^2/D\), an effective diffusion parameter \(D = 60 \text{ nm}^2 \text{ ns}^{-1}\) is obtained.
where \( \alpha \) is the optical absorption length, \( z = 0 \) is the free surface of the film, \( z = Z \) is the film/substrate interface, and \( s \) is the QP dissociation velocity at the surface.

Assuming that the strain is proportional to the density of QPs (using the linear pump fluence dependence in Fig. S1), the shift and the broadening of the diffraction peak in the x-ray measurement correspond to the average and deviation of the structure distortion excited by photons. Solving equations (1–3) for the 100 nm film by adjusting \( s \), \( D \), and \( \gamma \) and then Fourier transforming the resultant spatiotemporal strain map (Fig. 4(a,b)) to fit the strain data in Fig. 1(c), we quantitatively reproduced the broadening of the diffraction peak data, as shown in Fig. 1(d,e). We also reproduced the fluence dependence of the strain and broadening for the 100 and 20 nm films (Fig. S1(b)). The fitting parameters are summarized in Table S1.

Based on this diffusion model, the different dynamics for the two different pump photon energies shown in Fig. 1(c,d) can be interpreted as the result of the difference in the initial QP spatial distribution arising from the different photon deposition lengths and the localized lattice distortions they induce. For the 3.0 eV pump case, the photon penetration depth is much shorter (~30 nm), thus initially the QPs are more concentrated close to the sample surface giving a smaller average QP density with a larger density deviation over the film thickness.
(Fig. 4(a)). This leads to a smaller peak strain but greater peak broadening. In contrast, the larger penetration depth of the 1.5 eV pump (~70 nm) leads to an initially more homogeneous QP spatial distribution and thus a higher average peak strain but less peak broadening (Fig. 4(b)). As these QPs diffuse and annihilate at the surface and interface, the average QP density decreases and the spatial distribution becomes more homogeneous, leading to reduction of the strain and narrowing of the diffraction peak. As $\int_0^\infty N(t, z)dz$ and $\varepsilon(t) \propto \int N(t, z)dzZ$, this also explains the overlapping of the OD and the strain dynamics in Fig. 2. The validity of the 1D diffusion model indicates the localized nature of the QPs with respect to the c-axis and a relatively fast 'sharing' of the QPs in the a-b plane, consistent with the anisotropy in the hopping integral and the conductivity.

The continuous-time random-walk model used above has been widely applied to carrier diffusion in a medium with randomly distributed traps such as defects and in an amorphous medium. In our case, QPs are self-trapped; thus, the traps move together with the QPs. The shallowly trapped QPs make more frequent hops and so diffuse faster. The deeply trapped QPs, in contrast, experience a higher activation energy, have a lower hopping probability, and have to wait longer between hops, thus diffusing much slower. As time elapses, the diffusion slows down as the shallowly trapped QPs move out of the system. This leads to a diffusion coefficient that decreases over time. The general applicability of the model to self-trapped QPs needs further exploration to link the trap energy distribution with the diffusion dynamics.

By examining our data for pump photon energies ranging from 0.5 to 3.0 eV (Fig. 5(a)) and the band structure of SIO (Fig. 5(b)), excitation occurs both over CT $p$-d (3 eV) and d-d transitions (<1 eV). However, after normalizing at time zero for the 20 nm film, the strain recovery for all these pump photon energies collapses into one curve (Fig. 5(a)). Given that the 0.5 eV pump can only excite the transition from the occupied $J_{sd}=1/2$ state (lower Hubbard band, LHB) to an unoccupied $J_{sd}=1/2$ state (upper Hubbard band, UHB), we suggest that the initial QP is likely to be the Hubbard exciton, with an electron at the bottom of the LHB (doublon) and a hole at the top of the LHB (holon). Relaxation into this configuration for higher excitation photon energy is likely
accomplished within the first picosecond via carrier cooling and optical phonon formation and p-d, d-d orbital hybridization\textsuperscript{17,38}, following which the absorption spectra stabilize after 0.4 ps (Fig. 3(e,f)), indicating the lack of further qualitative change in the electronic structure. Thus the QPs are likely the doublons and holons with zero spin that move in the background of spin-1/2. It is also possible that excitonic effects, bonded doublon and holon, play an important role\textsuperscript{16}, just as in the case of LCO, where the optical excitation leads to p-d CT excitons\textsuperscript{39,40}.

For LCO, the lattice distortion was explained by the modification of the cohesion energy due to net O-Cu CT arising from the p-d excitation\textsuperscript{14}. This is not readily applicable to SIO as the formation of doublon and holon does not involve a net O-Ir CT but only a d-d CT in iridium ions. Recently it was proposed that a d-d CT instability with lattice deformation may explain the lattice expansion in LCO\textsuperscript{41}. In fact, CT instability with deformed lattice is also suggested to be a common cause for carrier localization in other transition metal oxides\textsuperscript{34,35}. In this scenario, the d-d CT excitation leads to a metastable CT state accompanied by a lattice deformation that localizes the electron\textsuperscript{41}. Conceptually, excitation of electrons modifies the competition between the spin-obit coupling and the on-site Coulomb interaction in SIO\textsuperscript{2} which makes the electronic structure highly susceptible to extrinsic conditions including temperature\textsuperscript{18,19}, pressure\textsuperscript{24}, and epitaxial strain\textsuperscript{42,43}.

In Summary, we demonstrate that SIO shares with LCO a strong EPI effect that persists under non-equilibrium conditions. Emerging evidence shows that lattice vibrations in cuprates play an important role also in competing phases including charge order\textsuperscript{44}, charge density waves\textsuperscript{45}, the interlayer coupling of electron transport\textsuperscript{46}, and pseudo gap formation\textsuperscript{47}. QP-lattice coupling similarities between SIO and cuprates under non-equilibrium states thus opens a new direction for searching for those properties essential for understanding the feasibility of unconventional superconductivity in SIO in a more holistic way. The method we used, when combined with Coherent Bragg Rod Analysis (COBRA)\textsuperscript{48}, may provide information of the dynamic unit cell structure necessary for theoretical understanding of the QP phenomena in a wider class of strongly correlated materials.

Methods
The optical pump, x-ray probe experiment was performed at Sector 7 at the Advanced Photon Source\textsuperscript{49}. A 1 kHz laser beam with photoenergies ranging from 0.5 to 3.0 eV (wavelength from 2.5 to 0.4 \( \mu \)m) and pulse duration of 60 fs impinged on the sample, with polarization in the O-Ir plane. The 3.0 eV pulse is obtained by frequency doubling the 1.5 eV fundamental energy of a 2.5 W Ti:sapphire laser system. Pulses at 0.95 and 0.5 eV are generated using an optical parametric amplifier. The laser spot, about 0.5–0.9 mm diameter, always overfilled the x-ray footprint, which was about 50 \( \mu \)m. The delay between the x-ray and the laser was adjusted electronically. The temporal resolution was limited by the x-ray pulse duration of about 100 ps. An avalanche photo diode was used as the detector.

The transient absorption spectroscopy (TAS) experiment with photoenergy of 1.5 and 3.0 eV and broadband probing for energy from about 0.8 to 1.4 eV were performed at the Center of Nanoscale Materials at Argonne National Laboratory. The 3.0 eV pulse was obtained by frequency doubling of the 1.5 eV fundamental output of a 2 kHz Ti:sapphire laser system. The probe pulse was generated by focusing a portion of the 1.5 eV fundamental into a 13-mm thick sapphire crystal. A mechanical delay stage controlled the pump-probe time delay, and pump
pulses were alternately blocked for calculation of transient signals. The pump spot size was 0.38 mm and the probe pulse was 0.15 mm in diameter.

Epitaxial thin film samples of (0 0 1) SIO were grown on (0 0 1) SrTiO3 using pulsed laser deposition with a KrF excimer laser (λ = 248 nm). A stoichiometric SIO polycrystalline pellet was used as the target. During deposition, the substrates were kept at 1080 °C with oxygen partial pressure P O2 = 150 mTorr, in a vacuum chamber with a base pressure of 10−4 mTorr.

References

1. Kim, B. et al. Novel J ed = 1/2 Mott State Induced by Relativistic Spin–Orbit Coupling in Sr2IrO4. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 076402 (2008).
2. Kim, B. et al. Phase-Sensitive Observation of a Spin-Orbital Mott State in Sr2IrO4. Science 323, 1329–1332 (2009).
3. Kim, J. et al. Magnetic Excitation Spectra of Sr2IrO4 Probed by Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering: Establishing Links to Cuprate Superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 177003 (2012).
4. Kim, Y. K. et al. Fermi arcs in a doped pseudospin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet. Science 345, 187–190 (2014).
5. Wang, F. & Senthil, T. Twisted Hubbard Model for Sr2IrO4: Magnetism and Possible High Temperature Superconductivity. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 136402 (2011).
6. You, Y.-Z., Kimchi, I. & Vishwanath, A. Doping a spin-orbit insulator: Topological superconductivity from the Kitaev-Heisenberg model and possible application to (Na1/3Li1/3Sr1/3O). Phys. Rev. B 86, 085145 (2012).
7. Lanzara, A. et al. Evidence for ubiquitous strong electron–phonon coupling in high-temperature superconductors. Nature 412, 510–514 (2001).
8. Devereaux, T. P., Cuk, T., Shen, Z.-X. & Nagaosa, N. Anisotropic Electron-Phonon Interaction in the Cuprates. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 117004 (2004).
9. Lee, J. et al. Interplay of electron–lattice interactions and superconductivity in Bi2SrCaCuO4. Nature 442, 546–550 (2006).
10. Gunnarsson, O. & Rösch, O. Interplay between electron-phonon and Coulomb interactions in cuprates. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 20, 043201 (2008).
11. Maksimov, E. G., Kulic, M. L. & Dolgov, O. V. Bosonic Spectral Function and the Electron-Phonon Interaction in HTSC Cuprates. Adv. Condens. Matter Phys. 2010, 1–64 (2010).
12. Gedik, N. Diffusion of Nonequilibrium Quasi-Particles in a Cuprate Superconductor. Science 300, 1410–1412 (2003).
13. Dal Conte, S. et al. Disentangling the Electronic and Phononic Glue in a High-Tc Superconductor. Science 335, 1600–1603 (2012).
14. Gedik, N., Yang, D.-S., Logvenov, G., Bozovic, I. & Zewail, A. H. Nonequilibrium Phase Transitions in Cuprates Observed by Ultrafast Electron Crystallography. Science 316, 425–427 (2009).
15. Carbone, F., Yang, D.-S., Giannini, E. & Zewail, A. H. Direct role of structural dynamics in electron-lattice coupling of superconducting cuprates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105, 20161–20166 (2008).
16. Moon, S. J. et al. Temperature dependence of the electronic structure of the J ed = 1/2 Mott insulator Sr1/2IrO3 studied by optical spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. B 80, 195110 (2009).
17. Hisieh, D., Mahmood, F., Torchinsky, D. H., Cao, G. & Gedik, N. Observation of a metal–insulator transition with both Mott-Hubbard and Slater characteristics in Sr2IrO4 from time-resolved photocarrier dynamics. Phys. Rev. B 86, 035128 (2012).
18. Sohn, C. H. et al. Orbital-dependent polaron formation in the relativistic Mott insulator Sr1/2IrO3. Phys. Rev. B 90, 041103 (2014).
19. Park, H. J. et al. Phonon-assisted optical excitation in the narrow bandgap Mott insulator Sr1/2IrO3. Phys. Rev. B 89, 155115 (2014).
20. Kim, J. et al. Excitonic quasi-particles in a spin–orbit Mott insulator. Nat. Commun. 5, 4433 (2014).
21. Nichols, J. et al. Anisotropic electronic properties of a-axis-oriented Sr1/2IrO3 epitaxial thin-films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 131910 (2013).
22. Wen, H. et al. Optical Origin of Ultrafast Photoinduced Strain in BiFeO3. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 037601 (2013).
23. Thomsen, C., Grabh, H., Maris, H. & Tauc, J. Surface generation and detection of phonons by picosecond light pulses. Phys. Rev. B 34, 4129–4138 (1986).
24. Haskel, D. et al. Pressure Tuning of the Spin-Orbit Coupled Ground State in Sr2IrO4. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 027204 (2012).
25. Zocco, D. A. et al. Persistent non-metallic behavior in Sr1/2IrO3 and Sr1/2Ir2O5 at high pressures. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 25, 255603 (2014).
26. Bharti, I. N., Rawat, R., Banerjee, A. & Pramanik, A. K. Temperature evolution of magnetic and transport behavior in 5d Mott insulator Sr1/2IrO3: significance of magneto-structural coupling. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 27, 016005 (2015).
27. Sproul, A. B. Dimensionless solution describing the effect of surface recombination on carrier decay in semiconductors. J. Appl. Phys. 76, 2851 (1994).
28. Marshall, J. M. Carrier diffusion in amorphous semiconductors. Rep. Prog. Phys. 46, 1235 (1983).
29. Shtiselmer, M. F. & Montore, E. W. On the Williams–Watts function of dielectric relaxation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 81, 1280–1283 (1984).
30. Kakalios, J., Street, R. A. & Jackson, W. B. Stretched-exponential relaxation arising from dispersive diffusion of hydrogen in amorphous silicon. Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1037–1040 (1987).
31. Nelson, J. Continuous-time random-walk model of electron transport in nanocrystalline TiO2 electrodes. Phys. Rev. B 59, 15374–15380 (1999).
32. Chikara, S. et al. Giant magnetoelectric effect in the J ed = 1/2 Mott insulator Sr1/2IrO3. Phys. Rev. B 80, 144007 (2009).
33. Okamoto, S. & Millis, A. J. Electronic reconstruction at an interface between a Mott insulator and a band insulator. Nature 428, 630–633 (2004).
34. Viokhin, V., Eglitis, R., Kapphan, S., Borstel, G. & Kotomin, E. Polaronic-type excitons in ferroelectric microspheres: Mathematical calculations and experimental manifestation. Phys. Rev. B 65, 104304 (2002).
35. Pisarev, R., Moskvin, A., Kalashnikova, A. & Rasing, T. Charge transfer transitions in multiferroic BiFeO3, and related ferrite insulators. Phys. Rev. B 79, 235128 (2009).
36. Kim, B. H., Khalullin, G. M. & Min, B. I. Magnetic Couplings, Optical Spectra, and Spin-Orbit Exciton in 5d Electron Mott Insulator Sr1/2IrO3. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 167205 (2012).
37. Gösling, A. et al. Mott-Hubbard excitation in the optical conductivity of YTiO3 and SmTiO3. Phys. Rev. B 78, 051222 (2008).
38. Alpichshev, Z., Mahmood, F., Cao, G. & Gedik, N. Confinement–Deconfinement Transition as an Indication of Spin–Liquid–Type Behavior in Na1/4Ir1/4O, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 017203 (2015).
39. Clarke, D. G. Particle-hole bound states in Mott-Hubbard insulators. Phys. Rev. B 48, 7520–7525 (1993).
40. Ellis, D. S. et al. Charge-transfer excitation in La2CuO4, probed with resonant inelastic x-ray scattering. Phys. Rev. B 77, 060501 (2008).
41. Moskvin, A. S. True charge-transfer gap in parent insulating cuprates. Phys. Rev. B 84, 75116 (2011).
42. Miao, L., Xu, H. & Mao, Z. Q. Epitaxial strain effect on the J ed = 1/2 moment orientation in Sr1/2IrO3 thin films. Phys. Rev. B 89, 035109 (2014).
43. Nichols, J. et al. Tuning electronic structure via epitaxial strain in Sr1/2IrO3 thin films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 141908 (2013).
44. Fausti, D. et al. Light–Induced Superconductivity in a Stripe-Ordered Cuprate. Science 331, 189–191 (2011).
45. Le Tacon, M. et al. Inelastic X-ray scattering in TiBa2CuOx reveals giant phonon anomalies and elastic central peak due to charge-density-wave formation. Nat. Phys. 10, 52–58 (2013).
46. Mankowsky, R. et al. Nonlinear lattice dynamics as a basis for enhanced superconductivity in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.5}$. Nature 516, 71–73 (2014).
47. Hsiao, J., Martyna, G. J. & Newns, D. M. Phase Diagram of Cuprate High-Temperature Superconductors Described by a Field Theory Based on Anharmonic Oxygen Degrees of Freedom. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 107001 (2015).
48. Zhou, H. et al. Anomalous expansion of the copper-apical-oxygen distance in superconducting cuprate bilayers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 8103–8107 (2010).
49. Dufresne, E. M. et al. Time-Resolved Research at the Advanced Photon Source Beamline 7-ID. In Proc. 10th International Conference On Radiation Instrumentation, Melbourne (Australia), AIP Conf. Proc. 1234, 181–184, doi: 10.1063/1.3463168 (2010).

Acknowledgements
This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Use of the Center for Nanoscale Materials, an Office of Science user facility, was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. M.Z and X.K. acknowledges start-up funds from Michigan State University. Work at Tulane University was supported by the NSF under Grant DMR-1205469 and DOD ARO under Grant No. W911NF0910530.

Author Contributions
Y.L. conceived the experiment. Y.L., R.S., M.Z. and D.W. performed the experiment. L.M. and Z.Q.M. grew the samples. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Li, Y. et al. Strong lattice correlation of non-equilibrium quasiparticles in a pseudospin-1/2 Mott insulator Sr$_2$IrO$_4$. Sci. Rep. 6, 19302; doi: 10.1038/srep19302 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/