Suppression of starch synthase I expression affects the granule morphology and granule size and fine structure of starch in wheat endosperm
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Abstract

Studies in \textit{Arabidopsis} and rice suggest that manipulation of starch synthase I (SSI) expression in wheat may lead to the production of wheat grains with novel starch structure and properties. This work describes the suppression of SSI expression in wheat grains using RNAi technology, which leads to a low level of enzymatic activity for SSI in the developing endosperm, and a low abundance of SSI protein inside the starch granules of mature grains. The amylpectin fraction of starch from the SSI suppressed lines showed an increased frequency of very short chains (degree of polymerization, dp 6 and 7), a lower proportion of short chains (dp 8–12), and more intermediate chains (dp 13–20) than in the grain from their negative segregant lines. In the most severely affected line, amylose content was significantly increased, the morphology of starch granules was changed, and the proportion of B starch granules was significantly reduced. The change of the fine structure of the starch in the SSI-RNAi suppression lines alters the gelatinization temperature, swelling power, and viscosity of the starch. This work demonstrates that the roles of SSI in the determination of starch structure and properties are similar among different cereals and \textit{Arabidopsis}.
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Introduction

Starch is the major component of wheat grain (approximately 60–70\%). Starch granules in higher plants contain two main classes of glucan polymer, amylose and amyllopectin. The amylose fraction of wheat starch is a linear or infrequently branched (containing <1% \(\alpha\)-1,6 linkages) molecule with a degree of polymerization (dp) of 500–3000. Amylopectin is a large and highly branched polymer (containing 5–6\% \(\alpha\)-1,6 linkages) reaching a dp of about 5000–50 000 (see reviews from Kossmann and Lloyd, 2000; Rahman \textit{et al.}, 2000; Ball and Morell, 2003; James \textit{et al.}, 2003; Tetlow \textit{et al.}, 2004; Morell \textit{et al.}, 2006). The ratio of these two polymers and the fine structure of amyllopectin influence the processing, cooking, organoleptic qualities, and digestibility of starch-based foods.

Starch polymers are produced by a suite of enzymes including ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylases (EC 2.7.7.27), starch synthases (EC 2.4.1.21), starch-branching enzymes (EC 2.4.1.18), disproportionating enzymes (EC 2.4.1.25), and starch-debranching enzymes (EC 3.2.1.41 and 3.2.1.68) (see reviews from Kossmann and Lloyd, 2000; Rahman \textit{et al.}, 2000; Ball and Morell, 2003; James \textit{et al.}, 2003; Tetlow \textit{et al.}, 2004; Morell \textit{et al.}, 2006). ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylases...
catalyse the first committed step of starch synthesis in plant tissues by synthesizing ADP-glucose, the activated glucosyl precursor, as the source of glucose for starch elongation and subsequently branching. Starch synthases are involved in the elongation of the glucan chains through the addition of glucose residues from ADP-glucose at the non-reducing end of the glucan chains. The α-1,6 linkages within starch are introduced by starch-branching enzymes that simultaneously cleave short α-1,4 linked glucan chains and reattach them through an α-1,6 linkage to other chains within the starch molecule, thus creating a branched structure as well as increasing the number of non-reducing ends for further elongation by starch synthases. Disproportionating enzymes degrade short glucan chains to ultimately form glucose molecules that can be used for the synthesis of ADP-glucose or for the supply of energy for plant metabolism. Starch-debranching enzymes are believed to be involved in the trimming of the irregularly arranged glucan chains to maintain glucan branches in amylopectin molecules in a regular order.

Through whole-genome sequence analysis in rice, ten starch synthase genes have been identified (Hirose and Terao, 2004) and this is believed to represent the full set of starch synthase genes in higher plants. These genes are divided into five classes: the starch synthases I–IV (SSI, SSII, SSIII, and SSIV), and granule-bound starch synthase (GBSS) (Li et al., 2003; Hirose and Terao, 2004). Their precise role individually and cooperatively in determining the final structure of the starch granule in wheat largely remains undefined although the roles of some starch synthase genes have been determined in different organs and different species.

Early published starch synthase genes in cereals encoding SSI, SSIIa, and SSIII in maize (Knight et al., 1998; Harn et al., 1998; Gao et al., 1998), SSI, SSII, and SSIII in wheat (Li et al., 1999a, 1999b and 2000), and SSI, and SSIIa in barley (Gubler et al., 2000; Morell et al., 2003) are homologous genes of SSI, SSIIa, and SSIIIa in rice (Hirose and Terao, 2004). The analysis of mutants of starch synthase genes in a wide range of species demonstrates that granule-bound starch synthase I (GBSSI) is critical for amylose biosynthesis (Ball et al., 1996), but may also contribute to the synthesis of long chains of amyllopectin (Maddelein et al., 1994; Denyer et al., 1996). In contrast, SSI, SSIIa, and SSIIb are thought to be primarily involved in amyllopectin synthesis and to contribute to the extension of specific subsets of available non-reducing ends within the molecule. Loss of SSIIa resulted in a decrease in chains with dp 11–30 in a number of different mutants, indicating that the SSIIa enzyme plays a role in extending shorter glucan chains (Craig et al., 1998; Yamamori et al., 2000; Umemoto et al., 2002; Morell et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2004; Konik-Rose et al., 2007). Loss of SSIIIa in maize and barley confers a reduction in the proportion of very long chains (dp>50) and slightly reduced gelatinization temperature (Jane et al., 1999; Li et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2011). Arabidopsis mutants defective for SSIVa seem to have fewer, larger starch granules within the plastid and a role in priming starch granule formation has been postulated for the SSIV protein (Roldan et al., 2007). SSI mutants have been studied in Arabidopsis and rice. In transient storage starch of the Arabidopsis leaf, SSI is involved in biosynthesis of the small outer chains of the amyllopectin cluster (dp 8–12) (Delvalle et al., 2005). In the storage starch of rice endosperm, the SSI mutant had fewer short glucan chains (dp 8–12), and more intermediate glucan chains (dp 16–19) than the wild type (Fujita et al., 2006, 2011).

To understand the role of SSI in the complex genetic background of hexaploid bread wheat, we have suppressed the expression of the SSI gene in wheat endosperm. A reduction of SSI protein in the soluble phase and inside starch granules that can be used for the synthesis of ADP-glucose or for the supply of energy for plant metabolism. Starch-debranching enzymes are believed to be involved in the trimming of the irregularly arranged glucan chains to maintain glucan branches in amylopectin molecules in a regular order.

Materials and methods

Generation of an RNAi construct to suppress wheat SSI

A plasmid cloning vector (pBx17IRcassNOT) was first constructed for the suppression of target sequences in wheat endosperm by RNAi technology. The vector was similar to that published by Zaplin et al. (2013) with some modifications. It contained two additional fragments. The first additional fragment included a forward oriented cassette of attR-ccdB (1447 bp, Gateway cloning technology GibcoBRL/Life Technologies) with BamHI site at 5′ site and EcoRI site at 3′ site, and a rice starch branching enzyme I (D10838) intron 4 (467 bp from nucleotide 6202 to nucleotide 6668) in reverse orientation to the promoter, located between a HMW glutenin Bx17 promoter and a rice branching enzyme I intron 9 in forward orientation described by Zaplin et al. (2013). The second additional fragment was a reverse cassette of attR-ccdB (1435 bp) with SpeI site at 5′ site and KpnI at 3′ site between a rice branching enzyme I intron 9 and a NOS 3′ transcrip- tion terminator sequence described by Zaplin et al. (2013).

To create the SSI-RNAi construct, a target sequence (570 bp) was amplified from wheat SSIcDNA (GenBank accession No. AF091803) by PCR using the primers SSI-IR-F 5′-AAAGGATCCGGTA CCAGGATCTGAGGATCCATCG-3′ (containing BamHI and KpnI sites at 5′ end) and SSI-IR-R 5′-AAAGAAATTAC ATGTCAGCAGAAGGACTGCGACAGGG-3′ (containing EcoRI and SpeI sites at the 5′ end). PCR amplification was carried out under standard conditions using Hotstar polymerase (Qiagen, Australia) on a Hybaid PCR Express thermal cycler (Hybaid, UK). The thermal profile was 4 min at 94 °C followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 58 °C and 1 min at 72 °C. The resulting PCR fragments were first cloned in the reverse orientation into SpeI and KpnI sites and then in the forward orientation into BamHI and EcoRI sites to form a SSI-RNAi construct (Fig. 1).

Transformation of wheat

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L. cv. Bob White 26) plants as a source of explant embryos were grown under containment glasshouse conditions (24 °C day and 18 °C night) under natural light. Embryos were transformed by biolistic bombardment as published (Pellegrineschi et al., 2002). The SSI-RNAi construct was co-transformed with pCMNeoSTLS2 (Maas et al., 1997) encoding a selectable marker for neomycin phosphotransferase. After biolistic bombardment, calli were placed under selection on media containing 50 mg l−1 genetin (G418) and maintained at 24 °C in a 16 h light (~25 μE m−2 s−1) and 8 h dark regime. Calli were transferred onto fresh selection medium every three weeks. Plantlets were grown to 10 cm high, transplanted into soil in 10 cm pots, hardened on a misting bench for 2 weeks, and then transferred to a containment glasshouse with a temperature...
regime of 24 °C (day) and 18 °C (night). T2 plants were grown into soil in 10 cm pots and T3 plants were grown with random design into soil in 20 cm pots in the glasshouse described early.

Putative transgenic plants were screened for the presence of the transgene by PCR using the procedures described above. PCR primers Bx17-3' (5'-CAACCATGGTCCCTGAACCTTCACC-3'), and SSI-IR-RM 5'-CTTGCCATTACAACCATCACGC-3' were used for the amplification of a 360 bp fragment specific to SSI-RNAi construct, including ~150 bp Bx17 promoter and ~200 bp of an SSI fragment in sense orientation.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA from 15 days post-anthesis (DPA) developing endosperms was extracted following the procedure described in Clarke and Rahman (2005). The DNA-free™ kit (Ambion) was then used to remove contaminating DNA, following the manufacturer's protocols. Where it was possible, the primers were designed to bind either side of an intron to enable detection of any genomic DNA contamination (Table 1). A total of 0.3 μg of RNA template was used for the cDNA synthesis in a 20 μl reaction at 75 °C for 10 min for RNA denaturation, 50 °C for 50 min for reverse transcription and 95 °C for 10 min for enzyme inactivation. The reverse transcription reaction mix contained 4 μl of 5× Superscript reverse transcription PCR buffer (Invitrogen), 0.5 μl of dNTP mix (containing 10 mM of each dNTP), 2.5 μl of 10 mM DTT, 0.25 μl of RNasin (RNA guard) (40 U μl–1) (Invitrogen), 0.25 μl of 40 pmol μl–1 of polyT primer and 0.5 μl of SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (200 U μl–1) (Invitrogen). For each PCR reaction (20 μl), 25 ng of cDNA, 3.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 5 pmol of primers 1×SYBR Green I (Invitrogen), 1×PCR buffer (no MgCl2), and 0.25 U of Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) was used. The PCRs were conducted and analysed using a RotorGene 600 (Corbett Life Science, Australia). The real-time PCR conditions were one cycle of 95 °C for 10 min, 45 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, 58 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 20 s, and melting from 72 °C to 95 °C rising by 0.5 °C for each step. Comparative quantitation analysis was used to calculate the comparative expression. The comparative expression for each genotype was calculated by mean values of the comparative concentration for mRNAs for SSI individually divided by the mean value of the comparative concentration for mRNAs for α-tubulin (GenBank accession No. DQ435663).

Analysis of starch synthase activities

Soluble proteins were extracted by homogenizing developing grains from 15 DPA on ice in an extraction buffer containing 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 5, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 2 μl ml–1 protease inhibitor cocktail for plant cell and tissue extracts (Sigma, Australia) and 20% (v/v) glycerol followed by centrifugation at 13 000×g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was decanted and the protein concentration was estimated using Coomassie Plus™ Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce, Australia). PAGE was carried out for the separation of proteins according to Laemmli (1970). Protein samples (20 μg) were loaded onto an 8% acrylamide gel containing 5 mg ml–1 oyster glycogen (Sigma, Australia). Starch synthase activity in the gel was revealed by overnight incubation in an assay buffer containing 25 mM Tris, 190 mM glycine, 133 mM (NH4)2SO4, 7.3 mM MgCl2, 0.07% w/v BSA, 0.47% v/v β-mercaptoethanol and 1.24 mM ADP-glucose, followed by staining of glucan chains with I2–KI solution containing 2 g l–1 iodine and 20 g l–1 potassium iodide (Li et al., 2000).

Analyses for grain properties and starch properties of T3 and T4 generations of mature grains

Analyses for grain weight (average seed weight was calculated from the total weight of 100 seeds), protein content, starch content, starch granules, starch swelling power, starch gelatinization temperature, and starch viscosity were performed on the T3 and T4 grains of two transgenic event-pairs (SSI-RNAi A and F) for the lines containing homozygous transgene from SSI-RNAi construct and their negative segregants which were derived from T1 heterozygous plants. T3 grains used for the analysis were from a single T2 plant of each of two transgenic event-pairs. To conduct the detail analysis, five T3 plants from each of two transgenic event-pairs were grown with random design to produce T4 grain for analysis.

Grain weight

Grains from T4, SSI-RNAi and negative segregant lines were measured. Average grain weight produced was the mean values of triplicates of 100 grains for each of SSI-RNAi lines and their negative segregants.

Assay of protein content

Protein content was measured by near infrared analysis instrument NIR 5000 (FOSS) as described previously (Cavanagh et al., 2010).
Assay of starch content
Wheat grains were first ground to wholemeal using a Cyclone mill machine using a sieve with a size of 0.5 mm (Cyclote 1093, Tecator, Sweden). Starch content was assayed using AACC method 76.13 using 20 mg of wholemeal for each of three replicate samples (Konik-Rose et al., 2007).

Isolation of starch
Starch was progressively eluted into water from a dough made from wholemeal flour of wheat grains. Starch was pelleted at 2000 × g for 20 min, resuspended in distilled water and pelleted again. Proteins were removed from the granule surface with 50 μL Proteinase K (1 mg ml−1 in 25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA) overnight at 37 °C. The starch was centrifuged for 20 min at 2000 × g then washed twice in distilled water, three times in 0.05% (w/v) sodium hydroxide followed by two washes in distilled water. Finally, the starch pellet was freeze dried under vacuum for two days.

Assay of amylose content
The amylose content of starch was determined by a modification of the method of Morrison and Laingnet (1983). Lipid was first removed by dispersing 2 mg (± 0.1 mg) of starch in 1 ml of 85% methanol and heating to 65 °C for 1 h with occasional vortexing. After centrifugation at 13 000 × g for 5 min, the supernatant was removed and the extraction was repeated. The starch was dried at 65 °C for 1 h and dissolved in 1 ml urea dimethyl sulfoxide (UDMSO) solution (0.6 M urea in 90% dimethyl sulfoxide). The mixture was immediately vortexed vigorously and kept in a 95 °C water bath for 1 h with intermittent vortexing for complete dissolution of the starch. An aliquot of the starch–UDMSO solution (50 μl) was treated with 20 μl of L-KI solution (1:10 w/v in water) and made up to 1 ml with water. Sample absorbance of 200 μl solution was read with an Emax Precision Microplate Reader (Molecular and made up to 1 ml with water. Sample absorbance of 200 μl solution was converted to percentage amylose using a regression equation derived from the standard samples.

Analysis of starch granule size
Granule size distribution (by volume) of the starch slurries was determined using a laser diffraction particle size analyser (Mastersizer 2000, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, England). The percentage of small B-type starch granules was determined using a cut-off diameter of 7 μm (refer to the result section). The diameter of A-type starch granules was calculated as the diameter of starch granules at the peak of A-type starch granules.

Analysis of starch–granule-bound proteins of mature grains
Starch–granule-bound proteins were isolated and separated on SDS–PAGE gel as described previously (Rahman et al., 1995). The proteins were then stained by silver staining (Li et al., 1999a) or Sypro Ruby Protein Gel Stain (Invitrogen). The protein gels were scanned to image files (Epson Perfection 2450 PHOTO; Epson America Inc., CA, USA).

Analysis of starch chain length distribution
The starch for each sample was weighed into 20 mg triplicates and debranched by iso-amylase (Megazyme, Ireland). The chain length distribution of amylopectin was analysed according to O’Shea et al. (1998), on a P/ACE 5510 capillary electrophoresis system (Beckman Coulter, Australia).

Analysis of starch gelatinization properties
Starch gelatinization parameters were measured in a Pyris 1 differential scanning calorimeter (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT). Starch was combined with water in a 1:2 (w/v) ratio. This mixture (40–50 mg, accurately determined) was sealed in a stainless steel pan and scanned at 10 °C min−1 from 20 °C–140 °C with an empty stainless steel pan as a reference. The PYRIS software was used to calculate gelatinization temperatures and enthalpy.

Measurement of swelling power
Swelling power was measured by the 40 mg swelling test (Konik-Rose et al., 2001). Briefly, approximately 40 mg of purified starches (accurately determined) were gelatinized in 1 ml of water at 92.5 °C for 30 min with regular inversions. Solutions were cooled for 10 min in a waterbath at room temperature. Samples were centrifuged at 13 000 × g for 10 min. The supernatants were removed and the residues were weighed. Swelling power was calculated as the weight of residue divided by the dry weight of starch.

Statistical analyses of grain components or starch properties
Statistical analyses were performed using Genstat version 13. Analysis of variance was performed for grain weight, starch content, amylose content, protein content, B starch granule, swelling power, RVA data, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data, and quantitative RT–PCT data to obtain the least significant difference (LSD, P<0.05), looking at changes between two transgenic event-pairs.

Results
Production of SSI-RNAi transgenic wheat plants
Three independent SSI-RNAi suppressed lines were produced by particle bombardment. To obtain transgenic wheat plants, 800 wheat embryos were bombarded with the SSI-RNAi construct (Fig. 1) and selected on media containing G418. To ensure independent transgenic events, only a
single shoot was regenerated from a single callus. In total, thirty transgenic events were identified by PCR amplification of an SSI-RNAi construct-specific DNA fragment. To identify SSI-RNAi suppressed lines, the expression of SSI enzymatic activity in developing T₁ endosperm of SSI-RNAi construct transgenic lines was analysed by native polyacrylamide activity gels (zymogram). SSI and SSIII in these zymograms were identified immunologically as in previous studies (Cao et al., 1999; Li et al., 2000). Three independent SSI-RNAi suppressed lines (SSI-RNAi A, E, and F) were identified with a noticeably reduced SSI enzyme activity (Fig. 2). These lines were selfed to create T₂ plants, and homozygous transgenic and negative segregant T₃ SSI-RNAi A and SSI-RNAi F lines were analysed by PCR to identify whether the SSI-RNAi transgene was present or absent in all twenty of the T₃ transgenic plants originating from one T₂ transgenic plant. SSI-RNAi line E was not stable and was not studied further.

Expression of mRNAs for SSI gene
The SSI-RNAi transgene changes the levels of expressed mRNAs for SSI measured by quantitative real-time PCR. Relative expression data showed that the level of SSI mRNA in T₄ immature endosperm of both SSI-RNAi lines was significantly lower than that from their negative segregants (Fig. 4).

Properties of the mature transgenic grain
To determine the effect of the suppression of SSI expression in wheat grain, analysis of grain weight and its composition was undertaken in T₃ (Table 2A) and T₄ (Table 2B) generation grains. Grain weight was similar for all lines for both SSI-RNAi event-pairs (i.e. homozygous vs. negative segregant) at approximately 51–53 mg per grain for T₃ grains and 57–61 mg per grain for T₄ grains. Overall, both SSI-RNAi event-pairs were also similar in their protein contents (approximately 16–20% for T₃ grains and 15–16% for T₄ grains) and starch contents (approximately 56–64% for T₃ grains and 57–62% for T₄ grains).

Properties of endosperm starch
Amylose content and percentage of B starch granules
Apparent amylose content of the starch is affected by the suppressed SSI expression in wheat endosperm. The results, as measured by iodine staining, showed 4% and 8% more amylose in SSI-RNAi A and SSI-RNAi F than that from their negative segregants in T₃ grains, respectively (Table 2A). In T₄ grains, amylose content did not change in the SSI-RNAi A line and significantly increased in the SSI-RNAi F line by 3.5% to 30.9% (P < 0.05) (Table 2B). Thus, the SSI-RNAi F line contained significantly less amylopectin compared with the other three lines.

The suppression of SSI expression also changes the sizes of starch granules in wheat grains. Starch granule size distribution was analysed with a laser particle analyser, which clearly showed two peaks with a minimum around 7 μm (Fig. 5). By convention the more abundant larger granules

---

**Fig. 2.** Zymogram analysis of wheat endosperm extracts from T₁ SSI-RNAi transgenic lines at 15 DPA, separated by non-denaturing PAGE. Three lines with reduced SSI enzyme activity are labelled above the lines. Other lines, which are without obvious changes or have lower reduction of SSI enzyme activities, are not labelled. The activities of SSI, SSIII, and unknown proteins are indicated on the right side. A, SSI-RNAi A; E, SSI-RNAi E; F, SSI-RNAi F.
are designated A granules and the smaller B granules were here defined as those smaller than 7 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter. The results showed that in T 4 grains, SSI-RNAi A and SSI-RNAi F contained a significantly lower percentage of B-granules (15.2% and 14.7%, respectively) than that from their negative segregant lines (16.1% and 17.7%, respectively; \( P < 0.05 \)) (Table 2B).

**Chain length distribution**

The suppression of SSI expression in wheat grain modifies the chain length distribution of grain starch. To reveal the glucan chain length distribution of amylopectin in the SSI-RNAi suppressed T 4 SSI-RNAi A and SSI-RNAi F lines, purified starches were debranched and the glucan chains were separated by capillary electrophoresis. The relative proportion of the total for each glucan chain length was determined. The mean value (starches from five plants for each of two transgenic lines and their negative segregants) for each amylopectin glucan chain of the negative segregant line was subtracted from the corresponding value for the SSI-RNAi line. The resulting differences in chain length distribution between the SSI-RNAi transgenic lines and their negative segregants are shown in Fig. 6. Amylopectin molecules from the transgenic SSI-RNAi lines had fewer short chains (dp 8–12) and more chains of dp 6–7 and medium length chains (dp 13–22) than the negative segregants. Glucan chains greater than dp 25 seem to be unaffected by SSI suppression. This phenotype was more severe in line SSI-RNAi F, and this
correlated with the reduction in SSI protein (it is a negative correlation with protein level).

**Starch gelatinization temperature**

The reduction of SSI proteins in the SSI-RNAi transgenic lines leads to the changes of starch gelatinization. Starch from T₄ grains of both SSI-RNAi lines had significantly higher peak gelatinization temperature and end gelatinization temperature ($P<0.05$, Table 3) than that from their negative segregants. However, all had similar onset gelatinization temperatures. SSI-RNAi F starch had both peak and end gelatinization temperatures higher than that from the SSI-RNAi A line, but the difference was not significant for peak gelatinization temperature. The SSI-RNAi F line had significantly

---

**Table 2. Grain weight and composition of SSI-RNAi and negative segregant lines**

**A. T₃ SSI-RNAi and negative segregant lines**

| Line          | Grain weight (mg) | Protein content (%) | Starch content (%) | Amylose content (%) |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| SSI-RNAi A    | 51.6 (6.8)        | 19.3 (1.5)         | 56.5 (2.9)        | 33.9 (2.6)         |
| SSI-RNAi A –ve| 53.7 (6.7)        | 20.7 (1.8)         | 56.6 (1.5)        | 29.5 (1.4)         |
| SSI-RNAi F    | 51.6 (3.7)        | 16.8 (1.5)         | 63.5 (0.6)        | 33.7 (1.7)         |
| SSI-RNAi F –ve| 51.0 (4.8)        | 16.4 (1.3)         | 62.8 (3.9)        | 25.8 (5.2)         |

Protein content, starch content and β-glucan content are expressed as a percentage of grain weight and are the mean of three technical replicates. Amylose content is expressed as a percentage of total starch. –ve: denotes the negative segregant of SSI-RNAi A or F transgenic line. Standard deviation in brackets.

**B. T₄ SSI-RNAi and negative segregant lines**

| Lines          | Grain weight (mg) | Protein content (%) | Starch content (%) | Amylose content (%) | Amylopectin content (%) | B starch granule (%) |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| SSI-RNAi A     | 61.1 (3.5)        | 16.3 (1.0)         | 57.6 (1.3)        | 28.9 (2.6)         | 71.1 (2.6)              | 15.2 (2.3)           |
| SSI-RNAi A –ve | 60.5 (2.6)        | 15.4 (1.5)         | 62.3 (3.8)        | 28.5 (1.9)         | 71.5 (1.9)              | 16.1 (1.1)           |
| SSI-RNAi F     | 57.5 (4.3)        | 15.3 (1.1)         | 57.1 (3.7)        | 30.9 (2.0)         | 69.1 (2.0)              | 14.7 (1.3)           |
| SSI-RNAi F –ve | 60.4 (2.8)        | 15.0 (2.4)         | 60.2 (5.1)        | 27.4 (1.7)         | 72.6 (1.7)              | 17.7 (1.3)           |
| LSD ($P<0.05$) | 4.5               | 2.2                | 5.0               | 2.8                | 2.8                     | 2.1                  |

Protein content and starch content are expressed as a percentage of grain weight and are the mean of three technical replicates. Amylose content and B starch granule are expressed as a percentage of total starch. –ve: denotes the negative segregant of SSI-RNAi A or F transgenic line. Numbers are mean values from five plants for each of two transgenic lines and their negative segregants. Standard deviation presents in brackets. The letters a or b next to values are based on LSD; mean values with the same letter are not statistically significantly different ($P<0.05$), whereas those with a different letter are statistically significantly different.

**Fig. 5.** Particle size analysis of grain starch granules of T₄ SSI-RNAi and negative segregant lines. The y axis expresses the amount of each size of starch granules as a percentage of total starch. The x axis represents the size of starch granules. The names of lines are labelled on the right of the micrograph.
lower enthalpy (ΔH) for gelatinization temperature peak than that from the negative segregant and SSI-RNAi A line (P<0.05). With the amylose-lipid dissociation peak, there were no significant differences for the dissociation temperature and the ΔH values between the SSI-RNAi A line or SSI-RNAi F line and their negative segregants (P<0.05).

Swelling power
The reduction of SSI protein in SSI-RNAi transgenic lines reduces starch-swelling power. A 40mg swelling-power test was conducted on grain starches isolated from five plants of each of two SSI-RNAi transgenic lines and their negative segregant lines. The negative segregant control starches absorb approximately 12 times their weight of water, whereas starch from the T4 grains of SSI-RNAi A and SSI-RNAi F absorb only 11 or 10 times their weight, a statistically significant reduction of 8% or 20% respectively (P<0.05; Table 4).

Rapid viscosity analysis
The suppression of SSI protein in SSI-RNAi transgenic lines changes the viscosity properties of starch. Rapid viscosity analysis (RVA) showed that starch from T4 grains of SSI-RNAi A and SSI-RNAi F lines had significantly lower peak viscosity (PV), holding strength (HS), final viscosity (FV),

---

**Table 3.** Differential scanning calorimetry measurements of starches from T4 generation of SSI-RNAi lines and control lines

| Line             | Starch gelatinization peak | Amylose-lipid dissociation peak |
|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                  | Onset | Peak | End | DeltaH | Onset | Peak | End | DeltaH |
| SSI-RNAi A       | 58.0^a | 61.5^a | 67.3^b | 4.5^a | 98.2^a | 104.6^a | 110.0^a | 0.6^a |
| SSI-RNAi A –ve   | 57.6^a | 60.5^b | 65.5^c | 4.7^a | 98.9^a | 104.7^a | 110.4^a | 0.5^a |
| SSI-RNAi F       | 58.0^a | 62.2^a | 68.5^a | 4.1^b | 98.2^a | 104.4^a | 108.8^a | 0.7^a |
| SSI-RNAi F –ve   | 57.6^a | 60.8^b | 65.7^c | 4.6^a | 97.2^a | 104.2^a | 109.8^a | 0.6^a |
| LSD (P<0.05)     | 0.9   | 0.8  | 0.7  | 0.4   | 2.0   | 0.6  | 1.7  | 0.2   |

DSC was performed in duplicates on starches from five lines of two event-pairs. –ve denotes the negative segregant of SSI-RNAi A or F transgenic line. Numbers are mean values from five plants for each of two transgenic lines and their negative segregants. The letters a, b, or c next to values are based on LSD; mean values with the same letter are not statistically significantly different (P<0.05), whereas those with different letters are statistically different.

**Table 4.** Swelling power and rapid viscosity analysis measurements of starches from the T4 generation of SSI-RNAi lines and their control lines

| Line             | Swelling power | RVA           |
|------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                  | PV (RVU) | HS (RVU) | BD (RVU) | FV (RVU) | SB (RVU) | Pt (min) | PT (°C) |
| SSI-RNAi A       | 11.0^a   | 188.3^b   | 150.1^b   | 38.3^a   | 265.7^b   | 115.6^b   | 10.1^a   | 87.7^a   |
| SSI-RNAi A –ve   | 12.0^a   | 222.7^a   | 187.7^a   | 37.1^a   | 338.5^a   | 150.9^a   | 10.0^a   | 85.8^b   |
| SSI-RNAi F       | 10.0^a   | 167.8^b   | 130.6^c   | 37.3^a   | 231.2^b   | 100.6^b   | 10.1^a   | 88.9^a   |
| SSI-RNAi F –ve   | 12.7^a   | 221.7^a   | 179.9^a   | 41.9^a   | 329.0^a   | 149.3^a   | 9.9^a    | 86.1^b   |
| LSD (P<0.05)     | 0.6     | 23.6      | 19.0      | 6.3      | 36.1      | 17.5      | 0.2      | 1.6      |

Swelling power and RVA was performed in duplicates on starches from five lines of two event-pairs. –ve denotes the negative segregant of SSI-RNAi A or F transgenic line. Numbers are mean values from five plants for each of two transgenic lines and their negative segregants. The letters a or b next to values are based on LSD; mean values with the same letter are not statistically significantly different (P<0.05), whereas those with a different letter are statistically significantly different. PV: peak viscosity, HS: holding strength, BD: breakdown (BD=PV–HS), FV: final viscosity, SB: setback (SB=FV–HS), Pt: peak temperature, PT: Pasting temperature.
setback (SB), and higher pasting temperature (PT) than that from their negative segregants ($P<0.05$). The value for HS was lower from the SSI-RNAi F line than that from the SSI-RNAi A line (Table 4).

**Starch granule morphology**
Changes in starch granule morphology of the SSI-RNAi lines were examined by scanning electron microscopy. There were no obvious changes between starch granules from the SSI-RNAi A line and its negative segregant under $\times 300$ (Fig. 7A) and $\times 1000$ (Fig. 7B) magnifications. However, a large number of abnormal starch granules were observed in the SSI-RNAi F line under $\times 300$ magnification (Fig. 7A), and at higher magnification ($\times 1000$) the larger granules especially appeared to be of a compound nature and to have a distorted surface compared with the smooth granules of the negative segregant.

**Birefringence**
The suppression of SSI protein in the SSI-RNAi transgenic lines changes the crystalline order in the starch granules. Birefringence provides a visual measure of the level of crystalline order in starch granules. Spherical-shaped granules show a distinct Maltese cross when examined by polarized light (Fig. 8A). Starch granules from the SSI-RNAi A line had a normal Maltese cross, and a similar brightness compared with the negative segregant (Fig. 8A). However, starch granules from the SSI-RNAi F line showed some disruption in clarity of the Maltese cross and a loss of brightness compared with the negative segregant. In a few normal-shaped granules, a clear Maltese cross was visible; however in the majority of the granules, only the points of the cross near the edges of the granules could be seen and the centre of the granules appeared dull and misshapen (Fig. 8B). B-starch granules that were present were not deformed in shape and did show a Maltese cross indicating that they possessed a level of structural order.

**Amounts of protein, starch, amylose, and amyllopectin on a per caryopsis basis**
Expressing grain composition data on a percentage basis does not reflect the underlying synthesis rate of starch in wheat grain. To examine the absolute levels of protein and starch synthesis in the SSI-RNAi lines and their negative segregants, contents were calculated on a per caryopsis basis (Table 5). Such analysis revealed that the observed increase of amylose content in the SSI-RNAi F line was due to a reduction of amyllopectin synthesis, and not the increase of amylose synthesis. The reduction of amyllopectin synthesis was also reflected in the reduction of starch content. There were no changes for the synthesis of protein content on a per caryopsis basis.

**Discussion**

**Reduction of the SSI protein by RNAi suppression**
Two independent SSI-RNAi transgenic events (SSI-RNAi A and F) showed that insertion of the SSI-RNAi construct into the wheat genome conferred a clearly altered phenotype upon wheat endosperm starch. The RNAi technology has been widely used for the suppression of endogenous genes in cereal and oil grains (Liu et al., 2002; Regina et al., 2006) since it was first discovered (Smith et al., 2000). Changes in the endosperm starch of the SSI-RNAi transgenic lines in this work included an increase in the abundance of very short chains (dp 6–7) and intermediate chains (dp 13–22), and a reduction in the abundance of short chains (dp 8–12) of amyllopectin. The altered amyllopectin chain-length distribution co-segregated with the SSI-RNAi transgene, and with the reduction of the SSI protein in the soluble phase and bound to the starch granule in both lines. Therefore, we interpret this to mean that the SSI-RNAi transgene is responsible for the suppression of the native level of SSI protein that is causing the changes in the distributions of the starch chain length.

The SSI enzymatic activity in the endosperm was clearly reduced as measured by zymogram analysis, and it seems that this limited the extension of the very short chains of dp 6–7 so that these chains increased in abundance while the glucose chains of dp 8–12 were reduced. Thus, we conclude that the very short glucose chains of dp 6–7 residues are the substrates for SSI enzyme, which extends them to a length of 8–12 glucose residues within the amyllopectin clusters. The effects of SSI on the chain length distribution are consistent with the role of SSI activities in rice grains (Fujita et al., 2006, 2011) and *Arabidopsis* leaves (Delvalle et al., 2005). It was hypothesized that loss of SSI leaves more reducing ends available to SSI, and SSI is able to generate the longer dp 12–18 chains, whereas SSI does not elongate chains beyond dp 12 (Delvalle et al., 2005). Thus, SSI has a similar role in the synthesis of starch in dicot plants (e.g. *Arabidopsis*) as well as monocot plants (e.g. rice and wheat).

**Reduction in SSI protein causes changes to starch morphology and composition, and starch functional properties in wheat endosperm**
In the line with the largest decrease in SSI protein (SSI-RNAi F), a large number of abnormal starch granules were detected under high magnification with a scanning electron microscope. The SSI-RNAi F line also had an altered birefringence pattern with an abnormal less bright Maltese cross. Changes in starch granule morphology and crystallinity were not detected in SSI mutants of rice endosperm starch and *Arabidopsis* leaf starch, although in the latter, starch granules were slightly elongated (Delvalle et al., 2005; Fujita et al., 2006). In comparison with the suppression of SSI, the suppression of SSIia or SBEIia expression in mutants of pea, maize, wheat, and barley cause more severe changes in starch granule structure with many deformed granules, and nearly complete loss of birefringence for all starch granules (Wang et al., 1993; Craig et al., 1998; Yamamori et al., 2000; Morell et al., 2003; Regina et al., 2006; Konik-Rose et al., 2007).

An increase in apparent amylose content and a reduced proportion of B starch granules were observed in the SSI-RNAi F line. The increase of amylose content was not due to an increase of amylose synthesis, but due to the
Fig. 7. Scanning electron micrographs of wheat grain starches from T₄ generation of SSI-RNAi suppressed lines and their negative segregants. The names of lines are labelled underneath the micrographs. (A) ×300 magnification, (B) ×1000 magnification. Bars indicating 20 μm (A) or 10 μm (B) are shown on each micrograph.
Suppression of starch synthase I expression in wheat endosperm

Fig. 8. Micrographs of wheat grain starches from T₄ generation of SSI-RNAi suppressed lines and their negative segregants (at ×400 magnification). The names of lines are labelled on the left side of the micrographs. Types of light are indicated on the top of the micrographs. (A) SSI-RNAi F and its negative segregate. (B) SSI-RNAi A and its negative segregate. Bars indicating 50 μm are shown on each micrograph.

Table 5. Amount of protein and starch of T₄ SSI-RNAi and negative segregant lines on a per seed basis

| Lines        | Protein content (mg) | Starch content (mg) | Amylose content (mg) | Amylopectin content (mg) |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| SSI-RNAi A   | 9.9 (0.5)a           | 35.2 (2.7)b         | 10.1 (0.7)a          | 25.1 (2.6)a              |
| SSI-RNAi A –ve | 9.4 (1.1)a          | 37.8 (3.7)b         | 10.7 (0.9)a          | 27.0 (3.2)b              |
| SSI-RNAi F   | 8.8 (1.2)a           | 32.8 (3.2)b         | 10.2 (1.6)a          | 22.6 (1.7)b              |
| SSI-RNAi F –ve | 9.1 (1.6)a          | 36.3 (2.5)b         | 9.9 (1.1)a           | 26.4 (1.7)b              |
| LSD (P<0.05) | 1.6                  | 4.1                 | 1.5                  | 3.2                      |

–ve denotes the negative segregant of SSI-RNAi A or F transgenic line. Numbers are mean values from five plants for each of two transgenic lines and their negative segregants. Standard deviation present in brackets. The letters a or b next to values are based on LSD; mean values with the same letter are not statistically significantly different (P<0.05), whereas those with a different letter are statistically significantly different.
decrease of amylopectin synthesis on a per caryopsis basis. Such changes in amylose content were not observed in rice endosperm (Fujita et al., 2006). However, in Arabidopsis leaf, amylose content was also increased and there were more small starch granules (Delvalle et al., 2005). In comparison with the SSI-RNAi F line, not only the wheat and barley SSIIa mutants, but also the wheat SBEIIa suppression line or the barley SBEIIa and SBEIb suppression line contain much higher amylose content (~45% in wheat SSIIa mutant, and ~70% or more for others) (Yamamori et al., 2000; Morell et al., 2003; Konik-Rose et al., 2007; Regina et al., 2006, 2010, 2012).

The reduction of starch swelling power in the wheat SSI-RNAi lines may be due to the reduction of the frequency of short chains of amylopectin (dp 8–12), as the SSI-RNAi A line, which has similar amylose content as its negative segregant line, had lower swelling power than that of its negative segregant line. The reduction of starch swelling power was also reported for starch containing increased amylose content through the suppression of SBEIIa in barley (Regina et al., 2010), SSIIa in wheat (Konik-Rose et al., 2007), or through the increase of functional alleles of GBSSI (Yamamori & Quynh, 2000). The suppression of SSI in wheat had less effect on starch swelling power than that observed from the suppression of SSIIa expression in barley and wheat (Morell et al., 2003; Konik-Rose et al., 2007). The level of the reduction of starch swelling power in wheat SSI-RNAi lines is similar to that from single null mutation of SSIIa in wheat, and much lower than that from double null and triple null SSIIa mutations (Konik-Rose et al., 2007), probably owing to the lower increase in amylose content in the SSI-RNAi lines.

Both SSI-RNAi lines showed decreased starch peak viscosity, decreased starch final viscosity, increased starch peak gelatinization temperature, and increased starch end gelatinization temperature compared with their negative segregants. This may be due to their starch containing less short chains (dp 8–12) and more intermediate chains (dp 13–22). Low peak viscosity and high peak gelatinization temperature were also observed in rice SSI mutant endosperm starch (Fujita et al., 2006; Delvalle et al., 2005). As SSI protein is found in the soluble phase and bound to the starch granule, and both forms are reduced in abundance in the RNAi lines, both could be important in controlling starch morphology, composition, and functional properties in wheat endosperm. However, further work is required to make definitive conclusions about the relative contribution of each fraction.

In conclusion, this work showed that starch synthase I in wheat grains can be effectively suppressed using RNAi technology, that when SSI is sufficiently suppressed the abundance of SSI in the soluble phase and bound to the starch granule is noticeably reduced and that this changes the starch structure, granule morphology, and starch properties. SSI clearly plays an important role in biosynthesis of wheat endosperm storage starch, but more work is required to determine the relative importance of SSI in the granule stroma and granule-bound forms.
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