Outcomes and Return to Sport After Ulnar Collateral Ligament Reconstruction in Adolescent Baseball Players
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Background: The number of ulnar collateral ligament (UCL) reconstructions in adolescent athletes has increased over the past 2 decades. Clinical results in this population have not been well studied.

Purpose/Hypothesis: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the outcomes and return to sport after UCL reconstruction in a large group of adolescent baseball players. We hypothesized that excellent clinical outcomes and high rates of return to sport would be observed in this population at a minimum 2-year follow-up.

Study Design: Case series; Level of evidence, 4.

Methods: We reviewed 140 adolescent (aged ≤19 years) baseball players who underwent UCL reconstruction with the American Sports Medicine Institute (ASMI) technique by a single surgeon. Medical records were reviewed for patient demographics, injury characteristics, operative details, and surgical complications. Patient-reported outcomes were assessed using the Conway scale, the Andrews-Timmerman (A-T) score, the Kerlan-Jobe Orthopaedic Clinic (KJOC) score, and a 0- to 100-point subjective scale for elbow function and satisfaction. Return to sporting activity was assessed using a custom-designed questionnaire.

Results: The mean age at the time of surgery was 18.0 years (range, 13-19 years), and the mean follow-up was 57.9 months (range, 32.4-115.4 months). Over half (60%) of patients were high school athletes. The mean duration of symptoms before surgery was 6.9 months (range, 0.5-60.0 months). Partial tears were identified in 57.9% of patients, and 41.3% of patients had preoperative ulnar nerve symptoms. Graft type included the ipsilateral palmaris in 77.1% of patients. Concomitant procedures were performed in 25% of patients. Outcomes on the Conway scale were “excellent” in 86.4% of patients. The mean A-T and KJOC scores were 97.3 ± 6.1 and 85.2 ± 14.6, respectively. Mean patient satisfaction was 94.4. Overall, 97.8% of patients reported returning to sport at a mean of 11.6 months (range, 5-24 months), and 89.9% of patients returned to sport at the same level of competition or higher. A total of 11.6% of patients went on to play professional baseball.

Conclusion: UCL reconstruction with the ASMI technique is an effective surgical option in adolescents, with excellent outcome scores. At a minimum of 2-year follow-up, nearly 90% of patients returned to their preinjury level of sport.
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undergoing UCL reconstruction. According to the study by Mahure et al., the annual incidence of UCL reconstructions among 15- to 19-year-old athletes is projected to increase from 6.3 per 100,000 to 14.6 per 100,000 by 2025. Another study analyzing the demographic distribution of UCL reconstructions from the years 2007 to 2011 found that adolescents (aged 15-19 years) accounted for 56.8% of all UCL reconstructions, with an annual growth rate of 9.84%.

The many risk factors contributing to elbow injuries in this population add complexity to our understanding of the epidemiology of UCL injuries. Among the many proposed risk factors include increased weight, lifting weights during the season, absence of glenohumeral internal rotation, pre-season supraspinatus weakness, overuse, fatigue, high pitch velocity, throwing of breaking pitches (curveballs and sliders), participation in showcases (events for student-athletes to show off their baseball skills in front of college coaches), and geographic location (ie, pitchers in warm weather climates). Risk factors also include pitching more games, months, and pitches per year; more innings and pitches per game; more warm-up pitches before a game; and players who were more frequently started as pitchers. Despite efforts to stem the epidemic of adolescent elbow injuries through risk factor education and enforcement of pitch counts, the number of adolescent athletes undergoing UCL reconstruction continues to increase.

The surgical technique used by the senior author (J.R.A.) has been referred to as the American Sports Medicine Institute (ASMI) technique and is a modification of the original technique described by Jobe et al. In the largest case series to date by Cain et al. on UCL reconstructions involving this technique from 1988 to 2006, 82.4% of 131 high school athletes returned to the same or higher level of competition; 50% went on to play in college, and 6% advanced to professional play in the minor leagues. Despite the number of studies in the young adult population using the ASMI and other techniques, there are limited published reports focusing specifically on the outcomes of UCL reconstruction in adolescents.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the clinical results of UCL reconstruction utilizing the ASMI technique in a large group of adolescent baseball players. We sought to identify any patient or surgical factors affecting patient-reported functional outcomes. Secondarily, we sought to characterize the success of return to sport. On the basis of prior studies, we hypothesized that excellent clinical outcomes and high rates of return to sport would be observed in this young population at a minimum 2-year follow-up.

METHODS

Patient Selection

Ethics approval was obtained from our local institutional review board before the initiation of this study. We retrospectively reviewed a consecutive series of adolescent patients who underwent UCL reconstruction according to the technique described by Andrews and colleagues. All surgical procedures were performed by the senior author, a fellowship-trained sports medicine surgeon, between January 2007 and March 2014. A total of 301 patients were identified through a Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code search of an institutional computerized database using the code 24346 (reconstruction medial collateral ligament, elbow, with tendon graft). The search was limited to patients aged 10 to 19 years, consistent with the World Health Organization’s definition of adolescence.

Patients were included in the study if they had a UCL tear confirmed with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and underwent primary UCL reconstruction. Those with associated lesions were also included, and there were no limits regarding concomitant procedures. Exclusion criteria for this study were participation in a sport other than baseball and those unable to be contacted or not wishing to be involved in a research study.

Patient-reported outcome data were obtained by contacting all patients via telephone ≥2 years after surgery. Research assistants, all of whom had not been involved in the original surgery or care of the patients, performed the telephone questionnaires. A total of 161 patients failed to meet the appropriate criteria and were excluded from the cohort. Ultimately, 140 patients were contacted for a follow-up evaluation. A detailed flow diagram is included in Figure 1.

Surgery and Postoperative Management

Reconstruction of the UCL was performed using the ASMI technique, a modification of the Jobe procedure, with a free autologous graft and subcutaneous ulnar nerve transposition in all cases. Postoperative rehabilitation was initiated the day after surgery and consisted of 5 separate phases. Postoperatively, the patient's elbow was immobilized in a posterior splint at 90° of flexion to allow initial healing. Approximately 5 to 7 days postoperatively, the splint was removed, and a hinged elbow brace was applied. Active range of motion exercises were begun to achieve full extension by 2 weeks. Shoulder isometrics were begun during the first week, but external rotation was not performed until the third week. Shoulder conditioning exercises began...
at the third week. Elbow flexion and extension strengthening began during the fourth week with the elbow at the side. The brace was discontinued at the fifth week. Shoulder and elbow strengthening was progressed at the 6-week point with the initiation of the Thrower’s Ten Program.30 This was continuously progressed throughout the rehabilitation process. Plyometric and sport-specific training followed strengthening during weeks 10 to 16. An interval hitting program was started at around 12 weeks. A 2-week rainbow throwing program typically began around week 16 after successful completion of the previous phases. If symptom-free, the athlete began a formal interval throwing program. For pitchers, a 6- to 8-week progressive, long-toss flat-ground program preceded an interval mound program. The timing and goals of each phase are presented in Table 1.

Clinical Evaluation

Operative and clinical notes were reviewed for all patients. Data regarding age at presentation, side, sex, sport/position(s), physical examination findings, details of surgical intervention, postoperative follow-up information, complications, and subsequent operative procedures on the involved elbow were recorded. UCL tears were classified as partial or complete based on MRI findings as documented in the medical records. Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) included the Conway scale,8 the subjective Andrews-Timmerman (A-T) score,4 and the Kerlan-Jobe Orthopaedic Clinic (KJOC) score.2 Patient satisfaction was assessed with a 0- to 100-point scale, where 0 was considered “completely dissatisfied” and 100 considered “completely satisfied.” Patients were asked if they would elect to undergo surgery again. Return to sport was directly assessed using a special questionnaire. Patients were asked to provide details regarding the sport practiced and its level (competitive or recreational) before symptom onset and at the latest follow-up as well as the time to return to sport when applicable. Patients were also asked to describe their limiting reasons if they returned at a decreased level or were unable to return at all.

Statistical Analysis

Means ± SDs and ranges were calculated for continuous variables (ie, age). Categorical variables (ie, side) were expressed as numbers and percentages. The normality of distribution of dependent variables (A-T and KJOC scores) was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. The associations between dichotomous and continuous variables were analyzed using Spearman correlations. The correlations between categorical variables were examined using the chi-square or Fisher exact test as appropriate. Statistical significance was set at $P \leq .05$. All data were tabulated in Excel (version 2012; Microsoft), and analyses were conducted using STATA 14.2 (StataCorp).

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Sixty percent of patients were high school athletes, and 94.3% of patients were pitchers. The mean age of all patients at the time of surgery was 18.0 years (range, 13-19 years), and the mean follow-up was 57.9 months (range, 32.4-115.4 months). The mean duration of symptoms before surgery was 6.9 months (range, 0.5-60.0 months). Partial tears were identified in 57.9% of patients. Preoperatively, 41.3% of
patients had subjective neurological symptoms (intermittent paresthesia in the ulnar nerve distribution) at the time of presentation or physical examination findings consistent with ulnar nerve sensitivity (tenderness to palpation of the ulnar nerve and/or positive Tinel test result).

**Surgical Findings**

Surgical findings are reported in Table 3. A palmaris longus tendon autograft harvested from the ipsilateral forearm was used in 77.1% of patients. Gracilis tendons from the contralateral extremity were used in 21.4% of patients with insufficient or absent palmaris longus tendons. Concomitant procedures were performed in 25% of patients. The most common procedure was excision of a posteromedial olecranon osteophyte in 12.9% of patients.

**Clinical Outcomes**

Outcomes on the Conway scale were “excellent” in 86.4% of patients. The mean A-T and KJOC scores were 97.3 ± 6.1 and 85.2 ± 14.6, respectively (Table 4). Mean patient satisfaction was 94.4. There was a statistically significant positive correlation between the use of a palmaris autograft and the A-T score (P = .05), but the correlation was not statistically significant with other PROMs (Conway, P = .77; KJOC, P = .20; satisfaction, P = .18). Independent variables shown to have no significant relationship to PROMs included age, level of sport at the time of surgery, and associated lesions.

**Return to Sport**

A total of 138 patients attempted to return to sport postoperatively (Table 5). Of those, 97.8% reported returning to sport at a mean of 11.6 months (range, 5-24 months); 89.9% of patients were able to return to sport at the same level of competition or higher for at least 1 season, and 11.6% of patients went on to play professional baseball. The most common reason for failure to return to sport or returning at a deceased level was elbow pain/discomfort (9 patients).

**Complications**

There was 1 case of an intraoperative nerve injury. Subsequent surgical procedures within 12 months of the index procedure were performed in 4 patients. Two of these patients sustained fractures of the medial epicondyle, requiring open reduction and internal fixation at 7 and 12 months, respectively. One patient developed heterotopic ossification and arthrofibrosis 5 months postoperatively, requiring elbow arthroscopic surgery, lysis of adhesions, extensive debridement, and manipulation under anesthesia. One patient underwent excision of a calcium deposit.
was among the first to address clinical outcomes after UCL reconstruction using the ASMI technique in this younger group of athletes. The author evaluated 27 high school baseball players with a mean age 17.4 years. At a final follow-up of 35 months, Petty reported “excellent” results on the Conway scale in 74% of patients. O’Brien et al reviewed the outcomes after UCL reconstruction with the docking and modified Jobe techniques in an overhead-throwing population; 19 of the 33 athletes were adolescents younger than 20 years. The authors reported a mean KJOC score of 76 at a mean follow-up of 3.7 years. In a recent large study of 55 high school athletes, Jones et al evaluated results after UCL reconstruction using the docking technique. The mean age in their cohort was 17.6 years (range, 15-18 years). At a mean of 31 months’ follow-up, they noted “excellent” outcomes using the Conway scale in 87%, a mean A-T score of 83.6, and a mean KJOC score of 88.0.

Of patients who attempted to return to sport (n = 138), the overall rate of successful return was 97.8% at a mean of 11.6 months. Moreover, 89.9% of patients who attempted to return were ultimately able to return to baseball at the same level. In a systematic review examining return to sport after UCL reconstruction, Erickson and colleagues reported a similar rate of return to sport for the ASMI technique at 93.3%. The authors also reported that the overall rate of return to sport including all techniques was slightly lower at 86.5%. The return-to-sport rate was highest among college players (95.5%), lower among high school players (89.4%), and lowest among professional players (86.4%). Petty reported a return-to-sport rate of 74% after UCL reconstruction using the ASMI technique in 27 high school baseball players. The average time to return to sport was 11 months, and 37% were still playing competitively at the time of follow-up (average, 35 months). The author noted “loss of interest” and “lack of opportunity” as the primary reasons for players failing to return to play. This is in contrast to our findings of “elbow pain/discomfort” as the most common reason for failure of returning to sport or returning at a decreased level.

The largest study of athletes after UCL reconstruction was reported by Cain et al, who included 1281 cases from a single institution. All surgical procedures were performed with the ASMI technique, and the cohort included 131 high school athletes. The average rate of return to sport was 83% at the same or higher level of play at 11.6 months. Fifty percent went on to compete in college, and 6% advanced to professional play. A more recent large-scale study by Osbahr and colleagues evaluated 256 competitive baseball players at an average of 12.6 years postoperatively to determine the long-term career impact after undergoing UCL reconstruction with the ASMI technique. The average rate of return to sport was also 83%, and the average career

### TABLE 5

| Outcome Measure                        | n (%) |
|----------------------------------------|-------|
| Did not attempt return                 | 2 (1.4) |
| Attempted return                       | 138 (98.6) |
| Able to return                         | 135 (97.8) |
| Higher level                           | 86 (63.7) |
| Same level                             | 38 (28.1) |
| Decreased level                        | 11 (8.1) |
| Unable to return                       | 3 (2.2) |
| Reason for no/decreased return to sport|       |
| Elbow pain/discomfort                  | 9 (6.4) |
| Fear of reinjury                       | 2 (1.4) |
| Reinjury                               | 2 (1.4) |
| Personal                               | 2 (1.4) |
| Other medical conditions               | 1 (0.7) |

### DISCUSSION

This study represents the largest investigation of outcomes after UCL reconstruction in adolescent athletes. The principal findings of this study suggest that UCL reconstruction with the ASMI technique is an effective treatment option for UCL tears in this young, at-risk population, with a low rate of complications. Excellent patient-reported outcomes were seen at a minimum of 2-year follow-up. Overall, 89.9% of patients returned to the same or higher level of play, with 11.6% progressing to professional play. Athletes in our study achieved functional outcomes comparable with the results previously reported in the adolescent and young adult population. Statistical analysis of graft type, associated lesions, and level of play (high school vs college) found no significant correlation to patient-reported outcomes.

Considerable forces are placed on the young elbow throughout the throwing motion, and prolonged repetitive trauma can lead to adaptive changes about the elbow. Hurd et al examined the throwing elbows of 23 uninjured, asymptomatic high school pitchers using MRI. The authors noted that 61% had postero medial subchondral sclerosis of the ulnotrochlear articulation, including 35% with a postero medial olecranon osteophyte. Using dynamic ultrasound in the throwing elbows of 22 asymptomatic high school pitchers, Marshall et al identified postero medial olecranon spurring in 36%. Furthermore, they found calcifications of the UCL present in 32% of athletes. In contrast, our review of 140 throwing elbows of adolescent baseball players requiring UCL reconstruction identified postero medial olecranon osteophytes and UCL calcifications/ossifications in 15.7% and 15.0%, respectively. The reasons for the lower number of associated findings in our study are unclear but may be partly related to our larger sample size compared with Marshall et al. While it seems apparent that these bony and soft tissue changes occur in response to the repetitive stresses experienced by the young elbow during throwing, they may not be predictive of future ligamentous injuries.

There are limited published reports on patient-reported outcomes after UCL reconstruction in adolescents. Petty reported a similar rate of return to sport for the ASMI technique in this younger population; 19 of the 33 athletes were adolescents younger than 20 years. The authors reported a mean KJOC score of 76 at a mean follow-up of 3.7 years. In a recent large study of 55 high school athletes, Jones et al evaluated results after UCL reconstruction using the docking technique. The mean age in their cohort was 17.6 years (range, 15-18 years). At a mean of 31 months’ follow-up, they noted “excellent” outcomes using the Conway scale in 87%, a mean A-T score of 83.6, and a mean KJOC score of 88.0. Our study represents the largest investigation of UCL reconstruction solely among adolescent athletes to date, and our study design utilized similar functional metrics to maintain consistency across studies. The results of our investigation were comparable with the findings of previous authors, with “excellent” outcomes using the Conway scale in 86.4% of patients, a mean A-T score of 97.3, and a mean KJOC score of 85.2.

Of patients who attempted to return to sport (n = 138), the overall rate of successful return was 97.8% at a mean of 11.6 months. Moreover, 89.9% of patients who attempted to return were ultimately able to return to baseball at the same level. In a systematic review examining return to sport after UCL reconstruction, Erickson and colleagues reported a similar rate of return to sport for the ASMI technique at 93.3%. The authors also reported that the overall rate of return to sport including all techniques was slightly lower at 86.5%. The return-to-sport rate was highest among college players (95.5%), lower among high school players (89.4%), and lowest among professional players (86.4%). Petty reported a return-to-sport rate of 74% after UCL reconstruction using the ASMI technique in 27 high school baseball players. The average time to return to sport was 11 months, and 37% were still playing competitively at the time of follow-up (average, 35 months). The author noted “loss of interest” and “lack of opportunity” as the primary reasons for players failing to return to play. This is in contrast to our findings of “elbow pain/discomfort” as the most common reason for failure of returning to sport or returning at a decreased level.

The largest study of athletes after UCL reconstruction was reported by Cain et al, who included 1281 cases from a single institution. All surgical procedures were performed with the ASMI technique, and the cohort included 131 high school athletes. The average rate of return to sport was 83% at the same or higher level of play at 11.6 months. Fifty percent went on to compete in college, and 6% advanced to professional play. A more recent large-scale study by Osbahr and colleagues evaluated 256 competitive baseball players at an average of 12.6 years postoperatively to determine the long-term career impact after undergoing UCL reconstruction with the ASMI technique. The average rate of return to sport was also 83%, and the average career
duration after surgery was 3.6 years. Career duration was slightly shorter for the high school and college subgroups, at 2.9 and 2.5 years, respectively. Injuries that led to early retirement were more often related to the shoulder (22%) rather than the elbow (14%). Our results reflect the findings of previous studies, with 89.9% of athletes who attempted to do so returning to baseball at the same level of competition or higher and 11.6% of patients going on to play professional baseball.

UCL reconstruction remains the gold standard for treating attritional and complete UCL tears. However, less invasive alternatives for treating partial UCL tears have shown promising results and continue to be an active area of research. With approximately 58% of our adolescent patients having partial tears, effective nonreconstruction options such as platelet-rich plasma and UCL repair with internal brace augmentation could be ideal alternatives to UCL reconstruction for young patients.9-11,27 As future research continues to shift the paradigm of UCL injury treatment, the outcomes in our study will serve as a standard against which newer techniques can be judged.

Limitations

The results of our study should be interpreted based on the following limitations. This was a retrospective study with a short follow-up interval of only 2 years minimum, making long-term conclusions difficult to make. Outcome data were primarily obtained by a telephone interview, introducing possible recollection bias, and we did not obtain radiographic or physical examination follow-up data. Also, there was no comparison group with a different method of UCL reconstruction with which to contrast our technique. Further, these results reflect the outcomes of a single surgeon with a nationwide referral practice consisting of a large volume of young, high-level throwing athletes with financial resources, and as such, these results might not be generalizable to the broader orthopaedic community.

Another limitation was the difficulty of classifying patients who returned to sport as returning to the same or decreased level for those who entered college during their rehabilitation period. Most college sports are felt to be at a higher level than high school, but not qualifying for a Division I athletic team does not necessarily equate to not returning to the same level of competition. Patients were asked, qualitatively, if they felt that they returned to the same level of performance to help minimize the skewing of results. Finally, our follow-up was limited, with patient-reported outcome and return-to-sport data on 47% of patients. Many patients were not able to be located/contacted, despite multiple attempts via telephone and/or email. This may be caused, in part, by the nature of referrals to the senior author as well as patient mobility unique to this population as they enter into adulthood. Despite these limitations, this study represents the largest investigation of UCL reconstruction in this specific adolescent population.

CONCLUSION

UCL reconstruction with the ASMI technique is an effective surgical option in adolescents, with excellent outcome scores. At a minimum of 2-year follow-up, nearly 90% of patients returned to their preinjury level of sport.
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