Genomic Responses to Climate Change: Making the Most of the Drosophila Model
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It is pressing to understand how animal populations evolve in response to climate change. We argue that new sequencing technologies and the use of historical samples are opening unprecedented opportunities to investigate genome-wide responses to changing environments. However, there are important challenges in interpreting the emerging findings. First, it is essential to differentiate genetic adaptation from phenotypic plasticity. Second, it is extremely difficult to map genotype, phenotype, and fitness. Third, neutral demographic processes and natural selection affect genetic variation in similar ways. We argue that Drosophila melanogaster, a classical model organism with decades of climate adaptation research, is uniquely suited to overcome most of these challenges. In the near future, long-term time series genome-wide datasets of D. melanogaster natural populations will provide exciting opportunities to study adaptation to recent climate change and will lay the groundwork for related research in non-model systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Variation in environmental conditions across space can result in local adaptation, where populations are more fit to the environment in which they evolved (Kawecki and Ebert, 2004). With climatic conditions changing at an alarming rate (Diffenbaugh et al., 2018), populations will need to migrate to more favorable climates, modify their phenotypes via plasticity or evolutionary change, or face extinction (Hoffmann and Sgro, 2011; Waldvogel et al., 2020). Thus, understanding the causes and consequences of local climate adaptation is fundamental to predict species responses to future changes in climate.

A promising way to understand climate adaptation lies in the use of historical samples. Given the historical nature of the evolutionary process, samples from multiple timepoints can help better identify the targets of selection. Combined with recent advances in sequencing technologies, it is now possible to compare entire genomes of present and past populations (Leonardi et al., 2016). For example, Alves et al. (2019) used modern and historical rabbit specimens to identify the genetic basis of resistance to myxoma virus, which decimated rabbit populations in the 1950s. Likewise, Bi et al. (2019) sequenced modern and historic museum specimens to investigate the role of climate change in driving genomic changes over the last century in two chipmunk species.

Historical samples can also inform long-standing debates in evolutionary biology, such as whether adaptation is limited by the supply of mutations (Karasov et al., 2010) or
whether migration can facilitate adaptation (Pennings and Hermisson, 2006). With historical samples, it would be possible to watch the sweep of beneficial mutations in action. If adaptation is limited by mutations, then we would expect swept alleles to be rare before they sweep through the population (Feder et al., 2021). If multiple populations are sampled, then you could ask whether alleles that sweep through one population were already present in other populations. Both of these questions are essential to understanding the impact that climate change will have on species, and can be useful for designing conservation strategies (Matz et al., 2018) or predicting species’ responses to climate change (Fulka et al., 2020).

Although these advances present exciting opportunities to understand local climate adaptation and better predict responses to climate change, there are important challenges in obtaining and interpreting historical genomic datasets. First, it is critical to discern between genetic adaptation and phenotypic plasticity. Second, it remains difficult to map genotype, phenotype, and fitness. Third, it is hard to disentangle the role of adaptive and demographic processes in shaping genetic variation. In this perspective article, we discuss these challenges and argue that classical model organisms, such as Drosophila melanogaster, are uniquely suited to overcome most of these difficulties.

**Drosophila melanogaster AND CLIMATE ADAPTATION**

Drosophila melanogaster is native to southern-central Africa and has adapted to temperate climates around the world. It colonized Europe around 1,400 years ago, and North America and Australia around 150 years ago (Keller, 2007; Sprengelmeyer et al., 2020). D. melanogaster climate adaptation has been intensely studied over the last few decades. Natural fly populations show clear latitudinal patterns at the phenotypic and genotypic level (Figure 1). Flies from higher latitudes are bigger, darker, more stress tolerant, and show higher incidence of reproductive diapause than populations from lower latitudes (Adron et al., 2015). Similar patterns of variation have been observed at seasonal and altitudinal scales (e.g., Pitchers et al., 2013; Bergland et al., 2014; Behrman et al., 2018).

Drosophila is an amenable model to study genetics of climate adaptation not only because of its peculiar colonization history. Species in this group have short generation times and can be easily reared in the laboratory. This allows for experimental validation; for instance, one can measure fitness of flies from different populations under various conditions to directly test selection hypotheses (Fabian et al., 2015). More recently, studies have shown that it is even possible to rear flies in their natural environment, with outdoor cages (Rudman et al., 2019). Drosophila is a remarkably diverse group, with almost unparalleled genomic resources (e.g., Kim et al., 2020), and so comparative genomics studies are within reach. For instance, by comparing D. melanogaster and Drosophila simulans, Machado et al. (2016) found that the ability to overwinter is necessary for adapting to temperate environments.

Spatiotemporal sampling efforts are unparalleled in D. melanogaster. Because flies have short generation times, the system is highly compatible with empirical time series studies. Time series studies can differ in depth of past evolutionary history. Dobzhansky (1943) demonstrated that some inversions cycle with the seasons in Drosophila pseudoobscura. In D. melanogaster, many variants seem to cycle seasonally in a single temperate population (Bergland et al., 2014) as well as across many different locations worldwide (Machado et al., 2021). Two consortiums in Europe and North America, DrosEU and the DrosRTEC, have been sampling and sequencing wild D. melanogaster populations annually since 2014 across multiple locations (Kapun et al., 2020, 2021; Machado et al., 2021). These efforts are expected to continue for the foreseeable future, increasing even further spatiotemporal resolution. Other studies went even far back in time and compared classical latitudinal patterns published decades ago with more recent data (Umina et al., 2005; Cogni et al., 2014, 2017). efforts to sequence specimens collected decades ago are just now starting to emerge (Veerkamp et al., 2020; John Pool, personal communication). Theoretically, one could go even deeper in time by sequencing museum specimens (Wander et al., 2007), but it is unclear whether museums have enough disposable specimens. Future advances in DNA sequencing with minimal tissue availability could make this more feasible.

**DISENTANGLING GENETIC ADAPTATION AND PHENOTYPIC PLASTICITY**

Phenotypic plasticity can challenge interpretation of climate adaptation. Most of the examples of biological responses to climate change observed for a wide range of organisms seem to be due to phenotypic plasticity and not adaptation to a new environment (Parmesan, 2006; Gienapp et al., 2008; Merilä and Hendry, 2014). In a recent study, both plasticity and genetic changes were found to contribute to climate change response (Ramakers et al., 2019). Thus, to understand how adaptation can contribute to organisms’ resilience to climate change, it is crucial to discern between plasticity and genetic adaptation as the cause of trait changes (Kellermann and van Heerwaarden, 2019; Kellermann et al., 2020).

In Drosophila, plasticity and adaptation can be distinguished with two different approaches. First, lineages from divergent populations can be reared in the laboratory under similar environmental conditions. Plastic phenotype responses are expected to disappear when divergent populations are reared in the same conditions, whereas genetic adaptations should persist (Ayrinhac et al., 2004; Mitchell et al., 2011). Selection experiments in the laboratory can be used to quantify the contributions of phenotypic plasticity and genetic adaptation (Garland and Kelly, 2006). With these experiments, it is possible to exert selection on a single environmental condition (e.g., temperature) and measure responses at the phenotypic and genomic levels (Barghi et al., 2019). Then, the adapted lineages can be reared under different conditions to tease apart the role of phenotypic plasticity. Climate adaptation can be complex, making it difficult to be addressed
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FIGURE 1 | Spatial and temporal variation in Drosophila melanogaster. (A) Flies vary in size, color, and many other phenotypic and genotypic traits across the east coast of the United States. Alleles related to climate adaptation are expected to be correlated with latitude (B) and seasons (C). For example, diapause incidence and the frequency of an allele that encodes for diapause inducibility in the couchpotato gene vary predictably with latitude (D) and seasons (E). Data from Schmidt et al. (2005) and Cogni et al. (2014).

in laboratory settings which are known to have many subtle environmental differences. However, recent Drosophila studies have shown that flies can be raised in outdoor cages, under conditions which are remarkably similar to those which natural wild populations experience (Rudman et al., 2019, 2021).

Second, genetic adaptation can be disentangled from phenotypic plasticity by studying changes at the molecular level, that is with direct observation of allele frequency changes in natural populations. For example, Umina et al. (2005) observed a shift over 20 years in a classic D. melanogaster latitudinal cline in the alcohol dehydrogenase polymorphism. The frequency of the warmer-adapted allele increased in frequency along the cline, presumably in response to warmer and drier conditions. There are also examples in other Drosophila species of changes in chromosome inversion frequencies correlated with climate warming (Balanya et al., 2006, 2009; Batista et al., 2012). These direct observations of genetic changes can refute the phenotypic plasticity hypotheses. However, it is not clear if the observed shifts reflected changes in local selection, a progressive invasion of warm-adapted genotypes from lower latitudes/altitudes, or a combination of both (Balanya et al., 2006).

CONNECTING GENOMIC VARIATION TO PHENOTYPES AND FITNESS

Another major challenge is to connect segregating genomic variation to variation in phenotypes and fitness. Even in the best studied model species, examples of phenotypes that were mapped to major effect loci are scarce (e.g., Schmidt et al., 2008) (but see Erickson et al., 2020). For most clinal phenotypes in D. melanogaster, such as body size and longevity, the underlying genetic architecture is more complex, with many minor effect alleles explaining only a small proportion of the phenotypic variation (Mackay et al., 2012). One would expect that the variants that underlie clinal traits might display clinal patterns in their allele frequencies. This approach has been successfully used in humans where height-increasing alleles are systematically elevated in frequency in the north compared to southern European populations (Berg and Coop, 2014), and in D. melanogaster where polymorphisms associated with diapause varies clinally (Erickson et al., 2020). However, in another D. melanogaster example, the frequency of polymorphisms associated with desiccation tolerance does not vary clinally as expected (Rajpurohit et al., 2018). Other phenotypes have not yet been tested, but if results similar to the desiccation example are observed, it could indicate low statistical power on genome-wide association studies or complex epistatic and genotype-by-environment interactions.

In D. melanogaster, these challenges can be overcome in a few ways. New panels with much larger number of recombinant inbred lines can substantially increase the power of GWAS studies to identify minor effect alleles and epistatic interactions (King et al., 2012; Cogni et al., 2016). Further, there exist diverse collections of wild-caught flies, which can be used in mapping and experimental evolution studies (Grenier et al., 2015; Lack et al., 2016). By harnessing the immense natural variation in D. melanogaster, mapping power can be greatly increased
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The system also allows for laboratory experiments under different environmental conditions, and genotype-by-environment interactions can be directly measured (e.g., Lazzaro et al., 2008).

An alternative to the major challenges in genotype-to-phenotype mapping would be to rely on already known instances of climate adaptation in D. melanogaster. Genome-wide patterns of clinal, altitudinal, and seasonal adaptation have been well characterized in the system, so we can make clear predictions on the shifts in allele frequencies expected by climate change, even without linking genotypes to particular phenotypes. Alleles with higher frequency in warm regions are expected to increase in frequency as the climate becomes warmer over time (Figure 2; Umina et al., 2005; Cogni et al., 2017).

DIFFERENTIATING NEUTRAL AND ADAPTIVE PROCESSES

The final challenge is that inferring the role of selection in shaping genetic variation in natural populations is not trivial. If selection is pushing traits to different optima across space, the loci underlying the selected traits should be excessively differentiated between populations (Endler, 1977). Similarly, environmental conditions that determine fitness are expected to be correlated with allele frequency at the relevant loci (Figure 1) (Kawecki and Ebert, 2004). Although these features of genomic data are indicative of local adaptation, the evidence is correlative in nature and may be confounded by non-adaptive processes. For example, demographic processes alone can create correlations between allele frequencies and the environment (Caracristi and Schlötterer, 2003).

One way to strengthen the hypothesis of adaptation is to look for parallel patterns of variation in different continents, between altitude and latitude, and between latitude and season (Paaby et al., 2010; Klepsatel et al., 2014; Cogni et al., 2015; Rodrigues et al., 2020). However, much of adaptation may not result in parallelism due to redundancy (Barghi et al., 2019). That is, particularly for polygenic traits there may be many alternative ways of reaching an adaptive peak. For example, couch potato clines associated with diapause are observed in North America, but not in Australia (Lee et al., 2011; Cogni et al., 2014). D. melanogaster is nonetheless a great system to dissect the genetic bases of adaptation in detail (Tauber et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2008; Erickson et al., 2020).

Other features of genomic data can be used to disentangle demography and selection. Most importantly, selection alters not only allele frequencies but also haplotype frequencies. Indeed, it is possible to discern between different demographic scenarios and models of selection with haplotype data (Garud et al., 2021). One can obtain phased genomic data more easily for Drosophila, either by sequencing inbred lines (which are mostly homozygous) or haploid embryos (Langley et al., 2011).

Historical sampling can help differentiate the role of adaptive and non-adaptive forces in driving changes over time and across space. With historical samples, it is possible to directly measure the rate of allele frequency change, which is expected to be particularly high for loci under selection. A few methods have been developed to use time series allele frequency data to detect loci evolving non-neutrally (reviewed in Malaspinas, 2016), but more powerful methods that consider other features of genomic data, such as haplotypes, have yet to be developed.

The stability of trait–environment correlations, which is a hallmark of local adaptation, can also be assessed with historical samples (Figure 2). In the short term, stable correlations are strong evidence for natural selection (Cogni et al., 2014), because spurious correlations due to demography are expected to be transient. However, as populations respond to changing climate conditions, we expect the underlying trait–environment correlations to change as well. Depending on how climate change affects fitness, clines can become less steep, with flies from high latitudes becoming more similar to flies from low latitudes or shift

**FIGURE 2** In organisms with well-characterized patterns of clinal genomic variation, we can make clear predictions on the expected shifts in allele frequencies due to climate change. With strong climate change, clines can weaken (blue) so that the frequency of a warm-adapted allele increases in higher latitudes; or shift (red) so that the frequency of the warm-adapted allele increases along the cline. If the change in climate is not strong, but the cline is being maintained by selection, clines should be more stable (black).
CONCLUSION

Time series genomic datasets are bound to help the study of climate adaptation. Indeed, historical samples have been recently used to study global environmental change (Key et al., 2016; Lang et al., 2019). Here, we discussed some of the major challenges in studying adaptation with modern and historical genomic data. We argued that the decades of climate adaptation research make *Drosophila* an ideal system to study genomic responses to climate change using historical samples. In the coming years, the emergence of temporal genomic datasets will provide exciting opportunities to study adaptation to climate in *Drosophila* (Kapun et al., 2020; Veeramah et al., 2020; Machado et al., 2021) and will lay the groundwork for similar approaches in non-model systems.
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