Discovery of State Transition Behaviors in PSR J1124–5916
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Abstract

With the 12 year long observations by Fermi-LAT, we discover two pairs of spin-down state transitions of PSR J1124–5916, making it the second young pulsar detected to have such behaviors. PSR J1124–5916 shows mainly two states according to its spin-down rate evolution, the normal spin-down state and the low spin-down state. In about 80\% of the observation time, the pulsar is in the normal spin-down state, in which the spin-down rate decreases linearly and gives a braking index of 1.98 ± 0.04. The two transitions to the low spin-down state are in MJD 55183–55803 and MJD 56114–56398, respectively, with fractional amplitudes both ~0.4\%. No significant difference between the \(\gamma\)-ray profiles of the two spin-down states is detected, which is similar to PSR B0540–69, the other young pulsar with state transition detected.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Rotation powered pulsars (1408); Radio pulsars (1353)

1. Introduction

The rotation evolution of a pulsar reflects the properties of its magnetosphere. For pulsars, the rotation frequency \(\nu\) decreases with time and this slowdown is usually described by the relation \(\dot{\nu} = -K\nu^n\) (Espinoza et al. 2011, and references therein), where \(K\) is a positive constant depending on the moment of inertia and the magnetic dipole moment of the neutron star, and \(n\) is the braking index derived with \(n = -\dot{\nu}/\nu^2\), which is related to the physics mechanism slowing down the pulsar rotation, and \(n = 3\) is expected if the spin-down is dominated by pure magnetodipole radiation (Manchester & Taylor 1977). Currently, only 12 pulsars have reliably measured braking indices because the timing noise and stochastic variations of the pulsar spin-down make accurate determinations of the braking index very difficult. The measured \(n\) values are in the range 0.9–3.15 (Espinoza et al. 2011, 2017; Archibald et al. 2016; Clark et al. 2016).

Some pulsars show rotation state transition behaviors. The emission of PSR B1931+24 switches on and off periodically, and the transition could finish in 10s (Kramer et al. 2006). Lyne et al. (2010) reported that some pulsars show correlation between the variation of spin-down rate \(\dot{\nu}\) and pulse profile change. PSR J2021+4026 is the first variable \(\gamma\)-ray pulsar, whose \(\gamma\)-ray flux and pulse profile change with \(\dot{\nu}\) variations (Allafort et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2017; Takata et al. 2020). PSR B0540–69 is the first young pulsar detected to have a spin-down rate transition. It results in a delayed brightening of its pulsar wind nebula (Ge et al. 2019). However, the pulsed \(\gamma\)-ray flux and profile of PSR B0540–69 show no variations in this process different from the previous reported pulsars. It is believed that these state transition behaviors are probably induced by the changes of the magnetosphere, though the detailed mechanism is unclear.

PSR J1124–5916 is associated with supernova remnant G292.0+1.8 (Camilo et al. 2002; Hughes et al. 2003). It has a characteristic age \(\tau_{\nu}\) of 2900 yr and a spin-down luminosity \(E\) of \(1.2 \times 10^{37}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), making it the sixth youngest and the sixth most energetic pulsar detected so far. The pulse signals from this object have been detected in the radio, X-ray, and \(\gamma\)-ray bands (Camilo et al. 2002; Hughes et al. 2003; Ray et al. 2011). The first two years of data collected by the Large Area Telescope (LAT) of the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope (Fermi), the braking index of PSR J1124–5916 is determined as –3.78 (Ray et al. 2011). Here we report the discovery of state transitions of this pulsar and the new measurement of its braking index, using the 12 year long Fermi-LAT observations and the previous results from Camilo et al. (2002), Hughes et al. (2003), and Abdo et al. (2010b).

2. Observations and Timing Analysis

LAT is the main instrument of Fermi, which could obtain the directional measurement, energy measurement for \(\gamma\)-rays, and background discrimination, respectively (Atwood et al. 2009). The energy range of LAT is from 20 MeV to 300 GeV, and the effective area is \(\sim 8000\) cm\(^2\). As Fermi can survey the sky frequently with relatively long exposure, it is suitable to monitor the spin evolution of \(\gamma\)-ray pulsars.

The Fermi-LAT observation of PSR J1124–5916 spans from MJD 54763 to 58909. We analyze the data using the standard Fermi Science Tools (v10r0p5). The events are first selected using gselect with an angular distance less than 0.5°, zenith angle less than 105°, and energy range 0.1–10 GeV (Abdo et al. 2010a).\(^8\) Then, the arrival time of every event is corrected to solar system barycenter using gtobary with the solar system ephemerides DE405 and the pulsar position of \(\alpha = 11^{\text{h}}24^{\text{m}}39^{\text{s}}\) and \(\delta = -59^\circ 6' 19''\) (Ray et al. 2011). Each time of arrival (TOA) is accumulated from every 15 day exposure. The detailed procedure can be found in Ge et al. (2019).

In order to show the spin evolution directly, we divide the data set in the whole time range into subsets. The time steps for \(\nu\) and \(\dot{\nu}\) analyses are 60 days and 100 days, respectively. For

\[^{8}\]https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/scitools/pulsar_analysis_tutorial.html
The spin evolution between MJD 54600 and 57000 shows different spin-down behaviors after subtracting the long-term evolution trend represented by the quadratic polynomial fitting, as shown in Figure 1(a) and listed in Table 1. The shape of $\Delta \nu$ is like serration, which means $\nu$ of this pulsar has different values in different epochs. The value of $\nu$ increase on MJD 55183 is $\Delta \nu_0 = (191 \pm 9) \times 10^{-15}$ Hz s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the report by Ray et al. (2011). However, $\nu$ did not experience the exponential recovery process like a normal glitch but remained almost steady until MJD 55803, on which $\nu$ suddenly decreased by $\Delta \nu_{glitch} = (-219 \pm 1) \times 10^{-15}$ Hz s$^{-1}$ to the value nearly equal to that before MJD 55183. From MJD 56114 to 56398, PSR J1124–5916 behaved similarly, with $\Delta \nu_{glitch} = (195 \pm 6) \times 10^{-15}$ Hz s$^{-1}$ and $\Delta \nu_{glitch} = (-161 \pm 10) \times 10^{-15}$ Hz s$^{-1}$. These sudden changes of $\nu$ are very similar to the spin-down rate transition of PSR B0540–2010b, whose parameters are listed in Table 1, but no further discussions on this glitch will be presented in this work.

From Figure 1 we know that the state with higher $|\nu|$ covers much longer time ($\sim 80\%$) than the low $|\nu|$ state, and the former is thus named the normal spin-down state. We find that $|\nu|$ in the normal spin-down state decreases linearly with time as represented by the red line in Figure 1(b). The linear fitting result is listed in Table 1. The two states with lower $|\nu|$ are called the low spin-down state I and the low spin-down state II, respectively. Figure 1 also suggests that there should be some sudden variations before MJD 54600. In particular, the pulsar might switch to the low spin-down state around MJD 52180. In particular, the pulsar might switch to the low spin-down state around MJD 52180. However, $\nu$ in the low spin-down state I is very similar to PSR B1931+24 (Kramer et al. 2006), except that the pulse signal does not disappear. From these similarities, we suggest the spin-down state transition of PSR J1124–5916 is probably physically the same as the other pulsar state transitions reported in the literature (Kramer et al. 2006; Lyne et al. 2010; Marshall et al. 2015; Perera et al. 2015, 2016; Takata et al. 2020). We note here that a glitch happened around MJD 58632, whose parameters are listed in Table 1, but no further discussions on this glitch will be presented in this work.

### Table 1

| Parameter          | Value     |
|--------------------|-----------|
| R.A.               | 11:24:39.0 |
| Decl.              | −59:16:19  |
| Epoch(MJD)         | 55000     |
| Time range         | 52105–58632 |
| $\nu$(Hz)          | 7.380155(4) |
| $\dot{\nu} (10^{-11}$ Hz s$^{-1}$) | −4.0978(3) |
| $\ddot{\nu} (10^{-22}$ Hz s$^{-2}$) | 4.162(4) |
| $n$                | 1.81(1)   |
| Time range         | 56398–58632 |
| Epoch(MJD)         | 57500     |
| $\nu$(Hz)          | 7.37137457(3) |
| $\dot{\nu} (10^{-11}$ Hz s$^{-1}$) | −4.09186(3) |
| $\ddot{\nu} (10^{-22}$ Hz s$^{-2}$) | 4.18(12) |
| $n$                | 1.84(6)   |

**Note.** The confidence interval is 68.3%.
We checked the $\gamma$-ray pulse profiles and fluxes of PSR J1124–5916 in both the normal and low spin-down states to see whether any variations exist. The two pulse profiles and their differences are plotted in Figure 2. The uniform distribution and the low reduced $\chi^2$ value (1.29, for 50 degree of freedom (d.o.f.)) of the differences show that the two profiles are almost identical. The $\gamma$-ray flux of PSR J1124–5916 keeps constant whenever in the low spin-down state or in the normal spin-down state considering the measurement errors.9 These features are also similar to PSR B0540–69, whose X-ray pulse profile and pulsed flux remain unchanged after state transition (Ge et al. 2019).

The long time observations also allow us to measure the braking index of PSR J1124–5916. Fitting the results from Fermi-LAT, radio, and X-ray in MJD 52105–58632 by a quadratic polynomial gives a braking index of 1.8 ± 0.1 as listed in Table 1. However, because of the state transition activities, this value might be unreliable. Then, the spin evolution between MJD 56398 and 58632 is selected to calculate the braking index because the pulsar remains in the normal spin-down state in this time interval, and the resulting braking index is 1.84 ± 0.06 (Table 1). Finally, the spin evolution of the whole normal spin-down state is fitted with Equation (2) to calculate the braking index, and the braking index is 1.98 ± 0.04 (Table 2), which is consistent with the one obtained between MJD 56398 and 58632 within 2$\sigma$. This makes PSR J1124–5916 the 13th pulsar with a reliable braking index (Espinoza et al. 2011, 2017; Archibald et al. 2016; Clark et al. 2016). Similarly, the braking indices in low spin-down states I and II could be calculated from the timing solutions listed in Table 2. The braking index in low spin-down state I is 0.3 ± 0.1, which is smaller than the value in the normal spin-down state and similar to the value of PSR B0540–69 after phase transition (Ge et al. 2019). The braking index in low spin-down state II is −2.7 ± 0.9, which is not reliable due to the large timing noise and short time range. Under the assumption that the spin-down is due to the dipole radiation and pulsar wind production, the variations of the braking index imply that the structure of the magnetosphere changes during the spin-down state transition (Ge et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020).

4. Discussions and Summary

State transitions are very rare events for young pulsars. Besides PSR J1124–5916, only PSR B0540–69 is detected experiencing a state transition with $|\dot{\nu}|$ increased by 36% in 2011 December within 15 days (Marshall et al. 2015). Although the X-ray luminosity of the pulsar wind surrounding PSR B0540–69 increased gradually up to 30% above the mean pre-transition value, the pulsed X-ray flux and profile remain unchanged (Ge et al. 2019). The spin-down rate increase of PSR B0540–69 is suggested to be the consequence of local changes in the magnetosphere, perhaps in the magnetic pole region, which enhances the pulsar wind production and thus the X-ray brightness of the pulsar wind nebula (Ge et al. 2019). The Fermi-LAT observations show that PSR J1124–5916 has transition behaviors similar to PSR B0540–69, such as the non-variation of the pulse profile and flux as well as braking index changes. However, both the fractional amplitude of the state transition (0.4%) and the duration of the abnormal spin-down state (about 620 and 280 days) of PSR J1124–5916 are much

---

**Table 2**

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| Normal spin-down state |  |
| Epoch (MJD) | 56600 |
| Time range | 54600–55183, 55803–56114, 56398–58632 |
| $\nu(10^{-6} \text{ Hz})$ | 7.37455773(3) |
| $\nu(10^{-11} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | −4.09537(8) |
| $\nu(10^{-22} \text{ Hz s}^{-2})$ | 4.50(9) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-9} \text{ Hz})$ | −11.2(2) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-15} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | 4.3(1) |
| $n$ | 1.98(4) |
| Low spin-down state I |  |
| Time range | 55183(5)–55803(5) |
| Epoch (MJD) | 55600 |
| $\nu(\text{Hz})$ | 7.3780914669(9) |
| $\nu(10^{-11} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | −4.08593(1) |
| $\nu(10^{-22} \text{ Hz s}^{-2})$ | 0.76(21) |
| $n$ | 0.3(1) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-9} \text{ Hz})$ | −27(10) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-15} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | 191(9) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-9} \text{ Hz})$ | −252(8) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-15} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | −219(1) |
| Low spin-down state II |  |
| Time range | 56114(5)–56398(11) |
| Epoch (MJD) | 56250 |
| $\nu(\text{Hz})$ | 7.375794274(4) |
| $\nu(10^{-11} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | −4.07937(4) |
| $\nu(10^{-22} \text{ Hz s}^{-2})$ | −6(2) |
| $n$ | 2.7(9) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-9} \text{ Hz})$ | 363(9) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-15} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | 195(6) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-9} \text{ Hz})$ | 1(11) |
| $\Delta\nu(10^{-15} \text{ Hz s}^{-1})$ | −161(10) |

Note. The confidence interval is 68.3%.

---

9. https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/8yr_catalog/LcPlot2months_4FGL_v21.pdf
smaller than those of PSR B0540–69, which are 36% and \( \geq 3000 \) days, respectively.

However, state transition behaviors have been detected in a number of radio pulsars and one \( \gamma \)-ray pulsar (Kramer et al. 2006; Lyne et al. 2010; Takata et al. 2020). A common feature of these pulsars is that they are quite old, with a characteristic age \( \geq 100 \) kyr. PSR B1931+24 shows periodical emission behavior, which could switch off in 10 s (Kramer et al. 2006). On the other hand, some pulsars are reported to have gradual \( \nu \) changes with timescales of about tens of days to several years (Lyne et al. 2010; Perera et al. 2015, 2016; Takata et al. 2020). The fractional variation amplitude of \( \nu \) of PSR J1124–5916 is similar to the amplitudes of some of them. Considering the common features from these pulsars, the state transition of PSR J1124–5916 could be also explained as the result of changes in the pulsar magnetosphere (Harding et al. 1999; Tong et al. 2013). Ge et al. (2019) suggested that, as the radio emission probably originates in the magnetic pole region and the high-energy emission originates from a broader region such as the outer gap (Cheng et al. 1986), no change of the \( \gamma \)-ray pulse profile after spin-down state transition does not mean that the radio pulse profile will remain steady. Radio monitoring on PSR J1124–5946 will be helpful to probe the properties of the magnetosphere and the mechanism of spin-down state transition.

Due to the timing noise and stochastic variations in the spin-down evolution, only 12 pulsars have been measured to have reliable braking indices (Espinoza et al. 2011, 2017; Archibald et al. 2016; Clark et al. 2016). The braking index of PSR J1124–5916 is less than 3 and close to that of PSR B0540–69 before state transition (Espinoza et al. 2011). This means that the spin evolution of PSR J1124–5916 might be dominated by a simple magnetic dipole radiation and pulsar wind braking scenario (Xu & Qiao 2001; Tong et al. 2013).

In summary, PSR J1124–5916 is the second young pulsar showing state transition behaviors. The \( \nu \) evolution of PSR J1124–5916 shows two states named the normal spin-down state and the low spin-down state. The ratio of duration in the normal spin-down state is \( \sim 80\% \) and the rest of the time it switches to the low spin-down state twice. However, the \( \gamma \)-ray pulse profile and flux did not show variations after state transition within the uncertainty of the measurements. Finally, we measured its braking index as \( 1.98 \pm 0.04 \) utilizing the observation of whole normal spin-down state.
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