INTRODUCTION

Mucormycosis is an invasive, rapidly progressive, life-threatening fungal infection, with a propensity for diabetic, immunosuppressed, and trauma patients. The classic rhinocerebral variation is most common in diabetic patients. While the cutaneous form is usually caused by direct inoculation in immunocompetent patients. Cutaneous mucormycosis manifests in soft tissue and risks involvement of underlying structures. Tibial osteomyelitis can also occur secondary to cutaneous mucormycosis but is rare. Limb salvage is typically successful after lower extremity cutaneous mucormycosis even when the bone is involved. Herein, we report two cases of lower extremity cutaneous mucormycosis in diabetic patients that presented as acute worsening of chronic pretibial ulcers. Despite aggressive antifungal therapy and surgical debridement, both ultimately required amputation. Such aggressive presentation has not been reported in the absence of major penetrating trauma, recent surgery, or burns.
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pulmonary, cutaneous, gastrointestinal, disseminated, and uncommon presentations [2]. All forms are characterized by angioinvasion, which manifests clinically as vessel thrombosis and tissue necrosis, and carry a high risk of mortality [2]. In the diabetic population, most commonly affected by rhinocerebral mucormycosis, the mortality rate is reported to be 44% [3].

Cutaneous mucormycosis, the third most common form, often affects immunocompetent patients [4]. Only 10% to 15% of cases are reported to involve diabetic hosts [4]. The most common risk factor, implicated in up to 88% of cases, is penetrating trauma with soil being the source of inoculation [2,4]. Burns, surgery, persistent maceration, and subcutaneous or intramuscular injections are also risk factors [2,4]. Cutaneous infection can remain localized, invade deeper structures (e.g., muscle, tendons, and bones), or disseminate to other organs [4]. When deep extension occurs, it usually presents as necrotic eschar with surrounding erythema and necrotizing infection [4]. However, cutaneous mucormycosis may also present indolently with skin findings limited to a small erythematous macule [3]. Urgent, aggressive surgical debridement is the cornerstone of treatment for all presentations of cutaneous mucormycosis [2]. Prompt diagnosis, control of predisposing factors, and appropriate antifungal treatment are also essential [2]. We report two cases of lower extremity cutaneous mucormycosis with deep extension in diabetic patients. These are the first reported cases of cutaneous mucormycosis resulting in amputation in the absence of major penetrating trauma, recent surgery, or burns. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained (IRB No. 2018-173) for review of patient records. Both patients provided informed consent for the publication of their clinical case and the accompanying preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative photographs.

CASES

Case 1
A 60-year-old male patient presented with eschar of the anterior right lower leg measuring 22 × 14 cm (Fig. 1). There were no signs of systemic illness. He had multiple comorbidities (Charlson comorbidity index [CCI] of 9) [5] including diabetes mellitus, peripheral neuropathy, chronic kidney disease (CKD), and congestive heart failure. He reported incurring a small skin tear secondary to a fall 2 months prior. However, the wound had acutely worsened after being discharged from an outside hospital 4 days prior. The primary reason for admission had been confusion and generalized weakness attributed to pneumonia and worsening CKD. However, he also received intravenous vancomycin and cefepime due to concern for cellulitis.

At admission, tibia/fibula radiographs showed soft tissue irregularity consistent with superficial ulceration and no features of osteomyelitis. Intravenous doxycycline was initiated for empiric coverage. Surgical debridement on the 2nd day of admission (DOA), revealed frank purulence beneath the eschar with necrotic tissue throughout the entire anterior compartment down to tibial periosteum (Fig. 2). At this time, preliminary cul-

Fig. 1. Classic findings. Case 1 presented with a 22×14 cm anterior right lower leg wound with significant eschar without signs of systemic illness. The wound began as a small skin tear secondary to a fall 2 months prior.

Fig. 2. Initial debridement of case 1 wound. Frank purulence beneath the eschar with necrotic tissue throughout the entire anterior compartment down to tibial periosteum (A) was appreciated requiring aggressive surgical debridement (B).
ture results revealed light growth of fungus, yeast, and coagulase negative staphylococcus. Intravenous fluconazole was added to the patient’s medication regimen until further speciation results became available. On DOA 6, final culture results identified the fungus as *Rhizopus*. On DOA 7, preliminary pathology found marked necrosis and invasive fungal infection with hyphae; thus, the antifungal was changed to micafungin. On DOA 8, final pathology revealed features suggestive of mucormycosis including numerous irregularly shaped hyphae, scattered necrotic-appearing vessels, diffuse Periodic acid-Schiff staining, and poor Grocott-Gomori’s methenamine silver staining [6].

However, micafungin was maintained in effort to spare the patient’s remaining renal function as there was no fungal growth on repeat cultures. After consultation with dermatology and nephrology, it was ultimately decided that the benefits outweighed the risks. Thus, the antifungal regimen was changed to liposomal amphotericin B (LAmB) on DOA 10. Despite continued aggressive debridement, LAmB, and the addition of isavuconazole, repeat pathology on DOA 21 and 27 both showed invasive mucormycosis throughout the soft tissue.

Due to continued positive margins after serial debridement, worsening appearance, and after consultation with the mycology division at the National Institutes of Health, a knee disarticulation was performed on DOA 39. Pathology was negative for fungal elements indicating clean margins. There was no evidence of osteomyelitis on the patella. After stabilization of medical complications, he was discharged to acute rehabilitation 12 weeks after admission with 4 weeks of oral posaconazole remaining to complete a 10-week course.

**Case 2**
A 77-year-old male patient presented with a left mid-tibial ulcer in the absence of known trauma (Fig. 3). He had been living in a rehabilitation facility since undergoing a right below knee amputation 3 years prior. He was legally blind and had multiple co-morbidities (CCI of 7) including diabetes mellitus, end-stage renal disease on dialysis, atrial fibrillation on anticoagulation, peripheral vascular disease, and hypothyroidism. The ulcer had been present for 4 months with new onset of blistering and purulent drainage a week prior to presentation. Outside imaging from 25 days prior to presentation was revealed circumferential periostitis with cortical erosions and abnormal bone marrow signal involving the tibia and head of fibula. Thus, he had been receiving vancomycin during dialysis due to high suspicion of osteomyelitis.

At admission, the patient had an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein above 120 mg/L. Initial debridement demonstrated widespread purulence around the tibia and erosion of the bony cortex. Over 20 cultures were collected at this time which were all initially negative. Repeat debridement on DOA 8, revealed a clean wound (Fig. 4). However, a single culture began to grow mold on DOA 9; thus, vori-

---

**Fig. 3.** Atypical findings. Case 2 presented with a mid-tibial ulcer in the absence of known trauma which is atypical for cutaneous mucormycosis. The ulcer had been present for about 4 months and began blistering and draining purulent fluid a week prior to presenta-

**Fig. 4.** Initial debridement of case 2 wound. There were widespread pitting and infection of the tibia that appeared non-salvageable. At this time, the knee joint appeared clear and preliminary plans for a stage below knee amputation were made pending cultures and pathology.
conazole was started empirically. By DOA 11, both Mucorales and Aspergillus had been identified in various cultures and the antifungal was switched to posaconazole for better Mucorales coverage.

Given the diagnosis of Mucorales osteomyelitis, the decision was made to proceed with amputation. However, there was extension of purulence in the proximal prepatellar region and persistence of fungal elements on intraoperative pathology (Fig. 5). Thus, an above knee amputation with excision of the distal quadriceps muscle was performed on DOA 18. Pathology confirmed clean margins. On DOA 29, final speciation identified Lichtheimia corymbifera with reasonable sensitivity to voriconazole (minimum inhibitory concentration [MIC], 4 μg/mL) but resistance to posaconazole (MIC ≥ 16 μg/mL). Thus, the patient was initiated on oral voriconazole and discharged with a 3-month course.

**DISCUSSION**

Cutaneous mucormycosis remains uncommon with less than 200 reported cases identified in a recent meta-analysis [7]. Of those, deep extension occurred in only 37 cases. Limb loss in the setting of cutaneous mucormycosis is even less common. To date, there have been three reports of progression to amputation; all of these cases involved significant disruptions in the cutaneous barrier in the form of trauma, surgery, or burn wounds [8-10]. However, our cases are unique because deep extension of mucormycosis and limb loss was preceded by seemingly mundane superficial wounds. There was no history of recent surgery or trauma which would have facilitated inoculation of deeper structures. Challenges in diagnosis and the highly comorbid nature of these patients both contributed to the poor outcome. Despite this, both patients healed well without further complications and received prosthetics at 4 months after their amputation.

In our first case, mucormycosis presented with classic findings of necrotic eschar and erythema. Light growth of Rhizopus was identified on day 6 of admission. However, the likelihood of Rhizopus as a contaminant and the risk of nephrotoxicity delayed initiation of amphotericin B until day 10 of admission. Progressive necrosis and inability to achieve clean margins necessitated amputation. This is similar to the case reported by Stanisstreet and Bell [8] in 2017, where widespread necrosis of the lower extremity developed after infection of a burn wound. In that case the diagnosis of mucormycosis was delayed for over 40 days, by which time the patient had globally deteriorated and subsequently expired despite the initiation of antifungal therapy.

Our second case had an atypical presentation with skin findings limited to a small ulcer with new purulent drainage. However, deep extension to the tibia had already occurred. Such a discrepancy in severity between cutaneous findings and overall extent of mucormycosis has been reported to occur in immunosuppressed patients [3]. In this case, diagnosis of mucormycosis was made on day 11 of admission spurring a change in antifungal regimen from voriconazole to posaconazole as Mucorales is reported to be resistant to voriconazole [11]. Unexpectedly, final sensitivities revealed complete resistance to posaconazole but reasonable sensitivity to voriconazole. In a prior case of tibial osteomyelitis resulting from contiguous spread of mucormycosis from a pretibial ulcer, limb salvage was successful after surgical debridement and treatment with amphotericin B [12]. The delay in effective antifungal coverage likely contributed to residual mucormycosis which necessitated more proximal amputation.

Delays in diagnosis and adequate treatment were significant factors in both cases. The relatively insidious nature of both presentations also resulted in a delay in seeking care. In fact, one of the infected ulcers was noted at a routine follow up appointment for a contralateral below knee amputation. Diagnosis traditionally relies on both cultures and histopathology [6,11]. When a patient comes in with a chronic purulent wound, fungal cultures should be sent immediately. Fungus on wound cultures may be an environmental contaminant; thus, correlation with histopathology is essential to confirm fungus as a pathogen [6,11]. Fungal cultures can also be falsely reassuring as they are only positive in 50% cases confirmed by histopathology [11]. Given the need to confirm positive fungal cultures with histopa-
thology, it is imperative that tissue samples for culture and histopathology be collected from the same area [6]. This avoids inadvertently sampling a second area without fungal elements, which if sent to histopathology risks incorrectly concluding a positive fungal culture is due to contamination.

Early diagnosis and treatment are vital to decrease morbidity and mortality associated with mucormycosis; research has focused on new diagnostic methods [11]. Molecular based techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction, can be utilized for detection and identification of Mucorales [1,11]. Most mucormycosis pathogens are identified based on morphology; however, molecular studies are necessary for accurate identification of some species [1]. Morphologically indistinguishable Lichtheimia isolates previously thought to all be L. corymbifera were determined to be two unique species based on divergent internal transcribed spacer sequences [1]. Use of internal transcribed spacer sequencing to confirm morphologic identification of L. corymbifera in the clinical setting has been described in a recent case report [13].

The clinical utility of such molecular assays may be limited as prior literature reported no significant difference in antifungal sensitivities of Lichtheimia spp. requiring molecular studies for speciation [1]. However, the unusual antifungal sensitivities of L. corymbifera in our second case demonstrate the value of molecular studies as faster speciation would have significantly altered clinical management. A recently published study on species-specific antifungal susceptibility profiles found the MIC of posaconazole for eight L. corymbifera isolates was 0.1; thus, we believe this pattern of posaconazole resistance has not been previously reported [14]. In the first patient’s case, sensitivity assays were not performed as LAmB, which is the drug of choice for mucormycosis, was utilized. However, susceptibility to amphotericin B does vary between species [14]. In a 2014 case report, cutaneous mucormycosis caused by an isolate of Apophysomyces with a high MIC to amphotericin B led to the patient’s death due to nonresponse to amphotericin B and fluconazole [15]. Sensitivities may also have been of value in selecting a second antifungal agent when disease progression continued despite appropriate management.

Given the unprecedented aspects of these cases, mucormycosis warrants increased clinical suspicion, especially in diabetic wound patients with atypical presentations. In such patients, it is obligatory to send specimens for acid-fast bacilli and fungal cultures. It remains unclear whether the poor outcomes we report represent a “perfect storm” of host factors and diagnostic delays or if healthcare-associated Mucorales with increased virulence may have contributed as both patients had recent, prolonged exposure to healthcare facilities. Despite remaining an uncommon disease entity, increased awareness of the spectrum of potential presentations as well as the evolving nature of mucormycosis diagnosis and management is critical to minimize morbidity and mortality.
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