Polarized, V-Shaped, and Conjoined Biscoumarins: From Lack of Dipole Moment Alignment to High Brightness
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ABSTRACT: Eleven conjoined coumarins possessing a chromeno[3,4-c]chromene-6,7-dione skeleton have been synthesized via the reaction of electron-rich phenols with esters of coumarin-3-carboxylic acids, catalyzed by either Lewis acids or 4-dimethylaminopyridine. Furthermore, Michael-type addition to angular benzo[f]coumarins is possible, leading to conjugated helical systems. Arrangement of the electron-donating amino groups at diverse positions on this heterocyclic skeleton makes it possible to obtain π-expanded coumarins with emission either sensitive to, or entirely independent of, solvent polarity with large Stokes shifts. Computational studies have provided a rationale for moderate solvatochromic effects unveiling the lack of collinearity of the dipole moments in the ground and excited states. Depending on the functional groups present, the obtained dyes are highly polarized with dipole moments of ∼14 D in the ground state and ∼20–25 D in the excited state. Strong emission in nonpolar solvents, in spite of the inclusion of a NO2 group, is rationalized by the fact that the intramolecular charge transfer introduced into these molecules is strong enough to suppress intersystem crossing yet weak enough to prevent the formation of dark twisted intramolecular charge transfer states. Photochemical transformation of the dye possessing a chromeno[3,4-c]pyridine-4,5-dione scaffold led to the formation of a spirocyclic benzo[g]coumarin.

INTRODUCTION

Although coumarins were first synthesized almost 150 years ago,1 they are still of interest to many researchers worldwide. This fact is mainly related to their very wide range of applications. Many groups of coumarins exhibit biological activity, including anti-inflammatory, antifungal, antibacterial, or dermal photosensitizing properties, that make them useful in the medicinal and pharmaceutical industries.2–4 In addition to the features associated with their bioactivity, coumarins possess very interesting photophysical properties.5–7 These compounds are highly desirable for applications in solar cells,8 organic light-emitting diodes,9 and laser dyes10 due to their relatively simple, easily tunable structures, along with their high fluorescence quantum efficiencies, long decay times, and large Stokes shifts. Modification of the molecular structure of coumarins by extending the π-electron system strongly influences their electronic spectra. Consequently, appropriately substituted π-expanded coumarins exhibiting bathochromic shifts in the absorption and emission spectra are increasingly used in biological imaging, thanks to the deeper tissue penetration depth of this spectral region (Figure 1).11 A significant portion of research has been dedicated to fluorescent benzo[g]coumarin-based probes applicable for two-photon microscopy.12 Another interesting feature of π-expanded coumarins is the opportunity to apply them in the construction of new classes of optoelectronic materials.13

In the last few years, empowered by synthetic advances, previously unknown π-expanded coumarins have been explored, including those exhibiting a helical structure (Figure 1).14 Among the significant number of reported skeletons, coumarin[3,4-c]coumarins (chromeno[3,4-c]chromene-6,7-diones), that is, V-shaped conjoined biscoumarins, have attracted the most attention. Although known since 1984,15–17 they have only recently experienced a renaissance18–22 due to more straightforward synthetic methods becoming available.23,24 Inspired by straightforward and programmable preparation of coumarin[3,4-c]coumarin, we reasoned that modulation of photophysical properties can be achieved via employing π-expanded coumarins as building blocks in this two-component reaction. The simultaneous goal of this study was to design V-shaped biscoumarins with large dipole moments exceeding 10 Debye, a value being in the ground electronic state usually an upper limit for molecules with large solvatochromism or showing dual emission as a result of twisted intramolecular charge transfer.25–28 This can be intuitively understood as the polarized moieties of a conjoined molecule contributing to the
total dipole of the V-shaped structure (two vectors $\vec{V}_1$ and $\vec{V}_2$ add up to the resultant $\vec{V}_3$). Such a description is, however, very oversimplified because it completely ignores the electronic coupling within the conjoined system and reduces interactions with Coulombic forces, which are further truncated to the dipole–dipole term only. At short distances, the multipole expansion is not exact even if it takes several orders, so the simple picture with dipoles is not valid. Therefore, a careful experimental study and a detailed theoretical exploration with quantum chemistry calculations are required for understanding the photophysics and optical properties of the V-shaped coumarins.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Design and Synthesis.** Harnessing the propensity of strongly polarized benzo[g]coumarins to have large dipole moments originating from linearly extended conjugation was chosen as one of the key strategies to achieve our goal. We hypothesized that replacing one coumarin unit in a chromeno-[3,4-c]chromene-6,7-dione scaffold with benzo[g]coumarin would lead to conjoined coumarins possessing bathochromically shifted emission and large dipole moments. Simultaneously, starting from benzo[f]coumarin may deliver curved coumarins analogous to [5]helicene. Our synthetic strategy capitalized on the fact that coumarins with an ester group in the 3 position form V-shaped condensation products when heated with reactive phenols or amidines in the presence of a catalyst, such as Lewis acids or some types of organic bases. By virtue of the electronic demands of these reactions, the nucleophilic partner has to possess two electron-donating groups. Thus, the structural possibilities leading to various electronic configurations have to be realized by varying the electrophilic substrate, that is, coumarin. The project started from the synthesis of dyes 3 and 5 possessing a $C_{2v}$-symmetric scaffold with two amino groups present, dye 7 bearing a NO$_2$ group and dye 8. In all cases, the motivation lied in having conjoined bis-coumarins with various arrangements of electron-donating and withdrawing groups for comparison of photophysical properties. Compound 3 was obtained by the reaction of methyl 7-(diethylamino)-2-oxo-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) and 3-diethylaminophenol (2) in the presence of AlCl$_3$ as a catalyst. Despite the optimization studies carried out (type of catalyst, reaction temperature, and time), after 24 h at 140 °C, the conversion was still low and the desired compound was isolated in only 7% yield (Scheme 1).

The low yield prompted us to attempt to identify side-products in this reaction. Unfortunately, however, these attempts were futile, mostly because the presence of multiple, unstable side-products, which could not be isolated in a pure state. Mass spectrometry of combined fractions containing side-products have revealed that there are no regioisomeric conjoined coumarins present. On the other hand, biscoumarin 5 was obtained in significantly higher yield simply by changing the catalyst to N,N-dimethylpyridine-4-amine (DMAP) (Scheme 1). The presence of only one reactive position in the structure of 8-hydroxyjulolidine has plausibly the biggest impact on this yields’ difference. Subsequently, we synthesized biscoumarin 8, which differs by the position of an amino group in one of the
coumarin subunits. We wanted to investigate how the location of the donor substituent influences the photophysical properties when compared to biscoumarins substituted in the 7 position. We were curious if a similar behavior would be observed to that of simple 6-amino and 7-aminocoumarins. Unfortunately, the reaction of 6-aminocoumarin derivatives with appropriate phenols was low-yielding and many side-products were observed.

Therefore, we started the synthesis of dyes 7 and 8 by obtaining compound 6, which was subsequently reacted with 3-diethylaminophenol (2) in the presence of indium triflate (In(OTf)₃) (Scheme 2). The reduction of the nitro group with tin(II) chloride led to the desired coumarin 8 in good overall yield.32

We then turned our attention to benzo[g]coumarins as starting materials.12,33 We started the synthesis by preparation of the appropriately substituted benzo[g]coumarin 9 using methodology developed by Ahn and co-workers.34 In the crucial step, we carried out the reaction with 3-diethylaminophenol (2) in the presence of different catalysts, such as In(OTf)₃, Al(OTf)₃, AlCl₃, FeCl₃, and others Lewis acids, but the best results were obtained with DMAP (Scheme 3).

Next, this approach was applied to a broader group of substrates. Using the same set of conditions and 8-hydroxyjulolidine (4) in reaction with 8-hydroxyjulolidine (4) gave coumarin 15 in acceptable yield (Scheme 4).
By analogy, coumarins 16 and 17 were synthesized using appropriate phenols (Figure 3), although the yields were lower (18% in both cases) when compared to molecules based on the benzo[g]coumarin core. We also carried out the reaction with 3-diethylaminophenol (2), but in this case we observed only trace amounts of product, regardless of the catalyst used, which might be a result of the larger steric hindrance in relation to compound 10.

Finally, we attempted the cyclocondensation of benzocoumarins with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-8-ene (DBU) and 1,5-diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene (DBN) employing the conditions developed a few years ago for the reaction of amidines with substituted coumarins.24 π-Expanded coumarins 18 and 19 were successfully prepared, although the reaction with DBU was longer and the yield of the product was slightly lower (59%) than in the case of reaction with DBN (Scheme 5). This is probably related to a smaller steric hindrance observed for the compound with five membered rings at the periphery of the system.

The same conditions applied for benzo[f]coumarin 14 in reaction with DBN led to the product 20 in a slightly lower yield (38%) (Scheme 6), whereas in reaction with DBU only traces of desired product were observed. In both cases, the result was very likely due to the even larger steric hindrance caused by the seven membered ring.

Additionally, an interesting stability phenomenon was observed for compound 19. We noticed that this molecule dissolved in dichloromethane (DCM) exposed to air and sunlight is transformed into many fluorescent products. Among them, from the reaction mixture, compound 21 could be isolated, but the yield was only 18% (Scheme 7). The structure of this compound was fully confirmed by X-ray analysis (Figure 4) as well as by NMR spectroscopy.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Studies. Spiro-coumarin 21 was crystallized by slow diffusion of hexane into chloroform. The orange shards of 0.04 mm × 0.04 mm × 0.04 mm size were appropriate for X-ray analysis. The crystallographic structure of compound 21 is presented in Figure 4. The crystal belongs to the P2₁/n space group. As shown in Figures 4 and S2, the part of molecule with the coumarin moiety fused with the five-membered lactone ring is planar, while the amidine moiety is positioned in a perpendicular arrangement. The dihedral angle between the coumarin core and the plane of the DBN ring is close to 90° (Figure S2). The molecule exhibits an antiparallel packing in the unit cell.
| comp. | solvent | $\lambda_{\text{abs}}^{\max}$ [nm] | $\lambda_{\text{em}}^{\max}$ [nm] | $e$ [M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$] | Stokes shift [cm$^{-1}$] | $\Phi_{\text{F}}$ | $\tau_{\text{ave}}$ [ns] | $k_{\text{r}}$ [ns$^{-1}$] | $k_{\text{nr}}$ [ns$^{-1}$] |
|-------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3     | toluene | 419                           | 473                           | 51 500                 | 2700                     | 0.36   | 1.45           | 0.248          | 0.441          |
|       | DCM     | 453                           | 494                           | 60 900                 | 1800                     | 0.43   | 1.87           | 0.230          | 0.305          |
|       | ACN     | 451                           | 512                           | 56 200                 | 2600                     | 0.58   | 2.59           | 0.224          | 0.162          |
| 4     | toluene | 449                           | 500                           | 42 500                 | 2300                     | 0.37   | 2.06           | 0.180          | 0.306          |
|       | DCM     | 467                           | 525                           | 55 200                 | 2400                     | 0.44   | 3.96           | 0.111          | 0.141          |
|       | ACN     | 466                           | 546                           | 49 500                 | 3100                     | 0.83   | 4.36           | 0.190          | 0.039          |
| 5     | toluene | 469                           | 532                           | 24 900                 | 2500                     | 0.71   | 6.55           | 0.108          | 0.044          |
|       | DCM     | 480                           | 560                           | 31 100                 | 3000                     | 0.22   | 0.82           | 0.268          | 0.951          |
|       | ACN     | 471                           | 576                           | 29 000                 | 3900                     | 0.0007 | 0.06           | 0.012          | 16.66          |
| 6     | toluene | 441                           | 557                           | 27 100                 | 4700                     | 0.05   | 1.27           | 0.039          | 0.748          |
|       | DCM     | 459                           | 545                           | 35 500                 | 3400                     | 0.015  | 3.33           | 0.005          | 0.296          |
|       | ACN     | 451                           | 647                           | 30 200                 | 6700                     | 0.006  | 0.92           | 0.007          | 1.080          |
| 7     | toluene | 445                           | 547                           | 45 900                 | 4200                     | 0.68   | 1.45           | 0.469          | 0.221          |
|       | DCM     | 456                           | 597                           | 53 600                 | 5200                     | 0.76   | 5.17           | 0.147          | 0.046          |
|       | ACN     | 453                           | 645                           | 45 400                 | 6600                     | 0.60   | 5.16           | 0.116          | 0.078          |
| 8     | toluene | 454                           | 544                           | 3600                   | 0.41                       & 1.24 & 0.331 & 0.476 & 0.103 & 0.099 & 11
|       | DCM     | 498                           | 586                           | 46 500                 | 3000                     | 0.61   | 3.78           | 0.161          | 0.103          |
|       | ACN     | 466                           | 634                           | 4500                   | 0.53                       & 4.74 & 0.112 & 0.099 & 12
| 9     | toluene | 437                           | 546                           | 4600                   | 0.59                       & 3.69 & 0.160 & 0.111 & 13
|       | DCM     | 443                           | 599                           | 38 400                 | 5900                     | 0.65   | 5.58           | 0.116          | 0.063          |
|       | ACN     | 445                           | 648                           | 70 000                 | 7000                     | 0.63   | 4.82           | 0.131          | 0.077          |
| 10    | toluene | 443                           | 545                           | 4200                   | 0.53                       & 3.40 & 0.156 & 0.138 & 14
|       | DCM     | 449                           | 597                           | 45 800                 | 5500                     | 0.76   | 5.51           | 0.138          | 0.044          |
|       | ACN     | 451                           | 645                           | 6700                   | 0.45                       & 4.60 & 0.098 & 0.120 & 15
| 11    | toluene | 478                           | 576                           | 23 700                 | 3600                     | 0.56   | 5.59           | 0.100          | 0.079          |
|       | DCM     | 492                           | 1020                          | 10 200                 |                           |       |                |                |                |
|       | ACN     | 494                           | 634                           | 4500                   | 0.53                       & 4.74 & 0.112 & 0.099 & 16
| 12    | toluene | 437                           | 546                           | 4600                   | 0.59                       & 3.69 & 0.160 & 0.111 & 17
|       | DCM     | 443                           | 599                           | 38 400                 | 5900                     | 0.65   | 5.58           | 0.116          | 0.063          |
|       | ACN     | 445                           | 648                           | 70 000                 | 7000                     | 0.63   | 4.82           | 0.131          | 0.077          |
| 13    | toluene | 443                           | 545                           | 4200                   | 0.53                       & 3.40 & 0.156 & 0.138 & 18
|       | DCM     | 449                           | 597                           | 45 800                 | 5500                     | 0.76   | 5.51           | 0.138          | 0.044          |
|       | ACN     | 451                           | 645                           | 6700                   | 0.45                       & 4.60 & 0.098 & 0.120 & 19
| 14    | toluene | 478                           | 576                           | 23 700                 | 3600                     | 0.56   | 5.59           | 0.100          | 0.079          |
|       | DCM     | 492                           | 1020                          | 10 200                 |                           |       |                |                |                |
|       | ACN     | 494                           | 634                           | 4500                   | 0.53                       & 4.74 & 0.112 & 0.099 & 20
| 15    | toluene | 437                           | 546                           | 4600                   | 0.59                       & 3.69 & 0.160 & 0.111 & 21
|       | DCM     | 443                           | 599                           | 38 400                 | 5900                     | 0.65   | 5.58           | 0.116          | 0.063          |
|       | ACN     | 445                           | 648                           | 70 000                 | 7000                     | 0.63   | 4.82           | 0.131          | 0.077          |
Table 1. continued

| comp. | solvent | $\lambda_{\text{abs}}^{\text{max}}$ [nm] | $\lambda_{\text{em}}^{\text{max}}$ [nm] | $\varepsilon$ [M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$] | Stokes shift [cm$^{-1}$] | $\Phi^*$ | $\tau_{\text{aver}}$ [ns] | $k^b$ [ns$^{-1}$] | $k^c$ [ns$^{-1}$] |
|-------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|
|      | DCM     | 318                           | 409                           | 359                           | 318             | 520    | 11 100         | 5200   | 0.09   | 2.17   | 0.041  | 0.419  |
|      | ACN     | 409                           | 537                           | 355                           | 316             | 5800   | 9600           | 8400   | 0.08   | 2.44   | 0.033  | 0.377  |
| 21    | toluene | 449                           | 525                           | 327                           | 322             | 3200   | 26 200         | 3600   | 0.74   | 5.50   | 0.135  | 0.047  |
|      | DCM     | 476                           | 574                           | 332                           | 329             | 4800   | 23 300         | 4800   | 0.78   | 5.60   | 0.139  | 0.039  |

*Fluorescence quantum yield measured using an integrating sphere. $k^b = \Phi^* / \tau_{\text{aver}}, k^c = 1 / \tau_{\text{aver}} - k^b$.

(Figure S1). The distance between the planes of the coumarin moiety of the two neighboring molecules is around 3.8 Å.

**Photophysical results.** Photophysical properties were measured for compounds 3, 5, 7, 8, 10–13, and 15–21 in nonpolar toluene (dielectric constant $\varepsilon = 2.38$), moderately polar DCM ($\varepsilon = 8.93$), and polar acetonitrile (ACN, $\varepsilon = 38.8$). Absorption and normalized fluorescence spectra for all molecules are presented in Figure S4 and Table 1. Compounds 3 and 5 possessing two electron-donating groups exhibited absorption and emission spectra in the range of 419–467 and 473–546 nm, respectively, which mostly correspond to the properties of other biscoumarin derivatives. Coumarin 7 bearing a NO$_2$ group, as well as compound 8 possessing NH$_2$ group, have bathochromically shifted spectra compared to previous molecules, which is particularly prominent in the case of emission (Figure S4).

Fluorescence spectra of the π-expanded conjoined coumarins containing benzo[g]- and benzo[f]coumarin cores are significantly more red-shifted than the spectra of simple V-shaped coumarins 3 and 5 (Figures 5 and S4) and are characterized by large Stokes shifts in the range of 3000–7000 cm$^{-1}$ (Table 1). Additionally, the fluorescence spectra show solvatochromism when going from nonpolar to polar solvents, thereby suggesting that these compounds have large dipole moments in the excited state. For compounds 18, 19, and 20, the location of absorption bands was very similar to those of other molecules described in the literature. Their emission spectra, however, are significantly shifted toward lower energy, which can be related to the strong electronic coupling present in the large π-expanded structure.

Most of the conjoined coumarins are strongly fluorescent in all solvents, however, a difference in behavior is observed for some of them. Fluorescence quantum yields ($\Phi^*$) for compounds 3 and 5 are larger in polar solvents than in nonpolar solvents, where the nonradiative process rate $k^r$ increases (Table 1). This points to stabilization of the emissive $S_1$ state in a polar environment. Compounds 7 and 8 exhibit low $\Phi^*$ in all solvents, which suggests an emission from a dark state giving a small value of the radiative rate, $k^r$, and a large radiationless rate, $k^c$ (Table 1). Conjoined coumarins 10–13 possess fluorescence quantum yields in the range 0.41–0.76, while compounds 15, 16, and 17 are in the range from 0.07 to 0.56. A decrease of $\Phi^*$ with the increase of solvent polarity was observed for most of these compounds (Table 1). Compound 18 exhibited very low $\Phi^*$ (0.015–0.04), which could be related to the presence of a more flexible seven-membered ring compared to 19, which had a large $\Phi^*$ (0.64–0.67) and more rigid structure. Despite the fact that compound 20 possesses the same five-membered ring structure as molecule 19, its fluorescence quantum yield is rather low (Table 1). This difference originates from the lack of an electron-donating group in its structure. Most of the conjoined coumarins have a one-exponential fluorescence decay profile with lifetimes ranging from 1 to 6 ns (Table S2). In a few cases, two component exponential decay is observed, which could be related to the presence of additional CT states. The values of the second fluorescence lifetime, $\tau_2$, were significantly longer indicating that the CT state lives longer than the LE state (Table S2).

Having this large library in hand, an interesting comparison can be made. Addition of a second amino group, that is, transforming the original chromeno[3,4-c]chromene-6,7-diones into conjoined coumarins possessing pure C$_6$ symmetry has a negligible hypsochromic effect on $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (463 nm $\rightarrow$ 453 nm) and $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ (528 nm $\rightarrow$ 494 nm). At the same time, however, it does influence $\Phi^*$, which is smaller for symmetric derivatives 3 and 5 although this increases and not decreases in polar solvents.
The second interesting comparison is related to coumarins 8 versus 3 (Figure 6). It is well-known that moving the amino group from position 6 to position 7 has a profound effect on coumarin photophysical properties leading to a bathochromic shift of emission and a strong decrease in fluorescence quantum yield.\(^{6b,c,31e}\) Combining 6-aminocoumarin with 7-coumarins photophysical properties leading to a bathochromic shift of coumarins at the coumarin moiety, are negligible.

Breakthrough work by Ahn and co-workers has revealed that 8-dialkylamino-3-carboxymethyl-benzo[g]coumarin has absorption and emission markedly bathochromically shifted versus the electronically analogous 7-dialkylamino-3-carboxymethyl-coumarin, from 453 to 565 nm (in DCM), respectively.\(^{12,34}\) The direct comparison of dyes 3 and 10 shown that although \(\lambda_{ab}\) values are only slightly bathochromically shifted, the emission is red-shifted by 70–150 nm, depending on the solvent (Figure 5). At the same time in contrast to many classical coumarins, the fluorescence intensity neither decreases nor increases in polar solvents. The variations of photophysical properties within the group of conjoined coumarins 10, 11, 13, and 15, all possessing the same core, but differing in the nature of the amino substituent at the coumarin moiety, are negligible.

The fundamental difference between helical conjoined coumarins 17–19 and their analogs derived from benzo[g]-coumarins is obvious, that is, a minimal hypsochromic shift of both absorption and emission in toluene, but a larger in the case of ACN. The emission intensity is smaller, however, and it decreases sharply while moving to solvents with larger \(\epsilon\), although one can notice that dye 16 has a less sharp decrease in \(\Phi_F\) in a polar solvent, which resembles earlier observations by Ahn\(^{36}\) as well as by Gryko and Sobolewski showing that coumarins possessing an ethylamino group at position 7 are fluorescent in polar environments.

Replacing the second coumarin moiety with a lactam shifts \(\lambda_{ab}\) of the resulting benzo[g]coumarins 18 and 19 hypsochromically (Figure 7). Similar to earlier observations performed for an analogous series possessing five-membered and seven-membered rings,\(^{36}\) emission of 19 is strong, whereas that of 18 is weak in solutions regardless of solvents’ polarity.

10-(Diethylamino)-2-nitro-chromeno[3,4-c]chromene-6,7-dione (7) possessing a NO\(_2\) group represents a very special case due to a recent surge of interest in fluorescent nitroaromatics.\(^{38}\) It is worth noting that fluorescence of this dye in toluene reaches 0.71 and decreases sharply in polar solvents (Table 1). This is in strong contrast to a previously described analog 3-(diethylamino)-10-nitro-chromeno[3,4-c]-chromene-6,7-dione, which had undetectable fluorescence.\(^{23}\) The only difference between these two structures is the position of the NO\(_2\) group.

Interesting photophysical properties are displayed by compound 21. The maxima of absorption and emission spectra are in the range of 449–476 and 525–604 nm, respectively (Figure S4 and Table 1). The position of absorption bands is very similar to those of compounds 10–13 as well as molecules with a helical structure and a benzo[f]coumarin core. Although the emission bands of spiro-coumarin 21 are slightly hypsochromically shifted (Table 1), the opposite situation was observed compared to compounds 19 and 20 with the DBN moiety. In this case, both the absorption and emission spectra of spiro-coumarin 21 were significantly red-shifted (Table 1). Comparing molecule 19, which is the direct precursor for spiro-coumarin 21, the differences in the location of the absorption and emission maxima are 47 and 86 nm in DCM, respectively (Figure 8).

Spiro-coumarin 21, like most of the presented conjoined V-shaped coumarins in this work, is also a strongly luminescent molecule. In all three solvents, \(\Phi_F\) is in the range of 0.71–0.78. We see that in this case, the solvent polarity does not significantly influence these values. The large \(\Phi_F\) correlates with relatively long lifetimes similar to the case of compound 19. The radiative rate constant \(k_r\) is from 2.4 to 3.5 times larger than the nonradiative rate constant \(k_{nr}\), which suggests that radiative processes dominate (Table 1).

**Computational results.** Calculations were performed using the Gaussian 16 package at the density functional theory (DFT and TDDFT) level with the PCM procedure for description of solvent effects.\(^{39}\) The optimization of molecular structures in the ground (\(S_0\)) and electronic excited (\(S_1\)) states
was conducted with B3LYP and M06 functionals. The optimization has been confirmed by positive values of all calculated vibrational frequencies. Calculations of the energy of electronic transitions \( S_0 \rightarrow S_1 \) and \( S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \) and the corresponding oscillator strengths for the considered coumarins in three solvents were performed to get insights into the photophysical processes. The calculations were preceded by the optimization of coumarin structures in both the \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) states. The results of these extensive calculations by the TD B3LYP/6-31G (d,p) method are summarized in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. In the same Table S1, the results of the calculations with the M06 functional can also be found due to the need for caution with the B3LYP functional known for its limitations. The use of the M06 functional can also be found due to the need for caution with the B3LYP functional known for its limitations. The use of the M06 functional leads to slightly higher transition energies, and the B3LYP functional is known for its limitations. The use of the M06 functional can also be found due to the need for caution with the B3LYP functional known for its limitations.

Table 2. Calculated (B3LYP) Values of Electronic Transition Energies \( (E_{\text{abs}} \text{ and } E_{\text{em}}) \), Oscillator Strengths \( (f) \), and Dipole Moments of Conjoined Coumarins in Toluene: \( \mu_g \) in the Ground State \( (S_0) \) and \( \mu_e \) in the Excited \( (S_1) \) State

| comp. | \( S_0 \) state | \( S_1 \) state | \( S_1 \) vs \( S_0 \) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3     | \( 412.5 \)     | \( 451.9 \)     | \( 29.9 \)     |
| 5     | \( 425.8 \)     | \( 478.6 \)     | \( 30.5 \)     |
| 7     | \( 458.3 \)     | \( 509.9 \)     | \( 35.0 \)     |
| 8     | \( 442.1 \)     | \( 497.1 \)     | \( 30.0 \)     |
| 10    | \( 476.1 \)     | \( 527.6 \)     | \( 33.5 \)     |
| 11    | \( 475.7 \)     | \( 539.0 \)     | \( 33.3 \)     |
| 12    | \( 472.5 \)     | \( 534.3 \)     | \( 32.7 \)     |
| 13    | \( 473.6 \)     | \( 545.1 \)     | \( 32.1 \)     |
| 15    | \( 454.6 \)     | \( 583.8 \)     | \( 30.9 \)     |
| 16    | \( 424.4 \)     | \( 547.2 \)     | \( 29.6 \)     |
| 18    | \( 410.8 \)     | \( 554.9 \)     | \( 28.5 \)     |
| 19    | \( 405.3 \)     | \( 549.3 \)     | \( 38.1 \)     |
| 20    | \( 399.7 \)     | \( 511.8 \)     | \( 37.7 \)     |

\( \alpha \) is the angle between \( \mu_g \) and \( \mu_e \); \( |\mu_g| - |\mu_e| \) is the difference in scalar values of dipole moments; \( |\mu_g - \mu_e| \) is the value of the vector difference between both vectors—this is the factor that determines the size of the solvatochromic effect, as it is known from the theory of the Lippert–Mataga solvent effect. Lippert–Mataga expressions are recalled in the Supporting Information.

**Figure 8.** Absorption (solid line) and emission (dotted line) spectra of dyes 19 (blue, excited at 395 nm) and 21 (red, excited at 420 nm) measured in DCM.
Frontier orbitals of conjoined coumarins share common features: their HOMOs are located on one of the components, while their LUMOs are shared. This is shown in the molecular energy diagrams for two coumarins 11 and 15 in Figure 9.

Figure 9. Molecular orbital energy diagrams with frontier orbitals of conjoined coumarins 11 and 15 and the character of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition (marked in red) and $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$.

Hence, the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transition is partially located on the components on which the HOMO is located, and partially it is a CT transition to the second component. Consequently, the electronic transition $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ in conjoined coumarins is characterized by transition moment vectors directed from one coumarin moiety to the second coumarin moiety. As a result, in the excited state of the conjoined coumarins, the direction of the dipole moment changes compared to the ground state, as shown in Table 2.

One of the components of both depicted conjoined coumarins is the same coumarin core, labeled B. The couplings between the HOMO components are not large and in both conjoined coumarins, they remain practically localized to one of the components. Due to the difference in ionization potential between these parts, in the A–B combination, the HOMO of coumarin 11 is located on A, while in the B–C combination, the HOMO of dye 15 is located on B. Therefore, the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition in coumarin 11 is a transition partially located on A, and it takes place as a partial CT transition from A to B. The $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition in dye 15, however, is partially located on B and is partially a CT transition from B to C. Due to the relatively small fission of HOMO and HOMO $\rightarrow$ 1 (each located on one of the coumarin moieties), the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ and $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ transitions have similar energies (which is confirmed by experiment).

The oscillator strengths (Table 2 and Table S3) in almost all conjoined coumarins are large with values typical for fully allowed optical transitions. Oscillator strengths for the conjoined V-shaped coumarins are larger than for their constituent parts (Table S4), revealing strong coupling in the extended $\pi$-electron system. This is also manifested by significant lowering of the excited state energy transitions in the conjoined coumarins occurring at energies lower than in a single component molecule. In the large group of coumarins tested in this work, there were also cases that displayed properties different to those described above.

**Individual Cases.** Energy and oscillator strengths of the conjoined coumarins additionally depend on the position and nature of the substituted group. A decrease in the transition energy and oscillator strength of this transition is observed when changing the position of the amino group from the 7- to 6-position (coumarins 3 vs 8) and is illustrated in Figure 10.

The HOMOs in the conjoined biscoumarins 3 and 8 are highly localized and retain the shape of the orbitals from one of the components (7-aminocoumarin or 6-aminocoumarin). In contrast, the LUMOs are delocalized over both components and are the sum of the LUMOs of each component (Figure 10). Thus, the properties of HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transitions in individual components are transferred to coumarins 3 and 8, resulting in small oscillator strength and small $\Phi_F$ in the case of dye 8.

A change in the nature of a functional group, such as the presence of a NO$_2$ group (with two low-lying LUMOs), leads...
to a more complex system of LUMO states in the coumarin core. In energetic proximity to the typical LUMO of the coumarins discussed above (i.e., LUMO delocalized across the whole molecule), a “new” LUMO appears, localized on the coumarin moieties bearing the NO$_2$ group (Figure 11). The absorption of conjoined coumarin 7 is analogous to the previously discussed coumarins, but in the excited state the lowest energy state becomes the one described by the “new” LUMO. This is a dark state, meaning the emission from this state is a pure CT transition with negligible oscillator strength.

Nevertheless, testing the potential energy surface in the excited state of coumarin 7 in toluene, another local energy minimum for the excited state was also found (all vibration frequencies were positive). Figure 11 summarizes the energies and oscillator strength of the electronic transitions for coumarin 7. The energy ordering of states, $E^{FC} > E$ (bright) $> E$ (dark), shows that the energy of the bright state is lower than the excitation energy, that is, it is possible to fill it after excitation. However, the energy of the bright state is higher than the energy of the dark state.

The efficient emission of compound 7 is rare among generally nonfluorescent nitro compounds. In particular, the counterpart of the compound 7, V-shaped biscoumarin, in which the 6-nitrocoumarin moiety is replaced by 7-nitrocoumarin scaffold, is also nonfluorescent. The nitrocoumarin moieties are responsible for the shape and arrangement of the low-lying LUMOs of the entire V-shaped conjoined biscoumarin. When comparing the shape of the frontier orbitals of both nitrocoumarins (as shown in Figure S9), the similar shape of their LUMOs can be seen. These LUMOs contribute to the formation of the S$_1$ state of the dark form of compound 7 and the S$_0$ state in its nonfluorescent counterpart (involving 7-nitrocoumarin). It can also be seen that the adjacent LUMO + 1 orbital, responsible for the formation of the bright form of compound 7, is a characteristic feature only of the 6-nitrocoumarin core, absent in the case of 7-nitrocoumarin. Thus, in the differentiation of the properties of compound 7 and the regioisomeric V-shaped conjoined biscoumarin, we have an example of the wider problem of the strong differentiation of properties of coumarins with substituents in the 6 and 7 positions, which already has its own literature.

The two V-shaped coumarins 18 and 19 differ by way of rigidification of the bridging nitrogen atom but the results of calculating the electronic structure in the ground state does not show any major differences between them. This is confirmed by the experimental absorption and emission energies. However, while $\Phi_0$ of dye 19 is large, the fluorescence of 18 is negligible. An analogous observation was made earlier for a number of coumarins with a similar structure. The reason was the formation of a dark form with deformed geometry in the excited state (Figure 12). As a result of this deformation, the electronic transition becomes a pure intermolecular CT transition with practically zero oscillator strength.

**Figure 11.** Diagram of electronic states for conjoined biscoumarin 7 (dark and bright forms).

**Figure 12.** Deformed structure of compound 18 in the excited state $[E(S_1 \rightarrow S_0) = 0.9640$ eV and $f = 0.0009]$.  

---

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have shown that it is possible to extend a previously developed methodology to prepare conjoined V-shaped coumarins from benzo[\(g\)]coumarins and benzo[\(f\)]coumarins. All molecules are highly polarized in the ground and excited electronic states. The HOMOs of the conjoined coumarins are localized on a single coumarin subunit (the one that exhibits the larger ionization potential). In contrast, the LUMOs are a sum of the LUMOs of both subunits and are therefore delocalized over the entire molecule. As a result of this, the direction of the dipole moment changes upon excitation. The two lowest excited electronic states S$_1$ and S$_0$, which are a result of the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO and HOMO $\rightarrow$ 1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO transitions, exhibit a partial CT character as the charge transfer takes place from one of the subunits to the entire molecule. Most of the novel coumarins studied are strongly fluorescent in all solvents; however, placing one amino group at position 6, as in coumarin 8, changes the photophysics entirely. The significant Coulomb interaction-driven stabilization originating from the larger charge separation in the S$_1$ excited state of this coumarin leads to lower energy of the S$_1$ $\rightarrow$ S$_0$ transition and a significant drop in oscillator strength. Introducing only moderate charge transfer character into a conjoined biscoumarin possessing an NO$_2$ group is a viable strategy to induce strong fluorescence in nonpolar solvents. The results clearly demonstrate that unrestricted dimethyl- or diethylamino groups are better electron donors than their restricted counterpart. A similar effect is observed while comparing 7-substituted versus 6-substituted V-shaped conjoined biscoumarins. These conclusions are valid for the ground state as well as for the excited electronic state. The V-shaped biscoumarins are highly polarized and highly luminescent in contrast with previously studied amide-bridged biscoumarins of (intended) head-to-tail alignment, which, due to their flexible linker, showed a tendency to bend or even curl themselves. Apparently, the rigid structure of conjoined coumarin assures concurrently the high dipole moment and efficient fluorescence. Our findings demonstrate that these molecules
constitute a unique π-system in which large changes in the dipole moments between ground and excited states, combined with a substantial change of dipole direction, lead to appreciable photophysical properties.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### General Information

All reported NMR spectra (1H NMR and 13C NMR) were recorded using Varian 500 and 600 or Bruker 500 spectrometers. Chemical shifts (δ ppm) were determined with TMS as the internal reference, and J values are given in Hz. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained via an electron ionization (EI) source and a EBE double focusing geometry mass analyzer or spectrum equipped with an electrospray ion source with a q-TOF type mass analyzer. Chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh), and thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on TLC plates (Merck, silica gel 60 F254). Yields of conjoined bicoamurins were always calculated based on the amount of aminophenol used.

#### Photophysical Measurements

Room-temperature measurements were performed with dilute solutions in standard cuvettes (10 × 10 mm). Absorption spectra at room temperature (21°C) were recorded using a PerkinElmer LAMBDA 35 spectrophotometer. Emission spectra were obtained using a FLS 1000 of Edinburgh Instruments spectrofluorometer. Fluorescence kinetics studies were performed using the time-correlated single-photon counting technique. A mode-locked Coherent Mira laser (tunable within 760–800 nm) were upconverted to 244 nm by a Verdi 18 laser was used for excitation. The fundamental pulses of the Mira laser (285 nm, 0.75 mmol) in ethanol (50 mL) the reaction mixture was exposed to light (oil bath) for 5 h. Then the mixture was cooled to room temperature and aqueous NaHCO3 was added, until the pH became neutral. The aqueous solution was extracted with DCM, and the combined organic layers were dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 4:1) and crystallized from MeOH/Et2O affording a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 7

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with 3-ethylaminophenol (0.5 mmol), 3-ethylaminophenol (0.25 mmol) or 7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (0.25 mmol), and DMAP (0.6 mg, 0.005 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C for 5 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and aqueous NaHCO3 was added, until the pH became neutral. The aqueous solution was extracted with DCM, and the combined organic layers were dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 4:1) and crystallized from MeOH/Et2O affording a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 8

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with ethyl 8-(dimethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-benzo[g]chromene-3-carboxylate (9) (156 mg, 0.5 mmol), appropriate phenol (3-dimethylaminophenol) (2) (41 mg, 0.25 mmol), 8-hydroxylulolide (4) (47 mg, 0.25 mmol), 3-ethylaminop-cresol (38 mg, 0.25 mmol) or 7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (37 mg, 0.25 mmol), and DMAP (0.6 mg, 0.005 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 5 h. Then the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, and purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH 92:8). Crystallization from MeOH afforded a product of analytical purity.

#### General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 10–13

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with ethyl 8-(dimethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) (2.75 g, 10.0 mmol), 3-dimethylaminophenol (2) (825 mg, 5.0 mmol), and AlCl3 (220 mg, 1.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, and purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5) to afford a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 3

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with methyl 7-(diethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) (2.75 g, 10.0 mmol), 3-dimethylaminophenol (2) (825 mg, 5.0 mmol), and AlCl3 (220 mg, 1.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, and purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5) to afford a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 5

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with methyl 6-nitro-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (4) (146 mg, 5.30 mmol), 8-hydroxyxulolide (4) (5 mg, 26.95 mmol), and DMAP (325 mg, 2.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5), and crystallized from i-ProH-MeCl to afford a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 7

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with methyl 7-(diethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) (1.46 g, 53.0 mmol), 8-hydroxyxulolide (4) (4 g, 26.95 mmol), and DMAP (325 mg, 2.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5), and crystallized from i-ProH-MeCl to afford a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 8

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with ethyl 8-(dimethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) (1.46 g, 53.0 mmol), 8-hydroxyxulolide (4) (4 g, 26.95 mmol), and DMAP (325 mg, 2.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5), and crystallized from i-ProH-MeCl to afford a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 11

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with ethyl 8-(dimethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) (1.46 g, 53.0 mmol), 8-hydroxyxulolide (4) (4 g, 26.95 mmol), and DMAP (325 mg, 2.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5), and crystallized from i-ProH-MeCl to afford a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 12

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with ethyl 8-(dimethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) (1.46 g, 53.0 mmol), 8-hydroxyxulolide (4) (4 g, 26.95 mmol), and DMAP (325 mg, 2.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5), and crystallized from i-ProH-MeCl to afford a product of analytical purity.

#### Synthesis of Compound 13

A 20 mL round bottom flask was charged with ethyl 8-(dimethylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate (1) (1.46 g, 53.0 mmol), 8-hydroxyxulolide (4) (4 g, 26.95 mmol), and DMAP (325 mg, 2.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (neat) at 140 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in a small amount of DCM, purified by column chromatography (silica, DCM/acetonitrile 95:5), and crystallized from i-ProH-MeCl to afford a product of analytical purity.
Compound 18. Yellow precipitate. Yield: 0.185 g (59%). mp 250 °C (decomp). 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.19 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 4.21 (br s, 2H), 3.71 (br s, 2H), 3.40 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 2.13–2.00 (m, 4H), 1.97–1.89 (m, 2H). 13C{1H} NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ 158.9, 158.5, 155.0, 151.2, 151.1, 150.0, 136.9, 129.9, 129.7, 122.5, 115.4, 114.5, 110.1, 103.7, 98.0, 97.9, 53.4, 49.3, 40.4, 38.8, 31.6, 25.0, 24.9, 22.8. HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcld for C₂₅H₂₃N₂O₅Na, 438.1794 [M + Na⁺]; found, 438.1788.

Compound 19. Yellow precipitate. Yield: 0.212 g (73%). mp 310 °C (decomp). 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 9.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.80 (m, 4H), 3.37–3.33 (m, 2H), 3.32–3.25 (m, 2H), 3.08 (s, 6H), 2.18–2.09 (m, 2H). 13C{1H} NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ 159.6, 155.5, 150.8, 149.9, 141.6, 136.5, 129.7, 127.3, 122.8, 115.2, 113.9, 110.0, 109.4, 103.1, 96.5, 90.1, 51.6, 42.0, 40.1, 37.5, 27.4, 19.5. HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcld for C₂₅H₂₃N₂O₅Na, 438.1794 [M + Na⁺]; found, 438.1789.
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