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A complete *in vitro* toxicological assessment of the biological effects of cerium oxide nanoparticles: from acute toxicity to multi-dose subchronic cytotoxicity study.
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Abstract: Engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) are of significant relevance due to their unique properties, which have been exploited for widespread applications. Cerium oxide nanoparticles (CeO₂-NPs) are one of the most exploited ENM in the industry due to their excellent catalytic and multi-enzyme mimetic properties. Thus, toxicological effects of these ENMs should be further studied. Acute and subchronic toxicity of CeO₂-NPs were assessed. First an *in vitro* multi-dose short-term (24h) toxicological assessment was performed in three different cell lines: A549 and Calu3, representing the lung tissue, and 3T3 as an interstitial tissue model. After that, a sub-chronic toxicity assessment (90 days) of these NPs was carried out on a realistic and well stablished reconstituted primary human airway epithelial model (MuclAir™), cultured at the Air-Liquid Interface (ALI), to study long-term effects of these particles. Results showed minor toxicity of CeO₂-NPs in acute exposures. However, in subchronic exposures, cytotoxic and inflammatory responses were observed in the human airway epithelial model after 60 days of exposure to CeO₂-NPs. These results suggest that acute toxicity approaches may underestimate the toxicological effect of some ENM, highlighting the need of subchronic toxicological studies in order to accurately assess the toxicity of ENM and their cumulative effects in the organism.
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1. Introduction

In the last decades, the use of nanotechnology has revolutionized many biotechnological sectors [1]. Engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) possess unique physical, electrical and chemical properties [2], which have been exploited for widespread applications in electronics, aerospace, medicinal drug delivery, medical devices, biosensors, engineering, bioengineering, food and cosmetics [1–3]. The increasing use of ENMs and their consequent release into the environment [4–6] has raised concerns about their safety and their potential risks to human health [7–11].

Among these ENMs, cerium oxide nanoparticles (CeO₂-NPs) are one of the most exploited ENMs. For instance, due to their autoregenerative cycle between the two oxidation states, Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ [12,13], CeO₂-NPs have been used as promising antioxidant and anti-UV agents [14]. CeO₂-NPs have also been used as fuel catalyst additives [15], in polish surface treatment, as well as in cosmetics and sunscreens [16]. More recently, CeO₂-NPs have been used as therapeutic agents to prevent blindness caused by light overexposure [17] and to prevent age-related macular degeneration [18], as well as, anti-microbial agents by disrupting bacterial electron transport chain [19,20] and reducing...
infectivity of certain virus in vitro [21]. Despite their excellent catalytic and multi-enzyme mimetic properties [22], the potential toxicity of CeO$_2$-NPs to different organisms raises concerns [23–25]. Thus, the toxic mechanisms of CeO$_2$-NPs should be carefully and systematically investigated [22].

It has been reported that the main route of exposure to CeO$_2$-NPs is through inhalation, e.g. during occupational exposure when manufacturing CeO$_2$-NP-based products [26,27]. Thus, the lung is the main target organ for toxic effects after airborne CeO$_2$-NPs [26–30] exposure.

Although animal models have been traditionally used in inhalation toxicology research, animal welfare concerns and 3R directives encourage the use of alternative in vitro models for toxicological research [31–33]. In vitro models based on pulmonary cells represent excellent tools to study lung toxicity induced by ENMs exposure. Immortalized or tumorigenic cell lines (A549, BEAS-2B, Calu-3) are routinely used as monolayer models [34] or in co-culture with immune cells (e.g.: differentiated THP-1) to study inflammatory responses induced by ENM exposure [35,36]. These in vitro models can be used both in submerged conditions or at the Air-Liquid Interface (ALI) which has been demonstrated to favor a better interaction between NPs and cells, and has been considered physiologically more relevant for inhaled NPs research [27,35,37]. These models are considered useful tools for acute high-throughput screening of different air pollutants [37], however they are quite simplistic and do not represent an in vivo condition as they are based on tumorigenic cells that lack inherent primary cell characteristics, and they do not reproduce the architecture of the lung tissue [37].

To overcome these limitations, 3D human airway epithelial models based on primary cells have already been used as they better mimic the lung architecture, and because primary cells conserve original characteristics [38,39]. Currently, there are few commercially available human airway epithelial 3D models: EpiAirway$^\text{TM}$ from MatTek and MucilAir$^\text{TM}$ from Epithelix. MucilAir$^\text{TM}$ is a reconstituted primary human airway epithelial (PHAE, hereafter) model from human nasal or bronchial biopsies [40] that can be maintained in culture conditions for up to a year, allowing long-term and repeated exposures [40]. Baxter et al. [41] have demonstrated the suitability of this model for long-term exposures to toxicants. Meldrum et al. [42] used PHAE model to assess the mid-term (up to three weeks) cytotoxicity of CeO$_2$-NPs, showing that this 3D model may represent a more realistic model to predict the toxicity of inhaled particles than cell line monolayers, which may overestimate particles toxicity. To the best of our knowledge, subchronic (over 2 months) effects of repeated exposures to CeO$_2$-NPs have not yet been assessed in 3D PHAE models.

Currently, different systems have been developed to allow subchronic repeated exposure to toxicants in vitro. One of the most commonly used devices is the Vitrocell Cloud (VITROCELL Systems GmbH, Waldkirch, Germany). This device was specifically designed for exposure in ALI through the nebulization of the toxicant in a controlled atmosphere, allowing high deposition rates of the toxicant, as well as robustness of results and high reproducibility [43]. This device offers the possibility to perform in vitro exposures to NPs in a much more realistic scenario.

In this context, the aim of this study was to assess acute toxicity (24 h) of CeO$_2$-NPs on monocoltured pulmonary (A548 and Calu-3) and non-pulmonary (3T3) cell lines and subchronic toxicity (up to 90 days) of the same nanoparticles on the physiologically relevant PHAE model exposed at the ALI through Vitrocell Cloud nebulization. This study aims to contribute to a better understanding of the cascade of acute to subchronic cellular responses in cells exposed to CeO$_2$-NPs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. CeO$_2$ Nanoparticles (NPs)

2.1.1. Synthesis of CeO$_2$-NPs

CeO$_2$-NPs were synthesized following conventional gel-sol process. Briefly, commercial cerium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich, 228931XXX) was dissolved in deionized water (0.5 M) and stirred at 400 rpm for 1 hour at 60°C in a thermostatic bath. Then, ammonium hydroxide (0.5 M) (Sigma-Aldrich, 221228) was added to the cerium chloride solution and stirred at the same conditions mentioned before for 120 min, to allow NPs formation. After that, the mixture was left for 22 hours at room
temperature and then centrifuged, washed with deionized water and finally heated at 110 °C to evaporate the aqueous solvent and obtain NPs as powder.

2.1.2. Characterization of CeO₂-NPs

2.1.2.1. Transmission Electron Microscopy and XPS element analysis

Shape and size of CeO₂-NPs were determined by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM -JEM-2100F UHR, JEOL Ltd., Akishima, Tokyo, Japan). For it, dry powdered CeO₂-NPs (25 µg/mL) were placed onto conducting carbon-coated copper grids for examination at the TEM. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS -SAGE HR 100, SPECs, Berlin, Germany) was used to confirm the elemental composition and chemical state of CeO₂-NPs.

2.1.2.2. Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) analysis

A Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK) was used to determine zeta potential and hydrodynamic size distribution through DLS analysis. Average size and polydispersity index (PDI) were determined according to ISO22412. The PDI scale was 0-1 with 0 representing a monodisperse state and 1 representing a polydisperse state. For the DLS analysis, CeO₂-NPs (100 µg/mL) were suspended in distilled water.

2.2. Cell culture

2.2.1. Cell lines

For the experiments, the murine fibroblast 3T3 cell line (CRL-1658), the lung adenocarcinoma Calu-3 cell line (HTB-55) and the alveolar epithelial adenocarcinoma A549 cell line (CCL-185) were obtained from ATCC (Wesel, Germany). The cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (P/S) solution (Life Technologies), obtained from ATCC (Wesel, Germany). The cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 1% amphotericin, 1% P/S and 0.5% gentamicin). Inserts had a diameter of 6.5 mm, with a growth area of 0.33 cm² and 0.4 µm pore size. Upon receipt, the PHAE models were maintained in culture medium for at least one week prior to perform the experiments. Culture medium was renewed every 2-3 days and cells were subcultured when they reached 70-90% confluence.

2.2.2. 3D Airway model

Fully differentiated PHAE models (MucilAir™) were obtained from Epithelix Sàrl (Geneva, Switzerland). The PHAE models were maintained on 24-well Transwell® inserts with its own culture medium (Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland; supplemented with 1% Amphotericin, 1% P/S and 0.5% gentamicin). Inserts had a diameter of 6.5 mm, with a growth area of 0.33 cm² and 0.4 µm pore size. Upon receipt, the PHAE models were maintained in culture medium for at least one week prior to perform the experiments. Culture medium was renewed every 2-3 days.

2.3. Acute exposures to CeO₂-NPs

For acute exposures, 3T3, Calu-3 and A549 cell lines were seeded at 10⁴ cells/well in 96 well-plates (3*10⁴ cell/cm²) and incubated for 24 hours to allow confluence. Then, culture medium was replaced by fresh medium containing different doses of CeO₂-NPs (10, 100 and 500 µg/mL) and cells were exposed for 24 hours prior to assess CeO₂-NPs cytotoxic effects.

2.4 Subchronic exposures to CeO₂-NPs

For subchronic exposures in ALI, 24-well Transwell® inserts containing the PHAE model were placed into a Vitrocell® Cloud exposure system (VITROCELL Systems, Waldkirch, Germany). This device is specifically designed for ALI exposure assays and consists of a 12-well chamber (8 for exposure, 1 integrated Quartz Crystal Microbalance (QCM) and 3 for control) coupled to a heating block to allow constant 37° C temperature, and a nebulizer (Aeroneb Lab®) on the top of the chamber. This device generates an aerosolized cloud of nanoparticles that homogeneously precipitate onto the cells due to the generated flow dynamics (vortices) after single droplet sedimentation [44]. Airway epithelia were exposed every 2 weeks during 3 months to three sublethal concentrations of CeO₂-NPs
(100 µg/cm², 10 µg/cm² and 1 µg/cm²) to assess their subchronic effects. After each exposure, transwell inserts were placed in a new 24-well plate and incubated with culture medium.

2.5. Acute cytotoxicity

2.5.1 Cell viability was assessed through the MTT assay. Briefly, after exposures, cell viability was assessed by incubating cells with MTT solution (0.4 mg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, M2003-1G) for 2 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₂. After the incubation, the insoluble formazan crystals were extracted from the cells by adding DMSO (PanReac AppliChem, A3672) into the wells. Absorbance was quantified at 540 nm wavelength in a spectrophotometer reader (Varioskan™ Lux, ThermoScientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, U.S.). Cell viability was expressed as percentage of viability respect to untreated control cells.

2.5.2. Apoptosis and necrosis through Annexin-V/PI assay

Apoptosis and necrosis were evaluated in exposed and unexposed cells through the Annexin-V/PI assay through flow cytometry. During the early stages of apoptosis, phosphatidylserine (PS) present on the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane is translocated to the outer layer. During apoptosis, the cell membrane remains intact; whereas, during necrosis, the cell becomes leaky and loses its integrity. Annexin-V is a sensitive probe to detect PS on the plasma membrane of apoptotic cells. Propidium iodide (PI) is a probe for discriminating necrotic cells. After NP treatment, cells were harvested and washed with Annexin-V Binding Buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 1.8 mM CaCl₂) and incubated with Annexin-V (5 µl/100µl of cell suspension), in darkness for 30 minutes at room temperature. Then, cells were washed, and incubated with PI (1µl/100µl of cell suspension). After that, cells were immediately analyzed in a FC-500 two laser flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, U.S.). Doxorubicin treated cells were used as positive control for Annexin-V or PI and non-treated cells as negative control.

2.5.3. Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) production

ROS production was detected using the DCFH-DA dye (Sigma-Aldrich, D6883). DCFH-DA is a stable non-fluorescent, cell permeable compound, that is converted to DCFH by intracellular esterases, and trapped inside the cells. DCFH is then converted into the highly fluorescent 2', 7' dichlorofluorescein (DCF) by intracellular ROS and upon excitation at 488 nm emits green fluorescence, proportional to the intracellular ROS levels. After treatment, cells were harvested, washed with PBS and incubated with DCFH-DA (5 µM) at 37°C for 30 min in darkness. Cells were then washed, centrifuged, resuspended in PBS, and kept on ice for an immediate detection by flow cytometry using the same flow cytometer mentioned before. Doxorubicin (Sigma-Aldrich, D-1515) was used as positive control.

2.6. Subchronic effects

2.6.1. TEER measurement

The effects of CeO₂-NPs treatment on the pulmonary barrier integrity were evaluated by measuring the electrical resistance of reconstituted 3D human airway epithelia according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Resistance was measured using an Epithelial Voltohmmeter (EVOM2) coupled to STX2 chopstick electrode (World precision instruments, Sarasota, Florida, U.S.). TEER readings were determined by subtracting the mean resistance of three inserts without cells (blank) from the recorded resistance of the airway epithelium, and subsequently multiplying the resulting value by the effective membrane surface area of the insert, expressing the results as Ω·cm². As airway epithelia were cultured in ALI, 200 µL of saline solution (0.9% NaCl – 1.25 mM CaCl₂ and 10 mM HEPES) was apically added on the monolayers right before TEER measurements, and then discarded at the end of the readings. TEER readings were determined every 2 days along six months.
2.6.2. Resazurin cell viability test

The subchronic effects of CeO$_2$-NP treatment on the cell viability of reconstituted 3D human airway epithelia was measured by employing the Resazurin reduction method [45]. Briefly, inserts containing airway epithelia were washed with PBS and incubated with resazurin (6 µM, Sigma-Aldrich, R-7017) for 1 hour at 37ºC. After incubation, samples were taken from each insert and fluorescence was read at $\lambda_{ex}=530$nm, $\lambda_{em}=590$nm in a microplate reader (Varioskan Lux, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, U.S.). Viability was expressed as percentage of viability with respect to untreated control cells. Resazurin assay was determined every 10 days along 3 months.

2.6.3. Plasma membrane integrity through the LDH test

The effect of CeO$_2$-NP treatment on the plasma membrane integrity was measured employing the LDH test CytoTox 96® Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, G1780). Results were expressed as percentage of damaged cells with respect to untreated control cells. LDH release was measured every 2 weeks along 3 months. Absorbance was read at $\lambda=490$nm in a microplate reader (Varioskan Lux, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, U.S.).

2.6.4. Inflammatory response

The effects of CeO$_2$-NP treatment on the extra-cellular release of two inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β and TNF-α) was measured using commercially available ELISA kits (Invitrogen™ KHC3013 and KHC0012, respectively), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. IL-1β y TNF-α expression were assessed in the conditioned medium after NP exposure. Results were expressed as pg/mL. Absorbance was read at $\lambda=450$nm in a microplate reader (Varioskan Lux, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, U.S.).

2.7. Statistical analysis

In all assays, data were presented as means ± standard deviation. Normality of the data was confirmed by Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test and homogeneity of the variances by Levene’s test. Differences among groups were assessed by ANOVA test followed by a Bonferroni-Dunn post-hoc test. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analysis were performed using the Minitab version 16 statistic software (State Collage, Pennsylvania, U.S.)

3. Results

3.1. Physicochemical characterization of CeO$_2$-NPs

3.1.1. Transmission Electron Microscopy and XPS element analysis

CeO$_2$ particles morphology and size distribution were determined by TEM. According to the TEM analysis, CeO$_2$-NPs showed irregular shape with particles ranging from 4 to 64 nm (Figure 2) and mean size of 13.04 nm ± 12.13 (Figure 3). Element analysis confirmed that particles were constituted by 21.5% Cerium and 78.5% Oxygen. No contaminants were found (Figure 4).
Figure 2. TEM images showing CeO$_2$-NPs.

Figure 3. Size distribution of CeO$_2$-NPs.
Figure 4. XPS spectra of Ce from CeO\(_2\)-NPs.

3.1. DLS analysis

According to the DLS analysis, CeO\(_2\)-NPs showed a monomodal dispersion in water (Figure 5), suggesting a homogeneous distribution. The retrieved size of particles in suspension was of 44.13 nm, higher than the value obtained by TEM, indicating a possible particles aggregation. Zeta potential value of suspended particles was of +36.16mV, indicating a stable dispersion.

Figure 5. Hydrodynamic size distribution of CeO\(_2\)-NPs suspended in water.

3.2. Acute cytotoxicity

3.2.1. MTT assay

According to the MTT assay, CeO\(_2\)-NPs were not cytotoxic to A549, Calu-3 and 3T3 cell lines at the tested concentrations (Figures 6).
Figure 6. Cell viability (MTT assay) of control A549, Calu-3 and 3T3 cells, and of cells exposed for 24 hours to CeO$_2$-NPs (500, 100 and 10 µg/mL). Results are expressed as means ± SD of 6 replicates per tested condition and 3 independent assays (n=18).

3.2.2. Annexin-V/PI assay

The percentage of positive cells for Annexin-V and PI markers in untreated and CeO$_2$-NPs treated cells are shown in Figure 7 and 8 respectively. According to the results, a significant increment in the percentage of apoptotic cells (Annexin-V positive/PI negative) with respect to control cells was found in 3T3 cells treated with 0.5 mg/mL of CeO$_2$-NPs (p<0.05). A significant increase was also observed in the percentage of PI positive cells (necrotic cells) in all treated cell lines at 500 µg/mL (p<0.05). The positive control Doxorubicin induced a significant increase of apoptosis and necrosis with respect to untreated cells in the three cell lines (p<0.05).
Figure 7. Apoptosis in control A549, Calu-3 and 3T3 cells and in cells exposed for 24 hours to CeO$_2$-NPs (10 µg/mL, 100 µg/mL and 500 µg/mL), and to the positive control Doxorubicin. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 6 replicates per tested condition and 3 independent assays (n=18). Asterisks indicate significant differences with respect to the untreated control cells (p<0.05).

Figure 8. Necrosis in control A549, Calu-3 and 3T3 cells and in cells exposed for 24 hours to CeO$_2$-NPs (10 µg/mL, 100 µg/mL and 500 µg/mL), and to the positive control Doxorubicin. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 6 replicates per tested condition and 3 independent assays (n=18). Asterisks indicate significant differences with respect to the untreated control cells (p<0.05).

3.2.2. ROS production

At tested concentrations, CeO$_2$-NPs did not induce ROS production in Calu-3 cells (Figure 8). On the other hand, there was a significant increment in ROS production in A549 and 3T3 cells exposed to the highest dose assayed (500 µg/mL) with respect to untreated control cells (p<0.05) (24.48 ± 4.64% in A549 cells and 28.85 ± 6.06% in 3T3 cells) (Figure 9). A significant increase in ROS production was also observed in the three cell lines treated with the positive control Doxorubicin (p<0.005) (49.41 ± 6.35% in A459 cells; 51.08 ± 15.34% in Calu-3 cells and 99.71 ± 0.22% in 3T3 cells) (Figure 9).
Figure 9. ROS production in control A549, Calu-3 and 3T3 cells and in cells exposed for 24 hours to CeO₂-NPs (10 µg/mL, 100 µg/mL and 500 µg/mL), and to the positive control Doxorubicin. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 6 replicates per tested condition and 3 independent assays (n=18). Asterisks indicate significant differences with respect to the untreated control cells (p<0.05).

3.3. Subchronic effects

3.3.1. TEER measurement

According to the results, TEER values ranged from 985 ± 148.79 to 2319.4 ± 292.95 Ω*cm², in all treated groups and control cells between the day 0 and the day 90 of culture. No significant differences were observed among different groups (Figure 10).

Figure 10. TEER values obtained in control airway epithelia and in airway epithelia exposed for 3 months to different concentrations of CeO₂-NPs (1 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL). Exposures were performed every 2 weeks along 3 months. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 5 replicates per tested condition and 1 assay (n=5).

3.3.2. Resazurin cell viability assay
According to the results obtained in the resazurin assay, CeO$_2$-NPs did not decrease the cell viability of airway epithelia during the first weeks (70 days) of exposure (Figure 11). However, after 80 days of treatment, CeO$_2$-NP significantly decreased the viability of airway epithelia exposed to the highest dose ($100 \mu g/cm^2$) (day 80: $62.70 \pm 8.32\%$ and day 90: $75.5 \pm 13.31\%$). There was no effect in cells exposed to lower doses throughout the study (Figure 10).

**Figure 11.** Viability (resazurin assay) of control airway epithelia and airway epithelia exposed for 90 days to different concentrations of CeO$_2$-NPs ($1 \mu g/mL$, $10 \mu g/mL$ and $100 \mu g/mL$). Exposures were performed every 2 weeks along 3 months. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 5 replicates per tested condition and 1 assay ($n=5$). Asterisks indicate significant differences with respect to the untreated control cells ($p<0.05$).

3.3.3. LDH test

Based on the LDH test, CeO$_2$-NPs did not affect the plasma membrane integrity of reconstituted 3D PHAE model during the first weeks (75 days) of exposure (Figure 11). At day 90 of culture, a significant increase in the LDH released was observed in airway epithelia exposed to 100 $\mu g/mL$ of CeO$_2$-NPs ($121.65 \pm 2.10\%$) with respect to the untreated control group (Figure 12).

**Figure 12.** Cell membrane integrity (LDH test) of control airway epithelia and airway epithelia exposed for 90 days to different concentrations of CeO$_2$-NPs ($1 \mu g/mL$, $10 \mu g/mL$ and $100 \mu g/mL$).
Exposures were performed every 2 weeks along 3 months. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 5 replicates per tested condition and 1 assay (n=5). Asterisk indicates significant differences with respect to the untreated control cells (p<0.05).

3.3.4. Inflammatory responses

A significant increase in TNF-α production was observed in airway epithelia after 60 and 75 days of exposure to 100µg/mL of CeO₂-NPs (28 ± 0.39 pg/mL and 58.68 ± 9.36 pg/mL respectively) (Figure 13). This increase was not observed at day 90 of the exposure. Lower concentrations of CeO₂-NPs did not induce TNF-α production in airway epithelia along the 90 days of exposure (Figure 12). Similarly, a significant increase in IL-1β secretion was observed in airway epithelia exposed for 75 days to 100 µg/mL of CeO₂-NPs (43.11 ± 9.27 pg/mL) and this increase was not observed at the day 90 of exposure (Figure 14). As for TNF-α production, lower concentrations of CeO₂-NPs did not induce IL-1β secretion along the 90 days of exposure (Figure 13).

Figure 13. TNF-α release in control airway epithelia and in airway epithelia exposed for 90 days to different concentrations of CeO₂-NPs (1 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL). Exposures were performed every 2 weeks along 3 months. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 5 replicates per tested condition and 1 assay (n=5). Asterisks indicate significant differences with respect to the untreated control cells (p<0.05).

Figure 14. IL-1β release in control airway epithelia and in airway epithelia exposed for 90 days to different concentrations of CeO₂-NPs (1 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL). Exposures were performed every 2 weeks along 3 months. Results are expressed as means ± SD of 5 replicates per
tested condition and 1 assay (n=5). Asterisk indicates significant differences with respect to the untreated control cells (p<0.05).

4. Discussion

As biological responses of lung cells exposed to nanoparticles depend not only on the exposure dose but also on the intrinsic properties of the NPs (e.g. size, shape, chemical composition, surface reactivity and degree of aggregation), NPs must always be characterized before performing the toxicity assays [46–48] and, whenever is possible, ensure the homogeneity of the different batches [49].

According to the characterization of our in-house manufactured CeO$_2$-NPs, TEM analysis showed that particles ranged from 4 to 64 nm (mean size = 13.04 nm) and displayed an irregular shape. Once suspended in distilled water, DLS analysis showed particles with a hydrodynamic size of about 44.13 nm, a monomodal and homogeneous distribution and high stability in the medium. The higher mean size obtained by DLS may be due to an overestimation of particle size caused by a slight aggregation of the particles in distilled water caused by shear forces, as reported by other authors [50,51]. In complex media, such as cell culture medium, aggregation might be higher due to the presence of organic molecules (e.g., amino acids). This aggregation is expected to affect the uptake and consequent toxicity of CeO$_2$-NPs.

In the present study CeO$_2$-NPs were not highly cytotoxic at tested concentrations but did induce cellular responses in both acute and subchronic exposures. In the acute approach, despite the absence of cytotoxicity in the MTT assay, results obtained in the more sensitive annexin V–PI assay showed a significantly higher percentage of PI and Annexin V positive cells in 3T3 cell line exposed to 500 µg/mL of CeO$_2$-NPs, indicating that these NPs induce apoptotic and necrotic processes. Further, a significant increase in ROS production was observed in both A549 and 3T3 cell lines exposed to CeO$_2$-NPs at the same concentration. Although CeO$_2$-NPs are known to own excellent antioxidant properties by scavenging free radicals, it has already been reported that they can also induce ROS production [19]. The exact mechanism by which they exhibit this oxidizing/antioxidant activity is not clearly understood, but it seems that the reason for this dual activity lies on the fact that CeO$_2$-NPs are strongly affected by the pH of the solution, then these particles can act as oxidizing or antioxidants agents [19,52]. Similar mechanisms of toxicity were reported by other authors, however at lower concentrations [53]. For instance, Mittal and Pandey [53] reported a concentration and time-dependent decrease of A549 viability exposed to CeO$_2$-NPs at concentrations starting at 10 µg/mL. At the same concentrations, CeO$_2$-NPs caused a concentration and time-dependent decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential and increase in ROS production in the same cell model. At a lower concentration (1 µg/mL), authors reported increase in apoptosis. The lower cytotoxicity of our CeO$_2$-NPs may be related with their physical-chemical properties. Particles used by Mittal and Pandey [53] were negatively charge (-13.7 mV) whereas our particles were positively charged (+36.16). It was previously reported that negatively charged CeO$_2$-NPs tend to internalize more easily than positively or neutral charged CeO$_2$-NPs in cancer cell lines [49], thus positively charged particles are expected to induce lower toxic effects on these cells.

Although acute toxicity studies in cell monolayers provide a valuable information about the toxic effect of NPs, there is a need to use more realistic in vitro models that could better mimic the lung tissue and the exposure conditions and, that may allow long-term subchronic and chronic evaluations [54]. Thus, the use of robust models such as PHAE models is highly encouraged. This three-dimensional model is a fully differentiated and functional human respiratory model that conserves respiratory epithelial properties such as metabolic activity, mucus production and ciliary movement, and has a life-span of up to one year [37,40,55,56]. In addition to that, it was demonstrated through a study combining weight of evidence from proteomics, gene expression and protein activity that this system is physiologically more suitable for repeated exposures to toxicants [41]. Therefore, after completing the assessment of the acute (24h) cytotoxicity of CeO$_2$-NPs, we proceeded to study the subchronic (3 months) cytotoxicity of repeated exposures to sublethal doses of CeO$_2$-NPs in the 3D PHAE model.
In order to reproduce a more realistic exposure scenario, maintaining the conditions of temperature and humidity in physiological levels needed in the cell culture, the Vitrocell Cloud system coupled to AeroNeb Lab nebulizer was used for exposure of the cells to CeO$_2$-NPs. During the exposures, Vitrocell Cloud produced a very homogeneous deposition of CeO$_2$-NPs onto the cells similar to previous studies [43]. Thus, a multidose experiment was performed applying three sublethal doses of CeO$_2$-NPs (100 µg/cm$^2$; 10 µg/cm$^2$ and 1 µg/cm$^2$) on the apical inserts every 2 weeks for 3 months. After 3 months of exposure to CeO$_2$-NPs, the barrier integrity of the reconstituted PHAE model showed no detrimental effects, as evidenced in the TEER measurement. TEER values underwent variations throughout the three months (ranging 309-760 Ω$^*$cm$^2$), due to the constant cellular regeneration as a result of a differentiated and metabolically active epithelium composed of several cell types [39,57]. Despite the changes in cell cohesion values, TEER values always exceeded 300 Ω$^*$cm$^2$ during the exposure time. These values agree with others studies where researchers reported TEER values around 600 Ω$^*$cm$^2$ [56,58], or lower [59,60].

Based on the cell viability resazurin assay, exposure to up to 70 days to 1 to 100 µg/cm$^2$ of CeO$_2$-NPs showed no cytotoxic effects on the PHAE model. Similarly, up to 75 days exposure to the same concentrations of CeO$_2$-NPs did not affect plasma membrane integrity of the PHAE model. However, after 80 days of exposure to 100 µg/cm$^2$ of CeO$_2$-NPs, viability of the PHAE model started to decrease and after 90 days of exposure to the same concentration of CeO$_2$-NPs, the integrity of the plasma membrane of the PHAE model was compromised. The late toxic response observed in the PHAE model could be related with the protective effect of mucociliary clearance. Mucociliary clearance is a defense mechanism that protects the pulmonary system from the harmful inhaled agents, including NPs. This was already reported by other authors [61] who compared the toxicity of CeO$_2$-NPs on isolated Calu3 and A549 with the toxicity of the same particles on a PHAE model, and reported that toxicity was lower in the later system possibly due to the mucociliary defense present in the 3D model. This could indicate that cell lines did not accurately reflect the toxic effect of the nanoparticles because they lack the complexity of the airway tissue.

Despite the protective effect of mucociliary clearance of the PHAE model, the repeated and long-term exposure to CeO$_2$-NPs possibly favored the internalization of relatively high quantities of CeO$_2$-NPs, collapsing the protective system and giving rise to deleterious effects. Thus, exposures to up to 45 days to 1 to 100 µg/mL of CeO$_2$ NPs did not induce inflammatory responses in the PHAE model, however between 60 and 75 days of exposure to 100 µg/mL, CeO$_2$-NPs induced TNFα and IL-1β responses. TNFα and IL-1β are pro-inflammatory cytokines that activate the immune system and participate in the acute inflammatory response after exposure to a toxic agent in the pulmonary system [62]. In our study, inflammatory responses were activated after subchronic exposure to CeO$_2$ NPs and prior to the decrease of plasma membrane integrity and cell viability at the day 90 of exposure. As for cell viability and plasma membrane integrity, the late toxic inflammatory response in the PHAE model could be related with the protective effect of mucociliary clearance. The decrease in TNFα and IL-1β levels at the day 90 of the exposure should be related to the decrease in cell viability and not due to the return to homeostasis.

Other studies have included long-term exposures (up to 1 month) of PHAE models to other compounds [56], demonstrating the suitability of this in vitro model as a feasible alternative to reproduce the in vivo conditions and pathing the way for longer subchronic in vitro studies. Despite of this, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that subchronic toxicity of CeO$_2$-NPs (up to 3 months) have been reported. Our study confirmed the usefulness of 3D reconstituted PHAE models for long-term exposures to NPs and have helped to elucidate the subchronic effects of CeO$_2$-NPs in the pulmonary epithelium. Additionally, our study highlighted the importance to assess the long-term effects of repeated exposure to NPs.

5. Conclusions

To conclude, acute toxicity assays based on cell lines represent useful tools for high-throughput screening of ENMs. In the case of CeO$_2$-NPs sensitive parameters, such as apoptosis-necrosis or ROS levels, are needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of toxicity. Nonetheless, the use of
physiologically relevant cellular models, such as the reconstituted PHAE models exposed at the ALI to aerosolized NPs, represent a more realistic in vitro approach to study the cumulative effects of long-term exposure to low doses of airborne contaminants such as CeO2-NPs. Thus, these in vitro systems, that better mimic the lung tissue and reproduce realistic exposure conditions, represent a valuable tool for the hazard assessment of NPs. In this particular work we have shown that CeO2-NPs show a reduced toxicity in acute exposure. However, in subchronic exposures, cytotoxic and inflammatory responses were observed in the human airway epithelial model after 60 days of exposure to CeO2-NPs. These results suggest that acute toxicity approaches may underestimate the toxicological effect of some ENM.
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