Much of the Mid-European basement has been consolidated during the Variscan Orogeny and includes large volumes of granitic intrusions. Gamma radiation spectroscopic measurements in three study areas along the western margin of the Bohemian Massif give a record of radiogenic element concentrations in the Variscan granites. Most intrusions of the Fichtelgebirge (except for the Tin Granite) and intrusive complexes in the Bavarian Forest show Th/U ratios exceeding unity, most likely related to abundance of monazite. In contrast, some of the Oberpfalz granites located near the Saxothuringian–Moldanubian boundary (Flossenbürg, Steinwald and Friedenfels types) are characterized by higher uranium concentrations and thus Th/U < 1. The low Th/U ratios here are in agreement with a possible U mobilisation along the Saxothuringian–Moldanubian contact zone observed in previous studies. Heat production rates of granites in the three study areas vary between 3.9 and 8.9 µW/m^3, with a mean of 4.9 µW/m^3. This classifies the intrusions as moderate- to high-heat-producing granites. Considering the huge volume of granitic bodies in the Variscan crust of the Bohemian Massif, the contribution of in situ radiogenic heat production had to have a major impact and should be considered in further thermal modeling.
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1. Introduction

From global geochemical models, the average heat production of the continental crust is estimated to be below 1 µW/m^3 (Jaupart et al. 2016). Heat production rates of granites with a mean of 3.54 µW/m^3 (Hasterok and Webb 2017) are well above this value and granites will represent distinct anomalies in the total crustal heat budget. These anomalies vary in magnitude depending on the age and composition of the granites that cause them (Vilà et al. 2010; Mareschal and Jaupart 2013; Jaupart et al. 2016). Heat is generated by the decay of radiogenic isotopes (mainly \(^{40}\)K, \(^{232}\)Th, \(^{238}\)U, \(^{235}\)U), the latter two elements mostly concentrated within accessory minerals such as zircon and monazite. These minerals are generally enriched in felsic magmas and granitic intrusions and (are considered as) main cause of accumulation of heat-producing elements in the upper crust (e.g., Bea 1996, 2012). Especially granitic terrains are therefore in the focus of worldwide geothermal prospection (e.g., McLaren et al. 2003; Beardsmore 2004; Ledésert and Hébert 2012; Siégel et al. 2012; Chandrasekharam et al. 2014; Adams et al. 2015; Lüschen et al. 2015).

As radiogenic heat contributes significantly to the thermal budget of the continental crust it has a major influence on the surface heat flow. Hasterok and Chapman (2011) estimated that 26 % of the surface heat flow is generated by the upper continental crust. The new database GRANITE2017 has been set up to compile available data on worldwide granitic terrains of all ages (Artemieva et al. 2017). Based on statistical analysis, first conclusions have been made on variation of bulk heat production of granites through geological time. These results show a peak in the Middle Proterozoic times and a gradual decrease towards younger (Cenozoic) intrusions. In contrast, Gard et al. (2019) found the heat production relatively constant from 2.8 Ga to present. However, as there are strong variations in radioelement concentrations within individual granitic suites, more data are necessary to substantiate the database on radiogenic-heat production (RHP) of granites.

Much of the basement in Mid-Europe has been consolidated during the Variscan Orogeny (Fig. 1a). Large granitic terrains within the Variscan Belt are exposed e.g. in Central Iberia (Bea et al. 2003), theCorsica–Sardinian Batholith (Casini et al. 2015) and the Cornubian granites (Floyd 1972; Edwards 1984). Specific examples for heat flow anomalies include the Cornubian geothermal province (Beamish and Busby 2016), the Iberian Peninsula (Fernández et al. 1998) or the Erzgebirge as part of the Bohemian Massif (Fürster and Förster 2000).
The Bohemian Massif represents the largest exposure of Variscan crust in Europe and it was intruded by numerous granitic bodies related to its long Variscan history (Fig. 1b; for review see Žák et al. 2014). It was characterised by episodic melt generation with several magma pulses from Visean to Permian times (Schaltegger 1997). The orogenic processes triggered large-scale anatexis of the Variscan orogenic crust, exposed e.g. in the Bavarian Forest (Kalt et al. 1999; Siebel et al. 2012). Wrench tectonics at the late stage of crustal convergence has also initiated melt propagation along fracture zones (Trzebski et al. 1997; Žák et al. 2014). In the Saxothuringian Zone, late- to post-Variscan uplift and exhumation was accompanied by intense felsic I-, S- to A-type magmatism ( Förster and Romer 2010; Breiter 2012). Granites reflecting this complex history exposed along the western margin of the Bohemian Massif (e.g. Siebel et al. 2003; Finger et al. 2009; Siebel and Chen 2010; Fig. 1b) are target of this study.

In addition to their significance for present-day heat flow anomalies in the Mid-European crust, the contribution of radioactive heating is also discussed as trigger mechanism for orogenic processes during the Variscan convergence (Gerdes et al. 2000; Lexa et al. 2011). However, inferences are based on thermal models that require reliable input data. Heat production data can be calculated from the radioelement concentrations in rock units (Rybach 1988), in turn obtained by geochemical analyses or by gamma radiation spectroscopic measurements. The latter method is a widely used surveying technique both in rocks and soils (e.g. Frattini et al. 2006; Šimíček et al. 2012; McCay et al. 2014; Puccini et al. 2014; Scharfenberg et al. 2016; Harley et al. 2017). In granitic terrains, an advantage of gamma-ray spectroscopy over chemical analysis is the easier mapping and therefore better regional coverage (Gnojek et al. 2018; Scharfenberg et al. 2019).

In this paper we have compiled heat production data from three study areas along the western Margin of the Bohemian Massif (Fig. 1b). The granites studied here are representative of Variscan intrusions with different petrogenetic signatures (Hecht et al. 1997; Siebel et al. 1997). They cover the Saxothuringian Zone (Fichtelgebirge, area 1), the Moldanubian Zone (Bavarian Forest, area 3) and the granite exposures in the transition zone in between these two Variscan units (Oberpfalz, area 2). We show here differences in heat production rates, Th/U ratios and radioelement concentrations between the studied areas, and attribute these to the regional changes in the petrogenetic evolution producing extensive I-type
migmatism in the Bavarian Forest and I- to S- type magmatism in the Saxothuringian Zone.

2. Variscan granites along the western margin of the Bohemian Massif

During the Variscan Orogeny, thickening of the crust and northward directed propagation resulted in Carboniferous thrusting and stacking and associated metamorphism at 315–325 Ma (O’Brien et al. 1997). Late to post-orogenic granites (I-, S- and A-type) intruded this setting over a period of about 60 Myr (326 to 286 Ma; Siebel et al. 1997, 2003; Siebel and Chen 2010; Breiter 2012). Within all studied areas (Fig. 1b), the granite intrusions were related to a sequence of magmatic events. The Fichtelgebirge and Oberpfalz granites (study areas 1 and 2, see Fig. 1) are peraluminous to metaluminous S- to I-type granites that formed through anatectic of metasedimentary and metagneous rocks in the middle or lower crust. The intrusions are commonly divided into an older (OIC) and a younger (YIC) granitic suites (Richter and Stettner 1979; Hecht et al. 1997). Precursors of the granites, the redwitzites (diorites), are predominately metaluminous and interpreted as products of crystallization of a mantle-derived mafic magma (Kováříková et al. 2007). For the OIC, a contribution of melt from deeper sources is considered and these granites range from S-type to transitional I-/S-type (Siebel et al. 1997, 2003 and references therein). Granites in the Bavarian Forest (study area 3) are classified as monzogranites varying from weakly peraluminous biotite granites to moderately or strongly peraluminous biotite ± muscovite granites (Siebel and Chen 2010 and references therein). Table 1 gives an overview of the petrography, ages and textural facies of the studied granites.

2.1. Area 1: Fichtelgebirge

Intrusions of dioritic to granodioritic composition (redwitzites, local name for diorites) occurred at c. 323 Ma (Siebel et al. 2003), representing the initial phase of late-orogenic magmatism in the Fichtelgebirge (Fig. 1b). The geochemical and isotopic signatures show that these rocks were derived by mixing between mafic and felsic magmas (Siebel and Chen 2010). The first pulse of granitic magma, the OIC, gave crystallization ages of 326–324 Ma (Hecht et al. 1997; Siebel et al. 2003), thus overlapping with the redwitzites. This first pulse of granitic magma had I-type affinity and the OIC–Redwitzite Suite was interpreted as a product of partial melting of crustal rocks triggered by, and mixed with, mantle-derived melts (Siebel et al. 1997, 2003). In the Fichtelgebirge, the emplacement of the younger suite of S-type granites (YIC) is confined to an age range of 298 to 286 Ma (see Tab. 1). Besides the biotite–muscovite granites, a further granite type occurs (G2K and G3K, Kösséine granites – Förster 2000). These granites are inhomogeneous, less evolved monzogranites, rich in peraluminous minerals (garnet, cordierite, sillimanite) due to uncomplete restite separation. Thomas and Klemm (1994) have constrained the intrusion depth of the highly fractionated G4 Tin Granite by analysis of melt inclusions. The shallow intrusion (< 5 km) occurred into already uplifted OIC and YIC granites underlining the rapid late Variscan exhumation. A pre-Permian uplift of at least 7 km has also been inferred from microstructural analyses on Saxothuringian basement rocks (de Wall et al. 2019). Granites of the Fichtelgebirge area have been subject of a previous study on natural gamma radiation and heat production (Scharfenberg et al. 2016). Results of this study are included here for comparison.

2.2. Area 2: Oberpfalz

The weakly peraluminous OIC biotite granites in the Oberpfalz area (Zainhammer–Leuchtenberg Granite, 324 to 321 Ma, Siebel et al. 2003) form a NW–SE trending belt. Redwitzites as precursors of the granites are locally aligned. The Older Intrusive Complex (OIC) granite emplacement was modelled as a steep sheet intrusion into a transpressional wrench fault (Trzebski et al. 1997). The Younger Intrusive Complex (YIC) biotite–muscovite to muscovite granites (Falkenberg, Steinwald, Friedenfels and Flossenbürg types), cover an age range of 315 to 310 Ma (Tab. 1). These plutons have been intruded along fault intersections within the Moldanubian–Saxothuringian suture zone. Largest intrusion of this younger suite is the Falkenberg Granite, whose depth of intrusion was constrained at 9 to 12 km (Zulauf et al. 1997).

2.3. Area 3: Bavarian Forest

The Bavarian Forest is a part of the Moldanubian Zone, high-grade core of the internal part of the Variscan Orogen (Schulmann et al. 2009). Diorites to granodiorites have been emplaced along deep reaching lineaments in the continental crust, e.g. the palites (granodiorites with mafic enclaves) along the Bavarian Shear Zone (332 Ma, Siebel et al. 2005). In contrast, diorites of the Fürstenstein Massif (334–332 Ma, Chen and Siebel 2004) are considered to be emplaced in tension gashes as an early magmatic phase (Dietl et al. 2006). Regional high-temperature metamorphism
associated with migmatization of Moldanubian rock in the Bavarian Forest is constrained between 326 and 322 Ma (Kalt et al. 2000; Siebel et al. 2012). This event was accompanied by intrusion of large batches of granites, e.g. in the Fürstenstein and Hauzenberg plutons, both formed by different intrusive pulses between 330 and 320 Ma (Tab. 1). These intrusions are studied here in detail as representatives of the Moldanubian granitic magmatism. In contrast to the areas 1 and 2, the Bavarian Forest (Fig. 1b) exposes a deeply eroded middle- to lower continental crust (Kalt et al. 2000). Depth of the granite intrusion has been estimated at 16 to 18 km for the Hauzenberg Pluton (phengite barometry, Klein et al. 2008).

### 3. Methods

3.1. Measurements of natural gamma radiation

*In situ* gamma-ray spectra were acquired on outcrops, covering the various granite types and some of the typical host rocks. During field campaigns in 2014 and 2018 we collected a total of 829 assays on 

| Tab. 1 Petrography, age and textural facies of the studied granitoids |
|---|---|---|
| **Rock type** | **Petrography** | **Age** |
| **Area 1: Fichtelgebirge** | | |
| Redwitzites (diorites) | I-type diorites | |
| G1, porphyritic granite | Fine- to medium-grained granodiorite to gabbro | 323 Ma\(^1\) |
| G1R, “Reut Granite” | Porphyratic, medium- to coarse-grained biotite granite | 324.2±4.2 Ma\(^1\) |
| G1HS, “Holzmühl Granite” | Fine- to medium-grained, slightly porphyritic biotite granite | 326±2 Ma\(^1\) |
| G1S, “Selb Granite” | Medium- to coarse-grained two-mica granite, only local occurrence | |
| G1Sm, muscovite granite | Fine- to medium-grained two-mica granite | 326±2 Ma\(^1\) |
| **Older Intrusive Complex (OIC) I-type to S-type granites** | | |
| G2, “Rand Granite” | Porphyritic, fine- to medium-grained two-mica granite | 298.5±3.9 Ma\(^1\) |
| G3, “Kern Granite” | Medium- to coarse-grained two-mica granite | 291.2±6.4 Ma\(^1\) |
| G4, “Tin Granite” | Medium- to coarse-grained two-mica granite | 298.2±1.6\(^1\) |
| G2*, “Rand Granite” | Weakly porphyritic, fine- to medium-grained two-mica granite | 289±2 Ma\(^1\) |
| G2K, “Kössse Granite” | Medium-grained, weakly porphyritic biotite granite | 287±3 Ma\(^1\) |
| G3K, “Kössse Kern Granite” | Coarse-grained, garnet- and cordierite-bearing biotite granite | 286±26 Ma\(^1\) |
| **Younger Intrusive Complex (YIC) S-type granites** | | |
| Le, Leuchtenberg | Fine- and medium-grained, partly porphyritic granite | 324–321 Ma\(^2\) |
| Za, Zainhammer | Medium- to coarse-grained porphyritic biotite monzogranite–granodiorite\(^2\); in the southern part fine- to medium-grained muscovite granite\(^3\) | |
| **Area 2: Oberpfalz** | | |
| Redwitzites I-type diorites | Fine- to medium-grained granodiorite to gabbro\(^4\) | 323 Ma\(^2\) |
| Older Intrusive Complex (OIC) I-type to S-type granites | | |
| Le, Leuchtenberg | Medium- to coarse-grained porphyritic biotite monzogranite–granodiorite\(^2\); in the southern part fine- to medium-grained muscovite granite\(^3\) | 324–321 Ma\(^2\) |
| Za, Zainhammer | Fine- and medium-grained, partly porphyritic granite | |
| **Younger Intrusive Complex (YIC) S-type granites** | | |
| Fa, Falkenberg | Porphyratic two-mica granite\(^1\) | ~315 Ma\(^2\) |
| Li, Liebenstein | Coarse-grained, ± porphyritic muscovite monzogranite\(^2\) | |
| Fr, Friedenfels | Medium-grained ± porphyritic muscovite leucogranite\(^2\) | |
| St, Steinwald | Medium-grained + porphyritic muscovite leucogranite\(^2\) | 312–310 Ma\(^2\) |
| Fl, Flossenbürg | Medium-grained + porphyritic muscovite leucogranite\(^2\) | |
| **Area 3: Bavarian Forest** | | |
| Hauzenberg Pluton | | |
| Hauz1 Granite | Fine- to medium-grained two-mica granite | 319±3 Ma\(^1\) |
| Hauz2 Granite | Medium- to coarse-grained, two-mica granite | 329±7 Ma\(^1\) |
| HauzGd, “Granodiorite” | Fine-grained, biotite-bearing granodiorite | 319±4 Ma\(^1\) |
| **Fürstenstein Pluton I-type diorites, I- to S-type granites** | | |
| Dt, “Diorites” | Fine- to medium-grained, heterogeneous diorites to granodiorites | 334–332 Ma\(^4\) |
| Bt Ms Gr/Two-mica Granite | Fine- to medium-grained biotite–muscovite granite | 334–332 Ma\(^4\) |
| Ti, Tittling Granite | Medium- to coarse-grained granite to granodiorite | 324–321 Ma\(^4\) |
| Eb, Eberhardssreuth Granite | Medium-grained biotite granite | 318–312 Ma\(^4\) |
| Sa, Saldenburg Granite | Porphyratic, medium- to coarse-grained biotite granite | 316–312 Ma\(^4\) |

\(^1\)Rb–Sr whole rock dating by Carl and Wendt (1993); \(^2\)Pb–Pb zircon evaporation dating by Siebel et al. (2003); \(^3\)U–Pb zircon and monazite dating by Klein et al. (2008); \(^4\)Pb–Pb zircon evaporation dating by Chen and Siebel (2004)
the intrusions have also been evaluated. This indirect detection of potassium, uranium and thorium by means of gamma-rays emitted by their decay products has been used as database for calculation of the radiogenic heat production (RHP) of granites in western sector of the Bohemian Massif.

Gamma radiation was recorded with a portable gamma-ray spectrometer RS 230 manufactured by Radiation Solutions, Ontario, Canada. The instrument uses a bismuth germinate (BGO) scintillation detector that, on account of higher material density, is about 50 % more precise than portable units using a sodium iodide (NaI) detector with a crystal of the same size. Due to its small size (25 cm long, 10 cm wide), and light weight (2 kg) it is easy to carry and use in the field. Measurements were taken in the “assay-mode”, i.e. each represented a single estimation of thorium and uranium (in ppm) and potassium (wt. %) concentrations from the gamma-radiation spectrum. Coordinates of individual sites were recorded using a GPS connected to the spectrometer via Bluetooth. The instrument could be also useful for quick scanning of the total γ-radiation count of rocks, which is studied in its ‘survey mode’.

Acquisition time of 120 s was chosen to allow sufficient time to establish a stable spectrum. The device integrates an area of c. 1 meter in diameter with a depth of c. 15–25 cm (depending on material density) when in direct contact with the outcrop (Radiation Solutions 2009). The values used for stripping and background correction were provided by the manufacturer and are stored and applied in the spectrometer unit itself (Radiation Solutions 2009). The manufacturer used concrete pads of 1 m × 1 m × 30 cm size containing known amounts of potassium, uranium and thorium and a fourth pad with none of these three elements to measure the background for the instrument calibration (details in Grasty et al. 1991). During calibration by the manufacturer, spectra are accumulated over a time period of 5 minutes on the K, U and Th pads, and 10 minutes on the Background pad with no radioelements (Radiation Solutions 2008). Then stripping ratios and sensitivity constants are computed following Grasty et al. (1991). These are finally saved in the device and utilized during field measurements to calculate the unknown wt. % K, and ppm eU and eTh.

For interpretation of the calculated K, U and Th concentrations, possible uncertainties during the data acquisition need to be taken into account. The three main error sources are: (i) statistical errors due to background noise during registration of the gamma rays, (ii) calibration errors and (iii) geometrical errors if the rock exposure strongly deviates from a homogenous half-space of material. Furthermore, possible cross interferences can occur as error components for a single element will also influence the remaining elements (Grasty et al. 1991; Radiation Solutions 2007).

For quality control of the gamma radiation (GR) data, comparison with geochemical data is necessary. Previously, we have substantiated the general significance of indirect GR measurements with the RS 230 equipment (Scharfenberg et al. 2019). This study showed good correlation of U and Th concentrations derived from in situ measurements and data measured by ICP-MS.

### 3.2. Heat production

For calculation of the radiogenic heat production (RHP) \(A\) in µW/m³, the radioelement concentrations from surface measurements are considered using the equation of Rybach (1988):

\[
A = 10^{-3} \rho (9.52c_U + 2.56c_T + 3.48c_K)
\]

where \(\rho\) is the density of the rock in kg/m³, \(c_U\) is the U concentration in ppm, \(c_T\) is the Th concentration in ppm, and \(c_K\) is the K concentration in wt. %. The heat production constants (W/kg) are 9.52 for U, 2.56 for Th and 3.48 for K. These values reflect the contribution of each of the radioelements to the radiogenic heat production, which is highest in U, followed by Th and K. Densities from the literature (if available) are used for the calculations (see Tab. 2). Otherwise, our own measurements in isopropanol, applying the buoyancy technique (Archimedes’ principle), completed the database (Tab. 2).

For the Oberpfalz area (study area 2, Fig. 1b) we have included airborne GR measurements (Röttger et al. 1991) in addition to the in situ values. It has been shown (e.g. for the Cornubian geothermal province, Beamish and Busby 2016) that such data sets can be helpful to construct maps delineating the regional thermal structure. However airborne measurements cannot be compared directly with in situ ones. This is because the former are strongly influenced by attenuation of soil coverage, whereas for the latter, fresh outcrops are selected for data sampling. In order to overcome the generally large differences in calibration of GR facilities, Th concentrations obtained by both methods have been compared (Scharfenberg and de Wall 2016). They show a good linear correlation, and airborne data have been corrected accordingly for calculation and presentation of heat production data (Fig. 2a). For quality control, the corrected data are compared with other laboratory data (field laboratory of the Continental Deep Drilling Program, Windischchesenbach) and data from Falkenberg drillhole (Haack et al. 1991) located within this granite massif in vicinity to the survey area (Fig. 2b).
The granite intrusions show differences in total radiation and distinct concentrations and ratios of the radiogenic elements between the three areas (Tab. 2). The U concentrations in the Fichtelgebirge (7 to 13 ppm) and the Bavarian Forest (4 to 10 ppm) are comparable, except for G4 (Tin Granite, Fichtelgebirge) reaching 25 ppm. Compared to the two other areas, the Oberpfalz granitoids are characterized by more variable and higher U content (8–22 ppm; Tab. 2). The YIC granites tend to have higher U concentrations than the OIC ones (Tab. 2). The Th content in all granites is less

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Natural gamma radiation of granites

Uranium and thorium concentrations as well as Th/U ratios are useful parameters in the characterization of granitic rocks (Artemieva et al. 2017). Both are incompatible elements, with Th slightly more incompatible than U in major silicates (Kirkland et al. 2015). During partial melting, their ratio does not change significantly and thus Th/U ratios often reflect source variations.

| Intrusion complex | Rock type | area | eK [%] | Me | 1σ | eU [ppm] | Me | 1σ | eTh [ppm] | Me | 1σ | Th/U | 1σ | density [kg m⁻³] |
|--------------------|-----------|------|--------|-----|----|--------|-----|----|----------|-----|----|-------|----|-----------------|
|                    |           |      |        |     |    |        |     |    |          |     |    |       |    |                 |
|                    |           |      |        |     |    |        |     |    |          |     |    |       |    |                 |
|                    |           |      |        |     |    |        |     |    |          |     |    |       |    |                 |

The prefix e- indicates an indirect detection of U and Th by means of gamma rays emitted by their decay products.

nd: not determined.

Density: ¹Hecht et al. (1997); ²cited in Siebel et al. (1997); ³own measurements, ⁴literature data for density of the Falkenberg Granite range between 2620 and 2590.

Data of individual measurements, including GPS coordinates for sampling sites, are included in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM 1)
than 40 ppm except for the Saldenburg intrusion in the Bavarian Forest that has significantly higher mean Th content (56.7 ppm; Tab. 2).

Differences in the radiogenic signature are best displayed in binary plots of Th vs. U for the three areas studied (Fig. 3). All granite batches related to the Fichtelgebirge Pluton (area 1), except for the youngest intrusion (G4) and all the granites in the Bavarian Forest (area 3), are characterized by Th/U ratios exceeding 1. In contrast, the pattern is more variable for the Oberpfalz (area 2). Here, the older granites (Leuchtenberg, Zainhammer) and the Falkenberg Granite (the oldest granite in the YIC; Tab. 1) plot in the Th/U > 1 field, whereas the younger granites (Friedenfels, Steinwald and Flossenbürg) have higher U and lower Th values with Th/U < 1.

The Th vs. Th/U plot for the three areas displays a single cluster and defines a linear positive trend (Fig. 4a). The Fichtelgebirge granites reach lower values than the Bavarian Forest granites (Fig. 4b). The Oberpfalz granites are the most variable, showing also the lowest values (Fig. 4c). The Bavarian Forest granites yield the highest Th/U ratios and Th concentrations (Fig. 4d).

4.2. Radiogenic heat production

4.2.1. Variability of heat production

The radiogenic heat production was calculated using the formula of Rybach (1988) considering differences in density of the granitoids (Tab. 2). Statistic parameters are shown in Tab. 3. We classified the heat potential of the studied intrusive rocks into low-, moderate-, and high-heat-producing granites (Fig. 5). For this classification, we have used a lower limit of 4 µW/m³ for moderate and 8 µW/m³ for high heat productions, respectively. These thresholds follow the scheme established by Huston ed. (2010) for granitic intrusions in Queensland, Australia.

In our case, granites from the older intrusive suites in areas 1 and 2 are characterized by heat production rates of 4–6 µW/m³ and thus fall into the lower sector of moderate-heat-producing granites (Fig. 5). Average heat production rates of 4.8 and 4.0 µW/m³ are calculated for older granites of areas 1 and 2; the averages for the younger granites in these areas are slightly higher (5.5 for the area 1 and 6.5 µW/m³ for the area 2 – Tab. 3). The intrusive complexes studied in Bavarian Forest (area 3) cannot be assigned to either older or younger complexes.
4.2.2. Heat production distribution

The data from the 829 assays have been used for construction of heat production maps (Fig. 6). In the maps the average RHP values (Tab. 3), are used for defining heat production ranges. The average values show a wide spread between less than 2.5 and more than 7.5 µW/m$^3$. The maps visualize the RHP variability within studied granitic areas and highlight regions of high heat production. All three studied areas contain some granites with elevated RHP. In the Fichtelgebirge, the G4 granite has values greater than 7 µW/m$^3$ (Fig. 6, area 1); however, with an exposed area of 15 km$^2$ this type of granite covers only a minor part of the Smrčiny–Fichtelgebirge Composite Massif (less than 250 km$^2$). In the Oberpfalz area, the Flossenbürg and the Falkenberg granites with average RHP of 8.9 µW/m$^3$ and 6.8 µW/m$^3$ cover larger areas of 54 km$^2$ and 98 km$^2$, respectively. These intrusions have to be considered as volumetrically significant heat-producing bodies. Youngest intrusion within the Fürstenstein Intrusive Complex in the Bavarian Forest is the high-heat-producing Saldenburg granite (6.6 µW/m$^3$). The exposed area (87 km$^2$) of this granite type accounts for 70 % of the whole Complex. However, these exposed areas represent the present erosional level and for an estimate of the total heat contribution, volumetric constraints are needed.

Fig. 3 Variation of average Th and U (ppm) concentrations with standard deviation (± 1σ) for the studied areas inferred from in situ gamma radiation measurements (for data, see Tab. 2). Area 1 lies within the Saxothuringian Zone (a); Area 2 comprises Oberpfalz granites in the transition zone (b); Area 3 represents granites from the Bavarian Forest and is part of the Moldanubian Zone of the Variscides (c).
5. Discussion

5.1. Th and U variations

The average Th/U ratio of the continental crust is estimated at c. 4 (Rudnick et al. 1998; McLennan and Taylor 1996; Jaupart and Mareschal 2003) and igneous rocks have on average Th/U ratios between 3 and 4 for most values of SiO$_2$, even though rocks with a low silica content show a larger variability (Hasterok et al. 2018). Globally, there is a variation in the Th/U ratio in felsic rocks between less than 1 and over 10 (Hasterok et al. 2018). Mafic magmatic rocks have a slightly lower Th/U ratio compared with the felsic ones. Zircons from a range of mafic to felsic samples show a similar trend in Th/U ratios (Kirkland et al. 2015). While this trend is statistically significant, the variation

---

**Tab. 3 Calculated heat production for intrusions in the studied areas**

| Intrusion complex          | Rock type    | N  | Mean value (Fig. 6) | Boxplot (Fig. 5)** |
|----------------------------|--------------|----|---------------------|--------------------|
|                            |              |    | A (µW m$^{-3}$)     | 1σ min max Median LQ UQ |
| Area 1: Fichtelgebirge*     |              |    |                     |                    |
| Preceding intrusions        | Redwitzite (diorites) | 8  | 4.95                | 1.03               | nd nd nd nd nd nd |
|                            | G1           | 46 | 4.60                | 0.65               | 3.29 5.85 4.40 4.14 5.18 |
|                            | G1R          | 19 | 5.52                | 0.70               | 4.49 6.95 5.40 4.99 6.12 |
|                            | G1S          | 2  | 4.42                | nd                 | nd nd nd nd nd |
|                            | G1HS         | 18 | 4.46                | 0.64               | 3.45 4.63 4.51 4.01 4.74 |
|                            | MEAN OIC     | 93 | 4.75                | 0.45               |                   |
| YIC                        | G2           | 23 | 5.22                | 0.73               | 3.44 6.37 5.30 4.70 5.85 |
|                            | G3           | 101| 5.32                | 1.57               | 2.31 9.57 5.07 4.31 6.26 |
|                            | G2K          | 24 | 4.55                | 0.87               | 3.52 6.54 4.38 4.01 4.69 |
|                            | G3K          | 22 | 4.47                | 0.53               | 3.20 5.27 4.61 4.23 4.81 |
|                            | G4           | 15 | 7.64                | 1.85               | 4.19 10.63 7.86 6.69 8.90 |
|                            | MEAN YIC     | 185| 5.55                | 1.81               |                   |
| Area 2: Oberpfalz           |              |    |                     |                    |
| Preceding intrusions        | Redwitzite (diorites) | 3  | 2.40                | 0.10               | nd nd nd nd nd |
|                            | Leuchtenberg | 22 | 4.10                | 0.70               | 2.66 5.62 4.21 3.52 4.55 |
|                            | Zainhammer   | 6  | 3.90                | 0.60               | 2.83 4.92 3.99 3.76 4.11 |
|                            | MEAN OIC     | 31 | 4.00                | 0.10               |                   |
| YIC                        | Falkenberg   | 29 | 6.80                | 1.00               | 4.77 8.35 7.02 6.11 7.55 |
|                            | Friedenfels  | 14 | 4.50                | 0.90               | 2.87 6.23 4.35 3.89 5.29 |
|                            | Steinwald    | 33 | 6.00                | 1.80               | 3.27 10.74 5.83 4.44 7.16 |
|                            | Flossenbürg  | 18 (26)* | 8.90                | 4.00               | 3.87 16.89 8.00 5.49 12.93 |
|                            | MEAN YIC     | 94 | 6.50                | 1.60               |                   |
| Area 3: Bavarian Forest     |              |    |                     |                    |
| Hauzenberg Pluton           | Granodiorite | 58 | 3.12                | 1.28               | 1.14 4.88 3.79 1.70 4.21 |
|                            | Hauzenberg II| 62 | 3.85                | 0.82               | 2.50 5.48 6.62 3.26 4.51 |
|                            | Hauzenberg I | 61 | 4.68                | 0.76               | 3.34 7.17 4.58 4.14 5.10 |
|                            | Granite (host rock) | 24 | 4.44                | 1.12               | 0.89 6.59 4.65 3.70 4.97 |
|                            | Mean         | 181| 3.88                |                     |                   |
| Fürstenstein Intrusive Complex (FIC) |         |    |                     |                    |
| Early intrusions            | Bt Qtz Diorite| 12 | 3.90                | 0.89               | 2.38 5.51 3.36 2.99 4.53 |
|                            | Hbl–Bt Diorite| 37 | 4.00                | 0.83               | 2.60 6.36 4.02 3.49 4.57 |
|                            | Tittling Granite| 22 | 4.40                | 0.69               | 3.08 6.04 4.39 3.80 4.82 |
|                            | Saldenburg Granite | 89 | 6.55                | 1.24               | 4.52 10.37 6.38 5.54 7.32 |
|                            | Eberhardsreuth Granite | 10 | 3.20                | 0.26               | 2.54 3.50 3.24 3.03 3.32 |
|                            | Bt–Ms Granite | 41 | 3.70                | 0.69               | 2.39 5.31 3.62 3.07 4.13 |
|                            | Mean FIC     | 211| 4.24                | 1.10               |                   |

* Excluding measurements from U-enriched fault planes

** min (minimum) and max (maximum) refer to lowest and highest values, median to 50 %, LQ to first quartile (25 %), UQ to third quartile (75 %) of data distribution

Data of individual measurements including coordinates for sampling sites are available in the Electronic Supplementary material (ESM 1)
is relatively small with respect to the natural variability. Overall, a constant Th/U ratio of \( c. 4 \) in granites seems to give a reasonable estimate for the U and Th concentrations (e.g. Artemieva et al. 2017; Hasterok et al. 2018).

This study shows that the Th/U ratio can vary over two orders of magnitude even within one area (Fig. 4) implying that source heterogeneities are greater than previously assumed. The variation in Th/U ratios as well as Th and U concentrations caused by different source compositions and degree of melting may be further modified by fractional crystallization, fluid mobilization and/or magma mixing. However, except for the redwitzites, the magma mixing does not seem to have occurred on a major scale in the studied area (Hecht et al. 1997; Chen et al. 2003; Siebel and Chen 2010). The Th and U heterogeneities had to be partly inherited from the source, because the spread in Th/U ratios is too large to be explained by degree of melting, fractional crystallization or fluid mobilization processes alone. This is especially the case for intrusions of different ages or locations (see Tabs 1–2). As Th is more incompatible than U, more enriched sources have higher Th/U ratios and Th concentrations. This could imply that the granitoids from the Bavarian Forest and the Fichtelgebirge have on average more enriched sources compared to those from the Oberpfalz. The extremely low Th/U ratios (below unity) in the Oberpfalz granites, if compared to the other two regions, are mainly due to high U and low Th concentrations (Fig. 3).

However, fractional crystallization of accessory minerals, especially zircon and monazite, but also xenotime, could have added to the variation of these granites (e.g. Miller and Mittlefehldt 1982; Hecht et al. 1997, 1999; Chen et al. 2003; Siebel and Chen 2010), contributing to the trend in Fig. 4. The fractionation of monazite lowers

Fig. 4 Plots of Th/U ratios versus Th concentrations for granitoids from the Western Bohemian Massif. a – Areas 1–3; b – Area 1: Fichtelgebirge; c – Area 2: Oberpfalz; d – Area 3: Bavarian Forest.
both the Th/U ratio and the Th concentration. In contrast, zircon fractionation increases the Th/U ratio, because zircon has very high U concentrations. This could explain the spread within individual intrusions of the same age and source. For instance, the high Th/U ratios of the diorites from the Bavarian Forest are probably due to zircon fractionation (Fig. 4).

Hydrothermal vein-type, fault-, and shear zone-hosted uranium deposits are widespread in the Variscan Belt of Mid-Europe (Kříbek et al. 2009 and references therein). As the Oberpfalz granites have intruded along fault intersections with the Moldanubian–Saxothuringian contact zone and as their low Th concentrations point to a depleted source, it is possible that the high U concentrations are due to U remobilization. Paleozoic shales could be the source of the uranium (Romer and Cuney 2018). Fluid mobilization can change the U, but does not affect the Th concentrations. The reason is that the U is far more mobile because of metamictization and increased solubility in oxidized environment, where it forms an uranyl anion. In contrast, Th remains firmly bound in the crystal lattice.

Interestingly, the YIC of the Fichtelgebirge and the Oberpfalz tend to have lower Th/U ratios compared to the OIC granites and are therefore less enriched. This hints to different sources of the OIC and YIC. Here the low Th/U ratios are mostly due to high U concentrations (average U concentrations in the OIC are less, and in the YIC more than 10 ppm). The high U concentrations are probably due to U remobilization. Addition or removal of U does have a much larger impact on samples with low Th concentrations, but could partly also have affected those with higher Th and U concentrations.
5.2. Heat-producing classification and comparison with other granitic terrains

Variable average and median values of RHP in granites can be found in the literature, e.g. 2.83 µW/m³ and 2.43 µW/m³ (Vilà et al. 2010) or 3.54 µW/m³ and 2.79 W/m³ (Hasterok and Webb 2017). The difference is due to variations in age and petrogenetic setting within the compiled data sets. Artemieva et al. (2017) calculated an average of 2.79 µW/m³ for Phanerozoic granites worldwide, while Proterozoic granites show higher and widespread values of 3.83 ± 2.14 µW/m³. However, most of the data considered by Artemieva et al. (2017) were derived from high-heat-producing, A-type granites of Australia (Neumann et al. 2000; McLaren et al. 2005; Hasterok and Gard 2016) and thus might not be representative. A-type granites (Loiselle and Wones 1979; Eby 1992) are typical of intra-plate, often anorogenic settings. They are the most abundant in Proterozoic terranes, such as the Malani Igneous suite in NW India (Eby and Kochhar 1990; de Wall et al. 2018), and are characterized by generally high radioelement concentrations (Scharfenberg et al. 2015, 2019) resulting in higher RHP (Singh and Vallinayagam 2012). Therefore A-type granites commonly rank to high-heat-producing granites (HHP granites).

Here, we show that granites from the western margin of the Bohemian Massif display a large spread in RHP (see Tab. 3). Averages range 3.9–8.9 µW/m³ and median values 3.2–8.0 µW/m³. Such variations can
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be either related to different source rocks, or distinct petrogenesis (Vilà et al. 2010; Artemieva et al. 2017), including fractionation of felsic magma as has been reported for the Fichtelgebirge and Oberpfalz granites (Hecht et al. 1997; Siebel et al. 1997). Precursors of the granites, the redwitzites (diorites), are predominately metaluminous and interpreted as mantle-derived mafic magma (Kováříková et al. 2007). For the OIC, a contribution of melt from deeper sources is considered and these granites range from S-type to transitional I-/S-type (Siebel et al. 1997, 2003 and references therein).

For redwitzites in the Fichtelgebirge, the mean RHP is higher (4.95 µW/m³) than in the Oberpfalz granites (2.40 µW/m³). The RHP of 4.8 µW/m³ for OIC granites in the Fichtelgebirge and 5.3–5.6 µW/m³ for YIC units fall in the same range as reported for I- to S-type granites in the Erzgebirge (Fürster and Förster 2000; Scharfenberg et al. 2016). A-type granites with RHP > 10 µW/m³ reported from other areas in the Bohemian Massif (Fürster and Förster 2000; Breiter 2012) are not exposed in the studied areas. Nevertheless some of the measured granites display high RHP values, e.g. the G4 intrusion (7.6 µW/m³) a fluorine-enriched Tin Granite in the Fichtelgebirge (area 1; Irber et al. 1997; Förster et al. 2008). Furthermore some of the Oberpfalz granites emplaced along the Moldanubian–Saxothuringian suture zone (area 2) are characterized by higher U concentrations resulting in Th/U < 1. There is indication for general U enrichment along this lineament, associated with carbon-rich sedimentary units and mobilization during hydrothermal processes (Bültemann 1979; Stettner 1979; Dill and Weiser 1981). High U-values are typical of the Flossenbürg intrusion. The long axis of the oblong shape of this intrusion is parallel to the late-Variscan faults and such setting might have caused the remobilisation of U as described above. Krušné hory granites in continuation of the suture line into the Czech Republic also show Th/U < 1 due to elevated U concentrations of 10 to 27 ppm (Krešl et al. 1978).

In the Bavarian Forest, higher RHP values of the Saldenburg Granite are related to the high Th concentrations in this latest pulse of the large composite Fürstenstein Massif (Chen and Siebel 2004). The high Th concentrations can be explained by abundance of monazite crystallized during this late-stage granite formation.

There is no consensus on the RHP threshold to qualify granite as a HHP one. The most intensely studied area for geothermal potential is Australia. Based on a large data set on RHP of igneous rocks in Queensland, granites with values greater than 5 µW/m³ were considered as HHP granites (Siégel et al. 2012). However, a report on the assessment of geothermal potential in North Queensland (Huston ed. 2010) proposed a threshold of 8 µW/m³ for high-heat-producing granites and a range of 4 to 8 µW/m³ for moderate-heat-producing granites.

Based on the radioelement concentrations from all 829 assays over the three areas studied in this project, a mean RHP of 4.9±1.8 µW/m³ is calculated (Fig. 7). In summary, it can be stated that the Variscan granites along the Western Bohemian Massif can be regarded as moderate- to high-heat-producing, whereby RHP values in the granites of the Saxothuringian and the transition zone (areas 1, 2) are slightly higher than those in the Bavarian Forest (area 3). Taken together, the detailed heat production data provided here complement the existing compilations for heat production of granites in the Bohemian Massif (Klomínský et al. 2010), with attendant implications for further thermal modelling.
5.3. Consequences for regional tectono-magmatic processes

Melting of continental crust, migration and emplacement of granitic melts is the most important mechanism for enrichment and redistribution of heat-producing elements (HPE) in the middle and upper crust (e.g. Sandiford and McLaren 2002 and references therein). Sandiford et al. (2002) emphasized that long-term temperature changes due to redistribution of HPEs occur over timescales appropriate to the conductive response of the lithosphere, while on shorter timescales the temperature field will include thermal transients. The large volumes of granitoids that have intruded the continental crust in the late phase of the Variscan Orogeny must have caused an immense thermal pulse related to (i) heat transfer by the granitic melts into the host rocks and (ii) subsequent heat generation by in situ decay of radiogenic elements in already emplaced granitoid plutons. Magma ascent would always preferentially follow zones of weakness within the Earth’s crust and emplacement of granitoids into shear zones can facilitate and trigger rapid exhumation of orogens (Faccenda et al. 2008; Závada et al. 2018; Tiwari and Biswal 2019). This has also been inferred for rapid exhumation of Variscan crustal blocks in the Bohemian Massif, e.g. by melt-controlled strain softening during uplift of Moldanubian rocks along the Central Bohemian Shear Zone (Scheuvens and Zulauf 2000).

Heat generation by decay of radiogenic elements can result in high internal heat production especially in thickened continental crust. During continent–continent collision the thickness of the continental crust in orogenic belts can exceed 60 km instead of 25–30 km, typical of a buoyancy-equilibrated crust. Stacking of crustal slices enriched in RHP elements by tectonic or magmatic processes and the thermal conductivity profile are first-order parameters determining the thermal structure and gravitational instability of the crust (e.g. Gerya et al. 2002). The insulation of underlying fertile radiogenic crust by overlaying lithological units with relatively lower thermal conductivity is important for heat storage in the crust. Dörr and Zulauf (2010) proposed that the Variscan Bohemian Plateau was covered with unmetamorphosed Palaeozoic strata. The siliciclastic and carbonate units (Tasáryová et al. 2018 and references therein) could have formed an insulating cover, which would result in an increase in thermal gradients (Meixner et al. 2014; de Wall et al. 2019). Models by Bea (2012) showed that a thickened continental crust with an overall annual heat production greater than 1.2 µW/m² is able to produce granitic melts after 30 to 40 Myr. Thus, radiogenic heating might have even been a dominant heat source in parts of the Variscan Orogen, potentially having a major influence on further melting and metamorphism (Gerdes et al. 2000).

A common characteristic of the granitoid intrusions in all the three study areas (Fichtelgebirge, Oberpfalz, Bavarian Forest) is the evolution from I-type to S-type signatures with time. However, the evolution seems to be diachronous. In the Saxothuringian crust the first pulse (OIC granites and redwitzites in study areas 1 and 2) started at c. 325 Ma. Melt generation involved both mantle and crustal inputs and granites were preferentially emplaced into structural discontinuities. The main pulse of the younger S-type granites (YIC in study areas 1 and 2) occurred at 315–290 Ma. The role of the high RHP of granites for the high-temperature metamorphism at c. 320 Ma (Hansen et al. 1989) and the contemporaneous felsic melt generation in the Saxothuringian crust needs to be investigated in further studies.

In the Bavarian Forest, that is a part of the Moldanubian Zone, the magmatic evolution started with dioritic intrusions at c. 334–332 Ma (Fürstenstein Complex) and coeval granodioritic intrusions along the Bavarian Pfahl Shear Zone (palite emplacement at 334 Ma, Siebel et al. 2005), i.e. earlier than in the Saxothuringian crust. Interpretation of geochemical characteristics in terms of S and I-type classification and an assessment of a mantle contribution is not straightforward for the Bavarian Forest granites (Siebel et al. 2008). Most of granitic intrusions are 324–321 Ma old, followed by the last main pulse at ~315 Ma (Siebel et al. 2008). For the anatectic terrain in the Bavarian Forest, Siebel et al. (2012) distinguished two high-grade metamorphic events (345–330 Ma: granulite-facies, 326–323 Ma: amphibolite-facies). The second was coeval with the regional uplift and granite intrusion. The late-orogenic high-temperature metamorphism and the associated magmatism could be triggered by radiogenic heat. Thermal-kinetic modelling by Gerdes et al. (2000) showed that the elevated radiogenic heat in the thickened Moldanubian crust could have caused a substantial temperature increase in the middle and lower crust. On the other hand, the temperature–time path of Kalt et al. (2000) featured a rapid late- to post-orogenic exhumation of the Bavarian Forest that was explained by mantle delamination. Numeric models by Henk et al. (2000) underlined the importance of crustal thickening for the thermal structure of the Variscan crust and also discussed an enhanced heat flow during late-orogenic delamination of parts of the lower lithosphere. However, as has been pointed out by Tropper et al. (2006), it is difficult to critically evaluate and test the various models for explanation of the late-orogenic heating in the Moldanubian crust (mantle delamination versus radiogenic heating) and thus further modelling is needed.
6. Conclusions

Gamma radiation measurements with a portable spectrometer have yielded a large and detailed data set on radioelement concentrations in Variscan granites along the western margin of the Bohemian Massif. For the total of 829 measurements a mean heat production rate of 4.9 µW/m² was obtained which is far above the global average, estimated between 2.53 and 3.54 µW/m² by different research groups. The granites are identified as moderate-to-high-heat-producing using the classification scheme implemented for Australian granitic terrains. The high RHP can be explained by crustal reworking and enrichment of heat-producing elements in the Variscan crust.

Most granite intrusions studied here are characterized by Th/U > 1 that can be explained by a combined effect of enriched sources and subsequent differentiation processes. These intrusions occur in Fichtelgebirge (except for the Tin Granite), Bavarian Forest (Hauzenberg and Fürstenstein granites) and among the older granites of the Oberpfalz (Leuchtenberg and Zainhammer, Falkenberg and Liebenstein types). Enrichment in Th could be related to abundance of monazite, as a major carrier of Th in peraluminous granitic rocks.

In contrast, some of the Oberpfalz granites (Flossenbürg, Steinwald, Friedensfels types) are characterized by high U concentrations and thus Th/U < 1. This in agreement with U enrichment along the Saxothuringian–Moldanubian contact, mobilized from organic-rich sediments as described in previous studies. A clear temporal trend from I- to S-type granites can be found within each of the studied areas. We identified high-heat-producing S-type magmatic bodies, like the Saldenburg granite in the Bavarian Forest, Flossenbürg granite in the Oberpfalz and the G4 granite in the Fichtelgebirge.
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