Characterization and Interaction Studies of Two Isoforms of the Dual Localized 3-Mercaptopyruvate Sulfurtransferase TUM1 from Humans*
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The human tRNA thioridine modification protein (TUM1), also designated as 3-mercaptoppyruvate sulfurtransferase (MPST), has been implicated in a wide range of physiological processes in the cell. The roles range from an involvement in thiolation of cytosolic tRNAs to the generation of H2S as signaling molecule in the cell. The roles range from an involvement in thiolation of cytosolic tRNAs to the generation of H2S as signaling molecule in the cell. TUM1 is a member of the sulfurtransferase family and catalyzes the conversion of 3-mercaptoppyruvate to pyruvate and protein-bound persulfide. Here, we purified and characterized two novel TUM1 splice variants, designated as TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2. The purified proteins showed similar kinetic behavior and comparable pH and temperature dependence. Cellular localization studies, however, showed a different localization pattern between the isoforms. TUM1-Iso1 is exclusively localized in the cytosol, whereas TUM1-Iso2 showed a dual localization both in the cytosol and mitochondrion. Interaction studies were performed with the isoforms both in vitro using the purified proteins and in vivo by fluorescence analysis in human cells, using the split-EGFP system. The studies showed that TUM1 interacts with the L-cysteine desulfurase NFS1 and the rhodanese-like protein MOCS3, suggesting a dual function of TUM1 both in sulfur transfer for the biosynthesis of the molybdenum cofactor, and for the thiolation of tRNA. Our studies point to distinct roles of each TUM1 isoform in the sulfur transfer processes in the cell, with different compartmentalization of the two splice variants of TUM1.

Background: Localization and identification of interaction partners of two splice variants of the human 3-mercaptoppyruvate sulfurtransferase TUM1.

Results: We show that TUM1 interacts with proteins involved in Moco and FeS cluster biosynthesis.

Conclusion: Human TUM1 is a dual localized protein in the cytosol and mitochondria with distinct roles in sulfur transfer and interaction partners.

Significance: The study contributes to the sulfur transfer pathway for the biosynthesis of sulfur-containing biofactors.

The human 3-mercaptoppyruvate sulfurtransferase (MPST)³ (EC 2.8.1.2), also designated as TUM1 (tRNA thioridine modification protein 1), belongs to an enzyme superfamily of proteins that contain a rhodanese-like domain (RLD) (1). Representatives of this enzyme family consist of one or up to four RLDs, of which usually only the C-terminal domain harbors the catalytically active site loop. The function of the N-terminal domain is believed to be of regulatory importance and involved in signaling processes (1). Catalytically active or inactive single RLDs have been found associated with various domains of other enzyme families, such as adenylation domains, RNA-binding motifs, several phosphatase families, and ubiquitinat- ing enzymes (1, 2). RLDs often share only low amino acid sequence homology among each other, but exhibit highly con- served structural criteria (3, 4). Substrate specificity of the RLDs with catalytic activity is dependent on the constitution of the active site center, with either a conserved C[G(S/T)]GV(T/S) motif in the case of MPSTs (1, 2), or a more defined CG(S/T)GV(T/S) motif in the case of thiosulfate sulfurtransferases (EC 2.8.1.1), or a rhodanese-like enzymes bind sulfur in the form of persul- fides, with a formal redox status of S⁰ at their conserved active site cysteine residue (6, 7). To date the physiological function of rhodanese-like proteins is not fully understood, but has been linked to a wide variety of biological processes, including the detoxification of cyanide, the homeostasis of cellular sulfur in general, the participation in the degradation of L-cysteine, mitochondrial production of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) as signaling molecule, in addition to the biosynthesis of enzymatic cofactors, vitamins, and sulfur-containing nucleic acids (8–13). Human TUM1 shares about 60% amino acid sequence identity to MPST homologues from various sources. The crystal structure of human and yeast TUM1, also in complex with 3-MP has been solved at 2.15-Å resolution (13, 14). TUM1 is expressed in kidney cells, liver cells, cardiac cells, and neurological cells (15). Human individuals lacking proper formation of TUM1 were shown to excrete elevated levels of mercaptolactate-cysteine disulfide in the urine, an inborn disorder referred to as mercap- tolactate-cysteine disulfiduria (16, 17). TUM1 was described to
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be involved in H₂S production and signaling, which is also produced by cystathione β-synthase and cystathionine γ-lyase in the cytosol (18). In the TUM1-dependent route for H₂S generation, 3-HP originating from cysteine is converted to pyruvate and TUM1-bound persulfide, which can be released as H₂S in the presence of reducing systems like GSH or thioredoxin (19).

Thio modification of uridine in the 2-position is known to ensure accurate deciphering of the genetic code and stabilization of tRNA structure. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae the wobble bases of tRNAs contain two thiouridines, 5-methoxycarbonylmethyl-2-thiouridine (mcm₅s²U₃₄) in cytoplasmic tRNAs and 5-carboxymethyl-2-thiouridine (cmnm₅s₂U₃₄) in mitochondrial tRNAs. Previously, the yeast Tum1p protein was described to be involved in the sulfur transfer for the thiolation of tRNA. In a genetic screen for the identification of cytoplasmic tRNA modification for the formation of cmnm₅s₂U at the wobble position of U₃₄, the proteins Tum1p, Uba4p, Nfs1p, Urm1p, Ncs2p, and Nsc6p were identified to be involved in this process. Nfs1p is a l-cysteine desulfurase, which was described, not only as a function but also to function as the main protein that supplies sulfur for FeS formation in mitochondria (20). An in vitro sulfur transfer experiment suggested that Tum1p stimulates the cytosolic desulfurase activity, however, a direct action of the proteins was not shown. In contrast, Uba4p was shown to be capable of accepting sulfur from Nfs1p, but because these experimental assays contained DTT, which can be prone to unspecific sulfide release to the solution, the physiological significance of the results remain unclear. Urm1p is an ubiquitin-related modifier and Uba4p is an E1-like Urm1p activating enzyme that is involved in protein urmylation. The carboxyl terminus of Urm1p is first activated as an acyl adenylate (-COAMP) and then thiocarboxylated (-COSH) by Uba4p. The activated thiocarboxylate can be utilized in the subsequent reaction for 2-thiouridine formation, mediated by a heterodimeric complex consisting of Ncs2p and Ncs6p.

In humans, a similar pathway for cmnm₅s₂U₃₄ thiolation exists in the cytosol, consisting of the proteins (yeast homologues are given in parentheses): NFS1 (Nfs1p), MOCS3 (Uba4), URM1 (Urm1p), CTU1 (Ncs2), and CTU2 (Ncs6). For cmnm₅s₂U₃₄ modification of cytosolic tRNAs, sulfur is transferred from the rhodanese-like protein MOCS3 to the C terminus of the ubiquitin-related modifier URM1 (20–25). This complex first activates URM1 by adenylation followed by the sulfur transfer step, which results in the formation of a thiocarboxylate group at the C-terminal Gly of URM1. By the interaction with the CTU1-CTU2 complex, which binds and activates the tRNAs for further sulfur transfer, thiocarboxylated URM1 transfers the sulfur to tRNA¹-₃₅, tRNA⁰Gln, and tRNA⁰Glu (26). The sulfur for the persulfide group of MOCS3 was shown to originate from NFS1 in the cytosol. A specific interaction between both proteins was identified recently, confirming the additional cytosolic localization of NFS1 and its involvement in the pathways mediated by MOCS3. In contrast to yeast Uba4p, human MOCS3 is a dual function protein that is involved in two sulfur transfer pathways. MOCS3 was initially identified to be involved in molybdadenin cofactor (Moco) biosynthesis in the cytosol (27). In this case, MOCS3 interacts with MOCS2A and forms a thiocarboxylate group at the C terminus of MOCS2A (24, 25, 27). MOCS2A subsequently assembles with MOCS2B to form the molydbopterin (MPT) synthase (28). The MPT synthase binds the first intermediate of Moco biosynthesis, cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate (cPMP) and generates MPT after the transfer of two sulfur atoms from two MOCS2A proteins (28). MOCS2B binds cPMP in this reaction. The two sulfur atoms of MPT coordinate the molybdadenin atom in the final step of Moco biosynthesis. In humans, Moco is required for the activity of xanthine dehydrogenase, aldehyde oxidase, sulfite oxidase, and the mitochondrial amidoxime reducing components, mARC1 and mARC2 (29). The role of human MOCS3, thus, is different from yeast Uba4p, which only interacts with Urm1p in the cytosol, because proteins for the biosynthesis of the molybdadenin cofactor like MOCS2A are not present in yeast.

By comparison to yeast Tum1p, the role of human TUM1 in tRNA thiolation or Moco biosynthesis, to date, is not well resolved. In addition, two splice variants exist for TUM1, which so far were neglected to be characterized. In turn, we purified for the first time the two splice variants of TUM1 and characterized the two proteins in terms of their kinetic behavior, localization, and interaction partners. Both TUM1 isoforms showed similar kinetic characteristics. However, their localization in human cells and the respective interaction partners proved to be different. TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 were identified both to be localized in the cytosol, whereas TUM1-Iso2 is additionally localized in the mitochondria. Furthermore, we identified by in vivo and in vitro methods that in the cytosol, TUM1-Iso1 interacted with both NFS1 and MOCS3, whereas TUM1-Iso2 exclusively interacted with MOCS3 in the cytosol and NFS1 in the mitochondria.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, Media, and Growth Conditions—Escherichia coli cell strains containing expression plasmids were grown aerobically at 30 °C in LB medium containing either 150 μg/ml of ampicillin or 50 μg/ml of chloramphenicol. All E. coli strains, human cell lines, and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. E. coli MoaE was expressed in BL21(DE3) cells from plasmid pMWaE15 and purified as described in Ref. 30, and human MOCS2A was expressed and purified as described in Ref. 21. Coexpression and co-purification of human NFS1Δ1–55 and ISD11 was achieved as described in Ref. 31, and human MOCS3 was expressed and purified as described in Ref. 24.

Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Human TUM1—For heterologous expression of TUM1 in E. coli, the genes for TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 were amplified by PCR from a human cDNA library (Clontech). Primers were designed that allowed for cloning into the BamHI–Xhol restriction sites of the expression vector pGEX4T-1 (GE Healthcare), which expresses both TUM1 isoforms as N-terminal GST fusion proteins. The resulting plasmids were designated pBF15 (expressing TUM1-Iso1) and pBF16 (expressing TUM1-Iso2) (Table 1). E. coli BL21(DE3) expression cultures were grown at 37 °C in LB medium under aerobic conditions until A₆₅₀ = 0.6 was reached. Expression was induced with 100 μM isopropyl β-D-1-thio-
lactopyranoside and growth was continued at 16 °C for 16 h. Cells were harvested at 11,000 × g, resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, and stored at −20 °C. Cell lysis was achieved by sonification using a Heinemann HTU Soni130 (Heinemann HTU, Schwaβisch-Gmünd, Germany) in the presence of 1 μg/ml of DNase I. Cleared lysates were applied to 0.5 ml of glutathione-agarose (GSH-Matrix) (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) per liter of expression culture and incubated for 2 h at 80 °C until further use. The precipitated protein was removed by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 3 min to remove precipitated protein, and stored at −80 °C until further use.

Size Exclusion Chromatography—Size exclusion chromatography was performed at 8 °C using a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) with a bed volume of 25 ml equilibrated in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. For separation of the thrombin, the elution fraction was applied to 2 volumes of benzamidine-Sepharose (GE Healthcare), and TUM1-iso1 and TUM1-iso2 were eluted with 1 column volume of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, and stored at −80 °C until further use.

Fluorescence Spectroscopy of TUM1 Variants—Fluorescence measurements were performed by using a HORIBA Jobin Yvon FluoroMax-4 Spectrofluorometer (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a temperature-controlled stirred cell holder. All measurements were performed at 37 °C using 5 μM enzyme in a total volume of 1 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. The excitation wavelength was set to 280 nm with a slight width of 2 nm. Emission spectra were recorded from 300 to 400 nm with a slit width of 5 nm. As substrates, 10 mM KCN or 1 mM 3-MP were added and incubated for 1 min prior to recording.

3-Mercaptopyruvate:Dithioreitol Sulfurtransferase Activity of TUM1—3-Mercaptopyruvate:dithioreitol sulfurtransferase activities of TUM1 were quantified using the methylene blue method (32). The reaction mixtures were incubated for 3 min at 37 °C in a final volume of 400 μl of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 10.5. Sodium 3-MP was used at concentrations of 0.05–0.75 mM and DTT at 0.25–5 mM. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 0.1 μM TUM1 and terminated by the addition of 50 μl of 20 mM N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylendiamine (DMPD) in 7.2 mM HCl. Color development was started by the addition of 50 ml of 30 mM FeCl₃ in 1.2 M HCl, following an incubation time of 5 min at room temperature. Precipitated protein was removed by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 3 min, and the produced methylene blue was quantified at 670 nm using a standard curve with known amounts of sodium sulfide.

3-Mercaptopyruvate:Cyanide Sulfurtransferase Activity—3-Mercaptopyruvate:cyanide sulfurtransferase activities of TUM1 were quantified by the method described by Sørbo (33). The reaction mixtures contained 3-MP at varying concentrations of 0.5–5 mM, and DTT at 2–20 mM in a final volume of 500 μl of 100 mM CAPS, pH 10.5. Following initiation of the reaction by the addition of 0.2 μM TUM1 the mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 37 °C. The reactions were terminated by the addition of 250 μl of 15% formaldehyde, and 750 μl of a coloring agent were added (containing 100 g of Fe(NO₃)₃·9 H₂O, 200 ml of 65% HNO₃ in a final volume of 1500 ml of H₂O). Following centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 3 min to remove precipitated protein,
produced thiocyanate was quantified at 460 nm against a standard curve of known amounts of sodium thiocyanate.

**CD Spectroscopy**—CD spectroscopy was performed with purified proteins in 40 mM NaPO₄, 140 mM NaF, pH 6.7, buffer using protein concentrations of 0.15 mg/ml and 1.00-mm quartz cuvettes. All spectra were recorded on a Jasco-J715 CD-spectrometer (Jasco) equipped with a thermoelectric temperature-controlled cuvette holder at 0.5 nm/min scan speed with 5 repetitions. The CD spectra were baseline corrected by subtraction of the corresponding buffer spectrum. Ellipticity values in degrees cm² dmol⁻¹ were calculated on a mean residue molecular mass basis of 111.2 Da for TUM1-Iso1 and 111.7 Da for TUM1-Iso2.

**Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) Measurements**—All binding experiments were performed on an SPR-based Biacore™ T200 instrument employing CM5 sensor chips at a temperature of 25 °C and a flow rate of 30 µl/min using the Biacore control T200 software and evaluation T200 software (GE Healthcare). The autosampler rack containing the samples was cooled throughout the entire measurements to 8 °C. Proteins were immobilized with the following recovery units: BSA, 500–1000; NFS1Δ1–55, 1581; NFS1Δ1–55-SD11, 150–700; TUM1-Iso1, 626; TUM1-Iso2, 551; MOCS3, 400–1100; and MOCS3-RLD, 600–650. Proteins for each immobilization process resulted from independent purifications. As running buffer 20 mM phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 0.005% (v/v) Tween 20, pH 7.4, was used. Analytes with concentrations of 0.16, 0.31, 0.63, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 µM were injected for 4.5 min at a flow rate of 30 µl/min followed by a 15-min dissociation and regeneration of the sensor surface with 50 mM HCl for 1 min. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) served as a control ligand. Binding curves were corrected by subtraction of buffer injection curves for both flow cells.

**Cysteine Desulphurase Activity Measurements**—To analyze the influence of TUM1 and/or MOCS3 on the l-cysteine desulphurase activity of the NFS1-SD11 complex total sulfide production was measured employing the methylene blue method (32). 1 µM NFS1-SD11 complex was preincubated with 0–6 µM TUM1 and MOCS3 variants in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, for 30 min at 4 °C. After the addition of DTT to a final concentration of 1 mM, the reaction was started by addition of 1 mM l-cysteine. After incubation in a total volume of 400 µl at 37 °C for 15 min, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 50 µM N,N,N-dimethyl-4-phenylenediamine in 7.2 M HCl. Methylene blue was formed by addition of 50 µM of a FeCl₃ solution in 1.2 M HCl and quantified at 670 nm against a sulfide standard curve.

**In Vitro MPT Production**—MPT synthase reactions were performed at room temperature in a total volume of 400 µl of 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The produced MPT was converted to the stable oxidation product Form A and quantified as described by published procedures (34, 35). For MPT synthesis 5 µM E. coli MoaE, 15 µM MOCS2A, 5 µM MOCS3, 2.5 mM Mg-ATP, 20 µM NFS1Δ1–55-SD11, and 10 µM TUM1 were mixed. As sulfur source 0.4 mM sodium sulfide, sodium thiosulfate, 3-MP, or l-cysteine was used. The reaction was initiated by the addition of cPMP in excess, which was purified as described previously (36).

**Cell Culture Maintenance**—HEK293a and HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, PAN-Biotech, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, PAN-Biotech, Germany) and glutamine. Cell cultures were maintained at a temperature of 37 °C and 5% CO₂ atmosphere. For localization and FRET analyses, HEK293a or HeLa cells were grown on poly-l-lysine-coated coverslips prior to transfection.

**Immunodetection of TUM1 in Fractionated HEK295 Cell Lysates**—The subcellular fractionation of HEK293a cells was performed as described previously (37). The two fractions were concentrated 10–20-fold by ultrafiltration using Centriprep devices with a cut-off of 3 KDa. The protein concentration of the extract was quantified by using the Bradford Reagent Coomassie Plus™ Protein Assay Reagent (Thermo) with bovine serum albumin as a standard. 75 µg of protein extracts were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Sigma). The membrane was blocked with 5% milk powder in TBS containing 0.1% Tween (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing in TBST the membranes were incubated with anti-MPT (Sigma, 1:2000) or anti-citrate synthase (Sigma, 1:5000) antibodies overnight at 4 °C, and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Thermo, 1:1000) at room temperature for 1 h. The protein bands were visualized by the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (Super Signal; Thermo, Pierce).

**Subcellular Interaction Studies Using the Split-EGFP System**—Coding regions for TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 were amplified by PCR using HEK293a cDNA as a template and the obtained fragments were cloned into mammalian expression vectors pEGFP(1–157)-N1, resulting in plasmids pCR1 (TUM1-Iso1) and pCR5 (TUM1-Iso2). The cell culture plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. HEK293a cells were transiently transfected with the corresponding plasmids: pCR1 with pZM44, pZM146, or pZM148 using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). For the staining of the mitochondria and nuclei MitoTracker DeepRed® (Invitrogen, 1:20,000) and DAPI (Sigma, 1:1,000) were used. 24 h after transfection, cells were fixed for 20 min at 4 °C using 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS. The cells were washed twice with PBS and mounted onto slides with Mowiol (Roth). Images for EGFP fluorescence were imaged with a confocal microscope LSM710 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany) using a PlanApo 1.4/×63 Oil or EC Plan-Neofluar 1.3/×40 Oil objective. DAPI, EGFP, and MitoTracker were excited sequentially (multi-track mode) at 405, 488, and 633 nm. Images were taken with a depth of 12 bits in the spectral range of the emission at 413–560 nm (for DAPI), 493–612 nm (for EGFP), and 637–735 nm (for MitoTracker). The imaging software ZEN2009 was used for operating the system and image acquisition. For processing, the ImageJ (MacBiophotonics) program was used.

**Indirect Immunofluorescence**—For indirect immunofluorescence studies in the HEK293a cell line cells were grown overnight on poly-l-lysine-coated coverslips. The cells were fixed for 15 min using ice-cold 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS, blocked in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, PAN-Bio-
tech, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, PAN-Biotech, Germany) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. The cells were washed with PBS and incubated with anti-MPST antibody (Sigma, 1:100) in PBS supplemented with 4% FBS overnight at 4 °C. The cells were washed with PBS and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen, 1:400) supplemented with 4% FBS. Cells were then washed with PBS and mounted onto slides with Mowiol (Roth). Alexa Fluor 488 was excited sequentially at 488 nm and images were taken with a depth of 12 bits in the spectral range of the emission at 519 nm. ZEN2009 software was used for operating the system and image acquisition. For processing, the ImageJ (MacBiophotonics) program was used.

RESULTS

Expression and Purification of Human TUM1 Variants—The TUM1 gene encoding for 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase is located on chromosome 22. It was predicted that two splice variants exist for TUM1, resulting in two discrete isoforms (38) designated as TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 in this work. However, the existence of the two TUM1 protein isoforms in human cells remained unproven and a biochemical characterization of the isolated proteins was not performed so far. For heterologous expression in E. coli, the two coding regions were amplified by PCR using cDNA from a human cDNA library and cloned into vector pGEX4T-1, resulting in N-terminal GST fusions of the two proteins. The major difference of the two proteins is a 20-amino acid extension at the N terminus of TUM1-Iso2, thereby flanking the 20-amino acid mitochondrial targeting sequence (Fig. 1A). Following affinity purification and cleavage of the GST tag, the two TUM1 isoforms were purified with a yield of ~10 mg/liter of E. coli culture. Eluted proteins were analyzed on SDS-PAGE. After staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R two protein bands were visualized that corresponded to the calculated molecular masses of 35.394 kDa for TUM-Iso1 and 33.322 kDa for TUM-Iso2 (Fig. 1B). The three purified proteins were judged to be ~90% pure based on SDS-PAGE analysis. Analytical gel filtration using a Superdex 200 column showed that the two TUM1 isoforms were purified as monomers (Fig. 1C).

FIGURE 1. Analytical size-exclusion chromatography and domain structure of TUM1 variants. A, domain structure of TUM1 isoforms. MTS, mitochondrial targeting sequence. Numbers represent the position in the respective amino acid sequence. Identification of targeting sequences and enzyme domains was achieved by sequence analysis using the Pfam and SignalP online tools. B, SDS-PAGE of 12-μl samples of fractions collected at maximum peak heights: 1, TUM1-Iso1; 2, TUM1-Iso2 both separated on a 12% SDS acrylamide gel; 3, TUM1-RLD2 separated on 10% SDS acrylamide gel. C, analytical size-exclusion chromatography was performed with 20 μl of each TUM1 variant on a Superdex 200 column equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, the elution signals were recorded at 280 nm: solid line, TUM1-Iso1; dashed line, TUM1-Iso2; dotted line, TUM1-RLD2. Inset, plot of the protein standard (Bio-Rad): thyroglobulin (670 kDa), γ-globulin (158 kDa), ovalbumin (44 kDa), myoglobin (17 kDa), and vitamin B12 (1.3 kDa).
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Intrinsic Fluorescence of TUM1 Variants. Tryptophane residues of purified proteins present in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, were excited photometrically at 280 nm and the intrinsic fluorescence spectra were recorded ranging from 300 to 400 nm. Solid lines, intrinsic fluorescence of proteins as purified; dashed lines, after addition of KCN; dotted lines, after the addition of 3-MP. A, TUM1-Iso1; B, TUM1-Iso2; C, TUM1-Iso2-C248A; D, TUM1-RLD2.

Temperature and pH Dependence of MPST Activity of TUM1. The activity of TUM1 was measured in 100 mM CAPS, pH 10.5, over a range of 22–61 °C in steps of 3 degrees and quantified as the amount of produced sulfide (42). Filled circles, TUM1-Iso1; empty circles, TUM1-Iso2.

Steady-state Kinetics of TUM1. The kinetic constants of the TUM1 variants were determined as bisubstrate kinetics by quantification of produced sulfide or thiocyanate (33, 42). As shown in Table 2, the $k_{cat}$ values for sulfide production by TUM1-Iso1 were determined to be $1252 \pm 16$ min$^{-1}$, with $K_{m}$ values of $0.55 \pm 0.06$ mM for 3-MP and $2.69 \pm 0.49$ mM for DTT. The corresponding catalytic efficiencies were calculated to be $2289$ min$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$ for 3-MP and $466$ min$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$ for DTT, respectively. In comparison, a $k_{cat}$ of $1211 \pm 19$ min$^{-1}$ and $K_{m}$ values of $0.54 \pm 0.03$ mM for 3-MP and $2.59 \pm 0.42$ mM for DTT were determined for TUM1-Iso2, resulting in catalytic efficiencies of $2291$ min$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$ for 3-MP and $460$ min$^{-1}$ mM$^{-1}$ for DTT.

Analysis of thiocyanate production by TUM1-Iso1 showed a $k_{cat}$ of $528 \pm 8$ min$^{-1}$ and $K_{m}$ values of $1.33 \pm 0.30$ mM for 3-MP.
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![Graph showing circular dichroism (CD) spectra of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2.](image)

**FIGURE 5.** Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2. CD spectra on TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 were recorded in a 1.00-mm path-length Suprasil quartz cell with a Jasco-J715 CD spectrometer equipped with a thermostatic temperature-controlled cuvette holder. Far-UV CD was recorded in a range of 190–260 nm using a step size of 0.5 nm with a signal averaging time of 4 s at each wavelength step. 300 μl of TUM1-Iso1 (black line) and TUM1-Iso2 (dashed line) at a concentration of 0.15 mg/ml was used and normalized to the mean residue weight of each TUM1 isoform.

**TABLE 2**

Kinetic constants of TUM1 variants

| Enzyme variant | 3-mercapto-pyruvate:diithiothreitol sulfurtransferase activity<sup>1</sup> | 3-mercapto-pyruvate:cyanide sulfurtransferase activity<sup>2</sup> |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TUM1-Iso1      | k<sub>e</sub> (min<sup>-1</sup>) 1252 ± 16, k<sub>e</sub> (3-<sup>a</sup>MP) (mM) 0.55 ± 0.06, k<sub>e</sub> (K<sub>CN</sub>) (mM) 2.69 ± 0.49 | k<sub>e</sub> (min<sup>-1</sup>) 1252 ± 16, k<sub>e</sub> (3-<sup>a</sup>MP) (mM) 0.55 ± 0.06, k<sub>e</sub> (K<sub>CN</sub>) (mM) 2.69 ± 0.49 |
| TUM1-Iso2      | k<sub>e</sub> (min<sup>-1</sup>) 1211 ± 19, k<sub>e</sub> (3-<sup>a</sup>MP) (mM) 0.54 ± 0.03, k<sub>e</sub> (K<sub>CN</sub>) (mM) 2.59 ± 0.42 | k<sub>e</sub> (min<sup>-1</sup>) 1211 ± 19, k<sub>e</sub> (3-<sup>a</sup>MP) (mM) 0.54 ± 0.03, k<sub>e</sub> (K<sub>CN</sub>) (mM) 2.59 ± 0.42 |
| TUM1-Iso2C248A | n.d.                                                                              | n.d.                                                                              |
| TUM1-RLD2      | n.d.                                                                              | n.d.                                                                              |

<sup>1</sup> Determined in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 10.5, at 37 °C using the methylene blue assay (32).
<sup>2</sup> Determined in 100 mM CAPS, pH 10.5, at 37 °C using the assay after Sörbo (33).

---

Interaction of the two human TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 isoforms with the l-cysteine desulphurase NFS1 and the rhodanese-like protein MOCS3 from humans. For detection of *in vitro* interactions of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2, the stable forms of the proteins NFS1Δ1–55, NFS1Δ1–55-ISD11, and MOCS3 were purified as described previously. SPR experiments were performed in both directions with proteins either immobilized on a CM5 chip via amine coupling or used as analytes. Mean K<sub>D</sub> values obtained from at least three independent SPR measurements for the protein pairs are listed in Table 3. Immobilized TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 were shown to interact with NFS1Δ1–55 with K<sub>D</sub> values of 0.18 ± 0.08 and 0.26 ± 0.1 μM, respectively. Also in the absence of ISD11, the stabilizing protein for NFS1, an interaction with both TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 was obtained, however, the K<sub>D</sub> values were about 10 times higher (Table 3). Similar results were obtained in the other direction, when NFS1Δ1–55-ISD11 or NFS1 were immobilized, with K<sub>D</sub> values of 2.92 ± 0.76 (TUM1-Iso1) and 2.91 ± 1.22 μM (TUM1-Iso2) for the interaction with NFS1-ISD11, and higher K<sub>D</sub> values of 4.06 ± 1.19 (TUM1-Iso1) and 2.45 ± 0.55 μM (TUM1-Iso2) for the interaction with NFS1. Additionally, an interaction of TUM1 with MOCS3 and its C-terminal rhodanese-like domain MOCS3-RLD was obtained. Measurements with immobilized MOCS3 and the TUM1 isoforms as analytes showed K<sub>D</sub> values of 3.07 ± 0.08 μM for TUM1-Iso1 and 3.58 ± 0.55 μM for TUM1-Iso2. Similar K<sub>D</sub> values were obtained with immobilized MOCS3-RLD, with a K<sub>D</sub> of 2.61 ± 0.33 μM for TUM1-Iso1 and a K<sub>D</sub> of 3.19 ± 1.80 for TUM1-Iso2. This shows that the interaction of both proteins is mainly mediated by the MOCS3-RLD, which contains an active-site cysteine for sulfur transfer. In comparison, with BSA or *E. coli* lscS no interaction was determined.

**TABLE 3**

Results from SPR measurements using purified proteins

| Immobilized protein<sup>a</sup> | Protein partner<sup>a</sup> | K<sub>D</sub><sup>c</sup> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| TUM1-Iso1                    | NFS1Δ1–55                | 1.62 ± 0.14      |
| TUM1-Iso1                    | NFS1Δ1–55-ISD11          | 0.18 ± 0.08      |
| TUM1-Iso2                    | E. coli lscS             | ND<sup>d</sup>   |
| TUM1-Iso2                    | TUM1-Iso1                | 4.06 ± 1.19      |
| TUM1-Iso2                    | TUM1-Iso2                | 2.45 ± 0.55      |
| TUM1-Iso2                    | BSA                      | ND               |
| TUM1-Iso2                    | E. coli lscS             | ND               |
| NFS1Δ1–55                    | TUM1-Iso1                | 2.92 ± 0.76      |
| NFS1Δ1–55                    | TUM1-Iso2                | 2.91 ± 1.22      |
| NFS1Δ1–55                    | BSA                      | ND               |
| NFS1Δ1–55                    | E. coli lscS             | ND               |
| MOCS3                        | TUM1-Iso1                | 3.07 ± 0.08      |
| MOCS3                        | TUM1-Iso2                | 3.58 ± 0.55      |
| MOCS3-RLD                    | E. coli lscS             | ND               |
| MOCS3-RLD                    | TUM1-Iso1                | 2.61 ± 0.33      |
| MOCS3-RLD                    | TUM1-Iso2                | 3.19 ± 1.8       |
| MOCS3-RLD                    | BSA                      | ND               |
| MOCS3-RLD                    | E. coli lscS             | ND               |

<sup>a</sup> Proteins were immobilized via amine coupling.
<sup>b</sup> Proteins were injected by application of the KINJECT protocol. Concentrations were in the range of 0.3–20 μM. Regeneration of the flow cell was achieved by injection of 20 mM HCl.
<sup>c</sup> K<sub>D</sub> values and standard deviations were obtained by global fitting to a 1:1 binding model from at least two independent measurements.
<sup>d</sup> ND, no binding detectable.

---

Analysis of Protein-Protein Interactions by Surface Plasmon Resonance Experiments—Because an involvement of TUM1 in sulfur transfer was postulated, we wanted to analyze the direct interaction of the two human TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 isoforms with the l-cysteine desulphurase NFS1 and the rhodanese-like protein MOCS3 from humans. In comparison, with BSA or *E. coli* lscS no interaction was determined.
Analysis of the \( \alpha \)-Cysteine Desulfurase Activity of NFS1 in the Presence of TUM1 and MOCS3—To analyze whether TUM1-Iso1 or TUM1-Iso2 stimulated \( \alpha \)-cysteine desulfurase activity of human NFS1, each isoform was incubated with the stable NFS1\( \Delta1–55 \)/ISD11 complex and sulfide production was determined by methylene blue quantification (23, 32). The results in Fig. 6 show that neither TUM1 nor MOCS3 alone enhanced the \( \alpha \)-cysteine desulfurase activity of NFS1\( \Delta1–55 \)/ISD11. However, when both proteins were present in 4-fold excess, the sulfide production was increased about 2-fold in comparison to the activity of NFS1\( \Delta1–55 \)/ISD11 alone. This shows that a ternary complex is likely formed with the four proteins, resulting in more efficient sulfide production by NFS1. By comparison, TUM1-Iso1, TUM1-Iso2, or MOCS3 alone were inactive with \( \alpha \)-cysteine as sulfur source production (data not shown).

Influence of TUM1 on In Vitro MPT Production—Because an interaction of the TUM1 isoforms with MOCS3 was identified above, and because MOCS3 has an additional role in Moco biosynthesis, it was of interest to analyze whether TUM1 stimulated \( \alpha \)-cysteine desulfurase activity of NFS1. A defined synthesis system was utilized that contained excess cPMP, Mg-ATP, varying combinations of the purified proteins NFS1\( \Delta1–55 \)/ISD11, TUM1-Iso1, or TUM1-Iso2, MOCS3, and MOCS2A and \( E. \ coli \) MoaE, and 0.4 mM sodium sulfide, sodium thiosulfate, 3-MP or \( \alpha \)-cysteine as sulfur sources. \( E. \ coli \) MoaE was used instead of the human homolog MOCS2B, because the former was shown to exhibit a higher activity with MOCS2A \textit{in vitro} than the latter (28). The formed MPT was quantified after its conversion to the fluorescent oxidation product Form A by acidic iodine and alkaline phosphatase treatment (34). This assay does not contain DTT and, thus, the sulfur transfer reaction is specific from one protein to another.

Maximal MPT production was obtained for assays consisting of MOCS3, MOCS2A, and MoaE with sodium sulfide as direct sulfur source or sodium thiosulfate as substrate for MOCS3 (27, 43). The fluorescence intensity for the produced Form A in these assay mixtures was set to 100%, and all further assay mixtures were related to this value. To determine whether TUM1 is able to transfer its persulfide sulfur to MOCS3, the two TUM1 isoforms were included in the assay using 3-MP as sulfur source. In these assay mixtures a relative amount of Form A of 28.0% with TUM1-Iso1 and 30.0% with TUM1-Iso2 was obtained. In assay mixtures containing NFS1\( \Delta1–55 \)/ISD11 and \( \alpha \)-cysteine as sulfur donor for MOCS3, the relative amount of detected Form A was 51%. In contrast, when TUM1-Iso1 or TUM1-Iso2 were additionally present using NFS1\( \Delta1–55 \)/ISD11 and \( \alpha \)-cysteine as sulfur source, the relative amount of detected Form A increased to 82.7% in the presence of TUM1-Iso1 and 77.5% in the presence of TUM1-Iso2 (Fig. 7). These results show that NFS1\( \Delta1–55 \)/ISD11, MOCS3, and TUM1 are required to increase the \( \alpha \)-cysteine desulfurase activity of NFS1, resulting in a better sulfur transfer to acceptor molecules (as measured by the amount of produced MPT).

Indirect Immunofluorescence of TUM1 in HEK293a Cells—To analyze the subcellular localization of the two isoforms of TUM1 in human cells, we first performed indirect immunofluorescence for total TUM1 using HEK293a cells. Using an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody we were able to...
detect TUM1 in the cytosol and mitochondria (Fig. 8), which is consistent with the previously obtained results (15). As control, we used DAPI for visualization of the nuclei and MitoTracker DeepRed for visualization of the mitochondria. The yellow color in the merged picture (Fig. 8, right panel) reflects the colocalization of the MitoTracker DeepRed and the Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence and shows that TUM1 is localized in the mitochondria. The same results were obtained with HeLa cells (data not shown).

**Immunodetection of TUM1 in Fractionated Cell Lysates—** The localization studies of TUM1 were unable to detect a different localization of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2. To individually analyze the localization of each TUM1 isoform separately, we performed subcellular fractionation of HEK293a cells with subsequent immunoblot detection. We detected two bands in the cytosolic fraction, which were detected by the anti-MPST antibody, in which the band with a molecular mass of 35 kDa corresponds to TUM1-Iso1 and the band with a molecular mass of 33 kDa corresponds to TUM1-Iso2 (Fig. 9A). The lower band with a size of 33 kDa corresponding to TUM1-Iso2 was additionally detected in the mitochondrial fraction. For the analysis of the quality of the subcellular fractionation an anti-citrate synthase antibody was used as a mitochondrial marker (Fig. 9A). We obtained the same result for fractionated HeLa cells (Fig. 9B). Furthermore, we analyzed the cross-reactivity of the anti-MPST antibody with purified thiosulfate sulfurreductase, which is present only in the mitochondria (5). Fig. 9C shows that the anti-MPST antibody did not cross-react with the purified thiosulfate sulfurreductase protein, but indeed with purified TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2. These data show that the two TUM1 isoforms have a distinct localization pattern with TUM1-Iso1 localized in the cytosol and TUM1-Iso2 localized both in the cytosol and mitochondria.

**Detection of in Vivo Protein-Protein Interactions with the Split-EGFP System—** To directly analyze the cellular interactions of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 with MOC3 and NFS1 in human cells, we used the split enhanced green fluorescence protein (split-EGFP) complementation assay. For this the N-terminal 1–157 amino acids of EGFP or the C-terminal 158–238 amino acids of EGFP were fused to TUM1-Iso1, TUM1-Iso2, NFS1, MOCS3, and the two plasmids con-

---

**FIGURE 7. In vitro MPT production.** MPT was produced using an in vitro system consisting of 5 μM MoaE, 15 μM MOCS2A, 5 μM MOC3, 20 μM NFS1/ID11, 10 μM TUM1-Iso1 or Iso2 and cPMP in excess. Reaction mixtures were set up in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and incubated for 60 min at room temperature. Following oxidation of MPT, Form A fluorescence was quantified after separation on an HPLC C-18 reversed phase column.

**FIGURE 8. Cellular localization of TUM1 using indirect immunofluorescence in HEK293a cells.** Dual localization of TUM1 in the cytosol and the mitochondria using anti-MPST antibody and a Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody. The nuclei were visualized with DAPI stain (blue) and the mitochondria were visualized with MitoTracker DeepRed (red). The yellow color shown in the merged pictures in the right panel indicate colocalization of MitoTracker DeepRed and Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence. The scale bar represents a range of 10 μm.

**FIGURE 9. Immunodetection of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2.** A, cytosol (1) and mitochondria (2) fractions were obtained from HEK293a cells. Proteins of each fraction were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-MPST antibody for the localization of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2. Anti-citrate synthase antibody was used as a marker protein for the mitochondrial matrix. B, cytosol (1) and mitochondria (2) fractions were obtained from HeLa cells. C, Western blot analysis of recombinant TUM1-Iso1 (1), TUM1-Iso2 (2), and thiosulfate sulfurreductase (3) with anti-MPST antibody. Proteins of each fraction were analyzed by immunodetection using anti-MPST antibody for the localization of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2.
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In this study we characterized for the first time two isoforms of TUM1, which are derived from two different splice variants. So far, all previous characterizations of TUM1 did not consider that TUM1 exists in two different isoforms in the cell. The two TUM1 isoforms are distinguished by a 20-amino acid extension at the N terminus of TUM1-Iso1, which results in capping of the mitochondrial targeting sequence of the protein. Mitochondrial TUM1-Iso2 is consequently about 45 amino acids shorter after cleavage of the mitochondrial localization signal as compared with cytosolic TUM1-Iso1.
We first characterized the purified TUM1 isoforms after heterologous expression and purification from *E. coli*, to differentiate their stability and kinetic behavior. Both proteins showed similar properties in activity, catalytic constants, protein stability, pH dependence, and temperature optimum, showing that the general characteristics of the protein are not influenced by Fig. 11. Analysis of TUM-Iso2 interactions with NFS1, NFS1∆1–55, and MOCS3 using the split-EGFP system in HEK293a cells. Subcellular EGFP assembly of different split-EGFP fusion proteins was analyzed in HEK293a cells by confocal fluorescent microscopy. The following proteins were expressed after cotransfection (assembly of EGFP(1–157) and EGFP(158–238) resulting in a green pseudocolor): A, TUM1-Iso2-EGFP(1–157) and NFS1-EGFP(158–238); B, TUM1-Iso2-EGFP(1–157) and NFS1∆1–55-EGFP(158–238); C, TUM1-Iso2-EGFP(1–157) and MOCS3-EGFP(158–238). Mitochondria of HEK293a cells were visualized with MitoTracker DeepRed (red). The nuclei were visualized with DAPI stain (blue). Merged pictures are shown in the right panel and the yellow color indicates colocalization of MitoTracker DeepRed and EGFP fluorescence. The scale bar represents a range of 10 μm.

Fig. 12. Model of the interaction network of TUM1-Iso1, TUM1-Iso2, NFS1-SD11, and MOCS3. For TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 a different localization pattern was identified. TUM1-Iso1 is exclusively localized in the cytosol where it interacts with MOCS3 and NFS1 (with or without ISD11) for sulfur transfer for the biosynthesis of Moco and the thiolation of tRNA. In contrast, TUM1-Iso2 has a dual localization in both mitochondria and the cytosol. In mitochondria, TUM1-Iso2 interacts with NFS1-SD11, whereas in the cytosol TUM1-Iso2 interacts with MOCS3 but not with NFS1-SD11.
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the 20-amino acid extension on TUM1-Iso1. To exclude the fact that the protein purified from E. coli is not correctly folded, we additionally purified both TUM1 variants from SF9 insect cells as a N-terminal His6 tag fusion. The purified protein from the eukaryotic host showed the same pH dependence, excluding the fact that the His6 tag present on the protein contributes to the difference in the pH-dependent catalytic reaction (data not shown). However, because the protein purified from the SF9 cells was about 10-fold less active, all further experiments were performed with the protein expressed and purified from E. coli.

Our results in human cell lines unambiguously prove the existence of the two splice variants TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2. However, surprisingly we identified a different cellular localization of both proteins. Our results show that TUM1-Iso1 is exclusively localized in the cytosol, whereas TUM1-Iso2 shows a dual localization both in the cytosol and mitochondria (Fig. 12). The mechanism of how TUM1-Iso2 remains in the cytosol or whether it is targeted back from mitochondria to the cytosol is unknown and has to be elucidated in future studies. Several mechanisms for the eclipsed localization of proteins in the cell have been discussed previously (50–52), including the reverse transport to the cytosol, the incorrect folding in the cytosol or the binding of protein partners, which results in an inhibition of the mitochondrial transport, e.g. by blocking the targeting sequence. However, in our studies TUM1-Iso2 is processed and is localized in the cytosol without the mitochondrial targeting sequence. Thus, in future studies, the enigma of dual localized proteins has to be resolved in detail.

For yeast Tum1p, a role in the sulfur transfer reaction for the thiolation of cytosolic tRNAs was proposed, because in a reverse genetic approach Tum1p was identified to be essential for the formation of mcms2s3U at the wobble position of U34 in tRNAs for Gln, Glu, and Lys (25). This mechanism involves the initial sulfur mobilization by the l-cysteine desulfurase Nfs1p and further activation of Urm1p by Uba4p, ending in the thio-carboxylation of Urm1p. In contrast to humans, these proteins exclusively perform the sulfur transfer reaction for the thiolation of uridine in tRNAs. The second interaction partner of Uba4p, the MOCS2A homologue, is not present in yeast, because yeast cells lost the ability to synthesize the molybdenum cofactor.

Human NFS1 is also a dual localized protein, because its major function is FeS cluster biosynthesis in mitochondria. The function of NFS1 in the cytosol was described to be a sulfur donor for MOCS3, a dual function protein that interacts with URM1 for RNA thiolation and with MOCS2A for the biosynthesis of Moco (22, 53). Thus, to characterize the role of TUM1 in humans, we analyzed the interaction of both isoforms with MOCS3 and NFS1. In vitro interaction studies using the purified proteins showed that TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 both interacted with MOCS3 and NFS1, and with the NFS1-ISD11 complex with only slight variations in the dissociation constants. Studies on the enzyme activities showed, that TUM1, MOCS3, and NFS1-ISD11 likely form a ternary complex for effective sulfur transfer from the l-cysteine desulfurase NFS1. This was proven by analyzing the NFS1 activity for effective sulfide production in the methylene blue assay, or by the detection of the effective MPT production in a complex in vitro system containing all the components required for the conversion of cPMP to MPT in Moco biosynthesis. In both cases, the highest activity was obtained when all four proteins (TUM1, MOCS3, and NFS1-ISD11) were present.

For the detection of protein-protein interactions in human cells, the split-EGFP system was used. In vivo in HEK293a and HeLa cells, an interaction of TUM1-Iso1 with both MOCS3 and NFS1 in the cytosol was determined, consistent with the interaction studies determined in vitro. However, for TUM1-Iso2 a different pattern was obtained. Here, TUM1-Iso2 interacted with NFS1 only in mitochondria and not in the cytosol, where an interaction of TUM1-Iso2 with MOCS3 was readily determined. This observation might point to different roles of TUM1-Iso1 and TUM1-Iso2 in both compartments. In mitochondria, TUM1-Iso2 interacts with NFS1 for sulfur transfer for taurine-modified tRNAs. Here, NFS1 also has a dual role, because on the one hand it mobilizes the sulfur for the biosynthesis of FeS clusters, and on the other hand an involvement of NFS1 for the synthesis of thiolated mitochondrial tRNAs was described. Thus, TUM1-Iso2 might be involved in the interaction of NFS1 to direct sulfur transfer to the tRNA thiolation, e.g. by blocking the interaction site for ISCU on NFS1.

By comparison, the functional roles for each of the TUM1 isoforms can be expected as different in the cytosol. To date, the principal function of NFS1 in the cytosol has been to perform sulfur transfer to MOCS3 for Moco biosynthesis and the thiolation of cytoplasmic tRNAs (53). In this compartment, TUM1-Iso1 interacts with both MOCS3 and NFS1. The additional presence of TUM1-Iso2 and its ability to interact only with MOCS3, and not with NFS1, might enable an additional route for sulfur transfer from alternative substrates like 3-MP or by other, so far not identified interaction partners (Fig. 12). Here, the difference to yeast cells is obvious, where TUM1 isoforms are not present, which might not be necessary for effective sulfur transfer, because only the route for tRNA thiolation exists, and not the additional route for sulfur transfer for Moco formation. Thus in humans, the additional splice variant of TUM1 might ensure an additional sulfur transfer route to the interaction partner MOCS3, which might be necessary due to its additional involvement in Moco biosynthesis. In total, TUM1 seems to be a multifunctional protein for which different splice variants exist, which contribute to different localization patterns and multifunctional roles both in the cytosol and mitochondria of human cells (Fig. 12).
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