Surfactant Mediated Biodegradation of Aromatic Hydrocarbons Using Rhamnolipid Producing Bacterial Isolate
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Abstract

Aromatic hydrocarbons (toluene and xylene) are highly water soluble and their contamination with groundwater is a serious issue. Hydrocarbons are growth substrates for microorganisms which use them as a sole source of carbon and energy. Surfactants increase the solubility and dissolution of hydrocarbons thus making them susceptible to degradation. In this study, biodegradation of toluene and xylene using a soil bacterium was evaluated in the presence of chemical surfactant. The colonies that were tested positive for xylene and toluene degradation in the primary screening were analyzed by 16s rRNA sequencing and identified as Bacillus cereus. The presence of chemical surfactant enhanced the growth of bacterial isolate and its bioremediation activity indicated the possible role of the tween in bioremediation process. It was observed that pH of the medium has reached near neutral from initial pH of 8.2 at the end of 21 days cultivation period. Addition of hydrocarbons in the mineral salt medium (MSM) has enhanced the bacterial growth as confirmed by increasing optical density throughout the cultivation period. Increase in protein content of bacterial isolate indicated its metabolic activity in the presence of hydrocarbons. Similarly, increase in the rhamnolipid concentration of B. cereus grown in MSM along with hydrocarbons and chemical surfactant indicated the role of rhamnolipids during the biodegradation of xylene and toluene.
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Introduction

Aromatic hydrocarbons are molecules containing one or more aromatic rings in their structure and are the most prevalent pollutants in the environment. These compounds are widely used as fuels and industrial solvents and they enter the environment through oil spillage, fossil fuel combustion and natural petroleum seepage. Bioaccumulation of aromatic hydrocarbons in food chains makes them as potential human health hazards. Biodegradation is the removal and transformation of contaminants using living organisms into harmless substances. Microorganisms can degrade environmental pollutants by transforming them into harmless metabolites [1]. It is noteworthy to mention that aromatic compounds are growth substrates for microorganisms which use them as a sole source of carbon and energy. The breakdown of aromatic hydrocarbons by ring cleavage through microbial activity is an important step in carbon cycle, [2] and the degradation involving microorganisms may be aerobic or anaerobic process. Aerobic degradation involves oxidation of alkyl side chain of the aromatic ring to produce carboxylic acids [3], whereas anaerobic process involves enzymatic degradation [4]. Toluene is an aromatic hydrocarbon and causes various health hazards [5-7]. Xylene is mainly used as solvent in industries and medical technologies. Xylene can enter into soil and water bodies where it remains for months before transforming into other chemicals. Inhalation of xylene vapor causes depression of the nervous system [8]. Both toluene and xylene are highly water soluble and their contamination with groundwater is a serious issue. Surfactants increase the solubility and dissolution of hydrocarbons thus making them susceptible to degradation. Majority of the surfactants are derived from petroleum products which further causes environmental problems. Biosurfactants produced by microorganisms are alternate to synthetic surfactants as they are not only eco-friendly but also functions well at extreme conditions. Rhamnolipids are a class of biosurfactants consisting of one or two rhamnose molecules with a glycosidic linkage [9,10]. When hydrocarbons are used as carbon source, some microorganisms produce rhamnolipids to utilize them [11,12].
(toluene and xylene) using a soil bacterium was evaluated in the presence of chemical surfactant. Rhamnolipid synthesis by the bacteria during the degradation process was also evaluated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Isolation of Aromatic Hydrocarbon Degrading Bacteria**

The soil which was contaminated with crude oil and petroleum hydrocarbons for over 6 months was selected as the source to isolate the aromatic hydrocarbon degrading bacteria. About 0.1gm of soil sample was suspended in 0.9ml of distilled water and subjected to serial dilution. The tubes 10⁻⁸ and 10⁻¹⁰ were then plated onto the mineral salt medium (MSM). The plates were sprayed with toluene (10%) and xylene (10%) and incubated at 25°C for 5-10 days. The organisms that formed clear zones around the colonies were considered as hydrocarbon degraders. The isolates were further screened by overlay technique [13]. 0.1ml of sample aliquots was added to the plates and the inoculum was spread over the agar surface. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 48 hours and the grown predominant colonies were selected for further studies.

**Identification of Aromatic Hydrocarbon Degrading Strains**

The isolates obtained were then screened for bioremediation of aromatic hydrocarbons (xylene and toluene) using mineral salt medium (MSM) (1.8g K₂HPO₄, 4.0 g NH₄Cl, 0.2g MgSO₄.7H₂O, 0.1g NaCl, 0.01g FeSO₄.7H₂O in 1 L distilled water) in the presence and absence of surfactant (Tween 40). About 10 ml of the inoculum was added to 250 ml conical flask containing 100 ml of mineral salt liquid medium.

- Flask 1: MSM + Inoculum (control)
- Flask 2: MSM + Inoculum + AH
- Flask 3: MSM + Inoculum + AH + Toluene
- Flask 4: MSM + Inoculum + Toluene
- Flask 5: MSM + AH (negative control)

The experiments were done in triplicates and the flasks were incubated at 37°C for 21 days. The samples were analyzed at regular intervals (7th day, 14th day and 21st day) for pH of the medium, growth curve, total proteins and rhamnolipid production.

**Total Protein Estimation**

Total protein of the cultures was estimated by following Lowry’s method. Briefly, 1ml of the culture was taken from each sample and centrifuged at 10,000rpm for 20 min. The pellet obtained was washed with Ringer’s solution and the pellets were resuspended in 4.6 M NaOH to boiling temperature for 10 mins. Total protein estimation was estimated by Lowry’s method using BSA as standard.

**Rhamnolipid Extraction and Quantification**

Rhamnolipid from the bacterial culture was extracted by centrifuging the culture (10,000 g) and extracting the supernatant with 1 ml of chloroform and ethanol (2:1 v/v). The organic phase was evaporated to dryness and the precipitated was dissolved in 0.5 ml of water. The quantification of rhamnolipids was done by adding 0.9 ml of 0.19% orcinol (in 53% H₂SO₄) to 0.1 ml of sample. The solution mixture was heated for 30 min at 80°C and the samples were cooled at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 421 nm in a UV-Vis spectrophotometer and the rhamnolipid concentration was calculated from standard curves prepared with L-rhamnose (0-50 mg/L) and expressed as rhamnose equivalent [14].

**16s rRNA Sequencing**

The colonies isolated and tested positive for the hydrocarbon degrading assay were purified for identification. DNA from the isolates was extracted from the colonies and 16s rRNA sequencing was carried out using universal primers 27F (5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3’) and 1392R (5’-GGTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3’) (Eurofins, Bangalore).

**Results**

The predominant colonies appeared during initial screening was labeled as X2 (xylene degrader) and T1 (toluene degrader) and subjected to hydrocarbon degradation assay. The assay was carried out in four different flasks having various combinations as mentioned earlier. Both the medium and inoculum were withdrawn at 7th, 14th and 21st day of incubation and analyzed for degradation assays.

**pH of the Medium**

Medium from the inoculated flasks was collected at regular intervals to determine the pH as a function of bioremediation process. In all the flasks, pH was reduced with increased incubation period and the pH of the flask that has mineral salt medium (MSM)+inoculum+xylene+tween had a pH of 8.2, 7.89 and 7.12 at 7th, 14th and 21st days of incubation respectively. In the case of toluene degradation, flask with MSM+inoculum+toluene had a pH of 8.35 at 7th day and was reduced to a pH of 7.11 at the end of incubation period. pH of the other flasks during the cultivation period is represented in Figure 1a & 1b.

**Bacterial Growth Curve**

Bacterial growth in the inoculated flasks was determined at regular intervals through colorimetric estimation using the flask containing mineral salt medium and inoculum as blank at 620 nm. The optical density values were increased with incubation period indicating the growth of bacteria in the medium. Highest OD value was observed in flask containing MSM+inoculum+xylene+tween.
which was followed by MSM+inoculum+xylene. For toluene degradation, flask containing MSM+inoculum+toluene recorded

![Figure 1a: pH values of xylene containing medium.](image1)

![Figure 1b: pH values of toluene containing medium.](image2)

![Figure 2a: Bacterial growth as measured by OD values (A<sub>620</sub>) on xylene containing medium.](image3)
Figure 2b: Bacterial growth as measured by OD values ($A_{620}$) on toluene containing medium.

Total Protein Estimation

The total protein content of aromatic hydrocarbon degrading bacteria grown under various combinations was calculated using BSA as standard ($R^2=0.993$). Total protein concentration of strain X2 from the flask containing MSM+X2+xylene+tween was in the range of 0.105-0.189 µg ml$^{-1}$. In the flask containing MSM+T1+toluene, highest protein content was recorded (0.307 µg ml$^{-1}$) at the end of cultivation period (Figure 3a & 3b).

Figure 3a: Protein concentration of xylene degrading bacteria.

Figure 3b: Protein concentration of toluene degrading bacteria.
Rhamnolipids Synthesis

Synthesis of rhamnolipids by the bacterial isolate grown in the presence of aromatic hydrocarbon was quantified by colorimetric method. The presence of synthetic surfactant (tween 40) in the growth medium had increased the production of rhamnolipids in both xylene and toluene containing media. The concentration of rhamnolipid in xylene degrading bacteria was in the range of 0.14-0.23mg l⁻¹ and the production was three-fold increased with the addition of tween (Figure 4a & 4b). However, there was a 42.8% increase in the rhamnolipid synthesis by the toluene degrading bacteria at the end of 21 days incubation period in the flask containing MSM+inoculum+toluene+tween (0.34mg l⁻¹) than flask without tween (0.238mg l⁻¹).

Figure 4a: Rhamnolipid synthesis by xylene degrading bacteria.

Figure 4b: Rhamnolipid synthesis by toluene degrading bacteria.

16s rRNA Sequencing

The colonies that were tested positive for xylene and toluene degradation were identified by 16s rRNA sequencing. The sequence obtained was then checked for sequence similarity among the organisms for identity. The sequence was then compared using Blastn and the query was found to have 99% identity with Bacillus cereus (Figure 5a & 5b).
Soils contaminated with aromatic hydrocarbons are a major threat to the soil ecosphere. The low bioavailability of polycyclic hydrocarbons to microorganisms makes them difficult to undergo bioremediation [15]. There are several microbes which are found useful in biodegrading such contaminants. Bacterial consortium involving *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was reported to degrade aromatic hydrocarbons effectively [16]. In this study, bacterial isolates from the soil samples were screened for the bioremediation activity, and only two isolates were found to degrade the tested aromatic hydrocarbons. On the basis of morphological features and 16S rRNA analysis both strains were assigned to *Bacillus cereus*. In order to find the efficacy of degradation, flasks with different combinations of hydrocarbons were used. Tween 40 was used as surfactant to solubilize the hydrocarbons and four different flasks were used separately for both xylene and toluene.

**Discussion**

![Chromatogram of the 16s rRNA sequencing of the isolated colonies.](image1)

![Neighbor-joining method cladogram showing phylogenetic relationship between strains (strains X1 and T2) and other related reference microorganisms based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.](image2)
degradation assay. The presence of chemical surfactant enhanced the growth of bacteria and its bioremediation activity indicating the possible role of the tween in bioremediation process. For bioremediation, the optimal pH is between 6.5 and 8.5. Most of the microbial processes are associated with the addition of electron donors and as such tends to decrease the pH. The pH of all the experimental samples were maintained at about pH 6.0-6.5 pH 8.2, which was the optimum for bioremediation. The pH of all samples was found to be gradually decreased from 7th day to 21st day. The reduction in pH levels in the experiment in agreement with [17] who indicated the degradation of hydrocarbons is greater in neutral medium. On the contrary, [18,19] found that basic pH is suitable for bacterial degradation of hydrocarbons. The effect of aromatic hydrocarbons on the growth of bacterial isolate was studied in MSM containing toluene and xylene with or without surfactant. The results showed that addition of hydrocarbons could enhance the bacterial growth as confirmed by increasing optical density values as presented in Figure 2a and 2b. The bacterial growth curve was increased in the medium supplemented with both xylene and toluene which proved bioremediation of the added organic hydrocarbons. It also showed an enhanced activity in the presence of tween, which might say of the possible role of the tween as an enhancer of the bioremediation.

Increase in protein content of the bacterial isolate in this study during the cultivation period indicated its metabolic activity in the presence of hydrocarbons. This is in agreement with the findings of Das and Mukherjee [20] and Madri and Lin [21] who had reported that protein content was increased with incubation time. Similar result was also reported by Sepahi [22] where the total protein estimation showed maximal increase during bioremediation. Surfactants increase the solubility and bioavailability of hydrocarbons thereby increasing their biodegradation [23,24]. Biosurfactants are produced by a variety of microorganisms and rhamnolipids are the most studied for bioremediation of organic pollutants [25-30], reported the rhamnolipids enhanced biodegradation of oil by bacterial consortium. In this study, increase in the rhamnolipid concentration of B. cereus is indicating the role of rhamnolipids in the biodegradation of xylene and toluene. Bacillus species are more tolerant to high levels of hydrocarbons and hence could be effective in bioremediation of oil spills [31]. The identification of soil isolate as Bacillus cereus in this study is evident that the organism could be effective in degrading hydrocarbon contamination. However, no tests were performed during this study to identify if the bacterial isolate was a true hydrocarbon degrader by determining the aromatic hydrocarbon levels and their metabolic products at the end of cultivation period [32]. The addition of aromatic hydrocarbons into the mineral salt medium induced the bacterial growth and rhamnolipid synthesis in Bacillus cereus. The inclusion of chemical surfactant has increased bioavailability of xylene and toluene to the bacterial isolate which was evidenced by the higher levels of growth, protein content and rhamnolipid synthesis in B. cereus.
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