Effect of Vermicompost on the Growth Performance of Indian Major Carps in Fish Rearing Pond
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Abstract

Different organic manures vermicompost @ 15000, vermicompost @ 10000, cow dung @ 10000, poultry manure @ 6000, pig manure @ 4000 kg/ha/yr and control used to monitored their effect on growth performance of Catla catla, Labeo rohita and Cirrhinus mrigala. The results revealed that all the three species gained maximum length in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr followed by vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr, cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr, poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr, pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr and control. Among the 3 species C. mrigala showed maximum increase in body length which was 33.4 cm followed by L. rohita and C. catla was 32.1, 31.3 cm in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr treatment. The minimum length of C. mrigala, L. rohita, C. catla observed in pond treated control. But in case of C. mrigala, the result revealed that the maximum increase in body length in vermicompost > poultry manure > cow dung > pig manure and control, respectively. All the three species gained maximum growth in vermicompost. Among the 3 species L. rohita showed maximum growth followed by C. catla and C. mrigala. There was two times more growth of Indian major carps in pond treated with vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr as compared to control.
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1. Introduction

Fisheries sector is very important not only as a main source of animal protein to ensure food security (Sheikh and Sheikh, 2004) but also to improve employment and income for poverty elimination in developing countries like India. The most important freshwater culture able fishes of India are the major Indian carps like Catla catla, Labeo rohita and Cirrhinus mrigala. Some exotic species such as Cyprinus carpio, Ctenopharyngodon idella and silver carp Hypophthalmichthys molitrix are also introduced in India. However common combinations of fish for composite fish culture system are L. rohita, C. catla and C. mrigala. Fish production can be increased treatment by feeding and pond fertilization. Optimum fertilization rate is the amount of organic matter that can be cost effective and utilized in a pond ecosystem without having harmful effect on water quality as well as on fish growth. Supplementary feeding plays an important role in intensive and semi-intensive fish culture system. Pond fertilization is a management protocol to enhance biological productivity using both organic manure and inorganic fertilizers. Fertilization of a fish pond actually increases the production of beneficial phytoplankton, microscopic free-floating algae that act as the basis of the aquatic food chain which, in turn increases the amount of harvestable fish. In some cases, one species enhances the food availability for other species and thus increases the total fish yield per unit area. When organic fertilizers decompose in the water, varying amounts of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium (N, P, K) are released which serves as primary nutrients for the phytoplankton community. Organic fertilization also stimulates the growth of decomposers such as bacteria and fungi. Bacteria and fungi are critical to the breakdown of the toxic waste products that can accumulate with the use of prepared feeds. However, animal manure takes some time for its decomposition and release of nutrients in the body of water. Beside this, higher doses as well as high ambient temperature may make the water body unsuitable for fish culture by adversely altering its hydro-biological characteristics. The effects of poultry manure, digested sewage sludge cake and cow-dung on plankton production and fish growth were evaluated. Both plankton production and fish growth (C. catla and C. carpio var. communis) were found to be highest in the poultry manure treatment, followed by the sludge cake and cow dung treatments. Compared with the cow...
dung treatment, total fish production was 50.6% more in the poultry manure and 19.0% more in the sludge-cake treatment. In terms of dry weight of the manures, the fertilizer (manure) coefficients fertilizer (kg)/fish production (kg) were 5.2, 6.6 and 7.8, respectively, for poultry manure, sludge cake and cow dung.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental Set Up/Design

A series of experiments were carried out using earthen ponds with the size of 20 ft × 22 ft. Six different treatments with four replication were maintained in ponds. To fertilize the ponds, semi dried pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr (T2), poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr (T3), cow dung @10,000 kg/ha/yr (T4), vermicompost @10,000 kg/ha/yr (T5), vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr (T6) and control (T1) were applied at 25% initial and remaining split doses were given at biweekly intervals in ponds. Fingerlings were acclimatized in aquarium for 10 days prior to the commencement of experiment. During the acclimatization period, the fry were fed on supplementary diet. Fry with mean body weight ranging between 0.82 to 1.42 g were randomly distributed @ 30 fish per pond in 3:4:3 ratios with four replication of each treatment. All fish were fed daily twice @ 2% BWd for the whole experimental duration of 12 months. Fish growth was monitored after regular 15 days interval in term of weight and length gain and feeding rate adjusted accordingly. At the end of the experiment, the growth variables including weight and length from all the treatments were recorded individually and processed for subsequent analysis according to (APHA, 1998).

2.2 Estimation of Growth Parameters

2.2.1 Live Weight Gain

Weight gain was measured in terms of differences between final weight and Initial weight.

\[ \text{Weight gain} = (W_2 - W_1) \]

Where,

- \( W_1 \): Initial weight (g).
- \( W_2 \): Final weight (g).

2.2.2 Percent Weight Gain

\[ \text{Percent weight gain} = \left( \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_1} \right) \times 100 \]

2.2.3 Specific Growth Rate

Specific growth rate (% wt gain/day)

\[ = \frac{\text{In final body weight (g)} - \text{In initial body weight (g)}}{\text{Culture period (days)}} \times 100 \]

2.2.4 Live Length Gain

Length was measured in terms of differences between final length and initial length.

\[ \text{Length gain} = (L_2 - L_1) \]

Where,

- \( L_2 \): Final length (cm).
- \( L_1 \): Initial length (cm).

2.2.5 Statistical Analysis

The obtained results were analyzed statistically using Completely Randomized Design (CRD) to evaluate differences among different treatments means at 0.05 significance levels.

3. Results and Discussion

In India, agriculture and livestock work in integration, where livestock waste is the most commonly used organic manure in agriculture and aquaculture. Hence, the small scale on farm integration of vermicomposting of livestock and agriculture waste with the rural aquaculture holds ample scope for developing economically and ecologically sustainable farming system for the socio-economic upliftment of rural population in developing countries. In the present investigation the body weight of \( C. \) catla, \( L. \) rohita and \( C. \) mrigalain vermicompost @10,000 kg/ha/yr was found to be maximum increase as compared to vermicompost @ 15,000, cow dung @ 10,000, poultry manure @ 6,000 and pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr. It was found that mean weight of all these species were increased in first two months i.e. September and October but decreased during November to February due to cold conditions of weather. The body length of \( C. \) catla, \( L. \) rohita and \( C. \) mrigala increased maximum in September and October in vermicompost > cow dung > poultry manure > pig manure and less in control (Table 1). From these results, it seemed that vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr was found to be maximum increase as compared to vermicompost @ 15,000, cow dung @ 10,000, poultry manure @ 6,000 and pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr. Bansal (2010) reported that growth of common carp was higher in fertilized pond with the provision of supplemental feed than in control. Deolalikar and Mitra (2004) have reported comparable efficacy of vermicompost with other commercial manures used in aquaculture. Vermicompost has also been reported to result in higher survival and growth of aquatic organisms including fish and prawn (Kumar et al., 2007) without adversely affecting the water quality. The slow
The value of Specific Growth Rate (SGR) in pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr and poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr was found to be maximum 5.96, 7.40 and 8.06 % per day in September and 1.60, 1.40 and 1.33 % per day moderate in October. It was found low during November to January, 1.53, 1.13 and 0.40 % per day in control, 1.23, 1.13 and 0.40 % per day in pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr and 1.03, 1.00 and 0.63 % per day in poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr. It was noted that from October to February the values of mean relative weight slightly increase was 35.34, 29.64, 29.64, 29.97 and 31.53 % per day and decrease 24.06 % per day in March. Mean relative weight increase was declined during the last quarter of study period from April to August i.e. 67.20, 58.04, 44.67, 19.63 and 18.71 % per day (Table 3). SGR of C. mrigala weight with respect to vermicompost @10,000 kg/ha/yr and vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr was calculated. It was noticed that at the start of September the SGR 10.36 and 10.80% per day in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr and vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr. But this value of SGR increased in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr to 1.67, 1.87 to 1.87 % per day (Table 2). Kaur and Ansal (2010) also conducted an experiment in cemented tanks (0.002 ha) for 120 days to assess the efficacy of vermicompost as fish pond manure at a dose of 10,000 kg/ha/yr (VCw), 15,000 kg/ha/yr (VCp) and 20,000 kg/ha/yr (VCv) in comparison to semi-digested cow dung (8–10 days old), which was utilized at a dose of 20,000 kg/ha/yr (CD2) and found suitable for fish growth. The gain in the live body weight of C. catla in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr was observed to be maximum with 899.1 followed by 879.2 in vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr, 834.5 in cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr, 767.1 in poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr and 716.9 gm in pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr. Above all these five treatments were found to have more growth promoting effect over the 644.2 gm in control (Table 4). The mean values of gain in live body length of C. catla in all the six treatments were also found to be different. The gain in the live body length of C. catla in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr was observed to be maximum with 31.3 followed by 29.4 in vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr, 25.9 in cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr, 24.1 in poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr, 22.3 in pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr and 19.4 cm in control.
Table 1. Body length of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita*, *Cirrhinus mrigala* in pond water treated with different manures

| Time period | C. catla | L. rohita | C. mrigala |
|-------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| T<sub>1</sub> | T<sub>2</sub> | T<sub>3</sub> | T<sub>4</sub> | T<sub>5</sub> | T<sub>6</sub> | CD (p< 0.05) | T<sub>1</sub> | T<sub>2</sub> | T<sub>3</sub> | T<sub>4</sub> | T<sub>5</sub> | T<sub>6</sub> | CD (p< 0.05) | T<sub>1</sub> | T<sub>2</sub> | T<sub>3</sub> | T<sub>4</sub> | T<sub>5</sub> | T<sub>6</sub> | CD (p< 0.05) |
| Initial     | 2.3±0.1  | 2.8±0.1  | 2.4±0.1  | 2.8±0.2  | 2.4±0.0  | 0.4         | 2.1±0.0  | 2.7±0.0  | 2.6±0.1  | 2.3±0.1  | 1.9±0.1  | 2.4±0.2  | 0.1         | 2.1±0.1  | 2.3±0.1  | 2.3±0.1  | 2.5±0.2  | 2.4±0.1  | 2.7±0.0  | 0.2         |
| September   | 11.9±0.3 | 11.1±0.2 | 16.0±0.3 | 15.2±0.3 | 19.1±0.3 | 0.9         | 10.6±0.4 | 12.3±0.5 | 16.8±0.4 | 14.8±0.4 | 19.5±0.4 | 18.8±0.3 | 1.2         | 10.0±0.2 | 13.0±0.4 | 15.0±0.3 | 13.0±0.4 | 19.9±0.3 | 19.0±0.4 | 1.3         |
| October     | 12.4±0.4 | 14.8±0.4 | 20.1±0.4 | 17.1±0.4 | 23.2±0.4 | 1.2         | 13.8±0.4 | 17.4±0.4 | 15.1±0.5 | 19.1±0.5 | 23.4±0.5 | 22.1±0.4 | 1.4         | 14.0±0.4 | 20.1±0.5 | 16.4±0.3 | 17.26±0.5 | 24.0±0.4 | 22.4±0.4 | 1.4         |
| November    | 13.2±0.5 | 15.9±0.5 | 21.3±0.5 | 18.9±0.5 | 24.0±0.5 | 1.4         | 15.9±0.5 | 19.0±0.4 | 18.9±0.5 | 20.0±0.5 | 24.1±0.5 | 22.9±0.5 | 1.7         | 16.1±0.4 | 21.2±0.4 | 17.3±0.6 | 19.3±0.4 | 25.2±0.6 | 23.0±0.6 | 1.5         |
| December    | 14.0±0.5 | 17.0±0.4 | 22.0±0.5 | 19.0±0.5 | 25.0±0.5 | 1.5         | 16.1±0.5 | 20.3±0.4 | 18.9±0.5 | 20.1±0.5 | 25.4±0.5 | 24.0±0.5 | 1.9         | 17.0±0.4 | 21.5±0.7 | 18.0±0.6 | 20.2±0.6 | 26.6±0.7 | 24.7±0.7 | 1.7         |
| January     | 14.2±0.5 | 17.1±0.4 | 23.1±0.5 | 22.1±0.5 | 26.0±0.5 | 1.6         | 16.2±0.6 | 21.0±0.5 | 19.5±0.6 | 20.4±0.7 | 26.5±0.8 | 24.6±0.4 | 2.1         | 17.23±0.4 | 21.8±0.7 | 21.9±0.6 | 20.6±0.6 | 27.9±0.7 | 25.1±0.7 | 1.9         |
| February    | 15.6±0.4 | 18.7±0.6 | 23.7±0.6 | 22.9±0.6 | 27.3±0.6 | 1.8         | 16.9±0.7 | 21.5±0.6 | 21.6±0.6 | 22.9±0.7 | 27.7±0.8 | 25.0±0.6 | 2.3         | 18.0±0.4 | 22.7±0.4 | 24.2±0.7 | 21.3±0.6 | 28.1±0.8 | 26.0±0.8 | 2.1         |
| March       | 16.9±0.4 | 20.5±0.6 | 24.0±0.6 | 25.0±0.6 | 28.7±0.6 | 2.0         | 17.3±0.6 | 22.4±0.5 | 24.0±0.6 | 25.0±0.9 | 29.0±0.9 | 27.0±0.6 | 2.4         | 20.3±0.7 | 23.5±0.8 | 26.8±0.6 | 26.1±0.6 | 30.0±0.7 | 28.3±0.9 | 2.2         |
| April       | 17.4±0.4 | 22.9±0.7 | 24.3±0.7 | 25.6±0.7 | 30.0±0.7 | 2.0         | 18.8±0.8 | 23.0±0.5 | 25.0±0.8 | 25.8±0.9 | 30.1±0.7 | 28.9±0.7 | 2.5         | 21.0±0.6 | 23.9±0.7 | 27.0±0.7 | 27.7±0.7 | 31.2±0.8 | 29.1±0.9 | 2.3         |
| May         | 18.5±0.5 | 23.4±0.7 | 24.9±0.7 | 26.3±0.7 | 31.4±0.8 | 2.3         | 19.4±0.7 | 23.7±0.7 | 25.6±0.8 | 26.4±0.8 | 31.8±0.8 | 29.6±0.8 | 2.7         | 21.4±0.8 | 24.6±0.8 | 29.1±0.8 | 28.1±0.7 | 32.6±0.8 | 30.2±1.0 | 2.5         |
| June        | 19.8±0.6 | 23.9±0.8 | 25.5±0.8 | 27.4±0.8 | 32.0±0.9 | 2.5         | 20.9±0.9 | 24.1±0.8 | 26.9±0.9 | 27.0±1.3 | 32.6±1.3 | 30.1±1.8 | 2.7         | 22.0±0.7 | 25.0±1.0 | 29.7±0.8 | 29.0±0.8 | 33.2±0.9 | 31.0±0.9 | 2.8         |
| July        | 20.9±0.9 | 24.3±0.9 | 25.8±0.9 | 28.1±0.9 | 33.2±1.0 | 2.7         | 21.2±0.8 | 24.4±0.8 | 27.1±0.9 | 28.0±1.3 | 34.0±1.3 | 31.0±1.8 | 2.8         | 22.6±0.8 | 26.3±0.8 | 30.0±0.9 | 29.8±0.9 | 34.6±1.0 | 32.4±1.4 | 3.0         |
| August      | 21.7±0.6 | 25.1±0.9 | 26.5±0.9 | 28.7±0.9 | 33.0±1.2 | 2.9         | 22.0±0.8 | 25.9±0.8 | 27.4±0.9 | 29.3±1.6 | 34.0±1.6 | 32.1±0.9 | 3.1         | 23.1±0.9 | 26.8±1.0 | 31.0±1.6 | 30.1±1.0 | 35.8±1.5 | 33.2±0.9 | 3.3         |

*Mean ± S.E.; 30 fish x 4 replication = 120

T<sub>1</sub> = Control; T<sub>2</sub> = Pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr; T<sub>3</sub> = Poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr; T<sub>4</sub> = Cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr; T<sub>5</sub> = Vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr and T<sub>6</sub> = Vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr
Table 2. Specific growth of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita*, *Cirrhinus mrigala* in pond water treated with different manures

| Time period  | Specific growth rate (% wt. gain/ day) | *C. catla* | *L. rohita* | *C. mrigala* |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
|              |                                        | $T_1$      | $T_2$       | $T_3$       | $T_4$       | $T_5$       | $T_6$       | $T_1$      | $T_2$       | $T_3$       | $T_4$       | $T_5$       | $T_6$       |
| September    |                                        | 8.93       | 9.90        | 10.06       | 10.63       | 10.26       | 8.56        | 9.40       | 10.73       | 10.36       | 9.96        | 10.50       | 5.96        | 7.40       | 8.06        | 9.76        | 10.36       | 10.80       |
| October      |                                        | 1.53       | 1.47        | 1.40        | 1.03        | 1.77        | 1.53        | 1.43       | 1.37        | 1.47        | 1.20        | 1.90        | 1.67        | 1.60        | 1.40        | 1.33        | 1.03        | 1.67        | 1.50        |
| November     |                                        | 1.33       | 1.10        | 0.97        | 1.20        | 1.83        | 1.83        | 1.40       | 1.06        | 0.93        | 1.20        | 1.97        | 1.80        | 1.53        | 1.23        | 1.03        | 0.90        | 1.87        | 1.80        |
| December     |                                        | 1.23       | 1.03        | 0.87        | 1.30        | 1.97        | 0.87        | 1.23       | 0.97        | 0.87        | 1.67        | 1.97        | 1.83        | 1.13        | 1.13        | 1.00        | 0.87        | 1.87        | 1.87        |
| January      |                                        | 0.37       | 0.47        | 0.83        | 1.67        | 1.63        | 1.36        | 0.47       | 0.53        | 0.77        | 1.73        | 0.97        | 1.27        | 0.40        | 0.40        | 0.63        | 0.87        | 1.33        | 1.37        |
| February     |                                        | 0.64       | 0.70        | 0.86        | 1.71        | 0.68        | 1.03        | 0.67       | 0.57        | 0.89        | 1.35        | 1.07        | 1.07        | 0.50        | 0.64        | 0.71        | 0.93        | 0.87        | 1.07        |
| March        |                                        | 0.76       | 0.77        | 0.87        | 1.37        | 0.63        | 0.87        | 0.70       | 0.87        | 0.93        | 1.20        | 0.67        | 0.87        | 0.60        | 0.80        | 0.73        | 0.67        | 0.87        | 0.86        |
| April        |                                        | 0.93       | 0.80        | 0.90        | 1.00        | 0.63        | 0.63        | 0.93       | 0.90        | 0.97        | 0.97        | 0.63        | 0.73        | 0.76        | 0.90        | 0.73        | 1.70        | 0.70        | 0.70        |
| May          |                                        | 1.20       | 1.07        | 0.97        | 0.77        | 0.66        | 0.63        | 1.07       | 1.03        | 1.00        | 0.77        | 0.63        | 0.60        | 0.96        | 1.30        | 1.03        | 1.53        | 0.63        | 0.63        |
| June         |                                        | 1.23       | 1.33        | 1.00        | 0.56        | 0.66        | 0.46        | 1.26       | 1.23        | 1.07        | 0.53        | 0.47        | 0.46        | 1.03        | 1.30        | 1.13        | 1.23        | 0.40        | 0.43        |
| July         |                                        | 1.23       | 1.33        | 1.07        | 0.40        | 0.43        | 0.46        | 1.27       | 1.33        | 1.10        | 0.43        | 0.43        | 0.46        | 1.06        | 1.30        | 1.36        | 0.60        | 0.40        | 0.43        |
| August       |                                        | 1.27       | 1.36        | 1.27        | 0.26        | 0.30        | 0.40        | 1.30       | 1.40        | 1.00        | 0.27        | 0.36        | 0.37        | 1.17        | 1.23        | 1.43        | 0.57        | 0.33        | 0.40        |

*30 fish × 4 replication = 120
$T_1$ = Control; $T_2$ = Pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr; $T_3$ = Poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr; $T_4$ = Cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr; $T_5$ = Vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr and $T_6$ = Vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr
Table 3. Percent weight gain of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita*, *Cirrhinus mrigala* in pond water treated with different manures

| Time period | *C. catla* | *L. rohita* | *C. mrigala* |
|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
|             | T<sub>1</sub> | T<sub>2</sub> | T<sub>3</sub> | T<sub>4</sub> | T<sub>5</sub> | T<sub>6</sub> | T<sub>1</sub> | T<sub>2</sub> | T<sub>3</sub> | T<sub>4</sub> | T<sub>5</sub> | T<sub>6</sub> |
| Oct.        | 58.12      | 55.56       | 54.77        | 69.94        | 59.93        | 53.55        | 51.59        | 55.12        | 42.37        | 76.76        | 64.74        | 61.15        | 52.11        | 49.26        |
| Nov.        | 49.00      | 38.19       | 32.50        | 36.19        | 72.15        | 72.74        | 53.03        | 36.91        | 31.91        | 43.33        | 81.53        | 71.59        | 58.89        | 45.06        | 36.48        | 29.64        | 73.14        | 72.06        |
| Dec.        | 44.89      | 35.98       | 30.70        | 48.95        | 82.30        | 74.66        | 45.12        | 33.84        | 29.52        | 64.62        | 82.03        | 72.80        | 39.80        | 40.00        | 35.09        | 29.64        | 75.26        | 74.25        |
| Jan.        | 12.27      | 15.64       | 27.69        | 64.32        | 61.44        | 46.82        | 14.10        | 17.71        | 23.41        | 68.52        | 33.59        | 46.36        | 16.55        | 13.22        | 21.40        | 29.97        | 43.18        | 49.93        |
| Feb.        | 19.67      | 19.85       | 27.13        | 62.00        | 20.60        | 35.49        | 19.94        | 17.71        | 30.17        | 46.89        | 34.30        | 34.40        | 20.00        | 19.46        | 21.95        | 31.53        | 33.75        | 35.61        |
| Mar.        | 25.91      | 27.11       | 26.68        | 50.18        | 21.12        | 29.93        | 23.68        | 29.07        | 30.85        | 43.99        | 22.77        | 30.37        | 25.70        | 27.08        | 18.55        | 24.06        | 30.54        | 29.34        |
| April       | 32.00      | 29.71       | 30.43        | 35.11        | 22.79        | 21.51        | 32.89        | 31.34        | 35.37        | 33.10        | 20.65        | 23.90        | 33.81        | 31.65        | 30.83        | 67.20        | 23.49        | 23.06        |
| May         | 46.02      | 39.86       | 33.87        | 25.73        | 22.12        | 20.94        | 37.52        | 35.76        | 35.43        | 25.42        | 22.09        | 17.15        | 36.31        | 46.94        | 35.93        | 58.04        | 21.72        | 21.64        |
| June        | 43.41      | 46.66       | 34.93        | 18.92        | 12.14        | 13.88        | 45.53        | 44.28        | 37.36        | 17.38        | 14.05        | 14.25        | 37.01        | 47.58        | 40.58        | 44.64        | 12.06        | 13.78        |
| July        | 44.24      | 49.53       | 37.59        | 12.37        | 15.56        | 15.19        | 46.12        | 49.25        | 38.40        | 13.35        | 14.09        | 15.25        | 41.84        | 47.91        | 51.38        | 19.63        | 13.69        | 14.30        |
| Aug.        | 46.77      | 49.79       | 47.53        | 8.08         | 9.66         | 12.43        | 47.85        | 52.24        | 36.45        | 8.44         | 11.58        | 12.16        | 68.82        | 45.28        | 54.18        | 18.71        | 9.81         | 11.86        |

*30 fish × 4 replication = 120

T<sub>1</sub> = Control; T<sub>2</sub> = Pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr; T<sub>3</sub> = Poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr; T<sub>4</sub> = Cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr; T<sub>5</sub> = Vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr and T<sub>6</sub> = Vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr
Table 4. Effect of Vermicompost on the Growth Performance of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita*, *Cirrhinus mrigala* in pond water treated with different manures

| Time period | Body weight (g) |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             | *C. catla*      | *L. rohita* | *C. mrigala* |
|             | T₁  | T₂  | T₃  | T₄  | T₅  | CD (p≤0.05) | T₁  | T₂  | T₃  | T₄  | T₅  | CD (p≤0.05) | T₁  | T₂  | T₃  | T₄  | T₅  | CD (p≤0.05) |
| Initial     | 1.3 ±0.2 | 1.2 ±0.1 | 1.4 ±0.0 | 1.4 ±0.1 | 1.5 ±0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 ±0.1 | 1.5 ±0.2 | 1.2 ±0.1 | 1.3 ±0.1 | 1.7 ±0.1 | 1.4 ±0.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 ±0.1 | 1.4 ±0.0 | N.S. |
| Sept.       | 19.1 ±0.6 | 23.4 ±0.9 | 28.7 ±0.8 | 29.3 ±0.9 | 33.6 ±0.7 | 2.6 | 18.3 ±0.7 | 25.2 ±0.9 | 30.3 ±0.9 | 29.3 ±1.0 | 34.0 ±1.0 | 32.9 ±1.0 | 2.7 | 15.7 ±0.9 | 21.3 ±0.7 | 27.0 ±0.9 | 28.3 ±0.9 | 31.7 ±1.1 |
| Oct.        | 30.2 ±0.9 | 36.4 ±1.0 | 44.0 ±0.9 | 42.0 ±0.9 | 57.1 ±1.0 | 2.9 | 28.1 ±1.0 | 38.2 ±1.0 | 47.0 ±1.1 | 60.1 ±0.9 | 54.2 ±0.9 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 25.3 ±0.9 | 32.4 ±0.9 | 40.3 ±0.9 | 38.3 ±0.9 | 50.1 ±1.1 |
| Nov.        | 45.0 ±1.1 | 50.3 ±1.1 | 58.3 ±1.0 | 57.2 ±1.0 | 98.3 ±1.3 | 3.5 | 43.0 ±0.9 | 52.3 ±0.9 | 62.0 ±1.0 | 60.2 ±1.2 | 109.1 ±1.3 | 93.0 ±1.3 | 3.1 | 40.2 ±0.9 | 47.0 ±0.9 | 55.0 ±1.1 | 50.3 ±1.4 | 86.2 ±1.1 |
| Dec.        | 65.2 ±1.1 | 68.4 ±1.0 | 76.2 ±1.0 | 85.2 ±1.5 | 179.2 ±1.4 | 4.0 | 62.4 ±0.9 | 70.0 ±0.8 | 80.3 ±1.3 | 99.1 ±1.2 | 198.6 ±2.1 | 160.7 ±2.1 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 56.2 ±1.0 | 65.8 ±1.1 | 74.3 ±1.2 | 65.4 ±1.2 | 150.2 ±1.3 |
| Jan.        | 73.2 ±1.7 | 79.1 ±1.2 | 97.3 ±1.3 | 140.0 ±1.5 | 289.3 ±1.1 | 4.7 | 71.2 ±1.0 | 82.4 ±0.9 | 99.1 ±1.2 | 167.0 ±1.2 | 265.3 ±2.0 | 235.2 ±2.0 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 65.5 ±0.9 | 74.5 ±1.4 | 90.2 ±1.1 | 85.0 ±1.2 | 225.2 ±1.5 |
| Feb.        | 87.6 ±1.4 | 94.8 ±1.2 | 123.7 ±1.0 | 226.8 ±1.1 | 348.9 ±1.8 | 5.0 | 85.3 ±1.1 | 97.0 ±1.2 | 129.0 ±2.2 | 245.3 ±1.7 | 356.1 ±2.5 | 361.6 ±2.5 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 78.6 ±1.3 | 89.0 ±1.2 | 110.0 ±1.2 | 111.8 ±1.5 | 305.4 ±1.5 |
| Mar.        | 110.3 ±1.3 | 120.5 ±1.3 | 160.7 ±2.0 | 340.6 ±1.7 | 422.6 ±2.0 | 5.4 | 105.5 ±1.9 | 125.2 ±1.8 | 168.8 ±2.1 | 353.2 ±2.9 | 473.2 ±3.0 | 412.1 ±2.9 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 98.9 ±2.5 | 113.1 ±2.1 | 130.4 ±2.1 | 138.7 ±2.1 | 418.0 ±2.1 | 395.0 ±2.1 |
| April       | 145.6 ±2.2 | 156.3 ±2.3 | 209.6 ±2.0 | 460.2 ±1.9 | 518.9 ±3.1 | 6.9 | 140.2 ±2.2 | 164.7 ±2.6 | 225.5 ±2.1 | 470.1 ±2.9 | 527.5 ±2.4 | 510.6 ±2.2 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 132.2 ±1.8 | 148.9 ±2.0 | 170.6 ±2.0 | 231.9 ±2.1 | 486.1 ±1.7 |
| May         | 212.6 ±2.2 | 218.6 ±1.9 | 280.6 ±3.0 | 578.6 ±2.4 | 633.7 ±2.6 | 7.1 | 192.8 ±2.2 | 223.6 ±2.5 | 305.4 ±1.8 | 589.6 ±2.2 | 644.0 ±2.9 | 616.3 ±3.2 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 180.2 ±1.9 | 218.8 ±2.3 | 231.9 ±2.3 | 366.5 ±2.3 | 628.3 ±3.1 | 591.3 ±3.1 |
| June        | 304.9 ±2.2 | 320.6 ±2.7 | 378.6 ±2.9 | 688.1 ±2.7 | 710.6 ±2.7 | 7.7 | 280.6 ±2.4 | 322.6 ±2.7 | 419.5 ±2.2 | 692.1 ±2.9 | 734.5 ±3.0 | 704.1 ±3.0 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 246.9 ±2.1 | 232.9 ±2.8 | 326.0 ±3.1 | 530.2 ±2.2 | 704.1 ±3.1 | 672.8 ±3.1 |
| July        | 439.8 ±2.8 | 479.4 ±3.3 | 520.9 ±3.5 | 773.2 ±2.2 | 821.2 ±2.5 | 8.0 | 410.0 ±2.6 | 481.4 ±2.7 | 580.6 ±2.1 | 784.5 ±3.1 | 838.0 ±3.5 | 815.1 ±3.5 | 9.2 | 0.3 | 350.2 ±2.7 | 477.6 ±2.7 | 493.5 ±3.1 | 634.3 ±2.7 | 800.5 ±3.7 | 769.0 ±3.6 |
| Aug.        | 645.5 ±3.3 | 718.1 ±3.3 | 768.5 ±2.6 | 835.7 ±2.9 | 900.5 ±3.6 | 9.5 | 606.2 ±2.9 | 732.9 ±3.2 | 792.2 ±3.2 | 850.7 ±3.6 | 935.0 ±3.8 | 910.2 ±4.1 | 10.2 | 0.3 | 591.2 ±2.9 | 693.8 ±3.0 | 760.9 ±2.6 | 753.0 ±3.1 | 879.0 ±3.4 | 860.2 ±4.0 |

*Mean ± S.E.; 30 fish x 4 replication = 120

T₁ = Control; T₂ = PIG manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr; T₃ = POUL manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr; T₄ = Cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr; T₅ = Vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr and T₆ = Vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr
The gain in the live body weight of *L. rohita* in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr was observed to be maximum with 933.3 followed by 908.8 in vermicompost @ 15,000 kg/ha/yr, 849.4 in cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr, 791.0 in poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr and then 731.4 gm in pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr. Above all these five treatments were found to have more growth promoting effect over the 604.8 gm in control (Table 4). Maximum weight was attained by *L. rohita* followed by *C. catla* and *C. mrigala.* Among the six different treatments vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr was the best treatment in which maximum growth of Indian major carps was attained followed by cow dung > poultry manure > pig manure Bahkta et al. (2004) worked on mixed poultry dropping, cattle manure, single super phosphate, urea and observed that at optimum level of 422 gm/tank/week fertilization, the carps show maximum yield. The best growth among used manures were observed in vermicompost @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr followed by cow dung @ 10,000 kg/ha/yr, poultry manure @ 6,000 kg/ha/yr, pig manure @ 4,000 kg/ha/yr and control. It is concluded from these results that organic manures have a significant advantage over inorganic fertilizers similar results were concluded by Sumitra et al. (1981).
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