Mice lacking perforin have improved regeneration of the injured femoral nerve

Abstract

The role that the immune system plays after injury of the peripheral nervous system is still not completely understood. Perforin, a natural killer cell- and T-lymphocyte-derived enzyme that mediates cytotoxicity, plays important roles in autoimmune diseases, infections and central nervous system trauma, such as spinal cord injury. To dissect the roles of this single component of the immune response to injury, we tested regeneration after femoral nerve injury in perforin-deficient (Pfp\(^{-/-}\)) and wild-type control mice. Single frame motion analysis showed better motor recovery in Pfp\(^{-/-}\) mice compared with control mice at 4 and 8 weeks after injury. Retrograde tracing of the motoneuron axons regrown into the motor nerve branch demonstrated more correctly projecting motoneurons in the spinal cord of Pfp\(^{-/-}\) mice compared with wild-types. Myelination of regrown axons measured by g-ratio was more extensive in Pfp\(^{-/-}\) mice compared with wild-types. We histologically analyzed lymphocyte infiltration 10 days after surgery and found that in Pfp\(^{-/-}\) mice the number of lymphocytes in the regenerating nerves was lower than in wild-types, suggesting a closed blood-nerve barrier in Pfp\(^{-/-}\) mice. We conclude that perforin restricts motor recovery after femoral nerve injury owing to decreased survival of motoneurons and reduced myelination.
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Introduction

Abundance of conductive molecules and lack of growth inhibiting molecules in the peripheral nervous system allow regenerative processes to be more efficient than in the central nervous system (Irintchev, 2011; Divac et al., 2021). Despite this regenerative capacity, functional recovery upon peripheral nerve injury is often poor in patients and experimental animal models (Fu and Gordon, 1997; Irintchev and Schachner, 2012). The immune system plays important roles in peripheral nerve regeneration through opposing effects. On the one hand, the response of inflammatory cells to injury is an important defense mechanism, while on the other inflammatory cells produce potentially toxic cytokines that lead to exacerbation of tissue damage. For example, in mice with severe combined immunodeficiency, motor neurons survived poorly after facial nerve transection (Serpe et al., 1999). Also, immune-deficient mice recover slower after facial nerve injury (Beahrs et al., 2010). In another study, autoimmune responses worsened the loss of motoneurons and exacerbated functional recovery after transaction injury to the facial nerve (Ankeny and Popovich, 2007). Our previous work has indicated that mice constitutively deficient in the recombination activating gene 2 (Rag2\(^{-/-}\)) and therefore lacking functional T- and B-cells recover better after femoral nerve injury than the injured wild-type controls (Mehanna et al., 2014). Similar to the central nervous system, the peripheral nervous system is immune-privileged owing to particular blood vessels of the “blood-nerve barrier” (Choi and Kim, 2008). Upon nerve injury, this barrier is opened and inflammatory and immune cells comprising macrophages, neutrophils and lymphocytes penetrate within hours.
into the tissue at the site of injury, particularly into the proximal and distal stumps of the injured nerve which are undergoing degenerative processes – Wallerian degeneration of the distal stump and the retrograde degeneration of the proximal stump (Mignini et al., 2012; Napoli et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2013).

Perforin is an important component of the immune system as natural killer (NK) and cytotoxic T-lymphocytes expressing perforin use this protein for pore formation of the plasma membrane of target cells, thus mediating cytotoxicity (Henkart, 1985; Liu et al., 1995, Voskoboinik et al., 2015). Moreover, perforin damages neurites in vitro (Miller et al., 2013) and contributes to neuronal degeneration in mouse models of multiple sclerosis (Deb et al., 2009, 2010; Hao et al., 2010), Parkinson’s disease (Peng et al., 2017), stroke (Mracsko et al., 2014) and spinal cord injury (Liu et al., 2019; Jakovcevski and Schachner, 2020). Also, perforin induces blood–brain barrier disruption, which could contribute to immune cell infiltration and increased inflammatory damage as shown in different nervous system disorders (Suidan et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2014; Willenbring et al., 2016; Huggins et al., 2017). It should be, however, noted that blood–nerve barrier is more readily disrupted by mechanical force as nerves are a highly vascular tissue, and so, upon injury, it is likely that the vascular system will feed into the neurodegenerative and regenerative responses.

Taken together, these findings raised the question whether deficiency in perforin would benefit regeneration after injury in the experimental model of femoral nerve transaction. Using perforin deficient (Pfp−/−) mice, we tested motor recovery, as well as histological parameters of regeneration upon injury. To our best knowledge, this is the first report that offers evidence for the role of perforin in peripheral nerve regeneration and responses.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Seven wild-type C57BL/6NT mice and seven Pfp−/− mice were obtained from the breeding colony at the animal housing of the University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf. Mice were originally founded from a breeder line obtained from Taconic Farms Inc. and backcrossed 13 times into the C57BL/6NT background. Additionally, eight Pfp−/− mice and eight wild-type control mice backcrossed ten times into the C57BL/6J background were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory for histological analysis. Noteworthy, among these two slightly different mouse lines experimental outcomes were similar. All mice were male and entered the experiments at ages between 12 and 15 weeks (body weight 22–26 g). We used all male mice to avoid potential influence of the hormonal status on regeneration in female mice. Overall numbers of mice used for the experiments were 11 Pfp−/− and 11 wild-type control mice. Animals were kept single-caged in a specific pathogen-free facility under barrier conditions with filtered air flow, with 12-hour light/dark cycle and controlled temperature of 21°C. Prior to injury all animals were inspected for overall behavioural abnormalities. All experiments were performed in accordance with the German laws on the protection of experimental animals, and all procedures were approved by the Department for Health and Consumer Protection of the State of Hamburg (project 2019A.308) and Department for Nature, Environment and Consumer Protection North Rhine-Westphalia (project 2019A.308). All experiments, collection of data and data analyses were performed by an experimenter blinded to genotypes and treatments.

Femoral nerve transaction and reconstruction

Nerve transaction and reconstruction were performed with special attention to keeping mice pathogen free (Mehanna et al., 2014). In brief, animals were anaesthetized by intraperitoneal injections of 0.4 mg/kg fentanyl (Fentanyl-Janssen, Janssen-Cilag GmbH, Neuss, Germany; product number N01AH01), 10 mg/kg droperidol (Xomolix, Favorsis, Groningen, The Netherlands), 0.4 mg/kg fentanyl (Fentanyl-Janssen, Janssen-Cilag GmbH, Neuss, Germany; product number N01AH01), 10 mg/kg droperidol (Xomolix, Favorsis, Groningen, The Netherlands), and 5 mg/kg diazepam (Ratiopharm, Ulm, Germany). Thirty minutes after dye application, the wound was closed after rinsing the nerve stumps with PBS. This labelling procedure fluorescently stains all motoneurons in the lumbar spinal cord which have retrogradely transported their axons at least to the level of transaction. Additionally, it allows differentiation between motoneurons with axons projecting into the quadriceps (blue), further labelled as “correctly” projecting, and those wrongly projecting, sending axons to the saphenous branch (red) or to both the saphenous and quadriceps branches (white, labelled simultaneously with both blue and red dye). As with the first surgery, mice received intraperitoneal injection of buprenorphine (0.05 mg/kg daily) as analgesia for 2 days. This should be taken into account that the surgery for retrograde labeling causes second injury to the regenerated nerve. However, our previous work has shown that secondary injury does not significantly impair regeneration in the femoral nerve (Guseva et al., 2009, 2011; Mehanha et al., 2009, 2014; Akyüz et al., 2013). Additionally, we controlled for the effect of secondary injury by using four more wild-type and Pfp−/− mice that were injured and then sacrificed without retrograde tracing 2 months after injury. In these mice, the presence of myelination in injured nerve branches was observed to a similar degree as in mice which were retrogradely traced (data not shown).

Single frame motion analysis

To assess locomotor function after injury, single frame motion analysis was performed (Irirtev et al., 2005). In brief, mice were trained to walk without exploratory pauses on a horizontal wooden beam (1-m-long, 38-mm-wide) leading to their home cage, which they typically learn in two to three trials, as the home cage presented a strong stimulus for return. After the learning phase and prior to surgery, one beam walking trial was video recorded at the animal’s rear view. For video recordings capturing we used a high-speed digital camera (A602zc, Basler, Ahrensburg, Germany), capable of taking 100 frames per second. Videos were subsequently transferred and stored on a personal computer in .avi format. Each animal was recorded again at 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks after nerve transaction and repair surgery. The videos were analyzed to select frames in which the animal was captured within a specific gait phase, and according to the criteria for measurements of locomotor functional parameters, the foot-base and heels-tail angles (Irirtev et al., 2005). The foot-base angle (FBA) was measured at toe-off position at which the sole of the injured foot was approximately perpendicular to the beam, while the sole on the non-injured side was almost parallel to the beam. The angle was formed by the line dividing the sole longitudinally into two symmetrical halves and the horizontal line of the beam surface (Figure 1A and B). The heels-tail angle (HTA) was formed by the imaginary lines which connected both heels with the urethra (Figure 1C and D). The gait phase at which this angle was measured was the mid-swing of the contralateral (non-injured) leg. Nerve injury induces changes in both FBA and HTA, which arise from altered bending of the knee joint, due to weakness of the quadriceps muscle. Angle measurements were done using Fiji/ImageJ freeware (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). Additionally, we assessed another parameter, the protraction length ratio (PLR), using the pencil.
grabbing test. In this test, the mouse was held by the tail and could grasp a pencil with its forelimbs, which was a reflex reaction in mice. The hind limbs performed grasping movements, i.e. alternating limb flexion and extension, aiming to grab the pencil tip which was held by the front limbs. The protractions in intact animals were symmetrical between red and blue (e.g. Mehanna et al., 2014). Analysis of motor recovery over time, using variables “time” and “genotype”, followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. Analyses of the precision of motor reinnervation, numbers of myelinated axons and synaptic coverage of motoneurons were calculated as: RI = [(Xt − 7 days after injury and X0) − (Xt − before injury)] × 100, where X represents measured parameter values (Xt − before injury, X0 − 7 days after injury and Xt − n days after injury), and expressed in percent. These values yielded a recovery index measured as the ratio of regain-of-function to loss-of-function upon injury. The null hypothesis that the recovery index is important whatever parameter is prone to individual variability due to body constitution and behavioural traits (Irintchev et al., 2005). Moreover, the recovery index allows a comprehensive comparison between different parameters of recovery within one study or between different studies performed independently in other laboratories. It should be noted that the single frame motion analysis was developed specifically to analyze motor recovery after femoral nerve injury, as proper methods for analysis of recovery were not available and the outcome of femoral nerve injury was quantified mainly by histological analysis (Irintchev, 2011). Our prior experience using this method gives us confidence in reliability and reproducibility of our measurements (Guseva et al., 2011, 2012; Akyüz et al., 2013; Mehanna et al., 2014).

Immunohistochemistry
To assess synaptic remodelling of retrogradely labelled motoneurons after injury, immunohistochemistry was performed as described previously (Guseva et al., 2018), on 25 µm-thick cryosections. Sections were air-dried at 37°C for 30 minutes and then incubated in 10 mM sodium citrate solution (pH 9.0, adjusted with 0.1 M NaOH) preheated to 70°C for 30 minutes. The sections were left to cool at room temperature for approximately 30 minutes. Afterwards, unspecific binding sites for the secondary antibody were blocked using 10% (v/v) normal goat or donkey serum (depending on the secondary antibody) for 1 hour at room temperature, dehydrated in a series of alcohols and infiltrated with butane (isopentane) pre-cooled to –80°C, and then kept frozen at −80°C until sectioning. The spinal cord segment containing lumbar intumescence was glued to a specimen holder using Tissue-Tek® (Sakura Finetek Europe, Zoeterwoude, The Netherlands; product number 12351753). Serial 25-µm-thick transverse (horizontal) spinal cord sections were cut on a cryostat (Leica CM3050; Leica), collected on Superfrost® slides (MicroBrightField Europe, Magdeburg, Germany) under an Axioskop 2 Plus microscope (Zeiss) and stained using a protocol described by Akyüz et al. (2013). Motoneurons labeled with blue dye only, i.e. those projecting to the quadriceps branch, were considered correctly projecting, whereas motoneurons labeled red, or white (overlap between red and blue) were considered to be incorrectly projecting, as they had sent axons through the saphenous branch.

Analysis of axonal diameter profiles and myelination in regenerated nerve branches
Numbers of myelinated axons per nerve cross-section were counted using a NeuronLucida software-controlled computer system (MicroBrightField Europe, Magdeburg, Germany) under an Axioskop 2 microscope (Zeiss), 100× oil objective magnification (Guseva et al., 2011). The diameter of myelinated axons and total nerve fibers (axon + myelin sheath) were measured in each section at corresponding locations. For sampling, a 60-µm-spaced square grid was projected into the visual field using the NeuronLucida software, with random reference point selection. In all myelinated axons touching or crossing the vertical grid lines, the longest diameter and the diameter perpendicular to the longest diameter of axon and total nerve fiber were measured, and the mean orthogonal diameter was calculated. The degree of myelination was expressed as the g-ratio, i.e. the ratio of axon to fiber diameter (lower values represent thicker myelin).

Photographic documentation
Microphotographs were taken on the Axiofot 2 microscope equipped with a digital camera using Zen software (Zeiss). Electron micrographs were taken on an LVM2S transmission electron microscope (Delong America, Montreal, Canada). The images were processed with the Adobe Photoshop CS5 software package (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Digital image processing was limited to brightness/contrast adjustments and crop function.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using the Sigma Plot 12 software (Systat Software GmbH; Erkath, Germany). We determined sample size based on the previously published experiments in the field (e.g. Mehanna et al., 2014). Analysis of motor recovery over time, as assessed by the foot-base and heels-tail angles, as well as the protraction length ratio and recovery index, was carried out by the two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures, using software “time” and “genotype” as within-subject factors and “treatment” as the between-subject factor. Analyses of the precision of motor reinnervation, numbers of myelinated axons and synaptic coverage of motoneurons were performed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test. Analysis of the distribution of g-ratios in myelinated axons was performed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The mean value ± standard error of the mean (±SEM) was taken as the representative value for multiple measurements per animal. The difference between the groups was considered significant at levels below 5%.
Results

Improved recovery of motor function in injured Pfp⁻/⁻ mice

Motor function was assessed over a 2-month time using a video-based real-time motion analysis approach (Figure 1A–D; Irintchev et al., 2005). Prior to injury, the values of all followed motor function parameters between Pfp⁻/⁻ and control mice were comparable (Figure 1E–H), indicating that perforin deficiency does not affect locomotor parameters as assessed by beam walking nor knee extension as estimated by the pencil grab test. At 1 and 2 weeks after injury, there were no differences between Pfp⁻/⁻ and wild-type mice, indicating that the damage caused by nerve transection was similar in the genotypes (Figure 1E–H). Four weeks after nerve surgery, the footbase angle (FBA) had lower values in Pfp⁻/⁻ than in wild-type mice, indicating a better locomotion at this time point. This was, however, not the case at 8 weeks after injury, when the values in wild-types approached those of Pfp⁻/⁻ mice (Figure 1F). The values of the heels–tail angle (HTA) were also higher in Pfp⁻/⁻ than in wild-type mice at 8 weeks after injury, indicating better recovery in the perforin-deficient group (Figure 1F). The limb protraction length ratio, estimated with the pencil grab test, was also better in Pfp⁻/⁻ than in wild-type mice at 4, but not at 8 weeks after injury (Figure 1G). In addition, we calculated stance recovery indices, which represent averaged FBA and HTA recovery indices. At both 4 and 8 weeks after surgery, the average recovery indices of injured Pfp⁻/⁻ mice were higher than those of wild-types (Figure 1H).

Improved axonal regrowth from motoneurons in Pfp⁻/⁻ mice

To analyze the cellular basis for improved motor recovery, motoneurons were retrogradely labeled. Retrograde labeling of motoneurons was performed on the same animals that had been analyzed for motor function recovery over an eight-week time span after injury (Figure 2A and B). The number of motoneurons that were retrogradely labeled through the quadriceps and saphenous branches, as well as those labeled through both branches, and the overall number of labeled motoneurons was lower in wild-type mice versus Pfp⁻/⁻ mice (Figure 2C). A fraction of the labeled motoneurons had in both genotypes projected wrongly into the saphenous branch, while the other labeled motoneurons had projected correctly into the quadriceps branch. A higher number of motoneurons had correctly regrown to the motor nerve branch in Pfp⁻/⁻ mice than in wild-type mice (+31%; Figure 2C).

Enhanced remyelination in Pfp⁻/⁻ mice

Numbers of axons and degree of myelination in non-injured and regenerated nerves were estimated in toluidine blue-stained semithin sections. The total numbers of myelinated axons in the motor branch of the non-injured femoral nerve were similar in both genotypes, whereas at 2 months after injury the total numbers of myelinated axons were reduced in both genotypes (Figure 3A–C). Notably, there was a tendency towards higher numbers of myelinated axons in Pfp⁻/⁻ mice, but without statistically significant difference (Figure 3C). Analysis of myelination, measured as g-ratio, revealed differences between the genotypes on the injured side, in regenerated nerves, indicating improved myelination in Pfp⁻/⁻ mice, in comparison with wild-type mice (Figure 4A–F). On the non-injured contralateral side, both genotypes showed a similar degree of myelination (Figure 4F).

Increased numbers of cholinergic synaptic terminals around cell bodies of motoneurons of Pfp⁻/⁻ mice

The number of synaptic terminals was determined from the labeled motoneurons, following procedures described (Miller et al., 2013). Negative effects of perforin deficiency was less prominent in Pfp⁻/⁻ compared to wild-type mice with or without injury as observed in the nerve trunk and newly formed blood vessels were seen (Figure 6). Lymphocytes were found in blood vessels and in damaged tissue (Figure 6). In injured Pfp⁻/⁻ nerves, only a few lymphocytes were seen outside of blood vessels (Figure 6B and D), whereas in wild-type nerves lymphocytes were more abundant (Figure 6A and C).

Discussion

Here we show that recovery after femoral nerve injury is substantially better in perforin-deficient mice than in wild-type controls, signifying a negative influence of perforin on recovery. In addition, perforin deficiency is associated with better remyelination of motor axons, better motoneuronal survival and a more precise motor neuron reinnervation. This negative effect of perforin after femoral nerve injury is not surprising, as it has been shown that in several nervous system disease models perforin-deficient mice show a better outcome (Koskimäki et al., 2005; Schep et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019). It had also been shown that perforin derived from NK-lymphocytes, CD8⁺ (cytotoxic) and CD4⁺ (“helper”) T-lymphocytes damages neurites in vitro (Miller et al., 2013). Negative effects of perforin in vivo may arise from its destructive impact on the blood-brain barrier and blood-splinal cord barrier, possibly allowing immune cell to infiltrate the diseased tissue and increase inflammatory damage in the central nervous system disorders (Koskimäki et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2014). Furthermore, lytic granules containing perforin and serine proteases, called granzymes, may affect target cells in blood vessels and in nerves. The proposed mechanism of perforin action in axonal degeneration involves binding of NK cells to target axons forming the “immune synapse”, whereby granzymes penetrate the axonal intracellular space (Davies et al., 2020). It has been reported that granzyme B protease activity, which is independent of perforin protease activity, targets caspase 3, but it is not known whether the apoptotic caspase pathway leads to axonal degeneration. It is likely that granzymes might, in conjunction with perforin, affect nerve regeneration, therefore accounting for indirect effects of perforin ablation. Of note, calcium ion influx through the perforin-containing pores in the membrane destabilizes microtubules and leads to axon degeneration (Davies et al., 2020).

It has been suggested that peripheral nerves are immune-privileged as seen in the central nervous system (Jakovecvski et al., 2013; Neal and Gasque, 2016). The blood-nerve barrier consists predominantly of endothelial cells connected by tight junctions to form a non-permeable barrier, analogous to the blood-brain barrier in the central nervous system (Petlen et al., 2013; Ubogu, 2015). The endoneurium behind the blood-nerve barrier contains Schwann cells, mast cells, macrophages and fibroblasts, all of which secrete a range of trophic factors important for axonal growth and Schwann cell proliferation, both of which are important for axonal regrowth and remyelination (Heumann et al., 1987). Macrophages have been proposed to be beneficial in clearing the debris after peripheral nerve injury, by infiltrating the distal nerve segment (Zigmond and Echevarria, 2019). The infiltration of macrophages has, however, been also associated with neuropathic pain, which additionally may be detrimental for recovery (Penas and Navarro, 2018). In addition to macrophages, NK cells have been implicated in chronic pain (Lepoutre et al., 2020). Also, perforin-containing NK cells target sensory axons which mediate neurotoxicity through perforin’s pore-forming function causing calcium influx, leading to chronic pain (Davies et al., 2019). Results from our experiments do not show evidence for chronic pain (self-mutilation, lack of grooming, non-reactiveness to stimuli) in any group of animals. Yet, we cannot exclude the possibility that in absence of its detrimental effect on motoneuronal axons, a sensory component could also contribute to a better recovery in perforin-deficient mice.

Decreased infiltration of immune cells into regenerated femoral nerves of Pfp⁻/⁻ mice

As perforin was previously implicated in opening the blood-brain and blood-spinal cord barriers (Huggins et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019), we tried to estimate the infiltration of immune cells at the site of nerve injury, thus assessing the function of the blood-nerve barrier. Numbers of immune cells in regenerating femoral nerves were determined 10 days after transection with toluidine blue-stained semithin sections of the nerve within the polyethylene tube. At this stage after injury, cells (lymphocytes, macrophages and mast cells) were not detected in the nerve tube. As seen in Figure 5A–F, the number of lymphocytes was less prominent in Pfp⁻/⁻ mice compared to wild-type mice, whereas at 2 months after injury the total numbers of myelinated axons in the motor branch were similar in both genotypes, thus assessing the function of the blood-nerve barrier.
Figure 1 | Recovery parameters after femoral nerve injury. 
(A–D) Images represent foot-base angle (FBA; A, B) and heels-tail-angle (HTA; C, D) measurements in non-injured (A, C) and injured mice (B, D), 4 weeks after injury. Angles are depicted with white lines. (E–G) FBA (E), HTA (F) and protraction length ratios (G) in perforin-deficient (Pfp−/−) mice and wild-type (WT) controls at different time-points after injury. Preoperative measures are shown as week 0. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. (H) Comparison of overall motor recovery in Pfp−/− and WT mice 4 weeks (wk) and 8 wk after injury. Bars represent the mean values ± SEM of the stance recovery index (the mean value of the individual recovery index for HTA and FBA). ∗P < 0.05, vs. WT mice (two-way analysis of variance for repeated measures with Tukey’s post hoc test). n = 7 mice per group.

Figure 2 | Precision of motoneuron reinnervation after femoral nerve injury. 
Representative images of wild-type (WT, A) and perforin-deficient (Pfp−/−) (B) ventral horns (VH) containing motoneurons retrogradely labeled through the quadricrubes branch with Fast Blue (blue) and through the saphenous branch with Fluoro-ruby (red). White line demarcates spinal cord gray matter from white matter, asterisks label correctly projecting, and “x” signs incorrectly projecting motoneurons. Scale bars: 50 μm. (C) The numbers of motoneurons labeled through the motor branch (correctly projecting ‘correct’), the sensory branch (incorrectly projecting ‘incorrect’), and the sum of correctly and incorrectly projecting neurons (total number, “total”) in wild-type (WT) and Pfp−/− mice 2 months after injury. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. ∗P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, vs. WT mice (one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test). n = 6 mice/group.

Figure 3 | Number of myelinated nerve fibers in injured and non-injured motor nerves. 
(A, B) Representative images of the injured quadricrubes branches of wild-type (WT, A) and perforin-deficient (Pfp−/−) mice (B). Scale bars: 20 μm. (C) The number of myelinated axons in the motor (quadricrubes) branch of WT and Pfp−/− mice, on the contralateral (non-injured) side and 2 months after injury (injured). Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. ∗P < 0.05, vs. non-injured (contralateral) nerves (one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test). n = 6 nerves/group.

Figure 4 | Myelinated nerve fibers in motor nerve branches. 
Representative images show myelin on axons in the motor branch of wild-type (WT; A, C) and perforin-deficient (Pfp−/−) (B, D) mice. A, B show light microscopy images, while C, D are transmission electron micrographs to demonstrate the quality of myelin fixation. Scale bars: 10 μm in A, B and 500 nm in C, D. (E) Normalized frequency distributions for g-ratios (axon/fiber diameters) of the injured femoral nerve of WT and Pfp−/− mice, at 2 months after injury. Per nerve 25 axons were analyzed, from 6 nerves per genotype. The distribution in Pfp−/− nerves is different from the distribution of the WT group (P < 0.001, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). (F) Normalized frequency distributions of g-ratios of the non-injured (contralateral) femoral nerve of WT and Pfp−/− mice. There is no difference between the distributions of g-ratios in non-injured nerves (P > 0.05, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test).

Figure 5 | Soma size of motoneurons and nerve terminals around retrogradely labeled motoneurons. 
Representative images of choline-acetyl transferase (ChAT) (A, B, green) and vesicular inhibitory transmitter transporter (VGAT) (C, D, green) immunostained motoneurons of perforin-deficient (Pfp−/−) (B, D) and wild-type (WT; A, C) mice, 2 months after injury. Asterisks mark retrogradely labeled motoneurons which were analyzed. Scale bars: 15 μm. Cell body area (E) and linear densities (number of puncta per mm) of ChAT (F) and VGAT (G) perisomatic terminals in non-injured and injured motoneurons, 2 months after injury. Graphs represent group mean values ± SEM calculated from > 300 cells taken from 6 animals per group. ∗P < 0.05, vs. WT mice; ∗P < 0.05, vs. non-injured (one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test).

Figure 6 | Analysis of immune cells in regenerating femoral nerve tissue. 
Shown are representative images of toluidine-blue stained semithin sections of wild-type (WT; A, C) and perforin-deficient (Pfp−/−) (B, D) nerves 10 days after injury. Large arrows point to infiltrated lymphocytes. Thin arrows point to intravascular lymphocytes. Shown are representative images of 4 Pfp−/− and 4 WT mice. Scale bars: 10 μm.
Schwann cells have been proposed to be part of the innate immune system, as they secrete different cytokines, including pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-1α, and IL-6, but also the anti-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor growth factor β and IL-10 (Martinii and Willison, 2016). Also, Schwann cells may present antigens to infiltrating T-lymphocytes upon inflammatory stimuli, leading to a release of inflammatory cytokines, thus inducing the innate and adaptive immune response (Bonetti et al., 2010). It is, therefore, not unexpected that altered functions of perforin-deficient NK cells and T-cells are prone to occlude the blood-nerve barrier, thereby enabling better re-myelination. Indeed, judging by g-ratios, the quality of re-myelination in the motor branch of the femoral nerve, 2 months after injury, is very similar to that of the non-injured nerve. Since Schwann cells also express perforin (Bonetti et al., 2003), it is plausible that perforin contributes to Schwann cell death.

Our study does not address the question of the cellular source of perforin in peripheral nerve injury. Of note, mice doubly deficient in perforin and Rag2 are incapable to generate an immune response and motoneurons survive poorly upon facial nerve injury (Byram et al., 2003). When in these mice NK cells were reconstituted, no effect on motoneuronal survival was observed, thus leading the authors to conclude that NK cells do not contribute to motoneuron survival after facial nerve injury (Byram et al., 2003). Thus, we would like to infer for our study that T-lymphocytes are the source of perforin, as described for spinal cord injury (Liu et al., 2019). Femoral nerve injury appears to be different from central nervous system injury with respect to T-lymphonuclear survival, as in both Rag2–/– and perforin-deficient mice there are no adverse effects on motoneurons, and motor reinnervation is more precise (Mehanna et al., 2014). Our findings on more precise motor reinervation, better motoneuron survival and more perisomatic cholinergic synapses at the soma of motoneurons of Pfp–/– mice compared with the controls are more difficult to explain. It is important to mention that the femoral nerve consists of a mainly sensory (saphenous) branch, which in addition contains a mixed motor-sensory (quadriceps) branch, which provides motor innervation for the femoral quadriceps muscle. Upon resection, motor axon therefore preferentially regrows through the quadriceps branch — a phenomenon called ‘preferential motor reinnervation’ (Brushart, 1988). Similar to Pfp–/– mice, Rag2–/– mice showed more precise motor reinnervation and better motoneuron survival (Mehanna et al., 2014), suggesting that the two mutants share a similar mechanism in recovery. As the common denominators in Rag2–/– and Pfp–/– mice are dysfunctional T-lymphocytes, we suggest that the combined adverse effects in perforin-expressing wild-type genotypes reduce motoneuron survival and precision of reinnervation after injury. The combined results indicate that T-lymphocytes are the predominant immune cell population relevant for perforin functions in the peripheral nervous system. T-lymphocytes have also been described to be important players in spinal cord injury (Liu et al., 2019). Of interest is the phenomenon of improved synaptic coverage of motoneurons in Rag2–/– mice, signifying a reduced T-lymphocyte response to peripheral nerve injury (Volucic et al., 2018). The number of cholinergic terminals at motoneuronal somata was lower in Rag2–/– mice than in wild-types (Mehanna et al., 2014), whereas in Pfp–/– mice this number is higher than in wild-type controls. This finding is the most conspicuous difference in the regenerative outcome after nerve injury between Rag2- and perforin-deficient mice. In agreement, the number of perisomatic cholinergic terminals was higher in perforin-deficient mice after spinal cord injury (Jakovcevski and Schachner, 2020). Ablation of perforin increases cholinergic synaptic coverage of motoneurons after injury which does not appear to be a developmental event, since in uninjured spinal cord no difference in synaptic coverage between the Pfp–/– and wild-type mice was observed. It is noteworthy in this respect that spinal cord microglia, infiltrating macrophages and astrocytes play important roles in synaptic remodeling after injury (Irntichev et al., 2018; Volucic et al., 2018; Jakovcevski et al., 2021). This remodeling takes place immediately after nerve injury when synaptic stripping occurs, which is reversed over time by synaptogenesis during muscle reinnervation (Hundeshagen et al., 2013; Raslan et al., 2014; Schultz et al., 2017). Thus, better axonal regeneration in Pfp–/– mice could indirectly lead to enhanced synaptogenesis compared with wild-type mice. Alternatively, or additionally, we can speculate that nerve injury affects glial response within the spinal cord differently in Pfp–/– and wild-type mice. In this context it is noteworthy that Pfp–/– mice have decreased numbers of microglia/macrophages and astrocytes after spinal cord injury (Jakovcevski and Schachner, 2020).

Limitations

Our study is the first to show that in the absence of perforin, mice show improved regeneration after femoral nerve injury. Its main limitation is that the constitutional ablation of perforin could potentially cause developmental effects which result in better regeneration and may or may not be directly caused by perforin. The lack of measurable differences in contralateral nerves between Pfp–/– and WT mice, as well as normal walking pattern in Pfp–/– mice prior to injury suggest that this scenario is not very probable. However, a gain-of-function study in Pfp–/– mice, or conditional knockout in adult mice would give a definite answer.

Conclusion

We show that in the absence of perforin, mice have a better locomotor outcome two months after femoral nerve injury, as well as better outcomes in histological parameters — re-myelination, reinnervation precision and synaptic remodeling of motoneurons after injury. Our observations suggest that decreased permeability of the blood-nerve barrier and reduced perforin-mediated toxicity can at least partially explain these positive effects. Based on the dynamics of locomotor recovery in the absence of perforin, it appears that the role of perforin is most pronounced in the early phase after injury. It will be interesting to investigate if a perforin-targeting therapy, for example using topical delivery of anti-perforin antibodies (Fujinaka et al., 2007) could have clinical significance.
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