Metamaterial Analogues of Strongly Coupled Molecular Ensembles

Milo Baraclough, Ian R. Hooper, and William L. Barnes*

ABSTRACT: The formation of polariton modes due to the strong coupling of light and matter has led to exciting developments in physics, chemistry, and materials science. The potential to modify the properties of molecular materials by strongly coupling molecules to a confined light field is so far-reaching and so attractive that a new field known as "polaritonic chemistry" is now emerging. However, the molecular scale of the materials involved makes probing strong coupling at the individual resonator level extremely challenging. Here, we offer a complementary approach based upon metamaterials, an approach that enables us to use cm-scale structures, thereby opening a new way to explore strong coupling phenomena. As proof-of-principle, we show that metamolecules placed inside a radio frequency cavity may exhibit strong coupling and show that near-field radio frequency techniques allow us, for the first time, to probe the response of individual metamolecules under strong coupling conditions.
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An embryonic new field is emerging based upon the concept of hybrid states that are part light, part matter; these states are known as polaritons. This new field, known as "polaritonic chemistry", arises from the opportunities such hybridization offers to explore and exploit new phenomena and new materials. Most attention has been focused on light that is in the visible or infrared part of the spectrum. When this light is hybridized with excitonic (molecular) resonances, exciton-polaritons are formed; when molecular vibrational or lattice phonon resonances are involved, then vibro- and phonon-polaritons, respectively, are formed. The attraction of this mixing of light and matter has previously led to developments such as polariton lasers and Bose–Einstein condensation, while the rapid rise of interest in polaritonic chemistry derives from the fact that strong coupling has been reported to lead to modified chemical reaction rates.

Modified intermolecular energy transfer, modified exciton transport, altered phase transitions, and a potential reordering of singlet–triplet energies.

Mixing light and matter in this way typically involves coupling the electric dipole moments associated with molecules—for example, an excitonic transition—to a confined light field. An archetypal example is that of placing a large number of dye molecules inside a planar microcavity. If the molecular dipole moments exchange energy with the confined light field at a rate that is greater than any other (dissipative) rate, then hybridization ensues, and one is in what is known as the strong coupling regime. While a great deal has been done in this area, much of it is sparked by the pioneering work of the Ebbesen group over the past decade, a great deal still remains to be understood.

The visible and infrared wavelengths of the light involved in these fascinating phenomena mean that the spatial scale of the structures used in these experiments is necessarily small. Consequently, while one would ideally probe these systems at the molecular level to investigate the precise nature of the light-matter hybridization, this is not really feasible. Among the questions one would like to explore are the effect on individual (molecular) resonators of strong coupling, the detailed effects of disorder, the role of dark states, and the degree of spatial coherence of the polariton states.

With these questions in mind, we sought to open up a new avenue to address some of these matters by making use of a radio frequency (RF) metamaterial analogue. There are various reports of coherent coupling phenomena at THz and RF frequencies; however, these either do not deal with ensemble strong coupling, i.e., situations where there are a large number of metamolecules, but instead deal with single meta-atoms; are based on molecular rather than metamolecular responses;
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exhibit coherent coupling but do not demonstrate strong coupling,\textsuperscript{36,39} or exhibit strong coupling but do not do so in a system with which it is easy to mimic the optical experiments discussed above.\textsuperscript{36} Our approach here is to employ electromagnetic metamaterials\textsuperscript{37,38} to mimic the optical experiments as closely as possible. Previously we have shown how metamolecules can be successfully used to create analogues for molecular aggregates,\textsuperscript{39} while others have used a similar approach to successfully explore RF analogues of intermolecular energy transfer.\textsuperscript{40} Our objective here is to establish another advantage of the metamaterial analogue approach, that direct probing the character of the polaritons by making use of molecular resonance and a cavity mode. We also set out to characterize the far- and near-field response of our system. To characterize the far-field angle-dependent response, the cavity was placed on a rotating stage between two horn antennas, with the transmission between the antennas being measured using a vector network analyzer (VNA) as the sample was rotated. To look at the effect of the metamolecules on the transmission through the cavity, the electric field of the incident radiation was aligned parallel to the direction joining the ends of the splits. The near-field response was characterized by exciting the cavity at a fixed angle of 15.5 degrees using a horn antenna and measuring the transmission between the horn antenna and a wire antenna mounted within the cavity. The wire antenna was then scanned in a raster pattern in the plane of the resonator sheet in both x- and y-directions to map out the response across the sheet.

## RESULTS

To investigate the use of metamaterials as analogues for molecular strong coupling, two key components are required: a planar Fabry-Perot cavity suitable for GHz frequencies and a set of metamolecules to place inside the cavity. For the mirrors, we used copper wire meshes, and for the metamolecules, we used split-ring resonators (SRRs),\textsuperscript{41} see Figure 1. Let us look briefly at the mirrors and resonators in turn.

The cavities used in strong coupling experiments in the visible and infrared parts of the spectrum frequently make use of mirrors based on simple metal films.\textsuperscript{3,42–45} These metal films are typically 30 nm or so thick, a thickness comparable with the skin depth. At this thickness, they are highly reflecting but still provide some degree of field penetration, allowing the incident light to be coupled in to the modes of the cavity. Such an approach is not suitable at the GHz frequencies associated with the radio frequency RF range owing to the very large impedance mismatch between metals and air at these frequencies. For an operating frequency of \(\sim 25 \text{ GHz} \) the center of our chosen frequency band—a continuous metal film would need to be of order only 1 nm thick to provide the same degree of transmission as is commonly achieved at optical frequencies. Instead, the cavities used in this work were based on metallic mesh mirrors; see the Methods section.

We chose to work with a cavity having a spacing between the mirrors of 29 mm. This choice of cavity thickness means that it is the fifth-order mode that occurs at \(\sim 25 \text{ GHz} \). While it might seem better to work with a cavity thickness that results in 25 GHz being the frequency of the lowest-order mode, such a cavity would be only \(\sim 5 \text{ mm} \) across, and this would not provide the space needed to include both our metamolecules and our near-field probes.

For the metamolecules, we used the well-established split-ring resonators,\textsuperscript{46} as shown in the inset of Figure 1; further details are given in the Methods section. Two characterization techniques were used in this work to probe the far- and near-field responses of our system. To characterize the far-field angle-dependent response, the cavity was placed on a rotating stage between two horn antennas, with the transmission between the antennas being measured using a vector network analyzer (VNA) as the sample was rotated. To look at the effect of the metamolecules on the transmission through the cavity, the electric field of the incident radiation was aligned parallel to the direction joining the ends of the splits.

The near-field response was characterized by exciting the cavity at a fixed angle of 15.5 degrees using a horn antenna and measuring the transmission between the horn antenna and a wire antenna mounted within the cavity. The wire antenna was then scanned in a raster pattern in the plane of the resonator sheet in both x- and y-directions to map out the response across the sheet.

![Figure 1. Metamaterial cavity and metamolecules. The schematic shows a cavity made from two mesh/grid mirrors, between which is a sheet of metamolecules. The mirrors are formed of a copper grid on a dielectric substrate with a grid period of 1.5 mm and an aperture size of 1.25 mm. The metamolecules are copper split-ring resonators, also on a dielectric substrate. The inset shows the dimensions of a single split-ring resonator: \(w = 0.15 \text{ mm}, D = 1.1 \text{ mm}, g = 0.1 \text{ mm}\). The mirrors were 300 mm \(\times\) 300 mm in area, and the mirror separation was 29 mm. All metallic regions were 35 \(\mu\text{m}\) in thickness.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.1c00931)
In panel b) of Figure 2, the measured frequency of the SRR metamolecule resonance is indicated by the white dash-dotted line, while the measured (angle-dependent) frequency of the empty cavity is indicated by the white dotted line. The frequency of the empty cavity mode matches that of the metamolecule resonance when the angle of incidence is \( \sim 6.5^\circ \), and it can be seen from the transmittance data for the filled cavity (color map) that there is an anticrossing. This anticrossing is the key feature of strong coupling; it is one of the major results from our investigation.

To check that we are in the strong coupling regime, we need to determine the extent of the anticrossing, i.e., the frequency separation, \( \Omega \), and compare it with the width of the bare cavity mode, \( \Delta \omega_{\text{cav}} \), and the width of the bare resonator modes, \( \Delta \omega_{\text{SRR}} \). More specifically, we need to check whether \( \Omega > \Delta \omega_{\text{cav}} + \Delta \omega_{\text{SRR}} \). Since this is perhaps the most stringent criterion...
for strong coupling. We determined the widths as follows: $\Delta \omega_{\text{cav}} = 0.57 \pm 0.02$ GHz and $\Delta \omega_{\text{SRR}} = 0.38 \pm 0.04$ GHz, so that $\Delta \omega_{\text{cav}} + \Delta \omega_{\text{SRR}} = 0.95 \pm 0.05$ GHz. The splitting is determined by the frequency separation of the new hybrid modes at what, in the absence of coupling, would be the crossing point, i.e., at an angle of incidence of 6.5°. From the data in Figure 2(b), we find the splitting to be $\Omega = 1.25 \pm 0.13$ GHz, significantly greater than the sum of the line widths; we are thus in the strong coupling regime.

Strong coupling involves the formation of hybrid polariton modes, and one indication of this comes from looking at the dispersion of the modes and the observation of an anticrossing, as we have seen in Figure 2. In addition, it is both interesting and informative to look at the hybrid nature of the strongly coupled modes and specifically to explore the relative proportion of their light/matter content. In the optical regime, this has not so far been directly possible. However, as we show here, our metamaterial analogue approach opens up such an opportunity. We will discuss directly probing this aspect by experiment later, but before doing so we will focus on indirectly calculating the light/matter content of these hybrid modes using a simple toy model for the hybridization.

A convenient technique for predicting the degree of hybridization expected in a system such as that explored here is to make use of a simple coupled oscillator model.\[35,49\] The flat-band nature of the resonator-only response indicates that the interaction between the SRR resonators is very small (the field of the resonators is highly localized), and the coupled oscillator model for the system can thus be represented as (1)

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{\text{cav}} & \Omega \\
\Omega & F_{\text{SRR}}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} =
\lambda
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(1)

where $F_{\text{cav}}$ is the resonance frequency of the empty cavity, $F_{\text{SRR}}$ is the resonance frequency of the bare SRRs, and $\Omega$ is the coupling strength between the two. $x_1$ and $x_2$ make up an eigenvector of the system, while $\lambda$ is a corresponding eigenvalue. The eigenvalues of this matrix are the frequency positions of the upper and lower polaritons of the coupled system, while the components of the eigenvectors ($x_1$ and $x_2$) are the Hopfield coefficients; in our case, these give the degree of “cavity-like” and “metamolecule-like” character of the polaritons. By choosing a value of $\Omega$ that fits the polariton modes to those measured in experiment, we can derive the degree of mode hybridization between the resonators and the cavity from the eigenvectors. Figure 2c shows the results of the Hopfield analysis on our system. The upper polariton changes from being more SRR-like at normal incidence to almost entirely cavity-like at 20° and vice versa for the lower polariton.

Let us turn now to the experimental data relevant to directly probing the character of the measured polariton modes. Figure 3 is for the empty cavity and metamolecules only, while Figure 4 is for the filled cavity. All near-field measurements were undertaken at an angle of incidence of 15.5°, where the coupled oscillator model indicates that the upper polariton is 74.6% cavity-like and the lower polariton is 25.4% cavity-like.

Let us look first at the two component systems, i.e., the cavity and the resonators, Figure 3. Panel a) shows two spectra, taken at an angle of incidence of 15.5°, one for the empty cavity (orange) and one for the array of metamolecules on their own (red). Panel b) shows a near-field scan of the bare SRRs at the SRR resonance frequency, while panel c) shows a near-field scan for the empty cavity at a frequency corresponding to the fifth-order mode. The near-field scans are plotted as color scales, the color indicating the strength of the detected instantaneous electric field as a function of position. In panel b), excitation around the bare SRRs is highly localized to the neighborhood of the resonators. The streaks in these data in the $y$-direction are due to the smearing effect resulting from the finite size of the near-field probe antenna which is elongated in the $y$-direction. In the $x$-direction, it is clear that there is little to no interaction between neighboring resonators. In the empty cavity data, panel c), we see clear bands (oscillations) arising from the in-plane propagating nature of the cavity mode. The bands observed here, with a spacing of 44.2 mm, are consistent with the fields inside the cavity being excited at an angle of incidence of 15.5°. Note that the bands are not standing waves, rather they correspond to traveling waves (cavity modes); they appear as standing waves.
here because we have plotted the instantaneous electric field, see Methods.

Let us now look at the combined system, for which data are shown in Figure 4. At the top, we again present a line spectrum, this time for the SRR-filled cavity. Four frequencies of interest are indicated as b), c), d), and e)—referring to the near-field maps shown in panels b), c), d), and e)—let us look at each of these in turn. The fourth-order mode (b) of the filled cavity is very similar to the data for the empty cavity, panel c) of Figure 3), although with the change in frequency in going from a fifth-order mode to a fourth-order mode comes a change in the period of the oscillations from 44.2 to 53.4 mm. Despite being filled with the SRR array, there are no signs of hybridization, only small perturbations in the field when the detecting antenna is in close proximity to the metal resonators. Panel d) shows a near-field map for a frequency that matches the resonance of the bare SRRs. Despite the near-zero transmission at this frequency (see panel a)) we can see that the SRRs are nonetheless excited and that there is very little indication of any hybridization with a cavity mode. Panels c) and e) show near-field scans at the frequency of the lower and upper polariton modes for this angle of incidence. From the Hopfield analysis described above, we can expect a high degree of SRR-like features in (c), while we should expect cavity-like features in (e). This is indeed the case with the lower polariton mode being primarily similar in form to the bare SRRs (fields are localized around the resonators) but with a slight modulation in the x-direction from the cavity mode, while the upper polariton mode appears to look like a cavity mode perturbed by the presence of the SRRs.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that metamaterials can be used to construct an analogue of a very topical and important class of structures based on the concept of strong coupling between molecules and confined light fields. We have developed a system to investigate the coupling between a Fabry-Pérot mode supported by a cavity formed from metallic mesh mirrors and the localized resonances supported by a sheet of metamolecules based on split-ring resonators. With this system, we have shown that an anticrossing between the cavity mode and the metamolecule resonance can be achieved and that the extent of the mode splitting between the hybrid polariton modes satisfies the most stringent of strong coupling criteria. We have then exploited a key feature of working at GHz frequencies—that of being able to directly probe the RF near-field—to look at the mixed light/matter character of the polariton modes, finding good agreement with what is expected on the basis of a well-established coupled oscillator model. We should note that our analogue system is only that, an analogue; there will be effects that are not open to study with such an approach.

We hope that this demonstration of a metamaterial analogue for strong coupling will act as a springboard for further work. For example, it should be relatively straightforward to investigate the effect of changing the areal density of resonators and to examine the effect of the size (area) of the cavity. A more in-depth study of the near-fields inside the cavity, comparing their strength with that of the transmitted field, may help build up a better picture of the relationship between the fields inside strongly coupled cavities and the far-fields. The addition of disorder to the metamaterial layout, either spatially or through slight variations in shape/size of resonators, is another obvious route for a future investigation. Combining near-field measurements with disorder might perhaps offer a way to learn something about the localization lengths associated with dark modes. The use of more complex metamolecules with multiple dipole moments may provide an interesting way to probe more complex systems, while the incorporation of electronic components might allow the effect of noise to be mimicked. We also note that this metamaterials approach could be applied to other types of confined light field, including open cavities exploiting surface waves and cavity-free geometries.

**METHODS**

All the samples used in this paper were fabricated using standard commercial PCB wet-etching techniques by the company Multi Circuit Boards and had a copper thickness of 35 μm.

**Cavity.** Each of the mirrors was formed from a dielectric panel 300 mm × 300 mm in area (substrate material FR4, thickness 1.55 mm), upon which there was a square copper mesh (mesh period 1.5 mm, the mesh wires being 0.25 mm wide and 35 μm thick, see Figure 2). The transmittance of the meshes across our chosen frequency band was ~25%.

**Metamolecules.** The SRRs had an outer radius of 0.7 mm, a ring width of 0.15 mm, and a gap size of 0.1 mm. These parameters give the SRRs a first-order resonance frequency of 24.47 GHz when printed on a (dielectric) Rogers 4350B substrate. In this study, we chose an orientation for the incident electric field such that only the electric dipole moment was excited. This choice still results in a magnetic moment being induced by currents circulating in the rings, but because this moment is perpendicular to the magnetic fields in the cavity, it does not contribute to the subsequent interaction. The SRRs were printed so that the spacing between the center of each resonator was 6 mm, enabling 2500 resonators to be produced on a single sheet. The period of the arrays was chosen so as to ensure that any diffractive effects occur at frequencies higher than those studied here. At this spacing, the interaction between the resonators is small, the primary effect being a modest increase in resonance line width rather than a shift in resonance frequency; this is comparable to observations made elsewhere.

**All RF Measurements.** All measurements were taken using an Anritsu Vectorstar MS4644A Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) connected via 50 Ω coaxial cables to the interrogative antennas. In the case of the far-field measurements, two bespoke, lens-type horn antennas (Flann Microwave, Ltd.) served this purpose. Each had a 3 dB beam-width of 5.7 deg in the E-plane and 6.6 deg in the H-plane. Far-field data were normalized to the maximum value of the transmittance in any given data set. For non-normal incidence measurements, the sample was rotated about the y-axis.

**Near-Field RF Measurements inside the Cavity.** We used a wire antenna that comprised a 4 mm length of a coaxial cable from which the metallic mesh shielding and outer dielectric layer had been removed at one end, so as to expose a short length of the central metallic core. This exposed region can act as a small electric dipole receiver and is thus able to detect local electric fields. The wire antenna was positioned sufficiently close, between 1 and 2 mm, to the SRR array (or bare substrate in the case of the empty cavity measurements) such that it was located within the near-fields around the rings (within the fields of the cavity modes). A lens horn antenna...
was used to irradiate the systems, and the magnitude and phase of the transmission between the horn antenna and the wire antenna detector were measured using the VNA as a function of position in the plane of the array/cavity. From these data, the magnitude of the transmission at a given phase for each location was determined, and this transmission is directly related to the electric field strength so that the data shown here provide a proxy for maps of the magnitude of the instantaneous electric field strength (achieved through the use of a polarized electrical antenna as the probe).

**Empty Cavity Measurements.** Our empty cavity measurements made use of a blank substrate to mimic use of a polarized electrical antenna as the probe).
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