MAXI J1957+032: a new accreting millisecond X-ray pulsar in an ultra-compact binary
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ABSTRACT

The detection of coherent X-ray pulsations at ~314 Hz (3.2 ms) classifies MAXI J1957+032 as a fast-rotating, accreting neutron star. We present the temporal and spectral analysis performed using NICER observations collected during the latest outburst of the source. Doppler modulation of the X-ray pulsation revealed the ultra-compact nature of the binary system characterised by an orbital period of ~ 1 hour and a projected semi-major axis of 14 lt-ms. The neutron star binary mass function suggests a minimum donor mass of 1.7 × 10⁻² M☉, assuming a neutron star mass of 1.4 M☉ and a binary inclination angle lower than 60 degrees. This assumption is supported by the lack of eclipses or dips in the X-ray light curve of the source. We characterised the 0.5–10 keV energy spectrum of the source in outburst as the superposition of a relatively cold black-body-like thermal emission compatible with the emission from the neutron star surface and a Comptonisation component with photon index consistent with a typical hard state. We did not find evidence for iron K-α lines or reflection components.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Accreting millisecond X-ray pulsars (AMXPs) are rapidly-rotating (spin frequency > 30 Hz) neutron stars (NS) gravitationally bound with late-type companion stars (see e.g., Di Salvo & Sanna 2020; Patruno & Watts 2021, for extensive reviews). Their distinct short spin periods are a direct consequence of prolonged mass transfer phases in which the companion star loses matter via Roche-lobe overflow, subsequently accreted onto the NS (recycling scenario; Alpar et al. 1982). The sample currently includes 24 sources, a third of which are characterised by an orbital period shorter than 80 minutes (also known as ultra-compact binaries). Short orbital periods suggest small low-mass companion stars, consistent with donor masses on average <0.2 M☉.

MAXI J1957+032 was observed for the first time by MAXI (Negoro et al. 2015) and INTEGRAL (Cherepashchuk et al. 2015) in May 2015. At odds with standard low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) MAXI J1957+032 exhibited four short (< 5 days) faint outbursts between its discovery and October 2016 (Sugimoto et al. 2015; Tanaka et al. 2016). Optical observations of the system during its 2016 outburst revealed emission compatible with an irradiated X-ray disc in a LMXB, suggesting similarities with AMXPs (Mata Sánchez et al. 2017). An optical counterpart (late-K/early-M dwarf star) has been identified during the X-ray quiescence phase, setting a constraint on the source distance of the order of 5 ± 2 kpc (Ravi 2017). However, the outburst properties of MAXI J1957+032 let the author to suggest that the observed counterpart is likely not the mass donor. Instead, it is suggested to be a possible triplet system, with the main-sequence counterpart likely being in a wide orbit around a compact interacting binary. Moreover, the spectral evolution investigated by combining the Swift observations collected during the four outbursts suggested that, for a distance of the order of 4 kpc, a neutron star (NS) might be hosted in the binary system (Beri et al. 2019).

On June 18, 2022, MAXI/GSC detected X-ray activity in the direction of MAXI J1957+032 (Negoro et al. 2022). NICER quickly started monitoring the new outburst, discovering coherent X-ray pul-
sations at $\sim 314$ Hz and revealing the nature of the accreting compact object (Ng et al. 2022). A preliminary orbital solution obtained from continued NICER observations suggests that MAXI J1957+032 is an ultra-compact binary with an orbital period of $\sim 1$ hour (Bult et al. 2022).

Swift/XRT observations on June 20, 2022, suggested spectral properties consistent with the 2016 outburst of the source (see, e.g. Beri et al. 2019). Moreover, Swift/UVOT detected the UV counterpart of the source, with a magnitude UVW2 = 20.37 ± 0.08 (Beri et al. 2022). On June 21 MAXI J1957+032 was not detected in radio by the MeerKAT observatory, with an upper limit of 48 $\mu$Jy (van den Eijnden et al. 2022). Optical observations suggested the presence of short timescale variability during the outburst (Baglio et al. 2022), and a significant optical counterpart during the 50 days before the outburst, with the first optical brightening almost nine hours earlier to the MAXI/GSC trigger (Wang et al. 2022). On June 23, Swift revealed no significant X-ray activity at the source location, suggesting that MAXI J1957+032 may have entered the quiescence phase (Chandra 2022).

Here, we report on the discovery of millisecond X-ray pulsations from the X-ray transient MAXI J1957+032 and its spectral properties from the NICER observations collected during its latest outburst.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

MAXI J1957+032 was observed by NICER X-ray telescope on the International Space Station (Gendreau et al. 2016) from June 19, 2022 to June 24 (ObsIDs 5202840101-6) for a total exposure time of $\sim 22.5$ ks after standard filtering. We also included a short data segment ($\sim 40$ seconds at MJD $\sim 59740.1$) collected during a raster scan performed to better constrain the source position. We retained events in the 0.5–10 keV energy band by processing the observations with HEASoft version 6.30.1 and the NICER software NICERDAS version 9.0 (2022-01-17_V009) with standard screening criteria. We extracted source and background spectra in the 0.5–10 keV energy range using the nbackgen3C50 tool (Remillard et al. 2022), and we generated response matrices using the nicerrmf and nicerarf tools. The analysis was performed with Xspec 12.12.1 (Arnaud 1996) after applying an optimal binning, which guarantees at least 25 counts per energy bin.

The top panel of Figure 1 shows the background-subtracted light curve of the outburst monitored by NICER (black points). Each point represents the 16s average count rate. ObsIDs 5202840105-6 are not shown since the source count rate is compatible with the background. The source count rate at the peak of the observed outburst is $\sim 160$ cts s$^{-1}$, which exponentially decreases to the quiescence level in almost four days. No Type-I thermonuclear X-ray bursts were observed during the observations. Finally, we applied barycentric corrections to the photon arrival times utilising the barycor tool adopting JPL DE-405 Solar system ephemeris. We considered the best available source position coordinates obtained by Chandra during the 2015 and 2016 outbursts (Chakrabarty et al. 2016).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Timing analysis

Following the detection of X-ray pulsations at $\sim 314$ Hz (Ng et al. 2022), we proceeded by searching for X-ray pulsations over short time intervals (between 150 and 500 seconds, depending on the statistics of the data segment) by performing epoch-folding search techniques using 8 phase bins and starting with the spin frequency value $\nu = 313.643740$ Hz (Bult et al. 2022). We explored the frequency space with a $10^{-5}$ Hz frequency step for a total of 10001 steps. We detected significant X-ray pulsations in 38 of the 60 data segments. The signal frequency value and uncertainty for each data segment have been determined following the method described by Leahy (1987). The temporal evolution of the detected signal (second panel of Figure 1, red stars) is compatible with an orbital modulation. Assuming a circular orbit, we obtained the best-fit for an orbital period of $P_{orb} = 3653.47(69)$ seconds, a projected semi-major axis of the NS orbit $a = 0.01367(35)$ lt-s, an epoch of ascending node passage $T_{ASC} = 59749.63327(22)$ MJD, and a spin frequency $\nu = 313.64373(12)$ Hz (Figure 1, second panel, solid line).

We then proceeded with the phase-coherent timing analysis by generating pulse phase delays in the time interval between MJD 59749.625494 and MJD 59749.648643 (June 19; for an exposure time of $\sim 1.3$ ks), where the NICER count rate is higher. We folded data segments of $\sim 200$ seconds into 8 phase bins at the preliminary spin frequency $\nu$. We then modelled each pulse profile with a constant plus a sinusoidal function, and we retained profiles for which the pulse amplitude is at least three times larger than its uncertainty. We modelled the pulse phase evolution with a constant frequency combined with a circular Keplerian orbital model (see Sanna et al. 2016, for a more detailed description of the procedure).

Due to the short baseline covered by the dataset with respect to the orbital modulation of the system and the relatively small uncertainties on the orbital period and projected semi-major axes, we only explored corrections on the spin frequency and the epoch of ascending node passage. The best-fit is obtained for $\nu = 313.6436542(61)$ Hz, and $T_{ASC} = 59749.633066(17)$ MJD. We then propagated the solution to the nearest (in time) data segments verifying that the phase uncertainty remained smaller than half of a spin cycle, a condition required for the application of phase-coherent analysis. It is noteworthy that a similar conclusion can be reached even accounting for spin frequency derivatives $|\dot{\nu}| \lesssim 10^{-11}$ Hz s$^{-1}$, orders of magnitude larger than the values observed for AMXPs (see, e.g., Di Salvo & Sanna 2020). We generated pulse phase delays for each increased dataset and fitted them, searching for a stable timing solution, until we covered the whole outburst.

As shown in the fourth panel of Figure 1, the pulse phase delays from the most accurate timing solution show a phase jump of $-0.2$ pulse cycles around MJD 59750.2. To account for that, we included in the model a phase jump around MJD 59750.2. The best-fit orbital and pulsar spin parameters from the latter model are reported in Table 1, while its associated residuals are shown in the fifth panel of Figure 1. A close inspection suggests a further modulation of the residuals on timescales longer than the binary orbital period. We tested this hypothesis by comparing a constant against a constant plus a sinusoidal function. The latter showed an F-test probability of $\sim 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$, corresponding to a $\sim 3\sigma$ statistical improvement due to the additional component characterised by an amplitude of $(3.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$ phase cycles, and period $1.99 \pm 0.14$ days.

To further investigate the effect of the phase jump, we generated the average pulse profile pre and post-phase jump (Figure 2). Both profiles are well described as the superposition of three harmonically related sinusoidal functions. The fundamental, second, and third harmonics of the pre-jump profile are characterised by fractional amplitudes of $(7.9 \pm 0.2\%)$, $(2.7 \pm 0.2\%)$, and $(2.0 \pm 0.3\%)$, respectively. The post-jump profile presents fractional amplitudes of $(10.6 \pm 0.4\%)$, $(2.9 \pm 0.5\%)$, and $(0.9 \pm 0.4\%)$ for the fundamental, second and third harmonics, respectively.

In the third panel of Figure 1, we report the evolution of the
Table 1. Orbital parameters and spin frequency of MAXI J1957+032 with uncertainties on the last digit quoted at 1σ confidence level. $T_0$ represents the reference epoch for this timing solution.

| Parameters | Value |
|------------|-------|
| R.A. (J2000) | $19^h 56^m 39.11^s \pm 0.04^s$ |
| Decl. (J2000) | $03^\circ 26' 43.7'' \pm 0.6''$ |
| $P_{\text{orb}}$ (s) | 3653.046(61) |
| $x$ (lt-s) | 0.013796(25) |
| $T_{\text{ASC}}$ (MJD/TDB) | 59749.633146(18) |
| Eccentricity | $< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ (3σ c.l.) |
| $v_0$ (Hz) | 313.64374049(22) |
| $T_0$ (MJD/TDB) | 59749.0 |

$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$: 1.23/23

Figure 1. First panel - NICER 0.5–10 keV light curve of the June 2022 outburst of MAXI J1957+032. Filled circles represent 16s average background-subtracted count rate of the source. Second panel - Temporal evolution of the fractional pulse amplitude estimated in the energy range 0.5–10 keV (filled circles), and upper limits (3σ c.l.) on the non-detection (filled triangles). Third panel - Evolution of the fractional pulse phase delays obtained by epoch-folding the NICER photon arrival times corrected for the best-fitting orbital solution from the phase-coherent analysis. The dotted line serves the purpose of highlighting the phase jump observed around 59750.2 MJD. Fifth panel - Residuals in pulse cycles with respect to the best-fitting models for the pulse phase delays.

3.2 Spectral analysis

We investigated the spectral properties of MAXI J1957+032 by generating four energy spectra along the decaying phase of the outburst. Data intervals selected to create the spectra are shown in Figure 1. To perform the spectral analysis, we set Wilms et al. (2000) elemental abundances and Verner et al. (1996) photo-electric cross-sections. The 0.5–10 keV energy spectra are well modelled by an absorbed thermal component (black-body) combined with a power-law continuum (TBabs*[bbodyrad+powerlaw] in Xspec).

The best-fit values of $N_H$ vary from $(0.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (obtained in #1) up to an average value of $(2.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ for the other intervals. We noticed that the Galactic absorption in the direction of the source is estimated to be $\sim 1 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (HI4PI Collaboration et al. 2016). Moving from #1 to #4, the black-body temperature ($kT_{BB}$) decreased significantly from $(0.45 \pm 0.01)$ keV down to $(0.26 \pm 0.03)$ keV, as well as its normalisation that varies from $(5.3 \pm 2.2)$ km to $1.6^{+2}_{-1}$ km (estimated at 5 ± 2 kpc; Ravi 2017), compatible with a fraction of the NS surface. The power-law photon index at the peak is $\Gamma = 1.58 \pm 0.04$, compatible with the source being in a hard state. As expected, during the descending phase, $\Gamma$ increases, reaching the final value of 2.81 ± 0.03. We detected clear correlations between $N_H$, $kT_{BB}$ and $\Gamma$ by Goodman-Weare algorithm of Monte Carlo Markov Chain (Goodman & Weare 2010) characterised by 20 walkers and chain length of $10^6$. However, the observed spectral evolution cannot be explained only by model degeneracy. We found no evidence for spectral lines (e.g., iron K-α) nor reflection features. Finally, the unabsorbed 0.5–10 keV flux decreases from $(2.95 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ to $(8.06 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ close to the quiescence phase.

4 DISCUSSION

We reported on the temporal and spectral properties of the newly discovered AMXP MAXI J1957+032 during its latest outburst as monitored by NICER. The detection of coherent X-ray pulsations at $\sim 314$ Hz allowed us to identify the accreting compact object as a
We attempted to set a preliminary constraint on the NS dipolar magnetic field. Assuming the spin equilibrium for the X-ray pulsar, we can then express the magnetic field as:

$$B = 0.63 \zeta^{-7/6} \frac{P_{\text{spin}}}{2 \text{ms}} 10^8 \text{ G},$$

where $\zeta$ (generally between 0.1–1) corresponds to the ratio between the magnetospheric radius and the Alfvén radius (see, e.g., Ghosh & Lamb 1979; Wang 1996). $P_{\text{spin}}$ and $M$ are the pulsar spin period and mass, respectively, and $M$ represents the mass accretion rate onto the NS. Considering the peak unabsorbed flux (0.5–10 keV) and a source distance of 5 ± 2 kpc (Ravi 2017), we infer $M \approx 0.76 \times 10^{-10}$ M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ for a NS radius and mass of 1.4 M$_{\odot}$ and 10 km, respectively. From Eq. 1, we estimate a dipolar magnetic field ranging between 1 × 10^7 G and 1.4 × 10^9 G, in line with the typical magnetic field of known AMXPs (see, e.g., Mukherjee et al. 2015).

The NS mass function $f(m_2, m_1, i) \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ M$_{\odot}$ combined with the absence of total eclipses or dips in the X-ray light curve (inclination ≤ 60°; see, e.g., Frank et al. 1987) suggest a lower limit on the companion star mass of $m_2 \gtrsim 1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ M$_{\odot}$ (for a 1.4 M$_{\odot}$ NS), which increases up to $m_2 \gtrsim 2.1 \times 10^{-2}$ M$_{\odot}$ if we consider a 2 M$_{\odot}$ NS.

We derive the donor radius as a function of its mass, $R_d \approx 0.2 m_2^{1/3} P_{\text{orb}, 1 \text{h}}^{2/3} R_{\odot}$, by combining the Roche-Lobe overflow contact condition ($R_d \approx R_{L2}$) with the NS mass function. To further investigate the nature of the donor star, in Figure 4, we compare the latter expression (solid-black line) with different types of low-mass stars. Red-dashed lines represent theoretical mass-radius relations for warm (2.5 × 10^6 K) and hot (7.9 × 10^6 K) Helium white dwarfs (He WD; Deloye & Bildsten 2003). Warm He WDs seem unlikely given the required inclination ~ 90°. On the other hand, hot He WDs would imply a donor mass ~ 0.045 M$_{\odot}$, with an orbital inclination of ~ 20°. Brown-dotted lines represent numerically simulated mass-radius relations for brown dwarfs at 5 and 10 Gyr (Chabrier et al. 2009). Intersections between the curves suggest a brown dwarf donor star with mass of 0.043–0.085 M$_{\odot}$, which corresponds to an orbital inclination between 20 and 10 degrees. However, we cannot exclude the possibility of the donor being bloated with respect to its thermal equilibrium radius because of irradiation from the compact object. Further studies are needed to favour one of the proposed scenarios. Nevertheless, these constraints on the donor mass strongly support the scenario for which the late-K/early-M dwarf star identified as the optical counterpart of MAXI J1957+032 is not the donor star of the detected AMXP, but instead a member of a triple system with an ultracompact AMXP binary (Ravi 2017).

Finally, the energy spectrum is well described by an absorbed soft
black-body-like component ($\kappa T \sim 0.4$ keV) compatible with emission from the NS surface plus a power-law-like component characterised by $\Gamma \sim 1.6$ in line with typical thermal Comptonisation components observed in the hard state of AMXPs (see, e.g. Falanga et al. 2005; Gierliński & Poutanen 2005; Sanna et al. 2017a). The cooling of the black-body temperature and the increase of the power-law photon index as the source X-ray activity dims out are in line with the spectral evolution of the previous outbursts of the source (Beri et al. 2019), as well as other AMXPs (see, e.g., Ng et al. 2021; Sanna et al. 2018c). We found no evidence for iron K-\alpha, nor reflection components in the NICER band. Interestingly, a similar result has been reported for at least four other ultra-compact AMXPs, i.e., IGR J16597–3704 (Sanna et al. 2018b), XTE J1807–294 (Campana et al. 2003), XTE J1751–305 (Miller et al. 2003), and SWIFT J1756.9–2508 (see, e.g., Sanna et al. 2018a; Koliopanos et al. 2021). However, evidence for iron lines has been reported for compact systems such as NGC 6440X–2 (Heinke et al. 2010), MAXI J10911–655 (Sanna et al. 2017b), and IGR J17062–6143 (Degenaar et al. 2017). Assuming a source distance $d = 5 \pm 2$ kpc (Ravi 2017), we constrain the peak luminosity during the latest outburst in the range $L = (3.2–17.2) \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ taking into account the distance uncertainty, consistent with MAXI J1957+032 being a very faint X-ray transient (see, e.g., Wijnands et al. 2006; Beri et al. 2019).
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