PEARLS

Innate immunity in fungi: Is regulated cell death involved?

Maria Laura Gaspar, Teresa E. Pawlowska
School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, United States of America
* tep8@cornell.edu

Overview

Innate immunity is an ancient cell-autonomous property of eukaryotes that allows them to regulate interactions with antagonistic microbes, including bacteria. While animal and plant innate immunity systems are relatively well understood [1,2], innate defenses in fungi are only beginning to be unraveled. Both animal and plant immune systems consist of surveillance, signal transduction, and response modules, which exhibit remarkable functional similarities and are clearly products of convergent/parallel evolutionary trajectories [3,4]. Among others, these similarities include regulated cell death (RCD) of infected cells as an ultimate mechanism for eliminating microbial intruders. Unlike accidental cell death, RCD is governed by a set of genetically encoded procedures for targeted cell removal and, depending on specific stimuli, can proceed along several distinct pathways manifested by diverse biochemical and morphological hallmarks [5].

It is unclear whether the convergent patterns in animal and plant innate defenses are a consequence of (i) adaptation to similar selective forces exerted by microbial antagonists; (ii) underlying physical, biochemical, or developmental constraints imposed by solutions needed to combat invading microbes; (iii) stochasticity of genetic drift; or (iv) a combination of all these 3 forces [6]. Nonetheless, it is not unreasonable to expect that elements of innate immunity have also evolved in fungi.

The limited knowledge about antimicrobial defenses in fungi could be attributed to the common perception that fungi depend on secondary metabolites to suppress bacterial antagonists [7]. Indeed, secondary metabolites, which are nonessential bioactive compounds of low molecular weight, play an outsized role in warfare and defense of some fungi, particularly Dikarya [8]. However, other fungi, including those representing early divergent lineages, such as Mucoromycotina, do not produce extensive repertoires of secondary metabolites and seem to rely on mechanisms resembling innate immunity of animals and plants for protection of their cells from bacterial invasions [9], as we detail in the subsequent sections.

Given convergent origins of animal and plant antimicrobial defenses, the existence of innate immunity in fungi is not surprising. Its architecture is likely a product of both, a close phylogenetic relation of fungi and animals, which are united in the supergroup Opistokonta, and physical constrains of a modular growth habit and rigid walls enveloping fungal cells, both features shared with plants. Because of these conditions, fungi are expected to share molecular underpinnings of their immune responses with animals, while being able to mount them in most vegetative cells of their bodies, like plants and in contrast to animals, which rely on specialized immune cells, phagocytes.

In this Pearl, we outline the convergently evolved innate immune modules of animals and plants, argue that antibacterial defenses of fungi exhibit hallmarks of innate immunity, explore the types of RCD in fungi, hypothesize that RCD is one of the defensive responses in fungi,
present a model system to test this hypothesis, and consider the significance of such research for developing novel antifungal therapies.

1. Innate immunity surveillance systems

Animals and plants use pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) to sense conserved structural components of microbial cells, referred to as microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), as well as host-derived damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) [1,2]. In animals, PRRs survey both extra- and intracellular environments and, among others, include Toll-like receptors, C-type lectin receptors, and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs) [1]. These PRRs are collectively capable of detecting ligands such as peptidoglycan (PGN), flagellin, lipopolysaccharides, and beta-glucans [1]. In plants, PRRs sense a similar set of extracellular MAMPs [2]. Despite this functional commonality, plant PRRs comprise receptor-like kinases and receptor-like proteins that differ structurally from animal PRRs [2]. Importantly, several classes of animal and plant PRRs include domains containing a varying number of leucine-rich repeat (LRR) motifs for ligand perception [1,2].

In contrast to animals and plants, fungi do not seem to harbor extracellular PRRs with LRR motifs [7]. Instead, as demonstrated in Candida albicans, they rely on LRR domains of adenylyl cyclases for sensing bacterial PGN, which is somewhat analogous to LRR domain-mediated PGN perception by mammalian NLRs [10]. Notably, with exceptions including Saccharomycoctina and early divergent Mucoromycotina, most fungal genomes encode large and variable repertoires of up to 200 NLR-like proteins [11–13]. Fungal NLR-like proteins have a typical tripartite domain organization shared with animals and plans, with a central nucleotide-binding domain flanked by a amino-terminal effector domain and a carboxyl-terminal autoinhibitory/ligand-binding domain, often composed of repeat motifs. Importantly, instead of LRR motifs typical for animal and plants NLRs, fungal NLR-like proteins harbor ankyrin (ANK), WD (tryptophan- and aspartic acid-containing repeat), or tetratricopeptide (TRP) motifs at their carboxyl termini. Similarly, the diversity of effector domains at the amino termini of fungal NLR-like proteins far exceeds diversity levels found in animals and plants. As we discuss later, some of these NLR-like proteins mediate RCD resulting from vegetative/heterokaryon incompatibility in conspecific nonself-recognition reactions [14,15]. Fungi are also capable of sensing MAMPs other than PGN, including flagellin and lipooligosaccharides [16]. However, at present, the identity of the requisite sensors remains unknown.

2. Nonsuicidal innate immunity response modules

In animals and plants, binding of MAMP, DAMP, and effector ligands activates signaling cascades that lead to rapid transcriptional and nontranscriptional innate immunity responses. These responses converge on: (i) the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [17,18]; (ii) biosynthesis of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) [19]; and (iii) deployment of extracellular traps (ETs) by phagocytes; in plants, ETs are produced in a continuous manner [20]. (i) ROS are highly reactive chemicals, such as superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide, which kill pathogens by protein oxidation [17,18]. In phagocytes, oxidative burst is produced by the membrane-bound NADPH oxidase (NOX). In plants, ROS are generated as part of PRR-triggered immunity (PTI). Responses of PTI can be further amplified after microbial signals are perceived by plant NLRs, which activates effector-triggered immunity (ETI) [21]. ROS also play a role in interactions between fungi and bacteria in which fungi respond to bacterial antagonists with oxidative burst [9]. For example, in the early divergent mold Rhizopus microsporus challenged by Mycetohabitans sp., ROS accumulation is accompanied by upregulation of genes responsible for producing ROS and causing oxidative damage through prooxidant activity [9].
(ii) **AMPs** are small (approximately 100 amino acids) cysteine-rich molecules that disrupt bacterial cell envelopes [19]. In phagocytes, in addition to being synthesized in response to perception of microbial ligands, AMPs are expressed constitutively and stored in secretory granules as inactive precursors. Fungi also generate AMPs when challenged by bacteria [22]. For example, the coprophilous mushroom *Coprinopsis cinerea* produces cysteine stabilized αβ-defensins and GH24-type lysozymes when co-cultivated with *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* [22]. (iii) **ETs** are web-like structures composed of decondensed chromatin and granular proteins, including AMPs, which bind and kill bacteria [20]. Neutrophile extracellular traps (NETs) are prototypical ETs. In plants, ETs are produced continuously by border cells, which are specialized immune cells released from the root cap. While extracellular DNA is commonly found in fungal biofilms [23], as of yet, there is no evidence of ET formation by fungi.

While some antimicrobial responses of animals and plants are products of convergent evolution, these organisms rely also on mechanisms determined by their specific evolutionary heritage and constrains: phagocytosis [24] in animals and cell wall remodeling in plants [25]. **Phagocytosis** is absent from wall-enveloped cells of plants and fungi, as it involves folding of the plasma membrane around particles larger than 0.5 μm in diameter, including microorganisms, and internalizing them into a specialized vacuole called the phagosome, which fuses with the lysosome into the phagolysosome where the ingested particles are degraded. Phagocytosis is sometimes complemented by **autophagy**, a conserved homeostatic process unfolding in response to various cellular stresses, including microbial infection, starvation, or organelle damage [26]. Autophagy helps in clearing pathogens by engulfing them in a double-membraned vesicle, autophagosome. The autophagosome fuses with the lysosome, forming the autolysosome where cargo, including microbial cells, is degraded. Autophagy is an ancient process shared by animals, plants, and fungi [27]. In fact, autophagy was observed in the model ascomycete *Podospora anserina* confronted with antagonistic bacteria *Serratia fonticola* and *Serratia marcescens* [28]. However, it is not clear whether in this setting autophagy served as a mechanism to limit damages inflicted by bacteria or to eliminate the intruders.

In the absence of phagocytosis, **cell walls** serve as antimicrobial barriers in plants. Plant cell walls are composed of polysaccharides, including cellulose, pectin, and hemicelluloses [25]. Deposition of callose and phenolic compounds, such as lignin [25], which reinforce the wall, is part of plant PTI and can be further magnified by ETI [21]. Like in plants, fungal cells (hyphae) are also enveloped by cell walls composed of a scaffold of cross-linked polysaccharides, such as glucans, chitin, chitosan, and a matrix of proteins and mannans [29]. Fortification of the fungal cell wall as an antibacterial defense mechanism was implicated by transcriptional profiling of *R. microsporus* responses to antagonistic *Mycetohabitans* sp. [9]. Specifically, these patterns suggested a shift in the call wall composition by increasing the ratio of chitosan and 1,3-beta-glucan relative to chitin, which would ensure enhanced cell wall stability in the presence of bacterial chitinases.

Collectively, fungi respond to antagonistic bacteria like animals and plants by generating ROS and AMPs. Fortification of fungal cell walls mirroring plant responses to pathogens was also implicated. These patterns suggest that, while fungal surveillance modules dedicated to detection of bacteria may be distinct, response modules are analogous to those of animals and plants.

### 3. Suicidal innate immunity response modules

Both plants and animals employ RCD of infected cells to restrict the niche for proliferation of microbial intruders [30]. Sensing MAMPs and DAMPs by phagocyte NLRs activates them to oligomerize into **inflammasomes**, which serve as macromolecular scaffolds for recruitment
and activation of the zymogen procaspase 1 [31]. Once activated, caspase 1 cleaves pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-18 into their bioactive forms, which are released through plasma membrane pores formed by gasdermin D. Gasdermin pores, together with the cell-surface NINJ1 protein [32], contribute to the disruption of plasma membrane integrity, leading to lytic RCD, pyroptosis [31]. Pyroptosis not only amplifies pro-inflammatory signals but also exposes surviving microbial cells to elimination by secondary phagocytes. Another notable type of pro-inflammatory death known as nectroptosis is a caspase-independent process induced, among others, by viral infections [33]. In nectroptosis, the plasma membrane is disrupted by amyloid-like structures formed by oligomerization of the mixed lineage kinase domain–like (MLKL) protein monomers upon their translocation from the macromolecular necrosome to the membrane.

In plants, perception of microbial signals by NLRs, in addition to ETI, triggers the hypersensitive response (HR) [21]. Recent evidence suggests that, similar to the inflammasome’s role in vertebrate pyroptosis, HR is mediated by the action of the resistosome formed by oligomerization of NLR monomers [34]. Like the inflammasome, the resistosome mediates formation of pores that compromise cell membrane integrity. The program of HR in plant cells is carried out by proteases with caspase-like activities and metacaspases distantly related to animal caspases [35]. Notably, the spread of death signals is controlled by autophagy, which limits HR to the infected sections of plant tissues [36].

Clearly, animals and plants deploy several diverse RCD programs to combat infections. Whether fungi rely on RCD as an ultimate strategy for eliminating bacterial antagonists by sacrificing compromised hyphae remains unknown.

4. Is RCD involved in innate immunity of fungi?

Because clearing microbial invaders from animal and plant cells can be executed by several distinct RCD modes, like pyroptosis, necroptosis, or HR, these subroutines are often compared and contrasted with apoptosis, which is considered to be a prototypical form of RCD [5]. Apoptosis dates back to the domestication of the mitochondria by early eukaryotic cells [37] and generally is not involved in innate immunity responses of animals [5]. Apoptosis is defined by cytoplasmic shrinkage, chromatin condensation, nuclear fragmentation, and plasma membrane blebbing, culminating with the formation of membrane-bound apoptotic bodies subsequently degraded by phagocytes. In mammalian cells, the process of apoptosis can be initiated either by external signals (extrinsic pathway) or by perturbations in the cellular environment (intrinsic pathway). In response to intrinsic cellular stress, such as DNA damage, mitochondria release to the cytoplasm cytochrome c, a component of the electron transport chain. Once cytochrome c is detected by the apoptotic cytoplasmic protease-activating factor 1 (APAF1), APAF1 monomers oligomerize to form a multimeric complex of the apoptosome, which serves as a macromolecular platform for tethering and activation of zymogen procaspase 9 [38]. Caspase 9 cleaves and activates downstream executor procaspases that advance the cell death cascade by degrading various cellular targets, which results in morphological hallmarks of apoptosis.

Fungi display morphological hallmarks of apoptosis despite sharing only a handful of apoptotic proteins with vertebrates and apparently lacking the entire extrinsic apoptosis pathway [39,40]. Arguably, apoptosis-like cell death (Fig 1A) is the most frequently encountered and best understood form of RCD in fungi (for discussion see [41]). In addition to being triggered by antifungal agents with highly diverse modes of action [42] as well as phagocyte generated ROS [43], apoptosis-like RCD can be a consequence of incompatible interactions between nonself isolates in conspecific hyphal fusions [44].
Vegetative/heterokaryon incompatibility during conspecific hyphal fusions is caused by genetic dissimilarities at the het loci many of which encode NRL-like proteins [45]. Specifically, coexpression of incompatible alleles at the same locus or incompatible alleles at different loci leads to compartmentalization and cell death of the heterokaryotic portions of the mycelium. The multiplicity of loci regulating self-recognition presents an intriguing possibility that different loci may control distinct modes of cell death. Accordingly, in Neurospora crassa, one of the models for studies of vegetative/heterokaryon incompatibility, incompatibilities at loci het-6 and het-c result in apoptotic-like degradation of chromatin and organelles as well as fragmentation of the cytoplasm into small membrane-bound bodies [44,46]. Conversely, incompatibility at the locus rcd-1 leads to RCD mediated by the plasma membrane-disrupting protein with similarity to animal gasdermin [47] (Fig 1B), which in phagocytes contributes to...
the execution of pyroptosis [48]. In another model, *P. anserina*, cell death is induced by activation of the pore-forming protein HET-S by the prion-forming protein HET-s (Fig 1C), both encoded at the het-s locus [49]. This incompatibility is considered to be a derived form of a more ancient interaction in which conformational changes in the HET-S protein are induced by a nonallelic interaction with the NLR-like protein NWD2, a pattern resembling activation of the pore-forming MLKL, the cell death-execution protein in necroptosis. Also in *P. anserina*, nonallelic incompatibility at loci het-R and het-V, encoding NLR-like proteins, induces vacuolization, autophagy, and cell death [50]. However, as in plants, autophagy appears to play a role in limiting the spread of death signals across the mycelium. Collectively, the involvement of NLR-like proteins in heterokaryon incompatibility–driven RCD of fungi resembles the role of NLRs in mammalian innate immunity responses. In fact, these patterns inspired the hypothesis that in fungi the mechanisms of heterokaryon incompatibility share evolutionary roots with antibacterial defense systems [28]. While this hypothesis remains to be rigorously tested, changes in transcriptional patterns in *P. anserina* during heterokaryon incompatible interactions versus co-cultivation with antagonistic bacteria suggest that the expression of genes encoding the NLR-like proteins is favored mainly during heterokaryon incompatibility [28].

Apoptosis-like and heterokaryon incompatibility–driven cell death are not the only forms of RCD deployed by fungi. *Magnaporthe oryzae* relies on developmental cell death of germinating conidia during appressorium formation in rice blast disease [51,52]. This developmental death of fungal cells shares with animal cells hallmarks of autophagy and ferroptosis (Fig 1D). Ferroptosis is an iron-dependent oxidative cell death involving peroxidation of lipids, resulting in membrane damage [53]. Importantly, in vertebrates, ferroptosis can be triggered by autophagic destruction of iron-storing ferritin, leading to the increase in cellular iron levels [54]. A similar pattern of autophagy modulating the availability of intracellular iron and thus regulating ferroptosis is observed in *M. oryzae* [51].

The structural and functional similarities between suicidal programs of fungi and animals suggest shared evolutionary origins of these mechanisms. Given such common roots as well as functional similarities between immune defenses of fungi and animals, we hypothesize that fungi deploy RCD in response to bacterial antagonists.

### 5. A model system to study whether RCD is involved in fungal innate immunity

Recently, the mutualism between *R. microsporus* (Mucoromycotina) and *Mycetohabitans* endobacteria (Betaproteobacteria) has emerged from research on fungal-bacterial symbioses as a model for innate immunity studies in fungi [9]. In this symbiosis, endobacteria are inherited from one fungal generation to the next. The 2 partners, the host and endobacteria, can be separated, cultivated independently, and modified genetically [55,56]. Importantly, not all *R. microsporus* strains harbor endobacteria. Asymbiotic nonhost strains interact antagonistically with endobacteria isolated from the host and resist being infected, displaying innate immunity defense responses, including oxidative burst [9]. This network of interactions creates a superb framework for elucidating the mechanisms of innate immunity in fungi and testing whether fungi employ RCD for clearing bacteria from their cells. The *Rhizopus* model has several advantages over models representing other fungal lineages, such as *P. anserina*. Specifically, Mucoromycotina display a dearth of NLR-like proteins [11–13] and a limited reliance on secondary metabolites for antibacterial warfare [9]. These 2 features are expected to limit antibacterial defenses of Mucoromycotina to the prototypical core of responses that do not rely on NLR-like proteins and secondary metabolites. At the same time, Mucoromycotina are capable
of deploying apoptosis-like RCD [57], indicating that they possess the molecular machinery underlying evolutionarily ancient forms of RCD. Importantly, Mucoromycotina are significant and exceedingly difficult to treat opportunistic pathogens of humans and causal agents of mucormycosis [58]. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of their putative immune RCD is likely to contribute to developing novel antifungal therapies [59]. Such advances would be analogous to the application of statins as adjunctive therapy in mucormycosis [60]. Statins are antihypercholesterolemia drugs inducing apoptosis-like death in Mucoromycotina.

Outlook

Historically, the oversized significance of secondary metabolites in fungal interactions with bacteria overshadowed the more subtle and intimate aspects of relationships between these organisms. Only now are these aspects becoming apparent thanks to recent discoveries of endosymbiotic bacteria residing in fungal hyphae and spores. Examination of such symbioses revealed that fungi are capable of defending their cellular integrity from invasions by bacteria perceived as antagonists. These antibacterial defenses resemble functionally innate immunity barriers of animals and plants. Fungi also share with animals several genetically encoded cell death functionalities for targeted cell removal. Because of these common patterns, it is likely that fungi employ immune RCD as an ultimate mechanism for eliminating bacterial intruders.

We propose to test this hypothesis in the symbiotic system of *R. microsporus* and *Mycetohabits* bacteria. We also anticipate that unraveling the mechanisms of immune RCD in fungi will contribute to developing novel antifungal therapies.
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