**Msx Homeobox Genes Critically Regulate Embryo Implantation by Controlling Paracrine Signaling between Uterine Stroma and Epithelium**
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**Abstract**

The mammalian Msx homeobox genes, Msx1 and Msx2, encode transcription factors that control organogenesis and tissue interactions during embryonic development. We observed overlapping expression of these factors in uterine epithelial and stromal compartments of pregnant mice prior to embryo implantation. Conditional ablation of both Msx1 and Msx2 in the uterus resulted in female infertility due to a failure in implantation. In mutant mice (Msx1/2<sup>−/−</sup>), the uterine epithelium exhibited persistent proliferative activity and failed to attach to the embryos. Gene expression profiling of uterine epithelium and stroma of Msx1/2<sup>−/−</sup> mice revealed an elevated expression of several members of the Wnt gene family in the preimplantation uterus. Increased canonical Wnt signaling in the stromal cells activated β-catenin, stimulating the production of a subset of fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) in these cells. The secreted FGFs acted in a paracrine manner via the FGF receptors in the epithelium to promote epithelial proliferation, thereby preventing differentiation of this tissue and creating a non-receptive uterus refractory to implantation. Collectively, these findings delineate a unique signaling network, involving Msx1/2, Wnts, and FGFs, which operate in the uterus at the time of implantation to control the mesenchymal-epithelial dialogue critical for successful establishment of pregnancy.

**Introduction**

Successful implantation is dependent on a timely progression of a series of biological events during which the embryo undergoes functional interactions with the uterus prepared by the maternal factors [1–4]. During implantation, various tissue compartments within the uterus, including luminal epithelium, glandular epithelium, and stroma, undergo sequential proliferation and differentiation as the embryo attaches to the luminal epithelium and invades into the stroma. In mice, the luminal and glandular epithelial cells are initially in a proliferative state on days 1 and 2 of pregnancy. As pregnancy proceeds, these cells exit from the cell cycle and enter a differentiation program that allows their transition to a receptive state. The stromal cells adjacent to the epithelium begin to proliferate on day 3 and this proliferation becomes widespread following embryo attachment to the receptive luminal epithelium on day 4 of pregnancy [1–4]. As the embryos invade through the luminal epithelium into the stromal compartment, the stromal cells differentiate into secretory decidua cells, which support further growth and development of the implanted embryos until placentation ensues [1–4].

Extensive research over the past decade, using genetically altered mutant mouse models, has identified several factors that critically regulate uterine function in the preimplantation or postimplantation phases of pregnancy [5–11]. However, there is only limited insight into the molecular mechanisms and signaling pathways that interconnect the various cellular compartments of the uterus to achieve receptivity to embryo implantation. Recent studies in our laboratory indicated that a subset of fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) produced by the stromal cells act in a paracrine manner to promote luminal epithelial proliferation. The transcription factor Hand2 suppresses the production of these FGFs and inhibits luminal epithelial proliferation at the time of implantation [11]. Studies by Lee et al. identified Indian hedgehog (IHH) as an epithelial paracrine factor that acts on the stromal cells to regulate their differentiation [7]. These studies support the concept that maternal competency for implantation is determined by a critical exchange of diffusible signals between the epithelial and stromal compartments, allowing transition of these tissues to proper functional states that permit embryo attachment and invasion. Identification of epithelial or stromal transcription factors and their downstream molecular pathways that control these signals is essential for a clear understanding of the molecular basis of implantation.

It was previously reported that the messenger RNA encoding the homeobox transcription factor MSX1 is expressed in the peri-implantation uterus [12]. We observed that MSX2, another member of MSX family, is expressed in a similar pattern in the...
implantation. Conditional ablation of either gene is embryonic lethal, necessitating the development of ablation of both fertility of the mutant mice. On the other hand, conditional only modest impairment in embryo implantation, resulting in sub-

Results were markedly reduced in both compartments following embryo during days 1–4 of pregnancy. Expressions of MSX1 and MSX2

Author Summary

During implantation, various tissue compartments within the uterus, including epithelium and stroma, undergo sequential proliferation and differentiation as the embryo attaches to the uterus and invades into the maternal tissue. There is only limited understanding of the molecular signaling pathways that interconnect these tissue compartments to achieve a functional state of the uterus conducive to implantation. This study reveals that a unique signaling network regulated by the homeobox transcription factors MSX1 and MSX2 in the mouse uterus critically controls female fertility. Targeted mutation of Msx1 and Msx2 genes in female mice, which results in infertility, established that these factors suppress signaling by the morphogenic ligands, WNTs, in the uterus. In the absence of Msx1 and Msx2, the WNT signaling is elevated, leading to the production of a subset of fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) in uterine stroma. These FGFs act in a paracrine manner on the uterine epithelium to promote epithelial proliferation, which results in lack of uterine receptivity and implantation failure. This work, therefore, uncovers an important mechanism in mammalian reproduction and development by identifying key paracrine signals that arise from the uterine stroma to control epithelial function during implantation.

epithelial and stromal compartments of the preimplantation uterus during days 1–4 of pregnancy. Expressions of MSX1 and MSX2 were markedly reduced in both compartments following embryo attachment. These findings raised the possibility that the pathways regulated by MSX1 or MSX2 or both regulate the receptive state of the preimplantation uterus. Global deletion of Msx1 and Msx2 gene is embryonic lethal, necessitating the development of conditional deletion of these genes to study their functions during implantation. Conditional ablation of either Msx1 or Msx2 showed only modest impairment in embryo implantation, resulting in subfertility of the mutant mice. On the other hand, conditional ablation of both Msx1 and Msx2 in mouse uterus led to complete infertility due to a failure of embryo attachment to the uterine epithelium. We further established that Msx1 and Msx2 function by suppressing the expression of several members of the Wnt family. In Msx1/2-null uterus, continued expression of a subset of WNTs enhances β-catenin signaling in the stroma, which, in turn induces the expression of specific members of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family in this compartment. One or more of these FGFs act via the FGF receptors in the glandular and luminal epithelial tissues to promote proliferation and prevent differentiation. Lack of differentiation of the glandular epithelial cells results in the failure to express critical factors, such as the leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), which are critical for implantation. Additionally, undifferentiated luminal epithelial cells exhibit persistent expression of MUC-1, a glycoprotein that serves as a maternal barrier to the attachment of the embryo. This study, therefore, delineated a novel signaling network downstream of Msx1 and Msx2, mediating the stromal-epithelial crosstalk critical for successful establishment of pregnancy.

Results

Msx1 and Msx2 are expressed in the preimplantation uterus

The spatio-temporal profiles of mRNAs and proteins corresponding to Msx1 and Msx2 were examined in the mouse uterus during the preimplantation phase by real-time PCR and immunohistochemistry (IHC), respectively. The expression of both Msx1 and Msx2 mRNAs followed a similar pattern: an increase on days 2–3 of pregnancy followed by a decline on day 4 at the time of embryo implantation (Figure 1A, left panel; Figure 1B, left panel). Both MSX1 and MSX2 proteins were expressed in uterine epithelium on day 1 of pregnancy (Figure 1A, panel a; Figure 1B, panel a). The expression of these proteins increased on days 2 and 3 of pregnancy and was localized to both glandular epithelium and stroma (Figure 1A, panels b and c; Figure 1B, panels b and c). The expression of MSX1 and MSX2 proteins then declined on day 4 at the time of embryo implantation and were undetectable on day 5 (Figure 1A, panels d & e; Figure 1B, panels d & e). Therefore, similar expression of Msx1 and Msx2 was observed in the uterine epithelial and stromal compartments in the preimplantation phase.

Ablation of Msx1 and Msx2 in the uterus leads to infertility

To investigate the function of Msx1 and Msx2 in the uterus, we employed the Cre-LoxP strategy to create conditional single knockout of Msx1 or Msx2 or double knockout of Msx1 and Msx2 in the uteri of adult mice. Transgenic mice expressing Cre under the control of progesterone receptor (PR) promoter was previously used to ablate “floxed” genes selectively in cells expressing PR, including uterine cells [7–11]. We, therefore, crossed the PR-Cre mice with mice harboring the “floxed” Msx1 or Msx2 or both to create Msx1f/fMsx2f/f or Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice. We confirmed the deletion of Msx1 or Msx2 in the uteri of these mutant mice by real-time PCR and IHC. As shown in Figure 1S, neither Msx1/Msx2 mRNA nor MSX1/MSX2 protein was detected in uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice on day 3 of pregnancy, confirming successful abrogation of both Msx genes in uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice.

A six-month breeding study demonstrated that the single mutant females, Msx1f/f and Msx1f/f were subfertile but the double mutant females, Msx1f/fMsx2f/f, are completely infertile (Table 1). The subfertility of the single mutant Msx1f/f or Msx2f/f was likely due to compensation of the function of one Msx gene by the other. Indeed, in Msx1-null uteri, the level of Msx2 expression in the uterus was markedly elevated (Figure 2S).

While Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice exhibited normal litter size and pregnancy rates, the Msx1f/fMsx2f/f females failed to become pregnant when mated with wild-type males. However, copulatory plugs were observed upon mating, indicating normal mating behavior. To investigate the cause of infertility in Msx1f/fMsx2f/f females, we examined their ovarian functions by inducing superovulation. Prepubertal Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice were treated with a regimen of gonadotropin hormones as described in Materials and Methods. We observed that, upon gonadotropin stimulation, the number of eggs produced by Msx1f/fMsx2f/f was comparable to that produced by the Msx1f/fMsx2f/f females (Figure 3A), indicating that ovulation is not affected in the absence of Msx1 and Msx2. To further examine the ovulation and fertilization in these mice under normal physiological conditions, blastocysts were recovered from uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice on day 4 of pregnancy prior to implantation. Once again, no significant difference was found in either the number or the morphology of the embryos recovered from Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1f/fMsx2f/f uteri (Figure S3B and Figure 3C). In further support of normal ovarian activity, the serum levels of progesterone and estrogen were comparable in Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1f/fMsx2f/f females on day 4 of pregnancy (Figure S3D and Figure S3E). Collectively, these results suggested that the infertility of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f females is not due to impairment in the hypothalamo-
Figure 1. Expression of Msx1 and Msx2 in the uterus during early pregnancy. Real-time PCR was performed to monitor the expression of mRNAs corresponding to Msx1 and Msx2 in uterus on days 1 to 5 of gestation. The relative levels of gene expression on different days of pregnancy were determined by setting the expression level of Msx1 mRNA (A, Left panel) and Msx2 mRNA (B, Left panel) on day 1 of pregnancy at 1.0. Rplp0, encoding a ribosomal protein, was used to normalize the level of RNA. Uterine sections from day 1 to day 5 (a–e) of pregnancy were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis using anti-MSX1 (A, Right panel) and anti-MSX2 (B, Right panel) antibodies. Panel f shows uterine sections from day 3 pregnant mice treated with non-immune IgG. L, G and S indicate luminal epithelium, glandular epithelium and stroma, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002500.g001
pituitary-ovarian axis or lack of fertilization, but is likely due to defective implantation or pregnancy failure following implantation.

Ablation of Msx1 and Msx2 in the uterus affects embryo attachment to the luminal epithelium

In mice, the attachment of the embryos to the uterine wall initiates the process of implantation. This is accompanied by increased vascular permeability at the implantation sites, which can be scored visually as distinct blue bands following an intravenous injection of Chicago blue dye [13]. As shown in Figure 2A, Msx1ff/Msx2ff mice displayed distinct implantation sites on day 5 of pregnancy. In contrast, the Msx1dd/Msx2dd females did not show any sign of implantation. Implanted embryos were also assessed on days 6 and 7 of pregnancy by visual inspection. Our results indicated that implantation sites are absent in Msx1dd/Msx2dd uteri (Figure 2A).

Histological analysis of Msx1ff/Msx2ff females on day 5 of pregnancy showed, as expected, a close contact of embryonic trophectoderm with uterine luminal epithelium (Figure 2B, panel a). In contrast, in Msx1dd/Msx2dd uteri, embryos did not attach to luminal epithelium. Instead, blastocysts remained free-floating in the lumen and were readily recovered by uterine flushing of the Msx1dd/Msx2dd females (Figure 2B, panel b). Taken together, these results indicated that the loss of Msx1 and Msx2 expression in the uterus resulted in the inability of the luminal epithelium to acquire competency for embryo implantation.

Estrogen receptor activity is elevated in uterine epithelium of Msx1dd/Msx2dd mice at the time of implantation

In mice, the window of uterine receptivity is critically regulated by the steroid hormones 17β-estradiol (E) and progesterone (P), acting through their cognate nuclear receptors. We, therefore, examined the expression levels of progesterone receptor (PGR), estrogen receptor alpha (ESR1), and their downstream genes in the uteri of Msx1dd/Msx2dd mice by immunohistochemistry and real-time PCR analyses. As shown in Figure 3A, the expression levels of PGR (top panel) and ESR1 (middle panel) proteins in the luminal epithelium or stromal compartment of Msx1dd/Msx2dd uteri were comparable to those of Msx1ff/Msx2ff controls. However, we noted that the expression of the transcriptionally active form of ESR1, phosphorylated at serine 118 [14], was markedly up-regulated in the luminal epithelial cells of Msx1dd/Msx2dd uteri, indicating that ER activity is elevated in the uterine epithelia of these mice (lower panel). This observation indicated that the pathways directed by Msx1/Msx2 play an important role in controlling the ESR1 activity, which is normally suppressed in uterine epithelium during the receptive phase [15–17]. Consistent with this up-regulation of transcriptional activity of ESR1, expression of mRNAs corresponding to well-known E-regulated genes were reduced in the uteri of Msx1dd/Msx2dd females (Table 1).

Table 1. Ablation of uterine Msx1 and Msx2 leads to female infertility.

| Genotype         | No. of animals | No. of litters born | No. of litters per animal (Mean ± SEM) | No. of pups born | No. of pups per litter (Mean ± SEM) |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Msx1ff/Msx2ff    | 6              | 32                  | 5.3 ± 0.2                              | 261              | 8.1 ± 0.4                           |
| Msx1dd           | 6              | 14                  | 2.8 ± 0.8                              | 64               | 4.5 ± 0.6                           |
| Msx2dd           | 6              | 22                  | 3.6 ± 0.6                              | 132              | 6.0 ± 0.5                           |
| Msx1dd/Msx2dd    | 6              | 0                   | 0                                     | 0                | 0                                   |

The results of a six-month breeding study are shown. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002500.t001

Figure 2. Lack of uterine Msx1 and Msx2 causes implantation failure. A. Embryo implantation sites were examined in Msx1ff/Msx2ff and Msx1dd/Msx2dd mice by the vascular permeability assay, which can be scored as distinct blue bands (red arrows) following an injection of Chicago blue dye on day 5 of pregnancy (D5, n = 6) or direct eye-visualization of implanted embryo on day 6 (D6, n = 6) and on day 7 (D7, n = 4) of pregnancy. The graph represents the quantification of implantation sites in Msx1ff/Msx2ff and Msx1dd/Msx2dd mice on day 5 of pregnancy. B. Failure of embryo attachment in Msx1dd/Msx2dd uteri. Histological analysis of uterine sections obtained from Msx1ff/Msx2ff (a) and Msx1dd/Msx2dd (b) mice on day 5 (n = 3) of pregnancy by Hematoxylin and Eosin staining. Note the intimate contact between embryo and luminal epithelium in Msx1ff/Msx2ff (a) and the free floating embryo in the uterine lumen of Msx1dd/Msx2dd (b) mice. L and E indicate luminal epithelium and embryo respectively. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002500.g002
genes, such as lactotransferrin (Ltf) [18], chloride channel, calcium activated, family member 3 (Clca3) [19], lipocalin 2 [20] and mucin 1 (Muc-1) [21], was significantly elevated in uterine epithelium of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d uteri on day 4 of pregnancy (Figure 3B). In contrast, the expression of Ihh, a P-responsive gene in uterine epithelium [7] remained unaltered in Msx1d/dMsx2d/d uteri. Additionally, the mRNA levels of Hand2 [11] and Hoxa10 [3], well-known P-regulated genes in uterine stroma, and that of chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor II (COUP-TF II), a downstream target of IHH in the uterine stroma [9], were unaffected in the uteri of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice (Figure 3C). These results indicated that the loss of Msx1 and Msx2 did not impact on the transcriptional activity of PGR, but resulted in an enhancement of the epithelial ESR1 function.

A hallmark of the receptive state of normal pregnant uterus is the cessation of epithelial cell proliferation prior to implantation [1–4,22]. Therefore, in Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice, immunostaining of Ki67, a cell proliferation marker, was undetectable in the uterine luminal and glandular epithelium on day 4 of pregnancy (Figure 4A, panels a and c). However, uterine sections of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice exhibited robust immunostaining for Ki67 in the luminal and glandular epithelia (Figure 4A, panels b and d), indicating persistent epithelial cell proliferation on day 4 in the absence of Msx1 and Msx2. Previous studies indicated that the ability of the glandular epithelium to undergo differentiation and

Figure 3. Enhanced ESR1 activity in the luminal epithelium of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d uteri. A. Uterine sections obtained from Msx1f/fMsx2f/f (left panel) and Msx1d/dMsx2d/d (right panel) mice on day 4 of pregnancy were subjected to IHC using antibodies against PGR (top panel, a and b), ESR1 (middle panel, c and d) and phospho-ESR1 (lower panel, e and f). B. Real-time PCR was performed to analyze the expression of E-regulated genes, lactotransferrin (Ltf), Clca3, lipocalin2 and Muc-1 in uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice on day 4 of pregnancy. The level of Ck18 was used as internal control to normalize gene expression. The data are represented as the mean fold induction ± SEM, *p < 0.05. C. Real-time PCR was performed to analyze the expression of P-regulated genes, Ihh, COUP-TF II, Hand2 and Hoxa10, in uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice on day 4 of pregnancy. The level of Rplp0 or Ck18 was used as internal control to normalize gene expression.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002500.g003

Msx Homeobox Genes Regulate Embryo Implantation

PloS Genetics | www.plosgenetics.org 5 February 2012 | Volume 8 | Issue 2 | e1002500
As the luminal epithelium differentiates and the uterus achieves receptivity, MUC-1 expression is down regulated in this tissue. Persistent MUC-1 expression is indicative of a non-receptive uterus, which is not conducive to embryo implantation. As shown in Figure 4D, prominent expression of MUC-1 was detected in the uterine epithelia of control $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ mice on day 1 of pregnancy (panel a). As the pregnancy advanced to days 4 (panel b) and 5 (panel c), Muc-1 was progressively down regulated in uterine epithelia of these mice, consistent with the attainment of receptive status. In contrast, an intense expression of MUC-1 was observed in uteri of $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice on days 4 and 5 (panels d–f). Therefore, elevated epithelial ESR1 signaling, which likely triggered persistent expression of MUC-1 in luminal epithelium, disrupted uterine receptivity, resulting in implantation failure in $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice.

**Figure 4. Enhanced proliferation in the uterine epithelium and lack of receptivity in $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice.** A. Immunohistochemical localization of Ki67 in the uterine sections of $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ (left panel, a and c) and $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ (right panel, b and d) mice on day 4 of pregnancy. Panels a and b indicate lower magnification (20×) and c and d indicate higher magnification (40×). L and G indicate luminal epithelium and glandular epithelium respectively. B. Real-time PCR was performed to analyze the expression of glandular factors, Lif, Foxa2 and Spink3 in uteri of $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ and $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice on day 4 of pregnancy. The level of Ck18 was used as internal control to normalize gene expression. The data are represented as the mean fold induction ± SEM, ***p<0.0001. C. Transmission electron microscopy of uterine sections obtained from $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ (left panel, a and b) and $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ (right panel, c and d) mice on day 4 of pregnancy. Panels a and c indicate lower magnification (5Kx) and b and d indicate higher magnification (30Kx). D. Immunohistochemical analysis of Muc-1 expression in the uterine sections of $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ (upper panel) and $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ (lower panel) mice on day 1 (a and d), day 4 (b and e) and day 5 (c and f) of pregnancy. L indicates luminal epithelium. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002500.g004

receptivity [21]. As the luminal epithelium differentiates and the uterus achieves receptivity, MUC-1 expression is down regulated in this tissue. Persistent MUC-1 expression is indicative of a non-receptive uterus, which is not conducive to embryo implantation. As shown in Figure 4D, prominent expression of MUC-1 was detected in the uterine epithelia of control $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ mice on day 1 of pregnancy (panel a). As the pregnancy advanced to days 4 (panel b) and 5 (panel c), Muc-1 was progressively down regulated in uterine epithelia of these mice, consistent with the attainment of receptive status. In contrast, an intense expression of MUC-1 was observed in uteri of $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice on days 4 and 5 (panels d–f). Therefore, elevated epithelial ESR1 signaling, which likely triggered persistent expression of MUC-1 in luminal epithelium, disrupted uterine receptivity, resulting in implantation failure in $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice.

**Msx1/Msx2 regulates WNT and FGF signaling in the uterus**

To gain insights into the mechanisms underlying the implantation defect of uteri lacking $Msx1$ and $Msx2$, we isolated luminal epithelial and stromal cells from $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ and $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ uteri on day 4 of pregnancy and performed compartment-specific gene expression profiling, using Affymetrix Mouse GeneChip arrays. Interestingly, our study revealed up-regulation of two distinct classes of signaling factors, WNTs and FGFs, in $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ uteri compared to $Msx1^{f/f}Msx2^{f/f}$ uteri. The microarray data (GEO accession #GSE30969) were validated by real-time PCR analysis. In the epithelial compartment of $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ uteri, we observed stimulated expression of mRNAs corresponding to several Wnts, including Wnt4, Wnt7a and Wnt7b (Figure 5A). In the stromal cells of $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ uteri, we observed marked up-regulation of Wnt4 and Wnt5a mRNAs (Figure 5B). In addition, the levels of mRNAs encoding several members of the FGF family, such as Fgf1, Fgf10, Fgf18 and Fgf21, were elevated in uterine stromal cells as a consequence of deletions of $Msx1$ and $Msx2$ (Figure 5C). The expression of mRNAs corresponding to several other FGF family members as well as other growth factors, such as HBEGF, EGF, IGF-1, and HGF which are expressed in the uterus during pregnancy, was not significantly altered in the uterine stroma of $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice (Figure 5C and Figure S4).

We next investigated whether the increased expression of the Wnt ligands in the uteri of $Msx1^{d/d}Msx2^{d/d}$ mice is translated into increased activation of the Wnt signaling pathway. Wnt signals are transduced via the canonical Wnt/β-catenin-dependent pathway or the non-canonical β-catenin-independent pathways [27–29].
When we examined the expression of active β-catenin in uterine sections of Msx1<sup>f/f</sup>Msx2<sup>f/f</sup> and Msx1<sup>d/d</sup>Msx2<sup>d/d</sup> mice on day 4 of pregnancy, we noted comparable levels of nuclear expression of active β-catenin in luminal and glandular epithelium in both genotypes (Figure 5D). However, a marked increase in the level of nuclear β-catenin was observed in the stromal cells of Msx1<sup></sup>Msx2<sup></sup>-null uteri, indicating that canonical β-catenin signaling is markedly enhanced in the Msx1<sup></sup>Msx2<sup></sup>-ablated stroma.

Figure 5. Wnt/β-catenin signaling controls FGF synthesis in uterine stromal cells. A. Real-time PCR was performed to analyze the expression of Wnt ligands in uterine epithelial cells of Msx1<sup>f/f</sup>Msx2<sup>f/f</sup> and Msx1<sup>d/d</sup>Msx2<sup>d/d</sup> mice on day 4 of pregnancy. The level of Ck18 was used as internal control to normalize gene expression. The data are represented as the mean fold induction ± SEM, *p<0.01, ***p<0.0001. B. Real-time PCR was performed to analyze the expression of Wnt ligands in uterine stromal cells of Msx1<sup>f/f</sup>Msx2<sup>f/f</sup> and Msx1<sup>d/d</sup>Msx2<sup>d/d</sup> mice on day 4 of pregnancy. C. Real-time PCR was performed to analyze the expression of Fgf family members in uterine stromal cells of Msx1<sup>f/f</sup>Msx2<sup>f/f</sup> and Msx1<sup>d/d</sup>Msx2<sup>d/d</sup> mice on day 4 of pregnancy. The level of Rplp0 was used as internal control to normalize gene expression. The data are represented as the mean fold induction ± SEM, *p<0.01, **p<0.001, ***p<0.0001. D. The level of active β-catenin in uterine sections of Msx1<sup>f/f</sup>Msx2<sup>f/f</sup> (left panel) and Msx1<sup>d/d</sup>Msx2<sup>d/d</sup> (right panel) mice on day 4 of pregnancy was analyzed by IHC. (Magnification: a and c: 10x, b and d: 40x) E. Primary stromal cells were isolated from uteri of Msx1<sup>f/f</sup>Msx2<sup>f/f</sup> and Msx1<sup>d/d</sup>Msx2<sup>d/d</sup> mice on day 3 of pregnancy and transfected with siRNA targeted to the β-catenin mRNA. Total RNA was isolated 24 h after transfection to analyze the expression of Fgf family members by Real-time PCR. The level of Rplp0 was used as an internal control to normalize gene expression. The data are represented as the mean fold induction ± SEM, *p<0.01, **p<0.001, ***p<0.0001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002500.g005
Interestingly, previous studies indicated that the production of FGFs, particularly FGF10 and FGF18, is stimulated downstream of canonical Wnt signaling during certain cellular processes, such as chick embryo development, bone development and human hepatocellular carcinoma [30–32], raising the possibility that the enhanced β-catenin signaling seen in uterine stromal cells of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice may drive the increased FGF synthesis in these cells. To test this possibility, primary stromal cells were isolated from uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice on day 3 of pregnancy and transfected with siRNA targeted specifically to the β-catenin mRNA. We observed that treatment with this siRNA resulted in more than 80% reduction in β-catenin mRNA expression compared to cells transfected with control (scrambled) siRNA (Figure 5E). Most importantly, as shown in Figure 5E, siRNA-mediated down regulation of β-catenin in the stromal cells led to a significant reduction in expression of FGF10, FGF18, and FGF21. However, the expression of FGF1 remained unaltered in cells treated with β-catenin siRNA. These results indicated that canonical Wnt signaling via β-catenin regulates the expression of a specific subset of FGF family members in the uterine stromal cells.

We next investigated whether the increased production of FGFs downstream of Wnt signaling leads to enhanced FGF receptor (FGFR) signaling in the uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice. Stimulation of the cell surface FGFRs by FGF ligands leads to phosphorylation of specific tyrosine residues in a critical docking protein, FGFR substrate 2 (FRS2), which guides the assembly of distinct multiprotein complexes, leading to the activation of either MAP kinase or AKT signaling cascades [33–35]. We, therefore, investigated the state of activation of the FGFR signaling pathway in the uteri of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice by monitoring the level of phospho-FRS2. We observed only low level of phospho-FRS2 in the uterine luminal or glandular epithelium or stroma of Msx1f/fMsx2f/f mice on day 4 of pregnancy (Figure 6A, panels a–c). In contrast, a marked elevation in the level of phospho-FRS2 was observed specifically in the luminal and glandular epithelium, but not in the stroma (Figure 6A, panels d–f) of Msx1Msx2-null uteri, indicating that FGFR signaling is increased in uterine epithelium in the absence of Msx1/Msx2. Since the FGFs are produced in the stroma of these mutant uteri, this finding suggests that they act in a paracrine fashion via the FGFRs on the epithelial cells.
The kinases ERK1/2 and/or PI3K/AKT are known to be activated downstream of FGF receptor signaling [33]. We, therefore, investigated whether these pathways were activated in the epithelia of Msx1Msx2-ablated uteri. As shown in Figure 6B, phospho-ERK1/2 (pERK) was undetectable in the uterine epithelium of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice on day 4 of pregnancy (panels a–c). However, a dramatic increase in the immunostaining of pERK1/2 was seen in epithelium of Msx1Msx2-null uteri on day 4 of pregnancy (panels d–f). In contrast, the expression of phospho-AKT was undetectable in both of these genotypes (data not shown), suggesting that the ERK1/2 pathway, rather than the PI3K/AKT pathway, is the key downstream mediator of enhanced FGFR signaling in Msx1Msx2-null uteri.

To examine whether the elevated mitogenic activity in the luminal epithelium of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d uteri on day 4 of pregnancy is indeed a result of the enhanced FGF signaling, we administered PD173074, a FGFR-specific inhibitor [36], or vehicle into uterine horns of PD173074-treated mice on day 4 of pregnancy [37–39]. While Msx1/Msx2 are critical regulators of tissue morphogenesis [37–39]. Msx1d/dMsx2d/d uteri on day 4 of pregnancy (panel a). Treatment with the FGFR inhibitor led to a marked reduction in the level of phospho-FRS2 in the uterine epithelium (Figure 6C, panel b). Consistent with this scenario, a marked increase in the level of active β-catenin was observed in uterine stromal cells of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d uteri, while the active β-catenin levels remained unaltered in the surface epithelium. Our results indicated that canonical Wnt signaling is specifically enhanced in the stromal cells as a consequence of Wnts and whether the β-catenin activation in the stromal cells is driven by Wnts originating in the epithelium or stroma, is unclear.

An important finding of this paper is that, in addition to Wnts, the expression of several members of the FGF family is stimulated in the stromal cells of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d uteri. The FGFs belong to a large family of growth factors, comprising 23 distinct members [33–35]. We observed that a subset of FGFs, including FGF1, FGF10, FGF18 and FGF21, exhibited marked up-regulation in uterine stroma of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice, indicating that the expression of these growth factors are normally suppressed by Msx1/Msx2. Interestingly, previous studies have shown that TCF/LEF, activated downstream of WNT-β-catenin signaling in colorectal cancer cells, binds to the promoter regions of FGF18 and FGF20 [30,31,42]. Studies have also shown that, in the chick embryo, WNT-β-catenin signaling triggered the synthesis of FGF8 and FGF10, which control the initiation of limb development. These previous findings suggested that WNT-activated β-catenin regulates the expression of a subset of FGFs [30]. In the present study, we provide direct evidence that active β-catenin regulates the synthesis of the FGFs, particularly FGF10, FGF18, and FGF21, in the stromal cells, uncovering a link between the WNT and FGF signaling pathways in the endometrium. The precise mechanism by which active β-catenin regulates the expression of these FGFs in uterine stromal cells remains to be determined.

The FGFs exert their paracrine responses by binding to FGFRs on the surface of the target cells and activating the receptor tyrosine kinase pathway. It is well documented that signaling via FGFRs leads to tyrosine phosphorylation of the docking protein FRS2, followed by the recruitment of multiple distinct complexes, which results in activation of Ras/ERK/MAP kinase and/or PI3 kinase/AKT signaling pathways in a variety of cell types [33,35]. In uteri lacking Msx1 and Msx2, the accumulation in the uterine epithelium of phospho-FRS2, a key indicator of FGF signaling, indicated activation of FGFR signaling. Bazer and his coworkers have previously reported that the FGFs are activated in ovine uterine epithelia of sheep in response to the secretion of FGF7 and FGF10 from the progesterone-primed mesenchyme and proposed that these factors are potential regulators of the maternal-fetal interactions [43,44]. However, in the mouse uterus, the expression of FGF10, FGF18, and FGF21 is suppressed during the receptive phase of implantation. The expression of these factors is induced in the absence of Msx1 and Msx2, and the consequent increase in FGFR signaling is associated with the lack of uterine receptivity and implantation failure in Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice.

The central hypothesis of this paper is that Msx1/Msx2 controls uterine receptivity at the time of embryo implantation by regulating epithelial function. During normal pregnancy in mice, the uterus attains receptive status on day 4 of gestation when the luminal and glandular epithelia cease to proliferate and begin to differentiate. Our study suggests that, in the absence of Msx1 and
**Figure 7. Mechanism of Msx1 and Msx2 action in mouse uterus.** In normal pregnancy, MSX1 and MSX2 act to repress WNT and β-catenin signaling and inhibit FGF synthesis in the uterine stroma, thereby suppressing stromal-epithelial cross-talk. In the absence of MSX1 and MSX2, FGFs are induced, activating the epithelial FGFR-ERK1/2 pathway, and promoting epithelial proliferation. Activated ERK1/2 then triggers transcriptional activation of ESR1 and expression of its target genes, such as Muc-1, which prevent the functional transformation of the luminal epithelium to receptive state, blocking embryo implantation.

Msx2, the uterine stroma produces a subset of FGFs, which act via the FGFRs to stimulate the ERK1/2 kinase pathway in both luminal and glandular epithelia. As a consequence, the uterine epithelia of Msx1<sup>−/−</sup>Msx2<sup>−/−</sup> mice remain proliferative and fail to undergo transformation to the receptive state that allows embryo attachment to initiate implantation. The activation of the ERK1/2 pathway in the epithelium also triggers phosphorylation of epithelial ESR1 at serine-118. It is well established that this phosphorylation event is critical for the transcriptional activation of ESR1 [14]. An elevated ESR1 signaling in the epithelium is, however, detrimental to the implantation process. For example, ESR1 promotes the expression of Muc-1, a well-known cell surface glycoprotein, which creates a barrier that prevents embryo attachment. In mice, high levels of MUC-1 are present in the non-receptive uterus on days 1 and 2 of pregnancy. As the pregnancy progresses, MUC-1 expression declines in the epithelium and it is drastically reduced on day 4 at the time of implantation [21]. Therefore, the reduction of MUC-1 expression is considered a sign of uterine receptivity in mice. The persistence of high levels of MUC-1 in the Msx1<sup>−/−</sup>Msx2<sup>−/−</sup> uteri on day 4 of pregnancy is indicative of hyperestrogenic activity in the luminal epithelium and, consequently, reflects a lack of uterine receptivity.

Pathways downstream of Msx1 and Msx2 also control the synthesis of glandular factors critical for uterine receptivity at the time of implantation. While the uterine luminal epithelium is the initial site of embryo attachment, the glandular epithelium is an important source of paracrine factors required for the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy [45]. As uterus acquires competency for implantation, the glandular epithelial cells cease to proliferate and undergo differentiation to express factors, such as LIF and FOXA2, which are critical for embryo implantation [23,24]. Presumably due to enhanced WNT and FGF signaling in Msx1<sup>−/−</sup>Msx2<sup>−/−</sup> uteri, the glandular epithelial cells remain proliferative and fail to express LIF and FOXA2. Consistent with this hypothesis, a recent study has shown that expression of constitutively active β-catenin in mouse endometrium leads to enhanced proliferation and glandular hyperplasia [46].

We recently reported that the transcription factor HAND2 suppresses the production of a subset of FGFs, which act in a paracrine manner to stimulate the proliferation of the luminal epithelium [11]. Conditional deletion of Hand2 in the uterus also results in the failure of implantation due to impaired uterine receptivity caused by increased production of FGFs in the stroma. The uterine phenotype of Hand2<sup>−/−</sup> deletion is remarkably similar to those of Msx1/Msx2 ablation. We, therefore, examined whether Hand2 is regulated by Msx1/Msx2 or vice versa. Surprisingly, our studies showed that Msx1/Msx2 expression is unaltered in Hand2<sup>−/−</sup> null uteri (Figure S5). Similarly, the loss of Msx1 or Msx2 or both did not affect Hand2 expression in the uterus during implantation (Figure S6). Furthermore, while Hand2 coordinately suppresses the expression of FGF1, FGF2, FGF9 and FGF18, Msx1/Msx2 inhibits the expression of FGF1, FGF10, FGF18, and FGF21.

Although these results suggest that one or more of these FGFs act in a paracrine manner through the epithelial FGFRs to promote epithelial cell proliferation, the contribution of each these FGFs is unclear and, therefore, it remains to be determined whether Hand2 and Msx1/Msx2 function via similar or distinct mechanisms.

In summary, we have uncovered a novel mechanism by which Msx1/Msx2 regulates epithelial function at the time of implantation. In normal pregnancy, these factors act to repress WNT and β-catenin signaling and inhibit FGF synthesis in the uterine stroma, thereby attenuating the paracrine mechanisms that promote epithelial proliferation. It is also evident that the activation of ERK1/2 kinase pathway downstream of FGFR signaling in the epithelium of Msx1/Msx2-ablated uteri activates transcriptional function of ESR1, contributing to the non-receptive status of the uterus (Figure 7).
Continued analysis of the mechanisms by which Msx1 and Msx2 control the WNT-β-catenin-FGF pathway to direct uterine stromal-epithelial communication will clarify our understanding of the molecular events that underlie uterine receptivity.

Materials and Methods

Animals
Mice were maintained in the designated animal care facility at the College of Veterinary Medicine of the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, according to the institutional guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. To generate the conditional Msx1Msx2-null mice (Msx<sup>1/d</sup>Msx<sup>2/d</sup>), Msx1Msx2-floxed (Msx<sup>1/f</sup>Msx<sup>2/f</sup>) [47] mice were mated with PR-Cre knock-in mice [48].

For breeding studies, cycling Msx<sup>1/d</sup>Msx<sup>2/d</sup> and Msx<sup>1/f</sup>Msx<sup>2/f</sup> female mice (C57BL/6 genetic background) were housed with wild-type C57BL/6 male mice (Charles Rivers) for 6 months. The presence of a vaginal plug after mating was designated as day 1 of pregnancy. The number of litters and pups born were recorded at birth to assess the fertility status.

To induce superfusion, 3-week old female mice were administered intraperitoneally with 5 IU of pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (PMSG, Sigma St. Louis, MO) followed by 5 IU of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG, Sigma St. Louis, MO) 48 hours later. The mice were killed 16–18 hours post-hCG administration and the oocytes were recovered from the ampulla and counted.

To collect blastocysts, 8-week old female mice were mated with wild-type males. To assess the pre-implantation development of embryos, blastocysts were flushed from day 4 pregnant uteri and examined for their quality under a stereo-zoom microscope.

For certain experiments, the FGFR-specific inhibitor, PD173074 (Selleck Chemicals Co., Ltd., London ON, Canada), was dissolved in DMSO and diluted with HBSS. Ten microlitre of inhibitor (50 μM) was injected intra-amnionally in one horn and vehicle was injected in the other horn of Msx<sup>1/d</sup>Msx<sup>2/d</sup> mice on day 5 of pregnancy. Uterine tissues were collected on day 4 of pregnancy.

Transmission electron microscopy
Uterine tissues isolated from Msx<sup>1/d</sup>Msx<sup>2/d</sup> and Msx<sup>1/f</sup>Msx<sup>2/f</sup> female mice on day 4 of pregnancy were fixed in 2.0% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in buffer containing 0.1 M sodium cacodylate. Tissues were then washed and fixed with 1.0% aqueous osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer. Following dehydration with ethanol and propylene oxide, the tissues were embedded in 100% Polybed 812 mixture. Sections (80 nm) were cut with an Ultramicrotome, stained and examined under a Philips CM 200 Transmission Electron Microscope.

Isolation of uterine epithelial and stromal cells
Uterine epithelial cells were isolated as previously described [49]. Briefly, uterine horns were dissected into 3–4 mm pieces and incubated in 1% trypsin (Difco, Dertroit, MI) in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) for 90 min at 4°C and then for 30 min at room temperature. The tissues were then rinsed with 10% FBS. Under a dissecting microscope, each enzyme treated piece of uterus was squeezed by forceps to separate the epithelium from the rest of the uterine tissue. Uterine stromal cells were isolated as previously described [50]. Briefly, uterine horns of pregnant mice were dissected and placed in HBSS containing 6 g/liter dispase and 25 g/liter pancreatin for 1 h at room temperature and then 15 min at 37°C to remove the endometrial epithelial clumps. The tissues were then placed in HBSS containing 0.5 g/liter collagenase for 45 min at 37°C to disperse the stromal cells. After vortexing, the contents were passed through a 70-μm gauze filter (Millipore). The filtrate contained the stromal cells.

Culture of uterine stromal cells
The uterine stromal cells, isolated as described above, were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium-F12 medium (DMEM-F12; with 100 unit/liter penicillin, 0.1 g/liter streptomycin, 1.25 mg/liter Fungizone) with 2% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum. The live cells were counted by trypsin blue staining using a hemocytometer. Cells were then seeded in 6-well cell culture plates. The unattached cells were removed by washing several times with HBSS after 2 h, and cell culture was continued after addition of fresh medium supplemented with P (1 μM) and E (10 nm).

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis (qPCR)
Uterine tissue was homogenized and total RNA was extracted by using TRIZOL reagent, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was prepared by standard protocols. The cDNA was amplified to quantify gene expression by quantitative PCR, using gene-specific primers and SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). The expression level of RPLP0 (36B4) or Cytokeratin 18 (C18A) was used as the internal control. For each treatment, the mean Ct and standard deviation were calculated from individual Ct values obtained from three replicates of a sample. The normalized ΔCt in each sample was calculated as mean Ct of target gene subtracted by the mean Ct of internal control gene. ΔΔCt was then calculated as the difference between the ΔCt values of the control and treatment sample. The fold change of gene expression in each sample relative to a control was computed as 2^(-ΔΔCt). The mean fold induction and standard errors were calculated from three or more independent experiments.

Immunohistochemistry
Uterine tissues were processed and subjected to immunohistochemistry as described previously [13]. Briefly, paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned at 5 μm and mounted on microscopic slides. Sections were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated through a series of ethanol washes, and rinsed in water. Antigen retrieval was performed by immersing the slides in 0.1 M citrate buffer solution, pH 6.0, followed by microwave heating for 25 min. The slides were allowed to cool and endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating sections in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 15 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS for 15 min and the slides were incubated in a blocking solution for 1 h before incubating them in primary antibody overnight at 4°C with antibodies specific for MSX1 (Abcam, ab73883), MSX2 (Santa Cruz, sc-15396), MUC1 (Novus biological, NB120-15481), Ki67 (BD Pharmingen, 550609), CD10 (Santa Cruz, sc-7207), p-ESR1 (Santa Cruz, sc-12915), PGR (Neomarkers MS-194-PO), HAND2 (Santa Cruz sc-9409), phospho-FRS2 (R&D systems AF5126) and active β-catenin (PY499, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA 52242). The slides were incubated with the biotinylated secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen Corp., MD 21704). The sections were stained in 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole chromogen (AEC) solution until optimal signal was developed. Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s Hematoxylin and examined by bright field microscopy.
siRNA transfection
Control (scrambled) siRNA and siRNA targeted to β-catenin (s438) were purchased from Ambion Inc. The transfection was performed using SilenceFect™ Reagent (Bio-Rad), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The stromal cells were isolated from uteri of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice on day 3 of pregnancy and transfected with siRNA after 3-6 h of culture. The cells were harvested 24 h following transfection and RNA was isolated.

DNA microarray analysis
Uterine epithelial and stromal cells were isolated from Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice on day 4 of pregnancy. Total RNA was prepared from these cells, and hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 2.0 array as previously described [6]. They were processed and analyzed according to the Affymetrix protocol.

Measurement of serum E and P levels
The levels of E and P in the serum were measured by radioimmunoassay (RIA) performed at the Ligand Core facility of the University of Virginia at Charlottesville.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed by t-test or ANOVA. The values were expressed as mean ± SEM and considered significant if p<0.05.

Supporting Information
Figure S1 Loss of Msx1 and Msx2 expression in the uterus of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice. A. Uterine RNA was extracted from Msx1f/fMsx2f/f and Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice on day 3 of pregnancy (n = 3) and analyzed by real-time PCR. Relative levels of Msx1 and Msx2 mRNA expression in uteri of Msx1d/dMsx2d/d mice are compared to those in Msx1f/fMsx2f/f control mice. The data are represented as the mean fold induction ± SEM, **p<0.001. B. Uterine sections obtained from day 3 pregnant Msx1f/fMsx2f/f (left panel) and Msx1d/dMsx2d/d (right panel) mice were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis. Note the lack of Msx1 (upper panel) and Msx2 (lower panel) immunostaining in the uteri of the mutant mice. I, G and S indicate luminal epithelium, glandular epithelium and stroma respectively.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: MKB ICB. Performed the experiments: SN QL. Analyzed the data: SN MKB ICB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SN QL. Wrote the paper: SN MKB ICB.

References
1. Carson DD, Bagchi I, Dey SK, Enders AC, Fazleabas AT, et al. (2000) Embryo Implantation: Developmental Biology 223: 217–237.
2. Dey SK, Lim H, Das SK, Reese J, Paris BG, et al. (2004) Molecular Cues to Implantation. Endo Rev 25: 341–373.
3. Ramathal CY, Bagchi IC, Taylor RN, Bagchi MK (2010) Endometrial Decidualization: Of Mice and Men. Semin Reprod Med 28: 17–26.
4. Rubel CA, Jeong JW, Tsai SY, Lydon JP, DeMayo FJ (2010) Epithelial-Stromal Interaction and Progesterone Receptors in the Mouse Uterus. Semin Reprod Med 28: 927–935.
5. Lee KY, Jeong JW, Tsai SY, Lydon JP, DeMayo FJ (2007) Mouse models of implantation. Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism 18: 234–239.
6. Mantena SR, Kannan A, Cheon Y-P, Li Q, Johnson PF, et al. (2006) C/EBPα is a critical mediator of steroid hormone-regulated cell proliferation and differentiation in the uterine epithelium and stroma. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103: 1870–1875.
7. Lee KY, Jeong J-W, Wang J, Ma L, Martin JF, et al. (2007) Bmp2 Is Critical for the Murine Uterine Decidual Response. Mol Cell Biol 27: 5468–5478.
8. Kurita I, Lee D-K, Petit FG, Jeong J, Lee K, et al. (2007) COUP-TFI Mediates Progesterone Regulation of Uterine Implantation by Controlling ER Activity. PLoS Genet 3: e102. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030102.
9. Laurson CJ, Taylor RN, Sodell N, DeMayo FJ, Lydon JP, et al. (2008) Gap junction communication between uterine stromal cells plays a critical role in pregnancy-associated neovascularization and embryo survival. Development 135: 2659–2668.
10. Laws MJ, Taylor RN, Bagchi MK, DeMayo FJ, Lydon JP, et al. (2008) Gap junction communication between uterine stromal cells plays a critical role in pregnancy-associated neovascularization and embryo survival. Development 135: 2659–2668.
11. Mantena SR, Kannan A, Cheon Y-P, Li Q, Johnson PF, et al. (2006) C/EBPα is a critical mediator of steroid hormone-regulated cell proliferation and differentiation in the uterine epithelium and stroma. Proceedings of the
11. Li Q, Kannan A, DeMayo FJ, Lydon JP, Cooke PS, et al. (2011) The Antiproliferative Action of Progesterone in Uterine Epithelium Is Mediated by Hand2. Science 331: 912–916.
12. Daikoku T, Song H, Guo Y, Riesiewick A, Mosselman S, et al. (2004) Uterine Msx-1 and Wnt4 Signaling Becomes aberrant in Mice with the Loss of Leukemia Inhibitory Factor or HOXa-10: Evidence for a Novel Cytokine-Homebox-Wnt Signaling in Implantation. Molecular Endocrinology 18: 1238–1250.
13. Li Q, Chen YP, Kannan A, Shanker S, Bagchi IC, et al. (2004) A novel pathway involving progesterone receptor, 12/15-lipoxygenase-derived eicosanoids, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma regulates implantation in mice. J Biol Chem 279: 11570–11581.
14. Kato S, Endoh H, Masuhiro Y, Kitamoto T, Uchiyama S, et al. (1995) Activation of the Estrogen Receptor Through Phosphorylation by Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase. Science 270: 1491–1494.
15. Bagchi IC, Chen YP, Li Q, Bagchi MK (2003) Progesterone receptor-regulated gene networks in implantation. Front Biosci R: 4552–4561.
16. Bagchi IC, Li Q, Chen YP (2001) Role of steroid hormone-regulated genes in implantation. Ann N Y Acad Sci 943: 68–76.
17. Pan H, Deng Y, Pollard JW (2006) Progesterone blocks estrogen-induced DNA synthesis through the inhibition of replication licensing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 14021–14026.
18. McMaster MT, Teng CT, Dey SK, Andrews GK (1992) Lactoferrin in the mouse uterus: analyses of the preimplantation period and regulation by ovarian steroids. Molecular Endocrinology 6: 101–111.
19. Jeong JW, Lee KY, Lydon JP, DeMayo FJ (2006) Steroid hormone regulation of Clca3 expression in the murine uterus. Journal of Endocrinology 198: 473–484.
20. Huang H, Chu S, Chen Y (1999) Ovarian steroids regulate 24p3 expression in the mouse uterus. Mol Cell Biol; advanced online publication.
21. Surveyor GA, Gendler SJ, Pemberton L, Das SK, Chakraborty I, et al. (1995) Progesterone Regulates FGF10, MET, IGFBP1, and IGFBP3 in the Endometrium of the Ovine Uterus. Biology of Reproduction 53: 959–966.
22. Finn CA, Martin L (1974) The control of implantation. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 39: 195–206.
23. Stewart CL, Kanapally P, Brunet LJ, Bhatt H, Gadi I, et al. (1992) Blastocyst implantation depends on maternal expression of leukaemia inhibitory factor. Nature 359: 76–79.
24. Jeong JW, Lee KY, Kim TH, Large MJ, et al. (2010) Foxa2 Is Essential for Mouse Endometrial Gland Development and Fertility. Biology of Reproduction 83: 396–403.
25. Chen W, Han B-C, Wang R-C, Xiong G-F, Peng J-P (2006) Role of secretory pro tease inhibitor SPINK3 in mouse uterus during early pregnancy. Cell and Tissue Research 341: 441–451.
26. Murphy GR (2004) Uterine receptivity and the plasma membrane transformation. Cell Res 14: 259–267.
27. Logan CY, Nusse R. (2004) The WNT signaling pathway in development and disease. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 20: 781–810.
28. Gordon MD, Nusse R (2006) Wnt Signaling: Multiple Pathways, Multiple Receptors, and Multiple Transcription Factors. Journal of Biological Chemistry 281: 22429–22433.
29. Angers S, Moon RT (2009) Proximal events in Wnt signal transduction. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol; advanced online publication.
30. Kawasaki Y, Capdevila J, Buscher D, Ioh T, Esteban CRg, et al. (2001) WNT Signals Control FGF-Dependent Limb Initiation and AER Induction in the Chick Embryo. Cell 104: 891–900.
31. Shimokawa T, Furukawa Y, Sakai M, Li M, Miva N, et al. (2003) Involvement of the FGF18 Gene in Colorectal Carcinogenesis, as a Novel Downstream Target of the β-Catenin/T-Cell Factor Complex. Cancer Research 63: 6116–6120.
32. Reinhold MJ, Naski MC (2007) Direct Interactions of Runx2 and Canonical Wnt Signaling Induce FGF18. Journal of Biological Chemistry 282: 3653–3663.
33. Eswarakumar VP, Lax I, Schlessinger J (2005) Cellular signaling by fibroblast growth factor receptors. Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews 16: 139–149.
34. Beenken A, Mohammadi M (2009) The FGF family: biology, pathophysiology and therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov 8: 233–239.
35. Turner N, Grose R (2010) Fibroblast growth factor signalling: from development to cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 10: 116–129.
36. Koziaczek M, Holhoe T, Hynes NE (2000) Blocking of FGFR signaling inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation through downregulation of D-type cyclins. Oncogene 23: 3501–3508.
37. Davidson D (1995) The function and evolution of Msx genes: pointers and paradigms. Trends in Genetics 11: 403–411.
38. Maas R, Chen YP, Bei M, Woo J, Satozaki I (1996) The Role of Msx Genes in Mammalian Development. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 785: 171–181.
39. Alappat S, Zhang ZY, Chen YP (2003) Msx homeobox gene family and craniofacial development. Cell Res 13: 429–442.
40. Wang W, Chen X, Xu H, Luakin T (1996) Msx3: a novel murine homologue of the Drosophila msh homeobox gene restricted to the dorsal embryonic central nervous system. Mechanisms of Development 56: 203–215.
41. Ramos C, Robert B (2005) msh/Msx gene family in neural development. Trends in Genetics 21: 624–632.
42. Chamorro MN, Schwartz DR, Teubner B, Cho KR, et al. (2005) FGF-20 and DKK1 are transcriptional targets of [beta]-catenin and FGF-20 is implicated in cancer and development. EMBO J 24: 73–84.
43. Chen G, Spencer TE, Bazer FW (2000) Fibroblast Growth Factor-10: A Stromal Mediator of Epithelial Function in the Ovine Uterus. Biology of Reproduction 63: 959–966.
44. Satterfield MC, Hayashi K, Song G, Black SG, Bazer FW, et al. (2008) Progesterone Regulates FGF10, MET, IGFBP1, and IGFBP3 in the Endometrium of the Ovine Uterus. Biology of Reproduction 79: 1226–1236.
45. Gray CA, Bartol FF, Tarleton BJ, Wiley AA, Johnson GA, et al. (2001) Developmental Biology of Uterine Glands. Biology of Reproduction 65: 1311–1323.
46. Jeong JW, Lee HS, Franco HL, Broadhead RR, Taketo MM, et al. (2008) [beta]-catenin mediates glandular formation and dyregulation of [beta]-catenin induces hyperplasia formation in the murine uterus. Oncogene 28: 31–40.
47. Fu H, Ishii M, Gu Y, Masson R (2007) Conditional alleles of Msc1 and Msc2. genesis 45: 477–481.
48. Soyal SM, Mukherjee A, Lee KYS, Li J, Li H, et al. (2005) Cre-mediated recombination in cell lineages that express the progesterone receptor. genesis 41: 58–66.
49. Baghy RM, Cooke PS, Cunha GR (1986) A simple efficient method for separating murine uterine epithelial and mesenchymal cells. American Journal of Physiology - Endocrinology And Metabolism 251: E630–E636.
50. Li Q, Kannan A, Wang W, DeMayo FJ, Taylor RN, et al. (2007) Bone Morphogenetic Protein 2 Functions via a Conserved Signaling Pathway Involving Wnt to Regulate Uterine Decidualization in the Mouse and the Human. Journal of Biological Chemistry 283: 51723–51732.