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Abstract

Background: Three-quarters of all annual neonatal deaths in developing countries are attributable to neonatal sepsis. In primary care settings, poor cord hygiene due to improper handling of the infant's cord is a major contributor to the occurrence of neonatal sepsis. The objective of this study was to describe the umbilical cord practices among mothers attending a primary care facility, assess the relationship between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis, its impact on the population, as well as the influence of other neonatal and maternal factors on this relationship.

Methods: A case-control study was conducted to assess the umbilical cord hygiene-neonatal sepsis relationship among neonates attending a primary care facility between August and October 2018. All cases were selected, while controls were systematically random sampled, as per study eligibility criteria. Exposure variables were summarized using descriptive statistics. A multivariable logistic regression model was fitted to evaluate the association between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis adjusting for the effect of potential confounders. Subsequently, a population attributable fraction (PAF) was estimated.

Results: The proportion of mothers with improper hygiene was 35.3%: 72.1% among the cases and 16.3% among the controls' caregivers. The odds of neonatal sepsis were 13 times higher (OR=13.24; 95% CI: [7.5; 23.4]) among infants whose caregivers had improper hygiene compared to those who had proper hygiene. None of the neonatal and maternal covariates confounded the umbilical cord hygiene-neonatal sepsis association. This odds ratio gave a PAF of 66.7% (95% CI: 62.5; 69.0).

Conclusions: Improper cord hygiene is prevalent in this low resource setting. Improper cord hygiene has a strong positive association with neonatal sepsis. Observing good cord care practices could avert up to 67% of newborn infections. This calls for inclusion of comprehensive cord care practices in the antenatal care educational package.
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Introduction

Worldwide, neonatal mortality (death occurring within the first 28 days of life) accounted for 45.1% of all child deaths in 2015, representing a 15% increase over a span of 15 years\(^1\). The leading causes of neonatal mortality globally are preterm birth complications, intrapartum-related events and neonatal sepsis\(^2\). These three constitute 75% of all neonatal deaths\(^3\). In the developing world, septicemia accounts for 1.6 million neonatal deaths per year\(^4\) and around 10-30% of neonatal deaths in Kenya\(^5\).

Owing to the non-specificity of neonatal sepsis’ presentation in neonates, there has been a general lack of consensus on the definition of neonatal sepsis\(^6\). Nevertheless, Shane et al.\(^7\) define neonatal sepsis as a bacterial, fungal or viral systemic condition characterized by bio-physiological changes (e.g. abnormal leucocyte count, aberrant temperature or even tachycardia), clinical symptoms (e.g. presence of fever, feeding difficulties or umbilical discharge) and attended by significant morbidity and mortality\(^8\).

Although maternal and neonatal factors are important risk factors for neonatal sepsis, umbilical cord hygiene represents a key determinant\(^9\). A hygienic umbilical cord refers to a dry umbilical stump without signs of redness, warmth, swelling, pain, foul smell or pus\(^10\). To maintain a hygienic cord, proper umbilical care is necessary. Appropriate care could be achieved by either applying methylated spirit/chlorhexidine to the base of the cord, air drying the cord to allow for natural healing or sponge-bathing neonates without immersing them in water\(^11,12\). The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that dry cord care be employed within health facilities or home deliveries taking place in low mortality settings (less than 30 deaths per 1000 births). Chlorhexidine is advocated for home births within high neonatal mortality settings, particularly, as a substitute for harmful traditional compounds\(^13\).

The probability for entry of pathogenic micro-organisms through the umbilical cord is high in low-resource settings\(^14\). This could be attributable to the prevailing sub-optimal hygienic conditions in the environment of the baby that could result in a localized umbilical cord infection (omphalitis)\(^15\), with potential spread of the microorganisms into the bloodstream via the patent umbilical vessels resulting in septicemia or infection of other organs\(^16\). Although clean birth practices are highly advocated for because of their role in averting the risk of omphalitis and neonatal infection, in many developing settings, cultural norms that dictate cord care practices may compromise cord hygiene\(^17,18\). In Kenya, as in other developing settings, the rationale for applying a wide variety of substances on the cord is to hasten cord separation and healing\(^19\). These substances, which include cow dung, charcoal, hot fermentation, mustard oil, ghee, ash or other non-septic applications, are significantly correlated with an increased risk of omphalitis and neonatal sepsis\(^20,21,22\).

In Kenya, despite a neonatal mortality rate of 22 deaths per 1000 births\(^23\), available guidelines on cord care are sketchy – with a sole focus on substance application, i.e. use of 4% chlorhexidine\(^24\). This deficiency may predispose mothers to suboptimal cord care practices that could lead to omphalitis and thus neonatal sepsis. Despite the importance of proper cord care in the prevention of neonatal infection, review of published literature reveals a dearth of studies that demonstrate the association between umbilical cord hygiene and systemic infection especially in poor settings\(^25,26,27\); with a sizeable number of studies paying attention to other factors associated with neonatal sepsis\(^28-30\).

The objective of this study was to describe the umbilical cord practices among mothers attending a primary health care facility, assess the relationship between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis, its impact on the population, as well as the influence of other neonatal and maternal factors on this relationship. Given the insufficient guidelines on cord care practices in Kenya, a critical understanding of the significance of good cord care on prevention of neonatal sepsis is central to informing decisions aimed at strengthening national guidelines on appropriate cord care practices as part of primary prevention strategies.

Methods

Study design and setting

A facility-based case-control study design was employed to identify the determinants of neonatal sepsis. The rationale for the choice of the design relates to the rarity of neonatal sepsis within the facility’s neonatal catchment population, thus rendering the health centre a ready source of case patients. Although population-based controls would conceivably be more preferable, potential differences in health-seeking behavior between hospital and population-sourced controls suggested the need to recruit controls from the same facility as cases. The study conformed to the STROBE guidelines for reporting of a case-control study\(^31\).

The study was conducted at the Kahawa Health Centre (KHC) which is a level three state-run facility in the northern part of
Nairobi County. The estimated catchment population for this health centre is about 52,193 persons and includes the adjacent peri-urban localities. Most of these areas are predominantly informal settlements characterized by overcrowding, with 99% of inhabitants being young adults. Anecdotal reports connote high neonatal mortality rates in this area.

**Study population and eligibility of participants**

The study population comprised all neonates presenting to KHC for pediatric services during the span of August–October 2018. Case and control patients were selected from this population based on a predefined set of eligibility criteria. All primary visit neonates (incident cases) and infants whose guardians had consented to participation were included. Premature babies with gestational age less than 37 weeks, babies who had a lower than 2000 g birth weight and neonates with congenital anomalies were excluded from the study.

**Case definition and recruitment**

A case patient was a 0-28 day-old neonate, a resident of the study area, presenting to KHC during the study period with an elevated axillary temperature of ≥37.5°C and any one of the following symptoms of infection: purulent discharge (from ear/eye/umbilicus), respiratory distress (cyanosis, grunting, nasal flaring and chest wall indrawing)/fast breathing (more than 60 breathes/minute), severe abdominal distension, poor difficulty feeding (persistent vomiting (last three feeds)/refusal to feed/ inability to suck/weak suck), altered mentation (lethargic/unconsciousness/convulsions) or skin changes (deep jaundice/periumbilical redness). Considering that KHC registers around two to three neonatal sepsis cases per day, to attain the computed sample, all cases (who met the aforementioned eligibility criteria) presenting to the facility within the study period were prospectively recruited. Recruitment of cases occurred at pediatric outpatient consultation rooms.

**Control definition and recruitment**

Controls were neonates similarly defined as cases (though devoid of sepsis symptoms), presenting to the well-baby clinic during the same two-month time period. Controls were systematically randomly sampled from the well-baby clinic of the facility frequency-matched to the cases by the day of presentation.

**Primary exposure definition**

A definition for umbilical cord hygiene was adopted from WHO’s “five cleans” for postnatal care of the stump, based on indicators that comprised: the method of folding the napkin, rooming-in, bathing, handwashing and substance application practices, as reported by caregivers. An aggregate score equal to or above the median would constitute good cord hygiene, while scores below the median would be considered as poor hygiene.

**Sample size determination**

As specified by Kelsey *et al.* for case-control studies, the required sample size was derived:

\[
\begin{align*}
    n_1 &= \frac{(Z_{\alpha} + Z_{\beta})^2 \, \bar{p} \, \bar{q} \, (r+1)}{r (p_1 - p_2)^2} \\
    \bar{p} &= \frac{p_1 + r \, p_2}{r+1} \\
    \bar{q} &= 1 - \bar{p}
\end{align*}
\]

Where: \( n_1 \) = the number of cases; \( n_2 \) = the number of controls; \( p_1 \) = the proportion of cases with an unhygienic umbilical cord; \( p_2 \) = proportion of controls with an unhygienic umbilical cord specified at 37.6% based on a previous study. Notably, \( Z_\alpha = 1.96 \) for the 2-tailed confidence level of 95%; \( Z_\beta = -0.84 \) for the desired statistical power of the study set at 80%; and \( r=2 \) as the specified ratio of controls to cases to enhance the study power. The odds ratio (OR) for the umbilical cord hygiene-neonatal sepsis association was estimated at 2. With an anticipated 5% non-response rate, the required sample size was 312: 104 cases and 208 controls.

**Study variables**

Other than the primary exposure variable, the other predictor variables were maternal and neonatal factors. These were gathered using a semi-structured questionnaire, available as *Extended data*. Maternal factors consisted of socio-demographic factors (age of mother, level of education, marital status, parity and religion), the antenatal history of the mother (number of antenatal care (ANC) visits, history of receiving health education, tetanus toxoid immunization, prenatal maternal bacterial infection, birth attendance, place of delivery and type of delivery) and post-natal history factors (history of illness or pregnancy related complications such as postpartum depression, nutritional status or other comorbidities). Neonatal risk factors included low APGAR scores of <7 at 5 minutes (whose signs included scores of appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, and respiration), neonate’s age, sex and invasive procedures (use of medically invasive instruments/resuscitation at birth). Table 1 displays assessment of these variables. Figure 1 provides a conceptual framework of the relationship between the aforementioned predictors and the outcome.

**Ethical considerations**

The research commenced after receiving written clearance from the Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH)-University of Nairobi (UoN) Ethics and Research Committee (P438/06/2018) and the Nairobi County Health Services (Ref. No. CMO/NRB/OPR/VOL.1/2018/91). Additionally, written informed consent was obtained from the mother/index care-giver for their neonate’s participation in the study.

**Minimization of biases**

Prior to commencing the data collection, two research assistants were trained on screening patients, complete neonatal medical examination and standardized interview techniques to reduce interviewer bias. Additionally, caregivers could have resorted
| Variable                                | Measurement of variable                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age of mother (continuous)              | Expressed in years.                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Mother’s level of education (ordinal)   | The level of education attained by the mother. Classified into four levels: 1=No formal education, 2=Primary school, 3=High school education or 4=College/graduate education.                                           |
| Marital status (nominal)                | Captured in three categories: Single, Married or Others (divorced, widowed and separated).                                                                                                                             |
| Mother’s religion (nominal)             | Expressed as Protestant, Catholic, Orthodox, Muslim or Pagan.                                                                                                                                                           |
| Place of delivery (nominal)             | Grouped into two levels: Health institution or home delivery.                                                                                                                                                           |
| Type of delivery (nominal)              | Mothers delivery categorized into three classes: Cesarean section (CS), Spontaneous vaginal delivery (SVD) or Instrumental (forceps/vacuum).                                                                                 |
| Health education (nominal)              | Mothers were ranked by whether they had received antenatal education on cord care or not received.                                                                                                                      |
| Number of ANC visits (ordinal)          | The number of ANC visits made by the mother. Captured as 0, 1, 2, 3 or ≥4.                                                                                                                                       |
| Immunization (nominal)                  | Tetanus toxoid-containing vaccines are administered at recommended intervals in pregnant women. The rationale for this vaccine is to protect both the mother and her child from tetanus during delivery. Mothers were classified into two groups: Immunized and Not immunized. |
| Pregnancy-related complications (nominal) | This was inclusive of all labor-related complications such as, premature rupture of membranes (PROM), chorioamnionitis/meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS) and elevated maternal temperature. It also included any history of bacterial infection in pregnancy. Measured in two categories: Present and Not present. |
| Neonate’s age (continuous)              | Captured in days.                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| APGAR score (discrete)                  | APGAR (Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, and Respiration) scores are assigned to the newborn at 1, 5 and 10 minutes from the moment of birth. The signs observed and scored include heart rate, respiration, muscle tone, reflex irritability and color. The 5-minute score has been correlated with developmental vulnerability. Actual values of APGAR score at five minutes were recorded from the Mother and Child Health Booklet Kenya. |
| Invasive procedures e.g. resuscitation, ventilator support, intravenous line (nominal) | Resuscitation at birth using biomedical techniques. Retrieved from the Mother and Child Health Booklet Kenya. Represented by two categories: Done and Not done.                                                                 |
| Parity (discrete)                       | Measured as number of children a mother had.                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Neonates’ Sex (nominal)                 | The neonate’s sex captured as either male or female.                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Cord exposure (nominal)                 | Mothers were questioned if cord was kept exposed, that is, if napkin was folded below or above the stump. Two groups were generated: Above the cord and Below the cord.                                                   |
| Substance application (ordinal)         | Scaled into four levels: 0=Saliva/Ash, 1=None (air-drying)/Water, 2=Silver sulphadiazine/Topical antibiotic, 3=Surgical spirit/Chlorhexidine. In regards to treatment efficacy in reduction of cord infection methylated spirit and 4% chlorhexidine are comparable. Effectiveness of chlorhexidine at cord healing is better than either silver sulphadiazine, topical antibiotic, povidone iodine or dry cord care. Further, there is no significant difference between silver sulphadiazine, povidone iodine or topical antibiotic such as bacitracin. Equally, use of dry cord care was found to be commensurable to cleaning with water. Application of saliva or other traditional substances has been shown to predispose to omphalitis as compared to air drying. |
| Hand washing (ordinal)                  | Handwashing graded into two categories: 0=No, 1=Yes. Further the substance used to wash hands was categorized into three levels: 0=Water only and 2=Water and soap.                                                   |
| Breastfeeding practice (ordinal)        | Mothers were asked if early breastfeeding was initiated and if exclusivity of breastfeeding was practiced. Mother-neonate pair were in three sets: 0=Within one hour, 1=One-six hours, 2=More than six hours. For exclusivity, three groups were generated: 0=Breastmilk, 1=Formula, 2=Mixed, 3=Other. |
| Bathing method (nominal)                | Neonates were bathed in either of two ways: Immersion bathing or sponge bathing.                                                                                                                                       |
to unhygienic cord practices (such as harmful applications) as a way to treat an already septic neonate. Hence, the possibility of reverse causality was reduced by focusing on incident cases. Attempts to minimize recall bias were made by referencing the mother-child booklet to ascertain information regarding some antenatal and perinatal information such as the number of antenatal visits, the neonate’s date of birth, neonatal APGAR score and resuscitation history.

### Statistical analysis

The questionnaires were checked for completeness and qualitative data coded. The data were double-entered by two independent data entry clerks into EpiData version 3.1 spreadsheet. The principal researcher cross-checked the computerized data base against the questionnaires that had been administered. The dataset was exported to Stata software, version 13 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA) for cleaning and analysis. For continuous variables’ descriptive statistics, data were summarized by means, medians and ranges. For categorical variables, data were summarized using frequency tables, proportions and percentages.

Scoring of the umbilical cord hygiene variable’s five components was standardized such that those responses that were desirable as per WHO essential newborn care guidelines received a higher value ($\geq 1$). A value of zero was awarded to responses inconsistent with these guidelines$^{31-33}$. A total score was then reached by summing up the individual component’s scores. Notably, a cord with an aggregate score below the median score of 7 was designated as improper cord hygiene, whereas one with a score equal to or above the median was deemed to have proper cord hygiene.

A logistic regression model was used to assess the crude association between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis. For a sensible interpretation of APGAR score’s effect on neonatal sepsis, it was grouped into two categories$^{27}$: $\geq 7$ or $<7$. To evaluate the potential confounding effect of neonatal and maternal factors on the umbilical cord hygiene-sepsis relationship, each of the predictors was screened for unconditional associations with neonatal sepsis at a 5% significance level. Qualifying variables were further screened for a significant association with umbilical cord hygiene at similar level of significance.

Variables that met these criteria were considered as potential confounders to the cord hygiene-neonatal sepsis relationship and therefore were included in a multivariable model to adjust for their confounding effect on this relationship. Here, a backward step-wise approach was applied to eliminate variables if there was not more than a 30% change in the regression coefficient for umbilical cord hygiene upon their exclusion$^{41}$. To evaluate the impact of umbilical cord hygiene in the neonatal population (the proportion of neonatal sepsis that could be prevented by adhering to proper umbilical cord care), a PAF was computed as described by Dohoo et al.$^{44}$

$$PAF = pd \left( \frac{aOR - 1}{aOR} \right)$$
Where: $PAF$ is the population attributable fraction; $pd$ is the proportion of total cases in the population arising from improper cord hygiene; $aOR$ is the adjusted odds ratio for cord hygiene derived from the multivariable model.

**Results**

**Screening and socio-demographic information**

A total of 312 participants (104 cases, 208 controls) were recruited into the study but those who consented to participation were 309. Of the 208 potential controls, three declined consent. Additionally, three others did not meet the eligibility criteria and were excluded; leaving 202 eligible controls that participated. A flow diagram illustrating the recruitment and enrollment process is shown in Figure 2.

Descriptive statistics for the demographic variables are indicated in Table 2. Notably, males comprised 55.8% ($n=58$) of cases and 47.0% ($n=95$) of controls. The mean neonatal age was 19.7 days; the mean age of cases and controls being 16.5 days (range: 5-28 days) and 21.3 days (range: 3-28 days), respectively. Regarding marital status, 69.2% ($n=72$) of cases’ mothers were married compared to 81.7% ($n=165$) of controls’. Only 13.5% ($n=14$) of the cases’ caregivers had received up to tertiary level of education compared to 22.3% ($n=45$) of the controls’.

**Cord care practices and umbilical cord hygiene among respondents**

A description of the participants’ cord care practices is displayed in Table 3. In this population, majority of mothers reported use of chlorhexidine/surgical spirit (64%, $n=197$). Among cases, slightly over a third (35.6%, $n=37$) had surgical spirit/chlorhexidine applied as compared to about four-fifths (79.2%, $n=160$) of the controls. Of concern, saliva/ash was applied among 10.6% ($n=11$) of cases compared to 2.5% ($n=5$) of the controls. In this study setting, about two-thirds (65.7%, $n=201$) of mothers fastened their babies’ diapers below the umbilical stump. Roughly 30% (29.8%, $n=31$) of the case respondents revealed that they folded the neonate’s napkin below the cord.

Regarding the cleansing substance employed by those who reported handwashing, only 44.4% ($n=136$) used both water and soap. In particular, whereas 61.4% ($n=124$) of controls’ mothers stated they used water and soap before cord handling, only 11.6% ($n=12$) of cases’ mothers did the same. Sponge-bathing was the bathing practice recorded by most (64.4%, $n=197$) of the

![Figure 2. Study flow chart.](image-url)
participants in the present study. However, only 28.9% (n=30) of the cases were sponge-bathed.

The proportion of mothers/care-givers who had improper hygiene practices was 35.3% (n=108), with unhygienic cord status being disproportionately high in case (72.1%, n=75) than in control mothers (16.3%, n=33), Table 3.

**Logistic regression analyses**

The crude association between cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis is captured in Table 4. Notably, the odds of neonatal sepsis in infants who had improper hygiene was approximately 13 times higher (OR=13.34; 95% CI: [7.5; 23.4]) compared to those with proper hygiene.

Of the variables screened, neonatal factors registering a significant association with neonatal sepsis were: low APGAR score (P=0.001), invasive procedures (P=0.007) and neonate’s age (P<0.001). With respect to maternal factors, marital status (P=0.05), initiation of breastfeeding (P=0.006), type of feed (P<0.001) and pregnancy-related events (P=0.005) were found to be significantly associated with neonatal sepsis (Table 4). To qualify as potential confounders, these significant factors were further evaluated for an association with the primary exposure as presented in Table 5. Following the assessment, the variables: low APGAR score, invasive procedure, neonate’s age, marital status, type of feed and pregnancy-related events were significantly associated with cord hygiene and thus were offered to the multivariable model to adjust for their potential confounding effect.

From the multivariable analysis, none of the six factors assessed confounded (resulted in a >30% change in the coefficient for umbilical cord hygiene) the primary association between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis (Table 6), and as such, the OR of 13.34 was used to compute the PAF. The estimated PAF was 66.7% (95% CI: 62.5; 69.0).

**Discussion**

The study found that in this community, the main cord care procedures involved aspects of substance application, with a majority of caregivers cleansing their hands using water and soap (44%), exposing the cord (66%), sponge-bathing (64%) and practicing rooming-in (99%) of the mother-infant couplet. This is in line with WHO recommendations on satisfactory cord care in high mortality regions: use of select topical antimicrobial agents as alternatives to harmful applications, handwashing, air drying of the umbilical stump, sponge bathing and rooming-in\(^{11,20}\).

This study found that the most commonly used agents for treatment of the cord were chlorhexidine or surgical spirit (64%). In other studies, similar frequencies in the use of these antimicrobials as the principal cord care application substances have been reported\(^{11,45,46}\). However, there was a statistically significant difference between the 36% of cases whose caregivers used surgical spirit/chlorhexidine and the 79% of sepsis-free controls, highlighting the importance of surgical spirit use in prevention of sepsis. Of concern was the significant number of mothers who used non-recommended substances which included water or nothing (air-drying) and ash/saliva. Such unclean substances are a probable nidus of infection as they are likely to be contaminated with bacteria/spores\(^{47}\). In similar settings, variations with respect to the most popularly applied substances have been observed. For instance, in Pumwani Maternity Hospital, Kenya, applying nothing (air-drying) was most prevalent at 55%, followed at 25% by surgical spirit, as well as use of saliva and water both at 10\(^{40}\). Elsewhere, methylated spirit was the main cord care method in Ghana\(^{48}\) and in Nigeria\(^{46}\), while use of brick ash was reportedly highly used in Zambia\(^2\). Findings from another study carried out in Benin reported that inappropriate/harmful substances were applied by 81% of caregivers\(^{48}\). In Ethiopia and Nigeria, dry cord care was widely exercised\(^{11,12}\). The differences might be due to the influence of deeply entrenched cultural norms that supersede adoption of advocated clean cord care applications\(^{10,12,15}\).

In this study, about two thirds of mothers tied the babies’ diapers below the umbilical stump. This is in consonance with WHO

---

**Table 2. Demographic characteristics of the respondents, KHC, Kenya, 2018 (n=306).**

| Variable             | Cases (n = 104) | Controls (n = 202) |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Neonate’s sex        |                 |                    |
| Male                 | 58 (55.77%)     | 95 (47.03%)        |
| Female               | 46 (44.23%)     | 107 (52.97%)       |
| Neonate’s age (days) |                 |                    |
| Mean                 | 16.5            | 21.3               |
| Range                | 5–28            | 3–28               |
| Maternal age (years) |                 |                    |
| Mean                 | 26.16           | 27.12              |
| Range                | 17 – 44         | 17 – 44            |
| Marital status       |                 |                    |
| Single               | 26 (25.00%)     | 29 (14.36%)        |
| Married              | 72 (69.23%)     | 165 (81.68%)       |
| Separated            | 6 (5.77%)       | 7 (3.47%)          |
| Divorced             | 0 (0.00%)       | 1 (0.50%)          |
| Education Level      |                 |                    |
| No formal            | 3 (2.88%)       | 2 (0.99%)          |
| Primary              | 36 (34.62%)     | 62 (30.69%)        |
| Secondary            | 51 (49.04%)     | 93 (46.04%)        |
| College/University   | 14 (13.46%)     | 45 (22.28%)        |
| Religion             |                 |                    |
| Protestant           | 69 (66.35%)     | 119 (58.91%)       |
| Catholic             | 23 (22.12%)     | 61 (30.20%)        |
| Orthodox             | 10 (9.62%)      | 21 (10.40%)        |
| Muslim               | 1 (0.96%)       | 0 (0.00%)          |
| Pagan                | 1 (0.96%)       | 1 (0.50%)          |
Table 3. Cord care practices and cord hygiene among mothers/primary care-givers, KHC, Kenya, 2018 (n=306).

| Variable                      | All mothers (n=306) n (%) | Cases (n=104) n (%) | Controls (n=202) n (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Substance application         |                           |                    |                        |
| Surgical spirit/Chlorhexidine | 197 (64.38)               | 37 (35.58)         | 160 (79.21)            |
| Topical antibiotic/Silver sulphadiazine | 8 (2.61)      | 2 (1.92)           | 6 (2.97)               |
| None/Water                    | 85 (27.78)                | 54 (51.92)         | 31 (15.35)             |
| Saliva/Ash                    | 16 (5.23)                 | 11 (10.58)         | 5 (2.48)               |
| Cord exposure                 |                           |                    |                        |
| Below cord                    | 201 (65.69)               | 31 (29.81)         | 170 (84.16)            |
| Above cord                    | 105 (34.31)               | 73 (70.19)         | 32 (15.84)             |
| Handwashing                   |                           |                    |                        |
| Yes                           | 239 (78.10)               | 57 (54.81)         | 182 (90.10)            |
| No                            | 67 (21.90)                | 47 (45.19)         | 20 (9.90)              |
| Washing substance             |                           |                    |                        |
| Water and soap                | 136 (44.44)               | 12 (11.54)         | 124 (61.39)            |
| Water only                    | 103 (33.66)               | 45 (43.27)         | 58 (28.71)             |
| None                          | 67 (21.90)                | 47 (45.19)         | 20 (9.90)              |
| Rooming-in                    |                           |                    |                        |
| Yes                           | 304 (99.35)               | 102 (98.08)        | 202 (100.00)           |
| No                            | 2 (0.65)                  | 2 (1.92)           | 0 (0.00)               |
| Bathing method                |                           |                    |                        |
| Sponge-bathing                | 197 (64.38)               | 30 (28.85)         | 167 (82.67)            |
| Immersion in water            | 109 (35.62)               | 74 (71.15)         | 35 (17.33)             |
| Umbilical cord hygiene        |                           |                    |                        |
| Proper                        | 198 (64.71)               | 29 (27.88)         | 169 (83.66)            |
| Improper                      | 108 (35.29)               | 75 (72.12)         | 33 (16.34)             |

About four-fifths (78%) of caregivers mentioned that they washed their hands while changing the diapers (55% of cases and 90% of controls). Another study done in one public hospital in Nairobi, Kenya, supported this finding where 52% of mothers washed their hands under running water and 48% used water in basins. Comparably, in Parakou, Benin, 73% of mothers expressed that they washed their hands prior to cord care provision. Further, with regards to the washing substance in the current study, majority of mothers (44%) used water and soap. Mothers who washed with plain water were 37% while those who did not wash their hands at all were 22%. Findings in this study were corroborated by a Nigerian study which documented most of the study population (47%) to have used water and soap in the care of their hands, followed by water only (40%)41. Nonetheless, a study in Karamoja in Uganda, contrasted the finding, reporting that handwashing was not observed by majority (90%) of mothers before change of diaper/napkin leading to their neonates exhibiting signs of infection. The difference could be ascribed to the Ugandan study area being primarily a semi-arid region of the country compared to the urban setting of this study. While handling the neonate’s cord, it is recommended that handwashing with both water and soap is observed to achieve umbilical cord hygiene. Over 99% of the mothers in this study slept in the same room as the baby. The finding from a study in Pumwani Maternity Hospital in Kenya supports this result where 93.3% of mothers were shown to practice rooming-in. It is recommended that mothers and their newborns should sleep in one room throughout without separation. It has been cited that rooming-in promotes better coupling of mother and newborn, boosting
Table 4. Association between umbilical cord hygiene, neonatal and maternal factors with neonatal sepsis among neonates attending KHC, Kenya, 2018.

| Variable                        | Odds ratio | 95% CI       | P-value |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| Umbilical cord hygiene          |            |              | <0.001  |
| Proper                          | Ref        |              |         |
| Improper                        | 13.24      | 7.50; 23.38  |         |
| APGAR score*                    |            |              |         |
| <7                              | 9.47       | 2.01; 44.70  | 0.001   |
| >7                              | Ref        |              |         |
| Invasive procedures*            |            |              |         |
| Yes                             | 2.84       | 1.32; 6.10   | 0.007   |
| No                              | ref        |              |         |
| Neonate's sex                   |            |              |         |
| Male                            | 0.70       | 0.44; 1.13   | 0.147   |
| Female                          | ref        |              |         |
| Neonate's age*                  | 0.89       | 0.85; 0.93   | <0.001  |
| Maternal age (years)            |            |              | 0.159   |
| Mean                            | 0.97       | 0.93; 1.01   |         |
| Level of education              |            | 0.187        |         |
| No formal                       | 2.74       | 0.44; 16.91  |         |
| Primary                         | 1.06       | 0.62; 1.81   |         |
| Secondary                       | Ref        |              |         |
| College/University              | 0.57       | 0.24; 1.13   |         |
| Marital status*                 |            |              | 0.0498  |
| Single                          | 2.05       | 1.13; 3.73   |         |
| Married                         | ref        |              |         |
| Divorced/Separated              | 1.72       | 0.56; 5.13   |         |
| Mother's religion               |            |              | 0.181   |
| Protestant                      | Ref        |              |         |
| Catholic/Orthodox               | 0.69       | 0.42; 1.15   |         |
| Other                           | 3.45       | 0.31; 38.74  |         |

* Variables eligible for an assessment of their association with the primary exposure (P≤0.05). CI, confidence interval.

their skin contact and hence increasing colonization rates of non-pathogenic organisms from the mothers’ normal skin flora to the baby, thereby lowering umbilical cord infection rates\textsuperscript{14,20,55}.

To achieve dry cord care and hastened healing, the bathing practice is key. Wiping the baby with a wet cloth was dominant among controls in the present study. Similarly, sponge-bathing has been shown in another study to be the main bathing practice compared to immersion-bathing\textsuperscript{40}. However, majority of cases were immersed in water. In Benin, 93% of mothers sub-bathed babies in water and only 7% wiped them with a wet cloth which was linked to concomitant umbilical cord infection\textsuperscript{50}. The WHO recommends that the first bath should be delayed for at least six hours and umbilical stump should be kept dry until the cord falls off\textsuperscript{20}; the reason being that immersion bathing leads to delay in cord separation and increased susceptibility to sepsis\textsuperscript{56}.

This study results showed that 35.3% of caregivers failed to observe good cord hygiene. In North Benin, a study reported that, as per study’s specifications of cord hygiene, 58.6% of mothers had practiced poor quality care, 31.9% had good quality care, with none of the mothers reaching excellent quality of cord care\textsuperscript{50}. It is noteworthy that, owing to understaffing in most primary care facilities in Kenya, antenatal education on good care may not be adequately provided. Consequently, mothers attending such facilities may resort to improper methods of cord care that may in turn predispose their neonates to sepsis.
The results of the present study demonstrated a statistically significant association between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis among infants of the Kahawa Health Centre. Compared to babies whose mothers observed proper cord hygiene, the odds of developing neonatal sepsis among babies of mothers who had improper cord hygiene was roughly 13 times higher (OR=13.24; \( P<0.001 \)) and this key association was not confounded by any of the examined factors. According to Bradford Hill criteria, such a strong association has been shown to be less likely due to chance, bias or confounding and might suggest causality\(^5\). However, this finding needs to be validated by studies in other settings.

In India, a previous study has elucidated a strong association (\( P<0.0001 \)) between unhygienic care of the cord and sepsis\(^24\). Likewise, a study in Bangladesh showed a relative risk of 1.15 for an association between unclean cord care and neonatal sepsis\(^58\). The strength of association is lower than the results of this study perhaps attributable to other stronger predictors of neonatal sepsis in the population. A similar observation was made in Nigeria where unhygienic cord care was strongly associated with neonatal infection\(^15\).

With the strong OR, this study yielded a high overall PAF estimate of 67% for umbilical cord hygiene. This implies that in the study’s neonatal population, sixty-seven percent of neonatal sepsis cases would have been averted, if good cord hygiene was observed and assuming umbilical cord hygiene was causal. Associations drawn from this study are generalizable to similar low-resource primary care settings.

A few limitations are intrinsic to the present study. Recall of past exposures was likely to be more complete in respondents whose neonates were cases than controls. This could bias the effect estimates away from unity. Moreover, there was likely to be differential reporting of cord care practices between cases’ and controls’ caregivers, accordingly, biasing the effect estimates away from null. Furthermore, as availability of laboratory diagnostics is limited at KHC, this precluded their inclusion in the case definition and may therefore have affected the definition’s specificity.

**Conclusion**

This study provides evidence that improper cord hygiene is strongly associated with neonatal sepsis among infants presenting in this primary care setting. More importantly, this association was not confounded by any of the covariates measured.

---

**Table 5. Association between the qualifying covariates and umbilical cord hygiene among neonates at KHC, Kenya, 2018.**

| Variable                          | Odds ratio | 95% CI     | \( P \)-value |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| APGAR score\(^a\)                |            |            |               |
| >7                               | Ref        | -          | 0.001         |
| <7                               | 8.91       | 1.89; 42.02|               |
| Invasive procedures\(^b\)        |            |            |               |
| Yes                              | 2.29       | 1.07; 4.89 | 0.033         |
| No                               | ref        | -          |               |
| Neonate’s age\(^c\)              | 0.94       | 0.91; 0.98 | 0.001         |
| Marital status\(^d\)             |            |            |               |
| Single                           | 2.08       | 1.15; 3.78 | 0.047         |
| Married                          | ref        | -          |               |
| Divorced/separated               | 1.62       | 0.54; 4.83 |               |
| Initiation of breastfeeding       |            |            |               |
| Within one hour                  | ref        | -          | 0.624         |
| One-six hours                    | 1.05       | 0.61; 1.81 |               |
| More than six hours              | 1.375      | 0.72; 2.62 |               |
| Type of feed\(^e\)               |            |            |               |
| Breastmilk only                  | ref        | -          | <0.001        |
| Formula                          | 0.55       | 0.06; 5.01 |               |
| Mixed                            | 4.81       | 2.25; 10.28|               |
| Pregnancy-related events\(^f\)   |            |            |               |
| Yes                              | 1.63       | 1.00; 2.65 | 0.046         |
| No                               | ref        | -          |               |

\(^a\), \(^b\), \(^c\), \(^d\), \(^e\), \(^f\): Variables eligible for inclusion in the multivariable analysis (\( P \leq 0.05 \)).

**Table 6. Multivariable analysis for association between umbilical cord hygiene and qualifying covariates with neonatal sepsis among neonates at KHC, Kenya, 2018.**

| Variable                          | Odds ratio | 95% CI     | \( P \)-value |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Umbilical cord hygiene           |            |            |               |
| Proper                           | Ref        | -          | <0.001        |
| Improper                         | 11.02      | 5.82; 20.87|               |
| APGAR score\(^a\)                |            |            |               |
| >7                               | Ref        | -          | 0.328         |
| <7                               | 3.28       | 0.30; 35.36|               |
| Invasive procedures\(^b\)        |            |            |               |
| Yes                              | 1.42       | 0.36; 5.63 | 0.616         |
| No                               | ref        | -          |               |
| Neonate’s age\(^c\)              | 0.88       | 0.84; 0.93 | <0.001        |
| Marital status\(^d\)             |            |            |               |
| Single                           | 1.26       | 0.57; 2.80 | 0.836         |
| Married                          | ref        | -          |               |
| Divorced/separated               | 1.21       | 0.28; 5.24 |               |
| Type of feed\(^e\)               |            |            |               |
| Breastmilk only                  | ref        | -          | 0.009         |
| Formula                          | 4.38       | 1.60; 11.96|               |
| Mixed                            | 4.26       | 0.50; 36.44|               |
| Pregnancy-related events\(^f\)   |            |            |               |
| Yes                              | 1.56       | 0.82; 2.99 | 0.175         |
| No                               | ref        | -          |               |

None of the assessed factors resulted in a >30% change in the regression coefficient for umbilical cord hygiene.
The PAF estimate implies that observance of good cord hygiene practices would result in a 67% reduction of sepsis in this neonatal population. Hence, there is a pressing need to spearhead revision of national guidelines with a view to introducing an antenatal cord care package that lays emphasis on the importance of comprehensive cord care practices.

Data availability
Underlying data
Harvard Dataverse: Replication Data for: Association between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis among neonates presenting to a primary care facility, Kenya: A case-control study. https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FSXPR832.

This project contains the following underlying data:
- kahawa_hygiene_code.do (.do file code for umbilical cord-neonatal sepsis evaluation).
- kahawa_hygiene_data.tab (study dataset).

Extended data
Harvard Dataverse: Replication Data for: Association between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis among neonates presenting to a primary care facility, Kenya: A case-control study. https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FSXPR832.

This project contains the following extended data:
- Umbilical hygiene_sepsis questionnaire.pdf (questionnaire used in this study).

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Grant information
This work was supported by the University of Nairobi scholarship (Ref No. 10007492016).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
We owe our sincere gratitude to the mothers and caregivers of the Kahawa Health Centre and their infants who facilitated data collection and through whom this research work was able to come to fruition; implementation of the recommendations drawn from the study findings would be of greatest value to them. We also need to thank the administrative team and health workers of the facility for their hospitality and permitting the utilization of the facility to realize this work.

References
1. Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, et al.: Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–15: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. Lancet 2016; 388(10063): 3027–35. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
2. Oza S, Lawn JE, Hogan DR, et al.: Neonatal cause-of-death estimates for the early and late neonatal periods for 194 countries: 2000–2013. Bull World Health Organ. 2015; 93(1): 19–28. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
3. Division of Policy and Strategy U: Committing to child survival: a promise renewed. progress report 2013. New York: UNICEF. 2013. Reference Source
4. UNICEF: Committing to Child Survival: A Promise Renewed. Progress Report. 2014. Reference Source
5. Vergnano S, Sharland M, Kazembe P, et al.: Neonatal sepsis: an international perspective. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2005; 90(3): F220–4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
6. KDHS, Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) and ICF Marco 2015: Kenya Demographic and Health Survey. Key Indicators Report: Infant and child mortality. Calverton, Maryland: KNBS and ICF Macro, 2014. Reference Source
7. Wynn JL, Wong HR, Shanley TP, et al.: Time for a neonatal-specific consensus definition for sepsis. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2014; 15(6): 523–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
8. Shane AL, Sánchez PJ, Stoll BJ: Neonatal sepsis. Lancet. 2017; 390(10104): 1770–80. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
9. Mullany LC, Darmstadt GL, Katz J, et al.: Risk factors for umbilical cord infection among newborns of southern Nepal. Am J Epidemiol. 2007; 165(2): 203–11. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
10. Ambe J, Bello M, Yahaya S, et al.: Umbilical Cord Care Practices In Konduga Local Government Area Of Borno State North - Eastern Nigeria. The Internet Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2008; 8(2): 2–6. Reference Source
11. Blencowe H, Cousins S, Mullany LC, et al.: Clean birth and postnatal care practices to reduce neonatal deaths from sepsis and tetanus: a systematic review and Delphi estimation of mortality effect. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11 Suppl 3: S11. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
12. Ministry of Health: A guideline for the use of 7.1% delivering 4% chlorhexidine for newborn umbilical cord care in Kenya. MOH Kenya, 2016. Reference Source
13. Bugaje MA, McHoney M, Ameh EA, et al.: Omphalitis. Paediatric Surgery: A Comprehensive Text For Africa. 2010; 124–8. Reference Source
14. Whitmore JM: Newborn Umbilical Cord Care: An Evidence Based Quality Improvement Project. Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) Projects. 2010; 13. Reference Source
15. Saleh JA, Nemecek J, Jones C: Impact of hygienic caring of the umbilical cord in the prevention of neonatal tetanus. WebmedCentral PUBLIC HEALTH. 2015; 6(5): WMC004891. Reference Source
16. WHO: WHO recommendations on postnatal care of the mother and newborn. World Health Organization; Geneva, 2013. Reference Source
17. Amare Y: Umbilical cord care in Ethiopia and implications for behavioral change: a qualitative study. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2014; 14: 12. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
18. Akter T, Dawson A, Sibbritt D: What impact do essential newborn care practices have on neonatal mortality in low and lower-middle income countries? Evidence from Bangladesh. J Perinatol. 2016; 36(3): 225–30. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
19. Karumbi J, Mulaku M, Aluvaala J, et al.: Topical umbilical cord care for...
prevention of infection and neonatal mortality. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013; 32(1): 78–83. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

20. WHO: Care of the umbilical cord. WHO/FFE/MSM-cord care World Health Organization, Geneva, 1998. 
Reference Source

21. Waiswa P, Patiyo G, Kallander K, et al.: Effect of the Uganda Newborn Study on care-seeking and care practices: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. Glob Health Action. 2015; 8: 24584. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

22. Sacks E, Moss WJ, Winch PJ, et al.: Skin, thermal and umbilical cord care practices for neonates in southern, rural Zambia: a qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015; 15: 149. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

23. Coffey PS, Brown SC: Umbilical cord-care practices in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017; 17(1): 68. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

24. Goel A, Murmu SK, Shah S, et al.: Role of cultural practices in neonatal sepsis. Int J Med Public Health. 2015; 4(5): 680–3. 
Publisher Full Text

25. John B, David M, Mathias L, et al.: Risk factors and practices contributing to newborn sepsis in a rural district of Eastern Uganda, August 2013: a cross sectional study. BMC Res Notes. 2015; 8: 339. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

26. Jabiri A, Herman LW, Avelina S, et al.: Effect of the Uganda Newborn Study on the care of the neonatal umbilical cord using 80% ethanol with or without 2% chlorhexidine. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2018; 103(6): 613–7. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

27. Gebermedhin D, Berhe H, Gebrekirstos K: Risk Factors for Neonatal Sepsis in Public Hospitals of Mekelle City, North Ethiopia, 2015: Unmatched Case Control Study. PLoS One. 2016; 11(5): e0154798. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

28. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al.: The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2008; 61(4): 344–9. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

29. WHO: Problems of the neonate and young infant. Pocket book of hospital care for children, World Health Organization, Second edition, 2013a; 51–6. 
Reference Source

30. Kelsey JL, Whittemore AS, Evans AS, et al.: Methods in Observational Epidemiology. 2nd ed, New York, 1996. 
Reference Source

31. Kinanu L, Odihambo E, Mwaura J, et al.: Socio-demographic and economic determinants of umbilical cord infection among neonates at Pumwani maternity hospital, Kenya: a cross-sectional study. Int J Health Res. 2015; 5(12): 274–81. 
Reference Source

32. Keswani P: Replication Data for: Association between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis among neonates presenting to a primary care facility, Kenya: A case-control study. Harvard Dataverse, V1. 2019. 
http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FSXPR8

33. Khan R, Vandelaar J, Yakubu A, et al.: Maternal and neonatal tetanus elimination: from protecting women and newborns to protecting all. Int J Womens Health. 2015; 7: 171–80. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

34. Delhi H, Nii F, Sheikh M, et al.: Vitamin D: A comparison of the four proposed Apgar scoring systems in the assessment of birth asphyxia and adverse early neurologic outcomes. PLoS One. 2015; 10(3): e0122116. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

35. Razaa N, Bryce WT, Brownell M, et al.: Five-minute Apgar score as a marker for developmental vulnerability at 5 years of age. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2016; 101(2): F114–20. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

36. Oishi T, Iwata S, Nonoyama M, et al.: Double-blind comparative study on the care of the neonatal umbilical cord using 80% ethanol with or without chlorhexidine. J Hosp Infect. 2004; 58(1): 34–7. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

37. Shwe DD, Abok II, Diaia UM, et al.: Methylated spirit versus 4% chlorhexidine gel in neonatal umbilical cord infection: A short report of a randomized, open-labelled, parallel-group trial. Niger J Paediatr. 2018; 46(2): 118–22. 
Reference Source

38. Stewart D, Benitz W, COMMITTEE ON FETUS AND NEWBORN: Umbilical Cord Care in the Newborn Infant. Pediatrics. 2016; 138(3): pii: e20162149. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

39. Immos A, Baustista RM, Senen KA, et al.: Umbilical cord antisepsics for preventing sepsis and death among newborns. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013; (5): CD008635. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

40. Kinanu L, Odihambo E, Meaura J, et al.: Cord Care Practices and Omphalitis among Neonates Aged 3 - 28 Days at Pumwani Maternity Hospital, Kenya. J Biosci Med. 2016; 04(1): 27–36. 
Published Full Text

41. Afsarani TO, Hassan ZI, Akinremi OA, et al.: Cord Care Practices: A Perspective of Contemporary African Setting, Front Public Health. 2018; 6: 10. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

42. Amold L, Irum G, Njia D: Knowledge of postnatal mothers on essential newborn care practices at the Kenyatta National Hospital: a cross sectional study. Pan Afr Med J. 2017; 28: 97. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

43. Teklehaimanot HD, Teklehaimanot A, Tedella AA, et al.: Use of Balanced Scorecard Methodology for Performance Measurement of the Health Extension Program in Ethiopia. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016; 94(5): 1157–69. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

44. Dohoo I, Martin W, Stryhn H: Methods in Epidemiologic Research, Prince Edward Island: Charlottetown, 2012. 
Reference Source

45. Abulhimalih-Iyoha BI, Olii A, Ibadin MO: Cord care practices among mothers attending immunization clinic at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Niger J Paediatr. 2011; 38(3): 104–6. 
Publisher Full Text

46. Abegunde D, Orobaton N, Beal K, et al.: Trends in newborn umbilical cord care practices in Sokoto and Bauchi States of Nigeria: the where, who, why, what and the ubiquitous role of traditional birth attendants: a lot quality assurance sampling survey, BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017; 17(1): 368. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

47. Petersides O, Duru CO, Anenre N: Harmful traditional practices in a newborn: A case report. Niger J Paediatr. 2015; 42(2): 151–3. 
Reference Source

48. Nutor JJ, Kayingga G, Bell JF, et al.: Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding care of newborn umbilical cord among healthcare workers and mothers in the Volta region of Ghana. Ann Glob Health. 2016; 82(3): 548. 
Publisher Full Text

49. Opara PI, Jaja T, Dolimi DA, et al.: Newborn Cord Care Practices Amongst Mothers in Yenagoa Local Government Area, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Int J Clin Med. 2012; 03(1): 22–7. 
Published Full Text

50. Agossou J, Hounou-m’Alemeida M, Adediyi JY, et al.: Newborn Umbilical Cord Care in Parakou in 2013: Practices and Risks. Open J Pediatr. 2016; 06(1): 124–35. 
Publisher Full Text

51. Callaghan-Koru JA, Sellu A, Tholandi M, et al.: Newborn care practices at home and in health facilities in 4 regions of Ethiopia. BMC Pediatr. 2013; 13: 198. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

52. Chiedibere O, Uchenna E, Stanley O, et al.: Umbilical Cord Care Practices and Incidence of Fetal Illnesses in the First Month of Life among Newborns- A Population Based Study. Br J Med Med Res. 2016; 5(11): 1422–30. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

53. Walsh S, Norr K, Sankar G, et al.: Newborn cord care practices in Haiti. Glob Public Health. 2015; 10(9): 1107–17. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

54. Hopp LJ: Delivery practices, hygiene, birth attendance and neonatal infections in Karamoja, Uganda: a community-based study. Afr J Health Sci. 2017; 17(1): 7–13. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

55. MacDonald L: Becoming Baby Friendly: Rooming-in for Patient Centered Care in the Maternal Setting. Honors College Theses. 2016; Paper 21. 
Reference Source

56. Ayliffe T, Kukali H, Niyaz Aoygu F, et al.: The effects of two bathing methods on the time of separation of umbilical cord in term babies in Turkey. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2015; 17(1): e19053. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

57. Cox LA Jr.: Modernizing the Bradford Hill criteria for assessing causal relationships in observational data. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2018; 1–31. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text

58. Mitra DK, Mulany LC, Harrison M, et al.: Incidence and risk factors of neonatal infections in a rural Bangladeshi population: a community-based prospective study. J Health Popul Nutr. 2018; 37(1): 6. 
Published Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Page 13 of 17


Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ✔ ✔

Version 2

Review Report 15 August 2019

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.21993.r51821

© 2019 Karumbi J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jamlick Karumbi
Ministry of Health, Nairobi, Kenya

My concerns and comments have been adequately addressed.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
Reviewer Expertise: Epidemiology.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Review Report 16 July 2019

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.21426.r50236

© 2019 Karumbi J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jamlick Karumbi
Ministry of Health, Nairobi, Kenya

This is an important article that clearly describes what may be going wrong in our quest to reduce neonatal mortality in high mortality settings like Kenya. The article does point out that the correct umbilical cord care practices need to be entrenched into routine practices, especially in lower-level facilities.

There are a few issues that need to be clarified;
1. In the introduction, the authors state that there are no guidelines for cord care in Kenya, contrary to that there are actually basic pediatric protocols of 2016 here. These protocols clearly recommend the use of 4% Chlorhexidine for cord care.

2. The authors used a 1:2 case-control matching, what was the rationale? Why not 1:1? My guess is that this has to do with powering the study enough, if this is the case then it needs to be clearly stated in the methodology.

3. In the results section, Table 3 seems to be entirely described by the text preceding it. I believe the authors can just highlight the key elements in the table and leave the other details in the table.

4. The authors could have enriched the discussion by contextualizing the findings further, for example, commodities are usually a challenge in low-level facilities and also human resources who would have correctly advised the mothers, I was hoping to see this as possible postulations in the discussions and conclusions.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound? Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Epidemiology.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 08 July 2019

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.21426.r50237
Balafama Alex-Hart
Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Peace Ibo Opara
1 Department of Paediatrics, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
2 Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

This paper describes umbilical cord practices among mothers in a low resource setting and assesses the relationship between umbilical cord hygiene and neonatal sepsis, its impact on the population, as well as the influence of other neonatal and maternal factors on this relationship. The methodology is clearly written and well presented. However, criteria used for cases were purely clinical and do not quite fit into the seven clinical signs identified by WHO especially in developing countries which includes: difficulty feeding, convulsions, movement only when stimulated, respiratory rate >60 per min, severe chest in drawing and axillary temperature >37.5°C or <35.5°C. For example, the authors include an axillary temperature of >37.5 °C but are silent on temperature < 35.5 °C. Other useful signs like cyanosis and grunting are also not included. It is important to remember that neonatal sepsis shares similar clinical presentations to other common conditions in the neonatal period, thus a combination of clinical and laboratory findings is necessary to provide a correct diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. The limited access to laboratory tests in developing countries which perhaps may have been a challenge to the authors should probably be stated if applicable.

The study describes the statistical methods that were used and the results obtained are clearly stated. Statistical analysis and interpretation of results are adequate. This is a very good paper and is an important addition to the literature on the importance of cord care especially in low resource settings.

References
1. Young Infants Clinical Signs Study Group: Clinical signs that predict severe illness in children under age 2 months: a multicentre study. *Lancet*. 2008; 371 (9607): 135-42 PubMed Abstract I Publisher Full Text
2. Opiyo N, English M: What clinical signs best identify severe illness in young infants aged 0-59 days in developing countries? A systematic review. *Arch Dis Child*. 2011; 96 (11): 1052-9 PubMed Abstract I Publisher Full Text
3. Shane AL, Stoll BJ: Neonatal sepsis: progress towards improved outcomes. *J Infect*. 2014; 68 Suppl 1: S24-32 PubMed Abstract I Publisher Full Text

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?
Yes
Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Yes

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Neonatology.

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.