Computed tomography-guided percutaneous trephine removal of the nidus in osteoid osteoma patients: experience of a single center in Brazil*

**Abstract**

**Objective:** To report the results of computed tomography (CT)-guided percutaneous resection of the nidus in 18 cases of osteoid osteoma.

**Materials and Methods:** The medical records of 18 cases of osteoid osteoma in children, adolescents and young adults, who underwent CT-guided removal of the nidus between November, 2004 and March, 2009 were reviewed retrospectively for demographic data, lesion site, clinical outcome and complications after procedure.

**Results:** Clinical follow-up was available for all cases at a median of 29 months (range 6–60 months). No persistence of pre-procedural pain was noted on 17 patients. Only one patient experienced recurrence of symptoms 12 months after percutaneous resection, and was successfully retreated by the same technique, resulting in a secondary success rate of 18/18 (100%).

**Conclusion:** CT-guided removal or destruction of the nidus is a safe and effective alternative to surgical resection of the osteoid osteoma nidus.
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---

INTRODUCTION

Osteoid osteoma is a benign bone tumor, characterized by a cortically, subperiosteal or intramedullary located nidus with a variable amount of calcification, as well as cortical thickening, sclerosis, and bone marrow edema. Males are affected more than females, at approximately a 2–3:1 ratio, and usually occurs in young individuals (5–25 years)\(^1\)\(^\sim\)\(^3\).

The bones that are most affected are the femur and the tibia, accounting for 50% of the cases, but almost any bone can be involved\(^4\). It presents with intense and typically nocturnal pain, which can be alleviated by aspirin and cured by removing the nidus\(^5\).

The nidus is round or oval with low attenuation and well defined by computed tomography (CT). Also, an area of high attenuation may be seen centrally, representing mineralized osteoid\(^6\)\(^\sim\)\(^7\).

---
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In the past, open surgery was performed and the nidus had to be removed with a bone block, but is often unsuccessful because the nidus is difficult to find and remove completely\(^8\). CT-guided percutaneous biopsy of bone lesions as a diagnostic tool has shown to be accurate and safe\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^11\). In order to achieve removal or destruction of the nidus several CT-guided percutaneous techniques were developed.

The advantages of these methods include the ease of pinpointing the nidus with tomography and a reduced morbidity rate due to minimal resection of the bone mainly by using radio frequency ablation. Its disadvantages include the high cost of acquiring the probes and specific materials required by these techniques\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^14\). The technique of CT-guided percutaneous resection of the nidus is appealing because it has a low cost because there is no need for special materials and the hospitalization time is short.

We retrospectively evaluated the results of 18 cases of osteoid osteoma treated with percutaneous trephine resection of the nidus under CT guidance at a single institution.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We reviewed medical data of 18 consecutive patients treated at the Institute of Pediatric Oncology-GRAACC/Federal University of São Paulo by CT-guided percutaneous trephine resection for osteoid osteoma between November, 2004 and March, 2009. In all, 15 of the patients were male and 3 female. The mean/median age at diagnosis was 18 years (10 to 34 years). The bones affected were the femur in 7 cases, the tibia in 6, and the humerus, ulna, cuneiforme, calcaneus and fibula in 1 each. Five patients were affected by intra-articular osteoid osteoma (4 in the proximal femur and 1 in the proximal ulna).

All patients gave written informed consent after having been explained the treatment procedure, possible complications, and the alternative treatment option of open surgical excision by the orthopedic surgeon. The local ethics committee approved the procedure of CT-guided percutaneous resection.

The osteoid osteoma was diagnosed from clinical and imaging findings. The clinical diagnoses were made based on history and radiographic examinations including plain x-ray and CT scans. Inclusion criteria were predefined as follows: patients reporting severe pain that usually worsened at night, and were receiving nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for pain relief at least in the past three months.

The operation was performed under sedation and local anesthesia on an inpatient basis, using the same technique by the same orthopedic surgeon. A X 8000 Dual Philips CT apparatus, with interval cuts of 2 mm, was used. After pinpointing the nidus by tomography, the skin was marked in the area of the lesion. A 0.5 cm incision was made through the skin and a 2.5 mm Kirschner wire was initially driven percutaneously into the nidus under CT guidance. The track up to the nidus was next drilled and enlarged by a 10 mm Corin cannulated drill bit (Arthrex). At this point, a cannulated curette was inserted into the nidus. When in place, it was used to remove the nidus mechanically. The nidus was removed and tissue biopsy was obtained for histological evaluation. CT reconfirmed that the nidus was in fact removed. The cutaneous wound was sutured and the patients were discharged in a period no longer than 12 hours after the procedure (Figures 1 and 2).

The improvement in the clinical symptoms was analyzed, focusing mainly on the improvement regarding pain by using the Musculoskeletal Tumor Society Rating Scale\(^14\).

Follow-up consisted of a repeat clinical evaluation as well as plain x-rays taken at one week, one month, and every three months thereafter. All patients were followed for a period of at least 6 months, with a median follow-up of 29 months (range 6 to 60 months).

Standard statistical descriptive parameters (enumerations, proportions, mean/medians, and ranges) are used to characterize the data.

**RESULTS**

All procedures were technically successful. All patients included were discharged in a period no longer than 12 hours after the procedure. One patient had delayed wound healing due to the contact of the trephine with his skin, without any further sequela. Clinical success was achieved in 94.5% of patients (17/18). One patient continued to have some pain in his foot up to 30 months after the procedure with no recurrence of osteoid osteoma.

Clinical follow-up was available for all cases at a median of 29 months (range, 6–60 months), being 12 of all followed for at least 25 months. Only one patient had recurrence of symptoms 12 months after percutaneous resection and was successfully retreated by the same technique, resulting in a secondary success rate of 18/18 (100%). Demographic data are presented in Table 1.

Function and quality of life was assessed pre- and postoperatively using the Musculoskeletal Tumor Society Rating Scale, which is a seven-item scale rated by the clinician and evaluates mainly clinical measures (pain, joint range of motion, strength, joint stability, joint deformity, overall function, and general acceptance of the treatment)\(^14\). The summary score ranges from 0 to 5 in each. The mean preoperative pain score improved from 2.5 (range, 0 to 4) to 4.94 (range, 4 to 5) after procedure.

**DISCUSSION**

We presented a successful experience in treating osteoid osteoma in 18 patients with percutaneous trephine resection of the nidus under CT guidance. Success rates of 77–100% have been reported in previous studies using CT-guided percutaneous techniques\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^16\). The procedure had a primary and secondary success rate of 17/18 (94.5%) and 18/18 (100%), respectively, in this study.

Even though rare cases have been reported even after 44 months\(^17\), relapse is unlikely after 2 years and the stan-
standard accepted follow-up period in the orthopedics literature is a minimum of 2 years\(^1\). We followed all patients for a median follow-up of 29 months (range, 6–60 months) and 12 of all were followed for at least 25 months. The one who experienced recurrence, it occurred 12 months after primary treatment.

Limitations of our study include retrospective design and small sample size, however as previously described as advantage of the minimally invasive procedures of nidus removal; diminished hospitalization time and less post-operative pain were seen in our patient population.

Although it has been superseded by the percutaneous ablative techniques such as radiofrequency, CT guided percutaneous resection of the nidus was effective in treating primary as well as recurrent osteoid osteoma in 18 patients. The demographic data were compatible with published literature such as high success rate found with no incidence of complications\(^{19–21}\).

**CONCLUSION**

The aim of treating patients with osteoid osteoma by completely remove the nidus, thereby eliminating symptoms in
the young patient population predominantly affected by this tumor was achieved in all patients with low incidence of complications. CT-guided percutaneous trephine resection of the nidus was safely performed with diminished hospitalization time and less post-operative pain.

Table 1—Patient demographics and clinical course.

| Case | Age (years) | Gender | Tumor site  | Preoperative pain | Postoperative pain | Follow-up period (months) | Recurrence |
|------|-------------|--------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| 1    | 18          | Male   | Proximal femur | 3                 | 5                 | 58                       | No         |
| 2    | 12          | Male   | Tibia        | 3                 | 5                 | 60                       | No         |
| 3    | 13          | Female | Tibia        | 3                 | 5                 | 50                       | No         |
| 4    | 13          | Male   | Tibia        | 2                 | 5                 | 56                       | No         |
| 5    | 17          | Male   | Proximal femur | 0                | 5                 | 46                       | No         |
| 6    | 26          | Female | Tibia        | 3                 | 5                 | 40                       | No         |
| 7    | 12          | Female | Proximal femur | 3                | 5                 | 39                       | No         |
| 8    | 20          | Male   | Humerus      | 3                 | 5                 | 28                       | No         |
| 9    | 14          | Male   | Proximal femur | 0                | 5                 | 28                       | No         |
| 10   | 12          | Male   | Tibia        | 3                 | 5                 | 25                       | No         |
| 11   | 26          | Male   | Cuneiform    | 2                 | 4                 | 30                       | No         |
| 12   | 22          | Male   | Fibula       | 3                 | 5                 | 35                       | Yes        |
| 13   | 19          | Male   | Femur        | 3                 | 5                 | 17                       | No         |
| 14   | 15          | Male   | Proximal ulna | 4                | 5                 | 16                       | No         |
| 15   | 21          | Male   | Calcaneus    | 2                 | 5                 | 13                       | No         |
| 16   | 34          | Male   | Tibia        | 3                 | 5                 | 11                       | No         |
| 17   | 22          | Male   | Proximal femur | 2                | 5                 | 7                        | No         |
| 18   | 10          | Male   | Proximal femur | 3                | 5                 | 6                        | No         |
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