Photooxidation of Emissions from Firewood and Pellet Combustion Using a Photochemical Chamber
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Abstract: The main emission source in Central and Southern Chilean cities is biomass combustion from residential heating and cooking due to old combustion technologies that are still widely utilized. In order to improve our understanding of biomass burning pollution and how it ages in the atmosphere, emissions from a pellet and wood stoves were studied with the aid of a photochemical chamber. Firewood combustion is an inefficient process that produces higher chamber loading of primary emission (gases and particles) compared to pellets. When these emissions are exposed to UV irradiation secondary particles are formed. However, with both fuels the secondary particle concentration was negligible with regards to the primary initial particle concentration. Observations show that when the initial mass is the same, firewood combustion emissions are more rapidly oxidized compared to emissions from pellet combustion. Particle aging evolution inside the chamber was evaluated using fragment tracer signals, via the mass fractions f_{44} vs f_{43} and f_{44} vs f_{40} triangles plots. For the same UV irradiation time, it was found that primary particles emitted form from firewood combustion show a slower aging rate compared to those emitted from pellet combustion, but this is due to high primary loading from wood combustion. Particle aging observed inside the chamber was similar to that found in ambient urban air of Santiago de Chile in spring of 2011, indicating that chamber measurements can be a good indicator for some atmospheric processes. Levoglucosan, a well-known tracer for biomass combustion was also studied. It was found that wood stoves yielded higher levels than pellet stoves. This is due to the higher fuel combustion efficiency in pellet stoves, which yield low levoglucosan levels, making it difficult to use it for evaluation of the impact of pellet emissions on pollution.
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1. Introduction

There is solid evidence that exposure to atmospheric particles has adverse effects on human health [1], even at concentrations below the air quality standard US [2]. Epidemiological studies have shown the association between exposure to atmospheric particulate matter (PM) and adverse health effects [3–6]. The adverse health effects depend on various simultaneous factors such as chemical composition, particle size, and exposure time. The final particle chemical composition depends on
the type of emitting source and the atmospheric aging by photooxidation of gases and fine particles. Long term exposure to fine particles emitted in combustion constitutes a serious health risk because it has been shown that it causes mortality due to cardiopulmonary and pulmonary cancer [7]. Particulate matter of secondary origin plays an important role in the oxidative potential of an urban atmosphere and has an impact on health [8]. It has been shown that some components of PM have adverse results on health (elemental carbon (EC), Ni, V, Pb), and others show evidence that suggest effects on health (Al, Zn, and organic carbon (OC)) [9,10]. Apart from direct health impacts, primary particles emitted from wood combustion also have a clear climate warming effect [11].

Improving the knowledge of the chemical components of PM and its formation mechanism, from the emission sources to the final chemical composition after atmospheric photooxidation is fundamental for implementing reduction strategies.

The use of firewood for heating represents an important fraction of the energy consumed in Chile. About 25% of the total use of biomass energy has its origin in residential firewood combustion (RWC). In 2014 the consumption of biomass burning reached 24% of the gross consumption of primary energy [12]. It is accepted that RWC emits a substantial amount of particles and gases into the atmosphere, and it has been found that it contributes around 21% to the PM$_{2.5}$ organics fraction in Santiago [13–15] and its contribution increased significantly in urban centers located to the south of Chile [16–19]. Most of these emissions come from small scale combustion equipment, some of them using outdated combustion technology. Only from 2012 new wood and pellet stoves of small scale must meet with a national emission standard. Besides, local authorities assign substantial economic resources to the replacement programs, in which old firewood heaters are replaced by new ones which are expected to have better combustion efficiency and lower particle emissions.

It is known that gas and particle emissions from biomass combustion depend on the characteristics of the heater (e.g., design, operating conditions, and amount of excess air) and on the type and condition of the fuel (for example, moisture content) [20]. Most of the particles formed during the burning process have an aerodynamic diameter of less than 1 µm, and they are formed by vaporization of the fuel, which later reaches a saturation state to form fine particles by nucleation. Later, the nucleated particles grow to a maximum of 1 µm by coagulation, agglomeration, condensation, and surface reactions. However, there are some particles generated in the combustion process which are larger. They are composed of pure refractory matter, mostly chars or ashes of species that cannot vaporize during the volatilization of the fuel. The particles emitted by combustion also include unburnt matter, like soot or organic particles, or noncombustible matter, such as ash particles consisting of inorganic components [21]. In the biomass combustion three phases can be identified. The first phase is ignition, in which the fuel is heated and dried with devolatilization of matter without the existence of a visible flame. Ignition is followed by a second phase, characterized by a strong flame where devolatilization, ignition, combustion of volatile compounds, and carbonization take place in the combustion chamber. The third phase, burning, is identified mainly by the combustion of char, and during this phase only small flames are visible, localized on the char particles [20]. Emission of anhydrous sugars increases considerably in the initial phase, when lower temperatures are registered, which are then reduced progressively until the flame phase [20,22,23].

The combustion of biomass also emits large amounts of gases and vapors, which can cause the formation of secondary particulate matter. In the presence of sunlight, the primary gases react with the atmospheric oxidants, ozone, hydroxyl, and peroxy radicals. The inorganic gases turn into secondary inorganic aerosols (SIA) like ammonium nitrate (NH$_4$NO$_3$) and ammonium sulfate ([NH$_4$]$_2$SO$_4$), while volatile organic compounds (VOC) turn into secondary organic aerosols (SOA) through photochemical reactions. The SOA are formed mainly by oxidation of VOC species with seven or more carbon atoms to yield products that then can partition between the gas and particle phases [24]. Still, current understanding of the formation of SOA is limited.

Photochemical chambers provide the opportunity to study the chemistry of the particles under well characterized aging conditions, pollutant concentrations, and type of fuel and combustion conditions.
However, to our knowledge, there are only a limited number of studies on the transformation of biomass emissions under controlled conditions [25]. Reported on the physical and chemical evolution of the organic aerosols that burn biomass using the data of the aerosol mass spectrometer of field and chamber experiments. They found a large variability in the production of inorganic aerosols from plumes caused by burning biomass measured in field studies [26] performed smog chamber experiments with different kinds of firewood and found a highly variable production of secondary organic aerosols. Both studies reported that the photochemical transformation of organic particles was significant.

There is a predominant “static view” of the primary emission of particle concentrations in the atmosphere, which needs to be replaced by a “dynamic view” which includes the gas particle partitioning and the oxidation in the gaseous phase of low volatility vapors [27,28]. Most of the epidemiological studies consider the exposure of the population to aged particles; however, the particles in the air are a mixture of primary and secondary aerosols. Therefore, there is a knowledge gap related to how photooxidation in the atmosphere can alter the toxicological effects and how primary particles emitted from a specific source are photo oxidized to form secondary particles. The objective of this present work was to study the photochemical transformation of the combustion of biomass (pellets and firewood devices) under controlled conditions using a photochemical chamber, to evaluate the dependence of photooxidation on the fuel used, the composition, size, and yield of aerosols from each device.

2. Methods

2.1. Photochemical Chamber

The emissions of gases and particulate matter from the burning of biomass using pellets and domestic firewood were introduced into a smog chamber reactor. The design of the photochemistry chamber was based on previous photooxidation studies of coal fired electric power plant emissions from carbon [29,30] and photochemical aging of motor vehicle exhausts [31]. The reactor’s chamber was set up and all the biomass aging experiments were carried out in the facilities of the laboratory of the Centro de Control y Certificación de Vehículos (3CV), Ministerio de Transportes y Telecomunicaciones de Chile.

The design and manufacture of the chamber is described in detail elsewhere [32,33], and only general details are given here. The chamber is constructed of 127-μm-thick fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) Teflon film (Welch Fluorocarbon Inc., Dover, NH, USA), which allows UV-light transmission, and has a cube shape of 14 m³ that provides a relatively low surface (S) to volume (V) ratio of $S/V = 2.5 m^{-1}$ to minimize particle wall-losses [34]. Because several previous studies have found significant losses [25,26,34] in photochemical chambers, an estimation of the particle losses is given in Section 3.2. The Teflon chamber was mounted inside a ventilated wooden enclosure that supports an array of ultraviolet (UV) lamps and protects researchers from UV light exposure. A total of 180 UVA-340 lamps (Q-Panel Lab Products, Miami, FL, USA) were mounted on three enclosure walls, spatially uniformly distributed. The UVA-340 lamps have a strong emission around 340 nm, providing an excellent simulation of the ground level solar spectrum between 295 nm and 365 nm [35] with a total power of 4.2 W/cm² [33]. This power represents a good solar mimic of Santiago summer midday (UV lamps power is only 12% lower than the solar UV-A power).

The chamber was cleaned overnight preceding each experiment, flushing it with air from a Clean Air System (CAS). CAS is a custom device designed by Harvard School Public Health, and consisted of four stages in series: A High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filter (Whatman HEPA-CAP Venting Filter 6702-9500, Kingston, MA, USA) with 99.97% capture efficiency for particles larger than 0.3 μm, an activated carbon screen to eliminate volatile organic compounds (VOC), a basic substrate screen to eliminate acid gases and sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and another HEPA filter to retain ultrafine particles.
The whole experiment was located inside a laboratory of the Center for Vehicle Control and Certification. This Center is responsible for certification of all vehicle Air, quantification ranges.

Distribution of the particles. A differential chamber dump room 150-foot long and 2-inch thick static dissipative hose, directly from the heater to the reactor’s chamber (Figure 1). An aliquot of concentrated emission smoke was introduced in the photochemical chamber (Model KF 108-Primo, Wamsler, Ismaning, Germany) as fuel. The details of the combustion appliances are shown in Table 1. These heaters were chosen as they are widely used in the programs for the replacement of old devices in Chile due to their improved emission rates.

In the pellet stove, the burner is a cup connected to the fuel storage by a screw which supplies the fuel at a constant rate. As the screw rotates, pellets are transported from the bottom of the storage up to the end of the screw. Then, fuel falls through a tube to the burner cup, where the combustion takes places. The combustion begins by heating a resistance that is in contact with the fuel. Simultaneously, the flue combustion gases are removed from the stove by a fan. At the same time, ambient air enters the combustion cup through a tube that ends below the pellet storage on the rear side. The pellet device was always operated at maximum power (9 kW).

The firewood combustion heater was operated using the maximum boiler thermal power of 7 kW and at full load. The operator placed the fuel manually in a bed of the burner with approximately 20-cm logs. There is a single primary air supply that was controlled manually by means of a damper. The sizes of the openings determine the distribution of the air flow as well as of the movement of the fuel particles and the ash in the combustion bed. The combustion in this heater was started with a smaller ignition fire consisting of fine firewood parts. No fuel was added to the burner of the bed during the experiment. In each experiment samples of the primary emissions were taken through a 150-foot long and 2-inch thick static dissipative hose, directly from the heater to the reactor’s chamber (Figure 1). An aliquot of concentrated emission smoke was introduced in the photochemical chamber using an air blower at a constant rate.

![Figure 1. Photochemical chamber set up. The whole experiment was located inside a laboratory of the Center for Vehicle Control and Certification.](image-url)
Table 1. Characteristics of the combustion devices.

| Device Model | Memo, RIKA | Wamsler KF 108-Primo |
|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| Fuel         | Wood pellet| Firewood             |
| Rated power (kW) | 9 (adjustable) | 7                   |
| Range of rated power (kW) | 2.4–9.0 | - - - - - - |
| Fuel consumption rate (kg/h) | 0.5–2.2 | 2 |
| CO (mg/MJ)   | 24         | 1218                 |
| NOx (mg/MJ)  | 83         | 63                   |
| CnHn * (mg/MJ)| 2         | 51                   |
| PM (mg/MJ)   | 15         | 35                   |
| Gas temperature (°C) | 140 | 220.7 |

* CnHn indicate hydrocarbon emissions.

2.3. Instrumentation

The wood stoves, the chamber and instruments were located in a laboratory of the Center for Vehicle Control and Certification (3CV). This Center is responsible for certification of all vehicle models entering the country [33]. Continuously measuring monitors were used (Table 2) to measure the emitted gases and aerosols. An aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) was used to measure non refractory aerosols like nitrates, sulfates, ammonia, chlorides, and organic compounds in real time [36].

A differential mobility particle sizer (DMPS) with an electrostatic classifier (model 3934, TSI, Shoreview, MN, USA) was used to monitor the size distribution of the particles. A differential mobility analyzer (DMA, model 3081, TSI) coupled to a water-based condensation particle counter (CPC, model 3785, TSI) was used to obtain the number of particles.

Table 2. Monitors used; models, manufacturers, quantification ranges. ACSM: aerosol chemical speciation monitor; DMPS: differential mobility particle sizer.

| Monitor | Manufacturer | Model | Quantification Range |
|---------|--------------|-------|----------------------|
| CO      | Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA | 48i | 0.04 to 100 ppm |
| NOx     | Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA | 42i | 50 ppt to 200 ppb |
| O3      | 2B Technologies, Inc. Boulder, CO, USA | 106-L | 4–100,000 ppb |
| ACSM    | Aerodyne Research Inc. Billerica, MA, USA | - - - - | Organics: 0.3 to 100 µg/m³ Sulfate: 0.04 to 100 µg/m³ Nitrate: 0.02 to 100 µg/m³ Ammonia: 0.5 to 100 µg/m³ Chloride: 0.02 to 100 µg/m³ |
| DMPS    | TSI Inc. Shoreview, MN, USA | 3010 | 1 to 10,000 particles/cm³ |

Monitors were installed in the chamber in two ways, upstream and downstream the chamber. This configuration allows to measure emission while the chamber is filling (upstream the chamber) or measure the outflow from the chamber during the UV irradiation (downstream the chamber). In the experiments with UV irradiation, ozone concentration was measured with an ozone monitor (model 202, 2BTEC, Boulder, CO, USA) and NO/NO2/NOx concentrations were determined by a Thermo, model 42i instrument. The temperature of the chamber and the relative humidity were measured by a temperature/relative humidity sensor (model HMD70Y, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland). CO was monitored by infrared absorption (Analyzer Model 48; Thermo Scientific, Franklin, MA, USA).

The experimental design used is shown schematically in Figure 1. The typical experimental protocol was the following:
1. Flushing the chamber with clean air overnight at a rate of 300 Liter/Minute (Lpm). During this period, chamber volume was replaced more than 20 times.
2. Combustion ignition in the stove
3. Injection of a concentrated aliquot of biomass emission into the chamber at a rate of 10 Lpm
4. Stop emission filling and measuring air pollutants with UV lights OFF
5. UV Lights ON and measuring air pollutants.

In some experiments the injection of aliquots into the chamber took place only with primary gases. For this purpose, an HEPA filter (Whatman 6702-9500 with 99.97% efficiency) was installed in the chamber during the injection step. To ensure chamber atmosphere free of combustion particles, continuous particles measurements was always carried, reaching values close to instrument detection limits. Another set of experiments were made by injecting primary particles and gases. Injecting aliquots always took place ensuring that the combustion was in the flame phase.

Here, experiments were classified as Unfiltered Emissions corresponding to emissions of gases and particles, while Filtered Emissions correspond to emissions of combustion gases only, after removing the PM.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. UV-A Irradiation of the Filtered Emissions

As mentioned previously, the chamber was flushed overnight with clean air prior each emission to make sure concentrations levels of particles, O$_3$, NO$_x$, and CO were close to the detection limit of each instrument. Then individual experiments were performed with each fuel, pellet and firewood. Figure 2 shows the evolution of the concentrations of NO, NO$_2$, and O$_3$ during the irradiation time ($t = 0$ is the initial UV irradiation time). For $t < 0$ the chamber was being filled with the heater’s emissions. The initial concentration of NO emitted during the combustion of pellets was lower by almost a factor of two than those emitted during the emission from firewood burning. This may be because NOx emissions in the combustion of biomass is more related to the nitrogen content of the fuel than to the combustion temperature [21,37]. The emission factor for NOx reported in Table 1 was quite close for both heaters, with averages of 83 and 63 mg/MJ for pellet and firewood heaters, respectively. In Gramsch et al., 2018 [33] there is a summary of the photochemical reactions in this chamber. In brief, the photolysis of HONO and HCHO emitted during the combustion of biomass starts a chain reaction that produces the OH radical. According to the spectra of the UV lamps, both species can be photolyzed rapidly in the chamber, and then the OH reacts with the primary organic gases (HR) to form peroxyl radicals (RO$_2$) which then react with NO to form NO$_2$, recovering the OH radical. The NO$_2$ formed is also photolyzed by the UV lamps which produces O$_3$ and NO. However, the NO is consumed rapidly by O$_3$ again [24].

In the chamber there is an additional route for the consumption/production of ozone by photolysis of O$_3$. The UV lamps provide some energy at short wavelengths, which can photolyze O$_3$ to produce O($^1$D) in the chamber, this in turn reacts with H$_2$O producing OH. The OH radical can follow a reaction chain similar to that presented above, oxidizing organic gases (RH) and NOx to produce O$_3$. However, in the chamber it is expected that the photolysis of O$_3$ will be less important compared to the reaction with NO$_2$ because the UV lamps provide only a small amount of energy in the spectrum of O$_3$.

The consumption profiles of NOx and the production of O$_3$ are shown in Figure 2. NO is consumed, NO$_2$ is produced, and then O$_3$ is generated. The profiles obtained for the firewood and pellet emissions show a great difference. In the case of firewood there is a rapid decrease of NO concentration, and in less than 80 min of UV irradiation the NO is exhausted in the chamber (Figure 2). For the emissions from pellets, the consumption of NO is significantly slower, and after 300 min of UV irradiation there are still more than 50 ppb of NO in the chamber. In the profiles using firewood, the concentration of NO$_2$ reaches its maximum level at 35–40 min of UV irradiation, while in the pellets case the NO$_2$ levels
kept growing during the experiment and slightly surpassed those of the firewood case close to the end of the experiment.

Figure 2. NO, NO₂, and O₃ concentrations and irradiation time in the firewood and pellet experiments (UV irradiation of filtered emissions).

The production of O₃ was found only in the irradiation of the emissions from the heaters with firewood, which reached a maximum of 200–280 ppb in 200 min, while in the experiments with pellets no O₃ increase was found. Initial O₃ concentration (prior to turning UV lights on) was zero concentration. After ~280 min of UV irradiation, only wood filtered emission experiment shown an increase of O₃, reaching around 250 ppb. These results indicate that the gases emitted by pellets show a photochemical activity rate significantly slower than that of the gases emitted by firewood. This behavior may be due to the lower emission rate of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in the pellets heater, probably due to the improved combustion technology. Unfortunately, this study did not include measurements of volatile organic compounds. However, we can use the initial CO concentration as an indicator of the combustion efficiency. When CO and VOC emission are coming from the same condition (incomplete combustion) both have emission rates more or less correlated. In fact, in Reference [38] is shown that when both VOC and CO are coming from the same source, a good correlation between them can be found. In Reference [39] there is also strong evidence that newer
improved devices makes combustion process more efficient and then VOC and CO decreased. In hour experiments, the CO levels achieved after the filling process were 4 ppm for pellets and 80 ppm for firewood, which means that there is an 18 times higher factor for emissions from firewood compared to the experiments with pellets. Table 1 shows that the emissions of hydrocarbons reported for the CnHn parameter are lower in pellets than in firewood because organic compounds are burned more efficiently in the pellet heater. Reference [20] found that the total emission of CO and hydrocarbons achieved in the firewood combustion heaters shows a similar behavior and that the higher emissions are associated with lower combustion efficiency. Therefore, here we have assumed that the initial CO concentration in the chamber can be used as a qualitative measure of the VOC in the chamber, and it can be expected that the firewood heater will inject more VOC than the pellets. Moreover, the volatilization process is less extended in the pellet heater combustion because it has fewer volatile components and less humidity compared to the combustion of firewood.

In the chamber, VOC and NOx compete for the OH radical. In the emission from pellets the NO2-OH reaction prevails over the VOC-OH reaction due to the slight abundance of VOC from the combustion emission [24]. Since the NO2-OH reaction eliminates the OH radical from the oxidation cycle of reactive VOC, it can be expected that the production of O3 will be limited. Low O3 production can be seen in Figure 2 for the pellet stove. On the other hand, the experiment with firewood must create an abundant VOC scenario, so the VOC-OH reaction prevails and the photolysis of the intermediate products generated by the OH-VOC reaction produces new radicals [24], speeding up the production of O3, as seen in Figure 2, and subsequent production of O3 due to a higher NO2/NO ratio compared to the pellet case which leads to a lower removal mechanism for O3 through titration at the same time. We did not measure peroxy acylnitrates (PANs) during our chamber experiments. However, it is very likely that in the firewood experiment also PANs would be formed since wood burning provides an abundance VOCs [40], which could serve as precursors for PANs, including direct precursors such as acetaldehyde, acetone, and propanal. At constant ambient temperature (as it was the case in the chamber experiments) the atmospheric lifetime of PAN would increase with increasing NO2/NO ratio [41] or rather increasing O3 concentrations [42]. The formation of PANs would remove NO2 from the system. Together with wall losses of NO2 both processes would cause a decrease in NO2 concentration. This in turn would eventually slow down O3 production, as can be seen in Figure 2 after 100 min of irradiation time, albeit at very high O3 levels. It should be mentioned here that appreciable amounts of peroxacetyl nitrate (PAN) was found in the air of Santiago de Chile previously [43,44]. Those measurements did not include other PAN compounds.

3.2. Secondary Particle Formation

Figure 3a,b show the average values of formation of secondary particles in the firewood and pellet experiments, using DMPS. The values are expressed as mass and particle number concentrations. Only a relatively small increase of the mass concentration of particles (greater than 10 µg/m3) was found in the experiments with pellets after 300 min of UV irradiation. A greater increase of the particle mass was found in the experiments with firewood, with a maximum mass concentration of PM of 80–120 µg/m3 in the first hour of UV irradiation. The maximum particle mass concentration was achieved in shorter times using firewood compared to pellet. As shown in Figure 3b, the particle number concentration shows a fast increase in the firewood and pellets experiments, but after the first 20 min the number concentration decreased. This initial increase of the number of particles was faster in the firewood than in the pellets emission, and it is a consequence of the nucleation of the volatiles as can be seen in size distribution measurements, in Figure 4. Once the first fresh particles are formed, the coagulation, agglomeration, and loss due to wall processes start to predominate in the chamber, resulting in the decrease of particle levels. A simple estimation of the particle wall-loses is given according to Reference [45]. In that work the authors found a loss rate of 0.1 h−1 for particles larger than 100 nm in a 12 m3 undisturbed chamber, which was similar to this work’s chamber (14 m3).
Figure 3 shows the uncorrected mass and number (green and blue lines) as well as the estimated loss-corrected mass concentration from Reference [45] as a dotted line.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of particle size (mobility diameter) distribution during UV irradiation time for the firewood and pellets experiment for filtered emissions. The results show a continuous particle size increase after turning on the UV lamps. In the first hour the size distribution is governed by nucleation particles with a diameter close to 20 nm, and then the particles grow to a maximum of 200 nm after 7 h of UV irradiation. During irradiation the secondary particles are formed first by nucleation from the precursor gases emitted during the combustion. After the first 5 min there is a decreasing tendency in the particle number concentration as the new particles formed by the nucleation start agglomerating to form larger particles that increase the mass concentration. The formation of nucleation particles is seen more clearly in the experiments with pellets because the photochemical transformation of the combustion gases is slower in the pellet stove than in the firewood stove. The slower process in the pellet stove allows to see more clearly how nucleation particles are formed from gases reaction (takes more time). i.e., nucleation particles (~20 nm approx.) can be seen in the first hour of pellet emission irradiation. This size of particles cannot be seen in firewood secondary particle formation.

The significant difference in the absolute number and size distribution between pellet and wood is explained by the initial loading of gases components. Wood combustion is an inefficient process that emits more particles and gases compared to pellet combustion. Then, if there are more reactive gases inside the chamber, more products are formed. These shape of the size distribution of secondary particles in these chamber experiments is similar to the shape found in the chamber experiments for exhaust escape gases in tunnel experiments according to [31,46].

![Figure 3](image-url)
In the chamber, non-refractory PM$_{1.0}$ was measured during the UV irradiation with the ACSM. Figure 5 shows the results. A significant increase of NO$_3$ was seen in the experiments with pellets after 40 min. of irradiation. In the chamber, all species should be neutralized, but there not enough ammonia to neutralize the nitrate measured (Figure 5). There is another inorganics cation that could also be neutralizing it, like K$^+$ and Ca$^+$, especially in ashes. Unfortunately, we did not have capability to measure it, but in atmosphere these ions usually are in ng/m$^3$, and the amount of nitrate found it in experiments were quite over that. On the other hand, there is evidence of organics nitrates formation from biogenics VOC oxidation. Reference [41] indicated that at high and even modest concentrations of NOx, the peroxy radicals react primarily with NO, forming mostly NO$_2$ and an alkoxy radical (RO), and one of the following reactions is the addition of the NO to the peroxy radical, giving an organic nitrate (RONO$_2$) product. Organics nitrates was also observed in chamber experiments using high-NOx photooxidation of limonene, α-pinene, Δ-3-carene, and tridecane, contributing significantly to the SOA [47]. In Reference [48] shows that a significant amount of nitroaromatics are generated due to photooxidation of phenols and metoxyphenols (intermediates of biomass burning) in the presence of NOx. So, it is postulated that most of the NO$_3$ measured by ACSM in the chamber is in the form of organic nitrates. If there is another inorganics cations neutralizing the NO$_3$, those cannot be measured by ACSM, because it only has capability to measure non-refractory PM refractory [36], and inorganics salts usually are refractory particles. This nitrate increases with UV irradiation, forming secondary organic particles. The formed NO$_2$ reacts rapidly with OH, producing HNO$_3$, which can later be adsorbed on the surface of the chamber or combined with ammonia (NH$_3$), forming some ammonium nitrate.
As expected, the maximum NO\textsubscript{2} concentration (NO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{max}) recorded in the chamber during the UV irradiation to the initial NOx concentration (NO\textsubscript{x}), expressed as “NO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{max}/NO\textsubscript{x}”. As expected, the maximum NO\textsubscript{2} production is proportional to the initial NO\textsubscript{x} concentration, but it is also independent of the type of fuel. At a higher initial NO\textsubscript{x} concentration, the NO\textsubscript{2} maximum is ~15 times that NO\textsubscript{2} for both the pellet and the firewood, while for a low initial concentration NO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{max}/NO\textsubscript{x} is only ~6 times.

### 3.3. UV-A Irradiation of Unfiltered Emissions

Total unfiltered emissions of gases and particulate matter from the firewood and pellet heaters were fed into the chamber and were irradiated with the UV-A radiation used in these experiments. The initial NO\textsubscript{x} concentration measured after the injection of the aliquot was extremely variable in both kinds of fuels. Table 3 shows the initial NO\textsubscript{x} levels (before UV irradiation) in various experiments, showing values from 66 ppb to 1691 ppb. The table also includes an indicator of the maximum NO\textsubscript{2} production calculated as the ratio of the maximum NO\textsubscript{2} concentration (NO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{max}) recorded in the chamber during the UV irradiation to the initial NO\textsubscript{x} concentration (NO\textsubscript{x}), expressed as “NO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{max}/NO\textsubscript{x}”.

As expected, the maximum NO\textsubscript{2} production is proportional to the initial NO\textsubscript{x} concentration, but it is also independent of the type of fuel. At a higher initial NO\textsubscript{x} concentration, the NO\textsubscript{2} maximum is ~15 times that NO\textsubscript{2} for both the pellet and the firewood, while for a low initial concentration NO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{max}/NO\textsubscript{x} is only ~6 times.

#### Table 3. NO and NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations for several unfiltered experiments as well as the time to reach the maximum concentration.

| Fuel   | Experiment | Initial NO\textsubscript{x} Concentration (ppb) | Time to Reach Max NO\textsubscript{2} Concentration (min) | NO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{max}/NO\textsubscript{x} |
|--------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Wood   | (a)        | 993                                           | 75                                                        | 10                                                       |
| Wood   | (b)        | 260                                           | 50                                                        | 6.3                                                      |
| Wood   | (c)        | 66                                            | 23                                                        | 3.3                                                      |
| Wood   | (d)        | 1691                                          | 108                                                       | 14.9                                                     |
| Pellet | (a)        | 204                                           | 137                                                       | 6.7                                                      |
| Pellet | (b)        | 986                                           | 179                                                       | 10.6                                                     |
| Pellet | (c)        | 1517                                          | >400                                                      | -15                                                      |

**Figure 5.** Average of several experiments in Chemical composition of PM\textsubscript{1.0} non-refractory particles during UV irradiation. (a) Filtered emissions for wood from 20 to 40 min. of irradiation (b) Filtered emissions for wood from 40 to 120 min. (c) Emissions from pellets (20 to 40 min.) (d) Emissions from pellets for 40 to 120 min.
The NO concentrations at each irradiation time \((\text{NO})^\text{t}\) were normalized by the NO initial concentration \((\text{NO})^\text{o}\), and expressed as a \((\text{NO})^\text{t}/\text{NO})^\text{o}\) ratio in Figure 6. If we compare the time to reach the NO\(_2\) maximum (Table 3) with the NO consumption curves shown in Figure 6, it is seen that NO consumption appears to be lower in the experiments with pellets than those with firewood. It is seen that 50–70 min of UV irradiation are needed to obtain 20\% of the initial NO concentration in the experiments with firewood, while the experiments with pellets require more than 100 min. These results are consistent with what was determined for the filtered experiments (previous section). The lower efficiency of the combustion in the experiments with firewood emits more VOC than with pellets, and this is reflected in the ozone values.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** NO consumption as a function of UV irradiation time for (a) wood and (b) pellet experiments. NO concentration is normalized to the initial concentration.

Biomass combustion presents a wide particle size range, from a few nanometers to some micrometers [22], but in general the combustion particles are dominated by the fine mode [21]. The particle number size distributions of the pellet and firewood experiment are shown in Figure 7 as a function of UV irradiation time (each curve is an average of every 120 min). In both cases, the distribution of the number size shows a bimodal shape centered around 300–400 nm (most of the particles) and 100 nm (lower particle number concentration). The 120–1000 nm size distribution corresponds to primary particles and it is a typical form of biomass burning. It should be noted that the DMPS used here can only measure particles smaller than 800 nm, so larger particles are not represented in these graphs. Due to the high primary particle concentration in the unfiltered experiments, the nucleation process is not seen clearly (Figure 7). During the UV irradiation of the filtered emissions (Figure 4), the maximum of the number size distribution increases 20 nm to almost 100 nm in 120 min. However, in the unfiltered emissions when gases and primary particles are irradiated, the maximum in the number size distribution is already close to 200 nm. In this case the UV radiation has no significant effect on the size of the particles, as shown in Figure 4. Therefore, these results indicate that when the primary particles and the gaseous emissions are irradiated, the particle mass and number is governed almost exclusively by primary particles and the formation of secondary particles cannot be separated clearly.
3.4. Transformation Chemistry of Organic Aerosols during Aging

The formation of secondary particles was low compared to the contribution of the primary particles during the combustion of firewood and pellets. But there can be a significant change in the chemical composition of the particles during the UV irradiation [25,26,45]. ACSM provides a measure of the evolution of the chemical composition of the organic aerosol (OA) during UV irradiation. ACSM recorded mass spectra approximately every 30 min, and some key mass fragments were used to distinguish the organic groups. The m/z 44 mass fragment is assumed to be dominated by the CO$_2$\(^+\) ion often used as a measure of the extent of oxygenation because it is typically formed from the thermal decarboxylation of organic acid groups [49]. Therefore, this fragment can be used as an indicator of SOA and the aging of the OA. Similarly, it has been found that the m/z = 43 fragment can be an indicator of primary organic aerosols (POA) and fresh SOA [50]. It is important to have in mind that here it is assumed that the mass of the m/z 43 fragment does not originate from organic acids. Other key fragments of the burning of primary biomass are the signals at m/z 60 and 73, which correspond to anhydrosugar (C$_2$H$_4$O$_2$\(^+\) and C$_3$H$_5$O$_2$\(^+\)) that are known to be emitted during the burning of biomass [51]. These fragments are often used as markers for POA emissions. This is due to the fact that levoglucosan and similar species (mannosan, galactosan) produce an enhanced signal at m/z 60 [25].
In the study of the evolution of organic aerosols during UV irradiation, the important fragments are presented as \( f_{43}, f_{44}, \) and \( f_{60} \). The ACSM team recorded the fractions of the signals at m/z 43, m/z 44, and m/z 60 in the total mass of organic aerosol. Figure 8 shows \( f_{44} \) vs. \( f_{43} \) for the results in firewood (a) and pellets (b). It also includes experiments with filtered emissions (only oxidation of gases) and unfiltered emissions (oxidation of particles + gases). When the environmental measurement of \( f_{44} \) is graphed against \( f_{43} \), all the OA components are found in a triangular space called “triangle graph” [50], which is useful for comparing the degree of oxidation of the organic compounds. The \( f_{44}-f_{43} \) area between the dashed lines represents the range typically found in ambient measurements.

The colors of each series in the graphs in Figure 8 indicate the UV irradiation time. At the beginning of the UV irradiation, \( f_{44} \) and \( f_{43} \) were small (~0.05) for the unfiltered firewood emission, the data points were almost outside the \( f_{44}-f_{43} \) triangle space, indicating that the aerosol is composed mainly of primary particles. In fact, this position at the bottom of the triangle is consisted to that indicated in [50] for biomass burning primary particles. However, as the UV irradiation progresses, the value of \( f_{44} \) increases and reaches a value close to \( f_{44} = 0.1 \). The \( f_{44} \) values reached in for primary emissions oxidation reach a triangle position where semivolatile oxidated organics aerosol (SV-OOA) occupy when ambient data is analyzed [50,51]. SV-OOA can be seen an intermediate state oxidized organics aerosol (not final oxidation states). However, unfiltered pellet emission (b) reaches a higher value of \( f_{44} \), then is an OA more oxidized than firewood. This can be due to lower primary contribution on particles, due higher combustion efficiency. In fact, oxidation start at higher \( f_{44} \) values. Then, independent the state, based on these graphs it can be seen that firewood reached a lower level of secondary oxidation compared to pellet. The data points of the experiments with firewood with filtered emissions (only oxidation of gases) take higher \( f_{44} \) values due to the lack of primary particles (note: \( f_{44} \) only consists of secondary OA). However, \( f_{43} \) is similar to that of the unfiltered emissions. When the UV irradiation begins, there are no great changes in \( f_{44} \) or \( f_{43} \). For the pellet emissions, all the points seem to be located outside the \( f_{44}-f_{43} \) space, indicating a lower contribution of primary particles. The \( f_{44} \) values reached with the UV radiation are similar to those found in experiments with firewood.

![Figure 8.](image)

\( f_{44} \) (dominated by the \( \text{CO}_2^+ \) ion) vs. \( f_{43} \) (dominated by primary organic aerosols (POA)) for all wood experiments (b) for pellet experiments. The evolution with time of the factors is shown with the red arrow [25,50].
Overall, the organic oxidation in the chamber is at a level similar to that typically found for semi volatile organic aerosols (SV-OOA) in field campaigns, i.e., Santiago de Chile [13]. Based on the work reported in [33], the chamber’s UV lamps can imitate well a summer midday in Santiago.

Ref. [25] proposed a similar spatial \( f_{44}-f_{43} \) diagram, but using \( f_{60} \) instead of \( f_{43} \), to characterize the aging of the emissions in the burning of biomass, based on an important fragment of levoglucosan (m/z = 60). The \( f_{44} \) vs. \( f_{60} \) dispersion diagram facilitates the comparison of land, aircraft, and laboratory observations [26,45]. For these data, of all the experiments only the emission from unfiltered firewood falls within the environmental observations triangle and shows an evolution with UV oxidation (in Figure 9 graph on the left, green and red markers). Again, UV irradiation time is shown in various colors. The evolution of UV oxidation is shown by the decrease of \( f_{60} \) and the increase of \( f_{44} \). All the experiments with pellets (unfiltered and filtered emissions) take place in the region of particles that do not burn biomass. The experiment with filtered emissions is constantly found outside the triangle because there are no primary particles in the chamber. However, the reason for the filtered points to be outside is that the combustion of the pellets is more complete, and therefore there is no levoglucosan in the emission, so there is no \( f_{60} \) (or it is very low). The fact that levoglucosan is not an indicator of the emissions of pellets has been dealt with in previous studies [26].

![Figure 9. (a) \( f_{44} \) (dominated by the CO\(_2^+\) ion) vs. \( f_{60} \) (dominated by levoglucosan) for all wood experiments (b) for pellet experiments. The evolution of the factors with time is shown with the red arrow [25,50].](image-url)

4. Conclusions

Results from UV irradiation experiments of firewood and pellet emissions under controlled conditions in a photochemical chamber indicate different combustion efficiency and different emissions rates for these materials.

A large difference in \( O_3 \) formation was observed for pellet and wood experiments, with the largest amount formed in the wood stove. These results indicate that the gases emitted by pellets show a photochemical activity rate significantly slower than that of the gases emitted by firewood. This behavior may be due to the lower emission rate of volatile organic compounds in the pellets heater, probably due to the improved combustion technology. In both cases it was possible to measure the formation of secondary particles. However, in all experiments the concentration levels of the...
secondary particles were negligible compared to the amount of primary particles, even after ~280 min of the UV irradiation. This result could be as consequence of the high primary emission loading reached in the chamber in each experiment which it was not possible to control on experimental set-up. High loading primary emissions could quench the secondary particle formation for several process, and in the atmosphere primary emission usually are efficiency diluted so probably secondary particle formation could be enhanced compared to our results.

Particle aging was evaluated using organics fragment tracers m/z = 43, 44, and 60. For the same UV irradiation time, it was found that firewood primary particles show a slower aging rate compared to pellets, probably due to high primary particle loading. The f_{44} marker, an indicator of the oxidation degree for organic aerosols, showed higher values in the case of the pellets compared to firewood.

Particle chemical composition evolution during UV irradiation shows that firewood burning emissions tend to have a similar contribution of organic components compared to the burning of pellets. As explained in the text, the organic aerosols formed consist predominantly of organic nitrates, not ammonium nitrate. This result is not expected to be the atmosphere, so any extrapolation of these experiments should take this into account.

Levoglucosan (m/z = 60) has been widely used as a biomass combustion tracer. We have found that the higher fuel combustion efficiency seen in pellet stoves only yield low levoglucosan levels, making it difficult to use it for pellet emission impact evaluation. However, larger levoglucosan levels are seen for wood emissions.
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