Differences in stopover duration and body mass change among *Emberiza* buntings during autumn migration in the Russian Far East
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Abstract
Stopover periods between flights are essential for migrating birds, and the time birds spend at stopover sites as well as the refuelling rate is determined by intrinsic and extrinsic factors, such as competition and environmental conditions. While most studies on stopover ecology have been conducted along the Nearctic-Neotropical and the Palaearctic-African flyways, little is known about species migrating along the East Asian flyway. To address this, we compared stopover duration and body mass change of 13 closely related *Emberiza* bunting species during autumn migration in the Russian Far East. We found significant differences in stopover duration between the species but no differences in body mass change. Overall, stopover duration decreased during the season, suggesting that late-arriving individuals leave earlier due to external factors like unfavourable climatic conditions or food availability or as a result of their endogenous spatiotemporal migration program. We also found that stopover duration correlates with the fat score at arrival and the geographic position of breeding grounds. Juveniles stayed longer at the stopover site than adults, suggesting that the latter might be able to migrate more efficiently. No sex-specific differences in stopover duration were found. We found that body mass change was positively correlated with stopover duration and arrival date. We argue that buntings modulate their stopover duration depending on the energy required to continue migration. Our results suggest the applicability of optimal migration theory for the East Asian flyway.
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Zusammenfassung
Unterschiede in Rastdauer und Veränderungen der Körpermasse zwischen *Emberiza* Ammern während des Herbstzuges im russischen Fernen Osten

Zwischenstopps sind für Vögel auf ihren Zugwegen unverzichtbar. Die Aufenthaltsdauer und die Auffüllung der Körperreserven an diesen Rastplätzen werden sowohl von intrinsischen als auch von extrinsischen Faktoren bestimmt, wie zum Beispiel durch Konkurrenz und Umweltbedingungen. Die meisten Studien zur Rastökologie wurden entlang der nearktisch-neotropischen und paläarktisch-afrikanischen Vogelzugwege durchgeführt, wohingegen nur wenig zu den Vogelarten bekannt ist, welche sich entlang des ostasiatischen Zugweges bewegen. Hier vergleichen wir die Rastdauer und Veränderungen der Körpermasse von bis zu 13 nahe verwandter *Emberiza* Ammer-Arten während des Herbstzuges.
birds are expected to opt for a time-minimizing strategy, with short stopovers in productive areas to refuel fast and quickly continue their migration (Lindstrom and Alerstam 1992). Alternatively, birds can adopt an energy-minimizing strategy, with lower fuel loads and more frequent stopovers to reduce the costs of transport or the overall costs of migration (Hedenström and Alerstam 1997).

While most theories on stopover ecology were developed based on data from the Nearctic-Neotropical and the Palaearctic-African flyways (Goymann et al. 2010; Moore and Kerlinger 1987; Safriel and Lavee 1988; Van Loon et al. 2017), little is known about species migrating along the East Asian flyway (Yong et al. 2021), except for a few single-species studies (Wang et al. 2006; Bozó et al. 2020).

Here we address this knowledge gap by comparing stopover duration and body mass changes of a set of closely related Emberiza bunting species at a stopover site in the Russian Far East. Up to 14 Emberiza species use the same stopover site during migration, and they overlap both in habitat use and phenology (Heim et al. 2018). Furthermore, there are no pronounced differences in diet between the species (Byers et al. 1995). We, therefore, expect interspecific competition (Moore and Yong 1991), resulting in species-specific differences in stopover duration. We assume a longer stay for birds that arrive sooner than for individuals that reach the stopover site later in the fall (Polak and Szewczyk 2007). Further, we test whether stopover duration is correlated to species-specific distances to breeding and non-breeding grounds. We expect the northernmost breeding species as well as the southernmost wintering species to stay longer to gain more fuel (Conklin et al. 2010). In addition, we test whether birds’ age or sex affect stopover duration. While we expect no differences between females and males (Ellegren 1991), juveniles are expected to stay longer than adults (Rguibi-Idrissi et al. 2003). To examine body mass, we test whether the body mass of recaptured birds increases during a stopover. We assume a positive correlation between body mass change and stopover duration (Polak and Szewczyk 2007). We also assume a longer stopover duration for birds with a lower body mass at arrival (Arizaga et al. 2008). As
such, we examine whether individuals that stay longer had arrived with lower fat reserves (Arizaga et al. 2008).

Materials and methods

Fieldwork and data selection

Fieldwork was conducted at Muraviovka Park (49°55’508, 27 N, 127°40’419, 93E) in the Russian Far East as part of the Amur Bird Project (Heim and Smirenski 2013). We captured birds on a daily basis from sunrise to sunset with standardized mist netting (up to 20 nets, total length 216 m) during autumn migration periods (August to November) from 2011 to 2017 (for details, see Heim et al. 2018). Each bird was ringed, measured and weighed, and we estimated fat scores (based on Eck et al. 2011). Birds caught twice in the same season were considered as “recaptures” of birds during stopover; birds not recaptured are referred to as “transients”. We calculated relative body mass by dividing body mass by wing length. Body mass change was calculated as the percentage of the body mass at “departure” (last capture) from the body mass at “arrival” (first capture) for each individual.

Statistical analysis

We estimated the minimum stopover duration as the number of days between first and last captures within a season (Yosef and Chernetsov 2005) using the lubridate package (Grolemund and Wickham 2011). This method is known to underestimate true stopover duration because the true arrival and departure dates are unknown (Schaub and Jenni 2001). However, it permits a comparable individual estimation of the time spent at the stopover (Yosef and Chernetsov 2005). Additionally, we have also calculated the species-specific minimum stopover duration including all transients. We defined transients to have a stopover duration of 0 days, as they might continue migration on the same day.

We examined which factors predict stopover duration using generalized mixed-effects models (GLMM) with a Poisson error distribution in the lme4 (Bates et al. 2014) and MuMln packages (Barton and Barton 2015). We fitted stopover duration as a dependent variable and built 15 different models (for details see Supplement 1) adding the explanatory variables one by one: species, day of arrival, relative body mass, fat score at arrival, age and sex. Due to limited sample size, sex and age were not fitted into the same model. We also checked which of the random factors (year and the interactions between species and age, sex, day of arrival, relative body mass and fat score at arrival) explained a significant proportion of the variance (> 0.5). We used the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) to select the best model (models with ΔAIC < 2 were considered equally well) and the significant explanatory variables.

We ran linear mixed-effect models (LMERs) (Bates et al. 2014) with a Poisson error distribution including migration distance, northernmost breeding latitude and southernmost non-breeding latitude as explanatory variables to check influences on stopover duration (response variable) with species and year as random factor applying backward stepwise model selection in the MASS package (Ripley et al. 2013) to obtain the best model out of the initial LMERs (Supplement 1). Data on species-specific migration distances as well as breeding and non-breeding latitudes were retrieved from a previous study at this site (Heim et al. 2018).

We built linear mixed-effect models (LMERs) with a Poisson error distribution to see if body mass change was linked to species (all species combined), stopover duration and day of arrival as explanatory variables for all species with n > 15 recaptures. We obtained the best model using again the backward elimination method (Supplement 1). Furthermore, we added the time difference between the first and last capture as co-variate (trecapture − tfirst capture, Schaub and Jenni 2000). We also added year as a random factor.

All analyses were conducted using the program R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team 2019).

Results

We captured 7502 buntings of 13 species, including 453 recaptures (Table 1). A minimum number of > 15 recaptures were available for six species (Black-faced Bunting Emberiza rustica, Pallas’s Reed Bunting E. pallasi, Rustic Bunting E. chrysophris, Little Bunting E. pusilla, Chestnut-eared Bunting E. elegans, and Yellow-browed Bunting E. chrysophrys).

Stopover duration

We found significant differences in stopover duration and the percentage of transients among the 13 studied species (χ² = 288.89, df = 12, p < 0.001, Table 1). The majority (92.2%) of all ringed buntings were transients. Mean stopover duration (including transients) ranged from 0 days for the Yellow-browed Bunting to 13.4 days for the Elegant Bunting (Table 1). The strongest differences were found between the remaining species (~0 days). When considering only recaptured individuals (representing 7.8% of all captured birds), the mean stopover duration ranged from 4.7 days (Pallas’s Reed Bunting) to 13.4 days (Elegant Bunting). Mean stopover duration (without transients) differed significantly between those six species (χ² = 25.201, df = 5, p < 0.001, Fig. 1).
Table 1 Numbers of captured (n = 6422) and recaptured (n = 540) individuals as well as mean minimum stopover duration (in days) for 13 species of *Emberiza* buntings at a stopover site in the Russian Far East.

| Species                  | Transients (n/%) | Recaptured (n/%) | Stop over (including transients) | Stop over (recaptures only) | Body mass change (recaptures only) |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Black-faced Bunting      | 2470 89.1        | 261 10.9         | 1.0                             | 8.7                         | 0.9                               |
| Chestnut-eared Bunting   | 6 100            | 0 0              | –                               | –                           | –                                 |
| Chestnut Bunting         | 132 95.7         | 6 4.4            | 0.1                             | –                           | –                                 |
| Common reed Bunting      | 14 100           | 0 0              | –                               | –                           | –                                 |
| Elegant Bunting          | 155 71.8         | 56 28.2          | 3.7                             | 13.4                        | −0.03                             |
| Ochr-reumped Bunting     | 93 100           | 0 0              | –                               | –                           | –                                 |
| Little Bunting           | 1463 98.1        | 15 1.8           | 0.2                             | 10.9                        | 0.51*                             |
| Pallas’s reed Bunting    | 911 96.3         | 32 3.7           | 0.2                             | 4.7                         | −0.04                             |
| Pine Bunting             | 37 94.9          | 2 5.1            | 0.3                             | –                           | –                                 |
| Rustic Bunting           | 823 92.7         | 58 7.3           | 0.4                             | 4.4                         | 0.27                              |
| Tristram’s Bunting       | 12 85.7          | 2 14.3           | 0.2                             | –                           | –                                 |
| Yellow-breasted Bunting  | 30 100           | 0 0              | 0.2                             | –                           | –                                 |
| Yellow-browed Bunting    | 276 87.6         | 37 12.4          | 1.1                             | 7.2                         | 0.30                              |

Stopover duration (“Stopover”) is given in two ways, one considering both transients and recaptured individuals and one based on recaptures only (including species with at least 15 recaptured individuals). Mean body mass change (in %/day) between first and last capture is only given for the latter species. Significant body mass changes (p < 0.05) are marked with an asterisk.

Fig. 1 Minimum stopover duration (in days) of six bunting species at a stopover site in the Russian Far East. Only individuals which were recaptured at least once were included. Photos by Arend Heim & Wieland Heim (Amur Bird Project)
Drivers of stopover duration

We found that the model containing species, day of arrival, age, relative body mass and fat score at arrival was the best model to explain stopover duration (AIC = 2761.1, Table 2, Supplement 2). We found significant differences in stopover duration between species (Fig. 1). Furthermore, we found strong evidence that earlier-arriving individuals stay longer than later-arriving birds (Fig. 2). We also found evidence for a significant difference in the stopover duration of adults and juveniles (Fig. 3), with a mean stopover duration in adult buntings of 6.8 days and 8.9 days in juveniles. We found no evidence for differences in stopover duration between females and males, with a mean stopover duration of 5.8 days in females and 6.2 days in males. There was no evidence that relative body mass was linked to stopover duration. Individuals arriving at the stopover site with a lower fat score stayed significantly longer than birds with higher

Table 2. Selected models explaining stopover duration and body mass change of six bunting species and corresponding AIC values

| Response variable | Explanatory variables | Random factors | AIC   |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------|
| Stopover duration | Species + Day1 + Body mass change + Age + Fat1 | (1|Species:Day1) + (1|Species:bodymass_change) | 2738.1 |
| Stopover duration | Migration distance + Breeding latitude + Non-breeding latitude | (1|Species) + (1|Year) | 3952.4 |
| Body mass change  | Species + Duration + Day1 | (1|Year) + (1|Time1) + (1|Time2) + (1|Time1:Time2) | 3313.9 |

Fig. 2. Minimum stopover duration by day of arrival (=day of the first capture) of six bunting species with at least 15 recaptured individuals. Each dot represents one individual, lines are regression lines and shaded areas depict confidence intervals. Days are given as julian days (210 = 29 July, 310 = 6 November)
fat scores at arrival. The interaction of species and day of arrival as well as species and relative body mass explained a significant proportion of the variance, whereas other random factors did not.

Migration distance, breeding and non-breeding latitudes effects

We found that the northernmost breeding latitude was negatively correlated with stopover duration (AIC = 3952.4, Fig. 4, Supplement 2). The best model also contained year as a random factor, suggesting significant variation between years (Table 2). We found no evidence for a link between southernmost wintering latitude or migration distance with stopover duration.

Body mass change

The final model showed that body mass change was linked to stopover duration, day of arrival and species (AIC = 3313.9, Fig. 5, Table 2, Supplement 2). Those individuals that stayed longer and arrived earlier showed stronger positive body mass changes. However, this pattern was significant in only four out of six species (Fig. 5). We also found that the time difference between the first and last capture affected the body mass change (Supplement 1).

Discussion

We found significant differences in the stopover duration of 13 bunting species in the Russian Far East (Table 1). However, most birds were trapped only once, and were therefore considered transients. When comparing the six species most commonly recaptured, the mean stopover duration was close to or greater than two times higher for Black-faced, Elegant, Little and Yellow-browed buntings than for Pallas’s Reed and Rustic Buntings (Fig. 1). These differences were consistent between years, confirming rather fixed migration schedules of buntings at this stopover site (Heim et al. 2018).

Drivers of stopover duration

Differences in stopover duration have been linked to feeding habits: Species using a scarce or unpredictable food resource might require longer stopovers than species with a more flexible diet (Bairlein 1998; Bibby and Green 1981). The similar stopover durations of different warbler species in the Russian Far East have also been explained with similarities in diet.
However, the studied buntings at our study site have a similar bill morphology and are expected to have a similar diet outside the breeding season (Heim et al. 2018), but they still differ greatly in stopover duration. This might be explained by interspecific competition between the species, as overlap in feeding niches enhances interspecific competition (Moore and Yong 1991). Such competition can impede a time-minimizing strategy for the least competitive species and could explain the longer stays for four of the six bunting species most commonly recaptured.

Further, the differences in stopover duration might also be explained with species-specific arrival dates. Individuals arriving in August stayed around two weeks (mean: 18.8 days), while those arriving in September and October left the site after 4 or 5 days (Table 1). The first Elegant Buntings, the species with the longest mean stopover duration, tend to arrive at our study site already in August, whereas Rustic Buntings, the species with the shortest stopover duration, do not arrive before September (Fig. 2).

To prevent competition, there might be a strict partitioning at the temporal scale between closely related species with similar foraging habits during migration and at stopover sites (Byers et al. 2013; Schoener 1974); staggered departures from the breeding grounds might reduce competition over resources. Such differences in timing have been reported previously for migrating buntings at our stopover site (Heim et al. 2018; Smireski and Smireski 2010). On the other hand, the decreasing stopover duration during the autumn season might simply reflect the availability of food (Eikenaar and Bairlein 2014). Seeds, as preferred by the studied buntings, might be more readily available later in autumn and might allow for faster refuelling and, therefore, shorter stopover durations.

First-year birds stayed at the stopover site significantly longer than adults (Fig. 3). This result is consistent with other studies on songbirds (Ellegren 1991; Newton 2010; Polak and Szewczyk 2007; Rguibi-Idrissi et al. 2003). Adults are more experienced and, therefore, are able to find suitable stopovers and accumulate fat faster than juveniles new to the migration challenge (Polak and Szewczyk 2007).

We found no significant effect of sex on stopover duration (Fig. 3). Earlier studies suggested that males might be more dominant, which could allow them to refuel faster, while females would stay longer to avoid competition (Newton 2010). In the absence of significant differences, intersexual competition might be low, and both sexes of the studied buntings might be able to follow a time-minimizing strategy.

**Fig. 4** Bunting species with a higher northernmost breeding latitude were found to have a shorter stopover duration. Shown are six species with at least 15 recaptured individuals.
Contrary to our expectations and most studies (e.g., Arizaga et al. 2008; Cherry 1982), we found that relative body mass at arrival did not predict stopover duration. However, fat score at arrival was included in the best model (Table 2)—buntings arriving with lower fat scores tend to stay longer at the study site than birds arriving with higher fat scores. Similar patterns were found in other songbirds (Cherry 1982; Moore and Kerlinger 1987). Fat accumulation is thought to be responsible for most of the body mass increase in birds during a stopover (Connell et al. 1960). As mentioned above, species breeding in high latitudes might refuel prior to arrival at our study site, which could explain why, e.g., Pallas’s Reed and Rustic Buntings arrive later at our study site and with higher fat scores, leading to a shorter stopover duration.

Migration distance, breeding and non-breeding latitudes effects

Another explanation for the differences in stopover duration might stem from differences in migration distance and the geographic origin of the species (Monti et al. 2018). During autumn migration, buntings breeding further south and/or migrating longer distances are known to arrive earlier at our study site than species breeding further north and/or migrating shorter distances (Heim et al. 2018). This could affect the stopover duration as well since longer-distance migrants might have to refuel more extensively (Lindstrom and Alerstam 1992). However, we found no evidence for a significant correlation between migration distance and stopover duration. This can be explained by the early occurrence of a number of individuals in species that migrate otherwise relatively late in the season, such as the Elegant Bunting. The migration distance of this species is relatively short, but those individuals that arrive early will stay for extended periods at our study site. While we found no effect of migration distance, we did observe that stopover duration decreased with the northernmost latitude of breeding grounds (Fig. 4). This pattern has been observed in other songbirds (Smetzer and King 2018), but it may reverse if northern populations have to cross significant ecological barriers (Conklin et al. 2010; Van Loon et al. 2017). Buntings breeding in higher

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png) Effect of stopover duration on the body mass change between the first and the last capture of individual buntings. Each dot represents one individual. Regression lines and confidence intervals (shaded areas) are given for species with a significant correlation.
latitudes might have access to more favourable Siberian stopovers and might refuel before reaching our study site. Alternatively, they might stopover more frequently and for shorter amounts of time than species breeding further south. The higher proportion of transients in species breeding further north, such as Little, Pallas’s Reed and Rustic Buntings (Table 1), supports this assumption. Given that “year” was included as a random factor in the best model (Table 2), interannual differences depending e.g. on local weather or food availability might be expected in this pattern.

Body mass change

One of the ultimate drivers of stopover duration is the refuelling rate, which can be affected by competition, geographic origin, or age, as discussed above. We, therefore, investigated changes in body mass of the recaptured buntings and found a mean increase of 0.14 g, similar to other studies on songbirds (e.g. Arizaga et al. 2008). However, only one of six species showed a significant increase in body mass, the Little Bunting; overall, differences between species were not significant. This suggests low fuel deposition rates at our study site, which could be the result of competition (Moore and Yong 1991). Nevertheless, we found a positive correlation of body mass change with stopover duration (Fig. 5), confirming a higher mass gain in birds staying longer (Arizaga et al. 2008; Safriel and Lavee 1988). Furthermore, we found that birds arriving earlier would also show higher mass gains. This is most likely linked to the fact that earlier arriving birds are more likely to stay longer (see Fig. 2). As the random factor “year” explained a significant proportion of the variance (Table 2), interannual differences in refuelling rate can be expected. This might be linked to differences in stopover habitat quality, which could be linked to drastic differences in vegetation at our study site between years with and without fires (Heim et al. 2019). Unsurprisingly, we also found that the time difference between first and last capture events was explaining body mass change, which can be explained with the body mass gain during the course of the day (Delingat et al. 2009).

Conclusions

We found that the autumn stopover durations of bunting species differ at our study site in the Russian Far East and are determined by geographic origin, arrival date, age, and fat score at arrival, whereas body mass change is linked to stopover duration and arrival date. The observed patterns are similar to observations made on songbirds migrating along other flyways, suggesting the applicability of the optimal migration theory for the East Asian flyway. Our study site provides a unique opportunity to compare the migratory behaviour of a large number of closely related species occurring sympatrically during a stopover.

The populations of many of the studied bunting species have declined in the past decades (Choi et al. 2020; Edenius et al. 2017, Kamp et al. 2015), and some of the species are now globally threatened. A better understanding of their migration ecology and protection throughout the annual cycle is urgently required.
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