The Side-Effects of the Space Charge Field Introduced by Hollow Electron Beam in the Electron Cooler of CSRm*
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Abstract: Electron cooler is used to improve the quality of the beam in synchrotron, however it also introduces nonlinear electromagnetic field, which cause tuneshift, tunespread and may drive resonances leading to beam loss. In this paper the tuneshift and the tunespread caused by nonlinear electromagnetic field of the hollow electron beam was investigated, and the resonance driving terms of the nonlinear electromagnetic field was analysed. The differences were presented comparing with the solid electron beam. The calculations were performed for $^{238}\text{U}^{32+}$ ions of energy 1.272MeV stored in CSRm, using the parameters given in table 1. The conclusion is that in this situation nonlinear field caused by the hollow electron beam do not lead to serious resonances.
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1 Introduction

Two electron cooler devices with the most important characteristic that the distribution of the electron beam is adjustable have been installed at the HIRFL-CSR. Generally, the hollow electron beam is used to cool the ion beam stored in CSR, so analyzing the side-effects of the hollow electron beam to ion beam is necessary for HIRFL-CSR to improve its beam quality. Because the space charge field of the electron beam has larger effects on lower-energy ions, the $^{238}\text{U}^{32+}$ ions of energy 1.272MeV/u are chosen as a typical example in the calculation. The parameters used in the calculation are summarised in table 1.

Table 1. Parameters used in the calculations.

| Particle | $^{238}\text{U}^{32+}$ 1.272MeV |
|----------|-------------------------------|
| Currents of hollow electron beam | 0.077A |
| Currents of solid electron beam | 0.077A |
| Parameters for the electron beam distribution | $a_1 = 2.86 \times 10^{-4}, b_1 = 14.3 \times 10^{-2}$, $a_2 = 2.86 \times 10^{-4}, b_2 = 28.6 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Cooling length $L_{cool}$ | 4m |
| Beta function in the cooler | $\beta_x = 10m, \beta_y = 17m$ |
| Tune of CSRm | $Q_x = 3.63, Q_y = 2.61m$ |

The radial distribution of the electron beam in the cooler can be parameterized by follow equations[1], the equation (1) is for hollow electron beam and the equation (2) is for solid electron beam.

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_h}{2} \left( \text{erfc}\left(\frac{r-b_2}{a_2}\right) - \text{erfc}\left(\frac{r-b_1}{a_1}\right) \right).$$

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{2} \text{erfc}\left(\frac{r-b_2}{a_2}\right).$$

Where $a_1$, $a_2$, $b_1$, $b_2$ are constants determine the shape of the distribution(see table 1), $\rho_h$, $\rho_s$ are normalization coefficient. Fig.1 shows the radial distribution for solid electron beam and hollow electron beam.

![Fig. 1. The radial distribution of the electron beam.](image)

Because the longitudinal length of the electron beam is far larger than its transverse width, and the distribution of electron beam is axisymmetric. Using the Ampere’s law and Gauss’s law, the magnetic field and the
electric field caused by the electron beam can be obtained:

$$E(R) = \frac{\int_0^R \rho(r) r dr}{R \varepsilon_0} \hat{r}. \quad (3)$$

$$B(R) = \frac{\mu_0 \beta c \int_0^R \rho r dr}{R} \hat{\phi}. \quad (4)$$

Where $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity, $\mu_0$ is the permeability, $\beta$ is the relativistic factor, $R$ is the transverse location, $\rho(r)$ is the radial distribution of the electron beam in equation (1), (2). The particle has charge $q$ at the location $R$ will encounter the force:

$$F(R) = qE(R) + qv \times B(R). \quad (5)$$

Using the equation (2), (3) and (4), then the force become:

$$F(R) = \frac{q}{R \varepsilon_0 \gamma^2} \int_0^R \rho(r) r dr. \quad (6)$$

Where $q$ is the charge of the particle, $\gamma$ is the Lorentz factor. As fig. 2 and equation (6) show the force that the particle encounter in the electron beam is nonlinear. So the tuneshift, tunespread will be caused and resonances may will be driven.

![Fig. 2. The nonlinear force exerts on the particles at different radius.](image)

In section 2 and 3 the calculations of tuneshift and tunespread for hollow electron beam and solid electron beam are presented separately, in section 4 the resonance driving terms are analyzed, and in section 5 the conclusions are summarised.

### 2 Tuneshift

With thin lens approximation, the nonlinear transverse kick can be obtained[2,3]:

$$\Delta r' = \frac{qq' N'}{2 \pi \varepsilon_0 \beta^2 \alpha c^2 \gamma^3 R} \int_0^R r \rho(r) dr. \quad (7)$$

$$N' = \left| \frac{L_{cool} I_e}{q' \beta c} \right|. \quad (8)$$

Where $q'$ is the charge of electron, $L_{cool}$ is the lengths of cooler section, $m_0$ is the rest mass of the particle, $N'$ is the number of electrons in the electron cooler[4]. Immitating the calculating method in ref. 2. The differential equation for the transverse motion with the kicker of the electron beam can be written as follow[2]:

$$\frac{d^2 z}{ds^2} + K(s) z = \Delta z' \delta(s). \quad (9)$$

Using the Courant-Snyder transformation

$$\eta = z/\sqrt{\beta z}, \theta = \int ds/(Q \beta z). \quad (11)$$

the equation (9) will become:

$$\frac{d^2 z}{d\theta^2} + Q^2 \eta = Q \sqrt{\beta z} \Delta z' (\eta, \theta) \delta(\theta). \quad (12)$$

Where $Q$ is the tune. Transform the equation to $\varepsilon$, $\phi$.

$$\eta = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cos(\phi), \eta' = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sin(\phi). \quad (13)$$

Replacing the period Dirac function in equal.(12) by its Fourier expansion.

$$\delta(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-im\theta). \quad (14)$$

Then the equation of the transverse motion can be Transform to two first-odder differential equations:

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{d\theta} = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sin(\phi) \sqrt{\beta} \Delta r' \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-im\theta). \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\theta} = Q + \left( \frac{\sqrt{\beta} \cos(\phi) \Delta r'}{2\pi \varepsilon} \right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-im\theta). \quad (16)$$

The nonlinear tuneshift can now be calculated by averaging the right hand side of equation (16) over $\theta$ and $\phi$:

$$\Delta \nu = \frac{qq' N}{8\pi^3 \varepsilon \beta^2 c^2 m_0 \varepsilon_0 \gamma^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{r \rho(r) dr d\phi}{0}. \quad (17)$$
Where \( \beta_z \) instead the \( \beta_x \) and \( \beta_y \). Calculating equation (17) with different \( \varepsilon \), the variations of tuneshift with the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle \( R = \sqrt{\beta_x \varepsilon} \) will be obtained.

Using the paraments in table1, the tuneshift can be worked out. The maximum tuneshift for hollow electron beam is \( \Delta \nu_x = 0.015, \Delta \nu_y = 0.018 \) and for solid electron beam is \( \Delta \nu_x = 0.024, \Delta \nu_y = 0.041 \). The variation of the tuneshift with transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is illustrated in fig.3.

![Fig. 3. The variation of tuneshift caused by electron beam (Just for the horizontal direction)](image)

Fig. 3. The variation of tuneshift caused by electron beam (Just for the horizontal direction)

From fig.3 the follow phenomena can be observed:
1) The tuneshift caused by the hollow electron beam is smaller than the tuneshift caused by the solid electron beam.
2) For hollow electron beam the tuneshift is zero when the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is smaller than the inner radius of the hollow electron beam, while for the solid electron beam the tuneshift is a constant when the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is smaller than the range of the electron beam.
3) Once the oscillating amplitude of the particle is larger than the range of electron beam, the both tuneshifts are decrease with the increase of the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle.

3 Tunespread

From the equation (5) the two-dimensional equations of transverse motion can be gotten:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \eta_x}{d \phi^2} + Q_x^2 \eta_x &= Q_x \sqrt{\beta_x} \Delta x' \delta(\theta), \quad (18) \\
\frac{d \eta_y}{d \phi^2} + Q_y^2 \eta_y &= Q_y \sqrt{\beta_y} \Delta y' \delta(\theta), \quad (19) \\
\Delta x' &= \frac{qq' N' x}{2 \pi \varepsilon_0 \beta_x c^2 \gamma^3 R^2} \int_0^R r \rho(r) dr. \quad (20)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Delta y' = \frac{qq' N'y}{2 \pi \varepsilon_0 \beta_x c^2 \gamma^3 R^2} \int_0^R r \rho(r) dr.
\]

Using the method in ref.4, replacing the period Dirac function in equation (18), (19) by its Fourier expansion:

\[
\delta(\theta) = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-imp).
\]

Only keeping the \( m=0 \) term of the Dirac function and averaging over phases \( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_y \), following equations will be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \nu_x (\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y) &= \frac{1}{4 \pi^2} \\
&\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_y \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\beta_x \cos^2(\phi_x)}{2\pi \sqrt{\varepsilon_x \beta_x \cos^2(\phi_x) + \varepsilon_y \beta_y \cos^2(\phi_y)}} d\phi_y. \quad (25) \\
\Delta \nu_y (\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y) &= \frac{1}{4 \pi^2} \\
&\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_x \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\beta_y \cos^2(\phi_y)}{2\pi \sqrt{\varepsilon_x \beta_x \cos^2(\phi_x) + \varepsilon_y \beta_y \cos^2(\phi_y)}} d\phi_x. \quad (26)
\end{align*}
\]

Integrating the above integral with different \( \varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y \), the \( \Delta \nu_x, \Delta \nu_y \) can be worked out.

Using the above method and with paraments listed in table1, the tunespread can be obtained, as fig.4, fig.5 show.

![Fig. 4. Tunespread caused by the hollow electron beam. \( \varepsilon_x \) separately take the random number from 0 to \((2+\delta)^2/\beta_x\) in the calculation. \( R_{inner}, R_{outer} \) represent the ranges of the hollow electron beam.](image)
Fig. 4. shows that $\Delta \nu_x$, $\Delta \nu_y$ stay close to 0, when the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is smaller than the inner radius of the hollow electron beam ($R_{\text{particle}} < R_{\text{inner-radius}}$), the bluest point at the lower left corner shows. When the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is not larger than the range of the electron beam ($R_{\text{inner-radius}} < R_{\text{particle}} < R_{\text{outer-radius}}$), the $\Delta \nu_x$, $\Delta \nu_y$ increasing along with the increasing of transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle. When the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is larger than the range of the electron beam ($R_{\text{particle}} > R_{\text{outer-radius}}$), with the increasing of the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle, the $\Delta \nu_x$, $\Delta \nu_y$ decreasing.

Fig. 5. Tunespread caused by the solid electron beam. $\varepsilon_x$ separately take the random number from 0 to $(2b)^2/b_x$ in the calculation.

Fig. 5 shows that the particle has a largest tune shift, when the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is smaller than the range of the electron beam, as the bluest point at the top right corner shows. When the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle become larger, the tuneshift $\Delta \nu_x$, $\Delta \nu_y$ become smaller.

Comparing the tunespread in fig.4 and fig.5 follow conclusions can be drawn: The area of tunespread caused by the hollow electron beam is larger than it caused by solid electron beam, while the maximum of the tuneshift caused by the hollow electron beam is smaller than which caused by solid electron beam.

### 4 Resonances

Beside causing the tuneshift and the tunespread, the nonlinear force of the electron beam also result in resonances. To calculate the resonance driving terms, following the calculation of ref.2 again[1]. Replacing the part in the bracket of the equation (16) by its Fourier transform:

$$
\frac{\sqrt{\beta} \cos(\phi) \Delta \nu'}{2\pi \sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \cos(n\phi). \quad (27)
$$

After some algebra, the follow expression can be obtain:

$$
\frac{d\phi}{d\theta} = Q + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(n\phi - m\theta). \quad (28)
$$

$A_n$ is the Fourier coefficient

$$
A_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i n \phi}}{4 \sqrt{\beta^2 \varepsilon^2 - n^2 \varepsilon^2}} \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} r \rho(r) dr \right] |d\phi| \quad (29)
$$

$A_n$ is the resonance width defined in ref.2 and ref.3, which is the function of $\varepsilon_x$ related to the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle.

Integrating right side of the equation (29) numerically with paraments in table 1, and the $A_n$ can be worked out. Fig.7 shows the variations of $\log_{10}(A_n)$ with the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle.

The phenomena observed from the fig.6 are summarized as follow:

1. Even order resonances have been driven by both the hollow beam and the solid beam.
2. When the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is smaller than the inner radius of the hollow electron beam, the resonance width is zero. In other words, the resonance never occur when the particle is moving in the hollow part of the electron beam.
3. For solid electron beam, when the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is smaller than the range of the electron beam, the resonance width for $n=4,6,8,10,12$ are equal to zero, and the resonance width for $n=2$ is a constant.
4. With the increase of the resonance order, the resonance width for both electron beam are decreasing.
5) When the transverse oscillating amplitude of the particle is large, the resonance width of the hollow electron beam and the solid electron beam are nearly same. To know whether the tunespread caused by hollow electron beam calculated for $^{238}U^{32+}$ is large enough to cross some resonance-lines, plotting the resonance-lines on the tunespread figure (fig.7).

![Fig. 7. The yellow lines represent resonance-lines of order equal to 8,9,10,11,12; The black lines represent resonance-lines of order smaller than 8.](image)

As fig.7 shows, the tunespread just cross the resonance-lines of order larger than 7. In other words, under the conditions defined in table 1 nonlinear field caused by the hollow electron beam do not lead to resonances order smaller than 7.

5 Conclusions

The tuneshift and tunespread caused by the hollow electron beam have been calculated, as a comparison the tuneshift and tunespread caused by the solid electron beam have also been calculated. The resonance driving terms for space charge field of the hollow electron beam and the solid electron beam have be obtained. As example, the calculation is performed for the beam $^{238}U^{32+}$ ions of energy 1.272MeV/u. The main conclusions are summarised: 1) The tuneshift caused by the solid electron beam is larger than which caused by hollow electron beam. 2) The area of the tunespread caused by hollow electron beam is larger than which caused by solid electron beam. 3) The even order resonances will be driven both by the hollow electron beam and the solid electron beam when the tune hits the resonance lines. 4) Under the conditions defined in table 1, the resonances that the order smaller than 7 do not happen for $^{238}U^{32+}$ ions of energy 1.272MeV/u in CSRm.

Now the tuneshift, tunespread and the resonance driving terms caused by electron beam are clear. To further research the effects of the space charge field of the electron beam, the increase of the width and the emittance of ion beam due to the resonances should be studied, and the tuneshift and tunespread caused by the space charge field of ion beam should also be analysed. It will be the work in the future.
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