Transverse Coronal-Loop Oscillations Induced by the Non-radial Eruption of a Magnetic Flux Rope
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Abstract
We investigate the transverse coronal-loop oscillations induced by the eruption of a prominence-carrying flux rope on 7 December 2012. The flux rope, originating from NOAA Active Region (AR) 11621, was observed in extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) wavelengths by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) onboard the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) spacecraft and in the Hα line center by the ground-based telescope at the Big Bear Solar Observatory (BBSO). The early evolution of the flux rope is divided into two steps: a slow-rise phase at a speed of \( \approx 230 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and a fast-rise phase at a speed of \( \approx 706 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The eruption generates a C5.8 flare and the onset of the fast rise is consistent with the hard X-ray (HXR) peak time of the flare. The embedded prominence has a lower speed of \( \approx 452 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

The eruption is significantly inclined from the local solar normal by \( \approx 60^\circ \), suggesting a typical non-radial eruption. During the early eruption of the flux rope, the nearby coronal loops are disturbed and experience independent kink-mode oscillations in the horizontal and vertical directions. The oscillation in the horizontal direction has an initial amplitude of \( \approx 3.1 \text{ Mm} \), a period of \( \approx 294 \text{ seconds} \), and a damping time of \( \approx 645 \text{ seconds} \). It is most striking in 171 Å and lasts for three to four cycles. The oscillations in the vertical directions are observed mainly in 171, 193, and 211 Å. The initial amplitudes are in the range of 3.4 – 5.2 Mm, with an average value of 4.5 Mm. The periods are between 407 seconds and 441 seconds, with an average value of 423 seconds. The oscillations are damping and last for nearly four cycles. The damping times are in the range of 570 – 1012 seconds, with an average value of 741 seconds. Assuming a semi-circular shape of the vertically oscillating loops, we calculate the loop lengths according to their heights. Using the observed periods, we carry out coronal seismology and estimate the internal Alfvén speeds (988 – 1145 km s\(^{-1}\)) and the magnetic-field strengths (12 – 43 G) of the oscillating loops.
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1. Introduction

A magnetic flux rope is a bundle of twisted field lines that winds around their common axis (see Liu, 2020, and references therein). The accumulated twist number $[T_w]$ can reach up to one to three turns (Liu et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2021a). Flux ropes play an essential role in the genesis of solar eruptions (Chen, 2017; Cheng, Guo, and Ding, 2017), including prominence eruptions (Rust and Kumar, 1996; Amari, Canou, and Aly, 2014), flares (Titov and Démoulin, 1999; Janvier, Aulanier, and Démoulin, 2015; Wang et al., 2015), and coronal mass ejections (CMEs: Dere et al., 1999; Vourlidas et al., 2013; Patsourakos et al., 2020). It is still controversial whether flux ropes are generated before eruptions (Canou et al., 2009; Green and Kliem, 2009; Zhang, Cheng, and Ding, 2012; Zhang, Su, and Ji, 2017; James et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019; He et al., 2020; Nindos et al., 2020) or during eruptions (Cheng et al., 2011; Gou et al., 2019). Photospheric flux cancellation is found to be important in the formation of flux ropes before eruptions (Green, Kliem, and Wallace, 2011; Savcheva et al., 2012), while tether-cutting magnetic reconnection in the corona is believed to be an effective mechanism for flux-rope formation during eruptions (Joshi, Magara, and Inoue, 2014; Xue et al., 2017). Flux ropes are frequently observed to have very high temperatures ($\approx 10$ MK), which are best revealed in extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) 94 Å and 131 Å and therefore termed “hot channel” (Cheng et al., 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014). Nindos et al. (2015) analyzed 141 M-class and X-class flares. About 32% of the events are associated with hot channels and almost half of the eruptive events are related to a hot-channel configuration.

The directions of prominence eruptions and associated CMEs are not always radial. McCauley et al. (2015) investigated the properties of 904 prominence and filament eruptions observed by the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) in detail. It is found that the percentage of non-radial eruptions reaches 12%. Devi et al. (2021) reported a non-radial prominence eruption away from the local vertical with an inclination angle of $\gamma = 48^\circ$, which is attributed to the easier channel provided by the open and high-lying magnetic field. Using stereoscopic observations from the twin spacecraft of the Solar TERrestrial RElations Observatory (STEREO: Kaiser et al., 2008), Gosain et al. (2009) observed a partial filament eruption that was highly inclined to the solar normal with an inclination angle of $\gamma = 47^\circ$, which is close to that reported by Williams et al. (2005). Combining the observations from the STEREO-Ahead (hereafter STA) and the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA: Lemen et al., 2012) onboard SDO, Sun et al. (2012a) reported a non-radial, jet-like eruption following a markedly inclined trajectory with $\gamma = 66^\circ$. Combining the observations from STEREO-Behind (hereafter STB) and SDO/AIA, Bi et al. (2013) investigated the rotation and non-radial propagation of a filament, the later of which resulted from interaction between the filament eruption and the overlying pseudo-streamer. In an extreme case, a nearly $90^\circ$ deflected filament eruption and the related CME were noticed by Yang et al. (2018). State-of-the-art numerical simulations indicate that the imbalance of the bipole leads to a negative magnetic pressure gradient in the $x$-direction, which prevents the flux rope from expanding symmetrically (Aulanier et al., 2010; Kliem et al., 2013; Inoue et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2018). Guo et al. (2021b) performed a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulation of a C7.7 class flare, which was generated by a non-radial prominence eruption on 21 June 2011 (Zhou et al., 2017).
Table 1  Description of the observational parameters.

| Instrument | $\lambda$ [Å] | Time [UT] | Cadence [s] | Pixel Size ["] |
|------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
| AIA        | 131 – 211     | 21:10 – 21:50 | 12          | 0.6             |
| HMI        | 6173          | 21:10 – 21:50 | 45          | 0.6             |
| EUVI       | 195           | 21:05 – 23:05 | 300         | 1.6             |
| COR2       | WL            | 22:24 – 23:39 | 900         | 14.7            |
| LASCO-C2   | WL            | 21:36 – 22:36 | 720         | 11.4            |
| BBSO       | 6563          | 21:00 – 21:50 | 60          | 1.1             |
| GOES       | 0.5 – 4       | 21:00 – 22:30 | 2.05        | ...             |
| GOES       | 1 – 8         | 21:00 – 22:30 | 2.05        | ...             |
| GBM        | 4 – 26 keV    | 21:05 – 21:30 | 0.256       | ...             |

Solar eruptions can potentially generate kink-mode, transverse oscillations of the adjacent coronal loops (Aschwanden et al., 1999; Nakariakov et al., 1999; Zimovets and Nakariakov, 2015) and quasi-periodic pulsations (QPP: Zimovets et al., 2021). The polarization of the transverse loop oscillations could be horizontal (White and Verwichte, 2012; Nisticò, Nakariakov, and Verwichte, 2013; Li et al., 2017, 2018; Zhang et al., 2015, 2020; Zhang, 2020; Dai et al., 2021) or vertical (Wang and Solanki, 2004; Gosain, 2012; White, Verwichte, and Foullon, 2012; Simões et al., 2013; Srivastava and Goossens, 2013; Kim, Nakariakov, and Cho, 2014; Dudík et al., 2016; Verwichte and Kohutova, 2017; Reeves et al., 2020). In most cases, the amplitudes of kink oscillations decay with time as a result of resonant absorption, phase mixing, wave leakage, or Kelvin–Helmholtz instability (Goossens, Andries, and Aschwanden, 2002; Ofman and Aschwanden, 2002; Ruderman and Roberts, 2002; Terradas, Oliver, and Ballester, 2006; Goddard et al., 2016; Antolin et al., 2017; Nechaeva et al., 2019). The damping time [$\tau$] is roughly proportional to the period [$P$] (Verwichte et al., 2013), and the quality factor [$\frac{1}{f}$] has a power-law dependence on the amplitude with the exponent of $-0.5$ (Goddard and Nakariakov, 2016). One of the applications of coronal seismology is the estimation of the coronal magnetic field and Alfvén speed of the oscillating loops (Nakariakov and Ofman, 2001; Aschwanden et al., 2002; Verwichte et al., 2009; Chen and Peter, 2015). So far, there are few reports of transverse coronal-loop oscillations triggered by non-radial prominence eruptions.

On 7 December 2012, a prominence-carrying flux rope erupted from NOAA Active Region (AR) 11621 (N15W91) and propagated non-radially, producing a C5.8 flare and a fast CME. Based on the revised cone model, Zhang (2021) performed a 3D reconstruction of the CME simultaneously observed by SDO/AIA and STA/EUVI at 21:20:30 UT. The geometry and kinematics of the CME were derived. In this article, we report the simultaneous coronal-loop oscillations in the horizontal and vertical directions induced by the eruption in the same AR. The data analysis is described in Section 2. The results are presented in Section 3 and compared with previous findings in Section 4. Finally, a brief summary is given in Section 5.

2. Data Analysis

The prominence eruption was tracked by the ground-based telescope at the Big Bear Solar Observatory (BBSO) in the Hα line center. The eruption of the flux rope was detected by
The eruption was also captured by the Extreme-Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI) in the Sun Earth Connection Coronal and Heliospheric Investigation (SECCHI: Howard et al., 2008) package onboard STA, which had a separation angle of $\approx 128^\circ$ with respect to the Sun–Earth direction on 7 December 2012. The CME driven by the flux-rope eruption was observed by the C2 white light (WL) coronagraph of the Large Angle Spectroscopic Coronagraph (LASCO: Brueckner et al., 1995) onboard the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO). The LASCO-C2 data were calibrated using the SSW program c2_calibrate.pro. The CME was observed by the COR2 coronagraph onboard STA as well. Calibrations of the COR2 and EUVI data were performed using the SSW program secchi_prep.pro. The deviation of STA north–south direction from the solar rotation axis was corrected. The soft X-ray (SXR) fluxes of the C5.8 flare were recorded by the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) spacecraft. The hard X-ray (HXR) fluxes at different energy bands were obtained from the Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM: Meegan et al., 2009) onboard the Fermi spacecraft. The observational properties of the instruments are listed in Table 1.

3. Results

3.1. Eruption of the Prominence-Carrying Magnetic Flux Rope

In Figure 1, the upper panel shows the SXR light curves of the C5.8 flare in 1 – 8 Å (red line) and 0.5 – 4 Å (blue line). It is clear that the SXR flux increases rapidly from $\approx 21:13:00$ UT and reaches the peak value at $\approx 21:21:15$ UT (black-dashed line) before declining gradually to the pre-flare level around 22:13:00 UT. Hence, the lifetime of the flare is $\approx 1$ hour. Figure 1b shows the HXR light curves at 4 – 11 keV (orange line) and 11 – 26 keV (yellow line). The black-dashed line denotes the HXR peak time at $\approx 21:18:00$ UT, when the rate of energy precipitation of flare-accelerated nonthermal electrons is maximum (Brown, 1971).

Four selected LOS magnetograms from 4 December to 7 December 2012 are displayed in Figure 2. It is seen that AR 11621 was still distinguishable on 5 December. Over time, it became blurred on 6 December close to the western limb and totally vanished on 7 December, implying that it had rotated to the backside of the Sun when the flare took place.

The EUV images in Figure 3 illustrate the evolution of the prominence-carrying flux rope (see also the Electronic Supplementary Material animaia.mp4). As the flare occurs, the flux rope shows up before 21:18:00 UT (a2 – d2). The bubble-like flux rope expands in size and propagates in the southwest direction. An embedded prominence follows the flux rope. It is obvious that the trajectory is severely inclined to the solar normal with $\gamma = 60^\circ$, meaning that the event is a typical non-radial eruption (Zhang, 2021). The thin leading edge of the flux rope could not be tracked after escaping the field-of-view (FOV) of AIA around 21:21:40 UT. The eruption is evident in various EUV wavelengths, suggesting the multi-thermal nature of the flux rope and prominence (Hannah and Kontar, 2013). We note that a group of coronal loops North of the flux rope is slightly disturbed during the eruption and oscillates for a long time, which will be described in Section 3.2.

The prominence eruption is also vivid in the Hα line center (see animation in the Electronic Supplementary Material animha.mp4). Figure 4 shows the Hα images observed at
Figure 1  (a) Soft X-ray (SXR) light curves of the GOES C5.8 flare in 1–8 Å (red line) and 0.5–4 Å (blue line). The black-dashed line denotes the peak time at 21:21:15 UT. (b) Hard X-ray (HXR) light curves of the flare observed by Fermi/GBM at 4–11 keV (orange line) and 11–26 keV (yellow line). The black-dashed line denotes the HXR peak time at 21:18:00 UT.

Figure 2  HMI LOS magnetograms from 4 December to 7 December 2012. AR 11621 hosting the C5.8 flare is marked. The gold-dashed lines denote the approximate polarity inversion line (PIL).

the BBSO. The prominence rises slowly at \(\approx21:16:00\) UT and expands quickly, resembling a tennis racket (see Panel d). The top segment is fitted with an ellipse (dotted line), whose major axis and minor axis have lengths of 86" and 64", respectively. The location and shape of the prominence are consistent with that observed in EUV wavelengths (see Figure 3a4–d4) and are in agreement with the typical U-shaped prominence horns both in observations (Régnier, Walsh, and Alexander, 2011) and numerical simulations (Xia et al., 2014). The prominence continues to rise and the top segment escapes the FOV of BBSO. It is noticeable that the bubble-like flux rope in EUV wavelengths is not distinct in Hα.

To investigate the evolution of the flux rope and prominence, we select a slice (S1) with a total length of \(\approx296\)" along the direction of their propagation, which is shown in Figure 3d2. The time–distance diagrams of S1 in 131, 171, 193, and 211 Å are displayed in Figure 5. In Figure 5a, the positions of the flux-rope leading edge are labeled with a magenta dashed line whose slope represents its apparent linear speed (\(\approx706\) km s\(^{-1}\)). The positions of the following prominence are labeled with red-dashed lines whose slopes represent its apparent...
Figure 3  EUV images in 131 Å (a1 – a6), 171 Å (b1 – b6), 193 Å (c1 – c6), and 211 Å (d1 – d6). The arrows point to the oscillating loops (OLs), the flux rope (FR), and the eruptive prominence (prom). In Panel d1, two short slices (S2 and S3) are used to investigate the transverse oscillations of the coronal loops in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively. In Panel d2, a long slice (S1) is used to investigate the evolution of the flux rope. An animation of this figure is available in the Electronic Supplementary Material (animaia.mp4).

linear speed ($\approx 452 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Hence, the speed of the flux rope is $\approx 1.5$ times larger than that of the prominence.

As mentioned in Section 1, the eruption of the flux rope drives a fast and wide CME. In Figure 6, the upper and lower panels show the WL images of the CME observed by LASCO-C2 and STA/COR2, respectively. The leading fronts of the CME are pointed to by the arrows. The CME first appears at $\approx 21:36:00$ UT in the LASCO-C2 FOV and propagates westward with a central position angle of 299°. The CME first appears at $\approx 22:24:00$ UT in the STA/COR2 FOV and propagates eastward until $\approx 23:54:00$ UT, when the CME becomes too weak to be identified. It is shown that although the flux rope propagates non-radially in the FOV of AIA, the position angle of the related CME is close to the latitude of AR 11621, implying that the flux rope is probably influenced and redirected by the large-scale magnetic field.

In Figure 7, the upper panel shows the height evolution of the flux-rope leading edge in 131 Å, which is determined manually in the direction of S1. The movement of the flux rope is roughly divided into two phases by the HXR peak (black-dashed line): a slow rise ($\approx 230 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and a fast rise ($\approx 706 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), which is in line with previous observations (Cheng et al., 2013). The height evolution of the CME leading front in the FOV of LASCO-C2 is plotted in the lower panel of Figure 7. The apparent speed ($\approx 684 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) of the CME is indicated. Taking the projection effect into account, the speeds of the flux rope and the CME are comparable, validating that the flux rope serves as a driver of the CME (Cheng et al., 2013).
Figure 4  Hα images observed by BBSO. The arrows point to the eruptive prominence (prom). In Panel d, a dotted ellipse is used to fit the top segment of the prominence. An animation of this figure is available in the Electronic Supplementary Material (animha.mp4).

The prominence eruption was observed by STA/EUVI from a different viewpoint (see animation in the Electronic Supplementary Material anim195.mp4). Four 195 Å base-difference images are displayed in Figure 8. In Panel a, the source region of the flare and CME is located at (−590″, 300″), as indicated by the arrow. In Panel b, the arc-shaped CME leading front with enhanced intensity is pointed by the arrow. In Panels c – d, the long-lasting coronal dimming behind the CME with reduced intensity and expanding area is the most striking feature (Thompson et al., 1998; Zhang, Su, and Ji, 2017). It is evident that as the CME propagates in the southeast direction, the dimming mainly extends in the south and east directions, rather than isotropically.

3.2. Transverse Coronal-Loop Oscillations

As mentioned before, the coronal loops to the North of the prominence are disturbed and start oscillating during the eruption (see animation in the Electronic Supplementary Material animaia.mp4). In Figure 3d1, two slices (S2 and S3) are used to investigate the transverse oscillations. The slightly curved slice S2 with a total length of ≈188.5″ is parallel to the solar limb. The straight slice S3 with a length of ≈172″ is perpendicular to the solar limb. The time–distance diagram of S2 in 171 Å is displayed in Figure 9. The positions of the oscillating loop with maximal EUV intensity are denoted by the magenta-plus symbols. After the flare occurs, the coronal loop first moves southward and sways from side to side periodically. The amplitude decays with time and the oscillation lasts for three to four cycles. The initial southward movement is caused by the strong magnetic-pressure gradient after the flux rope quickly escapes the active region.
Figure 5 Time–distance diagrams of S1 in 131, 171, 193, and 211 Å. The linear velocities of the flux rope (≈706 km s\(^{-1}\)) and the prominence (≈452 km s\(^{-1}\)) are indicated.

In Figure 10c, the positions of the oscillating loop along S2 are drawn with cyan circles. To precisely determine the physical parameters of the oscillation, we perform a curve fitting by adopting an exponentially decaying sine function (Nisticò, Nakariakov, and Verwichte, 2013):

\[
y(t) = A_0 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{P}\left(t - t_0\right) + \phi_0\right)e^{-\left(t - t_0\right)/\tau} + y_0 + k(t - t_0) + c(t - t_0)^2,
\]

where \(A_0\) and \(\phi_0\) stand for the initial amplitude of the displacement and the phase at \(t_0\), \(P\) and \(\tau\) signify the period and the damping time, \(y_0\) denotes the initial loop position, and \(k\) and \(c\) denote the coefficients of the linear and quadratic terms, respectively. The results of curve fitting using mpfit.pro are drawn with a magenta-dotted line. It is evident that the transverse oscillation can be nicely described by Equation 1. In Table 2, the fitted values for \(t_0\) (≈21:20:11 UT), \(A_0\) (≈3.1 Mm), \(P\) (≈294 seconds), \(\tau\) (≈645 seconds), and \(\frac{1}{\tau}\) (≈2.2) are listed in the second to sixth columns. It should be emphasized that the transverse oscillation in the horizontal direction is most striking in 171 Å and becomes blurred in 193 and 211 Å.

The time–distance diagrams of S3 in 171, 193, and 211 Å are displayed in Figure 11. The positions of the loop tops are denoted by the cyan-plus symbols. Interestingly, the coronal loops start to oscillate vertically after the flare occurs. The amplitudes also decay with time and the oscillations last for three to four cycles. The initial inward motion indicates loop contraction or implosion before the oscillation (e.g. Gosain, 2012; Sun et al., 2012b; Simões et al., 2013; Dudík et al., 2016). This is consistent with the fact that the vertical loop oscillations are caused by the eruption of the flux rope, since the magnetic pressure beneath the loops is impulsively decreased after the eruption.
Figure 6  WL images of the CME observed by LASCO-C2 (upper panels) and STA/COR2 (lower panels). The arrows point to the CME leading front.

Figure 7  (a) Height variation of the flux-rope leading edge along S1 in 131 Å. The apparent linear speeds of the slow rise (≈230 km s\(^{-1}\)) and the fast rise (≈706 km s\(^{-1}\)) are indicated. The black-dashed line denotes the HXR peak time at 21:18:00 UT. (b) Height variation of the CME leading front observed by LASCO-C2. The plane-of-sky linear speed (≈684 km s\(^{-1}\)) is indicated.

The positions of the loop tops in 171, 193, and 211 Å are manually determined and are drawn with blue circles in Figure 10. Likewise, we perform curve fitting by adopting an exponentially decaying sine function:

\[
y(t) = A_0 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{P}(t - t_0) + \phi_0\right)e^{-\frac{(t-t_0)}{\tau}} + y_0 + k(t - t_0),
\]  

(2)
Figure 8  Base-difference images observed by STA/EUVI in 195 Å. The arrows point to the flare, the CME front and the coronal dimming behind the CME. An animation of this figure is available in the Electronic Supplementary Material (anim195.mp4).

Figure 9  Time–distance diagram of S2 in 171 Å. The magenta-plus symbols denote the positions of the oscillating loop with maximal EUV intensity. The red arrow on the x-axis indicates the start time of the flare, $s = 0$ and $s = 188.5''$ on the y-axis represent the southwest and northeast endpoints of S2, respectively.

where the parameters have the same meaning as in Equation 1. The results of curve fitting are drawn with red-dotted lines, showing that the vertical oscillations can be well described by Equation 2. In Table 2, the fitted values of $t_0$, $A_0$, $P$, $\tau$, and $\tau_P$ are listed in the second to sixth columns. The initial amplitudes are in the range of 3.4 – 5.2 Mm with an average value of ≈4.5 Mm. The periods have a range of 407 – 441 seconds with an average value of ≈423 seconds. The damping times are between 570 seconds and 1012 seconds with an average value of ≈741 s. The corresponding quality factors $[\tau_P]$ are between 1.4 and 2.3 with a mean value of ≈1.7, suggesting a quick attenuation (White, Verwichte, and Foullon, 2012). In 171 Å, the period of oscillation in the vertical direction is ≈1.5 times longer than that in the horizontal direction, while the quality factors are close to each other, indicating that the transverse oscillations in both directions are independent rather than two components of the same oscillation. In Table 2, the apparent heights of the loop tops are listed in the seventh column. The loop heights in 193 and 211 Å are higher than those in 171 Å with lower
Figure 10  The positions (blue circles) of the coronal loop tops along S3 in 171, 193, and 211 Å. The results of curve fitting using Equation 2 are overlaid with red-dotted lines. In Panel c, the positions of the coronal loop along S2 in 171 Å are plotted with cyan circles. The results of curve fitting using Equation 1 are overlaid with a magenta-dotted line.

Table 2  Parameters of the transverse coronal-loop oscillations in 171, 193, and 211 Å. $A_0$ is the initial amplitude at $t_0$. $P$ and $\tau$ signify the period and the damping time. $h$ is the apparent height of loop top. $L$ is the loop length assuming a semi-circular shape. $C_k$ and $C_A$ represent the phase speed and the internal Alfvén speed of the loop. $B$ denotes the magnetic-field strength of the loops.

| slice  | $t_0$ [UT] | $A_0$ [Mm] | $P$ [s] | $\tau$ [s] | $\frac{h}{P}$ [Mm] | $h$ [Mm] | $2L$ [Mm] | $C_k$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $C_A$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $B$ [G] |
|--------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| S2_171 | 21:20:11   | 3.1±0.3    | 293.6±5| 645.3±39  | 2.2             | ...    | ...      | ...           | ...           | 12–37  |
| S3_171 | 21:19:47   | 3.4±0.3    | 441.4±8| 1011.8±96| 2.3             | 93.5   | 587.6    | 1331.3        | 987.6         | 14–43  |
| S3_193 | 21:20:18   | 5.2±0.4    | 407.2±5| 570.8±34  | 1.4             | 100.1  | 628.6    | 1543.8        | 1145.3        | 14–43  |
| S3_211 | 21:20:47   | 5.0±0.4    | 419.2±6| 641.4±43  | 1.5             | 100.1  | 628.6    | 1499.6        | 1112.5        | 13–41  |

temperature of the response function peak (Lemen et al., 2012). In other words, the coronal loops with different heights oscillate in phase (Zhang et al., 2020).

The commencements of the oscillations in both the horizontal and vertical directions occur during the fast rise of the flux rope (Figure 7a), which is consistent with the transverse oscillations being caused by the flux-rope eruption. That is to say, the coronal loops are not disturbed until the flux rope expands and propagates after some time. It is emphasized that the simultaneous oscillations in the horizontal and vertical directions are from different coronal loops superposed along the LOS, since the coronal loop undergoing horizontal oscillation drifts southward from the equilibrium position (see Figure 9). Moreover, the period of the vertical oscillation is 1.5 times longer than that of the horizontal oscillation in 171 Å.

4. Discussion

4.1. What Is the Cause of Non-radial Eruption?

As mentioned in Section 1, non-radial prominence eruptions are frequently observed (e.g. Williams et al., 2005; Gosain et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2012a; Bi et al., 2013; Panasenco et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018; Mitra and Joshi, 2019; Devi et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2021a; Mancuso
Figure 11  Time–distance diagrams of S3 in 171, 193, and 211 Å. The cyan-plus symbols denote the positions of the loop tops. The red arrow on the x-axis indicates the starting time of flare. $s = 0$ and $s = 172''$ on the y-axis represent the east and west endpoints of S3.

Figure 12  The 3D magnetic configuration at 18:04:00 UT from the viewpoints of SDO (a) and STA (b) using PFSS modeling. The white and magenta lines represent the closed and open field, respectively. The red arrows indicate the directions of the prominence eruption.

et al., 2021). The reported inclination angle is between 45° and 90°. The non-radial eruption is attributed to the imbalance of the magnetic pressure of the high-lying field (Aulanier et al., 2010). In the current case, the apparent inclination angle of the flux rope is 60°. We obtain the global 3D magnetic configuration at 18:04:00 UT using potential-field source-surface (PFSS: Schrijver and De Rosa, 2003) modeling. Figure 12 shows the configuration from the viewpoint of SDO (a) and STA (b), respectively. Combining Figure 12 and Figure 3, it is revealed that the flux rope finds a way out where the magnetic field is weaker and escape becomes easier. This is consistent with the previous interpretation (Aulanier et al., 2010).
4.2. How Are the Transverse Loop Oscillations Excited?

Two decades have passed since the discovery of coronal-loop oscillations (see Li et al., 2020; Nakariakov and Kolotkov, 2020; Nakariakov et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021, and references therein). Kink oscillation has become a topic of great interest due to its advantages in diagnosing the coronal magnetic field (Yang et al., 2020). There are several candidates for the excitation of kink oscillations, such as flare-induced blast waves (Nakariakov et al., 1999; Zhang, 2020), large-scale coronal waves (Kumar et al., 2013), coronal jets (Dai et al., 2021), lower coronal eruptions/ejections (LCE: Zimovets and Nakariakov, 2015), coronal rain (Antolin and Verwichte, 2011), and reconnection outflows (Reeves et al., 2020). A common characteristic of the above excitation mechanisms is that the coronal loops start to oscillate after impact. In our case, a pressure depletion is created as a result of the non-radial flux-rope eruption. Hence, the transverse loop oscillations are driven by the strong magnetic-pressure gradient of the loops (see animaia.mp4), which is rarely noticed and reported. Numerical simulations are desired to justify this mechanism.

In Figure 9 and Figure 11, there are several oscillating threads with non-zero phase differences compared to the analyzed loops. This is probably because a bundle of loops with different lengths and periods oscillate simultaneously (White and Verwichte, 2012; Nisticò, Nakariakov, and Verwichte, 2013). We focus on oscillations with clear and complete signals. It is emphasized that our analysis has LOS limitations in classifying oscillations into horizontal and vertical types based on single-point observations. The possibility of elliptically polarized transverse oscillations decomposed into two linearly polarized modes with different periods could not be excluded. Forward modeling and multi-point observations are required to clarify the polarization of kink oscillations (White, Verwichte, and Foullon, 2012).

4.3. Magnetic Field Estimated from Coronal Seismology

To estimate the magnetic field of loops undergoing vertical oscillations, we use the observed periods and coronal seismology. The phase speed \([C_k]\) of the standing kink oscillation is determined by the loop length \([L]\) and the period (Nakariakov et al., 1999):

\[
C_k = \frac{2L}{P} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + \rho_e/\rho_i}} C_A,
\]

where \(C_A\) is the internal Alfvén speed, and \(\rho_e\) and \(\rho_i\) represent the external and internal plasma densities. The lengths of the oscillating loops are listed in the eighth column of Table 2 based on a semi-circular shape. The corresponding values for \(C_k\) and \(C_A\) are listed in the ninth and tenth columns, assuming that \(\rho_e/\rho_i = 0.1\) (Nakariakov and Ofman, 2001).

The magnetic-field strength of the oscillating loops is determined by \(\rho_i\) and \(C_A\), \(B = \sqrt{4\pi \rho_i C_A}\). Since the LOS depths of the oscillating loops are difficult to measure, we could not determine \(\rho_i\) precisely. Assuming that \(\rho_i\) is between \(1.1 \times 10^{-15}\) g cm\(^{-3}\) and \(1.1 \times 10^{-14}\) g cm\(^{-3}\), corresponding to the electron number density between \(0.7 \times 10^9\) cm\(^{-3}\) and \(7 \times 10^9\) cm\(^{-3}\) (e.g. Nakariakov and Ofman, 2001; Van Doorsselaere et al., 2008; Verwichte et al., 2009, 2013; Yuan and Van Doorsselaere, 2016; Dai et al., 2021), the magnetic-field strengths of the loops observed at different wavelengths are calculated and listed in the last column of Table 2. Hence, the magnetic fields of the vertically oscillating loops fall in the range of 12–43 G. It should be noted that the estimated magnetic field using coronal
seismology has large uncertainties. On the one hand, the plasma density could not be precisely determined, so that a wide range is adopted according to previous literature. On the other hand, the shape of the loops is unknown, so a simple semi-circular shape is employed. Besides, the apparent heights \( h \) and corresponding lengths \( L \) of the loops are lower limits of the true values. The estimations of \( C_k \), \( C_A \), and \( B \) in Table 2 are lower limits as well. Considering that the loops undergoing horizontal and vertical oscillations are heavily superposed along the LOS, the height of the oscillating loop in the horizontal direction is hard to determine. Therefore, the horizontal oscillation is not used for seismology.

5. Summary

In this article, we investigate the transverse coronal loop oscillations induced by the eruption of a prominence-carrying flux rope on 7 December 2012. The main results are as follows:

i) The flux rope originating from AR 11621 is observed in various EUV wavelengths, suggesting its multi-thermal nature. The early evolution of the flux rope is divided into two phases: a slow rise phase at a speed of \( \approx 230 \) km s\(^{-1} \) and a fast rise phase at a speed of \( \approx 706 \) km s\(^{-1} \). The eruption generates a C5.8 flare and the onset of the fast rise is consistent with the HXR peak time of the flare. The embedded prominence has a lower speed of \( \approx 452 \) km s\(^{-1} \). The propagation of the flux rope is in the southwest direction in the FOV of AIA. Hence, the inclination angle between the direction of flux-rope eruption and the local solar normal reaches \( \approx 60^\circ \), suggesting a typical non-radial eruption.

ii) During the early eruption of the flux rope, the nearby coronal loops are disturbed and experience kink-mode oscillations. The oscillation in the horizontal direction has an initial amplitude of \( \approx 3.1 \) Mm, a period of \( \approx 294 \) seconds, and a damping time of \( \approx 645 \) seconds. It is most striking in 171 Å and lasts for three to four cycles. The oscillations in the vertical directions are observed mainly in 171, 193, and 211 Å. The initial amplitudes are in the range of 3.4 – 5.2 Mm, with an average value of 4.5 Mm. The periods are between 407 seconds and 441 seconds, with an average value of 423 seconds (\( \approx 7 \) minutes). The oscillations are damping and last for nearly four cycles. The damping times are in the range of 570 – 1012 seconds, with an average value of 741 seconds.

iii) Assuming a semi-circular shape of the vertically oscillating loops, we calculate the loop lengths according to their heights. Using the observed periods, we carry out coronal seismology and estimate the internal Alfvén speeds (988 – 1145 km s\(^{-1} \)) and the magnetic-field strengths (12 – 43 G) of the oscillating loops.
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