CORRELATION OF SHIFTED VALUES OF $L$-FUNCTIONS IN THE HYPERELLIPTIC ENSEMBLE
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Abstract. The moments of quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions over function fields have recently attracted much attention with the work of Andrade and Keating. In this article, we establish lower bounds for the mean values of the product of quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions associated with hyperelliptic curves of genus $g$ over a fixed finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ in the large genus limit. By using the idea of A. Florea [14], we also obtain their upper bounds. As a consequence, we find upper bounds of its derivatives. These lower and upper bounds give the correlation of quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions associated with hyperelliptic curves with different transitions.

1. Introduction

The correlation of $L$-functions i.e., study of the mean values of product of shifted values of $L$-functions near the critical line has become central to number theory. Random matrix theory has recently become a fundamental tool for understanding the correlation of $L$-functions. Montgomery [22] showed that two-point correlations between the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann $\zeta$-function, on the scale of the mean zero spacing, are similar to the corresponding correlations between the eigenvalues of random unitary matrices in the limit of large matrix size and conjectured that these correlations are, in fact, identical to each other.

Keating and Snaith [21] suggested that the value distribution of the Riemann zeta function on its critical line is related to that the characteristic polynomials of random unitary matrices. Conjectures for the moments of $L$-functions have been attempted for many decades, with very little progress until the random matrix theory came into the subject.

The main observation is that the structure of the mean values of $L$-functions is more clearly revealed if one considers the average of a product of $L$-functions, where each $L$-function is evaluated at a location slightly shifted from the critical point.

In this article, we discuss about the moments and correlation of Riemann zeta function (belonging to unitary family), Dirichlet $L$-functions (contained in the symplectic family) and quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions associated with hyperelliptic curves of large genus over a fixed finite field which are also members of the symplectic family. These families and their random matrix analogs have been discussed from the perspective of the leading terms in the asymptotic expressions by several authors (see [8], [9], [10], [3], [20], [21], [11] and [19]).

Our main goal of this article is to establish lower and upper bounds for the correlation of shifted values of quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions near the critical line associated to the hyperelliptic curves of large genus over a fixed finite field.
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1.1. **Moments of the Riemann Zeta function.** A classical question in the theory of Riemann zeta function is to determine the asymptotic behaviour of

\[ M_k(T) := \int_1^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} \, dt, \]

where \( k \in \mathbb{C} \), as \( T \to \infty \). It is believed that for a given positive real number \( k \),

\[ M_k(T) \sim c_k T (\log T)^{k^2}, \]

where \( c_k \) is a positive constant. Ramachandra [27] showed that

\[ M_k(T) \gg T (\log T)^{k^2}, \]

for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Using moments of characteristic polynomials of random matrices, Keating and Snaith [20], conjectured an exact value of \( c_k \) for \( \Re(k) > -\frac{1}{2} \). Assuming the Riemann hypothesis (RH), Soundararajan [33] showed that for every positive real number \( k \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ M_k(T) \ll_{k,\varepsilon} c_k T (\log T)^{k^2 + \varepsilon} \tag{1.1} \]

and Harper [17] removed the exponent \( \varepsilon \) in the bound of (1.1).

A generalization of the moments of \( \zeta(s) \) are the shifted moments, defined as

\[ M_{k^{(m)}}(T, \alpha^{(m)}) := \int_1^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it + i\alpha_1)|^{2k_1} \cdots |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it + i\alpha_m)|^{2k_m} \, dt, \]

where \( k^{(m)} = (k_1, \ldots, k_m) \) is a sequence of positive real numbers and \( \alpha^{(m)} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) with \( \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \) for \( i \neq j \). In [7], Chandee obtained lower and upper bounds of \( M_{k^{(m)}}(T, \alpha^{(m)}) \) for some special choices of \( \alpha^{(m)} \) and for a large values of \( T \). More precisely, she proved assuming the RH,

\[ M_{k^{(m)}}(T, \alpha^{(m)}) \ll_{k^{(m)},\varepsilon} T (\log T)^{k_1^2 + \cdots + k_m^2 + \varepsilon} \prod_{i<j} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|}, \log T \right\} \right)^{2k_i k_j} \]

and unconditionally

\[ M_{k^{(m)}}(T, \alpha^{(m)}) \gg_{k^{(m)},\alpha^{(m)}} T (\log T)^{k_1^2 + \cdots + k_m^2} \prod_{i<j} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|}, \log T \right\} \right)^{2k_i k_j}, \]

for sufficiently large \( T \).

The moments of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function were studied by several mathematicians. An analog of Soundararajan’s estimate (1.1) for the derivatives of Riemann zeta function was obtained by Milinovich [24]. Under the RH, he showed that for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ \int_1^T |\zeta^{(l)}(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} \, dt \ll_{k,l,\varepsilon} T (\log T)^{k^2 + 2kl + \varepsilon}, \]

where \( k, l \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \zeta^{(l)} \) is the \( l \)-th derivative of \( \zeta \).
1.2. Moments of quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions. Let $\chi_d$ be a real primitive Dirichlet character modulo $d$ given by the Kronecker symbol $\chi_d(n) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$. It is interesting to determine the asymptotic behaviour of $\sum_{0<d\leq D} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_d\right)^k$ as $D \to \infty$. Extending their approach to the zeta function, using random matrix theory, Keating and Snaith [21] made the following conjecture about the asymptotic behaviour of moments of Dirichlet $L$-functions $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_d\right)$.

**Conjecture** (Keating, Snaith). For $k$ fixed with $\Re(k) \geq 0$, as $D \to \infty$
\[
\frac{1}{D} \sum_{|d| \leq D}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_d\right)^k \sim c_k \left(\log D\right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}},
\]
where $c_k$ is a positive constant and $\sum^*$ indicates that the sum is over fundamental discriminants.

In [30], Rudnick and Soundararajan obtained that for any rational number $k \geq 1$,
\[
\frac{1}{D} \sum_{|d| \leq D}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_d\right)^k \gg_k \left(\log D\right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}.
\]

Assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH), Soundararajan established that for any positive real number $k$ and $\epsilon > 0$,
\[
\frac{1}{D} \sum_{|d| \leq D}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_d\right)^k \ll_{k, \epsilon} \left(\log D\right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \epsilon}.
\]

In general, it is important to find asymptotic behaviour of the following correlation of shifted values of Dirichlet $L$-functions:
\[
S_{k(m)}(\alpha^{(m)}, D) := \sum_{d \leq D}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_d\right)^{k_1} \cdots L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_m, \chi_d\right)^{k_m},
\]
where $k^{(m)} = (k_1, \ldots, k_m)$ be a sequence of real numbers and $\alpha^{(m)} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ be a sequence of complex numbers with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Conrey et al. [9] gave a conjecture on the asymptotic behaviour of $S_{k^{(m)}}(\alpha^{(m)}, D)$. Analogous questions for higher degree $L$-functions have been studied by Milinovich and Turnage-Butterbaugh [20].

1.3. Moments of $L$-functions in the hyperelliptic ensemble. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of odd cardinality and $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ be the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in variable $t$. Let $D \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ be a monic square-free polynomial. The quadratic character $\chi_D$ attached to $D$ is defined using quadratic residue symbol for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ by $\chi_D(f) = \left(\frac{f}{D}\right)$ and the corresponding Dirichlet $L$-function is denoted by $L(s, \chi_D)$. It is often convenient to work with the equivalent $L$-function $L(u, \chi_D)$ written in terms of the variable $u = q^{-s}$.

Define hyperelliptic ensemble $\mathcal{H}_{n,q}$ or simply $\mathcal{H}_n$ as
\[
\mathcal{H}_n = \{ D \in \mathbb{F}_q[t] : D \text{ is monic, square free, and } \deg(D) = n \}.
\]
For each $D$ in the Hyperelliptic ensemble $\mathcal{H}_n$, there is an associated hyperelliptic curve given by $C_D : y^2 = D(t)$. These curve are non-singular and of genus $g$ given by
\[
2g = n - 1 - \lambda,
\]
Andrade and Keating [3] conjectured that as \( g \to \infty \),
\[
L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^k = q^{g^2+1} (P_k(2g+1) + o(1)),
\]
where \( P_k \) is a polynomial of degree \( \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \). Assuming \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), the conjecture (1.3) is known for \( k = 1 \) from the work of Andrade [2], and the error term in the asymptotic formula was improved by Florea [12]. In [13, 14], Florea also proved the conjecture (1.3) for \( k = 2, 3 \) and 4 assuming \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). For \( n = 2g + 2 \), Jung [18] obtained that
\[
\left| \sum_{\mathcal{H}_{2g+2}} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D) = P(1)(g+1) + \frac{P(1)}{\log q} - P(1)\zeta_k \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) + O \left( 2^{g+1}q^{-\frac{2}{3}} \right), \right|
\]
where \( P(s) = \prod_p (1 - (1 + |P|)^{-1}|P|^{-s}) \) and \( \zeta_k \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \) is defined in Section 2.

Andrade [1] established the following lower bound:

**Theorem 1.1 (Andrade).** For every even natural number \( k \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}_n|} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^k \gg_k n^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}.
\]

On the other hand, A. Florea [14, Theorem 2.7] found the following upper bound for a single shifted \( L \)-function associated with hyperelliptic curves:

**Theorem 1.2 (Florea).** Let \( \nu = e^{i\theta} \), with \( \theta \in [0, \pi) \). Then for every positive \( k \) and any \( \epsilon > 0 \),
\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1}} |\mathcal{L} \left( \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{q}}, \chi_D \right) |^k \ll_{k, \epsilon} q^{2g+1} \nu^\epsilon \exp \left( k \mathcal{M}(\nu, g) + \frac{k^2}{2} \mathcal{V}(\nu, g) \right),
\]
where \( \mathcal{M}(\nu, g) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \min \{ g, \frac{1}{2\theta} \} \right) \) and \( \mathcal{V}(\nu, g) = \mathcal{M}(\nu, g) + \frac{1}{2} \log g \).

1.3.1. **Shifted moments and main results.** In this article, for fixed \( m \)-tuple \( k^{(m)} = (k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \), we shall investigate the following mean values of the product of \( m \)-shifted quadratic Dirichlet \( L \)-functions:
\[
S_n(\nu^{(m)}, k^{(m)}) := \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_1}{q_1^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{2k_1} \cdots \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_m}{q_m^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_m}}, \chi_D \right)^{2k_m},
\]
where \( \nu^{(m)} = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \) with \( v_j = e^{i\theta_j}, \theta_j \in (0, \pi] \) and \( \alpha_j \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, m \). Also, \( \theta_j = \theta_j(g) \) is a real valued function of \( g \) such that \( \lim_{g \to \infty} g |\theta_j| \) and for \( i \neq j \), \( \lim_{g \to \infty} g |\theta_i - \theta_j| \) exists or equals \( \infty \). Note that one can obtain the moments of \( \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{q}}, \chi_D \right) \) by allowing the shifts \( \alpha_j \) to tend to \( 0 \).
Throughout the article, we follow that $n$ and $g$ are connected via \textsuperscript{[1,2]}. Before stating our main results, let us define

\begin{align}
\mu(v^{(m)}, g) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j \log \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_j|}, g \right\} \right), \\
\sigma(v^{(m)}, g) &= 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j^2 \right) \log g + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j^2 \log \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_j|}, g \right\} \right) \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{i<j} k_i k_j \left( \log \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_i| - \theta_j|}, g \right\} \right) + \log \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_i + \theta_j|}, g \right\} \right) \right).
\end{align}

For $m = 2$, we set

\begin{align}
W &= \{ j \in \{1, 2\} : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_j| < \infty \} \text{ and } W^c = \{ j \in \{1, 2\} : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_j| = \infty \}.
\end{align}

We also define a constant depending on $W$ and $W^c$ as

\begin{align}
c_{v^{(2)}} &= \max \left\{ \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1|, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_2|, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 - \theta_2|, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 + \theta_2| \right\},
\end{align}

where maximum is taken only over the finite entries of the set.

**Remark 1.** If $|W| = 2$ and $|W^c| = 0$ then

\begin{align}
c_{v^{(2)}} &= \max \left\{ \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1|, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_2|, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 - \theta_2|, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 + \theta_2| \right\}.
\end{align}

If $W = \{1\}$ and $W^c = \{2\}$ then $c_{v^{(2)}} = \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1|$. If $W = \emptyset$ and $\lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 - \theta_2| < \infty$, then $c_{v^{(2)}} = \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 - \theta_2|$. When none of the limit is finite then $c_{v^{(2)}}$ is an absolute constant.

We obtain a lower bound (of the conjectured order of magnitude\textsuperscript{[1]} for $S_n(v^{(2)}, k^{(2)})$ in the large degree limit i.e. when $n$ is sufficiently large and $q$ is fixed.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $k^{(2)}$ and $v^{(2)}$ be as earlier. Assume that $\alpha_j = O \left( \frac{1}{g} \right)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then for $n$ large,

\begin{align}
\frac{1}{|H_n|} \sum_{D \in H_n} \left| L \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_1}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k_1} \left| L \left( \frac{v_2}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_2}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k_2} \gg k^{(2)}, c_{v^{(2)}} \exp \left( \mu(v^{(2)}, g) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(v^{(2)}, g) \right),
\end{align}

where $\mu(v^{(2)}, g)$, $\sigma(v^{(2)}, g)$ and $c_{v^{(2)}}$ are defined by \textsuperscript{[1.5]}, \textsuperscript{[1.6]} and \textsuperscript{[1.8]} respectively.

In this article, we will provide the complete proof of the Theorem \textsuperscript{1.3} and from observations in the footnotes \textsuperscript{2, 5, 7}, one can easily extend Theorem \textsuperscript{1.3} to the following form.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $k^{(m)}$ and $v^{(m)}$ be as earlier. Assume that $\alpha_j = O \left( \frac{1}{g} \right)$ for all $j$. Then for $n$ large,

\begin{align}
\frac{1}{|H_n|} \sum_{D \in H_n} \left| L \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_1}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k_1} \cdots \left| L \left( \frac{v_m}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_m}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k_m} \gg k^{(m)}, v^{(m)} \exp \left( \mu(v^{(m)}, g) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(v^{(m)}, g) \right),
\end{align}

where $\mu(v^{(m)}, g)$ and $\sigma(v^{(m)}, g)$ are defined by \textsuperscript{[1.5]} and \textsuperscript{[1.6]} respectively.

\textsuperscript{1}The conjectural order of magnitude of these $L$-functions in the hyperelliptic ensemble can be compared with the autocorrelation of the random matrix polynomials (for example, see \textsuperscript{[10]}, Eqs. (3.6) and (4.19)).
We also establish an upper bound of nearly the conjectured order of magnitude for the sum \( S_n(v^{(m)}, k^{(m)}) \).

**Theorem 1.5.** With the assumption as in the Theorem 1.4, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \),
\[
\frac{1}{|H_n|} \sum_{D \in H_n} \left| \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_1}{q_1^2 + \alpha_1}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k_1} \cdots \left| \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_m}{q_m^2 + \alpha_m}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k_m} \ll_{k^{(m)}, \epsilon} n^\epsilon \exp \left( \mu \left( v^{(m)}, g \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma \left( v^{(m)}, g \right) \right),
\]
where \( \mu \left( v^{(m)}, g \right) \) and \( \sigma \left( v^{(m)}, g \right) \) are defined by (1.5) and (1.6) respectively.

Theorem 1.5 is also true for any fixed sequence \( k^{(m)} \) of positive real numbers. Let us define \( \mathcal{L}^{(l)}(u, \chi_D) \) as the \( l \)-th derivative of \( \mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) \). As an important consequence of Theorem 1.5 we have the following upper bound.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). For \( n \) large, we have
\[
\sum_{D \in H_n} \left| \mathcal{L}^{(l)} \left( q^{-1/2}, \chi_D \right) \right|^k \ll_{k, l, \epsilon} |H_n| g^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)+lk+\epsilon}.
\]

**Corollary 1.7.** Let \( \epsilon > 0 \). For \( n \) large, we have
\[
\frac{1}{|H_{2g+2}|} \sum_{D \in H_{2g+2}} \left| \mathcal{L} \left( q^{-1/2}, \chi_D \right) \right|^k \ll_{k, \epsilon} g^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)+\epsilon}.
\]

Similarly, Theorem 1.6 provide upper bound for \( k \)-th moment of \( \mathcal{L}^{(m)}(q^{-1/2}, \chi_D) \) with \( D \in H_{2g+1} \) and \( D \in H_{2g+2} \). More precisely,

**Corollary 1.8.** Let \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). For \( n \) large, we have
\[
\sum_{D \in H_{2g+1}} \left| \mathcal{L}^{(l)} \left( q^{-1/2}, \chi_D \right) \right|^k \ll_{k, l, \epsilon} q^{2g+1} g^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)+lk+\epsilon},
\]
\[
\sum_{D \in H_{2g+2}} \left| \mathcal{L}^{(l)} \left( q^{-1/2}, \chi_D \right) \right|^k \ll_{k, l, \epsilon} q^{2g+2} g^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)+lk+\epsilon}.
\]

Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 can be related to the main results of [7] and [25]. In fact we can say that \( \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_1}{q_1^2 + \alpha_1}, \chi_D \right) \) and \( \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_2}{q_2^2 + \alpha_2}, \chi_D \right) \) are essentially correlated when \( |\theta_j| > \frac{1}{g} \) for \( j = 1, 2 \) and independent when one of \( \theta_j \)’s is much larger than \( \frac{1}{g} \). More precisely, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.9.** Let \( W \) and \( W^c \) be defined by (1.7). For every \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( n \) large,
\[
\sum_{D \in H_n} \left| \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_1}{q_1^2 + \alpha_1}, \chi_D \right) \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_2}{q_2^2 + \alpha_2}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k} \ll_{k, \epsilon}.
\]
The zeta function of (2.1) with a prime $p$ use [28] as a general reference.

Corollary 1.10. With the assumption as in Corollary 1.9 for $n$ large, we have

$$
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right) \right| \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_2}{q^{1+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right) \right|^{2k} \begin{cases} 
\left| \mathcal{H}_n \right| g^{2k(1k+1)+\varepsilon} & \text{if } |W| = 2, \\
\left| \mathcal{H}_n \right| g^{2k^2+k+\varepsilon} & \text{if } W = \{1\}, W^c = \{2\}, \\
\left| \mathcal{H}_n \right| g^{2k^2+k+\varepsilon} & \text{if } |W^c| = 2, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 - \theta_2| = \infty, \\
\left| \mathcal{H}_n \right| g^{2k^2+k+\varepsilon} & \text{if } |W^c| = 2, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 - \theta_2| < \infty, \\
\left| \mathcal{H}_n \right| g^{2k^2+k+\varepsilon} & \text{if } |W^c| = 2, \lim_{g \to \infty} g|\theta_1 - \theta_2| < \infty.
\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2. Corollary 1.9 and 1.10 gives the lower and upper bound over all monic square-free polynomials of both even and odd degree near the critical line.

2. Background for L-functions over function fields

We begin this section with some preliminaries of $L$-functions over function fields. We will use [28] as a general reference.

2.1. Basic facts on $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. We start by fixing a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of odd cardinality $q = p^r$, $r \geq 1$ with a prime $p$. We denote by $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For a polynomial $f$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, its degree will be denoted by either $\deg(f)$ or $d(f)$.

The set of all monic polynomials and monic irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ are denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{n,q}$ (or simply $\mathcal{M}_n$ as we fix $q$) and $\mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ (or simply $\mathcal{P}_n$) respectively. Let $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_n$ and $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_n$. we also denote the set of all monic polynomials and monic irreducible polynomials of degree less or equal to $n$ by $\mathcal{M}_{\leq n,q}$ (or simply $\mathcal{M}_{\leq n}$) and $\mathcal{P}_{\leq n,q}$ (or simply $\mathcal{P}_{\leq n}$) respectively. Let $\mathcal{H}_n$ denotes the set of monic square-free polynomials of degree $n$. Observe that for $n \geq 1$, $|\mathcal{M}_n| = q^n$ and

$$
|\mathcal{H}_n| = \begin{cases} 
q, & \text{if } n = 1, \\
q^{n-1}(q - 1), & \text{if } n \geq 2.
\end{cases}
$$

If $f$ is a non-zero polynomial $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, we define the norm of $f$ to be $|f| = q^{d(f)}$. If $f = 0$, we set $|f| = 0$. The prime polynomial theorem (see [28], Theorem 2.2) states that

$$
|\mathcal{P}_{n,q}| = \frac{q^n}{n} + O\left( \frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n} \right).
$$

The zeta function of $\mathbb{A}$, denoted by $\zeta_{\mathbb{A}}(s)$ and is defined by

$$
\zeta_{\mathbb{A}}(s) := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{|f|^s} = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( 1 - |P|^{-s} \right)^{-1}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.
$$
One can easily prove that \( \zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{1-q^s} \), and this provides an analytic continuation of zeta function to the complex plane with a simple pole at \( s = 1 \). Using the change of variable \( u = q^{-s} \),

\[
\mathcal{Z}(u) = \sum_{f \in M} u^{d(f)} = \frac{1}{1-qu}, \quad \text{if } |u| < \frac{1}{q}.
\]

### 2.2. Quadratic Dirichlet character and properties of their \( L \)-functions.

For a monic irreducible polynomial \( P \), the quadratic residue symbol \( \left( \frac{f}{P} \right) \) is defined by

\[
\left( \frac{f}{P} \right) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } f \text{ is a square (mod } P), \ P \nmid f \\
-1, & \text{if } f \text{ is not a square (mod } P), \ P \nmid f \\
0, & \text{if } P \mid f.
\end{cases}
\]

For monic square-free polynomial \( D \in \mathbb{F}_q[t] \), the symbol \( \left( \frac{D}{f} \right) \) is defined by extending the above residue symbol multiplicatively. We denote the quadratic Dirichlet character \( \chi_D \) by

\[
\chi_D(f) = \left( \frac{D}{f} \right).
\]

The \( L \)-function associated to the quadratic Dirichlet character \( \chi_D \) is defined by

\[
L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{f \in M} \frac{\chi_D(f)}{|f|^s} = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( 1 - \chi_D(P) |P|^{-s} \right)^{-1}, \ \Re(s) > 1.
\]

Using the change of variable \( u = q^{-s} \), we have

\[
\mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) = \sum_{f \in M} \chi_D(f) u^{d(f)} = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( 1 - \chi_D(P) u^{d(P)} \right)^{-1}, \ |u| < \frac{1}{q}.
\]

By [28, Proposition 4.3], we see that if \( n \geq d(D) \) then

\[
\sum_{f \in M_n} \chi_D(f) = 0.
\]

It implies that \( \mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( d(D) - 1 \). From [29], \( \mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) \) has a trivial zero at \( u = 1 \) if and only if \( d(D) \) is even. This allows us to define the completed \( L \)-function as

\[
L(s, \chi_D) = \mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) = (1-u)^\lambda \mathcal{L}^*(u, \chi_D) = (1-q^{-s})^\lambda L^*(s, \chi_D),
\]

where

\[
\lambda = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } d(D) \text{ even}, \\
0, & \text{if } d(D) \text{ odd},
\end{cases}
\]

and \( \mathcal{L}^*(u, \chi_D) \) is a polynomial of degree

\[
2g = d(D) - 1 - \lambda
\]

satisfying the functional equation

\[
\mathcal{L}^*(u, \chi_D) = (qu^2)^g \mathcal{L}^* \left( \frac{1}{qu}, \chi_D \right)
\]

Because \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}^* \) are polynomial in \( u \), it is convenient to define

\[
L^*(s, \chi_D) = \mathcal{L}^*(u, \chi_D)
\]
so that the above functional equation can be rewritten as
\[ L^*(s, \chi_D) = q^{(1-2s)g} L^*(1-s, \chi_D). \]
The Riemann hypothesis for curve over finite fields, established by Weil [35], asserts that all the non-trivial zero of \( L^*(u, \chi_D) \) are lie on the circle \(|u| = q^{-1/2}\), i.e,
\[ L^*(u, \chi_D) = \prod_{j=1}^{2g} (1 - u \nu_j) \quad \text{with} \quad |\nu_j| = \sqrt{q} \quad \text{for all} \ j. \]
One can define the completed \( L \)-function in the following way. Set
\[ X_D(s) = |D|^{\frac{s}{2}} X(s), \]
where
\[ X(s) = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{s-\frac{1}{2}}{2}}, & \text{if} \ d(D) \text{ odd} \\ \frac{1-q^{-s}}{1-q^{-1}} q^{-1+2s}, & \text{if} \ d(D) \text{ even}. \end{cases} \]
Let us consider
\[ \Lambda(s, \chi_D) = L(s, \chi_D) X_D(s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \]
Then \( \Lambda(s, \chi_D) \) satisfies the symmetric functional equation
\[ \Lambda(s, \chi_D) = \Lambda(1-s, \chi_D). \]

2.3. Spectral Interpretation. Let \( C \) be a non-singular projective curve over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of genus \( g \). For each extension field of degree \( k \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), denote by \( N_k(C) \) the number of points of \( C \) in \( \mathbb{F}_{q^k} \). Then, the zeta function associated to \( C \) defined as
\[ Z_C(u) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k(C) \frac{u^k}{k} \right), \quad |u| < \frac{1}{q}, \]
is known to be a rational function of \( u \) of the form
\[ Z_C(u) = \frac{P_C(u)}{(1-u)(1-qu)}. \]
Additionally, we know that \( P_C(u) \) is a polynomial of degree \( 2g \) with integer coefficients, satisfying a functional equation
\[ P_C(u) = (qu^2)^g P_C \left( \frac{1}{qu} \right). \]
The Riemann Hypothesis, proved by Weil [35], says that the zeros of \( P_C(u) \) all lie on the circle \(|u| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\). Thus one may give a spectral interpretation of \( P_C(u) \) as the characteristic polynomial of a \( 2g \times 2g \) unitary matrix \( \Theta_C \):
\[ P_C(u) = \det \left( I - u \sqrt{q} \Theta_C \right). \]
Thus the eigenvalues \( e^{i\theta_j} \) of \( \Theta_C \) correspond to the zeros, \( q^{-1/2} e^{-i\theta_j} \), of \( Z_C(u) \). The matrix \( \Theta_C \) is called the unitarized Frobenius class of \( C \).
To put this in the context of our case, note that, for a family of hyperelliptic curves \( C_D : y^2 = D(t) \) of genus \( g \), the numerator of the zeta function \( Z_C(u) \) associated to \( C_D \) is coincide with the \( L \)-function \( L^*(u, \chi_D) \), i.e., \( P_C(u) = L^*(u, \chi_D) \).
3. Preliminary Lemmas

We start with an analog of approximate functional equation for \( L(s, \chi_D) \). Recall that \( 2g = n - 1 - \lambda \) where \( \lambda \) is defined as in (2.2).

**Lemma 3.1** (Approximate functional equation). Let \( \chi_D \) be a quadratic Dirichlet character, where \( D \in \mathcal{H}_n \). Then for \( 1/2 < s < 1 \),
\[
L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M} \leq n} \frac{\chi_D(f)}{|f|^s} + X_D(s) \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M} \leq n} \frac{\chi_D(f)}{|f|^{1-s}} - \lambda q^{-s(g+1)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M} \leq n} \chi_D(f) - \lambda X_D(s) q^{-(1-s)g} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M} \leq n} \chi_D(f),
\]
where \( X_D(s) \) is defined by (2.4) respectively.

**Proof.** The case \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) is proved in [2] for \( D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1} \) and [18] for \( D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+2} \). Their methods can be easily generalized for any \( s \in (1/2, 1) \).

The following lemma gives an asymptotic formula for a square polynomial in hyperelliptic ensemble.

**Lemma 3.2.** For \( f \in \mathcal{M} \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}_n|} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \chi_D(f^2) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1} + O(|\mathcal{H}_n|^{-1}).
\]

**Proof.** See [6], Lemma 3.7 for \( n = 2g + 1 \). To get the result for \( n = 2g + 2 \), it is a small adaptation of their proof.

The following lemma is an analog of Polya-Vinogradov inequality over function fields.

**Lemma 3.3** (Polya-Vinogradov inequality). For \( l \in \mathcal{M} \) not a perfect square, let \( l = l_1 l_2^2 \) with \( l_1 \) square-free. Then for any \( \epsilon > 0 \),
\[
\left| \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \chi_D(l) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} \sqrt{|\mathcal{H}_n| |l_1|^{\epsilon}}.
\]

**Proof.** One can easily generalize the above inequality which was proved in [6], Lemma 3.5 for \( n = 2g + 1 \). Here we give a different proof in the above form for completeness.

First assume that \( l_1 = P_1 P_2 \ldots P_k \), where \( P_j \)'s are distinct prime polynomials, and \( \deg(l_1) \leq n \). Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5 in [6], which in particular case \( k = 2 \), one can show:
\[
\left| \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \chi_D(l) \right| = \left| \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \chi_D(l_1) \right| \leq \frac{g^{k-1}(d(P_1) + \ldots + d(P_k))}{d(P_1) \ldots d(P_k)} |l_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} \ll_{\epsilon} \sqrt{|\mathcal{H}_n| |l_1|^{\epsilon}}.
\]

Finally let \( \deg(l_1) > n \). We combine Lemma 3.1 of [6] and Lemma 3.5 of [6] to obtain
\[
\left| \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \chi_D(l_1) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} \sqrt{|\mathcal{H}_n| |l_1|^{\epsilon}}.
\]

The following lemma gives an upper bound for the logarithm of \( \mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) \) inside the critical region.
Lemma 3.4. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $v = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ and $N$ be a positive integer. Then for $D \in \mathcal{H}_n$, 
\[
\log \left| L \left( \frac{v}{q^{n+1}}, \chi_D \right) \right| \leq \frac{2q}{N+1} \log \left( \frac{1 + q^{-\alpha(N+1)}}{1 + q^{-2(N+1)}} \right) + \Re \sum_{d(f) \leq N} \frac{a_\alpha(d(f)) \chi_D(f) \Lambda(f)v^{d(f)}}{|f|^2} + O(1),
\]
where 
\[
a_\alpha(d(f)) = \frac{1}{d(f)} - \frac{1}{d(f)|f|^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{(N+1)q^{(N+1)\alpha}} \right), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq d(f) \leq N.
\]

Proof. From the functional equation (2.6), we observe that 
\[
|\Lambda(\alpha + it, \chi_D)| = \frac{|\Lambda \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} - it, \chi_D \right) \Lambda(1 - \alpha - it, \chi_D)|}{\Lambda \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} + it, \chi_D \right)} = \frac{|\Lambda \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} - it, \chi_D \right)| |\Lambda(1 + \alpha + it, \chi_D)|}{|\Lambda \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} + it, \chi_D \right)|}.
\]
Recall that 
\[
L(\alpha + it, \chi_D) = (1 - q^{\alpha - it})^\lambda L^*(\alpha + it, \chi_D).
\]
Note that $|L^* \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} - it, \chi_D \right)| \sim 1$. Using the expression (2.5) for $\Lambda(s, \chi_D)$, we get 
\[
|L(\alpha + it, \chi_D)| = q^{\alpha(5 - 2\alpha)} |1 - q^{\alpha - it}|^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^{2q} \left( \frac{q^{2\alpha - 1} - 1 - 2q^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \cos(2\pi \theta_j - t \log q)}{q^4 + 1 - 2q^2 \cos(2\pi \theta_j - t \log q)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]
Since 
\[
q^{2\alpha - 1} - 1 - 2q^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \cos(2\pi \theta_j - t \log q) = (q^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} - 1})^2 + 4q^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \sin^2 \left( \frac{2\pi \theta_j - t \log q}{2} \right)
\]
with a similar expression holding for the denominator, it follows that 
\[
\log |L(\alpha + it, \chi_D)| = g \left( \frac{5}{2} - \alpha \right) \log q - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2q} \log \left( \frac{a^2 + \sin^2(\pi \theta_j - \frac{t \log q}{2})}{b^2 + \sin^2(\pi \theta_j - \frac{t \log q}{2})} \right) + O(1),
\]
where 
\[
a = \frac{q^2 - 1}{2q}, \quad b = \frac{q^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} - 1}}{2q^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}},
\]
The remaining part of the proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 8.1 in [14] proved by A. Florea.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$, then we have 
\[
\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\cos(\theta m)}{m} \leq \log \left( \min \left\{ n, \frac{1}{|\theta|} \right\} \right) + O(1).
\]

Proof. See [14], Lemma 9.1.

Lemma 3.6. Let $k$, $y$ be integers such that $2ky \leq n$. For any complex numbers $\{a(P)\}_{P \in P}$, we have 
\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| \sum_{d(P) \leq y} \frac{a(P)\chi_D(P)}{|P|^2} \right|^{2k} \ll \mathcal{H}_n \left( \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{d(P) \leq y} \frac{|a(P)|^2}{|P|^2} \right)^{k}.
\]

Proof. This is an easy generalization of the Lemma 8.4 of [14] and Lemma 6.3 of [34].
During the study of our main theorems it seems interesting to estimate the following bounds for the zeta function over function fields. This is an analog of bounding the Riemann zeta function near to 1-line.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( v = e^{i\theta} \), where \( \theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \). Let \( C \) be a circle of radius \( \tilde{r} \) centred at \( \frac{1}{q} \), where

\[
\tilde{r} = \lim_{g \to \infty} g |\theta| < \infty.
\]

For any \( u \) in \( C \), we have

\[
Z(uv) \ll g \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{g \to \infty} g |\theta| < \infty.
\]

For any \( u \) such that \( |u - \frac{1}{q}| = O(1/g) \), we have

\[
Z(uv) \ll \frac{1}{|\theta|} \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{g \to \infty} g |\theta| = \infty.
\]

**Proof.** First assume that \( \theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \) be such that \( \lim_{g \to \infty} g |\theta| = \infty \). Then using \( |u - \frac{1}{q}| = O(1/g) \), we have the following estimates:

\[
\left| \frac{v}{1-v} (1 - quv) \right| = o(1)
\]

and

\[
|(1-v)^{-1}| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta|}.
\]

Thus

\[
|Z(uv)| = |(1-quv)^{-1}| = \left| (1-v)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{v}{1-v} (1-quv) \right)^{-1} \right| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta|}.
\]

Finally let \( \theta \) be such that \( \lim_{g \to \infty} g |\theta| < \infty \). Then \( |u - \frac{1}{q}| \leq \tilde{r} \). We use the change of variable \( u = q^{-s} \) to get the hypothesis of the form \( |s - 1| \leq \tilde{r} \). Since

\[
Z(uv) = \sum_{f \in M} (uv)^{\deg(f)},
\]

it is enough to show that

\[
\sum_{f \in M} \frac{1}{|f|^{1+\tilde{r}/g - i\theta/\log q}} = O(g).
\]

Therefore using Lemma 3.5 and the prime polynomial theorem, we obtain

\[
\log \left| \sum_{f \in M} \frac{1}{|f|^{1+\tilde{r}/g - i\theta/\log q}} \right| = \Re \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \frac{1}{P^{1+\tilde{r}/g - i\theta/\log q}} + O(1) \right) = \Re \sum_{n \leq g} \frac{1}{n^{\tilde{r}/g - i\theta/\log q}} + O(1)
\]

\[
= \Re \sum_{n \leq g} \frac{q^{\frac{\pi \theta}{\log q} n}}{n} - \Re \sum_{n \leq g} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n q^{\frac{\pi \theta}{\log q}}} \right) q^{\frac{\pi \theta}{\log q}} + \Re \sum_{n > g} \frac{q^{\frac{\pi \theta}{\log q}}}{n q^{\frac{\pi \theta}{\log q}}} + O(1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{n \leq g} \frac{\cos(n\theta)}{n} + O(1) \leq \log \left( \min \left\{ g, \frac{1}{|\theta|} \right\} \right) \leq \log g,
\]

and the lemma’s proof is concluded. \( \square \)
4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Throughout this section, for the sake of simplicity, we write \( v^{(2)} \) and \( k^{(2)} \) simply as \( v \) and \( k \) respectively. For any \( k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \), we write

\[
L_* \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_1} L_* \left( \frac{v_2}{q^{1/2+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_2} = \sum_{f \in M} a_f \frac{\chi_D(f)}{|f|^{1/2}},
\]

where

\[
a_f = \sum_{f_1 f_2 = f} \frac{\tau_{k_1}(f_1) \overline{\tau_{k_2}(f_2)}}{|f_1|^{\alpha_1} |f_2|^{\alpha_2}} e^{i(\theta_1 d(f_1) + \theta_2 d(f_2))}.
\]

We start by defining the following truncated \( L \)-function which is an analog of Dirichlet polynomials over number fields:

\[
L_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}(v, \chi_D) := \sum_{f \in M_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}X} a_f \frac{\chi_D(f)}{|f|^{1/2}},
\]

where \( a_f \) is defined by (4.2) and the parameter \( X \) will be chosen later. We call \( X \) as point of truncation of (1.1).

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| L \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_1} L \left( \frac{v_2}{q^{1/2+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_2} - L_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}(v, \chi_D) \right|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| L \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_1} L \left( \frac{v_2}{q^{1/2+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_2} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| L_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}(v, \chi_D) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Therefore, we obtain

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| L \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_1} L \left( \frac{v_2}{q^{1/2+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_2} \right|^2 \geq \frac{S_1^2}{S_2},
\]

where

\[
S_1 := \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| L \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_1} L \left( \frac{v_2}{q^{1/2+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_2} - L_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}(v, \chi_D) \right|^2
\]

and

\[
S_2 := \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| L_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}(v, \chi_D) \right|^2.
\]

Now we establish an asymptotic formula for \( S_2 \) and a lower bound for \( S_1 \).

4.1. Estimation of the sum \( S_2 \). Inserting the \( D \)-sum after expanding square in \( S_2 \), we get

\[
S_2 = \sum_{f \in M_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}X} \sum_{f' \in M_{\leq (k_1 + k_2)}X} \frac{a_f a_{f'}}{|ff'|^{1/2}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \chi_D(ff').
\]
Case 1. Assume that $ff' \neq \Box$. Observe that $a_f \ll |f|^\epsilon$ and using Lemma 3.3 we obtain that

$$S_2 \ll \sqrt{|H_n|} \sum_{f \in M \leq (k_1 + k_2)X} \frac{1}{|f|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ll \sqrt{|H_n|} q^{2(\frac{4}{3} + \epsilon)(k_1 + k_2)}.$$ 

Let us choose $X = \frac{g}{2(k_1 + k_2)}$ So, we have

$$S_2 \ll q^{\frac{5}{3} + \epsilon}.$$ 

Case 2. Assume that $ff' = \Box = l^2$, where $l \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$. By using Lemma 3.2 and $\tau_k(f) \ll |f|^\epsilon$,

$$S_2 = |H_n| \sum_{l \in M \leq (k_1 + k_2)X} \frac{1}{|l|} \sum_{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 = l^2} \frac{\tau_{k_1}(f_1) \tau_{k_2}(f_2) \tau_{k_2}(f_3) \tau_{k_2}(f_4)}{|f_1 f_2|^{\alpha_1} |f_3 f_4|^{\alpha_2}} \times e^{i\theta_1(d(f_1) - d(f_2)) + i\theta_2(d(f_3) - d(f_4))} \prod_{P|l} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1}$$

$$+ O \left( \sum_{l \in M \leq (k_1 + k_2)X} \frac{1}{|l|} \sum_{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 = l^2} \frac{\tau_{k_1}(f_1) \tau_{k_2}(f_2) \tau_{k_2}(f_3) \tau_{k_2}(f_4)}{|f_1 f_2|^{\alpha_1} |f_3 f_4|^{\alpha_2}} \right)$$

$$= |H_n| \sum_{l \in M \leq (k_1 + k_2)X} \frac{b(l)}{|l|} + O \left(q^{\epsilon(k_1 + k_2)}X\right),$$

where

$$b(l) = \sum_{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 = l^2} \frac{\tau_{k_1}(f_1) \tau_{k_2}(f_2) \tau_{k_2}(f_3) \tau_{k_2}(f_4)}{|f_1 f_2|^{\alpha_1} |f_3 f_4|^{\alpha_2}} e^{i\theta_1(d(f_1) - d(f_2)) + i\theta_2(d(f_3) - d(f_4))} \prod_{P|l} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1}.$$ 

We use the Perron’s formula\(^2\) to get

$$\sum_{l \in M \leq (k_1 + k_2)X} \frac{b(l)}{|l|} = \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|u| = r} B(u) \frac{(qu)^{-(k_1 + k_2)X}}{(1 - qu)} \frac{du}{u},$$

where

$$B(u) = \sum_{l \in M} b(l) u^{d(l)} \quad \text{and} \quad r < \frac{1}{q}.$$ 

For an irreducible polynomial $P$, we observe that

$$b(P) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1} \sum_{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 = P^2} \frac{\tau_{k_1}(f_1) \tau_{k_2}(f_2) \tau_{k_2}(f_3) \tau_{k_2}(f_4)}{|f_1 f_2|^{\alpha_1} |f_3 f_4|^{\alpha_2}} e^{i\theta_1(d(f_1) - d(f_2)) + i\theta_2(d(f_3) - d(f_4))}.$$ 

\(^2\) For the Theorem 1.3 the point of truncation will be $(k_1 + \ldots + k_m)X$ and the choice of $X$ is equal to $\frac{g}{2(k_1 + \ldots + k_m)}$. 

\(^3\) Perron’s formula in function fields comes through the Cauchy’s integral formula. More precisely, \(\sum_{f \in M \leq X} a_f = \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|u| = r} \left( \sum_{f \in M} a_f u^{\deg(f)} \right) \frac{du}{u^{X+1}(1 - u)}\), provided that the power series $\sum_{f \in M} a_f u^{\deg(f)}$ is absolutely convergent in $|u| \leq r < 1$. 


We define $u$ where
\[ \frac{1}{\left| P \right|} = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\left| P \right|} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{k_j(k_j+1)e^{2ie_j\theta_j}d(P)}{2\left| P \right|^{2\alpha_j}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{k_j^2}{\left| P \right|^{2\alpha_j}} + \sum_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \frac{k_1k_2}{\left| P \right|^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}} e^{i(\epsilon_1\theta_1+\epsilon_2\theta_2)d(P)} \right), \]
which allows us to write $B(u)$ as
\[ B(u) = \prod_{j=1}^{2} \mathcal{Z}^{k_j^2}(u) \prod_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathcal{Z}^{\frac{k_j(k_j+1)}{2}}(ue^{2ie_j\theta_j}) \prod_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathcal{Z}^{k_1k_2}(ue^{i(\epsilon_1\theta_1+\epsilon_2\theta_2)}) C(u). \]
Here $C(u)$ is absolutely convergent for $|u| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$. Therefore,
\[ \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M} \leq (k_1+k_2)X} b(l) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|u|=r} B(u) \frac{(qu)^{-(k_1+k_2)X}}{(1-qu)} du, \]
which allows us to write $B(u)$ as
\[ B(u) = \prod_{j=1}^{2} \mathcal{Z}^{k_j^2}(u) \prod_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathcal{Z}^{\frac{k_j(k_j+1)}{2}}(ue^{2ie_j\theta_j}) \prod_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathcal{Z}^{k_1k_2}(ue^{i(\epsilon_1\theta_1+\epsilon_2\theta_2)}) C(u) \frac{(qu)^{-(k_1+k_2)X}}{(1-qu)} du, \]
where $r = \frac{1}{q^{1+\epsilon}}$.

4.1.1. Calculating the main term of $S_2$. To get main term we have to shift the contour of integration over $u$ to a circle of radius $|u| = R = \frac{1}{q^{1/2+\epsilon}}$. The integrand has a pole at $u = \frac{1}{q}$ of order $k_1^2 + k_2^2 + 1$ and at $u = \frac{1}{qe^{2ie_j\theta_j}}$ of order $\frac{k_j(k_j+1)}{2}$ and at $u = \frac{1}{qe^{i(\epsilon_1\theta_1+\epsilon_2\theta_2)}}$ of order $k_1k_2$, where $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $j = 1, 2$.

We define
\[ D(u) = \prod_{j=1}^{2} \mathcal{Z}^{k_j^2}(u) \prod_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathcal{Z}^{\frac{k_j(k_j+1)}{2}}(ue^{2ie_j\theta_j}) \prod_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathcal{Z}^{k_1k_2}(ue^{i(\epsilon_1\theta_1+\epsilon_2\theta_2)}) C(u) \frac{(qu)^{-(k_1+k_2)X}}{(1-qu)} du. \]

Using the Cauchy’s residue theorem, we obtain
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|u|=r} D(u) du = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|u|=R} D(u) du - \sum_{j=1}^{2} \text{Res}_{u=1/qe^{2ie_j\theta_j}} D(u) - \sum_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \text{Res}_{u=1/qe^{i(\epsilon_1\theta_1+\epsilon_2\theta_2)}} D(u), \]
where $r = \frac{1}{q^{1+\epsilon}}$ and $R = \frac{1}{q^{1/2+\epsilon}}$.
On the circle \(|u| = R = \frac{1}{q^{1/2} + \epsilon}\), we see that the functions \(\frac{1}{1-qu}, \frac{1}{1-qu e^{i(\epsilon_1 \theta_1 + \epsilon_2 \theta_2)}}\) and \(\frac{1}{1-qu e^{2i\epsilon \theta}}\) are bounded. This leads to
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|u|=R} D(u) \, du \ll q^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right)(k_1 + k_2)} X.
\]

**Evaluation of the sum of residues.** We claim that
\[
\text{Res} D(u) + \sum_{u=1/q}^{2} \text{Res}_{u=1/q e^{2i\epsilon \theta_j}} D(u) + \sum_{\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \text{Res}_{\epsilon_j} D(u) = \int_{\gamma} D(u) \, du,
\]
where
\[
\tilde{c} := \tilde{c}_v + 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{c}_v \text{ is defined as in (4.8)}.
\]

Let us define the following sets
\[
W_1 = \{ j \in \{1, 2\} : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|g|_{\theta_j} < \infty \}, \quad W_1^c = \{ j \in \{1, 2\} : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|g|_{\theta_j} = \infty \},
\]
\[
W_2 = \{ (1, 2) : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|g|_{\theta_1 - \theta_2} < \infty \}, \quad W_2^c = \{ (1, 2) : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|g|_{\theta_1 - \theta_2} = \infty \},
\]
\[
W_{-2} = \{ (1, 2) : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|g|_{\theta_1 + \theta_2} < \infty \}, \quad W_{-2}^c = \{ (1, 2) : \lim_{g \to \infty} g|g|_{\theta_1 + \theta_2} = \infty \}.
\]
We call the elements of the sets \(W_1\) and \(W_1^c\) as finite and infinite “single shift” respectively. We also call the elements of the sets \(W_{c2}\) and \(W_{c2}^c\), \(\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}\) as finite and infinite “pair shift” respectively.\(^5\)

**Estimation of finite “single shift” and “pair shift”.** Cauchy’s residue theorem allows us to write
\[
\text{Res} D(u) + \sum_{j \in W_1} \text{Res}_{j \in W_1} D(u) + \sum_{\epsilon_j, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \text{Res}_{\epsilon_j} D(u) = \int_{\gamma} D(u) \, du,
\]
where \(\Gamma\) is a circle centered at \(\frac{1}{q}\) of radius \(\frac{\tilde{c}}{g}\) and \(\tilde{c}\) is defined by (4.5). We apply the definition of the sets \(W_1, W_1^c\) and \(W_{c2}, W_{c2}^c\) to write
\[
D(u) = \left(\frac{1}{1-qu}\right)^{k_1+k_2^2} \prod_{j \in W_1} \left(\frac{1}{1-qu e^{2i\epsilon_\theta_j}}\right)^{k_j \epsilon_j^{k_j+1}} \prod_{\epsilon_j, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \left(\frac{1}{1-qu e^{i(\epsilon_1 \theta_1 + \epsilon_2 \theta_2)}}\right)^{k_1 k_2}
\]
\[
\times \prod_{j \in W_1^c} \frac{1}{Z} \left(ue^{2i\epsilon_\theta_j}\right)^{k_j \epsilon_j^{k_j+1}} \prod_{\epsilon_j, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \frac{1}{Z} \left(ue^{i(\epsilon_1 \theta_1 + \epsilon_2 \theta_2)}\right)^{k_1 k_2} C(u) \left(\frac{qu}{u(1-qu)}\right)^{-(k_1+k_2)X}.
\]

\(^5\)Note that for two dimensional correlations only one of the sets \(W_{c2}, W_{c2}^c\), \(\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}\) contains the “pair shift” \((1, 2)\) but for higher dimensional correlations either of the sets \(W_{c2}, W_{c2}^c\) may contain more than one “pair shift” which are of the form \((j_1, j_2)\).
Note that 
\[ \tilde{E}(u) = \prod_{j \in W_1^c} \mathcal{Z}_{k_j}^{-(k_j+1)} \prod_{(1,2) \in W_2 \setminus \{ \pm 1 \} \epsilon_{1,2} \epsilon_j} \mathcal{Z}_{k_{1,2}}^{k_{1,2}} \mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon_{1,2}}^{-(k_{1,2})} \frac{C(u)}{u}. \]

Therefore for \( |u - \frac{1}{q}| = O\left( \frac{1}{g} \right) \),
\[ \tilde{E}(u) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n (1 - qu)^n. \]

Next we evaluate the integral \( \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{(1 - qu)^{V+1}} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n (1 - qu)^n \right) \tilde{E}(u) (qu)^{-(k_1+k_2)}X \, du \), which is equal to
\[ \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{(1 - qu)^{V+1}} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{b_n}{(1 - qu)^n} \right) \tilde{E}(u) (qu)^{-(k_1+k_2)}X \, du, \]
where
\[ V = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \sum_{j \in W_1} k_j (k_j + 1) + \sum_{(1,2) \in W_2 \setminus \{ \pm 1 \} \epsilon_{1,2} \epsilon_j} 2k_{1,2} \quad \text{and} \]
\[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{b_n}{(1 - qu)^n} = \prod_{j \in W_1} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^n \frac{k_j (k_j + 1) + n}{k_{j/2}} \frac{e^{-2i \epsilon_j \theta_j} - 1^n}{1 - qu^n} \right) \prod_{(1,2) \in W_2 \setminus \{ \pm 1 \} \epsilon_{1,2} \epsilon_j} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^m \frac{k_{1,2} + m}{k_{1,2}} \frac{e^{-i(\epsilon_1 \theta_{1,2} + \epsilon_2 \theta_{1,2})} - 1^m}{1 - qu^m} \right). \]

For \( n \geq 0 \), we deduce that
\[ \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{(1 - qu)^{V+1}} \frac{b_n}{(1 - qu)^n} \tilde{E}(u) (qu)^{-(k_1+k_2)}X \, du = e_0 b_n \frac{F_{V+n}((k_1 + k_2)X)}{(V+n)!} + \sum_{l=1}^{V+n} e_l b_n \frac{F_{V+n-l}((k_1 + k_2)X)}{(V+n-l)!}, \]
where \( F_n(x) = x(x+1)(x+2) \ldots (x+n-1) \), for \( n \geq 1 \) and \( F_0(x) = 1 \).

From the choice of \( X = \frac{q}{2(k_1+k_2)} \), right hand side of the above equation becomes
\[ \int_\Gamma \left( \frac{q}{2} \right)^{V+n} + \sum_{l=1}^{V+n} e_l b_n \frac{d_{V+n-l}}{(V+n-l)!} \left( \frac{q}{2} \right)^{V+n-l}, \]
\[ \frac{e_0 b_n}{(V+n)!} \left( \frac{q}{2} \right)^{V+n} + \sum_{l=1}^{V+n} e_l b_n \frac{d_{V+n-l}}{(V+n-l)!} \left( \frac{q}{2} \right)^{V+n-l}. \]
where

\[ d_l = 1 + \frac{l!}{e_{V+n-l}} \left( \frac{s_{l-1}^{(l)} e_{V+n-(l+1)}}{(l+1)!} + \frac{s_{l-1}^{(l+1)} e_{V+n-(l+2)}}{(l+2)!} + \ldots + \frac{s_{l-1}^{(V+n-2)} e_1}{(V+n-1)!} + \frac{s_{l-1}^{(V+n-1)} e_0}{(V+n)!} \right) \]

with

\[ s_{k-1}^{(k)} = \sum_{1 \leq l_1 < \ldots < l_k \leq k} l_1 \ldots l_k, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k. \]

The coefficients \( s_{k-1}^{(k)} \) are called the Stirling numbers of first kind and \( s_{k-1}^{(k)} \leq (k+1)! \) (see [16], equation (6.9)). For more details about \( d_l \) see Appendix 7.2. Therefore, the integral (4.6) is equal to

\[ \frac{e_0g^{V}}{2V} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n g^n}{2^n(V+n)!} + \sum_{l=1}^{V} \frac{e_l g^{V-l}}{2^{V-l}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n d_{V+n-l} g^n}{2^n(V+n-l)!} \]

\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e_{V+l} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n+l} d_n g^n}{2^n n!}. \]

We claim that the main contribution comes from only the first term of the above expression. To prove this, we have to find an upper bound for the coefficients \( b_n, e_l \) and \( d_n \).

Let us denote

\[ M := \max_{j \in W_1, (1,2) \in W_{c_2}, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \left\{ \frac{k_j (k_j + 1)}{2}, k_1 k_2 \right\}, \quad \beta := \max_{j \in W_1, (1,2) \in W_{c_2}, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \left\{ |1 - e^{2i\theta_j}|, |1 - e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}| \right\}, \]

and \( 2w := \max_j \{||W_j||\} \). We can write \( b_n \) as

\[ b_n = (-1)^n \sum_{n_j + m_{12} = n \atop j \in W_1, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}, \epsilon_j \epsilon_j \geq 0} \prod_{j \in W_1, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} \left( \frac{k_j (k_j + 1)}{2} + n_j \right) (e^{-2i\epsilon_j \theta_j} - 1)^{n_j} \]

\[ \times \prod_{(1,2) \in W_{c_2}, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}} (k_1 k_2 + m_{12}) (e^{-i(\epsilon_1 \theta_1 + \epsilon_2 \theta_2)} - 1)^{m_{12}}. \]

Note that the number of terms such that \( \sum n_j + m_{12} = n \) with \( n_j, m_{12} \geq 0 \) and \( j \in W_1, (1,2) \in W_{c_2} \) is \( \binom{w+n-1}{w-1} \). Therefore, for large \( g \) and \( n \geq 1 \), we obtain

\[ |b_n| \leq \left( \frac{w+n-1}{w-1} \right)^w (M + n)^w \beta^n \leq a_0 n^t \beta^n, \]

where \( a_0, t \) are constants depend on \( w \) and \( M \).

Let \( r \) be the radius of convergence of \( \tilde{E}(u) \). Note that \( \frac{1}{g} = o(r) \). Hence \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} = \frac{1}{r} = o(g) \), and this gives

\[ |e_n| \leq e_0 a_1 \left( \frac{2}{r} \right)^n. \]

where \( a_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) depends on \( \tilde{E} \).
Note that,
\[
\frac{\varepsilon_l d_{V+n-l}}{(V+n-l)!} = \frac{\varepsilon_l}{(V+n-l)!} + \left( \frac{s^{(V+n-l)}\varepsilon_{l-1}}{(V+n-l+1)!} + \frac{s^{(V+n-l+1)}\varepsilon_{l-2}}{(V+n-l+2)!} + \ldots + \frac{s^{(V+n-2)}\varepsilon_1}{(V+n-l-1)!} + \frac{s^{(V+n-1)}\varepsilon_0}{(V+n)!} \right),
\]
which implies together with (4.10),
\[
\frac{\varepsilon_l d_{V+n-l}}{(V+n-l)!} \leq \varepsilon_l + \varepsilon_{l-1} + \ldots + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_0 a_1 \sum_{k=1}^{l} \left( \frac{2}{r} \right)^k \ll l \left( \frac{2}{r} \right)^l.
\]
By using the above bounds, the fact that \(b_0 = 1\) and \(\frac{1}{r} = o(g)\), the second sum of (4.8) is bounded by
\[
\ll \sum_{l=1}^{V} l \left( \frac{2}{r} \right)^l \left( \frac{g}{2} \right)^{V-l} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^l (g\beta)^n}{2^n} \right) \approx o \left( g^V \prod_{j \in \mathbb{W}^1} \frac{1}{\theta_j |k_j(k_j+1)|} \prod_{(1,2) \in \mathbb{W}^c_2, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \epsilon \theta_2 |2k_1k_2|} \right).
\]
Since \(|g\beta| < \tilde{c} < 1\) as \(g \to \infty\), the inside \(n\)-sum in the above expression is \(O(1)\). For any \(l \geq 1\), using (4.9), we get
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n+l} d_n g^n}{2^n n!} \ll_k l^l \beta^l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+l)^l (g\beta)^n}{2^n} \ll_k l^l \beta^l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^l (g\beta)^n}{2^n} \ll_k l^l \beta^l.
\]
From the fact \(\beta/r = o(1)\) and (4.10), the third sum of the equation (4.8) is bounded above by
\[
\ll_k \frac{1}{r^V} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2\beta}{r} \right)^l l^l \approx o \left( g^V \prod_{j \in \mathbb{W}^1} \frac{1}{\theta_j |k_j(k_j+1)|} \prod_{(1,2) \in \mathbb{W}^c_2, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \epsilon \theta_2 |2k_1k_2|} \right).
\]
Finally, we consider the first sum of the equation (4.8). Using the bound of \(b_n\) (see (4.9)), we note that
\[
1 \ll \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n g^n}{2^n (V+n)!} \ll \frac{1}{V!} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^l (\beta \gamma)^n}{2^n (V+n)!} = O(1)
\]
where the implied constant depends on \(\tilde{c}\) and \(k\) (i.e., \(V\)). Therefore, we conclude that
\[
\int_{\Gamma} D(u) du \sim_k \tilde{c}^k \gamma^V \prod_{j \in \mathbb{W}^1} \frac{1}{\theta_j |k_j(k_j+1)|} \prod_{(1,2) \in \mathbb{W}^c_2, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \epsilon \theta_2 |2k_1k_2|}.
\]
\[ \sim_{k,e} g^{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|2\theta_j|}, g \right\} \right)^{k_j(k_j+1)} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}, g \right\} \right)^{2k_1k_2} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1 + \theta_2|}, g \right\} \right)^{2k_1k_2}, \]
as required.

**Evaluation of infinite “single shift” and “pair shift”.** We claim that

\[ (4.11) \sum_{j \in W_1, e_j \in \{ \pm 1 \}}^2 \text{Res}_{u = \frac{1}{qe^{2k_1}} \theta_j} D(u) + \sum_{(1,2) \in W_1, e, e_2 \in \{ \pm 1 \}}^2 \text{Res}_{u = \frac{1}{q(e_1 \theta_1 + e_2 \theta_2)}} D(u) = o \left( g^{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|2\theta_j|}, g \right\} \right)^{k_j(k_j+1)} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}, g \right\} \right)^{2k_1k_2} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1 + \theta_2|}, g \right\} \right)^{2k_1k_2} \right). \]

For the sake of simplicity, we will provide all the details of the proof of the claim \((4.11)\) in the Appendix section.

**4.2. Estimation of the sum \( S_1 \).** We define

\[ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(u, \chi_D) := \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_1} \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{v_2}{q^{1/2+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_2} = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} a_f \chi_D(f) \left( \frac{u}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^{d(f)}, \]

where \( a_f \) is defined by \((4.2)\). We begin with the integral

\[ I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|u|=r} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(u, \chi_D) \frac{u^{-(k_1+k_2)X}}{(1-u)} \frac{du}{u}, \quad r < 1. \]

Integrating term by term to get

\[ I = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}, \chi_D(f)|f|^{-1/2}} a_f \chi_D(f). \]

On the other hand we move the contour of integration to \(|u| = q^{\gamma} \), encountering a simple pole at \( u = 1, y > \frac{1}{2} \). In doing so, we obtain

\[ I = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(1, \chi_D) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|u|=q^{\gamma}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(u, \chi_D) \frac{u^{-(k_1+k_2)X}}{(1-u)} \frac{du}{u}. \]

We use the Lindelöf bound \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(u, \chi_D) \ll q^{\gamma n} \) \([3],\) Theorem 3.3\) to obtain

\[ (4.12) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|u|=q^{\gamma}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(u, \chi_D) \frac{u^{-(k_1+k_2)X}}{(1-u)} \frac{du}{u} \ll \frac{q^{\gamma n}}{q^{((k_1+k_2)X+1)y}}. \]

It follows that

\[ (4.13) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(1, \chi_D) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}, \chi_D(f)|f|^{-1/2}} a_f \chi_D(f) + O_{\epsilon} \left( \frac{q^{\gamma n}}{q^{((k_1+k_2)X+1)y}} \right). \]
From the approximation (4.13),
\[ S_1 \gg \left| \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_1}{q^{j/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_1} \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_2}{q^{j/2+\alpha_2}}, \chi_D \right)^{k_2} \mathcal{L}_{\leq X}(v, \chi_D) \right| \]
\[ = \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq(k_1+k_2)}X} \sum_{f' \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq(k_1+k_2)}X} a_f a_f' \frac{|f f'|^{1/2}}{|f|^{1/2}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \chi_D(ff') \right| + O_{\varepsilon} \left( q^{n\varepsilon} |\mathcal{H}_n| \frac{q^{(1/2+\varepsilon)(k_1+k_2)}X}{q^{(k_1+k_2)}X+1} \right) \]
\[ = |S_2| + O_{\varepsilon} \left( q^{n\varepsilon} |\mathcal{H}_n| \frac{q^{(1/2+\varepsilon)(k_1+k_2)}X}{q^{(k_1+k_2)}X+1} \right). \]

We choose \( X = \frac{q}{2(k_1+k_2)} \) and \( y = \frac{2}{3} \). Hence the estimate of \( S_2 \) gives us
\[ S_1 \gg_k |\mathcal{H}_n| g^{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|2\theta_j|}, g \right\} \right)^{k_j(k_j+1)} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}, g \right\} \right)^{2k_1k_2} \times \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|	heta_1 + \theta_2|}, g \right\} \right)^{2k_1k_2} + O \left( |\mathcal{H}_n| q^{-\frac{7}{2}+\varepsilon_n} \right). \]

Inserting the estimates of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) in (4.3) finishes the proof of Theorem 4.3

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

To keep things simple we use the notation \( v \) instead of \( v^{(m)} \). The proof of the Theorem 1.5 will rely on getting an upper bound of the set
\[ \Upsilon_n(v, V) = \# \left\{ D \in \mathcal{H}_n : \sum_{j=1}^{m} 2k_j \log \left| \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_j}{q^{j/2+\alpha_j}}, \chi_D \right) \right| \geq \mu(v, g) + V \right\}, \]
for sufficiently large \( n \) and for all \( V > 2 \), where \( \mu(v, g) \) is defined by (1.5). Recall that
\[ 2g = n - 1 - \lambda, \]
where \( g \) and \( \lambda \) are defined by (2.3) and (2.2) respectively. We can write
\[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \left| \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_1}{q^{1/2+\alpha_1}}, \chi_D \right)^{2k_1} \cdots \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_m}{q^{1/2+\alpha_m}}, \chi_D \right)^{2k_m} \right| = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Upsilon_n(v, V) \exp \left( \mu(v, g) + V \right) dV. \]

We will estimate an upper bound of \( \Upsilon_n(v, V) \) for different ranges of \( V \). The Lemma 3.4 lead us
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} 2k_j \log \left| \mathcal{L}\left( \frac{v_j}{q^{j/2+\alpha_j}}, \chi_D \right) \right| \leq \frac{4g}{N+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j \log \left( \frac{1 + q^{-\alpha_j(N+1)}}{1 + q^{-2(N+1)}} \right) \]
\[ + 2R \sum_{d(f) \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j a_{\alpha_j} \frac{(d(f)) \chi_D(f) \Lambda(f)}{|f|^e} \frac{v_j d(f)}{|f|^e} + O \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{4gK}{N+1} \log 2 + 2R \sum_{d(f) \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j a_{\alpha_j} \frac{(d(f)) \chi_D(f) \Lambda(f)}{|f|^e} \frac{v_j d(f)}{|f|^e} + O(K), \]
where

$$K = \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\alpha_j}(d(f)) = \frac{1}{d(f)}|f|^{\alpha_j} - \frac{1}{d(f)|f|^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{(N + 1)q(N + 1)^{\alpha_j}}\right).$$

Applying the prime polynomial theorem, the contribution from square polynomials $f = P^2$ to the second term of the right hand side of the above inequality is

$$2\Re \sum_{d(P) \leq \frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j a_{\alpha_j}\left(2d(P)\right) \frac{\chi_D(P)d(P)v_j 2d(P)}{|P|} + O(\log \log n)$$

$$\leq \mu(v, g) + \frac{2gK}{N + 1} + O(\log \log n),$$

where the error term $O(\log \log n)$ comes from the sum over $P$ such that $P|D$. Also it is easy to verify that the contribution from $f = P^r$ with $r \geq 3$ is $O(1)$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2k_j \log \left|L\left(\frac{v_j}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \chi_D\right)\right| \leq S_1(D) + S_2(D) + \mu(v, g) + \frac{5gK}{N + 1} + O(\log \log n),$$

where

$$S_1(D) = 2 \sum_{d(P) \leq N_0} \frac{\chi_D(P)}{|P|^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j a_{\alpha_j}(d(P)) d(P) \cos(\theta_j d(P)),$$

$$S_2(D) = 2 \sum_{N_0 < d(P) \leq N} \frac{\chi_D(P)}{|P|^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j a_{\alpha_j}(d(P)) d(P) \cos(\theta_j d(P)).$$

We rewrite $\sigma(v, g)$ as

$$\sigma(v, g) = 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j^2\right) \log g + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j^2 F_j + 4 \sum_{i<j} k_i k_j F_{i,j},$$

where

$$F_j = \log \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{2|\theta_j|}, g\right\}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{i,j} = \log \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{|\theta_i - \theta_j|}, g\right\}\right) + \log \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{|\theta_i + \theta_j|}, g\right\}\right).$$

From now onward, for the sake of simplicity we write $\sigma(v, g)$ simply as $\sigma$. We consider various different range of $V$. The range $-\infty < V \leq \sqrt{\log g}$ yields

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Upsilon_n(v, V) \exp\left(\mu(v, g) + V\right) dV \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \exp\left(\sqrt{\log g} + \mu(v, g)\right) \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \sigma^{o(1)} \exp\left(\mu(v, g)\right).$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, it is enough to assume that $\sqrt{\log g} \leq V \leq \frac{Kg}{\log g}$. We define the quantity $A$ by

$$A = \begin{cases} \frac{\log \sigma}{2}, & \text{if } \sqrt{\log g} \leq V \leq \sigma, \\ \frac{\sigma \log \sigma}{2V}, & \text{if } \sigma \leq V \leq \frac{\sigma \log \sigma}{25K}, \\ 7K, & \text{if } V > \frac{\sigma \log \sigma}{25K}. \end{cases}$$
Let us consider
\[ \frac{g}{N+1} = \frac{V}{A} \quad \text{and} \quad N_0 = \frac{N}{\log_q g}. \]

Notice that, if \( D \in \Upsilon_n(v, V) \) then we must have either
\[ S_1(D) \geq V(1 - \frac{6K}{A}) := V_1 \quad \text{or} \quad S_2(D) \geq \frac{KV}{A} := V_2. \]

To determine an upper bound of \( \Upsilon_n(v, V) \), we will actually examine the set
\[ \Upsilon_n(v, V_i) = \# \{ D \in \mathcal{H}_n : S_i(D) \geq V_i \}, \]
for \( i = 1, 2 \). We set \( a_j(P) := a_{\alpha_j}(d(P)) d(P) \cos(\theta_j d(P)) \). So
\[ a_j(P) = \frac{\cos(\theta_j d(P))}{|P|^{|\alpha_j|}} - \frac{\cos(\theta_j d(P))}{|P|^2} + O \left( \frac{d(P)}{(N + 1)q^{(N+1)\alpha_j}} \right) \ll 1. \]

Using Lemma 3.6 we obtain
\[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} |S_2(D)|^{2l} \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \left( \frac{2l!}{l!2^l} \sum_{N_0 < d(P) \leq N} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m 2k_j}{|P|} \right) \right)^l \]
\[ \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \left( \frac{2l!}{l!2^l} (4K^2 (\log \log_q g + O(1))) \right)^l, \]
for any \( l \) such that \( 2lN \leq n \), which implies that \( l \leq \frac{n}{2N} \leq \frac{2V}{A} \).

Therefore, by using Markov’s inequality and Stirling’s formula, it follows that
\[ \Upsilon_n(v, V_2) \leq V_2^{-2l} \left( \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} |S_2(D)|^{2l} \right) \]
\[ \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \left( \frac{A}{KV} \right)^{2l} \left( \frac{2l!}{l!2^l} (4K^2 (\log \log_q g + O(1))) \right)^l \]
\[ \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \exp(-\frac{V}{2A} \log V). \]

Again applying Lemma 3.6 and Stirling’s formula, we get
\[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} |S_1(D)|^{2l} \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \left( \frac{2l!}{l!2^l} \right)^l \left( \frac{1}{|P|} \left( \sum_{d(P) \leq N_0} \frac{2k_j \cos(\theta_j d(P))}{|P|^{|\alpha_j|}} \right)^2 \right)^l \]
\[ \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \left( \frac{l\sigma}{e} \right)^l, \]
for any \( l \) such that \( 2lN_0 \leq n \), which implies that \( l \leq \frac{V}{A} \log_q g \). Markov’s inequality gives us
\[ \Upsilon_n(v, V_1) \ll V_1^{-2l} \left( \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} |S_1(D)|^{2l} \right) \ll |\mathcal{H}_n| \left( \frac{l\sigma}{eV_1^2} \right)^l. \]

It is now convenient to consider the case when \( V \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{K^2} \) and the case \( V > \frac{\sigma^2}{K^2} \) separately.
Case 1. Assume that $V \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{K}$. We choose $l = \lfloor \frac{V}{4} \rfloor$. The definition of $A$ and this choice of $l$ implies that $l \leq \frac{V}{4} \log g$. In this case, we find that

$$\gamma_n(v, V_1) \ll |H_n| \exp \left( l \log \left( \frac{l\sigma}{eV_1^2} \right) \right) \ll |H_n| \exp \left( -\frac{V_1^2}{\sigma} \right).$$

Case 2. Assume that $V > \frac{\sigma^2}{K}$. We choose $l = \lfloor 10V \rfloor$. Again from the definition of $A$, it is easy to see that this choice $l$ satisfies $l \leq \frac{V}{4} \log g$. Notice that $V > \frac{\sigma^2}{K}$, implies

$$\log V > 2 \log \sigma - 3 \log K.$$ So, we have

$$A = K \quad \text{and} \quad V_1^2 = 25V^2.$$ Hence, we conclude that

$$\gamma_n(v, V_1) \ll |H_n| \exp \left( 10V \log \left( \frac{10V\sigma}{eV_1^2} \right) \right) \ll |H_n| \exp (-4V \log V),$$

for sufficiently large $g$. Therefore combining the above estimates, we deduce that

(5.2) $$\gamma_n(v, V) \ll |H_n| \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{V^2}{24} \log V \right) + \exp \left( -\frac{V_1^2}{\sigma} \right) + \exp (-4V \log V) \right\}. $$

We extract the value of $V_1$ for various range of $V$ comes from the definition of $A$. If $\sqrt{\log g} \leq V \leq \sigma$, then

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad V_1 = V \left( 1 - \frac{12K}{\log \sigma} \right).$$

So, for sufficiently large $g$, (5.2) implies that

$$\gamma_n(v, V) \ll |H_n| \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{V^2}{\sigma} \left( 1 - \frac{12K}{\log \sigma} \right)^2 \right) \right\} \ll |H_n| \exp \left( -\frac{V^2}{\sigma} \left( 1 - \frac{24K}{\log \sigma} \right)^2 \right).$$

If $\sigma \leq V \leq \frac{1}{25K} \sigma \log \sigma$, then

$$A = \frac{\sigma \log \sigma}{2V} \quad \text{and} \quad V_1 = V \left( 1 - \frac{12KV}{\sigma \log \sigma} \right).$$

For this range of $V$, $\frac{\log V}{\sigma \log \sigma} > \frac{1}{\sigma}$ and hence from (5.2) we obtain

$$\gamma_n(v, V) \ll |H_n| \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{V^2 \log V}{\sigma \log \sigma} \right) + \exp (-4V \log V) + \exp \left( -\frac{V^2}{\sigma} \left( 1 - \frac{12KV}{\sigma \log \sigma} \right)^2 \right) \right\} \ll |H_n| \exp \left( -\frac{V^2}{\sigma} \left( 1 - \frac{24KV}{\sigma \log \sigma} \right) \right).$$

Finally, if $V > \frac{1}{25K} \sigma \log \sigma$, then

$$A = 7K \quad \text{and} \quad V_1 = \frac{V}{7}. $$
So from (5.2), we get that
\[ \Upsilon_n(v, V) \ll |H_n| \exp \left( -\frac{v}{98} \log V \right). \]

Adding these estimates in (5.2) for different range of \( V \), we conclude that
\[ \Upsilon_n(v, V) \ll \begin{cases} |H_n| n^\varepsilon \exp \left( -\frac{v^2}{\sigma} \right), & \text{if } 3 \leq V \leq 2021 \sigma, \\ |H_n| n^\varepsilon \exp (-4V), & \text{if } V > 2021 \sigma. \end{cases} \]

Inserting (5.3) in (5.1) finishes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Let \( C_{1/g} \) be the circle in the complex plane whose center is origin and radius is \( \frac{1}{g} \). By Cauchy’s integral formula
\[ L^{(l)}(q^{-1/2}, \chi_D) = \frac{l!}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_{1/g}} L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \theta, \chi_D \right) \frac{d\theta}{\theta^{l+1}}, \]

Notice that if \( \theta = \alpha - \frac{u}{\log q} \), then
\[ L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \theta, \chi_D \right) = L \left( \frac{v}{q^{\alpha + 1/2}}, \chi_D \right), \]

where \( \alpha = O \left( \frac{1}{g} \right) \). Therefore, applying Hölder’s inequality, we see that
\[ \sum_{D \in H_n} \left| L^{(l)}(q^{-1/2}, \chi_D) \right|^k \leq \left( \frac{l!}{2\pi} \right)^k \left( \sum_{D \in H_n} \oint_{C_{1/g}} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \theta, \chi_D \right) \right|^k |d\theta| \right)^k \left( \oint_{C_{1/g}} |\theta|^{-(k+1)} |d\theta| \right)^{(k-1)} \]
\[ \ll \left( \frac{l!}{2\pi} \right)^k \left( \frac{2\pi}{g} \right)^{-k-1} \left( \frac{g}{2\pi} \right)^{(l+1)} \left( \sum_{D \in H_n} \oint_{C_{1/g}} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \theta, \chi_D \right) \right|^k |d\theta| \right)^k \]
\[ \ll \left( \frac{l!}{2\pi} \right)^k \left( \frac{2\pi}{g} \right)^k \left( \frac{g}{2\pi} \right)^{(l+1)} \max_{|\theta| \leq \frac{1}{g}} \sum_{D \in H_n} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \theta, \chi_D \right) \right|^k. \]

As a direct application of Theorem 1.5, we obtain
\[ \sum_{D \in H_n} \left| L \left( \frac{v}{q^{\alpha + 1/2}}, \chi_D \right) \right|^k \ll \varepsilon \ |H_n| g^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \varepsilon}. \]

Using this upper bound to the above inequality, we conclude that
\[ \sum_{D \in H_n} \left| L^{(l)}(q^{-1/2}, \chi_D) \right|^k \ll \varepsilon \ |H_n| g^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + kl + \varepsilon}. \]
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7. Appendix

7.1. Proof of claim (4.11). We have to show that for $j \in W_1^c$,

\begin{equation}
\text{Res}_{u = \frac{q}{\varepsilon e^{2\pi i \theta j}}} D(u) = o\left(g^{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{2|\theta_j|}, g\right\}\right)^{k_j(k_j+1)} \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}, g\right\}\right)^{2k_1k_2} \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{|\theta_1 + \theta_2|}, g\right\}\right)^{2k_1k_2}\right),
\end{equation}

and for $(1, 2) \in W_2^c$, $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$

\begin{equation}
\text{Res}_{u = \frac{q}{\varepsilon e^{2\pi i \theta j}}} D(u) = o\left(g^{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{2|\theta_j|}, g\right\}\right)^{k_j(k_j+1)} \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}, g\right\}\right)^{2k_1k_2} \left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{|\theta_1 + \theta_2|}, g\right\}\right)^{2k_1k_2}\right).
\end{equation}

We will prove the claim (7.1) and proof of the claim (7.2) follows in the similar way. We assume that $\epsilon, \epsilon_j \in \{1, -1\}$ for $j = 1, 2$. To prove the claim (7.1), without loss of generality, we assume that $1 \in W_1^c$, so $(1, 2) \in W_2^c$. Note that if $2 \in W_1^c$ and $(1, 2) \in W_2^c$, then they are not closed to each other i.e., $|\theta_1 - \theta_2| > \frac{1}{g}$ and $|\theta_1 + (\theta_1 - \theta_2)| = |\theta_2| > \frac{1}{g}$, otherwise they will contained in the sets $W_1$ and $W_2$ respectively.

By Cauchy’s theorem, we obtain

\begin{equation}
\text{Res}_{u = \frac{q}{\varepsilon e^{2\pi i \theta_j}}} D(u) = \oint_{\hat{C}} D(u) du, \quad j = 1, 2,
\end{equation}

To estimate infinite pair shift for Theorem 1.5, one can follow the article of V. Chandee [7], Appendix.
where \( \widetilde{C} \) is the circle centered at \( u = 1/\sqrt{q} e^{2i\theta_j} \) with radius \( \tilde{r} / q \) and \( \tilde{c} \) is defined by (4.5). Note that, \( \frac{1}{q} = o(|\theta_j|) \) and \( \theta_j = o(1) \) for all \( j \). For \( u \) on the circle \( \widetilde{C} \), we write
\[
Z(u) = (1 - qu)^{-1} = (1 - e^{-2i\theta_1})^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{e^{-2i\theta_1} (1 - que^{2i\theta_1})}{1 - e^{-2i\theta_1}} \right)^{-1}.
\]
Therefore, we get
\[
|Z(u)| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}.
\]
If \( 2 \in W_1 \), then it is easy to see that \( |\theta_1 \pm \theta_2| \sim |\theta_1| \). For \( u \) on the circle \( \widetilde{C} \),
\[
Z(ue^{2i\epsilon_2}) = (1 - que^{2i\epsilon_2})^{-1} = (1 - e^{-2i\theta_1})^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{e^{-2i\theta_1} (1 - que^{2i(\epsilon_2 - \theta_1)})}{1 - e^{-2i\theta_1}} \right)^{-1},
\]
which implies that
\[
|Z(ue^{2i\epsilon_2})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}.
\]
Also, if \( (1, 2) \in W_2 \), then for \( u \) on the circle \( \widetilde{C} \), we see that
\[
|Z(ue^{2i(\epsilon_1 \theta_1 + \epsilon_2 \theta_2)})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}.
\]
For elements in the infinite single shift and pair shift, we have to partition the sets \( W^c_1, W^c_\epsilon \) into three different subsets to estimate bounds for the corresponding zeta functions. For \( 2 \in W^c_1 \), we divide the set \( W^c_1 \) into three subsets. First we define
\[
W^c_{11} := \left\{ 2 \in W^c_1 : \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{|\theta_1|}{|\theta_2|} < +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \neq 1 \right\}.
\]
If \( 2 \in W^c_{11} \), then for \( u \) on the circle \( \widetilde{C} \),
\[
|Z(ue^{2i\epsilon_1 \theta_2})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_2|}.
\]
Next, we consider
\[
W^c_{12} = \left\{ 2 \in W^c_1 : \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{|\theta_1|}{|\theta_2|} = \infty \right\}.
\]
For \( 2 \in W^c_{12} \) and \( u \) on the circle \( \widetilde{C} \), we obtain
\[
|Z(ue^{2i\epsilon_2 \theta_2})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}.
\]
Lastly, let
\[
W^c_{13} = \left\{ 2 \in W^c_1 : \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} = 1 \right\}.
\]
For \( 2 \in W^c_{13} \) and \( u \) on the circle \( \widetilde{C} \),
\[
|Z(ue^{2i\epsilon_1 \theta_2})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}.
\]
Similarly, for \( (1, 2) \in W^c_\epsilon \), we define
\[
W^c_{\epsilon_2} = \left\{ (1, 2) \in W^c_\epsilon : \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{|\theta_1|}{|\theta_1 - \epsilon \theta_2|} < +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_1 - \epsilon \theta_2} \neq 1 \right\}.
\]
In this case, for \( u \) on the circle \( \tilde{C} \),
\[
|\mathcal{Z}(ue^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}.
\]
Let
\[
^2 W_{c2}^e = \left\{ (1, 2) \in W_{c2}^e : \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\theta_1}{|\theta_1 - \epsilon \theta_2|} = +\infty \right\}.
\]
Inside the set \(^2 W_{c2}^e\), for \( u \) on the circle \( \tilde{C} \),
\[
|\mathcal{Z}(ue^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}.
\]
Lastly, we consider
\[
^3 W_{c2}^e = \left\{ (1, 2) \in W_{c2}^e : \lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{\theta_1}{(\theta_1 - \epsilon \theta_2)} = 1 \right\}.
\]
For \( u \) on the circle \( \tilde{C} \),
\[
|\mathcal{Z}(ue^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)})| \ll \frac{1}{|\theta_2|}.
\]
Using these bounds for the zeta functions, we conclude that
\[
\oint_{\tilde{C}} D(u) \, du \ll g^{\frac{k_1(k_2+1)}{2} - 1} |\theta_1|^{-\left(k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \frac{k_1(k_2+1)}{2}\right)} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}, \frac{1}{|\theta_2|}, \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|} \right\}^{k_2(k_2+1)}
\times \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}, \frac{1}{|\theta_2|}, \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|} \right\}^{2k_1k_2} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1|}, \frac{1}{|\theta_2|}, \frac{1}{|\theta_1 + \theta_2|} \right\}^{2k_1k_2}
\]
Using the fact \( \frac{1}{|\theta_j|} = o(g) \), one can easily check that the integral \( \oint_{\tilde{C}} D(u) \, du \) is equal to
\[
o \left( g^{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|2\theta_j|}, g \right\}^{k_1(k_1+1)} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}, g \right\}^{2k_1k_2} \left( \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\theta_1 + \theta_2|}, g \right\}^{2k_1k_2} \right) \right) \right),
\]
and we obtain the claim \((7.1)\).

7.2. **Deduction of \( d_n \).** We start with the expression \((4.1)\), i.e.,
\[
e_0 b_n \frac{F_{V+n}(k_1 + k_2)X}{(V + n)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{V+n} e_i b_n \frac{F_{V+n-i}(k_1 + k_2)X}{(V + n - i)!}
\]
where \( F_n(x) = x(x+1)(x+2)\ldots(x+n-1) \), for \( n \geq 2 \) and \( F_0(x) = 1 \), \( F_1(x) = x \). We expand \( F_n(x) \) to get
\[
F_n(x) = x \left( x^{n-1} + s_{n-2}^{(n)} x^{n-2} + s_{n-3}^{(n)} x^{n-3} + s_{n-4}^{(n)} x^{n-4} + \ldots + s_0^{(n)} \right)
\]
with
\[
s_{k-i}^{(k)} = \sum_{1 \leq l_1 < \ldots < l_i \leq k} l_1 \ldots l_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k.
\]
This gives us
\[
e_0 b_n \frac{F_{V+n}(x)}{(V + n)!} + e_1 b_n \frac{F_{V+n-1}(x)}{(V + n - 1)!} + e_2 b_n \frac{F_{V+n-2}(x)}{(V + n - 2)!} + e_3 b_n \frac{F_{V+n-3}(x)}{(V + n - 3)!}
\]
where 

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{e_0 b_n}{(V + n)!} x^{V+n} + \frac{e_1 b_n}{(V + n - 1)!} x^{V+n-1} + \frac{e_2 b_n}{(V + n - 2)!} x^{V+n-2} + \ldots + \frac{e_{V+n-1} b_n}{(V + n - V)!} x^V \\
&+ \frac{e_1 b_n}{(V + n - 1)!} x^{V+n-1} + \frac{e_2 b_n}{(V + n - 2)!} x^{V+n-2} + \ldots + \frac{e_{V+n-1} b_n}{(V + n - V)!} x^V \\
&+ \ldots + \frac{e_{V+n-3} b_n}{3!} \left( x^3 + \frac{s_1^{(2)} x^2 + s_0^{(2)} x}{2} \right) + \frac{e_{V+n-2} b_n}{2!} \left( x^2 + \frac{s_0^{(1)} x}{1} \right) + e_{V+n-1} b_n x + e_{V+n} b_n,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
:= \frac{e_0 b_n}{(V + n)!} x^{V+n} + \frac{e_1 b_n d_{V+n-1}}{(V + n - 1)!} x^{V+n-1} + \frac{e_2 b_n d_{V+n-2}}{(V + n - 2)!} x^{V+n-2} + \frac{e_3 b_n d_{V+n-3}}{(V + n - 3)!} x^{V+n-3} \\
+ \ldots + \frac{e_{V+n-3} b_n d_3}{3!} x^3 + \frac{e_{V+n-2} b_n d_2}{2!} x^2 + e_{V+n-1} b_n d_1 x + e_{V+n} b_n d_0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(d_0 = 1\), and \(1 \leq l \leq V + n - 1\),

\[
d_l = 1 + \frac{l!}{e_{V+n-l}} \left( \frac{s_{l-1}^{(l)} e_{V+n-(l+1)}}{(l + 1)!} + \frac{s_{l-1}^{(l+1)} e_{V+n-(l+2)}}{(l + 2)!} + \ldots + \frac{s_{l-1}^{(V+n-2)} e_1}{(V + n - 1)!} + \frac{s_{l-1}^{(V+n-1)} e_0}{(V + n)!} \right).
\]
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