Efficacy of CPET Combined with Systematic Education of Cardiac Rehabilitation After PCI: A Real-World Evaluation in ACS Patients
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: There are scarce real-world data on the long-term efficacy and safety of cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) combined with the systematic education of cardiac rehabilitation (CR) approach for patients post-coronary stenting, which is, therefore, the subject of this study.

Methods: Data collected between 1 April 2015 and 20 May 2017 from 11,345 patients in the rehabilitation center database at our hospital were retrospectively analyzed. Five hundred thirty-six patients with incomplete information, or unable to cooperate with telephone follow-up, were excluded; 4001 patients received the combined CR approach; and 6808 patients received only routine post-procedure education (controls). Of these, 2805 CR participants (CR group) were matched 1:1 to controls (control group) using propensity scores. The main outcome was quality of life in Seattle Angina Questionnaire (SAQ) scores. SAQ was measured in hospital and at follow-up; meanwhile, volume/type of habitual exercise, major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE), and its components of target vessel revascularization, myocardial infarction, and cardiac death were recorded and analyzed.

Results: At median 583 (range 184–963) day follow-up, compared with controls, the CR group showed fewer patients not engaging in physical exercise (22 vs. 956, \( p < 0.05 \)); more cumulative exercise time (h/week) (8.22 ± 6.17 h vs. 3.00 ± 1.65 h, \( p < 0.05 \)); higher SAQ scores (physical limitation, 69.59 ± 10.96 vs. 57.49 ± 7.19; anginal stability, 80.50 ± 18.21 vs. 58.82 ± 11.95; anginal frequency, 78.58 ± 11.07 vs. 67.14 ± 22.41; treatment satisfaction, 82.33 ± 13.21 vs. 56.84 ± 21.61; quality of life, 68.69 ± 18.33 vs. 60.26 ± 17.13, all \( p < 0.01 \)), but a similar MACE rate (log-rank \( p = 0.621 \)).

Conclusion: Compared with only routine post-procedure education, CR combining at least one-time CPET with a systematic cardiac education program before discharge improved engagement in physical activity and quality of life for patients after percutaneous coronary
intervention (PCI) without increasing clinical adverse events.
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**Key Summary Points**

Cardiac rehabilitation, especially exercise, may benefit post-percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) patients with coronary heart disease; however, the average rate of cardiac rehabilitation is low, and there is no patient management scheme with strong operability yet.

Compared with only routine post-procedure education, cardiac rehabilitation combining cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) with cardiac education could improve physical activity and quality of life for patients after PCI ($p < 0.05$).

Cardiac rehabilitation combining at least one-time CPET with a systematic cardiac education program before discharge improved engagement in physical activity and quality of life without increasing adverse events.

The conclusion of this article is helpful to promote our experience in China, which may benefit more patients and offer a good role in the Chinese cardiac rehabilitation field, and it may also provide a patient management scheme for post-PCI patients in other areas outside China.

**INTRODUCTION**

Despite significant improvement in prognosis and quality of life, patients with coronary heart disease who had undergone coronary stent implantation during the percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) are not exempt from cardiac death, recurrent angina, decreased exercise tolerance, in-stent restenosis, anxiety, and depression [1–4]. However, it was reported that exercise training (i.e., cardiac rehabilitation, CR) after PCI improves coronary lumen loss, recurrent angina, and exercise tolerance [5–7], with the American Heart Association PCI guideline granting a class IA recommendation for medically supervised exercise programs [8]. However, in some areas such as China, the number of cardiovascular centers available for CR is 24%. The average CR rate is < 10% in these centers [9], so efforts to raise awareness of the benefits of CR are warranted.

For years, we have continually and carefully carried out approaches to medically supervised exercise programs which might be the most suitable for post-PCI patients considering their particular socioeconomic, life/cultural, and physiological characteristics. We combined a one time cardiopulmonary function exercise test (CPET) and CR practice/education in the hospital and telephone health guidance/follow-up after discharge, and here we retrospectively analyzed the long-term efficacy and safety of the approach.

**METHODS**

**Data Collection**

The present single-center retrospective analysis was conducted to determine the effectiveness of one-time CPET in hospitals combined with systematic education of CR after stent implantation. From 1 April 2015 to 20 May 2017, 11,345 acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients hospitalized for PCI were retrospectively screened; 536 patients were excluded because of incomplete information or inability/unwillingness to cooperate with telephone follow-up; 4001 patients received CR; and 6808 patients received routine postoperative education without CR (controls), as shown in Fig. 1. All data on baseline characteristics, medication, and clinical follow-up including Seattle Angina Questionnaire (SAQ) score were routinely collected.
using the cv-net clinical data collection system (Beijing Crealife Technology Co., Ltd.) by a specialized clinical research team. CR was performed at the doctor’s discretion after evaluation of the patient’s overall health and socioeconomic status, disease severity, and willingness to undergo CR. Before CR, patients signed an informed consent form expressing understanding of the risks of CPET. All patients’ clinical treatment and medications were optimized according to current PCI guidelines [10]. The Medical Ethics Committee of General Hospital of Northern Theater Command has approved our study and waived the need for patient consent. The data of patients in our study were not identifiable.

Cardiopulmonary Function Exercise Test (CPET)

CPET was performed by CARDIOVIT AT-104 PC Ergo-Spiro (SCHILLER) in the Heart Rehabilitation Center at our department. CPET is a diagnostic method that reflects the indexes of human cardiopulmonary function during exercise with increasing load. During CPET, the subjects’ static electrocardiogram and static lung function (vital capacity/maximum ventilation) were individually collected. The patients were asked to breathe calmly, exhale forcefully to the limit after three or four times, then inhale forcefully to the limit, and then exhale forcefully. Cardiopulmonary function at different power levels and test results of exercise electrocardiography/exercise pulmonary function and cardiopulmonary function were also collected. The cardiopulmonary test entails four phases: resting phase (patient sitting on a vehicle, stationary for 1–2 min); warm-up phase (patient begins to pedal, no power load, pedal’s speed at 40 times per minute, until the respiratory quotient steadily returns to about 0.85; lasts 2–3 min); exercise phase (patient begins to pedal according to the previously configured power scheme and decides whether to terminate the power load according to the termination indication); and the recovery phase (patient keeps bicycling, no power load, depending on the recovery of heart rate to determine the end of the experiment; lasts 2–3 min). The test results of CPET including maximal oxygen uptake, metabolic equivalent (MET), and anaerobic threshold will be assessed for patients in the CR group. The rating of perceived exertion (RPE) will also be assessed using the Borg scale after CPET, which will be combined with other signs or symptoms to evaluate the cardiopulmonary function and exercise capacity. The indications for termination of CPET in our study were in accordance with the scientific statement from the American Heart Association [11].

Systematic Education of CR After CPET

After CPET, systematic prescription and education of CR for post-discharge were performed, which comprise rehabilitation exercises, nutrition, psychological intervention, smoking cessation, and medications according to the guidelines for coronary heart disease rehabilitation/secondary prevention [12]. Rehabilitation exercise prescription was individualized according to the maximum MET value suggested by CPET. Detailed exercise types and MET values are listed (see Table S1 in the
electronic Supplementary Material for details). Exercise intensity and duration were adjusted every 3–6 months. The exercise was advised to be halted if any of the following occurred: (1) chest pain, dyspnea, or dizziness during or after exercise; (2) heart rate fluctuation > 30 beats/min; (3) blood pressure > 200/100 mmHg or systolic blood pressure increase > 30 mmHg or decrease > 10 mmHg; (4) electrocardiogram monitoring during exercise showed ST segment depression ≥ 0.1 mV or elevation ≥ 0.2 mV; (5) severe arrhythmia occurred during or after exercise. Nutritional recommendations were individualized based on the principles of lower caloric intake, lower cholesterol intake, and balanced intake of fruits and vegetables. The psychological intervention was prescribed by a psychologist, while smoking cessation and medications were prescribed by clinical doctors. Finally, exercise type and volume of habitual exercise were routinely recorded by telephone follow-up after discharge.

Outcomes Process

The main outcome was quality of life as determined by SAQ scoring. The SAQ quantifies five domains, namely physical limitation (9 items), angina stability (1 item), angina frequency (2 items), treatment satisfaction (4 items), and quality of life (3 items), to assess angina and its impact on health and psychological status of the patients [13]. Degrees of physical limitation, angina stability, angina frequency, and treatment satisfaction from worst to best status ranged from 1 to 6 and quality of life from 1 to 5. SAQ scores overall and their components ranged from 0 denoting the worst to 100 denoting the best. Major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) and its components of target vessel revascularization (TVR), myocardial infarction (MI), and cardiac death were recorded and analyzed [14]. MI [15] was defined as the presence of abnormal imaging findings of MI, clinical symptoms, or electrocardiographic changes combined with an increase in the creatine kinase myocardial band fraction above the upper normal limits or an increase in troponin-T/troponin-I to > 99th percentile of the upper normal limit. TVR was defined as subsequent revascularization of the target vessel by either PCI (additional stent or angioplasty) or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG).

Follow-up

Via telephone interview, each patient completed the SAQ questionnaire and a detailed volume/type of habitual exercise questionnaire 1–3 months after discharge. Follow-up for clinical events was performed in 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months. Questions related to physical activity we prescribed were completed by all patients enrolled for analysis. The intensity of physical activity was categorized as mild (< 3 METs), moderate (3–6 METs), and high intensity (> 6 METs) [16], as detailed in Table S1 in Supplementary Material. Cumulative exercise time was defined as the cumulative time of walking, light household activities, and exercise according to rehabilitation prescription.

Statistical analyses

Categorical variables are expressed by numbers (percentages) and were compared using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD or median and were compared using the independent t-test; 2805 CR participants were matched 1:1 to controls using propensity scores for a total of 5610 patients analyzed. A non-parsimonious model was used containing all variables in Tables 1 and 2. Pairs were matched by the nearest neighbor matching model. The covariate balance achieved was assessed by calculating the standardized differences in covariates between the two groups. A difference < 10% suggested the appropriate balance between groups. All tests were two-tailed. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant. R software was used for propensity score matching and SPSS 24.0 for statistical analyses.
RESULTS

Long-term Results

After exclusion of patients with incomplete information or unwilling/unable to participate in follow-up interviews, the total CR rate at our center was 37%, and the longest follow-up period was 963 days, while the shortest follow-up period was 184 days, with a median follow-up of 583 days (Fig. 2); 2805 patients of the 4001 patients who received CR were...
successfully matched to 2805 non-CR controls out of the 6808 controls eligible for matching (Fig. 1).

**Baseline Characteristics**

Baseline characteristics of patients before and after propensity score matching (PSM) are presented in Table 1. Before PSM, compared with controls, patients in the CR group had less severe conditions indicating that physicians were more likely to recommend CR for patients with less severe disease status. The patients in CR group were younger (61.34 ± 10.67 vs. 57.42 ± 9.46 years, \( p < 0.01 \)); more often men (74% vs. 77.7%, \( p < 0.01 \)); and presented less often with ST segment elevation acute myocardial infarction (STEM) (22% vs. 10.4%, \( p < 0.01 \)) and non-ST segment elevation ACS (NSTE-ACS) (15% vs. 9%, \( p < 0.01 \)) but more

**Table 3** Physical activity categories and cumulative exercise time by study group

| Physical activity | CR \((n = 2805)\) | Control \((n = 2805)\) | \( p \) value |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Mild              | 22               | 956                  | < 0.05       |
| Moderate          | 2736             | 1849                 |              |
| High intensity    | 47               | 0                    |              |
| Cumulative exercise time (h/week) | 8.22 ± 6.17 | 3.00 ± 1.65 | < 0.05 |

Physical activity was categorized into mild (\(< 3\) METs), moderate (3 to 6 METs) and high intensity (\(> 6\) METs). Cumulative exercise time was defined as cumulative time of walking, light household activities, and exercise according to rehabilitation prescribed.

**Table 4** Seattle Angina Questionnaire score by domains and study group before and after propensity score matching

| Seattle Angina Questionnaire | Before propensity score matching | After propensity score matching |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                             | Control \((N = 6808)\) | CR \((N = 4001)\) | \( p \) value | Control \((N = 2805)\) | CR \((N = 2805)\) | \( p \) value |
| Physical limitation         | 57.68 ± 7.32                  | 69.82 ± 11.26 | < 0.01         | 57.49 ± 7.19                  | 69.59 ± 10.96 | < 0.01         |
| Anginal stability           | 58.50 ± 11.84                 | 80.52 ± 18.29 | < 0.01         | 58.82 ± 11.95                 | 80.50 ± 18.21 | < 0.01         |
| Anginal frequency           | 65.07 ± 23.67                 | 78.71 ± 11.04 | < 0.01         | 67.14 ± 22.41                 | 78.58 ± 11.07 | < 0.01         |
| Treatment satisfaction      | 57.08 ± 21.49                 | 82.63 ± 13.21 | < 0.01         | 56.84 ± 21.61                 | 82.33 ± 13.21 | < 0.01         |
| Quality of life             | 60.27 ± 16.70                 | 69.66 ± 18.65 | < 0.01         | 60.26 ± 17.13                 | 68.69 ± 18.33 | < 0.01         |

Anginal stability: a measure of whether a patient’s symptoms are changing over time. Anginal frequency: a measure of how often a patient is having symptoms now. Physical limitation: a measure of how much a patient’s condition is hampering their ability to do what they want to do. Treatment satisfaction: a measure of how well a patient understands their care and what they think of it. Quality of life: a measure of the overall impact of a patient’s condition on a patient’s interpersonal relationships and state of mind.
often with unstable angina (63% vs. 80.7%, \( p < 0.01 \)). Moreover, this group often had less burden of risk factors for coronary artery disease, i.e., hypertension, diabetes, previous PCI, and prior stroke (58.6 vs. 55.3%, \( p < 0.01 \); 28.8% vs. 22.3%, \( p < 0.01 \); 20.7% vs. 15.6%, \( p < 0.01 \); and 5.7% vs. 2.7%, \( p < 0.01 \), respectively) except for smoking, which was higher (47.8% vs. 57.7%, \( p < 0.01 \)). After the education of CR, the smoking rate decreased (22.5% vs. 5.2%, controls vs. CR, \( p < 0.01 \)). In terms of medications (Table 2), the usage rates of aspirin, ticagrelor, and ACEI did not differ significantly between CR and controls, while the use of clopidogrel, \( \beta \) blockers, and statins was higher in the CR group. During the treatment and follow-up, 610 patients in controls and 358 patients in the CR group crossed over between clopidogrel and ticagrelor. After propensity score matching, all baseline characteristics and medications were balanced (Tables 1, 2).

### Outcomes After Discharge

After PSM, both study groups were followed up after discharge (Table 3). Among controls, 956 patients did not have exercise, while in the CR group the no exercise number was 22. More patients in the CR group did a small amount of physical exercise vs. controls (2736 vs. 1849). In the CR group, 47 patients followed the exercise prescribed for rehabilitation relative to none.

---

**Table 5** Rates of MACE and its components by study group before and after propensity score matching

| Outcome                  | Before propensity score matching | After propensity score matching |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                          | Control (\( N = 6808 \))         | CR (\( N = 4001 \))           | Control (\( N = 2805 \))         | CR (\( N = 2805 \))           |
|                          | \( p \) value                      | \( p \) value                  |
| TVR                      | 54 (0.8%)                         | 18 (0.4%)                      | 20 (0.7%)                         | 13 (0.5%)                      | 0.222                        |
| Myocardial infarction    | 37 (0.5%)                         | 22 (0.5%)                      | 20 (0.7%)                         | 15 (0.5%)                      | 0.397                        |
| Death                    | 21 (0.3%)                         | 8 (0.2%)                       | 7 (0.2%)                          | 6 (0.2%)                       | 0.781                        |
| MACE                     | 85 (1.2%)                         | 34 (0.8%)                      | 45 (1.3%)                         | 24 (0.8%)                      | —                             |

Fig. 3 Kaplan-Meier survival curves of MACE before (left) and after PSM (right)
among controls. Physical exercise type differed between groups ($p < 0.05$). Cumulative exercise time (hours/week) was higher in the CR group ($8.22 \pm 6.17$ vs. $3.00 \pm 1.65$, $P < 0.05$). Both before and after PSM, scores for the five components of the SAQ were higher for the CR groups vs. controls (all $p < 0.01$; Table 4). After PSM, the physical limitation ($69.59 \pm 10.96$ vs. $57.49 \pm 7.19$), anginal stability ($80.50 \pm 18.21$ vs. $58.82 \pm 11.95$), anginal frequency ($78.58 \pm 11.07$ vs. $67.14 \pm 22.41$), and quality of life ($68.69 \pm 18.33$ vs. $60.26 \pm 17.13$) in the CR group were all higher than those in the control group.

As Table 5 shows, at median 461 days’ follow-up, incidences of adverse cardiac events in CR group vs. controls before and after PSM were respectively: for TVR, $0.4\%$ vs. $0.8\%$, $p = 0.005$ and $0.5\%$ vs. $0.2\%$; for MI, $0.5\%$ vs. $0.5\%$, $p = 0.397$; for death, $0.2\%$ vs. $0.3\%$, $p = 0.292$ and $0.2\%$ vs. $0.2\%$, $p = 0.781$; and for MACE, $0.8\%$ vs. $1.2\%$ and $0.8\%$ vs. $1.3\%$. However, as shown in Kaplan-Meier curves of MACE before and after PSM in Fig. 3, before PSM, cumulative hazard of MACE in controls was higher than in CR group (landmark $p = 0.006$), while after PSM, cumulative hazard of MACE did not differ between groups (landmark $p = 0.621$).

There were no fatal adverse events among all 4001 CR patients undergoing CPET. Only one patient suffered from ventricular tachycardia with no disturbance of consciousness and resolution 1–2 min later. Events during CPET included: posterior sternal burning pain ($n = 1$); chest tightness and shortness of breath ($n = 3$); chest tightness ($n = 7$); chest pain ($n = 4$); palpitation ($n = 1$); palpitation and ventricular bigeminy ($n = 1$); bradycardia ($n = 1$); and ventricular tachycardia ($n = 1$).

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, the present large-scale retrospective real-world single-center study of a Chinese population post-coronary stent implantation is the first to describe long-term SAQ/clinical outcomes of a CR approach combining CPET and systematic education in hospital compared to one with only routine post-procedure education [17]. Propensity score analysis was used to compare the effectiveness of the two approaches against a background of balanced baseline characteristics. Patients receiving in-hospital CPET plus education of CR vs. controls had a more favorable type and duration of physical activity and quality of life as reflected by higher SAQ scores during follow-up. The latter findings may contribute to better define the most optimal CR training approach based on the particularities of patients living habits and socioeconomic conditions.

In the present study, which reflected cardiologists’ choice and concern about the risk of CR/CPET for acute ACS at our center, the mean patient age was 57.42 years before propensity score matching, and the proportion of STEMI was only 10.4% in the CR group. In contrast, in a study of 1,432,399 patients who were referred to CR after PCI in the USA, the mean age was 65 years and the proportion of STEMI was 14.8% [18], and in a study of 2054 patients from 69 centers in 12 European countries, mean age was 61 years and proportion of STEMI was 22.8% [19]. The bias toward choosing patients with lower severity of disease for referral to CR is based on concern about the risk of CR/CPET, which highlights the potential requirement of interventions to break through conservative concepts and enhance CR referral rates after PCI in China.

Although high adherence and enrollment in CR could translate into improved outcomes in many studies [20], patients in real-world clinical work are less likely to complete or attend their CR programs [21–23]. A low adherence rate with 40%–50% of patients failing to complete their full rehabilitation programs has been reported [24], and many studies have focused on providing more effective interventions to increase the rehabilitation adherence rate [25]. The present study showed that one time of CPET and systematic education of CR in hospitals improved the adherence rate of home-based CR, increased Seattle angina score, and reduced smoking rate (Table 4). CR, especially physical activity, improves the tolerance of the myocardium to hypoxia and reduces the
sympathetic activity and heart rate of patients, which will eventually improve the physical capacity and quality of life.

Incidence of anxiety and depression after ACS is as high as 50% [26–28], which might underlie poor adherence to and enrollment in CR, and consequently less physical activity, in patients after PCI [29, 30]. Therefore, education of CR at our center includes psychological intervention. In the present study, after one time of CPET and education of CR, the score of Treatment Satisfaction and Quality of Life increased (Table 4). Meanwhile, physical activity categories and cumulative exercise time also improved (Table 3). The findings may suggest that healthy psychological status results in a higher adherence to CR. In the future, a greater variety of psychological interventions is needed in the education of CR, such as problem-solving therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, and interpersonal psychotherapy, as well as pharmacotherapy.

In the present study, CPET combined with education of CR before discharge significantly decreased the ‘no physical exercise’ rate compared with controls. However, the majority of patients engaged in only a small amount of physical exercise. Only 47 patients adhered to prescribed rehabilitation exercises. A self-report of activity from a multicenter study [31] documented a nonlinear relationship between mortality and amount of exercise, with more moderate-intensity exercise being associated with lower mortality. Other studies found that vigorous exercise may be associated with increased myocardial infarction and cardiac death [32, 33]. In the present study, no patient reported practicing vigorous exercise > 10 METs h/week.

**Study Limitations**

The present study has the inherent limitations of its retrospective observational cohort by follow-up design. Moreover, propensity score matching was used to adjust for differences in baseline characteristics between CPET combined CR and controls, which may introduce statistical bias. The observation of MACE being different or not between CR and controls depending on the statistical method used suggests the possible presence of confounders not included in the analysis or differences in follow-up duration.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this single-center experience in China, CR combining one time of cardiopulmonary function exercise with a systematic cardiac education program before discharge improved long-term physical activity and quality of life relative to only routine post-procedure education in ACS patients after PCI without increasing clinical adverse events.
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