Comprehensive linker-scanning mutagenesis of the hepatitis C virus E1 and E2 envelope glycoproteins reveals new structure–function relationships
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Despite extensive research, many details about the structure and functions of hepatitis C virus (HCV) glycoproteins E1 and E2 are not fully understood, and their crystal structure remains to be determined. We applied linker-scanning mutagenesis to generate a panel of 34 mutants, each containing an insertion of 5 aa at a random position within the E1E2 sequence. The mutated glycoproteins were analysed by using a range of assays to identify regions critical for maintaining protein conformation, E1E2 complex assembly, CD81 receptor binding, membrane fusion and infectivity. The results, while supporting previously published data, provide several interesting new findings. Firstly, insertion at amino acid 587 or 596 reduced E1E2 heterodimerization without affecting reactivity with some conformation-sensitive mAbs or with CD81, thus implicating these residues in glycoprotein assembly. Secondly, insertions within a conserved region of E2, between amino acid residues 611 and 631, severely disrupted protein conformation and abrogated binding of all conformation-sensitive antibodies, suggesting that the structural integrity of this region is critical for the correct folding of E2. Thirdly, an insertion at Leu-682 specifically affected membrane fusion, providing direct evidence that the membrane-proximal ‘stem’ of E2 is involved in the fusion mechanism. Overall, our results show that the HCV glycoproteins generally do not tolerate insertions and that there are a very limited number of sites that can be changed without dramatic loss of function. Nevertheless, we identified two E2 insertion mutants, at amino acid residues 408 and 577, that were infectious in the murine leukemia virus-based HCV pseudoparticle system.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is an enveloped, positive-sense RNA virus belonging to the genus *Hepacivirus* in the family *Flaviviridae*. The envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 are present on the surface of the virus particle and are major players during infection of host cells. Both are type 1 transmembrane proteins containing a highly glycosylated N-terminal ectodomain and a C-terminal transmembrane domain that anchors them to the membranes of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Brass et al., 2006; Helle & Dubuisson, 2008). E1 and E2 assemble in the ER as a non-covalent heterodimer, which was thought to be the functional complex responsible for host cell attachment and entry (Bartosch et al., 2003b; Dubuisson, 2007), but it has recently been shown that glycoproteins in the envelope of infectious virions form large covalent complexes that are stabilized by disulphide bridges (Vieyres et al., 2010). Entry involves multiple interactions of viral particles with a set of coreceptors required at sequential entry steps of attachment, binding, endocytosis and fusion (Benedicto et al., 2008; Helle & Dubuisson, 2008; Ploss et al., 2009). The tetraspanin CD81, scavenger receptor class B type I, and the tight junction proteins claudin-1 and occludin have all been identified as being essential for virus entry, but direct binding of the E1E2
heterodimer has so far been confirmed only for CD81 (Cocquerel et al., 2003). Owing to the association of HCV particles with very low density lipoproteins during virus assembly and secretion, lipoprotein receptors are also important entry factors (Burlone & Budkowska, 2009). Various structural and functional features of the E1E2 heterodimer have been described, including residues involved in heterodimerization (Ciczora et al., 2005; Cocquerel et al., 1998, 2000; Drummer & Poumbourios, 2004; Michalak et al., 1997; Op De Beeck et al., 2000; Patel et al., 2001; Selby et al., 1994), CD81-receptor binding (Drummer et al., 2006; Owsianka et al., 2006; Rothwangl et al., 2008) and the ER retention signal (Cocquerel et al., 1998). Fusion mediated by HCV glycoproteins is pH dependent and probably occurs after endocytosis in early endosomes (Blanchard et al., 2006; Meertens et al., 2006; Tscherne et al., 2006). The similarity of the genomic organization of HCV to other members of the family Flaviviridae suggests that E2 is a class II fusion protein, with a candidate fusion loop at amino acid residues 502–520 (Krey et al., 2010). However, several other hydrophobic domains within E1 and E2 have been investigated as potential fusion peptides (Lavillette et al., 2007; Perez-Berná et al., 2006; Russell et al., 2009; Spadaccini et al., 2010). It is still unclear which of the two partners is the fusion protein, and how the heterodimer mediates membrane fusion.

To fulfil such a multitude of biological functions, E1 and E2 must contain different segments with distinct properties. In the absence of a high-resolution structure, mutational analysis can provide valuable insights into the relationship between structure and function. In this study we constructed a panel of mutants with insertions randomly distributed throughout E1 and E2, and subjected we constructed a panel of mutants with insertions throughout E1 and E2, and subjected

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Construction and expression of E1 and E2 insertion mutants**

Linker-scanning mutagenesis was employed to generate a library of 5 aa insertions in E1 and E2. Briefly, an in vitro transposition reaction was used to introduce a 15 bp insertion at random into genotype 1a strain H77c E1E2 cDNA, resulting in a single 5 aa insertion in the protein. Fifty insertion mutants were isolated, of which 35 encoded ‘read-through’ mutations while 15 contained premature stop codons. The read-through insertions were distributed fairly evenly, with 11 located in E1, one in the E1 signal peptide and 23 in E2 (Fig. 1). The identity of the amino acids encoded by the insertions is given in Table 1. Mutants were numbered according to the amino acid position of the viral polyprotein immediately N-terminal to the insertion site. Natural glycosylation sites were preserved in all mutants except in577, which lacked the glycosylation site at Asn-576 (Table 1). We selected the 34 read-through mutants and compared their properties with those of wild-type (WT) E1E2 across a range of functions, from the fundamental characteristics of correct folding and heterodimerization to the higher level functions of fusion and infectivity. The results of all these experiments are summarized and correlated in Table 2. As an extra positive control, in addition to WT, we included in178, which had an insert in the E1 signal peptide and therefore expressed WT E1E2 glycoprotein. All experiments included a negative control that lacked E1 and E2 glycoproteins, and, in addition to this no-glycoprotein control, as a second E2-negative control we used the mutant in515-STOP, which has a premature stop codon at amino acid 515 and therefore expresses a truncated E2 protein.

To check for stable expression of mutated glycoproteins, lysates of HEK-293T cells transiently transfected with WT and mutant E1E2 were tested by *Galanthus nivalis* agglutinin (GNA) ELISA for reactivity to anti-E1 and anti-E2 mAbs that recognize linear epitopes. Upon serial dilution of lysates we saw a reasonably constant relationship between the dilution and the signal, showing that the assay was not saturated and that the signal was dependent on the concentration of glycoprotein present in the lysate (not shown). All mutants gave a signal that was at least 50 % of the WT signal observed with E1 mAbs H-111 and/or AP21.010. Similarly, all mutants (except for the negative control mutant in515-STOP) gave a signal that was at least 90 % that of WT with mAb AP33, and at least 65 % that of WT with mAb ALP98 (Table 2). Given that all the transiently expressed mutated glycoproteins were of similar concentration and stability in crude cell lysates, the relative
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Antigenic analysis of mutated E1E2 reveals a strong folding determinant in the E2 glycoprotein

To analyse the influence of insertions on protein folding, lysates of HEK-293T cells transiently transfected with WT and mutant E1E2 were tested by GNA ELISA for reactivity with conformation-sensitive murine anti-E2 mAbs H35, H48 and H53, and human anti-E2 mAbs CBH-4B, CBH-4D, CBH-4G, CBH-5 and CBH-7. The concentration of each lysate was normalized on the basis of reactivity with mAbs AP33 and ALP98. Several mutants were clearly much less reactive with the conformation-sensitive mAbs than WT. To stringently define the mutations that had the greatest effect, the data were analysed statistically and those where $P<0.01$ were considered to be significant.

The most striking result was that four consecutive mutants in the region between amino acid residues 611 and 631 were not recognized by any of the conformation-sensitive mAbs (Fig. 2 and Table 2), which shows that these mutants were misfolded. This region is highly conserved in different HCV genotypes and has been implicated in dimerization (Yagnik et al., 2000), CD81 binding (Roccasecca et al., 2003; Rothwangl et al., 2008), membrane fusion (Lavillette et al., 2007), binding of conformation-sensitive mAbs and infectivity (Iacob et al., 2008; Rothwangl et al., 2008). A new model of the tertiary structure of E2 assigns amino acid residues 581–651 to a distinct structural domain (DIII) stabilized by two long-range disulphide bonds, C597–C620 and C607–C644 (Krey et al., 2010, reproduced in Fig. 6). We propose that the region bounded by these disulphide bonds comprises a critical folding determinant whose structure is essential for the correct folding and functioning of E2.

Likewise, there was a highly significant reduction in binding ($P<0.01$) of all eight mAbs with mutants in540 and in549 (Fig. 2 and Table 2), showing that this region, which forms part of the central DI domain in the model (Fig. 6), is also essential for correct protein folding. It has been observed that mutation of the glycosylation site at N540 consistently reduces the affinity of various neutralizing antibodies, including CBH-5, CBH-7 and H48 (Helle et al., 2007; Owsianka et al., 2008), suggesting that absence of the glycan induces a local change in conformation. It is not surprising, therefore, that an insertion at this position has a similar effect.

In contrast, mutations in two other regions, amino acid residues 531–534 and 587–597, had a more selective effect. Insertions in531 and in533 severely reduced the binding of H35, H48 and CBH-5, but had little or no effect on the binding of the other five mAbs. Insertion in587 severely reduced the binding of CBH-4B, -4D and -4G and moderately that of H35, CBH-5 and CBH-7, but had no effect on H48 and H53. Similarly, in596 severely reduced the binding of CBH-4B, -4D and -4G, and moderately that of CBH-7, but had no effect on the other four mAbs (Fig. 2 and Table 2). Therefore, these insertions do not cause E2 to misfold, but probably disrupt antibody epitopes or else induce a very local conformational change that affects the binding of some mAbs but not others. The mAbs used in this study have been fairly well characterized, but our knowledge of the residues that contribute to their conformational epitopes is incomplete. The five human

| Mutant* | Insertion context |
|---------|-------------------|
| in178   | SFSLF FKHFL LALL |
| in194   | SAYQY FKHQY RNSS |
| in197†  | QVRNS CLNNS SQLY |
| in203   | GLYHV FKHIV TNDC |
| in218   | EAAD VFKHID AILHT |
| in231   | PCVR CLNIR EGNAS |
| in253   | RDGKL FKHK LPTTQ |
| in263   | RRHID CLNID LLVGS |
| in324   | DMMM FKQMM NWSP |
| in341   | LLRIP LFKHP QAIMD |
| in343   | RIPQA CLNKA IMDMI |
| in352   | IAGAH CLNTH WGVL |
| in408   | PGAK LFKHP QNIQL |
| in413   | QNIQL MKFKQ INTNGS |
| in422   | GS WHI MFKH M N TNSL |
| in424†  | WHINS CLNNS TALNC |
| in456   | CPERL FVKQL ASCRR |
| in515   | TPSPVY STOP |
| in531   | SWGA MKFHA NDTD |
| in533†  | GAND CLNND TDFV |
| in540†  | FVLN CLNIN NTRPP |
| in549   | GNW CLNWW FGCT |
| in573   | VIGG CLNRG VGNN |
| in577‡  | VGNN MKFHN TLLCP |
| in587   | DCFR CLNIR KHPEA |
| in596   | TYSR CLNTR CGSG |
| in611   | MVDY LFKHY PYRL |
| in614   | YPRY LFKHR LWHY |
| in620   | HYPC CLNTC TINTY |
| in630   | IFKVR CLNIR MYVGG |
| in652   | SFTTL LFKHL PALS |
| in692   | LIHL LFKHL HQNIV |
| in707   | GVGS MKFKQS SIASW |
| in726   | LFLLL FKKHL ADARV |
| in732   | ARVC CLNICS CLWM |
| in734   | VSCC CLNTC LWMM |

*Mutants are numbered according to the amino acid position immediately N-terminal of the insertion site.
†For mutants in197 in424, in533 and in540 the glycosylation motif is reconstituted at the end of the insertion, as underlined.
‡Mutant in577 has lost glycosylation site Asn-576.
Table 2. Summary of mutant E1E2 protein characteristics

| Mutant | E1E2 expression* | E2 folding (conformation-sensitive mAb binding*) | E1E2 hetero-dimerization† | CD81 binding* | Fusion‡ | HCVpp incorporation‡ | HCVpp infectivity§ |
|--------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------------|------------------|
|        | H111 AP21.010    | AP33 ALP98 binding                           | H35/H48                  | H53          | CBH-4B/4D/4G | CBH-5                | CBH-7            |
| in178  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | + + +                | 85 %            |
| in194  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 61 %                 | 7 %             |
| in197  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 7 %                  | -               |
| in203  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | + + +                | ?               |
| in218  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | ?               |
| in231  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in253  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | + + +                | 17 %            |
| in263  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | + + +                | 3 %             |
| in324  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in341  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in343  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | + + +                | 42 %            |
| in352  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 35 %                 | -               |
| in408  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 159 %                | 20 %            |
| in413  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 7 %                  | -               |
| in422  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 11 %                 | -               |
| in424  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in456  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | + + +                | 9 %             |
| in515 STOP | + + +        | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in531  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 42 %                 | -               |
| in533  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in540  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | + + +                | -               |
| in549  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | +                    | -               |
| in573  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 7 %                  | -               |
| in577  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | 130 %                | 13 %            |
| in587  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | +                    | -               |
| in596  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in611  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | +                    | -               |
| in614  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in620  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in630  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
| in682  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | +                    | -               |
| in692  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | +                    | -               |
| in707  | + + +            | + + +                                         | + + +                    | + + +        | + + +    | -                    | -               |
mAbs map to three distinct domains: CBH-4B, -4D and -4G to domain A, CBH-5 to domain B and CBH-7 to domain C (Hadlock et al., 2000; Keck et al., 2004a). Domain A mAbs are non-neutralizing, whereas domain B and C mAbs inhibit E2–CD81 binding and neutralize infectivity (Keck et al., 2004a; Owsianka et al., 2008). Mouse mAbs H35 and H48 are neutralizing, while H53 is non-neutralizing (Bartosch et al., 2003a; Cocquerel et al., 1998; Dubuisson et al., 1994). The neutralizing mAbs H35, H48 and CBH-5 have a similar footprint, and Gly-530 is a critical binding residue for all three antibodies (Keck et al., 2008; Owsianka et al., 2006, 2008). This suggests that insertions in 531 and in 533 directly disrupt an important component of their common epitope, with little impact on E2 conformation. On the other hand, insertions in 587 and in 596 affect the binding of mAbs that recognize different epitopes, so they may induce a local conformational change that does not alter the overall folding of E2.

**Influence of insertions on E1E2 heterodimer formation**

We investigated the ability of mutated E1E2 that was transiently expressed in HEK-293T cells to assemble into non-covalent heterodimers. The proteins were immunoprecipitated with rabbit polyclonal anti-E2 serum R646, separated by using SDS-PAGE under reducing and non-reducing conditions, and detected by immunoblotting. The WT heterodimer consisted of E2, which migrated predominantly as a single band, associated non-covalently with different glycoforms of E1 (Fig. 3). Most of the mutants were co-precipitated by anti-E2 serum R646, although some variation in migration and glycosylation patterns was observed.

Analysis on non-reducing gels showed that E2 mutants in 540, in 549, in 587, in 596, in 707 and in 726 yielded lower levels of co-precipitated E1, which indicated impaired E1E2 heterodimer formation (Fig. 3b and Table 2). In E2 mutant in 692 (and also in the truncated in 515-STOP mutant), we observed reduced immunoprecipitation of E2 and no detectable co-precipitation of E1. The apparent lack of E1 in the case of mutants in 197 and in 203 is caused by these insertions disrupting the epitope (aa 197–207) of mAb A4, which was used for detection, and should not be interpreted as a lack of heterodimerization. The only E1 mutant that showed a reduced level of heterodimerization is in 194, but, because the insertion is very close to the A4 epitope, this result cannot be interpreted with certainty.

The reduced heterodimerization observed for mutants in 540 and in 549 is probably a consequence of these mutants being misfolded, as discussed above. Mutants in 587, in 596, in 692, in 707 and in 726 are correctly folded, although in 587 and in 596 may induce a local conformational change that does not impact on the overall folding of E2. These mutants therefore indicate two regions of E2 that are involved in heterodimerization: amino acids 587–597 and 692–727. The latter region spans the membrane-proximal heptad repeat.
Fig. 2. Folding analysis of mutated E1E2 using conformation-sensitive mAbs. WT and mutated E1E2 glycoproteins (numbered as in Fig. 1) in lysates of transfected HEK-293T cells, normalized for reactivity with mAbs AP33 and ALP98, were captured by GNA and probed with (a) mouse anti-E2 mAbs H35, H48 and H53; (b) human anti-E2 mAbs CBH-4B, -4D and -4G; and (c) CBH-5 and CBH-7. The reactivity of each mutant is presented as a percentage of the signal for WT, after subtracting the signal obtained with mock-transfected cell lysate. Values shown are the means and SD of three independent assays. **, Values that differ significantly from WT (P<0.01).
transmembrane domain and the membrane-proximal hydrophobic region of E2 in heterodimer formation, and also identify another region of E2 (aa 587–597) that may be involved in complex assembly.

**CD81 binding properties of mutated E1E2**

The mutants were tested for their ability to bind CD81, an essential receptor for virus entry into target cells (Pileri et al., 1998). All mutants with insertions in E1 bound CD81 with approximately WT efficiency, whereas a significant decrease in CD81 binding was observed with several E2 mutants (Fig. 4 and Table 2). A 40–55 % reduction in binding was seen with mutants in 540 and in 549, while all mutants in the region between amino acid residues 611 and 631 resulted in a 70–80 % reduction of CD81 binding. This is almost certainly a consequence of protein misfolding caused by these insertions, as described above, rather than a direct effect. The greatest reduction (75–85 %) in CD81 binding was observed for mutants in 422, in 424, in 531 and in 533. These mutants are correctly folded, since they are recognized by most of the conformation-sensitive mAbs (Fig. 2 and Table 2), and therefore we conclude that these four insertions directly affect the CD81-binding site.

Several non-contiguous amino acid residues of E2 have been identified as being important for CD81 receptor binding: Trp-420 and amino acid residues 436–443, 527–535 and 613–618 (Drummer et al., 2006; Owsianka et al., 2006; Roccasecca et al., 2003; Rothwangl et al., 2008), indicating that the binding site is conformation-sensitive and is dependent upon correct E2 folding. Our study shows that mutations in 531 and in 533 disrupt the binding both of soluble CD81 and of neutralizing antibodies H35, H48 and CBH-5 (Table 2). These mutations are likely to interfere directly with the accessibility of Trp-529, Gly-530 and Asp-535, which are critical CD81-binding residues. Similarly, the CD81-binding defect of mutants in 422 and in 424 might result from the proximity of these inserts to Trp-420. These four mutations are all located in close proximity to each other on domain DI (Fig. 6) (Krey et al., 2010), highlighting the importance of this domain for receptor binding and virus neutralization.

**Insertions in E1 and E2 have a major influence on HCV pseudo-particle infectivity**

Having established that a number of insertions had multiple effects on both the structure and function of E1E2, we related these effects to infectivity by generating recombinant HCV pseudo-particles (HCVpp) bearing WT or mutated glycoproteins and testing them for infectivity of Huh-7 cells. Most of the insertions in both E1 and E2 resulted in a dramatic decrease or a complete loss of infectivity (Fig. 5b and Table 2). Only four mutants maintained clearly demonstrable levels of cell entry, although all were significantly lower than WT: in 194 (7 %), in 253 (3 %), in 408 (20 %) and in 577 (13 %). Only the control mutant in 178, with an insertion outside the glycoprotein sequence, maintained normal infectivity (70 % of WT), in keeping with its WT characteristics in all other assays.

To assess whether the lack of infectivity could be explained by impaired incorporation of glycoproteins, sucrose-cushion-concentrated HCVpp were immunoprecipitated with anti-E2 serum R646 and analysed by using non-reducing SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting for E1, E2 and murine leukemia virus (MLV) Gag protein (Fig. 5c). Significant differences in E1E2 incorporation levels were found in approximately half of the mutant
HCVpp. Specifically, very low levels of E1 incorporation were seen in mutants \textit{in}218, \textit{in}263 and \textit{in}324, and very low levels of one or both glycoproteins in mutants \textit{in}456, \textit{in}540, \textit{in}549, \textit{in}587, \textit{in}596, \textit{in}611, \textit{in}620, \textit{in}630, \textit{in}692, \textit{in}707, \textit{in}732, \textit{in}734 and the truncated control \textit{in}515-STOP. As previously, the apparent lack of E1 in the case of mutants \textit{in}194, \textit{in}197 and \textit{in}203 is caused by these insertions disrupting the epitope of mAb A4, and should not be interpreted as a lack of incorporation. All of the misfolded mutants produced defective HCVpp except for \textit{in}614, which, intriguingly, incorporated the non-covalent heterodimer at WT levels.

**Fusion competence of mutated E1E2**

We reasoned that non-infectious mutants that incorporated normal levels of glycoproteins might have a reduced membrane fusion capability. To perform a cell-based fusion assay, HEK-293T donor cells were co-transfected with plasmids encoding E1 and E2 glycoproteins, together with a luciferase reporter gene under the control of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 LTR. Donor cells were co-cultivated with Huh7-J6 indicator cells expressing HIV-1 transcription transactivator protein (Tat), and fusion was induced by lowering the pH to 5. Under these conditions donor cells bearing WT E1E2 gave a robust signal of $10^5$ relative luminescence units (RLU), while donor cells lacking HCV glycoproteins gave a signal of $<10^3$ RLU. To further validate the assay, donor cells expressing WT E1E2 and luciferase reporter were combined with indicator cells devoid of Tat transactivator, and this combination also resulted in a signal of $<10^3$ RLU.

We found a clear correlation between the infectivity profile of the mutants and their ability to mediate cell fusion (Fig. 5a, b). Most of the mutants were severely impaired or unable to mediate fusion, but several retained a significant proportion of WT fusogenic activity, specifically E1 mutants \textit{in}194 (61 %), \textit{in}253 (17 %), \textit{in}343 (42 %) and \textit{in}352 (35 %), and E2 mutants \textit{in}408 (159 %) and \textit{in}577 (130 %). Only those mutants (\textit{in}194, \textit{in}408 and \textit{in}577) that had levels of fusogenic activity close to or greater than WT retained moderate (7 % to 20 %) HCVpp infectivity (Table 2). This may be because both assays depend on the expression of functional glycoproteins on the plasma membrane of HEK cells. All three infectious mutants have inserts in highly variable regions: \textit{in}194 is at the very N terminus of E1, \textit{in}408 is in the first hypervariable region (HVR1) of E2 and \textit{in}577 is in the intergenotypic variable region (igVR) of E2. HVR1 is dispensable for HCV infection but viruses lacking this region or carrying an insertion in it have been shown to be less infectious (Bankwitz \textit{et al.}, 2010; Forns \textit{et al.}, 2000; Wakita \textit{et al.}, 2005). The igVR is extremely variable in sequence and also in length (10–15 aa, depending on genotype), but all isolates contain a single conserved N-linked glycosylation site at approximately amino acid position 576 (Humphreys \textit{et al.}, 2009; McCaffrey \textit{et al.}, 2007). Interestingly, the igVR is predicted to function as an inter-domain hinge region, which allows the molecule to flex and go through the large conformational change that is necessary to mediate fusion (Krey \textit{et al.}, 2010). Our data suggest that this region is so plastic that it can tolerate an increase in length from 11 to 16 aa and also the loss of an N-linked glycan (the glycosylation site is disrupted in the \textit{in}577 mutant). This is in keeping with previous work, which showed that HCVpp lacking this glycosylation site retained infectivity (Goffard \textit{et al.}, 2005; Helle \textit{et al.}, 2007).

Fusion occurs upon endocytosis in early endosomes and requires an acidic pH, which induces a conformational
rearrangement of the envelope proteins (reviewed by Helle & Dubuisson, 2008). Mutagenesis of conserved residues in putative fusion-active regions has identified three regions that may be functionally important: amino acid residues 259–286 in E1, and 416–430 and 600–620 in E2 (Drummer et al., 2007; Lavillette et al., 2007; Russell et al., 2009).
the latest structural model predicts that the fusion peptide comprises amino acid residues 502–520 in E2 (Krey et al., 2010). Several of our mutants (in263, in422, in424, in611, in614 and in620) have insertions that disrupt one of these regions, and they are all negative for membrane fusion. However, each of them is deficient in at least one other function: mutant in263 does not incorporate normal levels of E1 into HCVpp, and is therefore unlikely to display normal levels of E1 on the plasma membrane of transfected HEK cells; mutants in422 and in424 do not bind CD81; while mutants in611, in614 and in620 are misfolded. In each case the lack of fusion is very likely to be an indirect effect.

Similarly, the lack of fusogenic activity in most of the other mutants can be ascribed to a defect in another function. However, mutants in231, in341 and in682 have WT characteristics in every assay other than fusion and infectivity, and therefore these insertions may be having a direct effect on the mechanism of membrane fusion. Mutant in682 is particularly interesting because this insertion disrupts the conserved hydrophobic heptad-repeats region in the flexible, membrane-proximal ‘stem’ of E2 (Fig. 6). By analogy with the well-characterized class I fusion proteins of influenza and HIV-1, the stem region of flavivirus class II fusion proteins is proposed to play a key role in membrane fusion by interacting closely with a region of the protein close to the fusion loop and thus stabilizing the post-fusion ‘hairpin’ conformation (reviewed by Harrison, 2008; Kielian & Rey, 2006). Drummer & Poumbourios (2004) demonstrated that conserved residues in the hydrophobic heptad repeat were essential for HCV cell entry, and proposed that this region of E2 is functionally homologous to the stem of flavivirus E glycoproteins. The characteristics of mutant in682 provide direct evidence that this region plays a role in membrane fusion.

A tabulated summary of all the data obtained in this analysis (Table 2) shows the relationships between the fundamental properties of correct folding and heterodimerization and the higher-level functions of receptor binding, HCVpp incorporation, fusion and infectivity. Fig. 6 maps the insertions that affect specific functions on a model of the E2 protein (Krey et al. 2010). Unfortunately, the mutagenesis was relatively less informative about E1 in that none of the E1 insertions that we obtained affected heterodimer formation. However the insert at amino acid position 324, which disrupts a very conserved region, dramatically reduced incorporation of E1 into HCVpp. It is striking that membrane fusion and HCVpp infectivity were severely affected by all insertions in E1, except for one at the very N terminus, thus emphasizing the involvement of E1 in the fusion and entry process. The effects of insertions within E2 point to the following structure–function relationships: (i) correct folding of E2 requires the structural integrity of regions 611–631 and 540–549; (ii) E1/E2 heterodimerization involves regions 587–597 and 692–727; (iii) CD81 binding is disrupted by insertions at amino acid residues 422–425 and 531–534; (iv) incorporation of E1/E2 into HCVpp is reduced by insertions at

Fig. 6. Localization of insertions on the model of HCV E2. The linear sequence of H77c E2 is represented as a chain of beads threaded onto a class II fusion protein fold. Structural domains are coloured red (DI), yellow (DII) and blue (DIII), the stem region is grey and the unstructured regions (HVR1, HVR2 and IgVR) are brown. Black font on bright colour indicates foreground residues and white font on pale colour indicates background residues. The transmembrane domain (TM) is represented by an ellipse at the C terminus. Glycosylation sites are coloured green. CD81-binding residues are circled in blue. Putative fusion-loop residues are circled in red. Disulphide bonds are shown as black bars. Intercalated stars show the position of insertions that retain infectivity (white), and those that affect protein folding (yellow), heterodimer formation (red), CD81 binding (blue) and fusion (green). The amino acid residue immediately N-terminal to each insertion is numbered. Adapted from Krey et al. (2010).
residues 456 and 732–735, which also abrogate membrane fusion; and (v) insertion at Leu-682 specifically disrupts fusion. Overall, our study shows that insertions at most sites in the E1E2 glycoprotein complex abrogate infection. A similar observation was made in the context of whole-genome analysis, which showed that the E1E2 sequence is considerably less tolerant of insertions than most other regions of the HCV genome (Arumugaswami et al., 2008). Nevertheless, we have identified positions in the E1 (aa 194) and E2 glycoproteins (aa 408 and 577, Fig. 6) that can be modified by insertion of a short peptide without loss of function. A small affinity tag inserted at these positions could be used for purification of native E1E2 heterodimer or even functional analysis of HCV virions.

**METHODS**

**Plasmid constructs.** Plasmids encoding HCV genotype 1a strain H77c E1E2 (aa 137–746 of the viral polyprotein; Yanagi et al., 1998, 2003; Owsianka et al., 2005). The MLV transfer vector pCNC-TAT (Ikeda et al., 2003) and the plasmid pLTR-luc were a kind gift from Yasuhiro Takeuchi (University College London, UK) and Dan Littman (New York University School of Medicine, USA), respectively.

**Antibodies.** Anti-E2 mAbs AP33, ALP98, H35, H48 and H53, anti-E2 rabbit polyclonal serum R646, and anti-E1 mAbs A4 and AP21.010 have been described previously (Clayton et al., 1998, 2003; Dubuisson et al., 2002; Cocquerel et al., 2001). Human mAbs H-111 (anti-E1), and CBH-4B, CBH-4D, CBH-4G, CBH-5 and CBH-7 (all anti-E2) have been reported previously (Hadlock et al., 2000; Keck et al., 2004b). MLV capsid-specific mAb was obtained from rat hybridoma cells (ATCC accession no. CRL-1912). Anti-β-actin mAb was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

**Construction of mutants.** Random mutations in HCV E1E2 glycoproteins were generated by linker-scanning mutagenesis using a Tn-7-based transposon mutagenesis kit (GPS-LS Linker Scanning System; NEB). In brief, the plasmid encoding HCV genotype 1a strain H77 E1E2 cDNA was used as a target template in an in vitro transposition reaction with a donor plasmid containing a kanamycin selection marker flanked by two attachment sites (Tn7L and Tn7R) for transposase. After transformation into Escherichia coli strain DH5-α, plasmids from kanamycin-resistant colonies were screened by restriction digestion to exclude insertions in the vector or promoter DNA. Selected plasmids with insertions in the E1 and E2 gene sequences were digested with Pmel, to remove most of the transposon containing the selection marker, and self-ligated, resulting in 15-base-pair insertions. The nucleotide sequence of the insertions was TGTTTTAAA(N)5, where (N)3 are the duplicated nucleic acid residues of the target sequence at the insertion site. All the mutants were sequenced to identify the position and sequence of the insertions.

**Cell lines.** Human hepatoma Huh-7 cells and human epithelial kidney HEK-293T cells were propagated as described previously by Owsianka et al., (2005). Huh7-J6 indicator cells were generated by transducing Huh-7 cells with HIV-1 Tat expression vector pCNC-TAT and selecting in medium containing 500 μg G418 ml⁻¹.

**HCVpp infectivity and glycoprotein incorporation.** HCVpp were produced as described previously (Bartosch et al., 2003a). In brief, HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with plasmids encoding WT or mutated HCV E1E2 cDNA, the MLV Gag–Pol packaging vector and the MLV–GFP or MLV–luc transfer vector. Control pseudoparticles were generated by omitting the glycoprotein construct. At 2 days post-transfection, the pseudoparticle-containing medium was harvested, clarified, filtered (0.45 μm pore size) and used to infect Huh-7 cells. After 4 h incubation at 37 °C, the inoculum was replaced with fresh medium, the cells incubated for 4 days and then the cells were analysed for reporter-gene expression by flow cytometry on a FACScalibur (GFP) or by using Bright-Glo (Promega) and a Chameleon II plate reader (luciferase).

To analyse incorporation of mutated E1E2, HCVpp were concentrated and purified by ultracentrifugation over a 20% sucrose cushion (116 000 g, 3 h, 4 °C). The pellets were resuspended in PBS (pH 7.4) to concentrate viral particles 20-fold and incubated with anti-E2 R646 rabbit antiserum for 2 h at 4 °C. Antibody complexes were precipitated by using protein A–Sepharose (Sigma-Aldrich) and analysed by SDS-PAGE (10% acrylamide gels) followed by immunoblotting with E1 (A4), E2 (AP33 and ALP98) and MLV-gag mAbs, followed by HRP-conjugated anti-species secondary antibodies and enhanced chemiluminescence detection (SuperSignal West Dura Chemiluminescent Substrate; Thermo Scientific).

**Analysis of heterodimer formation.** HEK-293T cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding WT or mutated HCV E1E2 cDNA. Mock-transfected cells served as a negative control. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were incubated for 30 min with lysis buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM iodoacetamide). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation and incubated with anti-E2 R646 rabbit antiserum for 2 h at 4 °C. The antibody complexes were precipitated by using protein A–Sepharose and analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblottedting with E1 and E2 mAbs, as described above.

**GNA ELISA.** Detection of E1E2 by ELISA was performed as described by Patel et al. (2000). Briefly, Immulon II plates coated with GNA were used to capture glycoproteins from lysates of HEK-293T cells transiently expressing WT or mutated E1E2, prepared as described above. Lysate from mock-transfected cells served as a negative control. Bound proteins were detected by using appropriate antibodies followed by anti-species IgG–HRP conjugate and TMB substrate (Sigma). Statistical analysis was carried out using a Z-test to assess whether there was a significant difference between the reactivity of each individual mutant and the mean of the whole dataset, the null hypothesis being that all mutants were identical to WT.

**CD81 binding assay.** Microtitre plates (Costar 3590) coated with 0.5 μg human CD81–LEL–GST fusion protein ml⁻¹ were used to capture glycoproteins from lysates of HEK-293T cells transiently expressing WT or mutated E1E2, prepared as described above. Lysate from mock-transfected cells served as a negative control. Bound proteins were detected by using appropriate antibodies followed by anti-species IgG–HRP conjugate and TMB substrate (Sigma). Statistical analysis was carried out using a Z-test to assess whether there was a significant difference between the reactivity of each individual mutant and the mean of the whole dataset, the null hypothesis being that all mutants were identical to WT.

**HCV cell–cell fusion.** The assay was performed essentially as previously described (Kobayashi et al., 2006; Lavillette et al., 2007). Briefly, HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with plasmids encoding WT or mutated E1 and E2 glycoprotein sequences and HIV-2 LTR luciferase reporter gene (pLTR-luc). Control cells were transfected with (i) WT E1E2 but no reporter and (ii) empty phCMV vector and pLTR-luc. After 12 h incubation at 37 °C, transfected cells were reseded at 10⁵ cells per well in six-well plates, together with Huh7-J6 indicator cells or Huh-7 control cells at 4 x 10⁵ cells per well. After 24 h of co-cultivation, the cells were washed with PBS, incubated for

![Image](http://vir.sgmjournals.org)
5 min in a pH 5.0 fusion buffer (130 mM NaCl, 15 mM sodium citrate, 10 mM MES, 5 mM HEPES) and washed three times with medium. Luciferase activity was measured 24 h later by using BrightGlo (Promega).
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