Intrapartum ultrasound use in clinical practice as a predictor of delivery mode during prolonged second stage of labor
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Abstract
Purpose To determine the validity of intrapartum ultrasound (IPUS), and particularly the angle of progression (AOP), in predicting delivery mode when measured in real-life clinical practice among women with protracted second stages of labor.

Methods Using electronic medical records, nulliparous women with a second stage of labor of ≥ 3 h (“prolonged”) and a documented AOP measurement during the second stage were identified. The ability of a single AOP measurement in “prolonged” second stage to predict a vaginal delivery (VD) was assessed. Fetal head descent, measured by AOP change/h (calculated from serial measurements), was compared between women who delivered vaginally and those who had a cesarean delivery (CD) for arrest of descent.

Results Of the 191 women who met the inclusion criteria, 62 (32.5%) delivered spontaneously, 96 (50.2%) had a vacuum extraction (VE) and 33 (17.3%) had a CD. The mean AOP was wider among women who had VD (spontaneous or VE) compared to those who had CD (153° ± 19 vs. 133° ± 17, \(p < 0.001\)). Wider AOPs were associated with higher rates of VD and an AOP ≥ 127° was associated with a VD rate of 88.6% (148/167). Among the 87 women who had more than one AOP measurement, the mean AOP change per hour was higher in the VD group than in the CD group (15.1° ± 11.4° vs. 6.2° ± 6.3°, \(p < 0.001\)).

Conclusion Ultrasound-assessed fetal head station in nulliparous women with a protracted second stage of labor can be an accurate and objective additive tool in predicting the mode and interval time to delivery in real-life clinical practice.
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Introduction

The second stage of labor’s maximal duration time is a controversial issue. While prolonging the second stage may increase the chance of vaginal delivery (VD), there is concern about potential increase rates of adverse maternal and/or neonatal outcomes [1]. In 2014, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the Society of Maternal and Fetal Medicine (SMFM) endorsed changing the definition of prolonged second stage of labor, stating that there is no specific maximal length of the second stage of labor as long as progress is being observed [2]. Yet, after adopting this change in definition some reported an increased maternal and neonatal morbidity [3, 4]. Additionally, cesarean deliveries (CD), when performed in advanced second stages of labor, are associated with a higher complications rate [5–7]. Therefore, accurate predictions of the likelihood of VD for women with a prolonged second stage is essential to minimize potential complications. The classical way to assess labor progress and fetal head station and position and to predict delivery mode is by digital vaginal examination. However, this method is subjective and inaccurate [8, 9] and thus not optimal for the significant decisions required during the most important phase of pregnancy, the delivery.

During the last decade, intrapartum ultrasound (IPUS) played an essential role in the management of labor [10–23].
IPUS was found to be more accurate in determining fetal head position [24–28], station [29–31] and descent [32–39], as well as in estimating the interval time to delivery [40–42]. In 2018, the International Society of Ultrasound in Obsetrics and Gynecology (ISUOG) issued guidelines recommending to performed IPUS in any delay in the second stage of labor [43].

Several studies evaluated the role of IPUS in predicting the chance of VD in women with a prolonged second stage of labor [30, 44–47]. Following these reports, IPUS for assessment of fetal head position and station (by the AOP) in women with a protracted second stage has been adopted and commonly performed in our labor ward to assist in clinical decisions. Yet, the utility of IPUS for these purposes, outside of research settings, has not been well studied. Thus, the aim of this study was to determine whether IPUS and particularly the AOP when measured and used in real-life clinical practice by “less skilled” labor ward physicians can be a useful tool in predicting mode and interval time to delivery among women with a slow progress in the second stage of labor.

**Study design**

This is a retrospective cohort study including women who delivered at Kaplan Medical Center from January 2017 to April 2020. We searched our electronic medical records for nulliparous women with a viable singleton pregnancy at term (> 37 completed weeks), who received neuraxial analgesia and had a second stage of labor of at least 3 h (defined as “prolonged” second stage of labor for the purpose of this study) and for whom an AOP measurement was documented at 2:30–3:30 h from complete dilatation diagnosis. This timing was chosen since in real-life situations, the required assessment (per our protocol) at 3 h from complete dilatation is hard to implement at the exact timing. Women who had a vacuum extraction (VE) or a CD during the second stage of labor solely for a non-reassuring fetal heart rate were excluded from the study.

In our labor ward, IPUS is commonly used by the clinical staff as an additive tool to the digital examination. Before using this modality, all physicians designated to take care of laboring women, both during daytime and nighttime shifts, were required to complete training sessions and to be qualified by the director of the Labor and Delivery ward (RL) before independently performing IPUS. The use of US during the second stage of labor was performed at the discretion of the clinical staff on duty. Currently, for the decision-making process, we commonly assess fetal head position, station and descent at about 3 h from complete dilatation, both by digital vaginal examination and US, and the decision whether to perform operative delivery or allow more time is based on the clinical judgment after integrating the available information.

Demographic and clinical data were retrieved from the electronic medical records. The Institutional Review Board of the Kaplan Medical Center approved the study protocol (KMC0206-19).

**Intrapartum ultrasound assessment**

Our labor ward is equipped with portable US machines with a 2- to 5-MHz transabdominal two-dimensional convex transducer (Voluson P6, General Electric, Zift, Austria). Fetal head position is acquired by transabdominal US (in cm) to AOP as described by Tutchek et al. [40] (AOP measurements 127°, 138°, 148° correspond to head station 1, 2, 3, respectively). Among women with more than one AOP measurement during the second stage of labor, the pace of labor progress was compared between women with prolonged second stage of labor who had VD and those who eventually had a CD.

Demographic and clinical characteristics were summarized using mean and standard deviation or median (minimum, maximum) for continuous variables and frequency tables for categorical variables. Continuous variables were tested for normality using Shapiro–Wilk test and compared between the study groups using T test for normally distributed variables. Categorical variables were compared using Pearson Chi-square test. A logistic regression model containing demographic data (age, BMI, gestational age and birth weight), clinical data (labor induction, oxytocin, and second stage duration) and AOP measurements was generated. The variables were tested for statistical significance prediction of VD. Kaplan–Meier curves were used to assess the association between AOP groups (< 127°, 127–137° and 138–147°) and the interval time (min) to delivery. The groups were compared using a log-rank test, and cases were censored at the time of CD. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using the
statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics version 25.0.

**Results**

During the study period, 5266 (27.3%) nulliparous women delivered in our medical center. Among them, 312 (5.9%) had a prolonged second stage, (Fig. 1). Of the 191 (61%) women who met all the inclusion criteria, 158 (82.7%) delivered vaginally [62 (32.5%) spontaneously and 96 (50.2%) had a VE] and 33 (17.3%) underwent a CD. One of 97 VE attempts had failed (1%). Of note, in our center, forceps deliveries are not performed and the rates of VE and CD among nulliparous women are about 13% and 15%, respectively. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are described in Table 1. The BMI, the rates of oxytocin augmentation and induction of labor, as well as US-assessed OP position were significantly higher among women who had a CD compared to those who delivered vaginally.

The mean AOP at 2:30–3:30 h from complete dilatation was wider among women who had a CD than that of those who eventually had a CD (153° ± 19 vs. 133° ± 17, respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)). Figure 3 Depicts the association between the rate of VD and the different AOP cutoffs as suggested by the conversion table from fetal head station described by Tutchek et al. [40]. A wider AOP was associated with higher rates of VD and an AOP ≥ 127° was associated with a VD rate of 88.6% (148/167).

An OP position as assessed by US (30/191, 15.7%) was associated with a narrower mean AOP at 2:30–3:30 h. From completed dilatation than a non-OP position (142.2° ± 17° vs. 151.1° ± 20°, respectively; \( p < 0.013 \)). The VD rate among these women was only 50% (\( n = 15 \)) and the mean AOP was wider in women who had VD compared to those who had CD (150.2° ± 3° vs. 134.3° ± 2°, \( p < 0.0017 \)).

Since an US-assessed OP position at prolonged second stage was associated with a high CD rate regardless of the AOP, we included in the multivariable logistic regression analysis only women with fetuses in a non-OP position (Table 2). The model revealed that, in addition to the neonatal birth weight and labor induction rate, the AOP was independently associated with the mode of delivery (OR 1.07, 95% CI 1.03–1.11, \( p < 0.001 \)).

Additional AOP measurements (one to four) during the second stage of labor were available for 87 women. Among these women, the mean AOP change per hour was significantly higher in women who delivered vaginally (\( n = 66 \)) compared to those (\( n = 21 \)) who had a CD (15.1° ± 11.4 vs. 5.7° ± 6.1°, respectively; \( p < 0.001 \), Fig. 4). The individual slope patterns of the change in

**Fig. 1** Deliveries during the study period; *SVD: \( n = 15,249 \) (79.2%), VE: \( n = 1,045 \) (5.4%), CD: \( n = 2977 \) (15.4%). **SVD: \( n = 3,730 \) (70.9%), VE: \( n = 630 \) (11.9%), CD: \( n = 906 \) (17.2%). SVD spontaneous vaginal delivery, VE vacuum extraction, CD cesarean delivery
AOP during the second stage of labor for women who had a VD and for those who underwent CD are illustrated in Fig. 5.

A Kaplan–Meier plot for the time interval from AOP measurements at 2:30–3:30 h from complete dilatation to VD was analyzed according to three AOP cutoffs: < 127°, 127–137° and 138–147° (log-rank test, \( p < 0.001 \)). Among these groups, the wider was the AOP, the shorter was the remaining time to delivery (95 ± 8.8, 72 ± 5.6, 56 ± 6.6 min, respectively), Fig. 6.

**Discussion**

The principal finding of this study is that the AOP, as measured in routine clinical practice settings by the “less skilled” labor ward physician, can assist in predicting the likelihood of a VD and in estimating the remaining time to birth in nulliparous women with neuraxial analgesia and a prolonged second stage of labor. Our study reaffirm the results of previous prospective studies, performed by physicians with expertise in US imaging and a particular interest in IPUS [10, 30, 34, 36, 44, 46], addressing the role of IPUS and particularly the AOP in managing protracted second stage of labor.

While several studies investigated the role of IPUS for predicting successful instrumental deliveries, only a few studied the role of US in decision making in nulliparous women with slow fetal head descent during the second stage of labor [15, 30, 44–47]. Kalache et al. [44] in a pioneering study have reported that a cutoff AOP of 120° predicted a VD in 90% of 26 nulliparous women with a fetus in the OA position. On the other hand, Chan et al. [30] on a population of Chinese women without epidural and a prolonged second stage found that a cutoff of 138° accurately predicted a
successful VD. Dall'Asta et al. [46] found that among other parameters, the AOP at the acme of the pushing effort was wider in women who pushed for more than 2 h and eventually had a spontaneous VD compared to those who ended with an operative delivery. Although, additional US parameters have been suggested to predict the mode of delivery in women with a delay in the second stage of labor, such as head direction [47], head symphysis angle [46], and midline angle [46], we could not address their utility in this study as these methods are difficult to be applied by the “less skilled” labor ward staff as they require more experience and advanced US skills. Indeed, the ISUOG recommended the AOP as one of the primary

**Table 2** Logistic regression analysis of clinical and ultrasound characteristics among 161 women with prolonged second stage of labor with non-occiput posterior (OP) head position (as assessed by ultrasound)

|                        | OR       | 95% CI      | P value |
|------------------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| AOP measurement        | 1.070    | 1.031–1.111 | <0.001  |
| Birth weight (g)       | 0.998    | 0.997–1.000 | 0.041   |
| Second stage duration (h)| 1.000   | 1.000–1.001 | 0.082   |
| Oxytocin augmentation  | 0.353    | 0.063–1.982 | 0.24    |
| Labor induction        | 0.231    | 0.064–0.836 | 0.026   |
| Gestational age (weeks)| 0.593    | 0.334–1.053 | 0.075   |
| BMI                    | 1.028    | 0.881–1.199 | 0.73    |
| Age (years)            | 0.944    | 0.824–1.082 | 0.41    |

AOP angle of progression, BMI body mass index

**Fig. 3** Mode of delivery by cut-off angle of progression (AOP) documented at prolonged second stage of labor. AOP < 127° (n = 24) VD rate = 42%, AOP 127–137° (n = 30) VD rate = 73%, AOP 138–148° (n = 31) VD rate = 87%, AOP ≥ 148° (n = 106), VD rate = 93%. X axis refer to AOP (°). CD cesarean delivery, VD vaginal delivery

**Fig. 4** Mean AOP change per hour from complete dilatation by delivery mode. VD (n = 66) mean AOP change per hour = 15.1° ± 11.4 vs. CD (n = 21) mean AOP change per hour = 5.7° ± 6.1°. AOP angle of progression, VD vaginal delivery, CD cesarean delivery

**Fig. 5** Individual patterns of fetal head descent measured by AOP in vaginal deliveries (n = 66) and cesarean deliveries (n = 21). AOP angle of progression, VD vaginal delivery, CD cesarean delivery
sonographic parameter suitable for assessing fetal head progress, especially during prolonged second stage of labor, and our study confirms the feasibility of endorsement of this recommendation [43].

The consensus of the ACOG from 2014 states that there is no exact limit to the length of the second stage of labor as long as there is a progress in fetal head descent, and highlights the paramount importance of accurately assessing fetal head descent in the birth canal [2]. When the second stage is prolonged, the birth attendant needs to balance the risks and benefits to the mother and her neonate by allowing more time toward a VD on the one hand, to those associated with an immediate instrumental or CD on the other. In accordance with a recent study prospectively performed in a research setting by two SMFM experts [48], we have shown the feasibility of IPUS and particularly the AOP to be used in routine settings for diagnosing abnormal fetal head descent and to differentiate between women with a high chance to deliver vaginally and those at high risk of having a CD.

Regarding the interval time to delivery, in accordance with other prospective studies [36, 41, 42], we demonstrated that in nulliparous women there is a significant correlation between the US-assessed fetal head station at prolonged second stage and the remaining time to delivery. However, our study’s retrospective nature precludes us from providing a reliable estimation of the remaining time to delivery according to the AOP measurement since there is no uniformity in the clinical management of the second stage among physicians.

The strengths of this study are the use of IPUS in a relatively large cohort of a homogeneous population of nulliparous women with neuraxial analgesia and a prolonged second stage of labor, where most of the significant clinical decisions during labor are taken. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to test the performance of IPUS in “real-life” clinical practice, a setting where most clinical staff on duty lack extended US skills, as in most delivery rooms worldwide. Our study has several limitations. First, this was a retrospective descriptive study thus the clinicians were obviously not blinded to the US measurements. Second, not all nulliparous women with a prolonged second stage of labor were included in the study as a documented AOP was available for 63% of the cohort; thus, there is a potential for selection bias.

To conclude, our findings demonstrate that IPUS can be safely implemented in routine real-life clinical practice as an additional tool to guide physicians in the important clinical decision making during a protracted second stage of labor. The AOP in an objective and accurate US parameter for assessing fetal head station and progress in the birth canal, even when performed in clinical rather in research settings.
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