Protein kinase C controls activation of the DNA integrity checkpoint
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ABSTRACT

The protein kinase C (PKC) superfamily plays key regulatory roles in numerous cellular processes. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* contains a single PKC, Pkc1, whose main function is cell wall integrity maintenance. In this work, we connect the Pkc1 protein to the maintenance of genome integrity in response to genotoxic stresses. Pkc1 and its kinase activity are necessary for the phosphorylation of checkpoint kinase Rad53, histone H2A and Xrs2 protein after deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage, indicating that Pkc1 is required for activation of checkpoint kinases Mec1 and Tel1. Furthermore, Pkc1 electrophoretic mobility is delayed after inducing DNA damage, which reflects that Pkc1 is post-translationally modified. This modification is a phosphorylation event mediated by Tel1. The expression of different mammalian PKC isoforms at the endogenous level in yeast *pck1* mutant cells revealed that PKC6 is able to activate the DNA integrity checkpoint. Finally, down-regulation of PKC6 activity in HeLa cells caused a defective activation of checkpoint kinase Chk2 when DNA damage was induced. Our results indicate that the control of the DNA integrity checkpoint by PKC is a mechanism conserved from yeast to humans.

INTRODUCTION

Genome integrity maintenance is a major concern for cellular physiology. Genetic material is constantly exposed to damage. Indeed, it has been estimated that every single cell in the human body is subjected to several thousands of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) lesions every day (1,2). Some of those aberrations are due to physiological processes, such as replicative errors, deficient activity of some enzymes or reactive oxygen species. DNA damage is also produced by external physical and chemical sources. To counteract such threats, cells have evolved a mechanism that detects damage, transduces the signal and triggers an accurate cellular response to maintain genome stability. This surveillance mechanism is known as the DNA integrity checkpoint (3,4). Proper checkpoint functioning is crucial for cell viability and for preventing diseases like cancer.

The DNA integrity checkpoint is highly conserved from yeast to humans. Major regulators of the response to DNA damage in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are Mec1 and Tel1 kinases, ATR and ATM, respectively, in mammals (5–8). Both kinases collaborate in the maintenance of genome integrity responding to different types of DNA lesions. Mec1, in a heterodimeric complex with the Ddc2 protein, senses stretches of single-strand DNA (ssDNA) coated by ssDNA binding protein RPA, which are generated when a wide variety of primary lesions, including nucleotide or base alterations, crosslinks or double-strand breaks (DSBs), are processed and when replicative forks are stalled (9). ssDNA-RPA is also recognized by the clamp 9-1-1 complex and loading clamp complex Rad24–RFC, which mediate Mec1 activation (10–14). Meanwhile, Tel1 senses the blunt ends of unprocessed DSBs (15), which are also bound by the MRX complex (Mre11–Rad50–Xrs2). Having sensed damage and activated Mec1 and Tel1, a series of phosphorylation events affecting Ddc2, the Ddc1 clamp protein, Xrs2 and histone H2A and the participation of adaptor protein Rad9 (or Mre1 in replicative forks) leads to the recruitment of checkpoint effector kinases Chk1 and Rad53 (Chk2 in mammals), which are activated by Mec1 and Tel1 (16). Rad53 mediates most of the response in budding yeast cells. After being activated, Rad53 is released from chromatin to act on critical targets that promote cell cycle arrest (17–20). Additionally, Rad53 targets factors to induce the expression of DNA repair genes (21), stimulates ribonucleotide reductase activity (22,23), suppresses replication origins firing and stabilizes replication forks (24–28). In the case of pluricellular organisms like humans, a key mediator of the cellular response is tumor suppressor p53 protein, which is activated by kinases ATR/ATM and Chk1/Chk2. If severe damage is beyond repair, p53 induces permanent cell cycle arrest (29,30) and apoptosis (31).

In eukaryotic cells, protein kinase C (PKC) plays a crucial role in the regulation of growth, proliferation and differ-
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orientation in response to extracellular signals. In mammals, there are at least 12 genes coding for different PKC isoforms (32–34). The regulatory domains present in PKCs include the C1, C2 and HR1 domains, which mediate regulation by DAG, Ca\(^{2+}\) or Rho GTPases, respectively. Based on the presence and functionality of these regulatory domains, mammalian PKCs are divided into conventional PKCs (PKC\(\alpha\), PKC\(\beta\), PKC\(\gamma\)), novel PKCs (PKC\(\delta\), PKC\(\epsilon\), PKC\(\eta\), PKC\(\theta\)), atypical PKCs (PKC\(\xi\), PKC\(\iota\)) and PKC-related kinases (PKR1, PKR2, PKR3). S. cerevisiae contains a single PKC, Pck1, which can be considered an archetypal PKC as it contains all the regulatory domains, although C1 and C2 are non-functional. In S. cerevisiae, the PKC pathway is essential and mediates the response to environmental stresses and morphogenetic processes that affect cell surface (35–37). Pck1 is activated by GTPase Rho1 after cell wall stress detected by membrane-sensor proteins. Pck1 in turn phosphorylates and activates an mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) module constituted by the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase kinase kinase Bck1, the redundant pair of MAP kinase kinases Mkk1 and Mkk2 and the MAP kinase Slt2, which ultimately induces the expression of genes involved in cell wall biogenesis.

Although the best described function of Pck1 is the maintenance of cell integrity, data in the bibliography indicate that the PKC pathway may be related to DNA metabolism. Our group has reported a functional connection of members of the PKC pathway with replication cyclin Clb5 and with different checkpoint proteins (38). More recently, we described a connection between Slt2 MAPK and the cell cycle checkpoint Slt2 (39). In fact, checkpoint kinases Mecl1 and Rad53 regulate Slt2 transcriptional activity in response to caffeine (40). Furthermore, the pck1 mutant exhibits a hyper-recombination phenotype like mutants of genes involved in DNA metabolism (41) and it is hypersensitive to genotoxic agents such as hydroxyurea (HU) (38), bleomycin (42), methyl metanoso- lufonate (MMS) and 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (4NQO) (43). Also, the PKC pathway has been related to nucleotide biosynthesis (44,45). Pck1 belongs to the AGC (protein kinase A of the integrated in the indicated parental strain. The substitution pFA6a series plasmids (a gift from Dr J.R. Pringle) and in-1. The yeast strains used in this study are shown in Table 1. The PKC1-GFP-kanMX6 cassette was amplified from pFA6a series plasmids (a gift from Dr J.R. Pringle) and integrated in the indicated parental strain. The substitution of the PKC1 promoter by the tetO\(_7\) promoter was obtained by integrating a DNA fragment amplified from plasmid pCM225 (a gift from Dr E. Herrero). The tell::kanMX6 cassette was amplified from the tel1 Euroscarf yeast strain and introduced in the indicated strains.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and growth conditions**

The yeast strains used in this study are shown in Table 1. The PKC1-GFP-kanMX6 cassette was amplified from pFA6a series plasmids (a gift from Dr J.R. Pringle) and integrated in the indicated parental strain. The substitution of the PKC1 promoter by the tetO\(_7\) promoter was obtained by integrating a DNA fragment amplified from plasmid pCM225 (a gift from Dr E. Herrero). The tell::kanMX6 cassette was amplified from the tel1 Euroscarf yeast strain and introduced in the indicated strains.

Cells were grown on standard yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) or YPD medium supplemented with 1 M sorbitol where indicated. For induction of a single DSB, the GAL1:HO strain was grown overnight on yeast extract-peptone-2% raffinose medium, then 2% galactose (or 2% glucose as a strain control) was added to the medium and cells were incubated for 4 h. Synchronization of cells in G1 or G2/M phase was obtained by incubation for 3 h in the presence of 2.5 \(\mu\)g/ml alpha-factor or 10 \(\mu\)g/ml nocodazole, respectively. To repress the terO\(_7\) promoter, doxycycline was added to a final concentration of 5 \(\mu\)g/ml.

**Plasmids**

Centromeric plasmid pPKC1 was constructed by cloning a DNA fragment expanding the promoter and coding region of the PKC1 gene (from −556 to +3925) obtained by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification with oligonucleotide bearing an Spht restriction site in Spht-cleaved YCplac33. Plasmid pPKC1\(^{K853R}\) was obtained from pPKC1 by site-directed mutagenesis of the Pck1 kinase domain using the QuikChange® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies). pPKC1\(^{\beta}\) plasmid was constructed in a two-step process. First, the ADH1 terminator including the stop codon (+772, +1011), amplified from pFA6a-GFP(S65T) with oligos containing a SalI or PstI site, was cloned in SalI–PstI-digested YCplac33. Next, PKC1 promoter (−600, −1) amplified from genomic DNA with oligos containing the EcoRI and KpnI restriction sites was introduced by EcoRI–KpnI digestion. The pPKC\(\alpha\), pPKC\(\epsilon\), pPKC\(\eta\), pPKC\(\theta\) and pPRK2 plasmids were constructed by cloning in KpnI–Sall-cleaved pPKC1\(^{\beta}\) a DNA fragment expanding the coding region of the referred isoform obtained by PCR amplification from mouse complementary DNA (cDNA) (kindly provided by Dr Isabel Fariñas) using a forward oligo containing a KpnI restriction site and a reverse oligo containing a Sall site. The pPKC1-GFP plasmid was obtained by integrating the green florescence protein (GFP) coding region amplified from pFA6a-GFP(S65T) at the C-terminal end of PKC1 in the pPKC1 plasmid. Plasmid pXrs2-HA was kindly provided by Dr J. Torres.

**Growth conditions and transfection of human cells**

HeLa cells were grown under 5% CO\(_2\) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 \(\mu\)g/ml streptomycin and L-glutamine at 2 mM. For DNA damage experiments, the cells were seeded out in 6-well plates at a density of 2 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) cells/well and grown for 24 h before the experiments. The siRNA assays were carried out using the PKC\(\theta\) siR-NA, control siRNA and transfection reagents from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. For siRNA transfection, the cells were seeded out without antibiotics, grown for 24 h and transfected according to the manufacturer’s procedure. After 5 h of transfection, the medium was changed to complete growth medium containing serum and antibiotics, and the
cells were grown for 2 or 3 days. Inactivation of PKC8 was also accomplished by incubating HeLa cells in the presence of 25 μM rottlerin (Calbiochem) for 60 min. After silencing or inactivating PKC8, DNA damage was induced by incubating the cells in the presence of 0.02% MMS for 1 h.

### Western blot analysis

Yeast total protein extracts were prepared as previously described (39). For HeLa total protein extracts, cells were collected in 100 μl of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 10 mM NaF, 0.1 mM Na3V4, 1 M phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride (PMSF)) supplemented with a mixture of protease inhibitors (Roche) and the lysates were sonicated for 10 s. After centrifugation, 100 μl of sample buffer (0.2 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 8% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), 40% glycerol, 0.2 M dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.04% bromophenol blue) were added to the cleared supernatants and samples were incubated for 5 min at 95°C. Equivalent amounts of protein were resolved in an SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis gel and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The primary antibodies used in this study include anti-Rad53–YC19 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-GFP (Roche), anti-Histone H2A (phospho S129) (Abcam), anti-Histone H2A (Active Motif), anti-HA 3F10 monoclonal antibody (Roche), anti-yeast PKC1 (yC-20) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-PKC6 C-20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-phospho-Chk2 (Thr68), anti-Chk2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) anti-β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Blots were developed with horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibodies using the ECL Advance Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Health care Life Sciences) and an ImageQuant™ LAS 4000 mini Biomolecular Imager (GE Healthcare).

### Dephosphorylation assay

Yeast total protein extracts were prepared by vigorous shaking of cells in the presence of glass beads in a non-denaturing lysis buffer (150 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 20% glycerol, protease inhibitors cocktail from MERCK Millipore). The dephosphorylation assays were carried out by incubating 10 mg of cell extract in λ protein phosphatase buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.8, 5 mM DTT, 2 mM MnCl2, 100 μg/ml bovine serum albumin) containing 200 U of λ protein phosphatase (MERCK Millipore) for 20 min at 30°C. Phosphatase reactions were stopped by adding an equal volume of 2x western sample buffer and incubating at 95°C for 2 min.

### DNA content analysis

Approximately 10⁶ cells were fixed in 50% EtOH. Fixed cells were treated overnight at 30°C with 0.1 mg/ml ribonuclease A in 50-mM sodium citrate buffer. Finally, cells were stained with 20 μg/ml propidium iodide. DNA content was analyzed in a BD FACVerse (Becton, Dickinson and Company) cytomter.

### Fluorescence microscopy

Pkc1-GFP protein was visualized in living cells grown in synthetic media and analyzed in an Axioskop 2 Zwiss inc microscope. Images were captured with an AxioCam MRm (Zeiss Inc.) camera and Axio Vision v4.7 (Zeiss Inc.).

### RESULTS

Pkc1 is required for DNA integrity checkpoint activation

Genomic stability depends on proper DNA integrity checkpoint function. Therefore, in a first attempt to clarify the connection between Pkc1 and genomic integrity, we investigated whether the checkpoint functionality was related to Pkc1 activity by analyzing the activation of checkpoint kinase Rad53 in pck1 mutant cells. Activation of Rad53 was tested by western analysis since Rad53 phosphorylation in response to genotoxic treatments results in the appearance of slower migration forms of the protein. When Rad53 activation was analyzed in a thermosensitive pck1
mutant (pkc1Δ) after treating cells with various genotoxic agents, such as HU, MMS, phleomycin or UV radiation, phosphorylated forms of Rad53 were detected at 25°C, similar to that observed in the wild-type strain. However, no activation of Rad53 was observed at 37°C (Figure 1A). It is important to note that the activation of Rad53 was restored in the pkc1 mutant cells transformed with a centromeric plasmid containing the PKC1 gene (Figure 1B), which confirms that Pkc1 activity is required for checkpoint kinase Rad53 activation in response to DNA damage. It should also be noted that pkc1-8 mutation is not lethal at 37°C (48). Although growth is compromised compared to wild type, pkc1-8 cells proliferate and are not arrested at a cell cycle stage (Supplementary Figures S1 and S4). Moreover, defects in Rad53 activation were also observed in other pkc1 mutant strains, such as the strains containing either the tetO7:PKC1 gene, whose expression turns off in the presence of doxycycline, or the pkc1Δ allele (Figure 1C). In summary, the analysis of Rad53 activation in various pkc1 mutant strains revealed a direct connection between PKC and the DNA integrity checkpoint, where Pkc1 is necessary for proper checkpoint activation.

Cells respond to DNA damage at different stages of cell cycle. Therefore, to complete the study of checkpoint functionality in pkc1 mutant cells, we investigated checkpoint activation when DNA damage was inflicted in G1, S or G2/M phase of the cell cycle. First, cells were arrested in G1 or G2/M by incubation in the presence of α-factor or nocodazole, respectively, and DNA damage was induced by the addition of phleomycin, a treatment that it is known to activate checkpoint outside the S phase. As it can be observed in Figure 2A, inactivation of Pkc1 severely impaired the activation of DNA damage checkpoint in G1 and G2/M. On the other hand, α-factor-blocked cells were released from the arrest in the presence of MMS in order to induce a checkpoint response during S phase. Whereas wild-type cells activated Rad53 kinase and halted cell cycle progression, pkc1 mutant cells failed to properly activate the checkpoint and to block cell cycle progression (Figure 2B). All these observations are consistent with the results described above in asynchronous cultures and reveal that Pkc1 activity is involved in the activation of DNA integrity checkpoint in response to damage in all cell cycle stages. Pkc1 controls the Slt2 MAPK kinase cascade. Previous results from our group have demonstrated that MAPK Slt2 is not required for checkpoint activation (39). We completed this study by investigating whether other kinases of the cascade are involved in Rad53 activation. Similar to what was observed for Slt2, Rad53 phosphorylation occurs in the mutant strains in MAPKKs Mkk1, 2 or MAPKKK Bck1 (Supplementary Figure S2). Thus, the function in checkpoint activation is a specific role played by Pkc1 that is independent of the MAPK cascade.

DNA integrity checkpoint control by Pkc1 involves its kinase activity

Next, we tested whether Pkc1 kinase activity is required for proper checkpoint activation in response to DNA damage. Rad53 activation was checked in pkc1 mutant cells expressing a kinase-dead mutant protein, Pkc1K853R (49). Whereas the expression of the wild-type PKC1 gene was able to sustain Rad53 activation, no Rad53 phosphorylation was observed in the cells expressing the Pkc1K853R mutant protein (Figure 3). This indicates that the kinase activity of Pkc1 is required for checkpoint control in response to DNA damage, suggesting that Pkc1 may act by phosphorylating essential targets for Rad53 activation.

Pkc1 activity mediates the activation of Mec1 and Tel1 in response to genotoxic stress

The above results indicate that there is not activation of checkpoint kinase Rad53 after genotoxic stress if the Pkc1 function is absent. In S. cerevisiae, Rad53 phosphorylation in response to different types of damage depends mainly on the apical checkpoint kinase Mec1, whereas Tel1 plays a more secondary role. To test if the defects observed in Rad53 activation in the pkc1 mutant strains are specific of Rad53 or if they reflect defects in Mec1 or both Mec1 and Tel1 kinases, DNA damage was induced and other phosphorylation events that are dependent on Tel1 kinase, such as the phosphorylation of histone H2A and the MRX complex protein Xrs2, were analyzed.

For the histone H2A, it has been described that genotoxic stress induces the phosphorylation of Ser129 over a 50–100-kb region around the damage site and that this phosphorylation can be carried out by both Mec1 and Tel1 kinases (50–52). In order to examine whether the defects observed in checkpoint activation in the absence of Pkc1 were due to the defective activation of Mec1 and/or Tel1, we studied if H2A was properly phosphorylated in response to genotoxic stress in the pkc1 mutant cells. The results show that Ser129 phosphorylation in response to DNA damage can still be detected in single mecl or tell mutant cells, and it is abolished only in mecl tell double mutant strain (Figure 4A). Interestingly, H2A phosphorylation was drastically reduced in the absence of Pkc1 activity. The level of H2A phosphorylation observed in the pkc1 mutant strains was weaker than that detected in single mecl and tell mutants, suggesting that both kinases are affected by Pkc1 inactivation. However, it has to be noted that the level of phosphorylated H2A detected in pkc1 mutant cells was somewhat higher than that observed in the double mecl tell mutant strain. This may be due to the presence of residual Mec1 and/or Tel1 activity, which might be able to drive a basal level of H2A phosphorylation. In summary, cells need fully functional Pkc1 to correctly drive Mec1- and Tel1-dependent phosphorylation of histone H2A in response to DNA damage.

The Xrs2 protein constitutes with Mre11 and Rad50 the MRX complex, which plays an important role in the processing of DSBs. Xrs2 is phosphorylated during the cellular response to DNA damage preferentially in a Tel1-dependent manner (15,53,54). We studied whether the absence of Pkc1 activity affects Xrs2 phosphorylation in asynchronous cells treated with phleomycin. In our experimental conditions, Xrs2 phosphorylation (detected by western blot as retarded migration forms) was not significantly altered in either the mecl or the tell single mutant strains (Figure 4B). In contrast, when the functional Pkc1 was absent, as in the pkc1 and pkc1 tel1 cells, no phosphorylated
forms of Xrs2 were detected, similar to what happened in mec1 tel1 double mutant strains (53,54). Therefore, Pkc1 activity is needed to allow the Mec1- and Tel1-dependent phosphorylation of Xrs2 in response to phleomycin.

Overall, the fact that Rad53, H2A and Xrs2 phosphorylation is severely affected after DNA damage when a functional Pkc1 is absent allows us to conclude that Pkc1 activity is necessary for the correct activation of both the Mec1 and Tel1 checkpoint kinases in response to DNA damage.

Pkc1 delocalizes in response to genotoxic stress

The best known function of Pkc1 is cell wall integrity maintenance in response to polarized cell growth and to various environmental stresses. Not surprisingly, Pkc1 localizes at sites of polarized growth, specifically at the bud tip in early cell cycle stages, and at the mother-bud neck during cytokinesis (55). The function of Pkc1 in the DNA integrity checkpoint suggests that a change in Pkc1 localization may occur after genomic damage. Consequently, localization of GFP-tagged Pkc1 was investigated in response to HU or MMS treatments. The results show that, as expected, Pkc1 was located in the bud neck and bud tip of cells in the absence of drugs (Figure 5). However, Pkc1 disappeared from the sites of polarized growth when cells were treated with HU or MMS. It is important to remark that the change in localization was observed as early as 30 min, an incubation time at which polarized growth still occurred and dumbbell cells had not yet accumulated. This suggests that relocation of Pkc1 in response to replication stress might be a direct response to HU or MMS and may not be caused by lack of polarized growth. The delocalization of Pkc1 also occurred in the mec1 and tell1 mutant strains, suggesting that Pkc1 relocation is not governed by the checkpoint signaling (Supplementary Figure S3).

It is plausible to expect that the role played in checkpoint activation is a nuclear function of Pkc1. However, no nuclear accumulation of Pkc1 was observed under the genotoxic stress conditions, although it cannot be ruled out that a small fraction of the protein could be translocated inside the nucleus. Several checkpoint proteins associate with the site of damage. We investigated this possibility for Pkc1 after the induction of a single DSB at a specific site by means of the GAL1:HO strain, which expresses the HO endonuclease after adding galactose to the growth medium (56). Unlike what occurred for the Ddc2 protein (57), we were unable to detect nuclear Pkc1 foci by fluorescence microscopy or binding to DNA by chromatin immunoprecipitation af-
Figure 2. Analysis of DNA damage checkpoint function at different cell cycle stages. (A) α-factor or nocodazole was added to exponentially growing cultures of the wild-type (W303-1a) and \textit{pkc1}Δ (JC6-3a) strains in order to block cell cycle progression at the G1 or G2/M phase, respectively. After 30 min, cells were transferred to 37°C and incubated for 3 h followed by 1 h incubation in the absence or presence of 5 μg/ml phleomycin. Activation of the checkpoint kinase Rad53 was determined by western analysis. Cell cycle arrest was confirmed by cell morphology (more than 95% of unbudded cells or more than 90% of large budded cells, respectively) and analysis of DNA content by flow cytometry (right panels). (B) α-factor arrested cells of the wild-type (W303-1a) and \textit{pkc1}Δ (GPY1115) strains were released into YPD or sorbitol medium in the absence or presence of 0.02% MMS. At the indicated times, activation of the checkpoint kinase Rad53 and cell cycle progression were investigated by western blot or analysis of DNA content by flow cytometry, respectively.

Pkc1 is post-translationally modified in response to DNA damage in a Tel1-dependent way

Genotoxic damage leads to the phosphorylation of Slt2 MAPK of the PKC pathway (39). We wondered whether Pkc1 could also be modified in response to genotoxic stress. To analyze this, the electrophoretic mobility of Pkc1 was analyzed in extracts from cells treated with HU or after the induction of a single DSB by means of the \textit{GAL1:HO} strain. It is interesting to note that both treatments caused a delay in the electrophoretic mobility of Pkc1 (Figure 6A). No change in mobility was detected after the shift to galactose for the control strain lacking the \textit{GAL1:HO} gene, indicating that the band shift is a genuine response to DNA damage (Figure 6A). Importantly, the band shift was observed with both Pkc1-GFP and the endogenous Pkc1 protein (Figure 6B). These results indicate that Pkc1 undergoes some kind of post-translational modification in response to genotoxic treatments.

In order to determine the nature of the post-translational modification of Pkc1, we carried out a dephosphorylation assay with cell extracts from the \textit{GAL1:HO} strain. It is known that Pkc1 is a phosphoprotein (49,58,59). Consistent with this, incubation of proteins from control cells with λ protein phosphatase resulted in a faster migration form...
Figure 3. Analysis of Rad53 checkpoint kinase activation by a kinase-dead Pkc1 mutant protein. Exponentially growing cultures of the pkc1ts (JC6-3a) strain transformed with a centromeric plasmid containing the PKC1, the PKC1K853R (kinase-dead mutation) gene or an empty vector were split and incubated for 3 h at 25°C or 37°C followed by 1 h incubation in the absence or presence of 0.2 M HU or 0.04% MMS. Activation of the checkpoint kinase Rad53 was determined by western analysis. The ponceau staining of the membrane is shown as loading control.

Figure 4. Analysis of Mec1- and Tel1-dependent H2A and Xrs2 phosphorylation in pkc1 mutant strains. (A) Exponentially growing cultures of the wild-type (W303-1a), pkc1ts (JC6-3a), tel1 (JCY1258), tel1 pkc1ts (JCY1271), mecl (JCY1039), mecl pkc1ts (JCY1352) and mecl tel1 (JCY1275) strains were incubated for 3 h at 37°C followed by 1 h incubation in the absence or presence of 0.06% MMS. The level of phosphorylated H2A and total H2A protein was determined by western analysis using an antibody specific for H2A phosphorylated in Ser129 or an anti-H2A antibody, respectively. (B) Exponentially growing cultures of the wild-type (W303-1a), pkc1ts (JC6-3a), tel1 (JCY1258), tel1 pkc1ts (JCY1271) and mecl-1 (N1-3a) strains transformed with the pXRS2-HA plasmid were incubated for 3 h at 37°C followed by 1 h incubation in the absence or presence of 25 μg/ml phleomycin. Phosphorylation of Xrs2 was determined by western analysis as slower migrating bands.

Figure 5. Analysis of Pkc1 subcellular localization after genotoxic stress. Exponentially growing wild-type cells expressing a GFP-tagged Pkc1 protein (JCY1511) were incubated for 1 h in the absence or presence of 0.2 M HU or 0.04% MMS. Differential interference contrast (DIC) image and GFP fluorescence signal are shown.

Expression of mammalian PKCδ in pkc1 mutant cells suppresses the defect in Rad53 activation by DNA damage

Key cellular mechanisms are conserved in eukaryotes from yeast to mammals. This is particularly remarkable in cell cycle and checkpoint control. We wondered whether mammalian PKCs could also control the DNA integrity checkpoint. Accordingly, we expressed in yeast pkc1 mutant cells different isoforms of mouse PKCs representing distinct PKC subfamilies: conventional PKCα, novel PKCδ, PKCε and PKCη, atypical PKCι and PKC-related kinase PRK2. Mammalian PKCs were expressed under the control of the PKC1 promoter in order to mimic the expression of endogenous Pkc1. No isoform tested was able to support growth of the pkc1ts cells at the restrictive temperature of 38°C (Supplementary Figure S5). Cell death in the absence of Pkc1 is due to cell lysis caused by defects in cell wall biosynthesis (60,61). Therefore, our result indicates that mammalian PKCs are unable to support the essential function in the maintenance of yeast cell integrity, at least when expressed at endogenous levels.

Next, we checked Rad53 activation by MMS in the yeast cells expressing mammalian PKCs. Interestingly one of them, PKCδ, was able to support checkpoint activation in yeast cells (Figure 7A). We also tested another genotoxic agent such as phleomycin (Figure 7B). In all cases, no differences were noted in the checkpoint activation driven by yeast Pkc1 or mammalian PKCδ. Furthermore, PKCδ also underwent an electrophoretic mobility band shift when a
Figure 6. Analysis of Pkc1 electrophoretic mobility after induction of DNA damage. (A) Left panel: exponentially growing wild-type cells expressing a GFP-tagged Pkc1 protein (JCY1511) were incubated for 2 h in the absence or presence of 0.2 M HU; right panel: raffinose-grown wild-type (JCY1511) and GAL1:HO (JCY1514) cells expressing a GFP-tagged Pkc1 protein were incubated for 4 h in the presence of 2% glucose or 2% galactose. Electrophoretic mobility of Pkc1-GFP was investigated by western analysis using an anti-GFP antibody. An unspecific band in the western blot or the ponceau staining of the membrane is showed as a control of migration. Activation of the checkpoint kinase Rad53 is showed as a control of DNA damage induction. (B) Raffinose-grown GAL1:HO (JKM139) cells were incubated for 4 h in the presence of 2% glucose or 2% galactose. Electrophoretic migration of endogenous Pkc1 was investigated by western analysis using an anti-yeast Pkc1 antibody. (C) Raffinose-grown GAL1:HO (JKM139) and GAL1:HO tel1 (JCY1654) cells were incubated for 4 h in the presence of 2% glucose or 2% galactose. Electrophoretic migration of endogenous Pkc1 after incubation of cell extracts in the absence or presence of λ protein phosphatase was analyzed as in (B). (D) Electrophoretic migration of Pkc1-GFP in wild-type (W303-1a) mec1 (JCY1580) and tel1 (JCY1583) cells after addition of HU was analyzed as described in (A). (E) Raffinose-grown GAL1:HO (JKM139) and GAL1:HO tel1 (JCY1654) cells transformed with pPKC1-GFP plasmid were incubated for 4 h in the presence of 2% glucose or 2% galactose. Electrophoretic migration of Pkc1-GFP was investigated by western analysis using an anti-GFP antibody.

Figure 7. Analysis of the activation of the yeast Rad53 checkpoint kinase by mammalian PKC isoforms. (A) Exponentially growing cultures of the pkc1ts (JC6-3a) strain transformed with an empty vector or a centromeric plasmid expressing yeast PKC1 or mammalian PKCα, PKCβ, PKCε, PKCγ or PRK2 (under the control of PKC1 promoter) were incubated for 3 h at 37°C followed by 1 h incubation in the absence or presence of 0.04% MMS. Activation of the checkpoint kinase Rad53 was determined by western analysis. (B) Exponentially growing cultures of the pkc1ts (JC6-3a) strain transformed with an empty vector or a centromeric plasmid expressing yeast PKC1 or mammalian PKCβ were incubated for 3 h at 37°C followed by 1 h incubation in the absence or presence of 5 μg/ml phleomycin. (C) Raffinose-grown GAL1:HO (JCY1514) cells transformed with the pPKC8 plasmid were incubated for 4 h in the presence of 2% glucose or 2% galactose. Electrophoretic mobility of PKC8 was investigated by western analysis using an anti-PKC8 antibody. The ponceau staining of the membrane is showed as a control of migration.

DSB was induced (Figure 6C), similar to what was observed for Pkc1. PKC8 is 34.0% identical to Pkc1 (48.4% identical at the C-terminal catalytic domain and 21.6% identical at the N-terminal regulatory domain). In conclusion, our results demonstrate that mammalian PKCα performs a biological function related to the maintenance of the genome integrity in response to DNA damage and that this function is conserved with the yeast Pkc1 protein.

PKC8 is required for proper DNA damage checkpoint activation in human cells

The Chk2 protein is the human ortholog of Rad53. It is known that Chk2 is activated in the presence of genomic damage by the phosphorylation of threonine 68 by ATM. Given PKC8’s ability to recover DNA damage-induced Rad53 activation in yeast pkc1 mutant cells, we decided to analyze whether DNA damage-induced Chk2 activation in human cells also depends on PKC8. In a first approach, Chk2 phosphorylation in Thr68 was analyzed after treating HeLa cells with MMS in the absence or presence of rottlerin, a compound often used as an inhibitor of the PKCα isoform. The results shown in Figure 8A indicate that Chk2 activation after DNA damage was impeded when cells were incubated with rottlerin. This result suggests that, as in yeast, mammalian PKC, particularly PKC8, may be needed to activate the DNA damage checkpoint.

Despite the fact that rottlerin has been widely used as a specific inhibitor of PKC8 in many works, it has been re-
ported that it may also inhibit other cellular kinases depending on the dose used (62,63). Therefore, in order to clarify whether PKCδ is indeed involved in DNA damage checkpoint activation, the specific inhibition of PKCδ was achieved by ribonucleic acid (RNA) interference. Thus, HeLa cells were transfected with a siRNA PKCδ or a siRNA control. After transfection, cells were incubated in the presence of 0.02% MMS for 1 h. Protein level of PKCδ, total and Thr68-phosphorylated Chk2 and actin was determined by western analysis. The graph represents mean and standard deviation of the absolute ratio of phosphorylated Chk2 protein derived from four independent assays.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous published results have suggested a possible connection of Pkc1 kinase with DNA metabolism. Our group described a genetic interaction of PKC1 with mutations in replication cyclin Cln5 and checkpoint adaptor protein Rad9 (38). Furthermore, the pck1 mutant exhibits a high recombination rate, which is a typical trait of defects in genomic integrity (41). Moreover, pck1 mutant strain is hypersensitive to different genotoxic agents such as HU, bleomycin, MMS and 4NQO (38,42,43). In this work, we have established a clear direct connection of PKC with DNA metabolism: Pkc1 activity is required in yeast cells to activate the DNA integrity checkpoint. We have checked this effect in different independent backgrounds and, moreover, checkpoint activation was restored when a PKC1 gene was re-introduced into pck1 mutant cells, demonstrating that the lack of checkpoint function is caused by Pkc1 inactivation. The fact that a Pkc1 mutant protein lacking its kinase activity was unable to restore the checkpoint function strongly suggests that Pkc1 must act by phosphorylating key targets involved in checkpoint activation. Furthermore, failure of the DNA integrity checkpoint in pck1 mutant cells is observed in response to a wide range of genotoxic stresses, such as double-stranded chromosomal breakage, thymine dimers, nucleotide alkylation or replication forks stalling, indicating that Pkc1 plays a central role in the cellular response to genomic damage.

Pkc1 controls the cell integrity regulating the Slt2 MAPK kinase cascade. It is important to remark that while Pkc1 activity is required for the activation of DNA integrity checkpoints, this is not the case for the MAPK cascade since Rad53 phosphorylation is detected in mutant strains in all the kinases of the cascade. Consistently with our results, it was described that the pck1 mutant, but not the slt2 mutant, displays a high mitotic recombination rate (41). This phenotypic trait is characteristic of mutants that perform important functions in DNA metabolism since defects in these proteins lead to increased DNA damage and recombination events. All these observations indicate that Pkc1 regulates DNA integrity by a pathway other than the MAPK module and the mechanism used in cell integrity control. Consistent with this idea, growth defect (60,61) but not the hyperrecombination phenotype (41) nor the impaired DNA integrity checkpoint function (this work) can be reversed by osmostabilizing agents. It has to be noted that pck1Δ cells are viable when grown on sorbitol in spite of the defective checkpoint. Mec1 and Rad53 are essential proteins; therefore, the viability of pck1Δ cells could be due to the existence of a residual checkpoint activity in the absence of Pck1 supported by other cellular pathways acting on sorbitol grown cells or, alternatively, that checkpoint proteins might carry out other functions independently of Pck1. Supporting this, we have observed that checkpoint mutant cells are more sensitive to genotoxic treatments than pck1 cells (Supplementary Figure S6).

At what level does Pck1 control the DNA integrity checkpoint? We have observed severe defects in the phosphorylation of checkpoint kinase Rad53, histone H2A and MRX subunit Xrs2. These phosphorylation events depend on a functional checkpoint and, at least for the two last proteins,
their phosphorylation in response to damage is severely af-
ected only in double mutant strains in both Mecl and Tel1
kinases. Hence, our results indicate that Pkc1 activity is ne-
necessary for both Mecl- and Tel1-dependent phosphoryla-
tion events in response to genotoxic stresses. It cannot be ruled
out that Pkc1 may act on checkpoint substrates by a parallel
branch independently of Mecl/Tel1. Nonetheless, the fact
that multiple substrates are affected enabled us to propose a
model in which Pkc1 would act upstream of Mecl/Tel1 to
directly or indirectly control their activation (Supplemen-
tary Figure S7).

One observation that revealed another aspect of the con-
nection between Pkc1 and the cellular response to DNA
damage was the electrophoretic band shift observed for
Pkc1 in cells under genotoxic stress, particularly a DSB
and replicative stress. This protein migration change re-
fects the phosphorylation of Pkc1 and strongly suggests
that Pkc1 activity is regulated by genotoxic stress. This hy-
pothesis is supported by a report indicating that HU acti-
vates PKC in mammalian cells (64) and that PKCδ is phos-
phorylated by c-Abl and ATM kinases in response to DNA
damage (65,66). Checkpoint kinases are putative candidates
to modify Pkc1 protein. We determined that Pkc1 post-
translational modification depends specifically on Tel1, but
not on Mecl. This result allowed us to complete the pro-
moted model to the extent that in the presence of DNA dam-
age, there would be a feedback loop in which Tel1, whose
activation requires Pkc1, would be responsible for regulat-
ing the Pkc1 protein. Tel1 mediates the response to DSB,
so this feedback mechanism might play a relevant role in
the specific response to DSB. Further work will be required
to elucidate the exact nature and function of this Tel1-Pkc1
feedback mechanism.

Checkpoint activation occurs in situ at the site of DNA
damage. Since Pkc1 controls such activation, it seemed log-
cical to believe that Pkc1 should move into the nucleus in re-
sponse to genotoxic treatments in order to access their tar-
gets. It is important to remark that four putative nuclear
localization signals (NLS) have been described in Pkc1 and
that nuclear import activity has been demonstrated for at
least one of them (67). These observations suggest that Pkc1
must play a role inside the nucleus. Pkc1 has been localized
at sites of polarized growth, which reflects its major function
in the maintenance of cell integrity. We observed the mo-
bilization of Pkc1 outside these sites after genotoxic stress.
However, we were unable to detect whether this change re-
fects a relocalization of the protein inside the nucleus and
its association with the site of damage. It cannot be ruled
out that a fraction of the protein below the technique’s limit
of detection indeed enters the nucleus to activate checkpoint
kinases. Some proteins that are known to bind to damaged
DNA, such as Rad24, Rad9 and Rad53, have been barely
detected at the DSB site using the same chromatin immuno-
precipitation approach described here, suggesting a tran-
sient or substoichiometric binding to the lesion site (57).
This could also be the case for Pkc1. Alternatively, Pkc1
could carry out an essential step required for Mecl and Tel1
activation in the cytoplasm.

Mammalian cells contain multiple PKC isoforms that dif-
fer in terms of their function and regulation. As the pro-
teins that perform important cellular functions are evolu-
tionarily conserved from yeast to humans, we wondered
whether some mammalian PKCs are functionally related
to yeast Pkc1. In S. cerevisiae, the absence of Pkc1 causes
death due to cell lysis and, as described herein, defects in
DNA integrity checkpoint activation. It has been previously
reported that PKCγ can partially suppress the growth de-
fect of pck1 mutant cells (68). However, we were unable to
suppress the lethality of the pck1 mutation with either
PKCγ or any other mammalian isoforms tested. This dis-
crepancy could be due to the distinct pck1 mutant strains
used, or is more likely due to differences in the expression
level of PKCγ while we expressed it from the PKK1 pro-
moter to guarantee a similar protein level to that of en-
dogenous Pkc1. Nomoto et al. (68) highly overexpressed
PKCγ from the GAL1 promoter. On the other hand, PKCδ
was the only mammalian isoform able to restore checkpoint
activation by genotoxic damage when expressed in yeast
pck1 mutant cells. These results demonstrate that PKCδ
performs cellular functions in morphogenetic processes and in
the DNA integrity maintenance that are conserved from
eys to humans. These functions have been specialized be-
tween specific isoforms in mammalian cells: PKCγ may be
related to the Pkc1 function in cell integrity maintenance
whereas PKCδ is related to the Pkc1 function in DNA in-
tegrity maintenance.

One particularly interesting aspect of this work is the
case of PKCδ. First, the fact that PKCδ perfectly regu-
lates Rad53 in yeast cells reveals that the molecular me-
chanism underlying DNA integrity checkpoint control by Pkc1
is conserved from yeast to mammals. Supporting this,
the Tel1-dependent band shift observed for Pkc1 in response
to DSB was also observed for PKCδ when expressed in yeast.
Besides, PKCδ was phosphorylated by ATM, the mam-
nalian ortholog of Tel1 (65). More importantly, we demon-
strate that PKCδ activity is relevant for Chk2 (mammalian
ortholog of Rad53) activation by DNA damage in human
cells. This observation reveals a new crucial role of PKCδ
in correct DNA damage checkpoint functioning in human
cells. To date it is known that PKCδ takes part in the in-
duction of apoptosis in response to DNA damage (69). In
the presence of DNA damage, the ATM checkpoint kinase
functions upstream of PKCδ activation. As a result, PKCδ
translocates into the nucleus where it phosphorylates the
hRad9 protein, a component of the 9-1-1 checkpoint clamp
complex. This favors Rad9 interaction with anti-apoptotic
protein Bcl-2, thereby activating apoptosis (65). In addi-
tion, PKCδ induces apoptosis in response to DNA dam-
age through the regulation of p53 protein and by other p53-
independent mechanisms (70,71). Our results reflect a more
complex relation between PKCδ and the DNA damage re-
response since PKCδ is also required to properly activate the
Chk2 kinase. Pkc1 controls Mecl and Tel1 activity in yeast,
and PKCδ is able to perform the same function in yeast.
This leads us to believe that the defect observed in DNA
damage-induced Chk2 phosphorylation when PKCδ activ-
ity is inhibited reflects a defect in ATM and ATR kinases.
In short, our observations indicate that DNA integrity check-
point control by PKCδ is not restricted to yeast, but must be
general trait of eukaryotic cells. Studies into simpler organ-
isms like yeast or in humanized yeast expressing PKCδ can
definitively help our understanding of the cellular functions
performed by PKC in DNA integrity checkpoint control in more complex systems like mammals.
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