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Abstract
The metal centres of nano-zeolitic imidazolate framework-8(Zinc) and 67(Cobalt) [nZIF-8(Zn) and nZIF-67(Co)] were partially exchanged with titanium (Ti) centres to form bimetallic nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) (52% Ti4+) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) (38% Ti4+) respectively, for enhanced photocatalytic performance. A morphological and structural analysis by scanning electron microscopy, energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS)-mapping and powder X-ray diffraction showed that the particle size, distribution, and the structural integrity of the Sodalite frameworks of the parent ZIFs were retained during the exchange process to form the new bimetallic Ti-ZIFs. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy confirmed that no additional chemical bonds were formed during the process. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy binding energies confirmed the preservation of the Zn(II), Co(II) and Ti(IV) oxidation states, as well as the Ti-content, consistent with inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry and EDS measurements. The Ti-exchanged ZIFs showed higher activity during the photocatalytic oxidation of hydroquinone in comparison with their parent ZIFs. Their kinetic rates were nearly five times faster than those of the parent ZIFs, with the first-order rate constants $k = 0.189 \text{ min}^{-1}$ for nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and $k = 0.139 \text{ min}^{-1}$ for nZIF-67(Co/Ti). These catalysts are efficient, stable, and reusable for three photocatalytic cycles without a significant loss of catalytic activity.
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1 Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are interesting family of materials that have attracted considerable attention because of their distinctive and highly desired properties such as high surface area, large and tuneable pore sizes. They can be synthesized using a variety of metal ions and organic linkers [1, 2]. The number of novel MOFs has recently expanded substantially, with metal components spanning the periodic table from s-block elements through transition metals to rare-earth metals, those with transition metal clusters being the most common [3].

Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs), a subfamily of MOFs, made up of metal ions linked by imidazolate derivatives, are effective for a range of applications, including catalysis [4, 5]. Despite their high catalytic activity, monometallic ZIFs are rarely employed in photocatalysis due to their limited photocatalytic activity in most reactions [6]. Their photocatalytic activity can be enhanced by introducing an additional photocatalytic active transition metal to the framework, resulting in a bimetallic catalytic system with hetero active sites [7]. Our group has effectively investigated the effect of a two-metal system for increased photocatalytic degradation of Remazol brilliant blue R (RBBR) textile dye by partially exchanging Zn$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ ions in ZIF-8 and ZIF-67 with a redox active Fe$^{2+}$ centre, respectively [8]. This paper will investigate the effect of incorporating Ti centres into ZIF materials.

Herein, we focus on modifying nano-ZIF-8(Zn) and nanoZIF-67(Co) via postsynthetic metal (Ti$^{4+}$) exchange to produce novel bimetallic Ti-ZIF frameworks that are photocatalytically more active than their parent ZIFs. Directly synthesized Ti-MOFs are usually structurally unpredictable, making cation exchange a viable approach for making Ti-MOFs while largely retaining the porosity and/or topological structures of the parent MOFs. In the first examples of Ti-exchange, Universitetet i Oslo (UiO-66) was exchanged with Ti$^{4+}$. It was confirmed that the MOF particles contain Ti$^{4+}$ (roughly 38 wt%) during post-synthetic cation exchange. Furthermore, the crystallinity of Ti-exchanged UiO-66(Zr/Ti) was intact [9].

Tetravalent titanium (Ti$^{4+}$) appears to be favourable for the synthesis of MOFs with high redox activity, chemical stability, and exceptional photocatalytic properties [10]. Furthermore, MOFs based on titanium–oxo clusters are fascinating materials that, due to their outstanding durability at high temperatures, high humidity, and harsh chemical conditions, could replace Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) in a variety of industrial applications [11, 12]. The synthesis of Ti-based MOFs, however, is difficult due to the extremely reactive nature of titanium precursors [13]. Controlling the reactivity of Ti precursors is crucial in the production of Ti-MOFs, which involves careful solution combination, temperature, and time selection [14].

Ti-MOFs have numerous distinguishing features that set them apart from other MOFs, such as promising photore sponsivity properties [14]. Their low toxicity makes them suitable for a wide range of photocatalytic applications and commercial uses [15, 16]. Due to their challenging synthesis and lack of control over their chemistry and crystallization, Ti-based ZIFs with Ti$^{4+}$ centres have remained elusive for years [14, 17]. To the best of our knowledge, none of the current solvent-based ZIF synthesis methods was adapted for the synthesis of Ti-containing ZIFs.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and Equipment

Starting materials and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck with high purity and used without further purification, except where stated otherwise. A Bruker Tensor 27 infrared spectrophotometer, equipped with a Pike Miracle single diamond ATR crystal, was used to measure all infrared spectra. A JSM-7800F extreme-resolution analytical field emission SEM, fitted with an Oxford XMax (80 mm$^2$) EDS, was used to examine, and analyse SEM specimens. Micromeritics ASAP 2020 Surface Area and Porosity Analyzer was used to measure porosity and surface area up to 1 atm, and the data was analysed using ASAP 2020 V2.0 and Micro-active software for physisorption. Prior to porosity measurements, the nano-ZIF materials were activated at 150 °C for 16 h, and a standard amount of ~40 mg was used for each analysis, with warm and cold free space calculated separately. Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (PXRD) patterns were collected at room temperature on a Bruker D2 Phaser powder X-ray diffractometer with a flat plate sample holder and Cu radiation (λ = 1.54 Å) [18]. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Mettler-Toledo TGA/SDTA851 in a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C.min$^{-1}$ from 30 to 800 °C. With a monochromatic Al K X-ray source, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was recorded on a PHI 5000 VersaProbe system. The XPS data was analysed with Multipack version 9.7c software, and Gaussian–Lorentz fits were used (the Gaussian/Lorentz ratios were always >95%). Ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectroscopy measurements were done using a Shimadzu CPS-240A UV–vis spectrophotometer [8, 19, 20].

2.2 Synthesis of ZIF Nanoparticles in Methanol

Nano-ZIF-8 and nano-ZIF-67 crystallites were synthesized in methanol by a rapid isothermal bench top reaction and activated thereafter according to a recently published
method (Mphuthi et al.) (Scheme 1). Yield: 0.827 g, 63% (nZIF-8) and 1.572 g, 61% (nZIF-67). \(\nu_{\max}/\text{cm}^{-1}\) (Fig. 1): \(\nu(\text{C–H}) = 2930; 3138, \nu(\text{C–N}) = 1151; 1039, \nu(\text{C=\text{N}}) = 1589\). PXRD (Fig. 2) [8, 18].

2.3 Metal-Ion Exchange Between ZIFs and Ti(IV) Isopropoxide (Inert Conditions)

2.3.1 Methanol Drying Procedure

Dry magnesium turnings (2.5 g) and iodine (0.25 g) were added to 50 cm\(^3\) methanol. After the iodine disappeared and magnesium converted to methanolate due to heating, 350 cm\(^3\) methanol was added and refluxed for an hour. Dry methanol was distilled under Nitrogen (N\(_2\)) and collected.

2.3.2 Postsynthetic Exchange

Since the Ti(IV) isopropoxide precursor is extremely reactive towards air and moisture, ZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and ZIF-67(Co/Ti) nanoparticles were synthesised under inert conditions by a modified procedure, described by Fei et al. [19]. A two-neck flask was dried and fitted with a condenser and rubber septum and purged with nitrogen gas for 30 min before the reagents were added. In a glove box, under anhydrous conditions, titanium(IV) isopropoxide (1.8 cm\(^3\), 6 mmol) was dissolved in 50 cm\(^3\) dry methanol using an ultrasonic bath. To this solution, nZIF (ca. 0.45 g, 2 mmol equiv. of Zn for nZIF-8: ca. 0.44 g, 2 mmol equiv. of Co for nZIF-67) was added. The reagent mixture was transferred to a two-neck flask and heated for 24 h in a prepared oil bath at 60 °C, while stirring at 150 rpm under N\(_2\). The solid products were collected by centrifugation (8500 rpm, 10 min, 15 °C), after cooling to room temperature. Methanol was used to wash the precipitate until the supernatant was colourless. The solids were soaked in methanol for three days, and the solution was changed every 24 h with fresh methanol. The solid products were centrifuged until the supernatant was colourless, and dried at 60 °C, before activation at 150 °C overnight under vacuum, to obtain nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) (Scheme 2).

2.4 Photocatalytic Application (Oxidation of Hydroquinone (H\(_2\)Q))

The photocatalytic activity of Ti-exchanged nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti), compared to their parent nZIF-8 (Zn) and nZIF-67(Co), was investigated by the oxidation of hydroquinone (H\(_2\)Q) under simulated sunlight irradiation, using a 350 W Metal Halide

Fig. 1 FTIR spectra of a nZIF-8(Zn) with nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and b nZIF-67(Co) with nZIF-67(Co/Ti)
Lamp (placed at 10 cm distance from the reactor), under N₂ at room temperature. The reaction was conducted under light and dark conditions to investigate the combined effect of adsorption and photocatalytic oxidation by the synthesized catalysts. A suspension of hydroquinone (120 mg, 1.1 mmol, 0.109 M), catalyst (ZIF materials, 50 mg) and Acetonitrile (CH₃CN, 10 cm³) was prepared in a previously N₂ purged 100 cm³ two-neck round bottom flask. The mixture was stirred for 5 min to reach homogeneity before a solution of 30% aq. H₂O₂ (0.5 cm³) was added dropwise. The reaction was monitored for an hour by collecting samples (0.1 cm³) at 3 min time intervals and diluting with acetonitrile for UV–Vis spectrophotometric analyses [21–24]. Immediately after the completion of the reaction, acetonitrile (10 cm³) was added and the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture by centrifugation. The resulting yellow-green mixture was allowed to cool to approximately 5 °C in an ice bath to allow for crystallization of the pure product. The formed crystals were filtered and further purified by recrystallization from cold acetonitrile (10 cm³), filtered off and dried. The yellow crystalline solid was characterized by ¹H NMR and FTIR. The catalyst was thereafter regenerated by first drying it at 60 °C and activating it at 150 °C under vacuum overnight. Yield (BQ): nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) = 108 mg (90%), nZIF-67(Co/Ti) = 103 mg (86%), Pristine nZIF-8(Zn) = 47 mg (39%), nZIF-67(Co) = 44 mg (37%), Melting point: 113 °C. FTIR: ν(C=O) = 1700 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, D₂O)/ppm: δ 6.70 (m, 4H).

2.4.1 Kinetic Measurements

The kinetics for the oxidation of H₂Q to BQ was monitored utilizing UV/vis by monitoring the change in absorbance. A test of validity on Beer–Lambert law (A = εC₁l) with a path length l = 1 cm) was conducted on the starting material (H₂Q) and the product (BQ). A linear relationship between UV absorbance, A, and concentration, C₁, was confirmed for concentrations of 4.86 × 10⁻⁵–7.78 × 10⁻⁵ M (at λₜₚₑₓ = 292 nm) and 0.0185–0.0925 M (at λₜₚₑₓ = 245 nm) for H₂Q and BQ respectively. The concentration of the reactant (H₂Q) was kept constant for all the oxidation reactions at 0.109 M. The BQ product concentration yields ranged between 0.037 and 0.09 M for all four catalysts (Supporting information, Figs. S12, S13).

3 Results and Discussion

A rapid isothermal bench top reaction was used to synthesize nano-ZIF-8 and nano-ZIF-67 crystallites in methanol. The process starts with the deprotonation of 2-methylimidazole...
(2-MeIm), which subsequently coordinates tetrahedrally to the \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) or \( \text{Co}^{2+} \) ions to form nZIF-8(Zn) or nZIF-67(Co) crystallites, respectively. Since ZIF-67 particles are usually larger in size, Polvynylypyrrolidone-10 (PVP-10) was used to inhibit particles growth, leading to the formation of nanoparticles. Triethylamine (TEA) was used to accelerate the deprotonation of 2-MeIm, leading to faster nucleation and thus promote the formation of nano-sized ZIFs. During metal exchange, Ti(IV)-isopropoxide was employed as a source of Ti\(^{4+}\) to partially substitute \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) (ZIF-8) and \( \text{Co}^{2+} \) (ZIF-67), coordinating tetrahedrally to 2-MeIM, to produce bimetallic nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) crystallites respectively. The presence of Ti(IV) in nZIF-8 and nZIF-67 was confirmed by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and scanning electron microscopy-energy-dispersed X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX).

The obtained Ti-exchanged ZIF materials were confirmed to be free of unreacted Ti(IV) isopropoxide by the FTIR. As shown in Fig. 1, all Titanium exchanged ZIFs, nano-ZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nano-ZIF-67(Co/Ti), exhibit FTIR spectra similar to the pristine ZIFs. The characteristic bands for Ti(IV) isopropoxide are highlighted: (i) Asymmetric and symmetric stretching of the isopropoxide –CH group at 2900 and 2800 cm\(^{-1}\) (ii) 1420 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–O stretching) and (iii) 928 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–O bending). The broad band in the range of 500–700 cm\(^{-1}\) could be attributed to vibrations of the Ti–O and TiO–Ti bonds. The absence of these bands in the spectra of ZIFs after metal exchange reaction strongly indicates the absence of unreacted Ti(IV) isopropoxide in the Ti-exchanged products. Furthermore, no new bands are identified when compared to the parent ZIFs, indicating that no additional bonds were formed during the exchange [8, 26, 27].

The crystallinity and sodalite (SOD) topology of as-synthesized ZIF-8 and ZIF-67 nanoparticles were confirmed by their well-defined PXRD patterns, with the 5 main peaks at 10.5, 12.6, 14.6, 16.5 and 17.8°, which corresponds to the diffraction planes (002), (112), (022), (013) and (222) respectively. This correlates well with the simulated pattern and sodalite structure from the literature [25–27]. The similar PXRD patterns of pristine nZIF-8 and nZIF-67 and their Ti-exchanged counterparts, confirmed the preservation of SOD topology and structural integrity of nZIF-8 and nZIF-67 after the metal exchange process with Ti(IV) (Fig. 2).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to study the morphology of pristine and Ti-exchanged nZIF-8 and nZIF-67, as well as the dispersity of Ti(IV) in the exchanged products (Fig. 3). The particle size and morphology of pristine (left) and Ti-exchanged (right) ZIFs, show very little change. In agreement with the literature, the SEM images of nZIF-8 crystals (Fig. 3a) exhibit smooth hexagonal, nano-sized structures [26–29]. The average particle diameter of nZIF-8 is 48 and 62 nm for the Ti-exchanged nZIF-8(Zn/Ti). In Fig. 3b and e, SEM images of Ti-exchanged ZIFs exhibit nano-sized crystallites similar to the parent ZIFs. The nZIF-67 crystals are usually larger than nZIF-8 crystals, averaging 109 nm for pristine nZIF-67 and 126 nm for the Ti-exchanged nZIF-67(Co/Ti) (Fig. 3d). The nano-sized, rhombic dodecahedral nZIF-67 crystallites were obtained by using PVP-10, shaped in suspended micelles via their hydrophilic-hydrophobic interactions [30, 31]. SEM-EDX mapping of Ti-exchanged ZIFs clearly shows a consistent and even distribution of C, N, Zn, Co, and Ti (Fig. 3c, f), which is quite similar to the EDX-mapping of parent ZIFs (Supporting Information, Fig. S1). All elements are equally spread on the surface of the materials, as seen on the mapping, indicating that metal exchange occurred uniformly on the crystal surface [32].

All ZIF materials were subjected to high resolution X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) measurements to determine the elemental composition, oxidation states of metals and atomic ratios of elements present within the samples. The comparative XPS data of pristine nZIF-8(Zn) and nZIF-67(Co) with the Ti-exchanged nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) (Table 1) shows the binding energies of the N 1s, Zn 2p, Co 2p and Ti 2p photoelectron lines.

The XPS binding energy scale often requires charge referencing, due to sample charging during the measurement, often shifting core-level peaks towards higher binding energy values. Also, air-exposed samples always contain carbon and oxygen surface contaminants which need to be accounted for. The C 1s binding energy in particular depends on the types of hydrocarbons that are adsorbed on the surface of the sample causing a charge shift that may lead to inaccurate peak allocation. To correct this, the maximum binding energy of carbon’s C 1s photoelectron line, at 284.8 eV, was used as a charge reference for all the binding energies [33, 34].

All ZIF materials show a symmetrical N 1s photoelectron between 398.6 and 398.9 eV, assigned to the collective nitrogen species components in the imidazole ring namely N=C, N–C, N–M\(^{n+}\) groups where \( n = 2 \) or 4 (Fig. 4a). The zinc-containing nZIF-8(Zn) and nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) compounds showed the Zn-2p\(_{3/2}\) Photoelectron line between 1021.4 and 1021.9 eV with a spin orbit splitting of ca. 23.1 eV between the Zn-2p\(_{3/2}\) and Zn-2p\(_{1/2}\) photoelectron lines (Fig. 4b). The observed binding energies confirms the presence of Zn\(^{2+}\) and are compatible with Zn\(^{2+}\) binding energy observed for other reported ZIF-8 structures [33–35]. For cobalt containing nZIF-67(Co) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) compounds, XPS revealed the Co-2p\(_{3/2}\) and the Co-2p\(_{1/2}\) photoelectron line between 781.1–781.4 eV and 786.4–786.7 eV, respectively (Fig. 4d). The Co-2p\(_{3/2}\) binding energy shows that cobalt is in the redox state +2, and correlates well with reported Co-2p\(_{3/2}\) binding energy values for compounds that contain Co–N.
bonds such as Co(NH$_3$)$_6$Cl$_3$ found at 781.1 eV [33]. Satellite features were also observed at ca. 4.9 eV higher than the main Co-2p$_{3/2}$ and the Co-2p$_{1/2}$ photoelectron lines, due to the shake-up effect caused by the reduction of the emitted photoelectron kinetic energy. The intensity of the satellite to the main photoelectron lines were ca. 30% with the intensity increasing after the metal exchange reaction occurred. For Titanium containing nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) (Fig. 4c), the XPS showed the Ti-2p$_{3/2}$ and Ti-2p$_{1/2}$ photoelectron line set at ca. 459.5 and 466.9 eV, which is clearly
defined by a characteristic peak of Ti(IV) [36, 37]. The presence of these photoelectron lines confirmed successful metal exchange, and the binding energy value implies that Ti is in an oxidation state of (IV). From the % atomic ratios, the success of the metal exchange reaction was found to be 52% for nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and 38% for nZIF-67(Co/Ti), respectively. No satellite features were observed for titanium.

In addition to XPS, inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) was used to determine the metallic content (Zn, Co, and Ti) of the ZIF materials. After digestion of the ZIF crystal materials in an aqueous nitric acid (HNO₃) solution, ICP-OES showed a Ti:Zn ratio in nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and Ti:Co ratio in nZIF-67(Co/Ti) of 1:1 (52% Ti) and 0.7:1 (39% Ti) (average of the three

Table 1 XPS data of nZIF-8, nZIF-67, and their Ti-exchanged derivatives

|                  | N 1s | Zn 2p1/2 | Zn 2p3/2 | Co(main) 2p1/2 | Co(main) 2p3/2 | Co(sat) 2p1/2 | Co(sat) 2p3/2 | Ti(main) 2p1/2 | Ti(main) 2p3/2 |
|------------------|------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| nZIF-8 (Zn)      | 398.6| 1021.9   | 1045.0   |                |                |               |               |                |               |
| Atomic ratio     | 1.0  | 0.68     | –        |                |                |               |               |                |               |
| nZIF-8 (Zn/Ti)   | 398.6| 1021.4   | 1044.5   | 459.5          | 466.9          |               |               |                |               |
| Atomic ratio     | 1.0  | 0.41     | –        |                |                | 0.45          |               |                |               |
| nZIF-67 (Co)     | 398.8| 855.2    | 873.0    | 861.4          | 879.2          |               |               |                |               |
| Atomic ratio     | 1.0  | –        | 0.58     |                |                |               |               |                |               |
| nZIF-67 (Co/Ti)  | 398.9| 459.4    | 465.2    |                |                |               |                |                |               |
| Atomic ratio     | 1    | –        | 0.43     | 0.27           |                |               |               |                |               |

Fig. 4 The a N 1s, b Zn 2p, c Ti 2p and d Co 2p XPS regions of ZIF-8, ZIF-67 and their Ti-exchanged derivatives
measurements) respectively. These values are in good agreement with those obtained by XPS and EDS (Table 2).

All the ZIF materials exhibit type I adsorption isotherms (Fig. 5a), which indicates that they are microporous [38–41]. Both nZIF-8 and nZIF-67 showed a small decrease in the amount of N\textsubscript{2} adsorbed, BET surface areas, and pore volumes after metal exchange with Ti(IV) (Table 3). These decreases are in good agreement with the slight decrease in crystallinity, as shown by PXRD measurements (Fig. 2).

The TGA profiles in Fig. 5b, show that nZIF-8 and its Ti-exchanged counterpart have the highest thermal stability, with temperatures up to 500 °C, while nZIF-67 and its Ti-exchanged counterpart can withstand temperatures up to 400 °C. After the exchange reaction with Ti(IV), the thermal stability of both nZIF-8 and nZIF-67 decreased only marginally as expected, consistent with literature [8, 38, 40].

The band gaps of nZIF-8, nZIF-67 and their Ti-exchanged derivatives were determined by UV–Vis absorption measurements at room temperature (Fig. 6). The band gap of a material is an indication of the minimum energy required for an excited electron to exit the valence band into the conduction band in order to participate in conduction. The ease of excitation may be directly correlated to the effective absorption of visible light, such as artificial sunlight irradiation in this study, by the material and essentially contributing to a more efficient photocatalytic performance [42]. All absorbance spectra have broad absorbance bands in the visible region. The apparent band gap energy, \( E' \), of the parent nano-ZIFs and their Ti-exchanged derivatives were determined using the classical Tauc equation, Eq. (1).

\[
\alpha \propto \frac{1}{E_p} = K \left( E_p - E' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

where \( E_p \) is photon energy, \( E' \) is the band gap energy, \( K \) is a constant, and \( \alpha \) represents the absorption coefficient [43].

---

**Table 2** Ti-content (mol\%) of exchanged ZIFs as measured by XPS, ICP-OES and EDS

| Technique | nZIF-8 (Ti/Zn) mol\% | nZIF-67 (Ti/Co) mol\% |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| XPS       | 52                   | 38                    |
| ICP-OES   | 52                   | 39                    |
| EDS       | 51                   | 38                    |

---

**Table 3** Summary of physisorption and Band gap energy data for the nZIF-8, nZIF-67 and their Ti-exchanged derivatives

| Sample          | %Yield | BET surface area/m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} | Micropore volume/cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} | Average particle size/nm | Decomposition temperature/°C | Band gap energy/eV |
|-----------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| nZIF-8(Zn)      | 63     | 1867.6                                          | 0.811                                           | 48                       | 500                        | 5.00              |
| nZIF-8(Zn/Ti)   | 92     | 1797.2                                          | 0.724                                           | 62                       | 450                        | 5.01              |
| nZIF-67(Co)     | 61     | 1418.5                                          | 0.548                                           | 109                      | 400                        | 2.01              |
| nZIF-67(Co/Ti)  | 88     | 1396.4                                          | 0.419                                           | 126                      | 350                        | 2.00              |
The curve of $(\alpha^*E)^2$ versus $E$ (where $\alpha$ is an absorption coefficient and $E$ is the photon energy) [38], was plotted as shown in Fig. 6. The band gap energies of all nZIF materials were determined by the extrapolation of the gradients to the x-axes [where $E = E_g$ (the apparent band gap energy)] and the results shown in Table 3. The Tauc analyses were employed to determine the apparent bandgap energies. The absorbance peak of pristine nZIF-8(Zn) (300–360 nm) corresponds to a band gap of 5.00 eV (previous reports: 5.2 and 4.9 eV) [38, 40], while the broad absorbance peak of Ti-exchanged nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) (320–480 nm) corresponds to a band gap of 5.01 eV. The very high absorbance around 230 nm involved $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions in the imidazole rings [38]. The absorbance peak of nZIF-67 (500–650 nm) corresponds to an $E_g$ of 2.01 eV (reported literature value: 1.98 eV) [40], with the $E_g$ of Ti-exchanged nZIF-67(Co/Ti) at 2.00 eV. The $E_g$ values indicate that the semiconductor properties of the ZIF-8, ZIF-67 and their respective titanium exchanged derivatives, are similar. The introduction of titanium into the ZIF framework had no appreciable effect on the band gap energies, and therefore no expected effect on the photocatalytic performance of the newly synthesized catalysts.

4 Photocatalytic Application

4.1 Photocatalytic Oxidation of Hydroquinone (H$_2$Q) to Benzoquinone (BQ)

The photocatalytic activities of Ti-exchanged nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti), and their parent nZIF-8 (Zn) and nZIF-67(Co), were tested in a photocatalytic oxidation transformation of hydroquinone (H$_2$Q) to benzoquinone (BQ).
under simulated sunlight irradiation (Scheme 3). First, the oxidation effect of the catalyst was evaluated by irradiating H2Q (i) alone (HQ), (ii) in the presence of H2O2 (HP), (iii) in the presence of the catalyst (HC) and lastly (iv) in the presence of H2O2 and the catalyst (HPC). The mixtures were irradiated (simulated sunlight) for a 1-h period and the UV spectra were recorded every 3 min as illustrated in Fig. 7a. The same reaction conditions were repeated without irradiation (in the dark) (Supporting Information, Fig. S3). The starting H2Q spectra showed a maximum absorbance at 295 nm (Fig. 7a). Oxidation in the presence of H2O2 showed a small increase in absorbance at 245 nm as a result of BQ formation (HP). Oxidation in the presence of the catalyst (HC) showed a clear absorbance increase at 245 nm with a corresponding decrease in absorbance at 295 nm. Combination of the catalyst and H2O2 (HPC) showed the best activity with a much larger increase in the absorbance at 245 nm and a complete disappearance of the starting material peak at 295 nm. Although less effective, the catalytic effect of this combination was also observed in the dark (Supporting Information, Fig. S3) while the other reaction conditions showed no product formation. Following this observation, the catalytic oxidation of H2Q was monitored in real time in the presence of H2O2 and the catalyst, namely, pristine ZIFs, nZIF-8(Zn), nZIF-67(Co) and their titanium exchanged derivatives, nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti).

Figure 7b shows the overlay UV spectra of nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) catalytic performance, which was similar to that of nZIF-67(Co/Ti) (Supporting Information, Fig. S5). A rapid, exponential decrease in absorbance at 295 nm and simultaneous increase at 245 nm, corresponds to the disappearance of H2Q and the formation of BQ respectively. The oxidative fraction (A/Ao) decreased progressively and reached 100% for both nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) after 36 min. A first-order catalytic behaviour was confirmed by the linear plots of ln(A/Ao) versus time (Fig. 8). The individual kBQ rate constants for the formation of BQ were calculated as 0.189 min⁻¹ (t1/2 = 3.7 min) and 0.139 min⁻¹ (t1/2 = 5 min) for nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) respectively (Table 4). Comparison of the influence of the metal exchange on the rate of oxidation showed that the Ti-exchanged nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti) were approximately 4.4 and 4.8 times faster than the parent nZIF-8 and nZIF-67 respectively (Fig. 9). It is evident that the incorporation of Ti(IV)-centres into the ZIF framework improved the rate of oxidation dramatically when compared to the pristine ZIFs, (Supporting Information, Fig. S4).

The introduction of a second metallic component may improve catalytic performance due to the expected...
synergistic effect between the two metals. This effect is caused by variations in the valence electronic configuration, difference in surface composition and the presence of different metal oxidation states [47]. The increase in oxidation rate for the bimetallic derivatives can be attributed to an increase in the number of active sites on the surface of the photocatalyst with more Ti⁴⁺ exposed, which increased the electron transfer rate to the oxidant to drive the process of photo-oxidation of hydroquinone [44]. This is evident with the high oxidation rate on Ti-exchanged ZIFs. A higher titanium content (52 mol%) of the nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) catalyst which represents a Ti:Zn ratio of nearly 1:1, compared to that of nZIF-67(Co/Ti) (38 mol%), led to higher photocatalytic activity. It is certain that incorporation of Ti⁴⁺ into the ZIF framework, improved their photocatalytic activity dramatically (Fig. 9). The influence of the particle size

Table 4  

| Sample                      | $k_{H2Q}$ (min⁻¹) | $t_{1/2}$ ($H2Q$) | $k_{BQ}$ (min⁻¹) | $t_{1/2}$ ($BQ$) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| nZIF-8(Zn)                  | $4.49 \times 10^{-2}$ | 15.4             | $4.29 \times 10^{-2}$ | 16.2 |
| nZIF-67(Co)                 | $2.09 \times 10^{-2}$ | 33.2             | $2.89 \times 10^{-2}$ | 23.9 |
| Exchanged nZIF-8(Zn/Ti)     | $17.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.9              | $18.95 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.7  |
| Exchanged nZIF-67(Co/Ti)    | $14.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | 4.7              | $13.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | 5.0  |
and external surface area on the rate of activity was also analysed. Smaller particles (~48 nm) of the nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) catalyst gave 1.4 times faster oxidation rate, compared to the bigger particles (~110 nm) of nZIF-67(Co/Ti), due to a larger surface area. Complete conversion of H₂Q to BQ was confirmed by FTIR (Fig. 10) with a disappearance of a broad OH stretching, sharp OH bonding and C–O stretching frequency of the H₂Q at 3200 cm⁻¹ (i), 1480 cm⁻¹ (iii) and 1200 cm⁻¹ (iv) respectively, as well as the appearance of a sharp C=O stretching frequency at 1700 cm⁻¹ (ii), associated with the formation of BQ. The absence of an OH resonance at δ 2.7 ppm and the presence of a multiplet at δ 6.70 ppm in the ¹H-NMR spectrum (Supporting Information, Fig. S15) further confirmed the complete conversion of the starting material, to give only one product. However, partial conversion of H₂Q has been reported elsewhere when a chitosan flake-supported Cu(II) catalyst was used for the same reaction [21]. In this study, the conversion was improved by increasing catalyst amounts.

The photocatalytic oxidation of hydroquinone to benzoquinone is a redox process that can be visualized as a sequence of proton and electron transfers (Scheme 4). The visible light radiation stimulates the Ti-ZIF catalyst in the first step, producing electron–hole pairs that swiftly aid in the dissociation of H₂O₂ into hydroxyl radicals (·OH) and H₂O, which subsequently deprotonates hydroquinone. Deprotonation of hydroquinone leads to a phenoxide ion which undergoes one-electron oxidation to yield a phenoxy radical. Dissociation of the second OH group generates the radical anion semiquinone, followed by a second one-electron oxidation to yield benzoquinone. All intermediates are resonance stabilized [44, 45].

### 4.2 Stability and Reusability Test After 3 Cycles of Photocatalysis

The Ti-exchanged ZIFs were taken through two additional catalytic cycles of oxidation. After each cycle, the Ti/ZIF-8 and Ti/ZIF-67 catalysts were recovered from the reaction media...
by centrifugation, followed by washing with MeOH multiple times until the supernatant was colourless. The catalyst was thereafter regenerated by first drying it at 60 °C and activating it at 150 °C under vacuum overnight. The photocatalytic activity of the regenerated Ti-exchanged ZIF towards oxidation of H$_2$Q was found to be nearly equal to that of the fresh catalyst with a slight reduction in activity (less than 10%) after 3 cycles (Supporting Information, Fig. S11). The slight decrease in the catalytic activity of the recycled catalyst could be attributed to a number of reasons. Firstly, the ZIFs are subjected to a weight loss during the recovery process. Secondly, the surface area may be reduced, due to aggregation of the ZIF particles, as well as incomplete desorption of the product from the catalytic sites. This reduction was confirmed from the N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms, which showed a surface area loss of 9% (Supporting Information, Fig. S14). The effect of the loss in surface area on the performance of nanomaterials has been extensively studied [40, 46, 47]. Although the angle reflection intensity was reduced, the XRD patterns of the recycled Ti/ZIF-8 and Ti/ZIF-67 catalysts were found to be identical to the fresh catalysts as evidenced by the retention of the angles of reflection in Fig. 11. This confirms that the sodalite framework of the Ti-exchanged ZIFs was preserved, and no visible structural changes occurred after catalysis.

### 5 Conclusion

In conclusion, the Zn and Co nodes of nano-sized ZIF-8(Zn) and ZIF-67(Co) were partially replaced by Ti(IV). The Ti centre was introduced by postsynthetic metal-exchange to give the first bimetallic metal exchanged microporous Ti(IV)-containing ZIFs, nZIF-8(Zn/Ti) and nZIF-67(Co/Ti). The morphology, crystal structures and the structural integrity of the Sodalite framework of the pristine ZIF nanoparticles have been retained to a large extend during the Ti-exchange process. Their BET surface areas and pore volume decreased by less than 5% and binding energy values on XPS confirmed the preservation of the oxidation state of Zn(II), Co(II) and Ti(IV) after the exchange process. The catalytic activity of the pristine nZIF-8(Zn) and nZIF-67(Co) was enhanced by approximately 4.5 times for the photocatalytic oxidation of H$_2$Q to benzoquinone by incorporating Titanium ions in their structures. The presence of the Ti(IV)-centres in the ZIF structures proved to be a good promoter for the performance of the two catalysts. The band gap energy of the ZIF materials had essentially no effect on the photocatalytic performance and was predominated by the nature of the active site and surface area. Easy recovery of the Ti-exchanged ZIFs was achieved by decantation and the
catalyst was reusable up to three times without any substantial loss in the catalytic activity and crystal stability.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-022-02327-8.
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