EAS age determination from the study of the lateral distribution of charged particles near the shower axis with the ARGO-YBJ experiment
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Abstract

The ARGO-YBJ experiment, a full coverage extensive air shower (EAS) detector located at high altitude (4300 m a.s.l.) in Tibet, China, has smoothly taken data, with very high stability, since November 2007 to the beginning of 2013. The array consisted of a carpet of about 7000 m$^2$ Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs) operated in streamer mode and equipped with both digital and analog readout, providing the measurement of particle densities up to few particles per cm$^2$. The unique detector features (full coverage, readout granularity, wide dynamic range, ..) and location (very high altitude) allowed a detailed study of the lateral density profile of charged particles at ground very close to the shower axis and its description by a proper lateral distribution function (LDF). In particular, the information collected in the first 10 m from the shower axis have been shown to provide a very effective tool for the determination of the shower development stage (“age”) in the energy range 50 TeV - 10 PeV. The sensitivity of the age parameter to the mass composition of primary Cosmic Rays is also discussed.
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1. Introduction

The energy spectrum and composition in the so-called knee region (10$^{14}$-10$^{16}$ eV) could be crucial to understand the origin and acceleration mechanism of the very high energy cosmic ray (CR) flux, which are among the main open problems in particle astrophysics. The detailed study of several features (longitudinal structure, lateral distribution of charged component at detection level, size, etc.) of extensive air showers (EAS), produced by these particles in our
atmosphere and detected by surface apparata using different techniques, is the
primary tool for obtaining information about CR primaries when direct mea-
surements are prevented by the too low fluxes.

The shower development stage in the atmosphere, is expressed by the so-
called *longitudinal age*, which essentially reflects the height of the shower max-
imum with respect to the observation level. When measured at a fixed altitude
(the detection one), it depends on the energy of the interacting primary parti-
cle, while, for fixed energy, it depends on the primary nature. Indeed, heavier
primaries interact higher in the atmosphere and moreover, according to the
superposition principle, they act like a number (equal to A, the nucleus mass
number) of nucleons, each one generating and independent shower at the same
height on average (since each nucleon carries out the same fraction of the nucleus
total energy). The result is that heavy primary nuclei produce showers that,
on average, reach their maximum size at a greater distance from the detector
than a lighter primary of the same energy. For this reason, the combined use
of shower energy and age estimations can ensure the sensitivity to the primary
nature.

An EAS array by itself cannot measure directly the shower development
stage, through the determination of the depth of the shower maximum, $X_{\text{max}}$
(as made for instance by fluorescence detectors). It can only measure the particle
density distribution at ground as a function of the core distance (described
through a lateral density function, LDF) and from the slope of this distribution
get information on the longitudinal shower development. In fact, the detailed
study of the lateral particle density profile at ground is expected to provide
information on the longitudinal profile of the showers in the atmosphere, that
is to estimate their development stage, or *age*. The relation between the lat-
eral shape of the detected particle distribution and the shower age is quickly
explained. Showers starting high in the atmosphere show a flat lateral particle
distribution, mainly due to multiple scattering processes. Such showers, char-
acterised by a large value of the age parameter, are called *old*. On the contrary,
*young* showers have started deeper in the atmosphere, thus having their max-
imum closer to the observation level. This results in a steeper lateral particle
distribution, which corresponds to a smaller value of the age parameter. Apart
from fluctuations, the height of the shower maximum depends on energy and
mass of the initiating particle. Therefore, the lateral shape parameter is also
sensitive to the mass of the CR primary.

Historically, it was shown that such lateral distribution (at least of the e.m.
part of the shower), as measured by a traditional sampling EAS array at dis-
tances of the order of hundred meters from the core, can be properly described
by a LDF like the Nishimura-Kamata-Greisen (NKG) structure function \[1, 2\],
with parameters depending on the shower size, the detection altitude and the
shower age. The age parameter determined in this way is usually referred to as
lateral age \[3, 4\], since it is obtained from the LDF. It, in principle, coincides
with the longitudinal age in particular for purely e.m. showers, but they can
in fact differ, since most showers come from hadrons and the two quantities are
measured with completely different techniques. However, they are expected to
be strongly related. Moreover, as experimentally observed, the NKG function
with a single lateral age parameter is frequently inadequate to properly de-
scribe the lateral density distribution of EAS charged particles at all distances.
This implies that such parameter changes with the radial distance and, for this
reason, the concept of local shower age parameter was introduced \[5\] to denote
essentially the lateral age at each point. As a consequence, any use of the lateral
age parameter in order to infer the shower development stage in the atmosphere
has necessarily to face that problem. To this aim, a full MC simulation of both
the shower transportation in the atmosphere and the detailed detector response
is needed.

In this paper we show how the peculiar features of the ARGO-YBJ detector
can be exploited to study the distribution of charged particles in the region
around the shower axis by describing its lateral profile by means of a proper
LDF, thus obtaining an estimation of the shower development stage through
the local age parameter. We also demonstrate and discuss the sensitivity of
such age parameter to the masses of the shower initiating primaries.
Figure 1: An example of a typical very high energy EAS event recorded by the detector running with the G1 gain scale of the analog system (see text). The hit map at ground is given on the left, the color code representing the strip multiplicity of each fired pad, evidently saturated on a large portion of the detector. The analog RPC signal \((N_{\text{part}}/\text{BigPad})\) vs the position on the detector is shown on the right: the core and the lateral particle distribution of the shower in the first few meters from it are clearly visible.

2. The ARGO-YBJ experiment

The ARGO-YBJ detector was a full coverage extensive air shower (EAS) array made by a single layer of Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs) operated in streamer mode, for \(\gamma\)-astronomy observations with \(\sim 100\) GeV energy threshold, search of Gamma Ray Bursts in the full GeV/TeV energy range and CR studies in the energy range \((1-10^4)\) TeV [6]. For these purposes, the array was installed in the Cosmic Ray Observatory of YanBaJing (Tibet, China), at an altitude of 4300 m above sea level (corresponding to a vertical atmospheric depth of about 606 g/cm\(^2\)), and ran in its full configuration since November 2007 until February 2013. It was organized in 153 clusters of 12 RPCs each. Any single RPC was read out by ten \(62 \times 56\) cm\(^2\) pads, which were further divided into 8 strips, thus providing a larger particle counting dynamic range [7, 8]. The signals coming from all the strips of a given pad were sent to the same channel of a multi-hit TDC. The whole system provided a single hit (pad) time resolution of \(\sim 1.8\) ns, which, joined to the full coverage feature, allowed a complete and detailed three-dimensional reconstruction of the shower front with unprecedented space-
time resolution. A system for the RPC analog charge readout from larger pads, each one covering half a chamber (the so called big pads, BP), has also been implemented and took data since January 2010. This actually extended the detector sensitivity range from about $10^{14}$ eV up to about $10^{16}$ eV of the primary energy. The analog readout system has been operated with different gain scales (from G0 to G7, with increasing gains), which determined the threshold and the maximum number of particles that could be reliably measured by each BP. The highest gain scale G7 allowed low density values to be measured down to few particles per m$^2$, overlapping its dynamic range with the detector operated in ‘digital mode’, i.e. simply counting the number of fired strips, that saturates at about 20/m$^2$. The data collected by this scale were mainly used for calibration purposes, following the procedure described in [9]. The other scales had decreasing gains (down to G0) and allowed measuring ever increasing densities up to several $10^4$/m$^2$ [9]. In Fig. 1, the three-dimensional histogram of a EAS event imaged through the analog readout is shown and compared to the one obtained by the digital readout; the core is clearly identified and the shower front structure in the first few meters can be resolved. These features allowed to study, for the first time, the detailed profile of the particle density distribution at the observation level even very close to the shower axis.

The possibility of a detailed investigation of the distribution of particles detected in the first few meters from the shower axis provides a new and efficient way of selecting events initiated by light mass primaries (see last Section), without relying on the muon signal. On the other hand, such a study could give new inputs, in the very forward kinematic region, to the hadronic interaction models currently used for the investigation of the cosmic ray flux and origin at the highest energies.

3. Monte Carlo simulations and event selection

For the analysis presented here, several air shower samples induced by proton, He, CNO elemental group and Fe primaries have been simulated, for a total
Figure 2: Angular resolution of incoming direction reconstruction for showers triggering the analog system, as a function of $\log_{10}(N_{\text{part}})$, the logarithm of particle multiplicity on the whole central detector. The angular resolution is here defined as $\psi_{70}/1.58$, where $\psi_{70}$ is the space angle including the 70% of reconstructed directions with respect to the true one.

amount of several millions of events in the ($10^{12}$-$10^{16}$) eV energy range. The simulated showers were produced by using the CORSIKA code [10], with QGSJET-II.03 [11] as hadronic interaction model, while FLUKA code [12, 13] has been used at lower energies. The showers were generated in the zenith angle range $\theta < 45^\circ$, according to a spectral index -1 and subsequently weighted in such a way to follow the flux normalizations and spectra as given in [14]. Throughout the whole paper, if not differently specified, we adopted the Hörandel model to obtain a mixed sample of the above cited elements. With cores randomly sampled in a larger area (about ten times) than the detector surface, such showers have been given in input to a GEANT [16] based program fully simulating the detector structure and response (including the effects of time resolution, trigger logic, electronics noise, readout system, etc.). The Monte Carlo (MC) events triggering the analog system readout (≥73 fired pads in a cluster) have then been processed by the same reconstruction program used for real data.

The shower core was determined through the Maximum Likelihood method
Figure 3: Average primary energy for p, He, CNO group and Fe initiated MC showers, reconstructed in the zenith angle range $\theta_{\text{zenith}} < 15^\circ$, for various intervals of $N_{ps}$, the number of detected particles within 8 m from the shower axis.

applied to the lateral density distribution of the detected particles, which was fitted to a modified NKG function (see, e.g., [15]). The algorithm ensured an accuracy of the order of 1 m or less. Concerning the reconstruction of incoming direction, the front of each detected shower was fitted by a conical shape, with vertex in the core position and aperture as a free parameter of the fit. The resulting angular resolution is found to be much better than 1 degree for all events triggering the analog system, with a gradual and constant improvement up to the highest hit multiplicities, as shown in Fig. 2. The events were subsequently selected by requiring the core position to be in a fiducial area of 64 x 64 m$^2$ around the detector center. This work was also restricted to events with reconstructed zenith angle $\theta_{\text{zenith}} < 15^\circ$.

The study of the MC events (see [17]) allowed to identify the truncated size $N_{ps}$, defined as the number of particles detected within a distance of 8 m from the shower axis, as a suitable, although mass dependent, estimator of the primary CR energy (Fig. 3), since well correlated to the total shower energy, not biased by effects due to the finite detector size, nor dominated by shower
Concerning the experimental dataset, the G1 and G4 gain scale samples were used for this analysis, apart the G7 one used for calibration purposes. This led to define a specific cut for the maximum particle number (hereafter $N_{\text{max}}$) on a single big pad in each event, in the G4 and G1 samples separately, in order to select showers with a lateral particle density distribution well above the threshold set by the analog system: $\log_{10}(N_{\text{max}}) > 1.7$ for G4 and $\log_{10}(N_{\text{max}}) > 2.7$ for G1 scale (being $N_{\text{max}}$ the maximum particle number measured by a single big pad in the event). Moreover, a cut on $N_{p8}$ ensured to avoid possible saturation effects on each of the two gain scales: $3 < \log_{10}(N_{p8}) < 5$ for G4 and $\log_{10}(N_{p8}) > 4$ for G1. The same fiducial cuts used for real data were finally applied to the simulated samples. 

Figure 4: Lateral density distribution of particles around the shower axis for MC proton, helium and iron initiated showers, with $10^{3.7} < N_{p8} < 10^{4.0}$ and $\theta_{\text{zenith}} < 15^\circ$. The distributions are normalized to the density at 11 m of distance from the shower axis. 

Figure 4: Lateral density distribution of particles around the shower axis for MC proton, helium and iron initiated showers, with $10^{3.7} < N_{p8} < 10^{4.0}$ and $\theta_{\text{zenith}} < 15^\circ$. The distributions are normalized to the density at 11 m of distance from the shower axis. 

to shower fluctuations. As a consequence, in order to select event samples in given, sufficiently narrow, intervals of energy, specific bins of such observable have been extensively used all over this analysis. 

Concerning the experimental dataset, the G1 and G4 gain scale samples were used for this analysis, apart the G7 one used for calibration purposes. This led to define a specific cut for the maximum particle number (hereafter $N_{\text{max}}$) on a single big pad in each event, in the G4 and G1 samples separately, in order to select showers with a lateral particle density distribution well above the threshold set by the analog system: $\log_{10}(N_{\text{max}}) > 1.7$ for G4 and $\log_{10}(N_{\text{max}}) > 2.7$ for G1 scale (being $N_{\text{max}}$ the maximum particle number measured by a single big pad in the event). Moreover, a cut on $N_{p8}$ ensured to avoid possible saturation effects on each of the two gain scales: $3 < \log_{10}(N_{p8}) < 5$ for G4 and $\log_{10}(N_{p8}) > 4$ for G1. The same fiducial cuts used for real data were finally applied to the simulated samples.
4. Parametrizations of the LDF

As stated in the Section 1, the basic idea is to get information on the shower development stage from the lateral density distribution structure around the shower axis. Thus, the average particle distributions starting from the reconstructed core have been studied in detail for each $N_{p8}$ bin and, in the case of simulations, for different primary types. As an example, the Fig. 4 shows the average lateral distribution of particles obtained for a sample of simulated proton induced shower events in the interval $\Delta N_{p8} = 10^{3.7} - 10^{4.0}$, corresponding to an average energy $E_p \simeq 70$ TeV, together with the average LDFs. Moreover, the analogous distributions for He and Fe primaries in the same $\Delta N_{p8}$ interval (corresponding to an average energy $E_{He} \simeq 100$ TeV and $E_{Fe} \simeq 300$ TeV, respectively) are also shown in the same plot.

Figure 5: Reconstructed average density distribution of the detected particles around the shower axis for simulated proton, helium and iron initiated showers (as indicated in the label on top of each plot), with zenith angle $\theta < 15^\circ$ and $10^{4.7} < N_{p8} < 10^{5.0}$ (corresponding to about 500 TeV, 700 TeV, and 1.4 PeV for primary p, He, and Fe, respectively [17]). The fits with LDFs in Eq. 2, Eq. 3 with $R_M$ left as free parameter, Eq. 4, and Eq. 5 are superimposed (see text).
The above cited NKG function, which describes fairly well the lateral distribution of charged particles, especially over distances of hundreds of meters at the observation level, has the following mathematical form:

$$\rho_1(r) = N_e \ C(s) \left( \frac{r}{R_M} \right)^{s-2} \left(1 + \frac{r}{R_M}\right)^{s-4.5}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $\rho_1(r)$ is the particle density at a distance $r$ from the shower axis, $N_e$ is total number of particles at the observation depth, $C(s)$ is given by

$$C(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi R_M^2} \times \frac{\Gamma(4.5-s)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(4.5-2s)}$$

being $\Gamma(x)$ the gamma function, $R_M$ the Molière radius at ground, $s$ the lateral age parameter.

Several modifications of the NKG form were proposed as LDFs in order to better reproduce the data of various experiments that measured particle densities at ground. This could be done by introducing the concept of local age $s = s(r)$ [18], or suitably modifying the original form given in Eq. 1. As an example, the KASCADE experiment [19] used a NKG-like function able to...
describe the measured lateral distribution for showers with energies up to $10^{17}$ eV and for core distances up to 200 m:

$$\rho_2(r) = N_e C(s) \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{s-\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{s-\beta}$$

(2)

where

$$C(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi r_0^2} \times \frac{\Gamma(\beta-s)}{\Gamma(s-\alpha+2)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-2s-2)}$$

In this case some parameters have been optimized with Monte Carlo data, with $\alpha = 1.5$, $\beta = 3.6$ and $r_0 = 40 \text{ m}$ being used as radial scale factor. Another example is given by the AGASA group [20] which used a generalized NKG function with an additional term to take into account density measurement at very large distances, inspired by a function suggested by Linsley [21]:

$$\rho_3(r) = C \left( \frac{r}{R_M} \right)^{-1.2} \left[ 1 + \frac{r}{R_M} \right]^{-\eta^{-1.2}} \left[ 1.0 + \left( \frac{r}{1000 \text{ m}} \right)^2 \right]^{-\delta}$$

(3)

where $C$, $\eta$ and $\delta$ are free parameters. This function describes well lateral distribution of charged particle up to distances of several km from the shower.
core. The $\eta$ parameter is related to the LDF slope and depends on the zenith angle. A different approach is the so-called *scaling formalism* \[22\]. In this case

$$\rho_1(r) = \frac{N_e}{r_0} C \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-(\beta-\alpha)} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{10r_0} \right)^2 \right]^{-\delta},$$

(4)

where $C = 0.28$, $\alpha = 1.2$, $\beta = 4.53$, $\delta = 0.6$, while $r_0$ here becomes a free parameter that is shown to be correlated with the shower age. It has to be noticed that this function describes well particle densities measured far from the core, like in the AGASA experiment \[23\].

For ARGO-YBJ data, the lateral particle distributions were firstly fitted with each of the different parametrizations above reported (Fig. 5 and 6). Such a systematic study showed that no one of those functions was able to fit ARGO-YBJ data in a satisfactory way. In particular, the fit with the original NKG formula (Eq.1) did not give good results unless using $R_M$ values much lower than the actual Molière radius at the experimental site.

The best performance in describing both simulated and experimental data,
with the minimum number of parameters and for all $N_{p8}$ bins, was achieved by the use of a simplified, NKG-like, LDF defined as:

$$\rho(r) = A \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{s'-2} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{s'-4.5}$$

(5)

where $A$ is a normalization factor, $s'$ is the shape parameter that plays the role of the lateral age, and $r_0$ is a constant scale radius. Both simulated and real data suggested the value $r_0 = 30\text{m}$ as that giving the best $\chi^2$ values for the fit by the previous function in the different $N_{p8}$ bins. As a check, different values of $r_0$ were also used in Eq.5 when fitting the particle distributions both from data and MC samples. For instance, a higher value of $r_0$, like $r_0 = 50\text{m}$, also reproduces in a satisfactory way the density lateral profiles, although with systematically lower values of the parameter $s'$ with respect to the $r_0 = 30\text{m}$ case. This in fact is expected if $r_0$ is actually correlated to the Molière radius, since higher altitudes (i.e. greater $r_0$ values) imply the observation of younger
showers (i.e. smaller $s'$ values). This indeed was observed both for data and MC events.

Some of the results obtained using the LDF in Eq. 5 (with $r_0 = 30$ m) are outlined for simulated data (from $p$, He and Fe initiated showers), in a given $N_{p8}$ bin, in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 where the fits to the average lateral density profiles and their fractional residuals are shown, respectively. The fits with the different LDFs given in Eq. 2, Eq. 3 and Eq. 4, together with the related fractional residuals, are also shown for comparison (the results from the fit with the LDF given in Eq. 1 are not shown for the sake of clarity).

The plot with residuals is also reported in Fig. 7 for experimental data, in the same $N_{p8}$ bin ($10^{1.7} - 10^{5.0}$) and angular range ($\theta < 15^\circ$) already used for MC samples. Similar results are obtained in the other $N_{p8}$ bins. As can be seen, the use of the LDF parametrization as given in Eq. 5 is expected to give
the best result for experimental data too.

Just to give a specific example, the average lateral density distribution for real events in the interval $10^{4.7} < N_{p8} < 10^{5.0}$ is reported in Fig. 8 and compared with the corresponding MC distributions, from a p-primary event sample (typical proton energy $E_p \sim 500\text{ TeV}$) and from an iron-primary event sample ($E_{Fe} \sim 1.4\text{ PeV}$). As clearly shown, the lateral particle density profile from data is properly fitted by the LDF of Eq. 5, giving a slope parameter value $s' = 1.35$, and lies between the two average distributions from pure proton and iron induced showers. The fits to the lateral distributions from simulated data, by the same $NKG$-like function in Eq. 5, give respectively: $s' = 1.17$ for the proton sample and $s' = 1.44$ for the iron sample. This indeed is what would be expected, provided that $s'$ reflects the developing stage of the shower, being a detected p-induced shower on average younger (which implies a smaller $s'$ value) than a shower induced by an iron nucleus and generating a detected event in the same $N_{p8}$ interval. In their turn, the real data events in the same $N_{p8}$ interval certainly are produced by a mixture of primary species, thus giving an intermediate $s'$ parameter value at the detector level.

An exhaustive study showed that the function in Eq. 5 is able to properly fit the lateral particle distributions, both from experimental and simulated data, in every $N_{p8}$ bin, up to $\sim 10\text{ m}$, so this value of maximum distance from the core was set for the subsequent single event particle distribution fits.

By fitting the lateral particle distribution event by event with the function in Eq. 5, a distribution of the $s'$ parameter is obtained which reflects the dependence on both the energy and the mass of the primary particles. In Fig. 9, the $s'$ distributions from the fit of single events in proton, helium, CNO group and iron samples, in the truncated size interval $\Delta N_{p8} = 10^{3.4} - 10^{3.7}$, are reported. In a similar way, the $s'$ distributions from the fit of single events in MC samples of different primaries are reported in Fig. 10 for the truncated size interval of the G1 gain scale $\Delta N_{p8} = 10^{4.6} - 10^{4.9}$. The results from the mixed MC samples, obtained using the elemental spectra and composition of the Hörandel 14 and GST 24 models, respectively, are also shown in the two
plots. In particular, the Hörandel model is used in weighting individual element distributions in both figures.

In the same plots, the $s'$ distribution obtained from the fit of single events in ARGO-YBJ data-sets, from G4 and G1 gain scales, respectively, in the previous $N_{p8}$ intervals, are also superimposed for comparison. The two truncated size $N_{p8}$ bins have been chosen in such a way that the first is included in the G4 gain scale range and the other one in the G1 scale range.

A discrepancy can be observed in those distributions between MC and real data, which anyway seems to reduce when the $N_{p8}$ values increase and if the GST model is used in the simulated sample instead of the Hörandel one. This discrepancy could arise from the elemental composition and spectra used in the simulation models, which may not reflect the real ones. The lowest $N_{p8}$ bin ($\log_{10}(N_{p8}) = [3.4-3.7]$) roughly corresponds to $\sim 50$ TeV protons and $\sim 300$ TeV iron nuclei. At these energies the uncertainties on the measured fluxes and spectral indexes are indeed very large, specially for the heavy components. All things considered, the disagreement appears smaller in the case of the comparison with the GST model, which indeed contains larger quantities of heavier elements. Another possible contribution to the discrepancy could come from the hadronic interaction model adopted in the simulation. In order to evaluate this possible effect, a simulated sample of proton and Helium initiated showers produced by the SIBYLL-2.1 \cite{25} interaction model implemented in the CORSIKA code was also used. The comparison of the $s'$ distributions obtained from the QGSJET and the SIBYLL samples, both for G4 and G1 gain scale selection, shows a difference within few percent between the two models. On the other hand, Figures 9 and 11 are related to the very forward kinematic region of the shower development. Thus part of the disagreement could be due to a not perfect description of the hadronic interaction in that region.

It is important here to underline that the above discussed disagreement does not compromise at all the results of the analysis which is carried out and discussed in the following sections.

An accurate study was also performed on the possible dependence of the age
Figure 11: The average lateral age parameter $s'$ resulting from the fits of the lateral particle distributions in single events of simulated p, He, CNO group and Fe samples (in each $N_{p8}$ bin, see text) vs the corresponding $X_{\text{max}}$ average values. Only near-vertical showers ($\theta < 15^\circ$) are considered.

parameter $s'$ on the radial distance from the core. A slight variation was found in the considered range of distances up to 10 m at maximum, thus allowing in a reliable way to take the parameter value from the fit in the whole range as a sort of average local age.

5. Shower age determination

From Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 it can be seen that, for a fixed interval of $N_{p8}$, the $s'$ distribution gradually moves towards higher values as far as the primary mass increases, namely going from proton to iron, as a consequence of a larger primary interaction cross section with atmosphere nuclei. Conversely, for a given primary, the fit parameter $s'$ values decrease when $N_{p8}$ (i.e. the energy) increases, thus suggesting the observation of deeper showers at larger energies. Furthermore, as expected [3], the proton distribution appears wider than those of heavier primaries.

Such features are in agreement with the expectations, the slope $s'$ being correlated with the shower age, thus reflecting its development stage. In other
words, in this context the $s'$ parameter plays the role of lateral age. As a consequence, if we plot the fit $s'$ values, for each simulated primary type and several $\log_{10}(N_{p8})$ intervals (namely: $\log_{10}(N_{p8}) = [3.4-3.7], [3.7-4.0], [4.0-4.3], [4.3-4.6], [4.6-4.9], [4.9-5.2]$), as a function of the corresponding $X_{\text{max}}$ average values, we obtain the correlation shown in Fig. [11]. The $X_{\text{max}}$ value for each event is that provided by CORSIKA as a result of the shower longitudinal profile fit by means of the Gaisser-Hillas function [26]. Each point in the plot represents a distribution, whose uncertainty on the mean (which is very small) has been considered for the graph, although large event by event fluctuations occur, so the RMSs of the distributions are quite big. Anyway, here we are only interested to the behaviour of the average correlation. As can be seen, the shape parameter $s'$ depends only on the development stage of the shower, independently from the nature of the primary particle and energy. That plot expresses an important 'universality property' of the detected shower development in the atmosphere, in terms of the age parameter given by the LDF slope. This also implies the possibility to select the most deeply penetrating showers at different zenith an-

Figure 12: Average longitudinal age $s_L$ vs the corresponding lateral age parameter $s'$ resulting from the fits of the single event lateral particle distributions for simulated p, He, CNO group and Fe samples (in each $N_{p8}$ bin, see text), in the zenith angle range $\theta < 15^\circ$. 
Figure 13: Average lateral age parameter $s'$ as resulting from the fits of lateral particle distributions of simulated $p$, $He$, CNO group and Fe induced showers, in several $N_{p,8}$ bins, using the infuction in Eq. 5. The events are selected with the same cuts of real ARGO-YBJ data. The error on the mean is considered for each point in the plot.

angles, an important point for correlating the exponential angular rate distribution with the interaction length of the impinging particle [27].

Given its nature of lateral age, the parameter $s'$ is also expected to be strongly related to the longitudinal age ($s_L$) of the shower. Such correlation is made more explicit using the classical definition of $s_L$ as a function of the shower maximum depth $X_{\text{max}}$:

$$s_L = \frac{3h_0 \cdot \text{sec}(\theta)}{h_0 \cdot \text{sec}(\theta) + 2X_{\text{max}}}$$

(6)

where $h_0$ is the detector vertical atmospheric depth, $\theta$ the shower zenith angle. Then, using the same average $X_{\text{max}}$ values reported in Fig.11 through the previous relation we obtain the plot of Fig.12 which clearly demonstrates how the 'observed' lateral age $s'$ is strictly related to the longitudinal age $s_L$, and moreover a linear dependence appears appropriate. Indeed, in the same plot a linear fit has been superimposed, which gives:

$$s_L = (0.389 \pm 0.005) \cdot s' + (0.678 \pm 0.007)$$

(7)
Figure 14: Average lateral age parameter $s'$ in several $N_{p8}$ bins, as resulting from the fits of lateral particle distributions of ARGO-YBJ data events (black dots). The $s'$ behaviours for simulated p (red solid line) and iron (blue dashed line) induced showers relying on the Hörandel model are also reported. The error on the mean is considered for each point, while the related $s'$ distribution is much wider ($RMS \sim 0.2$) due to the large fluctuations. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

To notice that such relation between $s'$ and $s_L$, clearly stated on the averages, is also valid on the single event basis, apart small fluctuations mainly introduced by the fit uncertainties (it was found that $s_L$ can be obtained event-by-event by Eq.7 with a resolution of about 6% independently of the mass).

We can more deeply investigate the above described results and get further consequences: the universality property expressed by the plot in Fig.11 allows to decouple the detected shower signal from the primary nature thanks to the (linear) relation $s' = s'(X_{max})$, once the $s'$ parameter value was obtained from the single event LDF fit. This in fact suggests the possibility to exploit such $s'$ properties in order to identify a mass independent primary energy estimator.
6. Sensitivity to primary mass

In the previous sections, the main features of the shower age parameter $s'$ were discussed, sufficient to reveal its sensitivity to the mass of primary particles. More explicitly, from the fit of the lateral particle distribution of single events in the simulated samples of each primary ($p$, He, CNO, Fe), it was found that the age parameter $s'$ value decreases when $N_{p8}$ (i.e. the energy) increases, this being due to the observation of younger (deeper) showers at larger energies. In the meantime, for a given range of $N_{p8}$, the average $s'$ increases going from hydrogen to iron, as a consequence of a larger primary interaction cross section with atmosphere nuclei producing showers which on average have a flatter lateral profile at the detection level. This is summarized in Fig.13, which shows the average $s'$ values obtained for the whole simulated samples of hydrogen, helium, CNO group and iron nuclei.

The straightforward implication of this is that $s'$ from the LDF fit very close to the shower axis, together with the measurement of the truncated size $N_{p8}$, can give information on the nature of the particle initiating the cascade, thus making possible the study of CR primary mass composition.

From the LDF fits of real data events with the function in Eq.5 in the same $N_{p8}$ intervals used for MC data, similar $s'$ distributions are obtained. The average $s'$ values from ARGO-YBJ experimental data are reported in Fig.14, together with the corresponding fit results from MC simulations for protons and iron initiated showers ("extreme pure compositions"). Each point of course is the mean of a distribution, whose width is quite large (the $RMS$ varies between 0.16 and 0.25) due to the shower by shower fluctuations, while the error on the mean is very small (such errors are associated to the dots in the plot). The experimental data points nicely lie between the expectations from extreme pure compositions, roughly indicating a mixed composition becoming gradually heavier when the primary energy increases.
7. Conclusions

A detailed study of the lateral particle distribution around the axis of the quasi-vertical extensive air showers detected by the ARGO-YBJ experiment has been performed. The analysis of data triggering the RPC charge readout system allowed to explore a wide range of particle density, from few particles/m² up to several $10^4$/m² very near to the core. A NKG-like function has been identified as LDF, which is able to properly describe the particle distribution up to about 10 m from the core, both for simulated and experimental data. Applied to simulated data originated by different primaries, this study showed how the slope of such LDF, given by a lateral age fit parameter $s'$, gives information on the longitudinal shower development. Moreover, it demonstrated the existence of an important universality property of the shower development stage features, when expressed in terms of $s'$, with respect to the primary nature. Thus, the fit by a proper LDF to the lateral density profile of the events as detected by an array like ARGO-YBJ, although limited to $\sim 10$ m around the axis, provides an effective tool to determine the shower age.

The sensitivity of the particle distribution shape, as measured by ARGO-YBJ within few meters around the core, to the nature of the primary particle generating the shower, has also been demonstrated and discussed. This suggests the possibility of using the lateral age parameter $s'$, the slope of the LDF which describes such lateral density profile, for the study of the CR mass composition. In particular, that parameter could provide a new and efficient way of selecting samples of events initiated by light mass primaries (i.e. protons and alpha particles), without relying on the muon signal, thus avoiding sizeable systematic dependencies on the adopted hadronic interaction model.

It is worthwhile to emphasize once again that the results presented and discussed in this paper have been achieved thank to the peculiar layout of the ARGO-YBJ detector as a 'full-coverage carpet', as well as to the implementation of the RPC analog charge readout system, which allowed to measure the particle density distribution very close to the detected shower core.
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