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Abstract: The use of microbial biostimulants such as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPB) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) has gained popularity in recent years as a sustainable approach to boost yield as well as the quality of produce. The beneficial effects of microbial biostimulants have been reported numerous times. However, information is missing concerning quantitative assessment of the overall impact of microbial biostimulants on the yield and quality of vegetable crops. Here we provide for the first time a comprehensive, semi-systematic review of the effects of microbial biostimulants allowed by Regulation (EU) 2019/1009, including microorganisms belonging to the AMF (phylum Glomeromycota), or to Azospirillum, Azotobacter and Rhizobium genera, on vegetable crops’ quality and yield, with rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria based on the PRISMA method. We identified, selected and critically evaluated all the relevant research studies from 2010 onward in order to provide a critical appraisal of the most recent findings related to these EU-allowed microbial biostimulants and their effects on vegetable crops’ quality and yield. Moreover, we highlighted which vegetable crops received more beneficial effects from specific microbial biostimulants and the protocols employed for plant inoculation. Our study is intended to draw more attention from the scientific community to this important instrument to produce nutrient-dense vegetables in a sustainable manner. Finally, our semi-systematic review provides important microbial biostimulant application guidelines and gives extension specialists and vegetable growers insights into achieving an additional benefit from microbial biostimulant application.
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1. Introduction

Over the last century, the global population has more than quadrupled, increasing from about 1.91 billion in 1922 [1] to 7.96 billion as of July 2022, as reported by the most recent United Nations estimates [2]. Moreover, in 2017 AsiaNews, an official press agency of the Roman Catholic Pontifical Institute for Foreign Missions, revealed the existence of more than a billion people around the world (mainly in Asia and Africa), one-third of them children, not registered by their national governments and therefore with no identities and no rights [3]. Therefore, the current world population may have already crossed the 9 billion mark or will do so between late 2022 and early 2023, with the demand for food already far exceeding current production. In these conditions, in which sustainable development looks hardly achievable, it is of pivotal importance to diversify the energy mix and raw material sourcing in Europe [4] while trying to reduce energy demand and the use of synthetic fertilizers. High-input, resource-intensive farming systems, like horticultural greenhouses, entail the highest use of chemicals and direct and indirect pollution in agriculture [5,6]; however, is it possible to reduce fertilizer use without sacrificing food production and quality? The solution for meeting the demand for food by providing healthy...
and nutritious products to a growing population while resources are becoming increasingly scarce and without further contributing to climate change and pollution appears extremely complicated. However, a promising strategy that could open up a more environmentally friendly horticulture paradigm, reducing the use of synthetic fertilizers while increasing the resource use efficiency (RUE), quality and yields of agricultural produce concerns the use of plant biostimulants (PBs) [7–10]. The European Biostimulants Industry Council (EBIC) fosters the use of PBs, helping farmers to profitably grow adequate quantities of high-quality agricultural food while using resources wisely. According to the EBIC, PBs are made of substances (plant and seaweed extracts, protein hydrolysates, mineral salts and humic acids) and/or microorganisms that, when applied in low quantities to plants or the rhizosphere, can enhance one or more crop plant characteristics such as nutrient uptake, RUE, abiotic stress tolerance and quality traits [8]. The beneficial interactions with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) or plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), which for the most part are nitrogen-fixing symbiotic bacteria, may improve crop plants’ growth and development, enhancing the availability and/or uptake and assimilation of nutrients, even under suboptimal nutrient conditions and/or abiotic stresses [11,12]. These microorganisms, which have co-evolved with their hosts, have been shown able to increase crop yields and tolerance to abiotic stress by co-ordinately regulating primary and secondary metabolism pathways in plants [13–16]. This may happen because their cells are much more numerous than those of plants, and their genome is also commonly defined as the second genome of the plant or its microbiome [17]. These microorganisms interact positively with the plant in the rhizosphere, enhancing nutrient availability in the soil, nutrient uptake and nutrient assimilation [18]. In fact, they are not only able to carry out atmospheric nitrogen fixation (specifically PGPB) and synthesis of metabolites like amides or phytohormones exportable to plants, but they can play a role in the decomposition of organic matter, solubilization of insoluble P-containing minerals and uptake of water, nutrients and trace elements and their delivery into the roots [19]. The ability to produce antimicrobials allows them to antagonize the action of plant pathogens by indirectly defending the plant [20]. Of particular interest are mycorrhizal fungi, which establish symbiosis with over 90% of plant species, and among the main forms of physical interaction, the most common is the formation of arbuscules (AMF). This type of association leads to an increase in nutritional efficiency, especially for the exchange of phosphate [21], ammonium, nitrate, calcium, zinc and iron in conditions of nutrient deficiency or low availability. In fact, the external hyphae constitute a dense network that increases the surface responsible for the uptake of nutrients and the secretion of organic metabolites capable of binding and/or solubilizing nutrients [22]. Moreover, they have the ability to increase the tolerance mechanisms of plants against abiotic stresses by inducing a greater accumulation in the cell wall of exopolysaccharides, protein-lipopolysaccharides and lipid-polysaccharides, which create a protective biofilm layer on the root surface. Furthermore, by accumulating in their cells, the solutes present in the surrounding solution reduce their toxic concentration. In this way the plants are able to improve water retention even under salinity, resulting in them being more tolerant to this stress condition [23]. Recent studies demonstrated that the network of fungal hyphae constitutes not only a fungus–plant interaction system, but also a connection and signalling system among plants that occupy the same area [24].

Bacteria with potential biostimulant actions belong to different genera, such as Rhizobium, Bradyrhizobium, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Pseudomonas and Bacillus [25], however only three of them, together with AMF, have been approved as PBs by the Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 [26–28], which has laid down rules for the production and marketing of fertilizing products within the EU market beginning 16 July 2022. In fact, according to this regulation, an EU fertilizing product may contain “micro-organisms, including dead or empty-cell micro-organisms and non-harmful residual elements of the media on which they were produced, which have undergone no other processing than drying or freeze-drying”, but only those belonging to mycorrhizal fungi (AMF, phylum Glomeromycota) or to the Azospirillum, Azotobacter or Rhizobium genera. These latter microorganisms were
chosen on the basis of their phenotypic characteristics, and in particular their ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen or to solubilize phosphorus compounds. However, in the last two decades, new sequencing techniques have allowed radical changes in these obsolete classifications, prompting the need for an immediate review of the list of candidates for biostimulants in the EU Regulation 2019/1009, as recently evidenced by Hendriksen [28].

That said, and considering the limits previously highlighted, a comprehensive review of the effects of microbes allowed by Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 on vegetable crops’ quality and yield, with rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria based on the PRISMA method, has never done before. Moreover, it has not yet been proven which vegetable crops receive more beneficial effects from microbial biostimulants and in particular from the specific microorganisms the PBs contain. Therefore, we identified, selected and critically evaluated all the relevant research studies from 2010 onward in order to provide a critical appraisal of the most recent studies related to the microbial biostimulants approved by Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 [26] and their effects on vegetable crop quality and yield.

In particular, a list of the species proved to possess biostimulant properties was created and the several protocols employed for plant inoculation presented. This allowed us to highlight the beneficial effects of these PBs on yield and quality of vegetable crops and bring more attention to this important instrument to sustainably address the demand for good-quality food products while helping farmers’ profitability.

2. Review Method

A qualitative analysis of peer-reviewed papers on the effects of microbial biostimulants approved by Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 [26] on the yield and quality of vegetable crops was carried out by using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) approach [29]. The guidelines of PRISMA allow for the implementation of a selection of published research studies in an unbiased manner, different from other traditional reviews [29]. Moreover, the method to perform traditional reviews very often relies on research articles and review studies already known to review authors or on literature identified using only one scientific database, thus introducing bias. The PRISMA method has been mainly applied to medical studies, but it has proved to be a useful and interesting tool also in the fields of horticulture and food science. Our study shows for the first time the implementation of this systematic review approach to scientific literature related to the effect of selected microbial PBs on horticultural produces.

This review was based on searching the total available records of research in three multidisciplinary abstract databases, namely, PubMed, WoS and Scopus, in order to collect peer-reviewed articles. Boolean operators were used in each database to connect the primary keyword ‘microbial biostimulant’ (or ‘Azotobacter’, ‘Mycorrhiza’/‘Mycorrhizal’, ‘Rhizobium’, ‘Azospirillum’) to the subsequent keyword/s ‘food quality’ (and/or ‘nutritional quality’) and ‘yield’ as detailed in Table 1.

| Criterion                      | Keywords                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Microbe or microbial biostimulant | ‘microbial biostimulant’ (and/or ‘Azotobacter’, ‘Mycorrhiza’/‘Mycorrhizal’, ‘Rhizobium’, ‘Azospirillum’) |
| Parameter                     | ‘food quality’ and/or ‘nutritional quality’ and ‘yield’                   |

By applying thoroughly determined inclusion and exclusion criteria in a systematic search of peer-reviewed articles, potentially relevant articles were collected and evaluated for eligibility.

The search was first narrowed by selecting articles within the subject area of microbial PBs (or name of species or type) that matched also with food quality and/or nutritional quality and/or yield. Articles not in the English language were excluded.

Relevant articles were then selected based on four main criteria: (i) the study must have used a microbial biostimulant and or one of the genera or phylum allowed by Regula-
tion (EU) 2019/1009 [26] in any way related to microbial biostimulants; (ii) the plants or rhizosphere must have been inoculated; (iii) the experimental crop used must have been a vegetable crop; (iv) the study must have evaluated the effect of the PB on edible produce yield and quality. The screening for identifying eligible/relevant studies was performed manually by eliminating duplicates and carefully reading the title, abstract and full text of collected articles in order to assess if they were fully related to the review topic.

3. Literature Review

In total, we identified 1337 potentially relevant matches through the electronic database search (323 in PubMed, 468 in WoS and 546 in Scopus). We then excluded 393 duplicates, and the remaining 944 articles were screened for eligibility; the complete list in text format of the 944 identified articles is available in Supplemental File S1. Of the identified 944 articles, we firstly eliminated 115 articles published before 2010 because only 2 out of 115 in a time span of 52 years (1957–2009) referred to the effects of allowed microbes on the food quality of vegetable crops [30,31]. Subsequently, we applied screening criteria to eliminate articles unrelated to the review topic, not dealing with vegetable crops, with poor descriptions of species or methodology or dealing with microorganisms or consortia of microorganisms which did not include at least one of the members listed in the Component Material Categories number 7 (CMC 7). We did not exclude review studies as long as they dealt with the topics of the review. The ineligibility screening process eliminated 777 articles and yielded only 52 studies. Another 29 articles were added after checking the reference lists of relevant studies already included, for a final number of articles included in the review equal to 81. Out of the final 81 included articles, 26 were review articles, and 55 were research articles. Figure 1 shows the PRISMA flow diagram for the selection of articles for the systematic review.

Figure 1. Representation of the PRISMA flow diagram with inclusion and exclusion criteria and corresponding results from the literature search, used to select articles for the review study [29].
4. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF)

AMF colonization allows the most common symbiotic association between plants and fungi; it is ubiquitous to most natural ecosystems and pivotal to enhancing nutrient use efficiency (NUE) and tolerance to stresses [23]. AMF hyphae, extending from root surfaces to soil areas beyond the zone of mineral resource depletion, improve the absorption and translocation of mineral nutrients [32]. Their inoculation improves the adaptability of host plants to the environment, including that of vegetable crops [33,34], by upregulating nutrient use efficiency and mechanisms for adaptation and tolerance to abiotic stresses like salinity, drought and high or low temperatures [23]. In fact, the majority of vegetable crops can potentially host AMF and obtain benefits from them, even if the efficiency of AMF symbiosis depends on the plant–AMF genotype combination, biotic interactions and environmental conditions [34,35].

In Table 2 are summarized the effects of AMF colonization on vegetable crops, with an overview of the AMF species (in particular using the homotypic synonyms of fungi used in the scientific articles), plant species inoculated, methodology and effects on plants’ quality and yield.

Tran et al. [36], studying the effects of AMF on different plant species, among which were tomato, lettuce, carrot, cucumber, leek and several legumes and cereals, found that plants being differently colonized benefited to varying degrees from symbiosis. In particular, they reported that slow-growing plant species with shorter roots and larger diameters gained more from the symbiotic association with AMF than fast-growing plants with finer and longer roots [36]. In particular, they found that the AMF *Rhizophagus irregularis* (homotypic synonym: *Glomus intraradices*) increased the content of P, Cu, Zn and S in shoots and edible parts (where present) of plants, in particular in leek, whose biomass was also enhanced. The mineral content (N, P, S and Cu) of carrot was also highly increased. Leek and carrot, which are slow-growing and have coarse and large-diameter roots, undergo a higher level of mycorrhizal colonization, gaining more benefits. Therefore, the plant ionome was more affected by the plant species than by inoculation with AMF [36]. Basil inoculation with *Funnelliformis mosseae* and *Rhizoglomus irregularare* (homotypic synonyms: *Glomus mosseae* and *Glomus irregularare*, respectively) increased Fe and Mn content [37], decreased the accumulation of the antinutrient nitrate and improved yield [38]. In basil plants under salinity, the same AMF enhanced Na compartmentalization and P availability [37]. *Glomus etunicatum*, *Glomus fasciculatum* (homotypic synonyms: *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *Rhizophagus fasciculatus*, respectively) and *Glomus intraradices* increased basil root dry weight, leaf area, plant height, number of lateral branches and mineral content (e.g., N, P, K, Ca, Fe, Cu and Mn) [39]. *G. fasciculatum* inoculation enhanced N, K, S, B, Fe and Zn uptake in basil, and when cultivated with high P also increased biomass and P content [40].

*G. intraradices* [41] and *F. mosseae* and *R. irregularare* [42] increased the mineral content (e.g., N, P and Cu) and growth of the industrial tomato Moneymaker. *F. mosseae* and *R. irregularare* improved the accumulation of Ca and Zn in the yellow cherry tomato Giagùi of the variety “Pomodorino del Piennolo del Vesuvio” [43]; moreover, the same AMF solubilized P sources and improved P uptake and content in cherry tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. var. cerasiforme) [44]. *G. mosseae* alone increased the percentage of extra-large fruits in tomato; *G. mosseae* together with *T. harzianum* increased total and marketable yield of tomato while decreasing Ca and Mg in fruit tissues, probably because of dilution in larger or more numerous fruits [45].

*Funnelliformis mosseae* and *R. irregularare* increased P, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn in lettuce even under water deficit; moreover, independently of water availability, these AMF were able to increase plant yield, as well as Ca and Cu content [46]. *G. fasciculatum* or a commercial preparation of *G. intraradices* and *G. mosseae* were also able to increase the mineral content and growth of lettuce [47,48]. *R. irregularare* inoculated in plants under low P concentration improved yield but did not affect Se concentration [49], while *R. intraradices*-based commercial preparation, especially when supplied together with a legume-derived protein hydrolysate (PH), improved lettuce’s fresh marketable yield, dry weight, P, K and Fe via an
increase in total root length and surface [50]. AMF commercial inocula and/or *F. mosseae* increased plant growth and macro- and micronutrient use efficiency in cucumber [51]. *G. mosseae* was also able to increase the growth and content of P and N in shoots and root tissues of coriander [52].

*G. intraradices* enhanced the content of Mg and K in onion bulb tissues, and S in the presence of saprotrophic fungi [53]. The same AMF plus Se increased garlic and onion bulbs’ yields, as well as their content of P, K and Se, while they increased Mg and microelements (B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Si and Zn) only in onion [54,55].

AMF may improve and increase the defensive capacity of plants by increasing both the amount of antioxidant metabolites—such as phenolic acids, anthocyanins and flavonoids—and the activity of antioxidant enzymes like catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POD) [56–59] in addition to phytohormones related to defence signalling [34,60]. This mycorrhiza-induced resistance (MIR), which is unrelated to the improved nutritional status, is quite similar as a mechanism to both pathogen-induced systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and rhizobacterial induced systemic resistance (ISR). In fact, it is associated both with the priming of salicylic acid (SA)-dependent genes (similar to SAR) and, more frequently, with the jasmonic acid (JA)-induced defence response and cell wall defence (similar to ISR) [61]. However, MIR could be not only elicited by AMF, but it could also be the cumulative outcome of a direct interaction between plant–AMF interactions and the plant ISR to other beneficial bacteria present in the mycorrhizosphere [61]. Accordingly, Giovannini et al. [62] have reported that beneficial AMF effects are mainly exerted through a synergistic tripartite association among host plants, mycorrhizal symbionts and bacterial communities living in the mycorrhizosphere. They all together do not contribute only to defence from pathogens, but carry out nitrogen fixation and P solubilization, in addition to the synthesis of phytohormones, siderophores and antibiotics [62,63].

The induced changes in secondary metabolism exerted by AMF are also responsible for the increase in phytochemicals in host plants, which improve plant growth and resilience but not always or in the same way the commercial quality [32]. *Claroideoglomus claroideum* (homotypic synonym: *G. claroideum*) alone was found to increase the total phenols in two artichoke cultivars (Romanesco C3 Italy and Violetto Tema), while, together with *F. mosseae*, it enhanced their antioxidant activity [64]. *G. intraradices* and *G. mosseae* alone, and even more so together, were able to increase the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of artichoke [65]. Seed priming of two globe artichoke cultivars (Romolo and Istar) with a commercial preparation of *F. mosseae*, *R. irregularis* and *Trichoderma koningii* determined an increase of total phenols and antioxidant activity and improved primary and total fresh marketable yields [66]. The seed-coating of the same artichoke cultivars with a commercial inoculum containing *R. intraradices*, *F. mosseae* and *T. atroviride* increased the content of 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid and apigenin 7-O-glucuronide in primary heads as well as the content of 1,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid in secondary heads, especially in the Romolo variety [67].

*R. intraradices* enhanced the content of active metabolites (picrocrocin, crocin II and querctirin) and antioxidant activity in saffron, while a commercial preparation of *F. mosseae* and *R. intraradices* increased saffron flower production and yield [68]. Several AMF species were able to increase total phenolic, pyruvic acid, ascorbic acid, flavonol glucosides and antioxidant enzymes in Iranian onion genotypes, with the greatest beneficial effect caused by *Diversispora versiformis* (homotypic synonym: *Glomus versiforme*) [57]. Inoculation with a commercial preparation containing *F. mosseae* and *R. irregularis* before seeding (highest amount) and colonization at the late-development bulb-growth stage increased quercetin compounds if plants were additionally supplied with ammonium [69]. *G. intraradices* plus Se increased ascorbic acid and flavonoids in onion, and only flavonoids in garlic [54,55]. *R. irregularis* increased vitamin B1, organic acids, photosynthesis, growth and yield in the varieties Karmen, Kuba, Sochaczewska and Wolska of onion [70]. *Glomus mosseae* enhanced the essential oil content of coriander [52].
*Funnelliformis mosseae* and *R. irregulare* increased phenolic acids in lettuce independently of water availability and increased isochlorogenic acid content under adequate water supply [46]. However, Avio et al. [71] found that *R. irregulare* more than *F. mosseae* was able to enhance the concentration of phenolics and the antioxidant activity. *G. fasciculatum* or a commercial preparation of *G. intraradices* and *G. mosseae* were also able to increase the content of chlorophylls, carotenoids, ascorbate, anthocyanins, tocopherol and phenols, in addition to starch, soluble sugars and proteins in lettuce [47,48,72]. *R. intraradices*-based commercial preparation, especially when supplied together with a legume-derived protein hydrolysate (PH), increased antioxidant activities (CAT and GPX), proline content and SPAD index (correlated to photosynthetic pigments) in lettuce [50]. Proline, being an amino acid accumulated under osmotic stress (under salinity or drought) as an osmolyte, is able to act as an ROS scavenger, protecting and stabilizing membranes and macromolecules and promoting the expression of stress-responsive genes presenting elements responsive to proline [73].

*Funnelliformis mosseae* and *R. irregulare* basil inoculation enhanced phenols, and in particular caffeic and rosmarinic acids and photosynthesis [37], in addition to chlorophylls [38] under non-saline conditions. However, in basil plants under salinity, the same AMF induced the accumulation of polyphenols (i.e., ferulic and chicoric acids and quercetin-rutinoside) [37]. *G. etunicatum* and *G. intraradices*, but in particular *G. fasciculatum*, increased basil essential oils (particularly linalool) [39]; the same *G. fasciculatum* increased also chicoric acid and a caffeic acid derivative in basil [40].

*Glomus intraradices* enhanced lycopene content in Moneymaker tomato fruits; the extracts from these tomatoes did not contain mutagenic compounds [41], and both the hydrophilic and lipophilic fractions of these extracts showed anti-estrogenic power. *R. intraradices* increased polyphenol content in the tomato cultivar Rio Fuego [74]. *F. mosseae* and *R. irregulare* induced increases of carotenoids, antioxidant capacity and volatile compounds but not vitamins in Moneymaker tomato fruits [42]; it also induced increases of lycopene, total ascorbic acid, alanine GABA and branched-chain amino acids in the red cherry tomato Lucariello of the variety “Pomodorino del Piennolo del Vesuvio”, and of the essential amino acids arginine and lysine in the yellow variety [43]. Moreover, the same AMF increased ascorbate content in cherry tomato (var. cerasiforme) [44]. *G. mosseae* increased fruit yield and free amino acid content (i.e., glutamine and asparagine) in Micro Tom tomato by upregulating the transcription of genes involved in N and C metabolism [75]. *G. mosseae* together with *T. harzianum* increased only lycopene content in tomato fruits [45].

Two commercial preparations, containing 6 and 8 different AMF species, increased the ascorbic acid and total soluble sugars in fruits of AMF-inoculated tomato plants of the variety Admio F1 grown on rockwool, particularly when cultivated with high P [76]. A commercial preparation of *Glomus sp.* increased citric acid in fruits of the tomato variety TC 2000 cultivated on a real industrial tomato farm [77]. *R. irregularis* used on Micro Tom and Brioso tomatoes in industrialized production increased carotenoids, free amino acids and BRIX values up to fourfold [78].

A commercial preparation of *Glomus sp.* increased sweet pepper’s total antioxidant capacity in control plants and yield under water stress [79]. Therefore, AMF-dependent improvement of antioxidant defence in the abovementioned inoculated plants may be correlated with improved photosynthetic capacity, higher yield, better post-harvest storage capacity and premium quality.

Indeed, different plant species respond to AMF inoculation with the activation of different secondary metabolic pathways, and most of the plants undergo an activation of these pathways only in the roots but not in other plant organs, such as edible parts [80]. It can also happen that commercial AMF are not suitable or are not an absolute requirement for all types of soil and agricultural systems. In fact, indigenous AMF populations might yield better results than commercially available inocula [81].
| AMF                   | Plant Species                                      | Treatments                                                                 | Observed Effects                                         | Refs |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| *Funneliformis mosseae*  
Claroideoglomus claroideum  
*Beg234*  
(*Glomus*  
Rhizophagus intraradices and  
*G. mosseae*) | *C. cardunculus L.* cv. romanesco C3  
Italy and Violetto Tema  
*Allium cepa L.*  
Iranian genotypes Red Azar-shahr, White Kashan, Yellow Gholi Ghesse, Pink Horand, and a commercial cultivar  
*Ocimum basilicum L.* cv. Gecom  
*Ocimum basilicum L.* cv. Bolla  
*Lactuca sativa var. capitata cv. Bolla*  
*Solanum lycopersicum L.* var. Moneymaker  
*Ocimum basilicum L.* var. Moneymaker  
*Allium cepa L.* cv. Stuttgarter Riesen  
*Allium cepa L.* cv. *“Pomodorino del Piennolo del Vesuvio”* landraces Giagiù (yellow) and Lucariello (red)  
*Solanium lycopersicum L.* var. *“Pomodorino del Piennolo del Vesuvio”* | Pots inoculated with crude inoculum of *C. claroideum* 22W3  
and/or *F. mosseae* (2W3, IMA1, IN101C)  
Inoculation at transplant with one tablet (225 spores of each AMF,  
*T. koningii* TK7 strain at 1 × 10⁶ UFC g⁻¹ per pot, under sufficient, moderate or severe deficit irrigation  
At transplant with one tablet (225 spores of each AMF,  
*T. koningii* TK7 strain at 1 × 10⁶ UFC g⁻¹ per pot below the basil roots  
At transplant with one tablet (225 spores of each AMF,  
*T. koningii* TK7 strain at 1 × 10⁶ UFC g⁻¹ per pot below the basil roots  
Inoculation before seeding (highest amount) and colonization at late development stages (bulb growth)  
Planting holes inoculated with 2 g of commercial microgranular inoculum containing 25 spores g⁻¹ of each AM fungus | *C. claroideum* increased total phenols,  
*F. mosseae* and  
*F. mosseae* IMA1 increased antioxidant activity.  
AMF increased total phenolics, pyruvic acid, ascorbic acid, flavonol glucosides and antioxidant enzymes, with the highest beneficial effect caused by  
*D. versiformis.*  
AMF mix increased P, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and phenolic acids independently of water availability. Under well-watered and moderate irrigation, AMF increased plant yield, Ca, Cu and isochlorogenic acid.  
AMF enhanced the marketable fresh yield, chlorophylls and phenols but decreased nitrate content.  
AMF increased total phenolics,  
pyruvic acid, ascorbic acid, flavonol glucosides and antioxidant enzymes, with the highest beneficial effect caused by  
*D. versiformis.*  
Under low stress, AMF increased photosynthesis, Fe, Mn and caffeic and rosmarinic acids. Under salinity, enhanced Na compartmentalization and P availability, accumulation of polyphenols (i.e., ferulic and chioric acids and quercitin-rutinoside) but did not change VOC composition.  
AMF increased mineral content (e.g., N, P and Cu), carotenoids, antioxidant capacity and volatile compounds but not vitamins.  
AMF increased lycopene, total ascorbic acid, alanine GABA and branched-chain amino acids in the red cherry tomato, and Ca, Zn, GABA and the essential amino acids arginine and lysine in the yellow one. In both landraces, AMF improved the antioxidant activity related to the shelf life of tomato fruits. | [64]  
[57]  
[46]  
[38]  
[37]  
[42]  
[71]  
[69]  
[43] |

**Table 2. Vegetable crops’ treatment with AMF-based biostimulants and observed effects.**
### Table 2. Cont.

| AMF                                      | Plant Species          | Treatments                                                                 | Observed Effects                                                                 | Refs |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| *Funneliformis mossae* BEG 234 and Rhizoglomus irregular BEG 72 and *Trichoderma koningii* (commercial mix) | *C. cardunculus* subsp. scolymus L. Hayek cv. cultivars Romolo and Istar | Seed priming with consortium of endophytic fungi containing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Trichoderma koningii* in a 2:1 ratio | Both microbial-inoculated cultivars showed higher primary and total fresh marketable yields, higher total phenols and higher antioxidant activity. | [66] |
| *Funneliformis mossae* and *Rhizopagus intraradices* (commercial mix) | *Crocus sativus* L. | 10 g of each inoculum were placed under corms before planting | AMF mix increased saffron flower production and yield. *R. intraradices* alone enhanced the content of active metabolites (picrocrocin, crocin II and querctin) and antioxidant activity. | [68] |
| *Funneliformis mossae* BEG234 and *Rhizoglomus irregular BEG* 72 and *G. mosseae* (commercial mix) | *Solanum lycopersicum* var. cerasiforme | Pots inoculated with 5 g commercial mix (25 spores g⁻¹) in the planting holes and/or by fertigation with 3.33 g of bacterial suspension (5.8 × 10⁵ CFU g⁻¹) in 100 mL sterile ddH₂O | AMF and *S. roseocinereus* solubilized P sources, improved P uptake and content, vegetative growth, total fruit, red fruit number and fruit quality (i.e., colour, shape and Vitamin C). | [44] |
| *Funneliformis mossae*, *Rhizopagus intraradices*, *Claroideoglomus claroideum*, *Claroideoglomus etunicatum*, *G. microaergregatum*, *Funneliformis geosperum* (2:2:1:1:1 mix 1) | *Cucumis sativus* L. cv. Zhongnong No. 106 | Inoculation of plastic covering (before planting) with 10 g of mix 1 (720 propagules g⁻¹), and of growing substrate at two-week intervals (14 and 28 DAT) with mix 2 (2.5 g inoculum dm⁻³ water, 160 propagules g⁻¹). Each plant received 60 cm² per inoculation. Cultivation with P 15 or 50 mg dm⁻³ and two substrates (i.e., rockwool or coconut coir) | Increase of ascorbic acid and total soluble sugars in fruits of AMF-inoculated plants (particularly with high P) grown on rockwool. | [76] |
| *Funneliformis sp.*, *Claroideoglomus sp.*, *Diversispora sp.*, *Glomus sp.* and *Rhizopagus sp.* (commercial mix) | *Glomus etunicatum*, *G. microaergregatum* BEG and *G. Claroidicum* BEG 210 (commercial mix) | *Cucumis sativus* L. cv. SLAVY F₁ | Inoculation of seedling substrate with commercial mix at 10% concentration with two levels of irrigation (optimum and stress) | AMF increased yield under water stress and increased total antioxidant capacity in control plants. | [79] |
| *Glomus etunicatum*, *G. microaergregatum*, *G. intraradices*, *G. claroideum*, *G. mosseae* and *G. geosperum* (commercial mix) | *Capsicum annuum* L. cv. Capsicum annuum | Planting holes inoculated with 120 g of crude inoculum of commercial AMF mix or *G. intraradices* BEG140, originated from infected maize plants in presence of bark chips re inoculated with saprotrophic fungi | AMF mix increased growth (100%) more than *G. intraradices* alone (50%). *G. intraradices* alone increased Mg and K in bulb tissue, and S in presence of saprotrophic fungi. | [53] |
### Table 2. Cont.

| AMF                         | Plant Species                                      | Treatments                                                                 | Observed Effects                                                                                     | Refs     |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| *Glomus fasciculatum*      | *Ocimum basilicum* cv. Cinnamon, Siam Queen, Sweet Dani and Red Rubin | Pots inoculated with 50 g of crude inoculum (15 propagules g⁻¹ soil substrate) before sowing seeds with 64 or 128 mg L⁻¹ P | AMF inoculation enhanced N, K, S, B, Fe and Zn uptake, and the content of phenolics (chicoric acid and a caffeic acid derivative). AMF and high P increased biomass and P content. | [40]    |
| *Glomus fasciculatum* Commercial mix of *Glomus intraradices* and *Glomus mosseae* | *Lactuca sativa* L. var. capitata or longifolia | Pots inoculated with 2 g each of *G. fasciculatum* infected alfalfa soil (mycorrhizal roots and soil containing spores and extraradical mycelium) or commercial mix. | Increase in minerals, chlorophylls, carotenoids, starch and soluble sugars, proteins, ascorbate and tocopherol, phenolics and growth. | [47,48,82] |
| *Glomus intraradices*      | *Solanum lycopersicum* L. var. Moneymaker         | Pots inoculated with 30 g of crude inoculum from infected pot cultures and 50 mL of a filtrate of mycorrhizal inoculum (50 µm pore φ) to all treatments, included controls, to ensure common microflora | AMF increased growth, mineral nutrients of plants and lycopene in fruits. The extracts from these tomatoes did not contain mutagenic compounds; both the hydrophilic and lipophilic fractions of these extracts showed anti-estrogenic power. | [41]    |
| *Glomus intraradices* and *Glomus mosseae* (commercial mix) | *Lactuca sativa* L. var. capitata | Pots inoculated under 100%, 75% or 50% water field capacity (FC) | Normal plant growth under 75% FC, increase of carotenoids, anthocyanins and to a lesser extent chlorophylls and phenolics | [72]    |
| *Glomus intraradices* *Glomus mosseae* | *C. cardunculus* L. var. scolymus | Offshoot inoculated with 50 g of crude inoculum originated from infected pot cultures of each or both AMF species | Each species, but even more so both species together, were able to increase total phenolic content and antioxidant activity. | [65]    |
| *Glomus intraradices* with low concentrations of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Bacillus subtilis* (commercial mix) | *Allium sativum* L. cultivar Maysky *Allium cepa* L. cultivar Kaba | AMF double inoculation before planting and at beginning of bulb formation and/or foliar supply of sodium selenate | AMF + Se increased (i) yield, monosaccharides, P, K and Se in both garlic and onion bulbs; (ii) ascorbic acid, flavonoids, Mg and microelements (B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Si and Zn) in onion; (iii) flavonoids in garlic. | [54,55] |
| *Glomus mosseae*           | *Coriander sativum* L.                            | Pots inoculated with 100 g of *G. mosseae* crude inoculum from infected Sudan grass with 0/100 mg kg⁻¹ KH₂PO₄ | AMF increased growth, P and N in shoot and root tissues, total soluble proteins in root tissues, fruit yield and essential oil contents. Addition of P reduced AMF colonization and its beneficial effects. | [52]    |
| *Rhizophagus irregularis*  | *Allium cepa* cv. Karmen, Kuba, Sochaczewska and Wolska | Inoculation of upper layer of substrate (15 g of commercial inoculum per pot) | AMF improved onion photosynthesis, growth and yield, and increased vitamin B1 and organic acids. | [70]    |
### Table 2. Cont.

| AMF                  | Plant Species                      | Treatments                                                                 | Observed Effects                                                                 | Refs   |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| *Rhizophagus intraradices* | *Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. Rio Fuego | Priming with (3 g L\(^{-1}\), (~ 100 spores g\(^{-1}\)) AMF and/or 50 mL of 0.8% seaweed extract (SE; Padina gymnospora) and/or watering with nutritive solution. Control groups treated only with water. | AMF increased polyphenol content. SE favoured protein content. AMF + SE accelerated flowering and AMF colonization and increased root and shoot growth, protein and carbohydrate content. | [74]   |
| *Rhizophagus intraradices* | *Lactuca sativa* cv. Meraviglia d’Inverno | Inoculation at transplant under roots with one tablet (containing 200 spores of *R. intraradices* BEG72 and 4.5 × 10\(^7\) CFU of *T. atroviride* MUCL45632) and/or 2.5 mL L\(^{-1}\) legume-derived PH foliar spray (4 times at weekly intervals from 6 DAT) and standard, saline (25 mM NaCl) or alkaline (10 mM NaHCO\(_3\) + 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\) CaCO\(_3\)) solution | AMF tablet, especially with PH, improved fresh marketable yield, dry weight, SPAD index, antioxidant activities (CAT and GPX), proline, P, K and Fe via an increase of total root length and surface. | [50]   |
| *Rhizophagus intraradices* | *Lactuca sativa* cv. Valeska | Peat substrate inoculated within AMF (4.25 g L\(^{-1}\)), 720 propagules g\(^{-1}\), medium with P 70 or 140 mg dm\(^{-3}\) and Se in the substrate 0, 6 or 12 mg dm\(^{-3}\) | AMF in plants under low P concentration improved yield but did not affect Se or sugar accumulation. | [49]   |
| *Rhizophagus intraradices* | *C. cardunculus* subsp. scolymus L. Hayek cv. cultivars Romolo and Istar | Seed coating at 6:1 ratio with commercial mix (300 spores g\(^{-1}\) of *R. intraradices*, 200 spores g\(^{-1}\) *Funneliformis mosseae*, and 3 × 10\(^8\) CFU *Trichoderma atroviride*) planted in September or October | AMF mix increased the content of 3-O caffeoylquinic acid, 5-O caffeoylquinic acid and apigenin 7-O-gluconorid in primary heads as well 1,5-di-O caffeoylquinic acid in secondary heads especially in Romolo cv. | [67]   |
| *Rhizophagus intraradices*, *G. aggregatum*, *G. viscosum*, *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *Claroideoglomus claroideum* (commercial mix) | *Solanum lycopersicum* var. TC 2000 cultivated in real industrial tomato farm | Alveolar boxes inoculated with 20 mL of commercial mix inoculum (85,000 infective propagules/l or 10 mL of two *Pseudomonas* bacterial suspension (10\(^8\) CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) | AMF mix increased citric acid concentration, while bacteria positively modulated the sugar production and the sweetness of the tomatoes. Both treatments allowed the reduction of chemical inputs and positively influenced tomato quality. | [77]   |
| *Rhizophagus irregularis* strain K8/QS69 | *Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Micro Tom, Brioso | Inoculation of one-week-old seedlings or cuttings with AMF after enrichment by previous co-cultivation with leek, with 2.7, 6.7 and 10.7 mM phosphate | AMF enhanced the nutritional value of tomatoes in industrialized production by increasing BRIX values, carotenoids and free amino acids (up to fourfold). | [78]   |
| *Rhizophagus irregularis* WFVAM10 | *Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. 76R, *Lactuca sativa* L., *Daucus carota* L., *Cucumis sativus* L., *Allium ampeloprasum* L. var. *porrum* and other legumes and cereals | Soil mixed with AMF crude inoculum (9:1 i/v) after enrichment by previous co-cultivation with clover | AMF increased the content of P, Cu, Zn and S in shoots and edible parts (where present) of plants, and in particular in leek, whose biomass was also enhanced. The mineral content (N, P, S and Cu) of carrot was also highly increased. Plant ionome was more affected by plant species than by inoculation with AMF. | [36]   |

### 5. Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria (PGPB)

PGPB include both bacteria which live freely in the soil and rhizobacteria which colonize the rhizosphere. The beneficial effects of these microorganisms depend mainly on their capacity to solubilize inorganic nutrients and synthesise plant growth regulators [83]. Many PGPB species have been actively studied to investigate their potential role as bio stimulants on the yield and quality of vegetable crops, and some of them have already been commer-
cialized, but only \textit{Rhizobium}, \textit{Azospirillum} and \textit{Azotobacter} genera have been approved by Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 [26].

Indeed, the majority of studies on \textit{Rhizobium} sp. are focused on their symbiotic association with legumes and their ability to reduce atmospheric nitrogen and provide organic nitrogen as amides to plants [83,84]. However, these microorganisms can positively interfere with plants’ hormonal balance by producing phytohormones like indole acetic acid (IAA) or the enzyme ACC deaminase, which is involved in the metabolism of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), a precursor of ethylene; they can also mobilize soil-insoluble nutrients (e.g., phosphates) supplying plants with soluble ones (e.g., phosphorus) [83]. Moreover, rhizobia are able to synthesise Fe$^{3+}$-chelating molecules, called siderophores, that inhibit the growth of phytopathogens. These molecules can be citric acid or $\beta$-hydroxy aspartic acid, having carboxyl and hydroxyl groups, which bind available Fe$^{3+}$ with high affinity to form complexes which are internalized by the cells with the help of cognate membrane proteins [85,86]. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) able to produce active siderophores can spoil iron from other strains, thus suppressing other soil-borne plant pathogens [86]. In addition, PGPR can also elicit a defence-stimulating effect both in roots and leaves, called induced systemic resistance (ISR), against pathogens and insects [87]. In particular, rhizobia by releasing siderophores induce Fe deficiency in the host plants and solicit them to release coumarins, which are phenolic compounds that favour Fe acquisition by roots while functioning as antimicrobial against soil-borne pathogens but not the rhizobia [87]. However, mutants unable to synthesise siderophores, by interfering with plant ethylene biosynthesis and signalling pathways, can elicit the Fe deficiency response and ISR in plants. In fact, ethylene, through the activation of the transcription factors FIT, bHLH38 and bHLH39, can upregulate the expression of several genes associated with the Fe deficiency and the secretion of phenolic compounds, like coumarins. In addition to ethylene, auxin and nitric oxide (NO) can also upregulate Fe-related genes and ISR [88]. Given all these beneficial effects, the application of PGPR as biostimulants has increased in recent years, even if their use for stimulating plant yield and quality in vegetable crops is quite recent (Table 3) except for a few isolated cases, including that of Abdelgani, Elsheikh and Mukhtar [30]. Camelo et al. [89] reported that rhizobacteria interact with the roots of non-legume plants through chemotaxis mechanisms, as it happens with legume plants; in fact, they are attracted by substances extruded by the root which direct their movement towards roots, initiating a beneficial symbiosis. Among the few new articles dealing with PGPR and vegetable crops (Table 3), that of García-Fraile et al. [90] showed that the inoculation of seedlings of cherry tomato and Verde Italiano sweet pepper with \textit{Rhizobium leguminosarum} strain TPV08 and \textit{Rhizobium} sp. strain PETP01 positively affected plant growth. In addition, while the beneficial effects in pepper were more linked to fruit production, whose fresh weight was significantly increased, the ones in tomato were more related to their quality, with a significant increase in mineral content (N, P, K or Mg). The inoculation of seedlings with \textit{Rhizobium laguerreae} strain HUTR05 increased N and P content, phenolic acids (e.g., dicafeoyl quinic and cichoric acids) and increased quercetin 3-O-glucoside flavonoid content in romaine lettuce [91]. The use of \textit{Rhizobium laguerreae} strain PEPEV40 in spinach increased leaf number, size and weight, as well as chlorophyll and nitrogen contents [84]. The same bacterial strain together with \textit{Bacillus halotolerans} SCCPVE07 promoted plant development even under salinity and enhanced the contents of K, Fe, Mg, N, phenolic acids (cichoric acid and caffeoyl-tartaric acid) and flavonoids (kaempferol 3-O-glucuronide) in endive [92].

\textit{Azospirillum} and \textit{Azotobacter} are free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria that have been frequently used in inoculant products. In particular, \textit{Azospirillum}, which is among the most-studied genera of PGPB in the world, has been used (particularly \textit{A. brasilienne}) as a base for more than 100 biostimulant products in South America alone [93], most of which, but not all, are registered for use in wheat and maize [94]. The beneficial effects exerted by the bacteria of this genus on plant growth depend not only on nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization, but also on the synthesis of phytohormones, plant regulators
(among which are a variety of molecules with low molecular weight) and enzymes that enhance membrane activity and proliferation of the root system, thus improving the uptake of water and ions and reducing the effects of abiotic stress and pathogen infections (ISR response). This has led to the multiple mechanisms hypothesis, which posits that it is not a single mechanism among those listed above that promotes plant growth, but rather a combination of a few or many of them exerts this positive action [94]. However, other rhizobia, currently not considered belonging to the genus Rhizobium, are able to act as microbial biostimulants in plants. In fact, Xu et al. [95] recently found that co-inoculation of Azorhizobium caulinodans and Piriformospora indica improved the growth and fruit quality of tomato under salt stress. Chanratana et al. [96] showed that chitosan-immobilized aggregated Methylobacterium oryzae CBMB20 improved the physiological state of tomato plants under salinity. Native bacteria isolated from the roots and rhizosphere of Solanum lycopersicum L., including a strain similar to Ochrobactrum anthropi, increased the growth of tomato seedlings even under reduced fertilization [97]. Sinorhizobium meliloti of the wild type and genetically modified derivative strains showed a growth-promoting effect on lettuce in specific interaction with G. mossea or G. intraradices [98]. Sinorhizobium, formerly (40 years ago) Rhizobium, meliloti, is now classified as a member of the Ensifer genus [99]. In addition, there are patents related to rhizobia inoculants and vegetable yield, such as US11147276 (including Methylobacterium) [100], that were not retrievable from the used databases because they do not include documents from specialized patent applications databases, such as Derwent Innovation (https://clarivate.com/products/ip-intelligence/patent-intelligence-software/derwent-innovation accessed on 24 August 2022) or Espacenet (https://worldwide.espacenet.com accessed on 24 August 2022). In addition, a few recent articles report the use of Azospirillum and Azotobacter for improving the quality and yield of vegetable crops even under stress conditions (Table 3). In fact, Fasciglione et al. [101] reported that the inoculation of lettuce seeds with Azospirillum brasilense Sp245 increased plant survival of transplantation under salinity (40 mM NaCl) and enhanced the fresh and dry leaf weight, leaf area, chlorophyll and ascorbic acid content. The fresh yield of two lettuce cultivars (Santoro and Quintus) was also improved by an Azospirillum- and Azotobacter-based bacterial–algal mix, even if carotenoids and antioxidant activity were enhanced only in only in the cultivar Quintus (romaine lettuce) [102,103]. Kolega et al. [104] inoculated two cultivars of basil plants with Azospirillum brasilense Cd (DSM-1843), determining increases in root growth, unsaturated fatty acids, flavonoids, alkaloids and several terpene derivatives, particularly in the Red Rubin cultivar. However, fresh yield was enhanced by additional N or S nutrition but not by the nitrogen-fixing bacteria [104]. The inoculation of basil with a commercial preparation of Azospirillum brasilense and Azotobacter chroococcum increased the plant’s fresh and dry yield independently of intercropping with maize. Moreover, the both the cultivation with 100% N rate and that with 50% N rate plus the inoculum were able to enhance the content of methyl chavicol, an important component of basil essential oil and nutraceutical quality [105]. Azospirillum lipoferum DOI2 inoculated in the rhizosphere of the tomato cultivar Menhir F1 was able to improve the premium quality, and most likely the shelf life, of tomatoes by enhancing their contents of lycopene, Vitamin C and total polyphenols [106]. An Azospirillum sp. and Azotobacter sp. commercial preparation, inoculated 15 days after transplanting, increased cherry tomato growth and yield, fruit dry matter content, acidity and total soluble contents even under salinity [107]. The inoculation of Pimiento pepper with Azospirillum sp. and G. intraradices increased pepper fruit Vitamin C, total soluble solids and acidity index; moreover, it improved N and P uptake when a nutrient solution was supplied at half of the normal rate of N and P [108]. The seed-priming of fennel cv. Isfahan with Azospirillum strains lipoferum, brasilense, irakense and strain 21 increased seed weight uniformly, as well as essential oil yield, in particular α-pinene and limonene. However, β-pinene but not limonene increased only when the inoculum was done with Azospirillum strain 21 [109].
| PGPB | Plant Species | Treatments | Observed Effects | Refs |
|------|--------------|------------|-----------------|------|
| *Azospirillum brasilense*<br>Sp245 | *Lactuca sativa* L. cv. Elisa | Seeds inoculated with 10⁶ CFU per seed or phosphate buffer, and plants grown under salinity (0–40 mM NaCl) | Increase of plant survival of transplantation at 40 mM NaCl and enhancement of fresh and dry leaf weight, leaf area, chlorophyll and ascorbic acid content | [101] |
| *Azospirillum brasilense*<br>Cd (DSM-1843) | *Ocimum basilicum* L. cv. Genovese and Red Rubin | Plants inoculated twice with bacteria 10⁹ CFU mL⁻¹ in the nutrient solution and/or with additional 20 mM NO₃⁻ or 8 mM SO₄²⁻ | Additional nutrients but not *A. brasilense* enhanced fresh biomass. Inoculation increased root growth, unsaturated fatty acids, flavonoids, alkaloids and several terpene derivatives, particularly in Red Rubin. | [104] |
| *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Azotobacter chroococcum*<br>(commercial mix) | *Ocimum basilicum* L. | Inoculation of soil or seed soaking and application to soil (2 l ha⁻¹ with 10⁹ CFU mL⁻¹), bacteria + 50% N or 100% N with and without intercropping with maize | Bacteria application increased fresh and dry yield independently of cropping system. 100% N and bacteria + 50% N were both effective in increasing essential oil (methyl chavicol). | [105] |
| *Azotobacter*,<br>*Azospirillum*,<br>*Bacillus licheniformis*,<br>*B. megatherium*<br>strain<br>DO12 and<br>*Brevibacillus parabrevis*<br>B50 | *Lactuca sativa* var. crispa L. cv. Santoro and var. longifolia Lam. cv. Quintus | Application of 0.4 l of bacterial and algal mix per plant every 14 days, for a total of four treatments | Bacterial–algal mix increased the weight of both lettuce varieties but increased total carotenoid and antioxidant activity only in the cv. Quintus (romaine lettuce). | [102,103] |
| *Azospirillum lipoferum*<br>DO12 and<br>*Brevibacillus parabrevis*<br>B50 | *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. cv. Menhir F₁ | Rhizosphere inoculation with 25 g m⁻² *A. lipoferum* (2 × 10⁸ CFU g⁻¹), or *B. parabrevis* (3 × 10⁸ CFU g⁻¹) | Both bacteria increased tomato marketable yield. *A. lipoferum* enhanced lycopene, Vitamin C and total polyphenols; *B. parabrevis* increased mainly polyphenols. | [106] |
| *Azospirillum*<br>*sp.* and<br>*Azotobacter*<br>*sp.*<br>(commercial mix) | *Solanum lycopersicum* L. var. cerasiforme | Plastic bag inoculation with 1.4 l of solution prepared with 1 mL L⁻¹ of commercial mix (1.3 × 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹ of *Azospirillum* and 5.9 × 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹ of *Azotobacter*) with different levels of NaCl (0, 50, 100, 150 mM) | Bacterial mix improved plant growth and yield, fruit dry matter content, pH 4.52, and TSS even under salinity. | [107] |
| *Azospirillum*<br>*sp.*<br>G. *intraradices* | *Capsicum annuum* L. (Chile Morrón, Pimiento) | Inoculation with *Azospirillum* sp. 10⁹ and 10³ CFU mL⁻¹ in the nutrient solution at transplant and twice every 30 days, and 25 or 50 spores of *G. intraradices* at transplant with 50%/100% N and P | Higher concentration of spores and bacteria increased Vitamin C, carotenoids, total soluble solids and acidity; moreover, they improved N and P uptake at reduced N rate. | [108] |
| *Azospirillum*<br>strains<br>(*lipoferum*, *brasilense*,<br>irakense and strain 21) | *Foeniculum vulgare* cv. Isfahan | Seed-priming with *Azospirillum* solution (4 mL g⁻¹) × 12 h or microelements | Priming increased seed weight uniformly, essential oil yield, in particular α-pinene and limonene, and in strain 21 also β-pinene but not limonene. | [109] |
| *Rhizobium*<br>lagerreae<br>strain HUTR05 | *Lactuca sativa* L. var. romaine | Seedling inoculation with 150 µL of bacterial suspension with 10⁸ CFU mL⁻¹ | It increased N and P content, phenolic acids (e.g., caffeic and chlorogenic acids) and quercetin 3-O-glucoside flavonoid. | [91] |
| *Rhizobium*<br>lagerreae<br>strain PEPV40 | *Spinacia oleracea* L. | Inoculation of each seedling at the intersection between roots cotyledons with 250 µL of suspension (108 CFU mL⁻¹) | Increase of spinach leaf number, size and weight, as well as chlorophyll and nitrogen contents. | [84] |
Table 3. Cont.

| PGPB | Plant Species | Treatments | Observed Effects | Refs |
|------|---------------|------------|------------------|------|
| **Rhizobium laguerreae** strain PEPV40 and *Bacillus halotolerans* SCCPVE07 | *Cichorium endivia* L. | Plants inoculated with 2 mL of bacterial suspension (10^8 CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) and irrigated with water containing 0 or 100 mM NaCl | Bacteria promoted plant development even under salinity. They increased K, Fe, Mg, N, phenolic acids (cichoric acid and caffeoyl-tartaric acid) and flavonoids (kaempferol 3-O-glucuronide). | [110] |
| **Rhizobium legiminosarum** strain TPV08 | *Solanum lycopersicum* L. var. Cherry | Seedlings inoculated with 10^8 CFU of each strain | TPV08 and PETP01 promoted growth of both tomato and pepper, but particularly pepper fresh weight production and tomato quality (higher N, P, K or Mg). | [90] |
| **Rhizobium* sp. strain PETP01 | *Capsicum annuum* L. var. Verde Italiano | | | |
| **Rhizobium etli** CE-3, *R. leguminosarum* SCR | *Solanum lycopersicum* L. | Seed priming with 4 mL of each inoculum (10^9 CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) kg\(^{-1}\) seeds + inoculation at 30 DAS with 10% of the covering of the root balls in each treatment | Rhizobia (particularly etli CE-3 and RI SCR) improved tomato yield, probably by a more efficient acquisition of N, P and K. There were no monetary losses despite the different effects. | [111] |
| **R. leguminosarum** Semia—4088. | | | | |

### 6. Conclusions

The inoculation with beneficial microorganisms (AMF and/or PGPB) of vegetable crops grown under both open-field and greenhouse conditions allowed the production of high-quality foods, enhancing the concentration of functional compounds such as secondary metabolites as well as minerals and micronutrients that are well-recognized for their health-promoting properties. As shown above, AMF and PGPB can enhance plant growth and functional quality by increasing the uptake of mineral nutrients, the production of metabolites (among which are essential amino acids, carotenoids and polyphenols,) and the activity of antioxidant enzymes [112]. These effects, even differently modulated by diverse AMF isolates and bacterial strains and also depending on the vegetable species or cultivar [113], cause an increase of photosynthetic performance and yield, exerting a positive effect on yield and premium quality and possibly also on shelf life (Figure 2). The semi-systematic review indicates that the effects of microbial biostimulant application on the yield and functional quality of vegetables depend not only on the microbial strains, but also on the application management as well as on the environment conditions. Therefore, future research should be focused on: (1) elucidating the microbial biostimulant strain × species/cultivar × environment interaction in order to select the best combination(s); (2) identifying new PGPB and AMF strains that interact synergistically to boost the yield and functional quality of the selected vegetables; and (3) understanding the physiological and molecular mode of the actions behind the enhancement of nutritional and functional quality parameters in vegetable products induced by microbial biostimulant application.
physiological and molecular mode of the actions behind the enhancement of nutritional and functional quality parameters in vegetable products induced by microbial biostimulant application.

Figure 2. Main beneficial effects exerted by AMF and PGPB on vegetable crops in relation to yield and nutritional quality.
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