INTRODUCTION

It is incumbent on any scientist, no matter the field of inquiry, to adhere to (or at least specify) definitions. (Patten, 2015).

Intraspecific diversity (ISD) represents the “evolutionary potential within a species” (Haig et al., 2006), and understanding and preserving this diversity is an important conservation goal (COSEWIC, 2021; Ford, 2004; Waples, 2006). However, with some exceptions at the federal level (e.g., Designatable Units in Canada; COSEWIC, 2021) and Distinct Population Segments in the United States (Waples, 2006), units of ISD do not have taxonomic standards and are not universally recognized. The terminology used to describe ISD is varied and often used ambiguously. We compared definitions of terms used to describe ISD with use in recent studies of three fish taxa: sticklebacks (Gasterosteidae), Pacific salmon and trout (Oncorhynchus spp., “PST”), and lampreys (Petromyzontiformes). Life history describes the phenotypic responses of organisms to environments and includes biological parameters that affect population growth or decline. Life-history pathway(s) are the result of different organismal routes of development that can result in different life histories. These terms can be used to describe recognizable life-history traits. Life history is generally used in organismal- and ecology-based journals. The terms paired species/species pairs have been used to describe two different phenotypes, whereas in some species and situations a continuum of phenotypes may be expressed. Our review revealed overlapping definitions for race and subspecies, and subspecies and ecotypes. Ecotypes are genotypic adaptations to particular environments, and this term is often used in genetic- and evolution-based journals. "Satellite species" is used for situations in which a parasitic lamprey yields two or more derived, nonparasitic lamprey species. Designatable Units, Evolutionary Significant Units (ESUs), and Distinct Population Segments (DPS) are used by some governments to classify ISD of vertebrate species within distinct and evolutionary significant criteria. In situations where the genetic or life-history components of ISD are not well understood, a conservative approach would be to call them phenotypes.
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variable roles of phenotype, genotype, and phenotypic plasticity (interactions of the genotype with the environment): Variability

\[
\text{Phenotype} = \text{Variability}_{\text{Genotype}} + \text{Variability}_{\text{Environment}} + \text{Variability}_{\text{Environment}} \times \text{Genotype} \quad (\text{DeWitt \& Scheiner, 2004})
\]

Some researchers assess phenotypic expression relative to genotype and particular environments. However, an easier and therefore more common strategy among researchers is to focus on components of this relationship. Given these challenges, it is perhaps not surprising that the terminology for describing ISD is often used ambiguously. The ambiguous use of terminology to describe ISD ironically creates another impediment to understanding and thus preserving this diversity.

The scientific literature includes a plethora of terms to denote ISD. These include morphotypes (Chavarie et al., 2013; Collyer et al., 2015; Lessios & Weinberg, 1994); ecotypes (Arostegui et al., 2018; Cruz-Font et al., 2019; Gregor, 1944) species pairs (Taylor, 1999); ecomorphotypes (Baker et al., 1998; Kloh et al., 2019; Segura-Trujillo et al., 2018); ecophenotypes (Procków et al., 2018; Schönborn & Peschke, 1988; Sorensen & Lindberg, 1991); polymorphisms (Jamie & Meier, 2020; Proćków et al., 2018; Schönborn & Peschke, 1988; Sorensen & Lindberg, 1991); and life histories (Stearns, 1989; Winemiller & Rose, 1992). Several of these terms have common roots and are often used interchangeably or in combination (e.g., Baker et al., 1998; Brannon et al., 2004; Chavarie et al., 2013; Palacios et al., 2012; Wood et al., 2008). The use of these terms may suggest perceived or actual novelty, a unique take on biological phenomena or an attempt to follow precedents of other published works. Although a diverse terminology can be useful in describing the existing diversity of evolved or expressed phenotypes, careful use of terms could improve knowledge transfer and clarity of understanding among scientists, policy makers, and fisheries managers. Here, we assess the use of terms to describe ISD in the peer-reviewed scientific literature. We focused on two ISD terms that we believe have been used inconsistently and interchangeably—life histories and ecotypes.

Our goals were to assess the terminology for ISD and make recommendations for future use of these terms. Our four objectives were to (1) define key terms for intraspecies diversity using classical and authoritative sources that set a precedent and articulate clear definitions; (2) provide a meta-review of evolution, traits, and ISD; (3) analyze trends over the last three decades (1990–2019) in the use of the terms “life history” and “ecotype” in the peer-reviewed literature; and (4) compare the authoritative definitions with the trends in use of life history and ecotypes and make recommendations on future term use. For objectives 2 and 3, we focused on three fish taxa, including sticklebacks (Gasterosteidae), Pacific salmon and trout (Oncorhynchus spp., herein, “PST”), and lampreys (Petromyzontiformes) that represent a rich history of classical ecology and evolutionary studies (Bell & Foster, 1994; Docker, 2015, 2019; Groot & Margolis, 1991; Hardisty, 2006; Hendry et al., 2013; Hendry & Stearns, 2004; Orlov & Beamish, 2016a, b; Quinn, 2005; Wootton, 2009).

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Objective 1: Definitions

We found early uses of the terms ecotypes and life history in the literature. In papers that make a distinction across various animal taxa, we focused on information provided for fishes, for example, as by Haig et al. (2006). Our literature search included locating the first use of the term “ecotype” in the early 1900s and key publications by Stearns (1989, 1992). In addition, we found definitions for other terms that have been used synonymously with ecotype and life history.

2.2 | Objective 2: Meta-review of fish taxa

We conducted broad and succinct reviews of sticklebacks, PST, and lampreys that focused on books, book chapters, review articles, and other peer-reviewed literature to provide and describe the number of species, their evolution, trait diversity, and use of terms to describe ISD. We chose to do a meta-literature review because the exponential increase in articles for these species (e.g., Wootton, 2009) rendered exhaustive reviews untenable for the scope of this paper.

2.3 | Objective 3: Trends in use of “life history” and “ecotype”

We conducted three independent searches for the use of the terms, “ecotypes” and “life history” for sticklebacks, PST, and lampreys using the advanced search option in the Web of Science search engine for articles in English, over 30 years (for years 1990–2019). Each search included the words “stickleback” or “Oncorhynchus” and “lamprey,” with at least one of the terms “ecotype life history” in the title of the article, using the operators: (TI=(ecotype OR life history) AND TI=(stickleback)). The same was done for “Oncorhynchus” and “lamprey.” These searches were executed between November 2020 and February 2021. Each article was reviewed to determine the focal species and phenotypes assessed; whether a genetic basis was identified for the diversity in phenotypes; and whether an article used both terms (ecotypes and life history) synonymously or both, but independently or used only one of the terms. Finally, the frequency of term use was calculated and compared among papers.

2.4 | Objective 4: Compare definitions with term use and make recommendations

We compared definitions (Objective 1) with the meta-review (Objective 2) and trends in use of the terms ecotypes and life history
eight decades ago: “The questions of what is a species, or a subspecies, or a race, or any classificatory category of specific or lower rank, cannot be disassociated from one another” (Ginsburg, 1937).

We compiled definitions of common terms used to describe ISD (Table 1). Generic terms used to describe ISD include “form” and “type.” Life history describes the phenotypic responses of organisms to environments and includes biological parameters that affect population growth and decline, including birth, survival, reproductive timing, reproductive investment, and mortality. Life-history pathways are the result of different developmental routes by an organism that are contingent upon the physiological status and genetic thresholds of that organism. The different developmental routes can result in different life histories. The terms paired species/species pairs have been used to describe two different phenotypes such as benthic versus limnetic sticklebacks or freshwater resident kokanee versus anadromous sockeye salmon (O. nerka; Taylor, 1999) and freshwater resident, nonfeeding brook lampreys versus anadromous and parasitic lampreys (Dock, 2009; Dock & Potter, 2019; Salewski, 2003). However, for lampreys, the more appropriate term would be “satellite species” and not “species pairs” (see below). Species pairs implies two phenotypes, whereas in some species and situations a continuum of phenotypes may be expressed. Our review revealed the ambiguity of the term, race, and the overlap in definitions of this term with subspecies. Classification of subspecies is controversial among taxonomists (Haig et al., 2006; Patten, 2015; de Queiroz, 2020), and a commonly accepted definition of subspecies remains elusive (Haig et al., 2006). Nevertheless, subspecies have recently been defined as components of a species that are incompletely speciated (Patten, 2015; de Queiroz, 2020; Table 1). We also found an overlap in definitions between subspecies and ecotypes. Ecotype was originally used to describe patterns in traits (genes) and ecology in the early 1900s (Gregor, 1944; Turesson, 1922). In essence, ecotypes are genotypic adaptations to particular environments. “Satellite species” is used for situations in which a parasitic lamprey yields two or more derived, nonparasitic lamprey species (Dock, 2009; Salewski, 2003; Vladykov & Kott, 1979). In some situations, these closely related lamprey species may not be distinct species (Dock, 2009).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Objective 1: Definitions

In our search for definitions of ecotype and life history, it became apparent that several terms are used more-or-less synonymously (e.g., “species pairs,” “ecotypes,” and “life histories” in Taylor, 1999 and “races,” “phenotype,” “types,” and “subspecies” in Brannon et al., 2004). This entanglement of phenotypic terms was noted over eight decades ago: “The questions of what is a species, or a subspecies, or a race, or any classificatory category of specific or lower rank, cannot be disassociated from one another” (Ginsburg, 1937).

3.2 | Objective 2: Meta-review of fish taxa

3.2.1 | Sticklebacks

Research on sticklebacks has focused primarily on one species, the threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, with fewer studies on ninespine stickleback, *Pungitius pungitius* (e.g., Table 2). The threespine stickleback has been a model organism for studying behavior, host-parasite relationships, morphology, evolutionary ecology, and speciation (e.g., Baker et al., 2008; Bell & Foster, 1994; Hendry et al., 2009, 2013; McKinnon & Rundle, 2002; Schluter, 2010; Wootton, 2009). The overall trend with studies on the threespine stickleback has been the identification of numerous species, followed by lumping into one species, followed by a return to splitting the phenotypes back out into individual species in some geographical areas.

In the early 1900s, taxonomists struggled with the wide phenotypic diversity of threespine stickleback and several phenotypes were initially believed to be separate species (Wootton, 2009). This diversity is captured in the following quote: “Race ranking may be accorded forms, like local types of *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, which are so confusingly numerous or so complex in characters, and so complicated in genetic and geographical relationship, as to transcend any ordinary scheme of zoological nomenclature” (Hubbs, 1943). It has since been argued that the threespine stickleback is a “raceme” (persistent lineage [marine phenotype] out of which multiple lineages [anadromous and freshwater phenotypes] diverge and quickly end in extinction) or “species complex,” composed of thousands of diverse populations that have evolved numerous times in particular locations (Bell & Foster, 1994; Hendry et al., 2013; Schluter & Conte, 2009; Wootton, 2009). Others refer to the diversity within threespine stickleback by calling the species a “superspecies” (Baker et al., 2008).

Stickleback speciation is complex and involves multiple traits. This speciation occurs rapidly in diverse geographical areas. Natural selection, sexual selection, standing genetic variation, mutation, and genetic recombination have led to rapid reproductive isolation and speciation that has occurred since the last glaciers ca. 9,000–13,000 years ago (Hendry et al., 2013; McKinnon & Rundle, 2002; Schluter, 2010; Schluter & Conte, 2009; Wootton, 2009). In the mid-to late-1900s, research on sticklebacks examined the variation and adaptive significance of phenotypic traits including body shape and size, body armor (bony plates), spines and skeletal structure, spawning coloration, life-history characteristics, and behavior. In the latter part of this period, research focused on the adaptive radiation and reproductive isolation of sticklebacks in lakes. In the 2000s, genomic
| Term                  | Definition                                                                                           | Process                      | Notes                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Form                  | Term denoting a unique phenotype.                                                                     | -                            | Sometimes used in conjunction with other terms.                                                                                       |
| Type                  | Term denoting a unique phenotype.                                                                     | -                            | Sometimes used in conjunction with other terms (e.g., "life-history type").                                                          |
| **Life history**      | Phenotypes of the same or similar species differing with respect to various life-history parameters that are interrelated by trade-offs among these parameters (Stearns, 1989). | ?                            | The life-history parameters include, among others, birth, size, growth characteristics, age and size at maturity, fecundity, offspring size and sex ratio, reproductive investments relative to age and size, mortality relative to age and size, and duration of life (Stearns, 1992). |
|                       | Reproductive effort related to age or life stage, and in response to factors that influence fecundity and survival. Thus, life histories reflect the expression of fitness-related traits, including the timing and expression of the number, size, and life span of offspring, and size and age at maturity (Hutchings, 2004). | ?                            |                                                                                                                                         |
| **Life-history pathway** | Alternative pathways of development that yield different life-history traits, depending on the physiological status and genetic thresholds of a species. This can result in a diversity of life histories rather than a particular life history (Thorpe et al., 1998). | Phenotypic plasticity       |                                                                                                                                         |
| Paired species/species pair | Two phenotypes of the same species that differ in morphology, behavior, genetics, and ecology (Taylor, 1999). | Incipient ecological speciation | Speciation may occur at different rates in different locations and for different species, along a continuum of states (Hendry, 2009). |
| Races                 | “The terms ‘race’ and ‘subspecies’ are often used interchangeably” (Ginsburg, 1937).                | ?                            |                                                                                                                                        |
|                       | Roughly equivalent to subspecies (Haig et al., 2006).                                                | ?                            |                                                                                                                                        |
| Subspecies            | "Heritable geographic variation in phenotype" (Patten, 2015).                                         | ?                            | Although species may go through a subspecies stage, all subspecies may not become species (Patten, 2015).                               |
|                       | “…subspecies are simply incompletely separated species within a more inclusive species” (de Queiroz, 2020). | ?                            |                                                                                                                                        |
|                       | “Unlike races, subspecies are animal kinds which are sufficiently clear-cut as to be thought worthy of a place in the nomenclatorial system, but which do not give evidence of being completely differentiated”; “Incompleteness versus completeness of differentiation is the main test by which subspecies may be distinguished from species…”; “…subspecies in fishes are being shown to be ecological (or microgeographical) forms, which occupy diverse habitats in the same or in very broadly overlapping areas” (Hubbs, 1943). | ?                            | Similar to "ecotype."                                                                                                                |
| Ecotype               | Term originating from botany (e.g., Turesson, 1922 and Gregor, 1944). Mayr (1947) stated that "Ecotypes are populations or groups of populations and so are subspecies." | ?                            |                                                                                                                                        |

(Continues)
studies on sticklebacks revealed insights into associations between phenotype, genotype, and selective factors (Wootton, 2009).

Phenotypic and genotypic differences in threespine stickleback have been found among marine, anadromous, freshwater resident populations (lakes and streams), and between phenotypes within these habitats (e.g., limnetic vs. benthic phenotypes/species; Table 2). This diversity has been identified as "species pairs" (Hendry et al., 2009; Taylor, 1999; Wootton, 2009), "ecomorph pairs" (Wootton, 2009), and "ecotypes" (Table 2; Hendry et al., 2013; Taylor, 1999). Life-history diversity has also been examined (Table 2; Baker et al., 2008), and "life history" can be used to describe phenotypic characteristics in life-history parameters across multiple lineages without having to demonstrate a genotypic association—unlike "ecotypes." Some of these phenotypes of threespine stickleback show sufficient reproductive isolation and phenotypic and genotypic differences to warrant calling them separate species, although they still bear the same scientific name (Schluter, 2010; Wootton, 2009). For example, "limnetic" and "benthic" phenotypes/species have been shown to be adaptive in the littoral zone (benthic species/phenotype) and limnetic zone (limnetic species/phenotype).
## TABLE 2 Papers identified through Web of Science search (see text for details). The papers are arranged by sticklebacks, then Oncorhynchus spp., and then lampreys. Within each of these three taxa, the papers are organized by year of publication, and then alphabetically, by the authors' last names. For terms, “1” = “life history” or “life histories”; “2” = “ecotype(s);” “3” = both terms 1 and 2 were used synonymously; and “4” = both terms 1 and 2 were used independently (i.e., not synonymously)

| Species                              | Phenotypes                                                                                      | Evidence for genetic basis | Terms | Reference                  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| Threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus* | Age and body size at maturity, reproductive effort, and fecundity of ocean and lake phenotypes | No                         | 4     | Baker et al. (2019)        |
| Ninespine stickleback, *Pungitius pungitius* | Body size at spawning, fecundity, and egg sizes of lake and stream phenotypes                 | No                         | 1     | Heins (2019)               |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Melanophore expression, courtship rates, and parental care of white and normal male phenotypes | Unclear                    | 2     | Haley et al. (2019)        |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Genotyping and gene expression in lake and stream phenotypes                                 | Yes                        | 2     | Huang et al. (2019)        |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Differences in major histocompatibility complexes and assortative mating of lake and river phenotypes | Yes                        | 2     | Gahr et al. (2018)         |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Gene expression to different osmotic environments between freshwater and marine phenotypes    | Yes                        | 2     | Rastorguev et al. (2018)   |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Gene expression between marine and freshwater phenotypes exposed to different salinities     | Not per se; however, different gene expression | 2     | Gibbons et al. (2017)      |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Gene expression of quantitative trait loci between marine and freshwater (stream) phenotypes, incl. exposure to different salinities | Yes                        | 2     | Ishikawa et al. (2017)     |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Growth rates, parasitic infection rates, variation in major histocompatibility complexes, and survival of lake and river phenotypes | Yes                        | 2     | Kaufman et al. (2017)      |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Reaction norms of body size, coloration, and transcriptional responses to different temperatures | Yes                        | 1     | Kim et al. (2017)          |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Osmoregulation differences between anadromous and stream phenotypes                           | Yes                        | 2     | Kusakabe et al. (2017)     |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Bimodality in age and size at spawning                                                        | No                         | 1     | Rollins et al. (2017)      |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Physiology and gene expression upon exposure to winter temperatures in marine and freshwater phenotypes | Not per se; however, different gene expression | 2     | Gibbons et al. (2016)      |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Genetic and morphology of lake and stream phenotypes                                           | Yes                        | 2     | Hanson et al. (2016)       |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Changes in body size, clutch mass, fecundity, egg mass following predator introduction       | No                         | 1     | Heins et al. (2016)        |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Body size and age at spawning, fecundity, clutch mass, and egg mass following introduction of anadromous stickleback into lakes | No                         | 1     | Kurz et al. (2016)         |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus*  | Genotyping of marine and freshwater phenotypes                                                | Yes                        | 2     | Liu et al. (2016)          |

(Continues)
| Species                  | Phenotypes                                                                 | Evidence for genetic basis | Terms   | Reference                  |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Micro RNA regulatory activity of marine and freshwater phenotypes                  | Yes                          | 2       | Rastorguev et al. (2016)   |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Morphology of lake and stream phenotypes                                      | Yes                          | 2       | Lucek et al. (2014)        |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Transgenerational effects of CO₂ on parental fecundity, offspring survival, growth, and oolith attributes | No                           | 1       | Schade et al. (2014)       |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Different immune responses and susceptibility of lake and river phenotypes to an eye fluke | Ecotypes genetically different, but no genetic evidence for pathological responses | 2       | Scharsack and Kalbe (2014) |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Reproductive effort and fecundity among phenotypes from different environments                                         | Yes                          | 1       | Baker et al. (2013)        |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Body size and age at spawning, fecundity, egg mass, and reproductive effort of anadromous and freshwater phenotypes                                                | Yes                          | 3       | Karve et al. (2013)        |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Thyroid concentrations in marine and stream phenotypes                                                                       | No                           | 3       | Kitano and Lema (2013)     |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Gene expression in response to infection of lake and stream phenotypes                                                       | Not per se; however, different gene expression                                    | 2       | Lenz et al. (2013)         |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Fecundity, egg size, and morphology of marine and lake phenotypes                                                        | No                           | 4       | Oravec and Reimchen (2013) |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Different trophic ecology and mercury accumulation of limnetic and benthic phenotypes                                        | No                           | 2       | Willacker et al. (2013)    |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Body size, fecundity, and spawn timing of lake and stream phenotypes                                                | Yes                          | 1       | Moser et al. (2012)        |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Rearing marine phenotype in different habitats results in the derivation of benthic and limnetic phenotypes                   | No                           | 3       | Wund et al. (2012)         |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Egg size, fecundity, body size, and age                                                                              | Not directly, but inferred | 1       | Baker et al. (2011)        |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Body morphology and gill raker number of lake and stream phenotypes                                               | Yes                          | 2       | Berner et al. (2011)      |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Diversity in major histocompatibility complexes between lake and stream phenotypes                                      | Yes                          | 2       | Eizaguirre et al. (2011)  |
| Ninespine stickleback, P. pungitius | Otolith microchemistry of brackish and anadromous phenotypes                                         | No                           | 1       | Arai et al. (2010)         |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Age, length, weight, sex ratio, spawn timing, fecundity                                                                 | No                           | 1       | Patimar et al. (2010)      |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Assortative mating behaviors of lake, stream, and hybrid phenotypes                                                   | Yes                          | 2       | Raeymaekers et al. (2010) |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Reproductive effort, fecundity, egg size, age, and body size at spawning of marine (anadromous and strictly marine) and freshwater (lake and stream) phenotypes | No                           | 1       | Baker et al. (2008)       |
| Threespine stickleback, G. aculeatus | Immune responses of lake and stream phenotypes                                                                       | No                           | 2       | Scharsack et al. (2007)    |

(Continues)
| Species | Phenotypes                                                                 | Evidence for genetic basis | Terms | Reference                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| Ninespine sticklebacks, *Pungitius* spp. | Otolith microchemistry of brackish, anadromous, and freshwater phenotypes | No                           | 1     | Arai and Goto (2005)             |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Reproductive allocation of benthic and limnetic phenotypes                  | No                           | 3     | Baker et al. (2005)              |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Body size, fecundity, egg size, and relative clutch mass                   | No                           | 1     | Baker and Foster (2002)          |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Body size, fecundity, egg size, and gonad mass among years                | No                           | 1     | Poizat et al. (2002)             |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Reproductive and hatch timing and age of offspring maturity of river phenotype | No                           | 1     | Saito and Nakano (1999)          |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Body size, body morphology, reproductive effort, egg mass, egg size, and fecundity of anadromous, lake, and stream phenotypes | No                           | 1     | Baker et al. (1998)              |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Body morphology and clutch volume of benthic and limnetic phenotypes      | No                           | 1     | Foster et al. (1992)             |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Growth rate differences between anadromous and stream phenotypes          | Not directly, but inferred   | 1     | Snyder (1991)                    |
| Threespine stickleback, *G. aculeatus* | Body size, reproductive timing, fecundity of anadromous and stream phenotypes | No                           | 1     | Snyder and Dingle (1990)         |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*          | Gene expression by sex in anadromous and resident phenotypes               | Not per se; however, different gene expression | 1     | Hale et al. (2018)               |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*          | Marine trophic position, foraging area, somatic lipids, and stable isotopes of summer (stream maturing) and winter (ocean maturing) phenotypes | No                           | 2     | Lamperth et al. (2018)           |
| Coho salmon, *O. kisutch*           | Migration behavior                                                         | No                           | 1     | Faukner et al. (2017)            |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*           | Genetics of anadromous and resident phenotypes                             | Yes                          | 1     | Samarasin et al. (2017)          |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha*     | Genetics of precocial jack and "normal" male phenotypes                   | Yes                          | 1     | Forest et al. (2016)             |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*           | Genotyping of anadromous and resident phenotypes                           | Yes                          | 3     | Nichols et al. (2016)            |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*           | Genetics and size at age of anadromous and resident phenotypes             | Yes                          | 1     | Phillis et al. (2016)            |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*           | Genetics and body size at maturity, behavior, morphology, and gill raker number of anadromous and resident phenotypes | Yes                          | 4     | Moreira and Taylor (2015)        |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha*     | Emigration date and body size of transplanted fish                         | No                           | 1     | Roddam and Ward (2015)           |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*           | Maturation rates relative to anadromous and resident phenotypes            | Yes, inferred                | 1     | Berejikian et al. (2014)         |
| Coho salmon, *O. kisutch*           | Growth rate, body size, freshwater residence, and emigration timing        | Yes                           | 1     | Craig et al. (2014)              |
| Coho salmon, *O. kisutch*           | Habitat use, age, growth rate, freshwater residence timing, emigration timing, and survival | No                           | 1     | Jones et al. (2014)              |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*           | Influence of sex on anadromous vs. resident phenotypes                     | No                           | 1     | Ohms et al. (2014)               |

(Continues)
| Species                          | Phenotypes                                                                 | Evidence for genetic basis | Terms | Reference                      |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Smoltification and maturation rates and somatic growth of anadromous and resident phenotypes | No                         | 1     | Sloat and Reeves (2014)        |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Smoltification, residency, and growth rates of anadromous and resident phenotypes | No                         | 1     | Benjamin et al. (2013)         |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Genotyping of anadromous and resident phenotypes                             | Yes                        | 3     | Hale et al. (2013)             |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Gene flow between anadromous and resident phenotypes                         | No                         | 1     | Van Doornik et al. (2013)      |
| Oncorhynchus spp.               | Stray rates of different species, including ocean and stream phenotypes of Chinook salmon | No                         | 1     | Westley et al. (2013)          |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* | Ocean migration paths of ocean and stream phenotypes                          | No                         | 1     | Sharma and Quinn (2012)        |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Growth rate and timing and ages of anadromous and resident phenotypes        | Yes, inferred              | 1     | Sogard et al. (2012)           |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Growth rates and ages of stream and lake phenotypes                          | No                         | 1     | Arismendi et al. (2011)        |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Physiological profiles of anadromous and resident phenotypes                 | Not per se; however, different gene expression | 1     | Hanson et al. (2011)           |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha*| Heritability of size at age, and age at maturity                             | Yes                        | 1     | Kinnison et al. (2011)         |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha*| Natal origin and migration history                                            | Yes                        | 1     | Miller et al. (2011)           |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*       | Genetics of anadromous and resident phenotypes                               | Yes                        | 1     | Waples et al. (2011)           |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha*| Genotyping and early life stage survival and growth                           | Yes                        | 1     | Evans et al. (2010)            |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*       | Otolith microchemistry of anadromous and resident phenotypes                 | No                         | 2     | Godbout et al. (2010)          |
| Westslope cutthroat trout, *O. clarkii lewisi*, and rainbow trout, *O. mykiss* | Distribution, growth rate, and survival of two species                      | Yes                        | 1     | Rasmussen et al. (2010)        |
| Coho salmon, *O. kisutch*        | Growth rates, adult survival, body size at maturity, run timing, and relative reproductive success of hatchery fry and hatchery smolts | No                         | 1     | Thériault et al. (2010)        |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Genetic diversity, effective population size of anadromous and resident phenotypes | Yes                        | 1     | Van Doornik et al. (2010)      |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha*| Body length and survival of fall parr, age-0 smolts, and age-1 smolts        | No                         | 1     | Copeland and Venditti (2009)    |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*       | Growth rates, smolt sizes, ages of seaward migration and maturity, and ocean survival | No                         | 1     | Rich et al. (2009)             |
| Chum salmon, *O. keta*           | Emigration timing, growth rates, condition factor, and body size at ocean entry | No                         | 1     | Saito et al. (2009)            |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*       | Variable freshwater and ocean residence, ocean survival, maturity rates, and recruits per spawner | No                         | 1     | Lessard et al. (2008)          |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*      | Genotyping of anadromous and resident phenotypes                             | Yes                        | 1     | Narum et al. (2008)            |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha*| Emergence timing, growth rates, smolt rates (and timing), and maturation rates | No                         | 1     | Beckman et al. (2007)          |

(Continues)
| Species                     | Phenotypes                                                                 | Evidence for genetic basis | Terms | Reference                               |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------|
| *Oncorhynchus* spp.         | Fry habitat, freshwater residency, ocean migration behavior, ocean duration, age at maturation, spawning location, spawning behavior and timing, and semelparity | No                          | 1     | Esteve and McLennan (2007)              |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Genetics of anadromous and resident phenotypes                             | Yes                         | 1     | Pavey et al. (2007)                     |
| Coho salmon, *O. kisutch*   | Age at maturity, size-specific survival, and reproductive success           | Unclear                     | 1     | Snover et al. (2006)                    |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Oxygen isotopes cannot be used to discern anadromous and resident life history in fossil bones | No                          | 1     | Zazzo et al. (2006)                     |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Freshwater longevity of jacks and older males                              | No                          | 1     | Carlson et al. (2004)                   |
| Masu salmon, *O. masou*     | Growth rates, maturation timing of anadromous and resident phenotypes      | No                          | 1     | Yamamoto (2004)                        |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Body size, sex ratio, age at maturity, and freshwater and ocean residence times by run timing | Yes                         | 4     | Fillatre et al. (2003)                  |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* | Genetic components of the jack male reproductive strategy                | Yes                         | 1     | Heath et al. (2002)                     |
| Masu salmon, *O. masou*     | Different male phenotypes (body sizes, behaviors) employ different mating tactics | No                          | 1     | Yamamoto and Edo (2002)                 |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Body sizes, age structure, and body depths of males                        | No                          | 1     | Hendry and Quinn (1997)                 |
| Rainbow trout, *O. mykiss*  | Genetics of run timing                                                     | Yes                         | 2     | Nielsen and Fountain (1997)             |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Morphological and genetic differences between stream- and beach-spawning resident phenotypes | Yes                         | 4     | Taylor et al. (1997)                    |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* | Natural and hatchery origin, body size at age, and body size at maturity of ocean and stream phenotypes | Yes, inferred              | 1     | Unwin and Glova (1997)                  |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Genetics of anadromous and resident phenotypes                             | Yes and No                  | 3     | Taylor et al. (1996)                    |
| Sockeye salmon, *O. nerka*  | Egg size, body morphology, and spawning gravel size                         | No                          | 1     | Quinn et al. (1995)                     |
| Cutthroat trout, *O. clarki bouvieri* | Body size at age, migration strategy and timing, sex ratio, age of spawning | No                          | 1     | Gresswell et al. (1994)                 |
| Masu salmon, *O. masou*     | Body size and age at maturity of anadromous and resident males             | No                          | 1     | Tsiger et al. (1994)                    |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* | Age at maturity, body size at age, body mass, and timing of arrival on spawning grounds of ocean and stream phenotypes | No                          | 1     | Quinn and Unwin (1993)                  |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* | Growth rate and seawater adaptability of ocean and stream phenotypes      | Yes                         | 1     | Clarke et al. (1992)                    |
| Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* | Habitat preference, distribution, abundance, body size, migration and residence timing, and seawater tolerance of the ocean phenotype | No                          | 1     | Johnson et al. (1992)                   |

(Continues)
within some lakes (Schluter, 2010) and phenotypes associated with
different lake substrates (lava vs. mud; Kristjánsson et al., 2002)
in ways that reduce competition for resources (Schluter, 2010). In
addition, some phenotypic and genotypic divergence in lakes has
been attributed to predators and prey (Miller et al., 2019; Millet
et al., 2013), and parasitism may also influence divergence leading
to speciation between limnetic and benthic threespine sticklebacks
(Schluter, 2010).

| Species                        | Phenotypes                                                                 | Evidence for genetic basis | Terms | Reference                |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Chum salmon, O. keta          | Downstream migration, age at maturity, body size, egg size, body meristics, and morphology of early and late spawning phenotypes | No                         | 3     | Tallman and Healey (1991) |
| Chinook salmon, O. tshawytscha| Differences in the duration of stream residence, body size, agonistic behavior, and salinity tolerance among populations | Yes, inferred              | 1     | Taylor (1990a)           |
| Chinook salmon, O. tshawytscha| Duration of freshwater residence, distribution, migration distance, and growth rates of ocean and stream phenotypes | Yes                        | 1     | Taylor (1990b)           |
| Chinook salmon, O. tshawytscha| Rheotaxis, aggression, and growth rates of ocean and stream phenotypes    | Yes, inferred              | 1     | Taylor (1990c)           |
| Multiple lamprey species      | Parasitic and nonparasitic phenotypes                                     | No                         | 1     | Evans and Limburg (2019) |
| Pacific lamprey, Entosphenus tridentatus | Genotyping and body morphology and egg mass of ocean and stream maturing phenotypes | Yes                        | 2     | Parker et al. (2019)     |
| European river lamprey, Lampetra fluviatilis, and European brook lamprey, L. planeri | Genotyping of freshwater nonparasitic, freshwater parasitic, and anadromous parasitic species | Yes                        | 3     | Hume et al. (2018)       |
| European river lamprey, L. fluviatilis, and European brook lamprey, L. planeri | Genotyping of freshwater nonparasitic and anadromous parasitic species | Yes                        | 3     | Rougemont et al. (2017)  |
| Least brook lamprey, L. aepyptera, and American brook lamprey, Lethenteron appendix | Strategies for lipid accumulation in two freshwater, nonparasitic species | No                         | 1     | Evans and Bauer (2016)   |
| Chestnut lamprey, Icthyomyzon castaneus, and northern brook lamprey, I. fossor | Gene expression in freshwater parasitic and freshwater nonparasitic species | Not per se; however, different gene expression | 1     | Spice et al. (2012)      |
| Pacific lamprey, E. tridentatus | Body morphology, fecundity, and physiology of ocean and stream maturing phenotypes | No                         | 1     | Clemens et al. (2013)    |
| European river lamprey, L. fluviatilis, and European brook lamprey, L. planeri | Mating behavior of freshwater nonparasitic, freshwater parasitic, and anadromous parasitic species | No                         | 1     | Hume et al. (2013)       |
| Arctic lamprey, Lethenteron camtschaticum | Genetics of anadromous parasitic and freshwater nonparasitic phenotypes | Yes                        | 1     | Yamazaki and Nagai (2013) |
| Sea lamprey, Petromyzon marinus | Length and weight at maturity, sex ratio, female gonad weight of anadromous parasitic species | No                         | 1     | Beaulaton et al. (2008)  |
| Sea lamprey, P. marinus, and American brook lamprey, L. appendix | Physiology of transformation and sexual maturation in parasitic and nonparasitic species | Not per se; however, different gene expression | 1     | Youson et al. (2006)     |
| Sea lamprey, P. marinus       | Body size, growth rate, and age of larvae and transformers of landlocked parasitic species | No                         | 1     | Zerrenner and Marsden (2006) |
| Sea lamprey, P. marinus       | Sex ratio, body size, and age at transformation of freshwater parasitic species | No                         | 1     | Zerrenner and Marsden (2005) |
| Western brook lamprey, L. richardsoni | Internal morphology and inferred physiology of parasitic and nonparasitic phenotypes | No                         | 1     | Youson and Beamish (1991) |
The appropriate terminology for describing threespine stickleback diversity may depend on the population(s) in question. This is because speciation within sticklebacks occurs along a continuum, from "continuous variation within panmictic populations" on one end to "complete and irreversible reproductive isolation" on the other, with factors affecting the divergence of populations along this continuum (Hendry et al., 2009). Hendry et al. (2009) reported that most stickleback populations are on the front end of this spectrum, "... even though some of these [populations] show evidence of disruptive selection and positive assortative mating."

### 3.2.2 | *Oncorhynchus*

The genus *Oncorhynchus* includes five species of Pacific salmon and seven species of Pacific trout (Quinn, 2005; Penaluna et al., 2016). Pacific salmon and trout (PST) are iconic and important species culturally, economically, and recreationally (Lichatowich, 1999; Behnke, 2002; Penaluna et al., 2016). Research on PST has been important for informing biology and fisheries management (Groot & Margolis, 1991; Behnke, 2002; Penaluna et al., 2016), and ecology and evolutionary processes (Hendry & Stearns, 2004; Quinn, 2005; Stearns & Hendry, 2004; Waples & Hendry, 2008).

Modern PST are approximately 6–20 million years old, and further speciation and intraspecific diversification has been occurring ever since (Stearley & Smith, 1993; Montgomery, 2000; Waples et al., 2008; Penaluna et al., 2016). Significant geologic activity, including tectonic action, volcanism, and cycles of glaciation and deglaciation, occurred and thus has been implicated in influencing the speciation of PST (Montgomery, 2000; Penaluna et al., 2016). This geologic activity would have also resulted in creation of river drainages and thus geographical isolation that influenced PST speciation (Montgomery, 2000). Pacific salmon and trout exhibit a general pattern of isolation-by-distance, with populations near each other being more closely related than those further away (apart from sockeye salmon *O. nerka*; Waples et al., 2008; Wood et al., 2008). Pacific salmon and trout home to their natal streams and lakes, and this results in structured populations that are locally adapted to particular environments (Brannon et al., 2004; Hendry et al., 2004a; Quinn, 2005; Waples et al., 2001, 2008). Pacific salmon and trout have been described as "...different populations [that] represent ecological types referred to as spring-, summer-, fall and winter-run segments, as well as stream- and ocean-type, or stream- and ocean-maturing life history forms" (Brannon et al., 2004).

Important diversification in PST occurs below the species level (Behnke, 2002). Traits of PST that diverge at the intraspecific level include run timing (Brannon et al., 2004; Groot & Margolis, 1991), anadromy/freshwater residency (Hendry et al., 2004b; Quinn & Myers, 2004), ocean residency, fecundity, territoriality, iteroparity/semparality, and precocity versus larger and older spawning types (Table 2; see also Fleming & Reynolds, 2004; Quinn & Myers, 2004; Quinn, 2005). This ISD is a continuum determined by a suite of traits that are influenced along seasonal changes in environmental conditions (i.e., temporal clines). One key temporal cline is water temperature, which affects larval development, juvenile residence, and spawn timing (Brannon et al., 2004; Quinn & Myers, 2004; Waples et al., 2001). The diversity in life histories and genetics within PST exhibits a direct and strong correlation (Waples et al., 2001). In addition, life-history traits in PST are directly related to evolutionary fitness and thus are subjected to strong and consistent selection (Carlson & Seamons, 2008; Hutchings, 2004). Nevertheless, many questions remain about the extent to which the ISD in PST is a result of phenotypic plasticity versus genetic adaptation (Hendry et al., 2004b; Waples et al., 2001; Waples & Hendry, 2008).

Several terms have been used to describe ISD in PST, including "morphotypes", phenotypes, populations, stocks, "life history forms", "life history types", "ecological types", "races", "phenotype", "forms", "types", and "subspecies" (Healey, 1991; Waples et al., 2001; Behnke, 2002; Brannon et al., 2004; Penaluna et al., 2016)—and this list is not exhaustive. The prevailing use of the term "life history" can be found in key tomes (e.g., Behnke, 2002; Groot & Margolis, 1991). Some authors combine use of terms such as "life history ecotypes" (Wood et al., 2008). In addition, some PST populations have received the designation of ESUs (Table 1). This designation enables tracking of demographic characteristics relative to population status.

### 3.2.3 | Lampreys

Lampreys are basal vertebrates (Docker et al., 2015; Janvier, 2008) that first appeared in the fossil record 360 million years ago (Gess et al., 2006)—long before teleost fishes like PST and sticklebacks appeared. Forty-two to 45 species of lampreys exist (Maitland et al., 2015; Potter et al., 2015; Riva-Rossi et al., 2020), including 2–26 species that are freshwater resident "brook" lampreys without a parasitic life stage, nine freshwater resident parasites, and 10 anadromous and parasitic species (Maitland et al., 2015; Riva-Rossi et al., 2020).

Phenotypic diversity in lampreys has been characterized by the feeding (parasitic versus. nonfeeding) and migratory behavior (anadromous or resident; Salewski, 2003; Vladykov & Kott, 1979). The brook lampreys are relatively small in body size and females exhibit low fecundity, whereas the anadromous lampreys are relatively large and exhibit correspondingly higher fecundities (Docker, 2009; Docker & Potter, 2019; Salewski, 2003). The closely related pairs or groups of brook and anadromous lampreys have been termed "paired species" or "species pairs," "satellite species" (more than two species), "life histories" (Docker, 2009; Docker & Potter, 2019; Salewski, 2003; Vladykov & Kott, 1979), and recently "ecotypes" (Docker & Potter, 2019; Rougemont et al., 2017). We argue that paired species/species pairs confuses ISD and interspecies diversity of lampreys with that of teleosts (e.g., Taylor, 1999); thus, these two terms should probably be avoided when discussing diversity in lampreys. By contrast, satellite species has a historical context (Vladykov & Kott, 1979) and makes sense because of the definition provided, which encompasses both ISD and interspecies diversity (Table 1).
Ecotypes are gaining in use for lampreys (Table 2), though it makes more sense to use this term in terms ISD and not for interspecies diversity. Life history could reasonably be used to describe recognizable differences in life-history traits for ISD in lampreys. A review of studies on parasitic and nonparasitic species pairs of lampreys identified a continuum of genetic and phenotypic divergence within species pairs, with the term “ecotype” being used to indicate different phenotypic expression and partial or full reproductive isolation, whereas life history was used to indicate trade-offs in body size and fecundity associated with feeding type (parasitic or nonfeeding) and anadromy versus freshwater residency (Docker & Potter, 2019).

The level of genetic relatedness between species pairs depends on the geographic location and circumstances. In some situations, closely related parasitic lamprey and nonparasitic brook lamprey can reproduce together; thus, they may more aptly be called phenotypes of the same species. Examples of this include the European lamprey (Lampetra fluviatilis) and European brook lamprey (L. planeri; Rougemont et al., 2015), and the resident parasitic silver lamprey (Ichthyomyzon unicuspis), and nonparasitic northern brook lamprey (I. fossor; Docker et al., 2012). In other situations, these phenotypes exhibit discrete genetic differences, such as among specimens of parasitic western river lamprey (L. ayresii) and the closely related western brook lamprey (L. richardsoni) and other Lampetra species along the west coast of North America (Boguski et al., 2012), and among allopatric European river lamprey and European brook lamprey (Rougemont et al., 2017). These satellite species were originally identified as separate species (Docker, 2009; Vladykov & Kott, 1979). Resident brook lampreys are expected to display more population structure within a particular river basin than anadromous lampreys, as demonstrated for western brook lamprey (L. richardsoni; Spice et al., 2019). Anadromous lampreys do not home to their natal streams, and so they display less genetic stock structure (Bergstedt and Seelye., 1995; Bryan et al., 2005; Spice et al., 2012).

More recently, research into Pacific lamprey, Entosphenus tridentatus, has revealed another form of phenotypic diversity beyond feeding and migratory behavior: bimodal differences in maturation timing. Research into body morphology, gonadosomatic index (GSI), and maturation levels (determined by gonadal histology) revealed phenotypic differences in maturation timing, which were named “stream maturing” and “ocean maturing” Pacific lamprey (Clemens et al., 2013). It was hypothesized that the less-mature life history or phenotype was the commonly recognized stream maturing phenotype that would be expected to spawn one or more years after entering freshwater, whereas the formerly unrecognized ocean maturing form (which is more sexually mature upon entering freshwater) might spawn within the same year of entering freshwater (Clemens et al., 2013). The ocean maturing phenotype was found in the Klamath River estuary (California, USA, at the river mouth, river kilometer 0). A separate study conducted at this same location verified the existence of stream maturing and ocean maturing ISD in Pacific lamprey, via single nucleotide polymorphism markers and GSI. This phenotypic diversity was initially referred to as “life histories” (Clemens et al., 2013) and then more recently as “ecotypes” (Parker et al., 2019).

In summary, closely related parasitic and nonparasitic lampreys have been called paired species, species pairs, satellite species, life histories, and ecotypes. Stream maturing and ocean maturing phenotypes of Pacific lamprey have been called life histories and ecotypes. We argue that paired species/species pairs should not be used to describe ISD or interspecies diversity in lampreys. By contrast, satellite species encompasses both ISD and interspecies diversity. Ecotypes should be used in terms of ISD and not for interspecies diversity. Life history could reasonably be used to describe recognizable differences in life-history traits for ISD in lampreys.

3.3 | Objective 3: Trends in use of “life history” and “ecotype”

Our literature search yielded 120 articles, including 46 that focused on sticklebacks, 61 on PST, and 13 on lampreys (Table 2). These 120 articles were from 46 different journals that can be categorized into each of six disciplines, including “Ecology,” “Evolution,” “Ecology and Evolution,” “Genetics,” “Miscellaneous,” and “Zoology” (Table 3). Nine articles found by the Web of Science literature search were omitted from our analyses because these papers focused on life stage differences rather than intraspecific differences. Journals with a general focus on organismal biology and ecology tended to use the term(s) “life history/life histories,” whereas journals focusing on evolution and genetics tended to use the term “ecotype(s)” (Figure 1). Studies that used the term ecotype(s) tended to report a genetic basis for the phenotypic differences (Figure 2). The literature on sticklebacks tended to use both life history/life histories and ecotype(s) in equal amounts (Figure 3a), whereas the literature on PST and lampreys tended to use life history/life histories to a greater extent (Figure 3b,c). Taken together, this information suggests that sticklebacks have been a field and laboratory model for evolutionary and genetic research, whereas PST have tended to be the focus of fisheries-related research and management, and lampreys have experienced comparatively much less research.

The use of terms in our systematic search affected the results that we report. Our search tended to capture ISD, as evidenced by the 69.2% of the 120 papers that focused on this aspect. The other 30.8% included aspects of life history. The literature search for sticklebacks retrieved the highest percentage of papers dealing with ISD (78.3% of the 46 papers), followed by lampreys (71.4% of the 14 papers), and then PST (61.7% of the 60 papers). The reviewers of this paper identified some key papers that were missed with our search (i.e., PST: Bourret et al., 2016; lampreys: Docker et al., 2012; Neave et al., 2019; Rougemont et al., 2015). It is not clear how Bourret et al. (2016) would have been missed because “life history” is in the title of that paper. However, in the other papers “ecotypes” was included as a keyword (Docker et al., 2012; Neave et al., 2019) or in the running title (Rougemont et al., 2015), rather than in the title. In other instances, use of the word “ecotypic” rather than “ecotype” (e.g., Keeley et al., 2005, 2007) resulted in missing papers on PST. It seems likely that other key papers may also have been missed.
| Journal                                      | Category                      |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Acta Oecologia                              | Ecology                       |
| California Fish and Game                    | Ecology                       |
| Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences | Ecology                   |
| Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences Sci Tech | Ecology                   |
| Fisheries Research                          | Ecology                       |
| Fisheries Science                           | Ecology                       |
| Journal of Animal Ecology                   | Ecology                       |
| Journal of Fisheries and Wildlife Management | Ecology                   |
| Journal of Freshwater Ecology               | Ecology                       |
| Oecologia                                   | Ecology                       |
| Oikos                                       | Ecology                       |
| The American Midland Naturalist            | Ecology                       |
| Transactions of the American Fisheries Society | Ecology                   |
| Biological Journal of the Linnean Society  | Evolution                    |
| Evolutionary Applications                   | Evolution                    |
| Evolution                                   | Evolution                    |
| Evolutionary Applications                   | Evolution                    |
| Journal of Evolutionary Biology             | Evolution                    |
| Biology Letters                             | Evolution and Ecology         |
| Evolutionary Ecology                        | Evolution and Ecology         |
| Evolutionary Ecology Research               | Evolution and Ecology         |
| Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences | Evolution and Ecology |
| The American Naturalist                     | Evolution and Ecology         |
| Acta Naturae                                | Genetics                     |
| Conservation Genetics                       | Genetics                     |
| Genes, Genomes, Genetics                    | Genetics                     |
| Genetica                                    | Genetics                     |
| Genome Biology and Evolution                | Genetics                     |
| Heredity                                    | Genetics                     |
| Journal of Heredity                         | Genetics                     |
| Molecular Ecology                            | Genetics                     |
| Molecular Ecology Resources                 | Genetics                     |
| Annales de Limnologie—International Journal of Limnology | Miscellaneous |
| Earth and Planetary Science Letters         | Miscellaneous                |
| Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry      | Miscellaneous                |
| Marine Biology                              | Miscellaneous                |
| Parasites and Vectors                       | Miscellaneous                |
| PLOS One                                   | Miscellaneous                |
| Behavior                                   | Zoology                      |
| Canadian Journal of Zoology                 | Zoology                      |
| Copeia                                     | Zoology                      |

(Continues)
TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Journal                                | Category |
|----------------------------------------|----------|
| Ecology of Freshwater Fish             | Zoology  |
| Environmental Biology of Fishes       | Zoology  |
| General and Comparative Endocrinology | Zoology  |
| Journal of Fish Biology               | Zoology  |
| Turkish Journal of Zoology            | Zoology  |

3.4 | Objective 4: Compare definitions with term use and make recommendations

Ecotype originally was used to describe patterns in traits (gene) combinations in particular environments and hence genotypic adaptations to particular environments. This term is often used in genetic- and evolution-based journals during 1990–2019. By contrast, evolution- and genetic-focused journals tended to use the term “ecotype(s).”

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Understanding and preserving ISD is important for species conservation. Ecotype was originally used to describe genotypic adaptation to environments, and recent studies generally use this term in a
similar way. By contrast, life history includes biological parameters that affect abundance and population growth and decline, and recent studies generally use this term in a similar way. Ecotype and life history were used equally among recent studies on sticklebacks. By contrast, life history was used more frequently than ecotype among recent studies on PST and lampreys.
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