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Highlights

Thermally reduced GO films enhance the removal of triazines from water.

Optimisation of the adsorbent by DOE coupled with the response surface methodology.

Atrazine maximum adsorption capacity of rGO treated at 110°C for 24h was 46%.

Freundlich and Langmuir models were applied to the triazines adsorption on rGO films.

Maximum adsorption capacity: 4.4, 19.4 and 18.4 mg/g for Atraton, Atrazine and Prometryn.
In this work, triazines were chosen as the organic micropollutants model, to develop a useful method for the removal of triazine products, using a reduced derivative of graphene oxide as adsorbent material. The pristine graphene oxide and its thermally reduced derivatives under mild conditions were tested, optimizing the GO reduction conditions by means of DOE coupled with the response surface methodology. For the reduction it was decided to choose the mildest and simplest conditions possible, using an air heat treatment in a common laboratory oven. The optimal reduction conditions deduced from the response surface were calculated at a reduction temperature of 110 °C maintained for 24 hours and rGO sample was employed in the adsorption of the triazines. All the adsorbent materials have been characterized before use, by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis. Triazine analyses were performed by HPLC. The data obtained from the adsorption isotherms have been fitted with the Langmuir and Freundlich models, and the Freundlich model was the best one, especially for the Atraton and the Prometryn. The maximum adsorption capacity obtained was 4.4 mg/g for Atrazine, 19.4 mg/g for Atraton and 18.4 mg/g for Prometryn, at room temperature.
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1 Introduction

The adsorption of organic pollutants is one of the promising methodologies for their removal from the environmental, and the interest towards efficient and low-cost materials for remediation of contaminants from water is strongly emerging (Xiao and Pignatello 2015; Ruggieri et al. 2015; D’Archivio et al. 2018). Chemical oxidation, ion exchange, membrane separation and adsorption have been widely applied for the removal of pollutants from water (Carolin et al. 2017; Jeevanantham et al. 2019). Among these, adsorption remains one of the most effective and important technologies, considering the different nature of the contaminants to be analysed, as well as being a versatile and economical method (Jung et al. 2001; Zhang et al. 2019; Mojiri et al. 2020).

One of the main causes of pollution of surface and groundwater is attributed to the increase in the use of herbicides in agricultural activities, causing great concern due to the potential risk to human health (Jablonowski, Schäffer, and Burauel 2011; Sousa et al. 2018).

Atrazine is an herbicide, of the triazine classes, typically used for the control of broadleaf season-long weeds in a variety of crops such as corn and sugarcane, but it also finds use on turfs such as golf courses and residential lawns as well (Frank and Sirons 1979; Miller et al. 2000). Human exposure to atrazine is linked to several serious health effects. A potent endocrine disrupter, atrazine interferes with hormonal activity of animals and humans at extremely low doses (Sanderson et al. 2002). It exhibits acute, chronic and phytotoxicity. It has been proved that atrazine contains mutagenic and carcinogenic agents also (MacLennan et al. 2002; Roberge, Hakk, and Larsen 2004; Kucka et al. 2012).

Although the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) approved its continued use in October 2003, that same month the European Union (EU) announced a ban of atrazine because of ubiquitous and unpreventable water contamination (Bethsas and Colangelo 2006). USEPA set a Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG, i.e. the level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health) for atrazine as 3 µg/l, while the recommended level of atrazine in drinking water in European Union countries is 0.1 µg/l. An Atrazine Monitoring Program (AMP) was created in the US to determine whether concentrations of atrazine and its chemical degradates are present at a level that could potentially pose a risk to public health. In September 2020, USEPA released the Interim Registration Review Decisions for atrazine, propazine, and simazine, and finalized mitigation measures to protect human health and mitigate potential ecological risks.

Among the class of triazines, Atrazine, Atraton and Prometryn (shown in Figure 1) were chosen as a model for the study of the removal of contaminants from water and the adsorbent material chosen was a reduced graphene oxide (rGO), whose adsorbent capacity has been optimized.
Figure 01 Triazines used in this work: a) Atrazine, b) Atraton and c) Prometryn; Graphenic materials used as sorbent:
d) pristine GO sponge obtained by freeze drying the aqueous dispersion and e) rGO film obtained by simple evaporation of the solvent in a petri dish.

Graphene-based materials are widely used for adsorbent removal of organic pollutants from water, due to their unique characteristics, including high adsorption capacity. Graphene and its derivatives have attracted great attention all over the world for their potential applications in sensors, catalysis, energy-storage devices due to the excellent mechanical, electronic, and thermal properties (Geim and Novoselov 2007; Singh et al. 2011). Many studies show that graphene-based materials have good potential in the environmental field (Thakur and Kandasubramanian 2019). For instance, their large surface area and delocalized π network have been exploited in the adsorption of different types of contaminants from water (Lu and Astruc 2020). In general, an adsorbent material must have a good adsorption capacity which will depend on the surface properties of the adsorbent itself, on the presence of sites available to interact with the pollutants and on the ease of homogeneous dispersity in the aqueous media.

Design Of Experiment (DOE) coupled with the response surface methodology (RSM) has been wildly used in multi-parametric optimization of analytical method conditions (Tarley et al. 2009; Vera Candioti et al. 2014; Ruggieri et al. 2017; Ruggieri et al. 2020) and pollutant removal methods, especially for the optimization of adsorption working conditions (temperature, pH, time) or for the improvement of the synthesized materials (Lingamdinne et al. 2020; Bonetto et al. 2021). Most applications have involved GO-composite materials in order to modify and refine properties for a specific purpose. In detail, Central Composite Design was used to evaluate the adsorption mechanism and the influencing factors in the adsorption process of spilled oil by means of graphene/chitosan nanocomposite (Ghasemi et al. 2020). Tapouk et al. used the same multivariate approach to evaluate the potential, for the endotoxin removal, of GO sheet-L-Arginine nanocomposite (Amini et al. 2020). Three-factors Box-Behnken design was employed to optimise the synthesis
of polymer-based nanocomposite (chitosan-polyethyleneimine-graphene oxide) for simultaneous removal of cationic and anionic heavy metal contaminants (Perez et al. 2017).

In this work, DOE coupled with RSM was chosen to optimize the graphene oxide reduction conditions in order to develop a useful method for the removal of triazine pesticides from the aqueous medium. The rGO material was synthesized from graphene oxide produced in the laboratory, after a mild heat treatment of the pristine GO.

Atrazine was chosen as the organic micropollutant model for the standardised batch adsorption tests and a three-level full factorial design was employed to plan the representative experiments. Eventually, the optimised sorbent material was tested on other triazine models namely Atraton and Prometryn. The idea behind the work was to couple an optimization step to the study of the triazine adsorption onto rGO; this was achieved by testing, according to the three-level full factorial design, graphene oxide derivatives thermally reduced. The objective was to use a simple and environmental friendly sorbent material that was optimised with a minimum number of experiments and in mild conditions, which are easily controllable and do not require an inert atmosphere. As far as our knowledge there are no studies that involve simple rGO films for atrazine adsorption.

Many groups used magnetic graphene oxide-based nanocomposites for the removal of pollutants for sustainable water purification. Zhao et al reported pioneering work on the use of a graphene-based Fe3O4 magnetic nanoparticles as the adsorbent for the magnetic solid-phase extraction of some triazine herbicides in environmental water (Zhao et al. 2011) followed by high performance liquid chromatography.

Boruah et al. used Fe3O4/reduced graphene oxide (rGO) nano composite, which is easily and quickly separated from the aqueous medium using an external magnet for its reuse (Boruah et al. 2017).

Andrade et al. reported composite magnetic nanoparticles embedded into pristine GO sheets as adsorbents for the removal of atrazine, using organic solvent (ethanol) and an ultrasonic process (Andrade et al. 2019).

Zhang et al. prepared a biochar-supported reduced graphene oxide composite for the for the simultaneous removal of atrazine and lead ions (Zhang et al. 2018). The material was synthetised via slow pyrolysis of graphene oxide pre-treated corn straws in a high temperature furnace at 600°C under nitrogen.

Pristine materials usually have lower capability for the removal of organic pollutants compared to hybrid or polymeric composite. Recently, Souza Antônio et al. described, in detail, the adsorption process involving atrazine, as a target substrate, and GO as sorbent material (de Souza Antonio et al. 2020). The study evaluated the changes in the adsorption capacity following the variation of pH, concentration, temperature and dissolved salts. However, it seems that no experimental design was applied, limiting the system understanding when the multivariate nature of the adsorption phenomenon is not considered. Furthermore, no mention is made about the recoverability of the material, which is expected to be recovered by filtration, with all related problems.
Differently from the described studies, in this work the blandest possible conditions have been chosen, the possibility to optimize the adsorption capability of an rGO film (easily recoverable material) was considered. Reduced graphene oxide samples were prepared by mild thermal treatment of GO in laboratory oven, starting from acetone solutions of GO heat treated in air for 18-30 hours at 80-120 °C, to obtain thick films of rGO. Furthermore, the outcomes of the DOE model were exploited to better understand not only the adsorption process but also the effects that the reduction parameters have on the material properties. Even if the percentage of analyte adsorption does not achieve impressive values, the study could be surely a starting point for further works that could involve the optimization of the adsorption conditions.

2 Materials and methods

GO was prepared from graphite flakes with an average particle size of 100 meshes purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (graphite, product N. 332461). Concentrated sulfuric acid (H2SO4, 96%, product N. 30743), sodium nitrate (NaNO3, 99%, product N. 221341), potassium permanganate (KMnO4, >99%, product N. 60458), hydrogen peroxide solution (H2O2, 30%, product N. 95294), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%, product N. 30721), Atrazine (product N. 45330, PESTANAL®), Atraton (product N. 31206, PESTANAL®), Prometryn (product N. 45636, PESTANAL®) and acetonitrile (product N. 34888, HPLC-grade Chromasolv®) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO). All the aqueous solutions were prepared using MilliQ distilled water (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

2.1 GO/rGO preparation and characterization

Graphene oxide was synthesized using a modified Hummers method (Treossi et al. 2009; Iacoboni et al. 2019). Graphite (5 g), and sodium nitrate (3.8 g) were placed into a beaker in a salt/ice bath. Subsequently, 375 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid was added. The reaction mixture was kept in continuous agitation by a mechanical stirrer. After the mixture has become homogeneous, 25 g of potassium permanganate were slowly added. The solution was kept under stirring for 5 days at room temperature. After 5 days a 5% H2SO4 aqueous solution (700 ml) was poured through a funnel and H2O2 (30 wt%) was added drop by drop to remove the potassium permanganate and the suspension was thus stirred for another 2 hr. In order to obtain a clean product, the mixture was diluted with 5% H2SO4 (2 l) and left to settle for 1 day. Inorganic impurities were removed through successive centrifuges, after removing the supernatant. The solid part was washed/centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min with a 5% aqueous solution of H2SO4 and H2O2 at 0.3% (12 times), then 4% HCl (3 times), deionized water (8 times), and finally MilliQ water (2 times), removing the supernatant after each passage. The pH of the dispersion was monitored until it reached 6–7. Finally, the GO is transferred to acetone and dried at 50 °C for 24 hours, obtaining 4.8 g of powder.

Reduced Graphene Oxide samples (rGO) were prepared by thermal treatment of GO in laboratory oven. Acetone solutions of GO were heat treated in air for 18-30 hours at 80-120 °C (see Discussion for details), to obtain thick films of rGO.
GO and rGO were fully characterized by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis.

Surface topography was studied by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM, Leo 1530 Gemini). The images were acquired with an acceleration voltage of the electron beam, E.H.T. = 10 KV, at different magnifications. The GO sample for the SEM was prepared by spin coating a very dilute aqueous solution (0.2 mg/ml, volume of 50 μl) of the material on a silicon substrate. The rGO film was deposited by drop casting a dispersion of the material in water on the Si substrate.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was made by a Panalytical X Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer on dry and pulverized materials.

The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of GO/rGO were recorded on a FT-IR spectrometer (Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer Spectrum Two) equipped with reflectance module (ATR). The samples were analysed directly in the form of films.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were collected in ultra-high vacuum (UHV) conditions with a PHI 1257 spectrometer, equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα source (hν = 1486.6 eV) with a pass energy of 11.75 eV, corresponding to an experimental resolution of 0.25 eV. The acquired XPS spectra have been fitted with Voigt line shapes and Shirley backgrounds. The GO/rGO samples for the XPS were prepared by drop casting a dilute aqueous solution (1.0 mg / ml, volume of 50 μl) of the material on a gold substrate.

BET isotherm adsorption measurements were performed by a nitrogen porosimeter (Quantachrome Instrument, 2008). The device is controlled by the NOVA Series Windows®-Based Operating and Data Analysis Software. The measurements were performed on dry and pulverized materials.

2.2 Chromatographic analysis

The analysis of the triazines was carried out by using an HPLC apparatus consisting of a controller pump Waters 600 equipped with on-line degasser Agilent Technologies 1220 series (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany), an autosampler Water 717 plus, a Security Guard Ultra Cartridge UHPLC C18 precolumn (4.6 mm id) from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA, USA), a Kinetex XB-C18 (Phenomenex) column (250 mm length, 4.6 mm id, 5 μm particle size) and a 996 photodiode array detector (Waters). The working wavelengths for quantitative analysis of each analyte were 220 nm. The elution was performed at room temperature, constant flowrate (1 ml/min) and isocratic conditions using a mixture (35:65, v/v) of water and acetonitrile. The chromatographic apparatus was controlled by Empower software (Waters). The analysed solutions were filtered by HPLC filters Whatman Spartan13/02 RC.

2.3 Adsorption experiments
The batch triazines adsorption experiments were carried out at room temperature under shaker conditions. Ten milligrams of rGO film were placed in contact with 10 ml of ultrapure water, in screw-cap glass vials, containing a single triazine. Sorption isotherm experiments were conducted with seven initial pesticide concentrations (0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10, 20, and 50 μg/ml). The point at the concentration of 10 μg/ml was repeated in triplicate. The vials of the nine samples containing different concentrations of pesticide were simultaneously placed on orbital shaker at 300 rpm in the dark for 1h. After reaching equilibrium, 1 ml of solution was collected, filtered with 0.2 μm PTFE filters (PHENEX, Phenomenex) and placed in HPLC vials to determine the equilibrium concentration (C_{eq}). Preliminary kinetic tests were achieved, and equilibrium was assumed when no further change in pesticide uptake was observed. Based on these experiments 1 h contact time was sufficient to reach equilibrium.

The adsorption data can be understood using several approaches. The models usually applied are the Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms (Freundlich 1906; Langmuir 1916). The Freundlich isotherm [Equation (1)], is generally used to model the removal of hydrophobic organic pollutants from water. It is an empirical equation used to define the uptake of adsorbate occurring on a heterogeneous surface by multilayer adsorption:

\[ q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n} \]  

where \( q_e \) is the equilibrium adsorbate concentration onto the adsorbent, \( C_e \) is the adsorbate equilibrium concentration in the solvent, \( K_F \) (Freundlich constant) indicates the multilayer adsorption capacity of adsorbent and \( 1/n \) measures the adsorption intensity or surface heterogeneity of the adsorbent. It becomes more heterogeneous as gets closer to zero, and homogeneous if this value approach to one (D’Archivio et al. 2009; Berhane et al. 2017; Nodeh et al. 2019). The amount of analytes adsorbed onto the adsorbent after equilibration [Equation (2)] was established by mass balance of the process at equilibrium condition:

\[ q_e = V \frac{(C_0 - C_e)}{m} \]  

where \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration, \( m \) is the mass of adsorbent and \( V \) is the solution volume. The Langmuir model [Equation (3)] assumes uniform energy sites on the adsorbent surface and is defined by the following relationship:

\[ q_e = \frac{q_{max} K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} \]  

where \( q_{max} \) is the limiting amount of adsorbate per unit of adsorbent required for a monolayer coverage of adsorbent surface and KL, the Langmuir adsorption constant is a binding constant related to the free energy of sorption. The reciprocal value of KL corresponds to the concentration in the liquid phase at which half of the maximum adsorption capacity of the adsorbent is reached. The isotherm adsorption data can be described in the following linear forms of Freundlich [Equation (4)] and Langmuir [Equation (5)] models, respectively:

\[ \log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \]
\[
\frac{c_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}}K_L} + \frac{c_e}{q_{\text{max}}} \tag{5}
\]

thus, the model parameters in both cases can be easily obtained from least-square linear regression of the experimental data.

### 2.4 Response surface methodology

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a chemometric tool commonly used to graphically identify an optimum, that is the point (maximum or minimum in the experimental domain) at which the combination of the experimental variables results in the best response (Lundstedt et al. 1998). For optimization purpose, it is crucial to plan the experiments according to an appropriate experimental design to well describe the curvature of the quadratic model. A three-level full factorial design is frequently coupled with RSM since it ensures acceptable reliability in estimating individual and combined effects of the independent variables on the response (Vander Heyden et al. 2001). Thus, the relationship between the response and the factors can be well approximated, in the limited domain, by a second-order polynomial function [Equation (6)]:

\[
Y = a_0 + a_{10}X_1 + a_{20}X_2 + a_{11}X_1X_2 + a_{12}X_1^2 + a_{21}X_2^2 \tag{6}
\]

where \( Y \) is the response, \( X_i \) the experimental variables and \( a_i \) the regression coefficients. Determining the model coefficients by ordinary least squares regression, the value of the response \( Y \) can be computed in each point of the explored domain and can be plotted in a three-dimensional Response Surface, providing easier exploitation of the interesting information. The RSM and the three-level full factorial design were applied to assess the influence of the Temperature (T) and the time (t) of the thermal treatment, performed for GO reduction, on the rGO film adsorption efficiency.

Factors and levels were defined considering previous knowledge and preliminary outcomes. The experiments, reported in Table 01, were performed in random order and consisted of the nine best variable combinations and one replicate in the central point. The optimal experimental condition was selected maximizing the adsorption percentage resulting from standardized batch adsorption experiments, which were carried out keeping constant the amount of rGO, the triazine and its concentration (10 mg of rGO in 10 ml of atrazine aqueous solution at a concentration of 5 mg/ml).

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to statistically identify the influencing factors, to evaluate the significance of the model and the lack-of-fit. The determination coefficient, the related adjusted value (\( R^2 \) and \( R^2_{\text{adj}} \)) as well as the coefficient of determination in a leave-one-out cross-validation procedure were instead used to assess model adequacy and generalization level. The statistical analysis was performed using the R-based free software “Chemometric Agile Tool” (CAT, Chemometric Agile Tool, Leardi, R. et al 2019; http://gruppochemiometria.it/index.php/software).

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Preparation and characterization of the sorbents
This work was carried out starting from a graphene oxide produced by us in the laboratory, following a protocol already extensively studied in the literature (Treossi et al. 2009) and already described in our other works. Since the starting material used is not a commercial product, we have reported the characterization of the graphene oxide from which we started (see the Support Information), and the chemical characterization of the material itself.

In the Supporting Information we reported the GO characterization by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

For SEM images, the starting aqueous GO solution at a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml was spin coated on a silicon substrate, and showed a typical dispersion of graphene oxide sheets (Figure S01a-b), constituted of mono and multilayers, whose lateral dimensions ranged from 100 nm up to 100 μm. The GO showed the presence of some characteristic folds and ripples.

The GO FT infrared spectrum showed in Supporting Information (Figure S02) evidenced the -OH stretching vibration at about 3420 cm⁻¹. The vibrational bands at 2923 cm⁻¹ and 2854 cm⁻¹ are attributed to -CH₂. The absorption band at 1725 cm⁻¹ corresponds to stretching vibrations of C=O from carbonyl or conjugated carbonyl groups. The absorption peak at 1620 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the C=C (aromatics) stretching. The absorption peaks at about 1423 cm⁻¹, 1225 cm⁻¹ and 1060 cm⁻¹ are assigned to -OH from carboxyl, C-O-C from epoxy or ether and C-O from alkoxy, respectively. These results are in agreement with the literature (Iacoboni et al. 2019).

In Figure S03 we showed the XPS survey of the graphene oxide (A) and the area corresponding to the C 1s signal (B), from which we can observe the presence of a high percentage of oxygenated groups in the GO. From XPS analysis we calculated the C/O ratio that was 1.99. The relative area percentage for C-C, C-O and C=O were 44.0, 51.5, 4.5 (as reported in Table 02), which confirms the presence of a high number of oxygenated groups in the starting sample. Going into detail, we can see the contributions of the hydroxyl and epoxy groups on the carbonaceous skeleton, which make the peak relative to the C-O very intense. The XPS C 1s core level spectra are displayed in Figure S03-B. The spectrum was fitted by the sum of three components assigned to C atoms belonging to: aromatic rings and hydrogenated carbon (C=C/C-C, 284.8 eV), hydroxyl and epoxy groups (C-O/C-O-C, 286.9 eV) and carbonyl groups (C=O, 288.2 eV). The relative abundances of each component of the C 1s spectra are: C=C/C-C 44.7%, C-O/C-O-C 47.7%, C=O 7.6%. The resulting quantitative estimate of the C/O concentration ratio is 1.99, showing a high degree of oxidation of the material (this ratio varies according to the synthetic procedure followed, and the oxidizing system chosen).

The presence of a well oxidized starting material can influence the subsequent thermal reduction that has been chosen. In this work we decided to work in mild reduction conditions, using a simple laboratory oven and carrying out the reduction in air. The choice of such simple and easily replicable conditions in any laboratory, without having to use more
sophisticated and expensive equipment, was made with the aim of being able to easily obtain a reduced material that does not disperse in the aqueous phase and could therefore be easily separated from the solution and recovered.

When working in these mild conditions it is important to have an indication of the degree of oxidation of the starting material, because it has already been seen in the literature that by submitting graphene oxide to reduction in air, up to 100-120 °C extreme degradation of the material and a loss of carbon as amorphous or carbon dioxide are not expected, processes that occur at higher temperatures (Perrozzi et al. 2014).

From studies previously carried out on samples reduced in mild conditions it was found that at 80-120 °C the thermal reduction of a graphene oxide layer led to the loss of water of hydration and of the more labile groups present on the graphene skeleton, i.e. the epoxy groups (Catanesi et al. 2018).

In this work, the adsorption of atrazine was carried out starting from the pristine GO material and on some of its thermally reduced derivatives at a temperature between 80 and 120 °C, and considering a reduction time varying between 18 and 30 hours total.

To achieve the maximum adsorption capacity, the best reduction conditions were determined to obtain an optimal sorbent. An experimental multivariate design with two independent variables, time (t) and temperature (T), was used. For each independent variable, three different levels were considered. Each sample was used to evaluate the different adsorption capacity through batch tests. Response surface methodology (RSM) was used for the optimizations of experimental variables.

Before going into the details of the methodology chosen, we asked ourselves which form of the solid material was the most suitable in our case. Indeed, the synthesized GO and rGO could be used in two different 3D forms with different chemical-physical properties: the thick film and the sponge. To obtain the film, the graphenic material was placed in a crystallizer with acetone and dried. The sponge, on the other hand, was obtained after a freeze-drying process which allows the elimination of water from an iced water solution by sublimation. The sample was frozen at a temperature of about -20 °C and brought to low pressure through a rotary pump. As the temperature of the sample increased, the ice was sublimed, obtaining a three-dimensional sponge. Both processes were simple, with the least possible deterioration of the structure and components of the substance itself.

As already mentioned, the materials have very different chemical-physical and structural characteristics. The three-dimensional sponge has a higher adsorption capacity but with a high contact time, it is easily dispersed in an aqueous solution. The thick film shows a lower adsorption capacity than the sponge, but allows easy recovery, as it does not disperse in solution. In Figure 1 the two forms are shown. An experimental evidence of the material reduction can be seen in Figure 1, where the colour change of the material reduced from a pale brown (GO sponge) into dark black is evident (rGO film).
Preliminary adsorption tests were conducted initially using an aqueous solution of pesticides and graphene oxide. Due to its poor hydrophobicity, the total solubilization of graphene oxide in aqueous solution has occurred, both in the form of thick film and sponge, simultaneously showing the poor adsorption capacity against pesticides. Subsequently, the material was thermally reduced (rGO) increasing its hydrophobicity and adsorption capacity.

The two forms of rGO, sponge and film, were therefore compared. For reasons related to a possible recovery of the material, the rGO thick film was chosen. In fact, the rGO sponge still showed a redispersion behaviour in the aqueous phase, effectively preventing the separation of it from the aqueous phase.

The reduction of graphene oxide, previously synthesized, took place thermally in the air. The GO samples were dispersed in acetone, placed in a petri dish and heat treated in air for 18-24-30 hours in a laboratory oven, to obtain a well-adhered uniform film of reduced GO (rGO). The reduction temperature was chosen between 80 and 120 °C, and subsequently the samples were used for preliminary measurements of adsorption with atrazine, optimizing the conditions by means of an experimental design.

DOE-RSM was employed to evaluate the influence of temperature and time and their combined effects on the adsorption efficiency of a thermally reduced GO film. The selected DOE consists of three levels for both Temperature (80, 100 and 120 °C) and time (18, 24 and 30 h); the resulting experimental data were regressed with the reported equation [Equation (7)] providing the following model:

\[
\%\text{abs} = 44 (\pm 2) + 4.7(\pm 1.3) T^* + 4.3(\pm 1.3) t^* -2.5 (\pm 1.6) Tt -2 (\pm 2) T^2 -11 (\pm 2) t^2**
\]  

(7)

where the standard deviations of the coefficients are given in parenthesis.

All the linear terms show relevant effects (significance level of 5%) whereas, except for \( t^2 \), the other quadratic terms are not significant. The observed and the computed response values (%abs), for each point of the DOE, are displayed in Table 01: a good agreement is demonstrated between the calculated % abs values and the experimental data with residuals randomly distributed. The surface model exhibits a satisfactory descriptive and predictive performance as witnessed by the determination coefficient \( R^2 (0.963) \), the related adjusted value (0.857) and the determination coefficient in leave-one-out cross-validation (0.793). Moreover, the reported results of the ANOVA (Table 01) reveal that the surface model is highly significant, according to the p-value<0.05, and that well fits the experimental data, since the lack-of-fit p-value is greater than the significance level of 5%.
Figure 02 displays the response surface and the related iso-response plot. It can be noted that the maximum response computed by the model does not exceed the 46% of adsorption and that no improvement can be achieved by working in a temperature range between 110 and 120°C when the time is fixed at 24h. Since the maximum is at the extremity of the experimental domain and that no improvement is achieved by moving from 110°C to 120°C it was chosen to work under the mildest possible conditions. Accordingly, the thermal reduction was conducted with the following optimal working conditions: T = 110°C, t = 24h. Furthermore, by integrating the DOE-RSM model outcomes and the information provided by the characterization of the optimal rGO film, a better understanding of the system involved in the adsorption process could be obtained. DOE-RSM allows to identify the influencing factors and to evaluate the effect of the process parameters on the rGO film adsorption ability. In this respect, a direct interpretation of the effect of temperature can be done since the interaction term (Tt) and the quadratic one have resulted statistically not significant. In detail, an improvement of the %abs can be obtained by increasing the temperature from 80° to 120° C with an averaged effect on the response of near ten percentage points.

In general, the adsorption of organic pollutants is increased with the reduction of the GO in which the functional groups containing oxygen are more limited and there is an abundant sp$^2$ structure which strengthens the p - p interactions (Pei et al. 2013).

On the other hand, a quadratic trend can be confirmed as regards the time dependence of the response, with the maximum pinpointed at t = 24 h. After 24 hours of thermal treatment, the product reached the maximum efficiency of the mild reduction, which mainly concerned the more labile oxygenated groups present on the skeleton of the graphenic material,
that is the epoxides and hydroxides, as confirmed by the FTIR analysis in which it is seen the decrease of the characteristic peaks. The aromatic skeleton as well as the carboxyl, carbonyl, epoxy and hydroxy groups on rGO were the major sites of adsorption, and there are interactions and hydrogen bond interactions of rGO with atrazine.

| Parameters     | Value ±SD | R²   | Adj-R² | Q²  |
|----------------|-----------|------|--------|-----|
| intercept      | 44 ± 2    |      |        |     |
| *X₁            | 4.7± 1.3  |      |        |     |
| *X₂            | 4.3± 1.3  | 0.936| 0.857  | 0.793|
| X₁X₂           | -2.5 ± 1.6|      |        |     |
| X₁²            | -2 ± 2    |      |        |     |
| **X₂²          | -1.1± 2   |      |        |     |

Table 01 Model adsorption parameters and analysis of variance

| Variation source | Sum of Squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean Square | F-value | p-value |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Lack of fit      | 35            | 3                  | 11.7        | 2.4     | 0.43    |
| Pure error       | 4.8           | 1                  | 4.8         |         |         |
| Model            | 589.4         | 5                  | 117.9       | 11.8    | 0.02    |
| Residual         | 39.8          | 4                  | 9.9         |         |         |

Table 01 shows the determination coefficients (R², Adj-R², Q²), the model parameters with the corresponding significance level expressed by stars (*p<0.05, **p>0.01), the regression coefficients with the related standard deviation (SD) and the Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA).

3.2 Characterization of reduced Graphene Oxide (rGO) at 110°C

From the response surface obtained, the optimal reduction conditions of the material were deduced which are 110 °C for a time of 24h. rGO samples were fully characterized by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area method.

All the reduced materials have been characterized but only the characterizations relating to the reduced material at 110 °C for a time of 24 hours are reported.

Surface topography of the rGO film showed homogeneous morphology, as evidenced in the Figure 03 a-c, where has been reported the rGO film reduced at 110 °C. In the enlarged images on the right (b-c) you can see some wrinkles and folds on the surface of rGO films.
The XRD patterns of GO shown in Figure 03-d (black line) reveal a GO diffraction peak at 2θ = 11.1°, which implies an interplanar space of about 0.81 nm (calculated by Bragg equation), corresponding at the reflection plane (001). In the rGO sample the GO signal is almost completely absent and a broader signal at 2θ = 23.9° is due to the presence of a graphitic skeleton (graphite XRD pattern shows a single, very intense and sharp peak at 26.8°) obtained after thermal reduction of the oxygenated functional groups on the graphene oxide sheets. The peak becomes wider and less intense and the interplanar space of rGO is reduced to 0.35 nm.

The Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) analysis was performed in the range of wavenumbers of 4000-400 cm\(^{-1}\) for the identification of functional groups. Analysing the rGO spectra in comparison with the starting GO we observed the presence of different absorption peaks, according to the spectra reported in the literature (Figure 03-e). In the 110°C reduced GO spectrum, we saw that the absorption peaks at 1423 cm\(^{-1}\) (-OH stretching vibrations from carboxyl) in the curve of GO disappeared, and the relative intensity of C-O-C peak at 1225 cm\(^{-1}\) and C-O at 1060 cm\(^{-1}\) were lowered, the mild reduction of the sample.

FTIR analysis confirms the occurrence of the reduction process, which was not much efficient at those heating temperature conditions, leaving the most of oxygenated functionality on the graphenic skeleton.
Figure 03 a-c) SEM images of rGO film reduced at 110 °C; d) XRD patterns of GO (black line) and rGO film reduced at 110 °C (red line); e) FTIR spectra of GO and reduced GO at 110 °C; XPS survey of rGO at 110 °C (f) and C1s region (g); BET isotherm (h) for GO (black square) and rGO (red circle) and (i) BJH pores average volume and diameter for GO (black line) and rGO (red line)

XPS was employed to study the chemical states of the prepared GO and rGO film. From XPS survey spectra (Figure 03-f) we calculated the total content (%) of C1s and O1s peaks, and the C/O ratio calculated was respectively 1.99 for GO and 2.51 for reduced GO at 110 °C respectively (see Table 02). In the 110 °C reduced rGO we found an increasing in the C/O ratio, as expected for reduced samples, due preferably to cleavage of C-O-C bond in the epoxy groups and C(=O)-OH from carboxyl.
### Table 02

|        | C 1s (%) | O 1s (%) | C/O ratio |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------|
| GO     | 64.6     | 32.4     | 1.99      |
| rGO @ 110°C | 68.7   | 27.4     | 2.51      |

#### C 1s fitting

| Relative area percentage (%) | C-C | C-O | C=O |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| GO                           | 54.9| 34.3| 10.8|
| rGO @ 110°C                  | 57.4| 32.1| 10.5|

In Figure 03-g are reported the C 1s comparison spectra of the samples. The C 1s core level spectra were fitted by summing three components assigned respectively to aromatic sp2 carbon (C-C), epoxy and hydroxyl groups (C-O) and carbonyl and carboxyl groups (C=O). From the analysis of deconvoluted peaks, we noticed an increase from 54.9% to 57.4% of the C-C contribution, while the C-O signal decrease from 34.3% to 32.1% in the 110 °C reduced sample. For the rGO the peak contributes at about 284.5 eV relative to C-C signal increased while the peak at about 286.5 eV (C-O bond) becomes broader and reduced in intensity. This confirms the (partly) reduction of graphene oxide to graphene-like sheets by removing the oxygen-containing groups with the recovery of a conjugated structure. The peak relative to the C=O double bond is superimposed on the peak relative to the C-O signal, and its contribution is difficult to deconvolve.

In table 02 are shown, in details, the relative percentage of deconvoluted C 1s peaks contribute to the GO and rGO samples.

Through the adsorption of nitrogen gas it was possible to evaluate the adsorption capacity, the surface area and verify the presence and size of the pores in the rGO film. The study of the specific volume of adsorbed nitrogen allows to determine the specific surface area of the materials, the specific volume and the diameter of the pores. The specific surface of a solid is the surface area per unit of mass and is expressed in m²/g and is determined with the Brauner, Emmet and Teller equation, or more simply BET method.

In Figure 03-h it is shown the GO and rGO adsorption and desorption isotherm, in which the presence of a moderate hysteresis phenomenon is visible, more evident for GO. The isotherm has a convex shape, classified as III type, representative of weak adsorbent-adsorbate interactions. A classification of pores is given by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) which classifies them according to their size and defines: micropores with a width below 2 nm, mesopores with a width between 2 and 50 nm and macropores with a width greater than 50 nm.
Mesopores with an average diameter of 3 nm (30 Å) were calculated, and loop of H3 type are found in both materials (GO and rGO), mostly associated with the pore shape of solids consisting of aggregated non-rigid plate-like particles (Bardestani, Patience, and Kaliaguine 2019).

The hysteresis loop can be explained by the fact that since it is a thick film obtained by evaporation of the solvent, therefore of a not real porous material, the channels may not be completely open, this implies a different path of the gas between the adsorption and desorption phase. The measured specific surface area of the rGO sample was approximately 30 m$^2$/g, while for GO was approximately 8 m$^2$/g.

The specific surface area of the reduced samples is lower than the theoretical monolayer graphene oxide reported in literature which ranged from 2-1000 m$^2$/g (Zhang et al. 2020), potentially due to the aggregation of the graphenic sheets which can cause their partial overlap and coalescence, especially the smaller ones, lowering the surface area of the material.

However, the presence of a crumpled three-dimensional structure of the sheets still leaves many exposed surface areas.

With the BJH numerical integration method (Barrett, Joyner, Halenda) the pores average volume and the average diameter were assessed both in the adsorption phase and in the desorption phase (Figure 03-i). From the data obtained, mesopores with an average diameter of 3 nm (30 Å) and an average volume of 0.023 cm$^3$/g are found both in the adsorption phase and in the desorption phase of rGO.

### 3.3 Adsorption isotherm

The adsorption of the triazines on the rGO film is studied using the linear form of Freundlich and Langmuir models (equations 4 and 5). Figure 04-a displays the observed adsorption equilibrium data on rGO, fitted with the Langmuir model, while Fig. 04-b shows the data of three herbicides interpolated with the Freundlich model.
Adsorption isotherm plots described according to the linearized adsorption models of Langmuir (a) and Freundlich (b) and reported for all the involved triazine.

The adsorption parameters obtained by applying both models to each of the examined herbicide and the determination coefficients ($R^2$) of the linear fits are summarized in Table 03.

### Langmuir Model

| Pesticide | $q_{\text{max}}$ (mg/g) ± $SE$ | $K_L$ (L/mg) ± $SE$ | $R^2$ |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Atrazine  | 4.7 ± 0.2                     | 0.21 ± 0.05         | 0.993  |
| Atraton   | 22 ± 3                        | 0.09 ± 0.03         | 0.919  |
| Prometrin | 20 ± 3                        | 0.07 ± 0.03         | 0.916  |

### Freundlich Model

|          | $1/n$ (mg/g)($L/g)^{1/n}$ ± $SE$ | $K_F$ (mg/g)($L/g)^{1/n}$ ± $SE$ | $R^2$ |
|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Atrazine | 2.4 ± 0.3                        | 0.99 ± 0.06                     | 0.915  |
| Atraton  | 1.60 ± 0.07                      | 1.89 ± 0.03                     | 0.990  |
| Prometrin| 2.3 ± 0.3                        | 2.57 ± 0.06                     | 0.951  |

**Table 03** Adsorption parameters computed following the linearized Langmuir ($q_{\text{max}}; K_L$) and Freundlich ($1/n; K_F$) models, their respective Standard Errors (SE) and determination coefficients ($R^2$) are reported and computed for each of the investigated triazine.

The values show that the Freundlich equation fitted the adsorption data better than the Langmuir one, but the Langmuir model also fits well especially regarding Atrazine, as evidenced by the high $R^2$. In particular, the goodness of fit of the Freundlich model is evidenced by the $R^2$ values varying between 0.915 for adsorption of the atrazine and 0.990 for adsorption of the Atraton. The agreement between the experimental data and those of the model is also confirmed by the
small uncertainties calculated on the parameters 1/n and $K_F$ (Table 03). These findings thus effectively demonstrate the heterogeneous enrichment of the triazines on the rGO edges and a multilayer adsorption on the surface of rGO. In the Freundlich models the values of the parameter $n$ are more than 1, this fact indicates that the adsorption process is favourable. The observed trends are characterised by an increase in the quantity adsorbed as concentration rise. The tendency to reach saturation can be understood as a measure of the maximum adsorbing capacity of the material. Furthermore, the values of $q_{\text{max}}$ reported in Table 03 show that Atraton is adsorbed more than Prometryn and Atrazine. Atraton, with its methoxy group, may have a greater affinity towards the rGO film, hydrogen bonds could be established with the groups present in the material and interactions $\pi-\pi$. Prometryn, despite being the most apolar pesticide, shows a significant affinity towards the material. The presence of sulphur atoms in Prometryn could promote the formation of hydrogen bonds with the rGO film. Comparing the data reported in Table 03 it can be noted that the constant $K_F$ assumes the highest value in the case of Prometryn, which is the most apolar among the studied pesticides. The good adsorption capacity can be due to the strong interactions such as hydrogen bonding, electrostatic attraction, and even $\pi-\pi$ interactions. The Freundlich model is the one that best interpolates the experimental data in cases of adsorption on heterogeneous surfaces, consisting of points in which the heat of adsorption is reduced exponentially with the degree of coverage. In the Freundlich model, the relative quantities adsorbed at the maximum concentration (50 mg/l) are 4.4 mg/g for Atrazine, 19.4 mg/g for Atraton and 18.4 mg/g for Prometryn which are in good agreement with the $q_{\text{max}}$ measured in the Langmuir isotherms (respectively 4.7, 22.0 and 20.6 mg/g).

Usually, the adsorption of analogous compounds follows the trend predicted by the Lundelius rule, which establishes a general criterion in which a compound is less adsorbable the higher its solubility in the solvent. This can be explained by considering that the higher the solubility, the stronger the solute-solvent bond and, therefore, the lower the adsorption capacity. In our case, however, we find an inverse order of adsorption of the triazines, since Atraton is more adsorbed than Prometryn while Atrazine is the least adsorbed. The solubility of atrazine is the lowest among the compounds studied, and is equal to 33 ppm at 27 °C. In our case we attribute this behaviour to the fact that the adsorbent material still contains a high number of oxygenated sites on its skeleton that can form hydrogen bonds with the analytes. But analysing the structure of the three triazines, it is evident that the triazine ring is common to the three analytes, and forms hydrogen bonds with the rGO, as well as interactions with the aromatic residual graphenic skeleton. The substituent group on the triazine ring of Atrazine is a chlorine, which cannot form hydrogen bonds with the adsorbent, while in the case of Atraton and Prometryn both oxygen and sulphur atoms have the potential to form H bonds. This could explain the reversed behaviour of the three triazine derivatives.
Compared to the adsorption values of triazines in the literature (Zhang et al. 2015; Boruah et al. 2017), our values are slightly lower, but this work is a promising starting point that can help find a valid strategy even with pollutants having different chemical characteristics with respect to the triazine family.

4 Conclusions

Graphene and its derivatives have shown excellent performance for environmental applications due to their excellent adsorption capacity. The key surface properties which influence the adsorption on graphene derivatives are surface area, interactions and hydrogen bonding. The reduced graphene oxide adsorption capacity depends on the surface properties of the adsorbent itself, on the presence of sites available to interact with the pollutants (H bond and interaction). The reduction process used still leave many oxygen-containing functional groups on the rGO, but also a π-delocalized electron system that results in a good affinity for aromatic pollutants. This was confirmed by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), where the presence of the characteristic signals of the epoxy and hydroxyl groups is confirmed, despite the slight reduction it has undergone. Those groups, together with the amine pendants present on the triazine rings, may still allow favourable adsorption of the pollutants through hydrogen bonding interactions. Electrostatic interactions between the amino groups of the pesticide and the oxygen containing functionalities of the rGO contributed maximum for adsorption.

A dispersion of the pristine material shows an ultra-high specific surface area but no porosity, but a revolutionary improvement in the adsorption effectiveness of graphenic materials can be achieved by introducing porosity, creating 3D structures by freeze-drying or by forming thick films by evaporation of the solvent. Moreover, the use of thick films, rather than the simple graphene material dispersed in solution, and at the same time the reduction of GO nanosheets allows the recovery of the adsorbent material after carrying out the adsorption tests.

The coupling of a response surface to an experimental design in which different parameters and different chemical-physical properties of graphenic materials can be introduced is an original and very versatile approach. From the response surface obtained, the optimal reduction conditions of the material were the reduction at a temperature of 110 °C for a time of 24 hours.

It is possible to further optimize the adsorbent material according to the same analytes or to apply the same strategy to study the adsorption of different pollutants. The advantage is in terms of time and experimental tests, since with the strategy just described it is possible to carry out a minimum of preliminary tests to optimize the response surface. This also translates into economic savings, as less adsorbent material is consumed.

The Freundlich model fitted best the experimental data. The strength of adsorption of triazines followed the order: Atraton > Prometryn > Atrazine. Strong electron donating abilities of O, S and N atoms and bonding networks in the phenyl rings aided the adsorption.
The adsorption yield is not the highest possible, but the graphenic material is a versatile platform, and can also provide for subsequent chemical functionalization, by means of well-known synthetic strategies. This preliminary work can be used to further optimize graphenic materials, choosing which conditions may be the best for the adsorption of different analytes, and preparing the respective GO derivatives that best respond to the adsorption characteristics of the pollutants. By introducing functional groups that modify the surface charge of the material itself we expected an improvement in adsorption capacity of sorbent material.
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Figure caption

**Figure 01** Triazines used in this work: a) Atrazine, b) Atraton and c) Prometryn; Graphenic materials used as sorbent: d) pristine GO sponge obtained by freeze drying the aqueous dispersion and e) rGO film obtained by simple evaporation of the solvent in a petri dish.

**Figure 02** Surface plot a) and related contour plot b) of the percentage of adsorbed atrazine as a function of the reduction process parameters (temperature and time).

**Figure 03** a-c) SEM images of rGO film reduced at 110 °C; d) XRD patterns of GO (black line) and rGO film reduced at 110 °C (red line); e) FTIR spectra of GO and reduced GO at 110 °C; XPS survey of rGO at 110 °C (f) and C1s region (g); BET isotherm (h) for GO (black square) and rGO (red circle) and (i) BJH pores average volume and diameter for GO (black line) and rGO (red line)
Figure 04 Adsorption isotherm plots described according to the linearized adsorption models of Langmuir (a) and Freundlich (b) and reported for all the involved triazine.
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Figure 2

Surface plot a) and related contour plot b) of the percentage of adsorbed atrazine as a function of the reduction process parameters (temperature and time).
Figure 3

a-c) SEM images of rGO film reduced at 110 °C; d) XRD patterns of GO (black line) and rGO film reduced at 110 °C (red line); e) FTIR spectra of GO and reduced GO at 110 °C; XPS survey of rGO at 110 °C (f) and C1s region (g); BET isotherm (h) for GO (black square) and rGO (red circle) and (i) BJH pores average volume and diameter for GO (black line) and rGO (red line)
Figure 4

Adsorption isotherm plots described according to the linearized adsorption models of Langmuir (a) and Freundlich (b) and reported for all the involved triazine.
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