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Abstract This article examines the influence of organizational culture on the organizational commitment and job satisfaction of teachers in the context of Catholic higher education institutions in the Philippines. Results show that clan is the most dominant culture type of Catholic HEIs in the Philippines. In addition, teachers are highly committed to their organization and satisfied to their job. Furthermore, among the four culture types, clan has a high positive influence on the organizational commitment of teachers and has a slightly positive influence on their job satisfaction. Implications and directions for future research are also discussed.
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1. Introduction

Through the years, human resources are considered as the most important assets in any organization. Human resources are the moving force of an organization and harnessing their capabilities will contribute much in bringing about quality and productivity (Tindowen, 2019; Wright & McMahan, 2011; Ployhart & Molterno, 2011). Because persons are vital in organizations, it is then necessary that top level management should treat them as the utmost priority.

This is also true in the educational setting (Secundo, Margherita, Elia, & Passiante, 2010; Raj Adhikari, 2010). Teachers play a very important role in the success and sustainability of the organization (Wiesner & Yuniarti, 2018; Byun & Kim, 2011; Lane & McAndrew, 2010) especially in the delivery of quality instruction and education to the students (Calderon, Slavin, & Sanchez, 2011; Thoonen, Sleegers, Oort, Peetsma, & Geijsel, 2011). Hence, educational administrators should see to it that their teachers are satisfied to their profession and committed to the organization. In fact, a substantial number of literature and studies had proven that employees who are satisfied on their jobs manifest loyalty to the organization (Hoyt, 2012; Salehi & Gholtash, 2011; Lim, 2010; Gokce, 2013). In fact, they also tend to perform well and work well for the love of their work. Employees with high job satisfaction level are related to a pro-social behavior that is, having a helpful behavior to customers, co-workers and supervisors to the benefit of the employees and their organization (Thomas, 2010; Fatima, Amiraa, & Halim, 2011). On the other hand, dissatisfied employees with negative behavior lead to poor service, destructive rumors, and even theft which can lead to employees’ absenteeism and fast turnover (Malik, Nawab, Naeem, & Danish, 2010; Mawoli & Babandako, 2011). With these, organizational commitment and job satisfaction are considered integral part of the organization in order to maintain quality, development, and sustainability. Hence, these two indicators should not be underestimated by educational administrators and supervisors.

However, despite numerous studies conducted, looking into the organizational commitment and job satisfaction of teachers in their organization, still the results are confusing (Nagar, 2012; Nawab & Bhatti, 2011; Awang, Ahmad, & Zin, 2010; Yucel & Bektas, 2012). Moreover, majority of the studies conducted focused on the teachers of primary and secondary teachers (Naderi Anari, 2012; Cerit, 2010; Hulpia, Devos, & Rosseel, 2009; Canrinus, Helmis-Lorenz,
Beijaard, Buitink, & Holfman, 2012). In addition, studies conducted on Higher Education institutions were focused on teachers in government and public owned institutions (Rose, Kumar, & Pak, 2009; Perrachione, Petersen, & Rosser, 2008; Okpara & Wynn, 2008). Finally, studies on organizational commitment and job satisfaction of college teachers were limited and available on developing countries (Moynihan & Pandey, 2007; Chan, Lau, Nie, Lim, & Hogan, 2008) such as the Philippines (Brown & Sageant, 2007; Ereno & Nunez, 2014) were a huge gap can be manifested in which public and government owned school are at par with private educational institutions in terms of security and tenure, compensation and benefits, and workloads and conditions (Yamauchi, 2005).

Meanwhile, among the different indicators of organizational behaviors in the schools such as organizational commitment and job satisfaction, one important indicator is also considered that may have a profound influence on teachers’ work behavior which is organizational culture (Lindahl, 2006; Sarros, Cooper, & Santora, 2008). Organizational culture comprises the attitudes, experiences, beliefs and values of an organization which are shared by people and groups in the organization and that control the way they interact with each other and with stakeholders outside the organization (Cameron & Quinn, 1999; Hartnell, Ou, & Kinicki, 2011). Previous studies had been conducted emphasizing the vital role organizational culture plays in organizations (Fralinger & Olson, 2007). However, limited studies had been conducted in determining its role in Higher Educational Institutions (Hemmelgarn, Glisson, & James, 2006; Louis, 2007; Hatcher, 2005) and its important influence on teachers’ organizational commitment and job satisfaction (Huey Ying & Zaman Bin Ahmad, 2009).

2. Organizational Culture

Organizational culture is a set of values that help people in an organization understand which actions are considered acceptable and which are considered unacceptable (Cameron, 2008). These are specific collection of values and norms that are shared by people and groups in the organization and that control the way they interact with each other and with stakeholders outside the organization. Organizational culture consists of six dimensions which are dominant characteristics, organizational leadership, management of employees, organization glue, strategic emphases, and criteria of success. Each of these dimensions has four culture types which are adhocracy, clan, hierarchy, and market (Cameron & Quinn, 1999; Masood, Dani, Burns, & Backhouse, 2006).

**Adhocracy** – it is a culture profile of an organization that concentrates on external positioning with a high degree of flexibility and individuality.

**Clan** – it is a culture profile of an organization that concentrates on internal maintenance with flexibility, concern for people, and sensitivity for customers.

**Hierarchy** – it is a culture profile of an organization that focuses on internal maintenance with a need for stability and control.

**Market** – it is a culture profile of an organization that focuses on external maintenance with a need for stability and control.
Organizational Commitment

Organizational commitment is the psychological attachment of an employee to the organization (Meyer & Allen, 1991). It is characterized as those individuals who attend to work daily, protect organizational assets, share organizational goal, and remain with organization through both good and bad times. There are three dimensions of organizational commitment which are affective commitment, continuance commitment, and normative commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1991; Balci, 2003; Meyer, Becker, & Van Dick, 2006; Khan, Shah, Hassan, Khan, & Khan, 2013).

- **Affective Commitment** – This refers to the employees’ emotional attachment, identification with, and involvement in the organization.
- **Continuance Commitment** – This refers to the employees’ assessment of whether the cost of leaving the organization is greater than the cost of staying.
- **Normative Commitment** – This refers to the employees’ feeling of obligation to the organization.

Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction is consists of positive feelings and attitudes an employee has about one’s job. It results from the perception that an employee’s job actually provides what he or she values in the work situation (Nguni, Sleegers, & Denessen, 2006; Evans, 2001; Bogler, 2001). Among the different literature that were conducted on job satisfaction of teachers especially in higher education institutions, the following were considered as important aspects for job satisfactions which are relationships in the working environment (Crossman & Harris, 2006; ), compensation and remuneration, school and physical environment (Collie, Shapka, & Perry, 2012; Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2009), administrative policies and support (Duffy & Lent, 2009), and overall management (Huysman, 2007; Santhapparaj & Alam, 2005).

| Organizational Culture | Culture Type | Clan | Hierarchy | Adhocracy | Market |
|------------------------|--------------|------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Dominant Characteristics | The organization is a very personal place. It is like an extended family where people seem to share a lot of themselves. | The organization is a very dynamic entrepreneurial place. The people are willing to stick their necks out and take risk. | The organization is very results oriented. A major concern is with getting the job done. People are very competitive and achievement oriented. | The organization is a very controlled and structured place. Formal procedures generally govern what people do. |
| Organizational Leadership | The leadership in the organization is generally considered to exemplify mentoring, facilitating, or nurturing. | The leadership in the organization is generally considered to exemplify entrepreneurship, innovating or risk taking. | The leadership in the organization is generally considered to exemplify a no-nonsense aggressive, results-oriented focus. | The leadership in the organization is generally considered to exemplify coordinating, organizing, or smooth-running efficiency. |
| Management of Employees | The management style in the organization is characterized by teamwork, consensus, and participation. | The management style in the organization is characterized by individual risk taking, innovation, freedom, and uniqueness. | The management style in the organization is characterized by hard-driving competitiveness, high demands, and achievement. | The management style in the organization is characterized by security of employment, conformity, predictability, and stability in relationships. |
| Organizational Glue | The glue that holds the organization together is loyalty and mutual trust. Commitment to this organization runs high. | The glue that holds the organization together is commitment to innovation and development. | The glue that holds the organization together is emphasis on achievement and goal accomplishment. Aggressiveness and winning are common themes. | The glue that holds the organization together is formal rules and policies. Maintaining a smooth-running organization is important. |
| Strategic Emphases | The organization emphasizes human resources, teamwork, employee commitment, and concern for people. | The organization emphasizes acquiring new resources and creating new challenges. Trying new things and prospecting for opportunities are valued. | The organization emphasizes competitive actions and achievement. Hitting stretch targets and winning in the marketplace are dominant. | The organization emphasizes permanence and stability. Efficiency, control, and smooth operations are important. |
| Criteria of Success | The organization defines success on the basis of the development of the human resources, teamwork, employee commitment, and concern for people. | The organization defines success on the basis of having the most unique or newest programs. It is a program leaders and innovator. | The organization defines success on the basis of winning in the marketplace and outspacating the competition. Competitive market leadership is the key. | The organization defines success on the basis of efficiency. Dependable delivery, smooth scheduling, and low-cost operation are critical. |
3. Method

3.1. Respondents

The respondents of the study consisted of 129 faculty members sampled from four Catholic Higher Education Institutions in the Philippines. However, only lay faculty members who are full-time and with permanent status were considered in the study. Table 1 shows the background variables of the respondents. Of the faculty members, 71.23% are female and most of them age from 31-35 years old. Moreover, most of them are married and have served their institutions from 6-10 years. Furthermore, most of the respondents hold a master’s degree and almost all of them are plain faculty members and do not hold administrative positions.

| Background Variables         | n (N=129) | %    |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|
| Sex                          |           |      |
| Male                         | 43        | 26.36|
| Female                       | 95        | 73.64|
| Age                          |           |      |
| 21-25 years old              | 5         | 3.88 |
| 26-30 years old              | 17        | 13.18|
| 31-35 years old              | 34        | 26.36|
| 36-40 years old              | 21        | 16.28|
| 41-45 years old              | 17        | 14.18|
| 46-50 years old              | 14        | 10.85|
| 51-55 years old              | 14        | 10.85|
| 56-60 years old              | 7         | 5.43 |
| Civil Status                 |           |      |
| Single                       | 34        | 26.36|
| Married                      | 93        | 72.09|
| Widowed                      | 2         | 1.55 |
| Number of Years in Present Employment | | |
| 5 years and below            | 22        | 17.05|
| 6 – 10 years                 | 34        | 26.40|
| 11 – 15 years                | 24        | 18.60|
| 16 – 20 years                | 19        | 14.70|
| 21 – 25 years                | 11        | 8.50 |
| 26 – 30 years                | 13        | 10.90|
| 31 years and above           | 5         | 3.85 |
| Educational Attainment       |           |      |
| Bachelor’s Degree            | 3         | 23.25|
| With MA/MS units             | 16        | 12.40|
| Master’s Degree Holder       | 51        | 39.53|
| With Doctorate units         | 34        | 26.35|
| Doctorate Degree Holder      | 24        | 18.60|
| Bachelor of Laws and Letters | 1         | 0.77 |
| Designation                  |           |      |
| Administrative and Supervisory| 25        | 19.38|
| Teaching/Plain Faculty       | 104       | 80.62|

3.2. Research Instruments

This scientific approach in research employed a descriptive and correlational method of research. A quantitative questionnaire using Likert-type scale was administered to 129 college faculty members. The respondents were instructed to fill out questionnaire that asked a range of items about their commitment on the organization, their job satisfaction, and their organizational culture.

3.3. Instrument on Organizational Culture

The organizational culture of teachers was measured using the Organizational Culture Assessment Instrument (OCAI) developed by Cameron and Quinn (1999). The said tool is consists of six groups which are dominant characteristics, organizational leadership, management of employees, organization glue strategic emphases, and criteria of success. Each group has four alternatives: Clan, Hierarchy, Adhocracy, and Market. The respondents will give the highest points to a certain alternative that is very similar to their organization. The two other alternatives are somewhat similar and the last alternative is hardly similar to their organization at all. The respondents will have to make sure that the total of each item is equal to 100 points.

3.4. Instrument on Organizational Commitment

The organizational commitment of teachers was measured using the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire developed and modified by Meyer and Allen (2006). The said survey questionnaire has become the dominant model for study of workplace commitment in the world (Meyer, 2006). This model proposes that organizational commitment is experienced by the employee as three simultaneous mindsets encompassing affective, normative, and continuance organizational commitment (Allen 2007). The said tool is consists of 18 items on a 7-point scale (scored from 1=strongly disagree to 7= strongly agree) involving affective (6 items), continuance (6 items), and normative (6 items).

3.5. Instrument on Job Satisfaction

The job satisfaction of teachers was measured using the Job Satisfaction Questionnaire developed by the Saint Paul of Chartres Education Apostolate (SPCEA). The tool is consists of 65 items with thirteen (13) components with five (items) on a 4-point scale (scored from 1= strongly disagree to 4 = strongly agree) which includes relationship with immediate head, interdepartmental relationship, physical environment, relationship with co-employees, compensation, job identification, overall attitude toward work, clarity of work group, implementation of policies, management, communication, feed backing, and task significance.
### 3.6. Data Analysis

The data gathered were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as frequency counts and percentage for background variables of the respondents; weighted mean for the organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and organizational culture of the respondents. Pearson-R product correlation was also used to describe the influence of organizational culture on teachers’ organizational commitment and job satisfaction.

### 4. Results

#### Table 2. Descriptive Statistics on the Organizational Culture of Educational Institutions

| Organizational Culture          | Highest Mean | Culture Type |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Dominant Characteristics        | 34.21        | Clan         |
| Organizational Leadership       | 32.64        | Clan         |
| Management of Employees         | 32.49        | Clan         |
| Organizational Glue             | 30.72        | Clan         |
| Strategic Emphasis              | 29.86        | Clan         |
| Criteria of Success             | 31.75        | Clan         |
| Total                           | 31.85        | Clan         |

Table 2 presents the dominant organizational culture of Catholic Higher Education Institutions as assessed by college faculty members. It is shown in the table along the area on dominant characteristics of Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines, the dominant culture type is clan in which these institutions are considered as a very personal place like an extended family. This implies that Catholic institutions give the impression of bringing about a spirit of unity by sharing a lot to their employees. Furthermore, it can also be delved in the results that faculty members are emotionally attached with their institutions since they believed that they their institutions are considered as their homes.

Meanwhile, along organizational leadership, teachers of Catholic Higher Education institutions also assessed their respective organizations with clan as the dominant culture type. This stresses that leadership among Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines is generally considered to exemplify mentoring, facilitating, and nurturing. An organization that demonstrates mentoring helps the employees explore and develop their skills necessary to make the organization become more productive and realize its vision and mission (Berrio, 2003; Linnenluecke, & Griffiths, 2010). Also, administrators should further help their people grow and see beyond what they are today to what they can become tomorrow (White, Varadarajan, & Dacin, 2003).

On the one hand, it can also be gleaned on the results along management of employees as one of the dimensions of organizational culture of schools, clan is also the dominant culture type as assessed by teachers. This means that Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines are characterized by teamwork, consensus, and participation among administrators and teachers. Many studies had revealed that teamwork is the heart and soul of the organization (Somech & Khalaili, 2014; Fay, Shilton, West, & Patterson, 2015). As applied in the workplace, teamwork is a method that aligns employee mindsets in a cooperative and usually self-manner, towards a specific purpose (Herdman, Yang, & Arthur, 2017). The study reveals that with clan as a dominant management of employee culture style, effective collaboration skills are necessary to work well in a team like the school environment as the schools become larger and more sophisticated. These collaborative efforts help the people in the organization effectively work together in a cohesive group to accomplish shared goals (Hu & Liden, 2015).

On the other hand, in terms of organizational glue as a dimension of organizational culture of educational institutions, it is revealed that clan is also the dominant culture type. This means that loyalty, mutual trust and commitment run in the organization. The results may imply that teachers value more an organization where there is loyalty and mutual trust among administrators and faculty members. These values as perpetrated create conditions that motivate and energize employees in their work, build and sustain trust in their heads as well as promote and cultivate commitment to the organization (Wiewiora, Trigunarsyah, Murphy, & Coffey, 2013). With this, the achievement of employees becomes reinforcing because they become self-directing, receptive to greater responsibilities and be converted to leaders themselves.

Further, the table also presented that dominant culture type of educational institutions along strategic emphasis is also clan. This means that Catholic educational institutions are strong on human development as manifested in the high level of trust, openness, and participation that currently exists in the organization.

And finally, along criteria of success, the respondents assessed Catholic Higher Education institutions observe clan as a culture type. This means that what are being emphasized by these schools are human resources, teamwork, employee commitment, and concern for people. In summary, the most dominant organizational culture of Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines is clan.

#### Table 3. Descriptive Statistics on the Organizational Commitment of Teachers

| Organizational Commitment       | M    |
|---------------------------------|------|
| Affective Commitment            | 5.42 |
| Continuance Commitment          | 4.66 |
| Normative Commitment            | 5.45 |
| Total                           | 5.18 |

*4.61 – 5.80 – High.*
Table 3 presents the organizational commitment of faculty members of Catholic Higher Education Institutions in the Philippines. Along affective commitment, the results revealed that the respondents are highly committed to their organization. This implies that teachers are happy to spend the rest of their career in their organization. Hence, they are somewhat decided to stay in their school and willing to spend more years to serve their institution. With this, the respondents are emotionally attached to their institution which is a manifestation of their caring attitude towards their institution. Their high level of affective commitment to their institutions coincide with the results of previous studies in which their attachment is attributed to the relationship they have with their fellow employees, that is they can relate well despite their differences (Casimir, Ng, Wang, & Ooi, 2014; Vandenberghe, Bentein, & Panaccio, 2014). Also, their desire to remain with the organization is also due to the fact that they can find themselves as being part of the productivity and success of the organization. More importantly, their affective commitment is a manifestation of their belief and appreciation of their institutional values which also represents the gospel and Catholic values in general. This may hold true to Catholic schools in general in which Catholic teachers have a strong commitment to their institution since they share the same vision and mission guided with their personal values that coincides with the teachings of the Catholic Church (Schreiner, 2015).

Meanwhile, it can also be reflected on the table that teachers of Catholic Higher Education Institutions in the Philippines also have a high level of continuance commitment to their organization. With this result, it can be stressed that the respondents feel it practical to remain in their institution and leaving the institution means having no assurance of being employed immediately when they apply for a new job. Previous literature on continuance commitment revealed that the reluctance of the employees to leave the organization is due to the fact that too much of their life would be disrupted if they decide to leave their organization now (Ahluwalia & Preet, 2016; Mclerney, Ganotice, King, Morin, & Marsh, 2015) and also the fact that work is a necessity (Joseph, Buela, & Rajalakshmi, 2017) especially in countries, such as the Philippines were economic situation is unstable, and that financial security and flexibility is necessary for employees (Thang & Fassin, 2017; Tolentino, 2013).

Finally, in terms of the normative commitment of teachers, it was also revealed that their commitment is high. This means that the respondents believe that it would not be right for them to leave their institution now because they have still moral obligation to the people, to their students, to the parents, to their fellow employees, and to their administrators. And with this, they maintain their membership and commitment to the organization. Previous studies on normative commitment identified some factors that affects employees’ normative commitment which were also revealed in the study such as teachers availed scholarships and study leaves and professional development activities that enhanced their career growth such as exposure to national and international seminars and conferences (John, 2017; Yahaya & Ebrahim, 2017). This premise suggests that employees who availed of these packages feel obliged to have return service to their institution and it would unethical if they leave their organization now without finishing their contracts with their institution.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics on the Job Satisfaction of Teachers

| Job Satisfaction                | M     |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Relationship with Immediate Head| 3.19a |
| Interdepartmental Relationship  | 2.87a |
| Physical Environment            | 3.11a |
| Relationship with Co-employees  | 3.17a |
| Compensation                    | 2.75a |
| Job Identification              | 3.29a |
| Overall Attitude toward Work    | 3.26a |
| Clarity of Work Group           | 3.18a |
| Implementation of Policies      | 2.88a |
| Management                      | 2.78a |
| Communication                   | 2.51a |
| Feed Backing                    | 2.98a |
| Task Significance               | 3.30a |
| Total                           | 3.02a |

a2.50 – 3.24 – Satisfied
b3.25 – 4.00 – Very Satisfied

Table 4 presents the job satisfaction of Faculty members of Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines. It can be gleaned in the table that faculty members are very satisfied on their job along job identification, overall attitude toward work, and feed backing. Along their job identification, they are very satisfied with the amount of work they do. This condition is important to consider as a means of attaining higher levels of commitment. Further, the result implies that teachers really value their work especially when they find others are also benefiting from it. This can be a source of their job satisfaction because it implies greater motivation for the faculty members to work harder (Loi, Chan, & Lam, 2014). The sense of fulfillment in what does truly inspires a reason for finding meaning in his job and a reason for keeping it (Callea, Urbini, & Chirumbolo, 2016). Meanwhile, Catholic teachers also are very satisfied on their job in their respective institution along their overall attitude towards their work. This implies a positive outlook of the teachers towards their work. This is a significant character of any institution, the manner by which faculty members see their work with optimism and have a positive feeling towards their work which includes their physical conditions, their work loads, treatment of their supervisors, and their belief on quality (Rayton & Yalabik, 2014).
It is also important to note that these Catholic teachers in the Philippines are very satisfied on the feedback process of their institution. This means that the school administration including the middle level managers and supervisors are consistent in giving feedback to their employees especially on their job performance, assessments, evaluations, and work improvements. Further, the result also means that the different feedback processes are very well carried out among the respondents’ institutions. Performance evaluation is necessary to improve systems and processes in the organization (Azzopardi & Nash, 2013). Feedback processes such as evaluation can accurately outline employees’ responsibilities and contributions to an organization and provide valid and important input in personnel decisions (Lazaroiu, 2015). This process, if communicated and properly done, reinforces the link between employee performance and employer satisfaction.

Furthermore, the respondents are satisfied on most of the indicators of job satisfaction which include relationship with their immediate head, interdepartmental relationship, physical environment, relationship with their co-employees, compensation, clarity of work group, implementation of policies, management, communication, and task significance. This result implies that generally, faculty members of Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines are pleased with their working conditions in their institution. However, it is important to note that of all the indicators of job satisfaction, communication is rated as the lowest despite still that respondents are satisfied along this area. In any organization, communication is crucial in its operation. There are underlying theories why communication is vital in organization. Communication fosters motivation by classifying what is to be done (Uka, 2014; Koch, 2016; Ruesch, 2017). The formation of specific goals, feedback on progress toward the goal, and reinforcement of desired behavior all stimulate motivation which requires communication. The communication which takes place within the organization is a fundamental mechanism by which the members show their emotional expression which their feelings of frustrations or satisfaction (Zbirenko & Andersson, 2014). Though generally, the respondents show satisfaction, its implication to management is to become aware that the need to raise the faculty members’ satisfaction by focusing on the intrinsic facets of their job which include communication.

Table 5 presents the influence of organizational culture of Catholic Higher Education Institutions in the Philippines to teachers’ organizational commitment and job satisfaction. The table shows a high correlation between organizational culture and organizational commitment of teachers. This means that prioritizing clan as the organizational culture of Catholic Educational institutions may eventually lead to higher commitment and loyalty of teachers to their organization. Hence, clan as an organizational behavior positively influences the organizational commitment of teachers. Also, no influence and relationship had been found out with the three culture types which are hierarchy, adhocracy, and market on the organizational commitment of teachers.

### Table 5. Significant Relationship of Organizational Culture on Teachers’ Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction

| Organizational Culture | Organizational Commitment | Job Satisfaction |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|                        | Pearson-R | p-value | Pearson-R | p-value |
| Clan                   | 0.762b | 0.001* | 0.277a | 0.002* |
| Hierarchy              | 0.120c | 0.442  | -0.190d | 0.034* |
| Adhocracy              | 0.110c | 0.823  | -0.272d | 0.002* |
| Market                 | 0.112c | 0.881  | -0.046d | 0.611  |

*Significant at 0.05 level
a0.21 – 0.40 – Slight/Low Correlation
b0.71 – 0.90 – High Correlation
c0.00 – 0.20 – Negligible Correlation
d0.00 – 0.99 – Negative Correlation

Meanwhile, in terms of the influence of organizational culture to the job satisfaction of teachers, it was found out that there is a slight positive influence of clan as an organizational culture to the job satisfaction of the respondents. This finding also shows that Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines prioritize a great need for having a clan type as an organizational culture. The table further reveals negative influence between job satisfactions with the other three organizational culture types. This means that an increased level of job satisfaction of teachers yields a lower need for hierarchy, adhocracy, and market. The market culture type shows no significant influence in the job satisfaction of teachers of Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines.

Previous studies on organizational culture stressed that this organizational behavior stands as the center from which all other factors of human resource management and teachers’ organizational behaviors are derived (Alvesson, 2016; Hogan & Coote, 2014). It is also emphasized that organizational culture influences individuals’ attitudes concerning outcomes such as commitment, motivation, morale and satisfaction (Gimenez-Espin, Jimenez-Jimenez, & Martinez-Costa, 2013). Moreover, clan as an organizational culture also revealed as the most dominant culture type of organization in the corporate and business worlds (Belias & Koustelios, 2014; Schneider, Ehrhart, & Macey, 2013). With the result of this study, it was found also found out how influential the utilization of clan as a dominant culture type in maintaining higher level of commitment of employees to their organization and also improving their satisfaction to their work among Catholic Higher Education Institutions in the Philippines.
5. Conclusions and Implications

The results of the present study have several and important implications for theory and further research. First, since limited studies had been conducted focusing on the influence of organizational culture to teachers’ organizational behaviors (Huey Yiyng & Zaman Bin Ahmad, 2009; Sarros, Cooper, & Santora, 2008; Hartnell, Ou, & Kinicki, 2011), the present study, therefore extends this line of inquiry by examining the influence of organizational culture to specific important teachers’ organizational behaviors such as organizational commitment and job satisfaction. The result confirms previous studies on organizational culture that indeed, this important indicator plays a very vital role in the sustanability and development of private and Catholic schools (Zheng Yang, & McLean, 2010), employee retention (Chew & Chan, 2008), and organizational cultural values (Naranjo-Valencia, Jimenez-Jimenez, & Sanz-Valle, 2011; Ravasi & Schultz, 2006).

Second, since most empirical evidence on the influence of organizational culture to teachers’ organizational behavior had been conducted mostly in Western countries and in Africa, this study gave very important information since it also extends its inquiry in developing countries by taking Philippines as a case study. The results of the present study also coincide with previous literature revealing clan as the dominant culture type among institutions and organizations in United States (Lund, 2003; Berrio, 2003; Smart & St. John, 1996), United Kingdom (Ogbonna & Harris, 2000), Africa (Van der Post, de Coning, & Smit, 1997), and China (Tsui, Wang, & Xin, 2006). With these results, it is then suggested that more research be conducted focusing on other types of Higher Educational institutions in the Philippines such as government-owned and private non-sectarian schools in order to confirm the claim of the present study and previous studies of the universality of clan as the dominant organizational culture of Higher Education institutions across different nations.

Third, one of the major contributions of this study is that it addresses major gaps in literature since no research studies have systematically examined the influence of organizational culture on teachers’ organizational commitment and jobs satisfaction in a single study in educational settings. Most studies conducted in education had studies the influence of organizational culture on either of these two organizational behaviors (Lund, 2003; Huey Yiyng, & Zaman Bin Ahmad, 2009). And based on the findings of this present study, one can already see the high influence of organizational culture to teachers’ organizational commitment and also a slight effect of organizational culture to teachers’ job satisfaction in school setting taking Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines as a case study. From this perspective, educational managers and administrators can use the information arising out of the findings of the present study to come up with strategies and initiatives to further improve programs and activities focusing on enhancing and developing teachers’ organizational commitment and more importantly their job satisfaction. Furthermore, in terms of theoretical perspective, the study gave insights on how organizational culture affects and influences teachers’ organizational commitment and job satisfaction. However, organizational culture explains and accounts only for some portion of these two teachers’ organizational behaviors. It can then be stressed that other in addition to organizational culture, other factors and indicators may influence teachers’ organizational commitment and job satisfaction. It is then highly recommended that future research try to explore the influence of other organizational variables such as supervisory support, communicated vision and mission, schools and community relations, and other school-related variables to teachers’ organizational commitment and job satisfaction.

Furthermore, the findings of the study also have important implications for educational administrators and policy-makers. The results clearly stressed how organizational culture foster high level of organizational commitment and even a positive relationship to job satisfaction of teachers. These findings have an important implication in terms of teachers’ training and development of school administrators in the Philippines. Furthermore, the results of the study can also serve as a guide for educational human resource managers in coming up with frameworks, evaluation and assessment sheets, and initiatives that will further enhance the organizational culture of institutions that will eventually lead to a higher level of commitment and eventually even a higher level of job satisfaction. And finally, the study will also serve as a mirror to see the status of their teachers along their commitment especially along their job satisfaction since many studies had been concluding that job satisfaction plays an important role in enhancing student learning and in the delivery of quality instructions (Ololube, 2006; Houston, Meyer, & Paewai, 2006). In this regard, administrators of Catholic Higher Education institutions in the Philippines may consider critical issues in enhancing their teachers’ job satisfaction such as improvement in working conditions, salaries and benefits, and more importantly addressing communication problems.

In summary, it is hope that this study has made a vital contribution in understanding the influence of organizational culture to teachers’ organizational commitment and job satisfaction in the Philippine educational context especially among Catholic Higher Education institutions. Thus, this study serves as a basis in stimulating further research and studies on the exploration of organizational culture on teachers’ organizational behaviors and outcomes in the Philippines and elsewhere.
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