Long non-coding RNA UCA1 promotes breast tumor growth by suppression of p27 (Kip1)
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Functional genomics studies have led to the discovery of a large amount of non-coding RNAs from the human genome; among them are long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). Emerging evidence indicates that lncRNAs could have a critical role in the regulation of cellular processes such as cell growth and apoptosis as well as cancer progression and metastasis. As master gene regulators, lncRNAs are capable of forming lncRNA–protein (ribonucleoprotein) complexes to regulate a large number of genes. For example, lincRNA-RoR suppresses p53 in response to DNA damage through interaction with heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein I (hnRNP I). The present study demonstrates that hnRNP I can also form a functional ribonucleoprotein complex with lincRNA urothelial carcinoma-associated 1 (UCA1) and increase the UCA1 stability. Of interest, the phosphorylated form of hnRNP I, predominantly in the cytoplasm, is responsible for the interaction with UCA1. Moreover, although hnRNP I enhances the translation of p27 (Kip1) through interaction with the 5′-untranslated region (5′-UTR) of p27 mRNAs, the interaction of UCA1 with hnRNP I suppresses the p27 protein level by competitive inhibition. In support of this finding, UCA1 has an oncogenic role in breast cancer both in vitro and in vivo. Finally, we show a negative correlation between p27 and UCA in the breast tumor cancer tissue microarray. Together, our results suggest an important role of UCA1 in breast cancer.
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It is well known that protein-coding genes account for only about 2% of the human genome, whereas the majority of transcripts consist of the non-coding RNAs, including microRNAs and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs).1 LncRNAs are transcribed mainly by RNA polymerase II; they are spliced products via canonical genomic splice site motifs, frequently ended with a poly A tail. Moreover, they are often regulated by well-established transcription factors and are expressed in a tissue-specific manner.2 Emerging evidence suggests that lncRNAs may serve as master gene regulators capable of controlling protein-coding and non-coding genes and as such they have been implicated in the regulation of a variety of cellular functions and disease processes including stemness and cancer metastasis.3–9 Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain lncRNA-mediated gene expression, and one of the important ones is their ability to form ribonucleoprotein complex through interaction with various proteins.10,11

In support of this notion, a number of proteins have been shown to interact with lncRNAs. For example, HOTAI/R is one of the first identified lncRNAs and has a critical role in cancer through epigenetic regulation mechanisms by interaction with the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2).12 through which it can regulate a large number of genes. PCAT-1 is another lncRNA that has been shown to interact with PRC2 and functions as a transcriptional repressor implicated in a subset of prostate cancer patients.13 LncRNAs also interact with transcription factors to regulate gene expression. For example, PANDA interacts with the transcription factor NF-YA to limit the expression of pro-apoptotic genes; PANDA depletion markedly sensitizes human fibroblasts to apoptosis by doxorubicin.8 We have recently shown that heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein I (hnRNP I) is able to interact with lncRNA-RoR, leading to the suppression of p53 after DNA damage.14 A major role of hnRNP I is involved in mRNA splicing.10 However, hnRNP I can also serve as a translational activator by binding to 5′-untranslated region (UTR) in such a way that it recruits ribosome protein to initiate translation of several genes. For example, previous studies suggest that the 5′-UTR of p53 mRNA may form a secondary structure to serve as an internal ribosome entry site (IRES).16,17 hnRNP I is capable of binding to the IRES to stimulate p53 translation

1Cancer Institute, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA; 2Department of Biochemistry, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA; 3Department of Microbiology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA; 4Department of Endocrinology, PLA General Hospital, Beijing, PR China; 5System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA; 6Department of Gastroenterology, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, PR China and 7Department of Pharmacology/Toxicology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA

Keywords: p27 (Kip1); post-transcriptional regulation; lncRNA; UCA1; hnRNP I

Abbreviations: CISH, chromogenic in situ hybridization; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IF, immunofluorescence; hnRNP I, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein I; IRES, internal ribosome entry site; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PRC2, polycomb repressive complex 2 qRT–PCR, quantitative RT-PCR; RIP, RNA immunoprecipitation; TMA, tissue microarray; UCA1, urothelial carcinoma-associated 1; UTR, untranslated region

Received 30.10.13; revised 28.11.13; accepted 04.12.13. Edited by G Melino
after DNA damage, leading to the production of the N-terminal truncated isoform of p53. Furthermore, hnRNP I enhances the IRES-dependent translation of p27 (Kip1) mRNA and modulates transition from the G1 to S phase. 

p27 is a well-known tumor suppressor because it has a role in the suppression of cyclin-dependent kinase. p27 is frequently downregulated in cancer including breast cancer. The reduced expression of p27 protein is associated with poor clinical outcome of breast cancer, and thus is implicated in cell proliferation and differentiation. The regulation of p27 is complex, involving transcriptional, translational and post-translational levels. However, it is not clear whether the p27 protein level can be regulated by IncRNAs.

Urothelial carcinoma-associated 1 (UCA1) is a lncRNA originally identified in bladder transitional cell carcinoma. The entire sequence consists of three exons with 1.4 kb in length. As it is highly expressed in bladder transitional cell carcinoma, it was suggested to serve as a biomarker for the diagnosis of bladder cancer. Subsequently, another isoform (2.2 kb) was identified by a different group as cancer upregulated drug resistant (CUDRF) gene in the doxorubicin-resistant subline of human squamous carcinoma A431 cells. Sequence alignment revealed that the 1.4 isoform is contained in the 2.2 kb isoform. Although these studies suggest an oncogenic role of UCA1 in bladder cancer, the underlying mechanism is largely unknown. Moreover, the role of UCA1 in other type of cancers such as breast cancer remains to be determined.

In the present study, we show that UCA1 promotes breast cancer cell growth in both in vitro and in vivo. Importantly, our results suggest that UCA1 is able to suppress the tumor suppressor p27 through interaction with hnRNP I. We also show that there is a negative correlation between UCA1 and p27 in breast cancer specimens, highlighting its clinical significance.

**Results**

**Identification of UCA1 as an hnRNP I-binding partner.** We have previously identified hnRNP I as a binding partner of lncRNA-RoR (RoR) by RNA precipitation combined with proteomics analysis. Although hnRNP I promotes p53 translation through interaction with the 5′-UTR of p53 mRNA, RoR may interrupt this interaction so that RoR represses p53 in response to DNA damage. As an RNA-binding protein, hnRNP I has multiple functions and has been implicated in diverse cellular processes such as alternative splicing, neural development, neural transdifferentiation and tumorigenesis. Thus, we asked whether hnRNP I can functionally interact with other IncRNAs in addition to RoR. Toward this end, we performed RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays with hnRNP I antibody and then profiled lncRNA expression using the RT–PCR-based Human Disease-related LncRNA Profiler which carried primer sets of 83 IncRNAs mainly derived from IncRNA database.

As expected, RoR was able to interact with hnRNP I. Importantly, we identified additional 18 IncRNAs (Supplementary Figure S1). Among them, UCA1 was on the top of the list in terms of enrichment. Thus, we selected UCA1 for further characterization. To verify its interaction with UCA1, we designed a different set of UCA1 primers (UCA1-RT-5.1 and UCA1-RT-3.1) and detected over a 1000-fold enrichment of UCA1 by hnRNP I antibody compared with IgG control (Figure 1a). To further confirm this interaction between hnRNP I and UCA1, we performed RNA precipitation using the synthetic biotin-labeled UCA1 RNA probe. Three UCA isoforms have been reported: they are 1.4, 2.2 and 2.7 kb in length. Although the 1.4 and 2.2 kb isoforms have been characterized (Supplementary Figure S2), little is known about the 2.7 kb form. As the 1.4 kb form is included in the 2.2 kb form except the first 14 nucleotides, we made a biotin-labeled RNA probe based on the 1.4 kb isoform, using T7 RNA polymerase. After RNA precipitation, western blot revealed an hnRNP I-specific doublet band (Figure 1b).

To determine which part of UCA1 involves the interaction with hnRNP I, we generated two separate probes covering the 5′ end and the 3′ end, respectively (Figure 1c, top panel). Although both UCA1-1 (5′ end) and the full-length UCA1 probes detected the hnRP I signal, UCA1-2 (3′ end) did not reveal any visible signal (Figure 1c, bottom panel), suggesting that the first part of UCA1 is responsible for the interaction with hnRNP I. UCA1 is also named polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1 (PTBP1), meaning that it can bind to a pyrimidine-rich region of RNA. Thus, we scanned the first part of UCA1 and found a sequence 5′-CTCTTCTCTCTGG-3′ around nucleotide 700 which was within UCA1-1. This sequence is very similar to the conserved hnRNP I-binding motif 5′-CCYCCYYYYY(Y/G)-3′ where Y stands for C or T. Therefore, we mutated this site (Figure 1d, left panel) and found that the interaction was substantially reduced (Figure 1d, right panel), suggesting that this hnRNP I-binding motif is critical to their interaction.

hnRNP I stabilizes UCA1 RNA. To characterize the function of this interaction between hnRNP I and UCA1, we examined the effect of hnRNP I on UCA1 expression. Ectopic expression of hnRNP I increased the UCA1 level (Figure 2a). We also knocked down hnRNP I by RNAi and found that hnRNP I siRNA reduced the UCA1 level (Figure 2b), suggesting that UCA1 is regulated by hnRNP I. As one function of hnRNP I is able to regulate RNA stability, we examined whether hnRNP I can regulate UCA1 RNA stability. MCF-7 cells were first transfected with control siRNA or hnRNP I-siRNA, and then treated with 1 μg/ml of actinomycin D. Total RNA was isolated 6 or 12 h after the treatment. As shown in Figure 2c, the UCA1 level was significantly lower in the hnRNP I-siRNA cells than in control siRNA cells at both 6 and 12 h time points, suggesting that hnRNP I can increase the UCA1 stability.

Doxo induces the UCA1 level by increasing the interaction between UCA1 and hnRNP I. UCA1 has been implicated in drug resistance. In particular, the 2.2 kb isoform was isolated from the doxorubicin (doxo) resistant cell lines. Although we found that UCA1 did not significantly impact the response of MCF-7 cells to doxo (not shown), doxo was able to induce UCA1 by about a 10-fold in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3a). As doxo is a well-known p53 inducer, to determine whether doxo-induced UCA1 involves p53, we did the same experiment in MDA-MB-231 cells which carry a mutant p53 and found that doxo was still able to induce
UCA1 (Figure 3a), suggesting that it is independent of p53. To better characterize this doxo-induced UCA1 expression, we treated HCT-116 p53-WT and HCT-116 p53-null cells with doxo. Evidently, there was a similar induction of UCA1 by doxo in both cell lines (Supplementary Figure S3), further supporting the p53-independent induction of UCA1 by doxo.

Finally, hnRNP I-siRNA was able to block the doxo-induced UCA1 (Figure 3b), suggesting that hnRNP I is required for this induction. Given the ability of hnRNP I to increase the UCA1 RNA stability (Figure 2c), we then determined whether this doxo-induced UCA1 is due to the enhancement of the
interaction between hnRNP I and UCA1. Cell fractionation revealed that only the cytoplasmic hnRNP I was detected in the cytoplasm (Figure 3c). Importantly, this cytoplasmic hnRNP I was phosphorylated (Figure 3c), in consistent with our previous finding. Of interest, doxo caused subcellular relocalization of hnRNP I, and more hnRNP I was seen in the cytoplasm after doxo treatment (Figure 3d and Supplementary Figure S4A), as detected by hnRNP I–GFP fusion protein. Similarly, UV was also able to increase cytoplasmic hnRNP I (Figure 3d). These results suggest that DNA damage causes phosphorylation of hnRNP I, leading to the cytoplasmic accumulation, and this phosphorylated form of hnRNP I is responsible for its interaction with UCA1. In support of this finding, we showed that both phosphorylated hnRNP I and UCA1 were colocalized to the cytoplasm, especially in the perinuclear region, by immunofluorescence (IF) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Figure 3e and Supplementary Figure S4B). Finally, immunoprecipitation with hnRNP I antibody revealed that the level of UCA1 was higher in doxo-treated cells than in no doxo cells (Figure 3f), implying that more cytoplasmic hnRNP I after doxo treatment leads to more pulldown of UCA1.

Figure 3  Induction of UCA1 through interaction with hnRNP I. (a) Doxo induces UCA1 independent of p53, as detected by qRT–PCR in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells were treated with doxo at 1 μg/ml for 24 h before they were harvested for RNA extraction. (b) Suppression of doxo-induced UCA1 by hnRNP I-siRNA. MCF-7 cells were first transfected with control siRNA or hnRNP I-siRNA and then treated with doxo at 1 μg/ml for 24 h before they were harvested for RNA extraction. (c) The phosphorylated form of hnRNP I is localized in the cytoplasm and is responsible for interaction with UCA1. RNA precipitation was used to detect hnRNP I. The same membrane was probed simultaneously with hnRNP I antibody (mouse origin) and p-hnRNP I antibody (rabbit origin), followed by secondary antibody labeled with either IRDye 680 or IRDye 800. The bottom panel shows the cytoplasmic (cyto) and nuclear (nuc) extracts used for RNA precipitation assays in the top panels. GAPDH serves as a cytoplasmic marker and NPM as a nuclear marker. (d) Redistribution of hnRNP I in response to doxo treatment. MCF-7 cells were first transfected with GFP–hnRNP I fusion construct, followed by doxo treatment (1 μg/ml) for 8 h or UV treatment (20 J/m2) for 3 h before fixation for fluorescent microscopy. (e) Colocalization of phosphorylated hnRNP I with UCA1 by IF and fluorescence in situ hybridization. (f) Doxo increases UCA1 pulldown by hnRNP I antibody. MCF-7 cells were treated with doxo the same way as in d, and then cytoplasmic fraction was prepared for RIP assay. Error bars represent S.E.M., n = 3. **P < 0.01.

**UCA1 suppresses the p27 protein level by competing with p27 mRNA for hnRNPI.** Next, we determined whether the tumor suppressor p27 serves as a potential target for UCA1 because a previous report suggested that hnRNP I interacts with p27 and enhances p27 translation through interaction with the IRES, leading to cell cycle arrest. RIP assay using hnRNP I antibody confirmed that hnRNP I interacted with p27 mRNA (Figure 4a). We then determined the effect of UCA1 on p27 expression. Ectopic expression of UCA1 suppressed the p27 protein level; in contrast, UCA1-siRNA increased the p27 protein level (Figure 4b). However, UCA1 had no effect on the p27 mRNA level as detected by quantitative RT–PCR (qRT–PCR) (Supplementary Figure S5), suggesting a post-transcriptional regulation mechanism involvement. To determine the role of hnRNP I in this UCA1-mediated p27 protein expression, we suppressed hnRNP I by RNAi and as expected, hnRNP I-siRNAs reduced the p27 protein level (Figure 4c). As it has been previously shown that hnRNP I interacts with the 5′-UTR of p27,19 we cloned this region into a dual luciferase reporter (Figure 4d, top panel). We were able to show that UCA1 affected the p27 5′-UTR-driven renilla luciferase activity...
For example, ectopic expression of UCA1 caused over 20% reduction of the renilla luciferase activity and UCA1-siRNA increased the activity by over 40%. Together, these results demonstrate that UCA1 is an important factor contributing to the regulation of p27 protein expression.

To further determine how the interaction of hnRNP I with UCA1 suppresses the p27 protein level, we performed a competition assay. In these experiments, we used a smaller number of cells for RIP. As expected, we observed more UCA1 pulldown by hnRNP I antibody (approximately ninefold) for UCA1-transfected cells than for vector control cells (approximately fivefold) (Figure 4e, left panel). However, ectopic expression of UCA1 did not significantly increase the enrichment of p27 mRNA by hnRNP I antibody (IgG versus hnRNP I antibody); instead UCA1 significantly reduced the ability of hnRNP I to pull down p27 mRNA (Figure 4e, right panel), suggesting that UCA1 may compete with p27 mRNA for hnRNP I. In consistent with this finding, RNA precipitation assays with UCA1 probe revealed consistently pulldown of hnRNP I, but we were not able to detect p27 mRNA from the same pellet (Supplementary Figure S6), suggesting that, although both UCA1 and p27 mRNA can bind to hnRNP I, they are in separate complexes.

**UCA1 promotes cell growth and tumorigenesis.** p27 is a well-known tumor suppressor that controls cell cycle transition from G1 to S. Thus, we determined the effect of UCA1 on cell cycle. Ectopic expression of UCA1 increased the S phase population (12.17% for UCA1 versus 7.13% for vector control); at the same time, G1 population was decreased from 66.11% in vector control to 62.51% in the UCA1 cells (Figure 5a). On the other hand, UCA1-siRNA decreased the S phase population (3.69% for UCA1-siRNA versus 14.95% for Ctrl siRNA) (Figure 5b). These results suggest that UCA1 may promote cell growth and tumorigenesis by regulating the cell cycle transition.
for control siRNA); G1 population increased to 66.91% in the UCA1-siRNA cells from 57.18% in control siRNA (Figure 5a). The effect of UCA1-siRNA on cell cycle seems more prominent than the ectopic expression presumably because the endogenous level of UCA1 is relatively high in cancer cells.

Having demonstrated the functional interaction between UCA1 and hnRNP I, through which UCA1 is capable of suppressing p27, we next determined the role of UCA1 in breast cancer. As shown in Figure 5b, ectopic expression of UCA1 increased cell growth; in contrast to ectopic expression, UCA1-siRNA suppressed cell growth. For example, at day 4, relative cell growth for UCA1 cells is over 300% compared with 250% for the vector control. With regard to UCA1-siRNA cells, the relative cell growth at day 4 for UCA1-siRNA was ~290% compared with ~380% for control siRNA. To further determine the role of UCA1 on breast tumor growth, we injected MCF-7 cells transfected with either control siRNA or UCA1-siRNA into female nude mice. In consistent with in vitro results, UCA1-siRNA significantly reduced tumor growth (Figure 5c) and resulted in a reduction of tumor weight (Figure 5d). Moreover, we detected a stronger Ki-67 expression for tumors derived from control siRNA than that from UCA1-siRNA (Figure 5e and Supplementary Figure S7), further supporting the role of UCA1 in tumor cell growth and proliferation.

**Upregulation of UCA1 in breast tumor specimens and its expression correlates with downregulation of p27 expression.** To determine the clinical relevance of this UCA1-mediated p27 expression, we detected UCA1 expression in breast cancer tissue microarrays (TMAs) by chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH) and found that UCA1 was upregulated in breast tumors compared with the normal breast tissue (Figure 6a). In contrast, p27 was downregulated in breast tumors, which was derived from the same core (Figure 6a). This negative correlation between UCA1 and p27 was further supported by the data from breast cancer TMA. For example, among 62 tumor cores in the TMA, all of them expressed UCA1, whereas over 50% tumors revealed no p27 staining (Figure 6b). For normal tissues, there were 33.3% positive for UCA1 and 83.3% positive for p27, suggesting a clinical significance of UCA1 in breast cancer.

**Discussion**

LncRNAs have emerged as an important player in cancer biology. Increasing evidence suggests that LncRNAs may function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors. They are frequently dysregulated in cancer. In support of this notion, we show that UCA1 is upregulated in breast cancer and its expression is negatively correlated with the tumor
suppressor p27. Furthermore, although ectopic expression of UCA1 promotes, UCA1-siRNA suppresses tumor cell growth in vitro and tumor growth in vivo. Importantly, our results suggest that this UCA1-mediated tumor growth is at least in part through interaction with hnRNP I, leading to the suppression of p27 protein expression. Thus, our study provides supporting evidence that UCA1 has an oncogenic role in breast cancer.

One of important findings in this study is the identification of p27 as a UCA1 target through hnRNP I. p27 is a well-known tumor suppressor in various types of cancer. In breast cancer, p27 was shown to suppress cell cycle and cause cell cycle arrest at G1. A low level of p27 is often associated with ER- and PR-negative breast cancer, and more likely to have a high-grade tumor. p27 belongs to the Cip/Kip family of cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) inhibitor proteins; it binds to cyclin D either alone or when complexed to its catalytic subunit CDK4 and prevents the activation of cyclin D–CDK4 complexes, and thus controls the cell cycle progression at G1. In consistent with this, we show that, although the ectopic expression of UCA1 decreases, UCA1-siRNA increases the G1 population. Moreover, tumors harvested from mice reveal a much weaker signal for Ki-67 in UCA1-siRNA than in control siRNA. These results suggest that UCA1 promotes tumor growth through increased cell proliferation, which may also explain in part why UCA1 is highly expressed in breast tumor specimens compared with normal breast tissues, although the analysis of a larger number of breast cancer specimens is needed to statistically demonstrate this negative correlation with p27 expression.

Our study identifies hnRNP I as an important player in this UCA1-mediated p27 repression network because the ability of UCA1 to repress p27 is dependent on the interaction of UCA1 with hnRNP I. Suppression of hnRNP I by RNAi decreases p27, as UCA1-siRNA does. In particular, we identify a potential hnRNP I-binding motifs in UCA1. This motif is critical because mutations with two Ts and two Gs substantially decrease its ability to bind to hnRNP I (Figure 1d), providing further evidence of the specific interaction between hnRNP I and UCA1. It is well known that hnRNP I is an RNA-binding protein that carries several RNA-binding domains and it has an active role in mRNA splicing. The level of hnRNP I is relatively high in the cell. However, the majority of hnRNP I is retained in the nucleus and this may have to do with its nuclear function as a splicing regulator. Only a small fraction of hnRNP I is in the cytoplasm and it is phosphorylated. Our study suggests that this cytoplasmic phosphorylated hnRNP I is responsible for the interaction with UCA1. This is not surprising because protein translation takes place in the cytoplasm. Of interest, doxo can increase the cytoplasmic localization of the phosphorylated hnRNP I. When more phosphorylated hnRNP I is accumulated in the cytoplasm, we observe more UCA1–hnRNP I interaction, as supported by RNA precipitation assays. Thus, this doxo-induced UCA1 is likely due to the increased stability of UCA1 once it is bound to hnRNP I.

Figure 6  Negative correlation between UCA1 and p27 protein in breast cancer specimens. (a) Representative pictures showing expression of UCA1 and p27 protein in the same cores. (b) Overall relative expression of UCA1 and p27 protein in the TMA

The role of hnRNP I in cancer has been well demonstrated. Of particular interest, hnRNP I has been shown, along with hnRNP A1 and hnRNP A2, to regulate the metabolic shift from oxidative phosphorylation to aerobic glycolysis by switching the splice isoforms (M1 or M2) of the glycolytic enzyme pyruvate kinase. It is known that normal cells express the pyruvate kinase M1 isoform (PKM1), whereas tumor cells predominantly express the M2 isoform (PKM2). The finding that overexpression of hnRNP I facilitates the production of PKM2 may underscores its oncogenic role. Furthermore, dysregulation of hnRNP I has been shown to be associated
with alterations of alternative splicing and oncogenesis.\textsuperscript{43} Therefore, our study provides an additional mechanism by which hnRNP I may impact tumor cell growth.

Taken together, we demonstrate that UCA1 has an oncogenic role in breast cancer in part through the suppression of p27. We suggest that hnRNP I is an important mediator for the suppression of p27 by UCA1 (Supplementary Figure S8). Interaction of hnRNP I with UCA1 increases the stability of UCA1. Under normal situations, hnRNP I is present predominantly in the nucleus, whereas a small portion remains in the cytoplasm. However, in the presence of DNA-damaging agents such as doxo, the level of cytoplasmic hnRNP I significantly increases presumably through its phosphorylation and subcellular redistribution, as we show by hnRNP I–GFP fusion and cell fractionation experiments. This is supported by previous reports that phosphorylation impacts the subcellular localization of hnRNP I.\textsuperscript{44,45} Protein kinase A (PKA) serves a kinase for hnRNP I phosphorylation.\textsuperscript{44} In the cytoplasm, phosphorylated hnRNP I (p-hnRNP I) is able to bind to 5′-UTR of p27 and promote its translation. At the same time, p-hnRNP I is also able to interact with UCA1. Thus, there is a competition between p27 mRNA and UCA1 for p-hnRNP I. Although this interaction can increase p27 translation, a flip side is the increased level of UCA1 at the same time. Thus, the balance between the hnRNP I–p27 mRNA complex and the hnRNP I–UCA1 is critical. Alterations in UCA1, as we detected in breast tumors, may shift this balance. When UCA1 is overexpressed, the cytoplasmic hnRNP I is recruited to UCA1 such that less hnRNP I is available to p27, leading cell cycle arrest at G1. Further characterization of these complexes will provide new insight into UCA1-mediated gene regulation in cancer and as a result, UCA1 may serve as a biomarker for breast cancer diagnosis.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. Sources of primary antibodies were: p27 and Ki-67 from Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA, USA); hnRNP I from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA); GAPDH from ProteinTech (Chicago, IL, USA); and β-actin from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Antibody against phosphorylated hnRNP I were custom made from a synthetic peptide GTKGRSDELF with Ser-16 phosphorylated (PTBP1 amino acids 11–20) by Alpha Diagnostics (San Antonio, TX, USA) using the same procedure as previously described.\textsuperscript{46} Secondary antibodies conjugated with IRDye 800CW or IRDye 680 were purchased from LI-COR Biosciences (Lincoln, NE, USA).

PCR primers were purchased from IDT (Coralville, IA, USA) (Supplementary Table S1). UCA1 siRNAs and control siRNA were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). hnRNP I siRNA mixture was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Biotin-labeled UCA1-LNA probe and control oligo for in situ hybridization were purchased from Exonol (2950 Vedbaek, Denmark). Breast cancer TMAs were purchased from US Biomax (Rockville, MD, USA).

Cell culture. Breast cancer MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (both from ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA), and HCT-116 p53-WT and HCT-116 p53-null cells, as described previously,\textsuperscript{47} were grown in RPMI 1640 from Lonza (Walkersville, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM glutamine, 100 units of penicillin/ml and 100 μg of streptomycin/ml (Lonza). Cells were incubated at 37 °C and supplemented with 5% CO₂ in the humidified chamber.

LncRNA profiling. For lncRNA profiling, we used Human Disease-Related LncRNA Profiler (CAT no. RA920D, System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA) consisting of 83 lncRNAs that has been described previously.\textsuperscript{48} In brief, RNA immunoprecipitation used Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit from Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA) and hnRNP I antibody according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After the antibody was recovered by protein A + G beads (ThermoFisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), qRT–PCR was performed to detect lncRNA expression as described previously.\textsuperscript{48} IgG served as a control.

Transfection. Cells were transfected with siRNAs using RNAfectin reagent (Applied Biological Materials, Vancouver, Canada) or plasmid DNA using DNAfectin (Applied Biological Materials) following the manufacturer’s protocol as previously described.\textsuperscript{14}

Plasmid construction. PCR reactions for cloning purpose, we used Phusion enzyme (ThermoFisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The entire UCA1 (1.4 kb isoform) was amplified by RT–PCR using primers UCA1-BamH I-5′ and UCA1-EcoR I-3′ (Supplementary Table S1), and then cloned into the expression vector pCDH-CMV-MSC-EF1-copGFP (System Biosciences) using Cold Fusion kit (System Biosciences). The same strategy was used to generate other constructs in this study, otherwise stated. To generate UCA1 RNA probes for RNA precipitation, we introduced T7 promoter sequence into the PCR products from primers. For example, to clone the full-length 1.4 kb UCA1, we used primers UCA1-T7-5′ and UCA1-Not1-3′. The PCR product was cloned into pCR8. The purpose of Not I site was used to linearize the plasmid before in vitro transcription. To mutagenize the potential hnRNP I-binding site, we performed two PCR reactions. The first PCR reaction used primers UCA1-T7-5′-1 and UCA1-ctm-3′.1A. For the second PCR reaction, we used the first PCR product as template, and primers UCA1-T7-5′ and UCA1-ntNot-3′.1A. A dual luciferase construct carrying p27 5′-UTR was made by first PCR amplification using primers p27-SUTR-Xba I-luc-5′-1, p27-SUTR-renilla-5′-1.1, p27-SUTR-renilla-3′-1 and Flu-R-Xba 3′-1.1 by the same two step PCR approach, and then directly cloned into pGL3-control at Xba1 site. All PCR products were verified by DNA sequencing. Myc-tag-hnRNP I was described previously.\textsuperscript{49} GFP–hnRNP I fusion construct was provided by Dr. Xiaolong He at University of Illinois at Chicago.

Quantitative RT–PCR. LncRNA profiling by qRT–PCR was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Delta-delta C̶values were used to determine their relative expression as fold changes, as previously described.\textsuperscript{49}

Western blot. Cells were harvested, and protein was extracted from transfected cells and quantified as previously described\textsuperscript{49} using 12% or 4~20% polyacrylamide gradient SDS gel.

RNA precipitation. To determine whether UCA1 is associated with hnRNP I or p27 mRNA, we performed the RNA precipitation assay using biotin-labeled UCA1 RNA probe and then detected hnRNP I by western blot. In brief, the DNA fragment covering the entire 1.4 kb UCA1 sequence or deleted form was PCR-amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). In addition, another intronRNA, RoR exon 4 fragment, was amplified using a T7-containing primers and then cloned into pcR8 (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA).

Chromogenic in situ hybridization. CISH was used to detect UCA1 in clinical specimens essentially based on a previously described method.\textsuperscript{34} A biotin-labeled antisense LNA probe was derived from nt 687 of the 1.4 kb UCA1 (Supplementary Table S1). The relative signal was assessed based on the intensity as 0 (negative), same as a negative control, + (weak positive) and + + (strong positive).

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to detect p27 expression in breast cancer TMAs or to detect Ki-67 in xenograft tumors using the procedure as previously described.\textsuperscript{49} Relatively expression of p27 was assessed by the same procedure as for UCA1 expression.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization. Both FISH and IF stainings were used to colocalize UCA1 and hnRNP I in MCF-7 cells. FISH was performed essentially same as previously described\textsuperscript{45} except that signals were revealed by TSA Kit no. 24 with Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen). Afterward, a
standard IF was performed to detect phosphorylated hnRNP I as described previously.14

**MTT assay.** MTT assay was performed to determine the effect of UCA1 in cell growth as described previously.15 In brief, MCF-7 cells were first transfected with vector control or UCA1; control siRNA or UCA1-siRNA. The transfected cells were then seeded in 96-well plates and further cultivated for 3 more days before subjecting to MTT assays.

**Luciferase assay.** Luciferase assays were performed using a luciferase assay kit from Promega (Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol, as previously described. Briefly, cells were first transfected with appropriate plasmids in 12-well plates. Then, the cells were harvested and lysed for luciferase assay 24 h after transfection. Firefly luciferase was used as a base line.

**Animal work.** Female nude (nu/nu) mice (4–5 weeks old) were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, MA, USA). All animal studies were conducted in accordance with NIH animal use guidelines and a protocol approved by the UMMC Animal Care Committee. MCF-7 cells were transfected with control siRNA and UCA-siRNA, respectively. The cells at the exponential stage were harvested and were then mixed with 50% matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Tumor cell injection was performed as described previously.26 Paraffin-imbedded tissues were prepared for IHC staining.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis of data was performed using the Student’s t-test. Differences with P-values less than 0.05 are considered significant.
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