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Abstract: The task of predicting target proteins for new drug discovery is typically difficult. Target proteins are biologically most important to control a keen functional process. The recent research of experimental and computational -based approaches has been widely used to predict target proteins using biological networks analysis techniques. Perhaps with available methods and statistical algorithm needs to be modified and should be clearer to tag the main target. Meanwhile identifying wrong protein leads to unwanted molecular interaction and pharmacological activity. In this research work, a novel method to identify essential target proteins using integrative graph coloring algorithm has been proposed. The proposed integrative approach helps to extract essential proteins in protein-protein interaction network (PPI) by analyzing neighborhood of the active target protein. Experimental results reviewed based on protein-protein interaction network for homosapiens showed that Aeiapp based approach shows an improvement in the essential protein identification by assuming the source protein as biologically proven protein. The Aeiapp statistical model has been compared with other state of art approaches on human PPI for various diseases to produce good accurate outcome in faster manner with little memory consumption.

Keywords: Protein-Protein Interaction; Graph coloring; Essential proteins; Drug discovery; Computational methods; Knowledge discovery.

I. INTRODUCTION

Systems biology is the study of composite molecules involved in a cell such as genes, mRNA and protein using mathematical modeling. The study of protein interactions is important for finding the vital target proteins which are crucial for the reproduction of an organism. Javad Zahiri et. al [1] explored the task of finding essential proteins is important for finding the protein target for diseases, developing new drugs, functionality of proteins. However, in the research analysis done by Sung Min Han et. al [2] insight on the impact of the deletion of such essential proteins on the resulting network has been analyzed. Wengi Hu et. al [3] claimed that essential proteins are highly vital for identifying targets for treating diseases without any side effects. The method for identifying essential proteins is mainly classified as laboratory based testing and computational based approaches.

Although the laboratory based testing conducted by various researchers such as mass spectrometry by Aebersold, R [4], RNA interference by Agrawal, N. et. al [5], single gene knockouts by Zhu, J. et. al [6], and conditional knockouts by Liu, P et. al [7] are effective. But, they are highly imperative to identify the target proteins for diseases due to its computational time, cost and complexity. Moreover, these approaches are susceptible to high fault rates and result in huge false negative outcomes. To overcome the challenges of laboratory based test, researchers gave insight into computational based approaches and knowledge discovery process. The knowledge discovery process studied by Holzinger, A [8] gave a survey of the importance of applying data mining based approaches to extract unknown patterns and useful proteins from the protein interaction network. The dense sub small graphs retrieved from the protein network correspond to the essential protein. In recent times, researchers introduced a sequence of computational based approaches such as degree centrality (DC) [9], eigenvector centrality(EC) [10], edge clustering(EC) [11], closeness centrality(CC) [12], betweenness centrality(BC) [13]. Degree centrality is the simplest measure which has been used widely analyzed in a graph based network to find the essential proteins. This computes the essential proteins by computing the total incoming edges to every protein in the protein interaction network (PPI). The protein with the maximum value is likely to be a part of the essential one. Closeness centrality computes the average of shortest path from one protein to every protein in the protein interaction network (PPI). Between centrality computes the average of the shortest path that goes through a particular protein in the network. Eigen vector centrality assigns more weight to the protein if they are connected to the influential protein in a network. In recent times, computational based approaches have also been introduced to find the vital target proteins by combining topological and biological properties of protein interaction network. Yook, S et. al [14] argued a strong correlation between the structure of protein interaction network and the biological properties of the protein. SWEMODE algorithm [15] identifies dense sub graph by assigning weights for the protein based on the strength of association between the proteins. The proteins with high weights are assigned higher ranks. This focuses only on finding functionally similar proteins. Stelzl et. al [16] introduced a scoring based system to extract high confidence interactions from protein interaction network using GO annotation approach. Though, the integration of biological information produces an improvement in the prediction accuracy, the biological information is not
Even though, researchers are striving towards finding essential proteins by using computational approaches such as [17], [18],[19],[20]. It is still challenging to predict the essential proteins for specific diseases as none of the methodologies reviewed in literature are focused towards finding essential proteins for specific diseases. Topological properties of the protein interaction network have been analyzed by the researchers in recent time to find vital target proteins. The characteristic properties of hub nodes [21] give directions to analyze the impact of removing hub nodes from a protein interaction network. Ning, K et. al [22] gave insight into new reverse nearest neighbor centrality measure to explore the essential proteins by computing the ratio of edges from outside a cluster of specified protein to the number of edges from within the same cluster. In the recent study done by researchers, a wide classification of graph clustering based approaches have been developed to extract the target proteins by identifying dense regions in a protein interaction network. In the beginning MCL based clustering was introduced to perform a random walk through the network. This approach identifies the essential proteins by performing matrix multiplication and diagonal scaling functionality. Later on, in the progress of graph based clustering MCODE algorithm [23] was applied to protein interaction network to find highly connected proteins by assessing the connectivity among the neighbors of a random chosen seed protein. Furthermore, in the development of graph based clustering Restricted Neighborhood based Search Algorithm was introduced to partition the network into smaller sub graphs. Most recently, advanced hierarchical based clustering algorithm [24] was developed to partition a graph into smaller sub graphs by eliminating the least number of edges starting at a node with high degree. In the literature study, functional information of the proteins also has been incorporated to discover the protein complexes. Methodologies has also been incorporated to extract the essential proteins based on functional information of protein [25],[26],[27]. There is considerable improvement in the dense sub graph identification by assimilating topological and biological properties of the protein.

In this research paper, the essential proteins for diseases are identified using a novel mathematical model. To our understanding it is first time a graph coloring algorithm is used to extract most vital target proteins from protein interaction network.

II. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY:

In this methodology of finding essential proteins from protein-protein interaction network, the dataset is collected from STRINGDB database. The proposed AEIAPP based method comprises of three key steps to extract the essential proteins from protein interaction network. In the first step, a biological proven protein is chosen and assigned a low numbered color. In the next step, we check the neighbors of the currently chosen protein. The neighbor of the biologically chosen protein is colored with the next color which had not been used by any of the adjacent vertices. Finally, this proceeds until all proteins in a protein interaction network are colored with minimum chromatic colors.

1.1 New method AEIAPP

AEIAPP

1: Input: A Graph G (V, E) from PPI network is represented as an adjacency matrix where 1 notates the presence of an edge between proteins and 0 represents an absence of edge between proteins.

2: Output: Top percentage of essential proteins for diseases such as cancer, diabetes, allergy and NIPAH from protein interaction network based on colors.

3: Step1: From the PPI, choose a biologically proven protein and assign a chromatic color 1(red)

4: Step2: For each of the remaining proteins of the PPI, check whether it is safe to color the adjacent proteins of the currently picked target protein.

5: Step3: Perform three condition checks at the currently selected protein. Firstly, there may not be any proteins adjacent to the currently chosen protein. Secondly, there may be adjacent proteins which are already colored. Thirdly, there may be adjacent proteins which are not colored.

6: Step4: The first and second condition need not be considered for coloring.

7: Step5: The third condition can be checked, by using the formula’s (1) and (2). It is used to find the proteins that are adjacent to the target protein and to check whether it is already colored.

8: Step6: For all the set of the proteins in a PPI, compute its color.

9: Step7: Top percentages of essential proteins for diseases are computed by extracting the primary and secondary colors from PPI.
Figure 2: Extraction of primary and secondary interactions from target protein (TP53)

Figure 5: Graph coloring algorithm to protein interaction dataset

Figure 6: Extraction of primary and secondary interaction from target protein (SCL2A4)

Figure 7: Graph coloring algorithm applied to protein interaction dataset

Figure 8: Extraction of primary and secondary interactions from target protein (FBXO6)
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment was conducted with the huge collection protein interaction data collected from STRINGdb, because the network is complete and reliable. The protein network for different diseases is given as an input to the statistical graph coloring algorithm. The AEIPPP algorithm predicts the relevant target protein for various diseases by extracting the colors. The protein identified with one unique color is categorized as an active target protein. The primary and the secondary colors extracted from the active target protein are categorized as the other relevant essential proteins. The analysis was performed for various human diseases such as cancer, diabetes, allergy and NIPAH virus. The algorithm is able to achieve an average of 85% prediction accuracy.

### TABLE I

| S.NO | Diseases | No of proteins | No of edges | Target protein | #Essential proteins | Computational Time(sec) | Accuracy(%) |
|------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 1    | Cancer   | 201            | 4438        | TP53           | 21                  | 23                     | 89.8        |
|      |          | 1587           | 11348       |                | 22                  | 25                     | 87.4        |
|      |          | 2587           | 23689       |                | 22                  | 30                     | 86.6        |
| 2    | Diabetes | 257            | 5331        | CDK6           | 12                  | 32                     | 84.3        |
|      |          | 1642           | 24551       |                | 11                  | 31                     | 83.2        |
|      |          | 2377           | 27897       |                | 25                  | 31.2                   | 82.5        |
|      |          | 3422           | 36541       |                | 22                  | 32.8                   | 81.1        |
| 3    | Allergy  | 247            | 3858        | SCL2AC         | 12                  | 24                     | 79.4        |
|      |          | 1374           | 4724        |                | 12                  | 25                     | 80.2        |
|      |          | 2174           | 32794       |                | 23                  | 26.5                   | 82.3        |
|      |          | 2263           | 33674       |                | 22                  | 29                     | 83.3        |
| 4    | NIPAH    | 237            | 1783        |                | 10                  | 32                     | 82.4        |
|      |          | 1634           | 2258        |                | 11                  | 33.5                   | 81.6        |
|      |          | 2854           | 32678       |                | 12                  | 34                     | 81.5        |
|      |          | 3264           | 33592       |                | 13                  | 35                     | 82.4        |

Fig. 9: Top 25% essential proteins

Fig. 10: Top 20% essential proteins
Fig. 11: Top 15% essential proteins

Fig. 12: Top 10% essential proteins
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