Treatment of Cleft Foot Deformity using Fish Mouth Incision and Suture-Button in Paediatric Foot
A case report
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ABSTRACT: Cleft foot is a congenital anomaly characterised by absence of the metatarsal bones and phalanges. It is commonly seen in children with ectrodactyly-ectodermal dysplasia-clefting syndrome ranging from a median cleft up to the mid metatarsals to a deep cleft up to the tarsal bones. Surgical treatment in the form of cleft closure, excision of the rudimentary metatarsal bone and cross K-wire fixation of metatarsal bones have been tried for the management of such cases. We report a one-year-old child who presented to the paediatric orthopaedic clinic at a medical college in New Delhi, India, in 2018 with type III cleft foot with four metatarsals. The patient was treated with a suture-button system using three transverse tunnels in the second and third metatarsal bones in order to bring them closer together. A satisfactory outcome was achieved with normal fitting footwear.
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Discussion

Cleft foot is a rare anomaly which is usually syndromic and associated with other spectrum of deformities such as cleft lip and cleft palate, etc. Clinical presentation can vary from an absence of toes to a deep cleft with absence of metatarsals. Blauth and Borisch's radiographic classification is commonly used to classify the deformity. According to this classification, the current patient was a Type III with four metatarsal bones. In addition, Abraham et al's classification was used to classify the deformity clinically; the patient was type I clinically with the cleft almost crossing the mid-metatarsal level.

The indications for surgery in these patients are an improved foot shape for footwear and a better cosmetic appearance. Treatment should be devised as per the patient's demand and the magnitude of the deformity. The various techniques used for such repairs are simple cleft closure with Z-plasty, application of double pedicled flap, cross K-wire fixation of the metatarsal bones to prevent splaying in the post-operative period and removing the horizontal metatarsal in order to bring the other metatarsals closer and decrease the width of the foot. All the authors in the literature have emphasised the need for reconstruction of intermetatarsal ligament to prevent failure of correction. Insertion of a silicone block has been used in cases with two or three ray deficiencies to fill the gap. Some authors have used the Ilizarov apparatus for correction of cleft foot at an adult age, but this can't be used in children owing to the cartilaginous nature of foot bones. The suture-button mechanism is used to bring the two metatarsals closer and is a recent modality being tried in these patients with the purpose of restoration of the intermetatarsal ligament function. Although there are risks with this technique, such as splintering of the metatarsal while tunnel drilling and tunnel blow-out during the tightening of the suture, if done carefully, it is an excellent technique to decrease the intermetatarsal space; it protects the cleft repair and restores the function of the intermetatarsal ligament. It is also thought to maintain the alignment of the metatarsal bones during growth so that they do not divert. Instead of using a single large drill hole to pass the fibre-wire, in the current patient three...
transverse drill holes of 1 mm diameter were used so as to decrease the chances of iatrogenic fracture and suture cut-out in such a young child.

It should be noted that the shorter duration of follow-up can be considered as a limitation of this report considering the chance of recurrence of deformity at a later age.

Conclusion

Cleft foot is a complex deformity with poor cosmesis and the treatment procedure should be chosen judiciously to obtain a good cosmetic and functional result for the patient. Cleft closure combined with a suture-button technique is a relatively new approach and is effective in protecting the soft tissue closure by decreasing the inter-metatarsal gap, keeping in mind the possibility of iatrogenic metatarsal fracture and suture cut-out.
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Figure 4: Photographs of the feet at 18 months of follow-up with footwear.