INTRODUCTION

Feed utilization is a multi-step process that takes place in a specific rumen environment. Extent of degradation of diet varies due to fluctuations in rumen environment that was transcribed by the diet itself (Sutherland, 1988). Defining the optimal rumen environment is not easy particularly where rations based on crop residues are fed to the animals. It is frequently cited in the literature that the combination of factors like low palatability, essential nutrients inadequacy, high resistance to structural degradation by chewing and deleterious secondary components of crop residues create imbalance in rumen environment (Leng, 1990; Weston, 1996). Creating an efficient rumen ecosystem for fermentative digestion of crop residues and balancing its end products with supplement have been emphasized in order to optimize productivity of the ruminants in tropics (Preston and Leng, 1987; Leng, 1990; Bhat and Bansil, 1999). A large number of supplements have been tried to improve rumen environment of the animals when fed on straw based diets (Ndlovu and Buchanan-Smith, 1985; Silva and Orskov, 1988; Fondevila et al., 1994; Mgheni et al., 1994; Prakash et al., 1996; Bonsi and Osuji, 1997). Different supplements in use in developing countries for feeding of ruminants can be generalized into three categories such as concentrate, hays and green forage, and non-protein nitrogen (NPN). This study compared the influence of three categories of supplement on rumen environment to degrade cell wall constituents of untreated and urea treated straw samples. The hypothesis (null) tested was that the degradation of cell walls in the rumen is independent of type of supplement fed to the animals on straw based diet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and diets

Sixteen crossbred (Brown Swiss × Sahiwal) adult male cattle fitted with flexible rumen cannula were divided into four equal groups of comparable age and body weight (31±0.8 months, 248±16 kg). Animals were fed on ad libitum wheat straw as basal roughage. Treatments (T) were (1) without any protein or energy supplement but supplementation of mineral mixture (control; T1) or supplemented with concentrate mixture (CS; T2) or green Lucerne (GLS; T3) or urea-molasses block lick (ULS; T4). Total dry matter intake in T2, T3 and T4 was increased by 70, 54 and 49%, respectively compared to T1 which was only 1.55 kg/100 kg B.Wt. Other than control animals, straw intake was less on T1 than T2 or T4. In Sacco degradation of untreated and urea treated wheat or paddy straw in different treatments indicated that the supplements had a significant (p<0.01) impact on rapidly soluble (A) and insoluble but potentially degradable (B) fractions of straw. Urea treatment increased fraction-A but, provision of supplement improved fraction-B also. Effective degradation (ED) of OM was better on T2. Rate of degradation (C) of OM and CWC was dependent on diet and type of straw but hemicellulose and cellulose were related to latter factor only. ED of cell wall carbohydrates (CWC) was similar in T2 and T4 but higher than T3. CS was more effective in improving the degradation of both untreated and urea treated straw while ULS was effective on the former only. CS had more impact on superior quality straw while contrary was true with ULS. Although GLS improved intake and degradability of untreated and urea treated straws, its bulkiness affected the straw intake compared to other supplements. (Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 2002. Vol 15, No. 2 : 195-204)
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diets (ARC, 1990). Concentrate mixture was formulated with maize 35, groundnut cake 27, wheat bran 35, mineral mixture 2 and common salt 1 part. UMMB lick was a compressed block with a total weight of 3 kg. The ingredients of UMMB licks were selected with an objective to augment rumen fermentation (Srinivas and Gupta, 1997). It comprises of urea 15, molasses 40, mineral mixture 8, common salt 3, sodium bentonite 3, calcite powder 3, cottonseed cake 14 and groundnut extractions 14 parts. Nitrogen content of the CS, GLS and ULS was 3.67, 3.42 and 8.40%, respectively. The ratio between metabolizable and gross energy of T2, T3 and T4 was 0.4. Though the 3 supplements fed to meet the maintenance need of the animals, they were vary in bulkiness with different levels of dry matter content (table 1). Green Lucerne was harvested between 8:30 to 9:00 h. Both CS and GLS were offered to the animals at 9:30 h. UMMB licks were placed in a plastic tray and fitted adjacent to the feed trough. Animals in T3 were accessible to UMMB licks throughout the day to encourage its licking at free of choice. On fresh basis, animals were consumed 1.17, 4.95 and 0.52 kg⋅d−1 concentrate mixture, green Lucerne and UMMB lick, respectively. The bulkiness of the GLS was 4 times higher than CS and that of the CS was 2 times higher than ULS. Daily roughage and supplement intake were recorded throughout the study.

Sampling for measuring degradation attributes of different straw

Wheat and paddy straw samples of untreated (WS and PS) and urea treated (UTWS and UTPS) were used to study the pattern of degradability of cell walls in rumen. WS and PS were treated with 4% urea and 40% moisture (Dias-da-Silva and Sundstol, 1986). Both untreated and treated samples were milled in a willey mill through 2.5 mm sieve. Degradation of straw samples in rumen was studied using nylon bag technique (Orskov et al., 1980).

A sample of 4-5 g was taken in a 5×10 cm nylon bag having a pore size of 50-60 μm. After a preliminary period of feeding for 45 d, samples were suspended in rumen. Bags in triplicate were taken for each incubation time per animal in each treatment. Bags were removed at 12, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h, washed with tap water and washing losses estimated. Bags were dried to a constant weight in hot air oven at 70°C. Final weights were recorded with correction for washing losses. Straw samples were analysed for organic matter and cell wall constituents before and after incubation in rumen (Goering and Van Soest, 1970).

Estimation of rumen degradation kinetics

The percent disappearance of dry matter (DM) was calculated as the difference between the feed and the residue remaining in nylon bag. These values were fitted to the following non-linear regression equation (McDonald, 1981):

\[
P = A + B (1 - \exp(-Ct)) \quad \text{(1)}
\]

Where A and B are different fractions denoting soluble and insoluble but potentially degradable portion of the feed component, respectively and C is fractional rate of disappearance of B. Effective degradability (ED) of feed was calculated from A, B and C values by using following equation:

\[
P = A + (B / (C + K)) \times [\exp((-C - K) \times T)] \quad \text{(2)}
\]

Where K is the out flow rate of digesta from the rumen. It was assumed as 0.03 h−1 since the diets were based on crop residues and fed at maintenance level (ARC, 1990).

Rumen attributes and digestibility trial

Rumen liquor samples were collected through a cannula with the help of specially made stainless steel probes with a large number of small holes drilled in them and covered with fine nylon cloth (pore size 50-60 μm). Probes were kept at four different sites in rumen to draw a representative sample of rumen liquor at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h interval after offering the feed. Rumen liquor was strained (SRL) through double layer cheese cloth. Rumen liquor pH, total nitrogen (Total-N; AOAC, 1984), ammonia nitrogen (NH3-N; Conway, 1962) and total volatile fatty acids (TVFA; Barnett and Reid, 1977) were estimated.

A digestibility trial for 7 d was conducted at the end of the study. Daily collections of faeces were weighed, mixed thoroughly and 1% sub-samples was taken. Fecal samples were kept acid by adding 4 M H2SO4. Feed and faeces samples were analysed for proximate principles (AOAC, 1984) and cell wall constituents (Goering and Van Soest, 1970).

| Variable               | Wheat straw Untreated | Urea treated | Paddy straw Untreated | Urea treated | Concentrate mixture | Green lucerne | UMMB lick |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Dry matter             | 94.32                  | 52.76        | 90.80                  | 49.52        | 93.66               | 24.32         | 94.27     |
| Organic matter         | 87.92                  | 85.44        | 86.21                  | 86.38        | 93.89               | 88.16         | 78.89     |
| Neutral detergent fibre| 82.23                  | 81.68        | 79.34                  | 79.58        | 40.90               | 51.14         | 11.26     |
| Acid detergent fibre   | 54.74                  | 54.32        | 52.96                  | 53.05        | 22.65               | 38.72         | 3.90      |
| Hemicellulose          | 27.49                  | 27.36        | 26.38                  | 26.53        | 18.25               | 12.42         | 7.36      |
| Cellulose              | 39.21                  | 39.84        | 33.54                  | 33.48        | 15.07               | 29.10         | 1.82      |

Table 1. Chemical composition of straw substrates and diet supplements
Statistical analysis

Effect of diet, type of straw and interaction between both the factors on degradation characters were analysed using randomized complete block design for factor α (Diet) at four levels with factor β (Type of Straw) a split plot on α with 4 levels using following model.

\[X_{ijk} = \mu + \rho_i + \alpha_j + \beta_k + I_{ijk} + e_{ijk}\]

where \(X_{ijk}\) is the observation variable corresponding to the observation \(X_{ijk}\) coming from the \(k^{th}\) subplot treatment of the \(j^{th}\) main plot treatment in the \(i^{th}\) replication, \(\mu\) is the general mean, \(\rho_i\), \(\alpha_j\), \(\beta_k\) are the fixed effect of the \(i^{th}\) replication, \(j^{th}\) main treatment and \(k^{th}\) subplot treatment, respectively. \(I_{ijk}\) is the interaction effect between diet and type of straw, \(\gamma_{ij}\) is error component for diet and replication and \(e_{ijk}\) are the error components that are assumed to be independently and normally distributed with zero mean and constant variance. The relative effective degradability (RED) was calculated as ratios between treatment means and grand mean of all the treatments. Ranking for degradation attributes between diet was done using nearest neighbor analysis (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967; Das and Giri, 1991).

RESULTS

The chemical composition of the untreated and urea treated straw samples and feed is given in table 1. It was similar between untreated and treated straw samples. Three supplements in T2, T3 and T4 were distinctly different in organic matter (OM) and cell wall composition. Cell wall carbohydrates (CWC) in the supplement of T2 were less than T1, but higher than T4.

Straw intake was significantly (p<0.01) different between diets. Total dry matter intake (kg/100 kg body weight) was increased by 70, 54 and 49% in T2, T3 and T4 than that of T1, respectively (table 2). When compared between supplemented groups, straw intake was less in T3 than in other two treatments. Whole tract digestibility coefficient for DM and OM were significantly different (p<0.01) between treatments. However, digestibility coefficients of CWC were similar. Fluctuations in rumen parameters tested at different intervals after feeding was shown in figure 1. Rumen pH in T2 was below 6.5 and significantly less (p<0.01) than other treatments that were above 6.6. In particular, rumen pH in T3 was higher (p<0.01). Total-N and NH3-N were significantly (p<0.01) higher in T2 and T4, respectively compared to other treatments. TVFA concentration in T2, T3 and T4 were similar but substantially less (p<0.01) on T1.

Influence of treatment on A of OM and CWC degradation is presented in table 3. Diet, type of straw and their interaction were significantly influenced A of OM or CWC. Response of different kinetic attributes (A, B, C or D) to the supplement was described as relative improvement over T1. Impact of T2 was higher and T4 was less on A of OM for WS whereas higher in T3 and less in T2 in case of PS. A of UTWS was improved two fold compared to UTPS (19-35%) in T2, T3 and T4. Supplements had more impact on A of neutral detergent fibre (NDF) of WS or UTWS, and A of hemicellulose or cellulose of PS or UTPS. T2 had better impact on the A of NDF irrespective of
straw sample while the impact of T2 was lesser. T3 could induce less impact on the A of hemicellulose or cellulose compared to T2 and T4.

Diet, type of straw and their interaction had significant effect on B of OM or NDF but not on that of the hemicelluloses or cellulose (table 4). Although B of OM in WS due to supplements was unaltered, it was enhanced by 20-30% after urea treatment than T1. Improvement in B of NDF in PS was two fold less than that of the WS in T2, T3 and T4 but increased three fold in the latter after urea treatment. Impact of T2, T3 and T4 on the B of hemicellulose and cellulose was higher in T4 and less in T2.

C of OM and NDF were significantly affected by the diet and type of straw (table 5). However, only type of straw had significant influence on the C of hemicellulose and cellulose. Except C of OM or NDF in PS, urea treatment enhanced C of OM or CWC. C of hemicellulose or cellulose was relatively improved more in T4 than T2 or T3.

Table 2. Intake and digestibility of diets in different treatments

| Component | Wheat straw ad lib & no supplement | Wheat straw ad lib + supplementation of | Mean | LSD |
|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------|-----|
|           | Concentrate mixture | Green Lucerne | UMMB lick | ±SE |     |
| Initial body weight (kg) | 251.8 | 252.5 | 252.8 | 253.0 | 9.64 | 21.80 |
| Final body weight (kg) | 244.3 | 253.8 | 253.2 | 253.8 | 9.91 | 22.42 |
| Straw intake (kg/d)** | 3.87a | 5.54b | 4.82c | 5.32bd | 0.36 | 0.40 |
| (g/kg W0.75) | 61.23c | 87.68b | 76.00d | 84.15bd | 1.40 | 3.17 |
| Supplement intake (kg/d) | 0.02a | 1.10b | 1.20b | 0.49c | 0.05 | 0.12 |
| (g/kg W0.75) | 0.3a | 17.38b | 19.05b | 7.79c | 0.36 | 1.39 |
| Total dry matter intake | 1.54a | 2.64b | 2.38c | 2.31cd | 0.05 | 0.09 |
| kg/100 kg body weight | 61.55a | 105.08b | 95.05c | 91.95cd | 0.89 | 3.11 |
| g/kg M0.75** | 28.16a | 54.35b | 47.67c | 47.49f | 0.67 | 1.52 |
| Digestible dry matter intake (g/kg W0.75)** | 26.77a | 52.04b | 46.11c | 45.71c | 0.66 | 1.49 |
| Digestible organic matter intake (g/kg W0.75)** | 97.35 | 127.81 | 140.04 | 116.73 | 6.27 | 60.16 |
| Degradable cell contents intake from basal roughage | 3.89 | 5.09 | 5.58 | 4.66 | 1.05 | 2.38 |
| (g/100 kg B.Wt) | 268.26a | 379.16b | 296.79c | 367.00b | 9.07 | 25.28 |
| (g/kg W0.75)** | 10.64a | 15.06b | 11.83c | 14.60b | 0.40 | 0.91 |
| Degradable cell walls intake from basal roughage | 43.72a | 49.52b | 48.51b | 49.71b | 0.67 | 4.43 |
| (g/100 kg B. Wt)** | 46.00a | 51.72b | 50.15b | 51.59b | 0.68 | 2.10 |
| (g/kg W0.75)** | 48.55 | 51.55 | 50.35 | 50.83 | 0.46 | 1.62 |
| Neutral detergent fibre | 40.77 | 44.42 | 41.90 | 45.79 | 0.67 | 2.50 |
| Acid detergent fibre | 56.14 | 59.36 | 57.54 | 57.97 | 0.79 | 2.86 |
| Hemicellulose | 49.37 | 50.19 | 51.43 | 52.29 | 0.61 | 1.95 |
| Cellulose | 43.72a | 49.52b | 48.51b | 49.71b | 0.67 | 4.43 |
| Digestibility coefficients | 46.00a | 51.72b | 50.15b | 51.59b | 0.68 | 2.10 |
| Dry matter** | 48.55 | 51.55 | 50.35 | 50.83 | 0.46 | 1.62 |
| Organic matter** | 40.77 | 44.42 | 41.90 | 45.79 | 0.67 | 2.50 |
| Neutral detergent fibre | 56.14 | 59.36 | 57.54 | 57.97 | 0.79 | 2.86 |
| Acid detergent fibre | 49.37 | 50.19 | 51.43 | 52.29 | 0.61 | 1.95 |
| Hemicellulose | 61.80 | 67.30 | 64.80 | 66.30 | 0.82 | 2.33 |
| Cellulose | 12.00 | 13.70 | 13.20 | 13.50 | 0.55 | 1.83 |
| Rumen parameters | 13.50 | 15.20 | 14.70 | 14.90 | 0.67 | 2.33 |
| pH** | 6.84a | 6.26b | 6.91a | 6.78a | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| Ammonia nitrogen** (%) | 3.60a | 23.20b | 18.16c | 30.30d | 1.08 | 2.28 |
| Total nitrogen** (%) | 30.40c | 146.00b | 130.24c | 136.30d | 6.52 | 5.83 |
| Total volatile fatty acids** (mM L⁻¹ SRL) | 38.50a | 73.80b | 70.26b | 69.90b | 7.62 | 6.16 |

a,b,c,d Values bearing different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.01).

Diet, type of straw and their interaction had significant effect on B of OM or NDF but not on that of the hemicelluloses or cellulose (table 4). Although B of OM in WS due to supplements was unaltered, it was enhanced by 20-30% after urea treatment than T1. Improvement in B of NDF in PS was two fold less than that of the WS in T2, T3 and T4 but increased three fold in the latter after urea treatment. Impact of T2, T3 and T4 on the B of hemicellulose and cellulose was higher in T4 and less in T2.

C of OM and NDF were significantly affected by the diet and type of straw (table 5). However, only type of straw had significant influence on the C of hemicellulose and cellulose. Except C of OM or NDF in PS, urea treatment enhanced C of OM or CWC. C of hemicellulose or cellulose was relatively improved more in T4 than T2 or T3.

Diet, type of straw and their interaction significantly (p<0.01) influenced the ED of OM and CWC. The ED of straws were higher in T2 and less in T3. ED of straws in T4 was more comparable with T2 rather with T1 (table 6).

The principle aim of the work is to differentiate the impact of diets on the qualitative degradation of straws rather than comparison between type of straws, grouping of RED values of OM and cell wall constituents were considered between untreated and treated straw. The RED below 1.0 in T1 and some extent in T2 indicate relatively poor response of the degradation of straw to the diets compared to other diets. RED of urea treated straw in T3 was moderately 1.0 (table 7). RED values on T2 and T4 were much higher than 1.0 for both untreated and treated straw. The overall ranking from nearest neighbour analysis indicated that ED of straws was higher on T2, T4, T3 and T1 as in the order given.
### Table 3. Rapidly soluble fraction (A) of organic matter and cell wall components of urea treated and untreated straw in different rumen environments

| Diet            | Type of straw | Organic matter | Neutral detergent fibre | Hemicellulose | Cellulose |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 4.69           | 4.71                    | 5.50          | 3.55      |
| ad lib and      | PS            | 2.95           | 6.82                    | 5.37          | 4.85      |
| No supplement   | UTWS          | 6.76           | 8.34                    | 10.35         | 8.40      |
| No supplement   | UTPS          | 10.31          | 10.33                   | 8.08          | 8.84      |
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 8.14           | 5.28                    | 13.41         | 4.78      |
| ad lib +        | PS            | 4.74           | 7.53                    | 15.79         | 10.02     |
| Concentrate     | UTWS          | 12.29          | 10.98                   | 17.19         | 8.76      |
| Supplement      | UTPS          | 12.30          | 11.94                   | 15.89         | 14.39     |
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 6.97           | 8.11                    | 10.07         | 4.87      |
| ad lib + Fresh  | PS            | 7.00           | 8.16                    | 12.36         | 8.47      |
| Green Berseem   | UTWS          | 11.71          | 11.53                   | 12.95         | 8.29      |
| Supplement      | UTPS          | 13.80          | 11.37                   | 12.36         | 12.47     |
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 6.26           | 7.25                    | 12.40         | 4.83      |
| ad lib +        | PS            | 4.85           | 10.89                   | 17.29         | 9.12      |
| UMBB Lick       | UTWS          | 10.00          | 12.52                   | 15.54         | 9.54      |
| Supplement      | UTPS          | 12.26          | 11.92                   | 17.18         | 14.34     |
| SEM             | Factor        | 0.79           | 0.40                    | 0.50          | 0.34      |
| SEM             | Interaction   | 1.59           | 0.79                    | 1.01          | 0.68      |
| SEM             | Critical difference# | Factor D/S | 1.25**/** | 0.72**/** | 1.43**/** | 0.97**/** |
|                | Interaction   | 2.25*         | 1.14*                   | 2.86*         | 1.94**    |
| Statistical Significance: ** p<0.01, * p<0.05, NS: p>0.05.

### Table 4. Slowly degradable fraction (B) of organic matter and cell wall components of urea treated and untreated straw in different rumen environments

| Diet            | Type of straw | Organic matter | Neutral detergent fibre | Hemicellulose | Cellulose |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 60.61          | 37.96                   | 50.35         | 53.28     |
| ad lib and      | PS            | 53.03          | 48.10                   | 56.98         | 58.06     |
| No supplement   | UTWS          | 52.66          | 68.03                   | 54.46         | 62.69     |
| No supplement   | UTPS          | 50.23          | 68.52                   | 57.90         | 51.50     |
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 59.67          | 54.89                   | 56.62         | 55.07     |
| ad lib +        | PS            | 58.03          | 59.24                   | 65.90         | 59.85     |
| Concentrate     | UTWS          | 60.97          | 71.67                   | 72.08         | 61.44     |
| Supplement      | UTPS          | 68.08          | 84.40                   | 65.40         | 57.91     |
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 61.42          | 58.02                   | 67.57         | 55.75     |
| ad lib + Fresh  | PS            | 53.05          | 64.51                   | 67.59         | 65.85     |
| Green Berseem   | UTWS          | 61.53          | 71.89                   | 66.05         | 60.55     |
| Supplement      | UTPS          | 60.62          | 66.60                   | 67.59         | 60.94     |
| Wheat Straw     | WS            | 58.71          | 66.65                   | 69.18         | 55.51     |
| ad lib +        | PS            | 59.19          | 60.80                   | 71.80         | 57.66     |
| UMBB Lick       | UTWS          | 61.38          | 72.48                   | 69.29         | 61.93     |
| Supplement      | UTPS          | 77.76          | 80.07                   | 69.07         | 62.00     |
| SEM             | Factor        | 1.58           | 1.74                    | 1.77          | 1.64      |
| SEM             | Interaction   | 3.16           | 3.49                    | 3.54          | 3.29      |
| Critical Difference# | Factor D/S | 4.49**/** | 4.95**/** | 5.03**/NS | 4.68NS/NS |
|                | Interaction   | 8.98*         | 9.91*                   | 10.06**       | 9.36**    |
| Statistical Significance: ** p<0.01, * p<0.05, NS: p>0.05.

# 'D' at the left of the slash indicates significance of diet factor and 'S' at right of the slash indicates significance of straw factor.
DISCUSSION

Chemical composition of untreated and urea treated straw could not yield a definite inference against the existing two contrary opinions on its influence on altering the cell wall composition (Ibrahim et al., 1995). Generally difference in chemical composition may be possible due to variation in the proportions of leaf blade in the straws. Leaf blade in wheat straw was reported to digest more than that of the paddy straw (Capper, 1988). In any case, variation in solubility of CWC and their response to chemical treatment could be explained by the difference in leaf and stem proportion of the straws (Capper, 1988). Higher silica content in rice straw compared to wheat straw reported to make its leaves less digestible than stems (Walli et al., 1988). Positive associate effects of supplements on dry matter intake was confirmed in the earlier recommendation to feed supplement with straw based diet (Silva and Orskov, 1988; Prakash et al., 1996; Bonsi and Osuji, 1997). Intake and digestibility of forage feeds by cattle are positively related (Baile and Forbes, 1974). In this experiment no significant difference in dry matter digestibility (DMD) coefficient between supplemented diets reflected that the difference in intake could be attributed to other factors like body weight, physiological state, energy value and method of conservation (ARC, 1990).

However, uniform physical state of the experimental animals in the supplemented diets and the significant difference in intake between treatments per unit of metabolic body size which otherwise should be, tend to count on rest other factors. The predicted value of metabolizability (q) of diets from the DMD coefficient by the factor suggested by ARC (1990) was 0.35, 0.40, 0.39 and 0.40 in T1, T2, T3 and T4, respectively. It was significantly different between diets but not between T2, T3 and T4. This then indicated that the variability in intake could be attributed to physical form of the supplements because its bulkiness has been reported to affect the intake (Preston, 1982). The 1:4 ratio between GLS and basal roughage in the present study also appeared to limit the intake of the latter. Increased intake with lucerne content more than 25% has been reported to associate with linear increase in particulate passage rate and physical change in particle size in rumen digesta rather than change in fermentative degradation of the particulate matter (Hunt et al., 1988). The closed arrangement of lignified tissues of Lucerne imparts an advantage of greater ease of breakdown in rumen (Grenet, 1989) besides the animal inclination in chewing fresh forage effectively than dried ones (Ulyatt et al., 1986). Bowman and Asplund (1988) also reported lower intake of CWC when lucerne hay was supplemented to caucasian bluestem hay. Quantitative DM intakes per unit

Table 5. Rate constant of degradation (C) of organic matter and cell wall components of urea treated and untreated straw in different rumen environments

| Type of straw | Organic matter | Neutral detergent fibre | Hemicellulose | Cellulose |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Wheat Straw   | WS 0.91        | 1.44                   | 1.75         | 0.55     |
| ad lib and    | PS 2.13        | 0.97                   | 1.69         | 0.98     |
| No supplement | UTWS 2.31      | 0.64                   | 1.55         | 0.54     |
|               | UTPS 1.52      | 0.60                   | 1.64         | 1.20     |
| Wheat Straw   | WS 1.65        | 1.42                   | 1.37         | 0.59     |
| ad lib +      | PS 2.49        | 1.16                   | 1.21         | 0.92     |
| Concentrate   | UTWS 1.69      | 0.96                   | 1.02         | 0.65     |
| Supplement    | UTPS 1.52      | 0.79                   | 1.21         | 1.04     |
| Wheat Straw   | WS 1.26        | 0.95                   | 1.07         | 0.61     |
| ad lib + Fresh| PS 1.73        | 0.72                   | 1.07         | 0.90     |
| Green Berseem | UTWS 1.53      | 1.00                   | 1.21         | 0.60     |
| Supplement    | UTPS 1.43      | 0.79                   | 1.07         | 1.01     |
| Wheat Straw   | WS 1.69        | 1.05                   | 0.99         | 0.72     |
| ad lib +      | PS 2.45        | 1.26                   | 0.94         | 1.03     |
| UMMB Lick     | UTWS 1.83      | 0.95                   | 1.16         | 0.72     |
| Supplement    | UTPS 1.29      | 0.85                   | 1.00         | 1.14     |
| SEM           | Factor 0.13    | 0.05                   | 0.07         | 0.04     |
|               | Interaction 0.27| 0.11                   | 0.15         | 0.08     |
| Critical Difference | Factor \(0.38^{**}\) | 0.15\(0.31^{**}\) | 0.21\(0.41^{NS}\) | 0.12\(0.24^{NS}\) |
|               | Interaction 0.76\(NS\) | 0.31\(NS\) | 0.41\(NS\) | 0.24\(NS\) |

# 'D' at the left of the slash indicates significance of diet factor and 'S' at right of the slash indicates significance of straw factor. Statistical significance: ** p<0.01, * p<0.05, NS: p>0.05.

However, uniform physical state of the experimental animals in the supplemented diets and the significant difference in intake between treatments per unit of metabolic body size which otherwise should be, tend to count on rest other factors. The predicted value of metabolizability (q) of diets from the DMD coefficient by the factor suggested by ARC (1990) was 0.35, 0.40, 0.39 and 0.40 in T1, T2, T3 and T4, respectively. It was significantly different between diets but not between T2, T3 and T4. This then indicated that the variability in intake could be attributed to physical form of the supplements because its bulkiness has been reported to affect the intake (Preston, 1982). The 1:4 ratio between GLS and basal roughage in the present study also appeared to limit the intake of the latter. Increased intake with lucerne content more than 25% has been reported to associate with linear increase in particulate passage rate and physical change in particle size in rumen digesta rather than change in fermentative degradation of the particulate matter (Hunt et al., 1988). The closed arrangement of lignified tissues of Lucerne imparts an advantage of greater ease of breakdown in rumen (Grenet, 1989) besides the animal inclination in chewing fresh forage effectively than dried ones (Ulyatt et al., 1986). Bowman and Asplund (1988) also reported lower intake of CWC when lucerne hay was supplemented to caucasian bluestem hay. Quantitative DM intakes per unit
of metabolic body weight from CS and GLS was same but stimulation in straw intake was higher for former than for the latter. This supports the view that diets based on coarse roughages would be eaten in greater quantity with higher concentrates (ARC, 1990). CS effect on straw intake was greater than other two types of supplement. CS generally has an advantage of providing performed amino acids, peptides and bypass protein those have an influence on fibre digestion in the rumen of cattle (Smith et al., 1987, McAllan et al., 1988) and voluntary intake (Preston, 1982). Variation in the particle size of CS, GLS or ULS and their chemical composition; such as fractions of cell contents or cell walls, makes them to behave differently while fermenting in rumen. Low cell wall fractions, particle size and shape were the peculiar advantageous of CS in kinetics rate of digestion (Murphy and Kennedy, 1993). The rate of fermentation of concentrate ingredients as determined from the gas production per unit time appears to be higher than meadow hay and oat straw (krishnamoorthy et al., 1991). Differential rate of fermentation of ingredients in the CS, may presumed to maintain a gradual and steady rate of fermentation compared to GLS which may not have such an advantage.

Variations in rumen pH between diets testify the

**Table 6.** Effective degradation (ED) of organic matter and cell wall components of urea treated and untreated straw in different rumen environments.

| Diet                | Type of straw | Organic matter | Neutral detergent fibre | Hemicellulose | Cellulose |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Wheat Straw         | WS            | 20.45          | 16.93                  | 23.88         | 16.88     |
| ad lib and          | PS            | 21.93          | 16.90                  | 25.85         | 19.05     |
| No supplement       | UTWS          | 25.35          | 19.28                  | 28.28         | 19.23     |
|                     | UTPS          | 26.98          | 20.70                  | 28.40         | 23.45     |
| Wheat Straw         | WS            | 28.43          | 22.88                  | 30.93         | 24.73     |
| ad lib +            | PS            | 29.88          | 23.90                  | 34.15         | 25.23     |
| Concentrate         | UTWS          | 35.00          | 27.00                  | 34.83         | 28.25     |
| Supplement          | UTPS          | 36.18          | 28.43                  | 34.15         | 28.30     |
| Wheat Straw         | WS            | 24.33          | 18.33                  | 26.98         | 20.58     |
| ad lib + Fresh      | PS            | 26.05          | 19.98                  | 29.78         | 21.90     |
| Green Berseem       | UTWS          | 31.90          | 25.75                  | 30.73         | 23.28     |
| Supplement          | UTPS          | 34.09          | 24.85                  | 29.78         | 26.63     |
| Wheat Straw         | WS            | 27.18          | 22.25                  | 29.05         | 24.35     |
| ad lib +            | PS            | 28.23          | 23.70                  | 34.73         | 25.20     |
| UMMB Lick           | UTWS          | 34.28          | 27.38                  | 34.25         | 26.83     |
| Supplement          | UTPS          | 34.93          | 28.30                  | 33.78         | 28.38     |
| SEM Factor          | Interaction   | 0.50           | 0.38                   | 0.50          | 0.46      |
| Critical Difference#| Factor        | 0.71**        | 0.54**                 | 0.71**        | 0.65**    |
|                     | Interaction   | 1.42**        | 1.08**                 | 1.41**        | 1.30**    |

# 'D' at the left of the slash indicates significance of diet factor and 'S' at right of the slash indicates significance of straw factor. Statistical Significance: ** p<0.01, * p<0.05, NS: p>0.05.

**Table 7.** Relative effective degradability (RED) of cell wall components of urea treated and untreated straw substrates in different diet

| Diet | Untreated Straw | Urea Treated Straw |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|
|      | OM              | NDF                | Hemicellulose | Cellulose | OM          | NDF          | Hemicellulose | Cellulose |
| I    | 0.818           | 0.809              | 0.848        | 0.813     | 0.809       | 0.803        | 0.872         | 0.833     |
|      | (4)             | (4)                | (4)          | (4)       | (4)         | (4)          | (4)           | (4)       |
| II   | 1.118           | 1.146              | 1.101        | 1.113     | 1.101       | 1.095        | 1.078         | 1.112     |
|      | (1)             | (1)                | (1)          | (1)       | (1)         | (1)          | (1)           | (1)       |
| III  | 0.972           | 0.928              | 0.976        | 0.965     | 1.027       | 1.004        | 0.977         | 0.989     |
|      | (3)             | (3)                | (3)          | (3)       | (3)         | (3)          | (3)           | (3)       |
| IV   | 1.092           | 1.116              | 1.074        | 1.109     | 1.063       | 1.097        | 1.073         | 1.067     |
|      | (2)             | (2)                | (2)          | (2)       | (2)         | (2)          | (2)           | (2)       |

Values in parenthesis indicate relative ranking of diets.
differential impact of supplements on rumen environment. Generally rumen environment defined by pH and concentration of fermentation end products affect the rate and extent of rumen degradation of food (Ranilla et al., 2000). Taking into consideration the fact that the level of NH$_3$-N needed in rumen is dependent on the pH of the rumen (Smith, 1989), NH$_3$-N concentration corresponding to pH on GLS was lower compared to either CS or ULS. Substantially lower concentration of TVFA in control and supplemented diet again ascertained energy deficiency on straw diets. As suggested by Leng (1990), higher NH$_3$-N and TVFA concentration on supplemented diets may probably induce an impact on the microbial cell synthesis that lead to the improved voluntary intake.

Degradation of WS or PS was increased significantly (p<0.01) after urea treatment. It is well known that the NH$_3$ released from urea by hydrolysis will have an partial impact on the solubilization of cell walls of straws/stovers (Dias-da-silva and Sundstol, 1986). Improvements in degradation attribute of A, B and ED of OM or CWC after urea-ammoniation were in agreement with the earlier reports (Nakashima and Orskov, 1990; Fondevila et al., 1994). PS, which had a less degradation than WS, was improved to more extent after urea treatment than the latter. Tuah et. al. (1986) reported that the ammonia treatment tended to improve poor varieties of straws to a greater extent than those varieties having better nylon bag disappearance values. Significant influence of diet and type of straw on the kinetic attributes between treatments and type of straw indicated that both rumen environment and physical structure of straw are important factors (Orskov et al., 1990). When the quality of straw was inferior as in PS, the impact of CS was less but the impact of GLS or ULS was comparatively higher. Contrary impact of CS compared to GLS or ULS on OM and NDF with regard to A, B or ED indicated that it supported more of the degradation of cell contents.

It is evident from the earlier studies that the growth of non-structural carbohydrate fermenting microorganism is maximized when diet contained natural protein source (Russel et al., 1992; Meng et al., 2000). Concurrently it is also probable that the specific effect of comparatively less pH on CS along with non-specific effect of carbohydrates source from grain may have contra-impact on the growth of cellulolytic organism (Leng, 1990). Comparatively better kinetic attributes of hemicellulose and cellulose degradation on ULS than other two supplements may probably due to higher pH and NH$_3$-N concentration in rumen. Earlier studies have shown an increase in the utilization of low-N and low-digestible forage at higher ruminal NH$_3$-N concentration (Leng, 1990). Despite the fact that addition of high digestible forage along with straw induces the colonization effect of rumen bacteria on the latter (Krebs et al., 1989; Leng, 1990), improvement in degradation of hemicellulose or cellulose over control on GLS was either average or poor than CS and ULS. Presumably this may be due to lack of adequate quantity of NH$_3$-N in rumen on GLS to satisfy the requirement of poor fermentability of straw because NH$_3$-N required for maximum digestion is a function of fermentability of the diet (Erdman et al., 1986). The studies of Silva and Orskov (1988) have clearly shown that when the NH$_3$-N in rumen was below 200 mg l$^{-1}$, potential degradability of barley straw was effected. Studies from Australia showed that 200 mg l$^{-1}$ is a minimum level of rumen fluid NH$_3$-N for optimum voluntary intake of straw (Krebs and Leng, 1984; Boniface et al., 1986). Lower intake of WS observed on GLS may also thus attributable to below 200 mg l$^{-1}$ NH$_3$-N and less rate of degradation of CWC (Manyuchi et al., 1992).

Type of straw had a significant effect on the content of hemicellulose and cellulose but diet did not have any impact on it. Generally C is largely dependent on physico-chemical characteristics of substrate (Van Soest, 1994) but C of OM or NDF was dependent on both diet and type of straw. It was also observed that the C of hemicellulose was higher than cellulose. Such variation could be expected because the potentially-digestible cell wall can have more than one rate constant characteristic of the different cell wall components (Smith et al., 1987). Urea treatment had no significant affect on the C and agrees with the observations reported by other workers (Nakashima and Orskov, 1990; Fondevila et al., 1994). C of OM on GLS or ULS was decreased and NDF was increased after supplementation and vice-versa was true with CS. Presumably such changes could be due to influence of diet on the composition of microbial species in rumen (Russel et al., 1992).

The overall effects of supplements on the RED of OM and CWC of untreated and treated straw were remarkable. CS had superior ranking for RED of both untreated and treated straw. ULS was ranked to be better than GLS. RED values less than 1.0 in GLS indicated its under impact on the degradation of straws. However, GLS coupled with urea treatment appears to bring changes in RED of OM and NDF but not in the RED of hemicellulose and cellulose. Although ULS along with urea treatment was appeared to improve RED of NDF but it was not an accountable improvement as the ranking of hemicellulose and cellulose were unchanged.

CONCLUSIONS

Straw diets categorically require supplements to improve conditions in the rumen for optimum fibre degradation. Different supplements viz., concentrate, green
leguminous fodder and urea-molasses based supplements were found effective to increase the total dry matter intake but their impact on the extent of degradation of straw was variable. CS was found to be a better choice among all the categories of supplements. However, it had a more impact on superior quality straw. ULS was observed to be better choice on inferior quality straw e.g., paddy straw. CS along with urea treated straw enhanced the degradation of fraction-A besides fraction-B unlike urea alone without any supplements. GLS was not as effective as CS or ULS to enhance the degradation of either untreated and treated straw. While supplementing green fodder for straw diets care should be taken for its level of inclusion in the diet. It should be included in the ration along with basal roughage at the ratio of 1:4 or less to avoid impact of its bulkiness on the consumption of basal roughage. GLS or ULS may not have much impact on the degradation of hemi cellulose or cellulose of treated straw.

REFERENCES

AOAC. 1984. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.

Agriculture Research Council. 1990. The nutrient requirements of ruminant livestock. Common-wealth Agricultural Buerax International, Agricultural Research Council, Wallingford, UK., pp. 59-71.

Baile, C. A. and J. M. Forbes 1974. Control of feed intake and regulation of energy balance in ruminants. Physiol. Rev. 54:160-214.

Barnett, A. J. G. and R. L. Reid. 1957. Studies on the production of volatile fatty acids from grass and rumen liquor in an artificial rumen. J. Agric. Sci. 48:315-321.

Bhat, P. N. and P. C. Bansil. 1999. Grains and roughage production in India. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 69:47-49.

Boniface, A. M., R. M. Murray and J. P. Hogan. 1986. Optimum level of ammonia in the rumen liquor of cattle tropical pasture hay. Proc. Aust. Soc. Anim. Prod. 16:151-154.

Bonsi, M. L. K. and P. O. Osuji. 1997. The effect of feeding cottonseed cake, sesbania or leucaena with crushed maize as supplement to teff straw. Livest. Prod. Sci. 51:173-181.

Bowman, J. G. P. and J. M. Asplund. 1988. Nitrogen utilization, ruminal fermentation and abomasal nitrogen flow in sheep fed Caucasian bluestem hay supplemented with Lucerne or urea. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 20:33-44.

Capper, B. S. 1988. Genetic variation in the feeding value of cereal straw. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 21:127-140.

Conway, E. J. 1962. Micro diffusion analysis and volumetric error (5th Ed.). Crosby Lockwood, London.

Das, M. N. and N. C. Giri. 1991. Design and Analysis of Experiments. Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi.

Dias-Da-Silva, A. A. and F. Sundstol. 1986. Urea as a source of ammonia for improving the nutritive value of wheat straw. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 14:67-79.

Erdman, R. A., G. H. Proctor and J. H. Vandersall. 1988. Effect of rumen ammonia concentration on in situ rate and extent of digestion of feedstuffs. J. Dairy Sci. 69:2312-2320.

Fondevilla, M., C. Castrillo, J. A. Guada and J. Balcells. 1994. Effect of ammonia treatment and carbohydrate supplementation of barley straw on rumen liquid characteristics and substrate degradation by sheep. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 50:137-155.

Goering, H. K. and P. J. Van Soest. 1975. Forage Fibre Analysis (Apparatus, reagent, procedures and some applications). ARS, U.S. Deptt., Agric., Handbook No. 379, Washington, DC.

Greener, E. 1989. A comparison of the digestion and reduction in particle size of Lucerne hay (Medicago sativa) and Italian ryegrass hay (Lolium italicum) in the ovine digestive tract. Br. J. Nutr. 62:493-507.

Hunt, C. W., T. J. Klopenstein and R. A. Britton. 1988. Effect of alfalfa addition to wheat straw diets on intake and digestion in beef cattle. Nutr. Rep. Int. 38:1249-1257.

Ibrahim, M. N. M., S. Tammenga and G. Zemmelink. 1995. Degradation of tropical roughages and concentrate feeds in the rumen. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 54:81-92.

Krebs, G. and R. A. Leng. 1984. The effect of supplementation with molasses/urea blocks on ruminal digestion. Proc. Aust. Soc. Anim. Prod., 15:704-705.

Krishnanavothry, U., H. Soller, H. Steingass and K. H. Menke. 1991. A comparative study on rumen fermentation of energy supplements in vivo. J. Anim. Physiol. a. Anim. Nutr. 65:28-35.

Krebs, G., R. A. Leng and J. V. Nolan. 1989. Effect of bacterial kinetics in the rumen of eliminating rumen protozoa or supplementing with soyabean meal or urea in sheep on a low protein fibrous feed. In: The Roles of Protozoa or Fungi in Ruminant Digestion (Ed. J. V. Nolan, R. A. Leng and D. I. Demeeyer) Penambul books, Armidale, Australia. pp. 199-210.

Leng, R. A. 1990. Forage Utilization by ruminants. Nutr. Res. Rev. 3:277-303.

Manyuchi, B., E. R. Orskov and R. N. B. Kay. 1992. Effects of feeding small amounts of ammonia treated straw on degradation rate and intake of untreated straw. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 38:293-304.

McAllan, A. B., J. E. Cockburn, A. P. Williams and R. H. Smith. 1988. The degradation of different protein supplements in the rumen of steers and the effect of these supplements on carbohydrate digestion. Br. J. Nutr. 60:669-682.

Mc Donal. 1981. A revised model for the estimation of protein degradability in the rumen. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 96:251-252.

Meng, Q. X., Z. G. Xia and M. S. Kerley. 2000. The requirement of ruminal degradable protein for non-structural carbohydrate digestion. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 96:251-252.

Mghenti, D. M., A. E. Kimambo, F. Sundstol and J. Madsen. 1994. The influence of urea supplementation or treatment of rice straw and fish meal supplementation on rumen environment and activity in sheep. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 49:223-235.

Murphy, M. R. and P. M. Kennedy. 1993. Particle dynamics. In: Quantitative Aspects of Ruminant Digestion and Metabolism (Ed. J. M. Forbes and J. France). CAB International, UK. pp. 86-105.

Nakashima, Y. and E. R. Orskov. 1990. Rumen degradation of straw. 9. Effect of cellulose and ammonia treatment on different varieties of rice straws and their botanical fractions. Anim. Prod. 50:309-317.
Ndlovu, L. R. and J. G. Buchanan-Smith. 1985. Utilization of poor quality roughages by sheep: effects of alfalfa supplementation on ruminal parameters, fibre digestion and rate of passage from the rumen. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 65:693-703.

Orskov, E. R., F. O. Deb Hovell and F. Mould. 1980. The use of the nylon bag technique for the evaluation of feed stuffs. Tropical Anim. Prod. 5:195-213.

Orskov, E. R., W. J. Shand, D. Tedescot and L. A. F. Morrice. 1990. Rumen degradation of straw. 10. Consistency of differences in nutritive value between varieties of cereal straws. Anim. Prod. 51:155-162.

Prakash, P., D. V. Reddy, R. Ramachandra Reddy and N. Krishna. 1996. The catalytic effect of supplementation of protein meals on utilization of rice straw-poultry droppings-rice bran diet in buffaloes. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 63:229-243.

Preston, T. R. 1982. Nutritional limitations associated with the feeding of tropical forages. J. Anim. Sci. 54:877-883.

Preston, T. R. and R. A. Leng. 1987. Matching ruminant production systems with available resource in the tropics and sub-tropics. Penambul Books, Armidale.

Ranilla, M. J., M. D. Carro, F. J. Giraldez, A. R., Mantecon and J. S. Gonzalez. 2000. Comparison of rumen fermentation patterns and in situ degradation of grazed herbage in Churra and Merino sheep. Livest. Prod. Sci. 62:193-204.

Russel, J. B., J. D. O’onnor, D. G. Fox, P. J. Van Soest and C. J. Sniffen. 1992. A net carbohydrate and protein system for evaluating cattle diets. I. Ruminal fermentation. J. Anim. Sci. 70:3551-3561.

Silva, A. T. and E. R. Orskov. 1988. The effect of five different supplements on the degradation of straw in sheep given untreated barley straw. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 19:289-298.

Smith, L. H., H. K. Goering, D. R. Waldo and C. H. Gordon and C. H. 1971. In vitro digestion rate of forage cell wall components. J. Dairy Sci. 54:71-76.

Smith, R. H. 1989. Protein metabolism in the rumen. In: Advanced Nutrition for Developing Countries (Ed. U. B. Singh). Indo-vision pvt. Ltd., Ghaziabad, India. pp. 158-177.

Smith, R. H., M. P. Grantley-Smith, R. T. Merry, A. B. McAllan, J. D. Oldham and D. N. Salt. 1987. Supply of N compounds to the rumen and their subsequent metabolism and nutritional value. In: Isotope Aided studies on Non-protein-Nitrogen on Agro-Industrial By products utilization by ruminants, Vietina, IAEA. pp. 41-62.

Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran. 1967. Statistical Methods Oxford and IBH publishing Company, New Delhi, India.

Srinivas Bandla and B. N. Gupta. 1997. Rumen fermentation, bacterial and total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) production rates in cattle fed on urea-molasses-mineral block licks supplement. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 65:275-286.

Sutherland, T. M. 1988. Particle separation in forestomach of sheep. In: Aspects of Digestive Physiology in Ruminants (Ed. A. Dobson and M. J. Dobson). Publishing Associates, Ithaca, New York. pp. 43-73.

Tuah, A. K., E. Lufafefu, E. R. Osskov and G. A. Blackett. 1986. Rumen degradation of straw I. Untreated and ammonia-treated barley, oat and wheat straw varieties and Triticale straw. Anim. Prod. 43:261-286.

Ulyatt, M. J., D. W. Dellow, A. John, C. S. W. Reid and G. C. Waghorn, G. C. 1983. Contribution of chewing during eating and rumination to the clearance of digesta from the rumenoreticulum. In: Control of Digestion and Metabolism in Ruminants (Ed: L. P. Milligan, W. L. Grovum and A. Dobson). Prentice-Hall, Engelwood Cliffs, New Jersey. pp. 498-515.

Van Soest, P. J. 1994. The nutritional ecology of the ruminant. (2nd Ed). Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press.

Walli, T. K., E. R. Orskov and P. K. Bhargava. 1988. Rumen degradation of straws, botanical fraction of the straw varieties and effect of ammonia treatments. Anim. Prod. 46: 347-352.

Weston, R. H. 1996. Some aspects of constraint to forage consumption by ruminants. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 47:175-97.