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A B S T R A C T

Bone marrow stromal antigen 2 (BST2), an interferon-inducible antiviral factor, has been shown to block the release of various enveloped viruses from cells. It has also been identified as an innate immune system component. Most enveloped viruses subject to BST2 restriction bud at the plasma membrane. Here we report our findings that (a) the production of human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E) progeny viruses, whose budding occurs at the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC), markedly decreases in the presence of BST2; and (b) BST2 knockdown expression results in enhanced HCoV-229E virion production. Electron microscopy analyses indicate that HCoV-229E virions are tethered to cell surfaces or intracellular membranes by BST2. Our results suggest that BST2 exerts a broad blocking effect against enveloped virus release, regardless of whether budding occurs at the plasma membrane or intracellular compartments.

Introduction

Coronaviruses are found in a wide variety of host species, including bats, mice, cats, dogs, pigs, horses, birds, whales and humans (Perlman and Netland, 2009). Infected hosts may develop respiratory, enteric, hepatic or neurological diseases with different degrees of severity. Prior to the 2002–2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak triggered by a previously unknown coronavirus (Drosten et al., 2003; Marra et al., 2003; Rota et al., 2003), human coronaviruses (HCoV) 229E and OC43 were recognized as the two primary coronaviruses causing upper respiratory tract infections associated with severe pulmonary diseases in elderly, newborn, and immunocompromised individuals (Garbino et al., 2006). Results from one study involving a large collection of human nasopharyngeal specimens suggest that HCoV-229E, -NL63, -OC43 and -HKU1 are the only coronaviruses circulating in human populations (Zlateva et al., 2013). However, more recent studies of fatal human infections by a novel SARS-like coronavirus named MERS-CoV have been reported (Zaki et al., 2012). This is a reminder that novel coronaviruses can emerge and cause life-threatening infectious diseases, although most human coronaviruses infections result in mild symptoms such as those associated with the common cold.

Most virus infections induce a type 1 interferon (IFN-α/β) response that in turn triggers the expression of numerous diverse genes (Sadler and Williams, 2008). IFN-inducible or IFN-stimulated gene (ISGs) expression is considered an important innate defense system against virus infections (Randall and Goodbourn, 2008). Among IFN-inducible expression factors, BST2 (also known as CD317, HM1.24, or tetherin) has been characterized as a host restriction factor capable of impeding the release of multiple viruses, including retroviruses (Gupta et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2009; Le Tortorec and Neil, 2009; Neil et al., 2008; Van Damme et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009), filoviruses (Jouvenet et al., 2009; Kaletsy et al., 2009; Sakuma et al., 2009), herpesviruses (Mansouri et al., 2009), arenaviruses (Radoshitzky et al., 2010), and influenza viruses, including virus-like particles (Watanabe et al., 2011; Yondola et al., 2011) and wild-type virions (Mangeat et al., 2012), and the Sendai virus (Bampi et al., 2013).

BST2 is a 30–36 kDa type II transmembrane protein consisting of a cytoplasmic amino-terminal region followed by a transmembrane domain, a coiled-coil extracellular domain, and a carboxy-terminal glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor (Kupzig et al., 2003). The protein is known to associate with lipid rafts on cell surfaces and at the internal membrane, likely with the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (Kupzig et al., 2003). One model suggests that BST2 inhibits virion release by tethering nascent virions to cell surfaces via its amino-terminal transmembrane domain and carboxy-terminal GPI anchor (Neil et al., 2008; Perez-Caballero et al., 2009). Treatment with a protease such as subtilisin can support virion release, presumably via proteolytic BST2 cleavages linking budding virions to cell surfaces (Neil et al., 2006).

Most viruses restricted by BST2 in this manner share two common features: they possess envelope proteins and bud from the plasma membrane. Coronavirus assembly and budding occurs at the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) (Hunter, 2001). Vesicles containing accumulated coronavirus particles are transported to the plasma membrane, where they release virions exocytotically (Masters, 2006).
The goal of our research was to determine whether BST2 also affects the release of coronaviruses whose budding processes differ from those of most other enveloped viruses. We selected HCoV-229E, a common human coronavirus, as a representative strain. Our results suggest that BST2 is capable of counteracting HCoV-229E infection via the tethering of nascent progeny virions at the cell surface. Our data also support the idea that BST2 is capable of restricting the virus particle release of different enveloped virus families.

Results

BST2 reduces HCoV-229E virion yields

To determine whether BST2 is also capable of inhibiting human coronavirus egress, we examined the supernatants of HCoV-229E-infected HeLa cells following transient BST2 knockdown. As shown in Fig. 1A, BST2-knockdown HeLa cells yielded higher quantities of virions compared to control HeLa cells. We then established a stable BST2 knockdown HeLa cell line (HeLa/BST2-), and observed that it exerted an effect similar to that of the transient BST2 knockdown in terms of promoting HCoV-229E production (Fig. 1B). When tested with a Vpu-deleted HIV-1 clone (known to be restricted by BST2 in the absence of the Vpu viral protein), the BST2 knockdown resulted in the release of a larger quantity of virus particles (Fig. 1C); this is consistent with previous reports (Goffinet et al., 2010; Neil et al., 2008).

Since IFNα is capable of enhancing BST2 expression, we tested the impact of IFNα treatment on HCoV-229E replication. HCoV-229E-infected A549 cells were treated with IFNα. At 24 h post-treatment, culture supernatants were collected and subjected to plaque assays and Western immunoblotting. As expected, the IFNα-infected HeLa cells following transient BST2 knockdown. As shown in Fig. 1A, BST2-knockdown HeLa cells yielded higher quantities of virions compared to control HeLa cells. We then established a stable BST2 knockdown HeLa cell line (HeLa/BST2-), and observed that it exerted an effect similar to that of the transient BST2 knockdown in terms of promoting HCoV-229E production (Fig. 1B). When tested with a Vpu-deleted HIV-1 clone (known to be restricted by BST2 in the absence of the Vpu viral protein), the BST2 knockdown resulted in the release of a larger quantity of virus particles (Fig. 1C); this is consistent with previous reports (Goffinet et al., 2010; Neil et al., 2008).

Since IFNα is capable of enhancing BST2 expression, we tested the impact of IFNα treatment on HCoV-229E replication. HCoV-229E-infected A549 cells were treated with IFNα. At 24 h post-treatment, culture supernatants were collected and subjected to plaque assays and Western immunoblotting. As expected, the IFNα-infected HeLa cells following transient BST2 knockdown. As shown in Fig. 1A, BST2-knockdown HeLa cells yielded higher quantities of virions compared to control HeLa cells. We then established a stable BST2 knockdown HeLa cell line (HeLa/BST2-), and observed that it exerted an effect similar to that of the transient BST2 knockdown in terms of promoting HCoV-229E production (Fig. 1B). When tested with a Vpu-deleted HIV-1 clone (known to be restricted by BST2 in the absence of the Vpu viral protein), the BST2 knockdown resulted in the release of a larger quantity of virus particles (Fig. 1C); this is consistent with previous reports (Goffinet et al., 2010; Neil et al., 2008).

Since IFNα is capable of enhancing BST2 expression, we tested the impact of IFNα treatment on HCoV-229E replication. HCoV-229E-infected A549 cells were treated with IFNα. At 24 h post-treatment, culture supernatants were collected and subjected to plaque assays and Western immunoblotting. As expected, the IFNα-infected HeLa cells following transient BST2 knockdown. As shown in Fig. 1A, BST2-knockdown HeLa cells yielded higher quantities of virions compared to control HeLa cells. We then established a stable BST2 knockdown HeLa cell line (HeLa/BST2-), and observed that it exerted an effect similar to that of the transient BST2 knockdown in terms of promoting HCoV-229E production (Fig. 1B). When tested with a Vpu-deleted HIV-1 clone (known to be restricted by BST2 in the absence of the Vpu viral protein), the BST2 knockdown resulted in the release of a larger quantity of virus particles (Fig. 1C); this is consistent with previous reports (Goffinet et al., 2010; Neil et al., 2008).

Since IFNα is capable of enhancing BST2 expression, we tested the impact of IFNα treatment on HCoV-229E replication. HCoV-229E-infected A549 cells were treated with IFNα. At 24 h post-treatment, culture supernatants were collected and subjected to plaque assays and Western immunoblotting. As expected, the IFNα-infected HeLa cells following transient BST2 knockdown. As shown in Fig. 1A, BST2-knockdown HeLa cells yielded higher quantities of virions compared to control HeLa cells. We then established a stable BST2 knockdown HeLa cell line (HeLa/BST2-), and observed that it exerted an effect similar to that of the transient BST2 knockdown in terms of promoting HCoV-229E production (Fig. 1B). When tested with a Vpu-deleted HIV-1 clone (known to be restricted by BST2 in the absence of the Vpu viral protein), the BST2 knockdown resulted in the release of a larger quantity of virus particles (Fig. 1C); this is consistent with previous reports (Goffinet et al., 2010; Neil et al., 2008).

Since IFNα is capable of enhancing BST2 expression, we tested the impact of IFNα treatment on HCoV-229E replication. HCoV-229E-infected A549 cells were treated with IFNα. At 24 h post-treatment, culture supernatants were collected and subjected to plaque assays and Western immunoblotting. As expected, the IFNα-infected HeLa cells following transient BST2 knockdown. As shown in Fig. 1A, BST2-knockdown HeLa cells yielded higher quantities of virions compared to control HeLa cells. We then established a stable BST2 knockdown HeLa cell line (HeLa/BST2-), and observed that it exerted an effect similar to that of the transient BST2 knockdown in terms of promoting HCoV-229E production (Fig. 1B). When tested with a Vpu-deleted HIV-1 clone (known to be restricted by BST2 in the absence of the Vpu viral protein), the BST2 knockdown resulted in the release of a larger quantity of virus particles (Fig. 1C); this is consistent with previous reports (Goffinet et al., 2010; Neil et al., 2008).
treatment enhanced BST2 expression (Fig. 1D, lane 3), which is associated with a decrease in infectious virus production (lane 3 vs. lane 2).

To find out if BST2 expression is induced by infections, we inoculated A549 cells with HCoV-229E at different MOIs. Results indicate barely detectable BST2 following HCoV-229E infection (Fig. 1E). To determine if the IFNα-induced decrease in HCoV-229E egress was due to BST2-triggered restrictions, we transiently transfected HCoV-229E-infected A549 cells with BST2-specific siRNA following IFNα treatment. Results indicate that BST2 knockdown is capable of elevating HCoV-229E levels in supernatants when normalized to cell-associated N levels (Fig. 1F, lane 7 vs. lane 5). Similar results from independent experiments were observed. BST2 expression in A549 cells was barely induced by IFNα following HCoV-229E infection (Fig. 1F, lane 5 vs. lane 2), suggesting that HCoV-229E counteracts the capability of IFNα to induce BST2 expression or expresses certain factors against BST2. IFNα does not significantly enhance BST2 expression in HCoV-229E-infected cells to restrict virus egress—in other words, the effect of BST2 knockdown on virus egress enhancement is marginal. According to these data, it is difficult to conclude whether HCoV-229E egress is significantly restricted by IFNα-induced BST2. Our observation of a noticeable reduction in virus egress following IFNα treatment (Fig. 1D) could be due, at least in part, to the time of sample analysis (see “Discussion” section).

BST2 knockdown exerts no significant effects on HCoV-229E entry

To further determine whether BST2 affects HCoV-229E replication, we assayed HCoV-229E growth kinetics in BST2 knockdown HeLa cells (HeLa/BST2-). HeLa cells with or without BST2 knockdown were inoculated with HCoV-229E at 0.1 MOI. Virus titers at various times post-infection were determined by A549 cell plaque assays. At 10 h post-infection and later, HeLa cells produced viral titers at levels significantly lower than HeLa/BST2- (Fig. 2A). Between 7 and 9 h post-inoculation, HeLa/BST2- cells produced higher virus titers, but not at a statistically significant level. Plaque morphologies of A549 cells infected with virions released from HeLa/BST2- or HeLa cells were similar (data not shown). However, we repeatedly observed that the sizes of viral plaques on HeLa/BST2- cells were larger than those on HeLa cells following HCoV-229E infection, despite the lack of major differences in plaque numbers between the two cell types (Fig. 2B). LARGER PLASSE SIZES observed on HeLa/BST2- cells were likely the result of the spread of nascent progeny virions to adjacent cells rather than increased susceptibility to HCoV-229E infection. To verify the lack of major impacts of BST2 knockdown on HCoV-229E susceptibility or entry, we examined viral protein expression in both HeLa/BST2- and HeLa cells at various times post-HCoV-229E infection. As shown in Fig. 3A, HeLa/BST2- and HeLa cells had approximately equal numbers of fluorescent-positive viral nucleocapsid protein cells at 4 h post-infection. However, at 6 h post-infection and later there was a much larger number of fluorescent-positive HeLa/BST2- cells compared to HeLa cells—an increase likely due to the spread of nascent progeny virions. These results were confirmed by immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3B). Combined, the data suggest that BST2 knockdown does not significantly affect HeLa cell susceptibility to HCoV-229E, but markedly affects progeny virus yields.

HCoV-229E virus particles are tethered at cell surfaces

The above findings reflect low-MOI infections; we also ran tests to determine if the same findings occurred under high-MOI conditions. As shown in Fig. 4A, HeLa/BST2- cells produced larger quantities of progeny virions compared to HeLa cells regardless of MOI. The finding that HeLa cells produced a relatively low level of N was likely due to a portion of those cells not being infected, in part because of decreased virus spreading in the presence of BST2 (Fig. 3). Another possibility is that viral proteins were not fully expressed at 12 h post-infection. To determine whether BST2 restriction of HCoV-229E occurs in the same manner as in other enveloped viruses (i.e., whether virus particles are tethered at the cell surface), we fixed HeLa and HeLa/BST2- cells and observed them with a scanning electron microscope at 12 h post-infection. As shown in Fig. 4B and C, numerous clusters consisting of between 2 and 20 virus particles were found on HeLa cell surfaces. In contrast, most of the virus particles found on HeLa/BST2- cell surfaces were singular and scattered. When treated with the protease subtilisin (which triggers the release of cell surface-associated HIV-1 virions by cleaving BST2 (Neil et al., 2007)), much larger quantities of HCoV-229E or HIV-1 (control) virions were recovered in supernatants (Fig. 4D, lanes 5–6 vs. lanes 3–4). No significant increases in virion quantity were found in HeLa/BST2- culture supernatant following subtilisin treatment (Fig. 4D, lane 12 vs. lane 14). We also observed that larger amounts of HCoV-229E virions were recovered from HeLa culture medium than from HeLa/BST2- culture medium following subtilisin treatment, suggesting that the HeLa cells had larger quantities of virions trapped at the cell surface (Fig. 4D, lane 6 vs. lane 14). This result is compatible with SEM analysis data showing much smaller quantities of HCoV-229E virions found on the surfaces of HeLa/
BST2- cells (Fig. 4B); it also provides support for the proposal that HCoV-229E is readily released from cells in the absence of BST2. Combined, these findings support the assumption that BST2 strongly inhibits HCoV-229E progeny virion production by physically tethering budding virions to cell surfaces.

**HCoV-229E virions associate with BST2**

Since coronavirus particle budding occurred at ER/Golgi compartments, it is likely that the budding virions were tethered to vesicle membranes by BST2, and therefore could not be released from cell surfaces post-exocytosis at the plasma membrane. To test this idea, we performed transmission electron microscopy analyses of HCoV-229E-infected cells. Our results indicate much larger quantities of virions on HeLa cell surfaces compared to HeLa/BST2- intracellular vesicles (Fig. 5B), whereas virions in HeLa/BST2- intracellular vesicles were distinctly separate from each other and/or not closely associated with cell membranes (Fig. 5D). Results from the immunogold labeling of BST2 indicate the presence of BST2 molecules between virions and/or between virions and cell membranes (Fig. 5E–G, arrows), giving further support to the proposal that BST2 tethers virions to cell membranes, and suggesting that HCoV-229E virions can be linked via BST2.

**HCoV-229E infection downregulates BST2 expression level and rescues HIV-1 egress**

Since viruses such as HIV-1 (Habermann et al., 2010) and influenza (Mangeat et al., 2012) are capable of downregulating BST2 expression levels on cell surfaces, we looked at whether HCoV-229E infection has a similar capability. Flow cytometry results indicate that cell surface BST2 levels were significantly lower following HCoV-229E infection at 5 MOI (Fig. 6A). Further, confocal microscopy observations show that BST2 signal on the plasma membranes of HCoV-229E-infected cells was noticeably lower compared to uninfected cells (Fig. 6B). Consistent with the results shown in Fig. 4A, flow cytometry and immunofluorescence

---

**Fig. 3.** BST2 knockdown has no significant effects on HCoV-229E entry. (A) HeLa and HeLa/BST2- cells were inoculated with HCoV-229E at 0.1 MOI for 1 h at 37°C. At each indicated time, cells were fixed and expressed viral nucleocapsid (N) proteins were detected with a rabbit anti-N antibody and a secondary FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry. Percentages of infected cells were determined by dividing the number of FITC-positive cells by the number of total cells and multiplying by 100. (B) HeLa and HeLa/BST2- cells were infected with HCoV-229E at either 0.1 or 2 MOI. At 4, 6, or 12 h post-infection, cells were fixed, probed with a primary anti-229E N antibody and a secondary rhodamine-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody, and observed using a laser confocal microscope. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Mock-infected cells or cells not exposed to the primary antibody yielded no signal (data not shown).
analyses indicate that HCoV-229E infection at a lower MOI did not result in significant change in overall or surface BST2 expression levels in HeLa cells (data not shown).

Given the association between efficient HIV-1 egress and BST2 downregulation, it is possible that HCoV-229E is capable of enhancing HIV-1 NL4.3delVpu release from HeLa cells by counteracting BST2 anti-viral activity. To test this possibility, we transfected HeLa cells with a NL4.3delVpu expression vector prior to HCoV-229E infection. We observed a significant reduction in BST2 expression level tied to a 1.7-fold increase in NL4.3delVpu virus particle production (Fig. 6C). Combined, these results suggest that HCoV-229E infection leads to BST2 downregulation in HeLa cells, thus contributing to greater Vpu-defective HIV-1 egress.

Discussion

Our main finding is that BST expression results in a significant decrease in progeny virus production. This is in agreement with the notion that BST2 is a major component of innate immunity against enveloped virus replicative infections. Both transient and stable expression of BST2 knockdown resulted in the marked enhancement of HCoV-229E progeny virus titer quantities. Electron microscope observations indicate an association with virus particle clusters at cell surfaces in the presence of BST2 (Figs. 4 and 5). Immunogold labeling results indicate that BST2 molecules enhanced the linking of virions to each other or to cell membranes (Fig. 5), suggesting that HCoV-229E virions are restricted to membranes by BST2 during budding in ER/Golgi compartments. The primary site of BST2 antiviral activity is thought to be the cell surface, where many viruses acquire their envelopes by directly budding from the plasma membrane (Le Tortorec et al., 2011; Swiecki et al., 2013). However, some enveloped viruses such as herpesviruses (which complete their final envelopment by obtaining membranes from TGN and/or endosomal compartments and egress via exocytosis), are also subject to BST2 restrictions (Mansouri et al., 2009; Mettenleiter, 2002). Results from our recent study suggest that BST2 can moderately restrict the release of hepatitis C virus (HCV), whose assembly takes place in the ER, and whose release from cells occurs via secretory pathways in a fashion similar to that of coronaviruses (Dafa-Berger et al., 2012; Jones and McLauchlan, 2010). It is possible that virions linked to vesicle membranes via BST2 association are retained on cell surfaces following the exocytotic fusion of virion-containing vesicles with plasma membranes. This finding, plus the inhibition of enveloped virus budding at the plasma membrane, suggests that BST2 is also capable of inhibiting virus budding at intracellular membranes. While Dafa-Berger et al. (2012) report that BST2 restricts hepatitis C virus egress, they also found that (a) IFN-induced BST2 expression levels in Huh–7.5 cells remained unchanged when cells were infected with HCV followed by IFN treatment, and (b) HCV
infection had little impact on BST2 level in a stable BST2-expressing Huh-7.5 cell line, suggesting that HCV lacks the necessary mechanism or mechanisms to counteract BST2. Our results indicate that HCoV-229E infections can significantly reduce BST2 expression and enhance NL4.3delVpu virion release (Fig. 6), suggesting that HCoV-229E may express anti-BST2 factors. Further, the high BST2 expression level induced by IFNα in mock-infected A549 cells was not observed when cells were infected with HCoV-229E (Fig. 1F, lane 2 vs. lane 5). We have no direct evidence suggesting that HCoV-229E infection can significantly downregulate IFNα-induced BST2; however, our data suggest that HCoV-229E-infected A549 cells can counteract the ability of IFNα to trigger BST2 expression. This scenario is similar to the initial phase of HCoV-229E infection of respiratory tract cells, which express very low or undetectable levels of basal BST2 (Erikson et al., 2011). Once cells are infected by HCoV-229E, BST2 expression is downregulated, even in the presence of subsequently induced IFN. As a result, HCoV-229E egress is unrestricted.

We consistently observed that HeLa cell infections with HCoV-229E at low MOIs (0.01–1.0) did not trigger significant changes in total or cell surface BST2 expression 24 h post-infection. However, total or surface BST2 levels in HeLa cells were markedly reduced at an infection MOI of 5.0 (Fig. 4A, lane 5 and Fig. 5). This suggests that BST2 downregulation following HCoV-229E infection is MOI-dependent. Bampi et al. have reported the mediation of BST2 degradation by Sendai virus (SeV) glycoproteins; however, significant total BST2 downregulation in HeLa cells at 24 h post-infection requires inoculation at 20 MOI (Bampi et al., 2013). They therefore concluded that BST2 degradation requires a threshold of viral proteins. It is likely that at shorter time periods post-infection and/or at low MOIs, BST2 antagonist expression by HCoV-229E is insufficient to counteract the effects of BST2. Since HCoV-229E egress becomes unrestricted after longer infection periods, the elevation of virus egress by BST2 knockdown becomes unnecessary. This may explain, at least in part, why we did not observe significant improvement in HCoV-229E egress due to the siRNA knockdown of BST2 at 48 h post-infection (Fig. 1F); at this point, IFNα-induced BST2 may have been neutralized by HCoV-229E. In contrast, the impact of IFNα-induced BST2 on virus egress was significant in samples analyzed 24 h post-infection (Fig. 1D).

While BST2 significantly blocks HCoV-229E progeny virus release, it does not completely block HCoV-229E replication. BST2 is one component of innate immune response in the form of restricting enveloped virion release (Sauter et al., 2010). However, many viruses have evolved specific antagonists to counteract BST2 anti-viral activity—examples include HIV-1 Vpu, HIV-2 Env, simian immunodeficiency virus, Nef and Env, Ebola and Sendai virus GP, and Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) K5 (Gupta et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2009; Kaletsky et al., 2009; Le Tortorec and Neil, 2009; Mansouri et al., 2009; Neil et al., 2008; Pardieu et al., 2010; Bampi et al., 2013). Our finding that HCoV-229E infection leads to markedly reduced BST2 expression levels similar to those observed in HIV-1 infections suggests that some HCoV-229E factors are capable of triggering BST2 downregulation. In the case of
Fig. 6. HCoV-229E infection downregulates BST2 expression levels on cell surfaces and enhances HIV-1delVpu egress. (A) HeLa cells were mock-infected or infected with HCoV-229E at 5 MOI. At 18 h post-infection, cells were fixed and probed with a rabbit anti-BST2 antibody prior to the permeabilization of cell membranes, followed by a secondary FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody. After the permeabilization of cell membranes, HCoV-229E N proteins were probed with a primary anti-HCoV-229E N antibody and a secondary phycoerythrin-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry. Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) values of HCoV-229E-infected samples were compared to those of mock-infected samples. (B) HeLa cells were infected with HCoV-229E at 0.1 MOI. At 18 h post-infection, cells were fixed and permeabilized. BST2 proteins were detected with a mouse anti-BST2 antibody followed by a secondary FITC-conjugated anti-mouse antibody. HCoV-229E N proteins were probed with a primary anti-HCoV-229E N antibody and a secondary rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody. BST2 signal (arrows) decreased on the surfaces of HCoV-229E-infected (red) cells. (C) HeLa cells were transfected with NL4.3delVpu. At 4 h post-transfection, cells were replated onto two dish plates and either mock-infected or infected with HCoV-229E at 5 MOI. At 24 h post-infection, cells and culture supernatants were collected and subjected to western immunoblot analysis. Ratios of total Gag protein level in the medium versus cell were calculated by scanning p24gag-associated band densities from immunoblots. The ratio of infected group was normalized to that of mock-infected group.
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SARS-CoV, IFN expression is suppressed following infection (Narayanan et al., 2008); this may promote virus spreading due to its inability to trigger BST2 expression. However, SARS is considered a systemic disease involving many organs (Holmes, 2003) that constitutively express BST2 (Erikson et al., 2011). It remains to be determined whether SARS-CoV virion release is also restricted by BST2, and whether coronaviruses (including SARS-CoV) have evolved strategies to oppose BST2 anti-viral activity.

In conclusion, our evidence suggests that BST2 is capable of restricting HCoV-229E release from cells by tethering nascent progeny virions to cell surfaces. This also supports the assumption that the release of enveloped viruses whose budding takes place at the plasma membrane or in an intracellular compartment is subject to restriction via BST2.

Materials and methods

Virus, cell culture and transfection

Human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E) were propagated in A549 or HeLa cells. 293T, HeLa and A549 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. For transfection, confluent cells were trypsinized, split 1:10, and seeded onto 10 cm dish plates 24 h before transfection. Cells were transfected with 20 μg of plasmid DNA by the calcium phosphate precipitation method, with the addition of 50 μM chloroquine to enhance transfection efficiency. For cotransfection, 10 μg of each plasmid was used. To transfect HeLa cells with BST2-specific siRNA (BST2 siRNA), siRNA was mixed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) at a ratio of 1 μg to 2.5 μl, the transfection procedure was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

Construction of plasmids and stable BST2 knockdown cell lines

To construct the HIV-1 Vpu deletion mutant (NL4.3delVpu), we employed a PCR-mediated mutagenesis method (Sambrook and Russell, 2001) to introduce two consecutive stop codons in-frame at the N-terminal viral region. The primer sequence used to create the delVpu mutation construct was subcloned into NL4.3Env-which has been modified to express and DNA sequencing. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) sequence and manufacture’s protocols were followed for HRP activity detection (Pierce).

Plaque assay

Serial dilutions (10-fold) of the harvested culture medium were used to infect subconfluent monolayers of A549 or HeLa cells grown in 6-well culture plates. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for one hour with gentle rocking every 15 min. Plates then were washed twice with PBS following removal of the culture medium. About 2 to 5 ml of 0.5% agarose were overlaid onto the monolayer cells. After 5 days of incubation, 0.03% neutral red solution was added to plates to visualize the plaque formation.

Laser scanning immunofluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry

HeLa or HeLa/BST2− cells were infected with HCoV-229E at a 0.1 or 2 MOI for 1 h at 37 °C. At 4 to 18 h post-infection, cells were permeabilized at room temperature for 10 min in acetone following fixation with 3.7% formaldehyde at 4 °C for 20 min. Samples were washed three times with DMEM/calf serum. Primary antibody was an anti-HCoV-229E N antibody. A goat anti-rabbit or rabbit anti-mouse or donkey anti-rabbit (HRP)-conjugated antibody. Manufacturer’s protocols were followed for HRP activity detection (Pierce).

Scanning or transmission electron microscopy

Cells grown on cover glasses were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde (in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer pH 7.4) for 2 h, washed with 0.1 M cacodylate buffer pH 7.4 three times, and fixed again with 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO4) (in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer pH 7.4) for 1 h. Samples then were dehydrated by sequential incubation with 25%, 50%, 70%, 85%, 95% and 100% ethanol for 10 min each, dried in a critical point dryer (Samdri-PVT-3B, Tousimis Research,
Rockville, MD), coated with gold (Jeol JFC-1200), and viewed with a scanning electron microscope (Jeol JSM-7600 F). Images were collected at 10,000 × and 20,000 ×. For transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis, cells were harvested 12 h post-infection and fixed in 0.1 M Cacodylate buffer containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde, post-fixed with 1% OsO4, dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in Spurr resin. Ultrathin sections (~70 nm-thick) were cut with an ultramicrotome, stained with 5% uranyl acetate and 0.4% lead citrate. For immunogold labeling of BST2 proteins, samples were embedded in acrylic resin (LR White Resin, London Resin Company) at 4°C. Samples were washed with PBS three times after each incubation, fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde, stained, and viewed with a Joel JEM-2000 EX-II transmission electron microscope. Images were collected at 20,000 ×, 60,000 × or 100,000 ×.
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