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Abstract

Background: The conflict-ridden context of eastern Congo has set the scene for grueling human rights violations, with sexual violence as one of the ‘weapons of war’. Currently, sexual violence continues, with a considerable increase in civilian perpetrators. However, little is known regarding the particular impact of different experiences of sexual violence on adolescents’ mental health. This study therefore investigates the impact of sexual violence on eastern Congolese adolescents’ mental health and its differing associations with daily stressors, stigma, and the labeling of sexual violence (as ‘rape’ or ‘non-consensual sexual experience’).

Methods: A cross-sectional, population-based survey design was implemented in 22 secondary schools, randomly selected from a stratified sample, in Bunia, eastern Congo, a region extensively affected by war. A total of 1,305 school-going adolescent girls aged 11 to 23 participated. Self-report measures of mental health symptoms, war-related traumatic events, experiences of sexual violence, daily stressors, and stigmatization were administered. Differences in sociodemographic characteristics, traumatic experiences and daily and social stressors between types of sexual violence (rape, non-consensual sexual violence, no sexual violence) were explored through statistical analysis. ANCOVA analyses investigated associations between those risk factors and adolescents’ mental health.

Results: More than one third of eastern Congolese adolescent girls reported experiences of sexual violence. Elevated levels of daily stressors, experiences of stigmatization, and stressful war-related events were found amongst girl victims of sexual violence, with the highest levels for girls who labeled the sexual violence as rape. Daily stressors, stigmatization, and war-related events showed a large impact on the girls’ mental health. Last, girls who labeled the sexual violence as non-consensual sexual experiences reported more post-traumatic hyper-arousal and intrusion symptoms compared to those labeling the sexual violence as rape.

Conclusions: These findings point to the important association between how war-affected adolescent girls label sexual violence (rape or non-consensual sexual experiences) and their mental health. This study also documents the large impact of sexual violence on other stressors (daily stressors, stigmatization, and stressful war events) and the impact of these stressors on girl victims’ mental health. It discusses important implications for addressing sexual violence and its consequences in war-affected contexts.
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Background

Armed conflicts in the eastern Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) have caused over five million casualties and displaced hundreds of thousands of civilians in the past two decades [1], with a devastating ongoing impact that persists today [2]. During these wars, the civilian population has been a primary target through, amongst other war strategies, looting, brutal massacres, torture, sexual violence, and cannibalism [3,4].

The tactic that has heightened worldwide attention to the Congolese wars is sexual violence, a ‘weapon of warfare’ [5,6] that has been strategically used in numerous conflicts throughout history [7-9] to humiliate, dominate, instill fear in, disperse, and/or forcibly relocate civilian members of communities and ethnic groups [10]. Though exact numbers on the prevalence of sexual violence in the region do not exist, there are overall estimates of nearly 1.69 to 1.80 million eastern Congolese women aged 15 to 49 years who report histories of being raped [11]). Not only are individuals seriously harmed, but also the social tissue that holds society together is torn, communities and families are destroyed and demoralized [12,13], and cultural and political solidarity is undermined [14]. In eastern DRC, sexual violence has been used in armed conflict with extreme brutality and destructiveness [5,11,14,15]. Recent reports also shed light on other dimensions of sexual violence in this war-ridden environment. A considerable increase in sexual violence perpetrated by civilians (as opposed to military personnel) and in sexual violence against minors [16,17] has been observed in these regions, with various reports and studies alluding to a ‘normalization’ or ‘civilization’ of rape in eastern Congo [5]. While officially the signing of peace agreements has brought the armed conflict in eastern Congo to an end, eastern Congolese communities perceive their region as remaining in a continuous state of war, with ongoing sexual violence as one of the principal indicators [2]. These evolutions call for a broader perspective on sexual violence in these warring contexts, since the singular discourse of ‘rape as a weapon of war’ systematically neglects other forms of sexual violence, such as domestic sexual violence and sexual violence perpetrated by civilians [2,18].

The consequences of sexual violence for victims’ mental health have been extensively described [19-21], although evidence of the impact of sexual violence, in particular on adolescents, remains limited [22]. In addition, studies have tried to identify factors impacting on these mental health consequences. Recent research has widen the scope from a dose-effect study of traumatic exposure on posttraumatic stress symptoms [23] to a broader model that includes daily stressors as important factors influencing mental health [24-27]. Daily stressors encompass both current difficult material and situational living circumstances (e.g., unemployment, disease, poverty, poor housing) and social stressors. Social stressors are widespread in community reactions to sexual violence in war-affected regions like eastern Congo [5,28-30] and include attitudes of blaming the victim, exonerating the rapist, and stigmatizing and rejecting the victim [29,31]. Stigmatization, in particular, has been shown to have a large impact on the wellbeing of sexual violence victims [32-34].

Sexual violence, and the social reactions it elicits, can possibly be framed within broader sociocultural perspectives on gender [18]. In DRC, as in many countries, gender norms and discourses are generally described as being supportive of the idea that women should be powerless and submissive [2,35,36], and should fulfill men’s sexual needs. This kind of sociocultural gender norm, which promotes unequal gender standards, may justify violence against women [37,38] and even lower the psychological threshold for sexual violence [2].

These norms also influence how victims often do not label their experiences of sexual violence as rape, because they perceive such coercion as normal [38] even if it complies with all legal definitions of rape. Equally, experiences of sexual violence often remain undisclosed because of fear of stigma, blame, or additional violence [39-41]. Additionally, a predominant ‘rape as a weapon of war’ discourse may instill particular stereotypes of sexual violence. As such, rape may be related to acts of sexual violence that are committed by strangers [42] or the military. Hence, victims might feel constrained from labeling an experience of sexual violence as rape or from seeking support if the sexual violence does not fit these stereotypes [43-46].

In developing countries, where young people rarely affirmatively answer general questions on ‘forced sex’ or ‘rape’, but still do experience a high prevalence of diverse forms of sexual violence (e.g. forced sex within marriage, attempted rape or fondling, exchange or transactional sex, forced prostitution), the concept of ‘non-consensual sexual experience’, defined as a range of behaviors that includes unwanted penetrative sex, attempted rape, unwanted touch, and non-contact forms of abuse, is more often used [38,47]. Factors influencing whether young people label a non-consensual experience as rape are related to existing stereotypes of ‘typical rape’, the nature of acquaintance or relationship with the perpetrator, the perpetrator’s behavior or level of intoxication, and the labeling of coercion as a normal sexual act [44,48,49].

Still, these forms of non-consensual sexual experience, which girls and young women endure but do not label as rape, may also have considerable mental and physical health consequences [50,51]. These may possibly differ from the impact of acknowledged rape experiences. Some studies have found that women who label experiences of
sexual violence as rape tend to show less negative psychological consequences [52]. Moreover, they recover more quickly, since they are able to 'redefine' their experience [53], they reduce their feelings of self-blame [54], and more often seek support from others [55,56]. By contrast, Layman and colleagues (1996) [57] found that self-reported rape victims develop more posttraumatic stress symptoms.

However, most studies of sexual violence in war and armed conflict, in particular those conflict situations where sexual violence was/is used on a wide scale, do not differentiate between rape and non-consensual sexual violence, and only focus on self-reported rape. Additionally, little is yet known about the associations between daily stressors and stigmatization, victims' own labeling of the sexual violence, and adolescents’ psychological wellbeing. This research therefore investigates the psychological sequelae of sexual violence in adolescent girls in war-affected eastern DRC in relation to their experiences of daily stressors and stigmatization and to their own labeling of the sexual violence they underwent (i.e. as 'rape' or as 'non-consensual sexual experience').

**Methods**

**Participants and procedure**

The study was conducted in the district of Ituri, eastern DRC, a region afflicted by armed conflict for decades [4,58,59]. Twenty-two secondary schools in all 10 neighborhoods across the large region of Ituri's main city, Bunia, were randomly selected using stratified sampling in relation to location (rural, suburban, and urban regions); none of the selected schools refused to participate. In all schools, all female pupils in the second and third years of high school, where literacy and comprehension of the questionnaires could be assumed, were invited and consented to take part in the study (n = 1,304). Of the participants, who were aged 11 to 23 with a mean age of 15.89 (SD = 1.54) years old, 14.0% (n = 183) confirmed having been raped, while 24.2% (n = 315) mentioned having experienced a non-consensual sexual experience (NCSE) that they didn't label as rape (Table 1). Some sociodemographic differences were found between the three groups of sexual violence (i.e. rape, non-consensual sexual experience, and no sexual violence) (Table 1).

The questionnaires were administered in a six week period in 2011, during a 60- to 90-minute class period while the boys of the respective classes were engaged in other activities organized by the teacher. A description of the study was provided to the participants and followed by obtaining written informed consent from each. During the completion of the self-report questionnaires, the researcher or at least two research assistants were present to provide supervision and guidance. Questionnaires were administered in French, since this is the official language in secondary schools and a pilot study showed that students preferred French questionnaires over translated Kiswahili versions. Questionnaires were self-administered while thoroughly guided and structured by the research assistants. To promote inter-researcher reliability, extensive theoretical and practical training was provided to all research assistants (90 h). The researcher provided her contact details to participants, and also information on local psychological support projects for those in need of further professional care. The researcher had access to a large network of professional psychosocial services, which was used for referral of study participants. Ethical approval for the study was given by the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Ghent University.

**Measures**

Six self-report questionnaires, all adapted for use in eastern Congo [60] were administered. First, a sociodemographic questionnaire investigated variables such as age, housing situation (as an indicator of participants’ socioeconomic status), and parental availability.

Second, the Adolescent Complex Emergency Exposure Scale (ACEES) [60] measured exposure to 14 context-specific and potentially traumatic war-related events (yes/no), such as having witnessed people being killed, being separated from family, and having witnessed rape. Specific questions regarding experiences of sexual violence were added to the questionnaire. Besides the question ‘Have you experienced rape?’, these comprised four groups of questions.

| Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of participants |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| **Total group (n = 1,304)** | **No sexual violence (n = 806)** | **Rape (n = 183)** | **Non-consensual sex (n = 315)** | **F/χ²** |
| **Age** | 15.89 (1.54) | 15.73 (1.49) | 16.34 (1.51) | 16.04 (1.63) | 13.90* |
| **Socio-economic status** | | | | | 21.076* |
| Bric house | 600 (46.4%) | 404 (50.5%) | 86 (47.5%) | 110 (35.3%) | | |
| Non bric house | 693 (53.6%) | 396 (49.5%) | 95 (52.5%) | 202 (64.7%) | | |
| **Parental availability** | | | | | 22.10* |
| Both parents alive | 991 (74.9%) | 622 (78.2%) | 112 (61.5%) | 232 (75.1%) | | |
| One or both parents deceased | 333 (25.2%) | 173 (21.8%) | 70 (38.5%) | 77 (24.9%) | | |

N(%) | Mean (SD); *p < .01.
questions referring to other forms of sexual violence or coercive sexual experience: being forced to have sex with a boyfriend, to have sex with someone you know, to have sex in exchange for goods, and to marry. These four forms of coercive sexual experience are, amongst others forms, mentioned as being “sexual violence” in 2006 Congolese legislation [61], and were considered by our Congolese expert committee guiding this study as the most relevant forms for the adolescents we wanted to study.

Third, the Adolescent Complex Emergency Daily Stressors Scale (ACEDSS) [60] inquired whether a range of different daily and social stressors (stigmatization) had occurred during the past month (yes/no). They comprised 14 daily stressors (e.g., lack of food or medical care) and 14 stigmatization items (perceived discrimination and social exclusion in the familial and community context, e.g., being treated as if you were different, being isolated by the nuclear family, being treated badly by family members). These stigmatization items were initially derived from the Everyday Discrimination Scale [62] and then adapted to the particular cultural context following the procedure of Mels and colleagues [60].

Fourth, symptoms of posttraumatic stress were measured with the culturally adapted Congolese (French) version [60] of the Impact of Event Scale-Revised [63], a diagnostic self-administered questionnaire comprising 22 questions to be answered on a 5-point Likert scale (from 0 never to 5 extremely), accompanied by a visual probe. Items were grouped into three subscales (symptoms of intrusion, avoidance, and hyper-arousal). Cronbach’s alphas in this study were between .77 and .83.

Finally, the culturally adapted Congolese (French) version [60] of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-37 for Adolescents [64] measured symptoms of anxiety (12 items), depression (13 items), and externalising problems (12 items). All items had to be answered on a 4-point Likert scale (from 1 not/never to 4 always), accompanied by a visual probe. Cronbach’s alphas in this study were between .60 and .85. The externalizing scale that had a Cronbach alpha of .60 was omitted from further analysis owing to insufficient reliability.

**Analysis**

Descriptive statistics, ANOVA and $\chi^2$ analyses (with reports on odds ratios) were used to explore differences in sociodemographic characteristics, traumatic experiences, and daily and social stressors between the three types of sexual violence (victims of rape (Rape), victims of non-consensual sex (NCS) and those who did not report any of either (NSV)). ANOVA analyses were performed for total scores of traumatic exposure, daily stressors, and stigmatization as dependent variables, and ‘type of sexual violence’ as the independent variable, using dummy coding with base level ‘NSV’. The regression coefficients obtained indicate the expected mean differences between Rape and NCS compared to NSV.

Second, five separate ANCOVA analyses investigated associations between four factors – sociodemographic characteristics (age, socioeconomic status (brick house or other), parental availability (both parents alive or not)), traumatic exposure (total ACEES score), daily stressors and stigmatization (ACEDSS subscales), and type of sexual violence (NSV, NCS and Rape) – and mental health measures (HSCL-37A depression and anxiety subscales, IES-R intrusion, avoidance, and hyper-arousal subscales). All main terms were included in the model, as also the two-way interaction terms for type of sexual violence with the covariates ACEES and ACEDSS. To avoid multicollinearity, factors were effect-coded and the covariates were centered. Five separate models were fitted for the different mental health measures. Considering the large sample and the range of variables included in the analysis, the level of significance was put more conservatively at .01 to avoid Type I errors. Analyses were performed using R-2.15.2 [65].

**Results**

**Stressful war events, daily stressors and stigmatization**

Potentially traumatic war-related events were frequently reported (Table 2). Overall, victims of sexual violence (both rape and non-consensual sexual violence) were at higher risk of having experienced war-related traumatic events than girls who did not report sexual violence. Further the risk for victims of rape to have also experienced other traumatic events was higher than for participants who reported experiences of non-consensual sexual violence. Being enlisted as a child soldier and imprisonment were, in particular, frequently reported by rape victims.

Material daily stressors were equally frequently reported, with significant differences between the three groups (Table 3). Compared to participants who did not report sexual violence, victims of rape reported over eight times more risk of being accused of witchcraft and over four times more risk of insufficient medical care or lack of schooling. But girl victims of non-consensual sexual violence also showed a higher risk of experiencing daily stressors compared to girls who did not experience sexual violence. In addition, the results showed that girls who labeled their experiences as rape had a considerably higher risk of reporting daily stressors compared to adolescent victims of non-consensual sexual violence.

Similarly, victims of sexual violence (both rape and non-consensual sexual violence) had a much higher risk of experiencing stigmatization compared to participants who did not report sexual violence (Table 4). Girls who reported rape experienced more stigmatization than girls who reported non-consensual sexual violence.
Mental health outcomes for the three groups are reported in Table 5. ANCOVA analyses investigating the impact of several independent variables on mental health outcomes revealed that participant’s socioeconomic status had no impact on mental health symptoms. Participants whose parents were still alive reported lower symptoms of anxiety (HSCL-37A).

War-related traumatic exposure (ACEES) impacted all mental health outcomes. Daily stressors were associated with an increase of posttraumatic stress symptoms (avoidance, intrusion, and hyper-arousal). The level of

| Table 2 War-related traumatic experiences (ACEES) |
|---------------------------------------------|
| **Total** (n = 1,304) | NSV (n = 806) | Rape (n = 183) | NCS (n = 315) | OR RAPE/NSV | OR NCS/NSV | OR Rape/NCS | χ²/F |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Have been separated from family | 284 (22.1%) | 137 (17.2%) | 50 (27.8%) | 97 (31.2%) | 1.85** | 2.18** | 0.85 | 29.38** |
| Have witnessed violent acts against family members or friends | 172 (13.4%) | 84 (10.5%) | 37 (20.3%) | 51 (16.9%) | 2.17** | 1.72** | 1.26 | 16.29** |
| Had family members or friends violently killed during the war | 515 (40.6%) | 292 (37.3%) | 96 (53.3%) | 127 (41.5%) | 1.92** | 1.19 | 1.61 | 15.76** |
| Experienced pillage or setting your house on fire | 592 (47.1%) | 335 (43.1%) | 116 (65.9%) | 141 (46.4%) | 2.56** | 1.14 | 2.23** | 30.15** |
| Experienced gunfire attacks | 525 (42.3%) | 276 (35.7%) | 106 (59.6%) | 143 (49.1%) | 2.65** | 1.74** | 1.52 | 41.06** |
| Have seen somebody being killed | 479 (38.1%) | 245 (31.0%) | 79 (51.3%) | 155 (49.7%) | 2.34** | 2.20** | 1.07 | 45.92** |
| Have seen dead or mutilated bodies | 491 (38.4%) | 250 (31.5%) | 108 (59.7%) | 133 (43.5%) | 3.21** | 1.67** | 1.92* | 53.79** |
| Have been injured during the war | 113 (8.9%) | 36 (4.5%) | 41 (26.3%) | 36 (11.5%) | 7.56** | 2.75** | 2.74** | 79.69** |
| Have been in prison | 74 (5.7%) | 6 (7.0%) | 48 (26.7%) | 20 (6.4%) | 48.18** | 9.11** | 5.29** | 183.22** |
| Have been enrolled in an armed group | 48 (3.8%) | 3 (4.1%) | 25 (16.0%) | 20 (6.4%) | 50.76** | 18.22** | 2.79* | 95.73** |
| Have been kidnapped by an armed group | 85 (6.5%) | 16 (2.0%) | 51 (28.0%) | 18 (5.7%) | 19.17** | 2.99** | 6.40** | 165.01** |
| Have been forced to kill, injure or rape someone themselves | 75 (5.9%) | 25 (3.3%) | 35 (22.2%) | 14 (4.6%) | 8.45** | 1.42 | 5.96* | 85.67** |
| Have seen someone being raped | 180 (14.1%) | 67 (8.4%) | 56 (31.6%) | 57 (18.9%) | 5.06** | 2.56** | 1.98** | 72.79** |
| Total traumatic exposure (mean, SD) | 2.83 (2.43) | 2.19 (1.90) | 4.71 (3.05) | 3.16 (2.45) | 2.43** | 1.01** | 1.42** | 86.62** |

N(%); *p < .01, **p < .001; OR: odds ratio, NSV: no sexual violence, NCS: Non-consensual sexual experience.

Table 3 Daily stressors (ACEDSS)

| **Total** (n = 1,304) | NSV (n = 806) | Rape (n = 183) | NCS (n = 315) | OR RAPE/NSV | OR NCS/NSV | OR Rape/NCS | χ²/F |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Feelings of insecurity | 522 (40.0%) | 261 (33.3%) | 100 (55.2%) | 150 (48.1%) | 2.47** | 1.86** | 1.33 | 40.72** |
| Impossibility to pay school fees | 609 (46.4%) | 313 (39.7%) | 113 (61.7%) | 171 (54.8%) | 2.45** | 1.84** | 1.33 | 40.55** |
| Insufficient food | 488 (37.7%) | 230 (29.4%) | 105 (59.0%) | 142 (45.8%) | 3.45** | 2.03** | 1.70* | 65.98** |
| Insufficient clothing | 529 (40.9%) | 276 (35.5%) | 95 (52.8%) | 145 (46.8%) | 2.03** | 1.60** | 1.27 | 24.25** |
| Sickness in family | 778 (60.1%) | 438 (56.1%) | 127 (70.6%) | 193 (62.7%) | 1.88** | 1.31 | 1.43 | 14.19* |
| Insufficient medical care | 429 (33.1%) | 201 (25.6%) | 107 (60.5%) | 112 (33.1%) | 4.41** | 1.66** | 2.60** | 81.73** |
| Skipping school because of working | 364 (28.0%) | 151 (19.2%) | 94 (52.8%) | 110 (35.7%) | 4.72** | 2.34** | 2.01** | 94.23** |
| Worried about family | 773 (59.5%) | 454 (57.7%) | 95 (53.1%) | 205 (66.6%) | 0.83 | 1.46 | 0.57* | 10.43* |
| High task load | 508 (39.6%) | 250 (32.0%) | 116 (64.8%) | 131 (43.8%) | 3.91** | 1.66** | 2.36** | 68.64** |
| Physical punishment | 450 (34.9%) | 226 (28.9%) | 108 (60.7%) | 112 (36.4%) | 3.79** | 1.40 | 2.70** | 64.25** |
| Pursued by bad fate/bad spirits | 329 (25.4%) | 121 (15.4%) | 112 (61.5%) | 91 (29.6%) | 8.79** | 2.32** | 3.80** | 169.83** |
| Being sick | 708 (54.7%) | 399 (51.0%) | 123 (68.0%) | 176 (57.6%) | 2.03** | 1.29 | 1.57 | 18.52** |
| Living with too many people in a home | 685 (52.8%) | 366 (46.7%) | 129 (71.3%) | 178 (57.6%) | 2.83** | 1.55** | 1.83* | 39.14** |
| Don’t know father | 252 (19.2%) | 77 (9.6%) | 107 (58.8%) | 65 (20.9%) | 13.41** | 2.48** | 5.40** | 231.53** |
| Total daily stressors (mean, SD) | 5.34 (3.31) | 4.57 (2.98) | 7.78 (2.98) | 6.08 (3.11) | 3.21** | 1.51** | 1.70** | 201.12** |

N(%); *p < .01, **p < .001; OR: odds ratio, NSV: no sexual violence, NCS: Non-consensual sexual experience.
stigmatization was associated with symptoms of depression and anxiety (HSCL-37A) and hyper-arousal (IES-R). Hereby, higher scores in war-related trauma, stigmatization, and daily stressors always led to more psychological problems.

The type of sexual violence that participants reported impacted hyper-arousal symptoms (see also additional variance explained by type of sexual violence, as mentioned in Table 6). The highest level was for girls who reported experiences of non-consensual sexual violence, although we need to take into account the high variance of hyper-arousal symptoms reported by girls who labeled their sexual violence experiences as rape (Figure 1). For symptoms of intrusion (IES-R), an interaction effect between type of sexual violence and the covariate stigmatization was found (Figure 2). All types of sexual violence showed positive relations between stigmatization and intrusion symptoms, but compared to girls with rape experiences, the estimated regression lines showed stronger effects for participants who experienced non-consensual sexual violence or who did not report sexual violence, with higher mean scores for victims of non-consensual sexual violence. The estimated regression line for victims of rape thus indicated that the expected level of intrusion symptoms depended less on the level of stigmatization than for the other groups. A similar interaction effect was also found for daily stressors and type of sexual violence on symptoms of depression and anxiety: expected levels of anxiety and depression were less dependent on daily stressors for rape victims, while a strong positive relation was found for girls reporting NCSE or no sexual violence.

Table 4 Stigmatization (ACEDSS)

|                           | Total (n = 1,304) | NSV (n = 796) | Rape (n = 180) | NCS (n = 311) | OR Rape/NSV | OR NCS/NSV | OR Rape/NCS | \( \chi^2/F \) |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Corporal punishment by family member | 321 (24.3%) | 79 (9.9%) | 104 (56.8%) | 132 (42.3%) | 12.03** | 6.70** | 1.80* | 251.00** |
| Hear that people say bad things about you or your family | 577 (43.9%) | 294 (36.8%) | 113 (62.8%) | 156 (50.0%) | 2.90** | 1.72** | 1.69* | 47.16** |
| You are treated worse than other people | 326 (25.1%) | 122 (15.4%) | 109 (60.9%) | 87 (28.2%) | 8.55** | 2.16** | 3.96** | 164.21** |
| You are treated with less respect than other people | 370 (28.4%) | 145 (18.2%) | 104 (58.1%) | 115 (37.3%) | 6.22** | 2.67** | 2.33** | 130.14** |
| You are treated badly by a family member | 292 (22.3%) | 102 (12.8%) | 94 (51.6%) | 88 (28.5%) | 7.28** | 2.71** | 2.68** | 139.89** |
| Rejected/abandoned by your (close) family | 174 (13.3%) | 44 (5.5%) | 52 (28.7%) | 73 (23.7%) | 6.92** | 5.35** | 1.29 | 113.00** |
| Rejected/abandoned by your community | 194 (14.8%) | 44 (5.5%) | 90 (49.5%) | 57 (18.6%) | 16.87** | 3.93** | 4.29** | 232.29** |
| Treated as if people are scared of you | 237 (18.1%) | 63 (7.9%) | 94 (52.2%) | 75 (24.5%) | 12.79** | 3.80** | 3.37** | 206.81** |
| Threatened by others | 428 (32.7%) | 203 (25.5%) | 99 (54.7%) | 116 (37.7%) | 3.53** | 1.78** | 1.98** | 68.84** |
| Called dishonest | 299 (22.8%) | 107 (13.4%) | 97 (53.6%) | 91 (29.4%) | 7.48** | 3.73** | 2.74** | 149.25** |
| People act as if they’re better than you | 612 (46.6%) | 323 (40.5%) | 120 (67.0%) | 155 (49.7%) | 2.99** | 1.45 | 2.06** | 43.27** |
| People act as if they’re smarter than you | 584 (44.9%) | 301 (38.1%) | 112 (62.9%) | 157 (51.0%) | 2.75** | 1.66** | 1.63 | 43.37** |
| You receive poorer service than other people at stores/services | 311 (23.8%) | 147 (18.6%) | 71 (39.2%) | 85 (27.6%) | 2.83 | 1.67** | 1.69** | 38.34** |
| You are insulted | 583 (44.5%) | 299 (37.6%) | 119 (65.7%) | 155 (49.7%) | 3.18 | 1.64** | 1.94** | 51.75** |
| Total stigmatization (mean, SD) | 3.95 (3.45) | 2.82 (2.50) | 7.53 (4.28) | 4.90 (3.37) | 4.78 | 2.21** | 2.63** | 200.33** |

N(%); *p < .01, **p < .001; OR: odds ratio, NSV: no sexual violence, NCS: Non-consensual sexual experience.

Table 5 Mental health symptoms (IES-R, HSCL-37A)

|                     | No sexual violence (n = 806) | Rape (n = 183) | Non-consensual sex (n = 315) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| IES-R               |                             |               |                               |
| Avoidance/ Numbing  | 1.80 (.70)                  | 2.06 (.68)    | 2.14 (.76)                    |
| Intrusion           | 1.71 (.63)                  | 1.83 (.69)    | 2.09 (.79)                    |
| Hyper-arousal       | 1.71 (.68)                  | 2.08 (.67)    | 2.15 (.83)                    |
| Total score         | 1.74 (.61)                  | 1.98 (.57)    | 2.12 (.71)                    |
| HSCL-37A            |                             |               |                               |
| Depression          | 1.61 (.33)                  | 1.76 (.36)    | 1.77 (.37)                    |
| Anxiety             | 1.71 (.37)                  | 1.79 (.37)    | 1.85 (.38)                    |

Mean (SD).

Discussion

More than one third of the eastern Congolese girls in this study reported experiences of sexual violence, which is congruent with the high prevalence of sexual violence in eastern Congo that has been reported for many years [11]. Girls who lived in poorer socioeconomic situations, as well as girls who have lower parental availability (one or two parents deceased), reported more sexual violence experiences than their peers. While little research has been undertaken in this context, other studies alluded to the
Table 6 ANCOVA analysis investigating the impact of different variables on mental health outcomes

|                         | Avoidance | Intrusion | Hyper-arousal | Depression | Anxiety |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|------------|---------|
| (Intercept)             | 1.76**    | 1.79**    | 1.86**        | 1.61**     | 1.89**  |
| Age                     | .01       | .00       | .00           | .01        | -.01    |
| Socio-economic status   | -.03      | -.03      | -.04          | -.02       | -.01    |
| Parental availability   | -.03      | -.02      | -.05          | -.05       | -.04*   |
| Type of sexual violence | (-.04)(-.03) | (-.02)(-.10) | (-.04)(-.10) | (0.01)(-.04) | (0.02)(-.06) |
| F(2,1228) = 3.06        | F(2,1260) = 6.00* | F(2,1257) = 9.15** | F(2,1248) = 2.35 | F(2,1251) = 2.76 |
| Traumatic exposure      | .07**     | .09**     | .09**         | .03**      | .03**   |
| Daily stressors         | .04**     | .04**     | .05**         | .01**      | .02**   |
| Stigmatization          | .01       | .02*      | .03**         | .02**      | .01**   |
| Type of sexual violence * traumatic exposure | (.01)(-.02) | (.00)(-.03) | (.01)(-.01) | (0.00)(0.00) | (0.00)(0.00) |
| F(2,1228) = 0.86        | F(2,1260) = 3.37 | F(2,1257) = 0.63 | F(2,1248) = 0.07 | F(2,1251) = 0.16 |
| Type of sexual violence * daily stressors | (.01)(-.02) | (.01)(-.02) | (.01)(-.02) | (0.01)(-.02) | (0.00)(-.02) |
| F(2,1228) = 1.94        | F(2,1228) = 1.88 | F(2,1257) = 1.21 | F(2,1248) = 4.98* | F(2,1251) = 4.82* |
| Type of sexual violence * stigmatization | (.02)(-.02) | (.00)(-.04) | (.01)(-.01) | (0.01)(-.00) | (0.01)(-.01) |
| F(2,1228) = 1.94        | F(2,1260) = 8.87** | F(2,1257) = 1.46 | F(2,1248) = 1.28 | F(2,1251) = 4.22 |
| Explained variance without impact of type of sexual violence (r²) | 17.7% | 20.7% | 27.3% | 21.3% | 14.2% |
| Overall explained variance (r²) | 19.9% | 27.9% | 30.2% | 24.0% | 18.0% |

For dichotomous variables: β; Estimated Regression Coefficients, SE: Standard error; For non-dichotomous variables: (β1)(β2) for effect-coded variables for factor sexual violence and F(df1, df2); *p < .01, **p < .001.

Figure 1 Estimated means and point-wise 95% confidence bands of type on IES-R hyper-arousal symptoms.
risk that both a poorer socioeconomic status and parental separation could lead to a higher prevalence of sexual violence [2]. On the other hand, sexual violence could in its turn also lead to more difficult economic situations or to separation from parents (exclusion from family).

It is noteworthy, however, that about 63% of the girls who reported sexual violence did not label this experience as rape, although legally, their experiences of sexual violence qualified as such [66]. This was probably engendered by the strong current discourses describing rape in Congo as a ‘weapon of war’, thereby seemingly silencing rape by civilians or other forms of sexual violence (e.g. forced sexual experiences in marriage), which are described as ‘everyday, and even boring’ [6]. Particular sociocultural gender norms about rape may also have restrained victims from labeling their sexual violence experiences as rape because of either uncertainty as to whether or not an experience was rape [67] or fear of stigmatization [39].

This latter element shows up as highly relevant in our study, since girls who label their experiences as rape are clearly confronted with more negative social responses, as shown in the high levels of reported stigmatization experiences. We hereby need to acknowledge that these experiences of stigmatization referred to perceived stigmatization, which might be higher in particular conceptualizations of sexual violence (i.e. when the sexual violence is labelled as ‘rape’), linked to certain expectancy effects with regards to stigmatisation.

Next to these higher levels of stigmatisation, for both war-related traumatic experiences and material daily stressors we found similar patterns as for stigmatisation: rape victims clearly reported more of these compared to victims who reported non-consensual sexual violations, although the latter group also experienced more of all investigated stressors (war-related trauma, daily stressors, and stigmatisation) compared to girls who did not report any sexual violence experience. This clearly shows that sexual violence is mostly not a single trauma event, but goes hand in hand with exposure to other impacting events, whether concurrently with, preceding, or in the aftermath of the sexual violence, the latter being particularly the case with stigmatization of the victim by the wider community [5,28-30].

This accumulation of stressors and traumatic events is one of the greatest explanatory factors in the mental

![Figure 2 Interaction effect type of sexual violence and stigmatization on IES-R intrusion symptoms.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6874/14/106)
health impact of sexual violence on adolescent victims, as shown in the high prevalence of mental health problems in this study, both in participants who labeled the sexual violence as rape as those who did not [52,57,68]. However, contrary to the expectation that – given the lower exposure to all investigated stressors in victims of non-consensual sexual violence compared to rape victims and the high explanatory role of these factors in mental health outcomes – the latter group would report significantly higher levels of mental health symptoms, we did not find significant differences between the two groups in symptoms of posttraumatic stress (avoidance), depression and anxiety. And for hyperarousal and intrusion symptoms (PTSD), we even found higher levels in girls who did not label their sexual violence experience as rape, in this respect also differing from other studies that reported higher levels of PTSD symptoms in acknowledged compared to unacknowledged rape victims [52]. Possible explanations for this interesting finding could be that, as suggested in the literature, the acknowledgement of non-consensual sexual experiences as rape allows the victim to ‘redefine’ the experience and/or to reduce feelings of self-blame [53,54], two mechanisms that can lower the mental health impact of the traumatic events [40]. The evidence suggests, although not consistently, that victims of sexual violence who do not label their sexual violence experience as rape report more impaired coping [52], which is in its turn associated with negative mental health outcomes after a traumatic experience [41,69]. Another hypothesis is that the elevated negative social reactions of the community to adolescents’ experiences of rape could induce these girls to cope more actively so as to ensure their wellbeing, including possibly also to search more for (other) sources of social support [56]. Although further study is required to investigate these findings, it is clear that the labeling of the sexual violence as ‘rape’ or as ‘non-consensual sexual experience’ has important associations with the exposure of the adolescent girl victim to other stressors (war-related trauma, daily stressors and stigma) and with the mental health consequences of these impacting experiences. 

Implications

This study has important clinical implications. First, sexual violence has a large negative impact on adolescents’ mental health [19-21]. While the need for psychosocial support to acknowledged rape victims has been recognized (although often it is not readily available), this study clearly describes the need for mental health support to victims of sexual violence who do not label their experience as rape. Sensitization campaigns to raise awareness of a broadened definition of sexual violence, including other forms of non-consensual sexual experience, and the large negative impact of these experiences, could be a first step towards also reaching out to these adolescents and offering them – where needed and wished – appropriate support services. It is also necessary to address victims’ possible feelings of shame and self-blame, working towards ways to redefine – where needed – the events that took place.

Second, the large prevalence of stigmatization, and its severe impact on victims’ mental health point towards context-oriented interventions. These need to be three-fold. First, in psychosocial support offers to adolescent victims, significant context figures (family members, friends, community members) need to be involved [27,70] so as to reduce stigmatization mechanisms in the adolescent’s immediate context and to increase social support sources [24]. Hereby, attention should be paid towards possible differences between perceived and actual or intended experiences of stigmatization. Second, on a community and society level awareness and sensitization campaigns are needed to address the problem of sexual violence and its consequences. Community perceptions and attitudes towards rape, including stigmatization and blaming attitudes, influence how victims of sexual violence label their experiences, impact their mental health [32-34], and restrain adolescents, in particular those who label their experiences as non-consensual sexual experiences, from disclosing them and seeking help [39,71]. Hereby, it could be helpful to supersede particular narrow definitions of sexual violence (e.g., with labels such as ‘rape’), and to promote a broader construct, such as ‘sexual or gender-based violence’. Lastly, these sensitization and awareness campaigns also hopefully help to reduce the prevalence of sexual violence, since its prevalence in particular post-conflict contexts is still astonishing. These sensitization activities could address stereotypes of sexual violence and its consequences with the aim of promoting acknowledgment of rape by individuals and of fostering positive social reactions in order to create a supportive social environment [24,71,72]. While often interventions on sexual violence and non-consensual sexual experiences target girls in particular, public health programming seems to be needed for both men and women, on traditional gender roles and norms, and on perceptions of non-consensual sexual experiences [38].

Overall, an integrative and ecological approach towards psychosocial support for adolescent victims of sexual violence is needed, including not only interventions with the individual victim, but also interventions directed towards the wider social ecology of the adolescents.

Limitations

The interpretation of the study’s findings needs to consider the following limitations. First, although we included several questions in an effort to include different
types of sexual violence (rape, several forms of non-consensual sexual experiences), the figures about the prevalence of sexual violence in this study might still be an underestimation of reality, due to fear of accusation or stigma, due to the ongoing insecurity in the region, due to particular emotional connotations possibly linked to the word ‘rape’, or due to the ways the questions on sexual violence (and the examples given) were framed [2,71]. This implies that some adolescents in the ‘non-sexual-violence’ group could still have been victims of sexual violence. On the other hand, although this was clearly and repeatedly stressed during the research, particular expectations by participants of receiving material compensation for their participation or for particular answers might have influenced participants’ responses to increase their reporting of sexual violence experiences.

Second, this study also focuses solely on girls, while also considerable levels of sexual violence towards men and boys in the region have been reported [28]. This choice was made in close consultation with the local expert team guiding this study, given that boys’ responses might be highly influenced by taboos regarding the sexual violation of boys, rendering this method (self-report measures in a class-room setting) less applicable for boys. Also, for logistical reasons only girls who were in schools were included in the study, reducing its generalizability to out-of-school adolescents.

Third, while the psychological impact of acknowledgement of rape has been studied, there was no previous knowledge of the psychological well-being of the participants. These longitudinal findings could have added to an understanding of whether already existing psychological problems influenced the labeling of the sexual violence experiences as non-consensual sexual experience or as rape. Above, the impact of parental availability was only conceptualized as whether parents were still alive or not, which is possibly not related to parents’ emotional availability.

Last, the questionnaires could not cover all mental health problems, nor all participants’ experiences of trauma or stigmatization, although all questionnaires were rigorously adapted, both culturally and contextually, for use in this particular context.

Conclusions
More than one third of eastern Congolese adolescent girls reported experiences of sexual violence. This study shows the large association of sexual violence with other stressors (daily stressors, stigmatization, and stressful war events), and the impact of all of these on the girl victims’ mental health. Girls who did not label their sexual violence experience as rape reported more posttraumatic intrusion and hyper-arousal symptoms compared to those labeling the sexual violence as rape. These findings point to the important association between labeling a sexual violence experience and mental health, in relation to other stressors, in particular daily stressors, war-related trauma, and stigmatization. Important implications of these findings are the need to implement an integrative and context-oriented approach towards psychosocial support for adolescent victims of sexual violence, thereby hopefully fostering an enhanced supportive social environment. Furthermore, culturally appropriate sensitization activities need to be developed for communities and other stakeholders in order to address stereotypes of sexual violence and its consequences and in an effort to reduce the prevalence of sexual violence and adverse social reactions to it.
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