Yet another criterion for global existence in the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system
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Abstract
We prove that solutions of the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system can be extended, as long as the quantity
\[ \sigma_{-1}(t, x) = \max_{|\omega|=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \frac{1}{(1+v \cdot \omega)} f(t, x, p) \]
is bounded in \( L^2_x \).

1 Introduction and main result

The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system describes the time evolution of a plasma, i.e., of an ensemble of charged particles (like ions or electrons) in position-momentum phase space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \). Since the particles can move at relativistic speeds the motion of a single particle is described by the system

\[ \dot{X} = V = \frac{P}{\sqrt{1+|P|^2}}, \quad \dot{V} = E + V \wedge B, \]  
(1.1)

where we take only one species for simplicity and choose units where \( c = 1 \) for the speed of light. Furthermore, the rest mass and the charge of the particles are set to unity. The particle velocity is \( V \), whereas \( P \) denotes its momentum. The vectors \( E \) and \( B \) in (1.1) stand for the electric and the magnetic field, respectively. Since the number of individual particles in the plasma is large one takes a statistical approach and models the time evolution by using a density function \( f(t, x, p) \geq 0 \) depending on time \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), position \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), and momentum \( p \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Then the requirement that \( f \) be constant along the particle trajectories, i.e., the solutions of the characteristic equations (1.1), leads to the Vlasov equation

\[ \partial_t f(t, x, p) + v \cdot \nabla f(t, x, p) + (E(t, x) + v \wedge B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_p f(t, x, p) = 0; \]  
(1.2)

here \( \nabla \) always means \( \nabla_x \). The velocity \( v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) associated to \( p \) is

\[ v = \frac{p}{\sqrt{1+|p|^2}}, \quad \text{thus} \quad p = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}. \]

where \( p^2 = |p|^2 \) and \( v^2 = |v|^2 \) for brevity. The Lorentz force

\[ L = L(t, x, v) = E(t, x) + v \wedge B(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \]
is obtained from the fields $E$ and $B$, which in turn satisfy the Maxwell equations

$$\partial_t E = \nabla \wedge B - j, \quad \nabla \cdot E = \rho,$$

and

$$\partial_t B = -\nabla \wedge E, \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0.$$  \hfill (1.4)

The coupling of (1.2) to (1.3), (1.4) is realized through the charge density $\rho = \rho(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and the current density $j = j(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ via

$$\rho(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, p) \, dp \quad \text{and} \quad j(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f(t, x, p) \, dp.$$  \hfill (1.5)

Furthermore, initial data

$$f(t = 0) = f(0), \quad E(t = 0) = E(0), \quad \text{and} \quad B(t = 0) = B(0)$$

are prescribed such that the constraint equations

$$\nabla \cdot E(0) = \rho(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(0) \, dp \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot B(0) = 0$$

are satisfied. Good general introductions to the subject can be found in [20, 3].

The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system comprises a complicated system of nonlinear partial differential equations. Local existence of solutions for smooth and compactly supported (or sufficiently decaying) initial data and a sufficient condition for global existence has been known for some time. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.1 (Glassey/Strauss)** Let the initial data

$$f(0) \in C^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{and} \quad E(0), B(0) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$$

be given such that the constraint equations (1.7) are satisfied. Then there exists a maximal local solution $(f, E, B)$ on a time interval $[0, T_{\text{max}}]$ to the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) such that the initial data are attained at $t = 0$; see (1.6). Furthermore, if there is a function $\varpi \in C([0, \infty[)$ so that

$$P_{\infty}(t) \leq \varpi(t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}],$$

then $T_{\text{max}} = \infty$.

Here

$$P_{\infty}(t) = 10 + \sup \left\{ |p| : \exists s \in [0, t] \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f(s, x, p) \neq 0 \right\}$$

is the maximal momentum up to the time $t$. For a proof, see [7], or [11, 1] for the same result obtained by different methods. The work [7] has been generalized to initial data of non-compact support in [9, 12], the latter is also extending [10, 16]. The problem of unrestricted global existence has been studied by many people. Only in the framework of weak solution it has been solved in [2]. Regarding classical solutions, adding spherical symmetry [10], smallness of initial data [8], or “near neutrality” [3, 16] has turned out to be sufficient to close the case. Another remarkable
work is [3], where global existence was shown for the “two and one-half-dimensional” system, i.e.,
\( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( p \in \mathbb{R}^3 \).

Due to the lack of a general global result in 3D it is natural to focus on deriving further
continuation criteria, apart from (1.8), which might be easier to check (although, of course, all
criteria would be equivalent in the end if global existence was known). The following result
summarizes some early attempts in this direction.

\textbf{Theorem 1.2} The following are equivalent:

(a) \( T_{\text{max}} = \infty \).

(b) There is a function \( \varpi_1 \in C([0, \infty[) \) such that \( P_\infty(t) \leq \varpi_1(t) \) for \( t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[ \).

(c) There is a function \( \varpi_2 \in C([0, \infty[) \) such that
\[ \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + p^2} f(t, x, p) \, dp : x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\} \leq \varpi_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[. \]

(d) There is a function \( \varpi_3 \in C([0, \infty[) \) such that
\[ \sup \left\{ |P(t; 0, x, p) - p| : (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \right\} \leq \varpi_3(t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[. \]

Here \( s \mapsto (X(s; t, x, p), P(s; t, x, p)) \) is the solution to the characteristic system (1.1) which
at \( s = t \) equals \( (x, p) \).

(e) (i) \( f^{(0)} = 0 \) or
(ii) there is \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( R_0 > 0 \) such that \( \int_{|x| \leq R_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^{(0)}(x, p) \, dx \, dp > \varepsilon \) so that for all
\( R \in [0, R_0 + T_{\text{max}}[ \) it holds that
\[ \lim_{t \to T_{\text{max}}} \int_{|x| \leq R} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + p^2} f(t, x, p) \, dp + \frac{1}{2}(|E(t, x)|^2 + |B(t, x)|^2) \right] \, dx = 0. \]

Parts (c) and (e) are more or less contained in [9] and [6], respectively; see [12] for a detailed
proof. After some dormant period recently the interest in the subject has been revived and further
criteria have been obtained, using refined techniques. To state the results we need to introduce
the quantity
\[ \mathcal{I}_\theta(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^\frac{\theta}{2} f(t, x, p) \, dp \quad (1.9) \]
for \( \theta > 0 \).

\textbf{Theorem 1.3} The following are equivalent:

(a) \( T_{\text{max}} = \infty \).

(f) There is a function \( \varpi_4 \in C([0, \infty[) \) such that
\[ \sup \left\{ |P_Q p| : \exists s \in [0, t] \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f(s, x, p) \neq 0 \right\} \leq \varpi_4(t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}]. \]

Here \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) is a two-dimensional plane in \( p \)-space containing the origin and \( \mathbb{P} \) denotes the
projection onto \( Q \).
(g) There is a function \( \varpi_5 \in C([0, \infty]) \) such that
\[
\| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \| \mathcal{I}_0(t) \|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \varpi_5(t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[.
\]

(h) Let \( q \in [2, \infty] \) and \( \theta > 2/\theta \), or \( q \in [1, 2] \) and \( \theta > 8/q - 3 \). Then there is a function \( \varpi_6 \in C([0, \infty]) \) such that
\[
\| \mathcal{I}_\theta(t) \|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \varpi_6(t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[.
\]

Part (f), in fact for more general data, is due to \[13\]. It shows that one does not have to control the full momentum support, but only its projection to some plane through the origin. Part (g) has been proved in \[19\]. The most recent result is (h), which is cited from \[14\], and once again holds for more general data. The results from \[14\] generalize those of \[15\], where \( q \in [6, \infty] \) and \( \theta > 4/q \), or \( q < 6 \) and \( \theta > 22/q - 3 \) was assumed.

In this work we propose to study another quantity, which is
\[
\sigma_{-1}(t, x) = \max_{|\omega|=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \frac{1}{(1+v \cdot \omega)} f(t, x, p) \tag{1.10}
\]
and which comes up naturally in the course of the estimates. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.4** Suppose that the initial data
\[
f^{(0)} \in C^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{and} \quad E^{(0)}, B^{(0)} \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)
\]
are given such that the constraint equations \[1.7\] are satisfied. Let \( \sigma_{-1} \) be defined by \((1.10)\). If there is a function \( \varpi \in C([0, \infty]) \) so that
\[
\| \sigma_{-1} \|_{L^\infty_x L^2_z(S_T)} \leq \varpi(T), \quad T \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[,
\]
for \( S_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \), then \( T_{\text{max}} = \infty \).

The point is that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} f \in L^\infty_t L^2_x \) by energy conservation, so as compared to \( \sigma_{-1} \) one might hope to “get away with a logarithmic loss”. The method of proof is somewhat similar to \[14\], in that Strichartz estimates for a wave equation related to \( E \) and \( B \) are applied. However, we can avoid the use of iteration sequences and bounds on the field derivatives, which makes the argument more direct. Comparing \( \| \sigma_{-1}(t) \|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \) to \( \| \mathcal{I}_\theta(t) \|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \) one can also derive a corollary in the fashion of Theorem \[1.3(h)\].

**Corollary 1.5** Under the hypotheses of Theorem \[1.4\], let \( \theta > 1 \) and \( q \in \left[ \frac{1}{\theta+1}, \infty \right[ \) be given. Then \( T_{\text{max}} = \infty \) is equivalent to the existence of a function \( \varpi_7 \in C([0, \infty]) \) such that
\[
\| \mathcal{I}_\theta(t) \|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \varpi_7(t), \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[.
\]
In particular, this gives something new as compared to Theorem \[1.3(h)\] for \( \theta > 1 \) and \( q \in \left[ \frac{4}{\theta+1}, \frac{8}{\theta+3} \right[ \).
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminary results which are well-known in general. Then we turn to deriving suitable bounds on $E$ and $B$ in Section 3; they mainly rely on the representation formulae for the fields due to Glassey and Strauss and on Strichartz estimates for the wave equation. The argument for the proof of Theorem 1.4 is elaborated in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 contains the proof of Corollary 1.5.

Constants which only depend on the initial data are denoted by $C(0)$, whereas $C$ is a numerical constant. Sometimes data terms are not made explicit and are only written as “(data)”. By our hypotheses they are good enough with regard to all the estimates we will be aiming for.

The wave operator on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is $\Box = \partial_t^2 - \Delta$. For functions $h = h(t, x)$ define

\begin{align}
(\Box^{-1} h)(t, x) &= \int_0^t \frac{ds}{4\pi s} \int_{|y-x|=s} dS(y) h(t-s, y) = \int_{|y-x|\leq t} \frac{dy}{4\pi |x-y|} h(t-|y|, x+y).
\end{align}

Then $g = \Box^{-1} h$ is the unique solution to $\Box g = h, \quad g(0) = \partial_t g(0) = 0$.

### 2 Some preliminaries

From the system (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) it follows that the energy

$$
E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} f(t, x, p) \, dx \, dp + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|E(t, x)|^2 + |B(t, x)|^2) \, dx
$$

is conserved along sufficiently regular solutions (as we are dealing with); note that $\nabla_p \sqrt{1+p^2} = v$.

In addition, (1.5) and (1.2) yield the continuity equation $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot j = 0$.

For $(t, x, p)$ fixed let $s \mapsto (X(s; t, x, p), P(s; t, x, p))$ denote the solution of the characteristic initial value problem (1.1) which at $s = t$ equals $(x, p)$. Then (1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\frac{d}{ds} f(s, X(s, t, x, p), P(s, t, x, p)) = 0,
$$

which leads to the relation

$$
f(t, x, p) = f(0)(X(0, t, x, p), P(0, t, x, p))
$$

for the initial data $f(0)(x, p) = f(0, x, p)$. Thus in particular

$$
\mathcal{L}(t) = \|f(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = \|f(0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = \mathcal{L}(0).
$$

Since every map $(x, p) \mapsto (X(s; t, x, p), P(s; t, x, p))$ is a measure preserving diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, it follows from (2.1) that for instance

$$
\|\rho(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, p) \, dx \, dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(0)(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}) \, d\tilde{x} \, d\tilde{p} = \|\rho(0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)},
$$

where $\rho(0)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(0)(x, p) \, dp$, which expresses the conservation of mass.

The following result is also known, but we nevertheless include a proof in order to make the presentation self-contained.
Lemma 2.1 Let $m_k$ be defined by

$$m_k(t) = 1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} f(t, x, p) \, dx \, dp.$$ 

If $k \in [2, \infty]$, then

$$m_k(t) \leq m_k(0) + C \mathcal{L}(0)^{\frac{k+1}{k+2}} \int_0^t \|E(s)\|_{L^{k+3}_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} m_k(s)^{\frac{k+3}{k+2}} \, ds,$$

where $C$ depends on $k$.

**Proof:** The Vlasov equation (1.2) yields

$$\frac{dm_k}{dt} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial_t f \, dx \, dp = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \nabla_p \cdot ((E + v \wedge B) f) \, dx \, dp$$

$$= k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} p \cdot ((E + v \wedge B) f) \, dx \, dp$$

$$= k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-3}{2}} (v \cdot E) f \, dx \, dp,$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{dm_k}{dt} \right| \leq k \|E\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f \, dp \right\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \tag{2.2}$$

for $q \in [1, \infty]$. If $R \in [0, \infty]$ and $\theta \in [0, \infty]$ are fixed, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f \, dp = \int_{|p| \leq R} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f \, dp + \int_{|p| > R} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f \, dp$$

$$\leq \mathcal{L}(0) \int_{|p| \leq R} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \, dp + R^{-2q} \int_{|p| > R} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2} + \theta} f \, dp$$

$$\leq C \mathcal{L}(0)(1 + R)^{k+2} + R^{-2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2} + \theta} f \, dp,$$

where $C$ depends on $k$. Tacitly assuming $R \in [1, \infty]$ for the optimal $R$ (otherwise the compact $x$-support is useful to obtain the needed bound), this yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f \, dp \leq C \mathcal{L}(0)^{\frac{2q}{k+2(1+\theta)}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2} + \theta} f \, dp \right)^{\frac{k+2}{k+2(1+\theta)}},$$

where $C$ depends on $k$ and $\theta$. Therefore the estimate

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f \, dp \right\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \mathcal{L}(0)^{\frac{2q}{k+2(1+\theta)}} m_{k-1+20}^{\frac{k+2}{k+2(1+\theta)}}$$

is found. Putting $q = 1 + \frac{2q}{k+2}$, from $k - 1 + 2 \theta = (k + 2)q - 3$ it follows that

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f \, dp \right\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \mathcal{L}(0)^{\frac{1}{q'} \frac{1}{q} m_{(k+2)q-3}^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

is verified for $k \in [2, \infty]$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, where $C$ depends on $k$ and $q$. Using this in (2.2),

$$\left| \frac{dm_k}{dt} \right| \leq C \mathcal{L}(0)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|E\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} m_{(k+2)q-3}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

For the particular choice of $q = \frac{k+3}{k+2}$ and $q' = k + 3$, the claimed bound is obtained. \qed
Lemma 2.2 If $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{2q}]$, then
\[ \| \sigma_1(t) \|_{L^2_\mathbb{R}^3} \leq C P_\infty(t)^{2(1-\alpha) - \frac{1}{q}} m_{2\alpha q}(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \]
where $C$ depends on $q$, $\alpha$, and $\mathcal{L}(0)$.

Proof: Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $|\omega| = 1$. Then by Hölder’s inequality and by Lemma 2.3(b) below for $\theta = (\alpha + \frac{1}{2})q'$ and $\kappa = q'$,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1 + p^2} (1 + v \cdot \omega)} f(t) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{(1 + p^2)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)} f(t)^{1/q'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\alpha q} f(t)^q dp \right)^{1/qq'} \leq C(\alpha, q) \mathcal{L}(0)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} P_\infty(t)^{2(1-\alpha) - \frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + p^2)^{\alpha q} f(t)^q dp \right)^{1/q}. \]
Taking first the max $|\omega| = 1$, then the $q'$th power, and then integrating $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx$, the claimed bound is obtained. \qed

The following general integration lemma is useful at many places.

Lemma 2.3 Suppose that $R \in [10, \infty]$ and $|\omega| = 1$.

(a) If $\theta \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$, then
\[
\int_{|p| \leq R} \frac{dp}{(1 + p^2)^\theta} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} \leq C \ln R R^{3-2\theta},
\]
where $C$ depends on $\theta$.

(b) If $\theta, \kappa \in [0, \infty]$ are such that $\theta \leq \kappa + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\kappa > 1$, then
\[
\int_{|p| \leq R} \frac{dp}{(1 + p^2)^\theta (1 + v \cdot \omega)^\kappa} \leq C R^{1+2(\kappa-\theta)},
\]
where $C$ depends on $\theta$ and $\kappa$.

(c) If $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $|v| < 1$, then
\[
\int_{|\omega| = 1} \frac{dS(\omega)}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^\theta} \leq C,
\]
where $C$ depends on $\theta$.

Proof: (a) First $\omega$ is rotated to $(0, 0, 1)$. Then spherical coordinates and the transformation
\[
\sigma = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 + r^2}}, \quad r = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2}}, \quad d\sigma = (1 - \sigma^2)^{3/2} dr, \quad 1 + r^2 = (1 - \sigma^2)^{-1}, \quad (2.3)
\]
are used to get

\[
\int_{|p| \leq R} \frac{dp}{(1 + p^2)\theta} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} = \int_{|p| \leq R} \frac{dp}{(1 + p^2)\theta} \frac{1}{1 + v_3} \leq C \int_0^R \frac{dr}{(1 + r^2)\theta} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{r \cos \nu}{\sqrt{1 + r^2}}}
\]


\[
= C \int_0^R \frac{d\sigma}{(1 - \sigma^2)^{\frac{3}{2} - \theta}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{1 + \sigma s} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{1 + \sigma s} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \sigma}{1 - \sigma}\right).
\]

Since \(\ln(\frac{1 + \sigma}{1 - \sigma}) \leq \ln(\frac{4}{1 - \sigma^2}) \leq \ln(4(1 + R^2)) \leq \ln(8R^2) \leq 3 \ln R\), the claim follows. (b) Similar as in (a),

\[
\int_{|p| \leq R} \frac{dp}{(1 + p^2)\theta} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} \kappa \leq C \int_0^R \frac{d\sigma}{(1 - \sigma^2)^{\frac{3}{2} - \theta}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{1 + \sigma s} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{1 + \sigma s} \leq C R^{1 + 2(\kappa - \theta)}.
\]

(c) First consider the case where \(|v| \leq 1/2\). Then \(1 + v \cdot \omega \geq 1 - |v| \geq 1/2\) yields

\[
\int_{|\omega| = 1} \frac{dS(\omega)}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^\theta} \leq 4\pi 2^\theta.
\]

If \(|v| \geq 1/2\), then \(v\) is rotated to \((0, 0, |v|)\) to get

\[
\int_{|\omega| = 1} \frac{dS(\omega)}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^\theta} = \int_{|\omega| = 1} \frac{dS(\omega)}{(1 + |v| |\omega|)^\theta} \leq 2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{(1 + \cos \theta |v|)^\theta} = 2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{(1 + \cos \theta |v|)^\theta} \left( (1 + |v|)^{1-\theta} - (1 - |v|)^{1-\theta} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{4\pi 2^{1-\theta}}{(1 - \theta)}.
\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

3 Bounds on the fields

First we recall the following representation of the fields \(E\) and \(B\) from \([17, (A13), (A14), (A3)]\).

\[
E = E_D + E_{DT} + E_\nu + E_\nu, \quad (3.4)
\]

\[
B = B_D + B_{DT} + B_\nu + B_\nu, \quad (3.5)
\]
Lemma 3.1 The following (known) estimates hold.

\[ |K_{E, DT}(\omega, v)| + |K_{B, DT}(\omega, v)| \leq C(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-1/2}, \]
\[ |K_{E, b}(\omega, v)| + |K_{B, b}(\omega, v)| \leq C(1 + p^2)^{-1}(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-3/2}, \]
\[ |K_{E, z}(\omega, v)z| + |K_{B, z}(\omega, v)z| \leq C(1 + p^2)^{-1/2}(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-1}|z| \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^3). \]
finally note that

The bound on $|K_{B,z}(\omega, v)z|$ is immediate from the preceding estimates. To bound $|K_{E,z}(\omega, v)z|$, finally note that

$$[((v \cdot \omega) - v) \otimes v - (v + \omega) \otimes \omega] z = (v \cdot z)((v \cdot \omega) - v) - (\omega \cdot z)(v + \omega)$$

$$= - (\omega - (v \cdot \omega)v) \cdot z (v + \omega) - (1 + v \cdot \omega)(v \cdot z) v.$$

This yields the claim.

For functions $h = h(t, x)$ define the operator $W$ by

$$(W h)(t, x) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{4\pi s^2} \int_{|y-s|=s} dS(y) h(t-s, y) = \int_{|y-t|=t} \frac{dy}{4\pi |x-y|^2} h(t - |x-y|, y)$$

$$= \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{4\pi |y|^2} h(t - |y|, x + y). \quad (3.9)$$

**Lemma 3.2** The following estimates hold.

$$|E_D(t, x)| + |E_D(t, x)| + |B_D(t, x)| + |B_D(t, x)| \leq C (\text{data}), \quad (3.10)$$

$$|E_s(t, x)| + |B_s(t, x)| \leq C (W \sigma_1)(t, x), \quad (3.11)$$

$$|E_s(t, x)| + |B_s(t, x)| \leq C \left( \square^{-1}(|E| + |B|) \sigma_1 \right)(t, x). \quad (3.12)$$

**Proof:** Concerning the second pair of estimates, by Lemma 3.1 for instance

$$|E_s(t, x)| \leq C \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \int \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \left( \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} \right) f(t - |y|, x + y, p)$$

$$\leq C \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dp}{|y|^2} \sqrt{1 + p^2} \left( \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} \right) f(t - |y|, x + y, p)$$

$$\leq C \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \sigma_1(t - |y|, x + y) = C (W \sigma_1)(t, x),$$

using the trivial bound $1 + v \cdot \omega \geq 1 - |v| \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - v^2) = \frac{1}{2(1 + p^2)}$, so that $(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-1/2} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + p^2}$. The same argument can be used to show that also $|B_s(t, x)| \leq C (W \sigma_1)(t, x)$. For $E_s$, again Lemma 3.1 may be invoked to give

$$|E_s(t, x)| \leq C \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{|y|} \int \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1 + p^2}} \left( \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} \right) (|L f|(t - |y|, x + y, p)$$

$$\leq C \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{|y|} \left( |E| + |B| \right)(t - |y|, x + y) \sigma_1(t - |y|, x + y)$$

$$= C \left( \square^{-1}(|E| + |B|) \sigma_1 \right)(t, x),$$
In particular, 

\[ \|u\|_{L^q_tL^r_x([a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u\|_{C_tH^2_x([a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u\|_{C_tH^{1-1}_x([a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \]

\[ \leq C_\gamma^* \left( \|u(a)\|_{H^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(a)\|_{H^{1-1}_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|F\|_{L^q_tL^r_x([a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right), \quad (3.13) \]

The constant \( C_\gamma^* \) is independent of \( a \) and \( b \).

Next an estimate for \( W \) is derived. It might not be optimal, but it will turn out to be sufficient in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.3** Let \( W \) be defined by \((3.9)\). If \( T > 0 \) and \( S_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \), then

\[ \|Wh\|_{L^\infty_tH^{1-\varepsilon}_x(S_T)} \leq C_T^\varepsilon T^\varepsilon \|h\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_\gamma(S_T)}, \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.14) \]

In particular,

\[ \|Wh\|_{L^\infty_tL^\infty_x(S_T)} \leq C_2(\varepsilon, T) \|h\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x(S_T)}, \quad (3.15) \]

where \( C_2(\varepsilon, T) = C_1(\varepsilon)C_T^\varepsilon T^\varepsilon \) is increasing in \( T \).

**Proof:** The Fourier transform of \( Wh \) is

\[ (\hat{W}h)(t, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} (Wh)(t, x) \, dx = \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{4\pi|y|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \, e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \hat{h}(t - |y|, x + y) \]

\[ = \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{4\pi|y|^2} e^{i\xi \cdot y} \hat{h}(t - |y|, \xi) = \int_{|x| \leq t} \frac{dx}{4\pi} \hat{h}(t - s, \xi) \int_{|\omega| = 1} dS(\omega) e^{is\xi \omega} \]

\[ = \int_0^t \sin(s|\xi|) \frac{s}{|\xi|} \hat{h}(t - s, \xi) \, ds. \]

Now use \(|\sin(s|\xi|)| \leq \min\{1, s|\xi|\} \) to obtain for \( \varepsilon \in [0, 1] \)

\[ |(\hat{W}h)(t, \xi)| \leq \int_0^t \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1-\varepsilon}} \hat{h}(t - s, \xi)|ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1-\varepsilon}} \hat{h}(t - s, \xi)|ds \]

\[ \leq \int_0^t \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1-\varepsilon}} (s|\xi|)^\varepsilon \hat{h}(t - s, \xi)|ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1-\varepsilon}} \left( \frac{1}{s|\xi|} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \hat{h}(t - s, \xi)|ds \]

\[ \leq \frac{2}{|\xi|^{1-\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{s^{1-\varepsilon}} \hat{h}(t - s, \xi). \]

It follows that

\[ \|(W\hat{h})(t)\|_{H^{1-\varepsilon}_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} |||\xi|^{1-\varepsilon}(\hat{W}h)(t)||_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)} \]

\[ \leq 2 \int_0^t \frac{ds}{s^{1-\varepsilon}} \|h(t - s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (3.13) \]
and this yields (3.14). By the homogeneous Sobolev embedding in $\mathbb{R}^3$,
\[
\|\mathcal{W} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(S_T)} \leq C_1(\varepsilon)\|\mathcal{W} h\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{1-\varepsilon}(S_T)} \leq C_1(\varepsilon) C^{-1}_\varepsilon T^\varepsilon \|h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T)},
\]
which is (3.15).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.4** For $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$,
\[
\|E_\varepsilon + |B_\varepsilon|\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(S_T) \leq C_3(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_-\|_L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T),
\]
where $C_3$ is increasing in $T$. In particular,
\[
\|\Box^{-1}((E_\varepsilon + |B_\varepsilon|)\sigma_-)\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(S_T) \leq C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_-\|_L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T)
\]
(3.16)
for a constant $C_4$ that is increasing in $T$.

**Proof:** The first bound is an immediate consequence of (3.11) and (3.13). To prove (3.16), note that
\[
\|(|E_\varepsilon| + |B_\varepsilon|)\sigma_-\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(S_T) \leq \|E_\varepsilon + |B_\varepsilon|\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma} \|\sigma_-\|_L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T) \leq C_3(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_-\|^2_2 L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T).
\]
Thus using (3.13) for $\gamma_\varepsilon = \frac{3}{4} (1 - \varepsilon)$, where $\frac{2}{2 - \gamma_\varepsilon} = \frac{3}{2 + 1 - \varepsilon}$, $\frac{2}{2 + 1 - \varepsilon} = \frac{6}{3 + 2 - \varepsilon}$, $\frac{1}{2 - \gamma_\varepsilon} = \frac{6}{1 + 2 - \varepsilon}$, and $\frac{2}{\gamma_\varepsilon} = \frac{3}{1 - \varepsilon}$,
\[
\|\Box^{-1}((E_\varepsilon + |B_\varepsilon|)\sigma_-)\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(S_T) \leq C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_-\|^2_2 L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T),
\]
as was to be shown.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.5** For $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$,
\[
\|E_\varepsilon + |B_\varepsilon|\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(S_T) \leq C_5(\varepsilon, T, \text{data}, \|\sigma_-\|_L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T)),
\]
where $C_5$ is increasing in both the $T$-argument and the $\| \cdot \|$-argument.

**Proof:** The argument is similar to [18] Thm. 4.8, p. 108. Fix an interval $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$. According to (3.12), (3.4) and (3.5), and (3.16),
\[
\|E_\varepsilon + |B_\varepsilon|\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(a, b \times \mathbb{R}^3) \leq \|\Box^{-1}((|E_\varepsilon| + |B_\varepsilon|)\sigma_-)\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(a, b \times \mathbb{R}^3) \leq \|\Box^{-1}((|E_\varepsilon| + |E_{DT}| + |B_{1D}| + |B_{DT}|)\sigma_-)\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(a, b \times \mathbb{R}^3) + \|\Box^{-1}((|E_\varepsilon| + |B_\varepsilon|)\sigma_-)\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(a, b \times \mathbb{R}^3) \leq \text{(data)} + C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_-\|_2^2 L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_T) + \|\Box^{-1}((|E_\varepsilon| + |B_\varepsilon|)\sigma_-)\|_L_t^\infty L_x^{6/\gamma}(a, b \times \mathbb{R}^3),
\]
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Let $F = (|E_t| + |B_t|)\sigma_{-1}$ and $u = \Box^{-1} F$. Then (3.13) for $\gamma_0 = \frac{2}{3}(1 - \varepsilon)$ yields

$$
\|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{3\gamma_0}([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
\leq C_{\gamma_0}^* \left( \|u(a)\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(a)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\frac{6}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}}([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\
\leq C_{\gamma_0}^* \left( \|u(a)\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(a)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
+ \left\| |E_t| + |B_t| \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{3\gamma_0}([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\left\| |E_t| + |B_t| \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{3\gamma_0}([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
\leq \text{(data)} + C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(S_T)}^2 + C_{\gamma_0}^* \left( \|u(a)\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(a)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
+ \left\| |E_t| + |B_t| \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{3\gamma_0}([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

(3.17)

Without loss of generality suppose that $C_{\gamma_0}^* \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(S_T)} \geq 1$, since otherwise one can just take $[a, b] = [0, T]$. Fix a finite partition $0 = T_0 < T_1 < T_2 < \ldots < T_{N-1} < T_N = T$ of $[0, T]$ such that

$$
\|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2([T_j, T_{j+1}])} = \frac{1}{2C_{\gamma_0}^*} \quad (j = 0, \ldots, N - 2), \quad \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2([T_{N-1}, T_N])} \leq \frac{1}{2C_{\gamma_0}^*}.
$$

(3.18)

Note that

$$
(N - 1) \frac{1}{4(C_{\gamma_0}^*)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2([T_{N-1}, T_N])}^2 \leq \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(S_T)}^2
$$

yields the upper bound

$$
N \leq 1 + 4(C_{\gamma_0}^*)^2 \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(S_T)}^2.
$$

(3.19)

By (3.17) and (3.18),

$$
\left\| |E_t| + |B_t| \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{3\gamma_0}([T_j, T_{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
\leq \text{(data)}_j + 2C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(S_T)}^2 + 2C_{\gamma_0}^* \left( \|u(T_j)\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(T_j)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right).
$$

(3.20)

Thus in particular

$$
\|F\|_{L_t^{\frac{6}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}}([T_j, T_{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{2C_{\gamma_0}^*} \left\| |E_t| + |B_t| \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{3\gamma_0}([T_j, T_{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
\leq \frac{1}{C_{\gamma_0}^*} \text{(data)}_j + \frac{1}{C_{\gamma_0}^*} C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(S_T)}^2 \|u(T_j)\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(T_j)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)},
$$

so that by (3.13) for the interval $[T_j, T_{j+1}]$,

$$
\|u(T_{j+1})\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(T_{j+1})\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
\leq C_{\gamma_0}^* \left( \|u(T_j)\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(T_j)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\frac{6}{\gamma_0}} L_x^{\frac{3}{\gamma_0}}([T_j, T_{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\
\leq \text{(data)}_j + C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(S_T)}^2 + 2C_{\gamma_0}^* \left( \|u(T_j)\|_{H_x^{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(T_j)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\gamma_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right).
$$
Iteration of this estimate and noting that \( u(0) = \partial_t u(0) = 0 \) leads to the bound
\[
\|u(T_j)\|_{H^\infty_t(H^3_x)} + \|\partial_t u(T_j)\|_{H^{1-1}_t(H^3_x)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (2C^*_\gamma)^{j-i} \left( (\text{data})_i + C_4(\varepsilon, T) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(S_T)}^2 \right).
\]
Hence by (3.20),
\[
\left\| |E_j| + |B_j| \right\|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} L^\frac{6}{\varepsilon}([T_j, T_{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (2C^*_\gamma)^{j-i}(\text{data})_i + 2C_4(\varepsilon, T) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j} (2C^*_\gamma)^i \right) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(S_T)}^2
\]
for \( j = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \). Therefore the estimate
\[
\left\| |E_j| + |B_j| \right\|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} L^\frac{6}{\varepsilon}((T_j, T_{j+1}] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (2C^*_\gamma)^{j-i}(\text{data})_i + 2C_4(\varepsilon, T) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j} (2C^*_\gamma)^i \right) \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(S_T)}^2
\]
is obtained from (3.19). Recalling (3.19), the claim is obtained. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.6** For \( \varepsilon \in ]0, 1] \),
\[
\left\| |E| + |B| \right\|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} L^\frac{6}{\varepsilon}(S_T)} \leq C_6(\varepsilon, \text{data}, \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(S_T)}),
\]
where \( C_6 \) is increasing in both the \( T \)-argument and the \( \| \cdot \| \)-argument.

**Proof:** From (3.4) and (3.5),
\[
E = E_D + E_{DT} + E_\delta + E_t \quad \text{and} \quad B = B_D + B_{DT} + B_\delta + B_t,
\]
where \( E_D, E_{DT}, B_D, \) and \( B_{DT} \) are data terms. Since Corollary 3.4 implies that
\[
\left\| |E_\delta| + |B_\delta| \right\|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} L^\frac{6}{\varepsilon}(S_T)} \leq C_3(\varepsilon, T) T^{1-\varepsilon} \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(S_T)}^2,
\]
it remains to apply Lemma 3.5. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.7** If \( \varepsilon \in ]0, \frac{1}{10}] \), then
\[
m_{3(1-2\varepsilon)}(t) \leq C_7(0, \varepsilon, t, \text{data}, \|\sigma_{-1}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(S_T)}),
\]
where \( C_7 \) is increasing in both the \( t \)-argument and the \( \| \cdot \| \)-argument.
Proof: By Lemma 2.1 for \( k = \frac{3(1-2\alpha)}{1+2\alpha} \geq 2 \),

\[
m_{3(1-2\alpha)} \left( \frac{1+2\epsilon}{6} \right) (t) \leq m_{3(1-2\epsilon)} (0) + C(0, \epsilon) \int_{0}^{t} \| E(s) \|_{L_{x}^{\frac{6}{1+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ m_{3(1-2\alpha)} \left( \frac{1+2\epsilon}{6} \right) (t) \ \text{ds}.
\]

By a standard differential inequality comparison theorem, this yields

\[
m_{3(1-2\alpha)} \left( \frac{1+2\epsilon}{6} \right) (t) \leq m_{3(1-2\epsilon)} (0) + C(0, \epsilon) \left( \frac{1 + 2\epsilon}{6} \right) \int_{0}^{t} \| E(s) \|_{L_{x}^{\frac{6}{1+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ \text{ds},
\]

so that by Corollary 3.6

\[
m_{3(1-2\alpha)} (t) \leq C(0, \epsilon, \text{data}) \left( 1 + \left[ \int_{0}^{t} \| E(s) \|_{L_{x}^{\frac{6}{1+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ \text{ds} \right]^{\frac{6}{1+2\epsilon}} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C(0, \epsilon, \text{data}) \left( 1 + t^{\frac{2(2+\epsilon)}{1+2\epsilon}} C_{6}(\epsilon, t, \text{data}, \| \sigma_{-1} \|_{L_{x}^{-\infty}L_{x}^{2}(S_{1})}^{1+2\epsilon}) \right)
\]

Hence \( C_{7} \) can be defined appropriately. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.8 If \( \epsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{10}] \), then

\[
\| \sigma_{-1}(t) \|_{L_{x}^{\frac{4(1-\epsilon)}{1+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_{8}(0, \epsilon, t, \text{data}, \| \sigma_{-1} \|_{L_{x}^{-\infty}L_{x}^{2}(S_{1})}) P_{\infty}(t),
\]

where \( C_{8} \) is increasing in both the \( t \)-argument and the \( \| \cdot \| \)-argument.

Proof: In Lemma 2.2 take \( q = \frac{4(1-\epsilon)}{1+2\epsilon} \) and \( \alpha = \frac{3(1-2\epsilon)}{8(1-\epsilon)} \). Invoking Corollary 3.7 it follows that

\[
\| \sigma_{-1}(t) \|_{L_{x}^{\frac{4(1-\epsilon)}{1+2\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(0, \epsilon) P_{\infty}(t) m_{3(1-2\alpha)} (t)^{\frac{1+2\epsilon}{2(1-\epsilon)}}
\]

\[
\leq C(0, \epsilon) P_{\infty}(t) C_{7}(0, \epsilon, t, \text{data}, \| \sigma_{-1} \|_{L_{x}^{-\infty}L_{x}^{2}(S_{1})})^{\frac{1+2\epsilon}{2(1-\epsilon)}}.
\]

Thus it remains to choose \( C_{8} \) in a suitable manner. \( \square \)

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Fix any characteristic \((X_{0}, P_{0})\) in the support of \( f \), i.e.,

\[
f(s, X_{0}(s), P_{0}(s)) = f^{(0)}(X_{0}(0), P_{0}(0)) \neq 0, \quad s \in [0, T_{\max[]}]. \quad (4.1)
\]

The relation

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \sqrt{1 + P_{0}(s)^{2}} = V_{0}(s) \cdot \dot{P}_{0}(s) = V_{0}(s) \cdot \left( E(s, X_{0}(s)) + V_{0}(s) \wedge B(s, X_{0}(s)) \right)
\]

\[
= V_{0}(s) \cdot E(s, X_{0}(s))
\]
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in conjunction with (3.4) yields

\[ |P_0(t)| \leq \sqrt{1 + P_0(t)^2} = \sqrt{1 + P_0(0)^2} + \int_0^t V_0(s) \cdot E(s, X_0(s)) \, ds \]

\[ = \sqrt{1 + P_0(0)^2} + \int_0^t V_0(s) \cdot (E_D + E_{DT})(s, X_0(s)) \, ds \]

\[ + \int_0^t V_0(s) \cdot E_5(s, X_0(s)) \, ds + \int_0^t V_0(s) \cdot E_2(s, X_0(s)) \, ds. \]  

(4.2)

By the definition of \( E_2 \),

\[ I_2(t) = \int_0^t V_0(s) \cdot E_2(s, X_0(s)) \, ds \]

\[ = - \int_0^t ds \int_{|y| \leq s} \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} V_0(s) \cdot (v + \omega) f(s - |y|, X_0(s) + y, p) \]

\[ = - \int_0^t d\tau \int_\tau^t ds \int_{|\omega| = 1} dS(\omega) \]

\[ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} V_0(s) \cdot (v + \omega) f(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega, p). \]

Next write \( V_0(s) \cdot (v + \omega) = (V_0(s) + \omega) \cdot (v + \omega) - (1 + v \cdot \omega) \) and split the integral accordingly as \( I_2(t) = I_{2,1}(t) + I_{2,2}(t) \). Firstly,

\[ |I_{2,2}(t)| = \int_0^t d\tau \int_\tau^t ds \int_{|\omega| = 1} dS(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} f(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega, p) \]

\[ \leq \mathcal{L}(0) \int_0^t d\tau \int_\tau^t ds \int_{|\omega| = 1} dS(\omega) \int_{|p| \leq P_\infty(\tau)} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} \]

\[ \leq C(0) \int_0^t (t - \tau) \ln P_\infty(\tau) P_\infty(\tau) \, d\tau \leq C(0) t \int_0^t \ln P_\infty(\tau) P_\infty(\tau) \, d\tau, \]

where we used Lemma 2.3(a). Concerning \( I_{2,1}(t) \),

\[ |I_{2,1}(t)| = \left| \int_0^t d\tau \int_\tau^t ds \int_{|\omega| = 1} dS(\omega) \right| \]

\[ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^2} (V_0(s) + \omega) \cdot (v + \omega) f(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega, p) \]

\[ \leq \int_0^t d\tau \int_\tau^t ds \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \]

\[ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{1/2}}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} f(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega, p) \]

for \( \omega = (\cos \varphi \sin \theta, \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \cos \theta) \); recall (3.3). If \( t P_\infty(t) \geq 1 \), then the \( \int_\tau^t ds \) is split to find

\[ 1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_{2,1}(t)| \leq I_{2,11}(t) + I_{2,12}(t) + I_{2,13}(t), \]
where

\[\begin{align*}
I_{b,11}(t) &= \int_0^{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}} d\tau \int_\tau^{\tau+P_\infty(t)^{-1}} ds (\ldots), \\
I_{b,12}(t) &= \int_0^{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}} d\tau \int_\tau^t ds (\ldots), \\
I_{b,13}(t) &= \int_{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}}^t d\tau \int_\tau^t ds (\ldots).
\end{align*}\]

To begin with, by Lemma 2.3 b) for \(\theta = 1\) and \(\kappa = \frac{3}{2}\),

\[\begin{align*}
I_{b,11}(t) &= \int_0^{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}} d\tau \int_\tau^{\tau+P_\infty(t)^{-1}} ds \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{1/2}}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} f(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega, p) \\
&\leq C\mathcal{L}(0) P_\infty(t)^{-1} \int_0^{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}} d\tau \max_{|\omega|=1} \int_{|p| \leq P_\infty(\tau)} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} \\
&\leq C(0) P_\infty(t)^{-1} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^2 d\tau \leq C(0) \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau) d\tau.
\end{align*}\]

Note that in the last step it was used that \(P_\infty(\tau) \leq P_\infty(t)\), since \(P_\infty\) is increasing by definition.

Similarly,

\[\begin{align*}
I_{b,13}(t) &= \int_{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}}^t d\tau \int_\tau^t ds \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{1/2}}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} f(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega, p) \\
&\leq \mathcal{L}(0) \int_{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}}^t d\tau (t - \tau) \max_{|\omega|=1} \int_{|p| \leq P_\infty(\tau)} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} \\
&\leq C\mathcal{L}(0) \int_{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}}^t d\tau (t - \tau) P_\infty(\tau)^2 \\
&\leq C(0) P_\infty(t)^{-1} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^2 d\tau \leq C(0) \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau) d\tau.
\end{align*}\]

It remains to deal with

\[\begin{align*}
I_{b,12}(t) &= \int_0^{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}} d\tau \int_{\tau+P_\infty(t)^{-1}}^t ds \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{1/2}}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} f(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega, p).
\end{align*}\]

Let \(M_\tau = [\tau + P_\infty(t)^{-1}, t] \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi]\) and consider the mapping

\[\Phi_\tau : M_\tau \ni (s, \phi, \theta) \mapsto y = X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3.\]
According to [15] Lemma 2.1, \( \Phi_\tau \) is a diffeomorphism and such that

\[
dy = (1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)(s - \tau)^2 \sin \theta \, ds \, d\varphi \, d\theta.
\]

Writing the inverse mapping as \( s = s(y) \) and \( \omega = \omega(y) \), this yields using Lemma 2.3(b) for \( \theta = \kappa = 3 \),

\[
I_{b,12}(t)
\]

\[
= \int_0^{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}} d\tau \int_{\Phi_\tau(M_r)} dy \frac{1}{(s - \tau)^2} \frac{1}{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{1/2}} \times \int_{|p| \leq P_\infty(\tau)} \frac{dp}{1 + p^2} \frac{1}{(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} \, \tilde{f}(\tau, y, p)
\]

\[
\leq C(0) P_\infty(1/2) \int_0^{t-P_\infty(t)^{-1}} d\tau \left( \int_{\Phi_\tau(M_r)} dy \frac{1}{(s - \tau)^4} \frac{1}{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} P_\infty(t)^{1/2} m_2(\tau)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq C(0) P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} m_2(\tau)^{1/2} d\tau.
\]

To summarize, it has been shown that

\[
1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_b(t)| \leq 1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_b,11(t)| + 1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_b,12(t)| + 1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_b,13(t)|
\]

\[
+ 1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_b,2(t)|
\]

\[
\leq C(0) \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau) \, d\tau + C(0) P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} m_2(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau
\]

\[
+ C(0) t \int_0^t \ln P_\infty(\tau) \, P_\infty(\tau) \, d\tau
\]

\[
\leq C(0) P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} m_2(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau
\]

\[
+ C(0) (1 + t) \int_0^t \ln P_\infty(\tau) \, P_\infty(\tau) \, d\tau.
\] (4.4)

Next, by the definition of \( E_\tau \),

\[
I_z(t) = \int_0^t V_0(s) \cdot E_\tau(s, X_0(s)) \, ds
\]

\[
= - \int_0^t ds \int_{|y| \leq s} \frac{dy}{|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp V_0(s) \cdot K_{E,\tau}(\omega, v) (Lf)(s - |y|, X_0(s) + y, p).
\]

From (3.7) in Lemma 3.1 it hence follows that

\[
|I_z(t)| \leq \int_0^t ds \int_{|y| \leq s} \frac{dy}{|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1 + p^2}} \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot \omega} (|E| + |B|)(s - |y|, X_0(s) + y)
\]
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\[
\times f(s - |y|, X_0(s) + y, p)
\]
\[
\leq \int_0^t ds \int_{|y| \leq s} \frac{dy}{|y|} (|E| + |B|)(s - |y|, X_0(s) + y) \sigma_1(s - |y|, X_0(s) + y)
\]
\[
= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\tau}^t ds (s - \tau) \int_{|\omega| = 1} dS(\omega) (|E| + |B|)(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega)
\]
\[
\times \sigma_1(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega).
\]

Next the transformation \( \Phi_\tau \) from (4.3) is used on \( M_\tau = [\tau, t] \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi] \). This yields
\[
|I_2(t)| \leq \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\tau}^t ds (s - \tau) \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta (|E| + |B|)(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega)
\]
\[
\times \sigma_1(\tau, X_0(s) + (s - \tau)\omega)
\]
\[
= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Phi_\tau(M_\tau)} dy \frac{1}{(s - \tau)^{1+2\alpha\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{\alpha\varepsilon}}
\]
\[
\times \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{\sigma_1(\tau)\|4(1-\varepsilon)v}{L_{\varepsilon}^{1+2\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3)}
\]
\[
\leq \int_0^t d\tau \left( \int_{\tau}^t ds \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta \frac{(s - \tau)^{2}\alpha\varepsilon}{(1 + V_0(s) \cdot \omega)^{\alpha\varepsilon-1}} \right)^{1/\alpha\varepsilon}
\]
\[
\times \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{\sigma_1(\tau)\|4(1-\varepsilon)v}{L_{\varepsilon}^{1+2\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3)}
\]
\[
\leq C(\varepsilon) t \int_0^t \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{\sigma_1(\tau)\|4(1-\varepsilon)v}{L_{\varepsilon}^{1+2\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3)} d\tau.
\]

Returning to (4.2), it follows from this estimate and (4.4) that
\[
1_{\{t P_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |P_0(t)| \leq \sqrt{1 + P_0(0)^2} + \int_0^t V_0(s) \cdot (E_D + E_{DT})(s, X_0(s)) ds
\]
\[
+ 1_{\{t P_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_2(t)| + 1_{\{t P_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} |I_2(t)|
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{1 + P_0(0)^2} + (\text{data}) + C(0) P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} m_2(\tau)^{1/2} d\tau
\]
\[
+ C(0) (1 + t) \int_0^t \ln P_\infty(\tau) P_\infty(\tau) d\tau
\]
\[
+ C(\varepsilon) t^{\frac{3}{\alpha\varepsilon}-1} \int_0^t \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{\sigma_1(\tau)\|4(1-\varepsilon)v}{L_{\varepsilon}^{1+2\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3)} d\tau.
\]
Since \((X_0, P_0)\) is in the support of \(f\), \(\sqrt{1 + P_0(0)^2} \leq (\text{data})\) uniformly in the characteristic, as \(f(0) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)\) is compactly supported in \(p\) by assumption; also see (1.11). If \(f(s, s, p) \neq 0\), then \(f(s, x, p) = f(s, X_0(s), P_0(s))\) for a characteristic \((X_0, P_0)\) in the support of \(f\). It follows from the preceding estimate that

\[
1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} P_\infty(t) \leq (\text{data}) + C(0) P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} m_2(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau \\
+ C(0) (1 + t) \int_0^t \ln P_\infty(\tau) P_\infty(\tau) \, d\tau \\
+ C(\varepsilon) t^{\frac{3}{4} - 1} \int_0^t \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{u_n}{L^{1+\varepsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \|\sigma^{-1}(\tau)\| \frac{u_n}{L^{1+\varepsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \, d\tau
\]

(4.5)

for \(t \in [0, T_{\max}]\). Now suppose that \(T_{\max} < \infty\). Then by the assumption (1.11),

\[
\|\sigma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty L_2^2(S_t)} \leq \varpi(T) \leq \max_{T' \in [0, T_{\max}]} \varpi(T') =: \varpi_{\max} < \infty
\]

for all \(T \in [0, T_{\max}]\). Thus according to Corollaries 3.6, 3.8, and 3.7 for \(T, t \in [0, T_{\max}]\),

\[
\|(|E| + |B|)\|_{L^{2+\varepsilon} L^{1+\varepsilon}_x(S_t)} \leq C_6(\varepsilon, T, \text{data}, \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty L_2^2(S_t)}) \\
\leq C_6(\varepsilon, T_{\max}, \text{data}, \varpi_{\max}),
\]

(4.6)

\[
\|\sigma^{-1}(t)\|_{\frac{\tilde{u}_n}{L^{1+\varepsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_8(0, \varepsilon, t, \text{data}, \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty L_2^2(S_t)}) P_\infty(t) \\
\leq C_8(0, \varepsilon, T_{\max}, \text{data}, \varpi_{\max}) P_\infty(t),
\]

\[
m_2(t) \leq m_2(0, \varepsilon, t, \text{data}, \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty L_2^2(S_t)}) \leq C_7(0, \varepsilon, t, \text{data}, \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty L_2^2(S_t)}) \\
\leq C_7(0, \varepsilon, T_{\max}, \text{data}, \varpi_{\max}).
\]

Henceforth the dependence of the constants on \(L(0)\), the fixed \(\varepsilon\), and the initial data is suppressed, and only the dependence on \(T_{\max}\) and \(\varpi_{\max}\) is made explicit. Thus (4.5) leads to

\[
1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} P_\infty(t) \leq (\text{data}) + C(T_{\max}, \varpi_{\max}) P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau \\
+ C(0) (1 + T_{\max}) \int_0^t \ln P_\infty(\tau) P_\infty(\tau) \, d\tau \\
+ C(T_{\max}, \varpi_{\max}) T_{\max}^{\frac{3}{4} - 1} \int_0^t \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{u_n}{L^{1+\varepsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \, d\tau
\]

for \(t \in [0, T_{\max}]\). Since \(P_\infty(\tau) \leq P_\infty(t)^{1/2} P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2}\), it follows that for a certain constant \(C_2 = C_2(T_{\max}, \varpi_{\max}) > 0\),

\[
1_{\{tP_\infty(t) \geq 1\}} P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \\
\leq (\text{data}) + C(T_{\max}, \varpi_{\max}) \int_0^t P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau \\
+ C(T_{\max}, \varpi_{\max}) \int_0^t \ln P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau \\
+ C(T_{\max}, \varpi_{\max}) \int_0^t \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{u_n}{L^{1+\varepsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3) P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau \\
\leq (\text{data}) + C_2(T_{\max}, \varpi_{\max}) \int_0^t \left(1 + \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\| \frac{u_n}{L^{1+\varepsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^3)\right) \ln P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} \, d\tau
\]
for \( t \in [0, \max_T] \). By the local existence theorem (Theorem 1.1), there is a constant \( C_1 > 0 \) such that \( \max_{t \in [0, \max_T/2]} P_\infty(t) = P_\infty(T_{\max}/2) \leq C_1 \). Hence if \( tP_\infty(t) \leq 1 \) and \( t \in [0, \max_T/2] \), then

\[
P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \leq C_1^{1/2}.
\]

On the other hand, if \( tP_\infty(t) \leq 1 \) and \( t \in [\max_T/2, \max_T] \), then

\[
P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \leq \left( \frac{2}{\max_T} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Therefore

\[
P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \leq C_1(\max_T, \max_{\omega}) + C_2(\max_T, \max_{\omega}) \int_0^t \left( 1 + \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\|_{L_2^{1+\frac{2}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \ln P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} P_\infty(\tau)^{1/2} d\tau
\]

for \( t \in [0, \max_T] \), where \( C_1(\max_T, \max_{\omega}) = (\text{data}) + C_1^{1/2} + (\frac{2}{\max_T})^{1/2} \). This integral inequality and (4.6) imply that

\[
\ln P_\infty(t)^{1/2} \leq C(\max_T, \max_{\omega}) \exp \left( \int_0^t \left( 1 + \|(|E| + |B|)(\tau)\|_{L_2^{1+\frac{2}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) d\tau \right)
\]

\[
\leq C(\max_T, \max_{\omega}) \exp \left( t + t^{\frac{2+n}{2}} \|E\|_{L_2^{1+\frac{2}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|B\|_{L_2^{1+\frac{2}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C(\max_T, \max_{\omega}) \exp \left( T_{\max} + T_{\max}^{\frac{2+n}{2}} \max_{\omega} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C_3(\max_T, \max_{\omega})
\]

for \( t \in [0, \max_T] \). Defining \( \omega_1(t) = \exp(C_3(\max_T, \max_{\omega})) \), the criterion (1.8) in Theorem 1.1 is verified for \( \omega_1 \). From this result it hence follows that \( \max_T = \infty \), which is a contradiction to what was supposed before. As a consequence, \( \max_T = \infty \) must be satisfied and the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

## 5 Proof of Corollary 1.5

**Lemma 5.1** Define \( \sigma_{-1} \) by (1.10) and \( \mathcal{I}_0 \) by (1.9). Then for every \( a \in [0, \infty] \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a constant \( C = C(0, a, \varepsilon) > 0 \) such that

\[
\sigma_{-1}(t, x) \leq C \left( 1 + \mathcal{I}_{a+1}(t, x)^{\frac{2}{2+\alpha}} \right).
\]

**Proof:** Fix \( \omega \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that \( |\omega| = 1 \). Since \( 1 + \nu \cdot \omega \geq 1 - |\nu| \geq \frac{1}{2(1+\nu^2)} \), it follows for \( R \in [10, \infty] \) and \( \varepsilon \in [0, 2] \) that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2} (1+\nu \cdot \omega)} f = \int_{|p| \leq R, 1+\nu \cdot \omega \leq \varepsilon} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2} (1+\nu \cdot \omega)} f + \int_{|p| \leq R, 1+\nu \cdot \omega > \varepsilon} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2} (1+\nu \cdot \omega)} f
\]
+ \int_{|p|>R} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \frac{1}{(1+v \cdot \omega)} f

\leq 2L(0) \int_{|p| \leq R, 1+v \cdot \omega \leq \varepsilon} dp \sqrt{1+p^2} + L(0) \int_{|p| \leq R, 1+v \cdot \omega > \varepsilon} dp \sqrt{1+p^2} \frac{1}{(1+v \cdot \omega)}

+ 2 \int_{|p|>R} dp \sqrt{1+p^2} f

For the first integral, the transformation (2.3) yields

\int_{|p| \leq R, 1+v \cdot \omega \leq \varepsilon} dp \sqrt{1+p^2} \frac{1}{(1+v \cdot \omega)}

\leq C \int_0^R dr r^2 \sqrt{1+r^2} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \{1+\frac{r \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} \leq \varepsilon\}

\leq C \int_0^R d\sigma \sigma^2 \int_{-1}^1 ds \{1+\sigma s \leq \varepsilon\}

\leq C \varepsilon \int_0^R \frac{d\sigma}{(1-\sigma^2)^3} \leq C \varepsilon R^4.

Similarly, the second integral can be bounded by

\int_{|p| \leq R, 1+v \cdot \omega > \varepsilon} dp \frac{1}{(1+v \cdot \omega)} \leq C \int_0^R \frac{d\sigma \sigma^2}{(1-\sigma^2)^2} \int_{-1}^1 ds \{1+\sigma s > \varepsilon\}

\leq C \int_0^R \frac{d\sigma}{(1-\sigma^2)^2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon} d\tau \leq C \ln \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) R^2.

Hence for \(a \in [0, \infty]\),

\sigma_{-1} \leq C(0)\varepsilon R^4 + C(0) \ln \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) R^2 + 2R^{-a} \int_{|p|>R} (1+p^2)^{\frac{a+1}{2}} f dp.

Upon choosing \(\varepsilon = 1/R^2\), this leads to

\sigma_{-1} \leq C(0) \ln(R) R^2 + 2R^{-a} \int_{R^2} (1+p^2)^{\frac{a+1}{2}} f dp. \quad (5.2)

Furthermore, \(\sigma_{-1} \leq 2 \int_{R^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} f dp\) is always satisfied. Next fix a constant \(C_* = C_*(a)\) such that

\[ I_{\frac{1}{a+\alpha}} (\ln(10+I))^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}} \geq 10, \quad I \geq C_*(a). \]

If \(\mathcal{I}_{a+1} \leq C_*(a)\), then

\sigma_{-1} \leq 2 \int_{R^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} f dp \leq 2\mathcal{I}_{a+1} \leq 2C_*(a).

On the other hand, if \(\mathcal{I}_{a+1} \geq C_*(a)\), then take \(R = \mathcal{I}_{a+1}^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}} (\ln(10+\mathcal{I}_{a+1}))^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}} \geq 10\) in (5.2) to obtain

\sigma_{-1} \leq C(0) \ln(R) R^2 + 2R^{-a}\mathcal{I}_{a+1}

\leq C(0,a) \mathcal{I}_{a+1}^{\frac{a}{2+\alpha}} \left[\ln \mathcal{I}_{a+1} - \ln \ln(10+\mathcal{I}_{a+1})\right] (\ln(10+\mathcal{I}_{a+1}))^{-\frac{2}{2+\alpha}} + (\ln(10+\mathcal{I}_{a+1}))^{\frac{a}{2+\alpha}}

\leq C(0,a) \mathcal{I}_{a+1}^{\frac{a}{2+\alpha}} (\ln(10+\mathcal{I}_{a+1}))^{\frac{a}{2+\alpha}}.
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If $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed, then select $C = C(a, \varepsilon)$ such that $\ln(10 + I) \leq C(a, \varepsilon) I^\varepsilon$ whenever $I \geq C_*(a)$. Therefore $I_{a+1} \geq C_*(a)$ yields

$$\sigma_{-1} \leq C(0, a) C(a, \varepsilon) \frac{a}{2+a} I_{a+1}^{2+a},$$

and hence (5.1).

Corollary 5.2 For every $a \in [0, \infty[$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C = C(0, a, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|\sigma_{-1}(t)\|_{L^2_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C \left(1 + t^3 + \|I_{a+1}(t)\|_{L^q_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q\right)$$

for $q = \frac{2(2+\varepsilon a)}{2+a}$.

Proof: Let $R_0$ be fixed such that $f^{(0)}(x, p) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R_0$. Then (1.1) and (2.1) implies that $f(t, x, p) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R_0 + t$. In particular, $\sigma_{-1}(t, x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R_0 + t$. Hence squaring and integrating (5.1) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma_{-1}(t, x)^2 \, dx \leq C \left((R_0 + t)^3 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} I_{a+1}(t, x)^{\frac{2(2+\varepsilon a)}{2+a}} \, dx\right),$$

which yields the claim. □

Proof of Corollary 1.5: Let $\theta > 1$ and $q \in \left[\frac{4}{\theta + 1}, \infty[\right.$ be given, and suppose that there is a function $\varpi_7 \in C([0, \infty[)$ such that $\|I_\theta(t)\|_{L^q_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \varpi_7(t)$ is verified for $t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[$. Defining

$$a = \theta - 1, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{q(2+a)}{2a} - \frac{2}{a},$$

we have $a > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, and in addition $q = \frac{2(2+\varepsilon a)}{2+a}$. Thus we can apply Corollary 5.2 to deduce that for $t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}[$:

$$\|\sigma_{-1}(t)\|_{L^2_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C \left(1 + t^3 + \|I_\theta(t)\|_{L^q_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q\right) \leq C \left(1 + t^3 + \varpi_7(t)^q\right).$$

Hence Theorem 1.4 applies. □
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