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Abstract: Unfavourable quantitative and qualitative changes of soil organic matter result from degradation processes, such as water erosion connected with intense arable land use. In order to take adequate preventive action, the proper indicators of soil quality or degradation are urgently needed. In particular, tests considering the soil organic matter quality seem to be beneficial for soil monitoring. In this paper, we performed organic matter fractionation using the Tyurin and IHSS procedures on eight profiles of chernozemic soils (non-degraded, eroded, forest and accumulative soils). The study conducted confirmed the applicability of using humic and fulvic fractions in order to determine the pedogenesis processes and degradation rates of chernozemic soils. For example, the HA/FA ratio was higher in non-eroded and accumulative soils, compared with eroded or forest profiles. These findings were supported by statistical discrimination performed on mid-infrared spectra of humic acids extracted from the selected profiles. Groups of samples were divided into classes depending on land usage or degradation rate. Considering the results, fractions of humic substances can be recommended as useful tools for the assessment of soil transformation.
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1. Introduction

Soil organic matter (SOM) content and composition are considered as the most important factors reflecting soil quality, particularly in the cases of agricultural land use and sustainability [1]. High content of SOM is typical for productive and fertile soils, as the organic matter has a beneficial impact on both physical and chemical soil properties [2,3]. Due to its complex structure, SOM plays an important role in sorption processes and is a source of macronutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorus. Therefore, SOM participates in nutrient cycling in terrestrial ecosystems and enhances microbial activity, providing sources of energy for soil microbiomes [4,5]. The physical role of SOM is associated with water retention and stabilization of the soil structure, which are important for plant rooting, soil fauna activity, and resistance to erosion. All these benefits from SOM presence positively affect the crop yields [6], whereas SOM degradation leads to the worsening of physicochemical soil properties and, subsequently, to the lowering of its productivity [7,8]. The decrease in SOM content is caused mostly by human activity, e.g., long-term tillage, which leads to organic matter oxidation, and organic matter removal imbalanced with fertilization (e.g., by manuring, organic mulching, or green manure cropping). Another problem is the soil carbon loss induced by climate change—particularly by the increase in global temperatures, which results in faster organic matter decomposition and the release of carbon dioxide from pools sequestered in the soil [7]. SOM quality and content respond relatively quickly to changes in environmental conditions; hence, it can be considered an indicator of soil transformation and degradation.
Currently, soil degradation is one of the greatest problems concerning agricultural ecosystems. It is defined as the set of physical, chemical, biological and ecological processes that considerably decrease soil quality and potential soil productivity [8,9]. Thus, soil degradation threatens not only the ecological balance of nutrients or carbon, but also food safety [10]. Soils with the highest fertility and greatest potential for productivity play a crucial role in grain production and food security worldwide [11]. In Poland, the most fertile chernozemic soils are permanently threatened by erosion, as they are mostly located in rolling (sloped) landscapes and precipitation outweighs evaporation for most of the year, in particular during the winter season, when soils are poorly protected with plant cover [12–14]. Therefore, the soil components may be lost with water surface runoff.

Optimally, attributes used to estimate soil degradation should be sensitive to physical, chemical, and biological changes in environmental properties, and reliable enough to reflect the soil quality. Considering these aforementioned functions, the SOM characteristic seems to be a suitable indicator. However, due to the complexity of SOM, a simple measurement of the total soil organic carbon (SOC) content is insufficient, and more detailed insight into SOM composition is required [15,16]. On the other hand, the most labile organic compounds, commonly generalized as “dissolved organic carbon”, have a relatively rapid turnover because they are readily utilized by microorganisms or leached; thus, their concentration informs us mostly about the current state of the environment influencing SOM stability [17]. The humic substances (HS) are more stable organic matter compounds that constitute a significant pool of total soil C and N [18]. The content and ratios of humic and fulvic acids as the indicators of soil quality have been previously suggested by many authors [2,4,19]. Their observations prove that changes in agriculture practices or land transformation processes can alter the chemical properties of HS [20,21]. For example, Spaccini et al. [22] confirmed that the conversion from forest to arable land caused a progressive decrease in HS concentration in soils.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the usefulness of humic substances as indicators of soil transformation, especially when caused by water erosion or by the impact of different land use. Research was based on the quantitative data from two OM fractionation methods (Tyurin and IHSS) and the qualitative comparison of MIR spectra of organic compounds extracted from examined soils. The obtained results were used to conclude whether soil organic matter fractional composition and/or chemical characteristics may be informative in the studies on pedogenic processes.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Area of Study

The research was conducted in 2019 and 2020 in two sequences (catenas) of chernozemic soils located in south-eastern Poland. Both sites are situated within the Central European loess belt, stretching from the Ural Mountains, through southern Poland, up to central Germany. Arable soils developed from loess in a rolling landscape are considered particularly exposed to the processes of erosion [23]. Catena 1 (profiles 1–4) was located on the arable land of the Proszowice Plateau (50°06′ N, 20°00′ E) (Figure 1). Soils of the plateau are developed from loess of variable thickness overlying the Upper Cretaceous limestone, sometimes emerging at the land surface [24]. High annual precipitation (600–650 mm), an undulating landscape, and dominant soil parent material are responsible for high risk and observed rates of water erosion in the plateau [25]. Catena 2 (profiles 5–8) was located in the Hrubieszów Basin (50°30′ N, 23°52′ E), characterized by geomorphological conditions similar to the Proszowice Plateau: elevation 250–300 m a.s.l., rolling landscape, surface coverage with loess, and mean annual precipitation of ca. 550 mm. Soil profiles 6–8 were located on arable field, while profile 5 was located in a broadleaf forest with a predominance of hornbeam (Carpinus betulus L.) and an admixture of oak (Quercus robur L.). Forests cover only small patches of the Proszowice Plateau and the Hrubieszów
Basin, and the majority of the soil is used for agricultural purposes, especially for wheat, maize, and rape cultivation.

Figure 1. Localization of sampling areas in (a) Proszowice Plateau (catena 1: profiles 1–4) and (b) Hrubieszów Basin (catena 2: profiles 5–8).

2.2. Sample Preparations and Analysis

The soil profiles were described and classified according to FAO-WRB classification (Table 1) [26]. Soil samples for further laboratory analyses were collected from all morphologically distinguished horizons and subhorizons. In this paper, only the samples from topsoil humus horizons are considered. Complete data of all horizons are available in Supplementary Materials (Table S1). Sample pretreatment included soil drying, crushing and sieving through 2 mm mesh. Particle size distribution was measured by sieve and hydrometer method. Texture classes were assigned according to the USDA standard applied by WRB classification [26]. Soil organic carbon was determined by a dry-combustion method using a CS-MAT 5500 analyser (Ströhlein, Kaarst, Germany). Total nitrogen was measured by the Kjeldahl method (Büchi semi-automated analyser). Soil pH was measured potentiometrically in a distilled water suspension at the soil:water ratio of 1:5 (v/v) (Mettler Toledo, Graifensee, Switzerland). The equivalent of calcium carbonate content was determined volumetrically using Scheibler apparatus (Table 2) [27].

Quantitative fractional composition of organic matter was carried out following the method proposed by Tyurin [28,29] and the International Humic Substances Society (IHSS) [30]. The first method was selected based on its popularity in Central and Eastern Europe. Moreover, findings from our previous work, where we performed the comparison of fractionation methods used in Poland, confirmed its suitability regarding SOM composition [31]. The IHSS extraction method, in turn, is currently recommended as an international standard in studies on humic substances [32]. Both methods allow the obtaining of fractions of humic (HA) and fulvic acids (FA), based on the solubility of examined components in acidic and alkaline solutions. The content of carbon in individual fractions was determined by carbon oxidation with acid dichromate solution. Originally, the Tyurin method allows for the separation of multiple HA and FA fractions, while the IHSS method is much more simplified. For further comparison of the Tyurin and IHSS procedures, the obtained fractions of HA and FA are summed up in Table 3. All partial results of the organic matter fractionation are available in Supplementary Materials (Table S2).

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The mid-infrared spectra of HA from topsoil horizons, extracted by IHSS fractionation, were obtained using a spectrometer Nicolet iZ10 FT-IR with the Smart iTX accessory supplied with a diamond plate (Thermo128 Scientific, Madison, WI, USA), in the range 4000–525 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. Spectra used for analysis originally created a data matrix with 8 rows (number of samples) and 7200 columns (spectral peaks), but in order to implement further statistical analysis, they were averaged in blocks to 359 columns. Thus, the final resolution of the spectral database was 20 cm⁻¹. Statistical analysis was
applied using Systat 13.2 software (Systat Software, San Jose, CA, USA). Canonical correlation analysis was used in order to verify if there was an association among variables [33], and if so, to measure the size of the correlation. Grouping variables were separated according to Table 1 into: (a) two samples of non-degraded soils, (b) three samples of eroded soils, (c) two samples of accumulative soils and (d) one sample of soil under forest vegetation, while a dependent variable represented the mid-infrared spectrum of HA. In order to maximize the differentiation of sample groups, we performed two-step mathematical pretreatment [34,35] using Unscrambler 10.4 software (Camo Software AS, Oslo, Norway). The first step included standardization (SNV) of the spectra to obtain variance = 1. Then, we applied the first derivative to highlight the peaks of the spectral image (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Mid-infrared absorbance spectra (A)—without processing, (B)—after standardization (SNV), (C)—after SNV and 1st derivative.](image)

3. **Results**

3.1. **Basic Soil Characteristics and Properties**

The main characteristic for chernozemic soils was the presence of mollic or chernic diagnostic horizons, recognised by their colour, SOC content, pH, and thickness. Soils described in two catenas fulfilled the criteria of chernozemic soils and were classified as Chernozems or Phaeozems according to FAO-WRB [26]. Profile 3 was exceptionally described as Calcisol, due to the loss of chernozemic properties caused by strong erosion. The soil sequence in catena 1 represented the different stages of soil erosion in an undulated landscape. Profile 1 was located in a higher plain position and no traces of erosion were identified. Profiles 2–3 were situated on a moderately steep slope and exemplified various intensities of erosion, while profile 4, located at the foot of the slope was upbuilt...
by colluvial soil accumulation (Table 1). Catena 2 also included the non-eroded soil (profile 7), eroded soil (profile 6) and colluvially accumulated/upbuilt soil (profile 8). Profile 5, situated in a forest, although located in a high plain position and non-eroded, experienced strong acidification (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Soil location, land use and classification.

| Soil Classification            | Altitude m.a.s.l. | Landscape Position     | Land Use       | Type of Degradation          |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Profile 1 Chernic Phaeozem    | 254               | plain part of long slope | arable—wheat   | non-eroded                    |
| Profile 2 Calcic Chernozem    | 257               | middle part of long slope | arable—wheat   | erosion                       |
| Profile 3 Haplic Calcisol     | 251               | ridge in middleslope   | arable—wheat   | erosion                       |
| Profile 4 Calcic Chernozem    | 247               | footslope below the ridge | arable—wheat   | colluvial accumulation        |
| Profile 5 Haplic Chernozem    | 252               | upper part of long slope | forest         | acidification                 |
| Profile 6 Haplic Chernozem    | 245               | middle part of long slope | arable—oilseed rape | erosion                      |
| Profile 7 Haplic Chernozem    | 230               | plain part of slope     | arable—oilseed rape | non-eroded                  |
| Profile 8 Haplic Chernozem    | 219               | footslope               | arable—oilseed rape | colluvial accumulation      |

**Table 2.** Selected physicochemical properties of humus horizons under study (complete list of data for whole profiles is included in Supplementary Materials).

| Horizon | Color | Depth cm | pH | CaCO₃ % [m/m] | SOC % | TN % | Clay % | Texture Class |
|---------|-------|----------|----|---------------|-------|------|--------|---------------|
| Profile 1 Ap | 10YR 3/1 | 0–25 | 7.60 | 0.42 | 1.94 | 0.16 | 17 | SiL |
| Profile 1 A2 | 10YR 2/1 | 25–40 | 7.88 | 0.21 | 1.64 | 0.12 | 16 | SiL |
| Profile 1 A3 | 10YR 2/1 | 40–75 | 7.96 | 0.30 | 1.99 | 0.12 | 22 | SiL |
| Profile 2 Apk | 10YR 2/2 | 0–35 | 8.10 | 6.36 | 1.44 | 0.13 | 15 | SiL |
| Profile 3 Ap | 10YR 4/2 | 0–30 | 8.18 | 16.7 | 1.03 | 0.07 | 17 | SiL |
| Profile 4 Ap | 10YR 3/2 | 0–25 | 8.10 | 8.48 | 1.48 | 0.14 | 18 | SiL |
| Profile 4 A2 | 10YR 3/2 | 25–48 | 8.05 | 9.54 | 1.31 | 0.14 | 17 | SiL |
| Profile 4 A3 | 10YR 3/2 | 48–54 | 8.23 | 5.09 | 1.52 | 0.12 | 23 | SiL |
| Profile 5 A1 | 10YR 3/2 | 0–35 | 4.55 | 0.59 | 1.82 | 0.15 | 12 | SiL |
| Profile 5 A2 | 10YR 3/1 | 35–65 | 5.14 | 0.51 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 23 | SiL |
| Profile 6 Ap | 10YR 2/1 | 0–31 | 7.16 | 3.13 | 3.29 | 0.30 | 14 | SiL |
| Profile 7 Ap1 | 10YR 2/2 | 0–20 | 7.13 | 0.05 | 1.44 | 0.11 | 13 | SiL |
| Profile 7 Ap2 | 10YR 1/1 | 20–40 | 7.08 | 0.08 | 1.49 | 0.12 | 11 | SiL |
| Profile 7 Ahk | 10YR 3/2 | 40–65 | 7.18 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 0.07 | 16 | SiL |
| Profile 8 Ap | 10YR 1/1 | 0–45 | 7.97 | 0.25 | 2.75 | 0.18 | 10 | SiL |
| Profile 8 A | 10YR 2/1 | 45–65 | 8.06 | 0.17 | 1.57 | 0.11 | 20 | SiL |

Explanation: SOC—soil organic carbon; TN—total nitrogen; SiL—silt loam.

All profiles differed by the thickness of topsoil humus horizons (Ap + remaining A subhorizons), which varied from 30–35 cm in eroded profiles (profiles 2, 3, and 6), and up to 65–75 cm in non-eroded and colluvially accumulated soils (profiles 1, 4, 5, 7, and 8). Topsoil Ap horizons were black (10YR 1/1–3/1; Table 2), excluding strongly eroded profile 3, where Ap was featured by a lighter colour of 10YR 4/2. SOC content in the uppermost
topsoil layer varied in a range of 1.03–3.29%, with the lowest in strongly eroded profile 3. The majority of soils were characterized by an alkaline reaction, particularly in deeper subhorizons (pH of 7.2–8.2), with the only exception being the strongly acidified (pH of 4.5–5.1) forest soil (profile 5) (Table 2). Non-eroded soils (profiles 1, 5, 7, and 8) contained only trace amounts of carbonates in the topsoil horizons, whereas eroded soils (profiles 2, 3, and 6) and colluvially accumulated soil (profile 4) contained noticeably higher amounts of carbonates. All analysed topsoil horizons had a texture of silt loam, typically with elevated clay content in the subsurface subhorizons.

3.2. Soil Humic Fractions as Indicators of SOM Responses to Degradation

The sum of HA and FA fractions obtained in both methods are not at a similar level of total values. In general, the Tyurin procedure allows the obtainment of a higher content of total HA and FA fractions compared to the IHSS procedure (Table 3). However, the general trends of HA and FA amounts and their ratio seem to be similar in both methods. Regardless of the procedure used, non-eroded and accumulative soils (1 and 4) from catena 1 are characterized by high amounts of HA fractions in a range of 32.4–22.5% in the Tyurin method and 23.9% in the IHSS method. In eroded profiles (2 and 3), the content of HA starts to decrease, and FA become dominant, especially in the case of profile 3 with the strongest signs of erosion (Table 3). It needs to be noted that in profiles with well-developed and thick humus horizons, where the fractions of humic substances were analysed in sub-layers, the content of HA increased with the depth (profiles 1 and 4). The ratio of HA/FA in catena 1 is the highest in the topsoil layer for non-eroded profile 1, slightly eroded profile 2, and accumulated profile 4 (1.0–1.4 in the Tyurin method or 1.0–1.6 in the IHSS method). The lowest value is present in strongly eroded profile 3 (0.7 in the Tyurin method and 0.5 in the IHSS method).

Table 3. Composition of humic substances extracted by Tyurin and IHSS methods.

| Profile | Tyurin | IHSS |
|---------|--------|------|
|         | HA     | FA   | HA/FA | HA     | FA   | HA/FA |
|         | % of SOC |       |       | % of SOC |       |       |
| Profile 1 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| Ap      | 33.5   | 26.3 | 1.3   | 23.9   | 14.8 | 1.6   |
| A2      | 40.8   | 20.1 | 2.0   | 24.6   | 15.0 | 1.6   |
| A3      | 42.7   | 26.0 | 1.6   | 35.2   | 19.9 | 1.8   |
| Profile 2 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| Apk     | 27.8   | 25.4 | 1.1   | 25.9   | 21.4 | 1.2   |
| Profile 3 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| Ap      | 22.3   | 34.1 | 0.7   | 14.6   | 26.7 | 0.5   |
| Profile 4 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| Ap      | 32.4   | 32.1 | 1.0   | 23.8   | 23.2 | 1.0   |
| A2      | 38.1   | 32.5 | 1.2   | 20.6   | 22.3 | 0.9   |
| A3      | 41.4   | 27.9 | 1.5   | 22.3   | 21.8 | 1.0   |
| Profile 5 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| A1      | 29.6   | 39.9 | 0.7   | 18.3   | 30.5 | 0.6   |
| A2      | 30.1   | 48.8 | 0.6   | 15.5   | 31.1 | 0.5   |
| Profile 6 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| Ap      | 40.1   | 21.1 | 1.9   | 27.8   | 13.3 | 2.1   |
| Profile 7 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| Ap1     | 38.9   | 35.8 | 1.1   | 31.9   | 22.7 | 1.4   |
| Ap2     | 39.6   | 38.9 | 1.0   | 31.0   | 18.6 | 1.7   |
| Profile 8 |        |      |      |        |      |      |
| Ahk     | 57.7   | 26.0 | 2.2   | 50.0   | 17.8 | 2.8   |
Profile 5 under forest vegetation is characterized by a clear predominance of FA over HA in both procedures. The content of FA in the topsoil horizon for the Tyurin method is 39.9%, and it is and 30.5% for the IHSS method. Thus, the HA/FA ratio remains at a low level (0.6–0.7) and is similar in both procedures. The comparison between non-eroded profile 7 and eroded profile 6 shows that slight traces of erosion are not reflected in the contribution of humus fractions. In both profiles (6 and 7), HA content remains at a level of 40% in the Tyurin method and around 30% in the IHSS method. Accumulative profile 8 has different characteristics with a particularly high content of HA and relatively low values of FA. It is reflected in the HA/FA ratio with the highest values in catena 2, up to 2.9 for the Tyurin method and 2.5 for the IHSS method.

What is noteworthy is the fact that in soils with a high SOC content (1.9%, 2.7% and 3.2%), the HA/FA ratio is generally wide (1.6, 2.5 and 2.1, respectively). Soils with a lower SOC content (1.0 and 1.5), such as eroded profile 3 and accumulative profile 4, represented a decreased HA/FA ratio at the level of 0.5–1.0.

Canonical correlation analysis allows us to distinguish the groups of samples based on humic acid spectra recorded in the MIR range. All spectra are described as canonical scores in Figure 3 based on sample diversity and further statistical discrimination. Figure 3 shows that samples separated into individual groups if there was more than one in particular sets, and they spread at certain distances from each other. The distance between canonical scores of samples is referred to as the Mahalanobis distance and is used to assess the discrimination between examined groups [36]. As shown in Figure 3, the single forest sample and two non-degraded samples are located at longer distances from the others. In turn, eroded and accumulative samples are situated closer to each other, but still are separated into individual groups.

4. Discussion

Performed analyses showed that humic and fulvic fractions, obtained by extraction methods, may indicate the processes of degradation and transformation within the soil sequences. Non-eroded profiles 1 and 7 were chosen as reference soils for this study. Despite their different locations in Poland, they have SOC content at a similar level of 1.44%.
However, profile 7 has a darker topsoil layer of 10YR 2/2 compared to 10YR 3/1 in profile 1, which indicates a varied content of decomposed organic matter [37]. Both profiles are characterized by a high content of HA, which generally predominates over FA, as a result of the presence of well-humified OM in a stable environment. Similar HA results for non-degraded Chernozems were obtained by Lubica et al. [38] and Prikhod’ko et al. [39]. An example of soil with clear features of degradation can be seen in profile 3, where erosion has caused shallowing and the loss of the dark colour of the topsoil horizon (Table 2) [37]. This profile also has the lowest content of HA and FA in the analysed catenas. Such results typical for heavily eroded Chernozems support the research of Turski [40], who reported a decrease in HA content along with an increasing intensity of erosion on a slope. For example, on non-eroded soil, the content of HA was 28.3, whereas on strongly eroded Chernozem, it was 19.3. Such a decrease is related to the physical translocation of humic substances across the slope due to erosion, whereas the predominance of FA is an effect of the constant formation of fresh, low-molecular organic compounds on slopes. However, in the profiles located in upper parts of both catenas, where processes of erosion have already started to develop (profiles 2 and 6), there is only a decrease in humus horizon thickness, while colour remains even darker than in non-eroded profiles. As a result, in the content of humic substances, there is no clear indication of erosive processes. Hladký et al. [41], in their work on the humus quality of chernozemic soils, had similar conclusions, as they observed a decrease in SOM content in the middle part of a slope, although changes in the quality of humus were not detected.

General changes in field management practices are also reflected in HS chemical composition overall, which is particularly visible in the case of forest profile 5. Constant supply of fresh biomass results in a higher content of the majority of the fractions, whereas an acidic environment stimulates formation of FA over HA under soils with freshly accumulated organic matter [42]. A characteristic feature of accumulative soils is the increase in humic substance content when compared to eroded soils. A remarkably higher sum of fraction of HS is a direct effect of OM transportation along the slopes and the accumulation in lower parts in the bottom of both catenas. The aforementioned trends are additionally reflected by the HA/FA ratio. Profiles with the highest HA/FA relation are characterized by the predominance of well-humified organic matter in the form of HA and their specific location in the field. The highest ratio occurs in non-eroded areas and in soils located at the lowest parts of slopes. On the other hand, eroded and forest profiles represent the lowest ratio. Many authors presented similar results, for example, Horáček et al. [43] showed the HA/FA ratio for slightly degraded Chernozems at level 1.1–1.3, while Hladký et al. [41] confirmed that on eroded soils, values of the HA/FA ratio decreased below 1. Moreover, in the case of accumulative soils located in the lowlands, our results stay in agreement with Děbska [44], who reported values at the level of 1.9.

Performance of canonical correlation analysis confirms that the MIR spectra of humic acids extracted from soil organic matter discriminate and classify samples into classes, based on their land use or degradation ratio. Considering the first canonical score, the Mahalanobis distance between groups of samples in some cases is remarkably high—around 20 between the forest and non-degraded classes. It clearly indicates significant differentiation within the examined material, which is an effect of distinct types of organic matter supplies [45]. However, non-degraded, eroded and accumulative soils are localized at closer distances to each other. Weaker differentiation is an effect of the same land use; therefore, general composition of organic matter should be similar. Classes with the lowest Mahalanobis distance are the eroded and accumulated groups, probably due to the intensive translocation of organic matter between these two types of soils.

Based on the presented results, we can conclude that both the Tyurin and the IHSS procedures allow us to draw similar conclusions about soil transformation considering HA and FA content along with their HA/FA ratio. Despite the fact that the Tyurin method extracts higher amounts of humic substances (usually around 10–15% more than the IHSS
method), the trends and general overview on soil organic matter composition are maintained in both procedures. Therefore, the results obtained by each of the mentioned approaches are useful as indicators of pedogenic processes and degradation rate in soils. An additional confirmation of the role of humus substances in the studies on soil transformation are the results of MIR spectroscopy of HA. Therefore, different land use and pedogenic processes leave marks not only in the quantity of the humic compounds, but also in their chemical/qualitative characteristics [34].

It can be expected that the erosion processes and excessive decrease in soil organic carbon content will negatively affect the productivity of fertile soils [46]. It should be emphasised that the location of all the studied profiles was carefully selected based on soil maps. Theoretically, profiles should be located on surfaces described in the literature as regions covered by well-developed Chernozems. However, direct field observations have shown that soils demonstrate signs of erosion caused by their location in undulating areas (Figure 1). Hence, humic substances seem to be suitable indicators of changes that directly affect the soil organic matter pool due to their great contribution in total OM content (up to 60%) [31,32,47].

5. Conclusions

The performed study confirms the applicability of humic and fulvic fractions in order to determine pedogenesis processes and the degradation rate of chernozemic soils. This conclusion is particularly supported by the different distribution of the humic substances’ fractions and their ratio, according to the location of the profile, land use, current vegetation or traces of erosion. The presented data show that both the Tyurin and the IHSS fractionation procedures lead to the same conclusions, despite the differences in the quantities of extracted humic compounds. Research on soil transformation based on SOM composition can be supplemented by the application of spectroscopic methods on separated humic substances, which gives additional insight into organic matter quality.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxxx. Table S1: Physicochemical properties of complete chernozemic profiles under study. Table S2. Composition of humic substances extracted by the Tyurin and IHSS methods.
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