Importance of a second spasm provocation test: Four cases with an initial negative spasm provocation test

Hiroki Teragawa, Yuichi Fujii, Yuko Uchimura, Tomohiro Ueda

Hiroki Teragawa, Yuichi Fujii, Yuko Uchimura, Tomohiro Ueda, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, JR Hiroshima Hospital, Higashi-ku, Hiroshima 732-0057, Japan

Author contributions: Teragawa H wrote the manuscript; Fujii Y, Uchimura Y and Ueda T collected and evaluated the data.

Institutional review board statement: This case report was exempt from the Institutional Review Board standards at JR Hiroshima Hospital.

Informed consent statement: The patients involved in this study gave written informed consent authorizing use and disclosure of their protected health information.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Hiroki Teragawa, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, JR Hiroshima Hospital, 3-1-36 Futabanosato, Higashi-ku, Hiroshima 732-0057, Japan. hiroteraga71@gmail.com
Telephone: +81-82-2621171
Fax: +81-82-2621499

Received: August 28, 2016
Peer-review started: August 30, 2016
First decision: September 27, 2016
Revised: October 28, 2016
Accepted: January 11, 2017
Article in press: January 14, 2017
Published online: March 26, 2017

Abstract

The spasm provocation test (SPT) is an important test in the diagnosis of vasospastic angina (VSA). In many cases, this test is performed as the gold standard test, and VSA is considered not present if the SPT is negative. However, some patients continue to experience chest symptoms despite a negative SPT. In this study, we report four cases in which SPT was repeated to evaluate chest symptoms despite the negative results of the first SPT. Two men in their 70s, one woman in her 60s, and one woman in her 70s, all with chest symptoms, underwent a second SPT at 4, 3, 2, and 3 years, respectively, after the first SPT, which was negative. Three patients had positive results in the second SPT (75%). In conclusion, even when SPT is negative, the diagnosis of VSA should be made with the consideration of their clinical symptoms and examinations.
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Core tip: The spasm provocation test (SPT) is an important examination when diagnosing vasospastic angina (VSA). In general, if the SPT is negative, VSA is considered not present. However, we encountered four patients who underwent a second SPT although the first SPT was negative. In these patients, some show a positive second SPT result. SPT is not a perfect examination, and in the clinical setting, the diagnosis of VSA should be made with the consideration of their clinical symptoms and examinations.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronary spasm is characterized by transient vasoconstriction of the epicardial coronary artery, leading to myocardial ischemia. Coronary spasm is the cause of not only typical rest angina but also exertional angina, acute myocardial infarction, and sudden cardiac death[1,2]. Therefore, the diagnosis of vasospastic angina (VSA) should be made with certainty. VSA is diagnosed by the presence of chest symptoms accompanied by transient ST deviation on the electrocardiogram (ECG)[3,4]. This can, however, be difficult in the clinical setting because angina attacks do not necessarily occur during the one day of ECG monitoring or because ST changes are not always documented by ECG even in the presence of chest symptoms. In such cases, the spasm provocation test (SPT) can be used[3,4]. In the clinical setting, SPT is the gold standard examination to diagnose VSA. However, we observed some cases in which chest symptoms continued despite a negative SPT. Here, we report four such cases in which a second SPT was performed to evaluate chest symptoms despite negative results in the first SPT.

CASE REPORT

At our institution, SPT is performed in the afternoon. Vasodilators are stopped 2 d before SPT. For SPT, acetylcholine (ACh) is usually used as the provocation drug, with 30 and 50 µg for the right coronary artery (RCA) and 50 and 100 µg for the left coronary artery (LCA). If the SPT results are negative with these doses, additional doses of ACh (80 µg for the RCA and 200 µg for the LCA) and/or ergonovine maleate (EM; 20, 40, and 60 µg for the LCA) are sometimes added. A positive SPT is defined as the presence of transient vasoconstriction > 90% in response to intracoronary infusions of provocative drugs on coronary angiograms. The positive result is accompanied by the usual chest symptoms and/or ischemic ST deviations in the patient’s ECG[3].

Case 1

A man in his 70s underwent an SPT because of chest pain at rest. His coronary risk factors were smoking (30 cigarettes per day for 30 years) and hypertension. The SPT showed negative results after intracoronary infusions of ACh with 50 µg for the RCA and 100 µg for the LCA (Figure 1A). Thereafter, he continued to experienced chest pain at rest but did not seek further help for his chest symptoms. Four years later, he felt severe chest pain at rest in the early morning, which was relieved by sublingual nitroglycerin (NTG). Therefore, he underwent a second SPT, which was positive for the RCA with an intracoronary infusion of 30 µg ACh. The result was accompanied by the usual chest symptoms and ECG changes, despite negative LCA results after an intracoronary infusion of NTG (Figure 1B). At that time, we used a pressure wire inserted into the distal RCA. The distal intracoronary pressure/aortic pressure (Pd/Pa) decreased from 0.99 at baseline to 0.73 after the ACh infusion. He was diagnosed with VSA and discharged with a prescription for a calcium channel blocker (CCB).

Case 2

A male in his 70s underwent an SPT because of chest pain at rest, which occurred for 1-2 min and frequently 3-4 times/wk. He had no coronary risk factors. The SPT showed moderate vasoconstriction of the RCA after 50 µg ACh and moderate vasoconstriction of the LCA after 100 µg ACh (Figure 2A). However, he did not experience chest symptoms or ST deviation on ECG during the SPT. Hence, the SPT result was judged as negative. His chest symptoms continued thereafter, and he had severe chest pain at midnight 3 years later; therefore, he underwent a second SPT 3 years after the first SPT. The second SPT showed positive results for both the RCA after intracoronary infusions of 50 µg ACh and the LCA after infusions of 100 µg ACh (Figure 2B). The test was accompanied by the usual chest symptoms. The Pd/Pa decreased from 0.96 at baseline to 0.75 during the RCA spasm and from 0.93 at baseline to 0.74 during the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) spasm. He was diagnosed with VSA and was discharged with CCB medication.

Case 3

A female in her 60s underwent an SPT due to 1-2-min chest pain at rest during the night. She had no coronary risk factors. The SPT showed negative RCA results after 50 µg ACh and for the LCA after an intracoronary infusion of 100 µg ACh (Figure 3A). Nevertheless, her symptoms continued. CCB did not help, and she underwent the second SPT 3 years after the first SPT. The second SPT showed positive RCA results after 80 µg ACh and for the LCA after 200 µg ACh (Figure 3B). The Pd/Pa did not change significantly, from 1.00 at baseline to 0.92 with 80 µg ACh in the RCA and from 0.95 at baseline to 0.93 with 200 µg ACh in the LAD. She was discharged with analgesic and anti-depressive medication.

Case 4

A female in her 70s underwent an SPT to evaluate chest pain in the evening lasting for 1 min. Her coronary risk factors were hypertension and lipid disorder. The SPT showed negative RCA results after 50 µg ACh and for the LCA after 100 µg ACh (Figure 4A). Thereafter, her chest symptoms were infrequent, but she felt severe
chest pain at rest 3 years later, when she presented at our institution for the second SPT. The second SPT showed negative RCA results after intracoronary infusions of acetylcholine (ACh) with 50 µg for the right coronary artery (RCA) and 100 µg for the left coronary artery (LCA); the second SPT showed positive RCA results with an infusion of 30 µg ACh, accompanied by the usual chest symptoms and electrocardiogram changes, despite negative LCA results after an intracoronary infusion of nitroglycerin (NTG). Arrows indicate coronary spasm.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present report, we present four patients who underwent a second SPT for the evaluation of chest symptoms, despite negative results from the first SPT. Of the four cases, there were three positive cases (75%). From these cases, we learned that SPT is not an absolute and final examination for diagnosing VSA.

SPT has been widely adopted as the final examination for the diagnosis of VSA. However, several factors may affect a positive SPT finding, such as VSA activity, time of day when the SPT is performed, and the duration of the withdrawal of vasodilators. VSA activity is variable, not only daily but also seasonally or yearly\(^{(3)}\), and this may contribute to the difference in SPT results. According to the time spent performing the SPT, it may...
The maximal doses of 50 µg ACh for the RCA and 100 µg for the LCA, in general, are recommended[3]. However, SPT using higher ACh doses of 80 µg for the RCA and 200 µg for the LCA[7,8] and/or using a combination of ACh and EM[6,8], have recently been recommended. The use of higher ACh doses and/or a combination of ACh and EM may decrease the number of incomplete SPTs. In case 3, we judged the results as negative after higher ACh intracoronary infusion doses for the second SPT. To deny the possibility of VSA, it may be ideal to perform the SPT using higher

be ideal to perform the SPT when VSA angina attacks occur easily, particularly in the morning. However, at our institution, SPTs are performed only in the afternoon. In these four cases presented here, both the first and second SPTs were performed at the same time in the afternoon. For the withdrawal of vasodilators before SPT, vasodilators were withheld at least 48 h before SPT[5,8]; however, 2 d may be insufficient for the withdrawal of long-acting CCBs. This factor may contribute to the discrepancy of SPT results.

The SPT procedure is another important problem. The SPT procedure is another important problem.

Figure 2 Coronary angiograms during the first and second spasm provocation test in case 2. A: The first spasm provocation test (SPT) showed moderate vasoconstriction of the right coronary artery (RCA) after infusions of 50 µg acetylcholine (ACh) and moderate left coronary artery (LCA) vasoconstriction after infusions of 100 µg ACh. However, neither chest symptoms nor ST deviation of the electrocardiogram occurred during the SPT; B: The second SPT showed positive results with both the RCA after intracoronary infusions of 50 µg ACh and the LCA after infusions of 100 µg ACh, accompanied by the usual chest symptoms. Arrows indicate coronary spasms.
concentrations of ACh. In addition, we used a pressure wire in all four cases. Using a pressure wire in SPT may be useful for diagnosing VSA\textsuperscript{[9]} because the presence of myocardial ischemia can be detected promptly when the Pd/Pa is continuously monitored. Although an SPT using a pressure wire is not always recommended in all patients, this technique may provide additional information for VSA diagnosis and may, therefore, be useful for the second SPT.

In our cases shown here, there were the gaps of 3 to
There are four patients who underwent a spasm provocation test (SPT) for a second time to evaluate chest symptoms despite negative results for the first SPT.

**Clinical diagnosis**
Vasospastic angina, which was diagnosed with the second SPT.

**Differential diagnosis**
Microvascular angina, chest pain syndrome and gastroesophageal reflux.
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