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Introduction

Intraflagellar transport (IFT) of particles containing structural and signaling proteins is critical to building and maintaining cilia and flagella (Kozminski et al., 1993; Rosenbaum and Witman, 2002; Scholey, 2003). Defects in IFT in humans can give rise to dysfunctional cilia and produce a variety of disease states (Pazour and Rosenbaum, 2002). Sensory cilia in Caenorhabditis elegans neurons, which are crucial for chemosensory function (Perkins et al., 1995), also rely on IFT for their formation and function (Cole et al., 1998). Anterograde IFT transport in C. elegans sensory neurons is driven by two Kinesin-2 family members: heterotrimeric Kinesin-II and homodimeric OSM-3 (Cole et al., 1998; Signor et al., 1999b; Ou et al., 2005). Retrograde IFT transport is driven by a special class of dynein molecules that recycle the anterograde motor and proteins destined for turnover back to the cell body (Pazour et al., 1999; Signor et al., 1999a).

The activities of the heterotrimeric and homodimeric Kinesin-2 motor appear to be carefully regulated during IFT. Microscopy studies in living C. elegans have shown that both motors cooperate to move IFT particles along the middle segment of the cilia consisting of nine doublet microtubules, whereas only OSM-3 transports IFT particles along the distal segment consisting of nine singlet microtubules (Snow et al., 2004; Evans et al., 2006). OSM-3 activity is most likely confined to axonemes in C. elegans because osm-3–null animals only display a loss of the distal segments of their sensory cilia. Thus, it is possible that the OSM-3 motor is active only in the cilium, where it executes its transport functions. However, the mammalian homologue of Osm-3 (KIF17) is involved in both ciliary IFT (Setou et al., 2000) and membrane transport in neuron dendrites (Setou et al., 2000).

To better understand the molecular basis of IFT transport, it is important to characterize the properties of the IFT motor proteins in vitro. Although several studies have explored the motile properties of the heterotrimeric Kinesin-2 motor in vitro (Cole et al., 1993; Yamazaki et al., 1995; Zhang and Hancock, 2004), in vitro studies of OSM-3 and single-molecule studies of KIF17 have not been undertaken. In this study, using single-molecule techniques, we show that single OSM-3 molecules do not move processively (long distance movement along microtubules without dissociation) in vitro. However, motility can be activated by attaching OSM-3 via its tail domain to beads or by mutations to a hinge region in the middle of the molecule. These results support a model in which OSM-3 is autoinhibited in a folded conformation and processive movement is activated byattachment to IFT cargo. This mechanism is similar to an
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intramolecular, autoinhibitory interaction in Kinesin-1 that has been proposed to keep this motor in an inactive conformation until it interacts with its cargo (for a review see Adio et al., 2006). The biological importance of OSM-3 autoinhibition is suggested by the finding that constitutive activation of OSM-3 motility through a single point mutation also causes severe defects in IFT transport.

Results and discussion

Here, we have characterized the motile properties of a recombinant OSM-3 construct consisting of full-length OSM-3 (aa 1–699) with a C-terminal GFP (Fig. 1). As expected, OSM-3 was an active plus end–directed motor in a microtubule gliding assay, although the velocity of movement (0.3 μm/s) in this gliding assay was lower than IFT transport by OSM-3 in the distal segment (1.3 μm/s; Snow et al., 2004). The ATP hydrolysis $k_{\text{cat}}$ of the full-length OSM-3 motor was only 4 ATP/s/head (Table I and Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605179/DC1), which is much slower than would be expected for a motor capable of moving 300–1,300 nm/s (assuming that it takes 8-nm steps per ATP hydrolyzed as shown for Kinesin-1; Svoboda et al., 1993). We next examined OSM-3 processivity by imaging single GFP-labeled molecules using a total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope. In this assay, a truncated construct of Kinesin-1 (K530; Table I) fused to GFP exhibited numerous processive movements with a mean run length of 1.2 μm, which is similar to previous results (Romberg et al., 1998). In contrast, full-length OSM-3 rarely showed any processive runs (Video 1).

OSM-3’s lack of processivity is somewhat surprising because many dimeric motors involved in long-range transport are processive in vitro (Howard et al., 1989; Mehta et al., 1999; Tomishige et al., 2002; Zhang and Hancock, 2004). Extensive in vitro studies on the long-range, unidirectional processivity of Kinesin-1 and -3 have identified three critical determinants of processivity: (1) the presence of a stable neck coiled coil that joins the two kinesin motor domains immediately after the neck linker (kinesin’s mechanical element) (Romberg et al., 1998; Al-Bassam et al., 2003; Bathe et al., 2005); (2) the ability of the two motor domains to coordinate their ATPase cycles (Hackney, 1994; Ma and Taylor, 1997; Gilbert et al., 1998); and (3) the presence of intramolecular interactions that inhibit processivity (Hackney et al., 1992; Coy et al., 1999; Friedman and Vale, 1999; Al-Bassam et al., 2003). We sought to test whether any of these possible mechanisms could explain the lack of processivity by OSM-3.

We initially suspected that an unstable neck may underlie the lack of OSM-3 processivity because the neck coiled coil of OSM-3 is more than two heptads shorter and is predicted to be much weaker than the neck coiled coil of Kinesin-1 (Fig. 1 b). The putative OSM-3 neck coiled coil is also less positively charged than the neck coiled coil of Kinesin-1, a factor shown to enhance its processivity (Thorn et al., 2000). We tested the hypothesis that a weak coiled coil may be responsible for the lack of processivity of OSM-3 by fusing the putative neck coiled coil of OSM-3 (and the subsequent C-terminal stalk and tail domains) to the motor domain and neck linker of Kinesin-1 (K-O; Table I). We reasoned that if the OSM-3 neck was incompatible with processivity, K-O should be a nonprocessive motor. Contrary to this prediction, K-O molecules moved processively in the single molecule TIRF assay with similar velocities (0.5 ± 0.2 μm/s), albeit with reduced run lengths (0.4 μm), compared with K530 (0.7 ± 0.3 μm/s and 1.2 μm). The processivity of K-O suggests that the OSM-3 neck coiled coil is not responsible for the lack of processivity in OSM-3.

We next tested whether the OSM-3 motor domain was incompatible with efficient processive motility by fusing the OSM-3 motor domain (catalytic core and neck linker) to the neck coiled coil and stalk of Kinesin-1 (O-K; Table I). O-K exhibited robust processive movement with a mean run length (2.6 μm) that was approximately twofold higher than that of K530. The velocity measured in the single-molecule fluorescent assay (1.5 ± 0.3 μm/s) was similar to the velocity of OSM-3 cargo in vivo (1.3 ± 0.2 μm/s), suggesting that O-K may be mimicking the in vivo function of the motor. Thus, the OSM-3 motor domain is compatible with processivity in a dimeric construct that lacks its native stalk/tail domain. Interestingly, the O-K ATPase rate ($k_{\text{cat}}$, 75 ATP/s/head; Table I and Fig. S1) was an order of magnitude greater than that of wild-type OSM-3 ($k_{\text{cat}}$, 4 ATP/s/head). This difference in rates indicates that some element C terminal to the motor domain of OSM-3 is inhibiting its catalytic activity and likely the processivity of the motor as well.
To test whether the tail domain might inhibit the processivity of OSM-3, we made a construct of OSM-3 lacking the tail domain (OSM-3 aa 1–555) but found that it was an unstable dimer under conditions of the TIRF assay (low nanomolar range; unpublished data). An alternative strategy for investigating a possible autoinhibition of OSM-3 processivity was suggested by a comparison with Kinesin-1. The processivity of Kinesin-1 is inhibited by an autoinhibitory interaction between its neck coiled coil and tail domains (Hackney and Stock, 2000; for review see Adio et al., 2006). The interaction of these distant N- and C-terminal elements is achieved by folding about a flexible hinge in the stalk (Fig. 1 b, H2). The deletion of this hinge prevents autoinhibition and restores processivity to the motor (Friedman and Vale, 1999). A comparison of the overall architecture of the Kinesin-1 and OSM-3 stalk suggested the presence of an analogous hinge in OSM-3 (Fig. 1 b, H2). Therefore, we wondered whether the deletion of H2 and the in-phase fusion of the adjacent coiled coils (Fig. 1 b, cc1 and cc2) could restore processivity to OSM-3. Strikingly, this construct (OSM-3–ΔH2) exhibited robust processive movement with long run lengths (~2 μm; Table I and Video 2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605179/DC1) in the single-molecule TIRF assay and displayed similar elevated ATPase rates (~70 ATPs/head) to O-K. The dramatic activation of processivity in OSM-3–ΔH2 demonstrates that the OSM-3 motor, in the absence of any fusion to Kinesin-1, has an intrinsically potent role for processive motion. These results also show that H2 plays a critical role in repressing the processivity and microtubule-stimulated ATPase activity of OSM-3.

Having demonstrated the importance of H2 for the regulation processivity in vitro, we searched through OSM-3 alleles for mutations in H2 that produce chemosensory defects in C. elegans (Snow et al., 2004). We noticed one allele (sa125) in H2 that changed a glycine to a glutamatic acid (G444E). When this H2 point mutation was introduced into full-length OSM-3 (OSM-3–G444E), we found a striking activation of processivity and ATPase activity (75 ATPs/head) similar to that observed with the deletion of the entire H2 region. The velocity of OSM-3–G444E (1.1 ± 0.2 μm/s) is also similar to that of OSM-3–driven IFT transport in vivo, implying that this mutation could activate OSM-3 in a manner similar to its activation in vivo. This dramatic activation of motility by a single point mutant implies that a specific conformation of H2 is required for the regulation of OSM-3 motility.

In Kinesin-1, H2 facilitates a conformational transition between a compact, nonprocessive form (S = 6.7) and a more extended processive form (S = 5.1; Hackney et al., 1992). The compact to extended conformational transition is favored by cargo binding (Coy et al., 1999) and high ionic strength (Hackney et al., 1992). To investigate whether a similar conformational change could underlie the regulation of OSM-3 processivity, we examined the hydrodynamic properties of wild-type and mutant OSM-3 by sucrose gradient sedimentation (Fig. 2). Similar to Kinesin-1, OSM-3 sediments with a higher S20, w value at low ionic strength (S20, w = 6.5–6.9) at both low and high ionic strengths. These results suggest that the processive H2 mutants stabilize an extended conformation of OSM-3. We attempted to visualize the two OSM-3 conformations by rotary shadow EM but unfortunately could not obtain satisfactory images as a result of aggregation of the motor on the mica surface (unpublished data). The correlation between the extended conformation of OSM-3 and in vitro processivity suggests that a reversible autoinhibitory interaction regulates the motility of OSM-3.

Relief of OSM-3 autoinhibition in vivo might be stimulated by cargo binding. To mimic cargo binding in vitro, we attached wild-type OSM-3 and OSM-3–G444E via their C-terminal GFP to beads coated with GFP antibody. Motor-coated beads were then captured by an optical trap and positioned near axonemes immobilized onto a coverslip. As expected, OSM-3–G444E-coated beads moved processively at three different constant loads generated by force feedback (1–6 pN; Fig. 3 a). In a stationary (nonfeedback) optical trap, the motor dissociated before reaching a stall force (cessation of forward motion when the opposing force of the optical trap equals the maximum force produced by the motor). However, the observation of movement...
with a force feedback trap at 6 pN indicates that the motor can exert forces in excess of that value and, thus, appears to have similar force-producing capability to Kinesin-1 (Svoboda and Block, 1994). Wild-type OSM-3–coated beads also moved processively with velocities comparable with OSM-3–G444E at all three force levels (Fig. 3 b), implying a similar mechanism.

To determine whether the bead motion was driven by single or multiple motors, the fraction of moving beads was measured as a function of the motor/bead ratio. Poisson statistical analysis clearly revealed that a single OSM-3–G444E or wild-type OSM-3 motor is sufficient to move a bead (Fig. 3, c and d; Svoboda and Block, 1994), although wild-type OSM-3 required a 60-fold higher motor/bead ratio to yield an equivalent probability of bead movement as OSM-3–G444E. The requirement for a higher concentration of wild-type OSM-3 could be caused by a lower probability of motor-bead attachment as a result of the folded conformation of the inactivated OSM-3 or as a result of inefficient activation of the repressed OSM-3 motors by their attachment to the GFP antibody-coated beads. The fact that wild-type OSM-3 bound to anti-GFP antibody-coated glass slides can move microtubules in a gliding assay also is consistent with the idea that surface attachment can activate repressed OSM-3, although the number of active motors was not investigated in this assay. In summary, our results demonstrate that wild-type OSM-3, once relieved of its inhibition by surface attachment, is capable of processive movement.

In conclusion, we have shown that the processive movement and microtubule-stimulated ATPase activity of OSM-3 are repressed in solution. ATPase activity and processivity are both dramatically stimulated by mutations in hinge 2, which also change the conformation of the motor from a compact to an extended form. Wild-type OSM-3 also becomes processive when attached via its tail domain to beads. Collectively, these results suggest a model in which OSM-3 exists in the cytoplasm in a compact, autoinhibited state and that binding to an IFT particle relieves this autoinhibition, converting the motor to an extended conformation and enabling long-distance processive movement (Fig. 4).

Although more work will be needed to understand the structural basis of this autoinhibition, the processivity of OSM-3–Kinesin-1 chimeras (O-K and K-O) suggests that inhibition requires both the OSM-3 motor and stalk/tail domains and perhaps involves interactions between the two. This proposed regulatory mechanism for OSM-3 is similar to that described for Kinesin-1 (for review see Adio et al., 2006). However, these two motors belong to different kinesin classes that share no sequence similarity in their nonmotor domains, having diverged very early in eukaryotic evolution (Vale, 2003), and, thus, the structural details of autoinhibition are likely to differ. Kinesin-3 processivity also is repressed by the formation of an intramolecular coiled coil in its neck region, which inhibits dimerization (Al-Bassam et al., 2003). Although the effects on motor activity are not known, heterotrimERIC Kinesin-II also undergoes a salt-dependent conformational shift between a compact and an extended form (Wedaman et al., 1996). Thus, autoinhibitory mechanisms, although differing in their precise intramolecular interactions, may be commonly used in motor regulation.

It will be interesting to explore whether KIF17, the mammalian homologue of OSM-3 involved in olfactory cilia IFT and neuronal transport in dendrites (Setou et al., 2000; Jenkins et al., 2006), is also regulated by an autoinhibitory mechanism similar to OSM-3.

The regulation of OSM-3 processivity is likely to be important for its biological function in vivo. Strongly supporting this connection, the OSM-3–G444E allele (sa125), which interferes with autoinhibition in vitro, behaves indistinguishably from the OSM-3–null allele (sa131) in C. elegans chemosensory neurons (Snow et al., 2004). Further supporting a loss of OSM-3 function, the distal ciliary segment (which is supplied

---

**Figure 2.** Hydrodynamic properties of wild-type OSM-3 and H2 mutants measured by sucrose gradient sedimentation. (a) Fractions from sucrose gradients (0 M NaCl) analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Note the shift in the sedimentation peak of OSM-3–G444E compared with wild-type OSM-3. Albumin, catalase, and ovalbumin were added as hydrodynamic standards. (b) Normalized SDS-PAGE band intensities are plotted versus fraction number for OSM-3, OSM-3–∆H2, and OSM-3–G444E at 0 M NaCl and for wild-type (WT) OSM-3 at 1 M NaCl. (c) Table summarizing the $S_{20,w}$ values measured for the three constructs at low (0 and 0.05 M) or high (0.5 and 1 M) NaCl. Values represent the mean ± SD for six to seven independent experiments for low salt. The high-salt values are from four experiments with wild type and from a single experiment with the mutants. Values from individual sucrose gradients are reported in Table S1 (available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605179/DC1).
exclusively by OSM-3 transport; Snow et al., 2004) does not form in OSM-3–G444E mutant animals, and IFT particles move along the remaining ciliary segment at velocities similar to IFT particles being transported by heterotrimeric Kinesin-II alone (Ou, G., and J. Scholey, personal communication). Although the OSM-3–G444E allele (sa125) behaves as a null mutation in vivo, our in vitro optical trapping experiments show that its velocity and force production are indistinguishable from the wild-type motor. Thus, the most plausible explanation for the in vivo mutant phenotype is a loss of motor regulation rather than motor domain dysfunction.

Two possible mechanisms could explain how a loss of autoinhibition gives rise to a null phenotype. First, a constitutively active OSM-3–G444E motor may not be able to dock onto IFT cargo. Second, processive OSM-3–G444E motors may constitutively move along microtubules in the neuronal cell body and fail to be delivered to the cilium. Another open question is how OSM-3 is relieved of its autoinhibition. It has been shown previously that the DYF-1 protein is required to load OSM-3 onto IFT particles (Ou et al., 2005), but purified DYF-1 did not activate wild-type OSM-3 in our single-molecule assay (unpublished data). Thus, the regulatory machinery may require additional proteins or posttranslational modifications. Consistent with this idea, two novel mutants with a similar phenotype to dyf-1 and osm-3 worms were recently identified (Ou, G., and J. Scholey, personal communication). The ability to study OSM-3 autoinhibition in vitro and in living C. elegans provides powerful tools for dissecting the regulatory mechanism of this IFT motor.

Materials and methods

Cloning and protein preparations

OSM-3 cDNA was obtained from J. Scholey (University of California, Davis, CA). From this clone, we constructed OSM-3–GFP in a pET-17b plasmid, which encodes aa 1–699 of C. elegans OSM-3 with a C-terminal GFP (S65T variant) followed by a His6 tag. K530-GFP (aa 1–530 of Kinesin-1) was derived from K560-GFP (Friedman and Vale, 1999). In the O.K construct, aa 1–327 of the OSM-3 motor domain were followed by aa 337–530 of the K530 stalk. In the K-O construct, aa 1–326 of the human Kinesin-1 motor domain were followed by aa 338–699 of the OSM-3 stalk/tail. The OSM-3–G444E construct was created by QuikChange mutagenesis (Stratagene). For OSM-3–H2, aa 428–447 were removed to maintain a continuous heptad repeat between cc1 and cc2 (Fig. 1). Protein expression and purification were performed as described previously (Case et al., 1997). For ATPase assays, single-molecule fluorescence correlation spectroscopy and optical trap experiments, and gliding assays, motor proteins were further purified by microtubule affinity (Case et al., 1997). Motor concentration was determined either by Bradford assay or SDS-PAGE using BSA as a standard.

ATPase assays and single-molecule fluorescence measurements

Microtubule-stimulated ATPase activities were measured as described previously (Woehlke et al., 1997) using 1–20 nM of motor and 0–10 μM of microtubules in BRB25 buffer (25 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM ATP). The hydrolysis of ATP was plotted against microtubule concentration, and data were fitted to a Michaelis-Menten equation to determine the $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ microtubule. The movements of single GFP-fused kinesin molecules along axonemes were visualized by TIRF microscopy using BRB25 buffer (BRB12 with 25 mM Pipes) as described previously (Pierce and Vale, 1998). The laser power for total internal reflection illumination was 9 mW. Motility was analyzed and corrected for photobleaching using

![Figure 3. Single wild-type OSM-3 and OSM-3–G444E are processive motors in an optical trapping bead assay.](image)

(a) Processive movement of wild-type OSM3 under a constant rearward load of 1 pN (top; two consecutive runs from the same record are shown; $k = 0.024$ pN/nm) and 6 pN (bottom; three runs from the same record are depicted; $k = 0.044$ pN/nm). Bead movement was analyzed in a detection area of ±200 nm within which the motor experiences a constant load from the feedback-controlled optical trap. (b) Motor velocity as a function of rearward load for both wild-type (WT) OSM-3 and OSM-3–G444E. Values are shown as the mean ± SD (error bars). (c) The fraction of wild-type OSM-3–coated beads binding to and moving along axonemes as a function of the ratio of the motor/bead concentration. The bead concentration was kept constant for all measurements at 4 pM, whereas the motor concentration was varied. The solid line represents the fit to the Poisson distribution $1 - \exp(-\lambda C)$ for one or more motor molecules (reduced $x^2 = 0.31$; Svoboda and Block, 1994). The dotted line represents the fit to the distribution $1 - \exp(-\lambda C) - \lambda C \exp(-\lambda C)$ for two or more molecules (reduced $x^2 = 2.93$). Data values are displaced as the mean ± the square root of $N [(1 - 1/N)]$, with $N$ being the number of beads tested. (d) The fraction of beads moving as a function of the ratio of OSM-3–G444E and bead concentration. The solid line depicts the fit to the Poisson distribution for one or more motor molecules (reduced $x^2 = 0.08$), and the dotted line represents the fit to the distribution for two or more motor molecules (reduced $x^2 = 2.01$).

![Figure 4. Autoinhibitory model of OSM-3 motor regulation.](image)

Cargo attachment converts the intramolecular folded, repressed OSM-3 motor into an extended conformation that can undergo processive motion. See Results and discussion for details.
Hydrodynamic analysis
Sucrose density centrifugation was performed in BRB25 and 10 μM ATP with 0, 0.05, 0.5, or 1 M NaCl. OSM-3 and standard calibration proteins (ovalbumin, 3.7 S; albumin, 4.2 S; and catalase, 11.3 S) were mixed and loaded onto 12–33% sucrose gradients. After centrifugation at 50,000 g for 2 h using a SW50Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter), fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. $S_{20,w}$ values of the standards were plotted versus their peak sedimentation fraction number and fit to a linear curve. $S_{20,w}$ of the OSM-3 motor were calculated based upon their peak sedimentation fraction and the slope of the standard curve.

Optical trapping assay
The optical trapping assay was performed using a feedback-controlled single-beam trapping microscope. GFP-tagged OSM-3 and OSM-3–G444E were coupled to carboxylated latex beads (0.92-μm diameter; Invitrogen) via affinity-purified anti-GFP antibodies (Tomishige et al., 2002). Trapped beads were positioned near rhodamine-labeled sea urchin sperm flagellar axonemes immobilized on a coverslip. Bead displacement was sampled at 2 kHz with a quadrant photodiode detector. Trap stiffness was calibrated for each trapped bead from the amplitude of thermal diffusion. Before each experiment, the trapped bead was scanned along the x axis (coincident with the long axis of the axoneme) across the detection region to obtain the detector’s response. Experiments were performed at dilutions at which the fraction of beads moving was ≤0.3 to ensure measurements on a single-molecule level (Svoboda et al., 1994). Velocities were obtained from the slopes of the displacement traces of the beads moving under constant load. The bead-trap separation during the thermal diffusion. Before each experiment, the trapped bead was scanned along the x axis (coincident with the long axis of the axoneme) across the detection region to obtain the detector’s response. Experiments were performed at dilutions at which the fraction of beads moving was ≤0.3 to ensure measurements on a single-molecule level (Svoboda et al., 1994). Velocities were obtained from the slopes of the displacement traces of the beads moving under constant load. The bead-trap separation during the force-clamp measurements was between 50 and 150 nm, depending on the slope of the standard curve.

Online supplemental material
Video 1 shows that wild-type OSM-3–GFP does not move processively along axonemes. Video 2 and 3 show the processive motion of OSM-3–A2H GFP and OSM-3–G444E-GFP, respectively, along axonemes. Fig. S1 shows the microtubule-stimulated ATPase activity of wild-type OSM-3 and OSM-3 mutants and chimeras. Table S1 is a summary of individual sucrose gradient data for wild-type and OSM-3 hinge mutants. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605179/DC1.
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