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Abstract

Fatty acid desaturases (FADs) introduce double bonds into the hydrocarbon chains of fatty acids to produce unsaturated fatty acids, and therefore play a critical role in plant development and acclimation to environmental stresses. In this study, 23 full-length FAD genes in cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) were identified through database searches, including three CsFAB2 genes, two CsFAD2 genes, fourteen CsFAD5 genes, and one gene each for CsFAD3, CsFAD4, CsFAD6 and CsFAD7. These cucumber FAD genes were distributed on all seven chromosomes and two additional scaffolds. Based on a phylogenetic analysis, the cucumber FAD proteins were clustered into five subfamilies with their counterparts from other plants. Gene structures and protein sequences were considerably conserved in each subfamily. All three CsFAB2 proteins shared conserved structure with the known plant soluble FAD proteins. The other cucumber FADs belonged to the membrane-bound FADs and contained three highly conserved histidine boxes. Additionally, the putative endoplasmic reticulum retention signal was found at the C-termini of the CsFAD2 and CsFAD3 proteins, while the N-termini of CsFAD4, CsFAD5, CsFAD6, CsFAD7 and three CsFAB2s contained a predicted chloroplast signal peptide, which was consistent with their associated metabolic pathways. Furthermore, a gene expression analysis showed that CsFAD2 and CsFAD3 were universally expressed in all tested tissues, whereas the other cucumber FAD genes were preferentially expressed in the cotyledons or leaves. The tissue-specific expression patterns of cucumber FAD genes were correlated well with the differences in the fatty acid compositions of roots and leaves. Finally, the cucumber FAD genes showed a cold-induced and heat-repressed expression pattern, although with distinct regulatory time courses among the different CsFAD members, which indicates the potential roles of the FADs in temperature stress resistance in cucumber.
Introduction

Fatty acids are the main components of plant membrane lipids and seed storage lipids [1]. In plant cells, fatty acids are generated de novo in the stroma of plastids [2]. Once biosynthesized, these fatty acids are then incorporated into the glycerolipid synthetic pathways in plastids or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) for assembly into galactoglycerolipids, sulfolipids, and phospholipids [2].

High level of fatty acid desaturation is a common feature for the plant cell membranes [3]. Unsaturated fatty acids usually contain one or more double bonds in their hydrocarbon chains [4]. The content of unsaturated fatty acids is very important in terms of nutritional characteristics of edible oils [4]. Also, the number and position of the double bonds in a fatty acid markedly influence its physical and physiological properties [5, 6]. The composition of the unsaturated fatty acids is critically important for the membranes function, and thereby for the proper growth and development of plants [5]. Furthermore, the level of unsaturation is one of the major determining factors in the tolerance of a given plant to various environmental stresses, especially temperature stresses [7]. Unsaturated fatty acids have a lower melting temperature than saturated fatty acids, and their increased accumulation is thought to help cold acclimation by maintaining the appropriate fluidity and integrity of membranes; however, unsaturated fatty acid accumulation can also aggravate heat damage [8].

Disaturation of the fatty acids is performed by a series of enzymes called fatty acid desaturases (FADs) [3]. Given the functional importance of fatty acid desaturation in plant development and stress responses, FAD genes have been identified and characterized in many plant species. In Arabidopsis, the properties of its FADs have been elucidated by characterization of several classes of mutants, each on deficient in a specific desaturation step [3]. These enzymes are encoded by nuclear genes but affect the desaturation in different subcellular localization. FAD2 and FAD3 are located in ER and primarily affect desaturation of the extrachloroplast lipids, whereas the others (FAB2, FAD4, FAD5, FAD6, FAD7, and FAD8) are located in the plastids and affect plastid lipid desaturation [3]. These FADs are highly substrate specific. FAB2 belongs to the soluble FAD and is responsible for the desaturation of stearic acid (18:0) to oleic acid (18:1) in an acyl-carrier protein (ACP)-bound form [9, 10], and therefore FAB2 is also named stearoyl-ACP desaturase (SAD). The remaining FADs are membrane-bound. FAD2 and FAD6 are ω-6 desaturases that synthesize the dienoic fatty acid linoleic acid (18:2) from oleic acid (18:1) in the ER and plastids, respectively. FAD3, FAD7 and FAD8 are ω-3 desaturases that insert the double bond in linoleic acid (18:2) to synthesize linolenic acid (18:3) in the ER (FAD3) and plastids (FAD7 and FAD8). The FAD8 gene encodes a cold-inducible isoform of FAD7 [11]. FAD4 and FAD5 act on palmitic acid (16:0) specifically from phosphatidylglycerol and monogalactosyldiacylglycerol, respectively, to produce palmitoleic acid (16:1) in plastids [5]. In recent years, FAD genes have also been identified in many oilseed crops, including soybean [12, 13], cotton [14, 15], cacao [10] and olive [4, 16]. For example, 29 desaturase genes were identified in soybean [12]. In cotton (Gossypium raimondii), its membrane-bound FADs were encoded by 19 genes [15]. In olive, two FAD3 (FAD3A and FAD3B) and two FAD7 (FAD7-1 and FAD7-2) genes were isolated. Of them, FAD3A was mainly responsible for the 18:3 present in seeds, while two FAD7 genes contributed mostly to the 18:3 in the mesocarp of fruits [4, 16].

FADs are also crucial for supplying sustenance during various environmental stress responses in plants. In Arabidopsis, the expression of FAD8s is strongly induced by cold temperatures [11]. Moreover, FAD2 and FAD6 are activated in seedlings under salinity and osmotic stresses [17–19]. An FAD2-deficient mutant accumulated more Na+ in the cytoplasm of its root cells and was highly sensitive to salt stress during seed germination and early seedling growth [18]. Moreover, transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing the Arabidopsis FAD7 gene showed...
enhanced cold stress, whereas plants with its own FAD7 gene silenced contained lower levels of trienoic fatty acids and were more tolerant to high temperatures than the wild-type plants [20–22]. Tobacco plants overexpressing either Arabidopsis FAD3 or FAD8 gene also exhibited increased tolerance to drought and osmotic stress [23]. In soybean (Glycine max), the expression of GmFAD3 and GmFAD7 is tightly regulated under cold temperatures [13]. In tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum), the expression of LeFAD7 is inhibited by heat stress, and silencing the LeFAD7 gene alleviated high-temperature stress [24]. For another ω-3 FAD gene (LeFAD3) in tomato, its expression is induced by salinity stress, and LeFAD3-overexpression enhanced the tolerance of tomato seedlings to salinity stress [25].

Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) is an economically important vegetable that is grown worldwide. Cucumber is planted at various times throughout the year, and the beginning and end of the growing season often include sub-optimal growth temperatures. Late in the growing season, heat stress always occurs and causes significant reductions in yield. During the early phase of the growing season, the exposure of cucumber seedlings to sudden episodes of cold can cause serious damage to the plants because the tropical origin of cucumber renders it cold-sensitive [26]. Undoubtedly, characterization of FADs would provide some candidate genes to develop new cucumber varieties with enhanced stress tolerance. Taking advantage of the available cucumber genome database [27], a systematic characterization of cucumber FAD genes was carried out. In this study, 23 cucumber FAD genes were identified through homology searches. The detailed analysis including the phylogenies, chromosomal localizations, structures and conserved motifs were further performed. In addition, the in silico and experimental expression patterns of the cucumber FAD genes were analyzed and provided valuable information for further exploration into the functions of this significant gene family in cucumber.

Materials and Methods

Database searching and sequence analysis

The FAD sequence data were collected by homology screening against the cucumber genome database (ICuGI, http://www.icugi.org/cgi-bin/ICuGI/index.cgi) [27]. Homology searches were performed using tblastn with the default parameters. The known FAD sequences from Arabidopsis (as listed in S1 Table) were used as queries.

To illustrate the exon-intron gene structure for each cucumber FAD gene, the Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS, http://gsds1.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) was employed to compare the predicted coding sequence (CDS) with its corresponding genomic sequence derived from the ICuGI database. Next, introns were confirmed by amplifying the full-length cDNA sequences with primers specific for the 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions (UTRs) of the CsFAD genes. The molecular weights (MWs) and isoelectric points (pIs) of the deduced proteins were predicted using ExPASy (http://www.cn.expasy.org/tools). Transient signal peptides were predicted using TargetP 1.1 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/) [28] and the ChloroP 1.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ChloroP/) [29, 30].

Multiple sequence alignments and phylogenetic analyses

The plant FAD sequences were downloaded from the ICuGI, TAIR (http://www.arabidopsis.org) and GeneBank databases. The accession numbers are listed in S1 Table. Multiple sequence alignments of the full-length FAD amino acid sequences were performed using ClustalX (version 2.0) with the default parameters [31]. A Neighbor-Joining (NJ) phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 5.2 [32]. Bootstrap tests were performed with 1,000 replicates for statistical reliability.
$K_a/K_s$ analysis and calculation of the duplication date

The DnaSP (version 5.10.01) software was used to estimate the synonymous ($K_s$) and non-synonymous ($K_a$) substitution rates by aligning the original cDNA sequences of duplicated CsFAD genes [33]. Time (million years ago, mya) of duplication and divergence of CsFAD genes was calculated according to the equation $T = K_s/2\lambda$ ($\lambda = 6.5 \times 10^{-9}$) [34].

Plant growth and treatment

Cucumber (C. sativus L. cv. 'Zhongnong No. 203'), a cultivar commonly used in north China, were used in this study. This cultivar has the similar genetic background with the ‘Chinese long’ inbred line whose genome has been sequenced[27, 35]. The cucumber seeds were surface sterilized in 5% NaClO and sown in vermiculite. After 7 days, the seedlings with completely outspread cotyledons were transferred to a hydroponic culture system containing half-strength Hoagland’s nutrient solution (pH 6.5) in a chamber. The growth conditions were set as follows: a 12-h photoperiod, 28°C/18°C day/night temperatures, 75%-85% relative humidity, and a light intensity of 300 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The 21-d-old seedlings whose first true leaves had been fully expanded were used for the subsequent expression profiling and fatty acid analysis.

For the tissue-specific expression assay of FAD genes, the leaves, cotyledons, hypocotyls and roots of the cucumber seedlings were sampled. To examine the stress- and hormone-inducible expression patterns of FADs, the cucumber seedlings were treated at 8°C for cold stress, with a light intensity of 100 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Heat stress was maintained by exposing the plants to temperatures of 38°C/28°C (day/night). The seedlings were also subjected to a nutrient solution supplemented with ABA (100 μM) or H$_2$O$_2$ (1 mM). After 0, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h of treatment, the leaves were harvested. The samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Three biological replicates were conducted per sample, with 15 seedlings per replicate.

Expression analysis of cucumber FAD genes

In silico expression analysis was accomplished by searching the PlantGDB databases including EST, cDNA and PUTs (PlantGDB unique transcripts). In addition, the cucumber EST collection (version 3.0) (http://www.icugi.org/cgi-bin/ICuGI/EST/home.cgi?organism=cucumber) from ICuGI database was also searched.

Moreover, the real-time quantitative RT-PCR expression profile was also performed. Total RNA was extracted using the EASYPure Plant RNA kit (Transgen, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of RNA was measured using a Nanodrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). Residual DNA was digested with RNase-free DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) by incubation at 37°C for 30 min. 2 μg of total RNA was used as the template for the first-strand cDNA synthesis using a reverse-transcription system with oligo-dT$_{15}$ as primer (Promega, USA). Real-time PCR (qPCR) was then performed in a total reaction volume of 20 μl containing 5 μl diluted cDNA (1:20), 5 μl Power SYBR-Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, USA), and 0.5 μl each 10 μM gene-specific primer (S2 Table). Each reaction was performed in triplicates using a Roche Light Cycler 96 system (Switzerland) under the following program: 30 s at 95°C, 45 cycles of 5 s at 95°C, 30 s at 58°C, and 10 s at 72°C. The specificity of the primer pairs was verified by the qPCR dissociation curve and further confirmed by PCR visualized on a 1.5% agarose gel. Each sample was tested in three biological replicates. The relative expression levels were calculated using the comparative C$_\text{t}$ ($2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$) method. The housekeeping gene Actin (CsAct1, Csa6M484600), which was stably expressed [35], was used as a standard control.
Fatty acid profiling by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

The preparation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) from cucumber seedlings was performed as described previously [36]. Briefly, approximately 0.2 g of leaf and root samples were ground in liquid nitrogen. Then, 1.0 ml of 10% KOH in methanol was added to each tissue sample and heated at 80°C for 2 h without shaking. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature and 0.5 ml of 50% HCl was added, the mixtures were extracted twice with 1.0 ml hexane and dried under a N₂ stream. Each sample was then resuspended with 1.0 ml 3 N HCl in methanol, heated at 80°C for 2 h and then cooled to room temperature. After the addition of 1.0 ml 0.9% NaCl solution, the FAMEs were re-extracted in 1.0 ml hexane, transferred to glass GC vials (Agilent) and dried under N₂. The FAMEs were then resuspended in 0.5 ml hexane for GC-MS analysis. 100 μg of heptadecylic acid (17:0, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added to each sample prior to the FAME extraction as an internal standard. Each sample was tested in three biological replicates.

The FAME samples were analyzed using a GC-MS system (QP2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Japan). The GC was equipped with anSP™-2560 column (100 m×0.25 mm, 0.2-μm phase thickness, Supelco, USA). One microliter of each sample was injected onto the column using a split/splitless injector maintained at 240°C, with a split ratio of 20:1. The column oven temperature was maintained at 150°C for 2 min, then raised to 230°C at a rate of 4°C min⁻¹, and finally maintained at 230°C for 5 min. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. Mass spectra were acquired in the electron ionization mode (ESI, 70 eV) from 45 to 500 Da at a rate of 1 scan s⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

Identification of the FAD genes in cucumber

To identify the orthologous genes encoding FADs in cucumber, the full-length amino acid sequences of the AtFAD proteins (S1 Table) were blasted against the cucumber genome (v2.0) using the tBlastn algorithm with an E-value cut-off of 1E-5. In total, 23 putative FAD genes were identified in the cucumber genome with reliable sequencing data and gene structures. All identified cucumber FAD genes were named according to their similarity with their characterized counterparts in Arabidopsis (Table 1). These cucumber FAD genes included three CsFAB2s, two CsFAD2s, fourteen CsFAD5s, and one each CsFAD3, CsFAD4, CsFAD6 and CsFAD7.

Of the 23 cucumber FAD genes, 22 were mapped to the seven chromosomes in cucumber, but CsFAD5.1 could not be mapped to any chromosome (Fig 1). The mapped genes were distributed unevenly among the chromosomes. Chromosomes 5 and 6 contained one CsFAD gene each, chromosomes 2, 3 and 7 contained two each, four CsFAD genes were located on chromosome 1, and up to ten CsFAD genes were found on chromosome 4. Two duplicated gene pairs (CsFAD5.2/CsFAD5.3 and CsFAD5.13/CsFAD5.14) and a gene cluster CsFAD5.4–CsFAD5.12 were found in tandem on chromosomes 1, 7 and 4, respectively, suggesting that some segmental and tandem duplication events may be responsible for the expansion of the CsFAD5 genes.

The annotations of these cucumber FAD genes were manually verified and improved, and the annotated nucleotide sequences and the deduced amino acid sequences are present in the S1 Fig. The protein sequences encoded by the cucumber FAD genes varied in length from 287 aa for CsFAD5.4 to 445 aa for CsFAD7. The predicted molecular weights (Mws) of the CsFAD proteins ranged from 33.47 kDa to 51.35 kDa, and the theoretical isoelectric points (pies) ranged from 6.39 to 10.19 (Table 2). However, the lengths of the CsFAD5 proteins were highly conserved, varying from 287 aa to 297 aa, with the exception of CsFAD5.1, which was 380 aa long and much larger than the other CsFAD5 proteins (Table 2).
We further performed a bioinformatic analysis to predict the subcellular localization of the cucumber FAD proteins using the TargetP 1.1 and ChloroP 1.1 algorithms [28–30]. Consistent with their participated metabolic pathways, six cucumber FAD proteins with a high-confidence chloroplast signal peptide (SP) in their N-termini (CsFAB2.1, CsFAB2.2, CsFAB2.3, CsFAD4, CsFAD5.1 and CsFAD7) were predicted to localize to the chloroplast (Table 2). Unexpectedly, a mitochondrial targeting peptide was predicted in the N-terminus of CsFAD5.5, suggesting that this CsFAD5 isoform might exhibit functions in the mitochondria. A potential mitochondria-targeted FAD was also reported in cacao (TcSAD3), with a similar mitochondrial targeting peptide being predicted at its N-terminus [11]. No SP was detected in the other cucumber FAD5 proteins (Table 2), indicating the potential cytoplasmic localization or other subcellular localizations for these FAD5 proteins. Actually, the divergent subcellular localization of FAD5 proteins had been reported in Arabidopsis. AtFAD5 was identified as a plastid protein, while two other Arabidopsis FAD5s (AtADS1 and AtADS2) were present as components of the ER membranes [37]. In plant, the alternative subcellular targeting of FAD5 proteins might switch the enzyme specificity. For example, the \( \Delta^7 \)-regiospecificity of AtFAD5 protein was identified to be attributed to plastidial targeting of the enzyme rather than the numerous sequence differences within the catalytic portion of the enzymes. When the plastidial FAD5 was retargeted to the cytoplasm, regiospecificity shifted 70-fold, \( \Delta^7 \) to \( \Delta^9 \). Conversely, retargeting of the

| Querya | ICuGI No. | Chr. Localization | Direction | Designation | tBlastn b |
|--------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| AtFAB2 | Csa2M351530 | Chr2:16086978..16090638 | R | CsFAB2.1 | 81.54 | 0 |
|         | Csa2M083750 | Chr2:6794468..6798154 | F | CsFAB2.2 | 78.16 | 0 |
|         | Csa6M338660 | Chr6:15509457..15511407 | R | CsFAB2.3 | 65.40 | 1×10⁻¹⁷⁴ |
| AtFAD2 | Csa3M808360 | Chr3:30921555..30925121 | R | CsFAD2.1 | 78.33 | 0 |
|         | Csa4M286350 | Chr4:11057253..11058771 | R | CsFAD2.2 | 59.27 | 9×10⁻¹⁶⁷ |
| AtFAD3 | Csa1M532210 | Chr1:18732851..18736154 | R | CsFAD3 | 73.33 | 0 |
| AtFAD4 | Csa3M077710 | Chr3:4196862..4198299 | F | CsFAD4 | 62.59 | 5×10⁻¹³⁶ |
| AtFAD5/AIADS3 | - | Scaffold002219:1..393 Scaffold000339:1..2040 | R | CsFAD5.1 | 65.76 | 1×10⁻¹⁷⁸ |
|         | Csa1M227450 | Chr1:11921967..11924652 | F | CsFAD5.2 | 63.47 | 6×10⁻¹³⁵ |
|         | Csa1M227460 | Chr1:11936523..11939129 | F | CsFAD5.3 | 61.99 | 1×10⁻¹²⁷ |
|         | Csa4M006050 | Chr4:900644..902513 | R | CsFAD5.4 | 79.27 | 7×10⁻¹⁷⁵ |
|         | Csa4M006060 | Chr4:906424..908374 | F | CsFAD5.5 | 63.97 | 7×10⁻¹³⁵ |
|         | Csa4M006070-Csa4M006080 | Chr4:909500..913000 | R | CsFAD5.6 | 78.39 | 5×10⁻¹³² |
|         | Csa4M006090-Csa4M006100 | Chr4:915100..917420 | F | CsFAD5.7 | 64.34 | 6×10⁻¹³⁸ |
|         | Csa4M006120 | Chr4:920341..922912 | F | CsFAD5.8 | 61.17 | 3×10⁻¹³⁰ |
|         | Csa4M006130 | Chr4:929912..932466 | F | CsFAD5.9 | 59.04 | 4×10⁻¹²⁶ |
|         | Csa4M006140 | Chr4:932850..935843 | R | CsFAD5.10 | 66.54 | 6×10⁻¹⁴² |
|         | Csa4M006150 | Chr4:940689..943196 | F | CsFAD5.11 | 62.87 | 1×10⁻¹²⁸ |
|         | Csa4M006160 | Chr4:948297..951751 | R | CsFAD5.12 | 64.47 | 6×10⁻¹²³ |
|         | Csa7M376370 | Chr7:13776214..13778880 | R | CsFAD5.13 | 63.43 | 7×10⁻¹²⁸ |
|         | Csa7M377870 | Chr7:13789731..13792227 | R | CsFAD5.14 | 61.17 | 3×10⁻¹²³ |
| AtFAD6 | Csa1M255170 | Chr1:12496633..12501089 | F | CsFAD6 | 73.83 | 0 |
| AtFAD7 | Csa5M207960 | Chr5:9235259..9237875 | R | CsFAD7 | 69.11 | 0 |

*aThe accession numbers for AtFADs were listed in S1 Table.

bIdent., Identity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149917.t001
Fig 1. Chromosome localization of the cucumber FAD genes. The chromosome numbers are indicated below each vertical bar, and the scale of the chromosomes is in megabases (Mb).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149917.g001

Table 2. Predicted protein characteristics of the cucumber FADs.

| Designation | Length (aa) | Mw (kDa) | pI | Predicted subcellular localization | Localization | SP-length (aa) | Score |
|-------------|-------------|----------|----|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------|
| CsFAB2.1    | 396         | 46.43    | 6.39| C                                 | C            | 33             | 0.702 |
| CsFAB2.2    | 395         | 46.18    | 6.66| C                                 | C            | 54             | 0.845 |
| CsFAB2.3    | 388         | 44.11    | 6.44| C                                 | C            | 34             | 0.596 |
| CsFAD2.1    | 382         | 43.97    | 8.65| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD2.2    | 387         | 45.40    | 9.18| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD3      | 371         | 42.90    | 9.30| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD4      | 313         | 34.69    | 7.66| C                                 | C            | 32             | 0.531 |
| CsFAD5.1    | 380         | 43.83    | 9.33| C                                 | C            | 15             | 0.726 |
| CsFAD5.2    | 297         | 35.22    | 9.31| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.3    | 295         | 35.14    | 9.12| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.4    | 287         | 33.47    | 8.61| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.5    | 292         | 34.26    | 9.75| M                                 | M            | 13             | 0.752 |
| CsFAD5.6    | 291         | 34.01    | 10.02| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.7    | 294         | 34.86    | 8.85| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.8    | 294         | 34.53    | 7.49| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.9    | 293         | 34.69    | 10.16| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.10   | 294         | 34.58    | 9.94| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.11   | 296         | 34.94    | 10.19| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.12   | 298         | 35.14    | 10.16| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.13   | 296         | 35.02    | 9.91| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD5.14   | 297         | 34.92    | 9.91| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD6      | 443         | 51.35    | 9.41| -                                 | -            | -              | -     |
| CsFAD7      | 445         | 50.84    | 7.48| C                                 | C            | 71             | 0.580 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149917.t002
cytoplasmic desaturases to the plastid, shifted regiospecificity about 25-fold, Δ9 to Δ7 [38]. In cucumber, the multiple targeting of different CsFAD5 isoforms might also create different metabolites in alternate compartments.

Phylogenetic analysis of the cucumber FAD genes

To evaluate the phylogenetic relationships of the cucumber FAD proteins with other different plant FADs, an NJ-phylogenetic tree was constructed according to the protein sequence alignments of a set of FAD family members from other plant species, including cucumber, Arabidopsis, rape, soybean, apple, tomato, tobacco, and two monocot plants (rice and maize). As shown in Fig 2, the corresponding tree divided these FAD proteins into five subfamilies.

The plant FAB2 proteins, also named SADs, introduce a double bond into the Δ-9 position of stearoyl-ACP (18:0-ACP) [3]. In the phylogenetic tree, the FAB2 proteins from different plants formed a separate subfamily. The CsFAB2.1 and CsFAB2.3 isoforms were clustered closely to the FAB2 proteins from Arabidopsis and rape, whereas CsFAB2.2 was closer to MdFAB2 from apple (Fig 2).

The other two subfamilies included the FAD4 and FAD5 proteins (Fig 2). FAD4 and FAD5 introduce the first double bond into a saturated acyl chain at the Δ-3 or Δ-7 position,
respectively [3]. Compared to the FAD5 subfamily in Arabidopsis (nine members) [37–40], the cucumber FAD5 subfamily was much larger (fourteen members). Furthermore, of the fourteen CsFAD5 members, CsFAD5.1 and CsFAD5.4 grouped with the other plant FAD5 proteins, whereas the remaining twelve CsFAD5 proteins clustered together, indicating that potential gene duplication events during the expansion of the CsFAD5 subfamily occurred after cucumber split from other plants.

The FAD2/FAD6 subfamily included the FAD2 and FAD6 proteins, which are frequently called ω-6 or Δ-12 desaturases, and generally introduce the second double bond between an existing double bond and the acyl end [3]. In this subfamily, the FAD2 and FAD6 proteins grouped into two distinct clades, representing the ER- and plastid-type ω-6 FADs (Fig 2). In each clade, the FAD2 or FAD6 proteins from the monocot plants clustered with each other and separately from their dicot homologs. For the two CsFAD2 isoforms, CsFAD2.1 clustered more closely with the FAD2 proteins from soybean and apple, which are close relatives of cucumber, but CsFAD2.2 was much closer to the FAD2 proteins from the Brassicaceae (Arabidopsis and rape).

All the FAD3, FAD7 and FAD8 proteins used in this study fell into the FAD3/FAD7 subfamily, which are also called ω-3 or Δ-15 desaturases and introduce a double bond after two existing double bonds [3]. Similar to the FAD2/FAD6 subfamily, FAD3 and FAD7/FAD8 grouped into separate clades that represented the ER- and plastid-type ω-3 FADs. The exceptions were the FAD3 and FAD7 proteins from the monocots, which were included in neither the FAD3 nor the FAD7 clade but clustered together to form a monocot branch. In the FAD7/FAD8 clade, soybean, Arabidopsis and rapeseed each had one FAD7 and one FAD8, but in cucumber, only one CsFAD7 was included (Fig 2). However, it should be noted that according to their nomenclature or phylogenetic relationships, it was difficult to distinguish FAD7 and FAD8, due to the high sequence similarity [14, 15].

Conserved motifs in the cucumber FAD proteins

FAB2 is the soluble fatty acid desaturase, and its protein structure was analyzed separately from the membrane-bound desaturases in this study. The three-dimensional structure of the SAD protein from castor (RcSAD1) has been extensively studied [41–43]. To provide further insights into the protein catalytic activities of the CsFAB2 isoforms in cucumber, a multiple amino acid sequence alignment was conducted with RcSAD1, AtFAB2 and the three CsFAB2 proteins. As shown in Fig 3, these FAB2 proteins shared an overall high identity with each other. A high degree of variation was observed within the putative N-terminal chloroplast SP region. Consistent with the crystal structure of RcSAD1, all three CsFAB2 proteins consisted of eleven highly conserved α-helices and two β-sheets (Fig 3).

Notably, a further detailed investigation of the determinative residues also revealed that all three CsFAB2 isoforms shared a conserved di-iron center and similar substrate preferences. For example, six residues involved in iron binding (E105, E143, H146, E196, E229 and H237; positions assigned according to RcSAD1) were also conserved in all three CsFAB2 proteins (marked in red boxes in Fig 3). Additionally, the amino acid residues at positions 117, 118, 189 and 206 (according to RcSAD1) were reported to be crucial for the function of RcSAD1 as a Δ-9 18:0-ACP desaturase, and substitutions of these residues converted RcSAD1 into an enzyme that could function as a Δ-6 16:0-ACP desaturase [38, 39]. CsFAB2.1, CsFAB2.2 and CsFAB2.3 shared the same residues at these positions with RcSAD1 (marked in blue boxes in Fig 3). Likewise, at positions 179 and 181, which were crucial for the substrate specificity of RcSAD1 [44], all three CsFAB2 proteins contained the same residues as RcSAD1 and AtFAB2 (Fig 3). These results suggest that all three CsFAB2 proteins might exhibit the same enzymatic characteristics.
as RcSAD1 in castor. Given the fact that most plants lack other desaturases that utilize 18:0 as substrate, the activity of FAB2 is of particular interest because of its significant effects on the ratio of unsaturated fatty acids, and the relationship of this to the fluidity and rigidity of the membrane system [45]. The conservation between CsFAB2s and RcSAD1 also provided us the possibilities to design CsFAB2 with novel substrate specificity through site directed mutagenesis to modify the final fatty acid profiles in transgenic cucumber plants [45].

The other cucumber FAD proteins identified in this study belonged to the membrane-bound desaturase family. To reveal the conserved motifs in these membrane-bound FAD proteins, the detailed sequence alignments were performed against their Arabidopsis homologs (S2–S6 Figs). Similar to the Arabidopsis FADs, all the identified cucumber membrane-bound FAD proteins contained three histidine boxes (His-box; S2–S6 Figs), which are thought to be involved in the formation of a part of the di-iron center where oxygen activation and substrate oxidation occur [5, 46]. The amino acid residues in these His-boxes were highly conserved, especially for the CsFAD proteins in the same subfamily (Table 3), even for the FAD isoforms among different plant species (S2–S6 Figs). Additionally, the relative positions of these His-boxes were similar among the different CsFADs. The first and second His-boxes were located within 31 aa of each other. The third His box was located in the C-termini of the CsFAD proteins. However, the number of residues between His-box 2 and His-box 3 differed between FAD subfamilies (Table 3). For example, the length between the second and third His-box was 169 or 170 residues in the two CsFAD2 proteins and 162 residues in the two ω-3 CsFADs (CsFAD3 and CsFAD7). For CsFAD6, this length was 157 aa. In the CsFAD5 cluster, this length was almost identical, with 127 or 128 aa in all fourteen members. The exception was
CsFAD4, in which the length between His-box 1 and His-box 2 was 53 aa, and only 24 aa separated His-box 2 and His-box 3 (Table 3).

The protein sequence alignments also revealed the conserved signals responsible for proper subcellular localization of FAD proteins. Consistent with the bioinformatic prediction (Table 2), a chloroplast SP was identified at the N-termini of the CsFAB2.1, CsFAB2.2, CsFAB2.3, CsFAD4, CsFAD5.1, CsFAD6 and CsFAD7 proteins (Fig 2 and S2–S6 Figs).

In plants, unsaturated fatty acids are also synthesized by an alternative eukaryotic pathway, which are located within the ER [5]. In agreement with the potential locations their metabolic pathways occurred, FAD2 and FAD3 are known as ER-localized FADs. Maintenance of the ER-resident proteins is mediated by a C-terminal retention signal that is recognized by a cognate receptor and therefore leads to the retrograde transport of escaped proteins back to the ER [47]. Both FAD2 and FAD3 contain an ER retention signal. In FAD2, the ER retention signal consists of \( F_{X}X_{X}K/R/D/E_{X}F \) (\( F \) are the large hydrophobic amino acid residues) at the C-terminus, such as “YNNKL” in AtFAD2 (S2 Fig) [48]. As expected, both CsFAD2.1 and CsFAD2.2 contained similar ER retention signals, with “YKNKL” in CsFAD2.1 and “FRNKL” in CsFAD2.2 (S2 Fig). For FAD3, an alternative ER retention signal was identified. AtFAD3 contains a functional prototypic dilysine ER signal at its C-terminus, “KSKIN” (S3 Fig) [48]. However, CsFAD3 lacked a similar retention signal. A tyrosine-rich signal, “YLYHY”, was identified at its C-terminus, which might serve as an ER retention signal (S3 Fig).

It has been reported that in Arabidopsis, FAD2 and FAD3 in the ER and FAD6 and FAD7/8 in the plastid can form FAD2-FAD3 and FAD6-FAD7/8 heterodimers, respectively [19]. These dimers can serve as metabolic channels in which 18:1 is converted to 18:3 without releasing a free 18:2 intermediate [19, 48]. In cucumber, the co-localization of CsFAD2 and CsFAD3 in

| Type   | Protein  | His-box 1 | His-box 2 | His-box 3 |
|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|        |          | Sequence  | Position  | Sequence  | Position  | Sequence  | Position  |
| ω-6/Δ-12 | CsFAD2.1 | HECGH     | 116–120   | HRRHH    | 152–156   | HVVHH    | 373–377   |
|         | CsFAD2.2 | HECGH     | 105–109   | HRRHH    | 141–145   | HVAHH    | 315–319   |
|         | CsFAD6   | HDCGH     | 167–171   | HDQHH    | 203–207   | HIPHH    | 363–367   |
| ω-3/Δ-5 | CsFAD3   | HDCGH     | 101–105   | HRTHH    | 137–141   | HVVHH    | 304–308   |
|         | CsFAD7   | HDCGH     | 170–174   | HRTHH    | 206–210   | HVVHH    | 373–377   |
| Δ-3     | CsFAD4   | QGHH      | 160–163   | HAWHH    | 217–221   | HSTHH    | 246–250   |
| Δ-7     | CsFAD5.1 | HRNLH     | 156–161   | HRYHH    | 193–197   | HNNHH    | 325–329   |
|         | CsFAD5.2 | HRNLH     | 68–73     | HRCCH    | 105–109   | HNNHH    | 237–241   |
|         | CsFAD5.3 | HRNLH     | 66–71     | HRCCH    | 103–107   | HNNHH    | 235–239   |
|         | CsFAD5.4 | HRNLH     | 63–68     | HRYHH    | 100–104   | HNNHH    | 232–236   |
|         | CsFAD5.5 | HRNLH     | 63–68     | HRCCH    | 100–104   | HNNHH    | 232–236   |
|         | CsFAD5.6 | HRNLH     | 64–69     | HRCCH    | 101–105   | HNNHH    | 234–238   |
|         | CsFAD5.7 | HRNLH     | 73–78     | HRCCH    | 110–114   | HNNHH    | 243–247   |
|         | CsFAD5.8 | HRNLH     | 64–69     | HRYHH    | 101–105   | HNNHH    | 234–238   |
|         | CsFAD5.9 | HRNLH     | 71–76     | HRCCH    | 108–112   | HNNHH    | 240–244   |
|         | CsFAD5.10| HRNLH     | 63–68     | HRYHH    | 100–104   | HNNHH    | 232–236   |
|         | CsFAD5.11| HRHLTH    | 71–76     | HRYHH    | 108–112   | HNNHH    | 240–244   |
|         | CsFAD5.12| HRQLSH    | 72–77     | HRIHH    | 109–113   | HNNHH    | 242–246   |
|         | CsFAD5.13| HRNLH     | 63–68     | HRCCH    | 100–104   | HNNHH    | 232–236   |
|         | CsFAD5.14| HRNLH     | 62–67     | HRCCH    | 99–103    | HNNHH    | 231–235   |
the ER and CsFAD6 and CsFAD7 in the chloroplast hinted that the similar metabolic channels might also function in cucumber.

Exon-intron organization of the cucumber FAD genes

A separate phylogenetic tree was constructed using the protein sequences of all the identified cucumber FAD genes, and their exon-intron structures were compared (Fig 4). All members of the CsFAD5 cluster contained five exons and four introns. Both CsFAD3 and CsFAD7, which encode ω-3 FADs, contained eight exons. However, for the genes encoding the ω-6 FADs (CsFAD2.1, CsFAD2.2 and CsFAD6), the exon-intron organization was quite different. CsFAD6 had up to ten exons, whereas CsFAD2.1 contained no intron in its open reading frame (ORF), and CsFAD2.2 had a single intron (Fig 4). Similar to CsFAD2.1, no intron was contained in the ORF of CsFAD4. For the CsFAB2 isoforms, two (CsFAB2.1 and CsFAB2.2) had three exons, whereas CsFAB2.3 contained only two exons. The exon-intron organization patterns of the CsFAD genes, as well as the lengths of the exons and introns in these genes, were highly conserved with those reported in other plant FAD genes, such as Arabidopsis, soybean, cotton and others [12, 14, 15]; these findings support a conserved evolutionary history among different plants.

Duplication and divergence rate of the cucumber FAD genes

CsFAB2, CsFAD2 and CsFAD5 were identified as multi-families, including three, two and fourteen members, respectively (Table 1). According to their chromosome locations (Fig 1),
phylogenetic relationships (Fig 3), as well as their flanking genes in the cucumber genome, the expansion of these gene families was possibly due to the segmental and/or tandem duplication events (Table 4). To explore the association of Darwinian selection in the duplication and divergence of the duplicated FAD genes, the $K_a/K_s$ ratios were estimated for these duplicated gene-pairs [33]. The $K_a/K_s$ ratios among the triple CsFAB2 genes were estimated as $>1$, suggesting that positive selection on these duplication events occurred during CsFAB2 expansion (Table 4). Additionally, the segmental duplication events were estimated to have occurred around 8 mya and 19–20 mya for CsFAB2.1/CsFAB2.2 and CsFAB2.1/CsFAB2.3 pairs (Table 4). For the two copies of CsFAD2, the $K_a/K_s$ ratio was about 0.12, indicating that the segmentally duplicated CsFAD2 genes were under strong purifying selection pressure. A much earlier divergence was observed from CsFAD2.1-CsFAD2.2 around 202 mya, respectively (Table 4). The 14 CsFAD5 genes were distributed into three gene clusters in the cucumber genome, and of them, CsFAD5.2/CsFAD5.3, CsFAD5.7/CsFAD5.8, CsFAD5.10/CsFAD5.12, and CsFAD5.13/CsFAD5.14 were clustered separately in the phylogenetic tree. The $K_a/K_s$ ratios for these tandem duplicated CsFAD5 pairs ranged from 0.8031 to 1.2842 with an average of 0.99 (Table 4). It suggested that nearly neutral selections accompanied with the CsFAD5 expansion.

### Tissue specific expression patterns of the FAD genes in cucumber seedlings

To characterize the functions of the cucumber FAD genes, the expression profiles were analyzed. For the CsFAD5 gene subfamily, CsFAD5.1 was the homolog of Arabidopsis FAD5, whose regio- and substrate specificity, and biological functions have been formally identified [37–39]. Therefore, CsFAD5.1 was used as a representative gene, and the expression patterns of the other CsFAD5 genes were not included in this study. Firstly, in silico expression analysis was performed by mining the EST, cDNA and PUT data in PlantGDB. The results showed that only CsFAB2.1, CsFAD2.1, CsFAD3 and CsFAD7 were supported by the expression evidences (Table 5). Then, the expression of cucumber FAD genes was further verified using the eight EST libraries released in ICuGI database. Interestingly, other than CsFAD2.2, all the cucumber FAD genes matched to at least four ESTs, and quite larger numbers of ESTs could be matched to the potentially expressed CsFAD genes identified from PlantGDB database (Table 5).

Furthermore, the expression profiles of CsFAD genes were examined by qPCR in various tissues of cucumber seedling, including the roots, hypocotyls, cotyledons and leaves. As shown in Fig 5, the expression patterns varied significantly in different cucumber tissues. Three CsFAB2

---

**Table 4.** $K_a/K_s$ analysis and duplicated date calculation for duplicated CsFAD genes.

| Duplicated pair       | Duplication type | $K_a$  | $K_s$  | $K_a/K_s$ | T (mya) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------|---------|
| CsFAB2.1/CsFAB2.2     | Segmental       | 0.3594 | 0.1079 | 3.3309    | 8.30    |
| CsFAB2.1/CsFAB2.3     | Segmental       | 0.5691 | 0.2477 | 2.2975    | 19.05   |
| CsFAB2.2/CsFAB2.3     | Segmental       | 0.5877 | 0.2670 | 2.2011    | 20.54   |
| CsFAD2.1/CsFAD2.2     | Segmental       | 0.3254 | 2.6347 | 0.1235    | 202.67  |
| CsFAD5.2/CsFAD5.3     | Tandem          | 0.0820 | 0.1021 | 0.8031    | 7.85    |
| CsFAD5.7/CsFAD5.8     | Tandem          | 0.2931 | 0.3595 | 0.8153    | 27.65   |
| CsFAD5.10/CsFAD5.12   | Tandem          | 0.3046 | 0.3315 | 1.0883    | 23.43   |
| CsFAD5.10/CsFAD5.11   | Tandem          | 0.3123 | 0.3130 | 0.9978    | 24.08   |
| CsFAD5.11/CsFAD5.12   | Tandem          | 0.1514 | 0.1582 | 0.9570    | 12.17   |
| CsFAD5.13/CsFAD5.14   | Tandem          | 0.0949 | 0.0739 | 1.2842    | 5.68    |

**doi:**10.1371/journal.pone.0149917.t004
genes were dominantly expressed in the cucumber seedling leaves. However, in comparison with the other two CsFAB2 genes, CsFAB2.1 showed much higher expression levels (approximately 500-fold) in all of the detected tissues. For CsFAD2.1, CsFAD3 and CsFAD6, the highest expression levels were detected in the leaves, whereas for CsFAD4, CsFAD5.1 and CsFAD7, the

| Gene     | PlantGDBa | Number of ESTs in ICuGib |
|----------|-----------|--------------------------|
|          | EST       | cDNA PUTs                | GenBank Fruit (1,113) | Fruit (1,392) | 8 DPP fruit (187,276) | Leaf (717) | Gynoecious flower (171,786) | Hermaphrodite flower (149,364) | Female flower buds (716) | Male flower buds (912) | Total (513,276) |
| CsFAB2.1 | + + +     | 2 1 40                   | 33                     | 34            | 110                   |
| CsFAB2.2 | - - -     | 6 3                      | 3                      | 5            | 14                    |
| CsFAB2.3 | - - -     | 10 3                     | 10                     | 10           | 13                    |
| CsFAD2.1 | + + +     | 1 59 1                   | 90                     | 99           | 1 1                   | 252        |
| CsFAD2.2 | - - -     |                          | 0                      |              | 4                     |
| CsFAD3   | + - +     | 1 13                     | 188                    | 159          | 1 1                   | 362        |
| CsFAD4   | - - -     | 3 1                      | 3                      | 2            | 4                     |
| CsFAD5.1 | - - -     | 1 3                      | 2                      | 2            | 6                     |
| CsFAD6   | - - -     | 5 5                      | 6 2                     | 2            | 13                    |
| CsFAD7   | + + +     | 1 1                      | 31                     | 21           | 1 1                   | 55         |

a +, expressed; -, no blast hits with an E value ≤ \(10^{-4}\).

bThe number within parentheses indicates the total EST number in each library.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149917.t005

Fig 5. In silico expression analysis of the cucumber FAD genes.

Fig 5. Expression patterns of the cucumber FAD genes in various tissues of cucumber seedlings. The bar charts illustrate the relative expression levels of the cucumber FAD genes in the various tissues, as measured by qPCR and normalized to CsAct1 expression. The data represent the means of three independent replicates ± standard deviation (sd).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149917.g005
highest transcript abundances were detected in the cotyledons. In the roots and hypocotyls, only trace expression levels could be detected for any cucumber FAD gene except CsFAD2.1 and CsFAD3 (Fig 5), which indicate the central roles of these two CsFAD proteins in modulating fatty acid composition in the roots and hypocotyls of cucumber seedlings.

Consistent with the digital analysis, no transcript was detected for CsFAD2.2 in all the tested tissues (Fig 5). As illustrated by the $K_a/K_s$ analysis, the CsFAD2.1 and CsFAD2.2 gene pair underwent a strong purifying selection after gene duplication (Table 4). One such selection fate was the pseudofunctionalization of the duplicate [49]. Here, that no expression of CsFAD2.2 could be detected in both in silico and qPCR analysis (Table 5 and Fig 5), further supported this conclusion.

Additionally, the fatty acid compositions in roots and leaves of cucumber seedlings were compared. As shown in Fig 6, neither 16:2 nor 16:3 could be detected in both leaves and roots. The major fatty acid in the roots was 16:0, which reached approximately 46% (mol%) of the total fatty acids. Lesser levels of other fatty acids were observed in the following order: 18:0 > 18:3 > 18:1 > 18:2 > 14:0 > 16:1 > 20:0. When compared with leaves, the relative levels (mol %) of saturated fatty acids (14:0, 16:0, and 18:0) were significantly higher in the roots, which is consistent with the lower CsFAD expression levels observed in roots (Fig 5). In leaves, the most abundant fatty acid species was 18:3, which represented approximately 44% of the total fatty acids therein. This result might be due to the extremely high expression levels of CsFAD7 in cucumber seedling leaves (Fig 5). Another polyunsaturated fatty acid, 18:2, was also more abundant in the leaves than in the roots, also consistent with the higher expression of CsFAD2.1 and CsFAD6 in leaves (Fig 5). However, for 16:1, its level was slightly lower than that in roots, although its level was very low (< 0.5%) in both roots and leaves. These results indicated that FAD expression profiles might correlate well with fatty acid compositions in cucumber seedlings.

### Inducible expression profiles of the cucumber FAD genes

By qPCR, we also examined the inducible expression patterns of cucumber FAD genes in response to cold (8°C/8°C) or heat (38°C/28°C) stress. Upon cold treatment, most of the
cucumber FAD genes were upregulated, with the exception of CsFAB2.1. Although CsFAB2.1 was expressed at relatively high levels under normal conditions (Fig 5), under cold temperatures, an approximately 3-fold reduction was observed (Fig 7). For the other two CsFAB2 isoforms, an approximate 10-fold increase was caused by cold stress, although with distinct inducible time courses (Fig 7). For CsFAB2.2, induction occurred throughout the experiment, whereas for CsFAB2.3, cold-induced upregulation occurred later (12 h) and peaked after 24 h of treatment. Subsequently, the induction diminished (Fig 7). For CsFAD2.1, only a slight induction (1.61-fold) was detected after 12 h of cold treatment, and thereafter, expression was reduced. For CsFAD3, expression was induced continuously within 48 h of cold treatment. The expression of CsFAD4, CsFAD5.1 and CsFAD7 showed a similar induction pattern under cold stress. Their expression was induced rapidly (within 6 h of treatment) upon cold stress and peaked after 12 h of treatment (Fig 7). Compared to the other FAD genes, CsFAD6 was induced slowly and peaked to a lesser extent (3.97-fold) after 24 h of cold treatment. It should be noted that at 24 and 48 h in the control samples, the expression of CsFAD3, CsFAD4, CsFAD5.1 and CsFAD7 was upregulated, although the extent of upregulation was much less than that under cold stress. This induction might be the result of a lower temperature at night (18°C) compared with the day (28°C).

Under heat stress, most of the cucumber FAD genes (CsFAB2.1, CsFAD2.1, CsFAD3, CsFAD4, CsFAD5.1 and CsFAD6) were repressed. Especially after 6 h of heat treatment, a sharp reduction was observed, although the extent of reduction differed from gene to gene (Fig 7). Subsequently, the expression recovered but still was significantly lower than the corresponding values in the control samples, indicating the heat-repressed expression of these cucumber FAD genes. For CsFAB2.3, a heat-induced reduction continued until 48 h of treatment. However, in the expression of CsFAB2.2 and CsFAD7, different results were detected. For CsFAB2.2,
a slight induction (approximately 2-fold) was detected after heat treatment, and for CsFAD7, 2.88- and 2.00-fold induction was found at 24 and 48 h of heat treatment, respectively. When compared to the control plants, this slight induction was much less, also indicating the heat-repressed expression of CsFAD7.

ABA and H$_2$O$_2$ serve as the universal signals during abiotic stress responses [50]. To determine whether the cold- and heat-induced changes in the expression of cucumber FAD genes were due to the applied stress itself or associated with stress-related plant signals, we also analyzed the FAD transcript levels in cucumber seedlings treated with exogenous ABA and H$_2$O$_2$. Upon ABA application, the expression of these cucumber FAD genes differed from one another. For CsFAB2.1, a transient and slight induction (1.78-fold) was observed 6 h after ABA treatment, and then its expression was repressed rapidly. For CsFAB2.2 and CsFAB2.3, their expression levels peaked at a 40.76-fold induction at 12 h and an 8.30-fold induction at 24 h after ABA treatment, respectively (Fig 8). A sharp induction was also observed in CsFAD5.1, the expression of which was greatly induced (26.85-fold) 12 h after ABA treatment. Thereafter, CsFAD5.1 expression was repressed rapidly (Fig 8). For CsFAD2.1, its expression was induced gradually and slightly within 12 h of treatment. The expression of the two ω-3 FAD-encoding genes (CsFAD3 and CsFAD7) mirrored the ABA-repressed patterns. At the end of the time course, approximately 0.5-fold expression was detected (Fig 8). For CsFAD6, an approximately 1.5-fold induction 24 h after ABA treatment in the control seedlings was delayed to 48 h after ABA treatment (Fig 8).

As shown in Fig 8, upon H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, a significant reduction was detected in the expression of CsFAB2.1.12 h after ABA treatment. However, for CsFAB2.2, H$_2$O$_2$ induced increased transcription until the end of the experiment (48 h). For CsFAB2.3 and CsFAB2.1, no significant change was observed during the experiment (Fig 8). The H$_2$O$_2$-repressed pattern was also observed in CsFAD3, the expression of which was reduced rapidly after 6 h of treatment. For CsFAD4 and CsFAD5.1, a transient induction (approximately 7-fold) occurred after 12 h of H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. For CsFAD6, only a slight induction was observed, and for CsFAD7, its expression increased gradually during the 24-h H$_2$O$_2$ treatment (Fig 8).

Taken together, these results from qPCR suggested that the expression of most cucumber FAD genes was induced by cold treatment but repressed by heat temperatures, consistent with the FAD genes from other plants [13–15, 51]. And this regulation by temperatures might be fulfilled, partially at least, via an ABA-dependent pathway, while the regulatory roles of H$_2$O$_2$ was limited (Fig 8). Besides the transcriptional regulation, some post-transcriptional regulation also occurred during the FAD genes expression. For example, in Arabidopsis, the C-terminal 44 aa of the FAD8 enzyme specifically destabilize the protein at high temperatures, even in the absence of reduced transcript accumulation [52]. In soybean, the phosphorylation of S185 in FAD2-1 may downregulate enzyme activity under high temperatures [53]. For CsFAD3 and CsFAD4, a rapid reduction (within 6 h) after heat treatment was detected (Fig 7), implying that the similar post-transcriptional regulation might exist during the expression of these cucumber FAD genes, although further efforts should be needed.

The differential expression also indicated the potential roles of FADs in modulating the fatty acid compositions in cucumber seedlings under temperature stresses. Fatty acid desaturation is one of the factors involved in temperature responses and stress adaptions. Cold always led to increased fatty acid desaturation, while heat stress drastically decreased the fatty acid desaturation [23, 51, 54–56]. However, in cucumber, fatty acid desaturation showed a biphasic response to cold stress, with a decrease at the early responsive phase and then a dramatic increase at the late phase [57]. This result was not completely consistent with our findings that the expression of cucumber FAD genes was continuously induced by cold treatment (Fig 7). This conflict could partly be explained by the fatty acid hydroperoxidation mediated by
lipoxygenase (LOX). LOX could be induced by cold, and utilize polyunsaturated fatty acids (18:2 and 18:3) as the primary substrate. The LOX-dependent depletion of polyunsaturated fatty acids thereby decreased the level of fatty acid unsaturation [57]. To comprehensively decipher the complex metabolic and enzymatic changes involved in regulating fatty acid compositions under temperature stresses in cucumber seedlings, some lipidomic and transcriptomic methods would be employed.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the 23 cucumber FAD genes are comprehensively described, including their gene structures, phylogenetic profiles, subcellular localizations, conserved protein motifs, and expression patterns. These cucumber FADs were phylogenetically clustered with their counterparts from other plants. The detailed sequence alignment further revealed that the cucumber FAD proteins shared high amino acid sequence conservation and key determinative residues with the known plan FAD proteins. Also, the exon-intron structures of FAD genes were highly conserved in each subfamily. On the transcriptional level, the expression of cucumber FAD genes is positively correlated with the contents of unsaturated fatty acids. The cold-induced and heat-repressed expression patterns of the FAD genes suggest the potential roles of FADs in cucumber responses to temperature stresses. The characterization of these FAD genes aids the construction of the pathways involved in unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis and provides candidate genes for the genetic engineering of stress tolerance in cucumber.

Fig 8. Expression profiling of the cucumber FAD genes in response to ABA and H₂O₂. The relative expression levels of the FAD genes in the leaves of cucumber seedlings treated without (white bars) or with ABA (100 μM, black bars) or H₂O₂ (1 mM, hatched bars) were determined by qPCR. The expression level for each gene in the control plants at 0 h was normalized to 1.0. The data represent the means of three independent replicates ± sd.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149917.g008
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