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Abstract — In the Qur’an, a lot of words can be used to be researched especially in the case of Natural Language Processing (NLP), one of which is the Model semantic distribution for common words and linkages as in this research. Previous research, which uses the Qur’an dataset only performed semantic similarities to certain surah, evaluation of datasets similarities and semantic linkages but the application of the Turkish language, and application of evaluation of datasets for verbs only. The purpose of the study was to provide insight into the researcher’s semantic model by evaluating the model in several attributes. Balance the pairs of words of the Quranic vocabulary dataset for a class of nouns and verbs with human frequencies to evaluate the durability of a semantic model regarding the problem of rare word occurrence. The word pair is selected to enable evaluation of a semantic model distribution with multiple Word attributes and link pairs of words such as the frequency of word occurrence, concreteness, and relationship type (e.g., synonymy, antonymy). The Dataset consists of 500 pairs of words and is assisted by 15 respondents, of which each pair has two distinct values for similarity and relevance. The method used is the Sim-Rel vector, questionnaire, and the calculation of gold standard, until the result of performance calculations using a correlation of Spearman Rank of 0.909. The Sim-Rel vector axis Gets the result with 4 areas i.e. SU = 23 pairs of words, SR = 77, DU = 192, and DR = 208.
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INTRODUCTION

Semantic relatedness and similarities relate to the linguistic field, especially in Natural Language Processing (NLP) [1], which has become an interesting and widely researched topic. Semantic and word-related similarities have important roles in some of the tasks of NLP and some associated fields such as text classification, document clustering, text summarization, and so forth [2]. Semantic similarities are a process used to estimate the strength of a semantic relationship between a unit of language, a concept, or a common sense of the word. Evaluate similarities in documents widely used, one of them for application related to information search, natural language processing, etc. [3]. Evaluation of Word similarity (i.e., WordSim) is one of the oldest natural methods of assessment of the semantic distribution model.

The Qur’an is a holy book for Muslims and is the most prominent guideline and source of law. The Qur'an has 30 Juz, 114 surahs, and 6236 verses [2]. Quran is the holy book for Muslims who believe and follow the religion of Islam. This book is currently being used as the guidebook of life to 1.6 billion Muslims in the world living today[4]. In 6236 these verses many vocabularies have similarities and interrelated [5], but many of them are far apart the range is not even found in one surah or one juz, therefore it is necessary to study deeper to understand the meaning associated with the word Qur'an. Therefore, it appears the idea of the evaluation of datasets of value in common and the relation of semantic words to the pairs of Qur'anic words such as between the words “Allah” and “Tuhan”, “Surga” and “Neraka” or even “Nabi” and “Rasul” by going through numerical descriptions obtained by the comparison of meaningful supporting information or describing nature.
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In this paper, will present works in the similarity of semantic words by utilizing computational linguistic learning, especially in the field of semantic similarities and the distribution of semantic models. Distribution Semantic (DS) is a theory related to linguistic tradition, which according to [6] in the proposal of Zellig Harris, from the analysis of distribution as a fundamental linguistic rock as a scientific discipline. The linguistic elements in two (orthogonal) types are a lot of learning with a distribution relationship in Semantic research distribution (DS) today: syntagmatic and paradigmatic. In research [7], in Milton's opinion, to measure the mastered vocabulary cannot be obtained when the word is written only as a lexical word, but can be measured when spread in sentences. One example is synonymous such as "nabi" and "rasul" in the sentence "Muhammad sebagai [nabi] rasul Allah", where two words tend to happen in the same sentence. In previous research using the Qur'an, the dataset is only performed semantics for certain surah [8], the relation of words with Arabic vocabulary [9]. Other studies, discussing the development of datasets similarities and semantic relatedness but for application in the Turkish language [10], and the application of evaluation of datasets for verbs only [11]. According to [12] there are other studies whose data is still in use today such as SimLex-999, WordSim353, SimLex-666. The SimLex-999 is still being used to date as one of the gold standards in semantic distribution (DSM) modeling research.

To complement the previous research, this study makes a list of the words in the Qur'an with the form of a noun class and a verb that presents the similarity of Word and word relation (i.e., association). Evaluation of this dataset aims to provide a field of semantic modeling for the Indonesian language derived from the vocabulary of the Qur'an with an intrinsic evaluation source based on the definition of the word obtained from the Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia (KBBI). Seeing the development of the existing semantic sciences to study the Qur'an, this research was created at the same time to create datasets, so that future research with the focus of other discussions can use this dataset to help the research progress. Like the research [10], [11], the level of similarity of this word will be measured in the continuous range [0,10] for each pre-selected pair of words. A score of 10 shows similarities and maximum relatedness, while 0 does not show similarities and relatedness. The value of similarity and association is obtained by involving the gold standard that the results are described in the vector functions and relationship type functions. The built-in evaluation is expected to produce a good performance based on the calculated correlation value. The correlation value in question is derived from the calculation of the Spearman Rank. The challenge of this research is to mimic human intuition in measuring the similarity and interconnectedness of words in semantics.

**DESIGN MOTIVATION**

This section, explains the difference in Word evaluation on previous research, explaining some common word evaluation issues and design decisions made to address those issues in research, and calculations for the emergence of words that according to the study were scarce seen from some parameters. Contributions from this paper include knowing more about the difference between the similarities and relatedness of the word evaluation dataset for Indonesia Languages, the main objective is to balance each pair of words by several morphological and semantic attributes, analysis and visualization of words similarity and relatedness of datasets that have value for each word pair, and finish it by reviewing the gold standard and the correlation value that exists.

A. The Difference in Word Evaluation

After the theoretical differences of paradigmatic and syntagmatic relationship types, one can easily apply those differences to the word evaluation by assuming that "similarity is a paradigm and relatedness that is a type of relationship that is syntagmatic.". In the study [11] thoroughly explained the difference between similarity and association (i.e., Sim-Rel) caused the problem of the dataset's limitations. The SimVerb-3500 [11] also defines criteria for the evaluation dataset in three: representative, clearly-defined, consistent, and reliable. According to [10], most WordSim datasets such as RG65, WordSim-353, and MEN do not meet clearly defined criteria because screen guidelines use "similarity" and "linkage", and the word "association" is in one another.

Semantic modeling for the Indonesian language with intrinsic evaluation resources targeting the encouraged morphological problems caused by the rich agglutinative properties of languages. Since our research aims to collect both similarity and linkage scores from participants, we provide clear-defined detailed instructions on the questionnaire screen (Figure 2-4).

B. The Emergence of Rare Words

In this evaluation, the emergence of rare words that appear in the translation of the word Qur'an is quite a lot. Since the dataset [10], [11], [13] measure a rare word level, the study received a 26% yield on [10] for the number of word occurrences of the range 0-320. The rare value of this study is assumed by a total of 2913 words, taken the middle value of the data to 1456 to the end, it shows the number of rare word occurrence only under 3.

**METHODOLOGY**

A. Sources Dataset

The Dataset used in this final task comes from the online website e-book. A dataset containing a translation of the Qur'an by 6236 verses or lines that want to know the value of similarities and relatedness of pairs of words taken for the noun class and verbs in the Indonesian Language.

B. Steps of Research

The stages of this research are:

1. The system converts the whole verse translation into a word token that will be in the next process
2. The system selects candidates for identical words according to the class
3. Manually, create 500 pairs of words which already include nouns and verbs
4. Questionnaire and calculating the gold standard
5. The system will render the data plot according to the value obtained
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6. The system will calculate performance values with the correlation of Spearman Rank

C. Sim-Rel Vector Space

As is the reference paper, this paper will try to use the method on the paper to collect the pairs of words in the search for the value of similarity, and the association is the Sim-Rel Vector Space. This paper will try to prove whether the Indonesian vocabulary derived from the Qur’an using the formula of the function, later can be used or not.

The x-axis represents the association with the r score, and the y-axis represents similarity to the s score of each word pair in each dataset. Group division is marked with, SU (similar-not related); SR (similar-related); DU (different-not related); DR (different-related) is a categorical label from a possible sub-space semantic or ss, then ss = \( f_1(r,s) \) function would be,

\[
ss = f_1(r,s) = \begin{cases} 
SU, & \text{if } s \geq 5 \text{ and } r < 5 \\
SR, & \text{if } s \geq 5 \text{ and } r \geq 5 \\
DU, & \text{if } s < 5 \text{ and } r < 5 \\
DR, & \text{if } s < 5 \text{ and } r \geq 5 
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

Then the method also provides a function formula with \( t = 2 \) indicates a variable threshold that represents the boundary point relation type of space where synonyms, antonym, irrelevant is a categorical label possible semantic relation-types \( rt, rt = f_2(r,s) \) function will,

\[
f_2(r,s) = \begin{cases} 
\text{synonym,} & \text{if } 10 - t \leq s \text{ and } 10 - t \leq r \\
\text{antonym,} & \text{if } 10 - t \leq r \text{ and } s \geq t \\
\text{irrelevant,} & \text{if } t \geq r \text{ and } t \geq s 
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

Word-pairs could be accepted as synonyms if their \( rt \) value is assigned to synonym varying by the \( t \) parameter. The same rule applies to the irrelevant value, too. Intuitively select a threshold of \( t = 2 \) values for Sim-Rel semantic space. The \( t = 2 \) parameter equals all axes and relationships with the model and the simplicity of visualization.

D. Gold Standard

Gold Standard is a result of the personal opinion that is a reference in the process of measuring semantic similarities between a text pair and a word on an absolute scale. The predominant gold standards for semantic evaluation in NLP do not measure the ability of models to reflect similarity [13]. The personal advice here is obtained from 15 respondents who have contributed to filling the questionnaire.

E. Correlations Spearman Rank

Spearman rank correlation coefficient \( r_s \) is a nonparametric or distribution-free rank statistical measure of the strength and the direction of the arbitrary monotonic association between two ranked variables or one ranked variable and one measurement variable [14]. Formula \( r_s \) for the correlation of Spearman are as follows:

\[
r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}
\]  

(3)

When \( d_i = r(A_i) - r(B_i) \) is the significant difference between the two ranked variables and \( n \) is the total number of observations [15].

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Preprocessing

Translation of the Qur’an in Bahasa Indonesia in the process to be a word token that omitted its word attributes such as punctuation, equalization letters, cutting out unnecessary words because it prevents many of the emergences of unused words. As a result of this stage, the system gets a word count of 61683 words with all the word classes read by the name label.

B. Word Candidate Selection

A total of 6236 verses or about 80000 words in the translation are chosen based on the type of class they say are nouns and verbs. The system calculates the overall number of PostTagging for the noun and verb from the beginning of 36285 with 24794 for nouns and 11491 for verbs. Then the system calculates to determine the identical word for each class of the word, and the result is 4731 with an identical noun as many as 2904 words, while 1827 for the identical verb. Then, manually last selection to match the word according to KBBI and generate 2193 for nouns and 1733 for a verb.

In [10] research for word candidates, the study resulted in 639 words for nouns only derived from the Turkish vocabulary mix and vocabulary of other datasets, while the research was pure from the Indonesian translation dataset of the Qur’an.

Statistics Chart Design words for the dataset can be seen in Figure 1, and below are examples of candidates taken based on the number of words from the largest, which was also previously matched using KBBI.

| ID   | Arabic   | English | Indonesian | Count |
|------|----------|---------|------------|-------|
| 18   | الله     | allah   | allah      | 2878  |
| 17   | الله     | lord    | tuhan      | 969   |
| 22   | ذا       | people  | orang      | 672   |
| 5    | اليوم    | day     | hari       | 483   |
| 1458 | الا       | except  | kecuali    | 433   |
| 27   | الأرض   | earth   | bumi       | 416   |
| 21   | عقاب    | punishment | hukuman   | 364   |
| 63   | الخوف    | fear    | ketakutan  | 282   |
| 1055 | خيرا     | good    | kebajikan  | 259   |
| 72   | الحق     | truth   | kebenaran  | 210   |
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Step 1: Final Step to pair from any word obtained with the noun and verb rules, and this applies to verbs and nouns.

If viewed, the table above only contains two stages, because all the vocabulary used is taken from the translation of the Quran that appears, hence the absence of the word outside the source of the Qur'an used. After all, the Qur'an is a definite and irreversible database, other than that the goal is to create a dataset from the vocabulary of the Qur'an in Indonesia Language.

| ID  | Arabic | English | Indonesian | Count |
|-----|--------|---------|------------|-------|
| 10  | دولَة | said    | bilang    | 843   |
| 139 | تقولَوا | say     | mengatakan| 756   |
| 6   | يؤمن | believe | percaya   | 422   |
| 266 | علمَكم | know    | tahu      | 279   |
| 146 |أتي | come    | datang    | 245   |
| 176 | يرى | see     | lihat     | 235   |
| 425 | يعطي | give    | memberi   | 193   |
| 330 | يضرب | make    | membuat   | 192   |
| 9   | يستخدم | used   | digunakan  | 191   |
| 311 | يحب | Like    | menyukai  | 186   |

Table 3. 5 Examples of Word Pair Results

| Word Pair Results | Word Pair (ENG) | Word Pair (IND) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Adam – humankind  | Adam – manusia  |                 |
| Guidance – Quran  | Petunjuk – Quran|                 |
| Allah – god       | Allah – tuhan   |                 |
| Book – Quran      | Buku – Quran    |                 |
| Punishment – penalty | Hukuman – siksa |         |
| Prophets – messenger | Nabi – rasul   |                 |
| Friend – relatives | Teman – kerabat|                 |

This research plans the process of constructing datasets in three phases: Word candidate, KBBI, and Word pair selection.

Table 4. Three Stages of Dataset Construction

| | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Word Candidates | KBBI | Word Pair |
| Goals | Using existing data | To customize word candidates with KBBI | Randomly balancing pairs of words |
| Input | Indonesia Translation Collection | The output from Stage 1 | The output from Stage 2 |
| Process | Tag Word type for each word and KBBI | Match word one by one into KBBI online | Mapping pairs (every word used 2-5 times building word-pairs) |
| Output | 2904 (N) + 1827 (V) | 2193 (N) + 1733 (V) | 500 (N&V) |

C. Pairing-Word Selection

From the identical word obtained, the system calculates the number of occurrences of each word with the translated document. For similar research, the final SimVerb-3500 data set contains 3,500 verb pairs in total, covering all associated verb [11]. Similarly, the research [10] generates 500 pairs of nouns.

Due to time and budget constraints, this study sets the target size of the project dataset to 1,000 scores (500 word-pairs) on nouns and verbs. To be a comparison, most of the WordSim datasets in previous research have fewer word pairs, (SimLex-999 = 999, RG = 65, WordSim-353 = 353, RW = 2034, MEN = 3000) [10].

Therefore, the word already has a sorted value of the word that has the most number of benefits and takes the top 250, and this applies to verbs and nouns. Then, randomly selected to pair from any word obtained with the noun and verb rules, not mix. Below are seven samples of manually created word pairs.

| Word Pair Results | Word Pair (IND) |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| مسيح – manusia    |                 |
| سام – nabi         |                 |
| إبليس – satan     |                 |
| نُزَّلَتْ – terjadi  |                 |
| هُوَ – dia          |                 |
| كتب – karya        |                 |
| إن كان – jika      |                 |

This research uses Spreadsheets or Excel online, which can be accessed by respondents who do not know the time. The reasons for using this include:

1. Situations and conditions require everyone to work from home.
2. Preventing the delay of charging from the specified duration
3. Prevent the respondent from discharging the questionnaire
4. The charging of respondents can always be controlled by the authors.

Here's an image that demonstrates the design of Excel, created to collect data through human annotators using Spreadsheets:

![Excel Image]

Figure 2 Greetings Of Questionnaire

![Rules of the Word similarity Questionnaire]

Figure 3 Rules of the Word similarity Questionnaire

![Rules of the Word Relatedness Questionnaire]

Figure 4 Rules of the Word Relatedness Questionnaire

2. Respondents

The respondent's problem has more influence on this questionnaire. Due to the situation and conditions described above, this study took data from 15 respondents who came from students with different majors and universities. By accident, 12 are female respondents, and the rest are males, with 12 being in the final semester and the remainder under semester 5. A sample image filling questionnaire from respondents can be seen in Figure 5.

![Sample Questionnaire Image]

Figure 5 Questionnaire From Respondents

E. Gold Standard Calculation

This Gold Standard calculation seeks the value of all the benefits of similarities and relatedness obtained from 15 respondents, so it has two benefits of Gold Standard. Then, this a gold standard value is inserted into the function formula (1). Below are the sample results of the gold standard for 13 pairs of Indonesian words.

| Word1  | Word2  | GS.S  | GS.R  |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| adam   | manusia| 18.66666667 | 19    |
| Petunjuk| quran  | 21.66666667 | 21.16666667 |
| allah  | tuhan  | 24.5  | 22.66666667 |
| buku   | quran  | 14.33333333 | 14.66666667 |
| Hukuman| Siksa  | 16.33333333 | 16.5  |
| nabi   | rasul  | 18.16666667 | 17.83333333 |
| teman  | kerabat| 19.33333333 | 18.16666667 |
| Petunjuk| nikmat| 12.66666667 | 16    |
| Ketekutan| kemenangan| 7.66666667 | 11.16666667 |
| Rahmat  | Laknat | 5.83333333 | 4.66666667 |
| Setan   | Jin    | 16.5  | 16.33333333 |
| Adam    | Rasul  | 17.5  | 16.33333333 |
| Jibril  | manusia| 3.33333333 | 14.83333333 |

GS. S (Sim) is the gold standard for similarity value, during GS. R (Rel) is the gold standard for relatedness value.

F. Dataset Analysis

Analysis of the obtained data shows the Sim-Rel vector with the assumption and configuration of the respondent. Apparently, from the Sim-Rel function, get good results also if tried in a state of the Indonesia translation of the Qur'an. Deploying plots based on the r and s values of gold standard produces similar visuals. Here's the result:

1. Sub-space SU get the result as many as 23 pairs of words; SR = 77; DU = 192; and DR = 208.
2. From the results based on the human annotator, it generates the relationship type for Synonym = 16; Antonym = 11 and Irrelevant = 473

3. If viewed from a plot spread, many of the dots overlap or have the same coordinate point of 5.93 for the GR and 1.33 for GS, such as "Jibril" – "Manusia" and "berbicara" – "melihat" (see table 6)

4. Below is a plot distribution for each type of relationship that the capture system represents the other word pairs

In research [10] get the value of the SU = 0 which means that if researched, a pair of words that have a similar word should the word can’t relate to each other because it has a high value of similarities, such as "wanita" and "perempuan". These two words have a high value of similarity and should not be related. Meanwhile, in this study vector, SU = 23-word pairs. This is the difference that can be compared due to respondents in this study with previously different studies, allowing for different results as well. Similarly, for values in other vector axes of the study, with SR = 52, DU = 215, and DR = 233.

Sample word-pairs from the final dataset (Sim = value of similarity, Rel = value of relatedness, SS = semantic subspace)

G. Correlation Spearman Rank

In this study, for the final stage, calculating the performance value using the correlation of Spearman Rank. The system calculates the correlation value for all values obtained between similarities and relatedness and gets a result of 0.909, which is still better than the most word similarity datasets SimLex-999 = 0.67 [13] AnlamVer = 0.748, (WS-Sim=0.667, MEN=0.68) [10] or SimVerb-3500 = 0.628 [11].

CONCLUSION

This research represents a dataset for the evaluation of the semantic model for Indonesian Languages derived from the Qur'an vocabulary for the class of nouns and verbs with the type of relation. Reviewing the main objectives of this research is to balance pairs of words from human frequency datasets to evaluate the durability of a semantic model on the issue of rare word occurrence. In the discussion about the appearance of the word rare above, the noun is obtained for the number of occurrences of rare words under three as many as 739 words or about 25.36%. For verbs, the number of occurrences of the word is less than equal to three 867 words or approximately 50.02% according to the parameters. The number of rare word occurrences of a noun is not much different than the result of an AnlamVer dataset. Using a vector Sim-Rel method, this method succeeded in evaluating the semantic model for Indonesian Languages in the vocabulary of the Qur'an to get better results compared to research in Turkish, although some results are not as expected because it involves the value of respondents. This is evidenced by the greater correlation value gained even by the research of other evaluation datasets and with a slightly more number of respondents.

Hopefully, the dataset about the similarity and relationship of this word can be useful to support other research in the future with a different discussion so that more research discussing the vocabulary Qur'an. From this research anyway, for future research, it can develop larger datasets. However, when conducting the development or development of datasets, it is advisable that people who are experts in their field such as experts in a particular language or Qur'an to be able to reproduce other types of relations according to different morphology of Indonesia, for example, hypernym, hyponym, and the meronym, etc.
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