Application of numerical grid generation for improved CFD analysis of multiphase screw machines
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Abstract. Algebraic grid generation is widely used for discretization of the working domain of twin screw machines. Algebraic grid generation is fast and has good control over the placement of grid nodes. However, the desired qualities of grid which should be able to handle multiphase flows such as oil injection, may be difficult to achieve at times. In order to obtain fast solution of multiphase screw machines, it is important to further improve the quality and robustness of the computational grid. In this paper, a deforming grid of a twin screw machine is generated using algebraic transfinite interpolation to produce initial mesh upon which an elliptic partial differential equations (PDE) of the Poisson’s form is solved numerically to produce smooth final computational mesh. The quality of numerical cells and their distribution obtained by the differential method is greatly improved. In addition, a similar procedure was introduced to fully smoothen the transition of the partitioning rack curve between the rotors thus improving continuous movement of grid nodes and in turn improve robustness and speed of the Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) solver. Analysis of an oil injected twin screw compressor is presented to compare the improvements in grid quality factors in the regions of importance such as interlobe space, radial tip and the core of the rotor. The proposed method that combines algebraic and differential grid generation offer significant improvement in grid quality and robustness of numerical solution.

1 Introduction

The CFD analysis of twin screw machines is important in order to fully understand the mechanics of compression, gas-oil interaction, study the impact of oil injection design and modifications in particular and to accurately predict the performance of the machines due to variation in operating condition. Classically used lumped parameter models face a limitation in providing high resolution details of flow in such machines due to geometric and kinematic simplifications. But in the application of CFD models for calculation of flow in screw machines a major challenge is the treatment of highly deforming computational meshes. Kovačević et al [4], Kovačević [5] have successfully used an algebraic grid generation method with boundary adaptation and transfinite interpolation which has been implemented in the grid generation tool SCORG – Screw Compressor Rotor Grid Generator. In [4], Kovačević et al have reported CFD simulations of twin screw machines to predict flow, heat transfer, fluid-structure interaction, etc. A test case study of an oil injected compressor using source terms in transport equations with a segregated pressure based solver has also been presented. Pascu et al [7] have reported optimization of the discharge port of a Twin screw compressor using SCORG grid generator. Optimization was based on the selection of the port geometry by relative comparison of flow field predicted by the CFD models. In the same field of analysis, Vande Voorde et al [13] used an algorithm for generating block structured mesh from the solution of the Laplace equation for twin screw
compressors and pumps using differential methods. Reports on analysis of dry air screw compressors and twin screw expanders with real gas models are available in literature using these techniques [8]. Although several attempts have been made in the recent past to extend the CFD technology to oil injected compressors, it has proven to be difficult to achieve the desired grid structure and the modelling conditions that can provide stability to the numerical solvers. Kovačević and Rane have reported in [6] results from the analysis of a dry air expander and it was found that CFD models deviated in prediction of leakage flow much more under the operating condition of low rotor speed and higher pressure difference then at higher rotor speeds with low pressure difference. On the other hand, Rane et al in [9] analyzed a twin screw compressor and found that refinement of the rotor grid in circumferential direction has a direct influence on the prediction of the mass flow rate. Rane [10] proposed a new analytical approach for grid generation that can independently refine the interlobe region of the screw rotors. It was demonstrated that such grid refinement improves the prediction of mass flow rates. The same algebraic algorithm has been extended to produce a rotor grid that eliminates the interface between two rotors and thereby providing a desirable grid for oil injected or multiphase modelling.

In this paper, deforming grid of the twin screw machine is generated using these algebraic techniques and treated as an initial mesh upon which Elliptic PDE of the Poisson’s form is solved. The resulting differential grid has highly improved cell quality and distribution. In addition, a special procedure has been introduced that completely smooths the transition of the partitioning rack curve between the two rotors thus improving grid node movement and robustness of the CFD solver. A sample analysis of an oil injected twin screw compressor using the new Elliptic PDE grids has been presented to compare the improvements in grid quality factors in the regions of importance such as interlobe space, radial tip and core of the rotors. A significant improvement in the grid quality and robustness of numerical solver with higher order schemes has been obtained with this differential implementation of the deforming mesh.

2 Differential grid generation principle

In structured grid generation, techniques based on solutions of Partial Differential Equations to define coordinate transformation are widely used. The idea of using elliptic PDE like Laplace equation or Poisson equation is based on the work of Crowley [1] and Winslow [14]. Elliptic PDE’s have certain beneficial properties in their solution that make them preferable for body fitted curvilinear grids:

**Extremum principle** – It is possible to formulate PDE’s such that the extrema of their analytical solutions cannot be within the domain. Hence there are fewer tendencies for folding of mesh lines.

**Inherent smoothness** – The resulting coordinate curves in the interior are smooth and some discontinuities over the boundaries do not get propagated into the interior of the domains.

**Boundary** – The coordinate points on physical boundaries can be exactly specified as boundary conditions in the computational space.

But the numerical grid generation is computationally expensive and preferably an initial grid based on algebraic methods needs to be prescribed in order for the required derivatives to be used efficiently for solution of PDE’s.

![Figure 1. Differential construction of a 2D curvilinear grid.](image-url)
Consider a 2D domain as shown in Figure 1. The region is bounded by four curves AB, BD, CD and AC. To demonstrate the principle, a heat conduction problem will be used with boundary conditions T1 and T2 as shown in Figure 1a and b.

For domain (a)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 T_1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T_1}{\partial y^2} = \frac{q''_1}{k},
\]

\[T_1 = 0, \text{ at AC and } T_1 = 1, \text{ at BD}\]

\[\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial n} = 0, \quad AB \text{ and } CD\]

where \(n\) is the Normal to the boundary

Similarly for domain (b)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 T_2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T_2}{\partial y^2} = \frac{q''_2}{k},
\]

\[T_2 = 0, \text{ at AB and } T_2 = 1, \text{ at CD}\]

\[\frac{\partial T_2}{\partial n} = 0, \quad AC \text{ and } BD\]

The solution to systems (a) and (b) provides isotherms which can be written as

\[T_1 = T_1(x,y), \quad T_2 = T_2(x,y)\]

Each isotherm \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) thus represents a set of \((x, y)\) and the two solutions get superimposed as a body-fitted curvilinear grid in the domain. Equation (1) and (2) can be re-written by interchanging roles of \(T\) and \((x, y)\), with correspondence of \(T_1: \xi\) and \(T_2: \eta\).

\[
\nabla^2 \xi = \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial y^2} = P(\xi, \eta),
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \eta = \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial y^2} = Q(\xi, \eta),
\]

Where \((\xi, \eta)\) are curvilinear coordinates and \(P(\xi, \eta)\) and \(Q(\xi, \eta)\) are stretching functions and the system is a basic Poisson system of PDE. In order to determine Cartesian coordinates from equation (4) they must be inverted. The inverted equation in 2D reads as [2]:

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{1}{J^2} \left[ \alpha \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \xi^2} - 2\beta \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \gamma \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \eta^2} \right] + P \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} + Q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}.
\]

Replacing \(\phi\) by \(x\) and \(y\) and \(\nabla^2 \phi = \nabla^2 \phi = 0\),

\[
\alpha \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial \xi^2} - 2\beta \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \gamma \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial \eta^2} = -\frac{1}{J^2} \left[ \alpha \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} + Q \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \right]
\]

\[
\alpha \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \xi^2} - 2\beta \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \gamma \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \eta^2} = -\frac{1}{J^2} \left[ \alpha \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} + Q \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \right]
\]
\[
J = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta}, \quad \alpha = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 \right]
\]
\[
\beta = \left[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} \right], \quad \gamma = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \right)^2 \right]
\]

Equation (6) and (7) are coupled and nonlinear because of \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \). They are solved simultaneously using schemes like Tri-diagonal Matrix Algorithm. The boundary conditions as grid coordinates are specified at \( \xi = 0, \zeta = \zeta_{\text{max}} \) and \( \eta = 0, \eta = \eta_{\text{max}} \). One of the difficulties with elliptic PDE’s is that at the boundary either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions are required.

Thompson, Thames and Mastin [12] have proposed the following stretching function in order to control grid clustering.

\[
P(\xi, \eta) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} (\xi - \xi_{n}) e^{-c_{n}|\xi - \xi_{n}|} - \sum_{i=1}^{l} b_{i} (\xi - \xi_{i}) e^{-d_{i}(\xi - \xi_{i})^{2} + (\eta - \eta_{i})^{2}}^{1/2}
\]

\[
Q(\xi, \eta) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} (\eta - \eta_{n}) e^{-c_{n}|\eta - \eta_{n}|} - \sum_{i=1}^{l} b_{i} (\eta - \eta_{i}) e^{-d_{i}(\xi - \xi_{i})^{2} + (\eta - \eta_{i})^{2}}^{1/2}
\]

Here \( N \) is the number of clustering grid lines (coordinate lines \( \xi = \xi_{n} \) and \( \eta = \eta_{n} \)) and \( l \) is the number of clustering points (with \( \xi = \xi_{i}, \eta = \eta_{i}, 0 \leq \xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \leq 1 \)) to which the grid is to be attracted. \( a_{n}, c_{n}, b_{i} \) and \( d_{i} \) are positive control parameters. The first term in \( P(\xi, \eta) \) has the effect with amplitude \( a_{n} \) of attracting \( \xi \)-lines towards curves \( \xi = \xi_{n} \) in the physical domain, while the second term attracts \( \xi \)-lines with amplitude \( b_{i} \) towards points. Similarly the two terms in \( Q(\xi, \eta) \) control the grid line spacing. In each case the attractive effect decays with the distance in the computational space from the lines or points according to the decay parameters \( c_{n} \) and \( d_{i} \).

The functions \( \frac{(\xi - \xi_{n})}{|\xi - \xi_{n}|} \) and \( \frac{(\eta - \eta_{n})}{|\eta - \eta_{n}|} \) are function which can take only the value \( \pm 1 \) and ensure the clustering to take place on both sides of \( \xi_{n} \)-lines and \( \eta_{n} \)-lines. The amplitude can be set negative to turn attractive effects into repulsive ones.

3 Differential grid generation for twin screw rotor grids

Algebraic methods are convenient for quick adjustment and recalculation of the deforming grid. Kovačević et al [4], Kovačević [5], Kovačević and Rane [6] and Rane et al [9] have successfully used an algebraic grid generation method together with boundary adaptation and transfinite interpolation. Vande Voorde and Vierendeels [13] have implemented a grid conversion algorithm for unstructured to block structured mesh from solution of Laplace equation for twin screw compressors and pumps using the differential methods. Based on this grid generation, flow in a double tooth compressor and a twin screw compressor has been analyzed and the results have been compared with experimental data over a range of discharge pressure and rotor speeds. A detailed description of the algebraic grid generation for twin screw rotors can be found in Kovačević [5]. By use of a background blocking technique [10], the algebraic grid generation is able to produce a single domain structured grid for the twin rotor domains. With this algebraically generated single domain grid as an initial framework it is possible to solve the PDE system presented in Section 2 in order to produce a better quality differential mesh.

3.1 Initial Algebraic grid generation

The procedure of analytical grid generation of screw machine working domain is explained in Kovačević [5]. In order to achieve a conformal single domain mesh, a new approach of background blocking has
been presented in Rane [10, 15 and 16]. In this procedure, outer boundary in each background block is
defined as a combination of the rack segment and the casing circle segment. The rack segment stretches
between the bottom and top cusp points and is closed by the casing. The distribution obtained on the
outer boundaries of the two blocks is used as a reference for rotor profile distribution as shown in Figure 2a.

![Figure 2a. Background Blocking](image)

![Figure 2b. Rack Curve Partitioning](image)

![Figure 2c. Conformal 2D Mesh](image)

**Figure 2.** Background blocking for regular boundary discretization and conformal algebraic mesh.

Figure 2b shows how the rack curve is used to partition the two rotor domains and the boundary
distribution so obtained is used to generate the 2D mesh using transfinite interpolation. Using the
blocking approach allows both conformal and non-conformal boundary map to produce fully hexahedral
3D grid. Figure 2c shows the nodes on the rack segment between the main and the gate rotor grids with
conformal boundary map. The 3D mesh generated from such 2D cross sections allows the rotor domains
of the male and female rotors to be combined into a single rotor mesh. This avoids inaccuracies and
instabilities that may arise due to the interface mismatch in non-conformal boundary map. The resultant
grids are recommended for oil injected multiphase flow modelling. But during operation when the rotors
turn further, the rack curve has to transition and the current algebraic methods have this transition as a
step change at certain positions. One of the objectives of elliptic PDE mesh generation presented in this
paper was to improve the time transition of the partitioning rack curve between the two rotor domains.

### 3.2 Applying the Elliptic PDE solver to improve algebraic grid

The treatment described in Section 2 for differential mesh generation requires the solution of the coupled
PDE equations (6) and (7). Schemes like Tri-diagonal Matrix Algorithm can be used for the solution.
The boundary conditions are specified as grid coordinates at $\xi = 0$, $\xi = \xi_{\text{max}}$ and $\eta = 0$, $\eta = \eta_{\text{max}}$.

\[
P(\xi, \eta) = 0
\]

\[
Q(\xi, \eta) = -Q_0 \text{sgn}(r)e^{-|r|}, \quad r = (\eta - \eta_b) \text{ and } \text{sgn}(r) = \frac{r}{|r|}
\]

This means that for the generation of grid in twin screw rotor domain the coordinates (x, y) of the
boundary nodes need to be prescribed as boundary condition to the equations (6) and (7). At this stage
the grid generated by algebraic methods acts like the initial condition and also provides the boundary
condition. In the presented work, an O grid topology has been implemented for the differential solver
using successive-over-relaxation procedures as described in Knupp and Steinberg [3]. Also the
stretching functions as described in equations (8) and (9) have been simplified to use only two input
parameters based on the test function given by [3] and are listed in equations (10) and (11). $\eta_b$ is called
as the Radial Bias Factor and $Q_0$ is called the Radial Bias Intensity. Both these factors contribute to the
inflation layer control in the rotor meshes. System of equations (6) and (7) are solved over an initial grid
generated by TFI. The control parameters of relaxation factor and tolerance factor are used to control the
convergence of the SOR solver [3]. Finally the two O grids generated by the solution of the
differential solver are merged to produce a single domain mesh for the two rotors as seen in Figure 3 – Final PDE Mesh.

3.3 **Smoothing the rack curve time transition to improve boundaries**

The PDE Mesher described in Section 3.2 is used in a selective interlobe area bounded by the top and bottom cusp radial nodes of the mesh to convert the rack curve into a smooth transitioning curve across the specified number of angular positions of the rotor. This results into a gradually changing partition between the two O grids of the male and female rotors as shown in Figure 3.

![Conformal Distribution I → O Grid PDE Mesh → Interlobe PDE Mesh → Smooth Rack → Conformal Distribution II on Smooth Rack → Final PDE Mesh](image)

**Figure 3.** Four-step procedure for improving time transition of the rack curve.

This smooth rack is then supplied back to a second stage of boundary distribution calculation resulting in a new conformal distribution. New conformal distribution is further used as boundary condition for final differential mesh generation. As a result a significant improvement in the mesh quality is achieved.

![Original surface → Smooth surface](image)

**Figure 4.** Improvement in the 3D rack surface.

This four-step procedure is very quick and the time required for calculation of a representative 2D mesh with 5000 node count, across 50 rotor positions is less than 15 seconds. Figure 4 shows the smooth rack surface generated on the 3D mesh. The sharp sections observed with original surface (Initial algebraic mesh) are eliminated and a completely smooth surface deformation with time was achieved.

3.4 **Meshing parameters for PDE Mesh generation**

Meshing parameters listed in Table 1 are used to control the stretching functions of the Poisson system of the PDE mesh and SOR solver convergence. Smoothness of the conformal grid described in Section 3.3 also needs a control over the number of cells to smooth adjacent to the rack curve in the final 2D mesh. Using this control continuity can be achieved on the mesh lines and cell expansion factor can be improved by specifying a higher interlobe smoothing factor. Some examples of the outputs with different control factors are shown in Figure 5 (a-d). Figure 5a shows a grid generated by specifying a relaxation factor = 0. This produces a pure TFI based algebraic mesh with no smoothing and orthogonalisation.
Table 1. Meshing parameters – Differential Mesher

| Mesh Orthogonality and Smoothing Factor |
|----------------------------------------|
| Relaxation Factor | Relaxation factor controls mesh orthogonalisation and smoothing by relaxing the increment in each consecutive iteration. |
| Tolerance Factor | Convergence criteria for PDE solver. |

| Inflation Layer Control |
|-------------------------|
| Radial Bias Factor - $\eta_s$ | Factor is used to select boundary for bias. 1 – Rotor, 0 – Casing. |
| Radial Bias Intensity - $Q_0$ | Intensity of stretching the nodes towards the boundaries. |

| Conformal Mesh Control |
|------------------------|
| Interlome Smoothing Factor | Factor is used to smooth layers of mesh adjacent to the rack. |

![Figure 5](image1)

**Figure 5.** Response of the PDE meshing parameters in a representative 2D section.

Figure 5b is a grid generated by a high relaxation factor = 1. In combination with a higher tolerance factor makes the grid lines smooth and the rack transition becomes gradual. Radial Bias Factor is used to control the stretching of nodes towards the boundaries. In Figure 5c with a Radial Bias Intensity = 2 and a Radial Bias Factor = 0.5, the radial nodes are pulled towards the rotor and the casing. The value of $\eta_s$ can range from 0 to 1. 1 pulls nodes towards the rotor while 0 pulls the nodes towards casing. An intermediate value can thus be used to control the inflation layers in the rotor domain.

3.5 Improvement in grid quality

A few examples of improvement in grid quality by the differential grid generation are presented here.

![Figure 6](image2)

**Figure 6.** Comparison of grid and rack transition between Algebraic (a-d) and Elliptic (e-f) meshing.
Figure 6 shows a comparison of the grid and rack transition between algebraic and elliptic meshes. Figure 6a-d are algebraic meshes for four successive rotor positions and Figure 6e-h are the elliptic meshes for the respective rotor positions. Between position Figure 6b and c a sudden transition in the rack curve is noticeable. On the other hand, in the respective Figure 6f and g the rack node transition is gradually. Also Figure 6e and h highlight the characteristics of the elliptic mesh that the rack nodes in the earlier and next rotor positions were in smooth transition. Figure 6e-h also show that the interior cell structures have improved and radial mesh lines between the two rotor domains have continuity.

![Figure 6](image1)

Figure 6. Comparison of the grid and rack transition between algebraic and elliptic meshes.

Figure 7a and b present a comparison of the cell expansion factor. Figure 7a corresponds to Figure 6b and Figure 7b corresponds to Figure 6f which are the positions just before rack transitions in the algebraic mesh. A high cell expansion factor of about 20 is seen in the algebraic mesh in this rotor position. In case of elliptic mesh, due to rack smoothing, the cell expansion factor is less than 3.6

![Figure 7](image2)

Figure 7. Comparison of cell expansion factor between Algebraic (a) and Elliptic (b) meshing.

Figure 8 presents a comparison of the cell orthogonal quality between the algebraic meshes and the elliptic meshes. Figure 8(a-d) are algebraic meshes and Figure 8(e-h) are elliptic meshes in the respective rotor positions. The rotor positions are identical to the representations in Figure 6. It is seen that the minimum orthogonal angle in case of the algebraic meshes goes to about 8 degree after the rack curve transitions from position b to c. Many cells are in the range of 40 – 60 degree. Low orthogonality values are also seen in position d. In case of elliptic meshes, there is improvement in the overall orthogonality.

![Figure 8](image3)

Figure 8. Comparison of cell orthogonal quality between Algebraic (a-d) and Elliptic (e-f) meshing.
quality and also the minimum value is not below 25 degree. Most of the cells are in the range of 75 – 90 degree. One particular cell at the bottom cusp shows low orthogonality of about 15 degree in both the algebraic and the elliptic mesh. This is mainly due to the abrupt topology change that happens at the cusp point.

4 Application of Elliptic grid for oil injected twin screw compressor analysis

Flow in an oil flooded twin screw compressor with a combined axial and radial suction and an axial discharge port was calculated with the new elliptic PDE grid. The male rotor has four lobes and the female rotor has five lobes with ‘N’ profiles. The nominal interlobe, radial and axial leakage gaps are 60 µm. The operating speed of the machine is between 3000 rpm and 6000 rpm, discharge pressure can vary between 4.0 bar and 12.0 bar. A complete description of the model numerical setup can be found in Rane et al [11].

4.1 Oil distribution inside the compression chamber

The fluctuating nature of the oil injection was well captured by the CFD model. Figure 9 shows the distribution of oil and its interaction in the discharge port. Iso-surface of 10% oil volume fraction shows an accumulation of oil in the rotor tips and also high concentration on the discharge port walls. Similarly oil accumulates in the interlobe gaps and is transported forward by the rotors. The oil distribution pattern in the discharge port is highly unsteady but cyclically repeating.

4.2 Temperature distribution

Figure 10 shows the distribution of air temperature in the domain at 8.0bar discharge pressure, 6000rpm with an iso-surface of 10% oil volume fraction. With built-in $V_i$ of 3.6 for the machine, the adiabatic discharge temperature without oil injection would be about 500 K but due to leakages and recompression effects, the temperature rise would be much higher. Due the injected oil at 323 K, the gas temperature is substantially lowered and does not exceed 340 K. It can be seen that the local maximum temperature reaches to about 360 K. Also the gas temperature is not uniformly distributed. This non-uniformity is due to the non-homogeneous distribution of oil in the compression chamber.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Algebraic grid generation is widely used for discretization of the working chamber of twin screw machines. In order to improve the speed and robustness of the numerical solution of multiphase flows, a new technique which combines differential grid generation procedure with the existing algebraic grid generation is presented in this paper. The deforming grid of a twin screw machine is initially generated
using algebraic grid generation. This mesh is treated as the initial mesh upon which Elliptic PDE of the Poisson’s form is solved. The resulting grid has highly improved quality and distribution of computational cells. In addition, a special four-step procedure has been introduced that completely smoothens the transition of the algebraically generated partitioning rack curve between the two rotors thus smoothing the grid node movement in time and space. These grid features have improved the robustness of the solution in CFD solvers. Analysis of an oil injected twin screw compressor is presented in order to compare the improvements in the grid quality factors in the regions of importance such as interlode space, radial tip and core of the rotors. Significant improvement in the grid quality and robustness of numerical solver with higher order advection schemes has been obtained with this new deforming mesh. The results from the simulation provided an exceptional visualization of the interaction of gas and oil inside the compression chamber. The interaction of the phases, distribution of oil, heat transfer between gas and oil and also effects on sealing due to high oil concentration in leakage gaps were well captured.

Nomenclature

| PDE         | Partial Differential Equation | 𝑞̇ | Heat flux                        |
|-------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| ξ, η        | computational coordinate      | 𝑥, 𝑦, 𝑧 | Cartesian coordinates |
| 𝑟, θ        | polar coordinates of a point   | 𝑟   | radius vector                   |
| 𝑃(ξ, η)     | Control function for x stretching | 𝑄(ξ, η) | Control function for y stretching |
| 𝛼, 𝛽, 𝛾      | Coefficients of elliptic PDE   | J   | Transformation Jacobian Matrix  |
| 𝜂𝑟         | Radial bias factor            | 𝑄₀  | Radial bias intensity           |
| TFI         | Transfinite Interpolation     | SOR | Successive over-relaxation     |
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