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Abstract
The recent development of intense muon sources (Holmlid, Swedish Patent SE 539,684 C 2 (2017)) is crucial for the use of muon-catalyzed fusion reactors (L. Holmlid, Fusion Science and Technology 75, 208 (2019)) which are likely to be the first generation of practical fusion reactors. For this purpose, only negative muons are useful. For existing sources where negative muons can be ejected (if not formed) preferentially, it is necessary to know the amount of negative muons to determine and optimize the fusion reactor efficiency on-line. Here, a method is developed to measure the absolute muon flux and its average sign without collecting or deflecting the muons. The muons from the patented muon generator have an energy of 100 MeV and above and an intensity of $10^{13}$ muons per laser pulse. Here, the detection of the relativistic laser-induced muons from H(0) is reported with a standard particle beam method, using a wire coil on a ferrite toroid as detector for the relativistic particles. The coil detection method shows that these relativistic particles are charged, thus not photons, neutrinos or neutral kaons. This makes the coil method superior to scintillator methods and it is the only possible method due to the large muon intensity. If an equal number of positive and negative muons passed the coil, no signal would be observed. The signal at the coil in the case shown here is due to relativistic positive muons as concluded from a signal charge sign verification in the coil.
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Introduction
Muons are observed from pulsed laser impact on ultra-dense hydrogen H(0) [1–3] by plastic scintillators and solid converters, with the resulting electrons and positrons observed by photo-multipliers and MCA (multichannel analysis) energy spectral systems. H(0) (described in a review of 50 publications in ref. [4]) is here implied to mean both ultradense protium p(0) [5] and ultradense deuterium D(0) [4] and mixed forms pD(0). The (0) indicates the orbital angular momentum of the electrons in the material [4]. Linear Kurie plots show that the electrons observed are due to processes similar to beta decay or more likely due to lepton pair production [6, 7]. These studies have led...
to the realization of a feasible fusion reactor using muon-catalyzed fusion [8]. A muon source for this type of reactor is patented [9]. Most muon generating processes including the patented source give both positive and negative muons, while only negative muons are useful for muon-catalyzed fusion. Muon sources using high-energy particle impact give muons through pair production, thus with equal numbers of positive and negative muons. The patented source [9] can give both negative and positive muons under various conditions, and is thus a better starting point for developing muon sources with mainly negative muons needed for highly efficient muon-catalyzed reactors.

For the development of even more efficient muon-catalyzed fusion reactors, it is necessary to measure the absolute flux of the muons and their sign of charge in working fusion reactors, without destruction of the muons and to do this at the actual high muon intensities of $> 10^{13}$ per laser pulse [8]. The present contribution describes a coil method which can be used for this purpose, and gives the scientific background for its use.

The current due to muons is, besides the coil, also observed by metal collectors giving time-of-flight distributions with apparent particle energy of $10–500$ MeV u$^{-1}$ [10–12]. This muon signal decays after the laser pulse with a time constant corresponding to the life-time of the precursor mesons [13, 14]. Mesons and muons are detected as a result of the pulsed-laser impact. Mesons are detected in the same vacuum chamber [12] and muons are detected also outside the chamber at a few m distance [2]. Spontaneous ejection of muons is also observed from H(0) [15]. Ultra-dense hydrogen H(0) is well described as the third (shortest distance) type of matter [5, 16] based on the quantum mechanical radius of the electron $r_q = 0.192$ pm. The theoretical and experimental information describing H(0) is given in a recent review [4]. H(0) may be related to other Compton-scale materials that have been proposed to exist [17], but is otherwise a unique material, probably the most common material in the universe [18].

We have now applied a superior method to observe the relativistic charged particles ejected from H(0) at high intensity, namely an induction coil (current transformer) to directly observe the current of charged particles thus excluding all neutral particles like photons, neutrons, neutrinos and neutral kaons $K^0_L$ or $K^0_S$ as the relativistic signal. If positive and negative particles were emitted with equal probability, the signal in the coil would be zero. The coil method has been used previously for observing particle bunches in accelerators [19–21]. This method is here calibrated by direct current collection. This method also proves conclusively through current sign verification that the particle current is positive. No other method can do this with simultaneous identification of the precursor mesons at such high signal intensities. The nuclear processes giving the mesons and muons are already discussed elsewhere [3, 11–14].

Muon beams and muon generation are of interest at present for different experiments, mainly in large experiments like muon storage rings, muon colliders and muon cooling experiments (for example MICE) [22]. In muon spin spectroscopy ($\mu$SR, muon spin rotation), low-energy positive muons formed by decay of positive pions are implanted into materials [23]. For muon catalyzed fusion [24, 25], negative muons are needed [1, 2, 12]. A muon generator for this process is patented [9] and has been analyzed in detail [8]. The muon catalyzed fusion using this muon generator has been verified by neutron detection [26]. The properties of H(0) are of great importance for astrophysics and astronautics [18, 27, 28].
Background

The extensive information in the review paper [4] and in the 30 experimental studies on H(0) cannot be repeated here. Instead, the necessary background from these studies is summarized below. The properties of the nuclear particles involved are described in refs. [6, 7, 29, 30].

Ultra-dense hydrogen H(0) is characterized by electron orbital angular momentum \( l = 0 \).

It consists mainly of chain clusters (molecules) of the form \( \text{H}_2\text{N}(0) \). Several different spin states exist with \( s = 2 \) most commonly observed [4]. This H(0) state which is deposited on the laser target [31] in the present experiments has an H–H bond distance of 2.3 pm at \( s = 2 \). The laser pulse interacts with the loosely bound electrons in the two forms of hydrogen matter which have the largest typical dimensions [5, 16] and which are easily formed from H(0). This laser interaction brings small non-superfluid clusters \( \text{H}_3(0) \) and \( \text{H}_4(0) \) [32] into the \( s = 1 \) state at 0.56 pm interatomic distance [4]. At this distance, the nuclear processes are likely to take place within 1 ns, as in muon catalyzed fusion with a similar internuclear distance [25]. These nuclear processes [3, 11–14] form charged and neutral kaon pairs and charged pion pairs [33]. The short-lived neutral kaons decay within 1 ns mainly to pion pairs with high kinetic energy. The other kaon types decay much slower at 12.4 ns (charged) and 52 ns (long-lived neutral) decay time to various pions and muons. The muons have long decay time, at 2.20 µs at rest and relativistic velocity which means that they are the ones that move to the detection systems (coil, collectors) at 1–2 m distance (see further below).

Muon signal

Unfortunately, no selective method exists for detecting muons and none has been developed despite that muons have been known since 1936.

The requirements on the method for muon detection needed for the use as muon monitor in fusion reactors are several and quite difficult to satisfy simultaneously:

1) a largely linear response beyond \( 10^9 \) muons per laser pulse of 5 ns width thus beyond \( 2 \times 10^8 \) muons per ns,
2) a response to the sign of the muons since only the negative muons can give fusion,
3) a response largely independent of the kinetic energy of the muons. Different meson decay channels give muons with different energy, and all muons need to be thermalized before giving fusion in the reactor, so it is only the number of muons which is of interest,
4) the method should be non-destructive so the muon flux can be monitored without stopping or decreasing the rate of fusion.

All these requirements exclude any method based on ionization or excitation like scintillator methods. Only direct particle methods like measuring the current of the particles by a coil [19–21] as used here can cope with these requirements. The use of a coil in the beam is a standard method for measuring particle beams [21].

To prove that the experiments here demonstrate the behaviour of a bunch of muons, as is necessary for the evaluation of the coil function, requires slightly different
methods than for the flux monitoring. These methods will be summarized below. Of course, not all of these methods have been used simultaneously but they have all been used repeatedly in published studies with laser interaction at the H(0) source.

The most common method for fast particle detection employs plastic scintillators with detection of the photons from the particle-scintillator interaction in a photo-multiplier tube (PMT) or similar device. This method has the large problem that it is not selective for muons, and other fast charged particles like mesons (pions and kaons) and leptons (electrons and positrons) can give similar signals. Another important problem can be the timing with PMT delay and rise times which are often of the order of a few ns. This is longer than for direct current detection via metallic collectors or coils. The sign of the muon cannot be derived from such detection, and just a few muons can be detected within the time span of 5 ns due to pulse overlap and signal saturation. This is many orders of magnitude lower than the signal levels observed from the muon generators using H(0) [8].

The only published effort [2] to develop a selective detection method for muons is from our group, using metallic converters to give lepton pair production and using the MCA energy spectra for characterizing the lepton energy distributions. A few publications have used this method successfully [1, 2, 15]. It has thus been proved that muons are formed in the system studied here, from the laser interaction with ultradense hydrogen H(0).

A few other methods exist to identify a signal as due to muons. The most direct is to observe the decay of the muons and measure their decay life-time. This has been done in the system of interest here, with laser-induced nuclear processes in H(0). The decay life-time of the muons was directly measured by a pulse-counting PMT and MCS (multi-channel scaler) analysis, which gave good agreement with the best values for muons [3]. Thus, muons are formed in these experiments using H(0). They are formed by decay of several different mesons, notably charged and neutral kaons and charged pions [6, 7, 29, 30].

In the present experiment, the process giving the muons is further identified from the decay lifetime of their precursors, in this case from charged kaons with their typical decay time of 12.4 ns [6, 7, 29, 30]. This method has been used previously [12–14]. The signal observed at a collector or a coil is due to a final particle N from the decay of an intermediate particle M which is formed and decays like $A \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ with this process triggered by the laser pulse on H(0). The time dependence of the signal of N is easily derived from the rate equations for $A \rightarrow k_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow k_2 \rightarrow N$

$$\frac{dn_A}{dt} = k_1 n_A$$ (1)

$$\frac{dn_M}{dt} = k_1 n_A - k_2 n_M$$ (2)

$$\frac{dn_N}{dt} = k_2 n_M$$ (3)

as

$$n_N = \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_2 - k_1} n_{A0} \left( e^{-k_1 t} - e^{-k_2 t} \right)$$ (4)
where \( n_{A0} \) is the number density of the precursor A at time \( t=0 \) thus during the laser pulse. Equation (4) assumes that the initial number densities of M and N are zero. Here A is located at the target, M is a meson outside the target here a charged kaon, and N is the fast more longlived particle which can reach the coil or collector, thus a muon.

The decay spectrum of charged kaons is well known \([6, 7, 29, 30]\): 64% of the charged kaons decay to muons, 27% of the charged kaons give charged pions instead of muons, and such pions decay with a lifetime of 26 ns to muons. Some neutral pions are also formed which give gamma photons and no muons.

One further conclusive method for muon detection has also been used, by detecting neutrons from muon catalyzed fusion in \( \text{D}_2 \) gas \([26]\).

A more general particle method using magnetic deflection coupled to time-of-flight has also been used to study the meson and muon bunches \([12]\). This study shows that the particles have masses below 1 u (thus they are not protons) and much higher than electrons or positrons. No distinction could be made between light mesons and muons in this study since the flux at the deflecting magnets, close to the H(0) covered source, certainly could contain both charged kaons, charged pions and muons. That study further showed that many of the fast particles were neutral, thus presumably long-lived neutral kaons. This has been verified in further experiments with decay-time measurements \([33]\).

All these methods give the evidence that muons are formed, clearly from decay of mesons which are emitted from the laser interaction with ultradense hydrogen H(0). The decays of the various mesons have also been studied. The measurements show the correct decay-times \([33]\) for charged pions, charged kaons, and neutral long-lived kaons with a precision of better than 1%. Neutral pions and short-lived neutral kaons have too short lifetimes to be measured with high timing precision even if they can be observed to exist by other methods (to be published).

**Experimental**

The apparatus used has been described in several publications, for example in Ref. \([34]\). It has base pressure below \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) mbar with 1000 l s\(^{-1}\) diffusion pumping speed and is shown schematically in Fig. 1. The detector part is pumped separately. The central source part is described in Ref. \([31]\). The H(0) emitter is a cylindrical (extruded) sample of a porous iron oxide catalyst doped with K \([35, 36]\), a so called styrene catalyst (obsolete type Shell S-105). A styrene catalyst is an efficient hydrogen abstraction and transfer catalyst used in a large scale in the chemical industry. The emitter is mounted in the tight-fitting opening of a Pt tube. This metal tube is heated to < 400 K by a low-voltage AC current through its wall from end to end. Deuterium gas (99.8%) is admitted through the tube at a pressure up to \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \) mbar into the chamber. The laser target Ni foil is placed at 45º to the vertical direction and approximately 1 cm below the source tip. It has short Pt and Ir rods with 2 mm diameter spot-welded on it, to increase the catalytic effect for hydrogen splitting and to prevent the laser from boring through the target foil. This target is initially at room temperature.

A Nd:YAG laser with an energy of < 125 mJ in 5 ns long pulses at 10 Hz repetition rate is used at 532 nm (Gaussian profile). The laser beam is focused on the target at the
center of the chamber with an $f = 400$ mm spherical lens. This lens is mounted in a vertical motion translation stage in air. The intensity in the beam waist of (nominally) $70 \mu m$ diameter is relatively low, $\leq 10^{12}$ W cm$^{-2}$ as calculated for a Gaussian beam. The laser pulse is very clean with no disturbing intensity after the main pulse. This is certified by 10 years of studies using it for TOF–MS studies in easily photo-ionized alkali Rydberg Matter [37], where any such problems would have been clearly observed. The particle signal from the coil at 64 cm distance and from the collector at 163 cm distance from the target is alternately collected on a fast digital oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS 3032, 300 MHz, rise time 1.2 ns) using the same cable. The outer collector consists of 2 layers of $20 \mu m$ Al foil over a steel ring to minimize trapping of charged particles in a thick collector. This decreases the secondary electron emission and confusing particle decay in the collector. Its diameter is around 30 mm. The collector is at a negative bias voltage of -24 or -50 V by batteries in a shielded metal box (with coaxial connectors at both ends). In this way, secondary electrons from the collector are ejected promptly (they cannot return) and stray secondary electrons due to mesons, muons or gamma photons from other parts in the apparatus are rejected.

The measuring coil is wound on a N30 MnZn soft ferrite toroid with epoxy cover (EPCOS) with inner diameter 25 mm. There are 19 turns of enameled copper wire wound on it in the negative direction. It hangs freely on the conductor wires in the particle beam. One end is connected to the 50 $\Omega$ oscilloscope input, while the other end is connected to a 50 $\Omega$ termination to the flange on the grounded chamber. See Fig. 2. The coil used for detection of the relativistic particles from the laser—target interaction is a quite uncritical component [21]. This is the same construction which was used and analyzed in Ref. [38].

In the experiments, the signal rise time in the coil is observed to be $< 1$ ns. This is seen for example from Fig. 4 where the rise times at both the collector and the coil are 4 ns within 1 ns, thus with no longer risetime from the coil. The rise time at the collector is mainly due to the laser-pulse rise time of 3 ns and the rise time of the oscilloscope of 1.2 ns, together giving a pulse rise time of 3.2 ns or less than 4 ns as seen in Fig. 4. The rise time of the coil should be equal to $t_{\text{rise}} = \sqrt{L_s C_s}$ as given in Ref. [21], with the stray capacitance $C_s$ and the stray inductance $L_s$ due to the attached cable from the coil.
The RG58 cable used has approximately 0.24 μH/m and 100 pF/m. This gives a value of \((0.24 \times 10^{-16})^{0.5} = 0.5 \times 10^{-8}\) s = 5 ns with 1 m cable attached. However, this formula does not take into account the transmission cable with termination which will decrease the rise time strongly. So the observed rise time of 1 ns or less is valid. The other typical time for the coil, the droop time is equal to \(t_{\text{droop}} = L/R_L\) \cite{21}. With a coil inductance \(L\) of the order of 100 μH and the load resistance \(R_L\) at 100 Ω, the droop time becomes 1 μs thus safely much longer than the decay times of interest here. The measurements here are differential and eliminate common mode noise as described in Ref. \cite{21}. The signal subtraction procedure is clearly demonstrated in Fig. 3.

A pair of magnets (ferrite Y28 magnets with length 30 mm, width 10 mm and height 5 mm, remanence 400 mT) are mounted on an arm in the main chamber. See Fig. 1.
This pair of magnets can be moved in and out from the particle beam which passes to the detector part through the slit with a width of 0.8 mm. The field strength between the magnets where the beam passes was 100 mT. The magnets are used to deflect any electrons and positrons out from the beam which moves through the narrow slit to the detector chamber. The deflection for electrons with energy 5 MeV $(10 \text{ GeV}^{-1})$ and thus velocity $0.99c$ in this magnetic field is calculated to be 2 cm at the collector position, thus deflecting outside the collector area. Almost the same setup was used in Ref. [12], and deflections for relativistic mesons and muons were given in Figs. 8, 19 and 25 in that reference. A muon in the present experiment with energy 1 GeV $(10 \text{ GeV}^{-1})$ is only deflected 0.1 mm in comparison.

**Results and discussion**

The experiments are started by optimizing the intensity of the signal to the outer collector by changing the laser position on the target and by changing the target position along the laser beam. See Fig. 1. The signal to the collector and to the two ends of the coil are then measured. See Fig. 2. The magnet holder is flipped into the beam to ensure that the beam does not contain electrons or positrons. Since a signal from impinging penetrating particles like gamma photons into the coil and possibly also from an electric field from the plasma could possibly be observed at the coil, the difference between the signals from the two ends of the coil is determined. This means

---

**Fig. 3** Coil signal at the two ends here named left and right, with sum and difference signals. See text for analysis of the origin of the signals.
that with a true particle-induced current signal in the coil into the oscilloscope 50
Ω input as \( V_c \) and a signal to the coil by impinging particles and an electric field for
example due to the plasma as \( V_d \), the left-hand end of the coil gives \( V_L = V_c + V_d \), while
the right-hand end of the coil gives \( V_H = -V_c + V_d \). Thus, one finds the difference
\( V_L - V_H = 2V_c \). The left-hand end giving a positive signal with a positive current through
the coil is the "positive" signal from which the signal at the other end is subtracted.
The sum of the two signals is \( V_L + V_H = 2V_d \). Due to the total load of 25 Ω the factor
of 2 is directly compensated for. See Fig. 2. The sign of the current through the coil is
found from separate experiments in air employing a square-wave current with a few
ns rise time in a single conductor through the coil. The dependence of the winding
direction of the wire coil on the sign of the signal is thus determined experimentally.

In Fig. 3, the signals at the two ends of the coil are shown and their difference and
sum are found. The sum of the two signals has its first peak at longer time than the
difference signal. The sum is apparently mainly due to the plasma at the target. The
difference signal is always a smooth curve with a close to exponential decay, from now
on called the coil signal. This signal shows that a true particle current passes through
the coil. This signal disappears immediately when the valve to the coil-collector part
is closed or if the magnets in the holder block the beam, as does also the collector
signal. This proves directly that the signal is not caused by any electronic disturbance.
The charge of the particles is positive as described further below. Photons will not
give any signal current in the coil. If gamma photons create some kind of signal by
penetrating into the coil and ejecting electrons there, this will be part of the signal
\( V_d \) as described above, and their contribution will be removed by the difference pro-
cedure described. That the signals disappear when the valve to the detector part is
closed does not mean that the muons in the beam are stopped by the steel plate in the
valve but more likely that they are scattered out from the central beam by collisions
in the steel plate. A small loss in energy by these collisions will also delay the muons
to longer times on the oscilloscope screen so they will appear to be lost from the
signal. Another contributing factor to the signal disappearance may also be that the
beam signal at the valve is still mainly in the form of charged pions and kaons, which
will interact more strongly with the steel plate than what the muons do. Assuming a
velocity of 0.1 \( c \) of these slower particles means a few ns to reach the coil, thus much
shorter time than their decay time of \( > 12 \text{ ns} \). The stronger interaction with a valve
or beam flag of the mesons relative to the muons they produce has been observed in
other experiments previously [3].

In Fig. 4, the signals measured simultaneously at the coil and the collector are com-
pared. The time difference of the signal rises at the coil and the collector is only 2 ns,
and it is removed in the lower plot by shifting the time zero by 2 ns. This brings the two
signal curves into quite good agreement, with some slower signal observed at the collector.
Thus, the particles are relativistic, moving 1 m distance in a few ns, thus with velocity
close to \( c \). Photons do not give any signal in the coil. With a metal collector instead
of the coil at the position of the coil, the difference in time between the two collector
signals is always 3–4 ns. The smaller 2 ns shift observed here indicates that the coil signal
is delayed 1–2 ns relative to the collector signal. This is found repeatedly also in another
experimental set-up with the same type of coil detector.
In Fig. 5, the coil and collector signals are interpreted as due to an intermediate meson \( M \), here a kaon or pion in a decay chain \( A \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \) [13, 14, 39]. A more detailed derivation of the signal time variation is given in the Muon signal section. \( A \) is a precursor entity for example a small \( H(0) \) cluster. \( N \) is thus the almost stable long-lived type of particle (in this case a muon) giving the observed signals at the coil and collectors. The rate constants are \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) for the two reaction steps. The number density of \( M \) mesons is given by [13, 14]

\[
n_M = \frac{k_1}{k_2 - k_1} n_{A0} \left( e^{-k_1 t} - e^{-k_2 t} \right)
\]  

(5)

where \( n_{A0} \) is the number density of the precursor \( A \) at time \( t=0 \) thus during the laser pulse. The corresponding decay time constants \( \tau = 1/k \) are used below. It is observed that the decay time constant \( \tau_2 \) of 12 ns in Fig. 5 agrees with that for charged kaons, as found previously using two collectors in line [13]. In many experiments also a contribution from charged pion decay is observed. This is also observed in other experiments of the present type. The longer decay observed in the tail of the collector signal in Fig. 5

---

**Fig. 4** Relativistic particle signal at both the coil and the collector. Note the two vertical scales, with collector signal to the right. In the bottom panel, the signal at the collector is displaced 2 ns earlier in time.
corresponds to relativistic dilation of the charged pion decay time of 26 ns. The velocity of these pions is close to 0.75c \[c\] [33].

The present experiments show relativistic positive muons in the beam flux from the source. High precision decay time constants for the mesons have been published previously, see refs. [12, 33, 38].

The signal at the collector directly gives the peak of the signal distribution, of the order of 1 mA from the input resistance of 50 Ω. Thus this current gives a calibration of the peak signal in the coil at 0.03—0.05 V mA\(^{-1}\). This is similar to published results for coil detection of electron pulses in electron accelerators [19, 20]. This shows that the pulse transformer i.e. the coil is working correctly. Since the signals at the collector and the

![Graph showing meson decay interpretation of coil and collector signals. Signals are plotted on linear and logarithmic vertical scales. Calculated curves from Eq. (5) are in red with time constants shown. The decay time constants used are for decay of the intermediate kaons and pions. In the bottom panel, two calculated curves are included in the log plot. The values given in this panel are for the adjacent curve. The formation time constant \(\tau_1\) is likely caused by the laser pulse width of 5 ns. The delays are given relative to the photodiode trigger from the laser pulse. The time constant of 39 ns is a dilated pion decay for pions formed by kaon decay, moving at a relativistic velocity of approximately 0.75c. See further Ref. [33] for details on the velocity measurements.](image)
coil are similar in width as shown in Fig. 4, no large extra signal contribution exists at the collector.

The derivation of the signal time variation in the Muon signal section describes the observed signal well. The first point to understand from the results is of course that the signal decaying with a certain time constant is not the signal created at the collector or coil by the decaying type of particle, but created at the coil or collector by a particle formed by the decaying particle. Any other interpretation is of course impossible. After kaons and pions decay to muons, the longer-living muons give the signal observed at the coil and collector and this signal decreases in time since the number of decaying pions at the target decreases with their characteristic decay time.

The decaying current observed in the same way by the coil and the collector is due to decaying particles which are formed with relatively low velocity in the target region. If the particles detected were decaying in the beam, they could not be observed as decaying at both the coil and at the collector. Thus, the particles moving in the beam are relatively long-lived and are formed by decay from other particles with decay times of 12 and 26 ns as observed in the matching of the results in Fig. 5 and in previous studies [12–14]. Muons from pions and kaons are thus the final particles observed by the coil and the collectors. The direct measurement by the coil shows that the current here is positive. In similar coil experiments at higher hydrogen pressure with p(0), the signal is normally negative and concluded to be due to negative muons. This agrees with the results on muon decay from H(0) [3].

The expected muon energies can be estimated [7, 30]. The kaons formed initially at the target often have relatively low kinetic energy [3, 11–14]. The main decay channel for the charged kaons, exemplified as $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu$, gives up to 388 MeV to the muon. Such muons, which are related to the observed decay time of 12 ns, thus have high energy and a velocity up to 0.98 $c$. If the pions formed initially are relatively slow, the muons formed by pion decay have up to 34 MeV kinetic energy, or a velocity of up to 0.65 $c$. With fast initial precursor pions, the muon energy related to the 26 ns decay time will be even higher. Thus, relativistic muons are expected.

The particles in the beam formed by the decay of kaons and pions in the experiments are muons [3, 6, 7, 29, 30]. Of course, the muons further decay to electrons and positrons, but that happens mainly outside the apparatus used. The lifetime of relatively slow such particles from meson decay in the flux from H(0) has been measured in a previous publication [3] and agrees with the known muon lifetime. These positive muons had been slowed down by numerous collisions in solid matter. Here, the possibility that the direct relativistic signal from the meson decay is due to photons or other neutral particles like neutral kaons or neutrinos is disproved directly by the use of a coil to verify that the particles are charged and indeed positive in this case. The coil method used here is superior to other muon detection methods in several respects. That the sign of the particles can be observed is of course crucial for the use as muon monitor. It should be noted that no signal will be observed by the coil if equal numbers of positive and negative particles pass through it simultaneously.

Finally, it may be of interest to estimate the number of particles ejected from the target. The signal peak in Fig. 4 to the collector at 163 cm distance from the target contains of the order of $3 \times 10^7$ charges per laser shot. Assuming that each muon ejects just one
electron from the collector and that each meson gives one muon, this gives a total number of ejected mesons at the target of the order of $10^{12}$ per laser shot, using the small opening angle of the collector.

This number should be increased due to the angular restrictions imposed by the 0.8 mm slit, but this cannot be done accurately since the laser position on the target relative to the slit is not known with a precision better than 0.1 mm. The assumption that the ejection from the target is isotropic is based on the likely random directions of the decays of the mesons and the relativistic velocity of the muons. The value of $10^{12}$ muons formed per laser shot is slightly lower than previous estimates of $10^{13}$ [8] at optimum conditions, probably due to the partial interception by the narrow slit in the present experiments.
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