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Infectious complications of rituximab therapy in renal disease
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Abstract

Rituximab, an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, was originally used to treat B-cell malignancies. Its use has significantly increased in recent years, as it is also now used to treat a variety of autoimmune diseases including rheumatoid arthritis and ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV). Initial studies suggested that the adverse effects of rituximab were minimal. Though the risk of malignancy with rituximab-based immunosuppressive regimens appears similar to that of the general population, there are now concerns regarding the risk of infectious complications. Rituximab has been associated with serious infections, including Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia (PJP) and the reactivation of hepatitis B virus (HBV) and tuberculosis (TB). The risk of infection appears to be the result of a variety of mechanisms, including prolonged B-cell depletion, B-cell–T-cell crosstalk, panhypogammaglobulinaemia, late-onset neutropenia and blunting of the immune response after vaccination. Importantly, the risk of infectious complications is also related to individual patient characteristics and the indication for rituximab. Individualization of treatment is, therefore, crucial. Particular attention should be given to strategies to minimize the risk of infectious complications, including vaccinating against bacterial and viral pathogens, monitoring white cell count and immunoglobulin levels, prophylaxis against PJP and screening for HBV and TB.
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Introduction

Rituximab is a chimeric anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody that was the first monoclonal antibody approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [1]. Initially approved to treat cancer, specifically B-cell malignancies, rituximab’s use in clinical practice has significantly increased in recent years [1]. It is now used to treat a variety of autoimmune diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (MPGN), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV) [2–4].

As a precursor of antibody-secreting plasma cells, B-cells have an important role in the pathogenesis of antibody-mediated autoimmune disease [5]. They generate cytokines, activate T cells and can act as antigen-presenting cells [5]. The CD20 receptor is found on the cell surface of B-cells at their pre-B-cell stage [6]. It is not expressed on other tissues and does not appear on B-cells after their differentiation into plasma cells [5]. Rituximab targets the CD20 receptor leading to B-cell depletion through complement-mediated cytotoxicity, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and B-cell apoptosis [6].
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Susceptibility to infection with rituximab therapy

Initial studies suggested that the adverse effects of rituximab therapy were minimal and predominantly limited to ‘tolerable’ reactions to the initial infusion [1]. However, we now know that treatment with rituximab is not without risk [7]. Though the risk of malignancy with rituximab-based immunosuppressive regimens appears similar to that of the general population, there are concerns regarding the risk of serious infections [8–10]. In this issue, Trivin et al [11] discussed the risk of infections associated with rituximab in different autoimmune disorders. This risk appears to be the result of a variety of mechanisms, including prolonged B-cell depletion, B-cell–T-cell crosstalk, panhypogammaglobulinaemia, late-onset neutropenia and blunting of the immune response after vaccination.

B-cell reconstitution is variable after administration of rituximab. However, B-cells usually return to pretreatment levels within 12 months of the initial treatment [9]. Rarely, B-cell depletion can persist beyond this [12, 13]. Despite mature plasma cells lacking the CD20 receptor, panhypogammaglobulinaemia occurs relatively frequently during this period, though severe panhypogammaglobulinaemia associated with infection is less common [5]. Besada et al. [14] published a study in 2013 reporting that 37% of patients receiving maintenance rituximab for granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) had to discontinue rituximab largely due to hypogammaglobulinaemia. Patients that suffered severe infections were noted to have a more profound decline in total immunoglobulins during treatment [14]. Moreover, lower levels of immunoglobulins increased the risk of chronic infection [14]. In 2016, Besada [15] demonstrated that low baseline levels of immunoglobulin G (IgG) were associated with hypogammaglobulinaemia during treatment. These studies highlight the importance of assessing immunoglobulin levels prior to and during treatment with rituximab.

Late-onset neutropenia, defined as neutropenia occurring at least 4 weeks after administration of rituximab, has been reported to occur with variable frequency [16, 17]. Tesfa et al. [16] reported neutropenia in 23% of patients with GPA and 20% of patients with SLE treated with rituximab, whereas it occurred in only 3% of RA patients. Late-onset neutropenia was associated with more severe B-cell depletion and an increased risk of severe infections [16]. A later study published by Salmon et al. [17] reported only 1.3% of patients with RA and 2.3% of patients with other autoimmune disease developed late-onset neutropenia. Salmon et al. [17] also found a much lower rate of infectious complications associated with neutropenia. Thus, late-onset neutropenia after rituximab administration may not be as significant a risk factor for infection as hypogammaglobulinaemia. The mechanism of this neutropenia remains unclear and it may be underdiagnosed, as most patients are asymptomatic.

As mentioned earlier, there is a blunted immune response after vaccination in those that have received rituximab [18, 19]. Bingham et al. [18] demonstrated that patients treated with rituximab have decreased responses to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccines and to neontantrin vaccines. Furthermore, Heusele et al. [8] reported that pneumococcal vaccination prior to treatment significantly decreased the risk of serious bacterial infections. Accordingly, current guidelines emphasize the importance of patients receiving vaccinations prior to rituximab therapy to minimize the infection risk during their treatment course [20, 21].

Several other factors appear to contribute to the risk of developing severe infections with rituximab treatment. In this issue, Trivin et al. describe diabetes mellitus, azathioprine use, renal impairment and higher total dose of rituximab as being associated with an increased risk of infection during rituximab therapy. Heusele et al. [8] also reported diabetes mellitus and renal impairment as being associated with infectious complications. In addition, they noted that those that suffered serious infections were significantly older and were more likely to have been receiving a prednisolone dose >15 mg/day.

It is important to note that there are patient- and disease-related factors that have an impact on infection risk, in addition to the risk caused by immunosuppression treatment alone. All the following influence the risk of infections associated with rituximab: the presence of underlying malignancy such as a lymphoproliferative disorder, complement dysregulation or leucopenia in SLE, damaged respiratory mucosal barrier in patients with GPA, urinary losses of immunoglobulins in the nephrotic state and even patient age (consider the younger lupus patient versus the older AAV patient). Indeed, when used in patients with RA, rituximab was associated with the same risk of severe infections as that of a placebo, yet the addition of rituximab to chemotherapy in patients with lymphoma increased the risk of fatal infections by 45% [22–25]. These influences make it difficult to attribute the risk of infections entirely to rituximab.

Rituximab therapy and its use in renal disease

Rituximab is increasingly used to treat a wide range of renal diseases, including AAV, idiopathic membranous nephropathy, MPGN, lupus nephritis, minimal change disease (MCD), focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) and antibody-mediated renal transplant rejection and as part of desensitizing regimens enabling ABO-/human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-incompatible kidney transplantation.

Cyclophosphamide remains the first-line treatment for life-threatening organ involvement in AAV. Rituximab is indicated in relapsing AAV, in disease resistant to cyclophosphamide or when cyclophosphamide is contraindicated, as is the case with urethral malignancy or in patients of childbearing age. In the UK, ~40% of patients with AAV will receive rituximab at some point in their disease course. This is equivalent to ~800 patients every year. Based on the Rituximab for the Treatment of Wegener’s Granulomatosis and Microscopic Polyangiitis (RAVE) trial, it is reasonable to conclude that rituximab is at least as safe as cyclophosphamide in the treatment of AAV and possibly more effective than cyclophosphamide in patients with relapsing disease [4].

Rituximab has been used to treat a variety of other glomerulonephritides. Reports of the adverse event rate following rituximab administration in idiopathic membranous nephropathy, lupus nephritis, MCD and FSGS are all encouraging. Ruggenenti et al. [26] described no treatment-related serious adverse events when treating 100 cases of idiopathic membranous nephropathy with rituximab. Similarly, Cravedi et al. [27] observed no infectious complications after rituximab administration in a smaller cohort of patients with resistant idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Rovin et al. [28] reported an increased frequency of neutropenia and leucopenia in a group of patients with lupus nephritis treated with rituximab. However, overall rates of serious adverse events, including infections, were similar in the rituximab and non-rituximab-treated groups. Terrier et al. [29] described severe infections in 9% of patients treated with rituximab for SLE, mostly occurring in the first 3 months. Finally, a recent systematic review of rituximab therapy for frequently relapsing/steroid-dependent MCD and FSGS also described rituximab as being well tolerated [30]. The infection...
rate appears to be higher in those with cryoglobulinaemia treated with rituximab. Terrier et al. [29] reported that 26% of those with non-viral cryoglobulinaemia treated with rituximab suffered severe infections. Infections occurred more often in those >70 years of age with essential type 2 mixed cryoglobulinaemia and renal impairment (eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²) who were also receiving high-dose steroids. Additional studies are needed to determine rituximab’s safety profile in this patient group.

Schachtner et al. [31] reported that ABO-incompatible kidney transplant recipients with prior treatment with rituximab were more likely to develop cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection, BK virus–associated nephropathy and severe sepsis. Furthermore, ABO-incompatible kidney transplant recipients who had a poor HLA mismatch showed the highest rates of infection. Kamar et al. [32] found that more transplant recipients died as a consequence of an infectious disease when treated with rituximab (0.9% versus 1.5%). The predictive factors for death due to infection included the combined use of rituximab and antithymocyte globulin (ATG), age and bacterial and fungal infections [32]. However, Scemla et al. [33] and Kahwaji et al. [34] reported that rituximab treatment did not increase the risk of infections in highly sensitized renal transplant recipients. Further research is required to more clearly establish the infection risk of rituximab use in kidney transplantation.

**Significant infections associated with rituximab therapy**

Most infections encountered during rituximab treatment are secondary to bacterial pathogens. In this issue, Trivin et al. report that 79% of all infections in a cohort of patients receiving rituximab for glomerular disease were of bacterial origin. Pneumonia was the most commonly reported infection. Heusele et al [8] reported that more than half the patients that suffered a serious bacterial infection in their study had a history of a 48-h hospitalization in the last 90 days. Hospital-acquired infection may thus be an important cause of bacterial infection in this patient population.

Although rituximab predominantly depletes B-cells, rituximab is effective for conditions in which the evidence for the role of B-cells in pathogenesis is limited, including AAV, MCD and FSGS [30, 35]. Indeed, evidence suggests that B-cell–T-cell crosstalk is interrupted by rituximab and thus it can increase the risk of viral and fungal infections. Literature is limited in this regard.

The FDA issued a warning in 2013 that patients receiving treatment with rituximab have an increased risk of hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation [36]. They recommended that all patients should be screened for HBV prior to commencing treatment with rituximab [36]. The American Gastroenterological Association subsequently published a review of HBV reactivation with immunosuppressive therapy in 2015 [37]. They concluded that rituximab poses a high risk for HBV reactivation compared with other immunosuppressive agents [37]. However, this opinion was based primarily on reports of HBV reactivation in patients with haematological malignancies who received rituximab-based treatment regimens [37]. The risk of reactivation of HBV has been reported to be lower for patients receiving rituximab treatment for autoimmune conditions than those receiving rituximab for haematological conditions [38]. This may be a result of the differing adjunctive agents used in the management of these diseases.

Jain et al. [39] showed that the use of rituximab for stem cell transplantation was not associated with an increased risk of CMV reactivation. However, in a small cohort of AAV patients in the Rituximab versus Cyclophosphamide in ANCA-associated Vasculitis (RITUXIVAS) trial there were two cases of CMV infections [40]. In a large group of SLE patients, rituximab use was associated with a significantly increased incidence of herpes virus infection compared with placebo [41]. In patients with RA, the risk of fungal infections with rituximab appears to be very low; there were just seven cases reported in a study encompassing a sizeable 14 000 patient-year period [42]. The addition of rituximab to chemotherapy in lymphoma patients significantly increases the risk of Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia (PJP) infections [43]. In the RAVE trial, opportunistic infections were not reported in the rituximab arm but three fatal cases were seen in the cyclophosphamide arm [4]. Elsegeiny et al. [44] very elegantly demonstrate the effect of rituximab alone on T lymphocyte cytokines, as well as the role of T-lymphocytes in the development of PJP infection. We do not see this effect in the RA population, highlighting that patient and disease factors are important in determining the risk of infection with rituximab treatment. Nonetheless, even in lymphoma patients, PJP prophylaxis is highly effective in preventing infection [43]. As the literature regarding the infection risk of individual rheumatic and renal conditions treated with rituximab is limited, one can postulate that the infection risk for AAV patients is intermediate between that of RA and lymphoma. Although reactivation of tuberculosis (TB) is common with tumour necrosis factor blockade, it is atypical mycobacterial infections that are reported with rituximab [45, 46]. In fact, rituximab has been successfully administered to RA patients with latent TB [45]. Other opportunistic infections such as cryptococcal meningitis are limited to individual case reports [47]. The overall risk of opportunistic infections with rituximab appears to be as low as 0.05 cases/patient-year, at least in the RA population [42].

Progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy (PML) is a rare demyelinating disease of the human brain that results from lytic infection of oligodendrocytes caused by the reactivation of JC polyomavirus. It presents as progressive motor symptoms, cortical blindness, quadriparesis, coma and death. By the year 2011, the World Health Organization (WHO) collaborating centre for International Drug Monitoring Adverse Events Data Bank retrieved 182 cases of PML associated with the use of monoclonal antibodies, of which 114 cases were associated with the use of rituximab [48]. Although the majority of these cases were seen in haematological malignancies with confounding effects of other immunosuppressive drugs, there were six cases of RA [48]. As these numbers were more frequent than expected, risk mitigation strategies have been proposed. Carson et al. [49] described 57 patients with PML following rituximab treatment for a variety of conditions. The majority of patients had lymphoproliferative disorders. The other conditions included SLE, RA, idiopathic autoimmune pancytopenia and immune thrombocytopenia. They concluded that rituximab administration may increase the risk of developing PML but absolute risks are probably low. Certainly patients need to be warned about this very rare but fatal complication.

**Minimizing the risk of infections during rituximab therapy**

It is almost 20 years since the introduction of rituximab and undoubtedly the last decade has seen increased use of...
rituximab for a variety of indications. More information about adverse events is evolving. While it is certain that the use of rituximab will continue to increase, attention must be paid to reduce the risk of complications. We have a better understanding of the use of rituximab and the accompanying infectious risks for malignancies than we do for autoimmune disorders. In conditions such as RA, where the use of rituximab is more common, the evidence base is much stronger than it is for the use of rituximab for rarer conditions like MPGN, AAV and SLE [50]. However, rituximab appears to be much safer than other biologics used in rheumatic disease [51]. It is undeniable that the risk of infections cannot be entirely attributed to rituximab, and disease and patient factors are crucial as can be seen in the RA and lymphoma populations. National registries need to be created to collect information on the infectious complications associated with the use of rituximab in rare conditions. Until this information is available, a pragmatic approach should be taken to minimize the infection risk.

As rituximab starts binding to B-cells within hours of infusion, every opportunity should be taken to vaccinate patients for bacterial and viral antigens, including pneumococcus, influenza A and B and haemophilus influenza B (in combination with meningitis C), at least 4 weeks before the first dose of rituximab. Ideally, patients should also have completed a course of HBV vaccination prior to receiving rituximab, though in practice this can be challenging given the time period required for HBV vaccination. Although response to vaccination can be blunted after rituximab treatment, vaccination still offers partial protection [18]. Therefore, vaccination should be considered even after a patient has received rituximab therapy, although it should be delayed by 5–6 months [18]. Generally speaking, live vaccines such as the shingles vaccine are contraindicated in immunosuppressed patients; accidental administration has been described without adverse effects but cannot be recommended.

Panhypogammaglobulinaemia is a well-recognized effect of rituximab treatment, although the reduction in pathological autoantibodies seems to be far more marked than the decrease in overall antibody levels [52]. Immunoglobulin levels <400 mg/dL are associated with an increased risk of infections; therefore, baseline IgG levels should be checked prior to rituximab treatment [52]. If possible, other treatment strategies should be adopted in patients with hypogammaglobulinaemia. Currently there is no evidence that panhypogammaglobulinaemia is related to cumulative rituximab dose or that it is sustained. Prior exposure to cyclophosphamide may increase the risk of panhypogammaglobulinaemia. Less than 5% of patients may require intravenous immunoglobulin replacement to reduce infection risk [53].

PJP prophylaxis has clearly been shown to be effective in the lymphoma population and is recommended [54]. Patients considered high risk for reactivation of TB can be considered for chemoprophylaxis. Patients should also be screened for HBV [37]. Prophylaxis with lamivudine or entacavir is effective in preventing reactivation [37]. Entacavir is recommended in patients with HBV DNA positivity [37]. Figure 1 illustrates an approach to minimizing HBV reactivation in patients receiving rituximab.

The safety of rituximab in children is yet to be established, but several case reports and series have been published [55]. Rituximab is contraindicated during pregnancy and contraception advice is mandatory. Chakravarty et al. [56] reported the outcomes of 231 pregnancies associated with maternal use of rituximab. The results were confounded by the use of other concomitant teratogenic drugs. There were several cases of spontaneous abortions, premature births, stillbirths and infections and there were two cases of congenital malformation.

As evidence suggests that certain risk factors, such as age, comorbidities and prior exposure to and concomitant use of other immunosuppressive drugs, are associated with an increased risk of infections, particular attention should be given
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**Fig. 1.** Algorithm for minimizing the risk of hepatitis B virus reactivation in patients receiving rituximab. PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

**Table 1. Practice points for minimizing the risk of infectious complications during rituximab therapy**

| Practice points |  |
|----------------|---|
| 1. Consider patient-specific risk factors prior to treatment: | |
| • Age | |
| • Indication for rituximab use | |
| • Comorbidities | |
| • Prior exposure to and concomitant use of other immunosuppression | |
| 2. Screen for HBV prior to treatment | |
| • Prophylaxis for those with positive HBV serology (as per algorithm) | |
| 3. Assess risk of TB reactivation and need for chemoprophylaxis: | |
| • Previous TB disease or exposure to TB | |
| • Interferon-gamma release assay, i.e. QuantiFERON | |
| • Chest X-ray abnormalities | |
| 4. If possible, vaccinate for bacterial and viral pathogens, including: | |
| • Pneumococcus | |
| • Influenza A and B | |
| • Haemophilus influenza B | |
| • Meningitis C | |
| • HIV | |
| 5. Prescribe prophylaxis for Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia | |
| 6. Contraceptive advice for both men and women | |
| 7. Monitor full blood count and immunoglobulins prior to and during treatment | |
| • Consider intravenous immunoglobulins if evidence of hypogammaglobulinaemia (<400 mg/dL) complicating recurrent infections | |
| 8. Remain vigilant for signs and symptoms suggestive of infection during treatment course | |
to strategies to minimize modifiable risks. However, in some individuals it is not possible to modify risk. Therefore, the decision to treat with rituximab is only made provided the benefits of treatment outweigh these risks. Table 1 summarizes our management strategy for minimizing the risk of infectious complications during rituximab therapy.

**Conclusion**

Rituximab is highly effective in a variety of autoimmune disorders and has an established role in RA and AAV. However, the adverse effects are far from limited to the initially described ‘tolerable’ infusion reactions [1]. The risk of complications depends upon both the patient characteristics and the indication for rituximab use. Individualization of treatment is therefore important. An unanswered question is whether data published about rituximab can be extrapolated for other, newer B-cell-targeted therapies.
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