Fine-tuning recA expression in Staphylococcus aureus for antimicrobial photoinactivation: importance of photo-induced DNA damage in the photoinactivation mechanism
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Abstract  Bacterial cell envelope is generally accepted as the primary target for a photo-induced oxidative stress. It is plausible that DNA damage occurs during the antimicrobial photoinactivation. Here we investigate the correlation between DNA damage and photoinactivation by evaluating the level of RecA-based DNA repair system in Staphylococcus aureus. By using exogenous photosensitizers (new methylene blue (NMB), toluidine blue O (TBO), 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin tetra(p-toluenesulfonate) (TMPyP), zinc phthalocyanine (ZnPc), Rose Bengal (RB)) and ALA-induced endogenous porphyrin-dependent blue light (405 nm), several outcomes were observed: (i) an increase of DNA damage (from gel electrophoresis in DNA damage assay), (ii) an increase of recA expression (luminescence assay in recA-lux strain), and (iii) an increase of RecA protein level (Western blotting). When recA expression was repressed by novobiocin, or abolished by deleting the gene, S. aureus susceptibility towards photoinactivation was increased at approximately a hundred-fold. The absence of RecA increases DNA damage to yield bactericidal effect. In novobiocin-resistant mutant (gyrB), as opposed to wild type, neither RecA protein level nor cell’s susceptibility was affected by photoinactivation (when novobiocin is present). This is to suggest that GyrB-dependent inhibition mediated recA repression. Therefore, we have established the role of RecA in DNA damage during photoinactivation. With the use of rifampicin mutation frequency and Ames tests, we demonstrated that photoinactivation did not increase S. aureus mutagenesis and potentially is not mutagenic toward eukaryotic cells. The results suggest that the treatment is considered safe. In conclusion, we provide an evidence that recA inhibitor may serve as therapeutic adjuvant for antimicrobial photoinactivation. Clinical relevance of our findings warrants further investigations.
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Introduction

Photoinactivation is one of the twentieth century’s antimicrobial discovery strategies against antibiotic-resistant pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, by using three principle elements: photosensitizer (PS), visible light, and oxygen. In the presence of molecular oxygen and visible light, PS leads to the irreversible damage of various cell components (cell envelopes, lipids, proteins, and DNA) (Griott 2001). With unspecified molecular targets, it is unlikely for bacteria to develop resistance against photodynamic processes. This approach is also called photodynamic inactivation (PDI), or antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT), and is being considered as a promising alternative for the treatment of localized infections (Yin et al. 2013). Several chemical classes of PSs that are evaluated against antimicrobial resistant bacteria upon illumination are (i) phenothiazines (new methylene blue, NMB; toluidine blue O, TBO), (ii) tetrapyrrolic macrocycles such as porphyrins (5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methyl-4-pyridimino)porphyrin tetrap(5-toluenesulfonate), TMPyP), (iii) phthalocyanines (zinc phthalocyanine, ZnPc), (iv) xanthene dyes (Rose Bengal, RB), and (v) fullerenes (Kasimova et al. 2014; Quiroga et al. 2012; Mantareva et al. 2011; Kato et al. 2012; Grinholc et al. 2015). However, PDI can also be done using only blue light treatment with wavelengths of 405 or 470 nm in the presence of endogenous PS (Maclean et al. 2014; Rossi et al. 2012). PDI is a result of electron transfer, or energy transfer, from the light to its surroundings. During illumination, the PS in the ground state absorbs a photon and reaches an excited singlet state. Subsequently, during the course of losing energy, it returns to the ground state or can be converted into an excited triplet state. In this state, energy can be transferred to the surrounding substrates (type I) or to ground state molecular oxygen (type II), depending on the reaction type. Type I and type II reactions produce reactive oxygen species and excited singlet state oxygen, respectively. Both forms of reactive oxygen can cause two major cellular damages: the destruction of cell membranes and organelles and DNA damage (Foote 1991; Alves et al. 2014). The cell is protected by DNA repair systems; therefore, DNA damage may not be the main cause of cell death. The promising feature of PDI is to combat *S. aureus*, which may be improved by controlling DNA repair system, i.e., eliminating or inhibiting the expression of recA.

It is generally accepted that membrane proteins and other constituents of cell envelopes are major targets of photodynamic oxidation, even though some sensitizers can bind to DNA (Alves et al. 2014). Moreover, the photocleavage of bacterial DNA is considered to occur only when cells are largely photoinactivated or are no longer viable (Alves et al. 2014). If it was the case, the inhibition of the bacterial DNA repair system should not increase the photoinactivation efficacy. Current study shows that the bactericidal effectiveness of photoinactivation can be enhanced in the recA-negative strain or using an agent that downregulates recA, such as the aminocoumarin antibiotic agent novobiocin.

DNA damage triggers the SOS response, which is an inducible pathway for DNA repair. Two key proteins are involved in the SOS response: LexA (repressor) and RecA (inducer). In the absence of DNA damage, LexA binds to DNA to repress the transcription of several genes that are involved in DNA repair and cell division (16 genes in the case of *S. aureus*), including lexA and recA (Cirz et al. 2007). In response to DNA damage, the coprotease function of RecA is activated by binding to single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and the formation of a nucleoprotein filament. RecA promotes the self-cleavage of LexA, leading to the derepression of SOS genes (Butala et al. 2009).

In previous reports, the recA expression was known to be activated by several classes of antibiotics, including fluoroquinolones, such as ciprofloxacin and inhibited by aminocoumarins (i.e., novobiocin) (Mesak et al. 2008; Schroder et al. 2013). In this study, the importance of DNA repair system in PDI was analyzed using (i) *S. aureus* HG001 recA and HG001 lexAG94E (Schroder et al. 2013), (ii) novobiocin-resistant *S. aureus* HG001 nov142 (Schroder et al. 2014), and (iii) *S. aureus* promotor-lux constructs (recA-lux and lexA-lux) (Mesak et al. 2008). The use of these strains has supported the contribution of DNA damage to the efficacy of PDI.

In the present work, we explored whether DNA damage occurs during the PDI process and inhibition of the RecA-dependent DNA repair system could increase the treatment efficacy. We found out that photodynamic treatment elevated the expression of recA and RecA. Moreover, inactivation of recA reduced the viability of *S. aureus* following photodynamic treatment. Since photo-generated oxidative stress and the resultant DNA damage could induce bacterial mutagenesis (Kohanski et al. 2010), we also explored the mutagenic activity and impact of photodynamic treatment on *S. aureus* mutagenesis.

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The *S. aureus* strains were grown in brain-heart infusion broth (BHI media; BioMerieux, France). For the strains carrying resistance genes, antibiotics were used in overnight cultures at the following concentrations: erythromycin, ERY (10 mg/l; Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), and chloramphenicol, CHL (10 mg/l; Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). Bacteria from an overnight culture were diluted to an initial optical density (OD) at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.1 in fresh medium and grown with shaking (150 rpm) at 37 °C to the exponential growth phase (OD600 0.6–0.7). Novobiocin (NOV) (Sigma-
Aldrich, Germany) was added during the exponential growth phase at concentrations related to the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the strains (indicated in Table 1) and incubated for 1 h with shaking (150 rpm) at 37 °C. The MIC was determined as previously described (CLSI 2012).

**Photosensitizers** The following PSs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany): new methylene blue (NMB), toluidine blue O (TBO), 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin tetra(p-toluenesulfonate) (TMPyP), zinc phthalocyanine (ZnPc), and Rose Bengal (RB). Most of the PSs, excluding ZnPc, were dissolved in distilled water to produce 1 or 0.1 mM stock solutions and stored in the dark at −20 °C (1 mM for NMB, TBO, and TMPyP and 0.1 mM for RB). ZnPc was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to obtain a 0.1 mM stock solution. The cationic C60 fullerene derivative (fulleropyrrolidine, FUL) was purchased from ProChimia (Poland). The compound was maintained in the dark at a concentration of 0.1 mM in dimethyl sulfoxide:ddH2O solution (v/v, 1:9) (Grinholc et al. 2015).

**Light sources** Illumination was performed using the following light sources: Q.Light® PDT Lamp (b & p® Schweiz AG, Switzerland) (ISO 9001 & EN 46001 - CE 1275) (lamp power of 80 mW). The Q.Light® PDT Lamp emits polarized light (polarization level 98 %) over a wavelength ranging from 620 to 780 nm (red filter, irradiance 102 mW/cm²), 385–480 nm (blue filter, irradiance 127 mW/cm²), and white light (no filter used, irradiance 267 mW/cm²). The LED illuminators had wavelengths of 627 nm (light wavelength 627 nm, power 50 W, irradiance set at 11 mW/cm²) and 405 nm (light wavelength 405 nm, power 120 W, irradiance set at 5 mW/cm²) and were custom designed for laboratory use (SecureMedia, Poland). The delivered light energy was determined with the use of a light power meter (model LM2, CARL ZEISS, Germany).

**Photoinactivation** Microbial overnight cultures were diluted with fresh medium to an optical density OD 600 of 0.1 and cultured to exponential growth phase (OD 600 0.6–0.7) with shaking (150 rpm) at 37 °C. In the novobiocin-treated samples, the cultures were incubated for an additional 1 h with MIC concentration of novobiocin (150 rpm, 37 °C). The cultures were centrifuged (1000 g) for 3 min, washed twice with PBS, and resuspended in fresh BHI medium, and the concentration was adjusted to 10^7 CFU/ml. The cultures were then illuminated with the appropriate light dose (characteristic of the light sources and applied light doses are listed in Table 2). At the completion of the

| Strain | Description, MIC | Source |
|--------|------------------|--------|
| USA300 JE2 | CA-MRSA USA300 JE2 strain, derived from USA300 LAC, NOV (0.06 mg/L), CIP (32 mg/L), RIF (0.06 mg/L) | NARSA |
| NE805 | Mutant derived from USA300 JE2 strain deposited in Nebraska Transposon Mutant Library (NTML) with disrupted recA (recombinase A) gene by bursa aurealis Tn insertion, NOV (0.06 mg/L), CIP (32 mg/L) | NARSA |
| NCTC8325-4 | Genome-sequenced laboratory strain, rsbU , NOV (0.06 mg/L) | (Novick 1967) |
| HG001 | rsbU restored NCTC8325, NOV (0.5 mg/L) | (Herbert et al. 2010) |
| HG001 recA | HG001 with integrated pCG235 (recA PMUTIN), recA disrupted, cultured in the presence of ERY (10 mg/L), NOV (0.25 mg/L), CIP (0.06 mg/L) | (Schroder et al. 2013) |
| HG001 lexAG94E | HG001 with G94E substitution in the LexA, uncleavable LexA, NOV (0.5 mg/L) | (Schroder et al. 2013) |
| HG001 nov142 | HG001 nov (gyrB142), NOV resistant derivative of HG001 | (Schroder et al. 2014) |
| RN4220 recA-lux | The recA promoter-lux fusion construct of the restriction-deficient derivative of 8325-4 (RN4220), cultured in the presence of CHL (10 mg/L) | (Mesak et al. 2008) |
| RN4220 lexA-lux | The lexA promoter-lux fusion construct of the restriction-deficient derivative of 8325-4 (RN4220), cultured in the presence of CHL (10 mg/L) | (Mesak et al. 2008) |
| 8325-4 hemB | Isogenic strain derived from 8325-4, hemB knockout mutant displaying the small colony variant (SCV) phenotype | (Von et al. 1997) |

**CHL** chloramphenicol, **NOV** novobiocin, **CIP** ciprofloxacin, **RIF** rifampicin
illuminated and non-illuminated wells (control cells were maintained in 96-well plates covered with aluminum foil at room temperature for the duration of the illumination) and serially diluted tenfold in PBS to generate dilutions of $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-4}$ times the original concentrations. Afterward, 10-μl aliquots of each dilution were streaked horizontally on square Petri dishes containing brain-heart infusion medium (BHI; BioMerieux, France) (Jett et al. 1997). The plates were streaked in triplicate and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in the dark to allow colony formation. Controls groups included cells that were not treated with PSs or light and cells that were treated with light but not with PSs. In addition, control samples consisted of cultures that were treated with novobiocin but kept in the dark. The survival fractions (SF) are expressed as ratios of the CFU of microbial cells treated with photoinactivation to the CFU of non-treated microbes. Each experiment was performed three times for statistical analysis.

### Photo-induced DNA damage assay
The cell suspension of *S. aureus* in mid-log growth phase in PBS was treated photodynamically as indicated in Table 2. Post-irradiation, the genomic DNA (10 ng) was extracted from the *S. aureus* cells using the ExtractME DNA Bacteria purification kit (Blixt S.A., Poland) and treated with Endonuclease III (BioLabs, New England). This treatment allows the visualization of the photo-induced damage in the genomic DNA. The genomic DNA samples were analyzed by electrophoresis (0.8 % agarose gel, with ethidium bromide staining at 120 V). Band intensities were measured as a percentage of the control sample using ImageJ 1.48v (Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, USA; http://imagej.nih.gov/ij).

### Western blot analysis
The *S. aureus* strains were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6 and challenged with photoinactivation and/or antibiotics (NOV and CIP) for 1 h (Tables 1 and 2). Three milliliters of the bacterial suspensions were harvested, washed with 5 ml Tris/EDTA (TE; 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and resuspended in 250 μl TE with protease inhibitor cocktail as recommended by the manufacturer (Roche, Germany). After incubation on ice for 10 min, the cells were lysed with 0.25 ml of zirconia beads (0.1 mm in diameter) in a high-speed homogenizer (Roche MagNA Lyser) twice for 20 s each at a speed of 6500 rpm. After centrifugation for 10 min at 4700 g, the supernatant was transferred and again centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 g. The protein concentrations were determined in the bacterial cell lysates using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent (Bio-Rad, Germany). Equal protein concentrations (10 μg) were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and the separated proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose blotting membrane (GE Healthcare, Life Sciences, Germany) using a Bio-Rad Mini Trans-Blot cell. The membrane was blocked at room temperature for 1 h with 5 % non-fat dry milk in PBS, pH 7.5. For immunostaining, polyclonal rabbit RecA antibodies (Abnova, Taiwan; 1:1000) were used for an overnight incubation (4 °C). This was followed by a 1-h incubation with secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibodies (Cell Signaling, Germany; 1:2000) and detection of proteins by enhanced chemiluminescence (ChemiDoc, Bio-Rad) with a HRP substrate (SuperSignal, Thermo Scientific, Germany).

### Cell membrane integrity
For the cell membrane studies, *S. aureus* in mid-log growth phase was centrifuged (1000g, 3 min), washed, and resuspended in PBS, and then it was

| Table 2 Sublethal (<2 log$_{10}$ unit reduction in viable counts) doses of phototreatment | Light source | Log$_{10}$ reduction |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Sensitizer, concentration (μM)                | Light source |                     |
| NMB, 50                                        | LED illuminators 627 nm, irradiance 11 mW/cm$^2$, fluence 50 J/cm$^2$ | 0.45 |
| TBO, 100                                       | LED illuminators 627 nm, irradiance 11 mW/cm$^2$, fluence 50 J/cm$^2$ | 0.7 |
| TMPyP, 100                                     | LED illuminators 627 nm, irradiance 11 mW/cm$^2$, fluence 50 J/cm$^2$ | 0.5 |
| ZnPc, 5                                        | Q.Light® PDT Lamp 620–780 nm (red filter), irradiance 102 mW/cm$^2$, fluence 100 J/cm$^2$ | 0.6 |
| RB, 5                                          | Q.Light® PDT Lamp 385–480 nm (blue filter), irradiance 127 mW/cm$^2$, fluence 200 J/cm$^2$ | 1.0 |
| FUL, 1                                         | Q.Light® PDT Lamp white light (no filter used), irradiance 267 mW/cm$^2$, fluence 80 J/cm$^2$ | 0.9 |
| None                                           | LED illuminators 405 nm, irradiance 5 mW/cm$^2$, fluence 10 J/cm$^2$ | 0.1 (USA300 JE2), 0.5 (HG001), 0.1 (8325-4) |

_NMB new methylene blue, TBO toluidine blue O, TMPyP 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin tetra(p-toluenesulfonate), ZnPc zinc phthalocyanine, RB Rose Bengal, FUL fulleropyrrolidine_
Rifampicin mutation frequency determinations

The mutagen frequencies were determined as previously described (O’Neill et al. 2001). Bacterial suspensions in mid-log phase, after photodynamic treatment, were spread onto selective BHI plates containing 4× MIC of rifampicin to recover spontaneous antibiotic-resistant mutants. The culture dilutions were also spread onto non-selective BHI to determine the viable cell numbers. The agar plates were incubated for 24–48 h at 37 °C, and the mutation frequencies are expressed as the number of antibiotic-resistant mutants recovered as a proportion of the total cell count. The mutation frequencies were determined for three biological replicates.

Ames mutagenicity assay

The histidine-dependent (His<sup>+</sup>) Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium) TA98 strain and pooled S9 enzymatic fraction from rat liver induced with Aroclor (stored at −80 °C) were purchased from Xenometrics (Stilwell, USA). The S9 fraction was mixed with cofactors at a volume ratio of 1:24. The cofactors for the S9 mixture contained 19 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 36 mM KCl, 4.5 mM NADP, and 5.2 mM d-glucose-6-phosphate in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The Salmonella mutagenicity assay (Ames test) was conducted with preincubation as described previously (Mortelmans and Zeiger 2000) with modifications. For the metabolic activation assay, 50 μl of the overnight culture (cultivated for 4 h at room temperature and then for 12 h at 37 °C with shaking) were incubated with 133 μl of S9 mix and 50 μl of the tested chemical for 20 min at 37 °C. Next, 67 μl of solution containing 0.1 μmol of histidine and 0.1 μmol of biotin was added to the mixture, which was then plated on the agar plate with minimal glucose medium, as previously described (Woziwodzka et al. 2011). A mixture containing 50 μl of the overnight culture (cultivated for 4 h at room temperature and then for 12 h at 37 °C with shaking), 60 μl of 3 % NaCl, and 150 μl of the tested chemical was incubated with shaking for 4 h at 37 °C. After incubation, the mixture was centrifuged, and the bacteria were washed and resuspended in 300 μl of 0.6 % NaCl plating solution containing 0.1 μmol of histidine and 0.1 μmol of biotin. Finally, the bacteria were plated on an agar plate containing minimal glucose medium. The plates were incubated for 48 h at 37 °C in the dark, and then the His<sup>+</sup> revertants were counted. All of the experiments were performed in triplicate. The cytotoxic effects on the bacteria were determined by observing the background lawn. For the negative control, the tested chemical was replaced with distilled water. All of the results are presented as a percentage of the mutagenic activity of the positive control. The results of Ames test were evaluated statistically using Statistica 9.1 (StatSoft) software. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the post-hoc RIR Tukey’s test was applied to evaluate the results. The significance level was established at α = 0.05.

Luminescence measurements

Liquid assays were performed at room temperature in a clear-bottom 96-well plate by using starting cultures with an optical density at 595 nm of 0.15. The bacteria were treated photodynamically or with ciprofloxacin, and the luminescence was recorded every 2 h for 6 h in a Wallac 1420 Victor multimodal counter (Perkin-Elmer). Each determination was replicated three times.

Porphyrin extraction and fluorescence measurements

It was possible to detect the produced porphyrins based on their extraction with MeOH:acetone (1:1, v/v). Extracellular porphyrins, which were excreted from the cells, were extracted from the growth medium supernatant after lyophilization (Thermo Electron Corporation, Heto PowerDry LL3000 Freeze Dryer) and sonication (Polsonic, Sonic-5) (10 min). After extraction of the porphyrins, their fluorescence spectra were determined using a Perkin Elmer Multimode Plate Reader EnVision® spectrofluorometer. The excitation wavelength was 405 nm. To induce endogenous porphyrin production, delta-aminolevulinic acid (1 mM) was added to the bacterial suspensions and cultured for 48 h at 37 °C with shaking (150 rpm).

Statistical methods

The means of the survival and bioluminescence fractions were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. p-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Phototreatment leads to DNA damage

Photoinactivation induce DNA damage via the direct interaction between
excited sensitizer molecules with DNA, by reactive oxygen species-mediated reactions, or reactions involving other secondary intermediates (Epe 2012). However, it is accepted that this effect might only be observed in largely photo-induced bacterial cells. Thus, we investigated whether DNA damage could be detected using sublethal photoinactivation (resulting in <2 log_{10} unit reduction). Upon administration of the sensitizer and sample irradiation, the *S. aureus* USA300 JE2 genomic DNA was extracted, treated with endonuclease III, and resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 1a, excluding fulleropyrrolidine-mediated treatment, exogenous administration of the sensitizer upon irradiation resulted in significant DNA damage indicated by the presence of DNA smear. Additionally, the DNA damage was semi-quantified using ImageJ software and presented as band intensities according to the control samples. In the case of PDI-treated samples, the control consisted of *S. aureus* cultures treated with fulleropyrrolidine as previous studies indicated that this sensitizer do not lead to DNA damage (Grinholc et al. 2015). The same effect could also be observed with light treatment at 405 nm involving endogenously produced sensitizers (Fig. 1b). However, no DNA damage was reported in control samples consisting of *S. aureus* cultures treated with white, red, and wide range blue light or with sensitizers without light activation (Fig. 1c). The occurrence of DNA damage following phototreatment within viable cells was also confirmed using cell membrane integrity measurements. Blue light (405 nm) doses up to 40 J/cm^2 resulted in a large amount of DNA damage (Fig. 1), but simultaneously, no disruption of the cell membrane integrity was detected in the phototreated cells (Fig. 2), which indicated that the majority of the bacterial population was still viable.

**RecA contributes to the phototreatment outcome** Since DNA damage could be observed in response to phototreatment, one could assume that it promotes DNA repair mechanisms and leads to the induction of the SOS response, resulting in RecA activation. Thus, we investigated whether the presence of RecA or inhibition of the recA gene could influence the efficacy of the phototreatment. The wild-type USA300 JE2 and its isogenic daughter isolate JE2 recA (recA-negative, NE805) were treated by sublethal photoinactivation (Fig. 3). In addition, the wild-type strain was photoinactivated in the presence of MIC of...
novobiocin (recA-downregulating agent) (Fig. 3). The bactericidal effectiveness against these *S. aureus* strains was also analyzed using the 405-nm light treatment (Fig. 4). As indicated, the strain with disrupted (JE2 recA) or inhibited (novobiocin) recA expression demonstrated increased susceptibility to PDI, excluding the fulleropyrrolidine treatment. This result suggests that DNA damage occurs in phototreated cells and that the damage can be counteracted by RecA activity. Thus, the inhibition of recA expression as part of DNA repair mechanisms/SOS response system could increase the bactericidal efficacy of the PDI.

**The effect of novobiocin on the phototreatment efficacy is due to recA repression that mediated by GyrB-dependent inhibition**

We have previously shown that novobiocin downregulates the transcription of recA (Schröder et al. 2013; Mesak et al. 2008), and this effect is mediated by inhibition of the GyrB subunit (Schröder et al. 2014). To verify that the presence of novobiocin did not influence the phototreatment outcome via the GyrB-independent pathway, the bactericidal effectiveness of the photoactivation was analyzed for the wild-type *S. aureus* strain (HG001) and its isogenic mutant strain expressing a novobiocin nonsusceptible GyrB enzyme (HG001 nov142). This latter strain is resistant to novobiocin. To simplify the analysis, the indicated strains were treated only with the 405-nm light, and it was assumed that a similar effect would be observed for exogenously administered sensitizers. This assumption was warranted by the similar DNA damage upon photoinactivation and its recA-dependent efficacy. The parental strain revealed increased susceptibility to photodynamic treatment in the presence of novobiocin (Fig. 5). In the novobiocin-resistant strain, the effectiveness of 405-nm irradiation was comparable to that observed in the parental strain and was not affected by the presence of novobiocin. The results clearly indicate that the effect of novobiocin on the phototreatment efficacy is mediated by GyrB and is unlikely to be due to additional effects on other potential targets.

**Phototreatment increases recA expression**

Because phototreatment induced DNA damage (Fig. 1), and its efficacy depended on RecA level (Figs. 3 and 4), this treatment should also result in increased recA expression. To analyze the effects of antibiotics (CIP and NOV) and phototreatment on RecA expression, both factors were applied to exponentially growing bacteria, and the levels of RecA protein were determined using immunoblotting of lysates from bacteria treated with or without antibiotics and/or photoinactivation. We primarily verified whether the *S. aureus* strains used herein expressed previously described levels of RecA and responded accordingly to the proposed mechanism of NOV and CIP. Ciprofloxacin is a well-known RecA-inducing agent and was used as a positive control for increased RecA expression (Schröder et al. 2013). The wild-type USA300 JE2 strain responded adequately to the administered antibiotics (increased RecA upon ciprofloxacin and reduced RecA upon novobiocin treatment) (Fig. 6a). Both *S. aureus* mutant strains containing a disruption of the recA gene exhibited low expression level of RecA (Fig. 6a). Finally, the strain expressing a GyrB enzyme that is not susceptible to novobiocin (HG001 nov142) showed no reduction of RecA in response to novobiocin treatment (Fig. 6a). We also verified that at sublethal doses of phototreatments in the presence of photosensitizer, the levels of RecA protein increased (Fig. 6b) except fulleropyrrolidine treatment. To verify that the expression of recA was also increased at the mRNA level, the recA promoter-lux constructs were used to enable the monitoring of increased gene expression by measuring the luminescence (Mesak et al. 2008). The starting cultures of *S. aureus* with an optical density at 595 nm of 0.150 were treated photodynamically or with CIP (positive control), and the
luminescence of the samples was recorded every 2 h (Fig. 7a). The results of luminescence assays were in agreement with Western blot analysis. Each photosensitizer treatment, excluding fulleropyrrolidine, increased in the bioluminescence signal indicating induction of recA expression (Fig. 7a).
RecA determines the response of *S. aureus* to phototreatment independent to LexA Induction of ssDNA formation during phototreatment may increase RecA level. RecA interacts and promotes the self-cleavage of LexA leading to the derepression of recA and other SOS response genes. By using luminescence assay in *lexA*-*lux* and *recA*-*lux* strains, we showed both *lexA* and *recA* expression induced by phototreatments (Fig. 7). To investigate the role of RecA alone without interference from LexA, we used the *S. aureus* strain with uncleavable LexA (HG001 *lexAG94E*). We found the response of HG001 *lexAG94E* to photoinactivation was not significantly different from the wild-type strain (Fig. 5).

Blue light (405 nm) treatment is dependent on endogenous porphyrins Because the major mechanistic studies in the current work were conducted with blue light (405 nm) treatment, we verified whether the underlying mechanism of the bactericidal activity of blue light (405 nm) included endogenously produced photosensitizers or other factors that are involved in its antibacterial activity. To address this question, we used the *S. aureus* wild-type reference strain (NCTC 8325-4), which is capable of endogenous porphyrin production, and its isogenic knockout mutant (8325-4 Δ*hemB*), displaying a stable, electron transport-deficient small colony variant (SCV) phenotype (Von et al. 1997). *hemB* is a part of the porphyrin biosynthetic pathway encoding the aminolevulinic acid dehydrase (porphobilinogen synthase) (Granick and Beale 1978). Besides slow growth, SCVs are characterized by many common features such as reduced pigmentation and metabolic changes (Kriegeskorte et al. 2014). The lack of the ability to produce endogenous porphyrins was determined spectrophotometrically by fluorescence measurements of *S. aureus* culture
supernatants after excitation with light wavelengths of 405 nm. To induce endogenous porphyrin production, the *S. aureus* cultures were exposed to delta-aminolevulinic acid. As shown in Fig. 8, only the wild-type strain was able to produce endogenous porphyrins, as indicated by the specific fluorescence peaks at 580 and 630 nm. To determine whether this ability is required for the bactericidal activity of blue light (405 nm), the light treatment was applied to the hemB mutant and parental strain (Fig. 9). As expected, exposure of the wild-type *S. aureus* strain to light treatment resulted in a greater reduction of viable cells when the cells were preincubated with novobiocin. In contrast to these findings, the hemB mutant strain displayed no decrease in viability following irradiation, supporting the requirement for endogenously produced porphyrins to produce the bactericidal activity of the 405-nm light treatment (Fig. 9).

---

**Phototreatment does not contribute to the increased *S. aureus* mutability** Previous studies have suggested that oxidative stress might prompt genotypic variation among bacterial species (Boles and Singh 2008). Phototreatment causes DNA damage and RecA activation, and one can assume that the SOS response could also be induced. This event leads to DNA repair and might result in an increased mutation frequency through the induction of error-prone polymerases such as Umu (Cirz et al. 2007). Because the error-prone polymerase UmuC plays a key role in accelerating the mutation frequency, we determined the influence of phototreatment on the development of spontaneous resistance to rifampicin. To investigate whether photo-induced oxidative stress might increase the mutability of *S. aureus*, we examined the effects on mutation frequencies in response to antibiotic treatment using a 4× MIC rifampicin concentration in culture medium. Planktonic *S. aureus* USA300 JE2 did not demonstrate significant differences in mutation frequency in response to phototreatment in comparison to basal mutation frequencies (Fig. 10).
Ames mutagenicity assay We used the *S*. *Typhimurium* TA98 strain to investigate the mutagenic activity of photosensitizers (without light activation), light doses, and photodynamic treatment (photo-induced sensitizers), both without and with the activating microsomal S9 fraction (Fig. 11).

The mutagenic effects of sensitizers that were not activated are shown in Fig. 11a, b. All of the analyzed compounds were non-mutagenic against bacterial cells in the absence and presence of the S9 fraction. The exposition of bacterial cells to light doses used for sensitizer activation revealed no mutagenic activity of the light alone (Fig. 11c). Finally, no mutagenic activity was reported for the phototreatments examined herein (sensitizers/light combinations) (Fig. 11d). However, regarding NMB, TBO, and TMPyP, the analyzed treatment conditions were cytotoxic to the *S*. *Typhimurium* strain, and its mutagenic activity could not be determined properly (Fig. 11d). A high level of cytotoxicity was also detected for TBO without light activation (Fig. 11a).

**Discussion**

It is generally accepted that DNA damage occurs when cells are intensively photoinactivated or are no longer viable (Alves et al. 2014). Data published by George and Kishen (2008) based on a DNA damage study indicated that photoinactivation using methylene blue (MB) could cause extensive damage to the chromosomal DNA of *Enterococcus faecalis* cells when more than 3 log<sub>10</sub> unit reduction in cell concentration was obtained. Because a close association between the photosensitizer and the target is required to cause the deleterious effect, the data indicated that MB molecules can penetrate the cell wall of bacteria and damaged their chromosomal DNA. However, when MB was applied in doses that only reduced less than 2 log<sub>10</sub> bacterial cells, the DNA damage was considerably lower. Similar results were obtained by Caminos et al. (2008) in their studies of *Escherichia coli* photosensitization with TMPyP. Significant damage of genomic DNA has been reported in studies of photodynamic treatment’s doses that kill bacterial cells for more than 2 log<sub>10</sub> unit reduction (Caminos et al. 2008). The same effect was observed for zinc phthalocyanine (ZnPc) by Spesia et al. (2009) (Spesia et al. 2009). Therefore, the role of
DNA as a target in the photodynamic process that influences cell viability has been underestimated, and membrane proteins are considered to be major targets of photo-induced oxidation. In the present work, in agreement with a thesis that cell envelopes are the major targets of photoinactivation, we attempted to evaluate the actual contribution of DNA damage to the outcome of phototreatment. The results indicate that photoinduced DNA damage can occur in cells that are still viable or when sublethal treatment was applied and less than 1–2 log_{10} cell reduction was detected (Fig. 1). Moreover, we showed that DNA damage can be easily detected even without disruption of the cell membrane integrity (Fig. 2), which indicated that if the DNA repair mechanism was activated during the course of the photodynamic treatment, then the bacterium could overcome the induced DNA damage and avoid inactivation. In agreement with our previously published data, no DNA damage was reported in fulleropyrrolidine-sensitized \textit{S. aureus} cells (Grinholc et al. 2015). This result was probably due to the low cell-penetrating ability of the sensitizer. In the case of fulleropyrrolidine treatment, a high level of cell envelope integrity disruption has been reported in response to activation with light, indicating that this compound binds to the cell envelope and exerts its bactericidal activity in close proximity (Grinholc et al. 2015).

For numerous bacteria, the SOS response has been recognized as a critical factor in the response to stress, in particular to induced damage to DNA, which is processed to single-stranded DNA (Cirz et al. 2007). RecA forms filaments on the single-stranded DNA, facilitating repair via recombination and stimulating the autoproteolysis of the SOS gene repressor LexA. This cleavage inactivates the LexA repressor and results in the induction of SOS genes. Many classes of antibiotics, including fluoroquinolones, e.g., ciprofloxacin, and β-lactams, have been shown to induce LexA cleavage and the SOS response via the upregulation of \textit{recA} expression (Schroder et al. 2013; Miller et al. 2004). LexA controls the expression of \textit{recA} and \textit{lexA}, the positive and negative regulators of the response, as well as genes encoding proteins involved in DNA repair or recombination and the DNA polymerases required for the induced mutation (Cirz et al. 2007). In addition, in previous studies, we confirmed the strong activation of \textit{recA} expression by ciprofloxacin in \textit{S. aureus} and...
subsequently demonstrated that aminocoumarins (novobiocin) lead to the repression of recA expression (Schroder et al. 2013). In the present work, we attempted to evaluate the significance of photo-induced DNA damage by investigating the S. aureus SOS pathway in response to emergent DNA breaks. The results revealed that partial inhibition of the SOS machinery resulted specifically from the downregulation of recA expression or disruption of the recA gene, and consequently, the efficacy of the photodynamic treatment could be significantly enhanced (Figs. 3, 4, and 5). This finding suggests that photoinactivation results in severe DNA damage that leads to cell death if the DNA repair systems are inhibited. Moreover, the induction of DNA breaks in viable cells leads to increased levels of RecA protein as well as upregulation of the recA gene (Figs. 6 and 7).

However, the observed phenomena were not reported for fullerylpyrrolidine because no DNA damage was detected following the administration of this compound (Fig. 1a) (Grinholc et al. 2015). It is not likely that the increased susceptibility of S. aureus to photoinactivation resulted solely from the downregulation of recA expression when administered with novobiocin. Thus, the observed phenomenon was confirmed in a novobiocin-resistant S. aureus mutant strain expressing a non-susceptible GyrB enzyme (HG001 nov142). This strain showed no reduction of RecA levels in response to treatment with novobiocin (Fig. 6a), and as expected, no increased susceptibility to phototreatment was observed (Fig. 5). This result indicates that the effect of novobiocin on the phototreatment efficacy is mediated by antibiotic-dependent GyrB inhibition and is unlikely to be due to additional effects on other potential targets. These findings could be translated into clinical value because the use of recA downregulating agents could provide increased bactericidal activity during photodynamic treatment for both exogenously administered sensitizers (Fig. 3) and endogenous porphyrins (blue light treatment) (Figs. 4, 5, and 9).

The recA gene is preceded by a LexA-binding motif, and thus, the upregulation of recA in response to phototreatment presumably occurs through LexA cleavage. Thus, we utilized a previously constructed mutant in which LexA was rendered uncleavable (Schröder et al. 2013). In a previous study, we showed that the basal level of recA in untreated S. aureus cultures was not significantly different between wild-type and the lexAG94E mutant (Schröder et al. 2013). Furthermore, as expected, the addition of ciprofloxacin did not result in increased recA transcription in lexAG94E indicating that recA expression could not be upregulated in this strain (Schröder et al. 2013). The response of HG001 lexAG94E to photoinactivation was not significantly different when compared to the wild-type strain, which indicated that the basal level of RecA was sufficient to determine the susceptibility of S. aureus to the photo-mediated treatments (Fig. 5). Our results indicate that RecA activity, and no other LexA target genes, is needed for bacterial response to photoinactivation. This is in line with our next observation that the mutation frequencies are not altered because umuC is probably not expressed. This result suggests that the mechanism employed to potentiate the bactericidal efficacy of photoinactivation resides in the inhibition of recA prior to irradiation (i.e., in response to novobiocin pretreatment).

The mutation plays an important role in the development of antibiotic resistance in S. aureus and other staphylococci (Woodford and Ellington 2007). A single point mutation can cause clinically significant levels of resistance to a variety of antimicrobials, such as rifampicin, mupirocin, fusidic acid, and fluorquinolones. Moreover, in cases of the accumulation of mutations at multiple loci, resistance to glycopeptides could be achieved (Kato et al. 2010). Thus, the identification of any factor that elevates the basal mutation frequency is a high priority. Consequently, investigations to determine whether the proposed treatment leads to the emergence of antibiotic resistance are a key issue. In principle, the mutagenic activity of antimicrobials is considered to occur within concentrations that are very close to the MIC because higher concentrations may have bactericidal effects and damage most of the cells in the population. In contrast, lower concentrations do not provide a stimulatory effect (Couce and Blazquez 2009). Thus, in the current work, we investigated the mutagenic effect of phototreatments at sublethal doses (reducing cell viability by <2 log10 units) by evaluating the appearance of mutants carrying resistance to rifampicin. Here, we showed that, although DNA damage and RecA activation could be observed, photoinactivation did not increase the mutation frequency (Fig. 10). This result is likely due to the lack of umuC activation, which represents induction of the late SOS response, and a phototreatment exposure duration of several minutes (in recent studies, the longest phototreatment exposure was 72 min). However, in the present study, the expression of umuC was not investigated, and thus, further studies are needed. We also conducted the bacterial mutagenicity test (Ames test) using one of the reference strains: S. Typhimurium TA98. The Ames test is the standard mutagenicity test performed prior to the introduction of new drug candidates (McCarron et al. 2011). This test is accepted by numerous international organizations and fulfills ISO guidelines (Reifferscheid et al. 2012). To be confirmed as non-mutagenic, the drug candidate must be analyzed using five reference strains—three in the Salmonella genus and two in the Escherichia genus (Mortelmans and Zeiger 2000); however, the analysis of one strain is sufficient to describe the compound as non-mutagenic and recommends further investigations, especially basic science research. Very limited published data have assessed the mutagenicity of phototreatments and related sensitizers. Thus, the present findings provide a significant improvement of our knowledge regarding photodynamic treatments. Casteel et al. (2004) performed genotoxicity assays using a non-activated sensitizer (without illumination) and showed that the revertant counts did not increase in comparison to background levels.
indicating that none of the studied porphyrins was mutagenic in *S. Typhimurium* TA98 (Casteel et al. 2004). The results presented herein indicate that the photosensitizers assessed are non-mutagenic both without and with metabolic activation. It is important to note that although the analyzed compounds are applied to the skin, they may be absorbed and transferred via the blood to the liver, where they can undergo enzymatic reactions catalyzed by cytochrome P450. Therefore, assessments of the mutagenic activity using the S9 fraction allowed us to reject the concept that this activity might be exerted by metabolites of the compounds evaluated. In addition, although the *S. Typhimurium* TA98 strain is sensitive to light (especially UV light) (Mortelmans and Zeiger 2000), treatment of the samples with light did not produce any response, which would have been observed as an increased number of His' revertants. Unfortunately, in the cases utilizing new methylene blue, toluidine blue O, which is also toxic when tested without light and metabolic activation, and TMPyP, the combination of light and photosensitizer was toxic to the bacterial strain assessed (Fig. 11a, d). However, the main purpose of therapy is to inhibit bacterial growth, and thus, these effects might be attributed to the efficiency of the proposed combinations in killing bacterial strains together with an increased susceptibility to external factors. Nevertheless, to fully confirm the non-mutagenic and non-toxic properties of the analyzed photosensitizer-light combinations, they should be tested both in other bacterial strains recommended for the Ames test and eukaryotic cell lines. Finally, one must be conscious that the absence of an increased number of rifampicin-resistant *S. aureus* mutants and of mutagenic activity toward *S. Typhimurium* may also have resulted from the phototreatment conditions and duration of exposure used in our experiments. These variables may not have been capable of selecting for
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Fig. 12 Proposed mechanism underlying the photoinactivation process. Irradiated sensitizer molecules achieve an activated state and lead to the production of reactive oxygen species as well as free radicals (oxidative stress). This process results in cell membrane as well as DNA damage (excluding the poor-cell-penetrating fulleropyrrolidine). The SOS machinery is operated by two key regulators: the SOS repressor LexA and the inducer RecA. RecA responds to DNA damage by binding to ssDNA, which triggers autocleavage of LexA. The LexA repressor dissociates from the SOS boxes and induces transcription of the SOS regulon. Novobiocin binds to the GyrB subunit of gyrase and inhibits transcription, leading to the decrease in *recA* expression. APDT antimicrobial photodynamic therapy, *PS* photosensitizer, *EndoPP* endogenous porphyrins, *NMB* new methylene blue, *TBO* toluidine blue O, *TMPyP* 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin tetra(p-toluenesulfonate), *ZnPc* zinc phthalocyanine, *RB* Rose Bengal, *FUL* fulleropyrrolidine
rifampicin-resistant variants or His⁺ revertants, and further studies examining a range of concentrations as well as a prolonged duration of exposure should be considered.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the mechanistic features of the photoinactivation process. Our findings are summarized in the Fig. 12, which shows the proposed mechanism of photoinactivation involving the SOS machinery. Moreover, the results of the present study, in comparison to previously published data, provide strong evidence that the inactivation of bacteria using 405-nm light results from the photostimulation of endogenous porphyrins. This study provides proof-of-concept evidence that DNA damage is the significant factor triggered by a recA-defective strain and the application of a recA-downregulating agent (novobiocin) suggest that the effectiveness of photoinactivation depends in part on RecA activity, thus supporting the hypothesis that RecA inhibition is a potential therapeutic adjuvant in combination with photodynamic approaches.
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