A multi-omics study of the grapevine-downy mildew (Plasmopara viticola) pathosystem unveils a complex protein coding- and noncoding-based arms race during infection
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Fungicides are applied intensively to prevent downy mildew infections of grapevines (Vitis vinifera) with high impact on the environment. In order to develop alternative strategies we sequenced the genome of the oomycete pathogen Plasmopara viticola causing this disease. We show that it derives from a Phytophthora-like ancestor that switched to obligate biotrophy by losing genes involved in nitrogen metabolism and β-aminobutyric acid catabolism. By combining multiple omics approaches we characterized the pathosystem and identified a RxLR effector that trigger an immune response in the wild species Vitis riparia. This effector is an ideal marker to screen novel grape resistant varieties. Our study reveals an unprecedented bidirectional noncoding RNA-based mechanism that, in one direction might be fundamental for P. viticola to proficiently infect its host, and in the other might reduce the effects of the infection on the plant.

Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) is an important commodity and comprises varieties for wine production and table grape for human consumption1. Wine production is a very lucrative activity and the world wine trade is worth almost US $30 billion. France, Italy and Spain are the largest European wine producing countries representing altogether half of the world production (http://www.oiv.int/). Grapevines can be infected by a myriad of plant pathogens at all growth stages and in order to secure harvest large quantities of agrochemicals are used to control their spread2. The treatments against powdery and downy mildews, including the oomycete Plasmopara viticola, requires almost two thirds of all synthetic fungicides sprayed in the European Union with adverse effects on the environment3.

Plasmopara viticola (Berk. and Curt.) Berl. and de Toni belongs to the group of oomycetes (Order: Peronosporales, Family: Peronosporaceae) that comprises the most devastating plant pathogens such as Phytophthora infestans responsible for the Irish potato famine in the 19th century4. Unlike the hemibiotroph Phytophthora species, P. viticola is an obligate biotroph and therefore relies entirely on grape as a host to complete its life cycle. P. viticola is endemic in North America where the Vitis species such as V. riparia are naturally resistant, likely as a consequence of a long co-evolutionary process5. Conversely, P. viticola was introduced unintentionally in Europe in the 1870s and immediately spread on V. vinifera cultures causing pandemics all over Europe in the following decades6. Soon after, the extensive use of copper formulations known as the “Bordeaux mixture” restricted the disease spread and later on paved the path to excessive usage of synthetic agrochemicals. As a
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combined to a genome-wide degradome study revealed a comprehensive interaction network of small RNAs.

To this end, we sequenced the DNA extracted from a *P.* effector of the RxLR type triggering ETI in the resistant grapevine *V.* with genome-wide differential gene expression analyses during the infection process and we identified a protein genome that could explain its biotrophic mode of life. We complemented our genome sequencing efforts to a N50 of 4,645 bp (Table 1, Supplementary Figs S1–S6 and Supplementary note). The total length of the assembly was 83.54 Mb corresponding to 74% of the genome size previously determined by Feulgen staining.

| Natural Vitis host | *V. vinifera* | *V. vinifera* | *V. riparia* |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Geographical origin | Italy | France | China |
| Genome assembly | Sequencing platform | Illumina | Illumina | Illumina + PacBio |
| | Number of scaffolds | 57,890 | 1,883 | 2,165 |
| | N50 (kb) | 4.645 | 180.6 | 172.3 |
| | Assembly size (Mb) | 83.54 | 74.74 | 101.3 |
| Gene annotation | Protein coding genes (predicted) | 38,298 | nd | 17,014 |
| | Validated transcripts (RNA-Seq) | 38,335 | nd | 11,670 |
| | Orthologous groups in Oomycetes | 6,552 | nd | nd |
| | Genomic completeness | Oomycete core genes present | 81% | na | na |
| | | CEGMA/BUSCO | na/87.2% | 95%/na | 97%/90% |

Table 1. Characteristics of the three available *P. viticola* genomes. *Data retrieved from Dussert et al.*

Nuclear and mitochondrial genome assemblies, gene annotation and phylogenetic analysis.

Assembling the draft genome for *P. viticola* was a prerequisite for gene and sRNA expression profiling studies during the infection process of *V. vinifera*. We therefore sequenced the genome of a downy mildew strain, named ‘PvitFEM01’, isolated from a local vineyard in the Trentino region in Italy. DNA extracted from asexual sporangia from *in vitro* infected plants was used to construct Illumina paired-end libraries (2 × 100 bp) and further sequenced. Although *P. viticola* genetic material was extracted under sterile conditions, potential contamination with DNA originating from other sources such as the host (grapevine), potential grape endophytic or epiphytic bacteria were addressed. 83 million reads remained for assembly, after filtering against *V. vinifera* and bacterial sequences (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Supplementary note). In total, 57,890 scaffolds were obtained corresponding to a N50 of 4,645 bp (Table 1, Supplementary Figs S1–S6 and Supplementary note). The total length of the assembly was 83.54 Mb corresponding to 74% of the genome size previously determined by Feulgen staining.

Contigs corresponding to the mitochondrial genome were also identified and provided the first *P. viticola* mitochondrial reconstruction estimated to be ca. 39.2 kb (Supplementary Fig. S7). *P. viticola* mitochondrial proteins identity ranged from 80 to 97% with respect to their *P. infestans* counterparts. A single aino acid change from Glycine to Alanine at position 143 (G143A) in the mitochondrial apocytochrome b protein suggests that ‘PvitFEM01’ is resistant to Quinone outside Inhibitors (Qoi) fungicides (Supplementary Fig. S8). Several polymorphic sites were identified on the *P. viticola* mitochondrial genome suggesting that our draft assembly might condense several haplotypes (Supplementary Fig. S9).
In total, 38,298 genes were predicted in silico of which 33,982 were annotated using gene ontology terms (Supplementary Table S1). Sequencing of RNA transcripts (RNA-Seq) extracted from *P. viticola* sporangia and infected leaf material collected at five time points confirmed that 18,335 of these annotated genes were expressed (Table 1, Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, Supplementary Fig. S10 and Supplementary note). Additionally, 320 tRNA-encoding genes encoding representing all 20 isotype classes, as well as the 28 S and 5 S ribosomal genes (rRNAs) were identified in the *Vitis vinifera* genome (Supplementary Tables S4 to S6 and Supplementary note). The 28 S rRNA is most similar to the one reported for *P. halstedii* (Supplementary Table S7). Sequencing of RNA transcripts (RNA-Seq) extracted from *P. halstedii* sporangia and infected leaf material collected at five time points confirmed that 18,335 of these annotated genes were expressed (Supplementary Fig. S11–S13 and Supplementary note). This number was corroborated by a BUSCO analysis that retrieved a completeness of 87.2% when 303 conserved eukaryotic proteins were considered (Supplementary Table S8). Sequence analysis of *P. halstedii* was established using 312 single-copy core genes. The number of genes encoding the recently discovered YxSLK effectors 16 is high in *P. halstedii* (Supplementary Table S9). Sequencing of RNA transcripts (RNA-Seq) extracted from *P. halstedii* sporangia and infected leaf material collected at five time points confirmed that 18,335 of these annotated genes were expressed (Supplementary Table S10–S14, Supplementary Figs S16–S21 and Supplementary note). The phylogenetic relationship between *P. halstedii* and sequenced oomycetes was established using 312 single-copy core genes. *P. halstedii* is placed, together with *Plasmopara halstedii*, within the *Phytophthora* clade with 100% support (Fig. 1, Supplementary Figs S14 and S15 and Supplementary note). Branches in the *Plasmopara* lineage are longer than the average branch lengths of *Phytophthora* species. The phylogenetic analysis suggests that *P. halstedii* derives from a necrotrophic *Phytophthora*-like ancestor.

*P. viticola* secretes a RxLR effector triggering an immune response in *Vitis riparia* but not in *Vitis vinifera*. Oomycetes secrete various types of cytoplasmic effectors to infect their hosts. These effectors were mainly studied in *Phytophthora* species. We identified that *P. viticola* encodes 57 RxLR and 68 crinkler (CRN) proteins. In comparison, other oomycetes belonging to the *Pythium* genus have only five to twelve CRN proteins, suggesting an expansion of this effector type in the ancestor that gave rise to the *Hyaloperonospora*-like ancestor. CBEL (cellulose binding elicitor lectin)-1,3-glucanases inhibitors with a trypsin domain. This family includes 55 members and is the largest among all available oomycete genomes. In contrast to *Phytophthora* and *Pythium* species, *P. viticola* has only 22 elicitins. NPP1 proteins inducing necrosis likely underwent expansion in the *P. halstedii* lineage whereas only six and 19 members were found in *P. viticola* and *P. halstedii*, respectively, which may suggest extensive gene loss as an adaptation to biotrophy. CBEL (cellulose binding elicitor lectin) and PcF (Phytophthora cactorum-Fragaria toxin family) from *P. infestans* are known to trigger programmed cell death in its host. Their gene families are either strongly reduced or completely absent in *Plasmopara* species (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S16 and Supplementary note). In conclusion, except the glucanase inhibitor family (trypsin), all known apoplastic effectors underwent contraction in the *Plasmopara* lineage from a *Phytophthora*-like ancestor.

**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic relationship between *Plasmopara viticola* and other oomycetes and abundance of their effectors. The maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was built using 312 concatenated proteins selected from single copy genes belonging to the oomycete core genome. The abundance of each class of cytoplasmic and apoplastic effectors effector in biotroph (B), hemibiotroph (H) or necrotroph (N) oomycete species is indicated by a number and a color code. Out of the 87 YxSLK effectors identified in our study only 25 contained a signal peptide and reported in this figure. Darker colors indicate higher abundance.
Transcriptional profiling (RNA-Seq) of *Plasmopara viticola* genes during the compatible interaction with *V. vinifera* revealed differential expression of several annexins, glutathione S-transferases and one glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) involved in the production of the non-proteinogenic amino acid γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA). Furthermore, proteins involved in the hydrolysis of plant material were the first set of apoplastic effectors expressed upon infection (Supplementary Tables S17 and S18). Elicitins, RxLR and YxSLK effectors were among the most highly expressed secreted proteins at later time points (Fig. 2, Supplementary Tables S19–S23.

**Figure 2.** Distribution of genome-wide expression levels of *Plasmopara viticola* genes and effectors during the infection time course. Histograms represent the distribution of log10 FPKM values for all *P. viticola* genes at different hours post-infection (hpi). The values on the y-axis are counts. The gray dots represent all genes classified as cytoplasmic or apoplastic effectors. The colored dots indicate the different classes of effector proteins also possessing a signal peptide for secretion.
Supplementary Figs S23–S25 and Supplementary note). While the majority of RxLR, Crinkler and YxSLK effectors showed fluctuating levels during infection, the RxLR gene PVITv1008311 was expressed with FPKM values increasing to 9.8 and 17.8 at 96 and 168 hours post-infection (hpi), respectively (Supplementary Table S23). The expression of PVITv1008311 was also measured by qRT-PCR during infection and in sporangia corroborating the RNA-Seq data obtained in our study (Fig. 3a and b). To verify the role of RxLR_PVITv1008311 in pathogenicity, we expressed the coding sequence constructs both with (+sp) or without (∆sp) signal peptide in planta by infiltrating sterile grape leaves grown in vitro. There were no noticeable symptoms visible on *V. vinifera* leaves even two weeks after infiltration despite strong expression of the effector in planta (Fig. 3c). In contrast, when
the same vector constructs were infiltrated in the resistant grape V. riparia a strong necrotic phenotype was observed around the site of infiltration only in leaves infiltrated with RxLR_PVITv1008311 + sp but not with RxLR_PVITv1008311 Δsp (Fig. 3d). Trypan blue staining revealed that this halo corresponds to cells that underwent cell death typical of a hypersensitive response (Fig. 3e). Several additional elicitors with or without the signal peptide were tested by infiltrating V. vinifera and V. riparia leaves but the response remained asymptomatic (Supplementary Table S24). Interestingly, the sequence of RxLR_PVITv1008311 was found intact in the European isolate INRA-PV221 but completely fragmented in the Chinese isolate JL–7–2 originally isolated from V riparia (Supplementary Table S25). Collectively, the fact that RxLR_PVITv1008311Δsp elicits a hypersensitive response in V. riparia but not in V. vinifera indicates that grapevine cultivars grown in Europe lost or perhaps did not acquire yet the recognition of P. viticola effectors to initiate a proper immune response.

Nitrogen metabolism and γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) catabolism are missing in P. viticola.

To better understand P. viticola biotrophic mode of nutrition we identified the metabolic modules that are either completely missing from its genome or differ significantly from the biotroph H. arabidopsidis and the hemibiotroph P. infestans. Similar to the other obligate biotroph H. arabidopsidis19, P. viticola lacks both nitrite and sulfite reductases (Supplementary Figs S26 and S27). However, unlike other obligate biotrophs, P. viticola lacks several enzymes involved in the conversion of L-glutamate to either succinate (KEGG module M00027) or to L-ornithine (M00028). The pathways leading to conversion of leucine to acetoacetate and Acetyl-CoA (M00036), of L-glutamate to uridylic acid (M00015) and of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA (M00307) are also incomplete in P. viticola (Supplementary Fig. S28 and Supplementary Table S26). However, in contrast to the two other oomycetes, the P. viticola genome encodes all genes necessary to convert L-glutamate to L-proline (M00015) and to degrade L-methionine to L-cystathionine (M00035) (Supplementary Fig. S29). Furthermore, the two biotrophs H. arabidopsidis and P. viticola seem to have lost some enzymes required for the biosynthesis of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor (M00065), Coenzyme A (M00120), and betaine (M00555). In contrast, the pathways leading to biosynthesis of creatine (M00047), sphingosine (M00099) and N-glycan precursors (M00055, M00073) are conserved in P. viticola and P. infestans but are lost in H. arabidopsidis (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table S26). The nitrogen metabolism, sulphur assimilation, GABA shunt, ornithine biosynthesis and uridine monophosphate biosynthesis pathways seem also incomplete in the two other P. viticola isolates INRA-PV221 and JL–7–2 (Supplementary Table S27) suggesting that glutamate metabolism and its connection with citric acid (tricarboxylic acid; TCA) and urea cycles, as well as uridylic acid biosynthesis and GABA catabolism, might be impaired in P. viticola (Fig. 4b). Given the role of glutamate in amino acid metabolism and nitrogen utilization, P. viticola infection could have an important impact on grapevine metabolism during the compatible infection. To verify this hypothesis, we performed a differential gene expression analysis using RNA-Seq at multiple time points during infection of grapevine by ‘PvitFEM01’ and characterized the gene sets by functional enrichment analysis using GO annotations (Supplementary Table S28). Interestingly, grapevine genes involved in secondary metabolic processes, cellular amino acid metabolism and derivative metabolic processes were significantly repressed in infected tissues starting at 48 hpi. The genes involved in nitrogen compound metabolic processes and

**Figure 4.** Metabolic pathways missing in Plasmopara viticola and those induced in grapevine during infection. The Venn diagram shows the metabolic pathways specific to P. viticola or shared with two other oomycetes, Phytophthora infestans and Hya110eroperonospora arabidopsidis. The KEGG module number M is indicated in brackets (a). A summary of the pathways missing in P. viticola indicated in red. The proline biosynthesis pathway indicated in green is only found in P. viticola but not in the two other oomycetes P. infestans and H. arabidopsidis. (AT: Amino transferase, GAD: glutamic acid decarboxylase, GDH: glutamate dehydrogenase, GOGAT: glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase, GS: glutamine synthetase, TCA cycle: tricarboxylic acid cycle) (b). A Venn diagram representing the gene ontology terms of V. vinifera genes induced at each time point during infection in grapevine. The metabolic pathways indicated in red indicate genes induced whereas those in green refers to genes repressed (c).
homeostasis start to be expressed later during the infection process at 168 hpi which might suggest that downy mildew stimulates the production of growth nutrients from its host while avoiding triggering cell death (Fig. 4c).

A bidirectional cross-species sRNA-mediated gene regulation during the compatible interaction. Plants defense strategies against viral and fungal pathogens rely largely on RNA silencing and the action of sRNAs. To explore this mechanism of defense during P. viticola–V. vinifera interaction, we sequenced sRNAs from both healthy and infected grapevine plants at 24, 48, 72, 96 and 168 hpi. The sRNA profile of V. vinifera showed enrichment in 21- and 24-nt sRNAs typical of plants, while P. viticola has an almost equal abundance of 21- and 25-nt sRNA classes that are also abundantly expressed in sporangia (Supplementary Fig. S30). In total, two dicer-like proteins (DCLs), two argonaute proteins (AGOs) and one RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR), as well as enzymes known to regulate epigenetic mechanisms were identified confirming the existence a bona fide RNA silencing machinery in P. viticola that is active during its life cycle inside the grapevine host (Supplementary Table S29). Among these two proteins DCL-like enzymes, defined by the presence of a Dicer dimerization domain were found, suggesting that one of them could be dedicated to process the 25-nt sRNA class. P. viticola sRNAs of 21- to 22-nt length were generated from 592 transcripts coding mainly for transporters, transcription factors, methyltransferases, metabolic genes and elicitors. In contrast, the 25- to 26-nt sRNA class derives almost exclusively from genes related to transposition (Supplementary Fig. S31). The 21- to 22-nt sRNAs deriving form coding genes were mapping in sense and antisense orientation suggesting that a dsRNA intermediate is synthesized, most probably, by the unique RDR found in the P. viticola genome (Supplementary Fig. S32). Additionally, a total of 18 CRN (Supplementary Fig. S33), YxSLK (Supplementary Fig. S34) and RxLR (Supplementary Fig. S35a) effector genes produced a high amount of 21/22-nt short interfering RNA (siRNAs) duplexes suggesting a preponderant role in post-transcriptional regulation of these pathogenicity factors during the infection process (Supplementary Table S30). The highly structured PVT1v1_T024389 RNA transcript encoded a protein with an unusual LFLAK/RxLR tandem motif and displayed a unique processing pattern among eukaryotes with 21/22-nt sRNA duplexes processed every 60- to 90-nt (Supplementary Figs S35b and S36).

In order to address the potential regulatory role of both grapevine and P. viticola sRNAs during infection, we performed a genome-wide analysis of the RNA degradome or PARE (parallel analysis of RNA ends) of infected material and control plants. In order to support and validate our sRNA target prediction we used SeqTar with stringent parameters and retained only the highly probable sRNA-mRNA interactions based on a mismatch and binding score p-value ≤ 0.001 and a valid peak height of p-value ≤ 10^-10. We confirmed that grapevine endogenous microRNAs (miRNAs) regulate genes important for plant growth and development in both infected and control plants (Supplementary Table S31). We also characterized the degradome of P. viticola and identified genes targeted by its endogenous sRNAs such as kinases and a vesicle-associated membrane protein VAC14 only in the degradome-Seq dataset generated from infected plants (Supplementary Table S32). Interestingly, we have identified a potential bidirectional interaction between, on one hand; the sRNAs produced by P. viticola triggering cleavage of grapevine genes and on the other hand, the sRNAs processed from grapevines transcripts and targeting the oomycete messenger RNAs (Fig. 5, Supplementary Tables S33 and S34). Small RNA duplexes processed from grape resistance genes in 21-nt increment and known as phased secondary siRNAs (phasiRNAs), were the most abundant class of grapevine sRNAs and targeted for cleavage in P. viticola genes with diverse functions (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table S34). Other sRNAs processed from grape noncoding RNAs such as the miRNA primary transcripts pri-MIR169, pri-MIR171a, pri-MIR394c, pri-MIR482-like and pri-MIR396a as well as the trans-acting siRNA precursor TAS3 also trigger cleavage of various P. viticola transcripts. Reciprocally, P. viticola sRNAs, including those deriving from the CRN gene PVT1v1_T024389, target V. vinifera genes for cleavage at multiple sites (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table S33). Our results suggest that similarly to mechanisms described for protein-coding gene effectors, noncoding small RNAs potentially mediate interference between the pathogen and its host in a bi-directional manner in a way not previously known.

Discussion

The primary goal of this study was the identification of P. viticola pathogenicity factors involved in the infection process of grapevine. To enable the use of transcriptomic approaches we first sequenced the DNA isolated from infected plants and assembled the P. viticola genome. The genome assembly of the Italian P. viticola ‘PvFEM01’ reached 83.54 Mb, a genome size between the Chinese isolate ‘JL-7–2’ and the French one INRA-PV221 with 101.3 Mb and 74.74 Mb, respectively.1,2 The genome of the Chinese isolate reached a higher size of contigs due to the assembly of long reads obtained using the PacBio single-molecule sequencing technology whereas this work relied solely on paired-end Illumina sequencing technology. Nevertheless, the genome obtained in our study reached a completeness that was sufficient enough to identify and annotate 18,335 genes with homology to study, reached 83.54 Mb, a genome size between the Chinese isolate ‘JL-7–2’ and the French one INRA-PV221 with 101.3 Mb and 74.74 Mb, respectively.1,2 The genome of the Chinese isolate reached a higher size of contigs due to the assembly of long reads obtained using the PacBio single-molecule sequencing technology whereas this work relied solely on paired-end Illumina sequencing technology. Nevertheless, the genome obtained in our study reached a completeness that was sufficient enough to identify and annotate 18,335 genes with homology to
since a single amino acid change from Glycine to Alanine at position 143 (G143A) was found in the mitochondrial apocytochrome b protein similarly to other European downy mildew isolates highly resistant to QoI7. Sequencing of the 28 S rRNA showed that *P. viticola* 'PvitFEM01' belongs to the cryptic lineage C and therefore evolved on *V. vinifera* after introduction of the pathogen in Europe 5. Taking this into account, we expected that *P. viticola* would express effector genes triggering no defense response in *V. vinifera*. Agrobacterium-mediated infiltration of effectors in *V. vinifera* leaves resulted in no visible phenotype confirming that the isolate 'PvitFEM01' evolved a stealth infection strategy similarly to other obligate biotrophs19. Remarkably, expression of RxLR_PVITv1008311 in leaves of the resistant cultivar *V. riparia* triggered a hypersensitive response, indicating that this RxLR effector is one of the key evolutionary players in the perpetual arm race between *P. viticola* and its grapevine host. To successfully infect *V. vinifera*, *P. viticola* encodes RxLR effectors with different properties according to the *Vitis* species with which they have co-evolved. RxLR effectors can suppress plant immunity when *P. viticola* infects wild *Vitis* species such as *V. amurensis*25 or, as in the case of RxLR_PVITv1008311, they have most likely lost the potential to trigger cell death when infecting the domesticated *V. vinifera*. However, the effector is still recognized by the immune system of *V. riparia* most probably for the presence in the host genome of the resistance gene recognizing RxLR_PVITv1008311. Our finding opens therefore a new route in grapevine breeding programs since RxLR_PVITv1008311 can be used efficiently in effector-based high-throughput in planta expression assays26. This will help to accelerate the identification of new *V. vinifera* hybrids or varieties resistant to *P. viticola* hence reducing the use and the release in the environment of toxic fungicides and chemicals.

Several studies attempted to explain obligate biotrophy by a loss of certain metabolic pathways27. Similarly to other obligate biotrophs *P. viticola* lost the nitrate and nitrite reductase enzymes suggesting a total dependence on the grape host for acquiring nitrogen in its reduced form19,23. Additionally, our study reveals that not only the Italian *P. viticola* PvitFEM01 but also the French isolate INRA-PV22121 and the Chinese one JL-7-222,28 lost the genes encoding many enzymes necessary for the conversion of glutamine to uridylic acid and of glutamate to succinate and ornithine. The two latter molecules bridge glutamate metabolism to the TCA and urea cycles.

Figure 5. Bidirectional cross-species sRNA-mediated gene regulation during the compatible interaction. The hive plot indicates the interactions between *P. viticola* sRNAs originating from either intergenic (yellow dots) or protein coding genes (gray dots) and *V. vinifera* genes (blue dots). Reciprocally, *V. vinifera* sRNAs processed from either noncoding RNA (green dots), intergenic regions (purple dots) or resistance genes (red dots) target *P. viticola* transcripts (yellow dots). The thickness and color intensity of the yellow and blue lines representing the sRNA-target interactions are proportional to the log transformed p-value calculated for the number of reads from the degradome whose 5' end corresponds (±1) to the expected sRNA-mediated cleavage site: the larger the edge, the more significant the interaction. The color code is different for regulation starting (from light to dark blue) or arriving at *P. viticola* (from yellow to red). The size of the dots corresponds to the number of regulations identified for a certain sRNA.
The reconstruction of metabolic pathways by gene annotation provides the evidence for a functional glutamate metabolism in *P. viticola*, however, relying on the use of ammonia from the host grapevine. This seems a general feature of obligate biotroph pathogens. However, our study also reveals that enzymes involved in amino acid metabolism from glutamate are conserved in *P. viticola* suggesting that this biotroph does not rely on its host for synthesizing this fundamental amino acid. On the contrary, the GABA shunt pathway was impaired suggesting that succinate is most likely synthesized through the TCA/glyoxylate shunt, but not from GABA in *P. viticola*. This non-proteinogenic amino acid is synthesized from glutamate by the action of the glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) enzyme that is strongly expressed in *P. viticola* during the course of infection. Taken together our data suggest that enzymatic reactions leading to the production of GABA are strongly activated in *P. viticola* during infection, however, those associated with GABA catabolism are impaired. This implies an important increase of GABA levels during infection by *P. viticola* that will not be degraded. Interestingly, GABA reduces H₂O₂ levels by up-regulating the expression of the catalase *VvCAT2* in grapevine. Whether *P. viticola* evolved a strategy to increase GABA levels during infection in order to suppress oxidative stress in its host remains to be verified.

Besides the potential role of *P. viticola* protein-coding genes in the regulation of the infection process, our study unveiled a potential bidirectional gene regulation mediated by noncoding RNAs between *P. viticola* and its host. Nectrotrophic fungi evolved a sRNA-mediated silencing of their host genes to rapidly suppress immunity and successfully infect and destroy the plant tissues. In contrast, biotrophic oomycetes must keep their host cells alive until sporulation occurs and exchange of biological material is needed. Taking into account the computational output obtained using SeqTar with stringent parameters, the large number of sRNA-mediated cleavages occurring only in infected tissue but not in control plants are highly probable. This mechanism would implicate an important shuffling of low molecular weight RNA between *P. Viticola* and its host. This bidirectional exchange could occur either via the haustorium or through simple diffusion between cells in contact. However, additional experimental work is needed to confirm and verify if the pairing of the sRNAs to their cognate target genes occurs randomly in the cytoplasm or if it is evolving towards a type of gene regulation involving specialized protein complexes. It is not excluded that this sRNA-mediated gene regulation is still evolving given that the *V. viticola*- *V. vinifera* pathosystem appeared only about 135 years ago. The winner of this evolving arms race between *P. viticola* and *V. vinifera* is difficult to predict.

In conclusion, our work provides new insights on the molecular mechanisms governing pathogenicity of grapevine downy mildew and lays the foundation for future work aiming to develop alternatives to the heavy use of chemical treatments. Based on the results of our work, we propose the development of RNAi-based techniques such as host induced gene silencing (HIGS) or spray-induced gene silencing (SIGS) to knockdown *P. viticola* pathogenicity genes as an environmental-friendly alternative of crop protection.

**Methods**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

**Accession codes.** The raw data corresponding to the genome, RNA-Seq, sRNA-Seq and degradome-Seq sequences used in this study has been deposited at GenBank under the project accession PRJNA380033. The mitochondrial genome described in this study has been deposited to GenBank under the accession number KY885002.

**Online Methods**

**Plant material, growth conditions, inoculation and infiltration.** *V. Vinifera* susceptible cultivars Pinot Noir cv. ENTAV115, the near-homozygous Pinot Noir 40024 and Sultanina as well as the resistant V. riparia used in this study were cultivated in vitro in glass tubes on half-strength Murashige Skoog (MS) medium containing 0.6 mg/l thiamine, 100 mg/l myo-inositol, 30 g/l sucrose and 6 g/l agar. The plants were grown at 24 °C under 1 light. The isolation from the field of *P. viticola* was obtained for a k-mer of 60 nucleotides. To remove sequences not belonging to the *P. viticola* mitochondrial genome described in this study has been deposited to GenBank under the accession number JN885002.

**Pathogen genomic DNA extraction.** To further confirm the presence of *P. viticola* in symptomatic grapevine vineyards, genomic DNA was extracted from one symptomatic vine and one asymptomatic vine using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). DNA concentration was determined using a Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen). 5 μg of DNA were PCR-amplified with the following primer pair: F1 (5′-CTGCCTTATGACTGTAGGCT-3′) and R1 (5′-AACAGACTTCTGCAGCACTG-3′).

**Genome sequencing and gene annotation.** *P. viticola* is a biotroph and therefore cannot be cultivated and grown on synthetic culture medium. The starting DNA for library preparation was isolated from a mix of sporangia, sporangiothores and mycelia emerging from infected grapevine cv. Pinot Noir ENTAV115 grown in vitro. *Plasmopara viticola* genomic DNA was extracted using the method described in St-Ammour et al. The MicroPlex Library Preparation Kit (Diagenode, www.diagenode.com) was used to build the Illumina library using 60 ng of *P. viticola* genomic DNA and following the manufacturer’s recommendations. The library was sequenced using a HiSeq, 2500 Illumina platform (Illumina, www.illumina.com) at Fasteris (www.fasteris.ch). DNA fragments were sequenced from both ends to generate 2 × 100 bp paired-end reads. All reads mapping on the grapevine genome cv. PN40024 and contaminating bacterial sequences were filtered and eliminated to produce a preliminary assembly using Abyss. Several k-mer lengths were tested and the best N50 (11 kbp) value was obtained for a k-mer of 60 nucleotides. To remove sequences not belonging to the *P. viticola* genome, we followed the flowchart indicated in Supplementary Fig. S2 and explained in details in the supplementary note. The final assembly was then selected among the outputs of Ray and Abyss with different k-mer lengths as described in details in the supplementary note. The *P. viticola* mitochondrial scaffolds genomes from the Ray assembly were identified on the basis of similarities with *P. infestans* mitochondrial sequences. All expected
mitochondrial ORFs were found in scaffolds that were subsequently manually assembled. Gene finding and gene training was performed using Augustus\(^\text{11}\), GlimmerHMM\(^\text{46}\) and GeneID\(^\text{41}\). Gene predictions were supported by RNA-Seq data and the genes named as described in the supplementary note. Transfer RNA genes were identified using tRNA-scanSE\(^\text{14}\) and ribosomal genes annotated using RNAmer\(^\text{43}\). The degree of completeness of the assembly was estimated by comparing our final assembly with available data such as the genome size determined by Feuglen staining\(^\text{14}\), the genome size of \textit{P. halstedii}, sequences of \textit{P. viticola} available in different databases, a BUSCO\(^\text{15}\) analysis and our comparative genomics study, as described in the supplementary note.

**Comparative genomics and phylogenetic analyses.** The ortholog groups from the 15 oomycete species including \textit{P. viticola} were used to identify the oomycete core genome. Pairs of genomes were compared using Inparanoid\(^\text{46}\) and the outputs were integrated using QuickParanoid (http://pl.postech.ac.kr/QuickParanoid/). Phylogenetic analyses of the oomycete dataset were performed using a concatenation of 312 core ortholog proteins containing a single copy per genome and aligned using MAFFT\(^\text{17}\). The alignment was further filtered with Gblocks\(^\text{48}\). Phylogenetic trees were built using phylm\(^\text{49}\) and raxml\(^\text{50}\). The RxLR, RxLR-like, CRN and YxSLK effectors were identified as described in the supplementary note. Apoplastic effectors were identified by scanning protein sequences with the corresponding HMM models from Plam\(^\text{15}\) (http://plam.xfam.org/).

**RNA-Seq, sRNA-Seq and degradome-Seq.** Infections of \textit{V. vinifera} cv. ENTAV115 \textit{in vitro} plants with \textit{P. viticola} (isolate ‘PvFEM01’) were performed as described in Lenzi et al.\(^\text{15}\). Both infected and non-infected plants were harvested at five time points (0, 24, 48, 72 and 168 hours post-infection, hpi) in duplicates with 20–25 plants in each replicate. The replicates are from independent experiments. Sporangia of \textit{P. viticola} were collected from infected material at late time points (96 and 168 hpi). Total RNA was extracted using the Spectrum plant total RNA kit (www.agenix.com) and the small RNA fraction recovered from the flowthrough following the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA-Seq and sRNA-Seq libraries were built using the TruSeq RNA and TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep kits (www.illumina.com), respectively, following the manufacturer’s protocol. The degradome-Seq libraries were constructed from RNA extracted from pooled material of infected and non-infected plants using the parallel analysis of RNA ends (PARE) protocol as described by German et al.\(^\text{13}\) by Vertis Biotechnologie AG (www.vertis-biotech.com). The RNA-Seq and degradome-Seq libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 platform (www.illumina.com) at the LabSSAH facility (www.labssah.eu) and Vertis Biotechnologie AG (www.vertis-biotech.com), respectively. The RNA-Seq libraries were processed as described in the supplementary note. Differential gene expression analysis of the \textit{P. viticola} and \textit{V. vinifera} transcriptomes were performed by using the Cufflinks pipeline as described in the supplementary note\(^\text{52,53}\). Targets cleaved by sRNAs were predicted using SeqTar\(^\text{54}\) and by combining different sets of sRNAs and transcriptomes as described in Šurbanovski et al.\(^\text{55}\). A set of sRNAs of 21nt that mapped perfectly on \textit{P. viticola} genome and a set of \textit{V. vinifera} sRNAs of 21 and 22nt were used to search for mRNA targets in the \textit{P. viticola} transcriptome from this study and to search for targets in grapevine gene sequences retrieved from Genoscope (www.genoscope.cns.fr) and CRIBI (http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/). All SeqTar analyses were filtered using a mismatch and binding score p-value ≤ 0.001 and a valid peak height p-value ≤ 10\(^{-10}\).
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