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Abstract: The Sino-US conflicts and the COVID-19 have significantly impacted the Chinese economy and the Chinese government has proposed the “Dual Circulation Economy” strategy as countermeasure. As one of the most developed regions in China, the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area is expected to shoulder responsibilities in this ambition. In this paper, we analyze the challenges for the Greater Bay Area to participate from the perspective of industrial development. We then employ a quantitative method to identify the industrial potential of the Greater Bay Area cities in facilitating the dual circulation economy. At last, we provide several policy recommendations including promoting cross-regional industrial cooperation and enhancing the spatial spillover effects of core cities.

1 INTRODUCTION

The global political and economic order is in turmoil. Especially under the impact of the COVID-19, the global economic governance system and the world market have been greatly overturned (Yang, 2020; Lin, 2020; Ferrannini et al., 2021; Bishop & Payne, 2021) [1,2,3,4]. To address the turbulent, the Political Bureau of the Communist Party of China Central Committee points out that China still faces uncertainties that would extend to the medium and long run. It urged the country to construct a “dual circulation” economic pattern, in which domestic economic cycle plays a leading role while international economic cycle act as the extension and supplement of the domestic cycle. The Chinese economy is about to enter a new era. Under the pressure of the external environment, to lay a solid foundation for the construction of the “dual circulation” is of significance (Shen & Zhao, 2020; Sheng, 2020).[5,6] It yields extensive benefits to further the reform and opening-up, achieve high-quality economic development, contribute the Chinese wisdom to global governance, and facilitate the building of a worldwide community with a shared future for mankind (Blanchard & Zeng, 2020).[7]

As one of the most vital region in China's economic development, the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area (Greater Bay Area) has the comparative advantage of connecting the domestic and foreign institutions, rules and markets (Eddie et al., 2020; Yan & Liu, 2020).[8,9] When the world is in structural changes, it is necessary to explore the role of the Greater Bay Area in participating in the “dual circulation”.

In this paper, we analyze the challenges faced by the Greater Bay Area in participating in the “dual circulation”, and then propose the policy recommendations accordingly.

2 CHALLENGES

2.1 The spatial layout of industries

The industrial structures are homogeneous. The manufacturing similarity coefficients of the nine inland cities in the Greater Bay Area are mostly over 0.7, among which the coefficients of Shenzhen-Dongguan-Huizhou and Foshan-Zhongshan-Zhuhai are over 0.8 (Table 1). While “industries with value-added ratio ≥5% ” are defined as dominant industries, “industries with the dominant position in more than 5 cities” are defined as highly homogenized industries. Computer communication, electrical machinery, basic chemical and metal products are highly homogeneous industries within the Greater Bay Area. While the former two are the dominant industries in almost all the inland cities of the Greater Bay Area, accounting for a high proportion of industrial added value. If there is a lack of coordination and communication in the cities’ industrial policy design, the phenomenon of industrial homogeneity will further deteriorate (Han et al., 2017).[10]
### 2.2 The linkage of industrial development

Top-level design is incomplete. The lack of forward-looking and top-level design in some cities restricts the balanced layout of industries, leading to weak spatial spillover effects. The phenomenon of resource mismatching and blind positioning of advanced industries is another headache in the area (Li & Deng, 2019). An additional challenge is the uneven distribution of industrial platforms. The platforms established by the central government are mainly located in Guangzhou and Shenzhen. Local governments tend to support industries with short-term returns, disregarding the significance of industries that require long-term investments. Moreover, the industrial platforms in peripheral cities primarily serve local enterprises, and most platforms are fragmented, lacking a key cross-regional cooperation mechanism.

Construction of transportation networks and cooperation platforms lags behind. The traffic network is also "strong in the east and weak in the west" in the Greater Bay Area. There are seven airports in the Greater Bay Area, of which Hong Kong, Guangzhou, and Shenzhen account for more than 90% of the total passenger traffic. The efficient and convenient air transport services provided by these airports are mostly concentrated in the eastern part of the Pearl River. Moreover, the lack of efficient inter-city rail connections in the Greater Bay Area limits the integration of the cities in the Greater Bay Area. Similarly, the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge is constrained by the poor connection of cross-border regulations, which cannot fully meet the capacity demands of the cities. Therefore, the efficient industrial linkage cannot be fully sustained.

### 2.3 The industrial competition

Technological innovation and research capacity are not sufficient. The basic research and development capacity of the region is still insufficient in the three areas. The upstream market of the new generation information technology industry is monopolized by large MNCs in the United States or Japan (Zhao & Pei, 2019; Zhang, 2019). The local industries face severe risks of "value chain disruption". For example, the cloud computing industry in the Greater Bay Area encounters significant technical barriers. The linkage of the core hardware manufacturing between various cities is weak. Biomedical enterprises have not yet made breakthroughs in the key technologies of the raw material. High-end raw materials such as serum-free medium still rely heavily on imports.

The modern service sector is not mature. The overall service industry in the Greater Bay Area still lags behind the other three Bay Areas in the world. The other three Bay Areas have developed mature service economic forms (Zhang & Gu, 2017). However, as of 2019, the proportion of the tertiary industry in the Greater Bay Area is about 66.2%, which is lower than that of the San Francisco Bay Area (71.6%), the New York Bay Area (82.9%) and the Tokyo Bay Area (74.5%). The current industrial structures of Foshan, Dongguan, Huizhou and Zhongshan still need to be improved (Figure 1). According to some research, the service sector could be divided into low-end, mid-end and high-end. The Greater Bay Area is still dominated by low-end and mid-end producer services, while high-end producer services need to be further developed.

![Fig 1. Proportion of three major industries in Greater Bay Area, 2019](image-url)
2.4 International Industrial Cooperation

The momentum of trade is declining. From 2010 to 2019, the growth rate of foreign trade in the Greater Bay Area declined from 25.85% to -5.14%, a significant gap of 31 percentage points (Figure 2). Only Guangzhou, Shenzhen and Foshan have a total trade volume of more than 100 billion yuan, and they account for 78.67% of the nine cities in the Greater Bay Area. This reflects that the development of foreign trade is highly concentrated in several core cities, and with a huge inter-city gradient. In addition, the Greater Bay Area relying on Hong Kong's trade is not conducive to enhancing the effectiveness of international industrial cooperation.

International investment is overly dependent on Hong Kong. In 2019, the Greater Bay Area’s proportion of actual utilization of foreign investment from Europe and the United States to total FDI is less than 2%, the investment cooperation with developed regions is still insufficient. The relatively single mode of investment and the weakened momentum of international engineering contracting development have gradually come to the fore, which may make it difficult for the Greater Bay Area to capture the new round of global technology transfer and model innovation, or make it neglect the construction of enterprise marketing channels. To a certain extent, the situation of internal consumption of resources appears, and it is difficult to promote the Greater Bay Area and the international level of convergence, and difficult to achieve economic "external circulation".

3 MEASURING THE INDUSTRIAL POTENTIAL IN THE GREATER BAY AREA CITIES

3.1 Methodology

In this paper, we employ a quantitative method to identify the industrial potentials of the 9 inland cities in the Greater Bay Area. Then we examine the foundations and challenges of the Greater Bay Area’s participation in the “dual circulation”.

Based on the Product Complexity Index, which is measured by the Harvard Growth Lab using the HS4 code, we construct Industry Complexity Index for 18 manufacturing and 4 service sectors. A 2x2 model matrix was constructed by combining the five-year compound growth rates of the corresponding industries.

3.2 Visualization of the result

Figure 3 reveals the visualization result of the industrial development measurement in the Greater Bay Area. We conclude three stylized facts.

First, the center of the industrial distribution locates in the range of high growth and high complexity, indicating that the industrial development of the Greater Bay Area is of high quality and has a solid foundation of regional industrial intra-circulation.

Second, the distribution extends from the lower left to the upper right, showing that the output value of industries with low compound growth rate and low industrial complexity is smaller. While that with high compound growth rate and high industrial complexity is higher, which is in line with the benign development trend of regional economy.

Third, the major industries locate in the positive side of growth rate, and the compound growth rate is mostly maintained in the high growth range of 20% or even 30%. The electronic equipment manufacturing industry in Shenzhen, Dongguan is maintaining a large scale, but also obtain a compound growth rate of more than 50%. While those locate in the negative growth range are mostly traditional production capacity such as textile and garment manufacturing, which means that the supply-side structural reform has proved to be effective.
pharmaceutical manufacturing, electronic equipment manufacturing and machinery manufacturing.

(3) The auto manufacturing industry is rapidly forming a pattern of regional synergistic development. Its growth rate in many cities of the Greater Bay Area is extremely high, which is a potential area to promote the construction of “dual circulation”.

(4) The development momentum of some advantageous industries is slowing down, especially the machinery manufacturing industry. It is urgent to accelerate the supply-side structural reform and innovation to enable the transformation of the drivers.

4 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

To further facilitate the Greater Bay Area’s participation in constructing the “dual circulation” economy, we propose the following policies.

First, the west bank of the Greater Bay Area should learn from the "German model" and prioritizes machinery, metal and non-metal mineral smelting and processing, and automobile (and other carrier) manufacturing industries. The east should learn from the "California model" and focuses on building an industrial system for the manufacturing of high-tech electronic equipment.

Second, accelerating the high-quality development of pharmaceutical manufacturing and other industries with advantages in the area. It is suggested to build a regional industrial pattern with gradients and priorities, participating in the international economic cycle by relying on advantageous industries while consolidating a solid foundation of industrial intra-circulation.

Third, strengthening the role of Guangzhou as a liaison hub connecting the eastern and western sides, and fully dredge the channels for the flow and circulation of advantageous industrial elements. Enhance Shenzhen's role as a hub connecting ICT service and electronic equipment manufacturing elements on the east and international financial resources in Hong Kong at the south of the Greater Bay Area. Strengthen Shenzhen's ability to integrate international financial, information and technology elements to lead the Greater Bay Area to participate in the “dual circulation”.

Last, the cities in the Greater Bay Area should collaborate to play their complementary advantages, and the industrial advantageous areas must strengthen the spatial overflow to make up for the shortcomings of the disadvantaged areas. Accelerate the formation of industrial development synergy and build a solid foundation of the “dual circulation” industrial economic system.
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