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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Adherence to government COVID-19-related instructions is reported to be high, but the psychosocial impacts of measures such as self-isolation and physical distancing could undermine long-term adherence to containment measures. The first step in designing interventions to mitigate the impacts of adhering to COVID-19-related instructions is to identify what are the most prevalent challenges and what characterises the people facing them.

A cross-sectional survey was administered to a representative sample of the UK population (N = 2252), of whom n = 2139 (94.9%) reported adhering to the UK government’s COVID-19-related instructions, and were included in the final analysis. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics and binary logistic regression.

Of the people who reported adhering to UK government’s COVID-19-related instructions, 80.3% reported experiencing challenges. Adults aged 55 years or over (OR = 1.939, 95%CI 1.331–2.825) and men (OR = 0.489, 95%CI 0.393-0.668) were least likely to report challenges. Adjusting to changes in daily routine (reported by 48.7% of the sample), mental health (reported by 41.4% of the sample) and physical health (reported by 31.5% of the sample) were the most prevalent challenges.

For the first time, the present study quantifies the extent to which people experienced challenges when adhering to government COVID-19-related instructions. Few people reported experiencing no challenges when adhering to COVID-19-related instructions. Interventions to address the effects of changes in daily routine, mental health challenges, and physical health challenges should be prioritised, with a focus on key subgroups including women, younger adults, and people without care commitments.

1. Introduction

Until widescale rollout of effective vaccines for COVID-19, performing behaviours (e.g., hand washing, self-isolating when appropriate, physical distancing) to mitigate transmission is the only way to control the spread of the virus. Public adherence to UK government COVID-19-related instructions is high (Armitage et al., in press), yet the wide-ranging impacts on health and wellbeing of the COVID-19 pandemic and its associated containment measures are well documented. These include heightened levels of stress, depression and anxiety, low mood and sleep-related disturbances (Gao et al., 2020; Banks and Xu, 2020; Brooks et al., 2020; Gualano et al., 2020; Lauri Korajijla and Jokic-Begic, 2020). People may also face financial challenges due to being unable to work (Brooks et al., 2020), changes in daily routines and structure (Williams et al., 2020), and lack of access to childcare (Dalton et al., 2008). Failing to address these challenges may undermine the sustained changes in behaviour that are needed to tackle the pandemic. However, previous research is limited by its focus on qualitative studies using small unrepresentative samples only, or being rapid reviews, as opposed to systematic reviews. To address this limitation, there is a need to understand the challenges faced specifically when adhering to government COVID-19-related instructions using a nationally....
representative sample. A first step in developing interventions to mitigate these psychosocial challenges is to understand the extent of the various challenges and at whom such interventions need to be targeted. The present study aimed to: (1) assess the challenges experienced as a result of adhering to the UK government’s COVID-19-related instructions (limiting time spent outside the home, staying 2 m away from people outside the household at all times, and maintaining hand hygiene), and (2) identify the people who may need targeted help to deal with such challenges with a view to bolstering adherence to the UK government’s COVID-19-related instructions. With respect to the latter, recent findings show the disproportionate effects of COVID-19 on people with lower incomes (Blundell et al., 2020), people from Black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) groups (PHE, 2020), and people of lower socioeconomic status (Prats-Uribe et al., 2020). Other sociodemographic variables, including age, gender, and education level may also be associated with specific challenges experienced during pandemics (Taylor et al., 2008; Qiu et al., 2020). For example, women, younger adults and those with lower levels of educational qualifications are more vulnerable to high psychological distress (Taylor et al., 2008; Horesh et al., 2020). It is important to understand more about sociodemographic variables as these may affect levels of compliance within the population. For example, psychological traits such as self-efficacy, psychosocial variables such as perceived capability opportunity and motivation to adhere to government guidelines, and demographic factors such as younger age and higher education level are shown to be associated with decreased compliance of protective health measures (Armitage et al., in press; Roma et al., 2020a; Nivette et al., 2021). We therefore aimed to explore these further. Based on previous evidence it is predicted that COVID-19-related challenges will be more prevalent in women, older adults, people from BAME groups, and people of lower socioeconomic status.

2. Methods

2.1. Design and procedure

A cross-sectional survey design was used. A sample of 2252 UK adults were recruited via a survey panel company (YouGov) on 30th April 2020, when the UK had been in lockdown for 5 weeks. A representative sample of the UK population were invited to take part in an online questionnaire, administered as part of a daily “omnibus” survey. Participants were incentivised in accordance with YouGov’s points system, whereby respondents accumulate points for taking part in online surveys. The data were collated by YouGov and sent securely to the research team for analysis. Ethical approval was obtained from a University Research Ethics Committee (ref: 2020–9551-15,105) and participants gave informed consent at the beginning of the survey.

3. Measures

3.1. Sociodemographic variables

The questionnaire, as part of a wider survey (Armitage et al., in press), included sociodemographic variables of age, gender, ethnicity and social grade (type of occupation: manual, non-manual/ unemployed) according to UK Office for National Statistics measures (Statistics UOfN, 2020).

3.2. Capturing experiences of adherence

Adherence to government COVID-19-related instructions was measured using a single item, “How closely are you following the UK government’s COVID-19-related instructions?” that participants rated on an 11-point scale (not at all[0]-very much so[10]). The present study aimed to understand the challenges associated with adherence to government instructions; therefore, people who reported adherence were included in the analysis. Adherence was defined as participants scoring above the scale midpoint (scores >5); all participants scoring ≤5 were excluded from the analysis. This method was deemed appropriate in order to eliminate neutral responses (i.e. on the scale midpoint), and to ensure that we identified people with at least modest exposure to the challenges of adhering to the UK government’s COVID-19-related instructions. Of the total sample (N = 2252), 2139 (94.9%) people reported adherence >5 (0–10 scale) and were included in the final analysis.

3.3. Challenges faced when following COVID-19-related instructions

Participants were also asked a single item “which, if any, of the following challenges are you facing in following the UK government’s coronavirus (COVID-19) related instructions?” They were presented with a list of seven response options with respect to challenges identified in the literature, alongside descriptions of the natures of the challenges. Response options were: employment challenges (e.g. being unable to work, being made redundant, furlough etc.) (Thomas et al., 2015), care commitment challenges (e.g. childcare or looking after elderly relatives etc.) (Andrew et al., 2020), physical health challenges (e.g. lack of exercise, unusual aches and pains etc.) (Williams et al., 2020), adjusting to changes to your usual daily routine (Williams et al., 2020), financial challenges (e.g. paying bills, rent, accessing the benefit system etc.) (Brooks et al., 2020), mental health challenges (e.g. anxiety, feeling down, loneliness etc.) (Gao et al., 2020), environmental challenges (e.g. lack of space at home, no access to outside space etc.) (Thomas et al., 2015). Using individual checkboxes, participants were asked to identify which of the challenges they were currently facing (participants were free to choose as many as they felt were applicable to them personally). For those not facing any challenges a further option was provided: “not applicable - I’m not facing any challenges with government instructions for coronavirus”.

3.4. Analyses

Data were weighted to be reflective of the UK population. Whilst the residuals were non normally distributed, the methods (including the large sample size) were considered robust; logistic regression can be used irrespective of distribution (Schreiber-Gregory et al., 2018). Descriptive statistics were used to quantify the challenges experienced adhering to government COVID-19-related instructions. Binary logistic regression was used to examine correlates of COVID-19-related challenges, according to sociodemographic variables (gender, age, ethnicity, social grade, and whether respondents were either a parent or a guardian; all dummy coded). Each challenge was recorded as a binary outcome (challenge experienced[1] or challenge not experienced[0]).

4. Results

4.1. Participant characteristics

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. The mean age of participants was 48.46 years (SD = 17.52). Most participants were of

---

1 Other items analysed from the same Covid-19 dataset are reported elsewhere see https://osf.io/ew82z/

2 Preliminary analysis showed challenges overall were more prevalent in people who reported adherence (n = 2139), compared to those who reported non-adherence (n = 113): mental health (41.4% vs. 28.3%), physical health (31.5% vs. 20.4%), daily routine (48.7% vs. 32.7%), care commitments (12.9 vs. 5.3), environmental (19.5% vs. 18.6%), financial (14.0% vs. 10.6%), employment (19.5% vs. 18.6%).
The most prevalent challenges faced when adhering to government COVID-19-related instructions (presented in Table 1) were: adjusting to changes in daily routine (reported by 48.7% of the sample), mental health challenges (reported by 41.4% of the sample), physical health challenges (reported by 31.5% of the sample); 19.7% of the sample reported not facing any challenges with government instructions for COVID-19. Of the total sample, 430 (20.1%) people reported experiencing both mental and physical health challenges. For those reporting one challenge only (n = 496; 23.2% of the sample), 44.5% of these people reported challenges in adjusting to daily routines only, 21.4% reported mental health challenges only, and 12.8% reported physical health challenges only. The mean number of specific challenges experienced was 1.81, and 30.5% of the sample reported three or more challenges.

Table 1 shows the binary logistic regression results of the prevalence of challenges when adhering to government-related-COVID-19 instructions, according to sociodemographic variables. Women compared with men (OR = 0.489, 95% CI 0.393–0.608), and people younger than 55 were more likely to report challenges (OR = 1.939, 95% CI 1.331–2.825). We found no evidence of differences in the likelihood of reporting challenges based on social grade and ethnicity.

Multiple challenges (i.e. more than one challenge) were reported more among women (OR = 1.604, 95% CI 1.352–1.903) compared with men, and among people aged 18–24 years compared with people aged 18–24 years (OR = 1.426, 95% CI 1.007–2.020). Multiple challenges were less prevalent among older adults aged 55 years or older compared with younger adults aged 18–24 years (OR = 0.520, 95% CI 0.388–0.696), and among people who were parents or guardians (OR = 0.824, 95% CI 0.694–0.978).

Mental health challenges were reported more among women (OR = 1.790, 95% CI 1.503–2.132) compared to men, among younger adults aged 18–24 years compared with those aged 45–54 years (OR = 0.579, 95% CI 0.415–0.804) and 55 years or over (OR = 0.359, 95% CI 0.267–0.482), and among people who were not parents or guardians (OR = 0.610, 95% CI 0.512–0.726). Physical health challenges were more prevalent among women (OR = 1.354, 95% CI 1.126–1.628) compared to men, and among people who were not parents or guardians (OR = 0.753, 95% CI 0.629–0.902).

Challenges in adjusting to changes to daily routine were more prevalent in women (OR = 1.665, 95% CI 1.403–1.976) compared with men, and more prevalent among people of higher social grade (OR = 1.186, 95% CI 1.004–1.402) compared to lower social grade. Care commitment challenges were more prevalent in women (OR = 1.627, 95% CI 1.253–2.112) compared with men, and in people aged 25–34 years (OR = 5.217, 95% CI 2.412–11.288), 35–44 years (OR = 8.291, 95% CI 3.927–17.502), 45–54 years (OR = 6.224, 95% CI 2.920–13.263) and aged 55 years or over (OR = 2.601, 95% CI 1.231–5.494) than people aged 18–24 years, and more prevalent in people who were parents or guardians (OR = 2.618, 95% CI 1.959–3.499).

Environmental challenges were more prevalent among people aged 18–24 years, compared to those aged 35–44 years (OR = 0.592, 95% CI 0.377–0.838), 45–54 years (OR = 0.307, 95% CI 0.195–0.484), and 55 years or over (OR = 0.189, 95% CI 0.126–0.283), and more prevalent among people who were not parents or guardians (OR = 0.457, 95% CI 0.355–0.588). Environmental challenges were more prevalent among people of higher social grade (OR = 1.314, 95% CI 1.017–1.697) compared to people of lower social grade.

Financial challenges were less prevalent among people aged 55 or over (OR = 0.306, 95% CI 0.197–0.477) compared to people aged 18–24 years, and less prevalent among people of white ethnic background (OR = 0.519, 95% CI 0.334–0.806) compared with people of non-white ethnic background. Employment challenges were more prevalent among people who were aged 18–24 years, compared with people aged 35–44 years (OR = 0.644, 95% CI 0.448–0.924), 45–54 years (OR = 0.679, 95% CI 0.472–0.976), and 55 years or over (OR = 0.224, 95% CI 0.157–0.320), and more prevalent among people who were not parents or guardians (OR = 0.626, 95% CI 0.505–0.776).

5. Discussion

The most prevalent challenges faced when adhering to government COVID-19-related instructions were: adjusting to changes in daily routines, mental health challenges, and physical health challenges. Demographic factors such as gender and age influenced the likelihood of experiencing COVID-19 related challenges during periods of lockdown. Contrary to our predictions, we found no evidence of greater challenges among people of lower social grade, and we found lower prevalence of challenges experienced by people from BAME groups.

5.1. Comparison with previous studies

Consistent with recent studies, our findings suggest that government COVID-19-related instructions such as social distancing and self-isolation pose a significant challenge to peoples’ mental health and wellbeing (Gao et al., 2020; Banks and Xu, 2020; Brooks et al., 2020; Giuliano et al., 2020; Lauri Korajlija and Jokic-Begic, 2020; Williams et al., 2020). We found evidence of high prevalence of self-reported mental and physical health challenges. We found that 40.8% of our sample reported experiencing mental health challenges, which far exceeds the one in six people presented in national statistics, who report experiencing a common mental health problem in a given week in England (McManus et al., 2016). Further, 20.1% of people reported white ethnic background (n = 2000; 93.5%) and 52.8% of the sample were women. Self-reported adherence to government-related instructions was high (M = 9.09, SD = 1.10 on a 0–10 scale).

Table 1 Sample characteristics, adherence and challenges faced when adhering to government COVID-19-related instructions.

| Variable                        | N (%) | Mean | SD |
|---------------------------------|-------|------|----|
| Gender                          |       |      |    |
| Men                             | 1010  | 47.2 |    |
| Women                           | 1129  | 52.8 |    |
| Age                             |       |      |    |
| 18–24                           | 335   | 95.4 | 4.6 |
| 25–34                           | 421   | 95.7 | 4.4 |
| 35–44                           | 446   | 95.9 | 4.3 |
| 45–54                           | 298   | 95.7 | 4.3 |
| 55+                             | 139   | 95.4 | 4.5 |
| Social grade                    |       |      |    |
| Non-manual worker               | 1225  | 57.2 |    |
| Manual / unemployed             | 915   | 42.8 |    |
| Ethnicity                       |       |      |    |
| White                           | 2000  | 93.5 |    |
| Black, Asian, minority ethnic/prefer not to say | 139 | 6.5 |    |
| Adherence                       |       |      |    |
| ‘How closely are you following the UK government’s COVID-19-related instructions?’ (not at all)–very much so (10). | | | |
| Challenges                      |       |      |    |
| Adjusting to changes to daily routine | 1043 | 48.7 |    |
| Mental health challenges        | 886   | 41.4 |    |
| Physical health challenges      | 673   | 31.5 |    |
| Employment challenges           | 417   | 19.5 |    |
| Financial challenges            | 299   | 14.0 |    |
| Environmental challenges        | 288   | 13.5 |    |
| Care commitment challenges      | 276   | 12.9 |    |
| Other                           | 145   | 6.8  |    |
| Not applicable ‘I’m not facing any challenges with government instructions for coronavirus’ | 422 | 19.7 |    |
| Don’t know / prefer not to say  | 66    | 3.1  |    |

* Refers to the average number of challenges identified (e.g. mental health challenges, physical health challenges).
We found evidence that demographic factors influence the likelihood of the presence of COVID-19-related challenges, suggesting certain groups are more likely than others to experience specific challenges. Our findings suggest that groups known to be disproportionately affected by COVID-19, such as males, older adults, and people from BAME groups (PHE, 2020) do not appear to report higher prevalence of perceived challenges. Women were more likely than men to experience multiple challenges, and specifically with respect to physical and mental health challenges, and challenges related to care commitments and adjustments to daily routines. One possible explanation for this may be due to women being more likely than men to be primary caregivers or key workers, which may consequently result in significant psychological challenges (Horesh et al., 2020; Society, 2020). Further, there is evidence from recent studies that mothers, compared to fathers, are more likely to have lost or quit their job, or to have been furloughed since the start of lockdown, and carry the additional burden of spending less time on paid work, and more time on household responsibilities and childcare (Andrew et al., 2020; Hupkau and Petrongolo, 2020). Consistent with recent findings (Daly et al., 2020), mental health challenges were more prevalent in younger adults compared with older adults. Given the disproportionate effects of COVID-19 on people from Black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) groups (PHE, 2020), we expected higher prevalence of challenges experienced. However, people of non-white ethnic background were more likely than people of white ethnic background to experience financial challenges. This supports recent findings showing that compared to people of white British background, people of non-white ethnic background, including BAME and migrant groups, are more likely to experience COVID-19-related financial challenges including household income loss, or falling behind with bill payments (Hu, 2020). Given the relatively small number of people from BAME groups in our study (<6% of the total sample), it would therefore be valuable for future studies to explore the prevalence of specific challenges within sub-populations further using large samples. Another unexpected finding was that people of higher social grade were more likely than people of lower social grade to experience challenges in adjusting to daily routines and environmental challenges. These could be explained by specific changes to living circumstances, including a change in work environment (moving from office-based work to working at home), or having to work from home whilst looking after children. Further, key workers are more likely to be of lower social grade than higher social grade (Fernández-Reino et al., 2020), and may have not experienced the same shift in routine or environment (Blundell et al., 2020).

Conversely, certain demographic factors were protective factors for experiencing COVID-19-related challenges. People aged 35 years and older were less likely to experience environmental challenges and employment challenges, compared with younger adults who are more likely to: (a) live in non-rural areas than rural areas, with limited access to green space (Thomas et al., 2015), and (b) face disruption in education, and who were already facing great uncertainty in job markets prior to COVID-19 (Organization IL, 2020). People who were a parent or guardian were less likely to experience multiple challenges, and were less likely to experience mental and physical health challenges. This is consistent with research showing that having children is associated with reduced stress and anxiety, increased physical and psychological quality of life during COVID-19 (Horesh et al., 2020), and lower prevalence of depression (Roma et al., 2020b). One possible explanation may be that particularly people who are single or who have no children may experience loneliness, which is associated with adverse physical and psychological outcomes (Jaremka et al., 2013; Mazza et al., 2020) including feeling detached from sources of social support (Akin, 2010). These experiences of both mental and physical health challenges is well documented; 30% of the UK population living with a long-term condition will also have a mental health problem (approximately 4.6 million people) (Naylor et al., 2012).
thoughts and feelings may be heightened during extended periods of social isolation. Conversely, having children may be a source of positive emotions and may serve to motivate parents to take preventive action, with childcare acting to divert attention away from negative emotions (Roma et al., 2020b). People who were a parent or guardian were also less likely to face environmental and employment challenges, which may be attributable to parents being able to carry on working at work and having the necessary space at home to educate their children (Blundell et al., 2020).

5.2. Implications and future research

Whilst people may report high levels of adherence to government-related instructions during the pandemic (Armitage et al., in press), public health crises pose a significant challenge to many aspects of people’s lives. Sociodemographic factors may influence the likelihood of compliance with protective health measures (Roma et al., 2020a; Nivette et al., 2021). By not addressing the challenges faced by particular groups within society, this may undermine the sustained changes in behaviour that are needed over the long-term. Due to a lack of tailored interventions promoting sustained adherence to COVID-19 containment measures, our findings suggest two important areas for public health policy makers. First, to encourage sustained adherence, public health communication messages should be tailored to specific groups, who may face different challenges and accessibility needs when adhering to protective health measures (British Psychological Society, 2020; Gioio et al., 2020). Second, specific characteristics of the population should be considered when designing interventions to address the challenges faced during pandemics identified in this study. Women, younger adults and people without care commitments in particular, would benefit from such interventions. Interventions would also benefit from considering people who report multiple challenges. Our findings suggest an urgent need to prioritise interventions which address the physical, psychological and social impacts of the pandemic. Future research must identify whether the challenges identified in our study persist over the long-term, such as mental and physical health outcomes, and the challenges of adjusting to new daily routines, including isolation, working arrangements including enforced remote working, caring responsibilities and home schooling (O’Connor et al., 2020; Hoffart et al., 2020; Holmes et al., 2020; Lee, 2020). Evidence from behavioural science should guide intervention development, such as using the behaviour change wheel to identify the specific content and function of interventions, and evaluate intervention options (Michie et al., 2020; Michie et al., 2011). Our findings highlight the need for specific interventions to address the challenges identified by specific groups in our study. These may include habit change interventions to support people in establishing new daily routines (Lally and Gardner, 2013), and greater investment in services to improve physical and mental health, such as the Improving Access to Psychological Therapy (IAPT) programme that can be delivered remotely (Rushton et al., 2019), remote consultations delivered by healthcare professionals to address physical health concerns (Greenhalgh et al., 2020), and home-based interventions to promote physical health (Ricci et al., 2020).

6. Strengths and limitations

One strength of the present study is that it was carried out among a large representative sample of the UK population. However, the cross-sectional design means we were unable to monitor any changes in reported challenges over time. This is particularly important given the nature of the challenges experienced during different stages of a pandemic are likely to change as government measures are relaxed, and later reintroduced. Whilst the nature of the topic (i.e. asking people to report whether they were following government-related instructions) may, for some participants, result in socially desirable responses, we found that men were more likely than women to report no challenges. This may suggest that men are underreporting perceived challenges or could reflect socio structural inequalities; further in-depth qualitative work would provide insight into this issue. Further, the use of a single item to measure adherence to government-related instructions may not fully capture the complexities of adherence, in light of rapidly changing government policies. Future studies would benefit from examining adherence to specific policies, as they are implemented.

7. Conclusions

The present study suggests an urgent need to consider the challenges people face when adhering to government instructions, particularly during periods of lockdown, when designing interventions to support the health and wellbeing of the population. This may include the design of new interventions, but also investment in existing services, particularly for mental health. Our findings suggest there are important sub-groups that should be the focus of tailored interventions to promote sustained adherence to COVID-19 containment measures. Interventions should be targeted at women, younger adults and people without care commitments in particular. Addressing the challenges that people face are likely to promote sustained adherence to government instructions at the same time as promoting the health and wellbeing of the population during a health emergency.
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