Validation of HPLC method for the determination of chemical and radiochemical purity of a $^{68}$Ga-labelled EuK-Sub-kf-(3-iodo-y-) DOTAGA
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Abstract: The prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) represents an ideal biomarker for molecular imaging. Various PSMA-targeted radioligands are available for prostate cancer imaging. In this study, labeling of PSMA I&T with $^{68}$Ga, as well as validation of the radiochemical purity of the synthesis product by reverse phase radio high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method are intended. Since the standard procedure for the quality control (QC) was not available, definition of chemical and radiochemical purity of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T was carried out according to the Q2 (R1) ICH guideline. The standard QC tests were analyzed with Scintomics 8100 radio-HPLC system equipped with a radioactivity detector. The method was evaluated in terms of linearity, precision and accuracy, LOQ, robustness parameters, and specificity. To assess the radiochemical and chemical purity of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T, the developed method was validated to apply safely to patients. An excellent linearity was found between 1μg/mL and 30 μg/mL, with a limit of detection and limit of quantitation of 0.286 μg/mL and 0.866 μg/mL, respectively for $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T. The recovery was 96.8 ± 3.8%. The quality control of the final product was performed many times with validated radio-HPLC method and was found to comply with ICH requirements, thus demonstrating the accuracy and robustness of the method for routine clinical practice.
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low PSA levels [11,12]. In addition, $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T shows good biodistribution and acceptable dosimetry without any toxicity in prostate cancer patients [13]. On the other hand, $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T, which is stable at room temperature and physiological pH, is easily chemically synthesized with a radiation safe procedure in a radioactive safe laboratory, using the commercially available PSMA I&T (EuK-Sub-kf-(3-iodo-y-)-, DOTAGA) [14]. Nowadays, $^{68}$Ga as a short-lived positron emitter (half-life 67.6 min) is produced using a $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga generator [15,16]. The $^{68}$Ga solutions eluted from the earlier and state-of-the-art generators may be commonly contaminated with inorganic and/or organic residuals from the eluents used depending on the generator matrix. Therefore, the direct labeling procedures using the generator eluate may fail or result in low efficiency [17]. Ensuring that the final injectable radiopharmaceutical product fulfills regulatory requirements relating to contaminants, suitable production, and QC is crucial [18]. The standardization and validation of QC criteria are also a necessity to guarantee a high-quality radiopharmaceutical product like $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T, in particular in the routine clinical setting. However, according to our literature survey, no specific study about validating the QCs of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T has been reported. In this regard, the QC of synthesized $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T in our laboratory is continuously carried out in terms of patient health.

In the present study, we describe the validation of a reversed-phase radio-high-performance liquid chromatography (radio-HPLC) method used for the routine impurity control and quantification of synthesized $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T in our laboratory. The linearity, accuracy, precision, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), robustness, and specificity of the method were determined and evaluated in accordance with Q2 (R1) ICH guideline [19,20]. The validated method was applied to confirm the purity of PSMA I&T compared with the purchased reference material, as well as to test the QC criteria for routine use of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents

All reagents to be used for synthesis and QC were purchased from Merck in high purity pharmaceutical grade. Kit equipment for the synthesis of $^{68}$Ga peptides using cationic purification were obtained from ABX D-01454 Radeberg (Germany). The kit contains chemicals, hardware, and the cassette required for radiosynthesis of $^{68}$Ga peptides the Scintomics GRP synthesizer using cationic purification. The kit components are: Cassette, PS-H$^+$ cartridge, 5M sodium chloride solution, ethanol, ethanol/water (1/1), phosphate buffered saline, 1.5M HEPES buffer solution, and water for injections. The cassette is a disposable cassette and therefore is made for single use. Reference PSMA I&T peptide was purchased from ABX D-01454 Radeberg (Germany) and stored at ~20 °C. Dilutions of PSMA I&T were prepared with Farmako brand sterile water (1:1). Hydrochloric acid (0.6 M ultrapure HCl) and 1.5 M HEPES (2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanesulfonic acid) buffer solution were obtained from ABX D-01454 Radeberg (Germany).

2.2. Instruments

$^{68}$GaCl$_3$ was used for synthesis of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T (Figure 1). $^{68}$Ga activity was measured in a Comecerc VDC-606 dose calibrator.

The radiochemical purity of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T solution was controlled using Scintomics 8100 radio-HPLC system. The HPLC system was equipped with a UV and a gamma detector. The radio-HPLC system consists of Agilent 8100 quaternary pump (Germany) [Operating principle: parallel dual-plunger pump; low-pressure gradient, number of solvents: up to 4 solvents, gradient formation: 4-channel mixing valve, composition precision: <0.1%, composition accuracy: <0.5%], Welch Ultilsil XB-C18 column (3.0 × 150 mm, 3 μm); SCI8120 UV/Vis detector (Germany) [Wavelength range: 190–900 nm, data collection rate: up to 50Hz, light source: Deuterium & Tungsten lamp, noise level: ±0.05 AU, 254 nm, dry cell, bandwidth: 5 nm, wavelength accuracy: ±0.1 nm, wavelength precision: ±0.1 nm, linearity: >99.5% for 2.5 AU (acetone, 254 nm), flow cell vol: 7 μL (analytical)], and radioactivity detector Berthold Technologies; DataApex Clarity program (Prague, Czech Republic).

2.3. Labelling of PSMA I&T with $^{68}$Ga in automated synthesis module

There is a specific synthesis cassette for the production of PSMA I&T and these cassettes are sterile and single-use. All components and the cassette are manufactured according to GMP Standards for APIs. In the studies, commercial $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga generator modified with tin oxide (SnO$_2$) was used in an iThemba Labs brand polyethylene column. A cation exchange cartridge (PS H$^+$, not preconditioned) was used to remove trace metals in GaCl$_3$, solution eluted from $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga generator. GaCl$_3$ eluted from the PS-H$^+$ cartridge with 5.0 M NaCl was added to the reaction vial containing 25 μg peptide dissolved in HEPES buffer. The eluate was buffered with HEPES solution (pH 5) to prevent formation of $^{68}$Ga(OH)$_3$ at higher pH values. The mixture was then heated for 15 min at 90 °C for labeling of the peptide with $^{68}$Ga(III). The solution of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T in the reaction vial was passed through the C18 ion exchange cartridge to remove the unbound free $^{68}$Ga.
ions. The retained $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T was eluted from the C18 ion exchange cartridge with 2.0 mL ethanol/water (1/1). The product was passed through the 0.22-μm filter syringe and collected in the final vial.

2.4. Quality control experiments

Th hydrophobic part of PSMA forms three diastereomers, RR, RS, and SS configurations at its amine nitrogens during chelating of gallium-68 with PSMA. The RR configuration is known as a thermodynamically favorable isomer. The other diastereomers have the same biological activity and radiochemical stability after the labeling procedure [21]. In addition to the requirements described above, a QC test is therefore required. The standard QC tests for $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T were carried out after each synthesis. The radiochemical purity of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T solution was identified with Scintomics 8100 radio-HPLC system equipped with a radioactivity detector, under working conditions maintained with a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min and column temperature at room temperature. An isocratic separation was performed using a mobile phase including 30% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water. For the detection of chemical impurities, the samples were also monitored with a UV detector at 220 nm and radio-detector. The injection volume was 20 μL and detection time determined as 15 min.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Labelling of PSMA I&T with $^{68}$Ga

All synthesis studies were conducted in a radiopharmaceutical laboratory at Department of Nuclear Medicine, in Pamukkale University Hospital. The synthesis of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T was performed a total of 150 times between March 2018 and January 2019 in our laboratory. The reagent and hardware kit manufactured by ABX for Scintomics is produced after bioburden testing. All reagents used are sterile and the synthesis process is carried out under GMP conditions. Therefore, no additional QC is required for method validation.

The activity of the $^{68}$Ga gallium labeled PSMA peptide depends on the activity eluted from the $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga generator. For the 30 mCi of eluate the Scintomics GRP automated synthesis module can produce around 18–20 mCi of product. At the end of synthesis, the total volume of the final product is 16 mL. The labeling efficiency was found to be >99%. The automated synthesis was performed within 32 min. Post-synthesis of the product after 45 min (after QC) remained at a total activity of 68% that is convenient for clinical application. The pH of the final product was determined to be in between 6 and 7.

As the synthesis yield decreased, the dose and number of patients were adjusted. From the synthesis of the $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga generator in the first months, sufficient activity was obtained for three patient doses (185 MBq to 259 MBq per dose).
3.2. Physical and chemical properties of $^{68}$Gallium and PSMA I&T

$^{68}$Ga(III) as a positron emitter is readily obtained from modern generators and has a short half-life of 68 min [22]. It is used for labeling ligands having five or six coordination sites and the labeled complexes are stable at the physiological pH. Like metallic elements, transition metals also require chelating agents. After labeling a molecule with a metal or transition metal chelator, a molecular structure appears whose final chemical structure is affected by the chelate. Therefore, large molecules such as peptides, proteins, or antibodies are preferred over radiolabeling with metal or transition metal. For radiopharmaceutical preparation with metal or transition metal, the appropriate chelating agent must be present for each metal or transition metal. Gallium is in the IIIA group of the periodic table. It is in the form of three ions and a chelation such as 1,4,7-triazacyclonona-1,4,7-triacitic acid (NOTA), 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) is required [23,24]. These two chelating agents are widely used in routine PET imaging with $^{68}$Ga. Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is the biological target for therapeutics and diagnostics. It is a type II transmembrane protein with a short intracellular area (amino acids 1–18), a transmembrane area (amino acids 19–43), and a large extracellular area (amino acids 44–750) [25–28]. Also, PSMA ligands with DOTA-derived chelators have been developed, which can be labeled with diagnostic compounds. Recently, EuK-Sub-kf-(3-iodo-y-)DOTAGA (PSMA I&T) (Figure 2) from these diagnostic nuclides is of interest.

3.3. Discussion of quality control

Although the $^{68}$Ga-PSMAI&T synthesized for the patient has a thermodynamically preferred diastereomer, the other diastereomer is also known to be present in the formulation. Thus, there is a need for a QC test to assess the diagnostic efficiency and purity of the final product. The QC was evaluated with the analysis carried out by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

3.4. Validation of the HPLC method

The analytic method validation for the defined chemical and radiochemical purity of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T was carried out according to the Q2 (R1) ICH guideline. The most important performance parameters for the validation were evaluated as linearity, precision, accuracy, limit of quantification (LOQ), robustness, and specificity [29].

Under the chromatographic conditions described in the experimental section the blank chromatogram was shown in Figure 3a, PSMA I&T chromatogram (Figure 3b) and $^{68}$Ga-labeled PSMA I&T chromatogram (Figure 3c) were used for analytical HPLC method validation. When comparing the chromatograms of PSMA I&T and $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T obtained with the UV and radionuclide detector, respectively, it is understood that the peak intensities and retention times of the first and second isomers in both chromatograms are relatively similar. The average retention time of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T was 4.53 min using a radionuclide detector (Figure 3c).

We believe that the broad peak range of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T in the radiochromatogram is the continuation of radioactive radiation generated by the radioactivity passing through the column, and the presence of this radiation by the radionuclide detector.

It turned out that minor radiochemical impurities are formed (altogether approximately 1%), which could not be separated by HPLC. Significant chemical impurities were not observed in any of the batches produced by the described method.

3.4.1. Linearity

Calibration standard solutions of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T and PSMA I&T were prepared in the range of 1 and 30 μg/mL and then they were injected into the HPLC systems under the above specified chromatographic conditions (injection volume was 20 μL). The calibration curves were plotted for the peak areas versus $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T and PSMA I&T concentrations and

![Figure 2. EuK-Sub-kf-(3-iodo-y-)DOTAGA(PSMA I&T) chemical structure [3].](image-url)
their regression equations were computed (Figure 4). The regression equations for PSMA I&T and $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T were found to be $y = 0.0256x + 0.0385$ ($R^2 = 0.9986$) and $y = 0.0254x + 0.0653$ ($R^2 = 0.9986$), respectively. The good correlations for both species were found to be $\geq 0.99\%$ and met acceptance criteria.

Figure 3. HPLC chromatograms of blank chromatogram (a) PSMA I&T (b) and $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T (c) obtained with UV and radionuclide detectors, respectively.
3.4.2. Precision and accuracy
While preparing three different concentrations of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T ranging from 1.0 to 30 µg/mL, an aqueous solution of peptides was prepared. The calculated amount of peptide was taken with a micropipette, and labeling was done with $^{68}$Ga. Three different concentrations of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T were chosen and injected into the HPLC system. Intraday precision and accuracy using the regression equation were calculated by five replicates for each concentration (Table 1). According to the ICH validation guideline, the low value for relative standard deviation (RSD%, <2%) reflects the high precision of the method.

The accuracy was expressed by the percentage of recovery (R%) calculated as the ratio of found concentration to actual concentration. The quantitative recovery values that are higher than 95% are the accepted criterion for expressing high accuracy. In these workings the recoveries were calculated in range of $93.2 \pm 7.1$ and $100.8 \pm 3.2$% ($n = 5$) with the average

![Figure 4. Calibration curves for (a) PSMA I&T and (b) $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T using the average values of peak areas (n = 5).](image)

| Concentrations of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T, µg/mL | Actual (Prepared) | Found values | Calculated average | Standard deviation | RSD | Recovery, % |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------|
| 1                                            |                  | 0.93         | 0.932              | 0.066             | 0.071 | 93.2 ± 7.1 |
|                                              |                  | 0.85         |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 0.89         | 0.932              | 0.066             | 0.071 | 93.2 ± 7.1 |
|                                              |                  | 1.02         |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 0.97         |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 9.63         |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 9.24         |                    |                   |      |             |
| 10                                           |                  | 9.94         | 9.648              | 0.842             | 0.087 | 96.5 ± 8.7 |
|                                              |                  | 8.58         |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 10.85        |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 30.89        |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 30.57        |                    |                   |      |             |
| 30                                           |                  | 28.53        | 30.258             | 0.975             | 0.032 | 100.8 ± 3.2 |
|                                              |                  | 30.73        |                    |                   |      |             |
|                                              |                  | 30.57        |                    |                   |      |             |

Table 1. Intraday precision and accuracy for determination of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T using the aimed RP-HPLC method ($n = 5$).
recovery of 96.8 ± 3.8 (Table 1). This result shows that the average recovery is higher than the 95% required to show high accuracy of the method. For 30-µg peptide, the relative standard deviation (RSD) value of average recovery (3.2%) shows that the precision is moderately high.

3.4.3. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ)
The LOD and LOQ values are determined by the analysis of samples with known concentrations of analytes at the minimum level (here, 1 µg/mL) in which the analyte can be reliably detected. Standard solutions were prepared at concentrations less than 1 µg/mL, the lowest value of the calibration curve. Chromatograms of these solutions were taken and the slope of the calibration curve and calculated using 3.3 $\sigma$/S and 10 $\sigma$/S equations, respectively, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the response and S is the slope of the calibration curve. LOD and LOQ values were calculated as 0.586 and 1 µg/mL for PSMA I&T, and 0.866 and 1 µg/mL for $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T, respectively.

| Parameters                          | Variations | Area (mV.s) (*10,000) | RSD, % |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Flow rate, a mL/min                | 0.5        | 0.994                 | 1.4    |
|                                    |            | 1.013                 |        |
|                                    |            | 1.021                 |        |
|                                    | 0.6        | 0.842                 | 0.4    |
|                                    |            | 0.846                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.848                 |        |
|                                    | 0.7        | 0.559                 | 2.2    |
|                                    |            | 0.584                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.569                 |        |
| Trifluoroacetic acid conc., %b     | 0.09       | 0.674                 | 1.4    |
|                                    |            | 0.693                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.683                 |        |
|                                    | 0.10       | 0.842                 | 0.4    |
|                                    |            | 0.846                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.848                 |        |
|                                    | 0.11       | 0.733                 | 0.6    |
|                                    |            | 0.732                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.725                 |        |
| Acetonitrile conc., %c             | 27         | 0.810                 | 2.0    |
|                                    |            | 0.790                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.822                 |        |
|                                    | 30         | 0.842                 | 0.4    |
|                                    |            | 0.846                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.848                 |        |
|                                    | 33         | 0.655                 | 3.5    |
|                                    |            | 0.665                 |        |
|                                    |            | 0.622                 |        |

*a* Acetonitrile 30% and Trifluoroacetic acid 0.10% into water,  
*b* Acetonitrile conc. was held constant as 30%.  
*c* Trifluoroacetic acid conc. was held constant as 0.10%,  
**The flow rates were 0.6 mL/min.**
3.4.4. Robustness

The variation parameters used to evaluate the robustness of the developed method depends on the type of procedure under investigation. In this investigation, to study the robustness of the method, some changes were made in the flow rate and the composition of mobile phase. To study the robustness of the method, the effect of flow rate and the composition of mobile phase on $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T determination by the proposed RP-HPLC were investigated (Table 2). Flow rate was changed by ±0.1 unit. The mobile phase components at three different concentrations were injected in triplicates. Depending on relative standard deviation values reflecting high precision in Table 2 (RSD% < 2, except two of them), the relative standard deviation value (RSD% = 0.4%) found for 30-µg peptide determination using a mobile phase including 30% acetonitrile and 0.10% trifluoroacetic acid) at 0.6 mL/min flow rate confirms the robustness of the method.

3.4.5. Specificity

Specificity determination is performed by analyzing the mixture containing crucial components that might be present in the final product $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T solution. The method is capable of discriminating the various components present at the limited concentration for the considered standards. Analyses were performed using a series of solutions containing $^{68}$Ga-PSMAI&T at different concentrations (Table 1). The concentration changes had no effect on the average recovery that was 96.8 ± 3.8% (RSD%: 3.9%, n=3) calculated from Table 1, therefore the method was found to have acceptable specificity for assay of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T with high accuracy.

4. Conclusions

Here, we developed and validated a simple and rapid isocratic radio-HPLC method with high precision for quality control testing of synthesized $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T radiopharmaceuticals for its use in routine PET imaging in prostate cancer patients. The absence of specific literature about the quality controls of $^{68}$Ga-PSMAI&T has led us to use isocratic radio-HPLC method for validation of the produced radiopharmaceutical to implement it in the clinical setting. The bioburden and endotoxin levels of the 0.05 M hydrochloric acid are controlled and specified on the certificate of analysis. We consider the verification of this certificate to be sufficient; therefore, we did not perform a routine assay for bioburden or bacterial endotoxins on the $^{68}$Ga eluate.

Definition of chemical and radiochemical purity of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T was carried out according to the Q2 (R1) ICH guideline. The method was validated in terms of linearity, precision and accuracy (recovery), LOD and LOQ, robustness, and specificity parameters. In addition, samples can be analyzed quickly due to the short analysis time (less than 10 min) required. As a result, it was concluded that the proposed method was applicable and reliable in determining the radiochemical purity of $^{68}$Ga-PSMA I&T from the validation results obtained in this study.

References

1. Eder M, Eisenhut M, Babich J, Haberkorn U. PSMA as a target for radiolabelled small molecules. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2013; 40: 819-23. doi: 10.1007/s00259-013-2374-2
2. Giesel FL, Fiedler H, Stefanova M, Sterzing F, Rius M et al. PSMA PET/CT with Glu-urea-Lys-(Ahx)-[68Ga(HBED-CC)] versus 3D CT volumetric lymph node assessment in recurrent prostate cancer. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging 2015; 42(12): 1974-1800.
3. Chatalic KLS, Heskamp S, Konijnenberg M, Molkenboer-Kuenen JDM, Franssen GM et al. Towards personalized treatment of prostate cancer: PSMA I&T, a promising prostate-specific membrane, antigen-targeted theranostic agent. Theranostics 2016; 6(6): 849-861. doi: 10.7150/thno.14744
4. Rahbar K, Afsar-Oromieh A, Jadvar H, Ahmadzadehfar H. PSMA Theranostics: Current status and future directions. Molecular Imaging 2018; 17: 1-9. doi: 10.1177/1536012118776068
5. Prasad V, Steffen IG, Diederichs G, Makowski MR, Wust P et al. Biodistribution of [(68)Ga]PSMA-HBED-CC in patients with prostate cancer: characterization of uptake in normal organs and tumour lesions. Molecular Imaging Biology 2016; 18: 428-436.
6. Elri T, Aras M, Salihoglu YS, Erdemir RU, Cabuk M. A potential pitfall in the use of 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT: Anthracosis. Revista Española de Medicina Nuclear e Imagen Molecular 2017; 36: 65-66.
7. Vamadevan S, Shetty D, Le K, Bui C, Mansberg R et al. Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) avid pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 2016; 41:804-806.
8. Szydlo M, Pogoda D, Kowalski T, Pociigiel M, Jadowiski M et al. Synthesis and quality control of 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT tracer used in prostate cancer imaging and comparison with 18F-fluorocholine as a reference point. Journal Pharmacy Science Emergency Drugs 2018; 6: 1.
9. McCarthy M, Langton T, Kumar D, Campbell A. Comparison of PSMA-HBED and PSMA-I&T as diagnostic agents in prostate carcinoma. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging 2017; 44(9): 1455-1462.

10. Berliner C, Tienken M, Frenzel T, Kobayashi Y, Helberg A et al. Detection rate of PET/CT in patients with biochemical relapse of prostate cancer using [(68)Ga]PSMA I&T and comparison with published data of [(68)Ga]PSMA HBED-CC. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging 2017; 44: 670-677.

11. Bluemel C, Krebs M, Polat B, Linke F, Eiber M et al. 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in patients with biochemical prostate cancer recurrence and negative 18F-choline-PET/CT. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 2016; 41(7): 515-521.

12. Herlemann A, Wenter V, Kretschmer A, Thierrfelder KM, Bartenstein P et al. Detection rate of PET/CT in patients with biochemical relapse of prostate cancer using [(68)Ga]PSMA I&T and comparison with published data of [(68)Ga]PSMA HBED-CC. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging 2017; 44(9): 1455-1462.

13. Bluemel C, Krebs M, Polat B, Linke F, Eiber M et al. 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in patients with biochemical prostate cancer recurrence and negative 18F-choline-PET/CT. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 2016; 41(7): 515-521.

14. Bluemel C, Krebs M, Polat B, Linke F, Eiber M et al. 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in patients with biochemical prostate cancer recurrence and negative 18F-choline-PET/CT. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 2016; 41(7): 515-521.

15. Bluemel C, Krebs M, Polat B, Linke F, Eiber M et al. 68 Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in patients with biochemical prostate cancer recurrence and negative 18F-choline-PET/CT. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 2016; 41(7): 515-521.

16. Schmuck S, Nordholne S, Klot CA, Henkenberens C, Sohns JM et al. Comparison of standard and delayed imaging to improve the detection rate of [68Ga]PSMA I&T PET/CT in patients with biochemical recurrence or prostate-specific antigen persistence after primary therapy for prostate cancer. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging 2017; 44: 960-968. doi: 10.1007/s00259-017-3669-5

17. Eppard E, Homann T, Fuente A de la, Essler M, Rösch F. Optimization of labeling PSMAHBED with ethanol-postprocessed 68Ga and its quality control systems. Journal Nuclear Medicine 2017; 58: 432-437. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.116.177634

18. Taratonenkova NA, Lyamtseva EA, Malysheva AO, Kodina GE. Quality control method for 111In-Oxine radiopharmaceutical composition. Pharmaceutical Chemistry Journal 2019; 52(10): 868-872. doi: 10.1007/s11094-019-1918-6

19. ICH Q2A. 1995; Text on Validation of Analytical Procedures. Geneva Q2A, in 2005 incorporated in Q2(R1).

20. ICH Q2A.1996; Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology. Geneva Q2B, in 2005 incorporated in Q2(R1).

21. Migliari S, Sammartano A, Scarlattei M, Serreli G. Development and validation of a high-pressure liquid chromatography method for the determination of chemical purity and radiochemical purity of a [68Ga]-labeled Glu-Urea-Lys(Ahx)-HBED-CC (Positron emission tomography) tracer. ACS Omega 2017; 2: 7120-7126.

22. Hubaut M, Fagart A, Tonnelet D. Le Gallium 68. Médecine Nucléaire 2018; 42(6): 463-465.

23. Türkan E. New radiopharmaceuticals and preclinical imaging. Nuclear Medicine Seminars, 2019; 5: 1-9 (in Turkish with an abstract in English).

24. Aslani A, Snowdon GM, Bailey DL, Schembri GP, Bailey EA et al. Gallium-68 DOTATATE production with automated pet radiopharmaceutical synthesis system: a three year experience. Asia Ocean Journal Nuclear Medicine Biology 2014; 2(2): 75-86. doi: 10.7508/aojnmb.2017.02.001

25. Velikyan I. 68Ga-based radiopharmaceuticals: production and application relationship. Molecules 2015; 20: 12913-12943.

26. Israeli RS, Powell CT, Corr JG, Fair WR, Heston WD. Expression of the prostate-specific membrane antigen. Cancer Research 1994; 54: 1807-1811.

27. Davis MJ, Bennett MJ, Thomas LM, Bjorkman PJ. Crystal structure of prostate-specific membrane antigen, a tumor marker and peptidase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2005; 102: 5981-5986.

28. Olson WC, Heston WDW, Rajasekaran AK. Clinical trials of cancer therapies targeting prostate-specific membrane antigen. Reviews on Recent Clinical Trials 2007; 2: 182-190.

29. Phani Sekhar Reddy G, Navyasree KS, Jagadish PC, Bhat K. Analytical method development and validation for HPLC-ECD determination of moxifloxacin in marketed formulations. Pharmaceutical Chemistry Journal 2018; 52 (7): 674-679. doi: 10.1007/s11094-018-1879-1