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Abstract

Postoperative recurrence of Crohn’s disease is common and requires a multidisciplinary approach between surgeons and gastroenterologists in the perioperative and postoperative period to improve outcomes in this patient population. Endoscopic recurrence precedes clinical and surgical recurrence and endoscopic monitoring is crucial to guide postoperative management. Risk stratification of patients is recommended to guide early prophylactic management, and follow-up endoscopic monitoring can guide intensification of therapy. This review summarizes evidence behind postoperative recurrence rates, disease monitoring techniques, nonbiologic and biologic therapies available to prevent and treat postoperative recurrence, risk factors associated with recurrence, and postoperative management strategies guided by endoscopic monitoring.
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Introduction

Approximately 50% of Crohn’s disease (CD) patients require ileocolic resection within 10 years of diagnosis for stricturing or penetrating disease in the prebiologic era. In the postbiologic era, there are conflicting reports on whether rates of intestinal resection are declining, potentially due to biologic therapy. However, intestinal resections are still cited to be 20–30% and surgical intervention remains commonplace for CD patients. Intestinal resection does not provide a cure for CD and ongoing multidisciplinary management between gastroenterologists and surgeons is crucial to reduce postoperative recurrence (POR).

POR of CD is common and typically affects the neoterminal ileum and ileocolonic anastomosis. Clinical recurrence, defined as symptoms attributable to active CD, occurs in 30–60% of patients within 3–5 years of index surgery. Approximately 50% of postoperative CD patients subsequently require repeat resection for disease activity or complication, termed surgical recurrence, within 5 years of their first surgery. Preceding both clinical and surgical recurrence, endoscopic recurrence occurs in 70–90% of patients within 1 year of surgery and histologic recurrence can be seen as early as 1 week after surgery. Rutgeerts’ et al. found that only 20% of patients became symptomatic despite having endoscopically visible disease activity in 73% of patients 1 year after surgery, and these findings were reproduced in prospective clinical studies. In patients with clinically silent disease, endoscopic surveillance offers the opportunity to guide management of postoperative therapy.

Monitoring of disease activity postoperatively

Ileocolonoscopy is used to visualize mucosal CD activity in the postoperative period. The Rutgeerts’ score was developed to correlate the severity of endoscopic recurrence to progression of clinical recurrence and outcomes. The inter- and intra-reliability of the Rutgeerts’ score is considered substantial and has allowed the use of the score as an endpoint in clinical trials.
endoscopic scores of i0 and i1 correlating to 10% clinical recurrence in 7 years; intermediate endoscopic activity is defined by i2a (ileocolonic anastomotic disease) and i2b (neo-terminal ileum disease) correlating to 40% clinical recurrence; and severe endoscopic recurrence is defined by scores of i3 and i4 correlating to 60–100% clinical recurrence in 2 years.11 Despite the significance of endoscopic disease in predicting clinical outcomes emphasized by the Rutgeerts' score, it is important to note that, even in patients with endoscopic remission, late clinical recurrence can occur up in up to 40% of patients.14 Therefore, endoscopic monitoring of disease activity should be ongoing irrespective of the lack of endoscopic evidence of disease in the early postoperative period. The addition of advanced endoscopic techniques, such as confocal laser endomicroscopy, may offer enhanced detection of recurrence early in the postoperative period; however, data is limited and remains under investigation.15

While endoscopic surveillance remains the gold standard for monitoring CD POR, there are inherent risks, cost, and patient inconvenience to this modality. Consequently, noninvasive methods of monitoring disease activity postoperatively are highly attractive. Fecal calprotectin, made and released by neutrophils in response to inflammatory signaling, is a marker that has been shown to correlate with Rutgeerts’ scores on endoscopic evaluation of postoperative recurrence.16–19 Levels

### Table 1. Modified Rutgeerts’ score.

| Score | Endoscopic findings |
|-------|---------------------|
| i0    | No lesions in distal ileum |
| i1    | <5 Aphthous lesions |
| i2    | >5 Aphthous lesions with normal mucosa between the lesions, skip areas of large lesions |
| **i2a** | Lesions confined to the ileocolonic anastomosis |
| **i2b** | Lesions in the neoterminal ileum with normal intervening mucosa (with or without anastomotic lesions) |
| i3    | Diffuse aphthous ileitis with diffusely inflamed mucosa |
| i4    | Diffuse inflation with larger ulcers, nodules, and/or narrowing |

### Table 2. Guideline-recommended risk-group classification for POR of CD.

| Risk group | Risk factors | Risk of clinical recurrence (>18 months after surgery) | Risk of endoscopic recurrence (>18 months after surgery) |
|------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| **Low risk** | (1) >50 years old | 20% | 30% |
|            | (2) Nonsmoker | | |
|            | (3) 1st surgery for short segment disease (<10 cm) | | |
|            | (4) Disease duration (>10 years) | | |
| **High risk** | (1) <30 years old | 50% | 80% |
|            | (2) Smoker | | |
|            | (3) ≥2 Prior surgeries | | |

CD, Crohn’s disease; POR, postoperative recurrence.
>100 µg/g correlated with endoscopic recurrence with 89% sensitivity, 58% specificity, and 91% negative predictive value in one prospective analysis. Levels <51 µg/g also suggested endoscopic remission with a negative predictive value (NPV) of 79%. Furthermore, levels correlated to endoscopically visualized response when patients’ therapies were escalated. The multifaceted use of fecal calprotectin offers a noninvasive method of monitoring postoperative CD activity. Cytokine profiles may supplement fecal calprotectin in more accurately monitoring disease activity. MUC1 expression from neoterminal ileal tissue may serve as a possible biomarker for the severity of postoperative CD recurrence. Serum measurements of protein/lipid oxidation and total antioxidant capacity correlate to postoperative CD recurrence and may be pathogenic as well. Other serum markers of antibacterial antibodies have been shown to be associated with severe postoperative recurrence as well. While noninvasive biomarkers have been shown to be useful in monitoring of POR and assessing treatment response, at the current time they remain adjunctive to endoscopic monitoring.

Radiographic methods of noninvasively monitoring POR include small intestine contrast ultrasonography (SICUS), computed tomography (CT) enterography, and magnetic resonance (MR) enterography. SICUS findings of increased bowel wall thickness and altered vascularity have allowed for the detection of POR when compared with endoscopy, with sensitivities and specificities approaching 100%. CT and MR enterography have been shown to be sensitive and specific methods of identifying POR, and further offer the opportunity to detect small-bowel and perianal disease. Capsule endoscopy had a sensitivity of 100% in detecting POR and had capsule retention in only 2.1% of patients.

**Nonbiologic therapies for postoperative Crohn’s disease**

Given the high rate of endoscopic, clinical, and surgical recurrence after intestinal resection for CD, there is a clear need to identify mitigating and treatment strategies to reduce the disease burden after index surgery. There has been a plethora of nonbiologic therapies trialed to prevent POR of CD. These include antibiotics, immunomodulators, aminosalicylates, budesonide, probiotics, curcumin, and vitamin D supplementation. While some have demonstrated efficacy, others have not.

**Thiopurines**

Thiopurine monotherapy, including the purine analogs azathioprine (AZA) and 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP), has been shown to be effective in preventing both clinical and endoscopic postoperative recurrence in CD. A meta-analysis indicated that purine analogs reduced clinical recurrence [mean difference 13%, confidence interval (CI) 1.8–25%, \( p = 0.025 \), number needed to treat (NNT) = 7] and endoscopic recurrence (mean difference 25%, CI 9–37%, \( p = 0.0016 \), NNT = 4). A Cochrane analysis concluded with moderate certainty that azathioprine and 6-MP are superior to placebo [relative risk (RR) 0.79; 95% CI 0.67–0.92] for maintenance of surgically induced remission of CD. Another Cochrane analysis identified that azathioprine/6-MP was associated with significantly reduced risk of clinical recurrence (RR 0.59; 95% CI 0.38–0.92, NNT = 7), and severe endoscopic recurrence (RR 0.64; 95% CI 0.44–0.92, NNT = 4), when compared with placebo. For treatment of identified endoscopic recurrence, azathioprine (2–2.5 mg/kg/day) has been shown to reduce subsequent Rutgeerts’ scores. Thus, thiopurines appear to be effective in reducing recurrence of postoperative CD with modest efficacy.

**Antibiotics**

With recent emerging data on intestinal microbiome dysbiosis in the colonized neo-terminal ileum affecting POR, it has been postulated that modulation with antibiotics or probiotics may have a role in the management of postoperative CD. Of these, nitroimidazoles have been well studied and demonstrated benefit. Compared with placebo, metronidazole (20 mg/kg) reduces endoscopic recurrence at 3 months after surgery (13% versus 43%, \( p = 0.02 \)) and clinical recurrence at 1 year (4% versus 25%, \( p = 0.04 \)). The known side effects of metronidazole prompted a study to evaluate ornidazole, a nitroimidazole with theoretically lower side effects, in the prevention of POR. Ornidazole (1 g/day) compared with placebo reduced endoscopic recurrence at 1 year (OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.10–0.94, \( p = 0.037 \)) and clinical recurrence at 1 year (OR 0.14, CI 0.037–0.0546, \( p = 0.005 \)). However, importantly, a significant portion of
patients dropped out of the study due to side effects, primarily neuropathies and dysgeusia. These side effects, along with gastrointestinal distress, are encountered commonly in clinical practice and limit the feasibility of this dosing approach. A more recent study evaluated the use of low-dose metronidazole (250 mg three times daily) and achieved reducing endoscopic recurrence compared with placebo ($p = 0.0058$), but still had 22.9% of patients develop side effects and a discontinuation rate of 8.6%. Consequently, a meta-analysis showed that nitroimidazoles were associated with a higher risk of adverse events (RR 2.39, 95% CI 1.5–3.7). However, using metronidazole as an adjunct therapy seems to be even more effective in patients who can tolerate therapy in the first 3 months after surgery as a bridge to other prophylactic therapy. For example, metronidazole with azathioprine reduced endoscopic recurrence compared with metronidazole therapy alone ($p = 0.048$) in a randomized control study. However, another study showed that in patients treated with azathioprine versus azathioprine plus metronidazole, there was no difference in endoscopic recurrence ($p = 0.15$) at 1 year postoperatively. Other antibiotic classes have been investigated with limited success. For example, ciprofloxacin does not appear to be effective in preventing POR. Studies are underway to evaluate the role and impact of newer antibiotics such as the nonabsorbable rifaximin in POR. Additionally, several active studies are evaluating the role and impact of novel selective microbial agents in preventing and treating POR.

**Corticosteroids**

Budesonide is ineffective compared with placebo in reducing endoscopic and clinical recurrence in those with surgery for fibrostenotic disease, but may have some effectiveness for those patients undergoing surgery for inflammatory activity. There is limited data on the use of systemic steroids to prevent postoperative recurrence. Despite the lack of evidence of corticosteroids in the postoperative period, one study found that one-third of patients in a United States (US) national claims database received postoperative corticosteroids (systemic or enteric).

**Aminosalicylates**

Given the early data for mesalamine in managing CD and relatively favorably safety profile, there has been interest in utilizing aminosalicylates to prevent POR. Unfortunately, mesalamine was shown to be ineffective in reducing clinical (RR 0.76, 95% CI 0.62–0.94) or endoscopic (RR 0.50, 95% CI 0.29–0.84) recurrence, a finding supported in subsequently meta-analysis including aminosalicylates and sulfasalazine. For treatment of POR, studies have demonstrated variable efficacy for aminosalicylates. Mesalamine was shown to improve in Rutgeert’s scores in a minority (11/32, 34%) of patients, with significantly lower rates of improvement compared with azathioprine (19/30, 63.3%), but was better tolerated. Together, these data suggest that mesalamine is minimally effective for preventing or treating endoscopic POR and that alternative therapies should be considered.

**Probiotics**

Probiotics to modulate the microbiome in efforts to prevent POR have been largely unsuccessful. Compared with placebo, *Lactobacillus johnsonii* LA1 showed similar rates of endoscopic recurrence at 6 months (64% versus 49%, $p = 0.15$). *Lactobacillus GG* had similar results ($p = 0.297$). Given that single probiotic formulations were ineffective, a probiotic VSL#3 – a formulation of eight different probiotic species – was studied. Endoscopic recurrence was similar in patients treated with VSL#3 compared with placebo ($p = 0.19$). Ongoing studies of the characterization and manipulation of the neoterminal ileum and anastomotic microbiome are being conducted.

**Complementary medications, vitamins, and supplements**

Turmeric and its chief active ingredient, curcumin, have long been recognized for anti-inflammatory properties. Curcumin was evaluated by a prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluating AZA with or without 3 g curcumin or placebo daily. At 6 months, endoscopic POR rates were similar (58% AZA + curcumin versus 68% AZA + placebo, $p = 0.60$) and severe POR was significantly more common in AZA + curcumin compared with AZA + placebo (55% versus 26%, $p = 0.03$). No significant differences in adverse events were seen, but the study was discontinued after interim analysis due to futility.
Similarly, vitamin D has received great interest for its immune modifying capabilities and vitamin D deficiency is common in CD. High-dose vitamin D (25,000 IU oral weekly dosing) was evaluated in a prospective randomized trial versus placebo. Despite significant increases in serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D, there were no significant differences in endoscopic (p = 0.37) or clinical recurrence (p = 0.91) at 6 months, diminishing hopes for vitamin D supplementation to prevent POR.

### Biologic therapies for postoperative CD recurrence

#### Anti-tumor necrosis factor agents

**Infliximab.** Compared with nonbiologic agents, anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) therapy, thus far, appears to be the most effective treatment in preventing POR. The first pilot trial that examined infliximab (IFX) (5 mg/kg every 8 weeks for 200 weeks) versus placebo in 24 subjects following ileocecal resection showed efficacy in reducing endoscopic (p = 0.006) and histologic recurrence (p = 0.01) at 1 year, but not clinical recurrence (p = 0.38). These findings were replicated in similar studies. The benefit of IFX persists out to 5 years as well when compared with placebo in preventing recurrence (p < 0.001). In a small pilot study, compared with thiopurines, IFX was shown to reduce histologic recurrence (p = 0.008), but not endoscopic or clinical recurrence. A major limitation to these investigations was the relatively small cohorts studied.

The largest study to date evaluating the efficacy of anti-TNFs to prevent POR, the PREVENT trial, evaluated the efficacy of IFX in patients at high risk for recurrence. This study was a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial comparing infliximab 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks without a 3-dose induction to placebo in adult patients with ileocolonic resection and anastomosis. Inclusion criteria for patients were a baseline CD activity index (CDAI) score of <200 and a high-risk feature defined as having at least one of the following: qualifying surgery that was the patient’s second resection within 10 years, third or more resection, resection for penetration CD, perianal disease, or active smoking status. The primary outcome was clinical recurrence defined as a CDAI score ≥200 and a 70 point or more increase from baseline, and the secondary outcome was endoscopic recurrence defined as a Rutgeerts’ score of ≥i2 at 18 months. Overall endoscopic recurrence (Rutgeerts’ ≥i2) was reduced significantly in the IFX group compared with the placebo group (22.4% versus 51.3%, p < 0.001 respectively), rates similar to prior IFX studies mentioned previously. Furthermore, severe recurrence (Rutgeerts’ i3 or i4) was decreased dramatically from 71.6% in placebo arm to 16.9% in IFX. However, the primary endpoint of clinical recurrence at 76 weeks postoperatively was not met (20.0% placebo versus 12.9% IFX, p = 0.097). For treatment of identified endoscopic POR, infliximab initiation reduces endoscopic inflammation in the majority of patients and prevented future clinical recurrence compared with either azathioprine or mesalamine (p = 0.006). Thus, infliximab is quite efficacious in preventing and treating POR.

**Adalimumab.** Anti-TNF’s reduction in endoscopic POR appears to be a class effect as studies found similar results from adalimumab and infliximab. In a large randomized controlled trial, adalimumab reduced endoscopic recurrence when compared with azathioprine (OR = 0.036, 95% CI 0.004–0.347) and to mesalamine (OR = 0.013, 95% CI 0.001–0.143). Additionally, adalimumab was found to reduce clinical recurrence compared with azathioprine (OR = 0.078, 95% CI 0.013–0.464) and mesalamine (OR = 0.143, 95% CI 0.025–0.819). However, one phase III, multicenter randomized superiority study contradicted this when comparing adalimumab to azathioprine coupled with metronidazole. The findings of the study revealed similar rates of endoscopic recurrence in the adalimumab group versus azathioprine group (33.3% versus 29.7%, p = 0.76), but the study showed significantly better tolerance to adalimumab over azathioprine.

The use of anti-TNFs postoperatively is safe and has similar adverse events compared with placebo and nonbiologic agents. In a meta-analysis comparing IFX with nonbiologic agents, there was no significant difference in adverse events (p = 0.69). Even when comparing IFX with placebo, there appears to be no difference in adverse events. In the largest randomized control trial comparing adalimumab with azathioprine and mesalamine, there were fewer adverse events reported in the adalimumab group. While limited-to-no data exist for other anti-TNFs in this setting, overall, anti-TNFs as a class appear to be safe and very effective in the management and prevention of postoperative recurrence of CD.
Non-anti-TNF biologics

Vedolizumab. Given the efficacy of anti-TNFs, the increasing portion of the CD population exposed to anti-TNFs prior to surgery, and relative safety profiles, there has been interest in other non-anti-TNF biologics in the prevention of POR. One retrospective study evaluating 22 CD patients that received vedolizumab for postoperative prophylaxis compared with 58 who received anti-TNF found that vedolizumab was associated with increased risk of endoscopic recurrence (75% vedolizumab versus 34.2% anti-TNF; OR 5.77; 95% CI 1.71–19.4, \( p = 0.005 \)).\(^{57} \) However, there were a multitude of confounding factors and limitations to this analysis including key population differences.\(^{68} \) There is limited data assessing the efficacy of vedolizumab in treating POR.

Ustekinumab. A recent study, presented in abstract form, compared ustekinumab-treated postoperative patients with a cohort of azathioprine-treated subjects as part of the previously mentioned azathioprine with or without curcumin study.\(^{69} \) In a propensity-matched analysis, endoscopic POR at 6 months was significantly lower in ustekinumab compared with azathioprine (28% versus 54.5%, \( p = 0.03 \)); however, this was driven largely by moderate (Rutgeerts’ i2) disease as no significance difference was observed when limited to Rutgeerts’ ≥i3 (16.9% versus 27.9%, \( p = 0.24 \)). The 6-month endoscopic POR rates for ustekinumab are similar to anti-TNFs, which may suggest comparable efficacy in this setting, but additional studies are needed.\(^{69} \) There are limited data assessing the efficacy of ustekinumab in treating POR.

Enteral nutrition for postoperative Crohn’s disease

Enteral nutrition in the prevention of postoperative Crohn’s disease has also been evaluated in several small studies. One trial of 40 Japanese patients all receiving mesalamine in the postoperative period assessed nocturnal self-intubation and infusion of elemental enteral feeding, and found that high-volume enteral nutrition (>1200 kcal/day) significantly reduces postoperative endoscopic recurrence compared with low- or no-volume enteral nutrition (<1200 kcal/day) (\( p = 0.02 \)).\(^{70} \) A similar non-randomized study of 40 Japanese patients found that enteral nutrition significantly reduces endoscopic recurrence at 12 months compared with no therapy (30% versus 70%, \( p = 0.027 \)).\(^{71} \) In regards to surgical recurrence, another study found that enteral nutrition compared with placebo reduced recurrence but without statistical significance (\( p = 0.08 \)). The placebo group in this study had a significantly higher cumulative recurrence rate requiring infliximab (\( p = 0.03 \)), suggesting that enteral nutrition may play a role in supplementing or replacing pharmacologic prophylaxis.\(^{72} \) Limitations to these studies include small and highly motivated adult populations willing to self-intubate nasogastric apparatuses nightly and infuse enteric formulas for indefinite time periods, thus limiting generalizability. Future large randomized control trials assessing enteral nutrition as a nonpharmacologic therapy are necessary to determine its role in preventing and treating postoperative CD recurrence.

Therapeutic drug monitoring

Given the effectiveness of anti-TNFs in the maintenance of endoscopic remission, studies have assessed the role of drug-monitoring to optimize therapy in postsurgical patients. A retrospective analysis found that lower serum trough infliximab levels at 15 months after surgery and 8 months from treatment onset, [2.4 µg/ml (0.45–4.1) versus 1.1 µg/ml (0–0.6), \( p = 0.008 \)] and the presence of antidrug antibodies were associated significantly with endoscopic recurrence.\(^{73} \) Another study assessing adalimumab found that drug levels did not differ significantly between patients in endoscopic remission versus recurrence, with average serum concentrations in both cohorts in the therapeutic range (9.98 µg/ml versus 8.43 µg/ml, \( p = 0.39 \)).\(^{74} \) It is the authors’ opinion that, in patients who have had resection of all gross disease and are started on anti-TNF prophylaxis in a timely manner (within 2–4 weeks surgery and anastomosis), the role of therapeutic drug monitoring may be more limited due to the lack of drug clearance from inflammatory burden consumption. Periodic proactive dosing optimization in maintenance, akin to the TAXIT trial, may optimize long-term outcomes, but no data exist in this setting.\(^{75} \) Similarly, reactive drug-monitoring may have an important role when determining anti-TNF ineffectiveness in the postoperative management of CD, but further studies are required. No data yet exists on therapeutic drug monitoring for non-anti-TNF agents in the postoperative setting.
Risk factors and stratification for postoperative recurrence

Risk factors for POR have been assessed extensively in attempts to identify patients at highest risk of recurrence and thus may gleam most benefit from prophylactic or close monitoring strategies. Categories of risk factors studied include modifiable and nonmodifiable patient related risk factors (Table 3).

The AGA guideline on the management of POR CD outlines significant risk factors to be younger age (<30), smoking, and ≥2 prior surgeries for penetrating disease (Table 2). These high-risk factors have proposed clinical and endoscopic recurrence rates of 50% and 80%, respectively. Other society guidelines such as the European Crohn’s and Colitis Organization (ECCO) inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) guidelines incorporate additional risk factors, including extensive small bowel resection (>50 cm), perianal disease, and histologic evidence of granulomas or myenteric plexitis on resected specimens. In the few prospective, randomized, clinical trials assessing POR management strategies, risk factors included smoking, perforating disease (abscess, fistula, or free perforation), or previous resection. There is an ongoing need to validate the proposed risk factors and risk classification recommended by guidelines.

More recent risk factor data in predicting and influencing POR include novel anastomotic techniques, histologic characteristics, microbiome signatures, and other “-omic” approaches. The Kono-S anastomosis (antimesenteric functional end-to-end anastomosis) demonstrates a promising surgical approach that has been shown in retrospective and prospective studies to reduce both endoscopic and clinical recurrence. Similarly, a wide mesenteric excision approach was evaluated in a retrospective study and shown to associate with reduced surgical recurrence. Prospective studies evaluating this approach are underway. Histologic risk factors, including positive margins of resection, plexitis, lymphatic vessel density, and morphologic analysis of Paneth cells, may predict POR. Finally, microbiome dysbiosis is being recognized as a risk factor with colonization of microbiota, including Proteobacteria, Akkermansia spp., Fusobacteriaceae and a depletion of Streptococcaceae, Actinomycinae, Faecalibacterium. Interestingly, active smoking was associated with elevated levels of Proteus, and thus these risk factors may be interactive. The role of other “-omics”, including ileal tissue transcriptomics, blood transcriptomics, and urinary metabolomics, is being evaluated. These emerging risk factors will need additional study and validation prior to routine implementation.

Postoperative management strategies

Ultimately, the goal of postoperative management is to identify patients at highest risk for recurrence and implement strategies to minimize clinical and surgical recurrence and CD-related complications. The 2017 American Gastroenterological Association guidelines for postoperative Crohn’s management recommend using preoperative risk factor stratification to determine POR strategy, with the decision to utilize early and sustained therapy with anti-inflammatory monoclonal antibodies for those who are high risk (termed prophylaxis) or performing endoscopic disease monitoring to guide treatment in those who are low risk. These risk groups are identified in Table 2. The role of ileocolonoscopy is to detect endoscopic recurrence that may precede clinical or surgical recurrence.

Active endoscopic surveillance of disease modifies treatment strategy and reduces endoscopic recurrence postoperatively (Figure 1). The landmark POCER trial showed that algorithmic step up treatment for endoscopic recurrence was
superior to clinical observation up until 18 months postoperatively. In the POCER trial, patients were randomized to either an active or standard care arm. The active arm had patients undergo endoscopic surveillance at 6 months, which allowed for the opportunity to step up therapy if endoscopic recurrence (Rutgeerts’ i ≥ 2) was present. The standard arm had patients undergo endoscopic surveillance at 18 months. The initial treatment within each arm was dependent on whether patients were low (nonsmoker, first surgery, absence of penetrating disease) or high (smoking, penetrating disease, or previous resection) risk. Low-risk patients received metronidazole for 3 months postoperatively if tolerated, while high risk patients received azathioprine or 6-MP for 18 months. If patients were intolerant, they received adalimumab for 18 months. If the 6 months colonoscopy demonstrated endoscopic recurrence in the active arm, then medical therapy was escalated. At 18 months, the patients in the active arm had significantly lower endoscopic recurrence \( (p = 0.03) \) compared with those in the standard arm.81 In a POCER subanalysis, adalimumab was superior to thiopurines in preventing endoscopic recurrence \( (p = 0.02) \), in line with prior studies.89 Based on these findings, a 6-month colonoscopy can guide intensification or altering of treatment regardless of the medical strategy chosen. While early endoscopic assessment is critical in detecting early CD recurrence, ongoing surveillance is needed. Poullon and colleagues found that up to 40% of patients have late recurrence despite initial endoscopic remission.14

While remaining the gold standard, endoscopic surveillance is invasive, costly, and with inherent risks. Thus, as previously mentioned, there is a growing body of evidence evaluating noninvasive biomarkers for POR including fecal calprotectin, serum derived biomarker profiles, and radiographic studies, including small bowel ultrasound and cross-sectional imaging. Currently, it is the authors’ opinion that these tests can serve an adjunctive monitoring role and help guide timing and frequency of endoscopic evaluation, but do not yet have the body of evidence to supplant endoscopic surveillance. Prospective studies implementing these biomarkers into treatment algorithms are needed.

**The future of POR of CD**

Despite significant advancements in understanding the natural history, pathogenesis, risk factors, and mitigation strategies for POR, there...
remain many unanswered questions and avenues for investigation. These include personalized risk factor assessment and prediction, evaluating risk factor interaction, prospective validation of microbiome and other “-omic” risk factors, integration of the various clinical and molecular risk profiles to guide care, understanding the impact of newer approved therapies on preventing POR, identifying the optimal timing of prophylaxis initiation, the ideal biologic strategy in biologic-exposed individuals, evaluating novel therapies such as selective antimicrobials to prevent POR, combining therapeutic strategies, innovating technologies to monitor postoperative disease, and implementing biomarker and noninvasive surveillance into monitoring algorithms to name a few. The evidence-based preventative and therapeutic options are outlined in Table 4 and Figure 1. Postoperative recurrence remains a frequent clinical dilemma and much work remains.
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