FUSION CATEGORIES OF RANK 2

VIKTOR OSTRIK

Abstract. We classify semisimple rigid monoidal categories with two isomorphism classes of simple objects over the field of complex numbers. In the appendix written by P. Etingof it is proved that the number of semisimple Hopf algebras with a given finite number of irreducible representations is finite.

1. Introduction

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. A fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ over $k$ is a $k$–linear semisimple rigid monoidal category with finitely many simple objects and finite dimensional spaces of morphisms, such that the endomorphism algebra of the neutral object is $k$, see [3].

The problem of classification of all fusion categories seems to be very difficult. A basic invariant of a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is its Grothendieck ring $K(\mathcal{C})$ (physically, fusion rules algebra or fusion ring) which is a unital based ring in the sense of [10]. It is known that for a given based ring $K$ there are only finitely many fusion categories $\mathcal{C}$ with $K(\mathcal{C}) = K$ (this statement is known as “Ocneanu rigidity”, see [15] and [3]). There is a natural problem for a given “interesting” based ring $K$ to find all fusion categories $\mathcal{C}$ with $K(\mathcal{C}) = K$ (such categories are called “categorifications” of $K$). This problem was considered first probably by G. Moore and N. Seiberg in [8], they considered the case when all objects of $\mathcal{C}$ are invertible and the case of Yang-Lee fusion rules (see below). In his thesis T. Kerler completely classified fusion categories with fusion rings isomorphic to the fusion ring of the category of integrable $\hat{sl}_2$–modules at a positive integer level, see [4], and later D. Kazhdan and H. Wenzl generalized this to the case of $\hat{sl}_n$, see [6]. In [12] D. Tambara and S. Yamagami considered another big class of examples, the so-called fusion rules of self-duality for finite abelian groups.

The rank of $K(\mathcal{C})$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ or equivalently the number of isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{C}$ is called the rank of the category $\mathcal{C}$. There is only one fusion category of rank 1 — the category of vector spaces over $k$. In this note we will study all fusion categories of rank 2. Let $\mathbf{1}, X$ be the simple objects of such category $\mathcal{C}$ (here $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit object). It is clear that possible fusion rules for $\mathcal{C}$ are completely determined by the number $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ from the equation $X \otimes X = \mathbf{1} \oplus nX$. Let $K_n$ denote the fusion ring corresponding to the number $n$. The fusion ring $K_1$ is called the Yang-Lee fusion rules and is well known in the conformal field theory.

Main Theorem. There are just 4 fusion categories of rank 2. For two of them $K(\mathcal{C}) = K_0$ and for other two of them $K(\mathcal{C}) = K_1$.
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The classification of fusion categories \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K_0 \) or \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K_1 \) is due to Moore and Seiberg \([8]\). The only new part of this Theorem is the fact that the fusion rings \( K_n, n \geq 2 \) admit no categorification.

Our result suggests that the answer to the following question is of interest:

**Question.** Is it true that there are only finitely many fusion categories of a given finite rank?

As a supporting evidence for positive answer to this question recall that the number of finite groups with a given number of irreducible representations is finite, see \([7]\). Moreover, P. Etingof proved that the number of finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras with a given number of irreducible representations is finite, see Appendix to this note.

**Remark.** Our Main Theorem is not true without rigidity assumption on the category \( \mathcal{C} \). See \([13]\) for an example of a semisimple bialgebra with two representations and fusion rules \( X \otimes X = 2X \).

I am much obliged to Tania Chmutova, Pavel Etingof and Dmitri Nikshych for useful discussions; many thanks are due to Pavel Etingof for writing the Appendix to this note. I am grateful to Richard Stanley for providing reference \([11]\).

2. **Proof of the Main Theorem**

It appears that direct methods developed in \([12]\) are very difficult to apply in a case when some fusion coefficients are greater than 1. In particular I could not study even the case of the fusion ring \( K_2 \) using these methods. So we are using another approach. Here is an outline of our proof. Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a fusion category with \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K_n \). First we study the Drinfeld double of \( \mathcal{C} \) and show that \( \mathcal{C} \) is braided. Then we show that the category \( \mathcal{C} \) is automatically ribbon. It is easy to see then that the category \( \mathcal{C} \) is modular (unless \( n = 0 \)). Now the standard identities from the theory of modular categories give us a contradiction.

2.1. **The category \( \mathcal{C} \) is braided.** Let \( Z(\mathcal{C}) \) be the Drinfeld center of the category \( \mathcal{C} \), see e.g. \([1]\). It is known that the category \( Z(\mathcal{C}) \) is semisimple, see \([3]\). Let \( \mathcal{C}^{op} \) denotes the opposite category to \( \mathcal{C} \) and let \( \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \) be the external product of the categories \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{C}^{op} \), see e.g. \([1]\).

**Lemma 2.1.** The category \( Z(\mathcal{C}) \) has 4 simple objects \( 1, X_1, X_2, Y \). Under the forgetful functor \( F : Z(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) one has \( F(1) = 1, F(X_1) = F(X_2) = X, F(Y) = X \otimes X \).

**Proof.** Following \([1]\) one can describe the category \( Z(\mathcal{C}) \) in the following way: the object \( A = 1 \boxtimes 1 \oplus X \boxtimes X \) of the category \( \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \) has a natural structure of Frobenius algebra and the category \( Z(\mathcal{C}) \) is equivalent to the category of \( A \)-bimodules. Note that any (say left) \( A \)-module is free, that is of the form \( A \otimes M \) where \( M \) is some object of \( \mathcal{C} \boxtimes 1 \) (and \( A \)-module structure is the obvious one); this is a consequence of the general fact that the category of \( A \)-modules considered as the module category over \( \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \) is module equivalent to the category \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( X \boxtimes Y \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \) acting via the functor \( X \boxtimes ? \otimes Y \), see \([1]\). So there are just two simple \( A \)-modules — \( A \) itself and \( A \otimes (X \boxtimes 1) = 1 \boxtimes X + X \boxtimes 1 + nX \boxtimes X \).

We will denote by \( \text{Hom}(?,?) \) the Hom-spaces in the category \( \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \) and by \( \text{Hom}_{A-A}(?,?) \) the Hom-spaces in the category of \( A \)-bimodules. For any simple object \( M \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \) one considers the “free” bimodule \( A \otimes M \otimes A \). Note that for any \( A \)-bimodule \( B \) one has \( \text{Hom}_{A-A}(A \otimes M \otimes A, B) = \text{Hom}(M, B) \). In particular any \( A \)-bimodule is a direct summand of some free bimodule. Now taking \( M = 1 \boxtimes 1 \).
we get that $\text{Hom}_{A_{-A}}(A \otimes A, A \otimes A) = \text{Hom}(1 \boxtimes 1, A \otimes A)$ is two dimensional, so $A \otimes A$ is a direct sum of two nonisomorphic bimodules. One of them is $A$ itself, so $A \otimes A = A \oplus Y$ where simple bimodule $Y$ as an object of $\mathcal{C}$ has the following decomposition $Y = 1 \boxtimes 1 \oplus n1 \boxtimes X \oplus nX \boxtimes 1 \oplus (n^2 + 1)X \boxtimes X$. This implies that for $M = 1 \boxtimes X$ or $X \boxtimes 1$ one has $\text{Hom}_{A_{-A}}(A \otimes M \otimes A, Y)$ is $n-$dimensional and the calculation similar to the one above shows that $A \otimes M \otimes A = nY \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2$ where $X_1$, $X_2$ are simple nonisomorphic bimodules and $X_1 \oplus X_2 = 21 \boxtimes X \oplus 2X \boxtimes 1 \oplus 2nX \boxtimes X$. Since $X_1$ and $X_2$ are in particular $A-$modules one gets from the description of $A-$modules above that $X_1 = X_2 = 1 \boxtimes X \oplus X \boxtimes 1 \oplus X \boxtimes X$ as objects of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{op}$. Finally one calculates easily that for $M = X \boxtimes X$ one has $A \otimes M \otimes A = A \oplus nX_1 \oplus nX_2 \oplus (n^2 + 1)Y$ and so all $A-$bimodules are classified.

The forgetful functor $F$ has the following description on the objects of the category of $A-$bimodules: any $A-$bimodule $B$ is in particular left $A-$module, so is of the form $A \otimes (M \boxtimes 1)$ where $M \in \mathcal{C}$; then $F(B) = M$. This finishes the proof of the Lemma. □

The following Lemma calculates the fusion rules of $Z(\mathcal{C})$ and shows that the based ring $K(Z(\mathcal{C})) = K_n \boxtimes K_n$.

**Lemma 2.2.** We have

\[
X_1 \otimes X_1 = 1 \oplus nX_1; \ X_2 \otimes X_2 = 1 \oplus nX_2; \ X_1 \otimes X_2 = X_2 \otimes X_1 = Y.
\]

**Proof.** It is known that the category $Z(\mathcal{C})$ is rigid, see [1]. It is clear that $1^* = 1$ and $Y^* = Y$. We claim that $X_1^* = X_1$ and $X_2^* = X_2$. Indeed otherwise $\text{Hom}(1, X_1 \otimes X_1) = 0$ and hence $X_1 \otimes X_1 = Y$ (since $\text{Hom}(1, F(X_1 \otimes X_1)) \neq 0$).

Similarly $X_2 \otimes X_2 = Y$. We have also that $X_1 \otimes X_2$ is a direct sum of $1$ and $n$ summands each of which is isomorphic either to $X_1$ or to $X_2$. We can assume that $\text{Hom}(X_1 \otimes X_2, X_1) \neq 0$ (otherwise take $X_1$ instead of $X_2$). But in this case we have a contradiction:

\[
0 = \text{Hom}(X_1, Y) = \text{Hom}(X_1, X_1 \otimes X_1) = \text{Hom}(X_1 \otimes X_1^*, X_1) = \text{Hom}(X_1 \otimes X_2, X_1) \neq 0.
\]

Thus $X_1^* = X_1$, $X_2^* = X_2$ and hence $X_1 \otimes X_2 = X_2 \otimes X_1 = Y$. Note that

\[
\text{Hom}(X_1 \otimes X_1, X_2) = \text{Hom}(X_1, X_1^* \otimes X_2) = \text{Hom}(X_1, Y) = 0
\]

so $X_1 \otimes X_1 = 1 \oplus nX_1$. Similarly $X_2 \otimes X_2 = 1 \oplus nX_2$. The Lemma is proved. □

Thus the subcategory $\langle 1, X_1 \rangle$ of $Z(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of direct sums of $1$ and $X_1$ is a monoidal subcategory. The forgetful functor $F$ restricted to this subcategory is an equivalence of categories and thus we proved

**Corollary 2.1.** The category $\mathcal{C}$ admits a structure of braided category. □

### 2.2. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is modular.

Let us fix a structure of a braided category on $\mathcal{C}$. For $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$ let $\beta_{M,N} : M \boxtimes N \to N \boxtimes M$ denote the braiding morphism. The morphisms $\beta_{M,N}$ are completely determined by 4 morphisms $\beta_{M,N}$ where $M$ and $N$ are simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$ (since the braiding is functorial). It follows from the axioms that $\beta_{1,1} = \text{Id}$, $\beta_{1,X} = \text{Id}$, $\beta_{X,1} = \text{Id}$ so the only nontrivial morphism is $\beta_{X,X}$. The morphism $\beta_{X,X}$ induces a linear automorphisms of the one dimensional space $\text{Hom}(1, X \boxtimes X)$ and of $n-$dimensional space $\text{Hom}(X, X \boxtimes X)$; so the first is just some number $\mu \in k^*$ and the second is some linear operator $\Lambda$.

**Lemma 2.3.** One has $\Lambda^2 = \mu \text{Id}$.

**Proof.** The vector space $\text{Hom}(1, X \boxtimes X \boxtimes X)$ carries the action of two linear operators $\Lambda_1 := \beta_{X,X} \otimes \text{Id}$ and $\Lambda_2 := \text{Id} \otimes \beta_{X,X}$ and it is enough to prove that
\( \Lambda_1^2 = \mu \text{Id}. \) Note that by the hexagon axiom \((\text{Id} \otimes \beta_{X,X}) \circ (\beta_{X,X} \otimes \text{Id}) = \beta_{X,X} \otimes X \) and hence \( \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1 = \mu \text{Id}. \) On the other hand the braid relation (= Yang-Baxter relation) says \( \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \) whence \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 \) and the Lemma is proved. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.2.** The category \( \mathcal{C} \) admits a structure of ribbon (= pivotal and braided) category.

**Proof.** It is enough to define the twists by \( \theta_1 = 1 \) and \( \theta_X = \mu^{-1} \) (see \( \square \) for notations). \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.3.** Assume that \( n \neq 0 \). Then the category \( \mathcal{C} \) is modular.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that if \( \mathcal{C} \) is not modular then \( \mu = 1 \) and \( \mathcal{C} \) is symmetric. 

But in a symmetric fusion category the dimensions of all objects are integers, see \( \square \) Theorem 7.2. The Lemma is proved since the quadratic equation \( x^2 = 1 + nx \) has an integer root only for \( n = 0 \). \( \square \)

### 2.3. The category \( \mathcal{C} \) does not exist.

The main result of this note is the following

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that \( n \geq 2 \). There is no fusion category \( \mathcal{C} \) such that \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K_n \).

**Proof.** We already proved that category \( \mathcal{C} \) is modular if it exists. Let \( d \) be a dimension of \( X \) and let \( \theta = \theta_X \). Thus \( d \) is a root of equation \( \theta^2 = 1 + nd \) and \( \theta \) is a root of unity by Vafa’s Theorem, see \( \square \) Theorem 3.1.19. Consider the Gaussian sums \( p_+ = 1 + \theta d^2 \) and \( p_- = 1 + \theta^{-1} d^2 \). Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is a modular category one has \( p_+ p_- = 1 + d^2 \) (see \( \square \) 3.1.15, 3.1.22) or, equivalently, \( \theta + \theta^{-1} = nd \). We can assume that \( d > n \) (applying otherwise the Galois automorphism to the equation). Then \( |nd| > n^2 \) and \( |\theta + \theta^{-1}| \leq 2 \) and we have a contradiction for \( n \geq 2 \). \( \square \)

### 2.4. Categories \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K_0 \).

Let \( G \) be a finite group and let \( K(G) \) be the based ring with basis \( X_g, g \in G \) and fusion rules \( X_g \otimes X_h = X_{gh} \). It is shown in \( \square \) Appendix E that the monoidal categories \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K(G) \) are classified by \( \text{H}^3(G, k^\times) \). Any category of this kind is automatically rigid. In particular \( K_0 = K(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \) and categorifications of \( K_0 \) are classified by \( \text{H}^3(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, k^\times) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

So there are two such categories. First one is the category of representations of \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) and second differs from the first one by the sign of associativity morphism \( (X \otimes X) \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes (X \otimes X) \). This second category can be explicitly realized as the fusion category of integrable representations of \( \hat{sl}_2 \) at level 1.

### 2.5. Categories \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K_1 \).

Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a monoidal category with \( K(\mathcal{C}) = K_1 \). Choose basis vectors in one dimensional vector spaces \( \text{Hom}(1, X \otimes X) \) and \( \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \). The only nontrivial associativity morphism is \( (X \otimes X) \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes (X \otimes X) \), this is equivalent to giving isomorphisms of vector spaces \( \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(1, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \) (this is just a number \( \lambda \in k^\times \) and \( \text{Hom}(1, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(1, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \otimes \text{Hom}(X, X \otimes X) \) (so this can be represented by invertible \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix). After relatively easy calculation (along the lines of \( \square \)) one finds that \( \lambda = 1 \) and the second isomorphism can be represented by the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ a & -a \end{pmatrix} \) where \( a \) is a root of equation \( a^2 + a = 1 \). So we have two solutions; both categories are rigid; they differ by the action of Galois group. In one of these categories \( \text{dim}(X) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \) and in the second \( \text{dim}(X) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \).

The first category can be explicitly realized as a subcategory of “integral spin” representations of the fusion category of integrable \( \hat{sl}_2 \)-modules at level 3 and the second category is the minimal model \( \mathcal{M}(2, 5) \) for the Virasoro algebra (with central
3. Appendix

Pavel Etingof

In this appendix we give an upper bound for the dimension of a semisimple Hopf algebra over \( k \) with \( n \) irreducible representations. For group algebras, this estimate was obtained 100 years ago by E. Landau, \cite{Landau1907}. (We are grateful to R. Stanley for giving us this reference).

For a positive integer \( n \), let \( P(n) \) be the set of positive integer solutions of the equation \( \frac{1}{x_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{x_n} = 1 \), and let \( d(n) = \max_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in P(n)} \max x_i \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( H \) be a semisimple Hopf algebra over \( k \), which has \( n \) irreducible representations. Then \( \dim H \leq d(n) \).

**Remark 1.** The set \( P(n) \) is finite, since for any positive rational number \( r \) the number of positive integer solutions of the equation \( \frac{1}{x_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{x_n} = r \) is finite \( \left( \begin{array}{c} n \end{array} r \right) \)\). Indeed, if \( x_1 \) is the smallest coordinate, then \( x_1 \leq n/r \), so there are finitely many possibilities for \( x_1 \), and if \( x_1 \) is fixed then \( (x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) vary over the set of positive integer solutions of the equation \( \frac{1}{x_2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{x_n} = r - \frac{1}{x_1} \), so the statement follows by induction. (In fact, this inductive procedure allows one to obtain an explicit estimate for \( d(n) \), see \cite{Landau1907}).

**Remark 2.** One has \( d(n+1) \geq 2d(n) \) since if \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i} = 1 \) then \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} = 1 \).

**Proof.** Our proof is a generalization of the classical proof of Landau in the group case, which employs the fact that for a group with \( n \) irreducible representations, one has \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|G_i|} = 1 \), where \( G_i \) are centralizers of conjugacy classes (the class equation). Namely, we use the Hopf algebraic class equation, due to G. Kac \cite{Kac1985} and Y. Zhu \cite{Zhu1996}.

Let \( C(H) \subset H^* \) be the character ring of \( H \) (it is spanned by characters of irreducible representation). This is a semisimple algebra of dimension \( n \), so \( C(H) \) can be identified with \( \oplus \text{Mat}_{r_i} \), where \( \sum r_i^2 = n \). Let us choose such an identification, and let \( E_{jj}^{(t)} \in C(H) \) be the corresponding matrix units.

**Theorem (Kac-Zhu),** \cite{Kac1985} The ratio \( m_i = \text{dim}(H)/\text{Tr}|_{H^*}(E_{jj}^{(t)}) \) (where for \( a \in H^* \cdot a \cdot \) denotes the operator of multiplication by \( a \)) is a positive integer.

Now observe that \( \sum_i r_i E_{jj}^{(t)} = 1 \), so \( \sum_i r_i E_{jj}^{(t)} = \frac{1}{m_i} = 1 \). Writing \( r_i/m_i \) as a sum of \( r_i \) copies of \( 1/m_i \), we get a solution of the equation \( \sum_j r_j E_{jj}^{(t)} = 1 \), where \( r = \sum_i r_i \leq n \). Thus, \( m_i \leq d(r) \leq d(n) \). On the other hand, consider the 1-dimensional matrix block of \( C(H) \) spanned by the integral of \( H^* \) (i.e. by the character of the regular representation of \( H \)). For this block the number \( m_i \) is obviously equal to \( \text{dim}(H) \), since the integral is a projector to a 1-dimensional subspace. So \( \dim H \leq d(n) \), as desired.
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