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Abstract

In this paper we show that the map
\[
\partial : CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow PCH^1(X_v)
\]
is surjective, where \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) are two non-isogenous semistable elliptic curves over a local field, \(CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1)\) is one of Bloch’s higher Chow groups and \(PCH^1(X_v)\) is a certain subquotient of a Chow group of the special fibre \(X_v\) of a semi-stable model \(X\) of \(E_1 \times E_2\). On one hand, this can be viewed as a non-Archimedean analogue of the Hodge-\(D\)-conjecture of Beilinson - which is known to be true in this case by the work of Chen and Lewis [CL05], and on the other, an analogue of the works of Speiß [Spi99], Mildenhall [Mil92] and Flach [Fla92] in the case when the elliptic curves have split multiplicative reduction.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove a special case of the following conjecture: Let \(K\) be a local field with residue characteristic \(v\) and ring of integers \(O\). Let \(X\) be a variety over \(K\) and let \(X\) be a semi-stable model over \(O\).

Then the map
\[
CH^a(X, b) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} PCH^{a-1}(X_v, b-1)
\]
is surjective. Here, assuming the Parshin-Soulé conjecture [Sou84], if \(b > 1\), \(PCH^{a-1}(X_v, b-1)\) is the higher Chow group \(CH^{a-1}(X_v, b-1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\). In particular, it is 0 if \(X_v\) is non-singular. If \(b = 1\) it is a certain subquotient of the Chow group \(CH^{a-1}(X_v) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\).

A conjecture of Bloch’s and the work of Consani [Con98] suggests that the dimension of the group \(PCH^{a-1}(X_v, b-1)\) is the order of the pole of \(L_v(H^{2a-b-1}(X), s)\) at \(s = (a-b)\). In general this can be non-zero so the surjectivity is non-trivial.

We prove this in the case when \(X = E_1 \times E_2\) where \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) are non-isogenous elliptic curves over \(K\), \(a = 2\) and \(b = 1\). There are several subcases to be considered, depending on whether the reduction is good or bad.

- When \(v\) is a prime of good reduction, the expected dimension of \(PCH^1(X_v, 0)\) is 6, 4 or 2, depending on whether the special fibres \(E_{1,v}\) and \(E_{2,v}\) are isogenous or not. The surjectivity of the map in this case was shown by Speiß [Spi99].

- When \(v\) is a prime of good reduction for one of the elliptic curves and bad semi-stable reduction for the other the expected dimension is 2. In this case it is easy to see what the elements of \(CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1)\) are.

- When \(v\) is a prime of bad semi-stable reduction for both \(E_1\) and \(E_2\). In this case the expected dimension is 3. It is easy to find elements of \(CH^2(X, 1)\) which whose image under the map \(\partial\) spans two dimensions. To find an element whose boundary spans the third dimension seems to require more work, and is the purpose of this paper.
Beilinson’s Hodge-D-conjecture [Jan88], specialized to our case, states that the map

\[ r_D \otimes \mathbb{R} : CH^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{R} \to H^3_D(X, \mathbb{R}(2)) \]

is surjective. This is now a theorem of Chen and Lewis [CL05]. As explained below, the group \( PCH^1(Y) \) shares many properties with the Deligne cohomology group, so our statement can be viewed as a non-Archimedean analogue of this. In general the Hodge-D conjecture is false [MS97].

An \( S \)-integral version of the Beilinson conjectures, or a special case of the Tamagawa number conjecture of Bloch and Kato, would assert that, for a variety over a number field, there are elements in the higher Chow group over the number field itself which bound the elements of the Chow groups of the special fibres.

The only case for which there is some evidence is the work of Bloch and Grayson [BG86] on \( CH^2(E, 2) \) of elliptic curves, but even here, as far as I am aware, there is not a single case of an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) where it is known that there are as many elements of the group as would be predicted by the full \( S \)-integral Beilinson conjecture.

On the other hand, Beilinson [Bei84] proved his conjecture for the product of two non-isogenous elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and this can be viewed as a statement for the Archimedean prime. One expects [Man91] that the Archimedean prime behaves like a prime of semi-stable reduction. Further, the work of Mildenhall [Mil92] provides evidence for this conjecture when \( v \) is a prime of good reduction and \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are isogenous elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

One might hope that one can extend these results to the case when \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are not isogenous and \( v \) is a prime of bad semi-stable (that is, split multiplicative) reduction for both of them. Towards that end, we considered this local situation first.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In the first section we define the group \( PCH \) that appears as the target of the boundary map. We then specialize to the product of two semi-stable elliptic curves and describe the fibre of the semi-stable model of the product of the two curves and the group \( PCH \) in this case. Then we use the work of Frey and Kani on the existence of curves of genus 2 on products of elliptic curves along with Speiß’s work to construct some elements in the higher Chow group. Finally we compute their boundary and show that they suffice to prove surjectivity.

The method of proof is almost identical to that of Speiß, the only difference being that we have to modify his arguments appropriately to work in the case of bad reduction. He obtains some consequences for codimension 2 cycles on \( X \) which follow from the surjectivity of \( \partial \), so they apply in our case as well.

I would like to thank S. Kondo, C. Consani, B. Noohi, C-G Lehr and C.S. Rajan for some useful conversations, and K. Kimura for pointing out some mistakes in an earlier version of this paper. I would also like to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for providing a wonderful atmosphere in which to work in.

2 Preliminaries

Let \( X \) be a smooth proper variety over a local field \( K \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) the ring of integers of \( K \) with closed point \( v \) and generic point \( \eta \).

By a model \( \mathcal{X} \) of \( X \) we mean a flat proper scheme \( \mathcal{X} \to Spec(\mathcal{O}) \) together with an isomorphism of the generic fibre \( X_\eta \) with \( X \). Let \( Y \) be the special fibre \( \mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times Spec(k(v)) \). We will always also make the assumption that the model is strictly semi-stable, which means that it is a regular model and the fibre \( Y \) is a divisor with normal crossings whose irreducible components are smooth, have multiplicity one and intersect transversally.

Let \( i : Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \) denote the inclusion map.

2.1 Consani’s Double Complex

In [Con98], Consani defined a double complex of Chow groups of the components of the special fibre with a monodromy operator \( N \) following the work of Steenbrink [Ste76] and Bloch-Gillet-Soulé [BGS95]. Using this complex she was able to relate the higher Chow group of the special fibre at a semi-stable prime to the regular Chow groups of the components. This relation is what is used in defining the group \( PCH \).

Let \( Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} Y_i \) be the special fibre of dim \( n \) with \( Y_i \) its irreducible components. For \( I \subset \{1, \ldots, t\} \), define

\[ Y_I = \cap_{i \in I} Y_i \]
Let \( r = |I| \) denote the cardinality of \( I \). Define
\[
Y^{(r)} := \begin{cases} 
X & \text{if } r = 0 \\
\prod_{i=1}^{r} Y_i & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq n \\
\emptyset & \text{if } r > n
\end{cases}
\]

For \( u \) and \( t \) with \( 1 \leq u \leq t < r \) define the map
\[
\delta(u) : Y^{(t+1)} \to Y^{(t)}
\]
as follows. Let \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_{t+1}) \) with \( i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_{t+1} \). Let \( J = I \setminus \{i_u\} \). This gives an embedding \( Y_J \to Y_I \). Putting these together induces the map \( \delta(u) \). Let \( \delta(u)_* \) and \( \delta(u)^* \) denote the corresponding maps on Chow homology and cohomology respectively. They further induce the Gysin and restriction maps on the Chow groups.

Define
\[
\gamma := \sum_{u=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{u-1} \delta(u)_*
\]
and
\[
\rho := \sum_{u=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{u-1} \delta(u)^*
\]

These maps have the properties that
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma^2 &= 0 \\
\rho^2 &= 0 \\
\gamma \cdot \rho + \rho \cdot \gamma &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

### 2.2 The group \( PCH \)

Let \( a, q \) be two integers with \( q - 2a > 0 \).

\[
PCH^{q-a-1}(Y, q - 2a - 1) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{\text{Ker}(i^* \cdot CH_{n-a}(Y^{(1)}) \to CH^{a+1}(Y^{(1)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q})}{\text{Im}(\gamma \cdot CH_{n-a}(Y^{(2)}) \to CH_{n-a}(Y^{(1)}))} & \text{if } q - 2a = 1 \\
\frac{\text{Ker}(\gamma \cdot CH_{n-(q-a-1)}(Y^{(q-2a)}-CH_{n-(q-a-1)}(Y^{(q-2a-1)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q})}{\text{Im}(\gamma \cdot CH_{n-(q-a-1)}(Y^{(q-2a+1)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q})} & \text{if } q - 2a > 1
\end{cases}
\]

Here \( n \) is the dimension of \( Y \). Note that if \( q - 2a > 1 \) and \( Y \) is non-singular, this group is 0, while if \( Y \) is singular and semi-stable, the Parshin-Soulé conjecture implies that this group is \( CH^{q-a-1}(Y, q - 2a - 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). If \( q - 2a = 1 \) and \( Y \) is non-singular, the group is \( CH^0(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Our interest is in the remaining case, namely when \( q - 2a = 1 \) and \( Y \) is singular.

The ‘Real’ Deligne cohomology has the property that its dimension is the order of the pole of the Archimedean factor of the L-function at a certain point on the left of the critical point. The group \( PCH^1(Y) \) has a similar property. Let \( F^* \) be the geometric Frobenius and \( N(v) \) the number of elements of \( k(v) \). The local L-factor of the \((q-1)^{th}\)-cohomology group is then
\[
L_v(H^{q-1}(X, s) = (\det(I - F^* N(v)^{-s} H^{q-1}(X, \mathbb{Q} \ell)^{1}))^{-1}
\]

**Theorem 2.1** (Conusani). Let \( v \) be a place of semistable reduction. Assuming the weight-monodromy conjecture, the Tate conjecture for the components and the injectivity of the cycle class map on the components \( Y_1 \), the Parshin-Soulé conjecture and that \( F^* \) acts semisimply on \( H^1(X, \mathbb{Q} \ell) \), we have
\[
\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} PCH^{q-a-1}(Y, q - 2a - 1) = -\text{ord}_{s=q} L_v(H^{q-1}(X, s)) := d_v
\]

**Proof.** [Con98, Cor 3.6.](#)
From this point of view the group $PCH^{n-a-1}(Y, q-2a-1)$ can be viewed as a non-Archimedean analogue of the ‘Real’ Deligne cohomology. Since the $L$-factor at a prime of good reduction does not have a pole at $s = a$ when $q-2a > 1$, the Parshin-Soulé conjecture can be interpreted as the statement that this non-Archimedean Deligne cohomology has the correct dimension, namely 0, even at a prime of good reduction.

As is clear from the definition, the group $PCH$ depends on the choice of the semi-stable model of $X$. However, Consani’s theorem says that the dimension does not. So to a large extent one can work with any semi-stable model. Perhaps the correct definition is one obtained by taking a limit of semi-stable models as in the work of Bloch, Gillette and Soulé [BGS95] on non-Archimedean Arakelov theory.

From this point on we specialize to the case when $X$ is a surface and further $n = 2, q = 3$ and $a = 1$. We will be interested in group $CH^2(X, 1)$ and the map to $PCH^1(Y) := PCH^1(Y, 0)$. This is related to the order of the pole of the $L$-function of $H^2(X)$ at $s = 1$. Soon we will further specialize to the case when $X = E_1 \times E_2$.

### 2.3 Elements of the higher chow group

The group $CH^2(X, 1)$ has the following presentation [Ram89]. It is generated by formal sums of the type

$$\sum_i (C_i, f_i)$$

where $C_i$ are curves on $X$ and $f_i$ are $\tilde{K}$-valued functions on the $C_i$ satisfying the cocycle condition

$$\sum_i \text{div } f_i = 0.$$

Relations in this group are give by the tame symbol of pairs of functions on $X$.

There are some decomposable elements of this group coming from the product structure

$$CH^1(X) \otimes CH^1(X, 1) \rightarrow CH^2(X, 1)$$

A theorem of Bloch [Blo86] says that $CH^1(X, 1)$ is simply $K^*$ where $K$ is the field of definition of $X$ so such an element looks like a sum of elements of the type $(C, a)$ where $C$ is a curve on $X$ and $a$ is in $K^*$. More generally, an element is said to be decomposable if it can be written as a sum of products as above over possibly an extension of the base field. Elements which are not decomposable are said to be indecomposable.

The group $CH^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the same as the $K$-cohomology group $H^2_{zar}(X, K_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and the motivic cohomology group $H^3_{mot}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$.

### 2.4 The boundary map

The usual Beilinson regulator maps the higher chow group to the Real Deligne cohomology. In the non-Archimedean context, it appears that the boundary map

$$\partial : CH^2(X, 1) \rightarrow PCH^1(Y)$$

plays a similar role. It is defined as follows

$$\partial(\sum_i (C_i, f_i)) = \sum_i \text{div}_{\tilde{C}_i}(f_i)$$

where $\tilde{C}_i$ denotes the closure of $C_i$ in the semi-stable model $\mathcal{X}$ of $X$. By the cocycle condition, the ‘horizontal divisors’ namely, the closure of $\sum_i \text{div}_{\tilde{C}_i}(f_i)$ cancel out and the result is supported on the special fibre. Further, since the boundary $\partial$ of an element is the sum of divisors of functions, it lies in $\text{Ker}(i^*\iota_*)$.

For a decomposable element of the form $(C, a)$ the regulator map is particularly simple to compute,

$$\partial((C, a)) = \text{ord}_v(a)C_v$$
3 Products of Elliptic Curves

From now on we specialize to the case when \( X = E_1 \times E_2 \) where \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are elliptic curves over a local field \( K \) of residue characteristic \( v \) with semi-stable reduction at \( v \). Let \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) denote the Néron minimal models of \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) over \( S = \text{Spec}(O) \) respectively. The special fibre at \( v \) of the \( E_i \) are Néron polygons -

\[
E_{i,v} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_i-1} E_{i,v}^j
\]

where \( k_i \) denotes the number of components of the special fibre of \( E_i \). Each \( E_{i,v}^j \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \). Let \( E_{i,v}^0 \) denote the identity component - that is the component which intersects the 0-section.

3.1 Semi-stable models of elliptic curves

In this section we describe the semi-stable model of the product of elliptic curves. The product of semi-stable models of \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) is unfortunately not semi-stable - one has to blow up certain points lying on the intersection of the products of the components. Locally, one has the following description [Con99]:

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( z_1 z_2 = w_1 w_2 \) be a local description of \( E_1 \times S E_2 \) around the point \((P,Q)\) where \( P \) and \( Q \) are double points lying on the intersection of two components of the special fibre of \( E_{1,v} \), say \( P \in E_{1,v}^0 \cap E_{1,v}^1 \) and \( Q \in E_{2,v}^0 \cap E_{2,v}^1 \). After a blow up of \( E_1 \times E_2 \) with center at the origin \((z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2)\) the resulting degeneration is normal crossings. The special fibre \( Y \) is the union of five irreducible components \( Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} Y_i \). We label them as follows: \( Y_1 = (E_{1,v}^0 \times E_{2,v}^0), Y_2 = (E_{1,v}^0 \times E_{2,v}^1), Y_3 = (E_{1,v}^1 \times E_{2,v}^0), Y_4 = (E_{1,v}^1 \times E_{2,v}^1), \) and \( Y_5 \) is the exceptional divisor. \( Y_5 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \).

**Proof.** [Con99] - Lemma 4.1. □

To get the global situation, we have to repeat this construction at every double point. Let \( Z \) denote the semi-stable model and \( \psi : Z \to E_1 \times E_2 \) the blowing up map.

The labeling of the components \( Y_i \) is important and is with respect to the point being blown up - each component \( E_{1,v}^0 \times E_{2,v}^1 \) is \( Y_1 \) with respect to the south-west corner being blown up, \( Y_2 \) with respect to the north-west, \( Y_3 \) with respect to the south-east and \( Y_4 \) with respect to the north-east.

We use this description to compute the group \( PCH^1(Y) \). The group in question is

\[
PCH^1(Y) = \frac{\text{Ker}(i_*i^*: CH_1(Y^{(1)}) \to CH^2(Y^{(1)}))}{\text{Im}(\gamma: CH_1(Y^{(2)}) \to CH_1(Y^{(1)}))} \otimes \mathbb{Q}
\]

\( Y^{(1)} \) consists of the disjoint union of the components \( Y_i \) and \( Y^{(2)} \) consists of their pairwise intersections, \( Y_i \cap Y_j \), denoted by \( Y_{ij} \). Similarly, the intersections of three components \( Y_i \cap Y_j \cap Y_k \) will be denoted by \( Y_{ijk} \).

From the description above we see that \( Y_{ij} \) is one of ‘horizontal curves’ \( Y_{12} \) and \( Y_{34} \) which are \( E_{1,v}^0 \times Q \) and \( E_{1,v}^1 \times Q \) respectively, ‘vertical curves’ \( Y_{13} \) and \( Y_{24} \) which are \( P \times E_{2,v}^0 \) and \( P \times E_{2,v}^1 \) or ‘exceptional curves’ \( Y_{15}, i = \{1, \ldots , 4\} \). All the curves \( Y_{ij} \) are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \).

**Proposition 3.2.** When \( X = E_1 \times E_2 \) the group \( PCH^1(Y) \) is three dimensional. It is generated by \( \mathcal{E}_1 = \psi^*(E_{1,v} \times Q), \mathcal{E}_2 = \psi^*(P \times E_{2,v}), \) which are the images of \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) in the special fibre of the semi-stable model, and the sum over all the exceptional divisors of the cycle \( Y_{15} + Y_{14} - Y_{25} - Y_{35}, \mathcal{F} = \sum(Y_{15} + Y_{14} - Y_{25} - Y_{35}) \).

**Proof.** We first show that our cycles lie in the kernel of \( i_*i^* \).

**Lemma 3.3.** The elements \( \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{E}_2 \) lie in the \( \text{Ker}(i_*i^*) \).

**Proof.** The group which is the target of \( i_*i^* \) is

\[
CH^2(Y^{(1)}) = \bigoplus_j CH^2(Y_j)
\]

where the sum is over all the components \( Y_j \) which arise from all the blow ups. A cycle lies in the kernel of \( i_*i^* \) if the restriction of its image under \( i_* \) to the \( CH^2(Y_j) \) is 0 for all \( j \).
Around a the blow up of a point, in the group $\bigoplus_{j=1}^5 CH^2(Y_j)$ the image of $i^* i_*(Y_{15} + Y_{25} - Y_{35})$ is 
\[ (-Y_{125} - Y_{135}, Y_{125} + Y_{245}, Y_{135} + Y_{345}, -Y_{245} - Y_{345}, 0) \]
As remarked above, each $Y_i$ is $Y_1$, $Y_2$, $Y_3$ and $Y_4$ depending on which corner is being blown up, so the image of the cycle $\mathcal{F}$ under $i^* i_*$ in each $Y_i$, $i \neq 5$ is 
\[ (-Y_{125} - Y_{135} + Y_{125} + Y_{245} + Y_{135} + Y_{345} + Y_{135} - Y_{245} - Y_{345}). \]
This is rationally equivalent to 0 as any 0-cycle of degree 0 on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is rationally equivalent to 0.

The cycles $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ lie in $\text{Ker}(i, i^*)$ as the restrictions of $\text{div}(\pi)$, where $\pi$ is the uniformizer at $v$, to the cycles $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ respectively. Consani shows that $\psi^*(\mathcal{E}_1, v)$ in $\bigoplus_{j=1}^5 CH^1(Y_j)$ is $Y_{12} + Y_{33} + (Y_{15} - Y_{45} + Y_{25} - Y_{35})$. As we will see below, the cycles supported in $Y_5$ are rationally equivalent to 0 so $\mathcal{E}_1$ is simply the closure of $\mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times Q$ in $PCH^1(Y)$. The same holds for $\mathcal{E}_2$.

The cycles $Y_{15}$ and $Y_{45}$ do not intersect as the components $Y_1$ and $Y_4$ do not intersect. Further, they are reduced. Hence these two cycles are parallel and hence rationally equivalent in $CH^1(Y_5)$. Similarly $Y_{25}$ and $Y_{35}$ are rationally equivalent in $CH^1(Y_5)$. The cycles $Y_{15}$ and $Y_{25}$ intersect precisely at one point, $Y_{125}$, with multiplicity one, so they give rulings of $Y_5 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Further, the cycle $Y_{15}$ lying in the intersection of a $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with the exceptional fibre of the blow up of the south west corner, is equivalent to the cycle $Y_{125}$ which is the intersection of the same $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with the exceptional fibre of the blow up of the north east corner. Similarly for $Y_{25}$ and $Y_{35}$. Hence the cycles $Y_{15} - Y_{25}$ lying in all the exceptional divisors are all equivalent in the group $PCH^1(Y)$. So $\mathcal{F} = (k_1 k_2)(Y_{15} + Y_{45} - Y_{25} - Y_{35})$, where $k_1$ and $k_2$ are the number of components of $\mathcal{E}_{1,v}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2,v}$ respectively.

Similarly, the cycles $\mathcal{E}_{i,v} \times P$ and $\mathcal{E}_{i,v} \times P'$ in $\mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}$ are equivalent for any two points $P$ and $P'$. Further, the cycles $Y_{ij}$ embedded in $Y_i$ and $Y_j$ are equivalent in the group $PCH^1(Y)$ as their difference lies in the image of the Gysin map $\gamma$. As a result, for a fixed $j$ any two cycles of the form $\mathcal{E}_{i,v} \times Q$ for a $Q$ in $\mathcal{E}_{2,v}$ are equivalent, and similarly, for a fixed $l$, any two cycles of the form $P \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}$ for $P$ in $\mathcal{E}_{1,v}$ are equivalent under the image of the Gysin map.

So the result is that we have three cycles in the group $PCH^1(Y)$, namely $\mathcal{E}_1$, $\mathcal{E}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}$ which are clearly linearly independent.

As one can compute the order of the pole of the local L-factor, the theorem of Consani [Con98] asserts that this group is three dimensional, so these cycles generate the group.

To prove surjectivity, therefore, we have to find three elements of the Chow group $CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ which bound these three generators.

**Remark 3.4.** When $E_1 \times E_2$ are elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ the dimension of the Real Deligne cohomology, which is the target of the Beilinson regulator map, is also three dimensional. In that case it is easy to find cycles which bound two of the three generators. The third requires more work - one has to use the modular parametrization [Bec84].

**Remark 3.5.** At a prime of good reduction for both $E_1$ and $E_2$, in the group $PCH^1(Y) = CH^1(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, one has the cycles $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_{1,v}$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{E}_{2,v}$ as well as cycles for any isogeny between $\mathcal{E}_{1,v}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2,v}$. So there are either 2, 4 or 6 cycles depending on whether $\mathcal{E}_{1,v}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2,v}$ are not isogenous, isogenous, but not supersingular, or have supersingular reduction.

### 3.2 Genus two curves on products of elliptic curves

Speiß [Sp99] constructed an element of the higher chow group using a genus two curve on the generic fibre. We show that his construction can be used in our case of semistable reduction as well. We have to use some work of Frey and Kani [FK91] on the existence of irreducible genus two curves whose Jacobian is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves.
**Theorem 3.6** (Frey and Kani). Let $K$ be a local field with residual characteristic $v$ and $E_1$ and $E_2$ two elliptic curves over $K$. Let $n$ be an odd integer, $E_1[n]$ and $E_2[n]$ the $n$-torsion subgroups and $\phi : E_1[n] \to E_2[n]$ a $K$-rational homomorphism which is an anti-isometry with respect to the Weil pairings - that is $e_n(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = e_n(x, y)^{-1}$. Let $J = E_1 \times E_2 / \text{graph}(\phi)$ and $p : E_1 \times E_2 \to J$ the projection. Then there exists a unique curve $C \subset J$ defined over $K$ such that the following holds.

- $C$ is a stable curve of genus two in the sense of Deligne and Mumford.
- $-\text{id}^*(C) = C$.
- Let $\lambda_C$ denote the map from $J \to \bar{J}$ induced by the line bundle corresponding to $C$. Then the composite maps,

$$\pi_i : C \xrightarrow{j} J \xrightarrow{\lambda_C} \bar{J} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} E_1 \times E_2 \longrightarrow E_i$$

are finite morphisms of degree $n$.

**Proof.** [FK91], Proposition [1.3].

We now apply this criterion in a special case, choosing $n$ judiciously so as to ensure that we bound the right cycle. This is a variation of the method used in [Spi99], Lemma [3.3].

Let $a$ be an integer and $n = a^2 + 1$. Choose $a$ such that $(a, v) = (n, v) = 1$ and $n$ is odd. Extend $K$ to a field where all the $n$-torsion of $E_1$ and $E_2$ are defined. From the theory of Néron models, we have that $n$ then divides the number of components $k_i$ of the special fibres $\mathcal{E}_i$ of the Néron models $\mathcal{E}_i$ of $E_i$.

As a group the special fibre $\mathcal{E}_{i,v}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{Z}/k_i\mathbb{Z}$. We will denote an element of $E_{i,v}$ by $(x;m)$ with $x \in \mathbb{G}_m$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}/k_i\mathbb{Z}$. Let $h_a$ denote the isogeny

$$h_a : \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2,v}$$

$$h_a((x;m)) = (x^a; a \cdot m)$$

where multiplication by $a$ is to be understood as the action of the class of $a$ in $\mathbb{Z}/(k_1, k_2)\mathbb{Z}$ which is identified with $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/k_1\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/k_2\mathbb{Z})$. Explicitly, this is as follows. If $m$ is in $\mathbb{Z}/k_1\mathbb{Z}$ and $a$ is in $\mathbb{Z}/(k_1, k_2)\mathbb{Z}$ then

$$a \cdot m = amk_2/(k_1, k_2) \text{ mod } k_2$$

Since $n/(k_1, k_2)$ the group $\mathbb{Z}/(k_1, k_2)\mathbb{Z}$ is non-trivial.

Let $h_a[n] : \mathcal{E}_{1,v}[n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2,v}[n]$ denote the restriction of $h_a$ to the $n$-torsion points. Since $(n, v) = 1$ the groups $E_i[n]$ and $\mathcal{E}_{i,v}[n]$ are isomorphic [ST68]. So the map $h_a[n]$ lifts to a map $\phi_a : E_1[n] \to E_2[n]$.

**Lemma 3.7.** The map $\phi_a$ is an anti-isometry with respect to the Weil pairing $e_n$.

**Proof.** If $X$ and $Y$ are two points in $E_1[n]$ mapping to $(x;m_x)$ and $(y;m_y)$ in $E_{1,v}[n]$ respectively we have

$$e_n(\phi_a(X), \phi_a(Y)) = e_n(h_a((x;m_x)), h_a((y;m_y))) = e_n((x;m_x), h_a \circ h_a((y;m_y)))$$

as the dual isogeny $h_a$ is the adjoint of $h_a$ with respect to the Weil pairing. So this is equal to

$$e_n((x;m_x), (y^a; a \cdot m_y)) = e_n((x;m_x), (y^{a-1}; (n - 1) \cdot m_y)) = e_n((x;m_x), (y^{a-1}; -1 \cdot m_y)) = e_n(x, y)^{-1}$$

as $h_a \circ h_a$ is multiplication by $a^2 = n - 1$ and $Y$ is in the $n$ torsion, so $(n - 1) \cdot m_y = -1 \cdot m_y$ and $y^{a-1} = y^{-1}$.

From the theorem of Frey and Kani with $\phi = \phi_a$ we get a corresponding stable genus 2 curve $C$ and finite morphisms $\pi_i : C \to E_i$ of degree $n$. $C$ is a principal polarization on $J = E_1 \times E_2 / (\text{graph}(\phi))$. It satisfies the additional property that $p^*(C) \sim n\Theta$, where $\Theta = E_1 \times 0 \cup 0 \times E_2$. Further, it is the unique curve satisfying that as well as $-\text{id}^*(C) = C$.

We would like to understand the special fibre of the closure of this curve in a semistable model of $E_1 \times E_2$. We first describe what happens in the product of the two Néron models $\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2$ and then describe its image in the semi-stable model of $E_1 \times E_2$ constructed in section 3.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the closure of $C$ in the Néron model of $J$, $\mathcal{J}$.
Proposition 3.8. The special fibre \( C_v \) of \( C \) is reducible and is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \cup \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \), namely a union of two curves isomorphic to \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \), which meet transversally at \((1; 0), (1; 0)\) \( E \) of Proposition 3.8.

Proof. We follow the argument in Spieß [Spi99] mutatis mutandis. Let \( \Theta_v = \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times (1; 0) \cup (1; 0) \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \). This is a stable genus 2 curve on \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \). The idea is to show that \( \Theta_v \) satisfies the properties of Frey-Kani [FK91], Proposition 1.1, which states that there is a unique stable curve of genus two \( C \), which satisfies the conditions that

- \( -id^*(C'_v) = C'_v \)
- \( p^*(C'_v) = n\Theta_v \), where \( p \) is as described below.

Since the special fibre \( C_v \) satisfies these, and we will show that \( \Theta_v \) satisfies these, we will have that \( \Theta_v \simeq C_v \simeq C'_v \).

Clearly, \( -id^*(\Theta_v) = \Theta_v \). To show the second property, we proceed as follows. Let

\[
p = \left( \begin{array}{cc} id & \hat{h}_a \\ \hat{h}_a & id \end{array} \right) : \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \to \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}
\]

Let \( X = (x; m_x) \) be an element of \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v}[n] \). Then

\[
p(X, h_a[n](X)) = (X, \hat{h}_a \circ h_a(X), h_a(X), h_a(X))
\]

\[
= ((x^{1+a^2}; (1 + a^2) \cdot m_x), (x^{-a+a}, (-a + a) \cdot m_x)) = ((1; 0), (1; 0))
\]

as \( a^2 + 1 = n \) and \( X \) is in the \( n \)-torsion. So \( graph(h_a[n]) \subset Ker(p) \). Similarly, we can see that the kernel of

\[
\tilde{p} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} id & \hat{h}_a \\ h_a & id \end{array} \right) : \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \to \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}
\]

contains \( graph(\tilde{h}_a[n]) \). Since \( \tilde{p} \circ p = [n] \) we have

\[
|Ker(\tilde{p} \circ p)| = |Ker(p)|^2 = |Ker([n])| = n^4 = |graph(h_a[n])|^2
\]

so \( Ker(p) = graph(h_a[n]) \) and we can identify the image of \( p \) with \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}/(graph(h_a[n])) \).

Lemma 3.9. \( p^*(\Theta_v) \sim n\Theta_v \).

Proof. Let \( \Gamma_{h_a} \), respectively \( \Gamma_{\hat{h}_a} \) denote the graphs of the maps \( (id, h_a) \), respectively \( (\hat{h}_a, id) \) from \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \), respectively \( \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \), to \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \). Since the diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E}_{1,v} & \xrightarrow{(id, h_a)} & \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \\
\downarrow{[n]} & & \downarrow{p} \\
\mathcal{E}_{1,v} & \xrightarrow{(id, 0)} & \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E}_{2,v} & \xrightarrow{(\hat{h}_a, id)} & \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \\
\downarrow{[n]} & & \downarrow{p} \\
\mathcal{E}_{2,v} & \xrightarrow{(id, 0)} & \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}
\end{array}
\]

are cartesian, we have

\[
p^*(\Theta_v) = \Gamma_{h_a} \cup \Gamma_{\hat{h}_a}
\]

Since the closure of \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \) is a union of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \)’s the divisor \( p^*(\Theta) \) can be written as a sum \( p_1^*(D_1) + p_2^*(D_2) \) where \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are the projection maps to \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \), respectively and \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are divisors on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \).

We have

\[
[\Gamma_{h_a}][\mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times (1; 0)] = [Ker(h_a) \times (1; 0)]
\]

\[
[\Gamma_{h_a}][(1; 0) \times \mathcal{E}_{2,v}] = [(1; 0) \times (1; 0)] = [\Gamma_{\hat{h}_a}][\mathcal{E}_{1,v} \times (1; 0)]
\]

\[
[\Gamma_{\hat{h}_a}][(1; 0) \times Ker(\hat{h}_a)]
\]
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From this we get,
\[ D_1 \sim (p_1)_*(p_1^*(D_1) + p_2^*(D_2)) = [\text{Ker}(h_a)] + [(1;0)] \]
and similarly \( D_2 \sim [(1;0)] + [\text{Ker}(h_{-a})] \). Since any two points on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) are equivalent, we have \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are equivalent to \( (a^2 + 1)(1;0) = n[(1;0)] \). So we have
\[ p^*(\Theta_v) = p_1^*(n[(1;0)]) + p_2^*(n[(1;0)]) = n(\mathcal{E}_{2,v} + \mathcal{E}_{1,v}) = n\Theta_v \]
\hfill \Box

The map \( \lambda_C \) and \( \lambda_\Theta \), which are isomorphisms from \( J \to \tilde{J} \) and \( E_1 \times E_2 \to (E_1 \times E_2) \) induced by the principal polarizations \( C \) and \( \Theta \) extend to isomorphisms of the Néron models and in particular, induce isomorphisms of the special fibres. The map \( p \) induces a homomorphism \( \bar{p} : \tilde{J} \to (E_1 \times E_2) \) which is the same as \( p^* \) on the divisors of degree 0.

Let \( p' \) be the homomorphism
\[ p' = \lambda_\Theta^{-1} \circ \bar{p} \circ \lambda_C \]
extended to induce a homomorphism of the special fibres. From the definition, it is easy to see \( \text{Ker}(p') \) is contained in the \( n \)-torsion.

If \( \mathcal{C}'_v \) is a stable genus 2 curve satisfying \( p^*(\mathcal{C}'_v) = n\Theta_v \) then one has \( \mathcal{C}'_v = T_x(\mathcal{C}_v) \) for some \( x \in \text{Ker}(p') \).

As \( n \) is odd, if \( \mathcal{C}'_v \) further satisfies the condition that \( -\text{id}'(\mathcal{C}'_v) = \mathcal{C}'_v \) then \( \mathcal{C}'_v \simeq \mathcal{C}_v \), otherwise it would imply that there is an element of 2-torsion in \( \text{Ker}(p') \). Since \( \Theta_v \) satisfies this additional condition, \( \Theta_v \simeq \mathcal{C}_v \). The rest of Proposition 3.3 follows by chasing the definitions of the various maps.

\hfill \Box

### 3.3 A new element

Using the genus 2 curve constructed above we can get a new element of \( CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1) \) - making a clever choice of a pair of Weierstraß points on the genus two curve.

The construction is as follows. Let \( \mathcal{C}' \) be a minimal regular model of \( C \). From Parshin [Par72] we have a description of the special fibre as well as a description of the closure of the Weierstraß points on the special fibre.

In our case the special fibre has the following description (VI, in Parshin’s notation) - there are two genus 0 curves, \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) with self intersection \(-3\). To each of these is attached a chain of genus 0 curves \( X_i, i = \{1, \ldots, r\} \) and \( Z_k, k = \{1, \ldots, t\} \), with \( t \) and \( r \) odd, respectively with self intersection \(-2\), such that each curve intersects the neighboring two curves at a single point.

In other words, these are the Néron special fibres of semistable elliptic curves. The two semi-stable fibres of elliptic curves are joined by a chain of genus 0 curves \( L_j, j = \{1, \ldots, s\} \) with self intersection \(-2\) which meet at the identity components. So in particular, \( r = k_1 - 1, t = k_2 - 1 \) and \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) correspond to the identity components of \( \mathcal{E}_{1,v} \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{2,v} \) respectively.

The closure of the Weierstraß points is as follows - one point lies on each \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) and two points each intersect the components \( X_{s+1} \) and \( Z_{t+1} \).

In particular, we have a function \( f_{P,Q} \) on \( C \) such that the closure of \( P \) lies on \( B_1 \) and the closure of \( Q \) lies on \( B_2 \) and whose divisor on \( C \) is
\[ \text{div}(f_{P,Q}) = 2(P) - 2(Q). \]

The divisor of \( f_{P,Q} \) on \( C' \) can be expressed in terms of the components above
\[ \text{div}_{C'}(f_{P,Q}) = \mathcal{H} + a_1B_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_iX_i + \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_jL_j + \sum_{k=1}^{t} d_kZ_k + a_2B_2 \]
where \( \mathcal{H} \) is the closure of the divisor \( 2(P) - 2(Q) \) - that is, the horizontal component. Multiplying \( f_{P,Q} \) by a power of the uniformizer \( \pi \) one can assume that \( a_2 = 0 \) as \( \text{div}_{C'}(\pi) = \mathcal{C}'_v \).

**Lemma 3.10.** If \( f_{P,Q} \) is as above with \( a_2 = 0 \) then \( a_1 \neq 0 \).
Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}'$ is a minimal regular model we can use the intersection theory of arithmetic surfaces described, for example, in Lang [Lan88], Chapter 3. In particular, we have that the intersection number

$$(\text{div}_{\mathcal{C}'}(f_{P,Q}).D) = 0$$

for any divisor $D$ contained in the special fibre. Applying this to different choices of $D$, namely $D = B_1, X_i, L_j, Z_k$ and using what we know of their intersections and self-intersections gives us the following set of equations -

$$-3a_1 + b_1 + b_r + c_1 + 2 = 0$$
$$a_1 - 2b_1 + b_2 = 0$$
$$b_{i-1} - 2b_i + b_{i+1} = 0 \quad \{i = 2 \ldots r - 1\}$$
$$b_{r-1} - 2b_r + a_1 = 0$$
$$a_1 - 2c_1 + c_2 = 0$$
$$c_{j-1} - 2c_j + c_j + 1 = 0 \quad \{j = 2 \ldots s - 1\}$$
$$c_{s-1} - 2c_s = 0$$
$$-2d_1 + d_2 = 0$$
$$d_{k-1} - 2d_k + d_{k+1} = 0 \quad \{k = 2 \ldots t - 1\}$$
$$d_{t-1} - 2d_t = 0$$
$$c_s + d_1 + d_t - 2 = 0$$

Solving these equations shows $d_k = 0, k = \{1, \ldots, t\}, c_j = 2(s + 1 - j)$, so in particular $c_s = 2, c_1 = 2s$ and finally $a_1 = b_i, i = \{1 \ldots r\} = 2(s + 1)$. In particular, since $s \geq 0$ we have $a_1 \neq 0$. \hfill \square

Recall that we have maps $\pi_i : C \to E_i$, which induce a map $\rho : C \to E_1 \times E_2$. $\rho$ is generically a closed immersion. Let $P_i = \pi_i(P)$ and $Q_i = \pi_i(Q)$. There are functions $f_1$ on $E_1 \times P_2$ and $f_2$ on $Q_1 \times E_2$ with

$$\text{div}(f_1) = 2(P_1, P_2) - 2(Q_1, P_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{div}(f_2) = 2(Q_1, P_2) - 2(Q_1, Q_2)$$

On the closure, by the description of the maps $\pi_i$ on the special fibre, both $P$ and $Q$ map to the identity components of the special fibres $E_i^0$ of $E_i$. Hence the divisors of $f_i$ in the semistable model of $E_1 \times E_2$ do not contain any components of the special fibre.

Define $\Xi = \Xi_{P,Q}$ be the cycle

$$\Xi = (C, f_{P,Q}) + (E_1 \times P_2, f_1^{-1}) + (Q_1 \times E_2, f_2^{-1})$$

From the definition of $P_i$ and $Q_i$ we have

$$\text{div}_C(f_{P,Q}) - \text{div}(f_1) - \text{div}(f_2)$$

$$= 2(P_1, P_2) - 2(Q_1, Q_2) - 2(P_1, P_2) + 2(Q_1, P_2) - 2(Q_1, Q_2) + 2(Q_1, Q_2) = 0$$

hence $\Xi$ is an element of $CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1)$.

Since $\text{div}(f_i)$ have no components in the special fibre,

$$\text{div}_{\mathcal{C}'}(f_{P,Q}) + \text{div}_{E_1 \times P_2}(f_1^{-1}) + \text{div}_{Q_1 \times E_2}(f_2^{-1}) = (2s + 2) \left( B_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r X_i \right) + \sum_{j=1}^s c_j L_j$$

where $c_j$ are as above. In the next section, we relate this to the cycles in $PCH^1(Y)$.  
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3.4 Surjectivity of the boundary map

In this section we compute the image of the elements under the boundary map

\[ \partial : CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1) \to PCH^1(Y) \]

We have two decomposable elements, \((E_1 \times 0, \pi)\) and \((0 \times E_2, \pi)\), whose boundary is

\[ \partial((E_1 \times 0, \pi)) = \psi^*(E_{1,v} \times (1; 0)) = \tilde{E}_1 \]
\[ \partial((0 \times E_2, \pi)) = \psi^*((1; 0) \times \tilde{E}_{2,v}) = \tilde{E}_2 \]

We also have the third element \(\Xi_{P,Q}\). To compute its boundary observe that under the map \(C' \to C\) the linking components \(L_j\) of the special fibre collapse to a point. Further, the \(X_i\) and \(B_1\) map on to the graph \(\Gamma_{h_a}\) and similarly, the \(Z_k\) and \(B_2\) map to \(\Gamma^t_{h-a}\). So, for the choice of \(f_{P,Q}\) above, the boundary of \(\Xi\) in \(\tilde{E}_1 \times \tilde{E}_2\) is

\[ \partial(\Xi) = (2s + 2)\Gamma_{h_a} \]

The points at which the curves \(X_i\) meet each other or \(B_1\) are being blown up. The graph \(\Gamma_{h_a}\) lies in either the component \(Y_1\) or \(Y_4\) with respect to the point being blown up. As a consequence the total transform is

\[ \psi^*(\Gamma_{h_a}) = \bar{\Gamma}_{h_a} + (k_1, k_2)(Y_{15} + Y_{45}) \]

as \(\Gamma_{h_a}\) has \((k_1, k_2)\) components and where \(\bar{\Gamma}_{h_a}\) denotes the closure of \(\Gamma_{h_a}\) in the blow up. Similarly, the boundary of the decomposable element \((C, \pi)\) is

\[ \bar{\Gamma}^t_{h_a} + \bar{\Gamma}_{h_a} + (k_1, k_2)(Y_{15} + Y_{45} + Y_{35} + Y_{25}) \]

as \(C_v = \Gamma^t_{h_a} + \Gamma_{h_a}\).

Therefore, the element \(\Xi_{P,Q} - (s + 1)(C, \pi)\) has boundary

\[ \partial(\Xi_{P,Q} - (s + 1)(C, \pi)) = (s + 1)\left(\bar{\Gamma}^t_{h_a} - \bar{\Gamma}_{h_a} + (k_1, k_2)(Y_{15} + Y_{45} - Y_{35} - Y_{25})\right) \]
\[ = (s + 1)\left(\bar{\Gamma}^t_{h_a} - \bar{\Gamma}_{h_a} + \frac{(k_1, k_2)}{k_1 k_2}F\right) \]

We have

\[ \bar{\Gamma}_{h_a} = \tilde{E}_1 + a\tilde{E}_2 \]
\[ \bar{\Gamma}^t_{h_a} = a\tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_2 \]

so we can further subtract \((s + 1)(a - 1)(\tilde{E}_1 - \tilde{E}_2)\) to get an element whose boundary is precisely \(\frac{(s + 1)(k_1, k_2)}{k_1 k_2}F\).

**Theorem 3.11.** Suppose \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) are two non-isogenous elliptic curves over a local field \(K\) with split multiplicative reduction at the closed point \(v\). Let \(X\) be a semi-stable model of the product \(E_1 \times E_2\) and \(X_v\) denote the special fibre. Then the map

\[ \partial : CH^2(E_1 \times E_2, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to PCH^1(X_v) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \]

is surjective.

4 Final Remarks

Let \(\Sigma\) be the group,

\[ \Sigma = \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \to CH^2(X)) \]

Spieß [Sp99], Section 4, describes some consequence of the assumption that it is a torsion group. Surjectivity of the map \(\partial\) implies this, in fact, it implies finiteness, so all the consequences apply in our case.

This paper began as an attempt to prove the \(S\)-integral Beilinson conjecture when \(X\) is a product of two non-isogenous modular elliptic curves over \(\mathbb{Q}\). This remains to be done - unfortunately, while our and Spieß'
elements can be lifted to number fields, they cannot be used to produce surjectivity as there may be several primes at which their boundary is non-trivial, so at best one can get relations between codimension 2 cycles of the type described in the previous paragraph.

In the case of isogenous elliptic curves, Mildenhall’s elements have a boundary at precisely one prime. It is hoped that a careful analysis of the special fibre at a semi-stable prime combined with Mildenhall’s construction may work to prove surjectivity for the product of non-isogenous elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ which have bad semistable reduction at that prime. Curiously, though it is not clear how one can construct enough elements to prove surjectivity at primes $p$ of good reduction where the curves may become isogenous mod $p$.
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