AIMS AND METHOD
A survey was undertaken to investigate assaults of psychiatrists by patients in a 12-month period. Surveys were sent to 199 psychiatrists representing all sub-specialties and grades in a London mental health trust.

RESULTS
There were 129 returned responses (response rate 64.8%). In the 12-month study period, 12.4% of all psychiatrists and 32.4% of senior house officers were assaulted. None received or took up offers of formal, as opposed to informal, psychological support. Most assaults occurred on a psychiatric ward. Vulnerability to assaults was not influenced by courses on prevention and management of violence or by the attitudes of psychiatrists to violence by psychiatric patients.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
Senior house officers are most vulnerable to assaults. Greater attention may need to be given to psychiatric wards where most assaults occurred. Trusts should ensure that those assaulted are identified and offered support.

Formal reports of violence against health staff are on the increase (National Audit Office, 2003) despite the Zero Tolerance Zone Campaign (Department of Health, 1999) message to the public that aggression, violence and threatening behaviour would no longer be tolerated in the National Health Service.

Variation in reporting makes it impossible to say with certainty how far the increase in reported violence reflects an actual increase in incidents, changes in staff reporting practice, or is a true reflection of how trusts are performing in addressing aggression and violence.

The National Audit Office (2003) estimated the direct cost of work-related violence and aggression as £69 million in 2001–2002. This figure does not include staff replacement costs and compensation claims. The human costs include demoralisation, high staff turnover and sickness rates, and deterioration in the service delivered to patients.

Managing aggression has been a challenge for mental health services for many years, but the challenge has been compounded in recent years by increases in substance misuse, the use of weapons and violence in society generally. A Royal College of Psychiatrists’ working party on safety for psychiatrists has recently reviewed the safety literature in this field (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2006).

Studies from the USA (Black et al, 1994; Schwartz & Park, 1999), Canada (Chaimowitz & Moscovitch, 1991) and Belgium (Pieters et al, 2005) showed prevalence rates of physical assaults to psychiatric trainees of between 26 and 56%. These studies varied greatly in terms of the prevalence period surveyed and the definition of ‘violence’ used. In addition, all these studies concentrated on psychiatric trainees. In the UK (South Wales), there has been just one study in the past 10 years which systematically studied violence to psychiatrists of all grades (Davies, 2001). In this study 17% of respondents reported one or more assaults over 1 year, with senior house officers (SHOs) significantly more likely to have experienced an assault or threat.

The object of our survey was to establish the number of assaults in 1 year on all grades of psychiatrists in a London mental health trust. We also related the number of such assaults in 1 year to the grade, specialty, previous training in the prevention and management of aggression and the circumstances of the aggression.

Method
We undertook a retrospective questionnaire study of violent assaults in the past 12 months on psychiatrists of all grades in a large London mental health trust, where all sub-specialties of psychiatry are represented. Assaults were defined as in the South Wales study (Davies, 2001) as actual physical attacks, for example ‘hit, struck with an object, shake, pushed, throttled, etc.’ and not threats or other verbal aggression alone.
A questionnaire was developed which was informed by previous research, especially Davies (2001), and by discussions held at the North Thames Regional Psychiatric Committee. The first part of the questionnaire addressed demographic details, experience of working in psychiatry, specialty and psychological attitude to assaults from patients. The second part of the questionnaire was completed for any assault suffered in the past 12 months.

Statistical analysis was performed using $\chi^2$-tests with a level of significance of $P<0.05$. Power calculations were undertaken to establish the risk of type II error.

Results

We approached all 199 psychiatrists identified as being employed within the trust at the time of the survey. We had 129 returned responses (64.8%).

Demographic information of responders

Of the responders, 77 were male (59.7%) and 52 were female (40.3%). The numbers in each grade were as follows: consultants 59 (45.7%); SHOs 34 (26.3%); specialist registrars (SpRs) 23 (17.8%); staff grades 12 (9.3%); associate specialists 1 (0.8%).

All sub-specialties of psychiatry were represented and included general psychiatry, forensic psychiatry, child psychiatry, old age psychiatry, psychotherapy, learning disability, rehabilitation psychiatry, substance misuse, gender identity and neuropsychiatry.

Assaults

Of the 129 psychiatrists who completed the questionnaire, 16 (12.4%) had been assaulted by a patient in the previous 12 months. Of these, 3 (18.7%) had been assaulted twice within this period (all SHOs). No doctor had been assaulted more than twice within the survey period. Eleven (68.7%) of the 16 victims were males. Males constituted 59.7% of the responding psychiatrists. The majority of the assaults were on those working in general adult psychiatry (13 out of 19; 68.4%), followed by forensic psychiatry (4 out of 19; 21.1%). Out of the 49 psychiatrists working in general psychiatry, 13 were assaulted compared with 4 of the 38 in forensic psychiatry. However, the difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2=2.54$, d.f.=1, $P=0.11$, power 0.813). One assailant was being treated within each of old age, general medical and prison services.

Attendance at a course on the prevention and management of aggression

In our sample 82 out of 129 psychiatrists (63.5%) had attended a course on the prevention and management of violence at some time in their career; 33 participants (25.6%) had attended in the past 12 months.

Of the victims of violence, a larger proportion (8 out of 16; 50%) had attended a course in the prevention and management of violence at some time compared with those who had not been assaulted (36 out of 113; 32%). However, this was not statistically significant ($\chi^2=1.32$, d.f.=1, $P=0.25$, power=0.652).

When attendance at a course in the previous 12 months was considered, this proportion (6 out of 16; 37.5%) in the victim group was also larger compared with the non-victim group (26 out of 113; 23%). This was not statistically significant ($\chi^2=0.9$, d.f.=1, $P=0.344$, power=0.685).

Circumstances of the assaults

The most common circumstance of assaults (6 out of 19; 31.6%) was during casual contact with a patient in the psychiatric ward. Five assaults (26.3%) occurred during a routine assessment of an in-patient. Two assaults occurred during a routine out-patient review and two during an urgent review of an in-patient. Assaults also rarely occurred during an urgent assessment out of hours on a general medical ward (1), routine admission of a new in-patient (1), urgent admission (1) or urgent out-patient review (1).

Most assaults (12 out of 19; 63.2%) occurred in different areas of the psychiatric ward (interview room, 2; seclusion room, 2; nursing station, 2; corridor, 1; communal areas, 5). Of note, however, was that 3 of the assaults (15.8%) occurred on general medical wards, 2 took place in the community (10.6%) and 1 in prison.

| Table 1. Sub-specialty and grade of those assaulted |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| | Senior house officer n (%) | Specialist registrar n (%) | Consultant n (%) | Staff grade n (%) | Associate specialist n (%) |
| General adult | 10 (57.9) | 0 (5.2) | 2 (31.6) | 1 (5.2) | 0 |
| Forensic | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Old age | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Learning disability | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 11 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
No assaults occurred in accident and emergency departments.

Most assaults (17 out of 19; 89.5%) occurred in the presence of another individual. In 14 instances (73%) this was a mental health professional. In only 2 of the 19 assaults did the assailants show evidence of being under the influence of alcohol. Out of the 19 assailants, 16 had a history of previous assaults; this was known at the time of assessment in 10 cases.

Outcome following the assault

Of the 16 victims, 2 required medical treatment after the assault; this was first aid in one case and in an accident and emergency department in the other. Only 3 of the 16 victims had any kind of psychological support. The support that was received was informal supportive counselling by colleagues, in spite of the availability of formal support.

The majority, 15 (78.9%), did not take any time away from clinical duties following the attack. Three of the victims had a break from duties for up to 2 hours and only one victim had longer.

Attitudes and vulnerability to assaults

We explored the relationship between four different attitudes of psychiatrists to violence by patients and vulnerability to attacks (Table 2). There was no statistically significant difference between those assaulted and those not assaulted in terms of these attitudes.

Discussion

More than 1 in 10 (12.4%) of the 129 responding psychiatrists were assaulted in the 1-year study period. Senior house officers were the most vulnerable to assaults (11 out of 34, 32.4%). Davies (2001) found a higher rate (17%) of assaults in 1 year among all responding psychiatrists but a lower rate (28.6%) among SHOs. The next most commonly assaulted group in our study was the consultants, of whom 6 out of 59 (10%) were assaulted. However, they were significantly less likely to be assaulted than SHOs during the year of the study. The fact that SpRs and staff grade doctors were the least likely to be assaulted does not suggest a straightforward direct inverse relationship between seniority and vulnerability to assaults. Differences between grades of psychiatrists and their vulnerability to assaults could, of course, also be due to differences in exposure time to patients.

We anticipated that doctors were more likely to be assaulted during an urgent rather than a routine assessment. This was the finding in the study by Davies (2001), where half of assaults occurred during urgent assessments. We were, however, surprised that most of the assaults in our study occurred during a routine in-patient assessment or during casual contact on the ward. Only 1 attack (5.3%) occurred during an urgent out-of-hours assessment. Most of the assaults occurred in the psychiatric ward. Also, of note was that 3 out of 16 (15.8%) of the assaults occurred on the general medical wards and none in the accident and emergency department. One assault had occurred during an assessment in prison despite the presence of a prison officer.

Overall, these findings may reflect the improvement in recent years in policies, procedures and security related to urgent assessments, including those in accident and emergency departments, and do not necessarily imply an increase in assaults in psychiatric wards. However, our study suggests that greater attention might now need to be directed to practice on psychiatric wards.

As many as 16 out of the 19 assailants had a history of previous assaults. Despite this information being available at the time of assessment in the majority (62.5%), a further assault was not prevented.

Only two of the victims required medical treatment after the assault, first aid in one case and accident and emergency treatment in the other. Only 3 out of 16 (15.8%) of the assaults occurred on the general medical wards and none in the accident and emergency department. One assault had occurred during an assessment in prison despite the presence of a prison officer.

Although attendance at courses on the prevention and management of violence would seem prudent, and annual attendance is in theory mandatory for psychiatrists in most trusts, it did not significantly reduce the risk of being assaulted in our survey. This might reflect the
sporadic and often unpredictable nature of such assaults. In our survey psychiatrists of senior grades were less likely to attend courses but we did not explore differences in efficacy between such courses.

In our survey most psychiatrists did not consider that they took unnecessary risks or that occasional assaults are an acceptable hazard of work. Two-thirds of psychiatrists believed assaults could be predicted and thus avoided. Even if, as a generalisation, this proposition were true, clinical experience suggests assaults by psychiatric patients, especially if psychotic, can be impulsive and/or driven by internal positive psychotic symptoms, and thus outwardly appear unpredictable, and cannot be necessarily prevented by good communication skills, including verbal talk-down, or policies, procedures and security measures.

Although statistically there was no difference in such attitudes between those assaulted and those not, we noted that none of the psychiatrists assaulted believed that they took unnecessary risks with patients or felt that there was something in their approach that made them vulnerable to attacks. This may be a retrospective psychological defence as, of those not assaulted, 6.8% considered that they did take needless risks with potentially violent patients.
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HELEN SMITH AND TOM WHITE

Before and after: introduction of the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003

AIMS AND METHOD
The aim of the study was to assess the impact of the introduction of new mental health legislation in October 2005 on general adult psychiatry admissions. Patients were included if they were admitted to Murray Royal Hospital, Perth from December 2004 to July 2005 and December 2005 to July 2006.

RESULTS
Fewer patients were detained but they were more likely to progress to longer-term detentions. Overall detained patients remained in hospital for shorter periods.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
The change in de novo detention procedures reduced the number of de novo detentions. The new power to enforce medication in the community may have contributed to the reduced length of detention in hospital.

The Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 was implemented in October 2005. It introduced some changes to the process and principles of detaining patients in Scotland. The Act underlined ten principles which must guide everybody involved in the Act, including non-discrimination, equality, respect for diversity and reciprocity. Informal care should be used whenever possible and the patient’s and carers’ past, present and future wishes should be considered. There must be respect for carers and child welfare. The least restrictive options should be used and the benefit to the service user must be demonstrable.

Prior to October 2005 the gateway section to hospital treatment was section 24 or 25 of the Mental Health (Scotland) Act 1984. This section could be completed by any registered medical practitioner and allowed for detention for up to 72 h without leave to appeal. It was hoped with the introduction of the new