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Abstract. When $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-abelian category, it is well known that the category $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ of internal groupoids in $\mathcal{C}$ is again semi-abelian. The problem of determining whether the same kind of phenomenon occurs when the property of being semi-abelian is replaced by the one of being action representable (in the sense of Borceux, Janelidze and Kelly) turns out to be rather subtle. In the present article we give a sufficient condition for this to be true: in fact we prove that the category $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ is a semi-abelian action representable algebraically coherent category with normalizers if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-abelian action representable algebraically coherent category with normalizers. This result applies in particular to the categories of internal groupoids in the categories of groups, Lie algebras and cocommutative Hopf algebras, for instance.

1. Preliminaries

In this paper $\mathcal{C}$ will always denote a semi-abelian category (in the sense of Janelidze, Márki and Tholen [26]), usually satisfying some additional axioms. Recall that a category $\mathcal{C}$ is semi-abelian if it is

• finitely complete, finitely cocomplete and pointed, with zero object 0;
• (Barr)-exact [1];
• (Bourn)-protomodular [5], which in the pointed case can be expressed by the validity of the Split Short Five Lemma in $\mathcal{C}$.

There are plenty of interesting algebraic categories which are semi-abelian. For example, any variety of algebras whose algebraic theory has among its operations and identities those of the theory of groups is semi-abelian (see [10] for a precise characterization). As a consequence, the categories $\text{Grp}$ of groups, $\text{Ab}$ of abelian groups, $\text{Rng}$ of (not necessarily unitary) rings, $\text{Lie}_R$ of Lie algebras over a commutative ring $R$, $\text{XMod}$ of crossed modules (of groups), are all semi-abelian categories. In addition any category of compact Hausdorff models of a semi-abelian algebraic theory [3], such as the category $\text{Grp}(\text{Comp})$ of compact Hausdorff groups, is semi-abelian, as is also the category
Hopf$K_{	ext{cocommutative Hopf algebras over a field } K}$ [18]. The dual category $\text{Set}^\text{op}$ of the category $\text{Set}$ of pointed sets is semi-abelian [26].

In any semi-abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ there is a natural notion of centrality of arrows [24, 7] (in fact weaker assumptions on the base category can be required [2], but in this work we shall always ask $\mathcal{C}$ to be at least semi-abelian). Given two morphisms $f: A \to B$ and $g: C \to B$ with the same codomain, they are said to commute in the sense of Huq [24] if there is a (necessarily unique) arrow $c: A \times C \to B$ making the diagram commute
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where $(1_A, 0)$ and $(0, 1_C)$ are the unique morphisms induced by the universal property of the product $A \times C$. When this is the case the unique arrow $c: A \times C \to B$ is called the cooperator of $f$ and $g$. One usually writes $[f, g]_{\text{Huq}} = 0$, or simply $[f, g] = 0$, when this is the case. Given two subobjects $f: A \to B$ and $g: C \to B$ with the same codomain, the Huq commutator $[f, g]$, usually denoted by $[A, C]$ (if there is no risk of confusion), is the smallest normal subobject $D$ of $B$ with the following universal property: in the quotient $\pi: B \to B/D$ the regular images $\pi(A)$ and $\pi(C)$ (of $f: A \to B$ and $g: C \to B$ along $\pi$) commute in the sense above.

Given a morphism $f: A \to B$ in a semi-abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ we will denote by $z_f: Z_B(A, f) \to B$ the centralizer of $f$ in $B$, i.e. the terminal object in the category of morphisms that commute with $f$, whenever it exists (see e.g. [11, 19]). In this case $z_f$ is always a monomorphism, and we write $Z_B(A, f)$ or $Z_B(A)$ (when there is no risk of confusion) for the corresponding subobject of $B$. For a monomorphism $f: A \to B$ the normalizer of $f$ is the terminal object in the category with objects triples $(N, n, m)$ where $n$ is a normal monomorphism and $m$ a monomorphism such that $nm = f$ [20].

Recall that a split extension is a diagram in $\mathcal{C}$

$$X \overset{\kappa}{\longrightarrow} A \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} B \overset{\beta}{\longleftarrow} C$$

(1)
where $\kappa$ is the kernel of $\alpha$ and $\alpha\beta = 1_B$. A morphism of split extensions is a diagram in $\mathcal{C}$

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & A \\
\downarrow u & & \downarrow \beta \\
X' & \xrightarrow{\kappa'} & A'
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \xleftarrow{\alpha'} \\
\downarrow v & & \downarrow \beta' \\
\xrightarrow{w} & B' & \xleftarrow{\beta'}
\end{array}
\]

where the top and bottom rows are split extensions (the domain and codomain, respectively), and $v\kappa = \kappa'u$, $v\beta = \beta'w$ and $w\alpha = \alpha'v$. Let us write $\text{SplExt}(\mathcal{C})$ for the category of split extensions in $\mathcal{C}$, and write $P, K : \text{SplExt}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ for the functors sending a split extension to its codomain and to the (object part of the) kernel, respectively. The category $\mathcal{C}$ can be equivalently defined to be action representable, in the sense of Borceux, Janelidze, Kelly [4] when each fiber of the functor $K$ has a terminal object. This means that for each $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ there exists a split extension

\[
X \xrightarrow{k} [X] \ltimes X \xrightarrow{p_1} [X],
\]

called the \emph{generic split extension with kernel $X$}, with the universal property that there exists a unique morphism to it from each split extension in the fiber $K^{-1}(X)$, that is, there is a unique morphism, which is the identity on kernels, to it from each split extension (1) with kernel $X$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & A \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{k} [X] \ltimes X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} [X]
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\xrightarrow{\downarrow \uparrow} & B & \xleftarrow{\alpha} \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\
\xrightarrow{\downarrow \uparrow} & B' & \xleftarrow{\alpha'}
\end{array}
\]

For instance, in the category $\text{Grp}$ of groups, the generic split extension with kernel a group $X$ is given by the split extension

\[
X \xrightarrow{k} \text{Aut}(X) \ltimes X \xrightarrow{p_1} \text{Aut}(X),
\]

where $\text{Aut}(X)$ is the group of automorphisms of $X$ and the action of $\text{Aut}(X)$ on the group $X$ is given by the evaluation.

More generally, for $X$ an object in $\mathcal{C}$, a split extension in $K^{-1}(X)$ is called \emph{faithful} if there is at most one morphism to it from each split extension in $K^{-1}(X)$. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is called \emph{action accessible} [11] if for each $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ each split extension in $K^{-1}(X)$ admits a morphism to a faithful split extension in $K^{-1}(X)$. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is \emph{algebraically coherent} [14] when the change of base functors of fibers of $P$ preserve
joins. This is equivalent (in the pointed protomodular context) to requiring that for each cospan of monomorphisms of split extensions

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} B \\
\downarrow{u_1} \quad \quad \downarrow{v_1} \\
X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \\
\downarrow{u_2} \quad \quad \downarrow{v_2} \\
X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} B
\end{array}
\]

if the morphisms \(v_1\) and \(v_2\) are jointly strongly epimorphic in \(C\), then so are the morphisms \(u_1\) and \(u_2\). Recall that a semi-abelian algebraically coherent category \(C\) with normalizers has the following properties:

- \(C\) is action accessible [11] (see also [21]), and hence centralizers of normal monomorphisms exist and are normal (Proposition 5.2 of [9]).
- Huq commutators distribute over joins of subobjects [22]: given three subobjects \(A_1 \to C, A_2 \to C\) and \(B \to C\) of the same object \(C\) the Huq commutator satisfies the following identity:
  \([A_1 \vee A_2, B] = [A_1 \vee B, A_2 \vee B]\).
- The Jacobi identity holds for normal subobjects (Theorem 7.1 [14]): if \(K, L, M\) are normal subobject of an object \(C\), then
  \([K, [L, M]] \leq [[K, L], M] \vee [[M, K], L]\). \hfill (2)
- a split extension in \(C\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \\
\downarrow{u} \quad \quad \downarrow{v} \\
X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} B
\end{array}
\]

is faithful if and only if \(Z_A(X, \kappa) \wedge B = 0\) (see [6] Corollary 4.1).

2. Reflexive graphs and groupoids

It is well-known that a reflexive graph

\[
\begin{array}{c}
G \xrightarrow{\sigma} G_0 \\
\tau
\end{array}
\]

in any category \(C\) with equalizers can be equivalently seen as a triple \((G, s: G \to G, t: G \to G)\) where \(G\) is an object in \(C\), and \(s, t\) are morphisms in \(C\) satisfying the identities \(st = t, ts = s\) (so that in particular \(ss = s\) and \(tt = t\)). To see this, given a reflexive graph (3), one simply defines \(s = e\sigma\) and \(t = e\tau\). Conversely, given such a triple
(G, s: G → G, t: G → G), the “object of objects” G₀ of the associated graph is the (domain) of the equalizer of s and 1_G (equivalently, of t and 1_G).

When C is a semi-abelian action accessible category, an internal groupoid in C can be equivalently presented as a triple (G, s: G → G, t: G → G) as above such that, moreover, the commutator of their kernels is trivial:

\[
[ker(s), ker(t)] = 0.
\]

This follows from the results in [12, 11] (the Smith commutator and the Huq commutator coincide in this context), and

\[
[ker(\sigma), ker(\tau)] = [ker(s), ker(t)] = 0,
\]

since e is a monomorphism.

The category of groupoids in C is then equivalent to the category whose objects are triples (G, s: G → G, t: G → G) as above with \([ker(s), ker(t)] = 0\), and arrows

\[f: (G, s: G \to G, t: G \to G) \to (H, s': H \to H, t': H \to H)\]

those \(f: G \to H\) in C such that \(s'f = fs\) and \(t'f = ft\).

With a slight abuse of notation, since C will always be assumed to be semi-abelian, from now on we shall write \(\text{Grpd}(C)\) for this latter equivalent category, and also call its objects internal groupoids. We shall also denote by \(\ker(s): \ast G \to G\) and \(\ker(t): G \ast \to G\), the kernel of \(s: G \to G\) and \(t: G \to G\), respectively. The notation \(\ast G\) for the domain of the kernel of \(s\) intuitively reminds one of the fact that its “elements” are the (internal) arrows of \(G\) whose “source” is the “zero element in \(G\)”, whereas the arrows in \(G \ast\) have as “target” this same “zero element”. We shall also simply write \([\ast G, G \ast]\) to denote the commutator \([\ker(s), \ker(t)]\).

The remaining part of this section consists largely of a series of lemmas building up to our main results: Theorems 2.8 and 2.10, and Corollary 2.11. Let us first recall the following known result (see Lemma 2.6 in [13]):

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \(C\) be a semi-abelian category. Consider a split extension as in the bottom row of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K \xrightarrow{k} K \vee Z \xrightarrow{Z} Z \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\
X \xrightarrow{x} Y \xleftarrow{s} Z
\end{array}
\]

in \(C\), with the property that \(xk: K \to Y\) is a normal monomorphism. Then this split extension lifts along \(k: K \to X\) to yield a normal
monomorphism of split extensions, where $K \vee Z$ is the join of the subobjects $K$ and $Z$ of $Y$.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a semi-abelian action accessible algebraically coherent category. For each split extension

$$
X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B
$$

of internal reflexive graphs, there exists a largest sub-reflexive-graph $\tilde{B}$ of $B$ such that $[*, \tilde{B}, X] = 0 = [\tilde{B}, *, X]$ in $\mathbb{C}$.

**Proof.** We will show that $\tilde{B} = ((Z_A(\ast X, \kappa \ker(s)) \land B_\ast) \lor B_0) \land ((Z_A(X_\ast, \kappa \ker(t)) \land \ast B) \lor B_0)$ is the largest sub-reflexive-graph of $B$ satisfying the desired property. Since any subobject of $B$ containing $B_0$ (the “object of objects” of the reflexive graph $B$) is a sub-reflexive graph of $B$, we know that $\tilde{B}$ is a sub-reflexive-graph of $B$. To see that it satisfies the desired property let $Z_1 = Z_A(\ast X, \kappa \ker(s)) \land B_\ast$, and note that, since $\ast X = X \land \ast A$, it follows that $\ast X$ is a normal subobject of $A$ and hence so is $Z_A(\ast X, \kappa \ker(s))$. Accordingly, $Z_1$ is a normal subobject of $B$, and this implies that $(Z_1 \lor B_0)_\ast = Z_1$ - just note that we are in the situation of Lemma 2.1, with $Y = B$, $X = B_\ast$ and $Z = B_0$. From this we then deduce that

$$
\tilde{B}_\ast \leq (Z_1 \lor B_0)_\ast = Z_1 \leq Z_A(\ast X, \kappa \ker(s)).
$$

A similar argument shows that $\ast \tilde{B} \leq Z_A(X_\ast, \kappa \ker(t))$. Now, let $B'$ be a sub-reflexive-graph of $B$ satisfying the desired property. Clearly $B'_\ast \leq B_\ast$ and $B'_\ast \leq Z_A(\ast X, \kappa \ker(s))$, and hence

$$
B'_\ast \leq Z_A(\ast X, \kappa \ker(s)) \land B_\ast.
$$

Since $B'_0 \leq B_0$ and $B' = B'_\ast \lor B'_0$ (by protomodularity), it follows that $B' = B'_\ast \lor B'_0 \leq (Z_A(\ast X, \kappa \ker(s)) \land B_\ast) \lor B_0$. By exchanging the roles of $s$ and $t$ of the internal reflexive graphs, we have that

$$
B' \leq (Z_A(X_\ast, \kappa \ker(t)) \land \ast B) \lor B_0,
$$

from which the claim easily follows. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a semi-abelian action accessible algebraically coherent category. For each split extension

$$
X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B
$$
of internal reflexive graphs, if \( X \) is a groupoid and
\[
[*B, X] = 0 = [B_*, *X],
\]
then
\[
[X, [A_*, *A]] = 0.
\]

Proof. Note that since \( C \) is protomodular we have \( A_* = X_* \lor B_* \) and \( *A = X_* \lor *B \). Note that trivially \( [X, B_*] \leq X \), but also that \( [X, B_*] \leq A_* \) meaning that \( [X, B_*] \leq X \land A_* = X_* \). We have
\[
[X, A_*] = [X, X_*] \lor [X, B_*] \\
\leq X_* \lor X_* = X_*.
\]
Therefore
\[
[*A, [X, A_*]] \leq [*A, X_*] \\
= [*X, X_*] \lor [*B, X_*] \\
= 0,
\]
where the last equality follows from the equality \( [*X, X_*] = 0 \) (since \( X \) is a groupoid) and the assumption \( [*B, X_*] = 0 \).

This and its dual (i.e. swapping \( s \) and \( t \)) mean that
\[
[A_*, [X, *A]] = 0 = [*A, [X, A_*]].
\]
The Jacobi identity (2) now implies that
\[
[X, [A_*, *A]] \leq [[X, A_*], *A] \lor [A_*, [X, *A]] = 0.
\]

Lemma 2.4. Let \( C \) be a semi-abelian action accessible algebraically coherent category. For each split extension
\[
X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xleftarrow{\beta}
\]
of internal reflexive graphs. If \( X \) and \( B \) are groupoids and
\[
[*B, X_] = 0 = [B_*, *X],
\]
then \( A \) is a groupoid.

Proof. We have
\[
[A_*, *A] = [X_* \lor B_*, *X \lor *B] \\
= [X_*, *X] \lor [X_*, B] \lor [B_*, X] \lor [B_*, *B] \\
= 0.
\]
Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semi-abelian action accessible algebraically coherent category. If $S$ is sub-reflexive-graph of $A$, then the centralizer of $S$ in $A$ has underlying object $Z \wedge s^{-1}(Z) \wedge t^{-1}(Z)$ where $Z$ is the centralizer of the underlying subobject inclusion of $S$ in $A$.

Proof. The claim follows from Theorem 2.1 of [23], since the category of internal reflexive graphs in $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor category of $\mathcal{C}$. □

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semi-abelian action accessible algebraically coherent category. A split extension

$$X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$$

of internal graphs is faithful if and only if

$$Z_A(X, \kappa) \wedge s^{-1}(Z_A(X, \kappa)) \wedge t^{-1}(Z_A(X, \kappa)) \wedge B = 0$$

in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Since according to Corollary 2.3 of [23] the category of internal reflexive graphs in $\mathcal{C}$ is action accessible as soon as $\mathcal{C}$ is, the claim follows from the previous lemma via the last bullet of Section 1. □

Lemma 2.7. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semi-abelian action accessible algebraically coherent category. For each faithful split extension

$$X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$$

of internal reflexive graphs, $B$ is a groupoid if and only if

$$[X, [B_*, ,B]] = 0$$

in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. If $B$ is a groupoid then this is trivially the case. The converse follows from Lemma 2.6 and the fact that $[B_*, ,B]$ is always in $s^{-1}(Z_A(X, \kappa))$ and $t^{-1}(Z_A(X, \kappa))$ (because $s([B_*, ,B]) = 0$ and similarly for $t$). □

Theorem 2.8. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semi-abelian action accessible algebraically coherent category. For each faithful split extension

$$X \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$$

of reflexive graphs with $X$ a groupoid, there exists a largest sub-split-extension of groupoids with kernel $X$. 
Proof. By Lemma 2.2 there is a largest sub-reflexive-graph \( \tilde{B} \) of \( B \) such that \([\tilde{B}, X_s] = 0 = [\tilde{B}, sX]\). We will prove that the split extension at the top of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \overset{\kappa}{\rightarrow} & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{X} & \overset{\tilde{\kappa}}{\rightarrow} & \tilde{A} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{X} & \overset{\tilde{\alpha}}{\rightarrow} & \tilde{B} \\
\end{array}
\]

obtained by pulling back along \( \tilde{B} \rightarrow B \) is the desired split extensions of groupoids. According to Lemma 2.3 \([X, [\tilde{A}, s\tilde{A}]] = 0 \) which means that \([X, \tilde{B}, s\tilde{B}] = 0 \). Therefore, since a sub-split-extension of a faithful extension is faithful, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that \( \tilde{B} \) is a groupoid. The final claim then follows from Lemma 2.4.

We will also need the following proposition which shows that a coreflective subcategory closed under certain limits admits generic split extensions whenever the category it is coreflective in does. Recall that a functor between pointed categories is protoadditive [16] when it preserves split exact sequences.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be semi-abelian categories, and let \( I : X \rightarrow Y \) be a full and faithful protoadditive functor with right adjoint \( R : Y \rightarrow X \). If \( Y \) has generic split extensions, then so does \( X \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( X \) is an object in \( X \) and suppose that

\[
I(X) \xrightarrow{k} [I(X)] \times I(X) \xrightarrow{p_1} I(X)
\]

is the generic split extension with kernel \( I(X) \) in \( Y \). Let \( \eta \) be the unit of the adjunction \( I \dashv R \) which is an isomorphism. The claim now follows by observing that (i) the lower part of (6) (below) is a split extension; (ii) for each split extension (1) the upper part of (5) (below) is a split extension, and the adjunction produces a bijection between morphisms of split extensions of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I(X) & \overset{I(\kappa)}{\rightarrow} & I(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow I(\beta) \\
I(X) & \overset{I(\alpha)}{\rightarrow} & I(B) \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I(X) & \overset{k}{\rightarrow} & [I(X)] \times I(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\
I(X) & \overset{I(\kappa)}{\rightarrow} & I(X) \\
\end{array}
\]

(5)
in $\mathcal{Y}$ and morphisms of split extensions of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{R(k)\eta_X} & R([I(X)] \ltimes I(X)) & \xrightarrow{R(p_1)} & R([I(X)]) \\
\end{array}
$$

in $\mathcal{X}$. □

**Theorem 2.10.** A category $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-abelian action representable algebraically coherent category with normalizers if and only if the category $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ of internal groupoids in $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-abelian action representable algebraically coherent category with normalizers.

**Proof.** For the “if” part suppose that $\text{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$ is a semi-abelian algebraically coherent category with normalizers. Noting that functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\text{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$, sending an object in $\mathcal{C}$ to the discrete groupoid in $\text{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$ embeds $\mathcal{C}$ as a full reflective and coreflective subcategory of $\text{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$ (closed in $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ under quotients and subobjects), it follows that $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-abelian algebraically coherent category with normalizers. Action representability now follows from the previous proposition. For the “only if” part suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-abelian algebraically coherent category with normalizers. It follows from [20] that the category of reflexive graphs being a functor category is action representable. For a groupoid $X$, applying the previous theorem to the generic split extension of reflexive graphs with kernel $X$ it easily follows that the largest sub-split extension of groupoids with kernel $X$ is the generic split extension of groupoids with kernel $X$. The fact that $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ is semi-abelian when $\mathcal{C}$ is semi-abelian follows from Lemma 4.1 in [8]. Proposition 4.18 of [14] now tells us that $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ is algebraically coherent, and hence it remains to show that $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ has normalizers. However, by Corollary 2.3 of [23] the category $\text{RG}(\mathcal{C})$ of reflexive graphs in $\mathcal{C}$ has normalizers and hence so does $\text{Grpd}(\mathcal{C})$ being closed under subobjects and finite limits in $\text{RG}(\mathcal{C})$. □

**Corollary 2.11.** If $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-abelian action representable algebraically coherent category with normalizers, then so is the category $\text{Grpd}^n(\mathcal{C})$ of $n$-fold internal groupoids in $\mathcal{C}$.

**Examples 2.12.** The results in this article apply to some important algebraic categories, such as the categories $\text{Grpd}(\text{Grp})$, $\text{Grpd}(\text{Lie}_R)$, or $\text{Grpd}(\text{Hopf}_{K,coc})$, of internal groupoids in the categories of groups, Lie algebras over a commutative ring $R$, or cocommutative Hopf algebras.
over a field $K$, respectively. For the fact that $\text{Hopf}_{K,\text{coc}}$ is an action representable semi-abelian category the reader is referred to [18], whereas the fact that it is algebraically coherent is explained in Example 4.6 in [14]. To see that $\text{Hopf}_{K,\text{coc}}$ has normalizers recall that:

(a) $\text{Hopf}_{K,\text{coc}}$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Grp}(\text{Coalg}_{K,\text{coc}})$ of internal groups in the finitely complete cartesian closed category $\text{Coalg}_{K,\text{coc}}$ of cocommutative coalgebras over $K$;
(b) for a cartesian closed category $\mathcal{X}$ with finite limits: (i) $\text{Grp}(\mathcal{X}^2) \cong (\text{Grp}(\mathcal{X}))^2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}^2$ is cartesian closed;
(c) the category of internal groups in a cartesian closed category with finite limits is action representable as soon as it is semi-abelian [4];
(d) a semi-abelian category is action representable and admits normalizers if and only if its category of morphisms is action representable [20].

Using the equivalence between the categories of internal groupoids and (internal) crossed modules [25], it follows that the categories of crossed modules of groups, crossed modules of Lie algebras, crossed $n$-cubes of Lie algebras [15], and $\text{cat}^1$-cocommutative Hopf algebras [17, 18] are all algebraically coherent action representable semi-abelian categories with normalizers.
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