Expression of the Transcriptional Repressor Protein Kid-1 Leads to the Disintegration of the Nucleolus*
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The rat Kid-1 gene codes for a 66-kDa protein with KRAB domains at the NH₂ terminus and two Cys₃His₃ zinc finger clusters of four and nine zinc fingers at the COOH terminus. It was the first KRAB-zinc finger protein for which a transcriptional repressor activity was demonstrated. Subsequently, the KRAB-A domain was identified as a widespread transcriptional repressor motif. We now present a biochemical and functional analysis of the Kid-1 protein in transfected cells. The full-length Kid-1 protein is targeted to the nucleolus and adheres tightly to as yet undefined nucleolar structures, leading eventually to the disintegration of the nucleolus. The tight adherence and nucleolar distribution can be attributed to the larger zinc finger cluster, whereas the KRAB-A domain is responsible for the nucleolar fragmentation. Upon disintegration of the nucleolus, the nucleolar transcription factor upstream binding factor disappears from the nucleolar fragments. In the absence of Kid-1, the KRIP-1 protein, which represents the natural interacting partner of zinc finger proteins with a KRAB-A domain, is homogeneously distributed in the nucleus, whereas coexpression of Kid-1 leads to a shift of KRIP-1 into the nucleolus. Nucleolar run-ons demonstrate that rDNA transcription is shut off in the nucleolar fragments. Our data demonstrate the functional diversity of the KRAB and zinc finger domains of Kid-1 and provide new functional insights into the regulation of the nucleolar structure.

Transcriptional repression is increasingly recognized as an important feature of genetic and epigenetic regulation (for recent reviews, see Refs. 1 and 2). While several proteins have been described as transcriptional repressors, the number of motifs conferring transcriptional repressor activity has so far been rather limited. A widespread transcriptional repressor motif is the KRAB-A domain (3–6), which is found in approximately one-third of all zinc finger proteins of the Cys₂His₂ class (7, 8). Zinc finger proteins of the Cys₂His₂ class represent one of the largest protein families known; the mammalian genome contains several hundred genes coding for such proteins (9), and the KRAB-A domain therefore represents a very important paradigm of transcriptional repression. Experiments from several laboratories have shown that the KRAB-A domain represses transcription both upstream and downstream of a target gene and is able to do so from a distance (5, 10). In addition, the KRAB-A domain has to be tethered to DNA via fusion to a DNA-binding domain in order to exert its repressor activity (3). This suggests that the KRAB-A domain interacts with other proteins and rules out other modes of action such as squelching or steric hindrance. Interestingly, the KRAB-A domain does not repress transcription in the context of any promoter, but only works in certain contexts. Fusion proteins with the KRAB-A domain repress RNA polymerase II promoters with a TATA box and an initiator element but not promoters with an initiator element only (11). It has also been reported that in addition to RNA polymerase II-mediated transcription, the KRAB-A domain also represses RNA polymerase III-mediated transcription, whereas transcription by RNA polymerase I and T7 RNA polymerase do not appear to be inhibited (10). By conventional biochemical techniques and the two-hybrid cloning protocol, a KRAB-A interacting protein called KAP-1 (12), TIF1β (13), and KRIP-1 (14) was cloned. The KRIP-1/KAP-1/TIF1β cDNA codes for a protein with a predicted relative molecular weight of 89 kDa and contains several characteristic modules that suggest that it is involved in remodeling chromatin, thus providing a hint to how the KRAB-A domain represses transcription.

The zinc finger protein Kid-1 was cloned as a result of a screen for transcription factors that are regulated after acute renal failure and during renal development (15). The Kid-1 protein (kidney, ischemia, development) contains 13 Cys₃His₃-zinc fingers at its COOH terminus and KRAB-A and -B domains at its NH₂ terminus; it was the first KRAB-zinc finger protein for which a transcriptional repressor activity could be demonstrated (15). By Northern blot and reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction analysis, the Kid-1 mRNA is expressed predominantly in the adult rat kidney (15). In kidneys of newborn rats, when many nephrons are not fully developed, only low amounts of Kid-1 mRNA can be detected, whereas in the kidneys of adult rats the Kid-1 mRNA is expressed at its highest levels. During the recovery phase after ischemic acute tubular necrosis, Kid-1 mRNA levels are reduced transiently until the injured epithelium is restored (15). Kid-1 therefore possesses all of the hallmarks of a transcription factor that plays an important role in the regulation of an advanced stage of kidney development. We now present a careful analysis of the distribution of the Kid-1 protein demonstrating that expression of Kid-1 leads to the disintegration of the nucleolus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Construction of Kid-1 Mutant Proteins—** The cDNA and cDNA fragments coding for the full-length and mutant Kid-1 proteins were cloned.
into the mammalian expression vector pMT3. The pMT3 vector codes for fusion proteins with an epitope tag of the influenza virus hemagglutinin protein at the NH₂-terminus (HA tag). Transcription is driven by the adenovirus major late promoter; in addition, pMT3 contains the SV40 origin of replication. Sequences of the fusion proteins are as follows (directed by the HA tag): (a) comprise all three codons of the Kid-1 portion are underlined; numbering of amino acids is according to the rat Kid-1 protein as published in Ref. 15): pMT3/Kid-1, ATG TAC CCA TAC GAT GTC TCA GTA GCT GGA ATT CCT CTA GAC GTC GAC GCC CCG TGC GTG GAA ATT CTC GAC TCC GTG GGA ATT CTA GAC TCC ATG GCA... (52–576); pMT3/Kid-1, AB(–), ATG TAC CCA TAC GAT GTC TCA GTA GCT GGA ATT CCT CTA GAC GTC GAC GCC CCG TGC GTG GAA ATT CTC GAC TCC GTG GGA ATT CTA GAC TCC ATG GCA... (286–296).

Two additional plasmids were used: (1) pEXG1/Kid-1N, coding for a fusion protein between the DNA-binding domain of the yeast GAL4 protein and the NH₂-terminus of the rat Kid-1 protein without the zinc fingers (amino acids 1–195) (15); (2) pMT3A/KRIP-1, coding for KRIP-1 with an HA epitope tag at the NH₂-terminus (14).

Preparation of Polyclonal Anti-Kid-1 and Anti-KRIP-1 Antibodies—Fragments of the rat Kid-1 protein (amino acids 72–173) and the murine KRIP-1 protein (amino-terminal to the plant homeodomain (PHD) finger) were used to immunize rabbits according to standard protocols (16). The specificity of the antibodies was demonstrated on Western blots and by immunocytochemistry of transiently transfected COS-7 cells.

Transient Expression Protocols—COS-7 cells were transfected with 10–40 μg of expression plasmid according to the DEAE-dextran protocol (16). CV-1 cells were transfected with 20 μg of expression plasmid according to the DEAE-dextran (16) and the calcium phosphate protocol (17). LLC-PK₁ cells were transfected with 20 μg of expression plasmid according to the calcium phosphate protocol (17).

Preparation of Nuclear Extracts—Nuclear extracts were prepared as described by Hoppe-Seyler et al. (18). 2–3 days after transfection, COS-7 cells were washed twice with PBS and scraped into a microcentrifuge tube. The cells were centrifuged 5 min at 1250 × g, and the pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.6% Nonidet P-40). After an incubation of 5 min on ice, the cells were centrifuged 5 min at 1250 × g. The supernatant (containing cytoplasmic and detergent-soluble proteins) was saved, and the nuclear pellet was resuspended in nuclear extract buffer (1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 25% glycerol, 420 mM NaCl. Where indicated, 420 mM NaCl was substituted by 2 mM NaCl and 2 mM KCl, respectively). The nuclear suspension was incubated 20 min on ice and then centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 × g. The supernatant (corresponding to the soluble nuclear fraction) was saved, and the remaining pellet was solubilized by sonication in PBS, 6 mM urea.

To control for the role of the conformation of the zinc fingers, the nuclear extract buffer was modified by the addition of 0.1 mM o-phenanthroline; 0.1 mM o-phenanthroline, 50 mM EDTA; 50 mM EDTA; or 1 mM/mg N-ethylmaleimide, respectively. Otherwise, the protocol was followed as above.

For a DNase or RNase digest, the nuclei were resuspended in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris pH 7.0, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 μg/ml aprotinin, 2 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin A. Then either DNase was added to 1 unit/ml or RNase A was added to 100 μg/ml, and RNase T₂ was added to 40 units/ml (final concentration). The nuclear suspension was incubated 60 min at 37 °C before DNase or RNase digestion. At the final concentration of 420 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 4°C, incubation on ice, the nuclei were pelleted for 5 min at 4 °C, 14,000 × g. The supernatant (corresponding to the nuclear fraction) was saved, the remaining pellet was solubilized by sonication in PBS, 6 mM urea.

Protein concentration in the different fractions was determined according to the method described by Bradford (19).

Western Blot Analysis—SDS-polyacrylamide gels and protein transfers were performed according to standard protocols with polyvinylidene difluoride membranes from Millipore (Eschborn, Germany) (16). After the transfer, membranes were blocked overnight at room temperature with 5% low fat dry milk, 0.5% Tween 20. The next morning, the membrane was incubated for 2 h at room temperature with the primary antibody, followed by a 1-h incubation with the secondary antibody. Immune complexes were detected with the Renaissance kit from NEN (Bad Homburg, Germany). The following primary antibodies were used: cell culture supernatant from the mouse anti-HA tag hybridoma 12CA5 diluted 1:25, cell culture supernatant from the mouse anti-Kid-1 hybridoma 5D12 (undiluted), a mouse monoclonal antibody directed against the HA epitope tag (gift of E. Brigitte Lane, University of Dundee, Dundee), and a human anti-NuMA antibody (20) diluted 1:1000 (kind gift of Herwig Pongsting, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were goat anti-mouse IgG Fab used at a dilution of 1:10,000 and goat anti-human IgG Fab used at a dilution of 1:1,000,000 (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany).

Single Antibody Immunocytochemistry of Transfected Cells—One to two days after transfection, the cells were plated on coverslips and allowed to grow for an additional 2 days before the experiment. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature. After a blocking step of 15 min in PBS containing 2% bovine serum albumin, 0.1% Triton X-100, the cells were incubated 2 h at room temperature with cell culture supernatant from the hybridoma 12CA5 (diluted 1:30 to 1:100; the 12CA5 antibody recognizes the HA tag). The primary antibody was washed off with PBS, after which the cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the secondary antibody (fluorescein isothiocyanate-coupled goat anti-mouse IgG from Cappel (Eppelheim, Germany) diluted 1:100 or Cy3-coupled rat anti-mouse IgG from Dianova (Hamburg, Germany) diluted 1:300). After incubation with the secondary antibody, cells were stained for 2 min with the DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33258 (Sigma), washed three times for 5 min each with PBS, and mounted.

Double Antibody Immunocytochemistry of Transfected Cells—Cells were prepared as described for single antibody immunocytochemistry. For double-labeling experiments, cells were simultaneously incubated for 2 h at room temperature with the two primary antibodies. After three washes with 1× PBS, the primary antibodies were detected with fluorescein isothiocyanate-coupled goat anti-mouse IgG from Cappel (diluted 1:150), Cy3-coupled goat anti-human IgG from Dianova (diluted 1:300), and Cy3-coupled goat anti-rabbit IgG from Dianova, respectively. The following combinations of primary antibodies were used: cell culture supernatant of the mouse anti-HA tag hybridoma 12CA5 diluted 1:150, Cy3-coupled goat anti-human IgG from Dianova (diluted 1:300), and Cy3-coupled goat anti-rabbit IgG from Dianova, respectively.

Nuclear Run-on—Ongoing synthesis of RNA in the nucleolus was visualized by incubating transfected COS-7 cells with bromo-UTP (21, 22). 48 h after the Me₂SO shock, cells were rinsed twice each with 1× PBS and run-on buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EGTA, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). The cells were permeabilized by incubation for 5 min with 0.1% Triton X-100 in run-on buffer. Following three washes with run-on buffer, the cells were incubated for 30 min at room temperature with 0.5 mM each of ATP, CTP, and GTP, 0.2 mM bromo-UTP, 50 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EGTA, pH 8.0, and 10 μM/l of amamin (ATP, CTP, and GTP were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany; bromo-UTP and amamin were purchased from Sigma). After the run-on reaction, the cells were rinsed again with 1× PBS and incubated for 20 min at room temperature with 2% paraformaldehyde, air-dried for 5 min, and then rinsed again twice with 1× PBS before staining with the primary antibodies. Newly synthesized RNA and Kid-1 were detected as described under double antibody immunocytochemistry using a rabbit anti-Kid-1 antibody at a dilution of 1:1000 and a mouse monoclonal anti-bromodeoxyuridine antibody at a dilution of 1:50 (Dunn Labortechnik, Thelenberg, Asbach). Primary antibodies were detected with fluorescein isothiocyanate-coupled goat anti-mouse IgG from Cappel (diluted 1:150) and Cy3-coupled goat anti-rabbit IgG from Dianova (diluted 1:150).
RESULTS

Distribution of the Full-length Kid-1 and KRIP-1 Proteins in the Nucleus—In order to determine the subcellular site of Kid-1 expression, COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with a plasmid encoding a full-length Kid-1 protein. The Kid-1 protein was tagged with an HA epitope of the influenza virus and could therefore easily be detected by immunofluorescence with the anti-HA epitope antibody 12CA5 (a, c, and e), while the nuclei were visualized with the dye Hoechst 33258 (b, d, and f). Immunofluorescence staining showed a homogeneous (a), patchy (c), and speckled (e) nuclear distribution of the Kid-1 protein. Magnification, × 510.

Kid-1 Protein Is Tightly Bound to Nuclear Structures, but KRIP-1 Is Not—COS-7 cells, which were transfected with the expression plasmid coding for a HA-Kid-1 fusion protein, were subjected to a standard nuclear extraction protocol with 420 mM NaCl. The Kid-1 protein was not present in the cytosolic nor the nuclear extracts but was found in the insoluble pellet fraction remaining after the nuclear extraction. When the pellet was solubilized with 6 M urea and the proteins contained in the pellet fraction were separated on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel, a single band could be observed with the anti-HA-epitope antibody, whereas extracts from mock-transfected COS-7 cells were unreactive with the anti-HA epitope antibody (Fig. 4a). The observed relative molecular weight of Kid-1 changed depending on when the cells were harvested after the transfection, we stained transiently transfected COS-7 cells at various time points after the Me2SO shock treatment. Soon after the transfection (24 h after shock treatment), we only detected cells with a homogeneous and patchy distribution of Kid-1, and it was only at later time points that the speckles appeared (Fig. 2 and Table I). In some nuclei, it appeared as if the patches containing Kid-1 were disintegrating, so that speckles containing Kid-1 were generated (Fig. 2c).

To learn more about the physiological relevance of the different expression patterns, COS-7 cells were transfected with a plasmid coding for an HA epitope-tagged KRIP-1 protein. KRIP-1 (also known as KAP-1 or TIF1β) has been shown to interact with the KRAB-A domain of Cys2His2-zinc finger proteins and to mediate the transcriptional repressor activity of those proteins (12–14). KRIP-1 was also expressed in the nucleus, but was always distributed homogeneously in the nucleoplasm, obviously sparing the nucleoli (Fig. 3).
The Kid-1 protein is tightly associated with nuclear structures, but KRIP-1 is not. Transiently transfected COS-7 cells were lysed with Nonidet P-40, and the nuclei were separated from the detergent-soluble proteins by centrifugation. The nuclei were extracted with a buffer containing 420 mM NaCl, which yielded a fraction with soluble and “insoluble” nuclear proteins. Insoluble proteins were homogenized by sonication in PBS, 6 mM urea. Proteins were separated on a SDS-polyacrylamide gel, transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, and stained with the anti-HA epitope antibody 12CA5. Whereas Kid-1 was found in the fraction comprising the insoluble nuclear proteins (a), a large portion of the KRIP-1 protein could already be detected in the fraction containing the detergent-soluble proteins (b). COS-7 cells transfected with the wild-type expression vector pMT3 showed no staining. C, detergent-soluble proteins; N, soluble nuclear proteins; P, insoluble nuclear proteins.

The Larger Zinc Finger Cluster of Kid-1 Serves as a Nucleolar Targeting Signal, whereas the KRAB-A Domain Is Responsible for the Disintegration of the Nucleolus—The Kid-1 protein contains highly conserved KRAB-A and -B domains at its NH₂ terminus and 13 Cys²His₇₂-zinc fingers clustered in groups of four and nine zinc fingers at its COOH terminus. Whereas the KRAB-A domain has been identified as a protein-protein interaction motif (12–14), the zinc fingers of Kid-1 have been shown to recognize heteroduplex DNA (27). As a first approach to determine the functional significance of the various motifs in the Kid-1 protein, we substituted the zinc finger domain of Kid-1 with the zinc fingers of the yeast transcription factor GAL4. The DNA-binding domain of GAL4 contains zinc fingers of the C₆ class; furthermore, there are no known binding sites for GAL4 in the mammalian genome (28). Transient transfections of COS-7 cells with the plasmid pBXG1/Kid-1N, which codes for a fusion protein between the DNA-binding domain of yeast GAL4 and the non-zinc finger portion of rat Kid-1, resulted in a different staining pattern when compared with COS-7 cells transfected with pMT3/Kid-1. Transfection of cells with pBXG1/Kid-1N yielded a homogeneous nuclear staining; a speckled or patchy pattern like that observed in cells transfected with pMT3/Kid-1 was never detected (data not shown). Treatment of nuclei with a buffer containing 420 mM NaCl resulted in the extraction of a sizable portion of the GAL4/Kid-1 fusion protein into the soluble fraction (data not shown).

The results obtained with the GAL4/Kid-1 fusion protein suggested that the zinc fingers of Kid-1 are important for the nonhomogeneous distribution of the full-length Kid-1 protein in the nucleus and its tight association to nuclear structures. In order to provide direct evidence for that assumption, we generated mutant Kid-1 proteins with consecutively larger deletions from the NH₂ terminus. Because these mutant proteins were tagged with the HA epitope, they could easily be detected by immunofluorescence and on a Western blot. A Kid-1 mutant protein lacking the KRAB-A domain was sorted to the nucleus (Fig. 6, a and b), but it was distributed in patches, and we never noticed those larger speckles seen with the full-length Kid-1 protein (approximately ¼ the diameter of an intact nucleolus; see below) but only much smaller ones (approximately ¼ the diameter of an intact nucleolus; not shown) in about 40–45% of the transfected cells. Further deletion of the KRAB-B domain did not change the overall distribution of the mutant Kid-1
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Fig. 5. Evidence for the binding of Kid-1 to the nuclear matrix. COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with pMT3/Kid-1 (encoding an HA epitope-tagged full-length Kid-1 protein). Transfected cells were subjected to various nuclear extraction protocols described below, and the fractions were analyzed by Western blot with the anti-Kid-1 antibody 5D12. a, when the nuclei of COS-7 cells expressing a full-length Kid-1 protein were treated with 1 mg/ml N-ethylmaleimide (lane 1); a combination of 0.1 mM o-phenanthroline, 50 mM EDTA (lane 2); 50 mM EDTA (lane 3); and 0.1 mM o-phenanthroline (lane 4), Kid-1 still remained in the pellet fraction. Lane 5 represents fractions obtained with the regular nuclear extraction protocol using 420 mM NaCl but without the addition of any of the aforementioned chemicals. The protein in lane 1 exhibits a higher molecular weight due to the alkylation of the cysteine residues of Kid-1 by N-ethylmaleimide. b, neither extraction with a buffer containing 1 M NaCl nor a buffer containing 2 mM KCl resulted in the release of the full-length Kid-1 protein from the nuclei; the different fractions obtained with a buffer containing 420 mM NaCl are shown for comparison. c, when nuclei of COS-7 cells transfected with pMT3/Kid-1 were digested with 1 unit/ml DNase or a combination of RNase A (100 μg/ml) and RNase T (40 units/μl), the full-length Kid-1 protein still remained in the insoluble pellet fraction. d and e, in order to control for the integrity of the extract preparation in the presence of RNase, the blots were also probed with antibodies against lamin B (d) and NuMA (e), two nuclear matrix-associated proteins. Even after a digest with RNase, both proteins remained predominantly in the insoluble fraction no matter whether mock-transfected (M) or Kid-1-expressing COS-7 cells (K) were used, although after a longer exposure they could also be detected in the other fractions. C, detergent-soluble proteins; N, soluble nuclear proteins; P, insoluble nuclear proteins; M, mock-transfected COS-7 cells; K, COS-7 cells transfected with the Kid-1 expression plasmid pMT3/Kid-1.

protein (Fig. 6, d and e). This expression pattern was maintained when mutant Kid-1 proteins containing all 13 zinc fingers (Fig. 6, g and h) or only the second zinc finger cluster containing zinc fingers 5–13 were expressed in COS-7 cells (Fig. 6, m and n). The first zinc finger cluster comprising zinc fingers 1–4 was sorted to the nucleus but was always distributed homogeneously in the nucleus (Fig. 6, j and k).

The expression patterns of the various Kid-1 mutants correlated well with their extractability from the nucleus. All of the mutants containing zinc fingers 5–13 could only inefficiently be extracted from the nucleus, whereas the mutant protein comprising the first four zinc fingers could easily be extracted. The presence of the KRAB-A and KRAB-B domains had no influence on the extractability of the proteins from the nucleus (Fig. 6, c, f, i, l, and o).

In order to identify the nuclear compartment(s) Kid-1 was targeted to, we used antibodies against various nuclear structures in double-labeling experiments. Staining with a human autoantibody against the nucleolar transcription factor UBF resulted in a perfect colocalization with the Kid-1 protein in patches, identifying the patches as nucleoli (Fig. 7, a and b). In nuclei with a speckled distribution of Kid-1, UBF was also located in speckles, although the intensity of UBF staining clearly was less than in intact nucleoli, and some speckles even lacked UBF staining altogether (Fig. 7, c and d). The mutant comprising zinc fingers 5–13 colocalized perfectly with UBF, thus identifying the larger zinc finger cluster of Kid-1 as a nucleolar targeting domain (Fig. 7, e and f). The results obtained in COS-7 cells were corroborated by transiently expressing the Kid-1 mutant comprising zinc fingers 5–13 in CV-1 cells and LLC-PK1 cells. The CV-1 cell line represents the parent cell line of COS-7 cells (29); it does not express the large T antigen of SV40, and therefore the expression levels of exogenous proteins, encoded by plasmids with an SV40 origin of replication, are much lower than in COS-7 cells. Both in CV-1 cells and LLC-PK1 cells, a highly differentiated porcine renal epithelial cell line (30), the larger zinc finger cluster of Kid-1 was sorted to the nucleolus, indicating that the nucleolar expression pattern of Kid-1 did not depend on its expression level (Fig. 8).

Transcription of the rDNA Genes Is Shut Off in Nucleolar Fragments—Expression of the Kid-1 mutant lacking only the KRAB-A domain did not result in nucleolar disintegration, arguing that transcriptional repression was necessary for this phenomenon. It was puzzling, however, that KRIP-1, the adaptor protein for KRAB-zinc finger proteins, was located in the nucleoplasm and not in the nucleoli in the absence of Kid-1 (Fig. 3). We therefore cotransfected Kid-1 and KRIP-1 to determine whether Kid-1 could influence the location of KRIP-1. Interestingly, in cells coexpressing Kid-1 and KRIP-1, KRIP-1 was indeed sorted to the nucleoli (Fig. 9, a and b). The hypothesis, that Kid-1 repressed rDNA transcription through its adaptor protein KRIP-1, was directly tested by performing nuclear run-ons with bromo-UTP either in the absence or presence of Kid-1. Ongoing synthesis of rRNA was detected in intact nucleoli ("patches"), whereas in nucleolar fragments ("speckles") rRNA synthesis was greatly diminished (Fig. 9, c–f).

DISCUSSION

When the HA epitope-tagged full-length rat Kid-1 protein was transiently expressed in COS-7 cells, immunocytochemical
analysis showed patchy and speckled expression patterns of Kid-1 in the nucleus. Using a human autoantibody against the nucleolar transcription factor UBF, a clear colocalization of Kid-1 and UBF in patches was detected, demonstrating that the full-length Kid-1 protein is sorted to the nucleolus. The nucleolus consists of at least three ultrastructurally defined compartments: a fibrillar center, a dense fibrillar component, and a granular component, which contain distinct proteins and serve specific functions (for reviews, see Refs. 31–33). Transcription of the rDNA genes in the nucleolus depends on the presence of UBF, the TATA-binding protein, and three TATA-binding protein-associated factors. UBF belongs to the HMG box family of transcription factors and has been cloned from a variety of different species (e.g. Refs. 34 and 35). The DNA binding characteristics of UBF are remarkable, because so far no sequence-specific binding to DNA could be demonstrated; UBF rather exhibits an affinity for cruciform DNA (36–38). We have recently shown that both zinc finger clusters of Kid-1 also can bind in an apparently sequence-independent manner to heteroduplex DNA (27), but clearly this property is not sufficient to confer sorting of Kid-1 to the nucleolus, because only the larger zinc finger cluster comprising zinc fingers 5–13 is required for nucleolar targeting, whereas the smaller zinc finger cluster of Kid-1 was distributed homogeneously in the nucleus. The importance of the zinc finger domains of Kid-1 becomes even more evident by the fact that the rat, murine, and human homologues of Kid-1 possess a very high homology in their zinc finger regions and also share the same arrangement of the zinc fingers in clusters of four and nine zinc fingers (15, 39, 40). Therefore, although both zinc finger clusters obviously contain nuclear localization signals similar to what has been described for other zinc finger proteins (e.g. Refs. 41 and 42) and both of them can bind to heteroduplex DNA (27), they are functionally different. A similar picture has emerged for UBF insofar as only the first HMG box is required (together with the acidic tail of UBF) for nucleolar sorting (43), but the other HMG boxes of UBF can bind to DNA as well (44, 45). So far we do not know the nature of this additional signal with respect to Kid-1, but clearly it has to reside in the large zinc finger cluster. Interestingly, the large zinc finger cluster of Kid-1 also was responsible for the tight association inside the nucleus to as yet unknown structures. In the case of UBF, a tight nuclear association has been described for UBF in mitotic cells as compared with cells in interphase; on a biochemical level, it is the phosphorylated form of UBF that can be hardly extracted from the nucleus (46). For both Kid-1 and UBF, it is unknown how this tight association is mediated.

While the patchy distribution of the Kid-1 protein could be detected early after the Me2SO shock, the appearance of the speckles was a late event after transfection, with the speckles emanating from disintegrating patches. The double staining with the anti-UBF antibody showed that the patchy distribution of Kid-1 corresponds to intact nucleoli, while the speckles represent disintegrated nucleoli. The disintegration of the nucleoli depends on the presence of the KRAB-A domain, because transfection of cells with a mutant lacking the KRAB-A domain...
resulted in a patchy staining pattern. The KRAB-A domain is a potent transcriptional repressor motif (3–6), and the disintegration of the nucleolus by Kid-1 therefore probably depends on the transcriptional repression characteristics of Kid-1. This also explains the time-dependent increase in the number of cells with nucleolar fragments, because it requires some time before Kid-1 is expressed, before it shuts off transcription in the nucleolus and before the nucleolus disintegrates. Such a model is in some contrast to the data presented by Moosmann et al. (10), who showed that the KRAB-A domain did not repress transcription mediated by RNA polymerase I. In their study, however, they used fusion proteins between the KRAB-A domain and the DNA-binding domains of LexA and GAL4 on the one hand and a reporter plasmid containing only a short fragment of the human rDNA gene promoter on the other hand (10). Because we looked at the effect of the wild-type Kid-1 protein on nucleolar integrity, our studies were conducted in a more natural context, where additional cofactors for the repression of nucleolar transcription may be present. Although there remains the possibility that the nucleolar disintegration caused by the KRAB-A domain is not based on transcriptional repression, we consider this unlikely in light of the well-established transcriptional repressor effects by KRAB-A domains from a variety of different proteins (3–6) and by two additional pieces of evidence. Whereas in the absence of Kid-1 KRIP-1 was located in the nucleoplasm and not in the nucleolus, coexpression of Kid-1 resulted in the rerouting of KRIP-1 into the nucleolus. Most importantly, ongoing rRNA synthesis in nucleolar fragments (speckles) with Kid-1 labeling was greatly repressed, arguing that transcription of the rDNA genes was shut off. This was not an immediate effect, however, because rRNA synthesis could still be demonstrated in intact nucleoli (patches) with Kid-1 labeling.

Nucleolar fragments can also be observed under other circumstances (e.g. during apoptosis (47), at the end of mitosis, or experimentally induced). During the cell cycle, the nucleoli disassemble at prophase and reappear in telophase, when they are reassembled from the nucleolus organizer region and prenucleolar bodies. The nucleolus organizer region contains proteins of the rDNA transcriptional machinery such as RNA polymerase I and UBF (e.g. Refs. 48–50), which remain bound to the nucleolus organizer region even during mitosis. Prenucleolar bodies, however, appear de novo in late mitosis and contain primarily proteins of the dense fibrillar component such as fibrillarin, nucleolin, and B23/NO38 (summarized in Ref. 51). The coalescence of nucleolus organizer regions and prenucleolar bodies can be prevented by the DNA topoisomerase I inhibitor camptothecin (52) and by microinjecting antibodies against RNA polymerase I into mitotic cells (53), which points to the importance of rDNA gene transcription in the reformation of the nucleolus. Incubation of cells with the aden-
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At this point, we do not know in which biological context Kid-1 exerts its function. Previous studies have shown that Kid-1 is connected to heterochromatin through its adaptor protein KRIP-1 (12–14, 57). The connection between the nucleolus and heterochromatin has also been made for Modulo, a modifier of position-effect variegation in Drosophila (58), and for Zfp37, a murine Cys_His-zinc finger protein with a truncated KRAB-A domain that is expressed in neuronal cells (59). In the case of Modulo, it has been hypothesized that the nucleolus balances the amount of Modulo available for heterochromatin formation and position-effect variegation (58). Besides Kid-1 and Zfp37, three other zinc finger proteins have been reported to reside in the nucleolus so far. LYAR, a protein with a potential RING finger motif (60), has been shown to enhance tumor formation (61), whereas PAG608, a protein with three Cys_His-zinc fingers, can promote apoptosis (62). So far, no effect on growth has been reported for MOK2, another Cys_His-zinc finger protein shown to reside in the nucleolus (63). Because of the shutdown of nucleolar transcription by Kid-1 and the vital role of rRNA synthesis for a cell, it is likely that Kid-1 also affects cell growth. Interestingly, disintegration of the nucleolus represents one of the earliest morphological changes during apoptotic cell death (47). In addition to Kid-1, a speckled distribution has also been reported for the KRAB-zinc finger proteins ZNF74 (25) and Zfp59 (64), but both ZNF74 and Zfp59 only contain a truncated KRAB-A domain at their NH₂ termini, which very likely is inactive so that the respective zinc finger domains probably are important for the targeting of either protein to its specific nuclear compartment. More information about the protein network, which these zinc finger proteins are part of, will help us to better understand their function in molecular and cell biological terms.
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