A study of the influence of processing parameters and tool wear on elastic displacements of the technological system under face milling
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Abstract A study of the influence of processing parameters and tool wear on the total elastic displacements of the technological system is based on a mathematical model of elastic displacements during face milling. The mathematical model takes into account both plane-parallel and angular displacements of the subsystems 0—“workpiece–device–machine table” and 1—“tool–device–z-head system.” The article covers the method of experimental determination of the element compliances of the GF2171S5 milling machine technological system. Angular compliances of the spindle assembly are not listed in the milling machine technical data sheet; therefore, this work gives a method for compliance determination along the coordinate axes of the machine and angular compliances of subsystems of the technological system. The article presents the adequacy assessment of mathematical models of elastic displacements of the technological system under face milling, for different values of tool flank wear on the flank surface. The article also presents the influences of face milling process parameters (workpiece material, cutting speed, cutting depth, the main cutting-edge angle, the cutter overhang to its diameter ratio, feed per tooth, and different values of the tool flank wear on the flank surface) on the total elastic displacements of the technological system, based on the estimated mathematical model of the elastic displacements of the technological system during face milling.
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Nomenclature
t Cutting depth (mm)
B Cutting width (mm)
f Feed per tooth (feed rate) (mm/tooth)
V Cutting speed (m/min)
n Spindle rotation speed per minute (rpm)
V[subscript B] Tool flank wear value on the flank surface (flank wear) (mm)
Δ[subscript z] Total elastic displacements of the technological system in z-axis direction (μm)
C[subscript z1] ; C[subscript z0] Subsystems 0 and 1 compliance in direction of z-axis (μm/N)
P[subscript x] ; P[subscript y] Cutting force components in direction of x, y-axis (N)
ξΣ1 Subsystem 1 angular displacement in plane x[subscript f], z[subscript f] (rad/Nm)
R[subscript ml] Mill radius (mm)
l[subscript o] Tool overhang (mm)
k[subscript r] Major cutting-edge angle (°)
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In the process of face milling, not only the process parameters but also elastic deformations of the technological system (tool-workpiece-machine tool assembly) influence the dimensional accuracy and the surface topography of the machined parts [1–7]. The elastic deformation error of the technological system mainly depends on the influence of unstable cutting forces. The cutting force, in turn, is generally determined by the following technological factors: cutting depth, cutting width, feed per tooth, and cutting speed. The value of tool wear on the flank surface of the milling tooth can also influence the cutting force that results the elastic displacements of the technological system. However, how and to what extent these factors the mechanical properties of the workpiece and the cutting-edge angle affect the elastic displacements of the technological system at different values of tool wear on the flank surface have been insufficiently studied.

Kolev and Gorchakov [4], and Medvedev [5] were the pioneers to include technological system deformations in their works to determine machining errors in the face milling process.

Thereafter, few other researchers considered deflections of technological system (spindle-tool-workpiece-fixture) in the analysis of quality and productivity of face milling process. Radulescu et al. [6] analyzed tool-workpiece displacement, cutting forces, and chip load variation and concluded that variable speed face milling could be robust with respect to cutting process dynamics. Denkena et al. [7, 8] presented models for shape deviations (material height deviations, transition deviation, and surface roughness deviation) considering cutting forces and cutting tool deflections in the face milling of hybrid structures. The predicted models were validated with experimental results. It was shown that tool holder had significant influence on the surface shapes. However, tool wear influence on shape deviations was not considered in these works. Badar et al. [9] described an adaptive sampling procedure to reduce sample size for estimating straightness and flatness errors in end milling and face milling processes considering the effects of cutting parameters and support conditions. The article of Hadad and Ramezani [10] presented a method for regular structuring and special patterning of workpiece surface applying face milling process. Mathematical simulations which described the cutting tool geometry and its position during machining could be used to optimize the surface quality for given face milling parameters. Huang and Hoshi [11, 12] optimized the device design, taking into consideration the thermal deformation to improve flatness accuracy in face milling of plate-shaped workpieces. Tai et al. [13] proposed a method of milling scheduling to reduce the surface height change based on the high-definition metrology (HDM). In other works, Tai et al. [14, 15] proposed approaches to improve the flatness of the surface obtained by face milling, based on 3-D holographic laser measurement and ways of feed rate optimizing. Franco et al. [16] reported surface imperfection in face milling was caused by undeformed chip thickness variation, fluctuation of feed rate, and height deviation during machine tool axis displacement. In the course of experiment, Yi et al. [17] determined deviation from flatness of surfaces processed by face milling with different feeds, cutting speeds, and axial depths. Sheth and George [18] showed the effects of spindle speed, feed, and cutting depth on the surface flatness and roughness in face milling of WCB material. However, the effects of tool wear on surface flatness were not considered in these works.

Kaldestadet et al. [19] and Tyapin et al. [20] showed methods for tool linear deflection compensation and vibration reduction in face milling of aluminum with an industrial robot. Their research efforts demonstrated that both the robot stiffness value and the tool path could be adjusted to counteract the tool deflection during milling. Yi et al. [21] presented a method of prepending the workpiece caused by fixture in face milling to reduce surface error. The article of Yi et al. [22] showed that stretched fixation improved the surface quality, flatness, and residual stress of face milled 6061T6 aluminum alloy. Davoudinejad et al. [23] considered important issues such as processing strategy, intermediate control of spindle, and tool wear compensation to improve surface flatness.
Nguyen et al. [24, 25] applied HDM enabled surface variation control using cutting load balancing and cutter spindle deflection in face milling processes. They showed that the approaches of the various feed rate and side milling cutter path planning are the most appropriate to monitor the surface variations. To reduce the flatness error of the flexible plate due to its deflection during conventional face milling, simultaneous double-sided milling with speed difference and with synchronized single-tooth cutters was proposed by Shamoto et al. [26] and Mori et al. [27], respectively.

Gu et al. [28] presented a new model for predicting surface flatness errors of the face milling process. The model included the effects of machining conditions, elastic deformation of the cutter spindle and workpiece fixture assemblies, static spindle axis tilt, and axially inclined tool path. They also proposed a new method called equivalent flexibility coefficient to compute elastic deformations of machining system at the point of cutting force applications. But measurement of flatness error using elastic deformation and spindle axis tilt could be inflated by inclusion of surface roughness. Liu and Zou [29] predicted the surface flatness errors in the course of face milling, using the traditional trial-and-error method. Their work emphasized on the optimization of machining parameters to minimize surface deformation under machining loads. Nguyen et al. [30] proposed a method to monitor spindle tilt and cutter spindle deflection using surface data measured by high-definition metrology. Cutter spindle deflection was used to correlate the machine conditions such as loose and worn bearing for process diagnosis.

Many of the above studies focused on the machine tool and cutter impact on machining errors. Most of the works were built on an experimental solution to the problem of estimating accuracy parameters of face milled surfaces. In this case, it is impossible to choose cutting data to ensure specified accuracy. However, only few studies concentrated on predicting elastic deformations for different cutting conditions. However, they did not take into account the effect of tool wear on the elastic deformations of the technological systems in machining process.

Different researchers solved the problem of determining the elastic displacements of the technological system elements in different ways. For example, Medvedev [31] took total compliance of the technological system. On the other hand, Bazrov [32, 33] divided the technological system into number of nodes, but it is quite difficult to determine compliance of each node. These deficiencies have been eliminated in the analytic model for elastic displacement proposed by Pimenov et al. [34]. Their model took into account both the elastic deformation of the axial table-device-part subsystem and that of the tool-spindle-spindle assembly subsystem. But the most important feature of the model of Pimenov et al. [34], in contrast to the others above, was the consideration of the angular deformation of the machine spindle assembly (the cutter rotation angle), which arose due to the cutter force loading during machining. In addition, the works in ref. [35, 36] considered the effect of the tooth flank wear of the face mill cutting forces [36]. The objective of the present work is to further study the impact of tool wear on the elastic deformation of the technological systems of the face milling process. The article proposes a mathematical model of the total elastic deformation of the technological system considering the influences of face
milling parameters (work-piece material, cutting speed, cutting depth, the main cutting-edge angle, the cutter overhang to its diameter ratio, feed per tooth, and tool flank wear. The adequacy of the proposed mathematical models of elastic displacements has been experimentally assessed.

2 Theory

2.1 Mathematical model of elastic displacements of technological system considering tool wear

The present mathematical model for elastic displacements of the technological system during face milling is the extended form of model developed by Pimenov et al. [34]. This model includes cutting force constituents considering wear on the flank surface of the face mill teeth, obtained in the work of Guzeev and Pimenov [35]. The cutting force model is based on the generated stresses on the flank surface of face mill teeth as given in the work of Pimenov and Guzeev [36].

A great number of errors influence dimension accuracy and machined surface roughness during machining. For example, a mathematical model of elastic displacements of the technological system in the z-axis direction, in respect of plane-parallel and angular yield, obtained in works of Pimenov et al. [34], is used for the face milling accuracy criterion:

\[ \Delta_{\Sigma z} = C_{\Sigma z} P^c_{z} + R_{mi} \left( P^c_{z} - P^c_{z} l_{o} \right) \xi_{z}, \]

where \( C_{\Sigma z} \) is subsystem 0—“workpiece–device–machine table”, and 1—“tool–device–z-head system” cumulative yield in the direction of z-axis; \( P^c_{z} \) and \( P^c_{z} \) are cutting force components, obtained in the work of Guzeev and Pimenov [35]; \( \xi_{z} \) is subsystem 1 angular displacement in plane \( x_{i}z_{i} \); \( R_{mi} \) is the mill radius; \( l_{o} \) is the tool overhang; and \( C_{\Sigma z} \), \( \xi_{z} \) is axial and angular yield.

We will use dependencies for the cutting force components model of Guzeev and Pimenov [35], influencing the straight line portion of the mill tooth:

\[ P^c_{z} = 1.08 f z R_{mi} \gamma_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} \frac{\sigma_{i}}{\cos \beta_{i}} \sin \psi_{i} \cos \psi_{i} \cos \delta_{k} \cos \theta_{i} \cos \gamma_{i} \cos \beta_{i} \cos \alpha_{i} \]

where \( k_{r} \) is the cutting-edge angle; \( t \) is cutting depth; \( f_{z} \) is feed per tooth; \( f \) is the worked stock friction ratio on the clearance surface of the mill tooth cutting point; \( \beta \) is the angle of action; \( \beta_{i} \) is the angle of displacement; \( \sigma_{i} \) is stress intensity (intensity of material resistance to deformation [36, 37]); \( dl \) is element of the cutting-edge length; \( V_{p} \) is the tool flank wear value on the flank surface [36]; \( Z_{w} \) is a number of teeth simultaneously in contact with the worked part, specified in compliance with [35]; \( \psi_{i} \) is angular coordinate of the \( i \)th tooth; and \( i = x, y, z \) are axes of the tool’s coordinate system.

The first term in expression (1) takes into account the plane-parallel elastic displacement of the technological system (see Fig. 1):

\[ \Delta_{\Sigma z} = C_{\Sigma z} P^c_{z}, \]

The second term in expression (1) takes into account the angular elastic displacement of the technological system (see Fig. 2):

\[ \Delta_{\Sigma \varphi} = R_{mi} \left( P^c_{z} - P^c_{z} l_{o} \right) \xi_{z}, \]

The design scheme of elastic displacements in the course of face milling of the system comprising subsystems 0—“workpiece–device–machine table” and 1—“tool–device–z-head system” is as follows (Fig. 1).
The plane-parallel elastic displacements of subsystems 0 and 1 in direction of $z$-axis are defined by the formulas:

$$
\Delta z_0 = C_{30} P_z \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta z_1 = C_{31} P_z
$$

The rotation angle $\chi$ is defined through the cutting force and angular compliance (Fig. 2):

$$
\chi_{xz} = M \xi_{xz}.
$$

Expression (1) is the mathematical model of elastic displacements due to the plane-parallel displacements and angular rotation of subsystems in the technological system.

3 Experiments

3.1 Experimental determination of the element compliances of the GF2171S5 milling machine technological system

Considering effectiveness from the point of view of mathematical apparatus simplification of such an approach, the present work assumes this approach for face milling conditions.

Here, using an analogy with the turning, we pay attention to the following subsystems:

Subsystem 0: “workpiece–device–machine table”
Subsystem 1: “tool–device–spindle group”

To calculate elastic displacements, the axial and angular compliances of subsystems of the technological system are required (see Figs. 1 and 2).

Under the cutting force elements of the technological system, we get two types of elastic displacement: displacements along the coordinate axes and angular movements around respective axes. Angular compliance of

| Table 1 | Axial compliances of subsystems 1 and 0 |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| Subsystem 0: “machine table” | Compliance $C_{y0}$, $\mu$m/N | Compliance $C_{x0}$, $\mu$m/N | Compliance $C_{z0}$, $\mu$m/N |
| 0.001  | 0.007  | 0.001  |
| Subsystem 1: “spindle group” | Compliance $C_{y1}$, $\mu$m/N | Compliance $C_{x1}$, $\mu$m/N | Compliance $C_{z1}$, $\mu$m/N |
| 0.067  | 0.059  | 0.047  |
the spindle assembly is not specified in the milling machine technical data sheet. In this regard, experimental studies were performed to determine these two types of compliance.

The experiments consisted of measuring static compliance of the technological system. The machine components were loaded with forces in axial directions, movements in these directions were determined, and these values specified the technological system compliance in respective axial directions.

To determine the angular compliance, loading was performed by the force moment, and angular displacement was determined by the linear displacement of 2 points on the same plane.

Due to cutting forces, the machine components receive two types of elastic displacement: displacement along coordinate axes and angular displacement around corresponding axes.

Experimental studies of the milling machine compliance were carried out in three directions.

3.1.1 Definition of displacements along coordinate axes

**Method** There are various methods for determining machine tool stiffness: static, dynamic, etc. [38]. The paper describes the applied static method of determining axial compliances of the GF2171S5 milling machine subsystems. Compliance in the $i$-axis direction is determined by the ratio:

$$C_i = \Delta_i / P_i,$$

where $\Delta_i$—elastic displacements in the $i$th axis direction ($i = x, y, z$); and $P_i$—constituent of the cutting force in the $i$th axis direction.

**Equipment** Measurements were performed on the GF2171S5 CNC milling machine. The equipment consists of two measuring racks with two dial indicators, with a scale division of 1 μm, a dynamometer with a dial indicator with a scale division of 0.01 mm, and load weights 10 and 20 kg.

**Measurement schemes** Measurements of compliances of subsystems 0 “machine table” and 1 “spindle group” are shown in Fig. 3 To determine the appropriate compliance in the $x, y, z$ direction, force loading was carried out corresponding to the face milling force level, and in the opposite direction to the force loading, the subsystem movement readings were taken off indicator 5 installed in rack 6. The load value was recorded by dynamometer 3 with dial indicator 2. The dynamometer was loaded with load 4. The dynamometer was precalibrated, and its compliance was equal to 0.7 μm/N.

The results of experiments on determining the static compliance of subsystems 1 and 0 according to the scheme (see Fig. 1) are presented in Fig. 4.

Table 1 presents the results of experiments of $x, y,$ and $z$ compliance in corresponding directions.

Thus, the axial compliances of the “machine table” subsystem 0 are one to two orders less than that of the “spindle group” subsystem 1, whereas the lowest compliance value is in the $z$-axis direction. The executable dimension is formed by face milling in this very direction.

3.1.2 Angular compliances of subsystem 1 in the planes ZX and ZY

Angular compliances of the spindle assembly are not listed in the milling machine technical data sheet. Therefore, along with experimental method of determining the axial compliances in the work, the experimental method for angular

**Table 2** Axial compliances of the “spindle group” subsystem 1

| Bending moment, $M$, Nm | Point 1 displacement, $d_{z1}$, μm | Point 2 displacement, $d_{z2}$, μm | The distance between points 1 and 2, $L$, mm | Rotation angle, $\chi = \arctan((d_{z2} - d_{z1})/L)$, $10^3$, rad | Rotation angle, $\chi$, $10^3$, $0$ | Rotation compliance of subsystem 1, $\xi$, $10^{-3}$, rad/Nm |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 0                      | 0                             | 0                             | 105                           | 0                               | 0               | 0                           |
| 35                     | $-5.5$                        | 9                             | 105                           | 0.1381                          | 7.914           | 3.943                       |
| 70                     | $-9$                          | 20                            | 105                           | 0.27619                         | 15.828          | 3.944                       |
| 145                    | $-14$                         | 37                            | 105                           | 0.48571                         | 27.835          | 3.348                       |

![Fig. 6 Experimental results of the static angular compliance of the machine subsystem 1 “spindle group”](image-url)
compliance was developed, and GF2171S5 machine specific data were obtained.

The table compliance was very small, so the table angular compliance was not measured. The spindle group and cutter were both rotated. Therefore, the angular compliance was defined for subsystem 1.

**Method** The paper covers the static method of determining the angular compliances of the GF2171S5 milling machine subsystems. The angular compliance in the planes ZX and ZY is determined by the following ratio [32]:

\[ \xi_i = \frac{\delta \chi_i}{M_i}, \]

where \( \delta \chi_i \) — the rotation angle around the \( i \)th axis (\( i = x, y, z \)); and \( M_i \) — the moment of the cutting force components around the \( i \)th axis.

**Equipment** Measurements were performed on the GF2171S5 CNC milling machine in the South Ural State University in the Laboratory of the Department of Automated Mechanical Engineering. The equipment consisted of two measuring racks with two dial indicators, with a scale division of 1 \( \mu \)m, a dynamometer with a dial indicator with a scale division of 0.01 mm, and load weights 10 and 20 kg, and a special device to control the angular compliance of the spindle head stock.

**Measurement schemes** Measurements of angular compliance of subsystem 1 “spindle group” are shown in Fig. 5a. To determine the angular compliance of the spindle rotation in the planes ZX and ZY, a special device was made to create the cutter bending moment in the direction of these planes (see Fig. 5b).

![Fig. 7 Part with 15 steps and gauge length A](image)

The device consisted of the guide bushing, the crossbar of t-shaped welded tubular beams, the bushing with the key way, and the key.

**Equipment** Measurements were performed on the GF2171S5 CNC milling machine in the South Ural State University in the Laboratory of the Department of Automated Mechanical Engineering. The equipment consisted of two measuring racks with two dial indicators, with a scale division of 1 \( \mu \)m, a dynamometer with a dial indicator with a scale division of 0.01 mm, and load weights 10 and 20 kg, and a special device to control the angular compliance of the spindle head stock.

**Measurement schemes** Measurements of angular compliance of subsystem 1 “spindle group” are shown in Fig. 5a. To determine the angular compliance of the spindle rotation in the planes ZX and ZY, a special device was made to create the cutter bending moment in the direction of these planes (see Fig. 5b).

![Fig. 8 Workpiece and face milling cutter with the flank wear value \( V_B = 0.8 \) mm](image)

The experiments were carried out to assess the adequacy of the mathematical model of the elastic displacements of the technological system in face milling operations for different values of the tool flank wear. Machining was carried out on the GF2171S5 machine with the FMS–3000 rack without cooling, using a tool with the cutting edge of TT10K8–B material (the composition of the TT10K8–B hard alloy of titan and tantalum group, according to GOST 3882-74, shown in Table 3 [39]), with the following parameters: the cutter diameter—\( D = 100 \) mm; the major cutting-edge angle—\( k_r = 60^\circ \); and the tool flank wear—\( V_B = 0.8 \) mm.

**Table 3** Chemical composition of TT10K8–B hard alloy of titan and tantalum group

| Chemical composition in % | Tungsten carbide, WC | Titanium carbide, TiC | Tantal carbide, TaC | Cobalt, Co |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|                           | 82                   | 3                     | 7                  | 8           |

**Table 4** Cutting conditions for various treatment stages of face milling

| No. | Face milling stage | Cutting depth, \( t \), mm | Feed per tooth, \( f_z \), mm/tooth | Cutting speed, \( V \), m/min | Spindle rotation, \( n \), rpm |
|-----|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1   | Semifinishing      | 1.5                       | 0.25                            | 163                        | 510                         |
| 2   | Finishing          | 1.0                       | 0.16                            | 210                        | 670                         |
| 3   | Final polishing    | 0.5                       | 0.11                            | 250                        | 800                         |
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the rake angle—\( \gamma = -5^\circ \); the back angle—\( \alpha = 8^\circ \); the minor cutting-edge angle—\( k_{r1} = 12^\circ \); the number of teeth—\( z = 1 \); and the main cutting-edge slope angle—\( \lambda = 5^\circ \). As a work-piece, structural carbon steel 45 [40] of dimension of 140 × 75 × 75 mm was used. The work-piece’s hardness was measured using the TB 5004-03 Brinell indenter: HB190.

The gauge length was arranged directly on the machine, where the step deviations were measured as shown in Fig. 7.

The cutting conditions selected for various treatment stages, according to the handbook [41], are given in Table 4.

Thus, consistently performing semifinishing, finishing, and final polishing stages, we get the part with steps of 1.5, 1, and 0.5 mm. The steps were made using a single-tooth milling cutter, with consecutive growth of value of the flank wear of the tooth cutter as follows: 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 mm, i.e., at the first three stages \( V_B = 0 \) mm (non-worn cutter) and at the following three steps \( V_B = 0.2, 0.4 \) mm, etc.

Thus, consistently performing semifinishing, finishing, and final polishing stages, with different values of the flank wear of the cutter tooth, we get the part with steps of 1.5, 1, and 0.5 mm (Fig. 8).

The height difference between the planes and the reference plane gives the value of the elastic pressing out of the technological system from the part.

Table 5 Processing parameters for calculation of elastic displacements of the technological system, considering tool wear

| Item no. | Initial data name     | Initial data values                              |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1        | Machined stock        | 45 steel; 40H steel; 18HNVA steel                 |
| 2        | Cutting speed, \( V \), m/min | 150; 300; 450                                    |
| 3        | Cutting depth, \( t \), mm | 1; 2; 4                                           |
| 4        | Cutter overhang to diameter ratio, \( l_o/D \) | 0.25; 1.0; 2.0                                    |
| 5        | Feed per tooth, \( f_\alpha \), mm/tooth | 0.1; 0.2; 0.3; 0.4                               |
| 6        | Flank wear, \( V_B \), mm | 0; 0.2; 0.4; 0.6; 0.8; 1.0; 1.2; 1.4; 1.6       |

Table 6 Chemical composition of different steels

| Designation steels | Carbon, C | Silicon, Si | Magnesium, Mn | Nickel, Ni | Sulfur, S | Phosphorus, P | Chrome, Cr | Cuprum, Cu | Arsenic, As | Nickel, Ni | Wolfram, W |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|
| 45 steel           | 0.42-0.45 | 0.17-0.37   | 0.5-0.8      | 0.25       | 0.04      | to 0.05       | 0.25       | 0.25      | to 0.25     | 0.3        | 1.19       |
| 40H steel          | 0.36-0.44 | 0.17-0.37   | 0.5-0.8      | 0.25       | 0.04      | to 0.05       | 0.25       | 0.025     | to 0.025    | 0.3        | 1.19       |
| 18HNVA steel       |           |             |               |            |           |               |            |           |              |            |            |
All the experiments had five times repetitions. The homogeneity of sampling variances was verified with Cochran’s criterion.

Fisher’s ratio test was used to assess the adequacy of the mathematical model of the elastic displacements of the technological system in face milling operations, for different values of wear (7).

Figure 9 shows the graphs of the elastic displacements of the technological system values for different lengths of the worn places of the cutter flank wear, calculated with the estimated model (1), and the experimental points. The cutting modes for respective processing stages are shown above.

As can be seen, the elastic displacement of the technological system increases with the wear increase from 0.2 to 0.8 mm and its values are as follows: for the semifinishing stage—12 μm; for the finishing stage—8 μm; and for the final polishing stage—3 μm.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Estimation of total elastic displacement

According to Eq. (1), the total displacements of the technological system in the direction of the executable dimension $\Delta z$: were determined as the sum of plane-parallel displacement $\Delta Z$ and angular displacement $\Delta z_{\text{deg}}$. The total displacements were calculated at different tool wear for the given the
system-processing parameters (different workpiece materials, cutting speeds, cutting depths, different main cutting-edge angles, and the cutter overhangs) as shown in Table 5 for the straight part of the tooth cutting edge, i.e., for the point radius $r_0 = 0$.

The workpiece materials considered in this work are the following steel grades which are used frequently in face milling [40, 41]: carbon steels (GOST 1050-88)—45 steel; chromium steels (GOST 4543-71)—40H steel; and chromium nickel wolfram steel (GOST 4543-48)—18HNVA steel. The
4.2 The impact of cutting parameters and tool wear on elastic displacements of the technological system

Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 show the variations of the calculated total elastic displacements of the technological system with respect to tool flank wear for different processed materials cutting speed, depth of cut, different main cutting-edge angles, and the ratio of the cutter overhang to its diameter.

Let us analyze the obtained graphic dependencies of the technological system displacements in the direction of the executable dimension. The impact of the flank wear value on the total elastic displacement in the direction of executable dimension \( \Delta_Z \) for different treated materials is given in Table 7 (also see Fig. 10).

Therefore, the increase of total elastic displacements \( \Delta_Z \) at \( V_B = 1.6 \text{ mm} \) compared to the bar blade \( (V_B = 0) \) for 40X steel is 10.2–10.5%, for 18XHB steel, and 21.5–22.5% compared to 45 steel.

Dependence of the total elastic displacement in the direction of the executable dimension on the flank wear value for different cutting speeds is shown in Fig. 11.

The increase of the total elastic displacement in the direction of the executable dimension \( \Delta_Z \) for worn tool (at maximum value of \( V_B \)) compared to fresh tool for different cutting speeds is shown in Table 8 (also see Fig. 11).

| Treated material | Increase of total elastic displacements \( \Delta_Z \) by \( \mu m \) at \( V_B = 1.6 \text{ mm} \) compared to bar blade \( (V_B = 0) \) |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Feed per tooth, \( f_z \), mm/tooth |
| 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 |
| 45 steel | 19.5 18.9 18.6 18.2 |
| 40X steel | 21.5 20.9 20.4 20.0 |
| 18XHB steel | 23.7 23.2 22.5 22.3 |
cutting speed $V = 450$ m/min and cutting speed $V = 300$ m/min is $61.5–62\%$ and $43.9–44.6\%$, respectively, compared to the cutting speed $V = 150$ m/min.

Dependence of the total elastic displacement in the direction of executable dimension on the flank wear value for different cutting depths is shown in Fig. 12.

The increase of the total elastic displacement in the direction of executable dimension $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ due to increase of flank wear for different cutting depths is given in Table 9 (see Fig. 12).

Therefore, the increase of total elastic displacements $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ for $V_B = 1.6$ mm compared to the bar blade ($V_B = 0$) at cutting depth $t = 4$ mm and $2$ mm is 400 and 200%, respectively, compared to that of cutting depth $t = 1$ mm.

Dependence of the total elastic displacement in the direction of executable dimension on the flank wear value for different approaching angles is shown in Fig. 13.

The impact of the flank wear value on the total elastic displacement in the direction of the executable dimension $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ for different approaching angles is given in Table 10 (see Fig. 13).

Therefore, the increase of total elastic displacements $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ at $V_B = 1.6$ mm compared to the bar blade ($V_B = 0$) at the main cutting-edge angle $k_r = 60^\circ$ and $75^\circ$ is $404–406\%$ and $628–629\%$ compared to that at the main cutting-edge angle $k_r = 45^\circ$. At the main cutting-edge angle $k_r = 45^\circ$, plane-parallel and angular displacements have different signs for the same values of the total displacement, and as the result, the total elastic displacement comes to zero as seen in Fig. 13.

Dependence of the total elastic displacement in direction of executable dimension on the flank wear value for different cutter overhang to diameter ratios is shown in Fig. 14.

The impact of the flank wear value on the total elastic displacement in the direction of executable dimension $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ at different values of the total displacement, and as the result, the total elastic displacement comes to zero as seen in Fig. 13.

Dependence of the total elastic displacement in direction of executable dimension on the flank wear value for different cutter overhang to diameter ratios is shown in Fig. 14.

For all the calculated values (Tables 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11), the increase of total elastic displacements $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ at $V_B = 1.6$ mm compared to the bar blade ($V_B = 0$) for the feed per tooth 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 is 5–6%, 3–4%, and 1–2%, respectively, compared to that at the feed of 0.4 mm/tooth.

### Table 8  Impact of flank wear on total elastic displacement for different cutting speeds

| Cutting speed, $V$, m/min | Increase of total elastic displacements $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ by __ $\mu$m at $V_B = 1.6$ mm compared to bar blade ($V_B = 0$) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                           | Feed per tooth, $f_z$, mm/tooth                                   |
|                           | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.4  |
| 150                       | 19.5 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.2 |
| 300                       | 28.2 | 27.2 | 26.6 | 26.2 |
| 450                       | 31.6 | 30.6 | 30   | 29.4 |

### Table 9  Impact of the flank wear on total elastic displacement for different cutting depths

| Cutting depth, $t$, mm | Increase of total elastic displacements $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ by __ $\mu$m at $V_B = 1.6$ mm compared to bar blade ($V_B = 0$) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                        | Feed per tooth, $f_z$, mm/tooth                                   |
|                        | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.4  |
| 1                      | 19.5 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.2 |
| 2                      | 39.0 | 37.9 | 37   | 36.5 |
| 4                      | 77.9 | 75.7 | 73.9 | 72.7 |

### Table 10  Impact of flank wear on total elastic displacement for different approaching angles

| Main cutting-edge angle, $kr$, deg | Increase of total elastic displacements $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ by __ $\mu$m at $V_B = 1.6$ mm compared to bar blade ($V_B = 0$) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                   | Feed per tooth, $f_z$, mm/tooth                                   |
|                                   | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.4  |
| 45                                | 4.8  | 4.7  | 4.6  | 4.5  |
| 60                                | 19.5 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.2 |
| 75                                | 30.2 | 29.3 | 28.7 | 28.3 |

### Table 11  Impact of flank wear on total elastic displacement at different cutter overhangs

| The cutter overhang to diameter ratio, $l_o/D$ | Increase of total elastic displacements $\Delta Z_{\Sigma}$ by __ $\mu$m at $V_B = 1.6$ mm compared to bar blade ($V_B = 0$) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                              | Feed per tooth, $f_z$, mm/tooth                                   |
|                                              | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.4  |
| 0.25                                          | 9.0  | 8.8  | 8.6  | 8.5  |
| 1                                             | 19.5 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.2 |
| 2                                             | 126.8| 123  | 120.3| 118.3|
5 Conclusions

This paper proposed a method to estimate total elastic deformations of the technological system in face milling process by consideration of tool flank wear along with machining process parameters. Following conclusions can be made from this analysis.

1. The total elastic displacement which combines axial and angular deformations has been shown to be influenced by face milling machining parameters (workpiece material, cutting speed, cutting depth, the main cutting-edge angle, the cutter overhang to its diameter ratio, feed per tooth) and different values of the tool flank wear during machining.

2. Increase of the face mill teeth flank wear leads to a significant increase of elastic deformations of the technological system, in the direction of the executable dimension.

3. Experimental values of total elastic displacement at different tool flank wear agree well with the mathematical model to validate the adequacy of the proposed model prediction.

4. The paper presents the methods and experimental research on determining the axial and angular compliances of the CNC GF2171S5 milling machine technological system. However, the experimental method is applicable for other machine tools and materials.
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