Insulin-like growth factor-II: new roles for an old actor
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IGF-II: A NEGLECTED NODE OF A COMPLEX NETWORK

Insulin-like growth factor-II (IGF-II) is a member of the IGF family of growth factors and related molecules. The IGF family is comprised of three ligands (IGF-I, IGF-II, and insulin), at least six binding proteins (IGFBP-1 to -6), and three specific cell surface receptors that mediate the actions of the ligands [IGF-I receptor, insulin receptor (IR), and the IGF-II mannose-6-phosphate (M-6-P) receptor; Jones and Clemmons, 1995; Cianfarani and Ross, 1997; Le Roith et al., 2001]. Gene knockout studies revealed that the IGF-I receptor mediates the mitogenic and metabolic actions of both IGF-I and IGF-II, whereas the IGF-II/M-6-P receptor is not considered to have any major role in IGF signal transduction, but is primarily responsible for clearing, and thereby reducing, the levels of IGF-II during fetal development (Baker et al., 1993).

IGF-II is a peptide of 67 amino acids with approximately 50% amino acid homology to insulin (Daughaday and Rotwein, 1989). The human IGF-II gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 11 (11p15.5; Tricoci et al., 1984). This gene is an imprinted gene with paternal allele expressed and maternal allele silenced. Genetic or epigenetic alterations of IGF-II gene are implicated in the pathophysiology of both Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome and Russell–Silver syndrome (Smith et al., 2007), clearly indicating a key role of IGF-II in prenatal growth. The IGF-II gene comprises nine exons (codons 7–9 being coding) and four promoters, spanning a region of approximately 30 kb (O'Dell and Day, 1993). Several different RNA molecules are formed upon transcription of the gene within the coding region plus one of the various 5′-untranslated regions arising from exons 1–6. The different transcripts are expressed according to their tissue and the stage of development.

“CLASSICAL” PROPERTIES

Albeit IGF2 expression and IGF-II effects have been reported in several animal and cellular models, the physiological role of this peptide in growth and development still remains largely unknown. IGF-II exerts endocrine, paracrine, and autocrine actions in virtually all tissues (Ehratudo, 1998). The heterozygous mice carrying a paternally derived mutated IGF-II gene [Igf2(+/-) mutants] and the Igd2(+/−) nullizygotes are phenotypically indistinguishable; they are viable dwarfs with −60% normal birthweight and, except for a slight delay in ossification, they do not exhibit developmental abnormalities. In contrast, when the disrupted IGF2 allele is transmitted maternally, the offspring are phenotypically normal, since the maternal allele is normally silent due to imprinting (DeChara et al., 1990). The overexpression of IGF2 increases body size at birth up to 160% (Sun et al., 1997), and size at E17 up to 200% (Eggenschwiler et al., 1997), in a dose dependent manner. Also individual organs can be enlarged in proportion to their IGF-II levels, thus suggesting an autocrine or paracrine control (Sun et al., 1997).

IGF-II exerts a growth promoting action in the placenta. Data originated from mice with placental-specific deletion of P9 promoter of IGF2, showed that placental-specific IGF2 is required for the attainment of normal placental size and of normal surface area and thickness of the labyrinthine layer where solute exchange takes place in the mouse (Sibley et al., 2004).

Both IGF-I and IGF-II are potent neuronal mitogen and survival factors (Sara et al., 1983; Lund et al., 1986; Adamo et al., 1989). The IGF-I receptor is ubiquitously expressed in all neural cells. The widespread and developmentally associated expression of each component of the IGF system, argues that IGFs act during brain development locally near its sites of expression in an autocrine and/or paracrine fashion. Mutations or deletions of IGF-I are associated with microcephaly, sensorineural deafness, and mental retardation (Woods et al., 1996; Bonapace et al., 2003; Netchine et al., 2005; Fusqua et al., 2012), a clinical picture consistent with the phenotype of IGF-I gene knockout mice characterized by small brains, hypomyelination, and loss of certain subtypes of neurons (Powell-Baxton et al., 1993). Although IGF-II has been shown to regulate neuronal growth and differentiation in animal models and cell lines (Sullivan et al., 2008;
Bracco et al., 2012), only a small proportion of patients with Russell–Silver syndrome with loss of methylation (LOM) of the 11p15 ICR1 telomeric domain (including IGF2) leading to reduced IGF-II gene expression in tissues, show developmental delay (Nethcine et al., 2007). Interestingly, no single case of mutations/deletions of IGF2 has been reported so far in humans.

EVIDENCE FOR UNEXPECTED ACTIONS

Besides the still uncertain physiological roles played by IGF-II in growth and development, there is emerging evidence for new and unsuspected metabolic actions. In humans, IGF2 has closely been related to the metabolic risk. Several reports have shown that specific polymorphisms of IGF2 are associated with weight and the obese phenotype (O’Dell et al., 1997; Gaunt et al., 2001; Le Stunff et al., 2001; Gu et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2006). More recently, polymorphisms of IGF-II gene have been related to other cardiovascular risk factors such as fat mass distribution (Rice et al., 2002) and hypertension (Rodriguez et al., 2004; Faienza et al., 2010).

In rats, specific IGF2 polymorphisms have been associated with hypertriglyceridemia (Kadlecova et al., 2008). These findings are consistent with the mapping of IGF2 in close proximity to the insulin and tyrosine hydroxylase genes on chromosome 11p15, a genomic region that has been implicated in various common disorders including the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and coronary heart disease.

IGF2 may also play a role in intrauterine programming predisposing to cardiovascular risk in postnatal life. The involvement of IGF-II in programming is suggested by the study of the population exposed to the Dutch Hunger Winter, the period of famine induced by the German-imposed food embargo in the western part of The Netherlands toward the end of World War II in the winter of 1944–1945. The offspring of this population exposed to famine during fetal life showed, decades later, a higher incidence of cardiovascular disease (Painter et al., 2006). Interestingly, a cohort of these individuals prenatally exposed to the Dutch Hunger Winter tested six decades later, showed that the periconceptional exposure to famine was associated with reduced DNA methylation of the imprinted IGF2 (Heijmans et al., 2008). This finding suggests that early detrimental cues in critical time windows of development may induce permanent epigenetic changes in IGF2 probably secondary to a deficiency in methyl donors such as the amino acid methionine. Whether or not these changes in IGF2 are associated with an altered expression in the different tissues and are related to the cardiovascular risk has to be established.

In humans, the degree of IGF2 methylation at birth has recently been related to the development of overweight or obesity in early childhood (Perkins et al., 2012). Interestingly, breastfeeding modified the magnitude of methylation differences between overweight or obese children and children whose weight was within reference range, thus suggesting an interplay between prenatal environment (nutrient transfer from mother to fetus) and early postnatal feeding behavior which could stabilize or change the epigenetic patterns acquired in utero (Perkins et al., 2012).

A potential clinical implication of these findings is that IGF2 methylation may represent an easily assessable marker of intrauterine programming and long-term metabolic risk, thus driving the deprogramming strategies aimed at reducing the metabolic risk in subjects exposed to a suboptimal intrauterine environment.

IGF-II may also act as a metabolic regulator in the interplay between mother and fetus. Specific polymorphisms in paternally transmitted fetal IGF-II gene have recently been associated with increased maternal glucose concentrations in the third trimester of pregnancy and could alter the risk of gestational diabetes in the mother (Petry et al., 2011). These findings are consistent with the Haig’s kinship, or conflict hypothesis (Haig, 1993), according to which the paternally expressed fetal imprinted genes will tend to increase fetal growth, whereas maternally expressed genes will tend to restrain it. This is thought to be achieved by modifying fetal and placental nutritional demand and supply (Redk et al., 2003), including altering maternal glucose concentrations to favor the transfer of glucose from mother into fetus (Petry et al., 2007).

AN OBSCURE MECHANISM

The mechanisms underlying the observed link between IGF2 expression and metabolism are largely unknown. As IGF-I and IGF-II share considerable structural homology with insulin (Blundell et al., 1978), it is not surprising that the three peptides can also share biological actions, likely via the IR (King et al., 1980). Indeed, both IGF-I and IGF-II have been shown to stimulate glucose uptake and exert antilipolytic activity in cell cultures (Zapot et al., 1978). The infusion of IGF-II in fasted lambs increases glucose clearance by 15%, whereas no effect was observed on net protein loss or protein synthesis (Douglass et al., 1991).

The human IR exists in two isoforms, isoform A (IR-A) and isoform B (IR-B). Alternative splicing of a small exon ( exon 11) of the IR gene results in two slightly different transcripts (Möller et al., 1989). The relative expression of the two isoforms varies in a tissue-specific manner. IR-A is expressed predominantly in central nervous system and hematopoietic cells, while IR-B is expressed predominantly in adipose tissue, liver, and muscle, the major target tissues for the metabolic effects of insulin (Mustafà et al., 1990). However, IR-A is coexpressed with IR-B in many tissues, especially in muscle and fat (Belhore et al., 2009). In general, the affinity of IGF-II for IR is low (1–5% that of insulin). However, IGF-II is able to bind with high affinity (30–40% that of insulin) to IR-A. IR-A, when activated by IGF-II, seems to elicit predominantly mitogenic rather than metabolic effects (Frasca et al., 1999; Morello et al., 2011). However, microarray analysis revealed that the majority of genes are regulated similarly by insulin and IGF-II (Pandini et al., 2003). On the basis of these findings, it is tempting to speculate that IR-A mediates the metabolic actions of IGF-II in tissues like muscle and fat.

In pigs, IGF2 mutations induce changes in body composition characterized by increased muscle mass and reduced backfat thickness (Guadán et al., 2008). In particular, the subcutaneous adipose tissue of animals carrying IGF2 mutation showed lowered lipid content and smaller adipocytes (Guadán et al., 2008). It is noteworthy that adipocytes from subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissues express both IGF-II and IGF-II receptors (Sinha et al., 1998), thus suggesting a physiological role of IGF-II in fat. Consistent with this, IGF-II stimulates adipocyte proliferation in vitro (Sidibé et al., 2002). In mutant mice, the loss of both IGF2 and Myod genes induces massive brown adipose tissue hypertrophy.
of a brown preadipocyte cell line and induces lipid accumulation (Borenstein et al., 2012).

Furthermore, IGF-II may regulate body composition and affect metabolic risk factors by controlling muscle mass. IGF-II has also been shown to stimulate skeletal myoblast differentiation and myoblast hypertrophy (Florini et al., 1991; Stewart and Rotwein, 1996; Stewart et al., 1996) thus concuring to skeletal muscle growth and development (Van Laere et al., 2003; Azzahan et al., 2010).

These experimental data, obtained in animal and cellular models, argue that IGF-II may regulate metabolic homeostasis by affecting body composition, favoring skeletal muscle accrual probably at expense of adipose tissue, and ultimately leading to a phenotype less prone to cardiovascular risk (Figure 3).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

IGF-II was characterized almost four decades ago but its physiological role is still largely unknown. The data reported above strongly suggest a metabolic role of this growth factor. Although the evidence is still weak, the efforts to elucidate the IGF-II metabolic actions in tissues, especially in muscle and fat, appear worthwhile as they could open avenues for understanding the interplay between IGF-II and insulin in both physiological and pathological conditions. Finally, data in humans suggest that IGF2 polymorphisms or epigenetic changes may represent a marker of metabolic risk to be exploited for selecting the individuals to be targeted with specific nutritional and/or pharmacological prevention strategies.
