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Direct laser acceleration of electrons in ion channels is investigated in a general case when the laser phase velocity is greater than (or equal to) the speed of light. Using the similarity of the equations of motion for ultra-relativistic electrons, we develop a universal scaling theory that gives the maximum possible energy that can be attained by an electron for given laser and plasma parameters. The theory predicts appearance of forbidden zones in the phase space of the particle, which manifests itself as an energy gain threshold. We apply the developed theory to find the conditions needed for an energy enhancement via a resonant interaction between the third harmonic of betatron oscillations and the laser wave. The theory is also used to analyze electron dynamics in a circularly polarized laser.

I. INTRODUCTION

High intensity laser beams propagating in an underdense plasma expel ambient plasma electrons radially and create a zone fully or partially evacuated of electrons. Short beams generate a structure, known as a plasma bubble, that follows in the wake of the laser pulse [1], whereas long beams create slowly evolving quasistatic ion channels [2]. In the bubble regime, there is a strong longitudinal electric field that can accelerate electrons to very high energies while a transverse electromagnetic field keeps electrons close to the bubble axis [3–6]. If a second laser pulse is placed close to the bottom of the plasma bubble, then the electrons can undergo direct laser acceleration (DLA) in this pulse and gain significant energy [7, 8]. In the ion channel regime, the longitudinal field is usually weak and electrons are accelerated only by the laser. This regime is interesting for applications that require a large number of energetic electrons. Specifically, generation of copious relativistic electrons is the key to x-ray [9, 10] and secondary particle sources, such as energetic ions [11], neutrons [11], and positrons [13].

Direct laser acceleration (DLA) of electrons in ion channels and plasma bubbles has been considered analytically and through extensive computational work [7, 8, 14–15]. Recently it has been shown that due to parametric instability [16, 17] the electron trajectory can become essentially a three dimensional curve even when electrons are injected in the plane formed by the channel axes and the laser polarization [18].

Transverse electric fields of the channel can significantly alter electron oscillations across the channel during direct laser acceleration. This can allow for a resonant interaction where the electron transverse velocity remains anti-parallel to the laser electric field over extended segments of the electron trajectory. During the resonant interaction, the electron can gain significant energy from the laser electric field, which is then converted into the longitudinal motion by the laser magnetic field. Distinctive feature of the resonant interaction of electrons with high intensity laser wave is that the Doppler-shifted frequency of the wave can oscillate from almost zero to its maximum value while the betatron frequency of transverse electron oscillations experiences relatively slow variations [19]. Such a nontrivial resonant interaction complicates the electron dynamics and makes the specifics of interaction mechanism unclear.

In this paper we develop an analytical description of the acceleration of electrons by linearly polarized laser wave in ion channels and obtain the universal scalings for the maximum electron energy. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the paraxial approximation of equations of motion for electrons accelerated by the laser wave and show that in dimensionless variables these equations depend only on two parameters. In Sec. III, we develop main components of universal scalings theory for the luminal laser wave: averaging equations of motion over betatron oscillations [20, 21], finding their analytical solution, and explaining how appearance of the forbidden zones in the phase space results in thresholds in dependence of maximum electron energy on the laser-plasma parameters. In Sec. IV, the similar consideration is developed for super-luminal laser wave. Then in Sec. V, we consider several spinoffs of the universal scalings theory: (A) acceleration of electrons through the resonance between the wave and the third harmonic of betatron oscillations, (B) acceleration of electrons by the circularly polarized laser wave, and (C) acceleration of pre-accelerated electrons. Finally, we summarized and discussed the obtained results in Sec. VI.

II. ELECTRON MOTION IN THE PARAXIAL APPROXIMATION

We examine electron dynamics in the framework of a model that incorporates a laser beam propagating along the axis of a uniform cylindrical ion channel and a focusing electrostatic field created by the channel ions. The fields of the planar linearly polarized laser pulse are $E_y^{(L)} = E_0 \cos \phi$ and $B_z^{(L)} = E_y^{(L)} c / v_{ph}$ where $\phi = \omega_L (x / v_{ph} - t)$ is the wave phase, $E_0 = a_0 (m \omega_L c / e)$ is the amplitude of the laser electric field. The electrostatic field created by the channel ions is approximated by
Note that, by definition, we have\( \frac{d\phi}{dt} \) in the co-moving coordinates moving electron (Doppler shifted frequency):

\[
\omega_B = \omega_p/(2\gamma)^{1/2},
\]

\[
\omega_D = \omega_L(1 - v_x/v_{ph}).
\]

where \( \gamma = (1 + p^2/m^2c^2)^{1/2} \) is the electron relativistic factor.

The electron dynamics in the ion channel is determined to a large extent by two frequencies pertinent to Eqs. (1) - (3): the frequency of natural oscillations of the electron across the channel (betatron frequency) and the frequency of the oscillating laser fields experienced by the moving electron (Doppler shifted frequency):

\[
\gamma = \frac{v_{ph}}{c} \frac{p_x}{m_ec} + \frac{\omega_p^2}{4c^2}r_\perp^2 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_0} = \text{const},
\]

where \( \mathcal{I}_0 \) is a constant determined from initial conditions.

It is well known [22] that when a relativistic intensity electromagnetic wave (with \( a_0 \gg 1 \)) accelerates electrons in vacuum, the typical value of the electron momentum is \( p_x \sim m_c a_0^2/2 \gg p_y \sim mc a_0 \gg mc, \) so that \( \gamma \approx p_x/c. \)

Similarly, we expect for the energy of ultra-relativistic electrons accelerated in the ion channel to be primarily associated with the momentum in the direction of the wave propagation. Under the paraxial approximation \( (p_x \gg |p_\perp| >> m_ec) \), equations (3) reduce to

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = v_x = c\left(1 - \frac{v_z^2}{2c^2}\right), \quad \frac{dr_\perp}{dt} = v_\perp = c\frac{p_\perp}{p_x}.
\]

The integral of motion (6) relates the transverse energy of the particle \( \epsilon_\perp \) to its longitudinal momentum \( p_x \):

\[
\epsilon_\perp = \mathcal{I}_0 m_c^2 + (v_{ph} - c)p_x, \quad \epsilon_\perp = \frac{px^2}{2c} + \frac{1}{4}\omega_p^2 m r_\perp^2. \quad (8)
\]

It follows from this conservation law that the characteristic amplitude of transverse oscillations is given by \( r_* \equiv 2\mathcal{I}_0^{1/2}/c/\omega_p \). The characteristic longitudinal momentum \( p_\perp \) during electron acceleration can be found from the condition that the frequency of betatron oscillations matches the Doppler shifted frequency: \( \omega_p/(2p_x/mc)^{1/2} \approx \omega_L(1 - v_x/v_{ph}). \)

Using Eqs. (8) and (9), we find that \( p_\perp \sim mc\omega_\perp^2/\omega_p^2 \) and that the characteristic frequency is \( \omega_* \sim \omega_p^2/\omega_L \).

These estimates suggest the introduction of new dimensionless variables

\[
\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \Omega_* \omega_p t, \quad \hat{r}_\perp = \frac{r_\perp}{r_*}, \quad \hat{p}_x = \frac{p_x}{p_*}, \quad \hat{p}_\perp = \frac{p_\perp}{p_\perp},
\]

\[
\hat{\omega}_\perp = \frac{v_{ph} \omega_p}{\mathcal{I}_0 \omega_L}, \quad \hat{p}_x = \frac{mc}{2\Omega_*^2}, \quad \hat{p}_\perp = \frac{mc \mathcal{I}_0^{1/2}}{\Omega_*},
\]

where \( \hat{v}_{ph} = v_{ph}/c \) and \( \omega_* \equiv \Omega_* \omega_p \). Using the phase of the wave \( \phi = \omega_L(x/v_{ph} - t) \) instead of the coordinate \( x \), we can transform Eqs. (1), (2), and (7) to the following dimensionless form

\[
\hat{\phi} = -4\mathcal{E} \hat{r}_\parallel \cos \phi, \quad \hat{\mathcal{I}} = -\hat{r}_\perp + \epsilon_y \phi \mathcal{E} \cos \phi, \quad (11)
\]

\[
\hat{\phi} = -2(\hat{r}_\perp + \chi), \quad \hat{\mathcal{I}} = \hat{p}_\perp/\hat{p}_x, \quad (12)
\]

where the ‘dot’ above the variable denotes a derivative with respect to the dimensionless time \( \hat{t} \). The parameters \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \chi \) characterize the strength of the laser wave and its dispersion in the ion channel:

\[
\mathcal{E} \equiv a_0(\omega_p/\omega_L) \hat{v}_{ph} \mathcal{I}_0^{-3/2}, \quad (13)
\]

\[
\chi \equiv (\mathcal{I}_0/\hat{v}_{ph}^2)(\hat{v}_{ph} - 1)/(2\omega_p^2/\omega_L^2), \quad (14)
\]

The integral of motion in dimensionless variables takes the form:

\[
\hat{p}_x \hat{r}_\parallel^2 + \hat{r}_\perp^2 = 1 + \chi \hat{p}_x, \quad (15)
\]

Initially sub-relativistic electrons perform irregular oscillations and their dynamics is determined by several parameters \( \omega_p \). However, once the electron energy becomes ultra-relativistic, the electron motion can be described by the paraxial approximation (11) and (12) which contains only two parameters \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \chi \). Since electrons gain most of their energy during this stage, it raises the question in what extent the electron dynamics is determined by these parameters.

In all examples below we assume for simplicity that initially electrons are placed at rest on the axis of the channel, so that the only difference in their initial conditions is the longitudinal location given by \( x \) or, equivalently, by the wave phase \( \phi \). Figure 1 shows the result of integration of exact equations of motion (1) - (3) for two electrons accelerated by the laser in the ion channel with the same parameters \( \mathcal{E} = 0.2 \) and \( \chi = 0 \) but with different \( a_0 \) and \( \omega_p/\omega_L \). In both cases, the electrons initially perform irregular oscillations and then they gain a large energy following somewhat similar peaks, see Fig. 1(a). The peaks with the largest energy gain can differ in details but always exhibit a general similarity. Figure 1(b) shows that in the luminal case the amplitude of betatron oscillations is constant and equal to \( r_* \) once the electrons have gained ultra-relativistic energies.
III. SCALINGS IN THE LUMINAL CASE

In this section we evaluate the maximum energy that can be gained from the laser at given laser and plasma parameters. We show that small changes of the parameter \( \mathcal{E} \) can result in dramatic changes in the electron motion. Specifically, this aspect manifests itself as threshold dependence of the maximum energy gain on the laser amplitude.

A. Analytical theory

In the paraxial approximation the dimensionless amplitude of oscillations is equal to unity and thus it is convenient to introduce a phase of betatron oscillations \( \psi \), such that \( \hat{y} = \sin \psi \). Using this relationship, we immediately find from Eq. (15) by setting \( \chi = 0 \) that \( \hat{y} = \cos \psi / \tilde{p}_x^{1/2} \) and \( \dot{\psi} = 1 / \tilde{p}_x^{1/2} \). The latter expression relates the change of the phase of transverse oscillations to the betatron frequency \( \dot{\psi} = \omega_\beta / \omega_\chi \) [see Eq. (4)].

To make further progress, we utilize the other important feature evident from Fig. 1, namely, that the particles perform several (and in some cases many) betatron oscillations while gaining their energy. Averaging out these oscillations significantly simplifies the description of the ultra-relativistic motion \[. \] Equation (12) for the wave phase then reduces to \( \langle \phi \rangle \approx -2 \langle \hat{y}^2 \rangle \approx -1 / \tilde{p}_x \), where the angle brackets denote averaging over the betatron period. Here we have neglected the difference between \( \langle \tilde{p}_x \rangle \) and \( \tilde{p}_x \) because of the slow change of the longitudinal momentum during the energy gain.

As already pointed out, an effective energy gain requires for the electron transverse velocity to remain antiparallel to the laser electric field over extended segments of the electron trajectory. Therefore, the phase shift between the phase of the laser wave and the phase of the transverse oscillations, \( \theta = \psi + \phi \), is an important characteristic of the laser-particle interaction. Combining the expressions for \( \dot{\psi} \) and \( \phi \), we obtain:

\[
\langle \dot{\theta} \rangle = \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_x^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_x^*}.
\]

To make clearer the physical meaning of the terms in the right hand side of this equation we rewrite it in the following equivalent form: \( \langle \dot{\theta} \rangle = \langle \omega_\beta - \langle \omega_D \rangle \rangle / \omega_\chi \), where \( \omega_\beta = \omega_\chi / \left( 2 \tilde{p}_x / mc \right)^{1/2} \) and \( \langle \omega_D \rangle = \omega_L \chi_0 / \left( 2 \tilde{p}_x / mc \right) \). Note that \( \omega_\beta = \langle \omega_D \rangle = \omega_\chi \) at \( \tilde{p}_x = \tilde{p}_x^* \).

The longitudinal component of the Lorentz force that determines the evolution of \( \tilde{p}_x \) involves an oscillating factor \( \cos \psi \cos \phi \). Near the resonance, \( \omega_\beta \approx \langle \omega_D \rangle \), we can use an approximation \( \langle \cos \psi \cos \phi \rangle \approx \alpha \cos (\theta) \), where \( \alpha \approx 0.348 \), see Appendix and Ref.[1]. After averaging out the betatron oscillations, the first equation in (11) reduces to

\[
\tilde{p}_x = -4 \alpha \mathcal{E} \cos \langle \theta \rangle / \tilde{p}_x^{1/2}.
\]

Dividing Eq. (16) by Eq. (17) we eliminate the dependence on time

\[
\frac{d \sin \langle \theta \rangle}{d \tilde{p}_x} = -\frac{1}{4 \alpha \mathcal{E}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_x^{3/2}} \right).
\]

We then find that the trajectory in phase space \( \langle \tilde{p}_x, \theta \rangle \) is given by

\[
\sin \langle \theta \rangle = \frac{1}{4 \alpha \mathcal{E}} H(\tilde{p}_x) + C, \quad H(\tilde{p}_x) \equiv 2 \tilde{p}_x^{1/2} - \tilde{p}_x,
\]

FIG. 1: Dynamics of electrons initially placed at rest on the channel axis at \( \phi = \pi / 2 \) for parameters \( \mathcal{E} = 0.2 \) and \( \chi = 0 \) (\( v_{th} = c \)). Blue lines correspond to the electron acceleration in the case when \( \omega_x/\omega_L = 0.05 \) and \( a_0 = 4 \) (\( \tilde{p}_x = 200 \) and \( \omega_\chi = \omega_L/400 \)), and brown lines - in the case when \( \omega_x/\omega_L = 0.02 \) and \( a_0 = 5 \) (\( \tilde{p}_x = 1250 \) and \( \omega_\chi = \omega_L/2500 \)).

FIG. 2: (a) Phase trajectories averaged over betatron oscillations moving along which electrons reach maximum energy: \( \mathcal{E} = 0.54 \) (blue dashed), \( \mathcal{E} = 1/(8\alpha) \approx 0.36 \) (red) and \( \mathcal{E} = 0.2 \) (brown); in the latter case the initial (minimal) electron energy is greater than zero. (b) Forbidden zone in the phase space \( \langle \tilde{p}_x, \theta \rangle \) for initially cold electrons moving at sub-critical value of \( \mathcal{E} = 0.2 \) and \( C = -1 \) (brown solid line); brown dashed line is the same as in (a) and corresponds to \( \mathcal{E} = 0.2 \) and \( C = -2.6 \).
where $C$ is an integration constant determined by electron initial conditions.

We can now determine the maximum longitudinal momentum that can be achieved by an electron that is initially at rest. The function $H$ increases with $\hat{p}_x$ for $\hat{p}_x \leq 1$ and then monotonically decreases for $\hat{p}_x > 1$. Since $\sin \theta$ cannot exceed 1, then having $\sin \theta = 1$ at $\hat{p}_x = 1$ allows the electron to reach the maximum possible momentum moving along a trajectory described by Eq. (18) in the $(\hat{p}_x, \theta)$-phase space. Examples of such trajectories are shown in Fig. 2(a). The momentum increases until $\sin \theta$ becomes equal to $-1$. This gives us the following condition for determining the maximum momentum $\hat{p}_{\text{max}}$:

$$\frac{1}{4\alpha \epsilon} H(\hat{p}_x)|_{\hat{p}_x=1} - \frac{1}{4\alpha \epsilon} H(\hat{p}_x)|_{\hat{p}_x=\hat{p}_{\text{max}}} = 2$$

which readily yields $\hat{p}_{\text{max}} = (1 + \sqrt{8\alpha \epsilon})^2$.

The trajectories in Fig. 2(a) are plotted for three different values of $\epsilon = 0.2, 0.36, 0.54$ and they illustrate an important topological change that takes place in the momentum space with the increase of this parameter. At $E = 0.2$, the electron momentum never reaches zero, whereas, at $E \geq 0.36$, the minimum electron momentum is zero. Using the quadratic equation (20) we can find the critical value $E_{cr}$ that corresponds to this transition: $E_{cr} = 1/(8\alpha) = 0.36$. Also it follows from this equation that for $E < E_{cr}$, the minimum momentum is $p_{\text{min}} = (1 - \sqrt{8\alpha \epsilon})^2$. Therefore, we arrive to an important conclusion that initially cold electrons can achieve $p_{\text{max}}$ only at $E \geq E_{cr}$. Electrons must be preheated at $E < E_{cr}$ in order to achieve $p_{\text{max}}$ determined from Eq. (20).

This observation raises a question of how an initially cold electron would move in the $(\hat{p}_x, \theta)$-phase space at $E < E_{cr}$. Such an electron starts its motion at $\hat{p}_x = 0$ with a given initial phase $\theta_{in}$ that determines the integration constant in Eq. (19). $C = \sin \theta_{in}$. The highest value of the longitudinal momentum, $\hat{p}_x = |1 - (1 - 4(1 - \sin \theta_{in})/\epsilon)|/2$, is reached at $\theta = 1$. Therefore, for a given initial phase $\theta_{in}$, the longitudinal momentum of an initially cold electron would always oscillate in the interval $0 < \hat{p}_x < \hat{p}_{\text{sub}} \equiv |1 - (1 - \epsilon/E_{cr})|/2 < 1$. An example of such a trajectory is shown in Fig. 2(b) as a left part of the solid curve. It is worth pointing out that if we formally allow $\hat{p}_x$ to increase in Eq. (19), then eventually at $\hat{p}_x > 1$ the right-hand side of this equation would again become smaller than unity. This would correspond to a solution also shown in Fig. 2(b) with a right part of the solid curve. In this case, the electron longitudinal momentum oscillates while remaining greater than 1.

The example shown in Fig. 2(b), clearly illustrates that at $E < E_{cr}$, there appears a forbidden zone for an initially cold electron where formally $\sin \theta > 1$. This zone cannot be crossed by initially cold electrons, see Fig. 2(b), which perform only small amplitude oscillations along the left part of the phase trajectory which ends at the point $(\hat{p}_x, \sin \theta) = (\hat{p}_{\text{sub}}, 1)$. The presence of the forbidden zone leads to a threshold dependence of $\hat{p}_{\text{max}}$ on the parameter $\epsilon$. Indeed, as we have already shown, we have

$$\max p_x = m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \sqrt{\frac{\omega_p^2}{2 \omega_p^2}} (1 + \sqrt{8\alpha \epsilon})^2, \quad \text{if } \epsilon \geq E_{cr},$$

$$\max p_x < m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \sqrt{\frac{\omega_p^2}{2 \omega_p^2}}(1 + \sqrt{8\alpha \epsilon})^2, \quad \text{if } \epsilon < E_{cr}.$$ (21)

### B. Results of numerical analysis

In order to verify the predictions of Sec. III A obtained in the paraxial approximation by averaging out betatron oscillations, we have solved numerically the exact equations of motion, Eqs. (1) - (3) for initially cold electrons and a wide range of laser and plasma parameters. The numerical solutions confirm that indeed the phase trajectories obtained from the exact equations of motion are close to the averaged trajectories even at a small number of betatron oscillations, see Fig. 3(a) and 3(b). The numerical solutions also confirm that all electron trajectories in the $(\hat{p}_x, \theta)$-phase space can be divided into two distinct groups described in the previous section depending on the value of $\epsilon$. For the first group of trajectories, the momentum $p_x$ oscillates while remaining below $p_*$, while, for the second group of trajectories, the momentum $p_x$ can increase dramatically along the phase trajectories and becomes well above $p_*$. The numerically calculated critical value of $\epsilon$ that separates the two groups is $\epsilon(\text{num}) = 0.54$. This value is somewhat above than what was predicted by our theory. One of the reasons for this is that the paraxial approximation is only applicable at ultra-relativistic energies and that is why an exact solution is needed to quantitatively predict the threshold value of $\epsilon$. Figure 4(a) illustrates a significant change in the energy gain at values of $\epsilon$ close to $E_{cr}$.

We have repeated the numerical analysis for different initial positions of the electron. As previously stated, by varying the initial longitudinal phase $x$, we effectively vary the initial phase $\phi$ of the wave. In this case the parameter $\epsilon$ remains the same. However, the change in the initial phase $\phi$ can have a profound impact on the electron dynamics in the ion channel. Figure 4(b) shows the maximum relativistic $\gamma$-factor attained by an electron as a function of $\epsilon$ for two different initial phases. In the first case, the electron begins its motion at $\phi = 0$, so that initially $E = E_0$ and $a = 0$. In the second case, the electron begins its motion at $\phi = \pi/2$, so that initially $E = 0$ and $a = a_0$. We find that the position of the threshold changes significantly with the initial phase. For comparison, we have also plotted in this figure the maximum energy gain obtained from Eq. (20) which is transformed to the following convenient form:

$$\gamma_{\text{max}} \gamma_{*} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 + \sqrt{8\alpha \epsilon})^2.$$ (22)

where $\gamma_{*} \equiv a_0^2/2\omega_0$ is the upper limit for the energy gain from the laser in a vacuum at large $a_0$. This formula
FIG. 3: Comparison of phase trajectories obtained from exact (solid) and averaged (dashed) equations of motion at different $\epsilon$: (a) $\epsilon = 0.2$ and (b) $\epsilon = 0.57$. The corresponding $p_x/p_*$ profiles versus time $t$ are shown in Fig. 1 (brown curve) and Fig. 4(a) (blue curve).

gives an amplification coefficient of the electron energy introduced by the ion channel.

In order to understand the underlying cause for the threshold change, it is worth considering a simple case of an electron in a vacuum. The momentum components in this case are $p_y = a - a_{in}$ and $p_x = p_y^2/2$, where $a_{in}$ is the initial amplitude of the vector potential $a$ determined by the initial phase $\phi$. Therefore, the maximum amplitude of the $p_x$-oscillations induced by the wave increases from $a_{in}^2/2$ at $a_{in} = 0$ to four times this value at $a_{in} = -a_0$. The change in phase can then be viewed as electron pre-heating that was discussed in the previous section. In other words, having stronger oscillations of $p_x$ allows the electron to access those averaged trajectories for which $p_{min} > 0$. Different initial wave phases can be realized, for example, when electrons are injected in the channel through ionization of the doping gas.

Additional numerical calculations show that the estimate given by Eq. (22) provides an accurate upper limit for the electron energy gain regardless of the initial wave phase. It remains accurate even when the number of oscillation during the energy gain is not very large. We find that the number of transverse oscillation during the energy gain can be relatively well approximated by $N_e \sim 1.7\epsilon^{-1/2}$. The corresponding longitudinal distance travelled by the electron is then given by $D \sim c(2\pi/\omega_0)\sqrt{N_e} \sim 1.7\epsilon^{-1/2}\lambda_0(\omega_L/\omega_p)^2\lambda$, where $\lambda = c\pi/\omega_L$.

We have so far considered the case in which electrons start their motion on the axis of the channel, so that the resulting electron trajectories driven by the laser field are flat. An initial displacement out of the plane of the driven oscillations leads to a three-dimensional electron trajectory [1]. Solving Eqs. (1) - (3) numerically in this regime, we found that the maximum electron energy gain is close to that predicted for the planar trajectories. During the energy gain, the electron trajectory in the cross-section of the channel resembles an ellipse considerably elongated along the laser electric field.

FIG. 4: (a) Change in electron dynamics at near-critical values of $\epsilon$: $\epsilon = 0.57 > \epsilon_{cr} = 0.54$ with $\omega_p/\omega = 0.114$ and $a_0 = 5$ (blue); $\epsilon = 0.513 < \epsilon_{cr}$ with $\omega_p/\omega = 0.114$ and $a_0 = 4.5$ (brown). (b) Maximum energy gain $\gamma_{max}/\gamma_*$ as a function of $\epsilon$ at $\phi_{t=0} = 0$ (green) and $\phi_{t=0} = \pi/2$ (dashed blue). The red curve is the estimate for the upper limit of $\gamma_{max}$ given by Eq. (22).

IV. SCALINGS IN THE SUPER-LUMINAL CASE

In the luminal case $c_{ph} = c$ considered in Sec. III, the longitudinal velocity of ultrarelativistic electrons is separated on average from the speed of light by $c - \langle v_x \rangle = \langle \omega_D/\omega_L \rangle c \sim c/\epsilon \sim (\omega_p/\omega_L)^2c$. When electrons are accelerated by the super-luminal wave, this difference can be comparable to $v_{ph} - c \sim \epsilon(\omega_p/\omega_L)^2c$, and therefore one can expect a strong influence of super-luminosity on the resonant interaction between electrons and the wave [1].

In what follows, we use an approach developed in the previous section in order to find how the phase shift between a super-luminal wave and transverse electron oscillations in the channel evolves with time. In the super-luminal case ($\chi > 0$), the energy of the transverse oscillations grows with the longitudinal electron momentum according to Eq. (8). The amplitude of these oscillations also grows with $p_x$ as $\tilde{y} = (1 + \chi p_x)^{1/2} \sin \psi$. Under an assumption that $p_x$ increases over many betatron oscillations, we find that the transverse velocity in the super-luminal case is determined by $\tilde{y} \approx (1 + \chi p_x)^{1/2} \cos \psi$, where $\psi = 1/\sqrt{\gamma_{*}}$. After averaging over betatron oscillations, Eq. (12) for the wave phase takes the form: $\langle \phi \rangle = -2\tilde{y}^2 - 2\chi = -1/\tilde{p}_x - 3\chi$. Combining the expressions for $\langle \phi \rangle$ and $\psi$ we then find that the averaged phase
shift evolves with time as
\[
\langle \dot{\theta} \rangle = \frac{\omega_\beta - \langle \omega_D \rangle}{\omega_\beta} = \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_x^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_x} - 3 \chi. \tag{23}
\]

Close to the resonance, \(\omega_\beta \approx \langle \omega_D \rangle\), the equation for the longitudinal momentum [see Eq. (11)] takes the following form:
\[
\dot{\tilde{p}}_x = -4\alpha E \cos (\theta)[(1 + \chi \tilde{p}_x)/\tilde{p}_x]^{1/2}. \tag{24}
\]

Eliminating the explicit dependence on time by dividing Eq. (23) by Eq. (24) we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{d\tilde{p}_x} \sin(\theta) = \frac{1 - 1/\tilde{p}_x^{1/2} - 3 \chi^{1/2}}{4\alpha E \sqrt{1 + \chi \tilde{p}_x}}. \tag{25}
\]

Electron trajectories in the \((\tilde{p}_x, \theta)\)-phase-space are then specified by
\[
\sin(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\alpha E} H(\chi, \chi \tilde{p}_x) + C, \tag{26}
\]
\[
H(\chi, z) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}\mu(z) - \ln[\sqrt{z} + \mu(z)]}{\chi} - \frac{2[\mu(z) - 1]}{\chi}, \tag{27}
\]

where \(\mu(z) \equiv \sqrt{z + 1}\).

In contrast to the luminal case, the resonance condition \((\omega_D) = \omega_\beta\) can be satisfied in the super-luminal case for two values of the longitudinal momentum, \(\tilde{p}_\pm = (1/6\chi)^2(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 12\chi})^2\) when the parameter \(\chi\) that characterizes the super-luminosity lies in the range \(0 < \chi \leq 1/12\). As illustrated in Fig. 5(a), the function \(H(\chi, \chi \tilde{p}_x)\) has a maximum at \(\tilde{p}_x = \tilde{p}_-\) and a minimum at \(\tilde{p}_x = \tilde{p}_+\).

It is important to note that at small \(\chi\) the second resonance occurs at much larger values of the longitudinal momentum, \(\tilde{p}_+ \propto \chi^{-2} \gg 1\), than in the luminal case. As a result, the super-luminosity enables a considerable energy increase compared to the luminal case. Figure 5(b), where maximum \(p_x\) exceeds \(p_\ast\) by a factor more than 60, illustrates this aspect.

As evident from Eqs. (26) and (27), the maximum longitudinal momentum now depends on two parameters, \(p_{\max} = p_{\max}(\chi, \alpha E)\). An upper limit for the electron energy gain is then given by
\[
\frac{\gamma_{\max}}{\gamma_\ast} = \frac{1}{\alpha E} p_{\max}(\chi, \alpha E), \tag{28}
\]

where \(\gamma_\ast \equiv a_0^2/2T_0\). We have evaluated \(\gamma_{\max}/\gamma_\ast\) numerically by performing a wide parameter scan, with the result of the scan shown in Fig. 6. The dashed lines marks an energy gain threshold, dividing the parameter-space \((\chi, E)\) into two distinct areas. For parameters above the dashed line, electrons gain the same maximum energy with and without initial preheating (that is, at \(\phi_{\|=0} = \pi/2\) and at \(\phi_{\|=0} = 0\)). For parameters below the dashed line, only initially preheated electrons \((\phi_{\|=0} = \pi/2)\) gain the energy given by Eq. (28), whereas initially cold electrons \((\phi_{\|=0} = 0)\) gain essentially less energy. This threshold matches at \(\chi = 0\) the threshold discussed in Sec. IIIA for the luminal case.

The vertical solid curve in Fig. 6 marks a threshold introduced by the super-luminosity of the wave. As shown in Fig. 5(a), the function \(H(\chi, \chi \tilde{p}_x)\) that determines the time evolution of the phase shift \(\theta\) can have a minimum in addition to a maximum that is also present in the luminal case. This minimum is accessible to an electron during its acceleration as \(\tilde{p}_x\) continues to increase, but only if the difference between the maximum and the minimum is not too large,
\[
[H(\chi, \chi \tilde{p}_-) - H(\chi, \chi \tilde{p}_+)]/4\alpha E \leq 2. \tag{29}
\]

A detailed numerical analysis shows that the constant on the right-hand side of this inequality is 2.2 rather than 2.
The condition given by Eq. 29 [with 2.2 on right-hand side] is satisfied for the parameters in the area to the right of the solid line in Fig. 6. Immediately to the right of the solid line, we have $\gamma_{\text{max}}/\gamma_{\text{vac}} \sim 2\varepsilon^{-2}\bar{p}_x$. The maximum energy drops by approximately a factor of two to the left of the threshold.

The analysis presented here demonstrates that superluminosity can have a positive impact on electron energy gain during electron acceleration in an ion channel. Effective energy gain requires for the betatron frequency $\omega_\beta$ to remain close to the Doppler shifted frequency $\langle \omega_D \rangle$ with the increase of the electron’s longitudinal momentum. In the luminal case, we have $\omega_\beta \propto 1/\bar{p}_x^{3/2}$ and $\langle \omega_D \rangle \propto 1/\bar{p}_x$, that is, the Doppler shifted frequency decreases with the longitudinal momentum faster than the betatron frequency. This eventually leads to a breakdown of the resonance condition and stems the longitudinal momentum gain. The superluminal correction does not change the betatron frequency, but it does increases the Doppler shifted frequency: $\langle \omega_D \rangle \propto (1/\bar{p}_x + 3\chi)$. As a result, accelerating electrons can stay in resonance with the laser wave at higher values of the longitudinal momentum and thus gain significantly more energy than in the luminal case.

We have found that three dimensional effects in the superluminal case are similar to those in the luminal case: the particle pushed out of the plane formed by the laser polarization and the channel axis moves along a three dimensional trajectory and its maximum energy is the same as during planar motion. We also have observed the situations when large deviations from the plane can sharply decrease the particle energy gain.

V. SPINOFFS OF UNIVERSAL SCALING THEORY

The developed approach is quite general and therefore it can be applied to examine several seemingly different regimes that we discuss in this section.

A. Acceleration of electrons through the resonance with the third harmonic of betatron oscillations

In the previous section, we considered electron acceleration and the resulting energy gain when the Doppler shifted frequency of the laser wave is close to the average frequency of betatron oscillations. However, efficient energy gain is also possible through a resonance of higher harmonics, with $|\langle \omega_D \rangle| \approx l\omega_\beta$, where $l$ is the number of the betatron harmonic.

In order to describe such a regime, one needs to introduce only several changes. Equation 23 for the averaged phase shift, $\langle \theta^{(l)} \rangle = l\psi + \langle \phi \rangle$, now takes the following form

$$\langle \theta^{(l)} \rangle = \frac{l\omega_\beta - \langle \omega_D \rangle}{\omega_\ast} = \frac{l}{\bar{p}_x^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_x} - 3\chi. \quad (30)$$

FIG. 7: (a) Dependence $\pi_x^{(l)} \equiv p_x/(p_x^{(l)}/I^2)$ on time $\omega_\ast t$ for $l = 1$ (brown) and $l = 3$ (blue) resonances at $(\chi^{(1)}, E^{(1)}) = (0.06, 0.18)$ and $(\chi^{(3)}, E^{(3)}) = (0.54, 0.128)$; $\omega_\ast \approx 0.0195\omega_L$, $p_x^{(1)} \approx 178m_ec$ and $\omega^{(3)} \approx 0.088\omega_L$, $p_x^{(3)}/3^2 \approx 39m_ec$. (b) Phase trajectories for the $l = 1$ (brown) and $l = 3$ resonances (blue) at the same $(\chi^{(1)}, E^{(1)})$ and $(\chi^{(3)}, E^{(3)})$ as in (a). Dashed blue line corresponds to averaged phase trajectory. In all cases, electrons are initially placed at rest on the channel cases.

After averaging the oscillating factor $\hat{y}\cos\phi$ in Eq. (7), we find that $(\cos\psi\cos\phi) \approx \alpha_l \cos(\theta)$ near an $l$th harmonic resonance. The numerical constant $\alpha_l$ is non vanishing only for odd values of $l \geq 3$, with $\alpha_1 = 0.348101$, $\alpha_3 = -0.162924$, and $\alpha_5 = 0.114729$. Therefore, the longitudinal momentum $\bar{p}_x$ now satisfies Eq. (24), where $\alpha$ must be replaced with $\alpha_l$.

After straightforward transformations, we obtain an equation for the electron phase trajectory:

$$\sin(\theta^{(l)}) = \frac{1}{4\alpha_l E^{(l)}} H[\chi^{(l)}/I^2, (\chi^{(l)}/I^2)(\bar{p}_x/I^2)] + C, \quad (31)$$

where $H$ is defined by Eq. 27. By comparing Eq. 31 to Eq. 26, which was derived for the main resonance, we can immediately conclude that an $l$th harmonic resonance is equivalent to the main resonance with $E = (\alpha_l/\alpha)E^{(l)}$ and $\chi = \chi^{(l)}/I^2$. Therefore, the maximum energy for an $l$th harmonic resonance is given by

$$\gamma^{(l)}_{\text{max}} \approx \max \frac{p_x^{(l)}}{m_ec} = \frac{1}{I^2} \frac{p_x^{(l)}}{m_ec} \cdot p_{\text{max}}(\chi, E). \quad (32)$$

To illustrate the similarity between the main and the 3-harmonic resonances, we take $(\chi, E) \equiv (\chi^{(1)}, E^{(1)}) = (0.06, 0.18)$ for the main resonance, which corresponds to $p_{\text{max}}(\chi, E) \approx 3.6$ according to the parameter scan shown in Fig. 6. The matching parameters for the third-harmonic resonance are $(\chi^{(3)}, E^{(3)}) = (0.06 \cdot 3^2, 0.18\alpha_3/3\alpha_3) \approx (0.54, 0.128)$. For these parameters, $p_{\text{max}}$ is the same as for the main resonance. Assuming that in both cases the electrons are initially placed
off the channel axis at \(|y|_{t=0} = 2\sqrt{5}/\omega^4 \) (that is, with \(I_0 = 7\)) and accelerated by a wave with \(a_0 = 9\), we find that \(\omega^4_p/\omega^4_L = 0.3695\), \(v^4_{ph}/c = 1.00235\), and \(p^4_p = 178\) for the main resonance and \(\omega^4_p/\omega^4_L = 0.2608\), \(v^4_{ph}/c = 1.0107\), and \(p^4_p/3 = 39\) for the third harmonic resonance.

Although the overall normalized momentum gain is similar for the main and the third-harmonics resonance, see Fig. 7(a), \(p_x(t)\) has a typical zig-zag signature in the case of the third harmonic resonance, whereas \(p_x(t)\) has smaller and smoother oscillations in the case of the main resonance. Electron phase-space trajectories shown in Fig. 7(b) for these two regimes also have distinctive signatures: the trajectory for the third harmonic resonance has large loop-like oscillations as compared to the trajectory for the main resonance.

In general, figure 6 provides universal scalings for the electron energy gain via high harmonic resonances. However, the realization of these regimes depends on initial conditions (such as pre-acceleration) for given laser and plasma parameters.

B. Acceleration of electrons by the circularly polarized laser wave

The other application of the universal scaling theory is the electron motion in a circular polarized (CP) laser wave. To be specific, we set \(E^0_{\perp} = E_{0c}(\mathbf{e}_c \cos \phi + \mathbf{e}_x \sin \phi)\) and \(B^0_{\perp} = E_{0c}(c/v_{ph})(-\mathbf{e}_y \sin \phi + \mathbf{e}_z \cos \phi)\), where \(\phi\) is the wave phase and \(E_{0c} = a_e m \omega c/e\) is the wave amplitude. The integration of exact equation of motion shows that, when this wave accelerates electrons in the ion channel to ultra-relativistic energies, they move along helical trajectories, see Fig. 8(a), advancing forward in \(x\)-direction with almost speed of light and rotating along the slowly evolving circle or ellipse in \((y,z)\)-plane.

Using the dimensionless variables defined by Eqs. (11), it is convenient to rewrite the equations of motion (1) and (2) in the following form:

\[
\tilde{\dot{p}}_x = -4E_c(\tilde{\dot{y}} \cos \phi + \tilde{\dot{z}} \sin \phi),
\]

\[
\tilde{\dot{p}}_z = -\tilde{\dot{r}}_\perp + \phi E_c(\mathbf{e}_y \cos \phi + \mathbf{e}_x \sin \phi),
\]

where \(E_c = a_e(\omega_L/v_L)^3 \gamma_{ph} I_0^{-3/2}\). In the paraxial approximation, the time evolution of the wave phase and of the electron transverse coordinates are again determined by Eqs. (12). One can verify that the integral of motion (15) also holds in the case of a circularly polarized laser pulse.

We now consider an electron moving along a slowly evolving circle in the \((y,x)\)-plane. In this case we can approximate transverse electron velocity as \(\tilde{\dot{r}}_\perp \approx \tilde{\dot{r}}_\perp \tilde{\dot{y}}\), where \(\tilde{\dot{y}} = \omega_\beta/\omega_* = 1/\tilde{p}_x^{1/2}\). Making use of this relationship, we find from Eq. (15) that \(\tilde{\dot{r}}_\perp = \tilde{\dot{r}}_\perp \tilde{\dot{p}}_x = (1+\chi \tilde{p}_x)/2\), which implies that kinetic and potential energies associated with the transverse motion are equal to each other.

Substituting the last result into the equation for the wave phase (12), we obtain that, in contrast to the case of a linearly polarized (LP) laser wave, the Doppler shifted frequency does not oscillate when ultra-relativistic electrons move along helical trajectories: \(\tilde{\dot{y}} = -\omega_D/\omega_* = -1/\tilde{p}_x - 3\chi\). Thus, without applying the averaging procedure, we find that the phase shift \(\theta = \psi + \phi\) satisfies the following equation

\[
\tilde{\dot{\theta}} = \frac{\omega_\beta - \langle \omega_D \rangle}{\omega_*} = \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_x^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_x} - 3\chi. \tag{35}
\]

Substituting \(\tilde{\dot{y}} = [(1 + \chi \tilde{p}_x)/2]\tilde{\dot{y}}_\perp \cos \tilde{\dot{y}}_\perp\psi + \tilde{\dot{z}} = [(1 + \chi \tilde{p}_x)/2]\tilde{\dot{z}}_\perp \sin \psi\) into Eq. (36), we find that

\[
\tilde{\dot{p}}_x = -4E_c \cos \theta[(1 + \chi \tilde{p}_x)/2\tilde{p}_x]^{1/2}, \tag{36}
\]

This equation is similar to Eq. (24) with \(E_c/\sqrt{2} = \alpha E\) (\(E \approx 0.5 E\)).

Figure 8(b) illustrates the similarities of electron acceleration by CP and LP laser waves with matching amplitudes. As one would expect, the phase trajectory in the case of CP laser wave looks much smoother than in the case of a LP laser wave.

Thus, equations (26) and (27) for the averaged phase trajectory and the estimate (25) for the maximum energy gain hold also for the acceleration by the CP laser wave. However, the initial conditions at which electrons can be actually accelerated to this energy (such as pre-heating) are not the same as for the case of LP laser wave. Moreover, at some laser-plasma parameters, electrons can move in the transverse plane \(y - z\) along ellipses. In this case the maximum gained energy can be significantly smaller than the energy obtained from Eq. (22).

C. Energy amplification of pre-accelerated electrons

Up to this point, we have assumed that the integral of motion \(I_0\) is positive. For completeness, we consider the dynamics of electrons with a negative \(I_0\). Such a special case can be realized when electrons with an initially large longitudinal momentum, \(p_x|_{t=0} = p_{in} \gg mc\), are accelerated by a superluminal laser wave, \(v_{ph} > c\). Assuming that initially the electrons are placed on the channel axis with \(p_y = p_z = 0\), we obtain that \(I_0 \approx -p_{in}(v_{ph} - c)/mc^2 < 0\).

In contrast to the acceleration of initially cold electrons, the dynamics of pre-accelerated electrons can be described in the paraxial approximation right from the very beginning of their motion. The process of gaining energy now has a quite regular character. Initially, the energy of transverse electron oscillations is equal exactly to zero. Then it gradually increases with time making a coupling between the wave and betatron oscillations stronger and conversion of the wave energy to the energy of the electron longitudinal motion more efficient. After
some time, the dephasing between the wave and beta-
tron oscillations increases and eventually electrons start
loosing their energy. A rigorous analysis of this regime
is given in Appendix B. Typically, the the electron
energy is amplified several times.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In summary, we have shown that acceleration of ultra-
relativistic electrons by a laser wave in an ion channel can
be parametrized in the paraxial approximation using just
two parameters. By averaging out betatron oscillations,
we have derived reduced equations of motion that can
be easily integrated to determine electron phase-space
trajectories. We have found that the appearance of for-
bidden zones in phase-space divides electron phase-space
trajectories into several unconnected segments. This re-
sults in a threshold dependence of the maximum energy
gained by electrons on laser and plasma parameters. The
universal scalings given by Eqs. (22) and (28) remain
the same even if electrons perform a three dimensional mo-
tion, which primarily introduces more irregularity into
electron dynamics and typically delays reaching the max-
imum energy by the electron. The derived scalings can
be used to make preliminary estimates for the contribu-
tion of DLA in wakefield accelerators. They can also be
directly applied to examine DLA of electrons by a cir-
cularly polarized laser. The capability of the universal
scaling theory is demonstrated by predicting electron ac-
celeration and substantial energy gain via a previously
unknown third harmonic resonance in an ion channel.

Vacuum acceleration of electrons by a laser wave is
characterized by a single parameter \( \gamma_s \equiv a_0^2/2I_0 \) \( (p_{\text{max}} = m c \gamma_s) \). Acceleration in an ion channel introduces another
parameter, \( \tilde{p}_s \equiv I_0^2(\omega_L/2\omega_p \gamma_s)^2 \), that follows from the
resonant condition that the Doppler shifted frequency
is comparable to the frequency of betatron oscillations.
Note that \( \gamma_s/\tilde{p}_s = \varepsilon^2 \). Paradoxically, the energy gain
increases in the luminal case as the the strength of the
channel electric field decreases and the influence of the
channel becomes weaker (\( \varepsilon \ll 1 \) and \( \omega_p/\omega_L \ll 1 \)). The
downside of working in this limit is that electrons must
be initially significantly preheated in order to attain the
predicted maximum energy. In the super-luminal case,
one more parameter, characterizing the effective wave
dispersion, comes into play: \( \chi \propto (v_p/c - 1)/(\omega_p^2/\omega_L^2) \).
It increases the Doppler shifted frequency and introduces
an additional longitudinal momentum scale, \( p_+ \propto \gamma_s/\chi^2 \),
which allows electrons to remain in resonance at signifi-
cantly higher values of electron momentum.

Our model relies on a number of key simplifications
whose applicability must be examined in the context of a
specific problem of interest. In the developed model, we
assume a fully evacuated ion channel and a plane wave,
neglecting longitudinal electric fields of the laser wave.
The transverse variation of the laser field might however
be important in the case of a tightly focused laser pulse
in an ion channel. The channel also might not be fully
evacuated and thus it might contain residual electrons
that are heated by the laser wave. It is also important to
point out that the phase velocity is not an independent
parameter and it is strongly influenced by the channel ra-
dius and the plasma density distribution. The impact of
these aspects on electron acceleration must be examined
via self-consistent first-principle PIC simulations. Nev-
ertheless, such a simplified description of acceleration
of electrons by the laser wave has a powerful predictive ca-
pability demonstrated in Refs. [7, 8].
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Appendix A: Averaging procedure for Lorentz force.

Let us consider a luminal case with $\chi = 0$ and assume that the longitudinal electron momentum changes slowly with time and calculate. Near the resonance, $\langle \omega_D \rangle = \omega_D$, the particle oscillations’ phase and the wave phase satisfy equations: $\dot{\phi} = \omega_D$, and $\dot{\psi} = -2i\omega_D^2\sin^2 \psi$, so that

$$\dot{\phi} = \langle \theta \rangle - t\psi + \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\psi, \quad (A1)$$

where $\theta = t\psi + \phi$, and averaging is performed over betatron phase $\psi$. Using this relationship, we can average the oscillating factor in the Lorentz force

$$\langle \cos \phi \cos \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \psi \cos \phi d\psi = \alpha_t \cos \langle \theta \rangle, \quad (A2)$$

where

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \psi \sin \left( t\psi - \frac{l}{2} \sin 2\psi \right) d\psi = \frac{1}{2} [1 - (-1)^l (-1)^{l+1} |J_l\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)| - J_{l+1}\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)]. \quad (A3)$$

where $l_{1,2} = (l \mp 1)/2$. Thus, $\alpha_1 = 0.348101$, $\alpha_2 = 0$, $\alpha_3 = -0.162924$, $\alpha_4 = 0$, and $\alpha_5 = 0.114729$. In the superluminal case, these coefficients depend on the parameters $\chi$. However, this dependence can be neglected at small $\chi$.

Appendix B: Acceleration initially pre-accelerated electrons

We consider an initially pre-accelerated electron with $p_x|t=0 = p_{in} >> mc$ and $p_y|t=0 = 0$ placed on the channel axis so that

$$I_0 \approx -(v_{ph} c - 1)p_{in}/mc. \quad (B1)$$

Transverse electron energy grows with the longitudinal momentum as $\varepsilon_\perp = -|I_0|m_e c^2 + p_x(v_{ph} c - c)$. In the paraxial approximation, the equations for the longitudinal momentum and the wave phase take the following form

$$\ddot{p}_x = -4|\vec{E}| \dot{y} \cos \phi, \quad (B2)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} = -2(\dot{\psi}^2 + |\chi|), \quad (B3)$$

and the integral of motion is given by

$$\dot{p}_x \dot{y}^2 + \dot{y}^2 = -1 + |\chi| \dot{p}_x, \quad (B4)$$

where

$$|\vec{E}| = a_0(\omega_p/\omega_L)v_{ph}/|I_0|^{3/2}, \quad (B5)$$

$$|\chi| = (|I_0|/\dot{v}^2_{ph})(v_{ph} c - 1)/(2\omega_p^2/\omega_L^2). \quad (B6)$$

$$\dot{p}_x = p_x/p_\perp = (p_x/m_e c)/(I_0/v_{ph}^2)(\omega_p^2/2\omega_L^2). \quad (B7)$$

$$\dot{y} = k_p y/\sqrt{|I_0|}, \quad \dot{\omega} = \omega t = t\dot{v}_{ph} \omega_p/(\omega_L |I_0|). \quad (B8)$$

and $I_0$ is determined by Eq. (B1). It follows from Eqs. (B6) and (B7) that the initial momentum in dimensionless variables is $\tilde{p}_{in} = 1/|\chi|$ and that the initial energy of the transverse oscillations is equal to zero. The laser wave slowly pumps energy into the transverse oscillations which is converted to the increasing momentum/energy of the longitudinal motion, see Fig. 9(a) according to the relationship: $\varepsilon_\perp/m_e c^2 = (|I_0|(\tilde{p}_x/\tilde{p}_{in} - 1)$.

Assuming that the longitudinal momentum changes slowly, we obtain $\ddot{y} = (1 + |\chi| \tilde{p}_x)^{1/2} \sin \psi$, $\ddot{\phi} = 1/\tilde{p}_x - 3|\chi|$. The phase shift between the laser wave and betatron oscillations satisfies the following equation:

$$\langle \theta \rangle = 1/\tilde{p}_x^{1/2} + 1/\tilde{p}_x - 3|\chi|. \quad (B9)$$

Near the resonance $\omega_D \approx \langle \omega_D \rangle$ the equation for the longitudinal momentum takes the following form

$$\dot{\tilde{p}}_x = -4a_0|\vec{E}| \cos \langle \theta \rangle (1 + |\chi| \tilde{p}_x)^{1/2}. \quad (B10)$$

After eliminating the explicit dependence on time by dividing Eq. (B9) by Eq. (B10) we find that

$$\frac{d}{d\tilde{p}_x} \sin \langle \theta \rangle = -\frac{1 + 1/\tilde{p}_x^{1/2} - 3|\chi| \tilde{p}_x^{1/2}}{4a_0 |\vec{E}| \sqrt{1 + |\chi| \tilde{p}_x}}. \quad (B11)$$

The resulting phase trajectory is given by

$$\sin \theta = -\frac{1}{4a_0 |\vec{E}|} \frac{H(|\chi|, |\chi| \tilde{p}_x) + C}{\sqrt{|\chi|}}, \quad (B12)$$

$$H(z, \chi) = \frac{3\sqrt{z}}{\pi} \frac{\mu(z) + \ln [\sqrt{z} + \mu(z)] - 2\mu(z)}{\sqrt{|\chi|}}. \quad (B13)$$

where $\mu(z) = \sqrt{z - 1}$. The exact resonant condition $\langle \omega_D \rangle = \omega_D$ is satisfied at only one point: $\tilde{p}_x = (1/6\chi)^2(1 + \sqrt{1 + 12|\chi|^2})$. Since

![Figure 9](image-url)
Eq. (B10) is valid only near the resonance, this point should be passed by the electron during its acceleration: $\tilde{p}_+ > p_n$ and hence the parameter $|\chi|$ must be less than 1/4. As one can see from Eqs. (B12) and (B13), the energy amplification depends only on parameters $|\mathcal{E}|$ and $|\chi|:

$$p_{\text{max}}/p_n = |\chi|p_{\text{max}} = A_F(|\chi|, |\mathcal{E}|)$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (B14)

where function $A_F$ is depicted in Fig. 10. There is a threshold (white line) in the parameter space $(|\chi|, |\mathcal{E}|)$ associated with the minimum of the function $H(|\chi|, |\tilde{p}_+|)$ which is reached at the resonant point $\tilde{p}_+ = \tilde{p}_-$. When parameters $|\chi|$ and $|\mathcal{E}|$ belong to the area at the left of the white line, electrons move along small segment of the averaged phase trajectory and their longitudinal momentum $\tilde{p}_x$ cannot exceed $\tilde{p}_+$. On contrary, when parameters $|\chi|$ and $|\mathcal{E}|$ belong to the area at the right of the white line, electrons move along the entire phase trajectory reaching larger values of the momentum. The threshold can be determined from the following condition

$$\frac{1}{4|\mathcal{E}|}H(|\chi|, |\chi|\tilde{p}_+) - H(|\chi|, |\chi|\tilde{p}_n)| \approx C_0.$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (B15)

The numerical analysis shows that the constant $C_0$ in the right-hand side is equal to 3 rather than the theoretical value 2.

It is interesting to note that there is a red area in the right upper corner in Fig. 9 (a) with large amplification factors. The parameters in this area correspond to third harmonic (or some mixture of harmonics) resonances.
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