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Desingularization of vortex rings and shallow water vortices by a semilinear elliptic problem

Sébastien de Valeriola, Jean Van Schaftingen

Abstract

Steady vortices for the three-dimensional Euler equation for inviscid incompressible flows and for the shallow water equation are constructed and showed to tend asymptotically to singular vortex filaments. The construction is based on a study of solutions to the semilinear elliptic problem

\[ \begin{cases} - \text{div} \left( \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{b} \right) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} b f (u_\varepsilon - \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon^q}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \]

for small values of \( \varepsilon > 0 \).
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1. Introduction and main results

1.1. Statement of the problem

In an inviscid incompressible flow, the velocity field \( v \) and static pressure field \( p \) are governed by the Euler equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div} \ v &= 0, \\
\partial_t v + (v \cdot \nabla)v &= -\nabla p.
\end{align*}
\]

The conservation of momentum equation can be rewritten in terms of the vorticity \( \omega = \text{curl} \ v \) as

\[
\partial_t v + \omega \times v = -\nabla \left( p + \frac{|v|^2}{2} \right).
\]

The quantities \( |v|^2 \) and \( p + \frac{|v|^2}{2} \) are called dynamic pressure and total pressure. In regions where the vorticity vanishes \( \omega = 0 \), the flow is called irrotational and the equations reduce to the Bernoulli equation. In other cases, one can study flows which are irrotational outside of a vortex core.

In 1858, Helmoltz has studied the motion of vortex rings, which are toroidal regions in which the vorticity is concentrated [29]. The circulation \( \kappa \) of a vortex is the circulation integral \( \int_{\Gamma} v \cdot t \) for any oriented curve \( \Gamma \) with tangent vector field \( t \) that encircles the vorticity region once. Kelvin and Hick have showed that if the vortex ring has radius \( r^* \), if its cross-section \( \varepsilon \) is small and if its circulation is \( \kappa \), then the vortex ring moves at the velocity \[ \kappa \frac{4\pi}{r^*} \left( \log \frac{8r_*}{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{4} \right). \]

In this initial study of vortex motion, the flows were not steady flows; as the velocity is merely asymptotically constant in the vortex, one does not expect the vortex ring to preserve its shape. After the works of Helmholtz, Kelvin [45] interested himself in this problem and stated a variational principle for steady vortex flows. In 1894, Hill has given an explicit translating flow of the Euler equation whose vorticity is concentrated inside a ball [30].

These works bring the question whether it is possible to construct flows whose vorticity is supported in an arbitrarily small toroidal region. Fraenkel has given a first positive answer by constructing for small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) a family of steady flows whose vortex cross section is of the order of \( \varepsilon \) and whose velocity satisfy asymptotically (1) [21,22]. His approach consists in first noting that since the flow is incompressible in the whole space, it is possible to write \( v = \text{curl} \ \psi \) where \( \psi \) is a velocity...
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vector potential. Moreover, since the flow should be axisymmetric, the vector potential $\psi$ can be written in terms of the Stokes stream function $\psi$ in cylindrical coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$,

$$\psi(r, \theta, z) = \psi(r, z) \frac{e_\theta}{r};$$

the associated velocity field is

$$v(r, \theta, z) = \frac{1}{r} \left( - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} e_r + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} e_z \right)$$

and the associated vorticity is

$$\omega(r, \theta, z) = - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) \right) e_\theta.$$  

The key point is to note that if $\omega = r f(\psi) e_\theta$ for some function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $F' = f$, then

$$\omega \times v = -\nabla (F(\psi)),$$

that is, $v$ is a stationary solution of the incompressible Euler equation with $p = F(\psi) - \frac{|v|^2}{2}$. The problem is thus reduced to a study of the semilinear elliptic problem

$$- \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) \right) = r f(\psi).$$  

(2)

Given $r_*, W > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$, Fraenkel has constructed for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough a steady vortex ring such that the area of the vortex cross-section is $\pi (r_* \varepsilon)^2 (1 + O((\varepsilon \log \frac{\varepsilon}{8})^2)$, its circulation is $\pi W r_*$, and the velocity at infinity is $\frac{1}{8} \left( \log \frac{\varepsilon}{8} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\pi} E_* \right)$, with $E_*$ denoting the kinetic energy inside the vortex of the limiting planar vortex profile. His proof is based on a variant of the implicit function theorems and relies on a study of the asymptotics of the relevant Green function.

We call this construction the stream-function method in contrast with the vorticity method developed by Friedman and Turkington in which the vorticity $\omega$ instead of the stream function is a solution of a variational problem [25] (see also [6, 8, 12–15, 24]). The stream function method together with an implicit function argument was used to construct vortex rings close to Hill’s spherical vortex [11, 37, 38].

Afterwards, vortex rings were constructed with the stream function method by constructing solutions to (2) by minimization under constraint; their asymptotics could not be studied precisely because of the presence of a Lagrange multiplier in the nonlinearity $f$ [9, 10]. The asymptotics could be studied precisely by letting the flux diverge [44]. By using the mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2], Ambrosetti and Mancini, Ni, and Ambrosetti and Struwe have constructed solutions for a given $f$ [1, 3, 36]. The asymptotics of a family $(\psi_\varepsilon)$ of these solutions have been studied by Ambrosetti and Yang for a family $f_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{1}{r_*} (s)^{p_\varepsilon}$ [49]. However, their result did not prevent the circulation of the vortex to go to 0 and, according to our present work, it does go to 0 so that the limiting object are degenerate vortex rings with vanishing radius and vanishing circulation. Finally, we would like to mention that it is possible to study the asymptotics of the motion of vortices in the nonsteady case [7].
1.2. Vortex rings for the Euler equation

Our first result is a desingularization of vortices in the whole space.

**Theorem 1.** For every \( W > 0 \) and \( \kappa > 0 \), there exists a family of steady flows \( (v_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \) for the Euler equations in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) that are axisymmetric around \( e_z \) and such that the vortex core \( \text{supp curl} v_\varepsilon \) is a topological torus, the circulation of the vortex ring is \( \kappa_\varepsilon \) and for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \),

\[
v_\varepsilon \to -W \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e_z \quad \text{at } \infty.
\]

Moreover, one has

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \kappa_\varepsilon = \kappa, \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \text{dist}_{C_r}(\text{supp curl} v_\varepsilon) = 0, \\
c_\varepsilon \leq \sigma(\text{supp curl} v_\varepsilon) \leq C_\varepsilon,
\]

for some constants \( 0 < c < C \) and

\[
r_\varepsilon = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi W}.
\]

Here, the cross-section of a set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) axisymmetric around \( e_z \) is

\[
\sigma(A) = \sup \{ \delta_z(x, y) : x, y \in A \},
\]

where the axisymmetric distance is defined by

\[
\delta_z(x, y) = \inf \{ |x - R(y)| : R \text{ is a rotation around } e_z \},
\]

\( C_r \) is a circle of radius \( r \) in a plane perpendicular to \( e_z \) and the asymmetric distance is

\[
\text{dist}_{C_r}(A) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in C_r} |x - y|.
\]

Compared to the work of Fraenkel [21], we construct a flow for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and then we study the asymptotics of those flows. Our result provides thus a continuum transition between a Hill-like spread out vortex \( (\varepsilon = 1) \) and a concentrated vortex ring. It will also appear that our method is quite flexible.

Our solutions are constructed by solving the semilinear elliptic problem

\[
\begin{cases}
- \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) \right) = \frac{r}{\varepsilon^2} \left( \frac{\psi_\varepsilon}{\psi_0} \right)^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \\
\frac{\psi_\varepsilon}{\psi_0} \to \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & \text{at } \infty,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \psi_0 : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is a Stokes stream function of an irrotational flow and studying the asymptotic behaviour of its solutions.

The idea of solving 3 with this form of \( \varepsilon \) dependence comes from the corresponding problem in vortex pairs for the two-dimensional Euler equation. Indeed,
all the desingularization results that we have mentioned above have counterparts in the study of vortex pairs for the two-dimensional Euler equation \[4,10,32,39,48\]. In particular, Smets and Van Schaftingen have showed that in order to obtain non-vanishing asymptotic circulation one could, instead of imposing fixed boundary conditions \(\psi_\varepsilon = \psi_0 + o(1)\) at infinity, impose boundary conditions depending on \(\varepsilon: \psi_\varepsilon = \psi_0 - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + o(1)\) at infinity [43]. Physically, this takes into account that the total flow between the two vortices should blow up as the logarithm of the diameter of the vortex core. They have obtained a desingularization result for solutions constructed by variational methods; solutions to the same problem where also obtained by Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction argument [18, 19].

Even if the semilinear elliptic problem (3) is similar to its counterpart for the two-dimensional desingularization problem, the asymptotics of the solutions are quite different. For instance, whereas in [43] the localization of concentration points is governed by a renormalized energy which appears as a second term in the asymptotics, in the present work the solution concentrates at minimizers of the leading term.

As we do not expand the Green function, we have more flexibility in the construction of flows and the study of their asymptotics. For example, we can study vortex rings in a cylinder.

**Theorem 2.** For every \(W > 0\) and \(\kappa > 0\), there exists a family of steady flows \((v_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in C^1(B_1 \times \mathbb{R})\) for the Euler equations in \(B_1 \times \mathbb{R}\) that are axisymmetric around \(e_z\) and such that

\[
\begin{align*}
v_\varepsilon \cdot n &= 0, \quad \text{on } \partial B_1 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\
v_\varepsilon &\to -W \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e_z, \quad \text{at } \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

the vortex core \(\text{supp} \text{curl} v_\varepsilon\) is a topological torus, the circulation of the vortex is \(\kappa_\varepsilon\). Moreover, one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \kappa_\varepsilon &= \kappa, \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \text{dist}_{e_z}(\text{supp} \text{curl} v_\varepsilon) &= 0, \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \sigma(\text{supp} \text{curl} v_\varepsilon)}{\log \varepsilon} &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
r_* = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa}{4\pi W} & \text{if } \kappa < 4\pi W, \\ 1 & \text{if } \kappa \geq 4\pi W. \end{cases}
\]

Burton has constructed similar vortex rings in a cylinder, but he did not study their asymptotics [12].

If \(\kappa > 4\pi W\), the velocity \(W \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\) of the vortex ring is less than predicted by the Kelvin–Hick formula (1). We do not study in detail this phenomenon in the present work, but we think that it might be explained by an interaction with the boundary that reduces the velocity by

\[
\frac{\kappa}{4\pi \text{dist}(\text{supp} \text{curl} v_\varepsilon, \partial B(0,1) \times \mathbb{R})}.
\]
similar to the contribution of the boundary for the two-dimensional Euler equation [43]. This could also explain why the asymptotics of $\sigma(\text{supp curl } \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)$ are less sharp than those of theorem 1.

Similarly we can study vortex rings outside a ball.

**Theorem 3.** For every $W > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$, there exists a family of steady flows $(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1)$ for the Euler equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that are axisymmetric around $\mathbf{e}_z$ and such that the vortex core $\text{supp curl } \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon$ is a topological torus, the circulation of the vortex ring is $\kappa_\varepsilon$ and

$$
\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_1,
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \to -W \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{e}_z \quad \text{at } \infty.
$$

Moreover, one has

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \kappa_\varepsilon = \kappa,
$$

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \text{dist}_{C^{r_*}}(\text{supp curl } \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\log \sigma(\text{supp curl } \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)}{\log \varepsilon} = 1,
$$

for $r_*$ such that

$$
\mathbf{v}(r_*, 0) = -\frac{\kappa}{4\pi W} \mathbf{e}_z,
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_0 : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1$ is the irrotational flow outside $B_1$ with velocity $W$ at infinity:

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{div } \mathbf{v}_0 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1, \\
\text{curl } \mathbf{v}_0 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1, \\
\mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1, \\
\mathbf{v}_0 \to -W \mathbf{e}_z & \text{at } \infty.
\end{cases}
$$

The main difference in the proof of theorem 3 is that the existence relies on a concentration-compactness argument [34, 41].

It is moreover possible to extend these results in some sense to a general outside domain.

**Theorem 4.** Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be compact, connected and symmetric under rotations around $\mathbf{e}_z$. For every $W > 0$ and for every $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \to (-\infty, 0)$ such that $\mathbf{v}_0 = \text{curl}(\Psi \mathbf{e}_\theta/r)$ solves

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{div } \mathbf{v}_0 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K, \\
\text{curl } \mathbf{v}_0 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K, \\
\mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial K, \\
\mathbf{v}_0 \to -W \mathbf{e}_z & \text{at } \infty,
\end{cases}
$$
there exists a family of steady flows \((v_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1)\) for the Euler equations in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) that are axisymmetric around \(e_z\) and such that the vortex core \(\text{supp} \text{curl} v_\varepsilon\) is a topological torus, the circulation of the vortex ring is \(\kappa_\varepsilon\) and \(v_\varepsilon \rightarrow -W e_z\) at \(\infty\), \(v_\varepsilon \cdot n = 0\) on \(\partial B_1\).

Moreover, if \((a_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} = ((r_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon > 0}\) is a family such that \(\text{curl} v_\varepsilon(a_\varepsilon) \neq 0\),
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{r_\varepsilon}{\psi(r_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon)} \kappa_\varepsilon = -2\pi,
\]
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\psi(r_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon)^2}{r_\varepsilon} = \inf_{(r, \theta, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K} \frac{\psi(r, z)^2}{r},
\]
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \sigma(\text{supp} \text{curl} v_\varepsilon)}{\log \varepsilon} = 1.
\]

Note that given \(W > 0\), there are infinitely many \(\psi\) that satisfy the equation and the sign assumption (see lemma 14), so that there are several families concentrating at different points with different asymptotic circulations.

In the case where \((r, z) \mapsto \frac{\psi(r, z)^2}{r}\) achieves its maximum at a unique interior point \((r_*, z_*)\), one has \((r_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon) \to (r_*, z_*)\), and
\[
\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v_0(r_*, z_*) = \frac{1}{r_*} \nabla \psi(r_*, z_*) \times e_z = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\psi(r_*, z_*)}{r_*^2} e_r \times e_\theta = -\log \frac{1}{4\pi r_*} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \kappa_\varepsilon e_z,
\]
in accordance with (1).

1.3. Vortices for the shallow water equation

The same technique allows us to desingularize vortices for the shallow water equation with vanishing Froude number \(Fr\) in the so-called lake model. The horizontal velocity \(v\), the height \(h\) and the depth \(b\) depend on the two-dimensional position variable and satisfy the system [16, 17]:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div}(bv) &= 0 \\
\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v &= -\nabla h
\end{align*}
\]
in a two-dimensional domain. Richardson has computed by the method of matched asymptotics the velocity of a vortex of circulation \(\kappa\) at \(x_*\) to be formally [42, (5.1)]
\[
\nabla \log b(x_*) \times \frac{\kappa e_z}{4\pi} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O(1);
\]
in particular, a vortex follows an isobath (level set of the depth).

\(^1\) Richardson writes the asymptotics in terms of \(\Gamma = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} [42, (2.19)]\)
We want to exhibit this in the asymptotics of families of steady flows. As previously, setting \( \omega = \text{curl} \, \mathbf{v} \), the second equation becomes

\[
\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \omega \times \mathbf{v} = -\nabla \left( \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2} + h \right).
\]

Taking a stream function \( \psi \), one can write \( \mathbf{v} = (\text{curl} \, \psi) / b \) and observe that if \( \omega = f(\psi) \), then \( \mathbf{v} \) is a stationary solution with \( h = F(\psi) - \frac{|\psi|^2}{2} \). We are thus interested in studying the asymptotics of solutions of

\[
\begin{cases}
-\text{div} \left( \frac{1}{b} \nabla \psi \right) = b \varepsilon^2 (\psi \varepsilon)^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\psi_\varepsilon = \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \psi_0 \right) & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

(7)

**Theorem 5.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) be bounded and open and let \( b \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). If \( \inf_{\Omega} b > 0 \), then there exists a family of solutions \( \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \) and \( h_\varepsilon \in C^1(\Omega) \) of

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{div} (b \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon = -\nabla h_\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover if \( \kappa_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega \text{curl} \, \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \) and \( \text{curl} \, \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) \neq 0 \), then

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \kappa_\varepsilon = \kappa, \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} b(x_\varepsilon) = \sup_{\Omega} b, \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \text{diam} \, \text{supp} \, \text{curl} \, \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon}{\log \varepsilon} = 0.
\]

In particular, if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{\varepsilon_n} = x_\ast \in \bar{\Omega} \) for some sequence \( (\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), then \( x_\ast \) is a maximum point of \( b \) on \( \bar{\Omega} \). If \( x_\ast \in \Omega \), then \( V(\log b)(x_\ast) = 0 \) and the velocity given by (6) vanishes. If \( x_\ast \in \partial \Omega \), then \( \nabla (\log b)(x_\ast) \) is normal to the boundary so that the velocity given by (6) is tangential to the boundary and would lead the vortex to circulate around \( \partial \Omega \) in the orientation opposite to the vortex’s orientation; there should however be, as for the two-dimensional Euler equation [43], an interaction of the vortex with the boundary that should give a compensating term

\[
\frac{\kappa}{4\pi \log \frac{1}{\text{dist}(\text{supp} \, \text{curl} \, \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega)}}.
\]

If \( b \) is constant, theorem 5 does not locate the vortex; the refined asymptotics for the Euler equation locate them at maxima of the Robin function of \( \Omega \) [43].

Theorem 5 constructs vortices at stationary points. We can also desingularize vortices at other points by prescribing the boundary condition. First we note that if \( \psi_0 \) satisfies

\[
-\text{div} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_0}{b} \right) = 0,
\]

then \( \mathbf{v}_0 = \text{curl} \, \psi_0 \) is an irrotational stationary solution of (5).
Theorem 6. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be bounded and open, let $b \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let $\psi_0 \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ be such that

$$-\text{div} \left( \frac{\nabla \psi_0}{b} \right) = 0$$

and let $v_0 = \text{curl} \psi_0$. If $\sup_\Omega \psi_0 < 0$ and $\inf_\Omega b > 0$, then there exists a family of solutions $v_\varepsilon \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $h_\varepsilon \in C^1(\Omega)$ of

$$\begin{cases}
\text{div}(bv_\varepsilon) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon = -\nabla h_\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega, \\
v_\varepsilon \cdot n = v_0 \cdot n \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}$$

such that if $\kappa_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega \text{curl} v_\varepsilon$ and $\text{curl} v(x_\varepsilon) \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{b(x_\varepsilon)}{\psi_0(x_\varepsilon)} \kappa_\varepsilon = -2\pi,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{b(x_\varepsilon)}{\psi_0(x_\varepsilon)^2} = \sup_{\Omega} \frac{b}{\psi_0^2},$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \text{diam} \text{supp curl } v_\varepsilon}{\log \varepsilon} = 0,$$

In particular, if $x_\varepsilon \to x_* \in \Omega$, then $x_*$ is a maximum point of $b/\psi_0^2$ on $\Omega$ and

$$\frac{\nabla \psi_0(x_*)}{b(x_*)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla b(x_*)}{b(x_*)^2} \psi_0(x_0)$$

so that, similarly to (4),

$$\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v_0(x_*) = -\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\nabla (\log b)(x_*)}{4\pi} \right) \times \left( \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \kappa_\varepsilon \cdot e_z \right),$$

which is consistent with Richardson’s formula (6).

The sequel of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions to (7) that include (3) as particular cases. Next we study in section 3 the asymptotics of families of least energy solutions to those equations. Finally, we show in section 2 how the sufficient conditions for existence and the asymptotics can be combined to prove the theorems of the present section.

2. Construction of solutions

2.1. Preliminaries

In order to have homogeneous boundary conditions, we rewrite problem (3) and (7) by defining $q = -\psi_0$, $q_\varepsilon = (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})q$ and $u_\varepsilon = \psi_\varepsilon + q_\varepsilon$. We are thus interested in solving

$$\begin{cases}
-\text{div} \left( \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{b} \right) = \frac{b}{\varepsilon^2}(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}$$

(\mathcal{P})
for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ open, $b : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and $q : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable functions and for some fixed $p > 1$.

Solutions to $(P)$ are critical points of the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{b} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{(p+1)\varepsilon^2} b (u-q_\varepsilon)^{p+1},
$$
defined for $u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \text{supp} u \text{ is compact in } \Omega\}$. A natural space for this functional is the completion $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$ of $C^\infty_c(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm defined for $u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$ by

$$
\|u\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega, b)} = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b}.
$$

In general $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$ needs not to be a space of distributions; but whenever the functional $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ has a well-defined extension to $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$, this space will be a well-defined space of locally integrable functions.

If $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ is continuously Fréchet–differentiable on $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$, we have the useful computation:

**Lemma 1.** Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon \in C^1(H^1_0(\Omega, b); \mathbb{R})$ and $q \geq 0$, then for every $u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)$,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b} \leq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{p+1} \langle \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u), u \rangle.
$$

**Proof.** For $u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)$, we compute

$$
\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{p+1} \langle \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u), u \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b} + \frac{1}{(p+1)\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u-q_\varepsilon)^{p+1} - (u-q_\varepsilon)^p u.
$$

The bound follows as $q_\varepsilon \geq 0$ and thus $(u-q_\varepsilon)_+ \leq u$.

The Nehari manifold associated to the problem $(P)$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \{u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u), u \rangle = 0\}
$$

and the infimum of the energy on this manifold is

$$
c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u).
$$

It can be characterized as follows:

**Lemma 2.** Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon \in C^1(H^1_0(\Omega, b); \mathbb{R})$, $q \geq 0$ and

$$
\lim_{u \to 0} \frac{\int_\Omega (u-q_\varepsilon)^{p+1}}{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2} = 0,
$$

then

$$
\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \{u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u), u \rangle = 0\}.
$$
Moreover there exists a sequence \((u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that \(E(u^n) \to c_e\) and \(E'(u^n) \to 0\) in \((H^1_0(\Omega, b))'\) as \(n \to \infty\).

A sequence \((u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that \(E(u^n) \to c_e\) and \(E'(u^n) \to 0\) in \((H^1_0(\Omega, b))'\) as \(n \to \infty\) is called a Palais-Smale sequence at the level \(c_e\).

The equivalence between the different critical levels goes back to Rabinowitz [41, proposition 3.11; 47, theorem 4.2]. The assumptions of lemma 2 do not fit into the existing results, but existing arguments still work.

**Proof of lemma 2.** For \(u \in \mathcal{N}_e\), and \(t \in [0, \infty)\), observe that

\[
\begin{align*}
E_e(u) = E_e(tu) & = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega b((tu - q_e)_+^{p+1} - (u - q_e)_+^{p+1}) \\
& = E_e(tu) + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_\Omega b \left( \frac{(1-t^2)(u - q_e)_+^p u}{2} + \frac{(tu - q_e)_+^{p+1} - (u - q_e)_+^{p+1}}{p+1} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

from which one deduces since \(p \geq 1\) that \(E_e(tu) \geq E_e(u)\). This proves that

\[
\inf_{u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} E_e(tu) \leq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_e} E_e(u).
\]

It is clear that

\[
\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_e} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_e(\gamma(t)) \leq \inf_{u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} E_e(tu).
\]

Let us now prove that

\[
\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_e} E_e(u) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_e} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_e(\gamma(t)). \tag{8}
\]

Let \(\gamma \in \Gamma_e\) and define \(h \in C([0,1]; \mathbb{R})\) for \(t \in [0,1]\) by \(h(t) = \langle E'(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle\). Since \(p \geq 1\), for every \(u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)\),

\[
\int_\Omega b(u - q_e)_+^p u \leq \int_\Omega b(u - q_e)_+^{p-1}(u - q_e)_+^2 \leq \int_\Omega b(u - q_e)_+^{p+1},
\]

we have

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{h(t)}{\int_\Omega |\nabla \gamma(t)|^2} = 1,
\]
and thus \( h(t) > 0 \) for \( t > 0 \) close to 0. On the other hand, by lemma 1, since \( p \geq 1 \),
\[
\epsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{p+1} (\epsilon'(u), u).
\]
Hence, one has \( h(1) \leq (p+1) \epsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0 \). By the intermediate value theorem, there exists \( t_* \in [0, 1] \) such that \( h(t_*) = 0 \) and thus \( \gamma(t_*) \in \mathcal{M}_e \). Therefore,
\[
\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_e} \epsilon(u) \leq \epsilon(\gamma(t_*)) \leq \max_{r \in [0,1]} \epsilon(\gamma(r)),
\]
and (8) follows.

The existence of the Palais-Smale sequence comes from a consequence of the quantitative deformation lemma [47, theorem 2.9].

2.2. Existence in bounded domains

In the case where \( \Omega \) and \( b \) are bounded, the existence of solutions to (\( \mathcal{P} \)) is quite standard.

**Proposition 1.** If \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) is bounded and \( b \) and \( b^{-1} \) are bounded, then for every \( \epsilon \in (0,1) \), there exists a weak solution \( u_\epsilon \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2, b) \) of problem (\( \mathcal{P} \)) such that \( \epsilon(u_\epsilon) = c_\epsilon \).

**Proof.** Define for \( x \in \Omega \) and \( s \in \mathbb{R} \)
\[
f(x,s) = \frac{b(x)}{\epsilon^2} (s - q_\epsilon(x))^p_+,
\]
and
\[
F(x,s) = \int_0^s f(x,t) \, dt = \frac{b(x)(s - q_\epsilon(x))^{p+1}}{(p+1)\epsilon^2}.
\]
The function \( f \) is a Carathéduy function and for every \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( x \in \Omega \), since \( q \geq 0 \),
\[
|f(x,s)| \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} b \frac{|s|^p}{\epsilon^2},
\]
and
\[
0 \leq (p+1)F(x,s) \leq sf(x,s).
\]
Hence, the problem has a weak solution by the mountain pass theorem [40, theorem 2.15].

2.3. Existence in unbounded domains

In unbounded domains, we prove the existence following the ideas of the concentration-compactness method of P.-L. Lions [34, 41]. The existence will depend on the geometry of \( \Omega \), \( b \) and \( q \).
2.3.1. Sobolev inequalities for truncated functions in unbounded domains

In order to show that the functional $E_\varepsilon$ is well-defined on $H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n, b)$ and admits critical points, we first study its nonlinear term. We begin by proving a weighted Sobolev inequality.

**Lemma 3.** Let $q \geq 2$, $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. If

$$\frac{\beta - 2}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{2};$$

then there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, x_1^{-\alpha})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|u(x)|^q}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

This inequality should be known but we could not find it in the literature. It is a limiting case of a known family of weighted Sobolev inequalities [35, §2.1.7].

**Proof of lemma 3.** By the classical Sobolev inequality, there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, x_1^{-\alpha})$,

$$\int_{(1,2)} \frac{|u(x)|^q}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \leq C \left( \int_{(1,2)} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} + \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x_1^{\alpha+2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

Since $\frac{\beta - 2}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, the inequality is homogeneous, so that we have for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_{(2^k,2^{k+1})} \frac{|u(x)|^q}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \leq C \left( \int_{(2^k,2^{k+1})} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} + \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x_1^{\alpha+2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

Summing over $k$, we obtain since $q \geq 2$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|u(x)|^q}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{(2^{k-1},2^{k+1})} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} + \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x_1^{\alpha+2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} + \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x_1^{\alpha+2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

We conclude using the Hardy inequality that states that for $\alpha \neq -1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{x_1^{\alpha+2}} \, dx \leq \left( \frac{2}{\alpha + 1} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} \, dx.$$

The crucial tool to show that the functional $E_\varepsilon$ is well-defined is a weighted Sobolev inequality for truncations.
Lemma 4. Let $r > 0$, $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. If

\[ \beta \leq (r-1)(\alpha+1) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \leq \frac{r\alpha}{2} + 2, \]

then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, x_1^{-\alpha})$,

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{(u(x) - Wx_1^{\alpha+1})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \leq C \frac{1}{W^{r-2\beta-2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{r(\alpha+1)-(\beta-2)}{2(\beta+2)}}. \]

Moreover, the map

\[ H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, x_1^{-\alpha}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{(u(x) - Wx_1^{\alpha+1})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \]

is continuous.

Similar inequalities were proved by a variational argument and scaling for $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1$ and $r \geq 1$ [9, lemma IIIA; 49, lemma 1.1 (1)]. Similar inequalities were proved when $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 3$ and $r = 0$ with an isometry with $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [5, lemma 2.1] and when $\alpha = \beta = 0$ with an isometry with $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ [48, lemma 2.5]. (See [46] for a general explanation of those isometries.) In the latter case Smets and Van Schaftingen have given a proof of the inequality based directly on the classical Hardy and Sobolev inequalities [43, proposition 4.2].

Proof of lemma 4. For every $q \geq r$ and for every $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$,

\[ \frac{(u(x) - Wx_1^{\alpha+1})^r}{x_1^\beta} \leq \frac{|u(x)|^q}{W^{q-r}x_1^{(q-r)(\alpha+1)+\beta}}. \]

Set now

\[ q = \frac{2r(\alpha+1) - (\beta-2)}{\alpha+2}. \]

After having observed that by our assumptions $q \geq \max(2, r)$, we conclude by applying lemma 3. The continuity follows from the same bound and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem.

We also want to have an inequality that relates the local behaviour of a function with its global behaviour. Such results originate in the work of P.-L. Lions [34, II, lemma 1.1] (see also [47, lemma 1.21]).

Lemma 5. If $\alpha > -1$ and $r \geq 0$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, x_1^{-\alpha})$ and $W > 0$,

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{(u(x) - Wx_1^{\alpha+1})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \]

\[ \leq C \frac{1}{W^{\frac{r-2\beta-2}{2}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{W^{\frac{\beta+2}{2}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \times \left( \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{(u(x) - Wx_1^{\alpha+1})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \right)^{1-\frac{\alpha+2}{r(\alpha+1) - (\beta-2)}}. \]
**Proof.** Choose $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta = 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\text{supp} \eta \subset [-2, 2]$. For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, define $\theta_a(x) = \eta(x_2 - a)$. If $v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2, x_1^{-\alpha})$, we have by lemma 4,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (a-1,a+1)} \frac{(v(x) - \frac{W}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{(\theta_a(x)v(x) - \frac{W}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx
\leq \frac{C}{W} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (a-2,a+2)} \frac{\|v(x)\|^2}{x_1^{\alpha}} + \frac{|v(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} \, dx \right) \frac{r(\alpha + 1)(\beta - 2)}{\beta - 2}.
$$

This implies that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (a-1,a+1)} \frac{(v(x) - \frac{W}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx
\leq C \frac{C}{W^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (a-2,a+2)} \frac{\|v(x)\|^2}{x_1^{\alpha}} + \frac{|v(x)|^2}{x_1^\alpha} \, dx \right)
\times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (a-1,a+1)} \frac{(v(x) - \frac{W}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}})^r}{x_1^\beta} \, dx \right)^{1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}}.
$$

For $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2, x_1^{-\alpha})$, set now

$$
v(x) = (u(x) - \frac{W}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}})_+.
$$

We apply the previous inequality, noting that by lemma 4

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{\|v(x)\|^2}{x_1^{\alpha}} \, dx \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{\|u(x)\|^2}{x_1^{\alpha}} \, dx
+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} x_1^{\alpha}(u(x) - Wx_1^{\alpha + 1})^{p+1} \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{\|u(x)\|^2}{x_1^{\alpha}} \, dx
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{|v(x)|^2}{x_1^{\alpha}} \, dx \leq \frac{C}{W^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{\|u(x)\|^2}{x_1^{\alpha}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.
$$

As a consequence of the previous lemmas, we have

**Lemma 6.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $b(x) = \frac{1}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}}$ and $q : \mathbb{R}^2 \to (0, \infty)$ be measurable. If

$$
\inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{q(x)}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}} > 0,
$$

then...
then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, the functional $E_\varepsilon$ is well-defined and continuously Fréchet-differentiable. Moreover
\[
\lim_{u \to 0} - \frac{E_\varepsilon(u)}{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2} > 0.
\]
and there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on $p$, $\alpha$, $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{q(x)}{x_1^{p+1}}$ and $\varepsilon$ such that for every $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, b)$,
\[
\max_{t > 0} E_\varepsilon(tu) \geq c.
\]

**Proof.** The well-definiteness, the smoothness and the asymptotic behaviour around 0 follow from lemma 4. By the same lemma, we have
\[
E_\varepsilon(tv) \geq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b} - \frac{1}{(p+1)\varepsilon^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} b(tv - q\varepsilon)^{p+1}_n \\
\geq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b} - C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} t^2 \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b} \right)^{1+(p+1)\frac{\alpha+1}{p+1}};
\]
by maximizing the right-hand side over $t > 0$, we reach the conclusion.

A more precise analysis shows that the conclusion of lemma 6 still holds for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ under some additional restriction on $p$.

### 2.3.2. The translation-invariant case

We now show that problem (P) has at least a nontrivial solution when for a translation invariant problem. We say that a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is translation-invariant, if for every $(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$, $(x_1, x_2 + s) \in \Omega$ and that a function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is translation-invariant if for every $(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,
\[
g(x_1, x_2) = g(x_1, x_2 + s).
\]

**Proposition 2.** Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$ is open and translation-invariant, if for every $x \in \Omega$, $b(x) = x_1^\alpha$, if $q : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and translation-invariant and if
\[
\inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{q(x)}{x_1^{p+1}} > 0,
\]
then there exists a solution $u_\varepsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)$ of problem (P) such that $E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$.

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2_+$, the result is due to Ambrosetti and Yang for $\alpha = 1$ [4, theorem 1; 48, theorem 1] and to Yang for $\alpha = 0$ [49, theorem 1].
Lemma 7. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$ is open and translation-invariant, if for every $x \in \Omega$, $b(x) = x_1^\alpha$, if $q : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $q^\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable and translation-invariant and if

for every $x \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} q^\varepsilon(x) = q(x),$$

(a)

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{q^\varepsilon(x)}{\lambda_1^\alpha + 1} > 0,$$

(b)

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \delta^\varepsilon_n(u^n) > 0,$$

(c)

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \delta^\varepsilon_n(u^n) < \infty,$$

(d)

$$\delta^\varepsilon_n(u^n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (H^1_0(\Omega, b))^\prime$$

as $n \to \infty$, (e)

where $\delta^\varepsilon_n$ denotes the functional associated to $q^\varepsilon$, then there exists $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2, b)$ such that $\delta^\varepsilon_n(u) = 0$ and

$$\delta^\varepsilon_n(u) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \delta^\varepsilon_n(u^n).$$

In the proof of lemma 7, we follow the strategy of Rabinowitz [41, theorem 3.21].

Proof. By our assumption (e) and by lemma 1, we have as $n \to \infty$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vert \nabla u^n \vert^2}{b} \leq \delta^\varepsilon_n(u^n) - \frac{1}{p+1} \langle \delta^\varepsilon_n(u^n), u^n \rangle$$

$$= \delta^\varepsilon_n(u^n) + o(1)\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\vert \nabla u^n \vert^2}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

By the assumption (d), the sequence $(u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is thus bounded in $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$. Applying again (e), we have, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\vert \nabla u^n \vert^2}{b} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - q^n)b^\varepsilon u^n + o(1).$$

By (b), we have $W = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{q^\varepsilon(x)}{\lambda_1} > 0$. Setting for $x \in \Omega$,

$$q^\varepsilon(x) = \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{W}{\lambda_1^\alpha + 1},$$

we have since $p > 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - q^n)^p b u^n \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - 2q^n)^p u^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - 2q^n)^{p-1} ((u^n - q^n)^2 - q^n^2)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - q^n)^{p+1}.$$
On the other hand, by lemma 4, there exists $C > 0$ such that
\[
\int_{\Omega} b(u^n - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u^n|^2}{b} \right)^{1 + \frac{p}{p+1}}.
\]
Hence, since $1 + (p + 1)\frac{p+1}{p+2} > 1$ and (c) holds, we deduce by lemma 6 that
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ > 0.
\]
Since the sequence $(u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$, this implies by lemma 5 that
\[
\liminf \sup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times (a^n - 1, a^n + 1))} b(u^n - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ > 0;
\]
hence there exists a sequence $(a^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times (a^n - 1, a^n + 1))} b(u^n - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ > 0.
\]
Define now for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$, $v^n(x) = u^n(x_1, a^n + x_2)$. It is clear that $v^n \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)$,
\[
\varepsilon^n_e(v^n) \to c^n_e^{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^n_e'(v^n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (H^1_0(\Omega, b))' \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]
Since the sequence $(v^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$, up to a subsequence, one can thus assume that $v^n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$. By Rellich’s compactness theorem, since $\alpha > 0$,
\[
\int_{\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times (-1, 1))} b(u - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times (-1, 1))} b(v^n - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ > 0,
\]
so that $u \neq 0$. By the weak convergence in $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$, the Rellich compactness theorem and by (a) and (b), for every $\phi \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$,
\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla v^n \cdot \nabla \phi}{b} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(v^n - q^+_e)^p \phi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi}{b} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u - q^+_e)^p \phi.
\]
So, $u$ is a weak solution of $(\mathcal{P})$ and $u \in \mathcal{M}_e$.

As $u$ satisfies the Nehari constraint, by (a) and by Fatou’s lemma, we can write
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon^n_e(u^n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(v^n - q^+_e)^p u^n - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(v^n - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u - q^+_e)^p u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u - q^+_e)^{p+1}_+ = \varepsilon_e(u).
\]
As a first application of lemma 7, we prove proposition 2.
Proof of proposition 2. By lemma 2, there exists a sequence Palais-Smale sequence \((u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) associated to the critical level \(c_\varepsilon\), that is

\[
\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u^n) \to c_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u^n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (H^1_0(\Omega, b))' \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

By lemma 7 with \(E_n = \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon\), there exists \(u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, b) \setminus \{0\}\) such that \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u) = 0\) and \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) \leq c_\varepsilon\). Since \(u \neq 0\) and \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u) = 0\), we have \(u \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon\) and thus \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) \geq c_\varepsilon\).

We shall also need to know that \(c_\varepsilon\) depends continuously on \(q_\varepsilon\).

Lemma 8. Let \(\alpha \geq 0\) and \(\varepsilon \in (0, 1)\). If \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+\) is open and translation-invariant, if for every \(x \in \Omega\), \(b(x) = x_1^\alpha\) if \(q : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}\) and \(q^n : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}\) are measurable and translation-invariant and if

\[
\text{for every} \ x \in \Omega \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} q^n(x) = q(x),
\]

and

\[
\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{x \in \Omega} q^n(x)_{1+1} \geq 0,
\]

then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} c^n_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon.
\]

where \(c^n_\varepsilon\) denotes the critical level of the functional associated to \(q^n\).

Proof. By proposition 2, there exists \(u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)\) such that \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) = c_\varepsilon\) and \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u) = 0\). Choose \(t_n > 0\) such that

\[
\max_{t > 0} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^n(tu) = \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^n(t_nu).
\]

One has \(\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = 1\) and thus

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^n_\varepsilon \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^n(t_nu) = \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(tu) = c_\varepsilon.
\]

On the other hand, by lemma 2 and a diagonal argument, there exists a sequence \((u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(H^1_0(\Omega, b)\) such that

\[
\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^n(u^n) - c_\varepsilon^n \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^n'(u^n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (H^1_0(\Omega, b))' \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

By lemma 7, there exists \(u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \setminus \{0\}\) such that and \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u) = 0\),

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} c^n_\varepsilon = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^n(u^n) \geq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u).
\]

Since \(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(u) = 0\) we have

\[
\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) \geq c_\varepsilon.
\]
2.3.3. Existence by strict inequalities

We turn now to the study of the problem in an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ that needs not to be invariant under translations.

**Proposition 3.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$ be open and translation-invariant, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Assume that for every $x \in \Omega$, $b(x) = x_1^\alpha$ and if $q \in \Omega \rightarrow$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{q(x)}{x_1^{\alpha + 1}} > 0,$$

and that

$$\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{q(x)}{q^\infty(x)} \geq 1,$$

where $q^\infty : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and translation-invariant and $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{q^\infty(x)}{x_1} > 0$. If $c_\varepsilon < c_\varepsilon^\infty$, where $c_\varepsilon^\infty$ is the critical level defined by the functional associated to $q^\infty$, then there exists a solution $u_\varepsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)$ of $(P)$ such that $E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$.

This kind of results goes back to the concentration-compactness method of P.-L. Lions [34]. The presentation and the proof that we are giving are inspired by Rabinowitz [41] (see also [43]).

**Proof of proposition 3.** In view of lemma 2, there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $(u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ at level $c_\varepsilon$. As in the proof of proposition 2, by lemma 1, the sequence is bounded in $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$ as $n \to \infty$. One has by Rellich’s theorem for every $\varphi \in C_\infty(\Omega)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi}{b} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega b(u - q_\varepsilon)^p \varphi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{\nabla u^n \cdot \nabla \varphi}{b} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega b(u^n - q_\varepsilon)^p \varphi = 0,$$

so that $u$ solves $(\mathcal{P})$.

If $u \neq 0$, then $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) \geq c_\varepsilon$. Moreover, by Fatou’s lemma,

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} (u - q_\varepsilon)^p \frac{u - 1}{p + 1} (u - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} (u^n - q_\varepsilon)^p \frac{u^n - 1}{p + 1} (u^n - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1} = c_\varepsilon.$$

Hence we have $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) = c_\varepsilon$ and the result follows.

If $u = 0$ on $\Omega$, for every $\delta > 0$, define the energy functional $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^\delta$ on $H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+, b)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^\delta(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{|
abla v|^2}{b} - \frac{1}{(p + 1)\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega b(v - (1 - \delta)q_\varepsilon^\infty)^{p+1},$$
where $q_\varepsilon^\alpha = \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} q^\alpha$ and the corresponding critical level

$$c_\varepsilon^\delta = \inf_{v \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2, b) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\tau_n \geq 0} \varepsilon \delta (\tau_n u^n).$$

Choose now $\tau_n$ such that $\max_{\tau_n \geq 0} \varepsilon \delta (\tau_n u^n) = \varepsilon \delta (\tau_n u^n)$. We claim that the sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. One has

$$\left[\tau_n\right]^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\|\nabla u^n\|^2}{b} \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(\tau_n u^n - (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha) u^n \geq \max(\tau_n, 1)^{p+1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(u^n - (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha) u^n.$$

Choosing $R > 0$ such that $q \geq (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha$ in $\Omega \setminus B(0, R)$, note that by Rellich’s compactness theorem, since $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha) u^n \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0, R)} b(u^n - q_\varepsilon^\alpha) u^n \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - q_\varepsilon^\alpha) u^n,$$

and that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(u^n - q_\varepsilon^\alpha) u^n \geq 2 \varepsilon \delta (u^n) - \varepsilon \delta (u^n),$$

therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(u^n - (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha) u^n \geq 2 \varepsilon \delta > 0,$$

so that the sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.

We compute

$$\varepsilon \delta (\tau_n u^n) = \varepsilon \delta (\tau_n u^n) + \frac{1}{(p + 1)\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(\tau_n u^n - (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha) - b(\tau_n u^n - q_\varepsilon^\alpha)_{+}^{p+1} - b(\tau_n u^n - q_\varepsilon^\alpha)_{+}^{p+1}.$$

Choosing $R$ as previously,

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B(0, R)} b(\tau_n u^n - (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha) - b(\tau_n u^n - q_\varepsilon^\alpha)_{+}^{p+1} \geq 0$$

and by Rellich’s theorem, since $\alpha \geq 0$ and the sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0, R)} b(\tau_n u^n - (1 - \delta) q_\varepsilon^\alpha) - b(\tau_n u^n - q_\varepsilon^\alpha)_{+}^{p+1} = 0.$$

We have thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon \delta (\tau_n u^n) \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon \delta (\tau_n u^n)$$

and because $(u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence we conclude that

$$c_\varepsilon \geq c_\varepsilon^\delta.$$

Since by lemma 8, $\lim_{\delta \to 0} c_\varepsilon^\delta = c_\varepsilon^\alpha$, we conclude that

$$c_\varepsilon \geq c_\varepsilon^\alpha,$$

a contradiction with the assumed strict inequality.
3. Asymptotics of solutions

In this section we study the asymptotics of solutions to \((\mathcal{P})\). We make the following assumptions on \(\Omega, b\) and \(q\):

\((A_1)\) for every \(\eta > 0\), there exists \(\delta > 0\) such for each \(x, y \in \Omega\) such that \(|x - y| \leq \delta \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)\),
\[
\left| \log \frac{b(x)}{b(y)} \right| \leq \eta, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \log \frac{q(x)}{q(y)} \right| \leq \eta,
\]

\((A_2)\) there exists \(C \in \mathbb{R}\) such that for every \(x \in \Omega\),
\[
\log \left(1 + \frac{2 \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) b(x)^{(p+1)/2}}{q(x)^{(p-1)/2}}\right) \leq C \frac{q(x)^2}{b(x)},
\]

\((A_3)\) \(q \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)\), \(\inf_{\Omega} q > 0\) and
\[-\text{div} \frac{\nabla q}{b} = 0\]
weakly in \(\Omega\).

\((A_4)\) the set \(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega\) is unbounded and connected,

\((A_5)\) the functional \(E_\varepsilon\) is well-defined and differentiable on \(H^1_0(\Omega, b)\).

The assumption \((A_1)\) is equivalent with the uniform continuity with respect to the distance-ratio metric on \(\Omega\) of \(\log b\) and \(\log q\). When \(\Omega\) is a uniform domain, this is equivalent with the uniform continuity with respect to the quasi-hyperbolic metric on \(\Omega\). Those metrics are equivalent to the Poincaré metric on the ball and on the half-plane [26, 27, 33]. Assumption \((A_5)\) is satisfied under the assumptions of proposition 1 or of lemma 6.

An important consequence of \((A_5)\) is the following identity:

**Lemma 9.** For every \(u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)\),
\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{q^2}{b} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{u^2}{q} \right) \right|^2.
\]

**Proof.** Take \(\frac{u^2}{q}\) as a test function in \((\mathcal{P})\) and observe that
\[
2 \nabla q \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{u^2}{q} \right) = |\nabla u|^2 - q^2 \left| \nabla \left( \frac{u}{q} \right) \right|^2.
\]
3.1. Upper bound on the energy

As a first step, we prove an upper bound on $c_\epsilon$.

**Proposition 4.** One has

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup \frac{c_\epsilon}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \leq \pi \inf \frac{q^2}{\Omega b}.$$  

**Proof.** Choose $U \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $U(x) = \log \frac{1}{|x|}$ if $|x| \geq 1$ and $U(x) > 0$ if $|x| < 1$, choose $\rho > 0$ such that $B(\hat{\epsilon}, 2\rho) \subset \Omega$ and choose a cut-off function $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(B(0, 2\rho))$ such that $\varphi = 1$ in $B(\hat{\epsilon}, \rho)$. Consider, for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $v_\epsilon^\tau \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$ defined for $x \in \Omega$ by

$$v_\epsilon^\tau(x) = q(x)\left(U\left(\frac{\epsilon - \hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right) + \log \frac{\epsilon}{\tau}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{\epsilon - \hat{\epsilon}}{\tau}\right)$$

and define the function $g_\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g_\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \langle \partial_t v_\epsilon^\tau, v_\epsilon^\tau \rangle = \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla v_\epsilon^\tau|^2}{b} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_\Omega b(v_\epsilon^\tau - q_\epsilon)^p v_\epsilon^\tau \right).$$

We are going to show that for every $\epsilon$ small enough, there exists $\tau_\epsilon$ such that $g_\epsilon(\tau_\epsilon) = 0$.

By lemma 9, we have

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla v_\epsilon^\tau|^2}{b} = \int_\Omega \frac{q^2}{b} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{v_\epsilon^\tau}{q}\right) \right|^2. \tag{9}$$

First one observes that there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $\tau > 0$

$$\int_{B(\hat{\epsilon}, 2\rho) \setminus B(\hat{\epsilon}, \rho)} \frac{q^2}{b} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{v_\epsilon^\tau}{q}\right) \right|^2 \leq C(1 + |\log \frac{\epsilon}{\rho}|) \tag{10}$$

and that if $\epsilon \leq \rho$,

$$\int_{B(\hat{\epsilon}, \epsilon)} \frac{q^2}{b} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{v_\epsilon^\tau}{q}\right) \right|^2 \leq \int_{B(0, 1)} \frac{q(\hat{\epsilon} + \epsilon y)^2}{b(\hat{\epsilon} + \epsilon y)} |\nabla U(y)|^2 dy,$$  

and thus

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{B(\hat{\epsilon}, \epsilon)} \frac{q^2}{b} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{v_\epsilon^\tau}{q}\right) \right|^2 = q(\hat{\epsilon})^2 \int_{B(0, 1)} |\nabla U|^2, \tag{11}$$

uniformly in $\tau > 0$.

Finally, since $U(x) = \log \frac{1}{|x|}$ if $|x| \geq 1$, we have if $\epsilon \leq \delta \leq \rho$,

$$\left| \frac{q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})} 2\pi \log \frac{\rho}{\epsilon} - \int_{B(\hat{x}, \rho) \setminus B(\hat{x}, \hat{\epsilon})} \frac{q^2}{b} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{v_\epsilon^\tau}{q}\right) \right|^2 \right| \leq \int_{B(\hat{x}, \rho) \setminus B(\hat{x}, \hat{\epsilon})} \frac{q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})} \frac{q(x)^2}{b(x)} \frac{1}{|x - \hat{x}|^2} \, dx$$

$$\leq 2\pi \left( \omega(\rho) \log \frac{\rho}{\delta} + \omega(\delta) \log \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right).$$
Choose now the convergences are uniform on compact subsets.

We have thus for every \( \delta > 0 \),

\[
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left| 2\pi \frac{q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})} - \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{B(\hat{x}, \varepsilon)} \frac{q^2}{b} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{v_\varepsilon^p}{q} \right) \right|^2 \right| \leq 2\pi \omega(\delta).
\]

By continuity of \( q \) and \( b \), \( \lim_{\delta \to 0} \omega(\delta) = 0 \) and thus we have proved

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{B(\hat{x}, \varepsilon)} \frac{\left| \nabla v_\varepsilon^p \right|^2}{b} = 2\pi \frac{q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})},
\]

uniformly in \( \tau > 0 \). Gathering (9), (10), (11) and (12), we have proved that

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| \nabla v_\varepsilon^p \right|^2}{b} = 2\pi \frac{q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})},
\]

uniformly in \( \tau > 0 \) in compact subsets.

Now note that

\[
\int_{\Omega} b(v_\varepsilon^p - q_\varepsilon)^2 v_\varepsilon^p = \int_{\Omega} b(v_\varepsilon^p - q_\varepsilon)^p q_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(v_\varepsilon^p - q_\varepsilon)^p v_\varepsilon^p. \tag{14}
\]

If \( \varepsilon \tau \leq \rho \), one has for every \( x \in \Omega \),

\[
(v_\varepsilon^p(x) - q_\varepsilon(x))^+ = (\frac{v_\varepsilon^p(x)}{\varepsilon} + \log \tau)^+.
\]

Hence we have since \( b \) and \( q \) are continuous

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(v_\varepsilon^p - q_\varepsilon)^p v_\varepsilon^p = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B(\hat{x}, \varepsilon)} b(\hat{x} + y)^p q(\hat{x} + y)(U(y) + \log \tau)^p \, dy
\]

\[
= b(\hat{x}) q(\hat{x})^p \int_{B(0, \tau)} (U + \log \tau)^p. \tag{15}
\]

and similarly

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} b(v_\varepsilon^p - q_\varepsilon)^p q_\varepsilon = b(\hat{x}) q(\hat{x})^p \int_{B(0, \tau)} (U + \log \tau)^p; \tag{16}
\]

the convergences are uniform on compact subsets.

In view of (14), (15) and (16), we have thus proved that for every \( \tau > 0 \),

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} g_\varepsilon(\tau) = g(\tau),
\]

where

\[
g(\tau) = \frac{2\pi q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})} - b(\hat{x}) q(\hat{x})^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (U + \log \tau)^p.
\]

Choose now \( \tau > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( g(\tau) > 0 \) and \( g(\hat{\tau}) > 0 \). Then, for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small, \( g_\varepsilon(\hat{\tau}) < 0 < g_\varepsilon(\hat{\tau}) \) and there exists a \( \tau_\varepsilon \in (\hat{\tau}, \hat{\tau}) \) such that \( g_\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon) = 0 \).
One has then $v^\varepsilon_\tau \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$. We can now compute the energy of $v^\varepsilon_\tau$ with the help of (13) and (15), keeping in mind that the limits are uniform on compact subsets and that the family $(|\log \tau|)_\varepsilon > 0$ is bounded:

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^\varepsilon_\tau|^2 \frac{1}{b} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} b (v^\varepsilon_\tau - q)_{\mathcal{B}}^{p+1} = \pi q(\hat{x})^2 \frac{1}{b(\hat{x})}.
$$

The result follows by taking the infimum over $\hat{x} \in \Omega$.

**Proposition 5.** Under the assumption of the previous proposition, if there exists $\hat{x} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\frac{q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})} = \inf_{\Omega} \frac{q^2}{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^2}{b} \quad \text{is Dini-continuous in a neighbourhood of } \hat{x},
$$

then

$$
c_{\varepsilon}(\Omega) \leq \pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \inf_{\Omega} \frac{q^2}{b} + O(1)
$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Recall that $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is Dini-continuous in a neighbourhood of $\hat{x}$ if there exists $\delta > 0$ and a nondecreasing function $\omega : [0, \delta) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\int_0^\delta \omega(t) \frac{t}{t} \, dt < \infty
$$

and for every $x, y \in B(\hat{x}, \delta)$,

$$
|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \omega(|x - y|).
$$

Remark that in order to have the improved bound the infimum should be achieved in the interior of $\Omega$ and $\frac{q^2}{b}$ should satisfy some improved continuity assumption at the minimum point. This is the case if $\frac{q^2}{b}$ is coercive and continuously differentiable. Also note that by the classical regularity theory of De Giorgi [20; 28, Chapter 8], since $b$ is locally bounded and bounded away from 0, $q$ is locally Dini-continuous. The condition is thus that $b$ should be locally Dini-continuous.

**Proof of proposition 5.** The proof goes as the proof of proposition 4, except that when studying $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon_\tau)$, we note that our assumption allows us, by estimating (12), to obtain

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^\varepsilon_\tau|^2 \frac{1}{b} = 2\pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{q(\hat{x})^2}{b(\hat{x})} + O(1),
$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, uniformly in $\tau > 0$ over compact sets instead of (13).
3.2. Asymptotic behaviour and lower bound on the energy

We are now going to study the asymptotics of a family of groundstates. Thus, we assume that for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), problem (\( \mathcal{P} \)) possesses a nontrivial solution \( u_\varepsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \) such that \( \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon \).

We define the vortex core to be the set
\[
A_\varepsilon = \{ x \in \Omega : u_\varepsilon(x) > q_\varepsilon(x) \}.
\]

Note that as \( u_\varepsilon \) is continuous inside \( \Omega \) by classical regularity theory [28, theorem 8.22], \( A_\varepsilon \) is an open subset of \( \Omega \).

We first give some integral identities involving the vortex core:

**Lemma 10.** If \( u_\varepsilon \) is a solution of (\( \mathcal{P} \)) then
\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{b} - \int_{A_\varepsilon} \frac{|\nabla(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)|^2}{b} \quad (a)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{A_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1} = \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\nabla(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)|^2. \quad (b)
\]

Such integral identities go back to Berger and Fraenkel [9, lemma 5.A].

**Proof of lemma 10.** The proof goes by taking \((u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+ + \min(u_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon)\) as test functions in the equation.

We now study the properties of the vortex core.

**Lemma 11.** For every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the set \( A_\varepsilon \) is connected and simply connected and
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)}{\text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega)} = 0.
\]

The proof of the connectedness will require the next technical lemma:

**Lemma 12.** Let \( u \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \). If \( u \in C(\Omega) \), if \( U \subset \Omega \) is open,
\[
\{ x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0 \} \setminus U
\]
is open and \( \bar{U} \subset \Omega \) is compact, then \( u + \chi_U \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \).

Note that we are not assuming that \( u \) is continuous on \( \partial \Omega \); this makes the proof and the assumptions delicate but will relieve us later of studying the regularity of \( u \) near \( \partial \Omega \).

**Proof of lemma 12.** Let \( \delta > 0 \) and define
\[
K^\delta = \{ x \in U : u(x) \geq \delta \}.
\]

By our assumptions on the function \( u \) and on the sets \( U \), the set \( K^\delta \) is compact. Hence there exists \( \varphi^\delta \in C^\infty(\Omega; [0, 1]) \) such that \( \varphi^\delta = 1 \) on \( F^\delta \) and \( \varphi^\delta = 0 \) on \( \text{supp} u \setminus U \). One has \((u - \delta)_+ \chi_U = (\varphi^\delta u - \delta)_+ \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \). Since for every \( \delta > 0 \),
\[
\int_U \frac{|\nabla(u - \delta)_+|^2}{b} \leq \int_U \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{b},
\]
we conclude by letting \( \delta \to 0 \) that \( u + \chi_U \in H^1_0(\Omega, b) \).
For the connectedness, we rely on an argument that goes back to Berger and Fraenkel [10, theorem 4.3] (see also [8, appendix; 32]).

**Proof of lemma 11.** Since \( u_e \geq q_e \) on \( A_e \), we have by definition of capacity, by lemma 9 and by lemma 1

\[
\inf_{\Omega} \frac{d^2}{d}\text{cap}(A_e, \Omega) \leq \int_{\Omega \cap A_e} \frac{q_e^2}{b} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{u_e}{q_e} \right) \right|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_e|^2}{b} \leq \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} c_e(u_e).
\]

Let \( A^*_e \) be a connected component of \( A_e \). Since \( \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega \) is connected and unbounded, by estimates on the capacity [43, proposition A.3] (see also [23]),

\[
\text{cap}(A^*_e, \Omega) \geq \frac{2\pi}{\log 16(1 + \frac{1}{\text{dist}(A^*_e, \partial \Omega)})}.
\]

In particular \( A^*_e \) is a compact subset of \( \Omega \) and by proposition 4,

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\text{diam}(A^*_e)}{\text{dist}(A^*_e, \partial \Omega)} = 0.
\]

It is thus sufficient to prove that \( A^*_e = A_e \).

By lemma 12, since \( u_e \) is continuous and \( A^*_e \) is a compact subset of \( \Omega \),

\[
v_e = (u_e - q_e) + x_{A^*_e} \in H^1_0(\Omega, b).
\]

Also define \( w_e = \min(u_e, q_e) \). By testing the equation against \( (u_e - q_e)_+ \) and \( v_e \) we have

\[
\int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2 = \int_{A_e} (u_e - q_e)^{p+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{A^*_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2 = \int_{A^*_e} (u_e - q_e)^{p+1}.
\]

Also note that

\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_e|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_e|^2 + \int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2,
\]

and for every \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla (w_e + tv_e)|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_e|^2 + t^2 \int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2.
\]

We first claim that there exists \( t_* \geq 1 \) such that \( w_e + t_* u_e \in \mathcal{N} \). Indeed, one has for every \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\langle \delta^e_t (w_e + tv_e), w_e + tv_e \rangle = \langle \delta^e_t (w_e + tv_e), w_e + tv_e \rangle - \langle \delta^e_t (u_e), u_e \rangle
\]

\[
= t^2 \int_{A^*_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2 - \int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2
\]

\[
- \int_{A^*_e} t^p (u_e - q_e|^p - (u_e + t u_e - q_e) + \int_{A_e} (u_e - q_e)^p u_e.
\]
By (17), we have
\[
(\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon'(w_\varepsilon + tu_\varepsilon^1), w_\varepsilon + tu_\varepsilon^1) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \setminus \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^*} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q_\varepsilon - (t^{p+1} - t^2) \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1} \\
- (t^p - 1) \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^*} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q_\varepsilon.
\]

By the intermediate value theorem, there exists \( t_\varepsilon \geq 1 \) such that \( w_\varepsilon + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^* \).

Now we compute the energy and we obtain
\[
\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(w_\varepsilon + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2 + \left( \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}}{p+1} \right) \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1} \\
\leq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^*} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1}.
\]

Since \( u_\varepsilon \) is a minimal energy solution and \( u_\varepsilon > q_\varepsilon \) in \( \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \), we conclude that \( \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^* \) and the set \( \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \) is thus connected.

We now show that \( \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \) is simply connected. Let \( E \) be the connected component of \( \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \) such that \( \partial \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon \subseteq \mathcal{E} \). The set \( \Omega \setminus E \) is open and one has \( -\text{div}(\frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}) \geq 0 \) in \( \Omega \setminus E \) and \( u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon \geq 0 \) in \( \Omega \setminus E \), so that by the strong maximum principle, \( u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon > 0 \) in \( \Omega \setminus E \). Hence \( \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^* \) and \( \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \) is simply connected.

The next lemma shows that the kinetic energy remains bounded inside the vortex core.

**Proposition 6.** There exists a constant \( C > 0 \) independent of \( \varepsilon \) such that if \( a_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \),
\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \frac{\nabla (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^2}{b} \leq C \frac{b(a_\varepsilon)}{q(a_\varepsilon)^{1/2}}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( a_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \). By lemma 10 (b), lemma 11 and (\( \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \)), one has
\[
\int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \frac{\nabla (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^2}{b} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \\
\leq C b(a_\varepsilon) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \\
\leq C' b(a_\varepsilon) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \frac{\nabla (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^2}{b} \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq C'' b(a_\varepsilon)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \frac{\nabla (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^2}{b} \right)^{1/2},
\]
using the classical Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. One obtains thus
\[
\int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \frac{\nabla (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^2}{b} \leq (C'')^2 b(a_\varepsilon) \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \right)^{2}.
\]
Now by lemma 11 and by lemma 10 (a),
\[
q(a_\varepsilon) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \leq C''' \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)_+^p \leq C''' \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon^2}{b},
\]
and we conclude by lemma 1 and proposition 4.
Finally, we have a lower bound on the diameter of the vortex core:

**Lemma 13.** There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that if $a_e \in A_e$,

$$\text{diam}(A_e) \geq \frac{C q(a_e)^{p-1}}{b(a_e)^{p+1}}.$$  

**Proof.** One has, by lemma 11 and $(\omega')_1$,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{A_e} b(u_e - q_e)^{p+1} \leq C b(a_e) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{A_e} (u_e - q_e)^{p+1}.$$  

By the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities

$$\int_{A_e} (u_e - q_e)^{p+1} \leq C |A_e| \left( \int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2 \right)^{(p+1)/2}.$$  

Hence we obtain, by lemma 1 and lemma 11 together with $(\omega')_1$ again,

$$\int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2 \leq C' b(a_e)^{(p+3)/2} \frac{|A_e|}{\varepsilon^2} \left( \int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2 \right)^{(p+1)/2}.$$  

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} b(a_e)^{p+1} \frac{|A_e|}{\varepsilon^2} \left( \int_{A_e} |\nabla (u_e - q_e)|^2 \right)^{p-1} > 0.$$  

By proposition 6, this implies that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{|A_e|}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{b(a_e)^{p+1}}{q(a_e)^{p-1}} > 0.$$  

and the result follows from the isodiametric inequality $|A_e| \leq \pi (\text{diam} A_e)^2/4$.

The main result of this section is:

**Proposition 7.** One has, if $a_e \in A_e$,

\begin{align*}
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\phi_e(u_e)}{2\pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_e|^2}{b} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{q(a_e)^2}{b(a_e)} = \inf_{\Omega} \frac{q^2}{b}, \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \kappa \frac{b(a_e)}{q(a_e)} &= 2\pi, \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \frac{\text{dist}(A_e, \partial \Omega)}{\text{diam}(A_e)}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \frac{b(a_e)^{(p+1)/2}}{\text{diam}(A_e) q(a_e)^{(p-1)/2}}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = 1.
\end{align*}
Proof. By definition of $\delta_\varepsilon$ and by proposition 10, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{b} - \int_{A_\varepsilon} \frac{|\nabla(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)|^2}{b} = 2\delta_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - \frac{p-1}{p+1} \varepsilon^{p+1} \int_{A_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1}.$$  

Hence, by proposition 6,

$$\int_\Omega b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon \leq 2\delta_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right), \quad (18)$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Define for $\sigma, \tau \in (0, 1)$ with $\tau < \sigma$,

$$w^{\sigma, \tau}_\varepsilon = \min\left(\frac{u_\varepsilon - q_\sigma}{q_\tau - q_\sigma}, 1\right).$$

By testing the equation against $w^{\sigma, \tau}_\varepsilon q$, in view of lemma 9

$$\log \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \int_\Omega \frac{q_\tau^2}{b} |\nabla w^{\sigma, \tau}_\varepsilon|^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon q.$$

In particular, setting

$$A^\varepsilon_\tau = \{x \in \Omega : u_\varepsilon(x) > q_\tau(x)\},$$

we have

$$\text{cap}(A^\varepsilon_\tau, \Omega) \leq \int_\Omega \frac{q_\tau^2}{b} |\nabla w^{1, \tau}_\varepsilon|^2 \inf_\Omega \frac{q_\tau^2}{b},$$

and thus by capacity estimates [43, proposition A.3] (see also [23]), since $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$ is unbounded and connected,

$$\frac{2\pi}{\log 16 \left(1 + \frac{2\text{dist}(A^\varepsilon_\tau, \partial\Omega)}{\text{diam} A^\varepsilon_\tau}\right)} \leq \int_\Omega \frac{b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon}{\log \frac{1}{\tau} \inf_\Omega \frac{q_\tau^2}{b}}.$$

By (18) and by proposition 4, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2\pi}{\log 16 \left(1 + \frac{2\text{dist}(A^\varepsilon_\tau, \partial\Omega)}{\text{diam} A^\varepsilon_\tau}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon q.$$

and thus, by $(\mathcal{A}_1)$, for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $\rho > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in A^\varepsilon_\rho$ with $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0),$

$$\frac{q(x)^2}{b(x)} \leq \frac{q(y)^2}{b(y)} (1 + \delta).$$
We have thus
\[ \frac{q(a_\varepsilon)^2}{b(a_\varepsilon)(1 + \delta)} \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} |^2 \leq \int_\Omega \frac{q^2}{b} |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} |^2 \leq \frac{1}{\log \tau \varepsilon} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q. \] (20)

By capacity estimates, we have thus that for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \),
\[ \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} |^2 \geq \text{cap}(A_\varepsilon, \Omega) \geq \frac{2\pi}{\log 16(1 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega))} \] and hence
\[ \frac{q(a_\varepsilon)^2}{b(a_\varepsilon)^2} \leq \frac{\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q}{\log 16(1 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega))}. \]

In view of lemma 13, we have
\[ \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{q(a_\varepsilon)^2}{b(a_\varepsilon)} = \frac{\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q}{\log 16(1 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega))}. \]

Now, note that
\[ \log 16 \left(1 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega) \frac{b(a_\varepsilon)^{(p-1)/2}}{q(a_\varepsilon)^{(p-1)/2}} \right) \leq \log 16 \left(1 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \right) + \log \left(1 + \frac{\text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega) b(a_\varepsilon)^{(p-1)/2}}{q(a_\varepsilon)^{(p-1)/2}} \right). \]

By assumption (\( A_2 \)), we have thus
\[ \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{q(a_\varepsilon)^2}{b(a_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q}{\log 16(1 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega))}. \]

Hence, we conclude that
\[ \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{q(a_\varepsilon)^2}{b(a_\varepsilon)} \leq (1 + \delta) \inf_{\Omega} \frac{q^2}{b}. \]

Since \( \delta > 0 \) is arbitrary, we have (a).

To obtain (c), we note that
\[ \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega)}{\log \varepsilon \text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)} \leq 1 \]
and that by lemma 13,

\[
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \frac{b(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}{\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)q(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \leq 1.
\]

We conclude since by \((\mathcal{A}_2)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} & \frac{\log \text{dist}(A_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega)}{\log \varepsilon} = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \frac{b(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}{\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)q(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \\
& = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \frac{\text{dist}(a_\varepsilon, \partial \Omega)b(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}{\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)q(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{Cq(a_\varepsilon)^2}{b(a_\varepsilon)} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain \((b)\), note that by \((3.2)\) and by lemma 6, we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{A_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q = 2\pi \inf_{\Omega} \frac{q^2}{b}
\]

and by lemma 11, we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{A_\varepsilon} b(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q - q(a_\varepsilon) \int_{A_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p = 0.
\]

If \(\frac{q^2}{b}\) is Dini-continuous and if the solutions concentrate around an interior point, we have the following improvement.

**Proposition 8.** If \(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} a_\varepsilon = \hat{a} \in \Omega\) and \(\frac{q^2}{b}\) is Dini-continuous in a neighbourhood of \(\hat{a}\), then

\[
\frac{\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon)}{\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{b} + O(1) = \frac{q(a_\varepsilon)^2}{b(a_\varepsilon)} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O(1) = \inf_{\Omega} \frac{q^2}{b} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O(1),
\]

\[
0 < \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} < \infty.
\]

**Proof of proposition 8.** Beginning as in the proof of proposition 7, we have, as proposition 5 is applicable in place of \((19)\), that there exists \(c > 0\) such that

\[
\left(1 + \frac{2 \text{dist}(A_\varepsilon^\varepsilon, \partial \Omega)}{\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon)}\right) \geq c/\tau.
\]

Since \(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} a_\varepsilon = \hat{a} \in \Omega\), there exists \(\rho > 0\) such that for every \(\sigma \geq \rho\) and \(x \in A_\varepsilon^\sigma\), \(|x - a_\varepsilon| \leq C\sigma\). This implies that

\[
\left(\log \frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q \leq \left(\log \frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right)^2 \frac{q(\hat{a})^2}{b(\hat{a})} \left(1 + O(C\tau)\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q.
\]
Taking now $\varepsilon = \tau_1 < \sigma_1 = \tau_2 < \sigma_2 < \ldots < \sigma_k = \rho$ and summing the previous inequality over $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we obtain

$$\frac{q(\bar{\alpha})^2}{b(\bar{\alpha})} \left( \log \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \int_\Omega |\nabla W_{\rho, \varepsilon}^\omega|^2 \leq \left( \log \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{j=1}^k \omega(C\sigma_j) \log \frac{\sigma_j}{\tau_j} \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q.$$

By taking the limit of Riemann sums, we conclude that

$$\frac{q(\bar{\alpha})^2}{b(\bar{\alpha})} \int_\Omega |\nabla W_{\rho, \varepsilon}^\omega|^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{\log \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{(\log \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon})^2} \int_\varepsilon^\rho \frac{\omega(C\tau)}{\tau} \, d\tau \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^p q,$$

which improves (20) and allows to continue the proof.

**4. Construction and asymptotics of vortices**

In this section we go back to the axisymmetric Euler equation and the shallow water equation and prove our main results.

**4.1. Vortex rings for the Euler equation**

For the Euler equation, the solutions of the previous sections gives us a suitable Stokes stream functions.

**4.1.1. Vortex ring in the whole space** The first case is the construction of a vortex ring in the whole space.

**Proof of theorem 1.** Define for every $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $b(r, z) = r$ and

$$q(r, z) = W \left( \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8W} \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^2 \right).$$

One computes directly that $\frac{q^2}{r}$ achieves its minimum at $(\frac{k}{4W}, 0)$ and that

$$2\pi \frac{q(r, 0)}{b(r, 0)} = k.$$

By proposition 2, the problem has a solution for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Define

$$v_\varepsilon(r, z) = \text{curl} \left( \frac{(u_\varepsilon + q_\varepsilon) e_\theta}{r} \right)$$

and

$$p_\varepsilon(r, z) = \frac{(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{2}.$$

One computes that

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} v = \frac{k}{4\pi r} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

and that

$$\text{curl} \, v_\varepsilon(r, z) = (u_\varepsilon(r, z) - q_\varepsilon(r, z)) e_\theta.$$

The conclusion follows by the asymptotics of propositions 7 and 8.
4.1.2. Vortex ring in a cylinder

The proof of theorem 2 is very similar.

Proof of theorem 2. If \( \kappa < 4\pi W \), one defines \( b \) and \( q \) as in the proof of theorem 1. Otherwise one sets

\[
q(r, z) = \frac{W}{2} r^2 + \left( \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} - \frac{W}{2} \right).
\]

One checks that \( \frac{q^2}{W} \) achieves its minimum at \((1, 0)\). Since \( \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} - \frac{W}{2} \geq 0 \), we can then use proposition 7 in the asymptotics.

4.1.3. Vortex ring outside a ball

For the construction of a vortex ring outside a ball, we use the strict inequality of proposition 3.

Proof of theorem 3. If \( \kappa > 6\pi W \), let \( r^* \) be the unique number such that

\[
2r^* + \frac{1}{r^*} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi W}.
\]

Define now

\[
q(r, z) = \frac{W}{2} \left( r^2 - \frac{r}{r^2 + z^2} \right) + \frac{3W}{2} \left( r^2 + \frac{1}{r^*} \right).
\]

Observe that if \( z \neq 0 \),

\[
q(r, z) > q(r, 0).
\]

If the function \( r \in [1, \infty) \mapsto q(r, z) \) achieves its maximum at \( \hat{r} \in (1, \infty) \), by Fermat’s theorem,

\[
\frac{3W}{2} (\hat{r}^2 - \frac{1}{\hat{r}}) + \frac{3W}{2} \left( \frac{r^2 + 1}{r^*} \right) \left( \hat{r}^2 - \frac{1}{\hat{r}} - \frac{1}{r^*} \right) = 0,
\]

from which we deduce that \( \hat{r} = r^* \). Define

\[
q^\infty(x) = \frac{W}{2} r^2 + \frac{3W}{2} \left( r^2 + \frac{1}{r^*} \right),
\]

and observe that

\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{q(x)}{q^\infty(x)} = 1,
\]

and that

\[
\inf_{\Omega} \frac{(q^\infty)^2}{b} = \frac{q^\infty(r^*_e, 0)^2}{b(r^*_e, 0)} > \frac{q^\infty(r^*_e, 0)^2}{b(r^*_e, 0)}
\]

with

\[
(r^*_e)^2 = r^2 + \frac{1}{r^*}.
\]

In particular, since \( r_e \geq 1 \), \( (r^*_e, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_1 \). By proposition 4, we have

\[
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{c^e_e}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \leq \inf_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_1} \frac{q^\infty}{b} < \frac{\pi q^\infty(r^*_e, 0)^2}{b(r^*_e, 0)}
\]

and by proposition 7,

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{c^e_e}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \pi \frac{q^\infty(r^*_e, 0)^2}{b(r^*_e, 0)}.
\]
By proposition 3, the problem \((P)\) has a solution. One constructs the flow and studies its asymptotics by proposition 7 as in the proof of theorem 1.

If \(\kappa \leq 6\pi W\), define

\[
q(r,z) = \frac{W}{2} \left( r^2 - \frac{r}{r^2 + z^2} \right) + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi},
\]

and

\[
q^\infty(r,z) = \frac{W}{2} r^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}
\]

and observe that \(\frac{q^\infty}{r}\) achieves its maximum at \((1,0)\) and that

\[
\inf_{\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_1} \frac{q^2}{b} = \left( \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \right)^2
\]

and

\[
\inf_{(r,z) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_1} \frac{q^\infty(r,z)}{r} = \frac{16}{9\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{6\pi W}{\kappa}} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \right)^2 > \left( \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \right)^2
\]

since \(\kappa \leq 6\pi W\). The rest of the proof is similar to the case \(\kappa > 6\pi W\).

**4.1.4. Vortex ring outside a compact set** In order to construct solutions outside an arbitrary compact set, we first construct and study the irrotational flow.

**Lemma 14.** Let \(\alpha > -1\), \(k \geq 0\) and \(K \subset \mathbb{R}^2\). Define \(b : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}\) and \(q^\infty : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}\) be defined for \(x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+\) by

\[
b(x) = x_1^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad q^\infty(x) = \frac{W}{\alpha \pi} x_1^{\alpha+1} + k.
\]

If \(K\) is compact and satisfies an interior cone condition at every point of \(\partial K \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+,\) then there exists a unique solution \(q \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K)\) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
- \text{div} \frac{\nabla q}{b} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K, \\
q = k & \text{on } \partial(\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K), \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{q(x)}{q_\infty(x)} = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover \(q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\),

\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\nabla q(x)}{x_1^\alpha} = (W,0),
\]

and, if \(K \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+ \neq \emptyset\), for every \(x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K\),

\[
q(x) < q^\infty(x).
\]
Proof. Since $K$ is compact there exists $R > 0$ such that $K \subset B(0, R)$. Choose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ so that $\varphi = 1$ on $B(0, R)$ and $\varphi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 2R)$ and define $g : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ by

$$g(x) = \frac{W}{x_1^{\alpha+1}} \varphi(x)x_1^{\alpha+1}.$$ 

Observe that since $\alpha > -1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{|\nabla g|^2}{b} \leq 2 \left(\frac{W}{\alpha+1}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla \varphi(x)|^2 x_1^{\alpha+2} + (\alpha + 1)^2 |\varphi(x)|^2 x_1^\alpha \, dx < \infty.$$ 

Construct the function $v \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K)$ by minimizing the Dirichlet energy

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b} - \int_\Omega \frac{\nabla g \cdot \nabla v}{b}$$

over $H^1_0(\Omega, b)$ and set

$$q = q_{\infty} - g + v.$$ 

One has clearly $v \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K)$ and

$$\text{div} \frac{\nabla q}{b} = 0$$

weakly in $\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K$. By the classical interior regularity theory, $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K)$. Since $K \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+$ satisfies an interior cone condition at every point of $\partial K \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+$, $v$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus \text{int} K$ [28, Corollary 8.28].

Now we claim that $v \leq g$. Indeed, by taking $(v - g)_+ \in H^1_0(\Omega, b)$ as a test function in the equation, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K} |\nabla (v - g)_+|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K} (\nabla v - \nabla g) \cdot \nabla (v - g)_+ = 0,$$

so that $v \leq g$. In particular, we have $q \leq q_{\infty}$. Similarly, one has that $v \geq k + g - q_{\infty}$, so that we have proved that

$$k + g - q_{\infty} \leq v \leq g; \quad (21)$$

in particular, $v$ is continuous on $\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus \text{int} K$. By the strong maximum principle, we have $v > k + g - q_{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K$.

Moreover, we have by (21) for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus B(0, 2R)$,

$$v(x) \geq -\frac{W}{\alpha + 1} x_1^{\alpha+1}.$$ 

Define

$$w(x) = -WA_1 x_1^{\alpha+1} \frac{(2R)^{\alpha+2}}{|x|^\alpha}.$$ 

One checks that $\text{div} \frac{\nabla w}{b} = 0$ and $w \leq v$ on $\partial B(0, 2R)$. By a comparison argument, we have thus that $w \leq v$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus B(0, 2R)$. In particular,

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} v(x) = 0.$$
Finally, note that if \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 2R) \), by combining a classical estimate [28, Corollary 6.3] with (22):

\[
\frac{\lvert \nabla v(x) \rvert}{x_1^\alpha} \leq C \sup_{y \in B(x, x_1/2)} \frac{\lvert v(y) \rvert}{|y|^{\alpha+2}},
\]

and thus

\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\lvert \nabla v(x) \rvert}{x_1^\alpha} = 0.
\]

**Proof of theorem 4.** Since \( K \) is simply connected \( \partial (\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K) \) is connected and \( \psi(r, z) = k \) on \( \partial (\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K) \) for some \( k < 0 \), we observe that \( q \) is also the solution given by lemma 14. We are going to apply proposition 3. We observe that by proposition 2 and proposition 4, we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = \inf_{(r, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{q''(r, z)^2}{r}.
\]

By a direct computation,

\[
\inf_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(q''^\varepsilon)^2}{b} = \frac{q''(r_\varepsilon, z)^2}{r_\varepsilon}.
\]

Since \( K \) is compact, there exists \( z_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( (r_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon) \notin K \). By proposition 4, lemma 14 and proposition 7

\[
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{\pi}{r_\varepsilon} < \limsup_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{c_\varepsilon}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}.
\]

By proposition 3, a solution \( u_\varepsilon \) exists if \( \varepsilon \) is small enough. One defines the associated flow and studies its asymptotics as in the proof of theorem 1.

The question of where the vortex concentrates gives rise to a result depending on the geometry of the compact set \( D \):

**Proposition 9.** If \( k \) is sufficiently large and \( \alpha > 0 \), then

\[
\inf_{x \in \partial (\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K)} \frac{q(x)^2}{x_1^\alpha} < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K} \frac{q(x)^2}{x_1^\alpha}.
\]

**Proof.** First one has

\[
\inf_{x \in \partial (\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K)} \frac{q(x)^2}{x_1^\alpha} = k^2 \inf_{x \in \partial (\mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K)} \frac{1}{x_1^\alpha}.
\]

Since \( K \) is compact, there exists \( R > 0 \) such that \( K \subset B(0, R) \). Take \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( |a| \geq R \). One has

\[
\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus K} \frac{q(x, z)}{x_1^\alpha} \leq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{q(x)^2}{x_1^\alpha} \leq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{q''(x)^2}{x_1^\alpha} = 4 \left( k \frac{\alpha + 1}{2\alpha + 1} \right) \frac{a^{\alpha+2}}{\alpha+1} W^{\alpha+1}.
\]
4.2. Vortices for the shallow water equation

We finish by sketching the proofs for the shallow water equation:

**Proof of theorem 5.** Set for $x \in \Omega$, $q(x) = \frac{\kappa}{2} \sup_{\Omega} b$. By proposition 1, $(\mathcal{P})$ has a solution $u_\varepsilon$. Define for $x \in \Omega$

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = \text{curl} u_\varepsilon(x)$$

and

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left( \frac{u_\varepsilon(x) - q_\varepsilon(x)}{p+1} \right) = \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{|v_\varepsilon(x)|^2}{2}.$$

One checks directly that this is a steady flow of the shallow water equation (5) and that

$$\text{curl} v_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left( u_\varepsilon(x) - q_\varepsilon(x) \right)^p,$$

and that

$$\inf_{\Omega} \frac{b^2}{\varepsilon^2} = \left( \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \right) \sup_{\Omega} b,$$

so that $\text{curl} v_\varepsilon$ has the required asymptotic properties by proposition 7.

**Proof of theorem 6.** Set for $x \in \Omega$, $q(x) = -\psi_0(x)$. By proposition 1, $(\mathcal{P})$ has a solution $u_\varepsilon$. Define for $x \in \Omega$

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = \text{curl}(u_\varepsilon - q_\varepsilon)$$

and

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left( \frac{u_\varepsilon(x) - q_\varepsilon(x)}{p+1} \right) = \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{|v_\varepsilon(x)|^2}{2}.$$

One checks directly that this is a steady flow of the shallow water equation (5) and that $\text{curl} v_\varepsilon$ has the required asymptotic properties.
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