Assessment of dead-space ventilation in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a prospective observational study
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Abstract

Background: Physiological dead space ($V_{D}/V_{T}$) represents the fraction of ventilation not participating in gas exchange. In patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), $V_{D}/V_{T}$ has prognostic value and can be used to guide ventilator settings. However, $V_{D}/V_{T}$ is rarely calculated in clinical practice, because its measurement is perceived as challenging. Recently, a novel technique to calculate partial pressure of carbon dioxide in alveolar air ($PACO_2$) using volumetric capnography (VCap) was validated. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate how VCap and other available techniques to measure $PACO_2$ and partial pressure of carbon dioxide in mixed expired air ($PeCO_2$) affect calculated $V_{D}/V_{T}$.

Methods: In a prospective, observational study, 15 post-cardiac surgery patients and 15 patients with ARDS were included. $PACO_2$ was measured using VCap to calculate Bohr dead space or substituted with partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood ($PaCO_2$) to calculate the Enghoff modification. $PeCO_2$ was measured in expired air using three techniques: Douglas bag (DBag), indirect calorimetry (InCal), and VCap. Subsequently, $V_{D}/V_{T}$ was calculated using four methods: Enghoff-DBag, Enghoff-InCal, Enghoff-VCap, and Bohr-VCap.

Results: $PaCO_2$ was higher than $PACO_2$, particularly in patients with ARDS (post-cardiac surgery $PACO_2 = 4.3 \pm 0.6$ kPa vs. $PaCO_2 = 5.2 \pm 0.5$ kPa, $P < 0.05$; ARDS $PACO_2 = 3.9 \pm 0.8$ kPa vs. $PaCO_2 = 6.9 \pm 1.7$ kPa, $P < 0.05$). There was good agreement in $PeCO_2$ calculated with DBag vs. VCap (post-cardiac surgery bias = $0.04 \pm 0.19$ kPa; ARDS bias = $0.03 \pm 0.27$ kPa) and relatively low agreement with DBag vs. InCal (post-cardiac surgery bias = $-1.17 \pm 0.50$ kPa; ARDS mean bias = $-0.15 \pm 0.53$ kPa). These differences strongly affected calculated $V_{D}/V_{T}$. For example, in patients with ARDS, $V_{D}/V_{T}$ calculated with Enghoff-InCal was much higher than Bohr-VCap ($V_{D}/V_{T}$Enghoff-InCal = $66 \pm 10$ % vs. $V_{D}/V_{T}$Bohr-VCap = $45 \pm 7$ %; $P < 0.05$).

Conclusions: Different techniques to measure $PACO_2$ and $PeCO_2$ result in clinically relevant mean and individual differences in calculated $V_{D}/V_{T}$, particularly in patients with ARDS. Volumetric capnography is a promising technique to calculate true Bohr dead space. Our results demonstrate the challenges clinicians face in interpreting an apparently simple measurement such as $V_{D}/V_{T}$.
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Background

Physiological dead space ($V_{D,phys}$) represents the fraction of ventilation not participating in gas exchange, including the airway (or anatomical) dead space ($V_{D,anat}$, i.e., ventilation of the conducting airways) and alveolar dead space ($V_{D,alv}$, i.e., ventilation receiving no pulmonary artery perfusion). In patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), dead space has prognostic value [1–4] and can be used to guide ventilator settings [5–8]. However, dead space is rarely calculated in clinical practice, because assessment of dead space is perceived as challenging and misunderstanding exists on different methods of calculation.

The first method used to calculate dead-space fraction ($V_D/V_T$) was introduced in 1891 by Christian Bohr [9]:

$$V_D = \frac{P_{ACO_2} - P_{E CO_2}}{P_{ACO_2}}$$

where $V_D$ is dead-space volume (i.e., volume not participating in gas exchange), $V_T$ is total exhaled volume, $P_{ACO_2}$ is the partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood ($PaCO_2$), and $P_{E CO_2}$ is the partial pressure of carbon dioxide in mixed expired air. $V_D$ calculated using Bohr's equation accurately measures $V_{D,phys}$ [10]. However, difficulties with measurement of $P_{ACO_2}$ led to rejection of this method. In 1938, Enghoff proposed replacement of $P_{ACO_2}$ by partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood ($PaCO_2$), also known as the Enghoff modification [11]. This modification is in general use today, but it comes with limitations. By substituting $PaCO_2$ for $P_{ACO_2}$, intrapulmonary shunt and diffusion limitations are taken into the equation, resulting in a falsely elevated dead-space fraction [10, 12]. Therefore, the Enghoff modification of Bohr's equation is not a measure of dead space as such but a global index of gas exchange impairment. Nevertheless, in clinical practice, the Enghoff modification is often falsely referred to as $V_{D,phys}$. Another modification of the traditional Bohr formula uses the end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide ($P_{ETCO_2}$) instead of $PaCO_2$ [13]. In healthy subjects at rest, $P_{ETCO_2}$ almost equals $PaCO_2$ (and $PACO_2$), but during heavy exercise $P_{ETCO_2}$ overestimates $PaCO_2$ and in lung disease $P_{ETCO_2}$ underestimates $PaCO_2$ [14–16]. Recently, a novel technique for determining $PACO_2$ based on volumetric capnography was developed and validated [17, 18]. With this technique, the eliminated concentration of CO$_2$ is plotted against the expired tidal volume, which allows breath-to-breath calculation of $PACO_2$ and Bohr dead space. However, in humans, volumetric capnography-based $PACO_2$ has been applied only to healthy and anesthetized subjects [19].

In addition to the difficulties with measurement of $PACO_2$ in Bohr's formula, there are different techniques for measuring its second component, $PeCO_2$. First, with a Douglas bag, expired air can be collected and analyzed for the fraction of CO$_2$. However, this method is labor-intensive, and, in mechanically ventilated patients, gas compression and ventilator bias flow dilute expired air and should be corrected for [20]. Second, indirect calorimetry measures CO$_2$ production ($\dot{VCO}_2$), which can be used to calculate $PeCO_2$. Third, the most commonly used and easiest method to determine $PeCO_2$ is volumetric capnography.

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate how different techniques of measuring $PACO_2$ and $PeCO_2$ affect calculated dead-space ventilation in mechanically ventilated patients with ARDS and normal lung function. $PACO_2$ was calculated using volumetric capnography or replaced with $PaCO_2$. $PeCO_2$ was calculated using the Douglas bag, indirect calorimetry, and volumetric capnography.

Methods

Study subjects

We conducted a prospective, observational study in the intensive care unit of the Radboud University Medical Center in Nijmegen, The Netherlands. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board (CMO regio Arnhem-Nijmegen) and was in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. The institutional review board waived the need for informed consent.

Study design

Two patient groups were studied: 15 patients who underwent elective post-cardiac surgery and 15 patients fulfilling the Berlin Definition of ARDS [21]. Exclusion criteria were hemodynamic instability (mean arterial pressure <65 mmHg despite vasopressors) in both groups and past medical history of lung disease in the post-cardiac surgery patients. All patients were ventilated with a SERVO-i ventilator (Maquet Critical Care, Sölna, Sweden) and disposable tubing (patients with ARDS, Evaqua breathing circuit, Fisher & Paykel Healthcare, Auckland, New Zealand; post-cardiac surgery patients, Limb-O breathing circuit, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). Mechanical ventilator settings were not adjusted during the study. Fraction of inspired oxygen ($FiO_2$) and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) were set according to the lower PEEP/higher $FiO_2$ arm of the ARDSNet protocol.

Calculating dead-space ventilation

$V_D/V_T$ was calculated simultaneously using four methods: (1) Enghoff-Douglas bag (DBag), (2) Enghoff-indirect calorimetry (InCal), (3) Bohr-volumetric capnography (VCap), and (4) Enghoff-VCap. All measurements were
performed within the same 5 minutes to ensure that methods could be accurately compared.

**Enghoff-DBag**

Dead space with Enghoff-DBag was calculated using the Enghoff modification:

\[
V^D = \frac{P_{\text{CO}_2}^\text{aw} - P_{\text{CO}_2}^\text{aw}}{D_{\text{aw}}} \times (P_{\text{peak}} - \text{PEEP})
\]

\[V_T = \frac{P_{\text{CO}_2}^\text{aw} - P_{\text{CO}_2}^\text{aw}}{D_{\text{aw}}} \times (P_{\text{peak}} - \text{PEEP}) \]  

(2)

\[\text{bias flow volume} = \text{expiratory time} \times \text{bias flow} \]  

(3)

\[\text{corrected } P_{\text{CO}_2} = P_{\text{CO}_2} \times \frac{V_T}{V_{\text{CO}_2} + \text{compression volume + bias flow volume}} \]  

(4)

where \(P_{\text{peak}}\) is inspiratory peak pressure. The compliance of the ventilator circuit was determined during an internal ventilator test in each patient.

**Enghoff-InCal**

Dead space with Enghoff-InCal was calculated using the Enghoff modification (Eq. 2). \(P_{\text{CO}_2}\) was derived from indirect calorimetry. Indirect calorimetry was performed with a metabolic analyzer (CARESCAPE Monitor B650; GE Healthcare, Helsinki, Finland) to measure \(V_{\text{CO}_2}\). Gas sampling was performed via side-stream sampling with a connection piece (dead space 9.5 ml) distal to the Y-piece. \(P_{\text{CO}_2}\) was calculated as follows:

\[P_{\text{CO}_2} = k \times \frac{V_{\text{CO}_2}}{V} \]

(6)

where \(k\) is the gas constant (0.115 when expressing \(P_{\text{CO}_2}\) in kilopascals), \(V_{\text{CO}_2}\) is \(CO_2\) production (in milliliters per minute standard temperature dry pressure) and \(V\) is minute ventilation (in liters per minute body temperature standard pressure). \(V_{\text{CO}_2}\) and \(V\) were stored per minute on the monitor. An average of at least 5 minutes was used for calculations.

**Bohr-VCap**

For Bohr-VCap, dead space was calculated using the Bohr equation (Eq. 1). Flow and arterial carbon dioxide tension (\(PCO_2\)) were measured using the NICO capnograph (Philips Respironics, Murrysville, PA, USA). The capnograph consists of a mainstream \(CO_2\) sensor (CAPNOSTAT; Philips Respironics) using infrared absorption technology and a flow sensor connected to the CAPNOSTAT attached distal to the Y-piece (dead space 8.5 ml). Flow and \(PCO_2\) were acquired at a sampling rate of 200 Hz and stored for offline analysis.

Offline analysis was performed with an algorithm developed for MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The volumetric capnogram was obtained per breath by plotting \(PCO_2\) against expired volume. The volumetric capnogram was averaged over a period of 2 minutes, selected by visual inspection to ensure no artifacts. The latter was necessary to correct for respiratory variability (particularly with pressure support ventilation) and thus obtain a representative breath (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). \(PACO_2\), \(PCO_2\), and \(V_{D,aw}\) were determined from the volumetric capnogram using model fitting (Additional file 1: Fig. S2) as described by Tusman and colleagues [17]. Briefly, mean \(PACO_2\) was calculated as the midpoint of phase III in the volumetric capnogram, and \(PCO_2\) was calculated as the area under the curve of the volumetric capnogram divided by expiratory volume. The position of the airway-alveolar interface (\(V_{D,aw}\)) was calculated as the inflection point of phase II of the volumetric capnogram. Consequently, \(V_{D,alv}\) could be calculated as follows:

\[V_{D,alv} = V_{D,phys} - V_{D,aw}\]

(7)

**Enghoff-VCap**

For Enghoff-VCap, dead space was calculated using the Enghoff modification (Eq. 2). \(P_{\text{CO}_2}\) was determined from the volumetric capnogram as described in the preceding subsection.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with Prism 5 software (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The normality of the distribution of the data was determined with the D’Agostino-Pearson test. Normally distributed variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Nonparametric data were expressed as median [interquartile range]. Paired t tests and Bland-Altman analysis
were used for comparisons. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

Table 1 reports patient characteristics and ventilator settings. Figure 1 shows representative examples of the volumetric capnogram of post-cardiac surgery patients and patients with ARDS. Average values of \( \text{PACO}_2 \), \( \text{PaCO}_2 \), \( \text{PeCO}_2 \), and \( V_T/V_T \) for both groups, measured and calculated with the different methods, are given in Table 2.

**\( \text{PACO}_2 \), \( \text{PETCO}_2 \), and \( \text{PaCO}_2 \)**

For both patient groups, there was a significant difference between \( \text{PACO}_2 \), \( \text{PETCO}_2 \), and \( \text{PaCO}_2 \), confirming that these parameters are not interchangeable (Fig. 2). As expected, these differences were much more pronounced in patients with ARDS (Table 2 and Fig. 2). In post-cardiac surgery patients, \( \text{PETCO}_2 \) and \( \text{PaCO}_2 \) were, respectively, 7 ± 5 % and 23 ± 11 % higher than \( \text{PACO}_2 \) vs. 16 ± 7 % and 81 ± 43 % in patients with ARDS.

**\( \text{PeCO}_2 \)**

\( \text{PeCO}_2 \) measured with InCal was higher than with DBag and VCap in post-cardiac surgery patients (Table 2). Figure 3 shows Bland-Altman plots of \( \text{PeCO}_2 \) measured with DBag vs. InCal and VCap. In post-cardiac surgery patients, the agreement in \( \text{PeCO}_2 \) between DBag and VCap was high (mean bias 0.04 ± 0.19 kPa), while the agreement between DBag and InCal was low (mean bias −1.17 ± 0.50 kPa). In patients with ARDS, the agreement in \( \text{PeCO}_2 \) between DBag and VCap (mean bias 0.03 ± 0.27 kPa) was comparable to that of post-cardiac surgery patients, but between DBag and InCal (mean bias −0.15 ± 0.53 kPa) it was better than with post-cardiac surgery patients.

DBag vs. VCap had high agreement only if \( \text{PeCO}_2 \) obtained with DBag was corrected for dilution due to ventilator bias flow and compressible volume (Additional file 1: Fig. S3). \( \text{PeCO}_2 \) after correction for bias flow and compressible volume was 0.65 ± 0.11 kPa and 0.39 ± 0.16 kPa higher than when uncorrected for post-cardiac surgery and patients with ARDS, respectively (Additional file 1: Fig. S4).

**Dead space**

Large differences in calculated dead space were present between the four different methods (Table 2). Compared with Bohr-VCap, dead space calculated with Enghoff-VCap (\( \text{PACO}_2 \) replaced with \( \text{PaCO}_2 \), but similar \( \text{PeCO}_2 \)) increased dead space by 31 ± 18 % and 52 ± 15 % for the post-cardiac surgery patients and patients with ARDS, respectively. Figure 4 shows Bland-Altman plots of dead space obtained with different methods. In post-cardiac surgery patients, the mean bias in \( V_D/V_T \) between Enghoff-DBag vs. Bohr-VCap was 10 ± 6 %, and between Enghoff-DBag vs. Enghoff-InCal it was 22 ± 10 %. In patients with ARDS, the mean bias in \( V_D/V_T \) between Enghoff-DBag vs. Bohr-VCap was 23 ± 7 %, and between Enghoff-DBag vs. Enghoff-InCal it was 2 ± 8 %.

Changes in intrapulmonary shunt and diffusion have a greater effect on Enghoff-VCap than Bohr-VCap. Partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood (\( \text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \) ratio (PF ratio) may be used as an indicator of these lung parameters. Figure 5 shows the correlation between dead space (Bohr-VCap and Enghoff-VCap) and PF ratio.

Values of \( V_{D,aw} \) and \( V_{D,alv} \) calculated from the volumetric capnogram are presented and discussed in Additional file 1: Fig. S5.

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrates the consequences of applying different techniques for measuring \( \text{PACO}_2 \) and \( \text{PeCO}_2 \) to calculate dead space in mechanically ventilated patients with ARDS and normal lung function. To our knowledge, we are the first to evaluate a novel method to calculate \( \text{PACO}_2 \) using volumetric capnography in patients with ARDS. We show that the differences introduced by replacing \( \text{PACO}_2 \) with \( \text{PaCO}_2 \) are more pronounced in patients with ARDS than in...
mechanically ventilated patients with normal lung function. Furthermore, the different techniques used to measure PeCO\textsubscript{2} introduce potential and clinically relevant sources of error in calculating dead space. These findings have important implications for calculating dead space in daily clinical practice.

Alveolar and arterial PCO\textsubscript{2}
PCO\textsubscript{2} is the mean value of CO\textsubscript{2} in the alveolar compartment, which depends on the balance between perfusion and ventilation of the lung units [10]. The replacement of PACO\textsubscript{2} with PaCO\textsubscript{2} in the Bohr formula (Enghoff modification) was proposed to avoid the difficulties of identifying an appropriate PACO\textsubscript{2}. However, in contrast to PACO\textsubscript{2}, PaCO\textsubscript{2} is affected by intrapulmonary shunt and diffusion impairment [22, 23]. In a healthy lung, the difference between PACO\textsubscript{2} and PaCO\textsubscript{2} is minimal but will increase for any gas exchange abnormality. Indeed, we found that the gradient between PACO\textsubscript{2} and PaCO\textsubscript{2} is much higher in patients with ARDS than in patients without lung disease (Fig. 2). The former has a strong effect on the calculated dead space in patients with ARDS (52 % increase). Hence, the Enghoff modification of Bohr dead space is not a dead-space measurement as such, but a global index of gas exchange impairment. This is illustrated in Fig. 5, where dead space calculated with Enghoff-VCap shows a strong correlation \((r^2 = 0.54)\) with PF ratio, whereas this correlation is weak \((r^2 = 0.12)\) with Bohr-VCap. In other words, the use of true alveolar PCO\textsubscript{2} makes dead-space calculation less dependent on intrapulmonary shunt and diffusion impairment. Even with Bohr-VCap, we found that dead space in patients with ARDS was higher than in post-cardiac surgery patients. This may be explained by the difference in lung condition but also by the difference in tidal volume between the groups. A lower tidal volume relatively increases dead space.

Table 2 Dead space and its parameters in post-cardiac surgery patients and patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome calculated with different methods

|                      | Post-cardiac surgery (n = 15) | ARDS (n = 15) |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
|                      | Enghoff-DBag | Enghoff-InCal | Bohr-VCap | Enghoff-VCap | Enghoff-DBag | Enghoff-InCal | Bohr-VCap | Enghoff-VCap |
| PACO\textsubscript{2}, kPa | –        | –        | 4.3 ± 0.6 | –        | 5.2 ± 0.5 | 3.3 ± 0.5 | –        | 6.9 ± 1.7 | 6.9 ± 1.7 | 3.9 ± 0.8 |
| PaCO\textsubscript{2}, kPa | 5.2 ± 0.5 | 5.2 ± 0.5 | –        | 5.2 ± 0.5 | –        | –        | 6.9 ± 1.7 | 6.9 ± 1.7 | –        | 6.9 ± 1.7 |
| PeCO\textsubscript{2}, kPa | 2.7 ± 0.2 | 3.8 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{a} | 2.6 ± 0.4 | 2.6 ± 0.4 | 2.2 ± 0.4 | 2.3 ± 0.7 | 2.1 ± 0.5 | 2.1 ± 0.5 | –        | 6.9 ± 1.7 |
| V\textsubscript{D}/V\textsubscript{T}, % | 49 ± 4 | 26 ± 9\textsuperscript{b} | 38 ± 5\textsuperscript{c} | 50 ± 4 | 67 ± 9 | 66 ± 10 | 45 ± 7\textsuperscript{d} | 68 ± 9 |

\textsuperscript{a}PeCO\textsubscript{2} mixed expired carbon dioxide tension, PACO\textsubscript{2} mean alveolar carbon dioxide tension, PaCO\textsubscript{2} arterial carbon dioxide tension, DBag Douglas bag, InCal indirect calorimetry, VCap volumetric capnography

Within-group testing: \(P < 0.05\) for \textsuperscript{a}Enghoff-InCal vs. Enghoff-DBag, Bohr-VCap, Enghoff-VCap; \textsuperscript{b}Bohr-VCap vs. Enghoff-DBag, Enghoff-InCal, Enghoff-VCap; \textsuperscript{c}Enghoff-InCal vs. Enghoff-DBag, Bohr-VCap, Enghoff-VCap; \textsuperscript{d}Bohr-VCap vs. Enghoff-DBag, Enghoff-InCal, Enghoff-VCap
Fig. 2 Values of \( \text{PACO}_2 \), \( \text{PETCO}_2 \), and \( \text{PaCO}_2 \) for both patient groups. Individual alveolar, end-tidal, and arterial carbon dioxide tensions in post-cardiac surgery patients (a) and patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (b). Alveolar and end-tidal \( \text{PCO}_2 \) were obtained with volumetric capnography. The dashed lines represent mean values of the parameters with the corresponding colors. \( *P < 0.05 \). \( \text{PCO}_2 \) arterial carbon dioxide tension, \( \text{PaCO}_2 \) partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood, \( \text{PACO}_2 \) partial pressure of carbon dioxide in alveolar air, \( \text{PETCO}_2 \) end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide.

Fig. 3 Agreement between different techniques to calculate mixed expired carbon dioxide. Bland-Altman plots comparing mixed expired carbon dioxide (\( \text{PeCO}_2 \)) calculated by measurements from Douglas bag (DBag) vs. volumetric capnography (VCap) and indirect calorimetry (InCal) in post-cardiac surgery patients (a and b) and patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (c and d). Dotted lines represent 95% limits of agreement, and dashed lines represent mean bias.
Techniques to measure mixed expired PCO$_2$

In the present study, we used three techniques (DBag, VCap, and InCal) to measure PeCO$_2$. In the last decade, researchers in several clinical studies compared these techniques as well [24–26]. None of the studies included comparisons of all three techniques, but a high agreement in PeCO$_2$ was found previously between VCap and DBag [25] and between VCap and InCal [24, 26]. In accordance with these results, we found a high agreement between DBag and VCap in patients with ARDS and in post-cardiac surgery patients (Fig. 3). However, the accuracy of indirect calorimetry to measure PeCO$_2$ appeared lower. First, the 95% limits of agreement were larger with DBag vs. InCal compared with DBag vs. VCap in both patient groups. Second, the mean bias between DBag and InCal showed large offset in post-cardiac surgery patients.

It is important to note that, with the Douglas bag, expired air is collected at the expiratory limb of the ventilator circuit and is consequently diluted by CO$_2$-free air coming from compressed volume and bias flow volume. In the present study, dilution of expired air lowered PeCO$_2$. The effect of dilution becomes larger as the ratio between bias flow volume and expired volume increases. This ratio is higher in post-cardiac surgery patients, who have, in general, a relatively long expiration time compared with patients with ARDS. The reliability of using a correction factor to estimate the degree of dilution depends primarily upon the accuracy of the recorded peak pressure and expired tidal volume for compressed volume [24] and the expiratory time for bias flow volume. Volumetric capnography measures expired CO$_2$ distal to the Y-piece of the ventilator circuit and is unaffected by compression volume and bias flow.

Clinical implications

The techniques used in the present study cause large differences in calculated dead space (Table 2 and Fig. 4) and demonstrate the difficulties encountered in clinical practice. These differences are dependent on the choice of dead-space formula (Bohr or Enghoff modification) and the technique used to measure PeCO$_2$, as discussed above, and they have important clinical implications. First, one should never use different techniques to calculate dead space in follow-up of a patient. Second, several studies have demonstrated that elevated dead space in patients with ARDS is associated with an increased risk of mortality [1–4]. The researchers in these studies calculated the Enghoff modification of Bohr dead space and thus calculated an index of global gas exchange impairment and not true dead space. Therefore, it is unknown whether true Bohr dead space measured with VCap has similar prognostic value. Third, a question remains regarding which method clinicians should use at the
However, high PEEP may also overcomeatelectasis and thereby increase alveolar recruitment and reduce pulmonary shunting. If dead space is measured using the Enghoff modification, it is not possible to discriminate between the effects of PEEP on pulmonary shunt and alveolar dead space.

**Study limitations**

The gold standard for calculating Bohr dead space is the mathematical algorithm of the multiple inert gas elimination technique (MIGET), an approach that allows quantification of all the pulmonary and extrapulmonary determinants of arterial oxygenation. Due to the complexity of the MIGET technique, it is never used in clinical practice and rarely in clinical studies. Nevertheless, it is reasonable to assume that Bohr dead space calculated using volumetric capnography in our study provided an accurate estimate. First, the concept of obtaining PACO₂ from the midportion of phase III with volumetric capnography has recently been validated against the MIGET technique in lung-lavaged pigs [18].

Second, our values of dead space were comparable with the only clinical study in patients with ARDS in the current era of low tidal volumes in which researchers calculated dead space using both the MIGET technique and the Enghoff modification [30]. In that study, $V_D/V_T,\text{Bohr} = 40\%$ and $V_D/V_T,\text{Enghoff} = 65\%$, compared with $45\%$ and $68\%$, respectively, in our present study.

Previously, using the similar volumetric capnography technique as used in the present study, $V_D/V_T,\text{Bohr}$ was found to be $23\%$ in healthy subjects and $28\%$ in anesthesitized patients undergoing elective, noncomplex, and neither laparoscopic nor thoracic surgeries in supine position [19]. In our post-cardiac surgery patients, $V_D/V_T,\text{Bohr}$ was markedly higher at $38\%$. This difference is most likely the result of a longer surgical procedure, open chest surgery, hypovolemia, and higher PEEP in our post-cardiac surgery patients.

With volumetric capnography, the calculation of PACO₂ depends on the determination of the intersections of the tangents of phases II and III (Additional file 1: Fig. S2) [17]. In post-cardiac surgery patients and in most patients with ARDS, this intersection is present. However, in some patients with ARDS, phase III can be very steep due to severe heterogeneity of the lung. Consequently, there is no definite transition from phase II to phase III and hence no intersection of the tangent of phases II and III, which leads to false calculation of PACO₂. The latter occurred in one of our patients, who was excluded from analysis.

**Conclusions**

Use of different techniques to measure PACO₂ and PeCO₂ results in clinically relevant mean and individual
differences in calculated $V_D/V_T$, particularly in patients with ARDS. Volumetric capnography is a novel and promising technique for calculating true Bohr dead space. Our results demonstrate the complexity of gas exchange in patients with ARDS and the challenges clinicians face in interpreting an apparently simple measurement such as dead space. Awareness of the chosen technique, as well as interpretation and consistent use, is highly important when calculating dead-space ventilation as a prognostic marker or guidance for treatment.

Key messages

- Different available techniques to measure partial pressure of CO$_2$ in alveolar and mixed expired air result in clinically relevant differences in calculated $V_D/V_T$, particularly in patients with ARDS.
- Volumetric capnography is a novel and promising technique for calculating true Bohr dead space.
- Awareness of the chosen technique, as well as interpretation and consistent use, are highly important when calculating dead-space ventilation as a prognostic marker or guidance for ventilator settings.
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Additional file 1: Figures depicting volumetric capnography, corrections for dead space analysis with the Douglas bag, and the different components of dead space. (DOCX 1371 kb)
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