Barley Genomics: An Overview
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Barley (Hordeum vulgare), first domesticated in the Near East, is a well-studied crop in terms of genetics, genomics, and breeding and qualifies as a model plant for Triticeae research. Recent advances made in barley genomics mainly include the following: (i) rapid accumulation of EST sequence data, (ii) growing number of studies on transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome, (iii) new modeling techniques, (iv) availability of genome-wide knockout collections as well as efficient transformation techniques, and (v) the recently started genome sequencing effort. These developments pave the way for a comprehensive functional analysis and understanding of gene expression networks linked to agronomically important traits. Here, we selectively review important technological developments in barley genomics and related fields and discuss the relevance for understanding genotype-phenotype relationships by using approaches such as genetical genomics and association studies. High-throughput genotyping platforms that have recently become available will allow the construction of high-density genetic maps that will further promote marker-assisted selection as well as physical map construction. Systems biology approaches will further enhance our knowledge and largely increase our abilities to design refined breeding strategies on the basis of detailed molecular physiological knowledge.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 21st century, cereals continue to constitute the most important crops with an annual output of 2 billion tons (according to FAO in 2006; http://www.fao.org). In today’s worldwide production, barley ranks fourth among cereals and is preferentially used as feed grain, as a raw material for beer production and, to a smaller extent, as food. Initially, barley was domesticated in the fertile crescent of the Neolithic Near East over 10 000 years ago [1]. In the subsequent millennia, farmers continuously adapted local populations to their needs, leading to a great variety of landraces. About 100 years ago, these formed the basis for the development of modern cultivars by cross breeding. During this time, grain yield was more than doubled with an estimated genetic contribution to this increase of about 30–50% [2]. However, to meet the future challenges imposed by a changing environment, to feed a growing world population, and to provide renewable resources to satisfy the soaring demand for energy, genomics-based technologies have to be efficiently implemented to study the genetic basis of plant performance and to isolate agronomically important genes from the genetic diversity present in the gene pool of barley. A broad spectrum of resources has been developed during the last two decades to facilitate the systematic analysis of the barley genome. These include a large number of mapped molecular markers, comprehensive EST collections, BAC libraries, mutant collections, DNA arrays, and enabling technologies such as the large scale production of doubled haploids and efficient transformation protocols. Advances made in barley genomics and recent efforts made towards physical map construction and sequencing of the barley gene space (http://barleygenome.org) will largely contribute to a comprehensive understanding of gene functions in the context of agronomical important phenotypes (refer to Figure 1 and Table 1). Recently, the techniques and methods employed in cereal genomics have been reviewed [3–8]. In this overview, we have tried to summarize progress in structural and functional genomics of barley and put emphasis on important agronomical aspects such as grain yield, seed quality traits, and implications for malting quality improvement.
| Databases                      | Website URL                                                                 | Application                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Barley Genetic Stocks         | http://ace.untamo.net/cgi-bin/ace/searches/basic                           | Provides information on the morphological & genetic background of barley mutants and contains information on 736 barley translocation and duplication lines.                                                          |
| US Barley Germplasm           | http://barleyworld.org/northamericanbarley/germplasm.php                  | Contains information on barley recombinant chromosome substitution lines and North American barley mapping populations.                                                                                       |
| EBDB                          | http://pgrc-35.ipk-gatersleben.de/portal/page/portal/PG_BICGH/P_BICGH/P_BICGH_RESOURCES/P_BICGHI_RESEBDB | The European Barley Database (EBDB) contains passport and evaluation data of 155,000 barley accessions including the international barley core collection.                                                        |
| ICARDA Barley varieties       | http://www.icarda.cgiar.org/Crops_Varieties.htm#Barley                      | Provides an index of barley variety releases from ICARDA, 1977–2005.                                                                                                                                       |
| Barley TILLING                | http://www.scri.ac.uk/research/genetics/BarleyTILLING                      | A reverse genetics platform, which can be screened for 8,600 barley (cultivar “Optic”) EMS mutagenized lines.                                                                                                 |
| CR-EST                        | http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/cr-est/index.php                           | Barley EST database containing sequences, functional annotation and clustering information of more than 232,000 ESTs.                                                                                       |
| HarvEST                       | http://harvest.ucr.edu                                                    | Barley EST database containing unigene sequences and the oligo design of Barley1 Affymetrix array. It also includes a 1000 barley SNP loci genetic map showing syntenic information with rice. |
| HvGI                          | http://comphio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/cgi-bin/tgi/gimain.pl?gudb=barley       | This *Hordeum vulgare* Gene Index provides functional annotation information, 70-mer oligo predictions and in silico gene expression data for 50,000 unigenes.                                             |
| NCBI Barley genome view       | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mapview/map_search.cgi?taxid=4513              | Provides an overview about the available genomic and genomic survey sequences (GSS) of barley.                                                                                                                |
| IBSC                          | http://barleygenome.org                                                  | Activities of the International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium (IBSC) are highlighted.                                                                                                               |
| Barley genome                 | http://phymap.ucdavis.edu:8080/barley                                    | Barley physical mapping database and available BAC clones together with the accompanying ESTs.                                                                                                              |
| Barley physical map           | http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/kuenzel/barleymap.html                     | Barley translocation breakpoints integrated into the Igri/Franka-derived RFLP linkage map.                                                                                                                    |
| Barley genomics               | http://barleygenomics.wsu.edu                                            | Contains information about barley molecular markers, genetic maps, BACs and mutants.                                                                                                                        |
| Barley DB                     | http://ukcrop.net/perl/ace/search/BarleyDB                                | Contains information about barley germplasm, molecular markers, genetic maps and BACs.                                                                                                                      |
| Gramene                       | http://www.gramene.org                                                   | Provides an overview of comparative maps of cereals including available updated molecular markers and maps of barley.                                                                                         |
| GrainGenes                    | http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/GG2/index.shtml                                 | Triticeae database provides an overview about available maps, genetic markers, QTLs and gene expression data.                                                                                             |
2. BARLEY ESTS, BACS, AND PHYSICAL MAPS—A SPRINGBOARD FOR THE EXPLORATION OF THE GENOME

The seven barley chromosomes represent the basic genome of all Triticeae species. Still, the large genome (~5500 MB), of which 80% is composed of repetitive DNA is presently not amenable to whole genome sequencing. Therefore, large scale sequencing programs for the development of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from various cDNA libraries have been initiated. The progress made in the last 5 years resulted in the generation of 437,713 ESTs covering different cDNA libraries from various stages of plant development and tissues challenged with abiotic and biotic stresses (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/dbEST/dbEST_summary.html, September 14th 2007 release). Alignment of these ESTs led to the identification of a representative set of 50,453 unigenes with 23,176 tentative consensi and 27,094 singletons (http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/tgi/tgi/gimain.pl?gudb=barley), representing possibly about 75% of all genes in the barley genome. An earlier estimate of the barley gene content based on 110,000 ESTs led to the prediction of around 30,000 unique genes [9]. This number might be an under representation due to the low EST coverage. The same EST data set, which was generated from different tissues covering the plant's life cycle, was analyzed to gain insight into differential gene expression programs in diverse plant tissues by in silico expression studies [9]. In this way, comprehensive analysis of extensive EST resources generated from large genomes provides snap shots of the transcriptome aiding in gene discovery. This also allows identifying coregulated metabolic and regulatory networks [10, 11] and helps to establish high-density molecular maps [12–14] which form the basis for comparative genomic studies, trait mapping, and map-based gene isolation. Thus, in large genome cereal species like barley, EST sequences facilitate a comprehensive overview of gene content and represent a resource to study the evolution and organization of a genome. Regarding the latter, EST-derived information remains limited as it fails to provide, for instance, regulatory information, since promoters and full length sequences are not available.

Physical maps represent an important link to connect the genetic level to the sequence level. Similar to genetic maps, physical maps are available at different levels of resolution. Wheat-barley addition lines are a useful resource to rapidly assign ESTs to an entire chromosome or to a chromosome arm [15]. Using this resource, 1787 genes present on the barley 1 GeneChip could be assigned to the six different chromosomes of barley (365 genes to 2H, 271 to 3H, 265 to 4H, 323 to 5H, 194 to 6H, and 369 to 7H) [16]. At a higher resolution, a physical map of all the seven barley chromosomes has been prepared by mapping DNA markers derived from both genomic as well as gene-based sequences relative to the translocation breakpoints of individual chromosomes that had been isolated using microdissection techniques [17]. The resulting map is of particular value, as it can be directly aligned to the genetic map of barley by common markers and thus allows for the estimation of the ratio between genetic and physical distances. An alternative approach has been described by Masoudi-Nejad et al. [18]. Here the presence of a wheat gametocidal chromosome in a wheat barley addition line was exploited to select 90 progeny lines that carried differently sized fragments of barley chromosome 7H. These were subsequently used to determine the physical order and distance of markers located on barley chromosome 7H.

During the past several years, core public resources have been established by generating “bacterial artificial chromosome” (BAC) libraries from different barley cultivars: “Morex” ([19]; 313,344 clones), “Cebada Capa” ([20]; 177,000 clones) and “Haruno Nijo” (http://www.intl-pag.org/10/abstracts/PAGX_P393.html). Based on fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques karyotype landmarks were derived for barley, which could be used in future to place the BAC clones onto the physical map [21]. This map shows that the genetic linkage maps are well covered with markers among all chromosomes. At the same time, the physical maps reveal large areas of the barley genome that have yet to be mapped. These unmapped areas mainly

| Databases               | Website URL                                                                 | Application                                                                                           |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Barley dbEST SSRs      | http://www.genome.clemson.edu/projects/barley/ssr/dbest.html                | 15,182 barley simple sequence repeats (SSR) were predicted using the available 328,724 dbEST dataset.  |
| Barley SNP database    | http://bioinf.scri.ac.uk/barley.snpdb                                         | Barley SNP linkage map.                                                                                 |
| Barley RFLP database   | http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/rflp/rflp.html                               | Contains data of mapped barley RFLP-markers from IPK.                                                  |
| Barley DArT            | http://www.triticarte.com/content/barley_diversity_analysis.html            | High density consensus map of barley DArT markers linking to existing SSR, RFLP and STS loci.           |
| BarleyBase             | http://www.plexdb.org/plex.php?database=Barley                             | An online dataset for storing and visualizing gene expression data of the barley 1 GeneChip Affymetrix array. |
| BDC-GED                | http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/seeds                                        | Contains barley developing caryopses gene expression data.                                             |
 consist of heterochromatin and show very low recombination rates [17]. In accordance with these findings, there is increasing evidence that genes are not randomly distributed across the barley genome but confined to a gene space, which mainly covers the distal parts of the chromosomes. Experimental evidence for the existence of a gene space has been gained from screening a barley BAC library with EST-derived probes, which showed a significant nonrandom distribution across the BAC clones [22]. More direct evidence has been reached on the sequence level for barley and other Triticeae species. Although up to now only a limited amount of sequence data is available, the average density of annotated genes is much higher than that expected for a random distribution across the genome. The disproportionate gene number found is probably due to the preferential selection of gene containing BACs for sequence analysis. Within single BACs, there is considerable variation ranging, in case of barley, from 1 gene in 12 kb up to 1 gene in 220 kb (for review see [23]). Thus even the gene space itself seems to be characterized by a highly variable distribution of genes against the backdrop of noncoding, mainly repetitive DNA.

The existence of a gene space also opens up new opportunities to focus analyses on gene-rich regions only. Recently, international efforts have been gearing up to utilize the extensive barley EST resources for BAC anchoring and genetic mapping. An elegant approach of screening of the Morex BAC library using EST-derived, pooled “overgo” probes [24] resulted in the identification of gene containing BACs. Upon fingerprinting of a subset of 21 161 clones, 2262 contigs could be assembled covering approximately 9.4% of

**Figure 1:** Schematic representation of structural and functional genomic aspects of barley.
the barley genome. Furthermore, a database has been set up to search screening results of BAC libraries as well as to provide an integrative view of data from the existing barley genetic and physical maps (http://www.genome.clemson.edu). The identified BAC-based gene-rich regions of the genome have been selected as a genomic reference from cultivar Morex to initiate sequencing of all gene-containing regions of the barley genome by an international effort coordinated through the International Barley Sequencing Consortium (IBSC, http://barleygenome.org).

3. A BARLEY TRANSCRIPTOME ATLAS

Despite the lack of a barley genome sequence, functional genomics efforts have been initiated by taking advantage of the available EST sequence information generated by multinational coordinated efforts (see above). As a first step, efforts were made to derive functional assignments of the available barley unigene set by annotation transfer from homologous sequences relying on the available plant whole genome sequences and by identifying common motifs from Interpro. As a result, several ontology structures such as MIPS [9] and MAPMAN functional categories (N. Sreenivasulu, unpublished data; http://mapman.mpimp-golm.mpg.de/index.shtml) were developed. Such computational methods also yielded putative regulatory networks as well as metabolic pathway interaction networks, but still about half of the genes have to be classified as “unknown.”

The available barley EST unigene resources played a profound role in developing several platforms for transcriptome analysis including cDNA-based macroarrays [11, 25], microarrays [26], and oligonucleotide-based affymetrix arrays [10, 27]. Other profiling techniques used in barley include cDNA-AFLP [28], SAGE (Serial Analysis of Gene Expression) [29, 30], and iGentifier. The latter method combines elements of tag sequencing such as SAGE and fragment display [31]. By successfully applying these techniques, barley transcriptome data have been collected from grain development [11, 25, 32], grain germination [33, 34], at least 15 different tissues/organs covering different growth stages [10], and abiotic [26, 35–37] as well as biotic stress responses [38, 39]. The new insights gained from transcriptome analysis of host-pathogen studies have lately been reviewed by Wise et al. [40]. These large scale gene expression data sets serve as baseline experiments to generate a barley transcriptome atlas. Also, an online Plant Expression Database (PLEXdb), previously known as BarleyBase (http://www.plexdb.org/plex.php?database=Barley) has been created to store, visualize, and statistically analyze barley 1 GeneChip data [41].

While transcriptomics have brought about substantial progress in elucidating biochemical pathways of barley seed metabolism (see reviews [5, 42]), very recent findings shed light on the interplay of many cellular and metabolic events that are coordinated by a complex regulatory network during barley seed development [10, 11, 25]. Studying expression data of nearly 12 000 seed-expressed genes revealed, for instance, the participation of tissue-specific signaling networks controlling ABA-mediated starch accumulation (via SNF1 kinase and a set of transcription factors) in the endosperm and participation of ABA-responsive genes in establishing embryo desiccation tolerance [11]. CpG methylation found in the promoters of prolamin box-binding factor and B-hordein genes suppresses transcript levels during the prestorage until the intermediate phase of grain development. This process coincides with the coexpression of methyltransferases, core histones and DNA-unwinding ATPases [43]. Thus storage protein gene expression may be regulated by CpG methylation. Using a lyc 3a mutant, it has been shown that demethylation of the B-hordein promoter does not occur in the mutant, hence transcripts encoding storage proteins such as B-hordeins and C-hordeins are almost absent in the developing endosperm of this mutant [44]. Transcriptome profiling of barley embryos using the 22K affymetrix Barley 1 GeneChip revealed activation of developmentally distinct defense related gene sets including coregulated phenylpropanoid and phytoalexin related genes around 20 days after flowering (DAF), followed by upregulation of antioxidant and pathogen related gene sets around 37 DAF [45]. The knowledge obtained on metabolic processes of seed quality traits could eventually be used to develop superior varieties by genetic engineering or by marker-assisted selection in conventional breeding programs.

Transcriptome analysis has also been carried out during barley grain germination at tissue-specific levels [10, 46]. Using cDNA array technology gene expression was analyzed in germinating seed samples, collected from ten different barley genotypes showing differential malting response [46]. Based on six different malting quality parameters related to hydrolytic events connected to protein, starch, and cell wall degradation 19 candidate genes were identified, whose transcript abundance showed a significant correlation with some of the malting quality parameters. White et al. [30] analyzed seven different SAGE libraries derived from malted grains and identified 100 most abundant transcripts showing differential responses during eight different time points during malting. These transcripts are related to stress and defense response, hydrolytic processes and translational events. The list of candidate genes identified in the two studies [30, 46] was further validated by a geneticomics approach in which gene expression studies were conducted with populations segregating for malting traits [34, 47].

4. FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS APPROACHES IN BARLEY

A major aim of functional genomic studies is to understand the metabolic and regulatory networks within the structural and functional context of cells, tissues, and organs often changing with time. Hence in this review, we update the functional genomic resources available (Table 1) to study gene functions in barley using reverse genetics approaches and highlight the initial success achieved through genetic engineering based on the manipulation of individual genes.
4.1. Reverse genetics

To determine gene-function relationships, large scale genome-wide reverse genetics approaches have been developed in barley (see [48] for review) which includes both nontransgenic technology platforms such as TILLING (targeting induced local lesions in genomes) [49] and insertional mutagenesis systems based on transgenic technology [50–54]. Thus, the Scottish Crop Research Institute generated a large M₄ TILLING population in the barley cultivar “Optic” with leaf material and seeds from 20 000 plants freeze dried and archived [49]. EMS induced mutations were scored at various growth stages under different conditions and documented [49, 55]. Mutant phenotypes, candidate genes, and observed DNA sequence variations can be queried in an SCRI mutant database (http://germinate.scri.ac.uk/barley/mutants/index.php?option=com_wrapper&Itemid=35). In a more recent attempt, IPK developed a TILLING population of 10 000 M₂ plants in the cultivar “Barke” (N. Stein, personal communication). Similarly, a collection of 5000 M₃ mutants of the cultivar “Morex” is provided by the University of Bologna (http://www.intl-pag.org/13/abstracts/PAG13_P081.html).

To aid functional gene analysis, insertional mutagenesis approaches were followed in barley during the last decade (i) to create loss-of-function mutations by the insertion of transposable elements into a gene of interest [50–53] and (ii) use activation tagging (the random genomic insertion of either promoter or enhancer sequences) to generate dominant gain-of-function mutations [54, 56]. Insertion lines have been generated by creating transgenic plants carrying Ac and Ds elements, and crossed them to induce Ds transposition [50–52]. Ds elements were preferentially found in genomic regions and exhibited a high remobilization frequency [52, 53]. Such Ds launch pads, represented by barley lines with each harboring a single copy Ds insertion at a well-defined position in the genome, will be valuable for future targeted gene tagging. Similarly, dominant overexpression phenotypes [54, 56] will help to study gene functions in the large barley genome where loss-of-function mutations often may not cause phenotypes because of gene redundancy.

4.2. Transgenic barley and its potential applications

In order to functionally characterize candidate genes identified in functional genomic studies, it was mandatory to establish a stable and efficient genetic transformation technique in barley. In contrast to the biolistic gene transfer technique [57], a more efficient Agrobacterium mediated barley genetic transformation method based on immature embryos was developed in spring barley [58]. In a recent attempt to further improve this technology, Kumlehn et al. [59] developed a transformation method for winter barley based upon the infection with Agrobacterium of androgenic pollen cultures. By this approach, homozygous double haploid plants could be immediately obtained at high frequency through chromosome doubling.

During the last decade, systematic efforts were made for genetic engineering of barley to improve seed quality traits including those related to malting (reviewed in [60]). Malt improvement has been addressed by altering the expression of hydrolytic enzymes related to the degradation of storage products such as starch (α and β-amyloses, [61, 62]) and cell wall components. In another approach, several enzymes such as xylanase, glucanase, endo-, and exoprotease were over expressed in transgenic barley grains and preferably the enzyme mix necessary for malting process are provided by transgenic seeds [63].

Protein engineering has been used to produce thermostable 1, 3; 1, 4β-glucanases in transgenic barley grains [64–66]. Such grains can be used to enhance the feed quality of barley for poultry [67, 68]. In a similar approach, a hybrid cellulase gene driven by the endosperm specific rice GluB-1 promoter was expressed and produced the enzyme up to 1.5% of total grain protein [69]. In addition, functions of key genes involved in determining seed quality traits related to storage product accumulation were tested. For instance, antisense downregulation of limit dextrinase inhibitor showed reduced amylose over amylpectin levels and eventually reduced total starch [70]. Also overexpression of wheat thioredoxin h in the endosperm of transgenic barley grain leads to increased activity of the starch debranching enzyme limit dextrinase [71, 72]. Further, a powerful approach of antisense oligodeoxynucleotide inhibition has been used to reveal sugar signaling networks. Short stretches of 12–25 nucleotide long single-strand sequences have been delivered to barley leaf cells to block the effect of SUSIBA2, a key transcriptional activator involved in plant sugar signaling [73]. Recently, this approach has been successfully implemented to deliver antisense oligodeoxynucleotides to barley seed endosperm to suppress sugar related signaling genes [74]. HvGAMYB, a transcription factor initially identified in aleurone and shown to be upregulated by gibberellin, has been shown to be expressed also in barley anthers. The overexpressing HvGAMYB transgenic lines show reduced anther size with a male sterility phenotype [75]. Our laboratory has recently characterized a new protein called Jekyll, which is preferentially expressed in barley grain nucellar projection tissue [76]. Its downregulation decelerates autolysis of nurse tissue. As a result, proliferation of endosperm nuclei is impaired and less starch is finally accumulated in the endosperm [77].

4.3. Towards systems biology

With respect to applied aspects in crop plants, a comprehensive knowledge of cellular and functional complexity as related to key agronomic traits could be revealed using a systems biology approach. With this in mind, a number of tools and databases were developed at our institute (Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research/IPK) to store, analyze, and display the data derived from multiparallel-OMICs profiling studies at transcript, metabolite, and protein/enzyme level with the aim to eventually gain insight into the organization of function-related networks in barley [78, 79]. These include CR-EST [78] (it provides access to clustering and annotation data of IPK EST projects), Meta-All [79], and MetaCrop [80]
(they allow to access curated metabolic pathway information and kinetic reactions of crop plants), VANTED [81] (for visualization and analysis of metabolic and regulatory networks), HiT-MDS [32] (for screening of coexpressed genes and validation of cluster centroids) as well as barley MapMan and PageMan [http://mapman.mpimp-golm.mpg.de; to index and visualize overrepresented functional categories and detailed metabolic pathway charts from throughput transcriptome data]. With the focus of using the “developing seed” as model for systems biology studies, we investigated transcriptional and metabolic networks during grain development [11, 25, 82], developed 3D models of the developing barley grain [83], implemented magnetic resonance-based techniques to establish 4D models as a framework to store different sets of data in their spatiotemporal context [84], visualized the spatial distribution of specific biochemical compounds by noninvasive NMR-based imaging methods [85] and established kinetic models of primary metabolism ([86] and E. Graafhrend-Belau and B. Junker, unpublished data) as already worked out for potato [87]. In addition, a proteomic platform has been successfully established to study barley grain development [88, 89]. The emerging model (largely qualitative) explaining how the barley grain develops and functions has to be further validated especially by the creation and analysis of different lines of transgenic plants with perturbations at putative key metabolic and/or regulatory sites (see Figure 1).

5. FUNCTIONAL MOLECULAR MARKERS AND THEIR POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS IN THE AREA OF APPLIED GENOMICS

5.1. Marker development and marker-assisted selection (MAS)

Almost two decades ago, RFLP markers were employed to develop the first comprehensive molecular marker maps in barley [90–92]. Using those RFLP maps, a series of agronomic traits and characters including many quality traits and resistance against several diseases have been mapped (for review see [93, 94]). Later, the availability of large numbers of ESTs facilitated the systematic development of functional markers, for example, by extracting ESTs containing simple sequence repeat (SSR) motifs using appropriate software tools [95]. Although EST-based SSR markers have been shown to be less polymorphic than their genomic counterparts, this drawback is more than compensated for by the ease of their development. Also, the availability of ESTs from multiple-genotypes/cultivars of barley provides the possibility to identify sequence polymorphisms (mainly single-nucleotide polymorphisms and small InDels) in the corresponding EST alignments. These in turn can be exploited for the development of markers [96, 97]. Kota et al. [98] developed the computer algorithm SNIPping for discovery of functional markers through browsing EST assemblies in barley. Also an SNP2CAPS program has been published to facilitate the computational conversion of SNP markers into CAPS markers [99]. Information generated from the diverse mapping projects was further enhanced by the development of consensus maps [14, 100–102]. These provide integrative genetic information by featuring high marker densities. Although the gel-based genotyping platforms offer the best quality marker systems, their low throughput encouraged researchers to explore high-throughput technologies that can simultaneously assay thousands of markers based on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP). Most recently, genome-wide scans using SNP-based genotyping platforms such as Illumina GoldenGate BeadArrays [103] and the diversity arrays technology (DArT), which do not require any sequence information [104] have been successfully established in barley. Although DArTs are not systematically interrogating expressed sequences, the choice of appropriate enzymes facilitates their enriched representation. Based on DArT technology, a high-density consensus map has recently been established [105]. A number of recent studies also reported the use of the afinitymetrix barley 1 GeneChip [27] for identifying single-feature polymorphisms (SFPs), which cover not only SNPs but also indels and polymorphisms generated due to alternative splicing and polyadenylation [34, 106].

An important application of the above discussed functional markers is marker-assisted selection (MAS). MAS is based on linking the DNA polymorphisms revealed by marker analysis with agronomical traits allowing for their rapid selection in routine breeding programs. MAS can be performed already at juvenile growth stages and before flowering, and thus provides breeders with the opportunity to implement faster back-crossing strategies and allele enrichment in complex crosses, which eventually reduces the time and costs required for the development of improved varieties. Despite its inherent advantages, the application of MAS in barley up to now has mainly been restricted to monogenic traits such as disease resistances. Here, one of the most widespread examples is the marker assisted selection of the rymd4 gene giving resistance to the barley yellow mosaic virus complex. For this gene, several closely linked and easily scorable markers have been developed [107, 108]. More recently, cloning of the gene facilitated the exploitation of functional polymorphisms within the coding region of the resistance gene to differentiate between alleles [109]. Using MAS, several genes providing full resistance could be readily combined in complex crosses without time consuming progeny tests in the greenhouse or in the field (e.g., [110, 111]).

MAS for quantitative traits suffers from two major limitations. (i) Compared to monogenic traits, quantitative traits are characterized by lower heritabilities impairing their accurate scoring and entailing a less accurately defined genetic position of the corresponding quantitative trait locus (QTL). As a result, large chromosomal fragment needs to be selected for, resulting in the meiotic transfer of many potentially undesired genes. Meiotic purification of a QTL into a “mendelian” locus, showing monogenic inheritance, provides a solution to this problem. The feasibility of down-tracking a QTL to a single gene has been initially demonstrated in tomato and requires the stepwise size reduction of a QTL fragment and its conversion into a near isogenic line by repeated backcrossing (for review see [112]). In barley, this approach has been successfully employed to isolate the bot1 gene underlying a major QTL conferring boron tolerance.
(i) Many of QTL alleles escape detection, when transferred into a different genetic background. The reasons for the “disappearance of QTLs” include epistatic interactions, QTL x environment effects, the allelic states of the parental lines or the small contribution of a single QTL to the overall variance. As a result, only few common QTLs were detected, when the results of mapping studies that were performed in different crosses were compared [114].

Although the number of successful examples for applying MAS in barley breeding is still rather limited (see reviews by [114, 115]), the recent implementation of high-throughput genotyping platforms (Illumina, DArT, and SFP identification by using Barley 1 GeneChip Affymetrix array) in barley will significantly increase the identification of marker trait associations, and the subsequent identification of potential candidate genes. Finally, this will allow to treat QTLs as monogenic traits and thus spur their marker assisted manipulation in breeding programs. In combination with a wide range of mapping populations developed for specific agronomic traits, this comprehensive resource of markers now allows the identification of polymorphisms in functionally defined sequences [12, 34, 105, 106]. Functional markers will also be useful for (i) association studies based on linkage disequilibrium, (ii) detection of cis and trans-acting regulators either based on genetical genomics studies using well-defined mapping populations or by investigating allelic imbalance [116], (iii) identification of alleles influencing agronomically important traits using TILLING/EcoTilling approaches (EcoTilling is a means to determine the extent of natural variation in selected genes), and (iv) genomics-assisted breeding (see Figure 1).

### 5.2. Linkage disequilibrium-based association studies

Linkage disequilibrium is the nonrandom distribution of alleles in a sample population and forms the basis for the construction of genetic maps and the localization of genetic loci for a variety of traits. The principles leading to LD apply to both biparental mapping populations (F2, RILs, etc.) and natural populations. Therefore, LD mapping is the method of choice for genetic analysis in organisms like humans and animals, where experimental populations are either not available or difficult to establish [117].

Because of its inherent advantages, LD mapping approaches are increasingly being applied for plant species, in particular maize. Due to the outbreeding character of this species, LD extends only over a few kb and thus leads to a high-genetic resolution, up to the level of individual candidate genes that can be associated with a given trait (see recent reviews [118, 119]). The use of association genetic analyses in inbreeding species such as barley has been limited so far. However, recent studies have shown that LD extends over much longer genetic distances in barley than in maize. A European germplasm collection of 146 two-rowed spring barley cultivars was used to carry out LD mapping of yield traits using 236 AFLP markers [120]. Associated markers were identified that are located in similar regions where QTLs for yield had been found in barley [93, 121, 122]. A systematic survey of 953 gene bank accessions representing a broad spectrum of the genetic diversity in barley genetic resources revealed that LD extends up to 50 cM but is highly dependent on population structure [120, 123]. On the one hand, the high level of LD in barley is due to the inbreeding mating type of this species; on the other hand, the selection of germplasm collection of European cultivars, land races, and wild barley accession from the Fertile Crescent region provided hints that the level of LD decreases from cultivars to landraces to wild barley [124]. Similarly, Morrell et al. [125] reported low levels of LD in wild barley by examining LD within and between 18 genes from 25 accessions. Local differences in LD have been observed at the grain hardness locus comprising four closely linked genes (hinb-1, hina, GSP, PG2).

Here, a high level of LD was observed in the intergenic region between hinb-1 and hina probably due to transposable elements present in this region, which influence the local recombination rate [114]. By assaying 1524 genome-wide SNPs in elite northwest European barley using the Illumina GoldenGate BeadArray platform Rostoks et al. [103] concluded that whole-genome association scans can be exploited for trait mapping in barley. This was further exemplified by the identification of a marker that showed an association with the winter habit and which could be tracked to a cluster of CBF (C-repeat/DRE-binding factor) gene homologs. In a recent whole genome LD-mapping approach, Steffenson et al. [126] used 318 wild barley accessions to perform association mapping studies using DArT markers to identify rust resistance genes. In addition, LD analysis has been performed based on haplotypes derived from 131 accessions by covering 83 SNPs within 132 kb around the gene Hvelf4E, which confers resistance to barley yellow mosaic virus. The authors identified three haplogroups discriminating between the alleles ryms4 and ryms5 [127]. Taken together, the above mentioned association studies provide starting points for a more systematic analysis of agronomic traits. These may be selected from the vast ex situ gene bank collections available for this crop. Alone at the IPK gene bank some 20,000 different barley accessions represent an ample cross section of the genetic diversity present in this species. However, in order to fully exploit the potential of LD-based association analysis in this species, populations have to be carefully selected to minimize the confounding effects of population structure. This is particularly evident in modern barley germplasm, which is frequently structured into spring and winter as well as 2-rowed and 6-rowed types, forming distinct subpopulations (e.g., [95]). If these effects are not adequately accounted for during association analysis, the risk of detecting spurious associations increases.

### 5.3. Genetical genomics studies

The genetical genomics strategy was first outlined by Jansen and Nap [128]. It combines gene expression studies with genetic linkage analysis. Differentially expressed genes (but also proteins and metabolites) involved in metabolic and regulatory pathways and identified by high-throughput technologies are treated as phenotypes, and genetic variants that influence gene expression are identified in genetically related
lines. This strategy has been successfully applied also in plant systems, and relevant data were reviewed elsewhere [128–130]. Here, we will focus on the latest development in expression QTL (eQTL) mapping in barley. Using the barley 1 GeneChip affymetrix array SNP genotyping has been performed in 35 recombinant lines of a Steptoe × Morex doubled-haploid population, enabling eQTL studies [34]. Using a high-throughput SNP genotyping platform, genome-wide linkage analysis has been performed based on 22,000 transcript data collected from 139 DH lines (Steptoe × Morex). The most significant eQTLs derived from germinating barley grain are linked to cis regulation [47]. Using the same mapping population, a serine carboxypeptidase I eQTL has been mapped on chromosome 3H to the same region where a QTL for the malting quality trait “diastatic power” has been mapped [131]. In another study, instead of a segregating population a set of 47 BC3 DH introgression lines was employed (wild barley [H. spontaneum] is introgressed in the genetic background of the elite line “Brenda” [H. vulgare]) in order to understand gene expression networks controlling seed traits. Initially, this BC3 DH population was used to identify QTLs for yield and yield components [132]. In further experiments, expression data from nearly 12,000 genes interrogated by using a barley seed specific array were used to calculate eQTLs (C. Pietsch et al., unpublished). Although such initial studies provide evidence that genetical genomics is a promising concept which assists to expose gene-trait relationships, an extensive exploration of the technology needs the full barley genome sequence and improved high-throughput genotyping information.

6. OUTLOOK

In recent years, we experienced a dramatic development of new tools and technologies for genome research and a concomitantly dramatic increase in data leading to a much improved and advanced knowledge base. Barley research gained a lot of momentum from this development but the nonavailability of a whole genome sequence is still a serious limitation. However, due to consortial efforts (see above) and the rapidly developing sequencing technologies that are relevant for even complex genomes like that of barley [133] this limitation will be largely overcome, hopefully within the next five years. High-throughput transcriptome analysis techniques have already provided numerous new insights in transcriptional networks. They will, together with rapidly improving protein and metabolite profiling techniques and in combination with new genetic analysis concepts such as genetical genomics and association genetics, improve our knowledge on the relationship between the genetic and the phenotypic architecture of agronomic traits and thus create a basis for knowledge-based molecular breeding [134]. As a next step systems biology approaches are emerging, which attempt to model complex cellular or organismic functions in response to changing internal and external factors [135]. Until now molecular markers have had limited success in barley breeding programs, but due to recent advancement of barley genomics a stronger impact on breeding strategies is expected. For instance, marker technologies together with double haploid production have almost halved the time of variety development in Australian wheat and barley breeding programs [136]. However, new whole-genome breeding strategies have to be developed to make full use of the ever increasing knowledge about crop plant genomes and their behavior.
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