Carbon nanostructure-reinforced SiCw/Si3N4 composite with enhanced thermal conductivity and mechanical properties
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Carbon nanostructures (CNS) as a kind of reinforcement material can remarkably enhance the mechanical and thermal properties of ceramics. This research presents an analysis of the influence of CNS on the thermal conductivity and mechanical properties of SiCw/Si3N4 composites. The SiCw/Si3N4 composites containing various types of CNS e.g. carbon nanofibers (CNF), multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) and graphene nano-platelets (GNP) were fabricated by hot-press sintering. XRD analysis confirmed a complete transformation of α-Si3N4 to β-Si3N4 and microstructural analysis shows a uniform distribution, as well as a pullout and bridging mechanism of CNS. The results revealed that the thermal conductivity and mechanical properties of SiCw/Si3N4 composites increased with the addition of CNS. Maximum values of fracture toughness (9.70 ± 0.8 MPa m1/2) and flexural strength (765 ± 58 MPa) have been achieved for the MWCNT-containing SiCw/Si3N4 composite, whereas the maximum values of Young’s modulus (250 ± 3.8 GPa) and hardness (27.2 ± 0.9 GPa) have been achieved for the CNF-containing SiCw/Si3N4 composite. Moreover, thermal conductivity also improved with the addition of CNS and reached a maximum value of 110.6 W m−1 K−1 for the CNF-containing SiCw/Si3N4 composite. This work provides a useful approach for the fabrication of high-performance multifunctional composites for emerging engineering applications.

1. Introduction

Recently, whisker silicon carbide (SiCw) reinforced silicon nitride (Si3N4) ceramics have attracted much attention due to their excellent mechanical and thermal properties.1 Considerable development has been carried out to improve the mechanical properties of Si3N4 ceramics, and it has been found that the introduction of a second phase (TiC, SiC, TiN, BN, and AlN, etc.) can significantly improve their mechanical properties.2 In addition, different types of reinforced phases e.g. fibers, particles, nanotubes, and whiskers have also been incorporated in ceramics.3–6 Among all of these, SiCw has attained considerable attention to improve the mechanical and thermal properties of Si3N4 ceramics.7–9 Generally, whiskers reinforcements are used to improve the mechanical properties of ceramic composites by toughening mechanisms such as whisker bridging, whisker pullout, and crack deflection.10–11 Sneary et al. reported that the fracture toughness of Si3N4-SiCw composites increased (~30%) over the fracture toughness of monolithic Si3N4 with the addition of SiCw.12

Current research of nanotechnology has stimulated a strong interest in different types of new nanomaterials possessing extraordinary applications. Previous investigations showed that the reinforcement of nanomaterials in ceramic composites can produce highly toughened composites, which can be appropriate for the advance engineering applications.13–14 Among these nanomaterials, CNF, CNT, and GNP have attracted considerable attention, due to their high mechanical and thermal properties.12,15–17 It has been reported that the mechanical properties can be consistently improved with the addition of a small amount of CNS. However, the addition of a high amount of CNS showed scattered values for the mechanical properties, which might be the reason for non-uniform dispersion, increased porosity, the weak interaction and damaged CNS.18–19 Tatami et al. have reported CNT dispersed Si3N4 composite with high density, superior mechanical and electrical properties.20 Kothari et al. found that the longer pull out of MWCNT increased the mechanical properties of MWCNT/Si3N4 composites (seven times higher than that of the matrix).21 Logesh et al. showed the uniform dispersion of CNF in reaction bonded Si3N4 matrix with
enhanced fracture toughness at 5 vol% of CNF.\textsuperscript{22} Furthermore, Dusza \textit{et al.} and Kvetková \textit{et al.} have also reported the enhanced fracture toughness of GNP reinforced Si$_3$N$_4$ and the toughening mechanism was a crack deflection, crack bridging and crack branching.\textsuperscript{4,23} Kun \textit{et al.} found that the mechanical properties of Si$_3$N$_4$ can be improved with the addition of carbon-based fillers, in which the Si$_3$N$_4$ with multilayered graphene showed the highest strength and elasticity than that of other composites.\textsuperscript{24} Therefore, excellent mechanical and thermal properties enable Si$_3$N$_4$ ceramics as one of the most attractive materials for high power electronic devices. However, it has been reported that the polycrystalline Si$_3$N$_4$ showed lower thermal conductivity, owing to the intergranular second phase, grain boundaries, impurities, vacancies, stacking and dislocation faults caused by the phonon defect scattering.\textsuperscript{25-28} The lattice oxygen was found as a dominant impurity to reduce the thermal conductivity of Si$_3$N$_4$ ceramics by the development of phonon scattering.\textsuperscript{29} Hence, the lattice oxygen contents can be reduced via high-temperature sintering as well as using non-oxide ceramic additives (nitrides, fluoride, and carbides etc.).\textsuperscript{29} Previous studies have been demonstrated that different CNS can also be used to remove the lattice oxygen impurity on the surface of non-oxide precursors. According to this phenomenon, it has been found that the addition of small amount of carbon can efficiently reduce the lattice oxygen contents from aluminum nitride ceramics.\textsuperscript{30-32}

Hence the purpose of the present research contribution is to investigate the influence of CNF, MWCNT, and GNP on the mechanical properties and thermal conductivity of SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$ composites consolidated by hot-press sintering. Benefitting from these attractive properties, SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$ composites are expected to be used as the next-generation substrate material for designing high-performance industrial devices and has been thoroughly studied.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Composite preparation

The raw materials used were $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ (purity > 93% with particle size $\sim$0.5 \(\mu\)m) and SiC$_w$ (purity > 99.5%, width of 0.2–1.0 \(\mu\)m and length of 10–50 \(\mu\)m) supplied from Beijing Ziguang Co. Ltd, while CNF (purity > 99.5%, outer diameter $\sim$ 200–500 nm, inner diameter 1–10 nm with 10–40 \(\mu\)m in length), MWCNT (purity > 99.5%, diameter $\sim$ 10–50 nm with several microns in length), GNP (purity > 99.5%, platelets comprised of $\sim$3–4 sheets with thickness $<2$ nm), YF$_3$ (purity > 99.5%), and MgF$_2$ (purity > 99.5%) supplied by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Technology Co. Ltd. In order to improve the nitridation rate and sintering action, all the raw powders were mixed into a ball mill machine for 30 min by using ethanol as a solvent using zirconia balls. Table 1 shows the detailed compositions of all the materials for different composites. The as-prepared powder mixtures were dried and sieved with a filter (mesh size of 140 \(\mu\)m). After that, the powder mixtures were poured into a graphite mold (with inner diameter 42 mm) and hot-pressed at 1700°C for 1 hour with the exceeding rate of 10°C min$^{-1}$ under N$_2$ atmosphere at 40 MPa pressure.

\begin{table}[h!]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Sr. no. & Composite names & Si$_3$N$_4$ wt\% & SiC$_w$ wt\% & CNS 2 wt\% & YF$_3$ wt\% & MgF$_2$ wt\% \\
\hline
1 & S1 & 77 & 20 & — & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
2 & S2 & 75 & 20 & CNF & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
3 & S3 & 75 & 20 & MWCNT & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
4 & S4 & 75 & 20 & GNP & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Compositions of all the composites}
\end{table}

2.2. Characterization techniques

After grinding and polishing, the bulk density for all the composites was measured by the Archimedes method. The compositional analyses and morphology of the composites were examined by XRD (XRD, D/MAX-Ultima IV), Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw, RMS, UK) and FESEM (FESEM, JSM-7800F). The fracture toughness and flexural strength were measured using the universal testing machine (Electromechanical universal testing machine, CMT5105) by SENB method and 3-point bending method, respectively. Young’s modulus and hardness of the as-prepared composites were examined by nano-indentation test analysis (Nano-indentation, HYSITRON Ti980-Bruker). The thermal conductivity of the composites was calculated by the equation $K = \alpha cp$ (where $\alpha$ is the thermal diffusivity, $c$ is the measured density and $q$ is the heat capacity). The values of thermal diffusivity ($\alpha$) and heat capacity were examined at room temperature (25°C) by using a macro flash machine (LFA 467, Netzsch, Germany) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Q200), respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization and microstructure

SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$ based composites with CNS addition were ball milled and hot-pressed at 1700°C for 1 hour. Fig. 1a shows the XRD patterns of CNS containing SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$ composites. As expected, the $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ and SiC$_w$ phases are detected in the XRD patterns and no $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ phase has been detected. It means CNS didn’t hinder the $\alpha$ to $\beta$ phase transformation. It is well known that the $\alpha$-Si$_3$N$_4$ phase is equiaxial and transformed to $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ by solution diffusion re-pPrecipitation mechanism due to the liquid phase sintering.\textsuperscript{41} However, a few minor peaks of MgSiN$_2$ have also been detected, which may be due to the reaction of MgF$_2$
(sintering additive) with Si₃N₄ during liquid phase sintering mechanism. Raman spectra (Fig. 1b) further confirm the compositional analysis of SiCₓ/β-Si₃N₄ composites containing various CNS. All the composites showed two characteristics peaks of SiCₓ at ~790 cm⁻¹ and 912 cm⁻¹, respectively.³⁴,³⁵ The presence of D-band (sp³ disordered carbon) and G-band (sp² hybridized carbon) showed the existence of free carbon. The composite-S1, S2, and S3 show a very weak and broad D-band, may ascribe to the presence of disordered carbon obtained from SiCₓ, CNF and MWCNT respectively. Whereas, the GNP containing composite-S4 shows a strong and wide D-band indicating an increase in the disorder and defects of the GNP layers. The decreasing intensities and overlapping of D and G-band indicating the increase of crystallization degree of free carbon. Fig. 2 shows the intergranular and intragranular phenomenon in the SEM images of the fractured surfaces of CNS containing SiCₓ/β-Si₃N₄ composites. The predominantly elongated rod-like structures of β-Si₃N₄ can be observed, which are closely enmeshed within the composites. Whereas SiCₓ is embedded into Si₃N₄ as well as in grain boundaries. The impurity phase of pullout and broken CNS between the interface of β-Si₃N₄ and SiCₓ can also be observed within the composites. Furthermore, the pullout of β-Si₃N₄ and SiCₓ can also be seen on fractured surfaces of the composites, support to improve the mechanical properties of the composites. Furthermore, the individual CNF and MWCNT properly attached to the surfaces and grain boundaries of β-Si₃N₄ have also been observed without any agglomeration. Fig. 2b and c revealed that the CNF (~1~10 nm) has a lower diameter than MWCNT (~10~50 nm). In Fig. 2d, wrinkled GNPs appeared at the Si₃N₄ grains indicating that the GNP layers are well wrapped around the Si₃N₄ grains forming a three-dimensional carbon

![Fig. 1 XRD patterns (a) and Raman spectra (b) for CNS reinforced SiCₓ/β-Si₃N₄ composites.](image)

![Fig. 2 SEM images for composite-S1 (a), S2 (b), S3 (c), and S4 (d).](image)
network structure, which effectively increased the contact area and load transfer efficiency between GNP layers and Si$_3$N$_4$. The close contact of GNP layers and Si$_3$N$_4$ grains also indicates a strong interaction between GNP layers and Si$_3$N$_4$ grains.

### 3.2. Density

The densities of bulk composites can be seen in Fig. 3a, in which a slight increase in the densities have been observed with the addition of CNS. The density increases for composite-S2, whereas gradually decreases for the composite-S3 and S4 (Table 2), which may be due to the reaction of MWCNT and GNP with the Si (Si + C $\rightarrow$ SiC) during the sintering process. Tatami et al. have reported that the densification of Si$_3$N$_4$ was exceeded with 1.8% of CNT, whereas it decreased slightly with the further addition of CNT (over 4.2 wt%). Moreover, the further addition of CNT reacts with Si during the liquid phase sintering at a high temperature resulting in the formation of SiC. Previous studies have also shown that the presence of CNS and SiC in Si$_3$N$_4$ matrix is very beneficial to form a strong interfacial bonding. This strong interfacial bonding is favorable to improve the mechanical properties and thermal conductivity of the composites. In addition, the presence of lattice oxygen contents is one of the most harmful impurity to affect the density of the composites as mentioned in the previous research work. Many researchers have been investigated that the lattice oxygen contents can be eliminated by high-temperature sintering of Si$_3$N$_4$ as well as using non-oxide sintering additives. Therefore, the fluoride additives have been used in this work to reduce lattice oxygen contents resulting to improve the overall densities of the composites. The fluoride additives help to enhance the phase transformation from $\alpha$ to $\beta$-Si$_3$N$_4$ as well as the densification of ceramics. Consequently, the fluoride additives can better improve the mechanical properties as well as the thermal conductivity of ceramic composites.

### 3.3. Thermal conductivity

In general, the thermal conductivity of a material depends on several factors that need to be controlled and considered. The presence of oxygen contents in the crystal lattice is one of the most critical factors in reducing thermal conductivity and density. According to reports, many studies have shown that eliminating the oxygen content in the lattice is essential to improve the thermal conductivity of ceramics. Therefore, fluoride additives have been used instead of oxide additives. Although, good interfacial interaction between the filler and the material can also increase the thermal conductivity of the composite material because poor interactions can lead to higher thermal interface resistance, which leads to a decrease in thermal conductivity. Fig. 3b shows that the thermal conductivity increases with the addition of various CNS. The influence of CNS is more pronounced for composite-S2 and S3 than that

![Fig. 3](image-url)
of the composite-S4, which shows a slight difference in the thermal conductivity. The maximum value of thermal conductivity has been achieved 110.6 W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ for composite-S2. The thermal conductivity for composite-S1 is 78.4 W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$, which may be due to the lower density (97.65%) of composite. The values of thermal conductivity for composite-S3 and S4 (98.80% and 97.79%) were 99.28 W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ and 98.71 W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$, respectively, attributing to the lower density than that of composite-S2 (>98.96%). In ceramics, the heat is transferred by lattice vibration, which can be affected by the chemical and structural failures (e.g. impurities, grain boundaries, vacancies, cracks, and pores). Among all of these, lattice impurities and phonon scattering are the main factors that affect thermal conductivity. The lattice oxygen content as an impurity, play an important role to reduce the thermal conductivity as reported by Zhou et al. The carbon atoms play the role of phonon scattering at the grain boundaries and increase the heat transfer to improve thermal conductivity. Hence, the presence of CNS increases the heat conduction process due to the strong interaction between the grain boundaries of CNS and SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$. K. Balata and Mazur have reported that the thermal properties of ceramics influenced by the inner structure of the composite and the composition of raw materials. Their research has also investigated that the carbon fillers containing SiC composites show the improved thermal conductivity. Therefore, it might be speculated that as the toughening mechanism the CNS may create an additional channel for heat transport in CNS containing SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$ composites.

### 3.4. Mechanical properties

The mechanical properties of Si$_3$N$_4$ based ceramics are closely related to the microstructure and interfacial bonding strength of the reinforcing agent and ceramic matrix. The results for the fracture toughness and flexural strength are presented in Fig. 3c. The SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$ composite reinforced with MWCNT shows better fracture toughness and flexural strength as compared to the other composites. As demonstrated in Table 2, the highest fracture toughness and flexural strengths are 9.70 ± 0.8 MPa m$^{1/2}$ and 765 ± 58 MPa, respectively, for MWCNT containing composite-S3 and the lowest values are 7.05 ± 0.2 MPa m$^{1/2}$ and 502 ± 3 MPa for reference composite-S1. It can be observed that the fracture toughness and flexural strength has been enhanced with the addition of CNS due to the strong interface bonding between CNS and ceramic composites. The characteristics of strong interface bonding correspond to the pulling out of CNS from matrix microstructure and the straight fractured surface of the combined region. The observed toughening mechanism is very similar to the pullout mechanism of graphene from the fractured surfaces as reported by Walker et al.48 Xia et al. have also reported that the pullout and crack deflection were the predominant toughening mechanism in CNT reinforced Si$_3$N$_4$.49 The Young’s modulus and hardness for all the composites can be observed in Fig. 3d. The Young’s modulus and hardness for CNS containing SiC$_w$/Si$_3$N$_4$ composites were slightly higher than that of CNS free composite, which might be due to the improved density. The improved Young’s modulus and hardness can be explained by the lowest porosity and grain size of the matrix, as mentioned in a previous investigation.50 As illustrated in Table 2, the maximum values of Young’s modulus and hardness are 250 ± 3.8 GPa and 27.2 ± 0.9 GPa for CNF containing composite-S2 ascribed to the higher density (>98.96%). The values of Young’s modulus and hardness for MWCNT and GNP composite-S3 and S4 were decreased, which may be due to the lower density. Fig. 4 shows the load and depth curves of the nano-indentation test. According to the load and depth curves, no pop-in behavior is seen, suggesting the overall homogeneous microstructure and high density of all the composites. It has been mentioned in the previous research work that the abrupt variation in load and depth curves during the nano-indentation test might be due to the non-homogeneous microstructure and the presence of pores.51 Furthermore, Baláž et al. have also investigated that the improved hardness can be attributed to

| Composites | Bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$) | Thermal conductivity (W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$) | Fracture toughness (MPa m$^{1/2}$) | Flexural strength (MPa) | Young’s modulus (GPa) | Hardness (GPa) |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| S1         | 3.11                     | 78.4                                    | 7.05 ± 0.2                    | 502 ± 3                | 241 ± 14.0           | 23.1 ± 2.1    |
| S2         | 3.16                     | 110.63                                  | 8.86 ± 0.1                    | 571 ± 39               | 250 ± 3.8            | 27.2 ± 0.9    |
| S3         | 3.15                     | 99.28                                   | 9.70 ± 0.8                    | 765 ± 58               | 244 ± 9.2            | 26.9 ± 2.9    |
| S4         | 3.12                     | 96.71                                   | 7.58 ± 0.3                    | 583 ± 74               | 228 ± 4.0            | 24.0 ± 0.7    |

Fig. 4 Load and depth curves for composite-S1, S2, S3, and S4.
the lower porosity and uniform distribution of CNTs in Si₃N₄/CNT composites. Therefore, it can be concluded that the effective combination of CNS and SiCₓ/Si₃N₄ composite can significantly improve the thermal conductivity as well as mechanical properties of ceramics.

4. Conclusion
Highly densified CNS reinforced SiCₓ/Si₃N₄ composites are obtained by hot press sintering to investigate the mechanical properties and thermal conductivity. The results showed that CNS does not affect the grain growth and phase transformation of the composites. The enhancement of fracture toughness and flexural strength were observed for MWCNT containing composites, attributing the various toughening mechanism operated. The increase in Young's modulus, hardness, and thermal conductivity was ascribed to the higher density and lower porosity. The overall observation revealed that the strong interfacial bonding between CNS and SiCₓ/Si₃N₄ also improve the mechanical properties and thermal conductivity. In summary, the proposed ceramic composites show the potential applications with interesting mechanical and thermal properties for the new composite system.
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