Abstract. This study is a research project that focuses on the opportunities offered by sport tourism to invigorate local regions in a global context. Primary research data were collected through a Delphi method applied to a panel of 20 international experts on sport tourism worldwide. The results reveal that, in order to grow and become a development tool in tourism destinations, sport tourism activities need to be organised in close cooperation with sport and tourism agencies, decision makers and the local community. Moreover, that the cooperation between agencies of tourism and sport is essential to ensure the success of a sport tourism destination.
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Resumen. Este estudio es un proyecto de investigación que se centra en las oportunidades que ofrece el turismo deportivo para dinamizar las regiones locales en un contexto global. Los datos de la investigación primaria se recopilaron mediante un método Delphi aplicado a un panel de 20 expertos internacionales en turismo deportivo. Los resultados revelan que, para crecer y convertirse en una herramienta de desarrollo en los destinos turísticos, las actividades de turismo deportivo deben organizarse en estrecha cooperación con las agencias de deporte y turismo, los actores encargados de la toma de decisiones y la comunidad local. Además, se señala que la cooperación entre agencias de turismo y deporte es fundamental para asegurar el éxito de un destino de turismo deportivo.
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Introduction

Sport has become an increasingly common practice for society. At the same time, it has also become a common leisure alternative for an increasingly broad social spectrum. Along with tourism, it has become one of the most popular leisure practices in the contemporary world. As the World Travel & Tourism Council (2019) points out, travel and tourism are «one of the world’s largest economic sectors, supporting one in 10 jobs (319 million) worldwide and generating 10.4% (U$88.8 trillion) of world GDP in 2018.

The intersection of the two spheres, the physical and sporting activities linked to tourism, is also becoming an element of significant importance linked to specific local development projects; and especially in inland regions and territories where its combination with cultural tourism and / or natural environment, for example, can give very interesting results. In this regard, the Da Nang Declaration on Promoting Tourism and Sport for Sustainable Development, created in 2016, says that tourism and sports also represent the most powerful economic driving force of society today with enormous potential to generate a very wide-range of socio and economic spin-offs (UNTWO, 2016).

Moreover, sports linked to tourism represents the fastest growing sector in global tourism as several National Tourist Offices (NTOs) are working towards promoting their destinations focused on sports. According to Pigeassou (2004), sport tourism appears as a combination of services as well as a set of services presented or sold in various ways. As the author stated out, the more common indicators of these experiences are: type of activities, level of expertise, and investment in the activity, context and status of the participants. However, nowadays a deep discussion and analysis about what sport tourism is, its modalities and its impacts on destinations worldwide is needed.

The aim of this paper is to explore, according to sport tourism experts panel compared with the existing literature, the link between sports and tourism and its opportunities as a driver of development for local destinations avoiding the most common negative
impacts and legacy and maximizing the positives ones (Kim & Gursoy, 2006; Ziakas, 2010; González & López, 2017; Kirby, Duignan & McGillivray, 2018; Peric, 2018; McGillivray, Duignan & Mielke, 2019; Mair et al., 2021; etc.), in agreement with the guidelines set by the World Tourism Organization after its resolution 364(XII) of the UNTWO General Assembly held in Istanbul (Turkey) in 1997, and also with the Global Code of Ethics for Tourism (1999). To achieve this, the research, based on the application of the Delphi Method to international sport tourism experts, provide insights on two main axes: (1) the economic and social impact of sport tourism in destinations and (2) public and private cooperation strategies for promoting sport tourism in destinations. Finally, the results based on a global perspective highlight the main opportunities and barriers for sport tourism and new trends and its perspectives for this relevant phenomenon.

**Sport and Tourism in academic literature: An introductory review**

The definition of sport tourism has been an issue throughout the short history of this field, and it still can generate lively discussions among academics and professionals. The first academic publication on sport tourism appeared in 1966 thanks to the British Central Council for Physical Recreation (Anthony, 1966). In 1970, Williams and Zelinsky studied the generation potential of mega-sport events. During the 1980s, academics focused on the economic benefits of this kind of sport events. Since the nineties, the field has grown and diversified its interest, although the definition of this field is still somewhat confused, and as Gibson predicted in 1998, it has grown in a fragmented manner, both as an academic field of study and as a distinct component of the tourism industry.

Sport tourism was primarily considered only related to trips to witness sport events. Redmond (1990-1991) pointed out that spectators were only one type of sport tourist, and described sport participants and visitors to sports attractions (i.e., famous stadia and halls of fame) also as actors of sport tourism. Hall (1992) divided sport tourism in two big types of behaviours: travelling to observe sports and travelling to participate in sports. Following these definitions, we can glimpse three different kind of destinations: those that host a sport event; those that offer the possibility of practising a given sport activity, and those that offer some attraction related to sports.

But Pigeassou (1997: 29) affirmed at the end of the nineties of the last century that the tourist sport was already in a phase of defining its identity. But it happened slowly, and only in some countries. As an example, in the case of Spain and regarding the field of social anthropology, we have that in the 1990s, games and sports was among the most prominent study categories of the decade. However, within it, the subcategory that links sports and tourism does not appear (Medina & Sánchez, 2002, 2006). Authors such as Standeven & De Knop (1999) pointed out the growing relationship symbiotic between both phenomena (sports and tourism), of which its processes of massification, complexity and diversification are parallel in time and feedback from the same dynamics than society itself. An interesting example of this phenomenon can be that of popular athletic events (urban races, marathons or half marathons, solidarity races, etc.), which are today an important attraction for travelers who organize their leisure around of these events (Abadia, Medina, Sánchez, Sánchez, Bantulà & Morejon, 2016; Abadia, Cabeo, Sánchez, Medina, Moragas & Morejón, 2019).

In 1998, Gibson gave probably the most accurate and comprehensive definition of sport tourism, as a «Leisure-based travel that takes individuals temporarily outside of their home communities to participate in physical activities, to watch physical activities, or to venerate attractions associated with physical activities» (Gibson, 1998, p. 49).

With this definition, Gibson unifies the aforementioned and indicates three different subcategories of sport tourism: Active Sport Tourism, Event Sport Tourism and Nostalgia Sport Tourism. Accordingly, we can use this definition to think about three ideal types of destinations.

In 2002, Gibson insisted on this idea, describing sport tourism as a trip with the purpose of a) taking part of, b) watching, or c) venerating or celebrating sport (2002, p. 115). In this way, sport tourism reveals itself as a unique area of study derived from the interaction of activity, people and place [...] an activity in its own right, related, but not subordinate to sport and tourism» (Weed & Bull, 2004: 15). Along this same regard, Standeven (1998: 42) noted that sport tourism should be understood as a two-dimensional concept: Sport, a cultural experience of physical activity» and tourism «a cultural experience of place».

Van Rheenen, Cernaianu & Sobry (2016) highlight five dominant underlying dimensions in the definitions of sport tourism: time (the length away from a home
environment), space (encompassing travel away from a home environment), sport as a motivation for travel (type, level and extent of sport activity), participating experience of the sport tourism activity and economic motivation. The most present dimension among the definitions analyzed was the one of sport as motivation for travel.

There are, nevertheless, multiple ways of categorising sport tourism. Lisbona, Medina & Sánchez (2008), differentiate between sport during holidays, involving sports activities performed while on a tourism trip, which can enrich the offer of a particular destination, but is not the central attraction; and sport holidays, those that imply sport as the main aim of the trip. This kind of tourism is relatively recent and still represents an opportunity to new, stagnated and declined destinations. Moreover, those authors (2008: 166) point out that we could even distinguish the purposes of the last type between competition sport and recreational sport. However, they admit that above all the differentiations, sport tourism includes every one of the contemporary aspects of leisure, such as recreational, environment-ecological, creative, festive, volunteer, etc.

Nowadays, most academics agree that there are mainly three different types of sport tourism behaviour: 1) actively participating (Active Sport Tourism), 2) spectating (Event Sport Tourism), and 3) visiting and, perhaps, paying homage (Nostalgia Sport Tourism) (Gibson, 1998b).

According to this, De Knop (1990) identified three types of active sport vacations: 1) the pure sport holiday (such as a trip to go skiing); 2) taking advantage of the sport facilities at a holiday destination, although sport is not the primary purpose of the trip; 3) the private sporting holiday, where tourists take part in non-organised sports activities (such as volleyball on the sand or beach cricket).

It is quite interesting to highlight, as Weed (2009) did, how for a longtime academia focused on sport and holidays impoverishing the outlook and leaving the relations between tourism and sport unnoticed. Many authors have asked themselves if sport tourism can work as a catalyst for other resources; if it is necessary to have a wider offer as complement or if a sport offer is enough to make a destination interesting (Fernández & Gutiérrez, 2014). Other authors stated that sport tourism can be a tool against seasonality or to improve and differentiate destinations improving competitiveness (Kenelly & Toohey, 2014). Along with this, organising sport activities in different places of a region can improve the distribution of the offer or help to decongest an overcrowded destination, while strengthening the development of alternative tourism options (Medina & Sánchez, 2005).

Those kinds of problems prove that there is a real need for collaboration between public and private agents in the field of sport tourism to avoid irrepairable consequences and ensure a fair distribution of costs and benefits (Jackson & Weed, 2003; Weed & Jackson, 2008; Chalip, 2004; Weed, 2009). As Hinch & Higham (2011: 63) points also out: «The achievement of sustainable sport tourism requires a balance between social, economic and environment goals», and the approach known as the «triple bottom line».

New activities can arise from surprising coalitions in the fields of sport tourism and cultural heritage in some destinations. Nevertheless, sport is a cultural creation and it can be an element of local identity at the same time as a tourist attraction; this is the case of ethnic and traditional sports such as Basque pelota (González-Abrikseta, 2005) or Canarian wrestling (Alonso & Medina, 2019). Both have been recognised as cultural reference points and important tourist attractions, shown as unique and exclusive, and in the end they have been recognised as cultural heritage in order to use them as tourist attractions. The recognition as cultural heritage of these traditional sports can improve the offer in many destinations and they can specially be assets for peripheral and marginalised areas, but as with every heritagization process, they can cause undesirable consequences such as breaching the continuity in the cultural production of meanings (Nogués-Pedregal, Travé-Molero & Carmona-Zubiri, 2017).

Academics have been long concerned about the effects of hosting different types of sport events for a particular community, along with the competition between cities to attract the most lucrative sport events (Turco & Eisenhardt, 1998; Medina, 2006; Weeds, 2008; Yildiz & Çekiç, 2015). Researchers on sport tourism commonly agree that small-scale local events have a bigger impact, both on the economic income and the mental wellbeing of the residents (Higham, 1999: 87). When talking about small-scale events, locals are more likely to cooperate in the organisation and with the consequent new feeling of pride in the community. Moreover, this kind of event tends to improve the general attraction of the destination, to strengthen its competitiveness and to promote tourism beyond the event itself. In this way, people may return to a particular place for a holiday after attending (or watching on
television) a sport event there (Higham, 1999: 87). Closely related to small-scale events, community-based mass participant sport events, as running (Zhou & Kaplanidou, 2018) or MTB events (Nogueira, Farias & Pereira, 2021) tend to generate a more positive perception of the impacts and legacies among the host communities. Moreover, they have the potential to build social and bonding capital among participants, organizers and other social actors and therefore «to contribute to the community development and wellbeing» (Zhou & Kaplanidou, 2018: 491).

Academics usually describe small-scale events as those that take place during the regular season of competition sports, regular international competitions, local or regional competitions, competitions for the disabled, etc. This kind of event does not require big investments; they tend to use previous infrastructures; they are easy to manage regarding visitors and they help dealing with seasonality (Higham, 1999: 87). On the contrary, when speaking about mega-events, the positive effects to local residents are much more debatable. Usually this type of event lasts just for a short period of time and it can generate an important amount of debt for the local budget. It can also bring about the beginning of corruption processes and displacement for some members of the community as a result of the development of new infrastructures. (Walo, Bull & Breen, 1996).

The success of a sport event and its tourism consequences will be proportionally related to how it fits in among the organisers and the local social structure (Wäsche, 2015). Especially nowadays when social involvement and even public referendums (Scheu & Preuss, 2018) could be a legal requirement or at least an institutional recommendation, as we can see in the Olympic 2020 Agenda (IOC, 2018). A meta-analysis of the existing literature on sports tourism conducted also by Weed (2009) confirmed the predominance of articles on sporting events, a change in approach in the research focus from leveraging impacts and concerns about quality of behavioural research in this field. This author concluded that research in the field of sports tourism began to show signs of maturity. This collaboration between public and private stakeholders was highlighted by the World Tourism Organization (UNWTO, 1999) through the Global Code of Ethics for Tourism, considering that this genuine collaboration between agents was essential for the development of tourism.

A meta-analysis of the existing literature on sports tourism conducted also by Weed (2009) confirmed the predominance of articles on sporting events, a change in approach in the research focus from leveraging impacts and concerns about quality of behavioural research in this field. This author concluded that research in the field of sports tourism began to show signs of maturity. If all this happens, the public image of the destination will quite probably experience an important improvement (Kim, Jun, Walter & Drane, 2015).

On the other hand, impacts and legacies of mega sport events tend to be perceived as socially problematic (Thomson et al., 2019; Mair et al., 2021). Not only the perception of the negative legacies usually outweighs the positive (Scheu & Preuss, 2018: 378) but the interests of micro and small business are normally sidelined, even when these are used as central to legitimize the events (Kirby, Duignan & McGillivray, 2018: 917). It is quite significant that Olympic Games had become a paradigmatic example of negative socio-economic and urbanistic impacts in the host community (McGillivray, Duignan & Mielke, 2019). Nevertheless, we can find counterexamples which show a high grade of perceived positive socio-economic impacts (Peric, 2018; Lesjak et al., 2014; Cabanilla et al., 2021), although these cases are related with minority sports or with regional/national level events.

Mixed with other types of tourism, sports activities can be a tool in broader projects of local development. An example of this is the use of adventure sport activities as a means of modernisation and development in rural or peripheral areas (Lisbona, Medina & Sánchez, 2008). In these cases, private-public collaboration could be essential to be successful, along with a correct implementation of actions, plans and local development strategies (Medina & Sánchez, 2005). This collaboration may be critical regarding infrastructures in peripheral destinations (Fernández & Gutiérrez, 2014). This relationship between public and private stakeholders was highlighted by the World Tourism Organization (UNTWO, 1999) through the Global Code of Ethics for Tourism, considering that this genuine collaboration between agents was essential for the development of tourism.
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paradigm could improve the comprehension of the process and the associated behaviours.

One decade after Gibson, Weed (2009) pointed out the persistence of a good number of problems in the field of sport tourism such as:

«A lack of coherence in research relating to sports tourism; lack of agreement about fundamental concepts and assumptions about the nature of the relationship between sport and tourism; the range of perspectives of (or taken by) reviewers; the aims and objectives of the reviews; and the nature of the processes of reviewing and/or synthesising research itself» (Weed, 2009: 615).

Currently, as Kenelly & Toohey argue (2014) it is quite frequent to find a lack of relations between the different sectors of tourism and sport. As a matter of fact, in 2001 the World Tourism Organisation itself and the International Olympic Committee held a joint conference to discuss the relationship between sport and tourism and the introductory report (Keller, 2002) did not mention any of the previous and recent research evidence found by Gibson (1998) and Weed (1999).

In addition, there is a need to study the consumption patterns regarding sport tourism and a broader understanding of the impacts of sport events in the host communities (Jiménez-Naranjo, Coca-Pérez, Gutiérrez-Fernández & Fernández-Portillo, 2016). Additionally, from the academia, we need to determine the variables (internal and external) that can explain the bigger expenses of those who practise sport tourism (Jiménez-Naranjo, et al., 2016). In this way, we could open up new and fruitful lines of investigation, such as: 1) developing comparative studies between different types of sport events with their socio-economic impacts; 2) developing comparative studies between the socio-economic impacts of sport events in different host cities (Jiménez-Naranjo, et al., 2016). With another perspective, Weed and Bull suggest that “a framework that considers impacts may be a little outdated” and that the concept of leveraging can act as a bridge between research on behaviours, impacts, policy and provision “and for this reason it seems that such leveraging approaches will play a central part in future sport tourism research” (2004: 43).

What we cannot forget is that sport tourism itself is becoming a global trend. Therefore, the greatest opportunities come from attracting the attention of new targets. Women and disabled people are probably the most important future targets for sport tourism.

Although sports and activities of sport tourism have been a male-orientated field for many years (Humberstone, 2000), the fact is that the number of women interested in watching and practising sport has been constantly growing over the last couple of decades, along with the number of young adults involved in sport tourism. Joining these objective targets is an important part of the tourism business nowadays.

Disabled people have also become a new target for tourism in general - although the academia has not paid too much attention to this phenomenon (Cruces, 2016) and sport tourism in particular. In order to improve our understanding about sports tourism and disability, it is extremely important to study the needs of this type of tourist, especially everything about the destination experience and the appropriate accommodation (Darcy & Dickson, 2009). In this field, the majority of the research is related to the involvement of disabled people in leisure trips, the attitudes and education regarding leisure of disabled people and disabled people’s perception of sport.

All in all, sport is gradually changing some aspects of the tourism offer. For example, professional athletes are a growing market for destinations; events are being used to improve the public image of several places; new ways of tourism and sport are being found and are becoming not only popular, but turning into mass phenomenon and new companies are being set up to offer new sport tourism services (Latiesa & Paniza, 2006).

Methodology: The Delphi Method as a tool to explore the link between sports and tourism and its opportunities

General considerations on the Delphi Method

The Delphi method as a research approach was introduced in the late 1940s. The main premise of the Delphi method is based on the assumption that group opinion should be more valid than individual opinion. In this sense, the Delphi technique has been defined as a multi-staged survey which attempts ultimately to achieve consensus on an important issue (McKenna, 1994).

Following Linstone & Turoff (2002: 3), the Delphi method may be characterized as a technique for structuring a group communication process so that the process is effective in allowing a group of individuals, as a whole, to deal with a complex problem. To accomplish this «structured communication» there is provided: some feedback of individual contributions of information.
and knowledge; some assessment of the group judgment or view; some opportunity for individuals to revise views; and some degree of anonymity for the individual responses.

Following the same authors (Linstone & Turoff, 2002: 5; Hsu & Sandford, 2007: 1-2), the most common Delphi process is the «conventional or classical Delphi» version, where a small monitor team responsible of the research designs a questionnaire which is sent to a larger respondent group of experts having knowledge of the area requiring decision making. After the questionnaire is returned, the monitor team summarizes the results. After this step, and based upon that results, the monitor team develops a new questionnaire for the respondent group and restart the process. The respondent group is usually given at least one opportunity to re-evaluate its original answers based upon examination of the group response.

As Hsu & Sandford (2007 1) points out, the Delphi technique is well suited as a method for consensus-building by using a series of questionnaires delivered using multiple iterations to collect data from a panel of selected subjects. Thorough pre-planning and rigorous management of the survey, the Delphi technique can provide information that other conventional extrapolative methods cannot reliably forecast (Cunlife, 2002: 31; Sánchez-Bergara et al., 2018).

**The Delphi Method as a useful tool in our research**

In order to contribute to a better understanding of the link between sport and tourism and its future implications, a cross-dimensional approach was designed (Sánchez-Bergara, et al., 2018). In a first phase, an in-depth review of academic papers, sectorial and institutional reports were conducted. After that, a first draft was elaborated where the last detected tendencies and novelties on sport tourism were identified. This draft was used to apply the Delphi Method to sport tourism experts around the world. This method was chosen because despite there being an important scientific production on sport tourism on a global scale, there is a need for an in-depth discussion on issues relative to the opportunities and the future of this phenomenon. Moreover, the paper seeks to create a consensus about the challenges, opportunities and perspectives of sport tourism and to do that the Delphi Method is a very useful.

In designing the Delphi survey, a panel of experts was identified based on the literature review conducted and the suggestions made by the World Tourism Organization (WTO). The criteriato choose the experts was based on their practical and/ or academic experience and knowledge on the topic. Firstly, forty-five respondents from Spain (46%), United States (15%), Australia (12%), Malaysia (7%), England (5%), Thailand (5%), Brazil (2%), Mexico (2%), Belgium (2%) and Germany (2%) with experience in sport and tourism were selected and contacted by email, inviting them to collaborate in the study. The Delphi iterative questionnaire survey process was conducted online. The survey was structured in sections: 1) Section I. Sport tourism projects and their regional link; 2) Section II. The impact of sport tourism projects; 3) Section III. Opportunities of sport tourism projects and 4) Section IV. The academic approach.

All the questions were multiple-choice. The first round was developed between January 24 and February 8 of 2017. Twenty panel members replied to the first iteration providing a response rate of 47.61%. From their responses, the ones most voted were selected for inclusion in the second round Delphi questionnaire. The second round was conducted between February 13 and February 27. The second questionnaire was sent to the twenty panellists who answered the first round. Fifteen panel members replied to the second iteration, which means a response rate of 75% in which 66.6% were from Europe; 20% were from the Americas and 13.3% were from Asia and the Pacific. All the answers received were valid. The majority of respondents represented private sector businesses (33.3%), governmental Departments (26.6%) and educational institutions (40%). The information gathered is incorporated along the paper.

**Results: Exploring opportunities in sports and tourism**

The empirical findings were based on the information gathered. Thus, this section presents the results obtained based on the Delphi method and the secondary information. Moreover, this section presents not only the issues that are identified by this study but also postulates the linkages between the empirical findings and the literature discussed.

**Ensuring the success of sport tourism in destinations**

Based on the answers provided by the panel of experts, the majority of responding believe that the
cooperation between tourism and sport agencies (86.7%), proper communication and transportation infrastructures and suitable equipment/facilities (73.3%) and the expertise of the bodies and their social, business and institutional network (60%) are the three most important criteria for ensuring the success of a sport tourism project in a destination. Figure 1 presents the percentage of each possible answer to this topic.

In regard to the second question related to the three major obstacles to running a sport tourism project in a region, the greatest consensus was for: 1) lack of coordination between public tourism policies and the decision makers (80%); 2) the costs of the project’s design, implementation and execution (66.7%) and; 3) lack of coordination between the sport and tourism authorities (joint working) (60%).

Concerning the second question related to the three main negative impacts that sport tourism projects could cause in a region, respondents were unanimous: the expensive and underused infrastructures are the main negative impact (100%).

The impact of sport tourism projects
Regarding the impact of sport tourism projects, the majority of responding experts consider that the main benefits of sport tourism for a region are: 1) a possible solution to the seasonality dependence in tourism regions (80%); 2) a new driver for local development (wealth and employment creation) (73.3%) and; 3) possible synergies with other activities (60%). Furthermore, more than 50% of the respondents mentioned that the income for the hospitality industry and others tourism companies is another important benefit of sport tourism activities.

Opportunities of sport tourism projects
In regard to which would be the key opportunities
for sport tourism, the greatest consensus was for: an increase of small-scale sport events in order to improve the local economy (93.3%). With respect to a stronger synergy and coordination between companies from different fields in order to develop activities related with sport tourism, this issue was considered as the second main opportunity (73.3%) that sport tourism would boost.

Finally, longer term stays linked to sports events was chosen as the third key potentiality with 53.3% of responses (see figure 5).

The academic approach

From the academic point of view, there are important constraints related to the study of Sport Tourism (see Figure 6). On the one hand, a lack of coherence in the approaches made so far between tourism and sport separately (86.7%).

In addition, a lack of statistics about supply (type, volume, location) and demand (travel duration, tourist expenditure patterns, seasonal, travel organization, visitor profile) (80%). This situation affects knowledge production and limit exchanges between academia and industry. Moreover, 66.7% of the consulted experts coincide that poor experiences and meeting exchange is another important limitation to expanding the study of Sport Tourism.

Discussion and conclusions

This study has attempted to contribute to the knowledge and discussion around the opportunities and the future of sport tourism. In this regard, and according to UNWTO (2016), the different agents involved in the management of sports tourism must ensure to «Create adequate and responsible conditions for the sustainable and successful development of natural, cultural, human and built resources to enable the fruitful growth of tourism and sports for socio-economic benefit».

Throughout the information gathered based on a panel of experts located in the five continents, it could be seen that cooperation between different administrations, scholars, decision makers, business and sectors is the most important concern of the international panel members. Therefore, the main conclusion would be that, in order to grow and become a development tool in tourism destinations, sport tourism activities need to be organised in close cooperation with sport and tourism agencies, decision makers, other local resources, the local community, etc. This position is also supported by Hinch & Higham (2011) in highlighting the importance of partnerships and strategic alliances in sports tourism, largely due to the great diversity of stakeholders involved. On the other hand, it was found that experts do not acknowledge enough importance to the involvement of local communities in sport tourism projects, from our perspective and according to most of the scholars, this is a mistake that should be taken into account.

In this regard, the first section of the survey applied found that for the international panel experts the cooperation between agencies of tourism and sport is essential to ensure the success of a sport tourism destination. Although other issues raised an important attention among the experts, such as the need for proper infrastructures and the expertise of the social, business and institutional actors involved in a given sport tourism project. However, the lack of importance given to the participation of local residents in the project’s design should be pointed out as worrying, contrary to what is normally outlined by social researchers.

When the panel experts discuss about the major obstacles to running successful sport tourism projects, the main problem indicated is again related to the
cooperation between the different actors involved. In this case, the panel indicated the lack of coordination between tourism public policies and the decision makers (highlighted also by Hinch & Higham, 2011). Those authors claim that until a few years ago, partnerships in the field of sports tourism have been quite ineffective, largely due to lack of conscious integration by policymakers, planners and public providers at national level. Close to this concern, they place as the second most important problem the possible costs of design, implementation and execution of the projects.

The third problem in importance for the experts is the lack of coordination between the sport and tourism authorities, clearly related to the need for cooperation between agents that we are pointing out as the most relevant conclusion of the survey.

Once more, the involvement from the locals was not seen as one of the most important factors in the development of a sport tourism project. Only 46.7% of the participants in this survey thought that the lack of local involvement could be an obstacle to running a project in a given region. The same percentage pointed to a poor public-private partnership experience as an obstacle. As previously mentioned, the raising of awareness about the central role of locals to achieve not only the success, but also the sustainability of sport tourism projects would improve the results and the sustainability of the destinations, in line with article 3 of the Global Code of Ethics for Tourism (UN), according to which «All the stakeholders in tourism development should safeguard the natural environment with a view to achieving sound, continuous and sustainable economic growth geared to satisfying equitably the needs and aspirations of present and future generations».

When asking about the positive impacts of sport tourism projects (section II of the survey), the panel experts highlighted (in this order) sport tourism as a solution to seasonality; as a driver for local development; as a new element to create synergies with other activities; as a source of income for the local economy, and last but not least, they chose the creation of a positive image for the region. This classification is a reflection of the main concerns about tourism destinations, with seasonality and the need for social and economic development as the most important, shared problems in most of the tourist destinations all around the world.

On the other hand, the negative impacts of sport tourism throughout the destinations outlined by the panellists are the high cost and the underuse of infrastructures. This is such a big problem that it was unanimously chosen by the entire panel. The environmental degradation was also considered as one of the main threats in a sport tourism destination. Closely related to the cost and underuse of infrastructures the majority of the experts consider that the over-indebtedness of the public institutions could have a negative impact on sport tourism projects in the region. Finally, 33.3% consider the widespread growth of tourism as a real negative impact derived from sport tourism. These answers show the reality of an important part of the sport tourism destinations, where the investment in expensive facilities will need too many years for a return to be obtained, if ever, and the public administrations are seriously over-indebted. Likewise, environmental degradation is a reality in some of the most successful destinations, such as ski resorts or golf resorts. Nevertheless, the transformation of sport tourism destinations into mass tourism destinations is not a very common issue.

On the other hand, regarding the opportunities offered by sport tourism is clear that the increase of the small-scale sport events area a way to improve the local economy. Moreover, a stronger synergy and coordination between companies from different fields in order to develop activities related with sport tourism could be a great opportunity. The possibility of longer stays in the destination thanks to sports events is seen also as an opportunity.

Nevertheless, as part of the barriers is worth to mention the need for coordination between actors and the transformation of this cooperation into positive synergies. In addition, the main constraint still the lack of coherence in the approaches made so far between tourism and sport separately. Following this, the lack of statistics about supply and demand is also seen as a major problem. From these results, we must practise a healthy self-criticism and recognise that as scholars we have to listen to the needs of the social agents. In this sense, we should improve the coordination between the fields of tourism and sport and provide quantitative and qualitative tools in order to make progress in the definition of the consumers and their preferences.

Finally, and based on the main purpose of this research: to explore the sport tourism and its opportunities as a driver of development for local destinations based on the information provided by an international panel of experts on this subject, we can argue that sport tourism can still making a great contribution to local destinations if mechanisms of participation, interaction and cooperation between all stakeholders take place.
Moreover, and based on the literature review, we witnessed how sport and tourism are closely linked, historically and socially. However, and based on experts' opinions and the scientific literature reviewed, we have highlighted agreements and disagreements that should be helpful both for scholars and specialist in order to maximize positive socio-economic impacts and legacies and minimize the negative ones.

The authors consider that the current study provides an exploratory approach on the topic. Therefore, we are aware about the main limitations and barriers of this research which has been the scope of the expert's panel which is limited and there is a need to increase the geographical scope. Moreover, and as part of the future research lines, we consider that the cooperation and participation mechanisms of local stakeholder on the destinations needs to be explore and discuss in depth since are relevant factors of local and spatial development.
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