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**In Brief**
Strategies to combat Zika virus (ZIKV) and its mosquito vector are urgently needed. Dutra et al. report that Wolbachia-carrying mosquitoes are highly resistant to ZIKV and display reduced virus prevalence and intensity. Saliva from Wolbachia-carrying mosquitoes did not contain infectious virus, suggesting the possibility to block ZIKV transmission.
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**SUMMARY**

The recent association of Zika virus with cases of microcephaly has sparked a global health crisis and highlighted the need for mechanisms to combat the Zika vector, *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. **Wolbachia** *pipientis*, a bacterial endosymbiont of insect, has recently garnered attention as a mechanism for arbovirus control. Here we report that *Aedes aegypti* harboring **Wolbachia** are highly resistant to infection with two currently circulating Zika virus isolates from the recent Brazilian epidemic. **Wolbachia**-harboring mosquitoes displayed lower viral prevalence and intensity and decreased disseminated infection and, critically, did not carry infectious virus in the saliva, suggesting that viral transmission was blocked. Our data indicate that the use of **Wolbachia**-harboring mosquitoes could represent an effective mechanism to reduce Zika virus transmission and should be included as part of Zika control strategies.

The mosquito *Aedes aegypti*, typically linked with dengue (Flaviviridae) (Kyle and Harris, 2008) and chikungunya (Togaviridae) (Morrison, 2014) transmission, is also associated with the alarming spread of Zika virus (ZIKV) (Flaviviridae), a previously obscure arbovirus that has recently gone global (Enserink, 2015). Since 2007, ZIKV infection has been reported in 39 countries worldwide (Martinez de Salazar et al., 2016), including Brazil, where infection was first linked to cases of microcephaly during a large outbreak in 2015 (Mlakar et al., 2016; Oliveira Melo et al., 2016). Combined with the implication of the virus in cases of the autoimmune disorder Guillain-Barré syndrome (Araujo et al., 2016), ZIKV has ballooned into a public health crisis.

In the absence of a vaccine, current effective control options are limited to reducing the abundance of mosquito vector populations (Heintze et al., 2007). However, there is a clear need for novel efficacious approaches, given that existing strategies such as insecticides (Maciel-de-Freitas et al., 2014) and larval biological control (Vu et al., 2005) have proven unsustainable and ineffective at halting disease spread (Kyle and Harris, 2008).

After decades of being proposed as a potential means of vector control, the endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia*, present in an estimated 40% of all known terrestrial insect species (Zug and Hammerstein, 2012), is currently being utilized around the world as part of an innovative approach to control the transmission of dengue (http://www.eliminatedengue.com) and other pathogens (Bourtzis et al., 2014). This is possible because the reproductive parasitism associated with *Wolbachia* infection, typified by cytoplasmic incompatibility (Werren et al., 2008), gives the bacterium the ability to quickly and stably invade host populations (Hoffmann et al., 2011). Critically, the bacterium also blocks the transmission of many important human pathogens in mosquitoes, including *Plasmodium* and chikungunya (Bian et al., 2013; Caragata et al., 2016; Moreira et al., 2009), giving it great utility as a control agent.

As many different strains of the bacterium cause this inhibition, we hypothesized that the *wMel Wolbachia* strain (*wMel_Br*), currently being utilized as part of dengue control efforts in Brazil, might be able to restrict ZIKV infection and transmission in *Ae. aegypti*. To that end, we performed experimental infections with two currently circulating ZIKV isolates and used a qRT-PCR-based assay to quantify ZIKV levels in mosquito tissues and saliva, in order to assess whether *Wolbachia* could potentially be used to combat the emerging Zika pandemic.

Through experimental infection and transmission assays using two currently circulating Brazilian ZIKV isolates ([BRPE243/2015 [BRPE] and SPH/2015 [SPH]) (Faria et al., 2016)], we compared ZIKV infection in *wMel*-infected mosquitoes (*wMel_Br*) with *Wolbachia*-uninfected mosquitoes collected in Urca, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil in early 2016 (Br). Due to the regular introduction of F1 Br males (the eggs of field-collected Br mosquitoes) in *wMel_Br* colony cages over 2 years, both lines had a similar genetic background (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

The ZIKVs were isolated in the field in late 2015 and maintained in cell culture, and viral titers were quantified via plaque-forming assay prior to experimental infection (Table 1). In two separate experiments, fresh ZIKV-infected supernatant was harvested from culture, mixed with human blood, and used to orally infect *wMel_Br* and Br mosquitoes. ZIKV levels were quantified in mosquito heads/thoraces and in abdomens at 7 and 14 days post-infection (dpi) using a TaqMan-based qRT-PCR assay (Figure 1). The prevalence of ZIKV infection was significantly reduced among Wolbachia-infected mosquitoes (Table 1, analysis via Fisher’s exact test, p < 0.0001 unless stated). For the BRPE isolate (Figure 1A), Wolbachia decreased ZIKV prevalence by 35% in abdomens, although there was no significant difference
We used mosquitoes infected with the BRPE isolate as it had a greater effect in Mel_Br mosquitoes 
that became infected with the virus (Spearman correlation; heads/thoraces, r = 0.5952, p = 0.0001; abdomens, r = -0.01891, p = 0.9210). This suggests that there may not be a direct link between Wolbachia density in individual mosquitoes and ZIKV infection, indicating that the inhibition of ZIKV may arise through other means, indirectly due to the presence of the bacterium (Caragata et al., 2013; Moreira et al., 2009; Pan et al., 2012; Rancés et al., 2012).

Our results indicate that the ability of Wolbachia infection to greatly reduce the capacity of mosquitoes to harbor and transmit a range of medically important pathogens, including the dengue and chikungunya viruses (Caragata et al., 2016; Moreira et al., 2009; Walker et al., 2011) also extends to ZIKV. While wMel did not completely inhibit ZIKV infection, we observed a similar decrease in prevalence and intensity of infection to that of wMel-infected Ae. aegypti challenged with viremic blood from dengue patients, which was considered sufficient to drastically decrease viral transmission (Ferguson et al., 2015). Additionally, the fact that we did not observe an increase in disseminated ZIKV infection over time, and that ZIKV prevalence and infectivity in wMel_Br mosquito saliva was significantly decreased, may indicate that, as for dengue, wMel extends the ZIKV extrinsic incubation period (Ye et al., 2015). This in turn would likely further decrease overall ZIKV transmission rates, given the small decrease in lifespan associated with wMel infection (Walker et al., 2011).

We observed that the wMel Wolbachia infection in Ae. aegypti greatly inhibited ZIKV infection in mosquito abdomens, and it reduced disseminated infection in heads and thoraces and ZIKV prevalence in mosquito saliva. Most critically, our results suggest that saliva from wMel-infected mosquitoes did not contain infectious virus. That this inhibition occurred for two ZIKV isolates that circulated in Brazil during the 2015 epidemic, and for mosquitoes with a wild-type genetic background, suggests that wMel could greatly reduce ZIKV transmission in field populations of Ae. aegypti, which in turn would likely reduce the frequency of Zika-associated pathology in humans.

Wolbachia can invade and persist in wild mosquito populations (Hoffmann et al., 2014) and represents a relatively
A low-cost, self-sustaining form of mosquito control that is already being trialed in countries where ZIKV outbreaks have been reported and has recently been recommended by the World Health Organization as a suitable tool to control ZIKV transmission (http://migre.me/tDWVe). It is important to point out that extensive public engagement will be required before releases of *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes can be scaled up for use in other areas. However, the results presented here indicate that wMel-infected *Ae. aegypti* represent a realistic and effective option to combat the ZIKV burden in Brazil and potentially in other countries and should be considered as an integral part of future control efforts.

The work reported in this paper was performed under the oversight of the Committee for Ethics in Research (CEP)/FIOCRUZ (License CEP 732.621).
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Table S1, related to Figure 1. Statistical output for comparison of ZIKV levels in *Wolbachia*-infected and uninfected mosquito tissues

| wMel_Br vs Br | Infection prevalence: | Infection intensity: |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|               | Fisher’s exact test   | Mann Whitney U test  |
| **BRPE**      |                       |                      |
| 7dpi heads/thoraces | $P < 0.0001$       | $U = 70.00, P < 0.0001$ |
| 7dpi abdomens   | $P = 0.0824$         | $U = 46.50, P < 0.0001$ |
| 14dpi heads/thoraces | $P < 0.0001$      | $U = 2.00, P < 0.0001$ |
| 14dpi abdomens  | $P < 0.0001$         | $U = 11.00, P < 0.0001$ |
| **SPH**        |                       |                      |
| 7dpi heads/thoraces | $P < 0.0001$       | $U = 10.50, P < 0.0001$ |
| 7dpi abdomens   | $P = 0.0002$         | $U = 29.00, P < 0.0001$ |
| 14dpi heads/thoraces | $P < 0.0001$      | $U = 34.50, P < 0.0001$ |
| 14dpi abdomens  | $P < 0.0001$         | $U = 37.00, P < 0.0001$ |

Abbreviations: 7/14dpi - 7/14 days post infection. BRPE - ZIKV/H. sapiens/Brazil/BRPE243/2015, SPH - ZIKV/H. sapiens/Brazil/SPH2015, wMel_Br - *Wolbachia*-infected *Ae. aegypti*, Br - *Wolbachia*-uninfected *Ae. aegypti*. 
Table S2, related to Figure 1. Statistical output for comparison of ZIKV levels in mosquito tissues over time.

| 7dpi vs 14dpi | BRPE | Mann Whitney U test |
|---------------|------|---------------------|
|               | wMel_Br heads/thoraces | U = 180.0, P = 0.1626 |
|               | wMel_Br abdomens | U = 182.5, P = 0.6146 |
|               | Br heads/thoraces | U = 27.00, P < 0.0001 |
|               | Br abdomens | U = 52.00, P < 0.0001 |
|               | SPH | ---------------------|
|               | wMel_Br heads/thoraces | U = 159.5, P = 0.0816 |
|               | wMel_Br abdomens | U = 199.0, P = 0.9867 |
|               | Br heads/thoraces | U = 103.5, P = 0.0094 |
|               | Br abdomens | U = 189.0, P = 0.7764 |

Abbreviations: 7/14dpi - 7/14 days post infection. BRPE - ZIKV/H. sapiens/Brazil/BRPE243/2015, SPH - ZIKV/H. sapiens/Brazil/SPH/2015, wMel_Br - Wolbachia-infected Ae. aegypti, Br - Wolbachia-uninfected Ae. aegypti.
Table S3, related to Figure 1. Statistical output for comparison of ZIKV levels in the saliva of Wolbachia-infected and -uninfected mosquitoes

| wMel_Br vs Br saliva | Infection prevalence: | Infection intensity: |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                      | Fisher’s exact test   | Mann Whitney U test   |
| Individual saliva samples | $P = 0.0001$           | $U = 13.00$, $P < 0.0001$ |
| Saliva-injected mosquitoes | $P < 0.0001$           | NA                   |

Abbreviations: wMel_Br - *Wolbachia*-infected *Ae. aegypti*, Br - *Wolbachia*-uninfected *Ae. aegypti*. 
Table S4, related to Figure 1. Statistical output for comparison of Wolbachia density amongst ZIKV-infected and -uninfected wMel_Br mosquitoes

### PREMANOVA

|       | df | SS      | MS      | F       | R²      | Pr(>F) |
|-------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| 7dpi  |    |         |         |         |         |        |
| ZIKV infection | 1 | 2.61E+14 | 2.61E+14 | 1.8286 | 0.04434 | 0.186  |
| ZIKV isolate   | 1 | 6.31E+14 | 6.31E+14 | 4.4139 | 0.10702 | 0.029  |
| Residuals      | 35| 5.00E+15 | 1.43E+14 |         | 0.84864 |        |
| Total          | 37| 5.90E+15 |         |         | 1.00000 |        |

|       | df | SS      | MS      | F       | R²      | Pr(>F) |
|-------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| 14dpi |    |         |         |         |         |        |
| ZIKV infection | 1 | 5.63E+13 | 5.63E+13 | 0.1188 | 0.00289 | 0.813  |
| ZIKV isolate   | 1 | 1.85E+15 | 1.85E+15 | 3.9087 | 0.09527 | 0.053  |
| Residuals      | 37| 1.76E+16 | 4.74E+14 |         | 0.90184 |        |
| Total          | 39| 1.95E+16 |         |         | 1.00000 |        |

Abbreviations: ZIKV - Zika virus, 7/14dpi - 7/14 days post infection.
Supplemental Experimental Procedures

Mosquito rearing
All experiments involved two *Ae. aegypti* lines. The first (*w*Mel_Br) was generated by introducing the *w*Mel Wolbachia strain into a Brazilian genetic background through backcrossing (Dutra et al., 2015). Experiments were performed 35 generations after the initial backcrossing. The second, (Br) was an F1 wild-type line derived from material collected from ovitraps in the suburb of Urca, RJ, Brazil at the beginning of 2016. This line had never had any contact with Wolbachia-infected mosquitoes. For 25 generations prior to experimentation, 200 F1-F2 Br males for every 600 *w*Mel_Br females were introduced into *w*Mel_Br colony cages each generation to prevent inbreeding effects, and maintain a similar genetic background between the two lines. All mosquitoes were maintained in a climate-controlled insectary under previously described conditions (Dutra et al., 2015).

ZIKV isolation and culture
The Zika viruses used in this work were isolated in 2015 from human serum collected from two symptomatic patients, the first one from Recife, PE, in northeastern Brazil (ZIKV/H. sapiens/Brazil/BRPE243/2015), and the second from Sumaré, SP, in the Southeast of the country (ZIKV/H. sapiens/Brazil/SPH/2015) (Faria et al., 2016). Virus stocks were passaged in *Aedes albopictus* cell line (C6/36) grown in Leibowitz L-15 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco), and maintained at 28°C, as previously described (Hamel et al., 2015). Fresh supernatant from infected C6/36 cells was harvested 7 days after infection with a corresponding viral titer of 5x10^6 PFU/mL for the BRPE isolate, and 8.7x10^3 PFU/mL for the SPH isolate, and used to orally infect mosquitoes without ever being frozen.

Infection of mosquitoes with ZIKV
ZIKV was collected from C6/36 cell culture supernatant and then re-suspended 2:1 in fresh whole human blood. Four days-old adult female mosquitoes were starved for 24 hrs prior to feeding, and allowed to feed on the blood-virus mixtures for 1 hr using glass feeders covered with pig intestine as a membrane, and maintained at 37°C using a water bath. After feeding, mosquitoes that were not fully engorged were removed. Mosquitoes were collected at both 7dpi and 14dpi, and stored at -80°C until processing.

Saliva collection
Individual mosquito saliva was collected at 14 days post-infection from mosquitoes infected with the BRPE ZIKV isolate according to a previously published protocol, with some modifications (Anderson et al., 2010). Briefly, mosquitoes were starved overnight prior to harvesting. On collection day, mosquitoes were knocked down with CO₂, and kept at 4°C while legs and wings were removed. Each mosquito’s proboscis was inserted into a sterile, filtered 10 µL pipette tip containing 5 µL of a 1:1 solution of sterile fetal bovine serum: 30% sucrose, and allowed to salivate for 30 minutes. Mosquitoes were then visually verified to be alive by checking for movement. The contents of the tips were then collected in sterile 0.5mL tubes and stored at -80°C prior to processing. One third of the saliva samples were used for injections while the remainder were used for direct quantification.

Confirmation of saliva ZIKV infectivity
Female Br mosquitoes were injected intrathoracically with saliva collected from ZIKV-infected *w*Mel_Br or Br females, in order to determine if the saliva contained infectious virus. Mosquitoes were injected using a Nanoject II hand held injector (Drummond), as previously described (Moreira et al., 2009). Each saliva sample was used to inoculate between 8-14 mosquitoes, with each receiving an average of 276nL. To avoid contamination, a fresh needle was used for each saliva. Mosquitoes were collected 5 dpi, and the presence or absence of virus was determined by RT-qPCR screening of 8 individual mosquitoes per group, according to the method described below. These samples were not dissected.

ZIKV and Wolbachia RT-qPCR analysis
Whole mosquito samples were cut into two parts: head/thorax, and abdomen, and these were homogenized as previously described, and processed independently (Moreira et al., 2009). RNA was extracted from mosquito tissues using the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Roche) following manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was extracted directly from individual saliva samples using the same protocol, but half the volume of each reagent. RNA samples were diluted to 50 ng/µL in nuclease-free water, and stored at -
80°C. ZIKV levels in these samples were then quantified by RT-qPCR using a LightCycler® 96 instrument (Roche) and previously described primers and probe (ZIKV 835; ZIKV911c – ZIKV 860-FAM) (Lanciotti et al., 2008). Wolbachia levels were quantified for all wMel_Br samples using the Wolbachia WD0513 gene, a constitutively expressed transposable element (Ferguson et al., 2015). Thermocycling conditions were as follows: an initial reverse transcription step at 50ºC for 5 min; RT inactivation/initial denaturation at 95ºC for 20 sec, and 40 cycles of 95ºC for 3 sec and 60ºC for 30 sec. The total reaction volume was 10 µL (4x TaqMan Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix (ThermoFisher), 1 µM primers and probe, and 125ng of RNA template).

Each sample was run in duplicate for ZIKV or WD0513, and Aedes aegypti Ribosomal S17 (rps17), which served as a reaction control (Moreira et al., 2009). Samples were analyzed using absolute quantification, by comparison to serial dilutions of either gene product, cloned and amplified in the pGEMT-Easy plasmid (Promega), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Negative control samples were normalized between plates, and were used as reference to determine a minimum threshold for positive samples. ZIKV or Wolbachia load data were calculated as the total number of copies per tissue or saliva sample.

Statistical Analysis

ZIKV prevalence in mosquito tissues and saliva samples were compared using Fisher’s exact test, and infection intensity data were compared using Mann Whitney U test, both using Prism V6 (Graphpad) (Tables S1-S3). Wolbachia density data were compared across ZIKV-infected/uninfected wMel_Br mosquitoes for both ZIKV isolates through permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (Table S4), via the adonis() function in R, through the GUSTA ME interface (Buttigieg and Ramette, 2014). Spearman correlation was used to determine if there was a relationship between ZIKV and WD0513 levels in ZIKV-infected wMel_Br mosquitoes (Prism V6).
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