Association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (NOS3) gene polymorphisms with primary open-angle glaucoma in a Saudi cohort
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Abstract

Aim

To investigate the association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (NOS3) gene polymorphisms with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) of Saudi origin.

Methods

This case-control study included 173 patients with POAG (94 men and 79 women) and 171 controls (98 men and 73 women). Genotyping of rs2070744 (T-786C) and rs1799983 (G894T) variants of the NOS3 gene was performed using TaqMan® assay.

Results

Rs1799983 genotypes showed a significant association with POAG but did not survive Bonferroni correction ($p_{\text{correction}} = 0.01$). The minor ‘T’ allele was significantly associated with the risk of POAG among men ($p = 0.025$, odds ratio (OR) = 1.77, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.07–2.94). Likewise, the genotypes were significantly associated with POAG among men in dominant ($p = 0.030$, OR = 1.92, 95% CI = 1.06–3.48) and log-additive models ($p = 0.022$, OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.08–3.07), and after adjustment for age and smoking. Genotype and allele frequencies of rs2070744 were not significantly different between POAG cases and controls, and after sex stratification. CG haplotype was significantly protective ($p = 0.011$, OR = 0.52, 95% CI = 0.32–0.87) and CT haplotype conferred significantly increased risk of POAG ($p = 0.016$, OR = 2.60, 95% CI = 1.16–5.82) among men. Rs1799983 showed trend ($p = 0.054$) towards risk of POAG independent of age, gender, smoking, and rs2070744 polymorphism in logistic regression analysis. Both the polymorphisms showed no association with POAG phenotypes such as intraocular pressure and cup/disc ratio.
Conclusion

Our results suggest that the polymorphism rs1799983 and the haplotypes of rs20707440 and rs1799983 in the NOS3 gene may significantly modulate the risk of POAG in Saudi's, particularly among men. Further larger studies are needed to confirm these findings.

Introduction

Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is a complex optic neuropathy and a significant cause of permanent blindness worldwide, including in Saudi Arabia [1, 2]. POAG pathogenesis involves damage to the optic nerve head and progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) that may subsequently lead to loss of vision if untreated [1]. Age, ethnicity, elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), myopia, corneal thickness, and family history are well-established risk factors of POAG [3]. Besides, there is growing evidence of compromised microvasculature [4–6] and genetic components [3, 7, 8] that may pose a potential risk for the development of POAG.

Nitric oxide (NO) is an active biological messenger that plays a key role in the regulation of vascular homeostasis and is involved in diverse physiological processes [9]. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), an enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of L-arginine to L-citrulline to produce NO, is an important regulator of IOP [10, 11]. Early studies in the human eye have identified extensive system of NO-producing cells in the conventional outflow pathway suggesting trabecular meshwork (TM) as an important site of NO synthesis [12]. However, newer evidence indicate that NO synthesis is predominantly localized to the Schlemm’s canal cells, where as, the TM is probably a major site of action [13], wherein the diffused NO activates the downstream signaling via soluble guanylate cyclase and cyclic guanosine monophosphate thereby contributing to vasodilatation, increase local blood flow, and decrease vascular outflow resistance in ocular circulation [12, 14–16]. Besides, NO also plays a protective role in oxidative stress-induced tissue injury or cell death [17]. eNOS may become dysfunctional as a result of constant exposure to oxidative stress leading to NO-insufficiency triggering a cascade of pathological processes [18]. Thus, variations in eNOS activity influenced by genetic variations and/or environmental factors may play a significant role in POAG pathogenesis. Many studies have reported an association between isoform eNOS-3 (NOS3) gene polymorphisms and risk of POAG with inconsistent findings [19–21]. Among the important single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) reported in the NOS3 (OMIM 163729) locus are rs2070744, a T-to-C promoter variant (T-786C) and rs1799983, a G-to-T variant (G894T) at codon 298 in exon 7 (Glu298Asp). Rs2070744 (T-786C) has been shown to reduce mRNA expression [22] and rs1799983 (Glu298Asp) may alter eNOS function [23]. Besides, a recent meta-analysis also showed that polymorphisms rs1799983 and rs2070744 in NOS3 play a significant role in modulating the risk of POAG [24]. We have recently reported negative association of polymorphisms in TMTC2 (rs7961953) [25], PLXDC2 (rs7081455) [26], ATOH7 (rs7916697) [27] and at locus 1q43 [28]. The aim of the present study is to investigate the effects of NOS3 variants on the risk of POAG and determine the association between NOS3 polymorphisms (and haplotypes) and POAG patients of Saudi origin. The study focused on the promoter polymorphism rs2070744 and the missense polymorphism rs1799983.

Materials and methods

Study design and participants

In a case-control genetic association study, participants of Saudi origin with a clinically confirmed diagnosis of POAG (n = 173) and healthy controls (n = 171) were recruited at King...
Abdulaziz University Hospital, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The inclusion-exclusion criteria of the study population have been described previously [27]. Information concerning the history of systemic diseases, family history, and smoking status were obtained from medical records or personal interviews. All the participants signed an informed consent. The study was approved by the institutional review board and research ethics committee of the College of Medicine at the King Saud University.

**Genotyping of rs2070744 and rs1799983**

Genomic DNA samples from the study population were genotyped using the TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) on ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) as described previously [27]. Assay IDs: C__15903863_10 (Catalog number: 4351379) and C___3219460_20 (Catalog number: 4351379) were used to genotype rs2070744 and rs1799983, respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Pearson’s Chi$^2$ test was used to test deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and associations between allele/genotype profiles. The continuous variables were tested by Independent samples $t$-test, and Kruskal-Wallis were used to test difference across genotypes. Binary logistic regression was used to test the effects of age, gender, smoking habit, and genotypes on POAG outcome. SPSS version 22 (IBM Inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to perform statistical tests. SNPStats online software (https://www.snpstats.net/start.htm) was used for SNP analyses and their interactions with related factors. SHEsis online software (http://analysis.bio-x.cn/myAnalysis.php) was used to assess linkage disequilibrium (LD) and analyze haplotypes. Power analysis was performed using an open source online tool for unmatched case-control studies (http://sampsize.sourceforge.net/iface/s3.html#ccp). A two-tailed $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Bonferroni’s correction was applied for multiple testing and set at $p<0.01$ where applicable.

**Results**

Table 1 summarizes the general characteristics of POAG cases and controls included in the study. The study groups did not differ in terms of age, gender distribution, systemic disease status, and smoking habits. However, the frequency of family history of glaucoma was significantly higher in cases.

Table 2 summarizes the minor allele frequency (MAF) distribution of NOS3 polymorphisms in cases and controls. Overall, no significant differences were observed for allele frequencies of rs2070744 and rs1799983 polymorphisms between cases and controls. However,
variant rs1799983 (G984T, Glu298Asp) was significantly associated with increased risk of POAG only among men (OR = 1.77, 95% CI = 1.07–2.94, p = 0.025). No such gender-specific association was observed for rs2070744 (T-786C).

POAG is a complex disease with no clear genetic mode of inheritance. Hence, co-dominant, dominant, recessive, over-dominant, and log-additive genetic models were used to test for association between SNPs in the NOS3 gene and the risk of POAG using SNPStats software. The results of these genetic models are shown in Table 3. We found that rs1799983 of the NOS3 gene was associated with POAG risk (Table 3) in dominant and over-dominant models with lowest Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) values indicating the best-fit model. The p-value remained significant after adjustment for age and sex. However, the significance was lost after Bonferroni correction ($p_{\text{correction}} = 0.05/5 = 0.01$). On the other hand, SNP rs2070744 did not show any significance in the tested genetic models (Table 3).

A similar gender-stratified genotype analysis for rs2070744 and rs1799983 are summarized in Table 4 and Table 5. The variant genotype of rs1799983 significantly increased the risk of POAG by almost ~2-folds in dominant and log-additive models among men (Table 4). The association remained significant after adjustment for age and sex, but not for Bonferroni correction. No such association was observed among the women group, thus indicating that the polymorphism rs1799983 were significantly associated with the risk of POAG only among men (Table 4). Besides, polymorphism rs2070744 did not show any gender-specific association (Tables 4 and 5).

The genotype frequencies of rs2070744 and rs1799983 were consistent with HWE for both cases and controls among overall, men and women groups.

The two SNPs were tested for LD and haplotype analyses using the SHEsis software platform. The standardized LD coefficient D’ value between rs2070744 and rs1799983 was 0.14 ($r^2 = 0.011$), indicating these SNPs are not in high LD. Table 6 shows the analyses based on haplotypes of polymorphisms rs2070744 and rs1799983. Overall, none of the haplotypes showed any significant effect on the risk of POAG. However, consistent with the above genotype results, the haplotype distribution showed significant distribution among men ($X^2 = 10.815, df = 3, p = 0.012$). Haplotype CG was found to be protective against POAG ($p = 0.011$, OR = 0.52, 95% CI = 0.32–0.87); whereas, haplotype CT was related to a significantly higher risk of POAG among men only ($p = 0.016$, OR = 2.60, 95% CI = 1.16–5.82).

A binary logistic regression analysis was performed to test the effect of age, sex, smoking status, and NOS3 polymorphisms on POAG outcome (Table 7). The analysis revealed that
only SNP rs1799983 exhibited a trend for the independent risk factor of POAG (p = 0.054) with the heterozygous G/T genotype significantly increasing the risk of POAG (p = 0.016, OR = 1.79, 95% CI = 1.11–2.89). Also, no genotype-specific association was observed with POAG related clinical phenotypes such as IOP, cup/disc ratio, and the number of antiglaucoma medications for both the polymorphisms (Fig 1).

Discussion

Several theories have been proposed to explain the glaucomatous optic nerve degeneration [29]. Endogenous NO signaling within the conventional outflow pathway is one of the key mediators of outflow regulation and maintenance of physiological levels of IOP [10, 12]. Alterations in NO signaling or reduced NO production in part may result in impaired trabecular outflow and contribute to IOP elevation in POAG [10, 13]. Likewise, the role of eNOS/NO pathway in the maintenance of ocular vasculature and optic nerve degeneration is also highly critical [29]. Notably, functional polymorphisms in the NOS3 gene that alter eNOS function/activity have been described in various complex diseases, including glaucoma [21]. In the present study, we report a significant association between NOS3 polymorphism rs1799983 (and rs2070744 haplotypes) in POAG patients of Saudi origin.
NOS3 gene is located in 7q35-q36 encoding a protein consisting of 1,203 amino acids. The frequency of NOS3 allelic variants, rs1799983 and rs2070744, appear to vary among various population groups. The MAF of rs1799983 varies from 0.34 in European to 0.13 in Asian and 0.07 in African populations (dbSNP database). Similarly, the rs2070744 MAF is 0.43 among Europeans and 0.12–0.3 in African and Asians (dbSNP database). The MAF for both these SNPs (rs1799983 and rs2070744) observed in our study (Saudi Arabians) is lower than the European but higher than the African and Asian populations, suggesting an ethnic-specific distribution.

Yoshimura et al. described the Glu298Asp (rs1799983) missense variant to be associated with coronary spasm in the Japanese population [30]. Subsequent investigations described other polymorphisms in the 5’ flanking and some introns of the NOS3 gene. Likewise, a promoter variant in the NOS3 gene exhibited significant link in patients with familial POAG [31]. Since then, several studies have evaluated the association of these variants, particularly rs1799983 (G894T) and rs2070744 (T-786C) in POAG with conflicting results. A comparison of these studies in different ethnic groups with our study is listed in Table 8. It can be noted that though negative associations are also reported [19, 32], in most of the investigations it is the promoter polymorphism (rs2070744) that was associated with POAG. Besides, these associations were of significance in women [20, 21, 33], influenced by hormone use [33], blood pressure [34], cigarette smoking [34], or migraine [35] suggestive of an epistatic interaction(s).

### Table 4. Association testing of NOS3 polymorphisms under different genetic models among men.

| SNP number | Model       | Genotype | CONTROL n (%) | POAG n (%) | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) p-value | AIC   | BIC   | p-value‡ |
|------------|-------------|----------|---------------|------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|
| rs2070744  | Co-dominant | T/T      | 43 (43.9)     | 49 (52.1)  | 1.00                                       | 0.480 | 270.6 | 280.4 0.430 |
|            |             | C/T      | 49 (50.0)     | 39 (41.5)  | 0.70 (0.39–1.26)                           |       |       |          |
|            |             | C/C      | 6 (6.1)       | 6 (6.4)    | 0.88 (0.26–2.92)                           |       |       |          |
|            | Dominant    | T/T      | 43 (43.9)     | 49 (52.1)  | 1.00                                       | 0.250 | 268.8 | 275.3 0.220 |
|            |             | C/T-C/C  | 55 (56.1)     | 45 (47.9)  | 0.72 (0.41–1.27)                           |       |       |          |
|            | Recessive   | T/T-C/T  | 92 (93.9)     | 88 (93.6)  | 1.00                                       | 0.940 | 270.1 | 276.6 0.890 |
|            |             | C/C      | 6 (6.1)       | 6 (6.4)    | 1.05 (0.32–3.36)                           |       |       |          |
|            | Over-dominant| T/T-C/C  | 49 (50.0)     | 55 (58.5)  | 1.00                                       | 0.240 | 268.7 | 275.2 0.200 |
|            |             | C/T      | 49 (50.0)     | 39 (41.5)  | 0.71 (0.40–1.25)                           |       |       |          |
|            | Log-additive|         | ---           | ---        | 0.80 (0.50–1.29)                           | 0.360 | 269.3 | 275.8 0.340 |
| rs1799983  | Co-dominant | G/G      | 69 (70.4)     | 52 (55.3)  | 1.00                                       | 0.074 | 266.9 | 276.6 0.051 |
|            |             | G/T      | 27 (27.6)     | 37 (39.4)  | 1.82 (0.99–3.36)                           |       |       |          |
|            |             | T/T      | 2 (2.0)       | 5 (5.3)    | 3.32 (0.62–17.78)                          |       |       |          |
|            | Dominant    | G/G      | 69 (70.4)     | 52 (55.3)  | 1.00                                       | 0.030 | 265.4 | 271.9 0.019 |
|            |             | G/T-T/T  | 29 (29.6)     | 42 (44.7)  | 1.92 (1.06–3.48)                           |       |       |          |
|            | Recessive   | G/G-G/T  | 96 (98.0)     | 89 (94.7)  | 1.00                                       | 0.220 | 268.6 | 275.1 0.210 |
|            |             | T/T      | 2 (2.0)       | 5 (5.3)    | 2.70 (0.51–14.25)                          |       |       |          |
|            | Over-dominant| G/G-T/T | 71 (72.5)     | 57 (60.6)  | 1.00                                       | 0.082 | 267.1 | 273.6 0.057 |
|            |             | G/T      | 27 (27.6)     | 37 (39.4)  | 1.71 (0.93–3.13)                           |       |       |          |
|            | Log-additive|         | ---           | ---        | 1.82 (1.08–3.07)                           | 0.022 | 264.9 | 271.4 0.015 |

‡Adjusted for age and smoking
| Odds ratio (OR) (95% confidence interval [CI]) = 2.03 (1.12–3.73)
| OR (95% CI) = 1.90 (1.12–3.25)
| Best-fit model p-value

Abbreviations: AIC, Akaike’s information criterion; BIC, Bayesian information criterion.

Note: Significant p-value in bold.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227417.t004
Table 5. Association testing of NOS3 polymorphisms under different genetic models among women.

| SNP number | Model       | Genotype | CONTROL n (%) | POAG n (%) | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) | p-value | AIC   | BIC   | p-valuea |
|------------|-------------|----------|---------------|------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|
| rs2070744  | Co-dominant | T/T      | 32 (43.8)     | 32 (40.5)  | 1.00                                 | 0.730   | 215.9 | 224.9 | 0.670     |
|            |             | C/T      | 33 (45.2)     | 35 (44.3)  | 1.06 (0.54–2.10)                     |         |       |       |           |
|            |             | C/C      | 8 (11.0)      | 12 (15.2)  | 1.50 (0.54–4.16)                     |         |       |       |           |
| Dominant   | T/T         | 32 (43.8) | 32 (40.5)     | 1.00       |                                      | 0.680   | 214.3 | 220.4 | 0.690     |
|            | C/T-C/C     | 41 (56.2)| 47 (59.5)     | 1.15 (0.60–2.18) |          |         |       |       |           |
| Recessive  | T/T-C/T     | 65 (89.0)| 67 (84.8)     | 1.00       |                                      | 0.440   | 213.9 | 219.9 | 0.370     |
|            | C/C         | 8 (11.0) | 12 (15.2)     | 1.46 (0.56–3.79) |          |         |       |       |           |
| Over-dominant | T/T-C/C   | 40 (54.8)| 44 (55.7)     | 1.00       |                                      | 0.910   | 214.5 | 220.5 | 0.850     |
|            | C/T         | 33 (45.2)| 35 (44.3)     | 0.96 (0.51–1.83) |          |         |       |       |           |
| Log-additive | ---        | ---      | ---           | ---        | 1.18 (0.74–1.87)                     | 0.500   | 214   | 220.1 | 0.470     |
| rs1799983  | Co-dominant | G/G      | 48 (65.8)     | 48 (60.8)  | 1.00                                 | 0.250   | 213.7 | 222.8 | 0.280     |
|            |             | G/T      | 20 (27.4)     | 29 (36.7)  | 1.45 (0.72–2.91)                     |         |       |       |           |
|            |             | T/T      | 5 (6.8)       | 2 (2.5)    | 0.40 (0.07–2.16)                     |         |       |       |           |
| Dominant   | G/G         | 48 (65.8)| 48 (60.8)     | 1.00       |                                      | 0.520   | 214.1 | 220.1 | 0.52      |
|            | G/T-T/T     | 25 (34.2)| 31 (39.2)     | 1.24 (0.64–2.40) |          |         |       |       |           |
| Recessive  | G/G-G/T     | 68 (93.2)| 77 (97.5)     | 1.00       |                                      | 0.200   | 212.8 | 218.9 | 0.220     |
|            | T/T         | 5 (6.8)  | 2 (2.5)       | 0.35 (0.07–1.88) |          |         |       |       |           |
| Over-dominant | G/G-T/T   | 53 (72.6)| 50 (63.3)     | 1.00       |                                      | 0.220   | 213   | 219   | 0.230     |
|            | G/T         | 20 (27.4)| 29 (36.7)     | 1.54 (0.77–3.06) |          |         |       |       |           |
| Log-additive | ---        | ---      | ---           | ---        | 1.02 (0.59–1.77)                     | 0.940   | 214.5 | 220.5 | 0.920     |

Note: Adjusted for age

Abbreviations: AIC, Akaike’s information criterion; BIC, Bayesian information criterion.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227417.t005

Table 6. Haplotype analysis of NOS3 polymorphisms.

| Haplotypesa | Cases, Frequency | Control, Frequency | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) | p-value |
|-------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| TOTAL       |                  |                    |                                      |         |
| TG          | 0.55             | 0.57               | 0.90 (0.66–1.22)                     | 0.500   |
| CG          | 0.22             | 0.25               | 0.85 (0.60–1.22)                     | 0.395   |
| TT          | 0.13             | 0.10               | 1.32 (0.83–2.11)                     | 0.236   |
| CT          | 0.09             | 0.07               | 1.35 (0.79–2.31)                     | 0.269   |
| Menb        |                  |                    |                                      |         |
| TG          | 0.59             | 0.58               | 1.05 (0.70–1.58)                     | 0.787   |
| CG          | 0.16             | 0.26               | 0.52 (0.32–0.87)                     | 0.011   |
| TT          | 0.14             | 0.11               | 1.27 (0.69–2.33)                     | 0.434   |
| CT          | 0.11             | 0.04               | 2.60 (1.16–5.82)                     | 0.016   |
| Women       |                  |                    |                                      |         |
| TG          | 0.50             | 0.56               | 0.78 (0.50–1.23)                     | 0.296   |
| CG          | 0.29             | 0.23               | 1.33 (0.80–2.23)                     | 0.265   |
| TT          | 0.13             | 0.10               | 1.24 (0.61–2.51)                     | 0.551   |
| CT          | 0.08             | 0.10               | 0.79 (0.36–1.75)                     | 0.572   |

Note: Significant p-value in bold.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227417.t006
In contrast, our study reports a positive association of rs1799983 (G894T, Glu298Asp) with POAG, particularly among men with no effect of age, gender, or smoking habits on genotype distribution.

Similarly, the role of these polymorphisms has also been examined in normal-tension glaucoma (NTG) with both positive and negative associations. In a Polish study, rs1799983 showed marginal association with NTG; and both rs1799983 and rs2070744 were associated with low systolic blood pressure in NTG [36]. Jeoung et al. reported a significant association of rs2070744 in Korean NTG patients with optic disc hemorrhage [37]; whereas both these SNPs showed no association with NTG or high-tension glaucoma in German Caucasians [38]. Also, the promoter SNP showed an increased prevalence in patients with non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy [39].

There can be several potential reasons for different association findings. These differences could be attributable to population-stratification or selection bias. Ours being a tertiary care center, there can be a referral bias and may not reflect the general population. The association

| Variables | B     | SE   | Wald  | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) | p-value |
|-----------|-------|------|-------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Age       | 0.02  | 0.01 | 3.60  | 1.02 (0.99–1.039)                    | 0.058   |
| Sex       | -0.11 | 0.23 | 0.23  | 0.89 (0.56–1.141)                    | 0.630   |
| Smoking   | 0.31  | 0.39 | 0.66  | 1.37 (0.64–2.93)                     | 0.141   |
| rs2070744 |       |      | 2.66  |                                     | 0.265   |
| C/T       | -0.30 | 0.23 | 1.63  | 0.74 (0.46–1.17)                     | 0.202   |
| C/C       | 0.25  | 0.40 | 0.40  | 1.28 (0.58–2.82)                     | 0.529   |
| rs1799983 |       |      | 5.82  |                                     | 0.054   |
| G/T       | 0.58  | 0.24 | 5.82  | 1.79 (1.11–2.89)                     | 0.016   |
| T/T       | 0.21  | 0.56 | 0.14  | 1.23 (0.41–3.70)                     | 0.709   |
| Constant  | -1.19 | 0.66 | 3.26  | 0.30                                | 0.071   |

Trend and significant p-value in bold

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227417.t007

In Fig 1, the genotype effect of rs1799983 and rs2070744 on glaucoma specific clinical indices in POAG cases is presented. (A) intraocular pressure (IOP), (B) cup/disc ratio and (C) number of antiglaucoma medications. Note: p-value calculated by Kruskal-Wallis test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227417.g001
could reflect an ethnic-specific genetic etiology in POAG. Differences in environmental exposures, different non-NOS3 genetic backgrounds or association with other polymorphism(s) in LD with a nearby causal or functional mutation cannot be ruled out. Finally, given the relatively small number of samples and polymorphisms tested these difference could be attributable to chance or probability.

Haplotype analyses can be more informative in genetic case-control studies of complex diseases that involve multiple susceptibility markers. Haplotype analyses of rs2070744 (T-786C) and rs1799983 (G894T) in all POAG cases and controls showed no association of haplotypes with the risk of POAG. However, further gender-stratification of haplotypes identified potential risk (CT), and protective (CG) haplotypes among men but not among women. Few studies have examined haplotype effect with the risk of POAG. In contrast to our results, a study investigating rs2070744 and rs1799983 in a Brazilian cohort, haplotype CG showed marginal association with the risk of POAG with borderline risk among women but not among men [21]. Likewise, Logan et al. reported a significant association of haplotype including T-786C (rs2070744) and microsatellite markers in the promoter region of NOS3 with glaucoma in patients with a history of migraine [35], a condition predominant among women [40]. Besides, the CT haplotype constituted by rs3793342 and rs11771443 was a risk factor of POAG in the Han Chinese population [41]. Similarly, the TT homozygotes of G894T with at least one C allele of T-786C polymorphism were considered a more significant risk factor for coronary artery disease [42]. Haplotypes C4bT and C4bG consisting of T-786C, intron 4b/4a, and G894T SNPs have been associated with substantial 7-fold risk and a non-significant protective effect (OR = 0.56 [0.16–1.90]), respectively in dilated cardiomyopathy (a condition manifested as a result of oxidative stress that leads to heart failure) [43]; and haplotype C4bT was also reported to be a risk factor for hypertension [44]. It is difficult to ascertain whether the protective or risk effects observed in our study are attributable to a real haplotype effect or reflects a strong LD with another causal variant(s) not included in this study.

Our study shows that NOS3 polymorphism rs1799983 (894T allele) is a significant risk factor for POAG and that haplotypes CG and CT of rs2070744 and rs1799983 can significantly
modulate the risk of POAG, particularly among men only. Except for rs10483727 in the SIX1/SIX6 [45], this finding is in contrast to the lack of association previously reported in our similar cohort studies in Saudi POAG patients [25–28, 46–49] in the genetic loci that significantly influenced POAG or its endophenotypes in other ethnicities [3]. NOS3 codes for NO derived from vascular endothelium. There is increasing experimental and clinical evidence to suggest a critical etiological and therapeutic role of NO in POAG [15]. Lower levels of plasma and aqueous humor NO have been demonstrated in POAG [14]. Inhibition of NO pathway has been shown to result in decreased ocular blood flow in healthy individuals due to defective NO synthesis [50]. The C allele of NOS3 promoter polymorphism rs 2070744 (T-786C) has been shown to reduce the transcription rate of NOS3 and have lower NOS3 mRNA and protein levels [20, 22]. Besides, some studies have shown that the eNOS enzyme activity decreases in the presence of rs1799983 minor 894T allele [51] and that eNOS from patients with an 894T allele are susceptible to intracellular cleavage by an unknown protease, thereby providing a possible mechanism to explain impairment in eNOS function [23]. These observations are also well supported by a high degree of linkage between T-786 and G894 alleles, at least in the Caucasians [52] as opposed to a weak linkage observed in our study. Also, it has been suggested that this substitution affects protein-protein interaction [53]; affects interaction with caveolin-1, resulting in impaired localization and eNOS activity [54]; and that healthy carriers of Glu298Asp variant exhibit functional changes in the endothelium [55].

Based on these findings, our results suggest that reduced NO production and subsequent deregulation in TM outflow pathway may be a plausible mechanism(s) contributing to the development of POAG in our cohort. Low concentrations of NO may also lead to an imbalance in redox symbiotic relationship between NO and oxidative stress and compromise the ability of NO to abate oxidative stress-induced damage that plays an essential role in both physiological and pathophysiological mechanisms of POAG [17]. Our group has previously shown that the total antioxidant status is compromised in patients with POAG and more prone to oxidative stress-induced glaucomatous neurodegeneration [56]. Though Kosior-Jarzeka et al. suggested a significant correlation between NOS3 polymorphisms and IOP in patients with high-tension glaucoma [36], our study however, did not observe any similar effect between NOS3 polymorphisms and clinical markers of POAG such as IOP and cup/disc ratio. Also, absence of any association in women is difficult to explain and may probably be due to low numbers in this group.

The functional role of rs1799983 (Glu298Asp) is, however, controversial. There are in vivo and in vitro studies that have provided contrasting evidence to suggest that Glu298Asp does not affect the biological activity or function of eNOS [57–59]. However, it is noteworthy that specific haplotypes and cigarette smoking have been shown to influence transcription efficiency and affect ENOS activity [51, 60], highlighting a significant role for gene-gene or gene-environmental interaction in eNOS regulation. Besides, the presence of a strong LD with an actual functional or causal variant elsewhere in the gene or genome cannot be ruled out.

The study has few limitations and requires cautious interpretation. The sample size examined in this study is relatively small, with even fewer numbers in subgroup analyses. Nonetheless, based on the allele frequency observed in our cohort and assuming an OR of 2.0 with one-sided test at an alpha-risk of 5%, the study exhibited powers of 0.86 and 0.93 to detect significant associations between POAG and polymorphisms rs1799983 and rs2070744, respectively. However, the study was not significantly powered to detect an OR of 1.5 or less. As in most genetic association studies of complex diseases, detecting an OR \( \geq 1.5 \) would require investigating a substantially larger population. Such may be the case for lack of association with rs2070744 polymorphism or among women. There could be a referral or selection bias in the study and may not reflect the general Saudi population. Only two NOS3 polymorphisms were
examined. Investigation of other variant(s), e.g., intron4b/4a (repeat polymorphism) or estimation of NO concentrations would have been further informative. The study does not provide any mechanistic evidence for the role of these SNPs in POAG, and hence the presence of another causal variant, gene-gene or gene-environment interactions influencing the study outcome cannot be ruled out. Assuming a critical role of epistatic interactions affecting eNOS activity and function further emphasizes the need for validation in a well-designed sizeable population-based cohort.

In conclusion, the study demonstrates a significant association of polymorphism rs1799983 and haplotypes of rs2070744 and rs1799983 in the NOS3 gene in the modulating the risk of POAG, particularly among men of Saudi origin. A validation or replication of association studies in different ethnicity is of genetic epidemiological importance and an important tool to examine their future utility as genetic biomarkers in diagnosis or prognosis of a disease. Our findings suggest that NOS3 polymorphism(s) may be a significant genetic biomarker in POAG and provides an additional evidence to support the hypothesis that reduced NO (or enhanced oxidative stress) may play a vital role in the pathogenesis of POAG. However, further studies with larger sample size are needed to confirm this association.
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