Effectiveness of quinine versus artemether-lumefantrine for treating uncomplicated falciparum malaria in Ugandan children: randomised trial
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ABSTRACT
Objective To compare the effectiveness of oral quinine with that of artemether-lumefantrine in treating uncomplicated malaria in children.

Design Randomised, open label effectiveness study.

Setting Outpatient clinic of Uganda’s national referral hospital in Kampala.

Participants 175 children aged 6 to 59 months with uncomplicated malaria.

Interventions Participants were randomised to receive oral quinine or artemether-lumefantrine administered by care givers at home.

Main outcome measures Primary outcomes were parasitological cure rates after 28 days of follow-up unadjusted and adjusted by genotyping to distinguish recrudescence from new infections. Secondary outcomes were adherence to study drug, presence of gametocytes, recovery of haemoglobin concentration from baseline at day 28, and safety profiles.

Results Using survival analysis the cure rate unadjusted by genotyping was 96% for the artemether-lumefantrine group compared with 64% for the quinine group (hazard ratio 10.7, 95% confidence interval 3.3 to 35.5, P=0.001). In the quinine group 69% (18/26) of parasitological failures were due to recrudescence compared with none in the artemether-lumefantrine group. The mean adherence to artemether-lumefantrine was 94.5% compared with 85.4% to quinine (P=0.0008). Having adherence levels of 80% or more was associated with a decreased risk of treatment failure (0.44, 0.19 to 1.02, P=0.06). Adverse events did not differ between the two groups.

Conclusions The effectiveness of a seven day course of quinine for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Ugandan children was significantly lower than that of artemether-lumefantrine. These findings question the advisability of the recommendation for quinine therapy for uncomplicated malaria in Africa.

Trial registration ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00540202.

INTRODUCTION
Most episodes of falciparum malaria are uncomplicated and treated with short courses of oral antimalarials. Chloroquine was the mainstay of therapy for uncomplicated falciparum malaria until the late 1990s. As a result of the increasing resistance to chloroquine, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine or amodiaquine was adopted as first line therapy in several countries. However, increasing resistance to both of these drugs has led to recommendations for combination therapy, preferably those based on artemisinin. Consequently artemether-lumefantrine or artesunate-amodiaquine have been adopted as first line regimens for uncomplicated falciparum malaria in nearly all countries in Africa.

Quinine was the first established antimalarial, and it has been used to treat malaria for centuries. Intra-venous quinine is the standard therapy for severe falciparum malaria in all African countries. Many malaria endemic countries that recently adopted artemisinin based combination therapies as first line therapy recommend quinine as the second line regimen for uncomplicated malaria despite guidelines from the World Health Organization that antimalarial medicines should be used in combination. Probably such a choice was influenced by the few alternatives to quinine at the time of the policy change to artemisinin based combination therapy. Of the 41 African countries that have adopted artemisinin based combination therapies as the first line treatment for uncomplicated malaria, 29 currently recommend quinine as second line therapy. In addition, as a result of the decreased efficacy of older agents and limited availability of artemisinin based combination therapies, quinine is increasingly used as a first line drug in the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Africa. A survey in Uganda in 2007 reported that in rural health facilities quinine was prescribed for only 4% of patients with uncomplicated malaria whereas at the outpatient facility of Mulago Hospital, Kampala, this proportion was as high as 26% (unpublished data). Thus, although it is not listed as a first line drug for uncomplicated malaria in any country, quinine is still widely used for this purpose.
In Uganda the current and previous national malaria treatment policy recommends quinine, given in its tablet formulation, as the second line treatment for uncomplicated malaria. This recommendation is based on the longstanding assumption that the medicine is efficacious, given the lack of historical and contemporary evidence to the contrary. Although there are anecdotal reports that its effectiveness is compromised by poor adherence to treatment, no reliable evidence shows this. Indeed it was for these reasons that we carried out this study.

Despite increasing use of quinine, few studies of its efficacy in the management of uncomplicated malaria have been published recently. In particular, few studies have used modern methods to determine outcomes (at least 28 days of follow-up and molecular genotyping to distinguish recrudescence from new infections after treatment). Treatment of uncomplicated malaria with a shorter course (<7 days) of quinine was generally inferior to a treatment course of seven days. Considering seven day courses, available recent studies have shown failure rates with quinine for uncomplicated malaria of over 10% at 28 days in Sudan and Thailand. Quinine efficacy may be particularly poor in pregnant women; both genotype adjusted efficacy after supervised seven day therapy in Thailand and unadjusted efficacy after seven day therapy in Gabon were only about 60%. However, other studies have shown excellent efficacy for quinine, including over 95% success after 28 days for the treatment of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Equatorial Guinea, Venezuela, and returned travellers in France.

As study designs have varied, it is difficult to ascertain if limitations in quinine effectiveness in some studies were due principally to true drug resistance, varied pharmacokinetics, poor compliance with a seven day regimen, or a combination of these factors. However, available evidence suggests that African strains of *Plasmodium falciparum* generally remain sensitive to quinine and that variability in quinine pharmacokinetics does not explain varied treatment responses. Thus poor compliance with a seven day quinine regimen might contribute importantly to limitations in the drug’s efficacy. Poor compliance with quinine, compared with shorter regimens, has been documented. Inadequate compliance is probably due both to the need for frequent dosing over one week and to known poor tolerance of quinine, which often causes the syndrome of cinchonism, including nausea, headache, tinnitus, and blurred vision at treatment doses. Considering the uncertain effectiveness of quinine and its increasing role in the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Africa, we compared its effectiveness with that of artemether-lumefantrine, the new first line therapy for uncomplicated malaria in Uganda.

Participants were recruited from the main outpatient clinic at Uganda’s national referral hospital, Mulago, in Kampala.

Children aged 6 to 59 months were included if they had an axillary temperature of 37.5°C or more or a history of fever in the past 24 hours, microscopically confirmed *P. falciparum* mono-infection with any density of asexual parasites, ability to tolerate oral therapy, and no history of antimalarial drug intake in the preceding two weeks. Children were not recruited if they met at least one of several exclusion criteria: a history of allergy to quinine or artemether-lumefantrine, evidence of severe malaria or other concomitant febrile illness, or residence more than 20 km from the health clinic. Severe malaria was defined according to WHO criteria.

**Enrolment procedures**

Participants with suspected malaria during an outpatient visit (day 0) were assessed for eligibility and referred to the laboratory to obtain thick (parasite density) and thin (parasite species) blood smears. Participants fulfilling all selection criteria had a standard baseline history and physical examination done by a study doctor. Study data were recorded on case record forms. Participants were identified by their initials and study identification numbers. Haemoglobin was measured from finger prick blood samples using a portable spectrophotometer (HemoCue; Angelholm, Sweden). Blood samples were also stored on Whatmann filter paper for subsequent molecular studies.

**Randomisation and treatment**

Participants were randomly assigned to receive oral quinine or artemether-lumefantrine. Block randomisation was used with blocks of 20. Computer generated randomisation codes were prepared by an independent individual and enclosed in sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelopes, each of which contained the treatment allocation. The envelopes were assigned in sequential order to participants after inclusion. Participants in the quinine arm received a seven day course of quinine sulphate as 10 mg/kg body weight per dose three times daily. Quinine sulphate was provided as 300 mg tablets (Rene Pharmaceutical, Kampala, Uganda); the quality of the drug was certified by the Uganda National Drug Authority. The tablets were divided when necessary to adjust the dosage for body weight. Care givers were instructed by the study nurse that the tablets should be taken with clean water every eight hours. Participants in the artemether-lumefantrine arm received WHO recommended weight specific artemether-lumefantrine blister packs (Coartem; Novartis Pharma, Basel, Switzerland): one tablet per dose for body weight 10.0-14.9 kg, two tablets for 15.0-24.9 kg, three tablets for 25.0-34.9 kg, and four tablets for ≥35 kg. Care givers were instructed by the study nurse to administer a dose of artemether-lumefantrine eight hours after the first dose and then each morning and evening for the following two days, with all doses given 30 minutes to one hour after food.
Preferably containing fat or oil. The first dose of the study drugs was given under the supervision of the study nurse, who then provided the remaining doses to be taken at home. Participants were observed for 30 minutes; those who vomited received a repeat dose. Care givers were informed that if any dose was vomited within 30 minutes of being given then another should be administered, with the option of coming to the clinic to collect replacement doses. Care givers were counselled about the necessity of complying with the full treatment course and potential side effects of the drug. They were also encouraged to bring their child to the study clinic if symptoms persisted or reappeared. Concomitant drugs were prescribed as needed and documented on case record forms; antibiotics with antimalarial activity such as tetracyclines, macrolides, and antifolates were not used in this study. At the end of the enrolment visit (day 0) the participants were taken home by study staff. Care givers were not aware that they would be visited at home or assessed for adherence.

Follow-up
A field worker and a nurse visited participants at home, on day 3 for the artemether-lumefantrine group and day 7 for the quinine group, in both cases the day after treatment was scheduled to be completed. At this visit a questionnaire was used to assess adherence. Information collected included basic personal data and the time each dose was given and the method used. When possible the blister pack of artemether-lumefantrine and the envelope for the quinine tablets were examined for remaining tablets. At the end of this visit participants in the artemether-lumefantrine arm were given appointments for follow-up visits and participants in the quinine group were taken to the clinic. The children were followed up for 28 days, with follow-up visits scheduled for days 7, 14, and 28 for both groups. Participants were also seen at the clinic on any unscheduled day if they were unwell. On each of these visits a standardised history and physical examination were done and blood smears obtained by finger prick to determine the density of asexual parasites, presence of gametocytes, and haemoglobin concentration. Blood was also collected on filter paper for subsequent molecular studies. In the event of treatment failure, thin smears were examined for species of malaria parasite. Participants who failed treatment were given dihydroartemisinin plus piperaquine phosphate (Duo-cotexcin; Beijing Holley-Cotec, Beijing, China) once daily for three days. Participants who developed severe malaria were referred for treatment with intravenous quinine. Those who for any reason could not continue with the prescribed drugs, developed a serious concomitant illness that interfered with outcome classification, could not be located within 48 hours of a scheduled visit, or had consent withdrawn were included in the analysis and censored on their last day of follow-up.

Adverse events, defined as any unfavourable or undesirable medical occurrence, were assessed at each visit and recorded on case record forms. Association of adverse events with the study drugs was classified as definite, probable, possible, unlikely, or none; according to WHO and the guidelines of the International Conference on Harmonisation. Adverse events were graded as serious if they were fatal or life threatening, resulted in prolonged hospital stay, caused persistent disability or incapacity, or required medical or surgical interventions to prevent serious outcomes.

Definition of adherence
Adherence to treatment was assessed by care givers’ report, and pill counts; overall adherence was the percentage of prescribed pills taken. For the artemether-lumefantrine arm additional components to assess adherence included an empty blister pack, a pill count on the basis of tablets remaining in the blister pack, care givers’ reported dosing schedule, and care givers’ report on whether tablets were given after food. On the basis of these factors, adherence to artemether-lumefantrine was further qualified as optimal adherence (all four components present), good adherence (any three components present), or non-adherence (two or fewer components present).

Laboratory procedures
The density of asexual malaria parasites was determined from thick blood smears stained with 2% Giemsa for 30 minutes and a count of the number of parasites per 200 white blood cells. Results were expressed as number of parasites per microlitre, assuming a total white cell count of 8000 per microlitre. When no asexual forms were found on examination of 100 high power fields, a negative result was recorded. All slides were read by a second microscopist, and a
Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of children with uncomplicated malaria randomised to receive quinine or artemether-lumefantrine. Values are means (standard deviations) unless stated otherwise

| Characteristic                              | Quinine group (n=86) | Artemether-lumefantrine group (n=89) |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Age (years)                                 | 2.0 (1.5)            | 2.1 (1.4)                             |
| No (% girls)                                | 45 (52)              | 49 (55)                               |
| Weight (kg)                                 | 11.3 (3.3)           | 11.3 (3.0)                            |
| Axillary temperature (°C)                   | 37.8 (1.4)           | 37.7 (1.3)                            |
| Haemoglobin concentration (g/l)             | 97 (20)              | 96 (21)                               |
| No (% with gametocytes present              | 7 (8)                | 10 (11)                               |
| Parasite density (geometric mean)/μl        | 14 107               | 16 124                                |
| No (% with parasitaemia ≥5000/μl)           | 24 (28)              | 22 (25)                               |

For participants with recurrent parasitaemia after day 3, we extracted DNA using chelex from filter paper blood samples collected at enrolment and on the day of failure. To distinguish between recrudescence and new infection, samples from enrolment and the day of treatment failure were compared in a stepwise manner on the basis of polymorphisms in merozoite surface protein-1, merozoite surface protein-2, and four microsatellite markers (TA40, TA60, TA81, and PPK2).

Outcome classification

Treatment outcomes were assessed according to WHO guidelines as adequate clinical and parasitological response, early treatment failure, late clinical failure, or late parasitological failure. The primary effectiveness end point was the polymerase chain reaction adjusted clinical and parasitological cure rate at day 28; secondary effectiveness outcomes were polymerase chain reaction unadjusted cure rate at day 28, adherence to treatment regimens, presence of gametocytes, recovery of haemoglobin concentration from baseline at day 28, and incidence of adverse events.

Sample size estimation

This study was designed to test the hypothesis that the effectiveness of quinine and artemether-lumefantrine would not differ in the treatment of uncomplicated malaria. We calculated that 151 patients would be needed in each treatment arm using a 5% level of significance and 90% power, and assuming a difference in adherence between the two groups of 19% and a 10% loss to follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Data were double entered into EPI-info software version 6.04 and analysed using Stata version 10.0. Effectiveness analysis was done using a modified intention to treat with survival analysis. Categorical data were compared by χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. Differences between group means were analysed using Student’s t test, applying log transformation when appropriate. Risks of treatment failure were estimated using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis. Two tailed P values were used and all analyses were done at a 5% significance level.

RESULTS

Between September 2007 and April 2008 a total of 325 children were screened for eligibility; of these, 147 (46%) were excluded, most commonly for use of antimalarials in the two weeks before enrolment or unwillingness of the primary care givers to provide informed consent (fig 1). A planned interim analysis was undertaken by the data and safety monitoring board after 178 participants had been recruited. The oral quinine group met the predefined stopping rule of a significant difference in cure rate using the O’Brien Fleming method, with P<0.001, and the study was halted.

Eighty nine participants were enrolled to receive artemether-lumefantrine and 86 to receive quinine. During follow-up, 28 of the 175 participants (16%) were excluded, 13 in the quinine arm (12 lost to follow-up and one took other antimalarial) and 15 in the artemether-lumefantrine arm (14 lost to follow-up and one took other antimalarial). These participants were included in the analysis and censored on their last day of follow-up. Thus primary outcomes (unadjusted and adjusted by genotyping) were available for 85% (73/86) of participants in the quinine arm and 83% (74/89) in the artemether-lumefantrine arm. Baseline characteristics were comparable between the groups (table 1).

Primary treatment outcomes

Cure rates were significantly higher in the artemether-lumefantrine group than in the quinine group. Using survival analysis, cure rates unadjusted by genotyping were 96% for the artemether-lumefantrine group and 64% for the quinine group (P<0.001; table 2). Early treatment failure was uncommon; only two cases were seen, both in the quinine arm (one child had more than two convulsions in 24 hours and the other had profuse vomiting and prostration). They were both admitted to hospital and treated with intravenous quinine. Participants were 10 times more likely to fail treatment with oral quinine than with artemether-lumefantrine (hazard ratio 10.7, 95% confidence interval 3.3 to 35.5, P=0.001). The risk of treatment failure unadjusted by genotyping was significantly higher in the quinine group than in the artemether-lumefantrine group (35.3%, 95% confidence interval 25.6% to 47.4% vs 4.1%, 1.3% to 12.0%): risk difference 31.3% (19.4% to 31.1%, P<0.001; table 3, fig 2). Genotyping showed that 62% (16/26) of treatment failures in the quinine group were due to recrudescence and that all treatment failures in the artemether-lumefantrine group were due to new infections. When only treatment failures caused by recrudescent parasites were considered, the risk of failure with quinine was 23.1% (95% confidence interval 14.9% to 35.0%) and with artemether-lumefantrine was 0 (risk difference 23.1%, 13.2% to 33.1%, P<0.001; table 3). The predictors of treatment failure using Cox regression analysis included treatment with oral
Table 2: Treatment outcomes of children aged 6 to 59 months with uncomplicated malaria after 28 days of follow-up. Values are percentages (numbers).

| Treatment outcomes | Quinine group (n=86) | Artemether-lumefantrine group (n=89) |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Cure rate†         | 64 (47)              | 97 (71)                              |
| Early treatment failure† | 3 (2)               | 0                                    |
| Late clinical failure†: |                     |                                      |
| Due to recrudescence | 12 (10)              | 0                                    |
| Due to new infection | 2 (5)                | 2 (2)                                |
| Genotyping unsuccessful | 0                   | 0                                    |
| Total              | 21 (15)              | 3 (2)                                |
| Late parasitological failure†: |                     |                                      |
| Due to recrudescence | 2 (6)                | 0                                    |
| Due to new infection | 2 (2)                | 1 (1)                                |
| Genotyping unsuccessful | 1 (1)               | 0                                    |
| Total              | 7 (9)                | 1 (1)                                |
| No treatment outcome: |                     |                                      |
| Lost to follow-up  | 14 (12)              | 16 (14)                              |
| Use of other antimalarials | 1 (1)              | 1 (1)                                |
| Total              | 15 (13)              | 17 (15)                              |

*Adequate clinical and parasitological response.
†Concerns 73 children in quinine arm and 74 in artemether-lumefantrine arm in whom treatment outcome was assessed.

Secondary outcomes

Certain early responses to treatment, including clearance of fever and parasites, could not be assessed in this study because participants were only seen at the study clinic on days 0 and 7. Haemoglobin concentrations improved equally in both groups during 28 days of follow-up. Gametocytaemia was more common in the quinine group at day 7 compared with the artemether-lumefantrine group (14% [10/73] vs 1% [1/74]; P=0.001). Total person time with gametocytes was 20 weeks for quinine compared with five weeks for artemether-lumefantrine (P<0.01).

Reported adverse events did not differ between the groups. Common side effects of quinine such as nausea, headache, tinnitus, and blurred vision were not noted. Severe adverse events occurred in only three participants, two in the quinine group (one participant had repeated seizures and the other had recurrent vomiting and prostration). They were both classified as early treatment failures. The third participant, in the artemether-lumefantrine group, had repeated vomiting on day 7 and a negative malaria smear result at that time. This participant had a urinary tract infection and improved with antibiotic therapy.

Adherence

Adherence was assessed in 91% (160/175) of participants; 75 in the quinine group and 85 in the artemether-lumefantrine group. This was done successfully at the first home visit in 86% (n=138) of the participants and on a second home visit in 12% (n=19). Respondents were predominantly mothers (94%, n=150) who were unemployed and stayed at home (60%, n=96); 56% (n=89) were taking care of at least two children and 35% (n=56) were taking care of three or four children. Ninety per cent (n=144) of these caregivers had at least a primary school education.

Mean adherence in the artemether-lumefantrine group was 95% (range 33-100%) and in the quinine group was 85% (range 14-100%; P=0.0008). Overall, non-adherence to treatment was higher in the quinine group than in the artemether-lumefantrine group (55% [41/75] vs 17% [14/85]; P=0.001). On the third day of treatment the proportion of patients not adhering to treatment was similar between the groups; 12% in the artemether-lumefantrine arm and 13% in the quinine arm (P=0.84). The proportion of participants who did not adhere to quinine therapy, however, increased to 19% on the fifth day of treatment, 31% on the sixth day, and 44% on the seventh day. Only 34% (n=29) of participants in the artemether-lumefantrine group had optimal adherence whereas 51% (n=43) reported good adherence. For both groups several reasons were given for non-adherence. These included the care givers forgetting to administer the drugs (50%, 23/46), the drugs being vomited up (22%, 10/46), the child feeling better (7%, 3/46), the child unable to take the drugs because of illness (4%, 2/46), and the care giver not understanding dosage instructions (4%, 2/46).

Difficulty in taking the prescribed drug was reported for 32% (n=23) of participants in the quinine group compared with 19% (n=14) in the artemether-lumefantrine group (P=0.03). Participants in the quinine group who were reported as having difficulty in taking the drugs were less likely to adhere to the study.
drugs than those that were not reported as having any difficulty; 39% (9/23) of participants who were reported as having difficulty in taking the drugs were non-adherent compared with only 21% (13/63) of those who were not reported as having any difficulty (P=0.08). This trend was not observed in the artemether-lumefantrine group; 7% (1/14) of participants who were reported as having difficulty in taking the drugs were non-adherent compared with 9% (7/75) who were not reported as having any difficulty (P=0.79). In a multivariate analysis, predictors of non-adherence included treatment with oral quinine (P<0.001), being male (P<0.05), and vomiting (P=0.02). Having a mean adherence of 80% or more was associated with a decreased risk of treatment failure, although this was not significant (hazard ratio 0.44, 95% confidence interval 0.19 to 1.02, P=0.06).

DISCUSSION

We compared the effectiveness of oral quinine with artemether-lumefantrine in the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Ugandan children aged 6 to 59 months. To our knowledge this was the first randomised comparison of the effectiveness of these two antimalarial drugs; artemether-lumefantrine was highly effective, with only three late treatment failures (all new infections) among 74 evaluable participants (96% efficacy) after 28 days of follow-up. In contrast, quinine was ineffective for treating uncomplicated malaria, with failure in 26 of 73 evaluable participants (64% efficacy), including two early treatment failures and 16 late recrudescences. Participants treated with quinine were 10 times more likely to fail therapy than those treated with artemether-lumefantrine. These results have striking implications. Quinine is the most common second line therapy and is also increasingly used as first line therapy for uncomplicated malaria in Africa. Our results suggest that quinine is a poor choice for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria and that its use as first line or second line therapy for uncomplicated malaria needs to be reviewed.

Previous results for the efficacy and effectiveness of oral quinine in uncomplicated malaria have been mixed. Many studies have shown good efficacy with quinine treatment for seven days, but some have shown efficacy or effectiveness below 90%, with particularly poor outcomes in studies of pregnant women. Several factors may have contributed to the poor effectiveness of quinine in our study. Firstly, although the quinine had been certified by the Uganda National Drug Authority as being of good quality, we did not verify this with specific tests, so that poor drug quality cannot be ruled out. Secondly, resistance to quinine may have led to treatment failures. Diminished sensitivity of cultured \textit{P} falciparum has been shown in Asia and South America, but it seems to be uncommon in parasites from Africa. Thirdly, varied pharmacokinetics may have led to drug levels in some participants that were inadequate to clear parasites after seven days of treatment. However, there is little evidence for large variations in quinine pharmacokinetics. The fourth and probably most likely explanation for the poor effectiveness of quinine in our study was poor compliance with the dosage (three times daily for seven days). Quinine is well known for poor tolerability owing to nausea, headache, tinnitus, blurred vision, and other symptoms that increase over a few days of therapy, and poor compliance with the drug has been documented. In addition, even without problems of tolerability patients may stop treatment early if symptoms of malaria have resolved. Shortening the course of quinine has been proposed as a strategy to improve adherence; however, shorter courses have generally shown decreased efficacy when compared with a seven day regimen. In our study, multiple reasons for non-adherence were documented and poor adherence with dosing guidelines was associated with treatment failures. However, associations between adherence and outcomes were modest and not statistically significant and did not fully explain the poor effectiveness of quinine. Serum levels of quinine were not measured, and so adherence measures depended on primary care givers’ reports and pill counts, which may have been inaccurate. It remains unclear if our inability to fully explain the poor effectiveness of quinine resulted from limitations in our assessment of compliance or was due to other factors.

In contrast with the results for quinine, the effectiveness of artemether-lumefantrine, the new first line drug for uncomplicated malaria in Uganda, was excellent. This result is consistent with those from other effectiveness studies, showing that unsupervised treatment with artemether-lumefantrine had the same efficacy (98%) as supervised therapy for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Uganda and that artemether-lumefantrine had excellent effectiveness in a region of Tanzania with high rates of treatment failure with

Table 3 | Comparative effectiveness of oral quinine and artemether-lumefantrine treatment at day 28 in Ugandan children with uncomplicated malaria

| Outcome | Risk of failure (95% CI) |
|---------|-------------------------|
| Quinine group (n=86) | Artemether-lumefantrine group (n=89) |
| Treatement failure (%) | 35.3 (25.6 to 47.4) | 4.1 (1.3 to 12.0) |
| Treatment difference | 31.1 (19.4 to 31.1) | 0.001 |

*Any early treatment failure, late clinical failure, or late parasitological failure.
†Unadjusted by genotyping.
‡Adjusted by genotyping.
§Any early treatment failure, late clinical failure, or late parasitological failure caused by recrudescence.
Table 4 | Secondary treatment outcomes at 28 days of follow-up by treatment group. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

| Outcome                         | Quinine group (n=86) | Artemether-lumefantrine group (n=89) | P value |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Adherence*:                     |                      |                                      |         |
| None                            | 41 (55)              | 14 (17)                              | <0.001  |
| Difficulty taking drug          | 23 (31)              | 14 (17)                              | 0.03    |
| Appearance of gametocytes:      |                      |                                      |         |
| Day 7                           | 10 (17)              | 1 (1)                                | 0.001   |
| Day 14                          | 5 (8)                | 1 (1)                                | 0.07    |
| Day 28                          | 1 (2)                | 1 (1)                                | 0.86    |
| Mean (SD) change in haemoglobin concentration (g/l), day 0 v day 28 | 12 (22)              | 13 (20)                              | 0.78    |
| Adverse events, days 0-28:      |                      |                                      |         |
| Adverse event of any severity   | 21 (24)              | 16 (18)                              | 0.30    |
| Serious adverse event           | 2 (2)                | 1 (1)                                | 0.54    |
| Fever                           | 15 (17)              | 7 (44)                               | 0.49    |
| Anorexia                        | 0 (0)                | 1 (6)                                | 0.47    |
| Cough                           | 1 (5)                | 2 (13)                               | 0.74    |
| Diarrhoea                       | 0 (0)                | 3 (19)                               | 0.47    |
| Recurrent seizures              | 1 (5)                | 0 (0)                                | 0.41    |
| Repeated vomiting               | 1 (5)                | 2 (6)                                | 0.45    |
| Rash                            | 1 (5)                | 0 (0)                                | 0.41    |

*Concerns 75 children in quinine arm and 85 in artemether-lumefantrine arm.

We found that the effectiveness of a seven day course of quinine for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Ugandan children was significantly lower than that of the new artemisinin based combination therapy artemether-lumefantrine. These results question the advisability of the recommendation for quinine as therapy for uncomplicated malaria in Africa. Rather, it seems that another artemisinin based combination therapy will be more appropriate to treat uncomplicated malaria even after initial treatment failure with an artemisinin based combination therapy. This strategy seems reasonable in Africa, as nearly all clinical failures after use of highly effective artemisinin based combination therapies (artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, and dihydroartemisinin with piperaquine) are new infections, not recrudescence. However, the use of artemisinin based combination therapies as both first line and second line therapy for uncomplicated malaria has not yet been tested in a therapeutic trial, and such evaluation should be a priority. Furthermore, the increasing use of quinine as first line therapy for uncomplicated malaria should be discouraged. It is hoped that increasing access to new drugs will allow most Africans with uncomplicated malaria to be treated promptly with artemisinin based combination therapies, the most effective available therapies for this condition.
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