Co-inhibition of colony stimulating factor-1 receptor and BRAF oncogene in mouse models of BRAFV600E melanoma
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ABSTRACT

The presence of colony stimulating factor-1 (CSF1)/CSF1 receptor (CSF1R)-driven tumor-infiltrating macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) is shown to promote targeted therapy resistance. In this study, we demonstrate the superior effect of a combination of CSF1R inhibitor, PLX3397 and BRAF inhibitor, PLX4720, in suppressing primary and metastatic mouse BRAFV600E melanoma. Using flow cytometry to assess SM1WT1 melanoma-infiltrating leukocytes immediately post therapy, we found that PLX3397 reduced the recruitment of CD11b+ Gr1hi and CD11b+ Gr1int M2-like macrophages, but this was accompanied by an accumulation of CD11b+ Gr1hi cells. PDL1 expression on remaining myeloid cells potentially dampened the antitumor efficacy of PLX3397 and PLX4720 in combination, since PD1/PDL1 axis blockade improved outcome. We also reveal a role for PLX3397 in reducing tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, and interestingly, this feature was rescued by the co-administration of PLX4720. Our findings, from three different mouse models of BRAF-mutated melanoma, support clinical approaches that co-target BRAF oncogene and CSF1R.

Introduction

BRAF inhibitors (PLX4032; vemurafenib or GSK2118436; dabrafenib) have been shown to effectively suppress BRAFV600E-mutated melanoma, prolonging patient overall survival. However, clinical responses to these oncogene-targeted therapies are generally followed by patient therapy resistance and tumor recurrence.1,4 Substantial evidence showed that resistance to BRAF inhibition is not solely driven by tumor cell autocrine mechanisms,3,5-9 but also via the induction of an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment.10-12 In light of these findings, manipulating intratumoral immunity represents a promising strategy to overcome resistance and improve the ability of BRAF inhibitors to treat melanoma patients. While immunotherapies have been shown to enhance the anti-melanoma activity of BRAF inhibitors, these immunotherapeutic agents were mainly restricted to T cell checkpoint inhibitors, T cell co-stimulation agonists and adoptive cellular therapy.10,13-15 In contrast, approaches to modulate intratumoral macrophages and myeloid cells for overcoming BRAF inhibition resistance have not been widely explored.

Driven by tumor-derived soluble factors like CSF1, immunosuppressive M2 macrophages and MDSCs that express CSF1 receptor (CSF1R; CD115) are actively polarized and recruited to the tumor microenvironment.16,17 The presence of M2 macrophages and MDSCs has not only been shown to suppress cytotoxicity and/or promote exhaustion of antitumor T cells, but also result in therapy resistance.16,18,19 Administration of CSF1/CSF1R inhibitors (monoclonal antibodies or small molecule inhibitors) to inhibit M2 macrophages and MDSCs was shown not only to be effective as a cancer monotherapy, but also as an adjuvant therapy to overcome resistance to therapeutic approaches like vaccination, chemotherapy, adoptive cellular therapy, radiotherapy and T cell checkpoint blockade.20-28 Among the CSF1R inhibitors, PLX3397 is an effective small molecule receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor for KIT/CSF1R/FLT3 that is currently being trialled as a single agent or in combination therapy for patients with glioblastoma, breast cancer, melanoma, and other cancers.

Here, we demonstrate superior anti-melanoma responses following a combination of PLX4720 (BRAF inhibitor; an analog of PLX4032) with PLX3397, in a series of mouse BRAFV600E-mutated melanomas with differential sensitivity to BRAF inhibition. We show that PLX3397 reduces the recruitment of CSF1R+ CD11b+ Gr1lo and CSF1R+ CD11b+ Gr1hi M2-like macrophages, accompanied by an accumulation of CD11b+ Gr1hi MDSC-like cells in the SM1WT1 BRAFV600E melanomas. The expression of PDL1 on remaining myeloid cells potentially dampened the antitumor efficacy of PLX3397 and PLX4720 in combination, since PD1/PDL1 axis blockade improved outcome. We also uncover a role for PLX3397 in reducing tumor-infiltrating NK cells, CD8+ T cells co-targeting BRAF oncogene and CSF1R.
cells, CD4+ T cells, and CD4+ Foxp3+ Tregs, and this feature of PLX3397 is rescued by the co-administration of PLX4720.

**Results**

**Combination of PLX4720 and PLX3397 enhances antitumor response against BRAFV600E melanomas**

We first assessed the antitumor effect of PLX3397 alone or in combination with PLX4720 in three mouse models of BRAFV600E melanoma, namely the Tyr::CreER\(^\text{T2}\) Braf\(^{\text{CA}}\) Pten\(^{\text{fl/fl}}\) (TBP) strain of melanoma prone mice and the tumor transplants, SM1WT1 and SM1WT1-LWT1. Consistent with published findings,\(^{14,29,30}\) PLX4720 suppressed the growth of de novo TBP melanomas, prolonging the survival of tumor-bearing mice (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A). We observed that the survival of TBP-bearing mice treated with PLX3397 was prolonged, albeit its effect was inferior to PLX4720 (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A). Notably, we found that the co-administration of PLX4720 and PLX3397 resulted in a significantly superior antitumor effect in comparison to either treatment alone with a 88% survival rate at 250 days for the combination relative to median survivals of 235 days for PLX4720, 120 days for PLX3397, and 70 days for control chow cohorts (Fig. 1A). This superior antitumor effect of PLX4720 in combination with PLX3397 was further confirmed in the transplantable SM1WT1 melanoma model (Fig. 1B). Next, in the experimental SM1WT1-LWT1 lung metastases model, we found that the combination therapeutic effect was also marginally increased, in comparison to PLX4720 treatment alone (Fig. S1B-C). Similar to the TBP model results, PLX3397 was found to be less effective than PLX4720 in suppressing the growth of SM1WT1 and experimental metastasis of SM1WT1-LWT1 melanomas (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1B-C).

**Marker expression profile of intratumoral CD11b+ Gr1+ myeloid cells**

Given the reported function of the CSF1/CSF1R axis in modulating macrophages and MDSCs, we then performed flow cytometry analyses on tumor-infiltrating leukocytes (TILs) isolated from established SM1WT1 tumors to identify and characterize these intratumoral CD11b+ myeloid cells. Our flow cytometry analyses of TILs showed 3 subsets of CD11b+ Gr1+ cells, which can be differentiated by their Gr1 expression level (Gr1hi, Gr1\(_{\text{int}}\) and Gr1lo) (Fig. 2A). Consistent with their expression of CSF1R, CD11b+ Gr1hi and CD11b+ Gr1lo cells were shown positive for F4/80 expression (Fig. 2B). These two subsets of cells were also shown to be Ly6G+ CD11c+ and MHCII+, with Ly6C\(_{\text{int/lo}}\) for CD11b+ Gr1lo cells whereas Ly6C\(_{\text{hi}}\) for CD11b+ Gr1\(_{\text{int}}\) cells (Fig. 2B). In contrast, CD11b+ Gr1hi cells were CSF1R\(^{-}\) Ly6G\(^{-}\) Ly6C\(_{\text{lo}}\) F4/80+ CD11c+ and MHCII- (Fig. 2B). Our analyses also demonstrated that CD206, a classical M2 macrophages marker,\(^{31}\) was mainly found on CD11b+ Gr1\(_{\text{int}}\) and CD11b+ Gr1lo cells. (Fig. 2B)

**PLX3397 reduces intratumoral CD11b+ Gr1\(_{\text{int}}\) and CD11b+ Gr1lo cells but increases CD11b+ Gr1hi cells**

To determine the changes in intratumoral CD11b+ myeloid cells in mice treated with PLX4720, PLX3397, or the combination, we performed our flow cytometry analyses on TILs overnight after 3 days of therapy. Our analyses showed a substantial reduction in TILs (CD45.2+ 7AAD\(^{-}\)) (Fig. 2A and Fig. 3A) in PLX3397- or PLX4720+PLX3397 (hereafter termed combination)-treated mice, in comparison to vehicle- or PLX4720-treated mice. In concert with CSF1R expression levels, we found that CD11b+ Gr1\(_{\text{int}}\) and CD11b+ Gr1lo cells were significantly reduced in the tumors of PLX3397- or combination-treated mice, in comparison to vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the tumors of the PLX3397- and combination-treated mice demonstrated a significant increase in CD11b+ Gr1hi cells (Fig. 3B). While PLX4720 was shown to marginally reduce CD11b+ Gr1\(_{\text{int}}\) cells, we did not detect any changes in the frequencies or numbers of CD11b+ Gr1hi and CD11b+ Gr1lo cells in these tumors. (Fig. 3B)

**PLX4720 partially rescues lymphocyte-depleting effect of PLX3397**

Given the modulation of CD11b+ myeloid cells in the tumors of PLX3397- and combination-treated mice, we next examined whether these changes would impact on tumor-infiltrating NK cells (NK1.1+ TCR\(\beta\)\(^{+}\)), total CD8+ T cells (CD8a+ TCR\(\beta\)\(^{+}\), total CD4+ T cells (CD4+ TCR\(\beta\)\(^{+}\)), and Tregs (CD4+ Foxp3\(^{+}\)) (Fig. 4A). While no significant lymphocyte number changes were detected between vehicle- and PLX4720-treated mice, to our surprise, PLX3397 greatly reduced the number of tumor-infiltrating NK and T cells (Fig. 4C). These number changes in the immune subsets analyzed (except Tregs), were not detected using frequency parameter (Fig. 4B). Our flow cytometry analyses demonstrated that while intratumoral T cells were CSF1R\(^{-}\) c-Kit\(^{-}\) FLT3\(^{-}\), a small proportion of the tumor-infiltrating NK cells was expressing c-Kit (Fig. S2). Of note, numbers of NK cells and total CD4+ T cells were also reduced in the tumors of combination-treated mice, compared to vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, we observed substantial increases in NK cells, total CD4+ T and CD8+ T cell numbers, but not Tregs, in combination-treated mice compared with mice treated with PLX3397 alone (Fig. 4C), indicating a lymphocyte-rescue effect of PLX4720. We next examined the cytokine production of these intratumoral T cells. In concert with the frequencies of CD4+ Foxp3+ cells between PLX4720- and combination-treated mice (Fig. 4B), the IL-10 production of CD4+ T cells was reduced (Fig. 5A). The reduction of Foxp3 frequency among CD4+ T cells isolated from tumors of combination-treated mice was accompanied by an increase in IFNy+ CD4+ T cells, when compared to vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 5A). The increase of IFNy-production in CD4+ T cells was not observed in the CD8+ T cell population, possibly due to the incomplete rescue following PLX3397 co-treatment (Fig. 5B). No significant changes in the frequencies of IL-2- or TNF-producing CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were noted between vehicle-treated and PLX-treated mice (Fig. 5A-B). Thus overall, the suppression of SM1WT1 melanomas was associated with
reduced numbers of CD11b+ Gr1int and CD11b+ Gr1hi M2-like TAMs, and CD4+ Foxp3+ Tregs; but increases in CD11b+ Gr1hi cells, T cells, and NK cells.

**PD1/PDL1 blockade enhances therapeutic effect of PLX4720 and PLX3397**

Despite the significant reduction of tumor immune suppressor cells (M2 macrophages and Tregs), we observed that combination-treated mice eventually relapsed following the cessation of therapy. We reasoned that the incomplete tumor clearance in combination-treated mice was driven by the expansion of CD11b+ Gr1hi cells, and remnants of CD11b+ Gr1int and CD11b+ Gr1lo cells. Indeed, we found that a co-administration of anti-Ly6G mAb (clone 1A8) further enhanced the antitumor effect of PLX4720 and PLX3397 therapy (Fig. 6), indicating a role of the expanded Ly6G+ CD11b+ Gr1hi cells in suppressing PLX3397-mediated antitumor immunity. While the frequencies
of PD1-expressing CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were not modulated by PLX4720 and/or PLX3397 (Fig. 7B), we found striking increases in expression of PDL1 and PDL2 on all intratumoral CD11b+ Gr1+ cells isolated from the combination-treated mice (Figs. 2B and 7A). These findings prompted us to assess whether the PD1/PDL1 pathway might be targeted along with PLX4720 and PLX3397 combination therapy. Consistent with our speculation, by using PD1 and PDL1 blocking antibodies, we showed that the SM1WT1 tumor in combination-treated mice was further suppressed when the PD1/PDL1 signaling axis was blocked. (Fig. 7C)

Discussion

Development of resistance following oncogene inhibition is common in the clinic, where tumor cells acquire or develop pro-survival mechanisms to maintain their growth.5-9 While BRAF inhibitors have displayed a rapid and dramatic response rate in BRAF-mutated melanoma patients, most of these patients eventually relapse.1-4 In contrast, immunotherapy has been shown to induce long-term response in patients.32,33 In light of these findings, a combination of an immunotherapeutic agent and a BRAF inhibitor has great potential to overcome oncogene inhibition resistance. Indeed, we and others have previously demonstrated superior antitumor effects of a BRAF inhibitor in combination with T cell-based immunotherapy agents in suppressing the growth mouse BRAFV600E melanomas.13,10,14,15 Here, we demonstrated an enhanced anti-melanoma effect by a combination of PLX4720 and CSF1R inhibitor, PLX3397, providing pre-clinical evidence for a similar therapeutic combination in a Phase I trial for BRAF-mutated advanced stage melanoma (NCT01826448).
Similar to published studies, PLX3397 was shown to reduce SM1WT1 intratumoral CSF1R-expressing CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{int}}\) and CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{lo}}\) M2-like TAMs, and this was accompanied a large expansion of CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\) MDSC-like cells. However, we also observed a sizeable reduction in intratumor NK cells, T cells, and Tregs in PLX3397-treated mice. While c-Kit is known to be involved in regulating thymic T cell development, its expression and function in intratumor T cells has not been well characterized. Alternatively, the presence of c-Kit\(^+\) intratumor NK cells in B16F10 melanoma has been previously reported. While the reduction of intratumoral NK cell number seen in PLX3397-treated mice could be explained by NK cell c-Kit expression, the mechanism of PLX3397 in reducing intratumoral T cells remains to be discerned. Given the absence of CSF1R/c-Kit/FLT3 expression in intratumoral T cells, it is possible that this T cell-depletion is mediated via the decreased myeloid component in the SM1WT1 tumors. The co-depletion of effector lymphocytes and M2-like TAMs, with an expansion of CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\) MDSC-like cells, might result in the relatively minor antitumor effect of PLX3397-treated mice compared to vehicle-treated mice.

We and others showed that BRAF inhibitors possess immunostimulating activities on T cells and NK cells (BRAF wild-type), mediated by the paradoxical activation of MAPK pathway. During completion of our study, Mok and colleagues reported a combination of PLX4032 and PLX3397 that demonstrated superior antitumor effect in suppressing the growth of SM1 melanoma. Of note, SM1WT1 was derived from SM1 melanoma, but is directly more easily transplantable. Both SM1- and SM1WT1-bearing mice eventually relapse upon termination of combination therapy. Our data showed that the relapse of SM1WT1 melanomas was partly driven by the PD1/PDL1 axis. This observation concurred with the expansion of PDL1/PDL2-expressing CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\) MDSC-like cells and presence of the remaining PDL1/PDL2-expressing CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{int}}\) and CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{lo}}\) TAMs. Although the presence of CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\) cells was not being assessed in Mok et al. PLX4032 and PLX3397 combination therapy study, they have previously reported that PLX3397 therapy alone increases the frequency of intratumor CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\) Ly6G\(^+\) cells and they recently concluded that the direct effect of TAMs or pro-survival cytokines produced by TAMs (e.g., TNF) did not confer resistance to Braf inhibitor. Together with our study, we reason that the expansion of these intratumor CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\) Ly6G\(^+\) MDSC-like cells is a driver of tumor relapse. While we found that intratumor NK cells and T cells were depleted in PLX3397-treated mice, the numbers of intratumor NK cells and effector T cells, but not Tregs, were rescued by co-administering PLX4720. Lymphocyte-depleting activity of PLX3397 was not reported in Mok et al., but rather the contrary. This may be due to the basally lower T cell infiltrates found in SM1 tumors, compared with SM1WT1 tumors, or the greater effect of combination therapy [including a high dose of PLX4032 (100 mg/kg)] observed at the time of flow analysis.

**Figure 3.** PLX3397 reduces CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{int}}\), and CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{lo}}\) cells but increases CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\) cells. Groups of B6 mice (n = 7–8) were injected subcutaneously with SM1WT1 (1 \times 10^6) on day 0. On day 10 or 11, tumor-bearing mice were treated with indicated treatments for three consecutive days. Tumors were harvested 16 to 24 h after last treatment for flow cytometric analyses. (A and B) Frequencies and (C and D) number of cells per gram (g) of tissue of (A and C) live CD45.2\(^+\) cells and (B and D) CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\), CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{int}}\), and CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{lo}}\) cells (gated from live CD45.2\(^+\) cells) between vehicle, PLX3397 (50 mg/kg), PLX4720 (20 mg/kg), PLX4720+PLX3397 (combination) are shown. Data are presented as the mean ± SD with individual symbols representing individual mice. Statistical differences in frequencies and number of cells per gram of tissue of live CD45.2\(^+\) cells, CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{hi}}\), CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{int}}\), and CD11b\(^+\) Gr1\(^{\text{lo}}\) cells between vehicle, PLX3397, PLX4720 and combo were determined by an unpaired t-test (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001). Data shown are representative of (A and C) 3 and (B and D) 2 independent experiments.
Therefore, the superior antitumor effect of this combination therapy is likely driven by the depletion of immune suppressor cells (M2 macrophages and Tregs) caused by PLX3397, together with the recovery of NK cells and effector T cells enabled by PLX4720. We also demonstrated for the first time the combination activity in the de novo melanoma prone BRAFV600E-TBP mutant strain of mice. This is important because here the melanomas develop from normal tissue in the host. Our study has provided a more complete understanding of combining BRAF and CSF1R inhibitors in pre-clinical mouse models of melanoma and in treating melanoma patients with lymphocyte infiltrates.39,40

Materials and methods

Mice

C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) male mice were purchased from the ARC Animal Resources Center. C57BL/6 Tyr::CreERT2Braf12/12Pten+/+ (TBP) were maintained as previously described.41 Groups of 4 to 15 (6 to 12 weeks) mice per experiment were used for experimental tumor assays, to ensure adequate power to detect biological differences. All experiments were approved by the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Animal Ethics Committee and the Yale Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Tumor cell lines

The C57BL/6 SM1WT1 and SM1WT1-LWT1 were maintained as previously described.37,14 For in vivo experiments, the indicated cell numbers were subcutaneously or intravenously injected into mice in a 100 or 200 μL volume, respectively.

Antibodies and reagents

PLX4720 (a BRAF inhibitor) and PLX3397 (a small molecule receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor for KIT/CSF1R/FLT3) were obtained from Plexxikon Inc.. For in vivo studies of SM1WT1 and SM1WT1-LWT1, PLX4720 was dissolved in DMSO (Calbiochem), followed by PBS (a final volume of 50 μL), which was then injected daily i.p. into mice at 20 mg/kg. An equal volume of DMSO and PBS mixture was used as Vehicle. PLX3397 was dissolved in DMSO, and then further diluted in an aqueous mixture of 0.5 % hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) (Sigma) and 1 % polysorbate 80 (PS80) (Fluka). The PLX3397 drug suspension was administered by daily oral gavage into mice at 50 mg/kg. An equal volume of DMSO and aqueous mixture was used as Vehicle. Treatment of mice in the TBP
Figure 5. Intracellular cytokine profile of intratumor T cells. Groups of B6 mice (n = 7–8) were injected subcutaneously with SM1WT1 (1.0 × 10⁶) on day 0. On day 11, tumor-bearing mice were treated with indicated treatments for three consecutive days. Tumors were harvested 16 to 24 h after last treatment for flow cytometric analyses. Frequencies of IL-10-, IFNγ-, IL-2-, or TNF-expressing (A) CD4⁺ T cells and (B) CD8⁺ T cells between vehicle, PLX3397 (50 mg/kg), PLX4720 (20 mg/kg), PLX4720+i-PLX3397 (combination) are shown. Data are presented as the mean ± SD with individual symbols representing individual mice. Statistical differences in frequencies and number of cells per gram of tissue of indicated immune subsets between vehicle, PLX3397, PLX4720, and combination were determined by an unpaired t-test (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).

Figure 6. Depletion of Ly6G⁺ cells enhances PLX4720 and PLX3397 combination therapy effect. Groups of B6 mice (n = 7–8) were injected subcutaneously with SM1WT1 (1.0 × 10⁶) on day 0. On day 11, tumor-bearing mice were treated with vehicle or PLX4720 (20 mg/kg) + PLX3397 (50 mg/kg) (combination) daily from days 11–21. Vehicle- or combination-treated mice were then treated with 500 μg of control Ig or anti-Ly6G on day 14, 17 and 20. Tumor growth was measured using a digital caliper, and tumor sizes are (A) presented as individual tumor size or (B) presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical differences in tumor sizes between treated mice were determined by an unpaired t-test (Day 18; combination + cIg vs. combination + anti-Ly6G p = 0.0081) (Day 20; combination + cIg vs. combination + anti-Ly6G p = 0.0049) (Day 22; combination + cIg vs. combination + anti-Ly6G p = 0.0171) (Day 24; combination + cIg vs. combination + anti-Ly6G p = 0.0091).
model was performed by feeding mice chow ad libitum either lacking drug (control) or compounded to contain PLX4720, PLX3397, or both. PLX4720 is a sister compound to vemurafenib with preferred properties for mouse studies. Purified anti-mouse PD1 mAb (RMP1-14; BioXCell), anti-mouse PDL1 (10F.9G2; BioXCell), anti-mouse Ly6G (1A8; BioXCell) and control Ig
In vivo treatments

1 × 10^6 SM1WT1 tumor cells were subcutaneously injected into mice in a 100 μL volume (day 0) and treatments given as indicated in the figure legends. Tumor growth was measured using a digital caliper, and tumor sizes are presented as mean tumor growth or individual tumor growth profile. 5.0 × 10^5 or 7.5 × 10^5 SM1WT1-LWT1 tumor cells were intravenously injected into mice in a 200 μL volume (day 0) and treatments given as indicated in the figure legends. On day 14, mice were harvested and lung metastases were quantified as previously described. For flow cytometry analyses of TILs, mice with established SM1WT1 tumor (day 10 or 11) were treated with the indicated reagents daily for three consecutive days and established SM1WT1 tumor (day 10 or 11) were treated with Vehicle, PLX4720, and/or PLX3397, and processed for flow cytometry analysis as previously described.45

Flow cytometry analysis

Tumors were harvested from mice that had been treated with Vehicle, PLX4720, and/or PLX3397, and processed for flow cytometry analysis as previously described.45 For surface staining, TIL suspensions were stained with eFluor780 anti-CD45.2 (104; eBioscience), eFluor450 or BV605 anti-CD4 (RM4-5; eBioscience and Biolegend), PE-Cy7 or BV421 anti-CD8a (53-67; eBioscience or Biolegend), FITC- or PE-anti-TCRβ (H57-597; eBioscience), APC- or PE-Cy7 anti-NK1.1 (PK136; eBioscience), PE-Cy7 anti-CD11b (M1/70; eBioscience), eFluor450 anti-Gr1 (RB6-8C5; eBioscience), APC-anti-CD115 (AFS98; eBioscience), FITC-anti-Ly6G (1A8; BD Pharmingen), PE-Cy7 anti-Ly6C (AL-21; BD Pharmingen), PE-anti-F4/80 (BM8; eBioscience), FITC-anti-CD11c (N418, eBioscience), PE-anti-MHC II (M5/114.15.2; eBioscience), APC-anti-PDL1 (10F.9G2; Biolegend), FITC-anti-PDL2 (12b; eBioscience), Alexa Fluor 467-anti-CD206 (C068C2; Biolegend), FITC-anti-PD1 (J43; eBioscience), PE-anti-CD135 (A2F10; Biolegend), APC-anti-CD117 (2B8; Biolegend), and respective isotype antibodies in the presence of anti-CD16/32 (2.4G2). BD Liquid Counting Beads (Cat. No. 335925) were added to sample for cell number analyses. 7AAD (Biolegend) was used to exclude dead cells. For intracellular transcription factor staining, surface-stained cells were then fixed and permeabilized using the Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (eBioscience), according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and stained using FITC-anti-Foxp3 (FJK-16s, eBioscience). For intracellular staining of IFNγ, IL-10, IL-2 and TNF, cells were stimulated in vitro with Cell Stimulation Cocktail (plus protein transport inhibitors) (500X) (eBioscience; Cat No. 00-4975-93) for 4 h, and then surface stained as aforementioned. Surface-stained cells were then fixed and permeabilized using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and stained with PE-anti-IFNγ (XMG1.2; eBioscience), FITC-anti-IL-10 (JES5-16E3; eBioscience), APC-anti-IL-2 (JES6-5H4; eBioscience), and BV605-anti-TNF (MP6-XT22; Biolegend), and respective isotype antibodies. Cells were acquired on the BD FACSCANTO II (BD Biosciences) and analysis was carried out using FlowJo (Tree Star).

Statistics

Statistical analyses were carried out using Graph Pad Prism software. Significant differences in SM1WT1 tumor growth and SM1WT1-LWT1 lung metastases were determined by an unpaired t-test. Significant differences in mouse survival in the TBP cohorts were determined using Kaplan–Meier plots and log-rank statistics. Based on ethical considerations related to maximum tumor size in mice, an endpoint of 1 cm³ tumor volume was used to determine survival in these TBP cohorts. Significant differences in cell subsets were determined by an unpaired t-test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant.
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