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In this paper, we introduce the new concept of coupled fixed-point (FP) results depending on another function in fuzzy cone metric spaces (FCM-spaces) and prove some unique coupled FP theorems under the modified contractive type conditions by using "the triangular property of fuzzy cone metric." Another function is self-mapping continuous, one-one, and subsequently convergent in FCM-spaces. In support of our results, we present illustrative examples. Moreover, as an application, we ensure the existence of a common solution of the two Volterra integral equations to uplift our work.

1. Introduction

Fixed-point theory is one of the most interesting areas of research. In 1922, Banach [1] proved a "Banach contraction principle" stated as follows: "a single-valued contractive type mapping in a complete metric space has a unique FP." After the publication of this principle, many researchers have contributed their ideas to the problems on fixed points in the context of metric spaces for single-valued and multivalued mappings with different types of applications. Kannan [2] and Chatterjea [3] proved some fixed-point theorems, while Reich [4, 5] presented some remarks concerning contractive type mappings in complete metric spaces. Covitz and Nadler [6] and Daffer and Kaneko [7] proved some multivalued fixed-point theorems, while Kaewkhao and Neammanee [8] established fixed-point theorems for multivalued Zamfirescu mapping in complete metric spaces. In 2007, Huang and Zhang [9] introduced the notion of cone metric space in which they extended and modified the concept of metric spaces. They proved the convergence properties and some fixed-point results by using the concept of the underlying cone are normal. Meanwhile, in 2008, Rezapour and Hamlbarani [10] proved fixed-point theorems without the assumption of normality of cone. After that, many others contributed their ideas to the problems on fixed-point results in cone metric spaces. Some of their contributions to the problems on cone metric spaces for fixed points can be found in [11–14].

Initially, the concept of fuzzy set theory was given by Zadeh [15]. Recently, the fuzzy set theory has been investigated, applied, and modified in many directions, in which the one direction of this theory is fuzzy logic, which has a wide range of applications again in many directions such as in engineering fields, business, and education. In education, fuzzy logic is used for the student results evaluation, which can be directly monitored by the teacher. Some of the references related to an education system based on fuzzy logic can be found in [16–19]. The other direction of the fuzzy set is the fuzzy metric theory. The notion of FM-space was introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [20]; they used the concept of a fuzzy set on metric space and proved some basic properties of the FM-space. After that, the stronger form of the metric fuzziness was given by George and Veeramani [21]. Later on,
Gregori and Sapena [22] proved some contractive type FP theorems in FM-spaces. Recently, in 2020, Li et al. [23] proved some strongly coupled FP theorems by using cyclic contractive type mappings in complete FM-spaces. Meanwhile Rehman et al. [24] presented the concept of rational type contraction mappings and proved some FP theorems in complete FM-spaces with an application.

In 2015, Oner et al. [25] introduced the concept of fuzzy cone metric spaces (FCM-spaces) and proved some basic properties and “a single-valued Banach contraction theorem for FP with the assumption that all the sequences are Cauchy.” Later on, Rehman and Li [26] established some generalized fuzzy cone-contractive type results for FP without the assumption that “all the sequences are Cauchy.” After that, Jabeen et al. [27] proved common FP theorems for commuting mappings in FCM-spaces and proved some coupled FP results depending on another continuous function in FCM-spaces. Moreover, we present an unique coupled FP results depending on another continuous function in FCM-spaces. Recently, in 2021, Rehman and Aydi [29] proved some rational type common FP theorems in FCM-spaces with an application.

In [30], Guo and Lakshmikantham introduced the coupled FP results for the nonlinear operator with applications. After that, some coupled FP theorems in partially ordered metric spaces were proved by Baskar and Lakshmikantham [31] and Lakshmikantham and Ciric [32]. In 2010, Sedghi et al. [33] proved common coupled FP theorems for commuting mappings in FCM-spaces. Meanwhile Moradi [34] presented some results on “Kannan FP on complete and generalized metric spaces which depends on another function” by using the concept of subsequence convergence and continuity.

In this paper, we use the above concepts together and prove some unique coupled FP theorems depending on another function in FCM-spaces. Moreover, we present an application of the two Volterra integral equations for the existence of a common solution to support our main work. In the last section (Section 5), we present the conclusion of our work.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. Let G be any set. A fuzzy set A in G is a function whose domain is G and the range is [0, 1].

Definition 2 (see [35]). A binary operation *: [0, 1]×[0, 1]→[0, 1] would be a continuous t-norm if * fulfills the following conditions:

(i) * is associative and commutative
(ii) * is continuous
(iii) 1 * α = α, ∀α ∈ [0, 1]
(iv) α * β ≤ γ * δ whenever α ≤ γ and β ≤ δ, for α, β, γ, δ ∈ [0, 1]

Definition 3 (see [9]). Let E be a real Banach space, and P is a subset of E. Then, P is called a cone if

(i) P is closed and nonempty and P ≠ {0}
(ii) If α, β ∈ R, α, β ≥ 0 and g, h ∈ P, then αg + βh ∈ P
(iii) If both g ∈ P and −g ∈ P, then g = 0

A partial ordering on a given cone P ⊂ E is defined by g ≤ h ⇔ h − g ∈ P. g ≤ h stands for g ≤ h and g ≠ h, while g ≪ h stands for h − g ∈ int(P). In this paper, all cones have a nonempty interior.

Definition 4 (see [21]). A 3-tuple (G, M, *) is said to be an FM-space if G is any set, * is continuous t-norm, and M is a fuzzy set on G × (0, ∞) satisfying

(i) M_c(g, h, t) > 0
(ii) M_c(g, h, t) = 0 if and only if g = h
(iii) M_c(g, h, t) = M_c(h, g, t)
(iv) M_c(g, k, t) + M_c(k, h, s) ≤ M_c(g, h, t + s)
(v) M_c(g, h, ·): (0, ∞) → [0, 1] is continuous, for g, h, k ∈ G and t, s > 0

Definition 5 (see [25]). A 3-tuple (G, M, *) is said to be an FCM-space if P is a cone of E, G is an arbitrary set, * is continuous t-norm, and M is a fuzzy set on G × int(P) satisfying

(i) M_c(g, h, t) > 0
(ii) M_c(g, h, t) = 0 if and only if g = h
(iii) M_c(g, h, t) = M_c(h, g, t)
(iv) M_c(g, k, t) + M_c(k, h, s) ≤ M_c(g, h, t + s)
(v) M_c(g, h, ·): int(P) → [0, 1] is continuous, for g, h, k ∈ G, and t, s > 0

Definition 6 (see [25]). Let a 3-tuple (G, M, *) be an FCM-space, h_i ∈ G, and a sequence [h_1] in G is

(i) Converging to h_i if γ ∈ (0, 1) and t > 0 and there is t_γ ∈ N such that M_c(h_{t_γ}, h_i, t) > 1 − γ, for t ≥ t_γ. We may write this lim_{t→∞}h_t = h_i or h_t → h_i as t → ∞;
(ii) Cauchy sequence if γ ∈ (0, 1) and t > 0 and there is t_γ ∈ N such that M_c(h_{t_j}, h_i, t) > 1 − γ, for t, j ≥ t_γ;
(iii) (G, M, *) complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in G;
(iv) Fuzzy cone contractive if ∃ α ∈ (0, 1), satisfying

\[ \frac{1}{M_c(h_0, h_{t+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(h_{t+1}, h_0, t)} - 1 \right), \]  

for t > 0, t ≥ 1.
Lemma 1 (see [25]). Let \((G, M_0, \ast)\) be an FCM-space and let a sequence \(\{h_t\}\) in \(G\) converge to a point \(h_1 \in G\) if \(M_0(h_t, h_1, t) \to 1\) as \(t \to \infty\), for \(t > 0\).

Definition 7 (see [26]). Let \((G, M_0, \ast)\) be an FCM-space. The fuzzy cone metric \(M_0\) is triangular if
\[
\frac{1}{M_0(h_1, h_1, t)} \leq \left( \frac{1}{M_0(h_1, h_2, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_0(h_2, h_3, t)} - 1 \right),
\]
\[\forall h_1, h_2, h_3 \in G, t > 0. \tag{2}\]

Definition 8 (see [25]). Let \((G, M_0, \ast)\) be an FCM-space and \(A : G \to G\). Then \(A\) is said to be fuzzy cone contractive if there exists \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\) such that
\[
\frac{1}{M_0\left(A h_1, A h_2, t\right)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_0(h_1, h_2, t)} - 1 \right),
\]
\[\forall h_1, h_2 \in G, t > 0. \tag{3}\]

Definition 9 (see [31]). An element \((g, h) \in G \times G\) is called coupled fixed point of a mapping \(B : G \times G \to G\) if
\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{B}(g, h) &= g, \quad \bar{B}(h, g) = h. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
\]

Now, in the following main results, we shall prove some unique coupled FP theorems depending on another function which is continuous, one-one, and subsequently convergent in FCM-spaces. We present some illustrative examples in support of our results. As a further study, we shall present two Volterra integral equations to ensure the existence of common solution to support our work.

3. Main Results

Now, we are in the position to present our first main result.

Theorem 1. Let \(\bar{B} : G \times G \to G\) be a mapping in a complete FCM-space \((G, M_0, \ast)\) in which \(M_0\) is triangular and \(A\) is a continuous, one-one, and subsequently convergent self-mapping on \(G\), that is, \(A : G \to G\), satisfying
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{M_0\left(A g, A h, t\right)} - 1 &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(g, h, t\right)} - 1 \right) \\
&\quad + \beta \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(A g, A h, t\right)} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(A \xi, A \eta, t\right)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \gamma \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(A g, A h, t\right)} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(A \xi, A \eta, t\right)} - 1 \right) \right], \tag{5}
\end{align*}
\]
for all \(g, h, \xi, \eta \in G, t > 0\), and \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]\) with \(\alpha + 2 \beta + 2 \gamma < 1\). Then \(\bar{B}\) has a unique coupled FP. Also, if \(A\) converges sequently, then for every \(g_0 \in G\) the iterative sequence \(\{\bar{B}^\ell g_0\}\) converges to this coupled FP.

Proof. Consider any \(g_0, h_0 \in G\); we define sequences \(\{g_\ell\}\) and \(\{h_\ell\}\) in \(G\) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{M_0\left(A g_\ell, A g_{\ell+1}, t\right)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{M_0\left(A g_{\ell-1}, A g_{\ell}, t\right)} - 1 \\
&\leq a \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(A g_{\ell-1}, A g_\ell, t\right)} - 1 \right) \\
&\quad + \beta \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(A g_{\ell-1}, A g_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}, t\right)} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{M_0\left(A g_{\ell-1}, A g_\ell, h_\ell, t\right)} - 1 \right) \right],
\end{align*}
\]
for all \(g_0, h_0 \in G, t > 0\), and \(\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\) with \(\alpha + 2 \beta < 1\). Then \(B\) has a unique coupled FP. Also, if \(A\) converges sequently, then for every \(g_0 \in G\) the iterative sequence \(\{B^\ell g_0\}\) converges to this coupled FP.

Now, from (5) for \(t > 0\), we have
\[
\bar{B}(g_\ell, h_\ell) = g_{\ell+1},
\]
\[
\bar{B}(h_\ell, g_\ell) = h_{\ell+1}, \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 0. \tag{6}
\]
\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \gamma \left[ \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, AB(g_{\ell}, h_{\ell}), t)} - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, AB(g_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}), t)} - 1 \right] \\
&= \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right) \\
&+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell}, \hat{A}g_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right] \\
&+ \gamma \left[ \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell}, \hat{A}g_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right] \tag{7}
\end{align*} \]

After simplification, we get that
\[ \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right), \tag{8} \]
for \( t \gg 0 \),

where \( \theta = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma) < 1 \). Similarly, from (5), for \( t \gg 0 \), we have

\[ \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-2}, \hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1 \right), \tag{9} \]
for \( t \gg 0 \),

where \( \theta \) is the same as in (8). Now, from (8) and (9) and by induction, for \( t \gg 0 \), we have that

\[ \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell}, \hat{A}g_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-2}, \hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell-3}, \hat{A}g_{\ell-2}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq \cdots \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_0, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \; \ell \rightarrow \infty. \tag{10} \]

It is shown that \( \{\hat{A}g_{\ell}\} \) is a fuzzy cone contractive sequence; therefore,

\[ \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} M_c(\hat{A}g_{\ell}, \hat{A}g_{\ell+1}, t) = 1, \quad \text{for} \; t \gg 0. \tag{11} \]
Now, for $j > \ell$ and for $t \gg 0$, we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_j, \hat{A}g_j, t)} - 1 \leq \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_j, \hat{A}g_{j+1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{j+1}, \hat{A}g_{j+2}, t)} - 1 \right) + \ldots + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{j-1}, \hat{A}g_j, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \theta^j \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_0, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 \right) + \theta^{j+1} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_1, \hat{A}g_2, t)} - 1 \right) + \ldots + \theta^{j-1} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{j-1}, \hat{A}g_j, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\theta^j}{1 - \theta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_0, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 \right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \to \infty.
\]

Hence, proving that \( \{\hat{A}g_j\} \) is a Cauchy sequence, we have that

\[
\lim_{\ell,j \to \infty} M_c(\hat{A}g_\ell, \hat{A}g_j, t) = 1, \quad \text{for } t \gg 0.
\]
After simplification, we get that
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_\ell, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_\ell, t)} - 1 \right),
\]
for \( t \gg 0 \),
(15)
where \( \theta \) is the same as in (8). Similarly, from (5) for \( t \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_\ell, t)} - 1 \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+2}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1 \right),
\]
for \( t \gg 0 \),
(16)
where \( \theta \) is the same as in (8). Now, from (15) and (16) and by induction, for \( t \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_\ell, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \theta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_\ell, t)} - 1 \right) \leq \theta^2 \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+2}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq \cdots \leq \theta^t \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+k}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.
\]
It is shown that \( \{\tilde{A}h_\ell\} \) is a fuzzy cone contractive sequence.

Now, for \( j > \ell \) and for \( t \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_j, \tilde{A}h_\ell, t)} - 1 \leq \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+2}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+j}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
\leq \theta \theta^t \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \theta \theta^{t+1} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \cdots + \theta^{t+j-1} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= (\theta^t + \theta^{t+1} + \cdots + \theta^{t+j-1} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\theta^t}{1 - \theta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, \tilde{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.
\]
Hence, proving that \( \{\tilde{A}h_\ell\}_{\ell \geq 0} \) is a Cauchy sequence, we have that
\[
\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} M_c(\tilde{A}h_\ell, \tilde{A}h_\ell, t) = 1, \quad \text{for } t \gg 0.
\]
(20)
Since \( G \) is complete, \( \{\tilde{A}g_\ell\} \) and \( \{\tilde{A}h_\ell\} \) are Cauchy sequences in \( G \); therefore, \( \tilde{A}g_\ell \rightarrow g \in G \) and \( \tilde{A}h_\ell \rightarrow h \in G \) as \( \ell \rightarrow \infty \); that is, \( \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}g_\ell = g \) and \( \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}h_\ell = h \). Since \( \tilde{A} \) is subsequently convergent, \( \{g_\ell\} \) has a convergent subsequence. So there exist \( g \in G \) and \( \{g_{\ell(k)}\} \) in \( G \) such that \( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{\ell(k)} = g \). Since \( \tilde{A} \) is continuous, \( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{\ell(k)} = g \) and \( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}g_{\ell(k)} = \tilde{A}g \). Now, from (5), for \( t \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(g, h), \dot{A}g, t)} - 1 \leq \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\ddot{A}B(g, h), \ddot{A}B(g_{e-1}, h_{e-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\ddot{A}B(g_{e-1}, h_{e-1}), \ddot{A}B(g_{e}, h_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}g, \dot{A}B(g, h), t)} - 1 \right) + \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}g, \dot{A}g_{e-1}, t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}g, \dot{A}B(g_{e-1}, h_{e-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(g_{e-1}, h_{e-1}), \dot{A}B(g_{e}, h_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}g, \dot{A}B(g_{e}, h_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \Rightarrow 0, \quad \ell \to \infty.
\]

After simplification, for \( t \gg 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(g, h), \dot{A}g, t)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}g, \dot{A}g_{e-1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}g_{e-1}, \dot{A}B(g_{e}, h_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}g_{e}, \dot{A}B(g_{e}, h_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \to 0, \quad \ell \to \infty.
\]

Hence, we get that \( M_c(\ddot{A}B(g, h), \ddot{A}g, t) = 1 \); this implies that \( \ddot{A}B(g, h) = \dot{A}g \). Since \( \dot{A} \) is one-one, \( \ddot{A}(g, h) = g \). Next, we have to prove that \( \ddot{A}(h, g) = h \). Then, from (5), for \( t \gg 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(h, g), \dot{A}h, t)} - 1 \leq \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(h, g), \dot{A}B(h_{e-1}, g_{e-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(h_{e-1}, g_{e-1}), \dot{A}B(h, g_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(h, g), t)} - 1 \right) \right) + \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}h, \dot{A}h_{e-1}, t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}h, \dot{A}B(h_{e-1}, g_{e-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}B(h_{e-1}, g_{e-1}), \dot{A}B(h, g_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{A}h, \dot{A}B(h, g_{e}), t)} - 1 \right) \Rightarrow 0, \quad \ell \to \infty.
\]
After simplification, for \( t \gg 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}B(h, g), \hat{A}h, t)} - 1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_{t-1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h_{t-1}, \hat{A}B(h_{t-1}, g_{t-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}B(h_{t-1}, g_{t-1}), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}B(h_{t-1}, g_{t-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h_{t-1}, \hat{A}B(h_{t-1}, g_{t-1}), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\to 0, \quad \text{as} \; \ell \to \infty.
\]

Hence, we get that \( M_c(\hat{A}B(h, g), \hat{A}h, t) = 1 \), for \( t \gg 0 \); this implies that \( \hat{A}B(h, g) = \hat{A}h \). Since \( \hat{A} \) is one-one, we get \( \hat{B}(h, g) = h \).

For uniqueness, suppose that \((g_1, h_1)\) and \((h_1, g_1)\) are coupled fixed-point pairs in \( G \times G \) such that \( \hat{B}(g_1, h_1) = g_1 \) and \( \hat{B}(h_1, g_1) = h_1 \). Now, from (5), for \( t \gg 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}B(g, h), \hat{A}B(g_1, h_1), t)} - 1
\]

\[
\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \beta \left[ \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}B(g, h), t)} - 1 \right] + \gamma \left[ \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}B(g_1, h_1), t)} - 1 \right]
\]

\[
= (\alpha + 2\gamma) \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= (\alpha + 2\gamma) \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}B(g, h), \hat{A}B(g_1, h_1), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\leq (\alpha + 2\gamma)^2 \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\leq \cdots \leq (\alpha + 2\gamma)^f \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 \right) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \; \ell \to \infty.
\]
Hence, we get that $M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g, t) = 1$ for $t \gg 0$; this implies that $g = g_1$. Similarly, again from (5), for $t \gg 0$, we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h, t)} = \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(h, g), \hat{A}\hat{B}(h_1, g_1), t)} - 1 \\
\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h, t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}\hat{B}(h, g), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h_1, \hat{A}\hat{B}(h_1, g_1), t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}\hat{B}(h, g), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h_1, \hat{A}\hat{B}(h, g), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

(26)

\[
= (\alpha + 2\gamma) \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= (\alpha + 2\gamma) \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(h, g), \hat{A}\hat{B}(h_1, g_1), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\leq (\alpha + 2\gamma)^2 \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\leq \ldots \leq (\alpha + 2\gamma)^\ell \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

Hence, we get that $M_c(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t) = 1$ for $t \gg 0$, and this implies that $h = h_1$.

**Corollary 1.** Let $\bar{B}: G \times G \longrightarrow G$ be a mapping in a complete FCM-space $(G, M_c, *)$ in which $M_c$ is triangular and $\hat{A}$ is a continuous, one-one, and subsequently convergent self-mapping on $G$, that is, $\hat{A}: G \longrightarrow G$, satisfying

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(g, h), \hat{A}\hat{B}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}h, t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}\hat{B}(g, h), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\xi, \hat{A}\hat{B}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

(27)

for all $g, h, \xi, \eta \in G$, $t \gg 0$, and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$. Then $\bar{B}$ has a unique coupled FP. Also, if $\hat{A}$ converges sequentially, then, for every $g_0 \in G$, the iterative sequence $\{\bar{B}^\ell g_0\}$ converges to this coupled FP.

**Corollary 2.** Let $\bar{B}: G \times G \longrightarrow G$ be a mapping in a complete FCM-space $(G, M_c, *)$ in which $M_c$ is triangular and $\hat{A}$ is a continuous, one-one, and subsequently convergent self-mapping on $G$, that is, $\hat{A}: G \longrightarrow G$, satisfying

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(g, h), \hat{A}\hat{B}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}\hat{B}(g, h), t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}\hat{B}(g, h), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\xi, \hat{A}\hat{B}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

(28)

for all $g, h, \xi, \eta \in G$, $t \gg 0$, and $\alpha, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + 2\gamma < 1$. Then $\bar{B}$ has a unique coupled FP. Also, if $\hat{A}$ converges sequentially, then, for every $g_0 \in G$, the iterative sequence $\{\bar{B}^\ell g_0\}$ converges to this coupled FP.
for all \( g, h, \xi, \eta \in G \), \( t > 0 \), and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1] \) with \( \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1 \). Then \( B \) has a unique coupled FP in \( G \).

Example 1. Let \( G = \{0\} \cup \{(1/2), (1/3), (1/4), \ldots\} \), and let a fuzzy metric \( M_c: G^2 \times (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1] \) be defined by

\[
M_c(g, h, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(g, h)}, \quad \text{where} \quad d(g, h) = |g - h|, 
\]

\[
\text{(30)}
\]

\( \forall g, h \in G \) and \( t > 0 \). Then easily one can verify that \( M_c \) is triangular and \((G, M_c, \ast)\) is a complete FCM-space. We define \( B: G \times G \longrightarrow G \) by \( B(0, 0) = 0 \) and \( B((1/n), (1/\ell)) = 1/(n + \ell + 2) \) for all \( n, \ell \geq 2 \); and a mapping \( \hat{A}: G \longrightarrow G \) is defined as \( \hat{A}(0) = 0 \) and \( \hat{A}(1/n) = (1/\ell^n) \). Then, by using (30), for \( t > 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AB((1/n), (1/\ell))), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1}{1} 
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{t} \left[d \left( AB \left( \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{\ell} \right), AB \left( \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right) \right) \right] 
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{(n + \ell + 2)^{p+q} + 2} - \frac{1}{(p + q + 2)^{p+q} + 2} \right]. 
\]

Let \((1/t)(1/(n + \ell + 2)^{p+q} + 2) \leq (1/(5t))(2/n^p) - (1/(n + \ell + 2)^{p+q} + 2)\). Then, from (31), we have

\[
\text{(32)}
\]

Hence, we get that

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AB((1/n), (1/\ell))), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1}{1} \leq \frac{1}{5} \left( M_c(A(1/n), A(1/p), t) - 1 \right) 
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{10} \left[ M_c(A(1/n), AB((1/n), (1/\ell), t) - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1} \right] 
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{10} \left[ M_c(A(1/n), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/n), (1/m)), t) - 1} \right]. 
\]

\[
\text{(33)}
\]
Thus, inequality (33) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1 with \( \alpha = (1/5) \) and \( \beta = \gamma = (1/10) \) and \( \bar{B} \) has a unique coupled fixed point; that is, \( \bar{B}(0,0) = 0. \)

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AB(g,h), AB(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \leq a \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG, A\xi, t)} - 1 \right)
+ \beta \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG, AB(g,h), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(A\xi, AB(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \right) \right]
+ \gamma \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(A\xi, AB(\xi, \eta), t) * M_c(AG, AB(g,h), t)} - 1 \right) \right],
\]

for all \( g,h,\xi,\eta \in G, \ t \geq 0, \) and \( a,b,c \in [0,1] \) with \( a + 2\beta + \gamma < 1. \) Then \( \bar{B} \) has a unique coupled FP. Also, if \( \bar{A} \) converges sequentially, then, for each \( g_0 \in G, \) the iterative sequence \( \{\bar{B}^t g_0\} \) converges to this coupled FP.

**Proof.** Let any \( g_0, h_0 \in G, \) and we define sequence \( \{g_\ell\} \) by

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AG_\ell, Ag_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{M_c(AB(g_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}), AB(g_\ell, h_\ell), t)} - 1
\leq a \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, Ag_\ell, t)} - 1 \right)
+ \beta \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, AB(g_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_\ell, AB(g_\ell, h_\ell), t)} - 1 \right) \right]
+ \gamma \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_\ell, AB(g_\ell, h_\ell), t) * M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, AB(g_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}), t)} - 1 \right) \right]
= a \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, Ag_\ell, t)} - 1 \right)
+ \beta \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, Ag_\ell, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_\ell, Ag_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) \right]
+ \gamma \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_\ell, Ag_{\ell+1}, t) * M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, Ag_\ell, t)} - 1 \right) \right]
= a \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, Ag_\ell, t)} - 1 \right)
+ \beta \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_{\ell-1}, Ag_\ell, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_\ell, Ag_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) \right]
+ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AG_\ell, Ag_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right).
\]

\[
\bar{B}(g_\ell, h_\ell) = g_{\ell+1}, \quad \bar{B}(h_\ell, g_\ell) = h_{\ell+1}, \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 0.
\]

Now, from (34), for \( t \gg 0, \) we have
After simplification, we get that
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \eta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell-1}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, t)} - 1 \right),
\]
for \( t \gg 0 \),
\[(37)\]
where \( \eta = (\alpha + \beta)/(1 - \beta - \gamma) < 1 \). Similarly, again from (34), for \( t \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \eta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell-2}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, t)} - 1 \right),
\]
\[
\leq \eta^2 \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell-1}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
\leq \cdots \leq \eta^\ell \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, t)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.
\]
\[(39)\]
Hence, we get that \( \{\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}\}_{\ell=0} \) is a fuzzy cone contractive sequence; therefore,
\[
\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell+1}, t) = 1, \quad t \gg 0.
\]
\[(40)\]
Now, for \( j > \ell \) and for \( t \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{gj}, t)} - 1
\]
\[
\leq \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell-1}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell+2}, t)} - 1 \right) + \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{gj}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
\leq \eta^\ell \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \eta^{\ell+1} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell-1}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, t)} - 1 \right) + \cdots + \eta^{j-1} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= (\eta^\ell + \eta^{\ell+1} + \cdots + \eta^{j-1}) \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= \eta^\ell \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{g1}, t)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.
\]
\[(41)\]
Hence, proving that \( \{\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}\} \) is a Cauchy sequence, we have that
\[
\lim_{\ell,j \rightarrow \infty} M_c(\dot{\hat{A}}_{g\ell}, \dot{\hat{A}}_{gj}, t) = 1, \quad t \gg 0.
\]
\[(42)\]
Now, again from (34), for \( t \gg 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_0, Ah_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{M_{c}(AB(h_{\ell-1}, g_{\ell-1}), AB(h_{\ell}, g_{\ell}), t)} - 1
\]

\[
\leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell-1}, Ah_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell-1}, AB(h_{\ell-1}, g_{\ell-1}), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell}, AB(h_{\ell}, g_{\ell}), t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell}, AB(h_{\ell}, g_{\ell}), t)} \cdot M_{c}(Ah_{\ell}, AB(h_{\ell-1}, g_{\ell-1}), t) - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell-1}, Ah_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell-1}, Ah_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell}, Ah_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell}, Ah_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \right).
\]

After simplification, we get that

\[
\frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_0, Ah_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \eta \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell-1}, Ah_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right),
\]

where \( \eta \) value is the same as in (37). Now, from (44) and (45) and by induction, for \( t \gg 0 \), we have that

\[
\frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_0, Ah_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1 \leq \eta \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell-1}, Ah_{\ell}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\leq \eta^2 \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_{\ell-1}, Ah_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\leq \cdots \leq \eta^\ell \left( \frac{1}{M_{c}(Ah_0, Ah_{1}, t)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.
\]

(43)
Hence, proving that $\{\hat{A}h_\ell\}_{\ell \in Z}$ is a fuzzy cone contractive sequence,

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} M_\ell(\hat{A}h_\ell, \hat{A}h_{\ell+1}, t) = 1, \quad \text{for } t \gg 0. \quad (47)$$

Now, for $j \geq \ell$ and for $t \gg 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_\ell, \hat{A}h_j, t)} - 1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_\ell, \hat{A}h_{\ell+1}, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_{\ell+1}, \hat{A}h_{\ell+2}, t)} - 1\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}h_j, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$\leq \eta^\ell \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_0, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1\right) + \eta^{\ell+1} \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_1, \hat{A}h_2, t)} - 1\right) + \cdots + \eta^{\ell+1} \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}h_j, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$= (\eta^\ell + \eta^{\ell+1} + \cdots + \eta^{\ell+1}) \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_0, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$= \frac{\eta^\ell}{1 - \eta} \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}h_0, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1\right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \to \infty. \quad (48)$$

Hence, proving that $\{\hat{A}h_\ell\}$ is a Cauchy sequence,

$$\lim_{\ell, j \to \infty} M_\ell(\hat{A}h_\ell, \hat{A}h_j, t) = 1, \quad \text{for } t \gg 0. \quad (49)$$

Since $G$ is complete, $\{\hat{A}g_\ell\}$ and $\{\hat{A}h_\ell\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $G$; therefore $\hat{A}g_\ell \to g \in G$ and $\hat{A}h_\ell \to h \in G$ as $\ell \to \infty$; that is, $\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \hat{A}g_\ell = g$ and $\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \hat{A}h_\ell = h$.

Since $\hat{A}$ is subsequently convergent, $\{g_\ell\}$ has a convergent subsequence. So there exist $g \in G$ and $\{g_{\ell(k)}\}$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} g_{\ell(k)} = g$. Since $\hat{A}$ is continuous, $\lim_{k \to \infty} \hat{A}g_{\ell(k)} = \hat{A}g$. Now, from (34) and (39), for $t \gg 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{M_\ell(AB(g, h), \hat{A}g, t)} - 1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(AB(g, h), \hat{A}g, t, h_{\ell-1})} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(AB(g, h), \hat{A}g, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(AB(g, h), \hat{A}g, t)} - 1\right) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$+ \beta \left[\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g, t)} - 1\right] + \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$+ \gamma \left[\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1\right] + \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, \hat{A}g_{\ell-1}, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$+ \eta^\ell \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}g_0, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{M_\ell(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g, t)} - 1\right). \quad (50)$$
After simplification, for \( t \gg 0 \), we have that

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(g,h),Ah,t)} - 1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ag,A\hat{g}_{t-1},t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(A\hat{g}_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(g_{t-1},h_{t-1}),t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ag_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(g,h),t)} \cdot M_c(A\hat{g}_{t-1},A\hat{g}_t,t) - 1 \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(g,h),\hat{A}g,t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\eta}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}g_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(h_{t-1},g_{t-1}),t)} - 1 \right) \\
\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad t \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

Hence, we get that \( M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(g,h),\hat{A}g,t) = 1 \), and this implies that \( \hat{A}\hat{B}(g,h) = \hat{A}g \). Since \( \hat{A} \) is one-one, \( \hat{B}(g,h) = g \).

Now, again from (34) and (46), for \( t \gg 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AB(h,g),Ah,t)} - 1 \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AB(h,g),AB(h_{t-1},g_{t-1}),t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AB(h_{t-1},g_{t-1}),AB(h_t,g_t),t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}\hat{B}(h_t,g_t),\hat{A}h,t)} - 1 \right) \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ah,\hat{A}h_{t-1},t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \beta \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ah,AB(h,g),t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}h_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(h_{t-1},g_{t-1}),t)} - 1 \right) \right] \\
+ \gamma \left( M_c(A\hat{h}_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(h,g),t) \cdot M_c(A\hat{h}_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(h_{t-1},g_{t-1}),t) - 1 \right) \\
+ \eta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ah_{t-1},Ah,t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}B(h_g,h_t),\hat{A}h,t)} - 1 \right). \\
\]

After simplification, for \( t \gg 0 \), we have that

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AB(h,g),Ah,t)} - 1 \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ah,\hat{A}h_{t-1},t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ah_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(h_{t-1},g_{t-1}),t)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ah_{t-1},\hat{A}\hat{B}(h,g),t)} \cdot M_c(Ah_{t-1},\hat{A}h_t,t) - 1 \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ah_{t-1},Ah_t,t)} - 1 \right) + \frac{\eta}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{1}{M_c(AB(h,g),Ah,t)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad t \longrightarrow \infty. \\
\]
Hence, we get that $M_z(\hat{A} \hat{B}(h, g), \hat{A}h, t) = 1$ for $t \gg 0$, and this implies that $\hat{A} \hat{B}(h, g) = \hat{A}h$. Since $\hat{A}$ is one-one, $\hat{B}(h, g) = h$.

For uniqueness, let $(g_1, h_1)$ and $(h_1, g_1)$ be coupled fixed-point pairs in $G \times G$ such that $\hat{B}(g_1, h_1) = g_1$ and $\hat{B}(h_1, g_1) = h_1$. Now, from (34), for $t \gg 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1 = \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}B(g, h), \hat{A}B(g_1, h_1), t)} - 1\right)$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}B(g, h), \hat{A}B(g_1, h_1), t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}B(g_1, h_1), \hat{A}B(g, h), t)} - 1\right)$$

$$= (\alpha + \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1\right)$$

(54)

$$= (\alpha + \gamma)^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$\leq \cdots \leq (\alpha + \gamma)^\ell \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t)} - 1\right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \to \infty.$$

Hence, we get that $M_z(\hat{A}g, \hat{A}g_1, t) = 1$, and this implies that $g = g_1$. Similarly, again from (34), for $t \gg 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1 = \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}B(h, g), \hat{A}B(h_1, g_1), t)} - 1\right)$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1\right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}B(h, g), t)} - 1\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}h_1, \hat{A}B(h, g), t)} - 1\right)$$

$$= (\alpha + \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1\right)$$

(55)

$$= (\alpha + \gamma)^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1\right)$$

$$\leq \cdots \leq (\alpha + \gamma)^\ell \left(\frac{1}{M_z(\hat{A}h, \hat{A}h_1, t)} - 1\right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } \ell \to \infty.$$
Hence, we get that $M_c(\tilde{A}h, \tilde{A}h_t, t) = 1$ for $t \gg 0$, and this implies that $h = h_1$. □

**Corollary 4.** Let $\tilde{B}: G \times G \to G$ be a mapping in a complete FCM-space $(G, M_c, \ast)$ in which $M_c$ is triangular and $\tilde{A}$ is a continuous, one-one, and subsequently convergent self-mapping on $G$, that is, $A: G \to G$, satisfying

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}B(g,h), \tilde{A}B(\xi,\eta), t)} = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}g, \tilde{A}\xi, t)} \right) - 1 + \beta \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}g, \tilde{A}h, t)} \ast M_c(\tilde{A}\xi, \tilde{A}B(\xi,\eta), t) - \frac{1}{M_c(\tilde{A}g, \tilde{A}\xi, t)} \right),$$

(56)

for all $g, h, \xi, \eta \in G$, $t \gg 0$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$. Then $B$ has a unique coupled FP in $G$.

**Example 2.** Let $G = \{0\} \cup \{1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \ldots \}$, and let $M_c: G \times (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ be defined as

$$M_c(g, h, t) = \frac{1}{t + d(g, h)}, \text{ where } d(g, h) = |g - h|,$$

(58)

for all $g, h \in G$ and $t > 0$. Then, it could be verified that $M_c$ is triangular and $(G, M_c, \ast)$ is a complete FCM-space. We define $\tilde{B}: G \times G \to G$ by $\tilde{B}(0,0) = 0$ and $\tilde{B}((1/n), (1/\ell)) = 1/(n + \ell + 1)$ for all $n, \ell \geq 2$; and a mapping $A: G \to G$ is defined as $\tilde{A}(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{A}(1/n) = (1/n^p)$. Then, by using (58), for $t \gg 0$, we have

$$1 \leq M_c(\tilde{A}B((1/n), (1/\ell)), \tilde{A}B((1/p), (1/q)), t)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} - \frac{1}{(p + q + 1)^{p+q+1}} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{2}{(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} - \frac{2}{(p + q + 1)^{p+q+1}} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{n^p + 1} - \frac{1}{5^p} \right] - \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} - \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{5^p} - \frac{1}{5^p} \right] + \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} - \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{10n^p} - \frac{3}{10p^p} \right] + \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} - \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{n+\ell+1}} \right]$$

(60)
We have
\[
\frac{1}{7} \left[ \frac{1}{10n^\alpha} - \frac{3}{10p^\beta} + \frac{3}{10(p + q + 1)^{\beta+1}} - \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{\beta+1}} \right] \\
\leq \frac{1}{7} \left[ \left( 10p^\beta - 10(n + \ell + 1)^{\beta+1} \right) * \left( 10p^\beta - 10(p + q + 1)^{\beta+1} \right) \right].
\]
\hfill (61)

Therefore,
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AB((1/n), (1/\ell)), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{7} \left( \frac{1}{(n + \ell + 1)^{\beta+1}} - \frac{1}{(p + q + 1)^{\beta+1}} \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{7} \left( \frac{1}{5n^\alpha} - \frac{1}{5p^\beta} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{7} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{10n^\alpha} - \frac{1}{10(n + \ell + 1)^{\beta+1}} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{10p^\beta} - \frac{1}{10(p + q + 1)^{\beta+1}} \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{7} \left[ \left( 10p^\beta - 10(n + \ell + 1)^{\beta+1} \right) * \left( 10p^\beta - 10(p + q + 1)^{\beta+1} \right) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{5} \left( M_c(A(1/n), A(1/p), t) - 1 \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{10} \left[ \left( M_c(A(1/n), AB((1/n), (1/\ell)), t) - 1 \right) + \left( M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1 \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{10} \left[ M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/n), (1/\ell)), t) * M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1 \right].
\]
\hfill (62)

This implies that
\[
\frac{1}{M_c(AB((1/n), (1/\ell)), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t)} - 1 \leq \frac{1}{5} \left( M_c(A(1/n), A(1/p), t) - 1 \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{10} \left[ \left( M_c(A(1/n), AB((1/n), (1/\ell)), t) - 1 \right) + \left( M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1 \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{10} \left[ M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/n), (1/\ell)), t) * M_c(A(1/p), AB((1/p), (1/q)), t) - 1 \right].
\]
\hfill (63)

Thus, inequality (63) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2 with \( \alpha = 1/5 \) and \( \beta = \gamma = 1/10 \) and \( B \) has a unique coupled FP; that is, \( B(0, 0) = 0 \) and \( A(0) = 0 \).

4. Application

In this section, we present an application on Volterra integral equations (VIEs) to support our main work. We prove that the solution of the two Volterra integral equations has a common FP of the integral operators of \( B \) and \( A \) which are defined in (71) to support our result, that is, Theorem 1. Now we shall define the following terms by using supremum norm to justify our work. Let \( G = C([0, 1], R) \) be the space of all continuous real-valued on \([0, 1]\). The supremum norm on \( G \) is defined as

\[
\|g\| = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \|g(x)\|, \quad \text{where } g \in C([0, 1], R).
\]
\hfill (64)

Now, we define a metric \( d: G \times G \rightarrow R \) by
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
d(g,h) &= \sup_{r \in [0,1]} |g(r) - h(r)| = \|g - h\|, \\
\text{where } g, h \in C([0,1], R).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

As $\ast$ is continuous $t$-norm, for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$, we have $\alpha \ast \beta = a\beta$, and a fuzzy metric $M_c: G \times G \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is defined as

\begin{equation}
M(g,h,t) = \frac{t}{t + d(g,h)}, \quad \text{where } d(g,h) = \|g - h\|,
\end{equation}

for $t > 0$ and $g, h \in C([0,1], R)$. Then, one can easily prove that $M_c$ is triangular and $(G, M_c, \ast)$ is a complete fuzzy cone metric space.

Now we present the two VIEs for a common solution to uphold our result.

**Theorem 3.** The two VIEs are

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
g(\zeta) &= h_1(\zeta) + \int_0^1 K_1(\zeta, s, g(s))ds, \\
h(\zeta) &= h_2(\zeta) + \int_0^1 K_2(\zeta, s, h(s))ds,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where $\zeta \in [0,1] \subset R$ and $h_1, h_2 \in G$. Assume that $K_1, K_2: [0,1]^2 \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $U_{(g,h)}, V_{(\xi,\eta)} \in G$ for $g, \eta \in U$, and $h, \xi \in V$ and $U, V \subset G$. Therefore, we define

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
U_{(g,h)}(\zeta) &= \int_0^1 K_1(\zeta, s, (g,h)(s))ds, \\
V_{(\xi,\eta)}(\zeta) &= \int_0^1 K_2(\zeta, s, (\xi,\eta)(s))ds, \quad \forall \zeta \in [0,1].
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

If there exist $\lambda \in [0,1]$ such that

\begin{equation}
\|U_{(g,h)}(\zeta) - (V_{(\xi,\eta)} + h_2)\| \leq \lambda N(U_{(g,h)}, V_{(\xi,\eta)}),
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
&\|U_g - V_\xi\| \\
&\quad \leq \frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}B(g,h), \hat{A}B(\xi,\eta), t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{t} \|\hat{A}B(g,h) - \hat{A}B(\xi,\eta)\| \\
&\quad \leq \frac{\lambda}{t} N(U_{(g,h)}, V_{(\xi,\eta)}) \\
&\quad = \frac{\lambda}{t} \|U_g - V_\xi\| \\
&\quad = \lambda \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ag, A\xi, t)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } t \gg 0,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where $U_{(g,h)}$, $V_{(\xi,\eta)}$, $U_{h_1}, V_{h_2}, U_g, V_\xi \in G$, then the two VIEs (67) have a unique common solution in $G$.

**Proof.** Define operators $\hat{B}: G \times G \rightarrow G$ and $\hat{A}: G \rightarrow G$:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\hat{B}(g,h) &= U_{(g,h)} + h_1, \\
\hat{A}g &= U_g, \\
\hat{B}(\xi,\eta) &= V_{(\xi,\eta)} + h_2, \\
\hat{A}\xi &= V_\xi.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Then,

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}B(g,h), \hat{A}B(\xi,\eta), t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{t} \|\hat{A}B(g,h) - \hat{A}B(\xi,\eta)\| \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda}{t} N(U_{(g,h)}, V_{(\xi,\eta)}) \\
&= \frac{\lambda}{t} \|U_g - V_\xi\| \\
&= \lambda \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ag, A\xi, t)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } t \gg 0,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where $U_{(\hat{B}g,h)} = U_{(g,h)}$ and $V_{(\hat{B}\xi,\eta)} = V_{(\xi,\eta)}$. Now, we may have the three following cases:

1. If $N(U_{(g,h)}, V_{(\xi,\eta)}) = \|U_g - V_\xi\|$, then from (66), (71) and (72), we have

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{M_c(\hat{A}B(g,h), \hat{A}B(\xi,\eta), t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{t} \|\hat{A}B(g,h) - \hat{A}B(\xi,\eta)\| \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda}{t} N(U_{(g,h)}, V_{(\xi,\eta)}) \\
&= \frac{\lambda}{t} \|U_g - V_\xi\| \\
&= \lambda \left( \frac{1}{M_c(Ag, A\xi, t)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } t \gg 0,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
for \( g, \eta \in U \), and \( h, \xi \in V \). Hence, operators \( \hat{A} \) and \( \tilde{B} \) satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 1 with \( \lambda = \alpha \) and \( \beta = \gamma = 0 \) in (5). Thus, the two VIEs in (67) have a unique common solution in \( G \). Then integral equations have a unique common solution.

\[
\frac{1}{M_\varepsilon(\hat{A} \tilde{B}(g, h), \hat{AB}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{t} \| \hat{A} \tilde{B}(g, h) - \hat{AB}(\xi, \eta) \|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\lambda}{t} N(U_{(g, h)}, V_{(\xi, \eta)})
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda}{t} \left( \| U^*_h - U_g \| + \| V^*_h - V_g \| \right)
\]

\[
= \lambda \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_\varepsilon(\hat{A} \tilde{B}(g, h), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_\varepsilon(\hat{A} \tilde{B}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \right) \right], \quad \text{for } t \gg 0,
\]  

(74)

for \( g, \eta \in U \), and \( h, \xi \in V \). Hence, operators \( \hat{A} \) and \( \tilde{B} \) satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 1 with \( \lambda = \beta \) and \( \alpha = \gamma = 0 \) in (5). Thus, the two VIEs in (67) have a unique common solution in \( G \). Then integral equations have a unique common solution.

\[
\frac{1}{M_\varepsilon(\hat{A} \tilde{B}(g, h), \hat{AB}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{t} \| \hat{A} \tilde{B}(g, h) - \hat{AB}(\xi, \eta) \|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\lambda}{t} N(U_{(g, h)}, V_{(\xi, \eta)})
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda}{t} \left( \| V^*_h - U_g \| + \| V^*_h - V_g \| \right)
\]

\[
= \lambda \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M_\varepsilon(\hat{A} \tilde{B}(g, h), t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_\varepsilon(\hat{A} \tilde{B}(\xi, \eta), t)} - 1 \right) \right], \quad \text{for } t \gg 0,
\]  

(75)

for \( g, \eta \in U \), and \( h, \xi \in V \). Hence, operators \( \hat{A} \) and \( \tilde{B} \) satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 1 with \( \lambda = \gamma \) and \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \) in (5). Thus, the two VIEs in (67) have a unique common solution in \( G \). Then integral equations have a unique common solution.

5. Conclusion

We presented the concept of coupled FP results depending on another function in FCM-spaces and prove some unique coupled FP-theorems by using “the triangular property of fuzzy cone metric” by using different contractive type conditions. The other function is a self-mapping that is continuous, one-one, and subsequently convergent in FCM-spaces. Further, we presented two Volterra integral equations to uplift our main work. By using this new concept, one can prove more different contractive type coupled FP results depending on another function in complete FCM-spaces. Instead of Volterra integral equations, researchers can use different types of applications such as Lebesgue integral equations, Riemann integral equations, and nonlinear integral equations to support their findings.
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