Cellular Mechanisms of Hepatoprotection Mediated by M2-Like Macrophages

Li Bai*  
Liming Fu*  
Feng Ren  
Qingfen Zheng  
Shuang Liu  
Yuanping Han  
Sujun Zheng*  
Yu Chen  
Zhongping Duan

* These authors contributed equally in this study

Corresponding Authors: Zhongping Duan, e-mail: duan2517@163.com, Yu Chen, e-mail: chybeyond@163.com, Sujun Zheng, e-mail: zhengsujun73@163.com

Source of support: This study was supported by the National Science and Technology Key Project (grant no. 2017ZX10201201, 2017ZX10203201-005, 2017ZX10202203-006-001 and 2017ZX10302201-004-002), the Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospital’s Ascent Plan (grant no. DFL20151601), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 31571165), the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission (grant no. Z15110000415066, Z171100002217070), the YouAn fund for liver diseases and AIDS (grant no. YNKT20160012) and the Basic-Clinical Cooperation Project of Capital Medical University (grant no. 17JL47)

Background: Acute liver injury in the setting of hepatic fibrosis is an intriguing and still unsettled issue. We previously have demonstrated the protective effects conferred by M2-like macrophages in the fibrotic liver. In the present work, we further decipher the cellular mechanisms governing this hepatoprotection.

Material/Methods: Macrophages were isolated from control mice (M0 macrophages), then polarized into M1 or M2 phenotype using IFN-γ or IL-4, respectively. Conditioned media (CM) from M0, M1, and M2 macrophages were harvested and applied to M1 macrophages. Cell apoptosis was evaluated by immunostaining and real-time PCR. Similarly, human monocyte-derived macrophages were isolated and polarized, then M0, M1, and M2 CM were applied to HL-7702 or HepG2 cells followed by apoptosis induction. Cell apoptosis was assessed by flow cytometry.

Results: For the mouse conditioned medium experiment, stronger expression of cleaved caspase 3 and higher Bax/Bcl-2 mRNA ratio were found in M1 macrophages pretreated with M2 CM compared to those in M1 macrophages pretreated with M0 or M1 CM. Similarly, exposure of HL-7702 and HepG2 cells to either M0 or M1 CM had no significant effect on cell apoptosis. Nevertheless, the frequency of hepatocyte apoptosis was substantially reduced in HL-7702 (from 32.23±2.99 to 15.37±0.69 for Annexin V+/PI+ staining, p<0.01) and HepG2 cells (from 36.1±7.26 to 15.2±1.2 for Annexin V+/PI+ staining, p<0.01) with M2 CM pretreatment.

Conclusions: M2-like macrophages exert their hepatoprotective effect by promoting M1-like macrophage apoptosis but protecting against hepatocyte apoptosis.
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Background

Acute liver failure (ALF) is a rare but life-threatening critical illness that occurs most often in patients who do not have pre-existing liver disease [1,2]. Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) is defined as the acute deterioration of pre-existing chronic liver disease, usually related to a precipitating event [3,4]. Compared with ALF, ACLF has a relatively lower mortality [5]. Moreover, there is a crucial ‘golden window’ period preceding sepsis development and organ failure in ACLF, which provides valuable opportunity for reversing progressive liver failure through therapeutic interventions [6,7]. Furthermore, patients without previous decompensation have higher short-term mortality than those with prior hepatic decompensation [5,7]. This is an intriguing and important finding, suggesting previous decompensation may be considered as a beneficial response instead of a deleterious event. These interesting facts motivate us to probe why and how ACLF exhibits favorable protection against acute insult compared with ALF.

We and others have investigated this issue using mouse models of acute insult in the setting of liver fibrosis. The hepatic fibrosis induced by carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), bile duct ligation (BDL), and thioacetamide (TAA) is demonstrated to exert hepatoprotective effects against various acute insults, including D-galactosamine/lipopolysaccharide (D-GalN/LPS) [8], acetaminophen (APAP) [9], and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α)/Fas-induced apoptosis [11–13]. In view of the broad spectrum of injury resistance, we speculated that innate immunity may be the major contributor to injury resistance in the fibrosis setting. Macrophages are innate immune cells with central roles in host defense, immune regulation, tissue repair, and liver regeneration [14,15]. In addition, macrophages have been reported to be critically involved in the pathogenesis of acute or chronic liver diseases [16,17]. In this regard, we performed a loss-of-function experiment (depletion of macrophages), and showed that macrophages assume important but divergent roles in acute injury and hepatic fibrosis. The dichotomous functions of macrophages can be ascribed to their phenotypic heterogeneity of M1/M2 activation: M1-like macrophages in acutely injured mice promote hepatic injury, whereas M2-like macrophages in the fibrotic liver give mice greater resistance against insult. The latter has been confirmed through a gain-of-function experiment (adoptive transfer of M2-like macrophages) [10].

In the present study, we further decipher the cellular mechanisms governing the hepatoprotection conferred by M2-like macrophages. For this purpose, conditioned medium experiments were conducted to analyze the effects of M2-like macrophages on hepatocyte and macrophage apoptosis in vitro. We here report that M2-like macrophages exert beneficial hepatoprotection through promoting M1-like macrophage apoptosis but preventing hepatocyte apoptosis.

Material and Methods

Animals

Male BALB/c mice (6–8 weeks old) were obtained from Laboratory Animal Center, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, Beijing, China. Mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free (SPF) environment at 22–24°C with 12-h light-dark cycles. Animals were fed standard laboratory chow with free access to water. All animal care and experimental procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Beijing YouAn Hospital affiliated to Capital Medical University.

Reverse transcription (RT) and SYBR green real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) [8]

Total RNA was extracted from isolated macrophages using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription of the purified RNA (2 μg) was performed using random primers and AMV retrotranscriptase system (TakaRa, Dalian, Liaoning, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. SYBR Green real-time PCR was carried out using the ABI StepOne Plus (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). All reactions were performed in triplicate. The primers used were designed with Primer 3.0 software and are listed in Table 1. The relative expression of target genes was calculated and normalized to the expression of GAPDH, a housekeeping gene.

Isolation and in vitro polarization of primary mouse macrophages

Macrophages were isolated from mice by pronase (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) and collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) digestion followed by differential centrifugation using our previously reported method [10]. Isolated macrophages (non-polarized M0 macrophages) were stimulated with mouse recombinant interferon gamma

Table 1. The primers used in real-time PCR.

| Gene    | Sequence                                      |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Bax     | F: 5’-TGG AAG GGA TGA TGG CTG AC-3’           |
|         | R: 5’-GAT CTC GGG CAC TTT AG-3’               |
| Bcl-2   | F: 5’-CTG GCA TCT CCT AGG AGA AG-3’          |
|         | R: 5’-GAC GGT AGC GAC GAG AGA AG-3’          |
| GAPDH   | F: 5’-AAC TTT GTC ATT GTG GAA GG-3’          |
|         | R: 5’-ACA CAT TGG GGG TAG GAA CA-3’          |
(IFN-γ, 100 U/ml, PeproTech, Rocky Hill, USA) for 48 h for M1 induction or interleukin (IL)-4/IL-13 (10 ng/ml each, PeproTech) for M2 induction. The phenotype of the subsets was identified through qRT-PCR analysis for gene signatures of representative markers [10,18]. Then, M0, M1, and M2 mouse macrophages were used in the conditioned medium experiment and apoptosis detection (Figure 1A).

Isolation, culture, and polarization of human monocyte-derived macrophages

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from the whole blood of healthy volunteers using a Ficoll (Hao Yang Biological Manufacturing Co., LTD, Tian Jin, China) density gradient, and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Two hours later, non-adherent cells were removed [19], and the resultant adherent cells (monocytes) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with human recombinant granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF, 50 ng/ml, PeproTech) or macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF, 50 ng/ml, PeproTech) for 6 days. Differentiated macrophages were polarized with human recombinant IFN-γ (50 ng/ml, Peprotech) for M1 induction or IL-4 (50 ng/ml, Peprotech) for M2 activation. Then, human M0, M1, and M2 macrophages were used in the conditioned medium experiment and subjected to apoptosis induction (Figure 1B).

**Conditioned medium (CM) experiments and apoptosis detection (Figure 1)**

Conditioned medium from human and mouse M0 macrophages (M0 CM), M1 macrophages (M1 CM) or M2 macrophages (M2 CM) were collected and centrifuged to remove cell debris. For mice, M0, M1, and M2 CMs were incubated with M1-like macrophages for 6 h, and then cell apoptosis was analyzed by immunostaining for cleaved caspase-3 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and real-time PCR. For humans, M0, M1, and M2 CMs were incubated with HL-7702 or HepG2 cells for 6 h, and then hepatocyte apoptosis was induced by TNF-α (50 μg/ml, Peprotech)/D-GalN (100 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) for 12 h. To evaluate quantitatively hepatocyte apoptosis, HL-7702 or HepG2 cells were stained with PI/Annexin V (FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit II, BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, USA) and detected by flow cytometry according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The data were processed using FlowJo v10 software (TreeStar Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).
Cell culture

Human liver cell lines (HL-7702 and HepG2) as well as isolated mouse and human macrophages were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) in a 37°C incubator.

Immunofluorescence staining

Macrophages were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, then were treated with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 5 min. After that, macrophages were incubated with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) buffer containing 5% FBS for 30 min. Immunofluorescence staining was carried out with primary antibody against cleaved caspase-3 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit IgG fluorescent secondary antibody (Zhongshan Jinqiao Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). We used a Nikon Inverted Fluorescence Microscope ECLIPSE Ti and NIS-Elements F 3.0 Software (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for image capture.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean ±SEM. Group comparisons were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test. Statistics and graphs were generated using Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

M2-like macrophages promote the apoptosis of M1-like macrophages in mice

In previous work, we have demonstrated the beneficial hepatoprotection conferred by M2-like macrophages in the fibrotic
Figure 3. (A–C) M2-like macrophages tilt the balance of pro- and anti-apoptotic genes toward apoptosis in M1-like macrophages. Macrophages were isolated from control mice (M0 macrophages), then polarized into M1 or M2 phenotype using mouse IFN-γ or IL-4, respectively. Conditioned media (CM) from M0, M1, M2 macrophages were harvested and applied to M1 macrophages. Cell apoptosis was evaluated by the gene expression of pro-apoptotic and anti-apoptotic proteins. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001.

Discussion

Acute liver injury in the setting of hepatic fibrosis is an intriguing and still unsettled issue. We previously demonstrated the favorable protective effects conferred by M2-like macrophages in the fibrotic liver [10]. In the present study, we further investigated the cellular mechanisms responsible for this hepatoprotection, and focused on the effects of M2-like macrophages on liver cell apoptosis. Here, we provide powerful evidence that M2-like macrophages promote the apoptosis of M1-like macrophages but protect against hepatocyte apoptosis, thus leading to the development of injury resistance.

ACLF is an increasingly recognized disorder that imposes a significant burden on critical care services and health care resources. However, the exact pathophysiology of the development of ACLF remains to be elucidated [3]. Given that there is currently no mouse model of ACLF, researchers utilize mice subjected to acute insult in the context of hepatic fibrosis to simulate the development of ACLF. As mentioned in the introduction, hepatoprotection against a variety of lethal hepatic toxins has been confirmed in fibrotic mice derived from different stimuli. For example, in a mouse model of partial bile duct ligation, ligated lobes (fibrotic) exhibit improved tolerance to TNF-α- and Fas-induced hepatocyte apoptosis, compared with non-ligated lobes [11,12].

Maintenance of liver homeostasis relies on the critical balance between cell growth and cell death. As a major mode of cell death, programmed cell death (apoptosis) has been shown to be significantly enhanced in several acute and chronic liver...
diseases, such as fulminant hepatic failure, hepatitis originating from various etiologies, fibrosis, and cirrhosis [20]. In our latest report [10], massive apoptosis was found in acutely injured mice, which might mean the balance of cell growth/death has been tilted towards cell death. Conversely, apoptosis was remarkably inhibited in the fibrotic liver, even under challenge, suggesting that this balance has been tilted towards cell growth/proliferation.

Why does the fibrotic liver manifest great resistance against lethal insult? We probed this issue from the viewpoint of the pathophysiology of ACLF. Persistent inflammation and immune dysregulation constitute the main pathophysiological features of ACLF [6]. As a central player in the pathogenesis of liver failure, macrophages drive the initiation, propagation, and resolution of inflammatory immune responses [21]. This diversity of macrophage functions is intimately associated with their great plasticity and remarkable heterogeneity. Macrophages adapt their phenotypes in response to various microenvironmental signals, and exhibit different characteristic markers, gene expression profiles, and functions [21–24]. They are broadly delineated into 2 categories: M1 and M2 macrophages. M1 macrophages are activated by pathogens or toxins (such as LPS), and secrete pro-inflammatory mediators which induces inflammation and liver damage; conversely, M2 macrophages are activated by IL-4/IL-13, and release anti-inflammatory or pro-resolving mediators which mediates wound repair, tissue remodeling, and fibrosis [22,24,25]. Macrophages in vivo adopt a mixed phenotype between M1- and M2-type macrophages. The M1/M2 balance is regarded as a decisive factor for macrophage function [16,26].

In our latest report [10], we demonstrated that macrophages in the fibrotic liver manifest M2-predominant activation, and...
M2-like macrophages exert a hepatoprotective effects against lethal insults through conferring apoptosis resistance to hepatocytes. In the present study, we assessed the impact of M2-like macrophages on M1-like macrophage apoptosis by immunostaining (cleaved caspase-3) and real-time PCR (pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins). Both assays confirmed the apoptosis resistance derived from M2-like CM. Our results are in agreement with a previous discovery by Wan et al. [27]. Importantly, we proved the beneficial protection of M2-like CM using human liver cell lines. Both HL-7702 and HepG2 cells incubated with M2-like CM exhibited remarkable resistance to apoptosis induced by TNF-α/D-GalN. Collectively, M2-like macrophages exert protective effects through inducing M1-like macrophage apoptosis and/or preventing hepatocyte apoptosis.

### Conclusions

In summary, we confirmed the hepatoprotective effects of M2-like macrophages in mice and human through in vitro experiments. Although it is also important to investigate the effects of M2-like macrophages on other liver cells (e.g., Tregs and NK cells), in view of the complexity of liver immunity, our findings will help advance understanding of the pathogenesis of ACLF and shed light on a novel therapeutic intervention through manipulating macrophage polarization.
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