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INTRODUCTION.

When $R$ is a commutative ring, the minimal free resolution of a map $R^a \to R^b$ and symmetric and skew-symmetric maps $R^a \to R^a$, under suitable generality conditions, are well known and have been developed by a series of authors. See [2, A2.6] for an overview.

In this note we do an analog for the exterior algebra $E = \oplus \wedge^i V$ on a finite dimensional vector space $V$ and general graded maps $E^a \to E(1)^b$, and general graded symmetric and skew-symmetric maps $E^a \to E(1)^a$. Since $E$ is both a projective and injective $E$-module, by taking a free (projective) and cofree (injective) resolution of such maps, there is associated an unbounded acyclic complex of free $E$-modules, called a Tate resolution, see [3] or [4]. Via the Bernstein-Gel'fand-Gel'fand (BGG) correspondence, this corresponds to a complex of coherent sheaves on the projective space $\mathbf{P}(V^*)$. We show that in all the cases above (with the dimension of $V$ not too low), this complex actually reduces to a coherent sheaf. We describe these coherent sheaves and also describe completely the Tate resolutions.

These descriptions turn out to be simpler to work out than in the corresponding commutative case, and, maybe at first surprising, the descriptions are also more geometric.

In fact not only are we able to describe the Tate resolutions and coherent sheaves associated to the maps stated above, but, using the theory of representations of reductive groups, we are able to describe the Tate resolutions and coherent sheaves associated to vast larger classes of natural maps $E^a \to E(r)^b$, something which would have required considerably more effort for commutative rings.

There is only one catch related to all our descriptions. We must assume that the dimension of $V$ is not too small compared to $a$ and $b$. For instance for a general map $E^a \to E(1)^b$ we must assume that the dimension of $V$ is $\geq a + b - 1$. In case the dimension of $V$ is smaller than this, the nature of the problem changes, and we do not investigate this case.

1. Tate resolutions and projections.

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over a field $k$. Put $W = V^*$ and set $v = \dim \mathbf{P}(W)$. Let $E(V)$ be the graded exterior algebra $\oplus_{i=0}^{v+1} \wedge^i V$ where $V$ has degree $-1$, (because we consider $W$ to have degree 1). Let
\( \omega_E = \text{Hom}_k(E, k) \) be the graded dual, which we consider as a left \( E \)-module. (As such \( \omega_E \cong E(-v - 1) \).) It is the injective hull of \( k \).

### 1.1. Tate resolutions

A Tate resolution is an (unbounded) acyclic complex \( T \) with components

\[
T^p = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_E(-i) \otimes_k V_i^p
\]

of finite rank. Note that a Tate resolution is completely determined, up to homotopy, by each differential \( d^p \) since \( T^{\leq p} \) is a projective resolution of \( \text{im} \; d^p \) and \( T^{> p} \) is an injective resolution of \( \text{im} \; d^p \).

By [4] or [7], to each coherent sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( \mathbb{P}(W) \) there is associated a Tate resolution \( T(\mathcal{F}) \) whose terms are

\[
T(\mathcal{F})^p = \oplus_{i=0}^p \omega_E(i - p) \otimes_k H^i \mathcal{F}(p - i).
\]

(In particular we see that \( T(\mathcal{F})^p = \omega_E(-p) \otimes_k H^0 \mathcal{F}(p) \) for \( p \gg 0 \).) The maps

\[
\omega_E(i - p) \otimes_k H^i \mathcal{F}(p - i) \to \omega_E(i - p - 1) \otimes_k H^i \mathcal{F}(p + 1 - i)
\]

are determined by the maps in degree \( p + 1 - i \) which is the natural map

\[
W \otimes_k H^i \mathcal{F}(p - i) \to H^i \mathcal{F}(p + 1 - i).
\]

Conversely, given a left module \( N = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_i \) over \( E \) we get associated a complex of coherent sheaves

\[
G(N)^\sim : \cdots \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)}(i) \otimes_k N_i \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)}(i + 1) \otimes_k N_{i+1} \to \cdots.
\]

In this way we can to each Tate resolution \( T \) associate a complex of coherent sheaves on \( \mathbb{P}(W) \) by using this construction on \( \ker d^p \). (All the \( G(\ker d^p)^\sim \) become isomorphic in the derived category of coherent sheaves on \( \mathbb{P}(W) \). If \( T = T(\mathcal{F}) \) then \( G(\ker d^p)^\sim \) is a complex isomorphic to \( \mathcal{F} \) in this derived category.)

**Remark 1.1.** If \( K^0(\mathbb{E}_c \mathcal{F}) \) is the homotopy category of Tate resolutions, and \( D^b(\text{coh}/\mathbb{P}(W)) \) is the derived category of coherent sheaves on \( \mathbb{P}(W) \), the Bernstein-Gel’fand-Gel’fand correspondence says that there is an equivalence of categories

\[
K^0(\mathbb{E}_c \mathcal{F}) \cong D^b(\text{coh}/\mathbb{P}(W)).
\]

See [4] or originally [3].

### 1.2. Projections

Let \( U \subseteq W \) be a subspace and let \( E(U^*) = \oplus \wedge^i U^* \). The center of the projection \( p : \mathbb{P}(W) \to \mathbb{P}(U) \) is the linear subspace \( \mathbb{P}(W/U) \). Suppose \( \text{Supp} \; \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{P}(W/U) = \emptyset \). According to [4],

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}(U)}(E(U^*), T(\mathcal{F}))
\]

is also a Tate resolution (for \( E(U^*) \)) and it is the Tate resolution associated to \( p_* \mathcal{F} \). Note that this gives

\[
T(p_* \mathcal{F})^p = \oplus_{i=0}^{\dim \mathbb{P}(U)} \omega_{E(U^*)}(i - p) \otimes_k H^i \mathcal{F}(p - i).
\]
If \( Y \to W \) is a linear map, then let \( U \) be the image in \( W \). Thus we get a projection and an embedding
\[
P(W) \longrightarrow P(U) \longrightarrow P(Y).
\]
In this case
\[
\text{Hom}_E(V)(E(Y^*), T(F))
\]
is the Tate resolution of \( i_*p_*F \).

2. Tate resolutions arising from general maps.

Let \( A \) and \( B \) be finite dimensional vector spaces. A general map
\[
A^* \to V \otimes B
\]
comes from the generic map
\[
A^* \to (A^* \otimes B^*) \otimes B
\]
composed with a general map \( A^* \otimes B^* \to V \). It gives us a general morphism
\[
\omega_E \otimes A^* \to \omega_E(-1) \otimes B
\]
which again gives a Tate resolution by taking projective and injective resolutions. This again is associated to a complex of coherent sheaves which we now show is a coherent sheaf. Let \( a \) and \( b \) be the dimensions of \( P(A) \) and \( P(B) \).

**Theorem 2.1.** Given a general morphism

\[
\omega_E(a) \otimes A^* \to \omega_E(a - 1) \otimes B
\]
coming from a general surjection \( A^* \otimes B^* \to V \) and suppose \( v \geq a + b \). Let \( T \) be the associated Tate resolution with \( \mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B) \) in components 0 and 1.

\begin{enumerate}
\item \( T \) is the Tate resolution associated to \( p_* \mathcal{L} \) where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the line bundle \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)}(-2, a) \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A \) on the Segre embedding of \( \mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B) \) in \( \mathbb{P}(A \otimes B) \) and \( p : \mathbb{P}(A \otimes B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W) \) is the projection.
\item The Tate resolution has terms
\[
T_p = \begin{cases}
\omega_E(-p) \otimes S^{p-2} A \otimes S^{p+2} B \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A, & p \geq 2, \\
\omega_E(a + b + r) \otimes S^{b+r-1} A^* \otimes S^r B^* \otimes \wedge^{b+1} B^*, & p = -r < 0
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. We find
\[
H^a \mathcal{L}(-a) = \wedge^{a+1} A \otimes H^a \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)}(-2 - a, 0) = \wedge^{a+1} A \otimes A^* \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A^* = A^*
\]
\[
H^a \mathcal{L}(-a + 1) = \wedge^{a+1} A \otimes H^a \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)}(-1 - a, 1) = \wedge^{a+1} A \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A^* \otimes B = B
\]
and also $H^i L(-i)$ and $H^i L(-i + 1)$ are zero for $i \neq a$. The map

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes H^a L \rightarrow H^a L(-a + 1)$$

is clearly the generic one and hence we get part a. Part b. follows of course by considering the cohomology of $L$.

\textit{Remark 2.2.} Consider the generic morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{P(A \otimes B)} \otimes A^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P(A \otimes B)(1)} \otimes B.$$ 

The rank strata of this morphism are the orbits in $P(A \otimes B)$ of $GL(A) \times GL(B)$. The stratum of lowest rank one, corresponds to the closed orbit of $GL(A) \times GL(B)$ which is the Segre embedding $P(A) \times P(B) \hookrightarrow P(A \otimes B)$.

3. \textbf{The Tate resolution of a general symmetric map.}

Given now a symmetric map

$$A^* \rightarrow V \otimes A.$$ 

It comes from the natural map

$$A^* \rightarrow S^2 A^* \otimes A$$

composed with a map $S^2 A^* \rightarrow V$. We then get a symmetric morphism

$$\omega_E \otimes A^* \rightarrow \omega_E(-1) \otimes A.$$ 

\textbf{Theorem 3.1.} Given a general symmetric morphism

\begin{equation}
\omega_E(a) \otimes A^* \rightarrow \omega_E(a - 1) \otimes A
\end{equation}

coming from a general surjection $S^2 A^* \rightarrow V$ with $v \geq 2a$. Let $T$ be the associated Tate resolution with \((\mathbf{3})\) as the components in degree 0 and 1.

\begin{enumerate}
\item $T$ is the Tate resolution associated to $\mathcal{O}_{P(A \otimes A)}(-2, a) \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A$ on the Segre embedding of $P(A) \times P(A)$ in $P(A \otimes A)$ and $p : P(A \otimes A) \rightarrow P(S^2 A) \rightarrow P(W)$ is the projection.
\item The Tate resolution has terms

$$T^p = \begin{cases} 
\omega_E(-p) \otimes S^{p-2} A \otimes S^{a+p} A \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A, & p \geq 2, \\
\omega_E(2a + r) \otimes S^{a+r-1} A^* \otimes S^{r-1} A^* \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A^*, & p = -r < 0
\end{cases}$$

\end{enumerate}

\textit{Proof.} This follows from the previous theorem by verifying that the Segre embedding $P(A) \times P(A) \hookrightarrow P(A \otimes A)$ does not intersect the center of the projection $P(A \otimes A) \rightarrow P(S^2 A)$.

We now wish to describe the Tate resolution and coherent sheaf associated to a general skew-symmetric morphism. From the preceding one might thing that this is quite analogous to what we have done for symmetric maps. However it is quite different due to the fact that the projection center of $P(A \otimes A) \rightarrow P(\wedge^2 A)$ intersects the Segre embedding $P(A) \times P(A) \hookrightarrow
\[ \mathbf{P}(A \otimes A). \] We must therefore take another approach which will give us a much more powerful understanding of what we have just done.

4. Homogeneous bundles on homogeneous spaces.

We present here some facts about induced homogeneous bundles on homogeneous varieties. In particular we consider their cohomology. We base ourselves on [5].

Let \( G \) be a reductive algebraic group over a field \( k \) of characteristic zero, with Borel group \( B \) and maximal torus \( T \). We let \( X(T) \) be the characters of \( T \) and \( R \) the root system of \( G \). Corresponding to the choice of \( B \) there is a positive root system \( R^+ \) and let \( S \) be the associated simple roots.

Given a parabolic subgroup \( P \) of \( G \), we let \( P \)-mod be the category of rational representations of \( P \). For each \( P \)-module \( M \) we get a \( G \)-equivariant locally free sheaf \( L(M) \) of rank \( \dim_k M \) on the homogeneous variety \( G/P \) [5, I.5.8]. If \( N \) is another \( P \)-module, then \( L(M \otimes_k N) = L(M) \otimes_{O_{G/P}} L(N) \).

To such a parabolic \( P \) is associated a subset \( I \subseteq S \) and the characters \( \chi \in X(T) \) are all \( \mu \in X(T) \) such that \( \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in I \). For such \( \mu \) we get line bundles \( L(\mu) \) on \( G/P \). By [5, II.4.6], \( L(\mu) \) is ample (in fact very ample) on \( G/P \) if \( \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle > 0 \) for all \( \alpha \notin I \). Thus we get an embedding

\[ G/P \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(H^0(G/P, L(\mu))) \]

with \( O_{G/P}(1) = L(\mu) \).

Let

\[ \text{ind}^G_P : P\text{-mod} \rightarrow G\text{-mod} \]

be the induction functor [3, I.3.3] and let \( R^n\text{ind}^G_P \) be its higher derived functors. Then \( H^n(G/P, L(M)) = R^n\text{ind}^G_P M \) by [3, I.5.10]. If \( \lambda \in X(T) \), to simplify notation, let \( \text{ind}^G_B \lambda = H^n(\lambda) \). This is an irreducible \( G \)-module whose character is determined by the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem [5, II.5.5] and the Weyl character formula [5, II.5.10].

Associated to the inclusions \( B \subseteq P \subseteq G \) there is by [3, I.4.5] a spectral sequence

\[ E_2^{n,m} = R^n\text{ind}^G_B \circ R^m\text{ind}^P_B N \Rightarrow R^{n+m}\text{ind}^G_B N. \]

If now \( \lambda \in X(T) \) is such that \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in I \), then \( R^n\text{ind}^P_B \lambda = 0 \) for \( n > 0 \) by Kempf vanishing [3, II.4.5]. In this case we therefore get by (3) that

\[ H^n(\lambda) = R^n\text{ind}^G_B(\text{ind}^P_B \lambda) = H^n(G/P, L(\text{ind}^P_B \lambda)). \]

Considering the \( G \)-equivariant induced sheaf \( L(\text{ind}^P_B \lambda) \) on \( G/P \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mu)) \) we are thus able to determine all the cohomology groups

\[ H^n(G/P, L(\text{ind}^P_B \lambda)(r)) = H^n(G/P, L(\text{ind}^P_B \lambda) \otimes L(r\mu)) = H^n(G/P, L(\text{ind}^P_B (\lambda + r\mu))) = H^n(\lambda + r\mu). \]
In particular, for the induced homogeneous bundle \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\text{ind}_B^P \lambda) \) on \( \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mu)) \), the terms of the Tate resolution \( T \) of \( \mathcal{L} \)

\[
T^p = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\dim G/P} \omega_E(i-p) \otimes H^i \mathcal{L}(p-i)
\]

may be determined.

**Example 4.1.** Let \( G = \text{GL}(W) \) and let \( e_0, \ldots, e_v \) be a basis for \( W \). If \( T \) is the diagonal matrices we let \( \epsilon_i \) be the character sending \( \text{diag}(t_0, \ldots, t_v) \) to \( t_i \). We let \( B \) be the lower triangular matrices. The positive roots are then \( \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \) where \( i < j \) and the positive simple roots are \( \alpha_i = \epsilon_{i-1} - \epsilon_i \) for \( i = 1 \ldots v \). Let \( P \) be the parabolic subgroup corresponding to \( \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_v \), i.e. \( P \) consists of all matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
* & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & * & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & & & \\
* & * & \cdots & *
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Letting \( U = (e_1, \ldots, e_v) \) there is an exact sequence of \( P \)-modules

\[
0 \to U \to W \to (e_0) \to 0.
\]

Here \( U \) is the irreducible representation of \( P \) with highest weight \( \epsilon_1 \) and thus \( U = \text{ind}_B^P \epsilon_1 \). On \( G/P = \mathbf{P}(W) \) there is an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{L}(U) \to \mathcal{L}(W) \to \mathcal{L}(e_0) \to 0.
\]

Since the Picard group of \( G/P \) is generated by \( \mathcal{L}(e_0) \) we have \( \mathcal{L}(e_0) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(W)}(1) \). Since \( W \) is a \( G \)-module, \( \mathcal{L}(W) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(U)} \otimes_k W \). Thus \( \mathcal{L}(U) = \Omega_{\mathbf{P}(W)}(1) \).

Given now a partition

\[
i : i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \cdots \geq i_v
\]

where \( i_j \) are integers. The Schur bundle \( S_l(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}(W)}(1)) \) is then the induced bundle \( \mathcal{L}(S_l U) \) where \( S_l \) is the Schur functor, \( \mathbb{A} 2.5 \). Since \( S_l U \) is an irreducible representation with highest weight \( i_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + i_v \epsilon_v \) we get \( S_l U = \text{ind}_B^P(\sum_{j=1}^n i_j \epsilon_j) \). It then follows that

\[
H^r S_l(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}(W)}(1))(p-r) = H^r(\mathbf{P}(W), \mathcal{L}(S_l U) \otimes \mathcal{L}((p-r) \epsilon_0))
\]

\[
= H^r(G/P, \mathcal{L}(\text{ind}_B^P((p-r) \epsilon_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n i_j \epsilon_j)))
\]

\[
= H^r((p-r) \epsilon_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n i_j \epsilon_j).
\]

By the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem this can be calculated and the answer turns out to be the following.

Let \( h = h(p) \) be such that \( i_h > p \geq i_{h+1} \) and let \( l(p) \) be the partition

\[
i_0 - 1 \geq \cdots \geq i_h - 1 \geq p \geq i_{h+1} \geq \cdots \geq i_v.
\]
It then follows that
\[ H^r((p - r)\epsilon_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n i_j\epsilon_j) = \begin{cases} H^0(\sum_{i=0}^n i(p)_j\epsilon_j) & , r = h(p) \\ 0 & , r \neq h(p) \end{cases}. \]

In particular the Tate resolution \( T \) of \( S_1(\Omega_{P(W)}(1)) \) has "pure" terms
\[ T^p = \omega_E(h(p) - p) \otimes S_{i(p)}W. \]

Remark 4.2. More generally one can show that if \( \mu = \omega_\alpha \) is a fundamental weight corresponding to a short root and \( P_{S-\{\alpha\}} \) is the corresponding parabolic group, then all the induced bundles \( \mathcal{L}(\text{ind}_{P_{S-\{\alpha\}}}^B \lambda) \) have "pure" Tate resolutions in the above sense.

5. Tate resolutions arising from general skew-symmetric maps.

Suppose given a skew-symmetric map
\[ A^* \rightarrow V \otimes A. \]

Such a map comes from the natural map
\[ A^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 A^* \otimes A \]

and a map \( \wedge^2 A^* \rightarrow V \). It gives rise to a skew-symmetric morphism
\[ \omega_E \otimes A^* \rightarrow \omega_E(-1) \otimes A. \]

To describe the Tate resolution and associated coherent sheaf on \( P(W) \) consider the Plücker embedding of the Grassmann of lines in \( P(A) \)
\[ G(A, 2) \hookrightarrow P(\wedge^2 A). \]

Let
\[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \]

be the tautological sequence (where the rank of \( \mathcal{E} \) is two).

**Theorem 5.1.** Given a general skew-symmetric morphism
\[ \omega_E(a - 1) \otimes A^* \rightarrow \omega_E(a - 2) \otimes A \]

arising from a general surjection \( \wedge^2 A^* \rightarrow V \) and suppose \( v \geq 2(a - 1) \). Let \( T \) be the associated Tate resolution with components in degree 0 and 1 given by (4).

a. \( T \) corresponds to the coherent sheaf \( p_* \mathcal{L} \) where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the bundle \( (S^a\mathcal{E})(-2) \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A^* \) on \( G(A, 2) \hookrightarrow P(\wedge^2 A) \) and \( p : P(\wedge^2 A) \rightarrow P(W) \) is the projection.

b. The components of \( T \) are given by
\[ T^p = \begin{cases} \omega_E(-p) \otimes S_{a+p-2,p-2}A \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A & , p \geq 2 \\ \omega_E(2a + r - 2) \otimes S_{a+r-1,r-1}A^* \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A^* & , p = -r < 0 \end{cases}. \]
Proof. Choose a basis $e_0, \ldots, e_a$ for $A$ and let $B$ be the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices in $GL(A)$. Let $(\epsilon_i)$ be the weight space of $\epsilon_i$. Then $G(A, 2) = GL(A)/P$ where $P$ is the maximal parabolic subgroup associated to the roots $\alpha_i = \epsilon_{i-1} - \epsilon_i$, $i \neq 2$, i.e. $P$ consists of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix}
* & * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & * & * & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & & & & \\
* & * & * & \cdots & *
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

Note that $\dim G(A, 2) = 2(a - 1)$ and that by the Plücker embedding $G(A, 2) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 A)$ the canonical bundle $O_G(1)$ is $\mathcal{L}(\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1)$, where $\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1$ is the highest weight of $\wedge^2 A$.

There is a sequence of $P$-modules

$$0 \rightarrow (e_2, \ldots, e_a) \rightarrow A \rightarrow (e_0, e_1) \rightarrow 0$$

and the tautological sequence on $G(A, 2)$ becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((e_2, \ldots, e_a)) \rightarrow O_G \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((e_0, e_1)) \rightarrow 0.$$  

Since $(e_0, e_1) = \text{ind}^P_B e_0$ as $P$-modules we get

$$S^a E = S^a \mathcal{L}((e_0, e_1)) = \mathcal{L}(S^a(e_0, e_1)) = \mathcal{L}((\text{ind}^P_B(a\epsilon_0)))$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} := (S^a E)(-2) \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A^* = \mathcal{L}((\text{ind}^P_B((a - 1)\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a} \epsilon_i))).$$  

By the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem we find that

$$H^{a-1} \mathcal{L}(-a + 1) = H^{a-1}(-a\epsilon_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a} \epsilon_i) = H^0(-\epsilon_a) = A^*$$

and

$$H^{a-1} \mathcal{L}(-a + 2) = H^{a-1}(\epsilon_0 - (a - 1)\epsilon_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a} \epsilon_i) = H^0(\epsilon_0) = A.$$  

Since we find $H^i \mathcal{L}(-i) = 0$ and $H^i \mathcal{L}(-i + 1) = 0$ for $i \neq a - 1$, part a. follows.

We find that

$$H^0 \mathcal{L}(p) = H^0((a + p - 1)\epsilon_0 + (p - 1)\epsilon_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a} \epsilon_i) = S_{a+p-2,p-2} A \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A, \quad p \geq 2.$$
and by Borel-Bott-Weil that
\[ H^{2a-2} L(2a - r) = \wedge^{a+1} A \otimes H^{2a-2}((-a - r)e_0 + (-2a - r)e_1) = \wedge^{a+1} A \otimes (\wedge^{a+1} A^*) \otimes S_{a+r-1,r-1} A^* = S_{a+r-1,r-1} A^* \otimes \wedge^{a+1} A^*, \quad p = -r < 0 \]
and also that \( H^i L(p - i) = 0 \) for all other \( i \) and \( p \). Hence b. follows. \( \square \)

6. Some further examples.

**Example 6.1.** Consider the map
\[ \omega_E(1) \otimes V \xrightarrow{\phi} \omega_E(-1) \otimes V^* \]
corresponding to the map \( \wedge^2 V^* \otimes V \to V^* \). Here we do not get associated a coherent sheaf to the Tate resolution \( T(\phi) \) but instead a complex, the truncated Koszul complex
\[
(5) \quad \mathcal{O}_{P(W)}(-v) \otimes \wedge^v W \to \cdots \to \mathcal{O}_{P(W)}(-2) \otimes \wedge^2 W \to \mathcal{O}_{P(W)}(-1) \otimes W
\]
In fact, let \( M \) be \( \oplus_{i=1}^v \wedge^i W \) which is a subquotient of \( \omega_E \). Then we see that (5) is \( G(M)^\sim \).

Since \( \omega_E(1) \otimes V \) is the projective cover of \( M \) and \( \omega_E(-1) \otimes W \) is the injective hull, we get \( M = \text{im} \phi \) and so \( T(\phi) \) corresponds to (5).

**Example 6.2.** Suppose \( v \) is even. Let \( \wedge^2 W \to k \) be a non-degenerate symplectic form. Then the map \( \wedge^v W \to k \) gives a morphism
\[ \omega_E(v) \xrightarrow{\phi} \omega_E \]
equivariant under the symplectic group \( \text{Sp}(W) \). Since the image of \( \phi \) is \( k \oplus W \oplus \text{im} \phi_2 \), \( T(\phi) \) corresponds to a null correlation bundle on \( P(W) \) (which is \( \text{Sp}(W) \)-equivariant).
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