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Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to describe a proposal for a data-driven investigation aimed at determining whether students' learning behavior can be extracted and visualized from action logs recorded by Moodle. The paper also tried to show whether there is a correlation between the activity level of students in online environments and their academic performance with respect to final grade.

Design/methodology/approach – The analysis was carried out using log data obtained from various courses dispensed in a university using a Moodle platform. The study also collected demographic profiles of students and compared them with their activity level in order to analyze how these attributes affect students' level of activity in the online environment.

Findings – This work has shown that data mining algorithm like vector space model can be used to aggregate the action logs of students and quantify it into a single numeric value that can be used to generate visualizations of students' level of activity. The current investigation indicates that there is a lot of variability in terms of the correlation between these two variables.

Practical implications – The value presented in the study can help instructors monitor course progression and enable them to rapidly identify which students are not performing well and adjust their pedagogical strategies accordingly.

Originality/value – A plan to continue the work by developing a complete dashboard style interface that instructors can use is already underway. More data need to be collected and more advanced processing tools are necessary in order to obtain a better perspective on this issue.
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1. Introduction

Many higher educational institutions in the Philippines have started to implement web-based learning environments capable of delivering online education in a blended learning academic setting. Blended learning, also called hybrid learning or mixed method learning involves both face-to-face classroom style instruction as well as the use of online methods (Prasad, 2015). Researchers are unanimous in stating that the blended learning strategy enables educational institutions to implement a more learner-centered approach to teaching where learners are given space and flexibility to indulge with effective learning activities (Alonso et al., 2005; Hughes, 2007; Roby et al., 2013). To implement blended learning, a web-enabled tool or learning management system (LMS) is often utilized to design a particular course in asynchronous mode. Moodle, a free open-source software package used by educators to create online courses (Borromeo, 2013; Maila et al., 2014;
López et al., 2016). It provides a modular design that makes it easy to add contents that will engage learners and supports a social constructionist pedagogy style of teaching (Romero et al., 2008).

The use of Moodle has been cited by several literatures as an effective tool for teacher course administrative tasks (Perkins and Pfaffman, 2006); improving student inquiry and critical analysis skills (Regueras et al., 2011); inducing self-directed learning (Woltering et al., 2009); as well as promoting collaborative activities (McLuckie et al., 2009). However, even with many cited benefits of using Moodle particularly in higher education institutions, there are still factors that need to be looked upon to ensure its effective implementation. One of the most difficult factors has to do with assessing how the utilization of the various features of Moodle within the online environment affects the overall course performance of students. Are there patterns of utilization that can lead to better success in learning and higher course grade? This aspect can be analyzed by looking into the sort of activities that students often engage with. Due to the nature of the design of Moodle, it is able to routinely collect detailed activity data on students through its log files. Unfortunately, because of the inherent difficulties in handling these enormous log data files generated online by students; teachers would not agree to analyze them manually. Traditional assessment techniques, on the other hand, do not provide appropriate measures on the kind of skills that students develop while interacting with the features of the Moodle environment (Macfadyen and Dawson, 2010).

Fortunately, in the last few years, data mining technologies have been making a lot of headway in capturing and analyzing massive amounts of data (Romero et al., 2008). These technologies utilized techniques adopted from machine learning and text mining which have enabled researcher to gain unique insights from huge amount of data with minimal effort (Blikstein, 2011).

This paper presents part of an on-going research focused on analyzing students’ behavior in a blended learning environment. Hundreds of activity logs for each student were collected, filtered and analyzed using a machine learning technique known as vector space model (VSM). The paper also describes some prototypical coding trajectories generated using these logs, look on probable relationship to student’s overall course performance and finally on effects teaching and learning in blended environments.

2. Previous work

VSM has traditionally been used to search and process important information in large collections of unstructured texts (Raghavan and Wong, 1986; Mikolov et al., 2013; Farid et al., 2016). Recently, however, there has been some progress on utilizing VSM for purposes outside the domain of information retrieval. Sreeja and Mahalakshmi (2016), for example, explored the use of VSM to automatically detect emotions in English poems. They compared the performance of VSM with a probabilistic corpus-based method and found that VSM performs better in recognizing emotions in poems mined from public websites.

Fraser and Hirst (2016) investigated using VSM to detect language impairments among people with Alzheimer’s disease and compare it with those from healthy controls. Initial findings showed changes in word usage in Alzheimer patients after analyzing their words when mapped in the VSM semantic space. Younge and Kuhn (2016) used VSM as a measure to detect patent similarity and concluded that VSM is a better measure to use for this purpose. Li and Zeng (2016) also used VSM as a foundational technology to develop a system that can be used to filter spams in mobile text messages.

The dynamic explosion of information in web-based educational system in recent years has required additional efforts in finding appropriate learning materials suitable for learners. Salehi et al. (2013) developed a hybrid recommender system that can overcome this problem by finding appropriate learning materials based on some specific attributes of each learner.
In the same manner, in this study, we attempt to apply VSM to data generated within web-based environments in the context of blended learning courses to enable instructors to overcome the voluminous amount of activity data generated by students as they interact with resources and with each other within the Moodle system.

Student activity logs are a key resource for gaining insight into student behavior in online environments. Behavior patterns observation, in turn, is a necessary step in detecting students’ learning style. Govaerts et al. (2011), for example, developed a tool called student activity meter (SAM) which can visualize the amount of time spent by students on learning activities and resources used in online learning environments. They found that visualizations generated through SAM contribute to creating awareness for teachers and that this awareness enables them to develop various teaching strategies. Ateia and Hamtini (2016), on the other hand, connected students’ behavioral patterns to specific features of an online environment then used this to define the effect each visual, auditory, and kinesthetic (VAK) learning style will have on each pattern using the VAK. They claim that web-enabled learning systems “that are supported by a dynamic approach to detect the learners learning styles are better and more effective than traditional ones that extract learner learning style using traditional questionnaires.”

Similarly, the work by Romero et al. (2013) mines the web usage data from Moodle to predict the student performance. They use features such as assignment, quizzes, and forum activity to predict students final ratings based on four categories – fail, pass, good, and excellent. The paper also presents a mining tool to extract data from Moodle. The results of the paper compare multiple algorithms and show that the fuzzy rule learning algorithms and decision trees perform well with an accuracy of 65 percent.

Agnihotri et al. (2015) focused on studying login data extensively and used it to cluster the students using the data they generate while interacting with a tool called Connect. The work used machine learning-based clustering techniques to group students based on their attempts, scores, and logins. Their results identified three distinct student clusters: “high-achieving students,” “low-achieving students,” and “persistent students” (Luik and Mikk, 2008). They also found a non-linear relationship between logins and performance based on the cluster results.

Wen and Rosé (2014) also attempted to look at the varying patterns in the behavior of students relative to their grades. Utilizing clickstream data from MOOC courses to characterize the sessions, they were able to mine student behavior within individual sessions. Results of their experiments show distinctive behaviors among students who pass, fail, and receive a distinction. This provides an indication on how different students with varying levels of course performances distribute their activities differently in online environments.

While many works in the literature describe methods to identify patterns in the student behavior, Champaign et al. (2014) posited that there is a strong negative correlation between student’s skill and the time they spend doing online tasks. They likewise observed a negative correlation between the improvement in skill and the time on task. This finding provided added motivation for the current work in terms of verifying whether similar correlations also exist in and among students exposed in blended courses.

3. Research questions
The main goal of this research is to find effective ways to sift through the vast quantity of data generated by web-based learning environments. In particular, it aims to look into the action log data maintained by Moodle to determine whether processing models can be developed that can extract useful information that instructors can use in monitoring class activity. This involved the extraction of sample log data for selected blended learning
courses offered at Jose Rizal University (JRU). Specifically, the study addresses the following research questions:

\textbf{RQ1.} How can students’ learning behavior be extracted and visualized from activity logs recorded by Moodle?

\textbf{RQ2.} Can Moodle’s action log of student’s online activity offer meaningful insight into students’ course performance?

\textbf{RQ3.} Does the demographic profile of students have any effect on their level of activity in an online learning environment such as Moodle?

\section*{4. Data set}

The data analyzed and processed in this exploratory research was extracted from various blended learning courses offered at JRU during the second semester of SY 2015-2016. “A blended learning course is defined as a formal education program in which a student learns at least in part through online learning, with some element of student control over time, place, path, and/or pace” (Blended Learning Definitions, 2017; Horn, 2013). The courses under study include Elementary Statistics (MAT22), Human Behavior Organization (MGT26), Engineering Management (EGR36), and Ethics in Information Technology (ITC56). These courses are being offered to undergraduate students taking up BSA, BScpE, and BSIT, respectively. These blended courses were chosen because they all served as pilot implementations of the Course Redesign Program (CRP) of JRU where extensive use of the Moodle environment was introduced to enable instructors to deliver course contents—learning materials, supplemental links; promote student engagement thru online forums and chats; assessment tasks—quizzes and assignments more effectively.

As such, the online structure of each course consists of courses—readings, assignments, exercises, lecture quizzes, and a final exam, which the students are required to complete with a minimum grade of 3.5 to pass the course.

In this setup, instructors are still required to spend an hour lecture time with the students each week, afterward, their tasks consist mainly of monitoring students’ online activities while students, aside from attending class lecture will need to spend a minimum of two hours laboratory time with Moodle every week at their own discretion.

To support this setup, a pre-designed course content template is already placed in the Moodle course resources before the start of each class; however, individual teachers can customize this template by uploading additional learning materials like PowerPoint presentations, video clips, and web resources. They can also require forum participations and add additional exercises, assignments, and quizzes as they deem fit. Students can browse the contents independently through individual accounts. Some student accounts can only be used locally in the laboratories but there are also experimental accounts which are cloud-based and can be used online and accessed conveniently anywhere. Many students even access the online courses using mobile devices.

The predefined course template divides each course into several modules. For each module, students were asked to complete topic-related readings and perform the prescribed exercises, on scheduled dates, and take the online quizzes. Students can also download content materials and exercises and work on them offline.

Relative to this setup, Moodle has built-in features that can produce several types of reports that can be used to track student activity. One of these reports, called action logs, enable instructors to keep track of which resources and activities in a course have been accessed, when, and by which student. For the purposes of this study, logs of students’ action for the entire semester for each of the courses were collected then cleaned up. This resulted in a data set with a total of $n=199$ students.
4.1 Action logs
Each event record in the raw action log has six attributes (see Table I): course name, time of the event, IP address, username, action, and information. In this study, we only focused on the username and action attributes, the other attributes from the raw data were reserved for future use. The action attribute represents actions initiated by students on various items that can be accessed from Moodle such as assignment, quiz or assessment, course content, forum discussion, resource, and URLs. The actions that can be performed on these items include:

- view individual and view all – opening the items on Moodle;
- view forum – opening the forums;
- forum add discussion – add or post a forum topic;
- submit – upload completed assignments or quiz; and
- submit for grading – submit the uploaded assignments or quiz for grading.

4.2 Extracted log records
Table II provides the total number of action log records extracted for each course as well as the average number of actions per student. These logs constitute actions initiated for Moodle tools identified previously.

4.3 Student demographics
Finally, student demographics were taken by means of a structured survey using purposive sampling, participants in the survey conducted were the same students whose activity logs were extracted and processed. These data as shown in Table III are essential in identifying possible focal determinants of students’ online behavior exhibited by Moodle recorded action logs.

These attributes would be checked later to determine whether students online activity is affected by gender, year level, enrollment status or the number of CRP (online) course they are taking for second semester.

The two latter attributes (device ownership and access mode) would help describe how students took advantage of the mobility factor of an online course relative to the blended

| Data dimension          | Description                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Course                  | Identification string of the course in which the action is related          |
| Time                    | Date and time stamp of when the action was executed                         |
| IP address              | Unique numerical label assigned to the device used by the user              |
| User full name          | The user who initiated the action                                           |
| Action                  | Type of action initiated                                                    |
| Information             | General information on learning activities                                  |

**Table I.**
Moodle action log data attributes

| Course | Number of students | Total number of action logs | Average actions per student | SD     |
|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| MAT22  | 60                 | 10,370                      | 173                        | 43.76  |
| EGR36  | 80                 | 12,009                      | 150                        | 17.04  |
| MGT26  | 22                 | 2,687                       | 128                        | 20.32  |
| ITC56  | 37                 | 3,520                       | 95                         | 16.61  |
| Total  | 199                | 28,586                      |                            |        |

**Table II.**
Total number of actions per course
mode design (Section 4.3). Device ownership, in particular, defines how many students have their own desktop or mobile provisions, while access mode tells us if students are using these for LMS access relative to specific types of Moodle activity, e.g. content-, assessment- or engagement-related tasks.

As shown, the majority of students own a computer with internet access; 58.33, 61.90, and 78.38 percent for MAT22, MGT26, EGR36, and ITC56, respectively, and they are those who access Moodle using their own provisions as reflected by 46.67, 76.19, 20.00, and 32.43 percent.

Respondents with no PC or have a computer but no internet access relied on using JRU Open Lab (33.33, 19.05, 25.00, and 18.92 percent). This implies a positive observation because it implies that students who lack personal devices can still access course contents and perform online tasks through the university infrastructure as provided in the open lab.

Use of mobile device was differentiated from use mobile device home/mobile by verifying IP address stamped in each action log (the IP address is a set of numeric values that specifically identifies the device being used by the student). Another notable item in the survey shows that MAT22 students have taken more CRP (Moodle online) courses during the semester compared to the other three courses.

5. Analysis of activity data
5.1 Extracting and visualizing learning behavior
After data collection, the first question that was addressed is how to process the data set and extract patterns of activity that can be used to visualize students learning behavior.

Following the mining process described by Romero et al. (2008), as shown in Figure 1, a two-phase process was used which include initial preprocessing of data then afterwards applying data mining algorithms that transform the data into a form suitable for interpretation and evaluation. In the context of this study, Moodle log data were collected from JRU LMS for a particular CRP course as depicted in Figure 1.
5.1.1 Data preprocessing. Data pre-processing is one crucial step in data mining (Mohamed, 2014). In this phase, the raw log files were first processed to clean and prepare it for further processing. This is critical because many of the data sets extracted in Moodle can have missing values, noisy data, and/or irrelevant and redundant information. For this purpose, the raw log files were first imported into an Excel worksheet. Here, the actions logged by instructors and course administrators were selectively removed and the data set was anonymized by removing each student’s name and replacing it with a unique identification number. Processing then started by filtering the data set by course, user identification, and action. Then, two-dimensional tables for each course were built containing the list of student identifiers as row headers and specific types of actions as column headers. Table IV presents the set of action types used in this study for analyzing the students’ online behavior. The key aspect of these actions is that collectively, they can be used to represent the different types of activities that students can engage with inside Moodle, that is: accessing course content, engaging with peers, and taking assessment tests. The key assumption here is that student’s actions indicate intentionality which in turn provide clues, as to their learning preferences. Thus, when categorized based on class activities, the actions helps to infer whether the student prefers to study by accessing learning materials, by engaging with peers and/or the instructor or simply by taking assessment tests.

Each cell in the two-dimensional table was filled with values representing the total number of times each action type was initiated by each student. Figure 2 shows a sample table generated after pre-processing the raw data files. The process of counting this value

| Class activity | Action type                  |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| Content access | Course view                 |
|                | Resource view               |
|                | URL view                    |
| Engagement     | Forum add disc              |
|                | Forum view disc             |
|                | Forum view forum            |
| Assessment     | Quiz view                   |
|                | Quiz attempt                |
|                | Assign view                 |
|                | Assign submit               |

Table IV. Moodle action identifiers
| Students | Course view | Resource view | URL view | Forum add disc | Forum view disc | Forum view forum | Quiz view | Quiz attempt | Assign view | Assign submit | Final Grade |
|----------|-------------|---------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1        | 116         | 29            | 36       | 0              | 0               | 3                | 47        | 8           | 0           | 0             | 1.8         |
| 2        | 79          | 44            | 7        | 2              | 12              | 10               | 36        | 7           | 0           | 1             | 1.9         |
| 3        | 46          | 7             | 0        | 0              | 0               | 0                | 36        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 2.2         |
| 4        | 50          | 34            | 8        | 3              | 8               | 13               | 35        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 2.3         |
| 5        | 16          | 15            | 0        | 0              | 0               | 0                | 24        | 6           | 0           | 0             | 2.3         |
| 6        | 20          | 2             | 6        | 0              | 6               | 2                | 21        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 2.4         |
| 7        | 51          | 45            | 28       | 3              | 15              | 23               | 25        | 7           | 0           | 3             | 2.4         |
| 8        | 39          | 23            | 15       | 1              | 2               | 7                | 19        | 8           | 0           | 0             | 2.4         |
| 9        | 93          | 56            | 30       | 0              | 0               | 0                | 28        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 2.5         |
| 10       | 69          | 18            | 4        | 0              | 0               | 0                | 40        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 2.5         |
| 11       | 98          | 27            | 8        | 0              | 2               | 3                | 37        | 8           | 0           | 0             | 2.5         |
| 12       | 109         | 15            | 21       | 1              | 17              | 13               | 33        | 8           | 0           | 0             | 2.6         |
| 13       | 63          | 17            | 0        | 1              | 5               | 8                | 25        | 7           | 0           | 4             | 2.7         |
| 14       | 48          | 12            | 20       | 0              | 0               | 5                | 20        | 6           | 0           | 2             | 2.8         |
| 15       | 41          | 6             | 0        | 0              | 0               | 1                | 39        | 8           | 0           | 0             | 2.9         |
| 16       | 30          | 1             | 0        | 0              | 0               | 2                | 30        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 2.9         |
| 17       | 35          | 8             | 5        | 1              | 4               | 0                | 24        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 2.9         |
| 18       | 63          | 35            | 2        | 0              | 0               | 0                | 26        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 3.2         |
| 19       | 41          | 25            | 8        | 1              | 1               | 6                | 21        | 7           | 0           | 0             | 3.4         |
| 20       | 27          | 1             | 2        | 0              | 0               | 1                | 23        | 6           | 0           | 0             | 3.4         |
| 21       | 0           | 0             | 0        | 0              | 0               | 0                | 0         | 0           | 0           | 5             | 0           |
| Total    | 1,160       | 420           | 200      | 13             | 66              | 97               | 589       | 142         | 0           | 10            | 2.7143      |

Figure 2. Preprocessed raw data (activity logs)
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was automatically done using a customized Excel macro. The total counts extracted for each action type are shown in Table V wherein course views, view forum discussions, view forum, and assignment views have the highest occurrence while quiz view, quiz attempt, add forum discussion, and URL view are relatively low and assignment submit, resource view, and assignment view actions are the fewest actions initiated by the students.

5.1.2 Data mining algorithm – VSM. Data mining algorithms enable extraction and visualization of patterns of activity that can be used to infer students’ behavior.

VSM, a statistical model representation often used in processing documents in information retrieval (Raghavan and Wong, 1986). The main idea behind VSM is to construct vector representation for documents and use these vectors to analyze and compare the contents of each document. A vector is simply a labeled set of values arranged in a specific order. In the case of VSM, the labels are the unique words that occur in the document and the values refer to the number of times each unique word occurred in that document. So for example, if there is k number of documents to be represented and these documents contain n number of unique words, a k x n matrix can be built as shown in Figure 3. In this matrix, \( D_1 \) to \( D_k \) represent the set of documents while \( W_1 \) to \( W_n \) represent the set of unique words. The values in each cell represent the number of times a specific word \( W \) occurred in a particular document \( D \). Each row in this matrix is considered a vector representation for its corresponding document.

The analogy used in VSM is that the vector representation acts as a sort of coordinate that can be used to plot the position of the document in an \( n \)-dimensional semantic space where \( n \) corresponds to the number of values in the vector. Figure 4 depicts what a three-dimensional semantic space looks like along with the documents plotted in this space using vector representation.

Using this analogy, to compare the contents of documents VSM simply determines how far the location of their vector representations is within the semantic space. For instance, to determine how closely related the topic of document \( D_1 \) is to the topic of \( D_2 \), VSM simply

| Action                  | Count |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Course view             | 11,265|
| View forum disc         | 6,491 |
| View forum              | 3,047 |
| Quiz view               | 2,041 |
| Quiz attempt            | 1,870 |
| Add forum disc          | 1,817 |
| URL view                | 1,193 |
| Assign submit           | 444   |
| Resource view           | 347   |
| Assign view             | 71    |

Table V. Statistics on logged student actions

| \( w_1 \) | \( w_2 \) | \( w_3 \) | \ldots | \( w_n \) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| \( D_1 \) | 14        | 6         | 1      | \ldots    | 0         |
| \( D_2 \) | 0         | 1         | 3      | \ldots    | 1         |
| \( D_3 \) | 0         | 1         | 0      | \ldots    | 2         |
| \( \vdots \) | \( \vdots \) | \( \vdots \) | \( \vdots \) | \( \vdots \) |
| \( D_K \) | 4         | 7         | 0      | \ldots    | 5         |

Figure 3. \( k \times n \) matrix
measures the distance of \( D_2 \) relative to the position of \( D_1 \). The most common method for measuring this distance is by calculating the cosine of the angle formed between the two locations (represented by the symbol \( \Theta \)). The formula for computing the cosine angle is as follows:

\[
s(x, y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{\|x\| \|y\|} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i)^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y_i)^2}}
\]  

(1)

Basically, for two vectors with \( n \) values, this formula simply computes the scalar product of the two vectors for the numerator; computes the product of the length or norm of the two vectors for the denominator. So for example, if vector \( x \) is represented by the values \((1, 1, 1, 3, 0)\) and vector \( y \) is represented by the values \((0, 0, 0, 1, 1)\) the computation for the resulting cosine angle is as follows:

\[
x \cdot y = 1 \times 0 + 1 \times 0 + 1 \times 0 + 3 \times 1 + 0 \times 1 = 3
\]

\[
\|x\| = \sqrt{(1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 3^2 + 0^2)} = \sqrt{12} = 3.4641
\]

\[
\|y\| = \sqrt{(0^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 + 1^2 + 1^2)} = \sqrt{2} = 1.4142
\]

\[
\text{cosine} = \frac{3}{(1.4142 \times 3.4641)} = 0.61
\]

The cosine formula returns a value between 0 and 1. The rule in VSM is that the more similar the contents of two documents are, the higher their cosine value will be. So a cosine value of 1 for two documents means that the documents are completely identical and a value of 0 means they are totally unrelated. Any value in between reflects the degree of comparison between documents, the higher value means documents are highly related.

5.1.3 Representing student activity using VSM. Given the previous discussion, representing student activity using VSM requires the construction of activity vectors for each student. An activity vector can be defined as simply a list of action types with their corresponding values depicting how many times each action was initiated by the student. Here, a value of 0 means that the action type was not initiated at all. For instance, in Figure 3, the level of activity of student 1001 can be represented by the vector:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
116 & 29 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 47 & 8 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
There are two ways by which this vector representation can be used. First, it can be used to compare students’ activity to each other in order to group them based on how similar their level of activity is. Second, it can be used to assign students to a predefined set of categories based on how close their activity level vis-à-vis defined activity level for a specific category. Both cases will enable the identification of similar characteristics that occur within each group of students. In this paper, the latter approach is explored.

The color-coded header in Figure 3 indicates the type of class activity to which the action type belongs, such as content access, engagement related, and assessment activity. These sets of activities can be used to classify students to determine which type of activity they implicitly prefer. To do this, an archetypal activity vector for each activity class needs to be constructed. This can be done by setting the corresponding action types for each activity to a non-zero value while the rest of the action type values are set to 0 as shown in Table VI. Thus, the archetypal vector for each activity class would be as follows.

To classify, each student’s activity vector will be compared to the archetypal vector of each activity. The student will be grouped accordingly as per archetypal vector which generated the highest cosine or similarity value. Student activity vector can also be analyzed and grouped and compared on a per course/class basis.

5.2 Analysis of correlation between activity level and course grades
Prior to delving further in analyzing activity logs, it is necessary to first determine whether there is a relationship that exists between the action types initiated by the students and the students’ course achievements, what the direction of the relationship is and its strength of magnitude. For this purpose, the student’s final course grade is treated as an indicator of course achievement; which can reflect both student knowledge and level of engagement. The goal is to gain insight into how students’ actions in the online environment correlate with their course grades. Pearson coefficient correlation ($r$) was used to investigate the significance and computations were done by importing the excel data worksheet to SPSS.

5.3 Analysis of the effect of students demographic profile with level of activity
Correlation and descriptive statistics were conducted to examine whether student demographic attributes, namely, gender, year level, enrollment status, and device ownership could affect the level of LMS utilization as exhibited by total activity logs. Descriptive statistics used to determine mean activity logs of students while Pearson coefficient ($r$) was also used to establish possible relationships.

6. Results and discussions
The development of easily interpretable graphic that can depict trends in student activity based on action logs is a useful tool for instructors to constantly visualize and monitor course progress with minimal effort. Each line point in the graph (Figure 5) shows representative visualizations of the cosine values generated by each student in their respective course. Although students are anonymously depicted, the graph depicts the degree of activity among the participants, and can possibly be even be refined to drill down to each individual student’s level of activity. The visualizations clearly depict some patterns of online behavior relative to three different activities: content access, engagement, and assessment. It indicates that different classes vary widely in how they utilize the tools.

| Table VI. | Vector model for activity class |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| Content   | 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Engagement| 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Assessment| 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 |
Figure 5. Visualization of class activity based on action logs analyzed through VSM.
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provided within Moodle. And that, even within a certain class, students undertake complex behaviors in allotting time between different tools and activities. Students from MAT22, for example, generally login into Moodle mainly to do assessment tasks with little access to educational resources and engagement. Whereas EGR36 students seem to prioritize content access and engagement over access to assessment tasks; students from MGT26 and ITC56, on the other hand, while more or less showing equal interest on content access and assessment show very little interest in engagement. These visualizations can help course administrators to determine the type of strategic interventions that each course would need to ensure that student’s activities are kept within intended learning outcomes. Unfortunately, while some of these online activities aim to provide effective teaching strategies, the visualizations (along with the cosine ratings) does not seem to correlate with the students’ course accomplishments. This suggestion comes from observing that some course with a low level of online activity (MGT26 e.g. average cosine: 0.33) have higher average grades (e.g. 2.7) than a course with higher levels of activity (e.g. EGR36, average grade: 3.46, average cosine 0.47). This seems to suggest that longer time spent on Moodle may not result in higher course achievement. An implication of these observations is a need to redesign the online component more effectively in order to achieve quality instruction.

Another issue that the visualizations reveal is the lack of a standard teaching approach. Since students’ activities are often governed in part by the teacher requirements, what the visualizations indicate is that teaching methods among different classes seem to vary. Some instructors mainly focus on uploading lectures, while others focus more on assessment tasks or activities; some require a certain level of engagement among their students. More studies are needed to determine which pattern of teaching approach would be most beneficial to the students.

A simple correlation analysis was conducted, in order to determine whether there are relationships between the action types initiated by students and their course accomplishment represented by the final grade given to them by their instructors. As shown in Table VII, the results seem to suggest that there is some variability in terms of positive and significant correlation in the final grade between courses. In Mat22, for instance, the only action which shows correlation with the final grade is the URLView (\(r = 0.266, p = 0.01\)). Whereas, in the EGR36 course, all the actions correlated positively except AssignmentSubmit and AssignmentView (\(p = 0.01\)). For MGT26, it is the QuizView and QuizAttempt actions that correlated positively (\(p = 0.01\)) and for ITC56 it is ResourceView and QuizView shows medium to high correlation at \(p = 0.01\) and small correlation for AssignView at \(p = 0.05\). This variability in terms of correlation, to some degree, seems to agree with our previous observation regarding the lack of correlation between the students’ online activity level and final grades. In other words, in some cases, it may correlate but in others, it may not. The magnitude of correlation also varies from activity type which reflects how students have prioritized tool or activity performed in the online environment. The best explanation for this observation is that instructors are considering other factors in assigning grades to the students which may not be present in the online environment.

| Course       | Res. view | URL view | ForAdd disc | ForView disc | ForView forum | Quiz view | Quiz attempt | Assign submit | Assign view |
|--------------|-----------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| MAT22 (n = 60) | 0.245     | 0.266*   | 0.183       | 0.027        | 0.117         | 0.145     | 0.161        |                |             |
| MGT26 (n = 21) | 0.431     | 0.390    | 0.258       | 0.272        | 0.282         | 0.742**   | 0.788**      | 0.202         | 0.194       |
| EGR36 (n = 80) | 0.344**   | 0.288**  | 0.520**     | 0.534**      | 0.438**       | 0.415**   | 0.694**      | 0.202         | 0.194       |
| ITC56 (n = 37) | 0.604**   | 0.207    | 0.045       | 0.235        | 0.002         | 0.470**   | 0.502        | 0.373*        |             |

Notes: *\(p < 0.05\); **\(p < 0.01\)
The analysis of correlation coefficients for student demographics and total action logs (TAL) obtained as depicted in Table VIII can be observed per course wherein MAT22 has relatively negative, positive small correlation on gender, enrollment status, and device ownership while statistically significant relationship between TAL and CRP courses taken ($0.356, p < 0.01, 58$). This was contrasted by results for MGT26, with negative/positive medium to high correlation was significantly established for gender and enrollment status ($−0.564, p < 0.01, 506$ at $p < 0.05, 19$) while EGR36 got a negatively small coefficient for gender ($−0.305**, p < 0.01, 78$). ITC56 results did not show any significant relationship among any factors considered. Closer analysis of the IP address stamped on the log hits indicated that students still displayed a high level of reliance on computer units provided in the open laboratories. In effect, this nullifies the mobile access advantages of the online course. It was also observed that majority of the task performed online are assessment related. This inference could be attributed to the environment of blended learning implementation of the university where students despite mobility and availability of learning resources online still rely on classroom discussions performed during the face-face session which is one primary structure of a blended mode. Likewise, prior experience in LMS tool indicated by the number of CRP course taken is not a factor on students’ online LMS activity level nor students’ achievement.

7. Limitations and future work
The paper showed how students of various courses utilized the LMS system, it may not be indicative of the overall effectiveness of the system but a structured analytical study of actual online activity thru a data-driven approach gave important highlights. In summary, this work has shown that VSM can be used to aggregate the action logs of students and quantify it into a single numeric value that can be used to generate visualizations of students’ level of activity. While the visualizations do not seem to depict course performance, the value it presents is in terms of helping instructors monitor course progression and enable them to rapidly identify which students are not performing well and adjust their pedagogical strategies accordingly. Since the VSM visualizations generated in this study, for the most part, relied on the structure and contents of the activity reports generated by Moodle, adopting the same methodology to other LMS will necessarily involve minimal adjustments, specifically, in the construction and generation of the activity vectors. Nonetheless, once the necessary adjustments have been made, VSM can be applied to other courses even with varying designs and structure.

A plan to continue the work by developing a complete dashboard style interface that instructors can use is already underway. The study also looked into whether or not various action types can be used as indicators of student’s class performance. The current investigation indicates that there is a lot of variability in terms of the correlation between these two variables. It is highly likely that the design and nature of the course as well as the individual teaching strategy of instructors are introducing other factors that are not present

| Course   | Mean TAL | SD    | Gender | Year level | Enrollment status | No. of CRP course taken | Device ownership profile |
|----------|----------|-------|--------|------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| MAT22 ($n = 60$) | 98.72     | 112.02 | $−0.032$ | 0.125 | $−0.230$ | 0.356** | 0.085 |
| MGT26 ($n = 21$) | 73.19     | 35.81  | $−0.564**$ | $−0.219$ | 0.506* | $−0.138$ | 0.132 |
| EGR36 ($n = 80$) | 150.10    | 20.52  | $−0.305**$ | $−0.173$ | 0.167 | 0.015 | 0.191 |
| ITC56 ($n = 37$) | 54.86     | 29.28  | $−0.302$ | $−0.176$ | $−0.049$ | $−0.224$ | $−0.125$ |

Notes: $*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01$

Table VIII. Correlation analysis of total activity log (TAL) and student demographics
in the online environment. A comparative inquiry on a per subject basis can also be done to explore the effectiveness of a particular course module taken by students in the different disciplinary area. More data need to be collected, and more advanced processing tools are needed in order to obtain a better perspective on this issue.
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