CB2 and GPR55 Receptors as Therapeutic Targets for Systemic Immune Dysregulation
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The endocannabinoid system (ECS) is involved in many physiological processes and has been suggested to play a critical role in the immune response and the central nervous system (CNS). Therefore, ECS modulation has potential therapeutic effects on immune dysregulatory disorders, such as sepsis and CNS injury-induced immunodeficiency syndrome (CIDS). In sepsis, excessive release of pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators results in multi-organ dysfunction, failure, and death. In CIDS, an acute CNS injury dysregulates a normally well-balanced interplay between CNS and the immune system, leading to increased patients’ susceptibility to infections. In this review, we will discuss potential therapeutic modulation of the immune response in sepsis and CNS injury by manipulation of the ECS representing a novel target for immunotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

The endocannabinoid system (ECS) is involved in many physiological processes including metabolism, inflammation, pain, and neurotransmission (De Petrocellis and Di Marzo, 2009; Pandey et al., 2009). It consists of endogenous cannabinoids (EC), cannabinoid receptors (CBR), and EC metabolizing enzymes (De Petrocellis and Di Marzo, 2009; Pertwee et al., 2010). Two major CBRs are identified: CBR type 1 (CB1R) and CBR type 2 (CB2R; Howlett et al., 2002). CB1R are mainly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) and a variety of non-neural peripheral tissues, including the vasculature and gut (Pertwee and Ross, 2002). CB2R are primarily expressed on immune cells but are also identified in selected CNS areas and some peripheral tissues (Klein, 2005). Recently another G protein-coupled receptor, GPR55, has garnered much attention due to its activation by EC and its impact on the immune system (Pertwee, 2007; Yang et al., 2016a). Increasing evidence shows that enhanced EC levels occur during systemic inflammation, such as in sepsis or following a CNS injury. Manipulation of the ECS may have therapeutic effects in inflammatory disorders. In this review, we will focus on modulation of CB2 and GPR55 receptors on immune response in two inflammatory disorders, sepsis, and CNS injury. Both sepsis and CNS injury cause the immune system to go through rather rapid and dramatic changes from pro- to anti-inflammatory phases, which may end up costing patients their life. We suggest that the common mechanism for modulating and ultimately controlling the response of the immune system can be achieved through delicate interplay between the endocannabinoid, central nervous and immune systems.
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ECS IN SEPSIS

Sepsis is defined as a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to an infection (Singer et al., 2016). An initial infection with the ensuing systemic inflammatory response becomes amplified, resulting in excessive release of both pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators, causing multi-organ dysfunction, failure, and death (Kleinpell et al., 2006; Singer et al., 2016). Recently, the ECS has emerged as a potential therapeutic target in sepsis treatment due to its immune modulatory functions. The effect of modulation of CB1R, CB2R, and GPR55 in sepsis-induced systemic inflammation will be described below.

CB1R

Cannabinoid receptors type 1 are mainly pre-synaptic receptors in axon terminals and their activation causes post-synaptic inhibition by preventing neurotransmitter release (Chevaleyre et al., 2006; Ladak et al., 2011). Manipulating the activity of CB1R at critical periods may play a therapeutic role in sepsis. Studies have suggested that pre-synaptic CB1R activation on autonomic nerves and vascular walls exacerbates the hypotension associated with septic shock through neurogenic mechanisms (Godlewski et al., 2004). However, studies using CB1R knockout mice subjected to endotoxemia showed acute hypotension indicating that other mechanisms are also responsible for hypotension during systemic inflammatory conditions (Bättkai et al., 2004). In a lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced experimental sepsis model, we demonstrated that inhibition of CB1R by its antagonist, AM281, significantly reduced leukocyte activation and improved intestinal microcirculation (Kianian et al., 2014) and iris microcirculation (Kelly et al., 2010; Al-Banna et al., 2013). However, the exact mechanisms of CB1R action in sepsis and septic shock are not yet completely understood and further studies are still required.

CB2R

Cannabinoid receptors type 2 are primarily expressed on immune cells and represent an ideal target for immune modulation (Klein, 2005). CB2R are G protein-coupled receptors and signal primarily through regulating cAMP levels depending on the duration of activation of the receptor (Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1998; Börner et al., 2009; Basu and Dittel, 2011). CB2R also signal through the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway by regulating the three major kinases: the extracellular signal-regulated protein kinases (ERK), p38, and c-Jun NH2-terminal kinases (Basu and Dittel, 2011). Both of these major signaling pathways play important roles in CB2R-mediated immune modulating functions including effects on leukocyte activation, migration, proliferation, apoptosis, and cytokine production (Basu and Dittel, 2011). In general, CB2R activation has shown an immune suppressive action, which can be exploited for therapeutic benefit in inflammatory diseases such as sepsis.

A variety of in vitro studies have shown contradictory results in terms of modulation of the immune response, mainly due to the use of non-selective cannabinoids (Miller and Stella, 2008). Some studies have shown that cannabinoids enhanced leukocyte proliferation in a dose dependent manner (Derooq et al., 1995; Carrier et al., 2004), while other studies have shown inhibitory effects on leukocyte proliferation through the activation of the CB2R (Marez et al., 2007; Basu and Dittel, 2011). This inhibition maybe mediated by CB2R-dependent promotion of apoptosis in dendritic cells, splenocytes, and thyocytes, with some diminished activity when CB2R antagonists are used (Basu and Dittel, 2011). Additional evidence also supports the role of CB2R in the promotion of apoptosis. For example, administration of the CB2R agonist, JWH-015, induced apoptosis in thyocytes and diminished the proliferative potential of T cells and B cells (Lombard et al., 2007). Administration of the CB2R antagonist, AM630, showed a reversal of the induction of T cell apoptosis by JWH-133 (another CB2R agonist), strongly implicating a CB2R dependent mechanism (Singh et al., 2012). This evidence suggests that activation of CB2R may promote immune resolution by inducing apoptosis of immune cells, therefore minimizing excessive damage of the pro-inflammatory cascade that occurs early on in sepsis.

Using an experimental sepsis model, we demonstrated that activation of CB2R by the selective CB2R agonist, HU308, significantly reduced leukocyte adhesion in the microvasculature (Lehmann et al., 2012). Administration of EC degradation enzyme inhibitors, such as URB597 and JZL184, also decreased leukocyte activation in endotoxemic animals (Sardinha et al., 2014). However, reduced leukocyte activation by JZL184 is still present in endotoxemic CB2R knockout mice, suggesting that other mechanisms are also involved in the ECS-mediated immune regulation in sepsis.

Cytokine production by immune cells plays a critical role in the inflammatory response and can be modulated through CB2R. Multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, interleukin (IL)-1β, and IL-6, are released in the early stages of the septic cascade. However, activation of the CB2R by its agonist HU308 reduced plasma levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in endotoxemic rats (Lehmann et al., 2012). Administration of the EC, anandamide, decreased the levels of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-12 and IL-23 in vitro in activated microglial cells (Correa et al., 2009). In addition, T cell activation and release of IL-2 were inhibited by administration of the CB2R agonist, JWH-015, and this effect was eliminated by administration of the CB2R antagonist, AM630 (Börner et al., 2009). It was also demonstrated that activation of CB2R by HU308 enhanced the release of IL-10, a prominent anti-inflammatory cytokine, suggesting an immunosuppressive effect of CB2R (Klein, 2005).

GPR55

GPR55 was initially described as a novel cannabinoid receptor or putative “CBx” receptor due to its high affinity to cannabinoid ligands such as Δ9-THC, 2-AG, anandamide, and rimonabant, independent of the presence of CB1R and CB2R (Sawzdargo et al., 1999; Begg et al., 2005; Pertwee, 2007; Ryberg et al., 2007). However, the limited sequence similarity between GPR55 and CBR does not support this concept (Baker et al., 2006). Unlike the classical CB1R and CB2R signaling pathway, GPR55 is coupled to Gα12 and Gα13 proteins, signaling through ras homolog gene family member A, Rho-associated protein kinase and phospholipase C pathway activation. Increased intracellular
Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is followed to activate rhoA, Rac, and cdc42, thereby phosphorylating ERK, resulting in modulation of leukocyte chemotaxis, proliferation, and cytokine production (Ryberg et al., 2007; Henstridge et al., 2009).

GPR55 is widely expressed in the CNS, immune system, and peripheral tissues and is involved in many physiological and pathophysiological processes (Ryberg et al., 2007; Henstridge et al., 2011). In the immune system, GPR55 is highly expressed in the spleen and leukocytes, and its role in the modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses suggests a potential therapeutic effect for sepsis (Staton et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2011; Schicho and Storr, 2012; Stančič et al., 2015). GPR55 acts as an essential regulator in innate immunity via stimulatory effects in neutrophils, mast cells, monocytes, and natural killer (NK) cells (Balenga et al., 2011; Cantarella et al., 2011; Schicho et al., 2011; Chiurchiù et al., 2015). GPR55 on NK cells and monocytes increase pro-inflammatory cytokines, cell cytotoxicity, and decrease monocyte-mediated endocytosis upon activation by LPS (Chiurchiù et al., 2015). GPR55 expression was increased in the GI tract during sepsis (Lin et al., 2011) and GPR55 knockout mice showed less severe intestinal inflammation in comparison to CB\textsubscript{1}R or CB\textsubscript{2}R knockout mice in experimental colitis (Schicho and Storr, 2012). In studies using adjuvant-induced inflammation, inflammatory mechanical hyperalgesia by Freund's complete adjuvant was absent in GPR55 knockout mice with increased levels of IL-4, IL-10, and IFN-γ (Staton et al., 2008). Importantly, GPR55 antagonist, CID16020046, diminished inflammation in experimental colitis by reducing the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and impairing leukocyte activation and migration (Stančič et al., 2015). In our laboratory, we demonstrated that GPR55 antagonists, CID16020046, and O-1918, reduced LPS-induced leukocyte-endothelial interactions in experimental models of sepsis in mice (Yang et al., 2016b).

GPR55 pharmacology with regards to ligand affinity and activity has been controversial in the current literature due to ligand- and concentration-specific biased signaling (Henstridge et al., 2010; Zeng et al., 2015). GPR55 can form heteromers with CB\textsubscript{1}R or CB\textsubscript{2}R to elicit different pathways via ligand- and concentration-specific crosstalk (Balenga et al., 2011, 2014; Kargl et al., 2012; Martínez-Pinilla et al., 2014). Heteromers of CB\textsubscript{1}R and GPR55 are reported in CNS (Martínez-Pinilla et al., 2014) and Human Embryonic Kidney cell lines (Kargl et al., 2012). CB\textsubscript{1}R inhibits GPR55 signaling when they are co-expressed on a cell (Kargl et al., 2012). Cross-interaction between GPR55 and CB\textsubscript{2}R modulates partner receptor mediated signaling. Co-expression of CB\textsubscript{2}R with GPR55 reduces GPR55 agonist-mediated activation of transcription factors, whereas CB\textsubscript{2} receptor-mediated signaling was inhibited by co-expression with GPR55 (Balenga et al., 2014). GPR55-CB\textsubscript{2}R crosstalk in neutrophils was demonstrated by the finding that GPR55 activation led to augmented neutrophil chemotaxis and reduced CB\textsubscript{2}R-mediated tissue injury in the site of inflammation, suggesting a possible cellular mechanism of GPR55-mediated immune cell modulation (Balenga et al., 2011). Consequently, further investigations on ligand-specific signaling pathways are required to develop a specific pharmacological target for precise and designated immune modulation.

**ECS in CNS Injury**

Central nervous system injury includes traumatic brain injury, stroke, cerebral aneurysms, and spinal cord injuries. Survivors from acute CNS injury often have complications due to infections. The incidence of fatal infections is linked to severity of CNS injury and the status of immune system (Klehmet et al., 2009; Shim and Wong, 2016). Following acute CNS injury, cell death occurs at the primary site and cytotoxins are released, which trigger significant secondary cell death outside the original injury area. In addition, function of the blood brain barrier is impaired, allowing systemic inflammatory mediators and cells to enter the normally protected CNS tissue, leading to the pathology of a CNS injury, i.e., neuroinflammation. The level of neuroinflammation is highly dependent on the severity, duration, and the anatomical context of the CNS injury. To prevent the excessive action of pro-inflammatory cytokines after their initial beneficial effects, the immune system releases several anti-inflammatory mediators, such as IL-10 and IL-1 receptor antagonist and soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors. This begins a cascade of compensatory anti-inflammatory response. Onset of an acute CNS injury also activates immunoinhibitory pathways, leading to a systemic brain-mediated immunosuppression to minimize secondary damage to healthy CNS tissue (Meisel et al., 2005; Haeusler et al., 2012). Systemic immunosuppression is believed to be the main reason for infections, a leading cause of death in patients with acute CNS injury. This increased susceptibility to infections, due to impaired immune function after an acute CNS injury, has been termed “CNS injury-induced immunodeficiency syndrome” (CIDS; Meisel et al., 2005).

Since the discovery of the ECS, its effects on the brain have prompted queries into its potential physiological and pathological roles. Local ECS is activated following CNS injury, representing an adaptive mechanism. The primary ligands produced in the brain are anandamide and 2-AG, which work on both CB\textsubscript{1}R and CB\textsubscript{2}R. This may play a role in modulation of CNS activity and regulation of the immune response after a CNS injury (Méchoulan et al., 1995; Sugiura et al., 1995; Bisogno et al., 1999). There are two different directions for the potential therapeutic use of CBR: neuroprotection and immunomodulation to reduce the CNS damage and improve the outcome.

One of the potential ways the brain protects itself is by reducing its excitatory activity. It is proposed that activation of presynaptic CB\textsubscript{1}R reduces the release of major excitatory neurotransmitters, such as glutamate (Coomber et al., 2008), which might be one of the earliest neuroprotective mechanisms deployed by the brain to prevent excitotoxicity. This is further supported by studies that showed blocking CB\textsubscript{1}R activity increased the vulnerability of neurons to ischemic damage and disrupted neuronal maintenance (Schweitzer, 2000; Hwang et al., 2010). Additionally, complete removal of the CB\textsubscript{1}R and associated signaling pathways causes an increase in susceptibility to ischemic damage, excitotoxin exposure, traumatic brain injury, and exacerbated inflammatory damage (Hillard, 2008). However,
early inhibition of CB₁R activation together with increased CB₂R activation produces beneficial effects, such as a reduction of immune cells in cerebral vasculature, a reduction in infarct size, and an improved motor function after transient focal ischemia (Nagayama et al., 1999; Gilbert et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Adhikary et al., 2011).

The mechanism that may be related to the neuroprotective aspect of CB signaling is related to ERK in response to tissue insults and involved in cell survival mechanisms (Scotter et al., 2010). ERK activation is coupled to the presence of CB₁R in hippocampal regions (Marsicano et al., 2003), suggesting that CB signaling is part of the compensatory response to CNS injury. Neuronal maintenance aspects of CB signaling seem to involve MAPK. Experimental support for this notion comes from studies that showed treating the hippocampal tissue with CB₁R antagonist AM281 blocked ERK activation through MAPK kinase and led to a compromised neuronal survival (Karanian et al., 2005a). On the other hand, activating the CB₂R and promoting cell survival also showed the neuroprotective action of the ECS through ERK activity (Jiang et al., 2005).

Cannabidiol, a main non-psychoactive component of cannabis, is suggested to exhibit some of its neuroprotective properties via inhibition of EC deactivation or even through its effects on vanilloid and 5-HT receptors (Mishima et al., 2005; Alvarez et al., 2008; Pazos et al., 2013). Other studies have shown that anandamide, 2-AG, THC, and synthetic agonists of CB₁R also exhibit similar neuroprotective effects (Nagayama et al., 1999; Panikashvili et al., 2001). Endogenous anandamide showed neuroprotective properties in the developing brain through CB₁R activity (van der Stelt et al., 2001; Shouman et al., 2006). Administration of 2-AG to animals with CNS injury reduced brain edema, infarct volume, and hippocampal cell death, and improved behavioral scores, suggesting better recovery (Shohami et al., 1997; Panikashvili et al., 2006). The excitotoxic protective property of ECS activation has been reversed by administration of CB₁R and CB₂R antagonists, AM281 and AM630, respectively. Other CB₂R antagonists, such as SR-141716A have been shown to reduce or completely abolish the neuroprotective properties of EC signaling in transient global cerebral ischemia (Nagayama et al., 1999; Marsicano et al., 2002). Despite piling evidence suggesting the neuroprotective role of ECS, inconsistent results and outcomes are produced. The inconsistency is due to various factors, such as the delicacy of the physiological conditions, their severity, the timing of the pathologic development of a CNS injury and the pharmacological intervention. Therefore, careful consideration needs to be given to pharmacological modulation of ECS via CBR in terms of dosage and timing of administration, otherwise the results may be counterproductive or even harmful.

Enhancing EC actions by targeting its degradation represents an alternative therapeutic approach and has shown promising results in neuroprotection. The enzyme fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) is responsible for anandamide breakdown. Pharmacologic inhibition or genetic knockout of FAAH promotes neuronal maintenance and function (Hwang et al., 2010; Celorrio et al., 2016). Block of anandamide transport with AM404 promotes CB₁R signaling and enhances protection against excitotoxicity in hippocampal slices (Karanian et al., 2005b). Moreover, monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) hydrolyzes 2-AG to generate a major arachidonate precursor pool for neuroinflammatory prostaglandins, and is suggested as a potential drug target in neurodegenerative disease (Nomura et al., 2011; Fernández-Suárez et al., 2014). Although there is no direct evidence suggesting the benefit of MAGL inhibition after CNS injury, we can speculate that the involved pathways could be targeted to suppress proinflammatory cascades, which arise after an acute CNS injury and contribute to exacerbated CNS damage.

Due to the changes in the immune status after an acute CNS injury and the onset of CIDS, CB₂R expression profile on immune cells and other non-neuronal cells suggested a potential theoretical association between the detrimental effects of CNS injury and CB₂R activity. The immune impairment could potentially be modulated through the activity of the CB₂R, ultimately making the patient susceptible to common infections and worsening the outcome. In general, CB₂R agonists attenuate the inflammatory response by inhibiting production of pro-inflammatory mediators, decreasing immune cell chemotaxis and reducing extravasation in the vulnerable CNS (Shohami et al., 2011; Sardinha et al., 2014). Multiple studies have shown CB₂R activation to be associated with neuroprotection and even improved blood brain barrier function (Ramirez et al., 2012). While many studies have established that the CB₂R activation initiates immunosuppressive mechanisms and potentially limits neuroinflammation (Benito et al., 2008), others have suggested that the time-course of CB₂R activity may hold the solution by avoiding the negative effects of neuroprotective immunosuppression, while still receiving the neuroprotective aspects of reduced neuroinflammation (Lehmann et al., 2014). Specifically, it is suggested that the inhibition of CB₂R that is done too early could potentially increase the size of CNS injury, as the proinflammatory cascades and neutrophil infiltration will continue to develop. In our laboratory, we have demonstrated inhibition of CB₂R by the selective antagonist, AM 630, significantly increased immune function as indicated by an increased leukocyte adherence to endothelia in animals challenged with LPS after hypoxia-ischemia (HI)-induced CNS injury. The CB₂R inhibition did not affect the magnitude of infarct size in the injured brain (Burkovskiy et al., 2016). This outlines both the complexity of the CNS injury pathology, as well as the associated ECS signaling pathways, which remain to be fully explored.

CONCLUSION

Although it has been shown that the ECS plays a vital role in the function of the immune system, controversial results exist for its regulatory role in sepsis, mainly due to the variety of methods employed to activate the receptors and the lack of truly selective ligands. In addition, in vivo studies using CB₂R knockout mice showed conflicting results, which might be attributed to the complexity of the inflammatory models used in mimicking a septic state. There is a growing body of evidence for a pro-inflammatory role of GPR55 in sepsis, suggesting that selective
GPR55 antagonists have a potential as a modulators of the immune response, and can be designed as a therapeutic target in sepsis.

With regards to the role of the ECS following CNS injury one may feel that cannabinoid signaling entails the “magic bullet” approach to many of the detrimental impairments associated with CNS injury. However, not all aspects of cannabinoid signaling have been fully explored and extensive pre-clinical testing is essential to find the correct ligand (or combination of ligands). Moreover, many of the studies have demonstrated a close association between ECS activity and improved functional outcome, reduced neurotoxicity, reduced infarct volume, and other beneficial effects. While this definitely suggests a bright future for this field, potential detrimental effects of ECS modulation need to be studied in more detail to prevent unwanted side effects.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Each author has contributed significantly to this work by writing a section of the manuscript, including its references. JZ: Introduction; Integrating and drafting the manuscript, revising and final approval of the version; IB: ECS in CNS injury; HY: GPR55 of ECS in sepsis; JS: ECS in sepsis, CBR1 and CBR2; CL: Conclusion; revising and final approval.
promotes RhoA-dependent Ca²⁺ signaling and NFAT activation. FASEB J. 23, 183–193. doi: 10.1096/fj.08-108670

Henstridge, C. M., Balenga, N. A., Kargl, J., Andradas, C., Brown, A. J., Irving, A., et al. (2011). Minireview: recent developments in the physiology and pathology of the lysophosphatidylinositol-sensitive receptor GPR55. Mol. Endocrinol. 25, 1835–1848. doi: 10.1205/me.2011-1197

Henstridge, C. M., Balenga, N. A., Schröder, R., Kargl, J. K., Platzer, W., Martini, L., et al. (2010). GPR55 ligands promote receptor coupling to multiple signalling pathways. Br. J. Pharmacol. 160, 604–614. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3109.2009.06265.x

Hillard, C. J. (2008). Role of cannabinoids and endocannabinoids in cerebral ischemia. Brain. Behav. Immun. 22, 629–629. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2008.05.010

Howlett, A. C., Barth, F., Bonner, T. I., Cabral, G., Casellas, P., Devane, W. A., et al. (2002). International Union of Pharmacology. XXVII. Classification of cannabinoid receptors. Pharmacol. Rev. 54, 161–202.

Hwang, J., Adamson, C., Butler, D., Janero, D. R., Makriyannis, A., and Bahr, B. A. (2005a). Blocking cannabinoid activation of FAK and ERK1/2 compromises synaptic integrity in hippocampus. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 508, 47–56. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2004.12.009

Karanian, D. A., Brown, Q. B., Makriyannis, A., and Bahr, B. A. (2005a). Blocking cannabinoid receptor GPR55 and its ligands are involved in regulation of gut movement in rodents. Neurogastroenterol. Motil. 23, 862–8342. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2011.01742

Lambard, C., Nagarakti, M., and Nagarakti, P. (2007). CB2 cannabinoid receptor agonist, JWH-015, triggers apoptosis in immune cells: potential role for CB2-selective ligands as immunosuppressive agents. Clin. Immunol. 122, 259–270. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2006.11.002

Maresz, K., Pysz, G., Ponomarev, E. D., Marsicano, G., Croxford, J. L., Shriver, L. P., et al. (2007). Direct suppression of CNS autoimmune inflammation via the cannabinoid receptor CB1 on neurons and CB2 on autoreactive T cells. Nat. Med. 13, 492–497. doi: 10.1038/nm1561

Marsicano, G., Goodenough, S., Monory, K., Herrmann, E., Eder, M., Cannich, A., et al. (2003). CB1 cannabinoid receptors and on-demand defense against excitotoxicity. Science 302, 84–88. doi: 10.1126/science.1088208

Marsicano, G., Moosmann, B., Herrmann, H., Lutz, B., and Behl, C. (2002). Neuroprotective properties of cannabinoids against oxidative stress: role of the cannabinoid receptor CB1. J. Neurochem. 80, 448–456. doi: 10.1046/j.0022-2531.2001.00716.x

Martinez-Pinilla, E., Reyes-Resina, I., Oñatibia-Astibia, A., Zamarbide, M., Ricobaraza, A., Navarro, G., et al. (2014). CB1 and GPR55 receptors are co-expressed and form heteromers in rat and monkey striatum. Exp. Neurol. 261, 44–52. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.06.017

Mechoulam, R., Ben-Shabat, S., Hanus, L., Ligumsky, M., Kaminits, N. E., Schatz, A. R., et al. (1995). Identification of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors. Biochem. Pharmacol. 50, 83–90. doi: 10.1016/0006-2952(95)91009-D

Meisel, C., Schwab, J. M., Prass, K., Meisel, A., and Darnagl, U. (2005). Central nervous system injury-induced immune deficiency syndrome. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 775–786. doi: 10.1038/nrn1765

Miller, A. M., and Stella, N. (2008). CB2 receptor-mediated migration of immune cells: it can go either way. Br. J. Pharmacol. 153, 299–308. doi: 10.1038/bjp.0707523

Mishima, K., Hayakawa, K., Abe, K., Ikeda, T., Egashira, N., Iwasaki, K., et al. (2005). Cannabidiol prevents cerebral infarction via a serotonergic 5-hydroxytryptamine1A receptor-dependent mechanism. Stroke 36, 1077–1082. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000163083.59201.34

Nagayama, T., Sino, A. D., Simon, R. P., Chen, J., Graham, S. H., Jin, K., et al. (1999). Cannabinoids and neuroprotection in global and focal cerebral ischemia and in neuronal cultures. J. Neurosci. 19, 2987–2995.

Nomura, D. K., Morrison, B. E., Blankman, J. L., Long, J. Z., Kinsey, S. G., Marcondes, M. C. G., et al. (2011). Endocannabinoid hydrolysis generates brain prostaglandins that promote neuroinflammation. Science 334, 809–813. doi: 10.1126/science.1209200

Pandey, R., Mousawy, K., Nagarkatti, M., and Nagarkatti, P. (2009). Endocannabinoids and immune regulation. Pharmacol. Res. 60, 85–92. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2009.03.019

Panikashvili, D., Shein, N. A., Mechoulam, R., Tremblouer, V., Kohen, R., Alexandrovich, A., et al. (2006). The endocannabinoid 2-AG protects the blood-brain barrier after closed head injury and inhibits mRNA expression of proinflammatory cytokines. Neurobiol. Dis. 22, 257–264. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2005.11.004

Panikashvili, D., Simeonidou, C., Ben-Shabat, S., Hanus, L., Breuer, A., Mechoulam, R., et al. (2001). An endogenous cannabinoid (2-AG) is neuroprotective after brain injury. Nature 413, 527–531. doi: 10.1038/35097089

Pazos, M. R., Mohammed, N., Lafuente, H., Santos, M., Martinez-Pinilla, E., Moreno, E., et al. (2013). Mechanisms of cannabidiol neuroprotection in hypoxic-ischemic newborn piglets: role of SHT(1A) and CB2 receptors. Neuropsychopharmacol. 71, 282–291. doi: 10.1038/njp.2013.03.027

Pertwee, R. G. (2007). GPR55: a new member of the cannabinoid receptor clan? Br. J. Pharmacol. 152, 984–986. doi: 10.1038/bjp.0707464

Pertwee, R. G., Howlett, A. C., Aboud, M. E., Alexander, S. P. H., Di Marzo, V., Elphick, M. R., et al. (2010). International union of basic and clinical Pharmacology. LXXXIX. Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: beyond CB1 and CB2. Pharmacol. Rev. 62, 588–631. doi: 10.1124/pr.110.003004

Pertwee, R. G., and Ross, R. A. (2002). Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands. Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fatty Acids 66, 101–121. doi: 10.1054/plef.2001.0341

Ramirez, S. H., Haskö, J., Skuba, A., Fan, S., Dykska, H., McCormick, R., et al. (2012). Activation of cannabinoid receptor 2 attenuates leukocyte-endothelial cell interactions and blood-brain barrier dysfunction under inflammatory conditions. J. Neurosci. 32, 4004–4016. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4628-11.2012
Rinaldi-Carmona, M., Barth, F., Millan, J., Derocq, J. M., Casellas, P., Congy, C., et al. (1998). SR 144528, the first potent and selective antagonist of the CB2 cannabinoid receptor. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 284, 644–650.

Ryberg, E., Larsson, N., Sjögren, S., Hjorth, S., Hermansson, N. -O., Leonova, J., et al. (2007). The orphan receptor GPR55 is a novel cannabinoid receptor. Br. J. Pharmacol. 152, 1092–1101. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjp.07070460

Sardinha, J., Kelly, M. E. M., Zhou, J., and Lehmann, C. (2014). Experimental cannabinoid 2 receptor-mediated immune modulation in sepsis. Mediators Inflamm. 2014, 1–7. doi: 10.1155/2014/978678

Sawzdargo, M., Nguyen, T., Lee, D. K., Lynch, K. R., Cheng, R., Heng, H. H. Q., et al. (1999). Identification and cloning of three novel human G protein-coupled receptor genes GPR3, GPR53 and GPR55: GPR55 is extensively expressed in human brain. Mol. Brain Res. 64, 193–198. doi: 10.1016/S0169-328X(98)00277-0

Schicho, R., Bashashati, M., Bawa, M., McHugh, D., Saur, D., Hu, H. M., et al. (2011). The atypical cannabinoid O-1602 protects against experimental colitis and inhibits neutrophil recruitment. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 17, 1651–1664. doi: 10.1002/ibd.21538

Schicho, R., and Storr, M. (2012). A potential role for GPR55 in gastrointestinal functions. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 12, 653–658. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2012.09.009

Schweitzer, P. (2000). Cannabinoids decrease the K(+) M-current in hippocampal CA1 neurons. J. Neurosci. 20, 51–58.

Scotter, E. L., Goodfellow, C. E., Graham, E. S., Dragunow, M., and Glass, M. (2010). Neuroprotective potential of CB1 receptor agonists in an in vitro model of Huntington’s disease. Br. J. Pharmacol. 160, 747–761. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.00773.x

Shim, R., and Wong, C. (2016). Ischemia, immunosuppression and infection—tackling the predicaments of post-stroke complications. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 17:64. doi: 10.3390/ijms17010064

Shohami, E., Cohen-Yeshurun, A., Magid, L., Algali, M., and Mechoulam, R. (2011). Endocannabinoids and traumatic brain injury. Br. J. Pharmacol. 163, 1402–1410. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01343.x

Shohami, E., Gallily, R., Mechoulam, R., Bass, R., and Ben-Hur, T. (1997). Cytokine production in the brain following closed head injury: dexanabinol (HU-211) is a novel TNF-alpha inhibitor and an effective neuroprotectant. J. Neuroimmunol. 72, 169–177. doi: 10.1016/S0165-5728(96)00181-6

Shouman, B., Fontaine, R. H., Baud, O., Schwendimann, L., Keller, M., Spedding, M., et al. (2006). Endocannabinoids potently protect the newborn brain against AMPA-kainate receptor-mediated excitotoxic damage. Br. J. Pharmacol. 148, 442–451. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0706755

Singer, M., Deutschman, C., Seymour, C. W., Shankar-Hari, M., Annane, D., Bauer, M., et al. (2016). The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA 315, 801–810. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287

Singh, U. P., Singh, N. P., Singh, B., Price, R. L., Nagarkatti, M., and Nagarkatti, P. S. (2012). Cannabinoid receptor-2 (CB2) agonist ameliorates colitis in IL-10-/mice by attenuating the activation of T cells and promoting their apoptosis. Toxicol. Appl. pharmacol. 258, 256–267. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2011.11.005

Stančić, A., Jandl, K., Hasenöhrl, C., Reichmann, F., Marsche, G., Schuligoi, R., et al. (2015). The GPR55 antagonist CID16020046 protects against intestinal inflammation. Neurogastroenterol. Motil. 27, 1432–1445. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12639

Staton, P. C., Hatcher, J. P., Walker, D. J., Morrison, A. D., Shapland, E. M., Hughes, J. P., et al. (2008). The putative cannabinoid receptor GPR55 plays a role in mechanical hyperalgesia associated with inflammatory and neuropathic pain. Pain 139, 225–236. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2008.04.006

Sugiura, T., Kondo, S., Sukagawa, A., Nakane, S., Shinoda, A., Itoh, K., et al. (1995). 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: a possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 215, 89–97. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1995.2437

van der Stelt, M., Veldhuis, W. B., van Haften, G. W., Fezza, F., Bisogno, T., Bar, P. R., et al. (2001). Exogenous anandamide protects rat brain against acute neuronal injury in vivo. J. Neurosci. 21, 8765–8771.

Yang, H., Zhou, J., and Lehmann, C. (2016a). GPR55 – a putative “type 3” cannabinoid receptor in inflammation. J. Basic Clin. Physiol. Pharmacol. 27, 297–302. doi: 10.1515/jbcpp-2015-0080

Yang, H., Zhou, J., Stadnyk, A., and Lehmann, C. (2016b). Effects of cannabinoid receptors and GPR55 modulation on experimental endotoxemia in mice. Anesth. Analg. 122, S11.

Zeng, Y., Irvine, R., and Hiley, C. (2015). Biased signalling might be the answer to the inconsistent pharmacology of GPR55. FASEB J. 29:772.7.

Zhang, M., Martin, B. R., Adler, M. W., Razdan, R. K., Jallo, J. I., and Tuma, R. F. (2007). Cannabinoid CB2 receptor activation decreases cerebral infarction in a mouse focal ischemia/reperfusion model. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 27, 1387–1396. doi: 10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600447

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Zhou, Burkovskiy, Yang, Sardinha and Lehmann. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.