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Abstract: In this article, we investigate multiplicity results for Choquard-Kirchhoff type equations, with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponents,

\[- \left( a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right) \Delta u = a k(x) |u|^{q-2} u + \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|^{2^*_\mu}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \, dy \right) |u|^{2^*_\mu - 2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\]

where \( a > 0, \ b \geq 0, \ 0 < \mu < N, \ N \geq 3, \ a \) and \( \beta \) are positive real parameters, \( 2^*_\mu = (2N-\mu)/(N-2) \) is the critical exponent in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, \( k \in L'(\mathbb{R}^N) \), with \( r = 2^*/(2^* - q) \) if \( 1 < q < 2^* \) and \( r = \infty \) if \( q \geq 2^* \). According to the different range of \( q \), we discuss the multiplicity of solutions to the above equation, using variational methods under suitable conditions. In order to overcome the lack of compactness, we appeal to the concentration compactness principle in the Choquard-type setting.
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1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we consider the following Kirchhoff-type equation with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical nonlinearity in \( \mathbb{R}^N \):

\[- \left( a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right) \Delta u = a k(x) |u|^{q-2} u + \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|^{2^*}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \, dy \right) |u|^{2^* - 2} u, \quad (1.1)\]

where \( a > 0, \ b \geq 0, \ 0 < \mu < N, \ N \geq 3, \ a \) and \( \beta \) are positive real parameters, \( 2^*_\mu = (2N-\mu)/(N-2) \) is the critical exponent in the sense of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, \( k \in L'(\mathbb{R}^N) \), with \( r = 2^*/(2^* - q) \) if \( 1 < q < 2^* \) and \( r = \infty \) if \( q \geq 2^* \).

The paper was motivated by some works appeared in recent years. On one hand, following the Choquard or nonlinear Schrödinger-Newton equation

\[-\Delta u + V(x)u = (\mathcal{K}_\mu \ast u^2)u + \lambda f(x, u) \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.2)\]
was studied by Pekar [41] in the framework of quantum mechanics. Subsequently, it was adopted as an approximation of the Hartree-Fock theory in [27]. Recently, Penrose [38] settled it as a model of the self-gravitational collapse of a quantum mechanical wave function. The first existence and symmetry results of solutions to (1.2) go back to the works of Lieb [27] and Lions [30]. Equations of type (1.2) have been extensively studied, see e.g. [3, 15, 16, 18, 20, 27, 34–36, 43] for the study of Choquard-type equations. In the fractional Laplacian framework, we refer to the recent papers [32, 40, 45].

On the other hand, existence of solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems involving the critical Sobolev exponent has been considered by many authors. In [10], Chen, Kuo and Wu studied the following Kirchhoff-type problem

\[-M(\|\nabla u\|_2^2)\Delta u = Af(x)|u|^{\theta-2}u + g(x)|u|^{\beta-2}u \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on} \partial\Omega,
\]

where \(M(t) = a + bt, \ a, b > 0 \) and \( f \) and \( g \) are continuous real valued sign changing functions. In [10] the authors prove existence and multiplicity of solutions by using the classical Nehari manifold method. The literature on Kirchhoff-type problems and related elliptic problems is very interesting and quite large, here we just list a few, for example, see [2, 12, 13, 24–26, 33, 37, 39, 47, 48] for the recent existence results.

Motivated by the above works, especially by the ideas of [11, 19, 21], in this paper we study the multiplicity of solutions for the Kirchhoff-type equations (1.1), with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical nonlinearities. There is no doubt that when we encounter serious difficulties because of the lack of compactness. To overcome the challenge we use the second concentration compactness principle and the concentration compactness principle at infinity in order to prove the \((PS)_c\) condition at special levels \(c\).

The equation (1.1) is variational, so that the (weak) solutions of (1.1) are just the critical points of the underlying functional \(I_{a,b}\) in \(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\). The first two multiplicity results cover the cases \(1 < q < 2\) and \(q = 2\).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(0 < \mu < 4, 1 < q < 2\). Suppose that \(\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : k(x) > 0\}\) is an open subset of \(\mathbb{R}^N\) and that \(0 < |\Omega| < \infty\). Then,

(i) for each \(\beta > 0\) there exists \(\Lambda > 0\) such that if \(a \in (0, \Lambda)\) equation (1.1) has a sequence of nontrivial solutions \((u_n)_n\), with \(I_{a,b}(u_n) \leq 0\) and \(u_n \rightharpoonup 0\) as \(n \to \infty\);

(ii) for each \(a > 0\) there exists \(\Delta > 0\) such that if \(\beta \in (0, \Delta)\) equation (1.1) has a sequence of nontrivial solutions \((u_n)_n\), with \(I_{a,b}(u_n) \leq 0\) and \(u_n \rightharpoonup 0\) as \(n \to \infty\).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \(0 < \mu < 4, q = 2\) and \(\beta = 1\). Then, there exists a positive constant \(a^*\) such that for each \(a > a^*\) and \(a \in (0, aS|k|^{-1})\) equation (1.1) has at least \(n\) pairs of nontrivial solutions.

In [45] Wang and Xiang obtain, in the fractional setting, the existence of at least two nontrivial solutions, when \(2 < q < 2^*, N > \mu \geq 4\). For the Laplacian counterpart of Theorem 1.1 in [45] their result can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \(N > \mu \geq 4, 2 < q < 2^*, \beta = 1, k \geq 0\) and \(k \not\equiv 0\) in \(\mathbb{R}^N\) be satisfied. If either \(\mu = 4, a > 0\) and \(b > 4S_{H,L}^{-1}\) or \(\mu > 4, a > 0\) and

\[
b > (2\mu - 1)\left(a(2 - 2\mu)^{-2^{-\frac{2}{\mu}} - \frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(4S_{H,L}^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2^* - 1}} := b^*,
\]

then there exists \(a^*\) such that equation (1.1) admits at least two nontrivial solutions in \(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) for all \(a > a^*\).

In the following, we are interested in looking for more solutions in the case \(2 < q < 2^*\). To this end, we shall employ the genus theory to obtain multiplicity of solutions. Regrettably, we have to restrict ourselves to the special case \(N = 3\) and \(4 < q < 2^* := 6\). More precisely, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume that \(4 < q < 6, 0 < \mu < 2\), \(a = \beta\) and \(k \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \) with \(0 < k^* \leq k(x) \leq k^*\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\). Then, there exists \(\beta > 1\) such that if \(\beta > \beta^*\)

(i) equation (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution \(u_\beta\) and \(u_\beta \rightharpoonup 0\) in \(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)\) as \(\beta \to \infty\);
(ii) equation (1.1) has at least \( m \) pairs of nontrivial solutions \( u_{\beta,i}, u_{\beta,-i} \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), and \( u_{\beta,i} \to 0 \) in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) as \( \beta \to \infty \), for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

**Remark 1.1.** Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 leave some gaps. Indeed, existence of solutions for (1.1) is not covered in this paper, when \( 2 < q < 4 \) and \( N = 3, 4 \), or \( 2^* < q < 4 \). However, the approaches used in this paper do not seem to be applicable in the above cases. Thus, these missing values will be studied in future work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries and set up the underlying functional \( I_{a,\beta} \) associated to (1.1). In Section 3, we prove the Palais-Smale condition at some special energy levels. In Section 4, we introduce a truncation argument for the functional \( I_{a,\beta} \) and prove Theorem 1.1 by using the Kajikiya new version of the symmetric mountain pass theorem. In Section 5, existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for (1.1) is proved when \( q = 2 \). Section 6 deals with the existence of two nontrivial solutions for (1.1) when \( 2 < q < 2^* \) and \( \beta = 1 \), that is with the proof of Theorem 1.3. Finally, Section 7 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4, that is to the proof of existence and multiplicity of solutions for (1.1) when \( N = 3, 4 < q < 6 \) and \( a = \beta \).

## 2 Preliminaries

Here and in what follows, \( \| \cdot \|_p \) denotes the canonical \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) norm for any exponent \( p > 1 \). First, let us recall the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, see [28, Theorem 4.3].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( p, p > 1 \) and \( 0 < \mu < N \), with \( 1/p + 1/p + \mu/N = 2 \). Then, there exists a sharp constant \( C(p, p, \mu, N) \) such that

\[
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{f(x)h(y)}{|x-y|^p} \, dx \, dy \leq C(t, \tau, \mu, N) \| f \|_p \| h \|_p
\]

for all \( f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

If \( p = p = 2N/(2N-\mu) \), then

\[
C(p, p, \mu, N) = C(N, \mu) = \pi^{(N-\mu)/2} \left( \frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\Gamma(N-\mu/2)} \right)^{N-1}. 
\]

Equality holds in (2.1) if and only if \( f \equiv (\text{constant})h \), where

\[
h(x) = A \left( \gamma^2 + |x-x_0|^2 \right)^{(2N-\mu)/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, 
\]

for some \( A \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N \).

Let us introduce \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) as the completion of \( C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with respect to the norm \( \| u \| = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx)^{1/2} \). Then, the best constant for the embedding of \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) into \( L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is \( S \), defined by

\[
S = \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} \, dx = 1 \right\}. 
\]

Obviously, \( S > 0 \), see [44]. By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, the integral

\[
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)|^p |u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy
\]

is well defined in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) if \( |u|^p \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) for \( p > 1 \) such that \( (2/p) + (\mu/N) = 2 \), that is \( p = 2N/(2N-\mu) \). Hence, in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) we must have

\[
p = \frac{2^*}{\mu} = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2} := 2_\mu^*. 
\]
The exponent $2^*_p$ is called the (upper) critical exponent in the sense of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. In particular,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^*_p} |u(y)|^{2^*_p}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy \leq C(N, \mu) \|u\|_{2^*_p}^{2^*_p}$$

(2.1)

for all $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, we set

$$S_{H,L} = \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^*_p} |u(y)|^{2^*_p}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy = 1 \right\}$$

(2.2)

and clearly $S_{H,L} > 0$. For more details on $S_{H,L}$, we refer to the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** (see [16, Lemma 1.2]) The constant $S_{H,L}$ defined in (2.2) is achieved if and only if

$$u(x) = C \left( \frac{l}{l^2 + |x-x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}$$

where $C > 0$ is a fixed constant, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are parameters. Moreover, $S = S_{H,L} C(N, \mu)^{N+\mu}.2$.

**Lemma 2.2.** (see [16, Lemma 2.3]) Let $N \geq 3$ and $0 < \mu < N$. Then

$$\|u\|_* := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^*_p} |u(y)|^{2^*_p}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_p}}$$

defines a norm on $L^{2^*_p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The energy functional associated to (1.1) is $J_{a,\beta} : D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J_{a,\beta}(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right)^2 - \frac{a}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u|^q \, dx$$

$$- \frac{\beta}{2 \cdot 2^*_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^*_p} |u(y)|^{2^*_p}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy$$

(2.3)

$$= \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^4 - \frac{a}{q} \|u\|_{k,q}^q - \frac{\beta}{2 \cdot 2^*_p} \|u\|_{2^*_p}^{2^*_p}.$$ 

The Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (2.1) gives

$$\|u\|_* \leq C(N, \mu)^{\frac{1}{2^*_p}} \|u\|_{2^*_p}.$$ 

for all $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consequently, the functional $J_{a,\beta}$ is of class $C^1(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$. Moreover,

$$\langle J'_{a,\beta}(u), v \rangle = a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u|^q \, uv \, dx$$

$$- \frac{\beta}{2 \cdot 2^*_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^*_p} |u(y)|^{2^*_p} u(y)v(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy$$

for all $u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This means that (weak) solutions of (1.1) are exactly the critical points of the functional $J_{a,\beta}$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In order to prove that the $(PS)_c$ condition holds, we use the second concentration compactness principle and the concentration compactness principle at infinity. Now, we recall the concentration compactness principle for studying the critical Choquard equation [17] due to Lions in [29].
Lemma 2.3. Let \((u_n)\) be a bounded sequence in \(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) converging weakly and a.e. to some \(u\) as \(n \to \infty\) and such that \(|u_n|^2 \, dx \rightharpoonup \zeta\) and \(\nabla u_n |^2 \, dx \rightharpoonup \omega\) in the sense of measures, where \(\zeta\) and \(\omega\) are bounded nonnegative Radon measures on \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Assume moreover that

\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \right) |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx \rightharpoonup v
\]

in the sense of measure, where \(v\) is a bounded nonnegative Radon measure on \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Then, there exists a (at most countable) set of distinct points \(\{z_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N\) and nonnegative numbers \(\{v_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\zeta_i\}_{i \in I}\) and \(\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}\) such that

\[
v = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \right) u(x) |^2 \, dx + \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{z_i} v_i, \quad \sum_{i \in I} v_i^\frac{1}{\mu} < \infty, \quad \omega \geq |\nabla u| |^2 \, dx + \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{z_i} \omega_i, \quad \zeta \geq |u|^2 \, dx + \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{z_i} \zeta_i,
\]

where \(\delta_x\) is the Dirac function of mass 1 concentrated at \(x \in \mathbb{R}^N\). Finally, for all \(i \in I\)

\[
S_{H,L} v_i \leq \omega_i, \quad v_i^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq C(N, \mu) \zeta_i.
\]

However, roughly speaking, the second concentration compactness principle, stated in Lemma 2.3, is only concerned with a possible concentration of a weakly convergent sequence at finite points and it does not provide any information about the loss of mass of a sequence at infinity. The next concentration-compactness principle at infinity was developed by Chabrowski [8], Bianchi, Chabrowski, Szulkin [6], Ben-Naoum, Troestler, Willem [5] and provides some quantitative information about the loss of mass of a sequence at infinity.

Lemma 2.4. Let \((u_n) \subset D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) be a sequence as in Lemma 3.1 and define

\[
\omega_\infty := \lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R} |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx, \quad \zeta_\infty = \lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R} |u_n|^2 \, dx.
\]

Then \(S_{\zeta_\infty} \leq \omega_\infty\) and

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx = \omega_\infty + \int \omega, \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 \, dx = \zeta_\infty + \int \zeta.
\]

The next result is the concentration compactness principle at infinity for the critical Choquard equation, as proved by Gao et al. in [17].

Lemma 2.5. Let \((u_n) \subset D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) be such that \(u_n \rightharpoonup u\) weakly in \(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) and \(u_n \to u\) a.e. in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Let \(\omega, \zeta,\) and \(\nu\) be the bounded nonnegative Radon measures, while let \(\omega_\infty\) and \(\zeta_\infty\) be the numbers given as in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. Assume that

\[
v_\infty = \lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| < R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \right) |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx.
\]

Then there exists a nonnegative number \(v_\infty\) satisfying the relations

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy dx = v_\infty + \int \nu, \quad C(N, \mu)^{\frac{1}{\mu - 1}} v_\infty^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq \zeta_\infty \left( \int \zeta + \zeta_\infty \right), \quad S_{H,L} v_\infty \leq \omega_\infty \left( \int \omega + \omega_\infty \right).
\]
3 The Palais–Smale condition

In this section, we use the second concentration compactness principle and concentration compactness principle at infinity to prove that the (PS)$_c$ condition holds, when $c < 0$ and $1 < q < 2$. We recall in passing that throughout the paper $a$ and $b$ in (1.1) are positive real parameters, without further mentioning.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $0 < \mu < 4$ and $1 < q < 2$. Then any (PS)$_c$ sequence $(u_n)_n$ of $J_{a,\beta}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that as $n \to \infty$

\[ J_{a,\beta}(u_n) = \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^4 - \frac{a}{q} \|u\|_{k,q}^q - \beta \frac{2}{2^*} \|u\|_{2^*}^{2^*} = c + o(1), \tag{3.1} \]

\[ \langle J'_{a,\beta}(u_n), v \rangle = a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v dx + b \int \|u_n\|^2 dx \int \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v dx \]

\[ - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u_n|^q u_n v dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|u_n(x)\|^2 |u_n(x)\|^2 - 2 u_n(x)y(y) dx dy \]

\[ = o(1) \|u_n\|. \tag{3.2} \]

Using the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we get for all $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

\[ \|u\|_{k,q}^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u|^q dx \leq S^{-\frac{q}{2}} \|k\|_r \|u\|^q. \tag{3.3} \]

Thus, (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) give as $n \to \infty$

\[ \alpha + o(1) \|u_n\| = J_{a,\beta}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2^*} \langle J'_{a,\beta}(u_n), u_n \rangle \]

\[ \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2^*} \right) a \|u_n\|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2^*} \right) b \|u_n\|^4 - \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2^*} \right) a \|u_n\|_{k,q}^q \]

\[ \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2^*} \right) a \|u_n\|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2^*} \right) b \|u_n\|^4 - \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2^*} \right) a S^{-\frac{q}{2}} \|k\|_r \|u_n\|^q. \]

This implies at once that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, since $0 < \mu < 4$ gives $2 \cdot 2^* > 4$ and since $1 < q < 2$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 3.2. Let $c < 0$, $0 < \mu < 4$ and $1 < q < 2$. The next two properties hold.

(i) For each $\beta > 0$ there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that $J_{a,\beta}$ satisfies the (PS)$_c$ condition for all $a \in (0, \Lambda)$.

(ii) For each $a > 0$ there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that $J_{a,\beta}$ satisfies the (PS)$_c$ condition for any $\beta \in (0, \Lambda)$.

Proof. Let $c < 0$ and let $(u_n)_n$ be a (PS)$_c$ sequence of $J_{a,\beta}$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Lemma 3.1 yields that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, there exists $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that up to a subsequence $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [1, 2^*)$, $u_n \to u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and there exists $h_R \in L^p(B_R(0))$ such that $|u_n| \leq h_R$ a.e. in $B_R(0)$ for all $n$ and all $R > 0$, with $p \in [1, 2^*)$. Furthermore, by Proposition 1.202 of [14] there exist bounded nonnegative Radon measures $\omega, \zeta$ and $\nu$ such that as $n \to \infty$

\[ |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \rightharpoonup \omega, \quad |u_n|^2 dx \rightharpoonup \zeta, \quad \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^p} dy \right) |u_n|^2 dx \rightharpoonup \nu \]

in the sense of measures. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, there exist a at most countable set $I$, a sequence of points $\{z_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and families of nonnegative numbers $\{\omega_i : i \in I\}$, $\{\zeta_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{\zeta_i : i \in I\}$ such that

\[ \nu = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^p} dy \right) |u|^2 dx + \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \delta_{z_i}, \]
\[
\omega \geq |\nabla u|^2 dx + \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \delta_{z_i}, \quad \zeta \geq |u|^2 dx + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \delta_{z_i},
\]

\[
S_{H, I, V_i}^+ \leq \omega_i \quad \text{and} \quad v_i \leq C(N, \mu) k_i^{\frac{2N}{N+mu}} \text{ for all } i \in I,
\]

where \( \delta_{z_i} \) is the Dirac function at \( z_i \).

Fix a test function \( \varphi \in C_0^\infty (\mathbb{R}^N) \), such that \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \), \( \varphi \equiv 1 \) in the closed ball \( B_1(0) \), while \( \varphi \equiv 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0) \) and \( \| \nabla \varphi \|_\infty \leq 2 \). Take \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and put \( \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(x) = \varphi(2(x - z_i)/\varepsilon), x \in \mathbb{R}^N \), for any fixed \( i \in I \), where \( \{z_i\}_{i \in I} \) is introduced above. Observe that as \( n \to \infty \)

\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u_n|^q \varphi_{\varepsilon, i} dx \right| \leq \int_{B_1(z_i)} |k(x)| |u_n|^q dx \leq \|k\|_{L^\infty(B_1(z_i))} \left( \int_{B_1(z_i)} |u_n|^q dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q'}}
\]

\[
\to \|k\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_{B_1(z_i)} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q'}}.
\]

Therefore, as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) we finally get

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u_n|^q \varphi_{\varepsilon, i} dx = 0.
\]

On the other hand, the Hölder inequality yields

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon, i} dx \right| \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \int_{B_2(z_i)} |u|^2 |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \int_{B_2(z_i)} |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}|^N dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \left( \int_{B_2(z_i)} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}}
\]

\[
\leq C_{\varphi} \left( \int_{B_2(z_i)} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \to 0
\]

as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), where \( C = \sup_n \|u_n\| \) and \( C_{\varphi} = C \left( \int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla \varphi|^N dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \). Therefore

\[
0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(u_n)(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i} u_n) dx \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \left( a + b \|u_n\|^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i} u_n) dx \right\}
\]

\[
- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u_n|^q \varphi_{\varepsilon, i} dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(y) dx dy \right\}
\]

\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \left( a + b \|u_n\|^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u_n|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon, i} + u_n \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon, i} \right) dx \right\}
\]

\[
- \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u_n|^q \varphi_{\varepsilon, i} dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(y) dx dy \right\}
\]
We claim that the first case can never occur. Otherwise, there exists $\omega_i > 0$. This gives the required contradiction. Consequently, $a\omega_i - \beta \nu_i$.

Therefore, $a\omega_i \leq \beta \nu_i$. Combining this with Lemma 2.3, we obtain that either

$$
\omega_i \geq \left( a\beta^{-1} S_{H,L}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2q-2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \omega_i = 0.
$$

(3.4)

We claim that the first case can never occur. Otherwise, there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that

$$
\omega_{i_0} \geq \left( a\beta^{-1} S_{H,L}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2q-2}}.
$$

Now, (3.3), the Hölder inequality, the Sobolev embedding and the Young inequality imply that

$$
a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)|u|^q \, dx \leq a\|k\|_{S^{-\frac{N}{2}}} \|u\|^q = \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \frac{a}{q} \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \|u\|^q \bigg\| a\|k\|_{S^{-\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \|u\|^q 
$$

(3.5)

$$
\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \frac{a}{q} \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \|u\|^2 + \frac{2 - q}{2} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \frac{a}{q} \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \|u\|^q \|k\|_{S^{-\frac{N}{2}} a^\frac{1}{2q}} a^\frac{1}{2q}.
$$

According to this fact, we have

$$
0 > c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( J_{a,\beta}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*}(J'_{a,\beta}(u_n), u_n) \right)
$$

$$
\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right\} a\|u_n\|^2 + \left( \frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) b\|u_n\|^q - \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) a \int_{\Omega} k(x)|u_n|^q \, dx
$$

(3.6)

$$
\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \frac{a}{2} \|w_i\|^2 - \left( \frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) a \int_{\Omega} k(x)|u|^q \, dx
$$

$$
\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \frac{a}{2} \|w_i\|^2 - \left( \frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) a \|k\|_{S^{-\frac{N}{2}}} a^\frac{1}{2q} a^\frac{1}{2q} \|k\|_{S^{-\frac{N}{2}}} a^\frac{1}{2q} a^\frac{1}{2q}
$$

(3.6)

Thus, for any $\beta > 0$, we choose $a_1 > 0$ so small that for every $a \in (0, a_1)$ the right-hand side of (3.6) is greater than zero, which is an obvious contradiction.

Similarly, if $a > 0$ is given, we take $\beta_1 > 0$ so small that for every $\beta \in (0, \beta_1)$ again the right-hand side of (3.6) is greater than zero. This gives the required contradiction. Consequently, $\omega_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$ in (3.4).

To obtain the possible concentration of mass at infinity, similarly, we define a cut off function $\psi_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\psi_R = 0$ in $B_R(0)$, $\psi_R = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R+1}(0)$, and $|\nabla \psi_R| \leq 2/R$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. On the one hand, the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and the Hölder inequalities give

$$
\nu_\infty = \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u_n(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} \right|^q \, dy \right) |u_n(x)|^\frac{2^*}{q} \psi_R(y) \, dx
$$
\[ \leq C(N, \mu) \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| u_n \right\|_{Y^1}^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2^* - r} \psi_R(y) dx \right)^{\frac{2^* - r}{r}} \]

\[ \leq \mathcal{C}_{\zeta} \frac{2^*}{r}. \]

On the other hand, the fact that \((J'_{a,\beta}(u_n), u_n \psi_R) \to 0\) implies that

\[ 0 = \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} (J'_{a,\beta}(u_n), \psi_R u_n) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ (a + b \| u_n \|^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (\psi_R u_n) \, dx \right. \]

\[ \left. - a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) |u_n|^q \psi_R \, dx - \beta \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^{2^*} |u_n(y)|^{2^*} \psi_R(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy \right\} \]

\[ \geq \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \psi_R \, dx - \beta \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^{2^*} |u_n(y)|^{2^*} \psi_R(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy \right\} \]

\[ \geq a \omega_\infty - \mathcal{C}_{\beta} \frac{2^*}{r}. \]

Therefore \(a \omega_\infty \leq \mathcal{C}_{\beta} \frac{2^*}{r}\). Combining this with the Lemma 2.4, we obtain that either

\[ \omega_\infty \geq \left( a S^\frac{2^*}{r} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \beta^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{r-2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \omega_\infty = 0. \]  

(3.7)

Therefore, as in (3.5) and (3.6), we have

\[ 0 > c \geq \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2_\mu^}\right) \left( a S \frac{2^*}{r} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \beta^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{r-2}} \]

\[ - \frac{p - q}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_\mu} \right)^{-1} \frac{q}{a S} \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_\mu} \right) \left\| k \right\|_{Y^1}^{\frac{2^*}{r}} \alpha^{\frac{2^*}{r}}. \]

(3.8)

Thus, for any \(\beta > 0\), we choose \(a_2 > 0\) so small that for every \(\alpha \in (0, a_2)\) the right-hand side of (3.8) is greater than zero, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, if \(a > 0\) is given, we select \(\beta_2 > 0\) so small that for every \(\beta \in (0, \beta_2)\) the right-hand side of (3.8) is greater than zero. This gives the required contradiction. Therefore, \(\omega_\infty = 0\) in (3.7).

From the arguments above, put

\[ \mathcal{A} = \min\{a_1, a_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}. \]

Then, for any \(c < 0\) and \(\beta > 0\) we have

\[ \omega_i = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in I \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\infty = 0 \]

for all \(\alpha \in (0, \mathcal{A})\).

Similarly, for any \(c < 0\) and \(\alpha > 0\) we again have

\[ \omega_i = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in I \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\infty = 0 \]

for any \(\beta \in (0, \mathcal{A})\).
Hence as \( n \to \infty \)
\[
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)|^{2^*} |u_n(y)|^{2^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy \to \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^*} |u(y)|^{2^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)(|u_n|^q - |u|^q) \, dx \leq \|k\|_{L^q} \|u_n|^q - |u|^q\|_{L^q} \to 0.
\]
Since \( (|u_n|) \) is bounded and \( J_{a,\beta}'(u) = 0 \), the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm and the Brézis-Lieb lemma yield as \( n \to \infty \)
\[
o(1) = \langle J_{a,\beta}'(u_n), u_n \rangle = a \|u_n\|^2 + b \|u_n\|^4 - a \|u_n\|^q - \beta \|u_n\|^{2^*},
\]
\[
\geq a \left( \|u_n\|^2 - \|u\|^2 \right) + a \|u\|^2 + b \|u\|^4 - a \|u\|^q - \beta \|u\|^{2^*} + O(1)
\]
\[
= a \|u_n - u\|^2 + O(1).
\]
Thus \( (u_n) \) strongly converges to \( u \) in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove the existence of infinitely many solutions of (1.1) which tend to zero and we assume, without further mentioning, that all the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold. To this aim, we apply a new version of the symmetric mountain pass lemma, due to Kajikiya in [21, Theorem 1].

Lemma 4.1. Let \( E \) be an infinite-dimensional Banach space and \( J \in C^1(E) \). Suppose that the following properties hold.

(J1) \( J \) is even, bounded from below in \( E \), \( J(0) = 0 \) and \( J \) satisfies the local Palais-Smale condition.

(J2) For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( A_n \in \Sigma_n \) such that \( \sup_{u \in A_n} J(u) < 0 \), where
\[
\Sigma_n := \{ A : A \subset E \text{ is closed symmetric, } 0 \not\in A, \gamma(A) \geq n \}
\]
and \( \gamma(A) \) is a genus of \( A \).

Then \( J \) admits a sequence of critical points \( (u_n)_n \) such that \( J(u_n) \leq 0 \), \( u_n \neq 0 \) for each \( n \) and \( (u_n)_n \) converges to zero as \( n \to \infty \).

To obtain infinitely many solutions of (1.1), we need some technical lemmas. Let \( J_{a,\beta} \) be the functional defined in (2.3). Then, by (3.3) and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality
\[
J_{a,\beta}(u) \geq \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 - a \|k\|_\infty S^{-\frac{q}{2}} \|u\|^q - \frac{S_{H,L}^{-1}}{2 \cdot 2^*} \beta \|u\|^{2^*}.
\]
Define
\[
h(t) = l_1 t^2 - a l_2 |\beta| - \beta l_3 t^{2^*}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.
\]
Then, for any given parameter \( \alpha > 0 \) there exists \( \beta > 0 \) so small that for every \( \beta \in (0, \beta) \) there exist \( t_0, t_1 \), with \( 0 < t_0 < t_1 \), such that \( h < 0 \) in \((0, t_0)\), \( h > 0 \) in \((t_0, t_1)\) and \( h(t) < 0 \) for all \( t > t_1 \).

Similarly, for any fixed number \( \beta > 0 \) we choose \( \overline{\alpha} > 0 \) so small that for every \( \alpha \in (0, \overline{\alpha}) \) there exist \( t'_0, t'_1 \), with \( 0 < t'_0 < t'_1 \), such that \( h < 0 \) in \((0, t'_0)\), \( h > 0 \) in \((t'_0, t'_1)\) and \( h(t) < 0 \) for all \( t > t'_1 \).

Clearly, \( h(t_0) = 0 = h(t_1) \) and \( h(t'_0) = 0 = h(t'_1) \). Following the same idea as in [19], we consider the truncated functional \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta} \) of \( J_{a,\beta} \), defined for all \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) by
\[
\tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) := \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{q} \|u\|^4 - \frac{a}{q} \psi(u) \|u\|^q - \frac{\beta}{2 \cdot 2^*} \psi(u) \|u\|^{2^*},
\]
where \( \psi(u) = \tau(|u|) \) and \( \tau : \mathbb{R}_+^\infty \to [0, 1] \) is a non-increasing \( C^\infty \) function such that \( \tau(t) = 1 \) if \( t \in [0, t_0] \) and \( \tau(t) = 0 \) if \( t \geq t_1 \). It is clear that \( J_{a,\beta} \in C^1(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \) and \( J_{a,\beta} \) is bounded from below in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

From the above arguments, recalling that all the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold, we have the next result.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta} \) be the functional introduced in (4.1) The following properties hold.

(i) If \( J_{a,\beta}(u) < 0 \), then \( |u| \leq t_0 \) and \( J_{a,\beta}(u) = \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) \).

(ii) Let \( c < 0 \). Then, for any \( \beta > 0 \) there exists \( \tilde{\alpha} > 0 \) such that \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta} \) satisfies the \( (PS)_c \) condition for all \( \alpha \in (0, \tilde{\alpha}) \).

(iii) Let \( c < 0 \). Then, for any \( \alpha > 0 \) there exists \( \tilde{\alpha} > 0 \) such that \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta} \) satisfies the \( (PS)_c \) condition for all \( \beta \in (0, \tilde{\alpha}) \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Clearly, \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(0) = 0 \), \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta} \) is of class \( C^1(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \), even, coercive and bounded from below in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Furthermore, \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta} \) satisfies the \( (PS)_c \) condition in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), with \( c < 0 \), by Lemma 4.2.

For any \( n \in N \), we take \( n \) disjoint open sets \( X_i \) such that \( \cup_{i=1}^n X_i \subset \Omega \), where \( \Omega \) is the nonempty open set introduced in the statement of Theorem 1.1. For each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), take \( u_i \in (D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_0^\infty(X_i)) \setminus \{0\} \), with \( |u_i| = 1 \). Put \( E_n = \text{span} \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\} \).

Thus, for any \( u \in E_n \), with \( |u| = \rho \), we have

\[
\tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) \leq \frac{a}{2} ||u||^2 + \frac{b}{q} ||u||^q - \frac{\alpha}{q} \int k(x)|u|^q \, dx - \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot \frac{\rho^2}{\mu} ||u||_{2^*}^{2^*} - \frac{\rho^2}{2^*} \cdot \frac{2^*}{2^*} - C_1 \rho^\gamma - C_2 \rho^{2^*}. 
\]

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are some positive constants, since all the norms are equivalent in the finite dimensional space \( E_n \). Hence, \( \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) < 0 \) provided that \( \rho > 0 \) is sufficiently small, being \( 1 < q < 2 \). Therefore,

\[
\{u \in E_n : |u| = \rho\} \subset \{u \in E_n : \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) < 0\}. 
\]

As proved in the book [9] by Chang

\[
\gamma \left( \{u \in E_n : |u| = \rho\} \right) = n. 
\]

Hence by the monotonicity of the genus \( \gamma \), see Krasnoselskii [23], we get

\[
\gamma \left( \{u \in E_n : \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) < 0\} \right) \geq n. 
\]

Choosing \( A_n = \{u \in E_n : \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) < 0\} \), we have \( A_n \subset \sum_{n=1}^\infty \) and \( \sup_{u \in A_n} \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u) < 0 \). Therefore, all the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 are satisfied, since \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a real infinite Hilbert space. Thus, there exists a sequence \( (u_n)_n \) in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) such that

\[
\tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u_n) \leq 0, \quad u_n \neq 0, \quad \tilde{J}_{a,\beta}(u_n) = 0 \quad \text{for each} \quad n \quad \text{and} \quad ||u_n|| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. 
\]

Combining with Lemma 4.2 and taking \( n \) so large that \( ||u_n|| \leq \rho \) is small enough, then these infinitely many nontrivial functions \( u_n \) are solutions of (1.1). \( \Box \)

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we study (1.1), when \( q = 2, 0 < \mu < 4 \) and \( \beta = 1 \), and shall apply the mountain pass theorem for even functionals, in order to obtain a multiplicity result for (1.1). Actually, here (1.1) reduces to

\[
- (a + b ||u||^2) \Delta u = ak(x)u + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|^{2^*_x}}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dy \right) |u|^{2^*_x - 2}u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N. 
\]

Clearly, the associated functional \( J_a \) to (5.1) is

\[
J_a(u) = \frac{a}{2} ||u||^2 + \frac{b}{4} ||u||^4 - \frac{a}{2} ||x||^2_{2^*} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2^*}{2^*} ||u||^{2^*}_{2^*}. 
\]
Lemma 5.1. Let \( a \in (0, \ aS\|k\|_r^{-1}) \) and let \((u_n)_n\) be a \((PS)_c\) sequence for \(J_a\) in \(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\), with
\[
c < c^* , \quad c^* := \frac{1}{4} (aS_{H,L})^{\frac{2N}{N-M}}.
\]
Then \((u_n)_n\) contains a strongly convergent subsequence.

Proof. The Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem imply that
\[
\|u\|^2_{L,2} \leq S^{-1} \|k\|_r \|u\|^2
\]
for each \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

Fix a \((PS)_c\) sequence \((u_n)_n\) for \(J_a\) in \(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) at level \(c < c^*\). By the facts that \(a \in (0, \ aS\|k\|_r^{-1})\), \(0 < \mu < 4\) and by (5.2), proceeding as in proof of Lemma 3.2, in place of (3.6) we get
\[
c^* > c = \lim_{n \to \infty} J_a(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle J'_a(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq a \frac{w_n}{4} + \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \left( a - aS^{-1}\|k\|_r \right) \|u\|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2 - 2\mu} \right) \|\nu_0\|
\]
\[
\geq \frac{1}{4} aw_n \geq \frac{1}{4} (aS_{H,L})^{\frac{2N}{N-M}} = c^*,
\]
which is impossible. Therefore, the compactness of the Palais-Smale sequence follows as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. \[\square\]

Now, let us recall a version of the mountain pass theorem for even functionals, which is the main tool for proving Theorem 1.2. For its proof readers are referred to [42].

Proposition 5.1. Let \(X\) be an infinite dimensional Banach space, with \(X = V \oplus Y\), where \(V\) is finite dimensional. Let \(J \in C^1(X)\) be an even functional such that \(J(0) = 0\) and satisfying the following conditions.

(I1) There exist positive constants \(q,p > 0\) such that \(J(u) \geq q\) for all \(u \in \partial B_p(0) \cap Y\).

(I2) There exists \(c^* > 0\) such that \(J\) satisfies the \((PS)_c\) condition for all \(c \in (0,\ c^*)\).

(I3) For each finite dimensional subspace \(\tilde{X} \subset X\) there exists \(R = R(\tilde{X})\) such that \(J(u) \leq 0\) for all \(u \in \tilde{X} \setminus B_R(0)\).

Suppose that \(V\) is \(k\) dimensional and \(V = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_k\}\). For \(n \geq k\), inductively choose \(e_{n+1} \notin X_n := \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}\). Let \(R_n = R(X_n)\) and \(D_n = B_{R_n}(0) \cap X_n\). Define
\[
G_n := \{ h \in C(D_n, X) : h \text{ is odd and } h(u) = u \text{ for all } u \in \partial B_{R_n}(0) \cap X_n \},
\]
\[
\Gamma_j := \{ h(D_n \setminus E) : h \in G_n, \ n \geq j, \ E \in \Sigma_{n-j} \text{ and } \gamma(E) \leq n - j \},
\]
\[
\Sigma_n := \{ E : E \subset X \text{ is closed symmetric, } 0 \notin E, \ \gamma(E) \geq n \}
\]
For each \(j \in \mathbb{N}\), let
\[
c_j := \inf_{K \in \Gamma_j} \max_{u \in K} J(u).
\]
Then, \(0 < q \leq c_j \leq c_{j+1}\) for \(j > k\), and if \(j > k\) and \(c_j < c^*\), then \(c_j\) is a critical value of \(J\). Moreover, if \(c_j = c_{j+1} = \cdots = c_{j+1} = c < c^*\) for \(j > k\), then \(\gamma(K_c) \geq 1 + 1\), where
\[
K_c := \{ u \in E : J(u) = c \text{ and } J'(u) = 0 \}.
\]
From now on we assume that all the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold, without further mentioning.

Lemma 5.2. For any \(a \in (0, \ aS\|k\|_r^{-1})\), then the functional \(J_a\) satisfies conditions (I1) – (I3).

Proof. First, the fact that \(a \in (0, \ aS\|k\|_r^{-1})\), the definitions of \(S\) and \(S_{H,L}\) yield
\[
J_a(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} (a - aS^{-1}\|k\|_r) \|u\|^2 - \frac{S_{H,L}^{1/2}}{2 \cdot 2\mu} \|u\|^{2-2\mu}.
\]
Since \( 2 < 2 \cdot 2^\alpha \), there exists \( \rho > 0 \) such that \( J_\alpha(u) \geq \rho \) for all \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), with \( \|u\| = \rho \), where \( \rho \) is chosen sufficiently small. Thus, \( J_\alpha \) satisfies (I_1).

Since \( a \in (0, aS\|k\|^{-1}) \), a direct consequence of Lemma 5.1 implies that \( J_\alpha \) satisfies (I_2), with

\[
c^* = (aS_{H,L})^{\frac{2N}{N+4}} / 4.
\]

Let \( E \) be a finite dimensional subspace of \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Thus, for any \( u \in E \), with \( \|u\| \) large enough, by Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
J_\alpha(u) \leq \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^4 + \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 \|u\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^4 + \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 \|u\|^2,
\]

for some positive constants \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \), since all the norms on finite dimensional space are equivalent. Since \( 4 < 2 \cdot 2^\alpha \), we conclude that \( J_\alpha(u) < 0 \) for all \( u \in E \), with \( \|u\| \geq R \), where \( R \) is chosen large enough. Consequently, \( J_\alpha \) verifies (I_3), as stated.

**Lemma 5.3.** There exists a sequence \( (M_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \), independent of \( a \), such that \( M_n \leq M_{n+1} \) for all \( n \) and for any \( a > 0 \)

\[
c^n_a := \inf_{K \in \Gamma_n} \max_{u \in K} J_\alpha(u) < M_n,
\]

where \( \Gamma_n \) is defined in (5.3).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that presented in [46, Lemma 5]. From the definition of \( c^n_a \) and the fact that \( k \geq 0 \), \( k \neq 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \), we deduce that

\[
c^n_a = \inf_{K \in \Gamma_n} \max_{u \in K} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^4 - \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 \|u\|^2 \right\}
\]

\[
< \inf_{K \in \Gamma_n} \max_{u \in K} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 \|u\|^2 \right\} := M_n.
\]

Then, \( M_n < \infty \) and \( M_n \leq M_{n+1} \) by the definition of \( \Gamma_n \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** According to Lemma 5.3, let us choose \( a^* > 0 \) so large that for any \( a > a^* \), we have

\[
\sup_n M_n < \frac{1}{4} (aS_{H,L})^{\frac{2N}{N+4}} = c^*.
\]

Therefore

\[
c^n_a < M_n < \frac{1}{4} (aS_{H,L})^{\frac{2N}{N+4}}.
\]

Thus, for all \( a \in (0, aS \|k\|^{-1}) \) and \( a > a^* \), we get

\[
0 < c^a_1 \leq c^a_2 \leq \cdots \leq c^n_a < M_n < c^*.
\]

An application of Proposition 5.1 guarantees that the levels \( c^n_1 \leq c^n_2 \leq \cdots \leq c^n_n \) are critical values of \( J_\alpha \). Thus, if \( c^n_1 < c^n_2 < \cdots < c^n_n \), then the functional \( J_\alpha \) has at least \( n \) critical points. Now, if \( c^a_j = c^a_{j+1} \) for some \( j = 1, 2, \cdots, k - 1 \), again Proposition 5.1 implies that \( K_{c^a_j} \) is an infinite set, see [42, Chapter 7], and so in this case, (5.1) has infinitely many solutions. Consequently, (5.1) has at least \( n \) pairs of solutions in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), as stated.

**6 Proof of Theorem 1.3**

In this section we require that all the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied. Thus, (1.1) becomes

\[
-(a + b\|u\|^2)\Delta u = ak(x)|u|^{\alpha-2}u + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|^{2^*_\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} \, dy \right) |u|^{2^*_\alpha-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\]
This case was investigated in [45, Theorem 1.1] in the fractional Laplacian context. For the convenience of the reader, we present a concise treatment. The aim of this section is to obtain two nontrivial solutions of (6.1). The first is a least energy solution and the latter is a mountain pass solution. To begin with, let us introduce the functional $\mathcal{J}_a$ associated to (6.1)

$$\mathcal{J}_a(u) = \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{q} \|u\|^q - \frac{a}{q} \|u\|_q^{q} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^*_\mu \|u\|^{2^*_\mu}$$

for all $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $2 < q < 2^*$, $4 \leq \mu < N$ and $k \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $r = 2^*/(2^* - q)$, the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the Sobolev inequality, show that $\mathcal{J}_a$ is well-defined and of class $C^1(D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$. Next, we give a compactness result, which is crucial to prove Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 6.1.** Assume that $2 < q < 2^*$. If either $\mu = 4$, $a > 0$ and $b > 4S^2_{H,L}$ or $\mu > 4$, $a > 0$ and $b > b^*$, with $b^*$ given in (1.3). Then, the functional $\mathcal{J}_a$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $a > 0$, provided that $c < 0$.

**Proof.** Let $a > 0$ and let $(u_n)_n$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence of $\mathcal{J}_a$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ at any level $c < 0$.

By Lemma 2.1 of [7], in the subcase $s = 1$ and $p = 2$, the embedding $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N, k)$ is compact. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)\|u_n\|^q dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)\|u\|^q dx.$$

Moreover, we easily deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) \left[|u_n|^{q-2} u_n - |u|^{q-2} u\right] (u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Put $w_n = u_n - u$ for all $n$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|w_n\| = \ell$. Theorem 2.3 of [40] in the subcase $s = 1$ and $p = 2$, see also [16], yields

$$\|w_n\|^{2^*_\mu} = \|u_n\|^{2^*_\mu} - \|u\|^{2^*_\mu} + o(1).$$

Since $(u_n)_n$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence, by the boundedness of $(u_n)_n$, we have thanks to (6.2)

$$o(1) = \langle \mathcal{J}_a'(u_n) - \mathcal{J}_a'(u), u_n - u \rangle \quad (6.3)$$

$$= \left( a + b \|u_n\|^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx - \left( a + b \|u\|^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx$$

$$- \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ u_n(y)^{2^*_\mu} |u_n(x)|^{2^*_\mu} - u(y)^{2^*_\mu} |u(x)|^{2^*_\mu} \right] \right] (u_n - u) dx$$

$$= \left( a + b \|u_n\|^2 \right) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx \right] - \|u_n - u\|^{2^*_\mu} + o(1).$$

In (6.3) we have used the weak convergence of $(u_n)_n$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Now, (6.3) yields as $n \to \infty$

$$\left( a + b \|u_n\|^2 \right) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) dx \right] - \|u_n - u\|^{2^*_\mu} = o(1).$$
Thus, as $n \to \infty$

\[
(a + b\|u_n - u\|^2 + b\|u\|^2) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla (u_n - u) \, dx \right] - \|u_n - u\|_{2^*,r}^2 = o(1).
\]

Let us now recall the following well-known inequality, see [22]: for any $p \geq 2$ there holds

\[
\left( |s|^{p-2} s - |t|^{p-2} t \right) (s - t) \geq \frac{2}{2p} |s - t|^p
\]

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. From the inequality (6.4) and the definition of $S_{H,L}$, we get as $n \to \infty$

\[
(a + b\|u_n - u\|^2 + b\|u\|^2) \frac{1}{4} \|u_n - u\|^2 \leq S_{H,L}^{-1}\|u_n - u\|_{2^*,r}^2 + o(1).
\]

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

\[
a\ell^2 + b\ell^4 + \ell^2\|u\|^2 \leq 4S_{H,L}^{-1}\ell^2,2^r
\]

which implies that

\[
a\ell^2 + b\ell^4 \leq 4S_{H,L}^{-1}\ell^2,2^r.
\]

When $\mu = 4$ and $4S_{H,L}^{-1} < b$, it follows from (6.5) that $\ell = 0$, since $2 \cdot 2^r = 4$. Thus, $u_n \to u$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. When $\mu > 4$, it follows from (6.5) and the Young inequality that

\[
a\ell^2 + b\ell^4 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \ell^{2-2^r} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-2^r}} \left[ \left(\frac{a(4 - 2 \cdot 2^r)}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-2^r}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \ell^{2-2^r} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-2^r}} \left( 4S_{H,L}^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-2^r}} \left( \ell^{2-2^r} \right)^{\frac{4}{2-2^r}}
\]

\[
\leq a\ell^2 + (2\mu - 1) \frac{1}{2} \left( \ell^{2-2^r} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-2^r}} \left( 4S_{H,L}^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-2^r}} \ell^4
\]

\[
= a\ell^2 + b\ell^4,
\]

where $b^*$ is given in (1.3). Therefore, $(b - b^*)\ell^4 \leq 0$. Hence, assumption (1.3) implies that $\ell = 0$. In conclusion, $u_n \to u$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in both cases, as required.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** First, we show that (6.1) has a nontrivial least energy solution. Clearly,

\[
m := \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} J_\alpha(u)
\]

is well-defined. Now we claim that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $m < 0$ for all $\alpha > \alpha^*$. Indeed, fix a function $v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $\|v\| = 1$ and $\|v\|_{k,q} > 0$, which is possible since $k > 0$ and $k \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then,

\[
J_\alpha(v) = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{4} - \frac{a}{q} \|v\|_{k,q}^q - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{2\mu} \|v\|_{2^*,r}^{2^r} \leq \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{4} - \frac{a}{q} \|v\|_{k,q}^q < 0,
\]

for all $\alpha > \alpha^*$, with $\alpha^* = q \left( \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{4} \right) / \|v\|_{k,q}^q$. This proves the claim.

Hence, by Lemma 6.1 and [31, Theorem 4.4], there exists $u_1 \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $J_\alpha(u_1) = m$ and $J_\alpha'(u_1) = 0$. Therefore, $u_1$ is a nontrivial least energy solution of (6.1), with $J_\alpha(u_1) < 0$.

Now we prove that (6.1) has a mountain pass solution. We deduce from (2.2) that

\[
J_\alpha(u) \geq \left[ \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^2 - a\|k\|_{2^*,r}^{2^r} \|u\|^{2^r} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{2\mu} \|u\|_{2^*,r}^{2^r} \right] \|u\|^2
\]
for all \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Since \( 2 < q < 2^* \), there exists \( \rho > 0 \) small enough and \( \varrho > 0 \) such that \( J_\alpha(u) > \varrho \) for all \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), with \( \|u\| = \rho \). Define
\[
c = \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{Z}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\alpha(\xi(t)),
\]
where \( \mathcal{Z} = \{ \xi \in C([0,1], D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \xi(0) = 0, \xi(1) = u_1 \} \). Then \( c > 0 \). Lemma 6.1 yields that \( J_\alpha \) satisfies the assumptions of the mountain pass lemma, see [1, Theorem 2.1]. Hence, there exists \( \xi \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) such that \( J_\alpha(\xi) = c > 0 \) and \( J_\alpha'(\xi) = 0 \). Thus, \( \xi \) is a nontrivial solution of (6.1), independent of \( u_1 \).

\[
\text{Proof.} \quad \text{Hence we choose } u_2 \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ such that } J_\alpha(u_2) = c > 0 \text{ and } J_\alpha'(u_2) = 0. \text{ Thus, } u_2 \text{ is a nontrivial solution of (6.1), independent of } u_1. \]

7 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we assume, without further mentioning, that all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 hold in order to prove multiplicity results for Kirchhoff-type equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical nonlinearity in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Being \( \alpha = \beta \), then (1.1) becomes
\[
-(a + b\|u\|^2)\Delta u = \beta k(x)|u|^{q-2}u + \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u(y)|^{6-\mu}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy |u|^{4-\mu}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{7.1}
\]
where \( \beta > 1, 0 < \mu < 2, 4 < q < 2^* := 6 - \mu \) and \( 0 < k_0 \leq k(x) \leq k^* \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

The associated functional \( J_\beta \) to (7.1) is
\[
J_\beta(u) = \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|^4 - \frac{\beta}{2} \|u\|_{k,q}^q - \frac{\beta}{2(6-\mu)} \|u\|_{2(6-\mu)}^{2(6-\mu)},
\]
for all \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Let us first show that \( J_\beta \) has a mountain pass geometry in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

Lemma 7.1. Let \( \beta \in (0, aS\|k\|^{-1}) \). Then \( J_\beta \) satisfies the following conditions.
(i) There exists \( \kappa_\beta, \rho_\beta > 0 \) such that \( J_\beta(u) \geq \kappa_\beta \) for all \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), with \( \|u\| = \rho_\beta \).
(ii) There exists \( e \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) such that \( J_\beta(e) < 0 \) and \( \|e\| > \rho_\beta \).

Proof. (i) The fact that \( \beta \in (0, aS\|k\|^{-1}) \), the definitions of \( S \) and \( S_{H,L} \) give
\[
J_\beta(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} (a - \beta S^{-1}\|k\|_L) \|u\|^2 - \frac{S_{H,L}^{-1}}{2(6-\mu)} \|u\|_{2(6-\mu)}^{2(6-\mu)}.
\]
Since \( 4 < 2(6-\mu) \), we can choose \( \kappa_\beta, \rho_\beta > 0 \) such that \( J_\beta(u) \geq \kappa_\beta \) for all \( u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), with \( \|u\| = \rho_\beta \).

Let \( \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \), with \( \|\varphi\| > 0 \), then as \( t \to \infty \)
\[
J_\beta(t\varphi) \leq \frac{a}{2} t^2 \|\varphi\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} t^4 \|\varphi\|^4 - \frac{1}{2(6-\mu)} t^{2(6-\mu)} \|\varphi\|_{2(6-\mu)}^{2(6-\mu)} \to -\infty.
\]
Hence we choose \( t_0 > 0 \) so large that \( e := t_0 \varphi \) verifies (ii). \( \Box \)

First, we recall that
\[
\inf \{ \|\phi\| : \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \|\phi\|_q = 1 \} = 0.
\]
For any \( \delta \in (0,1) \) there exists \( \phi_\delta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \), with \( \|\phi_\delta\|_q = 1 \), supp \( \phi_\delta \subset B_{\gamma}(0) \) and \( \|\phi_\delta\|^2 \leq \delta \). Set
\[
e_\beta(x) = \phi_\delta(\beta^{-1/\gamma} x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{7.2}
\]
Then we have, for \( t \geq 0 \),
\[
J_\beta(te_\beta) \leq \frac{a}{2} t^2 \|e_\beta\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} t^4 \|e_\beta\|^4 - \frac{k^*}{q} \beta t^q \|e_\beta\|_q^q.
\]
Therefore, the compactness of the Palais-Smale sequence holds, since

\[
- \frac{a}{2} \epsilon^2 \beta^{- \frac{2}{q}} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^2 + \frac{1}{q} \beta^{- \frac{q}{4}} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^4 - \frac{k_{\beta}}{q} \beta^{- \frac{q}{4}} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^q
= \beta^{- \frac{1}{q}} \left[ \frac{a}{2} \epsilon^2 \| \phi_{\delta} \|^2 + \frac{1}{q} \epsilon^2 \beta^{- \frac{q}{4}} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^4 - \frac{k_{\beta}}{q} \epsilon^2 \beta^{- \frac{q}{4}} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^q \right]
\leq \beta^{- \frac{1}{q}} \left[ \frac{a}{2} \epsilon^2 \| \phi_{\delta} \|^2 + \frac{1}{q} \epsilon^2 \| \phi_{\delta} \|^4 - \frac{k_{\beta}}{q} \epsilon^2 \| \phi_{\delta} \|^q \right]
= \beta^{- \frac{1}{q}} \mathcal{P}(t \phi_{\delta}),
\]

since \( 0 < \mu < 2 \) implies that \((3 - \mu)/(5 - \mu) > 0\), where

\[
\mathcal{P}(\theta) := \frac{a}{2} \theta^2 + \frac{1}{q} \theta^4 - \frac{k_{\beta}}{q} \theta^q.
\]

Since \( q > 4 \), there exists a finite positive number \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that

\[
\max_{t \geq t_0} \mathcal{P}(t \phi_{\delta}) = \frac{a t_0^2}{2} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^2 + \frac{t_0^2 b}{4} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^4 - \frac{k_{\beta} t_0^2}{q} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^q
\leq \frac{a t_0^2}{2} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^2 + \frac{t_0^2 b}{4} \| \phi_{\delta} \|^4
\leq \frac{a t_0^2}{2} \ell + \frac{t_0^2 b}{4} \ell^2
\leq T^* \ell, \quad \text{where } T^* := \frac{a t_0^2}{2} + \frac{t_0^2 b}{4}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\max_{t \geq t_0} \mathcal{P}(t \phi_{\delta}) \leq \beta^{- \frac{1}{q}} T^* \ell. \quad \text{(7.4)}
\]

Lemma 7.2. Let \( 4 < q < 6 \) and \((u_n)_n\) be a (PS)\(c\) sequence for \( J_\beta \), with \( c < L \beta^{- \frac{1}{q}} \), where

\[
L := \min \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(a S_{H,L} \right)^{\frac{q^*}{q}}, \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(a S_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{C} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}.
\]

(7.5)

Then \((u_n)_n\) contains a strongly convergent subsequence in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

Proof. Let \((u_n)_n\) be a (PS)\(c\) sequence for \( J_\beta \), as in the statement. Then, it is easy to see that \((u_n)_n\) is bounded in \( D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Next, using the same arguments up to (3.4) as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have

\[
C = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( J_\beta(u_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle J_\beta'(u_n), u_n \rangle \right)
\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) a \| u_n \|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q} \right) b \| u_n \|^4 \right)
\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(a S_{H,L} \right)^{\frac{q^*}{q}} \beta^{- \frac{1}{q}}.
\]

(7.6)

Similarly, it follows from (3.7) that

\[
C \geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(a S_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{C} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \beta^{- \frac{1}{q}}.
\]

(7.7)

Therefore, the compactness of the Palais-Smale sequence holds, since \( \beta > 1 \) and \( 0 < \mu < 2 \).

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (i). Fix \( \delta \in (0, 1) \). Then, Lemma 7.1 implies that \( J_\beta \) possesses a (PS)\(c_\beta\) sequence, with \( c_\beta \geq \kappa_\beta > 0 \), where

\[
c_\beta := \inf_{\gamma \in I_\beta} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\beta(\gamma(t)),
\]

where

\[
I_\beta := \left\{ \gamma \in C([0,1], D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \quad \gamma(1) = e_\beta \right\}.
\]
Thus, (7.4) gives that
\[ 0 < \kappa_\beta \leq c_\beta \leq T^* \delta^\frac{1}{m}. \]
Furthermore, Lemma 7.2 guarantees that $J_\beta$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition. Hence, there is $u_\beta$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $J'_\beta(u_\beta) = 0$ and $J_\beta(u_\beta) = c_\beta$. Moreover, it is well-known that such a mountain pass solution is a least energy solution of (7.1).

Because $u_\beta$ is a critical point of $J_\beta$, for any $\iota \in [q, 6 - \mu],
\[ T^* \delta^\beta^\frac{1}{m} \geq J_\beta(u_\beta) = J_\beta(u_\beta) - \frac{1}{\iota} J'_\beta(u_\beta) u_\beta \]
\[ = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\iota} \right) a \| u_\beta \|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\iota} \right) b \| u_\beta \|^4 + \left( \frac{1}{\iota} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(x) \| u_\beta \|^q dx \]
\[ + \left( \frac{1}{\iota} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*} \right) \beta \| u_\beta \|^{2(6-\mu)}. \]

Taking $\iota = q$, we obtain the estimates $\| u_\beta \| \to 0$ as $\beta \to \infty$. This completes the proof of part (i).

For any $m^* \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose $m^*$ functions $\phi^*_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{supp} \phi^*_i \cap \text{supp} \phi^*_j = 0$, for $i \neq k$, $\| \phi^*_i \|_q = 1$ and $\| \phi^*_i \|^2 < \delta$. Let $r^*_\delta > 0$ be such that $\sup \phi^*_i \subset B^*_\delta(0)$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m^*$. Set
\[ e^*_i(x) = \phi^*_i(\beta^\frac{1}{m} x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \; i = 1, 2, \cdots, m^* \]
and $H^m_{m^*} = \text{span}\{ e^*_1, e^*_2, \cdots, e^*_m \}$. Arguing as in (7.4) and (7.6), we obtain for each $u = \sum_{i=1}^{m^*} c_i e^*_i \in H^m_{m^*}$ that
\[ J_\beta(c_i e^*_i) = \beta^\frac{1}{m} \Psi(|c_i| e^*_i). \]

Proceeding as in case (i) above, we get that
\[ \max_{u \in H^m_{m^*}} J_\beta(u) \leq m^* T^* \delta^\beta^\frac{1}{m}. \]

**Lemma 7.3.** For any $m^* \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 0$ there exists an $m^*$-dimensional subspace $F_{m^*}$ such that
\[ \max_{u \in F_{m^*}} J_\beta(u) \leq L \beta^\frac{1}{m}, \]
where $L > 0$ is given in (7.5).

**Proof.** Choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ so small that $m^* T^* \delta \leq L$. Taking $F_{m^*} = H^m_{m^*}$, then from (7.9) we know that the conclusion of Lemma 7.3 holds.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii).** Denote the set of all symmetric (in the sense that $-Z = Z$) and closed subsets of $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by $\Sigma$. For each $Z \in \Sigma$. Let $\text{gen}(Z)$ be the Krasnoselkii genus and
\[ j(Z) := \min_{\varsigma \in \Gamma^*} \text{gen}(\varsigma(Z) \cap \partial B^*_\rho), \]
where $\Gamma^*$ is the set of all odd homeomorphisms $\varsigma \in C(E, E)$ and $\rho^*$ is the number given in Lemma 7.1. Then $j$ is a version of Benci’s pseudoindex (see [4]). Let
\[ c^*_i := \inf_{j(Z) \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{u \in Z} J_\beta(u), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m^*. \]

Since $J_\beta(u) \geq \kappa_\beta$ for all $u \in \partial B^*_\rho$ and since $j(F_{m^*}) = \dim F_{m^*} = m^*$,
\[ \kappa_\beta \leq c^*_1 \leq \cdots \leq c^*_m \leq \sup_{u \in H^m_{m^*}} J_\beta(u) \leq L \beta^\frac{1}{m}. \]

It follows from Lemma 7.2 that $J_\beta$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition at all levels $c < L \beta^\frac{1}{m}$. By the usual critical point theory, all $c^*_i$ are critical levels and $J_\beta$ has at least $m^*$ pairs of nontrivial critical points which tend to zero as $\beta \to \infty$. \[ \Box \]
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