The use of C-reactive protein in case of preterm labor with intact membranes
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ABSTRACT

Background: Preterm labor, defined as delivery between 22 and 37WA, is the leading cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality. Through this study, we wanted to know if the high CRP rate in preterm labor with intact membranes could be a risk factor for poor prognosis on pregnancy and the newborn.

Methods: We conducted a prospective cohort study from November 1, 2018 to April 31, 2019 at Befelatanana University Hospital of Gynecology-Obstetrics on singleton pregnancies with preterm labor and intact membranes. The population is divided in two groups according to the rate of CRP and we have chosen as a pathological threshold a CRP ≥7 mg/l.

Results: We included 71 cases of MAP over 1735 births, or 4.09%, of which 47% had a CRP ≥7 mg/l (group I) and 51% a CRP <7 mg/l (group II). There was a significant difference in the persistence of uterine contraction after 48h of tocolysis in group I and II [p=0.0013, RR 5.20 (1.63-16.55)] as well as within of delivery (7.68±5.33 versus 21.36±11.30 days, p <0.05). There was no difference in the occurrence of neonatal asphyxia and neonatal infection between the 2 groups. However, the risk of prematurity is high in the group with a high CRP level [RR 1.34 (1.06-1.70)] (p=0.02).

Conclusions: In the case of preterm labor with intact membrane, CRP is a determinant of delivery delay, failure of tocolysis, and occurrence of preterm delivery.
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INTRODUCTION

The premature labor is defined as regular contractions of the uterus resulting in changes in the cervix between 22 weeks of amenorrhea WA and 36 weeks+6 days. Premature delivery complicates in 50% of cases of preterm labor with intact membranes and in 30% a premature rupture of the membranes.1 It is the leading cause of hospitalization during pregnancy.2 It is also the first etiology of maternofetal medical transfer. During preterm labor, all general or local biomarkers of infection are related to the risk of intrauterine infection, premature...
rupture of membranes, preterm birth and neonatal morbidity and/or maternal morbidity. C-reactive protein is one of these biomarkers that is synthesized by the maternal liver in response to a localized or generalized bacterial infection of the body. There is no threshold defining exactly the abnormality during pregnancy, and thresholds between 7 and 20 mg/l are retained. Studies on the association of an increase in CRP rate and the prognosis of preterm labor are old with a low level of evidence. According to a recent study, CRP prescribing for the threat of preterm birth is not systematic.

The aim of this study is to determine whether elevated CRP levels when there is preterm labour with intact membranes may be a risk factor for poor prognosis in pregnancy and newborns.

**METHODS**

A prospective cohort study was conducted at a University Hospital Center of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Befelatanana, Madagascar, from October 1, 2018 to April 31, 2019, to determine whether high CRP is a risk factor for poor prognosis for pregnancy and the newborn in case of hospitalization for preterm labor with intact membranes. The population was divided into two groups according to the CRP level and we used a pathological threshold of CRP ≥7 mg/l. The data were collected anonymously from obstetrical records after collecting the patient’s consent for the use of their medical information.

**Inclusion criteria**

- We include all patients hospitalized for preterm labor (clinical examination and ultrasound cervix modification (cervical length <25 mm) with regular and painful uterine contractions) with intact membranes, singleton pregnancies, between 22 to 36 weeks and 6 days, having performed a plasmatic levels CRP assay.
- The population is divided according to the rate of CRP and we have chosen as a pathological threshold a CRP ≥7 mg/l. The data were collected anonymously from obstetrical records after collecting the patient’s consent for the use of their medical information.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Exclusion criteria were the term <22 WA or ≥ 37 WA, premature rupture of membranes, multiple pregnancies, complicated pregnancies of a maternal or fetal pathology requiring therapy other than preterm labor, medical indications of induced prematurity and cases of fetal death in utero.

**Parameters**

The variables studied were: the plasmatic rate of CRP, the delay in delivery, the persistence of uterine contraction after 48 hours of tocolysis, the occurrence of premature rupture of membranes after 48 hours of hospitalization, gestational age at birth, delivery route. Regarding the fetal prognosis, the variables studied were: Apgar index at birth, birth weight, the occurrence of neonatal infection and chorioamnionitis.

**The maternal characteristics**

Maternal characteristics were collected from the obstetrical file: age, gestity, parity, temperature, body mass index (BMI).

**Conduct of the study**

We designed the data collection sheets containing the parameters to be studied as well as the elements of inclusion or exclusion.

The clinical examination of the patient was carried out at the hospital admission; the CRP plasmatic level assay was carried out systematically. Obstetric ultrasound with endovaginal ultrasound for measurement of cervical length was performed.

In a second step, and prospectively, the pregnancy outcomes were noted in the survey sheet using a phone call, to identify the occurrence or not of uterine contraction, premature rupture of membranes after hospitalization, gestational age at delivery, birth weight. The appearance or not of neonatal infection the apgar index, the birth weight have been verified in the neonatology department.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were compared using Khi-square or Fisher’s exact tests where appropriate. Significance for all analyses was defined as a p-value < 0.05. Continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation. Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test was used for comparison of parametric variables. We used the R software for the statistical analysis of the results.

**RESULTS**

**Epidemiological parameters**

During our study, among 1735 hospitalized at the pathological pregnancy department, we collected 71 cases of MAP or 4.09% of which 47% had a CRP ≥7 mg/l with an average rate of 13.89% (group I) and 51% a CRP < 7mg/l with an average rate of 4.63% (group II). The two groups are identical in their socio-demographic and clinical characteristics such as age, parity, gestational age, number of antenatal care (ANC) and BMI. The mean age was 24.44±5.70 years with extremes of 16 and 40 years, mean parity was 1.09±0.97 (Table 1). Mean gestational age was 32.98±1.52 (Table 1). The majority of pregnancies were poorly followed with an average ANC of 3.03±1.17 (Table 1). The average body mass...
index was 24.71±2.20 (Table 1). There was no hyperthermia in both groups. In group I, 67.65% of patients had no infectious signs versus 78.38% in group II; 17.65% had urinary signs versus 8.11% in group II, 11.76% had leucorrhoea versus 10.81% in group II. There was no significant difference between the 2 groups (p=0.69) (Table 2). The mean bishop score for group I was 7.23±1.5 versus 6.73±1.45 for group II (p=0.09) (Table 3). The mean leukocyte counts for group I and II were 11.53G/l±3.27, 9.95 G/l±3.66 respectively p=0.059 (Table 4).

| Variables                        | Group I (CRP≥7mg/l) N=34 | Group II (CRP<7mg/l) N=36 | p Value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) mean±sd              | 24.44±5.70              | 24.35±6.25                | 0.94    |
| (min-max)                        | 16-40                   | 14-42                     |         |
| Parity mean±sd                   | 1.09±0.97               | 1.11±0.84                 | 0.92    |
| Gestational age (weeks of amenorhea) | 2.12±1.07              | 2.16±1.01                 | 0.85    |
| Antenatal care                   |                         |                           |         |
| mean±sd                          | 3.03±1.17               | 3.05±1.18                 | 0.92    |
| (min-max)                        | 1-6                     | 1-6                       |         |
| BMI (Kg/m²) mean±sd              | 24.71±2.20              | 24.76±2.11                | 0.92    |

**Table 1:** Sociodemographic characteristics of patients.

| Variables | Group I (CRP≥7mg/l) N=34 | Group II (CRP<7mg/l) N=36 | p Value |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| No signs  | 23 (67.65)              | 29 (78.38)                | 0.40    |
| Urinary signs | 6 (17.65)              | 3 (8.11)                  |         |
| Leucorrhoea | 4 (11.76)              | 4 (10.81)                 |         |
| Digestive sign | 1 (2.94)              | 1 (2.70)                  |         |

**Table 2:** Infectious signs.

| Variables       | Group I (CRP≥7mg/l) N=30 | Group II (CRP<7mg/l) N=36 | p Value |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| BISHOP score    | 7.24±1.05               | 6.73±1.45                 | 0.098   |
| Cervical length (cm) | 22.91±3.28         | 22.59±3.67                | 0.70    |

**Table 3:** Bishop score and cervical length with endovaginal.

| Variables       | Group I (CRP≥7mg/l) N=34 | Group II (CRP<7mg/l) N=37 | p Value |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Leukocyte count | 11.53±1.05              | 9.95±1.45                 | 0.059   |
| Not done        | 29 (85.29)              | 32 (86.49)                |         |
| GV              | 3 (8.88)                | 2 (5.41)                  | 0.70    |
| GV+EC           | 2 (5.88)                | 1 (2.70)                  |         |
| Candida         | 0 (0)                   | 2 (5.41)                  |         |
| Uroculture      |                         |                           | 0.77    |
| Not done        | 28 (82.35)              | 33 (89.18)                |         |
| Normal          | 4 (11.76)               | 4 (10.81)                 |         |
| EC              | 2 (5.89)                | 0 (0)                     |         |

**Table 4:** Paraclinical data.

GV: Gardenerella vaginalis, EC: Echerichia Coli.

In our study, the majority of our patients did not perform vaginal swab in group I (85.29%) versus 86.49% in group II (p=0.58), and that Gardnerella vaginalis was the predominant germ in both groups (Table 4). There was no
significant difference between the 2 groups regarding the occurrence of vaginal infection \((p=0.77)\), and the most incriminated organism was Escherichia coli (Table 4). Cervical length measurement averaged 22.91 cm±3.23, identical for both groups \((p=0.70)\) (Table 3).

**Outcome of pregnancy**

In our study, there was a significant difference in the persistence of uterine contraction after 48h of tocolysis in group I and II \([p=0.0013, RR 5.20 (1.63-16.55)]\), within the delivery time \((7.68±5.33 \text{ days versus } 21.36±11.30 \text{ days, } p <0.05)\), as well as in the occurrence of preterm birth \([RR 1.34 (1.06-1.70)]\) \((p=0.02)\) (Table 5).

The vaginal delivery was predominant in both groups (83.33% versus 88.89%), but the difference was not significant \((p=0.72)\) (Table 5).

| Variables                          | Group I (CRP≥7mg/l) N=30 | Group II (CRP<7mg/l) N=36 | RR ; IC         | p value |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Persistence of uterine contraction n (%) |                          |                           |                 |         |
| Yes                               | 13 (43.33)               | 3 (8.33)                  | 5.22 (1.63-16.55) | 0.0013  |
| No                                | 17 (56.67)               | 33 (91.67)                |                 |         |
| Occurrence of membrane rupture n (%) |                          |                           |                 |         |
| Yes                               | 8 (26.67)                | 4 (11.12)                 | 2.4 (0.80-7.19)  | 0.12    |
| No                                | 22 (73.33)               | 32 (88.89)                |                 |         |
| Delivery time (Days)              | 7.68±5.33                | 21.36±11.30               | <0.05           |         |
| Preterm delivery n (%)            |                          |                           |                 |         |
| Preterm delivery                  | 28 (93.33)               | 25 (69.44)                | 1.34 (1.06-1.70) | 0.02    |
| Term pregnancy                    | 2 (6.67)                 | 11 (30.56)                |                 |         |
| Delivery route n (%)              |                          |                           |                 |         |
| Vaginal route                     | 25 (83.33)               | 32 (88.89)                | 0.72            |         |
| Caesarean section                 | 5 (16.67)                | 4 (11.11)                 | 1.50 (0.44-5.09)|         |
| Chorioamnionitis n (%)            |                          |                           |                 | Ns      |
| Yes                               | 0 (0)                    | 0 (0)                     |                 |         |
| No                                | 30 (100)                 | 36 (100)                  |                 |         |

**Table 6: Neonatal outcomes.**

| Variables                          | Group I (CRP≥7mg/l) N=30 | Group II (CRP<7mg/l) N=36 | RR ; IC         | p value |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Birth weight (g) mean±sd          | 2120.67±414.55           | 2561.67±285.34            | <0.05           |         |
| APGAR score M5 mean±sd            | 9.06±1.08                | 9.58±0.87                 | 0.035           |         |
| Neonatal asphyxia n (%)           |                          |                           |                 |         |
| Yes                               | 2                        | 1                         | 2.40 (0.22-25.19)| 0.58    |
| No                                | 1                        | 35                        |                 |         |
| Neonatal infection n (%)          |                          |                           |                 |         |
| Yes                               | 1 (3.33)                 | 1 (2.78)                  | 1.20 (0.07-18.38)| 1       |
| No                                | 29 (96.67)               | 35 (07.22)                |                 |         |
| Neonatal death n (%)              |                          |                           |                 |         |
| Yes                               | 4 (13.33)                | 1 (2.78)                  | 4.66 (0.55-39.51)| 0.17    |
| No                                | 26 (86.67)               | 35 (97.22)                |                 |         |

**Neonatal outcome**

The mean APGAR Index at the 5th minute was 9.07±1.08, identical in both groups \((p=0.58)\) and there was no difference in the occurrence of neonatal asphyxia \((p=1)\), neonatal infection \((p=0.58)\) and neonatal death \((p=0.17)\) between the 2 groups. However, there was a significant difference in birth weight in group I and II, with a respective mean of \((2120.66±414.55 \text{ versus } 2561.66±285.34, p <0.05)\) (Table 6).
DISCUSSION

Of the 71 cases of preterm labor with intact membrane, 34 patients had a high CRP ≥7 mg/l (47%), while the 36 patients had a high CRP level <7 mg/l (51%). The frequency of preterm labor was 4.9% in our study.

The preterm labor is a common obstetric complication that will most likely not lead to premature delivery. Clinical and biological assessments are used to search for a maternal cause. The existence of an underlying infection is often a direct complication of prematurity and low birth weight, which is often the result of premature delivery with intact membranes than of the ruptured membranes. On the other hand, Yoon BH et al, had shown that a concentration of CRP greater than 7 mg/l is significantly associated with an increased risk of histological chorioamnionitis. Similarly, a study by Watts et al, showed an association with clinical chorioamnionitis and CRP >15 mg/l. Kayem G et al. Similarly reported the occurrence of clinical chorioamnionitis at CRP >18 mg/l. The absence of chorioamnionitis in our study could be explained by the fact that chorioamnionitis are considered in studies to be more often the result of premature rupture of the membranes than of the preterm labor with intact membranes. Moreover, the CRP rate we have chosen is very low (7 mg/l). Indeed, for Bessières et al, a CRP level >50 mg/l associated with maternal fever were signs of chorioamnionitis. For Fisk, repeated values ≥20 mg/l have a good predictive value for the occurrence of histological chorioamnionitis.

For neonatal outcomes, our study showed that CRP ≥7 mg/l (group II) was not a risk factor for neonatal infection and CRP <7 mg/l (group I). Cammu et al in their studies had not found a neonatal infection with a CRP level ≥12.5 mg/l. On the other hand, according to Watts et al, a neonatal infection was found with a CRP level >15 mg/l, likewise in the study conducted by Goffinet F et al, with a CRP level >20 mg/l. In Kayem G et al, study, CRP elevation has a sensitivity of 70 to 80% for the occurrence of a neonatal infectious complication, but a positive predictive value of only 22% for the onset of a neonatal or maternal complication, notably because of a lack of specificity (close to 40%). Similar results were obtained using a limit of 8 mg/l in a study by Mazor M et al. In practice, higher thresholds of 15 to 20 mg/l seem more appropriate because of fewer false positives but at the expense of sensitivity.

In our study, apart from prematurity and low birth weight, there was no significant difference in the occurrence of neonatal asphyxia or neonatal death in the 2 groups. Asphyxia is often a direct complication of intrauterine infection. Indeed, chorioamnionitis increases the risk of hypoxia four times [OR=4.2 (1.4-12.0)]. This clinical situation does not exist in the two groups.
studied. Thus high CRP isolated during a threat of preterm birth is not a risk factor for neonatal asphyxia.

The limitation of this study is that it is a monocentric study with a limited population. We have many patients who have not been able to benefit from vaginal swabs and/or uroculture. Newborns did not benefit from biological sampling at birth which can lead to a decrease in the number of neonatal infections. The lack of pH at the umbilical cord requires us to use the Apgar index less than seven in the fifth minute to diagnose non-specific neonatal asphyxia. A multicenter study with a larger size would be needed to provide evidence of the use of this bioassay during a preterm labor.

CONCLUSION

Premature labor remains one of the main problems facing obstetrics. Several studies were conducted to evaluate the predictors of preterm labor in order to provide adequate care. Our study showed that high CRP levels are a determining factor in the delay in delivery, the failure of tocolysis, and the occurrence of preterm birth in intact membrane preterm labor. CRP is an easy, inexpensive and accessible even in developing countries. Despite the lack of consensus on its usefulness during a preterm labor, we propose its systematic prescription for the initial assessment to predict the prognosis and the therapeutic response.
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