Rewiring of the protein–protein–metabolite interactome during the diauxic shift in yeast
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Abstract
In budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the switch from aerobic fermentation to respiratory growth is separated by a period of growth arrest, known as the diauxic shift, accompanied by a significant metabolic rewiring, including the derepression of gluconeogenesis and the establishment of mitochondrial respiration. Previous studies reported hundreds of proteins and tens of metabolites accumulating differentially across the diauxic shift transition. To assess the differences in the protein–protein (PPIs) and protein–metabolite interactions (PMIs) yeast samples harvested in the glucose-utilizing, fermentative phase, ethanol-utilizing and early stationary respiratory phases were analysed using isothermal shift assay (iTSA) and a co-fractionation mass spectrometry approach, PROMIS. Whereas iTSA monitors changes in protein stability and is informative towards protein interaction status, PROMIS uses co-elution to delineate putative PPIs and PMIs. The resulting dataset comprises 1627 proteins and 247 metabolites, hundreds of proteins and tens of metabolites characterized by differential thermal stability and/or fractionation profile, constituting a novel resource to be mined for the regulatory PPIs and PMIs. The examples discussed here include (i) dissociation of the core and regulatory particle of the proteasome in the early stationary phase, (ii) the differential binding of a co-factor pyridoxal phosphate to the enzymes of amino acid metabolism and (iii) the putative, phase-specific interactions between proline-containing dipeptides and enzymes of central carbon metabolism.
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Introduction
Budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* grown on glucose undergoes two growth phases: glucose-utilizing and ethanol-utilizing phases, separated by a period of growth arrest, referred to as diauxic shift [75]. The depletion of both glucose and ethanol is associated with the cell cycle arrest and the onset of the stationary phase [70]. During the glucose-utilizing phase, yeast uses glucose to produce ATP and pyruvate through glycolysis. Pyruvate is further converted to ethanol, which accumulates in the medium until glucose is depleted. The presence of glucose suppresses oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), gluconeogenesis and the use of alternative carbon sources. The repression of respiration in the presence of oxygen is referred to as the Crabtree effect and is reminiscent of the Warburg effect described for cancer cells [27]. Decreasing glucose concentration triggers first gradual and, once glucose is depleted, abrupt changes to the metabolism characterized by de-repression
of gluconeogenesis and establishment of mitochondrial respiration [75].

The transition from glucose to ethanol-based growth is orchestrated by the central energy signalling pathways, including the PKA (cAMP-dependent protein kinase), TORC1 (target of rapamycin) and Snf1 (sucrose non-fermenting) kinases [10, 22]. Downstream targets of PKA, TORC1 and Snf1 comprise multiple transcriptional regulators, which drive the massive transcriptional reprogramming reported for the diauxic shift [20]. Changes in gene expression are reflected at the protein and metabolite levels, hundreds of proteins and tens of metabolites displaying differential accumulation across glucose to ethanol transition [44]. For instance, abundance of glycolytic enzymes and metabolic intermediates decreases, whereas enzymes and metabolites of the glyoxylate cycle accumulate during the diauxic shift [75].

Biological entities, such as proteins and metabolites, rarely act independently but rather as a part of a larger complex. Resulting protein–protein (PP) and protein–metabolite (PM) interactions have diverse functional consequences from structural to regulatory with implications to all known cellular processes. The dramatic changes in the protein and metabolite abundance reported for the diauxic shift [44, 75] likely translate into a significant rewiring of the PP and PM interactome. New interactions can be driven by the changes in the protein and metabolite concentrations and an alteration in the interactor status, such as, for instance, by a post-translational modification (PTM) of the protein partner. However, although the importance of protein interactions is undisputed, many interactions are still poorly characterized. This is especially true for protein–metabolite interactions.

While there are many approaches to study PPIs and PMIs, only a few allow a bird-eye view into the entirety of the interactomes across cellular transitions, such as the diauxic shift. One such method is thermal proteome profiling (TPP) [54]. Here, the interaction status of a protein is gauged from the difference in the temperature stability expressed as melting temperature—the temperature at which 50% of a protein is unfolded, compared between the different conditions [42]. Initially developed to look for protein partners of small-molecule ligands [54], TPP has been successfully applied to assess global changes in the protein interactomes [5, 19]. The change in the temperature stability measured between two cellular states, whether it is a genetic, environmental or developmental perturbation [5, 19, 39] is indicative of a change in the protein interaction status, where the interacting partner can be, e.g. a protein, metabolite or nucleic acid. Protein thermal stability can be also affected by the change in the PTMs status [30, 49, 59]. TPP experiments are ideal to assess the global change to a protein interactome. Moreover, as proteins in a complex show coordinated changes in their melting behaviour when done across a large number of cell states, TPP experiments can be used to predict the composition of protein complexes [39].

A complementary approach that can be used to capture differential interactomes is co-fractionation mass spectrometry (CF-MS) (reviewed, e.g. by Salas et al. [52]. CF-MS combines separation of complexes utilizing different biochemical techniques, such as size exclusion chromatography (SEC) [2, 29, 68], ion exchange (IEX) chromatography [14, 29], blue native gels [26] or density gradient centrifugation [24] with mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of the obtained fractions and uses co-elution to delineate interactors. First established for PPIs [14, 24, 26, 29, 40, 68], CF-MS methods can also be used to resolve protein–metabolite [14, 34, 36, 65] and protein–RNA interactions [37].

In the past we used a CF-MS approach, that we dubbed PROMIS for PRotein Metabolite Interactions using Size Separation to build a protein–metabolite interaction map of a budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae during the glucose-utilizing, logarithmic growth stage [36]. In doing so we reported hundreds of known and unknown small molecules separating together with proteins, attesting to the previously postulated [33, 35] complexity of the protein–metabolite interactome, and the notion that many more small molecules than are known today interact with, and modulate the function of, their protein partners.

In the current study, we extended our analysis to explore the dynamics of PPIs and PMIs during the diauxic shift transition to understand how the dramatic changes in the protein and metabolite abundance reported for the diauxic shift would translate into a rewiring of the PP and PM interactome. To this end, yeast harvested in the glucose-utilizing, fermentative phase, ethanol-utilizing and early stationary respiratory phases were subjected to isothermal shift assay (iTSA, a variation on the TPP [4] and PROMIS analysis. We could demonstrate that the diauxic shift transition is associated with major changes in the PP and PM complexes. Moreover, our work attests to the suitability of PROMIS to capture changes in PMIs, as shown before for PP complexes [28].

Results and discussion

Proteomics analysis of the diauxic shift

To examine changes in the PM and PP interactome before and after the diauxic shift transition, we used the YSBN2 strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. YSBN2 is a strain closely related to the common model strain S288c and was constructed by Canelas et al. [13] for large-scale physiological studies. In contrast to S288c, YSBN2 is prototrophic, making it well suited for metabolic studies. It also carries a drug resistance marker to prevent contamination in
large-scale or long-term cultures and to facilitate genetic crosses. OD600 was used to monitor growth and samples were taken after 6, 24 and 72 h corresponding to the glucose ethanol, and early stationary phases, respectively. The 6 h time point corresponds to the logarithmic, glucose-utilizing phase, the 24 h time point to post-diauxic, ethanol-utilizing phase and the 72 h time point to the early stationary phase (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1).

To examine how well our study compares with other diauxic shift omics and multi-omics experiments, we first measured protein abundances across the three sampled growth phases. Of the 1627 proteins present in our dataset, 697 proteins showed a significant (FDR < 0.01) fold change (FC) greater than 2 between the glucose-utilizing phase and early stationary phase, 300 between glucose-utilizing and ethanol-utilizing phase and 288 between ethanol-utilizing and early stationary phases, respectively (Fig. 1B, Tables S1–S2). The differential abundance of hundreds of proteins across yeast growth was reported before. For instance, authors of a comprehensive time-course proteomics study of the diauxic shift covering ten time points representing the switch from glucose to ethanol-based growth reported a differential abundance of approximately 50% of all measured proteins [44]. We next performed a KEGG enrichment analysis on the 697 proteins differing between glucose and
early stationary phases (Fig. 1C). Among the 116 proteins we found accumulating in the early stationary in comparison to glucose-utilizing phase, proteins associated with the TCA cycle and glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism were significantly enriched. The list included the rate-limiting enzymes of gluconeogenesis, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP1) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK1), TCA/glyoxylate cycle enzymes, such as citrate synthase (CIT1 and CIT2), and trehalose phosphate synthases (TPS1 and TPS2). This is in line with previous proteomics studies showing that the major metabolic events associated with the diauxic shift are reflected by the changes in enzyme accumulation and include the reversal of flux from glycolysis to gluconeogenesis, accumulation of trehalose and glycogen and activation of the TCA / glyoxylate cycle [44, 75]. Conversely, in accordance with what was reported by Murphy et al. [44], proteins associated with ribosome biogenesis and RNA polymerases were enriched among 581 downregulated proteins depleted in the early stationary versus glucose-utilizing phase. The list included the cytoplasmic GTPase RIA1 involved in the 60S ribosomal subunit biogenesis, ribosomal proteins (RPL29, RPS2), chaperones and RNA polymerase subunits, such as RBP3, RBP11 or TFG1.

In summary, proteomics analysis of the three sampled yeast growth phases revealed known signatures of diauxic shift transition.

**Thermal proteome profiling reveals major changes between fermentative and respiratory phase**

We next wanted to understand whether these major changes in protein abundance across the diauxic shift are accompanied by global changes in the protein interactome. We used a simplified thermal proteome profiling protocol called isothermal shift assay (iTSA) [4]. Instead of a temperature gradient, protein stability is measured in a single temperature selected based on the average melting temperature of the proteome [31] (Fig. 2A).

To better represent the “meltome” we used three different “melting temperatures”, 48, 52 and 56 °C. We additionally took samples at room temperature (RT) to normalize for the differences in the initial protein abundance. Statistical analysis revealed hundreds of differential proteins, the differences being most pronounced at 56 °C (Fig. S2). Interestingly, and again similarly to what was already reported [5, 66], there was no correlation between protein abundance at room temperature and thermal stability at 56 °C (Pearson correlation coefficient: \( r = -0.026, p = 0.12 \)) (Fig. 2C). Additionally, we could confirm a weak, but statistically significant negative correlation between a protein’s chain length and thermal stability, as described before [31, 32, 38] varying from \( R = -0.064 \) in the early ethanol-utilizing phase to \( R = -0.24 \) in the glucose-utilizing phase (Fig. S3).

We found that hundreds of proteins changed their stability across the three growth phases. Most differences (FDR < 0.05 and FC > 2 or < 0.5 at 48, 52 or 56 °C) were measured between the glucose and early stationary phases (Fig. 2B and D, Table S3), with fewer differences measured between consecutive growth phases. 637 proteins (53.5%) were significantly affected in their thermal stability between the glucose and early stationary, in comparison to 131 proteins (11% of all measured proteins) between the glucose and ethanol, and only 17 (1.4%) between the ethanol and early stationary phases. As would be expected 97 of the 131 proteins (74%) that differed between the glucose and ethanol also differed between the glucose and early stationary phases. A single protein, heat shock protein 26 (HSP26), was differential in all comparisons. Previously, using TPP Becher and colleagues reported that approximately 30% of all measured proteins displayed cell cycle-dependent changes in the melting stability [5]. While the two studies cannot be directly compared, they both demonstrate that cell state transitions are accompanied by significant changes in the thermal stability of hundreds of proteins.

A KEGG enrichment analysis on the 637 proteins changed between the glucose and early stationary phases (Fig. 2E) identified pathways involved in the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (sc01110) and amino acids (sc01230), specifically valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis (sc00290) and glycine, serine and threonine metabolism (sc00260), proteasome (sc03050), ribosome (sc03010) and carbon metabolism (sc01200). Further functional analysis using STRING database identified the presence of multiple subunits of seven macromolecular complexes: ribosome, proteasome, RNA polymerase complex, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase complex, cytochrome c reductase complex, coatomer, CIT complex and V-ATPase (Fig. S3).

The reported differences in the thermal stability likely reflect changes either in a protein interaction or its PTM status [5, 30, 49, 56], or both, since PTMs are known to affect formation of PP and PM complexes [21]. Moreover, thermal stability can also serve as a proxy for enzyme activity, reflecting a change in the substrate occupancy [5]. In summary, proteome-wide analysis of changes in thermal stability across the transition from fermentative to respiratory metabolism reveals hundreds of proteins changing in stability, attesting to the significant changes in the protein interaction and/or PTMs status.

**The diauxic shift is associated with major changes in the metabolite–protein interactome**

Building on the results from iTSA, to further examine growth phase-dependent changes in the protein–protein (PP) and protein–metabolite (PM) complexes, we used PROMIS
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PROMIS relies on the size-based separation of molecular complexes present in native cell lysate: metabolites bound to protein complexes separate into earlier-eluting high molecular weight fractions, whereas unbound small molecules separate in late-eluting low molecular weight fractions [64, 65].

The yeast cultures harvested at the different growth phases were used to prepare native cell lysates. PP and PM complexes were separated using size exclusion chromatography (SEC), yielding 60 fractions. Forty of the collected fractions are protein containing and span a size range between 5 mDa and 20 kDa, as examined using commercial reference proteins of known size. Fractions were extracted and relative abundances of proteins and metabolites were measured using mass spectrometry-based untargeted proteomics and metabolomics (Fig. 3A). We obtained a dataset containing 2812 proteins and 275 metabolites, which we annotated using an in-house library of authentic reference compounds (Tables S4 and S8, Figs. S4–S5). Elution profiles were normalized, deconvoluted and correlated using PROMISed, a novel web-based tool.

Fig. 2 Growth phase-dependent changes in protein thermal stability. A Schematic differential thermal gradient curves of a protein sampled in three different growth phases. Protein thermal stability was measured after treatment with three elevated temperatures—48 °C, 52 °C and 56 °C—as described in materials and methods. B Volcano plots showing the differences in protein thermal stability at 56 °C between glucose- and ethanol-utilizing phase (upper panel), glucose- and early stationary phase (middle panel) and ethanol and early stationary phase (lower panel), respectively, tested using a two-sided t-test. The horizontal, dashed line indicates FDR = 0.01, vertical, dashed lines indicate a fold change greater than 2. Proteins with significant (FDR < 0.01) fold changes greater than 2 are highlighted in green, and proteins involved in central carbon metabolism are labelled. C Relative protein abundance and protein thermal stability at 56 °C are not correlated (PCC = -0.26). D Venn diagram showing the overlap of proteins with significant changes (FDR < 0.01, FC > 2) in thermal stability between the growth phases in all temperature treatments. E KEGG enrichment of the 97 proteins with significant changes in thermal stability between glucose-utilizing and early stationary phase. Only the ten most significant enrichments are shown.

[36, 57, 64, 65].
to facilitate analysis and visualization of the molecular interaction networks from (CF-MS) experiments [55].

First, to understand the relation between changes in metabolite abundance and PMIs, we calculated the total amount of each metabolite by summing the relative intensity across the 60 chromatographic fractions, whereas the 40 protein-containing fractions represent the protein-bound pool and the 20 protein-free fractions represent the free, unbound pool. Comparison of the total amounts revealed major differences between the growth phases, specifically, comparison of the early stationary and glucose phases revealed 72 increased and 12 decreased metabolites (FDR < 0.05 and FC > 2 or < 0.5) (Fig. 3C, Fig. S6, Table S5). The majority of accumulating metabolites were proteogenic dipeptides, in line with our previous study where we reported that proteinogenic dipeptides start to accumulate prior to the diauxic shift transition and remain high in ethanol grown yeast [36]. An interesting exception is a subgroup of proline-containing dipeptides such as Pro-Glu, which does not change or even decreases upon glucose depletion (Fig. S7). Also decreasing in abundance are nucleotides 2’-AMP, 5’-AMP, 5’-GMP, 5’-GDP, 5’-UMP and 5’-UDP. The exception being, 5’-ADP, which significantly increased in abundance in the ethanol-utilizing phase by 2.7-fold (in comparison

---

**Fig. 3** Growth phase-dependent differential fractionation of protein–metabolite complexes. A Schematic workflow of PROMIS: Endogenous protein—small-molecule complexes were extracted and fractionated using size exclusion chromatography. Proteins and small molecules were extracted from SEC fractions using an organic solvent-based method, which denatures proteins (resulting in formation of protein pellets) and releases small molecules from binding pockets. Proteins and small molecules were separately analysed using LC–MS. Metabolites were analysed in biological triplicates; proteins were measured in one replicate. **B** Number of differentially fractionating small molecules (upper panel) and proteins (lower panel) between the growth phases. Differentially fractionating small molecules were identified using the dis-elution score (light grey, [55] and differences in presence/absence (dark grey). For proteins, a simplified approach was used comparing the Manhattan distance of a protein to the median Manhattan distance of all proteins between two growth phases (see Materials and Methods). **C** Volcano plot showing the differences in total metabolite abundance between glucose-utilizing and early stationary phases. Total abundances were estimated as the sum of the metabolite fractionation profile. **D** Changes in metabolite interaction status between glucose-utilizing and early stationary phases as measured as the ratio between metabolite abundances in protein-bound and protein-free fractions. **E** Boxplot comparing the log₂-transformed bound/free ratio of metabolites in each of the three growth phases. In the early stationary phase, metabolites show a higher fraction of bound metabolites (14.7%) compared to the glucose-utilizing phase (1.9%) and ethanol-utilizing phase (2.6%, p < 0.001).
to glucose-utilizing phase), and then drastically decreased again in the early stationary phase by 13.8-fold (in comparison to ethanol-utilizing phase).

Changes in metabolite concentrations can drive non-covalent protein–metabolite interactions; the concentration at which half of the protein is occupied is defined as binding affinity. Binding affinity also depends on a protein’s status, such as presence or absence of PTMs or oligomerization state. To understand whether metabolite binding affinities globally change during the diauxic shift, we calculated the ratio between the bound and free pool of each metabolite across the three growth phases (Fig. 3E, Fig. S5, Table S5). Whereas the median ratio for glucose and ethanol phases is approximately 0.019 and 0.026, respectively, it significantly increases in the early stationary phase to 0.147 (p < 0.001). Among the 48 metabolites, which have a significantly higher bound to free ratio (FDR < 0.05 and FC > 2 or < 0.5, Fig. 3D) in the early stationary versus glucose phase are mainly dipeptides and nucleotides. Additionally, we find the niacin precursor kynurenine and the amino acid leucine. This increase in retention of the identified metabolites in the protein-containing fractions is highly intriguing in terms of both mechanism and function. Metabolite binding is known to enhance protein stability and in that way has protein protective properties [63], which may be especially important under conditions associated with the accumulation of damaged proteins and protein aggregates as encountered, e.g. during ageing [53].

Next, we mined PROMIS datasets for proteins and metabolites that differ in their elution profiles across the three growth phases. Since the fractionation profile of a metabolite is dependent on its protein interaction partners, a change in PMIs between the growth phases would be reflected in the metabolite’s profile. We therefore used a statistical workflow for the pairwise comparison of fractionation profiles, dubbed dis-elution score [55] as well as the presence or absence of a metabolite in a given growth phase, to identify metabolites whose fractionation profiles differ across the examined growth phases (Fig. 3B, Tables S7, S9). Our analysis identified 77 metabolites that differed in their elution profile in at least one comparison. The list comprised dipeptides, amino acids, nucleotides, co-factors and metabolic intermediates, such as kynurenine and methionine sulfoxide. This differential elution can be driven by multiple factors, such as a change in a metabolite and protein concentration, or a change in a protein oligomerization, interaction or PTMs status.

In summary, our analysis identified tens of metabolites and hundreds of proteins that differ in their elution profile across the diauxic shift transition attesting to the significant changes to the PP and PM interactomes.

The diauxic shift is accompanied by changes in protein interaction status

To identify differentially fractionating proteins, we applied a simplified approach, in which, for each protein, we calculated the Manhattan distances between the growth phases and compared it to the median of all Manhattan distances obtained this way. A protein with a distance of at least 1.5 times the median distance was considered differential. The cut-off was based on the manual inspection of the differential elution profiles. We found that, with 755, the highest number of differential fractionating proteins were found between the glucose-utilizing and the early stationary phase, compared to the 557 between glucose and ethanol and 669 between the ethanol and early stationary phases. Since the numbers of differential proteins identified with the simplified dis-elution score (see “Materials and methods”) were similar (421, 427, 445, respectively), we attribute the large differences to the lower number of identified proteins in the early stationary phase. Taken together, this indicates that the protein oligomerization states change dramatically in the course of the diauxic shift, hinting towards global changes in the protein interaction landscape.

Comparison of thermal stability and differential fractionation

We next compared the proteins showing differential thermal stability and fractionation, focusing on the comparison between the glucose and early stationary phase. 229 proteins were characterized by the differential fractionation pattern and altered thermal stability; 408 proteins were only affected in their thermal stability and 526 proteins in their elution profile (Fig. 4A, Table S13). The 229 proteins found in the overlap were interpreted as part of dynamic protein complexes, as exemplified by the varying assembly of the proteasome (see below). The 408 proteins with differential thermal stability only were interpreted as undergoing changes in their PTM status or interactions with metabolites, or both, that do not change their oligomeric status. These were significantly enriched for proteins associated with the ribosome, secondary metabolism and biosynthesis of amino acids (Fig. 4B), including glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, such as CY3 (see below, Table S13).

Whereas the change in the melting stability but not the fractionation pattern can be explained by the change in a ligand concentration or a protein PTMs status (discussed above), the opposite is harder to interpret. Presented here includes 526 proteins which show differential fractionation, despite being not affected in their thermal stability (Fig. 4A). This group is significantly enriched for proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis, RNA degradation, nucleocytoplasmic transport and endocytosis (Fig. 4B), and enzymes of
the central carbon metabolism. There are many factors that affect thermal stability, and therefore susceptibility to thermal proteome profiling [31]. As discussed in the previous section protein length and thermal stability show a generally weak, but statistically highly significant negative correlation [31, 32, 38]. We therefore hypothesized that proteins with differential fractionation, but similar thermal stability, are, on average, smaller than other proteins identified in both experiments. However, the opposite is the case: We found that, with a median length of 468.5 aa, proteins with differential fractionation are significantly larger than proteins which are only affected in their thermal stability (median length = 332.5 aa, \( p = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \)) or the median of all proteins shared between both experiments (median length = 423 aa, \( p = 0.0016 \), Fig. S8), and also larger than the reported median length of yeast proteins of 379 aa [11]. A much simpler explanation is that PROMIS is better suited to select even a relatively minor change of a protein oligomeric state.
A single protein can exist in multiple oligomeric states, reflected by multiple maxima in the PROMIS elution profile. The distribution of a protein between the different maxima will vary and in our analysis, we accept all the maxima above the 10% of the main maxima. In contrast, because TPP/iTSA gives average stability of all the oligomeric states by default, it will mainly reflect the stability of a dominant oligomeric state and hence may miss interactions. Therefore, TPP and CF-MS are complementary approaches and can be used in combination to unravel dynamics in protein–protein interactions that would be missed using one approach alone.

Regulation of amino acid metabolism by dynamic PMIs

Among the 408 proteins affected by thermal stability only, we found the enzyme cystathionine gamma-lyase 3 (CYS3), which catalyses the formation of cysteine from cystathionine [17, 47, 48]. We queried our dataset for metabolites interacting with CYS3 and found pyridoxal phosphate (PLP), the known co-factor of CYS3 [41]. Figure 4C displays a heatmap of fractionation profiles of PLP and proteins involved in amino acid biosynthesis that require PLP as a co-factor. PLP shows a statistically significant differential fractionation pattern between the glucose-utilizing phase and ethanol-utilizing phase (dis-elution score (DES): 9.2e–04) and between the ethanol and early stationary phases (DES: 5.29e–07), which is not the case for CYS3 and other proteins and co-fractionating metabolites (PCC > 0.7) (Fig. 4D). We used the Louvain method [9] to detect communities within the networks and obtained seven, six and five clusters, for the glucose, ethanol and early stationary phase, respectively (Fig. 4D, Tables S14–S18). We interpret these clusters as stable or transient sub-assemblies of proteasomal subunits and co-fractionating metabolites. The clusters can be divided into major clusters containing multiple subunits that correspond to large complexes and minor clusters composed of few or even single subunits that correspond to small complexes or monomeric proteins.

Reorganization of the proteasome across the diauxic shift

As mentioned above, the 229 proteins found in the overlap of iTSA and PROMIS contain multiple proteasomal proteins (Fig. 4B), specifically 13 of the 14 core particle (CP), and 18 of the 21 regulatory particle (RP) subunits. To learn about the re-arrangement of the proteasome across the diauxic shift transition we used PROMISed [55] to create interaction networks restricted to proteasomal subunits and co-fractionating metabolites (PCC > 0.7) (Fig. 4D). We used the Louvain method [9] to detect communities within the networks and obtained seven, six and five clusters, for the glucose, ethanol and early stationary phase, respectively (Fig. 4D, Tables S14–S18). We interpret these clusters as stable or transient sub-assemblies of proteasomal subunits and co-fractionating metabolites. The clusters can be divided into major clusters containing multiple subunits that correspond to large complexes and minor clusters composed of few or even single subunits that correspond to small complexes or monomeric proteins.

In the glucose-utilizing phase there are two major clusters, one containing the 13 CP and 16 RP subunits and 23 metabolites and the second consisting of 17 RP subunits and 14 metabolites. The remaining five minor clusters contain up to 5 RP subunits and dozens of metabolites. In the ethanol-utilizing phase, we again identified two major clusters, one containing the 13 CP and 3 RP subunits and 4 metabolites and the second consisting of 17 RP subunits and 11 metabolites. The remaining four minor clusters contain between one and four RP subunits. Finally, in the early stationary phase, two major clusters were found, one is made up of 19 CP, a single RP subunit and five metabolites. The other cluster consists of 19 RP and 5 CP subunits and 10 metabolites. Three minor clusters contain a single RP subunit and several metabolites.

There are three observations that can be made from the network analysis. First, the number and size of the minor clusters decrease in the ethanol and early stationary phases.
Second, the interaction between the two sub-complexes, CP and RP, is changing. In the glucose-utilizing phase, the majority of CP and RP subunits are clustered together. In contrast, both sub-complexes form largely separate clusters in the early stationary phase. The ethanol-utilizing phase represents an intermediate behaviour, where RP and CP form distinctive clusters, which are tightly connected, based on their co-fractionation profiles. Third, minor clusters contain more metabolites (up to 89) than major protein clusters (up to 23).

Our findings are in line with Bajorek and colleagues, who reported the disassembly of the yeast 26S proteasome (CP and RP) into the 19S (RP) and 20S (CP) sub-complexes in the stationary phase [3]. Moreover, in Arabidopsis, proteins involved in the RP and CP, respectively, possess different extremes of thermostability, in that the CP is highly stable, while the RP is a thermo-labile complex, hinting towards a higher degree of conformational flexibility of the RP [66]. In human cells under hypoxia, the CP can act as a stand-alone 20S proteasome independently of the RP, and shows distinct features compared to the human holoenzyme, as it is able to rapidly degrade unstructured proteins, generates longer peptides resulting from a distinct cleavage pattern and degrades conjugated ubiquitin instead of releasing it from the substrate [51]. Against this background we speculate that in the early stationary phase CP functions as stand-alone 20S proteasome degrading unstructured, aggregated proteins that accumulate in the ageing yeast cells. An additional mechanism to the discussed above global increase in the metabolite retention in the protein complexes.

**Dipeptides and central carbon metabolism**

Proteogenic dipeptides are a recently discovered class of metabolites with mostly unknown functions. In our previous work in yeast, we showed that the dipeptide Ser-Leu interacts with and activates the glycolytic enzyme phosphoglycerate kinase (Pgk1) by increasing the enzyme’s affinity to ATP [36]. A different dipeptide, Tyr-Asp, was previously shown to interact with the glycolytic enzyme glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (AthGAPC) in the model plant Arabidopsis [65]. This interaction promotes tolerance to oxidative stress by redirecting carbon flux into the pentose phosphate (PPP) pathway and increasing NADPH levels [43]. Dipeptides originate from protein degradation, and, although they are always present in the cell, they accumulate in conditions associated with high rates of protein clearance, such as in response to stress [15, 36, 45, 58, 60, 71, 73]. We, for instance, found that in plants, dipeptide levels increase in response to heat and dark stresses in an autophagy-dependent manner [60], and that specific dipeptides display diurnal oscillation in response to the change in a plant carbon status, downstream of TOR signalling [12]. In comparison, yeast accumulate dipeptides in response to glucose depletion prior to the diauxic shift transition [36].

Given the major metabolic rewiring associated with the diauxic shift and the demonstrated role of dipeptides in regulating central carbon metabolism, we queried the current datasets for changing dipeptide–enzyme interactions. Among the 274 annotated protein-bound metabolites 145 (53%) are proteogenic dipeptides. To get a better understanding of the protein–dipeptide interaction network, we first grouped the 400 proteogenic dipeptides using the ChemmineR package [18], resulting in 14 dipeptide groups (Fig. 5A). Four groups are composed of aromatic dipeptides, and 10 of non-aromatic dipeptides. We also grouped the enzymes of the central carbon metabolism into three categories based on the KEGG annotations: glycolysis/gluconeogenesis (Gly/Glu), tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP). We then calculated the interaction rate between dipeptides and enzymes of the different pathways as the percentage of observed interactions in the total of possible interactions (Fig. 5A, Table S19 + S20).

The group of dipeptides that stood out was the N’-terminal proline-containing dipeptides (P-X) (Fig. 5A, B). These were almost entirely absent in the protein-containing fractions in the glucose-utilizing phase with only one putative interaction. This is in stark contrast to ethanol and early stationary phases. The number of co-fractionating proteins and resulting interactions increased to 17 and 69, in the ethanol-utilizing phase, and to 26 proteins and 48 interactions in the early stationary phase. This increase in interactions cannot be attributed to changes in P-X dipeptide concentration, since the levels of P-X dipeptides do not change or even decrease upon glucose depletion. Enzymes co-fractionating with P-X include glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (TDH1, TDH2 and TDH3), fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (FBA1), phosphoglycerate mutase (GPM1), 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK1) and citrate synthases (CIT1 and CIT2) (Table S21 + S22). Interestingly, a similar list of putative interaction partners of proline-containing dipeptides has been reported in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [12], pointing to the putative conserved role of proline-containing dipeptides in the regulation of central carbon metabolism.

**Conclusions**

Here, we combined two approaches, iTSA and PROMIS, to investigate proteome- and metabolome-wide changes in the PPI and PMI landscape during the transition from fermentative to respiratory growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. On par with previous studies, e.g. Murphy et al. [44] we reported hundreds of proteins and tens of metabolites accumulating differentially across the diauxic shift transition. Most importantly, the reported changes
translate into a significant rewiring of the protein–metabolite interactome, the most pronounced difference measured between glucose and early stationary phases. Intriguingly, global analysis of the protein-bound to free metabolite ratios revealed that small molecules are preferentially retained in the protein complexes during early stationary phase. We speculate it may be related to the metabolite-driven proteoprotection [63], particularly that entry into the stationary growth phase is associated with accumulation of aggregated proteins [46].

There is only a 20% overlap of the differential proteins characterized by the change in both the thermal stability and elution profile. Thus, combining both methods is better to obtain a comprehensive view of the differential interactome. Whereas iTSA reveals a major change in a protein interaction or PTM status, PROMIS can capture the different protein oligomerization states and also provide a glimpse into the nature of the interacting partners, including small molecules. We demonstrate that CF-MS, and specifically PROMIS, is suitable to study the dynamics of protein–metabolite complexes across multiple cell states. The biggest challenge for any CF-MS method is to distinguish between the true and coincidental co-elution, and hence to identify true interactors. We expect that analogously to...
what was done for the PPIs, we will be able to significantly improve the identification of true protein–metabolite complexes by combining multiple datasets and chromatographic methods, increasing the number of collected fractions and by introducing machine learning approaches building on the known interactions, reviewed by Wagner et al. [67].

The present dataset attests to the dynamic rewiring of metabolite–protein–protein complexes accompanying the switch from fermentative to respiratory growth in yeast. It provides a valuable resource for unravelling the role of PPI and PMI in adjusting yeast metabolism to the changes in glucose availability. We present three examples of the differential PP and PM interactions that we think constitute novel regulatory mechanisms governing the diauxic shift. (i) Pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) is a known co-factor of enzymes involved in transamination [61, 62]. Amino acid homeostasis relies on three principal processes: amino acid uptake, de novo synthesis and recycling. During starvation (stationary phase) yeast mostly recycles amino acids through proteolysis [1]. Here, we report a loss of co-elution and hence a change in binding, between amino acid biosynthetic enzymes, exemplified by CYS3, and PLP in the early stationary phase. We speculate that the “loss of PLP binding” may be due to a change in the PTMs status, other than phosphorylation, which would lead to the inhibition of the enzymatic activity, contributing to the downregulation of de novo amino acid synthesis in the early stationary phase. (ii) Next, we report a gradual disassembly of the 26S proteasome into the 20S (CP) and 19S (RP) sub-complexes associated with the switch between fermentative and respiratory growth. Our observations are in line with previous literature findings that showed a disassembly of the proteasome into stable complexes, accompanied by a reduction in proteolytic activity [3]. Nevertheless, the CP is able to degrade its substrates independently of the RP in various eukaryotic models [51]. Against this background we speculate that in the early stationary phase CP functions as stand-alone 20S proteasome degrading unstructured, aggregated proteins that accumulate in the ageing yeast cells. (iii) Lastly, and on par with our previous report from plants [12], we demonstrate a co-elution, and hence a putative interaction, between the proline-containing, Pro-X, dipeptides, and various enzymes of the central carbon metabolism specifically in the early stationary phase. Intriguingly the appearance of Pro-X dipeptides in the protein complexes is independent of the cellular concentrations pointing to the binding being dependent on, e.g. a change in a protein(s) PTMs status. And, however, the identity of the Pro-X protein targets needs to be independently validated; it solidifies the shown before regulatory roles of dipeptides [36, 43, 45, 72], beyond simply intermediates of the protein degradation.

In summary, (i) the present dataset attests to the dynamic rewiring of metabolite–protein–protein complexes accompanying the switch from fermentative to respiratory growth in yeast. It provides a valuable resource for unravelling the role of PPI and PMI in adjusting yeast metabolism to the changes in glucose availability. Notably, whereas the switch between fermentative to respiratory growth is also of interest to cancer metabolism research, the entry into the stationary phase shares molecular signatures with chronological ageing in other organisms, including humans. (ii) Moreover, we demonstrate that CF-MS, and specifically PROMIS, is suitable to study the dynamics of protein–metabolite complexes across multiple cell states. Finally, as discussed before [36, 43] the reported small molecules represent just a small subset of all the metabolic features measured in the protein-containing fractions that we could annotate. In the future and by concentrating on the chemical identification of the “unknown” metabolic features showing differential elution, we aim to identify novel small-molecule regulators of the diauxic shift transition.

Methods

Yeast growth and cell lysis

Experiments were performed using the YSBN2 strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae ordered from the EUROSCARF (strain number: Y40383) cultivated in YPD medium at 30 °C with moderate shaking (120–140 RPM) using Innova Shakers. A single colony grown on a YPD plate was used to inoculate a pre-culture. Pre-culture was cultivated for 24 h. The following day, a pre-culture was used to inoculate a culture. The starting OD was 0.03. Cells were collected by centrifugation (4000 g, 4 °C, 20 min) after 6, 24 and 72 h of cultivation, corresponding to growth in the glucose-utilizing, early ethanol-utilizing and late ethanol-utilizing phase, respectively. Cell pellets were washed with AmBIC buffer (50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2), transferred to 50 mL tubes and centrifuged again (4000 g, 4 °C, 20 min). The pellets were then snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at − 80 °C until further use. Collected cells were mixed with an ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM AmBIC, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 1× cOmplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (MERCK, 11873580001), 0.1 mM Na3VO4 and 1 mM NaF) and frozen (− 20 °C) silica-zirconia beads (Biospec, 11079105z). Yeasts were homogenized by bead beating 10×30 s at 20 Hz using a Retsch Mixer Mill MM 400 and cooled in an ice water bath for 1 min in-between bead beating. Cell debris and silica-zirconia beads were sedimented by a 10 min centrifugation at 4000 g and 4 °C. After centrifugation, the supernatant was used for either the Isothermal Shift Assay or PROMIS.
Isothermal shift assay

The protocol was adapted from Ball et al. [4]. The supernatant (see above) was transferred to Eppendorf tube and centrifuged for 10 min at 21,000 g and 4 °C. The protein concentration of the supernatant was determined using the Bradford assay. Equivalent of 200 µg of proteins (5 replicates for each of the 3 growth phases) was transferred to PCR tubes and heated to 48 °C, 52 °C or 56 °C for 3 min and then incubated for 3 min at room temperature. During this time samples were moved to 1.5 mL tubes and centrifuged for 20 min at 21,000 g and 4 °C. The supernatant was then transferred to fresh Eppendorf tubes and proteins were precipitated overnight at −20 °C using 80% Acetone (MS-grade). Next day, proteins were pelleted by centrifugation for 20 min at 21,000 g and 4 °C. Supernatant was removed and proteins were air-dried. Finally, proteins were digested and desalted as described in section LC–MS/MS of proteins. Dried peptides were suspended in 60 µL MS loading buffer (2% ACN, 0.2% TFA), separated and quantified using LC–MS as described in section LC–MS/MS of proteins.

Separation of endogenous complexes using size exclusion chromatography

The protocol was adapted from Luzarowski et al. [36]. The supernatant (see above) was transferred to ultracentrifuge tubes and centrifuged for one hour at 35,000 RPM (max. 148,862 g, avg. 116,140 g) at 4 °C to obtain the soluble fraction containing endogenous complexes. The soluble fraction was loaded onto previously pre-rinsed (15 mL wash buffer: 50 mM AmBIC, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 20 min, 4000 g, 4 °C) Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter units (10 kDa MWCO) and centrifuged for 20 min at 4000 g, 4 °C.

Soluble fraction, corresponding to 40 mg of protein, was loaded on Sepax SRT SEC-300 21.2 × 300 mm column (Sepax Technologies, Inc., Delaware Technology Park, separation range 1.2 mDa to 10 kDa) connected to an AKTA explorer 10 (GE Healthcare Life Science, Little Chalfont, UK) and separated at 7 mL/min flow rate, 4 °C. 50 mM AmBIC pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 1.5 mM MgCl2 was used to equilibrate the column and separate molecular complexes. Forty 1-mL fractions were collected from the 39 mL to 78 mL elution volume. The fractions were frozen by snap freezing in liquid nitrogen and subsequently lyophilized and stored at −80 °C until measured. Dried peptides were suspended in 30 µL urea buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea in 40 mM ammonium bicarbonate). 20 µg of proteins from each fraction was reduced, alkylated and enzymatically digested using LysC/Trypsin Mix (Promega Corp., Fitchburg, WI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Self-made C18 Empore® extraction disks (3 M, Maplewood, MN) STAGE tips were used for protein desalting [50]. Proteins were concentrated using the centrifugal evaporator to approximately 4 µL and stored at −80 °C until measured. Dried peptides were suspended in 60 µL MS loading buffer (2% ACN, 0.2% TFA), and 3 µL (equivalent to 0.8–1.0 µg of peptides) were separated using C18 reversed-phase column connected to an ACQUITY UPLC M-Class system in a 120 min gradient. The gradient started from 3.2% and increased to 7.2% ACN in 20 min, to 24.8% ACN over 70 min and to 35.2% ACN over 30 min, followed by a 5 min washout with 76% ACN. The Thermo Q Exactive HF operated with a data-dependent method as follows: MS full scans were performed in FTMS with resolution set to 120,000, from 300.0 to 1600.0 m/z, a maximum fill time of 50 ms and an AGC target value of 3e6 ions. A maximum of 12 data-dependent MS2 scans was performed in the ion trap set to an AGC target of 1e5 ions with a maximal injection time of 100 ms. Precursor ion fragmentation was achieved with collision-induced fragmentation with a normalized collision energy of 27 and isolation width of 1.2 m/z. Charge states of 1 and ≥ 7 were excluded.

LC–MS metabolomics

After extraction, the dried aqueous phase was measured using ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled to a Q-Exact mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in positive and negative ionization modes, as described earlier [25].

Data pre-processing: LC–MS metabolite data

Expressionist Refiner MS 12.0 (Genedata AG, Basel, Switzerland) was used for processing the LC–MS data with the following settings. Repetition was used to reduce the volume of data and to speed up processing. All types of data except Primary MS Centroid Data were removed using Data Sweep. Chemical Noise Subtraction activity was used to remove artefacts caused by chemical contamination. Snapshot of chromatogram was saved for further processing. Further
processing of chromatogram snapshot were performed as follows: chromatogram alignment (RT search interval 0.5 min), peak detection (minimum peak size 0.03 min, gap/peak ratio 50%, smoothing window 5 points, centre computation by intensity-weighted method with intensity threshold at 70%, boundary determination using inflection points), isotope clustering (RT tolerance at 0.02 min, m/z tolerance 5 ppm, allowed charges 1–4), filtering for a single peak not assigned to an isotope cluster, charge and adduct grouping (RT tolerance 0.02 min, m/z tolerance 5 ppm). A more detailed description of the software usage and possible settings was published before [57]. In-house library of authentic reference compounds was used to identify molecular features allowing 0.005 Da mass deviation and dynamic retention time deviation (maximum 0.2 min). Processing of fractionated samples resulted in annotation of 282 small molecules across three growth phases.

**Data analysis**

Protein data analysis was restricted to the 1627 proteins commonly identified across all experiments.

**Analysis of protein abundance**

Protein intensities were measured in three growth phases in five replicates. Protein intensities were normalized to the median intensity across all samples as $\text{Int}_{\text{norm}} = \text{Int}_{\text{protein}} \times (\text{Median}_{\text{sample}}/\text{Median}_{\text{global}})$. To identify differences in protein abundance between growth phases in a pairwise manner, we calculated the fold changes and performed a two-sided Student’s t-test.

**Analysis of thermal stability**

After treatment with 3 temperatures (48 °C, 52 °C and 56 °C) and subsequent removal of denatured proteins by centrifugation, the abundance of soluble proteins was measured in five replicates. Protein intensities were normalized to the median intensity within each temperature group and scaled to the protein abundance at room temperature. Proteins with significant (FDR < 0.01) fold changes between growth phases in the same temperature group were considered as having a differential thermal stability. At 56 °C, 21 proteins showed a differential thermal stability between glucose-utilizing phase and ethanol-utilizing phase, 531 between glucose-utilizing phase and early stationary phase and 8 between ethanol and early stationary phases, respectively.

**Analysis of differential protein elution profiles**

For each protein, the Manhattan distance (MD) was calculated between processed elution profiles of all three growth phases in a pairwise manner. From this, the median MD was determined, and proteins with a MD greater than 1.5 of the median were considered as differentially eluting.

**Protein data integration**

To further narrow down the list of proteins of interest, we integrated the different datasets using the VennDiagram package [16]. We then performed KEGG enrichment analysis for proteins present in the different sections of the Venn diagram using the clusterProfiler package [74].

**Volcano plots**

Volcano plots are generated using the EnhancendVolcano R package [6]. The x-axis shows the log2 fold change (FC), the y-axis the $-\log_{10} p$ value. The horizontal, dotted line indicates the $p$ value threshold (0.01), the vertical, dotted lines the thresholds of fold changes (−2 and 2). Points correspond to proteins and are coloured as follows: Grey: FC between −2 and 2, $p > 0.01$, Green: FC outside of thresholds, $p > 0.01$, Blue: FC between thresholds, $p < 0.01$, and Red: FC outside of thresholds, $p < 0.01$. Dipeptide clustering was based on chemical structure similarity.

**Dipeptide clustering**

Smiles codes of dipeptides were obtained from https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ on 12.10.2021. Pairwise distances between dipeptides were calculated on atom pair libraries using cmp.cluster() of the ChemmineR package [18]. Clustering was performed using Ward’s minimum variance method [69]. The resulting dendrogram was cut at height 1.6, resulting in 14 dipeptide groups named after the predominant amino acids.

**Calculation of interaction rates**

Fractionation profiles of dipeptides and proteins involved in central carbon metabolism were analysed with PROMIsed [55], using default settings, and correlation tables were obtained. PROMIsed splits fractionation profiles into distinct, single peaks and calculates Pearson correlation between all obtained metabolite and protein peaks. We filtered the obtained correlation table for co-fractionating pairs using a threshold of 0.7. We then grouped all proteins based
on their involvement in Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis, tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle) and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP). The interaction rate between dipeptides and these groups was calculated as the percentage of observed interactions in all possible interactions as

\[
\text{InteractionRate} = \frac{n_{\text{Interactions}}}{(n_{\text{DipeptidePeaks}} \times n_{\text{ProteinPeaks}})} \times 100.
\]

where \(n_{\text{Interactions}}\) is the number of observed co-fractions (PCC > 0.7), \(n_{\text{DipeptidePeaks}}\) the number of peaks originating from the dipeptide and \(n_{\text{ProteinPeaks}}\) the number of peaks of proteins in that group.
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