Nevertheless, one cannot exclude underreporting of SAB by EARSS participating hospitals since EARSS is a voluntary reporting system. For example, England reported 18,403 SAB cases or an incidence of 37 SAB per 100,000 inhabitants from April 2002 to March 2003 through its mandatory surveillance scheme (5), whereas an estimate for the United Kingdom from the EARSS database would only give 7,800 SAB cases for 2003. However, it is impossible to determine whether this discrepancy was due to poor voluntary reporting of SAB cases, a lower blood culturing rate in EARSS participating hospitals, or a poorly representative sample of the country’s hospitals. Data from the United Kingdom were excluded from the present study on the basis of the latter possibility; denominator information for <60% of the isolates was available.

In conclusion, EARSS is the first comprehensive surveillance system on antimicrobial resistance in Europe. Within certain limitations, EARSS can also provide valuable information on blood-culturing practices and the incidence of SAB in Europe. The system is continuously being improved, and additional information on the representativeness of EARSS data is being collected. This will allow us to improve the quality and accuracy of the reported incidence rates. In the future, the system should allow reporting of similar data for an even larger number of European countries and for additional microorganisms, such as *Escherichia coli*.
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**Family Clustering of Avian Influenza A (H5N1)**

**To the Editor:** The unprecedented epizootic of avian influenza A (H5N1) in Asia poses a serious threat of causing the next global influenza pandemic. H5N1 viruses, to which humans have little or no immunity, have demonstrated the capacity to infect humans and cause severe illness and death (1–4). Fortunately, these viruses have not yet demonstrated the capacity for efficient and sustained person-to-person transmission, although limited person-to-person transmission was the cause of at least 1 family cluster of cases (5). Since family clusters of H5N1 illness may be the first suggestion of a viral or epidemiologic change, we have been monitoring them with great interest.

Through our regional contacts and public sources, we have monitored family clusters and other aspects of H5N1 in Southeast Asia. A cluster was defined as ≥2 family members with laboratory-confirmed H5N1 or ≥2 family members with severe pneumonia or respiratory death, at least one of which had confirmed H5N1. To determine if family cluster events had increased over time, we divided the number of cluster events by the total number of days in 2 discrete periods and calculated rate ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). To determine whether the increase in family clustering was attributable to an increase in the number of cases, we divided the number of family units with ≥2 laboratory-confirmed cases by the total number of family units in the period. Percentage of deaths was also compared.

From January 2004 to July 2005, 109 cases of avian influenza A (H5N1) were officially reported to the World Health Organization (WHO) (6). During this time, 15 family clusters were identified (Table). Of the 11 (73%) clusters that occurred in Vietnam, 7 were in northern Vietnam. Cluster size ranged from 2 to 5 persons, and 9 (60%) had ≥2 persons with laboratory-confirmed H5N1. Cluster 6 in Thailand was well documented and was likely the result of limited person-to-person transmission (5). For the other clusters, epidemiologic information was insufficient to determine whether person-to-person transmission occurred. In at least 3 clusters in Vietnam (Table; clusters 5, 7, and 11), >7 days occurred between the onset of the first and the next case, suggesting that simultaneous acquisition from a common
source was unlikely. In cluster 11, 2 nurses assisted in the care of the index case-patient and subsequently were hospitalized with severe pneumonia; 1 had laboratory-confirmed H5N1.

Family clusters were slightly more likely to have occurred between December 2004 and July 2005 than in the first year of the outbreak (9 clusters in 243 days or 3.7 per 100 days vs. 6 clusters in 365 days or 1.6 per 100 days, respectively; RR 2.3, 95% CI 0.8–6.3). The difference was similar when the periods were limited to the same 8 months, 1 year apart (RR 1.8, 95% CI 0.6–5.4). Twenty-five (61%) of the 41 patients in the 15 family clusters died; the 7 persons who recovered or were not ill experienced secondary cases.

Family clusters are still occurring; however, they do not appear to be increasing as a proportion of total cases. The proportion of families that were part of a cluster was similar from December 2004 to July 2005 to the proportion in the first year (6/55, 11% vs. 3/41, 7%, respectively, p = 0.7). However, the proportion of deaths dropped significantly, from 32 of 44

| Cluster | Onset of index case | Country       | Age (y)/Sex | Relation to index case | H5N1 | Onset | Outcome |
|---------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------|------|-------|---------|
| 1       | Dec 03              | Vietnam (N)   | 12/F        | Self                   | +    | Dec 25 | D       |
| 2       | Dec 03              | Vietnam (N)   | 30/F        | Mother                 | +    | Jan 1  | D       |
| 3       | Jan 04              | Vietnam (N)   | 5/M         | Self                   | +    | Dec 29† | D       |
| 4       | Jan 04              | Vietnam (N)   | 6/F         | Self                   | +    | Jan 8  | D       |
| 5       | Jul 04              | Vietnam (S)   | 33/F        | Mother                 | NT   | Jan 8  | D       |
| 6       | Sep 04              | Vietnam (S)   | 19/M        | Self                   | NT   | Jul 23 | D       |
| 7       | Sep 04              | Thailand      | 22/F        | Cousin                 | NT   | NN    | D       |
| 8       | Sep 04              | Thailand      | 25/F        | Sister                 | +    | Jan 31 | D       |
| 9       | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 11/F        | Self                   | NT   | Sep 2  | D       |
| 10      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 11/F        | Self                   | NT   | Sep 11 | D       |
| 11      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 42/F        | Brother                | +    | Jan 10† | R       |
| 12      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Not ill | Not ill |
| 13      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 17/M        | Self                   | +    | Jan 10† | D       |
| 14      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 35/F        | Sister                 | +    | Jan 14 | D       |
| 15      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 13/F        | Daughter               | +    | Jan 20 | D       |
| 16      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 21/M        | Self                   | +    | Jan 21 | D       |
| 17      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 14/F        | Sister                 | +    | Feb 14 | Unknown‡ |
| 18      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 14/F        | Sister                 | +    | Feb 23 | Unknown‡ |
| 19      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 9§ | D       |
| 20      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 12† | R       |
| 21      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |
| 22      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |
| 23      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |
| 24      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |
| 25      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |
| 26      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |
| 27      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |
| 28      | Dec 04              | Thailand      | 36/F        | Brother                | +    | Mar 22† | Unknown‡ |

*Table. Family clusters of influenza A (H5N1) in Southeast Asia, January 2004–July 2005*

†Date of hospitalization.
‡Had respiratory symptoms, was hospitalized (unknown for #13), and outcome was unknown.
§Date of death.
¶Serologically confirmed; classified as a probable case by the World Health Organization.
(73%) during December 2003 to November 2004, to 23 of 65 (35%) during December 2004 to July 2005 (p<0.0001).

Although reports of H5N1 family clusters slightly increased, the increase was not statistically significant. Nevertheless, we believe any cluster of cases is of great concern and should be promptly and thoroughly investigated because it might be the first indication of viral mutations resulting in more efficient person-to-person spread. Family clustering does not necessarily indicate person-to-person transmission, as it may also result from common household exposures to the same H5N1-infected poultry or from other exposures, such as to uncooked poultry products.

The decrease in proportion of deaths during 2005 is another epidemiologic change that should be monitored closely because it may reflect viral adaptation to the human host. Surveillance for human cases of avian influenza has been intensified in recent months, perhaps resulting in the identification of less severe cases. Alternatively, more widespread laboratory testing may be associated with false-positive results. No evidence to date shows genetic reassortment between H5N1 and human influenza A viruses (7). Viruses isolated from case-patients need to be immediately sequenced and characterized in relation to previously circulating viruses to see whether they are evolving.

Recent modeling studies suggest that containing a pandemic at its source may be possible because emergent pandemic viruses may be less transmissible than commonly assumed (8), and antiviral treatment and chemoprophylaxis may slow the spread (9). Although the logistics of an attempt to contain the beginning of a potential influenza pandemic are formidable, we believe it is not beyond the capability of the modern global public health system. As WHO (10) has called for, countries should intensify their pandemic preparedness plans and strengthen international collaborations.
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Imported Tickborne Relapsing Fever, France

To the Editor: Tickborne relapsing fevers caused by *Borrelia* species are characterized by ≥1 recurrent episodes of fever accompanied by headache, myalgia, arthralgia, abdominal pain, and eventually by hepatic or neurologic manifestations. In the Old World, *Borrelia duttonii* is endemic in sub-Saharan East Africa (1) and *B. crocidurae* and *B. hispanica* are distributed in West Africa and Mediterranean countries (2). In North America, *B. hermsii*, *B. turicatae*, and *B. parkeri* cause mild and sporadic fever cases, although several outbreaks have been reported (3). Relapsing fevers in disease-nondemic countries are infrequently diagnosed and probably underdiagnosed (4). We report 3 patients with relapsing fever diagnosed in France in travelers from disease-endemic countries.

Patient 1, a 29-year-old French man, was admitted to Hôtel-Dieu in Paris for a fourth recurrence of a flulike syndrome. Three weeks earlier, he had traveled through Spain and Morocco, when high-grade fever, chills, myalgia, and arthralgia suddenly developed. Symptoms quickly resolved after treatment with salicylate and acetaminophen, but 3 relapses