Lymphoepithelioma-like cholangiocarcinoma in middle-aged woman with Epstein-Barr Virus infection: a case report and review of the literature
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Abstract

Background

Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma (LELCC) is a rare variant of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC), LELCC is composed of prominently reactive lymphoid infiltration and scattered malignant epithelial cells, which may lead to misdiagnosis of pathologist, especially on rapid freezing during operation. Recognizing the tumor is important to avoid misdiagnosis.

Case presentation

We report a case of LELCC in a 53-year-old Chinese female patient with EBV infection, who was found to have a nodules in the junction of the left and right lobes of the liver on abdominal Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Microscopically, the boundary of the tumor was clear with incomplete fibrous capsule around the tumor, the tumor was arranged in a nest or tubular gland, tumor cells were cubic, with vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, rare mitotic figures, and abundant lymphoid infiltration and lymphofollicular formation. Immunohistochemically, tumor cells were diffusely positive for biliary-type cytokeratins (CK7, CK19), CK8,CK18,Ki-67 proliferation index (about 20%) and negative for CK20, polyclonal carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and CD34, hepatic marker (HepPar-1), The tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are predominant for CD3 and CD45RO-positive T cells, CD20 and CD79α are positive scattered in the germinal center and surrounding areas. In situ hybridization, EBV-encoded RNA (EBER) was strongly positive in tumor cells.

Conclusions

The diagnosis of LELCC should be combined with histopathology, immunohistochemistry and EBER detection. There are limited literature reports on the pathological diagnosis and differential diagnosis, additional studies will be needed to clarify the clinicopathologic features, immunophenotype, and differential diagnosis of this disease. It is necessary to master the diagnostic criteria to avoid misdiagnosis for pathologist.

Background

Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma (LELC) is a malignant tumor resembling undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC), which is histologically composed of undifferentiated epithelial cells
with prominent lymphoid infiltration[1]. LELC have been reported in various organs including salivary gland, skin, lung, the stomach, and liver and so on[2–7]. Liver is a rare site of occurrence. In recent years, more and more cases have been reported with the further understanding of LELC[8]. LELC in the liver can be divided into lymphoepithelioma-like hepatocellular carcinoma (LELHCC), lymphoepithelioma-like cholangiocarcinoma (LELCC) and mixed LELHCC and LELC [8–9]. LELCC, which is defined as tumors arisen in the hepatic tract and composed of undifferentiated epithelial cells arranged in gland forming, sheets, or cords with a dense lymphoid infiltration, is a rare variant of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC)[1, 10]. Ling et al [10] retrospectively analyzed 40 cases of LELCC and found 80.0% of these cases were related to EBV. To date, only 29 cases of LELCC with Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) Infection have been reported in the English literature [11], in addition, the diagnosis and differential diagnosis of LELCC are rarely reported[1, 11]. The diagnosis and differential diagnosis of this extremely rare tumor are important for its identification. This paper reports a case of LELCC with EBV infection with the review of the literature, and explores its clinicopathological characteristics, immunophenotype, molecular genetic features and differential diagnosis, in order to improve the understanding of pathologists on this tumor.

Case Presentation
A 53-year-old female patient was admitted to the hospital for discovering liver mass lesions for 17 days in February 2019. She had no abdominal pain, bloating, and no chills, fever, and other discomforts. She had recently lost weight. Physical examination: consciousness, no yellow staining in the skin and sclera, no palm in the liver and spider nevus; no auscultation of cardiopulmonary auscultation. Abdomen was flat, no varicose veins of the abdominal wall, no tenderness and rebound pain, no more than liver and spleen ribs. Pre-operative laboratory tests revealed elevated Fasting glucose (6.87 mmol/L; range 3.89–6.1 mmol/L), triglyceride (2.42 mmol/L; <1.7 mmol/L), all other indicators are normal. Tumor markers including CEA, CA125, CA199 are normal, The patients was positive for hepatitis B virus surface antibody (HbsAb), and negative for hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B virus Core antibodies (HbcAb), Hepatitis B virus e antigen (HBeAg) and Hepatitis B virus e antibody (HBeAb); Hepatitis C virus antibodies (HCV-Ab), human immunodeficiency
virus antibodies (HIV-Ab), and Treponema pallidum antibodies (TP-Ab) are negative.

Direct enhanced CT in the upper abdomen revealed round, lower-density mass in the junction of the left and right lobes of the liver, with measuring 1.9 cm in diameter (Fig. 1a) and a CT value of 51.8 HU. The margins are vague, and lesions have a low density in the arterial stage after enhancement, the CT value is about 74HU, and lesions showed low density in the portal stage and delayed stage. CT diagnosis was the nodule in the junction of the left and right lobes of the liver, and small liver cancer could not be ruled out, MRI plain scan + enhanced examination was recommended. No abnormalities in gallbladder, pancreas, spleen size and density are observed. Abdominal MRI plain scan + enhanced showed abnormal nodular signals (T1 low signal intensity, T2 high signal intensity, DWI high signal intensity) with an estimated magnitude of 1.7 cm × 1.4 cm in the junction of the left and right liver lobes. After enhancement, The lesion showed enhancement in arterial phase and faded signal in portal and delayed phase (Fig. 1b). MRI diagnosis was the nodule in the junction of the left and right lobes of the liver, which is very likely considered to be hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The size and signal of gallbladder and spleen were normal in the scanning range, and no enlarged lymph nodes were found in the retroperitoneum. The patient underwent laparoscopic liver tumor resection + cholecystectomy in our hospital. During the operation, an exophytic mass, which about 1.8 cm × 1.5 cm in size and soft in texture, was found on the surface of the liver near the bottom of the gallbladder, Postoperative specimens were examined for pathology.

Grossly, part of the liver lobes were resected, with the size of 6 cm x 5 cm x 3 cm. A mass with the size of 2 cm x 1.5 cm x 1.3 cm was seen under the capsule,. The section of the mass was grayish-white, soft and had a relatively well demarcated margin (Fig. 1c), and the section of the remaining liver tissue was grayish-yellow and slightly firm.

Microscopically, the boundary of the tumor was clear with incomplete fibrous capsule around the tumor, the tumor was arranged in a nest or tubular gland with abundant lymphoid infiltration with lymphofollicular formation (Fig. 1d). Tumor cells were cubic, large in size, rich in cytoplasm and eosinophilic, with vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and a syncytial cytoplasmic appearance, rare mitotic figures (Fig. 1e).
Immunohistochemically, tumor cells were diffusely positive for biliary-type cytokeratins (CK7, CK19) (Fig. 1f,g), CK8,CK18, Ki-67 proliferation index (about 20%) and negative for CK20, polyclonal carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and CD34, CD56, hepatic marker (HepPar-1). The tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are predominant for CD3 (Fig. 1h) and CD45RO-positive T cells, CD20 (Fig. 1i) and CD79α are positive scattered in the germinal center and surrounding areas.

In situ hybridization for EBV-encoded RNA (EBER) showed strongly positive nuclear signal in tumor cells (Fig. 1j), but not in the surrounding non-neoplastic liver tissue.

Based on these histopathological, immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization findings, a diagnosis of EBV-associated LELCC was made.

The patients were followed up for 12 months without any radiotherapy or chemotherapy. The liver, gallbladder, pancreas, and bilateral renal enhanced CT were reviewed every three months within one year after the operation. The fourth review was liver enhanced MRI. No recurrence or metastasis was found. At present, the follow-up is continuing.

Discussion And Conclusions
Epidemiology and clinical characteristics: EBV-associated ICC is very rare and can be divided into 3 types: LELC, conventional-type ICC and LELCC on the basis of the tumor cellular differentiation, and host cellular immune responses in the tumors[12]. Indicating that LELCC, which was first reported by Jeng et al[13] in 2001, is a rare variant of ICC. Literature reported, EBER in situ hybridisation was positive in 70% of LELCC cases, while conventional cholangiocarcinomas are not associated with EBV infection[13][14]. According to previous studies, 26 cases of LELCC was analyzed, found that 73.1% (19 of 26) patients were EBV-positive [1, 8]. These results suggested that LELCC is highly associated with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection [14] and EBV infection might promote the development of LELCC. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) has been confirmed as one of the etiologic factors for ICC [15]. However, only 26.9% (7 of 26) and 7.7% (2 of 26) LELCC patients were HBV and HCV positive, respectively. This low incidence of HBV and HCV infection suggested that these two viruses might not be significant factors in the pathogenesis of LELCC[8]. Despite these findings, the molecular mechanism of EBV, HBV and HCV remain unknown in the tumorigenesis and development of LELC.
The published literatures reported that majority of LELCC patients were discovered in the Asian countries[11, 13, 16]. LELCC with EBV infection tends to occur in middle-aged women with a female: male ratio of more than 3:1, the range of age was 19 to 71 years (mean age, 53.39 years) [8-12]. No preference in the tumor location was found between the left and the right hepatic lobe[17]. The size of LELCC tumors ranged from 1.2 to 7.3 cm in diameter (mean, 3.3 cm)[12, 18]. Clinical symptoms were nonspecific, usually found incidentally or abdominal fullness and abdominal pain[10]. In our study, EBV were positive in situ hybridization and HBV and HCV were both negative in a Chinese middle-aged woman, Clinical information was similar to previous reports. Because clinical symptoms and laboratory tests are nonspecific for diagnosing LELCC, Imaging examination including CT, MRI, and the B-mode ultrasound and contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is helpful for for early diagnosing of LELCC[10, 18-19].

Pathological features: Grossly, majority of these tumors were well demarcated and with the sizes ranged from 1.2 to 7.3 cm in diameter, The sectioned surface showed grayish-white or white-tan or yellowish-white soft or firm single solid tumor [1, 10, 12, 20] Cystic change can be seen. Microscopically, the boundary of the tumor is clear, and there is an incomplete fibrous capsule around the tumor, Small tumor cells were seen outside the capsule. The tumors with varied glandular differentiation were adenocarcinomas, which were characterized by tubular, trabecular, or cord-like patterns with variable degrees of differentiation tumor cells were cubic, large in size, rich in cytoplasm and eosinophilic The nuclei was round or oval with an irregular nuclear membrane vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and a syncytial cytoplasmic appearance, rare mitotic figures. There are extensive lymphoplasmacytic infiltration in the stroma, Epithelioid granulomas and numerous lymphoid follicles could be seen. Occasionally, obvious granulomatous reactions and many multinucleated giant cells coexisted[11].

Immunophenotype: Immunohistochemical staining showed that tumor cells were diffusely positive for AE1/AE3, epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), biliary-type cytokeratins (CK7, CK19) CK8, CK18, and biliary lineage markers (EpCAM and CA19-9) and negative for CK20, AFP, polyclonal carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), and hepatic marker (HepPar-1 and glypican 3). The lymphoid infiltrate was positive for
CD3(T cell marker) and CD20(B cell marker). According to the literature, More than half of tumors show a mutation-associated p53 immunohistochemistry pattern. The tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are predominantly CD3-positive T cells, with focal CD20-positive B cells and CD138-positive plasma cells [9, 21, 22–23]. In our study, there are more T cells infiltrated marked by immunohistochemistry than B cells, which was similar to previous literature. However, Lee et al[24] reported that the B and T cells infiltrated in nearly equal proportions.

Molecular genetics: At present, there are few reports on molecular genetics of LELCC. Literature reported that gene hypermethylation was more frequent in LELCC than IHCC. No LELCC harbourd any EGFR or KRAS mutation[25].Molecular analysis of the original periportal mass showed single-nucleotide variations in the tumour suppressor gene TP53 as well as single-nucleotide variations of uncertain significance in MLH1, a gene involved in DNA mismatch repair. The tumour was microsatellite stable by PCR[11]. Chiang et al [14] reported that 4 LELCCs with 0 to 5 mutations per sample. Identified mutations included BARD1 (allele frequency: 8.1%), EPHA5 (5.8%), MUC16 (7.6%), TNFAIP3 (5.8%), CD19 (5.6%), PTEN (8.8%), TET1 (7.2%), RECQL4 (6.4%), CD79B (10.1%), and KDM5A (10.2%), with all 20% of incidence. Each mutation is independent between four cases. In terms of molecular genetics testing, the genetic markers, which have diagnostic significance, have been found, and further information needs to be accumulated to clarify the cases.

Differential diagnosis: The diagnosis of LELCC should be combined with histopathology, immunohistochemistry and EBER detection, There are large cells with varied glandular differentiation and a lymphocyte-rich tumour stroma in LELCC. Therefore, liver LELCC should be distinguished from the following tumors: 1, Metastatic LELC or NPC: The patient underwent a comprehensive physical examination, laboratory examination, and auxiliary examination, and found no obvious lesions in organs other than the liver, including the nasopharynx. On the other hand, The tumour cells in NPC express cytokeratin and squamous antigens (p63 and CK5/6), The tumour cells in LELC may or may not express squamous antigens. Biliary-type cytokeratins (CK7 and CK19) was positive in this tumor, which is commonly used to diagnose LELCC and rule out NPC or LELC without glandular tubular structure in from other organs[25]. 2,LELHCC: The tumor cells are polygonal, rich in cytoplasm,
eosinophilic, forming flaky or nested structures, and rarely forming adenoid differentiation. However, LELCC is often accompanied by adenoid differentiation. and HepPar-1, AFP, CEA, CK8, CK18 and other antibodies are often expressed in LELHCC. EBV were commonly positive in situ hybridization[1]. 3, Conventional-type hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and conventional-type intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas (ICC) with abundant lymphoid infiltration: conventional-type HCC is pseudo-adenoid, trabecular, or nest-like arrangement, lymphocyte infiltration is mostly located around the tumor tissue, and tumor cells mostly express HepPar-1 and CEA. Conventional-type ICC mostly has an irregular glandular tubular structure, with obvious proliferation of fibrous tissue, scattered lymphoid infiltration in the stroma, Immunohistochemically, tumor cells mostly express CK7 and CK19, In situ hybridization, ERER is mostly negative[13]. 4, Lymphoma: Lymphocytes in lymphomas are abnormal lymphocytes, immunohistochemical markers are monoclonal hyperplasia (expressing B or T cell marker), and epithelial cells are CK-negative. Special attention should be paid to identifying Hodgkin's lymphoma and anaplastic large cell lymphoma. Reed-Sternberg cells are usually seen under the microscope of Hodgkin's lymphoma, and CD15 and CD30 are positive for Reed-Sternberg cells. There are often many reactive cells around anaplastic large cell lymphoma, and some tumor cells are epithelial-like. Usually, weird nuclei, kidney-shaped or horseshoe-shaped nuclei are seen in the cells, and EMA, CD30 is positive for tumor cells. 5, Bile duct adenoma: it is composed of small bile ducts with benign appearance, which is mature and proliferative. There is no bile in the lumen, no atypia and mitosis, and there is chronic inflammatory cell infiltration. There were no dilated bile ducts in the tumor, In advanced stage, there were more collagen, fewer small bile ducts and inflammatory cells. CD56 was positive for bile duct adenoma. However, the epithelial cells of LELCC are atypical and CD56 was negative for epithelial cells. 6, Other less likely neoplasms were also ruled out using immunohistochemistry, including inflammatory myofibroblastic tumour (Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)), malignant melanoma (HMB45 and S100) and histiocytic sarcoma (CD163). These tumours can have large cells with a lymphocyte-rich tumour stroma, but EBV were negative in situ hybridization[11].

Treatment and prognosis: LELCC might be associated with EBV infection, but whether EBV infection is
associated with a better outcome or poorer prognosis, which is controversial. Compared to patients with EBV-negative ICCs, after surgery, patients with EBV-associated LELCCs usually have favorable outcomes[25]. However, Wang et al [18] reported that EBV infection leads to a poorer prognosis in LELCC. The role of EB infection in LELCC prognosis is still unclear, and more clinical studies are needed for further analysis. Zhang et al [26] found that Only 8 cases had recurrence in 52 cases of LELCC reported by searching English literature. Gearty et al [11] retrospectively analyzed the 29 LELCC cases with EBV infection, found that 8 patients died of the disease. Most cases were early stage tumours treated with surgical resection, including one successfully resected lymph node metastasis. These findings indicate that LELCC patients have a better prognosis. The prognosis of LELCC patients seems to be better than that of conventional-type cholangiocarcinoma at 5-year survival rate(100% and 13.2%)[25].

In all cases reported, surgical resection was the the most effective choice of treatment, but the necessity of lymph node dissection had not been reported. However, postoperative radiotherapy, postoperative chemotherapy, or targeted therapy was rarely adopted. Some reports had suggested that postoperative radiation therapy and chemotherapy may be benefifical[24]. However, Zhang et al [26] reported that that surgery could be an effective way of treating lymph node metastasis of LELCC. In recent years, immunotherapy has become a new treatment option for many tumors. Of the one LELCC patient who had anti-PD-1 treatment after gemcitabine/ cisplatin therapy failure got a durable partial response to the anti-PD-1 immunotherapy[14]. Wang et al [18] found that PD-L1 levels were higher in LELCC than in ICC. Therefore, Immunotherapy of targeted PD-L1/PD-1 may be effective therapeutic strategy in EBV-associated LELCC. Because of the limited number of reported cases, no consensus on standardized treatment strategy for LELCC had been reported, treatment methods and prognosis need further observation and exploration. In our study, the patient, which did not receive any radiation and chemotherapy, was followed up for 12 months without recurrence or metastasis after hepatectomy. We will Currently continue to follow up.

In summary, EBV-associated LELCC which have a better prognosis than conventional-type CC, is a rare variant of ICC. The diagnosis depends on histopathology, immunohistochemistry and EBER
detection, and the recognition and correct diagnosis of LELCC has important clinical significance for the treatment and prognosis of patients.
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Figures
Figure 1

a A low-density nodule located in the junction of the left and right lobes of the liver, with measuring 1.9 cm in diameter and a vague margin was also observed in the CT scan. b MRI showed abnormal nodular signals with an estimated magnitude of 1.7 cm × 1.4 cm in the junction of the left and right liver lobes. c Grossly, cut surface of resected mass was greyish-white, soft, and had a relatively well demarcated margin. d Microscopically, the tumor was composed of undifferentiated epithelial cells with prominent lymphoid infiltration and scattered lymphoid follicles (hematoxylin and eosin stain, original magnification×100). e
Tumor cells were cubic, with vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and a syncytial cytoplasmic appearance (hematoxylin and eosin stain, original magnification ×200). f CK7 was positive in the tumor cells (Immunohistochemical staining, original magnification ×200).

g CK19 was positive in the tumor cells (Immunohistochemical staining, original magnification ×200).

h The tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were predominant for CD3-positive T cells (Immunohistochemical staining, original magnification ×200).

i CD20 was positive for B cells scattered in the germinal center and surrounding areas (Immunohistochemical staining, original magnification ×200).

j EBV-encoded RNA (EBER) showed strongly positive in the tumor cells (EBER in situ hybridization, original magnification ×200).
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