The Economic Burden of Epilepsy in Korea, 2010
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Objectives: The purposes of this study were to evaluate the prevalence of epilepsy and to estimate the cost of epilepsy in Korea, 2010. Methods: This study used a prevalence based approach to calculate the cost of epilepsy. Claims data from the Korean national health insurance and data from the Korea health panel, the Korea National Statistical Office’s records of causes of death, and labor statistics were used to estimate the cost of epilepsy. Patients were defined as those who were hospitalized or visited an outpatient clinic during 2010 with a diagnosis of epilepsy (International Classification of Diseases 10th revision codes G40-G41). Total costs of epilepsy included direct medical costs, direct non-medical cost and indirect costs. Results: The annual prevalence of treated epilepsy was 228 per 100 000 population, and higher in men. The age-specific prevalence was highest for teenagers. The total economic burden of epilepsy was 536 billion Korean won (KW). Indirect cost (304 billion KW) was 1.3 times greater than direct cost (232 billion KW). By gender, the male (347 billion KW) were more burdened than the female (189 billion KW). The estimated cost in young age younger than 20 years old was 24.5% of the total burden of epilepsy. Conclusions: A significant portion of the economic burden of epilepsy is borne by people in young age. To reduce the economic burden of epilepsy, effective prevention and treatment strategies are needed.
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INTRODUCTION

The International League Against Epilepsy and the International Bureau for Epilepsy defined epilepsy as a disease of brain featured by a state causing at least one epileptic seizure continuously and its neurobiological, cognitive, psychological, and social results [1]. The epilepsy is the second common disease among chronic nervous diseases next to the stroke, it is known that 3% to 5% of the whole population experiences convulsion during his/her lifetime [2] and it is assumed that there are over 50 million patients with the epilepsy in the world [3]. It has been reported that in the developed countries, prevalence of the epilepsy is 16 to 51 per 100 000 population and there was a trend that the prevalence was higher in an age group below 1 year old and during infancy, decreased gradually with increase of age, and started to increase again from 50 year old. On the other hand, it was reported that in the developing countries, the prevalence was 35 to 111 per 100 000 population and there was a trend that the prevalence was higher in an age group below 1 year old and during infancy, decreased gradually with increase of age, and started to increase again from 50 year old. On the other hand, it was reported that in the developing countries, the prevalence was 35 to 111 per 100 000 population and there was a trend that the prevalence was higher in an age group below 1 year old and during infancy, decreased gradually with increase of age, and started to increase again from 50 year old. On the other hand, it was reported that in the developing countries, the prevalence was 35 to 111 per 100 000 population and there was a trend that the prevalence was higher in an age group below 1 year old and during infancy, decreased gradually with increase of age, and started to increase again from 50 year old.
in receiving appropriate treatment [5]. However, morbidity of the patients with epilepsy increases and symptomatic epilepsy reduces life expectancy by 18 years at maximum [6]. In addition, the epilepsy develops relatively early and is accompanied with cognitive disorders and psychiatric and behavioral problems [3].

For economic burden of epilepsy, it was reported that in United States, direct medical cost per year was 95 billion dollars from 1996 to 2004, corresponding to 0.5% of total health related expenses [7]. For Europe, total burden of epilepsy was 0.2% of gross domestic product (GDP) of the whole Europe as 10 382 billion euro [8]. A study on prevalence and economic burden of epilepsy in Korea was performed using data from the Korean national health insurance by Lee et al. [9] in 2007 and reported that its prevalence was 2.41 per 1000 persons and its direct medical cost was 183 million dollars. Nevertheless as no study on cost of illness study including direct medical cost and indirect cost has been conducted, there is a need to estimate its economic burden at this time point when surgical treatment of epilepsy is going to be popularized.

Estimating economic burden of illness is one of issues important for decision making in public health areas and the economic burden estimation will be utilized for assessing medical distribution, explaining health state of national people and establishing target of public health [10,11]. Therefore this study intended to identify prevalence of epilepsy by using national health insurance claimed data, estimate its socio-economic cost, and provide the latest data for its socio-economic burden of illness.

**METHODS**

**Study Population and Data Sources**

This study estimated economic burden of epilepsy via prevalence approach and used total claimed data of Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service (HIRA) to the Korea National Health Insurance (KNHI) in order to calculate its direct medical cost. Korea provides comprehensive National Health Insurance Service, both KNHI and HIRA, governmental agencies, monitor and supervises total use of medical service using medical health insurance, medical service provider must claim the medical service and drug prescription obligatorily to KNHI and HIRA. In addition, actual state survey data on user paid expenditure of the National Health Insurance Corporation 2010 in order to estimate user paid cost in the direct cost [12]. In order to estimate traffic expenses in the direct non-medical cost, this study used data from 2008 traffic cost survey of medical panel by diseases [13] and cited cost survey report of patient helper by the Korean Patient Helper Society in 2008 to estimate cost for medical care [14]. In addition, in order to estimate indirect cost, 2010 death statistics and 2010 basic statistics survey on wage structure of the Korean National Statistical Office were used [15,16].

**Selection Criteria**

This study was performed to patient defined as those who were hospitalized or visited an outpatient clinic during 2010 with a disease code of epilepsy, which included G40 (epilepsy) and G41 (status epilepticus of the diagnostic codes of the 10th version of the International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) [17]. For the patients visiting outpatient clinic, those who visited medical institutions with same illness at least 3 times during 2010 were selected as patients to avoid over-estimation, while the patients having only 1 hospitalization record were considered as patients with epilepsy.

**Prevalence Estimation and Cost of Illness Studies**

This study measured prevalence subject to total beneficiaries of National Health Insurance in 2010, in which Medical Aid beneficiaries were also included. The treatment prevalence was calculated subject to those who satisfied the selection criteria in 2010 and prevalence by genders and ages were also assessed. This study estimated socio-economic cost of epilepsy to estimate economic burden of the illness, dividing it into direct cost and indirect cost [18]. The above direct cost was defined as cost required for treatment of epilepsy and divided into direct medical cost and direct no-medical cost. The indirect cost was defined as productivity loss from visiting outpatient clinic and in-hospitalization and productivity loss from early death.

The direct medical cost comprises national health insurance benefit coverage treatment cost, lawful personal share, non-coverage medical expenditure including difference for upper grade bed use and selective medical expenditure, medicine purchasing cost by prescription for outpatients and inpatients. The national health insurance benefit coverage medical expenditure and the lawful personal share were calculated with cost claimed as major diseases such as ICD-10 G40 and G41. The non-coverage medical expenditure was calculated by estimating the mean non-coverage personal share of the epilepsy and its associated disease as 18.1% using data from 2010 ac-
tual survey of personal share medical expenditure [12]. For the pharmaceutical expenditure, basis to non-coverage pharmaceutical expenditure in the total pharmaceutical expenditure was insufficient. However the pharmaceutical expenditure of outpatient medical service was calculated using outpatient pharmaceutical expenditure of HIRA, considering that most drugs were involved in the health insurance coverage in Korea and presuming that there was no non-coverage pharmaceutical expenditure to avoid overestimation.

The direct non-medical cost was composed of traffic expenditure used for visiting medical institutions for outpatient treatment and hospitalization and expenses for patient helper during in-hospitalization period. The traffic expenditure was calculated by substituting 4687.3 Korean won (KW) of mean one-way traffic expenditure of outpatient and 12 719.9 KW of mean one-way traffic expenditure of inpatient and then converting to 2010 stand price index with standard traffic price index ratio, using 2008 data of medical panel’s traffic expenditure of each outpatient and inpatient. Although expenditure of both caregiver and patient were considered, the patient aged within the range of 20 to 60 years old was regarded as visiting without caregiver [13]. The expenses for patient helper of hospitalized patients was calculated by multiplying the number of hospitalization days on the basis of 56 809 KW (2008) of mean expenses for patient helper per day provided by the Korean Patient Helper Society [14]. For outpatients aged below 20 and over 60, the expenditure was calculated by multiplying one third of the expenses for patient helper by the number of use of outpatient clinic.

In the indirect cost, productivity loss from use of outpatient clinic, hospitalization, and early death was considered. However for the productivity loss from use of outpatient clinic and hospitalization, the patients aged below 20 and over 60 were not considered, regarded them as not participating in economic activities and the productivity loss from early death of population aged over 60 was not considered also. For inpatients, their productivity loss was calculated by multiplying the number of hospitalization days by average wage and for outpatients, one third of multiplication between the number of visits to outpatient clinic and the average wage was acknowledged as their productivity loss. The average wage was applied by 5 year of age groups, using 2010 basic statistics survey on wage structure and the productivity loss from early death was calculated by identifying the number of death from the relevant illness according to the annual report on the cause of death statistics and then converting possible income of each age group to current value with 3% of discount rate [15,16].

\[
\text{Annual } \epsilon \text{ direct cost } = \sum_{m=1}^{12} \text{ IDC}_m
\]

\[
\text{IDC} = \sum_{ag=2}^{6} (\text{AWag} \times \text{Fi, ag}) + \sum_{ag=2}^{6} (\text{AWag} \times \text{Fo, ag} \times \frac{1}{3})
\]

\[
+ \sum_{ag=0}^{5} (\text{PAWag} \times \text{Fd, ag})
\]

\[
\text{IDC} = \text{indirect cost}
\]

\[
\text{AWag} = \text{average wage on a certain age group}
\]

\[
\text{Fi, ag} = \text{frequency of inpatients per age group}
\]

\[
\text{Fo, ag} = \text{frequency of outpatients per age group}
\]

\[
\text{PAWag} = \text{present value of averaged wage on a certain age group}
\]

\[
\text{Fd, ag} = \text{frequency of dead people per age group}
\]

RESULTS

During 2010, total 115 415 persons used outpatient clinic at least 3 times or were hospitalized at least 1 time due to epilepsy. Total treatment prevalence of epilepsy was 2.28 per 1000 persons, where the prevalence of male (2.60 per 1000 persons) was 1.65 time higher than that of female (1.97 per 1000 persons). By age groups, the age group of 10 to 19 showed the highest prevalence (2.84 per 1000 persons). Although there was a trend that the prevalence of females decreased with increase of age, the male showed a trend that their prevalence increased gradually between their 40’s and 70’s (Figure 1).

It was found that the socio-economic burden of illness from epilepsy was 535 990 million KW in 2010. It was found also that the male had greater socio-economic burden of illness (347 400 million KW, 64.8%) than that of the female (188 580 million KW, 35.2%) and by age groups, the age group of 40 to 49 had the greatest socio-economic burden (108 850 million KW, 20.3%) (Table 1). It was shown that by items, the socio-economic burden of epilepsy included 196210 million KW (43.2%) of direct cost and 304 240 KW (56.8%), where the ratio between the direct and the indirect cost was 0.76:1 (Table 2). The direct medical cost accounted for 36.6% of total burden as 196 210 million KW; the ratio of direct medical cost of the female was higher (44.9%) than that of the male (32.1%); and the outpatient pharmaceutical expenditure took the greatest part as 37.4% of the direct medical cost. The direct non-medical cost was 6.6% of total disease burden as 35 550 million KW and the expenses for patient helper took the greatest part as
Indirect cost had the highest ratio, 75.3% of total disease burden, as 304 240 million KW. In the indirect cost, the productivity loss from early death accounted for 78.5% of total indirect cost, as 238 900 million KW (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study measured the prevalence of epilepsy and its economic burden, using the non-coverage rate data of KNHI and the annual report on the cause of death statistics of the National Statistical Office on the basis of 2010 data of HIRA. It was found that the prevalence of epilepsy measured in this study was 2.28 per 1000 persons, which was lower than that of the previous study by Lee et al. [9] (2.41 per 1000 persons) that measured the prevalence of epilepsy using data from KNHI and HIRA in 2007. In addition, as it was also lower than the prevalence measured in global record-based studies (2.7 to 17.6 per 1000 persons) and the prevalence estimated in Door to Door study (2.2 to 41.0 per 1000 persons), following things might be considered. This study used only G40 (epilepsy) and G41 (status epilepticus) code among ICD-10 codes for definition of epilepsy. In addition, this study defined those who visited outpatient clinic at least 3 times as the patient to elevate the accuracy of selection criteria. These have a possibility that the prevalence might be underestimated by excluding ICD-10, R56 (convulsion) code or those who visited outpatient clinic less than 3 times, considering that only single seizure could be judged as epilepsy clinically and medication could be initiated [19]. In this study, it was shown that the prevalence was the highest in the male, under 20 year old and similar to the results of traditional studies in United States and Europe [20-22]. And it was assumed that the higher prevalence of the age group under 20 year old was caused by the feature of epilepsy devel-
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opining relatively early including hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy in perinatal period and central nervous system infection and trauma in neonatal or infant period [23-25]. Moreover the epilepsy showed a trend that the ratio of male increased, starting from 40 year old, which was assumed because brain injuries from cerebrovascular disease and trauma were major causes of epilepsy in the old man group. This prevalence pattern of epilepsy means that Korean outbreak of epilepsy is not so different from that of the developed countries.

Total socio-economic burden was 536 billion KW, which corresponds to 0.05% of Korea GDP in 2020 and 0.64% of medical cost of national people in 2010. This was lower than that of the stroke having 4100 billion KW of socio-economic burden in 2010 [26] or the asthma estimated as 960 billion KW [27], but it was shown that in spite of narrower definition of epilepsy, there was increase of direct medical cost for 3 years as much as 6.3% as 195 096 thousand US dollars.

Table 2. Cost of epilepsy in Korea, 2010

| Type of cost         | Male       | Female     | Total       |
|----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Direct medical cost  |            |            |             |
| OP Paid by insurer   | 12 291     | 9039       | 21 331      |
| Paid by patient     | 6149       | 4789       | 10 938      |
| Prescribed pharmacetics | 40 897     | 32 548     | 73 445      |
| Non-covered         | 13 114     | 10 249     | 23 363      |
| IP Paid by insurer  | 27 653     | 19 531     | 47 184      |
| Paid by patient     | 4409       | 3389       | 7797        |
| Non-covered         | 7086       | 5065       | 12 152      |
| Total direct medical cost | 111 599 (32.1) | 84 610 (44.9) | 196 208 (36.6) |
| Direct non-medical cost |            |            |             |
| Transportation (IP) | 340        | 217        | 557         |
| Transportation (OP) | 1012       | 786        | 1797        |
| Caregiving cost (IP)| 16 712     | 10 054     | 26 766      |
| Caregiving cost (OP)| 3615       | 2810       | 6425        |
| Total direct non-medical cost | 21 679 (6.2) | 13 867 (7.4) | 35 546 (6.6) |
| Indirect cost        |            |            |             |
| Productivity losses (IP) | 19 327     | 5740       | 25 067      |
| Productivity losses (OP) | 26 778     | 13 489     | 40 267      |
| Cost of premature death | 168 025   | 70 878     | 238 903     |
| Total indirect cost  | 214 130 (61.7) | 90 107 (47.8) | 304 237 (56.8) |
| Total cost           | 347 408    | 188 583    | 535 991     |

Values are presented as number or number (%). Unit: million Korean won. OP, outpatient; IP, inpatient.

lepsy in the age group below 20 reached to 130 billion KW and accounted for 24.5% of total economic burden of epilepsy. Thus, there is a need for a research for perinatal newborn baby management for prevention of epilepsy in lower age group and additional research on surgical treatment of epilepsy, of which cost-effectiveness was proved via studies by King et al. in 1997 [30] and by Langfitt et al. in 2007 [31].

In the study by Lee et al. [9] using data in 2007, it was found that the direct medical cost out of non-coverage items was 183 375 thousand US dollars. When comparing same items, it was shown that in spite of narrower definition of epilepsy, there was increase of direct medical cost for 3 years as much as 6.3% as 195 096 thousand US dollars.

This study provides following information additionally, in comparison to the study by Lee et al. [9] using the data in 2007. While the previous presented prevalence of epilepsy and health insurance coverage in priority, this study calculated the socio-economic burden of epilepsy, dividing further into direct medical cost, direct non-medical cost, and indirect cost. In this study, the non-coverage treatment cost represented by difference for upper grade bed use and selective treatment cost, traffic expenditure and expenses for patient helper involved in the direct non-medical cost, and the indirect cost measuring the productivity loss were estimated for the epi-
lepsy for the first time.

This study has several limitations as follows. First, definition of disease is narrower than that of previous studies, where for the outpatient, those who visited medical institutes at least 3 times with same disease were defined as the patient. Because the cost was estimated based on the main disease, those who visited medical institute with minor disease might be excluded and the cost might be underestimated. Second, this study estimated the productivity loss via human capital approach, which might have a possibility of overestimation for the productivity loss in comparison with the friction-cost method. On the other hand, this study did not include broad range of participation rate in economic activities including housekeeping and child rearing by gender and age-group, and particularly did not considered productivity loss of the age groups below 20 and over 60. Besides, it did not acknowledged difference of wage level by using the average wage cited from the survey report on labor conditions by employment type. Therefore, there is a possibility for underestimation of the productivity loss. Third, although the epilepsy is one of chronic diseases requiring continuous management and treatment, so productivity loss from the residual disorders should be considered, this study considered only the productivity loss from use of medical service and early death. This should be complemented in a future study. Finally, the cost for treatment assistance from epilepsy and health promotion could not be estimated because of data limitations.

In spite of these limitations, this study estimated the prevalence of epilepsy and its socio-economic cost by using nationwide data and calculated the direct non-medical cost and the indirect cost out of the direct medical cost for the first time. When a study for loss of epilepsy associated disorder is conducted in addition to this study, it will be used as basic data for cost-effectiveness analysis and decision-making associated with prevention and treatment of epilepsy.
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