CONES OF HILBERT FUNCTIONS
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ABSTRACT. We study the closed convex hull of various collections of Hilbert functions. Working over a standard graded polynomial ring with modules that are generated in degree zero, we describe the supporting hyperplanes and extreme rays for the cones generated by the Hilbert functions of all modules, all modules with bounded $a$-invariant, and all modules with bounded Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity. The first of these cones is infinite-dimensional and simplicial, the second is finite-dimensional but neither simplicial nor polyhedral, and the third is finite-dimensional and simplicial.

1. INTRODUCTION

Classifying modules is a universal problem in algebra. Within commutative algebra, the classification of graded modules bifurcates into understanding the space of all modules with a specified Hilbert function and describing the numerical functions that arise as the Hilbert function of some module. As a counterpart to multigraded Quot schemes which parametrize the modules with a fixed Hilbert function (see [HS, §6.2]), this paper initiates the study of the closed convex cones generated by the Hilbert functions of a given collection of modules.

There are many compelling collections of modules to consider over the standard graded ring $S := \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ where $\mathbb{k}$ is a field. The most naive consists of all finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S$-modules. In this case, every point in the corresponding closed convex cone is a unique countable linear combination of the Hilbert functions of the $S$-modules $S(-i)/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{k}(-i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, within any relevant topological vector space, the closed convex hull is the simplicial cone generated by the Hilbert functions of these artinian modules. In other words, it is simply the infinite-dimensional positive orthant. To actually capture the subtleties of homogeneous coordinate rings, we concentrate on collections of $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S$-modules that are generated in degree zero. If $E \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}$ is a topological $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space that contains the Hilbert functions of all artinian $S$-modules generated in degree zero and the function $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ lies in $E$, then our first substantive result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. The closed convex hull of the Hilbert functions of $S$-modules generated in degree zero and contained in $E$ is the intersection of the closed half-spaces defined by the inequalities $(n + j + 1)h(j) \geq (j + 1)h(j + 1)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The extreme rays of this simplicial cone are generated by the Hilbert functions of the $S$-modules $S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^i$ where $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

By design, our approach overcomes limitations in Macaulay’s celebrated theorem on Hilbert functions. Although the main theorem in [Mac] determines those numerical functions which occur as Hilbert functions of a homogeneous quotient of $S$, the complexity of this result, as underscored in [BFS, p. 27] and [Bre, p. 132], makes it unwieldy. The optimal
linear conditions are frequently more useful despite not providing a complete characterization. Moreover, because Macaulay’s Theorem depends inherently on lex-segment ideals, it cannot be extended to graded rings that do not have analogous ideals. Closed convex hulls enjoy no such restrictions. These two features, in addition to the advantages of endowing the set of Hilbert functions with a geometric structure, motivate our interest in cones of Hilbert functions. In particular, we regard the supporting hyperplanes in Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 2.1) as the linearization of Macaulay’s Theorem.

To reveal the properties related to Hilbert polynomials, we need a smaller collection of modules—one that does not contain artinian modules of arbitrary length. Requiring that the Hilbert polynomial and Hilbert function agree for all integers greater than a fixed number $m$ for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ demonstrates, the cone $\mathbb{N}$ generated by $\sum h(j)$ is the linear operator defined by

$$(T[h])(j) = (n + j + 1)h(j) - (j + 1)h(j + 1)$$

where $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$, then the image $T[Q_{n,a}]$ equals the closed convex hull of $\mathbb{N}^N \cap V_{n,a}$.

The linear operator $T$ and the supporting hyperplanes for $Q_{n,a}$ emerge from the linearization of Macaulay’s Theorem. Since Proposition 3.2 describes the extreme rays for the image $T[Q_{n,a}]$, we also obtain, in Corollary 3.8, a description for the extreme rays of $Q_{n,a}$. As Example 3.12 demonstrates, the cone $Q_{n,a}$ is generally neither simplicial nor polyhedral.

Alternatively, Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, an invariant of a module not just its Hilbert function, provides a more sophisticated mechanism for creating a smaller collection. To be explicit, let $R_{n,m}$ be the closed convex hull in $V_{n+1,m}$ of the Hilbert functions of finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S$-modules that are generated in degree zero and have no free summands, and have regularity at most $m$. If $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$ denotes the Hilbert polynomial associated to $h \in R_{n,m}$ and $\nabla: \mathbb{Q}[s] \to \mathbb{Q}[s]$ is the backward difference operator defined by $\nabla q(s) := q(s) - q(s - 1)$ for $q \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$, then our third significant result describes the cone $R_{n,m}$.

**Theorem 1.3.** The closed convex cone $R_{n,m}$ lies in the subspace $V_{n,m} \subset V_{n+1,m}$ and is the intersection of the closed half-spaces given by the inequalities:

$$(n + j + 1)h(j) \geq (j + 1)h(j + 1) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < m,$$

$$h(m) \geq q_h(m) \quad \text{and,}$$

$$(n + 1 - i)\nabla^i q_h(m) \geq (n + m + 1 - i)\nabla^{i+1} q_h(m) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < n.$$
The extreme rays of this simplicial polyhedral cone are generated by the Hilbert functions of the following cyclic modules:

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle, \\
S & \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^2, \\
& \ldots, \\
S & \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^m, \\
S & \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^{m+1}, \\
& \ldots, \\
S & \langle x_0 \rangle^{m+1}.
\end{align*}
\]  
\tag{1.3.1}

To prove this theorem, we use the natural projection from the cone of Betti tables. It is
intriguing that the extreme rays of \( R_{n,m} \) correspond to modules with linear free resolutions,
arguably the simplest pure Betti tables.

Our progress in describing cones of Hilbert functions points in several promising directions.
For instance, how does one describe the closed convex hull for other important collections of
\( S \)-modules. Since convex cones are closed under linear combinations with positive coefficients
and the Hilbert function of a direct sum is the sum of the Hilbert functions, collections of
modules that are closed under finite direct sums are likely the most pertinent. In contrast, we
would also like to generalize Macaulay’s Theorem to other rings by describing the closed
convex hull of the Hilbert functions of all module generated in degree zero. Following [GHP],
toric rings are the most prominent candidates among \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded commutative rings. More
generally, what is the analogue of Theorem 1.1 when \( S \) is replaced by the homogeneous
coordinate ring of a projective variety and how do the supporting hyperplanes and extreme
rays reflect the geometry of the underlying variety. Considering non-standard and multigraded
polynomial rings branches onto a somewhat different track as [BM], [BNV], and [KMU]
establish. Preliminary work for a standard bigraded polynomial ring, or equivalently the Cox
ring for a product of projective spaces, indicates that an elementary variant of Theorem 1.1
holds. However, versions over the Cox ring for any smooth projective toric variety appear to
be intrinsically more complicated. For geometric applications, one should probably exclude
all modules that contain an element annihilated by a power of the irrelevant ideal. Finally, we
have not begun to analyze the semigroup within the closed convex cone formed by the Hilbert
functions of modules.

Contents of the paper. Section 2 gives both a combinatorial proof and an algebraic proof
for the linearization of Macaulay’s Theorem, also known as Theorem 2.1. Our description of
the closed convex hull of the Hilbert function of artinian \( S \)-modules generated in degree zero,
given in Corollary 2.3, and the proof for Theorem 1.1 follow. In Section 3, Proposition 3.2
describes the extreme rays of the closed convex hull of \( \mathbb{N}^N \cap V_{n,a} \). After a triple of technical
lemmata, we prove Theorem 1.2. The section ends with Corollary 3.8, which explicitly
describes the supporting hyperplanes and extreme rays of \( Q_{n,a} \), and four examples illustrating
this corollary. We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 4 and we close with Proposition 4.5, which
explicitly bounds Betti numbers linearly via Hilbert functions.

Conventions. We write \( \mathbb{N} \) for the set of non-negative integers and \( \mathbb{k} \) for an arbitrary field. A
set is countable if it has the same cardinality as \( \mathbb{N} \). Throughout the document, the polynomial
ring \( S := \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) has the standard \( \mathbb{N} \)-grading induced by setting \( \deg(x_i) = 1 \) for all
\( 0 \leq i \leq n \). All \( S \)-modules are finitely generated and \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded.
Acknowledgements. We thank Kathrin Vorwerk and Mike Roth for their valuable insights. The computer software Macaulay2 [M2] was indispensable for generating examples and discovering the correct statements. The second author was partially supported by NSERC.

2. Modules generated in degree zero

This section considers the closed convex hull of Hilbert functions of \(S\)-modules generated in degree zero. The key result, namely Theorem 2.1, describes the linear inequalities satisfied by the Hilbert function of such a module. By working in appropriate infinite-dimensional topological vector spaces, we obtain descriptions of the supporting hyperplanes and the extreme rays for the closed convex hull of Hilbert functions for any collection of modules containing all artinian \(S\)-modules.

If \(M\) is a finitely generated \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded \(S\)-module, then its Hilbert function is the numerical function \(h_M: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\) defined by \(h_M(j) := \dim_k M_j\).

**Theorem 2.1.** The Hilbert function of a finitely generated \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded \(S\)-module \(M\) generated in degree zero satisfies the following inequalities for all \(j \in \mathbb{N}\):

\[
\frac{h_M(j)}{h_S(j)} \geq \frac{h_M(j + 1)}{h_S(j + 1)} \quad \text{or} \quad (n + j + 1) h_M(j) \geq (j + 1) h_M(j + 1).
\]

**Combinatorial Proof.** We have \(h_S(j) = \binom{n+j}{j}\) for all \(j \in \mathbb{N}\) and the Absorption Identity states that \(k\binom{k}{j} = \ell\binom{k-1}{j-1}\) for all \(k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\), so the two forms of inequalities are equivalent and it is enough to prove that

\[
(n + j + 1) h_M(j) \geq (j + 1) h_M(j + 1).
\]

Since \(M\) is generated in degree zero, there exists a surjective homomorphism of \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded \(S\)-modules \(\eta: S^{(m)} \to M\) where \(S^{(m)}\) is the \(m\)-fold direct sum of \(S\) for some \(m \in \mathbb{N}\). By choosing a monomial order on \(S^{(m)}\), we see that both \(M\) and the quotient of \(S^{(m)}\) by the monomial submodule generated by the leading terms of \(\ker(\eta)\) have the same Hilbert function. In both cases, the monomials not belonging to the initial submodule form a \(k\)-vector spaces basis; see Theorem 15.3 in [Ei1]. Hence, it suffices to establish the inequality (2.1.2) in the case \(M = S/I\) for some monomial ideal \(I\).

To accomplish this, we interpret both sides of the inequality (2.1.2) as cardinalities of sets and describe an appropriate injective map. Using the stars-and-bars correspondence (see §1.2 in [Sta]), we identify the set \(\mathcal{M}_{j+1}\) of monomials in \(S_{j+1}\) with the \((j+1)\)-subsets of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n+j+1\}\). Consider the set \(\mathcal{X} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, j+1\} \times \mathcal{M}_{j+1}\) consisting of all pairs \((i, \sigma)\) such that \(i \in \sigma\), and let \(\mathcal{Y} := \{1, 2, \ldots, n+j+1\} \times \mathcal{M}_j\). Define the map \(\Phi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}\) by \(\Phi(i, \sigma) = (i, \sigma \setminus \{i\})\). This map is injective, because we can reconstruct \(\sigma\) from the pair \((i, \sigma \setminus \{i\})\). If \(\mathcal{X}' \subseteq \mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}' \subseteq \mathcal{Y}\) are the subsets for which the second components correspond to monomials not in \(I\), then we have

\[
|\mathcal{X}'| = (j + 1) h_{S/I}(j + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{Y}'| = (n + j + 1) h_{S/I}(j).
\]

Since \(\sigma \setminus \{i\}\) corresponds to a monomial not in \(I\) whenever \(\sigma\) corresponds to a monomial not in \(I\), restricting the map \(\Phi\) yields the required injection from \(\mathcal{X}'\) to \(\mathcal{Y}'\). \(\square\)
Algebraic Proof. Generalizing Macaulay’s characterization of Hilbert functions of \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded \(\mathbb{k}\)-algebras (i.e. the Main Theorem in [Mac] or Theorem 4.2.10 in [BH]), Corollary 6 in [Hul] implies that the Hilbert function of \(M\) is bounded above by the Hilbert function of the quotient of a free module \(S^{(n)}\) by a lexicographic submodule. In particular, if \(h_M(j)\) is a multiple of \(h_S(j) = \binom{n+j}{j}\), then \(h_M(j+1)\) is bounded above by the same multiple of \(h_S(j+1) = \binom{n+j+1}{j+1} = \frac{n+j+1}{j+1}h_S(j)\). Hence, for an appropriate \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), \(h_M(k)\) is a multiple of \(h_S(j)\) and we obtain

\[
k h_M(j+1) = h_M(k)(j+1) \leq \left(\frac{n+j+1}{j+1}\right) h_M(k)(j) = k \left(\frac{n+j+1}{j+1}\right) h_M(j) .
\]

\[\square\]

Remark 2.2. If one replaces the symmetric algebra \(S\) with an exterior algebra (see Corollary 4.18 in [BNV]), then the analogue of Theorem 2.1 also holds. However, these inequalities do not hold in all rings. For example if \(R := \mathbb{k}[x_0,x_1]/(x_0^2,x_0x_1)\) and \(M := R/\langle x_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{k}[x_1]\), then we have \(h_M(1)/h_R(1) = \frac{1}{2} < 1 = h_M(2)/h_R(2)\).

Let \(c_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}\) be the Banach space consisting of all convergent real sequences \(h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}\) such that \(h(j) \to 0\) as \(j \to \infty\) equipped with the sup norm; see [Gro, p. 31]. For any finitely generated \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded artinian \(S\)-module \(M\), we have \(h_M \in c_0\), because the sequence is eventually zero.

**Corollary 2.3.** The closed convex hull in \(c_0\) of the Hilbert functions of artinian \(S\)-modules generated in degree zero is the intersection of the closed half-spaces defined by the inequalities \((n+j+1)h(j) \geq (j+1)h_M(j+1)\) for \(j \in \mathbb{N}\). Moreover, the extreme rays of this cone are generated by the Hilbert functions of the \(S\)-modules \(S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^i\) where \(i \in \mathbb{N}\).

**Proof.** Theorem 2.1 shows that the Hilbert function of any \(S\)-module \(M\) generated in degree zero is contained in the intersection of the closed half-spaces determined by the inequalities \((n+j+1)h_M(j) \geq (j+1)h_M(j+1)\) for all \(j \in \mathbb{N}\). For brevity, set \(m := \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle\). For \(i \in \mathbb{N}\), we have

\[
h_{S/m^i}(j) = \begin{cases} \binom{n+j}{i} & \text{if } j < i \\ 0 & \text{if } j \geq i. \end{cases}
\]

(2.3.3)

These Hilbert functions are linearly independent in \(c_0\), so each \(S/m^i\) corresponds to an extreme ray of the closed convex cone \(K\) generated by \(\{(h_{S/m^i}(j)) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\). Equation (2.3.3) also yields \((n+i)h_{S/m^i}(i-1) > (i)h_{S/m^i}(i)\) and, together with the Absorption Identity, shows that \((n+j+1)h_{S/m^i}(j) = (j+1)h_{S/m^i}(j+1)\) for all \(j \neq i\). Since \(\frac{1}{n!}\binom{n+\ell-1}{n} \to 0\) as \(\ell \to \infty\), the sequences

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k!} h_{S/m^k}(j)
\]

converge as \(\ell \to \infty\) and the limit lies on the closed hyperplane \((n+j+1)h(j) = (j+1)h(j+1)\) if and only if \(j = i - 1\). Hence, \(K\) is intersection of the closed half-spaces defined by the inequalities \((n+j+1)h(j) \geq (j+1)h(j+1)\) for \(j \in \mathbb{N}\). Finally, the Krein-Milman Theorem
(e.g. Theorem 1 on [Gro, p. 187] and the Corollary on [Gro, p. 189]) establishes that every extreme ray of the cone of Hilbert functions for artinian $S$-modules generated in degree zero corresponds to an $S$-module $S/m^i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Remark 2.4.** The proof of Corollary 2.3 exploits only the topological vector space structure of the Banach space $c_0$.

**Remark 2.5.** Since every Cohen-Macaulay module has an artinian reduction, Corollary 2.3 leads immediately to a description of the closed convex hull of the Hilbert function of Cohen-Macaulay $S$-modules generated in degree zero.

By working in a larger space, we can extend Corollary 2.3. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}$ be a topological $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space that contains the Hilbert functions of all artinian $S$-modules generated in degree zero. For example, if $E$ is the weighted $\ell^\infty$-space consisting of all bounded sequences $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the norm $\|h\| := \sup |n^{-j}h(j)|$, then the Hilbert function of every finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S$-module is contained in $E$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Set $m := \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$. Since every Hilbert function in $E$ can expressed uniquely as a non-negative countable linear combination of the Hilbert functions of the $S$-modules $S/m^i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the cone of all Hilbert functions in $E$ is generated by $\{h_{S/m^i}(j) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Hence, the assertions follow from Corollary 2.3.

**Remark 2.6.** The closed convex cones described in Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.3 are both simplicial (in the sense of Choquet theory). In other words, every point in the cone is a unique countable linear combination of the Hilbert functions of the $S$-modules $S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^i$ where $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

### 3. Modules with Bounded $a$-Invariant

In this section, we replace the ambient infinite-dimensional vector space $E$ appearing in Section 2 with a finite-dimensional vector space. We accomplish this by concentrating on $S$-modules with bounded $a$-invariant. In other words, we insist that the Hilbert function and Hilbert polynomial agree for all integers greater than $a$. To determine the supporting hyperplanes and extreme rays, we related the cone of Hilbert functions with bounded $a$-invariant to the cone of non-negative sequences.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $a \geq -n$. Consider the finite-dimensional subspace $V_{n,a} \subset \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}$ consisting of all sequences $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that the associated generating functions satisfy

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(j)t^j = \frac{b_0 + b_1 t + \cdots + b_{a+n} t^{a+n}}{(1-t)^n} \in \mathbb{Q}(t)
$$

for some $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{a+n} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Following Corollary 4.3.1 in [Sta], this condition on the generating function is equivalent to the existence of $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$ such that $q_h(j) = h(j)$ for all $j > a$. As an abuse of terminology, we refer to $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$ as the Hilbert polynomial of $h \in V_{n,a}$. We identify a sequence $h \in V_{n,a}$ with its generating function $\sum_j h(j)t^j \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$ and regard $V_{n,a}$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{Q}(t)$. 


Definition 3.1. Let $P_{n,a}$ denote the closed convex hull in $V_{n,a}$ of the intersection $\mathbb{N}^N \cap V_{n,a}$. Informally, we say that $P_{n,a} \subset V_{n,a}$ is the **cone of non-negative sequences**.

As $j \to \infty$, the inequalities $h(j) \geq 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, which define the cone $P_{n,a}$, simply assert that the leading coefficient of the Hilbert polynomial is positive.

To give the dual description of $P_{n,a}$, it is convenient to introduce a family of polynomials. For an integer partition $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0$, we define

$$p_\lambda(s) := \prod_{i=1}^r (s - \lambda_{r-i+1} - 2i + 2)(s - \lambda_{r-i+1} - 2i + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}[s].$$

Hence, the set $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \vdash r\}$ consists of all monic polynomials of degree $2r$ with non-negative integer roots that appear in consecutive pairs.

The extreme rays in $P_{n,a}$ depends on the parameter $a$. For instance, there is an additional type of extreme ray when $a \geq 0$. Nevertheless, we provide a uniform characterization by introducing an auxiliary parameter $\hat{a}$.

**Proposition 3.2.** Set $\hat{a} := a + \max(1, -a)$. The extreme rays of the non-negative cone $P_{n,a}$ correspond to the polynomials $1, t, \ldots, t^a$ and the power series

$$\sum_{j \geq \hat{a}} \left( p_\lambda(j - \hat{a}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{\hat{a}-a-1} (j + \ell) \right) t^j, \quad \sum_{j \geq \hat{a}} \left( p_\mu(j - \hat{a} - 1) \prod_{\ell=0}^{\hat{a}-a-1} (j + \ell) \right) t^j$$

where $\lambda$ ranges over all integer partitions with at most $\lceil (n - \hat{a} + a)/2 \rceil$ parts and $\mu$ ranges over all integer partitions with at most $\lceil (n - \hat{a} + a - 1)/2 \rceil$ parts.

**Proof.** The Binomial Theorem yields both $t^k = (1 - t)^{-n} \sum_i \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i t^{k+i}$ for $0 \leq k \leq a$ and $(1 - t)^{-\ell} = (1 - t)^{-n} \sum_i \binom{n-\ell}{i} (-1)^i t^{i}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$. Hence, when $a \geq 0$, the rational functions $t^a, t^{a-1}, \ldots, 1, (1 - t)^{-1}, (1 - t)^{-2}, \ldots, (1 - t)^{-n}$ form a triangular basis for $V_{n,a}$. Let $(c_{-a}, c_{-a+1}, \ldots, c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ denote the coordinates of $h \in P_{n,a}$ with respect to this ordered basis. When $a < 0$, just the rational functions $(1 - t)^a, (1 - t)^{a-1}, \ldots, (1 - t)^{-n}$ form a triangular basis for $V_{n,a}$. For consistency, let $(c_{-a}, c_{-a+1}, \ldots, c_n)$ denote the coordinates of $h \in P_{n,a}$ in this situation. Since the Generalized Binomial Theorem implies that $(1 - t)^{-\ell} = \sum_j \binom{\ell+j-1}{\ell-1} t^j$, we obtain the inequalities

$$c_{-j} + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{\ell+j-1}{\ell-1} c_\ell = h(j) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq a,$$

$$\sum_{\ell= \hat{a}-a}^n \binom{\ell+j-1}{\ell-1} c_\ell = h(j) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq \hat{a}.$$
ray, we must also have $c_{-j} = h(j) = 0$ for all but one $j$ satisfying $0 \leq j \leq a$. Hence, we have $a \geq 0$ and the extreme rays in this case correspond to the polynomials $1, t, \ldots, t^a$.

Now, suppose that $c_n > 0$ and that we have at most $n - \hat{a} + a$ equalities $h(j) = 0$ with $j \geq \hat{a}$. To obtain a ray, we must have $h(j) = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq a$ and $h(j) = 0$ for exactly $n - \hat{a} + a$ distinct $j$ satisfying $j \geq \hat{a}$. Hence, the polynomial

$$q(s) := \sum_{\ell = -a}^{n} \biggl( \frac{\ell + s + \hat{a} - 1}{\ell - 1} \biggr) c_\ell \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$$

has $n - \hat{a} + a$ distinct non-negative integer roots. This polynomial also has $\hat{a} - a - 1$ distinct negative integer roots, namely $-1, -2, \ldots, 1 - \hat{a} + a$. Since $\deg(q) = n - 1$, it is uniquely determined by its leading coefficient and these integer roots. Furthermore, the real function $q$ changes sign at each root and the evaluation of $q$ at every non-negative integer is non-negative, so the non-negative roots of $q$ must come in consecutive pairs. When $n - \hat{a} + a$ is odd, we need an even number of sign changes arising from the non-negative roots, so $0$ itself must be a root of $q$. Thus, the extreme rays in this case correspond to the power series

$$\sum_{j \geq \hat{a}} \left( p_\lambda(j - \hat{a}) \prod_{\ell = 1}^{\hat{a} - a - 1} (j + \ell) \right) t^j \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{j \geq \hat{a}} \left( p_\mu(j - \hat{a} - 1) \prod_{\ell = 0}^{\hat{a} - a - 1} (j + \ell) \right) t^j$$

where $n - \hat{a} + a$ is even and the integer partition $\lambda$ has $(n - \hat{a} + a)/2$ parts or $n - \hat{a} + a$ is odd and the integer partition $\mu$ has $(n - \hat{a} + a - 1)/2$ parts.

The remaining extreme rays of $P_{n,a}$ lie in the hyperplane $c_n = 0$ or equivalently $V_{n-1,a}$. Therefore, induction on $n$ completes the proof. □

The more important cone in $V_{n,a}$ is generated by Hilbert functions. Specifically, if $M$ is any finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S$-module without free summands (i.e. $\dim(M) < n + 1$), then the Hilbert function $h_M : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is contained in $V_{n,a}$ for all $a \gg 0$; see Corollary 4.1.8 in [BH]. Moreover, we have $h_M \in V_{n,a}$ if and only if the Hilbert function $h_M(j)$ equals the Hilbert polynomial $q_M(j)$ for all $j > a$; see Corollary 4.1.12 in [BH]. When $M$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra, the parameter $a$ is the $a$-invariant; see Definition 4.4.4 in [BH].

**Definition 3.3.** Let $Q_{n,a}$ denote the closed convex hull in $V_{n,a}$ of the Hilbert functions of finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S$-modules that are generated in degree zero and have no free summands. Informally, we say that $Q_{n,a} \subset V_{n,a}$ is the cone of Hilbert functions with bounded $a$-invariant.

To encode the inequalities appearing in Theorem 2.1, we introduce the linear operator $T : \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}$ defined by $(T[h])(j) := (n + j + 1)h(j) - (j + 1)h(j + 1)$ for any $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$. For an associated generating function, we have $T \left[ \sum_{j} h(j) t^j \right] = \sum_{j} (T[h](j)) t^j$. Despite our notation, the operator $T$ depends on the parameter $n$. Our first lemma shows that the restriction of $T$ to $V_{n,a}$ has an elegant reinterpretation.

**Lemma 3.4.** The subspace $V_{n,a}$ is $T$-invariant and $T = (n + 1) - (1 - t) \frac{d}{dt}$. Moreover, the rational functions $(1 - t)^i$ where $-n \leq i \leq a$ form an eigenbasis for $V_{n,a}$ and the eigenvalue of $T$ corresponding to $(1 - t)^i$ is $n + 1 + i$. 
Lemma 3.6. Any polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$ of degree $r$ with $r$ distinct negative integer roots and a positive leading coefficient is a non-negative $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of the polynomials $\binom{s+k}{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq r$. 

Proof. The Binomial Theorem yields $(1-t)^i = (1-t)^{-n} \sum_j \binom{i+n}{j} (-1)^j t^j$, so the rational functions $(1-t)^i$ for $-n \leq i \leq a$ also form triangular basis for $V_{n,a}$. Hence, we have

$$
\left( (n+1) - (1-t) \frac{d}{dt} \right) \left[ \sum_j h(j) t^j \right] = \sum_j (n+1) h(j) t^j - \sum_j j h(j) t^{j-1} + \sum_j j h(j) t^j
$$

$$
= \sum_j ((n+1+j) h(j) - (j+1) h(j+1)) t^j
$$

$$
= T \left[ \sum_j h(j) t^j \right]
$$

and $T[(1-t)^i] = (n+1)(1-t)^i - (1-t)(i)(1-t)^{i-1}(-1) = (n+1+i)(1-t)^i$. □

The second lemma in this section calculates the image under $T$ of the Hilbert function for certain cyclic modules.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $0 \leq \ell \leq n + 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq a + n - \ell + 2$. For the cyclic module $M := S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell-1} \rangle^i$, we have $h_M \in V_{n,a}$ and

$$
T \left[ \sum_j h_M(j) t^j \right] = T \left[ (1-t)^{i-1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \binom{\ell-1+k}{k} t^k \right] = \binom{\ell-1+i}{i} t^i (1-t)^{\ell-1-n}.
$$

Proof. The monomials not in the ideal $\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell-1} \rangle^i$ form a $k$-vector space basis for $M$; see Theorem 15.3 in [Ei1]. Since these basis elements in degree $j$ are the disjoint union of monomials in $k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell-1}]_k \cdot k[x_\ell, x_{\ell+1}, \ldots, x_n]_{j-k}$ where $0 \leq k \leq i-1$, we have

$$
\sum_j h_M(j) t^j = (1-t)^{i-1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \binom{\ell-1+k}{k} t^k,
$$

so $h_M \in V_{n,a}$ when $i-1+\ell-1 \leq a+n$. Combining Lemma 3.4 with the Absorption Identity, we obtain

$$
T \left[ \sum_j h_M(j) t^j \right] = \left( (n+1) - (1-t) \frac{d}{dt} \right) \left[ (1-t)^{i-1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \binom{\ell-1+k}{k} t^k \right]
$$

$$
= \ell(1-t)^{i-1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \binom{\ell-1+k}{k} t^k - (1-t)^{i-1-n} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} k \binom{\ell-1+k}{k} t^k
$$

$$
= (1-t)^{i-1-n} \left( \ell + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (\ell+k) \binom{\ell-1+k}{k} t^k - \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} (k+1) \binom{\ell+k}{k+1} t^k \right)
$$

$$
= (1-t)^{i-1-n} (\ell + i) \binom{\ell+i}{i-1} t^{i-1} = i \binom{\ell-1+i}{i-1} t^{i-1} (1-t)^{\ell-1-n}. □
$$

We concluded our trilogy of lemmata with an elementary positivity result.
Proof. We proceed by induction on \( r \). If \( r = 1 \), then \( g \) is the product of the leading coefficient of \( f \) and the polynomial \( (s + 0) \) which establishes the base case. Assume that \( r > 1 \). Since \( f \) has \( r \) distinct negative integer roots, the smallest root of \( f \) equals \(-r - \ell \) for some \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfying \( \ell \geq 0 \). It follows that \( f(s) = (s + r + \ell) g(s) \) where \( g \in \mathbb{Q}[s] \) has degree \( r - 1 \), \( r - 1 \) distinct negative integer roots, and a positive leading coefficient. The induction hypothesis implies that there exists non-negative \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{r-1} \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that

\[
g(s) = c_0 \binom{s+0}{0} + c_1 \binom{s+1}{1} + \cdots + c_{r-1} \binom{s+r-1}{r-1}.
\]

Hence, the Absorption Identity yields

\[
f(s) = (s + r + \ell) g(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (s + r + \ell) c_k \binom{s+k}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (s+k+1) c_k \binom{s+k}{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (r - 1 - k + \ell) c_k \binom{s+k}{k}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (k+1) c_k \binom{s+k+1}{k+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (r - 1 - k + \ell) c_k \binom{s+k}{k}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{r} kc_{k-1} \binom{s+k}{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (r - 1 - k + \ell) c_k \binom{s+k}{k}
\]

which completes the induction. \( \square \)

We can now prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that \( T[Q_{n,a}] = P_{n,a} \).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 2.1 together with Lemma 3.4 prove that \( T[Q_{n,a}] \subseteq P_{n,a} \), so it suffices to show that all of the extreme rays of \( P_{n,a} \) are images under \( T \) of elements in \( Q_{n,a} \). Lemma 3.5 establishes that images under \( T \) of Hilbert functions for the artinian modules \( S/\langle x_0, \ldots, x_n \rangle^i \) where \( 1 \leq i \leq a + 1 \) are scalar multiples of the polynomials \( 1, t, \ldots, t^a \). As in Proposition 3.2, let \( \hat{a} := a + \max(1, -a) \), fix an appropriate integer partition \( \lambda \) or \( \mu \), and let \( F(t) \) equal either

\[
\sum_{j \geq \hat{a}} \left( p_{\lambda}(j - \hat{a}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{\hat{a}-a-1} (j+\ell) t^j \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{j \geq \hat{a}} \left( p_{\mu}(j - \hat{a} - 1) \prod_{\ell=0}^{\hat{a}-a-1} (j+\ell) t^j \right).
\]

We need only exhibit a module \( M \) such that the image of its Hilbert series under \( T \) is a scalar multiple of \( F(t) \).

Since \( b := \hat{a} + \lambda_1 + 2r \) is the largest root of \( p_{\lambda}(j - \hat{a} - 1) \), there is a unique decomposition \( F(t) = F_1(t) + F_2(t) \) where \( F_1(t) \) is a polynomial of degree less than \( b \) and \( F_2(t) \) is a power series in which only the terms of degree larger than \( b \) have nonzero coefficients. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that the image of the Hilbert series an appropriate direct sum \( M_1 \) of the artinian modules \( S/\langle x_0, \ldots, x_n \rangle^i \) for \( \hat{a} \leq i \leq b \) maps to \( c_1 F_1(t) \) for some positive \( c_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \). Thus, if there exists a module \( M_2 \) such that its Hilbert series maps to \( c_2 F_2(t) \) for some positive \( c_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \), then the Hilbert series of the module \( M = M_1^{(c_1)} \oplus M_2^{(c_2)} \) maps to \( c_1c_2 F(t) \) under \( T \).
Example 3.10. Establishing the existence of \( M_2 \) reduces by Lemma 3.5 to proving that \( F_2(t) \) equals a finite non-negative \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear combination of the power series \( t^{b+1}(1-t)^{-(k+1)} = t^{b+1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}(j+k)j \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq n \). By construction, we have \( F_2(t) = t^{b+1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}f_2(j)t^j \) where \( f_2 \) is a polynomial of degree \( r < n \) with \( r \) distinct negative integer roots and a positive leading coefficient. Therefore, Lemma 3.6 completes the argument by showing that \( f_2 \) is a non-negative \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear combination of the polynomials \((j+k)j\) for \( 0 \leq k \leq r \leq n \). □

Remark 3.7. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is constructive. However, the procedure for creating a module \( M \) that generates an extreme ray is rarely effective, because the number of cyclic summands used is so large. Although each cyclic summand used has the simple form \( S/\langle x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{\ell-1} \rangle^i \) for some \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 1 \leq \ell \leq n+1 \), the Hilbert function of each individual summand does not belong to \( V_{n,a} \).

Corollary 3.8. The closed convex cone \( Q_{n,a} \) is the intersection of the closed half-spaces defined by the inequalities \((n+j+1)h(j) \geq (j+1)h(j+1)\) for \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) and the limiting inequality which asserts that leading coefficient of the associated Hilbert polynomial is positive. If \( \hat{a} := a+\max(1, -a) \), then the extreme rays of \( Q_{n,a} \) are generated by the Hilbert functions of the cyclic modules \( S/\langle x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{\ell-1} \rangle^i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq \hat{a} + 1 \) and the inverse images under \( T \) of the power series

\[
\sum_{j=\hat{a}}^{\hat{a}+1}(\lambda(j) - \hat{a} - 1)\prod_{\ell=1}^{\hat{a}+1}(j + \ell)^i,
\]

where \( \lambda \) ranges over all integer partitions with at most \( \lfloor (n - \hat{a} + a)/2 \rfloor \) parts and \( \mu \) ranges over all integer partitions with at most \( \lfloor (n - \hat{a} + a - 1)/2 \rfloor \) parts.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1.2. □

We end this section with some examples illustrating Corollary 3.8. When the dimension of the ambient vector space \( V_{n,a} \) is small enough, we can visualize the cone \( Q_{n,a} \).

Example 3.9. If \( \dim(V_{n,a}) = 1 \), then we have \( n = -a \). The cone \( Q_{n,-n} \) is the positive \( c_n \)-axis generated by \((1-t)^{-n}\) which corresponds to the \( S \)-module \( S/\langle x_0 \rangle \). □

Example 3.10. If \( n = 0 \), then we have \( S = k[x_0] \) and \( a \geq 0 \). Since the associated generating functions for elements of \( V_{0,a} \) have the form \( c_{-a}t^a + c_{-a+1}t^{a-1} + \cdots + c_{-1}t + c_0 \), the linear half-spaces defining \( Q_{0,a} \) are \( c_j \geq c_{j-1} \) for \( 0 \leq j < a \) and \( c_{-a} \geq 0 \). The extreme rays are generated by \( 1 + t + \cdots + t^{a-1} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq a + 1 \) which corresponds to the \( S \)-module \( S/\langle x_0 \rangle^i \). In particular, \( Q_{0,a} \) is a simplicial polyhedral cone. □

Example 3.11. If \( \dim(V_{n,a}) = 2 \), then we have \( a = -n + 1 \). The case \( n = 0 \) is described in Example 3.10, so we may assume that \( n \geq 1 \). Since we have

\[
\frac{c_{n-1}}{(1-t)^{n-1}} + \frac{c_n}{(1-t)^n} = \sum_{j=\mathbb{N}}\left(c_{n-1}\binom{n+j-2}{n-2} + c_n\binom{n+j-1}{n-1}\right)t^j
\]

the linear half-spaces defining \( Q_{n,-n+1} \) are \( 2(n-1)c_{n-1} + (n+j-1)c_n \geq 0 \) for \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). In this degenerate case, the two linear half-spaces \( 2c_{n-1} + c_n \geq 0 \) and \( c_n \geq 0 \) coming from \( j = 0 \) and
As \( j = \infty \) suffice. The extreme rays are generated by \((1-t)^{-n+1}\) and \(- (1-t)^{-n+1} + 2(1-t)^{-n}\) which correspond to the \( S \)-modules \( S/\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle\) and \( S/\langle x_0 \rangle^2\). Once again, \( Q_{n,-n+1} \) is a simplicial polyhedral cone.

\[
\frac{c_1}{(1-t)} + \frac{c_2}{(1-t)^2} + \frac{c_3}{(1-t)^3} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( c_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} j \\ 0 \end{array} \right) + c_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} j + 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) + c_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} j + 2 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \right) t^j,
\]

the linear half-spaces defining \( Q_{3,-1} \) are

\[
(3+j+1)h(j) - (j+1)h(j+1) = 3c_1 + 2(j+1)c_2 + \frac{1}{2}(j+1)(j+2)c_3 \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.
\]

To visualize this closed convex cone, we intersect with the hyperplane \( c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 1 \); for a cyclic module, we have \( h(0) = 1 \). Points in this cross-section are determined by the coordinates \((c_2, c_1)\), and the linear half-spaces in these coordinates are

\[
H_j : (j-1)(j+4)c_1 + (j-2)(j+1)c_2 - (j+1)(j+2) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.
\]

As \( j \to \infty \), we also obtain \( H_\infty : c_1 + c_2 - 1 \leq 0 \). In Figure 3.12.2, the supporting hyperplanes corresponding to \( H_j \) are represented by blue lines (that fade to white as \( j \) increases) and the cross-section of the cone is represented by the translucent blue region.

The extreme points of the cross-section are represented by small black circles in Figure 3.12.2. More precisely, the supporting hyperplanes corresponding to \( H_i \) and \( H_{i+1} \) meet at the point \((c_2, c_1) = \frac{3}{i^2+2} (- (i+2), \frac{1}{3} (i+1)(i+2))\) for \( i \geq 0 \), and the supporting hyperplanes corresponding to \( H_0 \) and \( H_\infty \) meet at the point \((c_2, c_1) = (3, -2)\). As \( i \to \infty \), we also obtain the point \((0, 1)\). Hence, the extreme rays of \( Q_{3,-1} \) are generated by

\[
\frac{1}{(1-t)}, \quad \frac{2}{(1-t)^2}, \quad \frac{3}{(1-t)^3}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{3}{i^2+2} \left( \frac{(i+1)(i+2)}{3(1-t)} - \frac{(i+2)}{(1-t)^2} + \frac{2}{(1-t)^3} \right).
\]
Moreover, these extreme rays correspond to integer partitions with at most 1-part:

\[
T \left[ \frac{1}{1-t} \right] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} t^j \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \lambda = \emptyset
\]

\[
T \left[ -\frac{2}{1-t} + \frac{3}{(1-t)^2} \right] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} jt^j \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \lambda = \emptyset
\]

\[
T \left[ \frac{(i+1)(i+2)}{3(1-t)} - \frac{(i+2)}{(1-t)^2} + \frac{2}{(1-t)^3} \right] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (j-i)(j-i-1)t^j \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \lambda = (i).
\]

The cone \( Q_{3,-1} \) is neither simplicial nor polyhedral.

This final section examines our third cone of Hilbert functions. By bounding the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of \( S \)-modules, we provide an alternative condition which guarantees that the Hilbert functions lie in a finite-dimensional vector space. To enumerate the supporting hyperplanes and extreme rays for the cone of Hilbert functions with bounded regularity, we use the natural projection from the cone of Betti tables.

For a finitely generated \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded \( S \)-module \( M \), the graded Betti numbers are defined by \( \beta_{i,j}(M) := \dim_k (\text{Tor}_i(M, \mathbb{k})_j) \), and we have \( \beta_{i,j}(M) = 0 \) for all \( i > n+1 \); see Theorem 1.1 in.
The graded Betti numbers of $M$ determine its Hilbert series via the formula

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h_M(j) t^j = \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \beta_{i,j}(M) t^j}{(1-t)^{n+1}}.
$$

(4.0.4)

The Betti table $\beta(M)$ is the matrix in $\bigoplus_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n+1} \mathbb{Q}$ whose entry in the $j$-th row and $i$-th column is $\beta_{i,j}(M)$; see Proposition 1.9 in [Ei2] for an explanation of this convention. The Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity is the largest index of a nonzero row in the Betti table $\beta(M)$ or equivalently $\text{reg}(M) := \max \{ j \in \mathbb{Z} : \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0 \}$. The Hilbert function $h_M(j)$ equals the Hilbert polynomial $q_M(j)$ for all $j > \text{reg}(M)$; see Theorem 4.2 in [Ei2]. Hence, if $m \geq \text{reg}(M)$, then Equation (4.0.4) shows that $h_M \in V_{n+1,m}$.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $R_{n,m}$ denote the closed convex hull in $V_{n+1,m}$ of the Hilbert functions of finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S$-modules that are generated in degree zero, have no free summands, and have Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity at most $m$. Informally, we say that $R_{n,m} \subset V_{n+1,m}$ is the cone of Hilbert functions with bounded regularity.

As in Section 3, let $q_h \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$ be the Hilbert polynomial of the sequence $h \in R_{n,m}$. The backward difference operator $\nabla: \mathbb{Q}[s] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[s]$ is defined by $\nabla q(s) := q(s) - q(s-1)$ where $q \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$. We write $\nabla^i$ for the $i$-fold composition of $\nabla$ with itself.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We first show that the cone $R_{n,m}$ is generated by the Hilbert functions of the cyclic modules appearing in the list (1.3.1). Our indirect proof exploits the Betti tables for certain modules over the smaller polynomial ring $S' := S/\langle x_n \rangle = \mathbb{k}[x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}]$.

Let $\Psi$ be the linear map from the rational vector space of Betti tables for $S$-modules to the rational vector space of Betti tables for $S'$-modules defined by $(\Psi(\beta))_{i,j} := j \beta_{i+1,j}$; compare with Definition 4.5 in [Söd]. Following Definition 2.1 in [BS], the pure Betti table with degree sequence $d_0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_e$ satisfies $\beta_{i,d_i} = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|d_j - d_i|}$ for $0 \leq i \leq e$. Hence, the map $\Psi$ sends the pure Betti table with degree sequence $0 < d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_e$ to the pure Betti table with degree sequence $d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_e$. Since Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.1 in [BS] establish that the closed convex cones of Betti tables are generated by the pure Betti tables, the map $\Psi$ induces a surjection from the closed convex cone of Betti tables for $S$-modules to the closed convex cone of Betti tables for $S'$-modules. Moreover, the kernel of $\Psi$ is generated by the Betti table for the free module $S$. Therefore, the Betti tables for the modules associated to the generators of the cone $R_{n,m}$ correspond to the Betti tables for finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S'$-modules that are generated in degree at least 0 and have regularity at most $m$.

Consider a finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded $S'$-module $M'$ that is generated in degrees at least 0 and has regularity at most $m$. Any such module $M'$ has the same Hilbert function as the $S'$-module

$$
M'' := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{k}(-j)^{\oplus h_{M'}(j)} \oplus M'_{\geq m}
$$

(4.1.5)

where the truncation $M'_{\geq m}$ equals $\bigoplus_{j \geq m} M'_j$. Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in [EG] establish that $M'_{\geq m}$ has a linear resolution such that $\beta_{i,i+m}(M'_{\geq m}) = \beta_{i,i+m}(M'')$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. The Koszul complex is also linear, in addition to being the minimal free resolution of the
The equation \( c \) so the coordinates are the Hilbert series of \( M \) with respect to this ordered basis. Lemma 3.5 implies that the Hilbert series of the functions \( 1 \) to a pure resolution with degree sequence \( d < d + 1 < \cdots < d + \ell \). In other words, the image of \( \beta(S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_t \rangle^d) \) is a Betti table of a linear resolution. Taking the inverse image under \( \Psi \) for our expression for the Hilbert function of \( S \), we conclude that each generator of the cone \( R_{n,m} \) is a non-negative rational combination of the Hilbert functions of the cyclic modules appearing in the list (1.3.1).

We next describe the supporting hyperplanes to the cone \( R_{n,m} \). As in Proposition 3.2, the Binomial Theorem establishes both \( t^k = (1 - t)^{-n-1} \sum_i \binom{n+k}{i} (-1)^i t^{k+i} \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq m \) and \( (1 - t)^{-\ell m+1} = (1 - t)^{-n} \sum_i \binom{n+\ell-1}{i} (-1)^i t^{i+m+1} \) for \( 1 \leq \ell \leq n + 1 \). Hence, the rational functions \( 1, t, \ldots, t^m, (1 - t)^{-1} t^{m+1}, \ldots, (1 - t)^{-1} t^{m+1} \) form a triangular basis for \( V_{n+1,m} \). Let \( (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m, c_{-1}, c_{-2}, \ldots, c_{-n-1}) \) denote the coordinates of \( h \in V_{n+1,m} \) with respect to this ordered basis. Lemma 3.5 implies that the Hilbert series of the \( S \)-module \( M_{n,i} := S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_i \rangle^i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m + 1 \) is

\[
\sum_j h_{M_{n,i}}(j) t^j = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+k}{k} t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+k}{n} t^k,
\]

so the coordinates are \( c_k = \binom{n+k}{k} \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq i - 1 \) and \( c_i = 0 \) for \( i \leq k \leq m \) or \( k < 0 \). Similarly, the Hilbert series of \( M_{\ell,m+1} := S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1-\ell} \rangle^{m+1} \) for \( 1 \leq \ell \leq n + 1 \) is

\[
\sum_j h_{M_{\ell,m+1}}(j) t^j = (1 - t)^{1-\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n+1-\ell+k}{k} t^k
\]

\[
= \binom{n+1-\ell+k}{k} (1 - t)^{1-\ell} t^{m+1}
\]

so the coordinates are \( c_k = \binom{n+k}{n} \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq m \), \( c_{-k} = \binom{n+1-k+m}{m} \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq \ell \), and \( c_{-k} = 0 \) for \( \ell \leq k \leq n + 1 \). Since the coordinate vectors are all truncations of the coordinate vector of \( h_{M_{n+1,m+1}} \in R_{n,m} \), the inequalities defining this cone are simply

\[
\frac{c_k}{\binom{n+k}{n}} \geq \frac{c_{k+1}}{\binom{n+k+1}{n}} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k \leq m - 1,
\]

\[
\frac{c_{-k}}{\binom{n-1-k+m}{m}} \geq \frac{c_{-k-1}}{\binom{n-1-k+m}{m}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \text{and} \quad c_{-n-1} = 0.
\]

The equation \( c_{-n-1} = 0 \) implies that \( R_{n,m} \subset V_{n,m} \).
To complete the proof, we explicitly relate the coordinates to the Hilbert function. For $h \in V_{n+1,m}$, we have

$$
\sum_j h(j)t^j = c_0 + c_1t + \cdots + c_mt^m + c_{-1}\frac{t^{m+1}}{(1-t)} + c_{-2}\frac{t^{m+1}}{(1-t)^2} + \cdots + c_{-n-1}\frac{t^{m+1}}{(1-t)^n+1},
$$

so $h(j) = c_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$ and the Generalized Binomial Theorem shows that

$$
q_h(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} c_{-k}\binom{k+s-m-2}{k-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[s].
$$

The Addition Formula for binomial coefficients yields $\nabla_i q_h(s) = \sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} c_{-k}\binom{k+s-m-2-i}{k-1-i}$ from which we obtain $\nabla_i q_h(m) = c_{-i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Using the Absorption Identity, the inequalities defining the cone $R_{n,m} \subset V_{n,m}$ become

$$(n+j+1)h(j) \geq (j+1)h(j+1)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$

and

$$h(m) \geq q_h(m)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. \hfill \Box

**Remark 4.2.** The coefficients appearing the supporting hyperplanes of $R_{n,m}$ have an intrinsic interpretation in terms of the Hilbert function of the underlying ring. Specifically, the Hilbert polynomial of $S$ is $q_S(s) = \binom{n+s}{n}$ and the Addition Formula yields $\nabla^i q_S(m) = \binom{n+m-i}{m}$, so $R_{n,m}$ is the intersection of the closed half-spaces given by the inequalities:

$$
\frac{h(j)}{h_S(j)} \geq \frac{h(j+1)}{h_S(j)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < m, \quad \frac{h(m)}{h_S(m)} \geq \frac{q_h(m)}{q_S(m)},
$$

$$
\frac{\nabla^i q_h(m)}{\nabla^i q_S(m)} \geq \frac{\nabla^{i+1} q_h(m)}{\nabla^{i+1} q_S(m)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < n, \text{ and } \nabla^n q_h(m) = 0.
$$

**Remark 4.3.** Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 1.3, together with Lemma 3.4, establish that $R_{n,m} \subset Q_{n,m}$. Moreover, the artinian cyclic modules $S/\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_i \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ generate extreme rays in both cones. However, the simplicial cone $R_{n,m}$ is generally a proper subcone of $Q_{n,m}$.

The techniques used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 lead to descriptions of other cones closed related to $R_{n,m}$.

**Remark 4.4.** Restricting to modules of dimension at most $d$ and regularity at most $m$ yields a subcone of $R_{n,m}$ generated by the Hilbert functions of the cyclic modules:

$$
\frac{S}{\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle}, \frac{S}{\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^2}, \ldots, \frac{S}{\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^m}, \frac{S}{\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^{m+1}}, \ldots, \frac{S}{\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^{m+1}}, \ldots, \frac{S}{\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-d} \rangle^{m+1}}.
$$

For the dual description, we need to add the equalities

$$
\nabla^d q_h(m) = \nabla^{d+1} q_h(m) = \cdots = \nabla^n q_h(m) = 0.
$$
Similarly, one can describe the restriction to modules with projective dimension at most \( \ell \) and regularity at most \( m \) by relating it to \( R_{\ell-1,m} \) via the backward difference operator \( \nabla_{n+1-\ell} \).

The techniques also yield explicit bounds for the Betti numbers of modules with a fixed Hilbert function and bounded regularity.

**Proposition 4.5.** If the \( S \)-module \( M \) is generated in degree zero, has no free summands, and has Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity at most \( m \), then the Betti numbers are bounded by the inequalities

\[
\beta_{i+i,j}(M) \leq \frac{1}{i+j} \binom{n}{i} \left( (n+1+j)h_M(j) - (j+1)h_M(j+1) \right) = \frac{1}{i+j} \binom{n}{i-1} (T[h_M])(j)
\]

for \( 0 \leq j < m \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \), and

\[
\beta_{i+m}(M) \leq \frac{n+m+1}{i+m} \binom{n}{i} h_M(m) + \sum_{k=1}^{i} (-1)^k \binom{n+1}{i-k} h_M(m+k)
\]

for \( 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \). Moreover, these bounds are sharp for some positive multiple of the Hilbert function \( h_M \).

**Proof.** Theorem 1 in [Hul] establishes that the module \( M'' \), defined in Equation (4.1.5), has the largest possible Betti numbers among all \( S' \)-modules with a given Hilbert function (up to scaling) and regularity at most \( m \). The matrix with respect to the standard basis of the linear map \( \Psi \) has non-negative entries, so the Betti table \( \Psi^{-1}(\beta(M'')) \) is maximal among all \( S \)-modules that are generated in degree zero, have no free summands, have regularity at most \( m \), and have a given Hilbert function. We compute \( \Psi^{-1}(\beta(M'')) \) from the expansion of \( h_M \) as a non-negative linear combination of the extreme rays. Ordering the extreme rays as in the list (1.3.1), the coefficients \( \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_{-2}, \ldots, \alpha_{-n} \) in the unique such expansion are

\[
\alpha_j = \frac{h_M(j)}{\binom{n+j}{n}} - \frac{h_M(j+1)}{\binom{n+j+1}{n}} \quad \text{for} \ 0 \leq j < m, \quad \alpha_m = \frac{h_M(m) - q_M(m)}{\binom{n+m}{n}} \quad \text{and,}
\]

\[
\alpha_{i} = \frac{\nabla_{i-1} q_M(m)}{\binom{n+m+1-i}{m}} - \frac{\nabla_i q_M(m)}{\binom{n+m-i}{m}} \quad \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n.
\]

Since each of the extreme rays corresponds to a cyclic \( S \)-module with a linear resolution and well-known Betti numbers (see Theorem 4.1.15 in [BH]), the Absorption Identity gives

\[
\beta_{i+i,j}(M) \leq \alpha_j \cdot \beta_{i+i,j} \left( \binom{n+j}{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n}^{j+1} \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{h_M(j)}{\binom{n+j}{n}} - \frac{h_M(j+1)}{\binom{n+j+1}{n}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{i}{i+j} \right) \binom{n+j+1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} \binom{1}{i+j} \binom{n}{n-i}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{i+j} \binom{n}{n-i} (T[h_M])(j)
\]
for $0 \leq j < m$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Since we have $\nabla^n q_M(m) = 0$, the Absorption Identity and the Addition Formula give

\[
\beta_{i,i+m}(M) \leq \alpha_m \cdot \beta_{i,i+m} \left( \frac{S}{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_m \cdot \beta_{i,i+m} \left( \frac{S}{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k}} \right)
= \left( \frac{h_M(m) - q_M(m)}{n+m} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{i}{i+m} \right) \left( \frac{n+m+1}{n+1} \right) \left( \frac{n+1}{i} \right)
+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\nabla^{k-1} q_M(m)}{n+m+1-k} \cdot \left( \frac{i}{i+m} \right) \left( \frac{n+1-k+m}{n+1-k} \right) \left( \frac{n+1-k}{i} \right)
= \frac{(n+m+1)h_M(m)}{i+m} \left( \frac{n}{i-1} \right) - \frac{(n+m+1)q_M(m)}{i+m} \left( \frac{n}{i-1} \right)
+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\nabla^k q_M(m)}{i+m} \left( \frac{n-k}{i-1} \right) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\nabla^k q_M(m)}{i+m} \left( \frac{n-k}{i-1} \right)
= \frac{n+m+1}{i+m} \left( \frac{n}{i-1} \right) h_M(m)
+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\nabla^k q_M(m)}{i+m} \left( \frac{n-k}{i-1} \right)
= \frac{n+m+1}{i+m} \left( \frac{n}{i-1} \right) h_M(m) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\nabla^k q_M(m)}{i+m} \left( \frac{n-k}{i-1} \right)
\]

for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Combining the binomial identity $\sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{r-k}{\ell} \binom{k}{i} = \binom{r+1}{\ell+i+1}$ with the higher-order difference formula yields

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \nabla^k q_M(m) \left( \frac{n-k}{i-1} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{k}{\ell} \binom{n-k}{i-1} q_M(m-\ell)
= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n+1}{\ell+i} q_M(m-\ell).
\]

Since $\nabla^{n+1} q_M(s) = 0$ and $q_M(j) = h_M(j)$ for all $j > m$, we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \nabla^k q_M(m) \left( \frac{n-k}{i-1} \right) = \sum_{\ell=-i}^{n} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n+1}{\ell+i} q_M(m-\ell) - \sum_{\ell=-i}^{n-1} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n+1}{\ell+i} q_M(m-\ell)
= \nabla^{n+1} q_M(m+i) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{i} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n+1}{i-\ell} q_M(m+i)
= - \sum_{\ell=1}^{i} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n+1}{i-\ell} h_M(m+i)
\]
which establishes the second family of inequalities. Because the inequalities are equalities for an appropriate direct sum of the modules appearing in the list (1.3.1), we conclude that the bound is sharp for some positive multiple of the Hilbert function $h_M$. □

We end by illustrating the final proposition in an example.

**Example 4.6.** Let $n = 3$ and let $M$ be an $S$-module generated in degree zero and satisfying $h_M(j) = 3j + 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of $M$ is bounded by 1 or 2 respectively, then Proposition 4.5 produces the following entrywise bounds on the Betti tables:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
0 & 1 & . & . & . \\
1 & . & 3 & 2 & . & . \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
0 & 1 & . & . & . \\
1 & . & 3 & 6 & 9 & 6 & 5 \\
2 & . & 4 & 9 & 6 & 5 & . \\
\end{array}
$$
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