A method to find tissue-specific novel sites of selective adenosine deamination
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ABSTRACT

Site-selective adenosine (A) to inosine (I) RNA editing by the ADAR enzymes has been found in a variety of metazoan from fly to human. Here we describe a method to detect novel site-selective A to I editing that can be used on various tissues as well as species. We have shown previously that there is a preference for ADAR2-binding to selectively edited sites over non-specific interactions with random sequences of double-stranded RNA. The method utilizes immunoprecipitation (IP) of intrinsic RNA–protein complexes to extract substrates subjected to site-selective editing in vivo, in combination with microarray analyses of the captured RNAs. We show that known single sites of A to I editing can be detected after IP using an antibody against the ADAR2 protein. The RNA substrates were verified by RT–PCR, RNase protection and microarray. Using this method it is possible to uniquely identify novel single sites of selective A to I editing.

INTRODUCTION

Adenosine to inosine (A to I) RNA editing is known to change the sequence of specific pre-mRNAs in metazoans from fly to human. ADAR2, a member of the ADAR (adenosine deaminase that acts on RNA) family, deaminates A to I selectively within double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) interrupted by bulges, mismatches or loops [reviewed in (1)]. ADAR editing with low selectivity can also occur on completely dsRNA. This is a type of hyper-editing that has been found within introns and untranslated regions (UTRs) of mRNAs, preferentially in repetitive Alu sequences (2–6). Only a few site-selective ADAR substrates have been detected. In mammals, most selectively edited sites targeted by ADARs have been found in pre-mRNAs expressed in the central nervous system. The most prominent sites of selective editing are in mRNA coding for several subunits of the AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole) glutamate receptor (GluR). Editing of subunit B (GluR-B) results in altered receptor properties, changing receptor permeability to Ca2+ and the ability to recover after desensitization (7–9). In exon 11 the Q/R site is edited to nearly 100% giving rise to a codon change from glutamine (Q) to arginine (R). In exon 13 the edited R/G site causes an arginine (R) to glycine (G) codon change that is developmentally regulated. The dsRNA structure required for ADAR editing at these sites is formed by an inverted repeat located in the downstream intron [review by (10)]. Another prominent substrate for site-selective A to I editing is the transcript of the serotonin receptor 5-HT2C. Transcripts encoding the 2C receptor subtype undergo A to I editing at 5 sites: A, B, C, C and D situated in close proximity to each other (11). Editing alters the coding potential of the second intracellular loop, reducing the efficiency of the interaction between the receptor and the G protein. Most of the selectively edited sites have been found fortuitously as A to G changes when comparing cDNA with genomic sequence, since inosine is seen as guanosine in the process of reverse transcription. However, a significant amount of inosine has been found within the poly(A) fraction of cellular RNA in mouse brain (12).

Co-immunoprecipitation is a powerful tool to precipitate specific-protein complexes. Further, it has been widely used to study RNA–protein interactions. One example is the identification of target RNA for the Nova protein in mouse brain using an ultraviolet cross-linking and immunoprecipitation assay (13). In another more general approach to identify mRNA–protein complexes (mRNPs) called ribonomics, RNA targets were detected using antibodies to RNA-binding proteins followed by genomic arrays (14).

We have shown previously that ADAR binds more preferentially to selectively edited sites than random sequences of dsRNA (15). Moreover, ADAR2 was shown to bind with a similar affinity to an editing substrate as to the product (16). Based on this knowledge we have developed a method to find novel ADAR substrates by extracting intrinsic ADAR2–RNA substrate complexes from mouse brain co-immunoprecipitations using an anti-ADAR2 antibody. The specificity of this method has been verified by the detection
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of known site-selectively edited substrates using RT–PCR, RNase protection and genomic microarray analyses. We present a powerful method with the potential to find novel sites of selective editing in different tissues and organisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of RNA–protein complex from mouse brain

Three mouse brains were homogenized in HBSS [1× Hank’s solution (HBSS GIBCO no. 14185-045)] and 1 M HEPES (pH 7.3) using a glass grinder. The suspension was washed in cold 1× HBSS and the pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen. The pellet was resuspended in PXL [1× D-phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) (GIBCO no. 14200-67), 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholate and 0.5% NP-40] and ribonuclease vanadyl complex (Sigma) on ice. The suspension was sonicated and treated with DNase I RQ1 (SIGMA). After centrifugation at 10,000 g for 20 min, 4°C, the supernatant was used for the immunoprecipitation (IP).

Immunoprecipitation of RNA–ADAR2 complexes

Anti-human ADAR2 antibody was made from recombinant histidine tagged human ADAR2 (hADAR2) protein, kindly provided by professor Brenda Bass’ laboratory. The hADAR2 protein was concentrated using a centricon YM30 (Millipore) run out on 8% SDS–PAGE gel. The band corresponding to hADAR2 was excised and immunized four times into rabbits (Agrisera; Umeå, Sweden). The serum was checked for immuno-reactivity and supplemented with 0.05% sodium azide.

To reduce non-specific binding prior to use in IPs the Sepharose A beads were incubated with tRNA (100 μg/ml) and BSA (100 μg/ml) in 1× PBS, washed once in 1× PBS and resuspended in 1 vol of 1× PBS and 0.05% NaN₃. The cell lysis extract from one mouse brain was pre-cleared with 50 μl of Sepharose A stock for 30 min at 4°C with rotation. The pre-cleared lysate was incubated with anti-ADAR2 polyclonal antibody or pre-immune serum for 2 h at 4°C with rotation. The lysate-antibody was mixed with 50 μl of prepared Sepharose A stock and incubated for 1 h at 4°C with rotation. The bead–antibody-lysate complex was rinsed three times in wash buffer containing 1× PBS, MgCl₂ (2 mM), EDTA (15 mM), NP-40 (1%) and Tween-20 (0.5%) including 1 protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet/10 ml buffer (Roche) and rinsed once in 1× PBS plus 1% SDS at 65°C for 10 min.

Verification of ADAR2-binding using western blot

The IP eluate (10 μl) was boiled in SDS for 10 min prior to fractionation by electrophoresis on a 4–15% pre-made SDS–PAGE gel (BioRad) and transferred to a PVDF membrane by electroblootting. Anti-hADAR2 was used as primary antibody and anti-rabbit/HRP (DakoCytomation) was used as secondary antibody. The blots were developed using Amershams ECL plus Western Blotting Detection System and developed in a LAS 1000 system (Fujifilm).

Preparation of RNA after immunoprecipitation

The protein fraction was removed from the protein–RNA eluate after the IP by adding 1.8 mg of proteinase K (Roche) and incubated at 37°C for 15 min prior to a phenol/chloroform extraction and precipitation. The RNA was purified using RNeasy according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Qiagen).

Microarray preparation

Preparation of labeled cRNA from the immunoprecipitated RNA was done according to Affymetrix Two-Cycle Target Labeling Assay. Labeled cRNA from nine mouse brains were hybridized to each Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 Array (Affymetrix). Scanning was performed after adding streptavidin-phycocerythrin Biotinylated anti-streptavidin antibody (SAPE) according to standard protocols Affymetrix Inc. (Santa Clara, CA).

Verification of known ADAR2 substrates using RT–PCR

The reverse transcription reactions were done with the Sensiscript RT kit (Qiagen) using hexanucleotide mix (Roche). A radioactive PCR using taq polymerase from Qiagen was performed for 25 cycles. Primers mGluRB-R/G-R (5’-GGGGAGTTCTATATCTACGGC-3’), mGluRB-Q/R-R (5’-GACACCATGAAATCTACCTTGGAGACC-3’) and serotonin-R (5’-GGCCCTTAGTCCGGAATTGACCCGC-3’) were radioactively labeled by T4 polikinase (invitrogen) using [γ-32P]ATP (NEN Perkin Elmer). The following non-radioactive primers were also used in the different PCRs: mGluRB-R/G-F (5’-CCCCACATTCTGGGCCCTTGCC-3’), mGluRB-Q/R-F (5’-TTTGCTACATCTGGGTCAGTG-3’) and serotonin-F (5’-GTCCATCTGACCTCTTCGG-3’). The result was shown on a native 5% PAGE gel. As negative controls the acidic ribosomal protein P0 (ARPP P0) and Glu-R-A were amplified using primers ARPP P0-F (5’-GCACTGGAGTCAACTTCTCTCT-3’), ARPP P0-R (5’-TGAGGTCTCTCTTGGTAACAC-3’), mGluRA-F (5’-CCAGGGCTGCTGTGTCATCGTCG-3’) and mGluRA-R (5’-GAAGATATACGACCATCAGTCATC-3’). All primers were labeled with [γ-32P]ATP as described above. For sequencing the R/G site, primer mGluRB-R/G-seq (5’-GGGCGAGTCTCACAATCTCCTGCGCC-3’) was used.

Verification of known ADAR2 substrates using RNase protection

The RNase protection assay was done using Ribonuclease Protection Assay kit (RPA III no. 1414) from Ambion. Template RNA was immunoprecipitated from five mouse brains. To make the probe, the GluR-B was amplified by PCR using the mGluRB-R/G-F and mGluRB-R/G-R primers on genomic DNA from N2 cells, and the PCR product was ligated into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). The vector (insert) was cut with HpaI (10 U, Invitrogen) and a uniformly labeled mGluRB-R/G probe was transcribed using SP6 RNA polymerase (30 U, ivinotrogen) in the buffer supplied by the provider in the presence of [α-32P]UTP (NEN Perkin Elmer). The 225 nt long radioactive probe (GTTAACTCTTCCTC
dtCTCCTGCGCC3’-5’) was complementary to 118 nt of the GluR situated 698 nt downstream of the R/G site. The probe was purified on an 8% PAGE plus 7 M Urea gel. The assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Ambion).
RESULTS

Specific enrichment of targets for site-selective editing

A method was developed to detect novel site-selective A to I editing in vivo (Figure 1). To identify ADAR2 associated mRNAs, cell lysate from mouse brain was incubated with anti-human ADAR2 polyclonal antibody. ADAR2–RNA complexes were pulled down using protein A–Sepharose beads. The co-purified pre-mRNAs were identified by probing of microarrays after removal of the proteins. ADAR proteins are known to bind tightly to dsRNA of any sequence (16,17,18). However, from previous studies we know that ADAR2 preferentially binds single sites of selective editing over a random sequence of completely dsRNA (15). This might be due to a higher affinity to site-selectively edited substrates. We therefore hypothesized that this method would specifically enrich RNA transcripts subjected to single sites of selective editing.

ADAR2 co-immunoprecipitation using mouse brain

Using this method it is important to retain intact RNA–protein complexes. Therefore, the cell extracts were treated with a ribonucleoside vanadyl complex to prevent RNA degradation prior to being used as load in the IP. DNA was also removed before further extractions to minimize non-specific background. The specificity of the RNA–protein interaction was optimized by washing the immunoprecipitate three times in 1× PBS, MgCl₂ (2 mM), EDTA (15 mM), NP-40 (1%) and Tween-20 (0.5%) in presence of protease inhibitor. After SDS treatment the specificity of the IP for ADAR2 was determined by western blot (Figure 2). An enrichment of ADAR2 was seen when the anti-ADAR2 antibody was used in the IP compared with precipitation using pre-immune serum.

Specific amplification of known A to I editing substrates

GluR-B is a transcript that is A to I edited site-selectively at two sites (Q/R and R/G) within the coding sequence [reviewed in (10)]. Although some other receptor subunits are subjected to editing, no editing has been detected in the subunit A (GluR-A) transcript. Another well-known substrate for A to I editing is the transcript of the serotonin receptor 5-HT2C. This transcript has been shown to be site-selectively edited at several sites (A, B, C, C₀ and D) (11). The specificity of the IP for these known RNA targets was analyzed by semi-quantitative RT–PCR (Figure 3a and b). An enrichment of target substrates was observed in the ADAR2 IP when primers specific for the edited sites in the GluR-B and 5-HT₂C transcripts were used (Figure 3a). The pre-immune IP did not show an enrichment of target RNA. When primers specific for GluR-A were used for amplification no product could be detected during the 25 cycles of PCR considered to give a semi-quantitative product (Figure 3a). During an extended PCR to 30 cycles a product of equal amount could be detected in the ADAR2 and pre-immune IP (data not shown). As an additional negative control primers specific for the mRNA of the ribosomal phosphoprotein P0 that is not edited were used for amplification. No enrichment of this product could be detected as the level of transcripts appears to be equal in the ADAR2 and pre-immune IP elutes (Figure 3b). Editing at the Q/R and R/G sites of the target RNAs was verified by sequencing a population from RT–PCR (Figure 3c). Although a mixed population of edited and non-edited products was seen at the R/G site the Q/R site was edited to 100%. These data are in line with previous results showing the extent of GluR-B editing in the mammalian brain [reviewed in (10)]. From the sequencing analysis we can also verify that both pre-mRNA and mRNA of the GluR-B transcript is present in the specific IP. The GluR-B R/G site was amplified using primers specific for the pre-mRNA while the Q/R site was amplified from primers situated in the exons, giving a product from the spliced mRNA. The specificity for the GluR-B transcript in the ADAR2 IP was also verified by an RNase protection assay detecting an RNA from the ADAR2 IP but not from pre-immune IP (Figure 3d). Our data confirm that an RNA that
is edited can be specifically enriched from a mammalian brain tissue using an anti-ADAR2 antibody in IPs.

Detection of A to I editing targets using microarray

After protein removal from the IP using proteinase K treatment and phenol/chloroform extraction the RNA was amplified and labeled according to Two-Cycle Target Labeling assay (Affymetrix). The cRNA was hybridized to a mouse genome array 430A 2.0 (Affymetrix) to detect enriched ADAR2–RNA targets compared with IPs using pre-immune serum. Three arrays from three independent target extractions were done. The diagram in Figure 4 illustrates the extent of enrichment of the 200 genes that are significantly enriched in all three arrays. The GluR-B transcript was significantly amplified in the three arrays and is indicated in red. Other known A to I substrates enriched in the specific IPs are specified in Table 1. Although present, the GluR-A transcript did not show an increase in the microarray (Table 1). This is in agreement with the presented data from RT–PCR and RNase protection. These results indicate that the method specifically amplifies known selectively edited targets that can be detected by microarray.
DISCUSSION

During the past decade several methods have been developed to find new ADAR substrates. By computational analysis a vast amount of edited sites have been detected in 5' and 3' UTRs within Alu repetitive elements that are hyper-edited at multiple sites, but very few sites were found in coding sequences (4–6). Although editing of Alu repeats might be important, no function has so far been proposed.

We have developed a method to detect single sites of A to I editing in vivo and have chosen mouse brain in our initial experiments. The mouse genome contains fewer repetitive elements than the human genome and lacks the Alu repeats.

By choosing ADAR2 and mouse material we can focus on single sites of editing in coding sequences, with the potential of creating alternative isoforms of the protein. Mouse is therefore a good model organism to avoid extensive A to I hyper-editing of non-coding sequence.

Most dsRNA-binding proteins (dsRBPs) interact with the RNA by sequence-specific structural features rather than base-specific interactions [reviewed in (19)]. A dsRNA-binding motif makes at least two structure-specific interactions with the RNA double-helix. These interactions have been proven to occur in a sequence-independent manner (20,21). However, it has been proposed by us and others that the mismatch opposing the R/G site in GluR-B serves as a structural feature in concert with the neighboring nucleotides to direct site-selective editing (18,22). Further, studies on other dsRBPs indicate that there are regions in the RNA-binding motif that interact with RNA loop structures in the vicinity of the helical structure (23–26). These results are in line with our previous result indicating that the ADAR2 enzyme discriminates between a completely dsRNA structure and a selectively edited substrate interrupted by bulges and loops, possibly with a slower off rate on the latter sites (15). To minimize the background binding to dsRNA we exclude any form of cross-linking between RNA and protein prior to the IP.

Using our approach we can collect potential ADAR substrates in vivo and enrich for selectively edited sites. Using microarray analysis as the method to detect potential targets allows us to tolerate a certain amount of background but also to detect products of relatively low abundance since the material is amplified prior to the array. However, it should be noted that the microarray is limited in its detection of enriched transcripts. Table 1 shows the enrichment of known edited

Table 1. Enriched known editing targets and non-edited transcripts verified by microarray

| Transcript   | Mean (2^x-fold increase) | SD  |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----|
| Known editing targets          |                          |     |
| GluR-B       | 1.37                     | 0.23|
| 5-HT^2C      | 1.03                     | 1.63|
| Ednrb        | 0.97                     | 1.02|
| Igfbp        | 0.50                     | 0.10|
| Bicap        | 0.33                     | 0.12|
| ADAR2        | 0.33                     | 1.20|
| Non-edited transcripts         |                          |     |
| GluR-A       | −0.17                    | 0.11|
| ARPP P0      | −0.50                    | 0.20|

The following abbreviations are used: GluR-B, Glutamate receptor subunit B; 5-HT^2C, serotonin receptor subtype 2C; Ednrb, Endothelin receptor type B; Igfbp, insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 2; Bicap, bladder cancer associated protein; ADAR2, adenosine deaminase that acts on RNA 2; GluR-A, Glutamate receptor subunit A; ARPP P0, acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein P0.

The three arrays are shown as fold increase 2^x. The GluR-B, marked in red, is ranked 25 of the 200 enriched genes.

Figure 4. Genes enriched in ADAR2 IP compared with pre-immune serum IP. 200 genes were significantly increased in all three different arrays. The mean value for the three arrays is shown as fold increase 2^x. The GluR-B, marked in red, is ranked 25 of the 200 enriched genes.
substrates. Most of the known transcripts subjected to A to I editing show a significant enrichment in the microarray after the ADAR2-specific IP. However, in order to get a better statistical value on the microarray an increased number of independent array analyses are required. The enrichment of edited substrates in the specific IP was verified by semi-quantitative RT–PCR on a selective set of RNAs using primers specific for the GluR-B R/G site, the GluR-B Q/R site and the A–D sites in the 5-HT$_2C$ transcript. Using this technique we could detect an enrichment of RNA containing all of these sites but not for GluR-A and ARPP P0 transcripts that are not edited. We are therefore confident that edited substrates indeed are enriched in the specific IP. From sequencing analysis of the PCR products from the amplified edited transcripts we can detect both pre-mRNA and mRNA. Detection of spliced transcripts indicate that splicing has occurred subsequent to binding during the IP. Since ADAR2 has been shown to bind to the inosine containing product with almost the same affinity as to the substrate (16), we expect that edited as well as non-edited A to I substrates are extracted using this assay. Approximately 200 genes showed a significant increase in all three arrays compared with microarrays based on RNA form an IP using pre-immune serum (Figure 4). We are using computational analysis to identify the position of editing sites in the candidate genes. When a computational search on an entire genome is used as the sole method to identify A to I editing it is hard to detect single sites of selective editing in the background of single nucleotide polymorphisms, sequencing errors and mis-alignments. Since we utilize the candidates identified in the experimental setup as the input the computational search can be more general. Three main criteria are used to get a high score on editing probability: (i) A/G mismatches between genomic and cDNA sequence, (ii) phylogenetic conservation of the A/G mismatch between mammals and (iii) inverted repeats with acceptance of mismatches and internal loops (M. Enstero¨, B.-M. Sjöberg and M. Öhman, manuscript in preparation). Each criterium is scored individually and high score candidates are verified experimentally. This unique combination of experimental and bioinformatical analysis has the potential to detect novel sites of selective editing that have previously been unforeseen using the methods separately. We have detected several new candidates of A to I editing substrates in mouse brain using this strategy (J. Ohlson, manuscript in preparation). Each criterium is scored individually and high score candidates are verified experimentally.

Our approach has numerous applications, it can be used to find novel editing substrates in different tissues as well as to identify editing discrepancies between different species. It is also possible to apply this method on other ADAR protein family members like ADAR1 but also ADAR3, so far without known targets, as well as on other dsRBPs. A to I editing is an essential event for normal brain function (27). Several diseases with altered brain functions have been shown to have an effect on specific sites of editing (28, 29). Our method has a potential to give a more general overview of the editing events in a normal brain compared with a diseased one.
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