Evaluation of a Flue Gas Desulphurisation (FGD)-Gypsum from a Wet Limestone FGD as Adsorbent for Removal of Selenium in Water Streams
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Abstract

The use of a Flue Gas Desulphurisation (FGD)-gypsum as material for selenium removal in re-circulated waters from a wet limestone FGD system with water re-circulation to the scrubber and with use of an Al-additive, to increase SO\textsubscript{2} emission abatement efficiencies, has been evaluated by adsorption studies. Potentiometric titration experiments for FGD-gypsum reveal that the acidic conditions of the aqueous phase of gypsum slurry, induced by the Al-additive, result in the protonation of the FGD-gypsum surface. The adsorption isotherms of Se onto FGD-gypsum are appropriately described by the Langmuir model suggesting that Se is adsorbed by the protons adhered on FGD-gypsum surface and forms a monolayer. The removal of Se from FGD waters by the employment of FGD-gypsum is significant as prevention measure based on the management on FGD-gypsum and water streams before their production and the subsequent disposal in landfills and/or in application scenarios.
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Introduction

Because coal-combustion processes are well evaluated, general trends in trace element behaviour during combustion have been identified [1-9]. It is common knowledge that many of the trace elements from coal are partially or totally vaporised during combustion. The degree of vaporisation determines how each element is partitioned between various solid residues and the flue gas [1]. Selenium during Pulverised Coal Combustion (PCC) can be released as gas SeO\textsubscript{2} and/or as particulate Se [7-9]. However, when the flue gas cools down some of gas SeO\textsubscript{2} may condense on the finest particle surfaces of Fly Ashes (FAs). Most of FAs are captured in cleaning systems by high-efficiency particulate collector devices, though some particulate Se may escape from controls and reach Flue Gas Desulphurisation (FGD) system. Once in FGD, gas SeO\textsubscript{2} and particulate Se may be dissolved in the aqueous phase of absorber slurries and/or concentrated in the gypsum sludge [7-9]. Depending on the abatement capacity of FGDs, a given proportion of Se can be released in a gaseous and/or PM form [8,9].

In FGD systems under operational conditions of water re-circulation to the scrubber, inorganic trace pollutants initially in sub-saturation in FGD waters may reach equilibrium and a subsequent saturation in the water stream after a number of water re-circulations in the scrubber [7]. The gradual increase in the concentration of inorganic trace pollutants from the sub-saturation to equilibrium and/or saturation because of continuous water re-circulation in the scrubber, accounts for enriched inorganic trace pollutants in the re-circulated water [7] which could result in environmental (pollution of soil and groundwater) and technical problems (fouling of scrubber and pipes of the FGD), especially, if the re-circulation of the water streams is interrupted and/or a water treatment is necessary for hypothetical and eventual discharges to the environment. High levels of inorganic trace pollutants in re-circulated waters may also reduce the gaseous solubility and probably, the retention efficiencies in wet FGD [7].
The variation of FGD-gypsum surface with pH: The variation of FGD-gypsum surface with pH was performed to ascertain whether FGD-gypsum surface was protonated or not. Adsorption/desorption of H\(^+\) as a function of pH, ionic strength (0.01, 0.1, and 1M KCl), and temperature (25\(^\circ\)C) were measured. The system consisted in a pH-meter with two connected pipettes, one for the acidic solution (0.02N H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) and the other for the basic solution (0.02N NaOH).

Figure 1: Flue Gas Desulphurisation system. 1. Limestone slurry introduced and sprayed into the scrubber to react with SO\(_2\). 2. Gas and PM input flow into the scrubber after combustion process. 3. Addition of Al(SO\(_4\))\(_2\). 4. Formation of gypsum slurry as result of the desulphurisation process. 5. Filtration of gypsum slurry by hydro-cyclones+gypsum slurry water and gypsum production. 6. Re-circulation of filter water directly to the scrubber. 7. Cleaned gas and PM flow OUT-FGD.

Materials and Methods

The FGD-gypsum

The FGD-gypsum used as an adsorbent in this study stems from a wet limestone FGD-system that operates with a forced oxidation system and re-circulation of water from gypsum slurry filtration to the scrubber. This FGD system includes a number of water streams categorised as FGD water streams: limestone and gypsum slurries, and filtered water. Limestone slurry is prepared with process water and is introduced into the scrubber. Gypsum slurry is the result of the desulphurisation process; whereas filtered water is the water stream that results after filtration and subsequent dilution of the aqueous phase of gypsum slurry. Filtered water is directly re-circulated to the scrubber after the dilution process. This result in limestone slurry water/filtered water mixture ratio of 0.47/0.53 into the scrubber.

The Al-additive (Al\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\)) is added to boost the efficiency of the desulphurisation process as a consequence of the low porosity of limestone. The addition of Al(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) promotes the interaction between Al and F increasing the capacity of limestone for SO\(_4^{2-}\) retention and preventing the formation of CaF\(_2\) particles. However, it has been demonstrated [21] that the addition of Al(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) to the scrubber also causes the acidification of the aqueous phase of gypsum slurry via aluminium hydrolysis. A sketch of the FGD system at the coal-fired power plant is shown in Figure 1. Detailed descriptions of the operation of the FGD system at the coal-fired power plant and the water streams are provided [7,9].

The sampling collection was carried out at 100% MCR (Maximum Capacity Rate) of the power plant and 100% desulphurisation on two consecutive days. A sampling per day during two days was performed for each sample collecting two sample sets. FGD gypsum samples once mixed, homogenised, and riffled, were divided into subsamples of 5 kg. FGD-gypsum samples of 1 kg were dried at 45\(^\circ\)C to avoid loss of crystallisation water of gypsum for the analysis of major, minor, and trace elements. These FGD-gypsum samples were used to carry out the present study.

Characterisation of FGD-gypsum

Physical analysis: The specific surface area and the volume and distribution of pores of FGD-gypsum were determined to evaluate FGD-gypsum as adsorbent material. These parameters were measured using a gravimetric method based on the adsorption of \(\text{N}_2\) at constant temperature with Quantachrome Nova Wing 2. These experiments were carried out in the Department of Chemical Engineering at the University of the La Frontera, Temuco, Chile.

Variation of FGD-gypsum surface with pH: The variation of FGD-gypsum surface with pH was performed to ascertain whether the acidic aqueous phase (pH 5.1) of gypsum slurry may lead to the protonation of FGD-gypsum surface.

Surface charge behaviour of FGD gypsums was evaluated by acid-base titration at the initial pH of the FGD-gypsum to measure the adsorption/desorption of H\(^+\) as a function of pH, ionic strength (0.01, 0.1, and 1M KCl), and temperature (25\(^\circ\)C). The system consisted in a pH-meter with two connected pipettes, one for the acidic solution (0.02N H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) and the other for the basic solution (0.02N NaOH).
HCl) and the other for the basic one (0.02N NaOH). FGD-gypsum (0.3 g) was placed in a vessel isolated from any external perturbation with four pull-tabs for the acid-base addition; the temperature and pH control, and for a N2 stream inclusion to eliminate atmospheric CO2. Once the pH of FGD-gypsum suspension was stabilized, the acid/base additions were set up in a range time from one to five minutes until a constant pH [22]. The time spent for each potentiometric titration experiment was around 60 minutes.

Adsorption studies

Material: A stock anion solution of SeO3^2- was prepared with Na2SeO3 for the adsorption experiments in order to assess the effectiveness treatment and management for the removal of Se based on adsorption processes by FGD-gypsum. Na2SeO3 of analytical grade (>95%) was supplied by Sigma Aldrich and used in the experimental tests.

Kinetic study: Kinetic experiments were carried out to determine the influence of the time required for the attainment of equilibrium during the adsorption process. The adsorption kinetics was conducted in 100 mL amber bottles previously treated with TOC (Total Organic Carbon) water and HNO3. Total volumes of 40 mL Na2SeO3 solution (Co=10 mg/L) were mixed with the FGD-gypsum (1.0 g) at a 1/40 solid-to-liquid (S/L) ratios. The FGD-gypsum/Na2SeO3 solutions at pH 7.6 were initially shaken for 1, 3, 5, 15, 30, 45 min; and subsequently for 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, and 48 h at a rate of 120 rpm, using the MAXQ 6000 shaker from Thermo Fisher SCIENTIFIC, at constant temperature (30°C). The temperature was set up according to the temperature of the gypsum slurry filtration process which occurs at about 30°C. In wet limestone FGD systems, which usually operate at around 60°C, gypsum slurry comes out the FGD at about 40°C; subsequently, it follows a filtration process which gives rise to filtered water and FGD-gypsum. During the filtration process the gypsum slurry is cooled down at about 30°C, temperature at which removal treatments for metals are applied. Three FGD-gypsum replicates were prepared in a similar manner and mean values are presented.

The samples were then filtered at vacuum through a membrane filter of 0.45 μm. The concentration of Na2SeO3 in the supernatant solution was measured by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy coupled with Hydrides Generation (HGAAS).

Adsorption isotherms: Na2SeO3 adsorption isotherms were determined under the same experimental conditions as for adsorption kinetics. Na2SeO3 solutions were prepared at concentrations of 5, 10, and 20 mg/L. Langmuir model was found to fit with the experimental data. The Langmuir model assumes that a monomolecular layer is formed when adsorption takes place without any interaction between the adsorbed molecules [23]. The Langmuir model can be represented as:

\[
\frac{X}{M} = \frac{K_b C_e}{1 + K_b C_e}
\]

Where X/M is the amount of solute retained per unit weight of the adsorbent, K is a constant related to the affinity of the binding sites (mg/L), Ce is the equilibrium concentration in solution (mg/L), b represents the maximum amount that can be adsorbed (mg/g), b is M for a complete monolayer (mg/g). The rearranged expression of Equation 1 used to obtain its most suitable linear form is:

\[
\frac{C_e}{X/M} = \frac{1}{K_b b} + \frac{C_e}{b}
\]  

(2)

Selenium adsorption on FGD-gypsum

Identification of mineral and solid phases on FGD-gypsums:

The mineralogy of FGD-gypsum was determined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) with a Bruker D5005 diffractometer with monochromatic Cu Kα1,2 radiation operated at 40 KV and 40 mA, from 4 at 60° of theta range, and a step size of 0.05° and 3 s/step.

The identification of Se solid phases on FGD-gypsum was carried out by Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (ToF-SIMS). ToF-SIMS is a method of mass spectrometry in which the FGD-gypsum sample is ionised and accelerated by an electric field of a given strength. Since the velocity of the ion depends on the mass-to-charge ratio, the ions acquire the same kinetic energy of other ions with the same charge. The time spent by ions reaching the detector and the experimental parameters allow us to identify the ion mass with great accuracy. Thus, the identification of molecules and ionic clusters such as selenates, silicates, sulphates, hydroxides, nitrates, and borates that precipitated on FGD-gypsums may be detected even at low concentrations.

The ToF-SIMS analyses were performed using a ToF-SIMS IV (ION-ToF, Munster, Germany) operated at a pressure of 5 × 10^-9 mbar. Samples were bombarded with a pulsed Bismuth liquid metal ion source (Bi⁺³), at energy of 25 keV. The gun was operated with a 20 ns pulse width, 0.3 pa pulsed ion current for a dosage lower than 5x10¹¹ ions/cm², well below the threshold level of 1 x 10¹² ions/cm² generally accepted for static SIMS conditions. Secondary ions were detected with a reflector time-of-flight analyzer, a multichannel plate (MCPs), and a time-to-digital converter (TDC). Measurements were performed with a typical acquisition time of 6 s, at a TDC time resolution of 200 ps and 100 μs cycle time. Charge neutralization was achieved with a low energy (20eV) electron flood gun. Secondary ion spectra were acquired from a randomly rastered surface areas of 50 μm × 50 μm within the sample's surface. Secondary ions were extracted with 2 kV voltages and are post accelerated to 10 keV kinetic energy just before hitting the detector. Mass spectral acquisition was performed within the ION-TOF Ion Spec software (version 4.1). Each spectrum was normalised to the total intensity.

Results and Discussion

FGD-gypsum characterisation

FGD-gypsum presents a relatively small specific surface area (9.51 m²/g) in comparison with conventional adsorbents materials such as alumina, bauxite, and activated carbon, which usually present superficial surface areas higher than 200 m²/g. However, the protonation of its surface induced by the acidic conditions of the gypsum slurry aqueous phase may lead to a significant high electrostatic attraction between the positively charged surface of the FGD-gypsum and the anionic Se forming a monolayer. Physical properties of FGD-gypsum samples are depicted in Table 1.

| FGD-gypsum | Pore diameter (Å) | Pore volume (cc/g) | Surface area (m²/g) | Particle size (µm) | Humidity (%) |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| FGD-gypsum | 39.39            | 0.02              | 9.41                | 47                | 16           |

Table 1: Physical properties of FGD-gypsum.
FGD-gypsum surface protonation

The pzc of the FGD-gypsum as a function of the ionic strengths (0.01, 0.1, and 1M KCl) and temperature (25°C) is 7.5 (Figure 2). The shape of the potentiometric curves points out that at pH lower than 7.5 the FGD-gypsum surface is positively charged (Figure 2). When the pH is lower than the pzc value, acidic water donates more H\(^+\) than OH\(^-\), and therefore the adsorbent surface is positively charged (attracting anions). Conversely, above pzc the surface is negatively charged (attracting cations/repelling anions). Therefore, the acidic conditions of gypsum slurry aqueous phase (pH 5.1) [9] induced by the addition of Al\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\), led to the protonation of the FGD-gypsum surface.

Adsorption kinetics

In this article, the effect of the contact time on the SeO\(_3^{2-}\) adsorption kinetic on FGD-gypsum is discussed from the beginning of the adsorption experiment until the first 120 minutes as SeO\(_3^{2-}\) adsorption reaches equilibrium at that time and does not experiment a larger adsorption over time Figure 3.

In SeO\(_3^{2-}\) adsorption kinetics on FGD-gypsums (Figure 3), three phases can be observed. A first phase is characterised by a dynamic and gradual adsorption up to the first 40 minutes with a maximum adsorption close to the 30 minutes of the adsorption kinetic process. The second phase is characterised by desorption from 30 to 45 minutes, which could be due to a possible saturation of the FGD-gypsum surface and/or impurities retained on the FGD-gypsum leading to desorption of SeO\(_3^{2-}\) from the FGD-gypsum surface to the aqueous phase. It is important to point out that SeO\(_3^{2-}\) desorption could be due to possible competition for the active sites of the FGD-gypsum surface as ionic groups such as SO\(_3^-,\) SO\(_4^-,\) and HSO\(_4^-\); monatomic ions of O, and polyatomic such as OH have also been identified in this in FGD-gypsum [10]. The third phase is characterised by a second adsorption from 45 to 120 minutes. The second adsorption of SeO\(_3^{2-}\) on the FGD-gypsum describes a progressive adsorption pattern and reaches the equilibrium in about 120 minutes; no higher adsorption after the 120 minutes was observed. Further increase of contact time cannot lead to stronger adsorption capacity.

Modelling of adsorption isotherms

The experimental data correlates with the lineal Langmuir adsorption isotherms in FGD-gypsum which reveal the applicability of this isotherm on the ongoing adsorption process (Figure 4). Langmuir (K and b) isotherm parameters as well as regression coefficient (R\(^2\)) are presented in Table 2.

| Langmuir constants | Q\(_{\text{max}}\) (mg/g) | K\(_L\) (L/mg) | R\(^2\) |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------|
| FGD-gypsum         | 31              | 35.04     | 0.9879|

Table 2: Langmuir constants of SeO\(_3^{2-}\) adsorption on FGD-gypsum.
This model effectively describes adsorption of Se\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} on FGD-gypsum with \( R^2 \) value >0.97. The Se\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} maximum adsorption capacity of FGD-gypsum was determined to be 31 mg/g for an adsorbent/solution ratio of 1/40 at 25°C and a \( K \) value of 35.84. The correlation of Langmuir adsorption isotherm within the studied temperature suggests that Se is adsorbed by the protons adhered on FGD-gypsum surface and forms a monolayer.

According to Mittal [24], the successive steps involved in the adsorption of an organic/inorganic compound by an adsorbent are: i) transport of the ions (adsorbate) to the external surface of the adsorbent (film diffusion); ii) transport of the adsorbates within the pores of the adsorbent except for a small amount of adsorption, which occurs on the external surface (particle diffusion); and iii) adsorption of the ion (adsorbate) on the interior surface of the adsorbent. The transport and adsorption of Se\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} ions into the external surface of the adsorbent, FGD-gypsum, can be considered the main mechanism of the Se adsorption on FGD-gypsum.

**Identification of mineral and solid phases on FGD-gypsum**

Major solid phases CaSO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O and CaCO\textsubscript{3} and traces of CaAl\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{10}.6H\textsubscript{2}O were identified in the FGD-gypsum by XRD. Mass spectrometry analysis (Figure 5) by TOF detected Se and ionic groups Se\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in FGD-gypsum.

Identification of the intensity signal of Se and Se\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in FGD-gypsum (Figure 5) revealed that Se\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is adsorbed by FGD-gypsum on its surface. Therefore, it may be concluded that the acidic conditions of the aqueous phase of gypsum slurry led to the protonation of the gypsum surface. The significantly high electrostatic attraction existing between the positively charged surface of the FGD-gypsum and the anionic Se promotes de adsorption of this element on its surface forming a monolayer. Figure 5 shows the Se adsorption mechanism on the FGD-gypsum surface.

**Conclusions**

The study reported here demonstrates that the FGD-gypsum could be considered an effectual and viable adsorbent to remove Se in FGD waters. The different operational parameters observed during the process of investigations reveal that the protonation of the FGD-gypsum surface is the cause of Se retention on FGD-gypsum. The potentiometric results indicate that the FGD-gypsum is positively charged as a consequence of the acidification of the gypsum slurry aqueous phase, induced by the addition of Al\textsubscript{2}(SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} to the scrubber, via aluminium hydrolysis.

The adsorption isotherms of Se onto FGD-gypsum are appropriately described by the Langmuir model suggesting that Se is adsorbed by the protons adhered on FGD-gypsum surface and forms a monolayer.

TOF mass spectrometry results demonstrate the adsorption of Se on FGD-gypsum.

The removal of Se from FGD waters by the employment of FGD-gypsum is significant as prevention measure based on the management on solid (FGD gypsum) and water (aqueous phase of gypsum slurry) streams before their production and the subsequent disposal in landfills and/or in application scenarios.
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