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The Drimolen Palaeocave System Main Quarry deposits (DMQ) are some of the most prolific hominin and primate-bearing deposits in the Fossil Hominids of South Africa UNESCO World Heritage Site. Discovered in the 1990s, excavations into the DMQ have yielded a demographically diverse sample of *Paranthropus robustus* (including DNH 7, the most complete cranium of the species recovered to date), early *Homo*, *Papio hamadryas robinsoni* and *Cercopithecoides williamsi*. Alongside the hominin and primate sample is a diverse macromammalian assemblage, but prior publications have only provided a provisional species list and an analysis of the carnivores recovered prior to 2008. Here we present the first description and analysis of the non-primate macromammalian faunas from the DMQ, including all 826 taxonomically identifiable specimens catalogued from over two decades of excavation. We also provide a biochronological interpretation of the DMQ deposits and an initial discussion of local palaeoecology based on taxon representation.

The current DMQ assemblage consists of the remains of minimally 147 individuals from 9 Orders and 14 Families of mammals. The carnivore assemblage described here is even more diverse than established in prior publications, including the identification of *Megantereon whitei*, *Lycyaenops silberbergi*, and first evidence for the occurrence of *Dinofelis cf. barlowi* and *Dinofelis aff. piveteaui* within a single South African site deposit. The cetartiodactyl assemblage is dominated by bovids, with the specimen composition unique in the high recovery of horn cores and dominance of *Antidorcas recki* remains. Other cetartiodactyl and perissodactyl taxa are represented by few specimens, as are *Hystrix* and *Procavia*; the latter somewhat surprisingly so given their common occurrence at penecontemporaneous deposits in the region. Equally unusual (particularly given the size of the sample) is the identification of single specimens of giraffoid, elephantid and aardvark (*Orycteropus cf. afer*) that are rarely recovered from regional site deposits. Despite the diversity within the DMQ macromammalian faunas there are few habitat- or biochronologically-sensitive species that provide specific ecologic or age boundaries for the deposits. Recovered species can only support the non-specific, mixed open-to-closed palaeohabitats around Drimolen that have been reconstructed for the other
penecontemporaneous South African palaeokarst deposits. The identified *Equus quagga* ssp. specimens recovered from the floor of the current excavation (~4.5-5 m below datum) suggests that most, if not all the DMQ specimens, were deposited after 2.33 Ma. Simultaneously, the carnivore specimens (*D. cf. barlowi, L. silberbergi*) suggest earlier Pleistocene (pre-2.0-1.8 Ma) to maximally 1.6 Ma deposition (*D. aff. piveteaui*) for most of the DMQ fossil assemblage.
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Abstract

The Drimolen Palaeocave System Main Quarry deposits (DMQ) are some of the most prolific hominin and primate-bearing deposits in the Fossil Hominids of South Africa UNESCO World Heritage Site. Discovered in the 1990s, excavations into the DMQ have yielded a demographically diverse sample of *Paranthropus robustus* (including DNH 7, the most complete cranium of the species recovered to date), early *Homo, Papio hamadryas robinsoni* and *Cercopithecoides williamsi*. Alongside the hominin and primate sample is a diverse macromammalian assemblage, but prior publications have only provided a provisional species list and an analysis of the carnivores recovered prior to 2008. Here we present the first description and analysis of the non-primate macromammalian faunas from the DMQ, including all 826 taxonomically identifiable specimens catalogued from over two decades of excavation. We also provide a biochronological interpretation of the DMQ deposits and an initial discussion of local palaeoecology based on taxon representation.

The current DMQ assemblage consists of the remains of minimally 147 individuals from 9 Orders and 14 Families of mammals. The carnivore assemblage described here is even more diverse than established in prior publications, including the identification of *Megantereon whitei, Lycyaenops silberbergi*, and first evidence for the occurrence of *Dinofelis* cf. *barlowi* and *Dinofelis* aff. *piveteau* within a single South African site deposit. The cetartiodactyl assemblage is dominated by bovids, with the specimen composition unique in the high recovery of horn
cores and dominance of *Antidorcas recki* remains. Other cetartiodactyl and perissodactyl taxa are represented by few specimens, as are *Hystrix* and *Procavia*; the latter somewhat surprisingly so given their common occurrence at penecontemporaneous deposits in the region. Equally unusual (particularly given the size of the sample) is the identification of single specimens of giraffoid, elephantid and aardvark (*Orycteropus cf. afer*) that are rarely recovered from regional site deposits.

Despite the diversity within the DMQ macromammalian faunas there are few habitat- or biochronologically-sensitive species that provide specific ecologic or age boundaries for the deposits. Recovered species can only support the non-specific, mixed open-to-closed palaeohabitats around Drimolen that have been reconstructed for the other penecontemporaneous South African palaeokarst deposits. The identified *Equus quagga* ssp. specimens recovered from the floor of the current excavation (~4.5-5 m below datum) suggests that most, if not all the DMQ specimens, were deposited after 2.33 Ma. Simultaneously, the carnivore specimens (*D. cf. barlowi, L. silberbergi*) suggest earlier Pleistocene (pre-2.0-1.8 Ma) to maximally 1.6 Ma deposition (*D. aff. piveteaui*) for most of the DMQ fossil assemblage.
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Introduction

The Drimolen fossil site was discovered in 1992 during survey of the region for a sinkhole by Dr A.W. Keyser (then with the Geological Survey of South Africa; since 1993 known as the Council for Geoscience, one of the National Science Councils of South Africa) (Figures 1 and 2). During his third site visit a hominin tooth was found from one of the ‘Main Pinnacles’, which led to ad-hoc calcified sediment collection and mechanical preparation of fossil specimens from 1992 to mid-1994. In October 1994, a five-by-five metre alphanumeric grid was erected in the Main Quarry along with three fixed theodolite stations; shortly thereafter excavations into the decalcified material began that led to the recovery of the DNH 7 and 8 *Paranthropus robustus* specimens from the ‘Eurydice Pinnacle’ (Keyser et al., 2000). From 1995 until 2009, the site was excavated for 10 months out of the year, during which time changes to the grid system (e.g., numeric) and calcified sediment extraction methods (e.g., feather-and-wedge vs. drilling) were introduced. Although greatly reduced excavation seasons (~6 weeks per year) have been employed from 2010 to the present, this nearly 25-year history of sampling the Main Quarry deposits has led to the recovery of a substantial assemblage of *Paranthropus robustus* and *Homo* sp. remains, as well as non-hominin primate and other faunal remains (Keyser et al., 2000;
These excavations into the Main Quarry, and progressive exposure of the Drimolen Palaeocave System, has established that the site consists of a single large cavern in-filled with three main types of sedimentary deposits (speleothem, breccia, siltstone/sandstone) that often grade from one to the other laterally across the exposures (Figure 2; Keyser et al., 2000). The base of the sequence consisted of a thick flowstone speleothem deposit that was extensively mined at the turn of the 20th century. This formed an undulating basal floor onto which all the other deposits and fossil were deposited. This basal speleothem consists of clast-poor layers of flowstone with a sharp contact to the overlying fossil-bearing sediments. As such, it formed a significant time before an entrance formed allowing the clastic deposits to enter the cave.

In the centre of the Main Quarry a thick clast-supported, fossil-bearing breccia (referred to by Keyser et al. [2000] as the ‘Blocky Breccia’) occurred that represents the opening and subsequent collapse of a vertical entrance to the cave forming a central debris cone. This is best preserved against the western wall of the cavern where the deposits remain indurated (Figure 2). The eastern part of this debris cone has suffered from collapse due to undercutting by lime miners removing the basal speleothem; as a result, the very eastern part of the Main Quarry was filled with mining debris and removal has accelerated the decalcification of these deposits.

During phases of flooding, the fine-grained fraction within the blocky breccia was then winnowed to the edges of the cavern to form a series of interstratified siltstone and sandstone
deposits (referred to by Keyser et al. [2000] as ‘Cave Siltstone’). As a result, these two sedimentological deposits are contemporary and the clast-supported breccia of the central debris cone grades through a matrix-supported breccia and into the laminated siltstone and sandstone deposits towards the edge of the cavern. This exact sequence of formation can be seen at the nearby Wonder Cave active karstic system. The oldest siltstone and sandstone deposits filled the southern area of the Main Quarry. As the debris cone began to grow upwards and expand laterally it became less steep and matrix supported breccia became more prevalent. It is possible that at this time the original vertical shaft entrance, that would have initially restricted the access of certain animals to the cave, may have become so in-filled with sediments that entry became more accessible via a shallow talus cone into the fine-clastic sediment floors at the edge of the cavern. The southern area of the Main Quarry had become completely in-filled during this early phase due to the presence of a stepped cave roof, leading to concentrated deposition of the fine-grained siltstone and sandstone in the northern area of the cavern. It is notable that macrofauunal remains have not been recovered from the oldest southern siltstone and sandstone deposits, but are instead recovered either from the northern siltstone and sandstone deposits or from the central talus cone, suggesting that they could have been washed into the cave or that animals inhabiting the cavern stayed in the daylight zone around the entrance. Despite this there is little to suggest that the two periods are separated by any significant time interval, other than a series of thin (<2 cm) flowstones forming during the deposition of the northern siltstone and sandstone deposits.

Despite the decades of excavation into the Drimolen Palaeocave System, to date only a basic listing of macromammalian taxa recovered from the first years of Main Quarry deposits (Keyser
et al., 2000) and a more comprehensive analysis of the Order Carnivora (O’Regan and Menter, 2009) have been previously published. This analysis provides the first primary description of the entire macromammalian (e.g., all Orders other than Macroscelidea, Insectivora, and Rodentia [exc. Family Hystricidae]) faunal assemblage excavated from the Drimolen Main Quarry since palaeontological sampling began in the early 1990s. We only present data on the fossil specimens attributable to at least Order as the taxonomically non-diagnostic remains from the deposits form the basis for a separate study reconstructing the taphonomy of the Main Quarry deposits.

Materials and Methods

The Drimolen Main Quarry (DMQ) fossil assemblage is curated in the Evolutionary Studies Institute at the University of the Witwatersrand and consists of 1380 specimens derived from excavation of decalcified sediments and processed calcified sediment blocks (Keyser et al., 2000). Since the start of the excavations, the Drimolen Main Quarry decalcified material has been excavated in one-by-one meter (or smaller) squares in 10 cm increments and all material has been sieved through three different mesh sizes (the smallest being ~1 mm). The sieving regimen, though, has undergone some changes over the years with wet sieving of the smallest mesh size from 1997 along with all these “fines” being sorted at a sorting table and not just quickly “over the sieve”. Since 2014, we have also wet sieved the medium fraction via a water pump and all the “fines” are now sorted in the lab over the following year. Prior to 2003, the only provenience for the decalcified material was by its grid square and 10 cm level boundaries. Since 2003, a total station theodolite has been permanently used at Drimolen allowing
identifiable specimens or fragments larger than 2 cm to be directly piece-plotted in reference to a
three-dimensional model of the deposits created via a Trimble laser scanner in 2012 (via the
University of Cape Town Zamani Project) and again in 2015 with a Leica Nova MS50
multistation (from La Trobe University).

All calcified breccia blocks have been removed from the Main Pinnacles through standard
feather-and-wedge techniques. Most of the calcified material was removed in the 1990s with no
drilling of breccia after this period. Prior to 1997, this calcified material was either surveyed with
a theodolite (without electronic distance meter) or at the very least the Pinnacle number or plane
table location was recorded on the block. Since 1997, all calcified blocks were only sampled
when a total station was available and were surveyed with at least six survey points. Breccia
blocks within a decalcified excavation square were also recorded in this manner. The only other
sampling of calcified material has been removing blocks that are decalcifying from the ‘Eurydice
Pinnacle’. This material is prepared mechanically until close to the bone and then the reduced
block is prepared via acetic acid processing.

For this analysis we only considered specimens preserving sufficient morphology to be identified
at least to Order level and are not presenting data on indeterminate mammalian elements or
fragments. We have also not undertaken an analysis of the 554 primate craniodental and
postcranial specimens, as this collection has recently been partially analysed (see Nieuwoudt,
2014). We have also not duplicated the primary description of the Main Quarry carnivores of
O’Regan and Menter (2009), but we have re-evaluated the previously published Dinofelis and
Chasmaporthetes specimens because of their bearing on biochronological interpretations and present data on carnivore specimens catalogued since publication of that study.

The taxonomic and/or element attributions were made in direct reference to the extant mammal skeletal materials of the Department of Vertebrates (Large Mammal, Small Mammal and Archaeozoology Sections) collections of the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History (Pretoria, South Africa), previously described fossil specimens in the Plio-Pleistocene Section of the Ditsong Museum and Evolutionary Studies Institute of the University of the Witwatersrand (Johannesburg, South Africa). All measurements of specimens reported here were taken using Mitutoyo 150 mm calipers with a direct digital input, including dental (MD: mesiodistal, BL: buccolingual; taken at the level of occlusion unless otherwise noted) and horn core metrics (AP: anteroposterior, ML: mediolateral; taken at the level of the pedicle unless otherwise noted). Three-dimensional (3D) surface scans were captured with an Artec Spider (Artec Group, Luxembourg) to facilitate morphological comparisons of some of the specimens following methods described in Adams et al. (2015). In some cases, external specimens were examined using a Dino-Lite Edge AM4815ZTZ microscope (AnMo Electronics Corp.).

In order to facilitate discussion and integrate the DMQ assemblage within the broader South African late Pliocene and Pleistocene fossil record, we draw from the extensive published literature on the well-described penecontemporaneous South African fossil deposit faunal assemblages (e.g., Bolt’s Farm, Gondolin, Haasgat, Kromdraai, Swartkrans, Sterkfontein). To facilitate comparisons of the proportion (based on the minimum number of individuals, MNI) of mammals in the DMQ deposits relative to other South African assemblages we have also
calculated the McIntosh evenness statistic (McIntosh, 1967). We would note, however, that such direct comparisons of faunal assemblages assume that the taphonomic histories of these deposits (from predepositional processes and time-averaging to excavation/sampling methodologies) have not introduced substantial biases in the faunal representation or abundance; an assumption that may not always be warranted as each deposit has an idiosyncratic depositional history (see discussions in Brain, 1981; Reed, 1996; Pickering, 1999; Adams, 2006). As a comprehensive taphonomic analysis of the DMQ assemblage is still ongoing, we will not address the role taphonomic processes had in shaping the faunal presence/absence/abundance with the Main Quarry deposits at this time. We also largely do not consider the more recently described faunal assemblages (e.g., Motsetse [Berger and Lacruz, 2003], Hoogland [Adams et al., 2010], Malapa [Dirks et al., 2010; Kuhn et al., 2012]) as the faunal data from these localities is based on extremely small sample sizes and generally limited to simple listings without primary descriptions or supporting data.

Results

The current listing of identified non-hominin specimens (number of individual specimens, NISP; MNI) from the Drimolen Main Quarry is provided in Table 1, including primate specimens described in Nieuwoudt (2014) and carnivore specimens described in O’Regan and Menter (2009).

Systematic Palaeontology
Order CARNIVORA Bowditch, 1821

Family FELIDAE Batsch, 1788

Subfamily MACHAIRODONTINAE Gill, 1872

Genus DINOFELIS Zdansky, 1924

Type species *Dinofelis abeli* Zdansky, 1924

*Dinofelis cf. barlowi* Broom, 1937

**Referred specimens.** DN 2791, right partial maxillary canine.

**Description.** This single partial canine is the first specimen from the site to be considered comparable to *Dinofelis barlowi* (Figure 3A). The canine exhibits greater transverse crown compression than present in pantherines like extant and contemporaneous *Panthera pardus* Linnaeus, 1758 canines from Swartkrans Member 1 (e.g., SK 349 [0.77], SK 354 [0.75]) (Table 3; Figure 4). The size and transverse crown compression of DN 2791 is consistent with the machairodonts *Dinofelis piveteaui* Ewer, 1955a KA 61 type specimen (0.59; BL: 12.1 mm / MD: 20.5 mm) and *Dinofelis barlowi* BF-55 22 specimen (0.59; BL: 14.5 mm / MD: 24.5 mm). In contrast to the canines of the KA 61 *D. piveteaui* specimen, the DN 2791 specimen is both more curved from root to crown, more oval in cross-section, and lacks the well-developed distal carina. The DN 2791 specimen is, however, identical to BF-55 22 in root-to-crown curvature, the ovoid cross-sectional shape, and the development of the mesial and distal carinae. Based on these
comparisons we provisionally attribute the canine to *D. cf. barlowi*. We discuss the significance of this novel specimen relative to the previously published DMQ *Dinofelis aff. piveteaui* craniodental and postcranial specimens (O’Regan and Menter, 2009) below.

Genus *MEGANTEREON* Croizet and Jobert 1828

Type species *Megantereon cultridens* Cuvier, 1824

*Megantereon whitei* Broom, 1937

**Referred specimens.** DN 976, left partial P4; DN 3254, left mandibular symphysis and corpus with partial canine.

**Description.** Two specimens represent the machairodont *Megantereon whitei* from the Drimolen Main Quarry deposits. The DN 976 left P4, while broken and reglued with slight distortion on the lingual aspect, preserves part of the protocone, paracone and metastyle (Figure 3B; Table 2). The protocone is extremely small and grades smoothly into the upright paracone. There is a deep notch separating the paracone and bulbous metacone, and a distinct notch on the metastyle. This morphology is shared with the KA 64 *Megantereon whitei* P4 to the exclusion of other machairodonts (e.g., *Dinofelis piveteaui, Dinofelis barlowi*), similarly-sized extant felids (e.g., *Panthera pardus, Acinonyx jubatus* Schreber, 1775), or the indeterminate Main Quarry felids (DN 530, 4300, 5498) described below.
The DN 3254 left partial mandible preserves part of the symphysis and alveolus for the i1-i3, the canine alveolus with part of the canine root, and the diastema (Figure 3C). Although this specimen preserves the anterior portion that does not overlap other South African *M. whitei* mandibles (e.g., KA 64, TM 856, STS 1588; Ewer, 1955a; Turner, 1987a), several morphological features align the specimen with *M. whitei*; the most diagnostic of these features is the presence of a mandibular flange on the anterolateral border of the corpus adjacent to the canine alveolus. The root of the canine indicates the tooth was small (length: 12.5 mm, breadth: 7.1 mm) and consistent with other African and Eurasian *Megantereon* specimens (Palmqvist et al., 2007). Finally, the diastema is sharply margined and elongated given the absence of any premolar alveolus despite the preserved length of the corpus.

**Felidae gen. et sp. indet.**

**Referred specimens.** DN 530, right P4; DN 558, left proximal ulna; DN 2236, terminal phalanx; DN 2701, left partial mandible; DN 2937, indet. maxillary incisor; DN 3291, right calcaneus; DN 4300, right P4 (probable antimere to DN 5498); DN 4354, two associated indet. mandibular incisors; DN 4590, right partial astragalus; DN 5498, left P4 (probable antimere to DN 4300).

**Description.** A small collection of carnivore craniodental and postcranial specimens are attributable to the Family Felidae but are not considered sufficiently diagnostic to attribute to genus or species. The DN 558 left proximal ulna preserves part of the olecranon process and articular surface and is derived from a large, *Dinofelis*-sized felid but is too damaged to
confidently attribute. Also likely derived from a larger felid is the DN 3291 partial calcaneus and 
DN 4590 partial astragalus, with the latter similar to the previously described DN 2149b *D. aff. 
piveteaui* astragalus (O’Regan and Menter, 2009: 338). In contrast the DN 2236 phalanx is from 
a smaller felid species that appears slightly larger than extant caracal (*Caracal caracal* Schreber, 
1776) and preserves a robust volar surface. The DN 2937 maxillary incisor is tall, pointed, and 
distinctly tricusped, preserving parts of two discrete lingual accessory cusps and is consistent 
with derived machairodont incisors (e.g., BF-55 23 *D. barlowi*, KA 61 *D. piveteaui*, KA 64 
*Megantereon whitei*; Biknevicius, Van Valkenburgh & Walker, 1996; Christiansen and 
Adolfsson, 2007); the similarly-shaped DN 4354 mandibular incisors are also likely derived from 
a machairodont felid, perhaps the same individual, given their shared size and distinct 
morphology.

Four of the indeterminate felid craniodental specimens may ultimately be attributable below the 
level of Family with additional analysis beyond this primary description. The DN 2701 left 
mandible preserves the posterior portions of the corpus, including gonion and the condylar and 
coronoid processes. The masseteric fossa is deep and exhibits robust scarring both dorsal and 
ventral to the margins. The specimen is much smaller than *D. barlowi* (BF-55 23) and *D. 
piveteaui* (KA 62, KA 63) mandibular remains, but similar in size to both *M. whitei* (KA 64, STS 
1588) and *P. pardus* (extant comparative and SK 349) mandibles. However, in contrast with 
extant *P. pardus* mandibles (and SK 349) the DN 2701 coronoid process is shorter, narrower 
anteroposteriorly, and less robust. In addition, the DN 2701 gonion exhibits less lateral flare and 
the condyle is more robust and angled relative to the axis of the corpus. The DN 2701 coronoid 
process is also smaller and less robust than that of the KB 5224b *M. whitei* mandible from
Kromdraai B (Turner, 1987a), but shows a similar condylar morphology to both the KB 5224b and KA 64 specimens. Unfortunately, this region is poorly preserved or absent in other South African *Megantereon* mandibles to assess potential variability in coronoid process morphology that may eventually provide for a more confident attribution of the DN 2701 specimen.

The DN 530, 4300 and DN 5498 maxillary fourth premolars all preserve similar cusp morphologies (DN 4300 and DN 5498 are probable antimeres based on shared morphology and spatial position in the deposits) and are likely derived from the same felid species (Figure 5A). The DN 530 specimen exhibits damage to the crown elements, and the DN 5498 P4 was broken in three places and reglued; however, the latter retains some of the maxillary alveolar bone including the alveolus for the M1, which indicates a robust, ovoid and possibly double-rooted M1 in life. All of these specimens exhibit weakly-developed protocones that grade smoothly into the paracone, and a deep cleft dividing the paracone and metastyle. They are all larger than extant *Acinonyx jubatus* and *Panthera pardus* (comparative measurements in Turner, 1984; Table 2) and also differ in protocone size (e.g., more developed than in *A. jubatus*, less separated from the paracone and more distally positioned than in *P. pardus*). None of these specimens can be accommodated into *Dinofelis* based on their size and morphology of the parastyle and metastyle (Table 2). The closest metric and morphological match for these Main Quarry specimens is the KA 64 *Megantereon whitei* P4; however, the DN specimens exhibit a parastyle more distinct from the paracone, a more rudimentary ectostyle, no buccolingual pinching of the metastyle, and a flared posterior lip of the metacone (Figure 5A). Lacking a strong morphological match for these specimens, we have deferred a more specific taxonomic attribution of the specimens pending further analysis.
Family HYAENIDAE Gray, 1821

Genus LYCYAENOPS Kretzoi, 1938

Type species *Lycyaenops rhomboideae* Kretzoi, 1938

*Lycyaenops silberbergi* Broom in Broom and Schepers, 1946

**Referred specimens.** DN 974, right P3.

**Description.** A primary description of the DN 974 specimen was provided in O’Regan and Menter (2009), although we differ in treating the DN 974 specimen as a right P3 rather than a right P2 based on size and morphology (Figure 5B; Table 3). In the original description, O’Regan and Menter (2009: 343-344) allocated DN 974, and the DN 404 partial cranium, to *Chasmaporthetes nitidula* Hay, 1921 based on dental metrics and interpretation of mesial accessory cusp and P4 morphology relative to the Swartkrans Member 1 *C. nitidula* specimens (specifically SK 305, 306, and 307) and previously published descriptions by Broom (1948) and Ewer (1955b).

In contrast to the prior taxonomic attribution, the preserved morphology of the DN 974 specimen is most consistent with the type specimen and other African specimens of *Lycyaenops silberbergi*. The DN 974 right third premolar preserves a tall paracone and a large distal
accessory cusp, but no mesial accessory cusp and only a modest mesial cingulum. The crown is rectangular in outline, has a distally-shifted lingual shelf well-separated from the paracone, and the paracone is separated from the distal accessory cusp by a distinct ‘waist’, particularly well-developed on the labial aspect. This morphology is shared with the *L. silberbergi* P3 type (STS 130) and other specimens from Sterkfontein Member 4 (STS 127, 130, 135; SF 369/372, 383/373, 408) and the *Lycyaenops* cf. *L. silberbergi* from Laetoli (NHM AS 7.VI.35, LAET 75-494) (Turner, 1986, 1990; Werdelin and Dehghani, 2011). It differs from the *C. nitidula* P3s from Swartkrans Member 1 (SK 305, 306, 309, 312, 313) and Member 3 (SKX 29205) in the development of the strong waist on the labial aspect and generally in mesial accessory cusp morphology (Ewer, 1955b; Brain, 1981; Turner, 1993). We echo prior publications that there is some variability in mesial accessory cusp expression across *C. nitidula* maxillary premolars (e.g., Brain, 1981: 234 ‘type A, primitive’ and ‘type B, advanced’; Werdelin and Peigné, 2010); however, only SK 305 and 306 preserve a more reduced mesial accessory cusp (the former is damaged in this region) and both have more developed mesial cusps than that seen on DN 974. As noted by O’Regan and Menter (2009) the DN 404 dentition is damaged, but the P3 has a distinctly oval profile and smooth labial contour; the mesial and lingual aspects of the crown are somewhat crushed and offset, prohibiting confident morphological assessment but likely exhibited a modest mesial accessory cusp (and is closely resembling the ‘type A, primitive’ Swartkrans Member 1 SK 306 partial maxilla).

Hyaeidae gen. et sp. indet.
Referred specimens. DN 2864, partial right scapula; DN 2973, partial right P4; DN 3281, partial right P2.

Description. In addition to the four indeterminate hyaenid craniodental and postcranial specimens described by O’Regan and Menter (2009), three further elements can be attributed to the family. The DN 2864 scapula preserves a very large infraglenoid tubercle relative to the size of the preserved glenoid fossa and is derived from hyaenid smaller than extant *Parahyaena brunnea* Thunberg, 1820. The DN 2973 right P4 preserves the complete protocone and lingual aspect of the anterior accessory cusp and paracone with little occlusal wear. There is some buccolingual swelling on the paracone that is shared with extant *P. brunnea* P4s and the SK 327 *P. brunnea* P4 from Swartkrans Member 1 (although smaller than the latter), and there is no evidence for buccolingual compression or a ridge leading to the trigon basin as in *Crocuta crocuta*. This specimen, along with the DN 2321 P4 fragment described by O’Regan and Menter (2009: 344), support the occurrence of a hyaenid individual distinct from *Lycyaenops* and *Chasmaporthetes* in the Main Quarry deposits. In contrast, the DN 3281 right P2 preserves the anterior margin of the crown and half of the anterior root that lacks the cingulum distinctive for *P. brunnea* dentition. The specimen preserves strong labial ridging and a flattened lingual aspect, and is too buccolingually expanded to represent *Chasmaporthetes* or *Lycyaenops*. The closest extant morphological match is *Crocuta crocuta*; minimally suggesting an additional hyaenid distinct from *L. silberbergi*, *C. nitidula*, and the DN 2973/2321 individuals.

Order CETARTIODACTYLA Montgelard et al., 1997
Family BOVIDAE Gray, 1821

Tribe ALCELAPHINI de Rochebrune, 1883

Genus CONNOCHAETES Lichtenstein, 1814

Type species Connochaetes gnou Zimmermann, 1780

Connochaetes sp.

**Referred specimens.** DN 704, left maxillary third molar; DN 1111a, right maxillary second molar.

**Description.** Only two of the identifiable alcelaphin specimens represent the genus Connochaetes in the Main Quarry sample. The DN 704 third molar, derived from decalcified sediments, is a complete crown (ML: 29.8 mm, BL: 19.0 mm) that exhibits moderate occlusal wear. The DN 1111a molar is also a complete crown (ML: 25.5 mm) with moderate occlusal wear but is set within a partially mechanically processed aggregation of indeterminate postcranial fragments. As isolated teeth the specimens cannot be confidently attributed below the generic level. Both specimens are consistent in cusp morphology, central cavity complexity and overall size with extant comparatives and fossil Connochaetes sp. specimens from Swartkrans Members 1 Hanging Remnant (e.g., SK 2482, 3008, 3102, 14120x) (linear metrics in Vrba, 1976).
Genus DAMALISCUS Sclater and Thomas, 1894

Type species Damaliscus dorcas Pallas, 1766

Damaliscus sp.

**Referred specimens.** DN 2790, right horn core; DN 4778, right horn core.

**Description.** Two partial right horn cores, representing two adult individuals, are attributed here to the genus Damaliscus. The most complete of the cores, DN 2790, preserves the pedicle (infiltrated by an extensive sinus) to approximately ¾ of the body of the core, which exhibits a small amount of torsion approaching the broken margin (Figure 6A). The base of DN 2790 core is mediolaterally compressed (AP: 35.3 mm, ML: 26.3 mm) and there is a deep medial groove on the body. The DN 4778 specimen preserves the body of the core in two articulating pieces, with a third associated fragment lacking a clear contact point. While mechanical preparation damage to DN 4778 prohibits confident metric comparison it exhibits analogous mediolateral compression to the DN 2790 specimen.

Both the DN cores exhibit similar size, curvature and mediolateral compression to extant Damaliscus dorcas comparative specimens and the SK 14206 specimen attributed to Damaliscus cf. dorcas by Vrba (1976) (ML: ~24.0 mm). Both Main Quarry specimens contrast with previously described Damaliscus niro Hopwood, 1936 horn cores (including the Swartkrans Member 2 specimen SK 2862) in size (e.g., SK 2862, AP: ~44.0 mm, ML: ~36.0 mm; Vrba,
1976; published metrics from Olduvai and Cornelia in Gentry and Gentry, 1978), the onset of torsion and the absence of transverse ridging (see also Cooke, 1974; Gentry, 2010). Although these two specimens are morphologically close to *D. dorcas*, we agree with Gentry (2010: 786) that a comprehensive revision of smaller *Damaliscus* from Pleistocene localities is critical before attributing specimens like the Main Quarry horn cores to a species within the genus.

Genus **MEGALOTRAGUS** van Hoepen, 1932

Type species *Megalotragus priscus* Broom, 1909

*Megalotragus* sp.

**Referred specimens.** DN 748a, right M2; DN 748b, right M3; DN 856, left M2 (?); DN 4807, left M2.

**Description.** Four isolated alcelaphin maxillary molars exhibit dental dimensions that exceed extant *Connochaetes* comparatives with analogous occlusal wear and are consistent with fossil specimens attributed to the extinct genus *Megalotragus*. The two associated right molars (DN 748a, b) preserve complete crowns (DN 748a ML: 27.9 mm, BL: 19.2 mm; DN 748b ML: 36.0 mm, BL: 19.4 mm) and moderate-heavy occlusal wear (Figure 6B). Both DN 4807 and DN 856 are left M2s (with the DN 856 position in the toothrow only tentative) with moderate occlusal wear. While DN 4807 is missing the lingual enamel surface and is somewhat distorted, DN 856 preserves a nearly complete probable M2 crown (ML: 31.6 mm, BL: 24.4 mm) (with regluing...
and minor enamel damage). All four of these specimens are directly comparable in size and cusp morphology to *Megalotragus* maxillary remains from Swartkrans Member 1 Hanging Remnant (e.g., SK 2245, 2432, 3031) and Member 2 (e.g., SK 14120, 14218) (linear metrics in Vrba, 1976).

Alcelaphini gen. et sp. indet.

**Referred specimens.** DN 3, left deciduous P3; DN 41, left maxillary molar; DN 42, left maxillary molar (associated with DN 41); DN 82, left M3; DN 90, left deciduous P3; DN 255, horn core fragment; DN 259, right m1; DN 309, left M1 or M2; DN 446, right m1; DN 475, right deciduous p4; DN 529, right mandible with deciduous p3-p4; DN 719, right P3; DN 722, right m1 or m2; DN 831, right (?) horn core fragment; DN 837, mandible fragment; DN 863, cranial fragment; DN 878, horn core fragment; DN 1001, left (?) horn core fragment; DN 1006, right deciduous p3; DN 1007, left m3; DN 1013, right horn core fragment; DN 1015, left mandible with deciduous p2-p4; DN 1021, right P3; DN 1025, deciduous P4; DN 1026, left m1 or m2; DN 1043, horn core fragment; DN 1061, left M2; DN 1065, right deciduous p3; DN 1099, left (?) horn core fragment; DN 1143, left mandible with deciduous p2-p4; DN 1156, indet. side M2 or M3; DN 2053, horn pedicle fragment; DN 2157, right M1 or M2; DN 2161, horn core tip fragment; DN 2168, tooth fragment; DN 2854, right m3; DN 2992, left mandibular molar fragment; DN 4321, horn core fragment; DN 4466, right deciduous p4; DN 4514, right deciduous p4; DN 4526, left m3; DN 4647, molar fragment; DN 4653, left mandible with p3-p4; DN 4779, right M3; DN 4780, left m3.
Description. Because of either incomplete preservation and/or the metric and morphological overlap in the isolated dentition of extant and extinct alcelaphin genera and species, a total of 45 Main Quarry specimens could not be confidently attributed below the level of the Tribe. Within this sample, 11 specimens (DN 259, 529, 722, 863, 878, 1099, 1156, 2157, 2161, 2992, and 4653) are derived from minimally four larger size class III individuals (e.g., within the size range of extant Connochaetes or larger); all adults excepting DN 529, which is an extremely young individual with the deciduous premolars just erupting. The remaining specimens are derived from minimally nine larger class II and smaller class III alcelaphin individuals (e.g., within the size range of extant Damaliscus and Alcelaphus), including at least seven immature individuals retaining their deciduous dentition (e.g., DN 1015; Figure 6C).

At present we include in this group five partial horn cores that may ultimately be attributable to the generic or specific level; however, the paucity of horn cores from penecontemporanous South African fossil deposits (particularly relative to the eastern African record) limits our ability to confidently diagnose the specimens. The DN 4321 horn core exhibits a very large sinus within an expanded pedicle, but supporting a very compressed core body that somewhat resembles the morphology of extant Sigmoceras lichtensteini Peters, 1849 (alt. Alcelaphus buselaphus lichtensteini sensu Gentry, 2010; Kingdon and Hoffman, 2013b). DN 1043 is a left, likely immature horn core (AP: 39.3 mm) that is derived from a smaller alcelaphin and somewhat resembles the immature cores of extant Damaliscus lunatus Burchell, 1823 as well as the SK 14008 indeterminate bovid horn core from the Member 1 Hanging Remnant.
The three remaining horn cores (DN 255, 381, and 1013) appear to exhibit the same morphology and are likely derived from the same alcelaphin species. The most complete of these, DN 1013, is a ~10 cm portion of a right horn core pedicle and body (Figure 6D). The base of the horn core lacks bossing as in extant *Alcelaphus* or *Connochaetes*, and the strong anticlockwise torsion eliminates attribution to extant *Damaliscus* as well as the Swartkrans Member 1 SK 3211b *Numidocapra porrocornutus* Vrba, 1971 specimen (*sensu* Gentry, 2010 after Vrba, 1997), *Parnularius braini* Vrba, 1977, or *Damaliscus gentryi* Vrba, 1977 as represented by specimens from Makapansgat Member 3. Amongst the previously described alcelaphin fossil horn cores, the closest comparative specimen is the SK 14183 *Beatragus* sp. Heller, 1912 from Swartkrans Member 2 (Vrba, 1976). When oriented relative to SK 14183, DN 1013 exhibits features previously used by Vrba (1976) when attributing the SK specimen to *Beatragus*: anteroposterior flattening of the core ~3 cm above the base, anticlockwise torsion, a modest mesial keel, foramina along the anterolateral border, and slight swelling on the posterolateral aspect (which is compromised by surface damage) that may indicate the origin of a posterolateral keel. We note, however, that the DN 1013 specimen is smaller (AP: 37.8 mm, ML: 45.4 mm) than the SK 14183 *Beatragus* specimen (AP: 45.2 mm, ML: 51.2 mm) and the torsion is more exaggerated; potentially reflecting ontogenetic, demographic or phylogenetic differences.

Tribe ANTILOPINI Gray, 1821

Genus ANTIDORCAS Sundevall, 1847

Type species *Antidorcas marsupialis* Zimmermann, 1780
Antidorcas recki Schwarz, 1932

**Referred specimens.** DN 224, left adult male horn core; DN 879, left male horn core; DN 884, right immature male horn core; DN 890, right adult male horn core; DN 938, left adult female horn core; DN 990, right (?) immature male horn core; DN 995, left adult female horn core; DN 1014, right sub(?)adult female horn core; DN 1022, left adult male horn core; DN 1048, indet. side female horn core; DN 1055, right (?) immature male horn core; DN 1058, left sub(?)adult male horn core; DN 1060, right adult male horn core; DN 1068, left adult male horn core; DN 1071, left sub(?)adult male horn core; DN 2483a left adult female horn core; DN 2483b right adult female horn core; DN 2789, indet. side male horn core; DN 3033, indet. side female horn core; DN 3294, right immature male horn core; DN 4438, right adult female horn core; DN 4690, left immature male horn core (possible antimere of DN 884); DN 4698, indet. side female (?) horn core; DN 4777, right male horn core; DN 4796, left adult female horn core.

**Description.** At present, this collection of 25 *Antidorcas recki* horn core specimens from at least 16 individuals is the largest described sample for the species from the Cradle (and outside the Olduvai Bed deposits) (Gentry, 1966; Gentry and Gentry, 1978; Cooke, 1996). It is also the most demographically diverse from the South African deposits, with minimally eight males (three adult, two probable subadult, three immature) and eight females (seven adult, one probable subadult) recorded in the sample.
The morphology of the horn cores largely conform to the description of male and female *A. recki* specimens from Olduvai (Gentry, 1966; Gentry and Gentry, 1978) and Bolt’s Farm Pit 3 (Cooke, 1996) (and contrasts with extant *Antidorcas marsupialis* horn cores) in the vertical rise of the horn core from the sinus-filled pedicle, degree of mediolateral compression, strong posterior angulation within the short body, and a lack of lateral divergence or torsion (Figure 7A and 7B; Table 4). The adult and immature male DN specimens contrast with those of *Gazella vanhoepeni* Wells and Cooke, 1956 from the Makapansgat Member 3 deposits (e.g., M 412, 415, 2224, 2717, 8245, 8384, 9006, 9026) in the less pronounced mediolateral compression, core body size, and the sharper posterowards curvature in the core (Figure 7A). We do note, however, that none of the male DN horn cores exhibit the strong transverse ridging present on the Bolt’s Farm Pit 3 male cranium (UCMP 69521), which aligns the DN specimens with some of the noted *A. recki* variants from Olduvai and Peninj described by Gentry and Gentry (1978: 429). The substantial sample of female *A. recki* horn core specimens in the Main Quarry sample provides further evidence for the presence of strong sexual dimorphism in this skeletal feature within the species (Cooke, 1996). The DN female cores are substantially smaller, straighter, and exhibit a far more rounded cross-section than the male counterparts (Figure 7B); consistent with the morphology of the Bolt’s Farm Pit 3 UCMP 80168 and 80169 specimens (Cooke, 1996; Table 4).

*Antidorcas cf. recki*

**Referred specimens.** DN 140, right indet. maxillary molar; DN 175, right m1 or m2; DN 308, right m3; DN 401, left P4; DN 447, right m1; DN 989, right P3; DN 1002, right mandible with p4-m2 (associated with DN 2283); DN 1034, right m1 or m2; DN 1054, right M1 or M2; DN
1059, indet. side male (?) horn core; DN 1115, right immature horn core; DN 1116, right m3; DN 1135, left P4; DN 2175, indet. side m1 or m2; DN 2270, left mandible with p4 and m2; DN 2271, right P4; DN 2283, right m3; DN 2307, right immature horn core; DN 2328, right m3; DN 2554, left M2; DN 2614, right mandible with deciduous p2-p4 and m1-m2; DN 3009, left P3; DN 3287, right m2; DN 3346, left m1 or m2; DN 4185, right M2; DN 4290, right m3.

Description. In addition to the attributed *Antidorcas recki* horn cores, a collection of 26 *Antidorcas* craniodental specimens have also been recovered from the Main Quarry deposits. This sample of isolated teeth display similar crown morphology with other previously attributed *Antidorcas recki* dentition from Bolt’s Farm (see above; Cooke, 1996), Kromdraai A (e.g., KA 964B, 1002, 1111, 1093) and dissimilar to both extant *Antidorcas marsupialis* Zimmerman, 1780 and the hypsodont *Antidorcas bondi* Cooke and Wells, 1951 specimens from Swartkrans Member 2 (Vrba, 1976). Furthermore, most of these isolated specimens were recovered interspersed within the decalcified Main Quarry sediments alongside the diagnostic *A. recki* horn core specimens; and in the case of DN 3346 and 4185, were recovered from just adjacent to the DN 3033 and 3294 horn cores. We do, however, recognise and support the caution expressed by Gentry (2010) regarding the separation of remains of *A. recki* from early remains of *A. bondi* and *Antidorcas australis* Hendey and Hendey, 1968/*A. marsupialis*. In keeping with a more conservative approach to these fragmentary craniodental remains, we treat them as provisionally attributable to *A. recki*.

Genus RAPHICERUS C.H. Smith, 1827
Type species *Raphicerus campestris* Thunberg, 1811

*Raphicerus* sp.

**Referred specimen.** DN 591, right p3.

**Description.** The single right mandibular third premolar is a complete crown (ML: 7.7 mm, BL: 3.1 mm) with only superficial occlusal wear. The tooth is very mesiodistally elongate, exhibiting the gracile cusp morphology and weak-development of distal elements (e.g., hypoconid, entoconid/entostylid barely developed and fused) that is similar to the equivalent tooth preserved in the KA 710 *Raphicerus campestris* mandible from Kromdraai A (Vrba, 1976) and extant *R. campestris* comparatives.

Tribe OREOTRAGINI Pilgrim, 1939

Genus OREOTRAGUS A. Smith, 1834

Type species *Oreotragus oreotragus* Zimmermann, 1783

*Oreotragus* sp.

**Referred specimens.** DN 290, left mandible with deciduous p3; DN 400, right m2; DN 432, right m1 (associated with DN 400); DN 438, right mandible with m1-m3; DN 590, left M1 or M2; DN 710, right mandible with deciduous p3; DN 857, left mandible with m3; DN 910, right
mandible with m1-m3; DN 965, right mandible with deciduous p3; DN 1008, right mandible with deciduous p3; DN 1046, left M1 or M2; DN 4773, left m2.

Description. The 12 mandibular specimens attributed here to *Oreotragus* are derived from at least nine individuals (five adults with occluded third molars, four immature individuals retaining their deciduous premolars). The more complete DN 438 (m2 MD: 12.0 mm, BL: 5.8 mm; m3 MD: 16.0 mm, BL: 5.7 mm; Figure 7C), DN 857 (m1 MD: 9.5 mm, BL: 6.2 mm; m2 MD: 11.8 mm, BL: 6.4 mm; m3 MD: 19.3 mm, BL: 6.5 mm) and DN 910 (m1 BL: 5.8 mm; m2 MD: 13.3 mm, BL: 6.5 mm; m3 MD: 15.4 mm, BL: 6.5 mm) specimens preserve relatively complete corpora and molars. The dental measurements of the DN *Oreotragus* specimens place them roughly in the narrow zone of overlap between the smaller-bodied fossil *Oreotragus* populations from Gondolin GD 2 and the larger-bodied *Oreotragus* from Haasgat HGD and Makapansgat Member 3 (see Adams, 2012a for comparative *Oreotragus* dental metrics). As noted in Adams (2012), a comprehensive revision of the fossil record of the genus is essential to establish the significance of the metric variability in *Oreotragus* across South African Plio-Pleistocene deposits.
**Referred specimens.** DN 111, right partial horn core and orbital margin; DN 2573, right partial horn core; DN 4775, right partial horn core.

**Description.** The most complete of the reduncin horn core specimens attributed to *Redunca* cf. *fulvorufula* is DN 111, which preserves the right horn core pedicle as well as part of the right superior orbital margin and cranial vault. The two other right horn cores, while retaining less adhering cranial vault portions preserve the same core morphology as DN 111. The angle of horn core insertion, absence of sinuses in the pedicle, and development of the postcornual fossa are consistent with members of the tribe, and the size, insertion angle and cross-sectional shape of the core excludes attribution to genus *Kobus* Smith, 1840. Among extant and extinct *Redunca* species, the DN specimens are smaller than, and exhibit more upright and rounder horn cores, than both the extinct *Redunca darti* Wells and Cooke, 1956 from Makapansgat Member 3 (e.g., M 446 [type specimen], M 453, M 461, M 464, M 783) and extant *Redunca arundinum* Boddaert, 1785 comparative specimens (see also discussion of *Redunca* horn cores in Adams, 2006). The DN horn cores are similar in these morphological features to extant *Redunca fulvorufula* and the Gondolin GD 2 *Redunca* sp. horn cores (Adams and Conroy, 2005; Adams, 2006), but are from distinctly smaller-bodied individuals than the population sampled in the Gondolin GD 2 assemblage. Although the evolutionary relationships of South African Plio-Pleistocene *Redunca* and the diversity of reduncins during the Neogene remain unresolved (see Adams and Conroy, 2005; Adams, 2006, 2012; Adams et al., 2010; Gentry, 2010), the morphology expressed by the Main Quarry specimens is most comparable with extant *R. fulvorufula*; a species present in the Cradle by at least the mid-Pleistocene (e.g., Gladysvale
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691 Tribe TRAGELAPHINI Blyth, 1863

692 Genus TRAGELAPHUS de Blainville, 1816

693 Type species Tragelaphus scriptus Pallas, 1766
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697 Referred specimens. DN 120, right mandible with p2-m3; DN 163, left m3; DN 164, left m1;

698 DN 165, left m2; DN 399, right p3; DN 1011, left M1; DN 1027, associated right p3, p4, and

699 m1-m2 in a mandibular corpus; DN 2788, left maxilla with deciduous P4 – M2; DN 4112, right

700 m1 or m2.

701

702 Description. The Drimolen Main Quarry Tragelaphus sample includes at least two different

703 species. At least one species of large Tragelaphus is represented by the DN 399 and DN 2788

704 specimens. The crown of the DN 399 p3 is complete and unworn, but the roots are incompletely

705 formed. The crown is brachydont and the morphology of the paraconid, metaconid and entoconid

706 are consistent with extant Tragelaphus strepsiceros Pallas, 1766 comparative specimens. The

707 DN 2788 maxilla retains the deciduous p4 and the M2 is just reaching the occlusal plane. Like

708 the DN 399 specimen, the brachydonty and loph morphology is consistent with the genus, and

709 size of the dentition is within the range of extant T. strepsiceros. Although these two specimens

710 could potentially be derived from the same immature individual, we consider them as
representing minimally two different individuals based on provenance: the DN 399 specimen was recovered from decalcified sediments (-2.3 m below datum; MBD) whereas the DN 2788 specimen was mechanically recovered from a surface-recovered *ex situ* calcified sediment block.

The remaining specimens are derived from at least one smaller species of *Tragelaphus*, likely representing minimally four individuals of *Tragelaphus pricei* Wells and Cooke, 1956 or the *Tragelaphus scriptus* lineage; however, lacking horn cores we cannot provide a more specific attribution. The most complete of these specimens are the DN 120 and DN 1027 right mandibles (Figure 6C). Both specimens exhibit similar dental metrics, corpus depths, premolar morphology (including paraconid-metaconid fusion on the p4) and buccal lophid rounding to the *T. pricei* holotype (M 18) and paratype (M 17, M 19) specimens from the Makapansgat Member 3 deposits (Wells and Cooke, 1956). The evolutionary relationships between the South African *T. pricei* and other smaller fossil *Tragelaphus* (e.g., *Tragelaphus nkondoensis* Geraads and Thomas, 1994) and extant *T. scriptus* is not resolved (see Gentry, 2010), and further assessment of the African record may eventually permit a more specific diagnosis of the Main Quarry specimens.

*Tragelaphini gen. et sp. indet.*

**Referred specimens.** DN 1193, right m1 or m2 with associated tooth fragment in matrix.

**Description.** Two associated craniodental specimens (catalogued as DN 1193) are morphologically consistent with a large-sized tragelaphin but are not attributable to generic level. The associated tooth fragment is a partial molar (probably a lower buccal loph), while the more
complete tooth is a damaged right lower molar lacking the lingual enamel surface. The angled buccal lophids and brachydonty of the lower molar is consistent with the tribe, and the size places the specimen within the range of extant *Tragelaphus strepsiceros* and smaller *Taurotragus oryx* individuals.

Bovidae gen. et sp. indet.

**Referred specimens.** 538 specimens (see Supplemental Table 1)

**Description.** The majority of the indeterminate bovid specimens from the Main Quarry deposits are postcranial elements (NISP = 365), with only 173 craniodental specimens (primarily nondiagnostic enamel fragments, mandibular incisors or poorly preserved horn core fragments) not attributed below the Family level (Supplemental Table 1). Although these remains are undiagnostic, we would note that there is no indication of additional bovid taxa in the Main Quarry deposits beyond those established by the other referred specimens above. The one exception is the DN 647 partial left maxillary molar, which exhibits hypsodonty consistent with alcelaphins, but also resembles some of the smaller ovibovin remains potentially attributable to *Makapania* Wells and Cooke, 1956 from Swartkrans Member 1, Gladysvale, and Haasgat HGD (Vrba, 1976; Lacruz et al., 2002; Adams, 2012a).

Family GIRAFFIDAE Gray, 1821
Giraffidae gen. et sp. indet.

Referred material. DN 1097, right humerus.

Description. The DN 1097 specimen is an extremely large right cetartiodactyl humerus that preserves the medial portion of the condyle, supracondylar region, and the diaphysis to the distal-most portion of the humeral crest (Figure 8A). Although no standard metrics could be gathered, the preserved length of the specimen is ~26 cm and the length of the medial epicondyle to midsagittal of the condyle is ~6.5 cm, indicating an approximate epicondylar width of ~12-13 cm. In addition to the size of the preserved specimen, several morphological features align DN 1097 to extant giraffid comparative specimens (e.g., *Giraffa camelopardalis* Linnaeus, 1758) to the exclusion of a large bovid (e.g., extant *Syncerus caffer* Sparrman, 1779). The supracondylar diaphysis is ovoid (anteroposteriorly compressed, mediolaterally wide) rather than triangular from a more robust humeral crest. The medial articular surface of the condyle is anteroposteriorly flattened and cylindrical, and lacks a strongly developed sagittal ridge laterally.

Unfortunately, there is a paucity of fossil giraffid postcranial from the South African palaeokarstic deposits, with only small collections described from Makapansgat Member 3 and the Swartkrans Members 1-3 deposits (Reed, 1996; Watson, 1993). Only a single humerus attributed to the extinct *Sivatherium* Falconer and Cautley, 1836 has been described from Swartkrans Member 1 Hanging Remnant (SK 3172), but this left humerus preserves only the non-overlapping proximal region. The penecontemporaneous eastern African record is more
substantial, with postcranial samples from Koobi Fora, Olduvai, Omo-Shungura and Laetoli (Harris, 1976; Leakey and Harris, 1987; Harris, 1991; Robinson, 2011). The features that would allow for confident attribution of the DN specimen as either giraffine or sivatherine (e.g., elongation of the diaphysis, relative compression and width of the distal epiphyses) cannot be ascertained in the preserved state; and the humeri of Plio-Pleistocene giraffid species overlap significantly in simple linear metrics (Leakey and Harris, 1987; Harris, 1991; Robinson, 2011). Given these limitations, we treat this specimen as indeterminate below the Family level.

Family SUIDAE Gray 1821

Suidae gen. et sp. indet.

Referred material. DN 2850, right third metatarsal.

Description. The DN 2850 specimen is a nearly complete right third metatarsal from a juvenile suid, preserving a notably immature proximal articular surface (missing part of the medial articular surface) and an unfused distal metaphyseal surface (Figure 8B). The diaphysis of the element is visibly robust (ML: 12.1 mm, dorsoventral depth: 10.1 mm) given its overall length (53.2 mm). Metric comparisons of the proximal articular and distal metaphyseal surfaces of DN 2850 against the third metatarsals of extant Phacochoerus aethiopicus Pallas, 1766 and Potamochoerus porcus Linnaeus, 1758 place this very immature element outside or just within the measured ranges of even fully adult P. aethiopicus and P. porcus individuals with complete
proximal articular surface development and distal metaphyseal fusion (Table 5). The size of the
specimen at its developmental stage would therefore seemingly preclude attribution to either of
these extant genera.

Comparable fossil suid third metatarsals are extremely rare within African Plio-Pleistocene
deposits (Bishop, 1994); however, a partial right third metatarsal of *Metridiochoerus andrewsi*
(G 8105) has been described from the Gondolin GD 2 assemblage (Adams, 2006). The G 8105
specimen only preserves the proximal articular surface and part of the diaphysis and is derived
from a more developmentally mature individual (or full adult), and the single comparable
measurement reflects the larger body size of the species compared to the extant suids (Table 5).
While the overall shape of the proximal articular surface and robusticity of the diaphysis appears
shared between DN 2850 and G 8105, the significant ontogenetic differences prohibit confident
attribution of the Main Quarry specimen to the same genus or species based solely on these
broad similarities. Similarly, while other suid genera (e.g., *Notochoerus, Kolpochoerus*) are less
frequently recovered than remains of *Metridiochoerus* in South African Plio-Pleistocene
deposits, we also lack equivalent postcrania for these lineages to allow for direct comparisons. At
present, we can only state that this specimen is derived from an extinct suid lineage that
exhibited larger adult body size than extant or attributed fossil *Phacochoerus* or *Potamochoerus.*

Order PERISSODACTYLA Owen, 1848

Family EQUIDAE Gray, 1821

Genus EQUUS Linnaeus, 1758
Type species Equus caballus Linnaeus, 1758

Equus cf. quagga ssp. (Boddart, 1785) (sensu Klingel, 2013)

Referred material. DN 3424, left distal tibia; DN 4525, intermediate phalanx; DN 4781, partial ungual phalanx.

Description. The DN 3424 specimen preserves part of the distal metaphysis and articular surface from a left tibia, from the medial malleolus to the midline. There is no indication of persistence of a metaphyseal line indicating full closure of the distal growth plate and skeletal maturity of the element. Unfortunately, no standard metrics could be taken from the specimen to facilitate comparisons, but the element is directly comparable to extant E. quagga ssp. tibiae in size and morphology and is visibly smaller than the Equus capensis Broom, 1909 distal tibiae previously described from Swartkrans Members 1 Lower Bank (SKX 9596) and 2 (SKX 2390) (Churcher and Watson, 1993), as well as the Equus sp. specimen from the Haasgat HGD deposits (HGD 1015; Adams, 2012a).

The DN 4525 intermediate phalanx preserves the proximal epiphysis (ML: 45.9 mm, dorsoventral depth: 33.0 mm) and most of the diaphysis, but lacks the distal articular surface and some of the anterior face of the distal metaphyseal/diaphyseal region. The articular surfaces as preserved appear fully mature, there is no indication of a persistent metaphyseal line. As is the case with the DN 3424 tibia, the intermediate phalanx is consistent with extant E. quagga ssp. intermediate phalanges in size and morphology and smaller than previously described E.
"capensis" specimens from Swartkrans Member 3 (SKX 39182: proximal ML: 50.0 mm [min.], proximal dorsoventral depth: 36.0 mm [min.]) and Haasgat HGD (HGD 1099, proximal ML: 53.5 mm, proximal dorsoventral depth: 33.8 mm) (Churcher and Watson, 1993; Adams, 2012a).

The DN 4781 specimen preserves only a partial proximal articular facet and part of the volar surface of an equid ungual phalanx. The specimen is visibly larger than the hipparionin ungual phalanges previously described from Swartkrans Member 1 (SKX 9166), Member 2 (SKX 2626), and Gondolin GD 2 (G 4218) (Churcher and Watson, 1993; Adams, 2006), and there is no indication of multiple nutrient foramina on the volar surface or posterior projection of the articular surface consistent with that tribe. The specimen appears to fall within the size range of extant *E. quagga* ssp. comparative specimens, and was recovered in close spatial proximity to the DN 3424 and 4525 specimens (see below). Collectively, this supports allocating this specimen to both *E. quagga* ssp. and potentially to the same individual as the other equid remains from the Main Quarry decalcified deposits.

**Order PROBOSCIDEA Illiger, 1811**

**Family ELEPHANTIDAE Gray, 1821**

Elephantidae gen. et sp. indet.

**Referred material.** DN 4335, maxillary incisor fragment.
Description. A single tusk fragment (DN 4335) recovered from the decalcified sediments can be attributed to an indeterminate genus and species of elephantid (Figure 9A). The recovery of this specimen, while relatively rare within other palaeokarstic deposits from the region, is not unexpected given the presence of minimally two separate elephantid tusk portions within the unexcavated calcified sediments of the Drimolen Main Quarry. The fragment exhibits both surface pitting and break-edge rounding, and it is uncertain whether the specimen preserves the most external, cortical enamel lamina or a more internal layer of enamel. The inner surface of the fragment exhibits fresh exposure of enamel that highlights the light longitudinal banding of the enamel, which under magnification is matched on the external, pitted surface of the specimen. The DN specimen is similar in laminar enamel thickness and suggested cross-sectional area and shape to the Swartkrans Member 1 Lower Bank specimens that have been previously attributed to *Elephas* sp. Linnaeus, 1758 (SKX 45691, 45692b; Watson, 1993); however, as a single tusk fragment we remain conservative in our attribution until further remains are recovered.

Order HYRACOIDEA Huxley, 1869

Family PROCAVIIDAE Thomas, 1892

Genus PROCAVIA Storr, 1780

Type species *Procavia capensis* Storr, 1780

*Procavia* sp.
Referred material. DN 552, left m1 or m2; DN 2365b, right I1; DN 2971, right and left I1 (antimeric); DN 3072, right distal femur; DN 4219, right I1.

Description. In contrast to the faunal samples recovered from most other South African palaeokarstic localities and deposits there is only an extremely small sample of hyrax remains at the Drimolen Main Quarry deposits (see Churcher, 1956; Brain, 1981). The collection of six total specimens are largely isolated central incisors. The antimeric pair (DN 2971) are most likely from a male individual given the sharp, centrally-positioned keel, while the DN 2365b and 4219 specimens exhibit the more rounded contour and mesial position of the central keel consistent with being from females (Churcher, 1956). None of the recovered teeth are metrically consistent with *Procavia transvaalensis* Shaw, 1937 (see comparative measurements in Churcher, 1956).

Similarly, the DN 3072 distal femur is homologous to extant *Procavia capensis* Pallas, 1766 comparative specimens and visibly smaller than the *P. transvaalensis* distal femur from Haasgat HGT (HGT 1004; Adams, 2012a). As the composition of the sample does not allow us to diagnose whether the sample is derived from the extinct *Procavia antiqua* Broom, 1934 (see also Schwartz, 2007) and/or extant *P. capensis*, we can only attribute the Main Quarry specimens to a species of *Procavia* that is not *P. transvaalensis*.

Order LAGOMORPHA Brandt, 1855

Family LEPORIDAE Fischer von Waldheim, 1817

Genus PRONOLAGUS Lyon, 1904
Type species *Pronolagus crassicaudatus* Geoffroy, 1832

*Pronolagus* sp.

**Referred material.** DN 2823, right mandible preserving the p3-m2.

**Description.** Only one of the 38 identified leporid remains could be identified as representing as species of *Pronolagus* in the Drimolen Main Quarry deposits. The overall size of the specimen is significant smaller than in extant *Lepus capensis* Linnaeus, 1758, and the p3 exhibiting both anterior reentrants and no evidence of the posteroexternal reentrant extending nearly to the lingual border of the tooth as in *Lepus*. Amongst extant analogues, the overall mandibular morphology is similar to *Pronolagus rupestris* Smith, 1834 but the dentition and corpus is somewhat smaller than both male and female *P. rupestris* comparatives. Given the currently limited data on the South African leporid record (Winkler and Avery, 2010), we retain the specimen at the generic level pending a more comprehensive review of the South African Plio-

Pleistocene lagomorphs.

**Leporidae gen. et sp. indet.**

**Referred material.** DN 771, right immature proximal tibia; DN 1083, left calcaneus; DN 2104, right calcaneus; DN 2155, left distal scapula; DN 2256, left immature proximal tibia; DN 2330, left mandibular corpus; DN 2333, right maxillary premolar or molar; DN 2341, left calcaneus;
Description. The indeterminate leporid sample includes 34 numbered specimens (representing 36 total elements). Other than fragmentary and indeterminate craniodental remains (DN 2333 and DN 4229), the current collection is comprised of postcranial remains from what are likely two different species. The majority of the remains are derived from a lagomorph somewhere in body size between extant Lepus capensis and Pronolagus rupestris. A smaller lagomorph that had adult and immature postcranial elements smaller than adult extant P. rupestris is represented by DN 2104, 2155, 2715a & b, 2800, 2805, 3059, 4120, and 4228.

Order RODENTIA Bowdich, 1821
Family HYSTRICIDAE Fischer de Waldheim, 1817
Genus HYSTRIX Linnaeus, 1758
Type species *Hystrix cristata* Linnaeus, 1758

*Hystricidae* sp.

**Referred material.** DN 2760, left maxillary molar (M2?)

**Description.** Only a single identifiable left maxillary molar can be attributed to an indeterminate species of porcupine (Figure 9B). The presence of both mesial and distal interstitial wear facets indicate that the specimen is either an M1 or M2; we favour the latter based on both the size and occlusal outline but cannot confidently attribute the position. Metrically, the specimen (MD: 8.2 mm, BL: 9.3 mm [min. given minor enamel flaking]) falls within the range of extant *Hystrix africaeaustralis* Peters, 1852 maxillary M1s and M2s and is smaller than both *Hystrix makapanensis* Greenwood, 1958 and *Xenohystrix crassidens* Greenwood, 1955 (Adams, 2012b). We would note, however, that the current metric range for *H. makapanensis* M1s and M2s is based on an extremely small sample from the Gondolin Gd 2 assemblage (e.g., derived from two individuals; Adams, 2012b). As an isolated tooth lacking other diagnostic features we elect to retain the specimen at the generic level.

Order TUBULIDENTATA Huxley, 1872
Family ORYCTEROPODIDAE Gray, 1821
Genus ORYCTEROPUS Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1796

Type species *Orycteropus afer* Pallas, 1766

---

*Orycteropus cf. afer*

---

**Referred material.** DN 1062, right proximal radius.

**Description.** The DN 1062 right proximal radius preserves a nearly complete head with articular surfaces and radial tuberosity, but very little diaphysis distal to the tuberosity (Figure 9C). Although there is minor abrasion damage to the cortex around the radial head, the minimum linear dimensions are 17.1 mm mediolaterally and 12.5 mm dorsoventrally. The articular surface morphology of the specimen is diagnostically orycteropodid (to the exclusion of similarly sized carnivores, primates, *Hystrix* and *Smutsia* [Order Philodota]) in the well-developed medial articular facets for contact with the lateral coronoid process of the ulna (Figure 9C). The DN specimen is identical to extant *Orycteropus afer* radii available to us for direct comparison in both morphology and size, and exhibits excellent joint congruence with the capitulum of the *Orycteropus cf. afer* distal humerus from Swartkrans Member 1 (SKX 14261) (Lehmann, 2004).

---

**Biochronology**

Fauna from the site has been recovered from both calcified and decalcified deposits in the Main Quarry using a variety of techniques over the years. A small portion of the collections from the
early 1990s was developed from blocks recovered from the lime miners dumps just outside the Main Quarry. While these blocks have always been assumed to come from the Main Quarry deposits due to their proximity, the recent excavation of a newer, older (~2.6 Ma) 50 m west of the Main Quarry (the Drimolen Makondo; Rovinsky et al., 2015; Herries et al., in review) does mean that this ex situ material could be mixed from more than one age of deposit. However, these two different deposits are not stratigraphically intertwined as is the case for deposits like Members 4 and 5 at Sterkfontein (Herries and Shaw, 2011) or Swartkrans Members 1-3 (Herries and Adams, 2013). This is currently no indication that similarly-aged Pliocene deposits exist in the Main Quarry itself.

Although there is notable diversity in the recovered Drimolen Main Quarry faunas, the majority of the specimens provide limited biochronological data for interpreting the age of the deposits. As is typical for South African palaeokarstic deposits in the region, the recovery of Equus cf. quagga ssp. from the in situ deposits indicates that at least part of the assemblage formed after 2.33 Ma given the first appearance of the genus in African early Pleistocene deposits (Geraads, Raynal & Eisenmann, 2004). The remainder of the ungulate specimens identified from the Main Quarry are either not specifically attributable, are members of long-surviving Plio-Pleistocene lineages, or have poorly-secured first appearance (FAD) and last appearance dates (LAD) in South Africa. The largest bovid sample in the assemblage, Antidorcas recki, has been recovered from eastern and South African deposits spanning the late Pliocene (e.g., Shungura Formation B-H; Gentry, 2010; McDougall et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2013) to the >1.07 Ma (or <0.780 Ma) Olduvai Bed IV (Tamrat et al., 1995) and the 1.07-0.780 Ma Elandsfontein deposits (Gentry, 2010; Braun et al., 2013). The differences in the transverse ridging of the horn cores with the
previously described Bolt’s Farm Pit 3 specimens may or may not reflect a temporal difference in depositional age.

Although this analysis has not addressed the non-hominin primates from the Drimolen Main Quarry, data reported in Nieuwoudt (2014) and reproduced in Table 1 suggests a fairly homogenous sample of cercopithecoids relative to other nearby early Pleistocene palaeokarstic deposits that typically record greater taxonomic diversity (Brain, 1981; Jablonski and Freedman, 2010). The specifically attributable DMQ specimens have been allocated to either the extinct papionin subspecies *Papio hamadryas robinsoni* Freedman, 1957 (alt. *Papio robinsoni*; Gilbert et al., 2015) or the extinct colobine species *Cercopithecoides williamsi* Mollett, 1947. Both of these species have FADs in the late Pliocene (a constrained FAD for *Papio* somewhat less clear, see Jablonski and Frost, 2010 and Gilbert et al., 2015) and are common in post ~2 Ma early (potential to mid-) Pleistocene South African deposits (e.g., Swartkrans, Sterkfontein Member 5, Kromdraai, Cooper’s D, Gladysvale; Jablonski and Frost, 2010). As such, neither primate provides strong biochronological constraints on the depositional age of the Main Quarry deposits beyond being consistent with species recovered from other nearby early Pleistocene localities (e.g., Sterkfontein Members 4 and 5, Swartkrans Members 1-3, Kromdraai A and B) (Brain, 1981; Jablonski and Frost, 2010).

The carnivoran specimens provide a more constrained depositional age. Remains of the genus *Chasmaporthetes* have been recovered across African localities, with *C. nitidula* described from South African deposits ranging from Sterkfontein Jacovec Cavern and Members 2 and 4 (<2.46-2.01 Ma; Herries et al., 2013) to as late as Swartkrans Member 3 (sometime between 1.3-0.6 Ma;
However, *Lycyaenops silberbergi* has been recovered from a far narrower range of terminal Pliocene and early Pleistocene deposits of Laetoli (as *Lycyaenops cf. L. silberbergi*; Werdelin and Dehghani, 2011) in East Africa and Sterkfontein in South Africa (~3.8–2.02 Ma; Turner, 1990, 1997; Werdelin and Lewis, 2005; Werdelin and Peigné, 2010; Herries and Shaw, 2011; Herries et al., 2013). A single mandibular specimen (SK 300) of *Lycyaenops silberbergi* has been described from Swartkrans Member 1 (Ewer, 1955b), and although questions over provenience has been raised it is still considered derived from these deposits (see discussion in Turner, 1987b); this effectively establishes an LAD for the species within these deposits of 1.96-1.80 Ma (Pickering et al., 2011a; Herries and Adams, 2013).

The identification of *Dinofelis cf. barlowi* from the DMQ also argues for a late Pliocene-early Pleistocene deposition. This apparently endemic South African machairodont has been definitively recovered from a temporally narrow range of deposits spanning approximately 2.7–1.98 Ma (Werdelin and Lewis, 2001; Lacruz, Turner & Berger, 2006; Herries, Curnoe & Adams, 2009; Werdelin and Peigné, 2010; Herries and Shaw, 2011; Pickering et al., 2011b; Kuhn et al., 2012). What cannot be determined at this point is the biological relationship of this specimen to the previously described *Dinofelis aff. piveteaui* specimens, as no maxillary canine was recovered or described in association with those craniodental remains. As discussed by O’Regan and Menter (2009), the basis for classifying the DMQ *Dinofelis* remains as *D. aff. piveteaui* is based largely on P4 morphology. The protocone of the DN 1012 P4 is reduced; not as reduced as *D. piveteaui* specimens from Kromdraai A or Motsetse but yielding a buccolingually-narrower tooth than is present in *D. barlowi*. Simultaneously, the P4 metastyle blade is only slightly mesiodistally elongated relative to *D. barlowi* and lacks the elongation exhibited by South
African *D. piveteaui* P4 specimens. As with much of the anatomy of fossil taxa established from small sample sizes, it is difficult at present to establish whether these P4 features reflect sexual dimorphism, individual, or chronological variation within either *D. barlowi* or *D. piveteaui*. And while further craniodental specimens could ultimately link the DN 2791 canine with these other DMQ *Dinofelis* remains, there is no morphological affinity to *D. piveteaui* maxillary canines to support treating the specimen as derived from the same population.

Ultimately, if these previously described remains cannot be accommodated with either species *sensu stricto* we posit four potential interpretations of the DMQ *Dinofelis* material assigned to *D. aff. piveteaui* by O’Regan and Menter (2009) that will require further analysis to test whether: 1) they represent a derived *D. barlowi*; 2) they represent a primitive *D. piveteaui sensu stricto*; 3) they are derived from a discrete population undergoing anagenesis from a more primitive *Dinofelis* (e.g., *D. barlowi* [O’Regan and Menter, 2009] or *Dinofelis aronoki* [Werdelin and Lewis, 2001]) to *D. piveteaui* in the early Pleistocene; or 4) they represent a separate, previously unrecovered species of *Dinofelis* in the African record (which we view as less likely). If the DMQ *Dinofelis aff. piveteaui* remains represent a novel species, they would ultimately contribute little to interpreting deposit biochronology. As *D. barlowi* does not occur in South African deposits after 1.98 Ma, if the DMQ *Dinofelis aff. piveteaui* remains are a derived *D. barlowi* they would likely indicate deposition after 1.98 Ma – but would similarly lack an established FAD or LAD. Finally, given the recovery of the *D. piveteaui* type specimen from the (tentatively dated) 1.89-1.63 Ma Kromdraai A deposits (Herries, Curnoe & Adams, 2009, Gilbert, Frost & Delson, 2016) and in east Africa by 1.61 Ma (Werdelin and Lewis, 2005; McDougall et al., 2011), then...
the DMQ Dinofelis aff. piveteaui specimens would suggest a depositional date prior to ~1.6 Ma and/or the Kromdraai A assemblage.

In sum, the DMQ faunal assemblage includes species only occupying the South African landscape after 2.33 Ma (Equus cf. burchelli ssp.), and includes the remains of carnivore species whose currently reconstructed LADs within the early Pleistocene indicate that at least some of the deposits formed prior to (or near) 2.02 Ma (Lycyaenops silberbergi) to 1.98 Ma (Dinofelis cf. barlowi). At present, the biochronological significance of the Drimolen Main Quarry D. aff. piveteaui is complicated by an unreliable FAD and LAD, but may suggest some deposition after 1.98 to potentially 1.89-1.6 Ma.

Discussion

This first description and analysis of the macromammalian fossil specimens from the Drimolen Main Quarry assemblage has documented remarkably high taxonomic diversity (9 Orders, 14 Families) relative to sample size (NISP: 1390, MNI: 147). While deposits like Swartkrans Member 1 record a somewhat greater range of taxa (9 Orders, 17 Families), the number of non-hominin taxonomically identifiable specimens underlying this diversity is substantially higher (NISP: 4583, MNI: 103; Watson, 1993). Despite this diversity, the McIntosh evenness statistic for the DMQ is low (0.71) and one standard deviation below the mean (0.80; range 0.60-0.93; s.d. 0.09) for South African early-mid Pleistocene localities (Table 6) and close to the value of the Haasgat HGD ex situ assemblage (0.72; Adams, 2012a). This low value reflects the high proportion of Papio hamadryas robinsoni individuals relative to all other taxa recovered from
the DMQ deposits. With the inclusion of *Cercopithecoides williamsi* and indeterminate specimens, 38.4% of the DMQ assemblage come from the Family Cercopithecidae; equal to the proportion (38.4%) of the second largest taxonomic group, the Family Bovidae. In this respect, DMQ is also similar to Haasgat HGD where non-human primates make up 35.1% and bovids make up 40.9% of the assemblage (Adams, 2012a); although we would reinforce that we are only considering the non-hominin components of the DMQ assemblage in comparison to the Haasgat HGD assemblage that lacks hominin remains.

The composition of the Drimolen Main Quarry bovid assemblage is not taxonomically unique relative to other penecontemporaneous South African fossil assemblages, but the numerical dominance of *Antidorcas recki* relative to other taxa is somewhat unusual (NISP: 25, MNI: 16, 28.6% total bovid assemblage). The only other sites with a similar sample size of *A. recki* are Cooper’s D (MNI: 12; de Ruiter et al., 2009) and Kromdraai A (NISP: 44, MNI: 13; Brain, 1981). In contrast to the DMQ assemblage, both have a far more substantial representation of alcelaphins (Cooper’s D: MNI: 46; Kromdraai A: NISP: 220, MNI: 51) and *A. recki* only represents 12.0% (Cooper’s D) and 11.5% (Kromdraai A) of the total bovid assemblage. What stands in rather strong contrast to the typical element-wise representation of bovid elements is the high frequency of horn cores in the DMQ assemblage (see Brain, 1981; Watson, 1993; Pickering, 1999), particularly in the case of *A. recki*, where very few teeth have been recovered alongside a substantial number of horn cores. Interpreting the origin and significance of this pattern of element preservation in the bovid sample compared to other palaeokarstic deposits is beyond the scope of the present paper, but will potentially be informative on the taphonomic processes underlying the Drimolen Main Quarry deposit formation.
The only other substantially represented taxonomic group in the DMQ assemblage is the Order Carnivora, which comprises 12.9% of the faunal assemblage and is biased towards the Family Felidae (57.9% of the Carnivora and 7.5% of the overall faunal assemblage). This positions the DMQ assemblage as particularly carnivore-rich, with only Kromdraai B (15.8%), Swartkrans Member 3 (16.9%) and the Sterkfontein Member 5 deposits (West: 30.7%; Olduwan Infill: 13.6%; STW 53 Breccia: 13.3%) having higher proportions of carnivores amongst the penecontemporaneous South African palaeokarstic assemblages. The proportion of carnivores in the DMQ assemblage is also substantially less than the recently described Drimolen Makondo deposits (25.0%; Rovinsky et al., 2015; Herries et al., in review), although the limited faunal sampling of the Makondo to-date (like other provisionally described faunal assemblages such as Motsetse [Berger and Lacruz, 2003], Hoogland [Adams et al., 2010], Malapa [Dirks et al., 2010; Kuhn et al., 2012]) necessitates further sampling to ensure these proportions are not artefacts of sample size. The co-occurrence of large predatory felids (with minimally three subfamilies and five genera represented in the deposit) - for example, Panthera, Dinofelis and Megantereon – is not uncommon in South African early Pleistocene deposits (Brain, 1981; Werdelin & Peigné, 2010). What is unusual is the potential sympatric occurrence of two species of Dinofelis recorded by the DMQ Dinofelis cf. barlowi and Dinofelis aff. piveteau, specimens. While it has been noted that temporal overlap of two Dinofelis species may have been relatively common, at least in East Africa (e.g., Lewis and Werdelin, 2007), this current study would represent the first strong evidence of such contemporaneous overlap within a single deposit (Werdelin and Lewis, 2001, 2013; Werdelin and Peigné, 2010).
The Drimolen Main Quarry faunal assemblage is also unique relative to most penecontemporaneous South African karstic deposits in the low representation of porcupine (Family Hystrixidae) and hyrax (Family Procaviidae) remains in the deposits. These two families only comprise 2.7% of the total DMQ faunal assemblage, which falls below even the low proportions of these taxa at Sterkfontein Member 4 (4.2%; Brain, 1981) and Kromdraai A (9.0%; Brain, 1981), and strongly contrasts the representation of these families in the Swartkrans Member 1 (21.4%; Watson, 1993) and Haasgat HGD (19.5%; Adams, 2012a) faunal assemblages. There are a number of geologic (e.g., entrance morphology, deposit time-averaging), ecologic (e.g., immediate habitat and resource distribution) and/or taphonomic factors (e.g., pre-, peri- and postdepositional) that could underlie this low representation of these karst-utilising taxa that will require further analysis to assess.

In sum, this comprehensive accounting of the Drimolen Main Quarry faunal assemblage allows us to provide some initial comments on the palaeohabitats of the Drimolen region during depositon; although we note that a more comprehensive palaeoecological interpretation and analysis integrating stable isotopic results from the assemblage will be forthcoming. The overall vegetative communities and landscape ecology suggested by the taxon presence and abundance recovered from the DMQ deposits is largely consistent with the mixed, open-to-closed palaeohabitats that have been reconstructed for the other regional, penecontemporaneous South African palaeokarst deposits (Vrba, 1976, 1995; Brain, 1981; Benefit and McCrossin, 1990; McKee, 1991; Rayner, Moon & Masters, 1993; Brain, 1995; Schmid, 2002; Elton, 2007; see also summaries in Reed, 1996; Kuman and Clarke, 2000; Adams, 2006). In part this reflects the broad habitat types that most of the specifically identifiable taxa from the DMQ deposits can occupy.
Both previously attributed primate taxa are larger-bodied and terrestrial (*Papio hamadryas robinsoni, Cercopithecoides williamsi*) and may have been sympatric, niche-partitioned primates adapted to the progressively more open habitats of the early Pleistocene (Elton, 2007; Jablonski and Frost, 2010). However, the composition and resource availability of these more open habitats, and how they contrast with earlier palaeoecosystems that supported cercopithecoids like *Parapapio* (that disappear from the record in the early Pleistocene), remains unresolved. Even the more unusual species recovered from the Drimolen Main Quarry deposits (indeterminate elephant, giraffe, and aardvark [*Orycteropus cf. afer*]) can unfortunately provide few constraints on the palaeohabitat types, distribution or proportions near the site. Neither the elephant or giraffe individual specimens could be confidently attributed below the level of Family, and extant aardvark populations occupy highly variable habitats across Africa with the only limitation being access to social insects (Kingdon and Hoffman, 2013a).

The dominance of *Antidorcas recki* in the bovid sample does not provide any specific evidence for reconstructing the overall vegetative community structure around Drimolen without further isotopic analysis. Although prior analyses of Sterkfontein and Swartkrans specimens have reconstructed the diet of this antilopin as a browser (Lee-Thorp and van der Merwe, 1993; Lee-Thorp, van der Merwe & Brain, 1994; van der Merwe et al., 2003), a single specimen from Gondolin was interpreted as a mixed feeder (Adams, 2012a) and sampled individuals from Olduvai have documented greater dietary flexibility and an increase in graze in the species through the Bed I deposits associating them more with bushland to grassland palaeohabitats (Plummer et al., 2009). The only bovid taxa recovered from DMQ with specific habitat requirements are the mountain reedbuck (*Redunca cf. fulvorufula*) and klipspringer (*Oreotragus*...
Extant mountain reedbuck graze primarily in montane grasslands on rocky, hilly and/or broken terrain (Irby, 1976; Kingdon and Hoffman, 2013b); klipspringer exhibit a suite of musculoskeletal, physiological and behavioural adaptations to browsing on pair-defended rock outcrops (Norton, 1980; Kingdon and Hoffman, 2013b). The presence of some uplifted topography and/or local kopjes with montane grasses and browse near Drimolen during the formation of the Main Quarry assemblage is further reinforced by the recovery of hyrax (*Procavia* sp.) and rock hare (*Pronolagus* sp.) (Kingdon et al., 2013; Happold, 2013).

The extinct DMQ large carnivores are similarly reconstructed as adapted for hunting within a range of open and closed palaeohabitats. Both *Dinofelis* and *Megantereon* have been reconstructed as ambush predators preferring a closed-mixed habitat (Marean, 1989; Lewis, 1995a, b, 1997; Palmqvist et al., 2003; Christiansen and Adolfsson, 2007; Palmqvist et al., 2008). In its postcranial anatomy, the smaller-bodied *Megantereon* is reminiscent of the extant jaguar (*Panthera onca*), with relatively short, heavily muscled, and powerful limbs (Lewis, 1995b, 1997; Christiansen and Adolfsson, 2007). Isotopic analyses of European *Megantereon* support the preference for a closed-mixed habitat, suggesting that the genus predated on browsers and mixed-feeders (Palmqvist et al., 2003, 2008). *Dinofelis* has been shown to have had greater flexibility in the forelimb than seen in the other African machairodonts (i.e. *Homotherium, Megantereon;* Lewis, 1995a, b, 1997) and a trend in the larger-bodied species toward a more *Panthera*-like cranial and postcranial anatomy, suggesting that although it seems to have been an ambush grappler much like *Megantereon* it may have been able to exploit a larger range of habitats than the other African sabertooth genera (Lewis, 1997; Lewis and Werdelin, 2007).

Although postcranial remains of African *Lycyaenops* and *Chasmaporthetes* species are extremely
rare (see Rovinsky et al., 2015), cursorial adaptations within the hunting-hyaena lineage supports more open habitats in the region, in contrast to the felids (Khomenko, 1932; Galiano and Frailey, 1977; Berta, 1981; Tseng, Li and Wang, 2013). Amongst the extant carnivores identified by O’Regan and Menter (2009) modern populations of Cape fox (*Vulpes chama*), yellow mongoose (cf. *Cynictis penicillata*), and meerkat (aff. *Suricata suricatta*) typically occupy open, semi-arid to arid ecosystems (Kingdon and Hoffmann, 2013a). Interestingly, the extensive burrowing behaviour of meerkat and yellow mongoose also imply the presence of deep soils, with the latter avoiding rocky and hard soil regions for burrow construction (Kingdon and Hoffman, 2013a).

**Conclusions**

This first description of the non-primate faunas of the Drimolen Main Quarry provides an insight into the macromammalian community structure of a previously undescribed part of the Cradle of Humankind during the Early Pleistocene of South Africa. The fauna recovered suggests a community of great taxonomic breadth. Amongst the sampled Order Carnivora in particular there is a surprising amount of diversity, with a minimum of four large species of felid present alongside at least four hyaenid species, including the first strong evidence of two species of *Dinofelis* recovered from a single deposit (but see Werdelin and Lewis, 2013). Although there is a large amount of taxonomic diversity amongst the fauna, non-hominin primates comprise a significant percentage of the assemblage - a disparity that will only increase when the substantial Drimolen hominin sample is included in the overall faunal picture. As this publication provides the primary description of the recovered DMQ faunas, further analyses will need to be undertaken to understand the idiosyncrasies of the site, ranging from the taphonomic processes...
shaping the assemblage (particularly the large number of bovid horn cores and concomitant paucity of dental and postcranial remains) to a more integrated palaeoecological analysis of the Main Quarry.
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Table 1 (on next page)

List of macromammalian species from the Drimolen Main Quarry deposits
| Taxon | NISP | MNI |
|-------|------|-----|
| Order Primates<sup>1</sup> |      |     |
| Family Cercopithecidae |      |     |
| Subfamily Colobinae |      |     |
| *Cercopithecoides williamsi* | 22   | 8   |
| Tribe Papionini |      |     |
| *Papio hamadryas robinsoni* | 260  | 45  |
| Cercopithecidae indet. | 272  | 4   |
| Order Carnivora<sup>2</sup> |      |     |
| Family Canidae |      |     |
| *Vulpes chama* | 1    | 1   |
| cf. *Vulpes chama* | 1    | -   |
| Canidae indet. | 5    | 1   |
| Family Felidae |      |     |
| Subfamily Machairodontinae |      |     |
| *Dinofelis aff. piveteaui* | 17   | 2   |
| ?*Dinofelis* sp. | 1    | -   |
| *Dinofelis* cf. *barlowi*<sup>*</sup> | 1    | 1   |
| *Megantereon whitei*<sup>*</sup> | 2    | 1   |
| Machairodontinae indet. | 1    | 1   |
| Subfamily Pantherinae |      |     |
| *Panthera pardus* | 5    | 1   |
| cf. *Panthera pardus* | 3    | 1   |
| *Panthera* sp. | 1    | -   |
| Subfamily Felinae |      |     |
| cf. *Caracal caracal* | 1    | 1   |
| *Felis silvestris lybica* | 3    | 1   |
| cf. *Felis silvestris lybica* | 4    | 2   |
| Felidae indet.<sup>*</sup> | 31   | -   |
| Family Herpestidae |      |     |
| aff. *Suricata suricatta* | 1    | 1   |
| cf. *Cynictis penicillata* | 3    | 1   |
| Family Hyaenidae |      |     |
| *Chasmaporthetes nitidula*<sup>*</sup> | 1    | 1   |
| *Lycyaenops silberbergi*<sup>*</sup> | 1    | 1   |
| Hyaenidae indet.<sup>*</sup> | 7    | 2   |
| Carnivora indet. | 15   | -   |
| Order Cetartiodactyla |      |     |
| Family Bovidae |      |     |
| Tribe Alcelaphini |      |     |
| *Connochaetes* sp. | 2    | 2   |
| *Damaliscus* sp. | 2    | 2   |
| Animal Group                      | Number of Specimens |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| *Megalotragus* sp.               | 4                   |
| *Indeterminate (Class II/III)*   | 34                  |
| *Indeterminate (Class III)*      | 11                  |
| Tribe *Antilopini*               |                     |
| *Antidorcas recki*               | 25                  |
| *Antidorcas cf. recki*           | 26                  |
| *Raphicerus* sp.                 | 1                   |
| Tribe *Oreotragini*              |                     |
| *Oreotragus* sp.                 | 12                  |
| Tribe *Reduncini*                |                     |
| *Redunca cf. fulvorufula*        | 3                   |
| Tribe *Tragelaphini*             |                     |
| *Tragelaphus* sp.                | 11                  |
| *Indeterminate*                  | 2                   |
| Bovidae indet.                   | 538                 |
| Family *Giraffidae*              |                     |
| *Giraffidae* indet.              | 1                   |
| Family *Suidae*                  |                     |
| *Suidae* indet.                  | 1                   |
| Order *Perissodactyla*           |                     |
| Family *Equidae*                 |                     |
| *Equus quagga* ssp.              | 3                   |
| Order *Proboscidea*              |                     |
| Family *Elephantidae*            |                     |
| *Elephantidae* indet.            | 1                   |
| Order *Hyracoidea*               |                     |
| Family *Procaviidae*             |                     |
| *Procavia* sp.                   | 5                   |
| Order *Lagomorpha*               |                     |
| Family *Leporidae*               |                     |
| *Pronolagus* sp.                 | 1                   |
| *Leporidae* indet.               | 36                  |
| Order *Rodentia*                 |                     |
| Family *Hystricidae*             |                     |
| *Hystrix* sp.                    | 1                   |
| Order *Tubulidentata*            |                     |
| Family *Orycteropodidae*         |                     |
| Orycteropus cf. afer | 1 | 1 |
|----------------------|---|---|
| Total                | 1380 | 147 |

1 Taxonomic attributions, specimen counts, and MNI values as reported by Nieuwoudt (2014).
2 Taxonomic attributions, specimens counts, and MNI values as reported by O’Regan and Menter (2009) except where amended here (amended taxa and/or counts marked with an asterisk).
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Measurements (in mm) of Drimolen Main Quarry Felidae gen. et sp. indet and comparative maxillary fourth premolars body.
Table 2. Measurements (in mm) of Drimolen Main Quarry Felidae gen. et sp. indet and comparative maxillary fourth premolars

| Specimen | Deposit | MD   | BL   | Wpc  | PaL  | MtL  |
|----------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Felidae gen. et sp. indet. |         |      |      |      |      |      |
| DN 4300  | Drimolen Main Quarry | 29.8 | 11.6 | 9.4  | 11.8 | 12.3 |
| DN 5498  | Drimolen Main Quarry | 30.2*| 11.6*| 9.3  | 11.1*| 11.9 |
| Megantereon whitei |         |      |      |      |      |      |
| DN 976   | Drimolen Main Quarry | 23.4*| 11.2*| 9.6  | 10.0 | 10.6 |
| KA 64    | Kromdraai A       | 29.7 | 11.1*| 9.7* | 9.6  | 10.8 |
| Dinofelis piveteaui and aff. piveteaui |         |      |      |      |      |      |
| DN 1012  | Drimolen Main Quarry | 36.5 | 13.9 | 13.5 | 14.3 |
| KA 61    | Kromdraai A       | 41.0 | 13.0 |      | 17.8 |
| MT 1986¹| Motsetse         | 38.8 | 12.8 |      | 16.5 |
| Dinofelis barlowi |         |      |      |      |      |      |
| BF 55-22 | Bolt’s Farm Pit 23 | 37.0*| 16.5 |      | 14.0 |
| SF 5855¹| Sterkfontein Member 4 | 35.2 | 15.5 | 13.0 | 13.7*|

*Minimum value given damage to measured region.

¹Measurements of Motsetse and Sterkfontein Member 4 specimens derived from Lacruz et al. (2006).
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Regression equations and metrics for the Felidae canine shape groups in Figure 4. Maxillary canine MD length and BL width (in mm), along with the canine compression ratio (BL/MD).
Table 3. Regression equations and metrics for the Felidae canine shape groups in Figure 4. Maxillary canine MD length and BL width (in mm), along with the canine compression ratio (BL/MD).

| Canine Morphology         | Regression Equation      | $R^2$ |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Conical-toothed           | $y = 0.7533x + 1.2559$    | 0.9567|
| False Saber-toothed       | $y = 0.7119x - 2.0968$    | 0.9096|
| Saber-toothed             | $y = 0.495x - 0.01$       | 0.8754|

| MD Length (mm) | BL Width (mm) | Compression Ratio |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Conical-toothed Felids | | 0.83 |
| *Puma concolor* (n = 28) $^a$ | 12.9 | 11.7 | 0.91 |
| *Neofelis nebulosa* (n = 18) $^a$ | 11.5 | 8.2 | 0.72 |
| *Panthera uncia* (n = 12) $^a$ | 11.9 | 11.1 | 0.93 |
| *Panthera tigris* (n = 28) $^a$ | 24.3 | 19.7 | 0.81 |
| *Panthera onca* (n = 20) $^a$ | 19.1 | 15.9 | 0.83 |
| *Panthera pardus* (n = 26) $^a$ | 14.7 | 12.4 | 0.85 |
| *Panthera leo* (n = 17) $^a$ | 23.3 | 18.3 | 0.78 |

| False Saber-toothed Felids | | 0.61 |
| *Dinofelis petteri* (n = 2) $^b$ | 21.0 | 12.7 | 0.60 |
| *Dinofelis aronoki* $^b$ | 20.4 | 12.8 | 0.63 |
| *Dinofelis barlowi* (n = 4) $^b$ | 24.1 | 14.6 | 0.61 |
| *Dinofelis piveteaui* | 20.5 | 12.2 | 0.59 |
| *Dinofelis cf. diastemata* (n = 2) $^b$ | 20.2 | 11.9 | 0.59 |
| *Dinofelis cristata* (n = 2) $^b$ | 25.3 | 16.9 | 0.67 |
| *Dinofelis palaeoonca* $^b$ | 18.6 | 11.7 | 0.63 |
| *Dinofelis sp.* $^b$ | 24.8 | 15.1 | 0.61 |
| **DN 2791** | **23.2** | **14.1** | **0.61** |

| Saber-toothed Felids | | 0.51 |
| *Homotherium serum* $^a$ | 34.6 | 15.8 | 0.46 |
| *Megantereon cultridens* (n = 27) $^{a,c}$ | 22.6 | 11.6 | 0.51 |
| *Megantereon whitei* (n = 8) $^c$ | 24.1 | 12.3 | 0.51 |
| *Smilodon fatalis* $^c$ | 44.2 | 24.7 | 0.56 |
| *Smilodon populator* $^c$ | 42.8 | 19.0 | 0.44 |

$^a$ Christiansen, 2007; $^b$ Werdelin and Lewis, 2001; $^c$ Palmqvist et al., 2007.
**Table 4 (on next page)**

Comparative measurements (mm) of African fossil *Chasmaporthetes* and *Lycyaenops* maxillary premolars.
Table 4. Comparative measurements (mm) of African fossil *Chasmaporthetes* and *Lycyaenops* maxillary premolars

| Specimen       | Deposit                | MD   | BL   | MD   | BL   | MD   | BL   |
|----------------|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| **Chasmaporthetes nitidula** |            |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| SK 305         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 15.8 | 10.7 | 20.3 | 12.9 | 32.7 | 15.3 |
| SK 306         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 19.6 | 13.6 | 32.4 | 14.8 |
| SK 307         | Swartkrans Member 1    |      |      |      |      | 32.4 | 14.5 |
| SK 309         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 21.1 | 14.6 |      |      |      |      |
| SK 310         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 18.8 | 11.9 |      |      |      |      |
| SK 311         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 18.4 | 12.5 |      |      |      |      |
| SK 312         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 21.9 | 14.0 |      |      | 15.8 |      |
| SK 313         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 20.8 | 14.4 |      |      |      |      |
| SK 379         | Swartkrans Member 1    | 19.5 | 12.0 |      |      |      |      |
| SKX 29205      | Swartkrans Member 3    | 22.4 | 12.8*|      |      |      |      |
| SKX 22992/72   | Swartkrans Member 3    |      |      | 30.8 | 15.8 |
| SF 363         | Sterkfontein Member 4  |      |      |      |      |      | 15.4 |
| **Lycyaenops silberbergi** |            |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| DN 404         | Drimolen Main Quarry   | 20.2 | 11.6 | 31.5 | 13.3 |
| DN 974         | Drimolen Main Quarry   | 20.4 | 13.5 |      |      |      |      |
| STS 130        | Sterkfontein Member 2  | 23.8 | 14.5*|      |      |      |      |
| STS 135        | Sterkfontein Member 4  | 19.6 | 11.9 |      |      |      |      |
| SF 383/373     | Sterkfontein Member 4  | 22.6 |      |      |      |      |      |
| SF 369/373     | Sterkfontein Member 4  | 22.8 |      |      |      |      |      |
| SF 408         | Sterkfontein Member 4  | 20.3 |      |      |      |      |      |
| SF 463         | Sterkfontein Member 4  | 17.1 | 11.0 |      |      |      |      |
| **Lycyaenops cf. L. silberbergi** |            |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| NHM AS 7.VI.35 | Laetoli (Laetolil Beds, Upper Unit) | 17.7 | 12.5 |      |      |      |      |
| LAET 75-494    | Laetoli (Unknown level)| 19.1 | 11.0 |      |      |      |      |

*Minimum value given damage to measured region.

1 All measurements reported are by the authors except those for Sterkfontein (SF) premolars reported by Turner (1987) and Laetoli premolars reported by Werdelin and Dehghani, 2011).

2 The stratigraphic origin of the STS 120 *L. silberbergi* type specimen from Sterkfontein is uncertain but may be derived from Member 2 or 3 (Brain, 1981).
Table 5 (on next page)

Linear dimensions (mm) of the Drimolen Main Quarry *Antidorcas recki* horn cores.
Table 5. Linear dimensions (mm) of the Drimolen Main Quarry *Antidorcas recki* horn cores.

| DN Specimen | Anteroposterior depth | Mediolateral width |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| **Male**    |                        |                    |
| 224         | 43.6                   | 28.1               |
| 890         | 40.5                   | 28.0               |
| 1060        | 42.2                   | 28.9               |
| 3294        | 35.7                   |                    |
| **Female**  |                        |                    |
| 995         | 17.5                   | 14.6               |
| 2483a       | 18.2                   | 16.1               |
| 4438        | 17.9                   | 15.2               |
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Table 6 (on next page)

Comparative measurements (mm) of the DNH 2850 Metridiochoerus sp. right immature third metatarsal and fossil and extant adult suid third metatarsals.
Table 6. Comparative measurements (mm) of the DN 2850 Suidae gen. et sp. indet. right immature third metatarsal and fossil and extant adult suid third metatarsals.

| Measurement                  | DN 2850 | G 8105 | Phacochoerus aethiopicus | Potamochoerus porcus |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Proximal dorsoventral depth  | 22.0    | 30.0   | 22.1                     | 23.3                |
| Distal mediolateral width    | 16.2    | -      | 15.7                     | 16.9                |
| Distal dorsoventral depth    | 17.0    | -      | 16.5                     | 17.7                |

1
Table 7 (on next page)

McIntosh evenness statistic values for the Drimolen Main Quarry and comparative South African fossil assemblages.
Table 7. McIntosh evenness statistic values for the Drimolen Main Quarry and comparative South African fossil assemblages.

| Site*                      | Evenness |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Drimolen Main Quarry       | 0.71     |
| GD 2                       | 0.60     |
| Gladysvale                 | 0.92     |
| Haasgat HGD                | 0.72     |
| Kromdraai A                | 0.83     |
| Kromdraai B                | 0.76     |
| Makapansgat 2              | 0.93     |
| Makapansgat 3              | 0.81     |
| Makapansgat 4              | 0.84     |
| Makapansgat 5              | 0.78     |
| Sterkfontein Member 4      | 0.67     |
| Sterkfontein 53 Breccia    | 0.86     |
| Sterkfontein Oldowan Infill| 0.74     |
| Sterkfontein Member 5 West | 0.84     |
| Swartkrans Member 1 Lower Bank | 0.83 |
| Swartkrans Member 2        | 0.86     |
| Swartkrans Member 3        | 0.84     |

*Data from sources listed in Adams (2006, 2010) but modified to exclude hominin taxa from the calculation.
Aerial photograph and map of the Drimolen Palaeocave System relative to other penecontemporaneous South African fossil sites.
Schematic plan of the Drimolen Main Quarry excavation area and deposits.
Order Carnivora specimens attributed to the Family Felidae from the Drimolen Main Quarry.

A, DN 2791 *Dinofelis* cf. *barlowi* right maxillary canine, buccal (left) and distal (right) views. B, DN 976 *Megantereon whitei* left P4, buccal (left) and lingual (right) views. C, DN 3254 *Megantereon whitei* left mandibular corpus, lateral (left) and anterior oblique (right) view of surface scan to highlight sharp diastema margin and mandibular flange. Scale bars equal 1 cm.
Bivariate plot of select Felidae maxillary canine MD length on BL width (mm), including DN 2791 *Dinofelis* cf. *barlowi*. Metrics and regression equations presented in Table 3.
5

Order Carnivora specimens attributed to the Family Felidae and Hyaenidae from the Drimolen Main Quarry.

A, DN 4300 Felidae gen. et sp. indet. right P4, buccal view. B, DN 974 *Lycyaenops silberbergi* right P3, occlusal (top), lingual (left) and buccal (right) views. Scale bars equal 1 cm.
Order Cetartiodactyla specimens attributed to the Family Bovidae from the Drimolen Main Quarry.

A, DN 2790, *Damaliscus* sp. right horn core, medial view. B, DN 748a and 748b, *Megalotragus* sp. right M2 and M3, occlusal view. C, DN 1015, Alcelaphini gen. et sp. indet. left mandible, occlusal view. D, DN 1013 Alcelaphini gen. et sp. indet. right horn core, anterior (probable) view. Scale bars equal 1 cm.
Order Cetartiodactyla specimens attributed to the Family Bovidae from the Drimolen Main Quarry.

A, DN 1060, *Antidorcas recki* right male horn core, medial view (left); DN 995, *Antidorcas recki* left female horn core, medial view (right). B, DN 438, *Oreotragus* sp. right mandible, occlusal view. C, DN 120, *Tragelaphus* sp. right mandible, occlusal view. Scale bars equal 1 cm.
Order Cetartiodactyla specimens attributed to the Families Giraffidae and Suidae from the Drimolen Main Quarry.

A, DN 1097, Giraffidae gen. et sp. indet. right humerus, anterior (left) and posterior (right) views. B, DN 2850, Suidae gen. et sp. indet. right third metatarsal, medial (left) and lateral (right) views. Scale bars equal 1 cm.
Specimens attributed to the Orders Proboscidea, Rodentia, and Tubulidentata from the Drimolen Main Quarry.

A, DN 4335, Elephantidae gen. et sp. indet., maxillary tusk fragment, internal view. B, DN 2760 *Hystrix* sp. left maxillary molar, occlusal view. C, DN 1062 *Orycteropus* cf. *afer* right proximal radius, posteromedial view. Scale bars equal 1 cm.
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