Novel Modulation Techniques using Isomers as Messenger Molecules for Molecular Communication via Diffusion
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Abstract—in this paper, we propose novel modulation techniques using isomers as messenger molecules for nano communication via diffusion. To evaluate achievable rate performance, we compare the proposed techniques with concentration-based and molecular-type-based methods. Analytical and numerical results confirm that the proposed modulation techniques achieve higher data transmission rate performance than conventional insulin based concepts.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since R. Feynman’s talk in 1959, people have eagerly pursued practical work on smaller and smaller scales, notably through nanotechnology [1]. Nanotechnology, recently, produced a new branch of research called nano communication networks (NCNs). NCNs interconnect several nanoscale machines (nanomachines in short) to carry out more complex tasks in a cooperative manner, or to perform simple tasks [2]. These networks are not just smaller versions of traditional communication networks: they have their own features and are applicable in many fields, including biomedical, industrial, military, and environmental [3].

NCNs can be realized by several methods. We can rely on traditional communication systems that use electromagnetic fields or ultrasonic wave. We have to overcome, however, some radio frequency (RF) device barriers [2]. On the practical front, researchers are considering new materials, such as carbon-nano tubes (CNTs) and graphene [4]. Little research, however, has focused on channel models and human body absorption with terahertz bands. In addition to these activities, a new concept utilizing diffusion especially for short range communication has been introduced [5].

This new paradigm of communication, molecular communication, sends/receives information-encoded molecules between nanomachines. One of its advantages is its biocompatibility. Biocompatibility is the most important and challenging issue confronting intra-body applications. By using biomolecules we can create inherently biocompatible systems that require no inorganic harmful materials. Moreover, driven by chemical reactions, molecular communication is energy efficient. For these reasons, molecular communication is the main focus of this paper.

The authors in [6], [7] have extensively studied the fundamentals of molecular communication via diffusion. A new energy model has been investigated to understand how much energy is required to generate messenger molecules in [6] and concentration-based and molecular-type-based modulation techniques have been introduced in [7]. By using a simple symmetric binary channel model, the achievable transmission rate (achievable rate hereafter) has been extensively compared. In analyzing their modulation techniques, however, [6], [7] did not clearly suggest concrete structures for the messenger molecules. They considered insulin-based nano networks but it is unclear how these could be utilized for molecular-type-based modulations. In this paper, to maximize the achievable rate with less transmit power/energy, we propose using isomers as messenger molecules. To consider the properties of isomers, we slightly modify the energy model described in [6]. To the best of our knowledge, the proposed method is the first attempt to design appropriate messenger molecules for NCN via diffusion.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the channel and energy models under consideration. Section III explains isomers for messenger molecules and also proposes two modulation techniques, i) concentration-based and ii) molecular-type-based. We present the numerical results in Section IV, and Section V offers our conclusions.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

For simplicity, we consider a nano communication system consisting of a single transmitter and a single receiver, as illustrated in Fig. 1. For this system, the messenger molecules diffuse through the medium (i.e. water) at body temperature. This paper assumes that no collisions occur among the propagating messenger molecules and/or the molecules and the medium.

1In our future work, blood will be considered as the medium to get more reasonable results.
distribution of the receiver nanomachine. We represent this as a binomial
mitter nanomachine, the molecules have a probability of hit-
to areas of higher concentration region to areas of lower concentration. A random process,
clusion of messenger molecules follows a normal
dition with zero mean. Thus, the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the
distance between the transmitter and the receiver ($r$).

\[
\triangle X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2},
\]

\[
c(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi D t)^{1/2}} e^{-x^2/4D t},
\]

\[
x^2 = 2Dt,
\]

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{2Dt}
\]

\[
D = \frac{K_b T}{6\pi \eta r_{mm}}
\]

where, $c$ indicates the concentration of Brownian particles at
time $t$ at point $x$, and $D$ represents the diffusion coefficient of
the particles calculated from the Boltzmann constant ($K_b$),
temperature ($T$), the viscosity of the medium ($\eta$) and
the radius of a messenger molecule ($r_{mm}$). Eq. (1) is Fick’s
second law of diffusion. By solving this partial differential
equation, we obtain the general equation for the concentration
of the particles represented in (2). The first moment of (2)
is zero, which indicates the displacement has a zero mean,
and the second moment becomes the variance. Hence, the
displacement has a standard deviation of $\sqrt{2Dt}$, and finally we have

\[
\triangle X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2Dt).
\]

When $n$ messenger molecules are transmitted by the trans-
mitter nanomachine, the molecules have a probability of hit-
ting the receiver nanomachine. We represent this as a binomial
distribution of $n$ times of trials with a probability of $P_{hit}$ for
each. $P_{hit}$ is determined by the symbol duration ($T_s$) and the
distance between the transmitter and the receiver ($d$), which are
both affected by the diffusion coefficient. If $n$ is large enough,
and $nP_{hit}$ is not zero, binomial can be approximated as a
normal distribution. In addition, we have to take into account
the overflow molecules from the previous symbol [6]. The
total number of molecules during a symbol duration becomes
different depending on the current and previous symbol.

Binomial($n, P_{hit}(d, T_s)$),

\[
N_c \sim \mathcal{N}(np_1, np_1(1 - p_1)),
\]

\[
N_p \sim \mathcal{N}(np_2, np_2(1 - p_2)) - \mathcal{N}(np_1, np_1(1 - p_1))
\]

where, $N_c$ denotes the number of molecules transmitted and
received during the current symbol duration, and $N_p$ is the
number of molecules transmitted from the previous symbol
duration but received during the current symbol duration. For
simplicity, we use $p_1$ for $P_{hit}$ during $T_s$ and $p_2$ for $P_{hit}$ during
$2T_s$.

B. Energy Model

We use an energy model to that described in [6]. To prevent
them from interacting with others during propagation, the
messenger molecules are encapsulated in vesicles. The vesicles
are then carried to the boundary of the machine (e.g. the
eukaryotic cell), and released into the propagation medium.
Here we use $r_{unit}$ to denote the machine radius size.

The energy needed in these steps are calculated. Moreover,
we can obtain the cost of synthesizing messenger molecules
(hexoses as an example) by the enthalpy of formation $\Delta H$.
Then the final energy model can then be presented as follows [6]:

\[
E_T = nE_S + \frac{n}{c_v}(E_V + E_C + E_E),
\]

\[
E_S = \frac{\Delta H_{hexose}}{6.02 \times 10^{23}} J \text{ per messenger molecule},
\]

\[
E_V = 83 \times 5(4\pi r_v^2)zJ,
\]

\[
E_C = 83 \times \left[\frac{r_{unit}^2}{8}\right] zJ,
\]

\[
E_E = 83 \times 10zJ,
\]

\[
c_v = \left(\frac{r_v}{r_{mm}\sqrt{3}}\right)^2
\]

where, $E_T$ is the total energy cost required to transmit $n$ num-
ber of molecules, $E_S$ is the synthesizing cost of one hexose
molecule calculated from the sum of bond energy (e.g., the
enthalpy change), $E_V$ is the vesicle-synthesizing cost having
a radius of $r_v$, $E_C$ is the cost of intra-cellular transportation,
$E_E$ is for membrane fusion, and $c_v$ is the capacity of one
vesicle that is related to the radius of messenger molecules $r_{mm}$.

III. Modulation Techniques

In molecular communication via diffusion, the unique prop-
erties of the messenger molecules can determine modulation
techniques. Two such techniques that have been proposed
include the use of concentration and type of messenger
molecules [7]. A usable messenger molecule itself, however,
has yet to be proposed. Thus this paper proposes practical
messenger molecules, as well as analyzes and compares their
achievable rates by applying different modulation techniques.

---

Fig. 1. System model of nano communication via diffusion with a single
transmitter and a single receiver.
forms since they predominate with \( \alpha \) mostly exists as a cyclic form. \( \beta \) mirror images, are diastereomers. For example, \( \alpha \)-aldohexoses have 16 different shapes. Here, diastereomers are \( L \) and \( D \)-glucose as shown in Fig. 3. Therefore, by deploying hexoses group in the system, there are a total of 32 different isomers. This means that we can increase a modulation order up to 32, i.e., 5 bits per symbol.

A. Isomers for Messenger Molecules

The most important thing we have to be careful when designing messenger molecules is that has to be non-toxic to human body. The messenger molecule suggested in \( [6] \), however, is highly flammable (i.e., hydrofluorocarbon), which means it may be inappropriate as a messenger molecule. For several reasons, potential candidates for messenger molecules are isomers, molecules with the same number and types of atoms \( [8] \). First of all, they consist of the same type of atoms, lightening the burden on the transmitter nanomachine synthesizing the messengers. For numerical analysis, this paper uses the isomers known as hexoses, especially aldohexoses. Note that we can select from the aldoses family, hexoses, pentoses, tetrose, or trioses based on the required modulation order.

Aldohexoses are monosaccharides with the chemical formula \( C_6H_{12}O_6 \). They have four chiral carbon atoms that give them 16 (\( =2^4 \)) stereoisomers. Fig. 2 illustrates eight kinds of \( D \)-form diastereomers. The enantiomers of each molecule are another set of eight \( L \)-form diastereomers. Therefore, aldohexoses have 16 different shapes. Here, diastereomers are stereoisomers that are not enantiomers (mirror-image isomers). For example, \( D \)-glucose and \( L \)-glucose as shown in Fig. 3 are enantiomers. \( D \)-glucose and \( D \)-galactose, isomers but not mirror images, are diastereomers.

When each isomer is dissolved in aqueous solution, it mostly exists as a cyclic form. \( D \)-glucose, for instance, undergoes nucleophilic addition reaction generating four cyclic anomers, \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), forms of \( D \)-glucopyranose and \( D \)-glucofuranose \( [9] \). We consider, however, only two pyranose forms since they predominate with 36 and 64 percentages. If the functional groups attached to carbon number 1 \( (C1) \) and the \( C5 \) shown in Fig. 4 have a trans-structure, it is called \( \alpha \)-form, and if a cis-structure, \( \beta \)-form. They interconvert each other in solution through a process called mutarotation. Therefore, by deploying hexoses group in the system, there are a total of 32 different isomers. This means that we can increase a modulation order up to 32, i.e., 5 bits per symbol.

B. Molecular Concentration Based

When the concentration of messenger molecules is used, the technique is known as concentration-based modulation, originally introduced in \( [7] \). It is also referred to as CSK (concentration shift keying). In binary CSK (BCSK), one threshold exists, and a receiver nanomachine decodes the symbol as ‘1’ if the number of received messenger molecules exceeds the threshold, ‘0’ otherwise \( [6] \). Generally, \( 2^n \)-CSK systems transmit \( n \) bits per symbol, and requires \( 2^n \)-1 number of thresholds. In this system, there is, theoretically, no limit in the modulation order. As the modulation order increases, however, so does the error probability since the minimum distance between two neighboring thresholds decreases. It only uses one kind of molecule, and \( D \)-glucopyranose is used for analysis here. Below is a probabilistic analysis for BCSK systems:

\[
P_a(0, 0) = P_b(0, 0, 0) + P_b(1, 0, 0)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ P(N_n < \tau) + P(N_p + N_n < \tau) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ 2 - Q \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right) - Q \left( \frac{\tau - n(p_2 - p_1)}{\sqrt{n[p_2(1-p_2) + p_1(1-p_1)] + \sigma^2}} \right) \right].
\]

\[
P_a(0, 1) = P_b(0, 0, 1) + P_b(1, 0, 1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ P(N_n \geq \tau) + P(N_p + N_n \geq \tau) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ Q \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right) + Q \left( \frac{\tau - n(p_2 - p_1)}{\sqrt{n[p_2(1-p_2) + p_1(1-p_1)] + \sigma^2}} \right) \right]
\]

where, \( P_b(X, Y) \) indicates the probability of \( X \) sent and \( Y \) received, and \( P_b(Z, X, Y) \) is the probability of \( X \) sent, \( Y \) received, and \( Z \) previously sent. \( Q(\cdot) \) is the tail probability of the normal distribution.

C. Molecular Type Based

When different types of molecules represent different symbols, the technique is known as molecular-type-based modulation, referred to as molecule shift keying (MoSK). Unlike
we apply, as did in [7], the addictive white Gaussian noise determined by the sets used. For example, hexoses have a aforementioned isomer sets; a modulation order can be determined by the sets used. For example, hexoses have a modulation order of up to 32, trioses of 4. For simplicity, we apply, as did in [7], the addictive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) model. The mutarotation effect is also considered.

1) B-IMOsk-AWGN: Only AWGN considered,

\[ P_a(\alpha, \alpha)_{AWGN} = P_b(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} [P(N_p + N_c + N_n \geq \tau)P(N_n < \tau) + P(N_c + N_n \geq \tau)P(N_p + N_n < \tau)], \]

\[ P_a(\alpha, \beta)_{AWGN} = P_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + P_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} [P(N_p \geq \tau)P(N_p + N_c + N_n < \tau) + P(N_p + N_n \geq \tau)P(N_c + N_n < \tau)]. \]

Note that \( P_a(\beta, \beta) \) and \( P_a(\beta, \alpha) \) can also be calculated similarly. Due to the page limit, we omit it here.

2) B-IMOsk-Mutarotation Considered (\( \alpha \)-D-glucopyranose \( \Leftrightarrow \) \( \beta \)-D-glucopyranose): When \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)- D-glucopyranose are chosen, there is a possibility of incorrect decoding, such as \( \alpha \)- sent, \( \beta \)- received, or \( \beta \)- sent, \( \alpha \)- received due to mutarotation. Thus we derive an error probability considering the mutarotation process. The \( \alpha \)- or \( \beta \)- form sent varies its number with time [10], and the number can be calculated by observing the specific optical rotation. \( R_{\alpha} \) or \( R_{\beta} \) (observed specific optical rotation at time \( t \)) minus \( R_{eq} \) (at equilibrium) divided by \( R_{\alpha} \) or \( R_{\beta} \) (at time 0) minus \( R_{eq} \) has a linear relationship with time \( (T_s) \) at about 36.5°C. \( R_{\alpha} \) and \( R_{\beta} \) values are calculated by \( R_{\alpha} \) and \( R_{\beta} \), and \( R_{eq} \) can be found in [10]. The number of \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)- form existed after time \( T_s \) is obtained as below. If the number of \( \beta \)- form exceeds the threshold value after \( T_s \) when \( \alpha \)- sent, \( \frac{\beta}{n} \) value is added to the error term. Thus, \( P_a(\alpha, \beta) \) can be calculated as

\[ R_{eq} - R_{eq} = \frac{0.99}{3600} t_s + 1, \]
\[ R_{eq} = \frac{\alpha R_{\alpha} + \beta R_{\beta}}{\alpha + \beta}, \]
\[ \alpha = \frac{(R_{\alpha} - R_{\beta})\beta}{R_{\alpha} - R_{\beta}}, \beta = n - \alpha. \]

If \( \beta \geq \tau, P_a(\alpha, \beta) = P_a(\alpha, \beta)_{AWGN} + \frac{\beta}{n} \)

where, \( R_{eq} = 52.7°, R_{\alpha} = 112.2° \), and \( R_{\beta} = 18.7° \) [10]. The parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) indicate the number of \( \alpha \)- form and \( \beta \)- form molecules, respectively, and \( n \) is the number of total molecules transmitted. Optical specific rotation of the chemical compound is defined as the observed angle of optical rotation when plane-polarized light passes through D-glucopyranoses.

3) 32-IMOsk: When using hexoses, the system has the maximum modulation order of 32. Probabilities of \( X \) sent and \( Y \) received for all \( X \) and \( Y \) values are obtained similarly and thus omitted here (see [13]).

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Assume that all hexoses have the same physical properties; size, diffusion coefficient, and enthalpy of formation. \( P_{bit} \) value is calculated by the same numerical calculation used in [6]. We approximate the value for the hexoses by assuming it to be proportional to the diffusion coefficient. Therefore, we have the hitting probabilities shown in Table I and apply these into the proposed scheme explained in Section III. Here, we define the achievable rate \( R \) that maximizes the mutual information \( I(X; Y) \) as follows

\[ I(X; Y) = \sum_{X} P(X, Y) \log_2 \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(X)P(Y)}, \]
\[ R = \max_{\tau} I(X; Y). \]

where, \( P(X, Y) \) denotes the joint probability of \( X \) and \( Y \) while \( P(X) \) and \( P(Y) \) are the probabilities of events \( X \) and \( Y \). In (5), the threshold values vary from 1 to 1000.

Fig. 5 compares the achievable rate of the proposed method using hexoses with the conventional insulin based method in BCSK and B-IMOsk systems. It is remarkable that we obtain about 8 dB of SNR gain in both BCSK and B-IMOsk systems.

It could be measured by a polarimeter, and there is a linear relationship between the observed rotation and the concentration of the compound [11].

| Parameters | Values |
|------------|--------|
| \( P_{bit} \) for \( T_s \) | 0.6907 |
| \( P_{bit} \) for \( 2T_s \) | 0.7208 |
| Radius of the hexoses [12] | 0.38 nm |
| \( D \) of hexoses [7] | 597.25 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{sec} \) |
| \( \Delta H_{bimo} \) | 1271 kJ/mol |
| \( T_s \) \[6\] | 5.9 sec |
| Viscosity of the water | 0.001 kg/sec \cdot m |
| Temperature (body temperature) | 36.5° C = 310K |
In addition, the B-IMoSK system shows a better data rate performance than BCSK. This is due mainly to the size of the proposed messenger molecule being much smaller than that of insulin. Hence, the transmit energy of the proposed method is much less than that of the conventional method. This result will be discussed in more detail in [13].

Fig. 6 shows the achievable rates of 32-IMoSK and B-IMoSK using hexoses as messenger molecules. As can be seen from Fig. 6, the 32-IMoSK system has the maximum rate of 5 (bits per symbol), and the B-IMoSK of 1 (bit per symbol). In Fig. 7, we compare the achievable rates using trioses and hexoses. Obviously, trioses achieve higher SNR gain than hexoses due to their smaller sizes. Trioses, however, have the data transmission limit 1 bit per symbol. From this result, we can conclude that trioses can be selected for a low data rate system with a higher transmission reliability and hexoses for a high data rate system.

V. CONCLUSION

To make nano communication feasible in practice, this work proposed novel modulation techniques using isomers as messenger molecules. We first introduced energy and channel models for our system. Next we proposed several modulation methods able to support up to 5 bits per symbol. We also compared the achievable rate performance with existing modulation methods (concentration-based and molecular-type-based). This work differs from prior work in that it proposes practical messenger molecules and provides guidelines for selecting from among several possible candidates. Future work, we will consider, to achieve more modulation degrees of freedom, the ratio of enantiomers. To increase the transmission data rate further, we will also consider multiple sets of messenger molecules.
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