Minimal number of runs and the sequential scheme for local discrimination between special unitary operations
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It has been shown that any two different multipartite unitary operations are perfectly distinguishable by local operations and classical communication with a finite number of runs. Meanwhile, two open questions were left. One is how to determine the minimal number of runs needed for the local discrimination, and the other is whether a perfect local discrimination can be achieved by merely a sequential scheme. In this paper, we answer the two questions for some unitary operations $U_1$ and $U_2$ with $U_1 U_2^{\dagger}$ locally unitary equivalent to a diagonal unitary matrix in a product basis. Specifically, we give the minimal number of runs needed for the local discrimination, which is the same with that needed for the global discrimination. In this sense, the local operation works the same with the global one. Moreover, when adding the local property to $U_1$ or $U_2$, we present that the perfect local discrimination can be also realized by merely a sequential scheme with the minimal number of runs. Both results contribute to saving the resources used for the discrimination.

Quantum operations, which include unitary operations, quantum measurements, and quantum channels, are an important subject in the fields of quantum control and quantum information theory¹–³. Recently, the discrimination of quantum operations⁴–⁹, especially the discrimination of unitary operations¹⁰–²⁰, has received extensive attention. Indeed, the study itself of the distinguishability for unitary operations is a fundamental problem in quantum information theory, and after successfully discriminating unitary operations, we can further employ them to accomplish many other quantum information processing tasks. It should be noted that we only need to discuss the discrimination between two unitary operations, which is due to the fact that the discrimination of multiple unitary operations has been reduced to that of two unitary operations¹¹.

Two different unitary operations are said to be perfectly distinguishable, if there exists at least an input state such that two corresponding output states, generated by the two unitary operations acting on the input state respectively, are orthogonal. That is to say, the orthogonality of the two output states implies the distinguishability of the two unitary operations. Thus, the issue of discrimination of unitary operations can be simplified to the study of orthogonality of the corresponding output states. Generally speaking, there are two kinds of distinguishing schemes, or to say, generating orthogonal output states schemes, i.e. the parallel scheme and the sequential scheme. Suppose $U_1$ and $U_2$ are two different unitary operations to be distinguished. In the parallel scheme, there exists a finite number $N$ and an input state $|\psi \rangle$ such that two output states $U_1^N |\psi \rangle$ and $U_2^N |\psi \rangle$ are orthogonal¹³. In the sequential scheme, there exist auxiliary unitary operations $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ and an input state $|\psi \rangle$ such that the output states $U_1 X_N U_1^{\dagger} \cdots X_1 |\psi \rangle$ and $U_2 X_N U_2^{\dagger} \cdots X_1 |\psi \rangle$ are orthogonal¹³. Furthermore, the minimal number of runs is the minimal number of times we apply the unknown unitary operation to make them perfectly distinguishable¹¹.

In addition, the discrimination of unitary operations has been classified into two scenarios, i.e. the global one and the local one. In the global scenario, the unknown unitary operation is under the complete control of a single party who can perform any allowable physical operations¹⁰–¹⁵. It has been shown that any two different unitary operations can be perfectly distinguished, no matter by the parallel scheme¹¹,¹² or the sequential scheme¹¹, when
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a finite number of runs are allowed. Besides, in both schemes, the minimal numbers of runs needed for the perfect discrimination are the same. In the local scenario, which is the main point we shall discuss in this paper, the unknown unitary operation is shared by several physically distant parties. So local operations and classical communication (LOCC) are natural requirements for each party when they try to accomplish the discrimination.

Such a restriction makes the discrimination of unitary operations more complicated. Interestingly, Zhou et al. presented that any two different multiparticle unitary operations are perfectly distinguished by LOCC with multiple runs. Later, Duan et al. independently proved the same result by introducing the theory of local numerical range. Specifically, they pointed out that any two different unitary operations can be locally distinguished by the parallel scheme or first sequential then parallel scheme after a finite amount of runs.

As is shown in ref. 13, the minimal number of runs can save the temporal resources, and the sequential scheme can save the spatial resources due to the fact that in the sequential scheme no entanglement or joint quantum operations are needed. So in order to save resources as much as possible, it is natural to ask the following two questions: What is the minimal number of runs needed for the above parallel scheme, even for any distinguishing scheme, no matter parallel or sequential? Can the perfect local discrimination be completed by merely a sequential scheme? Both questions, also referred to in ref. 17, need further considerations. Yet until now there has been no relevant progress about the research, even for a special class of unitary operations.

In this paper we answer the two questions for some unitary operations. In detail, suppose $U_1$ and $U_2$ are any two different unitary operations on the $s \otimes (s, t \geq 2)$ quantum system such that $U_1$ and $U_2$ are local unitary equivalent to $\text{diag}(e^{i\phi_1}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s}) \triangleq V$, where $\phi_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\Theta(V) \in [0, \pi]$. If the endpoints of $\Theta(V)$ are $\phi_0$ and $\phi_0$ or $\phi_0$ and $\phi_0$ then the minimal number of runs needed for the local discrimination equals that needed for the global scenario, i.e. $\lceil \frac{\pi}{2\Theta(V)} \rceil$, in which $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer that is not less than $x$, and $\Theta(V)$ represents the length of the smallest arc containing all the eigenvalues of $V$ on the unit circle. In this sense the local operation achieves the same function with the global one. Furthermore, when adding the local property to $U_1$ or $U_2$, by merely a sequential scheme the perfect local discrimination can be also accomplished with the minimal number of runs. Finally, the above results can be generalized to multipartite unitary operations.

Results

Local numerical range. We first introduce some definitions and results.

Consider a quantum system associated with a finite dimensional state space $H$. We denote the set of linear operations acting on $H$ by $L(H)$. In particular, $u(H)$ is the set of unitary operations acting on $H$. Two unitary operations $U_1$, $U_2 \in u(H)$ are said to be different if $U_1$ is not the form $e^{i\theta}U_2$ for any real number $\theta$. Let us introduce the notion of numerical range.

Definition 1. For $A \in L(H)$, the numerical range of $A$ is a subset of complex numbers defined as

$$W(A) = \{\langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle : \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1\}. \quad (1)$$

Suppose now we are concerned with a multipartite quantum system consisting of $m$ parties, say, $M = \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$. Assume that the party $A_i$ has a state space $H_i$ with dimension $d_i$. Then the whole state space is given by $H = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} H_i$ with total dimension $d = d_1 \cdots d_m$.

Definition 2. $U \in u(H)$ is said to be local or decomposable if $U = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} U_i$ such that $U_i \in u(H_i)$. Otherwise $U$ is nonlocal or entangled.

Definition 3. The local numerical range of $A$ is

$$W_{\text{local}}(A) = \{\langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle : \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i |\}. \quad (2)$$

where $|\psi_i \rangle \in H_i$ and $\langle \psi_i | \psi_i \rangle = 1$.

Let $U$ and $V$ be two matrices on the $s \otimes t$ space. $U$ and $V$ are called local unitary equivalent if there exist $U_1 \in u(s)$ and $U_2 \in u(t)$ such that $U = (U_1 \otimes U_2) V (U_1 \otimes U_2)^\dagger$. Moreover, when $U$ is local unitary equivalent to $V$, we can obtain that $U^p$ and $V^p$ are local unitary equivalent, and $W_{\text{local}}(U^p) = W_{\text{local}}(V^p)$ for any $p \in N^2$.

Next two relevant lemmas about the local discrimination of unitary operations will be presented.

Lemma 1. Two different unitary operations $U_1$ and $U_2$ are perfectly distinguishable by LOCC in the single-run scenario if and only if $0 \in W_{\text{local}}(U_1^t U_2)$.\footnote{Scientific Reports | 6:26696 | DOI: 10.1038/srep26696}

Lemma 2. Suppose two different multipartite unitary operations $U_1$ and $U_2$ satisfy that $U_1^t U_2$ is non-Hermitian (up to some phase factor), then there exists a finite $N$ such that $0 \in W_{\text{local}}((U_1^t U_2)^{\otimes N})$.\footnote{Scientific Reports | 6:26696 | DOI: 10.1038/srep26696}

Minimal number of runs for the local distinguishability. In this section, we mainly discuss the minimal number of runs needed for a perfect discrimination between two bipartite unitary operations in the LOCC scenario.

First, two different unitary operations $U_1$ and $U_2$ such that $U_1^t U_2$ is local unitary equivalent to $V$ are considered, where $V$ is a diagonal unitary matrix in a product basis. According to that $\Theta(V) = \Theta(VXUX^\dagger)$ for any $X \in u(H)$ and the local unitary transformations do not alter the product state nature of the basis in general, we have the theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let $U_1$ and $U_2$ be any two different bipartite unitary operations on the $s \otimes t(s, t \geq 2)$ space such that $U_1^t U_2$ is local unitary equivalent to
\[ \text{diag} \left( e^{i\phi_1}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_n}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s} \right) \triangleq V, \]

where \( \phi_i \in [0, 2\pi) \) and \( \Theta(V) \in (0, \pi] \). If the endpoints of \( \Theta(V) \) are \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \) or \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \), then \( \lceil \pi/\Theta(V) \rceil \) is the minimal number of runs needed for distinguishing \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) locally with certainty.

**Proof.** By the conditions, \( \lceil \pi/\Theta(V) \rceil \triangleq n \) is the minimal number of runs needed for globally distinguishing \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) with certainty. Thus, if they are perfectly distinguished by LOCC, the minimal number of runs cannot be less than \( n \). In the following we will illustrate that \( n \) is just the minimal number of runs by finding a parallel scheme to distinguish them locally.

Without loss of generality, suppose \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are unitary operations consisting of two parties \( A \) and \( B \), where \( A \) is \( s \)-dimensional, and \( B \) is \( t \)-dimensional. Let the endpoints of \( \Theta(V) \) be \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \), where \( j < l \).

In fact, we can find a bipartite product state

\[ \ket{\psi} = ae^{i\phi_1}|l-1, j-1\rangle_{AB} + be^{i\phi_2}|l-1, j-1\rangle_{AB} \odot |l-1, l-1\rangle_{AB}^{(s-1)} + ce^{i\phi_3}|l-1, l-1\rangle_{AB}^{(t-1)}, \]

where \( r, \delta, \kappa \in [0, 2\pi) \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
    a &= \frac{\sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})}{\sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2}) + \sin((n-1)(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})) - \sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})}, \\
    b &= \frac{-\sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})}{\sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2}) + \sin((n-1)(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})) - \sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})}, \\
    c &= \frac{\sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})}{\sin((n-1)(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})) - \sin(\phi_{y_1} - \phi_{y_2})},
\end{align*}
\]

such that

\[ \bra{\psi}V^n|\psi\rangle = a^2e^{i\theta_{y_1}} + b^2e^{i(\phi_{y_1} + (n-1)\phi_{y_2})} + c^2e^{i\theta_{y_2}} = 0, \]

which means that when \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are applied \( n \) times in parallel, they can be locally distinguished by Lemma 2.

To sum up, we can claim that \( \lceil \pi/\Theta(V) \rceil \) is the minimal number of runs needed for the perfect discrimination between \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) in the LOCC scenario.

From the above proof, it is clear that the minimal number of runs needed for the local discrimination is the same with that needed for the global scenario. The fact reveals a counterintuitive result: For the perfect discrimination of two unitary operations as in Theorem 1, the global operation has no advantages over the local one. As an illustrative example of Theorem 1, consider a special case where \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are two \( 2 \otimes 3 \) unitary operations satisfying that

\[
U_1^s U_2^t = \text{diag} \left( 00, \ldots, 00, 01, \ldots, 01, 02, \ldots, 02, 10, \ldots, 10, 11, \ldots, 11, 12, \ldots, 12 \right).
\]

One can directly see that \( \Theta(U_1^s U_2^t) = \pi/3 \), and the endpoints of \( \Theta(U_1^s U_2^t) \) are \( \phi_{y_1} = 0 \) and \( \phi_{y_2} = \pi/3 \). As we can find a bipartite product state \( |\psi\rangle = \varphi_{y_1}^{(2\pi)}|00\rangle_{AB} + \varphi_{y_2}^{(2\pi)}|02\rangle_{AB} \), where \( r, \kappa \in [0, 2\pi) \), such that \( \langle \psi | U_1^s U_2^t | \psi \rangle = 0 \), then \( \lceil \pi/\Theta(U_1^s U_2^t) \rceil = 3 \) is the minimal number of runs needed for the perfect discrimination of \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) in the LOCC scenario.

From Theorem 1, one can see that the endpoints of \( \Theta(V) \) being \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \), or \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_3} \), are just the sufficient conditions. So when will they be also the necessary conditions? The following corollary will give an answer.

**Corollary** Let \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) be any two different bipartite unitary operations on the \( s \otimes (t, t \geq 2) \) space such that \( U_1^s U_2^t \) is local unitary equivalent to

\[ \text{diag} \left( e^{i\phi_1}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_n}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s} \right) \triangleq V, \]

where \( \phi_i \in [0, 2\pi) \) and \( \Theta(V) = \pi \). They are perfectly distinguished by LOCC in the single-run scenario if and only if the endpoints of \( \Theta(V) \) are \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \), or \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \).

**Proof.** It suffices to show the necessity.

Without loss of generality, suppose the endpoints of \( \Theta(V) \) are \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \), where \( i \leq h, j \geq l \) and \( \phi_{y_h} < \phi_{y_l} \). We have \( \phi_{y_1} = \phi_{y_2} + \pi \).

According to that \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are locally distinguished with a single run, there must be an \( s \otimes t \) product state

\[
\begin{align*}
    |\psi\rangle &= (a_1 e^{i\phi_1}|0\rangle + a_2 e^{i\phi_2}|1\rangle + \cdots + a_s e^{i\phi_s}|s-1\rangle) \\
    \odot (b_1 e^{i\phi_1}|0\rangle + b_2 e^{i\phi_2}|1\rangle + \cdots + b_t e^{i\phi_t}|t-1\rangle),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( a_k \geq 0, b_p \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^s a_k^2 = 1, \sum_{p=1}^t b_p^2 = 1 \), and \( \lambda_\ell, \delta_\ell \in [0, 2\pi) \), such that \( \langle \psi | V | \psi \rangle = 0 \), which is equivalent to

\[ \text{diag} \left( e^{i\phi_1}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_n}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s}, \ldots, e^{i\phi_s} \right) \triangleq V, \]

where \( \phi_i \in [0, 2\pi) \) and \( \Theta(V) = \pi \). They are perfectly distinguished by LOCC in the single-run scenario if and only if the endpoints of \( \Theta(V) \) are \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \), or \( \phi_{y_1} \) and \( \phi_{y_2} \).
such that $a_i^2 b_i^2 e^{i \phi_i} + \cdots + a_k^2 b_k^2 e^{i \phi_k} + \cdots + a_n^2 b_n^2 e^{i \phi_n} + \cdots + a_0^2 b_0^2 e^{i \phi_0} = 0$. 

Let $\phi_0 = 0$ and other $\phi_m \in (0, \pi)$. We have $\phi_0 = \pi$. Further $a_i^2 + a_k^2 = 1, b_i^2 + b_k^2 = 1$, and $a_i^2 b_i^2 = a_k^2 b_k^2$. A routine calculation shows that $a_i = b_i$ and $a_k = b_k$. Thus, $b_i = b_k = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Corollary indicates that for any two different unitary operations constrained as above, we can immediately claim that $U_1$ and $U_2$ cannot be locally distinguished in the single-run scenario, without complicated calculations to demonstrate.

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, $n$ is not only the minimal number of runs needed for a perfect local discrimination, but also can be achieved in the parallel scheme.

Here we will illustrate that when $U_1$ and $U_2$ are sequentially applied $n$ runs, they can be locally distinguished. Therefore, the minimal number of runs $n$ is also realized when $U_1$ and $U_2$ are perfectly distinguished by LOCC with the sequential scheme. The method in the proving process is inspired by Duan et al.\(^{13}\).

Without loss of generality, let

$$U_1 U_2 = \langle 00 \rangle_{12} + e^{i \theta_1} \langle 01 \rangle_{12} + e^{i \theta_2} |10\rangle_{12} - |11\rangle_{12},$$

for $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < \pi$. It is clear that $\Theta(U_1 U_2) = \pi$, and the endpoints of $\Theta(U_1 U_2)$ are $\phi_1 = 0$ and $\phi_2 = \pi$. By Corollary, we can immediately claim that $U_1$ and $U_2$ cannot be locally distinguished in the single-run scenario, without complicated calculations to demonstrate $0 \notin \mathbb{W}_{\text{local}}(U_1 U_2)$ as in ref. 17.

**Sequential scheme of the local distinguishability.** In this part, we primarily focus on the question whether a perfect local discrimination can be achieved by merely a sequential scheme. The solution to the question is helpful to save the spatial resources as no entanglement or joint quantum operations are needed in the sequential scheme. Fortunately, a positive answer will be made.

**Theorem 2** Let $U_1$ and $U_2$ be any two different $s \otimes t (s, t \geq 2)$ unitary operations such that $U_1 U_2$ is local unitary equivalent to

$$\text{diag}(e^{i \phi_1}, \ldots, e^{i \phi_n}, \ldots, e^{i \phi_n}, \ldots, e^{i \phi_n}) \equiv V,$$

where $\phi_m \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\Theta(V) \in (0, \pi)$. Suppose one of them is local and $n = \lfloor \pi/\Theta(V) \rfloor$. If the endpoints of $\Theta(V)$ are $\phi_0$ and $\phi_i$, or $\phi_0$ and $\phi_n$, then there exist local unitary operations $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\nu$, and an $s \otimes t$ product state $|\phi\rangle$ such that

$$U_1 X_\nu \cdots X_1 U_2 |\phi\rangle \perp U_2 X_\nu \cdots X_1 U_2 |\phi\rangle.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, $n$ is not only the minimal number of runs needed for a perfect local discrimination, but also can be achieved in the parallel scheme.

Here we will illustrate that when $U_1$ and $U_2$ are sequentially applied $n$ runs, they can be locally distinguished. Therefore, the minimal number of runs $n$ is also realized when $U_1$ and $U_2$ are perfectly distinguished by LOCC with the sequential scheme. The method in the proving process is inspired by Duan et al.\(^{13}\).

Without loss of generality, let

$$U_1 U_2 = (V_1 \otimes V_2) V (V_1 \otimes V_2)^* \equiv \nabla,$$

where $V_1$ is an $s \times s$ unitary matrix and $V_2$ is $t \times t$. Suppose $U_1$ is local, and the endpoints of $\Theta(V)$ are $\phi_0$ and $\phi_i$, where $j < l$.

First, we consider the case $U_1 = I$ and $U_2 = \nabla$. Subsequently the general case can be reduced to this special one.

Next we will prove that there always exists a local unitary operation $\nabla'$ and an $s \otimes t$ product state $|\phi'\rangle$ such that $\nabla' |\phi'\rangle$ and $\nabla' \nabla' |\phi'\rangle$ are orthogonal. In other words, through being sequentially applied $n$ times, $I$ and $\nabla$ can be locally discriminated.

Let $V' = \text{diag}(e^{i \phi_1}, e^{i \phi_2})$. Suppose

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & \sin \beta \\ -\sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

is the general form of real unitary matrices on the 2-dimensional space. We will find $\beta$ satisfying $\text{tr}(X^i V') = 0$ as follows.

A routine calculation shows that

$$\cos^2 \beta e^{i \phi_0} + \sin^2 \beta e^{i (\phi_1 + (n-1)\phi_0)} + \sin^2 \beta e^{i (\phi_2 + (n-1)\phi_0)} + \cos^2 \beta e^{i \phi_0} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

Combining with the sum-to-product identities and $\sin \frac{n (\phi_0 + \phi_0)}{2} = 0$, or $\cos \frac{n (\phi_0 + \phi_0)}{2} = 0$, we can get that

$$\sin^2 \beta \cos \frac{(n - 2)(\phi_0 - \phi_0)}{2} + \cos^2 \beta \cos \frac{n (\phi_0 - \phi_0)}{2} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

Further,
Thus, for above $\beta$, $X'XV^m$ has two opposite eigenvalues. Further we can assume the spectral decomposition as

$$X'V'XV^{m-1} = e^{i\beta}|\psi_1\rangle\langle \psi_1| - e^{i\beta}|\psi_2\rangle\langle \psi_2|.$$  

Let

$$|\varphi\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|\psi_1\rangle + |\psi_2\rangle) \triangleq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^T,$$

where $T$ denotes the matrix transposition. Thus, we have found $\beta, X$ and $|\varphi\rangle$ such that $\langle \varphi|X'V'XV^{m-1}|\varphi\rangle = 0$.

Suppose

$$\bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \\ 0 & I_{t-j-1} & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X' = (V_1 \otimes V_2)(U_x \otimes \bar{X})(V_1 \otimes V_2)^\dagger,$$

and

$$|\varphi\rangle = (V_1 \otimes V_2)[(i - 1)_{s} \otimes (\alpha_s[i - 1]_{s} + \alpha_2[i - 1]_{s})],$$

where $I_s$ represents the $s \times s$ identity matrix. We can conclude that

$$\langle \varphi'|\bar{X}^\dagger \bar{X} \bar{X}^{m-1} |\varphi\rangle = 0.$$  

Second, for general $U_1$ and $U_2$ satisfying $U_1^\dagger U_2^\dagger$ with $V$ being a two-qubit diagonal unitary matrix.

Finally, suppose $X_1 = \cdots = X_{n-2} = U_1^\dagger, X_{n-1} = \bar{X}'U_1^\dagger$, and $|\psi\rangle = |\varphi\rangle$ in the theorem. Then

$$U_1X_{n-1}U_1\cdots X_1|\psi\rangle \perp U_2X_{n-1}U_2\cdots X_2|\psi\rangle.$$

It can be seen from Theorem 2 that there indeed exist some unitary operations such that their local discrimination can be completed by merely a sequential scheme, and meanwhile we present an explicit protocol of the local discrimination without any entanglement or joint quantum operations. Interestingly, the minimal number of runs is also $n$, which is the same with that in the global scenario. All these make the local discrimination actually feasible in experiment.

As an application of Theorem 2, consider a particular case where $U_1$ and $U_2$ are two-qubit unitary operations satisfying that $U_1$ is local and $U_2 = V$, where $V$ is a two-qubit diagonal unitary matrix.

$$U_1^\dagger U_2 = [00] \langle 00| + e^{i\pi/2}[01] \langle 01| + e^{i\pi/4}[10] \langle 10| + e^{i3\pi/4}[11] \langle 11|.$$  

One can directly see that $\Theta(U_1^\dagger U_2) = \pi/3, n = 3$, and the endpoints of $\Theta(U_1^\dagger U_2)$ are $\phi_{11} = 0$ and $\phi_{12} = \pi/3$. Let $V' = \text{diag}(1, e^{i\pi/2})$. We can find $\beta = 0, X = I_2$, and $|\varphi\rangle = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^T$, where $T$ denotes the matrix transposition, such that $\langle \varphi'|X'V'XV^{m-1} |\varphi\rangle = 0$. Suppose $X_1 = U_1^\dagger, X_2 = (U_1 \otimes I_2)U_1^\dagger$, and $|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle_2 \otimes \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}[0]_2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}[1]_2\right)$, we have $U_1X_1U_1X_1|\psi\rangle \perp U_2X_2U_2X_2|\psi\rangle$. Therefore, it has been shown that the perfect local distinguishability of $U_1$ and $U_2$ can be achieved by merely a sequential scheme.

Discussion

The local discrimination of two unitary operations $U_1$ and $U_2$ discussed in refs 19 and 20 is in the single-shot scenario. Bae19 mainly investigated the relations between the discrimination and the entangling capabilities of given $U_1$ and $U_2$, and drew the conclusion that there exist non-entangling unitary operations being perfectly distinguishable only for global operations. While Cao et al.20 presented a necessary and sufficient condition, which can be employed to efficiently determine the perfect local distinguishability of $U_1$ and $U_2$ satisfying $U_1^\dagger U_2 = V$ with $V$ being a two-qubit diagonal unitary matrix.
Compared to the results in refs 19 and 20, our study on the local discrimination of unitary operations in this paper is primarily in the multiple-runs scenario. We have determined the minimal number of runs and put forward the sequential scheme for the local discrimination of some unitary operations. Concretely, for any two different unitary operations with certain limitations, we show that the minimal number of runs needed for the local discrimination is equal to that needed for the global scenario, which means that the local operation achieves the same function with the global one. Furthermore, when one more condition is restricted to the two unitary operations, we present that by merely a sequential scheme the perfect local discrimination can be also completed with the minimal number of runs. Both results are benefit for saving the resources, temporal or spatial, which are crucial in practice.

Despite the above research progress, we have yet neither determined the minimal number of runs, nor given the existence of an effective merely-sequential scheme for the local discrimination of two general unitary operations. But we believe that the results about the special unitary operations can provide new insight into the study of the two questions and help us to make a further research.

References
1. Sacchi, M. F. Optimal discrimination of quantum operations. Phys. Rev. A 71, 062340 (2005).
2. Wang, G. M. & Ying, M. S. Unambiguous discrimination among quantum operations. Phys. Rev. A 73, 042301 (2006).
3. Duan, R. Y., Feng, Y. & Ying, M. S. Perfect distinguishability of quantum operations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 210501 (2009).
4. Chełciny, A. & Sasaki, M. Retriction of generalized measurement outcomes. Phys. Rev. A 67, 032112 (2003).
5. Ji, Z. F., Feng, Y., Duan, R. Y. & Ying, M. S. Identification and distance measures of measurement apparatus. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 200401 (2006).
6. Sedlák, M. & Ziman, M. Optimal single-shot strategies for discrimination of quantum measurements. Phys. Rev. A 90, 052312 (2014).
7. Mičková, M. et al. Optimal entanglement-assisted discrimination of quantum measurements. Phys. Rev. A 90, 022317 (2014).
8. Piani, M. & Watrous, J. All entangled states are useful for channel discrimination. Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 250501 (2009).
9. Matthews, W., Piani, M. & Watrous, J. Entanglement in channel discrimination with restricted measurements. Phys. Rev. A 82, 032302 (2010).
10. Childs, A. M., Preskill, J. & Renes, J. Quantum information and precision measurement. J. Mod. Optic. 47, 155–176 (2000).
11. Acín, A. Statistical distinguishability between unitary operations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 177901 (2001).
12. D’Ariano, G. M., Presti, P. L. & Paris, M. G. A. Using entanglement improves the precision of quantum measurements. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 270404 (2001).
13. Duan, R. Y., Feng, Y. & Ying, M. S. Entanglement is not necessary for perfect discrimination between unitary operations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 100503 (2007).
14. Wu, X. D. & Duan, R. Y. Exact quantum search by parallel unitary discrimination schemes. Phys. Rev. A 78, 012303 (2008).
15. Chiribella, G., D’Ariano, G. M. & Perinotti, P. Quantum circuit architecture. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 060401 (2008).
16. Zhou, X. F., Zhang, Y. S. & Guo, G. C. Unitary transformations can be distinguished locally. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 170401 (2007).
17. Duan, R. Y., Feng, Y. & Ying, M. S. Local distinguishability of multipartite unitary operations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 020503 (2008).
18. Li, L. Z. & Qiu, D. W. Local entanglement is not necessary for perfect discrimination between unitary operations acting on two qudits by local operations and classical communication. Phys. Rev. A 77, 032337 (2008).
19. Bae, J. Discrimination of two-qubit unitaries via local operations and classical communication. Sci. Rep. 5, 18270 (2015).
20. Cao, T. Q., Gao, F., Yang, Y. H., Zhang, Z. C. & Wen, Q. Y. Determination of locally perfect discrimination for two-qubit unitary operations. Quantum Inf. Process. 15, 529–549 (2016).
21. Gawron, P., Puchała, Z., Miszczak, J. A., Skowronek, Ł. & Życzkowski, K. Restricted numerical range: A versatile tool in the theory of quantum information. J. Math. Phys. 51, 102204 (2010).
22. Puchała, Z. et al. Product numerical range in a space with tensor product structure. Linear Algebra Appl. 434, 327–342 (2011).

Acknowledgements
This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 61272057 and No. 61572081), the Beijing Higher Education Young Elite Teacher Project (Grants No. YETP0475 and No. YETP0477), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province of China (No. 2015JM6263).

Author Contributions
T.-Q.C., Y.H.Y. and F.G. initiated the idea. T.-Q.C., Z.-C.Z., G.-J.T. and Q.-Y.W. wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information
Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Cao, T.-Q. et al. Minimal number of runs and the sequential scheme for local discrimination between special unitary operations. Sci. Rep. 6, 26696; doi: 10.1038/srep26696 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/