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Abstract
The experiment was carried out to investigate the general combining ability of elite genotypes of seven hirsutum and six barbadense lines and the heterotic values and specific combining ability of 42 interspecific hybrids obtained by line x tester mating design for twelve characters. The analysis of variance revealed the presence of sufficient genetic variability for all the characters in the experimental material. The seed cotton yield and yield attributing characters exhibited non-additive gene action and also their hybrid mean were significantly higher than parental mean. The parents MERREL-1, BCS-9, G.Cot.20, GSB 41 and DB 1602 were good general combiners for seed cotton yield and important yield contributing characters. The hybrids G.Cot.20 × DB 1602, G.Cot.20 × GSB 41 and BCS-9 × DB 1602 noted for their high per se performance, sca estimates and having both parents of good gca effects for seed cotton yield per plant and may recommended for future breeding programme.
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INTRODUCTION
Cotton, the king of fibres is arguably the world’s most renewable natural textile fiber and sixth largest source of vegetable oil. The word ‘cotton’ comes from the Arabic word ‘quoni’ and generally refers to species that produce spinnable fibers (lint) on their seed coat (Lee, 1984). It is popularly known as ‘White Gold’. Cotton hybrids had played a significant role in taking India into a self-reliant cotton producer in the world. A number of interspecific hybrids (G.Hirsutum L. × G.barbadense L.) having high yield potentiality with Extra Long Staple and other desirable fibre traits have been released for commercial cultivation. Due to the divergent evolution of the two species, upland and pima cotton each has accumulated different genes alleles with opposite effect. The combination of these alleles exhibit remarkable heterosis in vegetative growth of the F₁ hybrids (e.g., plant height, leaf number, boll set and fibre development). The recognition of specific parental combination to produce the desired level of F₁ heterotic effect is important in improving the yield potential of this crop. Even though release of several hybrids during the last decade contributed to quantum jump in cotton productivity, the yield levels of hybrids appear to reach stagnation. This might be due to the lack of identification or development of specific combiners for important yield contributing characters in hybrid populations and use of less diversified genotypes in hybridization programme. Line × tester analysis (Kempthorne, 1957) provides a systematic approach for the detection of appropriate parents and crosses superior in terms of the studied traits and present study was used to determine the extent of heterosis in cotton and to identify the most heterotic hybrids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Experimental material of the study included 56 test entries comprising of seven G. Hirsutum female lines viz., G.89, MERREL-1, BCS 9, GJHV-507, G.Cot.12, G.Cot.20 and G.247 and six G. Barbadense male parents viz.,GSB 40, GSB 41, DB 1602, ARBB-1501, ARBB-27 and GSB 44 and 42 resultant hybrids and one standard H × B check hybrids G. Cot Hy.102. The crosses were made using a conventional hand emasculation and pollination method developed by Doak (1934) during Kharif 2017 through line × tester mating design and evaluation was carried out in a randomized block design with three replications.
during the Kharif 2018-19 at Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat). A single row of 4.5 meter length was assigned to each genotype with 10 plants having 45cm intra row spacing and 120cm inter row spacing. All recommended agronomic practices like irrigation, fertilizer application, and weed and pest control were carried out to raise the healthy plant growth. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants of each genotype in each replication for 11 characters viz., plant height (PH) (cm), the number of monopodia per plant (NMP), the number of sympodia per plant (NSP), average boll weight (AB) (g), the total number of bolls per plant (TNBP), seed cotton yield per plant (g) (SCYP), lint yield per plant (LYP) (g), ginning percentage (GP), seed index (SI) (g), lint index (LI) and oil content (OC)(%). The phenological character days to 50 per cent flowering was recorded on plot basis. The replication wise mean values for all the characters were subjected to statistical analysis. Heterobeltiosis was calculated using the method given by Fonseca and Patterson (1968). Standard Heterosis (SH) expressed over best check hybrid G.Cot.Hy.102 and designated as SH. It was measured as suggested by Meredith and Bridge (1972). Test of significance was according to the formulae suggested by Turner (1953). Total genetic variance is partitioned into the variance due to general combining ability and specific combining ability as per procedure suggested by Kempthorne (1957). This helps the breeder in knowing the relative proportion of additive and non additive genetic variances involved in the inheritance of various characters as well as deciding the appropriate breeding methods for effective exploitation of available genetic variations. The presence of non-additive genetic variance is the primary justification for initiating the hybrid breeding programme. The degree of dominance \( \frac{\sigma^2_{A}}{\sigma^2_{D}} \) was also estimated.

### Table 1. Analysis of variance for seed cotton yield and its component traits in cotton

| Source of variation | Df | PH | NMP | NSP | ABW | TNBP | SCYP | LYP | GP | SI | LI | OC |
|---------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|----|----|----|----|
| Replication         | 2  | 13.16** | 224.5 | 0.09 | 8.26 | 0.03 | 95.88 | 1454.16* | 107.19 | 2.28 | 0.09 | 0.37 | 6.65** |
| Genotypes           | 55 | 67.87** | 4947.8** | 0.76** | 40.53** | 0.65** | 1596.98** | 10383.99** | 1132.13** | 24.25** | 6.71** | 1.38** | 4.3** |
| Parents             | 12 | 135.53** | 1349.98** | 0.72** | 11.47** | 0.94** | 2746.11** | 13118.77** | 1764.71** | 24.25** | 6.71** | 1.38** | 4.3** |
| Females             | 6  | 50.83** | 1069.16** | 0.004 | 45.16** | 0.59** | 3511.87** | 9223.37** | 1337.74** | 208.3** | 3.48** | 76.18** |
| Males               | 5  | 3.29 | 1871.79** | 0.004 | 11.3** | 0.22** | 2770.1** | 6356.43** | 2758.3** | 272.63** | 208.3** | 3.48** | 76.18** |
| Females vs. Males   | 1  | 1304.91** | 425.91 | 2.2** | 53.85** | 3.14** | 16551.07** | 103347.6** | 14614.23** | 111.46** | 58.02** | 1.03 | 12.49** |
| Hybrids             | 41 | 49.04** | 1581.49** | 0.45** | 22.99** | 0.57** | 880.46** | 7805.01** | 902.31** | 19.57** | 1.82** | 1.39** | 2.92** |
| Parents vs. Hybrids | 1  | 0.19 | 15160.11** | 0.004 | 20.99** | 0.06** | 3511.87** | 13118.77** | 1764.71** | 208.3** | 3.48** | 76.18** |
| Check vs. Hybrids   | 1  | 95.78** | 4142.99** | 0.004 | 45.16** | 0.59** | 3511.87** | 9223.37** | 1337.74** | 10.04 | 0.16 | 0.78 | 2.39** |
| Error               | 110| 3.94 | 125.38 | 0.1 | 2.89 | 0.04 | 51.09 | 396.65 | 53.75 | 3.16 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.37 |

**Components of gene action**

\[
\sigma^2_{A} = 4.17** + 154.22** + 0.07** + 2.24** + 0.08** - 3.09 + 359.69 + 74.19* + 2.45** + 0.2** + 0.15** + 0.08
\]

| Source of variation | \(\sigma^2_{A}\) | \(\sigma^2_{D}\) | \(\sigma^2_{A}\) | \(\sigma^2_{E}\) | \(\sigma^2_{S}\) | \(\sigma^2_{A}\) | \(\sigma^2_{D}\) | \(\sigma^2_{A}\) | \(\sigma^2_{D}\) | \(\sigma^2_{A}\) | \(\sigma^2_{D}\) | \(\sigma^2_{A}\) | \(\sigma^2_{D}\) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Replication         | 2.17            | 67.91           | 0.04**          | 1.13**          | 0.03**          | 0.98            | 214.02          | 89.16           | 3.42            | 0.31            | 0.03            | 0.03            | 0.15**          |
| Genotypes           | 10.96*          | 355.81*         | 0.05            | 4.62**          | 0.11*           | 271.27          | 2089.64*        | 216.75*         | 3.11*           | 0.34*           | 0.18*           | 0.06**          |
| Parents             | 0.20            | 0.19            | 0.65            | 0.24            | 0.29            | 0.00            | 0.10            | 0.17            | 0.42            | 0.33            | 0.46            | 0.18            |
| Females             | 4.34            | 135.81          | 0.07            | 2.26            | 0.06            | 1.96            | 428.03          | 72.17           | 0.20            | 0.17            | 0.24            | 0.24            |
| Males               | 10.96           | 355.81          | 0.05            | 4.62            | 0.11            | 271.27          | 2089.64         | 216.75          | 3.11            | 0.34            | 0.18            | 0.06**          |
| Females vs. Males   | 1.59            | 1.62            | 0.85            | 1.43            | 1.35            | 11.76           | 2.21            | 1.73            | 1.09            | 1.22            | 1.03            | 1.66            |

DF: Days to 50 % flowering. NMP: Number of monopodia /plant, NSP: Number of sympodia/ plant, ABW: Average boll weight, TNBP: Total number of bolls/ plant, SCYP: Seed cotton yield per plant, LYP: Lint yield per plant, GP: Ginning percentage, LI: Lint index, SI: Seed index, OC: Oil content.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The analysis of variance (Table 1.) revealed that there was significant difference among genotypes as well as parents and hybrids, as mean square value found significant for all traits which indicated the existence of sufficient variability in the experimental material. Similarly, the lines and testers exhibited remarkable differences for all characters except the number of sympodia in lines and days to 50 per cent flowering, the number of monopodia and lint index in testers. Parent vs. hybrids also exhibited worthiness for all characters except days to 50 per cent flowering provided the existence of high
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heterotic response for these traits among at least some of the hybrids and parents. The significance of variance in hybrid vs. check provides adequacy for comparing the heterotic expression for most of the characters excluding the number of monopodia per plant, ginning percentage, seed index and lint index.

For the number of monopodia per plant, GCA variance alone was only significant suggesting importance of only additive genetic variance for inheritance of the character. However, in contrast to this, genetic variance due to sca effects ($\sigma^2_{sca}$) was significant for days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, total number of bolls per plant, seed cotton yield per plant and lint yield per plant, which suggested the importance of non-additive genetic variance for those traits. The characters such as number of sympodia, average boll weight, ginning percentage, seed index and lint index exhibited significance of both $\sigma^2_{gca}$ and $\sigma^2_{sca}$ variance suggesting the importance of both genetic variances with preponderance of non-additive genetic variance. Alkuddsi et al. (2013), Patel et al. (2014), Kannan et al. (2016), Komala et al. (2018), Rajeev et al. (2018) and Upal et al. (2018) reported preponderance of non additive gene action for seed cotton yield and its attributing characters in cotton. All the characters except the number of monopodia, ginning percentage and lint index had above one value of average degree of dominance which revealed over dominance gene action was involved for inheritance suggesting heterosis breeding would be most effective approach to enhance the seed cotton yield potential of hybrids.

Table 2. Mean performance and gca values of parents for important characters of cotton.

| Parents    | Number of sympodia per plant | Average boll weight | Total number of bolls per plant | Seed cotton yield per plant | Ginning percentage |
|------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|            | Mean GCA value Mean (g) GCA value | Mean GCA value Mean (g) GCA value | Mean GCA value Mean (g) GCA value | Mean GCA value Mean (g) GCA value | Mean (%) GCA value |
| **Females** |                             |                     |                                |                             |                    |
| G. 89      | 18.33                        | 3.31**              | 2.89                           | -0.33**                     | 92.10              | 3.02*                       | 219.27                      | -15.12**                   | 33.38                       | -2.60**                     |
| MERREL-1   | 20.00                        | -0.36               | 2.73                           | -0.09**                     | 107.10             | 7.21**                      | 253.84                      | 17.76**                     | 34.32                       | 1.52**                      |
| BCS-9      | 20.00                        | -0.19               | 4.21                           | 0.59**                      | 75.30              | -2.38                       | 228.07                      | 37.59**                     | 34.39                       | 0.33                        |
| GJHV-507   | 20.00                        | -1.97**             | 2.92                           | 0.09*                       | 91.20              | -4.78**                     | 241.94                      | -14.03**                    | 33.37                       | -1.61**                     |
| G.Cot-20   | 19.00                        | 0.48                | 3.55                           | -0.29**                     | 92.70              | -5.61**                     | 251.80                      | -44.96**                    | 33.67                       | -1.07**                     |
| G.247      | 17.00                        | 0.59                | 3.05                           | 0.20**                      | 74.30              | -7.38**                     | 158.29                      | 12.27**                     | 29.65                       | 1.68**                      |
| **Males**  |                             |                     |                                |                             |                    |
| GSB 40     | 18.67                        | 0.67*               | 2.33                           | -0.03                       | 34.20              | 2.76*                       | 107.13                      | -0.39                       | 30.71                       | -0.25                       |
| GSB 41     | 15.33                        | -1.29**             | 2.65                           | 0.12**                      | 91.60              | 4.3**                       | 186.12                      | 25.21**                     | 31.16                       | 0.04                        |
| DB 1602    | 14.33                        | 1.24**              | 2.13                           | -0.11**                     | 27.90              | 8.84**                      | 74.11                       | 16.83**                     | 32.49                       | 0.45                        |
| ARBB-1501  | 14.67                        | 0.19                | 2.48                           | 0.01                        | 23.10              | -1.03                       | 70.54                       | 3.96                        | 27.46                       | -0.49                       |
| ARBB-27    | 17.67                        | -1.05**             | 2.60                           | -0.05                       | 83.80              | -4.67**                     | 157.41                      | -17.86**                    | 30.99                       | 1.53**                      |
| GSB 44     | 18.33                        | 0.24                | 2.92                           | 0.06                        | 33.00              | -10.2**                     | 107.57                      | -27.75**                    | 29.17                       | -1.28**                     |

Knowledge of combining ability allows the breeders to use additive effects to match their practical production situation and gain further increases inproductivity through non-additive gene action (Su et al., 2013). A high gca value negative or positive implies that the parental mean is superior or inferior to the general mean respectively. i.e. there is desirable gene flow from the parent to progenies. It also indicates higher heritability and low environmental effect or its large adaptability. Table2. shows, the mean value and gca effects of parents for seed cotton yield and important desirable characters such as the number of sympodia per plant, average boll weight, total number of bolls per plant, ginning percentage and lint yield per plant. Analysis of data indicated that to improve sympodia per plant, use of G.89, DB 1602 and GSB 40 as parents would be ideal as they were found to be good general combiners for the number of sympodia per plant even though they were not good performers. The parents BCS-9, GJHV-507, GSB 41 showed positive and significant GCA value indicating good combiner for average boll weight. For the total number of bolls per plant G.247, MERREL-1, G.89, DB 1602, GSB 41, GSB 40 found to be good general combiners among which DB 1602 exhibited a poor mean performance with respect to most of the characters. The MERREL-1, BCS-9, G.Cot.20, GSB 41 and DB 1602 were good general combiners for seed cotton yield. The MERREL-1 and ARBB-27 showed a desirable gca value for ginning percentage.

Selection of hybrids based on sca effect has less scope in breeding programs. SCA effect should be used consecutive with high performance per se hybrid, favourable sca estimates and involving at least one parent with high GCA effect. The top three hybrids for important
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Fig. 1. Top three high yielding hybrids: A: G. Cot. 20 × DB 1602; B: G. Cot. 20 × GSB-41; C: BCS-9 × DB 1602.
characters of cotton are shown (Table 3.) with their sca value, parental gca status and heterosis estimates. The hybrids G. Cot. 20 × DB 1602, followed by G.Cot.20 × GSB 41 and BCS- 9 × DB 1602 (Fig. 1.) revealed statistically superior performance for seed cotton yield over the standard check. The parental performance of good x good gca effect ascribed to additive × additive gene action for this trait. The favourable gca estimates and higher performance per se and involving both parents with good gca effect assured the superior heterotic combinations. The three hybrids are statistically at par however G.Cot.20 × GSB 41 with significant standard heterosis for average boll weight, lint yield per plant and seed index and significant sca effects for days to fifty per cent flowering, the number of monopodia per plant, average boll weight, lint yield per plant, ginning percentage, seed index and lint index in desirable direction could propose as the desirable heterotic cross combination. The line G.Cot.20 and male DB 1602 was good general combiners for seed cotton yield. The crosses on the basis of their per se performance, heterobeltiosis, standard heterosis and sca effects for different characters displayed differences in their ranking, which suggest that crosses exhibit high sca effect would not necessarily give either higher mean value or high heterotic effect or vice versa; therefore while selecting a cross for exploiting heterotic effects and or advancing for development of parental lines plant breeder has to consider all the aspects independently.

The character seed cotton yield per plant was under the influence of non-additive genetic variance; therefore, hybridization programme in respect to commercial exploitation of heterosis breeding would be more useful. Among the female and male parents, the highest seed cotton yield per plant was observed with MEREL-1, G.Cot.12 and GJHV-507 but the last two found to be poor combiner for seed cotton yield. This suggests that a parent good in per se performance may not necessarily produce better hybrids when used in hybridization.

The parents MERREL-1, BCS-9, G.Cot.20, GSB 41 and DB 1602 which were good general combiners for seed cotton yield and important yield contributing characters may be used in breeding programme. The top three high yielding hybrids viz., G.Cot.20 × DB 1602, followed by G.Cot.20 × GSB 41 and BCS- 9 × DB 1602 had favourable sca estimates and higher performance per se than standard check. . Also the parents of these three hybrids exhibited good gca effect ascribed for the additive × additive gene action, promising they can be further evaluated in multi-location trials for commercial breeding programme.
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