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Abstract

Background: Natural antisense transcripts control gene expression through post-transcriptional gene silencing by annealing to the complementary sequence of the sense transcript. Because many genome and mRNA sequences have become available recently, genome-wide searches for sense-antisense transcripts have been reported, but few plant sense-antisense transcript pairs have been studied. The Rice Full-Length cDNA Sequencing Project has enabled computational searching of a large number of plant sense-antisense transcript pairs.

Results: We identified sense-antisense transcript pairs from 32,127 full-length rice cDNA sequences produced by this project and public rice mRNA sequences by aligning the cDNA sequences with rice genome sequences. We discovered 687 bidirectional transcript pairs in rice, including sense-antisense transcript pairs. Both sense and antisense strands of 342 pairs (50%) showed homology to at least one expressed sequence tag other than that of the pair. Microarray analysis showed 82 pairs (32%) out of 258 pairs on the microarray were more highly expressed than the median expression intensity of 21,938 rice transcriptional units. Both sense and antisense strands of 594 pairs (86%) had coding potential.

Conclusions: The large number of plant sense-antisense transcript pairs suggests that gene regulation by antisense transcripts occurs in plants and not only in animals. On the basis of our results, experiments should be carried out to analyze the function of plant antisense transcripts.
Background
The transcripts of sense-antisense transcript pairs have complementary sequences. Natural antisense transcripts are transcripts of the opposite DNA strand to the sense strand, either at the same genomic locus as the sense strand (cis-encoded antisense transcripts) or at a different genomic locus (trans-encoded antisense transcripts). Antisense transcripts affect the expression of sense RNAs at all levels - transcription, RNA processing and transport, and RNA stability and translation - and thus may be involved in the control of development, adaptation to various stresses, and viral infection [1,2]. Genome imprinting [3-5] is sometimes triggered by antisense transcripts: 15% of imprinted genes are associated with antisense transcripts [6]. Antisense transcripts are also involved in methylation [7], X-chromosome inactivation [8-10], alternative splicing [11,12], RNA editing [13] and RNA interference [14].

Since the first examples of sense-antisense transcript pairs were reported in 1981 from human and mouse mitochondrial DNA [1,15,16], and overlapping sense and antisense transcripts were described in Drosophila [17], increasing numbers of endogenous antisense RNAs have been detected in numerous organisms: viruses, slime molds, insects, amphibians and birds, as well as in mammals (rats, mice, cows and humans) [1].

Genome sequences and sequences of mRNA transcripts of several species have been determined. These genome sequences enable us to search for sense-antisense mRNA candidates in the same loci in whole-genome sequences by aligning the mRNA sequences with genome sequences. Lehner et al. [18] performed a computational search for human sense-antisense candidates using mRNA sequences in public databases and identified 372 natural antisense transcripts. About the same time, Shendure and Church [19] found 217 sense-antisense candidates in public databases of mouse and human expressed sequence tags (ESTs) and detected 33 antisense transcripts by an orientation-specific reverse transcription (RT)-PCR assay (RT-PCR). In 2003, Yelin et al. [20] identified 2,667 sense-antisense transcripts from human expressed sequences in public databases and, using microarrays containing strand-specific oligonucleotide probes and northern blot analysis, confirmed that at least 1,600 sense-antisense candidates were transcribed from both DNA strands. In mouse, 2,481 mouse sense-antisense full-length cDNA pairs were identified from 60,770 mouse full-length cDNAs determined in our laboratory and mRNA sequences from public databases, and 4,511 sense-antisense transcripts among 4,962 candidates (2,481 pairs) were supported by at least one EST sequence [21].

Few sense-antisense transcript pairs have been reported in plants [2,22]. At present, no computational search for sense-antisense candidates from large numbers of plant mRNAs and whole-genome sequences has been reported (since the submission of this study, a large number of Arabidopsis antisense mRNAs have been reported [23]). To remedy this lack of data, in April 2000 we began a comprehensive Rice Full-Length cDNA Sequencing Project (RFLSP) [24]. We determined ESTs of cDNA clones and classified them to reduce redundant cDNAs and determine low-redundancy full-length cDNA sequences [25]. We obtained 32,127 low-redundancy Oryza sativa full-length cDNA sequences. In this study, we conducted an initial large-scale search for plant sense-antisense candidates on a large scale from these O. sativa full-length cDNA sequences and 1,687 O. sativa mRNAs in public databases.

Results
Detection of bidirectional transcript pairs
We aligned the rice full-length cDNA sequences determined by the RFLSP and mRNA sequences from a public database with rice genome sequences. From the successfully aligned sequences, we selected those that overlapped with sequences on the other strand of the rice genome sequence as bidirectional transcript pairs. Then we classified these pairs according to the same system used to classify mouse bidirectional transcript pairs [21] to investigate the exon-intron structures of the pairs. First, the pairs were broadly divided into two categories according to whether the exons of the pairs overlapped - that is, whether mRNAs of the pairs included complementary regions of sequence. We termed pairs with a complementary region of sequence ‘sense-antisense transcript pairs’ and those without such a region ‘non-antisense bidirectional transcript pairs’. Then the sense-antisense transcript pairs were divided into two subcategories, and the non-antisense bidirectional transcript pairs into three subcategories, based on the exon-intron structure and position (Figure 1). Most of the bidirectional transcript pairs were sense-antisense transcript pairs (categories 1 and 2; Figure 1). This distribution was also observed among mouse bidirectional transcript pairs [21]. However, more non-antisense bidirectional transcript pairs were in category 4 than in either category 3 or 5; this tendency was not observed in mouse. Figure 2 shows the distribution of sense-antisense transcript pairs according to the length of overlap (in base-pairs) of the exons. The numbers of pairs that mapped onto each chromosome are shown in Table 1. All chromosomes contained some pairs. However, the percentage of genes on each chromosome that include bidirectional transcript pairs cannot be calculated at present, because the rice genome sequence is not fully assembled, and the number of genes on each chromosome has not yet been estimated accurately.

Characterization of bidirectional transcript pairs
To confirm the reproducibility of the bidirectional transcript pairs, we used FASTA [26] to search for them against 32,718 rice 5′-EST sequences in the GenBank database, 91,425 rice 5′- and 175,642 rice 3′-EST sequences sequenced by the RFLSP. Among the 687 bidirectional transcript pairs
identified, 642 showed homology to at least one EST sequence, not including the 5’- and 3’-EST sequences of the bidirectional transcript pairs themselves. Among these 642 pairs, 342 contained sequences homologous to at least one EST sequence on both strands of the pair; 300 contained such sequences on just one strand. The number of bidirectional transcript pairs in each category with EST support is shown in Figure 1 (the complete EST support dataset is available on our website [27]). The frequency distribution of EST matches on bidirectional transcript pair cDNA sequences is presented in Figure 3. Among 1,374 sequence pairs, 642 showed homology to at least one EST sequence. Here, ‘Both’ means that both sense-antisense strands of the pairs had coding potential, and ‘Either’ means that either the sense or the antisense strand of the pairs had coding potential. The numbers of pairs in which both sense and antisense transcripts were expressed in at least one identical library are listed under ‘Expressed in the same library’.

To study the library origins of the bidirectional transcript pairs, we also searched the 5’- and 3’-EST sequences from several kinds of rice full-length cDNA libraries constructed by the RFLSP against the sequences of the bidirectional transcript pairs using FASTA. The presence of EST sequences with ≥ 96% identity over 80% of overall length was the criterion used to identify the library origins of the matched bidirectional transcript pairs. Among the 687 bidirectional transcript pairs, 64 were expressed in a single library, as judged on the basis of EST support (Figure 1). The frequency of both members of the rice bidirectional transcript pairs in the same library (9.3%) was lower than that for mouse (23.2%) [21], perhaps because of the difference in the number of available EST sequences between rice and mouse. However, the results of the microarray experiments, presented in the next section, showed that 32% of the pairs on the microarray had high expression intensities on both strands of the pair. The distribution of the bidirectional transcripts in the 32,127 full-length rice cDNAs among the different libraries is shown on our website [27].
redundant bidirectional transcript pairs, including the 687 representative bidirectional transcript pairs, are also shown on our website.

**Expression analysis of bidirectional transcript pairs**

A single-strand oligo microarray has been designed on the basis of 21,938 rice full-length cDNA sequences, which were determined and selected as transcriptional units in RFLSP [24,29]. Oligo microarray measurements that were performed by Agilent Technologies were in good agreement with Q-PCR measurements [30]. We used the microarray to investigate the expression of the bidirectional transcripts. Among 687 nonredundant bidirectional transcript pairs, 258 pairs were aligned on the oligo microarray. We hybridized mRNAs derived from eight kinds of libraries - young leaf (YL), germinating seed (GS), mature leaf (ML), panicle (P), root (R), apical meristem (Ap), callus (Ca), and primary callus (Pc) - on the microarray. Figure 4 shows the distribution of the expression intensities of 21,938 mRNAs derived from YL and 956 mRNAs of the bidirectional transcripts derived from YL. The expression intensities of all mRNAs and of mRNAs of bidirectional transcripts were not clearly divided into low and high intensities, but were distributed broadly from low to high intensity and varied among libraries. We therefore normalized the expression intensities by subtracting the median expression intensity of 315 negative controls instead of the median of the 21,938 mRNAs from the intensities of the bidirectional transcripts. As a result, all bidirectional transcripts on the microarray were expressed with more than the median intensity of the negative controls. We divided the expression intensities into three categories: high (+ +), low (+) and not detected (-); we defined mRNAs that were expressed in greater amount than the median of the expression intensities of all 21,928 mRNAs on the microarray as having high expression, those lower than the median and higher than the median intensities of the negative controls as low, and those lower than the median as not detected. Among 258 nonredundant bidirectional transcript pairs on the microarray, in 82 (32%) both transcripts showed high expression intensity in at least one of the eight libraries, and in 176 (68%) at least one transcript showed low expression intensity in eight libraries. The numbers of bidirectional transcripts in each category showing each level of expression intensity are shown in Figure 1. The bidirectional transcripts in categories 1 and 3 included 122 and 23 intronless transcripts in each category; all intronless transcripts in nonredundant bidirectional transcript pairs were more highly expressed than the median of the negative controls; and 46 (38%) intronless transcripts in categories 1 and 16 (70%) in category 3 were expressed at high intensity. This shows that the intronless bidirectional transcripts are not the contamination of the genome sequences but mRNAs. The expression intensities of all bidirectional
transcript pairs including redundant pairs on the microarray are available from our website [27].

All bidirectional transcript pairs on the array, except for three pairs in category 1, seven pairs in category 2, three pairs in category 3 and two pairs in category 4, were expressed in all eight libraries at a higher level than the median expression intensity of the negative controls. However, some bidirectional transcripts were expressed at high intensity in some libraries and expressed at low intensity in the other libraries. Although microarrays can detect very low expression of mRNAs, we cannot determine the threshold of the expression intensity of mRNAs where they are activated. Thus, bidirectional transcripts expressed at low intensity in some libraries may not be functioning in the library.

Discussion

Although searches for large numbers of sense-antisense mRNA sequences in mammals have been reported [18, 21], this is the first report of a search for a large number of sense-antisense pairs in plants. Through the RFLSP [24] and the rice genome project [31-34], it was possible to search for bidirectional transcript pairs in 32,127 rice full-length cDNA sequences by aligning them with rice genome sequences. As a result, we identified 687 bidirectional transcript pairs in rice. We had previously searched for mouse bidirectional transcript pairs by the same methods [21] and found 3,380 pairs, including 2,481 sense-antisense transcript pairs. The RFLSP produced 32,127 full-length rice cDNA sequences, estimated to consist of 20,447 transcriptional units [24], which we used in this study to search for rice bidirectional transcript pairs. The 60,770 mouse full-length cDNA sequences produced by the mouse full-length cDNA project were estimated to consist of 33,409 transcriptional units [35]. Even considering the difference in the number of transcriptional units between rice and mouse, the number of rice bidirectional transcript pairs identified is smaller than that of mouse. However, the mouse transcriptome was determined by producing close to 2 million sequences from strongly subtracted libraries [36], and this difference in coverage may partly account for the discrepancy. Taking into account the number of trans-encoded bidirectional transcript candidates or bidirectional transcript candidates from nonpolyadenylated RNAs, which were not included in this study [37], might increase the number of bidirectional transcripts in both mouse and rice.

Another difference between the mouse and rice bidirectional transcripts is that most of the rice bidirectional transcript pairs apparently include CDSs. About 86% of the rice bidirectional transcript pairs included CDSs on both strands, whereas about 27% of the mouse bidirectional transcripts included CDSs. To search for CDSs in rice bidirectional transcripts, we used the SEALS Wimklein computer program [30], which uses the longest-ORF method, because the available software for predicting CDS regions is not designed to detect rice cDNA or mRNA sequences. To evaluate the reliability of the longest-ORF method, we searched for CDSs from 32,127 rice full-length cDNA sequences and 60,770 mouse FANTOM2 sequences [35] using the SEALS Wimklein software. Among the 32,127 rice full-length cDNA sequences, 29,430 (91.6%) included CDSs, and among the 33,409 mouse FANTOM2 transcriptional units, 19,494 (58.3%) did. The frequency of mouse cDNA coding sequences was almost the same as that estimated by human curation (52.7%) [35]. Thus, the longest-ORF method should reliably detect CDS regions in rice full-length cDNA sequences. Furthermore, a study of CDS annotation of the mouse FANTOM2 sequences found that the longest-ORF method was particularly useful for predicting CDS regions in which no frameshift or stop codon errors were present [38]. In addition, an InterPro database search [39] showed that, among 32,071 rice full-length cDNA sequences, 21,702 coded for known protein-domain structures. However, the total number of rice full-length cDNA sequences is smaller than that of mouse, so if additional rice full-length sequences are determined, then the frequency of noncoding mRNAs may increase.

The expression and library origins of the bidirectional transcript pairs were checked by using 5'-EST sequences. Two million EST sequences are available for mouse, but only about 124,000 rice 5'-EST sequences produced by the RFLSP and in public databases are currently available. Among the 687 rice bidirectional transcript pairs, 342 (50%) matched at least one EST sequence on both strands, and 300 (44%) matched at least one EST sequence on one strand. These EST sequence matches do not include matches with the 5’- and 3’-EST

Table 1

| Chromosome | Number of sense-antisense transcript pairs | Number of non-antisense transcript pairs | Length of chromosome (Mb) |
|------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1          | 215                                      | 30                                     | 51.4                     |
| 2          | 130                                      | 8                                      | 43.8                     |
| 3          | 162                                      | 52                                     | 47.3                     |
| 4          | 109                                      | 11                                     | 36.6                     |
| 5          | 62                                       | 17                                     | 33.8                     |
| 6          | 63                                       | 24                                     | 35.4                     |
| 7          | 54                                       | 7                                      | 33.1                     |
| 8          | 64                                       | 7                                      | 33.6                     |
| 9          | 45                                       | 8                                      | 27.2                     |
| 10         | 43                                       | 4                                      | 23.7                     |
| 11         | 46                                       | 34                                     | 33.6                     |
| 12         | 112                                      | 59                                     | 31.2                     |
sequences of the pair itself. When more rice EST sequences become available, the number of bidirectional transcript pairs with EST support on both strands may increase. The coexpression of the bidirectional transcript pairs was also studied by using EST sequences produced by the RFLSP. Recently, however, we used single-strand oligo microarrays to analyze the expression of bidirectional transcripts and detected more coexpression of the bidirectional transcript pairs, including intronless bidirectional transcripts, than predicted by the EST support. This result supported the idea that most intronless bidirectional transcripts were really expressed and were not merely contaminants of the genome sequences. Furthermore, because the genome sequences of each rice chromosome are not yet assembled, but consist of several hundred contigs, the exact number of genes or loci on each chromosome and the frequency of rice bidirectional transcript pairs in all rice genes cannot yet be estimated. The total number of rice genes has been roughly estimated by using gene-prediction software as 32,000–55,000 [31-34]. The availability of more rice EST sequences will also improve the detection of genes and loci in the rice genome.

Although far fewer antisense transcripts have been reported from plants compared with mammals and prokaryotes [2], the ability of some transgenes to silence the expression of homologous (chromosomal) loci was first observed in plants [40,41]. In these cases, introduced transgenes did not affect transcription of the target locus, but dramatically decreased the half-life of target RNAs. Such processes have been called post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS), but they were originally called 'co-suppression' in plants, 'RNA interference' in worms and flies, and 'quelling' in fungi. PTGS can be suppressed by several virus-encoded proteins and is closely related to RNA-mediated virus resistance and cross-protection in plants. Therefore, PTGS may represent a natural antiviral defense mechanism, and transgenes might be targeted because they, or their RNA, are perceived as viruses. PTGS may also represent a defense system against transposable elements that acts during plant development. PTGS in plants can be triggered effectively by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), which in plants can be produced in two different ways. The first is the simultaneous expression of sense and antisense sequences (or of an RNA hairpin) corresponding to the desired target gene; the second is the simultaneous expression of a viral RNA replicase with a specific single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) that has been engineered to contain viral replication signals. Then the enzyme Dicer, or a Dicer-like enzyme, processes the dsRNA into small interfering RNAs (siRNA) of about 22 nucleotides each. The siRNAs are incorporated into multicomponent nucleases known as RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs). Each RISC then uses an unwound siRNA as a guide to the target mRNA [42-50].
Antisense transcripts may function through mechanisms such as PTGS. Recent studies on PTGS have revealed another function for dsRNA in plants: it can induce sequence-specific DNA methylation, known as RdDM [48]. Through the study of PTGS, breeders may become able to exploit dsRNA for crop improvement, and PTGS will also be useful in functional genomic studies.

Although PTGS is induced by mRNAs, not by proteins, as many as 86% of rice and 27% of mouse bidirectional transcript pairs are expected to include CDSs in both strands of the pair [21]. Among 20,447 rice transcript units, 18,807 (92%) are expected to include CDSs, and among 33,409 mouse transcript units, 19,494 (58.3%) include CDSs. Although the ratio of mouse noncoding mRNA sequences in all mouse transcripts is much higher than in rice, the numbers of protein-coding sequences are almost the same in rice and mouse: among full-length cDNAs sequenced so far in our projects, 594 pairs of rice and 519 pairs of mouse bidirectional transcripts include potential CDSs in both strands of each pair [21]. These bidirectional transcripts encoding protein sequences may function through mechanisms other than PTGS.

The existence of a large number of plant antisense transcripts is beginning to be revealed, and regulation of genes by antisense transcripts is more widespread than previously thought. The functional analyses of bidirectional transcript pairs presented here should contribute greatly to identifying the mechanisms of gene regulation in plants and to understanding the differences in these mechanisms between plants and animals.

Conclusions
We detected a large number of plant sense-antisense transcript pairs, which will be of key importance in analyses of the mechanisms and functions of plant post-transcriptional gene regulation. Further experimental analysis should reveal the function of these antisense transcripts.

Materials and methods
Search for bidirectional transcript pairs from rice full-length cDNA and mRNA sequences
We used 32,127 O. sativa full-length cDNA sequences and 1,687 O. sativa mRNA sequences (retrieved using the taxonomic ID number for O. sativa (japonica cultivar group) 39947 and including the words 'complete cds' in their definitions) from the GenBank database. We aligned those O. sativa cDNA and mRNA sequences with O. sativa genome sequences from the Institute for Genomic Research (TIGR)
using BLAST [51] and Spidey [52] software. First, the cDNA and mRNA sequences were searched against the rice genome sequences using BLAST. The BLAST searches listed cDNA and mRNA sequences with equal or greater than 90% identity and a length of 50 bp or greater. Then the matched sequences were aligned with the rice genome sequences again using Spidey. From the Spidey results, cDNA and mRNA sequences with equal or greater than 96% identity over 50% or more of their overall lengths were selected as successfully mapped sequences. The criterion for overall length was set loosely (85%) because the rice genome sequences have not yet been assembled as one contig per chromosome. When the same cDNA or mRNA sequence could align with several parts of the rice genome sequence, the position where it aligned with the longest region on the genome sequence was selected as the best-aligned position. Using the same method as was used in searches for mouse sense-antisense transcripts [21], we extracted sense-antisense transcript pairs and non-antisense bidirectional transcript pairs based on overlapping loci of the aligned cDNA and mRNA sequences. Then we grouped the sense-antisense pairs and non-antisense bidirectional transcript pairs into the five categories according to their exon-intron structures.

Search for EST sequences homologous to the bidirectional transcript pairs
To confirm that the bidirectional transcript pairs were derived from natural transcripts, we performed a FASTA search [26] for these bidirectional transcripts against 32,718 5'-EST sequences (retrieved using the taxonomic ID number for O. sativa (japonica cultivar group) 39947) in the GenBank database and 91,425 5'-EST sequences produced by the RFLSP. The EST sequences that matched each bidirectional transcript with equal or greater than 94% identity over 80% or more of the overall length were counted.

Library origins of the bidirectional transcript pairs
To analyze the library origins of the sequences of the bidirectional transcript pairs, we used the libraries of origin of not only the full-length sequences, but also of O. sativa EST sequences highly homologous to the full-length sequences. We searched the sequences against 91,425 5'-EST sequences and 175,642 3'-EST sequences using FASTA. The libraries in which we found 5'- and 3'-EST sequences with 94% or greater identity over 80% or more of the overall length were counted as libraries of origin of the matched sense or antisense sequences. Next, we counted the frequency of the libraries in which both sense and antisense clones were expressed simultaneously as follows. For each library, we counted the number of rice full-length cDNA clones expressed in the library and the number of sense and antisense clones expressed in the library and then calculated the ratio of the number of the sense and antisense clones to the number of rice full-length cDNA clones. We sorted the names of the libraries of origin according to each category of bidirectional transcript pairs by these ratios. The results are listed on our website [27].

Prediction of CDSs from the bidirectional transcript pairs
We used the NCBI SEALS Wimklein program [28], which is based on the longest-ORF method, to predict CDS regions from the sequences of the bidirectional transcript pairs. The optional parameters were set to

`-code = Standard -frames = all -mode = longest_orf -cutoff = 100`

to remove CDSs that coded for fewer than 100 amino acids (300 bp). The bidirectional transcript pairs including CDS regions with more than 100 amino acids were selected as pairs with coding potential.

Sample preparation for microarray analysis
Rice seeds (O. sativa L. cv Nipponbare) were supplied by Masahiro Yano of the National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences (NIAS), Japan. Rice seedlings were grown under hydroponic conditions at 28°C under a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle for 10 days. After germination, the young leaf (YL), root (R), and apical meristem (Ap) were harvested. The panicle (P) and mature leaf (ML) were cultivated in a pot at the Nagaoka University of Technology, Japan. Calluses (Ca) were induced from mature seeds placed on MS+2,4-D medium (10 ml of 0.2 mg/ml 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid in a medium made from 30 g sucrose added to 1,000 ml Murashige and Skoog vitamin mixture (Wako), the pH adjusted to 5.6 and 8 g agarose added) [53]. Seven days after the seeds were put on the medium, some of the primary calluses (Pe) were harvested and the other parts of the primary calluses were transferred onto new medium. Two weeks later the calluses were harvested. Total mRNA was prepared from each sample using a RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and the mRNAs purified by Oligotex-dT30 super mRNA Purification Kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan).

Microarray analysis
We produced amplified cRNAs labeled with cyanine-3 CTP (Cy3) and cyanine-5 CTP (Cy5) from 200-500 ng mRNA using a Fluorescent Linear Amplification Kit (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). We hybridized the fluorescent linear amplified cRNAs to custom-made in situ-synthesized 60-mer oligo microarrays containing 21,938 unique rice full-length transcripts (Agilent). Before hybridization, Cy3- and Cy5-labeled cRNAs were mixed and fragmented to an average size of 100-200 bases by using In situ Hybridization Kit Plus (Agilent) with incubation at 60°C for 30 min. Fragmented cRNAs were added to hybridization buffer, applied to the rice 22 K oligo microarray, and hybridized for 17 h at 60°C. The slides were washed and scanned on an Agilent Technologies G2565BA Microarray Scanner System. Scanned microarray
images were processed with Feature Extraction 6.1.1 software (Agilent). To improve the accuracy of the expression data, we performed the experiment twice, labeling the same RNA templates in two separate reactions and analyzing four datasets per library. We used only data points that could be reproduced more than three times among four datasets per RNA template. Then we removed the results that the software had flagged as being due to corrupted spots from the data.
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