Differential effects of α-catenin on the invasion and radiochemosensitivity of human colorectal cancer cells
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Abstract. Driven by genetic and epigenetic alterations, progression, therapy resistance and metastasis are frequent events in colorectal cancer (CRC). Although often speculated, the function of cell-cell contact for radiochemosensitivity, particularly associated with E-cadherin/catenin complex, warrants further clarification. In this study, we investigated the role of the E-cadherin/catenin complex proteins under more physiological three-dimensional (3D) cell culture conditions in a panel of CRC cell lines. In contrast to floating spheroids and growth in the laminin-rich matrix, collagen type 1 induced the formation of two distinct growth phenotypes, i.e., cell groups and single cells, in 5 out of the 8 CRC cell lines. Further characterization of these subpopulations revealed that, intriguingly, cell-cell contact proteins are important for invasion, but negligible for radiochemosensitivity, proliferation and adhesion. Despite the generation of genomic and transcriptomic data, we were unable to elucidate the mechanisms through which α-catenin affects collagen type 1 invasion. In a retrospective analysis of patients with rectal carcinoma, a low α-catenin expression trended with overall survival, as well as locoregional and distant control. Our results suggest that the E-cadherin/catenin complex proteins forming cell-cell contacts are mainly involved in the invasion, rather than the radiochemosensitivity of 3D grown CRC cells. Further studies are warranted in order to provide a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms controlling cell-cell adhesion in the context of radiochemoresistance.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignancy and fourth most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide (1). Despite effective multi-modal therapeutic options, metastatic CRC remains challenging to treat due to the amount of tumor burden and increasing resistance (1,2). Among a plethora of genetic and epigenetic events eliciting tumor progression, it is well known that the loss of cell-cell contact affects local tumor infiltration and serves as a prerequisite for distant metastasis (3). Although often speculated, the function of cell-cell contacts for radiochemosensitivity warrants clarification as cell-cell contacts mediated by integrins and intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM) (9-14).
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Owing to the difficulty which is encountered in the treatment of patients with late-stage metastatic CRC, in this study, we investigated the growth of a number of widely used CRC cell lines embedded in more physiological laminin-rich extracellular matrix (lrECM) or collagen type 1 (col-I), two abundantly expressed extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins in CRC (15-17). Furthermore, we made use of the α-catenin deletion status of DLD-1 cells to address its impact on radiochemosensitivity and invasion into col-I.

Materials and methods

Antibodies and reagents. Antibodies against β-actin (A5441; Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany), α-catenin (3236S), β-catenin (9587), p-β-catenin S767 (4176S), TGF-β (3709) (all from Cell Signaling Technology, Frankfurt am Main, Germany), E-cadherin (610181), fibronectin (60077) (both from BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany), Zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1; 40-2300; Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA, USA) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (NA934) and goat anti-mouse (NA9310) antibodies were purchased as indicated. lrECM (Matrigel™) and col-I were from BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) and retrieved by treatment with EDTA or collagenase (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The SW620 cells, originating from a lymph node metastasis of the same patient as the SW480 cell line, and the SW837 cells were a kind gift from Dr J. Cinatl (University of Innsbruck, Austria). The SW620 cells were plated on cell culture dishes pre-coated with col-I, and the SW837 cells were a kind gift from Dr J. Cinatl (University of Frankfurt, Germany). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing Glutamax-I supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; PAA, Cölbe, Germany) at 37˚C in humidified atmosphere containing 8.5% CO₂. All experiments were performed with asynchronous, exponentially growing cells.

Three-dimensional (3D) colony formation assay, 5-FU treatment and radiation exposure. 3D colony formation assay was performed as previously described (18,19). For 3D colony formation, the cells were embedded in 0.5 mg/ml lrECM, 1 mg/ml col-I or a 1:1 mixture of both matrices. At 24 h after plating, the cells were treated with 5-FU as indicated or irradiated at room temperature using 2-6 Gy single doses of 200 kV X-rays (Yxlon YTU 320; Yxlon, Copenhagen, Denmark; dose rate, 1.3 Gy/min at 20 mA). After cell line-dependent times (6-14 days) colonies (>50 cells) were counted.

Matrix-switch experiments. The cells were embedded in 3D lrECM or col-I, cultivated for 5 days, and retrieved by treatment with EDTA or collagenase (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) followed by trypsinization. After counting using a microscope (Axiolab 40 C; Carl Zeiss Inc., Jena, Germany), the cells were embedded in the same or different matrices for 6-8 days.

Phenotype isolation. The DLD-1 cells were cultivated on top of 3D col-I for 8 days. Subpopulations exhibiting distinct phenotypes, namely the formation of cell clusters or single cell groups were isolated and further propagated to establish two separate cell lines (cell clusters, DLD-1α-cat; and single cell groups, DLD-1Δα-cat).

siRNA, esiRNA and plasmid transfection. Transfection with siRNA, esiRNA and plasmids was performed with Oligofectamine or Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) as previously described (18). pcDNA3-α-cat (20) was kindly provided by Dr C. Gottardi (Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA). E-cadherin and α-catenin knockdown was performed with siRNA. siRNA E-cadh. #2 (5’-GCAGCUCUAUGAUGUUGAtt-3’; ID no. 146382) was from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA), and siRNA E-cadh. #3 (5’-GAGUGAAUUUGAAUGUUUGtt-3’; ID no. 44988) and siRNA α-cat (5’-GGUUACAAACCUUUGUAACtt-3’; ID no. 10582) were from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Non-specific control siRNA (5’-GCGACCUUAUGAUGUUGUt-3’) was from Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany). The knockdown of upregulated genes in the DLD-1Δα-cat cells was performed with esiRNA (Eupheria Biotech, Dresden, Germany) against various target genes [α-2-macroglobulin (A2M), aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member L1 (ALDH1L1), FERM domain containing 4A (FRMD4A), guanylate binding protein 2 (GBP2), heat shock protein family H (Hsp110) member 1 (HSPI1), kallikrein related peptidase 7 (KLK7), laminin subunit alpha 2 (LAMA2), L3MBTL4, histone methyl-lysine binding protein (L3MBTL4), MTSS1, I-BAR domain containing (MTSS1), nectin cell adhesion molecule 3 (NECTIN3), NIMA related kinase 10 (NEK10),olfactomedin like 3 (OLFML3), oxidation resistance 1 (OXR1), ring finger protein 144B (RFN144B), ribosomal protein S6 kinase A6 (RPS6KA6), SH3 domain binding glutamate rich protein like (SH3BGR1), transmembrane protein 150A (TMEM150A) and very low density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR)]. At 24 h following transfection, the cells were used for colony formation or invasion assays. The efficient knockdown or DNA delivery was confirmed at 48 h after transfection by western blot analysis.

Adhesion assay. For the evaluation of adhesion, the cells were plated on cell culture dishes pre-coated with col-I (1 µg/cm²). After 3 h, non-attached cells were removed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the adherent cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and stained with Coomassie (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) for microscopic evaluation (Axiovert 40 C; Carl Zeiss Inc.).

Proliferation assay. Equal cell numbers were seeded in 3D lrECM or col-I for 96 h. The cells were retrieved by treatment with EDTA or collagenase followed by trypsinization and cell counting using a microscope (Axiovert 40 C; Carl Zeiss Inc.) (19).

Spheroid formation and 3D invasion assay. 3D invasion assay was performed as previously described (19). Briefly, spheroids were produced by seeding 10³ cells into agarose-coated round-bottom 96-well plates for 1-3 days. For 3D invasion, the spheroids were embedded into 3D col-I (1 mg/ml) and invasion was measured after 24 and 48 h using an Axiovert 200 microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc.).
**Total protein extracts and western blot analysis.** Cells grown under 2D or 3D conditions for 24 to 96 h were lysed using modified RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1% Nonidet-P40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), 1 mM Na3VO4 and 2 mM NaF]. Cell homogenization was performed with a 29-gauge needle and following centrifugation for 20 min at 13,000 x g the samples were stored at -80°C. SDS-PAGE, western blot analysis and the detection of specific proteins were performed as previously described (18,19). Briefly, proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare). After blocking with 5% non-fat dried milk powder (AppliChem) in PBS (Sigma-Aldrich) and subsequent incubation with primary and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (overnight at 4°C and 1.5 h at room temperature, respectively), the proteins of interest were detected with Amersham ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare). X-ray films were scanned (Epson Perfection 4490 Photo; Epson, Tokyo, Japan) and used for densitometric analysis.

**Microarray-based genome and transcriptome analysis.** DNA was isolated from DLD-1 subpopulations grown under 3D culture conditions according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Nucleospin Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Genomic analysis was performed using Affymetrix CytoScan HD Arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Affymetrix Chromosome Analysis Suite (ChAS) software was used for data processing and copy number aberration detection using the manufacturer's standard settings and array annotation database build 32.3. Gene expression profiles were measured as previously described (21). Total RNA was isolated from DLD-1 subpopulations grown under 3D culture conditions using the Nucleospin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel) and used for transcriptome analysis using Affymetrix Human Transcriptome Arrays 2.0 and Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Arrays (Affymetrix). Procedures for cDNA synthesis, labeling, hybridization, washing and staining were carried out per the manufacturer's (Affymetrix) instructions and recommendations using the manufacturer's dedicated Reagent kits. Affymetrix Gene Expression Console (v.1.1) software was used for data processing using standard settings (quantile normalization, RMA correction). GenePattern software (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA) was used to reduce (collapse) multiple gene occurrences on the arrays via gene symbol to one signal per gene and sample. Microarray data are accessible on NCBI GEO under series accession number GSEI09047. Differential gene expression was determined within each array platform using a t-test with unequal variance, a P-value threshold of 0.1 and a signal-log-ratio of 0.65. esiRNA transfection experiments were carried out to analyze the functional impact of some major candidates. Those candidates were selected through application of a more stringent P-value threshold of 0.05 observed in both array types and a signal-log-ratio of 0.65 in at least one type.

**Patient characteristics, treatment and biopsy samples.** Following an institutional review board approval [Ethics Committee of the University of Erlangen (approval no. 3085)] and after obtaining written informed consent, a total of 33 patients with locally advanced (UICC stage II/III) rectal adenocarcinoma were included in this study. The median age was 61.8 years with a range of 40 to 74 years. Within a prospective protocol (XELOX-RT) (22), all patients received pre-operative radiotherapy with 1.8 Gy single doses to a total dose of 50.4 Gy. Capecitabine was administered concurrently at 825 mg/m² twice daily on days 1 to 14 and 22 to 35, and oxaliplatin 50 mg/m² on days 1, 8, 22 and 29. Paraffin-embedded tissue specimens were obtained from pretherapeutic rectal biopsies routinely used for diagnostic purpose. Additionally, biopsies from pre-treated tumors were collected from 15 consecutive patients with informed consent for additional translational research on biopsy samples. Following the removal of portions needed for pathological evaluation, biopsies were immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored until the extraction of mRNA.

**Follow-up and criteria for relapse.** Patients were re-evaluated at 3-month intervals for 2 years and every 6 months thereafter, for a total of 5 years. Proctoscopy (if the rectum was in place) was performed at 6-month intervals in the first year, and once a year thereafter. A follow-up schedule consisted of abdominal ultrasound (every 6 months for 2 years, then once per year for 3 years), computerized tomography of the abdomen and pelvis (3 months after completion of adjuvant treatment), and chest X-rays (once a year for the first 3 years). Histological confirmation of locoregional and distant relapse was encouraged.

**Microarray expression analysis in patient biopsies.** A total of 10 µg total RNA were used to prepare biotinylated cRNAs. The hybridization of 15 µg labeled cRNA was performed on HG-U133A Affymetrix microarrays (Affymetrix) as previously described (23). All arrays were globally scaled to a target value of 1,000, and E-cadherin and α-catenin mRNA values (Affymetrix Average Difference Units) were evaluated using Microarray Suite 5.0 software.

**Immunohistochemical detection of E-cadherin, α-catenin and scoring.** Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from 33 patients were subjected to a staining procedure with DAKO EnVision™ FLEX peroxidase blocking reagent (K8000; Dako, Hamburg, Germany) and antigen retrieval via pre-treatment with citrate buffer pH 6.0 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 20 min. The slides were then incubated with primary antibodies to either E-cadherin (1:400, ab40772) or α-catenin (1:100, ab51032) (both from Abcam) for 120 min at room temperature. Following this, dextran polymer conjugated horseradish peroxidase and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen was used for visualization and hematoxylin solution (Gill 3; Sigma-Aldrich, Munich,
Germany) for counterstaining. Negative control slides in the absence of primary antibodies were included for each staining. Marker expression was evaluated semi-quantitatively by two independent investigators (F.R. and S.H.) without knowledge of the clinical characteristics. The percentage of positive tumor cells was assigned to one of the following categories: 0 (<5%), 1 (5-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%) and 4 (>75%). The intensity of immunostaining was scored as follows: 1+ (weak), 2+ (moderate) and 3+ (intense) and the percentages of positive tumor cells and staining intensity were then multiplied to produce an individual weighted score of 0-12.

**Data analysis and statistics.** Densitometric analysis of the results of western blot analysis was performed using ImageJ software (http://www.nih.gov). Unless indicated otherwise, the results are shown as the means ± SD of 3 independent experiments. P-values are based on an unpaired, two-sided Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test using Microsoft Excel 2010 or Prism 6 (GraphPad Software) and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Due to the limited number of patients and to facilitate further statistical analysis, the weighted histochemical scores were arbitrarily dichotomized: A score of ≤6 was classified as ‘low E-cadherin and low α-catenin expression’, and a score of >6 was classified as ‘high E-cadherin and high α-catenin expression’. Further endpoints of this study were actuarial overall survival rates as calculated using the method of Kaplan-Meier, and freedom from local relapse and distant disease. The level of significance was 0.05 (two-sided) in all statistical testing. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS version 21 software.

**Results**

**CRC cell lines exhibit distinct morphologies in 3D culture.** Since the growth of cancer cells in spheroids and in a 3D matrix is well known to resemble physiological growth conditions (24,25), we examined the capability of a panel of CRC cell lines to grow as free-floating multicellular spheroids (Fig. 1). Whereas the DLD-1, HCT-15 and SW48 cells CRC cell lines to grow as 3D culture. With the exception of the SW837 cells, all CRC cell lines formed colonies in col-I. Of note, the DLD-1, HCT-15, HCT-116, SW480 and SW620 cells exhibited two distinct morphological phenotypes in col-I, namely cell clusters and groups of single cells (Fig. 1C and D).

α-catenin affects cell adhesion and invasion but not radio-chemosensitivity. In line with other studies (26,28,29), we isolated, propagated and characterized the two distinct DLD-1 subpopulations. The DLD-1 subpopulations grew either as compact cell clusters or as groups of single cells in 3D col-I (Fig. 2A). Our corroborative data further revealed the absence of α-catenin in DLD-1 single cells in contrast to DLD-1 cell clusters (Fig. 2B). Accordingly, the subpopulations were termed as DLD-1Δα-catenin (epithelioid or forming cell clusters in col-I) and DLD-1Δα-catenin (round or forming single cell groups in col-I). Despite a similar protein expression of E-cadherin and β-catenin in the two subpopulations (Fig. 2B), the staining of these proteins revealed an altered subcellular localization, i.e., physiological E-cadherin and β-catenin localization at the cell membrane in the DLD-1 Δα-catenin cells as opposed to cytosolic, peri-nuclear E-cadherin and β-catenin in the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells (Fig. 2C).

Connecting α-catenin to the adhesion, invasion and radiochemosensitivity of CRC cells, we then investigated DLD-1 subpopulation behavior in and on lrECM and col-I. Despite clear morphological differences, the DLD-1 populations exhibited a similar proliferation in 3D lrECM and col-I (Fig. 2D). Although not significantly different, the adhesion to col-I was less in the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells than in the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells (Fig. 2E). By testing for 3D col-I invasion, we addressed the spheroid formation characteristics and invasion simultaneously. Whereas the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells formed large disk-like spheroids, the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells grew in small, round spheroids (Fig. 2F). Due to their improper cell-cell contacts, it was not surprising that the invasion distance covered by the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells was 5-fold greater than that of the DLD-1α-catenin cells (Fig. 2G). Moreover, basal clonogenic survival, sensitivity to radiation and treatment with 5-FU were found to be similar between α-catenin wild-type and deleted cell populations (Fig. 3A-C). In agreement, α-catenin silencing did not alter basal and radiation survival (Fig. 3D-F). These results clearly indicate that α-catenin is pivotal for invasion more than for adhesion, but is clearly not pivotal for the radiochemosensitivity of DLD-1 CRC cells.

To test for the matrix dependence of the formation of clusters or single cell groups, the cells were embedded into lrECM, a matrix consisting of various ECM proteins such as laminins and collagens. Of note, no single cell groups grew in lrECM, leading us to perform crossover experiments, in which the cells from lrECM were re-embedded into col-I and vice versa (Fig. 1E). While embedding the cells from either lrECM or col-I into col-I led to 20-25% single cell groups, re-embedding into lrECM induced the disappearance of single cells (Fig. 1E). We also depleted E-cadherin and found unaffected cell cluster/single cell group distributions (Fig. 1F and G). Of note, testing for markers of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (27) process, such as fibronectin, TGF-β and ZO-1 indicated no EMT (data not shown). These findings indicate that the formation of cell clusters seems to be independent from E-cadherin, α-catenin and β-catenin, and provide evidence as to the mechanisms through which col-I drives a phenotypical, EMT-unrelated change in some CRC cell lines. However, further experiments are warranted to fully elucidate the underlying mechanisms.

α-catenin affects cell adhesion and invasion but not radio-chemosensitivity. In line with other studies (26,28,29), we isolated, propagated and characterized the two distinct DLD-1 subpopulations. The DLD-1 subpopulations grew either as compact cell clusters or as groups of single cells in 3D col-I (Fig. 2A). Our corroborative data further revealed the absence of α-catenin in DLD-1 single cells in contrast to DLD-1 cell clusters (Fig. 2B). Accordingly, the subpopulations were termed as DLD-1Δα-catenin (epithelioid or forming cell clusters in col-I) and DLD-1Δα-catenin (round or forming single cell groups in col-I). Despite a similar protein expression of E-cadherin and β-catenin in the two subpopulations (Fig. 2B), the staining of these proteins revealed an altered subcellular localization, i.e., physiological E-cadherin and β-catenin localization at the cell membrane in the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells as opposed to cytosolic, peri-nuclear E-cadherin and β-catenin in the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells (Fig. 2C).

Connecting α-catenin to the adhesion, invasion and radiochemosensitivity of CRC cells, we then investigated DLD-1 subpopulation behavior in and on lrECM and col-I. Despite clear morphological differences, the DLD-1 populations exhibited a similar proliferation in 3D lrECM and col-I (Fig. 2D). Although not significantly different, the adhesion to col-I was less in the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells than in the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells (Fig. 2E). By testing for 3D col-I invasion, we addressed the spheroid formation characteristics and invasion simultaneously. Whereas the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells formed large disk-like spheroids, the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells grew in small, round spheroids (Fig. 2F). Due to their improper cell-cell contacts, it was not surprising that the invasion distance covered by the DLD-1Δα-catenin cells was 5-fold greater than that of the DLD-1α-catenin cells (Fig. 2G). Moreover, basal clonogenic survival, sensitivity to radiation and treatment with 5-FU were found to be similar between α-catenin wild-type and deleted cell populations (Fig. 3A-C). In agreement, α-catenin silencing did not alter basal and radiation survival (Fig. 3D-F). These results clearly indicate that α-catenin is pivotal for invasion more than for adhesion, but is clearly not pivotal for the radiochemosensitivity of DLD-1 CRC cells.
DNA copy number changes and differential gene expression in DLD-1 subpopulations. In addition to what is already known about DLD-1 subpopulations (26,28-31), we determined DNA copy number changes and performed transcriptome and genome analysis. We found the loss of the CTNNA1 gene located on chromosome 5 in the DLD-1 Δα-cat cells as opposed to the DLD-1 α-cat cells. The FCRL6, SLAMF8, C1orf204, VSIG8, CCDC19 genes on chromosome 1, as well as the PARD3B gene on chromosome 2 revealed increased DNA copy numbers in the DLD-1 Δα-cat cells compared with the DLD-1 α-cat cells. By contrast, FRMD5, MFAP1, PIN4P1, SERF2-C15orf63, WDR76 and C15orf63 on chromosome 15, as well as CADPS2...
Comparing the DLD-1 subpopulations, we identified a number of differentially expressed genes (Fig. 4B). The genomic alterations described above are also observed at the transcription level, e.g., CTNNA1 was downregulated, while FRMD5 was upregulated in the DLD-1Δα-cat cells. Among the upregulated genes, we identified S100A4 as a β-catenin target gene (Fig. 4B). Among the downregulated genes, we found the β-catenin target genes, LGR5 and ABCB1 (Fig. 4B).

Targeting of differentially expressed genes fails to modify the invasive phenotype of DLD-1Δα-cat cells. Unexpectedly, the knockdown or reconstitution of α-catenin in the DLD-1α-cat or DLD-1Δα-cat cells, respectively, only marginally affected the spheroid size, but had no effect on invasion (Fig. 5A-D). Based on the identified transcriptomic alterations in the DLD-1Δα-cat cells compared with the DLD-1α-cat cells, we performed the knockdown of a subset of elevated genes to discover essential drivers of the DLD-1Δα-cat invasive phenotype. As CTNNA1 was the only gene deleted in both alleles, the changes in the transcriptome may at least be partially influenced by this genetic phenotype. Of note, the targeting of these genes had no effect on either spheroid size (Fig. 5E) or on the invasive capacity of the DLD-1Δα-cat cells (Fig. 5F). Thus, our data suggest no critical impact of the overexpressed genes on DLD-1Δα-cat cell invasion.

E-cadherin and α-catenin expression in rectal carcinoma. Despite our observations, which lack a clear connection between CRC cell behavior and therapy responsiveness, we then stained rectal cancer biopsies for E-cadherin and α-catenin, as neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy comprises part of the standard therapy for advanced stages of rectal cancer (Fig. 6A). Astonishingly, E-cadherin and α-catenin inversely correlated with the clinical endpoints, overall survival (Fig. 6B), locoregional control (Fig. 6C) and distant control (Fig. 6D). Assuming a cooperative and tumor progression- and spreading-impairing function of E-cadherin and α-catenin (8), the association between low α-catenin expression and low survival seems more logical. Thus, our data indicated that a low α-catenin expression trended with a lower overall survival and lower locoregional control, and significantly correlated with a lower distant control. By contrast, high E-cadherin expression levels significantly correlated with all three measured endpoints, i.e., overall survival, locoregional and distant control (Fig. 6B-D).

Discussion

Novel therapies for late-stage, metastatic CRC are urgently required. As the mechanisms of the metastatic process require further elucidation, we, as well as others have made use of distinct subpopulations appearing in CRC cell lines when grown 2D as well as in matrix (26,28,29). Due to their deletions in cell-cell
contact molecules, these cell lines are valuable tools which may be used to investigate the associated molecular mechanisms. In this study, we employed CRC cell lines, including DLD-1, which present an $\alpha$-catenin null phenotype. In our study addressing the radiochemosensitivity of 3D grown CRC cell populations and invasion, the following findings were obtained: i) Differential, col-I-induced 3D growth phenotypes in the CRC cell line panel; ii) a significant distinction in the invasion, but not the adhesion, proliferation and radiochemosensitivity of $DLD-1^{\alpha\text{-cat}}$ compared to the $DLD-1^{\Delta\alpha\text{-cat}}$ cells; iii) an ineffectiveness to impair col-I invasion by the targeting of genes highly overexpressed in $DLD-1^{\Delta\alpha\text{-cat}}$ cells; and iv) low levels of $\alpha$-catenin correlating with a worse prognosis following the chemoradiation of patients suffering from rectal carcinomas.

Alterations of transmembrane and cytosolic compounds critical for cell-cell contact assembly are frequent events in cancer (32,33). Numerous studies have demonstrated that cell-cell contact molecules, such as $\alpha$-catenin and E-cadherin are downregulated in CRC (34,35), cervical carcinoma (36), oral carcinoma (37), as well as other types of cancer. Similar to other cancer types, ECM proteins, such as laminin and col-I are overexpressed in CRC and often dominate a later, more aggressive and metastatic stage of the disease (17,38). Hence, cell-cell contact and ECM composition are important characteristics of the inter- and intra-tumor heterogeneity to consider for therapy optimization (39,40).

For our efforts to better understand CRC biology with particular emphasis on cell-cell-contact, we selected the presented CRC cell line panel with, at least partially, known morphological distinctions in 3D and known differences in the expression of E-cadherin/catenin complex proteins (26,41-44). Despite that fact that the DLD-1 and HCT-15 cells have been found to have the same genetic background (45), we intentionally examined these two cell lines for further proof in our study. As its original tumor, we also found two phenotypically distinct subpopulations of DLD-1 cells (26,28-31), which are epithelioid/cell cluster-forming/$\alpha$-catenin-positive ($DLD-1^{\alpha\text{-cat}}$) versus round/single cell group-forming/$\alpha$-catenin-negative ($DLD-1^{\Delta\alpha\text{-cat}}$). It should be noted that EMT seemed not to occur according to our expression analysis of key EMT markers, such as fibronectin and TGF-β. Instead, the subcellular localization of E-cadherin changed from a membrane-associated to a peri-nuclear-associated one in the $\alpha$-catenin deficient subpopulation, while the E-cadherin and $\beta$-catenin protein levels were unaffected (29,46). The loss of membranous E-cadherin and $\beta$-catenin is in agreement with cell-cell adhesion dysfunction observed in $DLD-1^{\Delta\alpha\text{-cat}}$ cells and could explain, at least in part, why the $DLD-1^{\Delta\alpha\text{-cat}}$ cells present a round morphology. For the transcriptional co-activator $\beta$-catenin, we observed, in contrast to previous studies (20,30), no nuclear localization of $\beta$-catenin in either $DLD-1^{\alpha\text{-cat}}$ or $DLD-1^{\Delta\alpha\text{-cat}}$ cells. Causative may be our 3D matrix-based cell system, which differs from the work of others.

To provide even more genetic and transcriptional information, our genome and transcriptome analysis revealed several
Figure 4. DLD-1 subpopulations cultured in three-dimensional (3D) col-I matrix display paired copy number abbreviations with altered gene expression. (A) Circos plot of copy number variations and transcriptome expression of DLD-1 subpopulations cultured in col-I. Inner circle shows gene expression levels of DLD-1α-cat cells (green) and DLD-1Δα-cat cells (yellow). Outer circle shows DNA copy number changes (gain in red, loss in blue). Outer and inner bands represent DLD-1α-cat and DLD-1Δα-cat cells, respectively. Inset shows a magnification of chromosome 5. (B) Heatmap and clustering analysis of differentially expressed genes in DLD-1 subpopulations grown in col-I. Experiments were performed in triplicate using two independent array types.
differentially expressed genes in DLD-1Δα-cat versus the DLD-1α-cat cells, including the β-catenin target genes, S100A4, LGR5 and ABCB1 (48). Using bioinformatics analysis (such as cytoscape), no α-catenin-dependent interactions between proteins of the E-cadherin/β-catenin complex and the differentially expressed genes were found (data not shown).

Based on these clear genetic and transcriptional differences in the two DLD-1 cell populations, we tested for various endpoints. 3D matrix-based cultures of the DLD-1α-cat and DLD-1Δα-cat cells revealed similarities in adhesion, the proliferation rate, basal clonogenic survival and survival after single-dose X-ray irradiation or treatment with the chemotherapeutic agent, 5-FU. These observations are in contrast to reports showing the differential effects of irradiation and 5-FU on DLD-1 subpopulations (28,49). Responsible, again, seem to be the well-known discrepancies between conventional 2D monolayer and more physiological 3D matrix-based cell cultures (24,50,51). With regards to clinical relevance, it is important to point out that neither our, nor a previous study (28) analyzed the effects of dose fractionation on the survival of these two subpopulations. Thus, this gap needs to be closed in future experiments. Of note, and in line with α-catenin silencing, the knockdown of E-cadherin failed to modify radiation survival in several other tested cell lines (A431, UT-SCC15, DLD-1, HCT-15 and HT-29) (52) (data not shown).

In addition to the above-mentioned endpoints, we measured 3D invasion into col-I. In agreement with the role of α-catenin in invasion (26), the invasive capability of the DLD-1Δα-cat cells exceeded the one of DLD-1α-cat cells by ~5-fold. Intriguing to us was that we were unable to i) induce a clear switch in the morphological and invasive phenotype of the DLD-1Δα-cat cells by α-catenin reconstitution; and ii) link the overexpressed genes detected in the DLD-1Δα-cat cells through their knockdown

Figure 5. Effect of α-catenin and upregulated genes in DLD-1Δα-cat cells on spheroid morphology and invasion. (A) Representative western blots of siRNA-mediated α-catenin knockdown in DLD-1α-cat cells or reconstitution of α-catenin in DLD-1Δα-cat cells. (B) Representative phase-contrast images, (C) analysis of spheroid size and (D) invasion in col-I after 48 h after α-catenin modulation. Experiments were performed in triplicate and the results represent the means ± SD (P<0.05; n.s., not significant). Effect of esiRNA-mediated knockdown of upregulated genes in DLD-1α-cat cells on (E) spheroid size and (F) invasion in col-I after 48 h. Results represent the means ± SD (n=2; n.s., not significant; n.a., not applicable due to spheroid instability).
functionally to morphology, spheroid formation and invasion. Vice versa, also the knockdown of α-catenin in the DLD-1Δα-cat cells failed to result in a clear switch to the invasive phenotype as observed in DLD-1Δα-cat cells. Causative may be the incomplete knockdown mediated by siRNA in contrast to the complete genetic deletion in DLD-1Δα-cat cells. Mechanistically, α-catenin dysfunction is likely to represent only one of the multiple facets in the interplay between cadherins, catenins and a plethora of cytoplasmic effectors like tyrosine kinases, protein tyrosine phosphatases and cytoskeletal regulators functioning in filament dynamics and cell polarity (53-55).

Another data set that requires further investigation came from our immunohistochemical analysis of α-catenin and E-cadherin in patients with rectal cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. In agreement with a low overall survival and low locoregional control, α-catenin expression inversely correlated with the prognosis of patients with rectal cancer. (A) Examples of rectal cancer biopsies with high and low immunohistochemical detection of E-cadherin and α-catenin. Original magnification, x400; scale bar, 50 µm. (B) Cumulative incidence of overall survival, (C) locoregional failure and (D) distant failure according to a low E-cadherin and α-catenin (individual WS ≤6) expression vs. a high E-cadherin and α-catenin (WS >6) expression in pretreatment biopsies of 33 patients with rectal carcinoma treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.
was low and correlated with these endpoints with a positive trend, while it significantly correlated with a lower distant control. E-cadherin expression, by contrast, was high and significantly correlated with these three clinical endpoints in an inverse manner relative to α-catenin.

In conclusion, our data indicate that α-catenin is partially involved in CRC cell invasion via yet to be determined mechanisms. Although one might anticipate a multiplicity of effects by adhesive dysfunctions, it is astonishing to detect that, if at all, only very minor modifications can be found in biologically and clinically relevant endpoints such as proliferation and therapy sensitivity. Owing to fundamental processes orchestrated in both cancer and normal cells through cell-cell adhesion, it remains challenging to identify novel potential cancer targets in cell-cell contacts. Further studies are warranted to better understand the molecular circuitry how cadherins and catenins promote tumorigenesis and resistance.
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