Zic-associated holoprosencephaly: zebrafish Zic1 controls midline formation and forebrain patterning by regulating Nodal, Hedgehog, and retinoic acid signaling
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Holoprosencephaly (HPE) is the most frequently observed human embryonic forebrain defect. Recent evidence indicates that the two major forms of HPE, classic HPE and midline interhemispheric (MIH) HPE, are elicited by two different mechanisms. The only gene known to be associated with both forms of HPE is Zic2. We used the zebrafish Danio rerio as a model system to study Zic knockdown during midline formation by looking at the close homolog Zic1, which is expressed in an overlapping fashion with Zic2. Zic1 knockdown in zebrafish leads to a strong midline defect including partial cyclopia due to attenuated Nodal and Hedgehog signaling in the anterior ventral diencephalon. Strikingly, we were able to show that Zic1 is also required for maintaining early forebrain expression of the retinoic acid (RA)-degrading enzyme cyp26a1. Zic1 LOF leads to increased RA levels in the forebrain, subsequent ventralization of the optic vesicle and down-regulation of genes involved in dorsal BMP signaling. Repression of BMP signaling in dorsal forebrain has been implicated in causing MIH HPE. This work provides a mechanistical explanation at the molecular level of why Zic factors are associated with both major forms of HPE.
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Holoprosencephaly (HPE) is the most common forebrain malformation during human embryonic development, with an estimated incidence of one in 5000–10,000 live births [Fernandes and Hébert 2008]. In induced abortions, the rate is much higher, at one in 250 [Matsunaga and Shiota 1977]. HPE is characterized by an incomplete separation of the bilateral hemispheres of the telencephalon due to incomplete formation of midline structures [for review, see Cohen 2006; Fernandes and Hébert 2008]. In severe cases of HPE, separation of the eye field fails, resulting in cyclopia.

In classic HPE, components of the TGFβ/Nodal and Hedgehog pathway were found to be mutated most frequently. Activity of these pathways in axial mesoderm and anterior ventral neuroectoderm is crucial for the formation of ventral neural midline tissue and bilateral splitting of the early eye field [for review, see Bertrand and Dahmame 2006]. TGFβ/Nodal signaling acts upstream of the Sonic hedgehog [Shh] pathway during midline formation, as has been demonstrated in zebrafish and mouse [Lowe et al. 2001; Rohr et al. 2001]. A second class of HPE is midline interhemispheric (MIH) HPE, in which development of ventral forebrain can be normal but development of the dorsal roofplate of the forebrain is impaired, leading to a milder form of HPE [Fernandes and Hébert 2008]. BMP signaling has been shown to play an important role during dorsal midline development [Fernandes et al. 2007].

The only gene known to be associated with classic HPE as well as MIH HPE is zic2 [Brown et al. 1998; Nagai et al. 2000; Brown et al. 2001]. A recent study in mice, however, suggests that Zic2 might be required during mid-gastrulation in the organizer region as an arrest in the development of the prechordal plate can be observed in Zic2Ku/Ku mutants [Warr et al. 2008]. This is before Hedgehog signaling begins and suggests that Zic2 may function upstream of Hedgehog. In Xenopus, embryos depleted of maternal Zic2 do not show a midline defect,
although injection of a truncated Zic2 [tZic2] construct in such embryos resulted in cyclopic embryos, indicating that tZic2 may interfere with zygotic Zic2 or other Zic proteins during later stages of development [Houston and Wylie 2005].

Loss of function [LOF] of zebrafish Zic2a, the closest homolog of mammalian Zic2, does not seem to interfere with midline development. Expression of Shh target genes like ptc1, gli1, and nkh2.2a are unaffected, suggesting that Zic2a and Hedgehog signaling act in parallel during zebrafish forebrain development [Sanek and Grinblat 2008]. Although there is the possibility that Zic2a plays a different role from that of its mammalian counterpart Zic2, it is also possible that this redundancy masks the function of Zic2a during zebrafish midline development. This possibility needs to be considered seriously as expression of zic genes is highly overlapping during gastrulation and neurulation in zebrafish and because double knockout of zic1/zic3 or Zic2/Zic3 in mice unmasks further roles for Zic factors, which are not evident from single knockouts [Inoue et al. 2007a,b]. For example, double knockout of zic1 and zic3 revealed a role for zic1 during medial forebrain development [Inoue et al. 2007a]. In zebrafish, the function of Zic1 has so far only been addressed in the dorsal hindbrain [Elsen et al. 2008], yet zic1 shows further overlapping expression with zic2a in anterior neural tissue [Grinblat and Sive 2001]. We, therefore, wished to know whether the combined LOF of Zic2a and closely related Zic1 [Grinblat et al. 1998, Rohr et al. 1999] would result in a midline phenotype.

The work reported here starts by showing that the combined Zic1 and Zic2a LOF causes forebrain midline defects in zebrafish embryos. Further experiments reveal that knockdown of Zic1 by itself causes a strong midline phenotype including partial cyclopia. As zic1 expression starts during late midgastrulation in anterior neural tissue [Grinblat and Sive 2001], we therefore, wished to know whether the combined LOF of Zic2a and closely related Zic1 [Grinblat et al. 1998, Rohr et al. 1999] would result in a midline phenotype.

Results

Loss of Zic1 function causes forebrain midline defects

Mutation of zic2 causes HPE in humans and in the mouse model. LOF of the zebrafish homolog Zic2a does not lead to occurrence of a forebrain midline phenotype, possibly because other Zic factors act redundantly [Sanek and Grinblat 2008]. An interesting candidate gene is zic1. Zic1 expression can be detected in the prospective forebrain region during gastrulation from 70% epiboly onward and stays robust in the prospective ventral forebrain until the five- to six-somite stage [Supplemental Fig. S1; Grinblat et al. 1998; Rohr et al. 1999; Varga et al. 1999]. To test if zebrafish Zic2a and Zic1 act redundantly, we knocked down expression of both genes. As the previously published Zic1 translation-blocking morpholino [Elsen et al. 2008] caused a delay in gastrulation at the effective dose [D Maurus and WA Harris, unpubl.], we designed a new Zic1 splice-blocking morpholino [Z1MO] (Supplemental Fig. S3; see the Materials and Methods) that does not affect gastrulation movements. Identification of midline defects was in the first instance based on morphology of optic stalk and vesicle, as formation of optic tissue is a well-studied readout for the early detection of defective midline formation. Zebrafish embryos were examined after 72 h post-fertilization [hpf] when formation of eyes and retinal pigmented epithelium [RPE] allows easy examination of embryos for eye defects and defective midline development. Combined injection of morpholino oligonucleotides against zic2a [Z2aMO, 5 ng] and zic1 [Z1MO, 2 ng] strikingly caused defective development of midline structures, whereas separate injections of both morpholinos at respective concentrations caused them only in the case of Z1MO minor midline defects at a low frequency (Supplemental Fig. S2).

As injection of 2 ng of Z1MO elicited midline defects, we tested if higher concentrations of Z1MO might increase the severity and frequency of such defects. Indeed, embryos injected with 3–4 ng of Z1MO display a range of midline phenotypes [Fig. 1]. In most severely affected embryos, the RPE of both eyes is fused in the midline, leading to partial cyclopia [Fig. 1A,G]. This fusion was observed only dorsally, not ventrally, and a complete fusion of both eyes [full cyclopia] was not observed. Less severely affected embryos frequently display an expansion of RPE into the optic stalk [Fig. 1B]. Weakly affected embryos showed pronounced [Fig. 1C,G] or less pronounced coloboma [Fig. 1D,G], an incomplete closure of the choroid fissure of the eye. Coloboma and conversion of optic stalk tissue into retinal tissue have been reported as well in mice and zebrafish devoid of Vax1 and Vax2 [Takeuchi et al. 2003; Mui et al. 2005], pointing toward a misregulation of ventral specification of the optic vesicle in Zic1 morphants during early stages of development.

To confirm specificity of the phenotype, we tried to rescue the Z1MO-elicited phenotype by coinjection of zic1 mRNA. Indeed, embryos coinjected with zic1 mRNA display a reduction in occurrence of midline
defects. The frequency of severe midline defects is massively reduced (Fig. 1F,G). We therefore conclude the midline phenotype elicited by Z1MO (3–4 ng) to be specific. As knockdown of Zic1 by itself caused a significant midline defect, we confined our further experiments on the role of zic genes during midline development to the study of Zic1 function.

Analysis of Z1MO-injected embryos earlier reveals that from the eight- to nine-somite stage on Zic1 LOF causes cell death in the optic vesicle and prospective diencephalon (Supplemental Fig. S4A). To exclude the possibility of nonspecific necrosis elicited by Z1MO injection, we rescued cell death by coinjection of zic1 mRNA (Supplemental Fig. S4D). As rescue proved cell death to be specifically caused by Zic1 LOF, we assumed cell death to be caused by apoptosis. To confirm this, we stained Z1MO-injected embryos with an antibody against activated caspase-3 (Negron and Lockshin 2004). Stained domains match domains in which cell death occurs (Supplemental Fig. S4F). As apoptosis could contribute to the observed midline phenotype, we rescued apoptosis through coinjection of bcl2 mRNA (Supplemental Fig. S4H,J), a factor antagonizing apoptosis (Langenau et al. 2005), and analyzed the resulting phenotype after 3 d. Analysis indicates that rescue of apoptosis does not lead to rescue of midline defects (Supplemental Fig. S4I). The observed midline defect is therefore not caused by apoptosis; rather, the apoptosis may be caused by an earlier event leading to occurrence of the midline defect around the tailbud/one-somite stage (see below).

We wondered if formation of the telencephalic midline, which plays an important role in the separation of the two hemispheres, is also disturbed in Zic1 morphants. At 26 hpf, the telencephalon of Zic1 morphants displays severely disorganized tissue (Supplemental Fig. S5). Marker analyses indicate that fgf8 expression is strongly up-regulated and expressed ectopically in the telencephalon (Supplemental Fig. S5A,B,9), and expression of other early telencephalic marker genes emx1, fezl, and er81 are partially affected (Supplemental Fig. S5C–H).

Zic1 acts upstream of Sonic hedgehog target genes

We examined the expression of marker genes for ventral specification of the forebrain and optic primordium by whole-mount in situ hybridization (WMISH) to see if occurrence of the phenotype is preceded by a change in marker gene expression.

Vax1 and Vax2 are expressed in overlapping domains encompassing the optic stalk, preoptic area, and ventral retina. Loss of Vax1 and Vax2 function in mice and zebrafish causes coloboma (Take-uchi et al. 2003; Mui et al. 2005), similar to the phenotype observed in weakly affected Zic1 morphants. In Zic1 morphants, the expression of both genes is strongly reduced. Vax1 expression is strongly reduced in all expression domains (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table S1), whereas vax2 expression is reduced more specifically in the ventral retina, the most distal expression domain (Fig. 2C). Reduction of these marker genes is reminiscent of studies in which midline signals like Hedgehog were compromised (Take-uchi et al. 2003; Lupo et al. 2005). As a midline phenotype
can be observed as well, we investigated the expression of marker genes known to be downstream from Hedgehog signaling. Patched1 (ptc1) is expressed in the ventral neuroectoderm of the brain (Concordet et al. 1996) and is known to be a target gene of Sonic hedgehog (Lewis et al. 1999). Z1MO-injected embryos show a clear reduction of ptc1 expression [Fig. 2E]. Nkx2.1b, which is expressed at early somitogenesis stages in the prospective hypothalamus (Rohr et al. 2001), shows a comparable reduction of expression [Fig. 2G]. Nkx2.1b is also known to act downstream from the Hedgehog pathway (Rohr et al. 2001).

Zic1 interferes with Shh signaling by controlling shh transcription

The results above suggest that the expression of sonic hedgehog (shh) itself might be affected in Z1MO embryos. Indeed, injection of Z1MO into zebrafish embryos results in highly restricted loss of shh expression [Fig. 3; Supplemental Table S1]. Shh expression in the anterior ventral diencephalon is absent from this domain from the onset of endogenous shh expression at the one- to two-somite stage, showing that shh expression in the anterior ventral diencephalon of Zic1 morphants is not initiated at all. Shh expression is undisturbed in domains showing no zic1 expression, such as the underlying prechordal mesoderm, the notochord, and the floor plate of the spinal cord. The hedgehog homolog twhh shows a comparable reduction in expression in the ventral diencephalon [Fig. 3E].

If Zic1 controls the expression of shh, it should be possible to rescue the expression of Shh target genes like ptc1 in Zic1 morphants by activating the Hedgehog pathway. Coinjection of Z1MO with shh mRNA resulted in a massive expansion of ptc1 expression in neural tissue, suggesting that shh acts downstream from Zic1 [Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S6A]. Phenotypic rescue of Zic1 morphants could not be investigated, as overexpression of Shh affects morphogenesis (Ekker et al. 1995; Takamiya and Campos-Ortega 2006) and prevents rescue on a morphological level. Interestingly, however, coinjection of shh mRNA rescues apoptosis in optic vesicle and diencephalon (Supplemental Fig. S4C), demonstrating again that apoptosis is a secondary effect due to aberrant signaling earlier during forebrain development.
**Zic1 controls cyclops expression and Nodal signaling in the ventral diencephalon**

Mice lacking Shh display cyclopia [Chiang et al. 1996]. Zebrafish mutants defective for Hedgehog signaling, however, display only a relatively mild form of cyclopia [Barresi et al. 2000]. Observation of severe midline defects in Zic1 morphants hence raises the possibility that loss of *shh* expression may not be the only cause of midline defects in Zic1 morphants. *Shh* expression in the ventral diencephalon is downstream from the Nodal pathway, and loss of Nodal signaling leads to loss of *shh* (and *twhh*) expression [Müller et al. 2000] and full cyclopia [Schier et al. 1997]. As Zic1 is required for *shh* expression, we wondered if Zic1 is placed in between Shh and Nodal signaling or even upstream of Nodal signaling. To gain evidence for epistatic relation between Nodal signaling and Zic1, we tried to rescue *shh* expression in Zic1 morphants by activating the Nodal pathway through coinjection of a constitutively active construct of the Nodal signaling mediator smad2 (*smad2CA*) [Müller et al. 2000]. Strikingly, coinjection of *smad2CA* mRNA and Z1MO leads to a clear up-regulation of *shh* expression above endogenous levels as opposed to down-regulation of *shh* expression in Zic1 morphants [Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S6C]. Thus, Zic1 does not appear to be required downstream from Nodal. Rescue on a morphological level could not be observed, as ectopic Nodal signaling by *smad2CA* overexpression affects embryological morphology [Müller et al. 2000]. We next checked the expression of cyclops (*cyc, ndr2*), a Nodal ligand expressed in prechordal plate, axial mesoderm, and ventral forebrain [Rebagliati et al. 1998a; Sampath et al. 1998]. We tested *cyc* expression in Zic1 morphants at the one-somite stage, when *cyc* shows expression in the anterior ventral forebrain [Rebagliati et al. 1998b]. Interestingly, we observed a specific reduction of *cyc* expression exclusively in the ventral forebrain. *Cyc* expression in prechordal mesoderm and prechordal plate, however, remains unchanged [Fig. 5E; Supplemental Table S1]. This is very reminiscent of the specific reduction of *shh* expression in Zic1 morphants. We used the Nodal-responsive luciferase reporter construct (*n2* Luc) [Saijoh et al. 2000], to test if down-regulation of *cyc* results also in quantitative reduction of Nodal signaling. Coinjection of Z1MO with the Nodal reporter plasmid strongly reduced Nodal reporter activity compared with the control experiment [Fig. 5G], providing further strong evidence for reduced levels of Nodal signaling in the forebrain of Z1MO-injected embryos.

**Zic1 morphants display a ventralized optic vesicle**

Hedgehog signaling is known to promote ventral fate in the forebrain [Ekker et al. 1995; Macdonald et al. 1995; Lupo et al. 2005]. Therefore, we expected that loss of Zic1 and ensuing loss of *shh* expression in the ventral

![Zic1 knockdown does not interfere with ptc1 induction by Shh overexpression. **(A–D)** Dorsal view, rostral to the bottom, 8s. **(A)** Simultaneous Zic1 knockdown and *shh* mRNA overexpression cannot block induction of the Shh target gene *ptc1*. **(B)** *Ptc1* induction by *shh* mRNA overexpression. **(C)** Z1MO injection leads to loss of *ptc1* expression in anterior forebrain tissue. **(D)** *Ptc1* wild-type expression in CoMO-injected embryos. **(s)** Somite stage.](Image 1)

![Zic1 controls cyclops expression and interferes with Nodal signaling. **(A–F)** Dorsal view, rostral to the bottom. **(A–D)** 11s. **(E–F)** 1s. **(A)** Knockdown of Zic1 cannot block *smad2CA* mRNA-induced *shh* expression [arrow]. **(B)** In Zic1 morphants, *shh* is down-regulated in the anterior forebrain [arrow]. **(C)** *Smad2CA* mRNA injection causes induction of *shh* expression [arrow]. **(D)** *Shh* expression in the anterior forebrain of CoMO-injected embryos [arrow]. **(E–F)** *Cyc* expression is strongly down-regulated in the anterior ventral forebrain of Zic1 morphants [arrow; dashed line indicates level of sections]. **(G)** A Nodal luciferase reporter construct indicates reduced Nodal signaling in Zic1 morphants at the 1–2-somite stage. Error bars indicate SEM. Asterisk indicates statistical significance; *t*-test, *p* < 0.05. (RLU) Normalized relative light units; **(s)** somite stage.](Image 2)
dienecephalon would be accompanied by an expansion of markers of the dorsal optic vesicle. We thus investigated the expression of marker genes for dorsal–ventral patterning of the optic vesicle by WMISH to confirm this.

Surprisingly, Zic1 morphants show the opposite phenotype: Dorsal marker genes like pax6, the BMP target tbx5 (Begemann and Ingham 2000), and the BMP activator radar (Rissi et al. 1995) get down-regulated; the ventral expression domain of pax2 in the prospective optic stalk, however, expands up to the dorsalmost region of the optic vesicle [Fig. 6, Supplemental Table S1]. This was especially surprising, as embryos with reduced Hedgehog signaling always show reduced pax2 expression. (Ekker et al. 1995; Macdonald et al. 1995; Lupo et al. 2005).

As dorsal–ventral patterning of the optic vesicle is under control of several pathways [Lupo et al. 2006], we reasoned that besides loss of shh expression, another signaling pathway promoting ventral fate during optic vesicle development might get overactivated in Zic1 morphants. Notably, FGF and RA signaling are known to promote ventral fate in the optic vesicle [Lupo et al. 2005]. First, we investigated whether fgf3 or fgf8, two FGF genes that play a key role during early zebrafish forebrain and optic stalk development [Walshe and Mason 2003], show any change in expression. Both fgf3 and fgf8 show strongly reduced expression in the forebrain of Zic1 morphants at the tailbud and early somite stage, providing evidence against increased FGF signaling in the early forebrain [Supplemental Fig. S7, Supplemental Table S1].

### Zic1 LOF up-regulates RA signaling in the forebrain

Next, we checked for aberrant RA signaling. Spatio-temporal levels of RA signaling in the zebrafish forebrain during gastrulation and neurulation are controlled by the anteriorly expressed RA-degrading enzyme Cyp26a1 and the posteriorly expressed RA-generating enzyme Raldh2 [Hernandez et al. 2007; White et al. 2007]. Raldh2 is never coexpressed with zic1 and shows no change in expression levels after Z1MO injection [data not shown]. Cyp26a1, however, is coexpressed with zic1 in the forebrain region during zebrafish gastrulation and the tailbud stage [cf. also Fig. 7B and Supplemental Fig. S1A; Kudoh et al. 2002; Hernandez et al. 2007]. Strikingly, injection of Z1MO leads to down-regulation of cyp26a1 expression specifically in the forebrain region [Fig. 7A; Supplemental Table S1], the region where zic1 and cyp26a1 are coexpressed. Down-regulation of cyp26a1 expression should therefore result in lower RA degradation rates and hence elevated RA signaling. To investigate this, we injected embryos transgenic for a RA-sensitive GFP reporter for a RA-sensitive GFP reporter [Perz-Edwards et al. 2001] with Z1MO. Z1MO-injected embryos show a massive up-regulation of RA reporter activity in anterior neural tissue at the 18-somite stage, indicating a strong increase in RA signaling in anterior neural tissue [Fig. 7C–D]. Furthermore, coinjection of a luciferase reporter gene under control of RA-responsive RARE elements [Blumberg et al. 1997] together with Z1MO into zebrafish embryos indicate increased activation of the RA reporter gene [data not shown], further proving that RA signaling in the forebrain is elevated.

If the optic vesicle in Zic1 morphants is ventralized because of enhanced RA signaling, it should be possible to revert ventralization by blocking RA signaling. As predicted, incubation of Z1MO-injected embryos with the RA signaling inhibitor DEAB reduced the expanded expression of pax2 back to ventral domains of the optic vesicle [Fig. 7E–H; Supplemental Fig. S6B].

We therefore conclude that Zic1 is required for maintenance of expression of the RA-degrading enzyme Cyp26a1 in the forebrain and that interfering with Zic1 function results in reduced cyp26a1 expression and hence elevated levels of RA signaling in the forebrain leading to ventralization of the optic vesicle.

![Image](genesdev.cshlp.org)
controls. (\textsuperscript{1}) Control embryos treated with DEAB is slightly weaker than in gfp control (\textsuperscript{2}) morphants by overexpression of \textit{Differential rescue of forebrain marker genes in Zic1 morphants} (brackets indicate dorsal expansion). (\textsuperscript{3}) \textit{G} can be rescued by administration of the RA inhibitor DEAB expanded \textit{pax2} \textit{E–H} \textit{C} \textit{Zic1 morphants. (4)} \textit{expression is strongly reduced in prospective forebrain tissue of (5)} \textit{Zic1 LOF up-regulates RA signaling in the forebrain.} Figure 7. \textit{shh} mRNA overexpression can override this rescue, indicating that ectopic \textit{pax2} expression induced by \textit{shh} mRNA is not RA-dependent, unlike \textit{vax2} expression (Fig. 8K–O). Reduced \textit{pax6} expression in Zic1 morphants is rescued by \textit{cyp26a1} overexpression, confirming that ventralization of the retina is elicited by elevated RA signaling. As expected, overexpression of \textit{shh} mRNA in Zic1 morphants reduces \textit{pax6} expression even further. Co-overexpression of \textit{cyp26a1} with \textit{shh} in Zic1 morphants attenuates this extreme reduction of \textit{pax6} expression (Fig. 8P–T).

As elevated RA signaling could be also responsible for down-regulation of \textit{cyc} and \textit{shh}, we also tried to rescue these two genes by \textit{cyp26a1} overexpression. However, no rescue can be detected (Fig. 8U–Z). An independent rescue experiment for \textit{cyc} yielded the same result (Supplemental Fig. S6D). In summary, \textit{shh} overexpression can rescue ventral marker genes dependent on Hedgehog signaling, and \textit{cyp26a1} overexpression reduces artificially high RA levels, therewith reverting forced ventralization of the optic vesicle in Zic1 morphants. It may not be reasonable to suspect that we could find exactly the correct protocol, using the misexpression techniques we have, to rescue the entire Zic1 morphant phenotype by overexpression of these two signaling molecules because both increased RA and increased \textit{shh} signaling are known to affect morphogenesis of the optic vesicle [Hyatt et al. 1992; Macdonald et al. 1995].

Discussion

\textit{Zic1} knockdown causes defective forebrain midline development and ventralization of the optic vesicle at the same time

In this study, we demonstrate that knockdown of Zic1 in zebrafish elicits a strong midline defect. The observed four key marker genes (\textit{vax1}, \textit{vax2}, \textit{pax2}, and \textit{pax6}) comparing the single- and the double-pathway rescue.

The expression of the optic stalk marker \textit{vax1} in Zic1 morphants can be rescued by \textit{shh} mRNA overexpression, confirming that loss of \textit{vax} gene expression is caused by reduced Hedgehog signaling. Simultaneous overexpression of \textit{cyp26a1} and \textit{shh} mRNA results in a similar rescue of \textit{vax1} as with \textit{shh} mRNA only (Fig. 8A–E; Supplemental Table 2). Reduced \textit{vax2} expression in Zic1 morphants is also rescued by \textit{shh} at least in proximal optic stalk domains. The rescue of distal retinal \textit{vax2} expression by \textit{shh} is weaker. Attenuation of RA signaling through \textit{cyp26a1} overexpression or simultaneous \textit{cyp26a1/shh} mRNA overexpression reduces the diminished \textit{vax2} expression domain in Zic1 morphants to an even smaller proximal domain (Fig. 8F–J). This indicates that the remaining proximal \textit{vax2} expression domain in Zic1 morphants is maintained by increased RA levels in an otherwise Hedgehog-deprived Zic1 morphant environment and that up-regulation of \textit{vax2} by \textit{shh} is at least partially RA-dependent. Expansion of \textit{pax2} expression into retinal domains of Zic1 morphants can be rescued by \textit{cyp26a1} overexpression. This confirms again that expansion of \textit{pax2} expression is indeed elicited by elevated RA signaling levels. \textit{Shh} mRNA co-overexpression can override this rescue, indicating that ectopic \textit{pax2} expression induced by \textit{shh} mRNA is not RA-dependent, unlike \textit{vax2} expression (Fig. 8K–O).

\textit{Cyc} down-regulation of \textit{shh} mRNA in Zic1 morphants reduces \textit{pax6} expression even further. Co-overexpression of \textit{cyp26a1} with \textit{shh} in Zic1 morphants attenuates this extreme reduction of \textit{pax6} expression (Fig. 8P–T).
features, such as fusion of RPE, partial cyclopia, and conversion of optic stalk into pigmented tissue, indicate aberrant development of midline structures. Other features of Zic1 morphants, however, indicate that not just midline formation is impaired: A severe midline phenotype in Zic1 morphants is always accompanied by a strong reduction of ventral RPE. Reduction of ventral RPE, however, can be frequently observed in embryos with elevated signaling levels of ventralizing pathways, like Shh (Macdonald et al. 1995). Neural midline defects, in contrast, are usually caused by attenuated ventralizing signals like Shh; therefore, these two features of the phenotype seem to contradict each other. An analysis of marker gene expression during earlier stages confirms this complex phenotype: On the one hand, shh expression in the anterior ventral diencephalon is down-regulated and, as expected, this results in reduced expression of Shh target genes (ptc1, nkd2.1b, vax1, and vax2) promoting midline development. On the other hand, marker genes for dorsal–ventral patterning of the optic vesicle indicate strong ventralization of the optic vesicle in Zic1 morphants. The dorsal retraction of RPE is the consequence of the ventralization. However, this is exactly the opposite phenotype expected in embryos with abolished shh forebrain expression (Ekker et al. 1995; Macdonald et al. 1995).
Increased RA signaling in the forebrain of Zic1 morphants is the reason for ventralization of the optic cup

It is clear that loss of shh expression cannot be the sole reason for the disarray of forebrain patterning. Examination of FGF and RA signaling levels showed that in Zic1 morphants, early FGF signaling in the forebrain is massively reduced as well, but RA signaling proved to be up-regulated. The up-regulation of RA signaling allows a coherent interpretation of the ventralization phenotype. Whereas Shh and its target genes become down-regulated, elevated RA signaling exerts a ventralizing effect on optic vesicle and marker genes pax6, pax2, tbx5, and radar. Interestingly, RA seems to be able to expand expression of the optic stalk marker pax2 in Zic1 morphants independently of Hedgehog and FGF signaling, whereas this seems not to be the case with other optic stalk markers like vax1 and vax2. It has been shown before that increased RA signaling in zebrafish results in expansion of pax2 expression into the optic vesicle (Hyatt et al. 1996) and repression of tbx5 expression (Emoto et al. 2005).

Could increased RA signaling in the forebrain also be the reason why shh expression is repressed? Studies performed in Xenopus (Franco et al. 1999; Lupo et al. 2005) demonstrate that high doses of RA (1–10 μM) repress anterior shh expression in the forebrain. However, our rescue experiments with co-injected cyp26a1 mRNA were not successful in restoring shh or cyc expression in the forebrain. This might be due to experimental limitations, as strong up-regulation or down-regulation of RA signaling during early development causes gastrulation defects, which limits the amount of injected cyp26a1 mRNA or other reagents modulating RA signaling. Failed rescue experiments might thus reflect the difficulties of modulating RA levels in anterior neuroectoderm only. However, studies of cyp26a1 knockout mice and cyp26a1 zebrafish mutants do not report any forebrain midline defects, arguing against regulation of Hedgehog or Nodal expression endogenously by cyp26a1 only (Abu-Abed et al. 2001; Emoto et al. 2005).

Increased RA levels may contribute to defective dorsal midline development. BMP signaling is necessary for dorsal midline development in the mammalian forebrain (Fernandes et al. 2007). Our results show that expression of genes playing a role upstream of or downstream from BMP signaling like radar and tbx5 are down-regulated in the zebrafish by increased RA levels. The ectopic up-regulation of RA signaling in the dorsal forebrain in Zic1 morphants could thus explain why zic2 mutations in mammals are associated with MIH HPE as well (Fernandes and Hébert 2008). In this context, it is useful to note that the late up-regulation of fgf8 expression that we see in the telencephalon at 26 hpf has also been described after ectopic activation of RA signaling in the zebrafish (Hamade et al. 2006). That study is particularly interesting because RA treatment (starting at 75% epiboly until the 10-somite stage) coincides with the onset of Zic1 expression and roughly with the time window when we observed regulation of respective marker genes. Studies in mouse and chick have also shown dependency of fgf8 forebrain expression on RA signaling [Schneider et al. 2001; Maden et al. 2007].

Attenuated Nodal signaling in Zic1 morphants is the reason for severe midline defects and reduced shh expression

Severity of the Zic1 morphant midline phenotype and reduced shh expression indicate that Nodal signaling upstream of shh might be impaired, as loss of Nodal midline signaling in zebrafish results in most severe midline defects including full cyclopia (Schier et al. 1997) and abolished shh expression (Müller et al. 2000; Rohr et al. 2001). Our observations confirm this hypothesis, showing that cyc expression in the anterior ventral forebrain of Zic1 morphants is down-regulated, that Nodal signaling levels are reduced, and that coexpression of Smad2, a Nodal signaling mediator, rescues shh expression in the forebrain. In Zic1 morphants, loss of cyc and shh expression is restricted to the anterior ventral forebrain. cyc and shh expression domains in axial mesodermal tissue do not show significant change. As Zic1 morphants do not show full cyclopia like Nodal signaling mutants, residual Nodal/Hedgehog signaling from axial mesoderm might still confer some midline signaling activity to the ventral forebrain.

Interestingly, early expression of fgf genes at the tailbud stage is reduced as well. This is of relevance as Nodal, Hedgehog, and FGF signaling have been shown to positively regulate each other during early development in
signaling cross-talk events [Mathieu et al. 2002, 2004; Walshe and Mason 2003]. Thus, reduced FGF signaling at the tailbud stage in the early anterior forebrain of Zic1 morphants might cause a breakdown of the Nodal/Hedgehog/FGF signaling cross-talk leading to loss of cyc and shh expression during early somite stages.

Zic1 regulates Nodal, Hedgehog, and RA signaling in the forebrain

In summary, we are proposing a model in which Zic1 controls activity of the Nodal, Hedgehog, and RA signaling pathways in the forebrain [Fig. 9]. Zic1 maintains cyp26a1 expression in the forebrain from the 70% epiboly to the tailbud stage. This ensures that RA signaling levels are low in the forebrain and optic vesicle. At the one- to two-somite stage, Zic1 is required for onset of cyc expression in the anterior ventral diencephalon. cyc expression in turn controls shh expression and therefore expression of Shh target genes and fgf genes.

If Zic1 function is abolished, cyp26a1 expression in the forebrain is strongly reduced, leading to elevated levels of RA signaling and subsequent ventralization of the optic vesicle. Concomitantly, pax2 expression expands into the retina, and pax6, tbx5, and radar expression is repressed. In the anterior ventral forebrain of Zic1 morphants, cyc expression cannot be induced. This causes loss of shh expression and consequently reduced expression of the Shh target genes: vax1, vax2, nkx2.1b, and ptc1.

Loss of Zic1 in zebrafish as a model to dissect molecular pathways causing different forms of HPE in humans and mouse

Our data suggest that Zic1 is the main Zic factor controlling zebrafish midline signaling, in contrast to mammalian development, where Zic2 is required for midline development. The closest zebrafish homolog of Zic2—Zic2a—has been shown in this study to act in a more redundant way. It would be interesting, therefore, to see if a double knockout of mouse Zic1 and Zic2 would yield an even stronger midline defect than reported in Zic2 mutants. Aruga et al. [2002a] tried to obtain Zic1/Zic2 double-homozygous mice but did not succeed because of the poor health of mice heterozygous for both Zic1 and Zic2. Perhaps Zic1/Zic2 double-heterozygous mice at early developmental stages might tell us whether RA, Nodal, and Shh signaling are controlled in mammals in the same way as has been demonstrated in this study for zebrafish.

Our results provide possible insights into a variety of hitherto unexplained effects observed in Zic mouse mutants, such as neurulation defects in the forebrain [Nagai et al. 2000] and aberrant inhibition of neurogenesis [Brewster et al. 1998; Aruga et al. 2002b] as these might be elicited by elevated RA signaling [Franco et al. 1999; Abu-Abed et al. 2001]. More strikingly, however, the data in this study provide a unifying molecular explanation of why loss of Zic function can cause both classic HPE as well as MIH HPE.

Materials and methods

Fish strains

Embryos were obtained from natural spawnsings of wild-type zebrafish lines (TL, Danio rerio). Embryos were raised at 28.5°C and staged according to Kimmel et al. [1995].

Subcloning Zic1 in pCS2+

The D. rerio Zic1 ORF was PCR-amplified from Zic1-pSport [Rohr et al. 1999] and subcloned into pCS2+ by EcoRI/XhoI to allow in vitro mRNA synthesis.

RNA microinjection

Synthetic mRNA was transcribed using the Ambion mMessage mMachine in vitro transcription kit. mRNA was injected at the one-cell stage. Synthetic mRNAs were injected at the following concentrations: 80–120 pg of Zic1 [Rohr et al. 1999], 80–120 pg of gfp, 30–60 pg of shh [Macdonald et al. 1995], 100 pg of bcl2gfp [Langenau et al. 2005], 5 pg of smad2CA [Müller et al. 2000], and 120 pg of cyp26a1 [Gongal and Waskiewicz 2008].

Morpholinos

Morpholinos were obtained from GeneTools. Morpholinos were dissolved in water and injected at the one-cell stage. CoMO is the GeneTools standard control morpholino. The Zic1 morpholino was designed to target the zic1 exon 1–intron 1 boundary [Z1MO], 5’-ATAACGATTTTCTTACCTGTGTGTG-3’. The Z2aMO sequence is 5’-CTCTTTCAAGCAGTCTATTCACCGC-3’ [Sanek and Grinblat 2008].

Z1MO is designed to block splicing at the exon 1–intron 1 boundary of the zic1 transcript. Analysis of the splice blocking event reveals that injection of Z1MO into zebrafish embryos blocks excision of intron 1 and leads to inclusion of 60 base pairs of intron 1 containing a stop codon, prematurely terminating the protein coding sequence (Supplemental Fig. S3). This results in loss of the C-terminal part of the protein including the two C-terminal zinc fingers. Injection of a Zic1 translation blocking morpholino resulted in a phenotype similar to the Z1MO phenotype, confirming that Z1MO leads to complete LOF of Zic1 [data not shown].

Efficacy and specificity of the splice inhibitor morpholino (Z1MO) were controlled by RT–PCR at different stages (tailbud, five-somite, 17-somite). Three nanograms to 4 ng of Z1MO was injected per embryo.

Monitoring efficacy

Inhibition of splicing of the zic1 transcript should lead to inclusion of intron1 (or part of it) in the mature zic1 mRNA (Supplemental Fig. S3A). This was expected to result in a band-shift of the RT–PCR-amplified zic1 fragment, as RT–PCR primers (Zic1 Ex1 → Ex3 L + R) are located upstream of and downstream from intron 1 in exons 1 and 3, respectively. Z1MO injection resulted in a complete bandshift of the amplified fragment, mirroring the inclusion of 60 bases of intron 1 in virtually all endogenous zic1 mRNAs. As the included 60 bases contain an in-frame stop codon, truncation of the Zic1 protein results [Supplemental Fig. S3C].

Specificity was monitored by taking advantage of sequence conservation among zic genes. The Z1MO targeted sequence at the zic1 exon 1–intron 1 boundary differs only in seven out of 25 bases from the zic2a exon 1–intron 1 boundary and in
Pharmacological treatment

DEAB (diethylaminobenzaldehyde; kind gift of Kate Lewis) was stored as a 100 mM stock solution in DMSO at −20°C. At the one-cell stage, injected embryos were placed at the germinating stage in embryo medium containing 30 μM DEAB and raised at 28.5°C in the dark. Sibling control embryos were incubated in embryo medium containing corresponding DMSO concentrations. Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA/PBS at the 14- to 17-somite stage.

Luciferase assays

Embryos used for Luciferase assays were coinjected with pre-mixed reporter plasmid and Renilla control plasmid to allow normalization. Embryos were injected at the one-cell stage. The injected DNA amounts were 12 pg of RA luciferase reporter tk-(RARE)2-luc (Blumberg et al. 1997), 10 pg of Nodal reporter plasmid and Renilla control plasmid to allow Luciferase assays at the 17-somite stage. Concentrations. Embryos were fixed in 4%PFA/PBS at the 14- to 17-somite stage in chorions in embryo medium containing 30 μM DEAB and raised at 28.5°C in the dark. Sibling control embryos were incubated in embryo medium containing corresponding DMSO concentrations. Embryos were fixed in 4%PFA/PBS at the 14- to 17-somite stage.

RT–PCR

Embryos used for RT–PCR were homogenized by passing 10 to 20 embryos in 300 μL of RLT kit buffer through a G20 needle three to four times. RNA isolation was accomplished according to manufacturers’ recommendations (Qiagen MicroRNA isolation kit). Subsequent RT–PCR was performed according to manufacturers’ recommendations (Qiagen OneStep RT–PCR kit). We used the RT–PCR program as follows: 50 min at 30°C, 15 min at 95°C, 35 times (30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 60°C, 2 min at 72°C), and 1 min at 72°C.

The RT–PCR primers were Zic1 Ex1 R → 3 L, 5’-CCGATCGG AAAAACTGAAA-3’; Zic1 Ex1 → 3 R, 5’-TGCTTGGTGATCACTTCTCATG TCAG-3’; Zic1 Ex1 R, 5’-CATCACCCACTCATGTTTA-3’; Zic2a Ex1 → 3 L, 5’-CTCGCTCCATCATTCTCA-3’; Zic2a Ex1 → 3 R, 5’-GGAGGAGACACCACAGACA-3’; Zic2a Ex1 R, 5’-CCCGCTCCTCATCTTACA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTAAACCTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTGGTCTGACCTTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTAAACCTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-TTACGAGGTTTGTGACGACGAGTGAC-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GTACTTACTTCTCA-3’; Zic2b Ex1 R, 5’-GGATTACC CCGTGAGGTTTGT-3’.

WMISH and whole-mount immunohistochemistry

Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS. WMISH was carried out as described in Conordet et al. (1996) using the following probes: zic1 [Rohr et al. 1999]; vzax1, vzax2 [Take-uchi et al. 2003]; fgf3 [Walshe and Mason 2003]; ptc1 [Conordet et al. 1996]; rlx2 1b [Rohr et al. 2001]; shh [Krauss et al. 1993]; cyclops [Rebagliati et al. 1998a]; pax2 [Krauss et al. 1991a]; pax6 [Krauss et al. 1991b]; tbx5 [Begemann and Ingham 2000]; radar [Rissi et al. 1995]; cyp26a1 (Kukoh et al. 2002; White et al. 2007); fgf3 [Maves et al. 2002]; fgf8 [Shamugalingam et al. 2000].

Active Caspase-3 was detected with a monoclonal rabbit antibody from BD Pharimingen [catalog no. 559565] at a dilution of 1:500. Primary antibody was visualized by an Alexa Fluor 488-coupled secondary donkey anti-rabbit antibody [1:400].

Sections

Vibratome sections were performed with a Vibratome Series 1000. Embryos were embedded in a BSA/gelatine mixture and sectioned at 20 μm.
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