Quantum Phases of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ from High-Pressure Thermodynamics
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We report heat capacity measurements of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ under high pressure along with simulations of relevant quantum spin models and map out the $(P, T)$ phase diagram of the material. We find a first-order quantum phase transition between the low-pressure quantum dimer paramagnet and a phase with signatures of a plaquette-singlet state below $T = 2$ K. At higher pressures, we observe a transition into a previously unknown antiferromagnetic state below 4 K. Our findings can be explained within the two-dimensional Shastry-Sutherland quantum spin model supplemented by weak interlayer couplings. The possibility to tune SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ between the plaquette-singlet and antiferromagnetic states opens opportunities for experimental tests of quantum field theories and lattice models involving fractionalized excitations, emergent symmetries, and gauge fluctuations.
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Theoretical proposals for exotic states in quantum magnets abound [1–6], but many intriguing quantum phases and transitions beyond classical descriptions have been difficult to realize experimentally. In one class of hypothetical states, spins entangle locally and form symmetry-breaking singlet patterns [2–10]. Signatures of a state with four-spin singlets were recently detected in the two-dimensional (2D) quantum magnet SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ under high pressure [11]. This plaquette singlet (PS) state has remained controversial, however [12], and a putative phase transition into an antiferromagnet (AF) at still higher pressure has not been studied. In this Letter, we report the phase diagram of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ based on heat capacity measurements for a wide range of pressures $P$ and temperatures $T$ down to 0.4 K. Comparing the results with calculations for relevant quantum spin models, our results indicate a PS-AF transition between $P = 2.5$ and 3 GPa, which is significantly lower than previously anticipated [11].

The unpaired $S = 1/2$ Cu spins of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ form layers of orthogonal dimers [13,14]. The two dominant Heisenberg exchange couplings $J_{ij} S_i \cdot S_j$ realize the Shastry-Sutherland (SS) model [15], illustrated in Fig. 1, with intra- and interdimer values $J \approx 75$ K and $J \approx 45$ K, respectively. The SS model has an exact dimer-singlet (DS) ground state for $0 \leq \alpha = J/J' \lesssim 0.68$ [10,15,16] and for $\alpha \to \infty$ it reduces to the Heisenberg AF [17]. There is a PS phase between the DS and AF phases, at $\alpha \in [0.68, 0.76]$ [10,16].

At ambient pressure the properties of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ agree well with the SS model in the DS phase [13,14]. AF order has been observed at $P \approx 4$ GPa [11], close to a tetragonal–monoclinic structural transition [18–20]. Since the Mermin-Wagner theorem prohibits $T > 0$ magnetic order in a 2D spin-isotropic system, the AF order should be due to weak interlayer couplings (and possibly some spin anisotropy). A 2D SS description of the quantum phase transitions is still relevant, and the simplest explanation of the behavior is that $\alpha$ increases with $P$ [10,11,21]. Then it should also be possible to stabilize the PS phase of the SS model at intermediate $P$ and low $T$. Breaking a discrete twofold Ising ($Z_2$) symmetry, corresponding to two

![FIG. 1. Schematic $T = 0$ phase diagram of the SS model [10,16].](image-url)
Following indications from nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) of an intermediate phase with broken spatial symmetry [22,23], inelastic neutron scattering revealed an excitation attributed to a PS state [11]. The mode was only detected at $P = 2.15$ GPa, and recently an alternative scenario with no PS phase was proposed [12]. Here we argue that the PS phase exists adjacent to a previously not observed AF phase below 4 K and $P = 3–4$ GPa.

**Experiments.**—We have performed high-pressure heat capacity ($C$) measurements on SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_3$ single crystals. With support of simulations of quantum spin models, we have for the first time extracted a $(P, T)$ phase diagram, Fig. 2(a), in the range of $P$ and $T$ where the SS model should be relevant. Six different samples were studied, and $C(T)$ was measured from room temperature down to $1.5$ or $0.4$ K at several pressures (using two different types of cryostats and pressure cells; see the Supplemental Material [24]). Consistent results were obtained among all these measurements. In Figs. 2(b)–2(e) we show typical results for $C(T)/T$ in the different pressure regions. In the Supplemental Material [24] we discuss data for $P > 4$ GPa, where the SS description is no longer valid.

We identify two main low-$T$ features in $C(T)/T$: there is always a broad maximum that we will refer to as the hump. Starting at $P \approx 1.7$ GPa, a smaller peak emerges at lower $T$ and prevails up to $2.4$ GPa. We will argue that this peak signals the PS transition. Upon further increasing $P$, the small peak is no longer detected at temperatures accessible in the experiments. A broader hump appears between $3$ and $4$ GPa, below which there is a peak at $T \approx 2–3.5$ K that we interpret as an AF transition. AF order was previously observed only at $P > 4$ GPa up to $T \approx 120$ K [11]. This high-$T$ phase is different from the new low-$T$ AF phase—see the Supplemental Material [24], where we also discuss a new transition at $T \approx 8$ K for $P > 4$ GPa.

The $C/T$ hump is known from studies at ambient pressure [37], where it arises from the correlations leading to the dimer singlets as $T \to 0$. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the hump temperature $T_h(P)$, including the minimum at $P \approx 2.5$ GPa, agrees remarkably well with exact diagonalization (ED) results for the SS Hamiltonian on a 20-site lattice (see the Supplemental Material [24]) with $P$ converted to $a$ by linear forms $J(P), J'(P)$ [11]. The hump width also agrees well with the SS model [see Fig. S5].

In the 2D Heisenberg model the hump appears at $T \approx J/2$ [38] where strong AF correlations build up. In general, the hump indicates a temperature scale where correlations set in that remove significant entropy from the system. The $T_h(P)$ minimum can be regarded as the point of highest frustration, with the energy scale being lowered due to the two competing couplings (see also Refs. [39,40]).

The peak that we associate with PS ordering appears in this pressure region, suggesting singlet formation driven by strong frustration.

If the putative AF ordering below $T = 4$ K for $P \approx 3–4$ GPa is the result of weak inter-layer couplings $J_{1}$, the observed hump-peak separation is expected, as the hump...
present for an isolated layer is not affected much by a small $J_{\perp}$ and $T_{AF} \to 0$ as $J_{\perp} \to 0$. Moreover, the ordering peak vanishes as $J_{\perp} \to 0$, because most of the entropy has been consumed by 2D correlations before 3D long-range order sets in. Our results at 3.6 and 4.0 GPa compare favorably with quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) calculations of weakly coupled Heisenberg layers [38] with $J_{\perp}/J_{2D} \approx 0.01$–0.02. In the SS system $J_{2D}$ is an effective 2D AF coupling smaller than both $J$ and $J'$ (because of frustration). The more prominent low-$T$ peak and higher $T_{AF}$ at higher $P$ should be a consequence of $\alpha$ increasing, likely in combination with an increase of $J_{\perp}$. The low-$T$ peak becomes harder to discern as $P$ is decreased down to 3 GPa, where $T_c$ is lower [38]. Unfortunately, above 2.4 GPa we are restricted to $T \geq 1.5$ K and cannot track the PS and AF transitions within the white region in Fig. 2(a).

Our identification of the phases partially rely on the low-$T$ tails in $C/T$. Up to $P = 2.4$ GPa we extracted the gap by fitting $C(T)/T$ to an exponential form plus terms accounting for the heater, wires, and phonons [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)]. The $P$ dependent gaps [Fig. 3(a)] are in excellent agreement with previous works using different methods. The gap is suddenly reduced by a factor of two at 1.7 GPa, showing that the DS-PS transition is first order, as in the SS model [10,16]. In our proposed AF phase $C(T)/T$ can be fitted [Figs. 2(d) and 2(e)] without a gap.

Figure 3(b) shows examples of the entropy obtained by integrating $C(T)/T$ in the DS, PS, and AF states. Data sets from experiments with the two different pressure cells exhibit consistent trends. Comparing the results with the SS model [Fig. 3(c)] confirms that the features in $C/T$ below $T \approx 8$ K predominantly originate from the Cu $S = 1/2$ spin network. The agreement between the experimental and theoretical results is striking at $P = 1.3$ and 1.9 GPa, where the system is gapped. At $P = 3.9$ GPa the SS model still captures the overall magnitude of the entropy, though the AF state can naturally not be fully reproduced by a small 2D cluster.

Modeling.—Ideally, we would like to compare the experiments with the SS model supplemented by weak 3D couplings. However, calculations at low $T > 0$ in the PS and AF phases require much larger lattices than those accessible to ED, and other numerical techniques are also very challenging [39,40]. To investigate generic aspects of the PS and AF transitions, we instead study a “J-Q” model amenable to large-scale QMC simulations. The model was proposed [41] for studies of deconfined quantum criticality [2,4], and recently a “checker-board” variant (CBJQ model) was devised for realizing the PS-AF transition [5].

The $Q$ interactions of the CBJQ model [Fig. 4(a)] compete against AF order and lead to an unusual transition versus $g = J/Q$ where the scalar ($Z_2$) PS and O(3) AF order parameters combine into an O(4) vector [5]. Even though the CBJQ and SS models are different at the lattice level, one can expect universal large-scale physics. Thus, SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ may also realize emergent O(4) symmetry—if indeed it hosts a low-$T$ PS-AF transition dominated by 2D quantum fluctuations. Here we do not address the issue of emergent symmetry directly, but focus on the thermodynamics. The models and QMC technique are further discussed in the Supplemental Material [24].

Figure 4(b) shows $C/T$ for different coupling ratios $g$ in the 2D CBJQ model. The peak signaling the PS transition gradually separates from a hump as $g$ increases, at the same time shrinking as there is less entropy associated with the phase transition. The short-range correlations signaled by the hump are predominantly AF in nature but also reflect the formation of singlets on the plaquettes before the collective ordering of those singlets. The clear hump-peak separation and the small ordering peak when $g \approx g_c$ are signatures of strong 2D quantum fluctuations of the PS order and are strikingly similar to our observations in SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ [Fig. 2(c)].

To study AF order at $T > 0$ we introduce interlayer couplings $J_{\perp}$ [Fig. 4(a)]. Figure 4(c) shows the phase
In Fig. 2(a), we have two different transition points in the SS model. The red square indicates the location of the critical point, and the blue square shows the location of the quantum-critical point. In the white region, the phase diagram for the PS-AF transition should not be neglected, even though the results show that the quantitative effects of this coupling are small. We observe a peak-peak structure close to the PS-AF transition at low $T$. The inset shows the phase diagram for a moderately small $J_\perp$ along with scans of $C/T$. We observe a peak-peak structure close to the phase transitions in the SS model.

In an alternative scenario, the $C/T$ peak at $T \approx 2$ K could reflect an orthorhombic transition, with the NMR splitting brought to higher $T$ by magnetic-field effects. The orthorhombic transition is sensitive to spin correlations. However, it has also been argued from other experiments that there is no structural transition at $P \approx 2$ GPa. It would be useful to repeat the NMR experiments for a wider range of pressures and study field effects systematically. It is also not completely clear whether the singlets in SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ really form on the dimer plaquettes, as calculations of the spectral signatures have only been calculated on very small systems or in perturbative schemes.

In an alternative scenario, the $C/T$ peak at $T \approx 2$ K could reflect an orthorhombic transition. Given overall small effects on $C(T)$, such a transition might not produce the spin correlations. Given overall small effects on $C(T)$, such a transition might not produce the spin correlations. However, the gap calculations are subject to approximations, and even small interactions beyond the SS model (e.g., 3D couplings) may play a role as well as the gap evolution in SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$. Recent ESR experiments at $P \approx 2$ GPa were explained with a PS phase remaining in the presence of a pressure-induced weak distortion observed in Fig. 2(c).

Discussion.—The singlets in the PS phase of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ have been found on the dimer plaquettes [11], not on the empty plaquettes as in the SS model [16]. It was recently proposed that the state is not even a twofold degenerate PS state with a symmetry-breaking transition, but a state resulting from an orthorhombic distortion [12]. This would be consistent with NMR results showing two kinds of dimers below 3.6 K at 2.4 GPa [23]. In our experiments, the hump in $C(T)/T$ for $P$ between 1.7 and 2.4 GPa is close to this NMR splitting temperature, and the hump also some times has a small jump on its right side, as in Fig. 2(c). Our modeling shows clearly that the hump is a consequence of short-range correlations and does not originate from a phase transition, but the jump could still be due to a weak orthorhombic transition (which might even be driven by the spin correlations). Given overall small effects on $C(T)$, such a transition might not produce the spin correlations. However, the gap calculations are subject to approximations, and even small interactions beyond the SS model (e.g., 3D couplings) may play a role as well as the gap evolution in SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$. Recent ESR experiments at $P \approx 2$ GPa were explained with a PS phase remaining in the presence of a pressure-induced weak distortion [43].

In an alternative scenario, the $C/T$ peak at $T \approx 2$ K could reflect an orthorhombic transition, with the NMR splitting brought to higher $T$ by magnetic-field effects. The orthorhombic transition is sensitive to spin correlations. However, it has also been argued from other experiments that there is no structural transition at $P \approx 2$ GPa [21,43]. It would be useful to repeat the NMR experiments for a wider range of pressures and study field effects systematically. It is also not completely clear whether the singlets in SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ really form on the dimer plaquettes, as calculations of the spectral signatures have only been calculated on very small systems [11] or in perturbative schemes [12] that may not sufficiently account for the complexities of the PS quantum fluctuations.

The simplest scenario is that the phase boundaries of the low-$T$ PS and AF phases of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ can be explained...
by the 2D SS model with weak 3D interlayer couplings. The existence of the new low-\(T\) AF state argued here resolves a puzzling aspect of the phase diagram [11] that had not been emphasized previously: a high-\(T\) AF transition, with \(T_{\text{HT}} \approx 120\) K, is inconsistent with SS couplings \(J, J' \ll T_{\text{HT}}\) and the frustration that further reduces the effective magnetic energy scale \(J_{2D}\). The deconfined quantum-criticality scenario for the PS-AF transition would be unlikely under these circumstances. In contrast, \(T_{\text{AF}} < 4\) K found here is compatible with the SS model and \(J_{\perp} \ll J, J'\). Although we were not able to track the phase boundaries in the region \(P \approx 2.4-3.1\) GPa [Fig. 2(a)], the most natural scenario is a direct PS-AF transition below \(T \approx 1\) K. This transition should be weakly first order, related to the deconfined quantum-criticality scenario [2,4,44] and with an emergent O(4) symmetry of the two order parameters [5,45] if the 3D couplings are sufficiently weak. Our study has established the \((P, T)\) region in which to further investigate this physics experimentally.

It will be important to confirm the magnetic structure of the new low-\(T\) AF phase by neutron scattering—the previous experiments in this pressure range did not reach down to the transition temperatures we found here [11]. A Raman spectroscopy study reported after the completion of our work [46] has already detected correlations compatible with AF ordering at pressures similar to Fig. 2(a). It would also be interesting to investigate magnetic field effects. Further model calculations should test the stability of the emergent O(4) symmetry [5,45] and other aspects of the PS-AF transition related to deconfined quantum criticality beyond the strict 2D limit.
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