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Abstract
Selorejo Dam is located in Pandansari Village, Ngantang District, Malang Regency. The exact spot is at Konto River, a tributary of Brantas River. This dam has very important functions such as for electric generation and irrigation. It is very old dam with construction completed in 1970 but now subjected to shallowing due to a high sedimentation rate. The decreasing reservoir volume of the dam has impacted dam function, and therefore, it is necessary to formulate a better plan for reservoir operational pattern to manage properly the existing water volume. Recently, in planning the operational pattern of the reservoir, Perum Jasa Tirta I (PJTI) as the manager of Selorejo Dam uses feasible debit to predict debit inflow. In this study, debit inflow is predicted using ARIMA method from also which new operational pattern of the reservoir can be established. This study uses 10-years data of 10-days debit inflow. Of these data, 9-years data are used to generate ARIMA model while 1-year data are used for calibration. The best ARIMA model in predicting debit inflow is ARIMA (1,1,1)(2,1,1). Compared to other methods recently used, ARIMA has been proved as able to predict debit inflow in better way with smaller Relative Error (KR) than realized debit (Model’s KR is 5.5 and Operator’s (PJTI) KR is 17.5).

Introduction:
Selorejo Dam remains as part of Brantas River Region and it retains Konto and Kwayangan Rivers. The spread of dam’s water stream extends to 236 km². Some important functions of Selorejo Dam include electric generation and irrigation. It was completed in 1970, and therefore, it is very old dam with many problems, among other is high sedimentation rate.

The declining reservoir volume in Selorejo Dam is resolved by planning better operational pattern to optimize water production. In making this plan, the most influential factor is debit inflow, debit outflow, and physical condition of the dam. Feasible debit inflow is recently used by Perum Jasa Tirta I to plan the operational pattern of the reservoir. The prediction of debit inflow is derived from ARIMA model, and it is expected that this model will help giving better debit prediction that approaches the reality.
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This study uses ARIMA model because this model can give good prediction for seasonal time-series data, including debit inflow data.

Nigam et.al. (2009) said that ARIMA model is the proper approach to hydrology data because this model can resolve data that involve auto-correlation with timing and also that need exact explanation about base dynamic of items. This capacity is hardly found in the simple statistic tool such as regression analysis. Valipour et.al. (2012) admitted that ARIMA model has better performance than ARMA model because the former can produce stationary time-series at calibration and prediction phases. ARIMA is then used to predict monthly debit inflow for the next 12 months.

Main objective of this review is to make ARIMA model that is proper for predicting Selorejo Dam debit inflow and to use it in the planning of reservoir operational pattern.

The benefit of this study is to obtain the most proper ARIMA model for predicting reservoir debit inflow of Selorejo Dam as the consideration material for Perum Jasa Tirta I in planning reservoir operational pattern.

Method Of Research:--
Selorejo Dam is located in Pandansari Village, Ngantang District, Malang Regency. It stands at Konto River, a tributary of Brantas River, and just below its confluence with Kwayangan River. The site is ± 50 km at west of Malang City with altitude over 650 m above sea level.

Data Collection:--
The used data in this study are described as following:
a. Reservoir debit inflow of Selorejo Dam in 10 years, at period 2003/2004 to 2012/2013.
b. Technical aspect of Selorejo Dam.
c. Reservoir operational pattern of Selorejo Dam.

Methodology:--
Dam and Reservoir:--
Retaining water excess during rain season to be used for meeting water demand and water production at time as necessary, and also controlling against water destructive capacity, then requires reservoir. Dam is a construction with piles of soil, rock, concrete and/or brick to retain and contain water, mining waste (tailing) or also mud. Reservoir is the artificial batch as the consequence of dam construction. Both dam and reservoir, and also their management, are aimed to increase the utilities of water resource and water purification, to improve the control over water destructive capacity and to secure the storage of tailing and mud (Republik Indonesia, 2010).

Quantitative prediction:--
River debit entering reservoir is greatly affected by season. Indonesia is a tropical country with two seasons in a year, respectively rain season and dry season. River debit is drawn in time-series with different pattern for each season. With adequate historical data, river debit rate can be predicted with quantitative method. Makridakis et.al (1988) asserted that quantitative prediction is applicable when three conditions are shown:
1. There is information of the past.
2. Information can be quantified into numerical data.
3. It is assumed that few pattern in the past may proceed to the future.

Prediction Method:--
Prediction is an act to count possible occurrences in the future. Time-series data are used to predict the future based on the past value of certain variable (Makridakis et.al, 1988).

Autocorrelation Function (ACF):--
Autocorrelation coefficient explains the relationship between values in similar variable. Autocorrelation is a determinant tool to identify base pattern that describes data. It is used to recognize whether data are random, stationary, or seasonal (Arsyad, 1994).
Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF):-
Partial autocorrelation is used to measure the closeness rate of linear relationship between $Z_t$ and $Z_{t+k}$ if the effect of time lag 1, 2, ..., k-1 is considered as separated (Makridakis et al., 1988).

Time-Series Stationarity:-
As noted by Makridakis et al. (1988), stationarity signifies a condition that there is no addition or reduction in the data. Data must be horizontal along with time axis. In other words, data fluctuation remains around constant mean, not depending on time and variance of fluctuation. Basically, it remains constant over times. Stationary time-series are those whose basic statistic is characterized by the constant mean and variant over times (Hanke et al., 2003).

Seasonal ARIMA:–
Hanke et al. (2003) defined seasonal time-series as those with repeated change pattern. It changes every year. Seasonal prediction is then developed, usually involving selection between decomposition, multiplication, and addition, and then followed by estimating seasonal index from historical time-series. These indexes are used to put seasonal substance into the prediction or to separate certain effects of observation.

Seasonal ARIMA model is a part of flexible time-series model that is used to model seasonal data, including non-seasonal time-series. Seasonal ARIMA model is explained as following (Cryer, 1986; Box et al., 1994):

$$\phi_p(B)\Phi_p(B^S)(1-B)^d(1-B^S)^D\gamma_t = \theta_q(B)\Theta_q(B^S)\alpha_t$$

with

$$\phi_p(B) = 1-\phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 - \ldots - \phi_p B^p$$

$$\Phi_p(B^S) = 1-\Phi_1 B^S - \Phi_2 B^{2S} - \ldots - \Phi_p B^{pS}$$

$$\theta_q(B) = 1-\theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2 - \ldots - \theta_q B^q$$

$$\Theta_q(B^S) = 1-\Theta_1 B^S - \Theta_2 B^{2S} - \ldots - \Theta_q B^{qS}$$

where $S$ is seasonal period length; $B$ is back shift operator; and $\alpha_t$ is white noise series with zero mean and constant variance.

Reservoir Operational Pattern:–
Pursuant to the Government Regulation No. 37/2010 about Dam, it is said that dam management plan is aimed for managing water resource in the dam. This plan accommodates reservoir operational pattern. This reservoir operational pattern comprises of several items:
1. Dry-year operational pattern.
2. Normal-year operational pattern; and
3. Rain-year operational pattern.

Reservoir operational pattern explains about the method of releasing water from reservoir based on current volume, water elevation at reservoir, water demand, and river capacity at downstream part of the dam.

Operational Pattern of Selorejo Dam:–
Selorejo Dam is annual reservoir functioned as the controller of flood during rain season. The presence of reservoir is quite useful to provide irrigation water debit needed by Pare and Jombang Regions in dry season in 4 m$^3$/second. It covers irrigation width of 5,700 ha in all irrigation systems of 22,000 ha, and it helps improving rice production of 7,500 tons/year. It is also useful to meet the demand of standard water for the industry. Water output from the dam is also useful for electric generation with power capacity of 1x4,500 kW, which then produces electrical power for ±49 millions kWh per year.

Result And Discussion:–
Data of Selorejo Dam Debit inflow:–
Data of debit inflow in Selorejo Dam are collected from Perum Jasa Tirta I. It is data of daily mean of debit inflow. Aligning with Selorejo Dam operational pattern in 10-days period, then data of daily mean of debit inflow are
converted into data of 10-days mean debit inflow. Selorejo Dam operational pattern starts from December to November. In this study, debit inflow data are arranged for 10 periods from 2003/2004 to 2012/2013. Data of first 10-periods debit are used for modeling electric generation in ARIM, and data of 1-period are used for model calibration.

The graphic above shows time-series plot data of 10-days mean debit inflow in Selorejo Dam. It indicates seasonal pattern. Data are not yet stationary, and data variance is too big. Data are also not yet stationary against variance. Proving the stationarity against variance, and also the median, Box-Cox Plot is then made to identify data stationarity against variance and ACF Plot to see data stationarity against median.

**Stationarity Test Against Variance:**
Figure 2 shows that data of 10-days mean debit inflow of Selorejo Dam are tested with Box-Cox Test, and it obtains $\lambda \neq 1$, meaning that data are not stationary against variance. Transformation of Box-Cox is then conducted resulting in $\lambda = 1$. In first transformation, it is obtained that 10-days mean debit inflow of Selorejo Dam has been stationary against variance by $\lambda = 1$ in Figure 3.
Stationarity against Median:
When stationarity against variance has been proved, then stationarity test is performed against mean, and it is detected using ACF Plot.

ACF Plot in Figure 4 indicates that data are not yet stationary, and therefore, it is made into stationarity with differencing at once (one-time). Stationary data are marked with unpatterned (random) lag which does not contain season.

ACF Plot is then made after one-time differencing. It is displayed in Figure 5 showing that data are stationary already. There is no seasonal substance and there is irregular pattern or also said as unpatterned.

Figure 3: First Transformation of Box-Cox Plot

Figure 4: ACF Plot

Figure 5: ACF Plot with One-Time Differencing
ARIMA Model \((P,D,Q)(P,D,Q)\)\(^S\) For Water Debit Data:-

Based on ACF Plot after one-time differencing, there is cutoff after lag 2 and also seasonal cutoff at lag 36. Tentative model for non-seasonal AR is \(1.2\). Tentative model for seasonal AR is \(P = 1.2\). One-time differencing process has produced order \(d=1\) and seasonal order \(D=1\). Order \(q\) of MA Model can be determined from PACF Plot in Figure 6.

From PACF Plot, observation points of 10-days mean debit inflow are obtained and the characteristic of these points is dies-down. There are alternative models for MA Model at order \(q = 1.2\) and also at seasonal MA order \(Q = 1.2\) (assumed that it follows non-seasonal order). Based on ACF and PACF Plots, seasonal ARIMA tentative model is then prepared with seasonal order \(S = 36\).
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**Figure 6:** PACF Plot

**Table 1:** ARIMA Tentative Model and Model Compatibility Test.

| No. | ARIMA         | lag 12 | lag 24 | lag 36 | lag 48 | CONCLUSION                  |
|-----|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------|
| 1   | (1,1,1)(1,1,1)| 0.220  | 0.912  | 0.965  | 0.947  | Model is feasible.         |
| 2   | (1,1,2)(1,1,1)| 0.116  | 0.853  | 0.944  | 0.948  | Model is feasible.         |
| 3   | (2,1,1)(1,1,1)| 0.112  | 0.839  | 0.928  | 0.928  | Model is feasible.         |
| 4   | (1,1,1)(2,1,1)| 0.083  | 0.654  | 0.666  | 0.640  | Model is feasible.         |
| 5   | (1,1,2)(2,1,1)| 0.040  | 0.526  | 0.509  | 0.369  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 6   | (2,1,1)(2,1,1)| 0.007  | 0.424  | 0.690  | 0.629  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 7   | (2,1,2)(1,1,1)| 0.061  | 0.771  | 0.899  | 0.899  | Model is feasible.         |
| 8   | (2,1,2)(2,1,1)| 0.036  | 0.644  | 0.740  | 0.577  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 9   | (1,1,1)(2,1,2)| 0.047  | 0.602  | 0.690  | 0.460  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 10  | (1,1,2)(2,1,2)| 0.003  | 0.269  | 0.282  | 0.173  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 11  | (2,1,1)(2,1,2)| 0.001  | 0.190  | 0.269  | 0.233  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 12  | (2,1,2)(2,1,2)| 0.006  | 0.310  | 0.168  | 0.124  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 13  | (1,1,1)(1,1,2)| 0.159  | 0.896  | 0.956  | 0.956  | Model is feasible.         |
| 14  | (1,1,2)(1,1,2)| 0.002  | 0.214  | 0.431  | 0.501  | Model is not feasible.     |
| 15  | (2,1,1)(1,1,2)| 0.083  | 0.824  | 0.941  | 0.947  | Model is feasible.         |
| 16  | (2,1,2)(1,1,2)| 0.020  | 0.637  | 0.864  | 0.863  | Model is not feasible.     |

Seasonal ARIMA Model is \((p,d,q)(P,D,Q)\)\(^S\). From previous stages, the rate of each ARIMA model parameter is obtained, and 16 ARIMA tentative models are shown in Table 1. Model feasibility test is done using Ljung-Box test statistic, and it shows that model is not feasible if \(p\)-value is less than 0.05

**Debit Prediction Using ARIMA Model:-**

Next stage is predicting debit inflow with the existing model. Debit prediction is done for period 2012/2013. The following is the prediction of 10-days mean debit inflow in Selorejo Dam for period 2012/2013 based on estimation of ARIMA Model \((1,1,1)(2,1,1)\)\(^S\).
The Selection of Best Model:-

Result of prediction of 10-days mean debit inflow in Figure 7 ascertains that model is meeting the condition of Ljung-Box Test. It is then calibrated against 10-days mean debit inflow as measured in period 2012/2013. The calibration is done by searching the rates of MSE (Mean Square Error), KAR (Mean absolute error), MAPE (Mean Absolute Percentage Error), MAD (Mean Absolute Deviation), MSD (Mean Squared Deviation), t-test, dan KR (relative error). Both t-test and KR are calibrated not only from debit but also volume of the reservoir. Result of each calibration is shown in Table 2.

Related with reservoir management, the base water is that in the retention. Therefore, the selected model is that with the smallest relative error (KR), respectively ARIMA Model $(1,1,1)(2,1,1)^{16}$ with KR 5.50. This model involves equation:

$$Z_t = 2.36Z_{t-1} - 1.72Z_{t-2} + 0.36Z_{t-3} - 0.18Z_{t-38} + 0.42Z_{t-37} - 0.31Z_{t-38} + 0.06Z_{t-39} - 0.47Z_{t-72} + 1.11Z_{t-73} - 0.81Z_{t-74} + 0.17Z_{t-75} + 0.66a_{t-37}$$

The Comparison Between Data of Debit and Volume in Selorejo Dam:-

The following is a graphic that compares debit inflow across measurement results, precisely between those from ARIMA prediction and those from the planning of Perum Jasa Tirta I. The comparison is displayed in Figure 8.
Operational Pattern of Selorejo Dam:-

After 10-days mean debit inflow in Selorejo Dam, then operational pattern of reservoir is planned for period 2012/2013. The following is the result of operational pattern plan for the reservoir of Selorejo Dam. The following is the result of the planning of Selorejo Dam operational pattern based on the result of debit inflow prediction using ARIMA method, its comparison with operational pattern plan based on PJT-I, and its realization.

Operational pattern with debit inflow as predicted from ARIMA (1,1,1)(2,1,1)36.

Table 3:- Operational Pattern Plan with ARIMA Model Result

| MONTH | INFLOW | TURBINE H | J V SPILLWAY | OUTFLOW | TOTAL | ELEVATION | ELEVASI AKHIR |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------|---------------|
|       | m3/dtk | m3/second | m3/second | m3/dtk | m3/dtk | m3/dtk | m3/dtk |
| DEC   | 612.00 |
| DES   | 1     | 8.98     | 12.50      | -       | -      | 12.50    | 609.79 |
| 2     | 9.63   | 13.00     | -          | -       | -      | 13.00    | 607.20 |
| 3     | 14.09  | 14.00     | -          | -       | -      | 14.00    | 607.29 |
| JAN   | 1     | 12.75     | 14.00      | -       | -      | 14.00    | 607.03 |
| 2     | 15.22  | 14.00     | -          | -       | -      | 14.00    | 607.26 |
| 3     | 13.76  | 14.00     | -          | -       | -      | 14.00    | 607.03 |
| FEB   | 1     | 19.08     | 14.00      | -       | -      | 14.00    | 610.80 |
| 2     | 16.95  | 14.00     | -          | -       | -      | 14.00    | 612.54 |
| 3     | 21.18  | 14.00     | -          | -       | -      | 14.00    | 615.30 |
| MAR   | 1     | 18.04     | 13.00      | -       | -      | 13.00    | 617.28 |
| 2     | 18.08  | 13.00     | -          | -       | -      | 13.00    | 618.98 |
| 3     | 15.93  | 13.00     | -          | -       | -      | 13.00    | 619.94 |
| APR   | 1     | 16.19     | 13.00      | -       | -      | 13.00    | 620.82 |
| 2     | 15.35  | 13.00     | -          | -       | -      | 13.00    | 621.44 |
| 3     | 14.65  | 13.00     | -          | -       | -      | 13.00    | 621.85 |
| MEI   | 1     | 12.80     | 13.00      | -       | -      | 13.00    | 621.80 |
| 2     | 12.42  | 12.80     | -          | -       | -      | 12.80    | 621.70 |
| 3     | 13.70  | 12.80     | -          | -       | -      | 12.80    | 622.00 |
| JUN   | 1     | 11.95     | 12.50      | -       | -      | 12.50    | 621.86 |
| 2     | 11.65  | 12.50     | -          | -       | -      | 12.50    | 621.66 |
| 3     | 10.20  | 12.40     | -          | -       | -      | 12.40    | 621.10 |
| OCT   | 1     | 9.85      | 12.30      | -       | -      | 12.30    | 620.44 |
| 2     | 9.50   | 12.10     | -          | -       | -      | 12.10    | 619.70 |
| 3     | 8.51   | 12.10     | -          | -       | -      | 12.10    | 618.48 |
| NOV   | 1     | 8.54      | 12.00      | -       | -      | 12.00    | 617.30 |
| 2     | 8.44   | 12.00     | -          | -       | -      | 12.00    | 615.94 |
| 3     | 8.82   | 11.00     | -          | -       | -      | 11.00    | 614.93 |
| SEP   | 1     | 8.55      | 11.00      | -       | -      | 11.00    | 613.79 |
| 2     | 9.42   | 10.50     | -          | -       | -      | 10.50    | 613.25 |
| 3     | 9.63   | 10.00     | -          | -       | -      | 10.00    | 613.06 |
| OKT   | 1     | 9.81      | 9.31       | -       | -      | 9.31     | 613.32 |
| 2     | 9.64   | 9.50      | -          | -       | -      | 9.50     | 613.39 |
| 3     | 10.37  | 10.00     | -          | -       | -      | 10.00    | 613.59 |
| NOP   | 1     | 12.14     | 11.50      | -       | -      | 11.50    | 613.91 |
| 2     | 9.55   | 12.50     | -          | -       | -      | 12.50    | 612.39 |
| 3     | 11.81  | 12.50     | -          | -       | -      | 12.50    | 612.00 |
a. Operational pattern plan for Selorejo Dam based on PJT-I

**Table 4**: Rencana Pola Operasi Oleh PJT-I

| MONTH/DAY | INFLOW | OUTFLOW | TOTAL | ELEVASI AKHIR |
|-----------|--------|---------|-------|---------------|
|           | m³/dtk| m³/dtk  | m³/dtk|               |
| DEC       |        |         |       |               |
|           | 10.17  | 9.25    | 9.25  | 612.40        |
|           | 9.87   | 9.25    | 9.25  | 612.67        |
|           | 10.16  | 10.00   | 10.00 | 612.74        |
| JAN       | 12.95  | 12.50   | 12.50 | 612.94        |
|           | 12.32  | 12.50   | 12.50 | 612.86        |
|           | 14.77  | 12.50   | 12.50 | 613.94        |
| FEB       | 15.72  | 13.00   | 13.00 | 615.11        |
|           | 15.56  | 14.00   | 14.00 | 615.79        |
|           | 16.29  | 14.00   | 14.00 | 616.38        |
| MAR       | 15.56  | 13.00   | 13.00 | 617.12        |
|           | 13.87  | 12.70   | 12.70 | 617.46        |
|           | 14.61  | 12.00   | 12.00 | 618.29        |
| APR       | 13.58  | 11.50   | 11.50 | 618.88        |
|           | 14.03  | 9.25    | 9.25  | 620.26        |
|           | 12.50  | 9.25    | 9.25  | 621.20        |
| MEI       | 11.05  | 9.25    | 9.25  | 621.72        |
| OCT       | 9.88   | 9.25    | 9.25  | 621.90        |
|           | 9.51   | 9.25    | 9.25  | 622.00        |
| JUN       | 10.73  | 12.05   | 12.05 | 621.62        |
|           | 9.94   | 12.50   | 12.50 | 620.88        |
|           | 9.11   | 12.25   | 12.25 | 619.98        |
| JUL       | 8.57   | 12.25   | 12.25 | 618.92        |
|           | 8.25   | 11.48   | 11.48 | 617.99        |
|           | 8.26   | 11.18   | 11.18 | 617.06        |
| AGT       | 7.58   | 10.70   | 10.70 | 616.16        |
|           | 7.42   | 9.28    | 9.28  | 615.44        |
|           | 7.53   | 8.78    | 8.78  | 614.85        |
| SEP       | 7.55   | 9.00    | 9.00  | 614.22        |
|           | 7.69   | 8.71    | 8.71  | 613.78        |
|           | 7.42   | 8.98    | 8.98  | 613.11        |
| OKT       | 7.98   | 8.50    | 8.50  | 612.88        |
|           | 8.26   | 9.09    | 9.09  | 612.53        |
|           | 8.33   | 9.55    | 9.55  | 611.93        |
| NOP       | 9.29   | 12.35   | 12.35 | 610.16        |
|           | 10.62  | 14.25   | 14.25 | 608.10        |
|           | 11.73  | 14.25   | 14.25 | 606.00        |
b. Realization of Selorejo Dam Operational Pattern

Table 5. Reservoir Operational Pattern

| MONTH | DAY | INFLOW TIDBIN TIDIP | OUTFLOW OUTWAY | TOTAL ELEVASI | AKHIR |
|-------|-----|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
|       |     | m³/dtk             | m³/sec       | m³/dtk       | m³/dtk |
| DEC   | 1   | 11.50 9.25         | -            | 9.25         | 612.00 |
|       | 2   | 10.17 9.25         | -            | 9.25         | 614.32 |
|       | 3   | 11.70 9.25         | -            | 9.25         | 615.98 |
|       | 2   | 23.79 12.96        | -            | 12.96        | 621.37 |
|       | 3   | 21.64 14.00        | -            | 9.25         | 617.91 |
| FEB   | 1   | 16.25 14.00        | -            | 3.25         | 620.69 |
|       | 2   | 17.95 14.00        | -            | 1.04         | 621.50 |
|       | 3   | 26.46 14.00        | -            | 15.38        | 620.89 |
| MAR   | 1   | 17.35 14.00        | -            | 3.15         | 620.97 |
|       | 2   | 16.89 14.00        | -            | 1.88         | 621.19 |
|       | 3   | 15.45 14.00        | -            | 0.45         | 621.47 |
| APR   | 1   | 18.76 14.00        | -            | 4.12         | 621.64 |
|       | 2   | 21.77 14.00        | -            | 7.16         | 621.81 |
|       | 3   | 15.72 14.00        | -            | 1.39         | 621.91 |
| MEI   | 1   | 12.56 13.34        | -            | -            | 621.68 |
|       | 2   | 11.30 10.40        | -            | -            | 621.91 |
|       | 3   | 13.85 13.60        | -            | -            | 622.00 |
| JUN   | 1   | 14.73 14.00        | -            | 0.38         | 622.14 |
|       | 2   | 13.89 14.00        | -            | 0.31         | 621.92 |
|       | 3   | 11.46 13.96        | -            | -            | 621.29 |
| OCT   | 1   | 10.15 12.74        | -            | -            | 620.60 |
|       | 2   | 11.53 11.52        | -            | -            | 620.64 |
|       | 3   | 9.83 11.52         | -            | -            | 620.09 |
| NOV   | 1   | 8.74 11.52         | -            | -            | 619.18 |
|       | 2   | 8.42 11.09         | -            | -            | 618.28 |
| SEP   | 1   | 8.19 10.45         | -            | -            | 617.21 |
|       | 2   | 7.40 9.53          | -            | -            | 616.42 |
|       | 3   | 7.42 9.37          | -            | -            | 615.66 |
| OKT   | 1   | 7.47 9.25          | -            | -            | 614.90 |
|       | 2   | 7.81 9.25          | -            | -            | 613.41 |
|       | 3   | 9.38 9.25          | -            | -            | 613.53 |
| NOP   | 1   | 9.47 10.31         | -            | -            | 613.09 |
|       | 2   | 11.66 10.87        | -            | -            | 613.62 |
|       | 3   | 11.34 10.87        | -            | -            | 613.92 |

Conclusion:-
Based on the result of debit model study with ARIMA model to determine reservoir operational pattern in Selorejo Dam, some conclusions are then obtained.

1. The best model in predicting Selorejo Dam debit inflow in period 2012/2013 is ARIMA Model (1,1,1)(2,1,1)\(^3\) with the following equation:

\[
Z_t = 2.36Z_{t-1} - 1.72Z_{t-2} + 0.36Z_{t-3} - 0.18Z_{t-36} + 0.42Z_{t-37} - 0.31Z_{t-38} + 0.06Z_{t-39} - 0.47Z_{t-72} + 1.11Z_{t-73} - 0.81Z_{t-74} + 0.17Z_{t-75} + 0.66a_{37}
\]

2. The criteria of goodness of ARIMA Model (1,1,1)(2,1,1)\(^3\) is compared with the plan made by Perum Jasa Tirta I for Selorejo Dam debit inflow in period 2012/2013. It is shown in Table 6.
Table 5: Model’s Criteria of Goodness.

|                     | ARIMA Model (1,1,1)(2,1,1) | PJT-I |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| MSE (Debit)         | 5.77                        |       |
| KAR (Debit)         | 0.15                        | 0.15  |
| MAPE (Debit)        | 9.67                        | 6.53  |
| MAD (Debit)         | 2.02                        | 2.46  |
| MSD (Debit)         | 8.08                        | 13.49 |
| T-test (Debit)      | Meet Condition              | Not Meet Condition |
| T-test (Volume)     | Meet Condition              | Not Meet Condition |
| KR (Volume)         | 5.50                        | 17.8  |

3. The following is the comparison between the reservoir operational pattern in Selorejo Dam using debit inflow from ARIMA Prediction, the plan made by Perum Jasa Tirta (PJT) I and all realizations of both. The comparison is shown in Table 7.

Table 6: The Comparison of Selorejo Dam Operational Pattern.

| Criteria               | Operational Pattern |
|------------------------|----------------------|
|                        | ARIMA | PJT-I | Real |
| KR (Volume)            | 5.50  | 17.8  | -    |
| Volume (million m³)    | 390.08| 339.31| 412.78|
| Electric Production (Million MWh) | 33.53 | 30.04 | 35.03 |

Table 7 indicates that reservoir operational pattern that is determined using debit inflow prediction from ARIMA model is closer to realization if understood from the difference in terms of volume and electric production.

Suggestion:-
1. In this study, Selorejo Dam debit inflow is determined using ARIMA in favor of short-term data prediction (1-year), whereas for longer term prediction, ARFIMA is suggested.
2. The capacity of ARIMA should be compared with other method.
3. Result of debit prediction with ARIMA is also useful for other measurements, such as water allocation, planting pattern, water construction plan, and others.
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