Late Cenozoic brittle deformation in the Southern Patagonian Andes: record of plate coupling/decoupling during variable subduction?
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Abstract

The Andes of southern Patagonia experienced a Miocene shift towards faster and higher angle subduction followed by the approach and collision of the Chile oceanic ridge. We present a kinematic study characterizing palaeostress fields computed from brittle tectonics, to better constrain upper-crustal deformation during this complex scenario. Although previous studies already suggested variable kinematics, it is striking that in a long-lasting subduction environment, the computed palaeostress tensors are mostly strike-slip (55%), while 35% are extensional, and only 10% compressive, concentrated along a main frontal thrust. Cross-cutting relationships and synsedimentary deformation indicates that a long-lived strike-slip regime was punctuated by a lower Miocene extensional event in the foreland before the main compressional event. The results are discussed in contrasting geodynamic models of plate coupling/decoupling vs. direction and rate of convergence of the subducting plate, to explain the main mechanisms that control back-arc deformation.
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1. Introduction

Evolution of Neogene deformation in the Southern Patagonian Andes (SPA) was related to changes in convergence vectors and to subduction of the Chile oceanic ridge (COR; Bourgois et al., 2000; Somoza and Ghidella 2005, 2012; Ghiglione and Cristallini 2007; Scalabrino et al., 2010). During an early Miocene plate reorganization event (~23 Ma; Lonsdale, 2005), oblique subduction towards southern South America shifted from subduction of the Farallon plate (NE ~5 cm/yr) to the faster and higher-angle Nazca plate (ENE ~15 cm/yr) (Somoza and Ghidella 2005, 2012). This event was followed by collision of segments of COR since ~15 Ma, generating contrasting scenarios of subduction north and south of the Chile triple junction (CTJ).

Oblique subduction of the fast and oblique Nazca plate (az. 10° 8 cm/yr; Gripp and Gordon, 1990; DeMets et al., 1990) north of the CTJ presently produces partitioning of deformation along the Liquiñe-Ofqui dextral strike-slip system (Fig. 1a; Hervé, 1994), while south of the CTJ, subduction of the slower Antarctic plate (NE 2 cm/yr) generates almost no upper-plate-related deformation (Scalabrino et al., 2010). The CTJ has moved northward from ~55° to its present position at ~46° during the Miocene (e.g. Cande and Leslie, 1986; Scalabrino et al., 2011; Aragón et al., 2013), and our working hypothesis is that the boundary separating these two contrasting deformation domains has moved accordingly. Therefore, strike-slip deformation could dominate in the SPA, which has undergone a long period of oblique subduction previous to collision of ridge segments (Fig. 1b–d).

In order to characterize and understand the stress field along the foothills of the SPA we present 54 new palaeostress tensors, obtained at 48 sites (Fig. 2a). We established a succession of the three end-member tectonic regimes in a quite short period of time. Analysis of cross-cutting relations and synsedimentary deformation indicates that long
lasting transcurrent deformation was interrupted by a prompt extensional event coeval with the early Miocene plate reorganization, followed by the compressional event producing basement thrusting. Our results show that back-arc deformation is strongly affected by oceanic plate reorganization in the subduction zone, and can lead to fundamental contrasts in terms of tectonic and sedimentary events.

2. Geological and tectonic setting

The Late Cenozoic evolution of the SPA is one of the most prominent examples of coupling between subduction dynamics, climate and tectonic deformation (Lagabrielle et al., 2009). Existing thermochronological data (Thomson et al., 2001, 2010; Fosdick et al., 2013; Guillaume et al., 2013) indicate enhanced exhumation that migrated eastward between ~33 Ma and 5–3 Ma, potentially related to the approach and collision of the COR (Haschke et al., 2006; Scalabrino et al., 2011). For the northern SPA a Miocene pre-ridge dextral transpressional deformation due to fast oblique subduction has been proposed (Scalabrino et al., 2009), followed by late Miocene–Pliocene compression during ridge collision and a post-ridge extensional stage concomitant with glaciations at the latitude of the present CTJ (Lagabrielle et al., 2004; 2007). However, kinematic data necessary to understand the complex space and time pattern of deformation are still scarce at a more detailed regional scale, the nature and kinematics of faulting being still open to discussion.

The studied sector is subdivided by the Basement thrust, a segmented fault with east vergence and ~N–S orientation (Fig. 2a), which superposes Jurassic–Cretaceous and Late Cenozoic rocks over the Miocene units (Giacosa and Franchi, 2001). The basement front segment delimited by the Sierra Colorada Fault is shifted towards the east relative to the regional trend (Figs. 2a,b and 3a), a characteristic that can be related to the tectonic inversion of Mesozoic rift structures in the foreland (Giacosa and Franchi, 2001; Sruoga et
al., 2014), as shown also in the southern end of the SPA (Likerman et al., 2013; Ghiglione et al., 2014). The structural domain located to the west of the Basement thrust is characterized by NNW-oriented fold-and-thrust sheets involving Palaeozoic basement with ductile deformation, Jurassic synrift volcanics and Cretaceous retroarc sequences (Giacosa and Franchi, 2001; Ghiglione et al., 2015, 2016). The external domain placed to the east is composed of Mio-Pliocene and some scattered Cretaceous units, which form a frontal monocline (Fig. 2).

3. Brittle deformation analysis

We used field observations and mapping work at different scales, ranging from satellite images (Fig. 3a) to meso-scale field observations and measurements (Figs. 4, 5a and 6). At a regional scale, satellite image analysis (Landsat TM, ALOS-PALSAR) west of Sierra Colorada fault led to the mapping of kilometric-scale lineaments in the El Quemado Complex (Jurassic volcanics) (Fig. 3a). Analysis of lineament directions shows 3 main families, a dominant N–S-oriented set, and secondary W–NW, and E–NE families (Fig. 3b). The comparison with directional statistics of the meso-scale faults measured in the same area reveals a good correlation (Fig. 3b). Indeed, the N–S-trending set and E–NE fault directions are recognized. The comparison between faults and lineament distributions at complementary scales confirms that meso-scale faults are representative of the distribution of regional brittle deformation (Ghiglione 2002; Rosenau et al. 2006).

The established directional distribution overall fits well with a regional N–S right-lateral Riedel fault system (Fig. 3c; Riedel, 1929) parallel to the front of the orogen, as shown by well represented N–S-trending main M dextral faults and N–NE-trending R dextral faults (Fig. 3d). E–NE-trending R' left-lateral faults, and N–NW trending P dextral faults show
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some scattering but also have a reasonably good fit (Fig. 3d). The N–NE families of reverse faults and N–NW extensional faults can be related, respectively, to the compressional and extensional events described below.

Beyond this specific mapping justified by the exceptional exposure of large-scale brittle structures in the El Quemado Complex, we systematically collected minor fault data (Figs. 4 and 5a) along the frontal region of the SPA, to determine the related palaeostress orientations.

In terms of methodology, fault/stripe analysis is based on the Wallace and Bott principle (Wallace, 1951; Bott, 1959), which has long been discussed and still remains a matter of debate concerning stress vs. strain relationships (e.g. Angelier and Mechler, 1977; Twiss and Unruh, 1998; Yamaji, 2000). This principle states that faults slip parallel to the direction of maximum resolved shear stress on the considered plane of the local spatially homogeneous stress tensor (refer to Lacombe, 2012; Riller et al., 2017 for further discussion).

About 1000 fault planes and their slickensides were measured at 48 sites, from which 54 palaeostress tensors were calculated, including their principal stress orientations and the related Φ-ratio ($\Phi = (\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)/(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$) representing the shape of the stress ellipsoid (see Table 1 with detailed parameters and the stereonet plate provided in supplementary material). In six sites, superimposed brittle deformation stages could be differentiated from cross-cutting relationships, leading to two palaeostress tensor inversions (Table 1). The MIM© software was used in order to determine the stress axes (Yamaji et al., 2011). The stability and the quality (Q parameter) of each tensor were estimated from a series of
criteria, including the visualization of the inverse function (Yamaji, 2000), the distribution of the measurements, the number of faults used in the inversion, the average misfit angle $M$ and a geometrical coherency test using the geometric right-dihedra method (Angelier and Mechler, 1977) and PBT method (Delvaux, 1993).

Tensor's qualities were classified from 1 (very good) to 3 (low quality), and only about 15% of the measurements have been discarded due to the high misfit individual angle. About 13 tensors exhibit plunge of sub-horizontal axes above 15°, and only 2 above 20°, and/or plunges of sub-vertical axes lower than 70°, and could be back-tilted according to $S_0$ (palaeo-horizontal) following the andersonian theory. These tensors were rotated to test changes in stress orientation, but only number 48 presented noticeable changes and reasonable field arguments showing its tilting (Figs. 5b,c; see discussion below). Accordingly, only tensor 48 was kept rotated in the final database.

The overall stress map (Fig. 7) includes comparable strain axes; shortening and stretching directions published by Diraison et al. (2000) and Lagabrielle et al. (2004). The obtained stress field is quite complex, and presents the three main deformational modes (extension, compression, strike-slip). Looking at the strike-slip tensors (about 55% of the total) two major directions arise from our database (Fig. 7), with subhorizontal $\sigma_1$ at az. $\sim30^\circ$ and $\sim110^\circ$, associated to subhorizontal $\sigma_3$ axis at az. $\sim120^\circ$ and $\sim20^\circ$, respectively. Extensional tensors represent 35% of our database with corresponding best $\sigma_3$ axis oriented at az. $\sim60^\circ$ (dominant direction) and az. $\sim150^\circ$ (minor direction). A minority of reverse tensors (10%) arise with a best $\sigma_1$ axis oriented at az. $\sim45^\circ$. 
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The $\Phi$-ratio (shape of the stress ellipsoid; Fig. 8), constrains the mode of deformation prevailing during the brittle phase(s) (Ritz and Taboada, 1993; Tricart et al., 2006; Beucher et al., 2017). The transcurrent tensors (31 data) show a clear unimodal distribution, with one central peak at around 0.5, which indicates a pure strike-slip system. On the contrary, a bimodal distribution for the 19 extensional tensors, with a main peak at low values (0.2) indicates a multi-trend extension, and a second peak around 0.7, indicating a tendency to transtension (Fig. 8). The 4 reverse tensors do not allow providing reliable statistics.

4. Discussion and conclusions

Through the determination of palaeostress tensors our results show the existence of the three main modes of deformation in the SPA (Fig. 7), distributed as follows: strike-slip deformation prevails in the western basement domain, while extension was observed in the lower Miocene foothills (Figs. 5a and 6) underneath the compression-dominated Basement thrust front (Fig. 2b). How such a particular pattern of deformation may arise from the regional geodynamics, and in which temporal order, are the main concerns of this discussion.

Several authors have proposed a transpressional regime for the SPA based on the measurement of widespread strike-slip kinematic indicators (Coutand et al., 1999; Diraison et al. 2000; Lagabrielle et al. 2004). The Eocene–Miocene scenario with oblique convergence of the Farallon and Nazca plates (Figs. 1b–d; Cande and Leslie, 1986) seems to be responsible for the transcurrent scenario (i.e. Scalabrin et al., 2010) and widespread strike-slip deformation of the basement domain (Fig. 7), which is comparable to the current dynamics of the Northern Patagonian Andes (Fig. 1a; Cembrano and Hervé, 1993; Rosenau et al. 2006; Georgieva et al. 2016). We suggest that overall Neogene deformation may have been dominated by transpressional right-lateral deformation partitioning along the Basement.
thrust (Fig. 1 c,d), i.e. a now extinct ancestor of the Liquiñe-Ofqui fault. For the particular case of the Sierra Colorado fault segment, an origin during Jurassic extensional/transtensional deformation has been proposed (Sruoga et al., 2014), i.e. providing a weakness zone readily reactivated during oblique subduction and related partition of deformation. Subordinate and scattered extensional deformation is affecting the Basement domain (Fig. 7), interpreted as relics from the Jurassic rift. The results are overall in accordance with expected transcurrent deformation dominating the SPA during oblique subduction previous to collision of ridge segments (Fig. 1b,c).

Lagabrielle et al. (2004) recognized synsedimentary folds and thrusts in the lower part of the Río Zeballos Group (Río Jeinemeni Formation; lower Miocene) along superb exposures in the Jeinemeni river southern cliff, and proposed a major contractional/transpressional? phase leading to the development of the main Basement thrust (Fig. 2a). New exposures at the base of the cliff reveal an extensional phase underlying the thrusting event (Fig. 6). Another example of this event of synextensional deformation can be found in the Lincoln river area (Fig. 5a). We documented outcrops of extensional growth strata and grabens that are overlain by the main thrust affecting Jurassic to Miocene sequences, and include rotated normal faults in the Río Jeinemeni Formation. Once back-tilted, these faults provided an extensional tensor (#48 Fig. 5b; see discussion above). This outcrop illustrates the synsedimentary nature of the deformation, indicated by depositional sequences thickening towards the listric faults and a decrease in dip from older to younger strata (Fig. 5a), a fact that allows us to state that the extensional phase took place during deposition of the growth strata in the early Miocene (Fig. 5c). Another indication constraining the age of extensional faulting is that the synrift formations are sealed by a horizontal post-extensional sequence made of undeformed strata from the Cerro Boleadoras Formation (Figs. 5c and 6). In concordance, many other tensors calculated in subhorizontal Miocene outcrops located at the latitude of the Buenos Aires plateau to the east of the
Basement thrust, *i.e.* not affected by later compression, yielded extensional palaeostress axes (Fig. 7), therefore reflecting their syn-extensional nature.

Afterwards, the COR moved towards the South American margin, triggering the compressional thrusting of the Basement front (Lagabrielle *et al.*, 2004). Tensors along the main thrust front between Lincoln River and Paso Roballos confirm its compressional nature (Figs. 5b and 7). Synsedimentary folds and thrusts cropping out along Jinemini river’s southern cliff (Lagabrielle *et al.*, 2004), and covering the synrift sequences (Fig. 6), give an indication of the sudden passage from extension to compression. These sequences are covered by the post-deformational Cerro Boleadoras Formation, constraining the short time-lapse in which synrift deposition was followed by the Basement thrusting phase (Fig. 5c). The geodynamic setting during the middle Miocene included young and hot approaching oceanic crust from the COR (Fig. 1c), *i.e.* positive buoyancy slab and shallower subduction angle, indicating a possible episode of enhanced coupling between the South America and Nazca plates. A tenfold acceleration in sedimentation rates (~100 m/My) calculated for the 18–14 Ma period (Blisniuk *et al.*, 2005) strengthens the interpretation of high coupling between the plates (see Horton and Fuentes, 2016; Horton, 2018).

In summary, the brittle deformation recorded in the SPA shows general strike-slip predominance in the Basement domain, probably due to deformation partitioning along the Basement thrust during Neogene oblique subduction. Evidence of synsedimentary normal faulting in lower Miocene rocks reflects an extensional palaeostress field coeval with low sedimentation rates, which could have taken place during an early Miocene event of plate decoupling. Afterwards, the SPA underwent a Middle Miocene compressional phase together with a tenfold increase in sedimentation driven by plate coupling, a consequence of the approach and collision of hot and young oceanic crust.
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Figures captions

Figure 1 a) Location of main morphostructural units and tectonic features discussed in the text and location of figures, after Ghiglione et al. (2010, 2016) and references therein. Yellow Myr ages in the Pacific trench indicate the time of collision of each Chile ridge segment between oceanic transform fault zones. Red opposing arrows indicate relative convergence between plates cited along the text. COR: Chile oceanic ridge; FZ transform fault zone; LAr Lago Argentino; LBe Lago Belgrano; LPo Lago Posadas; LSM Lago San Martín; LVi Lago Viedma; MEs Magallanes Strait; MFF Magallanes-Fagnano fault; NSR North Scotia Ridge; RTu Río Turbio; SAz Seno Almirantazgo; UEs Última Esperanza. (b–d) Sketches showing Cenozoic tectonic evolution. Plate configuration and convergence rates from Cande and Leslie (1986), Somoza and Ghidella (2012) and Eagles and Jokat (2014): (b) Late Miocene ~15–10 Ma: Compressional deformation was active along the Basement thrust front. (c) Early Miocene ~20 Ma: the Nazca plate initiates an orthogonal convergence to the South American plate with extensional axes trending ~E–W. d) Eocene ~45 Ma: the Farallon plate’s movement is oblique to the trench, leading to major transcurrent mode of deformation.

Figure 2 (a) Geological and structural map modified after Giacosa and Franchi (2001), Escosteguy et al. (2003) showing measurement sites and main structures discussed in the text and (b) regional cross-section. See Figure 1 for location of both figures.

Figure 3 (a) Satellite image interpretation of structural lineaments corresponding to large-scale brittle features measured in Jurassic rocks from El Quemado Complex and main mapped thrusts. See geological map from Figure 2 for location. (b) Plot of the 4500 lineaments drawn on the satellite image (Ronda et al., 2014) and the 308 micro-to-meso-
scale fault planes measured on the same sector in this study. (c) Theoretical dextral N–S Riedel system oriented in a fashion where each one of its constituents fits the established directional distribution of fault planes corresponding to the correspondent movement shown in: (d) Fault plane separation according to deformation type, notice the close similarity of those predicted by a Riedel system, shown in (c).

**Figure 4** (a) Positive flower structure with left-lateral strike-slip movement in Palaeozoic rocks (Río Lácteo Formation). (b) Detail of the pop-up structure and (c) of the sinistral fault plane. (d–f) Fault plane and sinistral striae near the same site. (g) Stereonets for Site 24, MIM on the left ($\sigma_1 169^\circ$ and $\sigma_3 76^\circ$, $n=12$, PH1=0,76), and PBT on the right ($\sigma_1 182^\circ$ and $\sigma_3 88^\circ$, $n=12$, PH1=0,33) along with their misfits.

**Figura 5** (a) Photographic panoramas at different zooms with structural interpretation in sedimentary sequences from Lower Miocene (Río Jeinemeni Formation) cropping out at Río Lincoln showing extensional growth strata, probably related to listric faults dipping to the ~W–NW. Synextensional features include increasing thickness of strata towards the faults, decrease in dip from older to younger strata and abrupt thickness changes. (b) Extensional tensor obtained from deformed equivalent sequences in the opposite shore of the river (site 48; see Table 1), with subhorizontal $\sigma_3$ best axis at 240°/12° (azimuth/plunge convention) after rotation according to S0 stratification (see Table 1). Concerning this specific tensor, part of the measurements (see supplementary data) may correspond to layer-parallel shortening (LPS, Tavani et al., 2015); they have been discarded from the inversion. c) Evolutionary sketch based on cross-cutting relations and synsedimentary deformation for the same site and at Jeinemeni river (see Figure 6). See location in Figure 2.
**Figure 6** Photographic panoramas looking to the NW of Jeinemeni river exposures showing superposition of extensional and compressional deformational structures during deposition of the Río Jeinemeni Formation (lower Miocene). The subhorizontal, post-deformation strata belong to the Cerro Boleadoras Formation.

**Figure 7** Palaeostress direction map for the MIM method plotted against the geological background. See geological references and site numbers in Figure 1. Additional deformational axes in blue are from Lagabrielle *et al.* (2004) in the northern sector and Diraison *et al.* (2000) south of 47°S.

**Figure 8** $\phi$-ratio (shape of the stress ellipsoid) histograms for all tensors (54 data), broken down by tensor type; see text for discussion.

**Table 1** Parameters of the 54 palaeostress tensors including: the site ID number; its coordinates latitude and longitude; the lithology; the orientation of the stratification of the sedimentary bed ($S_0$), if applicable, *i.e.* not in metasedimentary schists where we indicated “NA” for “non applicable”; the age of the rocks (Pz, Palaeozoic, J, Jurassic, K, Cretaceous, M, Miocene; the name of the regional formation (Fm); the number of all faults and striae measured (N); the number of faults and striae used for each method (n); the orientation (azimuth/plunge) of the computed $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ stress axes together with the corresponding $\phi$ ratio [$\phi = (\sigma_2-\sigma_3)/(|\sigma_1-\sigma_3|)$]; the average misfit angle (M); the quality parameter assigned to each tensor Q (1: very good, 2: good, 3: poorly constrained), the star indicates the single back-tilted tensor (see text for details); and the deformation mode (DEF), *i.e.* SS for strike-slip, N for normal faulting, and R for reverse faulting. MIM© software (Multiple Inverse
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Method version 6.02) was used to determine the stress axes, because of its up-to-date computing strategy (Yamaji et al., 2011).

**Supplementary material**

A/ Stereonets of the 54 palaeostress tensors are presented following the MIM software representation (equal area projection, lower hemisphere). Each stereonet shows the fault planes and striae used for the computation analysis. The triangles and stars represent $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ axes respectively.

B/ Table of the 1000 single fault measurements used in this study
| ID     | Latitude   | Longitude   | Lithology | So_(az/dip) | Age | Fm | N   | Multiple inverse method | Q | DEF |
|--------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----|----|-----|----------------------------|---|-----|
| Site 1-1 | 46°49,064'  | 71°50,522'  | Tuff      | 105/15      | Ki  | RT | 11  | 11  221/6 111,1/1,1 0,6 9,75 | 2 | SS  |
| Site 2  | 46°53,152'  | 71°53,242'  | Andesite  | 345/40      | J   | QC | 20  | 17  46/2 251,6/87,7 0,6 19,3 | 2 | R   |
| Site 3  | 46°57,674'  | 71°53,698'  | Dike      | 345/40      | J   | QC | 15  | 11  230,4/5,7 347,4/77,6 0,15 22 | 3 | R   |
| Site 4  | 47°07,330'  | 71°50,922'  | Tuff      | 10/30       | J   | QC | 14  | 12  238,3/5,5 159,2/80,4 0,6 16,4 | 2 | R   |
| Site 5  | 47°09,090'  | 71°49,714'  | Tuff      | 180/5       | J   | QC | 17  | 15  130,7/76,4 260,8/8,8 0,7 7,3 | 2 | N   |
| Site 6  | 47°09,256'  | 71°49,661'  | Tuff      | 190/10      | J   | QC | 10  | 10  79/13 345,6/14,6 0,5 14,2 | 2 | SS  |
| Site 7-1| 47°09,702'  | 71°50,602'  | Rhyolite  | 155/25      | J   | QC | 17  | 13  134,6/70,9 344/16,7 0,4 8,12 | 2 | N   |
| Site 8  | 47°11,137'  | 71°49,714'  | Pyroclastic | 135/20      | J   | QC | 26  | 20  220/8 128,9/8 0,39 19,29 | 2 | SS  |
| Site 9  | 47°11,743'  | 71°35,941'  | Rhyolite  | 200/10      | J   | QC | 12  | 12  102,3/15,8 12,3/0 0,5 8,83 | 3 | SS  |
| Site 10 | 47°11,445'  | 71°38,453'  | Rhyolite  | 5/5         | J   | QC | 12  | 12  123/14 30/11,7 0,52 8,46 | 2 | SS  |
| Site 11 | 47°13,629'  | 71°40,279'  | Rhyolite  | 220/15      | J   | QC | 10  | 10  67/5 158,8/18,9 0,5 9,76 | 1 | SS  |
| Site 12 | 47°14,093'  | 71°41,767'  | Pyroclastic | 225/10      | J   | QC | 14  | 10  137,7/13,9 229,2/6,1 0,7 15,79 | 2 | SS  |
| Site 13 | 47°15,701'  | 71°44,720'  | Pyroclastic | 10/15       | J   | QC | 17  | 17  29/7 121,8/20,9 0,5 10,45 | 2 | SS  |
| Site 14 | 47°19,570'  | 71°46,385'  | Silicified tuff | 15/10      | J   | QC | 26  | 20  334,7/18,3 70,3/16,1 0,45 22,92 | 2 | SS  |
| Site 15-a| 47°22,921'  | 71°43,392'  | Silicified tuff | 340/13      | J   | QC | 15  | 10  236/87 9/2 0,2 10,97 | 2 | N   |
| Site 15-b| 47°22,921'  | 71°43,392'  | Silicified tuff | 340/13      | J   | QC | 13  | 11  161/8 70,1/6,9 0,21 27,34 | 3 | SS  |
| Site 16 | 47°27,425'  | 71°42,368'  | Tuff      | 160/22      | J   | QC | 14  | 10  175/12 267/18,7 0,58 7,29 | 1 | SS  |
| Site 17 | 47°30,063' | 71°46,186' | Green tuff | 35/17 | J | QC | 21 | 16 | 290/12 | 28/12,6 | 0,6 | 20,94 | 2 | SS |
| Site 18 | 47°28,758' | 71°46,929' | Gray tuff | 0/0 | J | QC | 17 | 16 | 283/76 | 66,2/11,2 | 0,25 | 13,06 | 2 | N |
| Site 19 | 47°23,748' | 71°48,498' | Red tuff | 10/19 | J | QC | 12 | 11 | 198/20 | 291,7/10,3 | 0,57 | 15,78 | 3 | SS |
| Site 20-a | 47°21,362' | 71°47,664' | Light green tuff | 135/22 | J | QC | 8 | 8 | 10/77 | 244,7/7,6 | 0,17 | 12,31 | 3 | N |
| Site 20-b | 47°21,362' | 71°47,664' | Light green tuff | 135/22 | J | QC | 16 | 16 | 289/8,7 | 199,1/0,1 | 0,52 | 15,56 | 2 | SS |
| Site 21 | 47°30,565' | 71°56,646' | Pyroclastic | 200/64 | J | QC | 29 | 22 | 34,4/11 | 124,6/1 | 0,7 | 25 | 2 | SS |
| Site 22 | 47°21,567' | 71°58,980' | Quartz sandstones | 237/32 | Ki | Sp | 20 | 15 | 342,2/19 | 248,2/11,2 | 0,4 | 20 | 2 | SS |
| Site 23-a | 47°21,211' | 71°59,042' | Metasedimentary | NA | Pz | RL | 10 | 10 | 152,9/18,2 | 248,4/16,5 | 0,8 | 19,64 | 3 | SS |
| Site 23-b | 47°21,211' | 71°59,042' | Rhyolite silt | 160/40 | J | QC | 9 | 9 | 267/17 | 173,8/10,6 | 0,41 | 16,13 | 2 | SS |
| Site 24 | 47°26,398' | 72°04,122' | Metasedimentary | NA | Pz | RL | 14 | 12 | 169/12 | 76,3/12,7 | 0,76 | 21,43 | 3 | SS |
| Site 25 | 47°25,760' | 72°01,747' | Metasedimentary | NA | Pz | RL | 18 | 14 | 145/19,6 | 319,1/70,4 | 0,6 | 18,88 | 2 | R |
| Site 26-a | 47°25,164' | 71°58,496' | Rhyolitic tuff | 170/50 | J | QC | 10 | 10 | 354,8/9,4 | 87,5/15,7 | 0,52 | 12,69 | 2 | SS |
| Site 26-b | 47°25,164' | 71°58,496' | Rhyolitic tuff | 170/50 | J | QC | 12 | 11 | 110/16 | 200,5/2 | 0,51 | 13,94 | 2 | SS |
| Site 27 | 47°25,130' | 71°57,822' | Sandstones | 290/36 | Ki | RB | 23 | 15 | 276/77 | 69,4/11,8 | 0,75 | 16,19 | 2 | N |
| Site 28 | 47°44,132' | 72°05,674' | Conglomerates | 330/30 | J | QC | 21 | 17 | 262/8 | 170,2/11,9 | 0,11 | 15,4 | 2 | SS |
| Site 29 | 47°43,954' | 72°05,515' | Pyroclastic | 340/45 | J | QC | 40 | 33 | 111/17,7 | 203,7/12,7 | 0,9 | 29,72 | 3 | SS |
| Site 30 | 47°43,729' | 72°05,251' | White tuff | 350/16 | J | QC | 25 | 21 | 18,4/84,9 | 194,4/5,1 | 0,2 | 16,55 | 2 | N |
| Site 31 | 47°46,328' | 72°11,933' | Metasedimentary | NA | Pz | RL | 14 | 10 | 193,4/77,6 | 20,5/12,3 | 0,2 | 21,96 | 3 | N |
| Site 32 | 47°45,954' | 72°09,192' | Metasedimentary | NA | Pz | RL | 12 | 9 | 133,7/19,1 | 36,9/18,9 | 0,7 | 18,47 | 2 | SS |
| Site 33 | 47°47,187' | 72°02,999' | Pyroclastic | 355/23 | J | QC | 19 | 15 | 40/1 | 130,1/9,9 | 0,75 | 10,73 | 3 | SS |
| Site 34 | 47°49,820' | 72°8,716' | Metasedimentary | NA | Pz | RL | 22 | 18 | 51/84 | 261,2/5,2 | 0,37 | 15,37 | 2 | N |
| Site 35 | 47°50,079' | 72°05,197' | Tuff | 190/41 | J | QC | 21 | 21 | 112/5 | 20,5/16,9 | 0,1 | 16,7 | 1 | SS |
| Site 36 | 47°47,877' | 72°00,334' | Sandstones | 350/25 | Ki | RB | 8 | 8 | 100/13 | 194,1/17,5 | 0,25 | 14,25 | 3 | SS |
| Site | Latitude  | Longitude | Type          | J | QC | Slope | Tilt | Strike | Dip | Slope | Tilt | Strike | Dip | Slope | Tilt | Strike | Dip |
|------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|----|-------|------|--------|-----|-------|------|--------|-----|-------|------|--------|-----|
| 37   | 47°51,032' | 72°05,521' | Tuff          | J | QC | 180/18 | 354/10 | 260,5/18,7 | 0,85 | 17,13 |
| 38   | 47°50,967' | 72°05,992' | Green tuff    | J | QC | 185/24 | 112/71 | 280,4/18,7 | 0,74 | 22,31 |
| 39   | 47°53,599' | 72°05,598' | Dark Green Tuff | J | QC | 350/15 | 276,6/75,8 | 142/10 | 0,6 | 15,52 |
| 40   | 47°56,899' | 72°07,378' | Green dacite  | J | QC | 355/32 | 44,1/82,2 | 149/1,9 | 0,5 | 16,63 |
| 41   | 47°57,099' | 72°09,757' | Silicified tuff | J | QC | 350/28 | 44,1/82,2 | 149/1,9 | 0,5 | 16,63 |
| 42   | 47°33,955' | 71°54,090' | Sandstones    | 0/0 | Mi | SC | 25 | 270,8/10,7 | 180,3/3 | 0,3 | 9,4 |
| 43   | 47°03,956' | 70°49,184' | Sandstones    | 0/0 | Mi | SC | 20 | 50,9/86,3 | 310,9/0,7 | 0,3 | 12,94 |
| 44   | 46°36,435' | 71°31,749' | Sandstones    | 30/5 | Mi | SC | 20 | 26,6/79,1 | 190/10,4 | 0,2 | 12,01 |
| 45   | 46°44,312' | 71°43,328' | Sandstones    | 5/5 | Mi | SC | 12 | 297,3/83,3 | 27,2/0 | 0,2 | 7,83 |
| 46   | 46°42,078' | 71°38,763' | Sandstones    | 0/0 | Mi | SC | 17 | 321,2/80,5 | 151,3/9,4 | 0,2 | 7,9 |
| 47   | 46°38,324' | 71°38,685' | Sandstones    | 350/5 | Mi | Cnt | 16 | 333,2/72,4 | 140,2/17,2 | 0,65 | 8,84 |
| 48   | 46°53,158' | 71°52,353' | Sandstones    | 340/86 | Mi | Jn | 33 | 78,5/77,3 | 240,2/12,1 | 0,5 | 13,7 |
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