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Abstract

We propose a possible scheme for getting the known QCD scaling laws within string theory. In particular, we consider amplitudes for exclusive scattering of hadrons at large momentum transfer, hadronic form factors and distribution functions.
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1 Introduction

As it is well-known, string theory (dual-resonance model) was originally invented to describe the physics of hadrons [1]. However, in spite of much effort this idealized theory of hadrons failed and finally it was replaced by QCD.

New ideas came in 1997-99; with Polyakov proposal for string theory whose tension is running, Maldacena conjecture of the AdS/CFT correspondence, and the Randall-Sundrum proposal for the hierarchy problem [2, 3, 4]. The key feature of all these is the warped geometry in spacetime, i.e., spacetime metric is no longer Minkowskian rather the normalization of the four-dimensional Minkowski metric is a function of other coordinates. In the simplest case, for example, the worldsheet action (its bosonic part) for the theory with the running tension has the form

\[ S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2z \left( \partial \varphi \bar{\partial} \varphi + a^2(\varphi) \partial X \cdot \bar{\partial} X \right) , \]  

(1.1)

where \( a^2(\varphi) \) is the running string tension. A natural requirement is that \( a(\varphi) \sim e^\varphi \) as \( \varphi \to \infty \). In other words, spacetime behaves for large \( \varphi \) as AdS\(_5\). The field \( \varphi \) was called in [2] as the Liouville field.

Using these ideas, Polchinski and Strassler recently initiated a new attempt to describe the physics of hadrons in the framework of string theory [5]. They proposed a scheme of evaluating high-energy scattering amplitudes of glueballs in terms of vertex operators and found that the amplitudes fall as
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\[ \text{See also} \ [6, 7, 8] . \]
powers of momentum. This is the desired result which was found in the physics of hadrons long time ago \cite{3}. To be more precise, the amplitude for exclusive scattering of $m + 2$ glueballs is given by\cite{5}

$$\mathcal{M}(2 \rightarrow m) \sim \frac{(gN_c)^{(n-2)/4}}{N_c^m \Lambda^{m-2}} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{p} \right)^{n-4} ,$$

(1.2)

where $p$ is a large momentum scale, $g$ is the string coupling constant which is a square of the gauge coupling, $N_c$ is a number of colors, and $\Lambda$ is a scale by the lightest glueball. $n$ denotes a total number of constituents in the glueballs.

In fact, it was known already in the seventies that dimensional analysis and some simple assumptions (dimensional counting rules) immediately lead to the correct scaling in exclusive hadronic scattering at large momentum transfer \cite{2}

$$\mathcal{M}(2 \rightarrow m) \sim p^{-n+4} .$$

(1.3)

Indeed, if the total number of constituents in the hadrons is $n$ and one-particle state $|p\rangle$ is normalized in such a way to be dimension of [length], then the amplitude has dimension [length]$^{n-4}$. If, moreover, at large momentum transfer, $p$ is the only length scale, then it immediately follows the wanted scaling. Modulo soft violations (logarithms) this scaling is in rather good agreement with experimental results.

It is now clear that the result (1.2) doesn’t completely agree with this dimensional analysis of exclusive processes because it contains two dimensionfull parameters. It seems more relevant for inclusive processes where the second parameter is normally interpreted as the missing mass. In this case $n$ represents a subset of constituents which participate in scattering; others remains “spectators”. Moreover, in section 2 we will also see that QCD analysis provides a dependence on the coupling constant which differs from the one of Eq.(1.2).

The purpose of the present paper is twofold. The first is to propose a possible scheme for getting the scaling within string theory which resolves these difficulties. This scheme can be considered as a refinement of \cite{3}. Like old matrix models (2d gravity coupled to conformal matter), where the scaling is obtained via a zero mode of the Liouville field \cite{11}, here we also get the scaling by a zero mode. As early mentioned, the warped geometry provides a natural candidate for a role of the Liouville field. Note that this significantly simplifies the analysis as a knowledge of the whole dependence of vertex operators on the Liouville field is not required. As to the zero mode dependence, it is provided by the corresponding Laplace equation in spacetime. Thus our derivation of the scaling seems quite universal. The second is to apply this scheme to hadronic form factors and distribution functions for deep inelastic scattering. There are some special limits where these objects can easily be analyzed \cite{12, 13}. So we are bound to learn something if we succeed.

It is also worth mentioning that while this paper was being written, Polchinski and Strassler came up with a new paper \cite{14} which has some overlap with what we describe in sections 2 and 3.

The outline of the paper is as follows. We start in section 2 by recalling some basic facts on QCD analysis of large-momentum-transfer processes. Here we focus on hard subprocesses for hadronic scattering and form factors. In Section 3 we present the scheme for getting the scaling behavior within string theory. We find complete agreement with the Born approximation in QCD. Section 4 contains our conclusions and a list of open problems.

\footnote{See, e.g., \cite{10}.}

\footnote{See also \cite{15}.}
2 Large-momentum-transfer processes in QCD

In this section we will briefly recall some basic facts on QCD analysis of large-momentum-transfer exclusive processes. We will not consider spin dependent effects in what follows.

2.1 Fixed-angle hadronic scattering

In QCD analysis of a hadronic process \(AB \rightarrow CD\) the fixed-angle scattering amplitude related to hard subprocesses is given by the amplitude \(T_H\) for the scattering of hadronic constituents, integrated over possible constituent momenta adding up to the hadron momenta. Explicitly,

\[
\mathcal{M}(AB \rightarrow CD) = \prod_{i=A,...,D} \int_0^1 [dx^i] \Phi^*_i(x^D, p^2_\perp) \Phi_i(x^C, p^2_\perp) T_H(x^A, \ldots, x^D, p^2_\perp) \Phi_B(x^B, p^2_\perp) \Phi_A(x^A, p^2_\perp),
\]

where \(x^i = \{x^i_1, \ldots, x^i_{n_i}\}\), \([dx^i] = dx^i_1 \ldots dx^i_{n_i} \delta (1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} x^i_k)\), \(p^2_\perp = tu/s\), and \(n_i\) is a minimal number of constituents (valence quarks) in the \(i\)-th hadron. The momentum transfer between hadronic constituents occurs via the hard scattering amplitude \(T_H\) which, to leading order in the coupling constant, is given by the sum of all Born diagrams with hadrons replaced by their constituents. A typical Born diagram looks like that in Fig. 1. The amplitude \(\Phi_i\) is a probability amplitude for finding constituents with fractions of longitudinal momenta \(x^i_k\) in the \(i\)-th hadron.

\[\text{Figure 1: A typical Born diagram for meson-baryon scattering.}\]

For \(s \rightarrow \infty\) at \(s/t\) fixed, \(T_H\) falls with increasing \(s\) as

\[
T_H(x^A, \ldots, x^D, p^2_\perp) = \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi s}\right)^{\frac{n}{2} - 2} f(s/t, x^A, \ldots, x^D) \left(1 + O(e^2)\right),
\]

where \(n = n_A + \cdots + n_D\). Since the probability amplitudes in the Born approximation behave as

\[
\Phi_i(x^i, p^2_\perp) = \phi_i(x^i),
\]

the amplitude \(\mathcal{M}(AB \rightarrow CD)\) takes the form

\[
\mathcal{M}(AB \rightarrow CD) \sim \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi s}\right)^{\frac{n}{2} - 2}.
\]

\footnote{For further discussion, backgrounds and experimental data see, e.g., \[14, 15\].}

\footnote{Note that adding more constituents (nonvalence quarks) is unimportant to leading order in \(p^2_\perp\) at \(p^2_\perp \rightarrow \infty\). So, \(n_i\) every time means a minimal number.}

\footnote{Note that in the center of mass frame, \(t = -s \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}, u = -s \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\), and \(p^2_\perp = \frac{1}{4}s \sin^2 \theta\). So, \(p^2_\perp \sim s\) at fixed angle.}
At this point a comment is in order. It is well-known that radiative corrections in QCD typically contain logarithms that violate the scaling. To next order (one-loop approximation), they are included by replacing $e^2/4\pi \to \alpha_s(p_\perp^2)$, $\Phi_i(x', p_\perp^2) \to \phi_i(x') (\ln p_\perp^2 / \Lambda_{QCD}^2)^{-\gamma_i}$, where $\Lambda_{QCD}$ is the QCD parameter and $\gamma_i$ is some constant. Note that the amplitude can then be rewritten as

$$M(AB \to CD) \sim \left[ \alpha_s(p_\perp^2) \right]^{\alpha_2 - 2 + \sum \gamma_i} p_\perp^{-n+4} . \quad (2.5)$$

### 2.2 Electromagnetic form factors and structure functions

The electromagnetic form factor of a hadron is given by a matrix element of the electromagnetic current between two hadronic states $\langle p + q | J^\mu(0) | p \rangle$. The form factors are most easily analyzed by using the two invariants $q^2 = -Q^2 < 0$, $\nu_B = p \cdot q$ and then taking the Bjorken limit where $Q^2$ and $\nu_B$ both go to infinity with the ratio, $x_B = Q^2 / 2\nu_B$ fixed \[^2\]. $x_B$ is known as the Bjorken variable. Note that for elastic scattering $x_B = 1$. Using Lorentz covariance and gauge invariance, the matrix element can be parameterized in terms of a scalar function (form factor) $F(Q^2)$ as

$$\langle p + q | J^\mu(0) | p \rangle = (2p^\mu + q^\mu) F(Q^2) \ , \quad (2.6)$$

where $J^\mu(0) = \int d^4k J^\mu(k)$.

To leading order in the coupling constant, the form factor in QCD takes the form

$$F(Q^2) = \int_0^1 [dx][dy] \Phi^*(x,Q^2) T_B(x,y,Q^2) \Phi(y,Q^2) \ , \quad (2.7)$$

where $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, $[dx] = dx_1 \ldots dx_n \delta(1 - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k)$, and $n$ is a number of constituents (valence quarks) in the hadron \[^7\]. The amplitude $\Phi$ is defined in the same way as in the previous subsection while $T_B$ is now given by the sum of all Born diagrams for the hadron constituents to scatter with the photon producing the constituents in the final state. A typical Born diagram now looks like that in Fig.2.

\begin{center}
\includegraphics{born_diagram.png}
\end{center}

\textbf{Figure 2:} A typical Born diagram for the meson form factor.

At large $Q^2$ the Born diagrams give

$$T_B(x,y,Q^2) = \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi Q^2} \right)^{n-1} t_B(x,y) \quad (2.8)$$

and thus, reasoning as in the previous subsection, the asymptotic behavior of the form factor is given by

$$F(Q^2) \sim \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi Q^2} \right)^{n-1} , \quad (2.9)$$

\[^7\]The variable $y$ and the integration measure $[dy]$ are defined in the same way.
A few noteworthy facts are the following. Dimensional analysis and the assumptions of section 1 which lead to the scaling law for the amplitudes can also be applied to the form factors. This immediately gives the desired scaling law (Bjorken scaling) $F(Q^2) \sim Q^{-2n+2}$. One of the most interesting applications of QCD was the prediction of slow violations of Bjorken scaling by soft $\ln Q^2$'s. To one-loop approximation, the logarithms are included in the same way as in subsection 2.1: by replacing $\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \to \alpha_s(p_{1 \perp}^2)$, $\Phi_i(x^i, p_{1 \perp}^2) \to \phi_i(x^i) (\ln p_{1 \perp}^2 / \Lambda_{QCD}^2)^{-\gamma_i}$. Note that the form factor can also be rewritten as

$$F(Q^2) \sim [\alpha_s(p_{1 \perp}^2)]^{n-1+2\gamma} (Q^2)^{-n+1}.$$  \hfill (2.10)

Let us conclude the discussion of QCD by briefly reviewing the hadronic structure functions. These are defined via the hadronic tensor

$$W^{\mu\nu}(Q^2, \nu_B) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4\xi e^{i q \cdot \xi} \langle p | J^\mu(\xi) J^\nu(0) | p \rangle$$

as

$$W^{\mu\nu}(Q^2, \nu_B) = \left(-\eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{q^\mu q^\nu}{q^2}\right) F_1(Q^2, \nu_B) + \frac{1}{\nu_B} \left(p^\mu - \frac{\nu_B}{q^2} q^\mu\right) \left(p^\nu - \frac{\nu_B}{q^2} q^\nu\right) F_2(Q^2, \nu_B).$$ \hfill (2.12)

Note that the functions $F_1$ and $F_2$ are related to others in common use by $W_1 = F_1$ and $W_2 = M^2 / \nu_B F_2$, where $M$ is a hadronic mass.

The physical meaning of $F_2$ is that its $x_B$ dependence probes the longitudinal momentum distribution of the hadron constituents as viewed in the infinite momentum frame of the hadron. In particular, it is expressed in terms of the distribution functions $G_i$ as

$$F_2(x_B) = x_B \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 G_i(x_B),$$ \hfill (2.13)

where $\lambda_i$ is a charge of the $i$-th constituent.

The structure functions are not known completely because they are in general beyond the tools of perturbative theory. However, some asymptotics are available. In particular, the distribution functions which become functions (modulo soft logarithms) only of $x_B$ in the Bjorken limit, behave as

$$G_i(x_B) \sim (1 - x_B)^{2n-3}$$ \hfill (2.14)

near the threshold $x_B = 1$. As before, $n$ means a total number of constituents in the hadron. It is worth mentioning that this asymptotic behavior can also be determined via a convolution equation for $G_i$.\footnote{\label{footnote1}Since we don’t consider spin effects, we omit an antisymmetric part of $W^{\mu\nu}$ which provides two other structure functions: $g_1$ and $g_2$.}

\section{Scaling laws via string theory}

The aim of this section is to show how the Born approximation for hadronic amplitudes and form factors can be easily obtained in the framework of string theory.

\footnote{\label{footnote2}\textit{G}_i(x_B) is defined as a probability of finding the $i$-th constituent in the hadron with fractional longitudinal momentum $x_B$ (in the infinite momentum frame of the hadron).}
3.1 String theory settings

According to our discussion of section 1, the metric asymptotically behaves as $\text{AdS}_5$. Since we are interested in the scaling rather than its violation, it is natural to use this metric. So, as in [5] we begin with string theory on the product of $\text{AdS}_5$ with a five-dimensional transverse space $K$. A spacetime metric is then

$$ds^2 = \frac{r^2}{R^2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dX^\mu dX^\nu + \frac{R^2}{r^2} dr^2 + R^2 ds^2_K,$$

where $R$ is a radius of $\text{AdS}_5$, $\eta$ is a four-dimensional Minkowski metric. We assume that $K$ doesn’t provide any dimensionfull parameter except $R$. Moreover, $ds^2_K$ doesn’t depend on $R$.

Before continuing our discussion of string theory settings, let us pause here to stress an important point. Although we use some technique inspired by the AdS/CFT conjecture, we don’t strictly follow this conjecture. The point is that we are interested in the physical processes where perturbation theory is applicable rather than the strong coupling regime. So, we postulate the following relation

$$g = e^{2\pi \frac{\alpha'}{R^2}} = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'},$$

between the closed string coupling constant $g$, the gauge coupling constant $e$, and the parameters $R$, $\alpha'$. This relation is not obviously what is imposed by the AdS/CFT correspondence. It becomes the latter (modulo a numerical factor) by replacing $e^2 \to e$, $g \to \sqrt{g}$. It is worth mentioning that some examples where AdS/CFT results look like QCD ones after the above replacement are already known in the literature (see, e.g., [19, 20]).

In the first-quantized string theory one first introduces a free field action on a worldsheet, then defines physical vertex operators. Finally, scattering amplitudes in spacetime are defined as expectation values of the vertex operators. In general, it is unknown how to implement this program in the case of curved background like AdS. However, we think that the problem of interest doesn’t require knowledge of the full string theory on AdS. It should be a simple stringy analog of the dimensional counting rules which results in the scaling laws. Our idea is to relate the scaling to a zero mode of $r$ as it is usually done in the context of 2d gravity where the scaling is due to a zero mode of the Liouville field. Then, all what we need is the dependence of vertex operators on this zero mode. The latter can be found from the Laplace equation on $\text{AdS}_5 \times K$. In fact, our scenario means that non-zero modes of the transverse fields as well as $r$ are not of primary importance for the scaling. To leading order, they contribute a numerical factor. Alternatively, one can say that fluctuations of the transverse fields as well as $r$ are slow as it was done in [5].

Under this assumption, it is straightforward to write down a part of the worldsheet action for the remaining non-zero modes which is most appropriate for our purposes

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 z \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}} \partial X \cdot \bar{\partial} X + \psi \cdot \bar{\partial} \psi + \bar{\psi} \cdot \partial \bar{\psi} \right),$$

where $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha' \frac{R^2}{r^2}$ and the $\psi$’s have been rescaled as $\psi \to \frac{R}{\bar{\psi}}$. We use this form of the action for two reasons: (1) It allows us to use the known results for string amplitudes simply by replacing $\alpha' \to \hat{\alpha}$. (2) It represents a model theory which has the running tension in the sense of Polyakov (see Eq.(1.1)).

To evaluate the correlation functions of vertex operators one needs to define the path integral measure. First let us do so for the zero modes [9]. It is natural to take it in a covariant form $\sqrt{-g} d^{10} \xi$.

---

10Note that this is a conformal invariant action because $r$ doesn’t depend on $z$.

11We only consider the NS-NS sector, so there are no zero modes of the $\psi$’s.
However, for a reason which will be clear in a moment we need it to be dimensionless. So, we define the measure as

$$\frac{1}{\alpha' R^6} \sqrt{-g} d^{10} \xi = \frac{1}{\alpha' R^4} r^3 dr d^4 x d\Omega_K ,$$

(3.4)

where $d\Omega_K$ is an invariant measure on $K$.

The non-zero modes are quantized in an ordinary way as it follows from their action (3.3). The only novelty is an appearance of $\hat{\alpha}$ instead of $\alpha'$. For example, in the case of spherical topology the propagators are given by

$$\langle X^\mu(z, \bar{z}) X^\nu(z', \bar{z}') \rangle = -\hat{\alpha} \eta_{\mu\nu} \ln |z - z'| , \quad \langle \psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(z') \rangle = \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{z - \bar{z}'} .$$

(3.5)

As mentioned earlier, we are interested in the scaling properties of hadron interaction involving transfer of large momenta where all masses are negligible. Therefore the most appropriate string vertex operators to try are the massless ones. In general, one computes such vertex operators in the supergravity approximation (zero mode approximation) by finding solutions of the corresponding Laplace equation on $\text{AdS}_5 \times K$. A general solution looks like $V \sim f(\Omega) \phi(r) e^{i p \cdot x}$, where $\phi$ shows a power-law falloff as $r \to \infty$. As mentioned earlier, the metric is $\text{AdS}_5$ only for large $r$, so it is pointless to use the exact solution for $\phi(r)$ as long as we use the $\text{AdS}_5$ metric. The only thing we really need is its power-law falloff $\phi \sim r^{-n}$. It was suggested in [5] that if one interprets vertex operators as hadronic states, one thinks of the $n$’s as the numbers of hadron constituents. The function $f$ is a solution of the Laplace equation on $K$ which is responsible for internal degrees of freedom. Since we don’t take them into account, we will not pay attention to $f$ as well.

Now let us extend the analysis to include the non-zero modes. In the approximation we work it can easily be done by using the standard expressions for the vertex operators with $\alpha'$ replaced by $\hat{\alpha}$. Putting all this together, we get

$$V_{n, p} = f(\Omega) \left( \frac{\alpha'}{r} \right)^n e^{i p \cdot x} \int d^2 z \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} V_i^\mu(p, z) \bar{V}_i^\nu(p, \bar{z}) ,$$

(3.6)

where $i$ means the superghost charge, $\varepsilon$ is the polarization tensor. Note that we extract the zero-mode factor $e^{i p \cdot x}$ from the vertex operators $V_i$ and $\bar{V}_i$ here and below. In general, the integrand of (3.6) is more involved because $V_i$ and $\bar{V}_i$ are dressed by operators constructed from non-zero modes of $r$ and the transverse fields.

Since we don’t consider spin dependent effects let us specialize to the dilaton vertex. To fix its normalization, we first make a rescaling $X \to \sqrt{\hat{\alpha}} X$ to bring the integrand into a dimensionless form. Then we insert a factor $\alpha'^n$. Thus, the vertex takes the form

$$V_{n, p} = f(\Omega) \left( \frac{\alpha'}{r} \right)^n e^{i p \cdot x} \int d^2 z \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} V_i^\mu(\hat{p}, z) \bar{V}_i^\nu(\hat{p}, \bar{z}) .$$

(3.7)

We use $\hat{p}$ as a shorthand notation for $\sqrt{\alpha'} p$. It is evident that such a vertex has dimension $[\text{length}]^n$ exactly as needed for the normalization of $n$-particle state.

Now that we have the vertex operators for hadronic states, we can focus on the next object of interest, the vertex operator for the electromagnetic current. Since the current is conserved, it obeys

$$q \cdot J(q) = 0 .$$

(3.8)
A natural realization which satisfies such a condition can easily be found in a picture where a string worldsheet admits boundaries. In this case, we have

\[ J^\mu(q) \sim e^{i\hat{q} \cdot x} \int_C dz V_0^\mu(\hat{q}, z) , \]  

(3.9)

where \( V_0^\mu = \left( \partial X^\mu + i \frac{1}{2} (\hat{q} \cdot \psi) \psi^\mu \right) e^{i\hat{q} \cdot X} \), \( \hat{q} = \sqrt{\alpha'} \). \( C \) denotes a worldsheet boundary.

By analogy with the vertex operators of hadrons, we insert the factor \( f(\Omega) \left( \alpha' r \right)^n \). Since the current has the dimensionality of [length], we set \( n = 1 \). Thus, a final form of \( J^\mu(q) \) is given by

\[ J^\mu(q) = f(\Omega) \left( \frac{\alpha'}{r} \right) e^{i\hat{q} \cdot x} \int_C dz V_0^\mu(\hat{q}, z) . \]  

(3.10)

3.2 Evaluation of amplitudes

The calculation of the scattering amplitude for a hadronic process \( AB \rightarrow CD \) is mainly going along the lines of [5] adjusted to our settings. The amplitude is defined as the expectation value of the product of the vertex operators (3.7)

\[ \delta^{(4)}(p_A + \cdots + p_D) \mathcal{M}(AB \rightarrow CD) = \frac{1}{g^2} \left\langle \prod_{i=A,...,D} g^{n_i} V_{n_i,p_i} \right\rangle . \]  

(3.11)

Here the string worldsheet is a sphere as is usual at tree level in closed string perturbation theory. Some factors of this expression require further explanation: (1) The over-all factor \( g^{-2} \) comes from the sphere as it should be. (2) The factors \( g^{n_i} \) are due to our normalization prescription. It differs from the standard one and will be discussed later.

The integration over non-zero modes doesn’t require much of work at least in the case of spherical topology where the four-point dilaton amplitude \( \mathcal{A}_4 \) is well-known in the literature [21]. Aside from an irrelevant numerical factor, the amplitude is then given by

\[ \mathcal{M}(AB \rightarrow CD) = \frac{g^{n-2}}{\alpha'^2 R^2} \int_0^\infty dr r^3 \left( \frac{\alpha'}{r} \right)^n \mathcal{A}_4(\alpha' R^2 s/r^2, \alpha' R^2 t/r^2, \alpha' R^2 u/r^2) . \]  

(3.12)

Here the integral over \( \Omega_K \) has not disappeared, but was included in the numerical factor. \( n \) is the sum of the \( n_i \)’s. From the above expression it is evident that if we rescaled \( r \) as \( r \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha' s R r} \), then we get

\[ \mathcal{M}(AB \rightarrow CD) = F(\theta) \left( \frac{g}{s} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} , \]  

(3.13)

where \( F(\theta) \) is a function of the angle \( \theta \) defined in the center of mass frame. This is the desired result, and it is identical to the result of QCD, Eq.(2.4).

A couple of comments is in order:

(1) The use of the relation (3.2) is crucial for matching with the QCD result.

(2) It may appear that the scaling is due to the zero modes only. That is not exactly true. The none-zero modes contribute into the function \( F(\theta) \) which contains some important information. We will return to this issue in section 4.

\[ \text{To our knowledge, this is the simplest way of introducing electromagnetic currents in string theory.} \]
3.3 Evaluation of form factors and distribution functions

By analogy with the amplitudes, we define the form factor as the expectation value of the product of the vertex operators given by (3.7) and (3.10). Explicitly,

$$\langle p + q | J^\mu(0) | p \rangle = \int d^4k \, \frac{1}{g} \langle g^n V_{n,p+q} \sqrt{g} J^\mu(k) g^n V_{n,p} \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)

The worldsheet is now a disk (upper half plane). So we insert the over-all factor $g^{-1}$ as is usual in the case of the disk. Just as before, each closed string vertex carries a factor $g^n$. From this, it seems natural to accompany each open string vertex with

$$J \equiv \text{equivocally, } (\text{see, e.g.,}[22] \text{ and references therein}).$$

After performing the integration over $x$ and setting the vertex operators at $(z_1, \bar{z}_1, z_2, \bar{z}_3) = (iy, -iy, t, i, -i)$, we find (modulo a numerical factor)

$$\langle p + q | J^\mu(0) | p \rangle = \frac{g^{2n-\frac{3}{2}}}{\alpha'^2 R^2} \int_0^{+\infty} dr r^3 \left(\frac{\alpha'}{r}\right)^{2n+1} A^\mu(\hat{p}, \hat{q})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

with

$$A^\mu(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \int_0^1 dy \, \varepsilon_{\mu \nu}^{\text{dil}} \varepsilon_{\lambda \sigma}^{\text{dil}} \langle V_{-1}^\nu(iy, -\hat{p} - \hat{q}) V_{-1}^\nu(-iy, -\hat{p} - \hat{q}) V_0^\mu(t, 2\hat{q}) V_0^\lambda(i, \hat{p}) V_0^\sigma(-i, \hat{p}) \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)

Here we again include the integral over $\Omega_K$ into an irrelevant numerical factor.

To keep things as simple as possible, first we choose the infinite momentum frame for the hadron

$$p^\mu = (P + M^2/2P, 0, 0, P) \quad , \quad q^\mu = (\nu_B/P, q^1, q^2, 0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)

Here $M^2$ is a mass of the hadron. Secondly, we specialize in a convenient current component $J^0$ or, equivalently, $J^3$. Then, it follows from Lorentz covariance that $A^0(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = \sqrt{\alpha} PA(\alpha Q^2)$.

Using Eq.(2.7), we find the following representation for the form factor

$$F(Q^2) = \frac{g^{2n-\frac{3}{2}}}{\alpha'^2 R^2} \int_0^{+\infty} dr r^3 \left(\frac{\alpha'}{r}\right)^{2n+2} A(\alpha' R^2 Q^2 / r^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

The desired QCD result (2.9) is obtained by rescaling $r \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha' Q^2 R} r$ and using the relation (3.2). At this point, it is necessary to make a couple of remarks.

(1) Unlike the four-point dilaton amplitude $A_4$ we used to evaluate the hadronic amplitudes in the previous subsection, the correlator of the five vertex operators in Eq.(3.10) is not well-defined in the following sense. As an object of 2d conformal field theory, $\int dt V_0^\mu(t, 2\hat{q})$ is well-defined only at $q^2 = 0$ while for our purposes we need it at large $q^2$. In fact, this is the old standing problem of string theory: how to continue correlators of vertex operators defined on-shell (at special values of momenta) to off-shell (for arbitrary values of momenta). So far, there is no solution to this problem. In the problem of interest it means that $A^0$ is in general ambiguous \footnote{Note that $Q^2 = -q^2 = (q^1)^2 + (q^2)^2 + O(1/P^2)$.}. However, it is clear from the above discussion that the explicit form of $A^0$ is not of principal importance for our purposes. So, our results seem rather universal and independent of a special way of going off-shell. We will return to this point\footnote{Because of this, it seems pointless to give an explicit calculation of $A^0$. It will suffer from ambiguity as any off-shell continuation.}.

\[9\]
in Section 4.

(2) It is straightforward to evaluate the inelastic form factors by using the same technique. It is clear that the result has the same form as before with \( n \) replaced to \((n_1 + n_2)/2\). Here \( n_i \) means a number of constituents in the \( i \)-th hadron.

Finally, let us discuss how the asymptotics (2.14) for the distribution functions can be obtained in string theory. In fact, it was realized long years ago [13] that this asymptotics is closely related to the asymptotic behavior of the form factors we have just considered. Thus, it seems natural to reproduce it too.

To do so, we first choose a convenient infinite momentum frame defined by Eq.(3.17). Our next task is to evaluate a probability amplitude of finding the \( i \)-th constituent in the hadron with fractional longitudinal momentum \( x_B \). If \( V_{n,p} \) describes a hadronic state with \( n \) constituents, then the best what we can use as an approximation to the hadronic state containing the \( i \)-th constituent with a specific momentum is \( V_{1,p'}V_{n-1,p-p'} \). What is important to remark is that unlike \( p \), all other momenta are not light-like. Thus, the corresponding vertex operators are off-shell. The probability amplitude is simply

\[
A_i \sim \langle V_{n,p}V_{1,p'}V_{n-1,p-p'} \rangle .
\]

To compute the distribution function, we have to integrate \( |A_i|^2 \) over momenta of the constituents. In our approximation to the probability amplitude there is no integration over longitudinal momenta as \( x_B \) is fixed. As to transverse momenta, it seems natural to parameterize them in terms of \( q \) (see (3.17)). The lovely thing about the threshold \( x_B = 1 \) is that at leading order in \( 1 - x_B \) we can take \( q = p - p' \). Just as before, it is now easy to evaluate the scaling behavior of the amplitude

\[
A_i \sim \frac{1}{(Q^2)^{n-2}} .
\]

Finally, the desired result is obtained after a simple estimation

\[
G_i(x_B) \sim \int_{M^2}^{+\infty} dQ^2 A_i^2 \sim (1 - x_B)^{2n-3} .
\]

At this point, it is worth mentioning that in approaching the threshold one must satisfy the inequality \( Q^2(1 - x_B) > M^2 \) in order to stay in the Bjorken limiting region for \( x_B \). This inequality provides the lower limit of integration.

4 Many open problems

There is a large number of open problems associated with the circle of ideas explored in this paper. In this section we list a few.

It would be interesting to understand in more detail how string theory reproduces the results of QCD in the Born approximation. The point is that in QCD the calculation of the hadronic scattering amplitudes involves a summation of a huge number of Born diagrams like the one presented in Fig.1. On the other hand, we saw in section 3 that in string theory the summation is automatically done and all information is encoded in the function \( F(\theta) \). Thus, this function may be considered as a generating function for Born diagrams. If so, it would significantly simplify ordinary QCD calculations. The problem is how to implement this explicitly. Unfortunately, our approximation is invalid for computing the exact form of \( F(\theta) \). A possible way to deal with the problem is of course to involve the non-zero
modes of \( r \) and even the transverse fields. A price for this is a long standing problem: string theory on AdS\(_5\). Though some information which is relevant for deep inelastic scattering has already been extracted from this theory (see, e.g., [1, 23]), a complete solution is still missing.

A related problem is understanding more clearly the stringy calculation of the form factors. Even without turning on the non-zero modes of \( r \) and the transverse fields, it requires off-shell continuation. In principle, accounting for the non-zero modes might help with off-shell continuation. However, another interesting idea for doing so is to try the original Liouville mode as it comes from a conformal factor of the worldsheet metric [24]. In addition, it would be interesting to compute the matrix element \( \langle p | J^\mu(\xi) J^\nu(0) | p \rangle \) directly by using the vertex operators.

It should be stressed that the string theory construction we are dealing with has an essential difference from the standard one. Usually each external leg of Feynman diagram corresponds to a vertex operator in the corresponding string correlator representing the amplitude. For example, one has at tree level in closed string perturbation theory

\[
g^{m-2} \langle V_{n_1,p_1} \cdots V_{n_m,p_m} \rangle ,
\]

where each operator is accompanied by \( g \). It is transparent from the diagrams of Figs.1-2 that in the problem of interest we assigned a vertex operator also to a bunch of external legs. This is as it should be because hadrons are composite objects. As a consequence, our normalization prescription for the vertex operators is different of (4.1). It is clear that the standard prescription fails if it is blindly applied to recover the QCD results. To see what happened, consider our normalization in more detail. We begin with a modification of (4.1) via replacing \( g V_{n_1,p_1} \rightarrow g^{n_1} V_{n_1,p_1} \). This gives the over-all factor \( g^{n-2} \), where \( n = n_1 + \cdots + n_m \). However, this is not the whole story. The point is that the expectation value of the product of the vertex operators provides an additional factor \( g^{-n/2} \). This effect is unknown in the case of Minkowski spacetime because it is due to the warped geometry. Thus, we end up with the desired answer. Modulo \( g^{-2} \), the effect of the warped geometry is in fact the transformation of the closed string coupling constant into the open string coupling constant \( g \rightarrow \sqrt{g} \). It would be interesting to see whether the warped geometry also transforms the open string coupling \( e \) to \( \sqrt{e} \). If so, then it might help to explain the known effect \( e^2 N_c \rightarrow \sqrt{e^2 N_c} \) observed in the AdS/CFT calculations (see, e.g., [19, 20]).

Another interesting problem involves computing the quantum corrections. Our discussion here was entirely classical. At first glance, the QCD results of section 2 formally assume a slight modification of the Born approximation at one-loop level that on the string theory side can be implemented by just replacing \( g^{\alpha_i} \rightarrow g^{\alpha_i + \gamma_i} \). But a real situation is much more involved. The point is that the coupling constant is now running. So, if we indeed wish to recover the QCD results, we need to provide a mechanism which makes the coupling running. One possible way is to deform the string background to get the desired running. How to implement this and what will happen remains to be seen.

As we mentioned, we don’t strictly follow the prescriptions of the AdS/CFT correspondence. So, we have postulated the relation (3.2). Our motivation is that first of all we would try to describe the known results of QCD to see whether the ideas work or not. Note also that, one can exploit this relation to make a simple estimation how large is the internal compact space \( K \) in terms of \( \alpha' \)

\[
R^2 = 0.1 \alpha' \quad .
\]

Here we used the fact that a typical value of the coupling constant obtained from deep inelastic scattering experiments is of order 0.1. Next step would be to see what happens in the strong coupling regime where it is believed that the AdS/CFT correspondence holds. At present we lack the solution
of string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ or on its nonconformal deformations that could help us. However, let us nonetheless see what information about high-energy scattering can be found by using our approximation. Assuming as in [5] that at small $r$ the geometry given by (3.1) is truncated at $r = r_0$, the amplitude (3.12) then becomes

$$M(AB \to CD) \sim g^{3n-2} \frac{N_c}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \right)^{n-4} \int_{r_0/\sqrt{\alpha'}sR}^{\infty} dr \, r^{3-n} \, A_4 \left( \frac{1}{r^2}, -\frac{\sin^2 \theta/2}{r^2}, -\frac{\cos^2 \theta/2}{r^2} \right),$$  

(4.3)

where we also rescaled $r$ as $r \to \sqrt{\alpha' sR}$. It is at least somewhat plausible in the hard scattering limit ($s \to \infty$) that $r_0/\sqrt{\alpha' sR} \ll 1$. If so, then the leading behavior of the amplitude is the same power-law falloff as in [5]. It is of some interest to evaluate corrections to the scaling. To do so, first we note that at small $r$ the four-point amplitude $A_4$ behaves as $A_4 \sim e^{-\frac{1}{r^2}f(\theta)}$. Next we estimate the correction as

$$g^{3n-2} \frac{N_c}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \right)^{n-4} \int_{0}^{r_0/\sqrt{\alpha' sR}} dr \, r^{3-n} \, e^{-\frac{1}{r^2}f(\theta)} \sim e^{-\frac{\alpha' g^2}{r_0^2} s f(\theta)}.$$  

(4.4)

Unlike in section 2, where the radiative QCD logarithms violate the scaling, there is now an exponential correction which violates the scaling already at tree level.

There is a list of other interesting issues as including spin, flavor, color; soft subprocesses; the evolution of the distribution functions, and many others which certainly deserve to be addressed.
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