Associations of maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and excess pregnancy weight gains with adverse pregnancy outcomes and length of hospital stay
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Abstract

Background: It is relatively less known whether pre-pregnancy obesity and excess gestational weight gain (GWG) are associated with caesarean delivery, pregnancy complications, preterm birth, birth and placenta weights and increased length of postnatal hospital stay.

Methods: We used a population-based cohort of 6632 women who gave birth in Brisbane, Australia, between 1981 and 1983. The independent associations of pre-pregnancy obesity, GWG and institute of medicine (IOM) categories of combined pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG with outcomes were examined using multivariable regression (for continuous outcomes) and multivariable multinomial regression (for categorical outcomes) models.

Results: We found women who were obese prior to pregnancy and women who gained excess weight during pregnancy were at greater risk for a pregnancy complications (OR: 2.10; 1.74, 2.54; age adjusted model), caesarean section (OR 1.29; 1.09, 1.54), higher birth weight difference (206.45 gm; 178.82, 234.08) and greater placental weight difference (41.16 gm; 33.83, 48.49) and longer length of hospital stay. We also found that mothers who gained inadequate weight or were underweight before pregnancy were at greater risk of preterm birth (2.27; 1.71, 3.00), lower risk of pregnancy complications (0.58; 0.44, 0.77) and had lower birth (-190.63;-221.05,-160.20) and placental (-37.16; -45.23,-29.09) weights. Results indicate that all associations remain consistent after adjustment for a range of potential confounding factors with the exception of the association between pre-pregnancy obesity and hospital stay.

Conclusions: Pre-pregnancy obesity or excessive GWG are associated with greater risk of pregnancy complications, caesarean delivery and greater birth and placenta weight. Excess GWG is associated with a longer stay in hospital after delivery, independent of pre-pregnancy BMI, pregnancy complications and caesarean delivery. In addition to pre-pregnancy obesity, it is vital that clinical practice considers excess GWG as another indicator of adverse pregnancy outcomes.
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Background

Consistent with broader population trends, the prevalence of overweight and obesity is increasing rapidly among obstetric populations all over the world [1,2]. One in three Australian women aged 25–35 years are overweight or obese (i.e. BMI > 24 kg/m²) [3], 44% of USA women in the 18-49 age group are overweight or obese [4] and a study from the United Arab Emirates reported that about 40% of married women are obese [5]. A study from the North of England found that obesity levels (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) in women at a booking clinic increased from 9.9% in 1990 to 16.0% in 2004 [6]. Women who are overweight or obese at the start of pregnancy are at increased risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy [7,8], gestational diabetes [9], delivery complications such as prolonged delivery and higher rates of caesarean sections [10]. In a recent review and meta analysis it has also been suggested that overweight and obese women have increased...
risks of preterm births, after accounting for publication bias [11]. Furthermore, studies consistently reported that pre-pregnancy BMI positively associated with infant birth weight [12,13]. Few studies have also reported that complications due to obesity lead to excess health care service use, including increased length of hospital stay, during and immediately after pregnancy [14-17].

In addition to established risks associated with pre-pregnancy overweight or obesity, there has been increased interest in the potential adverse consequences of excess weight gain in pregnancy, irrespective of the woman’s size at the start of pregnancy [18,19]. Given that more than one-third of mothers gain excess weight during pregnancy [20-22], two key issues from a health policy perspective, are (i) to determine whether there is a link between excessive weight gain and adverse pregnancy outcomes including hypertensive disorder of pregnancy, gestational diabetes, caesarean delivery, premature birth, birth weight and placenta weight and (ii) whether this excessive weight gain increased health care utilization. A recent study based on the Danish National Birth cohort (a very large sample of nearly 61,000 mothers and their infants) found that independent of pre-pregnancy BMI, excess weight gain in pregnancy was associated with increased risk of large for gestational age infants, caesarean section delivery, low Apgar score and postnatal weight retention in the mother [23]. These adverse perinatal outcomes might be expected to result in excess health care utilization associated with gestational weight gain. However, to our knowledge no previous study has examined whether excess weight gain in pregnancy is associated with increased length of postnatal hospital stay. Given the independent association of weight gain in pregnancy with adverse perinatal outcomes reported in the Danish National Birth cohort our hypothesis is that independent of their BMI at the start of pregnancy, women who gain more weight in pregnancy will experience greater adverse pregnancy outcomes and will have longer postnatal hospital stays than women who gain less weight in pregnancy. Furthermore, we hypothesise that the association between excessive weight gain and postnatal hospital stay will be mediated (and hence attenuate towards the null) by complications of pregnancy and caesarean section delivery.

**Methods**

**The study**

The data we used were from the Mater-University Study of Pregnancy and its outcomes (MUSP). MUSP is a prospective birth cohort study of 7,223 women, and their offspring, who received antenatal care at a major public hospital in Brisbane, Australia, between 1981 and 1983 and delivered a live singleton child who was not adopted before leaving hospital [24,25]. Multiple births were excluded from the analyses presented here as by definition, they will gain more weight than singleton births and are likely to have a longer hospital stay irrespective of pregnancy complications. These mothers and their offspring have been followed-up prospectively, with assessments being conducted when their offspring were 6 months, 5, 14 and 21 years. In this study the main analyses are restricted to 6528 mothers for whom data was available on both exposures and outcomes. Written informed consent from the mothers was obtained at all data collection phases of the study. Ethics committees at the Mater Hospital and the University of Queensland approved each phase of the study. Full details of the study participants and measurements have been previously reported [24,25].

**Measurements**

**Pre-pregnancy BMI**

Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was calculated as weight in kg divided by height in meters squared using self-reported pre-pregnancy weight, recorded at baseline from maternal questionnaires, and height measured at the first antenatal clinic visit. At the first antenatal clinic visit women were asked to report their pre-pregnancy weight; and were also weighed at this clinic. There was a high correlation between these two measures (Pearson’s correlation coefficient = 0.95). BMI was categorized into normal (< 25 kg/m²), overweight (25-29 kg/m²) and obese (≥ 30 kg/m²) using the WHO classification of BMI cut-offs [26].

**Gestational Weight Gain (GWG)**

A recent study that examined different methods of calculating GWG concluded that none of the methods were distinctively superior with respect to neonatal outcomes (e.g. birth size and gestational age). A simple difference (end of pregnancy weight minus beginning of pregnancy weight) was the superior measure for maternal weight retention at 6 months and for maternal weight retention at later time points (up to 36 months), the area under the curve method was superior [27]. In this study, we examined associations with three measures of GWG - a simple difference (maximum weight in pregnancy minus pre-pregnancy weight), average weekly gain (the simple difference divided by gestational age) and Institute of Medicine (IOM) [28] categories. Using a simple difference of pregnancy weight gain, the results were identical to those using average weight gains per gestational week. Therefore we have opted to present only results for average weight gain per gestational week and for IOM categories.

Weight gain during pregnancy was calculated from maximum weight measured in pregnancy and the mother’s self-reported pre-pregnancy weight. Maximum weight in pregnancy was abstracted from the medical chart by an obstetrician associated with the MUSP. We found 53 women who did not appear to change weight during pregnancy and a further 75 who appeared to
gain more than 30 kg. While it is known why some women do not gain weight in pregnancy and others gain excessive amounts, such extreme changes are likely to be related to uncommon pathologies, which we did not want to have a major influence on our findings, and therefore we excluded these 128 women from all analyses.

We calculated total gestational weight gain as the difference between maximum recorded weight gain during pregnancy and self-reported pre-pregnancy weight (determined at the first antenatal visit). We calculated average weight gain during pregnancy as this maximum weight minus her pre-pregnancy weight divided by gestational age. In our analyses of weight gain per gestational week, we scaled this to provide differences in length of hospital stay for a 0.10 kg/weeks of gestation weight gain. This was chosen as a plausible weight change in pregnancy and is consistent with a previous publication from this cohort [22]. We also categorised women as having gained inadequate, adequate, or excess weight according to IOM guidelines [28] (see additional file 1 table S1 for the derivation of IOM categories). This guideline recommended that obese women should not gain more than 11.5 kg but no upper limit was provided. For this study, we assume that women who gained more that 11.5 kg during the pregnancy are in excess weight gain category. Recently IOM reviewed their guidelines [28] and recommended rates of weight gain in 2nd and 3rd trimester as well. As we do not have the record of trimester specific weight gain, we could not extend our analysis for this finer category [28].

**Pregnancy outcomes**

For this study, we considered pregnancy complications or high risk pregnancy (hypertensive disorder of pregnancy or gestational diabetes), method of delivery, gestation or preterm birth (normal, premature), birth weight (measured in grams) and placental weight (measured in grams) as pregnancy outcomes. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy (HDP) were diagnosed at birth by a consultant obstetrician and defined as a diastolic BP over 90 mmHg on at least two occasions beyond 20 weeks gestation associated with proteinuria and/or excessive fluid retention (defined as generalized oedema including the face and hands and excessive weight gain) [29]. For the purpose of this study, all delivery methods were grouped into three categories: normal delivery, caesarean delivery and others (forceps, ventouse, assisted breech and combined methods). Preterm birth was defined as normal if gestation was more than 36 weeks and premature if gestation was 21 to 36 weeks. Birth weight, placental weight and methods of delivery were obtained from the obstetric records.

**Length of hospital stay**

Length of time spent in hospital (by number of days) immediately after delivery was calculated by subtracting the date of delivery from the date of discharge from the hospital. Both date of delivery and date of discharge were obtained from the obstetric medical records.

**Confounders**

The potential confounders are selected on the basis of a priori knowledge [30] of their association with exposure and outcome. Available potential confounders were maternal age at birth (in years), maternal educational attainment (did not complete secondary school, completed secondary school, completed further/higher education), parental ethnic origins (White, Asian or Aboriginal/Islander), parity (1, 2, 3 or more) maternal pre-pregnancy consumption of cigarettes (none, 1-19 or 20 or more per day), alcohol (abstainer, light drinker or 1+ glass per day) and maternal depression (depressed vs. non-depressed) using the scale Delusions Symptoms-States Inventory: State of Anxiety and Depression (DSSI/SAD) [31] during pregnancy was used, all of which may affect both exposures and outcomes.

**Statistical analyses**

We used analysis of variance and F tests to compare mean values and a chi squared test for categorical values of maternal characteristics by IOM categories of weight gain in pregnancy (additional file 1 tables S2 and S3) and maternal pre-pregnancy BMI categories (additional file 1 table S3). The odds of being an adverse pregnancy outcome was estimated using multinominal (when outcome was three categories- e.g. method of delivery) or logistic (when outcome was dichotomous- e.g. gestation and high risk pregnancy) regressions (table 1). The mean difference of birth weight (in grams) and placenta weight (in grams) were estimated using multiple regression (table 2). The distribution of length of hospital stay followed an approximately normal distribution (results available from author on request). The mean difference of length of stay in hospital from delivery to discharge by maternal gestational weight gain, pre-pregnancy BMI categories and IOM recommendations was estimated using multiple linear regression (Tables 3 and 4 and additional file 1 figure S1). A series of models were performed to test differential effects of confounders and mediators (see footnotes of tables and figure for details).

**Results**

On average, each mother gained 14.8 kg (SD 5.2) during her pregnancy, with an average of 0.4 kg per week (range: 0.0 to 0.9; SD 0.1) weight gain. Of the 6632 participants 1666 (25%) gained inadequate, 2571(39%) adequate and 2349 (36%) excessive weight during pregnancy according to IOM categories. 655 (9.9%) participants were underweight, 4924 (74.3%) had healthy weight, 778 (11.7%) were overweight and 275 (4.2%) were obese according to their pre-pregnancy BMI. 5079 (77.7%) mothers had
normal delivery, 771 (11.8%) had caesarean delivery and the rest 678 (10.4%) had other deliveries including low forceps, mid forceps, ventouse, assisted breech, trial forceps and combined methods. Only 269 (4%) births delivered as premature and 582 (8.9%) had pregnancy complication. Mean birth weight was 3384.2 (SD 516.1) gm and placenta weight was 602.2 (SD 131.5) gm. On average women stayed 4.3 (SD 1.6) days in the hospital

Table 1 Odds (95% confidence interval) of being caesarean and other methods of pregnancy delivery, preterm births and high risk pregnancy by IOM, pre-pregnancy BMI categories and gestational weight gain per 0.1 kg/week, adjusting for potential confounding factors

| Method of delivery | Caesarean | Other |
|--------------------|-----------|-------|
|                    | Age adjusted | Fully adjusted | Age adjusted | Fully adjusted | Age adjusted | Fully adjusted |
| IOM                |            |          |            |              |            |         |
| Inadequate         | 0.89 (0.73,1.09) | 0.79 (0.64,0.97) | 0.79 (0.64,0.98) | 0.78 (0.63,0.98) | 2.27 (1.71,3.00) | 2.41 (1.81,3.20) |
| Adequate           | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) |
| Excess             | 1.29 (1.09,1.54) | 1.34 (1.12,1.60) | 1.25 (1.04,1.49) | 1.24 (1.03,1.50) | 0.63 (0.44,0.89) | 0.53 (0.37,0.76) |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI  |            |          |            |              |            |         |
| Underweight        | 0.95 (0.72,1.26) | 0.89 (0.67,1.19) | 1.21 (0.94,1.55) | 1.28 (0.99,1.65) | 1.68 (1.18,2.38) | 1.69 (1.19,2.41) |
| Normal             | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) | 1.00 (1.00) |
| Overweight         | 1.40 (1.13,1.75) | 1.40 (1.12,1.75) | 0.82 (0.63,1.08) | 0.79 (0.60,1.04) | 0.82 (0.53,1.26) | 0.72 (0.46,1.12) |
| Obese              | 2.19 (1.61,2.98) | 2.08 (1.51,2.86) | 0.77 (0.48,1.25) | 0.71 (0.43,1.15) | 1.31 (0.74,2.34) | 1.08 (0.60,1.95) |
| Gestational weight | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Gain (kg/week)     | 0.09 (1.03,1.15) | 0.10 (1.04,1.16) | 1.14 (1.09,1.20) | 1.13 (1.07,1.20) | 0.84 (0.76,0.92) | 0.78 (0.71,0.86) |

---

Table 2 Mean difference (95% confidence interval) of birth weight (gm) (N = 6528) and placenta weight (gm) (N = 6281) by IOM, pre-pregnancy BMI categories and gestational weight gain per 0.1 kg/week, adjusting for potential confounding factors

| Mean difference of birth weight | Mean difference of placenta weight |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Age adjusted | Fully adjusted | Age adjusted | Fully adjusted |
| IOM<sup>a</sup> |            |          |            |              |            |         |
| Inadequate | -190.63 (-221.05,-160.20) | -152.82 (-180.34,-125.30) | -37.16 (-45.23,-29.09) | -37.80 (-45.87,-29.72) |
| Adequate (ref) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Excess | 206.45 (178.82,234.08) | 211.34 (186.29,236.38) | 41.16 (33.83,48.49) | 42.76 (35.39,50.14) |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI<sup>b</sup> |            |          |            |              |            |         |
| Underweight | -207.26 (-249.21,-161.31) | -171.27 (-209.15,-133.38) | -43.23 (-54.18,-32.28) | -43.06 (-54.07,-32.06) |
| Normal (ref) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overweight | 123.17 (84.47,161.87) | 125.98 (90.97,161.00) | 35.54 (25.47,45.61) | 36.56 (26.44,46.67) |
| Obese | 148.11 (85.32,219.09) | 178.00 (121.09,234.91) | 58.49 (41.97,75.00) | 59.80 (43.20,76.41) |
| Gestational weight gain<sup>c</sup> (0.1 kg/week) | 81.52 (72.97,90.07) | 88.67 (81.09,96.29) | 16.77 (14.52,19.01) | 17.32 (15.05,19.59) |

---

<sup>a</sup> Method of delivery was three categories: normal, caesarean and other delivery methods. Multinomial logistic regression was used, considering normal delivery as the reference category.

<sup>b</sup> Preterm birth: binary outcome as normal vs. premature. Logistic regression was used, considering premature birth as an outcome.

<sup>c</sup> High risk: binary outcome as normal vs. high risk. Logistic regression was used, considering high risk as an outcome.

<sup>d</sup> Fully adjusted model adjusted for maternal age, education, racial origin, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption, birth weight, gestation and high risk pregnancy.

<sup>e</sup> Fully adjusted model adjusted for maternal age, education, racial origin, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption and high risk pregnancy.

<sup>f</sup>IOM, pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational weight gain are considered three different exposures and analyses are performed separately for each of them.
from delivery to discharge. For normal vaginal delivery the mean length of hospital stay was 4.00 (SD 1.33) days, for caesarean delivery 6.21 (SD 1.58) days and for other types of delivery it was 4.80 (SD 1.55) days.

The unadjusted association of maternal characteristics with IOM categories are presented in additional file 1 table S2. Mothers with lower educational attainment, those of Aboriginal-Islander origin, and those who never smoked and abstained from alcohol prior to pregnancy were more likely than other women to gain excessive weight during pregnancy. Mothers who gained excessive weight were more likely to have experienced pregnancy complications, have had their infant delivered by caesarean section and to have had higher birth weight infants.

Table 1 shows odds of being caesarean and other deliveries compared to normal deliveries, preterm births compared to normal birth and high risk compared to normal risk pregnancy by IOM, pre-pregnancy BMI categories and gestational weight gain per 0.1 kg/week. The results are presented for the 6528 (91%) mothers with complete data on all variables included in the fully adjusted model. Model 1 shows maternal age adjusted odds ratios (OR) and model 2 shows OR adjusted for all other covariates. In the age adjusted model, mothers who gained excess weight during pregnancy were 1.29 (95% CI: 1.13, 1.75) times as likely to go for caesarean delivery compared to mothers who maintained healthy weight gain during pregnancy. Similarly, overweight mothers were 1.40 (1.13, 1.75) times and obese mother were 2.19 (1.61, 2.98) times as likely to experience caesarean delivery compared to their counterpart. For 0.1 kg/week increase of GWG, each mother was at 9% higher risk to experience caesarean delivery. Mothers who gained inadequate weight or were underweight before pregnancy, were at greater risk of delivering preterm and were at less risk if they gained excess weight. In contrast, those mothers who gained inadequate weight were at a decreased risk of developing pregnancy complications and those who gained excess weight were at greater risk of experiencing pregnancy complications. Similarly, for 0.1 kg/week increase of GWG the risk of preterm births was low, however, the risk was greater for experiencing pregnancy complications. All the association remain consistent adjusting for potential confounding factors.

Those mothers who did not gain adequate weight during pregnancy delivered a 190.63 (-221.05,-160.20) gm lighter baby and those who gained excess weight delivered a 206.45 (178.82, 234.08) gm heavier baby compared to mother who gained healthy weight (table 2). Similarly, mothers who were underweight before pregnancy delivered a lighter baby and overweight mothers delivered a heavier baby. For 0.1 kg/week increase of GWG, each mother delivered a 81.52 gm heavier baby. Similarly, for placenta weight those mothers who were underweight or

| Model numbers | N | Maternal BMI categories | Inadequate (n = 1648) | Adequate (n = 2549) | Excess (n = 2324) |
|---------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Model 1       | 6521 | Underweight | 0.00 (-0.13, 0.13) | 0.01 (-0.11, 0.12) | 0.30 (0.10, 0.49) |
| Model 2       | 6521 | Normal | 0.02 (-0.10, 0.15) | 0.02 (-0.10, 0.14) | 0.31 (0.13, 0.51) |
| Model 3       | 6521 | Overweight | 0.04 (-0.09, 0.17) | 0.03 (-0.15, 0.09) | 0.23 (0.04, 0.42) |
| Model 4       | 6521 | Obese | 0.07 (-0.10, 0.24) | 0.00 (-0.12, 0.11) | 0.07 (-0.10, 0.24) |

Model 1: Adjusted for maternal age.
Model 2: Adjusted for confounders: - maternal age, education, racial origin, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption.
Model 3: Model 2+ adjusted for the mediating effects of complications of pregnancy.
Model 4: Model 3+ adjusted for the mediating effects of birth weights and method of delivery.

Table 2 Mean difference (95% Confidence Interval) of length of stay in hospital from delivery to discharge by maternal BMI categories

| Model numbers | N | Maternal BMI categories | Underweight | Normal | Overweight | Obese |
|---------------|---|------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------|------|
| Model 1       | 6528 | 0.00 (-0.13, 0.13) | 0.01 (-0.11, 0.12) | 0.30 (0.10, 0.49) |
| Model 2       | 6528 | 0.02 (-0.10, 0.15) | 0.02 (-0.10, 0.14) | 0.31 (0.13, 0.51) |
| Model 3       | 6528 | 0.04 (-0.09, 0.17) | 0.03 (-0.15, 0.09) | 0.23 (0.04, 0.42) |
| Model 4       | 6528 | 0.07 (-0.10, 0.24) | 0.00 (-0.12, 0.11) | 0.07 (-0.10, 0.24) |

Model 1: Adjusted for maternal age.
Model 2: Adjusted for confounders: - maternal age, education, racial origin, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption.
Model 3: Model 2+ additionally adjusted for the mediating effects of complications of pregnancy.
Model 4: Model 3+ adjusted for the mediating effects of birth weights and method of delivery.

Table 3 Mean difference (95% Confidence Interval) of length of stay in hospital from delivery to discharge by IOM recommendations

| Model numbers | N | IOM categories (%) | Inadequate (n = 1648) | Adequate (n = 2549) | Excess (n = 2324) |
|---------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Model 1       | 6521 | Inadequate | -0.07 (-0.17, 0.03) | 0.00 | 0.19 (0.10, 0.27) |
| Model 2       | 6521 | Adequate | -0.07 (-0.16, 0.03) | 0.00 | 0.20 (0.11, 0.29) |
| Model 3       | 6521 | Excess | -0.05 (-0.14, 0.05) | 0.00 | 0.15 (0.07, 0.24) |
| Model 4       | 6521 | -0.07 (-0.15, 0.02) | 0.00 | 0.16 (0.08, 0.24) |

Model 1: Adjusted for maternal age.
Model 2: confounder adjusted model - maternal age, education, racial origin, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption.
Model 3: model 2+ additionally adjusted for the mediating effects of complications of pregnancy.
Model 4: model 3+ adjusted for the mediating effects of birth weights and method of delivery.
did not gain adequate weight had lower placental weight, and conversely, it was greater if they gained excess weight or were overweight or obese.

Additional file 1, table S3 shows unadjusted mean length of hospital stay postnatally by pre-pregnancy BMI categories and IOM categories of weight gain. Excess pre-pregnancy BMI was associated with excess length of postnatal stay in hospital. These unadjusted results also show that excess weight gain in pregnancy is associated with excess length of postnatal stay.

The multivariable analyses for the association of pre-pregnancy BMI categories with length of postnatal hospital stay is presented in Table 3. In the age adjusted model (i.e. model 1), on average an obese mothers stayed 0.30 (0.10, 0.49) days longer in hospital postnatally compared to mothers with a healthy BMI. However, this association was attenuated with adjustment for potential confounders or mediators, particularly by adjustment for pregnancy complications and caesarean delivery.

Table 4 shows the multivariable analyses for the association of IOM categories of weight gain with length of postnatal hospital stay. Women who gained excessive weight during pregnancy (0.19 kg per day on average) stayed on average longer in hospital compared with those who gained adequate weight. These differences remained robust after adjustment for confounding factors (model 2) but were attenuated (approximately 20%) by adjustment for potential mediators (model 3). Since IOM categories combine pre-pregnancy BMI with weight gain categories no additional adjustment for pre-pregnancy BMI was made in these analyses.

Additional file 1, figure S1 shows mean differences in length of hospital stay from delivery to discharge by maternal weight gain per week per 0.1 kg/week during pregnancy. In the age adjusted model, a 0.1 kg/week excess maternal gestational weight gain was associated with 0.09 of a day (95% CI: 0.06, 0.11) longer hospital postnatal stay on average. There was a marginal attenuation after adjustments were made for the mediating effect of pregnancy complications (model 4).

In additional analyses, when we further adjusted the association of IOM or GWG with hospital stays by parity, maternal depression, family income and placenta weight, the associations remained unchanged (results available from author on request).

Discussions
We found that mothers who gained excess weight during pregnancy were at greater risk of pregnancy complications, caesarean delivery, and had excess length of hospital stay. These women had larger babies and placentas. We also found that mothers who gained inadequate weight or were underweight before pregnancy were at greater risk of preterm birth, had lower rates of pregnancy complications, and had smaller infants and placentas. This association remained after adjustment for a range of potential confounding and mediating factors. In addition, consistent with other studies [14–17], we have found an association between pre-pregnancy obesity and increased length of hospital stay. However, in our study, adjustment for mediating factors attenuated this association towards the null.

Findings of pre-pregnancy obesity and excess weight gain associated with caesarean delivery [10], pregnancy complications [7,8,32], birth [12,13] and placenta weight [33] are consistent with previous studies. Our finding of no association between pre-pregnancy obesity and premature birth contrasted some studies [11] but remained consistent with others [34]. Findings of pre-pregnancy obesity associated with increased use of hospital stay are consistent with other studies [14–17]. Studies by Callaway et al [14] and Chu et al [15] found the association remained predominately for the morbidly obese (BMI > 40 kg/m²) group, but across the remainder of the BMI distribution the association of pre-pregnancy BMI with length of hospital stay was largely mediated by pregnancy complications. This difference could be due to the variations in different sample populations and measurements between our study and those of Callaway et al [14] and Chu et al [35].

To our knowledge no previous study has examined the association between GWG and length of postnatal hospital stay. We found for each 100 gm increase of GWG maternal stay in the hospital increased 0.09 days (i.e. mothers will stay 2.2 hours longer in the hospital, which is equivalent to one day longer stay in hospital for every one kg increase of GWG). The association of excess GWG and excess hospital stay found in our study could involve a pathway from excess weight gain during pregnancy to complications during pregnancy and caesarean section which in turn translate into a longer hospital stay. Alternatively, placenta praevia, placenta accreta and previous caesarean delivery might result in increased length of stay. Whilst there was some attenuation towards the null of the positive association between GWG and length of hospital stay in our study some independent association remained.

Beyond HDP and gestational diabetes we do not have information on other complications of pregnancy, such as placental abruption. However, HDP and gestational diabetes are the two key common pregnancy complications that will both affect weight gain in pregnancy and might result in increased length of hospital stay either because of the requirement for an operative delivery or because of persistent ill-health of the mother. HDP would potentially be associated with excess GWG because of maternal oedema with this condition and gestational diabetes would be potentially associated with excess GWG because of greater fetal growth associated with this condition. Despite adjusting for the two most...
common complications of pregnancy that are likely to mediate our association, it is possible that misclassification bias for both of these conditions means that we have not been able to fully adjust for their potential mediating effect. Since routine universal fasting blood glucose or oral glucose tolerance test were not used in this obstetric population to diagnose gestational diabetes it is possible that misclassification of some women with this condition as not having it means that we are not able to fully assess its role as a potential mediator. As we relied on routine clinical diagnoses of HDP it is also possible that misclassification of this condition limited our ability to fully adjust for its mediating effect. However, rather than limiting our classification to only include those with pre-eclampsia, our use of the broader category of HDP will reduce the likelihood that cases are missed.

In addition to the possibility that pregnancy complications explain a positive association between GWG and postnatal length of hospital stay, it is also possible that women who gain excessive weight during pregnancy experience more postnatal complications, even without caesarean delivery, than women who gain adequate weight during pregnancy. This could include perineal tears, pressure sores, venous thrombosis and difficulties with breast feeding, each of which might lead them to a longer hospital stay. As we do not have information on postnatal events in this study, we were unable to test this possibility. However, a study by Sebire et al [9] showed that prepregnancy obesity was associated with all of these postnatal complications. Finally, the propensity to gain excessive weight during pregnancy might reflect underlying metabolic disturbances such as insulin resistance, which could result in postnatal complications and the need for a longer hospital stay. Again we were unable to explore this in our study.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the results. Our data represents the obstetric population of Brisbane in the early 1980’s, this may not be representative of today’s obstetric population. In contemporary obstetric populations, industrialised countries including Australia, United Kingdom and difficulties with breast feeding, each of which might lead them to a longer hospital stay. As we do not have information on postnatal events in this study, we were unable to test this possibility. However, a study by Sebire et al [9] showed that prepregnancy obesity was associated with all of these postnatal complications. Finally, the propensity to gain excessive weight during pregnancy might reflect underlying metabolic disturbances such as insulin resistance, which could result in postnatal complications and the need for a longer hospital stay. Again we were unable to explore this in our study.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the results. Our data represents the obstetric population of Brisbane in the early 1980’s, this may not be representative of today’s obstetric population. In contemporary obstetric populations, industrialised countries including Australia, United Kingdom and difficulties with breast feeding, each of which might lead them to a longer hospital stay. As we do not have information on postnatal events in this study, we were unable to test this possibility. However, a study by Sebire et al [9] showed that prepregnancy obesity was associated with all of these postnatal complications. Finally, the propensity to gain excessive weight during pregnancy might reflect underlying metabolic disturbances such as insulin resistance, which could result in postnatal complications and the need for a longer hospital stay. Again we were unable to explore this in our study.
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