Impact of protocol-based physiotherapy on insulin sensitivity and peripheral glucose metabolism in critically ill patients
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Abstract

Background The impact of physiotherapy on insulin sensitivity and peripheral glucose metabolism in critically ill patients is not well understood.

Methods This pooled analysis investigates the impact of different physiotherapeutic strategies on insulin sensitivity in critically ill patients. We pooled data from two previous trials in adult patients with sequential organ failure assessment score (SOFA) ≥ 9 within 72 h of intensive care unit (ICU) admission, who received hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic (HE) clamps. Patients were divided into three groups: standard physiotherapy (sPT, n = 22), protocol-based physiotherapy (pPT, n = 8), and pPT with added muscle activating measures (pPT+, n = 20). Insulin sensitivity index (ISI) was determined by HE clamp. Muscle metabolites lactate, pyruvate, and glycerol were measured in the M. vastus lateralis via microdialysis during the HE clamp. Histochemical visualization of glucose transporter-4 (GLUT4) translocation was performed in surgically extracted muscle biopsies. All data are reported as median (25th/75th percentile) (trial registry: ISRCTN77569430 and ISRCTN19392591/ethics approval: Charité-EA2/061/06 and Charité-EA2/041/10).

Results Fifty critically ill patients (admission SOFA 13) showed markedly decreased ISIs on Day 17 (interquartile range) 0.029 (0.022/0.048) (mg/min/kg)/(mU/L) compared with healthy controls 0.103 (0.087/0.111), P < 0.001. ISI correlated with muscle strength measured by medical research council (MRC) score at first awakening (r = 0.383, P = 0.026) and at ICU discharge (r = 0.503, P = 0.002). Different physiotherapeutic strategies showed no effect on the ISI [sPT 0.029 (0.019/0.053) (mg/min/kg)/(mU/L) vs. pPT 0.026 (0.023/0.041) (mg/min/kg)/(mU/L) vs. pPT+ 0.029 (0.023/0.042) (mg/min/kg)/(mU/L); P = 0.919]. Regardless of the physiotherapeutic strategy metabolic flexibility was reduced. Relative change of lactate/pyruvate ratio during HE clamp is as follows: sPT 0.09 (−0.13/0.27) vs. pPT 0.07 (−0.16/0.31) vs. pPT+ −0.06 (−0.19/0.16), P = 0.729, and relative change of glycerol concentration: sPT −0.39 (−0.8/−0.12) vs. pPT −0.21 (−0.33/−0.07) vs. pPT+ −0.21 (−0.44/−0.03), P = 0.257. The majority of ICU patients showed abnormal localization of GLUT4 with membranous GLUT4 distribution in 37.5% (3 of 8) of ICU patients receiving sPT, in 42.9% (3 of 7) of ICU patients receiving pPT, and in 53.8% (7 of 13) of ICU patients receiving pPT+ (no statistical testing possible).

Conclusions Our data suggest that a higher duration of muscle activating measures had no impact on insulin sensitivity or metabolic flexibility in critically ill patients with sepsis-related multiple organ failure.
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Background

Resistance to anabolic signals, such as insulin, result in protein breakdown and mortality-relevant hyperglycaemia in critically ill patients.\(^1,2\) While it is well established that exercise improves insulin sensitivity and metabolic flexibility in healthy individuals and patients with type I and II diabetes,\(^3–5\) this finding has yet to be investigated in critically ill patients suffering from stress hyperglycaemia. In a post hoc analysis of an interventional trial, Patel et al. showed that patients with mechanical ventilation receiving early mobilization required a lower daily insulin dose to reach similar blood glucose targets than patients receiving standard care. The authors draw the conclusion that their findings indicate an improvement in insulin sensitivity.\(^6\)

However, there is yet no prospective study investigating the impact of physiotherapy and muscle activity on insulin sensitivity in critically ill patients. Our study is the first to address this issue by using hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic (HE) clamp studies as gold standard for measuring peripheral insulin sensitivity. We also conducted microdialysis of the vastus lateralis muscle during HE clamp to measure the metabolic flexibility. Metabolic flexibility refers to the ability of the muscle cell to switch between fatty acids and glucose.\(^7\) In healthy individuals, the response to the insulin signal is a considerable increase in pyruvate concentration and mild increase of lactate concentration resulting in a distinct decrease of lactate/pyruvate ratio. This indicates the switch to aerobic glycolysis. A sharp decline in glycerol concentration indicates a suppression of free fatty acid metabolism.

In this study, we investigated the impact of physiotherapy on insulin sensitivity and peripheral glucose metabolism in critically ill patients with sepsis-related multiple organ failure. We hypothesized that an increase in the level of physiotherapy results in an improvement of insulin sensitivity in critically ill patients.

Methods

Inclusion criteria and setting

We analysed data from critically ill patients \(\geq 18\) years with sepsis-related multiple organ failure in whom we conducted HE clamps in the third week of intensive care unit (ICU) stay. Sepsis-related multiple organ failure was defined as SOFA \(\geq 9\) within the first 72 h of ICU admission. The data were pooled from two previously published monocentric trials after ensuring there were no differences in baseline patient characteristics by principal component analysis (see statistics). Enrolment for both included studies is described in the original reports; inclusion criteria did not differ in between these two studies.\(^8–10\)

All patients received usual care according to locally established clinical standard operating procedures. To provide a reference and further context for the results, HE clamp and tissue metabolism data were compared with measurements performed on four healthy subjects.\(^9\)

Intervention

We compared the impact of three different types of physiotherapeutic strategies on insulin sensitivity. (A) The first group of patients received standard physiotherapy (sPT) as per standard of care. (B) The second group received protocol-based physiotherapy (pPT), and (C) the third group received pPT with additional early muscle activating measures (pPT+), such as whole body vibration and/or neuromuscular electrostimulation (see also flow chart, Supporting Information, Figure S1).

Measurements

Hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp

During HE clamp, supraphysiological insulin level and external glucose supply result in suppression of endogenous glucose production. The glucose infusion rate needed to keep a stable blood glucose level is equivalent to the tissue uptake of glucose. The calculation of the insulin sensitivity index (ISI) is recognized as the gold standard to determine peripheral insulin sensitivity (for details, see Supporting Information). ISI, as primary outcome, is calculated as the ratio of the steady state glucose infusion rate per body weight and the steady state plasma insulin concentration.\(^11,12\)

Tissue metabolite measurements by microdialysis

We performed microdialysis of the vastus lateralis muscle as previously described.\(^13\) Concentrations of glucose, pyruvate, lactate, and glycerol, reflecting regulation of metabolism in skeletal muscle, were measured from the microdialysate at
baseline and steady state of the HE clamp\textsuperscript{8,13} and averaged over three measurements.

**Muscle biopsy and glucose transporter-4 analysis**

Open surgical muscle biopsies were obtained on Median Day 15 of ICU stay, as described previously.\textsuperscript{10} Glucose transporter-4 (GLUT4) was stained immunohistochemically and its distribution within the muscle cell was classified by an expert as either normal (membranous) or abnormal (partly membranous or perinuclear/diffuse).\textsuperscript{8}

**Mobility level**

According to study protocol, the mobility level was measured once daily by the treating physiotherapist on a six-step scale (Level 0: no mobilization, Level 1: passive mobilization in bed, Level 2: assistive mobilization to the edge of the bed, Level 3: assistive mobilization to a chair, Level 4: stepping next to the bed, Level 5: walking $>3$ m). It is reported as a mean before HE clamp.

**Statistical analysis**

The data from two trials were pooled.\textsuperscript{8–10} To detect differences in baseline characteristics, we performed a univariate analysis and then a principal component analysis. The following baseline characteristics were included: age, sex, height, weight, severity of illness (SOFA, SAPS II, and Acute Physiology And Chronic Health Evaluation [APACHE II]), dosage of received medication (insulin, norepinephrine, and nutrition), blood glucose level, sedation level (Richmond Agitation-Sedation scale RASS), fraction of days in septic shock, and ICU day of the HE clamp (Table S1). Data were pooled for analysis after we showed no difference in baseline characteristics between the three interventional groups (shown in Table S1). A detailed flow chart is presented in Figure S1.

We performed HE clamp on Median Day 17 (15/21) after ICU admission. Patients showed group differences in the amount of physiotherapeutic intervention before HE clamp, as shown in Table 2.

**Effect of physiotherapy on peripheral insulin sensitivity—hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp**

We measured a markedly decreased ISI in all our patients compared with healthy subjects [all ICU patients 0.029

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics

| Event leading to ICU admission | Pooled data n = 50 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| ARDS/sepsis                   | 30 (60%)           |
| Polytrauma                    | 13 (26%)           |
| Neurological/others           | 7 (14%)            |
| Sex                           |                    |
| Male                          | 36 (72%)           |
| Female                        | 14 (28%)           |
| Age (years)                   | 58.5 (42/68)       |
| Weight (kg)                   | 81.5 (75/95)       |
| Height (m)                    | 1.75 (1.7/1.8)     |
| Illness severity scoring at ICU admission |                |
| SOFA                          | 13 (10/14)         |
| SAPS2                         | 50.5 (39/63)       |
| APACHE II                     | 23 (18/28)         |
| ICU admission to HE clamp     |                    |
| ICU stay (days)               | 17 (15/21)         |
| Fraction of these days with septic shock (%) | 20 (10/50) |
| Norepinephrine$^a$ (µg/kg/min) | 0.01 (0.001/0.067) |
| SOFA$^a$                      | 9.9 (8.4/12.1)     |
| Fraction of these days receiving insulin (%) | 92 (63/100) |
| Insulin$^a$ (IU/days)         | 43.1 (26.4/64.2)   |
| Blood glucose level (mg/dL)   | 132.1 (124.9/138.9) |
| Caloric intake$^a$ (kcal/kg PBW/day) | 19.1 (15.2/22) |
| RASS score                    | −2.5 (−3.4/−1.8)   |

ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; HE, hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic; ICU, intensive care unit.

Table showing baseline characteristics of pooled data; categorical variables are presented as count (percentage); metric variables are presented as median (25th/75th percentile); shown baseline characteristics show no significant differences between observational and interventional trials (Table S1).

$^a$Mean before HE clamp.
There was no effect of the different physiotherapeutic strategies (sPT, pPT, pPT+) on the ISI [sPT 0.029 (0.019/0.053) vs. pPT 0.026 (0.023/0.041) vs. pPT+ 0.029 (0.023/0.042); P = 0.919]. The duration of daily physiotherapeutic intervention did not correlate with the ISI (r = 0.134, P = 0.354), as seen in Figure 1.

In accordance with these results, we could not detect an influence of different levels of mobilization or of the time of muscle activating measures on required insulin dosage, mean blood glucose level, or achievement of glycaemic target as previously described by Patel and colleagues.6 All three therapeutic groups showed similar dose of enteral and parenteral nutrition (Table S1).

Effect of physiotherapy on metabolic flexibility—measured by microdialysis of the vastus lateralis muscle during hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp

All baseline metabolite concentrations in our patients are comparable with healthy individuals.

During HE clamp, the healthy individuals showed a considerable increase of pyruvate concentration [relative change 1.84 (1.05/2.15)] and mild increase of lactate concentration [relative change 0.26 (0.14/0.4)] and a distinct decrease of lactate pyruvate ratio [relative change −0.52 (−0.56/−0.42)] and a decline of glycerol concentration of almost 75% [relative change −0.74 (−0.87/−0.58)]
indicating an intact metabolic flexibility (Figure 2 and Table S3).

These changes in metabolite concentrations were almost absent in our patients, regardless of the therapeutic strategy they received (Figure 2). Relative changes in lactate concentration were small and showed no group difference [sPT 0.07 (−0.13/0.31) vs. pPT −0.06 (−0.22/0.59) vs. pPT+ 0.21 (−0.05/0.39); \( P = 0.669 \)] as were changes in pyruvate concentration [sPT 0.05 (−0.18/0.17) vs. pPT −0.06 (−0.36/0.09) vs. pPT+ 0.06 (−0.17/0.61); \( P = 0.371 \)]. This resulted in almost no changes of lactate/pyruvate ratio [sPT 0.09 (−0.13/0.27) vs. pPT 0.07 (−0.16/0.31) vs. pPT+ −0.06 (−0.19/0.16); \( P = 0.729 \)].

The relative changes in glycerol concentration were also small and showed no group difference [sPT −0.39 (−0.8/−0.12) vs. pPT −0.21 (−0.33/0.07) vs. pPT+ −0.21 (−0.44/−0.03); \( P = 0.257 \)]. No correlation of daily dose of physiotherapy and metabolic flexibility could be observed (Figure 2 and Table S3).

**Association of dose of physiotherapy and level of mobility**

We found a positive correlation between mean duration of physiotherapy including muscle activating measures and the

---

**Figure 2** Relative changes of muscle metabolite concentrations during hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp. Boxplots showing relative changes of dialysate concentrations [(A) glucose, (B) glycerol, (C) lactate, (D) pyruvate, (E) lactate/pyruvate ratio] obtained by microdialysis of the vastus lateralis muscle during hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp. Relative changes are defined as the difference of steady state and baseline concentration, in relation to baseline concentration. Reference line shown at relative change of 0, indicating neither increase nor decrease of concentration (e.g. relative change of −0.50 is equal to a decrease by 50%). Grouped by physiotherapeutic intervention. ‘pPT’, protocol-based physiotherapy; ‘pPT+’, protocol-based physiotherapy with additional muscle activating measures; ‘sPT’, standard physiotherapy. Significant differences are indicated with an asterisk; significance is unadjusted for multiple testing due to exploratory nature of the analysis.
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mean level of mobilization prior HE clamp ($r = 0.607$, $P < 0.001$; Figure 3). The more time was put into physiotherapy and muscle activating measures, the higher the mean level of mobility before HE clamp was achieved. However, this failed to translate into higher muscle strength as the investigated population showed no association of physiotherapy dose and MRC score at discharge [MRC score: sPT 3.75 (3.44/4.38) vs. pPT 3.78 (2.88/4.00); $P = 0.395$, Kruskal–Wallis].

**Figure 3** Mean duration of daily muscle activating measures and achieved mean level of physiotherapy divided by interventional groups. Scatter plot depicting mean level of physiotherapy measured by five-step activity scale against duration of daily physiotherapy and added muscle activating measures such as electrical muscle stimulation or vibration therapy. Split up into the three therapeutic groups: ‘pPT’, protocol-based physiotherapy; ‘pPT+’, protocol-based physiotherapy with additional muscle activating measures; ‘sPT’, standard physiotherapy.

**Association of insulin sensitivity and muscle strength**

Insulin sensitivity index correlated with muscle strength measured by MRC score at first awakening ($r = 0.383$, $P = 0.026$) and at ICU discharge ($r = 0.503$, $P = 0.002$) (Figure 4). In the critically ill sepsis patients, ISI correlated inversely with age (Spearman: $r = -0.444$, $P = 0.001$) and body mass index (BMI) (Spearman: $r = -0.285$, $P = 0.045$). In addition, sex-specific differences were observed. Female patients had a lower ISI than male patients [all female ICU patient: 0.024 (0.020/0.030) vs. male 0.032 (0.023/0.052); $P = 0.026$]. The multivariate analysis revealed ISI as an independent risk factor for muscle weakness (std. coefficient $\beta = 0.484$, $P = 0.034$). This correlation was independent of age, BMI, sex, SOFA score, insulin dosage, enteral nutrition, and time of physiotherapy in a multivariate regression (Table S4).

**Figure 4** Association of mean MRC at discharge and insulin sensitivity index in critically ill sepsis patients with multiple organ failure. Scatterplot depicting insulin sensitivity index against mean MRC at discharge from ICU. ‘pPT’, protocol-based physiotherapy; ‘pPT+’, protocol-based physiotherapy with additional muscle activating measures; ‘sPT’, standard physiotherapy. Data are only available for those 34 patients, who regained adequate consciousness for muscle strength assessment and survived until discharge.

**Table 3** Glucose transporter-4 (GLUT4) location in histochemical analysis

| GLUT4 location in histochemical analysis | sPT | pPT | pPT+ |
|----------------------------------------|-----|-----|------|
| Abnormal, nuclear or diffuse           | 5 (62.5%) | 4 (57.1%) | 6 (46.2%) |
| Abnormal, partly membranous            | 3 (37.5%) | 2 (28.6%) | 3 (23.1%) |
| Normal                                 | 0 | 1 (14.2%) | 4 (30.7%) |

Distribution of GLUT4 location within the cell after immunohistochemical staining. Semiquantitative assessment of the histochemical staining. This finding is mainly observational, as no statistical significance could possibly be reached because of low sample numbers. Variables are presented as count (percentage). No significant group difference due to small sample number possible.

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

The immunohistochemical analysis of the muscle biopsies showed abnormally localized GLUT4 in almost all critically ill patients. There was no relevant impact of the amount of early muscle activating measures on GLUT4 distribution as shown in Table 3.

**Discussion**

This paper is the first to investigate the impact of physiotherapy dosage on insulin sensitivity measured by the gold standard HE clamp in patients with sepsis-related multiple...
organ failure. We used the time-consuming and invasive measurement of the HE clamp because surrogate indices such as HOMA or QUICKI do not sufficiently correlate with ISI and thus cannot be adequately used in critically ill patients.12

As expected from our previous work8,9 we observed a highly reduced insulin sensitivity during HE clamp in all of our patients. In line with our previous work, a reduced ISI was associated with the occurrence of intensive care unit-acquired weakness (ICUAW). Somewhat unexpected and in conflict with our previous work, we could not observe an improvement of insulin sensitivity and metabolic flexibility as a result of intensified physiotherapy and early mobilization in this prospectively investigated patient cohort.

For muscular glucose metabolism, a complex chain of mechanisms is necessary. It is best sorted into the three steps of supply, transmembraneous transport, and metabolism.14 Results from microdialysis in our patients implicate a sufficient muscle perfusion and supply of glucose and oxygen to the muscle, as baseline intracellular glucose, lactate and pyruvate concentration, as well as lactate/pyruvate ratio were comparable with healthy controls. Our data are limited to the resting muscle, as we did not measure metabolite levels during exercise, when negative effects of sepsis-related microcirculatory disturbance may become more severe due to higher metabolic activity.

Transmembraneous glucose uptake occurs through facilitated diffusion via GLUT4 located at the sarcolemmal membrane. There are two distinct mechanisms regulating GLUT4 translocation from intracellular vesicles to the cell membrane: one insulin-dependent mechanism by activation of the insulin signalling cascade15 and one insulin-independent mechanism induced by muscle activation and mediated by AMPK and AS160 or calmodulin. However, the exact mechanism and the proportion of impact of key proteins remain uncertain.15–18 It is established that this is one rate limiting step of glucose uptake.19

In our previous work in critically ill patients, we showed a failure of the insulin-dependent translocation of GLUT4 to the sarcolemmal membrane with GLUT4 remaining in the perinuclear region. In five patients within a pilot trial of severe H1N1 with multiple organ failure and a less prolonged course of sepsis activation of the muscle by electrical muscle stimulation led to a relocation of GLUT4 to the sarcolemmal membrane indicating the insulin-independent regulation could be restored.9 In this prospective randomized design in critically ill patients with sepsis-related multiple organ failure, we could not reproduce this finding. However, a normal distribution of GLUT4 could only be observed in pPT and pPT+ groups indicating that intensifying muscle activation in critically ill patients may have an effect on the capacity of transmembraneous glucose uptake in the skeletal muscle. We hypothesize that this patient cohort with prolonged severe sepsis and a more intense and longer lasting cytokine effect on the muscle cell showed a more severe form of GLUT4 translocation failure than the patients with single organ failure we previously described.

The last step is the intracellular metabolism: the physiological reaction of the skeletal muscle to the high insulin and glucose concentration under HE clamp is a significant rise in lactate and pyruvate level with a concomitant decrease of glycerol concentration in the microdialysate of skeletal muscle as shown in our healthy controls. In our patient cohort with sepsis-related multiple organ failure, this pattern of metabolic reactions was absent. This absence was independent from the dose of physiotherapy and muscle activating measures the patients received. This metabolic inflexibility is understood to be one of the most important mechanisms underlying obesity and type 2 diabetes.20 A central hypothesis for the development of ICUAW is that decreased insulin sensitivity leads to a bioenergetics failure within the muscle cell.21 It stands to reason that the metabolic inflexibility and restriction in glucose uptake due to limits of intracellular metabolism are part of this bioenergetic failure in severe sepsis.

It has to be pointed out that neither the glucose uptake nor the intracellular metabolic flexibility could be identified as the main defect of glucose metabolism in our patients. Both are shown to be impaired and neither could be mitigated by muscle activation, even when additional muscle activating measures were added to the therapeutic regimen.

Our findings need to be discussed in consideration of other literature investigating the impact of early mobilization in critically ill patients. Most of these trials do not report percentage of patients receiving insulin or daily insulin dose at all.22–34 Interestingly, two influential trials on early mobilization, which did not primarily focus on glycaemic control, showed no impact of mobilization on insulin therapy within their interventional groups.35,36

One post hoc analysis by Patel et al.,6 however, postulated that early mobilization may have led to an improvement of insulin sensitivity in critically ill patients. This was however a post hoc analysis of clinical routine data. The authors neither measured insulin sensitivity, for example, by using the gold standard of HE clamp, or surrogates nor measured metabolic flexibility on tissue level. Besides these methodological differences, the severity of illness or prolonged sepsis of the patients reported here may also explain the divergence of the results. In comparison, our patients had a higher incidence of sepsis with higher insulin dosages and a more prolonged course of critical illness, with more than twice the days on a ventilator.

Hence, it may be hypothesized that the effectiveness of mobilization to mitigate insulin resistance may be dependent on the severity of sepsis or the duration of the phase with high severity of sepsis and resulting cytokine storm.
**Limitations**

Most importantly, our patient collective is limited to severe sepsis with all patients suffering from multiple organ failure; critically ill patients with only single organ failure were not included. A significant share of ICU patients is not represented in this investigation. This may explain the incongruence with results published previously.

Several other limitations have to be addressed to put the results of this investigation into perspective; some of these are inherent to the field of ICU research. The number of patients included is small and these are split up into three groups only allowing an exploratory view on the results. The HE clamp and concomitant microdialysis cannot indicate the mechanisms behind insulin resistance in skeletal muscle tissue. No measurement of muscle perfusion or microcirculation was applicable at the time of the study, with the disruption of sepsis on microcirculation and tissue perfusion; a measure to quantify differences in perfusion and resulting differences in glucose supply would be highly beneficial. Furthermore, the high insulin levels during HE clamp may lead to a temporary increase in tissue perfusion, due to insulin-mediated capillary recruitment. This may lead to a distortion of results. All of these aspects will need to be addressed in future trials.

**Conclusions**

In our study population of critically ill patients in sepsis-related multiple organ failure, the severity of insulin resistance was associated with reduced muscle strength at first awakening and ICU discharge. A physiotherapeutic regimen including pPT and early muscle activating measures lead to a higher dose of physiotherapy delivered to these critically ill patients and a higher mean level of mobilization. However, the higher level of physiotherapy had no impact on peripheral insulin sensitivity or metabolic flexibility on muscle tissue level in these patients. The mechanism behind this finding seems to be a combination of transmembraneous glucose uptake and intracellular metabolism. Which of these two aspects precedes the other or has a stronger clinical implication cannot be determined by our work and needs further detailed investigation.

As we can only report on sepsis patients with multiple organ failure, authors of future studies investigating the effect of early mobilization in other critically ill patients should feel encouraged either to report on insulin dosage in their patients or to measure insulin blood levels and report QUICKI or HOMA, to gain further insight into the effect of early mobilization on insulin sensitivity.

These findings suggest that during severe sepsis, physiotherapy has a low impact in the prevention of insulin resistance and metabolic inflexibility. Clinicians should start these preventive measures as early as possible, before multiple organ failure can develop to achieve the highest effect possible.
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