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Introduction

Gait disturbances and subsequent falls are among the major causes of chronic disability in the elderly population and, although being a common condition, so far have been subject to relatively few studies assessing overall frequency and clinical characteristics in community-based samples [1,2]. The lack of data may partially derive from the absence of standard definitions and criteria for this heterogeneous group of disorders. Suggestions to classify gait abnormalities include syndromic approaches based upon the phenomenological features of gait and associated signs and symptoms [2–5] as well as etiological approaches asking for the neurological cause underlying the respective gait abnormality [3,5–7]. Previous studies mainly used questionnaire-based assessments in the community or did examine gait objectively, but in a selected hospital-based outpatient cohort with gait disorders [1,6]. Using a syndromic classification only, three studies involving samples from the Einstein Aging Study cohort found 16% to 20% of participants aged over 70-years suffering from neurological gait disorders [2,4,8]. However, these were community-based samples and not recruited as representative population samples [8]. Therefore, in the general elderly community, the prevalence of gait disorders still remains to be elucidated. It would also be helpful to establish the overall gait-related burden due to impaired mobility, falls, and overall decreased function. To achieve this, we performed specific investigations in the cohort of the ongoing
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population-based Brunck Study [9–11]. Our aims were to assess 1. the prevalence of neurological and non-neurological gait disorders according to a well-defined classification system accounting for sex differences and age trends, 2. the clinical types of (neurological) gait disorders and their respective severity assessed by quantitative gait measures, 3. the frequency of falls and their association to different types of gait disorders, 4. the association of gait disorders and falls to anxiety, depression, and cognitive decline, and 5. the impact of gait disorders on quality of life.

Methods

Participants

Our participants were recruited from the cohort of the Brunck Study, a prospective population-based study on the epidemiology of cardiovascular and neurological diseases [9–11]. Brunck is a small town situated in the alpine region of Northern Italy. Although inhabitants in this area are exclusively Caucasian, their Austro-German and/or Italian background contributes to heterogeneous geographic origins of the study participants. The study population was recruited as an age- and sex-stratified random sample of all inhabitants of Brunck aged 40–79 years. Baseline assessment took place in 1990 and included 919 of 1000 persons selected from the official population register, split into age groups according to decades (125 women and 125 men in every decade from 40 to 79 years). Follow-up assessments were scheduled every five years. From the surviving 576 subjects, 488 returned for the last follow-up assessment in 2010 (follow-up rate 84.7%) and were used for the present cross-sectional study. Out of a total of 14 institutionalized subjects from the Brunck Study cohort at that point in time, 11 were seen during the Brunck Study 2010 and included in the present analysis. For the purpose of comparing age related differences, we divided our population in three subgroups of 60–69 (n = 205), 70–79 (n = 163), and 80–97 (n = 120) years of age.

Ethics

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (institutional review board of the local medical service of south Tyrol, Bolzano, Italy) and all participants gave written informed consent. All investigations were carried out in accordance to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Procedures

The study protocol included a clinical neurological assessment with emphasis on movement disorders. The interviews and examinations were carried out by two neurologists (one senior neurologist with special expertise in movement disorders and one fellow in the field of movement disorders). Firstly, a careful general history, including family- and past medical history, was taken and screening for secondary causes of disease, especially movement disorders including drug-exposure was performed. Standard interviews sought for clinical symptoms suggestive of gait disorders such as slowness of movements, difficulties of gait (insecurity, scuffling shoes, short steps, and getting glued feet to the floor) feeling of unsteadiness and imbalance, fear of falling, and the frequency of falls over the past year. Falls, also ascertained from history, were defined as a subject unintentionally coming down on the floor not due to a major intrinsic or extrinsic event [12]. Recurrent falls were defined as more than one fall within the last year. Cognitve performance was measured by the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE). Mood was evaluated by the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-Anxiety and HADS-Depression). Quality of life (QoL) was assessed using the multidimensional World Health Organization QoL-BREF (WHOQoL-BREF) instrument. Subsequently, all participants underwent a standardized neurological examination including the UPDRS-III (Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, motor section). Classification of participants with clinical signs of parkinsonism was done according to current diagnostic criteria for parkinsonian disorders [13]. Due to a lack of standard definition or criteria for the rather heterogeneous group of gait disorders, we decided to resort to a well-defined classification system recently published by Snijders and colleagues [3]. It consists of a practical approach based on a three step model. Step one leads to a possible, clinically based gait disorder. Within this first step, three elements were captured: (1) core gait features were assessed while observing participants walking for 10 meters, turning, and walking back seeking for e.g. high steppe with dropping foot, (bilateral) circumsion, staggering and wide-based gait, shuffling gait, hesitation and freezing, ‘walking on ice’, etc.; (2) additional clinically based gait and balance tests regularly included the Unterberger-, the Romberg’s-, and the pull test, the tandem-walk and walking while keeping eyes closed to test for balance and postural stability, the heel- and toe walk to test for strength and the stop walking while talking tool to test for aggravation upon performance of a secondary task; (3) associated symptoms and signs were assessed during the general neurological evaluation seeking for e.g. lower motor neuron features, disturbed proprioception, cerebellar ataxia, parkinsonism, frontal release signs, etc. Step two includes ancillary investigations, response to treatment, and prolonged follow-up and leads to a probable diagnosis. As this study was performed in the Brunck hospital, information about potential reasons for gait impairment was available (e.g. brain imaging, glucose, and HbA1c levels, vitamin B12 deficiency, response to dopaminergic treatment, longitudinal clinical data, etc.) and a probable etiological diagnosis of gait impairment could be made. The classification included nine neurological gait disorders: I paretic/hypotonic, II spastic, III sensory ataxic, IV cerebellar ataxia, V vestibular ataxic, VI dyskinetic, VII hypokinetic-rigid (parkinsonian), VIII cautious, and IX higher-level [3]. Gait disorders resulting from more than one neurological condition were classified and reported as ‘multiple’ and the contributing elements were noted. Step three consists of a post-mortem examination leading to a definite diagnosis and was not performed. Apart from these neurological causes, we subsumed orthopaedic, including an antalgic gait (due to e.g. joint pain), and ophthalmologic reasons for gait impairment as non-neurological causes. Gait disorders resulting from both neurological and non-neurological causes were classified and reported as ‘combined’. Impairment was defined as mild (no assistance required), moderate (dependent on walking aid) or severe (non-ambulant) [2]. As quantitative gait measures, the Hauser ambulation index [14], the Tinetti balance and gait scale [15], and the Timed Up and Go test [16] were applied. Overall gait speed was measured during undisturbed straight walking at the subject’s own comfortable speed over a distance of 8 meters using a simple stop watch.

Inter-rater Reliability

To assess the reliability of gait evaluations, an independent sample of 43 inpatients at the department of neurology from the Innsbruck Medical University with distinct neurological diagnoses were examined by the two study clinicians blinded to the results of each other. There was high agreement between the two assessments in the classification of the various gait disorders (kappa ranging from 0.78 to 0.99, each p<.001). Overall
unweighted kappa was 0.95 (p<0.001). Therefore a good inter-rater reliability was achieved.

Statistical Analysis
Data were tabulated and analyzed using SPSS 20.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Prevalence rates are given in percent of the respective category. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (95%CI) were calculated using the modified Wald method [17]. The chi-square test was used for gender and age category distribution. As data from the quantitative gait measures (Hauser index, Tinetti scale, Timed Up and Go test and gait speed) were not normally distributed, group comparisons for these severity measures were performed with Mann-Whitney-U tests and post-hoc Bonferroni corrections. Impact of various gait disorders and the quantitative gait measures on the occurrence of recurrent falls as well as associations of age, gender, cognition, and mood on the occurrence of gait disorders, neurological gait disorders, and recurrent falls were assessed with an unconditional logistic regression analysis. Associations were expressed by the odds ratio (OR). For continuous variables (age, Hauser index, Tinetti scale, Timed Up and Go test, gait speed, MMSE, BDI, HADS-Anxiety, and HADS-Depression) ORs were calculated for a one standard deviation unit change in variable levels in order to render the odds comparable. The significance level was set at p<0.05.

Results
Prevalence of Gait Disorders
Of the 488 participants, 142 reported difficulties while walking (29.1%; 95%CI 25.2%–33.3%), but 18 of them (3.7%; 2.3%–5.8%) showed no gait abnormalities during the neurological examination at the time of the visit. Overall, 157 participants (32.2%; 95%CI 28.2%–36.4%) had a gait disorder upon examination. Of the 488 participants, 33 of these subjects were not aware of it (6.8%; 95%CI 4.5%–9.4%). The frequency of gait disorders was strongly age-related (p<0.001). Therefore a good inter-rater reliability was achieved.

| Types and Severity of Gait Disorders |
|--------------------------------------|
| As outlined in Table 1, 117 subjects showed a neurological gait disorder and 85 a non-neurological gait disorder. Of these, 45 subjects had combined reasons for their gait impairment. A detailed breakdown of neurological gait disorders is represented in Table 2. 81 subjects suffered from a distinct entity and 36 from multiple neurological gait disorders. In the latter group single combinations were close to the proportions expected by chance, except for the combination of higher-level and sensory ataxic gait. There was no association of neurological gait disorders with gender. Within this group, however, the cautious walking pattern was associated to female gender (p = 0.017). Also, non-neurological gait disorders were associated with female gender (p = 0.012). Non-neurological gait disorders occurred due to osteoarthritis of the knee (n = 28), and the hip (n = 23) and various other orthopaedic reasons in the remaining subjects as well as ophthalmological reasons leading to visual disturbances in 12 cases. All 11 institutionalized subjects had a neurological gait disorder, 7 in combination with a non-neurological gait disorder.

Quantitative gait measures for the different gait disorders are shown in Table 3. All used measures significantly display gait impairment in all neurological gait disorders compared to healthy subjects (p<0.001), except for the Timed Up and Go test and gait speed in vestibular and cerebellar ataxic gait, where all subjects had a mild severity of the gait disorder. Greatest impairment was found in spastic and higher-level gait disorders, where over one third were moderately to severely affected. Also pure non-neurological gait disorders lead to significant gait impairment, which was even higher when combined with a neurological gait disorder (p<0.001).

Falls
Overall, 45 subjects (9.2%; 95%CI 6.9%–12.1%) suffered from recurrent falls over the past year (32 women and 13 men; p = 0.018) and all of them had a gait disorder. Thus, of the subjects with gait disorders 28.7% (95%CI 22.2%–36.2%) fell recurrently and 20.4% (14.8%–27.4%) reported fear of falling (29 women and 3 men; p<0.001), including the 7 subjects with a cautious gait. Mean frequency of falls was 0.8 per month, ranging from twice a year to once a day. Table 4 presents the associations of various gait disorders with recurrent falls.

Table 1. Prevalence of gait disorders, according to sex and age.

| Sex       | Age category | Total No. | GD No., % (95%CI) | Neurological No. | Combined No. | Non-neurological No. |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Men*      | 60–69        | 103       | 12 11.7 (6.7–19.4) | 6                | 3            | 3                    |
|           | 70–79        | 79        | 27 34.2 (24.7–45.2) | 14               | 4            | 9                    |
|           | 80–97        | 45        | 24 53.3 (39.1–67.1) | 14               | 7            | 3                    |
| Total     | 227          | 63        | 27.8 (22.3–33.9)   | 34               | 14           | 15                   |
| Women*    | 60–69        | 102       | 10 9.8 (5.2–17.3)  | 2                | 2            | 6                    |
|           | 70–79        | 84        | 34 40.5 (30.6–51.2) | 10               | 10           | 14                   |
|           | 80–97        | 75        | 50 66.6 (55.5–76.3) | 26               | 19           | 5                    |
| Total     | 261          | 94        | 36.8 (30.4–42.0)   | 38               | 31           | 25                   |
| Men and Women | 60–69b     | 205       | 22 10.7 (7.1–15.8) | 8                | 5            | 9                    |
|           | 70–79b       | 163       | 61 37.4 (30.4–45.1) | 24               | 14           | 23                   |
|           | 80–97b       | 120       | 74 61.7 (52.7–69.9) | 40               | 26           | 8                    |
| Total     | 488          | 157       | 32.2 (28.2–36.4)   | 72               | 45           | 40                   |

Abbreviations: GD, gait disorders.
*Significance for sex difference: p = 0.053;
#Significance for age trends: p<0.001.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069627.t001
Table 2. Prevalence of the various neurological gait disorders.

| Neurological GD      | No. (#) | % of neurological GD (95%CI) | Total No. (1) | Causes (No.) |
|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Single neurological  | 81      | 69.2 (60.3–76.9)            |               |              |
| Sensory ataxic       | 22      | 18.0 (12.7–26.9)            | 46            | Peripheral sensory neuropathy (46)h |
| Parkinsonian         | 19      | 16.2 (10.6–24.1)            | 34            | 18 definite Parkinson’s disease (18), drug-induced parkinsonism (8), dementia with parkinsonism (4), parkinsonism (4)5' |
| Higher level         | 9       | 7.7 (3.9–14.2)              | 31            | CT-scan confirmed vascular encephalopathy (20) and normal pressure hydrocephalus (1), severe dementia (7), hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy (1), clinical gait apraxia of unknown reason (2) |
| Cerebellar ataxic    | 7       | 6.0 (2.7–12.0)              | 10            | Cerebellar stroke (3), cerebellar lesion due to multiple sclerosis (1), severe essential tremor (3), post-vaccinal cerebellitis (1), chronic alcohol abuse (1), multiple system atrophy (1) |
| Cautious             | 7       | 6.0 (2.7–12.0)              | 7             | Idiopathic, associated to fear of falling (7)d |
| Paretic/hypotonic    | 6       | 5.1 (2.1–11.0)              | 14            | Neurogenic claudication (7), diabetes type 2 neuropathy (1), nerve lesion due to trauma (3) or surgery (1), distal paraparesis as residual after Guillaum–Barré syndrome (1), unknown (2) |
| Spastic              | 6       | 5.1 (2.1–11.0)              | 7             | Ischemic stroke (3), intracerebral hemorrhage (3), congenital (1) |
| Vestibular ataxic    | 4       | 3.4 (1.1–8.8)               | 6             | Bilateral vestibulopathy (3), recent vestibular neuritis (1), recent Meniere’s attack (1); acoustic neuroma with surgery (1) |
| Dyskinetic           | 1       | 0.9 (0.0–5.2)               | 4             | levodopa-induced dyskinesia (3), chorea (1) |
| Multiple Neurological GD5' | 36 | 30.8 (23.1–39.7)            |               |              |
| Total                | 117     |                             |               |              |

The table lists No (1) and Total No (1). No (1) stands for gait disorders, which were single entities in 81 subjects and multiple gait disorders due to combinations of different entities in 36 subjects. Total No (1) stands for the total number of subjects presenting with a specific gait disorder. For the subjects with multiple gait disorders their contributors are listed additionally in the legend (see 5). E.g. there are 22 subjects who presented with sensory ataxia as a single neurological gait disorder [i.e. No (1)] and another 24 subject with a sensory ataxic gait in combination with other neurological gait disorders adding up to a total of 46 subjects with this gait abnormality [i.e. Total No (1)]. Abbreviations: GD, gait disorders.

5'Contributors to multiple neurological GD (No.): Sensory ataxic (24), higher level (22), parkinsonian (15), paretic/hypotonic (8), cerebellar ataxic (3), vestibular ataxic (2), dyskinetic (3), spastic (1).

5'Diagnosed in the presence of neuropathic symptoms (numbness, altered sensation, or pain in the feet) and neurologic signs (decreased ankle reflexes, decreased distal sensation, and disturbed vibration sense) according to current criteria [30];

5'Subjects with a parkinsonian syndrome not fulfilling criteria for definite Parkinson’s disease [13];

5'With core gait features of a slow, wide base gait with short steps like ‘walking on ice’ [3].

The prevalence and burden of gait disorders was high across all ages, with a marked age-dependent increase. Gait was carefully and comprehensively evaluated according to an established [3] and further standardized protocol, with a combination of detailed history taking, detailed gait assessment including core gait features plus a standard set of gait and balance tests, general neurological examination, and the results of ancillary studies. Consequently, gait disorders were classified syndromically and etiologically according to an accepted scheme [3], separating clearly defined neurological gait disorders from non-neurological gait disorders, or combinations thereof. In the Bruneck Study cohort, 24.0% of participants had a neurological gait problem, 17.4% suffered from non-neurological gait disorders, and 9.2% had combinations of both. Within the subgroup of neurological gait disorders, 69.2% suffered from distinct neurological entities and 30.8% had multiple neurological causes. The sensory ataxic, higher-level and parkinsonian gait were the most common subtypes. The high prevalence of sensory ataxic gait represents an understandable finding, considering the fact that peripheral sensory neuropathy is common in the elderly and may easily affect gait function [6,18]. White matter lesions can underlie higher-level gait disorders and these white matter lesions are associated with vascular risk factors such as advanced age, diabetes, and obesity [3,19,20]. The same factors can also contribute to a sensory gait ataxia [18], explaining the frequent coexistence of these two conditions in our sample. The high frequency of parkinsonian gait features in the elderly has already been described [6,21]. However, our detailed diagnostic approach
Table 3. Gait disorders and association to gait measures.

| Gait disorders (No.) | Hauser index [0–8] | Tinetti score [0–28] | Timed up and go [sec] | Gait speed [cm/sec] | Severity (%)a |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| One neurological (81) | 2.4 (1.7)          | 21.0 (5.8)          | 14.6 (6.5)           | 87.0 (25.6)       | 16             |
| Sensory ataxic (22)  | 2.3 (1.8)          | 21.5 (6.0)          | 13.5 (6.0)           | 88.7 (24.6)       | 18             |
| Parkinsonian (19)    | 2.1 (1.6)          | 22.1 (1.7)          | 15.6 (3.7)           | 88.6 (21.1)       | 16             |
| Higher level (9)     | 3.4 (2.5)          | 17.1 (8.7)          | 19.3 (8.1)           | 81.1 (31.0)       | 33             |
| Cerebellar ataxic (7) | 2.0 (0.6)        | 22.3 (2.8)          | 10.4 (2.2)           | 100.6 (35.9)      | 0              |
| Cautious (7)         | 2.3 (1.1)          | 21.6 (4.0)          | 13.9 (3.2)           | 80.0 (22.0)       | 14             |
| Paretic/hypotonic (6) | 2.3 (1.4)        | 20.1 (4.8)          | 16.4 (9.3)           | 86.9 (31.8)       | 17             |
| Spastic (6)          | 2.8 (1.8)          | 17.3 (5.8)          | 23.7 (12.8)          | 69.8 (28.5)       | 33             |
| Vestibular ataxic (4) | 1.8 (1.0)         | 22.8 (1.7)          | 10.6 (0.6)           | 91.3 (18.4)       | 0              |
| Dyssynergic (1)      |                   |                     |                      |                   |                |
| Multiple Neurological (36) | 3.7 (2.4)    | 15.9 (7.6)          | 17.2 (7.4)           | 71.8 (30.9)       | 44             |
| Total neurological (117) | 2.8 (2.0)   | 19.4 (6.8)          | 15.5 (6.9)           | 82.7 (28.0)       | 25             |
| Only neurological GD (72) | 2.4 (1.8)   | 21.0 (5.8)          | 13.8 (4.9)           | 86.0 (26.6)       | 15             |
| Only non-neurological GD (40) | 1.9 (1.2) | 23.7 (3.9)          | 12.8 (4.5)           | 93.4 (23.9)       | 15             |
| Combined (45) | 3.4 (2.2)          | 16.9 (7.6)          | 18.5 (8.7)           | 77.1 (29.6)       | 40             |
| Total GD (201)       | 2.6 (1.9)          | 20.5 (6.4)          | 14.8 (6.4)           | 85.4 (27.3)       | 22             |
| No GD (331)          | 0.1 (0.3)          | 28.0 (0.2)          | 8.9 (1.6)            | 122.3 (22.2)      | –              |

Abbreviations: GD, gait disorders.
Results of the Hauser index, Tinetti score, Timed up and go test and gait speed are reported in means (SD, standard deviation).

Table 4. Odds ratios of recurrent falls for the various types of gait disorders and gait speed.

| Variable                  | Fallers No. | Total No. | OR (95%CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------|
| Sensory ataxic            | 18          | 46        | 3.7 (1.5–9.3) | 0.006   |
| Parkinsonian              | 15          | 34        | 5.3 (2.0–14.2) | 0.001   |
| Higher level              | 16          | 31        | 3.2 (1.1–9.4) | 0.030   |
| Cerebellar ataxic         | 4           | 10        | 3.0 (0.4–21.0) | 0.262   |
| Cautious                  | 1           | 7         | –          | –       |
| Paretic/hypotonic         | 5           | 14        | 2.9 (0.7–11.6) | 0.124   |
| Spastic                   | 4           | 7         | 20.9 (2.2–202.4) | 0.009   |
| Vestibular                | 1           | 6         | –          | –       |
| Dyssynergic               | 1           | 4         | –          | –       |
| One neurological GD       | 21          | 81        | 2.4 (1.0–5.4) | 0.039   |
| Multiple neurological GD  | 20          | 36        | 7.1 (2.7–18.7) | <0.001  |
| Only neurological GD      | 22          | 72        | 3.3 (1.4–7.5) | 0.005   |
| Only non neurological GD  | 4           | 40        | 1.4 (0.4–5.1) | 0.655   |
| Combined GD               | 19          | 45        | 4.1 (1.6–10.2) | 0.003   |
| Hauser indexb             | 4.7         |           | (2.8–7.7) | <0.001   |
| Tinetti scoreb            | 3.9         |           | (2.5–6.1) | <0.001   |
| Timed Up and Go testb     | 2.7         |           | (1.6–4.3) | <0.001   |
| Gait speedb               | 5.5         |           | (2.8–11.0) | <0.001   |

Abbreviations: GD, Gait disorders; OR, odds ratios.
OR are calculated by logistic regression analysis and corrected for age, gender and MMSE-scores.
Numbers too low in the respective category for the calculation of the ORs.
For continuous variables ORs were calculated for a one standard deviation unit change in variable levels in order to render odds comparable.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069627.t003

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069627.t004
these subjects using a tailored treatment approach. Furthermore, among the subjects aged over 80 years, 38.3% still had a normally
these subjects using a tailored treatment approach. Furthermore, among the subjects aged over 80 years, 38.3% still had a normally
cognitive function and higher depression scores with gait disorders, neurological gait disorders and recurrent falls.

Table 5. Association of age, gender, cognition and mood with gait disorders, neurological gait disorders and recurrent falls.

| Variable                  | Gait disorders (n = 157) | Neurological gait disorders (n = 117) | Recurrent falls (n = 45) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                           | Unadjusted              | Adjusted for age and gender          |                         |
|                           | OR (95%CI) | P-value | OR (95%CI) | P-value | OR (95%CI) | P-value |
| Age (years)               | 3.4 (2.7–4.4) | <0.001 | 1.8 (1.3–2.5) | <0.001 | 1.5 (1.2–1.9) | 0.001 |
| Gender (female:male)      | 1.5 (1.0–2.2) | 0.052 | – | – | – | – |
| MMSE (decline)            | 3.0 (2.2–4.1) | <0.001 | 1.6 (1.2–2.2) | 0.002 | 1.5 (1.2–1.9) | 0.001 |
| BDI                       | 1.7 (1.3–2.3) | <0.001 | 1.1 (0.9–1.4) | 0.477 | 1.1 (0.9–1.4) | 0.477 |
| HADS-Depression           | 1.7 (1.4–2.1) | <0.001 | 1.5 (1.2–1.9) | 0.001 | – | – |
| Age (years)               | 3.9 (2.9–5.1) | <0.001 | 1.8 (1.3–2.4) | 0.001 | 1.5 (1.2–2.0) | 0.001 |
| Gender (female:male)      | 1.3 (0.9–2.0) | 0.173 | – | – | – | – |
| MMSE (decline)            | 3.6 (2.6–4.9) | <0.001 | 2.0 (1.5–2.9) | <0.001 | 1.5 (1.2–1.9) | 0.001 |
| BDI                       | 1.8 (1.4–2.4) | <0.001 | 1.8 (1.3–2.4) | 0.001 | 1.5 (1.2–2.0) | 0.001 |
| HADS-Depression           | 1.1 (0.9–1.4) | 0.229 | 1.1 (0.9–1.4) | 0.349 | – | – |
| Age (years)               | 4.5 (3.0–6.9) | <0.001 | 1.8 (1.4–2.2) | <0.001 | 1.5 (1.2–2.0) | 0.001 |
| Gender (female:male)      | 2.3 (1.2–4.4) | 0.018 | – | – | – | – |
| MMSE (decline)            | 2.2 (1.6–3.0) | <0.001 | 1.5 (1.1–2.0) | 0.019 | – | – |
| BDI                       | 1.9 (1.3–2.7) | 0.001 | 1.8 (2.0–2.7) | 0.005 | – | – |
| HADS-Depression           | 1.1 (0.8–1.6) | 0.410 | 1.0 (0.7–1.5) | 0.901 | – | – |
| Age (years)               | 1.9 (1.5–2.5) | <0.001 | 1.5 (1.1–2.1) | 0.011 | – | – |

Abbreviations: MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; OR, odds ratio.

Table 6. Association of gait disorders and neurological gait disorders with quality of life.

| Variable                  | General | Physical health | Psychological health | Social relationships | Environment |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| All GD                    | 68.4 (±15.0) | 76.4 (±11.9) | 72.4 (±14.0) | 71.6 (±12.6) | 82.3 (±9.9) |
| Neurological GD           | 67.0 (±15.0) | 75.2 (±12.3) | 70.6 (±14.3) | 70.3 (±11.4) | 81.3 (±9.9) |
| No GD                     | 76.6 (±12.3) | 84.7 (±8.7) | 77.9 (±11.5) | 73.1 (±12.2) | 84.9 (±9.5) |

Abbreviations: GD, Gait disorders.
The self administered WHO Quality of Life-BREF questionnaire assesses the general quality of life and health as well as the QoL in the four domains physical health, psychological health, social relationships, and environment. Results are reported in mean transformed scores (where 100 points represent maximum of respective item, ± standard deviation); P values refer to differences to the group without GD and are corrected for age and gender.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069627.t006
mood, and diminished QoL. The results of our study should increase awareness among clinicians and health authorities to the high prevalence and associated disease burden of gait disorders, in particular neurological gait disorders, in the general elderly community.
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