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**ABSTRACT**

Accumulating evidence has implicated an involvement of the gut-brain axis in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), however with highly diverse results. This systematic review aims to describe and evaluate studies investigating the gut microbiota composition in individuals with ASD or ADHD and to evaluate if variations in gut microbiota are associated with these disorders.

Twenty-four articles were identified in a systematic literature search of PubMed and Embase up to July 22, 2019. They consisted of 20 studies investigating ASD and four studies investigating ADHD. For ASD, several studies agreed on an overall difference in β-diversity, although no consistent bacterial variation between all studies was reported. For ADHD, the results were more diverse, with no clear differences observed.

Several common characteristics in gut microbiota function were identified for ASD compared to controls. In contrast, highly heterogeneous results were reported for ADHD, and thus the association between gut microbiota composition and ADHD remains unclear. For both disorders, methodological differences hampered the comparison of studies.

**Introduction**

In recent years, the prevalence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention-deficit disorder/attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (in this paper both disorders are referred as ADHD) has increased. Globally, ASD and ADHD are estimated to affect 1.0–2.0% 1,2 and 7.2%, 3 respectively, of all children and both disorders are associated with potentially severe social, adaptive, and educational problems. Thus, the development of these disorders is receiving increasing research attention. 4,5 While ASD describes a range of abnormalities characterized by impairment of social and communicative skills combined with restrictive-repetitive behavior, 6 ADHD is defined by symptoms of inattention, impulsivity, and/or hyperactivity. 7 Despite these seemingly different symptoms, the two disorders are often co-existing, with previous studies reporting that up to 63% of ASD cases displayed ADHD symptoms. 7 Both disorders have substantial genetic contributions, with heritability estimates of approximately 54% and 74% for ASD and ADHD, respectively. 8,9 Furthermore, the two disorders share several genetic variants. 10 Despite these clear genetic involvements, heritability has not been able to satisfyingly predict the disorders, and instead, they are believed to be the result of a complex interaction between genetic and environmental factors. 8,9,11

For both ASD and ADHD, gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms are common, with constipation, diarrhea, and GI pain affecting up to 70% of ASD patients, 11,12 and the intensity of GI symptoms are positively correlated with ASD severity. 12,13 Similar to ASD, GI symptoms like constipation, fecal incontinence, and abdominal pain are commonly reported by ADHD patients. 15,16 Based on these observations, dietary interventions have been attempted. These include the use of gluten and casein-free diets for management of ASD symptoms, 17 and, for ADHD, omega-3, and –6 fatty acid supplementation and removal of food coloring. Results have varied, although reduction of core
symptoms of ASD and ADHD has been demonstrated. Overall, while dietary interventions have not been successful in treating ASD or ADHD, the symptom improvements observed may suggest that components of the GI tract are involved in ASD or ADHD. A number of studies has suggested that the gut microbiota may serve as one of these components.

The GI tract contains a thriving population of bacteria, that together with viruses, fungi, protozoa, and archaea, forms a community of microorganisms termed the gut microbiota. Variations within the normal bacterial composition have been associated with the development of different pathophysiological conditions including type 2 diabetes, obesity, and inflammatory disorders. Studies have indicated that GI bacteria are involved in a bidirectional interaction with the brain, which has been shown to be important for normal neurodevelopment. Disruption of this interaction, termed the “gut-brain axis”, has been hypothesized to be implicated in several neurological or psychiatric disorders like Parkinson’s disease, depression, or bipolar disorder. A number of direct and indirect contributing pathophysiological mechanisms has been proposed by which the gut microbiota may impact these disorders. Direct mechanisms include stimulation of the vagus nerve and production of psychoactive metabolites as reported for ASD. The indirect mechanisms include a number of functional differences that may result in increased GI tract permeability, allowing leakage of bacterial products like lipopolysaccharides to the blood, and thus result in low-grade systemic inflammation.

Several studies have examined the role of gut microbiota in ASD using culturing or targeted approaches. A recent preclinical study demonstrated that autism-like behavior could be transferred to mice through fecal microbiota transplant from children with ASD. Other studies have attempted probiotic treatment, but with conflicting results. Although gut microbiota has been suggested as a potential clinical target in treatment, the role of gut microbiota in ASD is still not completely understood. Unlike ASD, information on the role of gut microbiota in ADHD is limited. In the few studies published so far, a lowered abundance of fecal Bifidobacterium in infancy or early life infection with Streptococcus has been associated with increased risk of developing ADHD.

Despite several indications suggesting a relationship between an altered gut microbiota and ASD or ADHD, the nature of this involvement is still not clear. In order to facilitate the use of gut microbiota in improving diagnosis and treatment of core symptomology in ASD and ADHD, we require a better understanding of which bacteria are associated with these neurodevelopmental disorders, and how they affect their pathophysiological characteristics.

Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate and describe the current findings relating to altered gut microbiota composition in individuals with ASD and ADHD.

Methods

Search protocol

The protocol for this systematic review was registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) under the ID number CRD42018111458, prior to commencement of this study. The guidelines provided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) were used. A systematic search strategy was performed prior to July 22, 2019, using the databases PubMed and Embase, with no restrictions on publication year. Search strings were tailored for each database, based on existing publications, and are visualized in Table 1. The references of included studies were screened to identify potentially missed studies.

Eligibility criteria

Articles were included based on the following criteria: The included studies must be original studies performed in humans, diagnosed with one or both of the following diagnoses: ASD (299.00 or 299.80 according to the “Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders” (DSM)-IV or 5 criteria and F84.0, F84.1, F84.5, or F84.8 according to the “International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems” (ICD)-10 criteria) or ADHD (314.00 or 314.01 according to DSM-IV or 5 or F90.0, F90.1 or F98.8 according to the ICD-10 criteria). The complete microbial community must be assessed in fecal samples. The microbial community should be compared to
a control group without either ASD or ADHD. The articles must be written in English or Danish.

Articles were excluded if they included less than 10 study participants or focused on co-morbidity between ASD/ADHD and other disorders.

All inclusion or exclusion criteria are available at the Collaborative Approach to Meta Analysis and Review of Animal Data from Experimental Studies (CAMARADES) website SyRF (http://app.syrf.org.uk/projects/5ff6aab3-4315-43b3-be6a-1f508f08fa/detail).

Study selection

Articles obtained from the literature searches were combined, and duplicates were removed using the automatic function implemented in the reference manager Mendeley (https://www.mendeley.com/). The articles were analyzed in two stages: Initially, titles and abstracts were screened independently by two researchers (CBN and JKK), using SyRF (http://syrf.org.uk/), according to the eligibility criteria. Next, the included articles were subjected to whole-paper revision. Disagreements between the two reviewers were resolved by consensus-based discussion, and, if necessary, a third reviewer was involved (SES).

Data extraction and quality assessment

Data were extracted to a Microsoft Excel file (Supplementary Table 1), focusing on demographics, diagnostic methodology, microbiota assessment methodology, bacterial richness, diversity, and taxonomic bacterial composition (phylum, family, genus, and species only). Meta-analysis was not performed due to the heterogeneity of methodology.

Quality assessment of the included studies was evaluated, using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for case–control studies.48 NOS contains three criteria: selection (are cases and controls effective community controls?), comparability (are cases and controls comparable?), and exposure (how are diagnosis and microbiota assessed?). A quality score ranging from 0 to 10 was obtained by the use of a rating algorithm previously described:45 0–5 (poor), 6–7 (moderate), and 8–10 (high).

Results

Study selection

The initial database search generated 1,841 articles, which were reduced to 1,532 unique articles after automatic duplicate removal. Subsequent screening of titles and abstracts resulted in 62 articles assigned to whole paper revision. During whole paper revision, 38 articles were excluded due to non-complete eligibility criteria upon closer inspection. This included articles that only investigated a subset of the gut microbiota (n = 12); were conference abstracts (n = 12); characterized gut microbiota in GI biopsies or urine samples rather than fecal samples (n = 5); only characterized gut microbiota following pro- or prebiotic intervention (n = 4); were duplicates of already included studies (n = 2); had less than 10 study participants (n = 2); or did not compare the gut microbiota to a control population (n = 1). Finally, 24 original articles were included in this systematic review. These articles included 20 articles investigating ASD49–68 and 4 investigating ADHD69–72 (Figure 1, supplementary data 1). None
of the studies included study participants with both disorders. [Figure 1 near here]

As indicated in Table 2, all studies received a NOS score ranging from six (moderate) to eight (high). Four studies, all investigating ASD, received a score of eight (high), due to matching cases and controls on other variables than age alone. Conversely, four studies (three investigating ASD and one investigating ADHD) received a score of six (moderate), due to inadequate description of samples, controls represented by children undergoing surgery and thus not being representative community controls, or controls being older than ASD or ADHD cases (tables 3 and 4). The remaining studies all received a score of seven (moderate).

Characteristics of studies investigating ASD or ADHD

Demographics of the included studies are seen in tables 3 and 4 for ASD and ADHD, respectively. Geographically, the studies were performed in USA, Europe, People's Republic of China, Taiwan, Australia, and India. The studies investigating ASD included in total 733 cases and 590 controls (138 siblings and 452 non-related controls), whereas the studies of ADHD included in total 114 cases and 156 controls (21 siblings and 135 non-related controls).

The majority of studies used non-related participants as controls, while four studies compared ASD cases to siblings, and two (one ASD and one ADHD) compared cases to both siblings and non-related controls. It is noteworthy, that while the majority of studies included cases and controls younger than 18 y only, one study investigating ADHD included cases and controls older than 18 y. For most studies investigating ASD, there was a higher percentage of males among cases compared to controls (total of 74.6% for cases, 41.3% for siblings, and 63.3% for non-related controls, for all studies providing this). This was also true for studies

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram, summarizing the studies identified during the systematic literature search and reviewing process.
investigating ADHD (total of 77.2% for cases compared to 62.8% for controls). Only three studies used gender-matched cases and controls. We also recorded information regarding the use of special diets or nutritional supplements, presence of GI symptoms as well as the use of medication. We found that for three studies investigating ASD and one study investigating ADHD, the diet, or use of probiotics, of cases differed from that of controls. Similarly, presence of GI symptoms (primarily constipation, but also diarrhea and abdominal pain) was common for ASD (reported in 31.5% of cases versus 7.3% for controls), which was not seen for ADHD. All studies excluded participants who recently received antibiotics, while two studies investigating ADHD included cases that received ADHD medication. No other medical treatments were observed to be prevalent in the studies (tables 3 and 4).

**Handling and analysis of samples**

A number of differences in sample handling and analyzes was observed between the individual studies, and are described in Table 5. Following sample collection, the majority of studies stored the fecal samples at either −20°C or −80°C, while other studies used preserving buffers or stored samples at 4°C. Two studies did not provide information on storage of samples. For DNA extraction, most studies used commercial spin column-based extraction kits, with approximately half of the studies implementing pretreatment steps to increase DNA extraction from gram-positive bacteria. All studies, with the exception of two that used metagenomic sequencing, assessed fecal microbiota using amplicon sequencing of the 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) gene, targeting a number of hypervariable regions. Taxonomy was assessed using a variety of different databases, with Greengenes being the most common.

**Children and adolescents with ASD have distinct gut microbiota**

The gut microbial communities of ASD cases were compared to controls, assessing α- and β-diversity as well as changes in individual bacterial abundances.
The most consistent microbiota differences are visualized in Table 6, with a more comprehensive list presented in supplementary data 1. Highly heterogeneous results were obtained for α- (number of species and their diversity within samples) and β-diversity. (Difference in bacterial composition between
The majority of studies did, however, find that the overall microbiota composition of ASD cases differed from that of controls. A number of differences was observed between ASD cases and controls when comparing the relative abundance of individual bacterial phyla and genera. For phyla, three studies reported increased relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* in ASD cases.\(^{49,51-54,56-58,61,64,67,68}\) Nine studies reported altered *Firmicutes-Bacteroidetes* ratio, although they differed in the direction of change.\(^{49,52,53,56,57,61,64,66,68}\) For bacterial genera, several studies reported increased relative abundance of *Bacteroides*,\(^{49,53,57,61}\) *Barnesiella*,\(^{56,61,67}\) *Clostridium*,\(^{54,59,61,68}\) and *Roseburia*,\(^{53,61,68}\) as well as reduced relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium*,\(^{49,53,61,65,68}\) *Coprococcus*,\(^ {61,62,67}\) *Dialister*,\(^ {49,64,68}\) *Faecalibacterium*,\(^{51,67,68}\) *Prevotella*,\(^{54,61,62,67}\) and *Streptococcus*\(^ {49,52,53,56,61}\) in cases. However, no specific bacteria consistently differed between ASD cases or controls in all of the included studies. A few of the studies also looked at the effects of microbial differences; The metabolism was reported to be affected by several of the microbial changes associated with ASD.\(^{52,53,55,56,58,59,61,68}\) This was especially true for short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) metabolism, that was reported to be affected by changes in *Faecalibacterium*, *Ruminococcus*, and *Bifidobacterium* composition.\(^{52,53,56,61,68}\) Further more, two studies reported that the gut microbiota of ASD was associated with increased concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines,\(^{51,55}\) while Wang et al. reported that the increased *Clostridium* and *Bacteroides* associated with ASD resulted in reduced cortisol concentrations.

**Studies investigating gut microbiota in ADHD cases yield inconclusive results**

As for ASD, studies investigating the gut microbiota of ADHD compared to controls used \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-diversity as well as changes in individual bacterial abundances (Table 6, more comprehensive list in supplementary data 1). No clear overall conclusion could be drawn from the studies. The two studies originating from Europe observed that the gut microbiota \(\beta\)-diversity of ASD cases differed from controls,\(^{69,70}\) whereas none of the two East-Asian studies observed any significant differences.\(^{71,72}\) Furthermore, changes in individual bacteria were inconsistent between the four studies. All found ADHD specific changes, but no studies agreed on what bacterial taxa differed. Three studies discussed the causes and effects of the gut microbial variations. Wang et al. reported increased *Bacteroides* in children with ADHD, which was correlated to dietary differences. Jiang et al. reported that *Faecalibacterium* was negatively associated with ADHD symptoms, while Aarts et al.\(^{69}\) reported that genes encoding cyclohexadienyl dehydratase (CDT) had increased functionality in the ADHD-associated bacteria. The authors
further reported that the increased abundance of CDT was significantly associated with decreased reward anticipation, previously reported in ADHD.\textsuperscript{69}

**Discussion**

Understanding the microbial communities associated with ASD and ADHD has the potential of improving current treatment options for individuals with these disorders. While studies have attempted to utilize fecal microbiota transfer\textsuperscript{65} or probiotics in the treatment of ASD or ADHD, results have been limited.\textsuperscript{20,42,65} Given the large inter-individual variations in the healthy microbiome,\textsuperscript{73} a better understanding of normal variation, as well as whether gut bacteria are involved in the etiology of ASD and ADHD, has the potential of improving current treatment options for individuals with these disorders.
ADHD, is needed to develop future microbiota-based treatments.

**Gut microbiota of ASD and/or ADHD**

In this systematic review, we sought to evaluate whether individuals with ASD or ADHD had a distinct microbiota composition compared to controls. Importantly, for ASD, the majority of studies identified that the gut microbiota of ASD cases differed from controls, although no specific bacteria was consistently altered across studies. As suggested by Turnbaugh et al., the microbiome of a pathologic condition can also be defined by an altered function rather than an altered bacterial composition. Amongst bacteria reported to have increased relative abundance in ASD cases, several genera has previously been associated with inflammation. Conversely, several commensal bacteria with lower relative abundance are known to induce anti-inflammatory effects or are involved in the maintenance of normal metabolism. The findings have been supported by Rose et al. and Carissimi et al., who reported that ASD cases had an increased concentration of pro-inflammatory cytokines. However, we still lack more in-depth analyzes in the functions affected by the gut microbiota in ASD. These include, but are not limited to, studies investigating bacterial metabolites and effects on inflammation and metabolism.

Compared to ASD, the number of published studies investigating the involvement of gut microbiota in ADHD are surprisingly limited. This is supported by a recent systematic review, where only two studies on ADHD and gut microbiota were identified; both studies are also included in the present review.

**Table 6. Table depicting the most important observations on bacterial composition between ASD or ADHD cases, and controls.** Only bacteria, for which two separate studies have agreed on the direction of difference, are displayed in the table. Empty boxes represent that no difference was reported for this measurement, between cases and controls in the represented study. For De Angelis et al., autism and PDD-NOS were combined. $\uparrow$ = higher $\alpha$ diversity or bacteria are more abundant, in ASD/ADHD compared to control; $\downarrow$ = Lower $\alpha$ diversity or bacteria are less abundant, in ASD/ADHD compared to control; $\beta$ = bacterial $\beta$-diversity differ between ASD or ADHD cases compared to controls. $N$ = No difference in $\beta$-diversity. $-$ = no information.

| Studies | ASD | ADHD | Total |
|---------|-----|------|-------|
| Richness | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 5 |
| Diversity | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 2 |
| $\beta$-diversity | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 3 |
| Phylum | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Actinobacteria | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Bacteroidetes | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Firmicutes | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Proteobacteria | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Genera | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Aclinomyces | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Bacteroides | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Barteria | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Bilophila | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Butyricimonas | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Clostridium | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Collinsella | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Coprococcus | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Dialister | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Doror | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Enterococcus | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Escherichia | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Eubacterium | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Faecalibacterium | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Lachnospiraceae | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Lactobacillus | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Megasphaera | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Oscillospira | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Parabacteroides | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Prevotella | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Paraprevotella | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Roseburia | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Ruminococcus | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Streptococcus | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Succinivora | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Turicibacter | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
| Veillonella | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 |
with a different gut microbiota profile. Reduced relative abundances were reported of the bacterial genera *Parabacteroides, Prevotella*,70 *Faecalibacterium, Dialister,* and *Lactobacillus* in ADHD cases compared to controls. These genera are known to assist with maintenance of the normal GI tract function,78,81,84–86 which fits with the observed functional differences in carbohydrate and fat metabolism in ADHD, reported by Wang et al.72 Both Aarts et al.69 and Jiang et al.71 reported a significant correlation between specific microbial differences and ADHD symptomology. While intriguing, more studies are urgently needed to further elucidate whether these microbial interactions might directly influence the pathophysiology of ADHD. A previous study by Cheng et al.87 further reported that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with the genus *Desulfovibrio* and the order *Clostridiales,* were enriched in ADHD cases, although we could not substantiate this observation. Interestingly, in a large study of microbiota–drug interactions, Zimmermann et al.88 reported that certain gut bacteria could chemically modify the common ADHD drug Methylphenidate. Since the response of ADHD patients to medication differs,89 studies are needed to investigate whether gut microbiota could be used to predict drug response in ADHD patients.

As previously mentioned, there is a high degree of overlap between ASD and ADHD. It is therefore interesting if the two disorders share gut microbiota variations. Both ASD and ADHD are associated with a lower relative abundance of commensal bacteria related to the maintenance of a healthy GI function, which may explain the high frequency of GI dysfunctional conditions. It is however important to note that the differences in methodologies and the reported heterogeneous microbiota compositions in the reviewed articles hamper our ability to investigate the possibility of a shared gut microbiota in ASD and ADHD.

### Differences in methodology may explain the heterogeneous results

It is well known that several factors may have an influence on the composition of gut microbiota, including geographic, cultural, dietary, and demographic differences,63,90–93 which may explain some of the observed discrepancies between different studies. Intriguingly, Winglee et al. showed that urbanized Chinese people had gut microbiota with closer resemblance to Americans rather than that of rural Chinese people.94 This indicates that differences previously attributed to ethnical or geographical differences may instead be explained by differences in lifestyle. While a fiber-rich, plant-based diet is associated with a gut microbiota rich in the *Bacteroidetes* phylum and the genus *Prevotella,* a typical western diet is associated with increased *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroides.*90,91,94,95 It is recognized, that children with ASD often have a lower vegetable intake compared to children without ASD, often due to selective eating and sensory disturbances,96,97 and it is thus interesting, that several studies included in this systematic review reported increased *Bacteroides* and decreased *Prevotella* for ASD cases.

GI dysfunctions, primarily constipation, and diarrhea, were common amongst the ASD cases in several of the studies included in this systematic review. As reported by Vandeputte et al.,98 gut microbiota composition is highly associated with colon transit time as indicated by fecal consistency. While a fast transit time selects for fast-growing bacteria, the slow transit time observed in constipation enables more slowly growing bacteria to thrive. As a result, the increased presence of GI symptoms in ASD cases may explain some of the differences in gut microbiota observed between the studies.

Importantly, we observed that studies differed in selection of control groups. The majority of studies compared cases with non-related controls, some compared to siblings, and some to both groups, to correlate for similarities in environment. Finegold et al.49 reported that the gut microbiota of siblings to children with ASD, had a bacterial composition resembling a middle group between ASD and non-related controls. This may explain why two studies using siblings as controls only observed none to minor bacterial differences compared to controls.50,63

While sequencing enables highly sensitive determination of the microbiota composition, several factors in conjunction with handling of samples may influence data output.99,100 Among the studies included in this systematic review, several different storage techniques were utilized, ranging from lowered temperature to the use of storage buffers. While gut microbiota is robust, differences in storage can lead to growth or disruption
of susceptible bacteria, and thus result in differences in bacterial composition between studies.\textsuperscript{99,101,102} Extraction of DNA from gram-positive bacteria is problematic, due to the presence of a thick cell wall, that can prevent effective bacterial lysis during DNA extraction.\textsuperscript{100,103} This can lead to underrepresentation of gram-positive bacteria in studies investigating gut microbiota.\textsuperscript{100} Only half the studies included in this systematic review took steps to increase DNA extraction from gram-positive bacteria. Despite this, we did not detect a clear pattern in differences in bacteria known to be difficult to extract, like the \textit{Streptococcus} genera,\textsuperscript{103} and the impact is thus uncertain. Finally, most of the included studies investigated microbiota composition by sequencing the different hypervariable regions of the 16 S rRNA gene. However, primers targeting different regions have different affinities to specific bacteria, and thus may capture different bacteria in the same samples.\textsuperscript{100} This makes comparison of studies using primers targeting different regions problematic.

Besides differences in sample handling, the studies also differed in the choice of bioinformatics pipelines and reference databases. Two commonly used reference databases amongst the included studies were Greengenes (http://greengenes.secondgenome.com/) and SILVA (https://www.arb-silva.de/). As reported by Park et al.\textsuperscript{104} these reference databases may not always identify the same microbial genera, which impairs proper comparison of studies. Here it is noteworthy that none of the included studies using the SILVA reference database identified differences in the \textit{Bacteroidetes} phylum. In contrast, five out of nine studies using the Greengenes database reported differences for this phylum.

Overall, several methodological differences were observed between the studies included in this systematic review, but no single factor explained the heterogeneity. It is thus unclear whether the heterogeneous gut microbiota compositions for each disorder presented in this systematic review, represent natural variations, or whether several factors together cause these variations in gut microbiota.

**Limitations**

A number of limitations needs to be addressed: First, analysis of the included studies proved complicated, since they varied widely regarding methodology and demography. This made the performance of a meta-analysis unfeasible. Secondly, all systematic reviews are susceptible to publication bias, where studies reporting differences in microbiota composition between cases and controls are more likely to be published. We read the references of the included studies, to determine if other studies were missed in the systematic search. This did not reveal any additional studies, suggesting that we adequately covered the published literature. Finally, new studies may have been missed, if MESH terms had not been assigned at the time of the systematic search.

**Conclusion**

This systematic review has demonstrated that ASD and ADHD cases are associated with a gut microbiota different from controls without neurodevelopmental disorders. However, studies varied widely concerning methodology, resulting in highly heterogeneous gut microbiota compositions between studies. A specific ASD or ADHD-associated gut microbiota could therefore not be established, although, for ASD, a few shared functional differences were suggested. Future studies should consider investigating differences in gut microbiota function as well as composition. Furthermore, the differences in methodology and demography could have influenced the gut microbiota of the studies, and thus studies are needed that investigate the gut microbiota jointly in these often comorbid diagnoses.
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