Notch Transduction in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
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Abstract: The evolutionarily-conserved Notch signaling pathway plays critical roles in cell communication, function and homeostasis equilibrium. The pathway serves as a cell-to-cell juxtaposed molecular transducer and is crucial in a number of cell processes including cell fate specification, asymmetric cell division and lateral inhibition. Notch also plays critical roles in organismal development, homeostasis, and regeneration, including somitogenesis, left-right asymmetry, neurogenesis, tissue repair, self-renewal and stemness, and its dysregulation has causative roles in a number of congenital and acquired pathologies, including cancer. In the lung, Notch activity is necessary for cell fate specification and expansion, and its aberrant activity is markedly linked to various defects in club cell formation, alveologenesis, and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) development. In this review, we focus on the role this intercellular signaling device plays during lung development and on its functional relevance in proximo-distal cell fate specification, branching morphogenesis, and alveolar cell determination and maturation, then revise its involvement in NSCLC formation, progression and treatment refractoriness, particularly in the context of various mutational statuses associated with NSCLC, and, lastly, conclude by providing a succinct outlook of the therapeutic perspectives of Notch targeting in NSCLC therapy, including an overview on prospective synthetic lethality approaches.
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1. Introduction

Notch signaling is a highly-conserved, cell-to-cell communication pathway serving several functions during mammalian lung development, including regulation of cell differentiation, survival, and lineage specification [1,2]. During early lung development, Notch promotes proximal progenitor cell types, maintaining the fundamental balance of the proximodistal axis and primarily specifying non-neuroendocrine fate choice [3–6].

Inhibition of Notch in the developing lung also produces a distal phenotype in which failure to initiate alveologenesis is observed [7,8]. In the adult lung, Notch activity continues to have a vital role with marked function in transitioning from lung developmental formation to participating in lung plasticity and repair [9]. While normal Notch signaling is necessary for maintaining homeostasis, its aberrant activity has been shown to be implicated in the onset and progression of lung carcinomas, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [10–13], where it, furthermore, may serve of prognostic
value \[14,15\], and as a predictor for therapy response and tumor recurrence \[15–17\]. These observations thus strongly suggest that the Notch signaling pathway may provide a promising therapeutic target in NSCLC patients. Understanding the mechanistic dynamics of Notch and the participating components hence offers insight into its involvement in NSCLC oncogenesis, as well as in its potential as a target for treatment.

Mammals express four Notch receptors (Notch1 to Notch4) and five ligands: Delta-like 1,3,4 (Dll1, Dll3, Dll4) and Jagged 1,2 (Jag1, Jag2) \[18,19\]. Notch receptors are comprised of three main domains: Notch extracellular domain (NECD), Notch transmembrane domain (NTM) and Notch intracellular domain (NICD). Notch signaling is activated when a transmembrane ligand on a signal-sending cell interacts with the NECD of a transmembrane Notch receptor on a signal-receiving cell, inducing a conformational change in the receptor (Figure 1). Endocytosis of the ligand in the signal-sending cell then allows the deployment of a quantum catch-bond pulling force between the signal-sending cell ligand and the bound signal-receiving cell receptor \[19,20\] that results in exposing the S2 site of the Notch receptor to an ADAM (a disintegrin and metalloprotease) protease (ADAM 10/17), which cleaves the extracellular domain of the Notch receptor and allows both endocytosis of the NECD-ligand complex in the signal-sending cell (NECD transendocytosis) and the initiation of a subsequent proteolytical activation process in the signal-receiving cell \[18,19\]. The S2 cleavage is followed by an intramembranous proteolytic cleavage (either at the cell membrane or endosomal vesicles) catalyzed by the gamma secretase complex at the S3/S4 site, releasing both the NICD intracellularly and the N\(\beta\) peptide (equivalent to amyloid \(\beta\)42) to either the interstitial space or the endosomal vesicle lumen. Upon its proteolytical release, NICD translocates to the cell nucleus, where it interacts with CSL (Rbpjk), Mastermind-like proteins, and p300, engaging in a coactivator complex to transcriptionally activate basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) genes, such as the Hes and Hey family members of transcriptional regulators, which then exert their biological effects (Figure 1).

In this review essay, we first start by providing a deep mechanistic overview of Notch function in lung development and its driving role in the dynamics of lung cell populations’ ontogeny, fate decisions, and differentiation processes, as this fundamental mechanics seems now evident that is well conserved in the molecular and cellular dynamics triggering lung cancer initiation and neoplastic progression, then provide a conceptualized revision of Notch’s involvement in NSCLC formation and progression, particularly in attention to the tumor promoter and/or suppressive roles of distinct Notch receptors, ligands and key regulators, and within the contexts of diverse cell ontogeny and fate choice selection, dynamic interplay interactions with other pathways, mutational status, genetic and epigenetic regulation, and on their potential as actionable drivers in disease progression and as targets for therapy, and, finally, include a concise discussion on prospective Notch targeting therapies, subclinical and clinical testing studies, and on how these strategies could be integrated for synthetic lethality approaches and other combinational modalities.

2. Notch Signaling in Lung Development

The lung is a highly complex structure of branching airways responsible for facilitating gas exchange. The lung develops across three general time periods, embryonic, fetal, and post-natal, with the developmental process categorized morphologically into five stages, as follows: embryonic, pseudoglandular, canalicular, saccular, and alveolarization \[21\]. The two driving processes that foster lung development through these stages are branching morphogenesis (structural development of the airways) and alveolarization (functional development of the respiratory epithelium via alveoli formation to facilitate gas exchange) \[21\].
Figure 1. The Notch signaling pathway. Newly synthesized Notch receptors undergo post-translational maturation in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi apparatus. In the ER, Notch receptors are modified by several resident glycosyltransferases that add various O-linked and N-linked glycans in the EGF-repeat region of the Notch extracellular domain. Mature Notch receptors are produced in the Golgi apparatus after their first proteolytical cleavage at the S1 site, which is catalyzed by Furin-like convertases, and results in the production of heterodimeric Notch proteins. These heterodimers are thus composed of a ligand-binding Notch extracellular domain (NECD) and a single-pass Notch transmembrane and intracellular domain (NTMICD) that are tethered together through non-covalent and calcium-dependent interactions. The canonical Notch signaling cascade is initiated when Delta/Serrate/Lag-2 (DSL) family ligands present in signal-sending cells bind to the NECD of cognate Notch receptors present in apposed signal-receiving cells. Upon binding, ligand-receptor complexes initiate a transendocytosis process in the signal-sending cell, inducing a biomechanical traction that promotes a conformation change in the receptor, leading to the exposure of the S2 site, on which a proteolytical cleavage is catalyzed by the action of ADAM metalloproteases (ADAM 10/17). This ligand-dependent cleavage causes the release of a S1-S2 peptide from the NTMICD and the dissociation of the heterodimeric complex, resulting in the generation of a transient intermediate monomer in the signal-receiving cell called Notch extracellular truncation (NEXT). The S2 cleavage acts as a rate-limiting step during the initiation of the signaling cascade, and it is immediately followed by γ-secretase-mediated cleavages at the S3 and/or S4 sites. The S3/S4 intramembranous cleavage results in the release of a Notch β fragment (Nβ-peptide, sequence between S2 and S3/S4 cleavage sites) to the extracellular (or endosomal) space, and the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) into the cytoplasm of the signal-receiving cell. The released NICD then initiates a translocation journey to the nucleus, where it participates, along with the DNA-binding protein CSL (CBF1/RBPjk/Su(H)/Lag-1), Mastermind (MAM)-like proteins and other co-activators, in the formation of transcriptional complexes that initiate the expression of Notch downstream effectors of the Hes and Hey transcription repressor families. In its most generic form, non-canonical Notch signaling (dotted arrows) is independent of CSL and, instead, mediated through interaction with other signaling axes including PI3K, mTOR, Wnt and Akt; and could be triggered through either ligand-dependent or ligand-independent mechanisms, and could also occur in γ-secretase-dependent as well as in γ-secretase-independent modes. Notably, ligand-independent activation of Notch receptors, which mainly occurs in endosomal trafficking routes and multivesicular bodies (MVB), results in the production of NICD, which in-turn could prompt either CSL-dependent as well as CSL-independent signaling responses.
The embryonic stage of lung development begins with lung cell fate determination as the foregut endoderm and mesoderm germ layer give rise to the lung epithelium and surrounding mesenchyme, respectively [22]. The lung mesenchyme promotes sprouting and branching and interacts with the endoderm to manifest various cell lineages including connective tissue, endothelial cell precursors, and smooth muscle [23]. At approximately four weeks post-conception in humans [24], embryonic day 9.5 in mice (E9.5), evagination of Nkx2.1+ epithelial cells into the surrounding mesenchyme stems the formation of the right and left lung buds, which subsequently undergo rapid differentiation to form epithelium-lined airways following a highly regulated process known as branching morphogenesis [22,23]. The pseudoglandular phase of lung development (E12.5-E16.5) continues the expansion of these airways from the proximal region (trachea and bronchi) to form distal airway structures (bronchioles), with domains of proximal and distal progenitors marked by the mutually exclusive expression of Sox2 and Sox9/Id2 proteins, respectively [23], and the lateral inhibition-mediated specification of neuroendocrine and non-neuroendocrine fates [3,8].

The culmination of the bronchiole proliferation is visibly marked by the canalicular phase (E16.5-E17.5), where the terminal bronchioli begin to differentiate into the acinus, a cluster of epithelial cells (initially formed during the pseudoglandular stage) that will eventually become the functional unit for the alveolus [21,23]. Also, as hallmarks of the canalicular phase are the club vs. ciliated cell selection and the evolution of distal epithelial cells into alveolar type 1 (AT1) and type 2 (AT2) cells from a common bipotent progenitor [25–27]—although, it should be noted that it is also well established that AT2 cells can act as progenitors for AT1 cell differentiation in the postnatal lung [22,23,28]. AT2 cells are smaller, cuboidal cells primarily responsible for the production and secretion of pulmonary surfactant onto the alveolar surface which noticeably allows the alveolus to exist in homeostasis [29], while AT1 cells are large squamous cells that make up 95% of the alveolar surface and mainly function in gas exchange [29,30]. Further in the organogenesis, the saccular stage (E18.5 to postnatal day 5 (P5)) accounts for a transitional period during which the acini widens and forms saccules (clusters of airspaces separated by primary septa), in a concerted process involving T1α, Hikeshi (l7Rn6), Nfib, and Fox proteins Foxa2 (HNF-3β) and Foxm1 [21,23,31–35]. Notably, unlike humans, mice and other insessorial mammals are born during this stage of lung development, with alveologenesis occurring postnatally [21,24]. At the alveolarization stage, the saccules are further divided by secondary septa into smaller airspaces called alveoli, in an orchestrated interplay between myofibroblasts, endothelium and alveolar epithelial cells that is finely regulated by PDGF-A, FGFR3/4 and VEGF interactions [36–38], and greatly increases the surface area for gas exchange.

Notch1 transcripts are initially present in the proliferating tips of budding lung epithelium and surrounding mesenchyme at E10, marking, along with the expression of Hes1 and Hey2 mRNAs in the budding epithelium, the first detectable levels of Notch activity in the developing lung [5,39]. Interestingly though, it has also been observed that by E12 mRNAs for all Notch receptors, both Jagged ligands, and Dll4 (which, in the epithelium, is present in the proximal but not distal compartment at this stage) were expressed in the lung mesenchyme as well [5]. The dynamic activity of Notch signaling observed throughout the developing lung strongly suggested that the Notch pathway could indeed be playing a pivotal role in lung morphogenesis and initial studies through the use of mouse platforms deficient for Notch pathway components and pharmacological inhibition of Notch activity were thus carried out [3,5,6,40]. Through the use of DAPT, a gamma secretase inhibitor (GSI) that prevents gamma secretase-mediated cleavage of Notch receptors [41], Tsao at el. demonstrated that the blockade of proteolytical Notch processing in murine lung primordium explants resulted in lung outgrowths that exhibited significantly reduced levels of Sox2 [5], a factor present in proximal progenitors that are necessary for the generation and maintenance of several cell lineages, including basal and club cells [42]. In these studies, it was markedly shown that while lung buds were formed by E8.5 and the distal region appeared substantially enlarged, impaired growth in the proximal region was observed [5]. Interestingly, an additional phenotypic manifestation noted in these DAPT-treated lung explants was ectopic budding in the proximal region and significant up-regulation of Fgf10 in the surrounding
mesenchyme, which, along with the observed inductive effect of Fgf10 on epithelial Notch activity, further indicated not only a suppressive effect of Notch signaling in Fgf10 expression, but also the existence of a Fgf10/Notch counterbalance dynamic regulatory loop in early lung morphogenesis [5].

Pofut1 (protein O-fucosyltransferase 1) is responsible for O-fucosylating Notch receptors at their extracellular domain, one of the numerous processes required to produce a viable Notch receptor able to successfully bind to ligands and activate Notch signaling [43]. While Sihh-Cre-directed conditional knockout of Pofut1 in murine lung yields a similar phenotype as with GSI treatment, Pofut1<sup>1Null</sup> (conditional knockout) lungs also show deficiency of club cell secretory lineage accompanied by an overpopulation of ciliated cells and neuroendocrine cells [6]. Importantly, these interesting findings, along with the observed neuroendocrine cell pool expansion and club cell reduction displayed in Hes1 deficient mice [3], and the fact that Sox2 was significantly downregulated in E18.5 Pofut1<sup>1Null</sup> lungs [6], strongly suggest that Notch selectively suppresses ciliated and neuroendocrine cell identities and likely controls club cell populations by promoting the expression of cell-autonomous, proximal progenitor gene, Sox2. Indeed, Sox2 has been shown to be essential for club cell differentiation and proximal cell types genesis [44]. A necessary remark in these observations however, is the fact that at E14.5, Sox2 labeling of Pofut1<sup>1null</sup> lungs was essentially comparable to control lungs in both the pattern and number of labeled cells [6]. Interestingly, the studies by Tsao et al. also demonstrated that jag1 was expressed in ciliated cells in a salt and pepper pattern, and that this local expression configuration was abolished upon Notch abrogation in Rbpjk<sup>cNull</sup> (Sihh-Cre;Rbpjknfl<sub>A</sub>) mutant mice where virtually all epithelial cells became jag1 positive [6]. This information, presumably indicating a lateral inhibition mode of action, was further confirmed by the studies of Morimoto et al., where both a mutually exclusive distribution of Notch1<sup>ICD</sup> and Foxj1 in the epithelial compartment, and an altered fate specification in which practically all Rbpjk-deficient proximal cells scored positive for the ciliated cell marker Foxj1 were seemingly demonstrated [40]. Of crucial importance, the lineage tracing experiments conducted by Morimoto et al. through combination of Notch activity reporter N1IP::CRE and conditional R26R Cre activity reporter, allowed conclusive demonstration that Notch signaling action is indeed necessary for club cell ontogenesis [40], in a process that, furthermore, requires Jag1-mediated activation of Notch receptors [45]. Collectively, these observations indicate that Notch signaling is required for non-neuroendocrine fate specification and the genesis and selection of club cells. In the absence of Notch activity, fate determination is pulled towards a default neuroendocrine fate specification, and during club cell ontogenesis towards a ciliated cell program (Figure 2).

SPC-Cre;Notch1<sup>ICD</sup> transgenic mouse embryos, which overexpress Notch1<sup>ICD</sup> throughout the lung epithelium under surfactant protein C (SPC) promoter governance, develop dilated cysts in place of normal sacculles, and high levels of Hes1 are markedly observed not only in the proximal epithelium but also passed through the bronchioalveolar duct junction (BADJ) [4]. In this model, alveolar differentiation of epithelial cells is severely affected and areas of aberrant Nkx2.1<sup>+</sup>Ecadherin<sup>+</sup>HNF-3β<sup>+</sup> epithelial cells are seen in the distal epithelium [4], an effect that is in line to the AT1 and AT2 differentiation defect observed upon directed overexpression of Notch3<sup>ICD</sup> in the pulmonary epithelium [46], where, furthermore, an accumulation of abnormal, TTF-1<sup>+</sup> cuboidal undifferentiated cells is observed [46]. As opposed to Notch1 inactivation, which renders the lung devoid of club cells, the SPC-Cre;Notch1<sup>ICD</sup> overexpressing transgenic mice also displayed ectopic presence of CC10<sup>+</sup> club cells in the distal cystic regions of their lungs along with marked metaplasia of mucous cells and decreased numbers of ciliated cells [4], further reaffirming not only the club fate promoting role of Notch activity, but also revealing a putative plausible role in promoting mucous cell differentiation among the non-neuroendocrine lineages/progenitors. Contrastingly however, recent findings on models with conditional inactivation of Rbpjk or Pofut1 via Tgfβ3-Cre show that in absence of canonical Notch activity, Goblet cell metaplasia is induced in the postnatal lung [47]. Although intuitively difficult to conceal the above observations, it’s been proposed that different thresholds of Notch activity at distinct topological and developmental frames may account for the converse action of Notch activity in Goblet cell formation [47]. Intriguingly, and to further complicate this picture even
Pofut1 (protein O-fucosyltransferase 1) is responsible for O-fucosylating Notch receptors at the expense of club cells [45], and that antibody-mediated Jag1 blocking antibodies gave reverse results indicating, respectively, that Jag1 deficiency induces mucous cell metaplasia at the expense of club cells [45], and that antibody-mediated Jag1 blocking is able to reverse ovoalbumin-induced, Goblet cell metaplasia [49]. Although the above-mentioned observations indicate that Notch-regulated Goblet cell formation seems to be a more intricate process, they are, nonetheless, consistent with the ascribed major role of Jag1-Notch2 action in club cell function and fate [50]. Notwithstanding, what however is clear now from lineage tracing analysis in adult lungs with the Tgfl3-Cre/+;Pofut1flox/flox;G-Gal/+ system [47] and transdifferentiation analysis in Hoxa5 deficient mice [51] is that Goblet cells can originate from a distinct subpopulation of club cells in the proximal epithelium.

**Figure 2.** Notch signaling in lung development and malignant conversion. Schematics depicting Notch-mediated cell specification and fate choice in lung development. In proximal progenitors, Notch regulates neuroendocrine (NE) vs. non-neuroendocrine (Non-NE) fate choice by inhibiting neuroendocrine commitment while promoting Non-NE fate. Once established, Non-NE progenitors are also under Notch-controlled fate determination, where Notch activity promotes secretory fates while suppressing ciliated cell fate choice. Goblet cells, which can derive from secretory club cells, are increased in numbers upon augmented Notch activity (Notch1ICD overexpression, green arrow). Surprisingly, and contrasting, a Notch suppressive action is revealed from studies of loss of either Pofut1, Rbpjk or Jag1, where Goblet metaplasia is manifested. Of note, Notch2 and Jag1 blocking antibodies are known to halt and reverse ovoalbumin- and cytokine-induced Goblet cell metaplasia. AT2 trajectory is negatively controlled by enhanced Notch signaling (green line-bar inhibition symbol) as revealed by the terminal cell differentiation defect observed upon Notch3ICD and Notch1ICD overexpression. Interestingly however, Notch activity is required for AT2 cell maturation and survival once formed. Neuroendocrine cells give rise to small cell lung cancer (SCLC) whereas both AT2 and club cells have been shown to act as cells-of-origin for NSCLC (both ACL and SCC) and basal cells are also presumed to give rise to SCC.

One of the most fascinating discoveries in the lung morphogenetic process was the recent unveiling of cell slithering in the ontogenesis of pulmonary neuroendocrine cells and bodies (NEB) [52,53]. In elegant studies by the Krasnow and Morimoto laboratories, it was demonstrated that neuroendocrine
epithelial cells adopt displacement characteristics of EMT (epithelial mesenchymal transition) to migrate towards one another and coalesce as clusters within the developing epithelium [52,53]. While for long considered to be derived from the neural crest, it is now accepted that this epithelial lineage originates from tip endoderm progenitors, and is remarkable for displaying high levels of the proneural bHLH transcription factor Ascl1 (Mash1) and the Notch ligand Dll1 in a local topographic setting where its clusters are surrounded by SPNC (SEEA1+, peri-NEB, Notch-active, CC10+ [50]) cells [53]. Remarkably, 3D mapping of neuroepithelial bodies (NEB) in developing lungs conditionally deficient for Hes1 (Shh-Cre;R26R<sup>H2B-mCherry</sup>;Rel<sup>EGFP</sup>;Hes1<sup>flox/flox</sup>) demonstrated enlarged NEBs throughout the proximal to distal airways, indicating that Notch-Hes1 signaling is required for restricting neuroendocrine cell fate in epithelial progenitors [53]. Strikingly, when SPNC cells are selectively eliminated through inducible diphtheria toxin expression (Nks<sup>2.1-Cre<sup>ERT2</sup>;LIPK3-STOP-DTA) at E14.5, the number of neuroendocrine cells remained unchanged [53], indicating that these (SPNC) Notch-active cells do not contribute to the neuroendocrine cell fate when neuroendocrine cells have formed, and, hence, strongly suggesting that the Notch/Hes1 restriction occurs through a lateral inhibition mechanism, a process that is also further in line with previous observations indicating that neuroendocrine cells are markedly positive for the Notch ligand Dll1, and that concomitant genetic inactivation of Notch1, 2 and 3 (Shh-Cre;Notch1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Notch2<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Notch3<sup>flox/flox</sup>) results in SPNC absence and subsequent NEB expansion [50]. Of interest, a recent report on Notch ligands’ dynamics function has shown that while Jagged ligands had significant roles in the control of cell populations present in conducting airways, it is Delta-like ligands the ones that are relevant on the control of NEB formation and size [54]. Using Shh-Cre;Jag1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Jag2<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice, the Cardoso group was able to demonstrate that the deficiency of Jagged ligands—which furthermore were also shown in this study to be expressed in a proximo-distal wave pattern in the lung epithelium—did not result in marked alterations in NEBs’ distribution, Cgrp expression, or cluster extent size in the intrapulmonary airways, while Shh-Cre;Dll1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Dll4<sup>flox/flox</sup> animals displayed marked enlargement of Ascl1<sup>+</sup> neuroendocrine cell pool, which, moreover, was further modestly seen in animals conditionally deficient for Dll1 but not in ones for Dll4, thus markedly suggesting that while Dll1 is the main Notch ligand responsible for suppression of neuroendocrine fate in the developing epithelium, functional redundancy between these ligands exists in the control of neuroendocrine cell fate specification [54]. These observations are however in marked contrast with Keli Xu group’s findings indicating that Jag1 inactivation results in an increase in the number of Cgrp<sup>+</sup> cells in the distal lung [45]. Importantly, while the distinct strategies used by Zhang et al. and Stupnikov et al. to genetically inactivate Jag1 in the developing lung epithelium may account for these divergent results, conditional genetic ablation of global Notch regulators Pofut1 and Rbpjk results in a noticeable distinct degree of NEB expansion [6,40], and it’s been proposed that Hes1 expression—which is known to control NEB fate [3] and yet is maintained in Rbpjk null epithelium [40]—might be regulated through Notch-independent mechanisms. While this is a valid idea, the notion for non-canonical and/or ligand-independent Notch action has not been ruled out either.

Lunatic fringe (O-fucosylpeptide 3-beta-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase, Lfng), a key modifier in the Notch signaling pathway responsible for transferring N-acetylglucosamine glycans to O-linked fucose residues present in epidermal growth factor-like (EGF) repeats of Notch receptors [55], regulates Notch activity by simultaneously making Notch receptors more sensitive to binding with Delta-like ligands and less sensitive to Jagged ligands [56,57]. Lfng knockout mutant mice manifest dramatic defects in lung vasculature and branching, including delayed sacculation, deformed septation and aberrant alveolar development [8]. Notably, an increase in AT2 cells fraction (assessed by SPC expression), a decrease in AT1 markers, and absence of SMA<sup>+</sup> myofibroblast cells were observed in these mutants at E17.5 developmental stage [8]. Critically, the latter manifestation, was shared by Notch2<sup>+/−</sup>;Notch3<sup>−/−</sup> compound mutants, but not displayed neither in Notch2<sup>−/−</sup> nor in Notch3<sup>−/−</sup> monogenic animals [8], clearly indicating that Notch2 and 3 work redundantly in myofibroblast differentiation. Interestingly, recent studies by the Kopan group on Notch1<sup>ICD</sup> and Notch2<sup>ICD</sup>
equivalency have demonstrated that Notch actions are mainly dependent on NICD dosage rather than on NICD type [58]. Whereas inducible conditional deletion of Rbpjk (ROSA26-rtTA;Tet-O-Cre;Rbpjk\(^{floxed}\)) in embryos at E14.5-E18.5 resulted in myofibroblast defects without affecting alveolar epithelial cells [8], thus plausibly implying a primary role for Notch signaling in alveolar formation through its action on the surrounding mesenchymal compartment (e.g. myofibroblasts), Shh-Cre-directed deletion of Pofut1 or Notch2 results in alveolar defects leading to postnatal emphysema-like enlargement of distal airspaces [7]. Evidently, Notch2 is critical to the maintenance and/or proliferation of the AT2 cell population and its ablation inevitably results in a significant decrease in AT2 cells in the adult lung epithelium, and while conditional Notch2 knockout induced failure to generate alveoli and Notch1 knockout did not cause any notable morphological defects in lung development, Notch1 and Notch2 double knockout murine lung displayed a more severe phenotype than Notch2 knockout alone, raising thus the possibility of a cooperative relationship between the two receptors in alveologenesis [7]. Of note, Jag1 deletion in the distal epithelium results in a similar phenotype to conditional Notch2 deficiency [45]. Clearly, further work is necessary to precisely define the cell-specific functions of Notch receptors and ligands as well as for the delineation of the cell-autonomous versus non-cell-autonomous effects.

3. Notch and NSCLC

Lung cancer is a heterogeneous disease driven by the presence of cancer stem cells (CSCs), also referred to as tumor-initiating cells. As one of the deadliest carcinomas, lung cancer accounts for 25% of all cancer related deaths [59]. NSCLC and small cell lung cancer (SCLC) are the two major subtypes of lung cancer, with NSCLC accounting for approximately 80–85% of all lung cancer cases [60]. NSCLC is further characterized into two major histological types: adenocarcinoma of the lung (ACL) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and, by convention, differ in the location where they occur and the physical manifestations of the tumors. ACL generally occurs in distal airway and air exchange structures, in secretory cells, and is more common in non-smokers. SCC more commonly develops in the proximal region of the lung and has greater prevalence amongst smokers [61]. From a cell ontogeny standpoint, both ACL and SCC have been shown to originate from both club and AT2 cells [62–66], whereas basal cells are considered to also contribute to SCC development [67,68] and neuroendocrine cells have been ascribed as putative cells-of-origin of SCLC [69–72] (Figure 2).

The seminal discovery that Notch could be a catalyst in the onset of NSCLC came to light 20 years ago, when a somatic chromosomal translocation t(15;19) causing overexpression of the Notch3 gene was discovered in poorly differentiated and aggressive lung adenocarcinoma [10]. Notably, in these studies, detailed karyotypic and molecular analysis on 44 cell lines, including the one where the t(15;19) genetic alteration was initially identified, demonstrated that 6 out of 7 cell lines where Notch3 was found overexpressed indeed carried chromosome 19 translocations [10]. Importantly, the oncogenic properties of Notch3 have been further confirmed in studies demonstrating that a truncated, dominant negative form of Notch3 reduces tumor growth of t(15;19) NSCLC cell lines and that elevated expression of Notch3 occurs in 30–40% of primary lung tumors [11]. Furthermore, elevated expression of Notch3 in NSCLC has been seen associated with poor disease outcome [12], and NSCLC cell-derived xenografts treated with GSI MRK-003 displayed marked absence of Notch3 IC\(^{D}\), which initially was significantly overexpressed, as well as remarkable decreased tumor growth capacity [73]. Considering that Notch3 seems to be the receptor most functionally-relevant in NSCLC tumors and cell lines, it is plausible to infer that the active form of Notch3 is necessary to NSCLC CSC maintenance, potency, and differentiation. In line with this concept, Notch3 has been recognized as a pivotal driver required for survival and maintenance of NSCLC CSCs both in humans and mice [74], and recent findings indicate that Notch3 silencing inhibits EMT, decreases tumor cell proliferation, and induces apoptosis in NSCLC cells [14]. Markedly, these important findings are also supported by studies showing that elevated Notch activity can distinguish tumorsphere forming-competent NSCLC cells, and that a single cell of this high Notch population group can generate tumors and be able to self-renew [75]. Furthermore, there is evidence from signaling dynamics modeling and observations in breast cancer
cells that suggests that Jagged-induced Notch activity may cause pushing circulating tumor cells to a survival-advantageous, epithelial/mesenchymal hybrid state [76], which, while it has been observed in NSCLC cells, it has, intriguingly, found to be destabilized and pushed towards full EMT upon Numb or Numbl silencing in hybrid state H1975 cells, thus indicating that Numb may act as a brake/modulating factor in EMT [77]. Of note, both Numb and Numbl act as molecular rheostats for Notch, and loss of Numb has been observed in 30% of NSCLC cases, where it furthermore serves as an indication of poor prognosis, generally associated with poor overall survival [77,78]. Together, these observations provide convincing evidence that Notch is responsible not only for NSCLC initiation, but also for the EMT and metastatic progression observed in patients with therapy resistance and tumor recurrence, and, thus, supports the notion that targeting Notch may be key in treating NSCLC tumors.

Notch2 is found expressed at about 40% greater levels in patients with advanced stages of NSCLC compared to ones in stage I, and Notch2 overexpression (22% increase) is seen to occur highly significantly in patients with disease recurrence [16]. Interestingly, Notch2 overexpression has also been consistently seen in invasive versus lepidic ACL cells, and this upregulation markedly coincided with expression upregulation of its putative downstream target, homeodomain transcription factor Six1, which, furthermore, together with Notch2, was shown to modulate EMT and the expression of Smad3, Smad4, and Vimentin in ACL cells [79]. Critically, a recent study on NSCLC chemosensitivity and CSCs has shown that ectopic expression of microRNA miR-181b suppresses CSC-like characteristics including tumorsphere formation and tumorigenecity in vitro and in xenograft models, and that these effects were mediated through downregulation of Notch2 transcription via interference binding to its 3′-UTR [80]. Notably, these studies also further confirmed that an inverse miR-181b and Notch2 expression relation is present in NSCLC tumors, and that Notch2 expression inversely correlated with both disease free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients with disease stage I-II but not in advanced disease cases [80]. Intriguingly, a recent study in 2437 NSCLC tumor samples found that higher levels of Notch1, Notch2, Jag1, and Dll1 mRNA were associated with better OS in ACL patients, while elevated Notch3, Jag2 and Dll3 mRNAs correlated with poor survival [81], and a report by Baumgart et al. showed that 67% of NSCLC tumors have either no or weak expression of Notch2 compared to normal lung tissue [82]. Noticeably, this latter work also disclosed that unlike Notch1 or Notch3, which displayed increased levels, Notch2 was decreased in advanced tumors in the KrasG12D NSCLC model, where it furthermore displayed a tumor suppressor function through modulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway [82]. Notch2 is the predominant receptor activated in AT2 cells and is required for their proliferation and maturation [7], and absence of the Wnt-downstream target Dlk1 (delta-like 1 homolog)—which also participates in lung development and inhibits endogenous Notch signaling—in AT2 cells during AT2 to AT1 repair-induced transition causes Notch activity upregulation and results in a stalled differentiation of AT2 to AT1 cells as well as in accumulation of an intermediate cell type [83]. While the observations above are discernibly contrasting in regard to an oncogenic role for Notch2 in NSCLC initiation and progression, the inductive exertion of transition states in AT2 cells [83] and its implication in EMT [79], as well as its predominant function in AT2 cell maturation and differentiation [7], and the fact that AT2 cells have been shown to functionally serve as cells-of-origin of NSCLC [63,84] (Figure 2), strongly warrants further exploration into the cell-specific, gain- and loss-of-function effects of this receptor in postnatal lung function and oncogenesis.

In T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL), a translocation on chromosome 9 results in the generation of a truncated form of Notch1, which lacks its N-terminal domain and results in expression of the constitutively active form (Notch1ICD) of the receptor leading to malignant conversion [85]. In NSCLC, similarly to in T-ALL, activating mutations in the Notch1 gene were found in 10% of NSCLC cases, and the presence of these alterations, which occur in the heterodimerization and PEST domains, were associated with worse prognosis in NSCLC patients proficient for the tumor suppressor p53 [78]. Notably, targeted expression of Notch1ICD in the distal lung epithelium causes alveolar hyperplasia and adenoma formation, and a mixed manifestation of adenomas and adenocarcinomas is observed upon Myc cooperative action [13]. Interestingly, the Kras mutational activation effect that is exerted by
Myc overexpression [86] was not observed in adenocarcinoma tumors resulting from Notch1ICD/Myc cooperation [13], clearly indicating that Notch1 can substitute for Kras activation in Myc-driven tumorigenesis. Of marked importance, it has been determined that Kras-triggered murine NSCLC tumors display significantly higher levels of Notch1ICD and Hes1, which furthermore is associated with tumor grade [87], thus directly suggesting that, in the context of Kras-driven NSCLC, Notch may act as downstream mediator and, plausibly, as an actionable target. Critically, genetic interaction studies have recently demonstrated that Notch1 activation is indeed required for Kras-triggered lung adenocarcinoma formation and NSCLC regulation of cell survival through modulation of p53 [88], and it has been shown that pharmacological inhibition of Notch signaling with GSI LY411575 suppresses Kras-driven lung adenocarcinoma tumor growth [87]. Another important aspect of Notch1 action in NSCLC is in respect to oxygen modulation, treatment susceptibility and CSCs. In ACL, hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) controls Notch1 activity under hypoxia, whereas Notch1ICD is undetectable in non-hypoxic regions of tumors [89], critical findings that are related to what has been observed in T-ALL cells where Notch1 expression and activation, as well as mRNA and protein levels of its downstream target Hes1, are upregulated under hypoxic conditions [90]. Notably, in both ACL and T-ALL cells, HIF-1α has been shown to functionally act as an upstream positive regulator of Notch1 expression and action, and Notch1 has been ascribed as a molecular transducer for HIF-1α proliferative, pro-survival, and chemoresistance signals [89,90]. Remarkably, IGF1R (insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor) mRNA and protein expression levels are directly correlated with shorter PFS and OS in NSCLC patients either with or without association to EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) [91,92], and Notch1 has been found to form a transcriptional complex in an Rbpjk-recognition motif located in intron 1 of IGF1R and regulate its expression, along with Akt1 phosphorylation, downstream of HIF-1α [89], further confirming Notch1’s role as a main transducer hub for HIF-1α/Akt1/IGF1R molecular circuitry. Notably, Notch1, HIF-1α, and IGF1R have been shown to be implicated in NSCLC EMT and CSC survival and self-renewal [93–95], and Notch1 has been found highly expressed in CD44+CD24− A549 CSCs, where its inhibition, through either siRNA or DAPT, markedly reduced colony-forming capacity [93]. Like CD44, ALDH1 (aldehyde dehydrogenase 1) has also been ascribed as a marker for CSCs in NSCLC [96], where, remarkably, mRNA levels for Notch1, Notch2, Notch3, and their downstream effectors Hes1, Hey1, and Hey2 are found significantly upregulated compared to ALDH− cells [97]. Noticeably, in this latter study, it was further found that shRNA-mediated silencing of Notch3 in H358 and H2009 NSCLC cell lines resulted in lower levels of Hey1 and Hey2 along with decreased clonogenic capacity and reduced number of ALDH+ cells [97]. Interestingly, in the KrasG12D;Trp53−/−;eYFP murine model of NSCLC, a putative CSC population with augmented sphere forming ability was identified as markedly positive for CD24, ITGB4 (integrin subunit beta 4), and Notch1, Notch2 and Notch4 (CD24+ITGB4+Notchhi), and furthermore, actionably relevant in chemoresistance acquisition and functionally dependent on Notch3 action [74]. Several prospective CSC populations have been identified in human and murine NSCLC through the use of a number of distinct markers and/or a combination of them, and while the identity of these cells seems, at present, quite diverse, Notch has been observed as a common denominator in this cell entity, not only as a marker for their profiling and identification, but also, and more importantly, functionally.

Mutational status in Notch signaling and NSCLC indicates that this pathway’s components are frequently mutated in this pathology, although, in some instances, with converse mutations with regard to their ability to aberrantly hyperactivate the pathway or impair signaling activity (Table 1). Identified Notch1 genetic alterations are presumed to be activating and are reported to include somatic frame shift (fs) mutations in the TAD and PEST domains (S2275fs and V2444fs, respectively) and non-synonymous substitutions in the heterodimerization region and TAD domain (D1643H and R2328W, respectively), and, importantly, are demonstrated to be susceptible to inhibition by GSIs DAPT and MRK-003 and, furthermore, required in driving sustained tumor cell survival [78], thus providing a rationalized framework for the devising of Notch1-targeting therapies. Notch2 alterations in NSCLC, on the other hand, have been reported to include both activating and inactivating mutations, and,
in contrast to what has been observed in Notch1, these alterations are mainly found to be gene amplifications (TCGA, MSKCC, cBioportal [www.cbioportal.org [98,99]]), both in ACL and SCC, which could in fact go along with the increased expression observed in patients with advanced NSCLC stage and disease relapse [16]. Notably, Rumi (Poglut1) amplification has also been observed in NSCLC patients, and the protein levels of this Notch regulator in NSCLC tumors are directly associated with poor prognosis and decreased patient survival [100]. Although the specific effects of the distinct Notch2 point mutations have not been functionally experimentally tested yet, this is a subject that reclaims further exploration to discern Notch2 functional action in NSCLC. Apart from the initial findings on t(15;19) translocations involving aberrant activation of Notch3 in NSCLCs, the activity of this receptor has also been found required during treatment refractoriness evolution in EGFR mutant NSCLC [101]. Interestingly, in this latter phenomenon, the observed tumor driving induction effect of Notch3 seems to be dependent on direct physical (biochemical) interaction with EGFR and Notch3 tyrosine phosphorylation modification, although the exact residue(s) undergoing this posttranslational regulation has not yet been identified [101]. Remarkably, it should be noted that while Notch3 mediates EGFR regulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in EGFR mutant NSCLC, a dominant negative form of Mastermind-like (dn-MAML) is ineffective in preventing the expansion of ALDH+ CSCs in these tumors, indicating that Notch3 action is likely due to non-canonical activity [102]. Notably, in Kras-mutant ACL tumor cells upregulation of Notch3 expression has also been observed in a signaling pathways’ interplay requiring PKC-ι-mediated ELF3 phosphorylation and the subsequent Notch3 promoter occupancy and transcriptional activation that, furthermore, leads to enhanced CSC phenotype [103]. In addition, it has recently been reported that C381T and G684A synonymous polymorphisms in the Notch3 gene (Table 1) are significantly linked to increased lung cancer development susceptibility [104,105]. All these observations would thus indicate that not only is Notch3 a key factor in NSCLC development, but that its genetic and epigenetic regulation is central in treatment resistance and disease progression. Importantly, the findings described above are in marked contrast to what occurs in SCLC, where Notch, likely as a developmental evolutionary reminiscence, plays a tumor suppressive role, as evidenced by the presence of inactivating mutations in Notch components in 25% of SCLC tumors and the high levels of both the non-canonical Notch inhibitor, Dlk1, and the neuroendocrine-oncogenic factor, and Notch negatively-regulated gene, Ascl1 [106]. Interestingly in SCLC cells, histone H3K27 acetylation of Notch1 promoter and its transcriptional activation occurs when they are subjected to LSD1 (lysine-specific histone demethylase 1A) inhibition, in an event that further conduces to repression of Ascl1 expression and reduced tumor cell viability [107]. Although neither germ-line nor somatic mutations in Notch4 have been systematically explored in NSCLC, a P1663Q mutation (Table 1) in the ankyrin repeat (ANK) region of the receptor (preliminarily predicted to act as an oncogenic, gain-of-function alteration) has been identified in Hispanic ACL patients [108], and, furthermore, a homogeneous (presumably non-somatic) mutation has been reported in malignant pulmonary granular cell tumor [109]. Notch ligands’ mutations have been less well studied/characterized in NSCLC primary tumors, although they have been found in various NSCLC cell lines, albeit with a relatively lower frequency than in Notch receptor genes [110], and the expression levels of these Notch non-cell autonomous factors have been observed to be consistently lower in NSCLC tumors compared to surrounding, non cancerous tissue, with increased Jag1 levels among NSCLC tumors serving, nonetheless, as an indicator of poor overall survival [111]. Collectively, the findings above clearly indicate that not only somatic alterations are involved in Notch activity in lung cancers, but also that the developmental programs used in Notch-regulated fate choices and epigenetic modulation are key in both SCLC and NSCLC.

An unexplained oncogenic manifestation noted in NSCLC is the existence of a hybrid histopathological subtype harboring hallmarks of both ACL and SCC [112,113]. In tumors with this unique phenotypic presentation, a genetic interaction was noted between deficiency of tumor suppressor Lkb1 (Stk11) and Kras activation [114,115]. Mechanistically, Lkb1 behaves as a molecular rheostat for Kras, and in the context of Lkb1 deficiency, Kras aberrant activation has been found to induce the formation of both
lung adenosquamous cell carcinoma and SCC [115]. It is worth noting, in this respect, that activating mutations of Kras are capable of giving rise to adenocarcinoma and no other subtype of NSCLC [116]. The fact that the loss of Lkb1 alone does not induce lung tumor formation [115] indicates the existence of a critical mutational cooperation where concomitant Kras activation and Lkb1 loss drive the formation of adenosquamous cell carcinoma and SCC. Interestingly, the Kras and Lkb1 cooperative mutational synergism has also been shown to be critical, and plausibly causative, of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibition resistance [117], and Notch inactivation has been established as epistatic to oncogenic Kras activation in triggering malignant transformation in the pulmonary epithelium [87,88], making it thus discernibly reasonable to infer that Notch inhibition could actually have a suppressive effect on the Kras/Lkb1 mutational cooperation, not only in the development of adenosquamous cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma, but also in NSCLC metastatic progression and treatment resistance acquisition. Evidently adding more complexity to previous findings indicating a proto-oncogenic role of Notch in NSCLC tumors is the recent discovery that Lkb1 deficiency in A549 cells sustains the overexpression of cAMP-regulated transcriptional coactivator 2 (CRTC2), stimulating the upregulation of the oncogene inhibitor of DNA binding 1 (ID1) [118]. Notably, ID1, known to contribute to tumorigenesis in several carcinomas [119,120], has been found to interact with Notch downstream effector and molecular oscillator Hes1 during neurogenesis [76,121] and—markedly intriguingly—also attenuate Notch signaling activity via Deltext1 upregulation in Notch-mediated differentiation of T-cells [122]. Along, these observations would seem to suggest that Lkb1 loss, via activation of the ID1 pathway, would interact with Notch in NSCLC tumors and, therefore, would render Notch activity functionally relevant in the development and progression of tumors of this subtype. In line to these findings, nonetheless, is the observation that Notch action inhibition in H1299 and A549 Kras mutant cells with γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT, alone or in combination with gemcitabine, had a marked effect in NSCLC cell viability and colony-forming potential [123], and that Rumi knockdown, globally inactivating Notch signaling in NSCLC A549 and H23 cells (both Lkb1-deficient [118,124]) results in marked anti-oncogenic exertion, including a significant reduction in cell proliferation, migration and survival [100]. These concurrent findings, therefore, would positively suggest that in the context of Lkb1 deficiency Notch is also in fact promoting tumor growth and neoplastic progression, and that it is possible that ID1 may be a cooperative factor likely releasing the otherwise oscillatory negative autoregulation of Hes1, and, therefore, further indicating that the molecular interaction between these two pathways is, in this case, indispensable. Furthermore, and adding also more support to this respect, it is interesting to note that high levels of ID1 act as a biomarker in Kras mutant adenocarcinomas and predict poor survival outcome [125]. Clearly, further studies steered towards exploring Notch gain- and loss-of-function activity in the context of hyper-activated and inactivated ID1 would confirm the Lkb1/ID1/Notch molecular wiring in adenosquamous cell carcinoma formation and NSCLC progression, metastatic dissemination and therapy resistance.

Table 1. Mutational status of Notch receptors in NSCLC.

| Receptor | Genetic Alteration |
|----------|--------------------|
| Notch1   | S2275fs (activating) |
|          | V2444fs (activating) |
|          | D1643H (activating)  |
|          | R2328W (activating)  |
| Notch2   | Gene amplification (activating) |
|          | GOF point mutations (activating) * |
|          | LOF point mutations (inactivating) * |
| Notch3   | C381T (DNA polymorphism, increased NSCLC susceptibility) |
|          | G684A (DNA polymorphism, increased NSCLC susceptibility) |
| Notch4   | P1663Q (activating) |

* Multiple mutations have been found (cBioportal) and predicted to be either hyperactive gain-of-function (GOF) or inactivating loss-of-function (LOF). These point mutations have not been experimentally characterized yet.
4. Therapy Perspectives

The existence of distinct mutations in NSCLC creates complexity in establishing a treatment effective for all cases of NSCLC. Oncogenic driver mutations commonly found in NSCLC include EGFR, ALK, DDR1, Kras and Notch, each contributing to the therapeutic outcome/resistance observed when administered conventional and/or targeted therapy [2,125,126]. In several contexts, Notch has been reported to be found irregularly expressed and/or mutated, and it has been found to synergize with other mutations to create a more severe phenotype exhibiting greater therapeutic resistance [127]. In order to better understand the relationship between Notch and other oncogenic driver mechanisms in NSCLC, a number of studies have been proposed and conducted in which Notch activity is manipulated through various modulatory strategies including, among others, GSIs, blocking monoclonal antibodies against Notch receptors and ligands, alpha secretase inhibitors to target ADAMs, and stapled peptides to block Notch/Mastermind interaction (Figure 3 and Table 2). Some of these modalities have been explored in NSCLC while others have been tested in various types of malignancies, including solid tumors, and in animal models of human cancers [128–130].

**Figure 3.** Therapeutic strategies to modulate Notch signaling activity. Potential therapeutic inhibitors to block Notch signaling activity include soluble decoy receptors, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to Notch receptors and mAbs to DSL ligands to disrupt/prevent receptor/ligand binding interaction; antibodies recognizing Notch proteins’ NRR domain, mAbs to ADAMS and α-secretase inhibitors to block S2 proteolytical processing; γ-secretase small molecule inhibitors and mAbs to Nicastrin to prevent S3 cleavage and NICD production; endosome acidification inhibitors to halt endosomal NICD release; blocking mAbs to inactivate NICD; and stapled peptides and mAbs to MAM to disrupt MAM/NICD/CSL complexes.
Inhibition of the Notch pathway with GSI LSN-411575 has been proven to block NSCLC tumor growth in vivo in Kras murine models, where, in addition to inducing Hes1 downregulation, it affects ERK phosphorylation, inhibits cell proliferation and increases apoptosis [87]. Furthermore, DAPT treatment has been shown effective in more pronouncedly decrease viability of putative CD133⁺ CSCs derived from A549 cells compared to CD133⁻ cells, and significantly increase the proportion of CD133⁺ cells in cell cycle S phase [131]. In addition, another GSI, MRK-003 has been tested in xenograft models and been shown efficacious in reducing tumor growth through cell death induction via downregulation of levels of anti-apoptotic phospho-Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL [73], while monotherapy with GSI BMS-906024 had a significant (p < 0.05) specific spheroid growth delay in 3D spheroid forming assays [132]. Also as single agents, MRK-003 and DAPT were tested in primary NSCLC cultures and shown to be effective in decreasing growth potential and cell survival in both Numb-Low and Notch1-mutant cells [78], while 50 µM DAPT treatment for two weeks in high-Notch CD44⁺CD24⁻ CSCs results in reduced cell growth and decreased colony-forming ability [93]. While the studies above described are very encouraging in regard to ascribing Notch as a druggable actionable target in NSCLC, it should be, however, noted that despite being quite effective in blocking Notch activity, GSIs are markedly notorious for their adverse side effects, which include mainly diarrhea and gastrointestinal complications [130]. This undesirable toxicity is likely caused by Notch “on-target” effects due to the heightened Notch activity present in gastrointestinal precursor cells and, also plausible but to a lesser extent, Notch “off-target” effects due to the wide proteolytic processing capacity of the gamma secretase complex (about 90 targets) [133]. Interestingly, a number of research studies have also recently explored the combined use of GSIs along with chemotherapy and targeted therapies [131,134–137], which moreover further provide a strong rationale for devising synthetic lethality-based therapies [138]. Liu et al. noted that when A549 cells were concomitantly treated with DAPT and cisplatin, a marked synergistic effect was observed in cell viability in both CD133⁻ and CD133⁺ cells, and that this combination therapy also significantly induced cell cycle G2/M phase arrest in CD133⁺ cells [131]. Markedly, BMS-906024 has also been shown to enhance the antitumor activity of paclitaxel and cisplatin in NSCLC cell lines, and a marked synergy was observed only between paclitaxel and BMS-906024 in patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models wild-type for Notch through increased apoptosis and decreased cell proliferation, but in a p21- and p57-independent
fashion [135]. Interestingly, the co-inhibition of Notch and EGFR pathways has also been explored, particularly in the context of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) acquired resistance [134,136]. Gain-of-function EGFR mutations are the most frequent alterations observed in NSCLC, occurring in approximately 27% of NSCLC cases [139], and while targeted therapies are available for these tumor types, most patients who initially benefit from EGFR-TKIs eventually develop resistance to these agents, likely as a result of secondary genetic alterations [140]. In two separate studies in NSCLC xenograft models, Xie et al. showed that DAPT and BMS-708163 can overcome resistance to EGFR-TKI gefitinib [134,136]. Thus, combined gefitinib-DAPT treatment of Balb/c nu/nu mice with EGFR-TKI acquired resistant NSCLC xenografts resulted in marked tumor growth retardation, decreased proliferative activity, and increased apoptotic cell death accompanied by upregulation of active Caspase-3 and EMT phenotype attenuation [134], while co-administration of BMS-708163 and gefitinib resulted in marked reduction of tumor size, increased levels of Caspase-3, and decreased expression of Ki-67 [136]. Importantly, concomitant inhibition of Notch and DDR1 (discoidin domain receptor 1) with GSI LY411575 and 7rh, a benzamide TKI, in Kras-driven murine tumors had an additive effect on apoptosis induction and tumor growth blockage in both p53-proficiency and p53-deficiency contexts, and the co-inhibition of these two pathways with demcizumab (Dll4 blocking antibody) and dasatinib (TKI) markedly reduced tumor growth in Kras-mutant PDX models in a manner comparable to standard cisplatin/paclitaxel chemotherapy [137].

Although not extensively explored, endosome acidification inhibition is another attractive approach to block Notch signaling activity [141–143]. Endocytosis of Notch receptors in the signal-receiving cell is currently considered also a critical step in signaling modulation, downregulating Notch receptors that have not been activated through ligand binding-mediated proteolysis and, in aberrant contexts, abnormally triggering Notch cleavage through increased gamma secretase activity due to endosome acidification [141–145]. This mechanism of Notch activation, particularly relevant in scenarios of ligand-independent signaling, has been well studied in Drosophila melanogaster where a variety of studies with mutants in the endosomal trafficking machinery and apico-basal polarity complexes, including lgl (lethal (2) giant discs 1), lgl (lethal (2) giant larvae), scrib (scribble) and dlg (discs large), have shown that endosomal acidification induces ligand-independent, gamma secretase-mediated Notch hyperactivation [146–152]. In this regard, recent studies have demonstrated that proton pump V-ATPase (vacuolar adenosine triphosphatase) and Vap33 (vesicle associate protein, 33kDa) rheostatic interaction is modulated by lgl in endosome acidification control, and that when this mechanism is disrupted upon loss of lgl, endosome acidification and aberrant Notch activation are observed [146]. Along with this, pharmacological blockade of V-ATPase with bafilomycinA1 (BafA1) in Drosophila and zebrafish developing tissues, as well as in normal breast cells and Notch-addicted breast cancer cells results in decreased Notch processing and signaling activity [153]. Interestingly, a recent study by Pinazza et al. has shown that lysosome inhibitors chloroquine and BafA1 were able to rescue the reduced Notch3 levels observed upon HDAC (histone deactylase) inhibition with Trichostatin A in T-ALL cells [154]. Although these observations are contrasting with regard to the specific Notch degradation mechanism along the endocytic route and lysosome compartment, and further studies are thus obviously required, HDAC6 inhibition through tubacin and its genetic silencing in T-ALL PDX models demonstrated an interplay between HDAC6 and the endocytic/lysosomal pathway and, furthermore, the antineoplastic effects of HDAC6 blockage [154]. While not explored yet in NSCLC, endocytic/lysosomal acidification inhibition approaches as well as the dissecting of other Notch-regulating molecular mechanisms able to modulate its strength and block aberrant, ligand-independent Notch activity [155,156] are a subject of much urgency and critical importance, as this mode of Notch signaling activation is discernibly primarily involved in neoplastic cell growth.

Clinical studies targeting Notch have been initiated since a few years ago and have been mainly based in the use of GSIs, although, more recently, monoclonal antibodies against Notch receptors and ligands have been developed (Table 2). LY3039478 is a potent GSI that has demonstrated
antitumor activity in xenograft models, including lung cancer [157], and in patients with distinct neoplasias [158,159], and clinical trials are currently undergoing or have just been completed in patients with advanced solid tumors and with gene/protein alterations related to the Notch pathway (NCT02836600, NCT02784795). Another GSI, RO4929097, has significant activity in blocking Notch processing and activity, and is efficacious in markedly inducing tumor growth inhibition in NSCLC xenografts [160]. This inhibitor has been tested alone in NSCLC patients (NCT01193868) or in combination with agents targeting VEGFR (cediranib) or mTOR (temsirolimus) pathways in patients with solid tumors [161,162]. In combinational regimens, the use of this GSI with cediranib and temsirolimus had a slight improved outcome with 58% and 73% of the patients displaying stable disease, respectively [161,162], while the Phase 2 trial with NSCLC patients had to be prematurely terminated due to a drug production halt. Eli Lilly’s LY900009 is another GSI that has been tested in patients with various solid tumors including NSCLC [163]. In these studies, 5 out of 35 patients (14%) displayed stable disease and the Notch “on-target” effect was confirmed [163]. Notably, a Phase 1 study with GSI PF-03084014 in 64 patients with solid tumors, and including patients with lung cancer, showed an objective response rate of 13% among 46 response-evaluable patients [164], and in 29% of patients with desmoid tumors treated with this GSI partial response was observed [165]. While robust effects with GSIs have not yet been achieved, it should be considered that several factors may affect reaching more meaningful conclusions in this respect. In general, patients have not been systematically pre-screened for the presence of Notch activating mutations in the described studies, and the indicated GSIs also differ in their intrinsic inhibitory properties and “off-target” effects. Furthermore, some of the studies specifically involving NSCLC patients have not been completed and/or were prematurely halted. While GSI-based strategies still need further investigation, another modality that has been more recently developed to attain Notch inhibition in cancer tumors is through the use of antibodies and antibody-based derivatives (Table 2). In this context, demcizumab, an IgG2 humanized monoclonal antibody directed against Dll4, was initially tested in combinational therapies with pemetrexed and carboplatin in non-squamous NSCLC, where 20 out of 40 patients had objective tumor response [166], although, unfortunately however, showed no benefit in a more recent, completed Phase 2 study (NCT02259582). Rovalpituzumab tesirine, an antibody-drug conjugate targeting Dll3, was tested in SCLC patients with initial encouraging results, with 18% of patients displaying objective response [167], but it however showed only modest benefits in Phase 2 studies [168]. Also in SCLC patients, a combinational regime of tarextumab (a Notch2/3 antibody) with chemotherapy, failed to improve progression free survival or overall survival in a recent Phase 2 clinical trial [169]. Notably, enoticumab, a fully human IgG1 monoclonal antibody that binds human Dll4, has been recently tested in human patients with advanced solid tumors, and demonstrated effective monotherapy clinical activity, as indicated by the observed prolonged stable disease in some of the cancers under study, and the partial response attained in patients with ovarian carcinoma and bronchioalveolar-type NSCLC [170]. It should be noted, though, that while immunotherapies with tarextumab and rovalpituzumab have not provided the desired outcomes in SCLC, and that it is plausible that Notch-induced intratumor heterogeneity [171] may partly be responsible for the inefficacious results with these targeting agents, loss-of-function Notch mutations and Notch overexpression studies [106,172] have collectively indicated that this pathway is rather tumor-suppressive in this pathology. Thus, from a developmental and genetic perspective, and considering that Notch exerts a neurogenic suppressor function during neuroendocrine/non-neuroendocrine fate choice, the failure of Notch inhibition in SCLC would actually come as not too surprising. From this standpoint, the efficacious action of enoticumab in bronchioalveolar NSCLC should, therefore, be actually appreciated also in light to the developmental programs deployed during lung morphogenesis and ostensibly conserved in lung oncogenesis. More work in this realm is definitively needed as Notch remains a very attractive actionable target in NSCLC.

**Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)** is a tyrosine kinase receptor gene susceptible to fusing with *echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4 (EML4)*, resulting in NSCLC harboring the *EML4-ALK*
oncogene [173]. Alterations in the ALK pathway are observed in approximately 5% of cases of NSCLC in a manner mutually exclusive with Kras and EGFR mutations [174]. Similar to EGFR, while ALK inhibitors are effective in initially treating tumors harboring the EML4-ALK mutation, secondary ALK mutations and EMT desensitize patients to ALK targeted treatment [175], and the acquired resistance to next generation ALK inhibitors in NSCLC is most common in hypoxia via induction of EMT [175]. Given that Notch has been found to have a critical role in EMT [76] and tumor hypoxia signaling crosstalk [89,90], it stands to reason to infer that the inhibition of Notch may sensitize EML4-ALK TKI resistant NSCLCs. While this idea has not been exhaustively tested, a recent report from the Vooijs laboratory has reported that the combination of GSI BMS-906024 and crizotinib (EML4-ALK TKI) resulted in a significant delay in spheroid growth in H1299 and H460 cells, which was further more pronounced by inclusion of radiation [132]. Importantly, these observations are also supported by recent studies in anaplastic large cell lymphoma, where treatment of GSIs Z-LLNle-CHO (GSI-I) and PF-0308414 with crizotinib led to additive to synergistic effects in reduction of cell proliferation and apoptosis induction in these tumor type cells [176].

Amgen’s AMG510 and Mirati’s MTRX849 are two recently developed Kras$^{G12C}$ inhibitors that have been markedly shown effective in inducing NSCLC tumor regression in xenograft-bearing mice and patients [177,178]. Although these novel compounds are quite encouraging and offer new hope for NSCLC patients carrying the Kras$^{G12C}$ mutation, Kras gain-of-function mutations in NSCLC also include Kras$^{G12D}$ and Kras$^{G12V}$ [179,180], which are either not or less preferentially targeted by the above-mentioned compounds [177,178]. In this respect, it is important to mention that pharmacological or genetic inactivation of Notch alone has been shown to have an epistatic effect to both Kras$^{G12D}$ and Kras$^{G12V}$ mutations [87,88]. Furthermore, genetic silencing of Rumi, which regulates both ligand-dependent and -independent Notch activity [155,156], caused marked cell proliferation decrease and cell cycle S-phase arrest in both Kras$^{G12S}$ A549 cells and Kras$^{G12C}$ H23 cells [100].

From its discovery by John Dexter over a century ago [181], the keen interest of Morgan and its allelic series [182,183], the uncovering of its neurogenic suppression properties by Poulson [184], the positional cloning of the Drosophila’s receptor as a transmembrane glycoprotein transducer by Artavanis-Tsakonas and Young [185,186], and the disclosing of the quantum mechanics-based, ligand-induced activation [20], the Notch pathway has revealed a versatile cell communication logic serving critical roles in morphogenesis and disease, including lung cancer. Clearly, further explorations into the mechanistic aspects of its regulation and cellular and molecular dynamics promise to provide an in-depth insight into its inductive and instructive roles in animal development and precision cancer medicine.
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