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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the most common malignant neoplasm of the gastrointestinal tract, with approximately 1,800,000 new cases diagnosed yearly (Bray et al.), being the third cause of cancer. In Brazil, CRC is the second cause of cancer in men and women (INCA, 2020). According to Globocan, in 2018, there were more than 1.8 million new cases of colorectal cancer (CRC) with 881 thousand deaths.

Ageing with more than 50 years, high ingestion of fat and low in fiber, tobacco, inflammatory bowel disease and presence of adenomas are considered risk factors for CRC (Silva).

DNA alterations may be inherited in 15–20% of the patients or caused mainly by interaction of environment and lifestyle (Silva). Several mutations in the same clone of cells transform normal epithelial cells in cancer cells, with increased proliferation and loss of apoptosis and growth control.

Early screening denotes a central importance in the diagnosis of adenomas and early CRC (Silva). There are a large number of studies focused on finding potential biomarkers through promoter methylation of tumor-related genes and expression of tumor suppressor genes in gastrointestinal cancers and precancerous lesions, suggesting their involvement in the progression of multiple levels of colorectal carcinogenesis (Silva).

High methylation of tumor related genes in CpG islands can cause gene silencing and been involved in the development of cancer (Silva). Alterations of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway are common in tumorigenesis of CRC and epigenetic modifications may be involved in the regulation of this pathway cancer (Silva).

The Dickkopf family (DKK) is composed by four glycoproteins (DKK1–4), secreted with two cysteine-rich domains, separated by a binding region. DKK2 is a Wnt signaling inhibitor that is generally supraregulated in human cancers, including CRC. Studies on expression and methylation of DKK2 in CRC suggest that DKK2 functions as a tumor suppressor and Wnt/β-catenin pathway regulator (Silva).

A study already published in CRC fresh tumor tissue, by our group showed that all tumors cancer was methylated compared to normal tissues (Silva). However, the circulating free DNA (cfDNA) of DKK2 in peripheral blood of these patients was increased in only 25% of them (Silva).

The main goal of this study was to evaluate DKK2 gene expression and methylation as a biomarker of diagnosis and prognosis in colorectal tumors and analyze the influence of DKK2 methylation in mRNA expression.

METHODS

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Federal University of São Paulo (CAAE no 58914716.0.0000.5505) and all subjects have given their informed written consent. The 35 biological samples were obtained during surgical resection of the tumor at Hospital Sao Paulo, UNIFESP, Brazil. Patients with familiar history of cancer, inflammatory bowel disease, radiotherapy,
chemotherapy treatment, or concurrent or history of other types of cancers were excluded. DNA and RNA was isolated from the surgically removed colorectal cancer tumors.

**Extraction of genomic DNA**

Around 25 mg of each tumor tissue was mechanically disrupted using a Tissue Ruptor® (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). The genomic DNA extracted and purified from fresh-frozen colorectal cancer samples using QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Milan, Italy) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The extracted DNA was measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA).

**Bisulfite modification**

Bisulfite conversion of isolated 200ng of genomic DNA from each sample were treated with sodium bisulfite using Cells-to-CpG™ Bisulfite Conversion Kit (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, Sodium bisulphite converts unmethylated cytosines to uracils, whilst 5-methylcytosines are left unchanged.

**DNA methylation by high resolution melting (HRM) analysis**

For the MS-HRM of DKK2 gene we used methylation independent primers (MIP), based on previous study[11]. Briefly, primers for the DKK2 gene were designed to amplify methylated DNA and unmethylated DNA using Methyl Primer Express v 1.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The primer sequences for DKK2 HM forward and reverse was AGGTATCGTTGCGTTGTGGTACG and AAATCCAAAAACGTCCCCCGA respectively. The primer sequences for DKK2 HM forward and reverse was TTTAGGTATTTGTTGTGGTAGT and AAATCCAAAAACATCCCCAAACC.

The PCR analyses were run using the following conditions: one cycle of 95°C for 15 min, 60 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 63°C annealing temperature for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s; followed by an HRM step of 95°C for 10 s and 50°C for 1 min, 70°C for 15 s, and continuous acquisition to 95°C at one acquisition per 0.1°C. The PCR reaction was set up in a final volume of 20 µL, containing 10–12.5 µL of MeltDoctor HRM master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 10 pmol of each primer and 1 µL (almost 10–20 ng) of bisulphite modified DNA template. The experiments were performed in triplicate. FIGURE 1 shows the melting profiles of the two promoter regions analyzed. Control DNAs with varying the ratios of methylation: 0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100%, were prepared by mixing following the manufacturer’s protocol (EpiTect methylated and unmethylated human control DNA, bisulfite converted, Qiagen, Milan, Italy). A standard curve with known methylation ratios was included in each assay to provide a quantitative analysis of DNA methylation profile of the promoter of DKK2 gene. The profile of the aligned fluorescence intensity and melting temperature for serial dilutions of methylated DNA for the DKK2 gene were plotted in the melting graph (FIGURE 1). The tumors with more than 25% of methylation were considered hypermethylated.

The reported relative fluorescence units (RFU) value within the melt curve was exported to Microsoft Excel and RFU average of each DNA standard was then used to obtain an interpolation curve. Finally, imputation of the RFU value (corresponding to the analyzed sample) to the polynomial function provided a precise (single value) estimate of the percentage of methylation.

**Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Reverse transcription reaction was performed using the Superscript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Expression assays were performed by using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays for human DKK2 under the inventory ID: Hs00205294_m1 [Amplicon Length: 88pb]. Human Endogenous control assays ACTB (encoding for beta actin, assay ID: Hs01060665_g1, amplicon length: 63bp) and GAPDH (encoding for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, ID: Hs02786624_g1, amplicon length: 157bp) (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) served as references for normalization. TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Reverse transcriptase reaction and Real-Time PCR were performed using the Step one plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Briefly, the reactions were incubated in a 96-well plate at 95°C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 s and 60°C for 20 s. In each experiment, triplicate reactions were performed in each sample. Lastly, the relative expression was calculated as the ratio of DKK2 to ACTB for each sample (calculated as the mean of triplicate). The relative quantity of mRNA for each sample were calculated by 2−ΔΔCT, where ΔCT = (mean of triplicate CT_{β-actin} − mean of triplicate CT_{DKK2}) and ΔΔCT = (ΔCT – mean ΔCT of all the samples). In pilot studies, the PCR ampiclons were evaluated on agarose gels to verify product size.

**Statistical analysis**

The polynomial function determined by the polynomial regression curve of standards method estimates the percentage of methylation in each experiment. The measurements were performed in triplicate and data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Pearson’s correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between mRNA DKK2 expressions and DNA methylation profile of the promoter of DKK2 gene. All other statistical differences were determine with unpaired Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test for multiple comparisons. Median survival was based on Kaplan-Meier curves and tested for significance by the log-rank test. The statistical analysis was performed using the Minitab V16.0 (State College, PA), or SPSS V 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) or Office Excel for Windows 2010 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**FIGURE 1.** Fluorescence intensity profile aligned to the melting temperature for serial dilution of methylated DNA for the DKK2 gene.
RESULTS

Thirty-five patients with colorectal tumors with 63.6±13.9 years, range 47–78 years, being 18 male and 20 with stage III or IV tumors were studied. Among these 10 were of right colon and 25 of left colon or rectum, called left colon.

This investigation extended the DNA methylation analysis of our previous study(11), that studied DNA methylation of DKK2 gene by HRM method. The DKK2 gene promoter unmethylated/hypomethylated and hypermethylated status are shown in FIGURE 2. Among the tumors 35 tumors, 20 are hypermethylated.

The relative quantity of mRNA expression was normalized to the relative quantity of ACTB for each sample and performed by qRT-PCR. The DKK2 gene expression status is shown on FIGURE 3. Among the 35 tumors, 24 had low expression of RNAm of DKK2. Low expression of this gene and hypermethylation was observed in 15 (43%) patients.

The DKK2 DNA methylation status and relative quantity of mRNA expressions and their relationship with clinical and pathological features in CRC patients are showed in TABLE 1. There is no significant association between genes methylation status and age, sex, tumor site, TNM stage and metastasis. There is also no significant association between DKK2 expression and clinical or pathological features (TABLE 2).

The results show that the expression level of the DKK2 mRNA was not correlated with the increasing or decreasing percentage of methylated DKK2 gene in methylated samples.

The patients were followed for a period of 4.9±2.5 years. There was no difference on survival for DKK2 promoter methylation ($P=0.154$) or DKK2 mRNA expression status ($P=0.345$).

DISCUSSION

The number of world CRC will increase during the next years, although in some countries the screening methods caused a decrease incidence in individuals with more than 50 years or earlier in patients with family history of CRC. In contrast, the number of younger patients with CRC are increasing with not elucidation of the cause(12).

Between the 35 patients with CRC included, 51.4% were men, the average age was 63.3±13.9 years, and most patients were over the age of 50 years (82.9%). These data are consistent with those published by INCA, which describes the incidence of CRC in Brazil(11) (INCA, 2020). The limitations of the study were the short number of tissues evaluated and the absence of adjacent free margin.

The DKK2 gene is deleted in some cancers, but epigenetic alterations causing the methylation of the promoter region of the

![FIGURE 2. The methylation status of the DKK2 gene of the colorectal cancer tumors.](image)

![FIGURE 3. DKK2 gene expression of the colorectal cancer tumors.](image)

![TABLE 1. DKK2 DNA methylation according to the characteristics of the patients and the tumors.](table)

| Clinical aspects | N (%) | UnM+HypoM | HyperM | P   | OR  | 95% CI |
|-----------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----|-----|--------|
| All cases       | 35 (100) | 16 (45.7) | 19 (54.3) | –   | –   | –      |
| Age (years)     |       |           |        |     |     |        |
| <65             | 19 (54.3) | 7 (36.8) | 12 (63.2) | 0.250 | 1.00| –      |
| ≥65             | 16 (45.7) | 9 (56.2) | 7 (43.8) | 0.45 | 0.12–1.76 | –      |
| Gender          |       |           |        |     |     |        |
| Female          | 17 (48.6) | 8 (50.0) | 9 (47.4) | 0.877 | 1.00| –      |
| Male            | 18 (51.4) | 8 (50.0) | 10 (52.6) | 1.11 | 0.29–4.21 | –      |
| T location      |       |           |        |     |     |        |
| Right           | 10 (28.6) | 6 (37.5) | 4 (21.1) | 0.173 | 1.00| –      |
| Left            | 25 (71.4) | 10 (62.5) | 15 (78.9) | 2.25 | 0.50–10.05 | –      |
| Stage           |       |           |        |     |     |        |
| I+II            | 15 (42.9) | 5 (31.3) | 10 (52.6) | 0.203 | 1.00| –      |
| III+IV          | 20 (57.1) | 11 (68.7) | 9 (47.4) | 0.41 | 0.10–1.64 | –      |
| Metastasis      |       |           |        |     |     |        |
| Mo              | 33 (94.3) | 14 (87.5) | 19 (100) | 0.112 | 1.00| –      |
| M1              | 2 (5.7) | 2 (12.5) | 0 | 0.42 | 0.29–0.63 | –      |

T: location; tumor location; UnM+HypoM: unmethylated+ hypomethylated; HyperM: Hypermethylated; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. *qui square test.
CpG islands may cause gene silencing that occurs by DNA binding proteins, a blocking of transcriptional regulators and a consequent decreased transcription\(^{13}\).

Although the molecular mechanism of DKK2 is not well defined, some authors suggest that *DKK2* functions as a tumor suppressor gene and a regulator of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in CRC\(^{6,7}\). Maehata et al., demonstrated in gastrointestinal cancer cell lines that CpG island hypermethylation is associated with gene silencing\(^{14,15}\).

Hu et al.\(^{16}\) described that the blockade of DKK2 by an antibody decreased tumor progression by immune cell activation and tumor angiogenesis suggesting that the combination of anti-DKK2 and anti-VEGFr can be a possible treatment of advanced CRC.

The methylation status of this gene and others is frequently analyzed by PCR, which evaluate qualitatively the presence or absence of methylation by bisulfit conversion-based methods that involve the conversion of non-methylated cytosines to uracil after treatment. This method did not allow the quantification of DNA methylated and cannot classify tissues with low methylation to others with higher methylation. In this assay, the methylation state was studied by HRM, which allows the quantitative determination. Some authors fail to associate methylation status with cancer, and others demonstrate that even methylated genes can continue to perform their functions normally. Based on this information it is important to discuss whether it is sufficient to describe the presence of methylation or whether it is necessary to go further and quantify the percentage\(^{14,15}\).

DNA hypermethylation of *DKK2* was observed in 19 (54.3%) tumors and hipomethylated or unmethylated in the others (TABLE 1). In another study described by our group the hypermethylation of *DKK2* was described in 63% of the tumors tissues, but only in 4.5% of the patients with normal colonoscopy \(P<0.001\)\(^{18}\). The highest proportion of *DKK2* hypermethylated in CRC found in this study and in the Silva et al.\(^{19}\) suggest that this gene is a biomarker of cancer. Jones and Takai found that patients with methylation of DNA in normal areas adjacent to the tumor had worst prognosis\(^{19}\).

Epigenetic alterations occur during aging process and may be an explanation for the higher incidence of CRC after 60 years old. These alterations in older patients were described in human prostate cancer\(^{20}\) and CRC.

The hypermethylation has been described in precancerous adenoma and can be serve as early detection markers\(^{21-23}\). In this study, we did not found difference in methylation status and pathological stages. These results reinforced that DNA methylation can serve as early detection markers.

Most of the tumors studied (68.6%) had lower expression of RNA of *DKK2* gene and was not influenced by age, sex, site of the tumor or pathological stage.

Some studies published that hypermethylation of some genes in the promoter region may silence mRNA expression. Molnar et al. observed in several TP53 pathway genes, promoter methylation and RNA expression alterations\(^{24}\).

Although we did not find a correlation between hypermethylation of DNA and RNA expression, methylation of the promoter gene may be the cause of the lower expression in 43% of the tumors. In these tumors downregulation or silencing of *DKK2* expression are associated with the hypermethylation status of its promoter. This fact can suggest the treatment of some cancer patients with demethylation drugs.

There are several molecular differences including DNA methylation between left and right colon\(^{25}\), mainly in tumors with microsatellite instability (MSI) or microsatellite stability (MSS). In the present study, we examined DNA methylation and *DKK2* mRNA expression in patients without familiar history of cancer. In these patients MSI is rare. Hypermethylation (78.9%) and lower expression of *DKK2* (79.2%) was most prevalent in distal tumors. A previous study reported that specific cancer-related genes demonstrate differential methylation depending on colon location\(^{20}\) in tumors with MSS. In a recent study\(^{27}\) the authors described an increased DNA methylation levels of various genes, including *DKK2* in CRC tumors and adjacent mucosa with an MSS phenotype.

Univariate analysis by the Kaplan-Meier curves indicates that *DKK2* promoter methylation shows no significant difference between methylation and prognostic factors in CRC patients. Similarly, there is no significant association between *DKK2* RNA expression status and overall survival in CRC patients.

### TABLE 2. *DKK2* RNA expression according to the characteristics of the patients and the tumors.

| Clinical aspects | N (%) | ≤1.0 | >1.0 | \(P^*\) | OR | 95% CI |
|------------------|-------|------|------|---------|----|------|
| All cases        | 35 (100) | 24 (68.6) | 11 (31.4) | – | – | – |
| Age (years)      |       |      |      |         |    |      |
| <65              | 19 (54.3) | 13 (54.2) | 6 (54.5) | 0.983 | 1.00 | 0.23–4.13 |
| ≥65              | 16 (45.7) | 11 (45.8) | 5 (45.5) | 0 | 0.70 | – |
| Gender           |       |      |      |         |    |      |
| Female           | 17 (48.6) | 11 (45.8) | 6 (54.5) | 0.632 | 1.00 | 0.17–2.96 |
| Male             | 18 (51.4) | 13 (54.2) | 5 (45.5) | 0.134 | 1.00 | 0.07–1.49 |
| T location       |       |      |      |         |    |      |
| Right            | 10 (28.6) | 5 (20.8) | 5 (45.3) | 0.134 | 1.00 | 0.07–1.49 |
| Left             | 25 (71.4) | 19 (79.2) | 6 (45.4) | 0.32 | 0.32 | – |
| Stage            |       |      |      |         |    |      |
| 5 (20.8)         | 15 (42.9) | 10 (41.7) | 5 (45.3) | 0.833 | 1.00 | 0.20–3.61 |
| 20 (57.1)        | 20 (57.1) | 14 (58.3) | 6 (45.4) | 0.86 | 0.86 | – |
| Metastasis       |       |      |      |         |    |      |
| 5 (20.8)         | 33 (94.3) | 23 (95.8) | 10 (90.9) | 0.536 | 1.00 | 0.13–40.54 |
| 2 (5.7)          | 2 (5.7) | 1 (4.2) | 1 (9.1) | 2.30 | 2.30 | – |

\(T\) location: tumor location; \(OR\): odds ratio; \(CI\): confidence interval. *\(\chi^2\) square test.
In conclusion, the frequency of DKK2 gene hypermethylation and low RNAm expression is frequent on colorectal cancer tissue independent of the stage suggesting that this gene may be a biomarker of cancer. However, hypermethylation or low expression of DKK2 are not markers of prognosis in the tissues examined.
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