Comparison between SRK/T and Haigis Formulas on Visual Acuity of Patient with Senile Cataract Post-Phacoemulsification
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Cataract contributes to the most common cause of blindness worldwide. Cataract surgery is the most performed surgery in the world. To achieve optimal results, pre-operative biometric must be accurate and the use of a formula for measuring the strength of the intraocular lens (IOL) accurately must be used. SRK-T and Haigis are formulas applied in the calculation of IOLs.

AIM: The objective of the study was to determine the evaluation of visual acuity after phacoemulsification using the SRK-T and Haigis formulas.

METHODS: This was an observational prospective analytic study at Medan Baru Eye Hospital from June 2019 to August 2019. Following the examination, patients were required further follow-up on 7–30 days post-phacoemulsification.

RESULTS: The number of subjects was 122 patients (122 eyes), 84 patients were observed, and 38 patients did not come back for control. This study was within the value of p = 0.053. Prediction of refractive errors after phacoemulsification for myopia identified using SRK/T formula was more common, resulting in 3 eyes (75.0%) compared to the Haigis formula. On contrary, the prediction for emetropia was mostly discovered on Haigis formula which amounted to 41 eyes (51.25%) compared to the SRK/T formula.

CONCLUSIONS: There was no significant difference in predicting post-phacoemulsification visual acuity between SRK/T and Haigis formula.

Introduction

Cataract contributes to the most common cause of blindness worldwide. Cataract is a condition of abnormality in the eye lens in the form of cloudiness or turbidity, resulting in a sharp decrease in vision that occurs in the lens, which can be caused by hydration or protein denaturation, presenting a cloudy or a white image. Cataract is more common to appear to older age [1], [2], [3].

Cataract surgery is one of the most commonly performed procedures throughout the world. With sophisticated and modern technologies, surgical techniques improvements, as well as patient contentment, are essential to determine the outcome result of this procedure. To gain optimal results, pre-operative biometry must be precise and the use of a formula to measure the strength of the intraocular lens (IOL) accurately must be applied [4].

The outcome of optimal cataract surgery is influenced by several things in calculating the strength of the IOL, including biometric data before cataract surgery, as well as axial length (AL), depth of the front chamber anterior chamber depth (ACD), lens thickness, and corneal curvature. The formula for calculating the strength of the IOL and the quality of the IOL is also another important aspect of biometric measurements before cataract surgery. The accuracy of biometric examinations is very useful in cataract surgery to minimize refractive errors and good visual acuity results. After surgery, it is expected that the target of sharp visual emetropia is achieved without using glasses or contact lenses [5], [6].

Calculation of the IOL formula has evolved since 1949 when Harold Ridley implanted the first IOL in the human eye. There are various theories and regressions formulas available for the calculation of IOL strength. These consist of Holladay 1, Hoffer Q, and SRK-T (known as the third-generation formula) and Holladay 2, Haigis, and Olsen as the fourth-generation formula or the latest [7], [8], [9]. A study conducted by Wang et al. in China on SRK/T, showed that SRK/T is the most accurate third-generation formula, using IOL Master data with SRK/T produces the most precise refraction [10].

One of the factors that contributed to the accuracy of the IOL calculation was the accuracy of the biometric data to be applied in the IOL strength calculation formula. Based on the background above, the researcher is interested in conducting a study on the comparison between the changes in visual acuity in
post-phacoemulsification patients using the SRK/T and Haigis formulas.

Methods

This study was an observational prospective analytic series by taking data at eye polyclinics patients at Medan Baru Eye Hospital from June 2019 to August 2019. Data were taken from the subject group divided into two groups using both variables.

The inclusion criteria for this study were all ≥40 years older cataract patients who would undergo phacoemulsification, willing to be sampled and for follow-up after the 7th and 30th days of operation. Exclusion criteria for this study were patients who experienced intraoperative and post-operative complications, anterior segment abnormalities, systemic abnormalities, and non-follow-up.

Samples were taken by measuring AL, the depth of anterior chamber, corneal curvature, and IOL (using biometric IOL Master 500) using the SRK/T or Haigis formula. The strength of the IOL was chosen based on the post-operative refraction prediction that was closest to Plano. On days 7 and 30 postoperatively, patients were followed up and visual acuity was tested with Snellen charts. The best correction results were checked 1 month after surgery and the spherical equivalent was then calculated. Furthermore, the calculation of mean error (ME) and the percentages in ± 0.25D, ± 1.00, and ± 1.50 D and calculating the p-value based on each group of formulas. The data were processed using the Mann–Whitney U-test to see accuracy of using the SRK/T and Haigis formulas.

Results

This research was an analytical prospective study. The total number of research subjects was 122 patients (122 eyes), where 84 patients (84 eyes) were taken, of which 38 patients did not come back for control on schedule.

Table 1 explains that the average value of the largest gender distribution in the SRK/T formula group was female, namely, 24 eyes (55.8%). Meanwhile, in the Haigis formula group, the highest sex was male as many as 23 eyes (56.1%). For the average AL, it was divided into three groups, which were short (<23), medium (23–24), and long (>24) AL.

Characteristics based on age, corneal curvature, and ACDs of patients who would be subjected to undergo phacoemulsification procedure are based on Table 2. It showed the data of each group totaling of 42 study subjects.

Based on Table 3, it showed changes in visual acuity seen on the 7th day after phacoemulsification, where the best visual acuity (6/6–6/18) was found in the Haigis formula group, namely, 40 eyes (51.3%) compared to the SRK/T formula group, as many as 38 eyes (48.7%). There was a visible change in visual acuity on the 30th day after phacoemulsification, where the most visible change was found in the best visual acuity 6/6–6/18 in the Haigis formula group of 42 eyes (51.2%) compared to the SRK/T formula group of 40 eyes (48.8%).

Table 4 shows the comparison of the accuracy of the predicted results/ME of post-phacoemulsification using the SRK/T formula and Haigis, using the Mann–Whitney U-test, it resulted in no significant difference between the SRK/T and Haigis formulas in predicting the accuracy of the ME results.

Table 5 shows the comparison of the accuracy of the ME prediction using the SRK/T formula and Haigis with axis length group.

Based on Table 6, the prediction of residual refractive errors after phacoemulsification using the SRK/T and Haigis formulas was illustrated, where the most myopia refractive errors were found in the SRK/T formula, which were 3 eyes (75.0%) compared to the Haigis formula which was only 1 eye (25.0%).
Discussion

The cataract surgery performed in this study was phacoemulsification, where the goal of the outcome of this procedure was an optimal postoperative refraction. In conducting this research, the researcher attempted to measure keratometry accurately, to select the operating technique, the right power IOL strength, and the IOL strength calculation formula. It is expected that the examination using the IOL Master biometry can achieve the right results and be helpful in producing optimal post-operative refraction.

In this study, it was found that the highest average age in the SRK/T formula group was 64.19 ± 10.61 while in the Haigis formula group was 61.98 ± 9.35. This is in accordance with a study conducted by Nemeth et al. where the average age of the research subjects was 70.5 ± 11.0 years, all cataract patients in this study were senile cataracts, so that, the age of the research subjects was more than 40 years old, this is considering senile cataracts are the most common type of cataract [11].

The characteristics of the average ACD appear to be longer on Haigis formula (3.44 ± 0.26), than SRK/T formula group (3.27 ± 0.21). These conditions are affected by racial factors and geographical structure that influences the variations of the eye anatomy [12].

The accuracy of the prediction of the ME of post-phacoemulsification refraction using the SRK/T formula and Haigis formula, which can be seen that the percentage of ME from the Haigis formula was reached at its maximum of 100% at ME ± 1.00 D, while the SRK/T formula was reached at a maximum of ± 1.50 D. Based on the guidelines from the Royal College of Ophthalmologist (RCOphth) determined that 97% of patients should achieve results of a least 97% refraction within ± 1.00 D from the predicted value obtained [13].

Using the Mann–Whitney U-test, it was found that there was no significant difference between the SRK/T and Haigis formulas in predicting the accuracy result of the ME. This is in line with research conducted by Faramarzi et al. which stated that in eyes with steep corneas, statistically, it showed no significant difference between the accuracy of the four formulas (Haigis, Holladay 1, Hofer-Q, SRK/T), and general IOL power calculations [14].

This is not in line with the research conducted by Sharma et al. where the research compared the prediction accuracy of the SRK/T and Haigis formulas without optimized constants where the SRK/T formula was in ± 1.00 D and ± 2.00 D which were 78% and 96%, while in the Haigis formula, without personal constants within ± 1.00 D and ± 2.00 D were 86% and 100%, where the Haigis formula was significantly more precise than the SRK/T formula [15]. Mansour et al. (2018) explained that the Haigis formula was more precise than the SRK/T formula in predicting post-operative outcome [16].

This study also described the prediction of residual refractive errors after phacoemulsification using the SRK/T and Haigis formulas, where it was detected that myopia refractive errors were mostly found in the SRK/T formula, which were discovered in 3 eyes (75.0%) compared to the Haigis formula, which was only 1 eye (25.0%). The most emetropia was found in the Haigis formula with 41 eyes (51.3%) compared to the SRK/T formula, which involved 39 eyes (48.8%). These results were corresponded with previous research performed by Karabela et al. (2016) in Turkey on the outcome of the SRK/T formula using A-scan ultrasound biometry after a phacoemulsification procedure, explaining that the SRK/T formula had a slight tendency to become myopia [17].

Conclusions

The characteristics of the subjects found in this study based on gender, showed that more female patients were included in the SRK/T formula group. Based on age, the oldest subject was found in the SRK/T formula group. From the biometric data, it can be seen that the depth of the front eye chamber (ACD) was deeper in the Haigis formula group, and K1 and K2 in the biometric data appeared to be higher in the Haigis formula group.

Prediction of the accuracy of visual acuity changed after phacoemulsification using the SRK/T formula to reach 100% over the ± 1.50 D limit was not achieved according to post-operative target for cataract removal of ± 1.00 D.
Prediction of the accuracy of changes in visual acuity after phacoemulsification using the Haigis formula without personal constant showed that the formula to reach 100% was finally reached at ± 1.00 D limit, this was in accordance with the post-operative target of cataract extraction of ± 1.00 D, but there was no statistically significant difference.
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