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Abstract

Background: Based on an increased prevalence of diabetes, asthma and hypertension among women in reproductive age, understanding the risk factors of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) is crucial to inform policy and program interventions to address the problem. In this study, we empirically assessed the associations of behavioural factors such as alcohol consumption and tobacco use and a variety of socioeconomic characteristics with prevalence of NCDs in adult women.

Methods: The data were derived from the National Family Health Survey conducted in 2015–16. The effective sample size for the present paper was 699,686 women aged 15–49 years in India. Descriptive statistics along with bivariate analysis were conducted to find the preliminary results. Additionally, multivariable logistic regression analysis was conducted to find the relationship between NCDs and behavioural factors such as alcohol consumption and tobacco use. Moreover, population attributable risk was estimated in the present study.

Results: It was revealed that 15.9% of women had any of the NCDs. A proportion of 0.8% of women smoked tobacco whereas 5.5% of women consumed smokeless tobacco. Also, a proportion of 1.2% of women consumed alcohol in the current study. The odds of having NCDs among women who smoked tobacco, consumed smokeless tobacco and consume alcohol were 16, 8 and 20% significantly higher than the odds of having NCDs among women who did not smoke tobacco, consume smokeless tobacco and consume alcohol respectively. The population attributable risk of having NCDs was 1.8% (p < 0.001) for women who smoked, 0.8% (p < 0.001) for women who consumed smokeless tobacco and 2.2% (p < 0.001) for women who consumed alcohol. Besides, the odds of having NCDs among overweight and obese women were 2.25 and 3.60 times greater than the odds of having NCDs among women who were underweight.

Conclusion: The findings revealed that smoking and using smokeless tobacco and alcohol consumption were risk factors of NCDs in women. The findings also alarm the focus of maternal and child health programs on NCDs’ risk factors like maternal obesity, due to their adverse health consequences on their children too. Also, the coexistence of higher levels of tobacco use and alcohol consumption requires different strategies to address the vulnerability of...
Background

More than two-thirds of the deaths worldwide are caused by non-communicable diseases (NCDs), whereas, three-fourth of such mortality occurs in less-developed countries [1]. Smoked and smokeless tobacco which are highly prevalent in South Asia along with problematic alcohol consumption is responsible for a large number of diseases and deaths [2].

A growing body of literature suggests that women are more likely to experience the co-occurrence of behavioural risk factors thus increasing the risk of NCDs among them and in the future generation [3–5]. Multiple studies in different socio-cultural settings show that higher consumption of alcohol increase the risk of coronary artery disease and related mortality [6–9]. Further, the socioeconomic determinants of NCDs among women are well documented with a higher risk among women in poor resource settings [10–13]. The low-income women were more likely to smoke and had a higher prevalence of many chronic diseases and related risk factors than higher-income mothers [14, 15]. Also, the prevalence of overweight and central obesity which are risk factors for NCDs have been found to be consistently higher in women in India than men in multiple studies [16–18].

However, studies focusing on behavioural risk factors of NCDs with a wider sample of Indian women are still lacking. Based on an increased prevalence of diabetes, asthma and hypertension among women in reproductive age, understanding the risk factors of NCDs is crucial to inform policy and program interventions to address the problem. In this study, we empirically assess the associations of behavioural factors such as alcohol consumption and tobacco use and a variety of socioeconomic characteristics with the prevalence of NCDs. In addition, we estimate the population-attributable risk (PAR) of NCDs due to tobacco, alcohol and other exposures among women in India.

Methods

Data

The data were derived from the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4), the fourth in the NFHS series conducted in 2015–16 [19]. It provides information on population, health, and nutrition of people in India and each state and union territory of the country. All four rounds of NFHS survey have been conducted under the stewardship of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), Government of India. MoHFW designated the International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Mumbai, as the nodal agency for conducting the surveys. Decisions about the overall sample size required for NFHS-4 were guided by several considerations, paramount among which was the need to produce indicators at the district, state/union territory and national levels, as well as separate estimates for urban and rural areas in 157 districts that have 30–70% of the population living in urban areas as per the 2011 census, with a reasonable level of precision. The NFHS-4 sample is a stratified two-stage sample [19]. The 2011 census served as the sampling frame for the selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs). PSUs were villages in rural areas and Census Enumeration Blocks (CEBs) in urban areas. PSUs with fewer than 40 households were linked to the nearest PSU. Within each rural stratum, villages were selected from the sampling frame with probability proportional to size [19]. In each stratum, six approximately equal substrata were created by crossing three substrata, each created based on the estimated number of households in each village, with two substrata, each created based on the percentage of the population belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. Four survey questionnaires (Household Questionnaire, Woman's Questionnaire, Man's Questionnaire, and Biomarker Questionnaire) were canvassed in 17 local languages using Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI). In the interviewed households, 723,875 eligible women aged 15–49 years were identified for individual women’s interviews [19]. Interviews were completed with 699,686 women, with a response rate of 97%. In all, there were 122,051 eligible men aged 15–54 years in households selected for the state module. Interviews were completed with 112,122 men, with a response rate of 92% [19]. The effective sample size for the present study was 699,686 women aged 15–49 years in India.

Variable description

Outcome variable

The outcome variable was 'presence of NCDs' which was recoded as no and yes. The diseases considered for measuring NCDs were hypertension, diabetes, asthma, heart disease and cancer. Blood pressure was measured among women aged 15–49 using an Omron Blood Pressure Monitor to determine the prevalence of hypertension [19]. Blood pressure measurements for each respondent
were taken three times with an interval of 5 min between readings [19]. Hypertension is defined as when an individual had average systolic blood pressure of more than or equals to 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure of more than or equals to 90 mmHg [20]. If the random blood glucose level exceeds 140 mg/dl, the person is termed diabetic. The FreeStyle Optium H glucometer with glucose test strips was used to measure random blood glucose for women aged 15–49 using a finger-stick blood sample [19]. Further, asthma, heart disease and cancer were self-reported [21]. If the respondent had any of the above diseases, they were considered to be having NCDs.

**Explanatory variable**

The explanatory variables were selected on the basis of extensive literature review. The variables were divided into three sections that are behavioural, individual and household characteristics.

**Behavioural characteristics**

i. Cigarettes, bidis, cigars, hookah, gutkha/paan masala, paan and khaini are tobacco products commonly consumed in India. The variable ‘smoke tobacco’ was generated using the questions a. Do you currently smoke cigarettes? b. Do you currently smoke bidis? C. Do you currently smoke cigar? and e. Do you currently smoke hookah? All the responses were recoded as no and yes. And if the female respondents smoked any of these products, they were coded as yes and otherwise no.

ii. The variable ‘consume smokeless tobacco’ was generated using the questions a. Do you currently chew tobacco? b. Do you currently consume gutkha/pan masala with tobacco? c. Do you currently consume paan with tobacco? and e. Do you currently consume khaini? All the responses were recoded as no and yes. And if the female respondents consumed any of these products, they were coded as yes and otherwise no.

iii. Women who consume alcohol were coded as no and yes. The variable was generated using the question “Do you currently drink alcohol?” the response was coded as no and yes.

**Individual characteristics**

Age was grouped into 15–24 years, 25–34 years and 35–49 years. Educational status was categorized as not educated, primary, secondary and higher. Working status was coded as no and yes. The variable on working status was asked under state module hence cannot be used for multi-variate analysis. Marital status was coded as never married, currently married and others. Others included those who were divorced, separated or widowed. Media exposure was coded as not exposed and exposed. The variable was generated using the question on whether women watched television, read newspaper or listened to radio. If the response was affirmative to any of these, it was coded as yes otherwise no. Body mass index (BMI) was recoded as underweight (less than 18.5), normal (18.5 to 24.9), overweight (25–29.9) and obese (30 and above) [22].

**Household characteristics**

The variable wealth status was generated using the information given in the NFHS 2015–16 survey. Households were given scores based on the number and kinds of consumer goods they own, ranging from a television to a car or bicycle, and housing characteristics such as toilet facilities, source of drinking water, and flooring materials. These scores are derived using principal component analysis (PCA). National wealth quintiles are compiled by assigning the household score to each usual (de jure) household member, ranking each person in the household population by their score, and then dividing the distribution into five equal categories, each with 20% of the population [23]. The wealth status was coded as poorest, poorer, middle, richer and richest.

Religion was coded as Hindu, Muslim, Christian and others. Others included Buddhist, Sikh, Jain, etc. Caste was coded as Scheduled Tribe, Scheduled Caste, Other Backward Class and others [23]. Others include those who were identified as having higher social status [24, 25]. Place of residence was coded as urban and rural. Regions of India were coded as North, Central, East, North-East, West and South [19].

**Statistical analysis**

All the analyses have been conducted using STATA 14. Descriptive statistics along with bivariate analysis were performed at the initial stage. Chi-square test was used to find the significance level for the prevalence estimates of NCDs by background variables. Additionally, multivariable logistic regression analysis [26] was used to estimate the extent of association between NCDs and behavioural factors along with other individual and household factors.

The binary logistic regression model is usually put into a more compact form as follows:

\[
\text{Logit } [P(Y = 1)] = \beta_0 + \beta \times X
\]

The parameter \(\beta_0\) estimates the log odds of NCDs for the reference group, while \(\beta\) estimates the maximum likelihood, the differential log odds of NCDs associated with
a set of predictors $X$, as compared to the reference group. Variance inflation factor (VIF) was estimated to check the multicollinearity among the variables used in the study [27]. The `svyset` command in STATA 14 was used to control the analysis for complex survey design. Additionally, this command also incorporated the weights which make the estimates representative.

Model-2, model-3 and model-4 reveal the combined effects of smoking and consuming smokeless tobacco, smoking tobacco and alcohol consumption and consuming smokeless tobacco and alcohol consumption. An “interaction variable” is a variable constructed from an original set of variables to represent either all of the interaction present or some part of it. In exploratory statistical analyses, it is common to use products of original variables as the basis of testing whether interaction is present with the possibility of substituting other more realistic interaction variables at a later stage. When there are more than two explanatory variables, several interaction variables are constructed, with pairwise-products representing pairwise-interactions and higher order products representing higher order interactions [28–30].

Thus, for a response $Y$ and two variables $x_1$ and $x_2$, an additive model would be:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \varepsilon_0$$

In contrast to this,

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + (\beta_3 x_1 \times x_2) \varepsilon_0$$

Where, $Y$ is dependent variable (various NCDs) and $\alpha$ is intercept, $x_1$ is individual level independent variable, $x_2$ is individual level independent variable, $x_3$ is alcohol users, $x_4$ is smokers, $(\beta_3 x_1 \times x_2)$ is the interaction of alcohol and smoking and $\varepsilon_0$ is error. Often, models are presented without the interaction term $d (x_1 \times x_2)$, but this confounds the main effect and interaction effect (i.e., without specifying the interaction term, it is possible that any main effect found is actually due to an interaction) [31].

Further, population attributable risk (PAR) was calculated to verify the extent of risk for NCDs among women who were exposed to negative behavioural factors i.e., smoking tobacco, consuming smokeless tobacco and alcohol [32]. The “`regpar`” command in STATA was used to calculate the PAR. The regpar generates confidence intervals for both population attributable risks and scenario proportions [33]. After an estimating command that interprets projected values as conditional proportions, such as logit, logistic, probit, or generalized linear model, regpar can be utilised [33]. It calculates two scenario proportions: a baseline (“Scenario 0”) and a fantasy (“Scenario 1”), in which one or more exposure variables are presumed to be set to specific values (usually zero) and all other predictor variables in the model remain unchanged. It also calculates the difference between the proportions in Scenario 0 and Scenario 1. This difference is referred to as the population attributable risk (PAR), and it shows the risk associated with living in Scenario 0 rather than Scenario 1 [33].

**Results**

Table 1 presents the socioeconomic profile of women aged 15–49 years in India. A proportion of 0.8% of the women smoked tobacco whereas 5.5% of women consumed smokeless tobacco. Also, a proportion of 1.2% of women consumed alcohol in the current study.

Table 2 presents percentage of NCDs among women aged 15–49 years. A proportion of 6.0% of women were diabetic and 8.7% were hypertensive. Additionally, 1.9% had asthma, 1.4% had heart diseases and 0.2% had cancer. Moreover, 15.9% of women had any of the NCDs. Further, Table 2 reveals that the prevalence of NCDs (more than 16%) was concentrated in the states of Jammu and Kashmir, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, North Eastern states and in almost all the Southern states of India.

Table 3 presents the logistic regression estimates for women having NCDs by their background characteristics. It was revealed that 25.1% of women who smoked vs 15.9% who did not smoke had NCDs and, 22.8% who consumed smokeless tobacco vs 15.5% who did not consume smokeless tobacco had NCDs. Also, a higher percentage of women who consumed alcohol (24.8%) had NCDs in comparison to those who did not consume alcohol (15.8%).

Table 3 presents the logistic regression estimates for women having NCDs by their background characteristics. Women aged 35–49 years had significantly higher odds of having NCDs in comparison to women aged 15–24 years. The odds of having NCDs among women with higher educational status were 25% higher than the odds of having NCDs among women who were not educated. The odds of having NCDs among women who were divorced/separated/widowed were 16% higher than the odds of having NCDs among women who were never married. Similarly, women who smoked tobacco had 16% significantly higher odds of having NCDs than women who did not smoke tobacco. Women who consumed smokeless tobacco had 8% significantly higher odds of having NCDs than women who did not consume smokeless tobacco. Besides, the odds of having NCDs among women who consumed alcohol were 20% significantly higher than those who did not consume alcohol.

Model-2, model-3 and model-4 reveal the combined effect of tobacco use and alcohol consumption on having NCDs among women in India. The odds
of having NCDs among women who smoked and consumed smokeless tobacco were 8% significantly higher than the odds of having NCDs among women who did not consume any of these. Women who smoked tobacco and consumed alcohol had 42% significantly higher odds of having NCDs than women who did not consume any of these. On the other hand, the odds of having NCDs among women who consumed smokeless tobacco and consumed alcohol were 32% significantly higher than the odds of having NCDs among women who did not consume any of these. Also, women who were overweight and obese had 2.25- and 3.60-times greater odds of having NCDs than women who were underweight as revealed from model-1. Table S1 in supplementary file represented the regression estimates for individual diseases, i.e., diabetes, asthma, heart disease, cancer and hypertension.

Table 4 presents the PAR of presence of NCDs among women who smoked tobacco, consumed smokeless tobacco and consumed alcohol. The proportion of NCDs that was attributable to smoked tobacco was 17.8% in comparison to 15.9% who did not smoke tobacco. The difference between two situations is PAR, which was measured to be 1.8% \((p < 0.001)\). Similarly, the PAR for women who consumed smokeless tobacco was 0.8% \((p < 0.001)\) and it was 2.2% \((p < 0.001)\) among women who consumed alcohol. Tables S2, S3, S4, S5, S6 and S7 display the results for PAR for diabetes, asthma, heart disease, cancer and hypertension separately.
Discussion

In this study using nationally representative secondary data in India, we extensively explored the prevalence of major risk factors of NCDs which include tobacco use, alcohol consumption, overweight, and obesity among women of reproductive age. Furthermore, we investigated the population attributable risk of behavioural factors on the prevalence of NCDs to understand the pattern of the problem and how best to prevent and control it. The findings of this study revealed that a large number of women in India were having any of the NCDs. The findings in our study showed a higher prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, asthma and heart disease among women of reproductive age in India compared to other earlier surveys, with 14% of them having any of the NCDs. These findings were

Fig. 1 Percentage of NCDs among women aged 15–49 years in India, NFHS 2015–16

Fig. 2 Prevalence of NCDs among women aged 15–49 years in states of India, NFHS 2015–16
comparable to the reports from previous studies in India [34–36].

The present study also revealed that smoking and consuming of tobacco products and alcohol consumption were associated with an increased prevalence of NCDs among women. Other studies have also shown that consuming smokeless tobacco which is also a risk factor for oral cancers is a major problem associated with morbidity in Indian women particularly those with a lower socioeconomic status [37, 38]. The combined exposure of alcohol consumption and tobacco use was strongly associated with a higher prevalence of NCDs among women. The higher population-attributable risk of smoking, using smokeless tobacco and drinking alcohol for NCDs among women in the current study were noticeable and support the previous findings from India and other developing countries on the higher risk of smoking and alcohol consumption on hypertension and other NCDs [20, 39–41]. Thus, the results suggest a need for developing an efficient preventive strategy against the growing trend of NCDs through control of tobacco use and alcohol consumption. For example, as evidence suggests, a 10% increase in the price of tobacco reduces smoking by about 8% in low-and middle-income countries [42]. Similarly, an increase in taxation can be a potential strategy to control tobacco use especially among the poorest segment of the population.

Urbanization and adoption of unhealthy lifestyles that contribute to inappropriate food choices such as increased intake of sugar and fat led to an increase in body weight in the general population and women in particular [43]. Similarly, studies have shown maternal obesity as a major risk factor for gestational diabetes and pregnancy-induced hypertension in women [44, 45].

### Table 2

Percentage of women aged 15–49 having NCDs by their background characteristics

| Background characteristics | No NCD | Any NCD | p-value |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Behavioural characteristics | %      | %       |         |
| Smoke tobacco               |        |         | 0.001   |
| No                          | 84.14  | 15.86   |         |
| Yes                         | 74.94  | 25.06   |         |
| Chew tobacco                |        |         | 0.001   |
| No                          | 84.46  | 15.54   |         |
| Yes                         | 77.24  | 22.76   |         |
| Alcohol consumption         |        |         | 0.001   |
| No                          | 84.18  | 15.82   |         |
| Yes                         | 75.23  | 24.77   |         |
| Individual characteristics  |        |         |         |
| Age (in years)              |        | 0.001   |
| 15–24                       | 93.58  | 6.42    |         |
| 25–34                       | 86.92  | 13.08   |         |
| 35–49                       | 72.03  | 27.97   |         |
| Educational status          |        | 0.001   |
| Not educated                | 79.94  | 20.06   |         |
| Primary                     | 80.97  | 19.03   |         |
| Secondary                   | 86.14  | 13.86   |         |
| Higher                      | 88.3   | 11.7    |         |
| Working status              |        | 0.001   |
| No                          | 85.06  | 14.94   |         |
| Yes                         | 82.21  | 17.79   |         |
| Marital status              |        | 0.001   |
| Never married               | 93.18  | 6.82    |         |
| Currently married           | 81.8   | 18.2    |         |
| Others                      | 74.21  | 25.79   |         |
| Media exposure              |        | 0.001   |
| Not exposed                 | 84.25  | 15.75   |         |
| Exposed                     | 84.02  | 15.98   |         |
| Body Mass Index             |        | 0.001   |
| Underweight                 | 90.14  | 9.86    |         |
| Normal                      | 86.17  | 13.83   |         |
| Overweight                  | 72.64  | 27.36   |         |
| Obese                       | 61.85  | 38.15   |         |
| Household characteristics   |        | 0.001   |
| Wealth status               |        |         |
| Poorest                     | 85.91  | 14.09   |         |
| Poorer                      | 85.25  | 14.75   |         |
| Middle                      | 84.51  | 15.49   |         |
| Richer                      | 82.34  | 17.66   |         |
| Richest                     | 82.71  | 17.29   |         |
| Religion                    |        | 0.001   |
| Hindu                       | 84.4   | 15.6    |         |
| Muslim                      | 83.18  | 16.82   |         |
| Christian                   | 80.23  | 19.77   |         |
| Others                      | 82.52  | 17.48   |         |
| Caste                       |        | 0.001   |
| Scheduled Caste             | 84.78  | 15.22   |         |

### Table 2 (continued)

| Background characteristics | No NCD | Any NCD | p-value |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Scheduled Tribe            | 84.28  | 15.72   |         |
| Other Backward Class       | 84.59  | 15.41   |         |
| Others                     | 82.61  | 17.39   |         |
| Place of residence         |        | 0.001   |
| Urban                      | 82.97  | 17.03   |         |
| Rural                      | 84.65  | 15.35   |         |
| Regions                    |        | 0.001   |
| North                      | 85.4   | 14.6    |         |
| Central                    | 85.76  | 14.24   |         |
| East                       | 83.72  | 16.28   |         |
| North East                 | 79.22  | 20.78   |         |
| West                       | 84.85  | 15.15   |         |
| South                      | 82.11  | 17.89   |         |
| Total                      | 84.07  | 15.93   |         |
Table 3 Multivariable logistic regression estimates for NCDs by background characteristics among women aged 15–49 years in India, 2015–16

| Background characteristics | Model-1 AOR (95% CI) | Model-2 AOR (95% CI) | Model-3 AOR (95% CI) | Model-4 AOR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Behavioural characteristics |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Smoke tobacco              |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| No                         | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Yes                        | 1.16*(1.1,1.22)       | 1.16*(1.1,1.22)       |                       |                       |
| Consume smokeless tobacco  |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| No                         | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |                       |                       |
| Yes                        | 1.08*(1.05,1.1)       | 1.08*(1.05,1.1)       |                       |                       |
| Alcohol consumption        |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| No                         | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |                       |                       |
| Yes                        | 1.20*(1.16,1.25)      | 1.20*(1.16,1.25)      |                       |                       |
| Individual characteristics |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Age (in years)             |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| 15–24                      | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| 25–34                      | 1.79*(1.75,1.84)      | 1.79*(1.75,1.84)      | 1.79*(1.75,1.84)      | 1.79*(1.75,1.84)      |
| 35–49                      | 4.11*(4.04,4.21)      | 4.10*(4.04,4.21)      | 4.10*(4.04,4.21)      | 4.10*(4.04,4.21)      |
| Educational status         |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Not educated               | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Primary                    | 1.02*(1.01,1.04)      | 1.02*(1.01,1.04)      | 1.02*(1.01,1.04)      | 1.02*(1.01,1.04)      |
| Secondary                  | 0.89*(0.88,0.91)      | 0.90*(0.88,0.91)      | 0.90*(0.88,0.91)      | 0.90*(0.88,0.91)      |
| Higher                     | 0.75*(0.73,0.77)      | 0.75*(0.73,0.77)      | 0.75*(0.73,0.77)      | 0.75*(0.73,0.77)      |
| Marital status             |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Never married              | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Currently married          | 0.99*(0.96,1.01)      | 0.99*(0.96,1.01)      | 0.99*(0.96,1.01)      | 0.99*(0.96,1.01)      |
| Others                     | 1.16*(1.11,1.20)      | 1.16*(1.11,1.20)      | 1.16*(1.11,1.20)      | 1.16*(1.11,1.20)      |
| Media exposure             |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Not exposed                | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Exposed                    | 1.04*(1.02,1.07)      | 1.04*(1.02,1.07)      | 1.04*(1.02,1.07)      | 1.04*(1.02,1.07)      |
| Body Mass Index            |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Underweight                | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Normal                     | 1.18*(1.15,1.2)       | 1.18*(1.15,1.2)       | 1.18*(1.15,1.2)       | 1.18*(1.15,1.2)       |
| Overweight                 | 2.25*(2.22,2.31)      | 2.25*(2.22,2.31)      | 2.25*(2.22,2.31)      | 2.25*(2.22,2.31)      |
| Obese                      | 3.60*(3.49,3.72)      | 3.60*(3.49,3.72)      | 3.60*(3.49,3.72)      | 3.60*(3.49,3.72)      |
| Household characteristic's|                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Wealth status              |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Poorest                    | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Poorer                     | 1.04*(1.01,1.06)      | 1.04*(1.01,1.06)      | 1.04*(1.01,1.06)      | 1.04*(1.01,1.06)      |
| Middle                     | 1.05*(1.03,1.08)      | 1.05*(1.03,1.08)      | 1.05*(1.03,1.08)      | 1.05*(1.03,1.08)      |
| Richer                     | 1.12*(1.09,1.16)      | 1.13*(1.09,1.16)      | 1.13*(1.09,1.16)      | 1.13*(1.09,1.16)      |
| Richest                    | 1.10*(1.07,1.14)      | 1.10*(1.07,1.14)      | 1.10*(1.07,1.14)      | 1.10*(1.07,1.14)      |
| Religion                   |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Hindu                      | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Muslim                     | 1.15*(1.12,1.17)      | 1.15*(1.12,1.17)      | 1.15*(1.12,1.17)      | 1.15*(1.12,1.17)      |
| Christian                  | 0.98*(0.95,1.01)      | 0.98*(0.95,1.01)      | 0.98*(0.95,1.01)      | 0.98*(0.95,1.01)      |
| Others                     | 1.03(1.06)            | 1.03(1.06)            | 1.03(1.06)            | 1.03(1.06)            |
| Caste                      |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Scheduled Caste            | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| Scheduled Tribe            | 1.03*(1.06)           | 1.03*(1.06)           | 1.03*(1.06)           | 1.03*(1.06)           |
Consistently, the current analysis shows that women who were overweight or obese were more likely to have NCDs. This association of indices of obesity with an increased risk for NCDs among women of reproductive age confirms the results of other studies in both developed and developing countries [12, 46, 47]. Further, findings of overweight and obesity as factors associated with NCDs agree with the general view that body fat in humans is linked to a higher rate of cardiovascular diseases [48, 49].

In addition, lower levels of education, increasing age, being divorced/separated/widowed were associated with a higher risk of NCDs among women of reproductive age. More so, the association of increasing age with the higher risk of NCDs can be explained by the negative biological effects as women grow older [50, 51]. Furthermore, the associations of education and marital status with NCDs were similar to past studies showing that lower levels of education and being divorced, separated or widowed increased the odds of having NCDs in comparison to uneducated and never married women [52, 53]. Factors such as hormonal changes in reproductive cycle, chronic stress, women’s sociocultural vulnerability and marital relationship satisfaction might have influenced the observed association of marital status with NCD prevalence [54, 55]. Future research is necessary to confirm this association and explore the underlying mechanisms. On the other hand, the current study depicts that the chances of having NCDs were higher among women with higher household economic status which was also observed in previous studies [3, 13, 56]. This however, could be attributed to the lower levels of healthcare utilisation and less likelihood of women from poor socioeconomic background to be diagnosed and report medical conditions.

The calculation of population-attributable risks of smoking, consuming smokeless tobacco and alcohol...
consumption was the major strength of the current study. Also, this study used nationally representative secondary data and the findings are generalizable for the women of reproductive age in India. However, a major drawback is that the cross-sectional study design used cannot adequately establish causality. Moreover, the self-reported nature of data on NCDs not diagnosed or tested is subject to several biases which have influenced the current findings. The lack of information on several diseases and many behavioural factors limited this study to reveal the evidence around NCDs’ risk factors with sufficient depth. Future work might include the longitudinal assessment of NCDs with more diseases and their combinations along with assessing the population-attributable risks of several behavioural factors for increased NCD prevalence and for particular diseases in women.

Conclusion
The findings revealed that smoking and using smokeless tobacco and alcohol consumption were risk factors of NCDs in women. The study findings urge health decision-makers to invest in women’s health especially those who are more exposed to the risk factors of having NCDs. The findings also alarm the focus of maternal and child health programs on NCDs’ risk factors like maternal obesity, due to their adverse health consequences on their children too. Also, the coexistence of higher levels of tobacco use and alcohol consumption requires different strategies to address the vulnerability of women towards NCDs. The screening and early detection of several NCDs such as diabetes, hypertension and heart disease should be emphasised especially among those who smoke or chew tobacco and consume alcohol. Furthermore, interventions that focus on modifiable factors such as smoking and alcohol consumption, and related obesity can help prevent the increasing burden of NCDs among women in India.
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