Daily variability at milli-arcsecond scales in the radio quiet NLSy1 Mrk 110
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ABSTRACT
The origin of radio emission in the majority of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) is still poorly understood. Various competing mechanisms are likely involved in the production of radio emission and precise diagnostic tools are needed to disentangle them, of which variability is among the most powerful. For the first time, we show evidence for significant radio variability at 5 GHz at milli-arcsecond scales on days to weeks time scales in the highly accreting and extremely radio-quiet (RQ) Narrow Line Seyfert 1 (NLSy1) Mrk 110. The simultaneous Swift/XRT light curve indicates stronger soft than hard X-ray variability. The short-term radio variability suggests that the GHz emitting region has a size smaller than ~180 Schwarzschild radii. The high brightness temperature and the radio and X-ray variability rule out a star-formation and a disc wind origin. Synchrotron emission from a low-power jet and/or an outflowing corona is then favoured.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The variety of accretion and ejection mechanisms in Radio-Quiet (RQ) Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) can be effectively investigated via multi-frequency observations, especially by simultaneously monitoring of the radio and the X-ray emission. The X-ray radiation is produced in the vicinity of the super massive black hole (SMBH) from an interacting accretion disc and a hot corona system (Haardt & Maraschi 1993). On the other hand, the radio emission might be due to a combination of different processes: star-formation, low power jet, shocks in outflows and/or coronal emission (see Panessa et al. 2019 for a review). Sub-pc radio emission in RQ AGNs may indeed be produced by a scaled-down version of more powerful jets, perhaps less collimated and with a lower acceleration efficiency. The finding of significant correlation between the radio and X-ray emission in both super-massive and Galactic black holes has suggested a strong coupling between the inflowing accretion and the outflowing ejection components (Panessa et al. 2007; Laor & Behar 2008). For instance, it has been proposed that the X-ray corona itself could coincide with the jet base (Markoff et al. 2005). Discriminating among the above different mechanisms is crucial to reach the heart of the matter of the disc-jet coupling.

The ideal experiment would combine a well time sampled monitoring with very high spatial resolution radio observations at high frequency together with simultaneous X-ray observations. Indeed, Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) studies are able to map radio emission at milli-arcsecond (mas) scales, sampling down to sub-pc scales regions in local Seyfert galaxies, resolving out diffuse emission and disentangling thermal versus non thermal emission (Nagar et al. 2002; Giroletti & Panessa 2009; Baldi et al. 2018, 2021). Small structures close to the AGN core can also be mapped via high frequency observations (Behar et al. 2015).

In this framework variability studies are a powerful diagnostic tool for the accretion/ejection physics, but largely unemployed so
far in RQ AGN. Radio variability has been tested only in a sparse number of RQ AGN (Wrobel 2000; Barvainis et al. 2005; Anderson & Ulvestad 2005; Mundell et al. 2009; Bell et al. 2011; Doi et al. 2011; King et al. 2013; Baldi et al. 2015; Williams et al. 2020; Behar et al. 2020) and it was found to be typically of a few tens per cent over months to years time scales. In a few Low Luminosity AGN (LLAGN), using Very Large Array (VLA) observations, variability was found on timescales from a few hours to 10 days (Anderson & Ulvestad 2005). Variability on a time scale of the day has also been detected in the Seyfert 1 NGC7469 at 95 and 143 GHz; the same variability pattern, observed both in the mm and in the X-rays bands supported the idea that both emissions are originating in the same physical component of the AGN, likely the accretion disc corona (Baldi et al. 2015; Behar et al. 2020).

Here we report on the simultaneous radio and X-ray monitoring of Mrk 110 at 4.9 GHz with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) and Swift/XRT. Mrk 110 (z=0.0353) is a RQ Narrow Line Seyfert 1 galaxy (NSLy1) which shows extreme variability at X-rays as well as at optical/UV wavelengths (Grupe et al. 2001; Kollatschny et al. 2001). It is one of the most variable AGN among the AGN RXTE sample on years to months time scales (Markowitz & Edelson 2004). Mrk 110 is also variable on smaller time scales in X-rays, as revealed during a 47.5 ks XMM-Newton observation in 2004, where the size of X-ray emitting region was constrained to be R ~ 10 Rs – Schwarzschild radii – (Dasgupta & Rao 2006). At radio mas scales, Doi et al. (2013) have revealed the presence of a compact core at 1.7 GHz with a flux density of 1.2±0.2 mJy. The high brightness temperature (T_B > 6.5 x 10^7) suggested non-thermal emission possibly coming from a jet-base.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

2.1 Radio observations

We present VLBA observations taken during a monitoring campaign of Mrk 110 from 2015 August 14 till 2016 May 19. A nearly daily cadence monitoring was performed at 4.9 GHz between 2016 April 24 and May 19 (project code BP196). Contemporaneous VLBA observations on 2015 August 14 (project code BP193) at 1.6 (L-band), 4.7 and 7.4 GHz were performed (C- and X-band respectively). VLBA data at 1.6 and 5 GHz taken in April 2016 (project code BP203), during two consecutive days, are also considered. The log of the VLBA observations are shown in Table 1. Uncertainties, unless otherwise stated, are at 68% (1 sigma) confidence level.

Given the faintness of Mrk 110 at GHz frequencies, we referenced our VLBA observations with the nearby (1.4 deg separation) VLBA calibrator, J0932+5306. We used the NRAO AIPS package for all calibration and hybrid mapping and applied standard data reduction procedures to image Mrk 110. In particular, we used the AIPS task jmfit to extract the peak and total flux densities by fitting the emission from Mrk 110 to a two-dimensional Gaussian. In our variability analysis, we conservatively took as total uncertainties the larger value of the following two estimates: the uncertainty given by task jmfit, or the sum in quadrature of the off-source root-mean-square (rms) of the VLBA flux density values, and the calibration uncertainty, \( \xi \), assumed to be 5% of the flux density, i.e., \( \sigma = \sqrt{\text{rms}^2 + (\xi S)^2} \).

We detect an unresolved core from the maps of all VLBA epochs, with the possible exception of the epoch 8th May, when an elongated structure in the south-west direction is marginally resolved (see Fig. 1). The peak flux densities range between 0.670 and 0.990 mJy/beam at 5 GHz and between 0.857 and 1.459 mJy/beam at 1.5 GHz, corresponding to powers of 2.0 – 2.9 x 10^21 W/Hz and 2.5 – 4.3 x 10^21 W/Hz respectively, for an assumed luminosity distance of 156.2 Mpc to Mrk 110. In one case, BP193 at X-band, the source was heavily unresolved, which resulted in the peak flux density being higher than the total flux density.

The flux density of our VLBA phase-calibrator, J0932+5306, stayed constant at 200±12 mJy for all epochs, but for the last two ones, where it dropped slightly, to a flux density of 175–180 mJy. This flux density light curve does not significantly correlate with the Mrk 110 total and peak flux densities (correlation coefficients of 0.60 and 0.21, respectively), hence excluding that the observed variability is inherited from the phase-calibrator behaviour.

The variability of the innermost regions (the core) of Mrk 110, probed by its 5 GHz peak flux density, exhibits variation amplitudes of 10% throughout our campaign (Fig. 2). The offset between the radio total and peak flux densities follow the same trend indicating that the total flux density variability is mostly due to variability at very compact scales, except for the 8th May epoch, when there is marginal evidence for variability outside the innermost compact, unresolved region of radio emission. We therefore checked carefully the VLBA data for this epoch, which were of similar quality as the data obtained for the rest of the campaign. One-component Gaussian fit suggests the presence of a resolved component, indeed the use of two Gaussian components improves the fit significance, although only marginally.

At the distance of Mrk 110, 1 mas corresponds to ~ 0.71 pc. Therefore, the most compact measured beam sizes of 12.53 mas at 5.04 mas (L-band), 3.81 mas × 1.36 mas (C-band) and 2.57 mas × 0.84 mas (X-band) correspond to linear sizes of 8.6 pc × 3.6 pc, 2.7 pc × 1.0 pc, and 1.8 pc × 0.6 pc, at L-, C- and X-band, respectively. The derived lower limits to the brightness temperatures range between 7.5 and 15.7 x 10^7 K.

From the peak flux density values for Mrk 110 in col. 8 of Table 1, we estimated two-point spectral indices, \( S_\nu \sim \nu^{-\alpha} \). We estimated the uncertainty in the spectral index, \( \sigma_\alpha \), by using the error propagation equation, which yields

\[ \sigma_\alpha = \sqrt{(\sigma_{\nu_1}/S_{\nu_1})^2 + (\sigma_{\nu_2}/S_{\nu_2})^2/(\ln(\nu_2/\nu_1))}, \]

where \( S_{\nu_1,2} \) are the flux density and its uncertainty at each frequency. The variability of the day-to-day radio spectral index alpha, computed at 1.55 and 4.98 GHz, results to be not significant (\( \alpha = -0.48 \pm 0.08 \) and \( -0.43 \pm 0.07 \) on 18 and 19 May 2016, respectively). On the other hand, the two-point spectral index on 14 Aug 2015 between 1.55 and 4.40 GHz is \( -0.15 \pm 0.11 \), a much flatter value. On the same date, the spectral index between 1.55 and 7.60 GHz is \( -0.39 \pm 0.10 \). Finally, the rather steep value of \( \alpha = -0.85 \pm 0.29 \) between 4.40 and 7.60 GHz suggests important synchrotron losses of the electrons at frequencies above 4.4 GHz.

2.2 X-ray observations

During the VLBA observations, the source was followed-up in quasi-simultaneity with Swift/XRT for 21 epochs. The data reduction of the Swift X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) was performed by following standard routines described by the UK Swift Science Data Centre (UKSSDC) and using the software in HEASoft version 6.26. Calibrated event files were produced using the routine xrtpipeline, accounting for bad pixels, vignetting effects and exposure maps. Spectra were extracted from circular regions of diameter of 40\'.

\[ \text{http://www.Swift.ac.uk/analysis/xrt/index.php} \]
we describe in Hernández-García et al. (2013, 2015), we re-
database (CALDB). The number of empty circular regions, having 80″ of diameter, located near each of the sources. The task was used to create the background spectra were extracted from a number of empty circular regions, having 80″ of diameter, located nearby each of the sources. The xrtmkarf task was used to create the background spectra were extracted from a number of empty circular regions, having 80″ of diameter, located nearby each of the sources. The xrtmkarf task was used to create the corresponding Ancillary Response Files (ARF). The response matrix files (RMF) were obtained from the HEASARC CALibration DataBase (CALDB).

To systematically search for X-ray variations we applied the method described in Hernández-García et al. (2013, 2015), we refer the reader to these papers for details on the procedure. We fitted the X-ray spectra of Mrk 110 simultaneously using a simple model consisting on two absorbed power-laws plus galactic absorption ($N_{Gal} = 1.47 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, Dickey & Lockman 1990) in XSPEC v.12.10.1 as wabs*(zwabs*po+zwabs*po). We note that leaving the intrinsic column density free to vary does not provide an improvement in the significance of the fit. The model which best represents the data was obtained when the normalizations of the two power-laws are linked and vary together (the intrinsic column density is fixed at a

Table 1. Log of the VLBA observations

| Date       | Code     | $\nu$ | $\sigma_{0}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\sigma_{5}$ | $\sigma_{6}$ | $\sigma_{7}$ | $\sigma_{8}$ | $\sigma_{9}$ | $\sigma_{10}$ | $\sigma_{11}$ |
|------------|----------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2015-08-14 | BP193_L  | 1.55 | 13.07        | 4.14         | 10.8         | 857 ± 65     | 1305 ± 130   | 49           |             | 2015-08-14 | BP193_C | 4.40 | 4.61 | 1.48 | 1.84 | 3.56 | 875 ± 62 | 819 ± 102 |             | 2015-08-14 | BP193_X | 7.60 | 2.57 | 0.85 | -2.2 | 463 ± 65 | 398 ± 97 | 61           |
| 2016-04-24 | BP196A_C | 4.98 | 4.57         | 1.14         | -13.8        | 825 ± 48     | 1020 ± 56    | 1029 ± 57    | 199.2 ± 10.0 | 2016-04-25 | BP196B_C | 4.98 | 4.50 | 1.32 | 19.4 | 955 ± 57 | 1022 ± 60 | 968 ± 56 | 212.1 ± 10.6 | 2016-04-27 | BP196C_C | 4.98 | 4.14 | 1.25 | -18.7 | 990 ± 65 | 1237 ± 75 | 1118 ± 70 | 207.5 ± 10.4 | 2016-04-28 | BP196D_C | 4.98 | 4.10 | 1.16 | -12.5 | 785 ± 51 | 890 ± 55 | 838 ± 53 | 189.0 ± 9.5 | 2016-04-29 | BP196E_C | 4.98 | 4.18 | 1.21 | -12.8 | 768 ± 49 | 918 ± 55 | 918 ± 55 | 202.3 ± 10.1 | 2016-04-30 | BP196F_C | 4.98 | 4.14 | 1.20 | -12.9 | 836 ± 51 | 991 ± 58 | 876 ± 53 | 199.8 ± 10.0 | 2016-05-01 | BP196G_C | 4.98 | 5.40 | 1.20 | -12.7 | 838 ± 52 | 992 ± 58 | 928 ± 55 | 213.1 ± 10.7 | 2016-05-02 | BP196H_C | 4.98 | 4.14 | 1.21 | -12.6 | 838 ± 52 | 994 ± 58 | 956 ± 56 | 205.3 ± 10.3 | 2016-05-03 | BP196I_C | 4.98 | 4.93 | 1.17 | -15.4 | 724 ± 50 | 868 ± 56 | 861 ± 55 | 205.7 ± 10.3 | 2016-05-04 | BP196J_C | 4.98 | 4.97 | 1.08 | -14.7 | 670 ± 49 | 699 ± 50 | 716 ± 51 | 189.1 ± 9.5 | 2016-05-05 | BP196L_C | 4.98 | 4.79 | 1.12 | -13.3 | 671 ± 48 | 767 ± 52 | 705 ± 50 | 191.4 ± 9.6 | 2016-05-07 | BP196M_C | 4.98 | 5.14 | 1.09 | -11.6 | 708 ± 50 | 799 ± 54 | 789 ± 53 | 194.9 ± 9.7 | 2016-05-08 | BP196N_C | 4.98 | 4.79 | 1.65 | -18.1 | 742 ± 52 | 945 ± 59 | 1080 ± 65 | 214.3 ± 10.7 | 2016-05-18 | BP203AA_C | 4.98 | 3.82 | 1.37 | 25.4 | 836 ± 56 | 801 ± 55 | 848 ± 56 | 178.7 ± 8.9 | 2016-05-18 | BP203AB_L | 1.55 | 12.53 | 5.04 | 13.1 | 1459 ± 86 | 1668 ± 120 | 44           | 2016-05-19 | BP203BA_C | 4.98 | 4.14 | 1.24 | 23.1 | 864 ± 53 | 865 ± 53 | 873 ± 54 | 173.5 ± 8.7 | 2016-05-19 | BP203BB_L | 1.55 | 12.71 | 5.04 | 13.3 | 1426 ± 83 | 1629 ± 114 | 42           |

Table notes: (1) Observing date; (2) VLBA project code; (3) Frequency (GHz); (4-5) Beam major (and minor) axis, in mas; (6) Beam position angle, in degrees; (7) Peak, (8) Peak common beam (reported only for the data set used for the variability analysis, see Section 3) and (9) Total flux density in $\mu$Jy, uncertainties are calculated as the sum in quadrature of the off-source rms of the VLBA flux density values and the calibration uncertainty, $\xi$, assumed to be of 5% of the flux density $S$, i.e., $\sigma = \sqrt{\text{rms}^2 + (\xi S)^2}$; (10) Peak flux density of the phase-calibrator in the C-band epochs used for the variability analysis, in $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$; (11) off-source rms values in $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$.

Figure 1. VLBA images corresponding to the epochs BP196N and BP203BA. The images are centered at RA(J2000.0) = 09h25m12.8477400s and Dec(J2000.0) = +52°17’10.387571″. Contours are drawn at (3, 3 $\times$ $\sqrt{3}$, 9 $\times$ $\sqrt{3}$,..., 27) $\times$ rms (which is 36 and 30 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ respectively, as reported in Table 1). These two epochs were selected to show an example of a marginally resolved (left) and of an unresolved (right) core emission.
3 VARIABILITY ANALYSIS

We show the results of our variability analysis in Table 3 for our radio and X-ray light curves obtained in April-May 2016. We list the mean flux density and its standard deviation. The $\chi^2$ of the light curve with respect to a constant flux is also presented with the degrees of freedom (d.o.f.). In all cases the reduced $\chi^2 (\chi^2 = \chi^2 / \text{d.o.f.})$ is $> 1$, suggesting the presence of intrinsic variability. We follow Sánchez et al. (2017), which define an expression of the variability probability, $(P_{\text{var}} = 1 - P(> \chi^2))$, where $P(> \chi^2)$ is the probability to observe a $\chi^2$ larger than measured, under the null hypothesis of random errors and no variability. $P(> \chi^2)$ lower than 0.05 (i.e., $P_{\text{var}} > 0.95$) is usually considered as a significant indication of the presence of variability.

In addition, we have estimated the normalized excess variance, $\sigma_N^{2, \text{XS}}$, and its error $\text{err}(\sigma_N^{2, \text{XS}})$, which represents the variability amplitude of the light curves, following the prescriptions in Vaughan et al. (2003), where $x$, $\sigma_{\text{err}}$, and $N$ are the count rate, its error and the number of points in the light curve, respectively, and $S^2$ is the variance of the light curve. A source is considered to be variable when $\sigma_N^{2, \text{XS}} > 0$, i.e., when the intrinsic amplitude of the variability is greater than zero. We also report $P_{\text{var}}$, i.e., the intrinsic variability amplitude as in Vaughan et al. (2003).

We tested whether the different synthesized beams at C-band could be responsible for the observed flux density variability in 2016 (where we had daily VLBA observations of Mrk 110). To this end, we convolved our calibrated data with a common beam whose major and minor axes correspond to the highest values reported in Table 1 (i.e., 5.40 mas $\times$ 1.65 mas, with a position angle of zero degrees). As can be seen from Fig. 2, the behaviour and the value of the peak flux densities obtained with the common beam ($S_{\text{beam}}$, column 8 in Table 1, second top panel in Fig. 2) are closer to the behaviour of the total flux density (third panel from top) than to those of the peak flux densities obtained with the natural beam (first top panel). This well agrees with a scenario where most, or essentially all, of the flux density comes from the unresolved compact source (less than about 5 mas $\times$ 2 mas in size). This is also reflected in the fact that the variability probability in radio is very similar for the common beam and total flux density, in comparison with the probability for the peak flux density values.

The results of the radio and X-ray light curves of Mrk 110 are indicative of variations at all frequencies (see Fig. 2). The significance of the radio variability varies from 2.6 $\sigma$ for the peak flux density, to the 3.5 $\sigma$ for the common beam peak flux density and to 3.8 $\sigma$ for the total flux density. The soft X-ray light curve has the maximum variation observed (16 $\sigma$), whereas in the hard X-rays the source shows marginal evidence for variability (2.6 $\sigma$). The minimum (maximum) flux of the peak flux density is 670 (990) $\mu$Jy, corresponding to an amplitude variation of 32% in seven days, while for the total flux density the minimum (maximum) flux is 705 (1118) $\mu$Jy, corresponding to a 37% variation in eight days. On one day time scale, the most significant ($\sim 4 \sigma$) variability is found between April 27th and 28th, when the total flux density decreases by a factor of 25%. Significant variability ($\sim 21\%$) is also observed between May 7th and 8th.

In the 0.5–2 $\text{keV}$ energy band, the minimum (maximum) fluxes are 1.5 (2.8) $\times 10^{-11}$ $\text{erg cm}^{-2}$ $\text{s}^{-1}$, resulting in an increment of 47% in 19 days, whereas in the 2–10 $\text{keV}$ energy band these values were 2.8 (4.2) $\times 10^{-11}$ $\text{erg cm}^{-2}$ $\text{s}^{-1}$, i.e, an increment of 33% in 20 days.

We have estimated the cross-correlation function (CCF) between the radio and the X-ray light curves using two methods: Discrete Correlation Function (DCF, Edelson & Krolik 1988) and Interpolated Cross Correlation Function (ICCF, Gaskell & Sparke 1986), however the low statistics involved prevent us from drawing any conclusion.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We report on daily to weekly radio variability on mas scales in the NLS1 Mrk 110 via a VLBA monitoring campaign, covering the period from 14 August 2015 till 19 May 2016, with a nearly daily cadence between 24 April and 19 May 2016 (see Table 1 and Fig. 2). Simultaneous soft X-ray variability is detected with Swift/XRT. This is the first time that radio variability at milli-arcsecond spatial resolution and on a temporal scale of days is tested in an extremely radio faint NLSy1, accreting at ten per cent of the Eddington limit (see below). The source shows the most significant variations in the radio 5 GHz total flux density (3.8 $\sigma$) and in the soft X-ray (16 $\sigma$) light curves.

The unresolved radio core in Mrk 110 shows radio properties consistent with those of other RQ NLSy1 observed with VLBI (Doi et al. 2013). In particular our results are in agreement with those obtained by Doi et al. (2013) at 1.4 GHz, both in flux and brightness temperature, suggesting moderate variability on years time scales at mas scales.

The black hole mass is 4.8 $\pm$ 2.0 $\times 10^7$ $\text{M}_\odot$, from a virial mass estimate in Bischoff & Kollatschny (1999), updated using the virial form factor 1.12 (Woo et al. 2015). The X-ray Eddington ratio (assuming an averaged 2–10 $\text{keV}$ flux as in Table 3) is $L_{2-10\text{keV}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 0.016$, ($\log L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 0.1$, assuming the bolometric correction...
Table 3. Variability analysis of the light curves.

| Source                  | Mean  | Stddev | \(\chi^2/d.o.f\) | 1-\(P_{var}\) | \(\sigma^2_{NXS}\) | \(F_{var}\) (%) |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Peak Radio 5 GHz        | 803   | 94     | 41/14             | 1.5\times10^{-4} | 0.0093\pm0.0036  | 10\pm2          |
| Total Radio 5 GHz       | 901   | 120    | 62/14             | 4.5\times10^{-9} | 0.0139\pm0.0040  | 12\pm2          |
| Common beam Radio 5 GHz | 921   | 132    | 69/14             | 2.7\times10^{-9} | 0.0168\pm0.0044  | 13\pm2          |
| Calibrator Radio 5 GHz  | 198   | 12     | 23/14             | 0.05          | 0.0013\pm0.0013  | 4\pm1           |
| X-rays 0.5–2 keV        | 1.8\times10^{-11} | 0.4\times10^{-11} | 890.1/20       | 0            | 0.0423\pm0.0026  | 21\pm1          |
| X-rays 2–10 keV         | 3.3\times10^{-11} | 0.3\times10^{-11} | 51.8/20        | 1.2\times10^{-4} | 0.0051\pm0.0020  | 7\pm1           |

Table Notes: For each light curve we report the mean flux value and standard deviation (in \(\mu\)Jy for radio, mJy for the calibrator and erg s\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-2}\) for the X-rays), the \(\chi^2\) test with the degrees of freedom, the \(P_{var}\) parameter, the normalised excess variance, \(\sigma^2_{NXS}\) and the amplitude of variability, \(F_{var}\).

Figure 2. Variability is observed in Mrk 110 light curves: 5.0 GHz VLBA peak flux density (top panel), 5.0 GHz VLBA peak flux density, obtained by using a common beam (second panel from top), 5.0 GHz VLBA total flux density (middle panel) and 0.5–2 keV and 2–10 keV X-ray flux (bottom panels, green and blue respectively). From Duras et al. (2020)). Using the averaged VLBA flux density at 5 GHz and the averaged 2–10 keV luminosity, we have calculated the X-ray radio–loudness parameter (Terashima & Wilson 2003) as \(\log L_{VLBA}/L_{2-10\text{keV}}\) = -5.9. These values place Mrk 110 among the most RQ highly accreting sources in the local Universe (see Fig. 2 & 3 in Panessa & Giroletti (2013)).

The high brightness temperature (in the range between 7.5 and 15.7 \times 10^7 K) allows us to exclude a star-formation origin for the radio emission at the observed spatial scales. Indeed, \(T_B\) typically lower than 10^6 K is expected from star-forming regions (e.g., Pérez-Torres et al. 2021). The emission from supernova remnants and massive
stars is averaged on long time scales, therefore not strongly variable 
(Condon et al. 2013).

From our data, on average, ~ 10% of the radio emission is variable on a time scale of days. By applying a simple causality argument, 
the minimum variability on a time scale of one day implies that such variable 5 GHz radio emission comes from a region of a size smaller 
8.4 x 10^{-4} pc, i.e., ~ 180 Schwarzschild radii. This region likely 
coincides with the innermost region of the AGN (as suggested by the 
variability of the peak flux density), but in principle it could arise 
from a close-by region, anywhere within ~ 9 pc (as estimated by the 
radio maximum beam size).

Assuming that the emitting region is nuclear, the radio variability 
might originate from the inner accretion disc/corona system or from 
the base of a low-power jet. The region size is consistent with esti-
mates of time lags from the XMM–Newton light curve (Dasgupta & 
Rao 2006), which suggest a size of the X-ray corona of 10–20 R_{\odot} 
(typical of RQ AGN, e.g., Kara et al. (2016), see however Mastrose-
rio et al. (2020)). This was also confirmed by recent X-ray/optical 
lag measurements (Vincentelli et al. 2021; Porquet et al. 2021).

Both the radio and the X-ray emission may be produced in magne-
tized plasma in the accretion-disc corona, in analogy with coronally 
active stars. According to this scenario a $L_{\text{GHz}}/L_{\text{X-ray}}$ ratio of 10^{-5} 
is predicted (Laor & Behar 2008). Our ratio ~5.9 (in logarithm) falls 
within the scatter of such relation. In the above scenario we would 
expect a flat spectral slope, due to synchrotron self-absorption at our 
frequencies (Raginski & Laor 2016). Possible Coronal Mass Eject-
ions (CMEs) in AGN coronae would emit extended optically thin 
radio emission from outflowing blobs of highly magnetized plasma.

In high-Eddington accretion discs, as in our object, an intense coro-
nal activity is indeed expected (Laor & Behar 2008; Liu et al. 2014; 
Inoue & Doi 2018). The base of the jet and the corona may be pow-
ered by a common magnetic energy reservoir (Malzac et al. 2004), 
or in case of highly sub-luminal CMEs, the jet base would physically 
coincide with the corona (Liu et al. 2014). Indeed, at high Eddington 
ratios, the corona could be outflowing, being slightly collimated 
over the SMBH and then spreading at larger scales in the form of a diffuse 
radio emitting plasma (Markoff et al. 2005; King et al. 2011).

The estimated spatial scales are also consistent with the BLR 
scales, as estimated in Liu et al. (2017); Kollatschny (2003). However, 
the free-free contribution of BLR dustless gas at a few GHz is likely 
negligible, as free-free emission from photo-ionized gas in RQ AGN 
expected to dominate the mm range (Baskin & Laor 2021).

Alternatively, the variable emission may arise from close-by com-
ponents such as a sub-pc/pc scale jet or outflow. For instance, a 
scenario with an AGN-driven nuclear wind shocking the galaxy 
medium foresees steep spectral optically thin radio emission (Za-
kamska & Greene 2014). However, this has been probed mainly at 
the NLR scales (> 100 pc). The presence of variable outflow compo-
nents very close to the inner region is expected in sources accreting 
at high Eddington ratios, as observed in X-rays (Mizumoto et al. 
2021). Indeed, the steep spectrum between ~5–8 GHz combined with 
the high Eddington ratio favour a radiation pressure driven wind sce-
nario (Laor et al. 2019). However, it is currently not clear how much 
emission we could expect from nuclear outflowing gas in the radio 
regime.

The observed brightness temperature is a strong evidence for non-
thermal processes acting either in the core or in a nuclear jet knot 
(Ulvestad et al. 2005). The jet origin is supported by the observed 
steepening of spectrum above 5 GHz, ascribable to electronic losses 
of optically thin synchrotron emission. The lack of mas extended 
emission suggests a low power sub-relativistic jet confined at < 9 
 pc scales, which dissipates before leaving the core. The marginal 
evidence for resolved nuclear emission in the 8th May epoch might 
support the hypothesis of the presence of a non-nuclear component 
subject to a brightening episode. However, the quality of our data 
does not allow to draw any conclusion in this respect.

The variable spectral index (from $\alpha \sim -0.5$ to -0.15), indicates 
that the emitting source may transition from an optically thick to an 
optically thin regime. In the case of an optically thick synchrotron 
source, according to equation 19 in Laor & Behar (2008), the result-
ing emitting region size would be of ~ 0.001 pc, consistent with the 
region derived from our variability analysis.

We note that the VLBA flux density recovers only 30% of the 
VLA-A flux density 2, suggesting that some radio emission extends 
to larger scales, as typically found in RQ Seyferts (Panessa & Giroletti 
2013). Indeed, a secondary component at 3.0\" (2.1 kpc) to the north 
of a central component was seen in the VLA A-array images (Kukula 
et al. 1998), indicating that possibly some past ejection event was 
able to propagate into the medium without dissipating. The lack of 
jet imaged at mas scale may be due to observational limitations (e.g., 
lack sensitivity, uv-coverage). Higher angular resolution (sub-mas 
angles) and more sensitive (a few $\mu$Jy rns) VLBI images are needed 
to investigate possible low surface brightness jet-like features, such 
as those that can be achieved by the Global VLBI 3.

A detailed long term JVLA/Swift monitoring programme has been 
carried out (McHardy et al. in preparation). The angular scales 
mapped by the JVLA (sub arcsecond) will be larger with respect to 
those of VLBI (milli-arcsecond), however, the time scale of a few 
months will allow a significant cross correlation analysis, shedding 
light to the origin of radio emission and of the accretion and ejec-
tion coupling in this NLSy1. As an example, the detection of an 
X-ray/radio correlation with a ~ tens of days radio lag is expected if 
the radio emission comes from a synchrotron jet, such as that seen in 
a low accretion rate X-ray binary systems (e.g. Corbel et al. (2013)). 
We refer to Panessa et al. (2019) for a more extensive discussion.

Currently, radio variability in RQ AGN is largely unexplored. We 
encourage multi-frequency monitoring campaigns of RQ AGN to 
include radio light curves, as the strong coupling between the accretion 
and the ejection phenomena is the key for their comprehension.
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