Abstract

Pericardial agenesis is a rare congenital anomaly found predominantly in men, and its complete form is extremely rare and difficult to diagnose. This report describes the case of a pregnant patient with complete pericardial agenesis in which mode of delivery and sterilization raised debate among specialists.

Introduction

Pericardial agenesis, or absence of pericardium, is a rare congenital anomaly found predominantly in men compared to women (3:1 – three-to-one ratio)\(^1,2\) and has an estimated prevalence from 0.002 to 0.044% when associated with other heart or lung diseases. Its isolated presentation has an undefined prevalence, due to probable underdiagnosis related to asymptomatic presentations or to atypical symptoms.\(^3,4\)

This is the report of an extremely rare case of a 30-year-old pregnant woman with complete pericardial agenesis in which mode of delivery and sterilization raised debate in our service, considering the lack of evidence on management practices for this condition.

Case report

A 37-week pregnant woman aged 30 years with complete pericardial agenesis, diagnosed 10 years ago, was referred from the cardiology department to the maternity ward. She had no other known congenital or acquired diseases. She complained of palpitations and dyspnea on moderate exertion.

Physical examination revealed systolic murmur in basal foci (2+/6+) and sporadic episodes of pulse irregularity. Electrocardiography showed bradycardia with sinus arrhythmia, right axis deviation (110 degrees), PRi of 200 ms, poor R progression in precordial leads, and T inversion from V1 to V5 (Figure 1).

Posteroanterior chest radiography showed displacement of the cardiac axis to the left with no tracheal displacement, and right border of the heart at the spinal level (Figure 2).

Transthoracic echocardiography revealed unusual acoustic windows, posterior and superior deviation of the heart, atria and ventricles with "tear drop" appearance in the paraesternal view (Figure 3A) and lateralization of the four-chamber apical view, with preserved biventricular systolic function (left ventricular ejection fraction through the Teicholz method: 68%) (Figure 3B). Exaggerated cardiac mobility in the chest (pendulum-like movement of the heart) was observed, in addition to paradoxal interventricular septal motion. Although cardiac chambers appeared to be enlarged in the subjective analysis, linear dimensions were found to be preserved (left ventricle end-diastolic diameter: 43 mm, left atrial anteroposterior diameter: 29 mm, right ventricle basal diameter in the four-chamber apical view: 30 mm). No other structural changes were found (Video 1).

The patient’s diagnosis was established 10 years ago, during outpatient cardiological assessment, due to complaints of frequent palpitations and dyspnea on moderate exertion. Results for chest radiography, electrocardiography, echocardiography, and magnetic resonance imaging of the chest were compatible with the diagnosis of complete pericardial agenesis.

One year after diagnosis, the patient was admitted due to typical chest pain and syncope. Cardiac catheterization was performed, with no
Figure 1 – Electrocardiogram: bradycardia with sinus arrhythmia, axis deviation to the right (110 degrees), PR interval: 200ms, poor progression of R in precordials and inversion of T from V1 to V5.

Figure 2 – Posterior anterior chest radiography: displacement of the cardiac axis to the left without tracheal deviation and the right border at the level of the spine.
Figure 3 – Transthoracic echocardiogram: (A) enlarged ventricles and elongated atria appearing as a “teardrop” shape in the parasternal cut. (B) lateralization of the 4-chamber apical cut.

Video 1 – URL: http://ijcscardiol.org/supplementary-material/2021/3404/2021-0007-video-1.mp4
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Discussion

The most accepted embryologic theory for the occurrence of pericardial agenesis is defective fusion of pleuropericardial membranes, with incomplete closure of the pleuropericardial cavity.  This abnormality is supposed to result from early atrophy of left common cardinal vein, also known as the left duct of Cuvier, leading to hypoperfusion in these membranes.

Pericardial agenesis may be classified into complete absence of the entire pericardium, absence of the right or left portion of the pericardium, or a partial, foramen-like defect.  Complete bilateral absence of the pericardium is the rarest presentation, accounting for 9% of the cases, whereas complete absence of the left pericardium is the most reported one.  This classification has prognostic implications, with incomplete defects being more associated with herniation of cardiac structures.  There are reports of three patients with partial absence of left pericardium with documented sudden death.

Other congenital malformations should always be investigated, since there is a reported 30% of association with interatrial septal defects, patent ductus arteriosus, tetralogy of Fallot, bicuspid aortic valve, valve stenosis or prolapse.

Complete absence of the pericardium is associated with hypermobility of cardiac structures and uncontrolled ventricular filling. This rare diagnosis is usually obtained in the postoperative context of thoracic surgical procedures or autopsy, given its benignancy and asymptomatic or oligosymptomatic presentation, with reports of atypical chest pain, dyspnea or tachypnea, which are manifestations of unknown origin, although they were probably related to significant dislocation of the heart and torsion of great vessels.  In the case reported here, the diagnosis was established during outpatient cardiological assessment due to symptoms of palpitations and dyspnea on moderate exertion.

The diagnosis of pericardial agenesis may be made through electrocardiography, chest radiography, echocardiography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging of the chest, the last of which is the most specific one.  The most typical findings on electrocardiography are right axis deviation, blockade of the right branch, bradycardia, and poor R progression in precordial leads, and, more rarely, changes in segments ST and T waves.  On chest radiography, the signal described as snoopy (displacement of the cardiac silhouette to the left, blurring of the right border of the heart, enlargement of its left border, and radiolucency between the left pulmonary artery and the aorta) was described in patients with complete pericardial agenesis.

Echocardiography is an essential tool to suggest the diagnosis, making it possible to differentiate between complete and partial pericardial agenesis.  However, it represents a technical challenge, due to hypermobility of cardiac structures and unusual echocardiographic windows.  Paradoxal interventricular septal motion, apparent increase in right ventricle size, lateral deviation of apical windows, and "tear-drop"-shaped chambers (enlarged ventricles and elongated atria) are possible findings that in complete pericardial agenesis, differently from partial agenesis, which is characterized by herniation of cardiac structures.
Magnetic resonance imaging or computed tomography of the chest are more specific tests, and should be performed in the case of a strongly suggestive diagnosis, the most recommended of which magnetic resonance imaging, which is considered the gold standard, because it provides a better definition of structures, enables the detection of microinfarctions, is not associated with radiation, and does not require contrast media.5,11 The performance of these tests during pregnancy is not exempt of risks to the fetus, since magnetic resonance imaging, considered the preferred method over chest tomography, may be associated with hearing damage, tissue heating, and cell migration defects.14

The findings that may be found in the main imaging methods in patients with complete and partial pericardial agenesis are shown in table 1.5

Although the diagnosis of complete agenesis is associated with benignancy,8 the patient reported here had a history of admission due to typical chest pain and syncope, with more specific complementary tests to assess coronary heart disease and cardiac arrhythmia within reference range.

| Table 1 – Imaging methods in complete and partial pericardial agenesis. | Complete Agenesis | Partial Agenesis |
|---|---|---|
| **Chest radiography** | | |
| Levoposition of the heart | + | +/- |
| Loss of the right cardiac border | + | +/- |
| Prominent left cardiac border | +/- | + |
| Interposition of the lung between the aorta and the main pulmonary artery | + | +/- |
| Interposition of the lung between the diaphragm and the heart | +/- | - |
| Prominent main pulmonary artery | + | +/- |
| **Echocardiography** | | |
| Unusual acoustic windows | + | +/- |
| Cardiac hypermobility | + | - |
| Swinging heart motion | + | - |
| "Tear drop" appearance | + | - |
| Regional left ventricular bulging | - | + |
| Dilated left atrial appendage | - | + |
| Paradoxal interventricular septal motion | + | +/- |
| Right ventricular dilatation | + | +/- |
| Tricuspid regurgitation | + | +/- |
| **Magnetic resonance imaging of the chest** | | |
| Unusual displacement of the heart | + | - |
| Absence of pericardium between tissue and fat | + | + |
| Interposition of the lung between the heart and the diaphragm | - | +/- |
| Interposition of the lung between the aorta and the main pulmonary artery | +/- | +/- |
| Cardiac wall notch | - | +/- |
| Cardiac herniation | - | +/- |
| Left lung hypoplasia | +/- | +/- |

Source: adapted from Centrola M, Longo M, Cremoseni G, Marconi M, Danzi GB.5 Int J Cardiovasc Sci. 2021; [online]. ahead print, PP 0-0 Galvão et al. Complete pericardial agenesis in a pregnant patient Case Report
There are no established recommendations for surgical intervention in patients with pericardial agenesis, but this strategy is more used in the partial symptomatic presentation of the disease, due to the greater risk of herniation of cardiac structures. Pericardioplasty represents the most used surgical technique in these patients.6

The physiology related to normal pregnancy is mainly characterized by progressive increase in plasma volume with stabilization up to the end of the third trimester, increased cardiac output from 30% to 50% of baseline, increased heart rate (nearly 12 beats per minute), and reduced systemic vascular resistance with increased prostacyclin levels. During childbirth, more hemodynamic changes are expected, such as increases in blood pressure and cardiac output; furthermore, the more significant these increases are, the stronger and the more frequent uterine contractions are, and the greater patient’s anxiety and pain are. The most critical phase occurs soon after birth, considering the significant increase in blood pressure and blood volume, related to the effects of placental detachment (increased vascular resistance, decompression of the inferior vena cava, and release of the volume that was present in the uterus).15

Considering that the main function of the pericardium is restricting cardiac volume, as well stabilizing the positioning of cardiac structures in the chest and producing chemicals that affect the tone of coronary arteries,16 pregnant women with pericardial agenesis are supposed to have higher risk of complications than other pregnant women, especially during childbirth and the immediate postpartum period, when there is a significant increase in cardiac volume and cardiovascular demand. Given the rarity of pericardial agenesis, there are no specific recommendations in the literature with regard to its prognosis in pregnancy.

The choice of the mode of delivery in patients with heart disease is still a controversial topic in the absence of severe heart failure, Eisenmenger’s syndrome, severe aortic stenosis, and ascending aorta dilation (> 45 mm), which are the formal recommendations of cesarean delivery, as well as specific obstetric indications.17 A study conducted in 28 countries, based on the Registry on Pregnancy and Cardiac Disease from 2008 to 2011, included 1321 pregnant women with heart disease and compared vaginal delivery and planned cesarean delivery. No significant differences were observed in maternal mortality, postpartum heart failure and hemorrhage, and Apgar scores, but birth weight and gestational age were lower in women who delivered by cesarean section.18

In the present case, an elective cesarean section was chosen after a meeting with a multidisciplinary team, when the risk and benefits of vaginal delivery were pondered, as well the possibility of evolving to emergency cesarean section in a patient without pericardium for the appropriate control of cardiac volume.

Female sterilization is part of a contraceptive strategy in patients at high cardiovascular risk and may be lead to great psychological impact, late contraceptive failure (especially in young women and when the procedure is performed concomitantly with cesarean section), and increased likelihood of an ectopic pregnancy. A levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device (IUD) and the Implanon subdermal implant are other options in patients with heart disease, and are even considered more effective than sterilization, although requiring additional care and additional costs.19 Considering the risks of a new pregnancy in the case reported here, tubal ligation was the first contraceptive method of choice; however, the patient refused sterilization, and the chosen contraceptive method was a levonorgestrel-releasing IUD.
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