Drug release study of the chitosan-based nanoparticles
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A B S T R A C T

Recently, multifunctional drug delivery systems (DDSs) have been designed to provide a comprehensive approach with multiple functionalities, including diagnostic imaging, targeted drug delivery, and controlled drug release. Chitosan-based drug nanoparticles (CSNPs) systems are employed as diagnostic imaging and delivering the drug to particular targeted sites in a regulated manner. Drug release is an important factor in ensuring high reproducibility, stability, quality control of CSNPs, and scientific-based for developing CSNPs. Several factors influence drug release from CSNPs, including composition, composition ratio, ingredient interactions, and preparation methods. Early, CSNPs were used for improving drug solubility, stability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacotherapeutics properties. Chitosan has been developed toward a multifunctional drug delivery system by exploring positively charged properties and modifiable functional groups. Various modifications to the polymer backbone, charge, or functional groups will undoubtedly affect the drug release from CSNPs. The drug release from CSNPs has a significant influence on its therapeutic actions. Our review's objective was to summarize and discuss the relationship between the modification in CSNPs as multifunctional delivery systems and drug release properties and kinetics of the drug release model. Kinetic models help describe the release rate, leading to increased efficiency, accuracy, the safety of the dose, optimizing the drug delivery device's design, evaluating the drug release rate, and improvement of patient compatibility. In conclusion, almost all CSNPs showed bi-phasic release, initial burst release drug in a particular time followed controlled manner release in achieving the expected release, stimuli external can be applied. CSNPs are a promising technique for multifunctional drug delivery systems.

1. Introduction

Nanomedicine is rapidly evolving toward multifunctional DDSs, and it can be used as a diagnostic tool, targeted delivery, and a controlled delivery system [1]. Nanomedicine has been engineered to be incredibly small, allowing them to travel more easily inside the human body while possessing structurally unique, chemical, electronic, magnetic, electrical, and biological properties [1, 2, 3]. Advantages of nanomedicine are in vivo long-circulating in vivo, improved drug bioavailability, breaking through biological barriers [4], enhancing deep-tissue penetration, and cancer cell uptake. Furthermore, active targeting and the effects of passive targeting enable polymeric nanoparticles (PNPs) to specifically target cancer cells by detecting the expression of surface receptors on tumours [4, 5, 6, 7].

Throughout the treatment cycle, the primary aim of drug therapy for any disease is to obtain and sustain the drug's optimal therapeutic concentration at the site of action. PNP's can control the release of drugs over a long period, thus increasing the therapeutic index of pharmacology activity of agents [8]. PNP's enhanced bioavailability and less adverse effects result in favorable anticancer outcomes as compared to free medicines [8,9].

Characterization of NPs is critical to ensure the desired behaviour in vitro and in vivo [10]. The in vitro release rate and mechanism profile are strongly influenced by the structure, composition, composition ratio, and interaction between drug and polymer [11]. This information accommodates a scientific and predictive approach to the design and development of DDSs. In vitro release studies are generally indirect measures of drug availability in the early stages of product development, quality control to support batch release clinically and biologically effective,
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assessment of formulation factors, and manufacturing methods that affect bioavailability [12].

Chitosan (CS) is a natural, hydrophilic, positively charged polymer with easy fabrication, good biocompatibility, and similarity of flexibility to natural tissue [2,6,11,13]. Drug release from CSNPs is governed by polymer swelling, adsorbed drugs, drug diffusion, polymer erosion or degradation, and a combination of erosion and degradation [14,15].

CSNPs have been extensively used for bioactive compounds because of their high physicochemical stability, ability to enhance the bioavailability, non-toxicity, and potential targeted [16]. CSNPs are used as a diagnostic tool, targeted drug delivery, and a controlled delivery system [17,18]. CS modifications may be carried out using functional groups by various physical and chemical processes like grafting, crosslinking, complexation, and mixing with polymers [19]. Modification or adding other substances to the formulation, such as crosslinker, copolymer, conjugates, or drug, is needed to reach multifunction DDSs. The encapsulated drug in CSNPs is typically formed through hydrogen bonds, Van der Waals interactions including hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions, or monomer polymerization. This modification will have consequences in changing CSNPs properties, specialty drug release. CSNPs have shown great potential with extensive use in active substance delivery, diagnostics, and other sectoral uses [20], including personal medicine [21]. These advances raise questions about the safety of NPs. Nanotoxicological studies are needed to produce safe NPs and a comprehensive toxicity study of existing NPs [22]. The availability of safe and non-toxic polymers or materials attracts them, due to its biodegradable and biocompatible qualities. Cs was determined to be safe and non-toxic polymers or materials attracts them, due to its biodegradable and biocompatible qualities. Cs was determined to be safe and non-toxic and able to elicited an immune response drug release, including drug composition (drug, polymer, and adjuvants) and preparation method. The mechanism by which a drug pulls away from its carrier is summarized below (Figure 2). All factors will give different properties to the final NPs product, namely swelling ability, matrix density, and degradation properties. The drug release from PNPs is affected by swelling of polymers, diffusion of adsorbed materials, diffusion of the drug through a polymer matrix, polymer erosion or degradation, and a combination of erosion and degradation [30, 31, 32].

An essential step in bringing benefits to PNPs is the characterization of their complicated release phase since it is distant from conventional DDSs [33].

Additionally, while generating NPs, it is necessary to identify and comprehend the unique processes and complications involved in the release process and their differentiation and comprehension of their respective characteristics [34]. Controlled release of a drug from a carrier may be achieved by:

2.1. Drug release from polymeric nanoparticles

The therapeutic window is well-defined as the region between the minimum toxic concentration (MTC) and minimum effective concentration (MEC) [27]. A single big dosage of a medicine has hazardous adverse effects and quickly drops below the MEC (Figure 1). If there is more excellent stability in the plasma’s drug levels, multiple administration of a particular formulation can help; however, noncompliance can be a problem if patients are dosed intermittently. Long-term release of zero-order drugs is being pursued in practice. However, excessive release can reduce the therapeutic efficacy, resulting in delayed drug delivery [28]. Hence, pulsatile or stimulus-responsive drug administration is investigated [27,29].

Several factors influence drug release, including drug composition (drug, polymer, and adjuvants) and preparation method. The mechanism by which a drug pulls away from its carrier is summarized below (Figure 2).

2.1.1. Diffusion-controlled release

The most applicable mechanism for drug release is the diffusion control mechanism. The diffusion mechanism occurs when the drug or active substance passes through the polymer NPs matrix, which acts as a controlled release device. The rate of drug release decreases when the
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**Figure 1.** Multiple dosing results in plasma drug concentration profiles (green line) and zero-order release (orange line). Two minimum toxic concentration (MTC) levels form the range, and the MEC displays the therapeutic windows.
active agent has a longer mileage [27,34,35]. The substance moves through the interior of the polymer matrix towards the release medium. The diffusion barrier is formed by polymer chains, which restrict the drug from moving. Swelling or erosion may also be associated with diffusion. Mathematically, diffusion is described by Fick’s Law of Diffusion [15].

Some assumptions must be made to obtain Fick’s law parameters, such as maintaining a pseudo-steady state during the release process, the diameter of the drug particles less than the average distance of the diffusion of the drug through the polymer matrix, and the media around the NPs in sink conditions [36,37]. The drug molecules will be distributed throughout the polymer matrix because no membrane acts as a diffusion barrier in a matrix-type system. This system will show a high initial release rate, followed by a decreased release rate related to the diffusion distance of the drug molecule with the solution medium [4,27,38]. The relationship between the release rate increases and the diffusion coefficient is Directly proportional, as the release rate increases as the diffusion coefficient increases [34].

An osmotic-controlled release is found in the PNPs with a semi-permeable membrane, which relies on water and polymer chains relaxing. Removing the drugs from the drug-loaded core will allow water to flow into the carrier (with a high drug concentration). Maintenance of constant concentration gradient, the zero-order release profile is reached [4,27,38]. The relationship between the release rate increases and the diffusion coefficient is Directly proportional, as the release rate increases as the diffusion coefficient increases [34].

An osmotic-controlled release is found in the PNPs with a semi-permeable membrane, which relies on water and polymer chains relaxing. Removing the drugs from the drug-loaded core will allow water to flow into the carrier (with a high drug concentration). Maintenance of constant concentration gradient, the zero-order release profile is reached [4,27,38]. The relationship between the release rate increases and the diffusion coefficient is Directly proportional, as the release rate increases as the diffusion coefficient increases [34].

An osmotic-controlled release is found in the PNPs with a semi-permeable membrane, which relies on water and polymer chains relaxing. Removing the drugs from the drug-loaded core will allow water to flow into the carrier (with a high drug concentration). Maintenance of constant concentration gradient, the zero-order release profile is reached [4,27,38]. The relationship between the release rate increases and the diffusion coefficient is Directly proportional, as the release rate increases as the diffusion coefficient increases [34].

A swelling-controlled release is found in the PNPs with a semi-permeable membrane, which relies on water and polymer chains relaxing. Removing the drugs from the drug-loaded core will allow water to flow into the carrier (with a high drug concentration). Maintenance of constant concentration gradient, the zero-order release profile is reached [4,27,38]. The relationship between the release rate increases and the diffusion coefficient is Directly proportional, as the release rate increases as the diffusion coefficient increases [34].

2.1.2. The swelling-controlled release

The polymer chains break down when the polymer interacts with the surrounding media, releasing the medication from the polymer matrix. Before the polymer degrades, it swells [40]. Diffusion is affected by hydrophilicity, degree of swelling, and density of polymer chains [15,41]. Swelling of the polymer matrix occurs by a non-Fickian diffusion process, where the active substance is delivered concurrently via erosion and diffusion. The relaxation constant affects the matrix swelling device with slab, spherical and cylindrical geometries. The more significant the value of the relaxation constant, the slower the drug is released from the matrix. Still, the diffusion constant does not affect them. The Weibull model seems most applicable to describe the release process. The Weibull model appears to be flexible enough to account for the influence of system characteristics on the release process and is more suitable for determining the drug release profile of swellable PNPs in vivo [42].

2.1.3. Erosion and degradation-controlled release

Polymer erosion involves swelling, diffusion, and dissolution. Erosion is divided into (a) Homogeneous erosion occurs when polymers erode uniformly throughout the matrix, (b) Heterogeneous erosion occurs when polymers erode from the surface to the inner core. Polymer breakdown can be triggered by the surrounding media or by the presence of enzymes and the pH of the media, the content of the polymer, and water absorption. The drug release is regulated by the type of polymer, internal bonding, adjuvant, and the shape and size of the NPs [15]. Because of the distance of water diffusion and the domain size of crystallization, polymer breakdown is considerably accelerated in small-scale NPs [27,43]. The polymer may show no normal surface erosion but shows signs of mass degradation [27,44]. Biodegradable polymeric systems are preferred because the breakdown results of compounds can be safely removed from the body without harming the body in the long run [45]. The pharmaceutical drug-polymer conjugates are released by hydrolytic or enzymatic cleavage at target tissues. The cleavage rate governs the kinetics of drug release [27].

2.1.4. Stimuli-controlled release

Drug release can use internal or external stimuli. Internal stimuli are directed directly at diseased tissue and have been shown to increase the selectivity of drug action. This requires the incorporation of appropriate substances into PNPs that are activated by certain endogenous stimuli. PNPs offer tumour-targeting selectivity and efficiency, as is the tumour microenvironment (e.g. pH and redox) [27]. External stimuli are applied through external factors such as temperature, electromagnetic and magnetic fields, or ultrasonic waves. This strategy is advantageous in terms of delivering drugs exactly to the destination and minimizing side effects [46,47].
2.2. In vitro release methods for nanoparticles

The in vitro release of the NPs must take pH and agitation into account. The administration of NPs depends on the target location [48, 49, 50]. During in vitro release studies, agitation is required to keep the dosage forms from aggregating. The release media is influenced by the solubility, stability of the drug, the test’s sensitivity, and the test procedure. The in vitro method is also used in sampling and buffer replacement techniques [12,45,51]. To ensure the quality of the CSNPs, in vitro release analysis is very important, but currently, no compendial standards or regulations apply. Direct comparisons between different systems are difficult due to the variety of testing methods [12,45,51]. Drug release from NPs can be assessed using:

a. Sample and Separate (SS)

SS is the simplest, practical, popular method for determining the drug release of NPs. The NPs containing the drug is suspended in a container with a fixed amount of release medium, then analyzed accordingly (Figure 3). Various factors such as vessel size, agitating, sample separation methods, and sampling volume may be adjusted. The amount of media needed for the release study determines the type of container used [12,52].

b. Continuous Flow (CF)

A fluid cell containing the sample, a pump, and a water bath is a continuous-flow device in closed or open-end configurations (Figure 4). The media constantly circulates through the column containing the NPs in a closed system, then the amount of drug re-released is analyzed accordingly [52].

The literature on the technique of using the CF method in nanoparticulate dosage forms is still rare. The flow rate is determined by the type of pump and filter used. The CF approach replaces the media using closed-loop and open systems. Low flow rates indicate slow or incomplete release from NPs [12].

c. Dialysis Method (DM)

The DM is the most widely used method for determining drug release from NPs dosage forms [29]. The dosage forms are physically separated, allowing for convenient sampling at regular intervals. In the literature, differences in DM are found in arrangement, container size, and molecular weight (MW) cut-off [12].

The NPs in an inverted dialysis setup are swirled to reduce the unstirred water layer in the outer compartment. The interior compartment is sampled for drug release testing (Figure 5). Adjoining dialysis arrangement, where the dialysis membrane separates the donor and recipient cells, sampling is carried out from the recipient cell and the vertical Franz diffusion cell, containing the same medium stirred with a magnetic stirrer [12].

2.3. Modeling drug release

Drug release from NPs plays an essential role in determining its pharmacological effects [42]. The drug release kinetics of NPs is an important feature of the formulation and as a quality control one. In vitro release kinetics is also a prerequisite to look for in vitro-in vivo (IVIVC) correlations, which will be an illustration of the performance of in vivo formulations [53]. Drug release data under simulated physiological conditions is essential in preclinical development, and will serve as the basis for evaluation of drug formulations and regulatory approvals. Prediction of in vivo drug release through in vitro methods for nanoformulations is becoming widely developed [54]. Mathematical models have many advantages, including predicting drug release mechanisms, assisting in formulation development, and building controlled drug release systems [12]. The dosage forms of NPs are complicated, and the assessment of drug release is complex. Therefore, the use of in vitro drug release data to predict and characterize drug substances’ in vivo performance can be considered the rational development of controlled release formulations [42,55,56].

The models are The Korsmeyer-Peppas model, Higuchi Model, First-order Release Kinetics Model, Zero-order Release Kinetics Model, Weibull Release Model, Two-film Theory Mathematical Model [57].

3. Chitosan-based nanoparticles

3.1. Chitosan

CS is a widely available, sustainable biomaterial for health care product development and tissue engineering [58,59]. CS has been proven biodegradable, bio-compatible, biorenewable, non-toxic, non-allergenic, bioadhesive, does not contain anti-genic properties and is environmentally friendly [41,58,60]. CS has antibacterial, anti-tumor properties and
was classified as GRAS (generally recognized as safe) by the FDA in 2001.

The biodegradation profile will affect the drug release so that it becomes the basis for the selection of natural polymers. Drug release from natural polymers takes place relatively quickly from NPs because they decompose within a few hours. In contrast, synthetic polymers provide prolonged drug release because they can withstand degradation in the body for long periods of time, days or even weeks. CS consists of covalently linked monosaccharide units, in the manufacture of NPs providing stability or adequacy of functional groups and ease of functionalization.

CS is a naturally positively charged polysaccharide (polycation polymer), has a good affinity for negatively charged bioactive molecules, such as antigens, antibodies, enzymes, cytokines, and polyanionic polymers. CS can interact with the blood coagulation process (e.g., platelets, red blood cells, coagulation factors), accelerating hemostasis, increasing monocyte/macrophage migration, and stimulating collagen synthesis. CS with a regulated degree of deacetylation strongly affects cell-polymer interaction on cell death by breaking the cell membrane. CS prevents tumour cell growth by proliferating cytolytic T lymphocytes because of its anti-tumour effect and bone regeneration approach. The molecular structure of CS is similar to that of collagen and can be applied to mimic the extracellular matrix.

CS has three different functional groups: primary alcohol, secondary alcohol, and –NH₂ groups, which inhibit the growth of various bacteria.
and fungi. Functional groups are helpful in biosensors, separation membranes, tissue engineering, and wastewater treatment. Through ion exchange and complex reactions, they can adsorb various metal ions through their amino group chelation sites [68]. Changes in pH, concentration, MW, degree of crosslinking, CS polymerization, and polydispersity index (PDI) will determine the overall characteristics of CS polymers [14,69]. CS can be fabricated into various nano-morphologies (nanofilms, nanofibers, NPs, nanocapsules, nanomembranes, nano-sponges, nanoscaffolds, and hydrogels) [60,70]. CS is extensively researched in the preparation of NPs drug delivery and release control. CS can interact with negatively charged polymers, macromolecules, and certain in-organic polyanions. Synthetic polymers normally advantage from high but adaptable mechanical properties, while they often suffer from poor biocompatibility and/or biodegradability [19].

CS is generally amorphous which is almost insoluble in water due to strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds between polymer chains. However, CS can dissolve in aqueous solution when the pH is below 6, due to protonation of the amino groups. Increasing the solubility in alkaline solutions can be done by several chemical modifications, including the attachment of the carboxymethyl group to the CS structure and retaining its cationic structure [19]. CS is a natural sugar, and is highly bioactive, showing it as a cell-compatible drug carrier [71].

### 3.2. Chitosan nanoparticles

CSNPs are used for developing the stimuli-responsive NPs to carry chemo drugs to deliver specifically at cancer locations without causing any toxicity to normal cells [72]. Because of excellent biocompatibility, durability, low toxicity, simple preparation methods, and versatility of administration routes, CSNPs give several benefits [73]. Due to the activation of the RES, CSNPs might be extremely unstable in the systemic circulation [74].

Drug encapsulation is a critical area of biomedicine because it provides numerous benefits such as increased drug stability, distribution, activity, and bioactivity expansion by preventing pharmaceuticals from premature degradation, all of which are linked with low side effects [75]. CSNPs inhibit bacterial growth and antibacterial function and inhibit bacterial uptake by the stomach and intestines [76].

Many research shows that CSNPs and their derivatives have broad-spectrum efficacy in biomedical purposes, enhancing solubility, stability, and bioavailability for the hydro-phobic drug. The other using for a diagnostic tool, targeted drug delivery, and a controlled delivery system, for example:

1) Improve the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics profile.

PEGylated CSNPs are contributing long-circulating and targeted accumulation of rosuvastatin [18]. Compared to CSNPs, PEGylated decreased macrophage identification of the NPs, allowing them to circulate continuously in the blood for a more extended period [42]. PEGylated is an ideal graft forming polymer due to its solubility in water and organic solvents, low toxicity, high biocompatibility, and biodegradability [79]. The novel amphiphilic CSNPs may serve as effective carriers for hydrophobic medicines used in tissue engineering [58].

2) Diagnosis of cancer cells/photoimaging.

CSNPs are used as a photodiagnostic agent for cancer cells, as a transporter of 5-aminoluvanonic acid inside the cell and over the lipophilic obstacle, to inhibit bacterial absorption of 5-ALA, and as a source of PpIX [78].

3) Overcome biological barrier.

CSNPs form ionic connections with endothelial cells, allowing medicines to penetrate the BBB through adsorptive transcytosis [77].

4) Across intestinal epithelial cell layer

Amphiphilic polymers such as n-octyl-n-arginine-CS, arginine-modified CS (CS–N-Arg), or amphiphilic CS derivatives with arginine may facilitate drug transport through the intestinal epithelial cell layer [80].

5) Dual-delivery system

A dual-delivery method for biodegradable bone grafts constructed by inserting CS microspheres in calcium sulfate is capable of effectively loading and releasing antibiotics and growth agents concurrently [58].

6) Targeting

*In vitro* cytotoxicity and *in vivo* anticancer effect of Doxorubicin Transferrin palmitoyl Glycol CS (DOX-TF-PGCS) demonstrated that DOX-HGC could avoid the toxicity of the free drug after systemic administration [18].

7) Control release

CSNPs produced in this study could be used for sustain release with amiodarone and may serve as a paradigm for regulated administration of a variety of antiarrhythmic medications [81]. Numerous methods have been proposed to enhance CS characteristics and expand its application range, including crosslinking, graft copolymerization, complexation, chemical modification, and blending [19].

#### 3.2.1. Preparing methods

CSNPs can divide into three groups based on their preparation methods (Figure 6) [82]: (a) Self-assembled, hydrophobic chains are spontaneously collected to form reservoirs for drugs that dissolve in aqueous phases, while hydrophilic chains act as shells around the nucleus affected by the aqueous phase [83]; (b) Ionic crosslinked, electrostatic interaction is used to create ionic crosslinked NPs by using the cationic property of CS (the backbone’s amino groups) interact with a polyamionic crosslinker such as tripolyphosphate (TPP), CaCl₂, Na₂SO₄ [84]. The physical characteristics of the NPs (surface size and charge) are easily adapted to the processing parameters of the ionic crosslinking, which impacts the encapsulation efficiency and drug release [85]. (c) Poly-electrolyte complexes, If CS is mixed with negatively charged poly-electrolyte in a solution and the polymer chain interact to form a CSNPs polyelectrolyte complex. The manufacturing procedure is simple and does not use toxic reagents. When CS is mixed with a negatively charged polyelectrolyte in solution, the polymer chains interact with each other to form a strong but reversible electrostatic network without the use of crosslinking [86].

#### 3.2.2. Chemical modifications

The effectiveness of native CS transfection is low due to *in vivo* in-stabilities and insufficient cellular release. Developing effective delivery systems needed chemical modifications [86]. First, NPs based on CS derivatives can be chemically modified because of hydroxyl, acetamido, and amine functional groups [87,88]. Second, CS polymer conjugates/complexes, conjugation, or the addition of functional polymers in CS can mask CS weakness and achieve more efficient transfection [86]. Modified CS is used to modulate the carrier’s functionality to adjust delivery properties to those required for the desired application [15].

CS contains three types of reactive functional groups, an amino group on the C-2 position for each deacetylated unit and primary and secondary hydroxyl groups at the C-6 and C-3 positions, respectively, for each repeating unit. CS has been modified mainly to improve solubility and therefore widen its applications. The most investigated and promising CS derivatives are quaternized alkyl CS (e.g., trimethyl CS), N-alkyl and N-benzyl CS, N-acetyl CS (acetyl, propionyl, butyryl, hexanoyl, octanoyl,
lauroyl, palmitoyl, benzoyl), N-carboxyalkyl, and aryl CS (e.g., N-carboxymethyl and N-carboxybenzyl CS), O-carboxyalkyl (e.g., O-carboxymethyl CS) and N-carboxyacyl- CS (derived from anhydrides such as maleic, succinic, glutaric, phthalic anhydride), phosphorylated CS and thiolated CS (CS-thioglycolic acid, CS-2-iminothiolane) \[63,77\].

CS derivatives are semi-synthetic aminopolysaccharides with unique properties, various marvellous functionalities, and a wide range of applications in research and industrial areas [89].

3.2.3. Key factors in CSNPs development

1) Crystallinity

Since CS is a heterogeneous polymer consisting of D-glucosamine and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine units, its properties depend on its structure and composition [90]. Partial alignment of the polymer molecular chains, which affects the physical and chemical properties of the polymer. Polymers that have high crystallinity are high melting, low flexibility, and low solvent penetration. Polymer crystallinity refers to the proportion of the crystalline region in the polymer sample to the amorphous region [14,91]. Water molecules can pass across amorphous areas, which are permeable. The monomers’ composition regulates the crystallinity and affects flexibility, swelling, solubility, and degradation rates. When low MW polymers are used, a high crystalline degree leads to slower drug release conditions. In high MW, the effect on drug release is reduced [92,93].

The quantification of crystallinity is the crystallinity index (CI) calculated from the connection between the distinctive X-ray diffraction peaks. Quantitative CI is important because these properties affect swelling, porous, hydration, and absorption. According to the source and chain arrangement, CS exists in three polymorphic phases with varying degrees of crystallinity (α, β, and Y). CS is a semi-crystalline polymer that is commercially available, while CI is a function of DD [69].

The solubility of CS in aqueous solution and its capacity to form complexes depend mainly on the degree of deacetylation and crystallinity [68]. The less deacetylated the sample, the higher the crystallinity. CS chain packaging and crystallinity are important parameters in the utilization in various fields [90].

The method used to synthesize CS from chitin determines its crystallinity; solid CS synthesized from chitin has a more amorphous structure than CS synthesized using the suspension method [91]. Reducing the MW and decreasing crystallinity of CS by random deacetylation generally increases its solubility in dilute acids and allows processing of its solution into various bead shapes [42].

2) Glass Transition (Tg)

Polymers, copolymers, biopolymers, and polymer-based composites all have a glass transition temperature. Tg drops in some circumstances when the interaction between the polymer and the nanofiller develops free surfaces and expands in size due to the attractive interactions formed by the wetted interface [94]. Their glass transition temperature influences the polymer physicochemical properties of the polymer [14,95].

Tg was determining if the amorphous region is in “glasslike” or “rubberlike” condition. The polymer is in a “glasslike” condition if the state is below Tg and is affected by low flexibility and low diffusion rate. The polymer is in a “rubberlike” condition if the state is above Tg [14,95].

This condition will allow for faster water and drug molecule mass transfer throughout the matrix. Developing effective PNPs needs a balance between crystalline and amorphous states [14,96,97]. If the transition between 140 and 150 degrees Celsius is a β relaxation transition, the degree of deacetylation, which is proportional to the quantity of side group (acetamino or amino group), will effect this transition. If the transition between 140 and 150 °C is part of the α relaxation, the degree of deacetylation has no effect on Tg, since the relaxation simply refers to the motion of segments in the main chain [98].

The glass transition temperatures of the nanocomposite matrices are utilized to investigate the effect of nanofillers on their thermal characteristics. Thermal property variations as a function of loading are also utilized to infer changes in the molecular packing of polymer chains due to the polymer-nanofiller interface [99]. Incorporating NPs disrupts the CS chains, resulting in a decrease in the system’s free volume. As a result, the polymer’s properties, such as free volume and chain conformation, deviated from their bulk behaviour [100].

3) Molecular Weight

CS classification can be divided into oligochitosan (16 kDa), low MW-CS (LMW) (>16 kDa–190 kDa), medium MW-CS (MMW) (>190 kDa–300 kDa), and high MW-CS (HMW) (>300 kDa). This division is not yet universal [63]. MW affects CS bioactivity. The lower the MW indicates the more significant bioactivity. The degree of deacetylation

---

Figure 6. Preparation methods and chemical modifications of CS.
and MW are the main parameters affecting wound healing efficiency and antimicrobial properties [19].

The lower the MW the higher the solubility, CS with MW below 9 kDa shows much better solubility in water. CS above 30 kDa still require acid to dissolve in water. Acetic acid most popular, many acid can also dissolve CS in water, except for phosphoric acid [101].

MW of degraded polymer affects the release and Cmax of the drug in plasma. Low MW CS polymer is more soluble, less viscous, less crystalline, degrades faster. Low MW polymers have high elasticity, and the matrix is more plastic, causing the pore size to increase.

Low MW polymers produce smaller NPs, resulting in altered drug release kinetics, longer exposure to the bloodstream, reduced accumulation in the liver and spleen, and more efficient drug delivery [19]. Cellular toxicity is dependent on the concentration and MW of CSNPs [77], meaning lower toxicity is associated with less MW and vice versa.

The higher weight CS molecules are broken down and completely to a lower level. Carbon (44.11%), Nitrogen (7.97%), and Hydrogen (6.84%) are the main constituents of CS [26].

4) Degree of deacetylation (DD)

DD of CS is determined by the ratio between the N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and D-glucosamine units [60]. The CS structure is exclusive because the primary amine is at the C-2 position of the glucosamine residue, increasing its functionalization [26]. DD indicates the concentration of the amino group in the molecule and the protonation level of the -NH2 functional group [101]. The degree of deacetylation determines the properties of CS, namely hydrophobicity, solubility, and toxicity. The manufacturing conditions used to make CS have an effect on its DD and MW. CS in the market has a DD of between 70% and 85% [77], soluble in acidic medium but insoluble at neutral medium.

The degree of deacetylation to form different assemblies and vesicles [102]. The lower DD promotes the absorption function of the molar compounds [26]. DD CS regulates its physicochemical features. Although medium/high MW CS with acetylation degree less than 40% will tend to agglomerate, CS with acetylation ranging from 40% to 60% are soluble even at physiological pH [26].

A higher DD indicates a stronger biologic effect, to realize the biological effect of CS and water solubility [101].

The polycationic amino groups give CS mucadhesive properties, prolonging the time spent at the target region and thereby boosting membrane absorption [77].

The critical step in production is that the acetamide group is converted to an amino group during deacetylation of the C-2 position of chitin (-NH2). DD is an important parameter affecting the structural and functional properties of polymers and the specific materials that follow them [103].

5) Polarity

CS, a naturally occurring linear copolymer of β-(1→4)-2-acetamido-D-glucose and β- (1→4)-2-amino-D-glucose processed by partial deacetylation of chitin [104,105]. CS is a compound that combines electrostatic stabilization due to its positive charge and steric stabilization [100]. Due to the protonation of amino groups, its hydrophilic character enables high solubility in acidic conditions. The existence of a surface charge and the potential of altering the molecule using electrostatically charged chemicals stimulate the usage of CS [106].

To develop effective DDS polymers, factors affecting solubility such as chemical properties, structure, and degree of crystallinity must be considered [41].

Polymolecularity generally increases with MW and branched structure, leading to an increase in hydrophilicity. Hydrophobic drug release is controlled by surface erosion of polymers; The ratio of hydrophobic to hydrophilic qualities in the polymer backbone determines its breakdown rate. Copolymers with hydrophobic and hydrophilic moieties often provide more predictable physical properties such as drug release rates [35, 107].

The solubility and polycationic characteristics of CS are essential for the production of further derivatives. They are typically strong bases, with a pKa of 6.3 for the main amino group. As a result, these bio-polymers are soluble in diluted acidic solutions with a pH of 6. H⁺ ions in medium protonate the -NH2 group, forming (NH3)+ at low pH values. As a consequence of this transformation, the CS structure was converted into a polycationic polymer. When the pH reaches 6.0, deprotonation occurs, rendering CS insoluble [35].

Significant research has been carried out to improve polymer membranes’ hydrophilic and anti-fouling properties, such as modification with other polymers through mixing the polymer with a third compound [108].

6) Size

Determination of particle size and morphology are two important parameters in nanotechnology [109]. Sreekumar et al. evaluated the effect of several factors, namely the chitosans degree of acetylation and the degree of polymerisation, degree of space occupancy, polymer concentration, and degree of crosslinking with TPP (NH2/PO4 molar ratio) on the ability of chitosans to form substances by ionic crosslinking and the effect of such parameters on the average hydrodynamic diameter of the particles formed [103].

As the amount of TPP increased, so did the number of TPP molecules available to attach to the free amino groups in chitosan. The anion may have been introduced to the nanoparticle during creation to promote cross-linking between chitosan chains, which would account for the decrease in CSNPs size as TPP increased. Internal cross-linking improves the strength of the chitosan chains inside the particle, further condensing and shrinking it. Cross-linking decreases the amount of available primary amino groups on CS, hence preventing nanoparticles from self-aggregating. This is consistent with the fact that the nanoparticles are smaller and have a lower PDI value. This interaction has been defined in terms of polymeric micelles, which helps to understand the chitosan polymer and cross-linker dynamics in our system [110].

The pH of chitosan used also favored the formation of smaller-sized nanoparticles. The pH of the CS utilized encouraged the creation of nanoparticles with a smaller diameter. Due to a lesser degree of amine protonation, chitosan chains are more constricted at pH 5 than in more acidic settings. Whenever cross-linked with TPP, a highly compressed CS chain produces particles that are much denser. However, adding TPP reduces the pH of the CNP solution, resulting in increased protonation of amine groups. Protonation may cause the ionic bonds between the chitosan and the TPP in CSNPs to break down, resulting in agglomeration of the nanoparticles. Centrifugation resulted in a reduction in the size of CSNPs [110].

NPs size influences particle biodistribution, excretion and medication delivery. Small molecule (5nm) NP is readily removed by the kidneys, resulting in slower blood circulation. The liver and spleen ultimately remove larger particles. Degradation rate impacts particle dispersion [111].

3.3. Surface properties of CSNPs

Several strategies have been established for surface engineering (modification, functionalization, and grafting) of various NPs [112]. The potential of CS as a cationic polymer provides fascinating properties regarding interactions with oppositely charged materials, especially mucosal surfaces (via sugar groups such as sialic acid) and nucleic acids [86]. Increasing the content of amine groups with the possibility of chemical modification will increase the selectivity and adsorption capacity [71].
Strategies for developing for surface engineering CSNPs.

1. CSNPs have polycanionic NPs that interact negatively with the cell membrane and enhancing drug absorption. They are not ideal for systemic delivery, however, since they bind to proteins and cells in the blood and are quickly opsonized and removed by the renal system, resulting in embolism. CSNPs samples were formed through the cross-linking of chitosan chains via amino group ionic interactions. The fraction of free primary amino groups remaining in the CSNPs [110]. The charge on the PNP surface is important, which can affect its penetration. Positively charged NPs and negatively charged cell membranes interact electrostatically, will direct the internalization of endocytotic NPs. Positively charged particles also showed higher macrophage uptake and clearance compared to neutral surface charge NPs, and showed higher toxicity than anionic and neutral NPs. Charged particles can also have a negative effect on the integrity of the BBB. The NP charge also affects protein corona formation, which also affects receptor binding. The zeta potential involves determining the surface charge [113].

The surface of CS-derived NPs usually has a positive charge [26]. The polycationic CS molecule has a strong interaction with the surface of microbial cells, causing a gradual shrinking of the cell membrane and ultimately cell death. Similarly, the bactericidal activity of CS is ascribed to electrostatic interactions between the (NH3)+ CS groups and the phosphoryl groups of the cell membrane component phospholipid. Polycationicity of CS interacts with anionic surface antigen components (lipopolysaccharides and proteins) of microorganisms, contributing to intracellular response: changes in the permeability barrier. It also inhibits bacterial development by blocking nutrient access into the cell and binding to DNA, preventing protein and RNA production [60].

Using a charged polysaccharide derivative enabled the scientists to modify the surface charge of the nanocapsules and improve their stability. Acute oral toxicity studies demonstrated the capsules were non-toxic nanotransporters, opening the door for biological applications of CSNPs [106].

2. Surface roughness: NPs with a rougher surface have a greater concentration and interact with more proteins following intravenous injection (IV) [78].

CSNPs can be found either in nanocapsules or nanosphere (Figure 7). Drug release usually occurs when the polymer degrades and diffuses [114].

Polymers can be used to engineer the pore surface chemistry as a place for the adsorption of NPs. Porosity can be varied pore size, ratio, and shape. The polymer material is crosslinked, which will promote better stability in various conditions such as extreme pH or temperature [108]. PNPs may have a drug encapsulated within or surface-adsorbed on their polymeric core [109]. The hydrophilic, hydrophobic arrangement of the polymer interface will affect the interaction with the surrounding fluid, especially in wettability. Organic and inorganic nanomaterials will give a high difference for pore-forming [115]. NPs properties affect the rate of releases such as porous, porosity, surface area, and biodegradation rate. The drug is entrapped through the surface of the matrix or is scattered within it. Because of its large surface area, a porous stationary phase would be an excellent stationary phase for analyte molecule adsorption. The research indicates that tiny molecules may have a larger initial burst release rate owing to their trapping capacity or surface absorption [33].

3. Small substances and proteins such as transferrin albumin antibodies are used as active targeting moieties to specifically target tumor tissue [78].

4. A variety of natural and synthetic polymers, including as PEG, PEI, polyglycerols, hydrophilic polyacrylates, CS, and dextran, are stealth-inducing molecules (prolonging the drug plasma half-life and improving the accumulation of these NPs in the target tissue) [78].

Figure 7. Type of biodegradable NPs.
Due to the high instability of CSNPs in the systemic circulation as a result of RES activation, the NP surface is often modified with polyethylene glycol (PEG), a hydrophilic polymer molecule that has been shown in vitro and in vivo to be non-toxic to brain cells. By inhibiting NCs from interacting with cellular or serum proteins, PEG may help minimize cytotoxicity and macrophage uptake. Finally, since the CS structure has a low solubility and permeability at physiological pH, functionalizing it helps reduce systemic adverse effects while increasing drug loading and cellular uptake and managing drug release [77].

The NPs surface chemistry may be further complicated by controlled ligand exchange. One example of ligand exchange is to change the NPs surface from hydrophobic to hydrophilic. NPs synthesized in nonaqueous media covered with long-chain ligands (such as trioctylphospine oxide, oleic acid, and oleylamine) are hydrophobic.

5. Label/drug binding scaffolds provides drug binding sites, light sensitizers, and imaging molecules [78].

3.4. Biocompatibility

CSNPs have large surface area, zeta potential and provides superior activity [116]. The precise and unique characteristic feature of CS such as biodegradability, biocompatibility, very low-toxicity and non-immunogenicity make this a good vehicle for drug administration [117]. Chitosan is highly biocompatible; it does not lead to allergic reactions or rejection and is degraded to nontoxic amino sugars in tissues. Chitosan nanoparticle is a drug carrier with the some advantage of slow and controlled drug release, which improves drug solubility and stability, efficacy and reduces toxicity. Nanoparticles are generally made up of biocompatible polymers, to reduce their rapid clearance from circulation by the reticuloendothelial system [118].

4. Drug release from CSNPs

The drug release from CSNPs has a significant influence on its therapeutic actions.

Different shapes and sizes of CSNPs impact releases of the drug due to their physicochemical properties. The ability to absorb water or the rate and rate of degradation, chemical composition, MW, solubility, and crystallinity of the materials that make up the NPs, will affect the release of the NPs. Even drug-drug or drug-polymer interactions appear to significantly affect drug release from the delivery system [30]. CSNPs are used to regulate release, increase the bioavailability of degraded substances and enhance absorption of hydrophilic drugs at target sites [119]. The process of making CSNPs can be done by crosslinking with anions, precipitation, complex coacervation, modified emulsification, ionotropic glassing, precipitation-chemical glutaraldehyde crosslinking, and thermal crosslinking. The choice of manufacturing method depends on the requirements of the particle size, thermal and chemical stability of the drug molecule, reproduction of the kinetic release profile, stability, and residual toxicity of the final product [120].

The release of the drug from the polymer can be regulated by one of the following mechanisms: (a) The surface of the polymer matrix is eroded, (b) the breaking of polymer bonds at the surface or in the bulk of the matrix, or (c) Diffusion of the loaded drug. In many cases, a mixture of the three procedures can be used to release the drug [51].

The release of the drug from CSNPs is also influenced by pH due to the solubility of CS. CS derivatives can design the release of the drug in accordance with the expected pharmacokinetic profile of the drug [15].

To see the effect of CSNPs modification, see Table 1. In the ionic gelation method, crosslinking is formed by hydrogen bonding between the polar groups on the polymer chains. In contrast, crosslinking is formed by covalent bonds between different functional groups on the polymer chains facilitated by special crosslinking agents [129]. They were covalently crosslinking agents formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde or genipin, or other compounds with several reactive chemical functions, undesirable in drug delivery applications [130]. Drug release from CSNPs is more pH-dependent. The drug's pKa and pH values and the release media were expected to influence release behaviour [49]. At higher pH, crosslinking will be reduced, the extent to which the counterion converts positively charged amino groups (NH3+) of CS into the unionized state [76,131]. NPs were kept in a shrinkage state and drug released due to the attractive electrostatic interaction between anions and CS.

Furthermore, at an acidic pH, the electrostatic attraction between protonated amine groups and H2O molecules was more significant, dissolves CS polymers, resulting in NPs matrix erosion and rapid drug release [125]. NPs swelled significantly and even dissociated rapidly, resulting in the quick model drug release [132]. The cumulative release of drugs from CSNPs could indicate that drug release was faster at lower pH than at neutral or higher pH [133].

George et al. discovered a much faster rate of release in polymer conjugates at the of 5.0 than at 6.8 and 7.4. Protonation under acidic conditions will increase swelling in an acidic medium could account for these release characteristics [39]. The swelling of CSNPs, at a higher pH value (7.4) may increase, which would allow release media to penetrate deeper into the polymer matrix, converting the glassy polymer to a rubbery state [134]. Drug molecules were able to diffuse out of the CSNPs more quickly and release quickly [126].

Adding polymer conjugates such as L-Histidine (HIS), Alginate, and Emulsification and Ionotropic Gelation Technique have opposite charges could more stabilize charge and control release against different pH [39]. Due to the –NH3+ (NH3–) protonation, CS is highly positively charged at low pH. The interaction of H+ ions (at acidic pH) with cations on the CS surface, which limits the polymer's hydrolysis, may explain why drug release from NPs occurs quicker in a neutral environment than in an acidic one [135].

The mechanism of drug release from CSNPs: (a) Release of adsorbed or trapped drug in the surface layer of particles; (b) Diffusion through the swollen rubber matrix, and (c) Release caused by polymer erosion, breakdown, hydrolysis, or degradation of the NPs backbone, resulting in long-term drug release [36]. Drug release from CSNPs shows a characteristic biphasic pattern characterized by a rapid initial release followed by a slow-er, controlled-release [39,68,76,125,136,137]. In the case of the hydrogel, the biphasic form of discharge is more prominent [130]. The drug that is absorbed and trapped in the surface layer of CSNPs dissolves immediately upon contact with the media. This phenomenon causes an explosive effect. The structure of the CSNPs carrier will influence the kinetics of drug release. If the NPs are in the form of nanocapsules, they will show a low initial burst release. The small size of the CSNPs will also indicate an initial blast release. The NPs diameter decreases, the specific surface area increases, and the path length to the drug surface decreases. CSNPs can be modified to reduce initial blast release, Zheng et al. modified conventional PLGA microspheres with alginate and CS [138]. Interfacial deposition of hydrophobic polymers was used to create nanocapsules. Drug release is also regulated utilizing a mixture of nanospheres and nanocapsules [27].

The bi-phasic release type helps determine the release pattern, especially achieving therapeutic levels rapidly and maintaining controlled release [136]. The pattern of bi-phasic drug release also occurs in CSNPs, which are made by crosslinking (ionically and covalently). On conjugated CSNPs demonstrated a faster release, roughly twice that of unconjugated CSNPs [125].

The kinetic analysis of drug release from CSNP can be carried out by mathematical models such as the zero-order model, first-order model, the Higuchi model, the Hixon-Crowell cube root law, the Baker-Lonsdale time kinetics equation, and the Peppas exponential model [139]. The diffusion-controlled release kinetics were investigated using the zero-order, first-order, and Higuchi models. The Hixon-Crowell model was used to study dissolution-type release kinetics due to changes in surface area or particle diameter [140]. As shown in Table 1, the model release from CSNPs has various models: the zero-order [137],
| Preparing Method                  | CS Composition                  | Agents of Drugs          | Result of Research                     | Drug Release Assay | Effect                                                                 | Drug Release Models                  | Reference |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| **Self-aggregated.**             | Carboxymethyl CS (CMC)-based nanocarriers | Curcumin                  | d = 41.27 nm and 87.35 nm, Monodispersed | SS methods        | The highest release rates of curcumin in simulated intestinal systems (pH = 6.86) | [67]                                      |           |
| Ionic gelation method.           | CS-Alginate NPs                 | Doxorubicin (DOX)        | d = 100 nm in size                     | Dialysis methods  | The release of DOX in CS-Alginate NPs was retarded significantly due to the encapsulation. DOX is quickly released in the initial 10 h then slower or/and controlled for the next 72 h | [121]                                     |           |
| Polyelectrolyte complex (PEC)    | Salecan-CS.                     | Vitamin C (VC)           | It was observed an interconnected, highly porous architecture. | SS methods        | The swelling is great influence on the controlled release of VC. The release mechanism agreed well with the Ritger-Peppas model | [122]                                     |           |
| Emulsification and Ionotropic gelation technique | Encapsulated CS NPs             | Capsaicin (CAP)          | (d) = 180 nm and Efficient Entrapment 70% | Dialysis methods  | CSNPs controlled and sustained release of CAP. Release kinetics followed the Weibull model with Fickian diffusion | [123]                                     |           |
| Ionic crosslinked                | Freeze-dried CS scaffolds       | Diclofenac sodium        | Porous CS scaffolds loaded with model drug diclofenac sodium | SF methods        | A swelling-dependent release is affected by significant changes in pore size and porosity. Crosslinking affects increasing compactness and decreasing overall porosity. Release kinetics followed Hixson-Crowell model with Fickian diffusion | [124]                                     |           |
| The ionic gelation method        | CS and alginate NPs             | Lovastatin (LS)          | d = 68 nm-171.8 nm                     | SS methods        | The NPs’ drug release rate is pH and lovastatin content-dependent.        | [125]                                     |           |
| Ionic gelation method            | CS porous NPs                   | Oil Red O and Rhodamine B. | Denser hydrogels and Highly interconnected macropores, around 50-200 nm and relatively uniformly around 50-100 nm, A more negatively charged DS was added | SF methods        | A fast release for 1 h, then reaching the equilibrium concentration | [126]                                     |           |
| Ionic interaction with dextran sulfate (DS) as a crosslinker | CS NPs                          | Double-stranded siRNA    | Irregular morphology, d = 353-1083 nm. A more negatively charged DS was added | SS methods        | DS and CS concentration influenced the size of NPs. Quickly released from the NPs in the initial 6 h | [68]                                      |           |
| Ionic gelation method            | CSNPs.                          | Hydrocortisone (HC)      | Nonspherical. d = 243 ± 12 nm to 337 ± 13 nm | SF method, A Franz diffusion cell | The swelling ration increase with the pH of incubating media was increased. Particle size and EE (Entrapment Efficiency) of HC loaded as the CS concentration was increased. quickly released from the NPs in the initial 6 h | [76]                                      |           |
| Ionic gelation method            | CSNPs.                          | Ganciclovir (GCV)        | d = 121.20 ± 2.7 and EE = 85.15 ± 1.1% | The assay was carried out in diffusion cell apparatus in phosphate buffer pH 6.8. | The incorporation of GCV into CSNPs results in enhanced permeability, which may, in turn, increase the overall oral absorption of the drug. Higuchi model quickly released from the NPs in the initial 10 h | [127]                                     |           |
| Polymer conjugation              | Glucose- CS NPs (GCNPs),        | Doxorubicin (DOX)        | Spherical d = 187.9 nm and a -15.43 mV | Dialysis method   | After incubation for 30 h, the accumulative release rates of DOX/ GCNPs and DOX/ CSNPs were 19% and 21%, respectively. first, the release profile was mainly a diffusion-controlled process of DOX molecules from the hydrophobic micro-domains; second, the sustained and constant release, maintain the drug concentration at a therapeutic level. | [128]                                     |           |

(continued on next page)
Hixson-Crowell [125], Higuchi [127], Weibull [123], and the Korsmeyer-Peppas [136].

Table 1 shows that CSNPs can transport drugs with efficient loading and controlled drug release. Two processes can make the drug loading in CSNPs: coalescence simultaneously as particle preparation and incubation (after particle formation) [140]. In this case, drug loading is done by adding the drug during the NPs formulation process.

The amount of surface charge decreased significantly after drug filling, but all remained positively charged (empty, conjugated, and drug laden). The surface charge reduction is because of the accumulation of some drugs on the surface [140]. The adsorbed drug will determine the surface smoothness of the CSNPs, in which drug molecules occupy the fully porous hydrogel. HIS-CHGZ hydrogels include histidine and have a smoother drug-loaded surface than non-conjugated hydrogels. CUR, which is very hydrophobic, has a rather rough surface, followed by NRG and QE [39].

The greater the drug loading, the more the drug dissolved in the hydrated polymeric matrix, resulting in a higher diffusional driving force and quicker drug release from the conjugate NPs [141]. This phenomenon is similar to the release of another hydrophilic drug from CSNPs: propranolol hydrochloride, sodium diclofenac, Diltiazem HCl, and vitamin C [122]. Apart from showing higher drug release rates, conjugated NPs also continued to release drug for a period of twice as long as other CSNPs because of the higher percentage of a drug charge and the non-conjugated hydrogels. CUR, which is very hydrophobic, has a rather rough surface, followed by NRG and QE [39].

The drug release from CSNPs was determined by the particle morphology, size, extent of crosslinking, the active substance’s physicochemical characteristics, pH, polarity of the dissolution matrix, and enzymes' presence. Chemical and enzyme catalysis are the primary mechanisms by which CS is degraded, with the latter being the predominant process in vivo [140]. The degradation of CS depends on the MW, degree of deacetylation [142], crosslinking agents [143] used for NPs preparation, concentrations, enzymes [136], and the media’s pH [144]. Incubation of NPs with lysozyme resulted in a decrease in the size of the NP. Increased lysozyme concentration accelerates the reduction in NPs size [136]. The degradation rate of glutaraldehyde crosslinked CS NPs was faster than the TPP crosslinked CSNPs, both high and low MW. This degradation promotes higher drug release [61,136].

The drug release from CSNPs systems is dependent on the degree of crosslinking, the morphology, the size, the density of the particulate system, the physicochemical characteristics of the drug, and the presence of an adjuvant in this research. Additionally, in vitro release is dependent on the pH, polarity, and enzyme content of the dissolving medium.

pH-responsive delivery devices may take advantage of the body’s pH gradients between normal and malignant tissue, or between extracellular space and particular cell compartments. Previously, we synthesized pH-responsive CS-tripolyphosphate NPs loaded with doxorubicin (DOX-CS-NPs). Additionally, we examined its antiproliferative efficacy in vitro against a variety of tumor cells. The improved anti-tumor efficacy of these NPs in vitro is examined in this study, using conditions that simulate both physiological (pH 7.4) and tumor extracellular environments (pH 6.6). CS-NPs were synthesized through ionotropic gelation using the pH-sensitive adhesive KS, which is derived from the amino acid lysine [145].

The multifunctional components used to change the surface of NPs for oral administration have the potential to generate “smart” NPs with pH-triggered and tailored release mechanisms [146].

There are many reports about the dangers of nanoparticles, especially those that dominate metal and metal oxide NPs. After acute systemic exposure, the mediating NP toxicity in target organs are reactive
oxygen species (ROS) in liver, spleen and kidney, DNA damage resulting in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, modification of protein structure and function and impaired inflammation of membrane integrity [22,147, 148], inhibiting antioxidant effects, and mitochondrial dysfunction [22]. Metal and metal oxide NPs such as silver, zinc, copper oxide, uraninite, and cobalt oxide have also been found to cause DNA damage [149]. Gold NPs may induce kidney injury, which can be amplified synergistically as a consequence of interactions with chemicals or medications [150]. In general, most NPs tend to accumulate in mononuclear phagocytic system organs such as liver and spleen, resulting in toxic effects on exposure to nanoparticles including monocytes, platelets, leukocytes, dendritic cells, and macrophages [151,152]. For CSNPs there have been no reports showing symptoms such as metal and metal oxide NPs. Due to the nature of biocompatibility and non-toxic properties.

5. Future perspectives

In the future decades, the true influence of new polymeric NPs technologies on clinical trials will be obvious [14]. Although specifically receptive to disease pathology, the next generation of nano-enabled drug delivery products will increase medication performance, patient compliance, and therapeutic success. The use of stimuliresponsive materials allows for more precision control of drug release kinetics and sites. When designing stimulexponsive systems and manufacturing challenges, other factors must be considered, such as that few of these materials have been tested in vivo. However, emerging technologies have a long way to go before being transformed into clinically practical products.

Our knowledge of drug release mechanisms has improved because of mechanistic studies and mathematical modelling. Precision mathematical modelling can optimize the design of CSNPs with predetermined release properties. In vitro-in vivo correlation (IVIVC) concepts for CSNPs DDS will be aided by the novelty method of in vitro release study, reducing regulatory burden and speeding clinical translation. Despite recent research and development of nanomedicines, the success rate of clinical translation remains poor, with patients in clinical settings benefitting from nanomedicines only through a reduction in side effects [18]. As an adjuvant in a number of high-quality human vaccines, CS also has the potential to be used in oral or mucoadhesive medication formulations [26]. Due to their poor reproducibility and batch-to-batch variations will be a challenge for the next step in the drug delivery system.

6. Conclusion

CSNPs can transfer active ingredients in a controlled manner, improving therapeutic efficacy while reducing systemic side effects and increasing patient compliance. Small sizes and a large surface area are advantages of CSNPs, ready to modify for targeted delivery.

The properties of CS, such as intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonding and cationic charge in acidic media, make it an excellent DDSs candidate. The foundation for further optimization will be laid by a better understanding of nanocarrier mechanisms, opening more exciting possibilities for improving macromolecule administration. The dialysis method is the most commonly used method for determining drug re-release from CSNPs dosage forms. The release of drugs from CSNPs followed a typical bi-phaseic pattern, with a rapid initial release followed by a slower and continuous release (controlled release) and more pH-dependent. The zero-order model, Hixson-Crowell model, and Korsmeyer-Peppas model predict drug release from CSNPs. It depicts three-drug release mechanisms from CSNPs. This discovery strongly supports the modification of CS polymer, the selection of materials, formulations, the manufacture of better CS-based preparations, and to obtain the expected release.
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