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China Earthquake Parameter Zoning (2016) has increased the seismic fortification intensity in Chengdu from VII to VIII. It is necessary to conduct in-depth discussion on the impact of the seismic performance of the built underground station structure. In this paper, a stratum-structure finite element model is established with a Chengdu subway station as an example. The model boundary adopts viscoelastic boundary, and the ground motion is input in the form of equivalent nodal force. The equivalent linearization method is used to consider the nonlinearity of soil materials. The time-history analysis of seismic fortification intensity of VII and VIII degrees is carried out, respectively. By comparing the calculation results of the two seismic fortification intensity ground motion conditions, it is concluded that the connection between the side wall and the floor is the weakest position of the station structure under the action of the earthquake; the seismic fortification intensity is increased from VII to VIII to the internal force of the structure. It has a relatively large impact, especially the most obvious impact on the bending moment. The results of the verification of the seismic time-history analysis show that the increase of fortification intensity will have a more obvious impact on the structural deformation, and the structural design of the station can meet the safety performance requirements of VII and VIII degrees of seismic fortification. The research has certain reference significance for the seismic safety evaluation of the built underground station structure in Chengdu area.

1. Introduction

With the development of science and technology and productivity in China, more and more underground structure projects are emerging and the research on their seismic performance is paid more and more attention [1]. Hashash et al. [2, 3], respectively, summarized the earthquake disaster phenomena, model test, and theoretical research results, as well as the present situation of earthquake-resistant analysis and design of underground structures at home and abroad; the results can be used to guide the seismic design of underground structures.

Wang et al. [4, 5] adopted the finite element numerical simulation method, respectively, to establish an analysis model and discuss the influence law of seismic response of underground structures. Zou et al. [6] proposed an improved finite element method, NewPSA, to predict the nonlinear behavior of underground frame structures under horizontal seismic action and a new one-dimensional seismic analysis method to calculate the distribution of displacement and shear stress along the depth in the free field with the increase of the movement of bedrock. Jang et al. [7] proposed an improved pushover analysis method (I-PAM) and proved that I-PAM could accurately predict the maximum axial force, bending moment, and displacement of the structure. Shi et al. [8] and Yu and Yuan [9], respectively, adopted the response displacement method to discuss the applicability of the seismic analysis of underground integrated pipe corridor and complex underground large space structure, as well as the selection of foundation spring stiffness and the simulation method. Al Agha et al. [10] used ABAQUS to create soil media and used the El Centro acceleration time-history record input by seismic load to analyze the difference of the influence of buildings on
soft soil and hard soil in strong earthquakes, and the research results have good engineering guiding significance.

Zhou et al. [11, 12] combined engineering examples, compared the differences of inertial force method, time-history analysis method, and response displacement method in seismic analysis of underground structures, and put forward the applicability and suggestions of different calculation formulas. Deng and Liang [13] selected five calculation methods including the inertia force method, reaction displacement method, and dynamic time-history method and evaluated the adaptability of the five calculation methods. Xu et al. [14] discussed the forced reaction displacement method and the reaction acceleration method on the basis of the reaction displacement method. When the forced reaction displacement method was used, it was suggested that the side distance should be twice the width of the structure, while when the reaction acceleration method was used, the side distance should be more than three times the width of the structure. Li et al. [15] and Liu et al. [16], respectively, improved the seismic pushover analysis method of underground structures, and the two improved methods can better evaluate the seismic performance of underground structures after being verified by examples. The pushover analysis [17] was proposed for the large difference in seismic response characteristics of surface structures. It has been proved that the pushover analysis can be effectively applied to seismic design and damage assessment of underground structures.

Belostotsky et al. [18] introduced the classification and brief overview of force-based methods, displacement-based methods, and numerical methods for seismic analysis of the coupling system of soil-underground structures, providing methods and means for seismic design of underground structures. Yu et al. [19] proposed a VHS method for seismic analysis of soil-structure system using a multiaxial test device and applied it to practical projects, verifying its rationality and accuracy. Li et al. [20] set up a 3D simulation analysis model to study the seismic characteristics of cross-transfer subway station under the action of horizontal seismic waves in soft soil layer. Qiu et al. [21], based on the dynamic equilibrium characteristics of the aboveground building-soil-subway station integral model, proposed a simplified calculation method for the structural internal forces of subway stations.

The seismic design of underground structure always lags behind that of aboveground structure, but underground structure is often very important; once destroyed, it is difficult to repair. Especially for underground stations, failure to do a good seismic design will cause serious danger to people’s lives and property safety. Also, the cost of the underground station is high; once destroyed, the loss is very huge. Starting from June 1, 2016, new buildings in Chengdu located in the seismic fortification intensity zone of VII will be upgraded to VIII. The higher the seismic fortification intensity in an area, the stronger the overall seismic resistance of the building, which represents the requirement of an area. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze and study the impact of the increase in seismic fortification intensity on the seismic safety performance of the built subway.

This paper takes an underground station of the Chengdu Metro as an example, adopts the viscoelastic boundary and equivalent nodal force input method of ground motion, uses the equivalent linearization method to simulate its nonlinearity in the soil material, and, respectively, calculates the VII and VIII earthquake response under the conditions of high seismic fortification intensity, combined with national standards to check the seismic performance of the station structure, discuss the impact of the increase in seismic fortification intensity on the seismic performance of the built subway, and evaluate the seismic safety of the built subway project.

2. Engineering Background

A certain line of the Chengdu Metro was built in 2013, with a total length of 41 km, of which the underground line is 36 km. This study selects a typical two-storey three-span structure of the station.

The main structure of the station is 17.41 m high, the left and right track areas are overlapping stations, and the standard section structure has a net width of 25.50 m. The main enclosure structure of the station adopts a 1.2 m thick underground continuous wall. The bottom of the station structure floor is about 21 m below the ground. According to the structural design, the components of the station structure that are in contact with the soil, such as the top slab (including beams), bottom plates, and side walls (including the inner columns of the wall), use C35 concrete and the components that do not contact the soil, such as the partition wall and the middle slab, use C35 concrete for the center beam and C45 concrete for the center column.

According to the geological survey report, the soil layer where the station is located is mainly silty clay and silt soil. The physical properties of the materials on the station site are sorted out as shown in Table 1. According to the “Code for Seismic Design of Buildings (GB50011-2010)” [22], the soil type of the site is comprehensively determined to be medium soft soil and the site category is Class III. The specific soil layer is shown in Table 1.

3. Calculation Model

The paper uses the finite element software ABAQUS to establish a two-dimensional stratum-structure model. ABAQUS is a piece of powerful universal finite element software, which includes a very rich material model, element model, load, and boundary conditions, and can solve static, dynamic, and other issues, in particular, the ability to solve nonlinear problems is very excellent for seismic dynamic analysis. The model is shown in Figure 1. The model is 100 m high and 200 m long (the distance from the structure boundary to the model boundary is more than three times the width of the structure). The grid is divided into plane strain units, in which the soil is divided into 37621 units, and the station structure is divided into 3236 units.

The calculation model in this paper adopts the viscoelastic boundary, and the ground motion input adopts the equivalent nodal force, that is, the spring-damping system
and the equivalent nodal force are applied at the model boundary. The spring element is used to simulate the elastic recovery ability of the soil, and the damping element is used to absorb the scattered wave energy at the boundary, as shown in Figure 2. The equivalent nodal force is calculated from the velocity, displacement, and stress of the free field, which is used to simulate the input of ground motion.

In this paper, the equivalent linearization method is used to simulate the nonlinear characteristics of soil materials. According to the geological survey report, the relationship between the dynamic shear modulus ratio, damping ratio, and shear strain of soil materials is shown in Table 2.

The ground motion input of the calculation model adopts the VII degree fortified ground motion of the station design and the VIII degree fortified ground motion of the adjacent project. The ground motion time-history curve is shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b). The peak ground accelerations of $E_1$, $E_2$, and $E_3$ are 0.052 g, 0.178 g, and 0.314 g for VII degree and 0.042 g, 0.148 g, and 0.380 g for VIII degree, respectively.

### 4. Result Analysis

The impact of the increase in seismic fortification intensity on the seismic performance of underground stations is reflected in three aspects: structural internal force, seismic performance index check calculations, and suggested measures.

#### 4.1. Internal Force of Structure

Taking the $E_3$ seismic condition calculated by the seismic fortification intensity of VIII degree as an example, the displacement and strain cloud diagrams at the moment of maximum bending moment of the station structure are given in Figures 4(a) and 4(b).

It can be seen from Figure 4(a) that the horizontal displacement of the station structure is relatively large, reaching about 0.66 m, which is the result of coordinated deformation with the soil. However, the relative displacement of the top and bottom of the station is not large. At the moment of maximum bending moment, the maximum relative displacement of the top and bottom of the station is 12.4 mm. Figure 4(b) shows that the location of the most adverse effect of ground motion on the station structure is at the connection between the side wall and the bottom plate, which needs to be paid attention to in the seismic design. Generally, the side wall and bottom plate of the station can be thickened or the concrete strength level can be increased.

The axial force, shear force, and bending moment of the station structure at the maximum moment of the bending moment of the station structure under the action of $E_2$ and

| Soil layer number | Soil     | Layer thickness (m) | Density (kg·m$^{-3}$) | Wave speed (m·s$^{-1}$) | Poisson’s ratio |
|-------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 1                 | Plain fill | 1.50                | 1916.2                | 107.0                   | 0.429           |
| 2                 | Silty clay | 3.50                | 1953.7                | 138.0                   | 0.429           |
| 3                 | Clay      | 5.85                | 1909.6                | 126.5                   | 0.410           |
| 4                 | Silty clay | 3.45                | 1966.3                | 165.0                   | 0.429           |
| 5                 | Clay      | 1.40                | 2019.5                | 206.0                   | 0.418           |
| 6                 | Silty clay | 4.25                | 2033.9                | 240.0                   | 0.425           |
| 7                 | Clay      | 2.35                | 2020.6                | 266.0                   | 0.417           |
| 8                 | Clay      | 4.65                | 2053.1                | 257.3                   | 0.412           |
| 9                 | Clay      | 1.50                | 2060.4                | 250.0                   | 0.339           |
| 10                | Clay      | 2.75                | 2045.3                | 267.5                   | 0.402           |
| 11                | Silty clay | 8.10                | 2005.8                | 243.0                   | 0.411           |
| 12                | Clay      | 2.85                | 2056.3                | 367.0                   | 0.412           |
| 13                | Silty clay | 2.50                | 2018.5                | 250.0                   | 0.428           |
| 14                | Clay      | 10.45               | 2001.6                | 376.5                   | 0.422           |
| 15                | Clay      | 10.30               | 2045.3                | 312.0                   | 0.412           |
| 16                | Clay      | 7.00                | 2091.2                | 318.0                   | 0.412           |
| 17                | Silty clay | 7.50                | 2074.1                | 334.0                   | 0.412           |
| 18                | Clay      | 4.00                | 2065.3                | 329.0                   | 0.422           |
| 19                | Silty clay | 4.50                | 2077.4                | 339.0                   | 0.432           |
| 20                | Silty clay | 4.00                | 1957.2                | 450.0                   | 0.422           |

**Figure 1:** Finite element meshing of the formation-structure model.
E3 seismic fortification intensity of VII and VIII degrees are extracted, respectively, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3 compares the influence of the increase in seismic fortification intensity on the internal force of the station structure. Under the action of E2 ground motion, the increase in seismic fortification intensity increased the internal force of the structure by 16%~23%; under the action of E3 ground motion, the increase in seismic fortification intensity had a more obvious impact on the internal force of the structure, and the internal force of the structure increased by 20%~30%. The increase in seismic fortification intensity has a greater impact on the bending moment of the structure, which is more obvious in the working conditions of E3 ground motion. The comparison of the structural internal forces of the seismic fortification intensity of VII and VIII degrees shows that the influence of seismic fortification intensity on the structure of the built underground station cannot be ignored, and attention should be paid to the design of seismic safety performance.

4.2. Checking Calculation of Seismic Performance Index. According to the “Standard for Seismic Design of Underground Structures” [23], the calculation results are checked for cross-sectional bearing capacity and seismic deformation. Among them, the cross-sectional bearing capacity is reflected by the area of cross-sectional reinforcement and the seismic deformation is reflected by the displacement angle between floors.

It can be seen from Table 4 that, (1) in the case of fortification of degree VII, compared with the calculation results under the action of moderate earthquake E2, the effect of large earthquake E3 increased the area of reinforcement by 18.1% and the displacement angle between floors increased by 15.2%; (2) in the case of fortification of degree VIII, compared with the results of the calculation under the action of the moderate earthquake E2, the effect of the large earthquake E3 increased the reinforcement area by 11.3% and the interstorey displacement angle by 30.5%. The effect of the strong earthquake E3 has increased the displacement angle between floors more than the increase of the reinforcement area, indicating that the structure has entered the elastoplastic stage under the effect of the strong earthquake E3. The increase in fortification intensity has significantly improved the internal force and deformation check calculation indicators, so the impact of the increase in seismic fortification intensity on the seismic performance of underground stations should be given sufficient attention. (3) The increase in seismic fortification intensity increased the area of reinforcement under the action of moderate earthquake E2 by about 33.8%, and the displacement angle between floors increased by about 47.8%; the area of reinforcement under the action of large earthquake E3 needed to be increased by about 26.1%. The displacement angle between layers increased by about 67.4%. The reinforcement area reflects the change in the internal force of the structure, and the displacement angle between floors reflects the change in the structural deformation. It is not difficult to see that the increase in seismic fortification intensity has a considerable impact on the structural deformation, which deserves special attention in future engineering practice.

The checked calculation results show that the structural design of the station can meet the safety performance requirements of degree VII and degree VIII seismic fortification.
Table 3: Internal forces of the station structure with different earthquake motions.

| Type                | VII degree fortification | VIII degree fortification | Difference | VII degree fortification | VIII degree fortification | Difference |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Axial force (kN)    | 991.3                    | 1182.5                    | 19         | 1296.5                   | 1687.1                    | 30         |
| Shear force (kN)    | 968.7                    | 1123.8                    | 16         | 1212.8                   | 1451.4                    | 20         |
| Bending moment (kN·m)| 1542.3                   | 1896.5                    | 23         | 1968.7                   | 2469.2                    | 25         |

Figure 3: The time-history curve of bedrock motion with seismic fortification intensity. (a) VII degree. (b) VIII degree.

Figure 4: (a) The horizontal displacement and (b) the shearing strain of the station with the $E_3$ earthquake motion of degree VIII.

Table 4: Checking calculation results of section bearing capacity and deformation.

| Type                | Design value | VII degree fortification | VIII degree fortification | VII degree fortification | VIII degree fortification |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Reinforcement area (mm²) | 4765.6       | 2213.4                   | 2961.5                    | 2614.8                   | 3296.8                    |
| Layer displacement angle | $E_2 < 1/600$ | $E_3 < 1/300$ | 1/1360 | 1/920 | 1/1180 | 1/705 |
5. Conclusion

In this paper, a stratum-structure model is established by taking the structure of a certain subway station in Chengdu as an example. The nonlinearity of soil materials is simulated by using the equivalent linearization method, the finite element analysis model is based on viscoelastic boundary, and the ground motion is input in the form of equivalent nodal force. Through seismic time-history analysis, the effect of the increase in seismic fortification intensity on the seismic performance of the station is comparatively studied, and the calculation results are checked according to the national standards, and the following conclusions are drawn:

1. The most unfavorable position of the effect of ground motion on the structure of the underground station is at the connection between the side wall and the bottom plate. At the stage of seismic design, attention should be paid to the strengthening design of this position. Generally, the side wall and bottom plate of the station can be thickened or the concrete strength level can be increased.

2. The impact of the increase in seismic fortification intensity on the internal force of the built underground station structure cannot be ignored, especially the impact on the structural bending moment is more obvious. According to the data analysis in this paper, the increase of seismic fortification intensity has a considerable impact on the structural deformation, such as in the E3 earthquake, the reinforcement rate increased by 26.1%, increasing the material consumption and cost of underground construction.

3. The calculation results checked according to the national standards show that the increase in fortification intensity will have a more obvious impact on structural deformation; the structural design of the station can meet the safety performance requirements of degree VII and degree VIII seismic fortification.
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