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Abstract: The phytochemistry of the genera Androsace, Cortusa, Soldanella, and Vitaliana, belonging to the Primulaceae family is not well studied so far. Hence, in this paper, we present the results of UHPLC-MS/MS analysis of several primrose family members as well as isolation and structure determination of two new saponins from Vitaliana primuliflora subsp. praetutiana. These two nor-triterpenoid saponins were characterized as (23S)-17α,23-epoxy-29-hydroxy-3β-[O-β-d-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-α-l-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-β-d-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-α-l-arabinopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-d-glucopyranosyl]oxy]-27-nor-lanost-8-en-25-one and (23S)-17α,23-epoxy-29-hydroxy-3β-[O-α-l-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-β-d-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-α-l-arabinopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-d-glucopyranosyl]oxy]-27-nor-lanost-8-en-25-one, respectively. Their structures were determined by high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), one- and two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1D-, and 2D-NMR) analyses. So far, the 27-nor-lanostane monodesmosides were rarely found in dicotyledon plants. Therefore their presence in Vitaliana and also in Androsace species belonging to the Aretia section is unique and reported here for the first time. Additionally, eleven other saponins were determined by HRMS and MS/MS spectra. The isolated lanostane saponins can be considered as chemotaxonomic markers of the family Primulaceae.
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1. Introduction

Some plants are rich in secondary metabolites of a specific class, e.g., saponins. They can reach up to 10% of dry mass and, thus, are attractive for industrial usage. Among them, steroidal saponins are particularly abundant in monocotyledons, while triterpenoid saponins are abundant in eudicotyledons, with several exceptions. Among a few cases of medicinally valuable steroidal glycosides present in angiosperms, cardiac glycosides and their open-lactone analogs should be mentioned [1]. Other economically important compounds are appetite suppressants from the South African Hoodia sp., Euphorbiaceae [2] or male hormone imitators from Tribulus sp., Zygophyllaceae [3]. On the other hand, typical triterpenoids (C30) are rare in monocotyledons [4].

Taking under consideration the nomenclatural ambiguity of saponins, some researchers classify tetracyclic triterpenoids, for example, ginseng dammaranes, as steroids, and this term regularly appears in some papers [5]. With lanostanes: Some classify them as steroids because of the biosynthesis step of squalene cyclization and mutual conformation of the resulting rings [6–8], while others catalog them as triterpenoids by the presence of two methyl substituents in position 4 and count the total carbon number of this aglycone as 30 [7,9].
Lanostane homologs are uncommon in dicotyledonous plants [7]. They can be found in many Asparagaceae members like in ornamental muscari or squills [10] and conifers [11]. A variety of sea cucumbers should be mentioned as a non-vegetable lanostane source [12,13]. A considerable number of bioactive, non-glycosidic lanostanes was reported in some fungi, including the famous Ganoderma sp. [14,15].

Up to now, the Primulaceae family was known to be a source of triterpenoid saponins of the oleanane type [16] and several cucurbitacins [17], besides several unique flavonoids [18,19].

Performing the phytochemical screening and characterization of this family, we have developed rapid, useful, and universal UHPLC-MS and -MS/MS methods for saponin determination. Moreover, we described the isolation and identification of two dominant saponins from Vitaliana primuliflora Bertol., that were previously observed on thin layer chromatography (TLC) only [20]. Finally, we proved the occurrence of these two 27-nor-lanostane saponins in some species belonging to Androsace (in Aretia and Douglasia sections only). It is also the first communication describing plant nor-lanostanes outside the Asparagaceae family.

2. Results

Hydroalcoholic plant extracts were prepared routinely and analyzed by UHPLC-MS and UHPLC-MS/MS in the negative mode as a part of a more comprehensive screening. The first examination of MS chromatograms revealed two main unidentifiable ions of high intensity, especially in Vitaliana species extracts. Later on, about 13 g of underground parts of V. primuliflora subsp. praetutiana were taken for extraction. Subsequently, with the help of semi-preparative flash chromatography on silica and HPLC on reversed phase, we have obtained approximately 40 mg of 12 and 36 mg of 13, as amorphic white solids (almost 0.3% of starting dry mass each; Figure 1). Both compounds could form a stable foam at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL. The isolation was performed in mild conditions to avoid any artifact formation. All fractionation steps were monitored by TLC/HPLC.

**Figure 1.** Structures of 12 (R1 = 1-β-D-GlcP) and 13 (R1 = H).

*Structure Elucidation of New Saponins*

The HRMS spectrum revealed the molecular formula of 12, the most abundant peak (Rt = 13.98 min, UV$_{\text{max}}$ = 199 nm) to be C$_{58}$H$_{94}$O$_{27}$ ([M – H]$^-$ = 1221.5910 m/z (calcd.) vs. 1221.5889 m/z (meas.), err. 1.7 ppm). The MS/MS fragmentation indicated the gradual loss of hexose, deoxyhexose, hexose, pentose, and hexose. The lack of coexisting significant fragmentation peaks suggested that the sugars were linearly arranged in one glycone chain. The resulting aglycone was found to have a formula of C$_{29}$H$_{26}$O$_{4}$ ([M – H]$^-$ = 457.3323 m/z (calcd.) vs. 457.3310 m/z (meas.), err. 2.9 ppm). Sugar identity (glucose, arabinose, rhamnose) was confirmed after acidic hydrolysis on TLC as described previously [21].

$^1$H-NMR and heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum of 12 showed five anomic signals. Two of them, observed as narrow doublets, were initially assigned to α-L sugars while three wide doublets were key to β-D sugars [22]. Overlapping $^1$H signals were resolved by the examination of HSQC and heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) together with total correlated spectroscopy (TOCSY) and finally defined by heteronuclear two bond correlation (H2BC).
Sugar chain linearity and positions of substitution were confirmed by 2D-NMR as shown in Figure 2a,b. Briefly, anomic hydrogen of first glucose moiety was HMBC correlated with C3 of the aglycone and HMBC correlation from H3 to C1 of the first glucose was observed. This pointed out the place of substitution of the aglycone with the sugar chain. The C6 signal of the first Glcp was shifted downfield by 6 ppm relative to the non-substituted Glcp. This chemical shift difference suggested Arap was linked to the C6 of the first Glcp. Anomeric hydrogen of the second glucose unit was correlated not only with C2 of arabinose but also with C1 of arabinose. That was the reason the second glucose was linked (1→2) to arabinose. C2 signal of the second glucose was shifted downfield relative to the non-substituted Glcp. That remarked that this sugar was substituted with the next one (rhamnose). HMBC correlations verified that supposition. The observation of highly shifted C2 of Rhap (up to 83 ppm) together with long-range HMBC of an anomeric proton from the third Glcp determined the position of substitution at the end of the sugar chain. The 13C chemical shifts of the glycone part of 12 were strictly similar to those reported in the literature [23–25].

The general pattern of the 13C-NMR spectrum showed similarity of the aglycone of 12 to the well-known eucosterol [25]. Seven methyl groups (two of them split by nodal hydrogen), together with 14 methylene groups and eight quaternary carbons were observed. One of the methyl doublets was ascribed to the sugar unit (Rha with 14 methylene groups and eight quaternary carbons were observed. One of the methyl doublets was assigned as

Moreover, none of the two H24 hydrogens was NOE correlated with the main skeleton hydrogens. The MS fragmentation of V. primuliflora hydroalcoholic extract (Rt = 14.69 min, UVmax = 199 nm) was revealed to be C52H84O22 [M – H]− = 1059.5381 m/z (calcld.) vs. 1059.5359 m/z (meas.), err. 2.1 ppm). The MS/MS fragmentation of 13 was analogous to that of 12 (close pattern of fragments together with their relative intensities). However, there was a lack of terminal hexose. The resulting aglycone of 13 was found to have the same formula as 12, C29H28O14 (M – H)− = 457.3323 m/z (calcld.) vs. 457.3331 m/z (meas.), err. 1.7 ppm). Sugar chain order was similar as in the case of 12 but with the third Glcp

Sugar chain linearity and positions of substitution were confirmed by 2D-NMR as shown in Figure 2a,b. Briefly, anomic hydrogen of first glucose moiety was HMBC correlated with C3 of the aglycone and HMBC correlation from H3 to C1 of the first glucose was observed. This pointed out the place of substitution of the aglycone with the sugar chain. The C6 signal of the first Glcp was shifted downfield by 6 ppm relative to the non-substituted Glcp. This chemical shift difference suggested Arap was linked to the C6 of the first Glcp. Anomeric hydrogen of the second glucose unit was correlated not only with C2 of arabinose but also with C1 of arabinose. That was the reason the second glucose was linked (1→2) to arabinose. C2 signal of the second glucose was shifted downfield relative to the non-substituted Glcp. That remarked that this sugar was substituted with the next one (rhamnose). HMBC correlations verified that supposition. The observation of highly shifted C2 of Rhap (up to 83 ppm) together with long-range HMBC of an anomeric proton from the third Glcp determined the position of substitution at the end of the sugar chain. The 13C chemical shifts of the glycone part of 12 were strictly similar to those reported in the literature [23–25].

The general pattern of the 13C-NMR spectrum showed similarity of the aglycone of 12 to the well-known eucosterol [25]. Seven methyl groups (two of them split by nodal hydrogen), together with 14 methylene groups and eight quaternary carbons were observed. One of the methyl doublets was ascribed to the sugar unit (Rha with 14 methylene groups and eight quaternary carbons were observed. One of the methyl doublets was assigned as

Moreover, none of the two H24 hydrogens was NOE correlated with the main skeleton hydrogens. The MS fragmentation of V. primuliflora hydroalcoholic extract (Rt = 14.69 min, UVmax = 199 nm) was revealed to be C52H84O22 [M – H]− = 1059.5381 m/z (calcld.) vs. 1059.5359 m/z (meas.), err. 2.1 ppm). The MS/MS fragmentation of 13 was analogous to that of 12 (close pattern of fragments together with their relative intensities). However, there was a lack of terminal hexose. The resulting aglycone of 13 was found to have the same formula as 12, C29H28O14 (M – H)− = 457.3323 m/z (calcld.) vs. 457.3331 m/z (meas.), err. 1.7 ppm). Sugar chain order was similar as in the case of 12 but with the third Glcp
lacking and unsubstituted Rhap C2 (regular shift at about 72 ppm). Detailed examination of 1D- and 2D-NMR spectrum of 12 and 13 aglycones confirmed their identity.

Figure 2. (a) Structure of 12. Solid, one-way arrows represent the key HMBC correlations (violet from methyl groups, red from other hydrogens). (b) Structure of 12. Dashed, blue, two-way arrows represent the key NOESY correlations

Based on the abovementioned deduction 12 is (23S)-17α,23-epoxy-29-hydroxy-3β-[(O-β-d-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-β-d-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-α-L-arabinopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-d-glucopyranosyl)oxy]-27-nor-lanost-8-en-25-one and 13 is (23S)-17α, 23-epoxy-29-hydroxy-3β-[(O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-β-d-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)-O-α-L-arabinopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-d-glucopyranosyl)oxy]-27-nor-lanost-8-en-25-one. The structures of 12 and 13 are presented in Figure 1, while their chemical shifts are listed in Tables 1 and 2. More HRMS
fragmentation data together with retention times for compounds 12 and 13 with other observed and tentatively described triterpenoid glycosides 1–11 are arranged in Table A2.

Table 1. $^1$H-(600 MHz) and $^{13}$C-(125 MHz) NMR chemical shifts of compound 12 (in pyridine-$d_5$).

| Position | δC (ppm) | δH (ppm) | δH (ppm) |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| C1       | 36.24 (t) | 1.68 (m, 1H) eq | 1.17 (dd, $J = 13.6, 3.5$ Hz, 1H) ax |
| C2       | 27.97 (t) | 2.27 (m, 1H) eq | 2.00 (m, 1H) ax |
| C3       | 89.42 (d) | 3.57 (dd, $J = 11.8, 4.6$ Hz, 1H) ax | |
| C4       | 44.91 (s) | - | - |
| C5       | 52.29 (d) | 1.28 (dd, $J = 12.8, 4.6$ Hz, 1H) ax | |
| C6       | 19.23 (t) | 1.83 (dd, $J = 13.2, 6.6$ Hz, 1H) eq | 1.51 (m, 1H) ax |
| C7       | 27.39 (t) | 2.02 (m, 2H) | - |
| C8       | 135.78 (s) | - | - |
| C9       | 135.11 (s) | - | - |
| C10      | 37.28 (s) | - | - |
| C11      | 21.54 (t) | 2.10 (m, 1H) eq | 1.94 (m, 1H) ax |
| C12      | 25.76 (t) | 2.35 (dd, $J = 13.9, 9.0$ Hz, 1H) ax | 1.41 (m, 1H) eq |
| C13      | 49.32 (s) | - | - |
| C14      | 51.30 (s) | - | - |
| C15      | 32.65 (s) | 1.67 (m, 1H) ax | 1.38 (m, 1H) eq |
| C16      | 42.26 (t) | 1.99 (m, 1H) ax | 1.74 (m, 1H) eq |
| C17      | 96.22 (s) | - | - |
| C18      | 135.78 (s) | - | - |
| C19      | 135.11 (s) | - | - |
| C20      | 37.28 (s) | - | - |
| C21      | 21.54 (t) | 2.10 (m, 1H) eq | 1.94 (m, 1H) ax |
| C22      | 25.76 (t) | 2.35 (dd, $J = 13.9, 9.0$ Hz, 1H) ax | 1.41 (m, 1H) eq |
| C23      | 49.32 (s) | - | - |
| C24      | 51.30 (s) | - | - |
| C25      | 32.65 (s) | 1.67 (m, 1H) ax | 1.38 (m, 1H) eq |
| C26      | 42.26 (t) | 1.99 (m, 1H) ax | 1.74 (m, 1H) eq |
| C27      | 96.22 (s) | - | - |
| C28      | 135.78 (s) | - | - |
| C29      | 135.11 (s) | - | - |
| C30      | 37.28 (s) | - | - |

---

**αβ**—assignments with the same letters may be exchanged.
Table 2. $^1$H-(600 MHz) and $^{13}$C-(125 MHz) NMR chemical shifts of compound 13 (in pyridine-d$_5$).

| Position | $\delta$C (ppm) | $\delta$H (ppm) | $\delta$H (ppm) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| C1       | 36.26 (t)       | 1.68 (m, 1H)    | 1.18 (dd, $J = 13.6$, 3.6 Hz, 1H) ax |
| C2       | 27.99 (t)       | 2.27 (m, 1H)    | 2.02 (m, 1H)   ax |
| C3       | 89.43 (d)       | 3.57 (dd, $J = 11.5$, 4.6 Hz, 1H) ax |
| C4       | 44.93 (s)       | -               | -               |
| C5       | 52.30 (d)       | 1.28 (dd, $J = 12.7$, 2.0 Hz, 1H) ax |
| C6       | 19.25 (t)       | 1.83 (dd, $J = 13.0$, 6.5 Hz, 1H) eq |
| C7       | 27.41 (t)       | 2.02 (m, 2H)    | 1.52 (m, 1H) ax |
| C8       | 135.79 (s)      | -               | -               |
| C9       | -               | -               | -               |
| C10      | 37.30 (s)       | -               | -               |
| C11      | 21.56 (t)       | 2.12 (m, 1H)    | 1.95 (m, 1H) ax |
| C12      | 25.77 (t)       | 2.35 (m, 1H) ax | 1.42 (m, 1H) eq |
| C13      | 49.34 (s)       | -               | -               |
| C14      | 51.32 (s)       | -               | -               |
| C15      | 32.67 (t)       | 1.67 (m, 1H) ax | 1.40 (dd, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H) eq |
| C16      | 42.28 (s)       | 1.99 (m, 1H) ax | 1.74 (m, 1H) eq |
| C17      | 96.24 (s)       | -               | -               |
| C18      | 19.83 (q)       | 0.90 (s, 3H) ax ($\beta$) |
| C19      | 20.03 (q)       | 0.94 (s, 3H) ax ($\beta$) |
| C20      | 44.48 (d)       | 2.01 (m, 1H) ax |
| C21      | 17.98 (t)       | 1.67 (m, 2H)    | 1.68 (m, 2H) ax |
| C22      | 27.41 (t)       | 2.02 (m, 2H)    | 1.52 (m, 1H) ax |
| C23      | 135.79 (s)      | -               | -               |
| C24      | 135.12 (s)      | -               | -               |
| C25      | 37.30 (s)       | -               | -               |
| C26      | 30.85 (q)       | 2.21 (s, 3H)    | 3.62 (m, 1H) B |
| nor-C27  | -               | -               | -               |
| C28      | 23.66 (q)       | 1.56 (s, 3H) eq |
| C29      | 63.67 (t)       | 4.44 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H) A |
| C30      | 26.86 (q)       | 1.35 (s, 3H) ax ($\alpha$) |

G1($\rightarrow$C3) 106.55 (d) 4.96 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H) A
G2 75.87 (d) 3.99 (m, 1H) A
G3 78.77 (d) 4.21 (m, 1H) A
G4 73.27 (d) 4.21 (m, 1H) A
G5 75.87 (d) 4.02 (m, 1H) A
G6 69.11 (t) 4.51 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 4.2 Hz, 1H) A 4.23 (dd, $J = 10.4$, 4.7 Hz, 1H) B

A′′′(−G′′′) 101.37 (d) 5.36 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1H) A
A′′′ 78.79 (d) 4.65 (m, 1H) A
A′′′ 71.97 (d) 4.68 (m, 1H) A
A′′′ 66.88 (t) 4.64 (m, 1H) A
A′′′ 62.66 (t) 4.41 (dd, $J = 11.3$, 8.1 Hz, 1H) A 3.95 (dd, $J = 11.0$, 4.0 Hz, 1H) B

A′′′′(−G′′′′) 103.56 (d) 5.17 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H) A
A′′′′ 78.10 (d) 4.27 (m, 1H) A
A′′′′ 73.92 (d) 4.18 (m, 1H) A
A′′′′ 71.84 (d) 4.21 (m, 1H) A
A′′′′ 78.74 (d) 3.70 (ddt, $J = 6.9$, 4.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H) A
A′′′′ 62.56 (t) 4.33 (m, 1H) A 4.26 (dd, $J = 9.1$, 7.5 Hz, 1H) B

R′′′′(−G′′′′) 102.43 (d) 6.39 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H) A
R′′′′ 72.81 (d) 4.77 (dd, $J = 3.5$, 1.6 Hz, 1H) A
R′′′′ 73.12 (d) 4.66 (m, 1H) A
R′′′′ 74.72 (d) 4.31 (m, 1H) A
R′′′′ 70.17 (d) 4.92 (dd, $J = 9.6$, 6.2 Hz, 1H) A
R′′′′ 19.23 (q) 1.77 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 3H) A

3. Discussion

Taking under consideration all the Primulaceae species listed in Table A1, the newly described triterpenoid saponins 12 and 13 were detected by UHPLC-MS and UHPLC-MS/MS in the underground parts of the genus Androsace, in sections Aretia (five of six samples) and Douglasia (one of one samples) as well as in the cognate genus Vitaliana (four of four samples). The 27-nor-lanostane glycosides 12 and 13 were dominant among saponins detected by ESI-MS in the negative mode in all Vitaliana plants. The richest sample was V. primuliflora subsp. praetutiana (VPPR_B_2015), from which these two compounds were isolated (VPPR_B_2017). In samples derived from Androsace cylindrica and A. obtusifolia, the intensity of 12 was on a similar level as in V. primuliflora (VPRI_B_2015). In the remaining samples containing 12, the concentration of this compound was lower than that in V. primuliflora.
subsp. assoana (VPAS_TK_2015). MS signal intensity of 12 was significantly higher than that of 13 in all Vitaliana plants and in Androsace calderiana, A. lactiflora, A. obtusifolia, A. mathildae, and A. montana (= Douglasia montana). In most samples containing 12, it was also the best detectable saponin on an MS chromatogram (except A. montana, A. mathildae, and A. obtusifolia). Androsace lehmannii, the only examined Aretia member originating from Asia was transferred to section Aretia based on its morphological features [27]. We did not prove any of the newly discovered saponins in the analyzed sample of A. lehmannii. It may indicate that this species is chemically different from the rest of the Aretia members, and thus should be more precisely analyzed, also by botanists.

This is the first report on the occurrence of plant nor-lanostane saponins outside the Asparagaceae family. The new compounds were detected in samples originating from different locations, that makes our observations more reliable. All isolation procedures were conducted in mild and acid-free conditions. Nonetheless, we cannot exclude the possibility of the endophytic origin of isolated 27-nor-lanostanoids, as such observations were already published [28].

The assumption of extensive studies presented in this paper in part only was to develop an integrated model to screen many samples for the detection of a specific group of secondary metabolites, namely saponins. In our opinion, a detailed analysis of a broad range of related organisms may lead to observation and notification of some statistically significant rules. Usually, the problem is that only some of the plants in a selected botanical group are treated as important for public opinion. The reasons are enormous biomass gain or well-established pharmaceutical or medical properties. Our study shows that less popular plants could also be an interesting source of natural compounds.

Additionally, we would like to point out that amateur sourcing, breeding, or widespread cultivation of ornamental and exceptional plants (e.g., so-called ‘alpines’) may be a good measure in order to collect phytochemically interesting plants.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material

The plants were obtained from commercial suppliers, mainly from reputable nurseries. A precise list of seedlings suppliers and sample acronyms is available in Appendix A, Table A1.

For screening purposes, three seedlings each of: V. primuliflora Bertol., V. primuliflora subsp. assoana M. Lainz, V. primuliflora subsp. praetutiana (Buser ex Sünd.) I. K. Ferguson were used. Plants were documented by the author (M.W.). Vouchers (VPRI_B_2015, VPAS_TK_2015, VPPR_B_2015) were stored in a herbarium of the Department of Pharmacognosy and Herbal Medicines, Wroclaw Medical University. The plants were separated from the soil, carefully cleaned with tap water, separated into the underground and aboveground parts, allowed to dry in the shade for two weeks, and then stored in paper bags. Parts of plants were separately powdered right before further processing (Basic A11; IKA, Staufen, Germany), sieved (0.355 mm), and stored in darkness in airtight containers. Androsace, Soldanella, and other used plant species were processed in the same way.

Bergenia Nursery (Kokotów 574, 32-002 Węgrzce Wielkie, Poland; 50°01’21.9”N 20°06’03.0”E) was the supplier of a larger number of seedlings for the isolation of new saponins (VPPR_B_2017).

4.2. Chemicals

LC-MS grade water and formic acid were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) while acetonitrile was obtained from Honeywell (Morris Plains, NJ, USA). Analytical grade chloroform was sourced from Chempur (Piekary Ślăskie, Poland) and methanol from POCh (Lublin, Poland).

4.3. Instrumental Equipment

The Thermo Scientific UHPLC Ultimate 3000 apparatus (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) comprising an LPG-3400RS quaternary pump with a vacuum degasser, a WPS-3000RS autosampler, and a TCC-3000SD column oven connected with an ESI-qTOF Compact (Bruker Daltonics,
Bremen, Germany) HRMS detector was used. The separation was achieved on a Kinetex RP-18 column (150 × 2.1 mm × 2.6 µm; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA).

The manual Knauer 64 isocratic pump (Knauer, Berlin, Germany) was used for solid phase extraction (SPE; RP-18 cartridge of 10 g; Merck), flash chromatography (FC; 85 g of Si₆₀ in a packed column of diameter 20 mm; Merck) and semi-preparative HPLC (100-5-C₁₈, 250 × 10 mm × 5 µm; Kromasil, Bohus, Sweden).

¹H-, ¹³C-, and 2D-NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 600 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany), operating at 600 MHz and 125 MHz respectively at 300 K, using standard pulse programs, and pyridine-⁵d₅ (Armar AG, Döttingen, Switzerland) was used as the solvent. An internal solvent signal was used as a reference. NMR results are presented in Tables 1 and 2. NMR data are presented in the Supplementary Materials (Figures S2 and S3).

4.4. Analytical Samples Preparation

Samples for UHPLC analyses were extracted (100 mg sample per 2 mL of 70% methanol) in an ultrasonic bath (15 min, 25 °C, 50% of power; Bandelin, Berlin, Germany). Then, 1 mL of each extract was filtered through a 0.22 µm PTFE syringe filter (Merck-Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), diluted 100 times with 50% acetonitrile in water (LC-MS class) and stored at 4 °C before the analysis.

4.5. UHPLC-MS and UHPLC-MS/MS Analysis

The UHPLC-MS instrument was operated in negative mode. The HRMS detector was calibrated in the dead time of every single run with the Tunemix™ mixture (Bruker Daltonics) with m/z standard deviation below 0.5 ppm. The analysis of the obtained mass spectra was carried out using Data Analysis 4.2 software (Bruker Daltonics). The key instrument parameters were: Scan range 50–2200 m/z, low mass set at 200 m/z, nebulizer pressure 1.5 bar, dry gas N₂ with flow 7.0 L/min, temperature 200 °C, capillary voltage 2.2 kV, ion energy 5 eV, collision energy 10 eV and 30 eV (in separate runs). MS² analyses were performed for ions in the range 400–1900 m/z, with collision energy gradient 40→170 eV.

The gradient elution system consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (mobile phase A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (mobile phase B). At the flow rate of 0.3 mL/min, the following elution program was used: 0→1 min (2→30% B), 1→21 min (30→50% B), 21.5→25.5 min (100% B). The column was equilibrated for 5 min before the next analysis. Blanks were run after each sample to avoid any cross-contamination. Other parameters were: Column oven temperature 30 °C, injection volume 5 µL. The MS/MS fragmentations of 12 and 13 are presented in Supplementary Materials (Figure S1). LC-MS results are presented in Appendix A, in Table A2.

4.6. Isolation of Compounds 12 and 13

Based on UHPLC-MS results, VPPR_B_2017 underground parts were selected for semi-preparative purposes. The total amount of 12.875 g of underground parts was macerated three times with 70% methanol in water in the ratio 1:10. Combined extracts were diluted with water and applied to SPE. The fraction eluted with 70% to 85% methanol was concentrated to dryness in vacuo in 40 °C (Rotavapor V-100; Büchi, Flavil, Swiss), giving 346 mg (2.7% of initial dry mass). The first separation was performed by FC on 85 g of Si₆₀ (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), with chloroform→methanol gradient at flow rate 5 mL/min. Further, selected fractions were purified by semi-preparative HPLC on RP-18 in 75% methanol (isocratically) with a flow of 3 mL/min. As a result, 36.1 mg of 13 and 40.8 mg of 12 were obtained, corresponding to 0.28% and 0.32% of starting dry mass. To assure, that no artifacts were collected, 12 and 13 were confronted with primary extract by UHPLC-MS (conditions as described in Section 4.5).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online, Figure S1: MS/MS fragmentation of compounds 12 and 13. Figure S2: 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra of 12. Figure S3: 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra of 13.
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Appendix A

Table A1. The list of Primulaceae species used for UHPLC-MS and -MS/MS screening in this study.

| Taxon | Acronym |
|-------|---------|
| genus Androsace L. |         |
| sct. Aretia, sst. Aretia |         |
| Androsace cylindrica | ACYL_K_2015 |
| Androsace lehmannii | ALEH_P_2014 |
| Androsace matthioli | AMTH_TK_2013 |
| sct. Aretia, sst. Dicranothrix |         |
| Androsace lactea | ALAC_TK_2015 |
| Androsace laggeri (= A. carnea var. laggeri) | ACAL_P_2014 |
| Androsace obtsisfolia | AOBT_P_2014 |
| ct. Chamaejasme, sst. Hookeriinae |         |
| Androsace limprichtii | ALIM_P_2014 |
| sct. Chamaejasme, sst.  |         |
| Mononifoliae |         |
| Androsace mariae var. tibetica | AMAT_P_2014 |
| Androsace muncrofolia | AMUC_TK_2015 |
| Androsace sempervivoides | ASPV_B_2015 |
| sct. Chamaejasme, sst.  |         |
| Sublanatae |         |
| Androsace adrocephala | AADE_F_2015 |
| Androsace stigillosa | ASTR_P_2014 |
| Androsace adrocephala, sst. |         |
| Sublanatae |         |
| Androsace adrocephala | AADE_F_2015 |
| Androsace notonii | ANOR_P_2014 |
| sct. Chamaejasme, sst.  |         |
| Villoue, series Chamaejasmoidea |         |
| Androsace brachystegia | ABRA_P_2014 |
| Androsace chamaejasme ssp. carinata | ACHC_P_2014 |
| Androsace zambalensis | AZAM_P_2014 |
| sct. Chamaejasme, sst.  |         |
| Villoue, series Everillosae |         |
| Androsace dasyphylla | ADAS_P_2014 |
| Androsace robusta ssp. purpurea | AROR_P_2014 |
| Androsace sarmentosa | ASAR_K_2015 |
| sct. Pseudoprimula |         |
| Androsace elatior | AELA_F_2015 |
| sct. Douglasia |         |
| Androsacemontana (= Douglasia montana) | AMON_F_2015 |
| sct. Aizodium |         |
| Androsace bullegana | ABOU_F_2015 |

---

**hb**—herb was used instead of roots; **bold** names and abbreviations—samples abundant in newly described compounds **12** and **13**; **sg.**—subgenus, sst.—section, sst.—subsection, ssp.—subspecies, var.—variety. The meaning of acronyms used to avoid long plant names in storage and during research is as follows: First letter—genus, three following letters—taxon (species; optionally together with variety), next separated letter or two—donator abbreviation and year of collection in the end. Donators abbreviations: B—Bergenia, Nursery, Paweł Weinart, Kokotów, Poland; F—Floralpin, Nursery, Frank Schmidt, Waldenbuch, Germany; K—Keveck Garden, Nursery, Stella and David Rankin, Lasswade, UK; MO—Mayla Ogrody, Nursery, Dawid Stefaniuk, Siedlakowice, Poland; P—Josef and Bohumila Plocar, Nursery, Švihov, Czech Republic; PG—Planta Garden, Krzysztof Sternal, Dobra, Poland; TK—Private Collection, Tomasz Kubala, Poland.
Table A2. UHPLC-MS and detailed-MS/MS results for *Vitaliana primuliflora* samples.

| No. | RT ± RTSD [min] | Proposed Neutral Formula | calc. m/z [for Neut. Form.–H] | [Error] [ppm] | BPC Fragments: Collision Energy 30 eV (Relative Intensity %) | MS2 Fragments: Collision Energy (Relative Intensity %) | Sample Abbreviations | VPPR_B_15 | VPAS_TK_15 | VPRI_B_15 |
|-----|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1.  | 6.82 ± 0.01    | C_{50}H_{96}O_{27}C_{24}H_{60}O_{4} | 1225.6223 461.3636 | 2.8 2.7 | 1339.6070 (2.1) [M+Na+FA–H] | 785.4706 (38.5) [M–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H] | 1253.6067 (8.3) [M+Na–H] | 1225.6188 (100) [M–H] | | 1079.5619 (49.7) [M–dxHex–H] | 917.5039 (100) [M–dxHex–Hex–H] | 14.31 9.60 0.00 |
| 2.  | 7.38 ± 0.01    | C_{53}H_{94}O_{28}C_{27}H_{64}O_{4} | 1105.5436 503.3319 | 2.4 13.7 | 1173.5263 (4.7) [M+Na–H] | 797.4326 (8.4) [M–dxHex–Hex–H] | 779.4224 (4.6) [M–dxHex–Hex–18–H] | 1105.5410 (100) [M–H] | | 1105.5410 (100) [M–H] | 959.4814 (21.9) [M–dxHex–H] | 10.52 8.06 0.00 |
| 3.  | 7.82 ± 0.01    | C_{56}H_{98}O_{30}C_{32}H_{68}O_{5} | 1237.5859 473.3227 | 1.1 5.2 | 1351.5710 (6.3) [M+Na–H] | 1305.5669 (7.2) [M–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H] | 1263.5881 (30.1) [M+Na–H] | 1237.5845 (100) [M–H] | | 1237.5845 (100) [M–H] | 929.4692 (57.0) [M–dxHex–dxHex–H] | 7.51 8.01 9.00 |

1. glycoside
2. aglycone

/s suggested interpretation/
Table A2. Cont.

| No. | RT ± RTSD [min] | Proposed Neutral Formula | calcld. m/z [for Neut. Form.–H]^− | [Error] ppm | BPC Fragments: Collision Energy 30 eV (Relative Intensity %) | MS2 Fragments /Collision Energy/ (Relative Intensity %) | Sample Abbreviations | VPPR_B_15 | VPAS_TK_15 | VPRI_B_15 |
|-----|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 4.  | 8.07 ± 0.00    | C_{52}H_{44}O_{23} | 1075.533                          | 0.9        | 1189.5237 (7.5) [M+FA+FNa–H]^−                    | 1075.5269 (100) [M–H]^−                          | VPPR_B_15         | 6.32      | 7.55      | 6.11      |
|     |                | C_{29}H_{49}O_{27} | 1473.3272                         | 10.2       | 1143.5171 (5.8) [M+FA–H]^−                         | 1143.5169 (100) [M–H]^−                          | VPAS_TK_15        |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 1121.5363 (27.7) [M+FA–H]^−        |            | 1075.5321 (100) [M–H]^−                            | 1075.5321 (100) [M–H]^−                          | VPRI_B_15         |           |           |           |
| 5.  | 8.38 ± 0.01    | C_{53}H_{46}O_{24} | 1103.5280                          | 1.0        | 1171.5138 (7.5) [M+FA+FNa–H]^−                    | 1171.5129 (100) [M+FA–H]^−                       | VPPR_B_15         | 6.58      | 5.41      | 0.00      |
|     |                | C_{29}H_{49}O_{27} | 455.3167                           | 3.1        | 1011.5056 (100) [M+FA–H]^−                         | 1011.5047 (100) [M–H]^−                          | VPAS_TK_15        |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 943.455 (8.1)                      |            | 943.454 (8.1)                                     | 943.454 (8.1)                                     | VPRI_B_15         |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 751.4255 (32.4)                    |            | 751.4255 (32.4)                                   | 751.4255 (32.4)                                   |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 749.4288 (23.1)                    |            | 749.4288 (23.1)                                   | 749.4288 (23.1)                                   |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 731.4039 (7.5)                     |            | 731.4039 (7.5)                                    | 731.4039 (7.5)                                    |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 705.4239 (9.4)                     |            | 705.4239 (9.4)                                    | 705.4239 (9.4)                                    |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 693.3456 (13.7)                    |            | 693.3456 (13.7)                                   | 693.3456 (13.7)                                   |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 617.3689 (10)                      |            | 617.3689 (10)                                     | 617.3689 (10)                                     |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 541.1791 (5.3)                     |            | 541.1791 (5.3)                                    | 541.1791 (5.3)                                    |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 457.3345 (13.3)                    |            | 457.3345 (13.3)                                   | 457.3345 (13.3)                                   |                   |           |           |           |
|     |                |                        | 455.3181 (17.7)                    |            | 455.3181 (17.7)                                   | 455.3181 (17.7)                                   |                   |           |           |           |
Table A2. Cont.

| No. | RT ± RTSD [min] | Proposed Neutral Formula | calc. m/z [for Neut. Form.–H] \(^{-}\) | [Error] [ppm] | BPC Fragments; Collision Energy 30 eV (Relative Intensity %) | MS2 Fragments; Collision Energy / (Relative Intensity %) | Sample Abbreviations | VPPR_B_15 | VPAS_TK_15 | VPRI_B_15 |
|-----|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 6.  | 8.57 ± 0.00    | C_{20}H_{46}O_{28}     | 1221.5546                       | 3.2          | not fragmented                                    |                                         | VPPR_B_15           | 2.82      | 3.22       | 3.35       |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 1335.5407 (5.1) [M+FA+FNa–H]–                      | 1291.5337 (7.0) [M+FNa–H]–                | VPAS_TK_15          | 1267.5545  | 1221.5507  | 1217.5545  |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 1289.5337 (7.0) [M+FNa–H]–                           |                                         | VPRI_B_15           | 1221.5507  | 1217.5545  | 1217.5545  |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 1267.5545 (12.8) [M+FA–H]–                           |                                         |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | not fragmented [suggested interpretation]          |                                         |                      |            |            |            |
| 7.  | 8.65 ± 0.00    | C_{30}H_{62}O_{28}     | 1235.5702 471.3116              | 0.6          | 1349.5618 (6.3) [M+FA+FNa–H]–                       | 1303.5527 (5.3) [M+FNa–H]–               | VPPR_B_15           | 22.43     | 41.90      | 30.37      |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 1303.5527 (5.3) [M+FNa–H]–                           |                                         | VPAS_TK_15          | 575.3221  | 529.1771   | 471.3122   |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 1281.5739 (37.0) [M+FA–H]–                           |                                         | VPRI_B_15           | 575.3221  | 529.1771   | 471.3122   |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 1235.5695 (100) [M–H]–                               |                                         |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 623.3663 (26.1) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H]–             | 615.3533 (19.5) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H]– |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 597.3221 (12.7) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H]–             | 529.1771 (17.2) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H]– |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 471.3122 (9.9) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H]–             | 471.3122 (9.9) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H]– |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 469.1568 (9.2) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]–       | 453.3017 (37.1) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]– |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 413.2702 (29.1) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]–       | 395.1191 (18.5) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]– |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 367.1233 (100) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]–       | 353.1075 (6.8) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]– |                      |            |            |            |
|     |                |                         |                                 |              | 307.1017 (5.4) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]–       | 293.0873 (14.9) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–2–H]– |                      |            |            |            |
| No. | RT ± RTSD [min] | Proposed Neutral Formula | calcld. m/z [for Neut. Form.–H] | [Error] [ppm] | RBC Fragments (Collision Energy 30 eV) (Relative Intensity %) | MS2 Fragments (Collision Energy) (Relative Intensity %) | Rel. Area % | Rel. Area % | Rel. Area % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8. | 8.99 ± 0.01 | C₃₂H₄₅O₁₃ | 1073.5174 | 3.1 | 1187.5045 (6.4) [M+FA+FNa–H]⁻ | 633.3613 (47.8) [M–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H]⁻ | 22.46 |
| | | | | | 1141.5003 (4.3) [M+FNa–H]⁻ | 615.3514 (17.2) [M–dxHex–Hex–Pen–18–H]⁻ | 42.64 |
| | | | | | 1219.5172 (21.1) [M+FA–H]⁻ | 575.3216 (8.7) [M–dxHex–Hex–Pen–18–40–H]⁻ | 28.55 |
| | | | | | 1073.5141 (100) [M–H]⁻ | 471.3114 (15.2) [M–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–H]⁻ | |
| 9. | 11.56 ± 0.00 | C₃₇H₴₆O₁₈ | 1237.5859 | 2.5 | 1351.5736 (4.8) [M+FA+FNa–H]⁻ | 473.3268 (18.6) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–H]⁻ | 17.30 |
| | | | | | 1305.5669 (7.2) [M+FNa–H]⁻ | 541.1773 (9.4) | 0.00 |
| | | | | | 1283.5668 (18.2) [M+FA–H]⁻ | 529.1758 (31.1) | 3.56 |
| | | | | | 1237.5828 (100) [M–H]⁻ | 499.166 (40.3) | | |
| No. | RT ± RTSD [min] | Proposed Neutral Formula | calc. m/z [for Neut. Form.–H] \(^{-}\) | |Error| [ppm]| |BPC Fragments, Collision Energy 30 eV (Relative Intensity %)| |MS2 Fragments /Collision Energy/ (Relative Intensity %)| Sample Abbreviations | VPPR_B_15 | VPAS_TK_15 | VPRI_B_15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | 12.08 ± 0.00 | C\(_{52}\)H\(_{96}\)O\(_{23}\) | 1075.5331 | 3.7 | | | 1075.5302 (5.3) [M+H] \(^{+}\) | 1075.5291 (100) [M+H] \(^{+}\) |
| | | C\(_{42}\)H\(_{60}\)O\(_{5}\) | 473.3272 | 1.0 | | | | 1189.5223 (8.8) [M+FA+FA–H] \(^{-}\) |
| | | | | | | | | 1143.5168 (6.3) [M+FA+FA–H] \(^{-}\) |
| | | | | | | | | 1121.5355 (61.4) [M+FA–H] \(^{-}\) |
| | | | | | | | | 1075.5291 (100) [M–H] \(^{-}\) |
| 11. | 13.81 ± 0.01 | C\(_{57}\)H\(_{92}\)O\(_{27}\) | 1207.5753 | 4.8 | | | 1125.5544 (7.6) [M+FA+FA–H] \(^{-}\) | 1207.5695 (100) [M–H] \(^{-}\) |
| | | | | | | | | 1253.5718 (11.6) [M+FA–H] \(^{-}\) |
| | | | | | | | | 1321.5589 (4.1) [M+FA+FA–H] \(^{-}\) |
| | | | | | | | | 10.26 4.89 8.53 |

\(^{1}\) glycoside  
\(^{2}\) aglycone
| No. | RT ± RTSD [min] | Proposed Neutral Formula | calc. m/z [for Neut. Form.–H] | [Error] [ppm] | BPC Fragments; Collision Energy 30 eV (Relative Intensity %) | MS2 Fragments /Collision Energy/ (Relative Intensity %) | Rel. Area % | Rel. Area % | Rel. Area % |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 12. | 13.98 ± 0.00    | C_{30}H_{44}O_{17}       | 1221.5910                     | 1.7           | 1221.580 (4.3) [M+FA+FA–H] − | 1059.5321 (4.5) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–90–H] − | 100.00      | 100.00      | 100.00      |
|     |                 | C_{29}H_{38}O_{15}       | 457.3323                      |               | 1221.5928 (31.9) [M+FA–H] − | 1059.5359 (100) [M–H] − |            |             |             |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1221.5889 (100) [M] −   | 541.1739 (4.7) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1229.5731 (5.0) [M+FA+FA–H] − | 529.1764 (15.5) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1267.5928 (31.9) [M+FA–H] − | 469.1561 (8.1) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1335.5790 (4.3) [M+FA+FA–H] − | 457.3310 (7.9) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1059.5390 (4.5) [M–Hex–Pen–H] − | 395.1182 (16.7) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 751.4257 (100) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–Hex–H] − | 367.1242 (82.1) | | | |
| 13. | 14.69 ± 0.02    | C_{32}H_{46}O_{22}       | 1059.5381                     | 2.1           | 1059.5359 (100) [M–H] − | 1059.5390 (4.5) [M–H] − | 50.19       | 30.06       | 30.30       |
|     |                 | C_{29}H_{32}O_{14}       | 457.3323                      | 1.7           | 1173.5257 (5.8) [M+FA+FA–H] − | 751.4257 (100) [M–Hex–dxHex–Hex–Pen–H] − | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1127.5206 (4.2) [M+FA+FA–H] − | 619.3838 (23.3) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1105.5401 (31.4) [M+FA–H] − | 457.3331 (12.3) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 1059.5359 (100) [M–H] − | 395.1209 (13.8) | | | |
|     |                 |                          |                               |               | 367.1245 (24.6) [M–dxHex–Pen–H] − | 293.0866 (13.2) | | | |

M—glycoside neutral mass (based on comparison spectra in 10 and 30 eV), FA—formic acid neutral mass, FNa—sodium formate neutral mass, Hex—hexose (loss), dxHex—deoxyhexose (loss), Pen—pentose (loss). Bold MS fragment—exceeding 50% of relative intensity. Underlined MS² fragment—expected deprotonated aglycone ion. RT SD based on triplicate measurement. n.i.—not identified due to lack of reliable fragmentation.
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