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**Abstract**

The neuromeric/prosomeric model has been rejuvenated by Puelles and Rubenstein [Trends Neurosci. 1993;16(11):472–9]. Here, its application to the (teleostean) fish brain is detailed, beginning with a historical account. The second part addresses three main issues with particular interest for fish neuroanatomy and looks at the impact of the neuromeric model on their understanding. The first one is the occurrence of four early migrating forebrain areas (M1 through M4) in teleosts and their comparative interpretation. The second issue addresses the complex development and neuroanatomy of the teleostean alar and basal hypothalamus. The third topic is the vertebrate dopaminergic system, with the focus on some teleostean peculiarities. Most of the information will be coming from zebrafish studies, although the general ductus is a comparative one. Throughout the manuscript, comparative developmental and organizational aspects of the teleostean amygdala are discussed. One particular focus is cellular migration streams into the medial amygdala.

This is a very personal account on how the author got involved with zebrafish brain research and contributed to introduce the neuromeric/prosomeric model into this field.

**Part 1: The Basics**

This first of two parts introduces the main characteristics of the amniote neuromeric model and leads over to its application in teleost fish brains.

**Pillars of the Neuromeric/Prosomeric Model**

Although the discussion of body and brain segmentation is much older, it was the seminal paper by Puelles and Rubenstein [1993] that rejuvenated the topic of brain transverse units (segments, neuromeres). These authors summarized how various socioscientific conditions had
led to wide-held views that ignored or dismissed information on vertebrate brain segmentation. Their newly proposed neuromeric/prosomeric model [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993] was initially established for the amniote brain and integrates the interdigitating topology of classical central nervous system (CNS) longitudinal domains (that is, floor, alar, basal, and floor plates) with transverse elements (neuromeres, segments) along the anteroposterior neural tube axis. A main stake of a neuromere is that it includes all four dorsoventral longitudinal zones just mentioned. The neuromeric model quickly proved highly advantageous for topological and developmental vertebrate brain analyses – in particular for cross-species comparisons – and, in its wake, influenced hundreds of publications.

One main reason for the neuromeric model’s success is that it is based on early developmental gene expression patterns and their roles for brain development [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993]. Various locally emitted morphogenes near (e.g., from skin, somites, notochord) and within the neuroepithelium (e.g., from midbrain-hindbrain boundary, roof plate, floor plate) before and during the transitory embryonic neuromeric phase initiate dynamic differential gene expression in the vertebrate neural tube. This first leads to cross- and autoregulatory as well as repressive gene activity in the neural tube which results, for example, in the formation of a series of hindbrain neuromeres (i.e., rhombomeres 1 through 8 in Fig. 1) having interrhomomeric boundaries (reviews [Cordes, 2001; Alexander et al., 2009]). Finally, various Hox cluster genes are expressed in an anteroposterior hierarchical fashion in rhombomeres 1 through 8 or genes are specifically expressed in particular rhombomeres (e.g., Krox20 in 3/5, Hoxb1 in 4), always respecting neuromeric boundaries. Subsequently, this differential gene expression leads to the identity and fate of cells constituting each rhombomere [Holland and Hogan, 1988; Wilkinson and Krumlauf, 1990; Hunt et al., 1991; Hunt and Krumlauf, 1992; Krumlauf et al., 1993; Lumsden and Krumlauf, 1996].

A major issue for the neuromeric model is segmental boundaries. In addition to gene expression, a second major argument for recognizing vertebrate brain neuromeres is clonal cell lineage restriction, which is itself the result of differential rhombomeric gene expression noted above. There is a considerable body of work showing experimentally during this early embryonic neuromeric time period, when amniote rhombomeres (1–8 in Fig. 1) are factually seen even morphologically, that cell clones of one rhombomere in general do not cross interrhomomeric boundaries [Fraser et al., 1990; Larsen et al., 2001], except for a small fraction of cells [Birgbauer and Fraser, 1994]. This is of critical importance during the initial development of motor nuclei in the rhombencephalon (Fig. 1a–c; motor nuclei after [Gilland and Baker, 2005]; zebrafish efferent octavolateralis and facial motor neurons after [Beiriger et al., 2021]). However, this process can be experimentally manipulated by altering gene expression, which leads to predictably different motor neuron identities [Lumsden, 2004; Kiecker and Lumsden, 2005], directly demonstrating the role of neuromere-specific gene activity for cell fate.

Thus, the primary rhombomeric origin of some cranial nerve motor nuclei is identical interspecifically (e.g., IV in 1, V in 2/3, VI in 5, VII in 4, IX in 6; see Fig. 1a–c). However, zebrafish and frog (Xenopus) facial and zebrafish abducens motor neurons originate additionally in the respective posteriorly adjacent rhombomere. What is more, motor neurons may later migrate in the postneuromeric stage tangentially into more posterior rhombomeric locations (Fig. 1d, e; migrated motor nuclei after [Gilland and Baker, 2005]; zebrafish efferent octavolaterals and facial motor neurons after [Beiriger et al., 2021]), for example, mouse facial motor neurons into 5/6 (Fig. 1d) or zebrafish facial/octavolateralis and glossopharyngeal motor neurons into 6/7 and 7, respectively (Fig. 1e). Without the prosomeric/neuromeric concept, these interspecific differences in motor neuron primary origin and subsequent dynamic developmental changes in location would almost be impossible to understand [Kinkhabwala et al., 2011].

The neuromeric/prosomeric model also recognizes transverse elements in the amniote forebrain (prosomeres, initially six in number [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993]), but they have remained somewhat more controversial than rhombomeres (review in [Wullimann, 2017]). There is evidence for a forebrain-midbrain boundary [Larsen et al., 2001] and the zona limitans intrathalamic (inset in Fig. 1d) forms a transverse boundary between dorsal and ventral thalamus [Zeltser et al., 2001]. While clonal cell lineage restriction of rhombomeres has been well established (see above), there is much less comparable information in the amniote forebrain. However, similar clonal cell labeling experiments in the chick showed that a ventral thalamic, dorsal thalamic, and pretectal neuromere/prosomere (the latter bisected by the posterior commissure) do exist [Figdor and Stern, 1993]. Fate map studies using quail-chick grafts also show the early existence of these three diencephalic prosomeres [García-López et al., 2009]. Furthermore, various genes are expressed in specific amniote prosomeres, Prox in pretectum, Gbx2 in...
dorsal thalamus, Dlx2 in ventral thalamus ([Larsen et al., 2001]; see also zebrafish data in: The specifics). All this supports the recognition of three amniote prosomeres in the diencephalon, i.e., from posterior to anterior, a pretectal (P1), dorsal thalamic (thalamic) (P2) and ventral thalamic (prethalamic) (P3) one, classically referred to as pretectum, dorsal thalamus, and ventral thalamus. Anterior to P3 (prethalamus) is a large and complexly organized transverse unit that includes the entire hypothalamus and telencephalon, termed the secondary prosencephalon (for more information, see: The specifics).

An equally important aspect of the neuromeric/prosomeric model is the direct demonstration of the true longitudinal (anteroposterior) axis of the CNS through longitudinal gene expression patterns, such as that of the ventrally expressed signaling factor coding gene Sonic hedgehog (Shh) [Shimamura et al., 1995]. Thus, two main deflections from the general anteroposterior body axis occur in the amniote brain: one in a dorsal direction in the anterior hindbrain and one in the opposite direction in the forebrain (see course of chain line in mouse brain example in Fig. 1a, d) with the ventrally directed forebrain deflection being obvious in anamniote brains as well (see Fig. 1b, c, e, f). This is a major conceptual change deviating from previous views (columnar models; see discussion in [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993]). Together with the transverse...
gene expression patterns mentioned above, the longitudinal ones allow for adequate topological allocation of neural structures. For example, the early basal (ventral) forebrain is directly opposite to the basal hindbrain. This is paramount for understanding dorsoventral and ventrodorsal signaling and its resulting major differentiation effects along the entire neural tube. The model also allows for understanding the true topological relationship of the diencephalon, whose major parts form an anteroposterior series and not a ventrodorsal one, as interpreted in much of classical neuroanatomy. Thus, the hypothalamus (H) is anterior (not ventral) to the ventral thalamic prosomere, which is thus newly interpreted as prethalamic because it lies anterior to the dorsal thalamic prosomere (thalamus proper). The latter, in turn, lies anterior to pretectum (Pr; Fig. 1a). Since the hypothalamus (alar and basal plate portions; Fig. 1a) belongs together with the telencephalon to the same large, most anterior CNS division (i.e., the secondary prosencephalon), the traditional term “diencephalon” designates therefore not a true transverse unit and...

**Fig. 1.** Brain schematics in lateral view for amniotes (a, d), teleosts (b, e) and amphibia (c, f) point out neuromeric divisions. Left side panels [a–c; adapted from Wullimann, 2020] emphasize forebrain with prefrontal (P1) prosomere in dark gray, (dorsal) thalamic (P2) prosomere in green and ventral thalamic/prethalamic (P3) in blue. Note that for reasons given in the text, an early version of the amniote neuromeric model [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993] is given in (a, d). In contrast, the zebrafish model follows in general that proposed by Wullimann and Puelles [1999]. Positions of early migrated teleostean forebrain areas M1 through M4 are highlighted (orange structures in B). In midbrain and hindbrain (a–c), primary neuromeric locations of motor nuclei (red) are shown [after Gilland and Baker, 2005; zebrafish efferent octavothalamic and facial motor neurons after Beiriger et al., 2021]. Right side panels [d–f; adapted from Vernier and Wullimann, 2009] emphasize basal plate portions of prosomeres (bPs in dark gray) and dopamine systems in midbrain and forebrain (pink structures) interpreted within the neuromeric model. Mouse A8–A15 dopamine cell groups correspond to the nomenclature of Smeets and González [2000] and Björklund and Dunnett [2007]. The Arabic numbers of zebrafish dopamine cell groups are taken from Rink and Wullimann [2002]. *Xenopus* dopamine nuclei are according to González et al. [1994a, b], González and Smeets [1994], Smeets and González [2000], and Xavier et al. [2017]. The *inset* in (d) shows Ngn2 expression in the mouse diencephalon at this sagittal section level (modified from Osório et al. [2010]; see text). In the hindbrain (d, e) secondary (tangentially migrated) positions of various motor nuclei (red–rimmed) are shown [after Gilland and Baker, 2005; zebrafish efferent octavothalamic and facial motor neurons after Beiriger et al., 2021]. Prosomeric and rhombomeric boundaries are indicated by dashed lines. g Postembryonic zebrafish brain proliferation zones visualized either with PCNA [Wullimann and Puelles, 1999; Wullimann and Knipp, 2000] or BrDU [Mueller and Wullimann, 2002a] support prosomeric model [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993]. Modified from Mueller and Wullimann [2016]. Alar plate (dorsal) and basal plate (ventral) are separated by a chain line along the anteroposterior axes (note flexures deviating from general body axis; see text). h Schema shows larval brain zebrafish brain schema in lateral view with indication of general body axes on top and in red the anteroposterior and dorsoventral (alar–basal) neuromeres that respect the brain curvature [modified from Herget et al., 2014]. Additionally, intrahypothalamic neuromeres are indicated, and tuberal (gray) and mammillary (light blue) basal hypothalamic parts are highlighted. i Larval zebrafish basal hypothalamus with various landmark–providing markers [after Wang et al. [2001]; Rink and Guo [2004]; Forlano and Cone [2007], general basal hypothalamic gene expression (see text for citations) and specific tuberal (TubH) and mammillary hypothalamic (MamH) gene expression after Schredel and Driever [2020], a, anterior; ac, anterior commissure; AEP, anterior entopeduncular area (mouse); Agrp, Agouti-related protein; H, anterior hypothalamic hypothalamic (mouse); bP–3, basal parts of prosomeres 1–3; Ce, cerebellum; CeP, cerebellar plate; Chrpβ, corticotropin-releasing hormone binding protein; d(a), dorsal(alar); Dop, dopamine; Dl, dorsal thalamus; E, epithysis; EGL, external granular layer; EmT, eminentia thalami; H, hypothalamus; Ha, habenula; Hc, Hi, Hr, caudal, intermediate, rostral androventricular hypothalamic zone; Hc, hypothalamic cell cord (mouse); Hist, histamine; InCo, inferior colliculus; M1, early migrated prefrontal area; M2, early migrated posterior tubercular area (preglomerular complex); M3, early migrated area of eminentia thalami; M4, early migrated telencephalic area; MA, mammillary hypothalamus (mouse); MamH, mammillary hypothalamus (zebrafish); md, mediadorsal tectal proliferation; MO, medulla oblongata; MSH, α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone; mv, medioventral tectal proliferation; N, area of the nucleus of the medial longitudinal fascicle; OB, olfactory bulb; oc, optic chiasm; p, posterior; P, pallium; P1–P3, prosomeres 1–3; PEP, posterior entopeduncular area (mouse); Po, preoptic region; POA, anterior preoptic area (mouse); poc, postoptic commissure; POP, posterior preoptic area (mouse); Pr, pretectum; PtD, dorsal posterior tuberculum; PTV, ventral posterior tuberculum; PTM, posterior tectal membrane; RCH, retrochiasmatic hypothalamic (mouse); RCT, rostral cerebellar thickening (valvula); Rho–AP, alar plate proliferation of rhombencephalon; Rho–BP, basal plate proliferation of rhombencephalon; RL, rhombic lip; S, subpallium; SC, spinal cord; Sd, dorsal division of subpallium; SePr, secondary prosencephalon; SH, suprachiasmatic area (mouse); SPV, supraopto-paraventricular area; SuCo, superior colliculus; Sv, ventral division of subpallium; T, midbrain tegmen- tum; TeO, tectum opticum; TeVe, tectal ventricle; TS, torus semi-circularis; Tu, tuberal hypothalamic mouse (mouse); TubH, tuberal hypothalamic zebrafish; v(b), ventral(basin); Va, valvula cerebelli; VCP, ventral cerebellar proliferative layer; Ve, forebrain ventricle; VT, ventral thalamus (prethalamus); VTA/SN, ventral tectal area/substantia nigra, x location of ventricular proliferation zone of EmT; ZLI, zona limitans intrathalamica. 1–8 rhombomeres 1 through 8, additionally in panel e: 1–7 designate larval zebrafish dopaminergic cells groups (see text for details), III, IV, V (Va/Vp), VI (Vab/Vlp), VII, VIII, IX, X, XII oculomotor, trochlear, trigeminal (anterior/posterior trigeminal), abducens (anterior/posterior abducens), facial, octavothalamic efferent, gosshopharyngeal, vagal, hypoglossal motor nuclei, 5-HT 5-hydroxytryptamine.
is newly used for P1 through P3 only. However, for didactic reasons, the author continues here to use the terms dorsal and ventral thalamus (DT/VT) in the traditional sense, i.e., designating the alar parts of these two prosomeres, respectively, and excluding the habenula and pineal/parietal from this usage of DT.

The Neuromeric Model in Fish Brain Research
Initiated by the Oregon School of George Streisinger and collaborators during the 1970s and 1980s (e.g., [Streisinger et al., 1981]), the zebrafish *Danio rerio* (formerly *Brachydanio rerio*) has become the main developmentally studied fish species. Thus, much of the following will be dealing with this animal, but always with a focus on comparative vertebrate brain aspects. However, the author will not address cartilaginous fish and agnathans. Our own work involved the analysis of the entire zebrafish brain and sometimes also focused on hindbrain issues, such as cerebellar, rhombic lip and raphe development ([Lillesaar et al., 2009; Volkmann et al., 2010]; review [Wullimann et al., 2011; Biechl et al., 2016]). However, the author will restrict himself below to comparative fore-
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After molecular genetics revolutionized the zebrafish field in the early 1990ties (reviews by [Mullins and Nüsslein-Volhard, 1993; Mullins et al., 1994; Postlethwait et al., 1994; Solnica-Krezel et al., 1994]), gene expression and functional genetic studies accelerated and major progress was made regarding the genoarchitectonics of zebrafish rhombomeric development (e.g., [Niestølstad and Fjose, 1988; Oxtoby and Jowett, 1993; Moens et al., 1998; Prince, 1998; Prince et al., 1998; Kimmel et al., 2001]). However, prosomeres were not addressed in this early zebrafish work.

Harry Bergquist [Bergquist, 1932, 1954; Bergquist and Källén, 1954], a member of the Swedish Comparative Embryological School, early proposed forebrain neuromeres in addition to hindbrain neuromeres and included various fish species for documentation (see reviews [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993; Vernier and Wullimann, 2009; Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]). To my knowledge, our adult brain atlas “Neuroanatomy of the Zebrafish Brain” ([Wullimann et al., 1996]; Figure 2) was the first publication that explicitly discussed the prosomeric model of Puelles and Rubenstein [1993] in a zebrafish context. My subsequent personal entry point into develop-

Fig. 2. Zebrafish adult forebrain neuroanatomy shown in transverse Bodian silver/cresyl stained sections [panels modified from Wullimann et al., 1996, see there for full account]. a Precommissural telencephalon. b Commissural telencephalon level. d Rostral diencephalon. e Caudal diencephalon. These four levels show peripherally migrated forebrain cell areas (highlighted with red letters), such as sub pallial (Ve, VI), entopeduncular (END, ENV), pretectal (CPN, PSP, PSM, DAO) and preglomerular nuclei (PGI, PGm) (see text). c Shows preoptic region in between (b, d). f Slightly more caudal level than (e) with intermediate nucleus of Hd (see text). g Most caudal diencephalic section with posterior and lateral recess at the same transverse level of caudal hypothalamus (Hc; see text). h Lateral view of adult zebrafish brain with transverse section levels indicated. i Shows drawing of parasagittal section through zebrafish preoptic region (black) [modified from Herget et al., 2014]. Note that the suprachiasmatic nucleus (shown in c) is lateral to the section level. Red line is alar-basal plate boundary. Scale bars, 200 μm. A, anterior thalamic nucleus; ac, anterior commissure; acd, acv, dorsal, ventral anterior commissure; APN, accessory prepectal nucleus [of Wullimann and Meyer [1990]; ATN, anterior tuberal nucleus; CC, cerebellar crest; Cc, corpus cerebelli; CM, corpus mammillare; CP, central posterior thalamic nucleus; CPN, central prepectal nucleus; DAO, dorsal accessory optic nucleus; Dc Dd, Dl, Dm, Dp, central, dorsal, lateral, medial, posterior zone of dorsal telencephalic area; DiL, diffuse nucleus of the inferior lobe; Div, diencephalic ventricle; dot, dorso medial optic tract; DP, dorsal posterior thalamic nucleus; DT, dorsal thal- mas; EG, eminentia granularis; END, ENV, dorsal, ventral entop- eduncular nucleus; fr, fasciculus retroflexus; H, (basal) hypothala- mus; Ha, habenula; Had, dorsal habenular nucleus; Hav, ventral habenular nucleus; he, horizontal commissure; Hc, Hd, Hv, caudal, dorsal, ventral zone of periventricular hypothalamus; IN, intermediate nucleus of Hd; I fb, lateral forebrain bundle; LH, lateral hypothalamic nucleus; LI, lobus inferior; LL, lateral line nerves; lot, lateral olfactory tract; LR, lateral recess of diencephalic ventricle; mfb, medial forebrain bundle; MO, medulla oblongata; mot, me- dial olfactory tract; OB, olfactory bulb; oc, optic chiasma; ot, optic tract; pc, posterior commissure; PG, preglomerular complex; PGI, lateral preglomerular nucleus; PGm, medial preglomerular nucleus; Pit, pituitary; PM, magnocellular preoptic nucleus; PMg, gigan- tocellular part of PM; poc, postoptic commissure; PPa, anterior paraventricular preoptic nucleus; PPd, dorsal periventricular pretec- tal nucleus; PPP, posterior paraventricular preoptic nucleus; PVP, ventral periventricular prepectal nucleus; PSm, magnocellular su- perficial pretectal nucleus; PSP, paraventricular superficial pretectal nucleus; PTN, posterior tuberal nucleus; PVO, paraventricular or- gan; SC, suprachiasmatic nucleus (spinal cord in H); SD, saccus dorsalis; SY, sulcus ypsiloniformis; tc, tectal commissure; Tel, tel- encephalon; ToE, tectum opticum; TeV, tectal ventricle; TH, tu- beral hypothalamus; TL, torus longitudinalis; TLA, torus lateralis; TP, posterior tuberculum; TPP, periventricular nucleus of TP; tpm, tractus prepectomamillaris; VC, Vd, VI, Vs, Vv, central, dorsal, lateral, suprapraommisural, ventral nucleus of ventral telencephalic area; VL, ventrolateral thalamic nucleus; VLO, vagal lobe; VM, ventromedial thalamic nucleus; vpt, ventrolateral optic tract; VT, ventral thalamic (prethalamus); IV, olfactory nerve; II, optic nerve; IV, trochlear nerve; V, trigeminal nerve; VII, facial nerve; X, vagal nerve.
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opmental zebrafish brain research was the realization that proliferation patterns are at the core of the consideration of neuromeres and form the basis for understanding brain genoarchitectonics discussed in the second part (The specifics). Proliferation zones are also paramount to understand histogenetic units, i.e., [Puelles and Medina, 2002] defined as all cellular derivatives originating from a particular proliferation zone. Therefore, we next undertook a thorough analysis of early (2–5 days) zebrafish brain proliferation patterns (Fig. 1g), first visualizing the proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) [Wullimann and Puellens, 1999; Wullimann and Knipp, 2000], and later confirming the data using a BrdU strategy [Mueller and Wullimann, 2002a]. The working hypothesis was that during the neuromeric period the pattern of proliferation zones should show neuromeric brain organization. Indeed, in addition to neuromeric patterns of alar and basal plate proliferation zones in rhombomeres, discrete proliferation zones in both alar and basal pretectum (P1), dorsal thalamus (P2), and ventral thalamus (P3) were obvious (Fig. 1g). This suggested a three-prosomere model (Fig. 1) and was then first proposed for the zebrafish brain based on these early proliferation patterns [Wullimann and Puellens, 1999]. The larval zebrafish brain proliferation patterns anterior to ventral thalamus are highly complex and not easily interpreted in a prosomeric fashion. Thus, the initially proposed three anterior-most prosomeres (including telencephalon and hypothalamus) were newly considered to represent a large and complex so-called secondary prosencephalon (SePr in Fig. 1g) with many subdivisions that are not obviously prosomeric in nature [Wullimann and Puellens, 1999]. We also proposed a similar three-prosomere model for X. tropicalis (Fig. 1c; after [Wullimann et al., 2005]). Moreover, together with follow-up studies involving genes active in neurogenesis, we concluded that a new (secondary) wave of neurogenesis – after a primary embryonic one – emerges during the neurogenic period (discussed in [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]).

Thus, we fastly arrived at a first genoarchitectonic segmentation of the diencephalon (summarized in [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a]; Fig. 3e) and much of it will be discussed below (see: The specifics). A main result of our initial gene expression analyses was that most brain areas are either characterized by gene expression leading to GABAergic cells (e.g., subpallium, alar ventral alamus, hindbrain GABA positive stripes) or by different gene expression leading to the glutamatergic cell phenotype (pallium, alar dorsal thalamus). Other areas (e.g., alar pretectum, basal plate diencephalon) express markers of both categories but always in different cells (see more details below).

Of note, the eminentia thalami (EmT) represents prosomere 4 in the initial amniote prosomeric model [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993]. In the zebrafish, we saw a small proliferation zone sandwiched between the large ventral thalamic and the preoptic proliferation zones and suggested that it might be the proliferative zone of the EmT [Wullimann and Puellens, 1999]. This was later confirmed in detail [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004b; Mueller et al., 2008] with very specific gene expression (see: The specifics). Thus, the author considers the EmT part of the secondary prosencephalon and neither a prosomere in its own right (as in [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993]) nor part of P3 (as in [Puelles and Rubenstein, 2003]) and the schematics used (Fig. 1) follow this view. The terminology shown in the schema for the mouse (Fig. 1a, g) stem from the original paper by Puelles and Rubenstein [1993] because this explanatory schema is used in several of our and other publications discussed here. Thus, the author

![Fig. 3. a–d Zebrafish larval forebrain neuroanatomy shown in transverse Hu-protein stained sections. a Commissural telencephalon with early migrated telencephalic area M4. b Postcommissural telencephalon with early migrated area of eminentia thalami M3. c Rostral diencephalon with early migrated posterior tubercular area M2 (preglomerular complex) and early migrated preterminal area M1. d Caudal diencephalon with early migrated posterior tubercular area M2 (preglomerular complex). e Summary of larval diencephalic zebrafish gene expression patterns (see text for details). f Posterovernal hypothalamic level shows lateral and posterior ventricular recess. Panels (a–f) modified from Mueller and Wullimann [2016]; see there for full account. a–b1 Corresponding sections with diagnostic regulatory gene markers to identify larval zebrafish migrated areas M4 and M3 [panels modified from Mueller et al., 2008]. ac, anterior commissure; ALLG, anterior lateral line ganglion; bHLH, basic helix-loop-helix; DT, dorsal thalamus; E, epiphysis; EmT, eminentia thalami; Ha, habenula; Hc, Hi, Hr, caudal, intermediate, rostral periventricular hypothalamic zone; lfb, lateral forebrain bundle; LR, lateral ventricular recess of periventricular hypothalamus; M1, early migrated preterminal aera; M2, early migrating posterior tubercular area (preglomerular complex); M3, early migrated area of eminentia thalami; M4, early migrated diencephalic area; mlf, medial longitudinal fascicle; MO, medulla oblongata; oc, optic chiasma; P, pallium; pc, posterior commissure; Po, preoptic area; poc, postoptic commissure; Pr, pretectum; PR, posterior ventricular recess of periventricular hypothalamus; PT, posterior tuberculum; Sdp, posterior subdivision of dorsal part of subpallium (subpallial amygdala homolog); SPV, supraopto-paraventricular region; T, midbrain tegmentum; TeO, tectum opticum; TG, trigeminal ganglion; TS, torus semicircularis; ZLI, zona limitans intrathalamica. For gene names see text.](For figure see next page.)
keeps this schema here in order to relate intelligibly and lucidly to this previous literature.

As discussed above for amniotes, there are various requirements for recognizing a neuromere. One is differential gene expression respecting neuromeric boundaries. The EmT expresses genes leading to glutamatergic neuronal development (see: The specifics) and is, thus, genoarchitectonically different from the ventral thalamus which expresses genes involved in GABAergic neuronal development (see: The specifics). This would rather speak for EmT not being part of P3. Differential gene expression may be consistent with recognizing a neuromere, but is not sufficient because many examples exist for intraneuromeric genoarchitectonic differences (see: The specifics). Thus, a second requirement for a neuromere are boundaries and interrelated clonal cell restriction as discussed above for amniote rhombomeres. However, this requirement is also not sufficient because boundaries can
arise through other than neuromeric processes, as for example, the pallial-supallial boundary which is neither transverse nor neuromeric. Critical is that a neuromeric boundary extends throughout the dorsoventral extent of the neural tube from roof to floor plate as is clearly the case for rhombomeres. In the zebrafish (as discussed similarly in amniotes above), there is evidence for a forebrain-midbrain boundary [Scholpp et al., 2003; Erickson et al., 2007] and of course there is in zebrafish, as always in vertebrates, a boundary formed by the zona limitans intrathalamica between P2/P3 [Scholpp et al., 2006; Peukert et al., 2011; Mattes et al., 2012; Wullimann and Umeasalugo, 2020]. However, neuromeric boundaries between P1-P2 and P3-Secondary prosencephalon have not been described to my knowledge in any vertebrate. In conclusion, the EmT does not fulfill the requirement for a separate neuromere nor is it evidently part of P3. For the latter to be the case, a continuous neuromeric boundary as defined above would have to be shown anterior to EmT and the rest of P3. Thus, the author has remained with this three-prosomere plus secondary prosencephalon model as an explanatory instrument ever since and it will be used in the following (see: The specifics).

The three-prosomere model subsequently gained strong support by various zebrafish brain developmental gene expression patterns [Hauptmann and Gerster, 2000; Hauptmann et al., 2002; Lauter et al., 2013]. Thus, three prosomeres form the posterior forebrain, including P1 (pretectum), P2 (dorsal thalamus), and P3 (prethalamus, formerly ventral thalamus) from posterior to anterior also in the zebrafish. Equally important for the model is that the posterior forebrain has alar and basal plate components (as does the anteriorly lying hypothalamus/te-}

encephalon or secondary prosencephalon, SePr in Fig. 1h). More details will be discussed in Part 2 (The specifics).

**Part 2: The Specifics**

In this second part, the author focuses on three cases in which the neuromeric/prosomeric approach tremendously helped zebrafish forebrain research.

**The Early Migrating Forebrain Areas M1 through M4**

The first prominent example where the prosomeric model has been instrumental for resolving the developmental relationship of zebrafish larval to adult structures is how the identity of early migrated teleostean forebrain areas has been resolved. The adult teleostean forebrain contains various migrated nuclei (indicated by red letters in Fig. 2; for all abbreviations see legend). In the zebrafish, these include migrated subpallial nuclei (Vc,Vl; Fig. 2a) followed posteriorly by dorsal and ventral entopeduncular nuclei (EnD,EnV; Fig. 2b). In the rostral diencephalon, the zebrafish pretectum exhibits various migrated nuclei (PsP,PsM,CPN,DAO; Fig. 2d) and, finally, the caudal diencephalon has various migrated nuclei belonging to the preglomerular complex (PGA/PGL/PGM; Fig. 2e; for full account see [Wullimann et al., 1996]; e.g., regarding an alternative hypothesis that the PSp might be homologous to the griseum tectale of birds). When the larval zebrafish brain came into research focus, four early migrated forebrain areas (M1 through M4; orange structures in Fig. 1b) were described at comparable forebrain levels (easily seen in a stain of Hu-proteins for early differentiated neurons; Fig. 3a–d; panels modified from [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]). Although at first sight, a one-to-one relationship between larval M4 through M1 and telencephalic, entopeduncular, preglomerular, and pretectal areas is attractive, the real situation turns out to be more complicated.

Subsequent larval zebrafish brain developmental studies involved expression analysis of basic Helix-Loop-Helix (bHLH) and downstream expressed genes functionally related to neurogenesis and revealed great similarities to amniote telencephalic development (reviewed in [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]). These zebrafish studies showed that bHLH genes Neurogenin1 (Ngn1) and NeuroD are expressed in developing neurons in the zebrafish pallium (Fig. 4c, right panel). In contrast, Mash1 (Ascl1a, formerly Mash1 in mouse) is complementarily expressed in the subpallium (review in [Gerlach and Wullimann, 2021]), as is the downstream subpallial marker gene Dlx2a (Fig. 4a, b). For the sake of simplicity, respective zebrafish pallial and subpallial expression domains of bHLH genes Ngn1/NeuroD and Ascl1a are only shown in panel 4c but not in Figure 4a, b (see [Wullimann and Mueller, 2002] and [Mueller and Wullimann, 2002b, 2003] for full account). The LIM genes Lhx7 and Lhx6 are more restrictively expressed in the pallial part of the sub-pallium (i.e., Sdv), and Lhx6 extends – like Dlx2a – laterally into peripherally migrated cell masses of M4 (Fig. 3a1; Fig. 4 a, b). Furthermore, gad67 (gad1b), the gene coding for the synthetic enzyme leading to GABA, and the latter itself (both not shown) have fitting larval subpallial expression pattern (not shown; see [Mueller et al., 2006, 2008]). Thus, the message regarding the identity of M4 could not be clearer: these larval migrated cell masses express genes diagnostic for developing inhibitory GA-
BAergic neurons ([Mueller et al., 2008]; more literature summarized in [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]) which are finally seen in the adult migrated subpallial nuclei (Vc, Vl; [Mueller and Guo, 2009]), as also in the non-migrated (periventricular) subpallial nuclei (Vv, Vd). However, also the adult dorsal entopeduncular nucleus (ENd) is GABAergic [Mueller and Guo, 2009] which identifies it as another (i.e., subpallial) derivative of M4. Indeed, later fate studies confirmed that (pallidal) Vl, Vc, and ENd (but not ENv) derive from various Dlx gene expressing cells [Solek et al., 2017].

The situation is completely different for the adult zebrafish ventral entopeduncular nucleus (ENv; Fig. 2b). For starters, it does not contain GABA cells [Mueller and Guo, 2009]. This is in line with larval peripherally migrated cell mass of the eminentia thalami M3 being characterized genoarchitectonically by expression of bHLH genes and downstream expressed genes that are diagnostic for excitatory glutamatergic neuronal development, i.e., the bHLH genes Ngn1 and NeuroD ([Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a]; summarized in [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]; Fig. 3e) and in particular by expression of Tbr1 [Mione et al., 2001] and Tbr2 genes [Mueller et al., 2008]. In contrast, the eminentia thalami lacks gene expression leading to the GABAergic neuronal phenotype (i.e., Ascl1a, Dlx1/2 or for that matter, gad67 [Mueller et
Thus, the zebrafish eminentia thalami and its derivatives are glutamatergic. In particular the expression of Tbr1 and Tbr2 genes (which are expressed in pallium of both zebrafish and amniotes) in the migrated area M3 identifies the latter comparatively as a derivative of the eminentia thalami (which in the mouse expresses both Tbr genes [Englund et al., 2005]). Thus, the identification of ENv as a derivative of the eminentia thalami is supported by comparable developmental gene expression data in mouse (review in [Gerlach and Wullimann, 2021]). This led to the conclusion that whereas the dorsal entopeduncular nucleus (ENd) is part of the subpallium (pallidum), the ventral entopeduncular nucleus (ENv) is a derivative of the eminentia thalami and likely homologous to the bed nucleus of the stria medullaris [BNSM; Mueller and Guo, 2009; Mueller and Wullimann, 2009], a conclusion also reached after similar experiments by Ganzet al. [2012].

This identification was then confirmed by the demonstration that the zebrafish ENv forms a major projection to the habenula [Hendricks and Jesuthasan, 2007; Turner et al., 2016] expected for the BNSM. Furthermore, Turner et al. [2016] used zebrafish Lhx5-GFP and Lhx5-Kaede transgenic zebrafish lines to corroborate the habenular input from ENv and to show elegantly the origin of the ENv from the embryonic eminentia thalami, respectively. This paper also corroborated that ENv cells express Tbr1, are calretinin positive and glutamatergic [Turner et al., 2016]. These authors concluded that the zebrafish ENv is a small glutamatergic part of the pallidum (otherwise known to consist overwhelmingly of GABAergic cells), as had been done before Amo et al. [2014], a conclusion not followed here for the following reasons. The mammalian (e.g., rodent) entopeduncular nucleus corresponds to the primate internal globus pallidus, hence the historical speculative naming of teleostean End/ENv. Clearly, the rodent entopeduncular nucleus (i.e., internal pallidum) forms a major input to the lateral habenula [Batalla et al., 2017; Fakhoury, 2018; Roman et al., 2020]. Furthermore, somewhat controversial cellular co-release of GABA and glutamate has been reported in this pathway [Shabel et al., 2012, 2014]. However, in the adult zebrafish brain, only ENd contains GABAergic neurons [Mueller and Guo, 2009], qualifying ENd as part of pallidum, but it does not project to the habenula [Turner et al., 2016]. In contrast, the adult ENv has no GABA neurons [Mueller and Guo, 2009] but does project to the habenula [Hendricks and Jesuthasan, 2007; Turner et al., 2016]. Moreover, there is an alternative comparative interpretation for the ENv because mammals have a bed nucleus of the stria medullaris (BNSM) whose cells are enkephalinergic and project to the medial habenula ([Shinoda and Tohyama, 1987; Risold and Swanson, 1995]; recent review by [Roman et al., 2020]). Indeed, Abbott and Jacobowitz [1999] describe the developing mouse eminentia thalami as transiently calretinin positive cells which massively contribute axons to the stria medullaris leading into the habenula. This is highly similar to what is described above for the zebrafish ENv [Hendricks and Jesuthasan, 2007; Turner et al., 2016]. Thus, development, molecular neurogenetics, and adult neuronal markers as well as connectivity allow for the teleostean M3/ENv to alternatively correspond to the mammalian BNSM and not to part of the pallidum.

A further comment is necessary regarding the most caudal (postcommissural) telencephalic level (Fig. 4c). We had only recently discussed comparatively the larval zebrafish and embryonic mouse forebrain [Gerlach and Wullimann, 2021]. Briefly, the zebrafish subpallium includes septal (Sv = adult Vv), striatal (Sdd = adult Vdd), pallidal (Sdv = adult Vd) and subpallial amygdalar (Sdp = adult Vs, Vp, Vi) divisions (Fig. 2a, b; Fig. 3a–d, Fig. 4a, b, for abbreviations see respective legends). The entire larval subpallium is characterized by gene expression associated with the developing GABAergic neuronal phenotype, such as the bHLH gene Ascl1a (formerly Zash1a [Wullimann and Mueller, 2002]) or various Dlx genes (Dlx1a [Zerucha et al., 2000]; Dlx2a [Mueller et al., 2008]; Dlx5/6 [Turner et al., 2016]). The most posterior subpallial area (i.e., the intermediate nucleus of ventral telencephalon, Vi, was most recently characterized in larval and adult zebrafish brains [Herget et al., 2014; Biechl et al., 2017] and recognized as the homolog of the amniote medial amygdala (Fig. 4c). This was based on kin recognition related behavior, vomeronasal-like peripheral input, higher-order neuronal connectivity, and neuronal activity (review by [Gerlach and Wullimann, 2021]).

Moreover, Vi cells contain orthopedia (Otp) protein (Fig. 5a), a diagnostic transcription factor for the medial amygdala [Herget et al., 2014; Affaticati et al., 2015; Biechl et al., 2017]; see also Section 2). Furthermore, the transcription factor coding gene islet1 is expressed basally along the neuraxis (zebrafish [Higashijima et al., 2000; Baeuml et al., 2019]). Islet1 was reported to be expressed in subpallial Vv/Vd/Vs (the latter two only partly), but not in the caudal subpallial divisions (Vp/Vi), although a distinct Islet1-GFP terminal field was erroneously reported in Vi (Fig. 3c–e” of [Baeuml et al., 2019]). However, a re-examination of zebrafish Islet1-GFP brains counterstained with Otp antibody showed that the Otp positive cell bodies of Vi are ventral to this terminal field, the latter being itself in the caudal me-
dial zone of the pallium (Dm). Moreover, a few Islet1-positive cells are present in Vi (Fig. 5b).

Porter and Mueller [2020] recently reported based on the Lhx5-GFP line (already discussed above in the context of the eminentia thalami) and Otp immunohistochemistry that also Vi expresses Lhx5, cellularly co-localized with Otp. Because the eminentia thalami and its derivative, the ENv are characterized by Lhx5 expression [Turner et al., 2016], Porter and Mueller [2020] concluded that Vi is another (i.e., rostral) division of the eminentia thalami. The author disagrees strongly with this conclusion. First, the zebrafish preoptic region also contains Lhx5 cells and, thus, one could equally argue that Vi is a part of the preoptic region (see Section 2). Second, it has been convincingly shown that the amniote medial amygdala (see citations and discussion in [Gerlach and Wullimann, 2021]) is a basically GABAergic division of the subpallial amygdala which receives unusual large contributions of glutamatergic cells from other regions via tangential migration (Fig. 4c; dotted arrows in left panel). These include for example Lhx9 positive cells from the ventral pallium [García-López et al., 2008; Bupesh et al., 2011b] or – important for the argument here – Otp/Lhx5 positive cells from the supraoptic-paraventricular (preoptic) region ([García-Moreno et al., 2010]; see Section 2), plus – somewhat ironically – Pax6 positive cells from the eminentia thalami [Puelles et al., 2000; Abellán and Medina, 2009; Bupesh et al., 2011a]. Thus, the Otp/Lhx5 cells in the amniote medial amygdala are not born in the subpallium but rather arrive there from the SPV. Thirdly, whereas these invading cells are excitatory glutamatergic cells, there is no question that the amniote medial amygdala also contains GABAergic cells (most recently nicely documented by [Morales et al., 2021]). These GABA cells are the autochthonously and radially generated subpallial cells of the medial amygdala that identify it as a subpallial structure, while the numerous additional glutamatergic cells are from extraneous sources. There is no compelling evidence in Porter and Mueller [2020] to show that the situation is different in the zebrafish brain. The author considers the teleostean Vi as a subpallial, basically GABAergic structure. Indeed Porter and Mueller [2020] do mark Vi/MeAp as GABAergic and gad67 positive (in their Table 1). Of course, the teleostean Vi is supplemented with numerous and varied glutamatergic cells having invaded Vi from other origins, such as the SPV (see Section 2) as is the case in amniotes. Of note, Pax6 protein is expressed periventricularly in the zebrafish eminentia thalami (not yet designated as such, but shown in Fig. 2c at upper rim of the preoptic region (Po) in [Wullimann and Rink, 2001]) but, apparently, no Pax6 positive cells migrate into the Vi.

The early migrating area M2 (Fig. 1b) lies in the lateral periphery of the larval zebrafish posterior tubercu-
lum and extends from rostral (Fig. 3c) to caudal (Fig. 3d) diencephalic levels. The larval posterior tuberculum includes two proliferation zones (PTd, PTv; Fig. 1g) which represent the basal plate parts of P2/P3. The cell masses M2 are notable because they have unusual ongoing proliferative activity far away from their ventricular origin [Mueller and Wullimann, 2002a]. There is no reasonable doubt that the larval M2 cell masses give rise to the so-called pregglomerular complex (PG) consisting of various prominent adult nuclei in teleosts (zebrafish: see Fig. 2e) that are species-specifically enlarged depending on the relative predominance of particular sensory systems. The pregglomerular nuclei have distinct sensory, i.e., auditory, lateral line, somatosensory, gustatory or visual, representations and relay this information coming from ascending pathways to the telencephalon (case studies in the sea ruffe [Murakami et al., 1986]; elephant-nose fish [Prechtl et al., 1998; von der Emde and Prechtl, 1999]; goldfish; rainbow trout [Folgueira et al., 2005; Northcutt, 2006]; reviews [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004b; Vernier and Wullimann, 2009]). Nevertheless, the teleostean pregglomerular complex has remained somewhat enigmatic. Although its sensory relay function resembles functionally the amniote dorsal thalamus, the origin of PG is clearly not from the dorsal thalamic proliferation zone/histogenetic unit [Wullimann, 2020]. The teleostean dorsal thalamic nuclei (A, DP, CP, Fig. 2d, e) are indeed also related to relaying sensory (i.e., auditory/visual) information to the telencephalon, but they do so mostly to the subpallium [Northcutt, 2006]. In contrast, the PG has massive reciprocal interconnections with pallial divisions (review [Vernier and Wullimann, 2009]). The author has recently summarized the various embryonic cellular origins of the M2/PG that have historically been postulated [Wullimann, 2020]. This clarified that the cell contributions arising from the posterior tuberculum [Wullimann and Umeasalugo, 2020] and maybe from ventral thalamus [Wullimann and Rink, 2001; Ishikawa et al., 2007] migrate radially into M2, whereas additional large contributions from the alar midbrain [Bloch et al., 2019, 2020] do so tangentially. Many (or maybe all) PG cells arising in the posterior tubercular ventricular zone express sonic hedgehog (Wullimann and Umeasalugo, 2020; see also Section 3) and are likely glutamatergic whereas those from the ventral thalamus express Dlx2 and Pax6 [Wullimann, 2020] and are thus highly likely GABAergic. The latter are a small fraction of the adult PG [Mueller and Guo, 2009]. This research establishes the teleostean PG as a derivative of multiple sources. However, since the radial glia as a “natural coordinate system” [Nieuwenhuys, 1998] extending from a generative ventricular zone into its peripherally migrated area is decisive for the latter’s primary neuroanatomical assignation, the PG is interpreted as being primarily a posterior tubercular structure arising from basal plate ventricular zones of P2/P3 [Wullimann, 2020; Wullimann and Umeasalugo, 2020].

Finally, the most posterior migrated zebrafish forebrain area M1 is within the pretectal histogenetic unit P1 (Fig. 1b; Fig. 3d). The pretectum can genoarchitectonically be well differentiated from the anteriorly adjacent dorsal thalamus (Fig. 3e, modified from [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a; Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]). In addition to having uniquely shaped expression domains of Pax6, Ngn1, and NeuroD, the pretectum also expresses broadly Ascl1a (formerly Zash1a; Fig. 3e). In contrast, Ascl1a is expressed within the dorsal thalamus only very anteriorly and close to the zona limitans intrathalamica (shown in Fig. 14 in [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]). The zebrafish pretectum has furthermore – unlike the dorsal thalamus – large serotonergic (zebrafish [Kaslin and Panula, 2001; Rink and Guo, 2004]); also in various other teleosts [Rosner et al., 2019]) and dopaminergic cell clusters [Kaslin and Panula, 2001; Rink and Wullimann, 2001; Yamamoto et al., 2011; Kress and Wullimann, 2012]. The adult zebrafish brain exhibits apart from the periventricular pretectum (PPd/PPv; Fig. 2e) additional migrated nuclei, including a central (CPN) and two superficial pretectal nuclei (PSm, PSp), as well as the dorsal accessory optic nucleus (DAO) (Fig. 2d). All these nuclei, except the PSm, are retinorecipient [Northcutt and Wullimann, 1988]. We have recently used the online mapzebrafish brain atlas of the Baier laboratory to study the location of diencephalic cells with dendrites into particular larval retinal terminal fields [Baier and Wullimann, 2021]. Regarding the pretectum, we found that such cells functionally characterized as direction-sensitive or related to prey-catching in the zebrafish larva (which would correspond to adult CPN-DAO or PSp, respectively), are all within the larval M1 cell masses This speaks strongly for the interpretation that M1 gives rise to all adult pretectal (incl. accessory optic) nuclei and is therefore a migrated zone of pretectal prosomere P1.

**Alar (Preoptic) Hypothalamus and Basal Hypothalamus**

The vertebrate preoptic region is traditionally considered an anterior part of the hypothalamus. Later prosomeric models recognize it as part of the subpallium [Flames et al., 2007; Abellan and Medina, 2009]. We discussed previously in detail the somewhat different (i.e.,
more inclusive) use of the term preoptic region in teleosts (e.g., zebrafish) as compared to amniotes ([Herget et al., 2014]; compare Fig. 1a, b). The larval teleostean (e.g., zebrafish) preoptic region is a large alar area of the secondary prosencephalon between anterior and postoptic commissures bordered by subpallium (S), eminentia thalami (EmT), ventral thalamus (VT) and basal hypothalamus (H, separated by a chain line from alar plate hypothalamic regions; Fig. 1b). In the adult teleostean (e.g., zebrafish) brain, the preoptic region includes a magnocellular (including a gigantocellular portion) and an anterior and posterior parvocellular preoptic nucleus as well as the suprachiasmatic nucleus which together represent the entire alar hypothalamus ([Wullimann et al., 1996; Herget et al., 2014]).

Thus, the zebrafish preoptic region represents the alar part of the hypothalamus and was shown to contain the so-called supraopto-paraventricular region (SPV; Fig. 1b; Fig. 3e; Fig. 4c). In rodents, the SPV is different genoarchitectonically from the remainder of the preoptic region (reviewed in [Osório et al., 2010]; see also Section 1). For example in the mouse, part of the preoptic region expresses genes involved in the GABAergic neurogenetic pathway, whereas the SPV expressers markers of glutamatergic cell development, such as the bHLH genes Ngn2 and NeuroD [Osório et al., 2010], the LIM homeodomain gene Lhx9 [Rétaux et al., 1999; García-López et al., 2008], and, importantly, the Orthopedia (Otp) gene is typically expressed in the SPV ([Bardet et al., 2008]; see Fig. 4c). The rodent SPV contains the adult paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei which represent the cellular loci of neuroendocrine neuropeptides including oxytocin (formerly isotocin in teleosts) and vasopressin (formerly arginin vasotocin in teleosts) [Theofanopoulou et al., 2021] and various additional neurosecretory peptides (releasing and inhibiting factors) which all additionally act via axons as CNS neurotransmitters ([Swanson and Sawchenko, 1983; Ferguson et al., 2008; Simmons and Swanson, 2009]; see [Herget et al., 2014] for discussion). Thus, the amniote SPV may be seen as a glutamatergic preoptic/hypothalamic domain which contains the core nucleus of the stress-regulatory axis, the nucleus paraventricularis. In contrast, preoptic cells directly bordering the SPV and also those in subpallium, prethalamus and basal hypothalamus express genes indicative for developing GABAergic cells, such as Ascl1, Dlx5, Arx, and Islet1 (reviewed for amniotes in Herget et al., 2014; see also next section).

In the adult zebrafish, the magnocellular preoptic (PM, incl. the gigantocellular part PMg; Fig. 2c, i) and the neurosecretory part of the posterior parvocellular preoptic nucleus (PPp; Fig. 2c, b, i) were homologized with the amniote paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei, respectively [Herget et al., 2014]. Apart from Otp (transcription factor) and oxytocin/vasopressin immunohistochemistry in the adult zebrafish brain, this conclusion was based on a detailed developmental description of various additional neuropeptides within the SPV and expression of transcription factors Dlx5, Arx and Islet1 in the remainder of the zebrafish preoptic region and beyond (i.e., in subpallium, ventral thalamus and basal hypothalamus) for delineating the genoarchitecture of the SPV and surrounding regions [Herget et al., 2014]. Furthermore, in line with these larval data, Islet1 is present in anterior/posterior parvocellular preoptic nuclei in the adult zebrafish, but only a few dispersed Islet1-positive cells are present in (i.e., likely invaded) the magnocellular preoptic nucleus [Baeuml et al., 2019]. Moreover, Affaticati et al. [2015] described complementarily the zebrafish SPV as being located at the lateral tip of the preoptic recess surrounded by adjacent Dlx2a expression (similar to Dlx5 as described above), the SPV itself being glutamatergic as evidenced by expression of bHLH gene Neurogenin1. These authors furthermore divided the SPV molecularly into an anterior Sim1a/Foxg1 positive domain (overlapping with otp) and a posterior Sim1a-only expressing domain, as similarly seen in mouse (Fig. 4c; [Morales et al., 2021]; reviewed in [Gerlach and Wullimann, 2021]).

As just mentioned, the zebrafish so-called preoptic region is more inclusive than the amniote areas designated as such (i.e., POA) which are only a part of the alar hypothalamus (compare Fig. 1a, b). However, beyond what is described above for the zebrafish, the present genoarchitectonc resolution of the zebrafish preoptic region does not allow to further relate the latter in more detail to particular amniote alar hypothalamic subdivisions which have been updated greatly [Díaz et al., 2015]. The term SPV is no longer used [Díaz et al., 2015]. However, the paraventricular nucleus or area, as expected, is contained in the (paraventricular) glutamatergic alar hypothalamus (which has six divisions and genes expressed include Otp, Sim1, and vGlut2). The (sub)paraventricular GABAergic alar hypothalamus instead has two divisions and its genetic markers include Gad67, Dlx, Arx, and Islet1 [Díaz et al., 2015]). Moreover, an admirably detailed analysis of source areas of various neuropeptides (e.g., oxytocin and vasopressin are generated only in glutamatergic alar hypothalamus, but preproenkephalin and galanin additionally in basal hypothalmaus) and subsequent radial and tangential migrations within the entire (including basal) hypothalamus are suggested to occur [Díaz et al., 2015].
This corresponds overall well to the picture described for the glutamatergic SPV and the GABAergic remaining preoptic region in the zebrafish brain above. However, a formerly preoptic area in the mousealar hypothalamus (also expressing Ascl1, Dlx, Arx and Islet1) towards to the subpallium is excluded from it and newly assigned to the subpallium [Diaz et al., 2015]. This area corresponds to the zebrafish anterior paraventricular preoptic nucleus (PPa; Fig. 2b, i) and is clearly separate from subpallial ventral telencephalic areas (Vv, Vd; Fig. 2a, i; note position of anterior commissure in Fig. 2i). Moreover, the teleostean PPa is particularly rich in neuuropeptidergic galanin-positive cells (e.g., goldfish [Rao et al., 1996]; plainfin midshipman [Tripp and Bass, 2020], see there for many more citations on teleosts). Furthermore, in the midshipman, many galanin-positive PPa cells co-express GABA and have projections to the subpallium (Vd, Vv), whereas only few galanin cells are seen in PM and PPl [Tripp and Bass, 2020]. The PPa galanin-positive cells are often dimorphic between sexes (e.g., red salmon [Jadhao and Meyer, 2000]; sailfin molly [Cornbrooks and Parsons, 1991]; midshipman [Tripp and Bass, 2020]) and between males with different mating strategies (e.g. [Tripp et al., 2020]). The PPa is therefore similar to the mammalian galanin-/GABAergic embryonic POA (see Fig. 1a) and the adult rodent medial preoptic nucleus [Wu et al., 2014]. For this bouquet of reasons, the author does not consider the teleostean PPa and its larval primordium as subpallial, because it is morphologically, developmentally, and functionally clearly identifiable as part of the GABAergic alar (preoptic) hypothalamus. Of note, the terminology shown here in the schema for the mouse (Fig. 1a) is from the original paper by Puelles and Rubenstein [1993] because this explanatory schema is used in several of our and other publications discussed here. Thus, the author keeps this schema here in order to relate intelligibly and lucidly to this previous literature.

Similarly, the amniote basal hypothalamus at that time had been subdivided anteroposteriorly in a retrolenticular (RCH), a tuberal (TU), and a mammillary (MA) region (Fig. 1a; showing an early version of the prosomeric model), but has been equally greatly revised and refined since [Puelles et al., 2012; Ferran et al., 2015]. The author discusses now relevant teleostean (in particular zebrafish) data on basal hypothalamic structures with respect to these novel mouse analyses.

A reasonable starting point is to consider the morphological divisions of the larval [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016] and adult zebrafish basal hypothalamus [Wullimann et al., 1996]. Continuing with transverse adult zebrafish brain sections beyond the subpallium (Vd/Vv, Fig. 2a) and preoptic region (PPa, Fig. 2b, c), one enters, at the level of the postoptic commissure, the basal hypothalamic cell masses (H; Fig. 1b, Hv; Fig. 2d). This part of the teleostean basal hypothalamus was historically called ventral hypothalamic periventricular zone (Hv; e.g., in the zebrafish, Fig. 2d). In contrast to the just described teleostean preoptic region, the Hv has no ventricular recess. At the next transverse level, the hypothalamic ventricle shows a lateral recess (LR; Fig. 2e) and the cell masses surrounding it were designated dorsal zone of periventricular hypothalamus (Hd, note its apparent “dorsal” position relative to Hv). These two adult hypothalamic divisions (Hv/Hd) are characterized by distinct nuclei, such as the anterior tuberal nucleus (ATN) and the lateral hypothalamic nucleus (LH; Fig. 2e). Peripherally, various migrated nuclei of the inferior lobe (see below) are seen (e.g., DiI, Fig. 2e–g). The remarkable extension of Hv is reflected in the fact that it bends caudoventrally and forms the so-called inferior lobe which carries within it the lateral recess ventricle (LR; Fig. 2e–h). Thus, the inferior lobe (LI; Fig. 1f) (containing the tip of Hd) lies at this position lateral to the third basal hypothalamic division, which is the caudal zone of the periventricular hypothalamus (Hc). The Hc exhibits a (third) ventricular hypothalamic recess, the posterior recess (PR; Fig. 2g). At this level, the bilateral periventricular cell masses of Hc are accompanied by midline cells that belong to Hc which are contiguous with the posterior tuberal nucleus (PTN; Fig. 2g). Also, the conspicuous so-called corpus mamillare (CM, mammillary body) lies at this adult hypothalamic level.

Later, we brought up the conflict between general vertebrate body axes versus neuraxial which is due to the deflection of the vertebrate anterior neuraxis relative to the general body axis during development (see Fig. 1h; after [Herget et al., 2014]). Thus, we suggested to use along the neuraxis the terms anterior/posterior and alar/basal (i.e., true dorsal/ventral for the neural tube). Instead, rostral/caudal (i.e., towards the rostrum/tail) and dorsal/ventral (i.e., towards the back/belly) should be used for general body axes. In this way, if interpreted according to the zebrafish anteroposterior neuraxis (red dotted line in Fig. 1h), transverse sections of the basal hypothalamus in the general body anteroposterior direction starting from the anterior tip of the brain (oc/poc) in fact run from dorsal (d) to ventral (v) (compare gray area in Fig. 1h). Realizing these axes relationships, we used in our developmental studies in the larval zebrafish brain the term rostral hypothalamic periventricular zone (Hr) according to
the general body axis (corresponding to the adult Hv; reviewed in [Mueller and Wullimann, 2016]). The larval zebrafish Hr is followed caudally by the intermediate (Hi, i.e., the adult Hd) and then by the caudal hypothalamic periventricular zone (Hc), both of which are identified already early by exhibiting a discreet lateral (LR; Fig. 3d, f) and posterior ventricular recess (PR; Fig. 3f), respectively. Thus, the designations used for the larval zebrafish hypothalamus (Hr/Hi/Hc) follow the general vertebrate rostrocaudal body axis (compare Fig. 1g with h). Importantly, already in the larva, the inferior lobe containing Hi (and LR) is bent caudoventrally and lies lateral to Hc (Fig. 3f). These morphological relationships make clear that the LI is part of the intermediate hypothalamus and lies topologically (i.e., according to the neuraxes) more dorsal than Hc. Thus, rather than being misleading as interpreted by Schredelseker and Driever [2020], these three larval hypothalamic divisions (Hr/Hi/Hc, see Fig. 1g) follow the clearly defined rostrocaudal general body axis and provide a handle to understand teleostean hypothalamic morphology.

Regarding bHLH transcription factors in the zebrafish larval basal hypothalamus (Fig. 1i), we reported extensive Ascl1a (formerly Zash1a) – but no Neurogenin1 – expression in the basal hypothalamus; expression of NeuroD was only seen in the hypophysis [Wullimann and Mueller, 2002; Mueller and Wullimann, 2003]. Furthermore, the larval zebrafish basal hypothalamus broadly expresses various Dlx genes and Gad67 [Mueller et al., 2008; MacDonald et al., 2010]. This is in line with the finding that GABA positive cells are found peripherally in all zebrafish larval hypothalamic periventricular cell masses (Hr/Hi/Hc [Mueller et al., 2006]), suggesting that the basal hypothalamus contains many GABAergic cells. Similarly, the adult goldfish basal hypothalamus expresses broadly Gad67/Gad65 [Martinoli et al. 1990; Martyniuk et al., 2007]. In contrast, neurogenin1 is not expressed in all three hypothalamic divisions (Hr, Hi, Hc [Mueller and Wullimann, 2003]). However, neurogenin3 shows some isolated spots of expression in the rostral to intermediate zebrafish basal hypothalamus (Fig. 1i), while neurogenin2 does not exist in zebrafish (see [Wang et al., 2001]). These authors report a cluster of Ngn1 in the “mammillary” hypothalamus, interpreted as posterior tuberculum in line with our own data ([Mueller and Wullimann, 2003]; see next section).

These zebrafish data are in accord with our Ascl1 (formerly Mash1), Ngn2, and NeuroD embryonic mouse brain expression study and comprehensive review therein of the mouse literature on bHLH gene expression ([Osório et al., 2010]; see there for rodent citations). Thus, in the E12.5 mouse brain basal hypothalamus, there is broad expression of Ascl1 and GABA/GAD, whereas Ngn3 is only present co-extensively with NeuroD in one particular tuberal area, and both Ngn1 and Ngn2 are not expressed in the mouse basal hypothalamus (reviewed in [Osório et al., 2010]). Like in the zebrafish, all this speaks for the mouse basal hypothalamus to contain mainly (autochthonously generated) GABAergic neurons. Of course this does not preclude later invasion of glutamatergic cells into basal hypothalamus neither in mouse nor zebrafish (see for example above for migrating glutamatergic-neuropeptidergic cells from SPV) [Díaz et al., 2015; Herget and Ryu, 2015]. Furthermore, in the adult mouse brain, glutamatergic (i.e., vGlut2 positive) cells are abundant in part of tuberal (e.g., ventromedial nucleus) and mammillary hypothalamus (e.g., retromammillary and mammillary nucleus) and their distribution is roughly complementary to that of GABAergic (i.e., Gad67 positive) cells [Puelles et al., 2012]. It remains unclear to what extent these glutamatergic cells have invaded the basal hypothalamus from extraneous origins or are Ngn3-NeuroD dependent autochthonically generated cells.

Critical monoaminergic landmarks in the adult teleostean (i.e., zebrafish) basal hypothalamus are firstly histaminergic cells (the sole CNS population) forming an outer rim within the periventricular cell zone surrounding the posterior recess of Hc (posterior paraventricular organ of [Kaslin and Panula, 2001]) accompanied by many serotoninergic (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) cells, located closer to the posterior recess ventricle [Kaslin and Panula, 2001]). Secondly, another dense cluster of serotoninergic cells is present in the dorsal part of Hd (called intermediate nucleus of Hd [Rink and Wullimann, 2001]; the intermediate paraventricular organ of [Kaslin and Panula, 2001]). Both dominant serotoninergic clusters have recently been shown also in various additional teleostean species [Rosner et al., 2019]. Notably, 5-HT co-localizes with tyrosine hydroxylase 2 (TH2) and dopamine in adult zebrafish Hd and Hc cells (dopamine cell groups 5/6 in Fig. 1c [Vernier and Wullimann, 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2011; Xavier et al., 2017]). Moreover, the zebrafish larva already shows these two serotoninergic/dopaminergic clusters as expected in Hi and Hc ([Rink and Guo, 2004]; Fig. 1f). These hypothalamic serotoninergic/dopaminergic cells are liquor-contacting neurons and such cells occur in all vertebrates (see dopamine cell group in H in Xenopus, Fig. 1f) except in placental mammals [Yamamoto et al., 2010; Xavier et al., 2017]. Thus, the so-called “hypothalamic ventricular organ” that is described in pla-
Dense projections of both these cell populations in Hv to hypothalamic (lateral hypothalamic) arcuate nucleus. Thus, these containing cells are selectively present in different cell populations and antagonistic agouti-related protein (Agrp) containing α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone containing (MSH) stasis and feeding related melanocortin system, i.e., adult and larval zebrafish that the hypothalamic homeo-

The intrinsic neuraxes described above (after [Herget et al., 2014]) were then used by Schredelseker and Driever [2020] (their Fig. 1h) and Schredelseker et al. [2020] to clarify various genoarchitectonic issues of the basal zebrafish hypothalamus between 2 and 4 days postfertilization. The two studies describe 4 mammillary and 7 tuberal regions using differential expression of transcription factor (TF) coding homeobox (Bsx, Arxa, Islet1, Nkx2.1, Nkx2.2, Otpa), paired-box (Pax6a, Pax7), and LIM-homeobox (Lhx5, Lhx6, Lhx9) genes, plus distal-less homeobox gene Dlx5a, forkhead gene Foxb1a, the orphan nuclear receptor gene Nr5a2, as well as signaling factor coding genes Shha and Fgf8a. The authors conclude from these detailed transcription factor gene expression analyses that – similar to the situation in the mouse – the larval zebrafish basal hypothalamus has a large tuberal and a smaller posterovertrally positioned mammillary part (TubH/MamH; Fig. 1h, i). The two signaling factors (Shha/Fgf8a) appear to be active in both main basal hypothalamic divisions. The transcription factors Pax7a and Foxb1a (indicated in red in Fig. 1i) are exclusively expressed in (different) mammillary subregions (but not in TubH), whereas many more TF coding genes are exclusively expressed within subregions of the tuberal basal hypothalamus (but not in MamH) (Fig. 1i). Lef1, for example, is focally expressed in the posterior recess region (Hc) and Nkx2.2a is complementarily expressed in all but one subregions of TuH. Interestingly, in both larval [Schredelseker and Driever, 2020] and adult zebrafish [Wullimann and Umeasalugo, 2020], the signaling factor Shha is absent from the most ventral tuberal area (i.e., the Hc) but present in more dorsal tuberal areas (i.e., adult Hd/Hv). The Shha dependent expressed gene Islet1 is similarly expressed in these tuberal areas in the larval zebrafish brain [Schredelseker and Driever, 2020] but extends more ventrally (i.e., into the Hc area). This is similar in the adult zebrafish brain, where Hc has Islet1-positive cells – in addition to such cells in Hd/Hv – in the area just before the posterior recess arises (but not around the recess itself [Baeuml et al., 2019]). Another
interesting issue is that \textit{Dlx5a} (as a marker for GABAergic cell development [MacDonald et al., 2010]) is expressed in the entire tuberal hypothalamus except for two \textit{nr5a2} expressing subdivisions (i.e., dorsal and intermediate tuberal parts [Schredelseker and Driever, 2020]) and the intermediate tuberal part is identified as homolog of the largely glutamatergic mammalian ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (see situation in mouse above). \textit{Dlx5a} expression is furthermore absent from the zebrafish mammillary hypothalamus, which also exhibits many glutamatergic cells in the mouse (see above). The author reconsidered our own data and noted in corroboration of these \textit{Dlx5} expression patterns that \textit{Gad67} and \textit{Dlx2a} expression is absent from the region of medial Hc (likely MamH) and in large medial parts of our Hr (part of TubH), but broad expression of these two genes is seen in Hd and Hc around the two respective recesses (see also [Mueller et al., 2008]). Thus, similar to the mouse basal hypothalamus, these medial tuberal and mammillary regions likely contain glutamatergic neurons. In line with these developmental data, the adult zebrafish brain [Mueller and Guo, 2009] shows only most peripherally \textit{Gad67} cells within Hv (including many in ATN), whereas ubiquitous \textit{Gad67} cells sit densely around the lateral recess of Hd (with less scattered \textit{Gad67} positive cells in the diffuse nucleus of the inferior lobe), and Hc is known to express \textit{Gad65} instead [Martyniuk et al., 2007]. Many \textit{Gad67} negative cells of at least the diffuse nucleus originate in tangentially (likely glutamatergic) \textit{Her5} positive cells migrating from the alar midbrain into the basal hypothalamus [Bloch et al., 2019].

Of course, the exact role of all these genes in compartmentalization and cell fate determination of the zebrafish basal hypothalamus must be revealed in future studies. The restriction to optical sagittal and horizontal section material and schematic interpretations offered by this genoarchitectonic larval zebrafish basal hypothalamus analysis [Schredelseker and Driever, 2020] also reveals a few problems. Thus, in sagittal projection analyses, gene expression in Hi lateral to Hc might lead to misinterpretations because the deflected Hi belongs topologically to a more dorsal tuberal division (Fig. 3f; see discussion above). Schredelseker and Driever [2020] furthermore describe that the tuberal hypothalamus includes most of the periventricular cells masses of Hr, Ht, and Hc and that the mammillary hypothalamus includes only the midline region of the posteroventral hypothalamus (Fig. 1h). Thus, the MamH seems to cover for sure the midline of Hc shown in our Figure 3f. Beyond that, the lack of documentation of the third (transverse) section level (as for example, shown in our Fig. 3f) in this (and the previous example) hampers the evaluation of the exact extent of the four mammillary subregions.

**Diencephalic Organization with Emphasis on Dopamine Cells**

The prosomeric/neuromeric model has also greatly furthered the understanding of the comparative and functional neurobiology of the basal diencephalon (i.e., basal plate of P1 through P3). Most neurobiologists recognize easily the well-studied alar diencephalic elements, i.e., the large pretectal, dorsal thalamic, and ventral thalamic nuclei of amniotes. Hence, the pretectal, (dorsal) thalamic, and ventral (pre-) thalamic prosomeres P1 through P3 derive their name from these alar diencephalic components. Their respective basal plate portions have not been given equal attention. However, the neuromeric model conception requires that each of the three diencephalic prosomeres has a basal plate part (indicated as bP1, bP2, bP3 for amniotes in Fig. 1a, d; N, PTd, PTv for anamniotes in Fig. 1b, c, e, f; see in [Vernier and Wullimann, 2009; Wullimann, 2020]).

Starting with embryonic mouse and bHLH genes, \textit{Ngn2} shows broad midline expression in basal midbrain and in all three basal diencephalic divisions bP1 through bP3 with a sharp transverse boundary towards the basal hypothalamus (inset in Fig. 1d). Alar pretectum and dorsal – but not ventral – thalamus are also \textit{Ngn2} positive (note in inset that the dorsal thalamus has broken away partly, its real dorsal boundary is indicated by a dashed line). Furthermore, \textit{Ngn2} is expressed in the pallium (P) and the EmT. \textit{NeuroD} is expressed in bP2 through bP3, but \textit{Ngn1} is expressed in bP3 only (see literature summary in Table 1 of [Osório et al., 2010]). However, also \textit{Ascl1} (formerly \textit{Mash1}) is additionally expressed more peripherally in all three basal diencephalic divisions while GABA/GAD is only reported in bP1/2 [see literature summary in Osório et al., 2010]. From these data it seems that the mouse bP1/bP2 generate both GABAergic as well as glutamatergic neurons, and bP3 generates mostly glutamatergic cells.

\textit{Neurogenin1} (\textit{Ngn1}) and its downstream expressed gene \textit{NeuroD} – both marker bHLH genes for glutamatergic cell development – are heavily expressed in the early larval zebrafish brain in alar pretectum (i.e., P1), epiphysis, habenula, and alar dorsal thalamus (i.e., P2) but not in alar ventral thalamus (P3) (Fig. 3e; [Mueller and Wullimann, 2003]). In addition, both genes are expressed in basal areas PTd (bP2) and PTv (bP3), the latter having particularly large expression domains most ventrally.
However, the zebrafish base plate ventral thalamus exhibits additional dopaminergic cell populations that are not liquor contacting but instead are either small round neurons (magenta blobs 1 and 7) or large pear-shaped neurons (magenta blobs 2/4 [Rink and Wullimann, 2002]). Small round neurons are found in the adult zebrafish periventricular posterior tubercular nucleus (TPp; larval magenta blob 1) and in the posterior tuberal nucleus (larval magenta blob 7), whereas large pear-shaped neurons (larval magenta blobs 2/4) lie somewhat migrated within the adult TPp. Some zebrafish adult dopamine nuclei mentioned here are depicted in Figure 2.

In the following, the author will focus on dopaminergic ascending projection cells in the basal diencephalon (bP1-bP3; reviewed in [Vernier and Wullimann, 2009]). Agustín González and colleagues were generally instrumental in establishing a new view of early sarcopterygian/teleost brain organization. For example, their work demonstrated basal ganglia and amygdalar circuitry as well as the neurochemical organization of modulatory systems to be largely comparable among all tetrapods (e.g., [González et al., 1994a, b; González and Smeets, 1994; Marin et al., 1995, 1997, 1998a, b; González et al., 1999, 2014; Smeets and González, 2000; Moreno and González, 2007; Moreno et al., 2017]; Fig. 1c, f). In particular, their detailed work on dopamine cells with ascending projections to the amphibian striatum demonstrated such cells to be located beyond the midbrain tegmentum in all three basal plate divisions of P1 through P3 (VTA/SN in Fig. 1f; [Marin et al., 1995, 1997, 1998a, b]). This was a cornerstone of the new concept of a mesodiencephalic ascending dopamine system also in amniotes (A8-A10 in Fig. 1d, discussed in [Vernier and Wullimann, 2009]; see most recently also [Fougeré et al., 2021]). The amphibian work helped to understand our related data in adult zebrafish brain where we showed that dopamine cells in TPp (small round and pear-shaped cells) project to the subpallium [Rink and Wullimann, 2001] and which we interpreted as the diencephalic portion of the mesodiencephalic dopamine system (i.e., amniote A8/9/10; Fig. 1d). Functional support for this comes from the fact that these ascending zebrafish dopaminergic projection neurons have been shown to be glutamatergic, whereas most other zebrafish dopamine cells co-express GABA instead [Filippi et al., 2014]. Most recently, we showed that these zebrafish ascending dopamine cells derive directly from sonic hedgehog expressing cells [Wullimann and Umeasulu, 2020], a feature they share with the entire mesodiencephalic complex in amniotes [Joksimovic et al., 2009; Blaess et al., 2011; Hayes et al., 2011].

Wullimann
However, there is an alternative interpretation of related data in the early zebrafish larva [Tay et al., 2011; Filippi et al., 2014] which proposes that these zebrafish ascending dopaminergic cells correspond to amniote A11 group, mostly based on a shared Otp expression (for further discussion of this controversial issue, see my reviews of the dopaminergic ascending system in a comparative phylogenetic context [Wullimann, 2011, 2014]).

Finally, the author returns to the finer genoarchitectural view of the basal zebrafish diencephalon by discussing what is known about downstream transcription factor expression. The paired-box gene Pax7 is an established selective marker gene for periventricular cell masses of bP3 in various sarcopterygians, such as salamanders, frogs, lungfishes, and amniotes [Moreno et al., 2014, 2017]. Recently, Pax7 was similarly reported in bP3 in larval zebrafish [Schredelseker and Driever, 2020]. Additionally, as in sarcopterygians [Moreno et al., 2014, 2017], zebrafish Pax7a positive cells extend also into the mammillary hypothalamus (see previous section). In contrast, Pax6 is expressed additionally in zebrafish only very laterally in the posterior tuberculum within the early pregglomerular complex, and not in the periventricular midline [Wullimann and Rink, 2001; Lauter et al., 2013]. Thus, the Pax6/Pax7 analysis in Schredelseker and Driever [2020] might potentially be misleading by exclusively using sagittal views which do not allow to evaluate the very different mediolateral positions of the two zebrafish Pax genes expression domains as transverse views would.

Lauter et al. [2013] provided a fine prosomeric analysis in the larval zebrafish. Consistent with our proliferation marker studies, as well as bHLH gene expression and phenotypic (TH, Gad67, GABA, dopamine; see citations above) analyses, these authors explored downstream of bHLH genes various developmentally relevant transcription factor coding homeobox (Dbx1a, Dbx2a, Emx2, Nkx2.1, Nkx2.2, Nkx6.1, Nkx6.2, Six3a), paired-box (Pax3a, Pax6a, Pax7a), and LIM-homeobox (Lhx1a, Lhx5, Lhx9) genes, plus distal-less homeobox gene Dlx2a, forkhead gene Foxa2, and signaling factor coding gene Shha. They arrived at a genoarchitectonic characterization of three neuromeric partitions each in the alar and basal plate of P1 through P3, and what is more, they provided intraprosomeric genoarchitectonic subdivisions (e.g., three alar pretectal domains, as seen in chicken and mouse [Ferran et al., 2007, 2008, 2009]). Other examples include the exclusive diencephalic expression of Dlx2a in the ventral thalamus (prehypothalamus), but expression of Pax6 in all three alar diencephalic divisions as we previously described ([Wullimann, 2001]; Fig. 3e).

The additionally investigated bHLH gene Olig3 is only expressed in the dorsal thalamus. Peculiar in the light of what was discussed above is that Pax7 expression is only reported in alar pretectum but not in basal P3 [Lauter et al., 2013]. This point needs re-examination in the zebrafish as the study of Pax7 might shed light on the derivatives of the large proliferation zone of the teleostean most anterior basal diencephalon (i.e., PTv/bP3).

**Epilogue**

In the foregoing, the author explains how the neuromeric/prosomeric model [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993] has generally been applied to the teleost fish brain. Beyond that, the model has been instrumental for understanding three exemplary topics where teleostean typical features pose particular interpretative problems. These include the early larval migration areas M1 through M4, the identification of the alar versus basal hypothalamus and hypertrophy of basal hypothalamus, as well as the special situation in the basal diencephalon regarding dopamine cells. In all these cases, a sound comparative neurobiological interpretation was critically supported by applying the neuromeric model. Furthermore, throughout the manuscript, comparative developmental and organizational aspects of the teleostean amygdala are highlighted, in particular the subpallial amygdala.

**Acknowledgments**

The author thanks various colleagues who worked with me on the subjects covered in this article (you know who you are), in particular my doctoral students, in chronological order: Barbara Rupp, Elke Rink, Thomas Mueller, Sigrid Kress, and Daniela Biechl. The author also would like to thank Ester Desfils and Loreta Medina for having invited me to contribute to their Karger Workshop Symposium “Conservation, Divergence, and Convergence in Amygdalar Evolution” Chicago, 2021 and to this related Special BB&E issue.

**Conflict of Interest Statement**

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.
Funding Sources

The funding sources of my own laboratory’s work has been given in the original research papers which are all cited in the appropriate places and need not be repeated in this review. Open access funding is enabled and organized through Projekt DEAL with the Max-Planck-Institute for Biological Intelligence (i.F.).

References

Abellán A, Medina L. Subdivisions and derivatives of the chicken subpallium based on expression of LIM and other regulatory genes and markers of neuron subpopulations during development. J Com Neurosci. 2009;51(4): 465–501.

Abbott LG, Jacobowitz DM. Developmental expression of calretinin-immunoreactivity in the thalamic eminence of the fetal mouse. Int J Dev Neurosci. 1999;17(4):331–45.

Affatìci P, Yamamoto K, Rizzi B, Bureau C, Peyrièras N, Pasqualini C, et al. Identification of the optic recess region as a morphogenetic entity in the zebrafish forebrain. Sci Rep. 2015;5:8783.

Alexander T, Nolte C, Krumlauf R. Hox genes and segmentation of the hindbrain and axial skeleton. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2009;25:431–56.

Amo R, Fredes F, Kinoshita M, Aoki R, Aizawa H, Baeuml SW, Biechl D, Wullimann MF. Adult islet1 Expression outlines ventralized deriva- tion along zebrafish neuraxis. Front Neuroanat. 2019;13:19.

Baier H, Wullimann MF. Anatomy and function of retinorecipient arborization fields in zebrafish. J Comp Neurosci. 2021;529(15):3454–76.

Bardet SM, Martinez-de-la-Torre M, Northcutt RG, Rubenstein Jr, Puellas L. Conserved pattern of OTL-positive cells in the paraventricular nucleus and other hypothalamic sites of tetrapods. Brain Res Bull. 2008;75(2–4):231–5.

Batalla A, Homberg JR, Lipina TV, Sesoucque G, Luijten M, Ivanova SA, et al. The role of the habenula in the transition from reward to misery in substance use and mood disorders. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2017;80:276–85.

Beiriger A, Narayan S, Singh N, Prince V. Development and migration of the zebrafish rhombencephalic octavolateral efferent neu- rons. J Comp Neurosci. 2021;529(7):1293–307.

Bergquist H. Zur morphologie des zwischenhirns bei niederen wirbeltieren. Acta Zoologica. 1932;13(1–2):57–303.

Bergquist H. Ontogenesis of diencephalic nuclei in vertebrates. Lunds universitets arsskrift. Kgl Fysiografiska Sällskapets Handlingar. N.F. Bd. 1954;65(6):1–34.

Bergquist H, Kallen B. Notes on the early histo- genesis and morphogenesis of the central nervous system in vertebrates. J Comp Neurosci. 1954;100(3):627–59.

Biech D, Dorigo A, Köster RW, Grothe B, Wullimann MF. Eppur si muove: Evidence for an external granular layer and possibly transit amplification in the telostean cerebellum. Front Neuroanat. 2016;10:49.

Biech D, Tietje K, Ryu S, Grothe B, Gerlach G, Wullimann MF. Identification of accessory olfactory system and medial amygdala in the zebrafish. Sci Rep. 2017;7:44295.

Bilbao MG, Garrigos D, Martinez-Morga M, Tová A, Kutsenko Y, Bautista R, et al. Proso- moric hypothalamic distribution of tyrosine hydroxylase positive cells in adolescent rats. Front Neuroanat. 2022;16:689345.

Björklund A, Dunnett SB. Dopamine neuron sys- tems in the brain: an update. Trends Neurosci. 2007;30(5):194–202.

Birg Bauer, Fraser SE. Violation of cell lineage restriction compartments in the chick hind- brain. Development. 1999;120(6):1347–56.

Bläss S, Bodea GO, Kabanova A, Chanet S, Mugnier E, Deroische A, et al. Temporal-spatial changes in Sonic Hedgehog expression and signaling reveal different potentials of ventral mesencephalic progenitors to populate dis- tinct ventral midbrain nuclei. Neural Dev. 2011;6:29.

Bloch S, Thomas M, Colín J, Galant S, Machado E, Affatìci P, et al. Mesencephalic origin of the inferior lobe in zebrafish. BMC Biol. 2019;17(1):22.

Bloch S, Hago H, Thomas M, Heuzé A, Hermel JM, Lasserre E, et al. Non-thalamic origin of zebrafish sensory nuclei implies convergent evolution of visual pathways in amniotes and teleosts. elife. 2020;9:e54945.

Brożko N, Baggio S, Lipiec MA, Jankowska M, Biechl D, Dorigo A, Köster RW, Grothe B, Gerlach G, Wullimann MF. Eppur si muove: Evidence for an external granular layer and possibly transit amplification in the telostean cerebellum. Front Neuroanat. 2016;10:49.

Bucaille M, Abellán A, Medina L. Genetic and ex- perimental evidence supports the continuum of the central extended amygdala and a mu- tiple embryonic origin of its principal neu- rons. J Comp Neurosci. 2011a;519(17):3507–31.

Bupesh M, Brożko N, Baggio S, Lipiec MA, Jankowska M, Biechl D, Dorigo A, Köster RW, Grothe B, Gerlach G, Wullimann MF. Eppur si muove: Evidence for an external granular layer and possibly transit amplification in the telostean cerebellum. Front Neuroanat. 2016;10:49.

Cordes SP. Molecular genetics of cranial nerve de- velopment in mouse. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2001; 2(9):611–23.

Cornbrooks EB, Parsons RL. Sexually dimorphic distribution of a galanin-like peptide in the central nervous system of the teleost fish Poecilias latipinnia. J Comp Neurol. 1991;304(4): 639–57.

Diaz C, Morales-Delgado N, Puellas L. Ontogen- esis of peptidegic neurons within the genoarchitectonic map of the mouse hypothalamus. Front Neuroanat. 2015;8:162.

Englund C, Fink A, Lau C, Pham D, Daza RA, Bulfone A, et al. Pax6, Tbr2, and Tbr1 are expressed sequentially by radial glia, intermedi- ate progenitor cells, and postmitotic neurons in developing neocortex. J Neurosci. 2005;25(1):247–51.

Ericsson T, Schöll P, Brand M, Moens CB, Waskiewicz AJ, Pfx proteins cooperate with Engrailed to pattern the midbrain-hindbrain and diencephalic-mesencephalic boundaries. Dev Biol. 2007;301(2):504–17.

Eugenin von Bernhardi J, Biechl D, Miek L, Her- get U, Ryu S, Wullimann MF. A versatile tran- scription factor: multiple roles of orthopedia a (otpa) beyond its restricted localization in dopaminergic systems of developing and adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) brains. J Comp Neurosci. 2022 (in press).

Fakhoury M. The dorsal diencephalic conduction system in reward processing: Spotlight on the anatomy and functions of the habenular com- plex. Behav Brain Res. 2018;348:115–26.

Ferguson AV, Latchford KJ, Samson WK. The paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus: a potential target for integrative treatment of autonomic dysfunction. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2008;12(6):717–27.

Ferran JL, Sánchez-Arrones L, Sandoval JE, Pu- elles L. A model of early molecular regional- ization in the chicken embryonic pretectum. J Comp Neurosci. 2007;505(4):379–403.

Ferran JL, Sánchez-Arrones L, Biechl D, Miek L, Her- get U, Ryu S, Wullimann MF. A versatile tran- scription factor: multiple roles of orthopedia a (otpa) beyond its restricted localization in dopaminergic systems of developing and adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) brains. J Comp Neurosci. 2022 (in press).

Ferran JL, Sánchez-Arrones L, Sandoval JE, Pu- elles L. A model of early molecular regional- ization in the chicken embryonic pretectum. J Comp Neurosci. 2007;505(4):379–403.

Ferran JL, Sánchez-Arrones L, Biechl D, Miek L, Her- get U, Ryu S, Wullimann MF. A versatile tran- scription factor: multiple roles of orthopedia a (otpa) beyond its restricted localization in dopaminergic systems of developing and adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) brains. J Comp Neurosci. 2022 (in press).

Ferran JL, de Oliveira ED, Merchán P, Sandoval JE, Martínez-de-la-Torre M, Puelles L. Genoarchi- tectonic profile of zebrafish. J Comp Neurol. 2021;529(15):3454–76.

Feng X, Chang M, Martin EJ, Martínez-de-la-Torre M, Puelles L. A model of early molecular regional- ization in the chicken embryonic pretectum. J Comp Neurosci. 2007;505(4):379–403.

Ferran JL, Sánchez-Arrones L, Biechl D, Miek L, Her- get U, Ryu S, Wullimann MF. A versatile tran- scription factor: multiple roles of orthopedia a (otpa) beyond its restricted localization in dopaminergic systems of developing and adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) brains. J Comp Neurosci. 2022 (in press).

Ferran JL, Sánchez-Arrones L, Biechl D, Miek L, Her- get U, Ryu S, Wullimann MF. A versatile tran- scription factor: multiple roles of orthopedia a (otpa) beyond its restricted localization in dopaminergic systems of developing and adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) brains. J Comp Neurosci. 2022 (in press).

Ferran JL, Puelles L, Rubenstein Jr. Molecular codes defining rostrocaudal domains in the embryonic mouse hypothalamus. Front Neu- roanat. 2015;9:46.

Wullimann
Neuromeric Model in Fish Neurobiology

González A, Marin O, Tuinhof R, Smeets WJ. Ontogeny of catecholamine systems in the central nervous system of anuran amphibians: an immunohistochemical study with antibodies against tyrosine hydroxylase and dopamine. J Comp Neurol. 1994a;346(1):63–79.

González A, Muñoz M, Muñoz A, Marin O, Smeets WJ. On the basal ganglia of amphibians: dopaminergic mesotriatral projections. Eur J Morphol. 199b;32(2–4):271–4.

González A, Smeets WJ, Marin O. Evidences for shared features in the organization of the basal ganglia in tetrapods: studies in amphibians. Eur J Morphol. 1999;37(2–3):151–4.

González A, Moreno R, Moreno N, Bandín S, López JM. Identification of striatal and pallidal regions in the subapallium of anamniotes. Brain, Behav Evol. 2014;83(2):93–103.

Hanneman E, Trevarrow B, Metcalfe WK, Kimmel CB, Westerfield M. Segmental pattern of development of the hindbrain and spinal cord of the zebrafish embryo. Development. 1988;103(1):49–58.

Hauptmann G, Gerster T. Regulatory gene expression patterns reveal transverse and longitudinal subdivisions of the embryonic zebrafish forebrain. Mech Dev. 2000;91(1–2):105–18.

Hauptmann G, Stöll I, Gerster T. The early embryonic zebrafish forebrain is subdivided into molecularly distinct transverse and longitudinal domains. Brain Res Bull. 2002;57(3–4):371–5.

Hayes I, Zhang Z, Albert P, Zervas M, Ahn S. Timing of Sonic hedgehog and Gli1 expression segregates midbrain dopamine neurons. J Comp Neurol. 2011;519(15):3001–18.

Hendricks M, Jesuthasan S. Asymmetric innervation of the habenula in zebrafish. J Comp Neurol. 2007;502(4):611–9.

Herget U, Ryu S. Coexpression analysis of nine neuropeptides in the neurosecretory preoptic area of larval zebrafish. Front Neuroanat. 2015;9:2.

Herget U, Wolf A, Wullimann MF, Ryu S. Molecular neuroanatomy and chemoarchitecture of the neurosecretory preoptic-hypothalamic area in larval zebrafish. J Comp Neurol. 2014;527(7):1542–64.

Higashijima S, Hotta Y, Okamoto H. Visualisation of cranial motor neurons in live transgenic zebrafish expressing green fluorescent protein under the control of the islet-1 promoter/enhancer. J Neurosci. 2000;20(1):106–18.

Holland PW, Hogan BL. Expression of homeo box genes during mouse development: a review. Genes Dev. 1988;2(7):773–82.

Hunt P, Krumlauf R. Hox codes and positional specification in vertebrate embryonic axes. Annu Rev Cell Biol. 1992;8:227–56.

Hunt P, Gulsano M, Cook M, Sham MH, Faella A, Wilkinson D, et al. A distinct Hox code for the branicial region of the vertebrate head. Nature. 1991;353(6347):861–4.

Ishikawa Y, Yamamoto N, Yoshimoto M, Yasuda T, Maruyama K, Kage T, et al. Developmental origin of diencephalic sensory relay nuclei in telencephalon. Brain Behav Evol. 2007;69(2):87–95.

Jadhao AG, Meyer DL. Sexually dimorphic distribution of galanin in the preoptic area of red salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka. Cell Tissue Res. 2000;302(2):199–203.

Joksimovic M, Yun BA, Kitappa R, Anderegg AM, Chang WW, Taketo MM, et al. Wnt antagonism of Shh facilitates midbrain floor plate neurogenesis. Nat Neurosci. 2009;12(2):125–31.

Kaslin J, Panula P. Comparative anatomy of the histaminergic and other aminergic systems in zebrafish (Danio rerio). J Comp Neurol. 2001;440(4):342–77.

Kiecker C, Lumsden A. Compartments and their boundaries in vertebrate brain development. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005;6(7):553–64.

Kimmel CB. Patternning the brain of the zebrafish embryo. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1999;22:365–76.

Kimmel CB, Powell SL, Metcalfe WK. Brain neurons which project to the spinal cord in young larvae of the zebrafish. J Comp Neurol. 1982;205(2):112–27.

Kimmel CB, Metcalfe WK, Schabtae E. T reticular internurons: a class of serially repeating cells in the zebrafish hindbrain. J Comp Neurol. 1985;233(3):365–76.

Kimmel CB, Miller CT, Moens CB. Specification and morphogenesis of the zebrafish larval head skeleton. Dev Biol. 2001;233(2):239–57.

Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, Ullmann B, Schilling TF. Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. Dev Dyn. 1995;203(3):253–310.

Kinkhabwala A, Riley M, Koyama M, Monen J, Satou C, Kimura Y, et al. A structural and functional ground plan for neurons in the hindbrain of zebrafish. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108(3):1164–9.

Kress S, Wullimann MF. Correlated basal expression of immediate early gene egr1 and tyrosine hydroxylase in zebrafish brain and down-regulation in olfactory bulb after transitory olfactory deprivation. J Chem Neuroanat. 2012;46(1–2):51–66.

Krumlauf R, Marshall H, Studer M, Nonchev S, Sham MH, Lumsden A. Hox homeobox genes and regionalisation of the nervous system. J Neurobiol. 1993;24(10):1328–40.

Larsen CW, Zeltser LM, Lumsden A. Boundary formation and compartment in the avian diencephalon. J Neurosci. 2001;21(13):4699–711.

Lauter G, Stöll I, Hauptmann G. Molecular characterisation of prosomeric and intraprosomeric subdivisions of the embryonic zebrafish diencephalon. J Comp Neurol. 2013;521(5):1093–118.

DOI: 10.1159/000525607

Brain Behav Evol 2022;97:336–360

Neuromeric Model in Fish Neurobiology
Lillessar C, Stigloher C, Tannhäuser B, Wullimann MF, Bally-Cuif L. Axonal projections originating from raphe serotoninergic neurons in the developing and adult zebrafish, Danio rerio, using transgenic to visualize raphe-specific pet1 expression. J Comp Neurol. 2009;512(2):158–82.

Lumsden A. Segmentation and compartment in the early avian hindbrain. Mech Dev. 2004;121(9):1081–8.

Lumsden A, Krumlauf R. Patternning the vertebrate neuraxis. Science. 1996;274(5290):1109–15.

MacDonald RB, Debiasi-Thibaud M, Talbot JC, Ekker M. The relationship between dlx and gad1 expression indicates highly conserved genetic pathways in the zebrafish forebrain. Dev Dyn. 2010;239(8):2298–306.

Marín O, González A, Smeets WJ. Evidence for a mesolimbic pathway in anuran amphibians: a combined tract-tracing/immunohistochemical study. Neurosci Lett. 1995;190(3):183–6.

Marín O, Smeets WJ, González A. Basal ganglia organization in amphibians: catecholaminergic innervation of the striatum and the nucleus accumbens. J Comp Neurol. 1997;378(1):50–69.

Marín O, Smeets WJ, González A. Basal ganglia organization in amphibians: evidence for a common pattern in tetrapods. Prog Neurobiol. 1998a;55(4):363–97.

Marín O, Smeets WJ, González A. Evolution of the basal ganglia in tetrapods: a new perspective based on recent studies in amphibians. Trends Neurosci. 1998b;21(11):487–94.

Marín O, Smeets WJ, González A. Basal ganglia organization in amphibians: chemoarchitecture. J Comp Neurol. 1998c;392(3):285–312.

Martinoli MG, Dubourg P, Geffard M, Calas A, Kah O. Distribution of GABA-immunoreactive neurons in the forebrain of the goldfish, Carassius auratus. Cell Tissue Res. 1990;260(1):77–84.

Martyniuk CJ, Awad R, Hurley R, Finger TE, Truehal VL. Glutamic acid decarboxylase 65, 67, and GABA-transaminase mRNA expression and total enzyme activity in the goldfish (Carassius auratus) brain. Brain Res. 1997;618:154–66.

Mates B, Weber S, Peres J, Chen Q, Davidson G, Houart C, et al. Wnt3 and Wnt3a are required for induction of the mid-diencephalic organizer in the caudal forebrain. Neural Dev. 2012;7:12.

Mendelson B. Development of reticulospinal neurons of the zebrafish. I. Time of origin. J Comp Neurol. 1986a;251(2):160–71.

Mendelson B. Development of reticulospinal neurons of the zebrafish. II. Early axonal outgrowth and cell body position. J Comp Neurol. 1986b;251(2):172–84.

Metcalfe WK, Mendelson B, Kimmel CB. Segmental homologies among reticulospinal neurons in the hindbrain of the zebrafish larva. J Comp Neurol. 1986;251(2):147–59.

Metcalfe WK, Myers PZ, Trevor-Bower B, Bass MB, Kimmel CB. Primary neurons that express the L2/HNK-1 carbohydrate during early development in the zebrafish. Development. 1990;110(2):491–504.

Metwalli AH, Abellán A, Freixes J, Pross A, Desfilis E, Medina L. Distinct subdivisions in the transition between telencephalon and hypothalamus produce otp and sim1 cells for the extended Amygdala in Sauropsids. Front Neuroanat. 2022;16:883537.

Moore M, et al. Neural ganglia: Kimelman D, Griffin K. Overlapping expression of zebrafish T-brain-1 and eomesodermin during forebrain development. Mech Dev. 2001;100(1):93–7.

Moens CB, Cordes SP, Giorgianni MW, Barsh GS, Kimmel CB. Equivalence in the genetic control of hindbrain segmentation in fish and mouse. Development. 1998;125(3):381–91.

Moraes L, Castro Robles B, Abellán A, Desfilis E, Medina L. A novel telencephalon opto hypothalamic morphogenetic domain coexpressing FoxG1 and Otp produces most of the glutaminergic neurons of the medial extended amygdala. J Comp Neurol. 2021;529(10):2418–49.

Moreno N, González A. Evolution of the amygda-loid complex in vertebrates, with special reference to the anamnio-amniotic transition. J Anat. 2007;211(2):151–63.

Moreno N, Joven A, Morona R, Bandín S, López JM, González A. Conserved localization of Mash1 in the mouse embryonic forebrain. J Comp Neurol. 2010;518(6):851–71.

Moreno N, Morona R, López JM, González A. The diencephalon and hypothalamus of non-vertebrates. In: Kaas J, editor. Evolution of nervous systems. 2nd ed. Oxford: Elsevier; 1998. Vol. 1. p. 229–71.

Njöstad PR, Fjose A. In situ hybridization patterns of zebrafish homeobox genes homologous to Hox-2.1 and En-2 of mouse. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1988;157(2):426–32.

Northcutt RG. Connections of the lateral and medial divisions of the goldfish telencephalic pallium. J Comp Neurol. 2006;494(4):903–43.

Northcutt RG, Wullimann MF. The visual system in teleost fishes: Morphological patterns and trends. In: Atema J, Fay RR, Popper AN, Tavolga WN, editors. Sensory biology of aquatic animals. New York: Springer; 1988. p. 515–52.

Osório J, Mueller T, Rétaux S, Vennier P, Wullimann MF. Phylotypic expression of the bHLH genes Neurogenin2, NeuroD, and Mash1 in the mouse embryonic forebrain. J Comp Neurol. 2010;518(6):851–71.

Oxtoby E, Jowett T. Cloning of the zebrafish krox-20 gene (krx-20) and its expression during hindbrain development. Nucleic Acids Res. 1993;21(5):1087–95.

Peukert D, Weber S, Lumsden A, Scholpp S, Lhx2 and Lhx9 determine neuronal differentiation and compartment in the caudal forebrain by regulating Wnt signaling. PLoS Biol. 2011;9(12):e1001218.

Porter BA, Mueller T. The zebrafish amygdaloid complex - functional ground plan, molecular delineation, and everted topology. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:608.
Potlatchwait JH, Johnson SL, Midson CN, Talbot WS, Gates M, Ballinger EW, et al. A genetic linkage map for the zebrafish. Science. 1994;264(5159):699–703.

Precht JC, von der Emde G, Wolfart J, Karamürsel S, Aoke GN, Andriyanov YN, et al. Sensory processing in the pallium of a mornrn fish. J Neurosci. 1998;18(17):7381–93.

Prince V. Hox genes and segmental patterning of the vertebrate hindbrain. Am Zool. 1998;38(4):634–46.

Prince VE, Joy L, Ekker M, Ho RK. Zebrafish hox genes: genomic organization and modified colinear expression patterns in the trunk. Development. 1998;125(3):407–20.

Puelles L, Medina L. Field homology as a way to reconcile genetic and developmental variability with adult homology. Brain Res Bull. 2002;57(3–4):243–55.

Puelles L, Rubenstein JL. Expression patterns of homeobox and other putative regulatory genes in the embryonic mouse forebrain suggest a neuromeric organization. Trends Neurosci. 1993;16(11):472–9.

Puelles L, Rubenstein JL. Forebrain gene expression domains and the evolving prosomeric model. Trends Neurosci. 2003;26(9):469–76.

Puelles L, Martinez-de-la-Torre M, Bardet M, Rubenstein JR. Hypothalamus. In: Watson C, Paxinos G, Puelles L, editors. The mouse nervous system. San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press; 2012. p. 221–312.

Puelles L, Kuwana E, Puelles E, Balfone A, Shimamura K, Keleher J, et al. Pallial and subpallial derivatives in the embryonic chick and mouse telencephalon, traced by the expression of the genes Dlx-2, Enx-1, Nkx-2.1, Pax-6, and Tbr-1. J Comp Neurol. 2000;424(3):8881–93.

Schlopp S, Lohs C, Brand M. Engrafted and Fgft agonist synergistically to maintain the boundary between diencephalon and mesencephalon. Development. 2003;130(2):475–81.

Shabel SJ, Proulx CD, Trias A, Murphy RT, Malinov R. Input to the lateral habenula from the basal ganglia is excitatory, aversive, and suppressed by serotonin. Neuron. 2012;74(3):475–81.

Shabel SJ, Proulx CD, Piriz J, Malinov R. Mood regulation. GABA/glutamate co-release controls habenula output and is modified by antidepressant treatment. Science. 2014;345(6203):1494–9.

Shinoda K, Tohyama M. Analysis of the habenula and fasciculus retroflexus. Brain Res. 2001;889(1–2):316–30.

Simmons DM, Swanson LW. Comparison of the spatial distribution of seven types of neuroendocrine neurons in the rat paraventricular nucleus: toward a global 3D model. J Comp Neurol. 2009;516(5):423–41.

Smeets WJ, González A. Catecholamine systems in the brain of vertebrates: new perspectives. J Comp Neurol. 2010;518(14):2794–817.

Solnica-Krezel L, Schier AF, Driever W. Efficient recovery of ENU-induced mutations from the zebrafish germline. Genetics. 1994;136(4):1401–20.

Streisinger G, Walker C, Dower N, Knabner D, Singer F. Production of clones of homozygous diploid zebra fish (Brachydanio rerio). Nature. 1981;291(5813):293–6.

Swanson LW, Sawchenko PE. Hypothalamic integration: organization of the paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1983;6:269–32.

Tay TL, Ronneberger O, Ryu S, Nitschke R, Driever W. Comprehensive catecholaminergic projection system analysis reveals single-neuron integration of zebrafish ascending and descending dopaminergic systems. Nat Commun. 2011;2:171.

Theofanopoulos C, Gedman G, Caihll JA, Boeckx C, Jarvis ED. Universal nomenclature for oxytocin-vasotocin ligand and receptor families. Nature. 2021;592(7856):747–55.

Tripp JA, Bass AH. Galanin immunoreactivity is sexually polymorphic in neuroendocrine and vocal-acoustic systems in a teleost fish. J Comp Neurol. 2020;528(3):433–52.

Tripp JA, Salas-Allende I, Makowski A, Bass AH. Mating behavioral function of preoptic galanin neurons is shared between fish with alternative male reproductive tactics and tetrapods. J Neurosci. 2020;40(7):1549–59.

Turner KJ, Hawkins TA, Yáñez J, Anadón R, Wilson SW, Folgueira M. Aff erent connectivity of the zebrafish habenulae. Front Neural Circuits. 2016;10:30.

Trevorrow B, Marks DL, Kimmel CB. Organization of hindbrain segments in the zebrafish embryo. Neuron. 1990;4(5):669–79.

Vernier P, Wullimann MF. Posterior tuberculum. In: Binder MD, Hirokawa N, Windhorst U, editors. Encyclopedia of neuroscience. Heidelberg: Springer; 2009. p. 1400–13.

Volkmann K, Chen YY, Harris MP, Wullimann MF, Köster RW. The zebrafish cerebellar upper rhombic lip generates tegmental hind-brain nuclei by long-distance migration in an evolutionary conserved manner. J Comp Neurol. 2010;518(14):2794–817.

von der Emde G, Precht JC. Anatomical connections of auditory and lateral line areas of the dorsal telencephalon (Dm) in the osteoglossomorph teleost, Gnathomus petersonii. Brain Res. 1999;818(2):355–67.

Wang X, Chu LT, He J, Emelyanov A, Kozh V, Gong Z. A novel zebrafish HHLG gene, neurogenin3, is expressed in the hypothalamus. Gene. 2001;275(1):47–55.

Wilkinson DG, Krumlauf R. Molecular approaches to the segmentation of the hindbrain. Trends Neurosci. 1990;13(8):335–9.

Wilson SW, Ross LS, Parrett T, Easter SS Jr. The development of a simple atractor of axon tracts in the brain of the embryonic zebrafish, Brachydanio Rerio. Development. 1990;108(1):121–45.
Wu Z, Autry AE, Bergan JF, Watabe-Uchida M, Dulac CG. Galanin neurons in the medial preoptic area govern parental behaviour. Nature. 2014;509(7500):325–30.

Wullimann MF. Secondary neurogenesis and telencephalic organization in zebrafish and mice: a brief review. Integr Zool. 2009;4(1):123–33.

Wullimann MF. Basal ganglia: insights into origins from lamprey brains. Curr Biol. 2011;21(13):R497–500.

Wullimann MF. Ancestry of basal ganglia circuits: new evidence in teleosts. J Comp Neurol. 2014;522(9):2013–8.

Wullimann MF. Nervous system architecture in vertebrates. In: Shepherd SV, editor. The Wiley handbook of evolutionary neuroscience. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2017. p. 236–78.

Wullimann MF. Neural origins of basal diencephalon in teleost fishes: radial versus tangential migration. J Morphol. 2020;281(9):1133–41.

Wullimann MF, Knipp S. Proliferation pattern changes in the zebrafish brain from embryonic through early postembryonic stages. Anat Embryol. 2000;202(5):385–400.

Wullimann MF, Meyer DL. Phylogeny of putative cholinergic visual pathways through the telencephalon in anamniotes. Brain Behav Evol. 1990;36(1):14–29.

Wullimann MF, Mueller T. Expression of Zash-1a in the postembryonic zebrafish brain allows comparison to mouse Mash1 domains. Brain Res Gene Expr Patterns. 2002;1(3–4):187–92.

Wullimann MF, Mueller T. Teleostean and mammalian forebrains contrasted: evidence from genes to behavior. J Comp Neurol. 2004a;475(2):143–62.

Wullimann MF, Mueller T. Identification and morphogenesis of the eminentia thalami in the zebrafish. J Comp Neurol. 2004b;471(1):37–48.

Wullimann MF, Puelles L. Postembryonic neuronal proliferation in the zebrafish forebrain and its relationship to prosomeric domains. Anat Embryol. 1999;199(4):329–48.

Wullimann MF, Rink E. Detailed immunohistology of Pax6 protein and tyrosine hydroxylase in the early zebrafish brain suggests role of Pax6 gene in development of dopaminergic diencephalic neurons. Brain Res Dev Brain Res. 2001;131(1–2):173–91.

Wullimann MF, Umeasalugo KE. Sonic hedgehog expression in zebrafish forebrain identifies the teleostean pallidal signaling center and shows preglomerular complex and posterior tubercular dopamine cells to arise from shh cells. J Comp Neurol. 2020;528(8):1321–48.

Wullimann MF, Vernier P. Evolution of the nervous system in fishes. In: Kaas JH, editor. Evolutionary neuroscience. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2009a. p. 147–68.

Wullimann MF, Vernier P. Evolution of the telencephalon in anamniotes. In: Binder MD, Hirokawa N, Windhorst U, editors. Encyclopedia of neuroscience. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2009b. p. 1424–31.

Wullimann MF, Rupp B, Reichert H. Neuroanatomy of the zebrafish brain: a topological atlas. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag; 1996. p. 144.

Wullimann MF, Rink E, Vernier P, Schlosser G. Secondary neurogenesis in the brain of the African clawed frog, Xenopus laevis, as revealed by PCNA, Delta-1, Neurogenin-related-1, and NeuroD expression. J Comp Neurol. 2005;489(3):387–402.

Wullimann MF, Mueller T, Distel M, Babaryka A, Grote B, Köster RW. The long adventurous journey of rhombic lip cells in jawed vertebrates: a comparative developmental analysis. Front Neuroanat. 2011;5:27.

Xavier AL, Fontaine R, Bloch S, Affaticati P, Jenett A, Demarque M, et al. Comparative analysis of monoaminergic cerebrospinal fluid-contacting cells in Osteichthyes (bony vertebrates). J Comp Neurol. 2017;525(9):2265–83.

Yamamoto K, Ruuskanen JO, Wullimann MF, Vernier P. Two tyrosine hydroxylase genes in vertebrates new dopaminergic territories revealed in the zebrafish brain. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2010;43(4):394–402.

Yamamoto K, Ruuskanen JO, Wullimann MF, Vernier P. Differential expression of dopaminergic cell markers in the adult zebrafish forebrain. J Comp Neurol. 2011;519(3):576–98.

Zeltser LM, Larsen CW, Lumsden A. A new developmental compartment in the forebrain regulated by Lunatic fringe. Nat Neurosci. 2001;4(7):683–4.

Zerucha T, Stühmer T, Hatch G, Park BK, Long Q, Yu G, et al. A highly conserved enhancer in the Dlx5/Dlx6 intergenic region is the site of cross-regulatory interactions between Dlx genes in the embryonic forebrain. J Neurosci. 2000;20(2):709–21.