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1. Introduction and Background

Assume that \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) is a Banach space over the field \(\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}\) or \(\mathbb{C}\). Throughout the paper, we assumed that \(B = (e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a seminormalized Markushhevich basis, that is there exists a unique sequence \((e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X^*\) such that:

- \(\text{span}(e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) = X;\)
- \(e_n^*(e_m) = \delta_{nm};\)
- if \(e_n^*(f) = 0\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(f = 0;\)
- there are \(c_1, c_2 > 0\) such that:

\[
0 < c_1 := \inf_n \min\{\|e_n\|, \|e_n^*\|\} \leq \sup_n \max\{\|e_n\|, \|e_n^*\|\} =: c_2 < \infty.
\]

Hereinafter, by a \textit{basis} for \(X\), we mean a seminormalized Markushhevich basis. Under these conditions, for each \(f \in X\), we have that \(f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(f) e_n\) where \((c_n(f))_n \in \ell_0\).

The support of \(f \in X\) is denoted by \(\text{supp}(f)\), where \(\text{supp}(f) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |c_n(f)| \neq 0\}\). Finally, we use the following notation: \(X_{fin}\) is the subspace of \(X\) with the elements with finite support; if \(f, g \in X, f \cdot g = 0\) means that \(\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset\); \(\mathcal{F}\) is the projection operator, that is \(P_A(f) = \sum_{n \in A} c_n(f)e_n\); and \(S_k\) is the partial sum of order \(k\), that is \(S_k(f) = P_{\{1, \ldots, k\}}(f)\).

In 1999, S. V. Konyagin and V. N. Temlyakov [1] introduced one of the most studied algorithms in the field of nonlinear approximation, the so-called thresholding greedy algorithm: for \(f \in X\) and \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), we define a greedy sum of order \(m\) as:

\[
G_m(f) = G_m(f) := \sum_{n \in A_m(f)} c_n^*(f)e_n
\]

where \(A_m(f)\) is a greedy set of order \(m\), that is \(|A_m(f)| = m\) and:

\[
\min_{n \in A_m(f)} |c_n^*(f)| \geq \max_{n \notin A_m(f)} |c_n^*(f)|.
\]
The collection \((G_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}\) is the thresholding greedy algorithm. As for every algorithm, one of the first questions that we can ask the audience is when the algorithm converges. To solve that question, S. V. Konyagin and V. N. Temlyakov introduced in [1] the notion of quasi-greedy.

**Definition 1.** We say that \(B\) is quasi-greedy if there is a positive constant \(C\) such that:

\[
\|f - G_m(f)\| \leq C\|f\|, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in X.
\]  

(1)

The least constant verifying (1) is denoted by \(C_q = C_q[X,B]\), and we say that \(B\) is \(C_q\)-quasi-greedy.

Although this definition only talks about the boundedness of the greedy sums, P. Wojtaszczyk showed in [2] that quasi-greedy is equivalent to the convergence of the algorithm.

**Theorem 1 ([2,3]).** A basis \(B\) in a Banach (or quasi-Banach) space is quasi-greedy if and only if:

\[
\lim_{m \to +\infty} \|f - G_m(f)\| = 0, \quad \forall f \in X.
\]

Then, quasi-greedyness is the minimal condition in the convergence of the algorithm, but we are interested in others types of convergence. For instance, when does the algorithm produce the best possible approximation? To study this question, in [1], the authors introduced the notion of greediness: a basis \(B\) is greedy if there is a positive constant \(C_g\) such that:

\[
\|f - G_m(f)\| \leq C_g \inf \{ \|f - \sum_{n \in B} a_n e_n\| : a_n \in \mathbb{F}, |B| \leq m \}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in X.
\]

There are several examples of greedy bases, for instance the canonical basis in the spaces \(\ell_p\) with \(1 \leq p < \infty\), the Haar system in \(L_p((0,1))\) with \(1 < p < \infty\), or the trigonometric system in \(L_2(\mathbb{T})\). To study greedy bases, S. V. Konyagin and V.N. Temlyakov gave a characterization in terms of unconditional and democratic bases, where a basis is unconditional if the projection operator is uniformly bounded, that is there is \(K > 0\) such that, for any finite set \(A\),

\[
\|P_A(f)\| \leq K\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in X.
\]

Consider \(A\) a finite set, and define the set of the collection of signs in \(A\), \(E_A = \{ \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \in A} : |\varepsilon_n| = 1 \}\), then take the indicator sum:

\[
1_{\varepsilon A} = 1_{\varepsilon A}[X,B] := \sum_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n e_n.
\]

If \(\varepsilon \equiv 1\), we use the notation \(1_A\).

**Definition 2 ([3–6]).** We say that \(B\) is symmetric for the largest coefficients if there is a positive constant \(C\) such that:

\[
\|f + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|f + 1_{\varepsilon B}\|,
\]

(2)

for any pair of sets \(|A| \leq |B| < \infty\), \(A \cap B = \emptyset\), for any \(f \in X\) such that \(\text{supp}(f) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset\), \(|e_n^a(f)| \leq 1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), and for any choice of signs \(\varepsilon \in E_A, \varepsilon' \in E_B\). The least constant verifying (2) is denoted by \(\Delta = \Delta[X,B]\), and we say that \(B\) is \(\Delta\)-symmetric for the largest coefficients. If (2) is satisfied with the extra condition that \(A < \text{supp}(f) \cup B\), then we say that \(B\) is partially symmetric for the largest coefficients with constant \(\Delta_{pc}\).
Definition 3. We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is super-democratic if there is a positive constant $C$ such that:

$$\|1_{\mathcal{E}_A}\| \leq C\|1_{\mathcal{E}_B}\|,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

for any pair of sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$, $|A| \leq |B| < \infty$ and for any choice of signs $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_A, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_B$. The least constant verifying (3) is denoted by $\Delta_s = \Delta_s[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}]$, and we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is $\Delta_s$-super-democratic.

If (3) is satisfied for $A < B$, we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is $\Delta_s$-super-conservative.

If (3) is satisfied for $\varepsilon \equiv \eta \equiv 1$, we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is $\Delta_s$-democratic, and if in addition, $A < B$, we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is $\Delta_s$-conservative.

With these definitions, we can find the following characterizations of greedy bases.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis in a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$:

- $\mathcal{B}$ is greedy if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is democratic and unconditional (see [1]). Moreover,

$$\max\{K, \Delta_d\} \leq C_s \leq K + K^2 \Delta_d;$$

- $\mathcal{B}$ is greedy if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is super-democratic and unconditional (see [7]). Moreover,

$$\max\{K, \Delta_s\} \leq C_s \leq K + K \Delta_s;$$

- $\mathcal{B}$ is greedy if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is symmetric for the largest coefficients and unconditional (see [6]). Moreover,

$$\max\{K, \Delta_d\} \leq C_s \leq K \Delta.$$

The last two characterizations were studied with the objective to improve the boundedness constant of greedy bases. Moreover, all the characterizations were given under the assumption of unconditionality and one of the democracy-like properties, but in [8], we found a new and interesting property that is very useful to give a new characterization of greediness (see [8] Corollary 1.8). This property is the so-called Property (Q): there is a $C > 0$ such that:

$$\|f + 1_A\| \leq C\|f + g + 1_B\|,$$

for any $|A| = |B| < \infty$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{X}_{fin}$ such that supp$(f) \cap$ supp$(g) = \emptyset$, \(\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1\) and supp$(f + g) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$.

In that paper, we focused our attention in a closed inequality to characterize the so-called almost greedy and partially greedy bases.

Definition 4 ([4]). We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is almost greedy if there is a positive constant $C$ such that:

$$\|f - G_m(f)\| \leq C \inf\{\|f - P_m(f)\| : |B| \leq m\}, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in \mathcal{X}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The least constant verifying (4) is denoted by $C_{al} = C_{al}[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}]$, and we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is $C_{al}$-almost greedy.

Definition 5 ([4,5]). We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is partially greedy if there is positive constant $C$ such that:

$$\|f - G_m(f)\| \leq C \inf_{k \leq m} \|f - S_k(f)\|, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in \mathcal{X}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The least constant verifying (5) is denoted by $C_p = C_p[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}]$, and we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is $C_p$-partially greedy.

Remark 1. In [4], the condition of partial greediness was introduced as follows:

$$\|f - G_m(f)\| \leq C\|f - S_m(f)\|, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in \mathcal{X}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Under the condition of Schauder bases, (6) and (5) are equivalent notions, and in [5], the authors proved that if (6) is satisfied with $C = 1$, then the basis is partially greedy.

Of course, every greedy basis is almost greedy and every almost greedy basis is partially greedy. One example of an almost greedy basis that is not greedy is the Lindenstrauss basis in $\ell_1$ ([9]). Recently, one basis that is partially greedy and not almost greedy was presented in ([10] Proposition 6.10).

It is well known that a basis is almost greedy if and only if the basis is quasi-greedy and democratic and a basis is partially greedy if the basis is quasi-greedy and conservative ([4,10]). Moreover, as for greedy bases, we have the following characterizations.

**Theorem 3.** Assume that $B$ is a basis in a Banach space:
- $B$ is almost greedy if and only if $B$ is democratic and quasi-greedy ([4]). Moreover,
  \[ \max \{ C_q, \Delta_q \} \leq C_{al} \leq 8C_q^4\Delta_q + C_q + 1; \]
- $B$ is almost greedy if and only if $B$ is super-democratic and quasi-greedy ([7]). Moreover,
  \[ \max \{ C_q, \Delta_s \} \leq C_{al} \leq C_q + C_q\Delta_s; \]
- $B$ is almost greedy if and only if $B$ is symmetric for the largest coefficients and quasi-greedy ([7]). Moreover,
  \[ \max \{ C_q, \Delta \} \leq C_{al} \leq C_q\Delta. \]

**Theorem 4 ([4,5]).** Assume that $B$ is a basis in a Banach space:
- $B$ is partially greedy if and only if $B$ is conservative and quasi-greedy. Moreover,
  \[ \max \{ C_q, \Delta_c \} \leq C_p \leq C_q + C_q^2(1 + C_q)\Delta_c; \]
- $B$ is partially greedy if and only if $B$ is super-conservative and quasi-greedy. Moreover,
  \[ \max \{ C_q, \Delta_{sc} \} \leq C_p \leq C_q + C_q(1 + C_q)\Delta_{sc}; \]
- $B$ is partially greedy if and only if $B$ is partially symmetric for the largest coefficients and quasi-greedy. Moreover,
  \[ \max \{ C_q, \Delta_{pc} \} \leq C_p \leq C_q\Delta_{pc}. \]

The purpose of this paper is to obtain a new characterization of almost greedy and partially greedy bases following the ideas of ([8] Corollary 1.8) for greedy bases.

**Definition 6.** We say that $B$ has Property (F) if there is a positive constant $C$ such that:

\[ \| f + 1_A \| \leq C \| f + g + 1_B \|, \] (7)

for any $A, B, f, g$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $|A| \leq |B| < \infty$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$;

(ii) $f, g \in X_{fin}$, $f \cdot g = 0$, $\text{supp}(f + g) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$, $\| \tilde{f} \|_\infty \leq 1$, and $\| \tilde{f} \|_\infty \leq \inf_{n \in \text{supp}(g)} |c_n'(g)|$.

The least constant verifying (7) is denoted by $F = F[X, B]$, and we say that $B$ has Property (F) with constant $F$. Furthermore, if (7) is satisfied with the extra condition that $A < \text{supp}(g) \cup B$, we say that $B$ has Property ($F_p$) with constant $F_p$. 
Definition 7. We say that $B$ has Property $(F^*)$ if there is a positive constant $C$ such that:

$$\|f + z\| \leq C \|f + y\|,$$

for any $f, z, y \in \mathcal{X}_{fin}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $f \cdot z = 0, f \cdot y = 0, z \cdot y = 0$;
(ii) $\max\{\|f\|_{\infty}, \|z\|_{\infty}\} \leq 1$;
(iii) $|D| \geq |\text{supp}(z)|$, where $D = \{n \in \text{supp}(y) : |c_n(y)| = 1\}$;
(iv) $\inf_{n \in \text{supp}(y)} |c_n(y)| \geq \|f\|_{\infty}$.

The least constant verifying (8) is denoted by $F^* = F^*[\mathcal{X}, B]$, and we say that $B$ has Property $(F^*)$ with constant $F^*$.

Furthermore, if (8) is satisfied with the extra condition that $\text{supp}(z) \subset \text{supp}(f + y)$, we say that $B$ has Property $(F^*_p)$ with constant $F^*_p$.

The main theorems that we prove are the following.

Theorem 5. Let $B$ be a basis in a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$:

(a) If $B$ is almost greedy with constant $C_{al}$, then $B$ has Property $(F^*)$ with constant $F^* \leq C_{al}(1 + 2C_{al})$.

(b) If $B$ has Property $(F^*)$ with constant $F^*$, then the basis is almost greedy with constant $C_{al} \leq (F^*)^2$.

Theorem 6. Let $B$ be a basis in a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$:

(a) If $B$ is partially greedy with constant $C_p$, then $B$ has Property $(F^*_p)$ with constant $F^*_p \leq C_p(1 + 2C_p)$.

(b) If $B$ has Property $(F^*_p)$ with constant $F^*_p$, then the basis is partially greedy with constant $C_p \leq (F^*_p)^2$.

The structure of the paper is the following: In Section 2, we show some basics about Properties (F) and $(F^*)$. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 5. In Section 4 we give a brief summary about Properties $(F^*_p)$ and $(F^*_p)$. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 6, and finally, in Section 6, we give some density results that we use in the paper.

2. Properties (F) and $(F^*)$

This section is focused on the study of Properties (F) and $(F^*)$. In fact, we show that these properties are equivalent. We show that we need some auxiliary lemmas about convexity.

Lemma 1 ([3] Corollary 2.3). Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach space, and let $B$ be a basis for $\mathcal{X}$ and $J$ a finite set:

(i) For any scalars $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ with $0 \leq a_j \leq 1$ and any $g \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\|g + \sum_{j \in J} a_je_j\| \leq \sup\{\|g + 1_A\| : A \subseteq J\};$$

(ii) For any scalars $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ with $|a_j| \leq 1$ and any $g \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\|g + \sum_{j \in J} a_je_j\| \leq \sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}_J} \|g + 1_{\epsilon e_j}\|.$$

Lemma 2. Let $B$ be a basis of a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$. Then,

$$\sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}_A} \|f + 1_{\epsilon e_A}\| \leq 5 \sup_{B \subseteq A} \|f + 1_B\|.$$
Proof. If $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}$, following the result in ([8] Lemma 2.3), we know that:
\[
\sup_{\varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \| f + 1_{\varepsilon A} \| \leq 3 \sup_{B \subseteq A} \| f + 1_B \|.
\]
We prove now the result for the complex case. In that case,
\[
1_{\varepsilon A} = \sum_{n \in A} \text{Re}(\varepsilon_n) e_n + i \sum_{n \in A} \text{Im}(\varepsilon_n) e_n
\]
\[
= \sum_{n \in A_1} \text{Re}^+(\varepsilon_n) e_n - \sum_{n \in A_2} \text{Re}^-(\varepsilon_n) e_n
\]
\[
+ i \left( \sum_{n \in A_3} \text{Im}^+(\varepsilon_n) e_n - \sum_{n \in A_4} \text{Im}^-(\varepsilon_n) e_n \right),
\]
(9)
where $A_i$ are the corresponding subsets of $A$. Then,
\[
\| f + 1_{\varepsilon A} \| \leq \| f + \sum_{n \in A} \text{Re}(\varepsilon_n) e_n \| + \| \sum_{n \in A} \text{Im}(\varepsilon_n) e_n \| \\
\leq \| f \| + \| f + \sum_{n \in A_1} \text{Re}^+(\varepsilon_n) e_n \| + \| f + \sum_{n \in A_2} \text{Re}^-(\varepsilon_n) e_n \| \\
+ \| f + \sum_{n \in A_3} \text{Im}^+(\varepsilon_n) e_n \| + \| f + \sum_{n \in A_4} \text{Im}^-(\varepsilon_n) e_n \| \\
\leq 5 \sup_{B \subseteq A} \| f + 1_B \|.
\]
\]
\]
\]
\]
\]
\]
\]

\[\square \]

**Theorem 7.** Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis in a Banach space $X$. The basis is democratic (or symmetric for the largest coefficients) and quasi-greedy if and only if the basis has Property (F). Concretely:

(1) If $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (F) with constant $\mathcal{F}$, then the basis is $C_q$-quasi-greedy and $\Delta_d$-democratic with:
\[
\max\{C_q, \Delta_d\} \leq \mathcal{F};
\]

(2) If $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (F) with constant $\mathcal{F}$, then the basis is $C_q$-quasi-greedy and $\Delta_d$-symmetric for the largest coefficients with:
\[
C_q \leq \mathcal{F}, \Delta \leq 5(\mathcal{F} + 4\mathcal{F}^2 + 4\mathcal{F}^3);
\]

(3) If $\mathcal{B}$ is $\Delta_d$-democratic and $C_q$-quasi-greedy, then the basis has Property (F) with constant:
\[
\mathcal{F} \leq C_q(1 + (1 + C_q)\Delta_d);
\]

(4) If $\mathcal{B}$ is $\Delta_d$-symmetric for the largest coefficients and $C_q$-quasi-greedy, then the basis has Property (F) with constant:
\[
\mathcal{F} \leq 3\Delta C_q.
\]

Proof. First of all, we show (1). Assume that the basis has Property (F) with constant $\mathcal{F}$. To show that $\mathcal{B}$ is quasi-greedy, we take $f \in X_{fin}$ with $t = \| f \|_{\infty}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, if we take in the definition of Property (F) $f' = f - G_m(f), g' = G_m(f)$, and $A = B = \emptyset$, since $\| f' \|_{\infty} \leq \inf_{n \in \text{supp}(g')} \| e_n(x') \|$, we obtain that:
\[
\| f - G_m(f) \| = t \| f' \| \leq \mathcal{F} t \| f' + g' \| = \mathcal{F} \| f \|,
\]
so the basis is quasi-greedy with $C_q \leq \mathcal{F}$ for elements with finite support. To obtain that $\mathcal{B}$ is quasi-greedy for any $f \in X$, we use Corollary 1.
We prove now that the basis is democratic. For that, we take $C$ and $D$, two finite sets, such that $|C| \leq |D|$. Now, we perform the following decomposition:

$$D = (D \cap C) \cup D_1 \cup D_2,$$

where $|D_1| = |C \setminus D|$ and $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$. Hence, taking $f = 1_{D \cap C}, g = 1_{D_2}, A = C \setminus D,$ and $B = D_1$,

$$\|1_C\| = \|1_{C \cap D} + 1_{C \setminus D}\| \leq F \|1_{D \cap C} + 1_{D_2} + 1_{D_1}\| = F \|1_D\|.$$

Thus, $B$ is democratic with $\Delta_d \leq F$.

We now prove (2). We only have to show that $B$ is symmetric for the largest coefficients. For that, take $f \in \mathbb{X}_{fin}, \|f\|_\infty \leq 1, A \cap B = \emptyset, |A| \leq |B| < \infty, \text{supp}(f) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_A,$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_B$. Using Lemmas 1 and 2, we only have to show that there is some absolute constant $C$ such that:

$$\|f + 1_{A'}\| \leq C\|f + 1_{\eta B}\|, \forall A' \subseteq A.$$

Of course, since Property (F) implies quasi-greedy with constant $C_q \leq F$ by (1), if we take the element $h := f + 1_{\eta B}$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$, we have:

$$\|f\| = \|h - G_B(h)\| \leq F \|h\| = F \|f + 1_{\eta B}\|. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, with respect to the set $A'$, we can have the following:

$$\|1_{A'}\| \leq F \|1_B\| \leq 4F^2 \|1_{\eta B}\|, \quad (11)$$

where in the last inequality, we used ([11] Proposition 2.1.11) or ([3] Lemma 3.2). (These results affirm that for quasi-greedy bases, $\|1_A\| \leq 2C_q \|1_{\eta A}\|$, for any $\eta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_A$ and any finite set $A$ with $\kappa = 1$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa = 2$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.)

Thus,

$$\|f + 1_{A'}\| \leq \|f\| + \|1_{A'}\| \leq \|f\| + \|1_{\eta B}\| + 4F^2 \|1_{\eta B}\| \leq (F + 4F^2) \|f + 1_{\eta B}\| + 4F^2 \|f\| \leq (F + 4F^2 + 4F^3) \|f + 1_{\eta B}\|.$$

Finally, applying convexity,

$$\|f + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq \frac{5}{2} \sup_{A' \subseteq A} \|f + 1_{A'}\| \leq 5(F + 4F^2 + 4F^3) \|f + 1_{\eta B}\|.$$

Therefore, the basis is symmetry for the largest coefficients for elements with finite support with constant:

$$\Delta \leq 5(F + 4F^2 + 4F^3).$$

Applying Lemma 5, the result follows for any $f \in \mathbb{X}$.

(3) Assume now that $B$ is $C_q$-quasi-greedy and $\Delta_d$-democratic, and take $f, g \in \mathbb{X}_{fin}$ with $f \cdot g = 0, \inf_{\varepsilon \in \text{supp}(g)} |\varepsilon_a^*(g)| \geq \|f\|_\infty, A \cap B = \emptyset, |A| \leq |B| < \infty,$ and $\text{supp}(f + g) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$.

$$\|f + 1_A\| \leq \|f\| + \|1_A\| \leq \|f\| + \Delta_d \|1_B\|. \quad (12)$$

If we take $h := f + g + 1_B$, it is clear that $\text{supp}(g + 1_B)$ is a greedy set of $h$. Then, if $|\text{supp}(g + 1_B)| = n$,

$$\|f\| = \|h - G_a(h)\| \leq C_q \|h\| = C_q \|f + g + 1_B\|. \quad (13)$$
Since $\inf_{n} \inf_{v \in \text{supp}(g)} |e^{*}_{n}(g)| \geq \|f\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, we can decompose $g$ as $g = g_{1} + g_{2}$, where $\text{supp}(g_{1}) = \{n \in \text{supp}(g) : |e^{*}_{n}(g)| \geq 1\}$ and $\text{supp}(g_{2}) = \{n \in \text{supp}(g) : |e^{*}_{n}(g)| < 1\}$. Then, if we take $u := f + g_{2} + 1_{B}$, $B$ is a greedy set for $u$ of order $k := |B|$, and taking $v = u + g_{1}$, $\text{supp}(g_{1})$ is a greedy set of $v$ of order $p := |\text{supp}(g_{1})|$. Thus,

$$
\|1_{B}\| = \|G_{\hat{v}}(u)\| \leq (1 + C_{q})\|u\| = (1 + C_{q})\|f + g_{2} + 1_{B}\|
= (1 + C_{q})\|v - G_{\hat{v}}(v)\| \leq (1 + C_{q})C_{qy}\|f + g + 1_{B}\|. \tag{14}
$$

Adding up (13) and (14) in (12), we obtain the result, that is the basis has Property (F) with $F \leq C_{q}(1 + (1 + C_{q})\Delta_{q})$.

Finally, assume that $B$ is $\Delta$-symmetric for the largest coefficients and $C_{q}$-quasi-greedy. Take $f, g, A$, and $B$ as in Property (F). Then,

$$
\|f + 1_{A}\| \leq \Delta\|f + 1_{B}\| \leq \Delta(\|f + g_{1} + 1_{B}\| + \|g_{1} + f\| + \|f\|)
\leq 3C_{q}\Delta\|f + g + 1_{B}\|.
$$

Thus, the basis has Property (F) with constant $F \leq 3C_{q}\Delta$. \qed

**Theorem 8.** Let $B$ be a basis in a Banach space $X$. The basis has Property (F) if and only if the basis has Property $(F^*)$. Moreover, if $F$ and $F^*$ are the constants of the corresponding properties, then:

$$
F \leq F^* \leq 5F(1 + 2F + 8F^{2}).
$$

**Proof.** Assume that we have Property $(F^*)$ with constant $F^*$, and take $f, g, A$, and $B$ as in Property (F), that is $f \cdot g = 0$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $|A| \leq |B|$, $\text{supp}(f + g) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$, $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, and $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \inf_{n \in \text{supp}(g)} |e^{*}_{n}(g)|$. Taking $z = 1_{A}$ and $y = g + 1_{B}$ in Property $(F^*)$, $f, z, y$ verify the conditions established in Property $(F^*)$. Then,

$$
\|f + 1_{A}\| = \|f + z\| \leq F^*\|f + y\| = F^*\|f + g + 1_{B}\|,
$$

so the basis has Property (F) with $F \leq F^*$.

Assume now that we have Property (F), and take $f, y, z$ in $X_{fin}$ as in Property $(F^*)$, that is $f \cdot z = 0$, $f \cdot y = 0$, $z \cdot y = 0$, $\max\{\|f\|_{\infty}, \|z\|_{\infty}\} \leq 1$, and $|\text{supp}(z)| \leq |D|$ where $D = \{n : |e^{*}_{n}(y)| = 1\}$. Using Lemmas 1 and 2, it is enough to prove that there exists $C_{1} > 0$ such that:

$$
\|f + 1_{A}\| \leq C_{1}\|f + y\|, \forall A' \subseteq A,
$$

where $A = \text{supp}(z)$. Using Property (F), we have that:

$$
\|h\| \leq F\|h + w\|, \tag{15}
$$

for any $h$ and $w$ such that $h \cdot w = 0$ and $\inf_{n \in \text{supp}(w)} |e^{*}_{n}(w)| \geq \|\hat{h}\|_{\infty}$.

Taking $D = \{n : |e^{*}_{n}(y)| = 1\}$, observe that $y = P_{D_{E}}(y) + 1_{D_{F}}$, where $E \equiv \{\text{sign}(e^{*}_{n}(y))\}_{n}$. Then, if $A' \subseteq A$, $A'$

$$
\|f + 1_{A'}\| \leq F\|f + P_{D_{E}}(y) + 1_{D_{F}}\| \leq F\|f + y\| + \|1_{D_{F}}\| + \|1_{D_{E}}\|. \tag{16}
$$

If we decompose $D = D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ such that:

$$
D_{1} = \{n : |e^{*}_{n}(y)| < 1\}, \quad D_{2} = \{n : |e^{*}_{n}(y)| > 1\},
$$

we obtain that:

$$
\|1_{D_{1}}\| \leq \|f + P_{D_{1}}(y) + 1_{D_{1}}\| + \|f + P_{D_{2}}(y)\| \leq 2F\|f + y\|. \tag{15}
$$
Following the idea of (11), we can obtain that:

\[ \|1_D\| \leq 4\|1_\eta D\| \leq 8\|f + y\|. \]  

(18)

Using (17) and (18) in (16), we have:

\[ \|f + 1_A\| \leq \mathcal{F}(1 + 2\mathcal{F} + 8\mathcal{F}^2)\|f + y\|. \]

Using Lemma 1, we obtain:

\[ \|f + z\| \leq \sup_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_A} \|f + 1_{\epsilon A}\| \leq 5 \sup_{\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}} \|f + 1_{\mathcal{A}'A}\| \leq 5\mathcal{F}(1 + 2\mathcal{F} + 8\mathcal{F}^2)\|f + y\|. \]

Therefore, the basis has Property (F*) with constant \( \mathcal{F}^* \leq 5\mathcal{F}(1 + 2\mathcal{F} + 8\mathcal{F}^2) \).

To finish this section, we give the following nice characterization of Property (F*) that will be useful to show our main theorem.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( B \) be a basis in a Banach space \( X \). The following are equivalent:

(i) There is a positive constant \( C \) such that:

\[ \|f + 1_{\epsilon A}\| \leq C\|f + g + 1_{\eta B}\|, \]

for any \( f, g \in X_{fin} \) such that \( f \cdot g = 0 \), \( \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty \leq 1 \) and \( \inf_{n \in \text{supp}(g)} |e_n^\ast(g)| \geq \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty \), for any pair of finite sets \( A \) and \( B \) such that \( A \cap B = \emptyset, |A| \leq |B|, \text{supp}(f + g) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset \), and for any \( \epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_A, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_B \);

(ii) The basis has Property (F*) with constant \( \mathcal{F}^* \);

(iii) There is a positive constant \( C \) such that:

\[ \|f\| \leq C\|f - P_A(f) + y\|, \]

for any \( f, y \in X_{fin} \) with \( f \cdot y = 0 \) and \( A \subseteq \text{supp}(f) \) verifying:

(a) \( \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty \leq 1 \);

(b) \( \inf_{n \in \text{supp}(y)} |e_n^\ast(y)| \geq \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty \);

(c) \( |D| \geq |A| \), where \( D = \{ n : |e_n^\ast(y)| = 1 \} \).

Moreover, if we denote by \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) the least constants verifying (19) and (20), respectively, we have:

\[ \mathcal{F}^* \leq C_1, \quad C_2 \leq \mathcal{F}^*, \quad C_1 \leq C_2. \]

**Proof.** First, we prove (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). Take \( f, z, y \in X_{fin} \) as in the definition of Property (F*):

- \( f \cdot y = 0, f \cdot z = 0, z \cdot y = 0 \);
- \( \max\{\|\tilde{f}\|_\infty, \|\tilde{2}\|_\infty\} \leq 1 \);
- \( |D| \geq |\text{supp}(z)| \), where \( D = \{ n : |e_n^\ast(y)| = 1 \} \);
- \( \inf_{n \in \text{supp}(y)} |e_n^\ast(y)| \geq \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty \).

If \( z = 0 \), just take \( A = B = \emptyset \), and the proof is over. Consider now that \( z \neq 0 \), and take \( \text{supp}(z) = A \). If we divide \( y = 1_{\eta D} + P_D(y) \) with \( \eta \equiv \{ \text{sign}(e_n^\ast(y)) \} \), we have for all \( \epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_A \),

\[ \|f + 1_{\epsilon A}\| \leq C_1\|f + P_D(y) + 1_{\eta D}\| = C_1\|f + y\| \]

(21)

Applying now Lemma 1, we obtain the result with \( \mathcal{F}^* \leq C_1 \).
Now, we show that (ii) ⇒ (iii). Of course, if $A = \emptyset$, the result is trivial. Take $f, y,$ and $A$ as in (iii) with $A \neq \emptyset$ and $A \subseteq \text{supp}(f)$. If in Property ($F^*$), we take $f' = f - P_A(f)$, $z' = 1_{eA}$ with $e \in E_A$ and $y' = y$,

$$
\|f' + z'\| = \|f - P_A(f) + 1_{eA}\| \leq F^*\|f' + y'\| = F^*\|f - P_A(f) + y\|,
$$

so applying Item (ii) of Lemma 1, (iii) is proven with $C_2 \leq F^*$.

Finally, we give the proof to show that (iii) ⇒ (i). Take $f, g \in X_{fin}$ such that $f \cdot g = 0$, $\|f\|_\infty \leq \inf_{y \in \text{supp}(g)} |e_n^*(g)|$, $|A| \leq |B| < \infty$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $\text{supp}(f + g) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$, and $\varepsilon \in E_A, \eta \in E_B$.

Taking $f' = f + 1_{eA}$ and $y = g + 1_{\eta B}$,

$$
\|f + 1_{eA}\| = \|f'\| \leq C_2\|f' - P_A(f') + y\| = C_2\|f + g + 1_{\eta B}\|,
$$

so the proof is over, and $C_1 \leq C_2$. □

3. Proof of Theorem 5

To prove Theorem 5, we used one of the most important tools in the world of quasi-greedy bases: the truncation operator. To define this operator, we take $\alpha > 0$ and define, first of all, the $\alpha$-truncation of $z \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$
T_\alpha(z) = \text{asign}(z), \text{ if } |z| \geq \alpha,
$$

and:

$$
T_\alpha(z) = z, \text{ if } |z| \leq \alpha.
$$

Now, it is possible to extend $T_\alpha$ to an operator in the space $X$ by:

$$
T_\alpha(f) = \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(f)} T_\alpha(e_n^*(f))e_n = \sum_{n \in \Delta_\alpha} \frac{e_n^*(f)}{|e_n^*(f)|} e_n + \sum_{n \notin \Delta_\alpha} e_n^*(f)e_n,
$$

where the set $\Delta_\alpha = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |e_n^*(f)| > \alpha\}$. Of course, since $\Delta_\alpha$ is a finite set, $T_\alpha$ is well defined for all $f \in X$.

Lemma 3 ([17] Lemma 2.5). Let $B$ be a $C_q$-quasi-greedy basis in a Banach space. Then, the truncation operator is uniformly bounded, that is,

$$
\|T_\alpha(f)\| \leq C_\alpha\|f\|, \forall \alpha > 0, \forall f \in X.
$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Assume that $B$ is almost greedy with constant $C_{al}$, and take $f, z$, and $y$ as in Property ($F^*$), then decompose $y = P_{B_1}(y) + P_{B_2}(y) + 1_{\eta B}$, where $\eta = \{\text{sign}(e_n^*(y))\}$, $B_1 \cup B_2 = B^c$ and:

$$
B_1 = \{n : |e_n^*(y)| < 1\}, \ B_2 = \{n : |e_n^*(y)| > 1\}.
$$

Taking now $h := f + 1_{eA} + P_{B_2}(y) + 1_{\eta B}$ with $A = \text{supp}(z), e \in E_A$, and $n = |B_2| + |B|$, we obtain:

$$
\|f + 1_{eA}\| = \|h - G_h(h)\| \leq C_{al}\|h - P_A(h)\| = C_{al}\|f + P_{B_1}(y) + 1_{\eta B}\|
\leq C_{al}(\|f + y\| + \|P_{B_1}(y)\|) \leq C_{al}(\|f + y\| + \|f + P_{B_1}(y)\| + \|f\|)
\leq C_{al}(\|f + y\| + 2C_{al}\|f + y\|)
\leq C_{al}(1 + 2C_{al})\|f + y\|.
$$

Thus, applying Lemma 1, the basis has Property ($F^*$) with constant $F^* \leq C_{al}(1 + 2C_{al})$. 


Assume now that the basis has Property \((F^*)\). Take \(f \in X_{fin}, m \in \mathbb{N}, G_m(f) = P_C(f)\), and \(|A| \leq m\).

Consider now the elements \(f' = \frac{1}{t} (f - G_m(f))\) with \(t = \min_{n \in G \setminus A} |e_n^*(f)|, B = A \setminus G, y = 1_{G \setminus A}, and \eta \equiv \{\text{sign}(e_n^*(f))\}\). Of course, \(f' \cdot y = 0, \|f'\|_\infty \leq 1\) since \(|e_n^*(f - G_m(f))| \leq t\) for \(n \in G^*\) and \(|G \setminus A| \geq |B|\). Then, applying these elements in Item (iii) of Proposition 1, we obtain the following:

\[
\|f - G_m(f)\| = t\|f'\| \leq tF^*\|f' - P_B(f') + y\| = F^*\|f - P_C(f) - P_{A \setminus G}(f) + t1_{G \setminus A}\| = F^*\|P_{(A \cup G)}(f - P_A(f)) + t1_{G \setminus A}\|.
\]  

(22)

Since Property \((F^*)\) implies that the basis is quasi-greedy with \(C_q \leq F^*\) (Theorems 7 and 8), applying Lemma 3,

\[
\|P_{(A \cup G)}(f - P_A(f)) + t1_{G \setminus A}\| = \|T_1(f - P_A(f))\| \leq F^*\|f - P_A(f)\|.
\]  

(23)

Thus, by (23) and (22), the basis is almost greedy with constant \(C_{al} \leq (F^*)^2\) for elements \(f \in X_{fin}\). Now, applying Corollary 2, the results follow. \(\Box\)

4. Properties \((F_p)\) and \((F^*_p)\)

In all the results presented in Section 2, we can change democracy to conservativeness or super-conservativeness and Properties \((F)\) and \((F^*)\) to Properties \((F_p)\) and \((F^*_p)\) and obtain the same results. Here, we only present the fundamental theorem that is the version of Theorem 7 to study how the constants change.

**Theorem 9.** A basis \(B\) in a Banach space \(X\) has Property \((F_p)\) if and only if \(B\) is quasi-greedy and conservative. Moreover, \n
\[
\max\{\Delta_e, C_q\} \leq F_p \leq 2 + C_q + 2C_q\Delta_e.
\]

**Proof.** Assume that \(B\) has Property \((F_p)\) with constant \(F_p\). Taking \(A = \emptyset\), we have that:

\[
\|f\| \leq C\|f + 1_B + g\|,
\]  

(24)

for any \(f, g\), and \(B\) as in the definition of Property \((F_p)\). Now, taking \(B = \emptyset\) and considering \(f' := f - G_m(f)\) and \(y = G_m(f)\),

\[
\|f - G_m(f)\| = \|f'\| \leq F_p\|f' + g\| = F_p\|f\|,
\]  

(25)

so the basis is quasi-greedy for elements with finite support. Applying Corollary 1, the basis is quasi-greedy with \(C_q \leq F_p\). Now, on the other hand, taking \(f = g = 0\), we obtain conservativeness with constant \(\Delta_e \leq F_p\).

Now, take \(f, g, A,\) and \(B\) as in the definition of Property \((F_p)\). If we have \(g = g_1 + g_2\) where:

\[
\text{supp}(g_1) = \{n \in \text{supp}(g) : |e_n^*(g)| < 1\},
\]

\[
\|f + 1_A\| \leq \|f + g + 1_B\| + \|g + 1_B\| + \|1_A\| \\
\leq 2\|f + g + 1_B\| + \|f\| + \|1_A\| \\
\leq (2 + C_q)\|f + g + 1_B + \Delta_e\|1_B\| \\
\leq (2 + C_q)\|f + g + 1_B + \Delta_e\|f + g_1 + 1_B\| + \Delta_e\|f + g_1\| \\
\leq (2 + C_q)\|f + g + 1_B\| + 2C_q\Delta_e\|f + g + 1_B\| \\
= (2 + C_q + 2C_q\Delta_e)\|f + g + 1_B\|.
\]
5. Proof of Theorem 6

Proof of Theorem 6. Assume now that $B$ is $C_p$-partially greedy, and prove Property $(F_p^*)$. Take $f, z, y \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{fin}}$ satisfying from (i) to (iv) in the definition of Property $(F_p^*)$. We write $y = 1_{yD} + y_1 + y_2$, where:

$$\text{supp}(y_1) = \{ n \in \text{supp}(y) : |\epsilon_n^*(y)| < 1 \}, \quad \text{supp}(y_2) = \{ n \in \text{supp}(y) : |\epsilon_n^*(y)| > 1 \},$$

and $\eta \equiv \{ \text{sign}(\epsilon_n^*(y)) \}$. Consider $A = \text{supp}(z)$.

If $A = \emptyset$, applying Theorem 4, the basis is quasi-greedy with $C_q \leq C_p$, and we can conclude that $\|f\| \leq \|f + y\|$.

Assume now that $A \neq \emptyset$, and consider $m = \max A$, then define $B = \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus A$. It is clear that $m = |A \cup B| \leq |B \cup D|$. Now, for any choice $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_A$, define $h := f + 1_{\epsilon A} + y_2 + 1_{yD} + 1_B$.

Since partial greediness implies quasi-greediness with constant $C_p$ (see Theorem 4), we have:

$$\|f + 1_{\epsilon A}\| = \|h - \mathcal{G}_m(h)\| \leq C_p \inf_{k \leq m} \|h - S_k(h)\| \leq C_p \|f + y_2 + 1_{yD}\| \leq C_p \|f + y\| + \|1_{yD}\|.$$  

For the first element of the sum, consider $w := f + y$, and we have:

$$\|f\| = \|w - \mathcal{G}_n(w)\|,$$

with $n = |\text{supp}(y)|$. Then, applying quasi-greediness, we obtain $\|f\| \leq C_p \|f + y\|$. For the second one, we write $w = f + y_1 + y_2 + 1_{yD}$, and using quasi-greediness, we have:

$$\|y_2 + 1_{yD}\| = \|\mathcal{G}_m(w)\| \leq (1 + C_p) \|f + y\|,$$

where $m = |\text{supp}(y_2) \cup D|$.

Using both bounds, we obtain $\|f + 1_{\epsilon A}\| \leq C_p (1 + 2C_p) \|f + y\|$. Because of Lemma 1, we conclude that $\|f + z\| \leq C_p (1 + 2C_p) \|f + y\|$.

We now prove (b). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{fin}}$ using Corollary 3 and that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$. Start considering $A = \text{supp}(\mathcal{G}_m(f))$, $k \leq m$, and $B = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. If $A = B$, then the result is trivial. If $A \neq B$, we can decompose:

$$f - \mathcal{G}_m(f) = P_{(A \cup B)^c}(f - S_k(f)) + P_{B \setminus A}(f).$$

Let $f' = \frac{1}{t} P_{(A \cup B)^c}(f - S_k(f))$ and $z = \frac{1}{t} P_{B \setminus A}(f)$ with $t = \min_{n \in A} |\epsilon_n^*(f)|$ and $y = 1_{\epsilon(A \setminus B)}$ with $\epsilon \equiv \{ \text{sign}(\epsilon_n^*(f)) \}$. Of course, $f' \cdot z = 0$, $f' \cdot y = 0$, and $y \cdot z = 0$, $\|f'\|_\infty \leq 1$ since $|\epsilon_n^*(P_{(A \cup B)^c}(f - S_k(f)))| \leq t$ for $n \in (A \cup B)^c$ and $|A \setminus B| \geq |B \setminus A|$. Then, $f', z$, and $y$ verify the items of Property $(F_p^*)$.

$$\|f - \mathcal{G}_m(f)\| = t\|f' + z\| \leq tF_p^*\|f'\| + 1_{\epsilon(A \setminus B)} = F_p^*\|P_{(A \cup B)^c}(f - S_k(f)) + t1_{\epsilon(A \setminus B)}\|.$$  

It turns out that:

$$P_{(A \cup B)^c}(f - S_k(f)) + t1_{\epsilon A \setminus B} = T_t(f - S_k(f)),$$

where $T_t$ is the $t$-truncation operator. Now, since Property $(F_p^*)$ implies Property $(F_p)$ with the same constant, because of Theorem 9 and Corollary 1, the basis is quasi-greedy with constant $C_q \leq F_p^*$. Then, applying Lemma 3, we have that $\|T_t(f - S_k(f))\| \leq F_p^*\|f - S_k(f)\|$. All together, we obtain $\|f - \mathcal{G}_m(f)\| \leq (F_p^*)^2\|f - S_k(f)\|$ for all $k \leq m$, and hence, $B$ is partially greedy.  $\square$
6. Annex
In this Annex, we write the main lemmas about density that we used in the paper.

Lemma 4 ([12] Lemma 7.2). Let $B$ be a basis for a Banach space $X$. If $A$ is a greedy set for $f \in X$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $y \in X_{fin}$ such that $\|f - y\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $A$ is a greedy set for $y$.

Corollary 1. Assume that $B$ is a $C_q$-quasi-greedy basis of a Banach space $X$ for elements with finite support. Then, $B$ is quasi-greedy for every $f \in X$.

Proof. Take $f \in X$ and $A$ a greedy set of $f$ of order $m$. By Lemma 4, there is $y \in X_{fin}$ such that $\|f - y\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ with $A$ a greedy set for $y$. Then:

$$\|f - P_A(f)\| = \|f - y - P_A(f) + y - P_A(y) + P_A(y)\|$$

$$\leq \|f - y\| + \|y - P_A(y)\| + \|P_A(f - y)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_q\|y\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_q\|f - y\| + C_q\|f\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + C_q + \|P_A\|) + C_q\|f\|.$$

Taking $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain the result. $\Box$

Corollary 2. Let $B$ be a basis for a Banach space $X$. If $B$ is an almost greedy basis for all $f \in X_{fin}$ with constant $C_{al}$, then the basis is almost greedy for every $f \in X$ with the same constant.

Proof. Assume that $B$ is almost greedy for elements with finite support. Take $f \in X$ with $A$ a greedy set of order $m$. Applying Lemma 4, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $g \in X_{fin}$ such that $\|f - g\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $A$ a greedy set for $g$. Consider the set $B_1$ such that:

$$\inf_{|B| \leq m} \|f - P_B(f)\| = \|f - P_{B_1}(f)\|.$$

Case 1: $B_1 = \emptyset$.

$$\|f - P_A(f)\| = \|f - g + g - P_A(f) - P_A(g) + P_A(g)\|$$

$$\leq \|f - g\| + \|g - P_A(g)\| + \|P_A(f - g)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \inf_{|B| \leq m} \|g - P_B(g)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \|g\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \|f - g\| + C_{al} \|f\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + C_{al} + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \|f\|.$$

Taking $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain the result.

Case 2: $B_1 \neq \emptyset$.

$$\|f - P_A(f)\| = \|f - g + g - P_A(f) - P_A(g) + P_A(g)\|$$

$$\leq \|f - g\| + \|g - P_A(g)\| + \|P_A(f - g)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \inf_{|B| \leq m} \|g - P_B(g)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \|g - P_{B_1}(g)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \|g - f + f - P_{B_1}(g) + P_{B_1}(f) - P_{B_1}(f)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \|P_{B_1}(f - g)\| + \|f - P_{B_1}(f)\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(1 + \|P_A\|) + C_{al} \|P_{B_1}\| \|f - P_{B_1}(f)\| + \|f - P_{B_1}(f)\|$$

Taking $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain the result. $\Box$
With the same arguments, it is straightforward to show the next result.

**Corollary 3.** Let $B$ be a basis for a Banach space $X$. If $B$ is a partially greedy basis for all $f \in X_{\text{fin}}$ with constant $C_p$, then the basis is partially greedy for every $f \in X$ with the same constant.

**Lemma 5** ([10] Lemma 3.2). Let $X$ be a Banach space. Suppose $D$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, and $f \in X \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\text{supp}(f) \cap D = \emptyset$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $y \in X_{\text{fin}}$, so that $\|f - y\| < \epsilon$, $\text{supp}(y) \cap D = \emptyset$, and $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\infty} = \|\tilde{y}\|_{\infty}$.
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