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1. Introduction

Porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED) is first found among English feeder and fattening pigs in 1971 (Wood, 1977). It is a devastating enteric disease that manifests as sporadic outbreaks during winter. PEDV is the causative agent of PED and causes severe enteritis, vomiting and watery diarrhea, resulting in a high mortality in piglets. Since 2013, PEDV spreads rapidly in swine farms in the United States, causing significant economic loss (Huang et al., 2013; Mole, 2013). PED is now becoming one of the most important swine diseases causing great economic loss and public health concerns.

PEDV was first reported in Belgium and the United Kingdom (Pensaert and De Bouck, 1978). PEDV belongs to the family of coronavirus and is classified into group I of the genus Coronavirus. Its size ranges in diameter from 95 nm to 190 nm, including its surface spikes. PEDV has a single-stranded positive-sense RNA genome (Kocherhans et al., 2001). The viral structural proteins include spike protein, envelope protein, membrane protein and nucleocapsid protein (Song and Park, 2012). The virus also encodes an accessory protein, ORF3a, which has been suggested as an important virulent determinant of this virus (Park et al., 2011). The unfolded protein response (UPR) is a cellular stress response induced by the accumulation of misfolded or unfolded proteins within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in order to initiate a number of cellular responses to restore ER homeostasis. The primary function of UPR is for stress adaptation and cell survival. The UPR can also be induced through glycosylation inhibitors treatment (e.g., tunicamycin), calcium homeostasis changes, nutrient depletion, overexpression of abnormal proteins, or virus infection (Dorner et al., 1989). UPR is represented by a marked increase of ER-localized proteins such as glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78/ Bip) or 94 (GRP94). UPR is controlled by three main signaling pathways, including inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) and PKR-like ER kinase (PERK). In non-stressed cells, GRP78 binds to PERK, IRE1 and ATF6 to keep them in an inactive form (Bertolotti et al., 2000).
IRE1 is an ER transmembrane protein that contains endoribonuclease and cytoplasmic protein kinase domains. ER stress can induce the activation of IRE1. Activated IRE1 removes 26 nucleotides from the mRNA of X-box binding protein 1 (XBP-1) to generate a spliced mRNA encoding the functional XBP-1(s) protein (Yoshida et al., 2003). PERK, an ER resident serine/threonine protein kinase, can phosphorylate the -subunit of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2α). During UPR, the release of GRP78 from PERK results in the homodimerization of PERK, causing phosphorylation of eIF2α to impair protein translation (Fernandez et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2009). ATF6 normally anchors in the ER membrane. GRP78 release exposes a Golgi localization signal on ATF6. After translocation to the Golgi, ATF6 precursor (p75ATF6) is further processed into its active form (p50ATF6), which enters the nucleus to activate the transcription of UPR target genes (Ye et al., 2000).

Many enveloped DNA and RNA viruses infection can induce the UPR in mammalian cells (Zhang and Wang, 2012). For example, studies on hepatitis C virus (HCV) show that HCV infection induces the UPR, which can activate the autophagic pathway to promote HCV RNA replication in human hepatoma cells (Ke and Chen, 2011). Some viruses regulate the UPR to initiate an environment more favorable for their replication (Buchkovich et al., 2009, 2008). African swine fever virus (ASFV) uses the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) as a site of replication. ASFV infection triggers ER stress and the unfolded protein response (UPR) in the host cells by inducing ATF6 signaling pathway of the UPR, but not PERK or IRE1 pathway. UPR regulation by ASFV might prevent early apoptosis to facilitate viral replication (Galindo et al., 2012). Tick-borne encephalitis virus infection activates the IRE1 and ATF6 signal pathways (Yu et al., 2013). A study on severe acute respiratory syndrome associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) identified one of accessory proteins of SARS-CoV (8ab protein) binds directly to the luminal domain of ATF6, suggesting 8ab protein might facilitate protein folding and processing by modulating UPR (Sung et al., 2009). This finding suggests that some viruses might use their own protein(s) to regulate UPR response.

The glucose analog, 2-Deoxy-d-glucose (2-DG), can be used to block or probe sugar metabolism in cancer cells (Kurtoglu et al., 2007a). Because of its mannose-like structure, 2-DG can compete with mannose in the growing oligosaccharide chain during the initial steps of N-linked glycosylation occurring in the ER (Kurtoglu et al., 2007b). Oligosaccharide chains incorporated with 2-DG cannot form the functional glucose, mannose, or proper protein glycosylation. Abnormal N-linked glycosylation interferes with protein folding and induces ER stress to activate UPR, which results in the inhibition of protein synthesis. It has been reported that 2-DG can inhibit the replication and gene expression of Kaposi’s Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus (Leung et al., 2012). 2-DG also inhibits influenza virus infection (Nakamura and Compans, 1978). Thus, we proposed that UPR induced by 2-DG would counter the ability of PEDV to circumvent UPR-mediated blockage of protein synthesis, thereby impairing PEDV gene expression and resulting in inhibition of viral replication.

In these studies, we demonstrated that PEDV infection can induce UPR. We showed an interaction between UPR and the replication of PEDV. As expected, we revealed that 2-DG induced UPR leads to the inhibition of viral replication in Vero cells. Our results provide new antiviral insights that may be applicable to inhibit the replication of PEDV in clinic.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell and virus

Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (strain HLJBY) was propagated in Vero cells in the presence of 60 μg/ml trypsin cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, China). To obtain replication-incompetent PEDV, 10 ml aliquots of virus were dispersed in culture medium (10 cm tissue culture dish) and exposed UV light (254 nm) for 2 h on ice. Following the exposure, the samples were harvested and stored at −80°C. The absence of virus infectivity after UV irradiation was confirmed by plaque formation assay and Q-PCR as described below (Jheng et al., 2010).

2.2. Chemicals, antibodies and other reagents

2-Deoxy-d-glucose (2-DG), tunicamycin (Tu), 4-phenylbutyrate (4-PBA), anti-β-actin antibody were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The following rabbit primary antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling: PERK, phospho-PERK, eIF2α, phospho-eIF2α, GRP78. Rabbit primary antibody ATF6 was purchased from Santa Cruz. The polyclonal antibody for PEDV N was generated previously in our lab. The PERK shRNA designed specifically to knockdown PERK expression was purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China) along with the control shRNA.

2.3. Cell culture, virus infection and plaque formation assay

According to the requirement of different experiments, Vero cells were either infected with PEDV (MOI of 0.01, 0.1 or 1) or mock-infected with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After 1 h incubation in 37°C, unbounded viruses were removed by washing the cells thrice with PBS and the cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS at 37°C for different time. For 2-DG treatment experiments, Vero cells were pretreated with varying concentrations of 2-DG for 24 h before virus infection (MOI = 0.01). The cells were infected with PEDV at 37°C for 2 h, the unbounded viruses were removed by washing thrice with PBS and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS and varying concentrations of 2-DG at 37°C for 24 h. For 4-PBA treatment experiments, Vero cells were first infected with PEDV (MOI = 0.01) for 2 h at 37°C, unbounded viruses were removed by washing thrice with PBS. Then cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS and varying concentrations of 4-PBA at 37°C for 48 h.

For virus entry study, the cells were incubated with PEDV for 1 h (MOI = 1) at 4°C. The cells were washed three times with PBS, then incubated with DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS at 37°C. 30 min later, the cells were washed twice with a low pH buffer (40 mM citric acid, 10 mM KCl, 135 mM NaCl, pH 3.0) for 30 s to inactivate or remove the bound viruses that did not penetrate the cells. The cells were then washed twice with PBS for further experiments (Hancock et al., 2010).

For plaque formation assay, viral culture supernatants with 10-fold dilutions (from 10^2 to 10^8) were added into 6-well plate with confluent monolayer of Vero cells. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 2 h with gentle agitation at every 15-min interval. The excess virus inocula were removed by rinsing the wells with PBS for three times. Subsequently, overlay medium (2% low melting-point agarose with DMEM medium containing 2% FBS) was added to each well and the plates were further incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 3 days. The cells were stained with 0.5% crystal violet.

2.4. Western blot analysis

The cells in 6-well plate were washed with PBS for three times, scraped from the culture plate, and lysed with the cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) and 0.1 mM PMSF for 2 h. The cell lysates were centrifuged at 14,000g for 20 min at 4°C. Protein concentration was determined using the
Bradford assay. Equal amount of protein samples were loaded and separated on SDS–PAGE. The proteins in the gel were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Bio-Rad, USA) which were then blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in PBST at 4 °C overnight and incubated for 2 h with different primary antibodies. Following this, the membrane was incubated for 1 h with the appropriate secondary antibodies. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by an enhanced chemiluminescence system (Amersham). The western bands were quantified by densitometric analysis using NIH ImageJ software. The results represent the mean data from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

2.5. Transmission electron microscopy analysis

For conventional ultrastructural analysis, Vero cells were either mock-infected or infected with PEDV at MOI of 0.1 for 12, 24, 36 and 48 h, respectively. The cell samples were then processed as previously described (Rutkowski et al., 2006). Ultra-thin sections were viewed on a Hitachi H-7650 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi Ltd.).

2.6. Flow cytometry

Detached Vero cells were washed once with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, and stained with rabbit anti-human CD13 protein (Boster Bio-Tech Co. Ltd., Wuhan, China) for 1 h at 4 °C. The cells were washed with PBS and incubated with fluorescein (FITC)-conjugated AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H + L) (Boster Bio-Tech Co. Ltd., Wuhan, China) for 30 min. Unbound secondary antibody was washed away with PBS. The cells were resuspended in 200 μl PBS, and analyzed on a FACSCalibur cytometer (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems). At least 10,000 cells were analyzed for each sample.

2.7. RNA interference

Vero cells were grown to 60–70% confluence in six-well cell culture plates and then transiently transfected with indicated interfering RNA (shRNA) plasmids using TransFast Transfection Reagent (Promega, Shanghai) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The silencing efficiency of the shRNA was detected by Western blot analysis. The scramble shRNA was used as negative controls.

2.8. RT-PCR

The total RNA was extracted from the Vero cells with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and purified according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Intracellular PEDV and Vero cells genome levels were quantified with the SYBR Green Probe 3-step QRT-PCR kit (Takara, China) and fluorescent quantititation meter (ABI PRISM 7300 sequence detection system, Applied Biosystems). For cDNA preparation, total RNA (1 μg) was reverse transcribed with first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Takara, China). Triplicate cDNA samples were amplified with the RT-PCR kit. The primer for UPR pathway genes are described in Table 1. RT-PCR analysis for XBP-1 were carried out using the SuperScript One Step RT-PCR kit (Invitrogen), following the manufacturers’ instructions. The primers used were: forward primer: 5’-CTTGTAGTGTGAAACAGG-3’ and reverse primer 5’-GGGGCTTGGATATATGTGG-3’. Amplification condition included an initial step of 45 °C for 30 s, followed by a denaturation step of 94 °C for 2 min, 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 70 °C for 30 s (Galindo et al., 2012). PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel and stained with GoldenView.

2.9. Cytotoxicity assay

Approximately 1 × 10⁵ Vero cells per well were seeded in a 96-well cell culture plate and cultured for 20 h at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO₂. The medium was replaced with fresh DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS containing either Tu (2 μg/ml), 2-DG (10 mM) or 4-PBA (5 mM), and the plates were incubated for up to 24 or 48 h. The cytotoxicity of PERK depletion was assayed by measuring lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release from cells with Cytotoxic-One homogenous membrane integrity kit (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Our result demonstrated that the viability of Vero cells was not significantly affected after Tu (2 μg/ml), 2-DG (10 mM) or 4-PBA (5 mM) treatment or shPERK transfection (data not shown).

2.10. Statistical analysis

All data were determined in triplicate and are representative of at least two separate experiments. The results represent the means ± standard deviations of triplicate determinations. The differences between means were considered significant at *p < 0.05, very significant **p < 0.01. All statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA using a SPSS 16.0 software package (version 16.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. PEDV infection can induce ER morphology changes

To investigate whether PEDV infection elicited an ER stress response, the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis was performed to determine whether PEDV induced the endoplasmic reticulum hypertrophy. We observed the ER morphology was very significant compared with mock-infected or infected with PEDV at MOI of 0.1 for 12, 24, 36 and 70 h. (Fig. 1B and C), some of which were also full of virions.
PEDV particles are mostly spherical, and have an average diameter of ~120 nm. Similar phenomenon was rarely observed in the uninfected cells, whose cytoplasm were dense and contained morphologically normal organelles (Fig. 1A). We concluded that the PEDV infection in Vero cells could induced the ER membrane structure changes.

3.2. PEDV infection activates the host cellular UPR signaling pathways

To explore whether PEDV infection activated cellular UPR signaling pathways, we then examined the major components of UPR signaling pathways during the infection. Vero cells were mock-infected or infected with PEDV (Tu, an inhibitor of N-linked glycosylation which causes accumulation of underglycosylated unfolded proteins in the ER lumen, was used as the control) at MOI of 0.01. The cells were harvested at the indicated time post infection. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blot using specific antibodies against UPR signal pathway marker proteins. As shown in Fig. 2A, the expression level of GRP78 increased significantly from 12 h to 48 h in virus infected cells compared with the mock infected control. Western blot showed that phosphorylated-PERK and phosphorylated-eIF2α expression levels were significantly higher than that in mock-infected cells at 12 h (Fig. 2B and C). Our data confirmed that PEDV infection results in endoplasmic reticulum stress in the cells (Xu et al., 2013a,b). To determine whether PEDV infection were sufficient to activate the ATF6 pathway, Western blot was performed to investigate the processing of ATF6 during PEDV infection. The result showed that the p75ATF6 decreased and p50ATF6 appeared at 24 h (Fig. 2D), suggesting that PEDV infection activated ATF6 pathway.

To determine whether virus infection affected the splicing of XBP-1 transcript, we used RT-PCR to detect XBP-1 splicing in PEDV infected cells from 6 h p.i., and tunicamycin-treated cells were used as the control (Fig. 2E). The result demonstrated that PEDV infection increased the levels of active XBP-1 mRNA.

To determine whether other chaperone genes were activated during PEDV infection, we analyzed the mRNA levels of specific chaperones of ER stress in the total cellular RNA extracted at different time-points (2, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h) after virus infection using quantitative RT-PCR. The housekeeping gene GAPDH was used as the internal control. As shown in Fig. 3, the increased gene expression was observed in all of the studied genes. At 48 h.p.i., the increased transcript levels of GRP78 were consistent with previous Western blot analysis. The transcript levels of GRP94 and ATF4 attenuated from 2 h.p.i. to 12 h.p.i. and increased from 12 h.p.i. to 48 h.p.i. Other UPR-related genes, such as CHOP, GADD34, EDEM, Calr, P58IPK, ERP57, Caln and PDI shared the similar kinetics as GRP78. Taken together, PEDV activated all the three UPR pathways during virus infection from 12 h.p.i.

3.3. Live virus infection is required for UPR induction

To further analyze whether the PEDV replication was required for induction of UPR, we inactivated PEDV by ultraviolet (UV) irradiation and examined its capability of inducing UPR. UV irradiation block viral RNA synthesis and protein synthesis, but has no effects on virus binding to the receptor(s) and its subsequent entry into...
host cells (Beck et al., 1990). Prior to the experiments, the infectivity loss of UV-inactivated viruses was confirmed by Q-PCR assay (data not shown). Cell lysates extracted from PEDV, UV-PEDV-infected Vero cells (Tu treated cells were used as the control) were analyzed by Western blot with antibodies specific to GRP78. As shown in Fig. 4, the expression level of GRP78 in UV-PEDV infected cells was similar to those in mock-infected cells. In contrast, GRP78 expression increased markedly in Vero cells infected with replication-competent PEDV (Fig. 4), suggesting the replication of PEDV is required for the induction of UPR.

3.4. The impairment of UPR increased PEDV replication

To examine the role of UPR in PEDV replication, we silenced the expression of PERK using shRNA interference and reduced PERK expression was confirmed by Western blot. Meanwhile, eIF2\(\alpha\) phosphorylation decreased in PERK shRNA treated cells compared with that in the scramble shRNA treated cells (Fig. 5A). Western blot analysis on PEDV N protein showed virus replication was increased in PERK knockdown cells, and the viral titer in supernatant was also increased (Fig. 5B). To further confirm this finding, we evaluated the RNA level of PEDV ORF3 gene in the infected cells by Q-PCR. As shown in Fig. 5C, the RNA level of PEDV ORF3 gene
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tation of 2-DG (Fig. 6D). PEDV RNA viral loads in cell and supernatants monitored by plaque formation assay confirmed the antiviral activ-

increase by 1.8-fold in shPERK treated cells compare with that in scramble shRNA treated cells.

4-Phenyl butyric acid (PBA) is reported to be able to reduce ER stress (Ozcan et al., 2008; Ozcan et al., 2006). We next used 4-PBA to determine whether it could affect PEDV replication. As shown in Fig. 5D, 4-PBA decreased the phosphorylation of eIF2α in PEDV infected cells, suggesting 4-PBA alleviated ER stress induced by PEDV infection. Since 4-PBA treatment could decrease the phosphorylation of eIF2α, Vero cells were treated with different concentrations of 4-PBA at 2 h after virus infection. The result showed that virus replication decreased after 4-PBA treatment as assayed by Western blot analysis of PEDV N protein (Fig. 5E). Collectively, these data revealed that impairment of UPR either by silencing PERK or 4-PBA treatment attenuated eIF2α phosphorylation and improved the capacity of PEDV replication.

3.5. 2-DG inhibits PEDV replication and gene expression

2-DG is known as an inhibitor of glycolysis, due to its similar structure to mannose. It can induce ER stress via affecting N-linked glycosylation (Leung et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011). To analyze whether 2-DG exposure triggered UPR in Vero cells, the cells were exposed to 2-DG. The expression level of GRP78 was determined at different time points. As shown in Fig. 6A, GRP78 expression level increased considerably at 6 h, indicating that 2-DG induced ER stress in Vero cells.

To study the impact of 2-DG treatment on PEDV infection, Vero cells were treated with different concentrations of 2-DG for 24 h prior to PEDV infection. The Western blot analysis demonstrated that PEDV N protein in supernatants and the cells decreased in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6B). Meanwhile, we determined the viral RNA copy number of mock-treated and 2-DG treated cells at different time points. The ratio between the copy numbers showed that the viral RNA loads were lower in 2-DG treated cells than that in mock-treated cells (Fig. 6C). The viral titers in supernatants monitored by plaque formation assay confirmed the antiviral activity of 2-DG (Fig. 6D). PEDV RNA viral loads in cell and supernatants extracts were decreased as assayed by qPCR (both in supernatants and in cells, Fig. 6E and F), indicating 2-DG inhibited PEDV propaga-

3.6. 2-DG treatment affects virus assembly

Since our experiments above showed that 2-DG could inhibit PEDV propagation when the cells were treated with 2-DG prior to the infection, we next tested whether 2-DG treatment affected the expression level of PEDV entry receptor APN (CD13) to impair virus entry. We used flow cytometry to determine CD13 expression level after 2-DG treatment. The result showed that 2-DG treatment increased the expression level of CD13 (Fig. 7A). We next determined whether the increased expression of CD13 could increase the virus entry by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis, and the results showed that 2-DG treatment did not significantly affect virus entry (Fig. 7B and C).

To find out whether 2-DG treatment affects viral protein translation after virus entry, we treated the cells with 2-DG after virus entry. Western blot analysis suggested that 2-DG decreased viral protein translation, implying that 2-DG might affect virus replication at the early stage of virus infection (Fig. 7D).

In order to find out if 2-DG treatment affects virus assembly or release, the Vero cells were treated with 2-DG after they were infected with the virus (MOI = 0.01). PEDV RNA copy numbers of the cell lysates and the supernatants were detected by Q-PCR, the ratios of RNA copy numbers between cell lysates and supernatants treated with 2-DG decreased compared to the control cells in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 7E), indicating that 2-DG affected the virus assembly. Meanwhile, the ratios of PEDV titers between the supernatants and the cell lysates was the same between 2-DG treated cells and the control cells (p > 0.05) (Fig. 7F), indicating that 2-DG did not affect the virus release (Xu et al., 2012).

4. Discussion

During the course of the virus infection cycle, a large amount of viral proteins are synthesized in infected cells. The accumulation of unfolded or misfolded proteins tends to activate the ER stress response to alleviate the burden. Several studies have shown that in some cases UPR might be beneficial for virus replication, such as in WNV, HCMV and HCV infection (Ambrose and Mackenzie, 2011; Isler et al., 2005; Tardif et al., 2002).
In the present studies, we confirmed that PEDV infection activated three UPR signal pathways. Interestingly, PEDV attenuated host UPR signal pathways at early time points (0–6 h post-infection), but upregulated them dramatically from 12 h to 48 h. Similar kinetics has been observed in cells infected with arenavirus and HCV (Merquiol et al., 2011; Pasqual et al., 2011). During the infection, the virus must overcome certain aspects of the UPR which are detrimental to viral replication to facilitate their survival.

It has been shown that the phosphorylation of eIF2α leads to the inhibition of protein synthesis in response to diverse stress conditions, including viral infection (He, 2006). Our studies showed that PEDV replication was increased in PERK knockdown cells or 4-PBA treated cells. The higher replication capacity of PEDV in PERK silenced cells might result from their inability to attenuate viral protein synthesis due to an impaired eIF2α phosphorylation. A similar result was observed in PERK−/− MEF cells infected with vesicular stomatitis virus (Baltzis et al., 2004).

2-DG has been used as an ER stress inducer, it also shows antiviral activities against several viruses, including human pathogenic papillomavirus type 18, JC virus and Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus (Leung et al., 2012; Maehama et al., 1998; Noch et al., 2012). It has been reported that activation of UPR by 2-DG elicits an early antiviral response via eIF2α inactivation, which impairs protein synthesis required to drive viral replication and oncogenesis (Ke and Chen, 2011). Similar to KSHV (Leung et al., 2012), PEDV also attenuated eIF2α phosphorylation at early time points. It has been shown that 2-DG increased the phosphorylation of eIF2α (DeSalvo et al., 2012; Ramírez-Peinado et al., 2011). Therefore, we suspected that the antiviral activity of 2-DG might be related to the shutdown of viral protein synthesis due to the eIF2α inactivation, as suggested in our Western blot analysis.

In the studies on influenza virus, it is found that 2-DG inhibits the biosynthesis of active hemagglutinin, neuraminidase and mature infectious virions (Kaluza et al., 1972; Kilbourne, 1959). Further studies show that 2-DG prevented the maturation of influenza virus glycoproteins because an unglycosylated or incompletely glycosylated hemagglutinin precursor could be detected (Klenk et al., 1972; Nakamura and Compans, 1978; Schwarz and Klenk, 1974). A study on murine mammary tumor virus shows that 2-DG can completely inhibit the synthesis of viral envelope precursor polyproteins Pr70env, which will be cleaved into two molecules to give rise to mature glycoproteins gp52 and gp36. Meanwhile, pr73 gag was only inhibited by 10–15% by 2-DG (Sarkar, 1986). The native M protein has been shown to be incorporated into PEDV virions in its N-glycosylated form (Utiger et al., 1995). The predicted polypeptide of PEDV spike protein contained 29 potential N-linked glycosylation sites with similar structural features to those of the coronavirus spike protein (Duarte and Laude, 1994). Our data presented here showed that the virus...
assembly in the infected cells could be affected by 2-DG treatment. We suspected that 2-DG treatment might affect the synthesis, folding or glycosylation of viral proteins which subsequently impaired the correct assembly of the virions.

Our result showed that 2-DG leads to UPR activation without causing toxicity in the PEDV-infected cells. At the low and clinically achievable doses used here, 2-DG activation of the UPR has significant anti-PEDV activity, which expanded its potential clinical achievable doses used here, 2-DG activation of the UPR has significant anti-PEDV activity, which expanded its potential clinical application as a novel anti-PEDV approach. This study supports the therapeutic potential of using glucose/mannose analogs to induce the UPR and block viral replication.
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Fig. 7. 2-DG treatment affects virus packaging. (A) Vero cells were pretreated with 10 mM 2-DG for 24 h, and the cells were analyzed by FACS analysis using anti-CD13 polyclonal antibody. (B, C) 2-DG treatment did not affect virus entry as determined by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis. For RT-PCR analysis, the cells were treated with 10 mM 2-DG before virus infection. Bars represent mean percent copy number of viral RNA levels in cells by RT-PCR using primers specific for PEDV N gene. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blot using antibody against PEDV N. (D) The Vero cells were infected with PEDV 2 h after infected with PEDV (MOI = 0.01), 2-DG (10 mM) was incubated with the cells. The cells were harvested at different time points. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blot using antibody against PEDV N. (E, F) The Vero cells were treated with different concentrations 2-DG after infected with PEDV (MOI = 0.01) for 2 h, the ratio of PEDV RNA copy numbers between the supernatants and the cell lysates was detected by Q-PCR, and the ratio of the virus titers in supernatants and cell lysates were determined by plaque assay.
