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Abstract

We formulate $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in terms of soft-collinear effective theory. The effective Lagrangian in soft-collinear effective theory is developed according to the power counting by a small parameter $\eta \sim p_\perp/Q$. All the particles in this theory are in the adjoint representation of the $SU(N)$ gauge group, and we derive the collinear gauge-invariant Lagrangian in the adjoint and fundamental representations respectively. We consider collinear and ultrasoft Wilson lines in this theory, and show the ultrasoft factorization of the collinear Lagrangian by redefining the collinear fields with the use of the ultrasoft Wilson lines. The vertex correction for a vector fermion current at one loop is explicitly presented as an example to illustrate how the computation is performed in the effective theory.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The divergence structure of massless or massive gauge theories in the high-energy scattering amplitudes has been studied intensively from various perspectives. It has been considered in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) \cite{1, 2}, its effective theory version called soft-collinear effective theory (SCET) \cite{3, 4, 5}, and in AdS/CFT approach via $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory \cite{6} for perturbative calculation. Each field has its own merit in understanding the divergence structure in high-energy scattering. QCD is a robust field since it can be verified by experiment, and the computation is straightforward, though complicated. The effective theory of QCD for energetic, collinear particles is SCET, and it is formulated in such a way that the collinear part and the ultrasoft (usoft) part are decoupled from the beginning. Therefore it is more convenient to track down the sources of ultraviolet (UV) or infrared (IR) divergences in the collinear and the usoft parts. The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory has a lot of symmetries which simplify many different loop calculations, and especially the theory has conformal invariance \cite{7}, that is, the coupling constant does not run. Furthermore, duality due to the AdS/CFT correspondence enables to relate some nonperturbative quantities to the corresponding quantities in the perturbative region.

The theme of this paper is to see if we can obtain deeper understanding on the divergence structure of high-energy processes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory using the transparent factorization property in SCET. In this paper, we construct an effective field theory for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in the framework of SCET. The main advantage of constructing the SCET is the manifest realization of the factorization of collinear and soft contributions. The divergence structure at higher loops can be categorized into the collinear and the soft divergences, which makes the classification of the divergences manifest and enhances the understanding of the divergence structure of the theory. This paper is the first step toward this goal by constructing the effective Lagrangian and studying its properties.

In addition to the manifest factorization property in SCET, the SCET formulation of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory itself is interesting in various theoretical aspects. First the SCET formulation is extended to Weyl fermions. In the original SCET for QCD, a collinear Dirac fermion is employed, but it is effectively a two-dimensional field once the projection into a collinear sector is performed. The Weyl representation is another form of the two-dimensional description of fermions, and they may be related, but the physical implications are different in different representations. Here we present the SCET Lagrangian in Weyl representation for fermions. Secondly, all the particles are in the adjoint representation of the $SU(N)$ gauge group. This makes the treatment of the group theory factors simple. In applying SCET to LHC phenomenology, many processes have been considered involving various particles in different representations \cite{8, 9, 10}, such as quark-quark scattering, gluon-gluon scattering, gluon to color-octet scalar particles, etc.. In these cases, different color factors are involved in different processes, but we only have to consider the adjoint representation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory.

On the other hand, the SCET formulation can cast interesting theoretical questions. For example, we can consider whether the conformal symmetry is realized in an effective theory in which the Lagrangian is reorganized order by order in powers of a small parameter. And...
in the SCET for QCD, a general gauge transformation is categorized into collinear, usoft gauge transformations according to how the transformed fields scale and we require that a physical quantity should be invariant under both collinear and usoft gauge transformations. In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, there is another symmetry, that is, supersymmetry. It will be interesting if we can also divide the classes of the supersymmetry transformations such that a physical quantity is invariant under the subgroups of the transformations. This is beyond the scope of this paper and it will be pursued in the future.

The basic idea of SCET \[11–14\] starts from the observation that the momentum of an energetic collinear particle in the lightcone direction $n^\mu$ can be decomposed into

$$p^\mu = \bar{n} \cdot p \frac{n^\mu}{2} + p^\mu_\perp + n \cdot \bar{p} \frac{\bar{n}^\mu}{2} \sim \mathcal{O}(Q) + \mathcal{O}(Q\eta) + \mathcal{O}(Q\eta^2),$$

(1)

where $n^\mu$, $\bar{n}^\mu$ are lightcone vectors satisfying $n^2 = \bar{n}^2 = 0$, and $n \cdot \bar{n} = 2$. The scale $Q$ denotes a large energy characteristic of the high-energy scattering, and $\eta = p^\mu_\perp / n \cdot p$ is a small parameter, and all the physical observables are expressed in powers of this small parameter $\eta$. The usoft momentum is given by

$$p^\mu_{\text{us}} = (\bar{n} \cdot p_{\text{us}}, p^\mu_{\text{us}\perp}, n \cdot p_{\text{us}}) \sim Q(\eta^2, \eta^2, \eta^2).$$

(2)

Therefore when an usoft particle interacts with a collinear particle, the momentum scaling behavior of a collinear particle is unchanged. In QCD, if the particles are on the mass shell, $p^2 \sim Q^2\eta^2$, and $\eta \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/Q$. But we can allow $p^2 \sim E^2$, in an intermediate theory like SCETI, where $E \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ is some small energy compared to $Q$, and $\eta$ becomes of order $E/Q$. On the other hand, there is no scale $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, but it suffices to have a small parameter $\eta = E/Q$. We describe the interaction of the collinear fields and the usoft fields since we focus on the energetic particles participating in high-energy scattering according to the power counting method.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. I we briefly review the Lagrangian in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. The SCET Lagrangian for fermions in Weyl representation and scalars is derived in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we describe a collinear Wilson line, and its properties. In Sec. V we redefine the collinear fields using the usoft Wilson lines to decouple the usoft interaction from the collinear fields. The leading collinear Lagrangian after the redefinition is presented, which explicitly shows this decoupling. In Sec. VI we consider the vertex correction for a fermion vector current as an example to show how the collinear and the usoft contributions are computed at one loop respectively. We also delineate the procedure on how to obtain the Wilson coefficients, and the scaling behavior of the operator in SCET.

We presume that the readers consist of those who are well versed in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, but with no knowledge on SCET, or those with the opposite background. The style of this paper may be easily readable for the latter, and we try to fill the gap as much as possible to make the paper understandable for the former. The detailed SCET calculations will appear in Appendix, not to interfere with the logical flow of the paper.
II. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUPERSYMMETRIC YANG-MILLS LAGRANGIAN

The Lagrangian for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory with the $SU(N)$ gauge group is given by [15]

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Tr} \left( -\frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\lambda}_i \sigma^\mu i D_\mu \lambda_i + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi_{ij} D^\mu \phi^{ij} - ig \lambda_i [\lambda_j, \phi^{ij}] - ig \lambda_i \bar{\phi}^{[i} \phi_{j]} + \frac{g^2}{4} [\phi_{ij}, \phi_{kl}] [\phi^{ij}, \phi^{kl}] \right),$$  

(3)

where $\phi^{ij} = -\phi^{ji}$ and the indices $i, j, k, l$ run from 1 to 4. Due to supersymmetry, the only coupling constant that appears in the Lagrangian is the gauge coupling $g$. The field contents of the theory can be classified in terms of the supersymmetric properties, but here it suffices to specify the fields according to the properties in Lorentz transformation. Here $G_{\mu\nu}$ are the field strength tensor for the $SU(N)$ gauge fields, $\lambda_i$ are the adjoint Weyl fermion fields, and $\phi_{ij}$ are the scalar fields.

All the fields in the Lagrangian are in the $SU(N)$ adjoint representations. From now on, we will drop all the particle flavor indices $i$ and $j$ since they are irrelevant in the SCET formulation, but can be inserted at the end in a straightforward way. In Eq. (3), the Lagrangian contains trace, which means that we write $\lambda = \lambda^a t^a$, $\phi = \phi^a t^a$, and $A^\mu = A^{\mu a} t^a$, where $t^a$ is the $SU(N)$ generators in the fundamental representation (i.e. $N \times N$ matrix).

In this case, the covariant derivative applied to a fermion is defined as

$$D^\mu \lambda = \partial^\mu \lambda - ig [A^\mu, \lambda].$$  

(4)

In terms of the color components, or in the adjoint representation, the interaction of $\lambda$ with a gluon is given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \text{Tr} \left( g \lambda \sigma^\mu A_\mu \lambda \right) = g \lambda \sigma^\mu \lambda \text{Tr} \left( t^a [t^b, t^c] \right)$$

$$= ig f^{abc} T_F \lambda \sigma \cdot A \lambda = \frac{g}{2} \lambda \sigma \cdot A \lambda,$$

(5)

where $T_F = 1/2$ for $SU(N)$, and $A^\mu = A^{\mu b} T^b$ with the adjoint representation $(T^b)_{ac} = -i f^{bac}$. The Lagrangian can be written in either way, and the expression in Eq. (3) is the conventional one in the study of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. However, the relations between the two expressions will be explored in detail in this paper. We will follow the conventions of Ref. [16] for the metric and the representations of fermions.

III. SCET LAGRANGIAN

A. Collinear fermion Lagrangian in Weyl representation

First we consider the Lagrangian for the Weyl fermion $\lambda$, and we need to construct the SCET for the two-dimensional Weyl fields. In SCET for QCD, a collinear Dirac fermion $\xi_n$ is employed, but they are actually described by the two-dimensional spinors using the
projection operators. The Dirac fermion field $\psi$ in QCD is expressed in terms of the $n$-collinear field $\xi_n$ and the $n\bar{n}$-collinear field $\xi_{\bar{n}}$ as

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{\tilde{p}} e^{-i\tilde{p} \cdot x} \left( \xi_n(x) + \xi_{\bar{n}}(x) \right),$$

(6)

where $\tilde{p}^\mu = \tilde{\pi} \cdot p n^\mu / 2 + p_L^\mu$ is the label momentum, of the order of $Q$ and $Q\eta$. Once the label momentum is extracted, the resulting Lagrangian describes the dynamics with the fluctuation of order $Q\eta^2$. The effective fields $\xi_n$ and $\xi_{\bar{n}}$ satisfy the relations

$$\frac{i\sigma}{4} \xi_n = \xi_n, \quad \frac{i\sigma}{4} \xi_{\bar{n}} = 0,$$

$$\frac{i\sigma}{4} \xi_{\bar{n}} = 0, \quad \frac{i\sigma}{4} \xi_n = \xi_{\bar{n}}.$$  

(7)

Here $P_n = i\pi/4$ and $P_{\bar{n}} = \pi\phi/4$ act as projection operators satisfying $P_n^2 = P_n, P_{\bar{n}}^2 = P_{\bar{n}}, P_n P_{\bar{n}} = P_{\bar{n}} P_n = 0$ and $P_n + P_{\bar{n}} = 1$. Therefore the Dirac fermions in SCET are effectively described by two-dimensional spinors. But here we express the Lagrangian in another two-dimensional spinor representation, that is, the Weyl representation. We will focus on the left-handed Weyl fields, and the case with the right-handed fields can be extended in a straightforward way.

The starting point is to use the gamma matrices in Weyl representation, which are given by

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \sigma^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(8)

where $\sigma^\mu = (1, \sigma)$, and $\sigma^\mu = (1, -\sigma)$ with the Pauli matrices $\sigma$. A Dirac fermion $\psi$ can be written in terms of the left-handed and the right-handed Weyl fields $\psi_L$ and $\psi_R$ as

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(9)

The fields $\psi_L$ and $\psi_R$ transform under an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation as

$$\psi_L \rightarrow \left( 1 - i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} \right) \psi_L,$$

$$\psi_R \rightarrow \left( 1 - i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} \right) \psi_R,$$

(10)

where $\theta$ ($\beta$) denotes the infinitesimal rotation (boost). The free fields satisfy the equation of motion $i\sigma \cdot \partial \psi_L = 0, i\sigma \cdot \partial \psi_R = 0$.

For an energetic particle moving in the $n$ direction, the momentum scales as

$$p^\mu = (\tilde{\pi} \cdot p, p \perp, n \cdot p) \sim Q(1, \eta, \eta^2),$$

(11)

where $\eta = p \perp / \tilde{\pi} \cdot p$ is a small parameter. The fermion $\lambda$ in the full theory can be written as

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{\tilde{p}} e^{-i\tilde{p} \cdot x} \lambda_q(x),$$

(12)
where the label momentum $\vec{p} = \pi \cdot p n + p'_\mu$ is extracted. The field $\lambda_q$ can be decomposed into $\lambda_q = \lambda_n + \lambda_{\bar{n}}$, where $\lambda_n$ and $\lambda_{\bar{n}}$ are given by

$$
\lambda_n = \frac{1}{4} n \cdot \sigma \bar{n} \cdot \sigma \lambda_q, \quad \lambda_{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{n} \cdot \sigma n \cdot \sigma \lambda_q.
$$

These fields satisfy the relation $n \cdot \sigma \lambda_n = 0$, $\bar{n} \cdot \sigma \lambda_{\bar{n}} = 0$.

The matrices $P^L_n = n \cdot \sigma \bar{n} \cdot \sigma / 4$ and $P^L_{\bar{n}} = \bar{n} \cdot \sigma n \cdot \sigma / 4$ act as projection operators into the $n$ and $\bar{n}$ left-handed collinear fermions in Weyl representation. This can be verified by representing the usual projection operators for Dirac fermions $\bar{\psi} \mathbb{1} / 4$ and $\mathbb{1} / \bar{\psi} / 4$ in Weyl representation as

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\psi} \mathbb{1} / 4 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} n \cdot \sigma \bar{n} \cdot \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & n \cdot \sigma \bar{n} \cdot \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathbb{1} / \bar{\psi} / 4 &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n} \cdot \sigma n \cdot \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{n} \cdot \sigma n \cdot \sigma \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
$$

The diagonal matrices correspond to the projection operators for left-handed and right-handed fields respectively, which are given as

$$
P^L_n = \frac{1}{4} n \cdot \sigma \bar{n} \cdot \sigma, \quad P^L_{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{n} \cdot \sigma n \cdot \sigma, \\
P^R_n = \frac{1}{4} n \cdot \sigma \bar{n} \cdot \sigma, \quad P^R_{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{n} \cdot \sigma n \cdot \sigma.
$$

The projection operators satisfy the properties $(P^L_n)^2 = P^L_n$, $(P^L_{\bar{n}})^2 = P^L_{\bar{n}}$, $P^L_n P^L_{\bar{n}} = 0$, an $P^L_{\bar{n}} + P^L_n = 1$. This can be verified explicitly using the identity

$$
\sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu + \sigma^\nu \sigma^\mu = 2g^{\mu \nu}, \quad \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu + \sigma^\nu \sigma^\mu = 2g^{\mu \nu}.
$$

Using the decomposition in Eq. (12), the Lagrangian for the left-handed fermions can be written as

$$
\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \text{Tr} \left( \bar{\lambda}_n \frac{\bar{n} \cdot \sigma}{2} n \cdot iD \lambda_n + \bar{\lambda}_n \sigma \cdot (p_\perp + iD_\perp) \lambda_n \\
+ \bar{\lambda}_n \sigma \cdot (p_\perp + iD_\perp) \lambda_n + \bar{\lambda}_n \frac{n \cdot \sigma}{2} (\bar{n} \cdot p + \bar{n} \cdot iD) \lambda_n \right).
$$

The equation of motion $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \bar{\lambda}_n = 0$ reads

$$
\bar{\sigma} \cdot (p_\perp + iD_\perp) \lambda_n + \frac{n \cdot \sigma}{2} (\bar{n} \cdot p + \bar{n} \cdot iD) \lambda_n = 0,
$$

from which $\lambda_n$ is given by

$$
\lambda_n = - \frac{n \cdot \sigma}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{n} \cdot p + \bar{n} \cdot iD} \bar{\sigma} \cdot (p_\perp + iD_\perp) \lambda_n.
$$

However, it is not clear how to apply the covariant derivative in the denominator to the fermion. In order to see how it works, we write the Lagrangian in the adjoint representation.
\[ \mathcal{L}_\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_n \frac{n \cdot \bar{\sigma}}{2 n \cdot i \partial \lambda_n^a + gf^{abc} \lambda_n \bar{n} \cdot \bar{\sigma} \cdot n \cdot A^b \lambda_n^c + \bar{\lambda}_n \sigma \cdot (p_\perp + i \partial_\perp) \lambda_n^a + gf^{abc} \lambda_n \bar{\sigma} \cdot A^b \lambda_n^c \right. \\
+ \bar{\lambda}_n \frac{n \cdot \bar{\sigma}}{2} (n \cdot p + n \cdot i \partial) \lambda_n^a + gf^{abc} \lambda_n \bar{\sigma} \cdot A^b \lambda_n^c \left. \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_n \frac{n \cdot \bar{\sigma}}{2} i n \cdot D \lambda_n + \bar{\lambda}_n \sigma \cdot (p_\perp + i \partial) \lambda_n^a \\
+ \bar{\lambda}_n \sigma \cdot (p_\perp + i \partial) \lambda_n^a + \bar{\lambda}_n \frac{n \cdot \bar{\sigma}}{2} (n \cdot p + n \cdot i \partial) \lambda_n^a \right], \tag{20} \]

where \( D^\mu = \partial^\mu - ig A^{\mu a} (T^a) \), and \( (T^a)_{bc} = -i f^{abc} \) is the adjoint representation. Now we can use the equation of motion \( \partial \mathcal{L}_\lambda / \partial \lambda_n^a = 0 \), which yields

\[ \bar{\sigma} \cdot (p_\perp + i \partial_\perp) \lambda_n + \frac{n \cdot \bar{\sigma}}{2} (n \cdot p + n \cdot i \partial) \lambda_n = 0, \tag{21} \]

and \( \lambda_n \) is given by

\[ \lambda_n^a = - \frac{n \cdot \bar{\sigma}}{2} \frac{1}{n \cdot p + n \cdot i \partial} \sigma \cdot (p_\perp + i \partial_\perp) \lambda_n. \tag{22} \]

where there is no ambiguity in applying the covariant derivative operator in the denominator. From this expression, we can see that \( \lambda_n^a \) is a small component suppressed by \( \eta \) compared to \( \lambda_n \).

Let us decompose the gauge field into the collinear and the usoft gauge fields as \( A^\mu = A_n^\mu + A_{\text{us}}^\mu \), where the gauge fields scale as

\[ A_n^\mu = (\bar{n} \cdot A_n, A_{n,\perp}, n \cdot A) \sim Q(1, \eta, \eta^2), \]
\[ A_{\text{us}}^\mu = (\bar{n} \cdot A_{\text{us}}, A_{\text{us,\perp}}, n \cdot A_{\text{us}}) \sim Q(\eta^2, \eta^2, \eta^2). \tag{23} \]

Only the collinear and the usoft gauge fields can interact with collinear fermions, otherwise the momentum scaling behavior is violated. The collinear and usoft gauge fields are the subsets of the original gauge fields in the full theory, with the definite scaling behavior. And the gauge transformations in the full theory can also be divided into the collinear and usoft gauge transformations, under which the scaling behavior of each field in SCET is preserved.

Integrating out \( \lambda_n^a \) using the equation of motion, the Lagrangian for the left-handed fermion \( \lambda_n^a \) in the \( n \) direction at leading order in \( \eta \) is written as

\[ \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_n \frac{n \cdot \bar{\sigma}}{2} \left( n \cdot i D + \sigma \cdot D_{c,1} \frac{1}{n \cdot \bar{\sigma} + g \bar{n} \cdot A_n} \sigma \cdot D_{c,1} \right) \lambda_n. \tag{24} \]

where \( n \cdot D = n \cdot \partial - ig (n \cdot A_n + n \cdot A_{\text{us}}) \) includes the usoft gauge field because \( n \cdot A_{\text{us}} \) has the same power counting \( Q \eta^2 \) as \( n \cdot A_n \), and \( D_{c,1}^\mu = \mathcal{P}_{c,1}^\mu + g A_{n,\perp}^\mu \) is the collinear covariant derivative. The operators \( n \cdot \mathcal{P} \) and \( \mathcal{P}_{c,1}^\mu \) extract the label momenta \( n \cdot p \) and \( p_{c,1}^\mu \) respectively.
\[
\begin{align*}
(a) \quad a \quad \rightarrow \quad b & \quad = \quad i\delta_{ab} \quad \frac{n \cdot \sigma}{2} \quad \frac{\pi \cdot p}{n \cdot k + p^2_{\perp} + i0} = -i\delta_{ab} \quad \frac{n \cdot \sigma}{2} \quad \frac{\pi \cdot p}{n \cdot p + p^2_{\perp} + i0} \\
(b) & \quad = \quad ig_{\nu} T^a \frac{\pi \cdot \sigma}{2} \\
(c) \quad p \quad \rightarrow \quad p' & \quad = \quad igT \frac{\pi \cdot \sigma}{2} (n + \sigma_{\perp} \cdot p_{\perp} + \frac{\sigma \cdot p'}{\pi} - \frac{\sigma \cdot p}{\pi})
\end{align*}
\]

FIG. 1. Feynman rules for \( L^{(0)}_\lambda \) to order \( g \) in SCET. (a) collinear fermion propagator with label momentum \( \tilde{p} \) and residual momentum \( k \), (b) collinear fermion interaction with a usoft gauge field, and (c) collinear fermion interaction with a collinear gauge field. Here \( (T^a)_{bc} = -if^{abc} \) is the adjoint \( SU(N) \) generators.

The Lagrangian at higher orders in \( \eta \) can be obtained by a systematic Taylor series expansion in powers of \( \eta \), which can involve the usoft covariant derivatives.

The Feynman rules for the Lagrangian \( L^{(0)}_\lambda \) to order \( g \) are shown in Fig. 1. The overall factor \( T_F = 1/2 \) in front of the Lagrangian is neglected here. The two different expressions for the propagator of a collinear fermion in Fig. 1 (a) result from the property of \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma \) [16]. The Lagrangian will be cast in a simpler form after the collinear Wilson line is introduced in the next section. Note that the Lagrangian in Eq. (24) is written in the adjoint representation, and the Lagrangian in the fundamental representation will also be presented later.

B. Scalar Lagrangian

The scalar Lagrangian in full theory is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_\phi = \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi D^\mu \phi \right) = -\text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi D_\mu D^\mu \phi \right). \tag{25}
\]

For an energetic, collinear scalar particle, we define the collinear scalar field by extracting the label momentum with the normalization

\[
\phi(x) = \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \cdot p}} \left( e^{-ip \cdot x} \phi_n(x) + e^{ip \cdot x} \phi^*_n(x) \right). \tag{26}
\]

Then at leading order in \( \eta \), the SCET Lagrangian for the scalar is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_\phi = \text{Tr} \left[ \phi^*_n \left( n \cdot iD + \frac{p^2_{\perp}}{n \cdot p} \right) \phi_n \right] \rightarrow \text{Tr} \left[ \phi^*_n \left( n \cdot iD + \frac{p^2_{\perp}}{n \cdot p} \right) \phi_n \right]. \tag{27}
\]

Note that there also exists fermion-scalar interaction in the full Lagrangian of Eq. (3). However, there is no interaction of collinear fermions in SCET with either a collinear scalar
or an usoft scalar particle at leading order in $\eta$. It is due to the structure of the interaction of massless collinear fermions with scalar particles. In order to see this, let us neglect the flavor and the color indices for a moment, and this interaction is of the form $\lambda\lambda\phi$. We first project the second $\lambda$ into a collinear fermion as $\lambda\lambda_n$. Using the projection operator explicitly, it is written as
\[
\lambda\lambda_n = \lambda P_n^L\lambda = \frac{1}{4}n_\mu\pi_n\lambda\sigma^\mu\sigma^\nu\lambda_n = \frac{1}{4}n_\mu\pi_n\lambda_n\sigma^\nu\sigma^\mu\lambda
\]
\[
= \lambda_n\frac{1}{4}n_\mu\pi_n\sigma^\nu\lambda = \lambda_n P_n^L\lambda = \lambda_n\lambda_n.
\]
Here we use the relation
\[
\psi\sigma^\mu\sigma^\nu\chi = \chi\sigma^\nu\sigma^\mu\psi,
\]
for arbitrary fermion fields $\chi$ and $\psi$. Therefore the scalar-fermion interaction vanishes at leading order and it begins at subleading order $\eta$ with the presence of a small component $\lambda_n$. The explicit subleading scalar-fermion interaction is presented after the collinear Wilson line is introduced in the next section. This relation holds irrespective of whether the scalar is collinear or usoft. It is due to the fact that massless fermions conserve chirality. This fact greatly simplifies the structure of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in SCET as we shall see below.

Finally, the collinear scalar interaction in SCET is obtained by replacing $\phi^{ij}$ by the corresponding collinear field $\phi^{ij}_n$. Since the collinear Lagrangian for the gauge field is already studied in the literature on SCET [13], we will not derive it here. The usoft Lagrangian is obtained if we replace the full-theory fields by the usoft fields in the full-theory Lagrangian.

**IV. COLLLINEAR WILSON LINE**

The Lagrangian in Eq. (24) can be expressed in a form showing manifest collinear gauge invariance by introducing the collinear Wilson line
\[
\mathcal{W}_n = \sum_{\text{perm}} \exp\left[-g\frac{1}{n_\mu P_n^\mu}\right],
\]
where the bracket implies that the operator $n_\mu P_n^\mu$ is applied only inside the bracket. And $A_n^a = A_n^{\mu a}T_a$ is the $n$-collinear gauge field in the adjoint representation.

The gauge transformation in the full theory can be decomposed into the collinear gauge transformation $U_c$, and the usoft gauge transformations $U_u$. A collinear gauge transformation $U_c(x) = \exp[i\alpha^a(x)T^a]$ is defined as the subset of gauge transformations where $\partial^\mu U_c \sim Q(1, \eta, \eta^2)$. For a collinear gauge transformation $U_c(x)$, we extract the large label momentum as was done for collinear fields,
\[
U(x) = \sum_P e^{-iP^\mu x}U_P(x),
\]
where $\partial^\mu U_P \sim Q\eta^2$. We will drop the label momenta with the understanding that label momentum indices are arranged to conserve the label momenta. Usoft gauge transformations
TABLE I. Gauge transformations for the collinear, usoft fields and the Wilson lines. The label momenta are suppressed, which can be inserted with the label momentum conservation. For each field, the first (second) row corresponds to the fundamental (adjoint) representation.

| Fields | Collinear transformation | Usoft transformation |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| $\lambda_n$ | $U_c \lambda_n U_c^\dagger$ | $U_{us} \lambda_n U_{us}^\dagger$ |
| | $U_c \lambda_n$ | $U_{us} \lambda_n$ |
| $A_\mu^a_n$ | $U_c A_\mu^a_n U_c^\dagger + \frac{1}{g} U_c [i \tilde{D}^\mu U_c^\dagger]$ | $U_{us} A_\mu^a_n U_{us}^\dagger$ |
| $A_\mu^a_{us}$ | $U_c A_\mu^a_{us} U_c + \frac{1}{g} U_c [i \tilde{D}^\mu U_c^\dagger]$ | $U_{us} A_\mu^a_{us} U_{us}^\dagger$ |
| $\lambda_{us}$ | $\lambda_{us}$ | $U_{us} \lambda_{us} U_{us}^\dagger$ |
| | $\lambda_{us}$ | $U_{us} \lambda_{us}$ |
| $A_\mu^a_{us}$ | $A_\mu^a_{us}$ | $U_{us} \left( A_\mu^a_{us} + \frac{i}{g} \partial^\mu \right) U_{us}^\dagger$ |
| $\lambda_{us}$ | $A_\mu^a_{us}$ | $U_{us} \left( A_\mu^a_{us} + \frac{i}{g} \partial^\mu \right) U_{us}^\dagger$ |

Wilson lines

| $W$ | $U_c W$ | $U_{us} W U_{us}^\dagger$ |
| $W$ | $U_c W$ | $U_{us} W U_{us}^\dagger$ |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $U_{us} Y$ |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $U_{us} Y$ |

$U_{us}(x) = \exp[i \beta_{us}^a(x) T^a]$ are the subset where $\partial^\mu U_{us}(x) \sim Q(\eta^2, \eta^2)$. The characteristics of each gauge transformation for the SCET for QCD is explained in Ref. [13]. The gauge transformations for the collinear, usoft fields and the Wilson lines are listed in Table I. The covariant derivatives appearing in the transformation of the collinear gauge field is

$$i \tilde{D}^\mu \equiv \frac{n^\mu}{2} \pi \cdot \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}_\perp^\mu + \frac{\eta^\mu}{2} i n \cdot D,$$

with $iD^\mu = i \partial^\mu + g A^\mu_{us}$ where only the usoft field appears.

Under the collinear gauge transformation $U_c$, $\lambda_n$ transforms as $U_c \lambda_n$, while $\mathcal{W}_n$ transforms as $U_c \mathcal{W}_n$. Therefore the combination $\chi_n = \mathcal{W}_n^\dagger \lambda_n$ is invariant under collinear gauge transformation. This is one of the basic building blocks in constructing collinear gauge invariant operators. The construction of the collinear Wilson line can be viewed as follows: If a particle not in the $n$ direction, say, a fermion in the $\bar{n}$ direction, emits $n$-collinear gauge particles, the intermediate fermion states are off-shell and they should be integrated out. This situation is illustrated in Fig. 2. In fact, it does not matter whether the particle which emits $n$-collinear gauge fields is a collinear fermion in the $\bar{n}$ direction, or any other particle. What matters is to consider a particle not in the $n$ direction, which emits $n$-collinear gauge particles and the off-shell intermediate states generate the collinear Wilson line.
Integrating out the off-shell intermediate states, the Feynman diagram in Fig. 2 reads
\begin{equation}
W_n = \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{(-g)^m}{m!} \frac{n \cdot A_{n,q_1} \cdots n \cdot A_{n,q_m}}{n \cdot q_1 n \cdot (q_1 + q_2) \cdots n \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^m q_i)} T_{a_m} \cdots T_{a_1}. \tag{33}
\end{equation}

Since the generators $T_a$ are in the adjoint representation, the matrix element of $W_n$ is given by
\begin{equation}
(W_n)_{ab} = \delta_{ab} + \sum_{m=1} \sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{(ig)^m}{m!} \frac{n \cdot A_{n,q_1} \cdots n \cdot A_{n,q_m}}{n \cdot q_1 n \cdot (q_1 + q_2) \cdots n \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^m q_i)} f_{a_m a_{m-1}} \cdots f_{a_2 a_1} f_{a_1 b}. \tag{34}
\end{equation}

Eq. (33) is the explicit expansion of Eq. (30). For collinear gluons and scalar particles, since they are also in the adjoint representation, the expression for the collinear Wilson line $W_n$ is the same. In coordinate space, $W_n$ is related to the Fourier transform of the path-ordered exponential
\begin{equation}
W_n(x) = P \exp \left( ig \int_{-\infty}^{x} ds n \cdot A^a_n(ns) T^a \right). \tag{35}
\end{equation}

With the use of the collinear Wilson line, the collinear Lagrangian for fermions can be made manifestly collinear gauge invariant. First, note that the collinear Wilson line $W_n$ in Eq. (30) satisfies the equation of motion
\begin{equation}
\left[ (\overline{\mathcal{P}} + g n \cdot A_n) W_n \right] = 0, \tag{36}
\end{equation}
where $\overline{\mathcal{P}} = n \cdot \mathcal{P}$ and the bracket means the operator acts only inside the bracket. Using this, the following relation
\begin{equation}
f(\overline{\mathcal{P}} + g n \cdot A_n) = W_n f(\overline{\mathcal{P}}) W_n^\dagger \tag{37}
\end{equation}
holds for an arbitrary function $f(\overline{\mathcal{P}})$. Then the collinear Lagrangian at leading order in $\eta$ is written as
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lambda}_n \frac{n \cdot \overline{\sigma}}{2} \left( n \cdot i \mathcal{D} + \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c\perp} W_n \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{P}}} \mathcal{W}_n \overline{\sigma} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c\perp} \right) \lambda_n. \tag{38}
\end{equation}

The Lagrangian is manifestly invariant under the collinear gauge transformation $\lambda \to U_c \lambda$, $W_n \to U_c W_n$. 

FIG. 2. Feynman diagram in which $\lambda_n$ emits collinear gluons in the $n$ direction. The intermediate states are off shell to be integrated out, and the result produces the collinear Wilson line $W_n$. 
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So far, the Lagrangian for collinear fermions and the Wilson line are described in the adjoint representation. We can express these quantities in the fundamental representation. The first term of the Lagrangian in Eq. (38) can be separately written as

\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_n^a \cdot \sigma \cdot n \cdot i \partial \lambda_n^a = \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}_n}{2} \cdot n \cdot i \partial \lambda_n \right), \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} ig f^{abc}_n \mathcal{L}_n n \cdot A_n^b \lambda_n^c = g \lambda_n^a \cdot A_n^b \lambda_n^c \text{Tr} \mathcal{L}_n \cdot t^a \cdot t^c = g \text{Tr} \left( \lambda_n \cdot A_n, \lambda_n \right), \] (39)

where \( A_n^\mu = A_n^{\mu a} t^a \) and \( \lambda_n = \lambda_n^a t^a \). Combining these terms, the first term in the Lagrangian can be written as

\[ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}_n}{2} \cdot n \cdot i D \lambda_n \right). \] (40)

To convert the second term in Eq. (38), let us introduce the collinear Wilson line defined as

\[ W_n = \sum_{\text{perm.}} \exp \left[ -g \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathcal{P} \cdot A_n^a t^a \right]. \] (41)

Compared to \( W_n \), the only difference is that the generators for the gauge fields are in the fundamental representation \( t^a \) in \( W_n \). It is also related to the Fourier transform of the collinear Wilson line

\[ W_n(x) = P \exp \left( ig \int_{-\infty}^{x} ds \mathcal{P} \cdot A_n^a(ns) t^a \right). \] (42)

The adjoint representation can be defined in terms of the fundamental representation by

\[ W_n t^a W_n^\dagger = W_n^{\text{adj}}. \] (43)

Therefore the expression of the form \( W_n^\dagger f_n \), where \( f_n \) is any field in the adjoint representation, can be written in terms of the fundamental representation as

\[ W_n^\dagger f_n = W_n^{\text{adj}} f_n = (W_n^\dagger)^{ab} f_n = W_n^{\text{adj}} f_n W_n = W_n^\dagger f_n W_n. \] (44)

Now consider the block \( W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n \), which can be written explicitly as

\[ (W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n)^a = (W_n^\dagger)^{ab} \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n^b + (W_n^\dagger)^{ab} ig f^{bcd} \sigma \cdot A_n^c \lambda_n^d. \] (45)

Multiplying \( t^a \) on both sides, we obtain

\[ W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n W_n + W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{T}_{c \perp} W_n + W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n W_n + W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n W_n = W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n W_n = W_n^\dagger \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n W_n. \] (46)

Finally the Lagrangian in Eq. (38) can be written in terms of the fundamental representation as

\[ \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(0)} = \text{Tr} \left[ W_n^\dagger \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}_n}{2} \cdot \sigma \cdot \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} W_n + \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}_{c \perp} \lambda_n \right) \right] W_n. \] (47)

The collinear Wilson lines outside the parenthesis cancel due to the trace. For comparison, the corresponding Lagrangian for QCD is given by

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}^{(0)} = \mathcal{P} n \cdot i D + \overline{\psi}_{c \perp} W_n \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}} W_n^\dagger \overline{\psi}_{c \perp} \xi_n. \] (48)
The fermion-scalar interaction beginning at order \( \eta \) can be expressed in terms of the collinear Wilson lines. According to the relation in Eq. (28), the SCET Lagrangian from the full-theory interaction is given by

\[
L_{fs} = \begin{cases} 
-i g \text{Tr} \left( \lambda_i \phi^{ij} \right) & \rightarrow i g \text{Tr} \left( \lambda_{j,n} \phi_{ij} \right) \\
\frac{g}{2} f^{abc} \lambda_i \phi^{ij,c} & \rightarrow \frac{g}{2} f^{abc} \lambda_{j,n} \phi^{ij,c},
\end{cases}
\]

in the fundamental and the adjoint representations respectively. Here the scalar field can be either \( n \)-collinear or usoft.

In the adjoint representation the small component \( \lambda_i, \bar{n} \) at leading order from Eq. (22) is given by

\[
\lambda_{i,n} = -\frac{\pi \cdot \sigma}{2} \left( W_{i,n} \frac{1}{P} \sigma \cdot D_{c \perp} \lambda_{i,n} \right)^a,
\]

and the interaction is written as

\[
L_{fs} = -\frac{g}{2} f^{abc} \lambda_{j,n} \frac{\pi \cdot \sigma}{2} \left( W_{j,n} \frac{1}{P} \sigma \cdot D_{c \perp} \lambda_{i,n} \right)^a \phi^{ij,c}.
\]

The small component \( \lambda_{\bar{n}} \) in the fundamental representation can be written, using Eqs. (50) and (43), as

\[
W_{\bar{n}}^\dagger \lambda_{\bar{n}} W_n = -\frac{\pi \cdot \sigma}{2} \frac{1}{P} W_{\bar{n}}^\dagger \sigma \cdot D_{c \perp} \lambda_{n} W_n.
\]

And the interaction in the fundamental representation is written as

\[
L_{fs} = i g \text{Tr} \left( W_{n}^\dagger \lambda_{j,n} W_n \left[ W_{n}^\dagger \lambda_{i,n} W_n, W_{n}^\dagger \phi^{ij} W_n \right] \right)
= -i g \text{Tr} \left( W_{n}^\dagger \lambda_{j,n} W_n \left[ \frac{1}{P} \frac{\pi \cdot \sigma}{2} W_{\bar{n}}^\dagger \sigma \cdot D_{c \perp} \lambda_{i,n} W_n, W_{n}^\dagger \phi^{ij} W_n \right] \right).
\]

The scalar-fermion interaction involves a small component \( \lambda_{\bar{n}} \). But according to the power counting, this interaction is of the same order as the other leading Lagrangian. Collinear particles scale as \( \eta \), usoft particles scale as \( \eta^2 \), and collinear gauge particles scale as in Eq. (23). This scaling behavior is obtained by considering \( \int d^4 x \mathcal{L} \), where the volume element \( d^4 x \) scales as \( \eta^{-4} (\eta^{-8}) \) for collinear (usoft) particles, and \( \mathcal{L} \) scales as \( \eta^4 (\eta^8) \) in each case. The effective Lagrangian for collinear fermions in Eq. (47) scales as \( \eta^4 \), and \( L_{fs} \) is also of order \( \eta^4 \). Therefore \( L_{fs} \) is also a leading Lagrangian in SCET.

V. USOFT FACTORIZATION

One of the most interesting features in SCET is that SCET is formulated such that collinear particles are decoupled from usoft interactions. This can be achieved by redefining the collinear fields in terms of the usoft Wilson lines. Consider the interaction of the collinear fields with usoft background gauge fields, and the relevant Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 3. The sum of the diagrams which couple usoft gauge particles to the collinear fields is given as

\[
f_n^a = \gamma_n f_n^{(0)b}.
\]
FIG. 3. Feynman diagrams in which usoft gauge particles are attached to (a) a collinear fermion and (b) a collinear gauge particle. The color factors both for the fermions, the scalars and the gauge particles are the same. The diagram with a collinear scalar particle is omitted.

where \( f_n = \lambda_n, A^a_n, \phi_n \) denote collinear fields, and \( \mathcal{Y}^{ab} \) is written as

\[
\mathcal{Y}^{ab}_n = \delta^{ab} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{(ig)^m}{m!} \frac{n \cdot A^{a_1}_n \cdots n \cdot A^{a_m}_n}{n \cdot k_1 n \cdot (k_1 + k_2) \cdots n \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \right)} f^{a_m a_{x_{m-1}}} \cdots f^{a_2 x_{x_1}} f^{a_1 x_1 b}. \tag{55}
\]

It is also the explicit expansion of the usoft Wilson line

\[
\mathcal{Y}_n = \sum_{\text{perm}} \exp \left[ -g \frac{1}{n \cdot \mathcal{R}} n \cdot A_{\text{us}} \right], \tag{56}
\]

where \( A_{\text{us}} = A^a_{\text{us}} T^a \), and \( n \cdot \mathcal{R} \) is the operator extracting the momentum \( n \cdot p \). Note that \( \mathcal{Y}_n \) has a similar structure compared to \( \mathcal{W}_n \) as far as the color factors are concerned because all the particles are in the adjoint representation, but the projection of the gauge fields is in the \( n \) direction, not \( \overline{n} \) in contrast to the case of \( \mathcal{W}_n \). \( \mathcal{Y}_n \) is related to the Fourier transform of the usoft Wilson line in the adjoint representation

\[
\mathcal{Y}^{ab}_n (x) = \left[ \text{P} \exp \left( ig \int_{-\infty}^{x} ds n \cdot A^c_{\text{us}} (ns) T^c \right) \right]^{ab}. \tag{57}
\]

It is useful to introduce the usoft Wilson line in the fundamental representation, which is defined as

\[
\mathcal{Y}_n = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{(-g)^m}{m!} \frac{n \cdot A^{a_1}_{\text{us}} \cdots n \cdot A^{a_m}_{\text{us}}}{n \cdot k_1 n \cdot (k_1 + k_2) \cdots n \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \right)} t^{a_m} \cdots t^{a_1}, \tag{58}
\]

which is obtained from \( \mathcal{Y}_n \) by replacing the adjoint representation of the \( SU(N) \) color generators \( (T^a)^{bc} = -i f^{abc} \) by the fundamental representation \( t^a \). It is related to the Fourier transform of the usoft Wilson line

\[
\mathcal{Y}_n (x) = \text{P} \exp \left( ig \int_{-\infty}^{x} ds n \cdot A^c_{\text{us}} (ns) t^c \right). \tag{59}
\]

The relation between the adjoint and the fundamental representations can be given by

\[
\mathcal{Y}_n t^a Y_n^\dagger = \mathcal{Y}^{ba}_n t^b. \tag{60}
\]
from which we obtain that
\[ f_n = f_n^{b}\bar{t}^b = f_n^{(0)a}\gamma^{ba}_n\bar{t}^b = f_n^{(0)a}Y_n t^a Y_n^\dagger = Y_n f_n^{(0)} Y_n^\dagger. \] (61)

This is similar to the case involving \( W_n \) because, again, all the particles are in the adjoint representation. Physically \( f_n \) is regarded as the collinear field immersed in the cloud of usoft gauge fields, and after factoring out the usoft contribution using the usoft Wilson line, \( f_n^{(0)} \) is the collinear field decoupled from the usoft interaction.

We can express the collinear Lagrangian in terms of the redefined collinear fermion fields \( \lambda_n^{(0)} \) and \( A_n^{(0)\mu} \). Since
\[ A_n^\mu = Y A_n^{(0)\mu} Y^\dagger, \]
\[ W_n = \left[ \sum_{\text{perm}} \exp \left( -g \frac{1}{P} Y \pi \cdot A_n^{(0)} Y^\dagger \right) \right] = Y W_n^{(0)} Y^\dagger, \] (62)
which also shows how usoft gauge particles couple to the collinear Wilson line. Starting with the collinear fermion Lagrangian in Eq. (47), we obtain
\[ \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(0)} = \text{Tr} \left( \lambda_n^{(0)} \frac{\pi \cdot \sigma}{2} \left[ n \cdot iD_c^{(0)} + \sigma \cdot D_{c\perp}^{(0)} W_n^{(0)} \frac{1}{P} W_n^{(0)\dagger} \sigma \cdot D_{c\perp}^{(0)} \right] \lambda_n^{(0)} \right), \] (63)
where we use the facts that \( P_{\perp}^{\mu} \) commutes with \( Y \) and \( Y^\dagger n \cdot D_{us} Y = n \cdot \partial \) since \( n \cdot D_{us} Y = 0 \).

This is the final collinear Lagrangian in which the collinear fermion is decoupled from the usoft interaction. Similarly, the collinear scalar Lagrangian is given by
\[ \mathcal{L}_s = \text{Tr} \left( \phi_n^{(0)*} \left( n \cdot iD_c + \frac{P_{\perp}^2}{\pi \cdot P} \right) \phi_n^{(0)} \right). \] (64)

From now on, we drop the superscript \( (0) \) and we have established the collinear Lagrangian at leading order, which is decoupled from the usoft interaction.

Note that we do not have to include the effect of the scalar particle emissions for the usoft factorization of the SCET Lagrangian at leading order. If a scalar particle interacts with collinear fermions at leading order, we may have to include the emissions of collinear or usoft scalar particles from collinear fermions to all orders in \( g \) to extract the quantities similar to collinear or usoft Wilson lines. However, if there are \( m \) scalar particles emitted from a collinear fermion, it has the dependence of \( (\phi_n)^m \) or \( (\phi_{us})^m \) for collinear and usoft scalar particles respectively and they are suppressed by \( \eta^m \) or \( \eta^{2m} \). Therefore the scalar particle does not interact with collinear fermions at leading order whether the scalar is collinear or usoft. Physically this is related to the chirality flip due to the scalar interaction. If a scalar particle is emitted from a collinear fermion, the fermion becomes an antifermion and the chirality is flipped. Chirality flip can occur only for massive particles, hence it does not occur for massless fermions. The emission of collinear or usoft scalar particles becomes more subleading as the number of the emitted scalar particles increases, and we can safely discard them at leading order. This makes the structure of the effective theory simple.
VI. APPLICATION

There may be various applications, and we mention two possible applications here in applying the SCET formulation of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. First we can construct gauge-invariant operators in SCET, and factorize the collinear and the usoft parts. Each part in turn can be computed using perturbation theory. Secondly, we can consider scattering amplitudes such as $gg \to gg$, $gg \to \lambda\lambda$, or $\lambda\lambda \to \lambda\lambda$ and study the divergence structure of the scattering amplitudes. The factorization of the collinear part and the usoft part is critical and interesting, since we can keep track of the origins of the divergences in calculating each part. Here we illustrate an example to present the basic ideas about how to apply the techniques of SCET for the radiative corrections of a current operator, and leave the study of scattering amplitudes in a forthcoming paper.

Consider an operator in the full theory, e. g., the back-to-back collinear fermion current operator of the form $J^\mu = \overline{\lambda} \gamma^\mu \lambda$. In SCET, the corresponding operator is given by

$$J_c^\mu = C(Q, \mu) \overline{\lambda}_n W_n \sigma^\mu \lambda_n = 2C(Q, \mu) \text{Tr} \left( W_n^\dagger \overline{\lambda}_n W_n \sigma^\mu \lambda_n W_n \right),$$

where $C(Q, \mu)$ is the Wilson coefficient by matching the full theory onto the SCET at some large scale $Q$. The current operator is collinear gauge invariant by attaching the collinear Wilson lines. The usoft interaction can be obtained after redefining the collinear fields, but here we will use the current operator without the usoft Wilson line, and consider the usoft interaction employing the Feynman rules given in Fig. 1.

In order to see the consistency of the effective theory, we compute the infrared divergent part of the full theory in the vertex correction and the finite part constitutes the Wilson coefficient. We use the dimensional regularization for the UV divergence with the spacetime dimension $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$, and take the nonzero offshellness of the external particles as infrared cutoffs. The Feynman diagrams for the vertex corrections in the full theory are shown in Fig. 4. It turns out that Fig. 4 (a) gives the same vertex correction as in QCD except the color factor from $C_F = (N^2 - 1)/(2N)$ to $C_A = N$ where $N$ is the number of colors. Fig. 4 (b) is the new contribution, which is absent in QCD. In both diagrams there are ultraviolet divergences. But they are cancelled by the wave function renormalization, which is not shown in Fig. 4. This is due to the current conservation. Fig. 4 (a) has IR divergences, but Fig. 4 (b) is infrared finite. The IR divergence in the full theory should be reproduced in SCET, which we will explicitly show below.

![FIG. 4. Feynman diagrams for the vertex corrections at one loop in the full theory with the exchange of (a) a gauge particle, and (b) a scalar particle.](image)
FIG. 5. Feynman diagrams for the vertex corrections at one loop in SCET with the exchange of (a) a $n$-collinear gauge particle, (b) a $n$-collinear gauge particle and (c) an usoft gauge particle.

The explicit computation of Fig. 4 is given as

$$M_{\text{full}} = -g^2 C_A \pi \sigma \left[ 2 \ln \frac{-p^2}{Q^2} \ln \frac{-p'^2}{Q^2} + 2 \ln \frac{-p^2}{Q^2} + 2 \ln \frac{-p'^2}{Q^2} - 3 + \frac{2\pi^2}{3} + \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \right],$$

(66)

where $q^2 = (p - p')^2 = -2p \cdot p' = -n \cdot pn \cdot p' = -Q^2$. The first term is the IR divergence at one loop in the full theory. The second and the third terms contain $\ln p^2$ IR divergences, but they are cancelled by analogous IR divergence in bremsstrahlung process in computing the scattering cross section.

In SCET, there is no fermion-scalar interaction at leading order, and there are only collinear and usoft gauge interactions. The Feynman diagrams for the vertex correction in SCET at one loop are shown in Fig. 5. The collinear contributions from Fig. 5 (a) and (b) are given as

$$M_c = -\frac{g^2 C_A}{16\pi^2} \pi \sigma \left[ -\frac{4}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} - \frac{2}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} \left( \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-p^2} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2} \right) - \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{-p^2} - \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2} \right].$$

(67)

The usoft contribution from Fig. 5 (c) is given by

$$M_{us} = -\frac{g^2 C_A}{16\pi^2} \pi \sigma \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} + \frac{2}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} \left( \ln \frac{\mu n \cdot p'}{-p'^2} + \ln \frac{\mu n \cdot p}{-p^2} \right) + \ln^2 \frac{(-p^2)(-p'^2)}{\mu n \cdot p \mu n \cdot p'} \right].$$

(68)

The detailed computation is presented in Appendix. Note that each of the collinear contribution and the usoft contribution contains UV divergences, and there is also a troublesome quantity $(1/\epsilon_{\text{UV}}) \ln(-p^2/\mu^2)$ in each contribution, which is a mixture of UV and IR divergences. However, the sum of the two contributions is free of this term and the UV and the IR divergences are separated. The overall contribution is written as

$$M = M_c + M_{us} = -\frac{g^2 C_A}{16\pi^2} \pi \sigma \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} - \frac{2}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - 2 \ln \frac{-p^2}{Q^2} \ln \frac{-p'^2}{Q^2} \right].$$

(69)

The first two terms contribute to the anomalous dimension of the operator, and the third term contributes to the Wilson coefficient along with the finite terms in $M_{\text{full}}$. The last term is the IR divergence. Comparing with the full theory calculation in Eq. (66), the IR divergence of the full theory is exactly reproduced in SCET.

VII. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We have constructed the SCET for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. This effective theory shows many interesting features. First of all, all the particles in this theory are in the adjoint
representation of the $SU(N)$ gauge group, simplifying the structure of the theory. In order to describe the fermion sector in Weyl representation, we introduce the appropriate projection operators to construct the Lagrangian. Using the gauge transformation properties of the fields, the Lagrangian and any operators can be constructed in a collinear and usoft gauge-invariant way. These can be expressed either in the adjoint or in the fundamental representations. One striking feature is that there is no interaction between collinear fermions and collinear/usoft scalar particles at leading order, but it begins with order $\eta$. Due to this fact, the redefinition of the collinear fields to decouple the usoft interaction is accomplished only by the usoft Wilson lines from the usoft gauge fields.

We have shown how to renormalize a current operator as an example, and it is easy to trace the origins of the divergences, whether they come from collinear or usoft parts. One-loop computations may be too simple to see if SCET serves better than the full theory in some respects, and we have to consider radiative corrections at higher loops. Since the example deals with one-loop corrections, all the radiative corrections are proportional to $\lambda = g^2 N$. It would be interesting to see if planar and nonplanar diagrams can be organized conveniently in SCET.

This paper is the first step to consider $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in terms of SCET, and it opens many questions to be answered. One intensive field of interest is high-energy scattering amplitudes. In the full $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, gluon scattering amplitudes at higher-loops and the divergence structure are actively investigated. And it will be interesting to view from different perspectives to understand the behavior and the divergence structure of high-energy scattering amplitudes. Another field is to consider anomalous dimensions of some operators. Of course, the results in the full theory are well beyond one loop and there is a large gap at the moment between the full theory [18] and SCET. Also there exists duality between Wilson loops and gluon amplitudes [19]. The leading IR divergences of gluon amplitudes are equivalent to the leading UV divergences of Wilson loops. The collinear and usoft Wilson lines derived here can be a starting point to consider the duality relation in view of SCET.

In addition to applying the ideas of SCET to known results in the full theory to understand the structure better, SCET itself poses several interesting questions. For example, in the SCET for QCD, the classification of the gauge transformations into collinear and usoft gauge transformations is useful in considering the structure of operators, and SCET offers richer gauge symmetries than the original gauge symmetry. Supersymmetry is an additional symmetry of the theory. Possibly the supersymmetry transformations may be classified into different classes, under which particles transform in a nontrivial way. One of the supersymmetry algebra is given by \( \{ Q_\alpha, Q_\dot{\alpha} \} = 2\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P_\mu \), which depends on the momentum operator. If we find subclasses of supersymmetry transformations with respect to the momentum operator of definite power counting, it might help understand the structure of the full theory better. Combined with superconformal property of the theory, the SCET will show a diverse structure of the theory.
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Appendix A: Explicit calculation of vertex corrections at one loop in SCET

The Feynman rules for the vertex of the current from the collinear Wilson line are shown in Fig. 6. Fig. 5 (a) is written as

\[ M_a = -2ig^2C_A\sigma^\mu \int \frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D l^2(l+p')^2 n \cdot l}, \]

(A1)

where the \( \sigma \) matrices other than \( \sigma^\mu \) becomes a projection operator in the \( n \) direction. It is given by

\[ M_a = -\frac{g^2C_A}{16\pi^2} \sigma^\mu \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{2}{\epsilon_{IR}} \ln \frac{\mu}{n \cdot p'} + \frac{2}{\epsilon_{IR}} \ln \frac{\mu}{n \cdot l} - \frac{2}{\epsilon_{IR}} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2} - \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-p^2} \right]. \]

(A2)

In performing the loop integration, though \( p'^2 \) acts as an IR cutoff, there appear poles in \( 1/\epsilon_{IR} \).

One caveat is that the collinear loop integral covers the usoft region, which should be avoided since it is taken care of by the usoft interaction. The corresponding contribution from the usoft limit in the collinear integral is removed by the zero-bin subtraction [17]. It is obtained by modifying the scaling behavior of the loop momentum in Eq. (A1). The collinear momenta scale as \( (n \cdot l, l_\perp, \pi \cdot l) \sim (n \cdot p', p'_\perp, \pi \cdot p') \sim Q(1, \eta, \eta^2) \), but the zero-bin region corresponds to the momentum scaling \( (n \cdot l, l_\perp, \pi \cdot l) \sim Q(\eta^2, \eta^2, \eta^2) \). With this power counting, the zero-bin contribution is written as

\[ M_a^{(0)} = -2ig^2C_A\sigma^\mu \int \frac{d^Dl}{(2\pi)^D l^2(n \cdot p' n \cdot l + p'^2) n \cdot l} \]

\[ = -\frac{g^2C_A}{16\pi^2} \sigma^\mu \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}\epsilon_{IR}} + \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{2}{\epsilon_{IR}} \right) \left( \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2} - \ln \frac{\mu}{n \cdot p'} \right) \right], \]

(A3)

![FIG. 6. Feynman rules for the current from the collinear Wilson line. (a) \( \pi \)-collinear gauge particle, (b) \( n \)-collinear gauge particle.](image-url)
where $p'^2$ enters as the IR cutoff. Finally the collinear contribution is given as

$$
\tilde{M}_a = M_a - M_a^{(0)} = -\frac{\alpha_s C_A \sigma}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2} - \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2}}{\epsilon_{UV}} \right],
$$

$$
\tilde{M}_b = -\frac{g^2 C_A \sigma}{16\pi^2} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2} - \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{-p'^2}}{\epsilon_{UV}} \right],
$$

(A4)

where we can proceed in the same way for the $n$-collinear loop integral appearing $\tilde{M}_b$ in Fig. 5 (b) with the replacement of $p'^2$ by $p^2$ in the result.

The usoft contribution in Fig. 5 (c) is given by

$$
M_c = -2ig^2C_A \sigma \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{l^2(\pi \cdot l + p^2/n \cdot p')(n \cdot l + p^2/n \cdot p)} \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{2 \ln \frac{n \cdot p'}{\mu} + \ln \frac{n \cdot p}{\mu}}{\epsilon_{UV}} + \ln^2 \left( \frac{-p'^2}{\mu^2} \right) \left( \frac{-p^2}{\mu^2} \right) \right],
$$

(A5)

where $p^2$ and $p'^2$ act as IR regulators. The overall contribution in SCET is given as

$$
M_{SCET} = \tilde{M}_a + \tilde{M}_b + M_c = -\frac{g^2 C_A \sigma}{16\pi^2} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} + 2 \ln \frac{-p'^2}{Q^2} \ln \frac{-p^2}{Q^2}}{\epsilon_{UV}} \right],
$$

(A6)

which is Eq. (69).
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