INTRODUCTION

For the last 10,000 years, agriculture has been playing a pivotal role in securing humans’ food needs and for contributing to people’s health and well-being. The latter is substantiated by a successful establishment of civilizations in various regions of the world where agriculture first occurred. An exponential growth of the human population during the last 200 years of human history could be considered another remarkable success of agriculture, that was amplified by the technological breakthroughs of the industrial revolution, like the combustion engine and more implements, that were successful in boosting crop yields. Nevertheless, since the onset of agriculture an on-going conversion of land to farmland has been occurring at an increasing scale, through millennia (Mazoyer M & Roudart L, 2006). At present, scientists have calculated that livestock and crops agriculture have been shifting the 39% of all suitable lands to food production (Foley et al., 2011), making agriculture and the technologies it uses such as: transgenic crops, agrichemicals, computer networks, automa-
tion, and large farm implements, a geologic force whose scale of disruption has forever changed the attributes of terrestrial biomes (Rockström J & Gaffney O, 2021).

The relevance of this work is about the urgent need of changing agriculture into a more sustainable paradigm of regenerative and restorative food production, making agroecology a suitable vehicle for transformation and for achieving sustainability in modern farming. An agroecological approach to agriculture appears to be applicable to all farms and beneficial to people and the environment. Thus, the focus of this paper was directed to:

- Demonstrate how a design and management of modern farms with agroecology can preserve and even augment biodiversity.
- Illustrate the interconnectedness between soil biodiversity and agrobiodiversity.
- Present agroecology as the science, practice and social movement that can assist with a conversion of farming systems toward sustainability.

Agriculture relies heavily on the abundance and diversity of species that are cultivated, including those already existing on site, and that can be measured on a farm (Duru et al., 2015; Nicholls CI & Altieri MA, 2016). Biodiversity can be considered at various levels spanning from the genetic diversity within a population to the community level, where it expands to describe diversity among multiple populations (Primack RB, 2006). Every species has its specific function in every ecosystem, despite inevitable redundancy, which is necessary to support ecological resilience thus, making biodiversity a keystone service whose losses indicate clear signals that humanity’s life support system is out of balance (Tallamy DW, 2009). Since the beginning of agriculture in Neolithic times, the biomass from terrestrial plant species has been reduced 50% of its estimated diversity (Erb et al., 2018). According to Diaz and team (2019), this loss equals a loss of more than 20% of the original biodiversity among plants, implying that 70% of the Earth’s land surface, which includes also large, marginal areas not as suitable for agriculture, has been severely disturbed by human activities (IPBES & Willemen, 2018). Primary causes of biodiversity loss are reported (Table 1).

Therefore, there is an urgent need to remediate from the loss of biodiversity to avert grave consequences that may jeopardize quality of life as we know it and to prevent a collapse of food systems, and associated food supply chains. A roadmap to transform agriculture and veer food production toward sustainability has been proposed, with specific emphases that aim at:

- Enhancing the regenerative capabilities of farming where land managed in agricultural systems is converted to sequester carbon, instead of continuing to emit carbon (Rockström J & Gaffney O, 2021; Borsari B, 2022).
- Reducing, or even better eliminating food spoilage and waste (IPBES & Willemen, 2018).
- Embracing unilaterally the planetary diet as proposed by the EAT-Lancet Commission (Rockström J & Gaffney O, 2021).
- Stabilizing the human population to a size that is compatible with the regenerative capabilities of Earth, to avoid exhausting resources and without reaching the population carrying capacity.

However, it remains uncertain whether agriculture around the world will follow these guidelines, or not. The mentioned urgency consists in avoiding further greenhouse gas emissions (GHHGs) in the atmosphere that could worsen the climate change...
scenarios to the point beyond recovery and control. There is a need of mobilizing society across geographic boundaries, economies, and culture, in a unilateral effort to comply and achieve the 17 goals for sustainable development (SDGs) of agenda 2030, as proposed by the United Nations, six years ago.

THE BENEFITS OF AGROBIODIVERSITY IN AGROECOSYSTEMS

Agrobiodiversity provides a multitude of valuable benefits to farming systems, while extending the same to the surrounding landscape where food production is taking place (Duru et al., 2015; Nicholls CI & Altieri MA, 2016; Borsari B, 2022). More specifically, high biodiversity on the farm means:

- Greater microhabitat differentiation (Zucconi F, 1996).
- Increased opportunities for coexistence among beneficial species (Borsari B, 2022).
- Making possible various kinds of beneficial population dynamics among herbivores and their predators (Nicholls CI & Altieri MA, 2016; Lampkin N, 1999).
- Better resource use in the agroecosystem (e.g.: three sisters intercropping and their use of soil nutrients) (Gliessman S, 2015).
- Reducing risks of crop failure for the farmer (Borsari B, 2022).
- Contributing to the conservation of diversity in nearby natural areas ((Nicholls CI & Altieri MA, 2016; Borsari et al., 2016).

Moreover, diversity of the soil food web benefits nutrients recycling, regulation of local hydrological processes and detoxification of noxious chemicals, making these processes and services renew soil fertility and health. These advantages have been forgotten due to an excessive reliance of agriculture on input substitution from off farms, that for the last seventy years have been praised as the necessary means and technologies needed to achieve success in food production (Gliessman S, 2015). However, this western approach has marginalize indigenous knowledge and wisdom of farming, while spurring a significant loss of landrace seeds that were deemed irrelevant, or unprofitable by emerging agribusiness corporations (Borsari B, 2022). A restoration of indigenous knowledge in agriculture is much needed instead to conserve native germplasm for future generations and to assist also with a diversification of the human diet.

**Agronomic Approaches to Foster Agrobiodiversity in the Soil**

The most intuitive example for increasing agrobiodiversity consists in intercropping more than one plant or grazing more than one animal species in the same field. More strategies could include:

- Cover cropping
- Crop Rotations
- Intercropping (Mexican milpa as classic example with three sisters’ cultivation method)
- Fallow cropping (resting field)
- Reduced, or minimum tillage

---

**Table 1. Causes of Biodiversity Loss and its Consequences.**

| Biodiversity Loss                  | Effects/Outcomes                                      |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Habitat destruction               | Agriculture* and Infrastructure (railroads, airports, urbanization, industry, etc.) |
| Global Climate Change             | Habitat and food loss from temperature change. Disruption of migration patterns |
| Pollution of air, land, and water | Fossil fuels, pesticides, sewage, solid waste.       |
| Non-native species                | Cats and rats on islands, water hyacinth and more.    |
| Overexploitation                  | Species hunted for food, pet trade, medicine. Logging, mining, fishing, groundwater extraction. |

*Agriculture is the keystone cause of habitat and biodiversity loss, climate change and pollution.*
• High organic matter (OM) inputs (compost)
• Reducing/eliminating the use of agrichemicals
• Employing trees (Agroforestry)

The challenge consists in designing agroecosystems that rely on resources already available on the farm and that blend in with the surrounding, natural landscape, while being aware of the ecological benefits that derive from it and thus, remaining committed to conserve and maintain its integrity (Nicholls CI & Altieri MA, 2016; Gliessman S, 2015; Lampkin N, 1999).

AGROBIODIVERSITY AND AGROFORESTRY CONTRIBUTE TO SOIL HEALTH

The abundance of life within the soil and the diversity of the soil community plays a very important role in achieving a healthy soil, which will enhance the health of all crops and livestock that depend on it (Borsari et al., 2016). The processes occurring in a soil that is biologically rich, contribute most effectively to an enhancement of carbon sequestration and humification, as it occurs during the composting process (Nair PKR, 2002). Consequently, when conducting an evaluation of soils, indicators like microbial activity and the amount of stable organic matter (OM), derived from biomass humification will be keystone markers of soil quality and health. Humus is a very stable form of carbon and thus, it is a pivotal component of soil fertility (Borsari B, 2020), making an understanding of the carbon cycle occurring within the soil and the biological processing of raw organic matter important knowledge that when applied to agriculture, assists farmers to restore soil fertility (Zucconi F, 1996).

Therefore, various types of biomass and crop residues (e.g.: foliage, stubble, chaff, brush) and/or animals’ manure that left, or disked into the top-soil, will be transformed in humus (stable organic matter), are excellent resources for enhancing soil quality (Nair PKR, 2002). Consequently, when conducting an evaluation of soils, indicators like microbial activity and the amount of stable organic matter (OM), derived from biomass humification will be keystone markers of soil quality and health.

Humus is a very stable form of carbon and thus, it is a pivotal component of soil fertility (Borsari B, 2020), making an understanding of the carbon cycle occurring within the soil and the biological processing of raw organic matter important knowledge that when applied to agriculture, assists farmers to restore soil fertility (Zucconi F, 1996).

The fresh/raw OM goes through two distinctive humification process trajectories that can be fast, or slow depending on biotic factors (e.g.: richness and diversity of soil biota), and abiotic conditions such as: aerobiosis, air temperature, humidity, and carbon/nitrogen ratio of the biomass to be processed. Initially, both decomposition paths will yield organic compounds that are chemically unstable and toxic, removing water and carbon dioxide from the biomass, through exothermic reactions (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Cycle of decomposition and humification of fresh/raw organic matter (Borsari, 2020)](image-url)
At this stage of the process is not advisable to apply OM that is only partially humified, to seedlings, or germinating seeds, without incurring in toxicity induced damages to these. Only at the end of humification, when the mass will be reduced significantly in volume, the same becomes dark in color and earthy smelling, indicating the presence of actinomycetes. Its carbon/nitrogen ratio will drop to a more balanced ratio (C/N ~ 15). At this stage, the humus rich compost will be chemically stable and ready to be used (Lampkin N, 1999). Also, the molecules yielded prior to the mineralization stage of the humification process (where mineralization refers to the decomposition/oxidation of macromolecules present in the OM, by which the nutrients in those compounds are released in soluble inorganic forms and become available to plants for uptake by their roots), stabilize the carbon molecules that have been converted in humus, which will accumulate in the topsoil (Nair PKR, 2002). This will make the soil retain moisture and plant nutrients, improving its resilience from disturbances like tillage, while enhancing its overall health.

Thus, a quantitative evaluation of soil health should include a variety of field measurements, in addition to standard soil nutrient analyses. These methodologies are available to farmers, enabling them to make the best decisions for planning and implementing practices of soil health enhancement and management that reduce the impacts of agricultural stressors (Moebius-Clune et al., 2017). However, it remains still difficult to find an agreement about adopting standardized methods when evaluating soil quality and health, despite the array of indicators available (Laishram et al., 2012). If the focus of a soil health assessment is adaptive to climate change, then key indicators should comprise soil structure, OM, available carbon and nitrogen, microbial activity, including abundance and diversity of soil biota (Borsari et al., 2016; Nair PKR, 2002; Allen et al., 2011). Present knowledge

---

**Figure 2.** The nexus between soil health and agrobiodiversity relies on agronomic practices that prioritize an ecological management of the soil ecosystem (Borsari, 2022)
about soil quality has improved in recent years, to clarify how soil health can be enhanced, or reestablished when it is affected by conventional farming practices. Yet, some barriers are still affecting a valid quantification of soil health by the fact that this topic is still new and by measurements that continue to be taken only in the topsoil (horizon A of the soil profile), ignoring deeper horizons (Sparling et al., 2004). Nonetheless, an integration of agronomic techniques, which includes also grazing animal species and nitrogen fixing trees will eventually, strengthen agrobiodiversity and soil health when these practices will become established permanently, in farm management (Figure 2).

**Agroforestry in Agroecosystems**

Agroforestry is an intentional integration of trees, crops and/or livestock in agroecosystems, where interactions are managed intensively. An employment of trees and other woody plants like shrubs can be a feasible approach to enhance agrobiodiversity and resilience in farms, while boosting a variety of additional products and services, that can increase the profitability of the farm enterprise (Gliessman S, 2015). Whether agroforestry is complemented by livestock grazing (e.g.: silvopasture), or the cultivation of agronomic plant species like in alley cropping, trees and other perennial plants are valuable to protect the farm and its crops, from soil erosion through windbreaks, or riparian buffer zones. Moreover, forest plots can be considered agroforestry systems when these are farmed with economic crops like mushrooms, medicinal plants, or woody plant species that can be used for construction and/or as a renewable energy source, for cooking and heating purposes.

Although agroforestry systems are ubiquitous their prevalence is in the agrarian landscapes of tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. Their design and size may change according to topography, climate, soil characteristics, hydrology, and economic purpose from which market demand for its products and services depend. For example, in sub-tropical highland regions of India, with altitudes > 1000 m above sea level, agroforestry has become a key approach to farming, and for protecting soil from erosion. Therefore, intercropping bamboo (*Bambusa spp.*) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), with an integration of aquaculture constitutes the design and practice of common agroforestry systems in these dry regions, where scarce rain precipitations and high daily temperatures, cause frequent droughts (Raj et al., 2021). More specifically, agroforestry has been beneficial to farmers in the dry corridor of Rajasthan, to diversify farm products through an inclusion of Ghaf trees (*Prosopis cineraria*), together with cereals and pulses, thus, enhancing economic gains and agrobiodiversity (Dhanya et al., 2014). An integration of pigs who are raised often in bamboo shelters built on the edge of the rice fields, adds meat to the number of products (e.g.: fish, rice, bamboo) and services (e.g.: pig manure as feed for the fish and animal waste), including scales, and nitrogen-rich manure, that restores soil fertility in countries of southeast Asia. These multifunctional agroecosystems provide food security for local communities, while maintaining an optimal level of land use for agriculture (Tangjang S, 2016). In the dry arc (Arco seco) region of Panama instead, the Physic nut tree (*Jatropha curcas* L.) is employed in silvopasture, as a viable species to construct live fences for containing cattle and for producing biodiesel from the seed harvested from this tree (Espinosa-Tasón et al., 2016).

Also, home gardening can be considered common and productive agroforestry systems that are cultivated in many tropical and non-tropical regions of the world, including urban and peri-urban areas (Orsini et al., 2020). These and similar growing spaces have potential to improve farmers’
income while securing food for their families and communities. Fruit and nut trees bear these products in the upper layer of their canopy, intermingled with vines (e.g.: spice crops) growing in the middle layer, whereas the understory is designed to grow cash crops, or medicinal plants, even on small spaces. Green hedges employing an assortment of trees and shrubs mark the boundaries among adjacent home gardens, making this form of intentional landscape, an ancient landscaping practice (Raj et al., 2021). Agroforestry gardens replenish the built environment with abundant edible products enhancing air quality, water retention and more ecological services, while beautifying the urbanscape. Also, green, live hedges function as fences/windbreaks, improving soil fertility by adding some of their biomass to the soil nearby (Gliessman S, 2015). Instead, an integration of trees with grasses, pulses, and grazing livestock, or silvopasture, consists in a distinctive form of agroforestry where a well-maintained plant community is supportive of the nutrition and health of the animals. Iconic tree species used in tropical and sub-tropical silvopasture include neem (Azadirachta indica), mango (Mangifera indica), acacia (Acacia nilotica), or Leucaena (Leucaena leucocephala Lam.), whereas in temperate regions oaks may be common (Oak spp.), including the cork oak (Quercus suber) of the “dehesa” in Spain, or black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia), willow (Salix spp.), poplar (Populus spp.). Silvopasture supplies distinctive ecosystem services that maintain the ecological balance of the whole system. For example, in the tropics acacia species found sparsely on farmland is a good source of timber, fuelwood and gum (Raj et al., 2021), as well as cork oak in the Iberic peninsula of western Europe that provides bark to make corks for the wine industry, in addition to acorns that consumed by pigs yield the famous ‘Serrano’ and/or ‘Iberico’ ham. A robust body of scientific literature verifies further the multifaceted benefits of agroforestry (economic, social, agronomic, environmental, etc.), and its applications around the world, in support of an agroecological design for spurring a sustainable agriculture.

CONCLUSION AND FINAL REFLECTIONS

Agriculture continues to remain the culprit and chief villain of all economic activities, emitting in the atmosphere the largest amount of carbon gases that are implicated in climate change (Crippa et al., 2021). It consumes the 70% of all freshwater use and its energy needs derive mostly, from non-renewable sources (~ 40%), in addition to the one coming from the sun. Massive conversions of land use into crop land and/or pasture are the symptoms of a dysfunctional agriculture that leads the way also in polluting freshwater with residues of pesticides, chemical fertilizers, hormones, antibiotics, and soil from erosion. This nefarious trend in agriculture is expected to grow further within the next three decades, due to a steady rate of population growth that although modest (~ 1%), adds about 75 million people, to our crowded planet, every year (Springmann et al., 2018). Many agricultural experts continue supporting an intensification of food production, claiming biotechnologies, precision farming, automation and climate smart agriculture, the needed approaches, and tools, that will allow modern society to overcome this challenge and feed 10 billion people by 2050. However, this extractive emphasis of the present agro-industrial model of food production is unsustainable and continues to operate as a major problem and challenge, to climate mitigation and sustainable development.

This is implying that modern agriculture and food supply chains are in a collision course with nature and this hazardous trajectory demands immediate attention and remediation actions. Agro-
ecology provides feasible alternatives for agriculture to avert the calamitous, predicted consequences of an unleashed Anthropocene yet, it involves much more than preserving, or expanding traditional agriculture, while extending food production to urban areas (Altieri MA & Nicholls CI, 2020). A transformation of modern agriculture toward sustainability is more likely to occur by re-establishing more robust links between farmers and consumers because this relationship strengthens local economies and cultures that are foundation forces of any food system (Gliessman S, 2015). A focus on education in food systems sustainability should encompass entirely, the food production, distribution aspects, that in agroecology are inclusive of the economic and socio-cultural aspects of this primary human production sector (Onwueme et al., 2008; Borsari B, 2011). This more holistic vision plan should invest not solely in research but also in education, while striving to reduce poverty, inequalities, violence, and political antagonisms that too often escalate to tragic armed conflicts (within and among countries), destroying the livelihoods of millions and amplifying mass migrations and misery. Access to food and land are sacrosanct rights, which should be honoured, not only to preserve the germplasm of plant and animal species that are pillar food foundations for humanity, but also (and above all), to ensure dignity and respect for every human being.

Although agroecology is on an ascending curve of acceptance as a science, a practice and as a social movement, its establishment is not free from appropriations by industrial agriculture that has started to greenwash its image through the use of persuasive terminology, like climate-smart and/or precision farming, intended to maintain the agri-business status quo in agriculture, that handled by few, gigantic corporations continues to cause misery and displacement from the land of millions of small, dispossessed family farmers (Held L, 2021). For these reasons, agroecologists advise agroecology groups and farmers’ organizations to abstain from partnering with private companies, or food corporations (Rosset PM & Altieri MA, 2017). This warning should prevent a co-optation of their work and values by the capitalistic interests of agribusiness, as this model of agriculture remains pervasive across the agroindustry. Another challenge posed by industrial agriculture consists in its persuasive indoctrination of society with illusive narratives to make believe that industrial agriculture is the only way of ensuring cheap, high-quality food to all, in great abundance. Unfortunately, this paternalistic rhetoric remains supported by many researchers, who are employed in the colleges of agriculture of land-grant universities, and who continue to receive generous funding from agribusiness corporations for answering questions that may bring high lucrative gains to the industry through patents an advanced technologies yet, these remain of marginal access, or utility to family farmers (Berry W, 1977).

Dietary changes veering towards eating habits that rely mostly on plant products like fruits, roots and vegetables, coupled by advances in knowledge about agriculture and more emphasis on reducing food waste, are ideal strategies that can reduce GHGs from the food system and mitigate successfully, the global climate (Springmann et al., 2018). However, tangible risks and uncertainty that agriculture in conjunction with other human activities compromise planet Earth’s homeostasis persist as a disturbing reality. Nonetheless, a safe corridor of operation is achievable if human activities will be soon constrained within the limits of the planetary boundaries (Rockström et al., 2021). To make this possible, a four-pronged plan for transforming the food system, which was proposed by IPES-Food & ETC Group in 2021 must be enacted without delay (Rockström J & Gaffney O, 2021). This scheme
offers a route that could shift trillions of US dollars from agribusiness to food sovereignty, agroecology, and similar programs thus, reducing 75% of GHG emissions generated by food systems, with immediate, benign effects on biodiversity, its preservation, and a slow restoration toward normality of biogeochemical cycles. An effective move in this direction demands for an urgent reallocation of agricultural subsidies from agribusiness corporations to family farmers and peasant cooperatives, or growers’ associations who are committed to good stewardship as established by agroecological practices and with standards that are based on carbon sequestration in soils and biodiversity conservation, rather than overproduction (Borsari B & Kunnas J, 2020) and corporative profits (Rosset PM & Altieri MA, 2017). At this critical moment, it is imperative for society to transform itself, beginning with systemic changes to the food system. Shifts undergone by large segments of humanity during the Covid-19 pandemic in 2020, have demonstrated unimagined resilience by farming systems where agroecology is applied and embraced as established practice in agriculture (Altieri MA & Nicholls CI, 2020). These experiences remain as vivid memories of creativity and solidarity, defining at the end, the benign capabilities and resilience of humanity, while reiterating the potentials of agroecology to lead agriculture and food systems toward a restoration of agrobiodiversity and a unilateral pursuit of sustainability.
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