HDL Induces the Expression of the M2 Macrophage Markers Arginase 1 and Fizz-1 in a STAT6-Dependent Process
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Abstract

Our lab has previously shown in a mouse model that normalization of a low HDL level achieves atherosclerotic plaque regression. This included the shift from a pro (“M1”) to an anti-inflammatory (“M2”) phenotypic state of plaque macrophages. Whether HDL can directly cause this phenotypic change and, if so, what the signaling mechanism is, were explored in the present studies. Murine primary macrophages treated with HDL showed increased gene expression for the M2 markers Arginase-1 (Arg-1) and Fizz-1, which are classically induced by IL-4. HDL was able to potentiate the IL-4-induced changes in Arg-1, and tended to do the same for Fizz-1, while suppressing the expression of inflammatory genes in response to IFN γ. The effects of either IL-4 or HDL were suppressed when macrophages were from STAT6−/− mice, but inhibitor studies suggested differential utilization of JAK isoforms by IL-4 and HDL to activate STAT6 by phosphorylation. Overall, our results describe a new function of HDL, namely its ability to directly enrich macrophages in markers of the M2, anti-inflammatory, state in a process requiring STAT6.
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Introduction

Atherosclerosis is characterized by the infiltration of monocytes in the arterial wall that then become macrophages (for a recent review [1]). The clinical consequences of atherosclerosis include heart attacks and strokes, making it the leading cause of death in the western world. Our laboratory has introduced novel mouse models of atherosclerosis regression in order to learn strategies that could ultimately be applied to reversing the human disease [2,3]. With these models, we have observed a number of features of atherosclerotic plaques undergoing regression: 1) the decreased content of macrophages involves their emigration [4–6], which is consistent with the transcriptome analysis of the macrophages in regressing plaques showing significant changes in the expression of genes encoding cellular adhesion and cellular motility factors [7]; 2) Besides the change in the content of macrophages, their phenotype shifted from a pro- to an anti-inflammatory status based on M1 (inflammatory) and M2 (anti-inflammatory) macrophage markers [5,7]. Indeed, the highest up-regulated gene in macrophages in regressing plaques was found to be the M2 marker Arginase-1 (Arg-1); 3) the M2 shift was associated with increased plasma levels of high density lipoprotein (HDL), which was shown to be required [5].

Though HDL is known to have multiple protective mechanisms in atherosclerosis, the major effects have been thought to be its ability to remove excess cholesterol from cells, such as macrophages in arterial plaques that became foam cells. More recent studies have invoked anti-inflammatory properties (e.g., [8–11]), which may be related to the aforementioned finding that an increase in HDL resulted in a shift of plaque macrophages towards the M2 state. To extend that finding mechanistically, in the present report we have tested the hypothesis that HDL directly polarizes macrophages towards the M2 state, as would be reflected by the induction of the genes encoding Arg-1 and Fizz-1, two commonly accepted M2 markers in mice. If this turned out to be true, we were then interested in whether the effects depended on STAT6 signaling, which is required for the classical polarization of macrophages to the M2 state by IL-4 [12].
Methods

Mice

Wild-type C57Bl/6J and STAT6−/− mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Experimental procedures were approved by the NYU School of Medicine Institutional Animal Care Committee.

Reagents

HDL, the naturally occurring subfraction of spherical HDL, typically used in cell culture studies, was isolated by sequential flotation by ultracentrifugation of plasma from healthy donor as previously described [13]. Hereafter, it is referred to simply as HDL. Endotoxin levels were assessed by the LAL assay (Enzo) and only endotoxin-free HDL preparations were used. Alternatively, endotoxin-free HDL was purchased from Kalen Biomedical LLC (Maryland). IL-4, IFNγ and M-CSF were purchased from Peprotech. The following antibodies were used: Phospho-STAT3 (Tyr705) and total-STAT3 (Cell Signaling), Phospho-STAT6 (Tyr641) (Imgenex), STAT6 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), and GAPDH (Millipore). Kaempferol was purchased from Sigma Aldrich; Ruxolitinib and TG101348 were purchased from Selleck.

Isolation and culture of bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM)

Bone marrow was isolated from the femur and tibia of 8-12 week old mice. After red blood cell lysis (RLB, Sigma Aldrich), cells were plated in Petri dishes in DMEM (1g/L glucose) containing 10% FBS and 12ng/mL M-CSF. 10ng/mL M-CSF was added on day 3, and on day 5, cells were seeded on tissue culture dishes with 8ng/mL M-CSF and treated on day 7. 16h before treatment, cells were serum-deprived in DMEM containing 0.2% free fatty acid BSA (Sigma-Aldrich). The treatments that the cells then underwent are described in the figure legends.

Quantitative RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from the BMDM using TRIzol according to the manufacturer (Invitrogen). 800ng of total RNA were reverse transcribed using the Verso cDNA kit (Thermo Scientific). Real-time PCR was performed using the ABI PRISM 7300 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH expression and its immunosuppressive role in inflammatory loci [15,16]. Arg-1, Fizz-1 and YM-1 (e.g. [5,7]) were induced. Arg-1 is the enzyme that converts arginine to ornithine, a precursor of polyamines and proline. This conversion is critical in cell growth and wound healing [14]. The consumption of arginine by Arg-1 deprives inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) from its substrate, and thus prevents the production of damagingly high levels of NO. This property of Arg-1 is thought to contribute to its immunosuppressive role in inflammatory loci [15,16]. Arg-1 is highly upregulated by IL-4 [17]. The function of Fizz-1 (Found in the inflammatory zone” and also referred as RELM α for Resistin-like molecule α) is less well defined. Fizz-1 was initially identified in models of murine asthmatic models [18] and has been implicated in mediating the deposition of extracellular matrix in an animal model of lung fibrosis and, thus, participating in wound healing and tissue remodeling like Arg-1 [19]. Also like Arg-1, Fizz-1 is specifically expressed by macrophages in response to IL-4 both in vivo and in vitro [20].

In the first set of experiments, BMDM in the resting (“M0”) state were treated with HDL at a concentration attained in tissues. As shown in Figure 1, this resulted in upregulation by ~10 fold of Arg-1 and Fizz-1 gene expression, as we previously published [5]. Notably, co-treatment with IL-4 and HDL resulted in the highest level of either Arg-1 or Fizz-1 gene expression, with 826 or 919-fold increases, respectively, over the level observed with IL-4 alone, though this additive effect was statistically significant only for Arg-1 (Figure 1A, B). This statistical difference could represent either variations in the regulation of these two M2 markers, or it could be due to the

HDL promotes the alternative activation of primary murine macrophages in vitro

Real-time PCR performed on laser-captured macrophages from atherosclerotic plaques undergoing regression showed that several M2 markers, such as Arg-1, Mannose Receptor, CD163, Fizz-1 and YM-1 (e.g. [5,7]) were induced. Arg-1 is the enzyme that converts arginine to ornithine, a precursor of polyamines and proline. This conversion is critical in cell growth and wound healing [14]. The consumption of arginine by Arg-1 deprives inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) from its substrate, and thus prevents the production of damagingly high levels of NO. This property of Arg-1 is thought to contribute to its immunosuppressive role in inflammatory loci [15,16]. Arg-1 is highly upregulated by IL-4 [17]. The function of Fizz-1 (Found in the inflammatory zone” and also referred as RELMα for Resistin-like molecule α) is less well defined. Fizz-1 was initially identified in models of murine asthmatic models [18] and has been implicated in mediating the deposition of extracellular matrix in an animal model of lung fibrosis and, thus, participating in wound healing and tissue remodeling like Arg-1 [19]. Also like Arg-1, Fizz-1 is specifically expressed by macrophages in response to IL-4 both in vivo and in vitro [20].
fact that Fizz-1 induction is already much higher with IL-4 than that of Arg-1. The effects of HDL or IL-4 appeared to be specific, both as single agents and in combination, based on the lack of induction of IL-4 receptor α (Figure 1C), which is required for M2 polarization by IL-4, but is not a STAT6-dependent target.

HDL is known to prevent pro-inflammatory activation of monocytes and macrophages (e.g., [8–11,21]). Thus, we hypothesized that if HDL were able to promote enrichment in M2 markers, it may also inhibit the gene expression of M1 markers. Indeed, Figure 2 shows that HDL is able to suppress basal and IFNγ-induced expression of M1 markers, such as iNOS (Figure 2A), IL-6 (Figure 2B) and TNFα (Figure 2C). To our knowledge, these results show for the first time that HDL can directly induce the expression of M2 markers, as well as potentiate effects of IL-4, while also inhibiting M1 markers.

**HDL promotes phosphorylation of STAT6, but not STAT3**

The classical pathway for IL-4-induced polarization involves the activation of the STAT6 (Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription) signaling pathway [22] and STAT6 is one of the trans factors that bind to the promoter of Arg-1 and Fizz-1 [17,23]. As HDL activates Arg-1 and Fizz-1 gene expression (Figure 1), we investigated whether these effects were mediated by the STAT6 signaling pathway. As a general
mechanism, STAT proteins are activated by phosphorylation by the Janus Kinases (JAK1/2/3) family. This process is rapid upon cytokine receptor binding. As shown in Figure 3A and 3B, within 15 min, both IL-4 and HDL similarly induced the phosphorylation of STAT6 compared to the non-treated condition. While IL-4 was able to sustain the phosphorylation of STAT6 for up to 4h, the HDL effect was shorter and started to decrease after 30min (data not shown), which could account for the less potent effects of HDL on Arg-1 and Fizz-1 (Figure 1A and B). The combination of HDL and IL-4, however, further enhanced the phosphorylation of STAT6 compared to IL-4 treatment alone (p<0.05; Figure 3B), which may explain why the highest levels of Arg-1 and Fizz-1 gene expression were observed when both agents were used in combination (Figure 1A and B). It is interesting to note that the changes in STAT6 phosphorylation (Figure 3) were not quantitatively equivalent to the corresponding changes in gene expression (Figure 1), indicating either amplification of effects downstream of STAT6, the selection of non-optimal time points for analysis, or the semi-quantitative nature of western blotting.

Turning to STAT3, other groups have shown its involvement in the signaling pathway induced by HDL and ApoA1 [9]. Also, in cardiomyocytes, HDL induces the phosphorylation of STAT3 on both tyrosine 705 and serine 727 [25]. To investigate whether the effects of HDL on Arg-1 and Fizz-1 expression in macrophages involved STAT3 activation, the same type of experiment conducted to assess STAT6 phosphorylation was performed. The canonical pathway for STAT3 activation is induced by IL-10 [26], so cells were treated with this cytokine as a positive control. As previously shown in other cell types, IL-4 was able to induce the phosphorylation of STAT3 [27]. However, and in contrast to what has been published [25], HDL failed to activate STAT3 either alone or in combination with IL-4 (Figure 3C), suggesting that this effect may be tissue-specific. This last result is also consistent with the lack of effect of HDL on IL-4r expression (Figure 1C), as IL-4r expression is regulated by the IL-10/STAT3 pathway [28].

Overall, the results suggest that in BMDM, STAT6, but not STAT3, is involved in the induction of Arg-1 and Fizz-1 by HDL. In addition, to our knowledge, the results show for the first time the ability of HDL to promote STAT6 phosphorylation.

Figure 3. HDL induces STAT6 phosphorylation and enhances IL-4-induced STAT6 activation, but has no effect on STAT3 phosphorylation status. BMDM were grown as previously described and stimulated for 15min with either HDL alone (50μg/mL), IL-4 alone (10ng/mL) or both. Phosphorylation state for STAT6 (A) and STAT3 (C) was assessed by western blot. As a positive control for STAT3 activation, cells were treated with IL-10 (10ng/mL) for 30 min. Signal quantification for phospho-STAT6 (B) is the result of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference, either compared to control, or between 2 conditions when linked by a bar (*p<0.05, **p<0.01).

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074676.g003
STAT6 deficiency impairs the induction of Arg-1 and Fizz-1 by either HDL or IL-4

The fact that STAT6 phosphorylation is promoted by HDL suggests, but does not prove, that this is required for HDL’s effects on Arg-1 and Fizz-1. To directly test this, we examined Arg-1 and Fizz-1 gene expression under conditions where the STAT6 pathway was inhibited genetically by isolating BMDM from STAT6−/− mice. As shown in Figure 4A and B and, as expected, Arg-1 and Fizz-1 induction by IL-4 was significantly blunted by deficiency of STAT6, but notably, so was the induction by HDL. As noted above, STAT6 phosphorylation is mediated by JAK kinases, of which there are 3 isoforms: 1, 2 and 3. Ruxolitinib and TG101348 are chemical inhibitors of Jak1/2 and Jak2, respectively. Kaempferol is a natural polyphenol compound that has been shown to specifically block IL-4-induced STAT6 phosphorylation through the selective inhibition of JAK3 activity [29]. The canonical pathway for IL-4 induced STAT6 phosphorylation typically involves JAK1 and JAK3 [30], though JAK2 can also participate (e.g., [31]).

For IL-4’s induction of Arg-1 and Fizz-1, the data show that either the JAK1/2 (Ruxolinib) or JAK3 (Kaempferol) inhibitor blunted the effect (Figure 5). The JAK2 inhibitor (TG101348) impaired IL-4-induced Arg-1, but not Fizz-1 expression, implying that the effects of the JAK1/2 inhibitor on Arg-1 and Fizz-1 induction were attributable to its anti-JAK2 and anti-JAK1 activities, respectively. For HDL, its induction of Arg-1 appeared to involve mainly JAK2. In contrast, the most potent effect on HDL induction of Fizz-1 was attributable to JAK1, given the significant decrease with the JAK1/2, but not the JAK2 or JAK3, inhibitor.

Overall, the results in Figures 4 and 5 suggest that the effects of HDL, and, as expected, of IL-4 on Arg-1 and Fizz-1 gene expression require STAT6, but that the pattern of kinases used for its activation differs not only by inducing agent, but also by gene, again highlighting variations in the regulation of Arg-1 and Fizz-1.

Discussion

Macrophages are central to atherosclerotic progression and regression, and their inflammatory state greatly influences the course of the disease [32]. HDL is thought to dampen macrophage responses to pro-inflammatory stimuli [8–10]. This property of HDL is often attributed to its capacity to promote cholesterol efflux, which could re-organize micro-domains of the plasma membrane, thereby affecting the functional properties of components of inflammatory pathways (e.g., the TLRs) [8,10]. Because a number of proteins [33] and lipids [34] carried on HDL particles may also influence inflammation, there may be other mechanisms by which HDL blunts the responses of activated macrophages (termed M1) in the plaque.

In addition to blunting macrophage activation, which we have also observed (Figure 2), another potential anti-inflammatory property of HDL to consider is the promotion of macrophage polarization to the “alternatively activated” M2 state. M2 macrophages not only are low producers of inflammatory substances, such as IL-6 and TNFα, they are high producers of IL-10, a most potent anti-inflammatory cytokine [35]. The present study shows for the first time that HDL is able to drive macrophages in vitro towards an anti-inflammatory state, as shown by the induction of two major M2 markers, Arg-1 and Fizz-1. These effects depended on STAT6, which is also employed by a classical inducer of the M2 state, IL-4 [22]. Thus, HDL simultaneously inhibits the basal and IFNγ-induced expression (often STAT1-dependent [36]) of M1 markers, such as iNOS, IL-6 and TNFα. Antagonism between the STAT1 and STAT3 pathways is well described, notably in cardiovascular diseases [37], but reciprocal regulation between STAT1 and STAT6 is an emerging field [38], and our results may be reflecting such a phenomenon mediated by HDL with regard to macrophage inflammatory state.

The present results also showed that HDL can potentiate the effect of IL-4 on Arg-1. This potentiation by HDL can be most simply explained by the increase in IL-4-induced STAT6 phosphorylation (which reflects its activation) when both factors are present.
were used to treat cells, despite Fizz-1 induction not being similarly affected (though it tended to be highest in the combined IL-4/HDL condition). The fact that the combined effects of HDL and IL-4 on STAT6 phosphorylation were greater than either alone suggested that, each one could be stimulating STAT6 phosphorylation by different mechanisms. This was supported by studies using selective JAK inhibitors.

Figure 5. Jak inhibition affects macrophages alternative activation by HDL. BMDM were pre-treated for 2h with Jak pharmacological inhibitors (hatched bars: Ruxolitinib/Jak1/2 inhibitor: 1\mu M; TG101348/Jak2 inhibitor: 1\mu M; Kaempferol/Jak3 inhibitor: 40\mu M) or vehicle (black bars), and then treated for 6h with HDL (50\mu g/mL) or IL-4 (10ng/mL). Arg-1 (A, C, E) and Fizz-1 (B, D, F) expression are presented as the percentage of activation compared to non-inhibited conditions. The induction of Arg-1 and Fizz-1 by HDL was ~2X for each, and for IL-4 was >300 for Arg-1 and Fizz-1 and these values were set to 100%. Results are representative of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences compared to the vehicle-treated conditions (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001).

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074676.g005
indicating that, while IL-4 mainly activates JAK1 and JAK3, HDL likely induces the activation of JAK1 and JAK2.

We also investigated whether the effects of HDL were through STAT3, because work by Oram and colleagues had shown for the major protein component of HDL, apoAI, that when it is in a lipid-poor state it can stimulate STAT3 phosphorylation through interaction with ABCA1 [9,39]. STAT3 has also been linked indirectly to M2 polarization by being able to induce expression of a subunit of the IL-4 receptor [28]. As shown in Figure 3, however, we found no evidence that HDL promotes STAT3 phosphorylation, despite its containing apoAI. The simplest explanation for this is that typical HDL particles (e.g., HDL3) do not interact significantly with ABCA1 because the conformation of apoAI on such a spherical particle is far different from what it is in the lipid-poor state [40]. It is interesting to imagine how HDL modulates the STAT6 phosphorylation required for the induction of the genes encoding the M2 markers Arg-1 and Fizz-1. Based on work from the laboratories of Alan Tall and John Parks on HDL effects on TLR signaling [10,11], it is likely related to the interaction of HDL with the plasma membrane removing cholesterol through transporter dependent and independent mechanisms. This results in changes in membrane microdomains, particularly in lipid rafts that serve as signaling platforms where many proteins are anchored. Indeed, the activation state and responsiveness of some of these proteins have been shown to be significantly affected by lipid raft modification due to cholesterol efflux from the plasma membrane [10,11]. Particularly relevant to the present findings is the ‘raft-STAT signaling’ hypothesis, in which Patel and colleagues have provided data that an appreciable percent of total cytoplasmic STATs are associated with lipid rafts, suggesting that this pool is more likely to display altered activation whenever its membrane micro-environment is affected [41].

Consistent with these considerations are preliminary data from experiments using BMDM prepared from ABCG1-deficient mice. ABCG1 preferentially facilitates cholesterol efflux to HDL particles (vs. the ABCA1/ApoAI-mediated efflux pathway), and the induction of Arg-1 expression by HDL was inhibited by ~75% in ABCG1-/- BMDM. That efflux-related phenomena were relevant to the present results is also supported by the natural cholesterol-loading of bone marrow-derived cells that occurs upon incubation with 10% FBS during their differentiation in vitro [42]. When these cells are then exposed to HDL, cholesterol efflux would rapidly commence and continue during the treatment period.

In summary, in vivo and in vitro studies have emphasized the protective role of HDL in atherosclerosis, but recent clinical studies have emphasized it is not the level of HDL cholesterol that is necessarily beneficial, it is the functionality of the HDL particles [43,44]. Our results extend HDL functionality into a novel direction and provide a framework to delve deeper into the associated mechanisms. In addition, the work by us [5,45] and others [46] that M2 macrophages are associated both with atherosclerosis regression and delayed progression in mouse models, suggest that the role of HDL in macrophage polarization is likely to be clinically relevant.
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