Using bioelectrical impedance analysis for modeling regression and predicting body fat accumulation in dogs in East Thailand
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Abstract

Background and Aim: Obesity in dogs leads to several health problems, such as premature death, and contributes to other diseases. Recently, body fat percentage has been considered to represent the body condition of dogs, and bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) is the most effective method for accurately measuring body fat in dogs. In Thailand, information on the body condition of dogs is limited, and there is no standard body fat level for Thai or mongrel dogs. This study was designed to evaluate and analyze the body fat percentage in dogs through BIA using a handheld instrument. The results of this study can help enhance the quality of life and health of dogs and aid in setting a standard body fat level for Thai or mongrel dogs.

Materials and Methods: The body fat percentage of 340 Thai and mongrel dogs in East Thailand was measured in the standing position, and the body condition score (BCS) (range, 1–5), sex, sterilization status, age, type of diet, and lifestyle were recorded. A linear regression model was developed to compare the variables and the predicted body fat percentage, and multiple linear regressions were used to analyze the factors for body fat increment.

Results: The linear regression model used to estimate the percentage of body fat (y) for each BCS (x) was y = 0.84 + 8.36x (R²=0.7219; p < 0.0001); the average body fat percentage was 27.52% for all studied dogs; specifically, 24.83% for the Thai Bangkaw, 26.42% for the Thai Ridgeback, and 27.65% for mongrels. The median body fat percentage was significantly higher in female than in male dogs. We found that as age increases, body fat percentage also increases; this increasing trend begins at the age of 5 years. However, increasing the level of activity and decreasing meal frequency leads to an increase in body fat percentage in neutered male dogs.

Conclusion: The average body fat percentage of dogs in East Thailand is 27.52% and this value is expected to increase when these dogs reach the age of 5 years. BIA is a valid and effective measurement tool for detecting the body fat percentage in dogs.
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Introduction

Humans are considered overweight and obese when the body accumulates an excessive amount of fat. Overweight is defined as a body mass index (BMI) of ≥ 25 kg/m², and obesity is defined as a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m². It is estimated that 38% of the world’s adult population will be overweight and 20% will be obese by 2030 [1]. Previously, overweight or obesity in dogs has been determined on the basis of body weight and body condition score (BCS; a 9-point scale), both of which are common methods for assessing the nutritional status or body weight of small animals. Dogs are generally classified as overweight when they weigh 10%–20% over their ideal body weight and are considered obese if their weights are >20% [2].

BCS is measured using visual and palpation techniques; dogs are categorized as overweight and obese when they have a BCS of 4 and 5 levels, respectively [3]. Even though BCS is a recognized parameter for assessing the nutritional status of small animals, it is subjective because the evaluation uses visual and palpation techniques. Therefore, several tools have been developed and used to measure the body fat percentage in humans and animals that help enable the precise measurement of fat levels in less time and at a low cost. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) and BCS [4, 5] are additional methods for estimating body fat in dogs; however, DEXA is difficult to perform in clinical practice and involves exposing patients to radiation. At present, bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) is probably the best tool for measuring animal body fat. This method involves introducing a
weak electrical current and measuring the resistance. BIA can be used regardless of the breed or body size of the animal and is particularly useful in monitoring dog obesity and health [6]. Both overweight and obesity in dogs are complex disorders [7, 8] and can cause respiratory problems [9]. A recent study determined that overweight and obesity can affect the serum concentration of symmetric dimethylarginine [10]. Dog obesity causes several health problems and contributes to other disorders, such as musculoskeletal problems, respiratory distress, heart disease, and diabetes mellitus [11–16]. The most common cause of obesity is excessive energy intake over energy expenditure. In addition, several factors that lead to dogs becoming overweight have been identified, including breed, genetic background, neuter status, orthopedic diseases, type of diet, changes in lifestyle introduced by the owner, and the level of physical activity [2, 17–20]. It is expected that up to 40% of dogs in developed countries are overweight, thus indicating the widespread nature of the problem [21]. At present, it is estimated that > 35% of dogs are obese worldwide [22]. Dog obesity is observed to occur in middle-aged animals aged 5–10 years [2]. Obesity could shorten a dog’s life and increase the risk of several diseases. Overweight dogs have shorter life spans than lean dogs, usually by up to 6–12 months. However, a comprehensive lifetime study on Labrador Retrievers found that being moderately overweight could reduce a dog’s life expectancy by nearly 2 years compared with leaner animals [23]. For companion animal welfare, research on weight control and factors of dog obesity is ongoing [24–27].

According to the Bureau of Disease Control and Veterinary Services, there were approximately 7.3 million dogs in Thailand in 2016. Being overweight or obese threatens a dog’s health; therefore, information on dogs’ body fat is important to enable us to manage overweight or obese dogs in Thailand. This study was designed to analyze the body fat percentage in dogs and design a prediction model using a handheld BIA device (Kao Healthlab IBF-D02, Tokyo, Japan).

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

Animal ethics approval was obtained from the Animal Ethics Committee of Kasetsart University (Approval no. ACKU60-VTN-001, and ACKU60-VTN-002), Bangkok, Thailand.

Study period and location

The study was conducted from August 2015 to January 2018. The samples were collected from eastern region of Thailand. The samples and data were analyzed at Faculty of Veterinary Technology, Kasetsart University.

Animals and study area

The criteria for sampling data were Thai or mongrel dogs, at least 1 year of age, good health, and did not receive any medications other than a heartworm or flea prevention. A sample of 340 dogs were collected from veterinary clinics in the eastern region of Thailand. All dogs were confirmed healthy by veterinarians.

Experimental design

BCS (ranging from 1 to 5), sex, sterilization status, age, type of food, and lifestyle of all dogs in the study were recorded. The dogs were divided into four groups according to sex (i.e., intact male, neutered male, intact female, and spayed female groups); six groups according to age (i.e., 1–2, 3–4, 5–6 years old, 7–8, 9–10, and over 11); six groups according to food type (i.e., dry diet, cooked by owner, dry diet with dog food, dry diet with cooked by owner, canned dog food, and cooked by owner and canned food); four groups according to the frequency of meals per day (i.e., 1 time/day, 2 times/day, 3 times/day, and > 3 times/day); two groups according to the presence or absence of snacks; and three groups according to the frequency of letting activity outside the house area per day (i.e., never, 1, and > 1 time/day).

Body fat percentage was measured using IBF-D02 (Kao Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). This device is mainly used for detecting the body fat percentage in dogs. A study conducted by Stone et al. [5] used this device for detecting the body fat percentage in dogs. Some animal hospitals have used this device as a tool for managing canine diets. Therefore, the reliability of this device is high, and it can accurately detect body fat percentage in dogs. The BIA device was used with all dogs held in the standing position and all four electrodes making direct contact with the skin. The device functions by sending an electric pulse from electrode 1 to electrode 4, whereas electrode 2 sends an electric pulse to electrode 3. Subsequently, 70% alcohol was applied from the dorsal lumbar region to the last rib and 2 cm of the midline of the thoracic vertebrae of the dogs; the hair overlying the epaxial musculature was parted using a comb to expose the underlying skin. Three consecutive readings were obtained for each dog for approximately 60 s.

Five-point BCS was calculated based on the amount of fat covering the rib area assessed through visual inspection and palpation. The 5-point scores were as follows: 1 = very thin, 2 = underweight, 3 = ideal, 4 = overweight, and 5 = obese.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA (version 15.1, Stata Corporation, Texas, USA). Body fat percentage values were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro–Wilks test, and normally distributed data were expressed as means ± standard deviations, whereas non-normally distributed data were presented as medians and ranges. The significance level was tested using the two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann–Whitney) test for analysis between sex types and the Kruskal–Wallis test.
for comparisons of the median body fat percentage between females, males, spayed females, and neutered males. For normally distributed data, the body fat percentage between the age groups of female dogs was analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance, and for the non-normally distributed data, the body fat percentage between the age groups of male dogs and both sexes were analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis test with the statistical level set at $p \leq 0.05$. A linear regression model was used to predict the body fat percentage. Multiple linear regressions were performed using the body fat percentages compared within the aforementioned variables (i.e., age range, type of food, frequency of meals per day, presence or absence of snacks, and frequency of letting activity outside the house area per day). In the multivariate model, variables with a univariate $p \leq 0.10$ by simple linear regression were added. The normality, homoscedasticity, correlation, and multicollinearity of the variables were checked for multiple linear regressions. Quantile regression analysis was used for non-normal distribution; multiple linear regression with robust variance estimates was used to detect heteroscedasticity in the multivariate model.

**Results**

A linear regression model ($y = 0.84 + 8.36x$) was constructed to measure the body fat percentage using mean BCS ($R^2 = 0.7219$; 72.19%). According to this model, each additional increase in the BCS by 1 between scores of 2 and 5 reflected an increase in the body fat percentage by approximately 8.36% using the BIA device (curve slope, 8.36; 95% confidence interval = 7.81–8.92; $p < 0.0001$). Using the linear regression model, the estimated body fat percentages for each BCS class were 17.56%, 25.92%, 34.28%, and 42.64%, respectively (Figure-1). According to the linear regression model, the body fat percentages could be classified into five groups: <17.56%, very thin group; 17.56–25.91%, underweight group; 25.92–34.27%, ideal group; 34.28–42.64%, overweight group; and >42.64%, obese group. The body fat percentages of the dogs in the very thin, underweight, ideal, overweight, and obese groups were 12.65%, 34.41%, 29.12%, 20.59%, and 3.23%, respectively. The underweight group had the highest number (34.41%) of dogs. Neutered male dogs had a higher body fat percentage than intact male dogs, except for those in the underweight group. Spayed female dogs also had a higher body fat percentage than intact female dogs, except for those in the very thin group. The combination of overweight and obese dogs accounted for 23.82% of all dogs in the study, and their classification according to sex was as follows: 17.64% in males, 27.63% in neutered males, 17.33% in intact females, and 30.77% in spayed females (Table-1). The average body fat percentage of all dogs in this study was 27.52%, and the average body fat percentages by breed were as follows: 24.83% in the Thai Bangkaew, 26.42% in the Thai Ridgeback, and 27.65% in mongrels (Table-2).

We compared the body fat percentage between sexes and found that the median body fat percentage was significantly different between female (28%) and male (24.67%) dogs (Table-3). The average body fat percentages in intact female, spayed female, intact male, and neutered male dogs were 27.67%, 28.33%, 24%, and 26.5%, respectively. However, the median body fat percentage in female dogs was significantly higher than that in male dogs, and the median body fat percentage in spayed female dogs was significantly higher than that in male dogs; however, no significant difference in the median body fat percentage was observed between spayed female dogs and neutered male dogs (Table-4).

The body fat percentage of female dogs aged ≥5 years was significantly higher than that of those aged 1–2 years, regardless of the food type and frequency of activity outside the house (Tables-5 and 6); however, this value was not significantly different for those on a dry diet and those eating other foods, after adjusting for other variables (Table-6). The body fat percentage of spayed female dogs fed ≥1 time/day did not significantly differ from that of those in the never letting group (Table-6). Moreover, the body fat percentage of intact male dogs aged ≥5 years was significantly higher than that of those aged 1–2 years, after adjusting for other variables. The body fat percentages of neutered male dogs aged 7–8 years and >11 years were significantly higher than those of neutered male dogs aged 1–2 years, after adjusting for other variables (Tables-5 and 6). Moreover, the body fat percentage of neutered male dogs that had activity outside the house >1 time/day or had snacks were significantly higher than that of those who never had activity outside the house or did not have snacks; however, the body fat percentage of neutered male dogs that had >1 meal/day was significantly lower than that in those who had one meal/day after adjusting for other variables (Tables-5 and 6).
Obesity is a risk factor for other diseases in dogs as well as humans. Analyzing the body fat percentage in dogs is mostly a complicated method. Previously, the most widely accepted and practical method for evaluating body condition was condition scoring through visual assessment and palpation, such as through BCS, morphometric measurements, and BCS using a 9-point scale [4]. This method was performed according to the descriptions and illustrations provided by the World Small Animal Veterinary Association. Recently, several devices have been developed to detect body fat. DEXA uses two X-ray beams at two different energy levels to estimate the bone mineral content and soft-tissue composition. DEXA can be used to estimate the body composition of dogs [28, 29]. However, this device is expensive and has limited practical application in veterinary practice. BIA is a reliable and accessible test for screening body composition in both humans and animals. BIA measures the resistance to an electrical signal through water that is found in muscle and fat. The more muscle a patient has, the more water the patient can hold; the greater the amount of water, the easier it is for the current to flow through it. As the amount of fat increases, the resistance to the current increases as well [30]. BIA is the most effective method for detecting body fat as it is accurate, time and cost-efficient, and easy to use. In this study, we used a handheld BIA device (Kao Healthlab IBF-D02) and the 5-point BCS system as tools to detect the body fat percentage in 340 dogs in Thailand. The results of this study can be used to measure and manage body fat levels in dogs and help develop a standard measurement tool for determining body fat levels in Thai or mongrel dogs.

| Table-1: Percentage of body fat classified by sex of dog. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Sex          | Body fat (%) |  |
|              | <17.56% (Very thin) | 17.56–25.92% (Underweight) | 25.92–34.28% (Ideal) | 34.28–42.64% (Overweight) | >42.64% (Obesity) |
| intact male  | 13 (15.29) | 38 (44.71) | 19 (22.35) | 13 (15.29) | 2 (2.35) |
| neutered male| 16 (21.05) | 20 (26.32) | 19 (25.00) | 18 (23.68) | 3 (3.95) |
| intact female| 8 (10.67)  | 25 (33.33) | 29 (38.67) | 11 (14.67) | 2 (2.66) |
| spayed female| 6 (5.77)   | 34 (32.69) | 32 (30.77) | 28 (26.92) | 4 (3.85) |
| total        | 43 (12.65) | 117 (34.41) | 99 (29.12) | 70 (20.59) | 11 (3.23) |

| Table-2: The average percentage of body fat by dog breed. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Breed         | Thai Ridgeback (n = 4) | Thai Bangkaew (n = 7) | Mongrel (n = 329) | Total (n = 340) |
| Body fat (%)  | 26.42 | 22.48 | 27.65 | 27.52 |

| Table-3: Body fat versus sex of dogs. |
|--------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Body fat (%) | 95% CI difference | p-value |
| n        | Median | Range |
| female (x̄ = 28.71) | 179 | 28 | 14–48 | 1–4.67 | 0.002* |
| male (x̄ = 26.21) | 161 | 24.67 | 12.67–44.67 |

*Significant difference, comparison of medians between groups performed using two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann–Whitney) test and P ≤ 0.05 considered statistically significant.

| Table-4: Comparison of body fat percentage of dogs. |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Body fat (%) | 95% CI | p-value |
| n        | Median | Range |
| female | | | | |
| intact (x̄ = 27.52) | 75 | 27.67* | 14.33–43.33 | 25.16–30 | 0.004* |
| spayed (x̄ = 29.56) | 104 | 28.33* | 14–48 | 26–32.17 |
| male | | | | |
| intact (x̄ = 25.31) | 87 | 24* | 12.67–44.67 | 22–25.42 |
| neutered (x̄ = 27.27) | 74 | 26.5 | 12.67–44.67 | 21.85–30.25 |

*Significant difference, comparison of medians between groups, performed using Kruskal–Wallis test and *a,b*comparison of medians between groups performed using two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann–Whitney) test. P ≤ 0.05 considered statistically significant (*p = 0.0356, bp = 0.0003).*
Table-5: Body fat (%) of male and female dogs in all variables.

| Variable                      | Female |                                       | Male   |                                       |
|-------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------|
|                               | Intact | Spayed                                 | Neutered |                                   |
|                               | n      | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | n      | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | n      | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | n      | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) |
| Age range                     |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |
| 1–2 years                     | 38     | 25.06 (6.43) | 24.33 (9.67) | 48    | 25.85 (6.60) | 25.17 (9.66) | 27    | 22.27 (5.31) | 21.33 (7.33) | 31    | 22.78 (7.10) | 20.67 (10.00) |
| 3–4 years                     | 15     | 26.31 (6.34) | 27.33 (13.67)| 15    | 27.18 (6.84) | 26.00 (4.66) | 23    | 27.04 (10.34) | 23.67 (20.33)| 14    | 20.74 (6.16) | 17.67 (10.33) |
| 5–6 years                     | 6      | 31.17 (7.24) | 33.67 (4.33) | 10    | 32.73 (7.46) | 34.67 (13.67)| 12    | 24.11 (8.02)  | 23.17 (14.50)| 14    | 33.12 (7.48) | 34.50 (12.34) |
| 7–8 years                     | 9      | 34.78 (6.57) | 33.67 (5.33) | 14    | 35.05 (7.34) | 36.67 (6.33) | 8     | 30.50 (5.27)  | 30.50 (8.17) | 5     | 38.27 (5.57) | 41.00 (1.00)  |
| 9–10 years                    | 2      | 34.67 (1.89) | 34.67 (2.67) | 7     | 34.43 (9.10) | 34.00 (17.67)| 6     | 27.44 (5.01)  | 25.83 (6.33) | 6     | 30.83 (7.79) | 32.33 (11.00)|
| ≥ 11 years                    | 5      | 29.60 (4.05) | 32.33 (6.33) | 10    | 36.67 (7.30) | 38.50 (7.67) | 9     | 24.89 (5.43)  | 24.00 (5.67) | 6     | 39.61 (5.11) | 40.67 (4.67)  |
| Food type                     |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |
| Dry diet                      | 12     | 25.22 (5.76) | 24.50 (8.50) | 14    | 28.29 (9.12) | 29.00 (17.00)| 8     | 23.00 (5.88)  | 21.00 (6.50) | 16    | 23.21 (9.26) | 19.33 (13.00)|
| Cooked by owner               | 18     | 26.32 (7.44) | 25.00 (12.34)| 32    | 31.58 (8.04) | 32.83 (14.00)| 32    | 22.83 (6.77)  | 21.33 (9.17) | 24    | 29.07 (9.54) | 26.83 (19.00)|
| Dry diet with food dog        | 9      | 22.52 (6.62) | 20.33 (7.66) | 5     | 33.67 (6.46) | 35.00 (5.34)| 1     | 24.67 (N/A)   | 24.67 (N/A)  | 0     | N/A         | N/A         |
| Cooked by owner               | 34     | 30.60 (6.24) | 32.00 (7.66) | 51    | 27.90 (7.55) | 27.00 (11.33)| 42    | 27.57 (8.27)  | 27.50 (12.00)| 34    | 28.08 (8.98) | 27.83 (15.33)|
| Canned dog food              | 1      | 28.33 (N/A) | 28.33 (N/A)  | 0     | N/A         | N/A       | 0     | N/A         | N/A       | 0     | N/A         | N/A         |
| Cooked by owner and canned   | 0      | N/A        | N/A          | 1     | 48.00 (N/A) | 48.00 (N/A)| 1     | 23.00 (N/A)  | 23.00 (N/A) | 0     | N/A         | N/A         |
| Meal/day                      |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |
| 1 time/day                    | 19     | 23.81 (6.71) | 21.00 (10.00)| 22    | 29.38 (9.32) | 26.50 (15.67)| 9     | 21.78 (6.57)  | 19.33 (9.33) | 16    | 33.81 (10.07) | 38.83 (17.00)|
| 2 times/day                   | 37     | 28.27 (4.63) | 28.33 (10.67)| 69    | 29.51 (7.81) | 28.33 (11.00)| 53    | 24.92 (7.80)  | 23.00 (10.67)| 47    | 25.90 (8.91) | 25.67 (13.00)|
| 3 times/day                   | 8      | 27.38 (8.30) | 29.67 (13.33)| 6     | 26.06 (7.99) | 26.50 (11.00)| 7     | 30.86 (7.91)  | 32.67 (11.33)| 4     | 27.50 (5.78) | 27.33 (8.00) |
| > 3 times/day                 | 8      | 32.17 (7.76) | 32.83 (5.50) | 5     | 32.20 (8.32) | 34.67 (6.67)| 15    | 26.64 (6.07)  | 24.33 (7.67) | 7     | 23.62 (7.26) | 18.67 (14.00)|
| Snacks                        |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |
| No                            | 46     | 27.17 (6.74) | 28.00 (11.67)| 94    | 28.92 (8.02) | 28.00 (11.67)| 65    | 24.93 (7.99)  | 23.67 (10.67)| 37    | 31.23 (8.54) | 31.00 (14.00)|
| Yes                           | 8      | 29.71 (9.64) | 32.17 (16.33)| 4     | 29.75 (5.42) | 29.84 (8.84)| 12    | 26.22 (7.08)  | 25.33 (12.67)| 6     | 37.72 (6.04) | 37.67 (9.00) |
| Letting activity outside house|        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |        |            |               |
| Never                         | 58     | 28.63 (6.73) | 28.67 (10.67)| 90    | 28.68 (7.83) | 27.67 (11.67)| 67    | 24.43 (7.71)  | 23.00 (9.33) | 69    | 26.48 (9.30) | 26.00 (16.00)|
| 1 time/day                    | 7      | 24.52 (9.20) | 21.67 (18.67)| 7     | 39.14 (5.27) | 37.67 (7.66)| 4     | 26.42 (5.65)  | 27.17 (10.00)| 4     | 33.83 (7.46) | 34.83 (12.00)|
| > 1 time/day                  | 3      | 27.89 (7.15) | 31.33 (13.00)| 7     | 31.33 (8.69) | 33.33 (14.33)| 13    | 29.10 (7.41)  | 28.67 (9.67) | 3     | 37.33 (2.85) | 37.00 (5.66) |
Table 6: Estimation of body fat (%) using the multivariable regression analysis.

| Variable                        | Female |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                |        | Female   |          |          | Male     |          |          |          |
|                                |        | Intact<sup>a</sup> | Spayed<sup>b</sup> |        | Intact<sup>a</sup> | Neutered<sup>c</sup> |        |          |
| Constant                       | 21.667 (1.778) | <0.001 | 26.238 (1.894) | <0.001 | 24.000 (1.053) | 31.421 (3.005) | <0.001 |          |
| Age range                      |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 1-2 years                      | Reference |        | Reference |        | 1-2 years | Reference |        | Reference |
| 3-4 years                      | 0 (N/A) | 1.000 | 0.451 (2.083) | 0.829 | 1.333 (1.852) | 0.472 | 0.839 (5.805) | 0.886 |
| 5-6 years                      | 6.667 (2.061) | 0.001 | 7.102 (2.447) | 0.005 | 7.000 (2.272) | 0.002 | 5.130 (3.607) | 0.166 |
| 7-8 years                      | 10.333 (2.326) | <0.001 | 7.887 (2.180) | <0.001 | 9.333 (2.197) | <0.001 | 8.485 (2.487) | 0.002 |
| 9-10 years                     | 9.000 (2.899) | 0.002 | 8.494 (2.822) | 0.003 | 6.000 (2.959) | 0.044 | 1.995 (4.198) | 0.638 |
| ≥11 years                      | 7.667 (2.481) | 0.002 | 9.257 (2.813) | 0.001 | 5.000 (2.445) | 0.042 | 10.985 (2.950) | 0.001 |
| Food type                      |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Dry diet                       |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Owner cook                     | -0.667 (2.019) | 0.742 | 1.536 (2.280) | 0.502 | 4.333 (2.655) | 0.104 | 2.476 (2.531) | 0.336 |
| Dry diet with food dog         | -1.333 (3.499) | 0.704 | 1.929 (3.785) | 0.612 | 2.333 (2.357) | 0.323 | 6.423 (1.763) | 0.001 |
| Dry diet with owner cook       | 3.667 (1.895) | 0.054 | -1.781 (2.116) | 0.402 | Meal/day |          |          |          |
| Canned dog food                | -1.000 (10.148) | 0.922 | N/A | N/A | 1 time/day |          |          |          |
| Owner cook and canned food     | -7.667 (10.232) | 0.455 | 7.172 (7.817) | 0.365 | 2 times/day |          |          |          |
| Letting activity outside house |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Never                          |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 1 time/day                     | 5.334 (3.277) | 0.107 | Snacks |          |          |          |          |          |
| >1 time/day                    | 0.005 (2.795) | 0.999 | No |          |          |          |          |          |
| Letting activity outside house |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Never                          |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 1 time/day                     |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| >1 time/day                    |        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |

Statistical analysis by quantile regression (a), multiple linear regression (b) and multiple linear regression with robust variance estimates (c). Comparison of mean or median of body fat between variable and reference. p ≤ 0.05 were considered significant and N/A is not applicable.
The body fat percentage in dogs can be estimated by BIA, particularly the IBF-D02 model. It was determined to be a valid and effective measurement tool for predicting the body fat percentage in dogs. The linear model for predicting body fat percentages (y) by BCS (x), y = 0.84+8.36x, will be useful for clinicians and dog owners to estimate the body fat percentage in dogs and could be used as a prediction model for stratified medicine research and treatment strategies. The 9-point BCS scale, food intake, volume of energy utilization, and type of snack should be applied to a linear model to increase the accuracy of this model in future studies.

Conclusion

The body fat percentage in dogs can be estimated by BIA, particularly the IBF-D02 model. It was determined to be a valid and effective measurement tool for predicting the body fat percentage in dogs. The linear model for predicting body fat percentages (y) by BCS (x), y = 0.84+8.36x, will be useful for clinicians and dog owners to estimate the body fat percentage in dogs and could be used as a prediction model for stratified medicine research and treatment strategies. The 9-point BCS scale, food intake, volume of energy utilization, and type of snack should be applied to a linear model to increase the accuracy of this model in future studies.
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