Effect of resonant magnetic perturbations on low collisionality discharges in MAST and a comparison with ASDEX Upgrade
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Abstract
Sustained edge localized mode (ELM) mitigation has been achieved on MAST and AUG using resonant magnetic perturbations (RMPs) with various toroidal mode numbers over a wide range of low to medium collisionality discharges. The ELM energy loss and peak heat loads at the divertor targets have been reduced. The ELM mitigation phase is typically associated with a drop in plasma density and overall stored energy. In one particular scenario on MAST, by carefully adjusting the fuelling it has been possible to counteract the drop in density and to produce plasmas with mitigated ELMs, reduced peak divertor heat flux and with minimal degradation in pedestal height and confined energy. While the applied resonant magnetic perturbation field \( b_{res} \) can be a good indicator for the onset of ELM mitigation on MAST and AUG there are some cases where this is not the case and which clearly emphasize the need to take into account the plasma response to the applied perturbations. The plasma response calculations show that the increase in ELM frequency is correlated with the size of the edge peeling-tearing like response of the plasma and the distortions of the plasma boundary in the X-point region. In many cases the RMPs act to increase the frequency of type I ELMs, however, there are examples where the type I ELMs are suppressed and there is a transition to a small or type IV ELM-ing regime.
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1. Introduction
So-called type I edge localized modes (ELMs) are explosive events, which can eject large amounts of energy and particles from the confined region [1]. In the ITER baseline \( Q \sim 10 \) scenario (where \( Q \) is ratio of the power produced by the fusion reactions divided by the input power), which has a plasma current \( I_p \) of 15 MA, the expected natural ELM frequency \( f_{ELM} \) is \( \sim 1 \) Hz with an energy loss per ELM \( \Delta W_{ELM} \sim 20 \) MJ [2]. In order to ensure an adequate lifetime of the ITER divertor targets the maximum ELM energy flux that can be repetitively deposited is 0.5 MJ m\(^{-2}\) [3]. The observation from current devices that the ELM size multiplied by the ELM frequency remains a constant fraction of the input power \( P_{in} \) (i.e. \( \Delta W_{ELM} \times f_{ELM} = (0.3-0.4) \times P_{in} \) [4]) and that the natural ELM frequency scales with plasma current as \( f_{ELM} \propto I_p^{-1.8} \) [4] can be combined with assumptions on the possible changes in the power deposition profile and the sharing between targets to calculate a mitigated ELM frequency required to keep the divertor energy flux density below 0.5 MJ m\(^{-2}\) as a function of \( I_p \) [5]. Such calculations show that for discharges with \( I_p > 8 \) MA some form of ELM mitigation (increase in ELM frequency over the natural value) is required [5]. In addition it has also been observed on ASDEX Upgrade [6, 7] that during operations with a tungsten (W) divertor a minimum ELM frequency is required to ensure that the W accumulation at the edge remains acceptable. Avoidance of both damage to the divertor targets and W accumulation...
leads to a requirement that the ELM frequency is increased by a factor of \( \sim 3–40 \) over the natural ELM frequency as \( I_P \) is increased from 5–15 MA (see [8] and references therein). Hence a mechanism is required to either increase the ELM frequency or to eliminate ELMs altogether accompanied by sufficient particle transport in order to avoid W accumulation. One such amelioration mechanism relies on perturbing the magnetic field in the edge plasma region, either leading to more frequent smaller ELMs (ELM mitigation) or ELM suppression. This technique of resonant magnetic perturbations (RMPs) has been employed to either mitigate or suppress type I ELMs on DIII-D [9, 10], JET [11], ASDEX Upgrade [12], KSTAR [13] and MAST [14].

MAST and AUG are equipped with two rows of in-vessel RMP coils (MAST: has 6 in the upper row and 12 in the lower row, AUG: 8 coils in both rows), which allow magnetic perturbations with a range of toroidal mode numbers (MAST: \( n_{RMP} = 2, 3, 4, 6 \), AUG: \( n_{RMP} = 1, 2, 4 \)) to be applied. In this paper new results from MAST and ASDEX Upgrade at low pedestal top collisionality (\( q^* < 1.0 \)) will be presented. In section 2 examples of the effect that applying RMPs with a range of toroidal mode numbers has on the ELM frequency will be reviewed. Section 3 discusses the advantages and disadvantages of ELM mitigation using RMPs. Section 4 describes an attempt on MAST to minimize the major disadvantage: namely, the density pump-out, whilst maintaining the increase in ELM frequency. In section 5 the parameters determining the onset of ELM mitigation are studied, while section 6 examines what happens to the ELM type during mitigation.

2. Examples of ELM mitigation on MAST and ASDEX Upgrade

ELM mitigation has been established on MAST in a range of plasmas using RMPs with toroidal mode numbers of \( n_{RMP} = 3, 4 \) or 6 in both lower single null (LSND) [15] and connected double null (CDN) [16] magnetic configurations. Due to the ITER relevance of the LSND configuration, in the 2013 MAST campaign the discharge that was mostly used for the RMP experiments was based on a LSND H-mode plasma with a plasma current \( I_P = 400 \) kA, which has an on-axis toroidal magnetic field \( B_{Te} = 0.55 \) T, an edge safety factor \( q_{95} = 3.8 \) heated with 3.6 MW of NBI power. Typical values at the pedestal top are: electron density \( n_e^{\text{ped}} = (1.0 – 4.0) \times 10^{19} \) m\(^{-3} \), the electron temperature \( T_e^{\text{ped}} = 150–250 \) eV corresponding to electron collisionality (\( \nu_T^e \))=0.3–0.8, where the collisionality is calculated following [17] as

\[
\nu_T^e = 6.921 \times 10^{-18} \frac{R q_{95} Z_{\text{eff}} \ln \Lambda_e}{e^{1/2} T_e},
\]

where \( R \) is the major radius in m, \( q_{95} \) is the safety factor at 95% of flux surface and where \( \varepsilon \) is the inverse aspect ratio. \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective ion charge, \( n_e \) the electron density in m\(^{-3} \) and \( T_e \) the temperature in eV evaluated at the top of the pedestal. \( \Lambda_e \) is the Coulomb logarithm defined by \( \ln \Lambda_e = 31.3 - \ln(\sqrt{\nu_T^e / T_e}) \).

The previous LSND studies on MAST [15] used a reference discharge with \( I_P = 600 \) kA, which had a lower central \( q \). Throughout the H-mode period, this discharge had sawtooth activity; this coupled to the edge and resulted in an ELM simultaneous with the sawtooth crash. The lower \( I_P \) discharge presented here has no sawtooth activity before 0.5 s, which allows a period of H-mode unaffected by core activity. Figure 1 shows an example of the effect that the application of RMPs with toroidal mode number \( n_{RMP} = 2, 3, 4, 6 \) has on this discharge. The change in ELM behaviour can be seen from the changes in the \( D_e \) emission when the current in the in-vessel coils (figure 1(a)) is applied for different RMP configurations. Also shown is the enhanced particle transport, or so-called density pump-out (figure 1(b)), which occurs when RMPs are applied as well as the braking of the toroidal rotation velocity at the top of the pedestal (figure 1(c)). For the \( n_{RMP} = 2 \) and 3 perturbations the effect of the magnetic perturbations are so large that they leads to a back transition to L-mode. In some cases this is due to the extent of the density pump-out whilst in others it is due to changes in the toroidal velocity profiles. Studies on DIII-D have shown [18] how a large reduction in the \( E \times B \) shear in the core can lead to an increase in turbulent particle transport and hence could lead to a back transition. Studies on the effect that RMPs applied before the L–H transition have on the power required to access H-mode (\( P_{H} \)) in MAST have shown a non-monotonic increase with \( n_{RMP} \) [19], with the least impact on the power required to access H-mode occurring for \( n_{RMP} = 4 \) [20]. An analysis of the effect of RMPs on \( P_{H} \) in DIII-D [21] and a cross machine comparison [22] suggested that \( P_{H} \) may only be affected when the resonant perturbation is above a critical value.

On ASDEX Upgrade complete suppression of type I ELMs has been achieved at high density [12] but there have been fewer studies of discharges at low collisionality.
The AUG B-coil set [12] can apply RMPs with a range of toroidal mode numbers \( n_{\text{RMP}} = 1, 2 \) and 4. Figure 2 shows examples of the effect that the application of RMPs with toroidal mode number \( n_{\text{RMP}} = 2, 4 \) have on a LSND H-mode plasma with an ELM frequency of up to 800 Hz. Similar to what is observed on MAST, the toroidal rotation at the top of the pedestal (\( \psi_R \)) and the divertor currents for discharges with RMPs in a (d) \( n = 2 \) (black) and (e) \( n = 4 \) (blue) configuration.

3. Pros and cons of ELM mitigation

Figures 4(a) and (e) show the ELM frequency \( f_{\text{ELM}} \) versus the energy loss per ELM \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} \), derived from the change in plasma stored energy calculated from equilibrium reconstruction, for the natural and mitigated ELMs in MAST and AUG respectively. The application of the RMPs produces an increase in \( f_{\text{ELM}} \) and corresponding decrease in \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} \) consistent with \( f_{\text{ELM}}, \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} = \text{const} \) (represented by the dashed curves on the figures). In the case of AUG the increase in ELM frequency is up to a factor of 10 and the ELM size drops to less than 2 kJ per ELM, which is at the limit of the resolution of the calculated plasma stored energy.

In order to avoid damage to in-vessel components in future devices, such as ITER, the peak heat flux density \( q_{\text{peak}} \) at the divertor during the ELM is more important than \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} \). The divertor heat fluxes on MAST and AUG have been measured using infrared thermography. Figures 4(b) and (d) show \( q_{\text{peak}} \) at the outer target for MAST and inner target for AUG as a function of \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} \). The reason for the difference in the choice of divertor target monitored is due to the fact that on MAST the majority of the ELM energy arrives at the outer target [24] while on AUG the majority of the energy arrives at the inner target [25], however, both divertors see a similar effect with the application of the RMPs. The increase in ELM frequency and decrease in \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} \) does lead to reduced heat fluxes at the target; the decrease is not linear due to the target wetted area [26] getting smaller as the ELM size is reduced. These results are more favourable than the results obtained in JET with a carbon wall [27], where it was found that the reduction in wetted area was such that the peak heat flux was not mitigated. The MAST results show that for the same ELM frequency, the reduction in the ELM energy loss and peak heat load on the divertor plates is approximately the same for all RMP configurations.

While the reduction in peak heat flux is important the more relevant quantity for material limits is the heat flux factor \( \eta_{\text{ELM}} \), which is defined as the energy of the ELM to the divertor normalized by the wetted area and the square root of the ELM duration [26].

For reference the ITER targets damage is likely to occur for \( \eta_{\text{ELM}} > 40 \text{MJ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-0.5} \) [28]. For the MAST cases discussed here \( \eta_{\text{ELM}} \) decreases from an average value of 0.31 MJ m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-0.5}\) for ELMs with \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} = 8 \text{kJ} \) to 0.17 MJ m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-0.5}\) for \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} = 4 \text{kJ} \) [29]. Similarly in the cases of the AUG discharges \( \eta_{\text{ELM}} \) decreases from 0.35 MJ m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-0.5}\) for \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} = 40 \text{kJ} \) to 0.09 MJ m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-0.5}\) for \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} = 10 \text{kJ} \). Whilst in both cases the values are well below the material limit they do show that a significant reduction in the heat flux factor can be achieved.

One of the disadvantages of ELM mitigation using RMPs relates to the density pump-out. Figure 5 shows the effect of...
that RMPs in an \( n_{\text{RMP}} = 6 \) configuration in MAST and an \( n_{\text{RMP}} = 2 \) configuration in AUG have on the radial profiles of the electron temperature and density. The profiles were obtained in the last 10% of the ELM cycle. The RMPs lead to a similar reduction in the density profile and leave the electron temperature effectively unchanged. This reduction in density at constant electron temperature means that the overall plasma stored energy is reduced by between 20% and 30%. Hence there is a price to pay in confinement for the reduced target heat loads and therefore it is important to find ways of minimizing this density pump-out.

4. Minimizing the effects of the density pump-out on MAST

Figures 6(d) and (e) show the \( D_\alpha \) traces for a pair of shots on MAST that have \( I_{\text{ELM}} = 0 \) or 4 kAt with the RMPs in an \( n_{\text{RMP}} = 6 \) configuration, where there is no gas fuelling during the H-mode period. As the RMPs are applied the type I ELM frequency increases from the natural value of \( f_{\text{ELM}} = 50 \text{ Hz} \) for \( I_{\text{ELM}} = 0 \) to \( f_{\text{ELM}} = 150 \text{ Hz} \) for \( I_{\text{ELM}} = 4 \text{ kAt} \) and the density decreases, eventually leading to a back transition to L-mode. This is because the power to remain in H-mode (\( P_{\text{L-H}} \)) has a minimum value at a certain density and below this density the power required to stay in H-mode rises sharply [30]. Figure 6(f) shows a discharge in which this minimum density has been avoided by increasing the density feedback set point in the plasma control system. As the pump-out begins the gas fuelling increases (figure 6(e)) and the drop in the density is arrested leading to sustained ELM mitigation. To demonstrate the strong correlation on MAST between density transport and the size of the applied perturbation the discharge has been repeated with a higher RMP field strength using \( I_{\text{ELM}} = 4.8 \text{ kAt} \). For this case the gas refuelling rate required to maintain the same density increases (figures 6(c) and (g)).

While it was possible to maintain the plasma density using this technique, it was not possible to refuel the discharge to the non-RMP density without the gas refuelling rate being so high that it substantially degraded the temperature pedestal. Instead an alternative method was used whereby a constant gas puff was used combined with a slow ramp of the RMP field. The gas fuelling rate was adjusted to compensate the pump-out. Figure 7 shows a pair of discharges without and with RMPs in an \( n_{\text{RMP}} = 6 \) configuration with a constant gas puff fuelling rate in the shot with the RMPs applied. The ELM frequency increases from \(~60\) to \(~230\) Hz while the line average density is kept constant. To investigate the effect of the gas puff rate on the natural ELM frequency the RMP off shot was repeated with the gas puff rate the same as in the RMP on shot. The density increased by less than 10% and the natural ELM frequency increased to \(~80\) Hz.
Figure 5. The radial profiles of the electron (a), (c) density and (b), (d) temperature obtained from Thomson scattering on MAST and AUG, respectively.

Figure 6. For MAST: (a) the current in the ELM coils ($I_{ELM}$), (b) line average density, (c) the gas puff fuelling rate and the target $D_\alpha$ intensity for discharges (d) without and (e)–(g) with RMPs in $n = 6$ configurations with different fuelling rates.

Figures 8(a) and (b) show that the radial profiles of the electron density and temperature for these two discharges are similar. Figures 8(d) and (e) show that the density lost per ELM and the energy loss per ELM is much smaller in the mitigated case ($\Delta W_{ELM}$ decreases from 8 to 2 kJ and $q_{peak}$ decreases from...
Figure 8. For MAST: the Thomson scattering (a) density and (b) temperature radial profiles, (c) the target D$_\alpha$ intensity, (d) the line average density and (e) the plasma stored energy for discharges without and with RMPs in an $n = 6$ configuration.

10 to 3 MW m$^{-2}$). Figure 8(e) shows that the overall stored energy is almost the same. Hence for this discharge it has been possible to increase the ELM frequency and reduce the ELM size by a factor of 4 while maintaining the same overall confinement and pedestal pressure.

These results also demonstrate that the pedestal pressure alone is not responsible for determining $\Delta W_{\text{ELM}}$ and $q_{\text{peak}}$. The reduction in $\Delta W_{\text{ELM}}$ is partly due to the reduction in the number of particles lost per ELM that has been observed on MAST [14] and AUG [31]. Figure 9 compares the difference in the density pedestal profiles before and after a natural and mitigated ELM (i.e., $\Delta n_e(R) = n_e^{\text{beforeELM}}(R) - n_e^{\text{afterELM}}(R)$), which shows that while the peak change in density is similar the radial extent of the losses are much reduced in the mitigated ELM. Hence it is the ELM affected area that is reduced in the mitigated cases. For MAST it has been found that this reduction in ELM affected area is common across all scenarios in which mitigated ELMs have been observed.

Previous studies on MAST [8] have found that the pressure pedestal ($P_{\text{ped}}$) evolves continuously in a similar way between natural and mitigated ELMs; however, for the mitigated ELMs the ELM is triggered earlier in the ELM cycle at a lower value of $P_{\text{ped}}$, reflecting the increased ELM frequency. For the MAST shots presented in this paper the inter-ELM pedestal evolution is again similar for natural and mitigated ELMs but in the natural ELM cycle the pressure pedestal spends a large fraction of time near to a saturated value (see figure 10). In most plasma scenarios on MAST the pressure pedestal continues to increase during the inter-ELM period [32], so such a pedestal evolution as presented here is not common. However, such a situation is not restricted to MAST since similar inter-ELM evolutions have been observed in certain discharges on AUG [33] and JET [34]. It is not understood how the pedestal can remain at a saturated value for a large part of the ELM cycle but what has been observed in the case of MAST is that the ELM is eventually triggered by the growth of a precursor mode (see [35] and references therein).

In a discharge that does have a saturated pressure pedestal height for a significant fraction of the natural inter-ELM period it is possible to increase the ELM frequency without substantially degrading the pedestal by arranging that the mitigated ELMs are triggered near to the point at which the maximum pressure pedestal value is first obtained. This appears to be the case for the mitigated ELMs presented here (figure 10). It is likely that if $f_{\text{ELM}}$ was increased further, by
Figure 11. Results from MAST of a scan of $I_{\text{ELM}}$ with different $n_{\text{RMP}}$: increase in ELM frequency ($\delta f_{\text{ELM}} = f_{\text{mitigated}}^{\text{ELM}} - f_{\text{natural}}^{\text{ELM}}$) normalized to the natural ELM frequency ($f_{\text{ELM}}$) as a function of the maximum resonant component of the applied field ($b'_{\text{res}}$) calculated in the vacuum approximation for discharges with plasma current ($I_p$) of (a) 400 kA and (b) 600 kA.

for example increasing the perturbation strength, then the peak $P_{\text{ped}}$ value obtained would be reduced and this would affect the edge and possibly the core confinement.

Refuelling studies have also been performed in these discharges using the injection of frozen deuterium pellets from the high field side [36]; in this case it has been possible to refuel to densities higher than in the natural ELM case while still keeping the ELM mitigation. In these cases there is an overall drop in confinement of $\sim 10\%$ but they do demonstrate that pellet fuelling is compatible with ELM mitigation.

5. Parameters determining the onset of ELM mitigation

Previous studies on MAST in both LSDN and CDN plasmas have shown that the RMPs give rise to perturbations of the plasma shape, with lobe structures forming due to the tangled magnetic fields near the X-point [37], and corrugations of the plasma boundary at the mid-plane [38]. The X-point lobe length increases linearly with the resonant components of the applied field ($b'_{\text{res}}$) when above a threshold value, with higher $n_{\text{RMP}}$ giving rise to longer lobes for the same $b'_{\text{res}}$ [39]. Similarly, the mid-plane displacement increases with $b'_{\text{res}}$, though the corrugation amplitude is less dependent upon the RMP configuration [38]. The mitigated ELM frequency increases with $b'_{\text{res}}$ calculated in the vacuum approximation provided it is above a critical threshold [15, 16]. This threshold value depends on the mode number of the RMP, with higher $n_{\text{RMP}}$ having a larger critical value. For example, in LSND discharges with $I_p = 400$ and 600 kA a clear difference in thresholds is observed (see figure 11). These calculations have been performed in the vacuum approximation and assuming that a single dominant toroidal mode number is responsible for the effects.

A similar trend of increasing ELM frequency with $b'_{\text{res}}$ has been observed on AUG. However, as can be seen from figure 12 there are some clear outliers. On AUG the pitch angle of the applied field can be changed in an $n_{\text{RMP}} = 2$ configuration by exploiting the fact that there are more coils than required to produce the toroidal mode number. By adjusting the current in the B-coils the phase difference ($\Delta \phi$) between the field patterns in the upper and lower row can be modified. The two open circles in figure 12 come from two identical discharges in which the phase of the perturbation has been changed from $\Delta \phi = 90^\circ - 180^\circ$ (see figure 13). Both coil configurations have a similar effect on the plasma in terms of density pump-out and increase in ELM frequency. The braking of the plasma rotation is slightly larger in the case of $\Delta \phi = 180^\circ$.

The vacuum modelling for these shots is shown as the solid symbols in figure 14, which shows that if the size of $b'_{\text{res}}$ at the plasma edge is the most important quantity then the $90^\circ$ phasing should have the largest effect on the plasma. Calculations have been performed using the MARS-F code, which is a linear
Figure 13. For AUG: (a) the current in the B-coils (I_B), (b) line average density, (c) the toroidal rotation at the top of the pedestal (V_φ) and the target shunt currents for discharges with RMPs in n = 2 configurations with phasings of (d) 90° (black) and (e) 180° (odd parity) (red) between the upper and lower coils.

Figure 14. Calculations of the normalized resonant component of the applied field (b_{res}) on AUG shots with the RMPs in an n = 2 configuration with a toroidal phase of 90° and 180° between the coils in the upper and lower row for vacuum (solid) and including the plasma response (open).

Figure 15. The normalized resonant component of the applied field (b_{res}) at the q = 5 surface corresponding to AUG shots 31143 and 31144 with the RMPs in an n = 2 configuration as a function of the toroidal phase (Δφ) between the upper and lower row of coils for vacuum (circles) and including the plasma response (squares).

A single fluid resistive MHD code that combines the plasma response with the vacuum perturbations, including screening effects due to toroidal rotation [40]. The calculations use the experimental profiles of density, temperature and toroidal rotation as input and realistic values of resistivity, characterized by the Lundquist number (S) which varies from ~10^8 in the core to ~10^6 in the pedestal region (the radial profile of the resistivity is assumed proportional to T_e^{-3/2}). The resistive plasma response significantly reduces the field amplitude near rational surfaces. It reduces the resonant component of the field by more than an order of magnitude for \psi_{1/2} < 0.97 (see open symbols in figure 14) and results in the 90° and 180° cases having very similar values of b_{res} at the plasma edge, which may then explain why both have a similar effect on the ELM frequency.

These results suggest that when the plasma response is taken into account there may be a different optimum alignment of the perturbation compared to what the vacuum calculations would suggest. In order to investigate this apparent shift, b_{res} at the q = 5 surface has been calculated as a function of the phase angle between the upper and lower row of coils in the vacuum approximation and taking into account the plasma response. Figure 15 shows that the peak value of b_{res} at the q = 5 surface occurs at Δφ ≈ 60° in the vacuum approximation and Δφ ≈ 120° when the plasma response is taken into account i.e. an offset of ~60°. The offset arises due to the resistive plasma response near to the plasma edge [41]. Similar to what is found in Figure 14, the values of b_{res} at Δφ = 90° and 180° are very different in the vacuum approximation but become similar when the plasma response is taken into account. In the core of the plasma, where the response is closer to being ideal, the offset is ~90°. A similar shift in the optimum pitch-resonant alignment has been previously observed both experimentally and in modelling ELM suppression experiments with n = 2 magnetic perturbations on DIII-D [42, 43].

Whilst a full scan in Δφ has not been performed on this shot, one has been performed on a similar low ν* shot that has a slightly lower toroidal field on-axis (B_τ = 1.79 T) and shape. These changes lead to a different value of Δφ for which the optimum pitch-resonant alignment occurs. The effect of experimentally varying Δφ, at constant I_{hcoil}, from +100° to −100° is shown in figure 16. The ELM frequency is observed to increase and the density reduce as soon as the RMPs are turned on with Δφ = 100°. As Δφ is reduced the level of
mitigation gradually reduces until $f_{\text{ELM}}$ returns to the RMP off value at $\Delta \phi \sim -10^\circ$. The ELM frequency continues to reduce until a classic ELM free period is encountered, at which point the density rises rapidly leading to a steady type I ELMing regime. The ELM frequency as a function of $\Delta \phi$ is shown in figure 17. MARS-F calculations have been performed for this discharge as a function of $\Delta \phi$. Figure 18 shows the $b'_{\text{res}}$ at the $q = 5$ surface for AUG shot 30826 with the RMPs in an $n = 2$ configuration as a function of the toroidal phase ($\Delta \phi$) between the upper and lower row of coils calculated in the vacuum approximation (circles) and including the plasma response (squares). The plasma response leads to plasma displacements normal to the flux surfaces [44, 45]. The radial profiles of the poloidal ($m$) Fourier harmonics in a PEST-like straight line coordinate system [46] often peak at low $m$ in the plasma core (referred to as a core kink component) and high $m$ near the plasma edge (referred to as an edge peeling-tearing component [44]). Whether a core kink component, or the edge peeling-tearing component, or both appear in the plasma response, depends on the plasma equilibrium and the coil configuration. Figure 19 shows an example of the radial profiles of the poloidal Fourier harmonics in which the core kink and edge peeling-tearing components are both present in the plasma response calculation. Figure 20(a) shows the size of the maximum displacement ($\zeta_m$) for the core kink and edge peeling-tearing like response as a function of $\Delta \phi$. The core kink response is effectively symmetric around $\Delta \phi = 0$, whereas as the edge peeling-tearing like response is similar to the trend observed experimentally for $f_{\text{ELM}}$. These core kink and edge peeling-tearing like responses lead to a deformation of the plasma surface, which varies with
poloidal and toroidal location. It has previously been observed on MAST that there is a clear correlation between the location of the maximum of the amplitude of the normal component of the plasma displacement at the plasma surface and the effect of the RMPs on the plasma [44]. In these studies it was observed that a density pump-out in L-mode or ELM mitigation in H-mode only occurred when the displacement at the X-point was larger than the displacement at the mid-plane combined with the requirement that the X-point displacement was larger than a critical value [15]. Figure 20(b) shows the peak mid-plane and X-point displacements ($\zeta_{\text{edge}}$) as a function of $\Delta \phi$. There is a clear correlation between the core kink like response and the mid-plane displacement and a similar correlation between the edge peeling-tearing like response and the X-point peaking, which is also correlated with the experimentally observed ELM frequency.

To enable a more systematic study of the effect of the various components on the ELM frequency, the experimentally measured ELM frequency and the parameters discussed above have been mapped onto the same $\Delta \phi$ basis and then plotted against each other. The data after the ELM free period (i.e. $\Delta \phi < -85^\circ$) have been excluded from this mapping. Given the uncertainties in the modelling etc it is interesting to see from figure 21(a) that above a threshold value of $b_{\text{res}} \sim 0.05 \times 10^{-3}$ the increase in $f_{\text{ELM}}$ scales almost linearly with $b_{\text{res}}$ calculated taking into account the plasma response. A similar linear scaling above a threshold value has also been observed on MAST where the threshold was toroidal mode number dependent (0.08 $\times 10^{-3}$ for $n_{\text{RMP}} = 4$ and 0.15 $\times 10^{-3}$ for $n_{\text{RMP}} = 6$ [15]). Figure 21(b) shows $f_{\text{ELM}}$ versus the peak amplitude of the core kink and edge peeling-tearing like responses, which confirms the good correlation with the edge peeling-tearing like component. Figure 21(c) shows $f_{\text{ELM}}$ versus the plasma surface displacement. Above a threshold of $\sim 1.5 \text{ mm}$ $f_{\text{ELM}}$ increases linearly at first with $\zeta_{\text{edge}}$. It is interesting to note that this threshold of 1.5 mm is very similar to the threshold obtained on MAST for both $n_{\text{RMP}} = 4$ and 6 RMP configurations [15].

All these simulations performed to date have assumed that the effects are due to a dominant toroidal mode number. The need to consider how all the toroidal mode numbers may couple to produce the effect on $f_{\text{ELM}}$ can be seen in experiments performed on MAST to simulate the effect of the failure of a set of coils. Figure 22(d) shows a LSND discharge on MAST,
similar to that shown in figure 6 and described above, in which the ELMs are mitigated with $I_{ELM} = 4 \text{kAt}$ using an $n_{RMP} = 6$ configuration of the RMP coils. In subsequent shots only 9 of the 12 coils were powered and the current in ELM coils was increased until at $I_{ELM} = 4.8 \text{kAt}$ a similar level of ELM mitigation was obtained (figure 22(d)). Although the coil current has increased in the 9 coil case to $I_{ELM} = 4.8 \text{kAt}$, the $n_{RMP} = 6$ resonant field component at the plasma edge is reduced to $B_{res} \sim 1.34 \times 10^{-3}$ compared to $B_{res} \sim 1.49 \times 10^{-3}$ that was achieved using $I_{ELM} = 4 \text{kAt}$ in all 12 coils (see figure 23(a)). Figure 23(b) shows the Fourier decomposition of the radial field produced by the coils at the location of the last closed flux surface at the low field side mid-plane. In the case of 12 coils being used the field has effectively a pure $n_{RMP} = 6$ harmonic. However, in the 9 coil case, there are significant contributions to toroidal harmonics $n_{RMP} = 1$ through to $n_{RMP} = 9$. The fact that a similar level of ELM mitigation is achieved suggests that mixing of different toroidal harmonics may be important.

6. What happens to the ELM type during mitigation?

Previous studies of the filament structures observed during ELMs on MAST have shown that the natural and mitigated stages have similar characteristics [15]. These studies have been repeated for the $I_D = 400 \text{kAt}$ discharges described in this paper using a new, improved resolution camera. The images obtained using a 3.5 $\mu$s exposure have been analysed during the rise time of the mid-plane $D_e$ signal for natural ($I_{ELM} = 0$) and mitigated ELMs obtained using an $n_{RMP} = 4$ configuration with $I_{ELM} = 5.2 \text{kAt}$. The average ELM energy loss in the two cases is 7.0 kJ and 1.5 kJ respectively. The mean separation in the toroidal angle between the filament locations is used to derive an effective toroidal mode number, shown in figure 24(a), which has a mean value of $\sim 17$ for both natural and mitigated ELMs. The toroidal width of the filaments has been determined from the width of the intensity distribution: figure 24(b), which shows that the filament widths, at least in the toroidal direction, are not affected by the application of the RMPs. Whilst the density pedestal reduces, at constant temperature pedestal, the pedestal height characteristics remain in the region typically associated with type I ELMs on MAST [47]. So in the case of the MAST discharges described in this paper up to this point, the filament structures and pedestal characteristics suggest that the ELM character does not change i.e. they remain type I ELMs.

A high frequency ELM-ing regime has also been observed on MAST both naturally [48] and with the application of RMPs [16] in a so-called scenario 4 discharge, which is based around a neutral beam heated plasma with $I_D = 750 \text{kAt}$, $B_T = 0.55 \text{T}$ in a connected double null magnetic configuration with $q_{95} = 5.4$. For these shots the pedestal top values are: the electron density $n_e^{ped} = (2.0 - 4.0) \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ and the electron temperature $T_{e^{ped}} = 150 - 250 \text{eV}$ corresponding to an electron cyclonumber $\nu_e^{2/3} = 0.3 - 0.8$. Previous studies of this discharge without the application of RMPs have shown that at low density the ELMs move from being type I to a small ELM-ing regime where the ELMs have been identified as being type IV in nature (often referred to as the low cyclonumber branch of type III ELMs) [47].

Figure 25(c) shows the target $D_e$ time trace for the baseline type I ELM-ing shot that does not have the RMPs applied, which has an ELM frequency of $\sim 100 \text{Hz}$ and an approximately constant line average density (figure 25(b)). This scenario has no gas puffing from 180 ms and the refuelling is due to recycling from the targets and the residual neutral density in the vessel. Figure 25(d) shows the $D_e$ trace for a shot where the application of the RMPs results in a density pump-out (figure 25(b)) shortly after the current in the ELM coils reaches the maximum value of 5.6 kAt. Following the reduction of the density, the plasma attains a stable state with a high frequency ($\sim 2000 \text{Hz}$) small ELMs. The density and electron temperature profiles in the large and small ELM period have been measured by a Thomson scattering system and show that the pedestal characteristics change from a region typically associated with type I ELMs to one associated with naturally occurring type IV ELMs. The right-hand side of the arrow shown in figure 25(e) represents the pedestal height in the type I ELM-ing period of this discharge ($t = 263 \text{ms}$), whilst the tip of the arrow shows the characteristics during the mitigated stage ($t = 342 \text{ms}$) [47].

The filament characteristics during the ELMs have been investigated in the type I ELM period and during the small ELMs. Figure 26(a) shows the effective toroidal mode number obtained, which in the type I ELM-ing regime has a mean value of $\sim 18$. However, during the type IV ELM period produced either naturally or by the application of RMPs the mode number increases to a mean value of $\sim 24$. Finally in the case of naturally occurring type IV ELMs the ELM frequency is found to increase as the pedestal density decreases (figure 26(b)), which is opposite to what is normally observed for type I ELMs [4].

In the case of AUG no information is available on the filaments characteristics. However, the change in the pedestal
Figure 23. (a) Calculated profiles using the vacuum approximation of the normalized resonant component of the applied field \( b_{\text{res}} \) produced with the ELM coils in an \( n = 6 \) configuration with 4.0 kAt in all 12 coils (circles) and 4.8 kAt in 9 coils (squares). (b) The radial field for each toroidal harmonic of the applied field calculated at the last closed flux surface at low field side mid-plane.

Figure 24. Probability distribution of (a) the toroidal mode number and (b) the filament width for natural ELMs (solid) and ELMs mitigated (dashed) using RMPs in an \( n_{\text{RMP}} = 4 \) configuration on MAST.

Figure 25. For MAST: (a) time traces for a scenario 4 shot of (a) coil current \( I_{\text{ELM}} \), (b) line average density \( \bar{n}_e \), and \( D_\alpha \) traces for shots (c) without RMPs (black) and (d) with RMPs (green) in an \( n = 3 \) configuration. (e) Electron temperature height versus density pedestal height as a function of ELM type from profiles obtained in the last 10% of the ELM cycle. The arrow shows the change in pedestal characteristics from the natural to mitigated stage of (d).
values and the variation of ELM frequency with pedestal density may indicate a change in ELM type. Figure 27(a) shows that in the mitigated stage the temperature pedestal remain constant but the density pedestal reduces such that the pedestal characteristics of the mitigated ELMs are in a region where high frequency ELMs occur naturally on some other devices [48]. In addition, the size of the mitigation increases as the density pedestal is reduced (see figure 27(b)). Both characteristics suggest that this may be a transition to type IV ELMs. The points in figure 27(b) that have \( f_{\text{mit}} / f_{\text{nat}} < 1 \) occur in the discharges where the density rises above the natural pedestal density of \( \sim 3.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \) due to a non-stationary ELM free period being triggered such as can be observed a time of \( \sim 3 \text{ s} \) in figure 16.

The only disadvantage of the type IV ELM mitigated regime on MAST is that refuelling this regime leads to a decrease in \( f_{\text{ELM}} \) and increase in \( \Delta W_{\text{ELM}} \) or an eventual transition back to large type I ELMs [16]. The effect of refuelling is something that will need to be studied in future experiments on AUG.

7. Summary and discussion

Sustained ELM mitigation has been achieved on MAST and AUG using RMPs with a range of toroidal mode numbers in a wide region of low to medium collisionality discharges. The ELM mitigation phase on both devices results in smaller ELM energy loss and reduced peak heat loads at the divertor targets. The ELM mitigation phase is typically associated with a drop in plasma density and overall stored energy. However, on MAST, in one particular plasma scenario where the pedestal pressure remains at a saturated value for a large fraction of the natural ELM cycle, by carefully adjusting either the gas or pellet fuelling, it has been possible to produce plasmas with mitigated ELMs, reduced peak divertor heat flux and with minimal degradation in pedestal height and confined energy.

On MAST above a threshold value in the applied perturbation field \( (b'_{\text{res}}) \) there is a linear increase in normalized ELM frequency \( (f_{\text{ELM}}') \) with \( b'_{\text{res}} \). Experimentally it has been found that both the lobes produced near the X-point [39] and the mid-plane corrugations also increase linearly with the size of \( b'_{\text{res}} \) [38]. These deformations to the plasma boundary have been replicated by modelling, which shows that they can
strongly influence the peeling–ballooning stability boundary and hence lead to an increase in \( f_{\text{ELM}} \) \cite{49}. On AUG, in a large number of cases an increase of \( f_{\text{ELM}} \) with \( b_{\text{rad}}^{\text{t}} \) is also observed. However, unlike in MAST, there are examples where this is not the case. These cases clearly demonstrate the need to take into account the plasma response to the applied perturbations. When this is done it is found that the increase in the ELM frequency is correlated with the edge peeling-tearing like response of the plasma and the edge displacement of the plasma near to the X-point.

An analysis of the filament structures observed during ELMs mitigated by the application of RMPs on MAST suggest that in most cases the ELMs remain type I in nature, however, there is one scenario on MAST where a small ELM regime exists in which the pedestal and filament characteristics are those more typically associated with type IV ELMs. Such a suppression of type I ELMs and transition to a type IV ELM-mitigating regime may also be occurring in AUG. In \cite{8} the regions of operational space for which type I ELMs have been suppressed or mitigated was represented in a plot of pedestal collisionality \((\nu_{\text{GW}})\) versus line average density expressed as a fraction of the Greenwald number \((n_e/n_{GW})\). Figure 28 shows the updated version of these plots including the new results from MAST and AUG presented in this paper. The MAST results, for the main part fall in the ELM mitigation plot, with the results on the transition to type IV ELMs included in the type I ELM suppression plot. The new AUG results have been included in both plots since there are periods in which the type I ELM frequency is increased as well as the region where there is a possible transition to type IV ELMs.
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