Effects of Phytase and Carbohydrases Supplementation to Diet with a Partial Replacement of Soybean Meal with Rapeseed Meal and Cottonseed Meal on Growth Performance and Nutrient Digestibility of Growing Pigs
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ABSTRACT: An experiment was conducted to investigate the effects of microbial phytase (Natuphos®) supplementation in combination with carboxydrases (composed of enzymes targeted to soybean meal (SBM) dietary components such as α-galactosides and galactomannans, Endo-Power®) to corn-soybean meal based diet (CSD) and complex diet (CD) with a partial replacement of SBM with rape seed meal (RSM) and cotton seed meal (CSM) on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of growing pigs. A total of 168 growing pigs averaging 13.18±1.77 kg of initial body weight was arranged as a 2×2 factorial design with main effects of diet types (corn-SBM based diet (CSD) and complex diets (CD), 5% of SBM was replaced with 2.5% of RSM and 2.5% of CSM in diet for phase I (6 to 9 weeks) and 6% of SBM was replaced with 3% of RSM and 3% of CSM in diet for phase II (4 to 7 weeks)) and enzyme supplementation (none and 0.1% of phytase (500 FTU/kg diet) and 0.1% of carbohydrases). The diet with enzyme application were formulated to have a 0.18% unit lower ash than diets without enzyme application. Each treatment had three replicates with 14 pigs per replicate. To determine supplementation effect of phytase and carbohydrases on ileal amino acid digestibility of SBM, RSM and CSM, a total of 18 T-cannulated pigs (initial body weight, 13.52±1.24 kg) were assigned to six dietary treatments in the present study. Dietary treatments in metabolic trial included 1) SBM diet, 2) SBM diet with enzymes (phytase (500 FTU/kg) and carbohydrases at 0.1%, respectively), 3) CSM diet, 4) CSM diet+enzymes, 5) RSM diet and 6) RSM diet+enzymes. During whole experimental period (6 to 7 weeks), there was no difference in growth performance between diets (CSD and CD). However, dietary phytase and carbohydrase supplementation significantly improved gain/feed ratio (G/F) of growing pigs. During the phase II (4-7 weeks), dietary phytase and carbohydrases supplementation significantly improved all ileal nutrient digestibilities (Dry matter (DM), gross energy (GE), crude protein (CP), crude fat (CF), calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P)). Dietary phytase and carbohydrase supplementation improved significantly overall ileal amino acid digestibilities of SBM, RSM and CSM based diets (p<0.05). The simultaneous inclusion of phytase and carbohydrates in both of CSD and CD reduced feed cost per kg body weight gain (FCG). Also, results suggest that 2.5 to 3% of RSM and CSM, respectively, might be used as a protein source in growing pig diets without having an adverse effect on the growth performance and nutrient digestibility and simultaneous phytase and carbohydrates addition improves nutritional value of SBM, RSM and CSM by improving ileal amino acid digestibilities. (Asian–Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 2003. Vol 16, No. 9: 1339-1347)
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INTRODUCTION

The concept of using enzyme products as animal feed additives has attracted considerable interest within the feed industry as a means for improving performance, lowering supplemental nutrient requirement, and lowering potential for environmental pollution from manure.

Microbial phytase is one of the most commonly used enzymes in monogastric animal diets. The efficacy of microbial phytase in improving overall phosphorus availability in monogastric animals is now clearly established (Coelho and Kornegay. 1996). But current evidence shows that there are additional benefits of improved amino acids and energy utilization (Ravindran et al., 1999a,b).

Soybean meal (SBM) is the main protein source in swine feeds in most parts of the world. However, soybean like other legume seeds contain anti-nutritional factors (ANFs). Of those of ANFs, α-galactosides and galactomannans, relatively highly contained in SBM, are well known as flatulence-producing factors. Those of ANFs are not digested by monogastric animals because they do not have endogenous enzyme system to degrade it. Thus, there is little doubt that the feeding value of SBM could be enhanced by the elimination or modification of ANFs (Coon et al., 1990; Leske et al., 1993).

Rape seed meal (RSM) contains high quality protein but its use in diets of monogastric animals has been limited by the relatively high level of fiber and oligosaccharides (2.5%), resulting in low energy yield and less than optimum protein utilization (Slominske and Campbell. 1990). Cotton seed meal (CSM) can also be good protein sources for swine diets but its utilization in swine diet as a protein supplementation is limited due to low lysine levels and low palatability and by the presence of ANFs such as gossypol and oligosaccharides. Nevertheless, the availability of these
Table 1. Formula and chemical composition of experimental diets (6-8 wk) for growth trial

| Diet types and enzymes | Corn-SBM based diets | Complex diets |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Ingredients (%)        | -/+                  | -/+          |
| Ingredients (%)        | -/+                  | -/+          |
| Corn                   | 48.82                | 29.45        |
| Soybean meal           | 36.08                | 36.08        |
| Bakery byproduct       | 6.00                 | 6.00         |
| Animal fat             | 3.00                 | 3.00         |
| Rapeseed meal          | -                    | -            |
| Cottonseed meal        | -                    | -            |
| C-molasses             | 2.50                 | 2.50         |
| Tricalcium phosphate   | 1.75                 | 0.69         |
| Limestone              | 0.56                 | 0.79         |
| Salts                  | 0.31                 | 0.31         |
| Trace mineral premix   | 0.20                 | 0.20         |
| L-vine                 | 0.19                 | 0.19         |
| Vitamin premix         | 0.12                 | 0.12         |
| Mecadox                | 0.10                 | 0.10         |
| Endopower*             | -0.10                | -0.10        |
| Naphthol®             | -0.10                | -0.10        |
| Tramblon®             | 0.10                 | 0.10         |
| CTC                    | 0.10                 | 0.10         |
| Choline chloride (25%) | 0.05                 | 0.05         |
| DL-methionine (50%)   | 0.12                 | 0.12         |
| Total                  | 100.00               | 100.00       |
| ME (kcal/kg)           | 3,300                | 3,300        |
| Crude protein          | 20.50                | 20.50        |
| Calcium                | 0.50                 | 0.65         |
| Total phosphorus       | 0.70                 | 0.71         |
| Available phosphorus   | 0.40                 | 0.22         |
| Lysole                | 1.50                 | 1.30         |
| Total sulfur amino acids | 0.72                | 0.72         |

Table 2. Formula and chemical composition of experimental diets (4-7 wk) for growth trial

| Diet types and enzymes | Corn-SBM based diets | Complex diets |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Ingredients (%)        | -/+                  | -/+          |
| Ingredients (%)        | -/+                  | -/+          |
| Corn                   | 69.99                | 59.88        |
| Soybean meal           | 30.68                | 30.68        |
| Animal fat             | 3.00                 | 3.00         |
| Rope seed meal         | -                    | -            |
| Cotton seed meal       | -                    | -            |
| C-molasses             | 2.50                 | 2.50         |
| Tricalcium phosphate   | 1.51                 | 0.67         |
| Limestone              | 0.55                 | 0.77         |
| Salts                  | 0.30                 | 0.30         |
| Trace mineral premix   | 0.20                 | 0.20         |
| L-vine                 | 0.10                 | 0.10         |
| Vitamin premix         | 0.11                 | 0.11         |
| Olaquindox            | 0.10                 | 0.10         |
| Endopower*             | -0.10                | -0.10        |
| Naphthol®             | -0.10                | -0.10        |
| CTC                    | 0.10                 | 0.10         |
| Choline chloride (25%) | 0.04                 | 0.04         |
| DL-methionine (50%)   | 0.07                 | 0.07         |
| Total                  | 100.00               | 100.00       |
| ME (kcal/kg)           | 3,300                | 3,300        |
| Crude protein          | 18.44                | 18.44        |
| Calcium                | 0.80                 | 0.60         |
| Total phosphorus       | 0.66                 | 0.66         |
| Available phosphorus   | 0.35                 | 0.35         |
| Lysole                | 1.10                 | 1.10         |
| Total sulfur amino acids | 0.65                | 0.65         |

| Ingredients (%)        | -/+                  | -/+          |
| Ingredients (%)        | -/+                  | -/+          |

Table 1 continued...

In combination with carbohydrates (composed of enzymes targeted to SBM dietary components such as α-galactosides, galactomannans and NSP; Endo-Power®) to corn-SBM based diet (CSD) and complex diet (CD) with a partial replacement of SBM with RSM and CSM on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of growing pigs and to establish economic implications for the simultaneous inclusion of those two enzyme products in CSD and CD for growing pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Enzyme preparations

The following enzymes were used in the present study. The microbial phytase (a 10% dilution form of Natuphos®; 5,000 FPU/g 5,000 granulate, BASF, Germany) contained 500 FPU/g
phytase activity. One unit phytase (FTU) is defined as the quantity of enzyme that releases 1 μmol inorganic phosphorus/min from 0.00015 mol/L sodium phytate at pH 5.5 at 37°C. The carbohyd rases preparation contained 7 unit/g α-galactosidase activity, 22 unit/g galactomannanase activity, 300 unit/g xylanase activity and 220 unit/g β-glucanase activity (Endo-Power®, EASY BIO System, Inc., Seoul, Korea). One unit of α-galactosidase is defined as the amount of enzyme that liberates 0.1 μmol total reducing sugars/min from 0.5% galactomannan at 30°C and pH 4.0. One unit of xylanase is defined as the amount of enzyme that liberates 1 mg total reducing sugar/10 min. from 0.5% xylian at 30°C and pH 4.0. One unit of β-glucanase is defined as the amount of enzyme that liberates 1 mg of total reducing sugar per 10 min. from 0.4% β-glucan at 30°C and pH 4.0.

General experimental procedure

A total of 168 growing pigs averaging 13.18±1.77 kg of initial body weight was assigned to the feeding trial. Growing period (13.18 to 39.81 kg) was divided into two phase (phase I: 0 to 3 weeks, phase II: 4 to 7 weeks). Experiment was arranged as a 2×2 factorial design with main effects of diet types (corn-soy meal based diet (CS) or complex diets (CD): 5% of SBM was replaced with 2.5% of RSM and 2.5% of CSM in diet for phase I and 6% of SBM was replaced with 3% of RSM and 3% of CSM in diet for phase II and enzyme supplementation (none or 0.1% of phytase (500 FTU/kg diet) and 0.1% of carbohyd rases in the diet). Each treatment had three replicates with 14 pigs per replicate.

The formulas and chemical composition of experimental diets are presented in Tables 1, 2

The diets were formulated to have 3,300 kcal of ME/kg and 1.3% lysine for phase I and 3,300 kcal of ME/kg and 1.1% lysine for phase II. All other nutrients met or exceeded NRC (1998) requirements. Pigs were housed in concrete floored pens, equipped with a self feeder and a nipple waterer, and allowed ad libitum access to feed and water throughout the experimental period.

In the present studies, it was assumed that phytase (Natuphos®) would increase αt content 0.18%. Therefore.
**Table 4. Chemical composition and amino acid composition of SBM, RSM and CSM used for ileal amino acid digestibility trial**

| Items                  | Soybean meal | Rapeseed meal | Cottonseed meal |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Chemical composition (As fed basis) |              |               |                 |
| Gross energy (kcal/kg) | 4,434        | 4,470         | 4,404           |
| Crude protein (%)      | 46.65        | 33.90         | 33.73           |
| Crude fat (%)          | 1.94         | 0.81          | 1.05            |
| Crude ash (%)          | 6.07         | 7.92          | 6.89            |
| Calcium (%)            | 0.55         | 0.36          | 0.34            |
| Total phosphorus (%)   | 0.64         | 1.11          | 0.89            |
| Amino acid composition |              |               |                 |
| Essential amino acids (%) |            |               |                 |
| Arginine              | 2.35         | 1.93          | 0.98            |
| Histidine             | 1.51         | 0.66          | 0.88            |
| Isoleucine            | 2.42         | 1.23          | 1.09            |
| Leucine               | 3.08         | 2.51          | 2.03            |
| Methionine            | 0.49         | 0.44          | 0.57            |
| Lysine                | 2.25         | 1.52          | 1.61            |
| Phenylalanine         | 2.63         | 1.57          | 1.83            |
| Threonine             | 1.23         | 1.27          | 1.07            |
| Valine                | 2.19         | 1.53          | 1.48            |
| Sub-total (%)         | 18.77        | 12.76         | 12.12           |
| Non-essential amino acids (%) |        |               |                 |
| Cystine               | 0.62         | 0.10          | 0.59            |
| Alanine               | 1.57         | 1.47          | 1.46            |
| Aspartic acid         | 2.92         | 2.34          | 1.53            |
| Glutamic acid         | 6.94         | 4.34          | 5.63            |
| Glycine               | 1.66         | 1.66          | 1.45            |
| Proline               | 1.61         | 2.07          | 1.40            |
| Serine                | 1.74         | 2.15          | 1.58            |
| Tyrosine              | 1.72         | 0.97          | 1.11            |
| Sub-total (%)         | 18.15        | 15.00         | 15.17           |
| Total amino acids (%)  | 36.92        | 27.76         | 27.29           |

**Results and Discussion**

**Ileal digestibility trial**

To determine phytase and carbohydrases addition effect on ileal amino acid digestibility of SBM, RSM and CSM, a total of 18 T-cannulated pigs (initial body weight, 13.5±1.24 kg) were assigned to six dietary treatments in the present study. Dietary treatments in metabolic trial included 1) SBM diet (SBM based diet), 2) SBM diet+with enzymes (phytase and carbohydrases at 0.1%, respectively), 3) CSM diet (CSM based diet) 4) CSM diet+enzymes. 5) RSM diet (RSM based diet) and 6) RSM diet+enzymes. Each basal diets were semipurified diets containing only SBM or RSM or CSM as the protein source (Table 3). Corn starch, glucose and animal fat were used as energy sources. Amino acid composition of SBM, RSM and CSM used in the present study is presented in Table 4.

There were 5 d adjustment period and 3 d collection period. All surgical procedure were previously described by Giesting and Easter (1991). Digesta were collected from 08:00 to 20:00 during collection period. Sterile sampling bags (Figser Scientific Pittsburgh PA) were used to collect digesta from cannulated pigs. After removing a cap from the cannula, a plastic bag was attached to cannula barrel. Collected samples were place on plastic containers and stored at -10°C for chemical analysis. All samples were freeze-dried. Freeze-dried samples were ground using 1 mm Wiley mill and used for measuring nutrient composition.

Analysis of the experimental diets and excreta was done according to the methods of the AOAC (1990). The amino acid content of the experimental feed and excreta was determined following acid hydrolysis with 6 N HCl at 110°C for 24 h, using an amino acid analyzer (Biorheon 20, Pharmacia Biotech, England). Sulfur-containing amino acids were analyzed after cold performic acid oxidation (Moore, 1963) overnight with subsequent hydrolysis.

**Statistical analysis of the data**

Statistical analysis was carried out by comparing means according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955), using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS (1985). Data were analyzed as a randomized complete block design. Pigs were blocked by initial weight with pen as the experimental unit. Factorial analysis method was used to locate the interactive effect between diet types (CSD and CD) and enzyme treatments (none and phytase and carbohydrases addition).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Growth performance**

Table 5 showed the effects of simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases supplementation to corn-SBM based diet (CSD) and complex diet (CD) with a partial replacement of SBM with RSM and CSM on growth performance of growing pigs.

During the phase I (0 to 3 weeks), there was no significant difference in any criteria of growth performance among dietary treatments.

During the phase II (4 to 7 weeks), G:F (gain/feed ratio) of pigs fed CSD was higher (p<0.05) than that of pig fed CD and simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases...
supplementation increased G:F of growing pigs (p<0.01). There was no interaction between diet types and enzyme treatments.

During the whole experimental period (0-7 weeks), average daily gain (ADG) was not significantly influenced by simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases supplementation but G:F of pigs was significantly increased by enzyme supplementation (p<0.05). There was no difference in growth performance between CSD and CD.

Simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases, improved G:F of growing pigs fed diet with a 0.18% unit lower aP (p<0.05). This result is in good agreement with that in our previous study. Shin et al. (2003) reported that simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases supplementation (0.1%, respectively) increased G:F of piglets fed corn-SBM based diet with a 0.15% unit lower aP than control diet.

There have been many studies to show positive effect of phytase (Jongbloed, 1987; Cromwell et al., 1995; Kornegay et al., 1998) or carbohydrases supplementation (Kim et al., 2001a, b; Petey et al., 2002), on growth performance of pigs fed corn-SBM based diets.

In the present study, it is not clear that positive effects on growth performance was mainly driven by phytase or in combination with carbohydrases because two enymes were supplemented simultaneously to diets. The response of pigs to the addition of phytase can be markedly influenced by the level of supply. In the present study, 500 FTU/kg of phytase can be the lower dose of supply for the improvement of growing performance. In fact, the major result of the influence of the adition of phytase is an improvement in P digestibility. However, in such cases, it is clear that any process which will reduce ANF concentration by enzyme application will enhance performance and relax some of the constraint on feed formulation. The possibility exists that supplementation of swine diets with a combination of phytase and carbohydrases will enhance nutrient utilization further and as a result, improve growth performance of pigs. Conceivably, one enzymeme by inactivating the anti-nutritional factors in gastrointestinal tract may facilitate the action of another enzyme on target substrates and the absorption of liberated nutrients. This idea is supported by the results from a broiler study. Ravindran et al. (1999b) reported that the improvements in nitrogen and energy digestibilities in diets with the combination were much greater than those observed with the individual enzymes (phytase and xylanase). They suggested that these two feed enzymes could facilitate each other’s activity by providing greater substrates access and thereby further reducing the antinutritive properties of phytates and non starch polysaccharides (NSPs). More recently, Selle et al. (2003) confirmed beneficial effects of simultaneous inclusion of phytase and xylanase in wheat-based broiler diets. They reported that the most pronounced improvements in growth performance were associated with the combined supplementation of phytase and xylanase in all three broiler experiments.

Based on the fact mentioned above, it appears that there might be some additive effects of combination of two enzymes on improvement of growth performance of pigs in the present study. It is also noteworthy that the experimental diets with enzyme combination were formulated to contain 0.18% unit lower aP compared to control diet in the present study.

In the present study, even though G:F of pigs fed CSD was higher than that of pig feed CD during the phase II (4 to 7 weeks), overall (0 to 7 weeks), no significant difference in growth performance was found between pigs fed CSD and those fed CD. One possible explanation for this growth response to diet types is the inclusion rate of RSM and CSM in diets (2.5 to 3%, respectively) might be too low to

### Table 5. Effects of simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases supplementation to corn-SBM based diet (CSD) and complex diet (CD) on growth performance of growing pigs

| Diet types and enzymes | CSD* | + | CD | + | SE² | Statistical significance | Diets | Enzymes | DxE |
|------------------------|------|---|----|---|----|-------------------------|-------|---------|-----|
| Phase I (0-3 wk)       |      |   |    |   |    |                         |       |         |     |
| ADG (g)                | 473  | 506| 461| 500| 55.39| NS                       | NS    | NS      | NS  |
| ADFI (g)               | 831  | 838| 842| 841| 90.22| NS                       | NS    | NS      | NS  |
| FCG                    | 1.76 | 1.66| 1.84| 1.69| 0.17 | NS                       | NS    | NS      | NS  |
| Phase II (4-7 wk)      |      |   |    |   |    |                         |       |         |     |
| ADG (g)                | 572  | 611| 557| 602| 62.93| NS                       | NS    | NS      | NS  |
| ADFI (g)               | 1,230| 1,236| 1,230| 1,276| 128.23| NS                       | NS    | NS      | NS  |
| FCG                    | 2.15a | 2.02b | 2.24c | 2.12d | 0.09 | 0.0164                  | 0.0028| NS      | NS  |
| Overall (0-7 wk)       |      |   |    |   |    |                         |       |         |     |
| ADG (g)                | 529  | 566| 516| 559| 58.53| NS                       | NS    | NS      | NS  |
| ADFI (g)               | 1,059| 1,065| 1,075| 1,090| 88.79| NS                       | NS    | NS      | NS  |
| FCG                    | 1.98a | 1.87b | 2.07c | 1.93d | 0.11 | NS                       | NS    | 0.0027  | NS  |

* CSD: Corn-soybean meal based diet, CD: complex diet. ** Values with different superscripts of the same row are significantly differ (p<0.05).
* NS: Not statistically significant. p>0.05. ² Pooled standard error. ³ 0.1% of phytase (Notogro®: 500 FTU/kg diet) and 0.1% of carbohydrases (Endopower®) were supplemented.
Table 6. Effects of simultaneous phytase and carbohydrates supplementation to corn-SBM based diet (CSD) and complex diet (CD) on fecal nutrient digestibility and nutrient excretion of growing pigs

| Diets and enzymes | CSD* | CD | SE² | Statistical significance
|------------------|------|----|-----|--------------------------|
|                  |      |    |     | Diets | Enzyme | D=E |
| Fecal Nutrient digestibilities |      |    |     |      |        |     |
| Phase I (0-3 wk) |      |    |     |      |        |     |
| Dry matter       | 70.00| 81.98| 78.90| 80.17 | 1.51   | NS  |
| Gross energy     | 79.85| 89.43| 78.55| 80.12 | 1.13   | NS  |
| Crude protein    | 76.88| 79.86| 76.51| 78.78 | 1.90   | NS  |
| Ether extract    | 63.87| 68.30| 62.22| 63.41 | 4.18   | NS  |
| Calcium          | 57.09| 64.00| 56.81| 58.96 | 6.48   | NS  |
| Phosphorus       | 59.42| 54.99| 37.84| 49.49 | 9.96   | NS  |
| Phase II (4-7 wk) |      |    |     |      |        |     |
| Dry matter       | 80.50| 81.60| 79.53| 81.45 | 0.92   | 0.0241 NS |
| Gross energy     | 80.86| 81.87| 80.44| 81.56 | 0.92   | 0.0439 NS |
| Crude protein    | 77.29| 78.91| 76.59| 78.71 | 1.07   | NS  |
| Ether extract    | 75.75| 78.12| 74.94| 76.00 | 1.86   | NS  |
| Calcium          | 52.56| 61.16| 49.53| 59.42 | 6.60   | NS  |
| Phosphorus       | 45.25| 50.66| 39.92| 48.15 | 5.56   | NS  |
| Nutrient excretion (kg/head/100 kg b.w.) |      |    |     |      |        |     |
| Dry matter       | 9.17 | 8.56 | 9.66 | 8.965 | 0.46   | 0.0096 NS |
| Nitrogen         | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.42  | 0.02   | 0.0017 NS |
| Calcium          | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.19  | 0.06   | 0.0010 NS |
| Phosphorus       | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.20  | 0.04   | 0.0450 NS |

* See Table 5 for abbreviation. ** Nutrient excretion was calculated based on fecal nutrient digestibilities during phase I and II. Values with different superscripts of the same row are significantly differ (p<0.05). NS: not statistically significant, p>0.05. ** Pooled standard error. c. 1% of phytase (Natalphas c. 550 FTU/kg diet) and 0.1% of carbohydrates (Endozyme c. 1) were supplemented.

negatively affect the growth response and nutrient digestibility of piglets. Because of the high fiber content and ANFs of CSM and RSM and limited information on its effect on growth performance, the use of CSM and RSM in pig diets has been limited. In the literature various recommendations for inclusion levels of RSM and CSM in diets for piglets are given. These recommended levels are variable depending on the varieties used (e.g. high- or low-ANFs, such as glucosinolate and gossypol, cultivars, cultivated countries). Henkel and Mosenthal (1989) reported that the recommended levels of RSM for piglets diet should be less than 5% and Bell (1984) concluded that RSM can be used by 10% in piglet diets. Aherne and Kennelly (1985) reported that diets for piglets may contain up to 15% of rape seed meal, whereas Thacker (1990) recommended an inclusion rate of up to 5% in starter diets and 10% in grower-finisher and sow diets. Various reviews about the use of CSM for feeding pigs are also available (Buitrago et al. 1977; Aherne and Kennelly, 1983; Tanksley, 1990), one of the most practical suggestions is to include less than 10% of CSM in diets for young pigs, increasing this amount in older animals and breeding stock (Buitrago et al. 1977).

Fecal nutrient digestibility and nutrient excretion

During the phase I (0-3 weeks), there was no difference in overall fecal nutrient digestibilities between CSD and CD (p>0.05). However, simultaneous phytase and carbohydrates supplementation significantly increased crude protein (CP) and phosphorus (P) digestibilities of growing pigs (p<0.05).

During the phase II (4-7 weeks), dry matter (DM) digestibility of pigs fed CSD was higher than that of pigs fed CD (p<0.05) but there was no difference in other nutrient digestibilities between CSD and CD (p>0.05). However, simultaneous phytase and carbohydrates supplementation significantly increased all nutrient digestibilities (DM, gross energy (GE), CP, crude fat (CF), calcium (Ca) and P) of pigs (p<0.05).

These results are in good agreement with those of our previous study (Shim et al., 2003). In a previous study, simultaneous phytase and carbohydrates supplementation to corn-SBM based diet with a 0.15% lower aP than control diet increased apparent DM, GE, CP and P digestibilities of weaned pigs (Table 6).

There have been many researchers who found improvement in P, Ca energy and amino acid digestibilities when pigs were fed a corn-SBM based diet supplemented with microbial phytase or carbohydrates. Individual. In a previous study using same enzyme product with one used in the present study, Kim et al. (2001a) found that supplementing carbohydrates improved ileal gross energy and amino acids digestibility by 7% and 3%, respectively in weaned piglets.

A large number of data evaluating the use of microbial phytase reported that improved bioavailability of P, calcium.
zinc, protein/amino acids and energy were found when adequate amounts of phytase (500 FTU/kg feed) was supplemented to pig diets (Kornegay and Qian, 1996; Yi et al., 1996; Kornegay et al., 1998). It has been known that supplementation of pig diets with microbial phytase increase the availability of dietary phosphorus in the order of 26.5% to 44.2% (Mroz et al., 1994; Mroz and Jongbloed, 1998). The similar result also occurred in this digestibility study. Dietary phytase and carbohydrases supplementation increased phosphorus digestibility in order of 16% to 35%. This increase comes from the effect of phytase on phytate phosphorus, which has a typical digestibility value of 30% in young pigs (Jongbloed and Kemme, 1990).

In the present study, nutrient excretion was calculated based on results of fecal nutrient digestibility. Dietary phytase and carbohydrases supplementation reduced significantly total DM, nitrogen, Ca and P excretion per pig during the whole experimental period (p<0.05). These nutrient excretion responses are basically related to improvement in nutrient digestibility by supplementation of phytase and carbohydrases.

### Ileal amino acid digestibilities

Table 7 showed the effect of simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases supplementation to SBM, RSM and CSM based diets on ileal amino acid digestibilities of growing pigs. Simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases supplementation improved significantly ileal essential amino acid (EAA) as well as nonessential amino acid (NEAA) digestibilities in SBM, RSM and CSM based diets (p<0.05). It was observed that ileal EAA and NEAA digestibilities of SBM based diet was much higher than those of RSM and CSM based diet. RSM based diet had a similar ileal EAA and NEAA digestibilities with CSM based diet.

This result is in good agreement with those from other studies. Sauer and Thacker (1986) reported that amino acid digestibilities of RSM are lower than SBM. Imbeah and Sauer (1991) reported that averaged ileal EAA digestibilities in growing pigs fed SBM and RSM based diets was 82.5% and 75.4% respectively. Prawirodjojo et al. (1997) reported that the availability of ileal digestible nitrogen from CSM is low compared with SBM.

Many explanations for the lower digestibility of RSM and CSM compared to SBM have been proposed, centering on fiber, unpalatable tannins, lignins, pectins, cellulose (de Lange et al., 1990) and glucosinolates and gossypol. Fiber alone induces a faster passage rate which reduces the opportunity for digestion to some degree (Imbeah and Sauer, 1991). Lignin occurring mostly in the hulls may decrease protein digestibility by hydrophobic binding of amino acids and increasing feed passage. RSM (rapeseed meal) hulls contain significant non-lignin non-extractable polyphenyls (tannin type) that may also interfere with digestion. Pectins, by forming a gel matrix, may restrict proteolytic access. Fiber may also increase endogenous N loss through

---

**Table 7. Effects of simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases supplementation to SBM, RSM and CSM based diets on apparent ileal amino acid digestibility of growing pigs**

| Diet types and enzymes | - | SBM | + | - | SBM | + | - | SBM | + | - | SBM | + | SE |
|------------------------|---|-----|---|---|-----|---|---|-----|---|---|-----|---|---|
| **Essential amino acids** |   |     |   |   |     |   |   |     |   |   |     |   |   |
| Arg        | a | 84.23<sup>b</sup> | 87.60<sup>b</sup> | 73.37<sup>d</sup> | 77.31<sup>c</sup> | 71.79<sup>d</sup> | 76.31<sup>c</sup> | 5.97 |
| His        | a | 80.56<sup>b</sup> | 84.53<sup>d</sup> | 73.56<sup>d</sup> | 77.30<sup>c</sup> | 74.36<sup>c</sup> | 76.50<sup>bc</sup> | 4.52 |
| Leu        | b | 79.75<sup>c</sup> | 80.96<sup>d</sup> | 67.14<sup>c</sup> | 71.65<sup>c</sup> | 65.82<sup>b</sup> | 68.82<sup>d</sup> | 6.84 |
| Lys        | b | 74.91<sup>b</sup> | 79.03<sup>d</sup> | 67.71<sup>c</sup> | 70.73<sup>bc</sup> | 65.70<sup>b</sup> | 71.09<sup>bc</sup> | 5.87 |
| Met        | c | 74.26<sup>b</sup> | 80.25<sup>c</sup> | 66.19<sup>d</sup> | 73.76<sup>bc</sup> | 67.33<sup>b</sup> | 72.76<sup>bc</sup> | 7.32 |
| Thr        | b | 79.07<sup>c</sup> | 83.35<sup>c</sup> | 66.79<sup>c</sup> | 69.42<sup>d</sup> | 67.47<sup>b</sup> | 70.67<sup>d</sup> | 6.94 |
| Val        | c | 74.64<sup>b</sup> | 80.19<sup>c</sup> | 65.04<sup>d</sup> | 69.11<sup>bc</sup> | 67.42<sup>b</sup> | 73.91<sup>b</sup> | 7.28 |
| Gly        | b | 79.59<sup>c</sup> | 83.89<sup>c</sup> | 67.61<sup>d</sup> | 70.26<sup>c</sup> | 69.48<sup>b</sup> | 71.73<sup>b</sup> | 6.97 |
| Ser        | b | 78.49<sup>b</sup> | 82.49<sup>c</sup> | 68.33<sup>d</sup> | 74.48<sup>bc</sup> | 67.80<sup>b</sup> | 73.44<sup>bc</sup> | 6.98 |
| **Non-essential amino acids** |   |     |   |   |     |   |   |     |   |   |     |   |   |
| Ala        | a | 78.17<sup>b</sup> | 83.70<sup>d</sup> | 68.86<sup>d</sup> | 70.92<sup>d</sup> | 62.68<sup>b</sup> | 69.86<sup>b</sup> | 9.50 |
| Asp        | c | 73.58<sup>b</sup> | 78.85<sup>c</sup> | 61.70<sup>d</sup> | 65.38<sup>d</sup> | 65.16<sup>c</sup> | 68.87<sup>bc</sup> | 6.61 |
| Gin        | c | 78.02<sup>b</sup> | 82.57<sup>c</sup> | 71.54<sup>d</sup> | 74.72<sup>bc</sup> | 73.14<sup>c</sup> | 75.37<sup>bc</sup> | 4.17 |
| Gly        | c | 69.04<sup>b</sup> | 73.98<sup>d</sup> | 64.50<sup>c</sup> | 66.50<sup>d</sup> | 63.36<sup>b</sup> | 67.54<sup>b</sup> | 5.29 |
| Pro        | b | 77.34<sup>b</sup> | 82.78<sup>c</sup> | 66.94<sup>d</sup> | 70.17<sup>c</sup> | 72.12<sup>b</sup> | 76.77<sup>d</sup> | 6.02 |
| Ser        | c | 79.55<sup>c</sup> | 84.18<sup>c</sup> | 68.60<sup>d</sup> | 73.23<sup>bc</sup> | 73.16<sup>c</sup> | 78.33<sup>b</sup> | 5.96 |
| Tyr        | c | 78.15<sup>b</sup> | 82.33<sup>c</sup> | 69.52<sup>d</sup> | 73.33<sup>d</sup> | 72.69<sup>b</sup> | 73.21<sup>b</sup> | 5.80 |
| Total mean |   | 77.69<sup>c</sup> | 81.91<sup>d</sup> | 67.77<sup>d</sup> | 71.25<sup>c</sup> | 68.72<sup>d</sup> | 72.82<sup>d</sup> | 6.24 |

<sup>a</sup> See Table 5 for abbreviation.<sup>b</sup> Values with different superscript of the same row are significantly differ (p<0.05)<sup>c</sup> Pooled standard error.

<sup>c</sup> 0.1% of phytase (Natuphos®, 500 FTU/kg diet) and 0.1% of carbohydrases (Endoprotein®) were supplemented.
abrasive effects or binding endogenous protein (Mitaru et al. 1984; Bauer and Thacker 1986; de Lange et al. 1990; Imbeahl and Sauer 1991). Grala et al. (1997) found low apparent and true ileal digestibilities resulted from a high flow of both endogenous N (nitrogen) and undigested dietary N in young pigs (12-25 kg) fed RSM.

In the present study, it appears that the positive amino acid digestibility response to the enzyme combination (phytase and carbohydrases) were largely driven by enzymatic inactivation of ANFs such as phytate, α-galactosides, galactomannans and non starch polysaccharides (NSP) in SBM, RSM and CSM. It is known that undigested α-galactosides and β-mannans are used by microflora at the lower intestines and finally produced extra gases (Calloway et al. 1966) and negatively related to energy and amino acid digestibility in swine (Veldman et al. 1993; Gdala et al. 1997). It is well known that phytic acid can also reduce amino acid digestion and absorption through phytate-protein/amino acid or phytate-mineral-protein/amino acid complexes and can interfere with intestinal pepsin and trypsin enzyme activity (Nair et al. 1991; Caldwell 1992; Ravindran et al. 1999a,b).

### Economic analysis

Table 8 summarized economic analysis data for the simultaneous inclusion of phytase and carbohydrases in CSD and CD with a low ap for growing pigs. As shown in the table, the simultaneous inclusion of phytase and carbohydrases in both of CSD and CD reduced feed cost per kg body weight gain (FCG). Pigs fed CDS had a tendency to have lower FCG than those fed CD. This result suggests that dietary enzymatic enhancement with phytase and carbohydrases may contribute to increased economic benefits when added to corn-SBM based diets or complex diet containing partially RSM and CSM as protein sources for growing pigs.

In conclusion, the data from this study indicates that the simultaneous inclusion of phytase and carbohydrases to diets at 0.1% level, respectively, is advantageous with respect to improving growth performance and nutrient digestibility of growing pigs and may contribute to increased economic return when added to corn-SBM based diet or complex diet for growing pigs. Our data clearly suggest that application of both of phytase and carbohydrases in growing pig diets can be a good way to reduce negative impact of pig production on environment via a decrease in the excretion of N and P. Also, our results suggest that 2.5 to 3% of RSM and CSM, respectively might be used as a protein source in growing pig diets without having an adverse effect on the growth performance and nutrient digestibility and simultaneous phytase and carbohydrases addition improves nutritional value of SBM, RSM and CSM by improving ileal amino acid digestibilities.
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