MYOD1 inhibits avian adipocyte differentiation via miRNA-206/KLF4 axis
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Abstract

Background: A considerable number of muscle development-related genes were differentially expressed in the early stage of avian adipocyte differentiation. However, the functions of them in adipocyte differentiation remain largely unknown. In this study, the myoblast determination protein 1 (MYOD1) was selected as a representative of muscle development. We investigated its expression, function, and regulation in avian adipocyte differentiation.

Results: The expression of MYOD1 decreased significantly in the early stage of avian adipocyte differentiation. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of MYOD1 induced adipocyte differentiation, whereas over-expression of MYOD1 inhibited adipogenesis. The mRNA-seq data showed that MYOD1 could perturb the lipid biosynthetic process during differentiation. Our results showed that MYOD1 directly up-regulates the miR-206 expression by binding the upstream 1200 bp region of miR-206. Then, over-expression of miR-206 can inhibit the adipogenesis. Furthermore, MYOD1 affected the expression of endogenous miR-206 and its target gene Kruppel-like factor 4 (KLF4), which is an important activator of adipogenesis. Accordingly, the inhibition of miR-206 or over-expression of KLF4 could counteract the inhibitory effect of MYOD1 on adipocyte differentiation.

Conclusions: Our results establish that MYOD1 inhibits adipocyte differentiation by up-regulating miR-206 to suppress the KLF4 expression. These findings identify a novel function of MYOD1 in adipocyte differentiation, suggesting a potential role in body-fat distribution regulation.
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Background

Adipocytes are unique in the quantity of lipids that they can store, the rapid release of these calories, and protein for use by other organs, which can profoundly affect our health [1]. Avian has been utilized as a good animal model for studying basic adipogenesis mechanisms, which can be directly used for the genetic improvement of avian fat deposition [2]. Adipogenesis is driven by an increase in adipocyte cell size (hypertrophy) or number (hyperplasia) [3]. Adipocyte differentiation is regulated by an elaborate network of transcription factors, and understanding the underlying transcriptional networks is relevant and timely both from a basic and medical research perspective [4].

Several studies and observations showed that fat deposits in the muscles will cause the loss of muscle quality and are more likely to induce metabolic diseases in various animals [5–8]. Adipose and muscle tissues originate from mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) [9–12], which are the multipotent and relevant targets for therapies aiming to enhance tissue regeneration [13]. In response to lineage-specific inducers, MSCs from different depots can differentiate into many different, mutually
exclusive lineages, including the adipocyte and myoblast lineages [14–16]. It has been shown that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) and myoblast determination protein 1 (MYOD1) are the master regulators of adipogenesis and myogenesis, respectively [17, 18]. At the same time, the MYOD1-driven and PPARγ-driven differentiation programs are mutually exclusive [19–21]. However, the functional contributions of muscle development genes to adipocyte differentiation remain largely unexplored.

The microRNA-206 (miR-206) is a vertebrate-specific miRNA, which belongs to the skeletal muscle-specific myomiR family (myomiRs) along with miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b. It has been confirmed to be involved in the pathogenesis of many diseases, including heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and various types of cancers [22, 23]. In chicken, miR-206 is significantly associated with broiler birthweight [24]. Various TFs essential for skeletal muscle development have been shown to regulate miR-206 expression during myogenic differentiation, such as MYOD1, myogenic factor 5 (MYF5), myogenin (MYOG), and myocyte enhancer factor 2C (MEF2C) [25–27]. In mammals, miR-206 promotes apoptosis, induces cell cycle arrest, and inhibits cell migration and adipocyte differentiation by targeting c-MET and its downstream PI3K/AKT pathway [28, 29]. However, the role of miR-206 in avian adipocyte differentiation still remains unclear.

Kruppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) is an evolutionarily conserved zinc finger-containing transcription factor which is also known for being one of four key factors required for inducing pluripotent stem cells. KLF4 has been shown to be induced very early following the induction of adipogenesis, and acted as an activator of adipogenesis by inducing CCAAT enhancer binding proteins β (C/EBPβ) expression [30], which then trans-activate C/EBPα and PPARγ [31, 32]. Studies have shown that knocking down KLF4 suppresses adipocyte differentiation [30]. More recent studies have shown that the ability of A2b adenosine receptor (ADORA2B) to block adipocyte development has been shown to be dependent on its ability to modulate KLF4 expression [33].

Our previous work found many muscle development genes involved in the early regulation of adipocyte differentiation [34]. In this study, MYOD1, a representative of muscle development, was significantly down-regulated in the early stage of avian adipocyte differentiation. Studies of loss-of-function and gain-of-function demonstrated that MYOD1 is a key repressor of adipocyte differentiation by interacting with miR-206/Kruppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) axis. The mRNA-seq showed that over-expression of MYOD1 in adipocytes inhibits the expression of some lipid biosynthesis genes and also promotes the expression of some myogenic genes. Our findings imply that a novel function of MYOD1 in adipocyte differentiation. Thus, affecting MYOD1 might represent a viable strategy to improve fat ratio to muscle in avian.

Materials and methods

Plasmid construction

MYOD1- knock-out and knock-in plasmids: Using the MYOD1 sequence obtained from the NCBI database (Accession: NC_006092.5), we designed gRNA sequences targeting exon1 of MYOD1, known as sgRNA1: CGACCCTGCTTCAACACGT and sgRNA2: GCGGCTCAGCAAGGTCACAG. We synthesized the oligo-DNAs corresponding to these gRNAs. We annealed them to a T7 promoter-driven Cas9 and to a U6 promoter-driven gRNA vector in order to obtain two gRNA-expressing plasmids. In order to construct the MYOD1-over-expression vector, the full-length coding sequence of MYOD1 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM_204214.2) was amplified from chicken subcutaneous adipose cDNA by PCR, and cloned into the CMV promoter-driven piggyBac and an EF1α promoter-driven GFP plasmid by replacing GFP using EcoRI and Salll (New England Bio-labs, Ipswich, MA, USA).

pmirGLO dual-luciferase reporters: The 3′-UTR fragment of KLF4 (NCBI Reference Sequence: XM_004949369.3) containing the binding sites were amplified by PCR from chicken subcutaneous adipose cDNA and then cloned into pmirGLO vector. The mutant vectors were constructed by PCR mutagenesis. Six seed sequences were successfully mutated from CATTCC to GTGAAG for the KLF4-3′-UTR vector.

Gene over-expression vector: The MYOD1 and KLF4 over-expression vector was constructed according to the user manual of the Easy Ligation Kit (Sidansai, Shanghai, China). MYOD1 and KLF4 coding sequence (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM_204214.2 and XM_004949369.3) were amplified from chicken subcutaneous adipose cDNA by PCR. The PCR product was cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector. The successful MYOD1 and KLF4 over-expression vector, was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

miR-206 promoter-reporter plasmid: A 1876 bp fragment of the miR-206 promoter was isolated by PCR using the primers listed in Table S1. The PCR product was digested with KpnI and SmaI restriction enzymes (Takara, Otsu, Japan), then the insertion was ligated into the pGL4.10 vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to create the expression vector pGL4.10−_1876 bp. The pGL4.10−_1876 bp was subjected to be sequenced, and this construct was used as a template, and pGL4.10−_1234 bp was isolated by PCR. All cloning plasmids were confirmed by sequencing.
RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative real-time PCR

According to the manufacturer's instruction, the total RNA was isolated from the cells using RNAliso reagent (Takara, Otsu, Japan). According to the manufacturer's manual, the reverse transcription reaction for mRNA was performed with PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (Perfect Real-Time) (Takara, Otsu, Japan). The reverse transcription reaction for miRNA was using miRNA First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (Transgen, Beijing, China). The specific qRT-PCR Primer of mRNA and miRNA were designed using Primer 3 software (version 0.4.0, Howard Hughes Medical Institute). Primer sets are listed in Table S1. The qPCR reaction, which contained KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems, MA, USA), was carried out in ABI-7500 PCR machine (Applied Biosystems, MA, USA) following a standard thermal protocol as previously described [35]. All reactions were run in triplicate.

Cell culture

A cell line of immortalized chicken preadipocytes (ICPs) [36] was cultured in DMEM/F12 (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), and 0.2% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). To induce ICPs differentiation, we added 160 μM sodium oleate (Sigma Life Science, St. Louis, MO, USA) to the medium [37].

For MYOD1OE and MYOD1KO cell selection, ICPs were seeded in 6-well plates for further transfection using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). After a 48-h recovery period, the cells were supplemented with 3 μg/mL of puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) in the culture medium for 12 days until clone formation. Cells were harvested using 0.25% trypsin/EDTA (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), and the cell density was calculated using a handheld automated cell counter (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Single cells were plated in each well of a 96-well plate by limiting dilution and then cultured for 10 d in the cell culture medium. The medium was replaced every 4 d. Confluent cell colonies were propagated and genotyped by PCR and sequencing.

Transfections

Transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's direction. Nucleic acids were diluted in OPTI-MEM Medium (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). All experiments were carried out at least three times independently.

Oil red O staining and quantification

The cells were washed with PBS and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 min. The cells were then stained with Oil-Red-O working solution (Solarbio, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's manual. After another wash with PBS, the cell nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (Solarbio, Beijing, China). Images were acquired by inverted fluorescent microscope (Nikon) with 20× objective lens (200× magnification), and quantified by Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Particle number was quantified with the analysis particles functions in threshold single sections with size (pixel²) setting from 0.1 to 10, and circularity from 0.1 to 1. The Oil-Red-O dyes were then extracted in isopropanol solution containing 4% Nonidet P-40 and quantified by NanoDrop 2000C spectrophotometers (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) at 510 nm.

RNA oligonucleotides

The miR-206 mimics, negative control (NC) mimic, miR-206 inhibitors, and NC inhibitor were all purchased from GenePharma (GenePharma, Shanghai, China).

Dual-luciferase reporter assay

For the promoter activity assays, ICPs were cotransfected with reporter plasmid and MYOD1 over-expression vector or control vector. The TK-Renilla reporter was also cotransfected to each sample as an internal control using the Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in 48-well plates. The miRNA target verification assay was also performed in ICPs. Wild-type or mutant KLF4-3′-UTR dual-luciferase reporter (200 ng) and miR-206 mimic or NC mimic (50 nmol/L) were cotransfected into ICPs. After 48 h transfection, cells were washed by PBS twice, and the activities of Firefly and Renilla luciferase were measured according to the manual of Luc-pair Duo-Luciferase Assay Kit 2.0 (GeneCopoeia, Rockville, MD, USA). All the data were acquired by averaging the results from three independent repeats.

Western blot

Cultured cells were washed with PBS and homogenized with RIPA buffer (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China). Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China). Proteins were denatured and subjected to 10% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to methanol-activated PVDF membranes. Blots were probed using the primary antibodies: mouse anti-MYOD1 (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA, Cat# sc-377460), rabbit anti-KLF4 (1:500; Bioss, Beijing, China, Cat# 52850R), mouse anti-GAPDH, (1:5000; Bio-world, St Louis Park, MN, USA, Cat#MB001), overnight at 4 °C. After 1 h incubation with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated second antibody (1:5000, Bios, Beijing, China, Cat# 40296G, 40295G). Immunodetection was performed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL)
Western blotting substrate (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China), and was detected with Fluochem R imaging system (ProteinSimple, CA, USA).

**RNA-seq analysis**

RAW reads were trimmed to remove adapters and low-quality reads, with Trimmomatic (version 0.39) [38]. Trimmed reads were mapped to the chicken reference genome (Ensembl release 100: ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release100/fasta/gallus_gallus/dna/Gallus_gallus.GRCg6a.dna.toplevel.fa.gz) using HISAT2 [39]. Read counts for each gene were calculated using Stringtie (v.2.1.2) and normalized by library sequencing depth using the R package DESeq2 (v.1.28.1) [40]. We used the DESeq2 (v.1.28.1) package to identify DEGs between MYOD1NC, MYOD1KO, and MYOD1OE cells at different days (day 0 and day 5). Therefore, samples were excluded from further analysis due to their low global Pearson correlation with the other repeat samples ($R^2 < 0.95$). Genes with $|\log_{2}FC| \geq 0.585$ (or $\geq 1$) and the Benjamini & Hochberg (BH) adjusted $P$-value (adjusted-$P$ value) $< 0.05$ were considered as differentially expressed genes.

**Functional enrichment and prediction of miRNA target genes**

Genes were annotated with gene Symbols from the Uniprot database for functional annotation. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the enriched genes was performed using the web-based Metascape [41] (a gene annotation & analysis resource, http://metascape.org/gp/index.html#main). Putative miRNA targets for miR-206 were predicted by online software, TargetScan (version 7.2, http://www.targetscan.org/), miRDB (http://mirdb.org/) as well as miRTarBase (http://mirtarbase.cuhk.edu.cn/) to choose target genes for validation.

**Statistical analysis**

Each experiment was repeated three times, and all results are represented as the mean ± SD. Three independent sample t-test was used to perform the statistically significant difference between groups. The level of significance was presented as * ($P < 0.05$).

**Results**

**MYOD1 is a repressor of adipocyte differentiation**

In our previous study [34], we found that the expression of MYOD1 is significantly down-regulated after the beginning of Pekin duck adipocyte differentiation (Fig. 1a). To further understand the relationship between MYOD1 and adipocyte differentiation, we detected its expression in adipogenic differentiation of ICPs by qPCR (Fig. 1b) and Western blot (Fig. 1c). MYOD1 was also significantly down-regulated its expression after adipocyte differentiation in chicken. These results indicate that MYOD1 is involved in the avian adipocyte differentiation process.

![Fig. 1](image1.png) **Fig. 1** MYOD1 is down-regulated in the early stage of avian adipocyte differentiation. **a, b** MYOD1 mRNA levels in different time points during the differentiation of Pekin duck subcutaneous preadipocytes (mRNA-seq) and ICPs (qPCR). **c** MYOD1 protein levels at different time points during ICPs differentiation were determined by Western blotting. Data are shown as mean ± SD of three biological replicates. The t-test was used to analyze the statistical differences between groups. *, $P < 0.05$. 

![Fig. 2](image2.png) **Fig. 2** MYOD1 expression in different cell lines. **a** MYOD1 expression in chicken embryonic fibroblast (CEF) and chicken fibroblast (ICP) cell lines. **b** MYOD1 expression in Pekin duck preadipocytes (PDA) and ICPs. Data are shown as mean ± SD of three biological replicates. The t-test was used to analyze the statistical differences between groups. *, $P < 0.05$. 

![Fig. 3](image3.png) **Fig. 3** MYOD1 localization in different cell lines. **a** MYOD1 localization in chicken embryonic fibroblast (CEF) and chicken fibroblast (ICP) cell lines. **b** MYOD1 localization in Pekin duck preadipocytes (PDA) and ICPs. Data are shown as mean ± SD of three biological replicates. The t-test was used to analyze the statistical differences between groups. *, $P < 0.05$. 

![Fig. 4](image4.png) **Fig. 4** MYOD1 expression in different tissue types. **a** MYOD1 expression in chicken breast muscle, liver, and adipose tissue. **b** MYOD1 expression in Pekin duck breast muscle, liver, and adipose tissue. Data are shown as mean ± SD of three biological replicates. The t-test was used to analyze the statistical differences between groups. *, $P < 0.05$. 

![Fig. 5](image5.png) **Fig. 5** MYOD1 localization in different tissue types. **a** MYOD1 localization in chicken breast muscle, liver, and adipose tissue. **b** MYOD1 localization in Pekin duck breast muscle, liver, and adipose tissue. Data are shown as mean ± SD of three biological replicates. The t-test was used to analyze the statistical differences between groups. *, $P < 0.05$. 
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To further determine the roles of MYOD1 in avian adipocyte differentiation, we first performed gain-of-function experiments by using piggyBac delivery [42] of MYOD1 into ICPs. As shown in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b, transduced MYOD1 clone can significantly induce MYOD1 expression relative to cells transduced with a control vector. Strikingly, over-expression of MYOD1 in ICPs (MYOD1OE) significantly blocked adipogenesis (Fig. 2c, d), as shown by oil red O staining of neutral lipids (Fig. S1a, b). Adipocyte markers, such as PPARγ, A-FABP, C/EBPα, and C/EBPβ (Fig. 2e), were significantly decreased in MYOD1OE cells.

We further sought confirmation of MYOD1 anti-adipogenic activity through loss-of-function studies, in which we would predict enhanced adipose conversion. We transfected ICPs with two single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting the exon 1 of MYOD1 and a Cas9 vector. We established MYOD1-knock-out ICP Clones.
(MYOD1<sup>KO</sup>) with 260-bp frame-shifting deletions in MYOD1 (Fig. 2f), indicating that both gRNAs work efficiently with Cas9 to edit MYOD1. The mutation of MYOD1 also dramatically down-regulated its expression relative to cells transfected with an only Cas9 vector (Fig. 2g, h). MYOD1<sup>KO</sup> cells demonstrated enhanced adipogenic potential, including greater lipid accumulation (Fig. 2i, j; Fig. S2a, b), and increased the expression of adipocyte marker genes (Fig. 2k).

**MYOD1** perturb the lipid biosynthetic process

To further understand the effect of MYOD1 on adipogenesis, we performed mRNA-Seq experiments in MYOD1<sup>OE</sup>, MYOD1<sup>NC</sup>, and MYOD1<sup>KO</sup> cells prior to differentiation (day 0) and day 5 after differentiation. In total, 886 and 1335 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were up-regulated and down-regulated in MYOD1<sup>OE</sup> cells compared to MYOD1<sup>NC</sup> cells on day 0, respectively (Table S2, fold change > 2; adjusted-<i>P</i> value < 0.05). GO analyses for DEGs up-regulated in MYOD1<sup>OE</sup> cells are enriched for development processes such as blood vessel development, muscle structure development, and positive regulation of muscle tissue development. In contrast, DEGs down-regulated in MYOD1<sup>OE</sup> cells are enriched for extracellular matrix organization, metabolism process, and cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation (Table 1, Table S3). Also, 256 and 971 DEGs were up-regulated and down-regulated in MYOD1<sup>KO</sup> cells compared to MYOD1<sup>NC</sup> cells on day 0, respectively (Table S2, fold change > 2; adjusted-<i>P</i> value < 0.05). As expected, GO analysis for genes down-regulated in MYOD1<sup>KO</sup> cells also are enriched for striated muscle cell differentiation and muscle system process. In contrast, genes up-regulated in MYOD1<sup>KO</sup> cells are enriched in

| Table 1 GO enrichment analysis of DEGs in MYOD1<sup>OE</sup>, MYOD1<sup>NC</sup>, and MYOD1<sup>KO</sup> cells |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Up-regulated** | Gene count | Log (q-value) | **Down-regulated** | Gene count | Log (q-value) |
|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|
| **MYOD1<sup>OE</sup> vs. MYOD1<sup>NC</sup>** (day 0) | | | | | |
| blood vessel development | 74 | -11.19 | cell involved in differentiation | 25 | -1.35 |
| muscle structure development | 64 | 9.51 | extracellular matrix organization | 38 | -1.35 |
| heart development | 56 | -8.84 | ATP metabolic process | 21 | -1.35 |
| actin cytoskeleton organization | 60 | -7.72 | inorganic cation transmembrane transport | 36 | -1.12 |
| muscle tissue development | 41 | -6.50 | developmental growth | 30 | -0.66 |
| regulation of cell morphogenesis | 18 | -3.09 | regulation of system process | 44 | -8.02 |
| positive regulation of cell development | 17 | -2.34 | skeletal system development | 35 | -5.05 |
| cell involved in differentiation | 19 | -2.19 | muscle structure development | 37 | -3.82 |
| regulation of MAPK cascade | 18 | -1.89 | heart development | 34 | -3.82 |
| regulation of lipid metabolic process | 11 | -1.09 | muscle system process | 29 | -3.66 |
| **MYOD1<sup>OE</sup> vs. MYOD1<sup>KO</sup>** (day 0) | | | | | |
| nuclear division | 70 | -13.19 | actin cytoskeleton organization | 146 | -23.46 |
| ncRNA metabolic process | 78 | -10.61 | heart development | 128 | -21.58 |
| DNA replication | 48 | -9.70 | skeletal system development | 118 | -20.87 |
| regulation of cell cycle process | 83 | -5.79 | extracellular structure organization | 95 | -16.49 |
| ribosomal large subunit biogenesis | 17 | -4.12 | muscle structure development | 126 | -15.92 |
| **MYOD1<sup>NC</sup> vs. MYOD1<sup>KO</sup>** (day 5 vs. day 0) | | | | | |
| nuclear division | 59 | -21.11 | extracellular matrix organization | 46 | -11.38 |
| cell division | 71 | -21.11 | heart development | 52 | -8.01 |
| lipid biosynthetic process | 40 | -2.74 | muscle structure development | 55 | -7.35 |
| regulation of MAPK cascade | 36 | -1.33 | skeletal system development | 45 | -6.29 |
| glycerophospholipid metabolic process | 19 | -1.72 | regulation of lipid metabolic process | 35 | -4.68 |
| **MYOD1<sup>KO</sup> vs. MYOD1<sup>OE</sup>** (day 5 vs. day 0) | | | | | |
| cell division | 68 | -6.78 | extracellular structure organization | 66 | -8.36 |
| protein autophosphorylation | 22 | -4.05 | DNA replication | 44 | -4.73 |
| mitotic nuclear division | 46 | -4.02 | skeletal system development | 61 | -3.78 |
| lipid biosynthetic process | 40 | -2.74 | phospholipid metabolic process | 53 | -3.78 |
| regulation of cell cycle process | 64 | -2.13 | muscle structure development | 56 | -0.89 |
the adipogenesis related pathway, including cell morphogenesis in differentiation, regulation of MAPK cascade, and positive regulation of lipid metabolic process (Table 1, Table S3). These results indicate that although differentiation has not yet begun, the knock-in or knockout of MYOD1 alone seems to have affected preadipocyte characteristics.

To better understand the effect of MYOD1 on adipogenesis, we re-analyzed the DEGs before and after adipogenic differentiation in MYOD1KO, MYOD1NC, and MYOD1OE cells. A total of 2457, 781, and 1636 DEGs were significantly up-regulated on day 5 of differentiation of MYOD1OE, MYOD1NC and MYOD1KO cells compared to day 0, respectively (Table S4, fold change > 1.5; adjusted-P value < 0.05). The up-regulated DEGs of the three adipocyte lines on day 5 were significantly enriched in cell division and DNA replication, which is an essential step in adipocyte differentiation. At the same time, down-regulated DEGs are also significantly enriched in muscle structure development (Table 1, Table S5), indicating that muscle development-specific genes are also considerably suppressed during chicken adipocyte differentiation. Notably, we found that the lipid biosynthetic process was only enriched in the DEGs up-regulated on day 5 in MYOD1KO and MYOD1NC cells, but not in MYOD1OE cells (Table 1, Table S5). Furthermore, to test whether MYOD1 over-expression impacts the lipid biosynthetic process in a statistical threshold-independent manner, we directly compared expression fold-changes of known lipid biosynthesis genes (from Genecards database: Pathcards: Fatty Acyl-CoA Biosynthesis & Triglyceride Biosynthesis) during adipocyte differentiation and found that most lipid biosynthesis genes tended to be up-regulated on day 5 of MYOD1KO cells, but down-regulated in MYOD1OE cells (Fig. 2l, Table S6), which consistent with observations from our phenotype-profiling experiments and indicating that MYOD1 is a repressor for the adipogenesis.

The expression of miR-206 can be significantly induced in MYOD1OE cells

MYOD1 has been confirmed to induce highly conserved myomiRs expression by binding to miRNA upstream regions, including miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b, and miR-206, and these myomiRs are widely directly involved in the inhibition of other pathways [43–47]. qPCR assay found that MYOD1OE cells expressed significantly higher levels of miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b, and miR-206 (Fig. 3a), and miR-206 has the highest expression fold change (about 40 fold). MYOD1KO cells also significantly reduce miR-206 expression (Fig. 3b). Also, we found multiple binding sites of MYOD1 in the 2000 bp upstream region of gga-miR-206, especially within 1200 bp (Table S7). Thus, we hypothesize that miR-206 may be an essential mediator for MYOD1 to inhibit adipocyte differentiation. To validate the regulatory relationship between MYOD1 and miR-206 transcription, two distinct lengths of upstream regions (1876 and 1234 bp) of the gga-miR-206 transcription start site were amplified and cloned into pGL4.10 vector to detect the promoter activity. After cotransfecting with pGL-TK and pcDNA3.1-MYOD1 into ICPs, both of pGL4.10−1876 bp and pGL4.10−1234 bp showed a significantly increasing promoter activity compared to cotransfected with pGL-TK and pcDNA3.1 (P < 0.05) (Fig. 3c). Our results showed that the chicken MYOD1 can bind to 1200 bp upstream region of gga-miR-206 and promote the transcription activity of miR-206 in ICPs, as previously reported [24].

miR-206 is a downstream gene of MYOD1 that inhibits adipocyte differentiation

We then examined the expression and function of miR-206 during adipocyte differentiation. The expression of miR-206, similar to the protein level of MYOD1, was significantly down-regulated after differentiation (Fig. 3d), suggesting a synergistic relationship between them, and both of them were involved in this process. Therefore, we transfected miR-206 mimic and inhibitor into ICPs, respectively. Transfection-mediated gene transfer resulted in up to 840-fold elevation in the expression of miR-206 (Fig. 3e). Over-expression of miR-206 also significantly inhibits lipid droplet accumulation and reduced adipocyte marker genes expression (Fig. 3f, g; Fig. S3a, b), whereas the inhibition of miR-206 promotes adipocyte differentiation (Fig. 3h-j; Fig. S4a, b). Together, these results demonstrated that miR-206 could inhibit adipocyte differentiation.

To examine whether MYOD1 targets miR-206 to regulate adipocyte differentiation, we transfected MYOD1OE cells with miR-206 inhibitor and knock-down of miR-206 could counteract the inhibition effect of MYOD1 over-expression on adipocyte differentiation (Fig. 3k, l; Fig. S5a, b). Similarly, over-expression of miR-206 also significantly inhibited lipid accumulation in MYOD1KO cells (Fig. S6a–e). Together, these results suggest that the inhibitory effect of MYOD1 on adipocyte differentiation was achieved by its downstream gene miR-206.

KLF4 is a miR-206 target gene, functioning as an activator of adipocyte differentiation

In order to explore the potential mechanism of miR-206 in regulating adipocyte differentiation, we performed bioinformatic analysis. Three bioinformatic tools (TargetScan, miRD, and miRTarBase) were employed to identify the candidate targets of miR-206 (Table S8). All three programs predicted a total of 16 miR-206 target genes, such as KLF4, CCND2, and UTRN (Fig. 4a). Among them, we noticed that KLF4, a key activator of adipocyte
differentiation, contains the miR-206 binding site in its 3' UTR (Fig. 4b). This binding site is conserved among avian (Fig. 4c), suggesting the biological relevance of miR-206 in regulating KLF4 expression in avian. mRNA-seq also showed that the fold change (day 5 vs. day 0) of KLF4 expression was the highest in the differentiated MYOD1KO cells, followed by the MYOD1NC, and the lowest in the MYOD1OE cells (Fig. 4d). To validate whether KLF4 is the target gene of miR-206, the 3' UTR of chicken KLF4 containing the wild-type or mutated miR-206-binding sites were cloned into pmiRGLO vector, and the luciferase activity was found to be significantly decreased in ICPs cotransfected with the vector carrying the wild-type-binding site in the presence of miR-206 mimics, but not in ICPs carrying the mutated-binding site (Fig. 4e). On the other hand, the luciferase activity was found to be significantly increased in ICPs cotransfected with the vector carrying the wild-type-binding site in the presence of miR-206 inhibitor, but not in ICPs carrying the mutated-binding site (Fig. 4f). In addition, over-expression of miR-
KLF4 is the miR-206 target gene, functioning as an activator of adipocyte differentiation. a Overlap of three miRNA target bioinformatic prediction algorithms. b The potential binding site of miR-206 in the KLF4 mRNA 3′-UTR. c The potential binding site (red) of miR-206 in the KLF4 mRNA 3′-UTR is highly conserved among vertebrates. d Compare the fold change of KLF4 in MYOD1OE, MYOD1KO, and MYOD1KO cells at day 5 compared to day 0. e, f Dual-luciferase reporter assay indicated that miR-206 could bind to the predicted binding site of the KLF4 mRNA 3′-UTR. g, h miR-206 over-expression inhibited KLF4 mRNA and protein expression in ICPs. i, j miR-206 inhibition promoted KLF4 mRNA and protein expression in ICPs. k, l KLF4 mRNA and protein levels at different time points during ICPs differentiation. m Over-expression of KLF4 could counteract the inhibition effect of miR-206 over-expression on adipocyte differentiation. n mRNA levels of adipocyte marker genes were analyzed with qPCR. Data are shown as mean ± SD of three biological replicates. The t-test was used to analyze the statistical differences between groups. *, P < 0.05.
inhibiting miR-206 or over-expression of KLF4 in MYOD1^{OE} cells will significantly increase C/EBPβ expression.

**Discussion**

Avian are important farm animals throughout the world, producing eggs and high-quality meat for humans. Muscle mass and fat content are both important traits for meat-producing chickens but attaining an appropriate muscle and fat ratio is an excellent challenge for the broiler industry [48]. Discovering functional genes that can simultaneously regulate muscle and adipocyte development is a key step to improve these traits [49]. Previous and current transcriptomic data have shown that many muscle development genes were differentially expressed during adipocyte differentiation. Among these, our study investigated MYOD1 inhibited avian adipocyte differentiation via the miRNA-206/KLF4 axis (Fig. 5d).

We have also identified a negative regulation between MYOD1 and lipid biosynthesis genes during adipogenesis. Previous studies reported that MYOD1 expression in brown fat is significantly reduced, and it inhibits brown fat development through PRDM16 [50]. A lineage-tracing study reveals that the MYOD1 lineage does not give rise to brown adipocytes, indicating a role of MYOD1 in myogenic cell fate switch in the common progenitors that give rise to both myoblasts and brown preadipocytes [20]. Moreover, the loss of MYOD1 also facilitates the adipogenic trans-differentiation of C2C12 myoblasts by the miR-133/IGF1R/Pi3K/AKT signaling pathway [47]. These findings revealed a novel function of MYOD1 in adipogenesis.

MYOD1 is considered as a master regulator of myogenesis as its expression can induce myogenic differentiation in myoblasts, fibroblasts, and a variety of other cell types [18, 51, 52]. In this study, muscle structure development was significantly enriched in the up-regulated DEGs of MYOD1^{OE} cells, and also enriched in down-regulated DEGs of MYOD1^{KO} on day 0, which all demonstrate the strong transcriptional regulatory activity of MYOD1 on downstream genes. Whether the ectopic expression of MYOD1 in preadipocytes promoted the myogenesis has not been examined in this study. Still, this evidence makes us believe that the over-expression of MYOD1 can promote the trans-differentiation of preadipocytes into muscle cells. In addition, several co-culture experiments have revealed that adipogenesis is strongly inhibited by the presence of satellite cell-derived myofibres [8, 53]. Supportively, the transcriptomic analysis also suggested that MYOD1 would be a repressor of adipogenesis by inhibiting the expression of lipid biosynthesis genes. Similarly, myogenesis was wholly blocked in both the MYOD1/ MYF5 and MYOD1/IGF2 double knockout mice. Both results showed potential functions of MYOD1 on adipogenesis [54, 55]. On the other hand, myoblasts trans-differentiate into mature adipocytes by ectopic expression of adipogenic transcription factors under conditions permissive for adipogenesis [14]. The mutual exclusion of the two lineage-specific transcription factors balances and determines the developmental separation of fat and muscle tissue.

The current fast-growing and high-energy diet makes the chicken more likely to show myopathy, such as white striping, characterized by more fat in the breast muscles, resulting in meat with higher fat content and lower protein content [56, 57]. Combined with previously reported
that *MYOD1* can promote muscle development, increasing the expression of *MYOD1* may be an effective strategy to treat these diseases.

Finally, we show that miR-206 is an important mediator of *MYOD1* induced inhibition of adipogenesis. Previous study has proven that miR-206 inhibits adipocyte differentiation by targeting c-MET and its downstream PI3K/AKT pathway in mammals [28, 29]. Through TargetScan and miRDB analysis, however, c-MET was not the target gene of gga-miR-206 (Table S8), and the potential binding site of gga-miR-206 is also not in the 3′-UTR of c-MET (Table S9), which may be due to a divergence in evolution. Studies have shown that KLF4 expression is required for C/EBPβ expression observed in the early stages of adipogenesis. Indeed, in this study, we found that C/EBPβ and its downstream genes C/EBPa and PPARy were significantly inhibited in *MYOD1*OE cells than in *MYOD1*NC, but they were increased after over-expression of KLF4 or inhibition of miR-206, suggesting a possible involvement of KLF4 and C/EBPβ in the inhibition of *MYOD1* on C/EBPa and PPARy transcription. However, given the over-expression of *MYOD1* also promotes other myomiRs (miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b) expression, we are implying that miRNA-206 may not be the sole target of *MYOD1* to inhibit adipocyte differentiation. The inhibitory effect of *MYOD1* on adipocyte differentiation should probably be accounted for by multiple targets. Therefore, identifying *MYOD1*’s other targets and understanding how it may affect these targets will warrant further investigation.

Conclusions

In summary, this study provides new insight into that *MYOD1* also works as the repressor of adipocyte differentiation via miR-206/KLF4 axis in avian adipocyte model. Considering the significant role of adipocyte differentiation in the formation and function of adipose, clarification of the mechanism of *MYOD1*-mediated regulation of adipocyte differentiation is essential for exploring strategies for the treatment of metabolic disorders, including white striping. In combination with previous findings of the beneficial role of *MYOD1* in muscle differentiation, we proposed that *MYOD1* may be a crucial target for improving the ratio of muscle to fat in avian.
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