TOWARDS THE GEOMETRY OF DOUBLE HURWITZ NUMBERS
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ABSTRACT. Double Hurwitz numbers count branched covers of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with fixed branch points, with simple branching required over all but two points 0 and $\infty$, and the branching over 0 and $\infty$ points specified by partitions of the degree (with $m$ and $n$ parts respectively). Single Hurwitz numbers (or more usually, Hurwitz numbers) have a rich structure, explored by many authors in fields as diverse as algebraic geometry, symplectic geometry, combinatorics, representation theory, and mathematical physics. A remarkable formula of Ekedahl, Lando, M. Shapiro, and Vainshtein (the ELSV formula) relates single Hurwitz numbers to intersection theory on the moduli space of curves. This connection has led to many consequences, including Okounkov and Pandharipande’s proof of Witten’s conjecture (Kontsevich’s theorem) connecting intersection theory on the moduli space of curves to integrable systems.

In this paper, we determine the structure of double Hurwitz numbers using techniques from geometry, algebra, and representation theory. Our motivation is geometric: we give strong evidence that double Hurwitz numbers are top intersections on a moduli space of curves with a line bundle (a universal Picard variety). In particular, we prove a piecewise-polynomiality result analogous to that implied by the ELSV formula. In the case $m = 1$ (complete branching over one point) and $n$ is arbitrary, we conjecture an ELSV-type formula, and show it to be true in genus 0 and 1. The corresponding Witten-type correlation function has a better structure than that for single Hurwitz numbers, and we show that it satisfies many geometric properties, such as the string and dilaton equations, and a genus expansion ansatz analogous to that of Itzykson and Zuber. We give a symmetric function description of the double Hurwitz generating series, which leads to explicit formulae for double Hurwitz numbers with given $m$ and $n$, as a function of genus. In the case where $m$ is fixed but not necessarily 1, we prove a topological recursion on the corresponding generating series, which leads to closed-form expressions for double Hurwitz numbers and an analogue of the Goulden-Jackson polynomiality conjecture (an early conjectural variant of the ELSV formula).

Coupled with earlier work connecting double Hurwitz numbers to integrable systems, an ELSV-type formula would translate all of this structure on double Hurwitz numbers to the intersection theory of the universal Picard variety described earlier.
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1. INTRODUCTION

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ are partitions of a positive integer $d$, the double Hurwitz number $H_{g,\alpha,\beta}$ is the number of genus $g$ branched covers of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with branching corresponding to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ over $0$ and $\infty$ respectively, and an appropriate number $r$ of other fixed simple branched points (determined by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, and depending on $g$, $m$, $n$). For simple branching, the monodromy of the sheets is a transposition. To simplify the exposition, we assume that the points mapping to 0 and $\infty$ are labelled. Thus the double Hurwitz numbers under this convention are $|\text{Aut } \alpha||\text{Aut } \beta|$ larger than they would be under the convention in [GV].

Our (long-term) goal is to understand the structure of double Hurwitz numbers, and in particular to determine the possible form of an ELSV-type formula expressing double Hurwitz numbers in terms of intersection theory on some universal Picard variety, that we surmise is close to the one defined by Caporaso in [C]. (M. Shapiro has made significant progress in determining what this space might be [S].)

1.1. Motivation from single Hurwitz numbers. Our methods are extensions of the combinatorial and character-theoretic methods that we have used in the very well-developed theory of single Hurwitz numbers $H_{g,\alpha}$, where all but possibly one branch point have simple branching. (They are usually called “Hurwitz numbers,”” but we have added the term
“single” to distinguish them from the double Hurwitz numbers.) Single Hurwitz numbers have proved to have surprising connections to geometry, including the moduli space of curves. (For a remarkable recent link to the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface, see for example [LQW] p. 2 and [Va].) Our intent is to draw similar connections in the case of the double Hurwitz numbers. We wish to use the representation-theoretic and combinatorial structure of double Hurwitz numbers to understand the intersection theory of a conjectural universal Picard variety, in analogy with the connection between single Hurwitz numbers and the moduli space of curves, as shown in the following diagram.

$$H^g_\alpha \xleftarrow{\text{ELSV}} \text{moduli space of curves} \xrightarrow{Witten (Ok.-Pand.)} H^g_{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{\text{ELSV-type (e.g. [9])?}} \text{universal Picard variety}$$

Single Hurwitz numbers

Double Hurwitz numbers

Understanding this would give, for example, Toda constraints on the topology of the moduli of curves.

The history of single Hurwitz numbers is too long to elaborate here (and our bibliography omits many foundational articles), but we wish to draw the reader’s attention to ideas leading, in particular, to the ELSV formula.

The ELSV formula ([ELSV1], [ELSV2], see also [GV]) asserts that:

(1)

$$H^g_\alpha = C(g, \alpha) \int_{\overline{M}_{g,m}} \frac{1 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \cdots \pm \lambda_g}{(1 - \alpha_1 \psi_1) \cdots (1 - \alpha_m \psi_m)}$$

where

(2)

$$C(g, \alpha) = r! \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i^{\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i!}$$

is a scaling factor (it clearly has a combinatorial interpretation). Here $\overline{M}_{g,m}$ is Deligne and Mumford’s compactification of the moduli space of genus $g$ curves with $m$ marked points, $\lambda_k$ is a certain codimension $k$ class, and $\psi_i$ is a certain codimension 1 class. We refer the reader to the original papers for precise definitions, which we will not need. (The original ELSV formula includes a factor of $|\text{Aut } \alpha|$ in the denominator, but as stated earlier, we are considering the points over $\infty$, or equivalently the parts of $\alpha$, to be labelled.) The right hand side should be interpreted by expanding the integrand formally, and capping the terms of degree $\text{dim} \overline{M}_{g,m} = 3g - 3 + m$ with the fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{g,m}]$.

The ELSV formula (1) implies that

(3)

$$H^g_\alpha = C(g, \alpha) P^g_m(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m),$$

where $P^g_m$ is a polynomial whose terms have total degrees between $2g - 3 + m$ and $3g - 3 + m = \text{dim} \overline{M}_{g,m}$. The coefficients of this polynomial are precisely the top intersections on the moduli space of curves involving $\psi$-classes and up to one $\lambda$-class, often written, using
Witten’s notation, as:

\[
\langle \tau_{a_1} \cdots \tau_{a_m} \lambda \rangle^g := \int \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_{g,m}} \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_m^{a_m} \lambda = (-1)^k [a_1^{a_1} \cdots a_m^{a_m}] P^g_m(a_1, \ldots, a_m)
\]

can be interpreted by expanding the integrand formally, and capping when \( \sum a_i + k = 3g - 3 + m \), and 0 otherwise. (Here we use the notation \([A]B\) for the coefficient of \(A\) in \(B\).) This ELSV polynomiality is related to (and implies, by [GJV] Thm. 3.2) an earlier conjecture of Goulden and Jackson, describing the form of the generating series for single Hurwitz numbers of genus \(g\) ([GJ4, Conj. 1.2], see also [GJVn, Conj. 1.4]). The conjecture asserts that after a change of variables, the single Hurwitz generating series is “polynomial” (in the sense that its scaled coefficients are polynomials). The Goulden-Jackson conjecture is in fact a genus expansion ansatz for Hurwitz numbers analogous to the ansatz of Itzykson and Zuber ([IZ, (5.32)], proved in [EY1, GJV]). ELSV polynomiality is related to Goulden-Jackson polynomiality, an early conjectural variant of the ELSV formula, by a change of variables arising from Lagrange inversion [GJV Thm. 2.5].

Hence, in developing the theory of double Hurwitz numbers, we seek some sort of polynomiality (in this case, piecewise polynomiality) that will tell us something about the moduli space in the background (such as its dimension), as well as a Goulden-Jackson-type conjecture or ansatz.

1.2. Summary of results. In Section 2 we use ribbon graphs to establish that double Hurwitz numbers (with fixed \(m\) and \(n\)) are piecewise polynomial of degree up to \(4g - 3 + m + n\) (Piecewise Polynomiality Thm. 2.1), with no scaling factor analogous to \(C(g, \alpha)\). More precisely, for fixed \(m\) and \(n\), we show that \(H^g_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m), (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)}\) counts the number of lattice points in certain polytopes, and as the \(\alpha_i\) and \(\beta_j\) vary, the facets move. Further, we conjecture that the degree is bounded below by \(2g - 3 + m + n\) (Conj. 2.2), and verify this conjecture in genus 0, and for \(m = n = 1\) (Cor. 2.2). We give an example \(((g, m, n) = (0, 2, 2))\) showing that it is not polynomial in general.

In Section 3 we consider the case \(m = 1\) (“one-part double Hurwitz numbers”), which corresponds to double Hurwitz numbers with complete branching over 0. One-part double Hurwitz numbers have a particularly tractable structure. In particular, they are polynomial: for fixed \(g, n\), \(H^g_{(d), (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)}\) is a polynomial in \(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\). Theorem 3.1 gives two formulae for these numbers (one in terms of the series \(\sinh x/x\) and the other an explicit expression) generalizing formulae of both Shapiro-Shapiro-Vainshtein [SSV Thm. 6] and Goulden-Jackson [GJ2 Thm. 3.2]. As an application, we prove polynomiality, and in particular show that the resulting polynomials have simple expressions in terms of character theory. Based on this polynomiality, we conjecture an ELSV-type formula for one-part double Hurwitz numbers (Conj. 3.5):

\[
H^g_{(d), \beta} = r! d \int_{\text{Pic}_{g,n}} \frac{\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_2 + \cdots \pm \Lambda_{2g}}{(1 - \beta_1 \psi_1) \cdots (1 - \beta_n \psi_n)}
\]

Here, \(\text{Pic}_{g,n}\) is the moduli space of smooth genus \(g\) curves with \(n\) distinct labelled smooth points together with a line bundle; for example, the points of \(\text{Pic}_{g,n}\) correspond to ordered triples (smooth genus \(g\) curve \(C\), \(n\) distinct labeled points on \(C\), line bundle on \(C\)). The space \(\text{Pic}_{g,n}\) is some as-yet-undetermined compactification of \(\text{Pic}_{g,n}\), supporting classes \(\psi_i\) and \(\Lambda_{2g}\), satisfying properties described in Conj. 3.5. As with the ELSV formula (1), the right side of (5) should be interpreted by expanding the integrand formally, and capping...
the terms of dimension $4g - 3 + n$ with $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}$. The most speculative part of this conjecture is the identification of the $(4g - 3 + n)$-dimensional moduli space with a compactification of $\text{Pic}_{g,n}$ (see the Remarks following Conj. 3.5).

Motivated by this conjecture, we define a symbol $\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g$, the analogue of $\langle \cdot \rangle_g$, by the first equality of

$$
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g := (-1)^k \left[ \beta_1^{b_1} \cdots \beta_n^{b_n} \right] \left( \frac{H^g_0}{r!d} \right) = \int_{\text{Pic}_g, n} \psi_1^{b_1} \cdots \psi_n^{b_n} \Lambda_{2k},
$$

(6)

so that Conjecture 3.5 (or (5)) would imply the second equality. (All parts of (6) are zero unless $\sum b_i + 2k = 4g - 3 + n$. Also, we note that the definition of $\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g$ is independent of the conjecture.) We show that this symbol satisfies many properties analogous to those proved by Faber and Pandharipande for $\langle \cdot \rangle_g$, including integrals over $\mathcal{M}_g, 1$, and the $\lambda_g$-theorem; we generalize these further. We then prove a genus expansion ansatz for $\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g$ in the style of Itzykson-Zuber ([IZ] Thm. 3.16). As consequences, we prove that $\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g$ satisfies the string and dilaton equations, and verify the ELSV-type conjecture in genus 0 and 1. A proof of Conjecture 3.5 would translate all of this structure associated with double Hurwitz numbers to the intersection theory of the universal Picard variety.

In Section 4, we give a simple formula for the double Hurwitz generating series in terms of Schur symmetric functions. As an application, we give explicit formulae for double Hurwitz numbers $H^g_{\alpha, \beta}$ for fixed $\alpha$ and $\beta$, in terms of linear combinations of $g$th powers of prescribed integers, extending work of Kuleshov and M. Shapiro [KS]. Although this section is placed after Section 3, it can be read independently of Section 3.

In Section 5, we consider $m$-part Hurwitz numbers (those with $m = l(\alpha)$ fixed and $\beta$ arbitrary). As remarked earlier, polynomiality fails in this case in general, but we still find strong suggestions of geometric structure. We define a (symmetrized) generating series $H^g_m$ for these numbers, and show that it satisfies a topological recursion (in $g, m$) (Theorems 5.4, 5.6, 5.12). The existence of such a recursion is somewhat surprising as, unlike other known recursions in Gromov-Witten theory (involving the geometry of the source curve), it is not a low-genus phenomenon. (The one exception is the Toda recursion of [P1], which also deals with double Hurwitz numbers.) We use this recursion to derive closed expressions for $H^g_m$ for small $(g, m)$, and to conjecture a general form (Conj. 5.9), in analogy with the original Goulden-Jackson polynomiality conjecture of [GJ4].

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to R. Hain, A. Knutson, A. Okounkov and M. Shapiro for helpful discussions, and to M. Shapiro for sharing his ideas. The third author thanks R. Pandharipande for explaining the ribbon graph construction for single Hurwitz numbers, which is (very mildly) generalized in Section 2. We are grateful to I. Dolgachev and A. Barvinok for pointing out the reference [Mc]. J. Bryan and E. Miller suggested improvements to the manuscript.

1.3. Earlier evidence of structure in double Hurwitz numbers. Our work is motivated by several recent suggestions of strong structure of double Hurwitz numbers. Most strikingly, Okounkov proved that the generating series $H$ for double Hurwitz numbers is a $\tau$-function for the Toda hierarchy of Ueno and Takasaki [O], in the course of resolving a conjecture of Pandharipande’s on single Hurwitz numbers [P1]; see also their joint work [OP1, OP2, OP3]. Dijkgraaf’s earlier description [Di] of Hurwitz numbers where
the target has genus 1 and all branching is simple, and his unexpected discovery that the corresponding generating series is essentially a quasi-modular form, is also suggestive, as such Hurwitz numbers can be written (by means of a generalized join-cut equation) in terms of double Hurwitz numbers (where $\alpha = \beta$). This quasi-modularity was generalized by Bloch and Okounkov [BO].

Signs of structure for fixed $g$ (and fixed number of points) provides a clue to the existence of a connection between double Hurwitz numbers and the moduli of curves (with additional structure), and even suggests the form of the connection, as was the case for single Hurwitz numbers. Evidence for this comes from recent work of Lando and D. Zvonkine [LZ2], Kuleshov and M. Shapiro [KS], and others.

We note that double Hurwitz numbers are relative Gromov-Witten invariants (see for example [L1] in the algebraic category, and earlier definitions in the symplectic category [LR, IP]), and hence are necessarily top intersections on a moduli space (of relative stable maps). Techniques of Okounkov and Pandharipande [OP1, OP2, OP3] can be used to study double Hurwitz numbers in this guise. A second promising approach, relating more general Hurwitz numbers to intersections on moduli spaces of curves, is due to Shadrin [Sh] building on work of Ionel [I]. We expect that some of our results are probably obtainable by one of these two approaches. However, we were unable to use them to prove any of the conjectures and, in particular, we could prove no ELSV-type formula.

We also alert the reader to important recent work on Hurwitz numbers due to Kuleshov, Lando, M. Shapiro, and D. Zvonkine [L, LZ2, KS, Z].

1.4. Notation and background. Throughout, the partitions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have $m$ and $n$ parts, respectively. We use $l(\alpha)$ for the number of parts of $\alpha$, and $|\alpha|$ for the sum of the parts of $\alpha$. If $|\alpha| = d$, we say $\alpha$ is a partition of $d$, and write $\alpha \vdash d$. For a partition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots)$, let $\text{Aut} \alpha$ be the group of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, l(\alpha)\}$ fixing $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l(\alpha)})$. Hence, if $\alpha$ has $a_i$ parts equal to $i$, $i \geq 1$, then $|\text{Aut} \alpha| = \prod_{i \geq 1} a_i!$. For indeterminates $p_1, \ldots$ and $q_1, \ldots$, we write $p_\alpha = \prod_{i \geq 1} p_{a_i}$ and $q_\alpha = \prod_{i \geq 1} q_{a_i}$. Let $C_\alpha$ denote the conjugacy class of the symmetric group $S_d$ indexed by $\alpha$, so $|C_\alpha| = d! / |\text{Aut} \alpha| \prod a_i$. We use the notation $[A]B$ for the coefficient of monomial $A$ in a formal power series series $B$.

Genus will in general be denoted by superscript. Let

$$ r_{g,\alpha,\beta}^g := -2 + 2g + m + n. $$

When the context permits, we shall abbreviate this to $r$.

A summary of other globally defined notation is in the table below.
1.4.1. **Double Hurwitz numbers.** As described earlier, let the double Hurwitz number \( H_{\alpha,\beta}^g \) denote the number of degree \( d \) branched covers of \( \mathbb{CP}^1 \) by a genus \( g \) (connected) Riemann surface, with \( r + 2 \) branch points, of which \( r = r_{\alpha,\beta}^g \) are simple, and two (0 and \( \infty \), say) have branching given by \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), respectively. Then (7) is the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

If a cover has automorphism group \( G \), it is counted with multiplicity \( 1/|G| \). For example, \( H_{0,0}^{(d)} = 1/d \). The points above 0 and \( \infty \) are taken to be unlabelled.

The possibly disconnected double Hurwitz numbers \( \tilde{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^g \) are defined in the same way except the covers are not required to be connected.

The double Hurwitz numbers may be characterized in terms of the symmetric group through the monodromy of the sheets around the branch points. This axiomatization is essentially due to Hurwitz \([H]\); the proof relies on the Riemann existence theorem.

**Proposition 1.1 (Hurwitz axioms).** For \( \alpha, \beta \vdash d \), \( H_{\alpha,\beta}^g \) is equal to \(|\text{Aut} \, \alpha| \, |\text{Aut} \, \beta| / d! \) times the number of \((\sigma, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r, \gamma)\), such that

\[
\text{H1. } \sigma \in C_{\beta}, \gamma \in C_{\alpha}, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r \text{ are transpositions on } \{1, \ldots, d\},
\]
\[
\text{H2. } \tau_r \cdots \tau_1 \sigma = \gamma,
\]
\[
\text{H3. } r = r_{\alpha,\beta}^g, \text{ and}
\]
\[
\text{H4. the group generated by } \sigma, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r \text{ acts transitively on } \{1, \ldots, d\}.
\]

The number \( \tilde{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^g \) is equal to \(|\text{Aut} \, \alpha| \, |\text{Aut} \, \beta| / d! \) times the number of \((\sigma, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r, \gamma)\) satisfying H1–H3.

If \((\sigma, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r)\) satisfies H1–H3, we call it an ordered factorization of \( \gamma \), and if it also satisfies H4, we call it a transitive ordered factorization.
The double Hurwitz (generating) series $H$ for double Hurwitz numbers is given by

$$(8) \quad H = \sum_{g \geq 0, d \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} y^g z^d p_{\alpha} q_{\beta} u^{l(\beta)} \frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^g}{r_{\alpha,\beta}^g \cdot |\text{Aut } \alpha| \cdot |\text{Aut } \beta|},$$

and $\tilde{H}$ is the analogous generating series for the possibly disconnected double Hurwitz numbers. Then $\tilde{H} = e^H$, by a general enumerative result (see, e.g., [GJ1, Lem. 3.2.16]). (The earliest reference we know for this result is, appropriately enough, in work of Hurwitz.)

The following result is obtained by using the axiomatization above, and by studying the effect that multiplication by a final transposition has on the cutting and joining of cycles in the cycle decomposition of the product of the remaining factors. The details of the proof are essentially the same as that of [GJ3, Lem. 2.2] and [GJVn, Lem. 3.1], and are therefore suppressed. A geometric proof involves pinching a loop separating the target $\mathbb{CP}^1$ into two disks, one of which contains only one simple branch point and the branch point corresponding to $\beta$.

**Lemma 1.2** (Join-cut equation).

$$(9) \quad \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2\right) H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left( ij p_{i+j} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} + (i + j) p_{i+p_j} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i+j}} + ij p_{i+j} y \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} \right)$$

with initial conditions $[z^i p_i q_i u] H = \frac{1}{i}$ for $i \geq 1$.

Substituting $u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} H = \sum_{i \geq 1} q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} H$ yields the usual, more symmetric version. But the above formulation will be more convenient for our purposes.

1.4.2. **The symmetrization operator $\Theta_m$, and the symmetrized double Hurwitz generating series $H_{g,m}$**. The linear symmetrization operator $\Theta_m$ is defined by

$$(10) \quad \Theta_m(p_\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} x_{\sigma(1)}^{a_1} \cdots x_{\sigma(m)}^{a_m}$$

if $l(\alpha) = m$, and zero otherwise. (It is not a ring homomorphism.) The properties of $\Theta_m$ we require appear as Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 in [GJVn]. Note that $\Theta_m(p_\alpha)$ has a close relationship with the monomial symmetric function $m_\alpha$ since

$$\Theta_m(p_\alpha) = |\text{Aut } \alpha| \cdot m_\alpha(x_1, \ldots, x_m).$$

We shall study in detail the symmetrization $\sum_{m \geq 1, g \geq 0} H_{g,m}^g y^g$, of $H$ where

$$\begin{align*}
H_{g,m}^g(x_1, \ldots, x_m) &= \left[ y^g \right] \Theta_m(H) |_{z=1}, \\
&= \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} m_\alpha(x_1, \ldots, x_m) q_{\beta} u^{l(\beta)} \frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^g}{r_{\alpha,\beta}^g \cdot |\text{Aut } \beta|},
\end{align*}$$

for $m \geq 1, g \geq 0$.

In other words, the redundant variable $z$ is eliminated, and $H_{g,m}^g$ is a generating series containing information about genus $g$ double Hurwitz numbers (where $\alpha$ has $m$ parts).

We use the notation

$$H_{g,i}^g = x_i \frac{\partial H_{g}^g}{\partial x_i}.$$
1.4.3. **Lagrange’s Implicit Function Theorem.** We shall make repeated use of the following form of Lagrange’s Implicit Function Theorem, (see, e.g., [GJ1, Sect. 1.2] for a proof) concerning the solution of certain formal functional equations.

**Theorem 1.3 (Lagrange).** Let \( \phi(\lambda) \) be an invertible formal power series in an indeterminate \( \lambda \). Then the functional equation

\[
v = x\phi(v)
\]

has a unique formal power series solution \( v = v(x) \). Moreover, if \( f \) is a formal power series, then

\[
f(v(x)) = f(0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^n}{n} [\lambda^{n-1}] \frac{df(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \phi(\lambda)^n \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\frac{f(v(x)) x dv(x)}{v} = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n [\lambda^n] f(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)^n.
\]

We apply Lagrange’s theorem to the functional equation

\[
w = xe^{uQ(w)},
\]

where

\[
Q(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} q_j t^j,
\]

the series in the indeterminates \( q_j \) that record the parts of \( \beta \) in the double Hurwitz series \( \mathcal{H} \). The following observations and notation will be used extensively. By differentiating the functional equation with respect to \( x \) and \( u \), we obtain

\[
x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = w\mu(w), \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial u} = wQ(w)\mu(w), \quad \text{where} \quad \mu(t) = \frac{1}{1 - wQ'(t)},
\]

and we therefore have the operator identity

\[
\frac{x\partial}{\partial x} = \mu(w) \frac{w\partial}{\partial w}.
\]

We shall use the notation \( w_i = w(x_i), \mu_i = \mu(w_i), \) and \( Q_i = Q(w_i) \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

## 2. PIECEWISE POLYNOMIALITY

By analogy with the ELSV formula (1), we consider double Hurwitz numbers for fixed \( g, m, n \) as functions in the parts of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \):

\[
P_{m,n}^g(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) = H_{\alpha,\beta}^g.
\]

Here the domain is the set of \((m+n)\)-tuples of positive integers, where the sum of the first \( m \) terms equals the sum of the remaining \( n \). In contrast with the single Hurwitz number case, the double Hurwitz numbers have no scaling factor \( C(g, \alpha, \beta) \) (see (3)).

**Theorem 2.1 (Piecewise polynomiality).** For fixed \( m, n \), the double Hurwitz function \( H_{\alpha,\beta}^g = P_{m,n}^g \) is piecewise polynomial (in the parts of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \)) of degrees up to \( 4g - 3 + m + n \). The “leading” term of degree \( 4g - 3 + m + n \) is non-zero.

By non-zero leading term, we mean that for fixed \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), \( P_{m,n}^g(\alpha_1 t, \ldots, \beta_n t) \) (considered as a function of \( t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \)) is a polynomial of degree \( 4g - 3 + m + n \). In fact, this leading term can be interpreted as the volume of a certain polytope. For example, \( P_{2,2}^0(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) = \)
$2 \max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$, which has degree one. (This can be shown by a straightforward calculation, either directly, or using Section 2.1 or Cor. 4.2. See Cor. 4.2 for a calculation of $P_{2,2}^g$ in general.) In particular, unlike the case of single Hurwitz numbers (see (3)), $P_{m,n}^g$ is not polynomial in general.

We conjecture further:

**Conjecture 2.2 (Strong piecewise polynomiality).** $P_{m,n}^g$ is piecewise polynomial, with degrees between $2g - 3 + m + n$ and $4g - 3 + m + n$ inclusive.

It is straightforward to check that Conjecture 2.2 is true in genus 0 (by induction using the joint-cut equation (9)), and we will show that it holds when $m$ or $n$ is 1 (Cor. 3.2). It may be possible to verify the conjecture by refining the proof of the Piecewise Polynomiality Theorem, but we were unable to do so.

### 2.1. Proof of the Piecewise Polynomiality Theorem

We spend the rest of this section proving Theorem 2.1. Our strategy is to interpret double Hurwitz numbers as counting lattice points in certain polytopes. We use a combinatorial interpretation of double Hurwitz numbers that is a straightforward extension of the interpretation of single Hurwitz numbers given in [OP1, Sect. 3.1.1] (which is there shown to be equivalent to earlier graph interpretations of [A, SSV]). The case $r = 0$ is trivially verified (the double Hurwitz number is $1/d$ if $\alpha = \beta = (d)$ and 0 otherwise), so we assume $r > 0$.

Consider a branched cover of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ by a genus $g$ Riemann surface $S$, with branching over 0 and $\infty$ given by $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and $r$ other branch points (as in Sect. 1.4). We may assume that the $r$ branch points lie on the equator of the $\mathbb{CP}^1$, say at the $r$ roots of unity. Number the $r$ branch points 1 through $r$ in counterclockwise order around 0.

We construct a ribbon graph on $S$ as follows. The vertices are the $m$ preimages of 0, denoted by $v_1$, $\ldots$, $v_m$, where $v_i$ corresponds to $\alpha_i$. For each of the $r$ branch points on the equator of the target $b_1$, $\ldots$, $b_r$, consider the $d$ preimages of the geodesic (or radius) joining $b_i$ to 0. Two of them meet the corresponding ramification point on the source. Together, they form an edge joining two (possibly identical) of the vertices. The resulting graph on the genus $g$ surface has $m$ (labelled) vertices and $r$ (labelled) edges. There are $n$ (labelled) faces, each homotopic to an open disk. The faces correspond to the parts of $\beta$: each preimage of $\infty$ lies in a distinct face. Call such a structure a labelled (ribbon) graph. (Euler’s formula $m - r + n = 2 - 2g$ is equivalent to the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (7).)

Define a corner of this labelled graph to be the data consisting of a vertex, two edges incident to the vertex and adjacent to each other around the vertex, and the face between them (see Figure 1).

Now place a dot near 0 on the target $\mathbb{CP}^1$, between the geodesics to the branch points $r$ and 1. Place dots on the source surface $S$ at the $d$ preimages of the dot on the target $\mathbb{CP}^1$.

Then the number of dots near vertex $v_i$ is $\alpha_i$: a small circle around $v_i$ maps to a loop winding $\alpha_i$ times around 0. Moreover, any corner where edge $i$ is counterclockwise of $j$ and $i < j$ must contain a dot. Call such a corner a descending corner. The number of dots in face $f_j$ is $\beta_j$: move the dot on the target (together with its $d$ preimages) along a line of longitude until it is near the pole $\infty$ (the $d$ preimages clearly do not cross any edges en route), and repeat the earlier argument.
Thus each cover counted in the double Hurwitz number corresponds to a combinatorial object: a labelled graph (with $m$ vertices, $r$ edges, and $n$ faces, hence genus $g$), and a non-negative integer (number of dots) associated to each corner, which is positive if the corner is descending, such that the sum of the integers around vertex $i$ is $\alpha_i$, and the sum of the integers in face $j$ is $\beta_j$.

It is straightforward to check that the converse is true (using the Riemann existence theorem, see for example [A]): given such a combinatorial structure, one gives the target sphere a complex structure (with branch points at roots of unity), and this induces a complex structure on the source surface.

Hence the number of double Hurwitz numbers is a sum over the set of labelled graphs (with $m$ vertices, $r$ edges, and $n$ faces). The contribution of each labelled graph is the number of ways of assigning non-negative numbers to each corner so that each descending corner is assigned a positive integer, and such that the sum of numbers around vertex $i$ is $\alpha_i$ and the sum of the integers in face $j$ is $\beta_j$.

For fixed $m$ and $n$, the contributions to $H_{\alpha,\beta}^g$ is the sum over the same finite set of labelled graphs. Hence to prove the Piecewise Polynomiality Theorem it suffices to show that, for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ fixed, the contribution of each labelled graph to $P_{m,n}(t_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, t_{\beta_m})$ is a polynomial of degree $4g - 3 + m + n$.

This problem corresponds to counting points in a polytope as follows. We have one variable for each corner (which is the corresponding number of dots). The number of corners is easily seen to be twice the number of edges (see Fig. 2), so there are $2r$ variables $z_1, \ldots, z_{2r}$. We have one linear equation for each vertex (the sum of the variables corresponding to corners incident to vertex $i$ must be $\alpha_i$) and one for each face (the sum of the variables corresponding to corners incident to face $j$ must be $\beta_j$). These equations are dependent since the sum of the $m$ vertex relations is the sum of the $n$ face relations, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j$.

There are no other dependencies, i.e. the rank of the system is $m + n - 1$: suppose otherwise, that one of the equations, for example the equation $eq_1$ corresponding to vertex $i$, were a linear combination others modulo the sum relation. Pick a face $j$ incident to that vertex. Let $z$ be the variable corresponding to the corner between vertex $i$ and face $j$.
Discard the equation $eq_2$ corresponding to that face (which is redundant because of the sum relation). Then $z$ appears only in equation $eq_1$, and hence $eq_1$ cannot be a linear combination of the other equations.

Thus the contribution to the double Hurwitz number $P_{g,m,n}^g(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ from this labelled graph $\Gamma$ is the number of lattice points in a polytope $P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ of dimension $2r - (m + n - 1) = 4g - 3 + m + n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2r}$, lying in the linear subspaces defined by

$$
\sum_{\text{corner } k \text{ incident to vertex } i} z_k = t\alpha_i \quad \text{ and } \quad \sum_{\text{corner } k \text{ incident to face } j} z_k = t\beta_j,
$$

bounded by inequalities of the form $z_k \geq 0$ or $z_k > 0$ (depending on whether corner $k$ is descending or not). Let $P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \beta_m)$ be this contribution.

**Lemma 2.3.** The vertices of the polytope $P_\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ are lattice points, i.e., the polytope is integral.

**Proof.** Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^{2r}$ be a point of the polytope. We show that if $p$ is not a lattice point, then $p$ lies in the interior of a line segment contained in $P_\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, and hence is not a vertex. Construct an auxiliary graph, where the vertices correspond to corners $i$ of $\Gamma$ such that $z_i(v) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. The edges come in two colors. Red edges join any two distinct vertices incident to a common vertex, and blue edges join any two distinct vertices incident to a common face. By the first (resp. second) equality in (17), each vertex is incident to a red (resp. blue) edge. Thus we may find a cycle of distinct vertices $v_1 = v_{2w+1}, \ldots, v_{2w}$ such that $v_{2i-1}$ and $v_{2i}$ (resp. $v_{2i}$ and $v_{2i+1}$) are joined by a red (resp. blue) edge; choose any $v_1$, and then subsequently choose $x_2, x_3, \ldots$ (such that $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$ is joined by an appropriately coloured edge) until the first repetition: $x_j = x_k$ ($j < k$). If $k - j$ is even, take $v_i = x_{j+i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k - j$), and if $k - j$ is odd, take $v_i = x_{j+i}$ ($1 \leq i < k - j$). (If $v_1$ and $v_2$ are joined by a blue edge rather than a red edge, then cyclically permute the $v_i$ by one.)

Then for $|\epsilon| < \min(z_{v_i})$, the point $p(\epsilon)$ given by

$$
z_j(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 
  z_j & \text{for } j \notin \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2w}\} \\
  z_j + \epsilon & \text{for } j = v_{\text{even}} \\
  z_j - \epsilon & \text{for } j = v_{\text{odd}}
\end{cases}
$$
satisfies (17) and \( z_j(t) \geq 0 \), and hence also lies in the polytope. \( \square \)

As \( P_{\Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \) is an integral polytope, by Ehrhart’s theorem \[E\], for \( t \) a positive integer, \( P_{\Gamma}(t\alpha_1, \ldots, t\beta_m) \) is a polynomial in \( t \) of degree precisely \( 4g - 3 + m + n \) (the Ehrhart polynomial of the polytope), with leading coefficient equal to the volume of \( P_{\Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \). Finally, we recall the following well-known result \[Mc\].

**Theorem 2.4.** Consider the polytopes in \( \mathbb{R}^L \) (with coordinates \( z_1, \ldots, z_L \)) defined by equalities

\[
\sum_{i=1}^L \mu_{ij} z_i = \eta_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq \sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^L \nu_{ij} z_i \leq \zeta_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau)
\]

as \( \eta_j \) and \( \zeta_j \) vary (with \( \mu_{ij} \) and \( \nu_{ij} \) fixed). When the polytope is integral (for given \( \eta_j \) and \( \zeta_j \)), define \( U(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_\sigma, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_\tau) \) to be the number of lattice points contained therein. Then the function \( U \) is piecewise polynomial on its domain, of degree equal to the dimension of the polytope.

Thus as \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) vary, the function \( P_{m,n}^g \) is piecewise polynomial, concluding the proof of the Piecewise Polynomiality Theorem 2.1.

3. **One-part double Hurwitz numbers (\( \alpha = (d) \))**

We use character theory to completely describe double Hurwitz numbers where \( \alpha \) has one part, which leads to a conjectural formula in terms of intersection theory on a moduli space. The particular results that are needed from character theory are to be found in \[M\].

3.1. **One-part double Hurwitz numbers through characters.** In the group algebra \( \mathbb{C}S_d \), let \( K_{\alpha} := \sum_{\sigma \in C_\alpha} \sigma \). Then \( \{K_{\alpha}, \, \alpha \vdash d\} \), is a basis for the centre, and if \( \chi_\alpha^\lambda \) is the character of the irreducible representation of \( S_d \) indexed by \( C_\alpha \), evaluated at any element of \( C_\lambda \), then

\[
E^\alpha = \frac{\chi_{(1^d)}^\alpha}{d!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \chi_\alpha^\lambda K_\lambda, \quad \alpha \vdash d,
\]

gives a basis of orthogonal idempotents. The inverse relations are

\[
K_\alpha = |C_\alpha| \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \frac{\chi_\lambda^\alpha}{\chi_{(1^d)}^\alpha} E^\lambda, \quad \alpha \vdash d.
\]

The following result gives an expression for the double Hurwitz number \( H_{\lambda \sigma}^g \), using various special properties of characters for the one part partition \( (d) \). We consider \( \beta \vdash d \) with \( l(\beta) = n \), and let the number of parts of \( \beta \) equal to \( i \) be given by \( N_i \), \( i \geq 1 \). Thus \( \sum_{i \geq 1} N_i = n \) and \( \sum_{i \geq 1} iN_i = d \). We also let \( c_1 = N_1 - 1 \) and \( c_i = N_i \), for \( i \geq 2 \), and

\[
S_{2j} = \sum_{i \geq 1} i^{2j} c_i = -1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} i^{2j} N_i = -1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \beta_i^{2j},
\]

for \( j \geq 1 \), a power sum for the partition, shifted by 1. Let \( \xi_{2j} = [x^{2j}] \log(\sinh(x)/x) \), and let \( \xi_\lambda = \xi_{\lambda_1} \xi_{\lambda_2} \ldots \). For any partition \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots) \), let \( 2\lambda = (2\lambda_1, \ldots) \).
Theorem 3.1. Let \( r = r^q_{(d), \beta} \). For \( g \geq 0 \) and \( \beta \vdash d \) with \( n \) parts,

\[
H^g_{(d), \beta} = r!d^{r-1} \left[ t^{2g} \right] \prod_{k \geq 1} \left( \frac{\sinh(kt/2)}{kt/2} \right)^{c_k}
\]

(20)

\[
= \frac{r!d^{r-1}}{2^{2g}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash g} \frac{\xi_{2\lambda} S_{2\lambda}}{|\text{Aut} \lambda|}.
\]

(21)

Remarks.

1. Equ. (20) is a generalization of a theorem of Shapiro-Shapiro-Vainshtein: \([SSV\], Thm. 6\] is the case \( \beta = (1^d) \). To our knowledge, \([SSV]\) contains the first appearance of the generating series \((\sinh t)/t\) in connection with branched covers of curves (see \([P2\] Sect. 3\] for some of the subsequent connections, for example through the Gopakumar-Vafa conjecture). As noted there, proofs of equivalent statements appear in \([J]\) and \([G]\), but \([SSV]\) is the first interpretation in terms of Hurwitz numbers.

2. Equ. (20) also generalizes Theorem 3.2 of \([GJ2]\):

\[
H^0_{(d), \beta} = r!d^{r-1}.
\]

(Note that, in \([GJ2\] Thm. 3.2\], the right hand side of the condition \( t_1 + \cdots + t_m = n + 1 \) should be replaced with \((m-1)n + 1\).)

Proof. We use the Hurwitz axioms (Prop. \([11]\). The group generated by any element of \( C_{(d)} \) acts transitively on \( \{1, \ldots, d\} \). But \( H^g_{\alpha, \beta} \) is a class function so, for \( \alpha = (d) \) and \( r = r^g_{(d), \beta} = n - 1 + 2g \), axiom (H4) gives

\[
H^g_{(d), \beta} = \frac{1}{\prod \beta_j} \left[ K_\beta \right] \left( K_{(2,1^{d-2})} \right)^r K_{(d)} = \frac{1}{d! \prod \beta_j} \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \eta(\lambda)^r \chi^\lambda_{(d)} \chi^\lambda_{\beta}
\]

from \((18)\) and \((19)\), where

\[
\eta(\lambda) = \frac{|C_{(2,1^{d-2})}| \chi^\lambda_{(2,1^{d-2})}}{|C_{(d)}|} = \sum_i \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \right) - \sum_i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_i}{2},
\]

and \( \bar{\lambda} \) is the conjugate of \( \lambda \). But \( \chi^{(d-k,1^k)} = (-1)^k \), \( k = 0, \ldots, d-1 \), and \( \chi^\lambda_{(d)} = 0 \), for all other \( \lambda \), and \( |C_{(d)}| = (d-1)! \). Also

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \chi^\lambda_{(d-k,1^k)} y^k = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - (-y)^i)^{c_i},
\]

and \( \eta((d-k, 1^k)) = \binom{d-k}{2} - \binom{k+1}{2} = \binom{d}{2} - dk \). Thus

\[
H^g_{(d), \beta} = \frac{1}{\prod \beta_j} d^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{2} - k (1)^k \chi^\lambda_{(d-k,1^k)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\prod \beta_j} d^{r-1} \left[ t^r \right] \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{(d+k-1)t} (-1)^k \chi^\lambda_{(d-k,1^k)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\prod \beta_j} r! d^{r-1} \left[ t^r \right] e^{\frac{d+k}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} (1 - e^{-kt})^{c_k},
\]
by substituting $y = -e^{-t}$ in (22) above. But $\sum_{h \geq 1} hc_h = d - 1$ and $\sum_{h \geq 1} c_h = n - 1$, so we obtain

$$H^g_{(d),\beta} = r!d^{r-1} \left[ t^{r-n+1} \prod_{k \geq 1} \left( \frac{\sinh(kt/2)}{kt/2} \right)^{c_k} \right].$$

This yields (20). Applying the logarithm,

$$\prod_{k \geq 1} \left( \frac{\sinh(kx)}{kx} \right)^{c_k} = \exp \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \sum_{j \geq 1} \xi_{2j} x^{2j} \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} \xi_{2j} S_{2j} x^{2j} \right) = \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{2\lambda} S_{2\lambda} x^{2|\lambda|},$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\lambda$. Equ. (21) follows. \qed

Polynomiality is immediate from (21); hence we have proved the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** The double Hurwitz numbers $H^g_{(d),\beta}$ satisfy polynomiality and the Strong Piecewise Polynomiality Conjecture (22).

(The polynomials for $g \leq 5$ can be read off from Corollary 3.3.)

Even more striking, the polynomial is divisible by $d^{n+2g-2}$, and $H^g_{(d),\beta} / r!d^{n+2g-2}$ is a polynomial in the parts of $\beta$ that is independent of the number of parts. Examples may be seen in the next corollary, in which the one part double Hurwitz numbers are expressed in terms of the $S_t$, which are polynomials in the parts of $\beta$.

**Corollary 3.3.** For $g \leq 5$, explicit expressions for $H^g_{(d),\beta}$ are given by

$$H^0_{(d),\beta} = (n-1)!d^{n-2}$$

$$H^1_{(d),\beta} = (n+1)!d^n S_2$$

$$H^2_{(d),\beta} = \frac{(n+3)!d^{n+2}}{24} (5S_2^2 - 2S_4)$$

$$H^3_{(d),\beta} = \frac{(n+5)!d^{n+4}}{2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} (16S_6 - 42S_2 S_4 + 35S_3^2)$$

$$H^4_{(d),\beta} = \frac{(n+7)!d^{n+6}}{2^8} \left( \frac{S_8}{37800} + \frac{S_2 S_6}{17010} + \frac{S_4^2}{64800} - \frac{S_2^2 S_4}{12960} + \frac{S_4^4}{31104} \right)$$

$$H^5_{(d),\beta} = \frac{(n+9)!d^{n+8}}{2^{10}} \left( \frac{S_{10}}{467775} - \frac{S_2 S_8}{226800} - \frac{S_4 S_6}{510300} + \frac{S_2^2 S_6}{204120} + \frac{S_2 S_4^2}{388800} - \frac{S_3 S_4^2}{233280} + \frac{S_5^2}{933120} \right)$$

Notice how constants associated to intersection theory on moduli spaces of low genus curves (such as 1/24 and 1/5760 for genus 1 and 2 respectively) make their appearance.

In addition we note the following attractive formula for the number of branched covers of any genus and degree, with complete branching over two points.

**Corollary 3.4.**

$$H^g_{(d),(d)} = (2g)!d^{2g-2} \left[ t^{2g} \right] \frac{\sinh(dt/2)}{\sinh(t/2)} = d^{2g-2} \sum_{k=\frac{-d-1}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}} k^{2g}.$$  

**Proof.** The first equality is (20), and the second comes after straightforward manipulation. \qed
3.2. From polynomiality to the symbol $\langle \cdot \rangle_g$, and intersection theory on moduli.

Theorem 3.1 strongly suggests the existence of an ELSV-type formula for one-part double Hurwitz numbers, and even suggests the shape of such a formula. In particular, we are in a much better position than we were for single Hurwitz numbers when the ELSV formula was discovered. At that point, polynomiality was conjectured ([4] Conj. 1.2, see also [4, Conjecture 1.4]). Even today, polynomiality has only been proved by means of the ELSV formula; no character-theoretic or combinatorial reason is known.

In the one-part double Hurwitz case we have much more.

(i) We have a non-geometric proof of polynomiality.

(ii) The polynomials $P^g_{1,n}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots) = H^g_{(d),\beta}$ have an excellent description in terms of generating series.

(iii) The polynomials are well-behaved as $n$ increases. (More precisely, as described before Corollary 3.3, the polynomial $H^g_{(d),\beta}$ is divisible by $d^{2g-2+n}$, and the quotient $H^g_{(d),\beta}/r!d^{n+2g-2}$ is independent of $n$.) Finally,

(iv) the polynomials may be seen, for non-geometric reasons, to satisfy the string and dilaton equation. (This will be made precise in Proposition 3.11.)

Hence we make the following geometric conjecture. (The formula (23) is identical to (5) in the Introduction.) The conjecture should be understood as: “There exists a moduli space $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}$ with the following properties...”

**Conjecture 3.5 (ELSV-type formula for one-part double Hurwitz numbers).** For each $g \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$, $(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$,

\begin{equation}
H^g_{(d),\beta} = r^g_{(d),\beta}!d \int_{\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}} \frac{\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_2 + \cdots + \pm \Lambda_{2g}}{(1 - \beta_1 \psi_1) \cdots (1 - \beta_n \psi_n)},
\end{equation}

where $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}, \psi_i$, and $\Lambda_{2k}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The space $\overline{\text{Pic}}$, and its fundamental class. There is a moduli space $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}$, with a (possibly virtual) fundamental class $[\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}]$ of dimension $4g - 3 + n$, and an open subset isomorphic to the Picard variety $\text{Pic}_{g,n}$ of the universal curve over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ (where the two fundamental classes agree).

- Morphisms from $\overline{\text{Pic}}$. There is a forgetful morphism $\pi : \overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n+1}} \to \overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}$ (flat, of relative dimension 1), with $n$ sections $\sigma_i$ giving Cartier divisors $\Delta_{i,n+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Both morphisms behave well with respect to the fundamental class: $[\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n+1}}] = \pi^*[\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}]$, and $\Delta_{i,n+1} \cap \overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n+1}} \cong \overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}$ (with isomorphisms given by $\pi$ and $\sigma_i$), inducing $\Delta_{i,n+1} \cap [\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n+1}}] \cong [\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}]$.

- $\psi$-classes on $\overline{\text{Pic}}$. There are $n$ line bundles, which are the cotangent spaces to the first $n$ points on $\text{Pic}_{g,n}$, with first Chern classes $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$. They satisfy $\psi_i = \pi^* \psi_i + \Delta_{i,n+1}$ ($i \leq n$) on $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n+1}}$ (the latter $\psi_i$ is on $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}$), and $\psi_i \cdot \Delta_{i,n+1} = 0$.

- $\Lambda$-classes. There are Chow (or cohomology) classes $\Lambda_{2k}$ ($k = 0, 1, \ldots, g$) of codimension $2k$ on $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,n}}$, which are pulled back from $\overline{\text{Pic}_{g,1}}$ (if $g > 0$) or $\overline{\text{Pic}_{0,3}}$; $\Lambda_0 = 1$. The $\Lambda$-classes are the Chern classes of a rank $2g$ vector bundle isomorphic to its dual.

The suggestion that the $\Lambda$-classes are the Chern classes of a self-dual vector bundle is due to J. Bryan. At the very least, one might expect that the $\Lambda_{2k}$ are tautological, given the philosophy that “geometrically natural classes tend to be tautological” (see e.g. [2]).
Remarks.
1. Our motivation for this conjecture included (a) the form of the ELSV-formula \( (1) \), (b) the Piecewise Polynomiality Theorem \( 2.1 \), (c) the presence of an \( n \)-pointed curve with line bundle (the covering curve, with the points above \( \infty \) and the pullback of the line bundle \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \)), and (d) the remaining results of this section. (In particular, the string and dilaton equations, Prop. \( 3.10 \) motivated the conditions on the \( \psi \)-classes.)

2. As pointed out in the introduction, the most speculative part of this conjecture is the identification of the \( (4g - 3 + n) \)-dimensional moduli space with a compactification of \( \text{Pic}^{g,n} \); the evidence suggests a space of this dimension with a morphism to \( \overline{M}_{g,n} \). We suggest this space because of 1(c) above, and the presence of the Picard variety in symplectic field theory [El].

3. There are certainly other formulae for double Hurwitz numbers not of this form, for example those involving integrals on the space of relative stable maps. However, to our knowledge, none of these formulae explains polynomiality of one-part double Hurwitz numbers, or the strong features of these polynomials.

4. A satisfactory proof would connect the geometry of one-part double Hurwitz numbers with \( (23) \).

5. See Conjecture \( 3.13 \) relating \( \Lambda_{2g} \) to \( \lambda_g \).

In analogy with Witten’s notation \( (4) \), we define \( \langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g \) by

\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = (-1)^k [\beta_{b_1} \cdots \beta_{b_n}] \left( \frac{P_{1,n}(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)}{r_{(d_1, \ldots, d_n)}^{g}} \right)
\]

if \((g, n) \neq (0,1), (0,2), \sum b_i + 2k = 4g - 3 + n\) and the \( b_i \) are non-negative integers, and \( \langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g := 0 \) otherwise. This definition makes sense by Corollary \( 3.2 \) Note that the symbol is symmetric in the \( b_i \). Conjecture \( 3.5 \) then implies that

\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \int_{\text{Pic}^{g,n}} \psi_{b_1}^{b_{b_1}} \cdots \psi_{b_n}^{b_{b_n}} \Lambda_{2k}.
\]

3.3. Generating series for \( \langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle_g \), and the string and dilaton equations. This symbol has some remarkable properties which suggest geometric meaning, in analogy with Witten’s symbol \( \langle \cdot \rangle_g \). We determine two expressions for a particular generating series for this symbol, and then derive string and dilaton equations, and prove Conjecture \( 3.5 \) in genus 0 and 1.

Define \( Q^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} q_j j^i x^j \) for \( i \geq 0 \), so \( Q^{(0)}(x) = Q(x) \), defined just after \( (14) \), and

\[
Q^{(i)}(x) = \left( x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^i Q(x), \quad i \geq 0.
\]

Of course, we also have

\[
x \frac{d}{dx} Q^{(i)}(x) = Q^{(i+1)}(x), \quad i \geq 0.
\]

The first expression for the generating series follows directly from the definition \( (24) \) of the symbol \( \langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle_g \).
Theorem 3.6. For $g \geq 0$,

$$x\frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{g} (-1)^k \sum_{b_1, \ldots, b_n \geq 0} \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q^{(b_i)}(x) = H^g_1(x)|_{u=1}$$

Proof. From (24), we have

$$LHS = x\frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \geq 0} q_{\beta_1} \cdots q_{\beta_n} x^{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_n} \sum_{k=0}^{g} (-1)^k \sum_{b_1, \ldots, b_n \geq 0} \beta_1^{b_1} \cdots \beta_n^{b_n} \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle_g$$

$$= \sum_{d \geq 1} dx^d \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_n = d} q_{\beta_1} \cdots q_{\beta_n} \sum_{k=0}^{g} (-1)^k \sum_{b_1, \ldots, b_n \geq 0} \beta_1^{b_1} \cdots \beta_n^{b_n} \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle_g$$

$$= \sum_{d \geq 1} dx^d \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{d\beta}{|Aut \beta|} \sum_{\beta, l(\beta) = n} (-1)^k \sum_{b_1, \ldots, b_n \geq 0} \beta_1^{b_1} \cdots \beta_n^{b_n} \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle_g$$

$$= RHS,$$

giving the result. \square

The second expression for this generating series follows from Theorem 3.1. To state this result requires some more notation. Define $v_{2j}$, $f_{2j}$ by

$$\frac{2}{x} \sinh \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \sum_{j \geq 0} v_{2j} x^{2j}, \quad \frac{x}{2} \cosh \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_{2j} x^{2j}.$$

Then we have (see for example [GR, Sect. 1.41 and 9.6]),

$$v_{2j} = \frac{1}{2^{2j}(2j+1)!}, \quad f_{2j} = \frac{1 - 2^{2j-1}}{2^{2j-1}(2j)!} B_{2j}, \quad j \geq 0,$$

where $B_{2j}$ is a Bernoulli number ($B_0 = 1$, $B_2 = 1/6$, $B_4 = -1/30$, $B_6 = 1/42$, ...). As Bernoulli numbers alternate in sign after $B_2$, note that $f_{2j}$ has sign $(-1)^j$, $j \geq 0$. For a partition $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots)$, let $Q^{(\beta)}(x) = \prod_{i \geq 1} Q^{(\beta_i)}(x)$.

Theorem 3.7. For $g \geq 0$,

$$H^g_1(x)|_{u=1} = \sum_{k=0}^{g} f_{2k} \sum_{\theta \in Aut \langle \theta \rangle k \geq 1} v_{2g} \left(\frac{x}{d/dx}\right)^{2g-2+l(\theta)} Q^{(2\theta)}(x),$$

where $\theta \vdash_0 g - k$ means that $\theta$ is a partition of $g - k$, with 0-parts allowed.
Proof. From (20), we have

\[[x^d] \ H^g_1(x) |_{a=1} = \sum_{\beta \vdash n} \frac{H^g_{d,\beta}}{|\text{Aut } \beta|} q_\beta\]

\[= d^{2g-2} [x^d t^{2g}] \frac{t^{j/2}}{\sinh(t/2)} \exp \left( d \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{i \geq 0} t^{2i} Q(2i)(x) \right) \]

and the result follows. \(\square\)

By comparing the two generating series expressions given in Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, we obtain an explicit expression for \(\langle \cdot \rangle_g\) in the following result.

**Corollary 3.8.** For all \(b_1, \ldots, b_n, k, g\), with \(b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)\),

\[\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle_g = |\text{Aut } b| f_{2k} (-1)^k \sum_{\theta \vdash g-k} \frac{v_{2\theta}}{|\text{Aut } \theta|} \left[ Q(b_1) \cdots Q(b_n) \right] \left( x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{g-3+n} Q(2\theta)(x).\]

**Proof.** Compare Theorems 3.6 and 3.7. Now \(\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle_g\) is symmetric in the \(b_i\)'s, so each of the \(n!/|\text{Aut } b|\) distinct reorderings of \(b\) contributes equally to the coefficient of the monomial \(Q(b_1) \cdots Q(b_n)\). The result follows from (26). \(\square\)

From Corollary 3.8 and applying (26), we can obtain a great deal of information about values of \(\langle \cdot \rangle_g\). For example, we immediately have the following non-negativity result. (The analogous result for the Witten symbol \(\langle \tau_{a_1} \cdots \tau_{a_n} \Lambda_k \rangle_g \geq 0\) is non-obvious.)

**Corollary 3.9 (Non-negativity).** For all \(b_1, \ldots, b_n, k, g\), \(\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle_g \geq 0\).

We can also prove that the symbol \(\langle \cdot \rangle_g\) satisfies the string and dilaton equations, in the following result.

**Proposition 3.10 (String and dilaton equations).**

(a) (string equation) The following equation holds, except when \(g = k = 1, n = 0\).

\[\langle \tau_0 \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle_g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_{i-1}} \tau_{b_i-1} \tau_{b_{i+1}} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle_g\]

In the exceptional case, we have \(\langle \tau_0 \Lambda_2 \rangle_1 = 1/24\).

(b) (dilaton equation) The following equation holds.

\[\langle \tau_1 \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle_g = (2g - 2 + n) \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle_g\]

Note that, by the usual proofs of the string and dilaton equation (see for example [Lo Sect. 1] or [MirSym Ch. 25]), this proposition would be implied by Conjecture 3.5.
Proof. For formal power series in the variables $Q^{(i)} := Q^{(i)}(x), i \geq 0$, we define the partial differential operators

\begin{equation}
\Delta_{-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} Q^{(i+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i)}}, \quad \Delta_0 = \sum_{i \geq 0} Q^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i)}}.
\end{equation}

Note that we have the operator identity $\Delta_{-1} = x \frac{d}{dx}$, as well as

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i)}} \Delta_{-1} = \Delta_{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i)}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i-1)}},
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
Q^{(i)} \Delta_{-1} = \Delta_{-1} Q^{(i)} - Q^{(i+1)}.
\end{equation}

Now, multiplying (28) on the left by $Q^{(i)}$, applying (29), and then summing over $i \geq 0$, we obtain

\begin{equation}
\Delta_0 \Delta_{-1} = \Delta_{-1} \Delta_0.
\end{equation}

Also, applying (28) repeatedly with $i = 0, 1$, we obtain

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(0)}} \Delta_{-1}^m = \Delta_{-1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(0)}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(1)}} \Delta_{-1}^m = \Delta_{-1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(1)}} + m \Delta_{-1}^{m-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(0)}}.
\end{equation}

Now let $x \frac{d}{dx} \Psi_g(x) = H^2_1(x)|_{u=1}$. Then, from Theorem 3.7 and (31), we obtain

\begin{equation}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(0)}} - \Delta_{-1} \right) \left( \Psi_g + \delta_g, Q^{(0)} \right) = 0,
\end{equation}

and thus the string equation holds with the given exceptional value, from Corollary 3.8.

Also, from Theorem 3.7 and (30), (31), we obtain

\begin{equation}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(1)}} - \Delta_0 - (2g-2) \right) \Psi_g = 0,
\end{equation}

and thus the dilaton equation holds, from Corollary 3.8.

3.3.1. Virasoro constraints? In the case of the moduli space of curves, the string and dilaton equations are essentially the first two Virasoro constraints (see for example [MirSym, Sect. 25.2]). It is natural then to ask whether there is a full set of Virasoro constraints. Even in the case of single Hurwitz numbers, this is not known. However, in the single Hurwitz number case, the highest-degree terms (of the polynomial defined in (3)) are polynomials with coefficients of the form $\langle \tau_{a_1} \cdots \tau_{a_m} \rangle_g$ (i.e. with no $\lambda$-class), which do satisfy Virasoro constraints, by Witten’s conjecture (Kontsevich’s theorem) [W, K]. (Indeed, this idea led to Okounkov and Pandharipande’s proof of Witten’s conjecture [OP].) Thus one may ask a weaker question: are there Virasoro constraints on the asymptotics of one-part double Hurwitz numbers, i.e. on $\langle \{\tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n}\} \rangle_g := \langle \{\tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_0\} \rangle_g$? Given Conjecture 3.5, this is the analogue of Witten’s conjecture on the compactified Picard variety.

We have not yet been able to produce a set of Virasoro constraints, but our partial results suggest additional hidden structure, so we report them here without proof.
For formal power series in the variables $Q^{(i)} := Q^{(i)}(x)$, $i \geq 0$, we define the partial differential operators $\Delta_{-1}$, $\Delta_0$ (as in (27)),

$$
\Delta'_{-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} i Q^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i)}}, \quad \Delta'_0 = \sum_{i \geq 1} i Q^{(i+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i)}}, \quad \Delta''_1 = \sum_{i \geq 1} i^2 Q^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(i)}}.
$$

Now let

$$
\Psi = \sum_{g \geq 0} \Psi_g |_{k=0},
$$

where $\Psi_g$ is as above. (Note that the value of $g$ is recoverable from the partition condition on the monomials.) Then the string equation translates to an annihilator $A_{-1}$ for $\Psi$ (up to initial conditions), where

$$
A_{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(0)}} - \Delta_{-1}.
$$

The dilaton equation translates to an annihilator $A_0$ for $\Psi$, where

$$
A_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(1)}} - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_0 + \Delta'_0 - 1).
$$

It is an easy computation that

$$
[A_{-1}, A_0] = \frac{1}{2} A_{-1}.
$$

Now [IZ p. 5689] suggests letting $B_{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} A_{-1}$ and $B_0 = -2 A_0$, so that

$$
[B_{-1}, B_0] = -B_{-1}.
$$

We then sought a candidate $B_1$ (analogous to Witten’s $L_1$) involving a term of the form $\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(2)}}$. There are many such annihilators, and the simplest we found was

$$
A_1 = 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(2)}} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial Q^{(0)}^3} + 2 (\Delta'' + \Delta'_1) - \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(1)}} - 12 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(2)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{(1)}}.
$$

However, we have been unable to find a candidate $B_1$ satisfying the desired Virasoro commutation relations with $B_0$ and $B_{-1}$.

3.3.2. Verifying Conjecture 3.5 in low genus.

**Proposition 3.11.** Conjecture 3.5 is true in genus 0, taking $\overline{\text{Pic}}_{0,n} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$, and in genus 1, taking $\overline{\text{Pic}}_{1,n} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n+1}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \pi^* [pt] / 24$, where $pt$ is the class of a point on $\overline{\text{Pic}}_{1,1}$, and $\pi$ is the morphism $\overline{\text{Pic}}_{1,n} \to \overline{\text{Pic}}_{1,1}$.

We have two proofs, neither of which is fully satisfactory (in the sense of Remark 4 after Conjecture 3.5). First, the geometric arguments of [V1] apply with essentially no change; this argument is omitted for the sake of brevity. The following second proof is purely combinatorial.

**Proof.** For genus 0, if $n \geq 3$, then

$$
R_{0(d),\beta} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n}} \frac{1}{(1 - \beta_1 \psi_1) \cdots (1 - \beta_n \psi_n)} = R_{0(d),\beta} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n}} (\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n)^{n-3}
$$

(using the string equation; see for example [MirSym Ex. 25.2.8]), so we are done by Corollary 3.3.
For genus 1, we will prove (25). We need only prove the base cases \( \langle \tau_2 \Lambda_0 \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{24} \) and \( \langle \tau_0 \Lambda_2 \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{24} \) (obtained by unwinding Corollary 3.3), as the rest follow by the string and dilaton equation. The first is
\[
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,2}} \psi_1^2 = \frac{1}{24},
\]
which is well-known (e.g. [MirSym] Exer. 25.2.9); combinatorialists may prefer to extract it from the ELSV formula (1), and the second is immediate from the definition of \( \Lambda_2 \). □

3.4. Explicit formulae for \( \langle \cdot \rangle_g \). We can also determine explicit formulae for many instances of this symbol, just as such formulae have been given for Witten’s symbol \( \langle \cdot \rangle_g \), most notably by Faber and Pandharipande. In particular:

Integrals over \( \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \). It is a straightforward consequence of Witten’s conjecture that
\[
\langle \tau_{3g-2} \rangle_g = \frac{1}{24 g!},
\]
(see for example just before (4) in [F]). Also, \([FP1\text{ equ. (5)}]\):
\[
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}} \psi_1^{2g-2} \lambda_g = \frac{2^{2g-1} - 1}{2^{2g-1}(2g)!} |B_{2g}|.
\]

Generalizing both of these statements is \([FP1\text{ Thm. 2}]\):
\[
1 + \sum_{g \geq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{g} t^{2g} k^i \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}} \psi_1^{2g-2+i} \lambda_{g-i} = \left( \frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)} \right)^{k+1}.
\]

The “\( \lambda_g \)-theorem”. The main theorem of \([FP2]\) is:
\[
\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \lambda_g \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{b_1} \cdots \psi_n^{b_n} \lambda_g = \left( \frac{2g + n - 3}{b_1, \ldots, b_n} \right) b_g.
\]
(This was first conjectured in \([GP\text{ equ. (16)}]\)). More precisely, it was shown to be a consequence of the Virasoro conjecture for constant maps to \( \mathbb{C} P^1 \).) The constant \( b_g \) can be evaluated using (33) by taking \((b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots) = (3g - 2, 0, 0, \ldots)\).

We now deduce analogues and generalizations of these results for double Hurwitz numbers. A proof of Conjecture 3.5 would thus give these results important geometric meaning.

In analogy with the \( \lambda_g \)-theorem (35), we have the following result, which follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 (in the same way as did Cor. 3.3).

Proposition 3.12.
\[
\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_2 \rangle_g = \left[ \beta_1^{b_1} \cdots \beta_n^{b_n} \right] \left( c_g d^{r-2} + \text{higher terms in } \beta \text{'s} \right)
\]
\((d = \sum \beta_j)\), where \( c_g \) depends only on \( g \). As \( b_1 + \cdots + b_n = 2g - 3 + n = r - 2 \), we have
\[
\langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} \Lambda_2 \rangle_g = \left( \frac{2g - 3 + n}{b_1, \ldots, b_n} \right) c_g
\]
for some constant \( c_g \).
We note that (36) is analogous to the version [FP2, equ. (18)] of the $\lambda_g$-theorem used in the proof of Faber and Pandharipande.

In analogy with (32), we have

\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{4g-2} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{1}{2^{2g}(2g + 1)!}.
\]

In analogy with (33), we have

\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{2g-2} \Lambda_{2g} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{(-1)^g(1 - 2^{2g-1})}{2^{2g-1}(2g)!} B_{2g} = \frac{2^{2g-1} - 1}{2^{2g-1}(2g)!} |B_{2g}|.
\]

Thus we have evaluated $c_g$ in the previous Proposition. Remarkably, it is the same constant appearing in Faber and Pandharipande’s expression (33), leading us to speculate the following.

**Conjecture 3.13.** There is a structure morphism $\pi : \overline{\text{Pic}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\text{M}}_{g,n}$, and $\pi_* \Lambda_{2g} = \lambda_g$.

Generalizing (37) and (38), we have the following result, in analogy with (but not identical to) (34).

**Proposition 3.14.** For $g \geq 1$, and $k = 0, \ldots, g$,

\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{(-1)^k f_{2k}}{2^{2g-2g+2}(b_1 - 2g + 3)!}
\]

for $b_1 + 2k = 4g - 2$. Equivalently,

\[
1 + \sum_{g \geq 1} t^{2g} \sum_{k=0}^{g} x^{2k} \langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{x \sinh(t/2)}{\sin(xt/2)}.
\]

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Corollary 3.8 since the only choices of $\theta$ in the summation are partitions with a single part. \qed

This result can be extended to expressions for terms with more $\tau$’s. For example, part (a) of the following proposition gives a closed-form expression for any term involving two $\tau$’s. There are also formulae for any mixture of $\tau_2$’s and $\tau_3$’s (where the number of $\tau_3$’s is held fixed); the first three examples are parts (b)–(d) below. We know of no analogue for $\langle \cdot \rangle_g$.

**Proposition 3.15.**

(a) For $k = 0, \ldots, g$, and $g \geq 2$,

\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{b_1} \tau_{b_2} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{(-1)^k f_{2k}}{2^{2g-2k+1}(2g - 2k + 2)!} \sum_{i > 0 \text{ odd}} \binom{2g - 2k + 2}{i} \left( \binom{2g - 1}{b_1 + 1 - i} + \binom{2g - 1}{b_2 + 1 - i} \right)
\]

for $b_1 + b_2 = 4g - 2k - 1$.

(b) For $k = 0, \ldots, g$, and $g \geq 1$, except $(k, g) = (1, 1)$,

\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_{4g-3-2k} \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{(-1)^k f_{2k}}{24g-k(g-k)!}(6g - 7 - 2k)!!
\]

where $(2m - 1)!! = (2m - 1)(2m - 3) \cdots (3)(1)$ for $m$ a positive integer, and $(-1)!! = 1$. 
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(c) For $k = 0, \ldots, g$, and $g \geq 2$, except $(k, g) = (2, 2),$
\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_2^{3g-5-2k} \tau_3 \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{(-1)^k f_{2k}}{2^{4g-k(g-k)!}} (6g-7-2k)! \frac{6g-4-4k}{3}.
\]

(d) For $k = 0, \ldots, g$, and $g \geq 2$, except $(k, g) = (1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 3),$
\[
\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \tau_2^{4g-7-2k} \tau_3^2 \Lambda_{2k} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle_g = \frac{(-1)^k f_{2k}}{2^{4g-k(g-k)!}} (6g-9-2k)! (3g-4-k)((6g-4-4k)(6g-7-4k) - (6g-2-6k))
\]

Proof. These results all follow from Corollary 3.8 in a routine way, using Leibniz’s Rule. For part (a), the only choices of $\theta$ in the summation are partitions with two parts. For parts (b)–(d), all parts of $\theta$ must be 0’s or 1’s only.

3.5. A genus expansion ansatz for $\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle_g$ in the style of Itzykson and Zuber. We next prove an analogue of the genus expansion ansatz of Itzykson and Zuber for intersection numbers on the moduli space of curves [12 (5.32)]. The Itzykson-Zuber Ansatz was proved in [EY] and later in [GJV, Thm. 3.1]; the latter proof (and generalization) is similar in approach to the argument in this paper.

Theorem 3.16 (Genus Expansion Ansatz). For $g \geq 0,$
\[(39) \quad H_1^g(x)|_{u=1} = \sum_{k=0}^{g} f_{2k} \sum_{\theta-g-k} v_{2\theta} |\text{Aut } \theta| \left( x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{2g-2+l(\theta)} \left( \frac{Q^{(2\theta)}(w)}{1 - Q^{(1)}(w)} - \delta_{\theta0} \right).
\]

Remarks.
1. Unlike the Itzykson-Zuber ansatz, this result has explicitly computable coefficients.
2. $u = 1$ is convenient here.
3. $w$ and $x$ are related by (14).

Proof. From Theorem 3.7, we obtain
\[
[\tau^d] H_1^g(x)|_{u=1} = \sum_{k=0}^{g} f_{2k} \sum_{\theta-g-k} v_{2\theta} |\text{Aut } \theta| q^{2g-2+l(\theta)} [\tau^d] Q^{(2\theta)}(x) \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{d^m Q^{(0)}(x)^m}{m!},
\]
where $m$ is the number of 0’s in the partition with 0-parts allowed. The result follows from Lagrange’s Implicit Function Theorem [13, 13] (with $u = 1$ from (15), (16)).

To obtain explicit results from Theorem 3.16, we modify (26), using (15) and (16), to obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dx} Q^{(i)}(w) = \frac{Q^{(i+1)}(w)}{1 - Q^{(1)}(w)}, \quad i \geq 0.
\]

For example, with $g = 0$ in Theorem 3.16, and $i = 0$ above, we obtain
\[(40) \quad x \frac{d}{dx} H_1^0(x)|_{u=1} = Q^{(0)}(w) = Q(w).
\]

With $g = 1$ and $i = 1$, we obtain
\[(41) \quad H_1^1(x)|_{u=1} = \frac{1}{24} (Q^{(3)}(w)\mu(w) + Q^{(2)}(w)\mu(w)^2 - \mu(w) + 1),
\]
since, with \( u = 1 \), we have \( \mu(w) = 1/(1 - Q^{(1)}(w)) \).

**Remarks.**

1. In genus 0, there is a direct connection between the generating series for single Hurwitz numbers (with a partition \( \beta \)) and one-part double Hurwitz numbers. More precisely, these generating series are identical, under \( \frac{1}{j!} p_j \leftrightarrow q_j \) and \( s \leftrightarrow w \). Here \( s \) is the solution to the functional equation

\[
s = x \phi_0(s),
\]

and \( \phi_i(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{j+i} \frac{x^j}{j!} \), as described in [GJV Sect. 2.3], so, for example, \( \phi_0(x) \leftrightarrow Q^{(0)}(x) = Q(x) \). This is a purely formal statement that the formula for single Hurwitz numbers and that for one-part double Hurwitz numbers are “essentially” the same in genus 0. We do not know if there is any geometric or combinatorial reason for this coincidence.

2. More generally, in arbitrary genus, there is also such a connection. In this case, the direct analogue of Theorem 3.6 holds for the single Hurwitz number series, under \( \phi_i(x) \leftrightarrow Q^{(i)}(x) \) and \( \{\cdot\}_g \leftrightarrow \{\cdot\}_{g'} \), as described in [GJV Sect. 2.4]. However, there is no analogue of Theorem 3.7 that we know of for the single Hurwitz number series. From this point of view, the Itzykson-Zuber Ansatz for the single Hurwitz number series is the analogue of the form given by Theorem 3.16 under \( s \leftrightarrow w \).

3. We note that the substitution for \( x \) by a series in \( w \) specified by the functional equation (14) is the key technical device used in Section 5, as considered in [46]. However, the approach in Section 5 is completely different from that of the present section, so the appearance of \( w \) again suggests that it is significant, and that a geometric or combinatorial explanation for this would be interesting.

**Caution.** The results of this section, especially the Genus Expansion Ansatz and the string and dilaton equations, seem to lead inescapably to Conjecture 3.5, but this is not quite the case. The simple structure of the polynomials \( P_{1,n}^g \) allows other possible statements as well. For example, the correct statement might be:

\[
H_{(d),\beta}^g = r_{(d),\beta}^g \int_{\overline{\text{Pic}}_{g,n+1}} \frac{\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_2 + \cdots \pm \Lambda_{2g}}{(1 - \beta_1 \psi_1) \cdots (1 - \beta_n \psi_n)},
\]

where the space \( \overline{\text{Pic}}_{g,n+1} \) and classes \( \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n, \Lambda_0, \ldots, \Lambda_{2g} \) satisfy the itemized hypotheses of Conjecture 3.5. (Notice that there is no “\( d \)” in the numerator, as there is in Conj. 3.5.) We use primes to indicate that these objects need not be the same as in Conjecture 3.5.

The \( (n + 1) \)-st point should correspond to \( \alpha \) (the point mapping to 0 in the target \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \)). \( \overline{\text{Pic}}_{g,n+1} \) should admit an action of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) (permuting the points corresponding to \( \beta \)), but not necessarily \( \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} \). (This insight comes from M. Shapiro, who has suggested that the correct moduli space of curves for the double Hurwitz problem in general should have two “colors” of points, one corresponding to \( \alpha \), and one corresponding to \( \beta \).) The string and dilaton equations are again satisfied.

4. **A symmetric function description of the Hurwitz generating series**

In this section, we use character theory again, to give a good description of the double Hurwitz generating series \( H \). This gives algebraic, rather than geometric, insight into
Hurwitz numbers, and thereby give a means of producing explicit formulae, for example extending results of Kuleshov and M. Shapiro [KS].

For the purposes of this section, we regard each of the indeterminates $p_k$ and $q_k$ as power sum symmetric functions in two sets of indeterminates, one for $p_k$ and the other for $q_k$. This may be done since the power sum symmetric functions in an infinite set of indeterminates are algebraically independent. The following result gives such an expression, stated in terms of symmetric functions. Let $s_\lambda(p_1, p_2, \ldots)$ be the Schur symmetric function, written as a polynomial in the power sum symmetric functions $p_1, p_2, \ldots$. This is the generating series for the irreducible $S_d$-characters $(\chi_\lambda^g : \lambda \vdash d)$ with respect to the power sum symmetric functions. For $\alpha, \beta \vdash d$, the expression, and its inverse, is

$$s_\alpha = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} |C_\lambda| \chi_\alpha^p s_\lambda, \quad p_\alpha = \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \chi_\alpha^p s_\lambda.$$  

From the expression for $H$ that we give next, we shall determine how $H_{\alpha, \beta}^g$ depends on $g$ for fixed $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let

$$Z = 1 + \sum_{d \geq 1} z^d \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} e^{\eta(\lambda)t} s_\lambda(p_1 t^{-1}, p_2 t^{-1}, \ldots) s_\lambda(q_1 u t^{-1}, q_2 u t^{-1}, \ldots).$$

Then $H|_{y=t^2} = t^2 \log Z$ and $\tilde{H}|_{y=t^2} = t^2 Z$.

**Proof.** Following the method of proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\frac{\tilde{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(g)}}{|\text{Aut } \alpha| |\text{Aut } \beta|} = \frac{|C_\beta|}{d!} |K_\beta| (K_{(2,1,d-2)})^r K_\alpha = \frac{|C_\alpha| \cdot |C_\beta|}{d!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \eta(\lambda)^r \chi_\alpha^\lambda \chi_\beta^\lambda.$$ 

Now multiply by $p_\alpha q_\beta u^{l(\beta)} z^d t^r / r!$, and sum over $\alpha, \beta \vdash d$, $d \geq 0$, and $r \geq 0$ (this number is 0 unless $r$ has the same parity as $l(\alpha) + l(\beta)$), using (42), to obtain

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{d \geq 0} z^d \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} p_\alpha q_\beta u^{l(\beta)} t^r \frac{\tilde{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(g)}}{|\text{Aut } \alpha| |\text{Aut } \beta| r!} = 1 + \sum_{d \geq 1} z^d \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} e^{\eta(\lambda)t} s_\lambda(p_1, \ldots) s_\lambda(q_1 u, \ldots).$$

This series is an exponential generating series in both $z$, marking sheets, and $t$, marking transposition factors (we have divided by both $r!$ and $d!$, the latter in the Hurwitz axioms Prop. 1.1). To transform the exponent of $t$ from number of transposition factors to genus, we apply the substitutions $p_i \mapsto p_i t^{-1}, q_i \mapsto q_i t^{-1}, i \geq 1$, to obtain $p_\alpha q_\beta t^r \mapsto p_\alpha q_\beta t^{r-l(\alpha)-l(\beta)} = p_\alpha q_\beta t^{2g-2}$, from (7), and the result now follows. (Note that $Z$ is clearly an even series in $t$ since $\eta(\lambda) = -\eta(\lambda)$ and $s_\lambda(p) = s_\lambda(-p).$)

**4.1. Expressions for $H_{\alpha, \beta}^g$ for varying $g$ and fixed $\alpha, \beta$.** Theorem 4.1 may be used to obtain $H_{\alpha, \beta}^g$ for fixed $\alpha, \beta$. The expressions are linear combinations of $g$th powers of non-negative integers. In particular, the results of Kuleshov and Shapiro [KS] for $d = 3, 4$ and 5 can be obtained and extended, using Maple to carry out the routine manipulation of series.

As an example, we give an explicit expression for $H_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2)}^g$ in the case that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are distinct.
Corollary 4.2. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \vdash d$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \vdash d$ where $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, $\beta_1 < \beta_2$, $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are distinct. Then

$$H^g_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{2}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \beta_2} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_1} \left( \left( \frac{d+1}{2} - di \right)^{2g+2} - \left( \frac{d+1}{2} - di - \alpha_2 \beta_1 \right)^{2g+2} \right).$$

Proof. Since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are distinct, we have

$$H^g_{\alpha, \beta} = \tilde{H}^{(g)}_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{|C_\beta| \cdot |C_\alpha|}{d!^2} \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \eta(\lambda) \chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \chi_{\beta}^{\lambda},$$

from (43). But here we have $r = 2g + 2$ is even, and $|C_\beta| \cdot |C_\alpha| / d!^2 = 1/\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \beta_2$. Moreover, $\eta(\lambda) = -\eta(\lambda)$, and $\chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \chi_{\beta}^{\lambda} = \chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \chi_{\beta}^{\lambda}$. Finally, from (42), $\chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = 0$ exactly when $|p_\alpha|s_\lambda = 0$, so we have

$$H^g_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{2}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \beta_2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}} \eta(\lambda) 2g+2 \chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \chi_{\beta}^{\lambda},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta} = \{ \lambda : |p_\alpha|s_\lambda \neq 0, |p_\beta|s_\lambda \neq 0, \eta(\lambda) > 0 \}$. Now, we can give an explicit description of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$, using the Murnaghan–Nakayama formula for the irreducible characters of the symmetric group (see, e.g., [M]). The details can be routinely verified. First, $|\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}| = 2\alpha_1$, so we let $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta} = \{ \lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(2\alpha_1)} \}$, where $\lambda^{(1)} > \ldots > \lambda^{(2\alpha_1)}$. (Here $>$ denotes reverse lexicographic order on partitions, so $(3) > (2, 1) > (1^3)$.) Then, for $i = 1, \ldots, \alpha_1$, we have $\lambda^{(i)} = (d - i + 1, 1^{i-1})$ (which is independent of $\beta$), so

$$\eta(\lambda^{(i)}) = \left( \frac{d+1}{2} - di \right), \quad \chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda^{(i)}} = 1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \alpha_1.\]$$

Also, for $i = 1, \ldots, \alpha_1$, we have $\lambda^{(\alpha_1+i)} = (d + 1 - \beta_1 - i, \alpha_1 + 2 - i, 2^{i-1}, 1^{\beta_1-\alpha_1-1})$, so

$$\eta(\lambda^{(\alpha_1+i)}) = \left( \frac{d+1}{2} - di - \alpha_2 \beta_1, \quad \chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda^{(\alpha_1+i)}} \chi_{\beta}^{\lambda^{(\alpha_1+i)}} = 1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \alpha_1.\]$$

The result follows immediately. □

For example, $\mathcal{P}_{(3,8), (4,7)} = \{ (11), (10, 1), (9, 1^2), (7, 4), (6, 3, 2), (5, 2^3) \}$ and

$$H^g_{(3,8), (4,7)} = \frac{2}{3 \cdot 8 \cdot 4 \cdot 7} \left( 55^{2g+2} + 44^{2g+2} + 33^{2g+2} - 23^{2g+2} - 12^{2g+2} - 2g+2 \right).$$

Similar expressions may be obtained when $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have three parts. For example,

$$H^g_{(1,2,6), (1,3,5)} = \frac{1}{180} \left( 2^{2g+4} - 6^{2g+4} + 10^{2g+4} + 12^{2g+4} - 18^{2g+4} - 20^{2g+4} - 28^{2g+4} + 36^{2g+4} \right).$$

The sum is over $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$, but contributions from some partitions of this set are exactly canceled as a consequence of “identities” between parts of $\alpha$ and parts of $\beta$ (for example, $1 + 2 = 3$ and $6 = 1 + 5$, where the left and right hand sides, respectively, refer to $\alpha$ and $\beta$). Furthermore, other terms are introduced as a consequence of the same identities.

As an example with more parts, with $d = 8$, we obtain

$$H^g_{(2,2,4), (1,2,2,3)} = \frac{1}{48} \left( 3 \cdot 2^{2g+5} + \frac{9}{2} \cdot 4^{2g+5} + 3 \cdot 6^{2g+5} - 10^{2g+5} - 14^{2g+5} - 16^{2g+5} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 28^{2g+5} \right).$$
5. m-PART DOUBLE HURWITZ NUMBERS (m = l(α) FIXED)

We next consider more generally the case where α has a fixed number m of parts, and β is arbitrary. The behaviour is qualitatively different from that of the m = 1 case, which was considered in Section 3 as might be expected by the failure of polynomiality. We prove a topological recursion relation consistent with a description of double Hurwitz numbers in terms of the moduli space of curves. This relation is obvious neither from the currently understood geometry of double Hurwitz numbers nor from the combinatorial interpretation in terms of the join-and-cut equation. For expository reasons, we will give three versions of this topological recursion: a genus 0 recursion (Thm. 5.4), a “cleaner” version of the genus 0 recursion involving rational rather than transcendental functions (Thm. 5.6), and a version in arbitrary genus (Thm. 5.12).

The topological recursion will enable us to find closed-form expressions for double Hurwitz numbers for small g and m, and in principle for larger g and m. (For a much simpler example of topological recursions implying closed-form expressions for single Hurwitz numbers, see [V1].) We conjecture the form of a closed-form expression for g = 0 and arbitrary m (Conj. 5.9).

The reader will notice that except for the cases (g, m) = (0, 1) and (0, 2) (when there is no Deligne-Mumford moduli stack $\bar{M}_{g,m}$), the explicit expressions that we obtain for $H_{g,m}^0$ are all rational functions in the intrinsic variable $u$. Moreover, the denominator has explicit linear factors. The topological recursions that we obtain for $H_{g,m}^0$ are integrals over $u$, and the integrand is quadratic in lower order terms. We conjecture that $H_{g,m}^0$ is, except for the two initial cases, always a rational function in $u$, with specified linear factors in the denominator. To prove this by induction, we would need to obtain a rational integrand by the induction hypothesis, and then prove (to avoid a logarithm in the integrated form) that the inverse linear terms in the partial fraction expansion of the integrand disappear.

We have been unable to prove this in general, since it seems to require a stronger induction hypothesis.

5.1. The symmetrized join-cut equation at genus 0. We apply the symmetrization operator $\Theta_m$ (defined in (10)) to the join-cut equation (9) to obtain partial differential equations for the symmetrized series $H_{g,m}^0(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. As a preliminary, we begin with $H_{1,1}^0$. The more general results will be an extension of this idea.

Lemma 5.1.

$$H_{1,1}^0(x_1) = uQ_1.$$ 

(Recall that $H^g_{j,i} = x_i \frac{\partial H^g_{j}}{\partial x_i}$.) Although Lemma 5.1 has already been proved in the previous section, in (40), we give a second proof to illustrate the methodology that will be used throughout this section.

Proof. By applying $\Theta_1$ to the join-cut equation (9) and setting $y = 0$, it follows immediately that $H^0_1$ satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\left(1 + u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + 0 - 2\right) H^0_1 = \left(u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - 1\right) H^0_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( (H^0_{1,1})^2 + 0 + 0 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (H^0_{1,1})^2$$
with initial condition \( [u] H_{1,1}^0 = Q(x_1) \). Apply \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \) to the above equation and let \( G = \frac{1}{u} H_{1,1}^0 \), to obtain

\[
\frac{\partial G}{\partial u} = G x_1 \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}.
\]

In terms of \( G \), the initial condition becomes \( [u^0] G = Q(x_1) \). But, applying \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \) to the functional equation (14), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial w}{\partial u} = w \mu(w) Q(w),
\]

and comparing with (15), we check that \( G(x_1) = Q_1 \) is the unique solution to (44).

To state an equation for \( H_m^0 \) for \( m \geq 2 \), we need some additional notation. For \( \alpha = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_j\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\} \), let \( x_\alpha = x_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_{\alpha_j} \). Let \( \Omega_{m,i} \) be the set of unordered pairs \( \{\alpha, \zeta\} \) such that \( \alpha, \zeta \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\} \) with \( \alpha \cup \zeta = \{1, \ldots, m\} \) and \( \alpha \cap \zeta = \{i\} \). Let \( \{l\} = \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus \{i\} \).

**Theorem 5.2** (Symmetrized join-cut equation in genus 0). For \( m \geq 2 \), \( H_m^0 \) satisfies the equation

\[
\left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + m - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} u Q_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) H_m^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m,i}} H_{m-1,k}^0(\alpha, \zeta, i) \frac{1}{x_k - x_l}.
\]

The two parts of the right hand side of the above equation correspond to the first two parts of the right hand side of the join-cut equation (Lemma 1.2); the third part of join-cut does not arise in genus 0.

**Proof.** By applying \( \Theta_m \) to (9) for fixed \( m \geq 2 \) and setting \( y = 0 \), we find that \( H_m^0(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \) satisfies

\[
\left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + m - 2 \right) H_m^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m,i}} H_{m-1,k}^0(\alpha, \zeta, i) \frac{1}{x_k - x_l}.
\]

Moving the contribution of \( \{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m,i} \) where \( l(\alpha) = 1 \) or \( l(\zeta) = 1 \) on the right hand side of this equation to the left hand side, we obtain

\[
\left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + m - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{1,i}^0(x_i) x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) H_m^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m,i}} H_{m-1,k}^0(\alpha, \zeta, i) \frac{1}{x_k - x_l},
\]

and the result follows from Lemma 5.1.

A key observation is the following. The right hand side of the equation in Theorem 5.2 involves the series \( H_j^0 \) for \( j < m \) only, so if we can invert the partial differential operator that is applied to \( H_j^0 \) on the left hand side, then we have a recursive solution for \( H_m^0 \), \( m \geq 2 \).
5.2. Transformation of variables and recursive solution to symmetrized join-cut. We now find a solution to the partial differential equation for $H^0_m$ that is given in Theorem 5.2. The key is to change variables in $H^0_m$, for $m \geq 1$, from $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ to $w_1, \ldots, w_m$, using (14), to obtain
\begin{equation}
 h^0_m(u, w_1, \ldots, w_m) := H^0_m(w_1 e^{-uQ_1}, \ldots, w_m e^{-uQ_m}), \quad m \geq 2.
\end{equation}
We denote this transformation by $\Gamma$, so
\[
 \Gamma H^0_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = h^0_m(u, w_1, \ldots, w_m).
\]
We regard $h^0_m$ as an element of the ring of formal power series in $u, w_1, \ldots, w_m$, with coefficients that are polynomials in $q_1, q_2, \ldots$. It is straightforward to invert this, and recover $H^0_m$ from $h^0_m$ in (46) by Lagrange inversion, as specified in Theorem 1.3. For this ring, let $D_u$ be the first partial derivative, with respect to $u$, for the purposes of which $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ are regarded as algebraically independent variables, with no dependence on $u$. Henceforth, we use $h^0_m$ and $H^0_m$ interchangeably.

The importance of $\Gamma$ is shown in its action on the partial differential operator that is applied to $H^0_m$ on the left hand side of the symmetrized join-cut equation (Thm. 5.2). We show that, under $\Gamma$, the partial differential operator is transformed into a linear differential operator involving only $D_u$.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $k$ be an integer. Then
\[
 u^{k-1} \Gamma \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} uQ_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = D_u u^k \Gamma.
\]

In short, passing $\Gamma$ through the differential operator simplifies it. From this point of view, the variable $u$ plays an important role, as the only variable, and accounts for our terming it the intrinsic variable of the system.

**Proof.** For functions of $u, w_1, \ldots, w_m$, the chain rule gives
\[
u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = u D_u + \sum_{i=1}^{m} u \left( \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial u} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} = u D_u + \sum_{i=1}^{m} u w_i Q_i \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i}\]
from (45) and the operator identity (16). Then $\Gamma \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} uQ_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = (u D_u + k) \Gamma$ and the result follows. \hfill $\square$

5.3. A (univariate, rational, integral) topological recursion for $H^0_m$. Lemma 5.3 enables us to solve the partial differential equation for $H^0_m$, $m \geq 2$, recursively. The following result gives an integral expression for $h^0_m$, in terms of $h^0_1, \ldots, h^0_{m-1}$. We use the notation
\[
h^0_{j,i} = w_i \frac{\partial h^0_j}{\partial w_i}.
\]

(A “cleaner” version, not involving exponentials, will be given later, Theorem 5.6.)

**Theorem 5.4** (Genus 0 topological recursion, transcendental form). For $m \geq 2$,
\[
h^0_m = u^{2-m} \int_0^u \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m,i}} \sum_{l(\alpha), l(\zeta) \geq 2} \mu_i^2 h^0_{|\alpha|,i}(u, w_{\alpha}) h^0_{|\zeta|,i}(u, w_{\zeta}) + \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq m \atop k \neq l} w_l e^{-uQ_l} \mu_k h^0_{m-1,k}(u, w_{(k,l)}) \right) u^{m-3} du.
\]
where the integrand is considered as a power series in \(u, w_1, \ldots, w_m\), and the integration is carried out with \(w_1, \ldots, w_m\) regarded as constants.

**Proof.** The result follows by applying \(\Gamma\) to Theorem 5.2 with the aid of Lemma 5.3. \(\square\)

5.4. **Explicit expressions for** \(H_m^0\), **for** \(m \leq 5\), **and a conjectured form in general.** We now apply Theorem 5.4 for successive values of \(m \geq 2\), to obtain explicit expressions for the symmetrized series \(H_m^0\). We begin with \(m = 2\) and \(m = 3\), and include the details in a single result, because the resulting expressions can be treated uniformly, also incorporating \(m = 1\). This requires some notation. For \(m \geq 1\), let \(V_m = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m}(w_i - w_j)\), the value of the Vandermonde determinant \((47)\) \(\Delta_m\), and let \(A_m\) be the \(m \times m\) matrix with \((1, j)\)-entry equal to \(\mu_j - 1\), for \(j = 1, \ldots, m\), and \((i, j)\)-entry equal to \(w_j^{m-i+1}\), for \(i = 2, \ldots, m\), \(j = 1, \ldots, m\). Let \(\Delta_{m,j}\) be the partial differential operator defined by

\[
\Delta_{m,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i^j \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i^{j-1} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},
\]

for \(m, j \geq 1\), where the second equality follows from the operator identity \((16)\).

**Corollary 5.5.** For \(m = 1, 2, 3\),

\[
\Delta_{m,1} H_m^0 = \frac{\det A_m}{V_m}.
\]

**Proof.** For \(m = 1\), the result follows by differentiating the result of Lemma 5.1 and applying \((15)\).

For the case \(m = 2\), Theorem 5.4 gives

\[
h_2^0 = \int_0^u \left( \frac{uQ_1 w_2 e^{-uQ_2} - uQ_2 w_1 e^{-uQ_1}}{w_1 e^{-uQ_1} - w_2 e^{-uQ_2}} - Q_1 - Q_2 \right) \frac{du}{u}
\]

\[
= \int_0^u \left( \frac{Q_1 w_1 e^{-uQ_1} - Q_2 w_2 e^{-uQ_2}}{w_1 e^{-uQ_1} - w_2 e^{-uQ_2}} - Q_1 - Q_2 \right) du
\]

\[
= -\log \left( \frac{w_1 e^{-uQ_1} - w_2 e^{-uQ_2}}{w_1 - w_2} \right) - uQ_1 - uQ_2
\]

\[
= \log \left( \frac{w_1 - w_2}{x_1 - x_2} \right) - (uQ_1 + uQ_2),
\]

which is well-formed as a formal power series in \(u, w_1, w_2\), since the argument for the logarithm has constant term equal to 1. Then from \((15)\) we have

\[
H_{2,1}^0 = E_{1,2} - \frac{x_2}{x_1 - x_2}, \quad H_{2,2}^0 = E_{2,1} - \frac{x_1}{x_2 - x_1}, \quad \text{where} \quad E_{i,j} = \frac{w_j \mu_i}{w_i - w_j},
\]

for \(i \neq j\), and, adding these, we obtain

\[
\left( x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) H_2^0 = E_{1,2} + E_{2,1} + 1 = \frac{w_2 \mu_1}{w_1 - w_2} + \frac{w_1 \mu_2}{w_2 - w_1} + 1
\]

\[
= \frac{w_2}{w_1 - w_2}(\mu_1 - 1) + \frac{w_1}{w_2 - w_1}(\mu_2 - 1)
\]

so the result follows for \(m = 2\).
For \( m = 3 \), let \( x_{i,j} = x_j/(x_i - x_j) \), for \( i \neq j \), and let \( \sum_{i,j,k} \) denote summation over all distinct \( i, j, k \) with \( 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3 \). For the case \( m = 3 \), Theorem 5.4 and (48) give

\[
h_3^0 = \frac{1}{u} \int_0^u \sum_{i,j,k} \left( \frac{1}{2} (E_{i,j} - x_{i,j})(E_{i,k} - x_{i,k}) + x_{i,j}(E_{i,k} - x_{i,k}) \right) \ du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{u} \int_0^u (-1 + E_{1,2}E_{1,3} + E_{2,1}E_{2,3} + E_{3,1}E_{3,2}) \ du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{u} \int_0^u \left(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3, j \neq i} \frac{w_j}{w_i - w_j} \right) \mu_i^2 \right) \ du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{u} \left(-u + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3, j \neq i} \frac{w_j}{w_i - w_j} \right) \mu_i \right) \bigg|_0^u = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3, j \neq i} \frac{w_j}{w_i - w_j} \right) (\mu_i - 1),
\]

and the result follows for \( m = 3 \).

We now apply the case \( m = 2 \) of the previous result to transform the integrand of Theorem 5.4 to a simpler form.

**Theorem 5.6 (Genus 0 topological recursion, rational form).** For \( m \geq 4 \),

\[
h_m^0 = u^{2-m} \int_0^u \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{\alpha,i}} \mu_i^2 h_{[\alpha,i]}^0(u, w_{\alpha}) h_{[\zeta,i]}^0(u, w_{\zeta}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m, k \neq i} \frac{\mu_k w_k}{w_k - w_i} h_{m-1,k}^0(u, w_{\{i\}}) \right) u^{m-3} \ du.
\]

**Proof.** The result follows immediately from Theorem 5.4 and (48), since we are able to cancel the terms with denominator \( x_k - x_i = w_k e^{-uQ_k} - w_i e^{-uQ_i} \).

We now apply Theorem 5.6 in the cases of \( m = 4 \) and \( m = 5 \) parts. For \( m \geq 3 \), let \( B_m^{(m,k)} \) be the \( m \times m \) matrix with \((1, j)\)-entry equal to \( w_{j}^m \mu_j \), \((2, j)\)-entry equal to \( w_j \mu_j \), \((3, j)\)-entry equal to \( w_j^k \), for \( j = 1, \ldots, m \), and \((i, j)\)-entry equal to \( w_j^{m-i+1} \), for \( i = 4, \ldots, m \), \( j = 1, \ldots, m \).

**Corollary 5.7.**

\[
h_i^0 = \Delta_{4,1} \left( \frac{\det A_4}{V_4} \right) - \frac{\det B_i^{(2,2)}}{V_4}.
\]

The proof is similar in approach to that of Corollary 5.5 and therefore omitted.

Note that the right hand side of Corollary 5.7 with \( m = 4 \) appears as the “first approximation” to the series \( h_i^0 \) in Corollary 5.7.
For $m = 5$, we have found the expressions in Theorem 5.6 to be intractable by hand, but have used Maple to carry out the integration, and obtained the following theorem. Let

$$C_5 = \begin{pmatrix} w_1^4 \mu_1 & w_2^4 \mu_2 & w_3^4 \mu_3 & w_4^4 \mu_4 & w_5^4 \mu_5 \\ w_1^2 \mu_1 & w_2^2 \mu_2 & w_3^2 \mu_3 & w_4^2 \mu_4 & w_5^2 \mu_5 \\ w_1 \mu_1 & w_2 \mu_2 & w_3 \mu_3 & w_4 \mu_4 & w_5 \mu_5 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{5}^{(n,k)} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1^n \mu_1 & w_2^n \mu_2 & w_3^n \mu_3 & w_4^n \mu_4 & w_5^n \mu_5 \\ w_1^k \mu_1 & w_2^k \mu_2 & w_3^k \mu_3 & w_4^k \mu_4 & w_5^k \mu_5 \\ w_1^2 & w_2^2 & w_3^2 & w_4^2 & w_5^2 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Corollary 5.8.**

$$h_5^0 = \Delta_{5,1}^2 \left( \frac{\det A_5}{V_5} \right) - \Delta_{5,1} \left( 2 \frac{\det B_{5}^{(3:3)}}{V_5} - \frac{\det B_{5}^{(2:4)}}{V_5} \right) + \Delta_{5,2} \left( \frac{\det B_{5}^{(2:3)}}{V_5} \right) + \frac{\det C_5}{V_5} + \frac{\det D_5^{(3:1)}}{V_5} - \frac{\det D_5^{(2:2)}}{V_5}.$$

Again, the right hand side of Corollary 5.5 with $m = 5$ appears as the “first approximation” to $h_5^0$ in Corollary 5.8.

The results that we have for $m = 1, \ldots, 5$ have not yet suggested a pattern that can be conjecturally generalised. This is because we have been unable to find a sufficiently uniform presentation for them, although the presentation as a sum of bialternants of very elementary matrices is appealing.

Still, the forms that we have obtained for $h_m^0$ when $m \leq 5$ suggest a general conjecture, stated below. We refer to this as a rational form in $u$, because each $\mu_i$ is an inverse linear function of $u$. Note that, for $h_m^0$ to continue to be rational as $m$ increases, the partial fraction expansion of the recursively formed integrand in Theorem 5.6 must continue to have vanishing coefficients for the terms that are linear in $\mu_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

**Conjecture 5.9.** For $m \geq 3$, $h_m^0$ is a sum of terms of the following type:

$$\Delta_{m,i_1} \cdots \Delta_{m,i_k} P_{m,i_1,\ldots,i_k},$$

where $0 \leq k \leq m-3$, $i_1 + \cdots + i_k \leq m-3$, and $P_{m,i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ is a homogeneous symmetric polynomial in $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m$ of degree $k+1$, with coefficients that are rational functions in $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ with degree of numerator minus degree of denominator equal to $i_1 + \cdots + i_k - k$. Moreover, $P_{m,i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ is a symmetric function of $w_1, \ldots, w_m$, where $\mu_i$ is considered as $\mu(w_i)$.

Note that this form specializes to the expressions above for $h_3^0, h_4^0, h_5^0$, so the conjecture is true for the cases $3 \leq m \leq 5$.

This conjecture should be seen as the genus 0 double Hurwitz analogue of the polynomiality conjecture [G4, Conj. 1.2] (proved in [GJV, Thm. 3.2]). As with the earlier conjecture, the form of Conjecture 5.9 suggests some geometry. For example, present in the polynomial conjecture was the dimension of the moduli space of $n$-pointed genus $g$ curves; the $n$ points corresponded to the preimages of $\infty$. In this case, the analogue is $m-3$, the dimension of the moduli space of $m$-pointed genus 0 curves; again, the $m$ points should correspond to the preimages of $\infty$ (i.e. the parts of $\beta$). However, we have been unable to make precise the link to geometry.
5.5. **Application: explicit formulae.** As an application of the explicit formulae for $h^i_0$ for small $m$, we now extract the appropriate coefficient to give explicit formulae for the corresponding double Hurwitz numbers. We use some standard results for symmetric functions (see, for example [M]), particularly the determinantal identity

\[(49) \quad \frac{\det \left( w_j^\theta_i + m - 1 \right)}{V_m} = \det \left( h_{\theta_i}^{-i+j}(w) \right)_{m \times m}, \]

for non-negative integers $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m$, where $h_k(w)$ is the complete symmetric function of total degree $k$, with generating series $\sum_{k \geq 0} h_k(w)t^k = \prod_{j=1}^{m}(1 - w_jt)^{-1}$. If $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m)$ is a partition (where $\theta_1 \geq \ldots \geq \theta_m$), then both sides of (49) give expressions for the Schur symmetric function $s_\theta(w)$. In the case that $\theta$ is not a partition, we shall still denote either side of (49) by $s_\theta(w)$.

Using multilinearity on the first row of $\det A_m$, we have

\[(50) \quad \frac{\det A_m}{V_m} = w_1 \ldots w_m \sum_{r \geq m} a_r s_{(r-m)}(w) = w_1 \ldots w_m \sum_{r \geq m} a_r h_{r-m}(w), \]

from (49), where

\[(51) \quad \mu(w) = \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i w^i, \]

and $\mu(w)$ is defined in (15). We write $\alpha \cup \beta$ for the partition with parts $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$, suitably reordered.

**Proposition 5.10.** For $d \geq m \geq 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \vdash d$, with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$,

\[ [x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_m^{\alpha_m} u^{l(\beta)} q_\beta] \frac{\det A_m}{V_m} = \sum_l \frac{l(\rho)! \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\alpha_j - |\gamma_j|)_{\alpha_j}^{l(\gamma_j) - 1}}{|\text{Aut } \rho| \prod_{j=1}^{m} |\text{Aut } \gamma_j|}, \]

where the summation is over partitions $\rho, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$, with $\rho \cup \gamma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \gamma_m = \beta$, and $|\gamma_j| < \alpha_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. (Note that $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ can be empty, but $\rho$ cannot.)

**Proof.** From (50), we have

\[ \frac{\det A_m}{V_m} = \sum_{r \geq m} a_r \sum w_1^{i_1} \cdots w_m^{i_m}, \]

where $m \geq 1$, and the second summation is over $i_1, \ldots, i_m \geq 1$, with $i_1 + \cdots + i_m = r$, and $a_r$ is defined above (51). But, applying Theorem 1.3.12 to (14), we obtain

\[ [x^i] w^i = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{u \sum_j q_j \lambda_j^i}{|\text{Aut } \gamma|} \right)^n \]

\[ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \gamma|} \left( \frac{u \sum_j q_j \lambda_j^i}{|\text{Aut } \gamma|} \right)^n, \quad i, t \geq 1, \]
and from (15), we have
\[ a_r = [w^r] (\mu(w) - 1) = [w^r] \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( u \sum_{j \geq 1} j q_j w^j \right)^i = \sum_{\rho \vdash r} \frac{l(\rho)! \prod_{j \geq 1} \rho_j}{|\text{Aut} \rho|} u^{l(\rho)} q_\rho, \quad r \geq 1. \]

Combining these results, we obtain
\[ [x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_m^{\alpha_m}] \frac{\det \mathbf{A}_m}{V_m} = \sum_{\rho \vdash m} \frac{l(\rho)! \prod_{j \geq 1} \rho_j}{|\text{Aut} \rho|} u^{l(\rho)} q_\rho \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{i_j}{\alpha_j} \sum_{\gamma_j = 1}^{\gamma_j} \frac{\alpha_j^{l(\gamma_j)}}{|\text{Aut} \gamma_j|} u^{l(\gamma_j)} q_{\gamma_j} \right), \]
where the summation is over \( \rho \) and \( i_1, \ldots, i_m \geq 1 \), with \( \rho \vdash i_1 + \cdots + i_m \). The result follows immediately.

This result allows us to immediately give formulae for genus 0 double Hurwitz numbers when one of the partitions has two or three parts.

**Corollary 5.11.** Suppose \( \alpha, \beta \vdash d \), with \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \).

1) If \( m = 2 \), then
\[ H_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta}^0 = \frac{|\text{Aut} \beta| r!}{d} \sum_{\rho \vdash m} \frac{l(\rho)! \prod_{j \geq 1} \rho_j}{|\text{Aut} \rho| |\text{Aut} \gamma_1| |\text{Aut} \gamma_2|} (\alpha_1 - |\gamma_1|)(\alpha_2 - |\gamma_2|) \alpha_1^{l(\gamma_1) - 1} \alpha_2^{l(\gamma_2) - 1}, \]
where the summation is over partitions \( \rho, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \), with \( \rho \cup \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 = \beta \), and \( |\gamma_j| < \alpha_j \), \( j = 1, 2 \).

2) If \( m = 3 \), then
\[ H_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \beta}^0 = |\text{Aut} \beta| r! \sum_{\rho \vdash m} \frac{l(\rho)! \prod_{j \geq 1} \rho_j}{|\text{Aut} \rho|} \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{(\alpha_j - |\gamma_j|) \alpha_j^{l(\gamma_j) - 1}}{|\text{Aut} \gamma_j|}, \]
where the summation is over partitions \( \rho, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \), with \( \rho \cup \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \gamma_3 = \beta \), and \( |\gamma_j| < \alpha_j \), \( j = 1, 2, 3 \).

**Proof.** From (11), we obtain
\[ H_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m), \beta}^0 = |\text{Aut} \beta| r! \left[ x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_m^{\alpha_m} u^{l(\beta)} q_\beta \right] H_m^0(x_1, \ldots, x_m). \]
Both parts of the result then follow from Proposition 5.10 and Corollary 5.5 using (47) to give the factor of \( d \) in the case \( m = 2 \).

In a similar, but more complicated way, it is possible to obtain explicit formulae for \( H_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m), \beta}^0 \) in the cases \( m = 4, 5 \), using multilinearity to expand the determinants that arise in Corollaries 5.7 and 5.8.

5.6. **Positive genus: A topological recursion for \( H_m^g \) and explicit formulae.** In the following result, we apply the symmetrization operator \( \Theta_m \) to the join-cut equation, to obtain a partial differential equation for \( H_m^g \), for genus \( g \geq 1 \). As in the case of genus 0, the change of variables transforms the partial differential operator applied to \( H_m^g \) into the linear differential operator in the intrinsic variable \( u \). Consequently, we are able to express the transformed series
\[ h_m^g(u, w_1, \ldots, w_m) = \Gamma \mathbf{H}_m^g(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \]
as an integral in \( u \).

**Theorem 5.12** (Topological recursion in positive genus).

1) For \( g \geq 1 \),

\[
h^g_m = \frac{u^{1-2g}}{2} \int_0^u \left( \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m-1}} \mu_j^2 \left( h^g_{|\alpha|, i}(u, w_\alpha) h^g_{|\zeta|, i}(u, w_\zeta) + h^g_{|\alpha|, i}(u, w_\alpha) h^0_{|\zeta|, i}(u, w_\zeta) \right) + \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq m} w_k - w_l h^g_{m-1, k}(u, w_{l|}) \right) u^{2g-2} du.
\]

2) For \( m \geq 2 \) and \( g \geq 1 \),

\[
h^g_m = u^{2-m-2g} \int_0^u \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m-1}} \mu_i^2 \left( h^0_{|\alpha|, i}(u, w_\alpha) h^g_{|\zeta|, i}(u, w_\zeta) + h^0_{|\alpha|, i}(u, w_\alpha) h^0_{|\zeta|, i}(u, w_\zeta) \right) + \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq m} w_k - w_l h^g_{m-1, k}(u, w_{l|}) \right) u^{m+2g-3} du.
\]

In both parts of this result, the integration is carried out with \( w_1, \ldots, w_m \) regarded as constants.

We call this a topological recursion because it expresses \( h^g_m \) in terms of \( h^{g'}_{m'} \), where \( g' \leq g \) and \( m' \leq m + 1 \), and either \( g' < g \) or \( m' < m \).

**Remarks.**

1. Note that the case \( m = 1 \) is different.
2. The exponents of \( u \) have geometric meaning; this is no coincidence.
3. This result specializes to the rough form of the genus 0 topological recursion (Theorem 5.4), by taking \( g = 0 \) and \( h^{-1} = 0 \), after minor manipulation.

**Proof.** By applying \( \Theta_1 \) and \([y^g]\) to (9) for fixed \( g \geq 1 \) we find that \( H^g_1(x_1) \) satisfies

\[
\left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + 2g - 1 \right) H^g_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^g h^g_{1,1}(x_1) H^{g-j}_{1,1}(x_1) + \frac{1}{2} x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} H^{g-1}_{2,1}(x_1, x_2) \bigg|_{x_2=x_1}.
\]

Now move the terms \( j = 0 \) and \( j = g \) in the summation on the right hand side of this equation to the left hand side, and change variables by applying the operator identity (16), and part 1 of the result follows from Lemma 5.3.

By applying \( \Theta_m \) and \([y^g]\) to (9) for fixed \( m \geq 2 \) and \( g \geq 1 \) we find that \( H^g_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \) satisfies

\[
\left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + m + 2g - 2 \right) H^g_m = \sum_{j=0}^g \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\{\alpha, \zeta\} \in \Omega_{m-1}} h^g_{|\alpha|, i}(x_\alpha) h^{g-j}_{|\zeta|, i}(x_\zeta) + \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq m} x_k H^g_{m-1, k}(x_{l|}) - x_k - x_l \right) \bigg|_{x_{m+1}=x_i}.
\]
Now move the contribution of \( \{ \alpha, \zeta \} \in \Omega_{m,i} \) when \( j = 0 \) or \( j = g \), and \( l(\alpha) = 1 \) or \( l(\zeta) = 1 \), in the first summation on the right hand side of this equation to the left hand side, and apply (48) to cancel the terms with denominator \( x_k - x_l \) on the right hand side. Then apply \( \Gamma \), using the operator identity (16), and part 2 of the result follows from Lemma 5.3.

The topological recursion may be used to give explicit formulas for \( H_{g,m}^0 \). The cases \( g = 1 \) and \( m = 1, 2 \) are given below. We omit the derivation (which is similar in spirit to that for the genus 0 formulae), and simply report the result.

**Corollary 5.13.**

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{h}_1^1 &= u \mu_1 w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} Q_1 + u^2 \mu_1^3 \left( \left( w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \right)^2 Q_1 \right)^2 + u \mu_1 \left( w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \right)^3 Q_1, \\
\mathbf{h}_2^1 &= \frac{1}{24} \left( x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \left( \frac{w_2}{w_1 - w_2} w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} \log \mu_1 \right) + \frac{1}{24} \left( x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{w_1}{w_2 - w_1} w_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} \log \mu_2 \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{24} \Delta_{2,1} \left( \frac{w_2}{w_1 - w_2} \mu_1 + \frac{w_1}{w_2 - w_1} \mu_2 \right) + \frac{1}{48} \Delta_{2,1} \left( \frac{w_1}{w_2 - w_2} w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} w_1 - w_2 + w_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} w_2 - w_1 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

A similar equation for \( \mathbf{h}_1^1 \) has also been derived from the Genus Expansion Ansatz, in (41). Of course, these expressions agree, by carrying out the differentiations in Corollary 5.13.
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