1. INTRODUCTION

Low surface brightness (LSB) galaxies are difficult to detect optically, and thus may be underrepresented in most optically selected samples used in studies of galaxy formation and evolution and their hierarchical assembly history (e.g., Williams et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1998; McGaugh et al. 2000; Brinchmann et al. 2004; Hopkins & Beacom 2006). Some of the LSB galaxies might be those in which star formation has been a slow and gradual process (McGaugh & de Blok 1997; Schombert & McGaugh 2014) and some may provide a source of fresh gas inflow to larger galaxies through merger and interactions (Sancisi et al. 1990). By missing the LSB galaxies in most surveys, we may be missing an entire population of galaxies and/or a phase of galaxy evolution.

At the extreme end of the LSB galaxy spectrum, Disney (1976) predicted the existence of entirely “dark galaxies,” with no observable optical stellar counterparts because their surface brightness is too low. A category of “crouching giants,” exemplified by the highly luminous and massive LSB spiral Malin I (e.g., Lelli et al. 2010), has been identified, but they are quite rare. Overall, no large population of unseen LSB objects has been detected at any wavelength.

LSB galaxies typically possess substantial reservoirs of atomic hydrogen, so blind 21 cm surveys represent the best opportunity to find large samples of the most extreme LSBs. Two major blind HI surveys, HIPASS (HI Parkes All Sky Survey; Doyle et al. 2005) and ALFALFA (Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA; Haynes et al. 2011), have reached the conclusion that there is not a significant population of gas-bearing but optically dark systems. At the same time, there are a number of intriguing, unexplained objects detected clearly in HI, showing signs of ordered motion and coincident with no discernible stellar counterpart. The best example of such a “dark galaxy” remains the southwestern component of the HI1225 +01 system (Chengalur et al. 1995; Matsuoka et al. 2012), although it is important to note its presence in a common envelope with a visible star-forming dwarf companion.

While some simulations can produce dark galaxies in the form of stable gas disks that never produce stars (Verde et al. 2002), others find that star-less galaxies cannot exist for very long before becoming unstable to star formation (Taylor & Webster 2005). The presence of HI in some LSB galaxies provides a key dynamical tracer of the mass in these extreme systems (Geha et al. 2006; Huang et al. 2012a). Detailed kinematic studies are being undertaken to study the effects of outflows and feedback in lower mass galaxies (van Eymeren et al. 2009), in order to understand star formation modes in these shallow potential wells and low density galaxies. Groups have worked to develop models that can simultaneously explain galaxy scaling relations in the full cosmological context (e.g., Dutton et al. 2007).

Recently, the ALFALFA survey has made significant improvements in the sensitivity and depth of available wide field blind HI surveys. ALFALFA has measured 25,000 + HI sources over 7000 square degrees in a cosmologically significant volume (Giovanelli et al. 2005; Haynes...
### Table 1

| AGC       | Position J2000 | $F_{\text{HI}_{\text{ALFALFA}}}$ (Jy km s$^{-1}$) | $F_{\text{HI}}$ (Jy km s$^{-1}$) | $V_{\text{LSR}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $W_{\text{HI}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | R$_{\text{HI}}$ ($) | R$_{\text{S}}$ $	imes 10^{19}$ ($) |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 229385    | 12:32:10.3 + 20:25:24 | 4.87 ± 0.04                     | 4.84 ± 0.04                   | 1348 ± 1                     | 34 ± 1                      | 1.60 ± 0.72     | 1.87 ± 1.10                  |
| 229384    | 12:31:36.4 + 20:20:06 | 1.36 ± 0.03                     | 1.25 ± 0.04                   | 1309 ± 1                     | 27 ± 1                      | 0.73 ± 0.55     | 1.00 ± 0.70                  |
| 229383    | 12:30:55.3 + 20:34:04 | 0.81 ± 0.06                     | 0.42 ± 0.05                   | 1282 ± 4                     | 59 ± 8                      | ...             | 0.80 ± 0.28                  |

Note. Observed properties of objects in HI2123+20. (1) Catalog ID in the Arecibo General Catalog (an internal database maintained by M.P.H. and R.G.). (2) HI centroid position from WSRT. (3) Total integrated HI line flux density measured from ALFALFA data. (4) Total integrated HI line flux density measured from WSRT data. (5) Heliocentric velocity, measured at the 50% flux level. (6) HI velocity width, measured at the 50% flux level. (7) HI radius at a HI surface density of 3.60 $\times$ 10$^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$, in arcminutes, measured from the moment 2D maps assuming a beam of 3$''$ × 3$''$. Uncertainties on all radio measurements are ±0.06. At a distance of 25 Mpc, 1$''$ subtends a distance of 7 kpc. Note that AGC 229384 is separated into two peaks at the 1M$_{\odot}$ pc$^{-2}$ level, and that AGC 229383 never reaches a surface density of 1M$_{\odot}$ pc$^{-2}$. Also note that the measurement for AGC 229383 only reflects the radius of the NW clump; the SE clump reaches a column density of 5 $\times$ 10$^{19}$ over an area of 14$''$ × 7$''$. (8) HI semimajor and semiminor axis at a column density of 5 $\times$ 10$^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$, in arcminutes, assuming a beam of 3$''$ × 3$''$.  

et al. 2011; M. Jones et al. 2015, in preparation). ALFALFA has characterized the population of normal galaxies (Huang et al. 2012b), low mass galaxies (Huang et al. 2012a), as well as probing the HI mass function to lower HI masses than ever before (Martin et al. 2010).  

As discussed in Cannon et al. (2015), the ALFALFA (Almost) Dark Galaxy Project has been studying the very small fraction (~0.4%) of HI sources which lack obvious optical counterparts and are isolated from other sources. Follow-up observations are ongoing and include deep optical imaging and HI synthesis maps. Many objects turn out to be tidal in origin, but some have very LSB stellar populations at or below the detection limits of current wide field imaging surveys.  

In this work we study the newly discovered HI2123+20 system of three (almost) dark extragalactic HI sources which were not detected in optical surveys, and are at least an order of magnitude less luminous than previously studied LSB galaxy populations (e.g., Schombert et al. 2011). This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the discovery and observations of this system, and in Section 3 we show the results of those observations. Throughout this work we use a flow model distance (Masters 2005) of $D = 25$ Mpc to the HI2123+20 system, and we discuss the effects of distance uncertainty on our conclusions in Section 3.4.2. In Section 4 we discuss the implications of these objects and what they might mean in the context of (almost) dark galaxies, and in terms of extending scaling relationships from normal galaxies. Section 5 contains a brief summary of our main results. Throughout this work we assume a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology, with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.  

## 2. OBSERVATIONS  

### 2.1. ALFALFA Discovery of the HI2123+20 System  

The ALFALFA survey employs a two-pass, fixed azimuth drift scan strategy, the details of which are described in previous papers (Giovanelli et al. 2005; Saintonge 2007; Martin et al. 2009; Haynes et al. 2011). All data are flagged for radio frequency interference interactively, and each grid is examined by hand to confirm and improve on sources detected via the automated methods of Saintonge (2007); final source parameters are measured and cataloged interactively.  

Among the ALFALFA (almost) dark extragalactic sources, the HI2123+20 system (comprised of sources AGC 229383, AGC 229384, and AGC 229385) was found to be of particular interest. These three objects are near each other on the sky and also have similar recession velocities, so are likely associated with each other. From the ALFALFA observations it was clear that these three sources have significant amounts of gas present, even though they do not have readily identifiable optical (stellar) counterparts in existing optical databases (Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), DSS). While they appear on the sky near AGC 222741 (CGCG 129-006), there is a significant separation in velocity between the sources. AGC 222741 has an HI recession velocity of 1884 km s$^{-1}$ while the three sources in this sample have recession velocities of ~$1300$ km s$^{-1}$.  

An overlapping archival ultraviolet (UV) image from GALEX GR7 (Galaxy Evolution Explorer; Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2007; Data Release 7; Bianchi et al. 2014) shows a faint diffuse UV source at the coordinates of AGC229385 (see Section 2.4 for more details). There is also a hint of a faint object at the same position in the DSS2-B image (Digitized Sky Survey 8 but no source visible at that position in images from SDSS DR9 (Data Release 9; Ahn et al. 2012); Table 1 contains the observed HI parameters of the HI2123+20 system. No optical sources were evident at the locations of the other two HI detections.  

Given the curious nature of this system, we have carried out further observations to study it in more detail. We have obtained deep optical images to look for possible faint stellar populations in the sources, and sensitive HI synthesis observations to resolve the gas distribution and kinematics in more detail.  

#### 2.2. Deep Optical Imaging with WIYN pODI  

The HI2123+20 system was observed with the WIYN$^9$ 3.5 m telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory$^{10}$ using the partially populated One Degree Imager (pODI). Currently, pODI is made up of 13 Orthogonal Transfer Arrays (OTAs),

---

8 The Digitized Sky Surveys were produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under US Government grant NAG W-2166. The images of these surveys are based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain and the UK Schmidt Telescope. The plates were processed into the present compressed digital form with the permission of these institutions.  

9 The WIYN Observatory is a joint facility of the University of Wisconsin-Madison, Indiana University, the University of Missouri, and the National Optical Astronomy Observatory.  

10 Kitt Peak National Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
each of which is made of 64 480 × 496 pixel cells. The OTAs are arranged on the focal plane such that the central 3 × 3 OTAs cover an area of 24′ × 24′ with pixels that are 0′′11 on a side. The numerous gaps between cells and OTAs require a series of offset dithered exposures to produce a well-sampled image. Four of the standard SDSS (Gunn et al. 1998; Doi et al. 2010) $g'$, $r'$, $i'$, and $z'$ filters are available, and stars from the SDSS catalog photometry are used for standard photometric calibrations.

We imaged an area which includes both AGC 229384 and AGC 229385 on the night of 2013 February 6 with nine dithered 300 s exposures in each of the $g'$/$r'$/$i'$ filters. We observed AGC 229383 on 2014 May 2 with nine dithered 300 s exposures in both the $g'$ and $r'$ filters. By combining data from these two nights of observations, we have contiguous deep multi-wavelength imaging coverage over an area $\sim 40′ \times 40′$. We also imaged this field with an 80 Å narrow-band Hα filter during photometric conditions on the night of 2013 February 6. Our dithered sequence of nine 300 s images, while not calibrated, do not show any Hα detections at the locations of the three HI sources in the HI1232+20 system, but do show a background spiral galaxy (AGC 222741) quite clearly.

We reduced our observations using the QuickReduce (QR; Kotulla 2014) data reduction pipeline, and supplemented this processing with an additional illumination correction. QR was run interactively in the One Degree Imager Pipeline, Portal, and Archive (ODI-PPA) 11 science gateway (Young et al. 2013; Gopu et al. 2014). The PPA interface allows the user to select which observations will be reduced, and runs all of the reductions on computing resources at the Pervasive Technology Institute (PTI) at Indiana University.

The QR pipeline includes: masking of saturated pixels, crosstalk, and persistence; overscan subtraction; bias level subtraction; dark current subtraction; nonlinearity corrections to each cell; flat field correction from dome flat fields; cosmic-ray removal; fringe removal (in $i'$); pupil ghost correction. However, the final pipeline-processed data still have uncorrected instrumental artifacts in them, especially at very faint intensity levels. In order to produce images that are suitable for LSB analysis, we need to correct for the small gradients, sky level offsets, and other artifacts in particular cells. Once these effects are corrected, the dithered images can be combined into a final deep image.

In order to remove these image artifacts, we apply an illumination correction using dark sky flats generated from the observations themselves. For a particular filter, we mask all objects in the images, then use a median algorithm to combine all of the exposures into a dark sky flat field, which is then smoothed with a 3 × 3 pixel smoothing element. Each exposure is then divided by this illumination correction image.

Before combining all exposures in a dither pattern, we re-project them to a common pixel scale and also scale the images to a common flux level using measurements of stars in the field and SDSS DR9 catalog magnitudes (Ahn et al. 2012). This compensates for varying sky transparency during the dither sequence, and typically yields final photometric zero-points with standard deviations of 0.02–0.03 magnitudes. The $g'$ filter calibrations required a $g'−i'$ color term of amplitude 0.079 ± 0.013, but $r'$ and $i'$ calibrations required no color term. The point sources in our final combined images have an average FWHM of $0′′7 - 0′′9$.

We also create a deep “detection-only” image by combining all images from both pointings in all filters to reach the faintest light possible. This detection image is then binned to 1/2 resolution to bring out very faint emission, and is shown in Figure 1 with relevant HI sources labeled and HI synthesis contours overlaid. The contrast levels in this image have been stretched to show the exquisite sensitivity to faint light. In this view, the optical counterpart to AGC 229385 is strikingly visible, as will later be discussed. Also visible in the upper left corner of the image is diffuse filamentary emission from Galactic cirrus. This foreground emission comes from reflections of star light off cold dust clouds in our Galaxy (Sandage 1976; Witt et al. 2008) Multiple infrared surveys (IRAS; Schlegel et al. 1998; WISE; Wright et al. 2010) also observe this dust via its thermal emission, and show features that are coincident with the faint optical emission we see in our image. It even shows up weakly in the overlapping deep archival GALEX UV image. Galactic cirrus is very faint and diffuse at optical wavelengths and typically only visible in deep, wide field images that are very accurately flat fielded (e.g., Rudick et al. 2010).

2.3. HI Synthesis Imaging with WSRT

We observed the HI1232+20 system with four 12 h pointings at the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT), three of which were centered on (12:31:52.6 + 20:22:59) to encompass the centroids of AGC 229385 and AGC229384, and one of which was centered on (12:31:08.5 + 20:31:41.9), to encompass AGC 229383. The primary beams of the two pointing centers are 35′ wide and cover nearly all of the area displayed in Figure 1. We observed the HI line in one band with 10 MHz bandwidth, two polarization products, and 1024 channels, ensuring a broad range of line free channels for continuum subtraction and a velocity resolution of 4.12 km s$^{-1}$ after Hanning smoothing.

The data were reduced using the same automated data reduction pipeline as applied in Wang et al. (2013), originally used by Serra et al. (2012), using the data reduction software Miriad (Sault et al. 1995) wrapped into a Python script. The data were automatically flagged for radio interference using a clipping method after filtering the data in both the frequency- and time-domain. After the primary bandpass calibration, the data were iteratively deconvolved with the CLEAN algorithm, using clean masks determined on the cube with decreasing chip levels, to then apply a self-calibration. The calibration solution was applied to the visibilities and the continuum was subtracted in the visibility domain to then invert the data after Hanning smoothing, using a set of combinations of robust weighting and tapering with a Gaussian kernel, as well as a binning in the frequency domain. Finally the data cubes were iteratively cleaned using clean masks determined by filtering the data cubes with Gaussian kernels and applying a clip level. The clean cutoff level was set to the rms noise in the data cubes. Because we cleaned the data comparably deeply, no correction of the intensity levels of the residuals was made.

Our pipeline produces cubes at each centroid with three different robustness weightings, $r = 0.0$, $r = 0.4$, and $r = 6.0$, binned to a velocity resolution of 6.2 km s$^{-1}$ after Hanning smoothing (12.4 km s$^{-1}$ for the $r = 6.0$ cube). The noise level
in the cubes for the three respective robustness weightings are 0.40, 0.36, and 0.24 mJy/beam/channel, with beam sizes of 39″ × 13″, 45″ × 15″, and 54″ × 20″.

For each cube we then created HI total flux maps by summing masked cubes along the velocity axis. We created the masks by smoothing the images to twice the beam size, and then keeping any pixel 3σ above the noise level. From these we calculate HI column density maps assuming optically thin HI gas such that $N_{HI} = 1.823 \times 10^{18} \int T_b dv \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Since the final contour map results from the combination of multiple WSRT observations (three at the SE pointing, and one at the NW pointing), the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) varies across the image and is less sensitive near AGC 229383. The lowest HI contour shown on the images, $1 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, corresponds to a less significant detection in the region around AGC 229383 than it does in the region around AGC 229385 and AGC 229384. As a result, there were locations outside of the main signal from AGC 229383 where the HI column density exceeded $1 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, but since the significance of the detection was < 3σ, those contours are not shown.

We additionally create a one-dimensional integrated HI line profile for each object, as displayed in Section 3. We fitted the line with both the two-horned function applied in the ALFALFA data processing, and using a standard Gaussian fit and note that the fluxes from the fits match well within random errors. We recover 99% of the ALFALFA flux in the WSRT spectrum of AGC 229385, and 92% in AGC 229384, but only 52% in AGC 229383. The spectra for AGC 229385 and AGC 229384 are both well fitted by a Gaussian profile, and though both may show slight deviation from Gaussian, using two Gaussians does not return a better result. The spectrum for AGC 229383 is not well fitted by either a Gaussian or a two-horned fit.

Finally, we produce HI velocity maps using two different methods. We created standard moment 1 maps from cubes masked at 3σ, and additionally fitted Gaussian functions to each individual profile in the datacube using the GIPSY task XGAUFIT. The resulting maps from the two methods are virtually identical, and we show the maps in Section 3.
AGC 229385 clearly has an optical and ultraviolet counterpart while AGC 229384 lacks a counterpart at either wavelength. AGC 229383 has not been observed by GALEX.

To further analyze the velocity field we create position–velocity (PV) diagrams for each source. We produced the PV diagrams by taking an 18″ (1 minor axis beam width) wide slice along the HI major axis centered on the HI surface density centroid. We measured the position angle and centroid from the surface density profile since the variations in the velocity field leave the major velocity axis and center uncertain. We note that none of the PV diagrams change significantly for small variations in position angle or slice width.

2.4. Archival GALEX Observations

AGC 229385 (the strongest HI detection of the HI1232+20 system) had a very faint UV counterpart visible in an archival dataset from GALEX (Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2007). GALEX obtained images in the far ultra-violet (FUV) from 1344 to 1786 Å with 4″ FWHM resolution, and in the near ultra-violet (NUV) from 1771 to 2831 Å with 5″ resolution. These UV images are especially sensitive to young stellar populations, and should help to identify sites of recent star formation.

AGC 229385 was imaged by GALEX in 2007 May in the NUV and FUV bands, with exposure times of 1145 s in both bands. A set of matched images is shown in Figure 2, with data from pODI WIYN and GALEX FUV. The locations of AGC 229385 and AGC 229384 are shown in these images, while AGC 229383 lies outside of any archival GALEX image.

The brightest HI source, AGC 229385, is faintly visible in the UV image as a diffuse source. However, the GALEX pipeline (GR7; Bianchi et al. 2014) does not identify this diffuse object as a source, instead splitting it into multiple point sources. We measure the brightness of AGC 229385 in the FUV and NUV images ourselves in an aperture matched to our optical images, as discussed in Section 3. There is no source visible in the FUV image at the position of AGC 229384.

3. RESULTS

In the following sub-sections we describe the results of our followup observations for the HI1232+20 system. The derived results are summarized in Table 2. We also consider the environment around this system, and uncertainties in the adopted distance.

3.1. AGC 229385

Figure 3 shows our observations of AGC 229385. Figure 3(a) is a deep three-color image from WIYN pODI with contours from our WSRT HI synthesis map at the highest resolution (39″ × 13″). Figure 3(b) shows a zoomed-in region around the optical counterpart with the regions we use to measure its surface brightness indicated by black squares. The optical emission from AGC 229385 appears very blue, and coincides spatially with the peak of the HI distribution. The optical component appears ~5× less extended than the radio emission, but both are similarly elongated in the northeast–southwest direction.

AGC 229385 has an unusual optical morphology that is not simple to describe. The optical counterpart is elongated in the N–S direction, but has a nearly constant surface brightness across its entire extent. We fitted an ellipse to the 5σ contour on the g′ image and found a semimajor axis of 32″ and semi-minor axis of 10″. This 5σ ellipse has a position angle of 15° (measured clockwise from N) and an ellipticity (e = 1 − b/a) of e = 0.68.

After attempts to fit elliptical annuli to the optical images of AGC 229385 resulted in inconsistent and divergent surface brightness profiles, we decided to measure the surface brightness in small regions instead. We measured the optical surface brightness of AGC 229385 in 5″ × 5″ regions following the curving shape of this source from south to north, after masking all obvious foreground and background sources. These regions are shown as black boxes in Figure 3(b). We also placed
similar regions outside the periphery of the source to determine the local sky value. The surface brightness traces along AGC 229385 are plotted in the Figure 3(c). We calculated the surface brightness level that corresponds to three times the standard deviation in the sky, and label it as the 3σ detection threshold. The g′ surface brightness profile has the highest S/N, and is well above the 3σ level. The r′ profile is weaker but still well-measured. In r′, this source is only weakly detected, but still is above the 3σ surface brightness level. In all three filters a similar profile shape is seen as the outlying regions show very little signal and the inner regions show a relatively flat brightness distribution across the source. To estimate a peak surface brightness value in each filter we use the measurements from the three boxes just south of the bright foreground star near the center of AGC 229385, where there are relatively few contaminating sources which had to be masked and the profiles are relatively smooth. The peak values are calculated by averaging the measurements in these three boxes, and are 26.4, 26.5, and 26.1, in g′, r′, and i′, respectively. While the formal uncertainties on these surface brightness measurements are low (~3–5%, owing to our accurate photometric calibrations and the good S/N of the optical counterpart), the variations between adjacent boxes can be as high as 0.1 mag. Accordingly, we assign an uncertainty of 0.1 mag to these peak values. We also measure photometry of this source in a 32″ radius aperture after masking obvious foreground and background sources. The results of the surface and aperture photometry of all three sources are summarized in Table 2.

The HI synthesis observations from WSRT (shown in the bottom row of Figure 3) are also difficult to interpret. The moment 0 map, Figure 3(d), shows an HI source significantly more extended than its optical counterpart. AGC 229385 has HI major and minor axes of 32°× 14° measured at an HI surface density of 1 M⊙ pc⁻² (corresponding to a column density of 12.5 × 10¹⁹ cm⁻²), or 24× 10 kpc assuming a distance of 25 Mpc. WSRT measures significant emission at lower column densities, out to 3.7 × 2/2 or 28× 16 kpc at 5 × 10¹⁹ cm⁻² and a furthest extent of ~5′ at 10¹⁹ cm⁻².

The exact surface density profile of AGC 229385 is subject to its 3D geometry and inclination, which are difficult to determine conclusively given our beam size and the unusual nature of AGC 229385. AGC 229385 appears to have an HI position angle of 21° which gives ~6 × 7 resolution elements at the furthest extent along the major and minor axes. We formally measure an inclination of 63 ± 4° assuming a thin HI disk and uncertainties of half the beam size along the major and minor axes.

As an instructive exercise, we assume a disk geometry and compute de-projected surface density profiles for AGC 229385 using Robertson–Lucy deconvolution (Lucy 1974; Warmels 1988; the GIPSY task RADIAL). This method, developed for use in low resolution imaging, works by collapsing the measured intensity along the minor axis, and produces a one-dimensional profile, which is then iteratively matched by a model one-dimensional profile produced by summing axisymmetric, uniform density co-planar rings along lines of sight. This method does not require knowledge of the inclination of the object, but still assumes a disk geometry. The summed one-dimensional surface density profile shows some asymmetry and two peaks with a slight depression in the center. These features are reflected by asymmetry in the resulting RADIAL model, and a strong suggestion of a hole in the center of the HI distribution, a feature that would be smeared out in 2D ellipse fitting analysis. If confirmed, this hole could be indicative of the formation of cold atomic and molecular hydrogen in the center of the object, or of a non-disky, more complicated HI distribution, possibly caused by two recently merged components. However, higher resolution data are necessary to confirm the existence of a gap in the HI distribution.

The HI velocity field of AGC 229385 shown in Figure 3(d) is equally difficult to interpret. The narrow integrated HI line width and single peaked spectrum (shown in Figure 3(f)) is suggestive of slow rotation. If we assume a thermal velocity dispersion of 11.0 km s⁻¹, the 34 km s⁻¹ integrated line width of AGC 229385 gives an observed rotation velocity of 16 km s⁻¹ when subtracting thermal velocity and dividing by 2. This translates to a rough inclination-corrected rotation velocity of 18 km s⁻¹. Indeed, the moment 1 map shown in Figure 3(d) shows evidence of ordered rotation roughly along the major axis of the source, but the gradient is asymmetric/ The irregular shape of the PV diagram for AGC 229385 (Figure 3(e)) further diagnoses this asymmetry. The southern side of the source

### Table 2

| Quantity (units) | AGC 229383 | AGC 229384 | AGC 229385 |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| m_r(mag)        | >20.7      | >20.7      | 19.20 (0.03) |
| m_i(mag)        | >20.2      | >20.5      | 19.27 (0.03) |
| m_r(M)          | ...        | 19.7       | 19.36 (0.04) |
| h_r_peak(mag arcsec⁻²) | 27.8 | 27.9 | 26.4 (0.1) |
| h_r_peak(mag arcsec⁻²) | 27.3 | 27.7 | 26.5 (0.1) |
| h_r_peak(mag arcsec⁻²) | ... | 26.8 | 26.1 (0.1) |

### Optical major axes

| (at μ_r = 27) (kpc) | ... | 7 × 3 |
|----------------------|-----|------|

### HI major axes

| (at 5 × 10¹⁹ cm⁻²) (kpc) | 12 × 4 | 14 × 10 | 28 × 16 |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|--------|

### Log MHI (log M⊙)

| M_r(M⊙) | < 3.7 × 10⁵ | < 3.4 × 10⁵ | 1.5 × 10⁶ |
|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| M_HI/M_r | > 320       | > 580       | 290     |
| M_HI/L_r(M_r)/L⊙ | > 51       | > 57       | 45.8    |
| M_HI/L_i(M_r)/L⊙ | > 26       | > 48       | 38.2    |

### Note.

Apparent magnitudes (m_r, m_i, m_r) are not corrected for Galactic extinction. Absolute magnitudes (M_r, M_i, M_r, M_r) and colors (g′ − r′, B − V) are corrected for Galactic extinction from Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). All absolute quantities assume a distance of 25 Mpc. M_r and B − V are determined from conversions in Jester et al. (2005).

Upper limits are determined where sources are not detected in pOII observations and are at 3σ confidence levels. Uncertainties on measured quantities are indicated in parentheses.
shows a clear slope of 12–15 km s\(^{-1}\), but then any gradient appears to flatten out or even turn over as one approaches the north side of the galaxy. Further, the PV diagram reveals that the velocity dispersion is of a similar order to the velocity gradient. It is possible that the major axis of rotation is offset from the surface density major axis: fitting a PV diagram at 0° removes any turnover in the north side of the object, but does not give a significant gradient.

The FUV image of AGC 229385 from GALEX is shown in Figure 2. While this image is less striking than the optical images, this source is still detected in both the NUV and FUV images. The GALEX pipeline shreds this extended source into multiple point sources, so we measure its brightness in the same 32″ radius aperture and with the same masking that was used on the optical images. The apparent magnitude and uncertainties in the NUV and FUV bands are 19.631 \pm 0.069 mag and 19.155 (0.035) mag, respectively. After correcting for Galactic extinction, we use the assumed distance of 25 Mpc to determine star formation rates (SFRs) from the NUV and FUV luminosities following the relations of Murphy et al. (2011) and Hao et al. (2011), and report the results in Table 2. We do not include a correction for internal extinction.

Using the flow model distance of 25 Mpc, we derive absolute global parameters for AGC 229385, which are listed in Table 2. AGC 229385 has an optical luminosity comparable to typical dwarf galaxies (converted to \(M_B = -12.72\) via Jester et al. 2005). Its \(g′ - r′\) color is very blue, and corresponds to a \(B - V\) color of 0.13 (via similar conversion in Jester et al. 2005). Using this \(B\) magnitude instead of the SDSS \(g′\) magnitude, we find \(M_B/L_B = 38.2 M_\odot/L_\odot\). Using the self-consistent simple stellar population models discussed in McGaugh & Schombert (2014), this color implies a stellar mass-to-light ratio (in the V-band) of \(M_*/L_V = 0.3 M_\odot/L_\odot\), or a total stellar mass of \(\sim 1.5 \times 10^6 M_\odot\), and a ratio \(f_{HI} = M_{HI}/M_* = 475\).

### 3.2. AGC 229384

Figure 4(a) shows the deep three-color WIYN pODI image of AGC 229384 with WSRT HI contours overlaid. No obvious optical counterpart is visible. The faint grid of horizontal and vertical stripes in the background is an artifact from the data reduction process. Figure 4(b) shows a zoomed-in view near the twin peaks of the HI contours (marked with black “×”s). We used small 5″ × 5″ regions placed around this area to
determine upper limits on the optical non-detection, using the same method as for AGC 229385, after masking all obvious foreground and background sources. The \(3 \sigma\) upper limits on this non-detection are given in Table 2, and are 27.9, 27.7, and 26.8 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) in \(g', r',\) and \(i'\), respectively. To estimate an upper limit on an integrated magnitude, we must assume an aperture size. Since the HI major axis of AGC 229384 is \(\sim 50\%\) of the HI major axis of AGC 229385, the aperture is scaled by the same amount, to 15\(''\). Using this aperture of radius 15\(''\), we find \(3 \sigma\) upper limits on the integrated magnitude in \(g', r',\) and \(i'\) filters to be 20.7, 20.5, and 19.7 mag, respectively. These upper limits are used to generate upper limits on \(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}I}^{\star}, \mathcal{M}_\star,\) and \(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}I}/\mathcal{M}_\star\), all of which are shown in Table 2. In particular, these non-detections correspond to a stellar mass upper limit of \(\mathcal{M}_\star < 3.4 \times 10^8 \mathcal{M}_\odot\). When necessary, the observed colors of AGC 229385 were used to make filter conversions on the optical non-detections for AGC 229384. An archival GALEX image that covers AGC 229384 also shows no optical counterpart for this object (see Figure 2).

The HI contours of AGC 229384 in Figure 4(a) show an irregular distribution with two weak density peaks. The HI major axes at a surface density of 1 \(\mathcal{M}_\odot \text{ pc}^{-2}\) are 1.4 \(\times 1.2\) or 10 \(\times 8\) kpc. The HI velocity field shown in Figure 4(d) is patchy and irregular, and appears to be dominated by random motions. The PV diagram in Figure 4(e) shows no evidence of ordered rotation. At its assumed distance of 25 Mpc, AGC 229384 has a total HI mass of \(M_{\mathcal{H}I} = 2.0 \times 10^8 \mathcal{M}_\odot\). Complete details are given in Tables 1 and 2.

3.3. AGC 229383

From the ALFALFA HI observations, AGC 229383 was extracted as a single, possibly extended weak source. Follow up observations with the single pixel L-Band Wide (LBW) receiver confirmed the existence and extended nature of the source, since the more sensitive LBW observations only recovered 64\% of the original ALFALFA flux, as shown in Figure 5(f). WSRT observations resolve the source into two low HI column density clumps, separated by 5.5 arcmin (~40 kpc at \(D = 25\) Mpc). The SE clump is only detected in a single beam at low signal to noise ratio, but is detected in both WSRT pointings which overlap its position. It is possible that these two clumps are independent sources. However, the two clumps together only recover 52\% of the original ALFALFA flux, suggesting that there may be gas connecting the sources below the sensitivity of the synthesis observations. AGC 229383 may be two distinct sources, but because the missing flux and the clumpy HI distribution are ambiguous as to the true nature of the source, we choose to discuss it as a single source with two peaks in the remainder of this paper. Figure 5(a) shows the color image made from the \(g'\) and \(r'\) observations of AGC 229383, which is located 19\(''\) to the NW of AGC 229385. As with AGC 229384, no optical counterpart is visible. Figure 5(b) shows the zoomed-in region around the NW peak of the HI distribution. Again we masked obvious foreground and background sources and used regions around this area to measure the background statistics and determine upper limits on the optical non-detection using the same method as for AGC 229385. These \(3 \sigma\) upper limits are given in
Table 2, and are 27.8 and 27.3 mag arcsec$^{-2}$, in $g'$ and $r'$, respectively. Similarly, we find upper limits on integrated magnitudes in a 15″ aperture of 20.7 and 20.2 mag in $g'$ and $r'$, respectively. We use these in the same way as AGC 229384 to generate upper limits for the derived quantities for AGC 229383, all of which are listed in Table 2.

Figure 5. Our observations of AGC 229383, same as Figure 3. (a) WIYN pODI optical image covering both peaks of the HI distribution, with contours from WSRT and black “×”s marking the HI peaks. (b) A zoomed in view of the NW component, with a black “×” marking the peak of the HI distribution. No optical counterpart is detected. An asteroid trail is also visible in this image. (c) A zoomed in view of the unresolved SE component, with its center marked. Again no optical counterpart is detected. (d) The moment 1 map from WSRT with the same HI contours as above. The ellipse in the bottom right corner indicates the size and shape of the WSRT beam. The dashed rectangular region shows the slice along the major axis which is used to generate the PV diagram to the right. (e) Position–velocity diagram from WSRT. No ordered rotation is visible in this splotchy and irregular velocity field. (f) ALFALFA, WSRT, and LBW HI spectra of AGC 229383. As discussed in the text, LBW recovers 64% of the ALFALFA HI flux, and WSRT recovers only 52%. This likely means that there may be extended gas below the WSRT sensitivity which connects these sources, and we consider them to be peaks of a common source in this work.

3.4. Isolation, Environment, and Distance Uncertainty

3.4.1. Isolation of the HI1232+20 System

Since many “dark” galaxy candidates turn out to be tidal features (e.g., VIRGOHI21; Duc & Bournaud 2008) rather than isolated galaxies, we look for possible objects which may have recently tidally interacted with HI1232+20. To test this possibility, we searched all cataloged nearby sources in the Arecibo General Catalog (AGC), NED, and the SDSS spectroscopic survey and determined the timescale on which they could have interacted with this system, given their current velocities. If we generously assume that a flyby encounter may have had a relative velocity of $\sim 500$ km s$^{-1}$, we can calculate how long ago the nearby objects could have interacted. Naturally, the three sources in the HI1232+20 system all have short interaction time scales with each other (<300 Myr, based on these assumptions). The only source with an interaction time scale < 1 Gyr is AGC 742390, which is $\sim 30'$ W of this system and has $cz = 1127$ km s$^{-1}$. AGC 742390 is an elongated star-forming galaxy with $M_g = -15$ mag, assuming it is at the same distance of 25 Mpc. At this distance, AGC 742390 has a projected separation from the HI1232+20 system of $\sim 200$ kpc, but its smaller recession velocity ($\Delta v \sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$) implies that it is likely more nearby than this system. Given the lack of obvious...
nearby galaxies in optical and HI surveys, and the lack of objects which could have recently tidally interacted with this system, it seems that HI1232+20 is a locally isolated system, and not a tidal feature of a larger parent object. Still, we cannot exclude the possibility that this system may have been produced as a result of tidal interactions or other gas stripping processes.

3.4.2. Effects of Distance Uncertainty and the Environment

Throughout this work we have adopted a flow-model distance (Masters 2005) of $D = 25$ Mpc to the HI1232+20 system. However, given its location on the outskirts of the Virgo Cluster, there is some uncertainty about its true distance. We consider the possibility that the HI recession velocity may not give an accurate distance for this system, which could affect the derived absolute properties of the objects in the HI1232+20 system.

Large scale peculiar motions have been observed around the Virgo Cluster of galaxies, even beyond its virial radius, due to its significant gravitational influences. Recently, Karachentsev et al. (2014) used a large sample of 1801 galaxies (mostly from Karachentsev & Nasonova (2010) and with some new observations) in the vicinity of the Virgo Cluster which have independent distance measurements (e.g., Tully–Fisher, TRGB, Cepheid) as well as measured recession velocities. This kinematic sample of galaxies was used to map out the zero-velocity surface around the cluster, which encloses the region of space where the galaxies are falling into the Virgo Cluster. Karachentsev et al. (2014) find that the zero-velocity surface radius is $7.2 \pm 0.7$ Mpc, which corresponds to a projected radius of $25^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ at their assumed Virgo distance of $D = 17.0$ Mpc. The HI1232+20 system is at a projected distance of only $\sim 8^\circ$ from the center of the Virgo Cluster (NGC 4486), and may be participating in the infall motion. Figure 1 in Karachentsev & Nasonova (2010) shows a graphical representation of the difficulty in determining distances from recession velocities in this region, and for this system’s measured recession velocity of $\sim 1300$ km s$^{-1}$, there are three possible distances. If HI1232+20 were infalling from the near side, located at the center of the cluster, or infalling from the far side, it could have distances of $\sim 12$, $\sim 17$, or $\sim 25$ Mpc, respectively. Further complicating the nearby velocity field is the Coma I cloud just north of the HI1232+20 system. This complex of galaxies with peculiar velocities is centered around $(\alpha, \delta) = (12.5\text{ hr}, +30^\circ)$ (Karachentsev et al. 2011). Still, we can make a crude but reliable estimate of the lower limit on the distance based on the fact that we do not resolve any individual stars in the optical counterpart of AGC229385. WIYN has been used to successfully resolve stellar populations in galaxies under similar observing conditions out to 2–4 Mpc (e.g., Leo P; Rhode et al. 2013; M81 group; K. L. Rhode, private communication). Furthermore, WIYN observations of SHIELD galaxies (Cannon et al. 2011) resolve upper main sequence and supergiant stars at distance of 8 Mpc.

Many of the most extreme properties of the objects in the HI1232+20 system are distance-independent quantities and would not be affected by a more nearby distance (e.g., $M_{HI}/L_B$, surface brightness measurements and limits, average HI surface density). However, if they were only $\sim 12$ Mpc distant instead of 25 Mpc, the absolute quantities (e.g., HI mass, stellar mass, total luminosity, physical area) would all scale down by a factor of four. For example, the HI mass of AGC 229385 would become $1.8 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, its stellar mass would be reduced to $3.8 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, its absolute $B$ magnitude would be reduced to $M_B = -11.4$ mag, and its optical diameter would be $\sim 2$ kpc.

Even with the difficulties of constraining the absolute distance to the HI1232+20 system, we are interested in the large scale environment around it, and how isolated it has been on longer time scales. The background spiral galaxy AGC 222741 (CGCG 129-006, labeled in Figure 1) appears on the sky between the three sources in HI1232+20, but has a recession velocity of $v_{lsr} = 1884$ km s$^{-1}$, which is substantially higher than the velocities of the three sources in the system ($1277, 1309,$ and $1348$ km s$^{-1}$), so is very unlikely to be related. Figure 6 shows the galaxies in the area around these HI sources. Galaxies shown on the plot come from the Updated Zwicky Catalog (UZC; Falco et al. 1999), from the spectroscopic sample of SDSS DR9 (Ahn et al. 2012), and from the AGC. The UZC is an extragalactic redshift survey of $\sim 20,000$ galaxies that is $96\%$ complete to $m_{B<15.5}$ mag and the SDSS DR9 spectroscopic survey includes spectra of $\sim 1.5$ million galaxies, and is $95\%$ complete down to $r' = 17.7$ mag. On Figure 6, a red “x” indicates the location of the background spiral galaxy (AGC 222741), which appears near our HI sources on the sky. Also shown on Figure 6 is the projected virial radius of the northern subcluster of the Virgo Cluster of galaxies (Binggeli et al. 1985). The curves enclose a region centered at the position of M87 with a radius of $5'4$ and centered on a recession velocity of $1100$ km s$^{-1}$ and extending $800$ km s$^{-1}$ on either side (Ferrarese et al. 2012).

In order to demonstrate the complexity of the velocity field around HI1232+20, we calculate three-space separations between this system and any nearby sources, using only their positions and observed velocities. The three sources within the HI1232+20 system are all within $\sim 20'$ and $\sim 70$ km s$^{-1}$ of each other. While the global velocity field around these sources is complicated due to the influence of the nearby Virgo Cluster (Karachentsev & Nasonova 2010; Karachentsev et al. 2014), we use this approach to crudely identify any possible galaxies which may be near enough to affect this system, and have similar positions and velocities. The object with the most similar velocity and position is NGC4561 with a velocity of $1360$ km s$^{-1}$ and an angular separation of $14^\circ$, implying a physical separation of $\sim 400$ kpc assuming a simple Hubble flow. However, the Tully–Fisher distance to NGC 4561 is 12.3 Mpc (Tully & Fisher 1987), so it is likely infalling to the Virgo Cluster from the near side. The object with the next-smallest three-space distance is the starbursting galaxy IC 3605, which has a velocity of $1360$ km s$^{-1}$ and is located $\sim 18$ to the SE, with an implied physical separation (Hubble flow only) of $\sim 500$ kpc. No other distance measurements exist for IC 3605. The locations of NGC 4561 and IC 3605 are indicated on Figure 6 with large dotted circles. The close proximity of this system to the Virgo Cluster means that its distance is uncertain and that the global velocity field is rich and complex.

4. DISCUSSION

The objects in the HI1232+20 system are not easily explained, and some of their properties seem contradictory and puzzling. For example, it is difficult to understand the star formation history of AGC 229385, which apparently has only produced a tiny population of stars in an otherwise massive HI cloud. The HI mass of AGC 229385 ($\log M_{HI} = 8.9$) is larger...
than the nearby star-forming Large Magellanic Cloud ($\log M_{\text{HI}} = 8.7$; Kim et al. 1998) and just smaller than the nearby spiral galaxy M33 ($\log M_{\text{HI}} = 9.1$; Gratier et al. 2010), although its stellar populations and optical luminosity are vastly dissimilar. The HI kinematics of its HI cloud are also perplexing, as its rotation speed seems inadequate for its large mass and size. It is similarly difficult to explain why the other members of the HI1232+20 system have not formed any detectable stars, even with their substantial, although quite spread out, HI distributions. For comparison, both AGC229383 and AGC229384 have larger HI masses than the nearby dI galaxies IC 10 and NGC6822 ($\log M_{\text{HI}} = 8.0$; de Blok & Walter 2000; Nidever et al. 2013), but lack any optical counterparts in our observations.

In order to put these objects in context with other galaxies, we consider their locations in typical galaxy scaling relations. In this exercise, we are treating these objects as independent galaxies and not simply gas clouds that have been stripped or tidally disturbed. It is possible that objects like this may be part of a large but mostly-unobserved class of galaxies (e.g., the sunken galaxies of Disney 1976), but is more likely that the objects in this system are simply unique and uncommon galaxies. Since AGC 229385 has an optical counterpart we consider its location on optical and HI scaling relations, while for the other sources our optical upper limits can still help constrain some of the same scaling relations. After discussing these scaling relations, we will will consider some possible formation scenarios to explain this unusual system of objects.

4.1. $M_{\text{HI}}/L$ Relationship

One of the most extreme properties of the objects in the HI1232+20 system is their exceptionally large HI mass-to-light ratio measurements (or lower limits). Galaxies are known to follow a typical relationship between between this HI mass-to-light ratio and the overall luminosity. The HI mass-to-light ratio is defined as $M_{\text{HI}}/L$, where $L$ is the optical luminosity, often measured in a blue filter. This relationship is especially difficult to measure for faint low-mass galaxies, where a significant fraction of the optical luminosity may come from LSB regions. Almost universally, whenever a galaxy with a reportedly large $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ ratio is observed with deeper optical images, the ratio returns to more typical values near unity. Warren et al. (2004) used survey and catalog data to identify possible galaxies with a large $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ ratio ($3 < M_{\text{HI}}/L_B < 27$), but their sample of 9
large $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ galaxies were almost all found to have less extreme ratios ($M_{\text{HI}}/L_B < 5$) after deeper observations. Similarly, van Zee et al. (1997) used broadband optical imaging observations of six LSB dwarf galaxies to show that their catalogued optical magnitudes had been severely underestimated by $\sim 1.5$ mag, so their previously reported $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ ratios became four times smaller and less extreme. Among dwarf galaxies, typical measurements of $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ are between 0.15 and 4.2, considering a variety of samples including Sm/Im galaxies (Roberts & Haynes 1994; Stil & Israel 2002) and field dIs (Lee et al. 2003). The relatively small dynamic range of ratios (a factor of 30 between the lowest and highest ratio) highlights the importance of careful and accurate measurements of this ratio.

Figure 7 shows the relationship between the HI mass-to-light ratio ($M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$, in solar units) and absolute $B$ magnitude. The small dots and contours indicate HI-detected galaxies from the ALFALFA $\alpha_{40}$ catalog matched with SDSS DR7 photometry. The dark circles and squares come from the new and archival observations of Warren et al. (2007). The green points show the five (almost) dark galaxies from the pilot observations of Cannon et al. (2015). AGC 229385 is shown as a red star, and the upper limits for AGC 229383 and AGC 229384 are indicated with diamond points and arrows. The error bars on AGC 229385 also include a distance uncertainty of $\pm 5$ Mpc. The object from the Cannon et al. (2015) sample with a larger lower limit on $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ than the measured value for AGC 229385 is AGC 208602 and is likely a tidal feature and not an isolated galaxy.

AGC 229385 has $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B = 5.8 M_{\odot}/L_{\odot}$, or, converted to $B$ via Jester et al. (2005), $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B = 5.2 M_{\odot}/L_{\odot}$, and its position is indicated on Figure 7. As AGC 229383 and AGC 229384 are not detected in our deep optical images, we can only determine lower limits on $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$, and find $> 31$ and $> 57 M_{\odot}/L_{\odot}$, respectively. These limits are also shown in Figure 7, where we have converted our upper limits in $M_{\text{HI}}/L'_B$ to $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ assuming the same $g' - r'$ color as AGC 229385.

Warren et al. (2007) suggest that there is an upper envelope in Figure 7, which may represent the minimum amount of stars

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Relationship between the HI mass-to-light ratio ($M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$, in solar units) and absolute $B$ magnitude. The small dots and contours indicate HI-detected galaxies from the ALFALFA $\alpha_{40}$ catalog matched with SDSS DR7 photometry. The dark circles and squares come from the new and archival observations of Warren et al. (2007). The green points show the five (almost) dark galaxies from the pilot observations of Cannon et al. (2015). AGC 229385 is shown as a red star, and the upper limits for AGC 229383 and AGC 229384 are indicated with diamond points and arrows. The error bars on AGC 229385 also include a distance uncertainty of $\pm 5$ Mpc. The object from the Cannon et al. (2015) sample with a larger lower limit on $M_{\text{HI}}/L_B$ than the measured value for AGC 229385 is AGC 208602 and is likely a tidal feature and not an isolated galaxy.}
\end{figure}
a galaxy will form, given a shallow potential well and an isolated environment. The sources in the HI1232+20 system are in an extreme region of Figure 7, near this upper envelope. While there are other galaxies from ALFALFA with more extreme values of $ML_{HI}$ shown on the plot, none have ratios that are as well-determined as the objects in this system. SDSS photometry for faint LSB galaxies will likely underestimate their luminosity, which leads to an overestimate of the $ML_{HI}$ ratio. A recent study identified a low surface brightness galaxy near the Virgo Cluster with a very large HI mass-to-light ratio that they measure as $\varepsilon \sim ML_{HI}$ (Bellazzini et al. 2015). AGC229385 has the largest accurately measured HI mass-to-light ratio in the literature, but still appears to lie along a continuation of the trend seen in more luminous galaxies. Warren et al. (2007) use a similar sample of galaxies with HI and optical data to fit the relationship between $M_{HI}/L_B$ and $M_B$. They fit the upper envelope of the relationship with the following expression:

$$\log (M_{HI}/L_B)_{max} = 0.19(M_B+20.4).$$

For AGC 229385 its $M_B = -12.72$ would predict a maximum $M_{HI}/L_B = 29$. While AGC 229385 does follow the general trend of low stellar mass objects having higher gas mass-to-light ratios, we measure $M_{HI}/L_B = 38$, which is even more extreme than the upper envelope of Warren et al. (2007).

### 4.2. Galaxy Scaling Relations with Stellar Mass

Studies of large samples of galaxies have found that stellar mass seems to be an important parameter that relates to star formation in the possible evolution of galaxies from the blue cloud to the red sequence (Brinchmann et al. 2004; Salim et al. 2007; Huang et al. 2012b). Using a sample of 9,417 ALFALFA-selected galaxies with counterparts in archival GALEX and SDSS images, Huang et al. (2012b) studied the scaling relations as a function of stellar mass and optical color (see their Figure 8). Figures 8(a) and (c) show the HI mass and $f_{HI} = M_{HI}/M_*$ as a function of the stellar mass, which is determined from SED fits. A clear relationship with $M_{HI}$ is found from $M_* = 3.2 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ to $3.2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, with a change in slope at $M_* \sim 10^9 M_\odot$. Analogously, $f_{HI}$ follows the same general trend with a break at $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$. On both panels the location of AGC 229385 is indicated with a large star, and the
upper limit measurements of AGC 229383 and AGC 229384 are indicated with arrows. These sources are deviant from the expected scaling relations at the low stellar mass end, and have too much HI for their stellar mass (detected or not).

Figures 8(b) and (d) show $M_{HI}$ and $f_{HI}$ as a function of NUV – $r$ color, which acts as an indicator of the amount of recent star formation (UV) compared to the amount of past star formation ($r$). Here only AGC 229385 can be plotted, since we have no optical or UV detections of the other two sources. AGC 229385 is at an extreme location in both of these parameter spaces, and lies significantly above an extrapolation of the low mass trend in the relationship between $f_{HI}$ and NUV – $r$. The color cannot be much bluer than it already is, since after 5 Myr, a simple stellar rotation. However, since the HI rotation curves of these sources have velocity widths smaller than its measured value of $W_{50}$, measured at the peak value of the three sources in the HI1232+20 system are unusually small for their HI masses. We model the HI velocity width distribution for all ALFALFA galaxies as a function of their HI mass. Integrating over the model distribution at the HI mass of AGC 229385 ($M_{HI} = 6.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$) we find that only 2% of ALFALFA galaxies have velocity widths smaller than its measured value of $W_{50} = 34$ km s$^{-1}$. Similarly for AGC 229384, we find only 3% of ALFALFA galaxies at its HI mass have similarly small values of $W_{50}$. We note that the much wider velocity width of AGC 229383 falls near the 50th percentile of objects of its HI mass, but that this may be due to the presence of multiple objects within the ALFALFA beam. Full details of the velocity

4.4. Galaxy Scaling Relations with HI Kinematics

We consider the HI kinematics of the sources in the HI1232+20 system, especially with regard to their apparently slow rotation. However, since the HI rotation curves of these sources are difficult to fit or interpret (see Figures 3(e), 4(e), and 5(e)), we instead use the integrated width of the 21 cm line itself to measure their rotation. The HI velocity widths ($W_{50}$, measured at 50% of the peak value) of the three sources in the HI1232+20 system are unusually small for their HI masses. We model the HI velocity width distribution for all ALFALFA galaxies as a function of their HI mass. Integrating over the model distribution at the HI mass of AGC 229385 ($M_{HI} = 6.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$) we find that only 2% of ALFALFA galaxies have velocity widths smaller than its measured value of $W_{50} = 34$ km s$^{-1}$. Similarly for AGC 229384, we find only 3% of ALFALFA galaxies at its HI mass have similarly small values of $W_{50}$. We note that the much wider velocity width of AGC 229383 falls near the 50th percentile of objects of its HI mass, but that this may be due to the presence of multiple objects within the ALFALFA beam. Full details of the velocity
We can next use the baryonic Tully–Fisher relation (McGaugh 2012) to compare the total baryonic masses with the rotation velocities for the members of the HI1232+20 system. Since these objects are gas-dominated, we use the relationship from McGaugh (2012) to calculate rotation velocities as $v_r = W_{20}/2$, where $W_{20}$ is the HI velocity width at 20% of the maximum. While the HI rotation of these objects is difficult to measure accurately, their placement on the BTF relation is intended as a suggestive exercise to shed light on some of their unusual properties. If these objects are indeed galaxies, then their rotation seems too slow for their measured mass.

Figure 9 shows the locations of the objects in the HI1232+20 system compared to a large sample of galaxies (McGaugh 2005, 2012). The baryonic mass is the sum of the stellar and total gas mass, and in gas-dominated galaxies without substantial stellar populations, is determined as $M_{\text{bary}} = 1.33 \times M_{\text{HI}}$, where the extra factor of 1.33 accounts for helium. The stellar mass of the optical counterpart of AGC 229385 contributes only a negligible ~0.2% compared to its HI mass. The upper limits on the non-detections of stellar populations of the other two objects indicate that these would contribute similarly negligible amounts of baryonic mass. This estimate of baryonic mass does not include contributions from molecular or ionized gas. It is conceivable that there may be an envelope of low density ionized gas surrounding these galaxies, contributing more mass than is included in our determination of $M_{\text{bary}}$.

Figure 9 shows that the two more massive objects in the HI1232+20 system both fall significantly above the standard BTF relationship, while the least massive (AGC 229383) is in agreement. However, since AGC 229383 has two strong HI peaks which are separated by ~40 kpc, we also consider the kinematics of each clump separately, and plot them on Figure 9 as well. AGC 229383 is the lowest column density object of this system, and is, at best, difficult to interpret. Naïve placement of the combined object or its peaks seems to agree reasonably well with the BTF, but the low signal-to-noise nature of the HI observations makes further analysis or interpretation difficult. We also caution that the WSRT observations do not recover the total HI flux of ALFALFA (see Section 3.3), so the HI masses of the two peaks do not sum to the total observed mass.

We note that inclination effects could slightly modify our determination of rotation velocities, but that the discrepancies from the BTF are larger than can be accounted for by changing the inclination angle of the objects. If the distance to the HI1232+20 system was significantly smaller, then they would be in better agreement with the BTF. In order for AGC 229385 to agree with the BTF it would need to be at a distance of ~4.4 Mpc, and AGC 229384 would need to be at a distance of ~5.4 Mpc. This smaller distance is unlikely given other constraints (e.g., the lack of resolved stars in our optical observations, see Section 3.4.2).

We also consider the ratio of dynamical mass to HI mass ($M_{\text{dyn}}/M_{\text{HI}}$) as another independent constraint on the distance by assuming a typical value of $M_{\text{dyn}}/M_{\text{HI}} = 10$. We measure an effective velocity ($v_{\text{eff}} = v_{\text{rot}}^2 + 3\sigma_r^2$) for AGC 229385 of $v_{\text{eff}} = 21.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, so this relationship implies a distance of 4.3 Mpc. If we assume no dark matter (e.g., $M_{\text{dyn}}/M_{\text{HI}} = 1.3$), we find a distance of 32.8 Mpc instead. The HI kinematics of AGC 229384 and AGC 229385 make it difficult to measure $v_{\text{rot}}$, which is required to determine $v_{\text{eff}}$.

4.5. Formation Scenarios

Given the variety of observational constraints we have for the sources in the HI1232+20 system, and the context from existing galaxy scaling relations, we now consider some of the possible evolutionary scenarios which could account for a system like this.

The blue color of the optical counterpart of AGC 229385 suggests that it may consist of a mostly young stellar population. If this object has only just begun forming stars, it may have had enough time yet to convert a significant amount of its gas into stars, as reflected by the high gas mass-to-light ratio. However, the lack of Hα detection and the weak UV SFR ($\sim0.004 \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$) seem to indicate that it is only slowly forming stars. At this rate it would take more than a Hubble time to generate enough mass in stars to return it to the normal relationship between HI and stellar mass (e.g., Figure 8(a)).

It is difficult to find a single convincing explanation for why one HI cloud has an observable stellar population while its two nearby neighbors do not. Obviously, this creates a “fine-tuning” problem of sorts. Interactions between the members of this system may have triggered star formation in the most massive object. It is also possible that the system may have been perturbed by an external object. Our analysis in Section 3.4.1 showed that there was only one plausible perturber nearby, even given our generous assumptions about relative velocities and timescales for interactions.

Alternatively, Verde et al. (2002) have suggested that low-mass dark matter halos can contain neutral gas without ever forming stars, under certain conditions. However, the members of this system have substantial HI masses and are likely different from the low-mass dark matter halos of Verde et al. (2002). It may be that star formation has only occurred in the HI cloud which is dense enough at its center to exceed a gas density threshold (e.g., Kennicutt 1998). Indeed, in studies of the outer disks of larger galaxies, star formation appears to cease below an HI surface density of $\sim1 \ M_\odot \ pc^{-2}$ (Radburn-Smith et al. 2012; Hunter et al. 2013). The HI distributions of the sources in the HI1232+20 system are mostly below this surface density threshold, and only the peak of AGC 229385 substantially exceeds it.

The reasons behind the low gas surface density and over-extended HI distributions of these objects are not clear. In the case of AGC 229385 it is possible that feedback from the star formation (perhaps stronger in the past) has injected kinetic energy into the HI, and temporarily expanded it. Or we may be seeing the results of a recent infall of cold gas into this system. However, these objects have significant amounts of HI, and it is difficult to justify an inflow scenario which could provide enough gas while maintaining a low gas density and inhibit star formation.

It is also possible that these objects may be the most massive knots in a system of smaller HI clouds which are in the midst of a tidal interaction or merger event. AGC 229385 and AGC 229384 may be the brightest HI peaks, and could be the products of recent mergers of smaller HI clumps. The extended nature of AGC 229383 and its two HI peaks are suggestive of an extended HI distribution with local peaks and
clumps. However, the ALFALFA observations are very sensitive to faint HI emission, and given the good agreement between WSRT and ALFALFA HI fluxes for AGC 229385 and AGC 229384, it seems unlikely that there is a substantial amount of unseen extended gas in most of the system.

The HI1232+20 system does not seem to be a tidal feature or remnant of a larger object, based on the lack of a clear connection to any possible external perturbing object. However, tidal interactions between members of the system may be important to their individual star formation histories. The HI clouds in this system have extremely low star formation efficiencies and low gas densities. These low gas densities are especially difficult to reconcile with the significant HI masses of these sources. The HI mass of AGC 229385 is greater than that of the LMC, and AGC 229383 has more HI than some of these sources. The HI mass of AGC 229385 is greater than the HI mass of AGC 229383 in ALFALFA. This system deformed from the sample of extragalactic sources in ALFALFA. This system defies conventional explanations and our HI synthesis imaging and deep optical observations have revealed a set of objects with properties that are difficult to reconcile with typical scaling relations. The most massive of its members (AGC 229385, $M_{\text{HI}} = 7.2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$) has a weak stellar counterpart, detected in UV and ultra-deep optical imaging, with a peak surface brightness of $\mu_f = 26.4$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. It has the most extreme well-measured gas mass-to-light ratio in the literature ($M_{\text{HI}}/L_B = 38$), and its absolute magnitude is only $M_V = -12.9$ mag ($M_* = 1.5 \times 10^8 M_\odot$), assuming a distance of 25 Mpc. We do not detect optical counterparts for the other two members, but place upper limits on their absolute magnitudes of $M_V > -11.3$ mag ($M_* < 3 \times 10^8 M_\odot$). The HI kinematics of the three objects in this system are inconsistent with typical galaxy scaling relations, with HI distributions that are too extended and too slowly rotating for their HI mass. This group appears on the sky just outside of the projected virial radius of the Virgo Cluster, but is otherwise isolated from any nearby galaxies.

The HI1232+20 system is difficult to explain completely, but may be an example of objects just above and just below a threshold for star formation. The most massive of the three sources is forming stars, but may have only recently started to do so. The other two sources have no observational signatures of star formation, so there may be some mechanism inhibiting this process. Sources like these are very rare in the ALFALFA survey, especially at such large HI masses. As observations of the HI1232+20 system continue we hope to learn more about its history and role in galaxy formation and evolution. We thank the entire ALFALFA team for their efforts in observing and data processing that produced the ALFALFA source catalog. We also thank S. Huang for providing the data shown in Figure 8, from Huang et al. (2012b). We thank the anonymous referee for very helpful feedback and comments which have improved this work. The ALFALFA work at Cornell is supported by NSF grants AST-0607007 and AST-1107390 to R.G. and M.P.H. and by grants from the Brinson Foundation. J.M.C. is supported by NSF grant 1211683. K.L.R. & W.F.J. are supported by NSF Early Career Development Award AST-0847109. This research has made extensive use of the invaluable NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This research has also made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System.
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