Defluoridation of drinking water using coalesced and un-coalesced mica
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Abstract
This is a sorption study that focused on the use of mica clay mineral grouped into mica untreated, activated mica at 800 °C, mica impregnated separately with iron and aluminium for defluoridation of water. In this study, characterization of adsorbent was done by using XRF and XRD and quantification of fluoride by using fluoride ion selective electrode. Characterization of adsorbent showed the presence of SiO2, CaO, P2O5, Fe2O3 and Al2O3 in the adsorbent. XRD exhibited higher composition of illite, calcite, quartz and albite. Batch experiments were conducted by using a homogeneous mixture of water having 16 mg/L of fluoride. The removal efficiencies of mica alone, activated mica at 800 °C, mica impregnated with iron and mica impregnated with aluminium were found to be 76.02%, 90.21%, 94.40% and 96.88%, respectively. Activated mica and coalesced mica are better adsorbents than mica alone. The optimized pHs were 7.3, 4.4, 7.5, doses of 10, 9 and 8 g, contact time of 40, 35 and 30 min for activated mica, mica impregnated with iron and mica impregnated with aluminium, respectively. The adsorption process obeyed Freundlich model for mica impregnated with aluminium indicating monolayer mechanism, whereas activated mica and mica impregnated with iron agreed with both Freundlich and Langmuir models indicating both monolayer homogeneous and heterogeneous surface conditions. From the kinetic perspective, the fluoride adsorptive reaction followed the pseudo-second-order model. Therefore, activated and modified mica are alternative adsorbents for defluoridation of water.
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Introduction
Defluoridation of drinking water is still a tremendous challenge in different countries around the world. Fluoride is naturally present in water everywhere, air and food (Baris et al. 2009). It enters the food via drinking water. At concentration of approximately 1 mg/L, fluoride in drinking water, it can provide significant protection against dental decay and aids in bone development (Kaseva 2006; Jamode et al. 2004), while at above 1.5 mg/L concentration, fluoride is dangerous to human health (Littleton 1999) as it leads to dental and skeletal fluorosis (Chibi and Haarhoff 2000), a disease that can cause mottling of the teeth, calcification of ligaments, crippling deformities of bones and many other physiological disorders that can ultimately lead to death (Renuka and Pushpanji 2013). Fluoride has also been linked with cancer, decreased cognitive ability, lowered intelligence quotient (IQ) and developmental issues in children (Kundu et al. 2015).

Fluorosis is endemic in at least 25 countries around the world. Many people are currently afflicted with the disease; even conservative estimates give data regarding the same in terms of tens of millions of people (Tokaryk 2011). There is no treatment for fluorosis, something which leaves prevention as the only means of controlling the spreading of the disease worldwide. It has been a major challenge worldwide to develop effective and inexpensive techniques for the remediation of fluoride in drinking water.

In Tanzania, people obtain water from various sources including rain, surface waters (lakes, rivers and ponds) and groundwater (boreholes, shallow well and springs) (Shorter 2011). Groundwater is the main source of water in Tanzanian rural areas especially in Hai District of Kilimanjaro Region. It is well known that groundwater contains dissolved
gases and minerals, including fluoride salts. Some parts of the earth’s crust contain minerals with high levels of fluoride ion. When groundwater is in contact with these minerals, soluble fluoride salts dissolve, thus releasing fluoride ions in water (Othman et al. 2014).

It is reported in the literature that there are high fluoride concentrations in groundwater in some parts of Tanzania which range from 17 to 46 mg/L (Olambo et al. 2016). Hai District has a moderate concentration of 8–25 mg/L of fluoride (Shorter 2011). Though it is less than the highest in Tanzania, this area has been reportedly chosen for the present study because the people living there have highly been affected with dental and skeletal fluorosis. There is also an additional advantage in conducting this research work in the selected area as there is Ngurdoto Defluoridation Research Centre (NDRC) in Arusha which is nearby and also due to the availability of raw materials in the surrounding areas. This research also intends to explore tailor-made, low-cost and domestic treatment methods which suit this region since the people of this region are very poor.

There are well-studied defluoridation methods using some of the adsorbent materials including lime and alum (Nalgonda technology), activated clay, activated alumina and bone char. These defluoridation techniques have one or more weaknesses including the need for high chemical doses, large amounts of sludge, they are not available in the Tanzania context, and they have slow and low fluoride removal capacity (Olambo et al. 2016). But some of the adsorbents tried previously are relatively inexpensive and are at the same time endowed with reasonable adsorption capacity. These include natural soil (Chidambaram et al. 2013), quick lime (Islam and Patel 2007), powdered activated charcoal (Tembhurkar and Dongre 2006), magnesite (Singano et al. 1995), brick powder (Yadav et al. 2006), carbonaceous adsorbents (Abe et al. 2004), leaves of Azadirachta indica (Tembhurkar and Dongre 2006), calcinated red clay soil (Othman et al. 2014), rice husk (Waheed et al. 2009), coconut shells activated carbon (Said and Machunda 2014), tamarind seed (Murugan and Subramanian 2006), kaolin–feldspar blend (Lugwisha and Lunyungu 2016), cyndon dactylon-based activated carbon (Alagumuthu et al. 2010), peels of Citrus documana, Citrus medica and Citrus aurantifolia fruits-based activated carbon (Chakrapani et al. 2010). Other reported methods involve electrolytic techniques and ion exchange, which are deemed to be expensive to developing countries such as Tanzania (Olambo et al. 2016).

Mica is a group of sheet silicate (phyllosilicate) minerals which include several closely related materials having nearly basal cleavage. Mica is classified according to its colour and structure. Colour classification includes purple, rosy, silver, grey (lepidolite), dark green, brown, black (biotite), yellowish-brown, green-white (phlogopite), colourless and transparent (muscovite), while structural classifications are dioctahedral and trioctahedral (Abdullah et al. 2013). Mica used in this study is black and brown mica identified by geologists, and it is more available in their respective sites.

Thus, this study focuses on the use of mica, a clay mineral, coalesced and un-coalesced which has not been tested so far to remove fluoride in water. It was carried out in the department of chemistry, The University of Dodoma, Tanzania.

**Materials and methods**

**Description of study site**

Hai District is one of the seven districts of the Kilimanjaro Region of Tanzania (Fig. 1); it is found at 3° 10″ S and 37° 10″ E and bordered to the south and west by the Arusha Region, to the west by the Siha District and to the east by the Moshi Urban District and Moshi Rural District and the Rombo District, respectively. The population is predominantly rural with the largest town being Bomang’ombe situated on the main road that runs through the centre of Hai District.

**Water sampling**

Water samples were collected from seven wells and one spring from eight different villages distributed into different wards at Hai District in Kilimanjaro Region of Tanzania by using high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles. These bottles were first washed by distilled water three times and then rinsed by the water to be sampled. The collected water was mixed well together to obtain homogeneous mixture and transported to Ngurdoto Defluoridation Research Centre (NDRC) for analysis.

**Adsorbent sampling and preparation**

Mica was collected from five different sites at mountains found in Namugenzi village at Mahenge/Ulanga District in Morogoro Region by crushing stones embedded with mica to obtain pieces; then, these were transported to Geology Survey of Tanzania (GST) laboratory, where they were ground by using grinder mill machines and sieved into small-sized particles by sieve machines having mesh sizes of <75, 75, 150, 425, 710 mm. Then, powdered mica was packed into poly bags and sealed tightly for further analysis.

**Categorization of mica**

Mica was categorized into mica untreated (M), thermally activated mica at 800 °C (MAA) for 2 h using muffle furnace (Nabertherm, Germany), mica mixed with iron (MI) (FeCl3,
assay 99.5%, Kemira chemicals, UK) in different ratios (4:1, 6:1 and 8.9:0.1 g) and mica mixed with aluminium (MA) (Al₂(SO₄)₃, assay 99.6%, Kemira chemicals, UK) in different ratios (4:1, 6:1 and 8.9:0.1 g).

**Characterization of mica**

X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis for the composition of elements present in adsorbents M, MI and MA was carried out by using XRF spectrometer (MINI PAL 4). XRF analysis was not performed for MAA, because of denaturation of mica during activation process. The samples were thoroughly ground into a fine powder, and then, 4.9 g of M was mixed with 0.1 g of iron and aluminium separately to make 5 g for the MI and MA. Un-coalesced M (5 g) was placed in a small plastic cup covered with plastic at the bottom. Finally, this cup was introduced into XRF spectrometer as a target for the analysis and determination of elemental and percentage composition of the different adsorbents. The results are summarized in Table 1.

X-ray diffractograms were obtained from XRD analysis (XRD, D2-PHASER, Bruker) for the mineralogical composition of mica. In a typical procedure, mica samples ground into powder and sized small particles of 75 mm were packed into an aluminium holder, which was then placed in goniometer and bombarded with X-rays generated from cobalt tubes. The XRD was operated at 32 kV, 33 mA and 2θ ranges from 10° to 70°. The results are displayed on the screen that is the XRD diffractogram of mica is shown in Fig. 2.
Preparation of TISAB II

The total ionic strength adjustment buffer (TISAB II) was prepared by taking 57 mL of glacial acetic acid (assay 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), 58 g of sodium chloride (assay 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), 4 g of cyclohexane diamine tetraacetic acid (assay 99.8%, Kemira chemicals, UK) and 0.5 g trisodium citrate (assay 99.8%, Kemira chemicals, UK) in 500 mL distilled water and allowed to dissolve; then, the pH was adjusted to 5.3 by using well-prepared 1 M NaOH (assay 99.6%, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and 1 M HCl (assay 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), transferred into one litre volumetric flask filled with distilled water up to the mark.

Calibration of the instrument

Calibration of the fluoride ion selective electrode was done by preparing five standards of fluoride solution with the concentration of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mg/L by the serial dilution of fluoride standard (assay 99.5%, Kemira chemicals, UK) with distilled water; then, calibration graph of voltage versus concentration was plotted from the data obtained.

Table 1 Elemental composition of mica before and after coalescing obtained from XRF analysis

| Composition | Before coalescing | After coalescing |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| SiO₂        | 38.80             | 23.5             |
| Fe₂O₃       | 20.40             | 53.47            |
| Al₂O₃       | 14.50             | 6.7              |
| K₂O         | 8.45              | 11.0             |
| MgO         | 6.84              | 0.57             |
| TiO₂        | 3.01              | 4.91             |
| Na₂O        | 0.62              | 0.15             |
| CaO         | 0.405             | ND               |
| MnO         | 0.32              | 0.69             |
| Cl          | 0.15              | ND               |

| Composition | MI   | MA   |
|-------------|------|------|
| SiO₂        | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| Fe₂O₃       | 49.65| 49.65|
| Al₂O₃       | 7.6  | 7.6  |
| K₂O         | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| MgO         | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| TiO₂        | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Na₂O        | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| CaO         | ND   | ND   |
| MnO         | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| Cl          | ND   | ND   |

| SrO         | 0.057 | 0.061 |
| V₂O₅        | 0.10  | 0.14  |
| ZnO         | 0.17  | 0.19  |
| ZrO₂        | ND    | ND    |
| NiO         | 0.03  | 0.14  |
| BaO         | ND    | ND    |
| SO₃         | ND    | ND    |

Fig. 2 X-ray diffractogram of mica
from the potentiometer connected with fluoride ion selective electrode.

**Water analysis for fluoride**

Concentration of fluoride ions present in water sample was measured by ion selective electrode (Orion 4 star, India) connected to potentiometer. Before measuring concentration of fluoride in water sample, calibration was done each time in order to obtain required slope and compare it with theoretical values. Equal volumes of 5 mL of water sample and TISAB II solution were mixed and were placed into 50-mL plastic beaker, and the mixture was stirred by speed of 360 rpm for 1 min by using a magnetic stirrer. In all the experiments, the same amount of sample was used and the experiments were done in triplicate (Agarwal et al. 2003). The concentrations were determined by interpolation using calibration curves from the measured voltages.

**Preliminary test**

Preliminary test was carried in the beginning of the experiment to check the adsorption performance of all adsorbents. 50 mL of the solution having initial fluoride concentration of 16 mg/L from the source was transferred into each of the three small plastic bottles; 5 g of M, MAA and MI (4:1 g) adsorbent was added independently, and then placed on magnetic stirrer and rotated at a speed of 360 rpm. A filtrate of 5 mL was drawn at different intervals of time (0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12 and 24 h) and mixed with 5 mL of TISAB II, and then, fluoride concentration was determined. After preliminary test, impregnation test was conducted to check the best ratio which gives maximum adsorption of fluoride. Mica coalesced with iron in the ratio of 4:1 g, 6:1 g and 8:9:0.1 g was separately placed into small plastic bottles containing 50 mL of water with initial fluoride concentration of 16 mg/L, agitated at 360 rpm. Filtrates were withdrawn at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110 and 120 min intervals. Time intervals were selected based on preliminary test result which showed best performance of adsorbents below 2 h. Finally, fluoride concentrations were determined. The same procedure was repeated for mica mixed with aluminium.

**Batch adsorption experiments**

All batch experiments were conducted by using 50 mL of aqueous solution of sodium fluoride (assay 99.4%, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) with a concentration of 16 mg/L to which a weighed amount of MAA, MI and MA was separately added into three small plastic bottles. The mixture was shaken by using magnetic stirrer under room temperature with a speed of 360 rpm. A sample was periodically taken out from each bottle and filtered by using Whatman filter paper no. 01. Fluoride ion concentrations in water were determined using fluoride ion selective electrode.

**Optimization of different parameters**

Optimization of different parameters was done by studying one parameter at a time by keeping the remaining parameters constant. The effects of various parameters on percentage of adsorption were recorded by varying contact time, t (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110 and 120 min), initial concentration C_0 (8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 mg/L), pH of solution (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11) and adsorbent dosage, m (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 15, 18 and 21 g), particle size ranges of < 75, 75, 150, 250, 425, 710 mm and temperature 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900 °C. In all the cases, the volume of water taken was 50 mL. The percentage adsorptions of fluoride at any instant of time and defluoridation capacity were determined by Eqs. (1) and (2) (Vijaya and Krishnaiah 2009; Solangi et al. 2009):

\[
\% \text{Adsorption} = \left( \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \right) \times 100
\]  

(1)

Deflouridation capacities, \(q_e\) (mg F\(^{-}\)/g) = \(\frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{m} \times v\)  

(2)

where \(C_0 = \) initial F\(^{-}\) concentration, \(C_t = \) residual fluoride concentration at time \(t\), \(m = \) mass of adsorbent, and \(v = \) volume of the solution used in the batch.

**Generation of adsorption isotherms**

Adsorption isotherms were generated and analysed for MAA, MI and MA with the prepared concentrations of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mg/L sodium fluoride, mixed with the optimized doses of 10, 9 and 8 g, respectively. The adsorbent liquid mixture was agitated for the optimized contact time of each adsorbent to ensure equilibrium. A residual fluoride in solution was determined. All the values necessary to plot the isotherm were calculated from these.

**Adsorption kinetics for fluoride**

Adsorption kinetics was studied for initial fluoride concentration of 16, 20 and 24 mg/L with the corresponding adsorbent doses of 8, 10 and 14 g, respectively. The residual fluoride concentrations data obtained in kinetics were fitted in pseudo-first-order and second-order rate equations to get best-fitting kinetic model.
Regeneration procedure

Regeneration of the already used adsorbents was done by first washing the adsorbent with 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ followed by 1% HCl, dried by drier, ground by mortar and pestle and to get uniform-sized particle. Regeneration removes the previously sorbed F⁻ from the surface. The addition of Na₂CO₃ releases F⁻ from the clay surface, and the use of 1% HCl reactivates the surface for F⁻ adsorption. The optimized doses of each adsorbent were placed into small plastic bottle, and then, water having initial concentration from site was added and agitated for the optimized contact time, then left to cool and filtered. Then, the concentration of fluoride was determined. The conditions maintained at initial fluoride ion concentration in water were 16 mg/L, pH is 7.5, 4.4 and 7.3 for MAA, MI and MA, respectively, adsorbent dose was 10, 9 and 8 g, respectively, for MAA, MI and MA, and the volume of water was 50 mL.

Results and discussion

Characterization of adsorbents

The pattern of adsorption of fluoride by the adsorbent mica is attributed to the presence of silicon, iron and aluminium in the form of oxide which is the good adsorbent. From Table 1, it is observed that before impregnation, mica had higher percentages of SiO₂ followed by Fe₂O₃, Al₂O₃ and K₂O. After impregnation of mica with iron and aluminium, the order of percentage composition changed to Fe₂O₃ followed by SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and K₂O. This change is due to the structural changes on addition of component which is already present, and it is expected to have different adsorption capacities. Fluoride was not detected in XRF spectrometer (MINI PAL 4) because its atomic weight is below 11 (Olambo et al. 2016).

It is observed from the diffractogram of mica (Fig. 2) that the peaks are sharp and there are no any scattered peaks, indicating that mica is highly crystalline without any defects in the crystal. The high intense peak (a) at 2θ = 27° indicates illite ([K, H₂O](Al, Mg, Fe)₂(SiAl)₄O₁₀ [(OH)₂(H₂O)]) with the highest percentage composition of 61.72%. The peak (d) at 2θ = 36° represents quartz with 28.96% of (SiO₂). Peaks (f), (g), (e) (b) and (c) at 2θ = 45°, 55°, 18°, 24° and 61° indicate the presence of 6.03% of albite (NaAlSi₃O₈), 0.82% pyrite (FeS), 0.81% of calcite, 0.53% of dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂) and 0.33% of chlorite (ClO₂), respectively, in the adsorbent. The clay minerals with higher percentage of iron, aluminium, calcium and silicon in its composition are good adsorbents.

Calibration of ion selective electrode

The plot of potential in millivolts versus the logarithms of concentration of fluoride ion resulted in a straight line with negative slope of 57.1200 mV at 25 °C, which is within the range of − 55 to − 60 mV according to the theory (Getachew et al. 2015). The linearity of the graph is indicated by the correlation coefficient of −0.9999. The accuracy of the analytical data was ensured by triplicate measurements and checked by standard deviations. These values are in good range for the measured concentration of fluoride.

Preliminary test

Activated mica showed up to 82.08% of adsorption in less than 2 h, because heating increases the number of pores and active sites present on the surface of the adsorbent. This is high compared to adsorption by untreated mica with highest percentage of adsorption of 76.02%. Mica impregnated with iron gave the best percentage of adsorption of 92.82% compared to the other two adsorbents. This was because added iron modified its composition. Therefore, further experiments were conducted with activated mica and mica coalesced with iron and aluminium as adsorbents.

Mica impregnated with iron and aluminium separately in different ratios (4:1, 6:1 and 8.9:0.1) showed good adsorption of fluoride onto its surface within 35 min. The highest percentages of adsorption for mica impregnated with iron were 92.48, 94.35 and 95.63 for the ratios 4:1, 6:1 and 8.9:0.1, respectively. Similarly, mica impregnated with aluminium showed the percentage adsorption of 93.33% for 4:1, 95.21% for 6:1 and 96.75% for 8.9:0.1. In both cases, the ratio 8.9:0.1 showed highest percentage of adsorption and was chosen for other adsorption experiments. The reason for high efficiency of this ratio is increased in the surface area and positive charges on the adsorbent. This result is similar to that reported by Janardhana and his co-workers for activated charcoal impregnated with metal ions (Janardhana et al. 2006).

Optimization of factors affecting fluoride removal from water

The parameters such as contact time, initial fluoride concentration, pH, adsorbent dosage, temperature and particle size which affect the removal of fluoride ions were optimized by varying each of them at a time by keeping the remaining parameters constant. The results are presented below.

Effect of contact time

Batch adsorption procedure was used, and residual fluoride concentrations were measured for different contact times
of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110 and 120 min in order to investigate the effect of contact time on percentage adsorption. Initial fluoride ion concentration in water is 16 mg/L, volume of water taken is 50 mL, adsorbent dosage is 9 g/50 mL, speed of stirring is 360 rpm, amount of filtrate withdrawn was 5 mL, and fluoride buffer taken was 5 mL. The effect of contact time on fluoride adsorption efficiency is shown in Fig. 3a. It seems that all of the three adsorbents had good capacity for adsorption of fluoride. All of the three adsorbents exhibited similar trend of increase in the percentage adsorption with contact time up to 30–40 min and then remained constant, but there was difference in their percentage adsorption. Mica mixed with aluminium (MA) showed outstanding adsorption of 96.81% within a shortest time of 30 min and remained constant up to 2 h after attaining equilibrium. This means that all of the active sites for binding fluoride ions become occupied with in a shortest time. Next to this, mica mixed with iron (MI) also had shown 95.63% of the adsorption within 35 min. Lastly, activated mica (MAA) showed 84.98% of adsorption within 40 min. This means that activation created cations opened pores for the fluoride anions to bind on it. Similar trend is found in the literature on activated carbon adsorbents derived from the peels of selected citrus fruits (Chakrapani et al. 2010; Parmar et al. 2006).

Fig. 3  Percentage adsorption of MAA, MI and MA versus a contact time, b initial fluoride concentration, c pH, d adsorbent dosage, e particle size and f temperature
Effect of initial fluoride concentration

The effect of initial fluoride concentrations on the adsorption of fluoride was studied by varying the initial fluoride concentrations of 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 mg/L and keeping the other parameters constant at 120 min of contact time, 9 g/50 mL of adsorbent dose, 50 mL of water and 360 rpm of speed of stirring. The percentage adsorption of all the adsorbents at different initial fluoride concentrations is shown in Fig. 3b. It is observed that maximum adsorption occurred at lower fluoride concentration for all the adsorbents. This is due to the intensively utilization of all active sites at the surface of adsorbent. The percentage of adsorption decreased with the increase in the initial concentration of fluoride. This is because all active sites had already been occupied and incoming fluoride ion from water was repelled by repulsive forces (Getachew et al. 2015). Therefore, it is very important to determine initial fluoride concentration at the beginning of any adsorption experiment because parameters like pH, contact time and doses depend much on initial concentration of fluoride in water.

Effect of pH

One of the important parameters for adsorption of fluoride is pH which can be acidic, neutral and basic. In the case of mica impregnated with iron, maximum adsorption of fluoride occurred under slightly acidic condition with the percentage of adsorption of 89.94% (Fig. 3c). Under this condition, the oxides of iron, aluminium and silicon provide more positive charge for the fluoride to bind on it. When pH increased to more basic condition, the percentage of adsorption decreased slowly because no more cations were produced by the oxides of iron, aluminium and silicon. For MAA and MA, maximum percentage of adsorption was 91.46% and 92.50%, respectively, at nearly neutral condition. This is because of the presence of charges on the adsorbents even at neutral condition and due to amphoteric nature of aluminium (Coetzee et al. 2003). The optimized pH values for MI, MAA and MA are 4.4, 7.5 and 7.3, respectively.

Effect of adsorbent dosage

Mica impregnated with aluminium (MA) showed an excellent percentage of adsorption of 96.88% with a small dose of 8 g of adsorbent (Fig. 3d). This is due to the presence of aluminium naturally in mica which is a good adsorbent. In addition to this, impregnation leads to further increase in the aluminium in the adsorbent, and consequently, its number of active sites for the adsorption of fluoride ions also increased. Similarly, mica mixed with iron (MI) had 94.40% of fluoride adsorption with a dose of 9 g, but the efficiency of MI is less compared to MA. Lastly, activated mica also showed good percentage of adsorption of 90.21% with a dose of 10 g. Even though maximum percentage adsorptions differ for MA, MI and MAA, all are very good in removing fluoride with percentage adsorptions more than 90%. The maximum percentages adsorptions were obtained at dosages of 8, 9 and 10 g for the adsorbents MA, MI and MAA, respectively. Therefore, an adsorbent dosage of 8, 9 and 10 g, respectively, for MA, MI and MAA was selected as optimal adsorbent dose for real sample treatment (Tembhurkar and Dongre 2006).

Effect of particle size

To investigate the effect of particle sizes of the adsorbents (MAA, MI and MA), different ranges of particle sizes, i.e., < 75, 75, 150, 250, 425, 710 mm, were used in the batch adsorption experiments by keeping the remaining parameters at their optimized values. All the three adsorbents showed the same general trend of decreasing percentage adsorption with the increase in the particle size. The maximum percentage of adsorption was observed at adsorbent particle size of < 75 mm with 91.19, 92.92 and 94.98%, respectively, for MAA, MI and MA (Fig. 3e). This implies that smallest size particles have more surface area and more number of active sites for the fluoride ions to bind on them (Said and Machunda 2014; Alagumuthu et al. 2010). Therefore, a smallest particle size of < 75 mm was set as optimized particle size for the removal of fluoride. After comparing the maximum percentage of adsorption, MA was found to be better than MI which in turn was found to be better than MAA. This indicates that impregnation improved the adsorbent characteristics of mica.

Effect of temperature

Temperature is one of the important parameters responsible for the adsorption of fluoride on its surfaces of the adsorbent. It can be observed from Fig. 3f that as the temperature increases from 100 to 800 °C, adsorption increases sharply at the beginning up to 600 °C, and then, it gradually increases. In the case of MAA, the maximum percentage of adsorption (87.96%) was observed at 800 °C, whereas with the case of MI and MA, it was observed at 700 °C and 600 °C with the values 89.85 and 91.02%, respectively. This is because of the conversion of aluminium oxide into γ-Al2O3 and iron to magnetite at the temperature above 600 °C which leads to increase in the maximum number of pores for the binding of fluoride (Hassen 2007). Therefore, the temperature above 600 °C is suitable for mica and coalesced mica to adsorb more fluoride.
Application to real water samples

The efficacy of MAA, MI and MA as adsorbents for removing fluoride was tested on real water samples under optimized conditions. The average concentration of fluoride in all water samples collected from eight water sources at Hai District was observed to be 16 mg/L which is above permitted level by WHO and Tanzania Bureau of Standards (TBS). One of the water samples with concentration of 16 mg/L was separately treated with the adsorbents developed in this study. Concentration of fluoride was reduced to 2.5 mg/L after treating it with activated mica which, however, is still above the safety level, but MI and MA reduced fluoride concentration to 1.3 and 0.9, respectively, after treatment. These concentrations are less than the permissible limits.

Adsorption isotherms

The process of adsorption is usually studied through graphs known as adsorption isotherms. It is the graph between the amounts of adsorbate adsorbed on the surface of adsorbent and pressure at constant temperature. Adsorption isotherms were generated by using MAA, MI and MA with the prepared concentrations of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mg/L for the sodium fluoride, mixed with the optimized doses of 10, 9 and 8 g, respectively. The adsorbent liquid mixture was agitated for the optimized contact time of each adsorbent to allow or ensure equilibrium. A residual fluoride in solution was determined. All the values necessary to plot the isotherm were calculated from these determinations.

Langmuir isotherm is the model developed to explain the estimation of maximum adsorption capacity corresponding to complete monolayer coverage. The linear Langmuir equation is given in Eq. (3).

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m} + \frac{1}{K_a q_m C_e}
\]  

(3)

where \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration (mg/L), \( q_e \) is the amount of fluoride ion adsorbed (mg/g), \( q_m \) is \( q_e \) for a complete monolayer (mg/g), and \( K_a \) is adsorption equilibrium constant (Ghorai and Pant 2004).

The plot of reciprocal of specific sorption \( (1/q_e) \) against the reciprocal of the equilibrium concentration \( (1/C_e) \) for \( F^- \) ions was shown for MAA and MI (Fig. 4a and b). The essential characteristics of Langmuir isotherm can be expressed in terms of a dimensionless constant called separation factor or equilibrium parameter \( R_L \) which is defined by Eq. (4).

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + bC_0}
\]  

(4)

where \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration in mg/L and \( b \) is the Langmuir constant (L/mg). The parameter, \( R_L \), indicates the shape of the isotherm such that, if \( R_L > 1 \) is unfavourable, if \( R_L = 1 \) is linear, if \( 0 < R_L < 1 \) is favourable, if \( R_L = 0 \) is irreversible (Getachew et al. 2015).

The \( R_L \) value for MAA, MI and MA was 0.9850, 0.8311 and 0.9539, respectively. Since these values are between zero and one, it can be concluded that the adsorption of fluoride on the surface of adsorbent under the experimental conditions is favourable.

Freundlich isotherm model assumes unlimited sorption sites which correlate with better heterogeneous surface of the adsorbent media. The linearized form of Freundlich equation represented in Eq. (5) (Said and Machunda 2014; Lv et al. 2006).

\[
\log q_e = \log k_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
\]  

(5)

where \( q_e \) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed per unit weight of adsorbent, in mg/g, \( C_e \) is the equilibrium adsorbate concentration in solution (mg/L), and \( k_f \) and \( 1/n \) are the Freundlich constants.
From the experimental data, the Freundlich parameters along with correlation coefficients were obtained by plotting log \( q_e \) versus log \( (C_e) \) (Fig. 5a and b for MAA and MI). The results indicate that there is linear relationship between the amounts (mg) of fluoride ions adsorbed per unit mass (g) of the adsorbent against the equilibrium concentration of fluoride ions in the solution (mg/L). The values of correlation coefficient obtained from these models were used to know whether the adsorption process best fit to Langmuir or Freundlich model. The adsorption process obeyed Freundlich model for MA indicating monolayer mechanism, whereas MAA and MI agreed with both models indicating both monolayer homogeneous and heterogeneous surface conditions (Shan et al. 2009).

**Adsorption kinetics**

The kinetic data were obtained from the sorption experiment and fitted into pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order to show the mechanisms of adsorption and potential rate controlling steps. The kinetics of the adsorption of fluoride was conducted for initial fluoride concentrations of 16, 20 and 24 mg/L prepared by using sodium fluoride and matched with the corresponding adsorbent dose of 8, 10 and 12 g, respectively. Kinetic data analysis of fluoride sorption was studied with pseudo-first-order reaction rate model and pseudo-second-order reaction rate model to describe the kinetic process. The result showed that the experimental data fit better with the pseudo-second-order model.

Linearized form of rate equations for pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order rate model is given in Eqs. (6) and (7) (Hussein and Rao 2017; Baris et al. 2009).

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - k_1 t \\
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]

where \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) are the amounts of fluoride adsorbed (mg/g) at equilibrium and at any time \( t \); \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are equilibrium rate constant of pseudo-first (min\(^{-1}\)) and second order (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)), respectively; and \( t \) is the contact time, (h).

The values of pseudo-first-order rate constant \( k_1 \) and equilibrium adsorption capacity \( q_e \) were calculated from the intercept and slope of the plot between log \((q_e - q_t)\) versus \( t \). The calculated log \((q_e - q_t)\) and correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) values are presented in Table 2.

The values of pseudo-second-order rate constant \( k_2 \) and equilibrium adsorption capacity \( q_e \) were calculated from the intercept and slope of the plot between \( t/q_e \) versus \( t \) (Meenakshi and Viswanathan 2007). The calculated \( q_e, k_2 \) and correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) values are also presented in Table 3.

From the data presented in Tables 2 and 3, it can be observed that the correlation coefficient values of pseudo-second order are more close to unity compared to those of

| Adsorbent | \( k_1 \) (min\(^{-1}\)) | Rate equation | \( R^2 \) |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| MAA       |                 |              |         |
| 16 mg     | 0.8410          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.8410t - 0.6110 \) | 0.9853  |
| 20 mg     | 0.5110          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.5110t - 0.7210 \) | 0.9767  |
| 24 mg     | 0.7210          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.7210t - 0.7810 \) | 0.9873  |
| Average   | 0.6910          |              | 0.9831  |
| MI        |                 |              |         |
| 16 mg     | 0.9110          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.9110t - 0.8120 \) | 0.9960  |
| 20 mg     | 0.8120          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.8120t - 0.8310 \) | 0.9775  |
| 24 mg     | 0.7800          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.7800t - 0.7910 \) | 0.9880  |
| Average   | 0.8343          |              | 0.9872  |
| MA        |                 |              |         |
| 16 mg     | 0.7210          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.7210t - 0.9120 \) | 0.9764  |
| 20 mg     | 0.6110          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.6110t - 0.9400 \) | 0.9558  |
| 24 mg     | 0.5110          | \( \log (q_e - q_t) = -0.5110t - 0.7800 \) | 0.9960  |
| Average   | 0.6143          |              | 0.9761  |
pseudo-first order which indicates the data better fitted to pseudo-second-order reaction. Therefore, the adsorption process followed the pseudo-second-order reaction. This result is in agreement with that observed for clay adsorbents (Hassen 2007).

### Regeneration/reusability of the adsorbents

It was observed that for all the adsorbents reusability can be done up to five times (Fig. 6). Best adsorbent for regeneration was MI and MA, because these adsorbents reduced fluoride concentration to the lowest acceptable level, even after reusing for 0–5th cycles, but after 6th and 7th cycles it was not useful because it seems that fluoride removal decreased sharply due to lack of enough active sites for the fluoride binding and more electrostatic force of repulsion occurred. Therefore, coalesced adsorbents are better than un-coalesced ones for regeneration and reuse.

### Conclusion

Characterization of adsorbent using XRF showed that elemental composition of mica after coalescing is different from that of before coalescing, indicating that impregnation improved surface characteristics for the adsorption of fluoride. XRD result showed good crystalline structure in mica. Optimization of different parameter like contact time, pH, adsorbent dose, initial concentration, temperature and particle size for the adsorbents MAA, MI and MA revealed that all of these parameters were observed to be very important in the adsorption of fluoride, but adsorbent dose of 8 g was most important with adsorption per cent of 96.88%. The adsorption process obeyed Freundlich model for MA indicating monolayer mechanism, whereas MAA and MI agreed with both Freundlich and Langmuir models indicating both monolayer homogeneous and heterogeneous surface conditions. The adsorption process followed the pseudo-second-order reaction kinetics. The adsorbent can be regenerated up to five times without losing its performance in removing fluoride. Coalesced mica is more effective in fluoride removal than un-coalesced activated mica. All the adsorbents studied in this research are very good alternatives for the treatment of water for fluoride decontamination and can be used by the community in both rural and urban areas.
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