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Introduction

Metagenomic case-control studies of the human gut microbiome have implicated bacterial genes in a myriad of diseases. Yet, the sheer diversity of genes within the microbiome [1] and the limitations of functional annotations [2] have thwarted efforts to identify mechanisms linking bacterial genes with host health. In the cases where functional annotations exist, they tend to reflect molecular functions often relevant to cellular survival under cultured conditions (e.g. DNA binding, post-translational modification). Few annotations reflect microbial proteins’ roles as they related to host cell signaling and
homeostasis. Associating any commensal bacterial gene and a host pathway has thus far required experimental approaches catered to each gene or gene function [3, 4].

For pathogens, protein-protein interactions (PPIs) involving human proteins, obtained through in-depth structural studies of individual proteins [4–6], as well as large-scale whole-organism interaction screens [7–17], provide direct links between microbial proteins and host pathways. Several canonical protein-mediated microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) that directly trigger host-signaling pathways through pattern recognition receptors present on epithelial and immune tissues [18] are conserved between pathogens and commensals [19], such as that between flagellin with Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5). We hypothesized that host-microbiome PPIs may be more widespread and that they may underlie microbiome-mediated disorders. A handful of studied interactions suggest that this may be the case (Additional file 1: Table S1). For example, the Akkermansia muciniphila protein P9 binds intercellular adhesion molecule 2 (ICAM2) to increase thermogenesis and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) secretion, a therapeutic target for type 2 diabetes (T2D) [20]; the protein Fap2 from Fusobacterium nucleatum binds T cell immunoreceptor with Ig and ITIM domains (TIGIT), inhibiting natural killer cytotoxicity; and ubiquitin mimics encoded by both pathogens [21] and gut commensals [22] play a role in modulating membrane trafficking.

Seeking to examine host-microbiome interactions more broadly, we extracted all experimentally verified inter-species PPIs involving human proteins from large-scale interaction databases (IntAct [23], BioGRID [24], HPIdb [25]) and a set of manually curated publications. We found 15,252 unique inter-species PPIs, yet only a handful of these involve proteins pulled from the human gut microbiome (Additional file 2: Fig. S1). In the absence of structure and direct experimental data, sequence identity methods have been used to infer host-pathogen PPI networks for single pathogens [26–28], but such approaches have not yet been applied at the community level, as would be required for the human gut microbiome. Here, we explore proteins within the gut microbiome that have homology to interactors that bind human proteins. To hone in on only those interactions relevant to disease, we examine their abundances across nine metagenomic cohorts, ascribing putative disease-relevant roles for hundreds of microbiome proteins.

**Results**

**Mapping microbiome proteins to known PPIs identifies potential mechanistic links to disease**

To distinguish PPIs that may be associated with health versus disease, we compared community-level PPI profiles in large case-control cohorts of well-established microbiome-associated disorders—namely inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [29, 30], colorectal cancer (CRC) [31–34], obesity [35], and T2D [36, 37] (Fig. 1A, Additional file 3: Table S2). In order to build community-level PPI profiles, we associated gene family abundances in these nine studies to a newly constructed database of bacterial human-protein interactors and the bacterial members of their associated UniRef clusters [38] (Additional file 2: Fig. S2). For further assurance, we required microbiome proteins to have high amino-acid similarity (at least 70%) with the specific proteins with experimental evidence of interacting with human proteins. We found that interspecies bacterial-human protein interface residues, in general, are highly similar, or even identical,
between members of the same UniRef cluster filtered in the same manner (Additional file 2: Fig. S3). We noticed that this process filtered out proteins exclusively in pathogenic organisms, such as the *Clostridium difficile* toxin B (TcdB), which binds frizzled 2 (FZD2), or expressed predominantly by pathogenic isolates, such as *Finegoldia magna* protein L, which binds immunoglobulin L chains [39]. After applying a random forest classifier trained on each disease cohort (Additional file 2: Fig. S6), we find 1,102 commensal bacterial protein clusters associated with disease, by virtue of their putative interactions with 648 human proteins (Additional
Focusing on putative microbiome interactors with strong associations with disease weeds out a greater percentage of interactions initially detected by yeast-2-hybrid (Y2H) methods and enriches for those that are based on affinity techniques (Additional file 2: Fig. S4), and consequently removes the most “sticky” bacterial proteins (Additional file 2: Fig. S5). The human protein with the highest degree remaining is nuclear factor NF-κB p105 subunit (NFKB1), a protein involved in immunodeficiency and bacterial infection, which was differentially targeted in CRC (in Vogtmann et al.).

To test whether the human-microbiome PPIs identified in each disease cohort were relevant to that disease, we analyzed the roles of the human protein targets compared with the entirety of the human proteome, as well as those that were detected, but not associated with disease. We found that moving from the 5770 human proteins within the interaction network (“HBNet”), to the 2279 human proteins with bacterial interactors detected in human microbiomes (“Detected”), to the 648 that are associated with disease (“Disease-associated”), we observe increasing enrichment for proteins with previously-reported gene-disease associations (GDA) in CRC, diabetes, obesity, and IBD (Fig. 1B). Disease-specific enrichments are even more pronounced when examining each specific disease cohort (Additional file 2: Fig. S7). However, we see enrichment for all four microbiome-associated disorders in each of the cohorts, reflecting their associated relative risks [40–44]. Out of all of the proteins with any GDA in the disease-associated set, 45.2% have more than one GDA for our diseases of interest. We suspected this may extend to autoimmune diseases, which are increasingly studied in the context of the gut microbiome [45]. As expected, we found enrichment of GDAs for autoimmune disorders in the human proteins implicated by our method as well (Fig. 1B, Additional file 2: Fig. S7). Additionally, we associated over half of the PPIs that have been well-studied for both binding and their effect on human cellular physiology or disease pathophysiology (Additional file 1: Table S1) with one or more metagenomic studies. The concordance between known gene-disease annotation and disease association of each microbiome cohort demonstrates the utility of using PPIs to capture molecular heterogeneity that underlies microbiome-related disease.

In evaluating the statistical significance of recurrent human functional annotations, we performed pathway enrichment analysis on the implicated human proteins and find proteins with established roles in cellular pathways coherent with the pathophysiology of IBD, CRC, obesity, and T2D (Fig. 1C), namely those involving the immune system, apoptosis, oncogenesis, and endocrine signaling pathways. Enriched pathways were not specific to disease, but included human proteins across the four types of disease cohorts analyzed. Disease-associated human targets were enriched in pathways including those involved in bacterial pathogenesis and underlying inflammation, such as the IL-12 signaling pathway and clathrin-mediated endocytosis signaling. These pathways were expected due to shared evolutionary histories between the screened pathogens and gut microbiota and opportunism within the microbiome. Enriched pathways also included bile salt metabolism and cholesterol metabolism (LXR/RXR, TX/RXR, and FXR/RXR activation pathways), which are also tied to immune evasion [46, 47], expanding the role of the microbiota in these pathways beyond their enzymatic functions.

Within these pathways, we see specific examples of known molecular mechanisms for these diseases now implicated with microbiome-host PPIs: Actin-related protein
2/3 complex subunit 2 (ARPc2) (associated in both IBD and CRC cohorts) regulates the remodeling of epithelial adherens junctions, a common pathway disrupted in IBD [48]. We see the targeting of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 1 (MAP4K1) enriched in the Zeller et al. CRC cohort, which is in line with its role in inflammation [49]. DNA methyltransferase 3a (DNMT3A) is involved in chromatin remodeling and has been shown to be important for intestinal tumorigenesis [50], serve as a risk loci in genome-wide association studies (GWAS) studies for Crohn’s disease [51], mediates insulin resistance [52] and has aberrant expression in adipose tissue in mice [53]. Concordantly, it was associated with the CRC, IBD, T2D, and obesity microbiome studies we examined. This host-centric annotation is useful beyond the large-scale analysis of metagenomic data, as it broadly enables hypothesis-driven research into the protein-mediated mechanisms underlying microbiome impacts on host health.

Microbiome proteins access human proteins by various means

Although the set of experimentally verified interactions (HBNet) includes interactions originating from 82 unique bacterial species, an initial concern was the disproportionate number of bacteria-human PPIs derived from high-throughput screens performed on a smaller number of intracellular pathogens, e.g., Salmonella enterica [9], Yersinia pestis [8, 10], Francisella tularensis [8], Acinetobacter baumannii [14], Mycobacterium tuberculosis [11], Coxiella burnetii [12], Chlamydia trachomatis [13] and Legionella pneumophila [15], Burkholderia mallei [16], and Bacillus anthracis [8], as well as one extracellular pathogen Streptococcus pyogenes [17] (Additional file 5: Table S4). Despite this bias, we find that homologs detected in patient microbiomes come from a set of 821 species that better reflects the phyla typically associated with human gut microbiomes (Fig. 1D).

We next examined the localization of human protein targets. We see enrichment of genes expressed in epithelium, liver, adipose tissue, and blood components in the “Detected” and “Disease-associated” human targets (Fig. 2A). Although we presume many of the interactions occur within in the epithelial layer of the gastrointestinal tract, disease-associated human interactors were not especially localized to gastrointestinal tissue, nor any tissue in particular, with the exception of bone marrow (p = 0.047, chi-square test) (Additional file 2: Fig. S8). Impaired intestinal barrier function and the translocation of commensal bacteria, both of which feature in the pathogenesis of IBD [54], CRC [55], and other microbiome-associated disorders [56], allow bacterial proteins to access tissues exterior to the gut. Nevertheless, we suspect that the absence of enrichment in gut tissues largely reflects the human tissues, cells, and fluids used for experimental interaction screening (e.g., HeLa cells [9], HEK293T [13], macrophages [9], plasma [17], saliva [17], spleen [8, 10], and lung [14]), thereby selecting proteins with more general expression patterns. This data underscores the need for screening using gastroenterological protein libraries to identify gut-specific host-microbiome PPIs.

At the cellular level, microbial proteins can access human proteins via several well-established means (Fig. 2B). Canonical MAMPs tend to involve surface receptors (e.g., TLRs, Nod-like receptors), comprising 59.2% of the disease-associated interactors (Fig. 2C), although we cannot confirm their orientation. We expect that this may be an underestimate of the interactions involving human membrane interactors, as solubility
issues preclude their representation in interaction screens. In addition to canonical MAMP receptors, newly described surface receptors include adhesion G protein-coupled receptor E1 (ADGRE1), a protein involved in regulatory T cell development [57]; and receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase mu (PTPRM), involved in cadherin-related cell adhesion [58], among others. Alternatively, interactions may involve human proteins that are secreted, as evidenced by several established host-microbiome PPIs (Additional file 1: Table S1), including the extracellular matrix protein laminin [59] and immune modulators, such as extra-cellular histones [60, 61]. Secreted proteins make up 34.8% of the disease-targeted human interactors, and include these, in addition to the cytokine IL-8, galectin-3, and complement 4A.

Interestingly, a large number of disease-associated human interactors (178 proteins or 29.1%) are exclusively intracellular (Fig. 2C), suggesting additional interaction schemes. MAM (microbial anti-inflammatory molecule), a secreted protein from Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, can inhibit NF-κB signaling and increase tight junction integrity, whether it is introduced via gavage in mouse models, or when it is ectopically expressed from within intestinal epithelial cells in vitro [62], suggesting that it is uptaken by cells...
Bacterial products or, in some cases, intact bacteria, may be endo-, pino-, or transcytosed, a process that can be initiated by receptors [63, 64], allowing bacterial proteins to access cytoplasmic and even nuclear targets. Alternatively, membrane vesicles, decorated with proteins and carrying periplasmic, cytoplasmic, and intracellular membrane proteins as cargo, can be uptaken by human cells via endocytosis or membrane diffusion [65]. Although membrane vesicles have been well-documented in Gram-negative bacteria, an example of vesicle production by Gram-positive segmented filamentous bacteria was recently shown to interact with intestinal epithelial cells and promote the induction of Th17 cells [66].

Accordingly, bacterial proteins interacting with human secreted and surface proteins would be expected to contain signatures of surface localization or extracellular secretion. Indeed, we find that 12.2% of the disease-associated microbiome proteins are predicted to contain signal peptides allowing for secretion by the Sec or Tat pathways (Fig. 2D), which are ubiquitous across phyla (Additional file 2: Fig. S9). These systems typically work alongside additional secretion systems to situate proteins in the cell membrane or secrete them extracellularly, though their associated signal peptides are more difficult to predict [67, 68]. Another 16.6% of disease-associated microbiome proteins are predicted to be transmembrane, albeit with unknown orientation. Surface localization would potentially allow for direct contact between human proteins and either live or intact bacteria, or proteins on the surface of bacterially-produced membrane vesicles. A small number of proteins were found destined for the cell wall (Fig. 2D). To our surprise, secreted and surface proteins were found to be negatively enriched in the disease-associated bacterial interactors.

Finally, type 3, type 4, and type 6 secretion systems (T3SS, T4SS, and T6SS) can be used to secrete proteins directly into human cells. Proteins with T3SS and T4SS signals make up a significant (13.6%), albeit a diminishing portion of the disease-associated microbiome proteins (Fig. 2D). These proteins are mostly derived from gut Proteobacteria, to which these systems are generally restricted [69] (Fig. 2D, Additional file 2: Fig. S9). Based on the bacterial cluster representatives in the microbiomes from these nine cohorts, we find evidence that at least 79.0% (94/119) and 58.9% (20/34) of disease-associated clusters predicted to be secreted by T3SS and T4SS, respectively, have representative proteins found in organisms with the corresponding secretion systems (T6SS were excluded due to the limited availability of prediction tools). Nevertheless, the extent to which these systems, and orthologous systems in Gram-positive bacteria [70], play a role in host-microbiome protein trafficking remains unknown. In total, this data suggests that there is not one single mechanism dominating host-microbiome interactions, but that interactions are facilitated by several means.

**Discussion**

**Microbiome proteins gain host-relevant “moonlighting” annotations**

One of the major advantages of our work is that through this new interaction network, we vastly improve our ability to annotate host-relevant microbiome functions. 13.5% of our disease-associated bacterial clusters contain no members with annotated microbial pathways/functions in KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) [71] (Fig. 3A). Using similar homology searching against bacterial interactors, most of
these genes can now be annotated according to the pathways of their human targets, obtaining a putative disease-relevant molecular mechanism (Additional file 2: Fig. S10). Interestingly, most of the bacterial clusters with KEGG pathway annotations also gain a secondary human pathway annotation. Of those that could be annotated,
disease-associated clusters are involved primarily in translation and central metabolism (Fig. 3B). This dual function is not entirely surprising, as a number of these have orthologs that have been previously identified as bacterial ‘moonlighting’ proteins, which perform secondary functions in addition to their primary role in the cell [72]. Mycoplasma pneumoniae GroEL and Streptococcus suis enolase, a protein involved in glycolysis, bind to both human plasminogen and extra-cellular matrix components [73, 74]. Mycobacterium tuberculosis DnaK signals to leukocytes causing the release of the chemokines CCL3-5 [75]. Streptococcus pyogenes glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), canonically involved in glycolysis, can be shuffled to the cell surface where it plays a role as an adhesin, and can also contribute to human cellular apoptosis [76]. These examples distinctly illustrate how bacterial housekeeping proteins are used by pathogens to modulate human health. In this study, we uncover commensal proteins that similarly may have “interspecies moonlighting” functions and appear to be pervasive throughout our indigenous microbiota. Although this method generates provocative hypotheses that directly link human-associated microbiota to disease, functional experiments and structural evidence are greatly needed to confirm these specific host-microbiome PPIs.

Microbiome proteins may act on human targets as therapeutic drugs

We find that many disease-associated human proteins are known drug targets (Additional file 6: Table S5). For example, nafamostat mesylate is an anticoagulant that can bind complement protein C1R, suppresses coagulation and fibrinolysis, and provides protection against IBD [78] and CRC [79]. These human proteins are also differentially targeted in healthy patients by the transcriptional regulator spo0A in Lactobacilli, Streptococci, and F. prausnitzii (Fig. 4A, Additional file 7: Table S6). Imatinib mesylate (brand name: Gleevec) targets several Src family tyrosine kinases, including LCK, which is involved in T cell development and has a recognized role in inflammation [80]. Bacterial proteins targeting these same kinases are consistently enriched in healthy controls across both IBD and three CRC cohorts we analyzed (Fig. 4B, Additional file 7: Table S6). In addition, imatinib can also halt the proliferation of colonic tumor cells and is involved generally in inflammatory pathways, through its inhibition of TNF-alpha production [81]. Consistent with the idea that bacterial proteins alone can act therapeutically, there is direct evidence for at least two commensal proteins which induce physiological effects on the host when delivered by oral gavage: purified A. muciniphila Amuc_1100 and F. prausnitzii MAM to ameliorate glucose intolerance and colitis, respectively [3, 62]. We suspect that this may extend to additional commensal proteins.

We also find instances where the off-label effects or side effects associated with the drug match our microbiome-driven human protein association. For instance, the antimalarial drug arteminol targets human proteins that were found to be differentially targeted by IBD cohorts’ microbiomes (in Franzosa et al.): the RNA helicase DDX5, puromycin-sensitive aminopeptidase (NPEPPS), annexin A2 (ANXA2), and the splicing factor SFQ (Fig. 4C, Additional file 7: Table S6). Whereas arteminol and related analogs have been shown to be effective at preventing dextran sulfate-induced colitis in mice [82, 83] and wormwood, its natural source, has been established as a herbal treatment for IBD [84], microbiota-derived proteins have a greater association with IBD patients,
suggesting that artimol and commensal proteins may be acting on the same targets in opposing ways. Whereas the notion of microbiome-derived metabolites acting as drugs is well-appreciated [85, 86], this work broadens the scope of microbiome-derived drugs to include protein products acting through PPI.

## Conclusion

This approach enables a high-throughput glimpse into the host-microbiome interactions, allowing for mechanistic inference and hypothesis generation from any metagenomic dataset. However, this network is far from complete. Estimates of a ratio of positive:negative host-pathogen PPIs are as high as 1:1000, or even 1:100 [87, 88]. Whether commensal microbiota interact with host proteins to anywhere near this extent will require substantial investigation. Few of the studies on which this interaction network is based were performed on commensal bacteria and intestinal tissue, and therefore, we may be missing interactions specific to our most intimately associated

---

**Fig. 4** Human proteins targeted by gut commensal proteins include known therapeutic drug targets. **A** Nafamostat, **B** imatinib, and **C** artimol target human proteins that are differentially targeted by bacterial proteins detected in the stated metagenomic studies. Log10 relative mean summed abundances of bacterial interactors in patients versus controls are provided. p-values were calculated by the Mann-Whitney rank-sum test, *p* < 0.05; **p** < 0.01; ***p** < 10^{-3}; ****p** < 10^{-4}). Full taxa and UniRef numbers for all bacterial proteins shown are provided in Additional file 7: Table S6
bacteria. In addition to large-scale PPI studies involving commensal bacteria and their hosts, further in-depth studies will be needed to fully characterize these mechanisms, such as whether these bacterial proteins activate or inhibit their human protein interactors’ pathways, and under what conditions these interactions take place. Pinpointing microbe-derived proteins like this that interact directly with human proteins will enable the discovery of novel diagnostics and therapeutics for microbiome-driven diseases, more nuanced definitions of the host-relevant functional differences between bacterial strains, and a deeper understanding of the co-evolution of humans and other organisms with their commensal microbiota.

Methods
Building a putative bacteria-human protein-protein interaction (PPI) network
Interactions were downloaded from the IntAct database [23], HPIdb 3.0 [25], and BioGRID [24] [June 2021] and supplemented with additional host-microbe interaction studies, whose interactions were added manually (PMIDs: 31227708, 34237247, 22213674, 18937849, 8900134, 17709412, 19047644, 23954158, 24335013, 24936355, 25680274, 26548613, 28281568, 29748286, 30072965, 30242281, 32566649, 32736072, 18808384, 22344444, 33820962, 31611645, 32051237, 18941224, 19627615, 3125250, 19752232, 21441512, 19542010, 11113124, 29335257, 21740499, 18541478, 9466265, 24204276, 23800426, 27302108, 25739981, 19907495, 31503404, 25118235, 25788290, 21699778, 26755725, 14625549). Only interactions with evidence codes that indicated binary, experimental determination of the interaction between UniProt identifiers with non-matching taxa were preserved, thereby excluding co-complex associations, small molecule interactions, and predicted interactions. Uniref100/90 clusters containing human proteins and Uniref90 cluster containing bacterial proteins were downloaded from UniProt [June 2021], to which interspecies protein interactors were mapped [89]. PPIs comprising one Uniref100/90 cluster containing human proteins and one Uniref50 cluster containing bacterial proteins were retained for downstream analyses. Within each Uniref50 bacterial cluster, we further filtered the sequences such that only bacterial members of the cluster within 70% sequence similarity to the experimentally verified protein were labeled as putative interactors. Sequence similarity was calculated using a Smith-Waterman local alignment with the BLOSUM62 matrix via python’s parasail [90] library (v.1.1.17) and tallying the number of matches in the pairwise alignment that represent frequent substitutions (non-negative BLOSUM62 scores), divided by the length of the experimentally verified interactor.

Processing of metagenomic shotgun sequencing data
The datasets used in this study, with the exception of the PRISM dataset [29], were curated as part of ExperimentHub [91] (Additional file 1: Table S1). Within each study, we removed samples that had abnormally low (less than 10^7) reads. We downloaded all protein abundance matrices, annotated at the level of UniRef90 clusters via HUMAnN3 [92], and associated metadata. For PRISM, we processed data in a parallel manner, as outlined in Pasolli et al. [91]. For each study, we mapped UniRef90 bacterial clusters to UniRef50 clusters using DIAMOND [93] blastp, requiring greater than 90% sequence identity and greater than 90% coverage.
Prioritization of disease-associated human targets

For each patient, we identified bacterial proteins and aggregated their abundances according to their corresponding human protein interactors. In each study, we filtered out human proteins present in fewer than 5% of the cohort. To identify host-microbiome interactions that associate with disease, processed abundance matrices of putative human interactors were used to train a random forest machine learning classifier on the task of separating case and control patients and, after verifying that they achieve reasonable performance on the task using fivefold cross-validation with grid search-based hyperparameters tuning for each study (Additional file 2: Fig. S6), we extract the average feature importance from 100 iteratively trained class-balanced classifiers. Having used the scikit-learn [94] implementation of the random forest algorithm, feature importance corresponds to the average Gini impurity of the feature in all splits that it was involved in. Gini feature importance is a powerful prioritization tool, as it can capture the multivariate feature importance (whereas simple metrics like log-odds ratio and corrected chi-squared statistics only capture univariate feature importance). We created a disease-associated set for the proteins that had feature importances above the top 90th percentile. This cut-off was chosen as it was a conservative metric, which conserved model performance across studies (Additional file 2: Fig. S6C). Although model performance, specifically F1 score, varied by cohort, in all cases, model performances increase after we subset features to include only those with Gini importances over the 90th percentile.

We evaluated several alternative models for this study. Models performed on the bacterial protein abundances rather than the human protein abundances had marginally poorer performance (Additional file 2: Fig. S11) and were less interpretable. We compared the performance of RF with other machine learning models, namely logistic regression and support vector machines (SVM). These models can be sensitive to feature collinearity, so we reduced the multicollinearity by preclustering highly correlated features (Pearson’s correlation coefficient > 0.8) in each metagenomic study and only included cluster representatives for training each model. We compared model performances with preclustered human protein abundances by model_selection.cross_validate in scikit-learn [94]. Hyperparameters of models were tuned using grid search to achieve their best performances. Random forest outperformed other machine learning models, including support vector machines (SVM) and logistic regression (Additional file 2: Fig. S12). Clustering of features did not drastically alter random forest model performance or feature importance rankings (Additional file 2: Fig. S13A). Most of the important features did not have high multicollinearity (Additional file 2: Fig. S13B) and were identified in the non-clustered models (Additional file 2: Fig. S13C-D). To improve interpretability, we chose to select the disease-associated set using RF trained with unclustered protein abundances. As an alternative to calculating human protein abundances by summing the total bacterial abundances of their interactors, we tested the effect of first normalizing bacterial abundances by their respective number of putative human interactors. This did not qualitatively change the conclusions drawn from our analyses.

Human pathway annotation and enrichment analysis

Disease annotations were extracted from all of GDAs from DisGeNET [95] (June 2021). We additionally downloaded all reviewed human proteins from Uniprot [96] (June 2021), annotating them in the same manner, in order to accurately compare background label
frequencies. Lacking a simple hierarchy of disease, we binned similar disease terms into the 5 larger categories relevant to our study. Human protein identifier labels are provided in Additional file 2: Supplementary Note 1. We performed pathway enrichment analysis using QIAGEN’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis software (IPA®, QIAGEN Redwood City, CA, USA, www.qiagen.com/ingenuity). Sets of human proteins (HBNET, Detected, Disease-associated) were uploaded as UniProt identifiers into the desktop interface and submitted to their webserver for Core Enrichment Analysis was conducted only on human tissue and cell lines and IPA's stringent evidence filter. Pathways were considered enriched if they had Benjamini-Hochberg-corrected p values < 0.05. Subcellular locations for human proteins were obtained using GO Cellular Component terms associated with each protein in UniProt. We aggregated the following GO terms: Extracellular: Extracellular region (GO:0005576), Extracellular matrix (GO:0031012); Membrane: Cell surface (GO:0009986); Membrane (GO:0016020), Cell junction (GO:0030054); Cell projection (GO:0042995); and Intracellular: Cytoplasm (GO:0005737); Cell body (GO:0044297); Nucleoid (GO:0009295); Membrane-enclosed lumen (GO:0031974); Organelle (GO:0043226); Endomembrane system (GO:0012505); and Midbody (GO:0030496). Tissue-specific RNA expression enrichment was performed using DAVID bioinformatics resources [97]. Additionally, tissue-specific protein localization data was downloaded from Human Protein Atlas version 20.1 [98]. We retained those with ‘enhanced’, ‘supported’ and “approved” reliability. We additionally annotated all human proteins with any known drug targets from the DrugBank database [99] and DrugCentral (June 2021) [100].

**Bacterial pathway, secretion, and taxonomy annotation**

For the purposes of annotation, we selected the representative bacterial sequence of each cluster. If there was no bacterial representative, we sorted sequences by their status in Uniprot (reviewed/unreviewed) and by their length and chose the top sequence. Bacterial taxonomy information is associated with each UniRef90 cluster by HUMANN3 [92]. We submitted all bacterial protein sequences to the KofamKOALA [101] KEGG orthology search resource to obtain orthology and pathway annotations. To obtain secretion information, we used several sources: we submitted our bacterial sequences to EffectiveDB [102] in order to obtain predictions for EffectiveT3 (type 3 secretion based on signal peptide) and T4SEpre (type 4 secretion based on amino acid composition at the C-terminus). We used the single default cutoffs for T4SEpre, and chose the “selective” (0.999) cutoff for EffectiveT3. We obtained predictions for Sec and Tat pathway secretion using SignalP 5.0 [103] for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria using default settings. Transmembrane proteins or signal peptides were predicted using TMHMM [104] (v.2.0c), with a threshold of 19 or more expected number of amino acids in transmembrane helices. Localization to the cell wall was predicted using PSORTb 3.0 [105] with default settings. We annotated secretion systems in species associated with each bacterial cluster by examining the core or minimal components of each secretion system, by searching their genomes using KEGG orthologous groups for each system using string cutoffs (identity > 40%; e-value < 0.00001; coverage > 80%): T3SS: sctR (K03226), sctS (K03227), sctT (K03228), sctU (K03229), and sctV (K03230); T4SS: virB4 (K03199) and virD4 (K03205); Sec: secY (K03076), secE (K03073), and secG (K03075); and Tat: tatA (K03116) and tatC (K03118). We defined genomes in which have all minimal components of each system as organisms with functional corresponding secretion systems.
Structural data for these microbiome-human PPIs

We measured the extent to which structural interfaces could be used to infer microbiome-human protein-protein interaction by using DIAMOND [93] to query all amino acid sequences submitted to PDB (identity > 70%; coverage > 50%). In order to identify interface residues between each pair of chains in the cocrystal structures, we first use NACCESS (http://www.bioinf.manchester.ac.uk/naccess/) to calculate the solvent accessibility of each residue in each chain. Residues with ≥15% solvent-accessible surface area are considered surface residues. We then calculate the change in accessible surface area for each residue when other chains in the same crystal structures are introduced. Residues which have a change in solvent accessible surface area above 1 Å² are determined to be interface residues. Cases in which human protein and bacterial proteins match their respective chains exclusively are in Additional file 8: Table S7. We highlight one example in which there are uniquely mapped chains, where 1p0s chains H and E match human coagulation factor X and bacterial Ecotin, respectively (Additional file 2: Fig. S14).

To assess the conservation of interface residues across bacterial members of the same UniRef cluster, we downloaded a list of all PDB structures which contain both human proteins and bacterial proteins, the UniRef50 cluster identifier for the bacterial protein, and all protein sequences in the corresponding cluster that also originate from bacterial proteomes from Uniprot. Using Clustal Omega, we then generated multiple sequence alignments for all the members of each UniRef50 cluster. We calculated interface residues on all pairs of chains in each structure and measured the BLOSUM62 similarity between bacterial interface residues and their corresponding amino acids in their respective UniRef50 cluster MSA. We then calculated the Jensen-Shannon divergence on the columns of the MSA containing interface residues.
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