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Extended Abstract

1. Introduction
Varicose veins are abnormal dilation; the torsion of superficial veins is among the most common problems. The prevalence of varicose veins in the general population equals 10%, i.e., higher than the total rate of coronary heart disease, peripheral arterial disease, congestive heart failure, and stroke [1, 2]. Lower extremity venous valve insufficiency affects 25% of women and 15% of men in the United States and Europe. Saphenous-Popliteal insufficiency and small saphenous vein reflux are less common than large saphenous vein reflux; however, they can lead to equally severe symptoms. Surgery for a small saphenous vein is also more challenging than a large one. The odds of potential damage to the sural nerve prevents numerous vascular surgeons from routinely performing small saphenous vein stripping [3, 4]. Additionally, the recurrence rate after small saphenous vein surgery in 5 years equals 30%-50% [5, 6]. Sclerotherapy is...
also a low-risk method for treating venous reflux; however, its failure rate for small saphenous veins with a diameter less than and more than 5 mm is estimated at 14% and 23%, respectively [7].

Untreated varicose veins lead to pain, thrombophlebitis, bleeding, chronic venous insufficiency, and venous ulcers; thus, treatment has long been considered. Accordingly, various methods, including varicose sock, surgery, and more recently, minimally invasive methods were used to treat varicose veins [8]. Among these minimally invasive methods is using Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA) or Endovenous Laser Therapy (EVLT) of heat energy for the veins involved. These techniques are mostly used for treating large saphenous vein reflux as an alternative to surgical stripping [9]. In RFA, the heat generated by the catheters leads to heat damage to the venous wall, resulting in thrombosis and fibrosis. RF ablation is less invasive than surgical stripping; however, its potential complications include paresthesia, superficial thrombophlebitis, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, bruising, skin burns, and infection [10].

The results of endovenous ablation techniques in treatment were at least equal to stripping; however, they were associated with reduced complications and enhanced Quality of Life (QoL) [11, 12]. Additionally, RFA and EVLT are associated with a concern about the recurrence of the disease in the form of recanalization and reflux; i.e., reached the same level as surgery due to technological advances, especially in new methods and some lasers. In contrast, other studies presented an improvement in QoL and a faster return to normal activity and work in ablation [13]. Almeida et al. reported that RFA was significantly better than EVL concerning post-procedure recovery and QoL parameters [14]. Rajagopala et al. stated that EVL and RF results were desirable and indicated few adverse effects [15].

Due to the high prevalence of the disease and further tendencies to minimally invasive interventions due to fewer recurrences and complications, it seems necessary to examine more closely and obtain information to improve the clinical decision-making process in similar cases. In this regard, this study aimed to determine the success rate and complications occurring after RFA in patients with small saphenous insufficiency who underwent RF ablation.

2. Materials and Methods

This case series was conducted on patients with varicose veins who were referred to a vascular surgery clinic in Rasht City, Iran, from 2009 to 2015. The study participants manifested small saphenous vein insufficiency with a diameter of >4 mm and underwent RF ablation. Moreover, patients with varicose veins and small saphenous insufficiency with a diameter <4 mm as well as a history of Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) who were not suitable candidates for RFA were excluded from this research.

In this clinic, the patients referring with varicose veins were examined for small saphenous vein insufficiency; if the small saphenous vein insufficiency was confirmed by sonography, the patients underwent RF ablation. RF ablation is a minimally invasive procedure. Accordingly, vascular endothelium is destroyed by a failed intravenous catheter with the help of radio waves (heat), causing thrombosis, fibrosis, and destruction. RF ablation is performed with a closure fast device in lying on stomach position under tumescent anesthesia. Simultaneously with this procedure, if necessary, the sclerotherapy of varicose veins was performed.

Then, the study patients were assessed concerning success rate (the absence of reflux in the first control ultrasound 2 weeks after RFA) as well as potential complications, such as postoperative pain, hematoma, paresthesia of the thigh and leg, neuropathy, Endovenous Heat Induced Thrombosis (EHIT), and skin burns. They were followed up 2 weeks after the procedure according to the treatment protocol. The study patients were examined for the presence or absence of hematoma and asked about paresthesia of the legs and thighs.

Reanalysis was also evaluated in the research patients by examination and ultrasound at 2 weeks, 3-6 months, and one year after RFA by a sonographer. If there existed clinical and sonographic evidence of DVT as a procedure complication, it was classified according to the EHIT classification [10].

Further data, including age, gender, Body Mass Index (BMI), occupation, the degree of varicose veins based on Clinical-Etiological-Anatomical-Pathophysiological (CEAP) score [16], potential complications, such as postoperative pain based on VAS (visual analog scale), hematoma, thigh and leg paresthesia, neuropathy, EHIT, skin burns, reanalysis, and reflux were extracted from the study patients’ medical records. According to heparin use after 2013 during RFA, the research patients were divided into two groups before 2013 (without heparin use), and after 2013 (heparin use).

Relevant data were collected through data collection forms and analyzed using SPSS. To report the obtained results, frequency and percentage were used for qualitative variables. Besides, mean and standard deviation, mean (mid-quarter range), and minimum-maximum indices were employed for quantitative variables. The normal distributions of the study quantitative variables were measured us-
The study subjects’ Mean±SD BMI was measured to be 26.49±1.45 kg/m². Based on the obtained data, the success rate or absence of reflux in the first control ultrasound 2 weeks after RFA equaled 100%; no case of reflux was observed in the first control ultrasound 2 weeks after RF ablation. The highest frequency of varicose veins before and after RF ablation concerned C2 (69.4%) and C1 (56.5%), respectively (Table 1).

In general, the Wilcoxon test data indicated a significant difference between the degree of varicose veins before and after RF ablation (P<0.001). Thus, in 48 patients, the degree of varicose veins decreased, compared to before RF ablation, and increased in one case. It also remained unchanged in 13 cases. Additionally, the results of venous clinical severity score before and after RF ablation revealed that the VCSS score after RF ablation significantly decreased (P<0.001), compared to before (Table 2).

The Mean±SD pain score after RF ablation was calculated as 3.03±2.04 (median: 3; range: 0-8). The results of treatment complications at 2 weeks, 3-6 months, and the first year after RF ablation indicated that the most complications in 2 weeks after RF ablation included neuropathy (14.5%) and heat thrombosis (11.3%), respectively. No recanalization was observed 2 weeks after RF ablation. In 3-6 months, 2 cases of recanalization were observed; only in one of them, recanalization was detected in the first year. Furthermore, in the first year after RF ablation, a case of recanalization was observed.

In 2 weeks after RF ablation, 7 cases of heat thrombosis were observed (3 cases of second degree, 2 cases of first degree, 1 case of third-degree, & 1 case of fourth degree). Of these, heat thrombosis was observed in 3 patients at 3-6 months’ follow-up (first-, second-, & fourth-degree). No case of heat thrombosis was observed in the first year after RF ablation.

In 2 weeks after RF ablation, 9 cases of neuropathy were observed. Neuropathy was not detected in any of these 9 patients in the subsequent follow-ups. In the 3-6 months and the first year after RF ablation, no new cases of neuropathy existed in the study subjects.

At 2 weeks, 3-6 months, and the first year after RF ablation, no cases of skin burns were observed in the examined subjects. Furthermore, in 3-6 months after RF ablation, only 1 case of superficial thrombophlebitis was observed. No cases of superficial thrombophlebitis were observed between 2 weeks and the first year after RF ablation.

The most common complication in 2 weeks after RF ablation was neuropathy (14.5%), followed by heat thrombosis (11.3%). Based on the results of the t-test and Fisher’s Exact test, there was no significant relationship between heat thrombosis and neuropathy in two weeks after RF ablation, and demographic variables (age, gender, BMI, & occupation) (P>0.05).

Moreover, according to Fisher’s Exact test findings, there was no significant relationship between heat thrombosis (P=0.648) and neuropathy (P=0.238) two weeks after RF ablation, and the degree of varicose veins before RF ablation.

According to the results of the Fisher’s Exact test, there was no significant relationship between heat thrombosis (P=0.999) and neuropathy (P=0.242) two weeks after RF ablation and the year of its occurrence (before & after 2013) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

This study determined the success rate and complications after RFA in patients with small saphenous insufficiency who underwent RF ablation in a vascular surgery clinic from 2009 to 2015. In total, 62 patients with varicose veins who had small saphenous vein insufficiency and underwent RF ablation were studied.

The Mean±SD age of the research subjects was 51.5±12.12 years with a median of 54 years. The minimum and maximum age of the study participants were 24 and 76 years, respectively. Furthermore, 54.8% of the explored patients were male and the rest were female. In general, in different studies, the age group and the gender distribution of patients were similar to those of the present study [18-20]. Occupationally, the highest frequency orderly concerned
housewives (41.9%), employees (37.1%), and freelancers (19.4%). The study subjects’ mean±SD BMI was measured as 26.49±1.45 kg/m². In the study of Nehemiah Samuel et al., the same rate was equal to 25.9±3.2 kg/m², i.e., almost similar to ours [21]; no significant difference could be considered for it. In the present study, the highest frequency respected the degree of C2 varicose veins, i.e., consistent with the study of Jung Hyun Choi and associates [20]. This alignment was also observed in the study of Nehemiah Samuel [21], S. Doganci [22], L van Groenendael [23], and Margreet Trip-Hoving [24].

The success rate in our study was computed to be 100%; no case of reflux was observed in the first control ultrasound 2 weeks after RF ablation. This rate equaled 100% in the study of Thomas S. Monahan et al. [18], i.e., consistent with the data obtained by Boersma D et al. (97.1%) [25], and Nehemiah Samuel et al. (96.2%) [21] in 3 months. Michael Harlander-Locke et al., in a 24-72 hours after RF ablation follow-up, suggested that in 98.7% of the cases, duplex ultrasound findings suggested the closure of the treated SSV fragment. In one patient, after 211 days of ablation, the treated fragment was open and did not consent to further operations [26]. Therefore, based on the results of the present study and similar studies, the success rate of this treatment method can be expected.

The Mean±SD pain score after RF ablation was calculated to be 3.03±2.04; however, in Margreet Trip-Hoving’s study, this rate equaled 5.80±1.86 [24]; in Doganci et al.’s study, the duration of treatment was on average 1.2 days [22]. The data discrepancy may not be significant; however, the slight difference can also be explained by variations in the location of the study and the relative expression of pain using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).

In the present study, the post-treatment VCSS score of patients was significantly reduced, compared to pre-treatment, i.e., comparable with the data achieved by N.S. Thei-vacumar and colleagues. Higher VCSS levels at the end of treatment in this study may be due to greater pre-treatment

Table 1. The frequency of varicose veins before and after RF ablation by varicose veins

| Varicose Veins (CEAP) | No. (%) | Varicose Vein Changes (CEAP) | After RF Ablation |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
|                       |         | Before RF Ablation          | After RF Ablation |
| C1                    | 0       | grade C1 32(74.4)           | 35(56.5)          |
| C2                    | 43(69.4)| grade C2 10(23.3)           | 19(30.6)          |
| C3                    | 5(8.1)  | grade C3 1(2.3)             | 2(3.2%)           |
| C4                    | 11(17.7)| grade C4 6(5.54)            | 6(9.7%)           |
| C5                    | 3(4.8)  | grade C5 1(1.9)             | 0                 |

Table 2. VCSS score before and after RF ablation

| VCSS score | Mean±SD | Mean(mid-quarter range) | Min. | Max. | P* |
|------------|---------|-------------------------|------|------|----|
| Before     | 5.53±1.91| 5(2.25)                 | 3    | 13   | <0.001 |
| After      | 3.40±2.01| 3(2)                    | 1    | 11   |    |

* Wilcoxon test.
VCSS; these data may also justify a further reduction in VCSS during treatment in this study [19].

In the present study, the incidence of heat thrombosis was 7(11.3%) cases; only 2(3.2%) of these 7 cases were graded as 4; however, in the studies of Theivacumar [19], Groenendael [23], D’Othée [27], Doganci [22], Carradice [28], and Desmyttere [29] zero incidences of thrombosis was reported. Additionally, Boersma et al. documented an overall incidence of 0%-1.2% [25], i.e., significantly less than that in the present study. This finding can be primarily attributed to different definitions and how it is measured. All the above studies examined the presence of DVT; we only observed two cases of DVT. In the present study, intravascular heat thrombosis was explored. According to Lawrence et al., it has 4 degrees; grade 4 includes DVT [30]. Thus, the present study data indicate the significance of lower degrees of EHIT, i.e., disregarded in other studies. Moreover, the above studies, unlike the present study, investigated the effects of the laser ablation method. However, in the study of Marsh et al., the incidence of EHIT after radiofrequency ablation was reported to be approximately 98%. The difference may be due to RFA in the present study on the small saphenous vein and the Marsh study of the effect of this method on the large saphenous vein [31]. Furthermore, ultrasound confirmation is required to diagnose EHIT; necessitating ultrasound by a qualified radiologist. Heparin prophylaxis is recommended to prevent EHIT.

The incidence of neuropathy in the present study was measured as 14.5%; in Boersma’s study, this rate equaled 4.7% and in Theivacumar’s study, it was 4.4% [19]; i.e., significantly lower than the amount obtained in the present study. Samuel et al. reported the incidence of neurological problems to be 7.5% [21], i.e., about half of the statistics reported in the present study. This finding seems to be the source of this difference in the method of ablation. In the mentioned study and the present research, i.e., performed by radiofrequency method, this difference can also be explained by the underlying disease in older individuals, like those with diabetic neuropathy, and the proximity of the procedure to the sural nerve.

In our study, no recanalization was observed in 2 weeks after RF ablation. In 3-6 months and the first year after RF ablation, 2 cases of recanalization were observed (a common case between 3-6 months & the first year). In the study of Nehemiah Samuel et al., 2 cases of recanalization were reported [21]. Margreet Trip-Hoving et al. also stated that the incidence of recanalization equaled 0%-4% [24]. Margreet Trip-Hoving et al. reported a recanalization incidence rate of 0%-4%, i.e., a reasonable and citable limit. Additionally, in the present study, the rate of recanalization was 1 case per 6 months and 3 cases in a year, i.e., approximately 4% of the sample size. However, Ravi et al. stated this rate as 9% [15]; Groenendael et al reported a rate of 45% [23], suggesting a wide range of results. However, our data presented no significant relationship between this wide range of recanalization results. The current study results revealed no significant difference in using heparin after 2013, compared to before 2013; such a lack of significance could be due to the small sample size in this research.

### 5. Conclusion

Based on the obtained results, RFA treatment of small saphenous is a highly suitable and applicable method due to its high success rate and limited adverse effects.

| Duration after RF Ablation | Year                  | No. (%)          |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
|                            | Recanalization | Heat Thrombosis | Neuropathy | Superficial Thrombophlebitis |
| 2 weeks                    | Before 2013 (n=17)  | -                | 2(11.8)   | 4(23.5)                |
|                            | 2013 and above (n=45)| -                | 5(11.1)   | 5(11.1)                |
| 3-6 months                 | Before 2013 (n=17)  | -                | -         | -                     |
|                            | 2013 and above (n=45)| 2(4.8)         | -         | -                     |
| First year                 | Before 2013 (n=17)  | -                | -         | -                     |
|                            | 2013 and above (n=45)| 1(2.8)          | -         | -                     |
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مقاله پژوهشی
بررسی میزان موثریت و عوارض پس از اسکلروتراپی شاخه‌ها به‌صورت هیپتران به نارسایی صافن کوچک، پیشنهاد کاربرد رادیوفرکانس.

کلیدواژه‌ها: نارسایی صافن، RFA، تحقیق پژوهشی

مقدمه
واریس نوعی اتساع غیرعادی و پیچ‌خوردگی وریدهای سطحی است که یکی از شایع‌ترین مشکلات جامعه امروزی است. شیوع واریس در مردان ۱۰/۳ درصد و در زنان ۶/۷ درصد است. سطح سکته در مبتلایان به واریس بین ۴ درصد تا ۱۵ درصد افزایش یافته است.

_goals: با استفاده از رادیوفرکانس (RFA)، درمان نارسایی صافن کوچک راه حلی برتری نسبت به روش‌های دیگر است

روده سامان کوچک در پنج سال ۳۲ و ۵۰ درصد از خانواده‌ها، روده سامانی کوچک در پنج سال ۵۰ درصد است. از این‌رو، نیاز به تحقیقات دیگر در این زمینه وجود دارد.

در مورد دیگرین خاصیت بررسی روش‌ها، روده سامانی کوچک با قطر ۱۴ میلی‌متر و بیشتر به ترغیب برای ۱۴ درصد و ۲۲ مصد نرمال شده است.

برای بررسی نارسایی صافن کوچک، چاپی‌ریزورگ‌های از سامانی کوچک و RFA در پنج سال ۵۰ درصد از خانواده‌ها، روده سامانی کوچک در پنج سال ۵۰ درصد است.

در نهایت، نیاز به تحقیقات دیگر در این زمینه وجود دارد.
در این کلینیک به پیمانورثوپسیون مراجعه کنندگان از نظر ابتلا به پروکسیفیک و افرادی که در مورد تأییدیه انجام می‌شود. 
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**سن، نسبت به هر دو نوع آزمایش با استفاده از آزمون Shapiro-Wilk** از نوع **آزمایش با استفاده از آزمون Shapiro-Wilk** 

**نشانه‌ها مربوط به عمارتی از** طراحی

**با استفاده از آزمون Shapiro-Wilk**
مطالعه نشان داد که در فاصله 3 ماه و سال اول بعد از ریفلاکس درون‌کاری، هیچ موردی از ریکانالیزاسیون مشاهده نشد. در فواصل ۵/۷ ماه و سال اول بعد از ریفلاکس درون‌کاری، هیچ موردی از ریفلاکس درون‌کاری مشاهده نشد. در فواصل ۷/۶ ماه و سال اول بعد از ریفلاکس درون‌کاری، هیچ موردی از ریفلاکس درون‌کاری مشاهده نشد.

پیشنهاد می‌شود بر اساس نتایج بدست‌آمده از توجه ملکوفلیبیت سطحی مشاهده شد. در فواصل ۲ هفته و سال اول بعد از انجام RF Ablation به صورت هم‌زمان در مبتلایان به نارسایی صافن کوچک و اسکلروپاتی شاخه‌ها مشاهده کنید. در داخل مقاله نشان داده شد که در پیگیری ماه و سال اول بعد از انجام RF Ablation در مبتلایان به نارسایی صافن کوچک و اسکلروپاتی شاخه‌ها، هیچ موردی از ریکانالیزاسیون مشاهده نشد. در فواصل ۷/۶ ماه و سال اول بعد از انجام RF Ablation به صورت هم‌زمان در مبتلایان به نارسایی صافن کوچک و اسکلروپاتی شاخه‌ها مشاهده کنید.

به همین‌گونه در ریفلاکس درون‌کاری، هیچ موردی از ریکانالیزاسیون مشاهده نشد. در فواصل ۵/۷ ماه و سال اول بعد از انجام RF Ablation به صورت هم‌زمان در مبتلایان به نارسایی صافن کوچک و اسکلروپاتی شاخه‌ها مشاهده کنید. در داخل مقاله نشان داده شد که در پیگیری ماه و سال اول بعد از انجام RF Ablation در مبتلایان به نارسایی صافن کوچک و اسکلروپاتی شاخه‌ها، هیچ موردی از ریکانالیزاسیون مشاهده نشد. در فواصل ۷/۶ ماه و سال اول بعد از انجام RF Ablation به صورت هم‌زمان در مبتلایان به نارسایی صافن کوچک و اسکلروپاتی شاخه‌ها مشاهده کنید.
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چهل و یکمین درجه واریس قبل و بعد از RF Ablation به تاکید، درجه واریس

| کلمه (درصد) | RF ablation | CEAP (درصد) | قبل و بعد | RF ablation
|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------|-------------|
| فاصله | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 192 (88%) | 192 (88%) | 192 (88%) | 192 (88%) | 192 (88%) |
| 193 (88%) | 193 (88%) | 193 (88%) | 193 (88%) | 193 (88%) |
| 194 (88%) | 194 (88%) | 194 (88%) | 194 (88%) | 194 (88%) |
| 195 (88%) | 195 (88%) | 195 (88%) | 195 (88%) | 195 (88%) |
| 196 (88%) | 196 (88%) | 196 (88%) | 196 (88%) | 196 (88%) |
| 197 (88%) | 197 (88%) | 197 (88%) | 197 (88%) | 197 (88%) |
| 198 (88%) | 198 (88%) | 198 (88%) | 198 (88%) | 198 (88%) |
| 199 (88%) | 199 (88%) | 199 (88%) | 199 (88%) | 199 (88%) |
| 200 (88%) | 200 (88%) | 200 (88%) | 200 (88%) | 200 (88%) |
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جلوه‌های درمان‌های مبتلایان به نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation

| سال | RF ablation | متغیر زمان بعد از | کلمه (درصد) | ترموبلیکسیون | ترموگرافی | ریکانالیزاسیون |
|-----|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|---------|----------------|
| ۲۰۱۸ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۷ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۶ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۵ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۴ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۳ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۲ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۱ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۱۰ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۹ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۸ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۷ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۶ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۵ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۴ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۳ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۲ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۱ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |
| ۲۰۰۰ | -           | ۹۲               | -           | -      | -       | -              |

توجه: در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسایی صافن کوچک بطور صافن کوچک وارد کردند، میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک به تغییر نسبت سال ۹۲ و ۹۳ به بعد RF Ablation است. در حالی که در مطالعه‌هایی که به روش RF Ablation در مطالعه‌های خود میزان نارسایی صافن کوچک را به نارسая
ملاحظات اخلاقی

همسر و من از اصول اخلاق پژوهشی کمیته اخلاق دانشگاه علوم پزشکی گیلان این مطالعه را با کد اخلاقی (IR.GUMS.REC.1394.424) تأیید نمود.

حامی مالی

این تحقیق هیچ گونه همکاری، مالی از سازمان‌های تأمین مالی در یا بهره‌برداری از یک گروه تحقیقاتی دریافت نکرده‌ایم.

مشارکت گروه‌سازگاری

از اینکه این پژوهشی و طراحی مطالعه، حسین همی، سیده مزایری و فرآیند همی، جمع‌آوری داده‌های داخلی موردی و محمد مرتضی امامی و الهه رفیعی، بازیگری اولیه تأیید نموده تا راهنمایی و بهبود نویسندگان.
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