Short-term Runoff Forecasting based on Hydrological Factors at Nanchang Section of Ganjiang River, China
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Abstract. With the change of global climate and underlying surface characteristics, and increasing human activities, hydro-meteorological factors such as precipitation, evaporation, and runoff, etc., are directly affected, therefore the assumption of stationarity may no longer exist. In a changing environment, the conventional runoff forecasting methods become invalid, which brings challenges to accurate hydro-meteorological forecast. A hydrological model based on the changing environment was employed to forecast the runoff at Nanchang Section of Ganjiang River in this paper, and the rationality and validity of the built model were verified. The results show that the built hydrological model has high accuracy in the short-term runoff forecasting, and better forecasting effect has been obtained after the parameters calibration and the real-time correction of the predicted runoffs, which can provide the strong support for the scientific water resources operation decision and also provide the boundary conditions of water level and water quality for the hydrodynamic and water-quality simulation at the Nanchang Section of the Ganjiang River.

1 Introduction

Water, as the basic natural resource and a strategic economic resource, is a controlling factor for ecological environment and also an indispensable support for the development of economic society. More people with less water, uneven spatial and temporal distribution of water resources, uneven spatial and temporal distribution, and out of proportion with overall planning of social and economic development, which are the serious conditions needing to be faced with for a long time in China. Thus, the contradiction between water supply and demand is the dominating restriction on sustainable development[1]. Short-term runoff forecasting, as an important part of water resources operation, can not only provide the inflow information for reservoir operation on rivers, but also provide the necessary inflow boundary for the hydrodynamic and water quality model built on river or lake, so as to realize the real-time operation of reservoirs and emergency water resources operation under some sudden events[2]. The detailed information supports from short-time runoff forecasting is shown in Fig. 1.

In addition, the scientific and rationality of water resources operation in rivers can be ensured by the improvement of short-time runoff forecasting accuracy. It can be found that the uncertainty of rainfall is the main factor affecting runoff forecast in rivers, and the forecasting runoff has a greater impact on reservoir operation, that is, forecasting runoff information as one of the most important input data is directly related to the calculation of objective function, which thus can affects the decision making of reservoir operation[3-5]. Some studies suggest that in the actual operation of reservoirs, the runoff situation in the future can be reflected by the forecasting information compared to the hydrological statistical data, and runoff forecasting information used in models can effectively improve the comprehensive benefit of reservoir operation, also, the reliability of reservoir operation decision can be improved[6-9]. Moreover, when forecasting runoff information is used as the boundary condition of hydrodynamic and water quality model, its accuracy directly affects the quality of the simulated results of flow velocity, water level, and pollutant concentration in rivers[7].

With the insight in the hydrological cycle process, hydrologists began to use the system theory to study the hydrological cycle in basin, and thus the concept of hydrological model is proposed. Generally, the conceptual hydrological model with physical significance and the systematic theoretical hydrological model similar to “black box” are included in hydrological model. The conceptual hydrological model as the most widely used model in study, and according to the discrete degree of basin space, can be divided into the lumped hydrological model and the distributed hydrological model. Stared from 1960, various conceptual hydrological models have been proposed successively by researchers, such as the Stanford model, Sacramento watershed hydrological model, Tank model, SWMM model, NAM model, HBV model, Xinjiang rainfall-runoff model with the three components, Northern Shaanxi model and Dahuofang model[8-14]. Then, the conceptual hydrological model is further improved by the division of several interconnected
computing units in the watershed, such as the TOPMODEL model, IHDM model, SWAT model, VIC model, Vflo model, HEC-GRO model and WET-SPA model, and these models, based on the decentralized treatment and output, make the physical mechanism of basin hydrology more clear so as to reflect the hydrological differences more accurately and simulate the change process of regional hydrological cycle.[15-18]

Although Jiangxi province is located in the area with abundant water, the spatial and temporal distribution of water resources is completely uneven; inflow and water use are not synchronized, and the problems of resourceful water shortage, engineering water shortage and water shortage induced by deteriorating water quality still exist. With the rapid development of economy and society, the problem of water resource is becoming more and more serious, and currently, the decision-making ability of water resources operation in Jiangxi province cannot meet the urgent need of water resources management. Nanchang city is the capital of Jiangxi province and needs to be focused on ensuring its water resources allocation process. How to build the short-term runoff forecasting model to provide the effective forecasting information for the water resources operation at the Nanchang section of Ganjiang River, especially in the dry season, how to ensure the accuracy and effectiveness of the forecasting runoffs is an important basis for improving the capacity of water quantity allocation in river section and emergency water resources operation in case of sudden water pollution or drought events, which deserves further study. Thus, a short-term runoff forecasting model considering river hydrological factors is built to forecast the runoff at the Nanchang section of Ganjiang River; then, the rationality of the built model is investigated and the accuracy of the forecasting runoff is analysed to obtain the effective runoff forecasting information that could provide the strongly auxiliary support for the actual water resource operation at the Nanchang section of Ganjiang River.

![Figure 1. Model data supply from short-term runoff forecasting information](image)

### 3 Short-term runoff forecasting modelling

#### 3.1 Method and Data

In this study, a hydrological model is considered to build in the basin between the Xiaijiang reservoir at Ganjiang River, Jiangkou reservoir at Yuanhe River and Waizhou station. The selected basin has the following characteristics: (1) the basin is open, and Xiaijiang reservoir and Jiangkou reservoir are the entrance points of the basin; (2) the basin with the abundant rainfall is in the region of saturation excess runoff. Thus, EasyDHM model is employed to simulate the runoff at the Nanchang section of Ganjiang River[19-20]. EasyDHM model adopts the model interface of MapWindow to
complete the hydrological simulation and parameter identification process, which can be convenient and simple to operate. The Xinanjiang water yield, Masingen convergent, LH-OAT method and SCE-UA algorithm is selected as the water yield mode, confluence mode, sensitivity analysis method and parameter calibration method of EasyDHM model[21-26].

The original DEM data is obtained from HYGRO1k of the United States geological survey (USGS). However, there are many inconsistencies between the simulated river network directly extracted from original DEM and the actual river network, for example, the larger elevation of some DEM grids in the plain area of mountain basin leads to the formation of false depression at its upstream basin. Thus, in order to improve the accuracy of the model simulation, the original DEM needs to be revised referring to the actual river network. The original and revised DEM data is shown in Fig. 2, respectively.

The depression detention, flow direction generation, volume calculation of runoff confluence and rivers extraction are carried out based on the revised DEM data. While, the Xiajiang reservoir and Jiangkou reservoir are selected as the inlets; Waizhou station is selected as the outlet; the threshold of river area is set to be 50 km², and thus, the river system at Nanchang section of Ganjiang River can be obtained (seen in Fig. 3).

Figure 2. Original and revised DEM data image

The depression detention, flow direction generation, volume calculation of runoff confluence and rivers extraction are carried out based on the revised DEM data. While, the Xiajiang reservoir and Jiangkou reservoir are selected as the inlets; Waizhou station is selected as the outlet; the threshold of river area is set to be 50 km², and thus, the river system at Nanchang section of Ganjiang River can be obtained (seen in Fig. 3).

Figure 3. River system at Nanchang section of Ganjiang River

In addition, the hydrological data needed in the model was collected from the Waizhou, Gaoan, Zhangshu and Shanggao stations, and the meteorological data was obtained from the observation data of 76 rainfall stations distributed over the basin. Stations distribution chart is show in the Fig. 4. The weight of each rainfall station is divided by the Tyson Polygon method.

Figure 4. Distribution of hydrometric and rainfall stations

3.2 Parameter Calibration and Sensitivity Analysis

The method adopted by EasyDHM model for parameter optimization is to optimize each parameter partition separately, that is, the minimum fitting error between simulated and measured runoff of the control station in each parameter partition is the final objective function. In the study, 23 parameters are selected to calibrate (seen in Table. 1). The lower and higher limit of the optimal space for each parameter can be determined according to the parameter range recommended by the model or manually given based on the physical meaning of the parameter and the characteristics of studied basin.

| Type           | Name | Lower limit | Higher limit |
|----------------|------|-------------|--------------|
| Overall parameter | ki_sub | 0.5         | 2            |
|                | kg_tot | 0.001       | 0.05         |
|                | T0     | 0.1         | 0.9          |

Table 1. Value range of Parameters
In order to obtain the optimal model parameters, LH-OAT sampling method is used to analyse the sensitivity of the selected parameters. These parameters are sampled 10 times and combined into 10 sets of parameters by the LH method; and then, OAT sampling is conducted for each set of parameters in the parameter space, due to OAT sampling changes only one parameter in each sampling, thus, 23 evolutions are required in every set of parameters and the total number of sampling is 240 times.

The residual sum of squares between simulated and measured runoff is selected as the objective function of parameter optimization[27], which is shown as follows:

\[
SSQ = \sum_{i} (S_i - O_i)^2
\]  

where, \(S_i\) is the simulated runoff of the \(i\)-th hydrological station; \(O_i\) is the measured runoff of the \(i\)-th hydrological station.

In addition, the iterations of the model are constrained by the following two criteria to determine whether each parameter is optimal or not.

1) The objective function cannot improve the accuracy of 0.01\% after five iterations, that is, the value of objective function corresponding to the parameter value reaches to a flat surface of the feasible region, and meanwhile, the iteration can be stopped.

2) After 5 consecutive iterations, the objective function value cannot be significantly changed, and the accuracy of the simulated result is not significantly improved, thus, the objective function is considered in the optimal situation and the iteration can be stopped.

### 4 Runoff forecasting verification

Waizhou station is taken as an example to show the analysis results of the forecasting runoff under different flood situations. The 10 historical flood data from 1998 to 2008 and 5 historical flood data from 2009 to 2015 measured by Waizhou station is used to calibrate and verify the simulated flood processes by the Deterministic Coefficient (DE), respectively. Meanwhile, the flood in different times is predicted and the predicted result is compared with the 47 corresponding measured values from 1998 to 2015 to judge the reliability of the short-term runoff forecasting model built in the study by the Absolute Error (AE) and Relative Error (RE).

Table 2 and Table 3 show that the deterministic coefficient in the flood calibration period is 0.969; the simulation accuracy of the flood peak in each flood is high; and the emerged time of flood peak is basically consistent with the actual flood process. The errors of the 47 simulated flood peaks are all less than 20\%, and the qualification rate of the simulated flood peaks is 100\%; the 45 emerged times of flood peak are less than the allowable error (6 h), and its qualification rate is 96\%; and the calibrated values of the parameters are within the respective value range. Thus, the runoff forecasting schemes are in the Class A, which is better to simulate the large flood, and the small flood can obtain the good forecasting results by some manual adjustment. The short-term runoff forecasting model based on hydrological factors built in the study can be used at Nanchang section of Ganjiang River.

| Item | Duration | DE |
|------|----------|----|
| Calibration period | 1998-05-01 08 ~ 1998-09-30 08 | 0.980 |
| | 1999-05-01 08 ~ 1999-09-30 08 | 0.941 |
| | 2000-05-01 08 ~ 2000-09-30 08 | 0.958 |
| | 2001-05-01 08 ~ 2001-09-30 08 | 0.958 |
| | 2002-05-01 08 ~ 2002-09-30 08 | 0.968 |
| | 2003-05-01 08 ~ 2003-09-30 08 | 0.986 |
| | 2005-05-01 08 ~ 2005-09-30 08 | 0.972 |
| | 2006-05-01 08 ~ 2006-09-30 08 | 0.955 |
| | 2007-05-01 08 ~ 2007-09-30 08 | 0.955 |
| | 2008-05-01 08 ~ 2008-09-30 08 | 0.970 |
| Validation period | 2009-05-01 08 ~ 2009-09-30 08 | 0.978 |
| | 2010-05-01 08 ~ 2010-09-30 08 | 0.982 |
| | 2012-05-01 08 ~ 2012-09-30 08 | 0.926 |
| | 2014-05-01 08 ~ 2014-09-30 08 | 0.939 |
| | 2015-05-01 08 ~ 2015-09-30 08 | 0.937 |

Table 3. Flood forecasting results (parts of results for example)
Moreover, the deterministic coefficient in the flood protection must be a hot issue in the future. The paper is jointly supported by the Intergovernmental Key International S&T Innovation Cooperation Program (No.2016YFE0102400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51509263), and the Yellow River Engineering Consulting Co., Ltd Postdoctoral Programme (YREC-2018-PD03).

5 Results and discussion

The short-term runoff forecasting model based on hydrological factors is built in the study to forecast the flood process at Nanchang section of Ganjiang River, and the simulated results are evaluated according the comparison between the forecasting and measured runoff. The simulation accuracy and applicability of the built model are also discussed. The results show that the forecasting results are of high compliance rate and fully meet the accuracy requirement of short-term runoff forecast, which can provide the high-precision boundary conditions for water resources operation model, hydrodynamic and water quality simulation, and also provide the good technical support for the rational allocation of regional water resources. Effects of forecasting uncertainty on reservoirs system for ecological operation deserves further study, and how to operate reservoirs group in big river for ecological protection must be a hot issue in the future.
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