BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation in Pancreatic Cancer: Significance in Therapeutic Approach
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Abstract
Germline mutations in the tumor suppressor genes breast cancer antigen gene BRCA1 and BRCA2 have been proven to portend a drastically increased lifetime risk of breast and ovarian cancers in the individuals who carry them. A number of studies have shown that the third most common cancer associated with these mutations is pancreatic cancer. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) remains one of the greatest challenges in oncology. Though it is estimated that about 5 percent of patients with pancreatic cancer are BRCA carriers, this subset of individuals may be more responsive to therapies that damage DNA, such as some chemotherapies, radiation therapy and some targeted therapies. As a result, BRCA carriers with pancreatic cancer may live longer than their counterparts who do not carry the mutation. We study the therapeutic approach and importance of BRCA1/2 mutation in pancreatic cancer.
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Introduction
Mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2, most commonly linked with breast and ovarian cancers. But nowadays it was established that this genes are also associated with pancreatic cancer as well. A person with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations has a 5 percent risk to develop pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) in their lifetime. Mutations in germ line level involving many genes that can lead to develop pancreatic cancer. Such genes are BRCA1, BRCA2 [1,2], TP53 [3], PALB2 [4], P16/CDKN2A [5,6], SMAD4 [7], STK11 [8], ataxia-telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) gene [9] and the mismatch repair genes (MMR) [10]. 5-10% are familial cancer [11-13]. BRCA1 and 2 are autosomal dominant genes having incomplete penetrance [14]. The tumor suppressor genes are those genes which controls cell growth and differentiation and drives tumorigenesis in a cascade pathway manner [15]. BRCA protein involves in post transcriptional protein expression as well a DNA double strand breakage repair by base excision repair method [16].

It was reported that gene expression profiles and somatic genetic changes of BRCA1 and BRCA2 related pancreatic cancer are different from sporadic cases. The histopathological and immunohistochemical characteristics of BRCA mutated patients shown poor prognosis. Despite these findings, conflicting data exist as to whether the prognosis of hereditary pancreatic cancer differs from that of sporadic cases. Some of the discrepancies may be explained by methodological differences or biases. However, no mutation-based studies have shown a survival advantage for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers and several unrelated studies have recently found that the presence of a BRCA1/2 mutation was an independent poor prognostic factor. Germ line mutations in the tumor suppressor genes breast cancer antigen gene BRCA1 and BRCA2 have been proven to portend a drastically increased lifetime risk of breast and ovarian cancers in the individuals who carry them. A number of studies have shown that the third most common cancer associated with these mutations is pancreatic cancer. Several other lines of evidence also suggest that carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations face an increased risk of pancreatic cancer [17,18]. In patients with sporadic pancreatic cancer, BRCA1/2 are mutated in the most advanced pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions, whereas a germ line mutation in either gene represents the earliest risk factor of patient’s close relatives. It has been reported that pancreatic cancer is the third most common cancer associated with BRCA1/2 mutations [19]. It was found that BRCA2 mutation poses an increased risk for developing pancreatic cancer [20]. Some study proposed BRCA2 as a genetic factor as causes of the pancreatic cancer [21]. As like breast cancer, 5-10% of pancreatic cancer cases are believed to be hereditary. Through analysis of the literature it was found that patients with pancreatic cancer and germ line BRCA2 mutations have a younger than average age of disease onset in case of Ashkenazi Jewish [22]. Satdler, et al. in 2012 established a strong family history of pancreatic cancer in a study among 211 Ashkenazi Jewish Proband. Among them 31% had a first-degree relative with pancreatic cancer, 53% had a second-degree relative and 16% had a third-degree relative diagnosed with the disease [23]. From the study it was established that BRCA1/2 mutations are most important factor to develop familial breast-pancreas cancer families and carriers of the BRCA2 mutation have an increased risk of developing pancreatic cancer. The use of different analysis model can be useful to establish variations in mutation prevalence. This review outlines the therapeutic approach to patients at high risk of developing pancreatic cancer, including criteria for genetic testing.

DNA damage
Different genotoxic agents vary in the type of DNA damage they inflict and the specificity of the induced damage triggers a variety of cellular responses specific to the type of lesion inflicted. While a large host of agents are known to activate checkpoint pathways, two commonly employed agents include IR and ultraviolet (UV) light. IR, by definition, is radiation with sufficient energy to ionize
molecules with which it collides [24]. IR can damage DNA directly, or indirectly, through reactive oxygen species intermediates. IR is known to induce a large variety of DNA lesions, the most lethal of which is the DNA Double Stranded Break (DSB). The most lethal form of DNA damage is generally regarded to be the DSB. DSBs are generated endogenously, as a normal part of the cellular process, through replication fork collapse, during DNA replication and in repair events, and by exogenous agents such as ionizing radiation (IR) and other genotoxic compounds. Repair of DSBs is of cardinal importance in preventing chromosomal fragmentation, translocations and deletions. The genomic instability resulting from persistent or incorrectly repaired DSBs can lead to carcinogenesis through activation of oncogenes, inactivation of tumour-suppressor genes, or loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at specific loci, while in the germ line they can lead to inborn defects. The deleterious effects of DSBs have triggered the evolution of multiple pathways for their repair [25].

**BRCA1 & BRCA2 function in the DNA damage response**

The ability to precisely control the order and timing of cell cycle events is essential for maintaining genomic integrity and preventing mutations able to disrupt normal growth controls. Cells exposed to DNA damaging agents, such as ionizing radiation, coordinately arrest the progression of the cell cycle at the G1/S phase, the S phase and the G2/M phase to allow adequate time for damage repair [26]. It is now widely accepted that both BRCA1 and BRCA2 play multiple critical roles in the maintenance of genome stability as evidenced by a profound number of chromosomal translocations, duplications, and aberrant fusion events between non-homologous chromosomes in BRCA1 and BRCA2 deficient cells. BRCA1 plays a critical role in responding to DSBs through its function in HR. Firstly BRCA1 is recruited to DNA damage sites (Figure 1). Then BRCA1 recruits BRCA2, which facilitates Rad51 filament formation on the ssDNA [27]. Rad51 catalyzes the invasion of the homologous sequence on the sister chromatid, which is then used as template for accurate repair of the broken DNA ends. Other studies have shown that BRCA1 co-localizes with Rad50, a member of the MRN complex, following the induction of DNA damage; Mre11 encodes nuclease activity which resects flush ends of DSBs to generate ssDNA tracts. BRCA1 binds DNA directly and inhibits this Mre11 activity regulating the length and the persistence of ssDNA generation at sites of DNA damage. As ssDNA is a substrate for DNA repair by HR, it appears that BRCA1 might play an essential role in HR-mediated repair of DSBs through its inactivation of Mre11; an idea confirmed by the observation the HR is defective in BRCA1-deficient cells [28]. The roles played by BRCA1 and BRCA2 in the repair of DSBs by HR appear to differ, as evidence indicates a more direct role for BRCA2. The physical interaction between BRCA2 and Rad51 is essential for HR repair of DSBs to take place; BRCA2 is thought to be required for the transport of Rad51 from its site of synthesis to the site of DNA damage, where Rad51 is then released to form the nucleoprotein filament required for HR to take place [28].

**BRCA mutation effect**

Individuals having BRCA1/2 germ line mutation are very potent to develop breast and ovarian cancer. This two gene mutation can lead to develop another type of cancer called pancreatic cancer [17,18]. Carriers of BRCA1/2 mutated genes are also very potent to develop this cancer as this genes are autosomal dominant in nature. Sporadic pancreatic cancer, having BRCA1/2 mutation can develop the most advanced pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions, in gremlin mutation it is hereditary. Pancreatic cancer is the third most cancer in patients who are having BRCA1/2 mutation [19].

A study among 211 Ashkenazi Jewish Proband. Within the sample, 31% had a first-degree relative with pancreatic cancer, 53% had a second-degree relative and 16% had a third-degree relative diagnosed with the disease. They are affected because of BRCA1/2 mutation [29]. The use of different analysis models within studies showed that pancreatic cancer has been regarded as a component of the breast-ovarian cancer syndrome.

Carriers of the BRCA2 mutation have an increased risk of developing pancreatic cancer [29]. It is clear that how the degree to which family history of pancreatic cancer influences the likelihood of detecting a BRCA1/2 mutation in an individual with breast cancer. Pancreatic cancer has been considered as a component of the breast-ovarian cancer syndrome. The utilization of different analysis models within studies can lead to variations in mutation prevalence.

In a study by Axilbund, et al. [30] over half the study population reported a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer in addition to pancreatic cancer. The study findings suggest that BRCA1 mutations...
# Table 1: Genes responsible for DNA damage response (DDR)

| Gene Name                  | Chromosome location |
|----------------------------|---------------------|
| **Base excision repair genes** |                     |
| UNG                        | 12q24.11            |
| SMUG1                      | 12q13.13            |
| MBD4                       | 3q21.3              |
| TDG                        | 12q23.3             |
| OGG1                       | 3p25.3              |
| MUTYH                      | 1p34.1              |
| NTHL1 (NTH1)               | 16p13.3             |
| MPG                        | 16p13.3             |
| NEIL1                      | 15q24.2             |
| NEIL2                      | 8p23.1              |
| NEIL3                      | 4q34                |
| **Factors that help for Base excision repair** |                     |
| APEX1                      | 14q11.2             |
| APEX2                      | Xp11.21             |
| LIG3                       | 17q12               |
| XRCC1                      | 19q13.31            |
| PNKP                       | 19q13.33            |
| APLF (C2orf13)             | 2p13.3              |
| **Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes that bind to DNA** |                     |
| PARP1                      | 1q42.12             |
| PARP2                      | 14q11.2             |
| PARP3                      | 3p21.1              |
| **Direct reversal of damage** |                     |
| MGMT                       | 10q26.3             |
| ALKBH2 (ABH2)              | 12q24.11            |
| ALKBH3 (DEPC1)             | 11p11.2             |
| **Repair of DNA-topoisomerase cross links** |                     |
| TDP1                       | 14q32.11            |
| TDP2 (TTRAP)               | 6p22.3              |
| **Mismatch excision repair (MMR)** |                     |
| MSH2                       | 2p21                |
| MSH3                       | 5q14.1              |
| MSH6                       | 2p16.3              |
| MLH1                       | 3p22.3              |
| PMS2                       | 7p22.1              |
| MSH4                       | 1p31.1              |
| MSH5                       | 6p21.33             |
| MLH3                       | 14q24.3             |
| PMS1                       | 2q32.2              |
| PMS2L3                     | 7q11.23             |
| **Nucleotide excision repair** |                     |
| XPC                        | 3p25.1              |
| RAD23B                     | 9q31.2              |
| CETN2                      | Xq28                |
| RAD23A                     | 19p13.13            |
| XPA                        | 9q22.33             |
| DDB1                       | 11q12.2             |
| DDB2 (XPE)                 | 11p11.2             |
| RPA1                       | 17p13.3             |
| RPA2                       | 1p35.3              |
| RPA3                       | 7p21.3              |
| ERCC3 (XPB)                | 2q14.3              |
| ERCC2 (XPD)                | 19q13.32            |
| GTF2H1                     | 11p15.1             |
| GTF2H2                     | 5q13.2              |
| GTF2H3                     | 12q24.31            |
| GTF2H4                     | 6p21.33             |
| GTF2H5 (TTDA)              | 8p25.3              |
| CDK7                       | 5q13.2              |
| CCNH                       | 5q14.3              |
| MNAT1                      | 14q23.1             |
| ERCC5 (XPG)                | 13q33.1             |
| ERCC1                      | 19q13.32            |
| ERCC4 (XPF)                | 16p13.12            |
| LIG1                       | 19q13.32            |
| ERCC6 (CSA)                | 5q12.1              |
| ERCC6 (CSB)                | 10q11.23            |
| UVSSA (KIAA1530)           | 4p16.3              |
| XAB2 (HCNP)                | 19p13.2             |
| MMS19                      | 10q24.1             |
| **Homologous recombination** |                     |
| RAD51                      | 15q15.1             |
| RAD51B                     | 14q24.1             |
| RAD51D                     | 17q12               |
| DMC1                       | 22q13.1             |
| XRCC2                      | 7q36.1              |
| XRCC3                      | 14q32.33            |
| RAD52                      | 12p13.33            |
| RAD54L                     | 1p34.1              |
| RAD54B                     | 8q22.1              |
| BRCA1                      | 17q21.31            |
| SHFM1                      | 7q21.3              |
| RAD50                      | 5q23.3              |
| MRE11A                     | 11q21               |
| NBN (NBS1)                 | 8q21.3              |
| RBBP8 (CTIP)               | 18q11.2             |
| MUS81                      | 11q13.1             |
| EME1                       | 17q21.33            |
| EME2                       | 16p13.3             |
are not a substantial cause of breast cancer in familial pancreatic cancer kinships as none of the participants were found to possess a BRCA1 mutation from DNA sequencing.

Stadler, et al. [29] proved that 70 families had more than two relatives identified a disease with breast cancer within the same family members as the family history of related to the pancreas cancer and 31 probands had a relative with ovarian cancer within the same family tree. They also identified 14.2% BRCA1/2 sequence variation among the Ashkenazi Jews who were reported a personal history of breast cancer and a family history of pancreas cancer. Axilbund, et al. [30] showed that among 66 pancreas cancer patients, four having breast cancer before identified with pancreas cancer. Looking at things in the opposite way, in a study by Tulinius, et al. [31] it was found that, no family-related risk due to BRCA2 mutation was found for pancreas cancer among breast cancer patients, yet, it was obvious for cancers

---

### Fanconi anemia

| Gene   | Chromosome |
|--------|------------|
| FANCA  | 16q24.3    |
| FANCB  | Xp22.31    |
| FANCC  | 9q22.32    |
| BRCA2  | 13q13.1    |
| FANCD2 | 3p25.3     |
| FANCE  | 6p21.31    |
| FANCF  | 11p14.3    |
| FANCG  | 9p13.3     |
| FANCI  | 15q26.1    |
| BRIP1  | 17q23      |

### Non-homologous end-joining

| Gene   | Chromosome |
|--------|------------|
| XRCC6  | 22q13.2    |
| XRCC5  | 2q35       |
| PRKDC  | 8q11.21    |
| LIG4   | 13q33.3    |
| XRCC4  | 5q14.2     |
| DCLRE1C| 10p13      |
| NHEJ1  | 2q35       |

### Modulation of nucleotide pools

| Gene  | Chromosome |
|-------|------------|
| NUDT1 | 7p22.3     |
| DUT   | 15q21.1    |
| RRM2B | 8q22.3     |

### DNA polymerases (catalytic subunits)

| Gene  | Chromosome |
|-------|------------|
| POLB  | 8p11.21    |
| POLG  | 15q26.1    |
| POLD1 | 19q13.33   |
| POLE  | 12q24.33   |
| PCNA  | 20p12.3    |
| REV3L | 6q21       |
| MAD2L2| 1p36.22    |
| REV1L | 2q11.2     |
| POLH  | 6p21.1     |
| POLI  | 18q21.2    |
| POLQ  | 3q13.33    |
| POLK  | 5q13.3     |

### Editing and processing nucleases

| Gene | Chromosome |
|------|------------|
| FEN1 | 11q12.2    |
| FAN1 | 15q13.2    |
| TREX1| 3p21.31    |
| TREX2| Xq28       |
| EXO1 | 1q43       |
| APTX | 9p21.1     |
| SPO11| 20q13.32   |
| ENDOV| 17q25.3    |

### Ubiquitination and modification

| Gene   | Chromosome |
|--------|------------|
| UBE2A  | Xp24-q25   |
| UBE2B  | 5q31.1     |
| RAD18  | 3p25.3     |
| SHPRH  | 6q24.3     |
| HLF 4  | 3q25.1-q26.1|
| RNF168 | 3q29       |
| SPO11  | 1q42.12-q43|
| RNF8  | 8p21       |
| RNF4  | 4p16.3     |
| UBE2V2 | 8q11.21    |
| UBE2N  | 12q22      |

### Chromatin Structure and Modification

| Gene | Chromosome |
|------|------------|
| H2AFX| 11q23.3    |
| CHAF1A| 19p13.3    |
| SETMAR| 3p26       |

### Genes defective in diseases associated with sensitivity to DNA damaging agents

| Gene | Chromosome |
|------|------------|
| BLM  | 15q26.1    |
| WRN  | 8q24.3     |
| ATM  | 11q22.3    |
| TTDN1| 7p14       |
of the stomach, prostate and kidneys. These results could suggest that particular areas of BRCA1/2 mutation may have increased associations with particular cancers.

Kim, et al. [32] reviewed 1312 family pedigrees tested for BRCA1/2 mutations. They found, 219 families were positive for BRCA1 changes and 156 families had BRCA2 changes. Outcomes of the study showed that 11% of the 219 BRCA1 positive families had at least one relative with pancreatic cancer and 2.7% had more than one relative with pancreatic cancer. What’s more, Bermejo and Hemminki [33] shown that families of patients with breast cancer are before 35 years of age, presented significant incidence ratios related to the pancreatic cancers. However, this standard ratio was reported to be a strong sign of some association of early-beginning breast cancer and pancreatic cancer through causes unrelated to BRCA changes. But no other proposed causes were suggested also.

Observations of literature taken to be a number of studies being part are being made clear of mutated BRCA1/2 genes were responsible for an important fraction of pancreatic cancer development, as well as sensitivity to increased disease. Confirmed associations of BRCA1/2 changes with pancreatic cancer at a population level have been reported within the research. Holter, et al. [34] did not discover a statistically important connection of BRCA-mutation position with personal history of cancer. Increasing facts supporting across cancer with changes in structure in the BRCA genes has suggested that these tumors have nothing like it feeblenesses to special DNA-damaging agents and DNA repair inhibitors [35]. However how best to make out and pleasure these persons getting care remains a physical acts offer. Increasing evidence across malignancies with mutations in the BRCA genes has suggested that these tumors have unique susceptibility to specific DNA-damaging agents and DNA repair inhibitors [35]. However identification and treatment of these patients still remains a challenge.

**Other important genes**

BRCA1-associated protein 1 (BAP1) enzyme binds to the breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1) via its RING finger domain [36]. Its involved with the regulation of RNA transcription, the cell cycle, cellular growth, response to DNA damage, and chromatin dynamics including chromatin remodelling [37]. It has been considered a tumor suppressor gene. Mutation on this gene also associated with many cancers. Luchini, et al. [38] in 2016 describe the BAP1 mutation influence the prognosis of cancer and it’s strongly associated with high-grade of cancer. This gene also responsible for the cancer-specific mortality as well as recurrence of cancer. They find BAP1 mutated cancer is more common in women than in men. The mutation can be detected by genomic analysis by AR-MS-PCR, DNA sequencing and also immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining as the protein is normally expressed in nuclei, so the lack of expression can be consider as an abnormal BAP1 expression like mutation. The IHC methods can be useful to decide which high-risk patients should be screened first for the BAP1 gene mutation.

PALB2 (Partner and Localizer of BRCA2) binds to and colocalizes with BRCA2 in DNA repair. PALB2 is considering also Fanconi anemia (FA) genes that function in the FA-Breast Cancer (BRCA). The PALB2 gene is a tumor suppressor gene that interacts with both BRCA1 and BRCA2 during double-strand DNA repair. PALB2 acts as a physical link between BRCA1 and BRCA2 to form a “BRCA complex” the complex shown in Figure 2. Jones, et al. in 2009 analysed 100 families with familial pancreatic cancer and found four families with protein-truncating mutations in PALB2 [39]. Tischkowitz, et al. also confirmed the role of PALB2 mutation in pancreatic cancer after analysis of 21 cases with a family history of pancreatic cancer [40]. No specific screening guidelines for pancreatic cancer to detect PALB2 are available till now. Exact risk for pancreatic cancer conferred by PALB2 mutations yet not also been established. Recently it was found that PALB2 mutations occur with a prevalence of 2.1% in a population of BRCA1/2-negative breast cancer patients specifically selected for a personal and/or family history of pancreatic cancer [41].

**ARID1 gene** is another important gene which considered as a tumor suppressor gene. It is located in chromosome 1p36.11. Deletion or any mutation of this gene is responsible to develop many kind of cancer, especially in those arising from eutopic or eutopic endometrium. ARID1A, play the role as a “gatekeeper,” and “caretaker” as it maintains the genomic stability by preventing sequence mutations and structural aberrations in chromosomes [42]. ARID1 genes interact with SWI/SNF complexes and play an important role chromosome remodelling. Its regulates gene expression by controlling gene accessibility [43]. Chandler, et al. demonstrated that ARID-DNA interactions promotes to SWI/SNF activity in mouse embryos. If the mutation presents in this gene the ARID1A-DNA interactions became disrupted. This results in a decrease in promoter occupancy by SWI/SNF [44]. Mutation in ARID1B gene is associated with pancreatic cancer and other cancer [45]. Luchini, et al. [46] demonstrated that ARID1A loss promotes cancer-specific mortality, and also help to recurrence of cancer. They conclude that this gene should be considered as an important potential target for personalized medicine in cancer treatment. Some research suggested that ARID1A-mutated cancer may also be treated by targeting residual SWI/SNF activity, the PI3K/AKT pathway, the tumor immunomolecular microenvironment, stabilizing wild-type p53 and by targeting the DNA damage response [47]. Recently it has been found that mutated ARID1A also associated with defects in DNA repair [48]. Such mutations can be another possible target of PARP1 to treat cancer.

**Targeted therapies for BRCA mutation carrier’s**

DNA damage response genes play vital roles in the maintenance of genome stability. Defects in cell cycle checkpoint and DNA repair genes, especially mutation, are associated with a wide spectrum of Cancer. Several genes that participate in the DNA damage response. List of DDR genes is given in Table 1. Many of these genes act as a regulator of other genes implicated in the pathogenesis of cancer.

DNA damage response (DDR) and tumor evolution will help to understand the mechanisms of BRCA associated tumorigenesis, as well as the development of therapeutic approaches. Sequencing of panels of relevant genes for clinical use, as well as whole exome and whole genome sequencing for research purposes become increases now as because the genomic sequencing technologies have drastically decreased in cost. It is likely that eventually all patients will have their tumors and matched germ line sequenced. In addition to directly identifying patients with germ line and somatic mutations in well-known DDR genes, it may be possible to identify patients...
with tumors that have a \textit{BRCA}nness phenotype. Mutational profile can be established using a whole-exome sequencing approach [49]. A diagnostic company announced approval from the U.S. FDA on the same day for their \textit{BRAC} Analysis CDs diagnostic kit (http://www.myriadpro.com/) to be used as the only companion diagnostic in conjunction with olaparib. \textit{BRAC} Analysis CDx is this company’s first FDA-approved companion diagnostic for use with a novel \textit{PARP} inhibitor. It is a highly accurate molecular companion diagnostic test that identifies deleterious or suspected deleterious mutations in \textit{BRCA}1 and \textit{BRCA}2 genes using DNA obtained from a blood sample.

Mafficini, et al. in 2016 [50] describe the somatic and germ line mutations detection of \textit{BRCA}1 and \textit{BRCA}2 using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues. They use next-generation sequencing (NGS) to detection the variation in those genes. They successfully established that the next generation sequencing performed with a commercial kit (HR1, 4 Bases) is suitable for not only germ line but also somatic mutations in these genes [49]. This method may be the tool of choice for the early detection of cancer due to its ability to perform massively parallel DNA sequencing. However this protocol has a limitation on single molecule tagging, low sensitivity and cannot be useful for low quality DNA sequencing. Due to the high costing, it is not feasible to implement this method in low or middle income country.

Waddell, et al. [51] recently published a study in which whole genome sequencing and copy number variation analysis was done on 100 prospectively collected pancreatic cancer specimens. This group reported a roughly similar prevalence of germ line \textit{BRCA} mutations as Holter, et al [34], and they in addition found germ line and somatic mutations in eight genes in DNA-damage repair pathways (including \textit{BRCA}1/2). Importantly, they observed that in case of 14% of patients having \textit{BRCA} mutation, were more likely to have an unstable pattern of genomic structural variation. They proven that the enormous overlap among the mutation status (germ line and somatic) of the eight recognized \textit{BRCA}-pathway genes, the genomically unstable subtype, and a previously defined \textit{BRCA}-mutational signature [52]. In addition they showed that the tumors with those DRR deficits had been related to platinum based therapy. These findings suggest that there may be a class of sporadic pancreatic cancer that share a so-referred to as \textit{BRCA}nness phenotype with germ line mutant tumors, arising from DNA repair defects due to a compromised DNA restore via homologous recombination [53]. These outcomes suggest that it is able to be feasible to use genomic biomarkers of defective DNA maintenance to identify a larger group of patients with \textit{BRCA}nness that would advantage from remedies targeting DDR pathways.

Targeted therapy based on inhibiting the DNA damage response (DDR) is a greater therapeutic strategy to treat patients with tumors lacking specific DDR functions. Mark J. O’Connor in 2015 describes the different concepts behind targeting DDR in cancer and the significant opportunities for DDR-based therapies. The most prominent example is the invention of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors on \textit{BRCA}1- or \textit{BRCA}2-defective tumors, which takes advantage of the defects in DNA repair in cancer cells. [54]. Holter, et al [34] describe their systematic investigation of the prevalence rates of infective germ line mutations in an exceedingly range of DNA damage response (DDR) genes in patients with pancreatic cancer. Together with a lot of research on prevalence rates, the studies jointly offer insight into clinical predictors which will be most relevant for determinate those patients to check for these mutations. Testing for \textit{BRCA}1 and \textit{BRCA}2 mutations in breast and ovarian cancer has become routine in those deemed as high risk by virtue of case history. Whereas patients with pancreatic cancer with \textit{BRCA} mutations measure smaller in range, these patients also are in an exceedingly position to benefit from treatment. These treatments are the platinum-based chemotherapy agents and the newer class of drugs known as poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors [35]. PARP inhibition leads to transformation of single-strand breaks into double-strand breaks that are cytotoxic in cells and renders them unable to be repaired through homologous repair. \textit{BRCA} mutated cells of pancreatic cell lines are sensitive to cisplatin, mitomycin, and \textit{PARP} inhibitors. While DNA defects are often a step in the process of tumourigenis, once a cell becomes cancerous, such defects may be exploitable to enhance susceptibility to chemotherapy agents. It is well accepted that \textit{BRCA}1 deficiency leads to the dysregulation of DNA repair pathways, which in turn renders tumour cells more vulnerable to DNA damaging agents. The \textit{PARP} family of enzymes serves a vital role in the repair of single-stranded DNA breaks (SSBs). Normally, unrepaired SSBs lead to double-strand breaks (DSBs), which are subsequently repaired in cells with normal \textit{BRCA} function. However, in cells where \textit{BRCA} is nonfunctioning or deficient, DSB are left unrepaired, leading to genomic instability and cell death).

Identification of patients with mutations in DDR genes is becomes a great interest to evaluate whether or not these can respond higher to targeted treatment methods. Research and development in this area have faced significant challenges to lack of physician awareness on such cancer association with \textit{BRCA}1/2 mutations, small incidence rate, and no standard methodology to selection of patients for genetic testing, disease aggressiveness progression that limits opportunity for genetic testing. Olaparib is an oral poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor with activity in germ line \textit{BRCA}1 and \textit{BRCA}2 (\textit{BRCA}1/2) associated cancer. Some clinical trial shows interest to establish the role of olaparib for the treatment of ovarian and pancreatic cancer in \textit{BRCA}-mutation carriers. Kaye, et al. in 2012 suggested that 400 mg twice per day of Olaparib is a suitable for the patients [55].

Velparib is a potent oral irreversible small molecule inhibitor of \textit{PARP} 1 and 2 which are evaluated in non-randomized trials in combination with cisplatin-based therapy in previously treated and also untreated patients with known \textit{BRCA} or \textit{PALB}2 mutations [56]. They observed that very high level of activity within the patients. Their survival rate also very impressive, whereas no significant activity was observed in non-\textit{BRCA}-mutated patients. This is the first prospective randomized trial of this combination is underway in \textit{BRCA}/\textit{PALB}2-mutated patients with stage III/IV disease.

\textbf{Conclusion}

If a biomarker for DDR-deficient tumors was validated based on the genomic scar or mutational footprint, tremendous quantity of sufferers is probably identified to enroll in medical trials of particular platinum marketers and/or \textit{PARP} inhibitors, in addition to some of different small molecules in improvement focused on DDR pathways. Because the scientific use of genomic sequencing turns into more useful and hence there will be a great deal to study concerning
differential sensitivities of diverse DDR mutations, which include somatic as well as germ line mutations, effects of mutations in one of a kind contributors of the same pathways, effects of cooperating mutations, and new mechanisms of treatment resistance. For now, however, clinical trials have focused on a narrow subset of patients with germ line BRCA1/2 mutations. Screening of patients with PDAC for germ line BRCA1/2 mutations could increase clinical trial enrolment for this devastating disorder that has been devoid of personalized therapeutic opportunities. The importance of BRCA1/2 mutation status, provide genetic counselling and are capable of imposing new treatment strategy for relevant cancers cannot be stressed enough.
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