Insulin resistance and associated factors: a cross-sectional study of bank employees
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OBJECTIVE: Insulin resistance is characterized by the failure of target cells to respond to normal levels of circulating insulin, and this condition is related to cardiovascular disease. This study sought to evaluate the prevalence of insulin resistance and its association with markers of metabolic abnormalities and metabolic syndrome in bank employees.

METHODS: A cross-sectional study was performed on 498 working men and women aged ≥20 years old. The Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA-IR) was used to determine the presence of insulin resistance based on cut-off values of ≤2.71 for normal insulin levels and >2.71 for insulin resistance, as established for the adult Brazilian population.

RESULTS: It was observed that the 52 (10.4%) overweight individuals with insulin resistance were 4.97 times (95%CI 1.31-18.83) more likely to have high HOMA-IR values than the normal-weight participants; among those who were obese, the likelihood increased to 17.87 (95%CI 4.36-73.21). Individuals with large waist circumferences were 3.27 times (95%CI 1.03-10.38) more likely to develop insulin resistance than those who were within normal parameters. The HOMA-IR values differed between subjects with and without metabolic syndrome, with values of 2.83 ± 2.5 and 1.10 ± 0.81 (p=0.001), respectively. The levels of insulin, ultrasensitive C-reactive protein and uric acid were also associated with insulin resistance.

CONCLUSION: The prevalence of insulin resistance among bank employees is high, and insulin resistance is associated with and serves as a marker of metabolic syndrome. Cardiovascular disease and metabolic syndrome-associated metabolic abnormalities were observed, and insulin resistance may be a risk factor in this group of professionals.
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INTRODUCTION

Insulin resistance (IR) is characterized by the failure of target cells, including adipose, pancreas, skeletal muscle and liver tissues, to respond to normal levels of circulating insulin. IR occurs because of genetic, nutritional and metabolic disorders (1) and results in compensatory hyperinsulinaemia in an attempt to obtain a proper physiological response (2).

The metabolic disorders that occur in IR are involved in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (type 2 DM), and when associated with dyslipidaemia, obesity and hypertension (AH), these conditions constitute metabolic syndrome (MS) (3). MS is characterized by a complex network of nutritional and metabolic disorders, including inflammation, oxidative stress, vitamin D deficiency, anaemia and high levels of C reactive protein (CRP) (3,4).

MS has been the subject of increasing concern worldwide because it is related to an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) (3,5), which may be linked to IR because of increased visceral fat deposition (6).

Classically, the gold standard for measuring IR is the euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamp; however, its use in large populations is limited because it is invasive, costly and complex (7). Accordingly, simpler methods can be used to identify individuals with IR. In 1985, Mattheus et al. (8) published the Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA), which shows a strong correlation with clamp results (9) and has been validated for epidemiological studies by several authors;
however, this method is still not recommended for use in clinical practice (7).

As IR is a multifactorial condition that includes an increase in inflammatory markers, changes in lipid metabolism and changes in the intestinal microbiota, which are all interconnected to different degrees, early identification of this metabolic change presents the possibility of disease prevention and improved quality of life (10).

Bank employees are a group of workers with high occupational stress (11), who are at increased risk of CVD (12-14) and present with a high occupational illness risk due to their extremely stressful daily working life and changes in the labour market (12,15). Moreover, this group represents a variety of population classes and workers. In large Brazilian cities, bank employees constitute a large category of young adults and middle-aged middle-class individuals in the service sector, and their jobs are threatened by rapid advances in automation. This study aimed to assess the prevalence of IR and its association with markers of metabolic abnormalities and MS in bank employees.

METHODS

Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (number 059/08) of the Centre for Health Sciences, Federal University of Espírito Santo, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Study population

We conducted an observational cross-sectional study of employees, aged 20 to 64 years old, at a state-owned banking network located in southeastern Brazil. Data were collected from August 2008 to August 2009.

The sample size was calculated to estimate the prevalence of MS in a population of 1,410 bank employees. We used simple random sampling for a prevalence of 20% with a 3% error and a significance level of 95%. The 1.5 design effect was considered to offset the correlations among individuals at the same agency. Quotas were established for the type of work (general direction and agency), sex and age. Therefore, the minimum sample size was 461 bank employees. Because of a possible low response rate, 525 bank employees were invited to participate. Data collection was performed at the workplace, and the employee was relieved of his or her duties during data collection. Socioeconomic status was determined according to the Brazilian Economic Classification. Ethnicity was determined by self-classification as black, brown, white, yellow or indigenous, according to the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics ethnicity categories.

Definition of Insulin resistance

IR quantification was assessed using the HOMA-IR index calculated according to the formula developed by Matthews et al. (17): HOMA-IR=IF (U / mL) x FG (mmol / L) / 22.5, where IF corresponds to insulin fasting and FG to fasting glucose. The cut-off used was proposed by Geloneze et al. (17): HOMA-IR=IF (U / mL) x FG (mmol / L) / 22.5. The cut-off used was proposed by Gelenze et al. (17) as a reference for the adult Brazilian population; IR values $\leq 2.71$ are considered normal and free of resistance, while insulin resistance is defined as IR values $> 2.71$.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows, version 15.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, USA). The mean values were compared using the Student’s t-test for independent samples. When the normality was not verified by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, we performed the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test. To analyse differences in proportions, we used the chi-square test ($X^2$). The level of significance for all tests was set at 5%. After the bivariate analysis, logistic regression analysis was performed using the presence of MS as a dependent variable, according to the NCEP-ATP III. The crude and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) of the variables that entered the logistic regression model were calculated. All variables with $p < 0.20$ in the bivariate analysis were included.
in the regression model. In the final model, only the variables with \( p<0.05 \) are shown.

## RESULTS

Of the subjects in this study (\( n=498 \)), 52 (10.4\%) presented with IR according to the HOMA-IR index. Of these, most were in the 41–50-year age group, belonged to classes B and C, completed or were enrolled in third grade, were white and were married.

Table 1 shows the workplace characteristics, self-perceived health status, BMI, WC and blood pressure (BP) in relation to IR. There was a significant difference in the relationship between the participant’s variables and actual health status (\( p=0.028 \), BMI (\( p=0.001 \)) and BP (\( p=0.001 \)).

Biochemical, anthropometric and haemodynamic markers in individuals with and without IR are shown in Table 2. In the study population, higher mean values for glucose, fasting insulin, total cholesterol, triglycerides and uric acid and lower levels of HDL-c were observed in individuals diagnosed with IR. The mean levels of us-CRP were significantly higher in subjects with IR. Anthropometric indicators showed a similar behaviour for both analysed criteria;

### Table 1 - Characteristics related to work, self-perceived health status, anthropometric and haemodynamic profile and prevalence of insulin resistance in the studied sample, Vitória/ES-Brazil.

| Variables               | TOTAL N (%) | High N (%) | Normal N (%) | \( p \) value |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Worked hours            |             |            |              |              |
| \( \leq 6 \) hours      | 199 (40)    | 19 (36.5)  | 180 (40.4)   | 0.747        |
| 8 hours                 | 268 (53.8)  | 29 (55.8)  | 239 (53.6)   |              |
| \( > 8 \) hours         | 31 (6.2)    | 4 (7.7)    | 27 (6.1)     |              |
| Interval                |             |            |              |              |
| \( \leq 1 \) hour       | 196 (39.8)  | 18 (34.6)  | 178 (40.5)   | 0.416        |
| \( > 1 \) hour          | 296 (60.2)  | 34 (65.4)  | 262 (59.5)   |              |
| Time at the bank        |             |            |              |              |
| \( \leq 5 \) years      | 129 (26.1)  | 12 (23.1)  | 117 (26.4)   | 0.604        |
| \( > 5 \) years         | 366 (73.9)  | 40 (76.9)  | 326 (73.6)   |              |
| Office                  |             |            |              |              |
| General direction       | 277 (55.6)  | 27 (51.9)  | 250 (56.1)   | 0.570        |
| Agencies                | 221 (44.4)  | 25 (48.1)  | 196 (43.9)   |              |
| Self-perceived health   |             |            |              |              |
| Very good               | 122 (24.5)  | 10 (19.2)  | 112 (25.2)   | 0.028        |
| Good                    | 292 (58.8)  | 29 (55.8)  | 263 (59.1)   |              |
| Regular                 | 70 (14.1)   | 8 (15.4)   | 62 (13.9)    |              |
| Poor                    | 13 (2.6)    | 5 (9.6)    | 8 (1.8)      |              |
| Physical activity       |             |            |              | 0.893        |
| Active                  | 138 (27.7)  | 14 (26.9)  | 124 (27.8)   |              |
| Sedentary               | 360 (72.3)  | 38 (73.1)  | 322 (72.2)   |              |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))        |             |            |              | 0.001        |
| Low weight              | 8 (1.6)     | 0 (0.0)    | 8 (1.8)      |              |
| Eutrophic               | 223 (44.8)  | 3 (5.8)    | 220 (49.3)   |              |
| Overweight              | 181 (36.3)  | 20 (38.5)  | 161 (36.1)   |              |
| Obesity                 | 86 (17.3)   | 29 (55.8)  | 57 (12.8)    |              |
| WC (cm)                 |             |            |              | 0.001        |
| Normal                  | 241 (48.5)  | 5 (9.6)    | 236 (53.0)   |              |
| High                    | 256 (51.5)  | 47 (90.4)  | 209 (49.0)   |              |
| BP (mmHg)               |             |            |              | 0.001        |
| Amended                 | 132 (26.5)  | 25 (48.1)  | 107 (27)     |              |
| Normal                  | 366 (73.5)  | 27 (51.9)  | 339 (76)     |              |

\( N=498 \), different values from the mean data loss
Chi-square test
HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment; BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; and BP: blood pressure.

therefore, the average weight values, WC and BMI were higher among IR subjects. Haemodynamic indicators were also associated with higher average SBP and DBP levels in the group with a HOMA-IR index above the cut-off point.

Table 3 shows the crude and adjusted OR values of the variables in the logistic regression model. The overweight individuals showed a 4.97-fold (95\%CI 1.31-18.83) higher risk of having a higher-than-normal HOMA-IR; among those who were obese, the risk increased to 17.87 times higher (95\%CI 4.36-73.21). Furthermore, the subjects with a large WC were identified as 3.27-fold (95\%CI 1±0 to 10:38) more likely to develop IR than those with WCs within normal parameters.

Regarding the relationship between the HOMA-IR value and the presence or absence of conditions related to MS among bank employees, according to the NCEP-ATP III criteria, we observed values of 83 ± 2.5 for subjects with MS and 1.10 ± 0.81 (\( p=0.001 \)) for those without MS. The index was significantly higher among subjects with low HDL-c (1.70 ± 1.86 mg/dL in the presence of IR and 1.22 ± 1.06 mg/dL in the absence of IR; \( p=0.005 \)), elevated blood pressure (1.69 ± 1.77 mmHg in the presence of IR and 1.14 ± 0.96 mmHg in the absence of IR; \( p=0.001 \)), abdominal obesity (2.43 ± 2.13 cm in the presence of IR and 1.06 ± 0.88 cm in the absence of IR; \( p=0.001 \)), high triglycerides (2.07 ± 1.89 mg/dL in the presence of IR and 1.18 ± 1.17 mg/dL in the absence of IR; \( p=0.001 \)) and increased glucose levels (3.33 ± 2.92 mg/dL in the presence of IR and 1.30 ± 1.24 mg/dL in the absence of IR; \( p=0.001 \)) for both measurement systems.

Figure 1 shows the increasing trend of the HOMA-IR index with the aggregation of MS components. This trend was most intense in the presence of four components of the syndrome.

## DISCUSSION

IR is closely related to cardiovascular risk factors (21). In this context, the early identification of this metabolic change allows for disease prevention and improved quality of life (2).

In recent decades, there have been profound changes in work processes, particularly at banks, which were the subject of this study. Among these changes, corporations, mergers, privatization and outsourcing have led to a sharp reduction in the market for banking, which has led to job elimination, agency overlap, management restructuring, job mergers, and the intensive use of information technology (22). Instability and unpredictability have made jobs, especially those in the state-owned financial institutions that were once believed to provide employment for life, acquire a transitory nature as portrayed by Codo (2004) (23). Professionals in this type of work environment experience a syndrome caused by empty and meaningless work.

Therefore, the organizational changes in the banking field have resulted in the accumulation of physical and cognitive overload, unemployment, precariousness in labour relations, and illness. These factors have led to a substantial increase in the risk of acute and chronic disorders of the cardiovascular system in this population, including IR, DM, MS and other cardiovascular diseases (24).

Regarding the presence of these risk factors in the study population, IR was present in 52 (10.4\%) individuals, a proportion lower than that identified in rural populations (25). Studies of IR in workers are scarce in the literature, although
Table 2 - Biochemical, anthropometric, and haemodynamic indicators in individuals with and without insulin resistance, Vitória/ES-Brazil.

| Variables                        | Normal* (N = 446) | High** (N = 52) | p value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                  | Mean   | SD    | Median | P25    | P75    | Mean   | SD    | Median | P25 | P75 |
| us-CRP (mg/dL)                   | 2.82   | 4.91  | 1.50   | 0.67   | 3.22   | 4.47   | 5.25 | 2.71   | 1.25 | 6.35 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)   | 85.60  | 14.00 | 83.00  | 78.00  | 100.56 | 110.56 | 110.56   | 105.00 | 120.00   | 115.00 |
| Fasting insulin (mUI/ml)         | 4.86   | 2.79  | 4.10   | 2.60   | 5.60   | 7.17   | 7.63 | 5.15   | 4.00 | 9.75 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)        | 190.30 | 35.99 | 189.00 | 165.00 | 212.00 | 206.08 | 41.76 | 213.00 | 172.00 | 234.00 |
| HDL-c (mg/dL)                    | 49.62  | 13.35 | 46.00  | 39.20  | 58.00  | 43.48  | 10.33 | 41.50  | 36.80 | 47.50 |
| LDL-c (mg/dL)                    | 120.09 | 54.56 | 116.95 | 94.40  | 138.60 | 124.14 | 36.20 | 124.45 | 93.90 | 153.10 |
| VLDL-c (mg/dL)                   | 22.64  | 12.01 | 19.80  | 15.00  | 27.60  | 17.80  | 32.25 | 30.10  | 24.20 | 43.60 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)            | 117.70 | 81.02 | 99.00  | 74.00  | 140.00 | 195.52 | 131.33 | 153.50 | 122.00 | 226.00 |
| Uric acid (mg/dl)                | 5.57   | 3.65  | 5.20   | 4.20   | 6.40   | 6.32   | 1.78  | 6.20   | 4.90  | 7.40 |
| Weight (kg)                      | 71.47  | 15.17 | 70.00  | 59.80  | 80.60  | 87.78  | 13.89 | 87.55  | 79.60 | 96.55 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 25.24  | 4.13  | 24.70  | 22.30  | 27.53  | 31.47  | 5.02  | 31.05  | 28.14 | 35.25 |
| WC (cm)                          | 87.04  | 12.38 | 87.00  | 78.00  | 95.00  | 102.13 | 11.29 | 102.50 | 95.50 | 110.00 |
| SBP (mmHg)                       | 125.14 | 17.09 | 124.00 | 113.00 | 136.00 | 136.97 | 19.92 | 137.25 | 122.00 | 149.00 |
| DBP (mmHg)                       | 79.34  | 11.39 | 78.50  | 71.00  | 86.00  | 85.90  | 11.59 | 84.50  | 77.25 | 92.75 |

Values are given as the mean and SD (standard deviation), median, and 25th and 75th percentiles.
* N = 446
** N = 52
Mann-Whitney test
*** Student’s t-test
HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment; us-CRP: C-reactive protein ultrasensitive; HDL-c: high-density lipoprotein; LDL-c: low-density lipoprotein; VLDL-C: very-low-density lipoprotein; BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; SBP: systolic blood pressure; and DBP: diastolic blood pressure.

Table 3 - Regression results, gross and adjusted for insulin resistance, Vitória/ES-Brazil.

| Variables                          | Statistical analysis |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                    | Chi-square           | Multivariate analysis |
|                                    | p value | OR | CI 95% | P value (β beta) | adjusted OR | CI 95% |
| Physical activity                  | 0.893    | 0.879 |        |                  |             |        |
| Active                             | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| Sedentary                          | 1.04     | 0.548-1.996 | 1.06 | 0.502-2.240 |
| Worked hours                       | 0.747    | 0.389 |        |                  |             |        |
| ≤ 6 hours                          | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| 8 hours                            | 0.87     | 0.473-1.601 | 0.177 | 0.28            | 0.047-1.758 |
| > 8 hours                          | 0.71     | 0.225-2.254 | 0.367 | 0.37            | 0.044-3.159 |
| Time at the bank                   | 0.604    | 0.220 |        |                  |             |        |
| ≤ 5 years                          | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| > 5 years                          | 0.84     | 0.424-1.648 | 0.60 | 0.268-1.354 |
| Office                             | 0.570    | 0.985 |        |                  |             |        |
| General direction                  | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| Agencies                           | 1.18     | 0.664-2.099 | 1.00 | 0.510-1.986 |
| Interval                           | 0.416    | 0.124 |        |                  |             |        |
| < 1 hour                           | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| ≥ 1 hour                           | 1.28     | 0.703-2.344 | 4.11 | 0.680-24.836 |
| How do you consider your own health? | 0.028   | 0.276 |        |                  |             |        |
| Very good                          | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| Good                               | 1.23     | 0.582-2.620 | 0.72 | 0.303-1.705 |
| Regular                            | 1.44     | 0.542-3.851 | 0.49 | 0.161-1.509 |
| Poor                               | 7.00     | 1.925-25.458 | 2.03 | 0.447-9.216 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                        | 0.001    | 0.000 |        |                  |             |        |
| Eutrophic                          | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| Overweight                         | 8.28     | 2.418-28.365 | 0.018 | 4.97            | 1.314-18.830 |
| Obesity                            | 33.92    | 9.968-115.415 | 0.000 | 17.87           | 4.362-73.212 |
| WC (cm)                            | 0.001    | 0.044 |        |                  |             |        |
| Normal                             | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| High                               | 10.61    | 4.144-27.189 | 3.28 | 1.035-10.381 |
| BP (mmHg)                          | 0.001    | 0.075 |        |                  |             |        |
| Normal                             | 1        | 1    |        |                  |             |        |
| Adjusted                           | 2.93     | 1.633-5.270 | 1.84 | 0.941-3.614 |

ORs were adjusted to the other variables using logistic regression with 95% CIs for the presence of IR based on the HOMA index.
HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; and BP: blood pressure.
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The presence of IR (HOMA-IR) according to the number of components of metabolic syndrome present in bank employees, Vitória/ES-Brazil.

Figure 1 - The presence of IR (HOMA-IR) according to the number of components of metabolic syndrome present in bank employees, Vitória/ES-Brazil.

a population-based study conducted in Spain identified higher proportions of IR (29.9%) in patients with a mean age of 42 years (26).

The present study showed an association between BMI and WC with IR; there was also a high number of bank employees who were overweight or obese. Obesity is consistently associated with a set of metabolic diseases, including hypertension, atherosclerosis, dyslipidaemia, and type 2 DM, which commonly occur with MS (1,2,14,27,28). Furthermore, MS is characterized by hyperinsulinaemia and different IR intensities, which explains the relationship between various abnormalities and obesity (2,27). A study of the distribution of HOMA-IR values among different BMI categories for the Brazilian population showed that overweight and obese individuals had significantly higher HOMA-IR values than people with a normal weight (27), which was also demonstrated in this study.

Both weight gain and the distribution of body fat seem to greatly influence the abnormalities associated with obesity, IR and the glucose and lipid profiles; in particular, free fatty acids and their metabolites are more commonly detected in individuals who have central obesity (6). In the current study, over 50% of the individuals presented with a WC outside the normal range; in addition, individuals with a high WC and BMI showed a higher risk of developing IR compared to those with parameters within the normal range. Vasques et al. (2009) (2), in an evaluation of the ability of anthropometric indicators and body composition to identify IR, showed that WC demonstrated the best identification ability. Changes in lipid metabolism in individuals with IR are primarily triggered by excess circulating fatty acids derived from visceral adipose tissue. The insulin sensitivity of muscle tissue is also reduced by the excessive level of fatty acids due to the inhibition of glucose uptake, both from the mobilization of body fat and the presence of dyslipidaemia (low HDL-c and TC, LDL-c, VLDL-c and high triglycerides). Furthermore, hyperglycaemia and excess fatty acids can result in hyperinsulinemia (10,29).

In addition to the excess body fat identified in the present study, there was an association between blood pressure and IR. Insulin promotes renal sodium reabsorption, and hyperinsulinaemia is expected to exacerbate that action. In the IR state, this retention effect is maintained in the kidneys, and insulin sensitivity of the proximal tubular cells is preserved. Comparisons of subjects with and without MS have shown that patients with MS have significantly increased proximal sodium reabsorption (30). The Westernized diet, which is rich in fat and salt, seems to mainly contribute to the IR process because urinary sodium excretion, which indicates the dietary consumption of sodium, is increased in MS subjects (31).

When comparing subjects with and without MS, which does not require a direct measurement of IR, the HOMA-IR values were significantly higher among individuals with MS, which has also been reported by other authors (32,33). It should be noted that this study found an association between the components of the modified MS and HOMA-IR. The results of other studies of the general population indicate that the number of individuals with a high HOMA-IR increases with the evolution of the five components of MS (34). A population study assessing workers who performed manual labour and those who did not perform this type of work showed a relationship between IR and a higher prevalence of MS in the latter type of workers (35), which demonstrates that work activities may influence the results of metabolic studies.

In addition to the diagnostic criteria for MS, other metabolic biomarkers that were altered in the study population, such as uric acid and us-CRP, were associated with IR and MS. Similar results were previously found for uric acid (33,36) and us-CRP (37) in the general population and among workers (38).

Uric acid and high us-CRP are risk factors for the onset of type 2 DM and obesity (39), and high levels of these factors can identify individuals with an inflammatory condition (38).

Self-reported health conditions are not merely impressions related to actual health, and studies in this field in Brazil have verified the high reliability and validity of such reports (40). It should be noted that 75% (n=39) of the subjects presenting with IR reported a positive health status. This finding differs from that of the study by Peres et al. (2010) (40) in which smoking, obesity and a large WC were associated with a negative health self-assessment.

There are limitations to this study associated with the cross-sectional model, which may interfere with causal evaluations; however, there was sufficient information to observe associations and ORs for the data. The euglycaemic clamp method is considered the most reliable method for assessing IR; however, because it is expensive and invasive, we used the HOMA-IR as a simple, minimally invasive and inexpensive method that has been demonstrated as a useful tool for epidemiological studies (7,21).

The main strength of this study was the identification of the prevalence of IR in bank clerks, who share similar challenges and occupational hazards with millions of other workers because the service sector employs approximately 70% of the country’s workforce. Epidemiological studies involving insulin dosing and HOMA-IR calculation are rare and costly; therefore, such studies may advance knowledge in this area.

Our results show that the prevalence of IR among bank employees is high and that IR is associated with MS. CVD- and MS-associated metabolic abnormalities were also observed, which suggests that IR may serve as a risk factor in this group of professionals because IR precedes the development of type 2 DM and other metabolic changes. Considering the overall health status of these workers, our findings support the need to improve health promotion in banking workplaces, and the results may offer a significant contribution to occupational health.
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