Clinical update on cancer: molecular oncology of head and neck cancer
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Head and neck cancers encompass a heterogeneous group of tumours that, in general, are biologically aggressive in nature. These cancers remain difficult to treat and treatment can cause severe, long-term side effects. For patients who are not cured by surgery and/or (chemo)radiotherapy, there are few effective treatment options. Targeted therapies and predictive biomarkers are urgently needed in order to improve the management and minimise the treatment toxicity, and to allow selection of patients who are likely to benefit from both nonselective and targeted therapies. This clinical update aims to provide an insight into the current understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of the disease, and explores the novel therapies under development and in clinical trials.
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Facts

- Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is the sixth leading cause of cancer worldwide. Exposure to carcinogens (tobacco and alcohol) and infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) are the most common risk factors.
- The main molecular determinants in HNSCC are the abrogation of p53 and retinoblastoma (pRb) pathways that lead to uncontrolled cell replication.
- Mutations in EGFR-MEK, NOTCH, PI3K, PTEN and AKT pathways are frequently observed in HNSCC. These mutations cooperate to create aberrant mitogenic/survival signalling.
- Changes in metabolism and tumour hypoxia contribute to resistance to current therapies and tumour recurrence.

Open Questions

- Although HNSCC is a heterogeneous disease, the current molecular classification distinguishes only HPV-positive and HPV-negative tumours: further investigation to genetically classify HNSCC subgroups is needed.
- HNSCC metastasises primarily and frequently to regional lymph nodes (more rarely to other organs via haematogenous spread): genetic profiles should aid the identification of causative genes of metastasis.
- Radioreistance has been identified as an important cause of locoregional treatment failure, and identification of molecular mechanisms underpinning this could contribute to better treatment selection and outcome.
- The genetics of HNSCC is complex, especially of HPV-negative cancers: a detailed understanding of the molecular basis and identification of driving mutations and drugable targets should lead to personalised therapies.

Head and neck cancer accounts for ~4% of all malignancies worldwide and 5% mortality of all cancers,¹ and includes the following subsites: oral cavity, nasopharynx, oropharynx, hypopharynx, larynx, paranasal sinuses, nasal cavity and salivary glands. Over 90% are squamous cell carcinomas (head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)), arising from the epithelial cells that line the mucosal surfaces of the head and neck.

More than 75% of cases of HNSCC are attributable to smoking and alcohol consumption. Smoking increases the risk by ~10-fold compared with never smokers, and heavy alcohol intake is an independent risk factor.² The combined effect of tobacco and alcohol causes a greater than multiplicative risk.³ Public health measures have been successful in reducing the use of tobacco, and therefore the incidence of HNSCC overall has been decreasing over the past 30 years in developed countries. However, there has been a dramatic increase in the incidence rates of oropharyngeal (tonsil and base of tongue) cancers because of infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV).⁴,⁵
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At present, treatment of an individual cancer is typically determined in a multidisciplinary setting, with the histological subtype, subsite, staging information, patient fitness, baseline swallow and airway function guiding management decisions. Approximately one-third of patients present with early-stage disease and these patients are treated with either surgery or radiotherapy depending on the primary tumour site, with cure rates of 70–90%. The majority of patients, however, present with locally advanced stage disease. Radical treatment in this situation requires multimodality therapy with surgery, commonly followed by postoperative radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, or organ preserving primary radiotherapy, with or without chemotherapy, with reduced cosmetic compromise. These treatments are intensive and associated with severe acute toxicity, such as mucositis, dermatitis and dysphagia, and long-term sequelae, for example, sensorineural hearing loss, permanent xerostomia and altered swallowing function. Despite recent advances in both surgical and radiotherapy delivery techniques, up to 50% of locally advanced tumours relapse usually within the first 2 years after treatment, with limited options for salvage surgery or reirradiation. Several chemotherapy agents can be used for inoperable recurrences or metastatic disease, with response rates of only 10–35% and median survival of 6–12 months.

Beyond HPV status, no validated molecular characterisation of the disease has been established. However, preliminary work suggests the existence of several different molecular classes of HNSCC (basal, mesenchymal, atypical and classical), based on the biological characteristics of differentially expressed genes in each subtype. Genetic and molecular advances have revealed new genes and pathways involved in the development and progression of HNSCC, creating opportunities to explore novel therapeutic targets. HNSCC research has shifted to focus on biomarker discovery for diagnosis, prognosis and prediction of treatment response, alongside the development of targeted therapies, with the ultimate goal of personalising therapy for each individual patient.

**TP53/RB Pathway**

Tumour suppressor protein p53 plays a key role in the regulation of genes involved in cell cycle and growth arrest, DNA repair or apoptosis, thereby maintaining genomic stability. In response to DNA damage, p53 can arrest the cell cycle and activate repair or initiate apoptosis. p53 controls a significant spectrum of genes involved in various pathways; these include recently discovered biochemical pathways, such as the connection of IL-7Ra to telomere erosion, the metabolism of the cell, the silencing of repeats and noncoding RNA. This intense gene expression results in a very fine regulation of life, death or senescence. p53 level is regulated by mouse double minute 2 homolog (MDM2), an E3 ubiquitin protein ligase that binds to p53 and causes its degradation. MDM2 is inhibited by p14ARF that is encoded by the gene CDKN2A, protecting p53 from degradation. Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR) pathways sense DNA damage and phosphorylate the cell cycle checkpoint kinases CHK1 and CHK2, resulting in p53 activation. p53 transactivates a number of proteins with roles in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Together with p53, its more recently discovered family members, p63 and p73, have also been shown to play important roles in cell cycle regulation and apoptosis, and their link to various types of cancer including HNSCC is being investigated.

The tumour suppressor protein retinoblastoma (pRB) controls the expression of genes involved in cell cycle progression through the G1 restriction point. pRB binds and inhibits E2F transcription factors that induce expression of S-phase genes and cell proliferation. Mitogenic signals activate cyclin D1/CDK4/CDK6 complexes that phosphorylate pRb, resulting in the release of E2F. The cyclin D1-CDK4/6 complexes are inhibited by p16INK4A that is encoded by the gene CDKN2A, and also p21 ((cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1 (CDKN1)) that binds to the complexes and prevents them from phosphorylating pRb, thereby halting progression into S phase.

Mutations in p53 and pRB pathways result in limitless replicative potential and immortalisation. TP53 mutations can occur throughout the entire gene but the majority are because of a missense mutation in the DNA-binding domain. These mutations can result in a number of consequences including inhibition of function, tumour suppressor loss or occasionally gain of function. Mutation of the TP53 tumour suppressor gene is one of the earliest and most frequently detectable genetic alterations in HNSCC reported in 50–80% of cases, and can also be detected in premalignant dysplastic lesions and in histopathologically negative tumour surgical margins. A recent mutational screening in 12 types of cancer has revealed mutations of p53 in 69.8% of HNSCC (Figure 1). From this analysis, HNSCC appears the most common p53 mutation-carrying cancer type after ovarian cancer and lung squamous cell carcinoma. Increased TP53 mutation rate is associated with tobacco and alcohol use in HNSCC and also with increased risk of progression to cancer. In p53 wild-type tumours, p53 function may be inactivated by other mechanisms, such as HPV infection, overexpression or amplification of MDM2 and deletion of the p14ARF gene.

pRB is targeted early in the carcinogenesis of HNSCC through inactivation of the tumour-suppressive CDKN2A gene, with mutations seen in 7–9% and copy number losses in a further 20–30% of cases. The CCND1 gene, which encodes cyclin D1 on chromosome 11q13, is amplified or overexpressed in over 80% of HNSCC. TP53 mutation, loss of p16INK4A and overexpression of cyclin D1 are all associated with reduced survival. In addition, TP53 mutation is predictive of poor response to chemotherapy and locoregional recurrence following radiotherapy. Restoring or modulating p53 as targeted therapy has been an area of intensive research for decades, with limited success. Only one phase III study has been completed using adenosvir p53 gene therapy in HNSCC. This showed that patients with wild-type p53 had better response to Ad-p53 gene therapy, whereas mutant p53 patients responded better to methotrexate chemotherapy, suggesting a potential of p53 profile as predictive biomarker of response to specific type of therapy. p53-reactivating small molecules are currently under investigation in HNSCC cell lines, and other
strategies include targeting CDKN2A to reactivate p16\(^{INK4A}\) and CDK inhibitors. A phase I study of a CDK inhibitor in combination with radiation has recently completed recruitment (NCT00899054, Table 1).

**Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) Pathway**

EGFR (ErbB1) is a member of the ErbB/HER2 family of transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinases. Other members include HER2 (ErbB2), ErbB3 and ErbB4 and they play a major role in cell proliferation, differentiation, survival and migration. EGFR is composed of an extracellular ligand-binding domain, a transmembrane segment and a cytoplasmic domain with tyrosine kinase activity. It is activated by a number of ligands including EGF, transforming growth factor-\(\alpha\) and amphiregulin. Ligand binding results in a conformational change in EGFR and homo- or hetero-dimerisation with other ErbB family members, leading to autophosphorylation and receptor activation. This results in the activation of downstream signal transduction cascades including the Ras/Raf/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT and Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathways.\(^{34}\) The EGF-bound EGFR can also translocate to the nucleus to function as a transcription factor. One of the nuclear targets is \(CCND1\) that encodes cyclin D1 protein involved in cell cycle progression (Figure 2).\(^{35}\)

EGFR protein is detected by immunohistochemistry in over 90% of HNSCC cases. EGFR overexpression is mainly at the transcriptional level as there are few EGFR-activating mutations in HNSCC.\(^{36}\) Approximately 10–30% of HNSCC display \(EGFR\) gene amplification, and \(EGFR\) point mutations are reported in only 1–7% of patients.\(^{37,38}\) A mutant form of EGFR, EGFRvIII, resulting from an in-frame deletion of exons 2–7 in the extracellular domain, has been reported in 42% of HNSCC.\(^{38}\) The intensity of expression, as assessed by immunohistochemistry, has been shown to indicate poor prognosis, as has \(EGFR\) gene copy number.\(^{30,41}\) However, the gene copy number has not been found to be a predictive biomarker of efficacy with EGFR-directed therapy,\(^{41}\) unlike specific mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer.

EGFR can be targeted either by inhibition of the extracellular ligand binding using monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), such as cetuximab, or by inhibition of the tyrosine kinase domain with a small molecule (TKIs), such as gefitinib, erlotinib and lapatinib. Cetuximab is a chimeric human–murine IgG1 mAb directed specifically against EGFR, resulting in inhibition of cell cycle progression, angiogenesis and metastasis, induction of apoptosis and synergy with radiotherapy and chemotherapy. It remains the only FDA-approved and European Medicines Agency-approved targeted therapy in HNSCC and its use is not dependent on EGFR status. It is used in combination with radiotherapy in locally advanced HNSCC, in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy and 5-fluorouracil for first-line treatment of recurrent/metastatic disease, and as a single agent in recurrent/metastatic disease after failure of platinum-based chemotherapy.\(^{42,43}\)

Skin toxicity is a common side effect with cetuximab treatment and this clinical feature has been suggested as a biomarker for response to cetuximab, with response rates of 33% observed in patients with skin rash compared with 7% in those who do not develop skin toxicities.\(^{44}\) Panitumumab is a fully humanised mAb against EGFR in use in colorectal cancer. In HNSCC, a phase III trial of panitumumab in combination with chemotherapy did not show an improvement in survival, although retrospective analysis showed that median overall survival in p16 (surrogate marker for HPV)-negative patients was longer in the panitumumab group than in the control group.\(^{45}\) Other promising mAbs currently in phase III trials include zalutumumab and nimotuzumab (NCT00496652 and NCT00957086). Despite the high expression of EGFR in HNSCC, EGFR inhibition with mAbs has only a modest effect. Preclinical studies investigating resistance to EGFR inhibition have suggested mechanisms such as increased nuclear localisation of EGFR, cross-talk of EGFR with other receptor tyrosine kinases, such as HER2 and ErbB3, as well as upregulation of these receptors and their ligands.\(^{46}\)

TKIs block the activation and phosphorylation of EGFR, and these drugs are given orally as they are well absorbed across the gastrointestinal tract. Gefitinib and erlotinib, currently used in lung cancer, inhibit only EGFR and have not been shown to be efficacious in HNSCC to date. Because of the potential resistance mechanisms, TKIs that have action against multiple ErbB family receptors are under investigation. Lapatinib has dual specificity for EGFR and HER2 and is in use in breast cancer. In HNSCC trials, it has shown activity in p16-negative tumours in combination with chemoradiation,\(^{47}\) and is currently being evaluated in the recurrent/metastatic setting in combination with capецitabine chemotherapy (NCT010444433), and in a phase III adjuvant trial (NCT 00424255). Afatinib irreversibly blocks EGFR, HER2 and ErbB4 and is being investigated in the recurrent/metastatic, neoadjuvant and adjuvant settings (NCT 01856478, NCT01538381 and NCT01345669).

**NOTCH Pathway**

NOTCH1 signalling is involved in a number of biological functions, including regulation of self-renewal capacity,
survival and promoting terminal differentiation. The NOTCH pathways consist of four receptors bound to the cell membrane, NOTCH 1–4, and two families of ligands, Delta-like (1, 3 and 4) and Jagged (1 and 2). Ligand binding leads to two cleavages of NOTCH1 by TNFα-converting enzyme (TACE) and γ-secretase, resulting in the release of NOTCH1 intracellular domain (NCID). NCID translocates to the nucleus to promote transcription of its target genes, including the HRT and HES families. NOTCH1 is regulated partly by ubiquitination and degradation that involves FBXW7.48

One of the novel findings generated from whole-exome sequencing was the discovery that the second most common mutation in HNSCC is in the NOTCH1 gene, accounting for 14–15%, with mutations in the other NOTCH family members occurring in 3–5% of HNSCC.20,27 Mutations in the FBXW7 gene were also identified in 5% of cases that have not been previously observed in HNSCC.20 Recent integrated analysis has identified the NOTCH pathway to be defective in 66% of HNSCC patients. Along with the mutations in NOTCH itself, chromosomal aberrations were frequent in JAG1, JAG2, MUMB and MAML1, all of which are involved in modulating NOTCH signalling.49 NOTCH1 signalling has been reported to be oncogenic, as activating mutations and translocations were found in NOTCH receptor genes in haematological malignancies.50 However, in HNSCC, the majority were nonsense mutations, predicted to result in truncated NOTCH1 proteins lacking the transcriptional activation domains, therefore suggesting a tumour-suppressor role for this pathway in HNSCC.

One of the novel findings generated from whole-exome sequencing was the discovery that the second most common mutation in HNSCC is in the NOTCH1 gene, accounting for 14–15%, with mutations in the other NOTCH family members occurring in 3–5% of HNSCC.20,27 Mutations in the FBXW7 gene were also identified in 5% of cases that have not been previously observed in HNSCC.20 Recent integrated analysis has identified the NOTCH pathway to be defective in 66% of HNSCC patients. Along with the mutations in NOTCH itself, chromosomal aberrations were frequent in JAG1, JAG2, MUMB and MAML1, all of which are involved in modulating NOTCH signalling.49 NOTCH1 signalling has been reported to be oncogenic, as activating mutations and translocations were found in NOTCH receptor genes in haematological malignancies.50 However, in HNSCC, the majority were nonsense mutations, predicted to result in truncated NOTCH1 proteins lacking the transcriptional activation domains, therefore suggesting a tumour-suppressor role for this pathway in HNSCC.

### Table 1: Targeted therapies in HNSCC

| Type of drug | Drug | Target | Stage of development | NCT number |
|--------------|------|--------|----------------------|------------|
| Adenovirus gene therapy | Advexin | p53 | Phase III | NCT00064103 |
| | ONXY-015 | p53 | Approved in China | N/A |
| CDK inhibitor | P276-00 | pRb | Phase II | NCT0089954 |
| Monoclonal antibody | Cetuximab | EGFR | In clinical use | N/A |
| | Panitumumab | EGFR | Phase II | NCT00756444 |
| | | | | NCT00454779 |
| | | | | NCT00820248 |
| | Zalutumumab | EGFR | Phase III | NCT00496652 |
| | Nimotuzumab | EGFR | Phase III | NCT00957086 |
| | Bevacizumab | VEGFR | Phase II | NCT01588431 |
| Tyrosine kinase inhibitor | Gefitinib | EGFR | Phase III | NCT00206219 |
| | | | | NCT00684385 |
| | Erlotinib | EGFR | Phase II | NCT01064479 |
| | Lapatinib | EGFR, HER2 | Phase III | NCT00424255 |
| | Afatinib | EGFR, HER2, ErbB4 | Phase III | NCT01856478 |
| | | | | NCT01345669 |
| | | | | NCT01345682 |
| | Sorafenib | VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, Raf, PDGFR | Phase II | NCT00939627 |
| | Sunitinib | VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, PDGFR, RET, c-KIT | Phase II | NCT0387335 |
| | Vandetanib | EGFR, VEGFR, RET | Phase II | NCT00459043 |
| | Pazopanib | VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, PDGFR, c-KIT | Phase II | NCT01377298 |
| | Axitinib | VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, PDGFR, c-KIT | Phase II | NCT01469546 |
| | Nilotinib | BCR-ABL, c-KIT, PDGFR | Phase I | NCT01871311 |
| MEK inhibitor | Trametinib | MEK | Phase I | NCT01725100 |
| | | | | NCT01204099 |
| PI3K inhibitor | PX-866 | PI3K | Phase II | NCT01527877 |
| | BKM120 | PI3K | Phase II | NCT01603215 |
| | BYL719 | PI3K | Phase I | NCT01807546 |
| | | | | NCT01349933 |
| AKT inhibitor | MK2206 | AKT | Phase II | NCT01195922 |
| | | | | NCT01133678 |
| mTOR inhibitor | Rapamycin | mTOR | Phase II | NCT01172769 |
| | Everolimus | mTOR | Phase I | NCT01353625 |
| | Temsirolimus | mTOR, DNA-PK | Phase I | NCT01422756 |
| | CC-115 | mTOR | Phase I | NCT01422756 |
| | | | | NCT01332266 |
| JAK inhibitor | Ruxolitinib | JAK | Phase I | NCT01285037 |
| MET/VEGFR inhibitor | Foretinib | MET, VEGFR-2 | Phase II | NCT00725764 |
| | E7050/Golvatinib | MET, VEGFR-2 | Phase II | NCT01332266 |
| MET inhibitor | LY2801653 | MET | Phase I | NCT01386632 |
| PDK inhibitor | Dichloroacetate | PDK | Phase I | NCT01333852 |
| AMPK activator | Metformin | AMPK | Phase I | NCT01333852 |
| | | | | NCT01333852 |

Data source: www.clinicaltrials.gov
PI3K/AKT/mTOR Pathway

PI3Ks are a family of enzymes that phosphorylate the 3’OH position of phosphatidylinositols and have important roles in promoting cell growth, differentiation and survival. There are three classes of PI3Ks, each with its own subtype specificity, and class IA is most frequently associated with cancer. Class IA PI3Ks are heterodimers and composed of a 110-kDa catalytic subunit and an 85-kDa regulatory subunit, both of which exist in several isoforms. PI3Ks are activated by RTKs, such as EGFR, and the catalytic subunit phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol1,4-bisphosphate (PIP₂) to form phosphatidylinositol1,4,5-triphosphate (PIP₃). PIP₃ recruits pleckstrin-homology domain-containing proteins including phosphoinositide-dependent protein-kinase 1 (PDK1) and AKT to the plasma membrane. Interaction of PIP₃ with the PH (Pleckstrin Homology) domain of AKT results in a conformational change causing phosphorylation of AKT by PKD1 and mammalian target of rapamycin complex 2 (mTORC2). This activates AKT that then phosphorylates proteins involved in cell growth and survival. The tumour-suppressor phosphatase and tensin homology (PTEN) mediates the conversion of PIP₃ to PIP₂, counteracting the activation of AKT. mTOR is a protein kinase that acts downstream of PI3K and AKT and plays an important role in cell growth, survival and protein synthesis regulation. There are two mTOR complexes: mTORC1 activates ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1 (S6K) and inactivates eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1), resulting in protein translation and cell growth, whereas mTORC2 activates AKT.

Genetic aberrations of the PI3K pathway are common in HNSCC. One of the isoforms of the 110 kDa catalytic subunit, p110α, is encoded by the PIK3CA gene. This gene is mutated in 6–20% of HNSCC, especially through the mechanisms of gene amplification and low-level copy number increase. It has been found to be particularly common in HPV-positive HNSCC cases, and specific mutations, such as H1047R in exon 20, may predict higher response rates to treatment with PI3K pathway inhibitors. In addition, PTEN mutations have been reported in 7% of HNSCC, and the mTOR pathway is frequently activated, independent from activation of EGFR or the presence of mutant p53, particularly in HPV-positive tumours.

PI3K pathway is an important therapeutic target for cancers and its therapeutic modulation has been assessed in a number of tumour types. The mTOR inhibitor everolimus is in clinical use in renal cell carcinoma, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours, breast cancer and subependymal giant cell astrocytoma, and temsirolimus can be used in renal cell carcinoma. PI3K inhibitors are being investigated in phase II trials in HNSCC in conjunction with chemotherapy or cetuximab (NCT01252628); AKT inhibitors are being tested in recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal cancer (NCT01349933); and the mTOR inhibitors rapamycin, everolimus and temsirolimus are being assessed for HNSCC at the phase II stage in neoadjuvant and recurrent/metastatic settings.

Ras/Raf/MEK/MAPK Pathway

Ras is a guanosine nucleotide binding protein localised on the plasma membrane. There are three Ras genes: HRAS, KRAS and NRAS. In the inactivated state, Ras is bound to guanosine diphosphate (GDP) and activation converts Ras to the guanosine triphosphate (GTP)-bound form; Ras-GTP binds to and activates Raf-1. The targets for phosphorylation of Raf-1 include the kinases MEK1 and MEK2 that in turn activate the MAP kinases ERK1 and ERK2. These translocate to the nucleus and target genes involved in cell growth, proliferation and survival. Ras can also activate the PI3K signalling cascade. Mutations in the Ras proto-oncogenes are implicated in 20–30% of all cancers. Activating HRAS mutations have been found in 4–5% of HNSCC cases. KRAS mutations occur in 30–50% of colorectal cancers and are predictive of poor response to panitumumab and cetuximab. Genes involved in Ras/Raf/MEK/MAPK signalling are shown in Figure 2.
The predictive value of KRAS in HNSCC remains unclear and requires further investigation.

Sorafenib is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that has multiple targets including Raf, VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor) and PDGFR (platelet-derived growth factor receptor). It is in use in renal cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma, but has poor results as a single agent in HNSCC. Sorafenib in combination with chemotherapy has shown a response rate of 55% and median overall survival of 22.6 months in a phase II trial in HNSCC. The MEK inhibitor trametinib has recently been approved for use in metastatic melanoma and is under investigation in combination with AKT inhibition in solid tumours including HNSCC (NCT01725100).

**MET Pathway**

The proto-oncogene c-MET encodes mesenchymal–epithelial transition factor (MET), an RTK activated by hepatocyte growth factor (HGF). Ligand binding activates signalling cascades including the RAS, PI3K, STAT3 and NOTCH pathways, resulting in cell morphogenesis, motility, growth and survival. MET and HGF have been found to be overexpressed in over 80% of HNSCC and increased MET copy numbers in 13% of HNSCC tumour samples. MET expression has been suggested to be a prognostic biomarker in HPV-negative HNSCC with overexpression correlating with reduced disease-free and overall survival. It has also been implicated in resistance to radiation, cisplatin and cetuximab.

MET overexpression results in enhanced cell motility, angiogenesis and invasion/metastases, and therefore is an important potential therapeutic target. Foretinib is a multitargeted kinase inhibitor that binds to the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) pocket of the receptor. It has been tested in a phase II study in recurrent/metastatic HNSCC but showed disease stabilisation and only minor tumour shrinkage as a single agent. There are several RTK inhibitors and mAbs against MET and HGF in early phase clinical trials.

**JAK/STAT Pathway**

The JAKs are part of a family of nonreceptor tyrosine kinases. They interact with the cell surface cytokine receptors and activate them by transphosphorylation. Activated cytokine receptors recruit STAT that is phosphorylated by JAKs, mediating dimerisation and translocation to the nucleus to activate transcription of their target genes. JAKs can also be phosphorylated directly by RTKs such as EGFR, activating the RAS and PI3K pathways. The JAK/STAT pathway has a role in promoting cell growth and survival, angiogenesis and suppression of immune surveillance.

JAK/STAT proteins are important in mediating EGFR signalling and STAT3 is overexpressed in HNSCC. Ruxolitinib is a JAK inhibitor approved for use in myelofibrosis and is in phase I studies in combination with chemotherapy in advanced solid tumours. A phase 0 trial of a STAT decoy oligonucleotide injected into HNSCC tumours before surgery demonstrated downregulation of STAT3 target gene expression, warranting further investigation of this target in HNSCC.

**HPV-Mediated Pathogenesis**

HPVs are small, nonenveloped, double-stranded DNA viruses. The genome encodes for early genes (E1–7) and late structural genes (L1, L2). E1 and E2 encode regulatory proteins and E5–7 encode oncoproteins. Over 100 human HPV genotypes have been isolated, and mucosal HPVs can be classified into high and low risk based on their potential to induce malignant transformation. High-risk HPVs include types 16, 18, 31 and 33, with HPV type 16 accounting for over 90% of cases in HNSCC. HPVs enter the host via wounds or abrasions in the mucosa and infect basal epithelial cells, where the host cell DNA replication machinery is used for viral replication. The basal cell nuclei maintain low copy numbers of viral DNA, whereas the virus replicates to high copy numbers in terminally differentiated cells. The E6 protein interacts with E6-associated protein (E6-AP), resulting in a rapid degradation of tumour suppressor p53 via the ubiquitin–proteosome pathway (Figure 3). This leads to inhibition of the proapoptotic functions of p53 and bypassing of the p53-mediated checkpoints. The E7 protein competes with E2F transcription factor for binding to the pRb tumour suppressor, displacing E2F. E2F activates genes responsible for cell cycle progression through the G1, S phase, including cyclin A, E and DNA polymerase, causing inactivation of checkpoints and regulatory pathways, and ultimately promoting cellular proliferation and transformation (Figure 3). pRb is a negative regulator of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16, and therefore inactivation of pRb results in p16 upregulation. This can be detected using immunohistochemistry in HPV-associated tumour samples and represents a biologically significant surrogate marker for HPV oncoprotein expression.

![Figure 3](image-url)
HPV infection in oropharyngeal cancer is now recognised as an aetiological agent, responsible for the significant increase in incidence in Western countries (Table 2).\(^{5,78}\) These cancers represent a distinct subgroup characterised by specific biological and clinical profiles and improved outcomes. Patients with HPV-associated oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) tend to be white males, on average 5 years younger than HPV-negative patients, have higher socioeconomic status and are less likely to smoke or drink alcohol.\(^4\) Risk factors for HPV-positive HNSCC are related to sexual behaviour, including young age at first intercourse and high number of sexual partners, in particular oral sex partners, and antibodies against HPV16 viral capsid protein and E6 oncoprotein.\(^{79–82}\) Clinically, these tumours have been found to present at an earlier stage of the primary cancer but with cystic, multilevel nodal metastases.\(^{83,84}\) Histologically, the tumours tend to be poorly differentiated basaloid (or nonkeratinising squamous cell) carcinomas.\(^{85}\) HPV is detected in other subsites such as larynx and nasopharynx but no causal relationship or association with outcome has been established, and therefore the significance in nonoropharyngeal head and neck tumours remains unclear.\(^{86}\)

HPV-associated OPSCC has a favourable prognosis. Compared with HPV-negative OPSCC, patients have a 60–80% reduction in the risk of death from their cancer after controlling for other factors, highlighting the need for different treatment strategies to reduce the morbidity associated with current treatment.\(^{77,78}\) The reasons for the improved outcome are unclear but possibilities include host factors such as younger age, fewer smoking-related comorbidities and tumour factors such as increased sensitivity to radiotherapy, absence of field cancerisation mainly seen in smokers, differing response of the host immune system to viral infection, and the presence of wild-type p53 that may become activated in response to radiotherapy and chemotherapy. However, not all HPV-positive patients have the same excellent outcome and they can be further classified into low and intermediate risk of death categories depending on their smoking history.\(^{87}\) HPV-negative HNSCC are typically characterised by TP53 and RB genetic alterations resulting in genomic instability and resistance to apoptosis. No TP53 mutations were seen in HPV-positive HNSCC on exome sequencing, and the overall mutation rate was approximately half of that seen in HPV-negative samples. In addition, in contrast to HPV-negative tumours, the expression of CDKN2A, encoding p16\(^{\text{INK4A}}\), is highly upregulated and amplification of cyclin D is infrequent.\(^{88}\)

At present, treatment is the same regardless of HPV status outside the context of a clinical trial. Two phase III studies currently recruiting (De-ESCaLATE HPV and RTOG 1016) are investigating the replacement of standard cisplatin in concomitant chemoradiation with cetuximab, on the basis that cetuximab may be less toxic with comparable results in retrospective analyses.\(^{89}\) The results of two studies treating HPV-positive patients with induction chemotherapy followed by reduced dose radiation in responders are awaited. HPV vaccines are under development and investigation, as both preventative and therapeutic applications. Gardasil and Cervarix are HPV vaccines in use for the prevention of cervical cancer, but could afford protection against oral HPV16/18 infection. Reduced prevalence of oral HPV infection was found in women recruited to investigate the efficacy of HPV vaccination against cervical cancer.\(^{90}\) These vaccines may also cause induction of cell-mediated immunity against HPV-positive tumours, and phase I studies are ongoing investigating the use of HPV16 peptide epitopes in recurrent disease.\(^{91}\)

**Hypoxia and Angiogenesis**

Tumour hypoxia is common in HNSCC and is associated with treatment resistance and reduced survival.\(^{92}\) Under normoxia, the hypoxia-inducible factors HIF1-\(\alpha\) and HIF2-\(\alpha\) are rapidly degraded by the Von Hippel–Lindau protein (VHL). Hypoxia leads to stabilisation of HIFs that heterodimerise with constitutively expressed HIF2-\(\beta\) and translocate to the nucleus. Genes that promote survival in hypoxia, including carbonic anhydrase 9 (CA9), glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), are upregulated. HIF2-\(\alpha\) mediates activation of EGFR, and HIF activation is partly regulated by mTOR signalling.\(^{93}\) Hypoxia can also drive genomic instability in tumour cells and select for cell populations with a more aggressive phenotype, reduced apoptotic and increased metastatic potential.\(^{94}\)

Oxygen is required for effective radiation-induced cell damage, as oxygen stabilises the free radicals produced by ionising radiation that causes DNA damage and cell death.\(^{95}\) To improve their nutrient and oxygen supply, tumours produce angiogenic factors that induce the proliferation of endothelial cells and form new blood vessels. VEGF is the strongest inducer of angiogenesis, and immunohistochemical expression in tumour samples is associated with an increased risk of death.\(^{96}\)

Strategies to improve tumour oxygenation have included the use of hyperbaric oxygen, carbogen and nicotinamide, radiosensitisation using nitroimidazoles and the hypoxic cytotoxin tirapazamine. However, because of the difficulties in measuring and stratifying for hypoxia, these techniques have not translated into regular clinical practice.\(^{95}\) There is therefore interest in developing methods to diagnose hypoxia and predict the response to hypoxia-modifying treatments. For example, a 15-gene hypoxia classifier applied retrospectively to HNSCC tissue samples was found to predict for hypoxic modification of radiotherapy with the radiosensitiser nimorazole.\(^{97}\) More recently, a 26-gene hypoxia signature showed predictive benefit from hypoxia-modifying agents carbogen and nicotinamide in combination with accelerated radiotherapy.\(^{98}\) Prospective application and validation of these signatures are awaited. The VEGFR-targeting therapies are currently under investigation in HNSCC.

### Table 2 Clinical features of HPV-positive and -negative HNSCC

|                      | HPV negative | HPV positive | References |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| **Aetiology**        | Tobacco/alcohol | HPV infection | 2,5,78     |
| **Age**              | Above 60 years | Below 60 years | 5          |
| **p53 mutations**    | Frequent      | Infrequent   | 20,27      |
| **Site**             | Not predictable | Oropharynx   | 78         |
| **Prognosis**        | Poor          | Favourable   | 77,87      |
Bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody against VEGFR, is in clinical use in metastatic colorectal and breast cancer, NSCLC, glioblastoma and renal cell carcinoma. Phase II studies in HNSCC using bevacizumab in combination with pemetrexed, erlotinib or cetuximab have shown response rates of 15–30%.99–101 The multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitors sunitinib, sorafenib and vandetanib are in clinical use, and sorafenib has shown promise in combination with chemotherapy in recurrent/metastatic HNSCC (Table 1).

Metabolism

Energy in the form of ATP is generated in normal cells via glycolysis or the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. In glycolysis, glucose is metabolised to pyruvate in the cytosol to produce two ATPs from each molecule of glucose. The TCA cycle utilises pyruvate from glycolysis to produce acetyl-CoA that is catalysed by pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) in the mitochondria. Acetyl-CoA is metabolised by oxidative phosphorylation, consuming oxygen and generating 36 ATPs per glucose. In anaerobic conditions, pyruvate is not used in the TCA cycle and is converted to lactate in the cytosol by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH).102

Metabolic alterations are common in cancer. The best-characterised metabolic phenotype was originally described by Warburg et al.103 in the 1920s. The Warburg effect is the increase in glycolysis to generate ATP, even in the presence of normal oxygen concentrations. ATP production via glycolysis is much faster but less efficient than oxidative phosphorylation, and cancer cells avidly consume glucose to meet their increased energy and biosynthesis needs.104 Aerobic glycolysis in tumour cells is regulated by aberrant signalling pathways, including p53, PI3K, HIF1, MYC105–107 and liver kinase B1 (LKB1)/AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathways,108 as well as alterations in metabolic enzymes, such as pyruvate kinase and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK).109

Aberrent metabolism can be targeted by inhibiting the AKT and mTOR pathways as previously discussed. PDK inhibition with dichloroacetate is being explored in a phase I trial of metabolic reprogramming therapy in recurrent HNSCC (NCT01163487). Metformin is currently used in type II diabetes but also acts as an AMPK activator. Diabetic patients treated with metformin were found to be at lower risk of developing cancer than those on other treatments.110 Metformin in combination with paclitaxel is being investigated in a phase II trial in metastatic/recurrent HNSCC (NCT01333852).

HNSCC Cancer Stem Cells

HNSCC is highly heterogeneous. This heterogeneity was originally thought to be because of the step-wise accumulation of specific genetic and epigenetic alterations in response to carcinogens, resulting in preneoplastic fields. Clonal divergence and selection within these fields leads to the development of cancer, and the incomplete eradication of these areas are the source of recurrence and secondary tumours after treatment.111 However, accumulating evidence supports an alternative model for the development and progression of HNSCC involving cancer stem cells (CSCs). This model describes the existence of a hierarchy of cells, where CSCs are a subpopulation within the tumour, capable of initiating and propagating tumourigenesis. These cells have the ability of self-renewal, maintaining the CSC reservoir and differentiating into the heterogeneous progeny.112 CSCs have been implicated in resistance to treatment, as they are nondividing or slowly dividing, evading the conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy strategies that target rapidly dividing cells.113 However, they have the potential to become activated resulting in recurrences or metastases.

CD44 is a transmembrane glycoprotein that acts as a receptor for hyaluronic acid and other extracellular matrix molecules, and is involved in cell adhesion and migration. Alternative splicing results in multiple different CD44 variants with a diverse functional repertoire.114 HNSCC CSCs were first described based on CD44 expression.115 CD44þ HNSCC cells, but not CD44– cells, initiated tumourigenesis in mice, reproduced the original tumour heterogeneity and demonstrated self-renewal after serial passaging in vivo.115 CD44þ cells were also found to differentially express the BMI-1 gene,116 encoding a self-renewal protein found in embryonic stem cells.117 However, expression of CD44 has also been observed diffusely in normal, benign and malignant epithelia of the head and neck, suggesting CD44 alone cannot identify CSCs.117

Aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) is an enzyme involved in detoxifying intracellular aldehydes by oxidation, and converting retinol to retinoic acid.118 It has been shown that ALDH þ and CD44 þ cells form a subpopulation of cells that are highly tumorigenic in immunodeficient mice at very low cell numbers, as well as the ability to self-renew.119 Therefore, the combination of these two markers are more selective for CSCs. ALDH1A1 þ CD44 þ cells have also demonstrated increased expression of BMI-1,119 resistance to chemoradiation and involvement in epithelial–mesenchymal transition.120 CSCs represent potential novel diagnostic and therapeutic targets. The concentration of CD44 in the peripheral blood of HNSCC patients has been shown to be significantly higher than healthy controls,121 and increased CD44 þ cell population in the primary tumour correlates with higher rates of recurrence.122 In addition, CD44 gene expression levels have been found to correlate with response to radiotherapy in laryngeal SCC, suggesting its role as a predictive marker.123 Targeted elimination of cancer stem cells directly or via their niche, for example, with antiangiogenic agents, are potential treatment strategies under development. Bivatuzumab mertansine, an anti-microtubule agent coupled to a monoclonal antibody against CD44 variant 6, has been tested in metastatic HNSCC. However, two parallel phase I studies were terminated early after a fatal case of toxic epidermal necrolysis.124 Further investigation is required to fully understand the potential effects of targeting CSCs in HNSCC.

Gene and MicroRNA Expression in HNSCC

There has been a multitude of published studies investigating gene expression profiling to diagnose HNSCC and predict behaviour and sensitivity to treatment.125–127 The detailed analysis of such studies is beyond the scope of this review; in general, because of tumour heterogeneity and low case numbers in some studies, these studies have not been
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous, small, non-coding RNAs of 18–25 nucleotides that regulate and refine gene expression at both transcriptional and translational levels. Over 1000 miRNAs have so far been identified, with each miRNA influencing the expression of multiple genes and a single mRNA being targeted by several miRNAs. They are involved in the fine-tuning of the expression of many genes involved in a variety of critical biological processes, including cell cycle regulation, differentiation, metabolism and death (Table 3). Consistently altered miRNAs in HNSCC include miR-21 that is negatively correlated with PTEN and the programmed cell death 4 (PDCD4) gene and implicated in cell proliferation, invasion and metastases, and the miR-106b family that negatively regulates the p21 CDK inhibitor. miR-205 that targets PTEN and is suggested as a potential marker for diagnosis, lymph node metastasis and outcome. The ratio of miR-221 to miR-375 can distinguish between normal and malignant tissue, and high expression of miR-181 and miR-205 in oral SCC has been found to be associated with lymph node metastases, vascular invasion and poor outcome.

Tumour-suppressive miRNAs include let-7 that negatively regulates KRAS, and reduced expression is associated with poor prognosis. Mir-133a/b is repeatedly reported to be downregulated in HNSCC and targets pyruvate kinase M2, a key regulator of cancer metabolism. Mir-133a also directly regulates the actin-related protein complex 5 (ARPC5) with inhibition of cell migration and invasion when mir-133a is restored or ARPC5 is repressed. Downregulation of the tumour-suppressive miR-200a is seen in both saliva and tissue samples of HNSCC patients and is known to target ZEB1 and ZEB2 that repress the transcription of E-cadherin and mediate epithelial–mesenchymal transition and tumour cell migration. miRNAs are also implicated in chemoresistance, with different patterns of expression in resistant HNSCC. Modulation of miRNAs can alter the sensitivity of HNSCC to both drugs and radiation, highlighting the potential for miRNAs in predicting response to treatment and as a therapeutic target.

Conclusion

HNSCC is a group of highly heterogeneous tumours. Their management is likely to change in the near future, moving from treatment as a single disease to tailoring the therapy based on both patient and tumour characteristics. Identification of specific genetic, epigenetic and metabolic aberrations, together with the more traditional techniques in diagnosis, staging and prognostication, will need to inform the individual treatment strategy. It has the potential to provide the clinician with a comprehensive set of diagnostic, prognostic and predictive tools. The paucity of driver mutations in HNSCC and frequent tumour suppressor loss represents a pharmacological challenge, but increased understanding of the molecular biology through the developments in high-throughput technology heralds a future of personalised medicine.
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