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Growing network models with preferential attachment dependent on both age and degree are proposed to simulate certain features of citation network noted in [1]. In this directed network, a new node gets attached to an older node with the probability \( \sim K(k) f(t) \) where the degree and age of the older node are \( k \) and \( t \) respectively. Several functional forms of \( K(k) \) and \( f(t) \) have been considered. The desirable features of the citation network can be reproduced with \( K(k) \sim k^{-\beta} \) and \( f(t) \sim \exp(\alpha t) \) with \( \beta = 2.0 \) and \( \alpha = -0.2 \) and with simple modifications in the growth scheme.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The citation patterns of scientific publications form a rather complex network. Here the nodes are published papers and a link is formed if one paper cites another paper published previously. In [2] the citation distribution of 783,339 papers cataloged by Institute of Scientific Information (ISI) and also the 24,296 papers published in Physical Review D (PRD) between 1975 and 1994 was studied. It was found that the probability \( P(k) \) that a particular paper is cited \( k \) times follows a power law distribution \( P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma} \) with exponent \( \gamma = 3 \), indicating that the incoming degree distribution of the citation network is scale-free. Later these studies were extended [3] to the outgoing degree distributions as well, and it was shown that it has an exponential tail in most cases.

The citation distribution provides an interesting platform for theoretical modelling when the various features of citation dynamics are taken into account. It must be kept in mind that citation is possible only to papers that have been published previously, i.e., older papers, so that the network is directed. Also since most of the papers are gradually forgotten or become irrelevant, the probability that a particular paper is cited should decrease in time unless it is of utmost importance. Again, a young paper, which is undergoing recognition, gains increasing attention through citations. Hence the model of a citation network should be one in which aging of the papers occur such that the probability of a paper getting cited depends on its age. Again, from the scale-free nature of the degree distribution, it appears that the probability of a paper being cited at a given time is proportional to its in-degree.

The distribution of ages of cited papers was studied for small sample sizes in [4] and [5] and the results from these two studies did not agree. The complete set of citations for all publications in Physical Review (PR) journals from July 1893 to June 2003 was later studied in [1] which perhaps gives the closest possible picture of the citation scenario.

Among the various features of a citation network, those which are relevant to the present paper are listed below:

(i) the distribution \( T(t) \) of ages \( t \) of citations to other publications: this is calculated from the difference of the year of publication of a particular paper and the year of publication of the papers which are cited by it.

(ii) the distribution \( R(t) \) of citation ages \( t \) from citing publications calculated from the difference of the year of publication of a particular paper and the year of publication of the papers citing it.

Fig. 1 shows pictorially how the two distributions are generated.

![Fig. 1. The two age distributions from a citation network.](image)

In I, the paper published at time \( t_0 \) cites several papers published at different times \( t_1, t_2 \) etc. The distribution of the intervals \( (t_0 - t_1) \) gives \( T(t) \). In II, the paper published at time \( t_0 \) is cited by papers published at times \( t_1, t_2 \) etc. The distribution of the intervals \( (t_1 - t_0) \) gives \( R(t) \).

(iii) The correlation of the average age of citing papers as a function of the degree \( k \) of that paper: this is denoted by \( A(k) \). It is expected that for a paper with many citations the average age of the citations will also be large such that there is a positive correlation between the two.

For \( T(t) \) it was found that in the range of 2 to 15 years,
the distribution decays exponentially with time, while for longer times the decay is a slower exponential. For \( R(t) \), over the limited range of 2 to 20 years, the integrated data is consistent with a power law decay with an exponent \( \sim -1 \). Hence, authors tend to have an exponentially decaying memory while citing papers, but the citation age distribution to a particular paper has a slower power law decay over an initial period of time (lifetime of the paper). The PR data showed that there is indeed a positive correlation between average citation age and the number of times that a paper has been cited (property (iii)) and the relation is consistent with a power law.

In the present paper we have attempted to obtain a suitable model for the citation network such that it may reproduce some of the main results that were obtained from the study of real citation networks. In section II, we give a brief review of time dependent networks, where we discuss the results of some earlier works. In section III, the results for \( R(t) \) from the known models are discussed and we find that these models are not appropriate for the citation network. In section IV, we propose a modified model which can reproduce some of the real results to an appreciable extent. Finally in section V, we provide a summary and also the conclusions of the present work.

II. BRIEF REVIEW OF MODELS OF AGING NETWORKS

The question of time dependence in the attachment probability of the incoming nodes in a growing network has been studied in a few theoretical models [4,6,7]. These models have basically evolved from the original Barabasi-Albert (BA) model [8] where in a growing network model, a new node gets linked to the existing ones following a preferential attachment to nodes with larger degree. In the time dependent models, a new node gets attached to older nodes with a preferential attachment which is dependent on the degree as well as the age of the existing node. We discuss briefly below some relevant age dependent models and the results thereof.

In general, in all the models of aging networks, the attachment probability \( \Pi(k,t) \) is taken to be a separable function of the degree \( k \) and the age \( t \) of the existing node such that

\[
\Pi(k,t) = K(k)f(t). \tag{1}
\]

In the Dorogovtsev-Mendes (DM) model [6], \( K(k) = k \) and \( f(t) = t^\alpha \) were considered.

In this model the degree distribution was found to be scale free for values of \( \alpha \geq -1 \). For \( \alpha < 0 \), the age dependence presents a competing effect to the preferential attachment, but for \( \alpha > 0 \), the older nodes get richer, enhancing the ‘rich gets richer’ effect.

In [4] an exponential decaying function \( f(t) = \exp(\alpha t) \) was chosen and it was found that the model is not scale-free for any negative value of \( \alpha \).

In [7], the DM model was further generalised by incorporating a power law variation of the degree in the attachment probability \( \Pi \),

\[
\Pi(k,t) \sim k^\beta t^\alpha. \tag{2}
\]

A phase diagram was obtained for this model in the \( \alpha - \beta \) plane, with the phase boundary dividing the phase space into the small world and regular network regions. Scale free behaviour was found to exist only along a line for \( \beta \geq 1 \). In the small world region, there was gel formation beyond \( \beta = 1 \), while the degree distribution was stretched exponential for \( \beta < 1, \alpha \leq -1 \).

III. R(T) FROM STANDARD MODELS

Evidently a time dependent model would be appropriate for the citation network. One can immediately realise that the time dependent part \( f(t) \) of the preferential attachment probability (1) is analogous to the function \( T(t) \) defined in section I. The task is to investigate whether assuming an exponential decay in \( T(t) \) (i.e., \( f(t) \)) gives us the proper behaviour of \( R(t) \).

In our theoretical model, we first take two standard forms of time dependence in \( \Pi(k,t) \) and look at the behaviour of the corresponding \( R(t) \) using a numerical simulation. The decay of \( f(t) \) is assumed to be (a) power law and (b) exponential. The choice of a power law behaviour in the attachment probability may be regarded as of theoretical interest mainly as \( T(t) \) has been observed to have an exponential decay [9]. However, the power law model is quite well studied and it may be useful to get the results from both models and compare them with the real data. We also use a power law dependence of \( K(k) \) on \( k \).

The degree distribution has already been studied for most of these models. Therefore we are primarily interested in calculating \( R(t) \), which is related to the degree distribution when its average is under consideration.

In our simulations we have generated networks with 2000 nodes and 10000 configurations for the power law time dependence of the attachment probability, while for the exponential time dependence, we have used a maximum of 3000 nodes and 5000 configurations.

Let the \( i \)th node born at time \( \tau_i \) get \( R(\tau, \tau_i) \) links at time \( \tau \). We are interested in the behaviour of \( R(\tau, \tau_i) \) as a function of the corresponding age \( \tau - \tau_i \). It may be noted that the cumulative sum

\[
R_{cum}(\tau, \tau_i) = \sum_{\tau' = \tau_i}^\tau R(\tau', \tau_i) \tag{3}
\]
is a well-studied quantity in many networks as a function of $\tau$ and $\tau_i$ and in many network models like the BA or DM model it behaves as

$$R_{\text{cum}}(\tau, \tau_i) = R(\tau/\tau_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $R(x)$ has a power law growth for large $x$, e.g., $R(x) \propto x^{1-\rho}$ ($\rho < 1$). In more complicated models, e.g., accelerated models [10], $R_{\text{cum}}(\tau, \tau_i)$ may have a non-trivial dependence on both $\tau$ and $\tau_i$. In any case, as a function of $t$, $R_{\text{cum}}$ will have a strong $\tau_i$ dependence. For the distribution of the ages of citing papers, we therefore find it more convenient to tag an arbitrary node and study the number of links $R(t)$ it gets as a function of $t$ suppressing the index $\tau_i$. The price we pay for this is that since there is no averaging there is greater fluctuation. The node we tag also has to be an early one such that data over a long period is obtainable.

In the following, we detail our findings from the simulations using two different schemes.

III.I Scheme(a): In the first scheme, the attachment probability is given by $\Pi(k, t) \sim k^\beta t^\alpha$. We have simulated the network for $\beta = 0.5, 1.0$ and $2.0$ and different values of $\alpha \leq 0$. Throughout the simulations, we have tagged node number 10 (the results do not change if we change this number keeping it an early node). The $\beta = 1$ case corresponds to the DM model. From the behaviour of $R_{\text{cum}}(\tau, 10)$ here, one can guess that $R(t)$ will have a form

$$R(t) \propto (\frac{t+10}{10})^{-\rho}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

This behaviour is observed for large values of $t$ and the agreement becomes worse as $\alpha$ becomes more negative. We are more interested in the small $t$ behaviour here, which turn out to be far from a power law.

![FIG. 2. $R(t)$ vs $t$ are shown for $\beta = 0.5, 1$ and $2$. In figs 2a and 2b, the variations are shown for $\alpha = 0, -0.5, -1.0$. Here, the variation is power law at large values of $t$ only. For $\beta = 2$, variations are shown for $\alpha = -0.5$ and $-1.0$. Here however, $R(t)$ behaves differently; a power law variation exists for early $t$ and dies out very soon to a saturation value (fig 2c).](image)

For $\beta = 0.5$ once again we obtained a similar variation of $R(t)$. Power law regions might exist for $\alpha = -0.5$ and $-1.0$ with exponents $\sim 0.8, 1.0$ respectively. However, $\beta < 1$ may not be a very interesting region as it has already been found that there is no scale-free behaviour here.

For $\beta = 2$ behaviour of $R(t)$ changes: there is apparently a power law region with exponent $\sim 0.7$ during early times and later it becomes a constant. The later behaviour is not consistent with the citation results where $R(t)$ decays rapidly for large $t$. These results for the three different $\beta$ are shown in Fig. 2.
III.2. *Scheme (b)*: The attachment probability for the second scheme is given by
\[
\Pi(k, t) \sim k^\beta \exp(\alpha t).
\] (6)

In [1] and [4] the behaviour of \( T(t) \) was found to be exponentially decaying. We have therefore taken a model with \( f(t) = \exp(\alpha t) \) with \( \alpha < 0 \). We have also generalised the model of [4] to include a nonlinear functional dependence of \( \Pi(k, t) \) on \( k \). This is because the \( \beta = 1 \) case showed that there is no scale free region for negative \( \alpha \). A scale free region may only be obtained for values of \( \beta > 1 \) when \( \alpha < 0 \).

![Diagram](image)

**FIG. 3.** \( R(t) \) vs \( t \) data are shown at \( \beta = 0.5, 1, 2 \) respectively for \( \alpha = -0.1, -0.2 \). Power law is not observed here at all.

For the exponential time dependence in \( \Pi(k, t) \), once again we study \( R(t) \), the age distribution of the cita-

In this case, power law is not obtained anywhere for \( R(t) \). For each value of \( \beta \), we show in Fig. 3 \( R(t) \) for \( \alpha = -0.1 \) and \(-0.2 \) (these values are comparable to the observed values).

**IV. A MODIFIED MODEL; \( R(T) \) AND OTHER RESULTS**

We are in search of a minimal model and find that the simple models described in the previous section are not sufficient. To add more features, we note that there are many differences between these models and a real citation network, prominent among which are the following:

(i) In these models, only one paper is being cited by each paper

(ii) In each year, it is being assumed in these models that only one paper is being published. (Note that the unit of time for the real data had been 1 year).

Both these are gross simplifications and the real network is quite different.

In order to make the smallest changes, we incorporate suitable modifications in the models described in section III such that only one of the two factors mentioned is considered at a time. This way, it will be also be clear which are the indispensable features of the citation network.

We take the exponential model where the attachment probability is given by (6) because we wish to proceed with a model in which the time dependent part in the attachment probability has an exponential decay to mimic reality.

Keeping everything else same, when each new node is allowed to have more than one citation (typically 10 or 20) we find that there is no significant change in the behaviour of \( R(t) \).

Next, again sticking to the exponential model with one citation, we consider \( M \) number of publications each year \((M > 1)\). In the simulation, this means we are putting the time label differently, the first \( M \) nodes have \( \tau_i = 1 \), the next \( M \) nodes \( \tau_i = 2 \) etc. With \( M = 20 \), we find that the behaviour of \( R(t) \) is indeed a power law for \( t \leq 20 \), when the value of \( \beta = 2 \) and \( \alpha = -0.1, -0.2 \) with an exponent \( \rho = 1.4 \pm 0.1 \). (Fig. 4). Decreasing the value of \( \beta \), the power law behaviour worsens. There maybe some optimum values of \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) for which the value of the exponent \( \rho \) is closer to the observed 0.94 [1] or some more modifications of the basic model maybe required to achieve a better quantitative agreement. Our present objective is not to obtain precise values but rather to obtain the simplest possible model that has an exponentially decaying \( f(t) \) giving a power law decay in \( R(t) \).

Once we have achieved the primary goal, it is important to find out the behaviour of the degree distribution
that as $t^{\beta}$ is obtained for $\beta = 2$ in the next section.

Lastly, we check the degree distribution. For a few initial decades of $k$, it does give a fairly good agreement with a power law decay of the form $P(k) \sim k^{-\alpha}$ with $\gamma = 3$. However, there is an increase in $P(k)$ for very large $k$ values which indicates a tendency to form a gel (Fig. 5). In fact, the curvature of $P(k)$ is opposite to that of the observed distribution reported in [2,3]. The possible reasons for this departure from reality is discussed briefly in the next section.
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**FIG. 4.** $R(t)$ vs $t$ plot with redefined time, i.e., now $M$ nodes are born in each year. Here $M = 20$. A power law behaviour is obtained for $\beta = 2$ at values of $\alpha = -0.1$ (dashed line) and $-0.2$ (solid line) with exponent $\rho = 1.4 \pm 0.1$. It is observed that as $|\alpha|$ increases, the power law breaks down at an earlier $t$.

![Figure 5](image2.png)

**FIG. 5.** Average citation age versus number of citations for $M = 1, 5, 20$, where $M$ is the number of nodes born per time step. Here $\beta = 2.0$ and $\alpha = -0.2$. As expected, there is a positive correlation between $A(k)$ and $k$, and for larger values of $M$ it fits to a power law dependence.

We have attempted to construct a simple model for citation network in which the evolution rule is formulated according to the behaviour of real citation data.

Since aging is an important factor in citation data, our emphasis has been on the age distribution of references made by a paper $T(t)$ and made to a paper $R(t)$. The interesting observation was that $R(t)$ has a power law decay for early $t$ while $T(t)$ has an exponential decay, which is rather counter-intuitive. Indeed, the standard aging network models fail, but simple modification of the exponential model is able to reproduce the correct behaviour of $R(t)$, at least qualitatively.

It is in general not quite easy to construct a single model of citation network which can reproduce all its features [3,11]. This may be due to certain distinctive features of the citation network of which we mention a few below.

(i) Apart from mathematical quantities like the degree and age of a paper, the content of a paper is also important. Evidently a paper on a topic where a large number of people work, will get more citations (that can be quantified by the impact parameter of a paper).

(ii) Neither the number of citations nor the number of papers published each year remains constant.

(iii) In the models, one assumes smooth behaviour, e.g., of $T(t)$ while in reality the variations are non-monotonic.

(iv) There is a possibility of "death" of a papers, or the separate existence of dead and live papers as referred to in [12].

In our modified model, although we have obtained good agreement of the behaviour of $R(t)$ and $A(k)$, but for $P(k)$ the behaviour does not agree very well with the observations. This may be because we have not optimised the values of $\beta$ and $\alpha$ to get better agreement with

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
the real data and also due to the reasons stated above.
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