Thermal decomposition characteristics and kinetics of methyl linoleate under nitrogen and oxygen atmospheres
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Abstract The thermal decomposition characteristics of methyl linoleate (ML) under nitrogen and oxygen atmospheres were investigated, using a thermogravimetric analyzer at a heating rate of 10 °C/min from room temperature to 600 °C. Furthermore, the pyrolytic and kinetic characteristics of ML at different heating rates were studied. The results showed that the thermal decomposition characteristics of ML under nitrogen and oxygen atmospheres were macroscopically similar, although ML exhibited relatively lower thermal stability under an oxygen atmosphere than under a nitrogen atmosphere. The initial decomposition temperature, the maximum weight loss temperature, the peak decomposition temperature, and the rate of maximum weight loss of ML under an oxygen atmosphere were much lower than those under a nitrogen atmosphere and increased with increasing heating rates under either oxygen or nitrogen atmosphere. In addition, the kinetic characteristics of thermal decomposition of ML were elucidated based on the experimental results and by the multiple linear regression method. The activation energy, pre-exponential factor, reaction order, and the kinetic equation for thermal decomposition of ML were obtained. The comparison of experimental and calculated data and the analysis of statistical errors of pyrolysis ratios demonstrated that the kinetic model was reliable for pyrolysis of ML with relative errors of about 1 %. Finally, the kinetic compensation effect between the pre-exponential factors and the activation energy in the pyrolysis of ML was also confirmed.
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1 Introduction

With the decrease of petroleum reserves and the increase of environmental pollution brought by the extensive use of petroleum, alternative fuels and renewable resources have attracted increasing attention worldwide from the perspective of environmental protection and resource strategy (Lin et al. 2011; Demirbas 2009; Huang et al. 2012; Sharma and Singh 2009; Nigam and Singh 2011). Biodiesel, referred to as mixtures of fatty acid mono-alkyl esters with relatively high contents of long-chain, mono- and poly-unsaturated compounds, is produced from vegetable oils and animal fats by transesterification with alcohols of low molecular weights over catalysts (Candeia et al. 2009; Leung et al. 2010; Moser and Vaughn 2010). Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) such as soybean methyl ester (SME) are typically mixtures of esters with 16-18 carbon atoms, where 80 %-85 % (w/w) of the mixture is unsaturated (Knothe et al. 2005). However, the presence of such mono- and poly-unsaturated compounds makes biodiesel extremely liable to thermal decomposition at elevated temperatures.

As we know, during the operation of a biodiesel-powered engine, small amounts of biodiesel will leak into the crankcase by oil seepage flow or gas entrainment. The leakage of biodiesel into the engine crankcase markedly lowers the quality of the engine oils due to thermal instability of biodiesel. At present, some studies have been
made on biodiesel-induced deterioration of engine oil for facilitating development and application of biodiesel as a clean and renewable petro-diesel substitute (Gili et al. 2011; Watson and Wong 2008; Wang et al. 2009). However, the thermal decomposition characteristics and kinetics of biodiesels, as well as their influences on deterioration of engine oils, have so far not been investigated intensively, partly because of the complexity of their pyrolytic chemical behavior and mechanisms. In fact, thermal instability of biodiesel is governed by its chemical nature, especially the structure and composition of unsaturated fatty acid methyl esters. It is therefore essential to investigate the thermal decomposition behavior of unsaturated FAME so as to better understand the nature of biodiesel-induced deterioration of engine oil. In this paper, the thermal decomposition characteristics and kinetics of unsaturated methyl linoleate were studied by thermogravimetric (TG) analysis. The present investigation is of importance for further understanding the pyrolytic behaviors of biodiesel and, in the nature of things, its influence on engine oil deterioration.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and apparatus

(1) Methyl linoleate (ML): an analytically purified chemical supplied by Xiya Reagent Research Center (China).

(2) TG analyzer: SDT-Q600 model, TA Instruments, USA.

2.2 TG analysis

The pyrolysis characteristics of ML were tested on the TG analyzer. In each test run, approximately 7 mg of ML was put uniformly on the bottom of an alumina crucible and the crucible was placed at the same position of the beam platform of the analyzer. Subsequently, the sample was progressively heated from room temperature to 600 °C at different heating rates of 10, 15, 20, and 30 °C/min under nitrogen or oxygen gas carrier flowing at 50 mL/min. The weight loss and heat flow changes in response to temperature were recorded. Finally, the TG and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curves were plotted and the pyrolysis kinetics were studied.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Pyrolysis characteristics of ML

Figure 1 shows the TG-DTG curves of ML at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Table 1 shows the pyrolysis parameters of ML. From Fig. 1 and Table 1, it can be clearly observed that the thermal decomposition characteristics of ML under nitrogen and oxygen atmospheres were macroscopically similar. With increasing temperatures, the pyrolysis process in TG curves can be divided into three stages viz., the drying stage (\(T_s\)), the main pyrolysis stage (\(T_s\)–\(T_f\)), and the residue decomposition stage (\(>T_f\)). The first stage occurred as the temperature increased from room temperature to the initial decomposition temperature \(T_s\). In this stage, the sample was progressively heated from room temperature to 600 °C at different heating rates of 10, 15, 20, and 30 °C/min under nitrogen or oxygen gas carrier flowing at 50 mL/min. The weight loss and heat flow changes in response to temperature were recorded. Finally, the TG and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curves were plotted and the pyrolysis kinetics were studied.

![Fig. 1 TG-DTG curves of ML at different atmospheres (\(\beta = 10 \text{ °C/min}\))](image)

| Pyrolysis stage | \(N_2\) atmosphere | \(O_2\) atmosphere |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Temperature range, °C | \(T_{max}\), °C | \((dM/d\tau)_{max}\), %/°C | Mass loss, % | Temperature range, °C | \(T_{max}\), °C | \((dM/d\tau)_{max}\), %/°C | Mass loss, % |
| Stage I         | 105–210             | 170                 | 0.265              | 15.2         | 110–145             | 165                 | 0.165              | 4.1          |
| Stage II        | 210–300             | 297                 | 2.47               | 84.7         | 145–285             | 269                 | 1.65               | 94.9         |

\(T_{max}\)—peak temperature of DTG curve, °C; \((dM/d\tau)_{max}\)—the maximum weight loss rate %/°C
stage, a small weight loss could be observed due to evaporation of volatiles. The second stage occurred as the temperature increased from $T_s$ to the maximum weight loss temperature $T_f$. The great weight loss in this stage was attributed to significant thermal decomposition of ML at elevated temperatures. The third stage occurred as the temperature increased from $T_f$ to 600 °C; during the third stage almost no further weight loss could be detected. Figure 1 indicates that there were only two main weight loss stages in the TG curve, corresponding to two peaks in the DTG curve viz., the first stage ($T_s$) and the second stage ($T_s$–$T_f$). However, it can also be observed from Fig. 1 and Table 1 that the pyrolysis characteristics of ML under nitrogen and oxygen atmospheres were slightly different. The peak temperature ($T_{\text{max}}$) and the initial decomposition temperature ($T_2$) of ML under an oxygen atmosphere were lower than those under nitrogen during the whole process, indicating that the thermal decomposition was easier under an oxygen atmosphere. Lower thermal stability of ML under oxygen might be attributed to a unique molecular structure containing two double bonds, thus enhancing decomposition of ML and promoting its thermal oxidation degradation at lower temperature (Wongsiriamnuay and Tippayawong 2010).

To further understand the pyrolysis characteristics of ML, thermogravimetric tests at different heating rates, i.e., 10, 15, 20, and 30 °C/min, were also conducted. The pyrolysis curves of ML at different heating rates are shown in Fig. 2. Also the main pyrolysis characteristics parameters of ML are shown in Table 2. It can be observed from the TG curves in Fig. 2 that the decomposition temperatures at different heating rates were slightly different. When the heating rate increased from 10 to 30 °C/min, the initial temperature of the main decomposition shifted to a higher one. Figure 2 shows the DTG profiles obtained from the thermal decomposition of ML at different heating rates. The maximum weight loss rate increased, and the corresponding peak temperature at maximum weight loss rate shifted to higher temperatures, with increasing heating rate. When the heating rate increased from 10 to 30 °C/min, the maximum weight loss rate sharply increased, and the peak of weight loss in the DTG curves became higher and broader. The increase of the initial decomposition temperature, the maximum weight loss temperature, the peak decomposition temperature, and of the maximum weight loss rate with increasing heating rates may be resulted from the reduction of the activation energy, as well as from the delay of

![Fig. 2 TG/DTG curves of ML at different heating rates](image)

**Table 2** Pyrolysis parameters of ML at different heating rates

| Pyrolysis stage | $\beta$, °C/min | $N_2$ atmosphere | $O_2$ atmosphere |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| $\beta$, °C/min | $T_{\text{max}}$, °C | $(\frac{dM}{dT})_{\text{max}}$, %/%°C | Mass loss, % | $T_{\text{max}}$, °C | $(\frac{dM}{dT})_{\text{max}}$, %/%°C | Mass loss, % |
| Stage I         |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 10              | 105–210         | 170             | 0.265           | 15.2            | 110–145         | 0.165           | 4.1 |
| 15              | 115–225         | 192             | 0.279           | 13.5            | 115–160         | 0.169           | 3.9 |
| 20              | 120–230         | 229             | 0.280           | 11.4            | 120–170         | 0.207           | 3.6 |
| 30              | 120–230         | 238             | 0.286           | 9.5             | 125–175         | 0.212           | 2.9 |
| Stage II        |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 10              | 210–300         | 297             | 2.47            | 84.7            | 145–285         | 269             | 1.65           | 94.9 |
| 15              | 225–305         | 302             | 2.68            | 87.3            | 160–310         | 283             | 1.70           | 96.7 |
| 20              | 230–310         | 310             | 3.14            | 90.9            | 170–315         | 287             | 1.81           | 96.7 |
| 30              | 230–330         | 323             | 3.94            | 90.5            | 175–330         | 299             | 1.85           | 97.1 |
heat transfer during ML thermal decomposition (Park et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2011; Liang et al. 2014).

### 3.2 Kinetics of thermal decomposition of ML

#### 3.2.1 Kinetic modeling

The kinetic parameters obtained from TG and DTG analysis are exceedingly crucial for efficient evaluation and calculation of the thermal decomposition process of ML. Assuming that the thermal decomposition of ML was a non-isothermal process, the decomposition rate equation can be given as follows:

\[
dx/dt = kf(x)^n,\]

where \( x \) is the conversion rate and is defined as \( x = (m_0 - m_t)/(m_0 - m_\infty) \); \( m_0 \) is the initial weight of the test sample; \( m_t \) is the weight after a specified decomposition duration \( t \); \( m_\infty \) is the weight of the indecomposable residue; \( n \) is the reaction order; and \( k \) is the reaction rate constant.

Generally, the Arrhenius equation is applicable in thermal decomposition reactions. Based on the Arrhenius equation, the reaction rate constant, \( k \), for thermal decomposition of ML is given below:

\[
k = A \exp(-E/RT),\]

where \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( E \) is the activation energy (kJ/mol), \( T \) is the reaction temperature (K) and \( R \) is the ideal gas constant.

Since the mechanism function \( f(x) \) in Eq. (1) is dependent on the reaction model and reaction mechanism during pyrolysis process, for a simple reaction, \( f(x) \) is suggested as \( f(x) = (1 - x)^n \).

Then, Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) can be combined to give

\[
dx/dt = A \exp(-E/RT) f(x) = A \exp(-E/RT)(1 - x)^n.
\]

Furthermore, substituting the heating rate, \( \beta \), into Eq. (4) gives

\[
dx/dT = \frac{A}{\beta} \exp(-E/RT)(1 - x)^n,\]

where \( \beta = dT/dt \) and \( dx/dT \) is the ratio of weight changes with temperature.

#### 3.2.2 Determination of kinetic parameters

According to the kinetic model given above, the kinetic parameters such as pre-exponential factor, activation energy, and reaction order, and the most probable mechanism function for thermal decomposition of ML were determined by the multiple linear regression method.

Taking the natural logarithm of Eq. (5) gives

\[
\ln(dx/dT) = \ln\frac{A}{\beta} - E/RT + n \ln(1 - x).
\]

Equation (6) may be further expressed in the linear form as given below:

\[
Y = B + CX + DZ,\]

where \( Y = \ln(dx/dT) \), \( X = 1/T \), \( Z = \ln(1 - x) \), \( B = \ln(A/\beta) \), and \( C = -E/R \), \( D = n \).

The constants \( B, C, \) and \( D \) were estimated by the multiple linear regression method with the TG–DTG data for pyrolysis of ML using Origin 8.0 software. The kinetic parameters viz., pre-exponential factor, activation energy, and reaction order, for each test run are presented in Table 3. The high correlation coefficients, \( R^2 \), shown in Table 3, demonstrated that the kinetic parameters calculated by the multiple linear regression method were reliable.

### Table 3 Pyrolysis kinetic parameters of ML at different heating rates

| Pyrolysis stage | \( \beta \), °C/min | N\(_2\) atmosphere | O\(_2\) atmosphere |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                 | Reaction order (n)  | E, kJ/mol | lnA | \( R^2 \) | Temperature range, °C | Reaction order (n) | E, kJ/mol | lnA | \( R^2 \) |
| Stage I         |                     |         |     |       | 110–145 | 28.7 | 103.14 | 25.44 | 0.999 |
| 10              | 105–210             | 36.8    | 105.25 | 33.08 | 0.970  | 110–145 | 28.7 | 103.14 | 25.44 | 0.999 |
| 15              | 115–225             | 38.9    | 100.57 | 30.18 | 0.906  | 115–160 | 38.7 | 101.49 | 24.75 | 0.999 |
| 20              | 120–230             | 47.3    | 98.62  | 28.53 | 0.924  | 120–170 | 39.5 | 95.22  | 23.53 | 0.999 |
| 30              | 120–230             | 50.6    | 88.62  | 20.90 | 0.883  | 125–175 | 38.1 | 62.14  | 13.41 | 0.999 |
| Stage II        |                     |         |     |       | 145–285 | 43.7 | 49.87  | 9.54  | 0.970 |
| 10              | 210–300             | 0.160   | 83.14  | 21.31 | 0.992  | 145–285 | 0.437 | 49.87  | 9.54  | 0.970 |
| 15              | 225–305             | 0.310   | 94.42  | 26.59 | 0.974  | 160–310 | 0.291 | 50.56  | 9.60  | 0.980 |
| 20              | 230–310             | 0.200   | 95.08  | 26.90 | 0.982  | 170–315 | 0.278 | 54.26  | 10.59 | 0.975 |
| 30              | 230–330             | 0.040   | 97.36  | 28.36 | 0.988  | 175–330 | 0.394 | 59.67  | 11.84 | 0.995 |
3.2.3 Kinetic reliability analysis

The relationship and the numerical statistical errors of conversion rate between the experimental data and the calculated data by the multiple linear regression method at the heating rate of 10 °C/min are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 4, respectively. The numerical statistical errors of root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute percentage (MAPE) were calculated by the following equations:

\[
\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (E_i - C_i)^2}
\]

\[
\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left| \frac{E_i - C_i}{E_i} \right| \times 100
\]

where \( p \) is the total number of observations considered in the test; \( E_i \) and \( C_i \) correspond to the experimental data and the calculated data of conversion rate, respectively.

It can be clearly observed from Fig. 3 and Table 4 that the experimental data and the calculated data of conversion rates obtained from the kinetic model by the multiple linear regression method are in good agreement, and the numerical statistical errors are not notable. This indicated that on one hand the suggested kinetic mechanism function, \( f(x) = (1 - x)^n \), fitted well with the pyrolysis kinetic regularity of ML and the kinetics modeling was thus reliable and on the other hand the multiple linear regression method was more available in the analysis of ML pyrolysis kinetics model.

3.2.4 Kinetic compensation effect in pyrolysis of ML

It has been found that for thermal decomposition reactions, the kinetic parameters, such as the pre-exponential factor \( A \) and the activation energy \( E \), exhibit the following relationship (Cai and Bi 2009; Li et al. 2011):

\[
\ln A = aE + b,
\]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are constant coefficients. This relationship is referred to as the kinetic compensation effect, meaning that the pre-exponential factor of a thermal decomposition reaction is not a constant but changes with the variation of activation energy. Therefore, based on the kinetic parameters listed in Table 3, the relationship between \( \ln A \) and \( E \) for pyrolysis of ML was plotted, as shown in Fig. 4. The kinetic parameters \( E \) and \( A \) were found to satisfy the kinetic compensation effect relationship, and their linear relationship is listed in Table 5. By comparison of the correlation coefficients ranging from 0.996 to 0.997, the good linear relationship between \( \ln A \) and \( E \) demonstrated that the pre-exponential factor for thermal decomposition of ML was kinetically well compensated by activation energy.

![Fig. 3 Comparison of conversion rate between the experimental data and the calculated data by multiple linear regression](image)

![Table 4 Numerical statistical errors of conversion rate between the experimental data and the calculated data by multiple linear regression](table)

| Atmosphere | Reaction order (n) | Error, % | \( B, °C/min \) |
|------------|-------------------|----------|----------------|
|            |                   |          | 10  | 15  | 20  | 30  |
| N\(_2\)    | –                 | RMSE     | 1.8793 | 2.4414 | 2.3718 | 2.5014 |
|            | –                 | MAPE     | 1.6402 | 1.9774 | 2.1897 | 2.0611 |
| O\(_2\)    | –                 | RMSE     | 3.1244 | 2.7745 | 2.7561 | 1.8252 |
|            | –                 | MAPE     | 2.2188 | 3.0143 | 3.1178 | 2.9112 |
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4 Conclusions

The thermal decomposition characteristics of methyl linoleate under nitrogen and oxygen atmospheres were macroscopically similar. The initial decomposition temperature, the maximum weight loss temperature, and the peak decomposition temperature of methyl linoleate increased with increasing heating rates due to the increase of the activation energy and to the delay of heat transfer. However, the above-mentioned temperatures were much lower under an oxygen atmosphere, thus indicating that under oxygen methyl linoleate exhibited relatively poorer thermal stability. In addition, a kinetic model for thermal decomposition of methyl linoleate was built up, and the kinetic parameters such as activation energy, pre-exponential factor, and reaction order were obtained. The kinetic model was reliable in predicting the pyrolysis characteristics of methyl linoleate and provided a theoretical basis for expanding the experiment and anti-decomposition in biodiesel applications. Finally, the kinetic compensation effect between the pre-exponential factors and the activation energy in the pyrolysis of methyl linoleate was confirmed.
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