Directed molecular structure design of coordination polymers with different ligands for regulating output performance of triboelectric nanogenerators†
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A triboelectric nanogenerator (TENG) provides an effective method to harvest mechanical energy from the environment. The morphology and structure of frictional electrode materials of this type of device affect the output performance significantly. Metal–organic coordination polymers (CPs) with special structure advantages offer a vast pool of materials enabling high performances. Two Co-CPs based on terephthalic acid and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid ligands, respectively, were used to fabricate TENGs. Detailed electrical characterizations of the TENG devices revealed that the introduction of the substituent groups in the organic ligands leads to the structural changes of CPs, which ultimately leads to significant differences in the output performance.

Introduction

Energy is one of the pillars of modern society, and the energy crises around the world have prompted the search for smart technologies to develop clean and sustainable energy sources. A large amount of mechanical energy exists in the environment, such as tidal energy, energy from falling raindrops, energy generated during human movement, and water wave energy, which raises an important question in the field of energy harvesting to address the collection of mechanical energy in the environment. In 2012, triboelectric nanogenerators (TENGs) were designed by Prof. Wang for energy harvesting that exhibited advantages including simple structure, low cost, high energy conversion efficiency, and environmental friendliness, which had far-ranging practical applications, such as cathodic corrosion prevention on metallic external electrodes, clean-air, portable power supply, and self-powered sensors.

The output performance of TENGs is influenced by multiple factors, including device structure, frictional movement mode, and frictional electrode materials. Previous reports have mentioned a variety of materials that can be used as frictional electrode materials: animal hair, plant leaves, hydrogels, and coordination polymers (CPs). CPs are an attractive kind of porous crystalline materials formed by self-assembly of metal ions and organic ligands through coordination bonds. Owing to their large specific surface area, controllable regular spatial structure, and wide variety of building blocks, CPs have been rapidly developed over the last few decades. Many applications of CPs have been reported. For example, MOF-5 for methane storage, separation of CO2/CH4 mixtures with the MIL-53(Al) metal–organic framework (MOF), selective gas adsorption and separation in MOFs, and catalysis and metal encapsulation using amine grafted MOFs on their coordinatively unsaturated metal centers. Recently, MOFs or CPs have been explored as electrode materials of TENGs. The use of CPs as friction electrode materials for TENGs has broadened not only the application area of CP materials, but also the source range of TENG electrode materials. The diverse metal centers and organic ligands in CPs result in a variety of materials, which have different effects on the output performance of TENGs.

In this paper, we report two types of CPs formed from an identical metal center Co and different ligands, which were used as frictional electrode materials to assemble TENG devices. Friction nanogenerator is used to generate AC potential under the action of external driving force, which involves self-polarization; there is no additional power input in the whole process. The effect of organic ligand-modulated CPs as electrode materials on the output performance of TENGs was investigated. The electrochemical characterization showed that TENGs with higher dielectric constants achieved greater output performance, thus providing a search strategy for discovering suitable frictional electrode materials for TENGs.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra05537f
‡ Co first author.
Experimental

Compound preparation

All the chemical reagents used in this work were purchased through commercial platforms and can be directly used without further purification. Compounds 1 and 2 were prepared on the bases of reported literature and the detailed procedures are as follows.

Synthesis of Co(1,4-BDC)(DMF)$_n$ (compound 1)

A solid mixture of Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (40 mg, 0.137 mmol) and terephthalic acid (23 mg, 0.137 mmol) was dissolved in a mixed solution of DMF (1 ml), water (1 ml), and ethanol (0.25 ml). The mixed solution was heated in an isothermal oven at 100 °C for 24 h to obtain pink crystals. After washing the crystals with DMF solution several times, they were put in a vacuum oven for drying. Elemental analysis: calcd (%) for C$_{11}$H$_{11}$NO$_5$Co: C, 44.61; H, 3.74; N, 4.73. Found: C, 44.37; H, 3.75; N, 4.36. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3423 (m), 2926 (w), 1690 (m), 1577 (m), 1381 (s), 1105 (w), 1015 (w), 811 (w), 749 (m), 521 (w).

Synthesis of [Co$_2$(dptp)(H$_2$O)$_2$]$_n$ (compound 2)

Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (8.67 mmol) and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid (2.43 mmol) were added into 200 ml mixed solution (volume ratio DMF : CH$_3$CH$_2$OH : H$_2$O = 1 : 1 : 1) at the same time, and sonicated until uniform. The mixed solution was sealed in an isothermal oven and heated at 100 °C for 24 h and then cooled to room temperature. The crystals were washed with DMF solution three times and put in a vacuum oven for drying. Elemental analysis: calcd (%) for C$_{14}$H$_{20}$N$_2$O$_{10}$Co$_2$: C, 27.61; H, 1.74; O, 36.78. Found: C, 27.60; H, 1.75; O, 36.80. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3416 (m), 1636 (s), 1539 (s), 1457 (m), 1409 (s), 1364 (w), 1243 (m), 1197 (s), 1124 (w), 913 (w), 884 (m), 815 (s), 585 (w), 478 (w).

Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD; Rigaku model RINT Ultima III diffractometer) profiles were obtained to explore the structure of the CPs. Surface morphology was determined by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; Zeiss Merlin Compac), and elemental distribution was investigated using energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and the mapping software that came with the FE-SEM instrument. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were obtained using a Thermo iS50 FT-IR with KBr pellets in the range 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted with Al K$_\alpha$ radiation as X-ray source using a Kratos AXIS ULTRA X-ray photoelectron microscope. The dielectric constants of compounds 1 and 2 were measured within the range of 10$^{3}$–10$^{10}$ Hz at room temperature. The dielectric constants of compounds 1 and 2 were measured by a compass test platform at room temperature with an impedance analyzer (Agilent 4294A) in the frequency range of 10$^{3}$–10$^{7}$ Hz.

Fabrication of CP-based TENGs

Compounds 1 and 2 were used as friction electrode materials to prepare two TENG devices, referred to as BDC-TENG and OH-BDC-TENG, respectively. Identical amounts were ground in different mortars for the same period of time, and then applied uniformly as a coating on the copper tape of the TENGs.

Ligand polymers and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) of opposite electrical properties were used as the friction electrode materials on the positive and negative electrode layers, respectively, of the TENGs. At room temperature, the mechanical energy in a real environment was simulated through the cyclic motion of a linear motor to apply external force to the TENGs. The electrode materials of the TENGs were PVDF films with dimensions of 5 cm × 5 cm and films prepared from compounds 1 and 2. Under identical conditions, the $I_{sc}$ and $V_{o}$ values of the BDC- and OH-BDC-TENGs at 5 Hz were determined. $I_{sc}$ was also measured after connecting the TENGs to a rectifier bridge. The $I_{sc}$ and $V_{o}$ of the BDC-TENG were tested under different frequencies (1 Hz, 2 Hz, 4 Hz, 6 Hz, and 8 Hz). The charge density $\sigma$ was also determined. Power density and current under various load resistances were tested. Cycles of charging and discharging of a capacitor with a capacity of 100 µF at 6 V were tested.

Results and discussion

Compounds 1 and 2 were constructed with the same metal center (Co$^{2+}$) in combination with structurally different ligands (terephthalic acid and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid). The corresponding crystalline structures of compounds 1 and 2 are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. Compound 1 crystallizes in triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$, in which the central Co ion is in a six-coordinate octahedral geometry (Fig. 1a), coordinating with six O atoms from four carboxyl groups of the ligand and two water molecules. Each ligand is connected to four Co ions through four O atoms and two water molecules. Each ligand is connected to four Co ions through four O atoms from two carboxyl groups (Fig. 1b), generating a three-dimensional (3D) reticular structure with rhombic cavities (Fig. 1e). In the crystal structure of compound 2, the central Co ion is coordinated by six O atoms in a twisted octahedral fashion. And it can be seen from Fig. 2 that in addition to the carboxyl group, the hydroxyl group on the ligand has been also...
Fig. 2 (a) Coordination pattern of the metal center Co\(^{2+}\) ion; (b) coordination pattern of the organic ligand 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid; (c) planar structure of compound 2 along the c-axis; and (d) 3D structure of compound 2. C, grey; O, red; Co, pink; all H atoms are omitted in the structure diagram.

deprotonated during synthesis. In fact, all the O atoms in the ligand are involved in the coordination with Co. The central metal Co coordinates with six O atoms, five of which come from the ligand and the sixth from a water molecule. And the five oxygens connected to the metal center come from four ligands, two O atoms from the hydroxyl group in the two ligands, one O atom from the hydroxyl group in the ligand, and two O atoms from hydroxyl and carboxyl group in the same ligands (Fig. 2a).

The coordination pattern of the organic ligands of compound 2 is one ligand connected to eight metal-center Co ions, of which six Co\(^{2+}\) are monodentate coordinated with carboxyl hydroxyl groups, and two Co\(^{3+}\) are chelated with carboxyl hydroxyl groups (Fig. 2b). Fig. 2c shows the planar structure of compound 2 along the c-axis, and Fig. 2d shows the 3D structure of compound 2. From Fig. 2c and d, it is clear that compound 2 is a CP with honeycomb topology.

The PXRD profile results for compounds 1 and 2 are shown in Fig. S1a and S1b,† which are consistent with previous reports,\textsuperscript{17,18} verifying their phase purity. The peaks in the regions of 1457–1577 cm\(^{-1}\) in the FT-IR spectra of compounds 1 and 2 (Fig. S1c and S1d†) could be assigned to the benzene ring. Fig. 3 shows the XPS spectra of the synthesized compounds 1 and 2, which were analyzed to determine the elemental composition and valence states on the surface of the ligand polymers. Fig. 3a shows the full XPS spectra of compounds 1 and 2, including C, O, and Co elements. Fig. 3b presents the energy level analysis of 2p in Co, with two main fitted peaks at 781.83 eV and 787.53 eV, and stable peaks at 786.1 eV and 803 eV, classified as Co 2p\(_{1/2}\) and Co 2p\(_{3/2}\) energy levels, respectively.

There are many factors that affect the output performance of TENGs. The surface roughness, dielectric properties, and area of electrode material play a critical role for the output performance of TENGs.\textsuperscript{18,39-41} Therefore, we fully ground the block crystals of 1 and 2 into powder and evenly coated on copper tape to improve the output performance of TENGs. Compounds 1 and 2 were used as friction electrode materials to prepare BDC-TENG and OH-BDC-TENG, respectively. The dielectric constants of compounds 1 and 2 were measured to determine the magnitude of their polarities (Fig. S2†). Test results clearly showed that the dielectric constant of compound 1 is higher than that of compound 2, implying a better output performance of BDC-TENG relative to that of OH-BDC-TENG. In addition to the properties of the electrode materials, the tightness of contact between the electrode materials also affects the output performance. Thus, we took the same amount of compounds 1 and 2, ground them into powder in different mortars, and then applied them uniformly as a coating on the copper tape to improve the output performance of TENGs.

Fig. 4 shows the 3D structure of the CP-TENGs, which adopted a conventional operation mode with vertical contact and separation, where CPs and PVDF with different electronegativity were used as the friction materials on the electrode layers. Simulation of the mechanical energy in a real environment was achieved through the cyclic motion of a linear motor to apply external force to the TENGs. The working principle of TENGs is based on the coupling of triboelectrification and electrostatic induction as shown in Fig. 4. According to the electronegativity difference, PVDF easily gains electrons, whereas CPs can donate electrons because of their conjugated structures. During the periodic contact and separation process, an electric current can flow in a reverse direction due to the existence of potential difference.

![Fig. 3](image-url) (a) Full XPS spectra of compounds 1 and 2; (b) XPS spectra of Co in compounds 1 and 2.

![Fig. 4](image-url) The working principle of a TENG.
Under identical conditions, the $I_{sc}$ and $V_{o}$ values of the BDC- and OH-BDC-TENGs at 5 Hz were determined to be 76.47 µA and 522.97 V, and 40.67 µA and 388.74 V, respectively (Fig. 5a and b). Clearly, the BDC-TENG had higher $I_{sc}$ and $V_{o}$ compared to the OH-BDC-TENG. The charge density $\sigma$ is the integral of current with respect to time, which measures charge transfer and serves as one of the parameters used to evaluate the performance of TENG materials. The $\sigma$ values for the BDC- and OH-BDC-TENG were calculated as 143.48 µC m$^{-2}$ and 74.78 µC m$^{-2}$, respectively (Fig. 5c), with the BDC-TENG clearly showing a relatively large charge density. As expected, the BDC-TENG exhibited a better output performance. The results show that the output performance of the TENGs prepared using Co-CPS was in the following order: BDC-TENG > OH-BDC-TENG. This further confirmed that their output performance was closely related to the variation in the electrode material structures. Due to the difference of chemical structure, the dielectric constant is different. In general, the greater the polarity difference of friction materials, the greater the dielectric constant, and one is more likely to gain electrons and the other is more likely to lose electrons. During the test, more charges will be transferred during the contact electrification process, resulting in more charge output by the TENG, the greater the potential difference between the two electrodes, the larger the TENG output.

The stability and durability of BDC-TENG were tested at 5 Hz to evaluate the possibility of its practical application. After 35 000 cycles, its $I_{sc}$ and $V_{o}$ values remained stable, showing no significant changes (Fig. 6c and d). In addition, $I_{sc}$ and $V_{o}$ of the BDC-TENG were measured under different frequencies (1 Hz, 2 Hz, 4 Hz, 6 Hz, and 8 Hz) to investigate the effect of the test frequency on the output performance (Fig. 6a and b). The results indicated that the values of $I_{sc}$ and $V_{o}$ were highly related to the test frequency, both of which trended upwards with increasing test frequency, reaching maximum values of 121.52 µA and 608.51 V at 8 Hz, respectively. Additionally, the output performance of this work is equivalent to or higher than that reported for coordination compound TENG (Fig. S6†).

The surface morphology of compounds 1 and 2 and PVDF before and after the test was observed by FE-SEM and the elemental distribution of compounds 1 and 2 (Fig. S3–S5†) was investigated using EDS and the mapping software that came with the FE-SEM instrument, which further demonstrates the stability of the friction electrode materials. There was almost no change in the morphology of compounds 1 and 2, indicating that compounds 1 and 2 were relatively stable.

Fig. 7a displays the power density and current under various load resistances, with the instantaneous power peaking at 2635.38 mW m$^{-2}$ under a load resistance of 5 MΩ. Fig. 7b displays three cycles of charging and discharging of a capacitor with a capacity of 100 µF at 6 V.

Conclusions

In conclusion, two Co-CPS composed of an identical metal center and slightly different organic ligands were selected as electrode materials for the fabrication of TENG devices. The output performance of the TENGs was evaluated based on the capability of the friction electrode materials to gain or lose electrons. The BDC-TENG with a relatively strong tendency to lose electrons showed superior output performance, further confirming that the performance of the TENG electrode materials (i.e., their capability of losing and gaining electrons) affects the output performance of the TENG. This study shed light on the effect of different organic ligands (microstructural changes) on the output performance of TENGs when CPs were used as their electrode materials. Furthermore, it provides a simple method for designing new electrode materials of TENGs to improve their output performance in the future.
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