Incidence and impact on clinical outcome of infections with piperacillin/tazobactam resistant Escherichia coli in ICU: A retrospective study
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Abstract

Background: Escherichia coli infections are frequent in ICU patients. The increased resistance to fluoroquinolones and amoxicillin/clavulanate of this pathogen mandates the prescription of broad-spectrum antibiotics such as piperacillin/tazobactam (PIP-TAZ) or third generation cephalosporins (3GC).

Methods: To assess incidence and impact on clinical outcome of infections with PIP-TAZ resistant E. coli in ICU patients, we conducted a retrospective cohort study with infections due to PIP-TAZ resistant (PIP-TAZ R) or to PIP-TAZ susceptible strains (PIP-TAZ S) between 1 January 2002 and 30 June 2004.

Results: Of 83 strains, 13 were PIP-TAZ R: 2 strains produced an extended-spectrum β-lactamase (2%), 11 produced a high level penicillinase (13%). Prior amoxicillin or amoxicillin/clavulanate prescription was reported in 7 cases (54%) of infections with PIP-TAZ R isolates and in 15 cases (21%) of infections with PIP-TAZ S isolates (p = 0.03). Time of onset of the infection from hospital admission was longer in case of infections with PIP-TAZ R than with PIP-TAZ S isolates (22 ± 32 vs 10 ± 21 days, p = 0.01). The overall ICU mortality rate was 38%. Mortality and length of stay in ICU were similar in case of infections with PIP-TAZ R isolates and with PIP-TAZ S isolates.

Conclusion: Infections with PIP-TAZ R E. coli are frequent in ICU patients. No prognostic impact of this pattern of resistance was found. Prescription of PIP-TAZ for empirical treatment of E. coli infections in ICU however exposes to inappropriate therapy.
Background
The development of resistance to antimicrobial agents has been an ongoing and evolving process since antibiotics were introduced a half-century ago. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens are becoming a prevalent cause of hospital-acquired infections, particularly in intensive care units (ICU) [1]. Members of the family Enterobacteriaceae are the most frequent organisms isolated in clinical microbiological laboratories. *Escherichia coli* is responsible of both community and nosocomial infection. It is frequently involved in sepsis in critically ill patients [2]. It is becoming increasingly resistant to commonly used antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones or amoxicillin-clavulanic acid [3]. The widespread presence of resistant bacteria in ICU has led to the common practice of using broad-spectrum antimicrobial coverage in case of suspected gram-negative sepsis. Piperacillin/tazobactam is an injectable antibiotic mixture consisting of the semisynthetic antibiotic piperacillin-sodium (PIP) and the betalactamase inhibitor tazobactam-sodium (TAZ). PIP-TAZ antimicrobial spectrum includes both Gram-positive cocci and Gram-negative bacilli, especially enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Clinical use of PIP-TAZ is wide, especially in ICU. It is notably proposed for the treatment of severe intra-abdominal infections, febrile neutropenic patients at high risk, late-onset ventilator-associated pneumonia [4-6]. Recent reports pointed out the increase in the prevalence of PIP-TAZ resistant strains of *E. coli* isolated from clinical relevant specimens in ICU [7,8]. Data regarding the impact of antimicrobial-resistant pathogens on clinical outcome demonstrated deleterious effects with higher length of stay and mortality rate [9,10]. This impact was demonstrated in case of infections due to various pathogens including *E. coli*. Previous studies focusing on the impact of *E. coli* resistance concerned essentially ESBL-producing strains and more recently resistance to fluoroquinolones [11,12]. We conducted a retrospective cohort study to specially investigate the incidence of PIP-TAZ resistance and its impact on clinical outcome of infections with *E. coli* in critically ill patients.

Methods

Patients
The study was conducted at the Louis Mourier Teaching Hospital ICU (Colombes, France), a 450-bed tertiary care university hospital. The ICU is a 14-bed medical-surgical unit. The investigation was conducted over a period of 2.5 years (1 January 2002 through 30 June 2004).

All clinical cultures demonstrating an *E. coli* isolate were identified through records of the clinical microbiology laboratory of Louis Mourier Teaching Hospital. Identification and susceptibility testing of *E. coli* isolates were performed by standard methods, using the API system 20E (Bio Mérieux® SA, Marcy l’Etoile, France). The resistance phenotype was determined by the disk diffusion method with Mueller-Hinton agar [13]. Results were interpreted in accordance with the recommendations of the Antibiotic Committee of the French Society for Microbiology [14]. An isolate was considered to be intermediate if it demonstrated a PIP-TAZ MIC \( \geq 8 \) mg/L and resistant if MIC was > 64 mg/L. For the purpose of this study, intermediate and resistant to PIP-TAZ *E. coli* strains were grouped together under the denomination of PIP-TAZ R strains. Resistance to cefotaxime was used as a marker for resistance to third generation cephalosporins (3GC). An isolate was considered to be resistant if it demonstrated a cefotaxime MIC \( \geq 4 \) mg/L. We identified the strains resistant to both PIP-TAZ and third generation cephalosporins (*E. coli* PIP-TAZ R/3GC R) and the strains resistant only to PIP-TAZ (*E. coli* PIP-TAZ R/3GC S).

After the selection of patients with *E. coli* isolates, medical records of these patients were reviewed to determine whether each patient met inclusion criteria. Only patients whose isolates were responsible for infection (as opposed to colonization) were included. Isolates from the same patient with the same pattern identified in the course of the same infectious episode were excluded. Infection was defined as the presence of *E. coli* in a sterile milieu (such as blood, cerebral fluid, pleural fluid, or ascitic fluid) and/or clinically suspected infection (fever or hypothermia, leukocytosis, signs of focal infection), and the identification of *E. coli* in significant quantity from a clinical sample.

Infection was considered hospital-acquired if it appeared 48 hours after hospital admission and no evidence of infection was present on admission. All other infections were considered community acquired.

Data collection
Data were collected through review of inpatient medical records. Data obtained included age, sex, race, the indication(s) for ICU admission, underlying clinical conditions, presence of immunosuppression, severity of illness at ICU admission. The underlying clinical conditions were classified according to the criteria proposed by McCabe and Jackson in 3 categories: non fatal, ultimately fatal and rapidly fatal [15]. Immunosuppression was defined as a leukocyte count less than 1000/mm\(^3\), recent use of systemic corticosteroids (more than 10 mg/d of prednisone or equivalent for over 2 weeks), underlying malignancy, cytotoxic drugs, radiation treatment, or asplenia. The severity of illness at the time of ICU admission was assessed by SAPS II and Acute Organ System Failure (OSF) scoring system [16,17]. Neurological and mental status was stratified according to Glasgow Coma Score [18]. All antibiotics instituted within 1 month prior to the occurrence of infection were taken into account.
At the time of infection onset, the following data were recorded: the number of hospital days before infection, the number of ICU days before infection, the site of infection, the presence of bloodstream involvement, the presence of a coinfecting organism.

The following features of the treatment for each infection were documented: the initial antimicrobial therapy, the time between infection onset and initiation of adequate antimicrobial therapy (defined as the prescription of at least one molecule to which *E. coli* was susceptible). Our primary outcome of interest was mortality in ICU. Secondary outcomes of interest were the length of stay in ICU, the occurrence of sepsis-related complications (secondary septic shock, ARDS, development of MOF, superinfection, infection relapse). Multiple organ failure (MOF) was defined as the dysfunction of two or more of the six evaluated organ systems accorded to Fagon et al. definitions [19]. Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) was defined according to usual criteria [20].

**Statistical methods**

Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test when Chi-square was not appropriate. Continuous variables were compared using Student’s t test. Differences between groups were considered to be significant for variables yielding a p value ≤ 0.05.

**Results**

**Demographics**

A total of 83 *E. coli* strains responsible for an infection were isolated during the study period. The strain was PIP-TAZ R/3GC R in two cases. Both strains produced extended-spectrum β-lactamase. In these two cases the infection was acquired in the ICU. In the other 81 cases of *E. coli* infection, the strain was sensitive to third generation cephalosporins, and resistant to PIP-TAZ (PIP-TAZ R/3GC S) in 11/81 cases. In these 11 cases, the strain produced a high-level penicillinase.

The demographic data at ICU admission for the 83 patients infected with a *E. coli* strain are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 61 ± 16 years and 51% were male. Medical conditions accounted for 96% of total admissions. Infections accounted for 36% of admissions, respiratory failures for 22%, and neurologic diagnoses for 20%. No significant differences were observed between patients infected with PIP-TAZ R isolates and with PIP-TAZ S isolates with regard to age, gender, SAPS II, Glasgow scores, immune status, and presence of an underlying fatal medical condition. OSF score was significantly higher in case of infection with PIP-TAZ R strains.

**Infections**

Data concerning the infections are summarized in Table 2. Bacteremia, isolated or linked to another site of infection were more frequent in case of infections with PIP-TAZ R isolates (7/13 vs 9/70, p = 0.002). Other microorganisms were associated with *E. coli* in 30 cases. The germs more frequently isolated were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 7 cases, *Klebsiella sp* in 5, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in 5, and *Proteus mirabilis* in 4. Prior antibiotic prescription was reported in 8/13 (61%) infections with PIP-TAZ R isolates and in 29/70 (41%) infections with PIP-TAZ S isolates. Prior antibiotic regimen contained amoxicillin or amoxicillin/clavulanate in 8 cases (61%) of infections with PIP-

---

**Table 1: Patient characteristics on ICU admission** *

| Characteristics               | PIP-TAZ R n = 13 | PIP-TAZ S n = 70 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Age (years)                   | 61 ± 15          | 61 ± 16          |
| Gender (Male/Female)          | 8/5              | 34/36            |
| Indications for ICU admission |                  |                  |
| Infectious diseases           | 7                | 23               |
| Respiratory failure           | 4                | 13               |
| Neurological disturbances     | 0                | 17               |
| Shock                         | 1                | 6                |
| Metabolic disturbances        | 1                | 5                |
| Surgical diagnosis            | 0                | 3                |
| Others                        | 0                | 4                |
| Underlying diseases           |                  |                  |
| Anticipated death within 5 years ‡ | 8 (61) | 33 (47) |
| Immunosuppression             | 7 (54)           | 24 (34)          |
| Severity of illnesses         |                  |                  |
| SAPS II                       | 63 ± 26          | 56 ± 21          |
| Glasgow coma score            | 10 ± 4           | 10 ± 5           |
| OSF score                     | 2.5 ± 1.0        | 1.9 ± 1.1        |

*Data are presented as No (%) or mean ± SD
‡ Criteria proposed by McCabe and Jackson
TAZ R isolates and in 15 cases (21%) of infections with PIP-TAZ S isolates (p = 0.03). Time of onset of the infection from hospital admission was significantly longer in case of infections with PIP-TAZ R isolates as compared with PIP-TAZ S isolates (22 ± 32 vs 10 ± 21 days, p = 0.01).

Table 2: Infection characteristics and evolution during the ICU stay *.

| Characteristics                                      | PIP-TAZ R n = 13 | PIP-TAZ S n = 70 | p   |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----|
| Time of onset of the infection                       |                  |                  |     |
| From hospital admission                              | 22 ± 32          | 10 ± 21          | 0.03|
| From ICU admission                                   | 7 ± 12           | 5 ± 10           | NS  |
| Nosocomially/community acquired                       | 10 (77)          | 36 (51)          | NS  |
| Locations of infection                               |                  |                  |     |
| Pneumonia                                            | 3 (27)           | 29 (41)          | NS  |
| Urinary tract                                        | 4 (31)           | 24 (34)          | NS  |
| Intra-abdominal                                      | 1 (9)            | 10 (14)          | NS  |
| Isolated bacteremia                                  | 4 (31)           | 1 (1)            | 0.007|
| Other sites                                          | 1 (9)            | 6 (9)            | NS  |
| Infection-related complications                       |                  |                  |     |
| Septic shock                                         | 6 (46)           | 23 (33)          | NS  |
| ARDS                                                 | 6 (46)           | 14 (20)          | NS  |
| MOF                                                  | 4 (31)           | 14 (20)          | NS  |
| Superinfections                                      | 0                | 1 (1)            | NS  |
| Relapses                                             | 0                | 2 (3)            | NS  |
| Outcome                                              |                  |                  |     |
| Length of stay in ICU                                | 26 ± 33          | 21 ± 22          | NS  |
| Death during ICU stay                                | 6                | 27               | NS  |

* Data are presented as No (%) or mean ± SD

Figure 1
Initial antibiotherapy prescribed to patients infected with *Escherichia coli*. A patient could receive more than one drug.
**Outcome**

The overall ICU mortality rate was 38% (expected hospital mortality according to SAPS2 was 61.9%). Of 13 patients with PIP-TAZ R *E. coli* infection, 6 (46%) died, compared with 27 (39%) of 70 patients with PIP-TAZ S *E. coli* infection (p = 0.7). The length of stay in ICU of the patients infected with PIP-TAZ R isolates was similar to the one of the patients infected with PIP-TAZ S isolates (26 ± 33 vs 21 ± 22 days, p = 0.7). The occurrence of sepsis-related complications was similar whichever the resistance pattern for PIP-TAZ.

**Antibiotherapy**

Among the 83 patients, 77 received an antimicrobial therapy. Initial empiric treatment was a monotherapy in 2 cases (15%) of PIP-TAZ R *E. coli* infection, and in 37 cases (53%) of PIP-TAZ S *E. coli* infection (p = 0.02). The most commonly used empirical antibiotics were cefotaxime, amoxicillin alone or in combination with acid clavulanic, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides (Figure 1). Aminoglycosides were always used in combination. Piperacillin/tazobactam was prescribed in only 3 cases. The proportion of patients receiving adequate antimicrobial therapy within 24 hours and 48 hours after diagnosis was similar whichever the resistance pattern of *E. coli* for PIP-TAZ (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

In our retrospective cohort of ICU patients, 16% of the strains of *E. coli* isolated from clinically relevant specimens were resistant or intermediate to PIP-TAZ. This is far more than the rates observed by the French National Observatory for Epidemiology of Bacterial Resistance to Antimicrobials (ONERBA) that reports a 4% rate of PIP-TAZ intermediate or resistant strains among the strains of *E. coli* isolated in hospitalised patients [21]. In ICU, the PIP-TAZ resistance rate of *E. coli* is much higher. Our results confirmed those reported by Mohammedi et al. who noted an increase in the isolation rate of *E. coli* intermediate or resistant to PIP-TAZ in ICU patients from 6% in 1995 to 17% in 1999 [7]. But Mohammedi et al. did not characterise the mechanisms of resistance to PIP-TAZ. In our cohort, two among 13 PIP-TAZ resistant strains produced extended-spectrum β-lactamase. The production of high-level penicillinase was the predominant mechanism of resistance, identified in 11/13 PIP-TAZ...
resistant strains. This may have important consequences for the empirical choice of antimicrobial agents: these strains retained susceptibility to cefotaxime. The 2% (2/83) prevalence of ESBL strains in our cohort was similar to the prevalence recently described in French hospitals [22]. Recently, several studies revealed emergence of new ESBL-producing E. coli especially within the community, the CTX-M-producing isolates [23]. A limitation of our study is that underlying mechanisms of resistance were determined only using interpretative reading of the antibiotic. We did not perform any molecular study to identify the different β-lactamases.

In our cohort, a prolonged hospital stay prior to the diagnosis of E. coli infection or a recent antibiotic therapy containing amoxicillin or amoxicillin-acid clavulanic favoured infections with a PIP-TAZ resistant strain of E. coli. Mohammedi et al. conducted a multivariate analysis and identified prior use of amoxicillin and amoxicillin-acid clavulanic as a major risk factor of infection with PIP-TAZ resistant or intermediate strains of E. coli [8]. Their results were in accordance with previously published data establishing a link between prior antibiotic use and infection with penicillin resistant strains [24,25]. In our study, as in any observational study, the missing data could have reduce the strength of our observations. The potential for unmeasured confounding data must be recognized.

In our cohort, we did not find any impact of the PIP-TAZ resistance phenotype on the prognosis of infections with E. coli. Several previous studies demonstrated that some patterns of resistance were significantly associated with mortality of E. coli infections [11,12]. Our study is the first analyzing the association of PIP-TAZ resistance with the outcome of E. coli infections. One explanation to the absence of prognosis impact of PIP-TAZ resistance in our cohort is that PIP-TAZ resistance did not result in a decrease of the proportion of adequate initial antimicrobial therapy. Monocentric nature of our study could explain this result, since PIP-TAZ was only rarely prescribed empirically in our ICU. In our cohort, predominance of medical admissions leading to a low proportion of intra-abdominal infections could have contributed to reduced prescription of PIP-TAZ. Urinary tract infections and pneumonia were the most frequent reported infections in our patients. PIP-TAZ is recommended for severe community-acquired intra-abdominal infections and for health care-associated intra-abdominal infections [4]. But, in case of pneumonia, it is recommended only for hospital-acquired pneumonia, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and health care-associated pneumonia in patients with late-onset disease or risk factors for multidrug-resistant pathogens [6]. Third generation cephalosporins are the reference treatment for complicated community-acquired urinary tract infections and is recommended for nosocomial urinary tract infections [26,27]. Another explanation to the absence of prognosis impact of PIP-TAZ resistance in our cohort is that our study was likely under powered to demonstrate a clinically important difference.

Our findings could have an impact on the choice of the empirical antibiotic prescription in case of Gram negative bacilli infection in critically ill patients. The selection of appropriate initial antibiotic therapy remains a challenge for the physician, who must balance the need for eradication of infection against the selection of resistant pathogens. The prognostic importance of appropriate initial antimicrobial therapy both in timing and efficacy on the causual micro-organism(s) has been underlined in many studies [28,29]. Prescription of PIP-TAZ in case of Gram negative bacilli infection in ICU is justified by its ability to treat both infections with enterobacteriaceae and with non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, which are frequently present in ICU patients. The SOAP study conducted in European intensive care units revealed that the most common organisms isolated in the course of sepsis were Staphylococcus aureus (30%), Pseudomonas species (14%), and Escherichia coli (13%). The broad spectrum of activity of PIP-TAZ led some authors to consider it as the standard therapy for many infections such as ventilator-associated pneumonia and abdominal infections [30,31]. The high isolation rate of E. coli intermediate or resistant to PIP-TAZ that we report in ICU patients exposes to failure of empirical treatment with PIP-TAZ. Nevertheless our study does not allow to draw firm conclusions on the best empirical antibiotic therapy in case of E. coli infections in ICU. Finally, it highlights the importance of the local surveillance of the epidemiology of microorganisms in devising antibiotic strategies in a specific ICU.

Conclusion
Our results indicate that infections with PIP-TAZ R E. coli are frequent in ICU patients. The PIP-TAZ resistance among E. coli strains is mainly due to production of high-level penicillinase. Prior use of amoxicillin may be a risk factor for PIP-TAZ R E. coli open to medical intervention. No prognostic impact of this pattern of resistance was found in our cohort. But, large prescription of PIP-TAZ for empirical initial antibiotic treatment of Gram negative bacilli infections in ICU may expose to inappropriate therapy and treatment failure.
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