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ABSTRACT  The unexpected emergence of Zika virus (ZIKV) in the Pacific Islands and Latin America and its association with congenital Zika virus syndrome (CZVS) (which includes microcephaly) and Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) have stimulated wide-ranging research. High densities of susceptible Aedes spp., immunologically naive human populations, global population growth with increased urbanization, and escalation of global transportation of humans and commercial goods carrying vectors and ZIKV undoubtedly enhanced the emergence of ZIKV. However, flavivirus mutations accumulate with time, increasing the likelihood that genetic viral differences are determinants of change in viral phenotype. Based on comparative ZIKV complete genome phylogenetic analyses and temporal estimates, we identify amino acid substitutions that may be associated with increased viral epidemicity, CZVS, and GBS. Reverse genetics, vector competence, and seroepidemiological studies will test our hypothesis that these amino acid substitutions are determinants of epidemic and neurotropic ZIKV emergence.

Zika virus (ZIKV) was first described in the African forests, where it circulated between nonhuman primates and sylvatic mosquitoes (1). More than 60 years after its discovery, fewer than 20 human infections had been reported. The first ZIKV epidemic occurred in Yap, Federated States of Micronesia, Pacific, in 2007 (2). Based on a serological survey, 73% of the inhabitants were infected. ZIKV then disappeared epidemiologically until a large outbreak occurred in French Polynesia (FP) in 2013 to 2014. The outbreak was the first in which congenital Zika virus syndrome (CZVS), Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS), and non-vectorborne transmission (materno-fetal, sexual, and posttransfusion) occurred (1, 3). Retrospectively, cases of microcephaly were reported in the offspring of 1% of women calculated to have been infected in their first trimester of pregnancy during the FP outbreak (4). Subsequently, ZIKV continued to spread in the Pacific region (1) and emerged in the Americas in 2015. The emergence was associated with a dramatic increase in microcephaly (5–7), a manifestation of the congenital Zika virus syndrome (CZVS), leading WHO to declare a global health emergency. Concomitantly, the number of infected visitors returning from the Pacific and Latin America to their homes in North America, Europe, Asia, and Australasia was increasing, thus extending the risk for ZIKV in areas in which the Aedes ZIKV–competent mosquito is present.

Two ZIKV lineages have been described: African and Asian. Strains that emerged in the Pacific Islands and Latin America belong to the Asian lineage. In late 2015, a ZIKV outbreak due to an Asian lineage strain was also associated with cases of microcephaly. It occurred on Cape Verde off the coast of Africa (1). These data suggest that the severe neurological complication of ZIKV infections (GBS and CZVS) are associated with the strains that emerged in French Polynesia and subsequently spread to the Pacific Islands and Latin America and back to Africa at least to a coastal island.

How did ZIKV emerge from its sylvatic forest existence to cause major epidemics throughout the Pacific and the Americas? Similar to dengue virus (DENV), ZIKV had the potential to adapt from a sylvatic cycle involving sylvatic mosquitoes and nonhuman primates to an urban cycle involving urban/suburban mosquitoes and humans (1). One possibility is that the emergence of ZIKV is simply a consequence of the increasing global human population, increasing population of competent mosquito vectors, increasing urbanization, and increasing global transportation of commercial goods. Lack of ZIKV-specific population immunity was almost certainly a contributing factor to the emergence of ZIKV. Additionally, the possibility of immune enhancement arising from cross-reactions with related viruses such as dengue virus cannot be ignored (8). However, we share the view of Musso and Gubler that genetic changes are the most likely explanation for the dramatic emergence and neuroinvasiveness of ZIKV (1). This concept is supported by observations that genetic changes associated with vector specificity and epidemic potential in chikungunya virus (9) and increased virogenesis in West Nile virus (10) have been reported. Accordingly, to study the possible effect of nonsynonymous mutations in the open reading frame and changes in the 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs), we investigated the evolution of ZIKV through analysis of an extensive range of complete ZIKV genomic sequences. We identify amino acid changes that arose progressively throughout the viral genome as the virus emerged.
out of Africa and gradually dispersed across Asia, the Pacific, and Latin America and the more restricted changes that occurred in the untranslated regions. Importantly, we highlight and discuss specific amino acid substitutions that are directly associated with the appearance of the altered epidemiological and neurotropic characteristics of epidemic Pacific/Latin American ZIKV.

**Methods.** To explore the temporal evolution of ZIKV, representative and robust complete ZIKV sequences available as of 29 May 2016 were retrieved from GenBank ([http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank)). At the time, only one isolate was available from French Polynesia (FP). To study the possibility that some FP isolates had the mutation M/T2634V first documented in the Latin American strains, an additional 13 isolates from various times and places in the FP outbreak were sequenced in house. The in-house next-generation sequencing was performed with the Ion PGM sequencer (Thermo, Fisher Scientific), and analyses were conducted with the CLC Genomics Workbench software. RNA was extracted from serum or cell supernatant medium using the EZ1 Mini virus 2.0 kit and the EZ1 advanced XL machine (both from Qiagen). Complete virus genomes were amplified in 3 or 12 fragments using specific sets of primers with the Superscript III one-step reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) Platinum TaqHifi kit (Thermo, Fisher Scientific).

Two alignments were constructed using Mafft v.7.266, keeping the reading frame consistent with amino acid positions: one alignment (see Data Set S1 in the supplemental material) contained only Asian, Pacific, and Latin American ZIKV (n = 67 sequences), and the other alignment (see Data Set S2 in the supplemental material) also included African ZIKV (n = 84 sequences). In addition, separate alignments were constructed for the 5′- and 3′-UTR regions (see Data Sets S3 and S4 in the supplemental material) for those isolates for which the UTRs were available. Evolutionary rates were estimated using BEAST 1.8.3. After model testing, all analyses were run with the SРD06 codon-partitioned model using the general time reversible (GTR) nucleotide substitution model with gamma distribution, a strict molecular clock with a continuous-time Markov clock (CTMC) prior, and a Bayesian skyline coalescent tree prior with a time reversible (GTR) nucleotide substitution model with gamma distribution. After discarding 10% burn-in for each run, consensus files for each tree were produced using LogCombiner and TreeAnnotator (BEAST package). Consensus trees were then viewed and annotated in FigTree 1.3.1 ([http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/](http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/)). All computations were performed at the CIPRES web portal (11). The numbers of unique stepwise amino acid changes in the different clades were checked visually and compared in the combined African and non-African alignments using AllView v.1.18 (12).

**Results.** Two dated phylogenetic trees were constructed, and they produced identical topologies for the named key nodes. The first tree (Fig. 1) displays the African viruses in a collapsed format and also includes Asian, Pacific, and Latin American ZIKV isolates (Fig. 1). For the second tree (not shown), the African viruses were excluded. The boxes in Fig. 1 connected by dashed lines to the tree identify all amino acid substitutions that occurred beyond each branch (identified as nodes A to G). The 9 highlighted amino acids identified in boxes 2, 3, 4, 6, and 7 identify substitutions that will be discussed in the context of epidemic emergence of ZIKV and GBS/microcephaly. The conclusions drawn from the tree suggest that the African and Asian strains diverged from their ancestor around 1834 (95% highest posterior density interval [HPD], November 1814 to August 1852 [formatted throughout by year-month as “1814-11 to 1852-08”]) (node 1). The most recent common ancestor of the sylvatic African ZIKV emerged around 1889 (95% HPD, 1879-03 to 1898-01) (node 2). Furthermore, our analyses and supporting data extend the accumulating evidence that the Asian ZIKV emerged around 1946 (95% HPD, 1942-04 to 1953-10) based on the analysis, including African and Asian viruses, or around 1966 (95% HPD, 1964-02 to 1966-07), based on analysis including only viruses. Importantly, none of the identified substitutions (Fig. 1, box 1) was accompanied by a change in the sylvatic tendency of the Asian strain isolated in Malaysia.

The first recorded dispersion of ZIKV out of Africa and Asia was to Yap Island (node B). This resulted in an explosive outbreak of ZIKV infection involving 73% of the immunologically naïve population. However, as far as is known, this strain did not disperse further. Six years later, another epidemic Asian strain was identified in FP, documenting for the first time an association with microcephaly and GBS (3, 4, 15, 16). Based on the available phylogenetic data, it was considered possible that an FP strain was the source of the Latin American outbreaks of ZIKV disease (17). These authors identified 5 amino acid substitutions in the ZIKV prM protein that led them to predict major structural change in this protein. Nevertheless, the tree (Fig. 1) shows that none of these substitutions (at amino acid positions 143, 148, 157, and 158 of the prM protein) occurred in the Malaysian strain of ZIKV, which, in common with African ZIKV, caused only sporadic infections. The fifth of the prM substitutions, 153, did not occur until after node A, at which point all isolates, with the exception of the Philippine strain, were associated with epidemic spread.

Node B also identifies the point beyond which the ZIKV E protein receptor VGD changed to VGE via the amino acid substitution D683E. In general, the viral receptor plays an essential role in epidemic spread. This concept is supported by studies with a genetically engineered dengue 2 virus (DENV2) receptor loop (VEPG), which show a direct correlation between the receptor sequence and the ability of DENV-2 both to infect mammalian cells and to be transmitted by Aedes aegypti (18). Two additional and potentially important E protein amino acid substitutions, T777M (TM2; node C) and V763M (TM1; node D), were identified. These amino acids associate with the transmembrane (TM) region of infected cells and may play an active role in maintaining stability of the pH-induced trimeric E protein and also in mediating membrane fusion (19).

However, the Philippine and Micronesian isolates retained the African TM1 and TM2 amino acids (V763 and T777). The Cambodian isolate (node C) retained the African amino acid but acquired the Asian 777M TM2 amino acid. The Thailand virus isolate identified by node D retained the Asian 777M and acquired the Asian amino acid substitution 763M and the second Thailand isolate identified by node E, retained the Asian 777M and 763M but in addition acquired Y2086H (Fig. 1). Thus, the Malaysian, Micronesian, Philippine, Cambodian, and Thai viruses may represent the final progressive evolutionary stages that endow ZIKV with epidemicity before the critical substitution that appears to coincide with emergence of neurotropic ZIKV in FP.

The first appearance of a French Polynesian virus in the tree is identified by node F. Node F1 identifies a branch with 3 Samoan viruses and 6 FP viruses, and node F2 identifies another branch with 5 FP viruses. Node F3 distinguishes a branch with 2 more FP viruses, which are the most closely related FP viruses to the Latin American viruses, identified by node G. Thus, nodes F, F1, F2, and F3 define 14 FP and 3 Samoan viruses that evolved in FP/Samoa over a period of less than 3 years. Note that nodes F1 to F3 are distinguished only by synonymous and sequence-specific non-synonymous mutations.

Node F identifies the evolutionary point of emergence of epidemic neurotropic ZIKV, and this coincides precisely with the appearance of the amino acid substitution S139N in both the French Polynesian and Samoan isolates (Fig. 1, nodes F and F1). Recognition of the presence of ZIKV in human semen also coincides with the appearance of the S139N substitution. However, this may reflect more intensive study of ZIKV after its discovery in FP (20).

Amino acid 139 is in the ZIKV M protein, a transmembrane (TM) protein. While the function of this amino acid has not been defined for ZIKV, in DENV2 the equivalent amino acid is involved in the acid pH-mediated viral surface maturation process (21). Thus, all ZIKV isolates from FP onwards possess a VGE receptor sequence via the substitution D683E, the E protein TM1/TM2 substitutions (V763M/T777M), and the closely associated M protein TM modification (S139N).
FIG 1 ZIKV phylogeny of African-Asian/Pacific and Latin American virus isolates, including mapping of amino acid substitutions. Dashed boxes show positions of amino acid substitutions relative to the open reading frame of ZIKV NC_012532.1, and dashed lines indicate the branch positions in the tree at which amino acid substitutions occurred. Amino acid substitutions of specific interest are highlighted in the boxes. The 5' - and 3' -UTR positions refer to the number of nucleotide positions before the start codon and after the stop codon, respectively. For clarity, the tree displays all Pacific virus branches in blue and all Latin American virus branches in green and red. (Continued)
using trees that include or exclude African viruses, are also presented.

...tential (24).

The influence of defective interfering virus particles. In...may be... ...since all of these changes preceded the dispersion of ZIKV to both Micro-... ...(Fig. 1, box 1; see Data Sets S3 and S4; see also Fig. S1 and S2). However,...structures in the untranslated regions (UTRs) of the ZIKV genome recontribute to enhanced viral replication (21, 23).

...unique substitutions may have perturbed epidemic ZIKV and increased ZIKV neurotropicity? These questions will... ...M/T2634V (box 7)— have an impact on mosquito vector competence of the... ...since all of these changes preceded the dispersion of ZIKV to both Micronesia and separately the Pacific region further east, they are unlikely to have been primary determinants of ZIKV epidemicity, although they may make a supplementary contribution. Another factor, not revealed by current analyses, is the influence of defective interfering virus particles. In DENV, such particles have been associated with increased epidemic potential (24).

...estimated mean evolutionary rates (MERs) and times to most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) based on strict molecular clock estimates, using trees that include or exclude African viruses, are also presented (Table 1; see Data Sets S1 and S2 in the supplemental material). Estimated MERs for the tree that included African viruses (6.30 × 10^−4; 95% HPD, 5.5 × 10^−4 to 7.1 × 10^−4). Divergence of African and Asian ZIKV from a most recent common ancestor occurred around 1834 (95% HPD, 1814-11 to 1852-08). Subsequently there was a significant increase in the mean evolutionary rate of the Pacific/Latin American variants of ZIKV, an observation consistent with marked increase in virus transmission and turnover accompanying the massive island and urban outbreaks. tMRCA estimates indicate that the introduction of ZIKV into the Americas (Fig. 1, node G) occurred in November 2013 (95% HPD, 2013-10 to 2014-02). Although the timing is not significantly different from December 2013 (95% HPD, 2013-08 to 2014-04) of a previous estimate (13), the window of introduction is narrowed.

...his suggests that for the current ZIKV epidemic the SD region is probably not the most likely source. All isolates from SD are monophyletic, as are ZIKV isolates from Micronesia and the Mariana Islands. In contrast, ZIKV isolates from the Caribbean and South America form a separate branch. In summary, the data available do not support a likely hypothesis that ZIKV was introduced into the Americas from Micronesia or the Mariana Islands.

...the substitution most likely arose after ZIKV escaped the Pacific. Whether or not the M/T2634V substitution contributed to the recognized increased incidence of microcephaly in Latin America compared with the relative rate of CZVS increased significantly in Brazil compared with FP. All Latin American viruses and may also impact neurotropicity since the membrane protein substitution S139N coincided precisely with the appearance of GBS and CZVS in FP. While this does not prove cause and effect, it appears to be more than a coincidence. Another unique substitution, in the NS5 protein of ZIKV (M/T2634V [box 7]) was identified in the Asian genomes used in one of the analyses but not shown in the figure are as follows: HQ234498.1, DQ859059.1, KU720415.1, LC002520.1, NC_012532.1, AY632535.2, KU963573.1, KF268949.1, KF268948.1, KF268950.1, KU963574.1, HQ234501.1, KU955595.1, KU955592.1, KU955591.1, KF380116.1, and KX198134.1.

**Figure Legend Continued**

American virus branches in red. Red circles highlight virus sequences that were retrospectively associated with CZVS. Posterior probability support values were identical except for node F1, which were 0.92 and 0.98, respectively, for the analyses that included or excluded the African lineages (Fig. 1, nodes 1 to 2 and A to G). Accession numbers for African genomes used in one of the analyses but not shown in the figure are as follows: HQ234498.1, DQ859059.1, KU720415.1, LC002520.1, NC_012532.1, AY632535.2, KU963573.1, KF268949.1, KF268948.1, KF268950.1, KU963574.1, HQ234501.1, KU955595.1, KU955592.1, KU955591.1, KF380116.1, and KX198134.1.
The emergence of ZIKV and its widespread dispersion throughout the tropics and subtropics have undoubtedly been influenced by increasing human mobility, urbanization, and failure to reduce the spread and expand population density of ZIKV. The recent discovery that ZIKV is significantly more thermotolerant than DENV may also contribute to its success as an epidemic virus through its ability to reproduce at higher levels of viremia and to resist inactivation under unfavorable environmental conditions (27).

The use of reverse genetics, vector competence studies, and seroprevalence analyses to gain an understanding of the epidemic potential of ZIKV will enable the validity of our observations and hypotheses to be tested both in vitro and in vivo.

Accession number(s). The sequences of the additional French Polynesian isolates have been submitted to GenBank under accession no. KX447509 to KX447521.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://mbio.asm.org/lookup/suppl?doi=10.1128/mBio.01239-16/-/DCSupplemental.
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