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Summary Despite the ongoing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic, results of several pertinent studies in the field of breast cancer (BC) were presented in a virtual format at the 2020 European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) Congress. Early results of the MonarchE trial investigating the addition of the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib to standard adjuvant endocrine therapy indicated a lower recurrence rate in the combination group in a high-risk population of patients with early stage hormone receptor (HR)-positive/HER2-negative BC. In contrast, the PALLAS study evaluating adjuvant palbociclib could not confirm these results. Subtle differences in the respective trial populations, a higher discontinuation rate in PALLAS, or substance-specific differences may be responsible. In HER2-positive early stage BC, long-term results of the ADAPT-TP trial support the notion that chemotherapy-free treatment may be possible in a subset of patients with favourable response to HER2-directed therapy without compromising long-term outcome. The phase III IMpassion031 trial evaluated the addition of atezolizumab to neoadjuvant anthracycline/taxane-containing chemotherapy in triple-negative BC (TNBC). A significant improvement in terms of pathologic complete remission rate was observed but data concerning long-term outcome must be awaited. Final overall survival (OS) analysis of IMpassion130 confirmed the clinically relevant OS improvement observed with the addition of atezolizumab to first-line nab-paclitaxel in metastatic PD-L1 positive TNBC. In contrast, no benefit was observed with the addition of atezolizumab to solvent-based paclitaxel in a similar population. This contradiction is commonly explained by the need for corticosteroid co-medication with conventional paclitaxel, but the exact reason remains poorly understood. Antibody–drug conjugates (ADCs) have been successfully established in HER2-positive breast cancer; in TNBC, the phase III ASCENT trial compared the ADC sacituzumab govitecan with chemotherapy by physician’s choice in pretreated metastatic patients. A significant improvement in terms of progression-free survival and OS was observed rendering this drug a potential novel standard in this patient population.
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Introduction

After the virtual ASCO Annual Meeting, the 2020 Congress of the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) was also held in a virtual format due to the ongoing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic. Despite this drawback, long-awaited data from several important trials in the field of breast cancer (BC) were presented. This article is intended as a short review of these results.

MonarchE and PALLAS

Inhibitors of the cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) when added to endocrine therapy resulted in a major improvement of outcome in patients with hormone receptor (HR)-positive/HER2-negative metastatic BC. Based upon these results, trials evaluating the potential role of these drugs in the adjuvant...
setting were initiated. At these year’s ESMO Congress, results of two studies—MonarchE and PALLAS—were presented [1–3].

MonarchE accrued a total of 5637 patients with early stage breast cancer; patients were randomized 1:1 to standard-of-care endocrine therapy (ET) alone or the combination of ET with abemaciclib administered for a total duration of 2 years. Patients had to have high recurrence risk, defined as ≥ 4 involved lymph nodes; in case of 1–3 lymph involved nodes, a tumor size of at least 5 cm, and/or histologic grade 3 disease, and/or a proliferation rate of ≥ 20% were required. At a median follow-up of 15.5 months, estimated 2-years invasive disease-free survival (which was defined as the primary study endpoint) was improved from 88.7% in the control group to 92.2% [hazard ratio (HR) 0.75; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.60–0.93; p = 0.01] with the addition of abemaciclib; no differential effects were observed in the relevant subgroups. As expected, the most common side-effect in the abemaciclib group was diarrhea (grade 3 diarrhea 7.6%; any grade diarrhea 82.2%); apparently, this toxicity was manageable as the highest rates of grade 2/3 diarrhea was observed in the first treatment months and decreased thereafter. Overall, 16.6% of participants discontinued abemaciclib due to toxicity. At data cut-off, 72.8% of patients were still within the 2-year treatment phase.

While similar at first glance, the PALLAS trial brought contradicting results. Here, 5760 patients with early stage HR-positive/HER2-negative breast cancer were randomized to standard ET plus additional adjuvant therapy with palbociclib or control. At a median follow-up of 23.7 months, invasive disease-free survival was comparable in both arms (HR 0.93; 95% CI 0.76–1.15). Of note, patients at lower recurrence risk were included into PALLAS [18% clinical stage IIA; 13% N0], and early discontinuation rates were higher compared with MonarchE (early discontinuation rate overall 42.2%; early discontinuation rate due toxicity 27.1%). The latter observation may be explained by the mentioned subtle differences may be observed between these groups. Although further confirmation is required, these data suggest that in patients with high sensitivity to HER2-directed treatment withholding chemotherapy without compromising long-term outcome may be possible.

**ADAPT-TP**

Neoadjuvant treatment is regarded as standard-of-care for the majority of patients with early stage HER2-positive BC; with high pathologic complete remission (pCR) rates observed, several studies have concentrated on strategies of chemotherapy de-escalation. The ADAPT-TP trial was a phase II study comparing 12 weeks of neoadjuvant T-DM1 with T-DM1 plus endocrine therapy and trastuzumab plus endocrine therapy in 375 luminal B/HER2-positive early stage BC patients. The primary outcomes were already published, with 41.0% of patients in the T-DM1 group, 41.5% of patients receiving T-DM1 and ET, and 15.1% on trastuzumab and ET achieving pCR [4]. At the 2020 ESMO conference, data regarding long-term outcome were presented [5]. As expected, pCR translated into improved disease-free survival (DFS) at 5 years on a patient level (92.7% vs. 82.7%; p = 0.014) while due to the size and design of the study, no significant DFS difference was observed between the three treatment arms. Out of 117 patients with pCR, 76 received postoperative chemotherapy while 41 did not; at 5 years, no DFS difference was seen between these groups.

**IMpassion031**

In stage II and III triple-negative BC (TNBC), neoadjuvant administration of chemotherapy is the preferred treatment option and trials have focused on novel strategies to improve pCR rates. In the phase III KENYOTE-522 trial, addition of the immune checkpoint inhibitor pembrolizumab to quadruple chemotherapy (paclitaxel/carboplatin followed by epirubicin/cyclophosphamide) yielded a significant pCR increase while data regarding event-free survival (EFS) are still awaited [6]. In contrast, no significant pCR improvement was seen with the addition of the PD-L1 inhibitor atezolizumab to a nonstandard regimen consisting of eight cycles of neoadjuvant nab-paclitaxel/albumin-bound (nab) paclitaxel/carboplatin [7]. In the phase III IMpassion 031 study, atezolizumab or placebo was added to neoadjuvant nab-paclitaxel followed by doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide in 333 patients [8, 9]. Addition of immunotherapy resulted in a significant improvement of pCR rate from 41% to 58% (ΔpCR 17%; 95% CI 6–27; p = 0.0044), which was defined as the primary study endpoint. The benefit was observed independently of the PD-L1 status and pCR rates were higher in PD-L1-positive patients in both treatment arms. These data are well in line with results from KEYNOTE-522 and suggest that in contrast to metastatic TNBC, PD-L1 positivity is not required as predictive biomarker in early stage disease.
but rather describes a phenomenon of immunogenicity resulting in a general improvement of treatment activity.

Therefore, atezolizumab and pembrolizumab were both shown to increase pCR rates when added to sequential taxane/anthracycline-based chemotherapy meanwhile. If a benefit regarding long-term outcome is confirmed, it is expected that immunotherapy will become a standard component of neoadjuvant therapy for high-risk TNBC.

**IMpassion130 and IMpassion 131**

IMpassion 130 was a placebo-controlled prospective randomized phase III trial evaluating the role of atezolizumab when added to nab-paclitaxel as first-line treatment for metastatic (m) TNBC. In the primary analysis, combination treatment yielded a clinically relevant albeit formally not significant prolongation of overall survival (OS) from 15.5 to 25.0 months (HR 0.62; 95% CI 0.45–0.86) in the PD-L1-positive subset and these outcomes have led to the approval of atezolizumab in combination with nab-paclitaxel as first-line treatment of PD-L1-positive mTNBC [10]. Data from the final OS analysis at a median follow-up of 18.8 months were presented at the 2020 ESMO Congress confirming previous results [11]: In the ITT population, a trend towards improved OS was observed, with a prolongation of OS from 18.7 to 21.0 months (HR 0.87; 95% CI 0.75–1.02; p = 0.077); this difference was again driven by the PD-L1-positive subset (OS 17.9 vs. 25.4 months; HR 0.67; 95% CI 0.53–0.86) while no benefit was observed in PD-L1 negative tumours.

IMpassion131, in contrast, used conventional (solvent-based) paclitaxel as chemotherapy backbone [12]. In total, 651 patients with locally advanced, inoperable, or mTNBC without prior treatment for advanced disease were randomized 2:1 to atezolizumab or placebo plus paclitaxel. Investigator-assessed progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the primary study endpoint, and no difference was observed between the treatment groups in the PD-L1-positive subset (PFS PD-L1 positive 5.7 months atezolizumab/paclitaxel vs. 6.0 months placebo/paclitaxel; HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.66–1.12) as well as in the ITT population (5.6 vs. 5.7 months; HR 0.86; 95% CI 0.70–1.05). No differences in terms of OS were observed as well.

The exact reasons for these contradicting results are currently not well understood but usually the corticosteroid comedication required for the administration of conventional paclitaxel is held responsible. For the time being, nab-paclitaxel plus atezolizumab remains the standard-of-care in this patient population.

**ASCENT**

Sacituzumab govitecan is an antibody–drug conjugate (ADC) consisting of a monoclonal antibody target-
Funding Open access funding provided by Medical University of Vienna.

Conflict of interest R. Bartsch: advisory role: Astra-Zeneca, Celgene, Daiichi, Eisai, Eli-Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Pierre-Fabre, Puma, Roche, Samsung; lecture honoraria: Accord, Astra-Zeneca, BMS, Celgene, Eli-Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Pierre-Fabre, Roche, Sandoz; research support: Daiichi, MSD, Novartis, Roche.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. Johnston SRD, Harbeck N, Hegg R, et al. Abemaciclib in high risk early breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2020a;31(suppl. 4):LBA5.

2. Johnston SRD, Harbeck N, Hegg R, et al. Abemaciclib combined with endocrine therapy for the adjuvant treatment of HR+, HER2-, node-positive, high-risk, early breast cancer (monarchE). J Clin Oncol. 2020b;38(34):3987–98.

3. Mayer EL, Gnant MI, DeMichele A, et al. PALLAS: a randomized phase III trial of adjuvant palbociclib with endocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone for HR+/HER2− early breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(26):3046–54.

4. Harbeck N, Gluz O, Christgen M, et al. Adjusted personalized therapy trial optimizing risk assessment and therapy response prediction in early BC HER2− and hormone-receptor-positive phase II randomized trial—efficacy, safety, and predictive markers for 12 weeks of Neoadjuvant Trastuzumab Emtansine with or without endocrine therapy (ET) versus Trastuzumab plus ET. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(26):3046–54.

5. Schmid P, Cortes J, Pusztai L, et al. Pembrolizumab for early triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(9):810–21.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.