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Bilateral symmetry is a striking feature of the vertebrate body plan organization. Vertebral precursors, called somites, provide one of the best illustrations of embryonic symmetry. Maintenance of somitogenesis symmetry requires Retinoic acid (RA) and its coactivator Rere/Atrophin2. Here, using a proteomic approach we identify a protein complex, containing Wdr5, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Rere (named WHHERE), which regulates RA signalling and controls embryonic symmetry. We demonstrate that Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 are required for RA signalling in vitro and in vivo. Mouse mutants for Wdr5 and Hdac1 exhibit asymmetrical somite formation characteristic of RA-deficiency. We also identify the Rere-binding histone methyltransferase Ehmt2/G9a, as a RA coactivator controlling somite symmetry. Upon RA treatment, WHHERE and Ehmt2 become enriched at RA target genes to promote RNA Polymerase II recruitment. Our work identifies a novel protein complex linking key epigenetic regulators acting in the molecular control of embryonic bilateral symmetry.

The development of bilaterally symmetrical structures such as limbs or somites takes place concomitantly with the asymmetric formation of internal organs such as heart, gut and liver. Whereas the pathway responsible for establishing left-right identity in the embryo begins to be well understood\(^1\), little is known about the mechanisms controlling embryonic symmetry. Retinoic acid (RA) is a derivative of vitamin A, signalling via a heterodimeric RAR/RXR nuclear receptor transcription factor\(^2\)-\(^4\). In the absence of RA, the heterodimer binds target genes together with the SMRT and NCoR corepressor complexes and histone deacetylases such as Hdac3 to silence gene expression. When the RA ligand binds to RAR, the corepressors are replaced by a set of coactivators including histone acetyltransferases, contributing to active transcription of RA target genes\(^5\),\(^6\). In the absence of RA signalling in the mouse embryo, somite formation becomes asymmetrical, showing a significant delay on
the right side\textsuperscript{7}. A similar somite desynchronization phenotype is also observed in mutants for the protein Rere (or Atrophin2) which acts as a coactivator for RA signalling\textsuperscript{8}.

In order to understand the mechanism of action of Rere in the RA pathway controlling somite symmetry, we first set out to identify Rere-interacting proteins in the mouse mesoderm. To that end, we generated a transgenic mouse line, which allows the conditional expression of a tagged version of Rere containing two HA epitopes at the C-terminal end of the protein (Rere-HA). A Rere-HA construct preceded by a LoxP-STOP-LoxP cassette was introduced into the Rosa26 locus by homologous recombination in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells. We then used these cells to generate a Rosa26-LoxP-STOP-LoxP-Rere-HA mouse line (RS-Rere-HA line). Whereas Rere mutants (Rere\textsuperscript{om/om}) die around E9.5 with defects in forebrain, heart and a right-side specific delay in somite formation\textsuperscript{8,9}, expression of at least one Rere-HA allele in the mutant Rere\textsuperscript{om/om} background led to morphologically normal embryos (Supplementary Fig. 1a-c). Therefore, the tagged Rere-HA protein is functional in vivo.

To direct expression of Rere-HA to the mesoderm, RS-Rere-HA mice were crossed to the T-Cre mouse line\textsuperscript{10}. We prepared whole cell protein extracts from approximately 600 RS-Rere-HA;T-Cre embryos, and performed affinity purification using anti-HA antibodies under high or low salt conditions (Fig. 1a). To identify the immunoprecipitated proteins, eluted fractions were submitted to mass spectrometry analysis using the multidimensional protein identification technology (MudPIT)\textsuperscript{11}. A set of 105 common proteins was found between the different immunopurification conditions (Supplementary Fig. 1d and Supplementary Table 1). Hierarchical clustering analysis of the 105 proteins based on the normalized spectral abundance factor (NSAF)\textsuperscript{12} in the different immunoprecipitation conditions identified three abundant proteins tightly clustering with Rere (Supplementary Fig. 1e,f). These include Rere’s known binding partners Hdac1 and Hdac2 as well as a novel partner, Wdr\textsuperscript{13-16} (Fig.
1b and Supplementary Fig. 2a). To estimate relative protein levels, we compared the NSAF values for each protein\(^\text{12}\). NSAF for Rere, Hdac1 and Hdac2 were similar while it was three times higher for Wdr5 (Fig. 1b). These results suggest that the proteins Rere, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Wdr5 can interact in the mesoderm.

To validate the identification of Wdr5 as a novel interacting partner of Rere, Hdac1 and Hdac2, we co-expressed tagged versions of the four proteins (Rere-Flag, Flag-Hdac1, Flag-Hdac2 and HA-Wdr5) using a baculovirus-insect cell expression system. After Flag immunoprecipitation of Rere, Hdac1 and Hdac2, HA-Wdr5 was detected in the eluates, as confirmed by LC-MS/MS analysis of the Coomassie stained gel bands (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 2b). The four proteins still co-purified together at high salt concentration (500 mM KCl) suggesting the existence of a stable protein interaction network between Rere, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Wdr5 (Fig. 1c). By co-immunoprecipitation of baculovirus-expressed Rere-Flag and HA-Wdr5, we could demonstrate that Rere binds directly to Wdr5 (Fig. 1d). However Wdr5 does not bind directly to Hdac1 or Hdac2 in high or low salt wash (Supplementary Fig. 2c), suggesting that Rere acts as a scaffolding component binding Hdac1/Hdac2 and Wdr5. To analyse whether the four co-immunopurified proteins form a stable protein complex, we carried out gel filtration chromatography followed by western blot and mass spectrometry analysis. All four proteins co-eluted together in a fraction corresponding to a high molecular weight complex of 0.5-0.6 MDa (Fig. 1e,f and Supplementary Fig. 2d,e). This molecular weight is consistent with the abundance predicted by the NSAF values of the proteomic analysis. Additionally, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Wdr5 co-immunoprecipitated with the endogenous Rere in NIH3T3 cells further supporting the existence of such a protein complex (Fig. 1g). Altogether, these results suggest that Wdr5,
Hdac1, Hdac2 and Rere can form a stable protein complex, which we called the WHHERE complex.

To test the coactivator properties of WHHERE on RA signalling, we transfected NIH3T3 fibroblast cells with expression vectors coding for either Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 or Hdac2, together with a RA reporter containing the well-characterized Retinoic Acid Response Element (RARE) of the known RA target Retinoic Acid Receptor beta (Rarβ)\(^{17}\), driving luciferase expression. In the presence of RA, overexpression of each of the four WHHERE complex proteins increased RA reporter expression (Fig. 2a). Furthermore, co-transfection of Hdac1 and Hdac2 cooperates to activate RA signalling (Fig. 2b). Conversely, treatment of fibroblast cultures with siRNAs against Rere, Hdac1 or Wdr5 in the presence of RA decreased RARE-Luciferase reporter activity (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 3a-c). Treatment with Hdac2 siRNA led to an increase of RARE-Luciferase reporter activity (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 3d), which might be explained by a stabilization of Hdac1 (due to the decrease of Hdac2), potentially resulting in an increase in RA signalling\(^{18,19}\). Consistent with this possibility, the double knockdown of Hdac1 and Hdac2 further decreased RA signalling compared to Hdac1 siRNA alone (Fig. 2d). Furthermore, Hdac1 or Hdac1/Hdac2 depletion reduced RA activation mediated by Rere and Wdr5 (Fig. 2e,f). Inhibition of deacetylase enzymatic activity with a range of chemical inhibitors decreased RA signalling (Fig. 2g)\(^{20}\). In line with this, overexpression of Rere or Wdr5 did not lead to a significant increase in RA signalling when cells were treated with the HDAC inhibitors Trichostatin A (TSA) or Sodium Butyrate (SB) (Fig. 2h,i). Hdac1 and Hdac2 have been shown to bind Rere N-terminal region\(^{9,13,14}\). In the presence of RA, overexpression of the N-terminal region of Rere (N-Rere) strongly increased RA signalling whereas no activation could be seen with Rere C-terminal region (Rere-C) (Fig. 2j). The activation by N-Rere was dependent on
deacetylase activity since TSA or SB treatment strongly decreased N-Rere dependent RA signalling (Fig. 2k). Overall, these results show that the WHHERE complex proteins Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 act to trigger the RA pathway activation in NIH3T3 cells. Moreover, activation of RA signalling by the WHHERE complex depends on Hdac1 and Hdac2 deacetylase activity.

To analyze WHHERE-dependent RA regulation in vivo, we characterized the phenotype of mouse embryos mutant for the different components of the complex. Hdac1 mutants exhibit a variety of developmental defects similar to Rereom/om embryos. We introduced the RARE-LacZ reporter in Hdac1-null embryos and observed a strong downregulation of LacZ expression, similar to that seen in Rere mutants (Fig. 3a-c). Hdac2 mutants do not show developmental defects and can survive until the perinatal period. To analyze Wdr5 function in vivo we first set out to generate a conditional knock-out mouse line by introducing LoxP sites flanking exons 2 to exon 4 (Supplementary Fig. 4a). No heterozygous embryos were recovered at E8.5 after crossing with an ubiquitous Cre suggesting that the Wdr5 mutation is heterozygous lethal at early stages. To circumvent this issue, we crossed mice homozygous for the Wdr5 conditional allele (Wdr5fl/fl) to the mesoderm-specific T-Cre line. Removal of a single Wdr5 allele in Wdr5fl/+;T-Cre;RARE-LacZ embryos was sufficient to strongly decrease beta-galactosidase staining in the mesoderm (Fig. 3d). In contrast, no significant downregulation of Notch target genes such as Lfng or Hes7 was detected in these mutants suggesting the Notch-dependent somite segmentation clock appears normal (Supplementary Fig. 4b-e). Altogether, these results support the function of the WHHERE complex in the control of RA signalling in the embryo.
We next examined the effect of null mutations of members of the WHHERE complex on somite symmetry. In nearly half of the Hdac1\textsuperscript{+/−} embryos, we observed a right side delay of somite formation resembling the defect observed in Rere\textsuperscript{om/om} and Raldh2\textsuperscript{−/−} mutants\textsuperscript{7,8} (Fig. 3e-g,i,j and Supplementary Fig. 4f). Somite desynchronization defects were also observed in a limited number of Wdr5\textsuperscript{fl/+};T-Cre embryos (Fig. 3h). We next intercrossed Wdr5\textsuperscript{fl/+} and Rere;T-Cre mice. Strikingly Rere\textsuperscript{om/om};Wdr5\textsuperscript{fl/+};T-Cre embryos exhibited a stronger phenotype than either Rere\textsuperscript{om/om} or Wdr5\textsuperscript{fl/+};T-Cre (Fig. 3l-o) with ~80% (7 out of 9) of the embryos with a right side delay in somitogenesis (Fig. 3k and Supplementary Fig. 4f) and in ~35% (3 out 9) of the embryos, no clear segmented structures forming on the right side (Fig. 3o and Supplementary Fig. 4g,h). This provides strong evidence for genetic interaction between Wdr5 and Rere in the control of somite symmetry. Consistently, transfection of Rere and Wdr5 together in NIH3T3 cells activated RA signalling more strongly than overexpression of either of the proteins alone (Fig. 2l) and Rere-dependent RA activation is no longer observed in cells depleted of Wdr5 (Fig. 2m). Overall, these data reveal the importance of WHHERE in positive regulation of RA signalling and in the control of somitogenesis synchronization in mouse embryos.

Next we analyzed the binding of the WHHERE complex to RA regulated genes by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). To that end, we first checked the expression kinetics of the RARE-Luciferase reporter and of the endogenous Rarβ gene in NIH3T3 cells treated with RA for 1, 2 and 6 hours. At 2 and 6 hours, strong transcriptional activation was observed for both RA targets (Fig. 4a,b). In mouse embryos, we observed by ChIP analysis that the Retinoic Acid Receptor alpha (Rara) and all the WHHERE complex components were present at the Rarβ promoter and at the RARE-containing reporter RARE-LacZ (Fig. 4c-f). In ChIP experiments performed 1 hour after RA treatment of NIH3T3 cells, Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1
and Hdac2 but not Rarα increased at the Rarβ promoter (Fig. 4g,h). This effect was specific, as no significant enrichment of WHHERE complex members could be observed in regions upstream of the Rarβ promoter in similar conditions (Fig. 4h, bottom graph). Recruitment of RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) increased following RA treatment paralleling the WHHERE complex recruitment (Fig. 4i). Then we investigated the requirement of Hdac1 deacetylase activity in transcription activation and Pol II recruitment during RA signalling. Decreased RARE-Luciferase and Rarβ expression was observed in TSA-treated cells after RA treatment demonstrating the importance of HDAC activity in early activation of RA target genes (Fig. 4a,b). TSA treatment or siRNA-mediated knockdown of Hdac1 decreased Pol II recruitment at the Rarβ promoter (Fig. 4j,k). No change in Pol II occupancy levels could be detected in RA target genes unresponsive to RA in NIH3T3 cells such as Cyp26a1 and Hoxa1 or in promoters of genes unresponsive to RA signalling (Supplementary Fig. 3h-j and data not shown). In transfected cells expressing Flag-Hdac1 alone or Flag-Hdac1 and Rere-HA, we observed binding of Hdac1 to endogenous Rarα. This suggests that Hdac1 could bridge the WHHERE complex to Rarα (Fig. 4l-n). Together, these data support a role of the WHHERE complex in the recruitment of Pol II necessary for early activation of RA regulated genes. This role depends on the deacetylase activity of Hdac1 and Hdac2.

The histone methyltransferase Ehmt2 (G9a) was shown to bind the N-terminal SANT domain of Rere, and together with Hdac1/Hdac2 to regulate the methylation of H3K9 at specific loci leading to the formation of compact heterochromatin and gene silencing. In the proteomic experiment, Ehmt2 (and the related protein Ehmt1) were detected with low NSAF values compared to the members of the WHHERE complex suggesting that its binding to Rere might be transient (Supplementary Fig. 5a). In mouse embryos deficient for Ehmt2 crossed to the RARE-LacZ reporter, LacZ expression is downregulated suggesting that Ehmt2 is
also implicated in positive regulation of RA signalling (Fig. 5a,b). Furthermore, half of the
Ehmt2 mutant embryos presented a desynchronization of somite formation on the right side
resembling mutants of members of the WHHERE complex (Fig. 5c-e; Supplementary Fig.
4f). In NIH3T3 cultures, siRNA-mediated knockdown of Ehmt2 led to a downregulation of
the RARE-Luciferase reporter activity (Fig. 5f and Supplementary Fig. 3e), whereas
overexpressing Ehmt2 (or Ehmt1) stimulates RA signalling (Fig. 5g and Supplementary Fig.
5b). Co-transfection of Ehmt2 with Rere increases the RA response more than transfection of
either one alone (Fig. 5h). siRNA-mediated knockdown of Ehmt2 inhibited Rere and Hdac1-
dependent activation of the RA pathway (Fig. 5i). In NIH3T3 cells, Ehmt2 was recruited at
the RARE element of the Rarβ promoter after 1 hour of RA treatment while no such
enrichment was observed in upstream regions (Fig. 5j and Supplementary Fig. 5c). In mouse
embryos Ehmt2 could also be detected at the RARE element present in both the Rarβ
promoter and the RARE-LacZ reporter (Fig. 4o,p). Knockdown of Ehmt2 in NIH3T3 cells
reduced the occupancy levels of Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Pol II at the Rarβ promoter
(Fig. 5k,l and Supplementary Fig. 5d). In NIH3T3 cells, inhibition of Ehmt2
methyltransferase activity with UNC0638 (U38) or UNC0646 (U46)24,25 did not alter RARE-
Luciferase reporter activity or Rarβ mRNA expression (Supplementary Fig. 5e,f). Also no
difference in H3K9me1 nor H3K9me2 levels was observed by ChIP after 1 hour of RA
treatment suggesting that Ehmt2 function is independent from its methyltransferase activity
(Supplementary Fig. 5g,h). This suggests that Ehmt2 could function as a scaffold protein to
stabilize the WHHERE complex at RA regulated genes to allow Pol II loading. Thus, these
results indicate that Ehmt2 acts together with the WHHERE coactivator complex in the RA-
dependent control of somite bilateral symmetry.
The WHHERE complex contains Wdr5, which is part of complexes such as ATAC, MOF and MLL, that are involved in histone acetylation and H3K4 methylation, which are chromatin modifications associated with transcriptional activation. Despite the requirements of HDAC enzymatic activity for WHHERE function, we observed an increase of the levels of acetylated H3 and H4 as well as H3K27ac on the Rarβ promoter upon 1 hour of RA treatment of NIH3T3 cells (Fig. 6a-c). This suggests that Hdac1 and Hdac2 might act on non-histone substrates or both could participate to the stability of the WHHERE complex. The H3K36me3 mark, which is associated to transcription elongation, was also increased (Fig. 6d). We also found that in the same conditions, H3K27me3 is absent from the Rarβ promoter (Fig. 6e), whereas H3K4me1 increases while H3K4me2 and H3K4me3 decrease (Fig. 6f-h). MLL3 and MLL4 are complexes which contain Wdr5 and regulate the deposition of the H3K4me1 mark. The MLL3 and MLL4 complexes have been shown to be involved in RA-dependent transcription and Wdr5 might provide a link with the WHHERE complex. Whether these complexes are also required for the WHHERE-dependent Pol II recruitment to the promoter of RA targets remains to be investigated.

The histone acetyltransferase Ep300 (p300) has been shown to acetylate Hdac1 leading to a decrease of its deacetylase activity. In the presence of RA, overexpression of Ep300 in NIH3T3 cells decreased RA signalling and inhibited Hdac1-dependent RA activation (Fig. 7a). In line with this, transfection of an Hdac1 mutant form resistant to Ep300 acetylation (H1-6R) activated more strongly the RA pathway than wild-type Hdac1 (H1-WT) (Fig. 7b). Moreover, Rara- and Rere-dependent RA activation was inhibited following Ep300 overexpression whereas transfection of Kat2a (Gcn5) increased RA signalling more than Rara or Rere alone (Fig. 7c,d). While treatment of fibroblast cultures with siRNAs against Rere or Kat2a in the presence of RA decreased RA reporter activity, knockdown of Ep300
did not affect the RA pathway (Fig. 7e). Similarly in Ep300 mutant embryos ($Ep300^{-/-}$), the RARE-LacZ reporter$^{22}$ expression appeared normal (Fig. 7f,g) and somitogenesis progressed symmetrically (Fig. 7h,i). Altogether these results demonstrated that Ep300 negatively regulates Hdac1 activation of RA signalling, and Kat2a can participate together with the WHHERE complex in the activation of the RA pathway.

The molecular mechanisms controlling embryonic bilateral symmetry are still poorly understood. In mouse, the only genes shown to act in this process are Raldh2 and Rere which are involved in the control of RA signalling$^{7,8}$. Here, we identify a novel protein complex, called WHHERE which associates key epigenetic regulators such as Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 to the control of bilateral symmetry downstream of RA signalling. In the background of a situs inversus mutation (a mutation that can reverse left-right identity of the body), Raldh2 and Rere-deficient mouse embryos can show a reversed somite defect, i.e., left-side delay of somitogenesis$^{8,31}$. This therefore argues that WHHERE-dependent RA signalling buffers a desynchronizing influence of the left-right determination pathway, involved in asymmetrical development of internal organs. RA signalling was shown to directly antagonize the left identity determinant Fgf8 in the mouse embryo through recruitment of Hdac1 and Prc2, providing a potential explanation for this buffering effect$^{32,33}$. Thus, our work identifies a new pathway antagonizing FGF signalling downstream of RA to control bilateral symmetry in the mouse embryo.

Strikingly most of the members of the WHHERE complex including Rere, Hdac1, Hdac2 and also Ehmt2 have been generally associated to transcriptional repression$^{34-36}$. Studies like those reported here may be necessary to fully understand the functions of coregulators, which may act as coactivators or corepressors depending on the developmental context and genes involved. Rere was shown to act as a coactivator for RA signalling$^{8}$ and Hdac1/Hdac2 are
required for transcriptional activation of the MMTV promoter downstream of the glucocorticoid receptor\textsuperscript{29}. Ehmt2 has also been shown to participate in the positive regulation of a subset of glucocorticoid receptor-regulated genes\textsuperscript{37,38}. Our data shows that in the context of RA signalling these transcriptional repressors can form a complex, which acts as a coactivator of the pathway. The positive role of these proteins in signalling mediated by other nuclear receptors suggests that the WHHERE complex could also have a broader role as a coactivator downstream of nuclear receptor signalling. The positive regulation of RA signalling by Hdac1 and Hdac2 identified in this work might have significant implications for the potential use of HDAC inhibitors for the treatment of RA-sensitive cancers\textsuperscript{39}.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

*Note: Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.*
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Figure 1_Vilhais-Neto
Figure 1 Proteomic identification of the WHHERE protein complex containing Wdr5, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Rere.

(a) Schematic workflow for the proteomic strategy used to identify Rere-associated proteins.
(b) Hierarchical clustering of the NSAF values showing Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 clustering with Rere identified by MudPIT. Columns correspond to different immunoprecipitations conditions. Table showing the peptide count, spectral count, sequence coverage (%) and average NSAF values for Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2.
(c) SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie blue after Flag immunopurification at low (150 mM KCl) and high (500 mM KCl) salt wash of the recombinant WHHERE complex from baculoviruses infected cells co-expressing Rere-Flag, Flag-Hdac1, Flag-Hdac2 and HA-Wdr5. Identification of the different components was confirmed by LC-MS/MS analysis of the corresponding gel bands.
(d) Flag immunoprecipitation from extracts of cells infected with baculoviruses expressing HA-Wdr5 and Rere-Flag. Flag and HA western blot. The three bands recognized by the anti-Flag antibodies correspond to Rere and its degradation products.
(e) Gel filtration chromatography profile of the WHHERE complex purified from baculoviruses infected cells co-expressing Rere-Flag, Flag-Hdac1, Flag-Hdac2 and HA-Wdr5.
(f) Immunoblot analysis for Rere, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Wdr5 showing the gel filtration chromatography elution fractions from A8 to B2.
(g) Rere immunoprecipitation from NIH3T3 cells identifying the endogenous WHHERE complex components. Rere, Hdac1, Hdac2 and Wdr5 were detected after western blot analysis of Rere immunoprecipitation eluates and compared to a negative control immunoprecipitation (IgG) and input extract.
Figure 2 _Vilhais-Neto_
Figure 2 The WHHERE complex acts as a coactivator for retinoic acid signalling. (a-k) RARE-Luciferase activity from NIH3T3 cells treated or not with 1 µM RA for 20 hours. (a) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 or Hdac2 (n = 4). (b) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing Hdac1, Hdac2 or both (n = 4). (c) Cells treated either with siRNA for Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 or Hdac2 (n = 4). (d) Cells treated with siRNA for Hdac1, Hdac2 or both (n = 4). (e) Cells overexpressing Rere or Wdr5 and treated with siRNA against Hdac1 (n = 4). (f) Cells overexpressing Rere or Wdr5 and treated with siRNA against both Hdac1 and Hdac2 (n = 4). (g) Cells treated with the HDAC inhibitors Trichostatin A (TSA) (60 nM), Sodium butyrate (SB) (3 mM), Apicidin (Api) (300 nM), LAQ824 (LAQ) (60 nM) and Panobinostat (Pano) (30 nM) (n = 4). (h) Cells overexpressing Rere or Wdr5 and treated with TSA (30 nM) (n = 4). (i) Cells overexpressing Rere or Wdr5 and treated with SB (1.5 mM) (n = 4). (j) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing Rere, N-Rere (Rere N-terminal domain) or Rere-C (Rere C-terminal domain) (n = 3). (k) Cells overexpressing N-Rere (Rere N-terminal domain) and treated with TSA (30 nM) or SB (1.5 mM) (n = 4). (l) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing Rere, Wdr5 or both (n = 4). (m) Cells overexpressing Rere and treated with siRNA for Wdr5 (n = 4). In all graphs data represent mean ± s.e.m.. NS - not significant, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.
Figure 3_Vilhais-Neto
**Figure 3** The WHHERE complex controls somite bilateral symmetry.

(a-d) RARE-LacZ expression in wild-type (a), $\text{Rere}^{om/om}$ (b), $\text{Hdac1}^{-/-}$ (c) and $\text{Wdr5}^{0/+};T$-Cre (d) embryos at E8.75-E9.0 (dorsal views).

(e-h) *In situ* hybridization showing somites labeled with *Uncx4.1* in wild-type (e), $\text{Rere}^{om/om}$ (f), $\text{Hdac1}^{-/-}$ (g) and $\text{Wdr5}^{0/+};T$-Cre (h) embryos at E8.75-E9.0 (dorsal views).

(i-k) Graphs representing the number of 7- to 15-somite stage embryos with left-sided (orange), symmetric (blue) or right-sided (green) delay in somite formation: $\text{Rere}^{om/om}$ (i), $\text{Hdac1}^{-/-}$ (j) and $\text{Rere}^{om/om};\text{Wdr5}^{0/+};T$-Cre (k).

(l-o) *In situ* hybridization for *Uncx4.1* in wild-type (l), $\text{Wdr5}^{0/+};T$-Cre (m), $\text{Rere}^{om/om};\text{Wdr5}^{0/+}$ (n) and $\text{Rere}^{om/om};\text{Wdr5}^{0/+};T$-Cre (o) embryos at E8.75-E9.0 (dorsal views).
Figure 4_Vilhais-Neto
**Figure 4** The WHHERE complex is recruited to the promoter of retinoic acid target genes.

(a) RARE-Luciferase activity of NIH3T3 cells treated with 1 µM RA (blue) or 1 µM RA and 100 nM TSA (green) during 1, 2 or 6 hours (n = 4).

(b) qPCR analysis of Rarβ expression from NIH3T3 cells treated with 1 µM RA (blue) or 1 µM RA and 100 nM TSA (green) during 1, 2 or 6 hours (n = 4).

(c, d) ChIP analysis of the Rarβ promoter from E8.75 RARE-LacZ mouse embryos with specific antibodies against Rara (c) or Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 (d). Top panels in c and d show ChIP of the RARE element in the Rarβ promoter (n = 3). Bottom panels show control ChIP from an upstream region (-3Kb) of the Rarβ promoter (data represent mean ± s.d. from triplicate PCR reactions).

(e, f) ChIP analysis of the RARE sequence in the RARE-LacZ reporter with specific antibodies against Rara (e) or Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 (f) using E8.75 RARE-LacZ mouse embryos (n = 3).

(g-i) Rarβ promoter analysis by ChIP of NIH3T3 cells treated or not during 1 hour with 1 µM RA with specific antibodies for Rara (g), Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 (h) or Pol II (i). Top panels in h and i show ChIP of the RARE element in the Rarβ promoter (n = 3). Bottom panels show control ChIP from an upstream region (-3Kb) of the Rarβ promoter (data represent mean ± s.d. from triplicate PCR reactions).

(j) ChIP of the Rarβ promoter with Pol II antibody using NIH3T3 cells treated with 1 µM RA or 1 µM RA and 100 nM TSA for 1 hour (n = 3).

(k) ChIP with Pol II antibody from NIH3T3 cells transfected with siRNA for Hdac1 and treated with 1 µM RA during 1 hour (n = 3).

(l-n) Co-immunoprecipitation using NIH3T3 cells transfected with expression plasmids for Flag-Hdac1 (l) or Rere-HA and Flag-Hdac1 (m, n). Immunoprecipitation with anti-Flag (l, m) or anti-HA (n) antibody and immunoblots (IB) for Flag-Hdac1, Rere-HA and Rara.

(o) ChIP analysis of the Rarβ promoter from E8.75 RARE-LacZ mouse embryos with specific antibody against Ehmt2. Top panel in o show ChIP of the RARE element in the Rarβ promoter (n = 3). Bottom panel show control ChIP from an upstream region (-3Kb) of the Rarβ promoter (data represent mean ± s.d. from triplicate PCR reactions).

(p) ChIP analysis of the RARE sequence in the RARE-LacZ reporter with specific antibody against Ehmt2 using E8.75 RARE-LacZ mouse embryos (n = 3).

In all graphs data represent mean ± s.e.m. unless otherwise specified. NS - not significant, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.
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Figure 5 Ehmt2 controls retinoic acid signalling and symmetric somite formation. (a, b) RARE-LacZ activity in control Ehmt2\(^{+/+}\) (a) and Ehmt2\(^{gt/gt}\) (b) embryos at E8.75-E9.0 (dorsal views).
(e, d) In situ hybridization for Uncx4.1 in wild-type Ehmt2\(^{+/+}\) (c) and Ehmt2\(^{gt/gt}\) (d) embryos at E8.75-E9.0 (dorsal views).
(e) Graph representing the number of 7- to 15-somite stage Ehmt2\(^{gt/gt}\) embryos with left-sided (orange), symmetric (blue) or right-sided (green) delay in somite formation.
(f-i) RARE-Luciferase activity from NIH3T3 cells treated with or without 1 µM RA for 20 hours.
(f) Cells treated with siRNA for Ehmt2 (n = 4).
(g) Cells transfected with an Ehmt2 expression plasmid (n = 4).
(h) Cells co-transfected with Rere and Ehmt2 expression plasmids (n = 4).
(i) Cells overexpressing Rere or Hda1 and treated with siRNA against Ehmt2 (n = 4).
(j) ChIP of the Rarβ promoter with a specific antibody for Ehmt2 using NIH3T3 cells treated or not with 1 µM RA during 1 hour (n = 3).
(k, l) ChIP analysis of the Rarβ promoter in NIH3T3 cells transfected with siRNA for Ehmt2 and treated with 1 µM RA during 1 hour. ChIP was performed with antibodies specific to Rere, Wdr5, Hda1 and Hda2 (k) or Pol II (l) (n = 3).
In all graphs data represent mean ± s.e.m.. NS - not significant, *\(P < 0.05\) and **\(P < 0.01\).
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Figure 6 Effect of RA treatment on different histone acetylation and methylation marks. (a-h) ChIP analysis of the Rarfβ promoter from NIH3T3 cells treated with 1 μM RA during 1 hour. ChIP was performed with specific antibodies to H3ac (a), H4ac (b), H3K27ac (c), H3K36me3 (d), H3K27me3 (e), H3K4me1 (f), H3K4me2 (g) and H3K4me3 (h) (n = 3). In all graphs data represent mean ± s.e.m. NS - not significant, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.
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Figure 7 Kat2a but not Ep300 acts as a coactivator for retinoic acid signalling.

(a-e) RARE-Luciferase activity from NIH3T3 cells treated or not with 1 µM RA for 20 hours.

(a) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing Ep300, Hdac1 or both (n = 4).
(b) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing human Hdac1 (H1-WT) or Hdac1 mutant (H1-6R) (n = 4).
(c) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing Rara, Rara and Ep300 or Rara and Kat2a (n = 3).
(d) Cells transfected with expression plasmids containing Rere, Rere and Ep300 or Rere and Kat2a (n = 3).
(e) Cells treated either with siRNA for Rere, Ep300 or Kat2a (n = 4).

In all graphs data represent mean ± s.e.m.. NS - not significant, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.

(f, g) RARE-LacZ expression in wild-type Ep300⁺/⁺ (f) and Ep300⁻/⁻ (g) embryos at E8.75-E9.0 (dorsal views).

(h, i) In situ hybridization showing somites labeled with Uncx4.1 in wild-type Ep300⁺/⁺ (h) and Ep300⁻/⁻ (i) embryos at E8.75-E9.0 (dorsal views).
Supplementary Figure 1_Vilhais-Neto
**Supplementary Figure 1** (related to Figure 1) Identification of Rere-associated proteins using multidimensional protein identification technology (MudPIT).

(a-c) Rescue of Rere<sup>om/om</sup> embryos by ubiquitous expression of Rere-HA from the Rosa26 locus. In situ hybridization with Uncx4.1 in E8.75 Rere<sup>om/om</sup> (a) (dorsal view), E9.5 Rere<sup>om/om</sup>;Rosa26<sup>Rere-HA/+</sup> (b) and E10 Rere<sup>om/om</sup>;Rosa26<sup>Rere-HA/Rere-HA</sup> (c) mouse embryos (lateral views). Rere<sup>om/om</sup> mutant embryos (Mut).

(d) Venn diagram comparing the lists of proteins identified after Rere-HA immunoprecipitation in the four different conditions. HS-S: High Salt and Sigma HA beads, LS-R: Low Salt and Roche HA beads, LS-S: Low Salt and Sigma HA beads, HS-R: High Salt and Roche HA beads. The intersection of all four conditions identified 116 distinct entries that correspond to 105 proteins.

(e, f) Relative protein abundance represented as normalized spectra abundance factor (NSAF) values clustered using Pearson correlation as a distance metric and Ward as a method. Each column represents an individual purification and each row represents a prey protein. The color intensity depicts the protein abundance with the brightest yellow indicating highest abundance and lower intensity indicating decreasing abundance. Black indicates that the protein was not detected in a particular sample.

(e) Hierarchical clustering of the 105 proteins identified with less stringent criteria.

(f) Hierarchical clustering of the 22 proteins identified with a more stringent method using the QSpec software.

Rere protein clustered with Wdr5, Hdac1 and Hdac2 proteins with the two different methods used for analysis.
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Supplementary Figure 2 (related to Figure 1) Biochemical characterization of the WHHERE complex.

(a) Western blot analysis of Rere-HA immunoprecipitation eluates from T-Cre;RS-Rere-HA mouse embryos using antibodies against HA, Hdad1, Hdad2 and Wdr5. Replicate 1 (Repl1) and Replicate 2 (Repl2).

(b) Protein sequence coverage (%), number of unique peptides and spectral counts obtained from the gel LC-MS/MS analysis of Coomassie stained gel bands (shown in Fig. 1c) containing the recombinant WHHERE complex members (Rere-Flag, Flag-Hdad1, Flag-Hdad2 and HA-Wdr5).

(c) Flag or HA immunoprecipitation from extracts of cells infected with baculoviruses expressing HA-Wdr5, Flag-Hdad1 and Flag-Hdad2 at low (150 mM KCl) and high (500 mM KCl) salt wash. Flag and HA western blot.

(d) Standard curve performed on the gel filtration column to define the molecular weight (MW) of the WHHERE complex. The MW of Thyroglobulin (670 kDa), γ-globulin (158 kDa), Ovalbumin (44 kDa), Myoglobin (17 kDa) and Vitamin B12 (1355 Da) was plotted based on their elution volume on the Superose 6 column in which the WHHERE complex was analyzed.

(e) MudPIT analysis of the recombinant WHHERE complex before gel filtration analysis (Input) and after gel filtration from pooled elution fractions containing (A11-A12-B1) or not (B5-B6) the WHHERE complex.
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Supplementary Figure 3 (related to Figures 2, 4, 5 and 7) Efficiency of the siRNAs used to knockdown Rere, Wdr5, Hdac1, Hdac2, Ehmt2, Ep300 and Kat2a.

(a-e) Transfection of NIH3T3 cells with siRNAs targeting Rere (a), Wdr5 (b), Hdac1 (c), Hdac2 (d), Ehmt2 (e), Ep300 (f) and Kat2a (g). qPCR analysis of Rere (a), Wdr5 (b), Hdac1 (c), Hdac2 (d), Ehmt2 (e), Ep300 (f) and Kat2a (g) mRNA. Expression level of each gene was normalized to Rplp0 mRNA (n = 4).

(h-j) Pol II occupancy on the Cyp26a1 RARE1, Cyp26a1 RARE2 and Hoxa1 RARE elements. ChIP analysis of the Cyp26a1 RARE1 (h), Cyp26a1 RARE2 (i) and Hoxa1 RARE (j) elements with Pol II antibody using NIH3T3 cells treated with 1 µM RA or 1 µM RA and 100 nM TSA for 1 hour (data represent mean ± s.d. from triplicate PCR reactions).

In all graphs data represent mean ± s.e.m. unless otherwise specified. **P < 0.01.
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Supplementary Figure 4 (related to Figures 3 and 5) Asymmetric somite development in mutant embryos for Rere, Hdac1, Wdr5 and Ehmt2. 

(a) Wdr5 conditional allele (Wdr5^{fl/+}) mice were generated by inserting two loxP sites flanking the region encompassing exon 2 to exon 4 in C57BL/6N-derived ES cells. The Neo selection cassette flanked by FRT site was removed in vivo using a FlpO deleter mice. The removal of exon 2, 3 and 4 with a Cre deleter mice led to early embryonic lethality before E8.5.

(b-e) Normal expression of Notch-related genes, Lfng and Hes7, in Wdr5^{fl/+};T-Cre embryos. 

In situ hybridization for Lfng (b and e) and Hes7 (d and e) in wild-type (b and d) and Wdr5^{fl/+};T-Cre (c and e) embryos at E9.0-E9.5 (lateral views).

(f) Graph representing the percentage of 7- to 15-somite stage embryos with left-sided (orange), symmetric (blue) or right-sided (green) delay in somite formation in wild-type, Rere^{om/om}, Hdac1^{1/2}, Ehmt2^{gt/gt} and Rere^{om/om};Wdr5^{fl/+};T-Cre embryos.

(g) In situ hybridization for Uncx4.1 in wild-type and mutant embryos representing different categories of phenotype severity: Low, Medium and High.

(h) Graph representing the percentage of embryos with Low, Medium and High right-sided phenotype severity in Rere^{om/om} and Rere^{om/om};Wdr5^{fl/+};T-Cre embryos.
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Supplementary Figure 5 (related to Figure 5) Ehmt2 and the family-related protein Ehmt1 regulate retinoic acid signalling.

(a) Table representing the peptide count, spectral count, percentage of coverage (%) and average NSAF values for Ehmt1 and Ehmt2 from the MUDPIT analysis of the in vivo Rere-HA immunoprecipitation.

(b) RARE-Luciferase activity from NIH3T3 cells treated or not with 1 µM RA for 20 hours. Cells were transfected with one of the expression plasmids containing Ehmt2 or Ehmt1 (n = 4).

(c) ChIP analysis of an upstream region (-3 Kb) of the Rarβ promoter with a specific antibody for Ehmt2 using NIH3T3 cells treated or not with 1 µM RA during 1 hour (data represent mean ± s.d. from triplicate PCR reactions).

(d) ChIP analysis of the RARE element in the Rarβ promoter using NIH3T3 cells transfected with siRNA for Ehmt2 and treated with 1 µM RA during 1 hour. ChIP was performed with an antibody specific to Ehmt2 (n = 3).

(e) RARE-Luciferase activity from NIH3T3 cells treated or not with 1 µM RA for 20 hours and with the Ehmt2 methyltransferase inhibitors UNC0638 (U38) (2µM) or UNC0646 (U46) (2µM) (n = 4).

(f) Rarβ mRNA expression from NIH3T3 cells treated or not with 1 µM RA for 20 hours and with the Ehmt2 methyltransferase inhibitors UNC0638 (U38) (2µM) or UNC0646 (U46) (2µM) (n = 4).

(g, h) ChIP analysis of the Rarβ promoter from NIH3T3 cells treated with 1 µM RA during 1 hour. ChIP was performed with antibodies specific to H3K9me1 (e) and H3K9me2 (f) (n = 3).

In all graphs data represent mean ± s.e.m. unless otherwise specified. NS – not significant, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.