Molecular Simulations of Intact Anion Exchanger 1 Reveal Specific Domain and Lipid Interactions
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ABSTRACT Anion exchanger 1 (AE1) is responsible for the exchange of bicarbonate and chloride across the erythrocyte plasma membrane. Human AE1 consists of a cytoplasmic and a membrane domain joined by a 33-residue flexible linker. Crystal structures of the individual domains have been determined, but the intact AE1 structure remains elusive. In this study, we use molecular dynamics simulations and modeling to build intact AE1 structures in a complex lipid bilayer that resembles the native erythrocyte plasma membrane. AE1 models were evaluated using available experimental data to provide an atomistic view of the interaction and dynamics of the cytoplasmic domain, the membrane domain, and the connecting linker in a complete model of AE1 in a lipid bilayer. Anionic lipids were found to interact strongly with AE1 at specific amino acid residues that are linked to diseases and blood group antigens. Cholesterol was found in the dimeric interface of AE1, suggesting that it may regulate subunit interactions and anion transport.

INTRODUCTION

The red blood cell anion exchanger 1 (AE1, Band 3, SLC4A1) is responsible for the rapid exchange of bicarbonate and chloride across the red blood cell plasma membrane, a process necessary for efficient respiration (1,2). Human AE1 is a 911 residue glycoprotein consisting of a N-terminal cytoplasmic domain (cdAE1) that interacts with cytoplasmic proteins and a C-terminal membrane domain (mdAE1) responsible for its transport function (3). AE1 is found as a mixture of dimers and tetramers in membranes and in detergent solution (4,5). A poorly conserved linker region connects the mdAE1 with the cdAE1 that can be readily cleaved by trypsin at Lys360 separating the two domains (6). The mdAE1 monomer consists of 14 transmembrane (TM) helices organized as two inverted 7-helix repeat regions. In each monomer, helices TM1–4 and TM8–11 make up the core domain, whereas helices TM5–7 and TM12–14 form the gate domain (7). cdAE1 functions primarily as an anchoring site for cytoskeletal proteins such as ankyrin and is a major organization center of the red blood cell membrane (8). The cdAE1 monomer dimerizes with another monomer by a largely helical segment, the dimerization arm, in which a single β-strand plays a critical role (9,10). Mutations have previously been reported to alter AE1 function and localization to the plasma membrane, causing various diseases and conditions such as hereditary spherocytosis (6). Therefore, understanding the mechanistic details of the function of AE1 is physiologically and medically relevant.

Although crystal structures of the individual cdAE1 and the mdAE1 domains have been obtained in different crystallization conditions (7,9,10), a structure of the intact AE1 is not available. The presence of a flexible 33-residue linker region that connects the two domains makes it very challenging to identify the relative orientation of the cdAE1
with respect to the mdAE1 and to obtain the structure of intact AE1 using lab-based structural methodologies. Denaturation of one domain does not alter the properties of the other domain (11), suggesting that the two domains are structurally independent. Accordingly, the anion transport function is retained after the proteolytic removal of the cytoplasmic domain (12–14), and the membrane domain is functional in transfected cells (10). However, several studies suggest structural and functional correlations between the two main domains. For example, binding of the anion transport inhibitor 4,4′-diisothiocyanostilbene-2,2′-disulfonate (DIDS) to mdAE1 from the cell exterior affects the interaction patterns of the cytoplasmic domain with hemoglobin (15), ankyrin, and spectrin (16). DIDS binding also results in the alteration of a quenching exposed cytoplasmic tryptophan (17). Moreover, the Memphis variant (K56E) in the cytoplasmic domain exhibits 20% reduction in anion transport, suggesting a functional interaction between the two domains (18–20). The cdAE1 also plays a critical role in the oligomerization of AE1 being essential for the formation of tetramers (5). For these reasons, obtaining structural data about the intact AE1 would be a major step in understanding the nature of interactions within the protein and with partner proteins like ankyrin.

Recently, a negative stain electron microscopy (EM) reconstruction using the bovine homolog revealed that the cdAE1 and mdAE1 are connected by a pillar-shaped linker region (21). This study suggested two possible orientations for the cdAE1: twisted and parallel. In both orientations, the cdAE1 C-terminal region is oriented next to the linker density (21), possibly providing flexibility to the cdAE1 (22). In contrast, a recent modeling approach supported by cross-linking experiments proposed a more compact structure with the double-humped shape of cdAE1 facing the mdAE1 (23). In this model, the linker region appears to be not symmetrical. Therefore, the two aforementioned studies suggest very different orientations of the cdAE1 relative to the mdAE1. In the light of these discrepancies and given that it has been proven challenging to obtain a complete structure of AE1 using lab-based structural techniques, molecular dynamics simulations and modeling can be used to obtain novel structural data of the intact AE1, including the nature and dynamics of the interaction between the two domains. Constructing a complete model of the intact AE1 is critical as it will enable us to comprehend the complexities of the AE1 transport mechanism in the context of the intact protein, the formation of AE1 tetramers, and its interactions with partner proteins such as ankyrin (24, 25).

In this study, we examined the interactions and dynamics of the cdAE1 and mdAE1 domains by developing a near full-length model of the red cell anion exchanger AE1 in the outward-facing conformation. The N- and C-terminal regions of AE1 (residues 1–54 and 888–911, respectively) that are predicted to be disordered are omitted from the models as was the inhibitor DIDS located at the interface of the core and gate domains in the crystal structure. Our results demonstrate the role of the linker region in mediating the interactions between the two AE1 domains and propose possible orientations of the cytoplasmic domain with respect to the membrane domain. Additionally, the models of the intact AE1 were simulated in a native-like model red blood cell plasma membrane, containing a full complement of phospholipids as well as cholesterol and sphingomyelin (SM) (26, 27). Our results show that the intact AE1 interacts preferentially with anionic phospholipids present in the inner leaflet and that cholesterol is likely to stabilize the AE1 dimer interface. Residues, including those in the linker region that are involved in the interaction between the cdAE1 and the mdAE1 domain, were identified. Interestingly, a number of residues involved in domain interactions and interactions with lipids are sites of mutations linked to human diseases.

**METHODS**

**Sequences alignments and predictors**

The AE1 (Band 3, SLC4A1) protein sequence from Homo sapiens was obtained from the Universal Protein Resource Knowledgebase database (28); entry: P02730). The tool DISOPRED v.2 and v.3 were used to predict disordered residues in AE1 protein sequence (29). The secondary structure of AE1 was predicted from its sequence using CONCORD (30) PssPred (31), and PSSpred (32). The National Center for Biotechnology Information HomoloGene database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/homologene) accessed 26-11-2018 was used to select three sets of homologous members from different species of AE1, AE2, and AE3 belonging to the SLC4 family (hgid: 133556, 128699, and 129474, respectively). They have been selected because they all mediate anion (Cl−/HCO3−) exchange (33–35). The T-Coffee method (36) was used to align these sets of protein sequences.

**Modeling of the intact AE1 conformers**

The coordinates of the mdAE1 (residues 382–887) were obtained from the crystal structure Protein Data Bank (PDB: 4YZF (7, 37)). The models were built without the inhibitor DIDS that is present in the crystal structure. To model the cdAE1 (residues 55–348), we combined the coordinates from the two crystal structures 1HYN (9) and 4KV9 (10) that were crystallized under different pH conditions and added the missing regions using MODELLER (v 9.19) (38, 39). Models were ranked according to the Discrete Optimized Protein Energy (DOPE) method (40), selecting the best model out of 20 candidates. For each conformer presented in Fig. 1, the cdAE1 domain was aligned in the z axis and manually positioned on the bottom of mdAE1 as suggested by the EM structure for the bovine homolog (21). The dimeric cdAE1 and mdAE1 were connected by adding the linker regions (residues 349–381) using the loop modeling routine of MODELLER (38, 39). Ten models of the linker region were generated for each subunit of the dimeric AE1. We used the DOPE method to select the best loop configuration (40). Note that the final models were also carefully checked for inconsistencies. In the case of the rev-V twisted conformer, we excluded the best model suggested by the DOPE score because the linker of one of the AE1 monomers was knotted around the cdAE1. Similarly, for the V-twisted, we excluded the best model suggested by the DOPE score because the linker of one monomer was passing throughout the V-shaped cavity of the cdAE1. The variation in the conformations of the linker models (10 for each monomer) generated during the modeling stage.
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for each AE1 conformer is shown in Fig. S1 A. The resulting final distance between the dimeric cytoplasmic and membrane domains is ~24 and ~20 Å for the rev-V and the V conformers, respectively. This is approximately the distance detected in the three-dimensional map of the bovine AE1 (i.e., 30 Å) (21). All the models were energy minimized in vacuum before running the simulations using GROMACS 5.0.7 (41).

Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations

The coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CG-MD) simulations were performed using the Martini 2.2 force field (42,43) and GROMACS 5.0.7 (41). Each AE1 conformer, obtained as described above, was converted to a coarse-grained resolution and energy minimized. To model the protein secondary and tertiary structure, an elastic network model with a cut-off distance of 7 Å was used. The elastic network model used in this study is a network that is fairly standard for simulations with the Martini force field, and it was used in a large number of studies that showed good agreement with experimental data, including our previous study on AE1 (37,44). The distance between the dimeric cytoplasmic and membrane domains is greater than the aforementioned 7 Å cut-off distance that was used for the elastic network model (~24 and ~20 Å for the rev-V and the V conformers, respectively). Therefore, no elastic bonds are present between the TM and the cytoplasmic regions, but elastic bonds were present within the TM or the cytoplasmic region. Regarding the linker region, out of the 33 residues that comprise each linker region, 10 and 15 residues from each linker in the rev-V parallel, 9 and 6 residues from each linker in the rev-V twisted, 7 and 12 residues from each linker in the V parallel, 6 and 7 residues from each linker in the V twisted participate in elastic bonds. Most of these residues are in the N- and C-terminal that connect the linker with the TM or the cytoplasmic domains. It should be noted that our analysis also demonstrates the flexibility of the linker regions during the simulations (see Fig. S1 C; Videos S1, S2, S3, and S4). For the simulations, each conformer was inserted in a complex asymmetric bilayer using the INSert membrANE tool (45). The bilayer lipid composition used in the simulations is described in Table S1. Systems were neutralized with a 150 mM concentration of NaCl. Before the production simulation, each conformer was energy minimized and subsequently equilibrated for 10 ns with the protein particles restrained (1000 kJ mol⁻¹ nm⁻²) to allow the membrane bilayer to equilibrate around the AE1 models. Five repeat simulations starting from different initial velocities were simulated for a total of 5 μs with an integration step of 20 fs. All simulations were performed at 323 K, with protein, lipids, and solvent separately coupled to an external bath using the V-rescale thermostat (46) (coupling constant of 1.0). The temperature of 323 K is above the transition temperatures of all lipid species in the systems, therefore avoiding the lipids to undergo phase transitions to the gel phase. Pressure was maintained at 1 bar (coupling constant of 1.0) with semi-isotropic conditions and a compressibility of 3 × 10⁻⁵ bar⁻¹ using the Berendsen barostat (47). Lennard-Jones and Coulombic interactions were shifted to zero between 9 and 12 Å and between 0 and 12 Å, respectively.

To test the stability of the mdAE1/cdAE1 complex in the absence of the linker region, CG-MD simulations were also initiated in which the linker region of each monomer (residues 349–381) was removed from the centroid of the first cluster of each system. These simulations were run using the same parameters as above. For each model, five repeat simulations were run for 5 μs.

Atomic molecular dynamics simulations

Snapshots from the CG-MD simulations were selected using cluster analyses and converted to atomistic resolution as described in (48). The atomistic simulations allowed us to examine the stability and conformational dynamics of our AE1 models, thus addressing some of the limitations of our CG-MD simulations. Before the production simulations, the atomistic systems were minimized and subsequently equilibrated for 30 ns with the backbone atoms restrained (1000 kJ mol⁻¹ nm⁻²). Three repeat simulations of the same system were simulated starting from different initial velocities. Simulations were run using the CHARMM36 force field (49) for 500 ns with an integration step of 2 fs. The first 100 ns of each trajectory were not used in our analyses. The V-rescale thermostat (46) and the Parrinello-Rahman barostat (50) were used for temperature and pressure control (semi-isotropic). The reference temperature was 323 K, and the reference pressure was 1 bar (compressibility of 4.5 × 10⁻⁵ bar⁻¹). Verlet was used as the cut-off scheme, with the neighboring list updated every 20 steps. The cut-off distance for Lennard-Jones was 12 Å. Long-range electrostatics were managed using the particle-mesh Ewald method, with a cut-off
distance of 12 Å (51). The LINCS algorithm was used to constrain bond lengths (52).

**Trajectory analyses and molecular graphics**

Root mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) analysis was performed using the tool g_rmsf from the GROMACS package. Radius of gyration, distances, buried surfaces, and contact analyses were also performed using tools from the GROMACS package (g_gyrate, gmx distance, gmx sasa, and g_mindist, respectively). Hydrogen bonds (within a distance cut-off of 3.5 Å and up to 30° off-axis angle) and salt bridges (within a cut-off distance of 5.5 Å) were identified using g_hbond from GROMACS and VMD 1.9.3 (53) (http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd/), respectively. H-bonds on the crystal structures were identified with UCSF Chimera 1.12 (54). Other analyses were conducted using in-house scripts. The cluster analysis was performed using g_cluster from GROMACS with the GROMOS method (55). For this analysis, all five repeat simulations were concatenated, and the analysis was performed on the resulting 25-μs (5 × 5 μs) trajectory for each conformer. Because of the differences between conformers (i.e., different orientation of the cytoplasmic domain and linker regions), we have used a RMSD cut-off distance of 2.5 Å to be able to pick more subtle differences between the conformations of the linker region. Calculation of the volume of cavities was done using the traj_cavity tool (https://sourceforge.net/projects/trj-cavity/) (56). Note that for these calculations, we have used .ndx files consisting of selections of AE1 protein regions. The error for the volume of the cavity is the SD of the volumes between the repeat simulations. The electrostatic potentials in aqueous solution at pH 7 was calculated using APBS (57). Molecular graphics were generated with the VMD 1.9.3 (53) (http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd/). Data were plotted using Grace (http://plasma-gate.weiz-mann.ac.il/Grace/).

**RESULTS**

**Models of the intact AE1**

The starting point for our study was to create a model of the intact AE1 using the available structures of cdAE1 (residues 55–348) and mdAE1 (residues 382–887) connected by a selected model of the linker region (residues 349–381). Using the orientations proposed for the cdAE1 relative to the mdAE1 from previous studies (21,23), two possible configurations (Fig. 1) were investigated: the cdAE1 C-terminal dimerization arms (residues 314–347) facing the mdAE1 or facing the cytoplasm, hereby called reversed-V (rev-V) and V conformation, respectively, because of the characteristic V-shape groove formed by the cdAE1 helices (purple arrow in Fig. 1 A).

The length of the linker region connecting the mdAE1 with the cdAE1 (33 residues) also allowed positioning of the cdAE1 in a parallel or a twisted orientation as suggested by a previous EM study (21) in both the rev-V and V orientations. Therefore, we simulated four different starting configurations (Fig. 1 A). This does not preclude that there is a dynamic interconversion of these states that changes the orientation of the cdAE1 and mdAE1. The N- and C-terminal regions of AE1 (residues 1–54 and 888–911, respectively) that are predicted to be disordered are omitted from the model (see Methods). The formation of the mdAE1/cdAE1 complex was investigated by CG-MD simulations in a model membrane consisting of a complex lipid mixture (Table S1). The purpose of this study was to determine whether there is a unique orientation of the cdAE1 and the mdAE1 and to identify key residues involved in the interaction, including the role of the linker.

Despite the differences in the initial orientation of the cdAE1 relative to the mdAE1, in all cases, the cdAE1 moves closer to the membrane and forms a complex with the cytoplasmic side of the mdAE1 domain, resulting in a more compact structure (Fig. 1 B). Calculation of the distances between the centers of mass of the cdAE1 and the mdAE1 showed that the cdAE1 interacts with the mdAE1 domain at the early stages of the simulations. The cdAE1/mdAE1 distance for the twisted (rev-V and V) conformers was ~0.25 nm smaller compared to the parallel (rev-V and V) conformers (Fig. S2 B). This is probably because a parallel orientation of the linker region provides more flexibility to the cdAE1, whereas the twisted orientation allows tighter packing of the cdAE1 to the mdAE1. Cluster analysis and calculation of the radius of gyration across all simulations of each conformer confirms that the structures increased their compactness with respect to the initial coordinates shown in Fig. 1 A, with the V parallel being the least compact conformer (Fig. 2; Fig. S2 C).

For the cluster analysis, a RMSD cut-off of 2.5 Å was used (see Methods), resulting in a large number of clusters, which allowed us to annotate a wide range of subtle differences between the conformations of the linker region (Fig. S1 C). The first cluster contained the majority of structures in all systems, showing that these protein conformations were the most observed within the simulation ensemble (Fig. S3). The snapshot in the center of the first cluster was used to initiate our atomistic simulations for all systems. The breakdown of the clusters is the following: for the rev-V parallel, we found 187 clusters with the first cluster containing 7080 structures (14.2%). For the rev-V twisted, we found 229 clusters with the first cluster containing 6473 structures (13.0%). For the V parallel, we found 267 clusters with the first cluster containing 5571 structures (11.1%). For the V twisted, we found 171 clusters with the first cluster containing 5652 structures (11.3%). Moreover, a more detailed analysis of the occurrence of each cluster in the repeat simulations within the simulation ensemble suggests that the structure from the top cluster from each individual repeat simulation is very similar to the structure used to initiate our atomistic simulations (overall top cluster; Figs. S4–S7). Our cluster analysis also enables us to calculate the RMSD of the centroid of each cluster with respect to the backbone atoms of the first centroid and then calculate the lowest and highest value of the RMSD observed in the clustering analysis. For the rev-V parallel, the minimal RMSD was 2.202 Å, and the maximum was 14.348 Å. For the rev-V twisted, the minimal RMSD was 1.271 Å, and the maximum was 15.275 Å. For the V parallel, the minimal RMSD was
2.520 Å, and the maximum was 13.473 Å. For the V twisted, the minimal RMSD was 2.512 Å, and the maximum was 13.157 Å.

To identify which of our models above most likely represents the native cdAE1/mdAE1 complex, we have examined where the binding sites of the cdAE1 to the cytoskeletal proteins are relative to the mdAE1 domain in each model. Exposed loops on the cytoplasmic domain (residues 63–73 and 175–185; purple spheres in Fig. 2, A and B) were previously reported to be involved in red cell membrane integrity via interactions with ankyrin (58–60). These residues are located on the side of the cdAE1, thus exposed to the solvent in all our models described above.

The recently discovered ankyrin cross-linking site (residues 142–143) (23) that is located at the tip of the cdAE1 (purple spheres in Fig. 2, A and B) was previously reported to be involved in red cell membrane integrity via interactions with ankyrin (58–60). These residues are located on the side of the cdAE1, thus exposed to the solvent in all our models described above.

AE1 has been also shown to interact with protein 4.2. Nearby residues Glu882, Asp889, and Asp890 were suggested to be part of a mdAE1 negative patch that would interact with protein 4.2 (23). Mapping the position of Glu882 in our models suggests that this residue is closer to the AE1 natural variant Tuscaloosa (P327R in cdAE1 (20)) only in the rev-V conformers, whereas it is rather distant in the V conformers (Fig. 2 C, red spheres). The Tuscaloosa variant has been shown to decrease the interaction with protein 4.2 by 29% by introducing an arginine in

FIGURE 2 The AE1 configurations resulting from the simulations. (A) Structures represent the most sampled conformation (i.e., a centroid) for each conformer in the CG-MD simulations. The surface of the backbone particles of the AE1 complex dimer subunits are shown in orange and cyan with the linker regions in red and blue. Phosphate groups from the lipid bilayer and water molecules are shown in gray and cyan, respectively. (B) Shown is the cytoplasmic view of the systems in (A). Residues shown in other studies to interact with actin (residues 258–311) and ankyrin (63–73, 142, 143, 175–185, 353) are shown as yellow and purple spheres, respectively. (C) The position of the variants Memphis (K56E) (18,64,65) and Tuscaloosa (P327R) (20) is shown on the structures from A as blue and red spheres, respectively. (D) Shown are the cytoplasmic views of the systems in (C). The E882 residue, closer to the Tuscaloosa variant in the rev-V conformers, is also shown. See also Figs. S1 and S3–S8. To see this figure in color, go online.
cdAE1 (20,63). This arginine may interfere with the aforementioned negative patch in mdAE1. These observations augment our previous suggestion that the rev-V conformers are more in agreement with available data.

Furthermore, in our models, Lys56, which is involved in the Memphis variant (i.e., K56E in cdAE1) (18,64,65), is located at the interface between the cdAE1 and mdAE1 only in the rev-V conformers, but it faces the cytoplasmic side in the V conformations (Fig. 2, C and D, blue spheres). This variant exhibits a 20% decrease in anion transport (19). The negative charge introduced by the glutamate in the Memphis variant may reduce the capacity to concentrate anionic molecules near the channel mouth (9). These functional data also suggest that the rev-V conformation is the more likely orientation.

Finally, the AE1 linker region can be readily cleaved by mild trypsin treatment at Lys360 (66,67). The position of the Lys360 is rather buried in all of our models, especially in the rev-V conformers in which the increase in compactness brings the linker very close to the mdAE1 domain (Fig. 3). The Lys360 is located approximately in the middle of the linker domain and is buried in the simulations only when the cytoplasmic domain is in complex with the TM region. The precise stage in which the trypsin cleavage occurs is currently unknown, and thus cleavage may occur in conformations in which the cdAE1 is not in a tight complex with the mdAE1 (Fig. S1 B). Hence, the ready exposure of this residue to trypsin cleavage suggests that although there may be one energetically preferred conformation, the interaction of cdAE1 and mdAE1 might be rather dynamic, exposing Lys360 to solvent.

We have also run CG-MD simulations in which the linker region (residues 349–381) from the first centroid of each conformer from all our models was removed (see Methods). Five repeat simulations of 5 μs each were run under the same conditions as our previous CG simulations (see Methods). Our analysis (Fig. S8) indicates that in the absence of the linker region, the mdAE1/cdAE1 complex is fairly stable. Among the four conformers, the V twisted structure has the highest RMSD relative to the starting structure, but alignments of the final snapshot of the simulations with the starting structure demonstrates that no major changes are observed within the mdAE1/cdAE1 complex. Interestingly, the compactness of all conformers was somewhat increased, with the V parallel to have the highest radius of gyration and distance between the cdAE1 and mdAE1 in the presence and absence of the linker region. Thus, the linker region may not play a major role in mediating the interaction between the two domains.

Overall, our comparisons above suggest that the rev-V conformation is the most consistent with available biochemical and functional data. Moreover, although it does not
During our simulations, it is possible that because of
the long linker, the cdAE1 is dynamic, and there is an inter-
conversion of the parallel and twisted conformations in the
native protein regulating the interaction of AE1 with partner
proteins. The dynamic nature of the linker supports the
view that it is not a major mediator of cdAE1-mdAE1
interactions.

Interactions between AE1 cytoplasmic and TM
domains

Non-grained simulations

Fig. 3 A shows the most likely conformations adopted by
the linker region during the simulations. Our analysis for
the likely conformations adopted by the linker region re-
veals a rather flexible behavior in the V conformations
but less flexibility of the linker in the rev-V conformations
(see Fig. 3 A). Note that the flexibility of the linker region is observed both in the coarse-grained and in the atomistic
simulations (Fig. 3, A and B). Additionally, our analysis
suggests that the linker interacts with both the cdAE1
and the mdAE1 after the formation of the cdAE1/ mdAE1 complex (Fig. 4) and, thus, may play some role in
regulating the interactions between the two domains.

Particularly in the rev-V parallel conformer, the linker in-
teracts with the cdAE1 N-terminal residues 55, 56, 83,
292, and 293 and is also positioned next to residues
325–327, 330, 347, and 348 located in the dimerization
arms (residues 314–347; Fig. 4 A). In the rev-V twisted
conformer, the linker interacts strongly with residues
194, 198, 341, 344, 345, and 348. Our contact analysis, us-
ine a cut-off distance of 0.55 nm, suggests that the major-
ity of the linker residues interact with the rest of AE1 in all
conformers (Fig. 4 B). Interestingly, the natural variant
Tuscaloosa (P327R (20)) is in contact with the linker in
the rev-V parallel conformation. Structural studies of the
isolated cdAE1 have shown that the mutation P327R
directly affects the binding of the cytoskeletal protein 4.2
without destabilizing the cdAE1 dimeric structure (68).
Therefore, the introduction of a positively charged residue
in position 327 may also affect its interaction with the
linker region.

The mdAE1 domain mostly interacts with the linker via
the helical segments that connect the TM helices, particu-
larly H2, H4, H5, H6, and the loop between TM8/9
(Fig. 4) in both the V and rev-V conformations. Within this
latter region, the Gly701 when mutated in Asp (G701D) is
reported to impair AE1 expression at the cell membrane
and cause the autosomal dominant distal renal tubular
acidosis (AD-dRTA) (69–71).

Analysis of the interactions between the two monomers
of the intact AE1 dimer suggests that in both the parallel
and the rev-V twisted conformers, residues belonging to
the cdAE1 dimerization arms form the largest number of in-
teractions between the AE1 monomers (residues 314–347,
Fig. S9 A). This suggests that in AE1, the largest dimera-
ization interface is found in the cdAE1 and not in the mdAE1.
The cdAE1/mdAE1 interactions are formed mainly via resi-
dues 98–110, nearby residue 200, and residues 320–340
(Fig. S9 A). Most of the interactions between the mdAE1
monomers are formed between residues 593–600, 623–
628, 690–705, and 813–824. For the residues that are
embedded within the bilayer, Phe583, which is located in

FIGURE 4 Interactions of the linker region with the cdAE1 and the
mdAE1 domains. Shown is the normalized average (over all repeat
CG-MD simulations) number of contacts between the cdAE1 or the
mdAE1 (shown in (A)) and the linker region (shown in (B)). For this anal-
ysis, the contacts of each AE1 chain were added together. Values close to
0 represent no/low number of contacts, whereas 1 represents a high number
of contacts. A cut-off distance of 0.55 nm was used. Note that for clarity, the
linker region was not included in the analysis in (A), and for this reason, no
contacts are shown between the dotted lines. Point mutations reported to
cause spherocytosis (SPH) or distal renal tubular acidosis (AD-dRTA) are
indicated as red and blue triangles in (A), respectively. See also Fig. S9.
To see this figure in color, go online.
the middle of the TM 6, forms the highest number of mdAE1/mdAE1 interactions. Interestingly, in our simulations, even though predicted to be disordered, the linker region is part of the AE1/AE1 dimer interface in all conformers except for the V parallel (Fig. S9 A, green histograms).

Our intact AE1 models allow, for the first time to our knowledge, to identify which are the interacting residues between the cdAE1 and mdAE1 (Fig. S9 B). Our simulations suggest that the mdAE1 cytoplasmic loops that include residues 690–705 (between TM8, 9), 736–760 (between TM10, 11), 801–830 (H4-H5, between TM12, 13), and 873–887 (H6, in the C-terminal tail) form the main direct interactions between the mdAE1 and the cdAE1 in both the rev-V and V orientations of the cdAE1 (Fig. S9 B). The interacting cdAE1 residues are different between the rev-V and V conformers and are shown in Fig. S9 B. Interestingly, in the rev-V conformers, the cdAE1 N-terminal residues 55–56 interact strongly with the mdAE1 (Fig. S9 B, black and red histograms), but these interactions may be not present in the AE1 kidney isoform, which lacks the first 65 amino acids (72). Moreover, residues 142, 143, and 175–185 in the V conformers are buried and therefore not available for interacting with the ankyrin, suggesting once more that the V conformers may not be a likely conformation (Fig. S9 B, green and blue histograms).

Atomistic simulations

To characterize the interactions between the two cdAE1 and mdAE1 domains in more detail, the first centroid from the cluster analysis shown in Fig. 2 of each CG-MD system was converted to an atomistic representation (see Methods). Calculation of the buried surface area in our models suggests that the twisted conformers have a higher overall buried area compared to the parallel conformers (Fig. S10; Table 1).

The cdAE1/cdAE1 dimer interface has a larger contribution to the buried surface area compared to the mdAE1/ mdAE1 dimer (Fig. S10, B and C; Table 1). The values of the buried surface area from our simulations are in agreement with the structural data of the cdAE1 (24.5, 22.8 nm² for PDB: 1HYN (9) and PDB: 4KY9 (10), respectively). Our analysis also shows that the value of the mdAE1/mdAE1 buried surface of the rev-V conformers is more similar to the buried surface of the crystal structure of the mdAE1 (10.92 nm²) (7). Both parallel and twisted V conformers show lower values for the buried surface area that deviate more from the experimental buried surface area of the crystal structures. These results indicate that the orientation of the cdAE1 is indeed critical for the stabilization of the AE1 dimer.

To measure the compactness of each conformer, we measured the volume of the cavity that is formed between the membrane and the cytosolic domains of the AE1 after they form a complex (Fig. S2, A and D). This cavity is almost three times larger in the V conformers compared to the rev-V models in which the values are also more comparable between the parallel and twisted conformers. This may be because of the fact that in the V conformers, the V-shape groove in the cytoplasmic domains faces the mdAE1, creating a cavity between the mdAE1 and the cdAE1 (Fig. S2 A). This may suggest that the cdAE1/mdAE1 complex dimer is likely to be less stable in the V conformer compared to the rev-V. In line with this, the V conformers are also less compact compared to the rev-V as suggested by the radius of gyration calculated in the atomistic simulations (Fig. S2 E).

Calculation of the H-bonds between the two AE1 monomers in the atomistic simulations confirms that most of the interaction interface is located in the cdAE1, with the dimerization arms playing a critical role. In particular, 9 over a total of 11, 8 over 12, 9 over 12, and 12 over 15 H-bonds were found between residues of the cdAE1 in the
residues (6), is one of the most flexible regions across loop between TM5 and TM6, where most of the blood group antigens reside (6). Our analysis also shows that the extracellular domain of cdAE1 does not affect significantly the capacity of the AE1-lipid interactions of the mdAE1 dimer (37). Significant interactions with lipids are observed between the loop regions connecting the TM segments as well as the first helical segment of mdAE1 (H1, residues 383–401) that lies parallel to the inner membrane surface. The helical segment H1 is preceded by the linker region. Accordingly, the C-terminal of the linker interacts moderately with lipid headgroups, especially cholesterol, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleyl-phosphatidylethanolamine (POPE), 1-palmitoyl-2-oleyl-phosphatidylserine (POPS), and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) (Fig. S11). The residues in the linker region from the rev-V conformers that have the highest number of interactions with cholesterol, POPE, POPS, and PIP2 lipids are residues 377–380 at the conserved C-terminal end of the linker, immediately proximal to H1.

**AE1 interactions with cholesterol**

In our CG-MD simulations, AE1 interacts strongly with cholesterol as previously suggested in MD studies of the mdAE1 (37) (Fig. S11). The cavity in the mdAE1 dimer interface that is formed by TM6 and TM12 (residues 570–594 and 785–809, respectively) is filled with cholesterol in all the conformers in all simulations. Moreover, during the CG-MD simulations, this cavity between the TM domains was also occupied by 1-palmitoyl-2-oleyl-phosphatidylcholine (POPC) or SM that were in the outer leaflet before entering the AE1 cavity (Fig. 5 A). Importantly, the TM6 has a sequence—VL577MAGTFF583 FAMMLR589K—that resembles a CRAC motif (75), although it has a Phe in position 583 instead of Tyr. Interestingly, in our CG-MD simulations, residue Phe584 made the highest number of interactions with cholesterol in all the conformers and is part of an “aromatic cluster” formed by Phe582, Phe583, and Phe584. Multiple sequence alignment between AE1, AE2, and AE3 in different species suggests that this sequence region is conserved (see Methods).

Conversion of the first centroid of each CG-MD repeat simulations (Fig. 2 A) to an atomistic representation allowed us to study in more detail the volume of the cavity in the dimer interface and its evolution (Fig. 5; Fig. S12 A). The volume of this cavity in the rev-V conformers is somewhat increased with respect to the value calculated from the crystal structure (7228 Å³, see Fig. S12 A). Interestingly, the lipids that were already present in the TM cavity stably reside within this cavity during the simulations (Fig. 5 A). In particular, one SM lipid, one POPC lipid, and one POPC lipid were found in the atomistic simulations pa_rev-V_AE1_AT_native, tw_rev-V_AE1_AT_native and pa_V_AE1_AT_native, respectively (Fig. 5 A; Table S1). Noticeably, residues known to cause human diseases when mutated were also found in close contact with cholesterol (Figs. S11 and S12, B and C).

![FIGURE 5 Interaction of AE1 with cholesterol. (A) Snapshots from the atomistic simulations of each model in the rev-V conformation show the interactions of the mdAE1 dimer (orange and cyan ribbon) with cholesterol (yellow spheres). View is from the cytoplasmic side. The cdAE1 was omitted for clarity. Molecules of SM (blue spheres) and POPC (magenta spheres) are shown. (B) The volume in the mdAE1 dimer interface that is filled with lipids is shown in gray. See also Figs. S11 and S12. To see this figure in color, go online.](image-url)
AE1 interactions with anionic lipids

Contact analysis between AE1 and the headgroups of anionic lipids POPS and PIP2 in the CG-MD simulations of all conformers reveals specific sites of interactions with anionic lipids. These are located in the H1 helix (residues 383–401), cytoplasmic loops between TM4, 5 (residues 506–518), TM6, 7 (residues 593–600), TM12, and 13 (residues 801–830), and the C-terminal region (residues 873–887) (Fig. 6, C and D).

Calculation of the electrostatic potential of the intact AE1 shows that the sites identified above are positively charged, allowing ionic interactions with the negatively charged phosphate groups of the lipids. Fig. 6 shows conserved basic residues located in these sites and at the interface of the mdAE1 dimer, which are involved in H-bonds interactions with anionic lipids for the rev-V conformers. Noticeably, residue Arg602 (Fig. 6), when mutated to histidine, causes recessive distal renal tubular acidosis (76). Although disease-causing mutations may also result in conformational changes within the protein, our results suggest that the positive charge in position 602 may be essential to stabilize the mdAE1 dimer interface. The importance of “interfacial lipids” in membrane protein stability has been also observed for other membrane proteins (77) as well as in the AE1 closely related eukaryotic transporter UapA from *Aspergillus nidulans* (78). Therefore, our results suggest that anionic lipids such as POPS and PIP2 may play a possible stabilizing role for the AE1 dimer, particularly during folding in the endoplasmic reticulum, and that mutations that disrupt lipid interactions may cause misfolding and trafficking defects in AE1.

Moreover, even though the C-terminal residues in our AE1 models interact with both anionic lipid species used in this study, we noticed a strong preference for the PIP2 headgroups (Figs. 6, C and D and S11; Table S3), especially...
of the two segments that connect TM12 and TM13 (residues 801–830) and the C-terminal tail (residues 873–887). This behavior is retained in the atomistic simulations in which the same series of positively charged residues in the C-terminal region are involved in H-bonds with PIP2 (Fig. 6, C and D; Table S3). The AE1 C-terminal region also interacted strongly with anionic lipids and POPE lipids for both rev-V and V conformers. Interestingly, residues that interact strongly with lipids in our simulation are also connected to different variants (i.e., R518 (Dresden) (79), G714 (Okinawa) (80), Trp831, Arg832, His834 (variant Birmingham) (81,82), Arg879, and R870 (Prague III variant) (82–84)) (Fig. S11; Table S3).

DISCUSSION
The cd/mdAE1 complex
In this study, we have built models of a near full-length AE1 in a complex lipid bilayer to determine the nature of the interactions of the cdAE1 with the membrane domain and with lipids. Our results demonstrate how possible orientations of the cdAE1 associate with the mdAE1 (Fig. 1). Each model has been further validated against available structural and biochemical data. This comparison suggests that the rev-V configuration is more likely, although a V arrangement may also be possible because of the long linker between the mdAE1 and the cdAE1 (21).

Several studies showed that in addition to its transport function, AE1 interacts with the cytoskeleton. This interaction is critical for maintaining the red cell membrane integrity (58–61,85,86). To evaluate our models, we mapped known sites of cdAE1 that interact with cytoskeletal proteins (i.e., ankyrin and actin) in our models (Fig. 2). As shown in Fig. 2, most of the interaction sites of cdAE1 and the aforementioned cytoskeletal proteins are found in the V-shape groove formed by the cdAE1 dimeric structure (Fig. 1 A), suggesting that this portion of the cdAE1 should be more exposed and accessible from the cytoplasmic side. This only occurs in our models in which the cdAE1 is in a rev-V conformer (Fig. 1). It should also be noted that the cdAE1 is mostly negatively charged (Fig. 6 A) with the exception of a positively charged region in the V-shaped groove that may be important in the recognition of cytoskeletal proteins. Accordingly, previous data showed an in vitro pH dependence for the AE1-ankyrin association (24).

Our results suggest that the rev-V models have higher compactness and stability compared to the V conformers. This has been confirmed by analysis of the radius of gyration and buried surface area of the AE1 dimer. The latter reveals higher values for the rev-V conformers that are more in agreement with the ones observed for the AE1 crystal structures (Fig. S10; Table 1). A V orientation of the cdAE1 results in a decrease of the mdAE1 interface buried surface (Table 1), demonstrating that the orientation/interaction between the cdAE1 and the mdAE1 affects the packing of the mdAE1. Overall, a rev-V configuration retains the structure of the mdAE1 seen in the recent crystal structure. Additionally, our models reveal that the highest buried surface in the dimer interface is located in the cdAE1 rather than the mdAE1. For the first time, we are able to provide an estimate for the surface of interaction between the dimeric cdAE1 and mdAE1 complexes. This estimate is ~21 nm².

The model proposed by Rivera-Santiago et al. (23) is comparable with the V parallel conformer discussed in this study (Fig. 1 A), but this V model is not as compact and stable as the rev-V conformers (Fig. S1). Additionally, the final RMSD between the Rivera-Santiago AE1 model (23) and the first centroid from our atomistic simulations with the V parallel conformer (Fig. 2 A) is 19.5 Å.

Role of the linker region in AE1
In this study, we investigated the possible role of the linker region (residues 349–381) that connects the two AE1 main domains and possibly facilitates their interaction. Although the linker is predicted to be disordered and unstructured (residues 332–376), it has been suggested to introduce flexibility to the cytoplasmic domain (21). Our simulations showed that the linker is involved in the interaction between the cdAE1 and the mdAE1 domains, but its removal did not change the stability of the mdAE1/cdAE1 complex after it was formed (Fig. S8). Multiple sequence alignment between members of the SLC4 family (AE1, AE2, and AE3, see Methods) showed that although the N-terminal region (S349–P368) of the linker is poorly conserved, the linker C-terminal region (D369-G381) is rather conserved. Our analyses reveal that the AE1 linker region should not be considered as a mere connector between the two main domains. Its C-terminal conserved region is involved in specific lipid contacts (Figs. 4 and 6, C and D). Moreover, in our CG-MD simulations, linker residues are involved in the interface between cdAE1 and mdAE1 (Fig. S9) and residues Lys353 and Asp363 were found to form interchain H-bonds in the atomistic simulations (Table S2).

Interaction of AE1 with lipids
The complex bilayer in our simulations allowed us to examine and identify lipid species that form a key interaction with intact AE1 (Fig. S11). Our results show that the pattern of interactions of the mdAE1 with lipids was similar with the different cdAE1 orientations. This may suggest that the two domains function independently (10,12–14). The cdAE1 has only a small number of interactions with POPE and PIP2 lipids (Fig. S11).

Our simulations revealed that the cavity of the mdAE1 dimer interface is filled in with cholesterol (Fig. 5 A) in good agreement with a previous study of the isolated mdAE1 (37). This may suggest a role of cholesterol in
AE1 stability and function. An increase in the level of cholesterol has been linked to a decrease in AE1 anion transport (87–89). This is probably due to an increase in the stiffness of the red blood cell plasma membrane, restricting the conformational change in AE1 associated with transport. Moreover, cholesterol affects the aggregation state of AE1 (90). Our simulations showed that a conserved CRAC-like domain (residues 577–589), which interestingly is situated in the mdAE1 dimer interface, interacts with cholesterol. This region is also characterized by an aromatic cluster of phenylalanines (Phe582, Phe583, and Phe584). Binding of the sterol to this site could affect the relative movement of the gate and core domains that is required for the anion transport, suggesting a regulatory role for cholesterol.

Interestingly, our simulations also showed that the cavity between the gate subdomains is also occupied by a molecule of SM or POPC (in the rev-V parallel, rev-V twisted, and V parallel conformers, respectively) (Fig. 5 A). Both of these lipids were in the outer leaflet before entering the AE1 cavity. Interestingly, SM and POPC were shown to compete with cholesterol to negatively (SM) or positively (POPC) alter the anion transport in AE1 (87). The POPC molecule enters the cavity in the interface during the CG-MD simulation run and after the equilibration phase, whereas the SM headgroup migrates within the cavity during the initial equilibration step. Reconstituted AE1 in lipid vesicles indicate that an increased level of SM or POPS negatively affects AE1 transport (91). Higher levels of SM are known to increase the rigidity of the membrane (92,93), and a high concentration of polar negative headgroups may affect the fluidity of the membrane (94), preventing the access of anions to the entrance of the transporter (95).

Despite their relatively low concentration in the inner leaflet (Table S1), PIP2 molecules were found to interact strongly with AE1 in our simulations (Fig. 6). Similarly, PIP2 lipids as well as cholesterol were also found to have a possible role in the interfaces for other transporters (44,74,96). In red blood cells, PIP2 molecules inhibit the binding of protein 4.1 to AE1 and enhance binding to glycoporphin C (97,98). As a consequence, the interaction of AE1 with glycoporphin C enhances AE1 trafficking from the endoplasmic reticulum to the plasma membrane and stimulates anion transport activity (99,100). A previous computational study using the mdAE1 also suggested that PIP2 lipids interact preferentially with the protein (37). In our simulations, we observed the intact AE1 interacting with PIP2 in three main cytoplasmic regions during the CD-MD simulations: 1) the helical segment H1, 2) the region between TM6 and TM7, and 3) the C-terminal tail (Fig. 6). The H1 lies on the surface of the inner leaflet and is the continuation of the linker domain that connects cdAE1 and mdAE1. In some cases, we observe PIP2 headgroups protruding on top of H1 and toward the linker region.

**AE1 mutations in a lipid context**

Comparison of our simulations with available experimental data demonstrates that many residues that were shown to affect the function of AE1 when mutated are involved directly in the interactions with lipids, mostly with cholesterol and anionic lipids (Figs. S11 and S12, B and C). Noticeably, many mutations result in the change of the net charge of the mutated residue, usually the loss of a positive charge (e.g., H834P (variant Birmingham (81,82)), R870W (variant Prague III (82–84)), G714R (variant Okinawa (80)), R518C (variant Dresden (79)) and R602H (76)). Therefore, some of the folding and functional effects that were seen with AE1 mutants may be due to changes of protein/lipid interactions. The lipid interactions may be particularly important as AE1 must fold up properly in a lipid bilayer during its biosynthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum; lipids, in this case, act as membrane protein chaperones (101,102). Our results also suggest that residues 397–404, distal to the helical segment H1 at the beginning of mdAE1, interact strongly with PIP2 but also with POPE and cholesterol (Fig. S11). These residues are part of a segment that, when deleted (residues 400–408), causes the Southeast Asian ovalocytosis disease (103,104). These interactions are found in the simulations of both conformers, highlighting that some interactions with the lipids occur irrespective of the cdAE1 orientation.

Calculation of the electrostatic potential of the intact AE1 revealed a positively charged patch that is located at the interface between the two mdAE1 chains (Fig. 6, A and B). This region is comprised of a short segment that connects the TM6 and TM7 (residues 593–600). This segment interacts mainly with PIP2 (Fig. 6, C and D) and with some other lipid species present in our native lipid mixture (Fig. S11). A series of conserved positive residues (Arg388, Arg384, Arg514, Lys600, Arg602, Arg603, Lys629, and Arg832) (Fig. 6, A and B) are involved in H-bonds with anionic lipids in both chains (Table S3), suggesting that these interactions may be important in stabilizing the AE1 dimer. Lipids in similar positions were shown to regulate the homodimerization of other membrane transporters (77,78,105,106), suggesting that the aforementioned lipids may play a similar role in AE1. Point mutations R602H (76), G609R (107), S613F (108), and R589C/H/S (108) in the AE1-kidney isoform that are close to this region have been associated with the AD-dRTA disease. Interestingly, the missense mutation in position 609 retains a normal transport function but the protein is mistargeted to the apical membrane (107), therefore suggesting that this region might be a possible sensor for membrane composition. Moreover, when S613F was expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, the mutant protein showed a significant chloride transport activity, indicating that the disease is not related simply to the anion transport activity of the mutant protein (108).
Additionally, in our CG-MD and atomistic simulations, we found that anionic lipids and POPE are strongly associated with AE1 C-terminal residues. Interestingly, the AE1 C-terminal segment 812–830 has been defined as the red cell senescent antigen (6,109,110). Accordingly, this region should be available at the extracellular side at the end of the erythrocyte’s lifespan toward a presumed conformational rearrangement of the mdAE1 C-terminal tail. One of the main markers of aged erythrocytes is the externalization of the POPs that lead to the naturally occurring accumulation of anti-AE1 antibodies on red blood cell plasma membrane during aging (111,112). It is possible that the interactions of the POPs lipids with the C-terminal region observed in our CG-MD simulations may trigger the exposure to the extracellular surface of this normally cytoplasmic region, but further investigation of this potential mechanism is needed.

CONCLUSIONS
In summary, this study proposes possible models for the near full-length AE1 and reveals critical regions of interactions between cdAE1 and mdAE1 and the role of the linker region. The validity of different conformers has been assessed using available biochemical, functional, and structural data. Our findings suggest that when the cdAE1 is in complex with mdAE1, it is more likely that its V-shaped groove faces the cytoplasm but does not rule out a dynamic interaction that may change the orientation of the two domains. Our results also provide new insights into how the intact AE1 interacts with lipids in its membrane environment. Interestingly, several residues that are linked to diseases and antigens interact strongly with lipids, suggesting that these mutations may change such interactions, resulting in a deficient AE1 folding, stability, and function.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL
Supporting Material can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2019.08.029.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
The research was designed by D.D.V., R.A.F.R., and A.C.K.; and D.D.V. performed the research and acquired and analyzed all the data. A.C.K. and R.A.F.R. supervised the project. D.D.V., R.A.F.R., and A.C.K. wrote the article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
D.D.V. and A.C.K. were supported by a Springboard Award from the Academy of Medical Sciences (Great Britain) and the Wellcome Trust (grant number: SBF002/1031). This research was enabled in part by support provided by Scinet (https://www.scinethpc.ca), Compute Canada (www.computecanada.ca), and MARC1, part of the High Performance Computing and Leeds Institute for Data Analytics facilities at the University of Leeds (Leeds, UK).

REFERENCES
1. Reithmeier, R. A. 2001. A membrane metabolon linking carbonic anhydrase with chloride/bicarbonate anion exchangers. Blood Cells Mol. Dis. 27:85–89.
2. Sterling, D., R. A. Reithmeier, and J. R. Casey. 2001. A transport metabolon. Functional interaction of carbonic anhydrase II and chloride/bicarbonate exchangers. J. Biol. Chem. 276:47886–47894.
3. Lux, S. E., K. M. John, ..., H. F. Lodish. 1989. Cloning and characterization of band 3, the human erythrocyte anion-exchange protein (AE1). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 86:9089–9093.
4. Jennings, M. L. 1984. Oligomeric structure and the anion transport function of human erythrocyte band 3 protein. J. Membr. Biol. 80:105–117.
5. Casey, J. R., and R. A. Reithmeier. 1991. Analysis of the oligomeric state of Band 3, the anion transport protein of the human erythrocyte membrane, by size exclusion high performance liquid chromatography. Oligomeric stability and origin of heterogeneity. J. Biol. Chem. 266:15726–15737.
6. Reithmeier, R. A., J. R. Casey, ..., S. Iwata. 2016. Band 3, the human red cell chloride/bicarbonate anion exchanger (AE1, SLC4A1), in a structural context. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1858:1507–1532.
7. Arakawa, T., T. Kobayashi-Yurugi, ..., S. Iwata. 2015. Crystal structure of the anion exchanger domain of human erythrocyte band 3. Science. 350:680–684.
8. Low, P. S. 1986. Structure and function of the cytoplasmic domain of Band 3: center of erythrocyte membrane-peripheral protein interactions. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 864:145–167.
9. Zhang, D., A. Kiyatkin, ..., P. S. Low. 2000. Crystallographic structure and functional interpretation of the cytoplasmic domain of erythrocyte band 3. Blood. 96:2925–2933.
10. Shnitsar, V., J. Li, ..., R. A. Reithmeier. 2013. A substrate access tunnel in the cytosolic domain is not an essential feature of the solute carrier 4 (SLC4) family of bicarbonate transporters. J. Biol. Chem. 288:33848–33860.
11. Appell, K. C., and P. S. Low. 1982. Evaluation of structural independence of membrane-spanning and cytosolic domains of band 3. Biochemistry. 21:2151–2157.
12. Lepke, S., and H. Passow. 1976. Effects of incorporated trypsin on anion exchange and membrane proteins in human red blood cell ghosts. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 455:353–370.
13. Grinstein, S., S. Ship, and A. Rothstein. 1978. Anion transport in relation to proteolytic dissection of band 3 protein. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 507:294–304.
14. Rice, W. R., and T. L. Steck. 1977. Pyruvate transport into inside-out vesicles isolated from human erythrocyte membranes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 468:305–317.
15. Salhany, J. M., K. A. Cordes, and E. D. Gaines. 1980. Light-scattering measurements of hemoglobin binding to the erythrocyte membrane. Evidence for transmembrane effects related to a disulfonic stilbene binding to band 3. Biochemistry. 19:1447–1454.
16. Hsu, L., and M. Morrison. 1983. The interaction of human erythrocyte Band 3 with cytoskeletal components. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 227:31–38.
17. Macara, I. G., S. Kuo, and L. C. Cantley. 1983. Evidence that inhibitors of anion exchange induce a transmembrane conformational change in band 3. J. Biol. Chem. 258:1785–1792.
18. Yannoukakos, D., C. Vasseur, ..., E. Bursaux. 1991. Human erythrocyte band 3 polymorphism (band 3 Memphis): characterization of the structural modification (Lys 56——Glu) by protein chemistry methods. Blood. 78:1117–1120.
19. Ideguchi, H., K. Okubo, ..., N. Hamasaki. 1992. Band 3-Memphis is associated with a lower transport rate of phosphoenoypyruvate. Br. J. Haematol. 82:122–125.
20. Jarolim, P., J. Palek, ..., C. M. Cohen. 1992. Band 3 Tuscaloosa: Pro327——Arg327 substitution in the cytoplasmic domain of
erythrocyte band 3 protein associated with spherocytic hemolytic anemia and partial deficiency of protein 4.2. *Blood.* 80:523–529.

21. Jiang, J., N. Maglinick, …, I. Kurtz. 2013. Single particle electron microscopy analysis of the bovine anion exchanger 1 reveals a flexible linker connecting the cytoplasmic and membrane domains. *PLoS One.* 8:e55408.

22. Blackman, S. M., E. J. Hustedt, …, A. H. Beth. 2001. Flexibility of the cytoplasmic domain of the anion exchange protein, band 3, in human erythrocytes. *Biophys. J.* 81:3363–3376.

23. Rivera-Santiago, R., S. L. Harper, …, D. W. Speicher. 2017. Full-length anion exchanger 1 structure and interactions with Ankyrin-1 determined by zero length crosslinking of erythrocyte membranes. *Structure.* 25:132–145.

24. Thevenin, B. J., and P. S. Low. 1990. Kinetics and regulation of the ankyrin-band 3 interaction of the human red blood cell membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.* 265:16166–16172.

25. Van Dort, H. M., R. Moriyama, and P. S. Low. 1998. Effect of band 3 subunit equilibrium on the kinetics and affinity of ankyrin binding to erythrocyte membrane vesicles. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273:14819–14826.

26. Smith, B. D., and T. N. Lambert. 2003. Molecular ferries: membrane carriers that promote phospholipid flip-flop and chloride transport. *Chem. Commun. (Camb.).* 21:2261–2268.

27. Leidl, K., G. Liebisch, …, G. Schmitz. 2008. Mass spectrometric analysis of lipid species of human circulating blood cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1781:655–664.

28. Boutet, E., D. Lieberherr, …, I. Xenarios. 2016. UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot, the manually annotated section of the UniProt KnowledgeBase: how to use the entry view. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1374:23–54.

29. Jones, D. T., and D. Cozzetto. 2015. DISOPRED3: precise ordered region predictions with annotated protein-binding activity. *Bioinformatics.* 31:857–863.

30. Wei, Y., J. Thompson, and C. A. Floudas. 2012. CONCORD: a consensus method for protein secondary structure prediction via mixed integer linear optimization. *Proc. R. Soc. A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 468:831–850.

31. Jones, D. T. 1999. Protein secondary structure prediction based on position-specific scoring matrices. *J. Mol. Biol.* 292:195–202.

32. Yan, R., D. Xu, …, Y. Zhang. 2013. A comparative assessment and analysis of 20 representative sequence alignment methods for protein structure prediction. *Sci. Rep.* 3:2619.

33. Lee, B. S., R. B. Gunn, and R. R. Kopito. 1991. Functional differences among nonerythroid anion exchangers expressed in a transfected human erythrocyte membrane vesicle. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267:14819–14826.

34. Alper, S. L. 2006. Molecular physiology of SLC4 anion exchangers. *Blood.* 108:2057–2083.

35. Alper, S. L. 2009. Molecular physiology and genetics of Na+–inde-
De Vecchis et al.

64. Bruce, L. J., H. C. Robinson, ...., G. W. Stewart. 2005. Monovalent cation leaks in human red cells caused by single amino-acid substitutions in the transport domain of the band 3 chloride-bicarbonate exchanger. AE1. Nat. Genet. 37:1258–1263.

65. Bruce, L. J., D. J. Anstee, ...., M. J. Tanner. 1994. Band 3 Memphric variant II. Altered stilbene disulfonate binding and the Diego (Dia) blood group antigens are associated with the human erythrocyte band 3 mutation Pro854→Leu. J. Biol. Chem. 269:16155–16158.

66. Steck, T. L., J. J. Koziarz, ...., H. Köhler. 1978. Preparation and analysis of seven major, topographically defined fragments of band 3, the predominant transmembrane polypeptide of human erythrocyte membranes. Biochemistry. 17:1216–1222.

67. Steck, T. L., B. Ramos, and E. Strappazone. 1976. Proteolytic dissection of band 3, the predominant transmembrane polypeptide of the human erythrocyte membrane. Biochemistry. 15:1153–1161.

68. Bustos, S. P., and R. A. Reithmeier. 2006. Structure and stability of hereditary spherocytosis mutants of the cytosolic domain of the erythrocyte anion exchanger 1 protein. Biochemistry. 45:1026–1034.

69. Tanphaichitr, V. S., A. Sumboonnanonda, ...., S. L. Alper. 1998. Novel AE1 mutations in recessive distal renal tubular acidosis. Loss-of-function is rescued by glycoporphin A. J. Clin. Invest. 102:2173–2179.

70. Bruce, L. J., M. E. Jaconi, ...., M. Puc. 2018. Structural lipids enable the formation of functional oligomers of the eukaryotic purine symporter UapA. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1860:258–270.

71. Gupta, K., J. A. C. Donlan, ...., A. P. Kalli. 2018. Ankyrin-1 mutations in developing neonatal rat cardiac cells. Biochemical and molecular biology. 150:4–8.

72. Richards, S. M., M. E. Jaconi, ...., M. J. Tanner. 1999. South-east asian ovalocytic (SAO) erythrocytes have a cold sensitive cation leak: implications for in vitro studies on stored SAO red cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1416:258–270.

73. Quilty, J. A., and R. A. Reithmeier. 2000. Characteristic features of the genotype and phenotype of hereditary spherocytosis in the Japanese population. Int. J. Hematol. 71:118–135.

74.杵野, Y., A. Kanzaki, ...., S. W. Eber. 1996. Ankyrin-1 mutations are a major cause of dominant and recessive hereditary spherocytosis. Nat. Genet. 3:124–131.

75. Xu, X., Y. Zhang, ...., J. Wu. 2006. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-biphosphate (PIP2) differentially regulates the interaction of human erythrocyte protein 4.1 (4.1R) with membrane proteins. Biochemistry. 45:5725–5732.

76. Groves, J. D., and M. J. Tanner. 1994. Role of N-glycosylation in the expression of human band 3-mediated anion transport. Mol. Membr. Biol. 11:31–38.

77. Groves, J. D., and M. J. Tanner. 1994. The effects of glycoporphin A on the expression of the human red cell anion transporter (band 3) in Xenopus oocytes. J. Membr. Biol. 140:81–88.

78. Mitchell, D. C. 2012. Progress in understanding the role of lipids in membrane protein folding. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1818:951–956.

79. Jarolim, P., J. Palek, ...., S. C. Liu. 1991. Deletion in erythrocyte band 3 gene in malaria-resistant Southeast Asian ovalocytosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 88:11022–11026.

80. Schofield, E. A., M. J. Tanner, ...., W. B. Gratzer. 1992. Basis of unique red cell membrane properties in hereditary ovalocytosis. J. Mol. Biol. 223:949–958.
105. LourdEL, S., T. Grand, …. J. Teulon. 2012. CIC-5 mutations associated with Dent’s disease: a major role of the dimer interface. *Pflugers Arch.* 463:247–256.

106. Sun, J., J. R. Bankston, …, N. Zheng. 2014. Crystal structure of the plant dual-affinity nitrate transporter NRT1.1. *Nature.* 507:73–77.

107. Rungroj, N., M. A. Devonald, …. F. E. Karet. 2004. A novel missense mutation in AE1 causing autosomal dominant distal renal tubular acidosis retains normal transport function but is mistargeted in polarized epithelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279:13833–13838.

108. Bruce, L. J., D. L. Cope, …. M. J. Tanner. 1997. Familial distal renal tubular acidosis is associated with mutations in the red cell anion exchanger (Band 3, AE1) gene. *J. Clin. Invest.* 100:1693–1707.

109. Kay, M. M. 1992. Molecular mapping of human band 3 aging antigenic sites and active amino acids using synthetic peptides. *J. Protein Chem.* 11:595–602.

110. Badior, K. E., and J. R. Casey. 2018. Molecular mechanism for the red blood cell senescence clock. *IUBMB Life.* 70:32–40.

111. Mandal, D., A. Mazumder, …, J. Basu. 2005. Fas-, caspase 8-, and caspase 3-dependent signaling regulates the activity of the amino-phospholipid translocase and phosphatidylserine externalization in human erythrocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280:39460–39467.

112. Antonelou, M. H., A. G. Kriebardis, and I. S. Papassideri. 2010. Aging and death signalling in mature red cells: from basic science to transfusion practice. *Blood Transfus.* 8 (Suppl 3):s39–s47.