Broken symmetries in solids involving higher order multipolar degrees of freedom are historically referred to as “hidden orders” due to the formidable task of detecting them with conventional probes. In this work, we theoretically propose that magnetostriction provides a powerful and novel tool to directly detect higher-order multipolar symmetry breaking—such as the elusive octupolar order—by examining scaling behaviour of length change with respect to an applied magnetic field $h$. Employing a symmetry-based Landau theory, we focus on the family of Pr-based cage compounds with strongly correlated $f$-electrons, Pr(Ti, V, Ir)$_2$(Al, Zn)$_{20}$, whose low energy degrees of freedom are purely higher-order multipoles: quadrupoles $O_{20}^{22}$ and octupole $T_{xyz}$. We demonstrate that a magnetic field along the [111] direction induces a distinct linear-in-$h$ length change below the octupolar ordering temperature. The resulting “magnetostriction coefficient” is directly proportional to the octupolar order parameter, thus providing clear access to such subtle order parameters.
In crystalline solids, the combination of spin–orbit coupling and crystal electric fields places strong constraints on the shape of localized electronic wavefunctions. The quantum mechanically defined multipole moments provide a useful measure of the resulting complex angular distribution of the magnetization and charge densities. Most conventional broken symmetry phases in solids involve the magnetic dipole moment of the electron. Remarkably, a large class of strongly correlated electron materials display non-trivial higher order multipolar moments, e.g., quadrupolar or octupolar moments, whose fluctuations and ordering leads to a rich variety of phases, such as quadrupolar heavy Fermi liquids, superconductivity, and unusual multipolar symmetry-broken phases. While multipolar ordered phases fall under the purview of the celebrated Landau paradigm of symmetry-broken phases, they have been termed as so-called ‘hidden orders’. Mysterious phases of matter whose orderings are invisible to conventional local probes (such as neutron scattering or magnetic resonance), but are remarkably still known to exist as their onset triggers non-analytic signatures in thermodynamic measurements. Studying the mysterious ordering patterns of higher order multipoles is also often rendered challenging since they typically coexist with conventional dipolar moments. Examples of such symmetry breaking which are of great interest include spin-nematic order in spin $S \geq 1$ quantum magnets, quadrupolar charge order in transition metal oxides, and higher multipolar order in actinide dioxides, such as NpO$_2$, and $f$-electron heavy fermion materials, to elucidate the quadrupolar order associated with orbital nematicity in the iron pnictides. A broad understanding of the nature of these symmetry-broken phases, and means to definitively demonstrate their existence, has proven to be a challenging, yet stimulating, endeavour for both theory and experiment.

Our work is motivated by a recent series of experiments on the Pr-based cage compounds Pr(Ti,V)Ir$_2$(Al,Zn)$_{10}$ which form an ideal setting to study multipolar moments and associated hidden orders. In these systems, the $4f$ electrons of Pr$^{3+}$ ions subject to CEFs host a ground non-Kramers doublet with solely quadrupolar heavy Fermi liquids. The nature of the quadrupolar order in these cage compounds has been indirectly examined with a few techniques, including ultrasound experiments (indicating softening of the elastic modulus at quadrupolar ordering temperature, $T_Q$), as well as NMR measurements (where the magnetic field-induced dipole moment is strongly dependent on the underlying quadrupolar phase). More recently, magnetostriction and thermal expansion strain experiments have also lent themselves as possible probes to study the transitions and the underlying quadrupolar phase. By contrast, the octupolar ordered state has continued to remain an elusive phase of matter, with only indirect hints of its existence from NMR and µSR measurements, but as yet no direct probe to reveal its existence. More recently, some of us (A.S. and S.N., unpublished) have begun experiments to study angle-dependent magnetostriction, the change in sample length induced by a magnetic field which can point along various crystalline directions, in a wide class of materials with multipolar degrees of freedom.

In this work, motivated by these experiments, we theoretically discuss how magnetostriction provides a novel means to directly probe multipolar order parameters. The central observation of this paper is that an applied magnetic field allows for a linear coupling between lattice strain fields and a uniform octupole moment which depends strongly on the applied field direction. In the absence of a dipolar moment, this enables measurements of the magnetostriction to directly reveal the hidden octupolar order parameter. We investigate such field-scaling behaviour of the magnetostriction for various magnetic field directions by employing a symmetry-based Landau theory, which allows us to highlight the universal aspects of the physics and to reveal its applicability to a broader class of materials. Specifically, our Landau theory permits both antiferro-quadrupolar ordering (AFQ) and ferro-octupolar ordering (FO), and we examine our theory along different field directions in three temperature regimes. Denoting the quadrupolar and octupolar transition temperatures as $T_Q$ and $T_O$, respectively, we consider the regimes (i) the paramagnetic phase above both transition temperatures ($T > T_Q, T_O$), (ii) intermediate temperatures ($T_Q < T < T_O$) where the system exhibits pure quadrupolar order, and (iii) below both ordering temperatures ($T < T_Q, T_O$) where the system features coexisting quadrupolar and octupolar orders. We make definite predictions for all possible combinations of length change and magnetic field directions, which can be tested in future experiments.

**Results**

**Magnetostriction as a probe of multipolar ordering.** Our studies predict a linear-in-$h$ scaling behaviour for particular length changes, for $T < T_Q$. The coefficient of the linear-in-$h$ term, i.e. the “magnetostriction coefficient”, is directly proportional to the ordered ferro-octupolar moment, thus providing a clear and distinct means to directly probe this order parameter. This linear-in-$h$ behaviour appears for magnetic fields applied along the [111] and [100] directions. For other magnetic field (and length change) direction, we predict the signature of quadrupolar ordering as a constant plus quadratic-in-$h$ scaling behaviour in the length change; although the scaling behaviour explicitly involves the FO order, the AFQ order parameter can be inferred from the FO, as it scales (to leading order) as the square root of the FO order parameter. We present our theoretical predictions for the scaling behaviours in Table 1 for a variety of magnetic field and length change directions. A quick way to see this linear-in-$h$ result is to note that the elastic energy of a strained cubic crystal is given by

$$F_{\text{lattice}} = \frac{g_f}{2} (\epsilon_{ii}^2 + \epsilon_{ij}^2 - \epsilon_{ij}^2) \left( \frac{\epsilon_{xx}^2 + \epsilon_{yy}^2}{2} \right) + \epsilon_{ij} (\epsilon_{xx}^2 + \epsilon_{yy}^2 + \epsilon_{zz}^2),$$

where the crystal’s deformation is described by the strain tensor $\epsilon_{ij}$, $g_f$ is the elastic modulus tensor describing the stiffness of the crystal. Note that we use the normal modes of the cubic lattice to write the elastic energy in this elegant form. Here $g_f$ is the bulk modulus, $\epsilon_{ij} \equiv \epsilon_{xx} + \epsilon_{yy} + \epsilon_{zz}$ is the volume expansion of the crystal, $\epsilon_{ij} (2\epsilon_{zz} - \epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy})/\sqrt{3}$ and $\epsilon_{ij} (\epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy})$ are lattice strains that transform as the $T_{2g}$ irreducible representation of the $Oh$ group, and the off-diagonal strain components transform as the $T_{2g}$ irrep. of $Oh$ group. The subscript $g$ indicates even under time-reversal and spatial inversion (parity). Knowing $\epsilon_{ij}$ determines the fractional length change along the $\hat{e}$-axis via $\Delta L/L = \sum \epsilon_{ij} \hat{e}_i \hat{e}_j$, where $\hat{e}_i$ is the $i$th component of unit vector $\hat{e}$; Supplementary Note 1 provides a more detailed discussion on this expression. As discussed below, an applied magnetic field enables a linear coupling between the strain field and the time-reversal-odd ferro-octupolar moment, $m$, via a term in the free energy $\Delta F = -g_m m (\epsilon_{xx} h_x + \epsilon_{yy} h_y + \epsilon_{zz} h_z)$, with a coupling constant $g_m$.
Table 1 Scaling relation for relative length change of system $\Delta L/L$ along direction $\ell$ for magnetic field applied along $\hat{n}$ direction

| Magnetic field, $h = \hbar \hat{n}$ | $\ell$ | $\Delta L/L$ scaling: $T-T_1$, $T_0$ | $\Delta L/L$ scaling: $T_0<T-T_1$, $T_0$ | $\Delta L/L$ scaling: $T<T_0$, $T_0$ |
|----------------|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $\hat{n} = [100]$ | $\ell = (1, 0, 0)$ | $k_1 h^2$ | $\Phi_1 + k_1 h^2$ | $\Phi_1 + k_1 h^2$ |
| | $\ell = (1, 0, +1)$ | $k_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ | $\Phi_1 + k_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ | $\Phi_1 + k_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ |
| | $\ell = (1, 0, -1)$ | $-\frac{1}{2} k_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ | $-\frac{1}{2} k_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ | $-\frac{1}{2} k_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ |
| $\hat{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [110]$ | $\ell = (1, 1, 0)$ | $\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ | $\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ | $\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2$ |
| | $\ell = (1, -1, 2)$ | $-\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_3 h^2$ | $-\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_3 h^2$ | $-\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_3 h^2$ |
| | $\ell = (1, 1, -2)$ | $\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_3 h^2$ | $\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_3 h^2$ | $\frac{1}{2} k_2 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_3 h^2$ |

Minimizing $F_{\text{magn}} + \Delta F$ with respect to the strain, we find $c_{xy} \propto (g_{\perp}/e_{44}) m h_1$ and cyclically for $c_{yz}, c_{zx}$, while diagonal components of the strain tensor vanish. As a representative example, take a [111] field, where $h_1 = h/\sqrt{3}$, this leads to $(\Delta L/L)_{(111)} = (c_{xy} + c_{yz} + c_{zx})/3$ and so $(\Delta L/L)_{(111)} \propto (g_{\perp}/e_{44}) m h$. This direct relation between the linear-in-$h$ magnetostriction coefficient and the ferro-octupolar order parameter for a magnetic field along the [111] direction is one of the central results of our paper.

Furthermore, we predict a characteristic hysteresis in the octupolar moment and the associated parallel length change as a function of magnetic field, arising from the symmetry-allowed cubic-in-$h$ coupling of the magnetic field to the octupolar moment. Very recent (unpublished) experiments on PrV$_2$Al$_20$ indeed appear to find a hysteretic linear-in-field magnetostriction, for a [111] magnetic field, below a transition at $T^* \approx 0.65$ K. Our theoretical results for magnetostriction in the presence of octupolar order thus lend strong support to the idea that this approach, pursued in recent experiments performed by some of us (A.S., and S.N., unpublished), herald the unambiguous discovery of octupolar order.

The theoretical roadmap which gives rise to this striking result requires (i) the Landau free energy of the multipolar moments, and (ii) coupling between the multipolar moments and lattice strain. We present these key ingredients below.

**Landau theory of multipolar order.** We present in this section, for the sake of self-containedness and to specify our notation, the Landau theory of multipolar order first introduced in ref. 31. We focus on key aspects of the model here, and relegate the symmetry-based derivation as well as the complete form of the free energy to Methods; the symmetry transformations of the multipolar moments are given in Supplementary Note 2.

The $4f^2$ electrons of Pr$^{3+}$ ions in the family of rare-earth metallic compounds Pr(Ti,V)$_2$Al$_2$(Al,Zn)$_{20}$ reside on a diamond lattice of cubic space group Fd3m. Surrounding each Pr$^{3+}$ ion is a Frank-Kasper (FK) cage (16 Al atom polyhedra). The crystalline electric field (CEF) of this FK cage, with $T_d$ point group symmetry, splits the $J = 4$ multiplet of the $4f^2$ electrons. The ground states are experimentally found to be a non-Kramers doublet, and they transform as the basis states of the $T_d$ irrep of $T_d$; here the subscript g(erde) and u(ngerde) denote even and odd under time-reversal, respectively. Moreover, this doublet is energetically well separated from the excited states, and so for energies much lower than this gap ($\gtrsim 50$ K), the $T_d$ doublets form an ideal basis to describe the low energy degrees of freedom. The $I_{3g}$ doublet can give rise to time-reversal even quadrupolar moments $Q_{22} = \frac{1}{2}(J_{22} - J_{-2})$ and $Q_{20} = \frac{1}{2}(J_{20} - J_{-2})$ which transform as $I_{3g}$ as well as a time-reversal odd octupolar moment $T_{xyz} = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} \sum_{\alpha=xyz} T_{\alpha}$ which transforms as $T_{3a}$ (where the overline represents the fully symmetrized product). Using the constructed pseudospin basis $|\pm, |1\rangle\rangle$ from the $I_{3g}$ doublets, allows the multipolar moments to be neatly denoted by an effective pseudospin-1/2 operator $\tau = (\tau^x, \tau^y, \tau^z)$.

The perpendicular component of the pseudospin vector $\tau_\perp \equiv (\tau^x, \tau^y)$ denotes the quadrupole moments, while $\tau^z$ denotes the octupole moment. We also define the raising/lowering pseudospin operators $\tau^\pm = \tau^x \pm i\tau^y$.

The ordering of these multipolar degrees of freedom acts as a mean field on the pseudospins, and breaks the degeneracy of the non-Kramers doublet. In order to describe these pseudospin-symmetry-broken phases, we resort to a Landau theory approach, focussing on the following order parameters,

$$
\phi \equiv \langle \tau^z \rangle \quad \phi \equiv \langle \tau^z \rangle \\
\phi \equiv \langle \tau^z \rangle \quad \phi \equiv \langle \tau^z \rangle \\
m \equiv \langle \tau^z \rangle \quad \phi \equiv \langle \tau^z \rangle \\
\phi \equiv \langle \tau^z \rangle \\
$$

Here, angular brackets $...$ denote thermal averages, while the A, B subscripts denote the two sublattices of the diamond lattice. The complex scalars $\phi$ and $\phi$ describe ferroquadrupolar (FQ) and anti-ferroquadrupolar (AFQ) orders, respectively, while the real scalars $m$ and $\phi$ denote the ferro-octupolar (FO) and anti-ferrooctupolar (AOFO) order parameters. We henceforth use the convention of $\phi = |\phi| e^{i\alpha}$ and $\phi = |\phi| e^{i\beta}$ for the complex order parameters.

In this work, we focus on a system where the primary order parameters are AFQ and FO. As discussed in previous works, the Landau theory of a system with AFQ order necessarily admits a ‘parasitic’ secondary order parameter FQ. Such mixing does not occur for the octupolar order parameter; motivated by explaining the experiments performed by some of us (A.S. and S.N., unpublished) on PrV$_2$Al$_{20}$, we choose to work with only FO order and ignore the AFQ order parameter. We can thus construct our Landau theory using the order parameters $\phi$, $\phi$, and $m$, based on the local $T_d$ symmetry instilled by the FK cage, $O_{\ell}$, $|\phi, \phi, m\rangle = F_0 + F_m + F_\phi + F_\phi m$.

Here, the free energies $F_\phi$, $F_m$ and $F_\phi$ denote the independent free energies of the AFQ, FO, and FQ orders, and $F_\phi m$ describes the interactions between the different multipolar order parameters. Figure 1 shows the zero magnetic field phase diagram, depicting both quadrupolar and octupolar transitions; with two primary order parameters AFQ (and its accompanying parasitic FQ moment) and FO ordering at
lattice elastic energy and coupling terms to quadrupolar moments,

\[
F_{\text{strain},Q} = \frac{c_{11} - c_{12}}{2} \left( e_{xy}^2 + e_{yz}^2 \right) - g_Q \epsilon_{\mu} \left( \langle r_A^x \rangle + \langle r_B^x \rangle \right) - g_Q \epsilon_{\nu} \left( \langle r_A^y \rangle + \langle r_B^y \rangle \right),
\]

where \( g_Q \) is the coefficient of coupling between the quadrupolar moments and lattice strain tensors. Note that we include the coupling of the lattice strain to the quadrupole moment on each sublattice. Using the definition of the order parameter \( \phi \) from Eq. (3), and minimizing \( F_{\text{strain},Q} \) with respect to \( \epsilon_{\mu}, \epsilon_{\nu} \) yields the total strain for each normal mode

\[
e_{\mu} = \frac{g_Q}{2 (c_{11} - c_{12})} |\phi| \cos \alpha,
\]

\[
e_{\nu} = \frac{g_Q}{2 (c_{11} - c_{12})} |\phi| \sin \alpha.
\]

Substituting these expressions back into Eq. (4), we find that the strain-optimized \( F_{\text{strain},Q} [\phi] = -\frac{g_Q}{2 (c_{11} - c_{12})} |\phi|^2 \) renormalizes the mass term of the FQ order.

**Coupling of octupolar moment to lattice strain.** A direct linear coupling between the octupolar moment \( T_{xyz} \) and the lattice normal modes is not permitted, as the octupolar moment is odd under time-reversal. However, this potential difficulty can be alleviated by the introduction of the time-reversal odd magnetic field \( h \) which assists in the coupling between the lattice degrees of freedom and octupolar moment. Thus, the Landau free energy of the multipolar moments gets augmented by the following lattice elastic energy and the coupling terms to the octupolar moments,

\[
F_{\text{strain},Q} = \frac{c_{44}}{2} \left( e_{xy}^2 + e_{yz}^2 + e_{xz}^2 \right) - g_{Q} \epsilon_{\mu} \left[ h_x e_{xz} + h_y e_{xy} + h_z e_{yz} \right] - g_{Q} \epsilon_{\nu} \left[ h_x e_{xy} + h_z e_{xz} + h_y e_{yz} \right],
\]

where we use the definition of \( m \) from Eq. (3), and \( g_{Q} \) is the coefficient of coupling between the octupolar moment and lattice strain. We also include another symmetry-allowed direct coupling between the magnetic field and the same lattice normal modes (with proportionality constant \( g_{Q} \), equivalent on both sublattices). Physically, this kind of term could arise from the independent coupling of the magnetic field and lattice strain to the conduction electrons (and after integrating out the conduction electrons). We discuss in Supplementary Note 5 how the numerical values of these coupling constants can be obtained from experimental observations in conjunction with our theoretical predictions.

Minimizing with respect to the lattice degrees of freedom yields the following expressions for the (total) lattice strains

\[
e_{xy} = \frac{g_{Q} h_x}{\gamma_c} m + \gamma_c h_y h_z,
\]

\[
e_{xz} = \frac{g_{Q} h_y}{\gamma_c} m + \gamma_c h_x h_z,
\]

\[
e_{yz} = \frac{g_{Q} h_z}{\gamma_c} m + \gamma_c h_x h_y.
\]

Substituting the expression for the minimized lattice strains from Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) yields \( F_{\text{strain},Q}[m] \), where the mass term of the octupolar moment is renormalized by a term quadratic in \( h \); it also introduces a \( O(h^3) \) coupling term between the octupolar moment and the magnetic field, which renormalizes the coefficient of the already present \( h_x h_y h_z m \) from third-order in perturbation theory in \( h \cdot J \).
Length change under magnetic field along various directions.

Equipped with the necessary ingredients in the previous subsections, we can now examine the relative length change, $\Delta L/L$, for magnetic fields applied along [100], [110], [111] directions and examine the scaling in magnetic field strength, $h$. For the sake of clarity, we stress that we consider here the complete Landau theory of multipolar moments coupled to lattice strain fields (after having integrated out the lattice degrees of freedom): $F[\phi, \delta, m] = F_{Q, \phi, \delta, m} + F_{mag}[\phi, \delta, m] + F_{strain, \phi, \delta, m] + f_{strain, \phi, \delta, m}$. The scaling relations can be inferred by substituting the expressions for the (extremized) strain in Eqs. (5) and (7) into $(\Delta L/L)_h = \sum \epsilon_{ij} \ell_i \ell_j$. We stress that from Eq. (7), the off-diagonal strain components involve the octupolar moment; thus to have any possibility of observing $m$, it requires length change expressions that are not along purely the crystal axes [100], [110], [001].

We summarize the key results in Table 1. Taking the example of length changes along the $(1, \pm 1, 1)$ direction we have

$$\frac{(\Delta L)}{L}_{(1, \pm 1, 1)} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{xy} \pm \epsilon_{yz} + \epsilon_{xz}}{3} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{xx} + \frac{2gQm}{3c_{44}} [\pm h_x \pm h_y + h_z]$$

This equation has a striking conclusion as it pertains to observing the octupolar ordering as well as a means to study the general behaviour of the octupolar moment (up to a proportionality constant) with respect to other external variables such as temperature, $T$. Moreover, we discover that length change parallel to the magnetic field along [111] has (negative) twice the slope of the linear-in-$h$ term and (negative) twice the quadratic background as the length changes perpendicular $\ell = (1, -1, 0), (1, 1, -2)$ to the field [111]. Furthermore, from Table 1, the octupolar moment analogously appears in the length change perpendicular to the magnetic field along the [100] direction. Indeed, the sign of linear-in-$h$ coefficient flips for the two presented orthogonal directions. All of these provide distinct means to validate the theory.

Next, for magnetic fields along the [110] direction, we find that the length changes parallel, $\ell = (1, 1, 0)$, and perpendicular, $\ell = (1, -1, 1), (-1, 1, 2)$, to the field are purely quadratic-in-$h$ and do not possess a linear-in-$h$ scaling behaviour. Thus, these length changes (for this choice of magnetic field) do not provide information about the octupolar moment; the quadratic in $h$ behaviour arises from the conduction electrons and/or the quadrupolar moment. We provide in Supplementary Note 4 a justification of the scaling behaviours of the multipolar moments, and in Supplementary Note 6 the corresponding general length change expressions. We note that the scaling behaviours presented here and in Supplementary Note 6 neglect the cubic-in-$h$ coupling, which breaks the $Z_2$ symmetry ($m \leftrightarrow -m$) of the octupolar moment. This introduces a ‘flip’ in the octupolar moment at $h = 0$ (and at $T < T_C$, where $m$ has spontaneously ordered, i.e. $m \neq 0$); for $h = 0^+$, the $-|m|$ solution is ‘chosen’, and as we crossover to $h = 0^-$, the now physically distinct $-|m|$ solution is ‘chosen’ (this is seen in Fig. 2). A similar phenomena is observed in usual ferromagnetism, below the ordering temperature. The neglect of this term is due to the consideration of weak, perturbative magnetic fields in this study. It is likely that this term could become more important (with regard to the scaling behaviour) for larger magnetic fields, but this is beyond the field ranges considered in this work.

Hysteretic behaviour of octupolar ordering. We are motivated in this section by preliminary experimental observations found by some of us (A.S. and S.N., unpublished) of hysteretic behaviour in the length change along the [111] direction below the supposed-octupolar temperature. Hysteresis arises from the existence of domains and the motion of domain walls in the presence of obstructing ‘pinning sites’, which have not been taken into account in the Landau theory we have studied. In order to incorporate such effects, we adapt the phenomenological approach due to Jiles and Atherton 55,56 which has been used to study hysteresis loops in ferromagnetic and ferroelastic materials. This approach identifies the order parameter (obtained by minimizing the Landau free energy) as its ideal bulk value, where the Landau theory includes a direct coupling $\mu m h^3$ of the ferro-octupolar moment $m$ and the external [111] magnetic field. The total macroscopic octupolar moment ($m_{\text{exp}}$) is obtained by solving the constructed Jiles and Atherton model, which is heuristically derived in Supplementary Note 7. The key point to note is that the hysteresis loop arises from having a time-reversal odd moment (and domains) coupling to the magnetic field.

We present the calculated hysteresis for $T < T_C$ in Fig. 2a. The initial condition chosen to obtain the hysteresis loop is such that at $h = 0$, the ideal configuration is not being met (i.e. $m_{\text{exp}} \neq m$); this depicts the realistic scenario of having not all domains aligned in the same direction at $h = 0$. The depicted hysteresis is reminiscent of the hysteresis in ferromagnets. We obtain the corresponding length...
change along the \((1, 1, 1)\) direction as shown in Fig. 2b, which for small magnetic fields displays the linear-in-\(h\) scaling behaviour. To better observe this linear-in-\(h\) scaling in the length change, we present the derivative of the length change with respect to the magnetic field in the inset of Fig. 2b. The linear-in-\(h\) scaling behaviour of the length change is more clearly apparent as a constant \(y\)-intercept in the inset; the further linear scaling in the inset is due to the background quadratic-in-\(h\) scaling behaviour of \(\Delta L/L\) from the conduction electrons (\(-y\) term).

Furthermore, we note that the field strength \(h^*\) corresponding to the minimum of the length change [i.e. \(d(\Delta L/L)/dh = 0\)] provides a threshold above which the conduction electron background dominates over the linear-in-\(h\) scaling behaviour. For this particular length change direction, \(h^* = \sqrt{\frac{2 \varepsilon_\text{el}}{y}}\). We note that dimensionally \(h\) has units of energy (as we have set the Bohr magneton, \(\mu_B = 1\) here) and the strain tensor \(\varepsilon\) is dimensionless; this implies that the composite quantity \(\varepsilon_\text{el}\) is dimensionless. Thus, the location of the minimum field is inversely dependent on the conduction electron DOS at the Fermi level: when the conduction electron DOS is small, the minimum field \(h^*\) is correspondingly large.

**Discussion**

In this work, motivated by recent and ongoing experiments on Pr\((\text{Ti,V,Ir})_2(\text{Al,Zn})_{20}\), we have used Landau theory of multipolar orders coupled to lattice strain fields to study magnetostriction in systems with quadrupolar and octupolar orders. Our theoretical results for magnetostriction in the presence of octupolar order appear consistent with recent magnetostriction experiments performed by some of us (A.S. and S.N., unpublished) on Pr\(\text{V}_2\text{Al}_20\) where the onset of unusual linear-in-field and hysteretic magnetostriction is observed for fields along the \([111]\) direction for \(T < 0.65\) K; in particular, we predict linear-in-\(h\) scaling of the length change for length changes (both parallel and perpendicular) to magnetic fields applied along the \([111]\) direction, and also for length changes perpendicular to \([100]\), below \(T_{\text{C}}\). Moreover, the coefficient of the linear-in-\(h\) term is directly proportional to the octupolar moment, thus giving a distinct signature for the onset of octupolar ordering as well as a means to detect/measure the octupolar moment. In addition, we can qualitatively understand the quadratic-in-field background magnetostriction observed in these experiments; we predict that this scaling arises from the quadrupolar moments and/or direct coupling of the conduction electrons to the external magnetic field and the appropriate lattice normal modes. The summary of all the scaling behaviours is presented succinctly in Table 1.

Our results are broadly applicable to a variety of multipolar orders in cubic systems. For instance, the conclusions here are extendable to the cluster octupolar moments suggested in antiferro-magnetically interacting magnetic moments in pyrochlore iridates\(^{57}\). Furthermore, the results presented here can be extended to other more ‘typical’ probes of multipolar ordering/ fluctuations. For instance, due to the permitted octupolar-strain coupling, we expect to observe elastic constant softening in the elastic constant \(\varepsilon_{44}\) at the ordering temperature, \(T_{\text{C}}\), under the application of a magnetic field. We note that it is the \(\varepsilon_{44}\) elastic constant that softens, as it is the associated elastic constant with the off-diagonal strain normal modes. Similarly, we expect elastoresistivity experiments\(^{58}\) to be a probe for octupolar susceptibility. The application of an elastic strain with \(T_{\text{C}}\) symmetry (such as \(xy, xz,\) or \(yz\)) in the presence of a magnetic field would result in an associated anisotropic resistivity \((\rho_{xy}, \rho_{xz}, \rho_{yz})\), which will be proportional to the octupolar susceptibility. Finally, we expect \(\text{Pr}(\text{Ti,V,Ir})_2(\text{Al,Zn})_{20}\) compounds will possess the characteristic low frequency Raman quasielastic peak\(^{59}\), associated with octupolar fluctuations; specifically, under the application of a magnetic field along the \([001]\) direction, we expect the quasielastic peak to appear in the \(xy\) symmetry Raman spectra.

In terms of future work, an interesting avenue to explore is that of the coupling of the conduction electrons to the multipolar moments, as well as to the lattice strain and magnetic field. In particular, the origin of the conduction electron term in Eq. (6), introduced in our phenomenological model from symmetry arguments, is a fascinating direction to explore (as well as potential other terms arising from conduction electrons). We discuss in Supplementary Note 8 a possible origin of the conduction electron term of Eq. (6). Understanding the nature and role of the conduction electrons will also help shed light on the quantum critical behaviour and superconductivity in such multipolar Kondo lattice systems\(^{4,7,8,32,60-64}\).

**Methods**

**Non-Kramers ground states of \(\text{Pr}^{3+}\) ions.** The ground states are experimentally found to form a non-Kramers doublet written in \((L)\) basis as

\[
\Gamma^1 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \phi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{6} \phi^{(2)}; \quad \Gamma^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \phi^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{6} \phi^{(2)},
\]

(9)

Constructing a pseudospin basis \(\{(\|1\rangle, 1\rangle\)}\) from the \(\Gamma_3\) doublets as

\[
\|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Gamma^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Gamma^{(2)};
\]

\[
\|\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Gamma^{(1)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Gamma^{(2)}.
\]

(10)

allows the multipolar moments to be succinctly written as the effective pseudospin-1/2 operator \(\tau = (\tau_x, \tau_y, \tau_z)\) in Eq. (3) in the main text. The local \(T_{\text{C}}\) symmetry instilled by the FK cage provides a constraint on the possible terms permitted in the Landau theory. The generating elements of \(T_{\text{C}}\) are \(S_z\), \(S_x\) (improper rotation of \(n/2\) about the \(z\)-axis) and \(C_{3z}\) (rotation of \(n/3\) about the body diagonal \([111]\) axis). In addition to these point group symmetries, we also require that the terms in the Landau theory be invariant under spatial inversion about the diamond bond centre \(T\) (which swaps the A and B sublattices), as well as time-reversal \(\Theta\). The behaviour of the multipolar moments under these symmetry constraints is detailed in Supplementary Table 1. As described in the main text, we construct our Landau theory using the order parameters \(\psi, \phi, \) and \(m\).

**Interacting multipolar orders.** Equipped with the symmetry knowledge from Supplementary Table 1 we can now write down the Landau free energy for this particular multipolar ordered system as

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{\text{Q}} & : \phi, \psi, m = F_{\xi} + F_{\phi} + F_{\psi} + F_{\psi m}.
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

Here, the free energies \(F_{\xi}, F_{\phi}, F_{\psi}\), and \(F_{\psi m}\) denote the independent free energies of the AFQ, FO, and FQ orders. Setting \(\phi = |\psi|^m\) and \(\psi = |\phi|^m\), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{\xi} &= \left[\frac{\kappa_1}{2} |\phi|^2 + m |\psi|^2\right] + \left[\kappa_2 + m \omega \cos(2\xi)\right]|\phi|^2, \\
F_{\phi} &= \frac{1}{2} m^2 + \kappa_3 \sin(3\phi)|\phi|^4, \\
F_{\psi} &= \left[\frac{\kappa_1}{2} |\psi|^2 + m |\phi|^2\right] + \left[\kappa_2 + m \omega \cos(2\phi)\right]|\phi|^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

The first two terms in Eqs. (12)–(14), in square brackets, are the usual mass and quartic interaction terms for AFQ, FO and FQ order parameters. We choose \(\kappa_1 = (T - T_{\text{C}})/T_{\text{C}}\), and \(m_0 = (T - T_{\text{C}})/(T_{\text{C}}^0)\) with \(T_{\text{C}}^0 < T_{\text{C}}\), where \(T_{\text{C}}\) denotes the temperature. Focussing on the mass term alone, decreasing \(T\) will thus lead to an anti-ferroquadrupolar order for \(T < T_{\text{C}}\), and a lower transition temperature into a state with coexisting ferro-octupolar order when \(T < T_{\text{C}}^0\). These (bare) transitions

---

ARTICLE NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11913-3 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications
temperatures will be affected by the interplay of the two order parameters; in particular, the true octupolar transition $T_{O}$ will be renormalized from its bare value $T_{O}^{0}$ due to the onset of quadrupolar order (besides fluctuation effects which we do not consider here). A measure of how close the two transition temperatures are to each other is provided by the ratio $(T_{O} - T_{O})/(T_{O} + T_{O})$. Finally, since FQ is not considered to be a primary order parameter, we choose a large positive mass term, $\lambda_{O}$. The remaining non-trivial terms in Eqs. (12) and (14) are the unusual sixth order and cubic "clock" terms, with respective coefficients $\omega_{O}$ and $\psi_{O}$ which fix the phases of the AFQ and FQ order parameters. We set $\psi_{O} > |\psi_{O}|$ to ensure that the free energy is bounded from below.

The couplings between the different multipolar order parameters are encapsulated in $F_{\phi,m}$, namely between AFQ and FQ order parameters, and between the quadrupolar and the octupolar moments ($\omega_{O}$, $\psi_{O}$)

$$F_{\phi,m} = \omega_{O} \mid \phi \mid \phi^{*} \sin \alpha \alpha + \psi_{O} \mid \phi \mid \phi^{*} \cos \alpha$$

where the term $\psi_{O}$ is a symmetry-allowed cubic term.

### References

1. Fazekas, P. Lecture Notes on Electron Correlation and Magnetism (World Scientific, 1999).
2. Kuramoto, Y., Kusunose, H. & Kiss, A. Multipole orders and fluctuations in strongly correlated electron systems. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 072001 (2009).
3. Kusunose, H. Description of multipolar moments in the cubic Pr$_{2}$Ir$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 011093 (2014).
4. Onimaru, T. & Kusunose, H. Excotic quadrupolar phenomena in non-Kramers doublet systems: the cases of Pr$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$ (T = Ir, Rh) and Pr$_{2}$Al$_{2}$ (T = V, Ti). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 85, 083702 (2016).
5. Onimaru, K. et al. Simultaneous superconducting and antiferroquadrupolar order in Pr$_{2}$Ir$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 083701 (2011).
6. Tsujimoto, M., Matsumoto, Y., Tomita, T., Sakai, A. & Nakaatsuji, S. Heavy fermion superconductivity in the quadrupolar ordered state of Pr$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$. Rev. B 87, 054415 (2013).
7. Araki, K. et al. Magnetization and specific heat of the cage compound Pr$_{2}$Ir$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 083702 (2013).
8. Kobayashi, H. & Otake, A. Evidence for unconventional strong-coupling superconductivity in Pr$_{0.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$: A Nb superconducting resonance. Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 027001 (2003).
9. Santini, P. et al. Multiband interactions in f-electron systems: the paradigm of actinide dioxides. Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 807–863 (2009).
10. Shina, R., Shibata, H. & Thalmeier, P. Magnetic-field effects on quadrupolar ordering in a 12-quartet system CeB$_{6}$. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1741–1755 (1997).
11. Kiss, A. Multipolar Ordering in f-Electron Systems, Ph.D. thesis (Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 2004).
12. Kiss, A. & Fazekas, P. Group theory and octupolar order in URu$_{2}$Si$_{2}$. Phys. Rev. B 71, 054415 (2005).
13. Onimaru, T. et al. Antiferroquadrupolar order in a Pr-based superconductor Pr$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 177001 (2011).
14. Iwasa, K. et al. Well-decoupled quadrupole ground states of f electrons in Pr$_{2}$Ir$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 083702 (2013).
15. Tokunaga, Y. et al. NMR evidence for higher-order multipole order parameters in NpO$_{2}$. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 257501 (2006).
16. Lee, S., Parameshkanti, A. & Kim, Y. B. Optical gyrotropy in quadrupolar Kondo systems. Phys. Rev. B 91, 041110 (2015).

### Data availability

All relevant data are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.
21. Chandra, P., Coleman, P., Mydosh, J. A. & Tripathi, V. Hidden orbital order in the heavy fermion metal URu$_2$Si$_2$. Nature 417, 831–834 (2002).
22. Chandra, P. & Coleman, P. Mydosh, J. A. & Tripathi, V. The case for phase separation in URu$_2$Si$_2$. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15, S1965–S1971 (2003).
23. Tripathi, V., Chandra, P. & Coleman, P. Sleuthing hidden order. Nat. Phys. 3, 78–80 (2007).
24. Santander-Syro, A. F. et al. Fermi-surface instability at the ‘hidden-order’ transition. Nat. Phys. 5, 637–641 (2009).
25. Haule, K. & Kodial, G. Arrested Kondo effect and hidden order in URu$_2$Si$_2$. Nat. Phys. 5, 796–799 (2009).
26. Haule, K. & Kotliar, G. Complex Landau-Ginzburg theory of the hidden order in URu$_2$Si$_2$. EPL 89, 57006 (2010).
27. Pezzoli, M. E., Graf, M. J., Haule, K., Kotliar, G. & Balatsky, A. V. Local suppression of the hidden-order phase by impurities in URu$_2$Si$_2$. Phys. Rev. B 83, 235106 (2011).
28. Okazaki, R. et al. Rotational symmetry breaking in the hidden-order phase of URu$_2$Si$_2$. Science 331, 439–442 (2011).
29. Rau, J. G. & Kee, H.-Y. Hidden and antiferromagnetic order as a rank-5 superspin in URu$_2$Si$_2$. Phys. Rev. B 85, 245112 (2012).
30. Stewart, G. R. Heavy-fermion systems. Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 755–787 (1984).
31. Cox, D. L. Quadrupolar Kondo effect in uranium heavy-electron materials? Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1240–1243 (1987).
32. Cox, D. L. & Zawadowski, A. Exotic Kondo effects in metallic magnetic ions in a crystalline electric field and tunnelling centres. Adv. Phys. 47, 599–942 (1998).
33. Kukushkin, H., Shaprio, M. C., Riggs, S. C. & Fisher, I. R. Measurement of the elastoresistivity coefficients of the underdoped iron arsenide Ba$_2$(Fe$_{0.975}$Co$_{0.025}$)$_2$As$_2$. Phys. Rev. B 88, 085113 (2013).
34. Riggs, S. C. et al. Evidence for a nematic component to the hidden-order phase in Pr$_3$Co$_4$Sb$_{12}$. Phys. Rev. B 86, 184419 (2012).
35. Shimura, Y. et al. Field-induced quadrupolar quantum criticality in PrV$_2$Al$_2$. Phys. Rev. B 91, 241102 (2015).
36. Shimura, Y. et al. Giant anisotropic magnetoresistance due to purely orbital rearrangement in the quadrupolar heavy fermion superconductor Pr$_5$V$_2$Al$_4$. Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 256601 (2019).
37. Nakahata, Y. et al. Elastic anomalies associated with two successive transitions of Pr$_5$V$_2$Al$_4$ probed by ultrasound measurements. Phys. Rev. B 93, 121303 (2016).
38. Wörl, A. et al. Highly anisotropic strain dependencies in Pr$_5$Ru$_2$As$_2$. Phys. Rev. B 99, 081117 (2019).
39. Santini, P. & Amoretti, G. Magnetic-oculoparotid order in neptunium dioxide? Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2188–2191 (2000).
40. Kopmann, W. et al. Magnetic order in NpO$_2$ and UO$_2$ studied by muon spin rotation. J. Alloys. Compd. 271–273, 463–466 (1998).
41. Walstedt, R. E. The NMR Probe of High-Tc Materials and Correlated Electron Systems, 2nd edn, 257–268 (Springer, 2018).
42. Landau, L. D., Lifshitz, E. M., Sykes, J. B. & Reid, W. H. Theory of Elasticity (Pergamon Press, 1986).
43. Lutuki, B. Physical Acoustics in the Solid State, 1st edn (Springer, 2006).
44. Lee, S., Trebst, S., Kim, Y. B. & Paramekanti, A. Landau theory of multipolar order in Pr$_5$(Y)$_3$Ti$_5$Kondo materials (Y=Ti, V, Rh, Ir; X=Al, Zn). Phys. Rev. B 98, 134437 (2018).
45. Hattori, K. & Tsunetsugu, H. Antiferro quadrupole orders in non-Kramers doublet systems. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 054709 (2014).
46. Illés, D. & Atherton, D. Theory of ferromagnetic hysteresis. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 61, 48–80 (1986).
47. Nakanishi, Y. et al. Elastic anomalies associated with two successive transitions of Pr$_5$V$_2$Al$_4$ probed by ultrasound measurements. Phys. Rev. B 93, 121303 (2016).
48. Massad, J. E. & Smith, R. C. A domain wall model for hysteresis in ferroelastic materials. J. Intell. Mat. Syst. Str. 14, 455–471 (2003).
49. Arita, T. B. & H.L. & Ruckenstein, A. E. Spin-flavor separation and non-Fermi-liquid behavior in the multichannel Kondo problem: a large-N approach. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1613–1616 (1993).
50. Carson, E. P. et al. Nonlinear susceptibility: a direct test of the quadrupolar order in UBe$_1$_3. Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3018–3021 (1994).
51. Tsuruta, A. & Miyake, K. Non-Fermi liquid and Fermi liquid in two-channel Anderson lattice model: theory for Pr$_4$Al$_8$ (A=V, Ti) and Pr$_4$Zn$_8$. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 84, 114714 (2015).
52. Onimaru, T. et al. Quadrupole-driven non-Fermi-liquid and magnetic-field-induced heavy fermion states in a non-Kramers doublet system. Phys. Rev. B 94, 075134 (2016).

Acknowledgements
We acknowledge Premala Chandra and Piers Coleman for discussions and correspondence on the Landau theory of magnetostriiction in an octupolar phase, and for letting us know about their parallel work. We thank Wonjune Choi and Li Ern Chern for helpful comments regarding the paper. Y.B.K. is supported by the Killam Research Fellowship of the Canada Council for the Arts. The work was supported by NSERC of Canada, and Canadian Institute for Advanced Research. S.B.L. is supported by the KAIST startup and National Research Foundation Grant (NRF-2017R1A2B4008097). This work was partially supported by Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (15H05882 and 15H05883) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan, by CREST/JPMICR13TS, Japan Science and Technology Agency, by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (19H00650) from the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), and by CIFAR programme “Quantum Materials” (FS20–151–2965).

Author contributions
Y.B.K. and S.N. conceived the research. S.L., Y.B.K. and A.P. developed the underlying theoretical calculations, and experimental work. All authors participated in writing the paper.

Additional information
Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11913-3.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://www.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/

Peer review information: Nature Communications thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.