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A B S T R A C T

Present investigation entitled “Studies on induced chemical mutagenesis in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)” was carried out during January 2018 – March 2018 in Department of Plant Biotechnology, SDMVM’S College of Agriculture Biotechnology, Georai Tanda, Aurangabad. Experiment was laid out in Randomly Block Design with two mutagens six treatment and three replications. The groundnut variety (LGN-1) from oilseed research station, Latur was considered as base population for present mutation breeding experiments. This study was performed by exposing the seeds of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to ethyl methanesulphonate [EMS] sodium azide [SA] to study their effects on various morphological traits when compared with control. The seeds of groundnut were pre-soaked in distilled water for 6 hours for EMS and 24 hrs for SA. The SA, EMS solution was prepared in distilled water. Each concentration of SA, EMS was prepared in 100ml of distilled water for 300 groundnut seeds. These soaked seeds treated with different concentration of SA, EMS such as 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8, 0.10% and 0.12 %. After treatment, carefully remove the SA, EMS solution and treated seeds were washed under running tap water to remove the traces of mutagen sticking to the seed coat. The observations were made on seed germination, plant height, number of branches, number of leaves per plant and plant survival (%) after treating seeds with different mutagens. Present investigation showed significant results in seed germination, plant height, number of branches and number of leaves per plant and plant survival (%) after treating seeds with different mutagens.

K e y w o r d s

Groundnut, Sodium azide, EMS, Mutagenesis

A r t i c l e I n f o

Accepted: 12 September 2018  
Available Online: 10 October 2018

Introduction

Commercially groundnut is the world’s fourth most important source of edible oil and third most important source of vegetable protein. Currently, groundnut is grown on nearly 25.20 million ha around the world with an annual production of 42.31 million tons of nuts-in-shell. The major producers are China, India and the USA which together account for two-thirds of the world output. Developing countries, account for 82 per cent of total groundnut area and 79 per cent of production of the world. Among the developing countries, production is mainly concentrated in Asia and Africa with Asia accounting for 51 per cent of global area and 60 per cent of production. India occupies 30 per cent of global area (6.6
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million ha) and contributes 22 per cent (5.9 million tons) of total groundnut production (FAO, 2014). Groundnuts are rich in essential nutrients (right table, USDA nutrient data, 2016). In a 100g serving, peanuts provide 570 calories and are an excellent source (defined as more than 20% of the Daily Value,) of several B vitamins, Vitamin E, several Dietary minerals, such as manganese (95% DV), magnesium (52% DV) and phosphorus (48% DV), and dietary fiber (right table). They also contain about 25 g protein per 100 g serving, a higher proportion than in many tree nuts. In Maharashtra, various groundnut variety where cultivated such as phule pragati (JL-24) It matures in 85-87 days. Its yield potential is 18-23 quintals per hectare. It has 72 percent shelling out turn and 50.7 percent oil. It is suitable for growing in Maharashtra. kopergoan-3: The crop matures in 100-105 days. It is suitable to grow under irrigated conditions in Maharashtra. It has 70 percent shelling out turn and 47 percent oil content. Its yield potential is about 13-18 quintals per hectare and A.K 12-24 it is a bunch variety recommended for cultivation in Vidarbh areas of Maharashtra, Orissa and Madhya Pradesh.

Seeds contain 48.5 percent oil. Shelling percentage is 75. Yield potential is 12-15 quintals per hectare. Top growing district in Maharashtra are Jalgoan, Solapur, Latur, etc. (ICAER, 2009). Mutation is a sudden heritable change in the genetic material of an organism. A number of physical and chemical mutagens have been used for induction of useful mutations in a number of crops. The approach of mutation breeding is to generate new genetic variability in a given crop species when there is little variation for a certain trait (Yadav et al., 2007). Among the known four types of mutations (genome, structural, extra nuclear and gene mutations), Ethyl methane sulphonate (CH$_3$SO$_2$O-C$_2$H$_5$) is a monofunctional alkylating agent and its mutagenic property was discovered by Loveless (1958) in T2 bacteriophage. Mutagenic property of EMS was also reported by Heslot et al., (1959). Bautz and Freese (1960) and Freese (1963) reported that EMS react with three of the four bases of DNA except thymine and causes more gene mutation than chromosomal break. This was subsequently attested by Kreig (1963) who reported that the action of EMS on base was in order of guanine > adenine > cytosine > thymine. Methyl group of EMS react with N-7 position of guanine to form 7ethyl-guanine, thereby inducing both point mutation and chromosomal break.

Sodium azide (SA) is well known of heavy metal enzymes with influences on metabolism and respiration of living cell. The mutagenic effect of the SA is greatly depends on the pH of the treatment solution. SA is an inhibitor of the terminal segment of the electron transport chain. The physiological effect of SA is the inhibition of catalase, peroxidase and cytochrome oxidase, and effects in cell cycle and metabolism. SA was found to decrease the cellular level of catmodulin, which is calcium binding protein participating in signal transduction and cell division. SA is used for the first time as mutagene by Nillam et al., (1973) in barly. Different mutation breeding studies on groundnut involves seed treatment of a popular germplasm material in order to generate mutant genotype with additional desirable characteristics with different mutagens at various levels of concentrations. Present study involves genotype ‘LGN-1’ from a local seed market as base plant population utilized to evaluate mutagenic effects of two different chemical mutagens on groundnut seeds and characterize the desirable traits induced after mutation. Two different objectives of the present investigation are, Objectives-

To study the effect of germination percentage of groundnut at different concentration of sodium azide and ethyl methane sulphonate.
To study the effect of growth parameters of groundnut at different concentration of Sodium Azide and Ethyl methane sulphonate (up to 60 days)

**Materials and Methods**

Experimental site: The experiment was conducted in Department of Plant Biotechnology in SDMVM’s College of Agriculture Biotechnology, Georai Tanda, Aurangabad.

Collection of varieties: The groundnut variety LGN-1 was included as base population for present mutation breeding experiments. Truthful seeds were collected from the Oilseeds Research Station, Nanded Road, Latur

**Methodology**

Treatment of mutagens

**Ethyl methane sulfonate**

The groundnut seeds were pre-soaked in distilled water for 6 hours.

The EMS solution was prepared in distilled water.

Each concentration of EMS was prepared in 100ml of distilled water for 300 groundnut seeds, and a set of 300 seeds was kept in distilled water to serve as a control.

These soaked seeds treated with different concentration of EMS such as 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8%, 0.10% & 0.12%

After treatment, carefully remove the EMS solution and treated seeds were washed under running tap water to remove the traces of mutagen sticking to the seed coat (Gunasekaran *et al.*, 2015)

**Sodium azide**

The sodium azide solution was prepared in 100 ml distilled water for 300 seeds. The seeds were treated with six different concentrations viz. 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8%, 0.10% & 0.12%.

The treatments were given in capped vials with periodic shaking to maintain specific concentrations of the mutagen during treatment at room temperature.

A set of 300 seeds was kept in distilled water to serve as a control

After 24 hours of treatment, the seeds were thoroughly washed under running tap water to remove the residual mutagen sticking to the seed coat sowing of mutagen treated seeds (Mensah *et al.*, 2007). In this experiment randomized block design (RBD) statistical design was used for analysis. In each mutagen six different treatments including one control treatment were utilized to induce differential mutations and evaluation of induced mutations. All treatments were replicated six times.

**Collection of data**

Initially 300 seeds were treated with different selected mutagen concentrations as per designated protocol. 100 treated seeds were sown in each treatment in three replications. Watering was done regularly as per recommendation for normal vegetative growth and development, Different agronomic observations was recorded at 30 days of interval from sowing date in following respect.

**Biometric observation**

Plants were observed on different growth parameters.
Germination (%) 

The germination % was measured after seven days of interval.

Number of leaves per plant 

The number of leaves proliferated was measure, after one month interval.

Number of branches per plant 

The number of branches was count per plant, after branches formation.

Average height of the plant 

The plant length was measured from base to the plantlet and the average length was express in centimeters.

Plant survival (%) 

Plant survival % was measured after 45 DAS.

Data analysis 

The agronomic data recorded at month interval after sowing was recorded for different plants from each treatment. Then averages were calculated from all plants from each treatment. Data obtained on various observations was subjected to analyze by “Analysis of variance” method.

Results and Discussion 

Effect of SA and EMS on germination percentage of groundnut (LGN-1) 

In the present study, it was found that there were reductions in the germination and survival percentage with increasing concentration of mutagen (sodium azide and ethyl methane sulphonate). The highest rate of germination was recorded in control treatment (0.00 % of Sodium azide and ethyl methane sulphonate) of both the chemical such as 80.33% in sodium azide and 86.66 % in ethyl methane sulphonate. Followed by the last treatment (0.12% of sodium azide) showed maximum amount of reduction and in ethyl methane sulphonate treatment T5 showed maximum amount reduction in seed germination showing that this dose proves to be lethal for both of the chemical under field condition (Table 1).

All the five treatment of sodium azide had an effect on germination percentage when compared with control treatment. In this treatment, the highest germination (80.33 %) was showed by the treatment T0 (0.00% of sodium azide) and the lowest germination (11.66 % of sodium azide) was recorded by treatment T6 (0.12 % of sodium azide).

In this treatment of ethyl methane sulphonate, the maximum germination (80.66%) was showed by the treatment T0 (0.00% of EMS) and the lowest germination (59.33%) was observed by treatment T5 (0.10% of EMS).

In this treatment of sodium azide, the higher number of leaves (20.53) was recorded by the treatment T0 (0.00%) followed to T1 (0.2 %) which is significantly lower than T0 (18.66). Treatment T2 (0.4%) and T3 (0.6%) were significantly lower than Treatment T0 (0.0%) but which were at par with each other (18.36 and 17.93). T4 (0.8%) is superior over T5 (0.10%) but significantly lower than T0 (0.0%). The Treatment T6 (0.12%) was recorded lowest number of leaves.

In this treatment of ethyl methane sulphonate, the higher number of leaves (20.60) was recorded by the treatment T0 (0.0%) followed to T1 (0.2 %) which were at par with each other (18.66). Treatment T2 (0.4%) and T3 (0.6%) were significantly lower than Treatment T0 (0.0%) but which were at par.
with each other (18.66) and (17.33). Treatment T₄ (0.8%) is superior over T₅ (0.10%) but significantly lower than T₀ (0.0%).

The treatment T₆ (0.12%) was recorded lowest (15.60) number of leaves among the all treatment (Table 2).

The treatments of Sodium azide and Ethyl Methane sulphonate had an effect number of leaves when compared with control treatments. The results of present study indicated that in both SA and EMS is that when increase the dose concentration then decreases the number of leaves.

### Effect of SA, EMS and treatments on number of branches per plant

In this treatment of sodium azide, the highest number of branches (5.33) was recorded by the treatment T₁ (0.2%) followed to T₀ (0.0%) which were at par with each other (5.13). Treatment T₂ (0.4%) and T₃ (0.6%) were significantly lower than Treatment T₀ (0.0%) but which were at par with each other (4.66) and (4.50). T₄ (0.8%) is superior over T₅ (0.10%) but significantly lower than T₁ (0.0%). The Treatment T₆ (0.12%) showed lowest (3.33) number of branches among all the treatments.

### Table 1 Effect of SA and EMS on germination percentage (%) of groundnut (LGN-1)

| Treatment | S.A | EMS |
|-----------|-----|-----|
| T₀ (0.0 %) | 80.33 | 86.66 |
| T₁ (0.2%) | 48.33 | 82.00 |
| T₂ (0.4 %) | 44.00 | 81.00 |
| T₃ (0.6 %) | 30.00 | 74.33 |
| T₄ (0.8 %) | 21.00 | 67.33 |
| T₅ (0.10 %) | 15.33 | 59.33 |
| T₆ (0.12 %) | 11.66 | 67.00 |

### Table 2 Effect of SA and EMS treatments on number of leaves per plant

| Treatment | S.A | EMS |
|-----------|-----|-----|
| T₀ (0.0 %) | 20.53 | 20.60 |
| T₁ (0.2%) | 18.66 | 19.86 |
| T₂ (0.4 %) | 18.36 | 18.66 |
| T₃ (0.6 %) | 17.93 | 17.33 |
| T₄ (0.8 %) | 17.60 | 17.26 |
| T₅ (0.10 %) | 17.13 | 16.40 |
| T₆ (0.12 %) | 13.33 | 15.60 |
| SE± | 0.70 | 0.80 |
| CD | 1.4 | 1.5 |
Effect of SA & EMS & treatments on No. of branches per plant

| Treatment | S.A (cm) | EMS (cm) |
|-----------|----------|----------|
| T0 (0.0 %) | 12.86    | 12.53    |
| T1 (0.2 %) | 8.30     | 12.13    |
| T2 (0.4 %) | 9.53     | 11.00    |
| T3 (0.6 %) | 8.93     | 8.00     |
| T4 (0.8 %) | 9.13     | 8.00     |
| T5 (0.10 %) | 9.06    | 8.00     |
| T6 (0.12 %) | 7.30    | 6.33     |
| SE±        | 2.0      | 1.57     |
| CD         | 2.5      | 2.33     |
In this treatment of ethyl methane sulphonate, the highest number of branches (5.26) was recorded by the treatment T1 (0.2%) followed to T0 (0.0%) which were at par with each other (5.13). Treatment T2 (0.4%) and T3 (0.6%) were significantly lower than Treatment T0 (0.0%) but which were at par with each other (4.66) and (4.50). treatment T5 (0.10%) is superior over T4 (0.8%) but significantly lower than T1 (0.0%). The Treatment T6 (0.12%) showed lowest (3.80) number of branches among all the treatments.

In the present investigation, the maximum number of branches (5.33) in sodium azide and (5.26) in ethyl methane sulphonate was recorded in treatment T1 of both chemical followed by (5.13) in T0 (control) treatment in both chemical.

**Effect of SA and EMS treatments on height of the plants**

In the present study, the highest plant height of sodium azide without treatment (0.0%) was about (12.86 cm) followed by treatment T2 (0.4% of sodium azide) recorded (9.53 cm) and in ethyl methane sulphonate the treatment T0 (0.0 %) showed the maximum plant height (12.53 cm) followed by treatment T1 (0.2 %) recorded (12.13) plant height.

**Effect of SA and EMS on plant survival (%) of groundnut (LGN-1)**

In the treatment sodium azide, the highest number of plant (74.66 %) survived in the treatment T0 (0.0 % of sodium azide) and lowest number of plant (2 %) survived in treatment T0 (0.12% of sodium azide) and In the treatment of ethyl methane sulphonate, the highest number of plant (79 %) survived in the treatment T0 (0.0 % of ethyl methane sulphonate) and lowest number of plant (56.33 %) survived in treatment T5 (0.10 % of ethyl methane sulphonate).The results of present study indicated that same type of work already observed in sodium azide treatments by Mensah *et al.*, (2007), and also Gunasekaran *et al.*, (2015) have worked on ethyl methane sulphonate.

The mutation resulted in the release of genetic variability in the mutagen treated plants of groundnut (LGN-1). It was observed that the increasing dose of sodium azide was showed adverse effect on germination percentage (%) as well as morphological characters on groundnut the application of sodium azide at T1 (0.2% of sodium azide) showed similar results in growth parameters such as number of leaves (18.66), plant height (8.30 cm), number of branches (5.33) as compared with the control (20.53,12.86 cm, 5.13 respectively) Similarly, ethyl methane sulphonate at T1 (0.2% of EMS) showed similar results in growth parameters such as number of leaves (19.86), plant height (12.13cm), number of branches (5.26) as compared with the control (20.60,12.53 cm,5.13 respectively) Thus, it is concluded that sodium azide and ethyl methane sulphonate at (0.2% of sodium azide and EMS) low concentration appear to be the better effective treatment for inducing variability as compare with other concentrations of sodium azide and ethyl methane sulphonate (0.4 % to 0.12 %).
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