Perforated Duodenal Diverticulum
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Abstract

Background: Duodenum is the second most frequent location for a diverticulum in the digestive tract next to the colon. Duodenal diverticulum occurs in 20% of the general population and is generally asymptomatic. Complications such as perforation are exceedingly rare, with only few cases reported in the literature. Case Report: Here, we present a case of a 70 year old, male patient who was admitted with acute abdomen and intra-operatively diagnosed as perforated duodenal diverticulum. Conclusion: Early diagnosis of perforated duodenal diverticulum is a challenge and clear guidelines for the management of this rare entity is still lacking.

Keywords: Acute Abdomen, Diverticulitis, Diverticulum, Duodenal Diseases, Humans.

Introduction

First reported by Chomel in 1710, the incidence of duodenal diverticula can be as high as 22% and complications can be estimated at 0.03% per year [1]. Duodenum is the second most common site for diverticula in the alimentary tract, second part of duodenum being the most frequent location [2]. Perforation is a rare, rarely reported and serious complication of duodenal diverticula, representing a diagnostic challenge and difficult surgical problem [3]. Here, we present a patient of perforated diverticulum in second part of the duodenum and its surgical management.

Case Report

A 70 year old, male patient presented to our emergency department with generalised pain abdomen, fever and abdominal distension. Examination showed normotensive patient with tachycardia of 110 beats per minute. Hematological and biochemical investigations showed hemoglobin: 13 gm%; total leukocyte count: 15000 cells/µL with 92% neutrophils in the differential count, and blood urea: 46 mg/dL. Other biochemical investigations were within normal limits.

Abdominal X-ray showed no gas under diaphragm and ultrasonography showed thickened gall bladder wall with calculus of size 18 mm in its lumen. A provisional diagnosis of acute cholecystitis was made based on clinical presentation of the patient and patient was managed conservatively with intravenous antibiotics, analgesics and intravenous fluids with no clinical improvement. Abdominal CT scan next day showed retroperitoneal free air with surrounding mesenteric fat stranding suggestive of bowel perforation [Fig.1].

An emergency laparotomy was performed. After mobilisation of duodenum a perforated diverticulum was noted in the second part of duodenum [Fig.2] with surrounding phlegmon. We carried out diverticulectomy with double layer closure of the defect with feeding jejunostomy and nasogastric tube in situ. Patient improved well and nasogastric tube was removed on 4th postoperative day. Feeding started through feeding jejunostomy.
and patient was discharged on 8th postoperative day. On follow up, feeding jejunostomy was removed and patient started oral feed which he tolerated well.

Discussion

Duodenal diverticulum can be congenital or acquired, with the latter being the most common. Congenital diverticula contain all layers of the duodenal wall and acquired ones represent pulsion diverticula due to protrusion of mucosa, muscularis mucosa and submucosa through a wall weakness along with papillae and explaining why the area within 2.5 cm of the ampulla of Vater is the predilection site for such pathology [1,4].

Duodenal diverticula are mostly asymptomatic, however complications such as bleeding, inflammation, compression of surrounding organs and perforation can develop in 5% of patients. Perforation represents the rarest but most serious complication, with mortality of upto 13% [5]. Perforated duodenal diverticulum poses a diagnostic challenge as there are no pathognomonic clinical features. The symptoms are mostly non-specific and could mimic conditions such as peptic ulcer disease, acute cholecystitis and pancreatitis [6]. Majority of patients present with acute onset epigastric or right upper quadrant pain associated with nausea and vomiting. As in our case a provisional diagnosis of acute cholecystitis was made based on clinical scenario of the patient. The diagnosis is by exclusion and requires clinician to possess a high index of suspicion.

Conventional radiological examination will show no abnormality in half the patients with duodenal diverticular perforation. Abdominal CT is a valuable diagnostic modality due to its ability to detect small amount of extra-luminal air and delineate the retroperitoneal anatomy. It can even demonstrate the presence of a diverticulum in some cases. Typical radiological findings include thickened bowel wall, fat stranding and extra-luminal air or fluid [5,7]. In patients with no evidence of impending sepsis, non-operative treatment for perforated diverticula is safe and a practical alternative to surgery. Treatment in such cases includes nasogastric suction, bowel rest, intravenous antibiotic therapy, parenteral nutrition, endoscopic cleaning of the infected pouch and combined endoscopic and percutaneous drainage of retroperitoneal abscess [7,8]. Close clinical observation is mandatory and surgical intervention is indicated if conservative management fails.
Standard treatment for perforated diverticula is surgical intervention. Diverticulectomy with single or double layer closure is the most frequent reported alternative if inflammation permits [7]. As in our case diverticulectomy with double layer closure achieved good results. When substantial duodenal or retroperitoneal inflammation is present, more complex procedures like duodenal diversion, gastroenteric anastomosis, tube duodenostomy, segmental duodenal resection or even pylorus preserving Whipple might be required. Surgical morbidity includes duodenal leak or fistula, abscess, iatrogenic injury to the common bile duct, acute pancreatitis and persistent sepsis.

Conclusion

Perforation represents the rarest complication of duodenal diverticulum. Early diagnosis is challenging but CECT abdomen may give some clue for the diagnosis. Early surgical intervention is the treatment of choice but in selected patients non-operative management may be required.
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