Predicting the requirement for renal replacement therapy in intensive care patients with sepsis
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Sepsis is one of the most frequent causes of acute kidney injury (AKI) in critically ill patients, with initial organ impairment often followed by dysfunction in other systems [1]. Renal dysfunction may therefore represent one facet in the evolution towards multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) or, alternatively, may be indicative of system-wide endothelial damage caused by hyperinflammation and a positive fluid balance. Whilst numerous biomarkers have been investigated to predict renal replacement therapy (RRT) requirement, including NGAL, TIMP-2 and IGFBP-7 [2], mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-proADM) may also be of interest due to its involvement in capillary leakage, endothelial dysfunction and the initial stages of multiple organ failure development [3, 4].

In a secondary analysis of 1089 severe sepsis and septic shock patients enrolled in the SISPCT trial [5], RRT was initiated in 322 (29.9%) patients within the first 21 days of treatment, including 178 (55.5%; 52.2% mortality) patients at baseline and 118 (36.6%; 55.1% mortality) additional patients between days 1-7. Continuous veno-venous haemodialysis (CVVHD: N = 88; 49.4%) and haemodiafiltration (CVVHDF: N = 54; 30.3%) were the most common modes of RRT at baseline.

Biomarker (PCT, MR-proADM, CRP and lactate) and standard clinical and laboratory parameters (creatinine, urea and 24-h urine output) were subsequently compared to identify RRT requirement at baseline (day 0), and predict requirement between days 1 and 7 in patients where no RRT was previously initiated. AUROC and logistic regression analysis found that urine output, MR-proADM and creatinine performed similarly in identifying RRT requirement at baseline, whereas MR-proADM more accurately predicted requirement between days 1 and 7 (Fig. 1). Previously established [3] MR-proADM cut-offs for predicting 28-day mortality found that increasing (e.g. moderate to high: N = 19; 47.5%; OR [95% CI]: 67.6 [18.5 - 247.2]) or continuously elevated (N = 35; 64.8%; OR [95% CI]: 137.5 [38.7 - 489.1]) concentrations over the first 24 h in patients where no RRT was initiated at baseline resulted in a high likelihood of subsequent RRT requirement. Conversely, few cases of RRT over the first 21 days of ICU therapy were initiated in patients with continuously low (N = 3; 1.3%) or decreasing (moderate to low: N = 1; 1.3%) MR-proADM concentrations.

Results suggest that increasing or continuously elevated MR-proADM concentrations, indicative of increased capillary leak, may be a useful predictor of RRT requirement during ICU therapy. Further studies are required to investigate the relationship between MR-proADM, positive fluid balance and renal replacement therapy in critically ill patients with sepsis.
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