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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the in-vitro efficacy by determining Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of Vancomycin using the reference Agar Dilution to the E-Strip in Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates.

Study Design: Validation study.

Place and Duration of Study: The department of Microbiology Army Medical College/National University of Medical Sciences in collaboration with Pak Emirates Military Hospital Rawalpindi, from Dec 2016 to Dec 2017.

Methodology: Non-duplicate 84 isolates of Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus from various clinical specimens were included in the study. All these isolates were screened for susceptibility to glycopeptide by E-strips method (Bio mérieux) as well as Agar Dilution method, using vancomycin concentrations of 0.25, 0.50, 1.00, 2.00, 4.00 and 8.00 μg/ml respectively in two fold serial dilutions.

Results: There was an overall agreement on 83 samples by both the methods i.e. 83 were Vancomycin Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus by both methods while one isolate with intermediate resistance to Vancomycin was only detected by Agar Dilution. The sensitivity of the E-strips compared to Agar Dilution was found to be 100%. The positive predictive value was 98.8% with a diagnostic accuracy of 98.8%. Specificity and negative predictive value could not be ascertained for E-strips because of the limitation of the method to detect the Vancomycin Intermediate Staphylococcus aureus isolates.

Conclusion: E-strip can be a convenient alternative to the gold standard Agar Dilution but its inability to identify VISA challenges its reliability in determining the Vancomycin resistance in MRSA isolates.
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INTRODUCTION

Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus is one of the leading causes of death not only in hospital settings but also in the community. This multi-resistant organism confers resistance to all beta-lactam drugs leaving behind limited treatment options for the physician. The drug of choice for such resilient and life-threatening infections is the glycopeptide, Vancomycin. The sensitivity of Vancomycin is determined by its MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration), which can either be calculated by the gold standard Agar Dilution or Broth Micro-Dilution, according to CLSI (Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute) criterion or by various automated or commercially available methods like E-strips. The dis-recommendation of disk diffusion method by CLSI, led to the use of E-strips for MIC calculation of vancomycin in various laboratories around the world, as the gold standard was tedious, laborious and time consuming. The E-strip method has an advantage over other MIC calculating tools, in being easy to interpret and easy to set up as a disk diffusion test. The MIC of Vancomycin should be ≤2 μg/ml for susceptible strains, 4-8 μg/ml for intermediate strains and ≥16 μg/ml for resistant strains according to the CLSI interpretive criteria (CLSI document M100-S25).

The treatment failure of patients with MRSA infections by Vancomycin is not uncommon for organisms having slightly higher MICs (more than 1μg/ml but ≤2 μg/ml), a phenomena known as MIC creep. So the type of method used for
calculating MIC remains the critical part of the equation. Recently there have been reports of emergence of Vancomycin Intermediate \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (VISA) and Vancomycin Resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (VRSA) around the world, further emphasizing the need for an accurate MIC calculation. It also needs to be evaluated whether the two methods accurately detect VISA /VRSA.

This study compares the E-strip with the reference Agar Dilution for determining vancomycin susceptibility in MRSA isolates. Many studies around the world have evaluated the comparative efficacy of the two methods using E-strip and Agar Dilution but limited work has been done in Pakistan. A study was done in Army Medical College using only E-strips method to calculate VISA and VRSA rates, however, the results obtained were not compared to any of the reference methods recommended by CLSI. The comparison of the two methods in our study, will also enable us to implement the best option for calculating the MIC of vancomycin in our present setup and also at the same time gives us an insight whether E-strip can be a reliable method for detecting VISA/VRSA.

**METHODOLOGY**

This is a validation study and was approved by review board of Army Medical College and was carried out at the department of Microbiology, Army Medical College, Rawalpindi, from Dec 2016 to Dec 2017, affiliated with the Pak Emirates Military Hospital Rawalpindi. Sample size was calculated using the WHO calculator based on MRSA’s pre-valence. Eighty-four isolates of MRSA obtained from various clinical specimens including pus, sputum, blood, urine, naso-bronchial lavage and tips etc, were included in the study. Non-probability convenience sampling technique was used. Quality control strain of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} ATCC 29213 was evaluated concurrently with every set of tests.

All MRSA isolates were screened for reduced glycopeptide susceptibility by Agar Dilution method. Bacterial suspensions were prepared from overnight cultures of MRSA on blood Agar and their turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard solution. These suspensions were then spot-inoculated on to Mueller Hinton Agar, containing serial dilutions of Vancomycin, along with the controls. Plates were incubated at 35 ± 2°C for 24 hours. The lowest concentration of Vancomycin that inhibited the bacterial growth after overnight incubation was considered as its MIC.

Susceptibility of MRSA to vancomycin by E-strip method was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Bio Merieux France). The bacterial suspension of 0.5 McFarland Standard Inoculum in sterile water was lawned onto Mueller Hinton agar plate. The vancomycin E-strip was placed in the center of the plate and incubated at 35 ± 2°C for 24 hours. Isolates were categorized as susceptible, intermediate or resistant according to the breakpoints published by the CLSI. Data was analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 22. Frequency and percentage were documented for qualitative variables like sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive values, positive predictive values and diagnostic accuracy.

**RESULTS**

A total of 84 samples 52.6% were received from OPD and 44% from Ward, ensuring uniform distribution. Two samples of the MRSA were also received from the ITC. Majority of MRSA were obtained from pus specimens 44 (52.4%), double lumen tip 14 (16.7%) and pus swabs 10 (11.9%) respectively. The rest of the 16 samples were received from various specimens like ear swabs, urine or high vaginal swab etc. The isolates also had almost uniform gender wise distribution 44 (52.4%) male and 40 (47.6%) female. Maximum samples were received from ages 55-65 years 31, (27.3%) followed by ages <2 years including infants 12 (10.7%).

The frequency of isolates by Agar Dilution was 12, 71 and 1 for MICs 1.00, 2.00, 4.00 µg/ml respectively, with maximum percentage of isolates (84.5%) having MIC of 2µg/ml. Eighty three isolates were VSSA, one isolate was found to be
a VISA with an MIC of 4 µg/ml while no VRSA was detected by Agar Dilution. There were 7, 24, 21 and 32 number of isolates having MIC of 0.38, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 µg/ml respectively by E-strip, with maximum percentage (38.1%) of isolates having an MIC of 1.00 µg/ml. Thus, all the isolates were found to be sensitive by E-strip (VSSA) i.e. having an MIC of ≤2µg/ml. No VISA or VRSA was picked by the E-strip method.

The comparison of MICs calculated using E-strips and Agar Dilution with 84 MRSA isolates is displayed in table-I. There was an overall agreement on 83 samples by both the methods i.e. 83 were VSSA by both methods while one isolate with intermediate resistance to Vancomycin was only picked by Agar Dilution. Study variables were reported in the form of frequencies and percentages.

**Table-I: Vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration results from E-Test and Agar Dilutions.**

| Test Method | Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (µg/ml) | No and Percentage of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Isolates with Minimum Inhibitory Concentration from 0.38 to 8 | Vancomycin Intermediate Staphylococcus aureus |
|-------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| E-Strip     | 0.38 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1     | 2     | 4     | 8     | Vancomycin Intermediate Staphylococcus aureus |
| Frequency (n=84) | 7 | 24 | 21 | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Percentage (%) | 8.3 | 28.6 | 25 | 38.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Agar Dilution | Frequency (n=84) | - | - | - | 12 | 71 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Percentage (%) | - | - | - | 14.3 | 84.5 | 1.2 | - | 1.2 |

Using the standard formulae, the sensitivity of the E-strip compared to Agar Dilution was found to be 100%. The positive predictive value was calculated as 98.8% and diagnostic accuracy as 98.8%. Specificity and negative predictive value could not be defined for E-strips because of the limitation of the method to detect VISA isolates. The same calculated values are reflected in table-II.

**Table-II: Validation study parameters.**

| E-Strip | Vancomycin Sensitive | Vancomycin Intermediate |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Agar Dilution (Gold Standard) | 83 | 1 |

**DISCUSSION**

The E-strip method is very sensitive technique with a very high diagnostic accuracy. However, the inability to diagnose VISA isolates limits the negative predictive values and specificity of the method, leaving behind the gold standard as the only reliable method for detection of less susceptible strains. High accuracy of E-strip method in terms of its sensitivity has been verified in various other studies such as done by Hsu et al13 and Chaudhri et al14.

The correlation between Vancomycin treatment failure in MRSA bacteremia and slightly increasing MIC values within the normal range, was first observed by Sakoulas15. This phenomena of MIC creep was further elaborated by Wang16, in which 123 isolates in a hospital in Taiwan were studied. About 21.1% samples showed an MIC of 2µg/ml while the rest were lower than 2µg/ml. The mortality rate in patients with MRSA bacteremia having an MIC of 2µg/ml was higher as compared to the ones with lower MICs. This further emphasizes the need for precise Vancomycin MIC calculation using the standard method in order to prevent treatment failure, leading to lowering of the mortality rate. Methods like E-strips might not accurately detect the MICs and leave VISA / VRSA undetected.

A similar study in the region5 comparing the two methods, showed higher MICs detected by E-strips as compared to Agar Dilution in which only three isolates out of 53 showed similar results to Agar Dilution. The rest of the thirty-two isolates were VISA according to E-strip, only one isolate was VISA as per Agar Dilution which again hints at the decreased accuracy of E-strips
as compared to the Gold standard. Another study done by Holmes\textsuperscript{17} leads to similar conclusions.

While in our study E-strip showed lower MIC as compared to Agar Dilution which further questions the precision and reliability of the method. Supporting our findings is another study\textsuperscript{18} done in our region, in which 17% isolates out of 100 MRSA were reported as VISA using only Agar Dilution as detecting tool for VISA/VRSA. In Pakistan, a study done in Rawalpindi a few years back by Kaleem \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{19} was not able to detect any VISA or VRSA using only the E-strip method with no confirmation done using the gold standard method. This shows that E-strip might miss out VISA or VRSA, while Agar Dilution is more reliable when it comes to detecting VISA in MRSA isolates and thus can prevent under reporting and over reporting\textsuperscript{5}. Another study concluded that before confirming results using commercial methods, they should be rechecked by reference methods to rectify the disparities in these detecting tools\textsuperscript{20}.

VISA is on the rise regionally as well as globally. While the VISA percentage in a few countries recently has been reported up to 13\% and 11\%\textsuperscript{21}, VRSA is still rare\textsuperscript{22}, But the pressure selection however, which has led to the emergence of VISA can create an alarming situation leading to complete resistance to Vancomycin (VISA), which will not only be a global health hazard but also a fearsome threat to already challenging therapy of MRSA.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The evidence of MRSA strains showing VISA and VRSA have added peril to health care communities and thus preventive measures can play a pivotal role in limiting its spread.

Hand washing is the single most effective and simple way of its prevention especially in the hospitals. Similarly controlling the infections from spreading within a hospital or a community should be the first step, which can not only prevent the disease itself but also limit the use of anti-microbials used for the killing the bug.

Judicious use of anti-microbials is very important in this era of emerging resistance. Excessive use can lead to resistant strains with increased morbidity and mortality.

Last but not the least, use of right method for calculating MIC is a critical part of the equation. Agar Dilution should be used to evaluate the MIC of Vancomycin in MRSA isolates, so that not even a simple case of VISA or VRSA is missed or under reported. This will help to counter not only the emergence of mutated strains but also the treatment failures that might accompany the MIC creep.

**CONCLUSION**

E-strip has a high sensitivity and accuracy as compared to Agar Dilution in calculating the MIC of Vancomycin in MRSA isolates. However, its inability to identify VISA limits its usage as a method at par with the gold standard.
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