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Abstract

Introduction
Objective estimates, based on waist-worn accelerometers, indicate that adults spend over half their day (55%) in sedentary behaviors. Our study examined the association between sitting time and cardiometabolic risk factors after adjustment for cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF).

Methods
A cross-sectional analysis was conducted with 4,486 men and 1,845 women who reported daily estimated sitting time, had measures for adiposity, blood lipids, glucose, and blood pressure, and underwent maximal stress testing. We used a modeling strategy using logistic regression analysis to assess CRF as a potential effect modifier and to control for potential confounding effects of CRF.

Results
Men who sat almost all of the time (about 100%) were more likely to be obese whether defined by waist girth (OR, 2.61; 95% CI, 1.25–5.47) or percentage of body fat (OR, 3.33; 95% CI, 1.35–8.20) than were men who sat almost none of the time (about 0%). Sitting time was not significantly associated with other cardiometabolic risk factors after adjustment for CRF level. For women, no significant associations between sitting time and cardiometabolic risk factors were observed after adjustment for CRF and other covariates.

Conclusion
As health professionals struggle to find ways to combat obesity and its health effects, reducing sitting time can be an initial step in a total physical activity plan that includes strategies to reduce sedentary time through increases in physical activity among men. In addition, further research is needed to elucidate the relationships between sitting time and CRF for women as well as the underlying mechanisms involved in these relationships.

Introduction
Prolonged sitting time characterizes the daily lifestyle patterns of most people living in developed countries (1). Estimates of median reported sitting time for US adults range between 6.5 to 8 hours per day (2). Objective estimates, based on waist-worn accelerometers, indicate that adults spend over half their day (55%) in sedentary behaviors (3). Several studies demonstrate direct, independent associations between sedentary behavior and cardiometabolic risk factors such as adiposity and fasting blood glucose level after adjustment for the beneficial effect of moderate-intensity to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA), accumulated mostly during leisure or discretionary periods of the day (4). However, within a 24-hour period, people spend a significant proportion of...
waking hours in sedentary behaviors or light-intensity physical activities relative to time spent in MVPA (1). Therefore, investigators recently argued that accounting for an individual’s total physical activity level during the entire waking period, not just during isolated segments of the day (e.g., time spent sitting or time spent highly active), is essential to understanding the complex relationships between physical activity behavior and cardiometabolic risk factors (5). Furthermore, objectively measured total activity level per day appears to be more strongly associated with cardiometabolic risk factors than is MVPA per day (6).

Given that cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) reflects a person’s habitual physical profile and overall general health, the primary goal of our study was to determine whether among adult men and women time spent sitting was associated with elevated levels of waist girth, body mass index, body fat percentage, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, glucose, and resting systolic blood pressure; low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol; and the presence of metabolic syndrome. Secondary goals were to 1) examine whether CRF confounded or modified the associations between sitting time and cardiometabolic risk factors and 2) explore whether the role of CRF differed by sex.

Methods

Participants included in this cross-sectional analysis received a preventive medical examination at the Cooper Clinic in Dallas, Texas, during 2010 through 2013 and provided written consent to participate in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study (CCLS). Participants in CCLS are generally healthy and self-referred or referred by their employers to the Cooper Clinic for preventive medical examinations that include a physician-administered medical examination, fasting laboratory studies, body composition measurements, and a maximal treadmill graded exercise test. For our analysis, to eliminate the potential for a disease condition that could affect the exposure of interest (e.g., a stroke may result in increased sitting time), participants were excluded if they reported a personal history of cardiovascular disease (n = 51), stroke (n = 27), or diabetes (n = 582) or if they did not reach 85% of their predicted maximal heart rate on the treadmill test (n = 137). Participants were also excluded if their data for some covariates were missing (n = 332). These criteria resulted in an analytic sample of 1,845 women and 4,486 men aged 20 to 79 years. Each year, the Cooper Institute’s institutional review board reviewed and approved the overall study. Our study also received exempt status from the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston’s Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects.

Sitting time was based on participants’ responses to a question on the medical history questionnaire completed before their clinical examination. The sitting question, derived from the Canada Fitness Survey (7), assessed the proportion of time spent sitting during work, school, and housework during waking hours on a typical day. Response options were 1) almost none of the time (about 0%), 2) approximately one-quarter of the time (about 25%), 3) approximately half of the time (about 50%), 4) approximately three-quarters of the time (about 75%), and 5) almost all of the time (about 100%).

Cardiometabolic risk factors (primary dependent measures)

Body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) and body composition measurements (% body fat, waist girth) were measured during the preventive medical examination. These measurements were taken according to standard procedures by trained technicians and described previously (8). Briefly, BMI was computed as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared measured on a stadiometer and a standard physician’s scale. Participants with BMI of 30 kg/m² or higher were classified as obese (9). Waist girth (cm) was measured with a plastic tape at the level of the umbilicus following a normal exhalation. An elevated waist girth for men was 102 cm or greater and for women was 88 cm or greater (10). Percentage of body fat was determined by measuring 7 skinfold sites (axilla, chest, abdomen, triceps, hip, thigh, and back) with calipers and inserting the sum of these skinfold measurements in a generalized body density equation to estimate percentage of body fat (11). Sex-specific cut points of percentage of body fat (<25% or ≥25% for men and <32% or ≥32% for women) were used to classify patients as obese (12).

Serum samples taken after patients fasted for 12 hours were analyzed for lipids by using automated bioassays in accordance with standard procedures. Elevated lipid levels were defined by using the following cut points: total cholesterol higher than 200 mg/dL; LDL cholesterol higher than 100 mg/dL; HDL cholesterol less than 40 mg/dL for men and less than 50 mg/dL for women; triglycerides 150 mg/dL or higher; and fasting blood glucose 100 mg/dL or higher (10).

Resting blood pressure was auscultated as the first and fifth Korotkoff sounds according to a standard sphygmomanometer protocol (13). Elevated blood pressure was defined as a systolic blood pressure 130 mm Hg or higher or diastolic blood pressure 85 mm Hg or higher, or both (10).

Using the criteria of the American Heart Association and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, we defined metabolic syndrome as meeting 3 or more of the following criteria: abdominal
addition of an interaction term to a logistic regression model in the potential effect modification of CRF on self-reported sitting time (ie, about 0% of the time to about 100% of the time). First, for each sex across increasing categories of self-reported sitting sex and for the total sample. To examine crude associations, we Descriptive characteristics of the study sample are presented by Statistical analysis was reported by the patient to the study physician who conducted placement therapy, was created for women only. Medication use during a usual day. The average CRF level was 11.6 (SD 2.2) METs for men and 9.8 (SD 1.9) METs for women.

Participants were asked to report the frequency and duration of 11 specific physical activity types: walking, running, treadmill, swimming, stationary cycling, bicycling, elliptical, aerobic dance, racket sports, vigorous sports, and other activity. These 11 activity types represent high-intensity MVPA. Summary estimates were computed by weighting the product of the reported frequency and duration (in minutes per week [min/wk]) by a standardized estimate of the MET of each activity type (18), which was then summed across all activities performed. The leisure-time physical activity estimate was expressed as a log transformation of MET/min/wk$^{-1}$.

On the basis of literature, we included additional covariates from the medical history questionnaire: age, sex, alcohol consumption, and smoking status. Alcohol consumption was calculated as the combined number of drinks per week of beer, wine, and hard liquor. Smoking status was categorized as current smoker or nonsmoker based on self-reported behavior. Three variables were created to indicate current medication use (yes/no) for hypertension, diabetes, or hyperlipidemia; a fourth variable, hormone replacement therapy, was created for women only. Medication use was reported by the patient to the study physician who conducted the medical examination.

**Covariates**

CRF was assessed by using the time to complete a treadmill-graded exercise test and the modified Balke protocol described previously (14). Duration on the treadmill is highly correlated with measured oxygen consumption (VO$_2$) ($r = 0.92$ for men [15] and $r = 0.94$ for women [16]). A value for maximal metabolic equivalent of tasks (METs) was estimated from the final speed and grade of the treadmill test (17).

Results

The average age of the analytic sample ($n = 6,331$) was 50.7 (SD 10.0) years old and consisted of mostly men (71%) (Table 1). Eight percent of patients reported current smoking. Alcohol consumption was moderate (median [25th, 75th percentile], 4 [1, 9] drinks per week). A higher percentage of men (41%) than women (13%) reported sitting most or all of the time ($\geq$75% of the time) during a usual day. The average CRF level was 11.6 (SD 2.2) METs for men and 9.8 (SD 1.9) METs for women.

For men, high self-reported sitting time was significantly associated with high prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors, including elevated waist girth, percentage of body fat, and obesity (all $P$ for linear trend $< .05$) (Table 2). No associations were observed for the other risk factors or metabolic syndrome. Similarly, for women, high self-reported sitting time was significantly associated with high prevalence of elevated waist girth and percentage of body fat, obesity, and metabolic syndrome (all $P$ for linear trend $< .001$). In addition, the more women sat, the higher their levels of triglycerides and the lower their levels of HDL cholesterol (both $P$ for linear trend $< .001$). For women, no associations were observed between self-reported sitting time and total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, glucose, or blood pressure.

Next, we assessed the role of CRF as an effect-modifying variable by adding a self-reported sitting time \times CRF interaction term to the models for each separate cardiometabolic outcome. This interaction term was not significant for any of the cardiometabolic risk factors after adjustment for covariates for either men or women (all $P > .05$).
For men, the crude associations that were observed between self-reported sitting time and each measure of adiposity remained significant after covariate adjustment, including CRF (Table 3). More specifically, in model C, men who reported sitting about 100% of the time were more than twice as likely to be obese whether defined by waist girth (OR, 2.61; 95% CI, 1.25–5.47), or percentage of body fat (OR, 3.33; 95% CI, 1.35–8.20) relative to men who sat about 0% of the time. Similar to the results for men, associations between self-reported sitting time and each measure of adiposity were seen among women (Table 3) when adjusted for age (model A). However, unlike men, when CRF was added to the model (model C), these associations for women were no longer significant. Self-reported sitting time was not associated with the remaining risk factors among men or women (Appendix).

Discussion

Our findings suggest that prolonged sitting is associated with high levels of adiposity among men even after accounting for their CRF level. However, this relationship between self-reported sitting time and adiposity was not found for women. Furthermore, for men, other cardiometabolic risk factors (elevated lipids, blood glucose, triglycerides, and blood pressure; low levels of HDL; and the presence of metabolic syndrome) were not significantly associated with sitting time. For women, self-reported sitting time was not associated with any individual cardiometabolic risk factor or the presence of metabolic syndrome.

Previous cross-sectional studies report significant associations between sedentary behavior and various cardiometabolic risk factors after controlling for MVPA (19,20). However, these studies probably suffer from incomplete ascertainment of an individual’s exposure to physical activity given that only a small portion of the day was examined (ie, 3% of their day assuming 30 minutes per day of MVPA during 16 waking hours), which in turn could explain the significant associations found in published study results. In their study of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) participants, Maher et al found high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and triglycerides to be the only cardiometabolic risk factors associated with sedentary behavior when controlling for total physical activity time as assessed with accelerometers, which produce information about activity throughout the day (5). Although these associations reached statistical significance, the relationships were weak and not of clinical significance. In addition, a prospective study of men in the CCLS cohort found that prolonged TV viewing and time spent in a car were detrimental linked only to a marker of insulin sensitivity (but not to other cardiometabolic risk factors) when CRF was taken into account (21).

Similar to the results from NHANES (5), our study found that self-reported sitting time was not associated with cardiometabolic risk factors other than obesity for men when reported physical activity level or cardiorespiratory fitness level are taken into account. However, little evidence exists of studies having explored the potential role of CRF in the relationship between estimates of total sitting time and cardiometabolic risk factors. The role of CRF appeared to differ for men and for women, and this finding also deserves further study. More specifically, for men, CRF confounded the relationship between sitting time and cardiometabolic risk factors: men had higher levels of muscle mass (70 kg) than women (50 kg), which might protect men against the adverse effect of prolonged sitting on lipids, glucose, and blood pressure, but not against the accumulation of body fat. For women, CRF may have confounded the effect of sitting time on some risk factors, but it did not modify this relationship. A previous cross-sectional study of the CCLS cohort found that the more women sat, the lower their fitness level (22). Therefore, high levels of time sitting during the day could lower fitness levels and lower total daily caloric expenditures, which could lead to increases in women’s body fat. For different levels of CRF, we found no sex-related difference in the relationship between sitting time and cardiometabolic risk factors.

Our study findings have public health and clinical implications: they indicate that, among men, increased self-reported long sitting time is related to a higher likelihood of obesity. These results along with other published study results point to a relationship between prolonged sedentary time and increased risk for chronic conditions and premature mortality among both men and women (23,24). Reducing total sitting time and incorporating activity breaks into one’s daily schedule lowers cardiometabolic risk (25). The American Cancer Society Guidelines on Nutrition and Physical Activity for Cancer Prevention underscores the need to reduce total sitting time along with habitually engaging in MVPA (26). Therefore, developing and implementing programs specifically to reduce and break up sitting time at home and work is paramount. Primary care providers can play an important role in encouraging their patients to change their sedentary behavior. One study found that physicians were significantly more likely counsel their patients about the value of physical activity than to counsel them about the risks associated with sedentary behavior (27). Tools, such as the Rapid Assessment Disuse Index specifically tailored for use at the point of care, can be used by physicians to assess patients with high levels of sitting and low levels of physical activity and provide pertinent and effective counseling (27). In addition, the 5As model (28), which has been used successfully to promote physical activity in primary care, can be applied to sedentary behavior counseling.
Strengths of this study include a direct estimate of CRF, a comprehensive analytic approach, and a large sample size with numerous clinical covariates. Limitations of note were the self-reported measure of sitting time (which has not been validated), characteristics of the sample, and cross-sectional study design. More specifically, participants were asked to report estimates of time spent sitting during a typical day in broad categories which could result in misclassification of the exposure. In addition, participants were generally healthy, predominantly non-Hispanic white, and well-educated. The homogeneous nature of the cohort decreased the ability to generalize these results to more diverse populations. However, the socioeconomic homogeneity of this cohort reduced the likelihood of confounding by unmeasured factors such as occupation, income, and other socioeconomic indicators known to influence health. The cross-sectional study design limited reporting to the description of associations and thus results do not imply causality.

The more men sat, the more likely they were to be obese by any definition (ie, BMI, percentage of fat, waist circumference), but no other cardiometabolic risk factors were significantly associated with sitting time. For women, after adjustment for CRF and other covariates, no significant associations were observed between sitting time and cardiometabolic risk factors. Our results support physicians who work with their male patients to control risk factors by advising them to reduce sitting time to avoid obesity and its associated health conditions. The reduction and interruption of sitting time can be an initial step in developing a total physical activity plan that includes strategies to reduce sedentary time through increases in physical activity. Assessment of the entire intensity spectrum of behaviors from sleep to vigorous-intensity physical activity will provide health professionals with the information needed to tailor physical activity plans for risk reduction and health promotion.
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### Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Participants in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study of Sitting Time and Cardiometabolic Risk Factors, by Sex, 2010–2013

| Characteristic                              | Men       | Women     | Total     |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| N                                           | 4,486     | 1,845     | 6,331     |
| Age, y                                      | 51.2 (9.8)| 49.4 (10.4)| 50.7 (10.0)|
| Waist girth, cm                             | 94.2 (10.9)| 77.4 (10.8)| 89.3 (13.3)|
| Elevated waist girth                        | 21        | 16        | 19        |
| BMI, kg/m²                                  | 27.8 (4.7)| 24.6 (5.0)| 26.9 (5.0)|
| Obese (BMI ≥30)                             | 23        | 11        | 20        |
| Percentage of body fat                      | 22.0 (5.5)| 25.5 (6.3)| 23.0 (6.0)|
| Elevated body fat                          | 18        | 10        | 16        |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL                   | 185.1 (36.4)| 194.8 (34.3)| 188.0 (36.0)|
| Total cholesterol >200 mg/dL               | 32        | 42        | 35        |
| LDL cholesterol, mg/dL                     | 108.4 (33.1)| 105.5 (30.2)| 107.6 (32.3)|
| LDL cholesterol >100 mg/dL                | 58        | 53        | 56        |
| Use of lipid lowering medication           | 31        | 12        | 26        |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL                     | 53.1 (14.8)| 70.3 (18.9)| 58.0 (17.9)|
| HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women | 12 | 17 | 15 |
| Triglycerides, mg/dL                       | 118.2 (58.1)| 95.3 (48.1)| 111.5 (56.3)|
| Triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL                   | 22        | 12        | 19        |
| Glucose, mg/dL                              | 95.6 (9.8)| 90.2 (8.5)| 94.0 (9.7)|
| Glucose ≥100 mg/dL                         | 27        | 11        | 23        |
| Resting SBP, mm Hg                         | 119.5 (12.0)| 111.6 (13.1)| 117.2 (12.9)|
| Resting DBP, mm Hg                         | 80.0 (8.9)| 75.2 (8.4)| 78.6 (9.0)|
| Blood pressure ≥130/85 mm Hg               | 34        | 17        | 29        |
| Use of hypertension medication             | 25        | 13        | 22        |
| Metabolic syndrome                         | 15        | 6         | 12        |
| Time spent sitting                         | 11        | 17        | 15        |
| About 0%                                    | 21        | 37        | 32        |
| About 50%                                   | 27        | 34        | 32        |
| About 75%                                   | 29        | 11        | 16        |

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; METs, metabolic equivalent of tasks; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

a Values are mean (SD) or percentage of participants with the characteristic unless otherwise noted.
b Information was available for a subset of the dataset. Men, n = 2,816; women, n = 1,140; total, n = 3,956.
c Response options were 1) almost none of the time (about 0%), 2) approximately one-quarter of the time (about 25%), 3) approximately half of the time (about 50%), 4) approximately three-quarters of the time (about 75%), and 5) almost all of the time (about 100%).
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Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Participants in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study of Sitting Time and Cardiometabolic Risk Factors, by Sex, 2010–2013

| Characteristic                                      | Men     | Women   | Total   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| About 100%                                          | 12      | 2       | 5       |
| Cardiorespiratory fitness (METs) b                  | 11.6 (2.2) | 9.8 (1.9) | 11.1 (2.3) |
| Physical activity (MET-minutes/week), median (25th, 75th percentile) b | 960 (382, 1,799) | 892 (255, 1,750) | 960 (340, 1,785) |
| Current smoker b                                    | 10      | 3       | 8       |
| Alcohol intake (drinks/wk), median (25th, 75th percentile) b | 5 (2, 10) | 3 (1, 7) | 4 (1.9) |

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; METs, metabolic equivalent of tasks; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

a Values are mean (SD) or percentage of participants with the characteristic unless otherwise noted.
b Information was available for a subset of the dataset. Men, n = 2,816; women, n = 1,140; total, n = 3,956.
c Response options were 1) almost none of the time (about 0%), 2) approximately one-quarter of the time (about 25%), 3) approximately half of the time (about 50%), 4) approximately three-quarters of the time (about 75%), and 5) almost all of the time (about 100%).
Table 2. Percentage of Participants With Detrimental Levels of Cardiometabolic Risk Factors According to Sitting Time Categories, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 2010–2013

| Characteristic                              | Sitting Time<sup>a</sup> | About 100% | About 75% | About 50% | About 25% | About 0% | P Value for Trend |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| **Men**                                     |                          |            |           |           |           |          |                   |
| n                                           | 757                      | 1,669      | 1,511     | 469       | 80        |          |                   |
| Elevated waist girth (≥102 cm)              | 24                       | 21         | 21        | 19        | 13        | .014     |                   |
| Obese (BMI ≥30)                             | 28                       | 23         | 22        | 19        | 15        | <.001    |                   |
| Elevated percentage of fat (≥25%)           | 36                       | 29         | 27        | 22        | 17        | <.001    |                   |
| Elevated total cholesterol (>200 mg/dL)     | 32                       | 33         | 33        | 31        | 34        | .97      |                   |
| Elevated LDL cholesterol (>100 mg/dL)       | 58                       | 59         | 58        | 62        | 55        | .052     |                   |
| Low HDL cholesterol (<40 mg/dL)             | 18                       | 17         | 16        | 16        | 10        | .14      |                   |
| Elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL)         | 24                       | 22         | 22        | 21        | 21        | .26      |                   |
| Elevated glucose (≥100 mg/dL)               | 26                       | 28         | 27        | 31        | 27        | .29      |                   |
| Elevated blood pressure (≥130/85 mm Hg)     | 19                       | 16         | 17        | 17        | 22        | .56      |                   |
| Metabolic syndrome                          | 16                       | 15         | 15        | 13        | 11        | .22      |                   |
| **Women**                                   |                          | 204        | 390       | 496       | 535       | 220      |                   |
| n                                           | 204                      | 390        | 496       | 535       | 220       |          |                   |
| Elevated waist girth (≥88 cm)               | 26                       | 18         | 16        | 13        | 10        | <.001    |                   |
| Obese (BMI ≥30)                             | 23                       | 14         | 11        | 7         | 7         | <.001    |                   |
| Elevated percentage of fat (≥32%)           | 23                       | 22         | 13        | 13        | 11        | <.001    |                   |
| Elevated total cholesterol (>200 mg/dL)     | 43                       | 39         | 43        | 41        | 44        | .49      |                   |
| Elevated LDL cholesterol (>100 mg/dL)       | 56                       | 52         | 54        | 53        | 52        | .69      |                   |
| Low HDL cholesterol (<50 mg/dL)             | 4                        | 4          | 2         | 2         | 1         | <.001    |                   |
| Elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL)         | 16                       | 14         | 11        | 10        | 7         | <.001    |                   |
| Elevated glucose (≥100 mg/dL)               | 12                       | 11         | 12        | 11        | 10        | .63      |                   |
| Elevated blood pressure (≥130/85 mm Hg)     | 9                        | 7          | 7         | 6         | 6         | .30      |                   |
| Metabolic syndrome                          | 11                       | 6          | 5         | 5         | 2         | <.001    |                   |

Abbreviations: —, not applicable; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein.

<sup>a</sup> Response options were 1) almost none of the time (about 0%), 2) approximately one-quarter of the time (about 25%), 3) approximately half of the time (about 50%), 4) approximately three-quarters of the time (about 75%), and 5) almost all of the time (about 100%).
Table 3. Association Between Sitting Time and the Prevalence of Detrimental Levels of Cardiometabolic Risk Factors, Men and Women, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 2010–2013

| Characteristic/Model | Sitting Time | About 100%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 75%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 50%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 25%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 0% |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
|                     |             | About 100% | About 75% | About 50% | About 25% | About 0% |
| Elevation of waist girth (≥102 cm) |               |            |            |            |            |         |
| Model A             | 2.17 (1.12–4.20) | 1.77 (0.92–3.39) | 1.73 (0.90–3.31) | 1.49 (0.76–2.93) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model B             | 2.54 (1.29–5.00) | 2.09 (1.08–4.07) | 1.95 (1.00–3.80) | 1.60 (0.80–3.20) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model C             | 2.61 (1.25–5.47) | 2.27 (1.10–4.69) | 2.09 (1.01–4.32) | 1.68 (0.79–3.58) | 1 [Reference] |
| Body mass index     |               |            |            |            |            |         |
| Model A             | 2.17 (1.15–4.10) | 1.72 (0.92–3.21) | 1.57 (0.84–2.94) | 1.31 (0.68–2.52) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model B             | 2.53 (1.32–4.84) | 2.01 (1.07–3.81) | 1.76 (0.92–3.33) | 1.38 (0.70–2.69) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model C             | 2.51 (1.24–5.05) | 2.08 (1.05–4.14) | 1.74 (0.88–3.47) | 1.34 (0.65–2.76) | 1 [Reference] |
| Elevation of percentage of body fat (≥25%) |               |            |            |            |            |         |
| Model A             | 3.38 (1.47–7.76) | 2.48 (1.09–5.62) | 2.11 (0.92–4.80) | 1.40 (0.59–3.31) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model B             | 3.74 (1.61–8.67) | 2.78 (1.21–6.40) | 2.28 (0.99–5.24) | 1.54 (0.64–3.68) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model C             | 3.33 (1.35–8.20) | 2.66 (1.09–6.48) | 2.06 (0.84–5.02) | 1.22 (0.48–3.11) | 1 [Reference] |
| Elevation of waist girth (≥88 cm) |               |            |            |            |            |         |
| Model A             | 3.54 (2.06–6.10) | 2.20 (1.32–3.67) | 1.74 (1.05–2.87) | 1.29 (0.78–2.16) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model B             | 3.07 (1.75–5.41) | 1.94 (1.14–3.29) | 1.61 (0.96–2.71) | 1.30 (0.77–2.20) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model C             | 1.77 (0.95–3.29) | 1.30 (0.73–2.30) | 1.21 (0.69–2.11) | 1.11 (0.63–1.95) | 1 [Reference] |
| Body mass index     |               |            |            |            |            |         |
| Model A             | 4.04 (2.18–7.51) | 2.27 (1.25–4.12) | 1.63 (0.90–2.96) | 1.11 (0.60–2.05) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model B             | 3.51 (1.85–6.66) | 1.95 (1.05–3.60) | 1.47 (0.80–2.71) | 1.07 (0.57–2.00) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model C             | 1.63 (0.79–3.35) | 1.06 (0.54–2.10) | 0.91 (0.46–1.79) | 0.76 (0.38–1.51) | 1 [Reference] |
| Elevation of percentage of body fat (≥32%) |               |            |            |            |            |         |
| Model A             | 2.58 (1.29–5.15) | 2.25 (1.21–4.18) | 1.23 (0.65–2.31) | 1.26 (0.68–2.33) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model B             | 1.98 (0.97–4.06) | 1.83 (0.97–3.48) | 1.09 (0.57–2.08) | 1.21 (0.64–2.27) | 1 [Reference] |
| Model C             | 1.15 (0.51–2.60) | 1.12 (0.54–2.33) | 0.85 (0.41–1.76) | 1.03 (0.51–2.11) | 1 [Reference] |

Response options were 1) almost none of the time (about 0%), 2) approximately one-quarter of the time (about 25%), 3) approximately half of the time (about 50%), 4) approximately three-quarters of the time (about 75%), and 5) almost all of the time (about 100%).

Model A, adjusted for age; model B, adjusted for age and cardiorespiratory fitness (metabolic equivalent of tasks [METs]); and model C, adjusted for all covariates in model B plus physical activity (MET-minutes per week), alcohol consumption (drinks per week), current smoking status, waist girth (in models with lipids, glucose, or blood pressure as the outcome), and hormone replacement therapy (women only).
Appendix. Association Between Sitting Time and the Prevalence of Detrimental Levels of Other Cardiometabolic Risk Factors

| Characteristic/Model | About 100%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 75%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 50%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 25%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 0% |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| **Elevated total cholesterol (>200 mg/dL)** | Model A 0.78 (0.48–1.27) | 0.81 (0.50–1.30) | 0.83 (0.52–1.34) | 0.85 (0.51–1.40) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model B 0.69 (0.41–1.17) | 0.74 (0.45–1.23) | 0.78 (0.47–1.30) | 0.86 (0.50–1.47) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model C 0.70 (0.41–1.18) | 0.75 (0.45–1.24) | 0.78 (0.47–1.31) | 0.86 (0.50–1.48) | 1 [Reference] |
| **Elevated LDL cholesterol (>100 mg/dL)** | Model A 0.93 (0.58–1.49) | 0.96 (0.60–1.52) | 0.96 (0.60–1.52) | 0.92 (0.56–1.50) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model B 0.86 (0.51–1.46) | 0.92 (0.55–1.54) | 0.96 (0.57–1.60) | 0.99 (0.58–1.60) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model C 0.86 (0.51–1.46) | 0.93 (0.56–1.55) | 0.96 (0.57–1.60) | 0.99 (0.58–1.71) | 1 [Reference] |
| **Low HDL cholesterol (<40 mg/dL)** | Model A 1.82 (0.85–3.86) | 1.73 (0.82–3.64) | 1.68 (0.80–3.53) | 1.74 (0.80–3.77) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model B 1.53 (0.70–3.33) | 1.57 (0.73–3.37) | 1.57 (0.73–3.38) | 1.62 (0.73–3.59) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model C 1.57 (0.72–3.42) | 1.62 (0.75–3.48) | 1.60 (0.74–3.44) | 1.63 (0.74–3.62) | 1 [Reference] |
| **Elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL)** | Model A 1.10 (0.63–1.94) | 0.97 (0.56–1.68) | 1.01 (0.58–1.75) | 0.99 (0.56–1.77) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model B 0.94 (0.52–1.68) | 0.87 (0.49–1.54) | 0.92 (0.52–1.62) | 0.93 (0.51–1.69) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model C 0.96 (0.54–1.74) | 0.91 (0.51–1.61) | 0.93 (0.52–1.65) | 0.93 (0.51–1.71) | 1 [Reference] |
| **Elevated glucose (≥100 mg/dL)** | Model A 1.15 (0.68–1.95) | 1.26 (0.75–2.10) | 1.11 (0.66–1.86) | 1.12 (0.66–1.93) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model B 1.07 (0.62–1.84) | 1.21 (0.71–2.05) | 1.05 (0.62–1.79) | 1.11 (0.64–1.94) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model C 1.08 (0.62–1.86) | 1.23 (0.72–2.09) | 1.06 (0.62–1.81) | 1.12 (0.64–1.95) | 1 [Reference] |
| **Elevated blood pressure (≥130/85 mm Hg)** | Model A 0.90 (0.52–1.57) | 0.69 (0.40–1.19) | 0.83 (0.48–1.43) | 0.72 (0.40–1.28) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model B 0.81 (0.46–1.44) | 0.65 (0.37–1.13) | 0.78 (0.45–1.36) | 0.70 (0.39–1.27) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model C 0.82 (0.46–1.46) | 0.66 (0.38–1.15) | 0.79 (0.45–1.38) | 0.71 (0.39–1.28) | 1 [Reference] |
| **Metabolic syndrome** | Model A 1.55 (0.76–3.20) | 1.40 (0.69–2.85) | 1.45 (0.71–2.94) | 1.20 (0.57–2.52) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model B 1.79 (0.86–3.73) | 1.64 (0.80–3.38) | 1.63 (0.79–3.35) | 1.30 (0.61–2.76) | 1 [Reference] |
|                     | Model C 1.64 (0.76–3.53) | 1.62 (0.77–3.43) | 1.60 (0.75–3.38) | 1.28 (0.58–2.80) | 1 [Reference] |

Abbreviation: HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; METs, metabolic equivalent of tasks.

*Response options were 1) almost none of the time (about 0%), 2) approximately one-quarter of the time (about 25%), 3) approximately half of the time (about 50%), 4) approximately three-quarters of the time (about 75%), and 5) almost all of the time (about 100%).

*Model A, adjusted for age; model B, adjusted for age and cardiorespiratory fitness (metabolic equivalent of tasks [METs]); and model C, adjusted for all covariates in model B plus physical activity (MET-minutes per week), alcohol consumption (drinks per week), current smoking status, waist girth (in models with lipids, glucose, or blood pressure as the outcome), and hormone replacement therapy (women only).

(continued on next page)
| Characteristic/Model \( ^b \) | Sitting Time\(^a \) | About 100\%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 75\%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 50\%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 25\%, Odds Ratio (95% CI) | About 0% |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Elevated total cholesterol (>200 mg/dL) | Women | Model A | 1.04 (0.70–1.54) | 0.85 (0.60–1.20) | 0.92 (0.66–1.27) | 0.83 (0.60–1.14) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model B | 0.88 (0.58–1.33) | 0.76 (0.53–1.09) | 0.86 (0.61–1.21) | 0.78 (0.56–1.09) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model C | 0.85 (0.56–1.28) | 0.74 (0.52–1.06) | 0.84 (0.60–1.19) | 0.77 (0.55–1.07) | 1 [Reference] |
| Elevated LDL cholesterol (>100 mg/dL) | Model A | 1.29 (0.88–1.90) | 1.04 (0.75–1.46) | 1.11 (0.80–1.53) | 1.05 (0.77–1.44) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model B | 0.90 (0.60–1.37) | 0.81 (0.57–1.16) | 0.95 (0.67–1.34) | 0.95 (0.68–1.33) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model C | 0.87 (0.57–1.32) | 0.78 (0.55–1.12) | 0.93 (0.66–1.31) | 0.93 (0.67–1.31) | 1 [Reference] |
| Low HDL cholesterol (<40 mg/dL) | Model A | 1.94 (1.06–3.54) | 1.70 (0.97–2.94) | 1.31 (0.76–2.26) | 1.11 (0.64–1.93) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model B | 0.89 (0.46–1.74) | 1.00 (0.55–1.80) | 0.85 (0.47–1.52) | 0.85 (0.47–1.52) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model C | 0.89 (0.45–1.73) | 0.99 (0.55–1.79) | 0.84 (0.47–1.51) | 0.84 (0.47–1.51) | 1 [Reference] |
| Elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL) | Model A | 2.62 (1.39–4.91) | 2.10 (1.17–3.76) | 1.63 (0.91–2.91) | 1.45 (0.81–2.60) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model B | 1.53 (0.77–3.02) | 1.50 (0.81–2.79) | 1.26 (0.68–2.31) | 1.30 (0.71–2.38) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model C | 1.43 (0.72–2.83) | 1.42 (0.76–2.64) | 1.21 (0.66–2.22) | 1.26 (0.69–2.32) | 1 [Reference] |
| Elevated glucose (≥100 mg/dL) | Model A | 1.70 (0.92–3.16) | 1.32 (0.75–2.32) | 1.23 (0.72–2.10) | 1.14 (0.67–1.93) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model B | 1.35 (0.70–2.60) | 1.18 (0.66–2.12) | 1.16 (0.68–2.00) | 1.17 (0.68–2.01) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model C | 1.29 (0.67–2.49) | 1.14 (0.64–2.06) | 1.13 (0.65–1.96) | 1.15 (0.67–1.98) | 1 [Reference] |
| Elevated blood pressure (≥130/85 mm Hg) | Model A | 1.81 (0.87–3.75) | 1.28 (0.65–2.52) | 1.12 (0.58–2.14) | 1.01 (0.53–1.93) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model B | 1.53 (0.72–3.24) | 1.17 (0.59–2.33) | 1.06 (0.55–2.04) | 1.00 (0.52–1.92) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model C | 1.52 (0.72–3.22) | 1.17 (0.58–2.32) | 1.05 (0.54–2.03) | 1.00 (0.52–1.92) | 1 [Reference] |
| Metabolic syndrome | Model A | 5.43 (2.00–14.75) | 3.20 (1.20–8.51) | 2.52 (0.96–6.64) | 2.08 (0.79–5.51) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model B | 4.42 (1.57–12.43) | 2.68 (0.98–7.34) | 2.27 (0.84–6.13) | 2.17 (0.80–5.91) | 1 [Reference] |
|                           | Model C | 2.44 (0.84–7.06) | 1.66 (0.59–4.64) | 1.63 (0.59–4.48) | 1.80 (0.66–4.95) | 1 [Reference] |

Abbreviation: HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; METs, metabolic equivalent of tasks.

\(^a\) Response options were 1) almost none of the time (about 0%), 2) approximately one-quarter of the time (about 25%), 3) approximately half of the time (about 50%), 4) approximately three-quarters of the time (about 75%), and 5) almost all of the time (about 100%).

\(^b\) Model A, adjusted for age; model B, adjusted for age and cardiorespiratory fitness (metabolic equivalent of tasks [METs]); and model C, adjusted for all covariates in model B plus physical activity (MET-minutes per week), alcohol consumption (drinks per week), current smoking status, waist girth (in models with lipids, glucose, or blood pressure as the outcome), and hormone replacement therapy (women only).