Identification and Validation of Reference Genes for Gene Expression Analysis in *Monochamus saltuarius* Under *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* Treatment
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A special mutual relationship exists between the pine wood nematode (PWN) *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* and its vector beetles of genus *Monochamus*, which enables PWN to spread, at the same time provides longhorned beetles with more weak hosts. PWN are attracted to the pupal chambers and then carried inside the trachea of beetle adults, which is a necessary part to complete the *B. xylophilus* infection cycle. The growth and immune responses of the vector beetle will affect this carrying process, however, they were rarely studied in *M. saltuarius*. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), one of the most common methods for quantitative gene expression analysis, was performed to explore the key genes and pathways involved in the growth, development and immune responses of *M. saltuarius* at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and PWN treatment conditions. To enhance the accuracy of RT-qPCR data, the expression of target genes needs to be normalized with reference genes, which are stably expressed under varied experimental conditions. In our study, the stability of 14 candidate reference genes in *M. saltuarius* samples at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN or PWN treatment conditions was evaluated using delta Ct, geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper and RefFinder algorithms. Moreover, *KLF* gene was used to validate the stability of the selected reference genes. Under experimental conditions of this study, *RPL7* and *TER* were suitable reference genes at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN. *RPL7* and *RPS5* were considered the most stable reference genes in the pupae treated with PWN. *RPS5* and *SNX6* could be used as reference genes in the adults treated with PWN. *RPL7, EF1-y*, and *RPS5* could be used as stable reference genes in all the samples. This work is the first to evaluate reference genes in *M. saltuarius*, laying a foundation for further gene expression experimental procedures and understanding the phoretic relationship between *M. saltuarius* and *B. xylophilus*.
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BACKGROUND

Pine wilt disease is one of the most dangerous and devastating diseases caused by *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (pine wood nematode; PWN) worldwide. *B. xylophilus* originated in North America, and was introduced to Japan, Korea, China, Portugal, and other countries, causing serious damage in these invasion areas (Dropkin and Foudin, 1979; Mamiya, 1988; Cheng et al., 1983; Han et al., 2008; Khan, 1991; Manuel et al., 1999; Abelleira et al., 2011). PWN is transmitted to dead or dying trees by its insect vector, the *Monochamus* beetles, during oviposition or maturation feeding (Akbulut and Stamps, 2012; Kim et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020).

In China, PWN was first widely spread and damaged in southern area, then spread to northern and other regions. In the southern area, *Monochamus alternatus* as a main vector of the PWN was widely studied. However, *Monochamus saltuarius* emerged as a new and unique vector in Liaoning Province, China (Yu and Wu, 2018), although it was regarded as a common vector in Korea and Japan (Sato, 1987; Kim et al., 2006). It greatly promotes the transmission of *B. xylophilus* to *Larix* spp., *Pinus koraiensis*, *Pinus sylvestris* var. *mongolica*, and *Pinus tabuliformis* (Yu and Wu, 2018; Yu et al., 2019, 2020). Therefore, the research about interaction between *B. xylophilus* and *M. saltuarius* is of significance to prevent and control the prevalence of pine wilt disease in north of China.

Gene expression is an important method to study the potential function of insect genes in different conditions. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), one of the most common methods for quantitative gene expression analysis, has the characteristics of high accuracy, specificity, sensitivity, and rapidity (Bustin, 2002; Bustin et al., 2005; Valasek and Repa, 2005). However, the quality and quantity of RNA extraction, polymerase amplification efficiency, and cDNA synthesis efficiency can all lead to systematic errors during RT-qPCR operation (Klein, 2002; Fleige and Pfaffl, 2006). To eliminate these errors, various strategies have been used to normalize RT-qPCR data, and using internal controls or reference genes has become the most reliable method (Silver et al., 2006; Borowski et al., 2014; Silveira et al., 2021). Nevertheless, there are no absolute stable reference genes because of spatio-temporal specificity of genes and variable experimental conditions. So, it is necessary to screen suitable reference genes according to specific experimental materials and conditions for RT-qPCR analysis.

Studies of reference genes in insects are common. In coleoptera, reference gene screening has been performed in approximately twenty insect species, such as *Dendroctonus valens*, *Harmonia axyridis*, and Cerambycidae species, *Monochamus alternatus*, *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Toutges et al., 2010; Rajarapu et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2013; Barros Rodrigues et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Song et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2016; Rodrigues et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021; Sellamuthu et al., 2021). And common reference genes for Coleoptera studies include *ACT* (actin), *β-TUB* (beta-tubulin), *α-TUB* (alpha-tubulin), RPs (ribosomal proteins), 18S rRNA (18S ribosomal RNA), 28S rRNA (28S ribosomal RNA), *EF1-α* (elongation factor 1-α) and so forth (Toutges et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021). These genes are involved in normal cell metabolic processes. However, reference genes in *M. saltuarius* have not been reported. Therefore, we required to find the appropriate reference genes for gene expression analysis under different PWN treatments.

In this study, we aimed to identify the optimal reference genes in *M. saltuarius* at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN or PWN treatment conditions. Based on prior experimental reports regarding reference genes in Coleoptera and other insects, 14 candidate reference genes including sorting nexin 6 (SNX6), phospholipid-transporting ATPase (ATPase), palmitoyltransferase ZDHHC15 isoform X2 (ZDhhc15), transcription factor A, mitochondrial-like (TFAM), 60S ribosomal protein L18 (RPL18), 60S ribosomal protein L7 (RPL7), 40S ribosomal protein S5 (RPS5), transitional endoplasmic reticulum ATPase TER94 (TER), transmembrane and ubiquitin-like domain-containing protein 1 (*Tmub1*), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4B (*EIF*), elongation factor 1-gamma (*EF1-γ*), cytochrome c oxidase subunit 7C (*COX7*), tubulin alpha-1 chain (*α-TUB*), and triosephosphate isomerase (*TPI*) were selected from the genome and transcriptome data of *M. saltuarius* (unpublished data). Five algorithms were used to evaluate reference genes stability and perform a comprehensive ranking. In addition, the expression profile of the Krüppel-like factor luna (*KLF*) gene was used to verify our result. This study provides valuable information for further exploration on the growth and immune mechanism of *M. saltuarius*, and serves as a reference for exploring its phoretic relationship with *B. xylophilus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect

In August and December 2020, the fourth and fifth instar larvae of *M. saltuarius* were collected from Dahuoang Forest Farm, Fushun City, Liaoning Province, China. To ensure the absence of *B. xylophilus* all times, after sterilizing the larvae surface with 75% alcohol, the fifth instar larvae were incubated at artificial media at 25°C with 75% relative humidity. All procedures were performed at the Plant Quarantine Laboratory, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing, China.

Sample Treatment

Samples collected at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN in *M. saltuarius* included two instar larval stages (L4 and L5), 1-day-age pupae (P1), 5-days-age pupae (P5), 10-days-age pupae (P10), and newly emerged adult (1-day-old) males (AM) and females (AF). Three independent biological replicates were performed at each stage, and each replicate was derived using an individual beetle. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C for RNA extraction.

To test the effect of *B. xylophilus* on *M. saltuarius*, the artificial co-culture medium of *B. xylophilus* and *M. saltuarius* was prepared using the previous method (Li et al., 2021). Fifth
instar larvae with weights ranging from 300 to 500 mg were selected from Dahuofang Forest Farm in December 2020. After sterilizing the larvae surface with 75% alcohol, the fifth instar larvae inoculated in the artificial co-culture medium were cultured with *B. xylophilus* at 25°C and 75% relative humidity. The growth and developmental stage of beetles was observed once every 24 h. After the beetle larvae pupated, 5-days-age pupae (BP5), 10-days-age pupae (BP10), and newly emerged adult (1-day-old) males (BAM) and females (BAF) were collected respectively. Three biological replicates were performed at each stage, and each replicate included one sample. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for RNA extraction.

**RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis**

Total RNA from all 33 samples at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and PWN treatment conditions were extracted using EASY Spin Plus Tissue/Cell RNA Extraction Kit (Aidlab, China). Quality and quantity of total RNA were evaluated using 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis and NanoDrop 2,000 spectrophotometry. The PrimeScript™ RT Reagent Kit (Takara, China) was used to synthesize the first strand cDNA of each sample according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Obtained cDNAs were diluted 5-fold and stored at −20°C for subsequent RT-qPCR experiments.

**Selection of Candidate Reference Genes and Primer Design**

Fourteen candidate reference genes with relatively high transcript abundance and stable expression [fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) value >20 and a fold change in expression <2] were selected based on the transcriptome and genome data (unpublished data) of *M. saltuarius*. These genes were SNX6, ATPase, ZDhhc15, TFAM, RPL18, RPL7, RPS5, TER, Tmub1, EIF, EIF-γ, COX7, α-TUB, and TPI (Supplementary Table S1). Their coding DNA sequences were obtained from *M. saltuarius* genome data (GenBank: OM471799–OM471813), and primers were designed using the web software Primer 3.0 (https://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) and IDT (https://sg.idtdna.com/pages). The primers used for amplification are listed in Table 1.

**RT-qPCR Analysis**

RT-qPCR was performed using Bio-Rad CFX Connect real-time PCR instrument (Bio-Rad, United States) with TB Green™ Premix...
Ex Taq™ II (Takara, Japan). A 25-μl reaction volume consisted of 12.5 μl of TB Green Premix Ex Taq II (2×), 1 μl of forward and reverse primers (10 μM), respectively, 1 μl of cDNA template, and 9.5 μl of RNA-free water. Amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 s and 60°C for 30 s. Then, we performed a melt curve analysis using the default parameters with a steady increase in temperature from 65 to 95°C. All RT-qPCR assays were performed in three biological replicates, each biological replicate with three technical replicates. The amplification efficiency (E) and correlation coefficients (R²) were determined for each gene using the standard curves with a 5-fold dilution series of the template (1, 1/5, 1/25, 1/125, and 1/625), where R² was the slope of the standard curve. Amplification efficiency was calculated according to the equation: E% = (10⁻^[1/ slope]−1) × 100%.

**Stability Analysis of Candidate Reference Genes**

Five algorithms, including delta Ct, geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper and RefFinder, were used to analyze the expression stability of the candidate reference genes in different groups. The delta Ct algorithm (based on the delta Ct method) was used to calculate the mean standard deviation (SD) of the paired genes in each sample to assess the gene expression stability. Genes with lower SD value had more stable expression (Silver et al., 2006). The GeNorm was used to calculate the M value based on the pairwise variation between two reference genes. If the M value was less than 1.5, it could be considered a suitable reference gene. The smaller the M value, the higher the stability of gene. The optimal number of reference genes was determined by calculating pairwise variation (Vn/Vn+1) by geNorm. A value of Vn/Vn+1 less than 0.15 indicated that the most suitable reference gene number is n without introducing n + 1 (Vandesompele et al., 2002). In NormFinder, the S value of reference gene according to variance analysis decided the stability of candidate reference genes. The lower the S value, the more stable they were (Andersen et al., 2004). Before using geNorm or NormFinder analysis, the original Ct values were converted to 2⁻ΔCt values (ΔCt = original Ct value – lowest Ct value in each group). BestKeeper evaluated the expression stability of all candidate reference genes by calculating the SD and stability value (SV) based on the original Ct values. A gene could not be used as an internal reference gene if the SD value was more than 1 (Pfaffl et al., 2004). Similarly, genes with lower SD and SV values had more stable expression. Finally, the comprehensive ranking of candidate reference genes under different conditions was obtained according to Reffinder (Xie et al., 2012).

**Validation of Reference Genes**

Krüppel-like transcription factor luna (KLF) belongs to a family of 15 different zinc finger proteins of the C2H2 type that are involved invertebrate development, and which controls cell proliferation, growth and differentiation. De Graeve et al. (2003) proposed that KLF was a novel transcriptional determinant of Drosophila development (De Graeve et al., 2003). Therefore, the KLF gene was selected as target gene to validate the stability of the selected reference genes based on the transcriptome data. The primers used are shown in Table 1.

We used RT-qPCR (method same as above) to detect the KLF expression level in M. saltuarius samples at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and PWN treatment conditions. The relative quantification of the KLF gene was calculated using the 2⁻ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test on SPSS Statistics Software was used to determine the significance of KLF expression levels at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and PWN treatment conditions (Wu et al., 2021; Fu et al., 2022).

**RESULTS**

**Primer Performance Analysis of Candidate Reference Genes**

A total of 14 candidate reference genes were selected for gene-normalization studies in different samples. RT-qPCR products showed a single peak in the melting curve analysis (Supplementary Figure S1) and 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis showed a specific band for each gene (Supplementary Figure S2). The amplification efficiency (E) values of all candidate genes ranged from 90% (ATPase) to 109% (ZDhhc15), and regression analysis of all primer pairs showed a correlation coefficient (R²) greater than 0.99 (Table 1). These results indicated that all primer pairs designed for the candidate reference genes had good efficiency and specificity in RT-qPCR amplification. Therefore, the primers of these candidate reference genes were used for further analysis.
TABLE 2 | Expression stability ranking of the 14 candidate reference genes based on five algorithms.

| Conditions | Genes | Delta Ct | geNorm | NormFinder | BestKeeper | RefFinder |
|------------|-------|----------|--------|------------|------------|-----------|
|            |       | Avg. Ct  | Rank   | M          | SV         | SD + CV   | GM        | Rank |
| TER        | TER   | 0.71     | 1      | 0.55       | 6          | 0.27      | 1         | 0.54  | 6    | 2.45  | 1 |
|            | RPL7  | 0.76     | 4      | 0.38       | 1          | 0.36      | 6          | 0.44  | 3    | 2.91  | 2 |
|            | RPSS  | 0.89     | 9      | 0.38       | 2          | 0.43      | 9          | 0.40  | 1    | 3.08  | 3 |
|            | Zdhhc15 | 0.73   | 2      | 0.48       | 4          | 0.31      | 3          | 0.52  | 5    | 3.31  | 4 |
|            | EF1-γ | 0.74     | 3      | 0.52       | 5          | 0.29      | 2          | 0.48  | 4    | 3.31  | 5 |
|            | RPL18 | 0.77     | 6      | 0.41       | 3          | 0.34      | 5          | 0.43  | 2    | 3.66  | 6 |
|            | Trμb1 | 0.77     | 5      | 0.56       | 7          | 0.33      | 4          | 0.58  | 7    | 5.60  | 7 |
|            | SNX6  | 0.80     | 7      | 0.59       | 8          | 0.40      | 7          | 0.63  | 8    | 7.48  | 8 |
|            | ATPase| 0.86     | 8      | 0.61       | 9          | 0.43      | 10         | 0.83  | 10   | 8.71  | 9 |
|            | TFAM  | 0.92     | 10     | 0.67       | 10         | 0.43      | 8          | 0.82  | 9    | 9.49  | 10 |
|            | α-TUB| 1.00     | 12     | 0.71       | 11         | 0.57      | 13         | 0.86  | 12   | 11.72 | 11 |
|            | Eif   | 0.95     | 11     | 0.76       | 12         | 0.54      | 12         | 0.98  | 13   | 11.72 | 12 |
|            | COX7  | 1.36     | 14     | 0.88       | 14         | 0.78      | 14         | 1.23  | 14   | 14.00 | 14 |
| Developmental Stages | RPL7 | 0.57  | 1 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.11 | 1 | 0.18 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
|            | RPSS  | 0.60  | 2 | 0.13 | 2 | 0.16 | 3 | 0.21 | 2 | 1.68 | 2 |
|            | RPL18 | 0.66  | 5 | 0.28 | 3 | 0.15 | 2 | 0.24 | 3 | 3.87 | 3 |
|            | EF1-γ | 0.65  | 4 | 0.50 | 7 | 0.18 | 6 | 0.37 | 5 | 4.53 | 4 |
|            | TFAM  | 0.65  | 3 | 0.48 | 8 | 0.21 | 7 | 0.45 | 6 | 4.56 | 5 |
|            | Trμb1 | 0.75  | 7 | 0.36 | 4 | 0.17 | 4 | 0.35 | 4 | 5.29 | 6 |
| Pupae treated with PWN | TER   | 0.70  | 6 | 0.43 | 5 | 0.18 | 5 | 0.46 | 7 | 5.96 | 7 |
|            | Eif   | 0.79  | 8 | 0.60 | 10 | 0.27 | 10 | 0.54 | 10 | 8.94 | 8 |
|            | ATPase| 0.79  | 9 | 0.53 | 8 | 0.28 | 11 | 0.49 | 9 | 8.97 | 9 |
|            | SNX6  | 0.95  | 10 | 0.56 | 9 | 0.26 | 9 | 0.61 | 12 | 10.44 | 10 |
|            | Zdhhc15 | 0.80 | 11 | 0.63 | 11 | 0.21 | 8 | 0.60 | 11 | 10.46 | 11 |
| Adults treated with PWN | TER   | 0.56  | 8 | 0.37 | 8 | 0.23 | 12 | 0.47 | 11 | 8.66 | 8 |
|            | COX7  | 0.59  | 11 | 0.45 | 11 | 0.23 | 10 | 0.34 | 6 | 9.45  | 9 |
|            | ATPase| 0.58  | 9 | 0.40 | 9 | 0.19  | 7 | 0.55 | 12 | 9.67  | 10 |
|            | Trμb1 | 0.52  | 7 | 0.34 | 7 | 0.18 | 6 | 0.42 | 10 | 7.65  | 7 |
| Total samples | RPL7  | 0.73  | 1 | 0.32 | 1 | 0.26 | 2 | 0.43 | 3 | 1.57  | 1 |
|            | EF1-γ | 0.74  | 2 | 0.48 | 4 | 0.23 | 1 | 0.46 | 4 | 2.38  | 2 |
|            | RPSS  | 0.83  | 6 | 0.32 | 1 | 0.39 | 7 | 0.39 | 1 | 2.55  | 3 |
|            | RPL18 | 0.81  | 5 | 0.44 | 3 | 0.33 | 5 | 0.39 | 2 | 3.50  | 4 |
|            | TER   | 0.76  | 3 | 0.56 | 6 | 0.30 | 3 | 0.57 | 7 | 4.41  | 5 |
|            | Trμb1 | 0.77  | 4 | 0.53 | 5 | 0.31 | 4 | 0.56 | 6 | 4.66  | 6 |
|            | Zdhhc15 | 0.85 | 8 | 0.61 | 8 | 0.38 | 6 | 0.50 | 5 | 6.62  | 7 |
|            | SNX6  | 0.83  | 7 | 0.59 | 7 | 0.39 | 8 | 0.64 | 8 | 7.48  | 8 |
|            | ATPase| 0.85  | 9 | 0.63 | 9 | 0.41 | 9 | 0.77 | 10 | 9.24  | 9 |
|            | TFAM  | 0.91  | 10 | 0.67 | 10 | 0.43 | 10 | 0.72 | 9 | 9.74  | 10 |
|            | Eif   | 0.94  | 11 | 0.72 | 11 | 0.46 | 11 | 0.84 | 12 | 11.24 | 11 |
|            | Trμb1 | 1.08  | 12 | 0.81 | 13 | 0.60 | 12 | 0.80 | 11 | 11.98 | 12 |
|            | COX7  | 1.46  | 14 | 0.90 | 14 | 0.95 | 14 | 1.31 | 14 | 14.00 | 14 |

Note: Avg. Ct, average cycle threshold; M, expression stability value; SV, stability value; SD + CV, standard deviation and coefficient of variation; GM, geometric mean.
Expression Analysis of Selected Reference Genes

Transcript abundance and cycle threshold (Ct) variation are important parameters for screening reference genes. The Ct value of 14 candidate reference genes across 33 samples showed a wide range of expression levels and significant differences. Ct values of these candidate reference genes ranged from 14.98 to 27.14 for total samples. Among these, α-TUB, RPL7 and RPL18 were the most abundant transcripts (average Ct = 17.41, 18.34, 18.74, respectively). The least frequently expressed reference gene were Zdhhc15, Tmub1, and ATPase (average Ct = 24.80, 25.19, 26.21, respectively). According to the SD values, variance in Ct values increased in the following order: RPS5 < RPL18 < RPL7 < EF1-γ < Zdhhc15 < TER < Tmub1 < SNX6 < ATPase < TPI < TFAM < EIF < α-TUB < COX7 (Figure 1).

Expression Stability of Candidate Reference Genes in Different Developmental Stages Associated With Infection of PWN and PWN Treatments

To identify the most suitable reference genes of *M. saltuarius* under the three conditions—different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN, PWN treatment at the pupal stage, and PWN treatment at the adult stage, their expression stability was evaluated using five algorithms as elaborated below.

**Delta Ct Analysis**

For candidate reference genes in total samples, RPL7, EF1-γ, and TER were more stable than other reference genes (average SD = 0.73, 0.74, 0.76, respectively). For different treatments (Table 2), TER, Zdhhc15 and EF1-γ had the most stable expression levels (average SD = 0.71, 0.73, 0.74, respectively) at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN. RPL7, RPS5, and TFAM were the most stable reference genes (average SD = 0.57, 0.60, 0.65, respectively) in PWN-treated pupal groups; while SNX6, RPS5, and RPL7 were the most stable reference genes (average SD = 0.40, 0.41, 0.43, respectively) in PWN-treated adult groups. On the whole α-TUB, COX7, and TPI were the least stable under most conditions.

**GeNorm Analysis**

Among all samples, RPL7 and RPS5 were the most stable reference genes, similar with the results found in the sample sets of different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and all PWN treatment conditions (Figure 2). In addition, we found that the most unstable genes greatly varied in different experimental conditions. In developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and total samples, the M values of COX7 and TPI were higher than other genes. In pupae treated with PWN, COX7 and Zdhhc15 had the least stability. In adults treated with...
PWN, α-TUB and RPL18 exhibited the most unstable expression levels.

The pairwise changes \( V_{n/n+1} \) were calculated using geNorm with a threshold value of 0.15 to assess the number of reference genes for all treatment conditions. Three groups including different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN, pupae treated with PWN, and adults treated with PWN, V2/3 values were all <0.15 (0.126, 0.118, and 0.062, respectively), indicating that two reference genes were sufficient for RT-qPCR normalization. In 33 total samples, the V2/3 value was 0.156, which was greater than the split-off value, and the V3/4 value was 0.115. Therefore, three reference genes were needed to normalize the expression of the target gene in all samples (Figure 3).

**NormFinder Analysis**

At different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN, the most stable genes were EF1-γ and TER. In pupae treated with PWN, RPL7, and RPL18 had the strongest stability (Table 2). In adults treated with PWN, SNX6, and RPS5 were the most stable reference genes. In total samples, EF1-γ and RPL7 were the best reference genes combination. Unsurprisingly, α-TUB and COX7 were also the least stable genes in most cases.

**BestKeeper Analysis**

For BestKeeper algorithm, the most stable genes showed the lowest SD ± CV values, and genes with an SD value >1 were considered unstable. In total samples, RPS5, RPL18, and RPL7 were the most stable genes. For different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and pupae treated with PWN, two conditions had similar results that RPS5, RPL18, and RPL7 were identified as the most stable genes, but α-TUB, COX7, EIF, and SNX6 were poor stable genes. Whereas the PWN-treated adult group was slightly different from the above. For adults treated with PWN, RPS5, SNX6, and RPL7 showed the highest stability; ATPase, α-TUB, and EIF had the least stable expression level (Figure 4). In some groups, COX7, whose SD value was greater than 1, was considered an unstable reference gene.

**RefFinder Analysis: Comprehensive Stability Analysis of Reference Genes**

The RefFinder program was used to obtain a comprehensive reference gene ranking based on the geometric mean of four algorithms. The expression stabilities of candidate reference genes in all samples decreased in the order: RPL7 > EF1-γ > RPS5 > RPL18 > TER > Tmub1 > Zdhhc15 > SNX6 > ATPase > TFAM > EIF > TPI > α-TUB > COX7. The stability ranking at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN was as the following: TER > RPL7 > RPS5 > Zdhhc15 > EF1-γ > RPL18 > Tmub1 > SNX6 > ATPase > TFAM > α-TUB > EIF > TPI > COX7. The stability ranking at pupae treated with PWN was: RPL7 > RPS5 > RPL18 > EF1-γ > TFAM > Tmub1 > TER > TPI > ATPase > SNX6 > EIF > Zdhhc15 > α-TUB > COX7, and at adults treated with PWN was RPS5 > SNX6 > RPL7 > EF1-γ > Zdhhc15 > TFAM > Tmub1 > TER > COX7 > ATPase > TPI > EIF > RPL18 > α-TUB. The comprehensive analysis showed that RPL7, EF1-γ, and RPS5 genes were the most stable reference genes combination for total samples. RPL7, RPS5, and RPL18 were the most suitable reference genes in pupae treated with PWN. RPS5, SNX6, and RPL7 were the most suitable reference genes in adult treated with PWN (Table 2). TER, RPL7, and RPS5 were the optimal reference genes at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN.

**Validation of the Selected Reference Genes**

To verify the reliability of the selected reference genes, KLF was used as the target gene for RT-qPCR analysis. We used the four most stable candidate reference genes (RPL7, RPS5, SNX6, and TER), the combination of these stable genes (RPL7 + RPS5 + EF1-γ, RPL7 + RPS5, RPS5+SNX6, and RPL7+TER), and two most
unstable reference genes (α-TUB, COX7) in different treatment conditions to normalize the expression of KLF (Figure 5).

In the pupae treated with PWN, the relative expression level of KLF was significantly up-regulated in BP5 and BP10 groups compared to control groups (P5, P10), which was normalized by the top-ranked gene (RPL7, RPS5 or their combinations). Similar expression-profile changes were obtained by the combination of stable reference genes (RPL7 + RPS5 + EF1-γ), and there were no significant differences among those normalized by RPL7, RPS5 individually, and RPL7 + RPS5. However, the normalization by the least stable reference gene (TER, α-TUB, and COX7) led to a strong bias in the expression level of KLF in different treatments. α-TUB and COX7 significantly decreased the transcription of KLF in BP10, and TER decreased in BP5. In the adults treated with PWN, although the expression trends were very similar, normalization with the unstable reference gene TER and α-TUB increased the expression level of KLF in BAF and BAM, which resulted in larger standard deviation values. At the different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN, the expression levels of KLF normalized by RPL7 and TER individually, or RPL7 + TER were different with COX7 and RPS5. When normalized by RPS5, the expression of KLF increased in every developmental stage (L5, P1, P5, P10, AF and AM), and significantly decreased in AF and AM stages normalized by COX7.

DISCUSSION

M. saltuarius is a unique vector of B. xylophilus in northeast China. Its molecular physiology and the function of genes has been actively explored with the unpublished genomes, and recent transcriptomic advances have provided an opportunity for exploring the interspecific interaction mechanism between M. saltuarius and B. xylophilus, which was in favour of controlling the spread of pine wilt disease to north China. Therefore, it is necessary to probe gene function and quantify gene expression in M. saltuarius. Due to high sensitivity, rapidity, specificity, and accuracy, RT-qPCR is an effective method to study this mechanism. To reduce some inter-sample errors, appropriate reference genes are needed to normalize target genes (Zhao et al., 2022). However, there is no research on the reference genes of M. saltuarius. We systematically selected the reliable reference genes for standardization of gene expression by using five assessment algorithms (delta Ct, geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper, and RefFinder) in M. saltuarius at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and treated with PWN at the pupal and adult stages.

In our results, some candidate reference genes varied with different algorithms. TER ranked first in delta Ct and NormFinder, whereas it ranked sixth in geNorm and BestKeeper at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN in M. saltuarius. The ranking of genes by different software was diverse, probably because different programs have different algorithmics, and the differences in the scaling systems used by the algorithms can also lead to these variations (Zhai et al., 2014; Sagri et al., 2017). Although the ranking order varies depending on the analysis program used, the overall trend was similar. For instance, in the adults treated with PWN, RPS5, SNX6, RPL7, EF1-γ, and Zdhhc15 were all the top five stable genes in the delta Ct, geNorm, BestKeeper, and RefFinder. According to the geNorm,
NormFinder, RefFinder, and BestKeeper, PWN, RPL7, RPS5, and RPL18 were all the top three most stable genes in the pupae treated with PWN. Therefore, in practical application, the results provided by these algorithms are required to be considered comprehensively.

Most studies have found that two or more reference genes rather than a single reference gene can increase the accuracy of relative quantification (Vandesompele et al., 2002; Haller et al., 2004; Vazey and Golding, 2011). In our study, the optimal number of reference genes was calculated by geNorm. Most experimental conditions showed values below the proposed 0.15 cut-off value at V2/3. This result indicated that combining the top two reference genes would be adequate for the normalization of gene expression data at developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and PWN treatment conditions.

In this study, the stability of reference genes in *M. saltuarius* could differ under various experimental conditions. TER and RPL7 were stable reference genes at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN. At the same time, RPL7 + RPS5 and RPS5 + SNX6 were identified as optimal reference genes in pupal stage treated with PWN, adult stage treated with PWN, respectively. Previous studies have also shown that no reference gene has always been stably expressed under different experimental conditions, in which species, growth stage, tissue, temperature, strain, population, and pesticide varied. Sellamuthu et al. (2021) showed that β-TUB, Eef2 and RPS3 were the most stable gene under different developmental stages and sex, while UBQ and V-ATPase were the most stable genes after Juvenile Hormone III treatment in *Ips sexdentatus* (Sellamuthu et al., 2021). β-TUB expression was also stable in *Aquatica leii* at different developmental stages, but GST was the most stably gene under different temperatures (Yang et al., 2020). Similarly, RPS32 was stably expressed in different tissues of *Agasicles hygrophila* while showing lower stability under different nutritional conditions (Guo et al., 2021).

Besides, it was observed that *B. xylophilus* induced more variations in the Ct values in *M. saltuarius*. In *M. alternatus*, PWN caused significant changes at the physiological and molecular level. Zhao et al. (2016) found that ascarosides...
secreted by dispersal juveniles (LIII) of *B. xylophilus* could facilitate *M. alternatus* pupation by upregulating ecdysone-dependent gene expression. When dispersal juveniles (LIV) of *B. xylophilus* entered the vector beetle, PWN affected the gene expression of Toll signal pathway (Zhou et al., 2018). In this study, the stable reference genes at PWN treatment conditions were different from normal developmental stages. This result suggested that *B. xylophilus* can also cause variations in transcript levels in *M. saltuarius*.

Among the 14 reference genes studied in this study, ribosomal proteins exhibited more stability compared to other candidate genes in relation to different biotic (developmental stages and PWN treatment) factors. Ribosomal protein genes, which play an important role in ribosome biogenesis, protein translation, and cell development, were one of the most stable reference genes in diverse biotic and abiotic conditions in many insects (Zhou et al., 2015). In *Tribolium castaneum* and *Coccinella septempunctata*, ribosomal proteins exhibited a high level of stability at different developmental stages (Yang et al., 2016; Lü et al., 2018). In different sexes of *M. labris cichorii*, and *I. sexdentatus*, RPL22 and RPS3, respectively, were the most suitable reference genes for RT-qPCR normalization (Wang et al., 2014; Sellamuthu et al., 2021). Our results demonstrated that the ribosomal proteins were also transcriptionally conserved in *M. saltuarius* under PWN treatment.

The genes of tubulin, a protein that maintains the cytoskeletal structure and morphology in eukaryotic cells, are also frequently used as reference genes (Caridi et al., 2019). For example, *α*-TUB was stably expressed in *Drosophila melanogaster* exposed to different temperatures (Fleur et al., 2011). In *Antheraea pernyi, α*-TUB was suitable reference gene for normalizing RT-qPCR data infected by multicapsid nucleopolyhedrovirus (Zhao et al., 2019). However, *α*-TUB was unstable as reference genes under certain conditions, such as in *Spodoptera littura* larvae treated with azadirachtin (Lu et al., 2018). In our research, *α*-TUB showed instability under PWN treatment conditions. COX responds to a wide variety of metabolic states and is also considered a novel reference gene for different tissues in *A. hygrophila* and *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Guo et al., 2021; Shu et al., 2021), while was inconsistent with our results. In *M. saltuarius* subjected to several experimental conditions (different developmental stages, adults treated with PWN, and all samples), COX7 was particularly unstable reference gene. These results suggested that reference genes differ from species to species.

*KLF* is a key DNA-binding transcriptional factor that regulates various pathways that pertain to insect metamorphosis, metabolism, and other cellular mechanisms, and was selected as the target gene (Weber et al., 2014). The overall transcription pattern of *KLF* normalized with the most stable internal reference genes was similar to the transcriptome data at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and PWN treatment. At different developmental stages, the expression level of *KLF* increased at emergence period (AF and AM) when normalized by the top ranked genes and their combinations. On the contrary, normalization with COX7 showed the lowest transcription of *KLF* in adults (AF and AM). Under certain conditions, normalizing with unsuitable reference genes affected the gene expression and resulted in more significant standard deviations (Lü et al., 2018). The expression level of *KLF* in the pupae treated with PWN (BP5 and BP10) was higher than pupae treated without PWN when normalized by the top ranked genes and their combinations, and the same expression pattern was also observed in *M. alternatus* (Zhao et al., 2016). However, normalization by the least stable reference gene resulted in a strong bias. The transcriptions of *KLF* significantly decreased in the pupae treated with PWN (BP10). Similar results were observed in the condition of adults treated with PWN. Consequently, our findings confirmed the importance of selecting and validated accurate reference genes for RT-qPCR analysis to avoid the misinterpretation of target gene transcription data.

**CONCLUSION**

This is the first study evaluating reference genes in *M. saltuarius*. We evaluated the stability of 14 candidate reference genes in samples from this beetle at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN and PWN treatment conditions by delta Ct, geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper and RefFinder algorithms. We concluded that RPL7 and TEF were suitable reference genes at different developmental stages associated with infection of PWN. RPL7 and RPS5 were considered the most stable reference genes in pupae treated with PWN. RPS5 and SNX6 could be used as reference genes in adults treated with PWN. RPL7, EF1-α, and RPS5 could be used as stable reference genes in all the samples. Overall, RPL7 and RPS5 were the most stable reference genes for *M. saltuarius* under different conditions. Our results could provide stable reference genes for RT-qPCR gene expression analysis of *M. saltuarius* also lay a foundation for the study of its phoretic relationship with *B. xylophilus*.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The data presented in the study are deposited in the GenBank repository. The names of the repository and accession numbers can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/; OM471799, OM471800, OM471801, OM471802, OM471803, OM471804, OM471805, OM471806, OM471807, OM471808, OM471809, OM471810, OM471811, OM471812, OM471813.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JL carried out the majority of the bioinformatics studies and participated in performing the experiments. NF was involved in experimental data analysis. JL and NF wrote the manuscript. LR and YL participated in the design of the study and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
FUNDING

This study was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFD1400900) and the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (31870642).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Xiaoyu Xin, Sixun Ge, and Zhenxiao Li (Beijing Forestry University, Beijing), for kind assistance in specimen collection. We also thank the staff of Liaoning Station of Forest and Grassland Pest Management in Shenyang for their support in our collection work.

REFERENCES

Abelleira, A., Picoaga, A., Mansilla, J. P., and Aguin, O. (2011). Detection of Bursaphelenchus Xylophilus, Causal Agent of Pine Wilt Disease on Pinus pinaster in Northwestern Spain. Plant Dis. 95, 776. doi:10.1094/PDIS-12-10-0902

Akbulut, S., and Stamps, W. T. (2012). Insect Vectors of the Pinewood Nematode: a Review of the Biology and Ecology of Monochamus Species. For. Pathol 42, 89–99. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0329.2011.01073.x

Andersen, C. L., Jensen, J. L., and Orntoft, T. F. (2004). Normalization of Real-Time Quantitative Reverse Transcription–PCR Data: a Model-Based Variance Estimation Approach to Identify Genes Suited for Normalization, Applied to Bladder and colon Cancer Data Sets. Cancer Res. 64 (15), 5245–5250. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0496

Barros Rodrigues, T., Khajuria, C., Wang, H., Matz, N., Cunha Cardoso, D., Akbulut, S., and Stamps, W. T. (2012). Insect Vectors of the Pinewood Nematode: a Short Review of the Pinewood Nematode, Bursaphelenchus Xylophilus. Toxicol. Environ. Health Sci. 12, 297–304. doi:10.1016/j.tehs.2013.50.0068-0

Kim, B.-N., Kim, J. H., Ahn, J.-Y., Kim, S., Cho, B.-K., Kim, Y.-H., et al. (2020). A Short Review of the Pinewood Nematode, Bursaphelenchus Xylophilus. Toxicol. Environ. Health Sci. 12, 297–304. doi:10.1016/j.tehs.2013.50.0068-0

Kim, M., Kim, J., Han, J., Kim, Y., Yoon, C., and Kim, G. (2006). Mating Behavior of Pine Sawyer, Monochamus Saltuarius Gebler (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). J. Asia-pac. Entomol. 9 (3), 275–280. doi:10.1016/j.japec.2006.05.030-9

Klein, D. (2002). Quantification Using Real-Time PCR Technology: Applications and Limitations. Trends Mol. Med. 8, 257–260. doi:10.1016/s1471-4914(02) 02355-9

Li, J. X., Ren, L. L., Luo, Y. Q., and Xin, X. Y. (2021). A Method and Application of a Culture Medium for Co-culture of Monochamus Saltuarius and Pine Wood Nematode. CN11347562A. Beijing, China: China National Intellectual Property Administration.

Li, M., Li, H., Sheng, R.-C., Sun, H., Sun, S.-H., and Chen, F.-M. (2020). The First Record of Monochamus Saltuarius (Coleoptera; Cerambycidae) as Vector of Bursaphelenchus Xylophilus and its New Potential Hosts in China. Insects 11, 536. doi:10.3390/insects11060536

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT Method. Methods 25, 402–408. doi:10.1016/meth.2001.12.002

Liu, J., Yang, C., Zhang, Y., and Pan, H. (2018). Selection of Reference Genes for the Normalization of RT-qPCR Data in Gene Expression Studies in Insects: A Systematic Review. Front. Physiol. 9, 1560. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.1560

Lu, Y., Yuan, M., Gao, X., Kang, T., Zhan, S., Wan, H., et al. (2018). Identification and Validation of Reference Genes for Gene Expression Analysis Using Quantitative PCR in Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Plos One 8 (7), e8059. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080859

Mamiya, Y. (1988). History of pine Wilt Disease in Japan. J. Nematol. 20, 219–226.

Manuel, M. M., Helen, B., Maria, A. B., Ana, C. P., Wolfgang, B., and Kai, M. (1999). First Report of Bursaphelenchus Xylophilus in Portugal and in Europe. Nematolagy 1 (7), 727–734.

Pfaff, M. W., Tichopad, A., Prögner, C., and Neuvians, T. P. (2004). Determination of Stable Housekeeping Genes. Differentially Regulated Target Genes and Sample Integrity: BestKeeper - Excel-based Tool Using Pair-wise Correlations. Biotechnol. Lett. 26, 509–515. doi:10.1023/b:biot.000019559.84305.47

Ponton, F., Chapuis, M.-P., Pernice, M., Sword, G. A., and Simpson, S. J. (2011). Evaluation of Potential Reference Genes for Reverse Transcription-qPCR Studies of Phylogeochemical Responses in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Insect Physiol. 57 (6), 840–850. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.03.014

Rajaraju, S. P., Mamidala, P., and Mittapalli, O. (2012). Validation of Reference Genes for Gene Expression Studies in the Emerald Ash Borer (Agrilus planipennis). Insect Sci. 19, 41–46. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7917.2011.01447.x

Rodrigues, T. B., Dhandapani, R. K., Duan, J. I., and Palk, S. R. (2017). RNA Interference in the Asian Longhorned Beetle:Identification of Key RNAi Genes and Reference Genes for RT-qPCR. Sci. Rep. 7 (1), 1–10. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-08813-1

Haller, F., Kulle, B., Schwager, S., Gunawan, B., Heydebreck, A. v., Sültmann, H., et al. (2004). Equivalence Test in Quantitative Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction: Confirmation of Reference Genes Suitable for Normalization. Anal. Biochem. 335, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2004.08.024

Han, H., Chung, Y.-J., and Shin, S.-C. (2008). First Report of Pine Wilt Disease on Pinus koraiensis in Korea. Plant Dis. 92, 1251. doi:10.1094/PDIS-92-8-1251A

Khan, V. H. (1971). The Pine Wilt Disease Caused by Bursaphelenchus xylophilus in Nigeria. Pakistan J. Nematol. 9 (1), 57–59.
