Cold Survival and Its Molecular Mechanisms in a Locally Adapted Nematode Population
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Abstract
Since Darwin, evolutionary biologists have sought to understand the drivers and mechanisms of natural trait diversity. The field advances toward this goal with the discovery of phenotypes that vary in the wild, their relationship to ecology, and their underlying genes. Here, we established resistance to extreme low temperature in the free-living nematode Caenorhabditis briggsae as an ecological and evolutionary model system. We found that C. briggsae strains of temperate origin were strikingly more cold-resistant than those isolated from tropical localities. Transcriptional profiling revealed expression patterns unique to the resistant temperate ecotype, including dozens of genes expressed at high levels even after multiple days of cold-induced physiological slowdown. Mutational analysis validated a role in cold resistance for seven such genes. These findings highlight a candidate case of robust, genetically complex adaptation in an emerging model nematode, and shed light on the mechanisms at play.
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Significance
A main goal of evolutionary genetics is to understand how organisms acquire new traits that allow them to thrive in their environments. To meet this challenge, we need to study populations of a species of interest that have adapted to different niches and are genetically tractable—and such systems remain at a premium in the field. Here, we discover a qualitative difference in cold resistance between wild nematode worm populations, and we demonstrate the power of molecular tools ported from lab raised worms to study the molecular mechanisms of the trait.

Introduction
Understanding how and why organisms differ in the wild is a key goal of evolutionary biology. Some traits are evolutionary accidents, and others arise under local adaptation, fixing in a population when they promote fitness in a new niche. Dissecting these processes requires case studies where we can establish the underlying ecology, the phenotypes that have evolved, and, ultimately, the molecular mechanisms. Invertebrates can provide exceptional power toward this end (Sanford and Kelly 2011; Savolainen et al. 2013; Adrion et al. 2015; Kraemer and Boynton 2017), but even in lower eukaryotes, genetically tractable ecological study systems remain at a premium in the field. Of particular interest are cases in which tools from the lab can be brought to bear to study evolution in natural settings.
Caenorhabditis briggsae, like its relative the model organism Caenorhabditis elegans, is a free-living nematode that has been isolated all over the world (Cutter et al. 2006; Prasad...
et al. 2011; Baird and Stonesifer 2012; Stegeman et al. 2013; Okahata et al. 2016). Phylogenetic analyses have established several well-defined *C. briggsae* populations (Thomas et al. 2015), with a particularly well-characterized distinction between strains isolated from temperate and tropical localities (Graustein et al. 2002; Cutter et al. 2006; Thomas et al. 2015; Ferrari et al. 2017). The contrast between these populations serves as a useful framework for the study of ecological diversification. Under current models, ancestral *C. briggsae* occupied the tropical niche, with recent colonization of and expansion into temperate latitudes, possibly associated with human activity (Cutter et al. 2006). Elegant reports have characterized differences between temperate and tropical *C. briggsae* in temperature-dependent fecundity (Prasad et al. 2011) and behavior (Cutter et al. 2006; Baird and Stonesifer 2012; Stegeman et al. 2013, 2019), with a focus on chronic response to warm and hot conditions (14–30°C). Resistance to acute temperature shock, as it has evolved among *C. briggsae* populations, remains less well understood. The response to extreme low temperature is a compelling potential character under ecological pressures in the *C. briggsae* system, as it is likely to manifest in the winter season of temperate latitudes and not in tropical regions (Lacher and Goldstein 1997).

In this study, we investigated the response to cold stress across *C. briggsae* strains isolated from different niches. We found that temperate strains survived cold conditions in which most animals of tropical origin died. Using two strains, AF16 and HK104, as representative of tropical and temperate ecotypes respectively, we profiled cold-responsive transcriptomes to achieve molecular insight into the divergence in the cold resistance trait. Against a backdrop of thousands of genes with cold-evoked expression patterns shared between the strains, we found >100 genes with high expression unique to cold-treated HK104. In mutational tests, we confirmed the role of seven such factors in cold resistance.

## Results

**Wild *C. briggsae* Isolated from Temperate but Not Tropical Regions Survive Hypothermia Independent of Rearing Temperature**

Since temperature is one of the major factors that distinguish temperate and tropical climates (Lacher and Goldstein 1997; Prasad et al. 2011), we hypothesized that *C. briggsae* strains from these two niches would respond differently to hypothermia. To test this, we first focused on *C. briggsae* strains that, in previous phylogenetic analysis (Cutter et al. 2006), had been assigned to a population referred to as Tropical (AF16, VT847, ED3083, JU726, and VX1410), and strains from a population with the name Temperate (HK104, P8826, JU439, EG4181, and VX34) (Table 1). We cultivated each strain at 20°C until the animals reached adulthood, and then switched them to 4°C. All worms were immobile after 60 h of cold treatment, but upon recovery, Temperate strains survived at ~100%, whereas Tropical strains died at high rates (fig. 1a). We next turned to additional *C. briggsae* strains (Table 1) that had been isolated in localities qualifying as temperate and tropical, but whose genomes did not affiliate them with the named Temperate or Tropical populations (Cutter and Choi 2010; Thomas et al. 2015). Phenotyping revealed cold resistance in *C. briggsae* from Quebec, a sister clade to the Temperate population (Thomas et al. 2015), but not in strains from tropical sites, including those from the early-diverging Kerala population (fig. 1b). These data implicate cold resistance as a derived character in *C. briggsae*, with a likely origin in the ancestor of the Quebec and Temperate populations—the only ones in our survey from cooler localities.

Cultivation temperature during development can affect the cold tolerance of adult worms (Ohta et al. 2014; Okahata et al. 2016). To examine this effect in the *C. briggsae* system, we used AF16, isolated from tropical India, and HK104, from a temperate niche in Japan, as representatives of the respective ecotypes. As expected (Ohta et al. 2014), cold tolerance decreased in both AF16 and HK104 animals that had gone through development at higher temperatures (fig. 2) and in worms treated with longer cold shock (supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online). However, at all rearing temperatures, HK104 was far more likely to survive cold treatment than was AF16 (fig. 2). Tests in F1 hybrids from the mating of AF16 and HK104 indicated a partly dominant basis for the phenotype, suggesting appreciable genetic complexity (supplementary fig. 2, Supplementary Material online).

**Transcriptional Responses to Cold Stress Unique to and Shared between *C. briggsae* Strains**

To gain molecular insight into differences in cold stress response between tropical and temperate *C. briggsae*, we took a transcriptional approach, again making use of the AF16 and HK104 isolates as a model comparison. Transcriptome profiles of these strains before and after cold treatment revealed robust clustering by temperature and strain background, and tight agreement between replicates (fig. 3a and supplementary table 1, Supplementary Material online).

We first analyzed AF16 and HK104 separately with respect to cold-evoked changes in gene expression, and inspected commonalities between the strains. In these data, a pattern of declining RNA levels predominated in both AF16 and HK104, with 2584 genes expressed at lower levels upon cold treatment in both strains (at a false discovery rate of 0.15; fig. 3b). Functional-genomic analyses of the latter revealed enrichment for a variety of gene groups involved in growth and cellular metabolism (fig. 3d), as expected if cold-treated animals of both strains slow or arrest many biological processes (Robinson and Powell 2016; Jiang et al. 2018). By contrast, relatively few genes in each strain were expressed at
higher levels in the cold relative to an untreated control (fig. 3b). Only 195 genes exhibited high RNA levels in cold conditions in both AF16 and HK104, with enrichment for functions in RNA processing and metal ion stress (fig. 3c). More salient from these data was the apparent bias for a program unique to temperate HK104, in which we detected an excess of cold-evoked genes that were not called in the analogous tests on AF16 transcriptomes (fig. 3b).
with few striking differences between the strains at 20°C. Post-rearing expression divergence was largely specific to cold treatment, consistent with previous studies (Hillier et al. 2007; Yin et al. 2018; Culp et al. 2020).

We set out to use gene ablation to test the phenotypic role of our candidate genes, those expressed at high levels in HK104 (supplementary table 1, Supplementary Material online). These cases of expression response to cold shock was distinct between AF16 and HK104 (at a false discovery rate of 0.15; supplementary Methods). The results revealed 191 genes for which the expression was 15°C to 4°C, 20°C to 4°C, and 25°C to 4°C, with rearing temperature was 15°C (left), 20°C (middle), or 25°C (right) before cold shock.

We thus formulated a model in which HK104 expressed a unique program of cold-protective factors, whose components could underlie cold resistance at the organismal level, and we earmarked this program for mechanistic follow-up. In transcriptional profiles of AF16 x HK104 hybrid animals, we saw little evidence for cis-regulatory divergence at these focal genes (supplementary table 1, Supplementary Material online), implicating trans-acting variants as the underlying cause of the expression patterns of interest.

Natural Variation and Genetics of Cold Tolerance in C. elegans
We set out to use gene ablation to test the phenotypic role of our candidate genes, those expressed at high levels in HK104 during survival of hypothermia. We reasoned that an expedient paradigm for this purpose could use C. elegans. Biologically, this model species is much better characterized than C. briggsae (Hillier et al. 2007), and genetic mutants are widely available, as opposed to the few mutants generated to date in C. briggsae (Hillier et al. 2007); many developmental, behavioral, and physiological phenotypes are conserved between the species (Hillier et al. 2007; Yin et al. 2018; Culp et al. 2020).

To explore the utility of C. elegans as a model for cold resistance, we first assayed cold tolerance in 14 wild C. elegans strains from temperate locales, and one from a tropical region (supplementary table 2, Supplementary Material online). We used a regimen of rearing at 20°C and cold shock at 4°C for 60 h, in which the C. elegans laboratory strain N2 exhibits robust resistance (Ohta et al. 2014). Our results revealed complete lethality in response to cold shock in most other C. elegans isolates (fig. 5). Beside N2, originally isolated from England, and CB4555, an N2 descendant (Sterken et al. 2015), only AB1, an Australian isolate previously shown to acclimate rapidly to cold (Okahata et al. 2016), exhibited cold resistance on par with that of temperate-clade C. briggsae (fig. 5). These data indicated that, although rare among our sampled C. elegans isolates, the cold tolerance manifesting in the laboratory strain could serve as a useful model for that of temperate C. briggsae, with the potential for insights from genetic analyses.

To this end, we carried out a mutant survey in C. elegans of cold-induced genes in HK104. To cast the widest possible net for genes of interest, we selected them from our expression data with more lenient cutoffs than we had used for initial genomic analyses (see Materials and Methods), amounting to 43 total genes for the survey. For each, we acquired a strain of the N2 background harboring a mutation in the respective gene, in some cases in a background also including other lesions. Assays for cold resistance revealed seven genes as necessary for the trait in C. elegans N2 (fig. 6): vhp-1 (encoding a MAPK phosphatase), pgp-8 (an ABC transporter), ncr-1 (involved in cholesterol trafficking), gst-24 (glutathione-S-transferase), numr-1 (a hypothesized splicing factor), and the uncharacterized genes M28.8 and K03H1.5. By virtue of their role in cold tolerance in C. elegans, and their unique cold-evoked induction profile in C. briggsae HK104, this set of genes represented our top candidates for determinants of cold resistance in the latter.

Genetic Determinants of Cold Resistance in Temperate C. briggsae
To explore the phenotypic role of our candidate genes in C. briggsae, we made use of a CRISPR-Cas9 system for targeted mutations, for which we chose to focus on five genes with the largest effect size in our C. elegans cold resistance mutant survey (vhp-1, pgp-8, M28.8, ncr-1, and K03H1.5). Of these, we were unable to develop homozygous mutants for ncr-1 in the HK104 temperate C. briggsae strain, suggesting an essential function for this gene. For each of the remaining genes in turn (vhp-1, pgp-8, M28.8, and K03H1.5), we established an HK104 line and, separately, a line of tropical C. briggsae AF16 harboring a premature stop codon in the coding region (supplementary table 3, Supplementary Material online). We then investigated the cold survival phenotype of each such mutant strain. For this purpose, given the extreme cold resistance of...
FIG. 3.—Cold-evoked expression programs shared between AF16 and HK104. (a) Shown are the results of principal component analysis of transcriptomes of *Caenorhabditis briggsae* temperate HK104 and tropical AF16 before and after cold treatment (60 h of incubation at 4 °C after development at 20 °C). Each point reports values of the first (x-axis) and second (y-axis) principal component from one replicate of the indicated strain and condition. (b) Top, each circle reports the number of genes in the indicated strain with lower expression upon cold treatment than in control conditions. White values report the number of such genes detected only in AF16 (left), only in HK104 (right), or in both strains (center). Bottom, data are as at top except that genes with higher expression in cold conditions were analyzed. (c) Each bar reports enrichment (as a ratio of the number observed to the number expected under a genomic null) of genes with the indicated function among those detected in AF16 and HK104 as downregulated under cold treatment. (d) Data are as in (c) except that genes with higher expression in cold conditions were analyzed.
HK104 (figs. 1 and 2), we subjected mutants in this background to five days of cold treatment alongside a wild-type control. Results revealed a robust and significant increase in cold shock lethality in \( \text{pgp-8, M28.8} \) and \( \text{K03H1.5} \) mutants, confirming the importance of these genes in the HK104 phenotype (fig. 7a). In the AF16 background, which is radically cold-sensitive (figs. 1 and 2), we were required to use a shorter-duration cold-shock assay design. Here, we observed no effect of \( \text{vhp-1}, \text{pgp-8}, \text{M28.8}, \) or \( \text{K03H1.5} \) mutation at any timepoint (fig. 7b). These data establish that several of our top genes contribute uniquely to the cold resistance phenotype in HK104, validating our inference from this strain’s expression profiles (fig. 4) as a resource for mechanistic insights in this system.

Discussion

Understanding diversity in the natural world requires the discovery of traits that have changed in response to ecological factors, and the use of molecular tools to understand their mechanisms. Heat and cold responses are particularly ripe for evolutionary study in ectotherms, whose body temperature depends on that of their environment (Flouris and Piantoni 2015). In this work, we have established resistance to cold shock as a character distinguishing the Temperate and Quebec clades of the nematode \( \text{C. briggsae} \) from cold-sensitive tropical isolates. Our findings dovetail with the known fecundity disadvantage of Temperate \( \text{C. briggsae} \) at high temperature relative to Tropical strains (Prasad et al. 2011), as well as behavioral (Cutter et al. 2006; Stegeman et al. 2013, 2019) and transcriptional (Mark et al. 2019) differences between the clades under hot conditions.

Our focus on cold was motivated by the cooler extreme winter temperatures in the collection localities of Temperate \( \text{C. briggsae} \) (Stegeman et al. 2013; Adrion et al. 2015). By contrast, the average summer temperature is comparable in most such collection sites, regardless of whether the region is temperate or tropical (Prasad et al. 2011). Given these climatic factors, and the striking phenotype we report here, it is tempting to speculate that selection for cold survival in the winter months has been a key driver of local adaptation in \( \text{C. briggsae} \) as in other nematodes (McGaughran and Sommer 2014). Assuming that the trait arose in the ancestor of the Temperate-Quebec clades of \( \text{C. briggsae} \), these evolutionary events would have happened during the tens of thousands of generations that separate this branch from its closest relatives (Thomas et al. 2015).

Evolutionary pressures on the trait in \( \text{C. elegans} \) remain less clear, since we and others (Okahata et al. 2016) have found strains of this species from temperate localities to run the gamut from cold-resistant to cold-sensitive. This may be the product of migration and admixture in the worldwide \( \text{C. elegans} \) population, preventing local adaptation in hot or cold
environments, or in any one niche (although important exceptions have been reported: Petersen et al. 2015; Crombie et al. 2019; Lee et al. 2021). As *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* diverged ~20 Ma (Cutter 2008), cold tolerance in the two species likely arose independently. The vast divergence in their genomes (Stein et al. 2003) would represent differences in the chassis on which the trait was built, reflected in the fact that some genes we tested affected cold shock survival in resistant *C. elegans* but not *C. briggsae*.

Our evolutionary analysis of wild worms complements an extensive literature on the basic biology of hypothermia response in laboratory *C. elegans*. An important thread of this prior work has highlighted the dieoff of animals reintroduced into warm temperatures after cold shock, and its physiological and transcriptomic correlates (Robinson and Powell 2016; Jiang et al. 2018). For our expression profiling, instead of the rewarming recovery phase, we focused on the end of the cold exposure regimen, and the genes with high RNA levels at this timepoint. Our validation of a causal role in cold tolerance for seven such genes (in *C. elegans*, temperate *C. briggsae*, or both) suggests that cold resistance hinges in part on physiology during the treatment itself. Under one compelling model, protective factors expressed during cold stress could mitigate tissue damage before recovery even starts. Additionally, proteins expressed in the cold could set up a poised state for rapid signaling and repair during recovery. Ultimately, as a complete picture of the biology of cold resistance becomes clear, it will likely integrate mechanisms

---

**FIG. 6.**— *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutant survey reveals seven genes that impair cold tolerance. Each bar reports cold tolerance in a *C. briggsae* wild strain (MY16 and ED3077; see table 1); the *C. elegans* laboratory strain N2 (wild-type); or a strain isogenic to N2 harboring a mutation (-) in the indicated gene(s). Symbols are as in figure 1 except that cold treatment was 120 h. Asterisks report results from a one-sided t-test comparing the indicated strain to wild-type N2; *P* < 0.05; **P** < 0.01.
operating in the two phases; and the failures in tropical *C. briggsae* could prove to manifest in either one. The transcription factor gene *ZIP-10*, known to promote death during recovery after cold treatment (Jiang et al. 2018), was expressed less in tropical *AF16* than in temperate HK104 in our profiles (supplementary table 1, Supplementary Material online), suggesting that the trait divergence between the strains hinges on a mechanism distinct from the ZIP-10 pathway.

That said, among the many other known molecular mechanisms of cold resistance in *C. elegans* (Murray et al. 2007; Ma et al. 2015; Robinson and Powell 2016; Sonoda et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2018; Okahata et al. 2019; Takagaki et al. 2020), the cholesterol processing gene *nco-1*, which we found to be required for cold tolerance in *C. elegans*, is likely to act through this mechanism. Likewise, detailed studies have established the role of sensory neurons in cold resistance (Ohta et al. 2014; Sonoda et al. 2016; Ujisawa et al. 2018; Okahata et al. 2019; Takagaki et al. 2020). This system could well involve M28.8, which we identified as required for the trait in *C. elegans* and temperate *C. briggsae*, is expressed in dopaminergic neurons, and is the ortholog of a *Drosophila* photoreceptor gene (Nie et al. 2012).

Furthermore, in our larger set of cold-evoked expression changes, several emergent patterns parallel those seen in the broader literature. In previous analysis of *C. elegans*, recovery after cold shock was associated with changes in lipid and amino acid metabolism (Jiang et al. 2018), groups from which many genes exhibited low expression during cold shock itself in our *C. briggsae* study. Likewise, RNA binding and stabilization factors are activated by cold in mammalian cells (Sonoda et al. 2002) and in yeast and bacteria (Aguilera et al. 2007; Keto-Timonen et al. 2016), just as we have seen in *C. briggsae*. Metal ion stress response, another cold-induced gene set in mammalian cells (Sonoda et al. 2002), also featured among upregulated genes in our *C. briggsae* data. Additional stress response genes are induced in cold-shock studies of other organisms, including heat shock factors (Sonoda et al. 2002; Aguilera et al. 2007; Rinehart et al. 2007; Shore et al. 2013; Keto-Timonen et al. 2016), whose relevance in the worm remains to be elucidated.

In summary, we have established cold resistance in *C. briggsae* as a rapidly evolved, and likely adaptive, product of ecological diversification, and we have traced the attendant expression changes in genes required for cold tolerance. These findings underscore the power of ecological-genetic studies in wild strains of a tractable model organism, which hold promise for continued progress in the discovery of how nature builds new traits.

**Materials and Methods**

**Worm Strains**

Wild-type strains of *C. briggsae* (AF16, VT847, ED3083, JU726, QX1410, HK104, PB826, JU439, EG4181 VX34, ED3101-4, JU1341, JU1345, JU1348, and QR24-25) and *C. elegans* (CB4555, N2, AB1, JU262, PX179, JU258, JU1172, JU1652, JU393, MY16, JU779, JU1088, GXW1, ED3077, and ED3052) are described in table 1 and supplementary table 2, Supplementary Material online, respectively. To generate and transcriptionally profile AF16 x HK104 F1 hybrids, we first made a marked strain of AF16, CP161 (Cbr-unc-119(nm67)) II; nms7 [Cni-mss-1(+) + Cni-mss-2(+) + Cbr-myo-2::GFP + unc-119(+)]. We then crossed HK104 hermaphrodites with CP161 males, picked labeled hermaphrodite F1 progeny at...
Cold Tolerance Assays

Cold tolerance assays were performed as described (Jiang et al. 2018). For a given biological replicate of a given strain or treatment condition, 20–30 worms were spread on each of two to three plates and raised at 20°C until 24 h after they reached the L4 stage. Plates were then spread with equal distance in a box and transferred to a constant 4°C cold room for the duration indicated below and in figure captions. Plates were then moved to room temperature for 2 h before survival scoring, in which worms that failed to respond to a gentle prodding with a platinum wire were scored as dead. For each strain at least three independent experiments were performed.

RNA Isolation and Library Preparation for RNA-Seq

RNA isolation was performed essentially as described (Wang et al. 2018). For a given biological replicate of a given strain, 100–200 synchronized mid/late L4 stage worms were picked and incubated at 20°C for 24 h, after which one cohort of worms was harvested immediately, representing the untreated control, and another cohort was subjected to 4°C treatment for 60 h as above, followed by harvest. Two replicates for each strain and each condition were performed. Collected worms were homogenized in 1 ml Tri-reagent (ThermoFisher) for 30 min at room temperature. About 0.1 ml of bromochloropropane (BCP, Sigma) was added to the sample and mixed well. The sample was then spun at 12,000×g for 15 min at 4°C and the aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube. About 0.5 ml isopropanol was added to the sample, followed by incubation at room temperature for 10 min and centrifugation at 12,000×g for 10 min. The RNA pellet was washed twice with 75% EtOH and dissolved in water. The RNA sample was then purified to remove DNA using the RNasey Mini Kit (Qiagen), and used as input into RNA-seq library preparation using the KAPA RNA hyper prep kit (Roche).

Amended Reference Genome Construction

We established a reference genome for C. briggsae strain HK104 as follows. Raw genome sequencing reads for HK104 were downloaded from the NCBI (project accession PRJNA509247). These reads were aligned to genome assembly CB4 of the reference sequence of the C. briggsae AF16 strain (GenBank Assembly accession number: GCA_000004555.3) using bowtie2 with default parameters. Samtools, bcftools, and bgzip were used to call SNPs, retaining those with a quality score of >20 and combined depth of >5 and <71. We then generated a pseudogeneome by replacing the reference AF16 allele with that of HK104 at each SNP using bcftools, totaling 441,227 SNPs. For allele-specific mapping of RNA-seq reads from the HK104 x AF16 F1 hybrid (see below), this new amended HK104 genome was then concatenated to the reference AF16 genome to form a master AF16-HK104 genome.

RNA-Seq Data Analysis

RNA-seq data analysis was essentially as described (Wang et al. 2018). For each library, ~20M 150-bp-length paired-end reads were generated. Low quality reads were removed using the FASTX Toolkit. Illumina primer sequences (adaptors) were removed from read sequences using cutadapt.

For transcriptomes of purebred AF16 and HK104, the resulting trimmed reads were aligned to the respective reference genome using the HISAT2 2.2.0 alignment program with default parameters. Mapped reads were then input into HTSeq 0.11.1 to calculate normalized counts for each gene. Genes with fewer than 20 reads mapped to them in all samples were removed and the remaining genes were used as input to test for differential expression using the generalized linear model framework in edgeR (in the module glmQLFTest) as follows. For figure 3, each strain's transcriptomes were used as input to a test for genes whose expression changed between cold and untreated samples. For figure 4, the complete set of transcriptomes from both strains and both conditions was used as input to a test for genes at which the impact of cold on expression was different between the strains.

For transcriptomes of the AF16 x HK104 F1 hybrid, trimmed reads were aligned to a concatenation of the two strains' reference genomes, and only reads that mapped to a single location in this concatenated reference were retained for analysis, reflecting allele-specific expression at the
respective strain's allele of the respective gene. Normalized read counts and significance testing were as for figure 4 above, reporting cases of temperature effects that differed between the two strains' alleles.

All raw transcriptome data are available at GEO (accession number: GSE171725) and SRA (accession number: SRP314054).

Principal component analysis was performed using the built-in function in edgeR. Gene Ontology term enrichment analysis was performed using the web tool at geneontology.org.

Genes for the mutant survey of figure 5 in C. elegans were chosen as those that exhibited higher expression under cold treatment than in control condition in HK104 when analyzed separately, at nominal P < 0.01; exhibited stronger cold-evoked expression change in HK104 than in AF16 in the strain-by-temperature analysis, at nominal P < 0.01; and were annotated with one-to-one orthology between C. elegans and C. briggsae.

**CRISPR-Mediated Genome Editing**

CRISPR-mediated genome editing for C. briggsae was performed using an established protocol (Culp et al. 2020) modified from the analogous protocol for C. elegans (Friedland et al. 2013). For generating mutations in WBGene00025434, WBGene00037162, WBGene00025987, WBGene00034955, and WBGene00031437, the C. briggsae orthologs of vhe-1, pgg-8, M28.8, ncr-1, and K03H1.5 genes respectively, day 1 adult animals of AF16 and HK104 were injected with pDD162 (Ppeft-3::Cas9::tbb-2 3' UTR), pCFJ90 (pmyo-2::mCherry), and 2–4 different pU6::sgRNAs for the gene of interest. Surviving adult animals of AF16 and HK104 were injected with pDD162 (Ppeft-3::Cas9::tbb-2 3' UTR), pCFJ90 (pmyo-2::mCherry), and 2–4 different pU6::sgRNAs for the gene of interest. Surviving worms were separated, and F1 mCherry-positive animals were collected; their progeny, representing the F2 generation, were genotyped for the respective gene. Mutant genotypes are reported in supplementary table 3, Supplementary Material online.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at *Genome Biology and Evolution* online.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by NIH GM120430-A1 to J.L.G. and R.B.B., NIH R35GM119828 to J.L.G., and NIH 1S10OD021686 for the COPAS large particle sorter housed in the Buck Institute Morphology and Imaging Core; the American Federation for Aging Research; and the Glenn Foundation for Medical Research. QX1410 and VTX34 were kind gifts from Dr Erik Andersen (Northwestern University). All other strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genome Center, which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440), and the National BioResource Project of Japan, supported by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Science, Sports and Technology. We thank members of the Brem laboratory (UC Berkeley) and Garrison laboratory (Buck Institute for Research on Aging) for insightful discussions.

**Data Availability**

Raw sequence data for the RNA-sequencing data set used in this study are available at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE171725) and the Short Read Archive (SRP314054).

**Literature Cited**

Adrion JR, Hahn MW, Cooper BS. 2015. Revisiting classic clinics in *Drosophila melanogaster* in the age of genomics. Trends Genet. 31(8):434–444.

Aguilera J, Randez-Gil F, Prieto JA. 2007. Cold response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: new functions for old mechanisms. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 31(3):327–341.

Baird SE, Stonesper R. 2012. Reproductive isolation in *Caenorhabditis briggsae*: dyogenic interactions between maternal- and zygotic-effect loci result in a delayed development phenotype. Worm 1(4):189–195.

Crombie TA, et al. 2019. Deep sampling of Hawaiian *Caenorhabditis elegans* reveals high genetic diversity and admixture with global populations. Elife 8:e50465.

Culp E, et al. 2020. Genome editing in the nematode *Caenorhabditis briggsae* using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Biol Methods Protoc. 51(1):e30003.

Cutter AD. 2008. Divergence times in *Caenorhabditis* and *Drosophila* inferred from direct estimates of the neutral mutation rate. Mol Biol Evol. 25(4):778–786.

Cutter AD, Choi JY. 2010. Natural selection shapes nucleotide polymorphism across the genome of the nematode *Caenorhabditis briggsae*. Genome Res. 20(8):1103–1111.

Cutter AD, Felix MA, Barriere A, Charlesworth D. 2006. Patterns of nucleotide polymorphism distinguish temperate and tropical wild isolates of *Caenorhabditis briggsae*. Genetics 173(4):2021–2031.

Ferrari C, et al. 2017. Ephemeral-habitat colonization and neotropical species richness of *Caenorhabditis* nematodes. BMC Biol. 17(1):43.

Flouris AD, Piantoni C. 2015. Links between thermoregulation and aging in endotherms and ectotherms. Temperature 2(1):73–85.

Friedland AE, et al. 2013. Heritable genome editing in *C. elegans* via a CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Methods. 10(8):741–743.

Grausstein A, Caspar JM, Walters JR, Palopoli NF. 2002. Levels of DNA polymorphism vary with mating system in the nematode genus *Caenorhabditis*. Genetics 161(1):99–107.

Hillier LDW, et al. 2007. Comparison of *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* genome sequences reveals extensive conservation of organismal organization and synten. PLoS Biol. 5(7):e167.

Jiang W, et al. 2018. A genetic program mediates cold-warming response and promotes stress-induced phenotropis in *C. elegans*. Elife 7:e35037.

Keto-Timonen R, et al. 2016. Cold shock proteins: a minireview with special emphasis on Csp-family of enteropathogenic *Yersinia*. Front Microbiol. 7: 1151.

Kraemer SA, Boynton PJ. 2017. Evidence for microbial local adaptation in nature. Mol Ecol. 26(7):1860–1876.

Lacher TE, Goldstein ML. 1997. Tropical ecotoxicology: status and needs. Environ Toxicol Chem. 16(1):100–111.
Cold Survival and Its Molecular Mechanisms in Nematodes

Lee D, et al. 2021. Balancing selection maintains hyper-divergent haplotypes in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Ecol Evol. 5(6):794–807.

Ma DK, et al. 2015. Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase drives heat adaptation by sequestering fatty acids. Cell 161(5):1152–1163.

Mark S, et al. 2019. Genome structure predicts modular transcriptome responses to genetic and environmental conditions. Mol Ecol. 28(16):3681–3697.

McGaughran A, Sommer RJ. 2014. Natural variation in cold tolerance in the nematode Pristionchus pacificus: the role of genotype and environment. Biol Open. 3(9):832–838.

Mark S, et al. 2019. Genome structure predicts modular transcriptome responses to genetic and environmental conditions. Mol Ecol. 28(16):3681–3697.

McGaughran A, Sommer RJ. 2014. Natural variation in cold tolerance in the nematode Pristionchus pacificus: the role of genotype and environment. Biol Open. 3(9):832–838.

Murray P, Hayward SAL, Govan GG, Gracey AY, Cossins AR. 2007. An explicit test of the phospholipid saturation hypothesis of acquired cold tolerance in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 104(13):5489–5494.

Nie J, et al. 2012. Cross species analysis of Prominin reveals a conserved cellular role in invertebrate and vertebrate photoreceptor cells. Dev Biol. 371(2):312–320.

Ohta A, Ujisawa T, Sonoda S, Kuhara A. 2014. Light and pheromone-sensing neurons regulates cold habituation through insulin signalling in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Commun. 5:4412–4419.

Okahata M, et al. 2016. Natural variations of cold tolerance and temperature acclimation in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Comp Physiol B. 186(8):985–998.

Okahata M, Wei AD, Ohata A, Kuhara A. 2019. Cold acclimation via the KQT-2 potassium channel is modulated by oxygen in Caenorhabditis elegans. Sci Adv. 5(13):eaav3631.

Petersen C, Dirsken P, Schulenburg H. 2015. Why we need more ecology for genetic models such as C. elegans. Trends Genet. 31(3):120–127.

Prasad A, Croydon-Sugarman MJF, Murray RL, Cutter AD. 2011. Temperature-dependent fecundity associates with latitude in Caenorhabditis briggsae. Evolution 65(1):52–63.

Rinehart JP, et al. 2007. Up-regulation of heat shock proteins is essential for cold survival during insect diapause. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 104(27):11130–11137.

Robinson JD, Powell JR. 2016. Long-term recovery from acute cold shock in Caenorhabditis elegans. BMC Cell Biol. 17:2.

Sanford E, Kelly MW. 2011. Local adaptation in marine invertebrates. Ann Rev Mar Sci. 3:509–535.

Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J. 2013. Ecological genomics of local adaptation. Nat Rev Genet. 14(11):807–820.

Shore AM, et al. 2013. Cold-induced changes in gene expression in brown adipose tissue, white adipose tissue and liver. PloS One 8(7):e68933.

Sonna LA, Fujita J, Gaffin SL, Lilly CM. 2002. Invited review: effects of heat and cold stress on mammalian gene expression. J. Appl. Physiol. 92(4):1725–1742.

Sonoda S, Ohata A, Maruo A, Ujisawa T, Kuhara A. 2016. Sperm affects head sensory neuron in temperature tolerance of Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 113(16):4412–4419.

Steegeman GW, Baird SE, Ryu WS, Cutter AD. 2019. Genetically distinct behavioral modules underlie natural variation in thermal performance curves. G3 (Bethesda) 9(7):2135–2151.

Steegeman GW, De Mesquita MB, Ryu WS, Cutter AD. 2013. Temperature-dependent behaviours are genetically variable in the nematode Caenorhabditis briggsae. J Exp Biol. 216(PT 5):850–858.

Stein LD, et al. 2003. The genome sequence of Caenorhabditis briggsae: a platform for comparative genomics. PLoS Biol. 1(2):E45.

Sterken MG, Snoek LB, Kammenga JE, Andersen EC. 2015. The laboratory domestication of Caenorhabditis elegans. Trends Genet. 31(5):224–231.

Takagaki N, et al. 2020. The mechanoreceptor DEG-1 regulates cold tolerance in Caenorhabditis elegans. EMBO Rep. 21(3):e48671.

Thomas CG, et al. 2015. Full-genome evolutionary histories of selling, splitting, and selection in Caenorhabditis. Genome Res. 25(5):667–678.

Ujisawa T, et al. 2018. Endoribonuclease ENDU-2 regulates multiple traits including cold tolerance via cell autonomous and nonautonomous controls in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 115(35):8823–8828.

Wang W, et al. 2018. SET-9 and SET-26 are H3K4me3 readers and play critical roles in germline development and longevity. Elife 7:1–33.

Yin D, et al. 2018. Rapid genome shrinkage in a self-fertile nematode reveals sperm competition proteins. Science 359(6371):55–61.

Associate editor: Kirk Lohmueller