Zinc Hexacyanoferrate/Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes Films for Rechargeable Aqueous Batteries
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Zinc hexacyanoferrate (ZnHCF) is a Prussian Blue analog and can be intercalated by both monovalent and divalent ions. ZnHCF/multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) film could be used as a cathode for zinc ions battery. Carbon nanotubes improved significantly the electrochemical performance of the electrode. It is described the preparation of ZnHCF/MWCNT films by the interfacial method with cyclohexane/water mixture as solvent. The crystal structure, morphology, and microstructure of the as-prepared products were characterized by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, Mossbauer, Raman spectroscopy, and infrared spectroscopy. Results revealed that the MWCNTs extend the electrochemical properties of the ZnHCF and influence the structure and morphology of the hexacyanoferrate particles. If tested as cathode material for zinc ion batteries, the nanocomposite film exhibits a capacity of 25.81 mA h g⁻¹, which is much higher than those obtained for a ZnHCF single-component film (3.28 mA h g⁻¹). The physical characteristics and properties that allow good reversibility to a high potential difference, achieved with the ZnHCF/MWCNT film, suggest new developments in the manufacture of translucent, flexible and light energy storage devices, which can be used as a lower-cost, safer and environmentally friendly alternative to the currently existing technologies.
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Introduction

Transition metal hexacyanoferrates, commonly known as Prussian Blue analogs (PBAs), are a new class of porous crystalline hybrid materials, formed by the bridge of inorganics vertices (Fe and other transition metal ions) and electron-donating ligands (C≡N), which exhibit outstandingly useful properties for various technological applications. Owing to their favorable properties, such as controllable chemical, morphological and open-atomic framework structures, along with adjustable porous sizes, and high electrochemical performance, recent studies have reported their potential uses in gas storage, batteries, catalysis, energy separation, drug delivery, sensors and environmental cleanup.

In PBAs, the involved transitions metals are usually organized in octahedral coordination, crystalizing, with some exceptions, in the cubic unit cell arrangement (space group Fm-3m). However, some zinc hexacyanoferrates (ZnHCF), containing FeII and FeIII, have been reported as being hexagonally coordinated systems crystallizing in the rhombohedral structure (space group R-3c), where FeC₆ octahedra and ZnN₄ tetrahedron are linked together by C≡N ligands. Such coordination imparts a relatively high thermal stability and an interesting porous framework to these materials, as both metal centers have saturated their coordination spheres with atoms from the bridge-group (−C≡N−). Due to its unique properties, the zeolite-like framework of ZnHCF endows the possibility of behaving as intercalation hosts for various cations. In that sense, several applications for ZnHCF are focused on the development of materials for energy storage devices. ZnHCF has already been reportedly studied as cathodic material in rechargeable aqueous metal-ion batteries (RAMB) systems, leading to the insertion of metallic ions such as Na⁺ and Zn²⁺. However, no attempt to use ZnHCF as composites material in RAMB systems has been so far reported.
In order to obtain better results in the performance of cathodic materials in batteries, it is proposed to use Faradaic reactions of the surface on nanostructured carbon electrodes, which can store more energy than the double-layer capacitance on conventional capacitor electrodes and provide higher power capability.23

Among the nanostructured carbon materials, the carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have been widely used as the electrode material, due to their favorable dimensional ratio, large specific surface area, efficient charge transport, as well as good mechanical resistance and electrical conductance. In addition, the excellent softness of the material has extended its potential application for electrodes in thin-film configuration, enabling new devices to achieve transparency, flexibility and electrochromic properties.24-26

Herein, we present the use of a new class of electrodes for zinc-ion batteries, which are based on nanocomposites thin films between ZnHCF and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs). Thus, interpenetrated MWCNTs can contribute to increasing the conductivity of ZnHCF film and holding a large volume changing during cycling, maintaining the electrode structural integrity.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals**

Potassium hexacyanoferrate (III) K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$] (99.0%) and cyclohexane (99.5%) were purchased from Applichem Panreac (Barcelona, Spain), ZnCl$_2$ (98.0%) was purchased from ISOFAR (Duque de Caxias, Brazil) and HCl (38.0% v/v) was obtained from Reagen (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), and they were used as received. All solutions were prepared with deionized water obtained from a Milli-Q purification system (Millipore Direct-Q3, Bedford, MA, USA).

MWCNTs (90.0%, transition metal oxide < 1%) were purchased from Nanocyl® NC7000™ (Sambreville, Belgium) with an average diameter of 9.5 nm and an average length of 1.5 µm and were used without purification for nanocomposites films preparation.

**Synthesis of ZnHCF/MWCNT nanocomposite films**

The preparation of ZnHCF/MWCNTs nanocomposite films was performed according to the liquid-liquid interfacial method.27,31 Firstly, 0.15 mg of pristine CNT was dispersed in 20 mL of cyclohexane using a sonication probe (Cole-Parmer, model CV18) for 10 min (pulses of 50 s on and 10 s off) with 35% amplitude. Then, 10 mL of 0.01 mol L$^{-1}$ ZnCl$_2$ and 10 mL of 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$] were added into a 50 mL round bottom flask containing the dispersion of pristine CNT. Then the system was kept under constant agitation for 24 h. A dark yellow transparent film was spontaneously formed at the interface. The ZnHCF/MWCNT film was transferred to a beaker containing the substrate of interest fixed on a copper support. The film was deposited on the substrate surface. Next, the film was maintained in the air atmosphere overnight and dried at 100 °C for 2 h. The percentage of MWCNT used in this study related to ZnCl$_2$ and K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$] was 1.15 and 0.045% (m/m), respectively.

Films containing only ZnHCF have also been prepared according to the procedure described above. Both solutions of precursor reagents were transferred to a 50 mL round bottom flask with 20 mL of cyclohexane.

**Instrumentation**

The ZnHCF and ZnHCF/MWCNTs film structures were analyzed using an X-ray diffraction (XRD) equipment (Shimadzu XRD 6000, Kyoto, Japan) with a Cu Kα radiation source ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å). The XRD patterns were collected over the 2$\theta$ range of 5 to 80°, at scan rate of 1° min$^{-1}$.

Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Frontier Single Range (middle infrared (MIR)) FT-IR spectrophotometer from PerkinElmer (Walthan, USA), in the region between 4000 and 220 cm$^{-1}$. Samples were analyzed in the solid-state using the attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory equipped with diamond crystal.

Raman spectroscopic measurements were carried out using a LabRAM HR Evolution microscope (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan) using a 532 nm Argon laser over the 200–3500 cm$^{-1}$ region.

UV-Visible (UV-Vis) spectra of samples were obtained on a Cary5G spectrophotometer (Palo Alto, USA) in the 200–800 nm regions.

Mössbauer spectra were collected with the samples at 298 K in a conventional transmission spectrometer in the constant acceleration mode and using a $^{57}$Co/Rh gamma-ray source with a nominal activity of about 50 mCi, equipped with a transducer (CMTE model MA250) controlled with a linear function driving unit (CMTE model MR351).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a Vega 3 LMU TESCAN (Brno-Kohoutovice, Czech Republic) operated at 20 kV. The elemental analysis was achieved using the energy dispersed spectrometer (EDS) model INCA X-ACT (Stanford, Oxford).
Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical characterization was performed with a PGSTAT 12 Autolab potentiostat (Herisau, Switzerland) equipped with a conventional three electrodes cell. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) experiments were carried out using the FRA2 module coupled to the Autolab PGSTAT 128N potentiostat (Herisau, Switzerland). Equipment control and data acquisition analyses were also carried out using NOVA 1.11.0 (Metrohm-Autolab, Herisau, Switzerland).

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement and charge and discharge tests were performed using three and two electrodes system. For three electrodes system a platinum wire and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as counter and reference electrode, respectively. The ZnHCF or ZnHCF/MWCNT films were used as work electrode. For two electrodes system the ZnHCF or ZnHCF/MWCNT films were utilized as cathode material and a galvanized steel sheet was employed as the anode electrode.

The galvanostatic charge/discharge cycling test of two electrodes system was performed in a potential window ranging from 0.8 to 2.2 V. For the study of rate capability were used the current densities of 5000 to 100 mA g⁻¹. Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves were used to calculate the discharge capacity using the following equation:

\[ C = \frac{(i \times t)}{m} \]  

where C is the specific capacity (mA h g⁻¹), i is the applied current (mA), t is the discharge time (h), and m is the mass of the active material (g). The mass of the materials was obtained by the substrate mass difference before and after the deposition of the films. The coulombic efficiency is given by the discharge/charge time ratio.  

Results and Discussion

Characterization

Thin films of ZnHCF/MWCNTs and ZnHCF were characterized by XRD, Raman spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy and UV-Vis spectroscopy (Figure 1). The crystal structure of ZnHCF/MWCNTs and ZnHCF were examined by XRD and the patterns are shown in Figure 1a. The XRD peaks for the ZnHCF film are well-indexed to \( \text{Zn}_3\text{II}[\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{CN})_6]_2 \) (JCPDS No. 38-0688), adopting a rhombohedral structure (Miller index in blue) belonging to...
the R-3c space group. Besides that, for both samples, it is observed the diffraction lines attributed to the orthorhombic phase (Miller index in red), revealing a mixture of phases. The orthorhombic structure belongs to the space group Pbam and is associated with molecular formula $\text{Zn}^{2+}\text{[Fe}^{2+}\text{(CN)}_6]\cdot2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (JCPDS No. 28-1466). It is important to mention that orthorhombic structure is not common for zinc hexacyanoferrate synthesized using the present method, indicating that MWCNTs act preserving water molecules in ZnHCF sites.

The UV-Vis spectra of the films are displayed in Figure 1b. $\text{[Fe(CN)}_6^{3-}\text{]$ has three characteristic absorption bands at 260, 302 and 420 nm, which are assigned to the ligand-to-metal charge transfer transitions. Besides that, it is observed higher values of absorbance for ZnHCF/MWCNTs film compared with ZnHCF. This feature is related to the stabilization of the film in the aqueous/organic interface occurred when the carbonaceous material is present.

The nanocomposite films were also analyzed by Raman spectroscopy (Figure 1c). The spectra of both samples confirmed the ZnHCF formation. The characteristic bands of ZnHCF can be observed at 517 and 602 cm$^{-1}$ (attributed to Fe–C stretching), and at 2119, 2161 and 2191 cm$^{-1}$, assigned to A$_{1g}$ totally symmetrical stretching of CN. For C≡N vibration the two bands at lower wavenumbers (2119 and 2161 cm$^{-1}$) are characteristics of cyanide stretching in reduced octahedrally coordinated iron-cyanide (Fe$^{3+}$–CN), while the single band at ca. 2191 cm$^{-1}$ is characteristics of cyanide stretching near oxidized iron (Fe$^{3+}$–CN). It is important to note that for ZnHCF/MWCNTs film the relative intensity of the band attributed to C≡N associated with Fe$^{3+}$ decreases when compared with ZnHCF material, suggesting a higher contribution of reduced iron sites in the structure when carbon nanotubes are present, corroborating the XRD results. For the nanocomposite film, three additional bands are also observed at 1349, 1591 and 2698 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to D, G, and 2D bands, respectively. These bands are associated with MWCNTs structure, since G band corresponds to E$_{2g}$ symmetry at the center of Brillouin zone, and is assigned to in-plane vibration of C=C bond. The D band is attributed to lattice defects and finite crystal size occurring inside the carbon nanotubes atomic layer, activated by the presence of disorder in carbon systems. Besides, the 2D band is attributed to the overtone of the D band. Figure 1d shows the IR spectra of ZnHCF and ZnHCF/MWCNTs films. Both spectra show a series of bands referring to stretching and deformation modes of zinc hexacyanoferrate structure. These signals are assigned to the following vibrations: $\nu$(CN) at 2184 and 2100 cm$^{-1}$, $\delta$(FeCN) at 548 cm$^{-1}$, $\nu$(Zn$^{II}$N) at 492 cm$^{-1}$, and $\nu$(Fe$^{II}$C) at 437 cm$^{-1}$. According to the spectra two important features can be highlighted. The first one is the presence of two signals attributed to $\nu$(OH) and $\delta$(HOH) vibrations, at 3624 and 1608 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating the presence of water in the structure, and indicating the formation of orthorhombic phase (Zn$^{2+}\text{[Fe}^{2+}\text{(CN)}_6]\cdot2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$) for the hexacyanoferrates, especially in the presence of carbon nanotubes. It is also noted that for ZnHCF/MWCNTs occurs a changing in relative intensity of the band attributed to $\nu$(CN), also suggesting the presence of an additional phase for the nanocomposite film. It is also observed the presence of two bands around 1211 and 1149 cm$^{-1}$ in Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra, which can be associated with C–N stretching.

The Mössbauer spectra are shown in Figure 2. All the spectra are composed of a singlet, assigned to Fe$^{2+}$, and a quadrupole doublet to Fe$^{3+}$. The zinc ferrocyanide fraction, in both Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ presence, was appreciated in the corresponding Mössbauer spectra as a slight asymmetry.

![Figure 2](image-url)
for the observed quadrupole doublet. Ferric and ferrous species have slightly different isomer deviation values, and this causes this asymmetry. According to signal intensities, this Fe\textsuperscript{3+} contribution was estimated at about 10 and 5% of the sample weight for ZnHCF and ZnHCF/MWCNTs, respectively, showing a higher contribution of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} in the presence of carbonaceous material, which corroborates the previous characterizations.

SEM images were acquired to study the morphology of the films. Figures 3a and 3b for ZnHCF material reveal particles with irregular to cubic morphology, with an average size ranging from 2 to 10 \( \mu m \). ZnHCF/MWCNTs film (Figures 3c, 3d and 3e) also exhibits an irregular/cubic shape, however, presenting more homogeneous smaller particles, ranging from 500 nm to 1.0 \( \mu m \). Besides, it is possible to observe the interaction between ZnHCF particles and the MWCNTs. The EDS analysis (Figure S1, Supplementary Information (SI) section) confirms the presence of Zn, Fe, N, C and K for both films, these data corroborate with the characterizations discussed above and confirm the success of the synthesis for nanocomposite films.

Electrochemical study

Electrochemical measurements were performed in a three-electrode cell containing 1.0 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} ZnCl\textsubscript{2} (pH = 2), ZnHCF/MWCNTs or ZnHCF films as working electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a platinum wire as the counter electrode. Figure 4a shows the cyclic voltammograms for the films at a scan rate of 20 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}, and a potential range of −0.2 to 1.3 V. It can be seen that both films exhibit two redox processes attributed to the reversible conversion between Fe\textsuperscript{3+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} present in octahedral [Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{−} block accompanying the extraction/insertion of Zn\textsuperscript{2+}. In the potential of 0.55/0.77 V, the present redox process can be attributed to the reduction and oxidation of iron sites present in zinc hexacyanoferrate structure. The second process in the potential of 0.81/0.95 V can be assigned to the presence of Zn\textsuperscript{2+}[Fe\textsuperscript{2+}(CN)\textsubscript{6}]\textsubscript{2.5H\textsubscript{2}O structure. It is observed that current intensity for ZnHCF/MWCNTs film is very higher than observed for ZnHCF film. This behavior can be associated with the fast and easy insertion of zinc ions into the hexacyanoferrate framework structure, facilitated by the presence of a carbon nanotube network uniformly covering the substrate since a higher density ofCNTs can provide a small resistance for the electrode.\textsuperscript{47}

Figures 4b and 4c present the discharge capacities of ZnHCF and ZnHCF/MWCNTs films (third cycle), respectively, at different current densities and using a cell with three electrodes. The discharge capacities obtained for ZnHCF/MWCNTs varied from 2.22 to 19.28 mA h g\textsuperscript{−1}, on the other hand, the ZnHCF exhibited lower values, ranging from 0.25 to 2.81 mA h g\textsuperscript{−1}. In addition, the long-term cycling stability and Coulombic efficiency were investigated by a continuous cycling test at 250 mA g\textsuperscript{−1} for 200 cycles. As shown in Figures 4d and 4e, the capacity retention was 36.89%, with a coulombic efficiency of 99.58% for ZnHCF/MWCNTs. On the other hand, ZnHCF films presented capacity retention of 0.87% and the coulombic efficiency equal to 92.55%. The high values of coulombic efficiency indicate that both films present good reversibility, however, the ZnHCF/MWCNTs films showed better results in terms of cycling stability. Considering the electrochemical data, it is reasonable to deduce that the charge/discharge performance of ZnHCF/MWCNTs is positively correlated with the presence of MWCNTs. Thus, it is assumed that CNT networks facilitate the diffusion of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} ions in the ZnHCF structure, providing high discharge rate capability.

Aiming to construct a full two electrodes aqueous zinc-ion battery the ZnHCF and ZnHCF/MWCNTs films were investigated as the cathodes and a zinc plate as the anode.

Figure 3. SEM images of the film: (a, b) ZnHCF and (c-e) ZnHCF/MWCNTs.
The typical voltammograms of two individual electrodes at a scan rate of 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) are shown in Figure S2 (SI section). The electrochemical performance of a battery relies on the following two electrochemical processes (equations 2 and 3):\(^{21}\)

\[
\text{Cathode: } \text{Zn}_3[Fe(CN)_6]_2 + x\text{Zn}^{2+} + 2xe^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}_{3+x}[Fe(CN)]_6 \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Anode: } x\text{Zn} \rightleftharpoons x\text{Zn}^{2+} + 2xe^- \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1) \tag{3}
\]

As shown in Figure S2 (SI section), the redox peaks at around 0.0 V (vs. Zn/Zn\(^{2+}\)) for Zn plate electrode are ascribed to the reversible dissolution/deposition of Zn, whereas the redox peaks for the ZnHCF film are located at 1.86/1.55 V and for the ZnHCF/MWCNTs film appear at ca. 1.87 and 1.55 V vs. Zn/Zn\(^{2+}\); these, in turn, are related to the insertion/extraction of Zn\(^{2+}\) in the structure of ZnHCF. The zinc ion battery (ZIB) containing ZnHCF/MWCNTs as cathode material shows good rate capability, achieving a capacity of 25.81 mA h g\(^{-1}\), with capacity retention and coulombic efficiency values of 55.77 and 100%, respectively (Figure 5). These results reveal good reversibility and a significant improvement in the values of capacity retention compared to the three-electrode system. In contrast, the ZnHCF film showed no improvement in electrochemical performance when used as cathodic material in ZIB, presenting a capacity of 3.28 mA h g\(^{-1}\).
with capacity retention and coulombic efficiency values of 3.21 and 100%, respectively (Figures 5a-5b).

Despite the electrochemical performance of aqueous zinc-ion battery based in ZnHCF/MWCNTs films is below the other aqueous metal-ions batteries as shown in Table 1, the good reversibility, high potential difference and physics characteristics of the material prepared in this work make it possible to manufacture transparent and lightweight storage devices in the film form, besides that, our battery become a low-cost, safe, and environmentally friendly alternative to traditional technology.51-53 In addition, the ZnHCF/MWCNTs film as cathode presents superior electrochemical properties compared with ZnHCF film, especially due MWCNTs network, which contributes to enhancing conductivity inside and between ZnHCF particles, favoring the electrode structural integrity.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we investigated structural, spectroscopic, morphological and electrochemical properties of the ZnHCF and ZnHCF/MWCNTs thin films prepared by

**Table 1.** Comparison of the cathode performance for Zn ion batteries based on PBAs

| Cathode     | Electrode form | Electrolyte | Capacity / (mA h g⁻¹) | Cycling stability/cycles* | Reference |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| NiHCF       | slurry         | aqueous     | 76.2                   | 81%/1000 (500 mA g⁻¹)     | 7         |
| CuHCF       | slurry         | aqueous     | 56                     | 96.3%/100 (60 mA g⁻¹)     | 48        |
| ZnHCF       | slurry         | aqueous     | 65.4                   | 81%/100 (300 mA g⁻¹)      | 21        |
| FeHCF       | slurry         | aqueous     | 120                    | 30%/50 (10 mA g⁻¹)        | 49        |
| NiHCF       | powder         | organic     | 55.6                   | 90%/35 (0.2 C)            | 50        |
| ZnHCF/MWCNTs| film           | aqueous     | 25.81                  | 56%/200 (250 mA g⁻¹)      | this work |

HCF: hexacyanoferrate; MWCNT: multi-walled carbon nanotube. *Cycling stability/cycles according to specific current (in parentheses).
the interfacial method. This nanocomposite was used as a cathode material for rechargeable aqueous zinc ion battery. The results demonstrated the presence of MWCNTs improves and extends the properties of ZnHCF, in addition to influencing the structure and morphology of the hexacyanoferrate particles. In a general analysis, the results presented the ZnHCF/MWCNTs thin films cathode is highly reversible in ZnCl₂ aqueous electrolyte with Zn anode. This aqueous rechargeable zinc-ion battery based on ZnHCF/MWCNTs thin film as cathode exhibits a rate capability and capacity retention of 55.77% after 200 cycles, values much higher than those obtained for ZnHCF single component. The present work may provide a new alternative related to storage devices, achieving transparency and lightweight.

**Supplementary Information**

Supplementary data are available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.
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