Premammillary artery infarction after microsurgical clipping of unruptured posterior communicating artery aneurysm: risk factors and surgical and anatomical considerations

Jong Min Lee1 · Joon Ho Byun1 · Seungjoo Lee1 · Eun Suk Park2 · Jung Cheol Park1 · Jae Sung Ahn1 · Wonhyoung Park1

Received: 27 October 2021 / Revised: 16 December 2021 / Accepted: 5 January 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract
Posterior communicating artery (PCoA) aneurysm is common and sometimes requires microsurgery; however, as data on premammillary artery (PMA) infarction after clipping is scarce, we retrospectively reviewed cases of post-clipping PMA infarction to analyze incidence, independent risk factors of infarction, and anatomical considerations. Data from 569 consecutive patients who underwent microsurgical clipping for unruptured PCoA aneurysm between January 2008 and December 2020 were included. Patients were categorized into the normal or the PMA infarction group. Statistical analyses and comparisons between the two groups were used to determine the influence of various factors. The normal group included 515 patients while the PMA infarction group had 31. The mean length of hospital stay was significantly longer in the PMA infarction group (10.3 ± 9.1 days) than in the normal group (6.5 ± 6.4 days; p < 0.0001). The distribution of Glasgow Outcome Scale at discharge was significantly different between the two groups (p ≤ 0.0001) but was not so at 6 months after discharge (p = 0.0568). Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified aneurysm size (odds ratio [OR], 1.194; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.08–1.32; p = 0.0005) and medial direction of aneurysm (OR, 4.615; 95% CI, 1.224–17.406; p = 0.0239) as independent risk factors of post-clipping PMA infarction. Surgeons must beware of PMA infarction after clipping of large aneurysms that are medial in direction. Intraoperative verification of the patency of the PCoA and the PMA from various angles using various intraoperative methods can reduce morbidity due to PMA infarction.

Keywords Intracranial aneurysm · Posterior communicating artery aneurysm · Clipping · Infarction

Introduction
Aneurysms originating at or near the posterior communicating artery (PCoA) are one of the most common types of intracranial aneurysms [30, 31], and recently, many such aneurysms are being treated endovascularly due to significant developments such as stents for coil embolization and flow diverters. Concurrently, challenging cases that are difficult to treat endovascularly include aneurysms that are large in size, have a broad neck, or are incorporated in the PCoA, especially fetal-type PCoA. Characteristics of these PCoA aneurysms, which often necessitate the use of complex endovascular techniques and devices, such as multiple microcatheters, stents, balloon, and even flow diverters, render them susceptible to incomplete treatment and result in higher recurrence rate, greater thromboembolic risk, and retreatment. Nevertheless, in these aneurysms, microsurgery represents a useful treatment modality with many advantages, and attention must be paid to not only to complete obliteration of the aneurysm but also to preservation of the parent artery and the perforating arteries. Notable vascular structures during PCoA aneurysm surgery are the anterior choroidal artery, the PCoA itself, and perforating arteries arising from the PCoA, i.e., the anterior thalamoperforating arteries. Among them, the PMA is generally the largest and the most frequently seen perforating branch of the PCoA. The PMA, also called as the tuberothalamic artery, supplies the posterior hypothalamus, the mammillothalamic...
tract, the anterior thalamus (nucleus ventralis anterior, ventrolateral and dorsomedial nucleus, and reticular nucleus), the anteromedial part of the optic tract, the inferomedial tip of the head of the caudate nucleus, the genu, and a part of the posterior limb of the internal capsule [8, 12]. It is essential to identify and preserve the anatomy of the PCoA and the ante-
rior thalamoperforating arteries for good neurological prognosis, and adequate patient management requires differentiating between symptoms due to other lesions and those caused by a compromised PCoA or its perforators.

Several reports have described PMA infarction after PCoA aneurysm clipping, but they include patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage, and only a few reports are available on outcomes after microsurgical clipping for unruptured PCoA aneurysm. Therefore, we retrospectively reviewed cases of PMA infarction after microsurgical clipping of unruptured PCoA aneurysm to analyze its incidence, independent risk factors, and anatomical considerations.

Material and methods

The study protocol and the retrospective review of medical records were approved by the institutional review board of the Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea (S2021-0693–0001).

Study population

Between January 2008 to December 2020, 8006 patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysm were treated in our institution. Of these, 2991 were treated endovascularly while 5015 patients underwent transcranial surgery. From these, we included 569 consecutive patients who underwent micro-
surgical clipping for unruptured PCoA aneurysm. Clinical and radiological characteristics of the patients and the unruptured PCoA aneurysms were retrospectively reviewed using the institutional Electronic Medical Records (EMR) database, picture archiving, and communication systems.

In patients without a definite PCoA upon transfemoral cerebral angiography (TFCA) examination, those with a small branch around the aneurysm neck, consistent with hypoplastic PCoA or PMA, were included [8, 21]. We excluded patients (1) with subarachnoid hemorrhage, (2) who did not undergo direct aneurysmal neck clipping such as wrapping, coagulation, or bypass, and (3) without proper radiological follow-up for more than 6 months after surgery.

Clinical and radiological data collection and evaluation

Information on age, sex, past medical history, including smoking status, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and previous stroke history, was obtained through the EMR database. Previous stroke was defined as cerebral infarction observed on MR or computed tomography (CT) study before surgery.

All patients underwent preoperative computed tomography angiography (CTA) and transfemoral cerebral angiography (TFCA) to determine the morphological characteristics of the aneurysm. Aneurysm size was defined as maximal dome size on three-dimensionally reconstructed images. CTA scans were performed with a slice thickness of 0.5 mm and were acquired as axial images. Based on thin section CTA images or the 3-dimensional images, a line parallel to the midline was drawn at the junction of the internal carotid artery (ICA) and the PCoA. Another line perpendicular to previous line was drawn horizontally at the junction of the ICA and the PCoA. Next, the third and the fourth lines, which equally divided the angle between the parallel and the perpendicular lines, were drawn posterolaterally and posterioromedially (Fig. 1). Based on these third and fourth lines, a projection of the aneurysm was categorized as being in the posterior, lateral, or medial direction. Fetal-type PCoA was defined as that which has a diameter that is either identical to or larger than the P1 segment [10]. The hypoplastic type was defined as when PCoA was not apparent on angiography. All others were classified as the adult type [7]. To minimize bias, the type of PCoA and direction of the aneurysm were determined by a neurosurgeon and a neuroradiologist who were unrelated to this study.

Fig. 1 Three-dimensional computed tomography image, superior view. Third and fourth lines (dotted line) equally divide the angle between the parallel and the perpendicular lines (solid line). Direction of the posterior communicating artery (PCoA) aneurysm was categorized as lateral, posterior, or medial, based on the quadrants separated by dotted lines. The representative aneurysm (white arrow) presented here is medial in direction.
All patients who underwent microsurgical clipping were evaluated using CT and CTA immediately after surgery. In the absence of a specific neurological deficit, all patients were assessed by brain CT on day 3 after surgery. If additional postoperative angiographic evaluation was needed in cases with multiple aneurysms or a large aneurysm, if clear identification of residual lesions or parent arteries upon CTA was difficult due to artifacts secondary to the presence of multiple clips, if complications such as infarction or hemorrhage occurred, or if neurological symptoms that could not be explained by CTA appeared after surgery, TFCA was optionally performed on day 3 after surgery. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI) was performed to confirm cerebral infarction in all patients with a neurologic deficit after surgery. In asymptomatic patients, postoperative infarction was defined as a low-density area compatible with a specific vascular supply territory on CT acquired on the day 3 after surgery (Fig. 2).

**Surgical management strategy and outcome assessment**

Clipping procedures were performed by three certified attending surgeons with key concept of approaches and surgical strategies shared among the surgeons. Conventional frontotemporal craniotomies and pterional approaches were used in all cases. The YASARGIL aneurysm clip system (Aesculap AG&Co., Tuttlingen, Germany) was employed in all patients. Given the effect of clip placement on the parent artery, data from patients provided a standard/mini clip or a fenestration clip were analyzed separately (Figs. 3 and 4). If proximal control seemed difficult, exposure of the cervical ICA or extradural/intradural anterior clinoidectomy was performed [19, 28]. As per institutional norms, temporary clipping did not exceed 5 min. In most cases, patency of distal flow in the parent artery and in the perforators was confirmed using indocyanine green (ICG) video angiography and microvascular doppler, along with simultaneous monitoring somatosensory evoked potentials (SEPs) and motor evoked potentials (MEPs). As intraoperative evoked potential (EP) monitoring was introduced only in 2012, MEP/SEP could be monitored only in 465 of the 569 patients (81.7%). All patients were evaluated at the time of admission, at discharge, and at 6 months after discharge using the Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS). The primary end point of this study was postoperative PMA infarction. Secondary end points were duration of hospitalization and GOS scores.

**Statistical analysis**

We intended to analyze how a patient’s baseline characteristics, aneurysm factors including size and direction, type of posterior communicating artery (fetal, hypoplastic, or adult type), use of a fenestration clip, intraoperative rupture, location and extent of cerebral infarction, hospital stay, and GOS at discharge and 6 months after surgery, affected postoperative infarction occurrence. For accurate statistical analysis of the factors affecting the occurrence of a PMA infarction, patients were divided into a normal group and a PMA infarction group; the latter group comprised patients with a postoperative infarction in the PMA area. We investigated statistically significant differences between the two groups for the variables described. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies with percentages, while continuous variables used mean and standard deviation. Student’s t-test was used for continuous variables, whereas chi-squared and Fisher’s exact tests were used for categorical variables. We used a multiple logistic regression model with significant variables from univariate analyses to identify independent predictors of postoperative PMA infarction. In cases with a fenestration clip, hemodynamic infarction due to ICA narrowing may be induced regardless of PMA infarction. Furthermore, because larger aneurysms tend to require more fenestration clips, they were excluded from the multiple regression model. Variables identified as significant during univariate analysis were inserted into a backward stepwise logistic regression model to identify variables that were independently associated with infarction. All reported p values are two sided, with p < 0.05 considered statistically significant. Statistical
analyses were performed using SAS package (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, USA).

Results

Among the 569 patients (mean age, 60.1 ± 8.9 years) included in this study, 54 patients (9.4%) showed radiographical complications on follow-up, with 10 cases of traction injury (1.7%), 1 case of hemorrhage complication (0.1%), and 43 cases of ischemic complications (7.5%). Next, among the 43 patients with ischemic complications, 31 had a PMA infarction (5.4%), while the other 12 patients comprised 2 cases of middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory infarction after concomitant MCA aneurysm clipping, 2 cases of hemodynamic infarction caused by narrowing of the ICA due to the use of a fenestration clip, 4 cases of anterior choroidal artery infarction, 2 cases of recurrent artery infarction because of concomitant anterior communicating artery aneurysm clipping, and 2 cases of embolic infarction. We divided patients into two groups, viz., the normal group and the PMA infarction group. For accurate comparison, we excluded 23 patients with traction injury, postoperative hemorrhagic complications, and infarction in an artery other than the PMA (recurrent artery of Heubner, MCA territory, hemodynamic infarction, anterior choroidal artery, and embolic infarction). Thus, there were 515 cases in the normal group and 31 in the PMA infarction group.
Fig. 4 Images and clinical course of a patient who underwent microsurgical clipping of an unruptured left posterior communicating artery (PCoA) aneurysm using fenestration clips. a Preoperative transfemoral cerebral angiography (TFCA), 3-dimensional image shows an unruptured left posterior communicating artery (PCoA) aneurysm. b Intraoperative photograph. After extradural anterior clinoid process removal, internal carotid artery (ICA) (black arrowhead), optic nerve (white arrowhead), PCoA aneurysm (white arrow), and resected distal dural ring (black arrows) are shown. There is also atherosclerotic change in ICA. c Intraoperative photograph after clipping. Aneurysm was clipped using two fenestration clips. One day after surgery, the patient suffered sudden right hemiparesis. d, e, Diffusion-weighted imaging revealed multifocal diffusion restriction lesions on the watershed zone of deep white matter, suggesting hemodynamic infarction. f Perfusion image confirmed delayed mean transit time in the left hemisphere. g Postoperative TFCA 3-dimensional imaging shows complete obliteration of the aneurysm. However, note the narrowed ICA. The gap between the clip blade and the internal lumen is most likely due to the atherosclerosis of the ICA.
Baseline characteristics of the included cases are summarized in Table 1. Mean age in the PMA group was 63.1 ± 6.4 years and was significantly greater than that of the normal group (59.8 ± 9.1 years; \( p = 0.011 \)). There was no significant difference between the two groups in male: female ratio or the prevalence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, smoking, stroke history, aneurysm location, or intraoperative rupture. Mean length of hospital stay was 10.3 ± 9.1 days in the PMA infarction group and was significantly longer than that of the normal group (6.5 ± 6.4 days; \( p < 0.0001 \)). Aneurysm size was also significantly larger in the PMA group (4.9 ± 2.4 mm vs 7.3 ± 4.7 mm, \( p < 0.0001 \)).

In the normal group, adult type of PCoA was predominant (42.7%), whereas in the PMA infarction group, hypoplastic PCoA was most frequently seen (42%). Medial direction was most frequently seen in the PMA group compared to the normal group (19.3% vs 3.7%), and while GOS at discharge was significantly different between the two groups (\( p = <0.0001 \)), it was not so at 6 months after discharge (\( p = 0.0568 \)).

Details of patients with PMA infarction are summarized in Table 2. Of these, 9 patients (9/31; 29%) showed postoperative neurological symptoms, while 22 (22/31; 71%) had no specific neurologic symptoms. Motor weakness was observed in four patients (patients no. 2, 8, 17, 27), and of these, patient 2 had undergone simultaneous clipping of an anterior communicating artery aneurysm; thus, we hypothesized that the weakness was due to damage at the recurrent artery of Heubner. The other three patients had an infarction in the posterior limb of the internal capsule. In the case of patient no. 17, who showed persistent MEP changes during EP monitoring, confirming the PCoA origin was difficult due to its large size and posteromedial direction. In addition, an ICA tear occurred during surgery, which was repaired using a fenestration clip, but PCoA patency could not be secured. For this reason, we believe that the MEP abnormalities and postoperative neurological deficit occurred (Fig. 5).

Postoperative PMA infarction occurred in 5 of the 87 patients (5.7%) who had undergone microsurgical clipping before EP monitoring was introduced. Similarly, postoperative PMA infarction occurred in 26 of 459 (5.6%) patients who had undergone clipping after EP monitoring was introduced, and there was no significant difference in the incidence of PMA infarction between the group that underwent and did not undergo EP monitoring (\( p = 0.9 \)).

Univariate analysis found aneurysm size, use of fenestration clip, type of PCoA, and aneurysm direction to be significant variables. Intraoperative rupture had no statistically significant effect on PMA infarction (\( p = 0.625 \)) (Table 3). Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified only size of aneurysm (odds ratio [OR], 1.194; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.08–1.32; \( p = 0.0005 \)) and medial direction aneurysm (OR, 4.615; 95% CI, 1.224–17.406; \( p = 0.0239 \)) as independent

| Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study cohort |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Group   | Normal (\( N=515 \)) | PMA infarction (\( N=31 \)) | \( p \) |
| Age     | 59.8 ± 9.1 | 63.1 ± 6.4 | 0.011   |
| Sex     | Female 446 (86.6%) | 29 (93.5%) | 0.400   |
|         | Male 69 (13.4%) | 2 (6.5%) |         |
| Hypertension | No 248 (48.2%) | 15 (48.4%) | 1.000   |
|         | Yes 267 (51.8%) | 16 (51.6%) |         |
| Diabetes | No 462 (89.7%) | 26 (83.9%) | 0.469   |
|         | Yes 53 (10.3%) | 5 (16.1%) |         |
| Hyperlipidemia | No 397 (77%) | 24 (77.4%) | 1.000   |
|         | Yes 118 (23%) | 7 (22.6%) |         |
| Smoking | No 474 (92.0%) | 30 (96.8%) | 0.539   |
|         | Yes 41 (8.0%) | 1 (3.2%) |         |
| Stroke history | No 493 (95.7%) | 31 (100.0%) | 0.481   |
|         | Yes 22 (4.3%) | 0 (0.0%) |         |
| Length of hospital stay (day) | 6.5 ± 2.6 | 10.3 ± 9.1 | <0.0001 |
| Location | Right 246 (47.7%) | 11 (35.5%) | 0.252   |
|         | Left 269 (52.3%) | 20 (64.5%) |         |
| Size (mm) | 4.9 ± 2.4 | 7.3 ± 4.7 | <0.0001 |
| PCoA type | -Adult 220 (42.7%) | 10 (32.2%) | 0.0371  |
|         | -Fetal 182 (35.3%) | 8 (25.8%) |         |
|         | -Hypoplasia 113 (21.9%) | 13 (41.9%) |         |
| Aneurysm direction | -Lateral 140 (27.1%) | 6 (19.3%) | 0.0002  |
|         | -Medial 19 (3.7%) | 6 (19.3%) |         |
|         | -Posterior 356 (69.1%) | 19 (61.3%) |         |
| Using fenestration clip | No 488 (94.8%) | 23 (74.2%) | <0.001  |
|         | Yes 27 (5.2%) | 8 (25.8%) |         |
| Intraoperative rupture | No 505 (98.1%) | 30 (96.8%) | 1.000   |
|         | Yes 10 (1.9%) | 1 (3.2%) |         |
| GOS at discharge | 0 (0%) | 2 (6.5%) | <0.0001 |
|         | 1 (3.2%) | 5 (16.1%) |         |
|         | 5 (100%) | 24 (77.4%) |         |
| GOS at 6 months | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0.0568  |
|         | 1 (3.2%) | 1 (3.2%) |         |
|         | 5 (100%) | 30 (96.8%) |         |

Abbreviations: PMA, premammillary artery; PCoA, posterior communicating artery; GOS, Glasgow outcome scale.
### Table 2: Summary of cases with PMA infarction after PCoA aneurysm clipping

| Patient no | Age/sex | Aneurysm size (mm) | Aneurysm direction | Territory of ischemia | Ischemic symptom | EP change | GOS at discharge | GOS at 6 months |
|------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1          | 66/F    | 7.6               | Posterior         | Hypothalamus         | None            | Not performed | 4               | 5              |
| 2          | 59/F    | 9.0               | Medial            | Hypothalamus, head of caudate nucleus | Hemiparesis | Not performed | 5               | 5              |
| 3          | 60/F    | 22.0              | Posterior         | Hypothalamus         | None            | Not performed | 5               | 5              |
| 4          | 71/F    | 10.8              | Posterior         | Inferior caudate nucleus head | Confusion | Not performed | 4               | 5              |
| 5          | 66/F    | 7.8               | Posterior         | Anterior thalamus    | None            | Not performed | 5               | 5              |
| 6          | 67/F    | 3.6               | Medial            | Anterior thalamus    | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 7          | 73/M    | 5.4               | Posterior         | Posterior limb of internal capsule | Hemiparesis (POD 3) | None        | 4               | 5              |
| 8          | 62/F    | 3.8               | Medial            | Anterior thalamus, genu and posterior limb of internal capsule | Right upper limb weakness | None | 4               | 5              |
| 9          | 57/F    | 12.9              | Posterior         | Anterior thalamus    | None            | Transient MEP change | 5          | 5              |
| 10         | 60/F    | 19.4              | Medial            | Basal ganglia        | Confusion       | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 11         | 58/F    | 5.1               | Posterior         | Anterior thalamus    | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 12         | 68/F    | 4.8               | Posterior         | Anterior thalamus    | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 13         | 54/F    | 3.8               | Posterior         | Anterior thalamus    | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 14         | 59/F    | 3.7               | Posterior         | Hypothalamus, anterior thalamus | Confusion | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 15         | 57/F    | 4.0               | Lateral           | Anterior thalamus    | None            | Transient MEP, SEP change | 5         | 5              |
| 16         | 61/M    | 7.6               | Posterior         | Hypothalamus         | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 17         | 74/F    | 15.3              | Posterior         | Anterior thalamus, genu and posterior limb of internal capsule | Hemiparesis | Persistent MEP change | 3      | 5              |
| 18         | 60/F    | 8.9               | Posterior         | Genu of internal capsule | None        | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 19         | 57/F    | 2.7               | Posterior         | Genu of internal capsule | None        | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 20         | 64/F    | 7.1               | Medial            | Anterior thalamus    | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 21         | 72/F    | 5.8               | Lateral           | Anterior thalamus    | Confusion       | None        | 4               | 5              |
| 22         | 59/F    | 9.7               | Lateral           | Genu of internal capsule | None        | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 23         | 64/F    | 7.2               | Lateral           | Genu of internal capsule | None        | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 24         | 66/F    | 3.3               | Posterior         | Hypothalamus         | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 25         | 62/F    | 4.9               | Posterior         | Hypothalamus         | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 26         | 61/F    | 14.1              | Posterior         | Anterior thalamus    | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 27         | 72/F    | 7.8               | Medial            | Anterior thalamus, genu and posterior limb of internal capsule | Hemiparesis | None        | 3               | 4              |
| 28         | 74/F    | 5.0               | Lateral           | Genu of internal capsule | None        | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 29         | 46/F    | 3.7               | Posterior         | Genu of internal capsule | None        | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 30         | 63/F    | 5.0               | Posterior         | Hypothalamus         | None            | None        | 5               | 5              |
| 31         | 63/F    | 8.2               | Lateral           | Hypothalamus, anterior thalamus | None        | None        | 5               | 5              |

Abbreviations: PMA, premammillary artery; PCoA, posterior communicating artery; GOS, Glasgow outcome scale; EP, evoked potential; MEP, motor evoked potential; SEP, sensory evoked potential; POD, postoperative day
A 74-year-old woman had an unruptured left posterior communicating artery (PCoA) aneurysm and underwent microsurgical clipping. a There was a significant decrement in the amplitude of motor evoked potential (MEP) after aneurysm neck clipping. During surgery, internal carotid artery (ICA) tear occurred, which was repaired using wrapping clipping. The longest temporary clipping time was approximately 10 min. b A preoperative transfemoral cerebral angiography (TFCA) 3-dimensional image showing an unruptured left PCoA aneurysm with fetal-type PCoA. c A postoperative TFCA 3-dimensional image showing complete obliteration of the aneurysm, but PCoA patency could not be secured. d Diffusion-weighted imaging was performed due to postoperative hemiparesis. A diffusion image showing diffusion restriction lesions on the anterior thalamus, genu, and posterior limb of the internal capsule. e In contrast to the patient shown in Fig. 4, no perfusion delay due to fenestration clips was observed in this patient.
Table 3 Univariate analysis of factors that show significant difference between normal and PMA group

| Univariate | OR  | 95% CI  | p-value |
|------------|-----|---------|---------|
| Age        | 1.044 | 1 | 1.092 | 0.0516 |
| Aneurysm size | 1.244 | 1.135 | 1.364 | <.0001 |
| Location   | Right  | 0.601 | 0.282 | 1.281 | 0.1873 |
| Use of fenestration clip | 6.287 | 2.574 | 15.354 | <.0001 |
| Intraoperative rupture | 1.683 | 0.209 | 13.587 | 0.625 |
| PCoA type  | Adult  | 0.0451 |
| Aneurysm direction | Medial | 7.368 | 2.156 | 25.18 | 0.0014 |
| Fetal      | 0.967 | 0.374 | 2.501 | 0.9449 |
| Hypoplasia | 2.531 | 1.076 | 5.952 | 0.0333 |
| Posterior  | 1.245 | 0.487 | 3.183 | 0.6468 |
| Lateral    | 1     | 0.0015 |

The bold represents a statistically significant values

Table 4 Multivariate analysis of factors related to PMA infarction after clipping

| Univariate | OR  | 95% CI  | p-value |
|------------|-----|---------|---------|
| Size       | 1.194 | 1 | 1.32 | 0.0005 |
| Direction  | 4.615 | 1.224 | 17.406 | 0.0239 |
| -medial    | 1.255 | 0.48 | 3.281 | 0.6434 |
| -posterior | 1     |  0.0507 |

"Backward elimination method"

Only a few reports have described PMA infarction after PCoA aneurysm clipping. Even though Tanabe et al. have reported outcomes from 183 patients who had undergone microsurgical clipping of a PCoA aneurysm, a majority of these patients (60.1%) presented with subarachnoid hemorrhage, which is in contrast to the patients in our cohort. Furthermore, of the 183 patients who underwent PCoA aneurysm clipping in that study, 22 patients (12%) suffered infarction in a perforator originating from the PCoA [29], and among unruptured PCoA aneurysm patients, 2 out of 73 patients (2.7%) experienced perforator infarction after clipping. This small sample size of the perforator infarction group precluded detailed analysis of associated risk factors. Also, in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage, infarction may occur due to vasospasm secondary to hemorrhage, rather than other factors such as aneurysm characteristics, PCoA type, or clipping strategy; hence, it is difficult to clearly determine the risk factors of PMA infarction after clipping of unruptured PCoA aneurysm from the study of Tanabe et al. In our cohort, the overall incidence of PMA infarction after microsurgical clipping for unruptured PCoA aneurysm was about 5%, and among them, while 22 patients were asymptomatic, five showed postoperative motor weakness and four patients had postoperative confusion; thus, the incidence of symptomatic PMA infarction was about 1.5%. Furthermore, symptomatic patients tended to have a wider infarction area with corticospinal tract involvement.

Risk factors of PMA territory infarction after clipping of an unruptured aneurysm at the origin of PCoA (Table 4).

Discussion

The PCoA arises from the posteromedial surface of the communicating segment of ICA and courses medially and inferiorly such that it bisects the ICA into an ophthalmic segment and a communicating segment. Typically, 2–14 perforators arise from the PCoA during its course [8, 21], and such arteries are called the anterior thalamoperforating arteries. Among them, the PMA is generally the largest and the most frequently seen perforating branch that penetrates the paramedian perforating substance, which is a triangular space limited by the mammillary body and tuber cinereum medially, the optic tract anterolaterally, and the cerebral peduncle posterolaterally [8, 26]. PMA, also called as the tuberothalamic artery, is known to supply the posterior hypothalamus, the mammillothalamic tract, the anterior thalamus (nucleus ventralis anterior, ventrolateral

and dorsomedial nucleus, and reticular nucleus), the anteromedial part of the optic tract, the inferomedial tip of the head of the caudate nucleus, the genu, and a part of the posterior limb of the internal capsule [8, 12]. Furthermore, it is known that the PMA originates from the superior and lateral surfaces of the PCoA, that it courses superiorly, laterally, and posteriorly, and that the majority of the PMAs originate from the middle segment of PCoA [1, 8, 12, 20, 21].

Only a few reports have described PMA infarction after PCoA aneurysm clipping. Even though Tanabe et al. have reported outcomes from 183 patients who had undergone microsurgical clipping of a PCoA aneurysm, a majority of these patients (60.1%) presented with subarachnoid hemorrhage, which is in contrast to the patients in our cohort. Furthermore, of the 183 patients who underwent PCoA aneurysm clipping in that study, 22 patients (12%) suffered infarction in a perforator originating from the PCoA [29], and among unruptured PCoA aneurysm patients, 2 out of 73 patients (2.7%) experienced perforator infarction after clipping. This small sample size of the perforator infarction group precluded detailed analysis of associated risk factors. Also, in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage, infarction may occur due to vasospasm secondary to hemorrhage, rather than other factors such as aneurysm characteristics, PCoA type, or clipping strategy; hence, it is difficult to clearly determine the risk factors of PMA infarction after clipping of unruptured PCoA aneurysm from the study of Tanabe et al. In our cohort, the overall incidence of PMA infarction after microsurgical clipping for unruptured PCoA aneurysm was about 5%, and among them, while 22 patients were asymptomatic, five showed postoperative motor weakness and four patients had postoperative confusion; thus, the incidence of symptomatic PMA infarction was about 1.5%. Furthermore, symptomatic patients tended to have a wider infarction area with corticospinal tract involvement. Presumably, in cases of asymptomatic infarction, blood vessels other than the PMA, such as the lenticulostriate artery or the anterior choroidal artery, form anastomoses with the internal capsule or basal ganglia, implying a relatively smaller extent of infarction. Additionally, while Tanabe et al. excluded patients treated with a fenestration clip for an aneurysm with the fundus projecting posteromedially, we show that medial aneurysm direction and large size significantly increased risk of infarction.

Generally, during microsurgical clipping of a PCoA aneurysm, the origin of the PCoA is often difficult to see and only the knuckle of the artery proximal to the aneurysm neck can be seen. Furthermore, if the origin of PCoA is low, it is difficult to expose the entire neck in the surgical field of a general pterional approach. The origin of the PCoA is also difficult to identify in some cases; in such cases, an anterior clinoidectomy or cutting of the anterior petroclinoid
ligament is performed, as needed. We prefer an extradural anterior clinoidectomy because it permits easier identification of the anatomical orientation, has a shorter procedure time, and can protect intradural structures [32, 33]. Because of the efforts to secure the proximal vessel and observe the whole aneurysm aspect and the active use of a temporary clip, intraoperative rupture did not have a significant effect on the occurrence of PMA infarction after surgery, and these results are similar to those of Tanabe et al. [27].

In the PCoA aneurysm with medial direction, the origin of PCoA tends to be located more posteriorly and medially. And in these patients, the origin of PCoA, also known as the “knuckle,” is almost invisible, and the origin of the PMA is almost hidden by the ICA (Fig. 6). Nevertheless, the origin of the PMA can be verified by carefully rotating the ICA after temporary clipping (Fig. 7). However, if the aneurysm is large or there is atherosclerosis in the proximal artery, special caution is needed when using this technique. In patients with a large aneurysm or atherosclerosis in the proximal artery, premature aneurysmal rupture or parent artery dissection can also occur. Hence, in large aneurysms that are medial in direction, the surgeon applies the clip by...
targeting the area presumed to be the neck of the aneurysm; however, as the origin cannot be clearly identified, both PCoA and PMA flow from the ICA may be occluded or stenosis may occur. Additionally, the course of the PCoA often coincides with the direction of the aneurysm, which may cause the PCoA and the aneurysm to adhere, and if clipping is performed without complete dissection, PCoA torsion will occur, resulting in perforator infarction. Therefore, it is important to ensure patency through various spaces even if the surgeon judges that the clip has been accurately placed. Flow should also be checked near the neck of the aneurysm and in the opticocarotid triangle because the patency of PCoA can be checked using doppler and ICG. However, doppler measurement results may vary depending on arterial diameter, vessel wall thickness, and probe-vessel angle. Additionally, even if flow is seen during ICG video angiography, it may actually be backflow from the posterior cerebral artery, implying that the results from these methods alone cannot guarantee efficient blood supply to all the perforating arteries of the PCoA [5]. When unsure of the relationship between the tip of the blade and perforators, the surgeon can alternatively use an endoscope [6, 11, 13, 22]. Yoshioka et al. have suggested that the endoscope can reveal the medial aspect of the aneurysm and enable perforator dissection, even at dead angles of the microscope, during PCoA aneurysm surgery [34].

Surgeons often use the curved clip or the j-shaped clip for complete occlusion of a PCoA aneurysm as the slight curve of the clip helps eliminate a “dog-ear” adjacent to the ICA [17]. However, in patients with a medial aneurysm, the use of a curved clip is likely to be a factor in the occlusion of the PCoA or the PMA. A fenestration clip is sometimes used when the aneurysm is large and the aneurysm sac is oriented in the posterior medial direction, i.e., opposite to the surgical field of view. Importantly, greater attention is required in such cases. If the parent artery displays atherosclerotic change, the diameter of the ICA itself, as well as that of the PCoA origin, may be narrowed after clipping. Occasionally, the origin of the anterior choroidal artery is also narrowed and a wide range of ischemic insults may occur (Fig. 4).

SEP evaluation during clipping surgery monitors the dorsal column pathway responsible for proprioception and vibration sensation among somatosensory sensations and it is sensitive enough to detect changes in the motor nervous system [14]. However, as SEP monitors the posterolateral tract of the thalamus, it is possible that infarctions in the anterior thalamus may not be apparent intraoperatively. Thus, we hypothesized that SEP change would not have been observed in patients, except for patient no. 15, who only showed a transient SEP change. In contrast, intraoperative MEP monitoring can detect neurophysiological changes in the motor cortex and in corticospinal tract function, and because monitoring changes in sensory function is more difficult than evaluating changes in motor function, surgeons usually pay attention to motor function monitoring during aneurysm surgery. In our cohort, two patients (no. 8 and no. 27) showed false-negative results during EP monitoring and we have previously reported the incidence of false positive cases (0.99%) and false-negative cases (0.53%) [3]. Mechanisms underlying false-negative EP observations include (1) direct stimulation of deeper structures within the subcortical motor pathway that bypass the ischemic lesion, (2) motor weakness from a lesion that is not located in the corticospinal pathway, and (3) motor weakness without a neurophysiological change in the axons of the corticospinal pathway.

**Fig. 7** Schematic drawing of the rotation technique with a temporary clip. a The posterior communicating artery (PCoA) origin is hidden by the internal carotid artery (ICA). A temporary clip was applied to the ICA as a lever. b A slight rotation of the temporary clip can expose the PCoA origin.
An infarction in the anterior thalamus, which is mainly supplied by the PMA, can lead to abulia, apathy, decrease in general intelligence, impairment of anterograde memory, and disorientation [9, 18, 25]. This could have contributed to the observed increase in the length of hospitalization in the PMA infarction group. Furthermore, depending on individual anatomical variations, only a part of the internal capsule could be supplied, which may manifest as a specific neurologic deficit and a significant difference in GOS at discharge. However, as the results of this study showed no significant difference in GOS at 6 months, permanent morbidity due to PMA infarction could be less serious than other perforator injuries, such as an anterior choroidal artery or lenticulostriate artery, probably because the PMA can supply a portion of the anterior choroidal territorial or lenticulostriate artery territory, which can then form collaterals with the existing anterior choroidal artery or the lenticulostriate artery. However, apart from prominent motor deficits such as hemiparesis, behavioral symptoms, such as inactivity and depression, persisted in most patients despite adequate rehabilitation. Moreover, disturbances in verbal memory or learning have been reported, which make it difficult to return to work [2, 15].

There are some limitations in our study. First, selection biases inherent to a single-center, retrospective analysis are applicable. Next, the rapid growth of endovascular treatment technology during the 13 years of data collection may have led to differences in enrollment criteria for microsurgical clipping between the beginning and the end of the study. However, overall, about 15% of the 5000 patients who were treated with microsurgical clipping in our center had a PCoA aneurysm. This is similar to the incidence (about 10–15%) of PCoA aneurysms [30, 31], indicating that that selection bias is probably relatively small. Second, given that PMA infarction induces symptoms such as abulia and apathy, rather than weakness, the modified Rankin scale and GOS, which are often used as prognostic evaluations, may not accurately reflect prognosis. Symptoms associated with PMA infarction can be evaluated more objectively using other tools, such as the Mini-Mental state examination, Wechsler adult intelligence scale, apathy evaluation scale [4, 16], and Lille apathy scale [27]. In this study, these evaluations could not be performed due to the lack of records. Third, this study lacked endocrinologic evaluation. There are reports that endocrine failure can be accompanied by hypothalamic–pituitary axis injury in patients with traumatic brain injury [23, 24]. However, no patient with hypothalamic infarction complained of hormonal symptoms or received hormonal replacement in our study. Unlike traumatic brain injury that can diffusely affect the entire brain, hypothalamic infarction after clipping occurs unilaterally, possibly sparing the hypothalamic–pituitary axis. For this reason, it is possible that the hormonal level is normal, or there is a subclinical hormone deficit. However, considering that our center is a tertiary medical center, the possibility that patients received hormonal replacement for hormonal symptoms at another center or clinic cannot be excluded. Thus, multicenter prospective studies with the objective evaluation of outcomes using the abovementioned evaluation tools and endocrinologic evaluation are needed.

Conclusion

PMA infarction can occur after microsurgical clipping of a PCoA aneurysm. As size of the aneurysm and medial direction are risk factors for PMA infarction after clipping of unruptured PCoA aneurysm, meticulous dissection is warranted in such patients, along with thorough patency verification of both the PCoA and the PMA using multiple methods.
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