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Abstract: Objective: To explore the difference of fall prevention knowledge level of nurses working on special care units and general care units in acute hospitals. Methods: Nurses in special care units and general care units from two acute care hospitals were investigated about fall prevention through a cross-sectional survey. The collected data was analyzed by using Lasso Regression Model. Results: Total of 1442 valid questionnaires were conducted including 1028 questionnaires in general care units and 414 questionnaires in special care units respectively. Through analysis by Lasso Regression Model, seven of 17 fall prevention knowledge items were statistically different in nurses working on general care units and special care units, including the definition of a fall (P=0.0001), fall prevention assessment tool currently used (p=0.0053), assessment of patient's balance and activity (p=0.0020), diseases that easily cause patients to fall (p=0.0021), assessment of patient's foot problems (p=0.0068), assessments of the patient's clothing and footwear suitability (p=0.0011), reporting process of falls (p<0.0001). Conclusion: This study showed that nurses in special care units have higher level theoretical knowledge of fall prevention than nurses in general care units, while having lower level practical knowledge of fall prevention. It suggested that Quality & Patient Safety Department in medical institution should make new plans to prevent patients from falling and ensure patient safety.
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1. Introduction

Fall is a serious international public health issue affecting individuals at any age, which is the second leading cause of accidental or unintentional injury deaths worldwide [1]. There are estimated 646,000 individuals dying from falls globally of which over 80% are in low- and middle-income countries every year [1]. In China, with the rapid aging of the population, fall have become the leading cause of injury for the aged over 65 [2]. The consequences of falls are manifold, including physical, psychological, social, economic, and sometimes even fatal in which one third of falls in hospital results in harm of varying degrees [3, 4].

Fall prevention in clinical practice is a complex undertaking that requires the collaboration of multiple disciplines which includes nursing, physicians, environmental services, information technology, patient advocacy, pharmacy, physical and occupational therapy. Although falls prevention is a multidisciplinary task, nurses are the leaders in this initiative. In clinical practice, nurses are in the frontline and are mainly responsible for the assessment of the risk of falls as well as health education of the patients [5]. It requires nurses to be equipped with knowledge and skills of fall prevention to
perform their roles effectively. The majority of the study found focus on the incidence of falls, the risk factors of falls, and fall prevention in patients, which are mainly distributed in the general care units of medical, surgical, obstetrics and pediatrics [6-9]. In the recent years, a few researches have reported the incidence of falls and fall prevention in special care units such as emergency department, intensive care unit and operating room [10–12]. As an important role in clinical practice, nurses’ knowledge directly relates to their attitude towards implementing fall prevention intervention measures. There are some studies investigating about the knowledge of fall prevention of nurses in the hospitals [13, 14], while that of nurses in special care units is rare. Therefore, it is necessary to study about the fall prevention knowledge level of nurses in special care units. The aim of this study was to use the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) Logistic regression model to analyze the difference of fall prevention knowledge level of nurses in special care units and general care units so that to provide reference for Quality & Patient Safety Department in medical institution and to develop specific nurses’ training programs about fall prevention.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and Recruitment

There were 1880 nurses in 2 acute care hospitals of Guangzhou that participants were recruited from a total of 1600 nurses according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: Over 18 years old; licensed nurses providing direct patient care from general care units including medical, surgical, obstetrics & gynecology, pediatric, while special care units including emergency department, intensive care unit, operation room. Exclusion criteria: Nurse administrators or licensed nurses working in nonclinical roles. The Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional Review Board of both hospitals. Recruitment took place from October 20th to November 20th, 2018.

2.2. Study Design

The study used a cross-sectional survey which included fall prevention knowledge of participants and their demographic information such as years of experience, education level and working unit on by questionnaire. No identifiable data was collected. All licensed nurses worked in direct patient care were required to participate in two hospital of China.

2.3. Questionnaire for Fall Prevention Knowledge Development

The questionnaire consisted of 17 items which used closed-ended responses to collect quantitative data, which has been evaluated for reliability and validity [15]. The questionnaire consisted of 17 items which used closed-ended responses to collect quantitative data. The Likert scale was chosen to provide response options for closed-ended items including the familiarity of strongly unfamiliar, unfamiliar, know a little bit, familiar and strongly familiar which were classified as 1 point, 2 point, 3 point, 4 point and 5 point, respectively.

2.4. Data Collection Procedure

Packets for the survey were distributed by nurse managers at the participating agencies and placed it in the locked boxes which were located in each unit nurse station. Each packet contained a cover letter, a demographic information and a fall prevention knowledge questionnaire. There was a poster displayed in the unit nurse station asking for participation. The nurses were instructed via the cover letter to complete the survey on their own time and return to the locked box after finishing. The boxes were emptied every week for two weeks by the first author.

2.5. Basic Principles and Advantages of Lasso Logistic Regression Model Lasso Logistic

In 1996, Lasso method was proposed which was characterized by variable selection and complexity adjustment while fitting the generalized linear model [16]. Lasso regression can be modeled regardless of the nature of the target dependent variable. The basic idea of Lasso regression is to minimize the sum of the squared residuals under the condition that the sum of the absolute values of a regression coefficient is less than a constant, so that the coefficient should be zero to zero, and the corresponding variables are deleted to realize the selection of variables. The Logistic regression model is established by selecting variables based on Lasso method.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All quantitative data was managed using Epi Data 3.1 and statistical analysis was managed by R 3.6.2. The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression analysis was applied to the relationship between nurses’ different care units and their knowledge level of fall prevention. When selecting the optimal Lambda value, relating items of fall prevention were included to analysis and screened by the Logistic regression model, and the nomogram was further constructed. All statistical tests were considered significant with a probability value of less than 0.01 (p < 0.01).

3. Results

3.1. Sample Description

A total of 1600 questionnaires were distributed in two acute care hospitals. 1530 recycling questionnaires were collected and there were 1442 valid questionnaires including 1028 questionnaires in general care units and 414 questionnaires in special care units. Questionnaire-reclaiming efficiency was 94.25%. The participants’ demographic information was presented in Table 1.
There were total of 17 fall prevention knowledge items in this questionnaire. According to general care units and special care units’ nurses working condition, Lasso regression analysis was carried out on the level of nurses’ fall prevention knowledge in order to investigate the items of fall prevention knowledge relating to the influence of nurses’ care units. Using the R software package glmnet, 12 items were examined after 10-fold cross validation when Lambda parameter was selected as the minimum value, i.e. Lambda. min. 1 respectively. Lambda. min value of the simplest model obtained in a variance range of lambda to add a standard error. The optimal lambda. 1se value is 0.01224 and the entries into the model are items 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17 in this study. The Area Under Curve (AUC) was plotted by Lasso analysis and prediction probability which is shown in Figure 1A. Each curve in Figure 1B represents the trajectory of the coefficients of each entry. The y-coordinate is the value that the mean value of the minimum target parameter which is obtained among all lambda values. Lambda. 1se is the lambda. min value of the simplest model obtained in a variance range of lambda to add a standard error. The optimal lambda. 1se value is 0.01224 and the entries into the model are items 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17 in this study. The vertical coordinate represents the target parameter, the lower coordinate represents the log(λ), and the upper abscissa represents the number of non-zero coefficient variables in the model. For each value, a confidence interval of the target parameter can be obtained by taking the average value of the target parameter shown in the red point.

Two dashed lines in Figure 1A indicated lambda. min and lambda. 1 respectively. Lambda. min is the lambda value that the mean value of the minimum target parameter which is obtained among all lambda values. Lambda. 1se is the lambda. min value of the simplest model obtained in a variance range of lambda to add a standard error. The optimal lambda. 1se value is 0.01224 and the entries into the model are items 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17 in this study. The vertical coordinate represents the target parameter, the lower coordinate represents the log(λ), and the upper abscissa represents the number of non-zero coefficient variables in the model. For each value, a confidence interval of the target parameter can be obtained by taking the average value of the target parameter shown in the red point.

The Area Under Curve (AUC) was plotted by Lasso analysis and prediction probability which is shown in Figure 1A. Each curve in Figure 1B represents the trajectory of the coefficients of each entry. The y-coordinate is the value of the coefficient, the lower x-coordinate is log(λ), and the upper x-coordinate is the number of non-zero coefficients in the model. With the lambda value increasing, the model becomes more compressing, the fewer items enter the model, and the

### Table 1. Nurses information data in general care units and special care units of two acute hospitals.

| Items                | General care units (n, %) | Special care units (n, %) | Total (n, %) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Experience of years  |                          |                           |              |
| <5 years             | 409 (28.36)              | 151 (10.47)               | 560 (38.83)  |
| 5-9 years            | 288 (19.97)              | 91 (6.31)                 | 379 (26.28)  |
| 10-20 years          | 197 (13.66)              | 59 (4.09)                 | 256 (17.75)  |
| >20 years            | 134 (9.29)               | 113 (7.84)                | 247 (17.13)  |
| Education background |                          |                           |              |
| bachelor Below       | 420 (29.13)              | 153 (10.61)               | 573 (39.74)  |
| Bachelor or above    | 608 (42.16)              | 261 (18.10)               | 869 (60.26)  |
| Total                | 1028 (71.29)             | 414 (28.71)               | 1442 (100.00) |

### 3.2. The Analysis of Fall Prevention Knowledge Level of Nurses Working on Special Care Units and General Care Units

**Table 2. Comparing the levels of fall prevention knowledge of nurses working on general care units and special care units in 2 acute care hospitals.**

| Items                          | Questionnaire items’ description | Assignment meaning | Assignment value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1                             | Do you know the definition of a fall? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | -0.362           |
| 2                             | Are you familiar with the fall prevention assessment tool currently used? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.271            |
| 3                             | Do you know what people are at high risk of falls? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | NA               |
| 4                             | Do you know when the risk factors of falls should be assessed? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.0724           |
| 5                             | Do you know what the risk factors of falls in the environment? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | NA               |
| 6                             | Are you familiar with the assessment of patient’s balance and activity? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.250            |
| 7                             | Do you know any medication that easily causes patients to fall? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.176            |
| 8                             | Do you know any disease that easily causes patients to fall? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | -0.279           |
| 9                             | Are you familiar with the assessment of patient’s foot problems? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | -0.228           |
| 10                            | Are you familiar with the assessment of patient’s vision? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | -0.147           |
| 11                            | Are you familiar with the assessment of patients’ vertigo and vestibular dysfunction? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | NA               |
| 12                            | Are you familiar with the assessments of the patient’s clothing and footwear suitability? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.253            |
| 13                            | Are you familiar with the assessment of cognitive changes in patient? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.025            |
| 14                            | Are you familiar with the intervention of fall prevention? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.115            |
| 15                            | Do you know how to deal with patients after falls? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | NA               |
| 16                            | Are you familiar with the reporting system of falls? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | NA               |
| 17                            | Are you familiar with the reporting procedure of falls? | 0= special unit, 1= general unit | 0.486            |
The ability of the model to select major items becomes stronger.

Figure 1. Texture feature selection using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) binary logistic regression model. (A) Tuning parameter selection in the model used 10-fold cross-validation via minimum criteria. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUC) curve was plotted versus. Dotted vertical lines were drawn at the optimal values by using the minimum criteria and the 1 standard error of the minimum criteria (the 1-se. criteria). A λ value of 0.01224, with log (λ) -4.40299 was chosen (1-se.critera) according to 10-fold cross-validation. (B) LASSO coefficient profiles of the 17 texture features. A coefficient profile plot was produced against the log (λ) sequence. Vertical line was drawn at the value selected using 10-fold cross-validation, where optimal resulted in 12 nonzero coefficients.

For verification, the Lasso Logistic regression model analysis was performed to confirm a total of 7 items with statistical significance including the definition of a fall (P=0.0001), fall prevention assessment tool currently used (p=0.0053), assessment of patient's balance and activity (p=0.0020), diseases that easily cause patients to fall (p=0.0021), assessment of patient's foot problems (p=0.0068), assessments of the patient's clothing and footwear suitability (p=0.0011) and reporting process of falls (p<0.0001).

In this study, the Logistic regression model was established to review the results of Lasso variable selection, indicating that its fitting and prediction effects were appropriate. The
results were shown in table 3.

Table 3. Lambda. 1se Logistic regression analysis of the relationship between different care units of nurses and their knowledge level of fall prevention.

| Items                                                                 | Coef.   | S.E.    | Walds  | P Value     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|
| 1. Do you know the definition of a fall?                              | -0.4855 | 0.1267  | -3.83  | 0.0001*     |
| 2. Are you familiar with the fall prevention assessment tool currently used? | 0.3203  | 0.1148  | 2.79   | 0.0053*     |
| 4. Do you know when the risk factors of falls should be assessed?     | 0.1033  | 0.1243  | 0.83   | 0.4059      |
| 6. Are you familiar with the assessment of patient's balance and activity? | 0.3299  | 0.1069  | 3.09   | 0.0020*     |
| 7. Do you know any medication that easily cause patients to fall?      | 0.2863  | 0.1275  | 2.25   | 0.0247      |
| 8. Do you know any disease that easily cause patients to fall?         | -0.4627 | 0.1505  | -3.07  | 0.0021*     |
| 9. Are you familiar with the assessment of patient's foot problems?    | -0.3181 | 0.1176  | -2.71  | 0.0068*     |
| 10. Are you familiar with the assessment of patient's vision?          | -0.1993 | 0.0998  | -2.00  | 0.0458      |
| 12. Are you familiar with the assessments of the patient's clothing and footwear suitability? | 0.3591  | 0.1096  | 3.28   | 0.0011*     |
| 13. Are you familiar with the assessment of cognitive changes in patient? | 0.0489  | 0.1154  | 0.42   | 0.6719      |
| 14. Are you familiar with the intervention of fall prevention?         | 0.1176  | 0.1402  | 0.84   | 0.4016      |
| 17. Are you familiar with the reporting process of falls?              | 0.5165  | 0.1039  | 4.97   | <0.0001*    |

Notes. The items marked * mean statistical differences.

4. Discussion

1442 clinical nurses from 2 acute care hospitals in Guangzhou participated in our study. They were divided into two groups: 1028 nurses in general care units including medical, surgical, obstetrics and pediatrics; and 414 nurses in special care units including emergency department, ICU and operating room. The knowledge levels of the 7 fall prevention items in two groups of nurses were different by using the Lasso regression model.

On one hand, in this study we found that the knowledge level of nurses in special care units was higher than that of nurses in general care units in terms of the definition of a fall, diseases that easily cause patients to fall and assessment of patient's foot problems. Possible reasons for this result are as follows: special care units such as ICU, operating room and emergency department have higher requirements on nurses' core competence and professional quality [17-19]. Nurses in these units are equipped with high education or rich working experience, and their nursing theoretical foundation more solid than that of nurses in general care units. It demonstrated that the proportion of ICU nurses with bachelor degree or above was 66% in Li W. study on the work status of ICU nurses and the analysis of influencing factors [20]. In this study, we found that the proportion of nurses with bachelor's degree or above in special care units was about 4% higher than that in general care units, which suggested that the Department of Quality and Patient Safety in medical institution should strengthen the training of nurses about the theoretical knowledge relating to fall prevention in general care units.

On the other hand, in this study we found out that the knowledge level of nurses in special care units was lower than that of nurses in general care units in terms of fall prevention assessment tool, assessment of patient's balance and activity, assessments of the patient's clothing and footwear suitability and reporting process of falls. In terms of fall risk assessment tools, special care units of Department, Operating room, and ICU are the main wards for critical care and treatment in the hospital that their primary objectives of care are first aid and maintenance of patients' vital signs and basic physiological needs. Nurses in these special care units pay less attention and practice rarely on patients' fall prevention such as the lack of assessment of patients' balance and mobility, and the assessment of the appropriateness of clothing and shoes, etc. [25], and they are not familiar with the fall reporting process.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we can conclude that nurses in special care units had high level theoretical knowledge of fall prevention than nurses in general care units, while they had lower level practical knowledge of fall prevention. It suggested that Quality & Patient Safety Department in medical institution should make new plans to prevent patients from falling and ensure patient safety. In this study we only studied the influence of nurses working on different care units with fall prevention knowledge. Further investigation and discussion on the educational level and seniority of nurses relate to the knowledge of fall prevention will be conducted.
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