Yeast Aim21/Tda2 both regulates free actin by reducing barbed end assembly and forms a complex with Cap1/Cap2 to balance actin assembly between patches and cables
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ABSTRACT

How cells balance the incorporation of actin into diverse structures is poorly understood. In budding yeast, a single actin monomer pool is used to build both actin cables involved in polarized growth and actin cortical patches involved in endocytosis. Here we report how Aim21/Tda2 is recruited to the cortical region of actin patches, where it negatively regulates actin assembly to elevate the available actin monomer pool. Aim21 has four polyproline regions and is recruited by two SH3-containing patch proteins, Bbc1 and Abp1. The C-terminal region, which is required for its function, binds Tda2. Cell biological and biochemical data reveal that Aim21/Tda2 is a negative regulator of barbed end filamentous actin (F-actin) assembly, and this activity is necessary for efficient endocytosis and plays a pivotal role in balancing the distribution of actin between cables and patches. Aim21/Tda2 also forms a complex with the F-actin barbed end capping protein Cap1/Cap2, revealing an interplay between regulators and showing the complexity of regulation of barbed end assembly.

INTRODUCTION

The filamentous actin (F-actin) cytoskeleton provides eukaryotic cells with shape, contributes to surface structures, plays a role in cellular trafficking, and makes possible many motile and contractile events. A key question is how a cell can organize so many different actin-based functional structures at the same time, often drawing from the same subunit pool of free monomers, and regulate the balance between structures. The cell has many ways to do this, including localized F-actin assembly and disassembly and regulating the stability of F-actin-based structures. To address the role of actin in an experimentally accessible organism, we have been studying its function, assembly, and regulation in budding yeast.

Yeast has a single, essential gene encoding actin (Shortle et al., 1982). During most of vegetative growth, two main actin-based structures are assembled: cortical patches and polarized cables (Adams and Pringle, 1984). Cortical patches are now known to be complex structures that provide the mechanical force for endocytosis (Kubler and Riezman, 1993; reviewed in Weinberg and Drubin, 2012; Goode et al., 2015). The composition of cortical patches varies with time from their first appearance at sites of endocytosis to the propulsion of the endocytic vesicle into the cytoplasm (Kaksonen et al., 2003, 2005). Cortical patch actin is nucleated by the Arp2/3 complex to generate a dendritic network, stimulated by several factors including Las17, Myo3, Myo5, Vrp1, Abp1, Bzz1, and Pan1 and modulated by the negative regulators Syp1, Lsb1, Lsb2, Sla1, Sla2, and Bbc1 (reviewed in Weinberg and Drubin, 2012; Goode et al., 2015). Sla2 and Ent1 link the endocytic membrane to F-actin (Kruzyz et al., 2012). The assembly of actin at the barbed end is negatively regulated by capping protein Cap1/Cap2 and Abp1/Aim3 (Amatruda and Cooper, 1992; Kim et al., 2004; Michelot et al., 2013). Disassembly of actin filaments is mediated by cofilin (Cof1) and its cofactors, such as...
Aip1 (Adams and Pringle, 1984; Moon et al., 1993; Carlier et al., 1997; Ono et al., 2004; Okada et al., 2006). Although these are some of the key players, many other proteins also participate in the process (reviewed in Campellone and Welch, 2010; Goode et al., 2015).

Actin cables are essential unbranched bundles of filaments extending from the bud and bud neck into the cytoplasm. They are nucleated and elongated by the formins: Bni1 localized to the bud cortex and Bnr1 at the bud neck (Fujisawa et al., 1998; Kamei et al., 1998; Evangelista et al., 2002; Pruyne et al., 2002; Sagot et al., 2002a,b). They are stabilized by tropomyosin, Tpm1 and Tpm2, and their turnover is probably also mediated by cofillin, in conjunction with Aip1 (Liu and Bretscher, 1989a,b; Drees et al., 1995; Okada et al., 2006). Cables provide a track for the transport of organelles and mRNAs by the myosin-V motors, Myo2 and Myo4 (reviewed in Pueyn et al., 2004). Myo2 is an essential protein, necessary for the transport of post-Golgi secretory vesicles for bud growth and the active inheritance of mitochondria (Johnston et al., 1991; Pruyne et al., 1998; Schott et al., 1999; Chernyakov et al., 2013). It also transports the vacuole, the Golgi, and peroxisomes to mediate their inheritance and orient the spindle in preparation for mitosis (Hill et al., 1996; Catlett and Weisman, 1998; Yin et al., 2000; Hoepfner et al., 2001; Fagarasanu et al., 2006; Lipatova et al., 2008).

During growth, yeast has to balance the amount of actin devoted to cortical patches and cables. For example, cells lacking capping protein Cap1 or Cap2 have a low level of free actin due to excessive assembly of F-actin in cortical patches to the detriment of cables (Amatruda et al., 1990, 1992). This is even more evident when an unregulated formin is expressed in yeast to generate excessive cables, which is lethal. This lethality can be suppressed by enhancing the assembly of actin in cortical patches (Gao and Bretscher, 2008).

 Yeast can tolerate the loss of many actin-binding proteins. However, tropomyosin is essential as it stabilizes the filaments in cables. In its absence, cables are undetectable, resulting in depolarized growth and a failure to segregate organelles (Drees et al., 1995; Pruyn et al., 1998). Two genes encode tropomyosin, TPM1 and TPM2. Tpm1 is about six times more abundant than Tpm2, so deletion of TPM2 has little phenotype, whereas deletion of TPM1 greatly slows growth (Liu and Bretscher, 1989a; Liu and Bretscher, 1992; Drees et al., 1995). In tpm1Δ cells, F-actin nucleated by Bni1 and Bnr1 is stabilized by the very limiting amount of Tpm2, resulting in few and barely functional cables.

During genetic analysis, we found that the growth of tpm1Δ cells could be enhanced by deletion of altered inheritance of mitochondria 21 (AIM21), a little-studied gene, implicated in mitochondrial inheritance and necessary for efficient endocytosis (Burston et al., 2009; Hess et al., 2009). We were surprised to find that aim21Δ cells have reduced numbers of actin cables, which presumably explains their defect in mitochondrial inheritance. Astonishingly, our initial studies revealed that loss of Aim21 partially restores cables to tpm1Δ cells. To resolve this apparent paradox, we set out to investigate the function of Aim21 and understand how its loss can restore cables to tpm1Δ cells.

While this article was being assembled, Farrell et al. (2017) reported the existence and characterization of the Aim21/Tda2 complex, its localization, and the structure of Tda2. We concur with much of their study and provide important new information about the mechanism of Aim21/Tda2 localization and uncover its function as a factor that regulates the assembly at the barbed end to balance the actin distribution between cables and patches.

**RESULTS**

**Loss of the cortical patch protein Aim21 suppresses the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells**

Cells lacking Tpm1 grow slowly due to a substantial reduction in the number of actin cables that support polarized growth (Liu and Bretscher, 1989a; Pruyn et al., 1998). In a large-scale analysis of genetic suppressors, mutations in AIM21 were identified as suppressors of the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells (personal communication in 2011, published in van Leeuwen et al., 2016). This genetic suppression was recapitulated with freshly made deletion constructs showing that tpm1Δ cells grow slowly, aim21Δ cells grow at a rate similar to wild type (WT), and aim21Δ tpm1Δ cells have almost no growth defect (Figure 1A). Astonishingly, while tpm1Δ cells have almost no visible actin cables as seen using fluorescently labeled phalloidin and aim21Δ cells have reduced numbers, combining aim21Δ with tpm1Δ restores some cables to tpm1Δ cells (Figure 1, B and C). To understand this puzzling result, we first set out to determine the localization and function of Aim21. With this information in hand, we then addressed how aim21Δ can restore cables in tpm1Δ cells and suppress their growth defect.

To localize Aim21, we tagged the chromosomal gene with mNeonGreen. Live cell imaging showed Aim21-mNeonGreen was present in cortical punctate structures that colocalized with the actin patch marker Abp1-mCherry (Figure 1D). However, colocalization was not perfect. Since cortical patches are transient structures, we looked at these two proteins over time and found that Aim21-mNeonGreen and Abp1-mCherry appeared at the same time, but Aim21 left the patch ~1.5 s before Abp1-mCherry (Figure 1E). Abp1-mCherry assembles at cortical endocytic sites and then as the membrane undergoes scission to form an endocytic vesicle, it moves inward (Kaksonen et al., 2003; reviewed in Weinberg and Drubin, 2012). Aim21-mNeonGreen colocalized with Abp1 up to the point where the inward movement initiated, suggesting that Aim21 leaves before, or soon after, endocytic scission and does not associate with endocytic vesicles (Figure 1E).

Much has been learned about actin assembly and dynamics by imaging the localization of cortical patch proteins in sla2Δ cells where the invagination of the endocytic membrane is uncoupled from actin assembly (Kaksonen et al., 2003). As a result of this uncoupling, actin is continuously assembled adjacent to the plasma membrane and then moves inward as new actin subunits are added, thus providing a linear readout of this dynamic process. Imaging Aim21-mNeonGreen and Abp1-mCherry in sla2Δ cells revealed a tight localization of Aim21 close to the cell cortex, similarly to the localization seen for Sl1, Las17, and Pan1 and not with the older actin filament markers in the Abp1-mCherry tail (Figure 1F) (Kaksonen et al., 2003).

**Aim21 is localized to cortical patches by Bbc1, Abp1, and Tda2**

To determine how Aim21 is localized to cortical patches, we deleted each known cortical patch protein individually in cells with chromosomally tagged Aim21-GFP, but none completely eliminated cortical patch localization of Aim21-GFP. Therefore, we reasoned several proteins might contribute to Aim21 localization.

We first examined whether localization of Aim21 depended on F-actin. Cells treated with latrunculin to depolymerize all F-actin resulted in loss of much, but not all, cortical patch localization of Aim21-GFP (Figure 2A). Thus, there appears to be both actin-associated and actin-independent mechanisms of localization. Aim21 is a 679-residue protein with four polyproline regions (PP1–PP4) and an ~150 residue C-terminal (CT) domain (Figure 2B). All
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Abp1-mCherry in SEM. Scale bar, 1 μm. (F) Localization of Aim21-mNeonGreen and mCherry signal lasted 11.9 ± 0.5 (SEM) seconds (n = 13). Bars indicate SEM. Scale bar, 1 μm. (G) Localization of Aim21-mNeonGreen and Abp1-mCherry in sla2Δ cells. Dotted lines outline the cells. Bar 2 μm.

FIGURE 1: Deletion of actin patch protein Aim21 rescues the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells. (A) Serial dilution growth assay. Cells with the indicated genotypes were grown to OD₆₀₀ = 0.2, subjected to 1:10 dilutions on a YPD plate, and incubated at 30°C for 1 d. (B) Actin structures as visualized by Alexa Fluor 568-phalloidin. Inverted images of maximum projection of whole cells. Red dots indicate actin cables. Bar 2 μm. (C) The number of actin cables is reduced in aim21Δ compared with wild-type cells. A line was drawn at the center of the cell perpendicular to the mother–daughter axis. Red dots indicate intersections of this line and actin cables, which were used as a readout for the number of actin cables. Wild-type cells have 7.0 ± 0.3 (SEM) actin cables and aim21Δ cells have 5.0 ± 0.3 (SEM) actin cables (n = 20 for both). ****p < 0.001. Bars indicate 95% confidence intervals (CI). (D) Aim21-mNeonGreen colocalizes with Abp1-mCherry, an actin patch marker. Dotted lines outline the cells. Bar, 2 μm. (E) Bar graph and single-frame images of a cortical patch showing the duration of Abp1-mCherry and Aim21-mNeonGreen. On average, Aim21-mNeonGreen signal lasted 10.4 ± 0.4 (SEM) seconds and Abp1-mCherry signal lasted 11.9 ± 0.5 (SEM) seconds (n = 13). Bars indicate SEM. Scale bar, 1 μm. (F) Localization of Aim21-mNeonGreen and Abp1-mCherry in sla2Δ cells. Dotted lines outline the cells. Bar 2 μm.

four of these polyproline sequences are predicted to associate with SH3 domains, and it has been suggested that Aim21 binds the SH3 domains of Bbc1 and Abp1 (Fazi et al., 2002; Tonikian et al., 2009). We therefore generated GFP-tagged chromosomal constructs of Aim21 lacking various domains and assessed their localizations. We found that Aim21(PP4+CT) could not localize to patches (Supplemental Figure S1), suggesting that Aim21(PP1-3) is important for localization. Aim21(PP1-2) was the minimal region that could localize to cortical patches, and this localization was abolished in bbc1Δ cells (Figure 2C, top panel). Loss of Bbc1 also caused complete delocalization of Aim21 in latrunculin treated cells (Figure 2A). Thus, Bbc1 is necessary to localize this region of Aim21, most likely by direct interaction, and responsible for the actin-independent localization of Aim21, supported by the fact that the localization of Bbc1 is not dependent on actin (Supplemental Figure S2).

Aim21(PP1-4), lacking the CT domain, localized well to cortical patches in wild type and weakly in bbc1Δ cells; all localization was abolished in bbc1Δ abp1Δ cells (Figure 2C, middle panel). Thus, Aim21 requires Abp1 to enhance its localization through PP3-4. Interestingly, loss of Abp1 alone did not significantly decrease the localization of Aim21(PP1-4) to patches (Figure 2, C and D). Despite the involvement of Bbc1 and Abp1 in localizing Aim21, full-length Aim21-GFP still showed some localization in bbc1Δ abp1Δ cells, indicating some localization through the C-terminal domain (Figure 2C, bottom panel).

Tda2 is a small protein that high-throughput interaction data suggest interacts with Aim21 (Gavin et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2008). Because Tda2 does not have an SH3 domain, Tda2 was a likely candidate to interact with Aim21(CT). We therefore examined the localization of Aim21-GFP in bbc1Δ abp1Δ tda2Δ cells and found that it was no longer localized (Figure 2C, bottom panel). Overall, the data reveal that Aim21 has a complex interaction pattern, being localized by the two SH3-containing proteins Bbc1 and Abp1, with a contribution through the C-terminal domain involving Tda2 (quantified in Figure 2D and summarized in Figure 2E).

Having identified interactions involved in localization of Aim21, we examined how these interactions contribute to its distribution during actin assembly and turnover. We again used sla2Δ cells in which actin is assembled at the cortex and treadmills toward the cell center. In sla2Δ cells, Aim21-mNeonGreen is tightly associated with the cell cortex, whereas in bbc1Δ sla2Δ cells it is found throughout the tail (Figures 1F and 3, A and B). Thus, interaction of Aim21(PP1-2) with Bbc1, which itself is tightly associated with the cortex, restricts Aim21 to the cortex (Figure 3, A and B). As Bbc1 has an inhibitory function on actin assembly in patches through Las17, this phenotype could be due to the changed actin dynamics in bbc1Δ cells (Rodal et al., 2003; Kaksonen et al., 2005). To exclude this possibility, we examined the localization of Aim21(PP3-4+CT) in BBC1 sla2Δ cells. Loss of PP1-2 releases Aim21 from the cortex, and it is now found in the recently assembled two-thirds of the tail, very similar to the localization of capping protein Cap1 (Figure 3, A and B). Quantification of line scans along actin tails in sla2Δ cells supports the finding that Aim21-mNeonGreen is restricted to the cortex by Bbc1 and that interactions requiring Abp1 and Tda2 also play a role (Figure 3, C and D).

Aim21 regulates the abundance of actin in cortical patches and this regulation is necessary for efficient endocytosis

Phalloidin staining showed that the amount of F-actin in cortical patches is modestly enhanced in aim21Δ cells (Figure 4A). Using Abp1-mNeonGreen as a surrogate for quantifying cortical patch actin in living cells, patches in aim21Δ cells had significantly more...
cap1Δ cells, the halo-shaped zone of growth inhibition of aim21Δ cells was larger than wild-type cells but smaller than cap1Δ cells, suggesting that loss of Aim21 reduces the pool of actin available for assembly (Figure 4, F and G). These results, combined with the reduction in cables seen in aim21Δ cells, implies that Aim21 influences the balance of actin between patches and cables at least in part by regulating the level of free actin for assembly.

Aim21 has been recovered in two high-throughput functional screens. The first, from which it derives its name (Altered Inheritance of Mitochondria 21), revealed a defect in mitochondrial inheritance, presumably because mitochondrial inheritance requires active transport along actin cables (Hess et al., 2009). In the second, it was recovered in a screen for actin-binding proteins. The aim21Δ phenotype is reminiscent of cells lacking capping protein Cap1 or Cap2, in which filaments in cortical patches overgrow, resulting in a reduced level of free actin available for assembly (Kaksonen et al., 2005; Michelot et al., 2013).

We therefore wished to examine the level of free actin in aim21Δ cells compared with cap1Δ cells. The level of free actin can be indirectly assessed by testing the sensitivity of cells to growth inhibition by latrunculin—a low level of free actin renders the cells very sensitive to the drug. When a filter paper disk containing 5 μl of 0.2 mM latrunculin-A was placed on a thin lawn of wild-type, aim21Δ, or cap1Δ cells, the halo-shaped zone of growth inhibition of aim21Δ cells was larger than wild-type cells but smaller than cap1Δ cells, suggesting that loss of Aim21 reduces the pool of actin available for assembly (Figure 4, F and G). These results, combined with the reduction in cables seen in aim21Δ cells, implies that Aim21 influences the balance of actin between patches and cables at least in part by regulating the level of free actin for assembly.

Aim21 has been recovered in two high-throughput functional screens. The first, from which it derives its name (Altered Inheritance of Mitochondria 21), revealed a defect in mitochondrial inheritance, presumably because mitochondrial inheritance requires active transport along actin cables (Hess et al., 2009). In the second, it was recovered in a screen for actin-binding proteins. The aim21Δ phenotype is reminiscent of cells lacking capping protein Cap1 or Cap2, in which filaments in cortical patches overgrow, resulting in a reduced level of free actin available for assembly (Kaksonen et al., 2005; Michelot et al., 2013).
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more sensitive to canavanine, reflecting a partial defect in endocytosis (Figure 4H). Thus, the altered distribution of actin toward cortical patches influences their function, namely endocytosis.

We next investigated which part of Aim21 is needed for its function. Since loss of Aim21 restores the growth of tpm1Δ cells, this rescue of growth inhibition provides an assay for Aim21 function. While recovered in a screen for genes affecting endocytosis of Snc1 (Burston et al., 2009). A simple test of endocytosis is to test cells for their sensitivity to a toxic arginine analogue, canavanine. In the presence of arginine, wild-type cells down-regulate the arginine transporter, Can1, from the plasma membrane by endocytosis. Cells compromised for endocytosis cannot do this efficiently and, consequently, retain more transporters in the plasma membrane, which render the cells more sensitive to growth inhibition by canavanine. Consistent with earlier results, we find that aim21Δ cells are indeed more sensitive to canavanine, reflecting a partial defect in endocytosis (Figure 4H). Thus, the altered distribution of actin toward cortical patches influences their function, namely endocytosis.

The C-terminal region of Aim21 is required for its function, and this region interacts with Tda2

We next investigated which part of Aim21 is needed for its function. Since loss of Aim21 restores the growth of tpm1Δ cells, this rescue of growth inhibition provides an assay for Aim21 function. While

FIGURE 3: Interaction between Aim21(PP1-2) and Bbc1 is crucial for the cortical localization of Aim21. (A) Aim21-mNeonGreen in bbc1Δ sla2Δ, Bbc1-GFP in sla2Δ, Aim21(PP3-4+CT)-mNeonGreen in sla2Δ, and Cap1-GFP in sla2Δ. Abp1-mCherry as actin marker. Dotted lines outline the cells. Bar, 2 μm. (B) Magnified images of those shown in Figure 1F and A here. Bar, 2 μm. (C) Normalized localization of Aim21, Aim21(PP3-4+CT), Bbc1, and Cap1 with respect to Abp1 in sla2Δ or bbc1Δ sla2Δ. Intensity values of each color were normalized to the highest intensity, and the normalized values of both colors were aligned to the pixel with the highest green signal. For Aim21-mNeonGreen in sla2Δ, Aim21-mNeonGreen in bbc1Δ sla2Δ, and Aim21(PP3-4+CT)-mNeonGreen in sla2Δ, n = 1; for Cap1-GFP in sla2Δ, n = 10; and for Bbc1-GFP in sla2Δ, n = 12. Bars and ± indicate SEM. (D) Quantifications of the localizations in sla2Δ cells shown in C. Bars indicate 95% CI. ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; and n.s., not significant.
Indeed, tda2Δ can partially rescue the growth defect imposed by tpm1Δ (Figure 5D) and also reduces the level of free actin in cells as assayed by the latrunculin sensitivity assay (Figure 5, E and F). Neither the rescue of tpm1Δ nor the increase in latrunculin sensitivity by tda2Δ is as significant as seen for aim21Δ, indicating that Aim21 has additional functions to recruiting Tda2.

Aim21, Tda2, Cap1, and Cap2 form a complex

In addition to binding to Tda2, high-throughput screens suggest that Aim21 might interact with F-actin barbed end capping protein Cap1 and Cap2, which form an obligate heterodimer (Gavin et al., 2006). To explore these relationships, we used in vitro assays to test possible interactions.

When resin-bound 6His-SUMO-Tda2 was incubated with Cap1, Aim21, and Tda2, all four proteins were recovered, indicating that AIM21 or AIM21-GFP cells in combination with tpm1Δ grew poorly, tpm1Δ AIM21(PP1-4)-GFP cells grew well, indicating that the C-terminal domain of Aim21 is necessary for function (Figure 5A). In vitro data with recombinant proteins shows that 6His-SUMO-Tda2 can pull down full-length Aim21 and Aim21(CT) but not Aim21(PP1-4) (Figure 5B). Thus, Tda2 binds directly to the C-terminal domain of Aim21. Consistent with these data, Tda2 localizes to cortical patches in wild-type cells but is delocalized in cells expressing chromosomal Aim21(PP1-4) and relocated in aim21Δ cells in which the CT domain of Aim21 is fused to the cortical patch protein Bbc1 (Figure 5C and Supplemental S3). Thus, the interaction between Aim21(CT) and Tda2 not only contributes to the localization of Aim21 to patches (Figure 2, C–E) but also recruits Tda2 to patches.

As Aim21(CT) is important for the function of Aim21 and this region recruits Tda2, we explored if Tda2 contributes to Aim21 function. Indeed, tda2Δ can partially rescue the growth defect imposed by tpm1Δ (Figure 5D) and also reduces the level of free actin in cells as assayed by the latrunculin sensitivity assay (Figure 5, E and F). Neither the rescue of tpm1Δ nor the increase in latrunculin sensitivity by tda2Δ is as significant as seen for aim21Δ, indicating that Aim21 has additional functions to recruiting Tda2.

Aim21, Tda2, Cap1, and Cap2 form a complex

In addition to binding to Tda2, high-throughput screens suggest that Aim21 might interact with F-actin barbed end capping protein Cap1 and Cap2, which form an obligate heterodimer (Gavin et al., 2006). To explore these relationships, we used in vitro assays to test possible interactions.

When resin-bound 6His-SUMO-Cap2 was incubated with Cap1, Aim21, and Tda2, all four proteins were recovered, indicating that
Aim21/Tda2 functions to reduce barbed end actin assembly

To explore the potential capping activities of these proteins, we utilized a pyrene-actin assembly assay; sheared filaments served as actin nuclei, with a level of free actin so that most elongation should occur at the barbed end of the growing filament. In this assay, assembly was dependent on the addition of the sheared filaments, with inclusion of 50 nM Cap1/Cap2 significantly inhibiting assembly (Figure 7A).

When we tested 50 nM Aim21/Tda2, it too reduced actin assembly, but not as efficiently as Cap1/Cap2 (Figure 7A). Tda2 alone had no effect on actin assembly, whereas Aim21 alone had a modest effect but much less than the Aim21/Tda2 complex (Figure 7A). These results explain why the level of free actin in aim21Δ cells, or tda2Δ cells, is lower than in wild-type cells. This finding then prompted us to examine whether Aim21/Tda2 complex binds to actin filaments. In a cosedimentation assay, Aim21/Tda2 complex was enriched in actin filament pellets, suggesting that Aim21/Tda2 complex indeed binds to actin filaments to inhibit their elongation (Figure 7B).

Cap1/Cap2 binds to Aim21, Tda2, or the Aim21/Tda2 complex (Figure 6A, left panel). In a similar experiment, but employing Aim21Δ in place of full-length Aim21, a complex of Cap1/Cap2/Tda2/Aim21(CT) formed (Figure 6A, right panel). The complex formed only when all components were present; without Aim21 or Tda2, the complex failed to form (Figure 6B).

We next explored whether loss of Aim21 or Tda2 had an effect on the localization of Cap1/Cap2. We found that Cap1-GFP remains localized to cortical patches and the amount of Cap1-GFP per patch in aim21Δ or tda2Δ cells does not significantly differ from that of wild-type cells (Figure 6, C and D). This was unexpected as actin cortical patches are larger and more persistent at least in aim21Δ cells (Figure 4, A–E). However, this is likely because most of Cap1/Cap2 complexes are already at the patches at any given time because of their high affinity to barbed ends. Thus, we conclude that Aim21 and Tda2 are not necessary to recruit Cap1/Cap2 to patches, and the effect Aim21/Tda2 has on patches seems to be largely independent of Cap1/Cap2 recruitment.

**FIGURE 5:** The C-terminal region (CT) of Aim21 is important for its cellular function and interacts with Tda2. (A) Serial dilution assay showing that AIM21(PP1-4)-GFP does not inhibit the growth of tpm1Δ cells as much as AIM21. YPD plate incubated at 30°C for 1 d. (B) Pull-down assay of Aim21, Aim21(PP1-4), or Aim21(CT) by 6His-SUMO-Tda2 using Ni-NTA resin. For Aim21 pull down and Aim21(PP1-4) pull down, Aim21 and Aim21(PP1-4) were used at 500 nM and 6His-SUMO-Tda2 was used at 1 μM. For Aim21(CT) pull down, Aim21(CT) was used at 340 nM, and 6His-SUMO-Tda2 were used at 1.2 μM. Aim21 forms a complex with Tda2 through Aim21(CT). Note: Aim21, Aim21(PP1-4), and Aim21(CT) all run higher than their calculated molecular weights (74.7, 57.6, and 21.7 kDa, respectively). Representative gel of ≥ 3 biological replicates shown. (C) Localization of Tda2-GFP in WT, aim21Δ, and aim21Δ BBC1-AIM21(CT). Bar, 2 μm. (D) Serial dilution assay that shows tda2Δ partially rescues the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells. YPD plate incubated at 35.5°C for 1 d. (E, F) Latrunculin sensitivity assay of tda2Δ cells. Filter paper disks (6 mm) with 5 μl of 0.2 mM Lat-A were used. Images and data for wild-type and aim21Δ are reproduced from Figure 4, F and G, for comparison. The average area of growth inhibition for tda2Δ was 161 ± 2 (SEM) mm² (n = 5). Bars indicate 95% CI. ****p < 0.0001.
If Cap1/Cap2 and Aim21/Tda2 work at least partially independently to reduce barbed end assembly, then the effect of aim21Δ and cap1Δ should have an additive effect on actin structures in vivo. Indeed, aim21Δ cap1Δ cells show more depolarized actin structures, and their actin patches lasted longer than those of either aim21Δ or cap1Δ alone (Figure 7, C and D). Also, at 37°C, aim21Δ cap1Δ cells grow more slowly than either aim21Δ or cap1Δ alone (Figure 7E). Thus, Cap1/Cap2 and Aim21/Tda2 function, at least in part, independently.

aim21Δ favors actin assembly by Bnr1 to suppress the growth defect imposed by tpm1Δ

Our cell biological and biochemical data indicate that Aim21 functions to reduce assembly at the growing ends of filaments at patches to elevate the level of free actin available for assembly. If aim21Δ suppresses the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells by lowering the level of available actin, then we wondered if loss of other proteins that regulate free actin levels could also suppress tpm1Δ. Indeed, loss of capping protein Cap1, which reduces free actin levels (Figure 4, F and G), also partially suppressed the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells (Figure 8A). This suggests that lowering the level of free actin is a general mechanism for suppressing the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells. If correct, then tpm1Δ cells should grow better in a low level of latrunculin. Indeed, in a latrunculin sensitivity assay, tpm1Δ cells displayed a double halo; a region adjacent to the filter paper disk that inhibited growth by the high latrunculin level, surrounded by a region of better growth where the latrunculin level is reduced, with reduced growth on the periphery with too-low latrunculin levels (Figure 8B). Thus, aim21Δ restores growth to tpm1Δ cells by reducing the level of free actin for assembly.

As noted above, aim21Δ cells have fewer cables than wild-type cells, presumably because more actin is funneled into actin cortical patches (Figure 1C). So how can this suppress the growth defect of tpm1Δ cells? In yeast, tropomyosin is an essential protein as it is required to stabilize actin cables (Liu and Bretscher, 1989a,b; Pruyne et al., 1998). Yeast has two tropomyosins, a major one, Tpm1, and a minor one, Tpm2, that is present at about one-sixth the level of Tpm1 (Drees et al., 1995). Thus, in tpm1Δ cells, survival is dependent on the low level of Tpm2. Actin cables are nucleated and elongated by the two formins, Bni1 in the bud and Bnr1 at the bud neck. In tpm1Δ cells, both formins are active and generate actin cables, but they are very unstable due to the limiting supply of Tpm2.

Since polarized actin cables are essential for growth (Pruyne et al., 1998), we quantitated the percentage of wild-type, tpm1Δ, and aim21Δ tpm1Δ cells that have cables (Figure 8E). In addition to confirming that aim21Δ tpm1Δ cells have more actin cables than tpm1Δ cells, a careful examination of the aim21Δ tpm1Δ cells indicated that the majority of cables emerged from the bud neck,
was in the final stages of assembly, a paper appeared describing the structure, localization, and potential function of Aim21/Tda2 (Farrell et al., 2017). As indicated below, some of our results corroborate and extend this study and also importantly reveal the function of this protein complex.

Cells lacking Tpm1 are dependent on the minor tropomyosin isoform Tpm2 to stabilize actin cables, giving rise to few detectable cables and consequent slow growth (Liu and Bretscher, 1989a). Cells lacking Aim21 grow well, although they have a reduced number of cables. Our study originated from the paradox that \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \text{tpm1}^{\Delta} \) cells grow better and have more cables than \( \text{tpm1}^{\Delta} \) cells. Two lines of investigation initially pointed to a role for Aim21 in restricting actin assembly in cortical patches. First, Aim21 localized to cortical patches and loss of Aim21 enhanced the amount of actin in patches. Second, \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) cells, like \( \text{cap1}^{\Delta} \) cells, were more sensitive to the monomer-sequestering drug latrunculin, indicating a low level of free actin available for assembly. By analyzing the localization and functionality of Aim21 truncations in vivo, we were able to identify the mechanism for cortical patch localization of Aim21, as well as the region required for its function. This revealed, in agreement with Farrell et al. (2017), that Bbc1 binding to the N-terminal polyproline regions (PP1-2) of Aim21 is a major determinant in the localization of Aim21. Consistent

DISCUSSION
Here we establish Aim21, with its cofactor Tda2, as a new complex that reduces barbed end assembly and whose activity in actin cortical patches is necessary for both efficient endocytosis and for regulating actin distribution between cables and cortical patches. Further, we elucidate how \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) can partially rescue the loss of actin cables and the growth defect of \( \text{tpm1}^{\Delta} \) cells. While this study was in the final stages of assembly, a paper appeared describing the structure, localization, and potential function of Aim21/Tda2 (Farrell et al., 2017). As indicated below, some of our results corroborate and extend this study and also importantly reveal the function of this protein complex.

Cells lacking Tpm1 are dependent on the minor tropomyosin isoform Tpm2 to stabilize actin cables, giving rise to few detectable cables and consequent slow growth (Liu and Bretscher, 1989a). Cells lacking Aim21 grow well, although they have a reduced number of cables. Our study originated from the paradox that \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \text{tpm1}^{\Delta} \) cells grow better and have more cables than \( \text{tpm1}^{\Delta} \) cells. Two lines of investigation initially pointed to a role for Aim21 in restricting actin assembly in cortical patches. First, Aim21 localized to cortical patches and loss of Aim21 enhanced the amount of actin in patches. Second, \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) cells, like \( \text{cap1}^{\Delta} \) cells, were more sensitive to the monomer-sequestering drug latrunculin, indicating a low level of free actin available for assembly. By analyzing the localization and functionality of Aim21 truncations in vivo, we were able to identify the mechanism for cortical patch localization of Aim21, as well as the region required for its function. This revealed, in agreement with Farrell et al. (2017), that Bbc1 binding to the N-terminal polyproline regions (PP1-2) of Aim21 is a major determinant in the localization of Aim21. Consistent

FIGURE 7: Aim21/Tda2 reduces assembly at the barbed end of actin filaments. (A) Pyrene actin assembly assays with 50 nM Cap1/Cap2, 50 nM Aim21, 50 nM 6His-SUMO-Tda2, or 50 nM Aim21/6His-SUMO-Tda2 using a final concentration of 1 \( \mu M \) actin. Both Cap1/Cap2 and Aim21/6His-SUMO-Tda2 significantly slow down the rate of actin assembly. Graph showing the average value of three independent experiments. Control w/o seeds contains G-actin and 10xF-actin buffer. Control contains G-actin, 10xF-actin buffer, and F-actin seeds. All additions were made at \( t = 0 \), and the assembly reaction was initiated by the addition of F-actin seeds. (B) Cosedimentation assay with actin filaments and Aim21/6His-SUMO-Tda2. F-actin (31 \( \mu M \)) was incubated with 600 nM Aim21/6His-SUMO-Tda2 at 4°C for 30 min. Actin filaments then got pelleted by centrifugation at 160,000 \( \times \) g for 30 min at 4°C. Aim21 is enriched in the pellet fraction. Representative gel of \( n \geq 3 \) biological replicates shown. (C) Inverted images of actin structures in \( \text{cap1}^{\Delta} \) and \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) cap1\(^\Delta \) cells, visualized by Alexa Fluor 568-phalloidin. Maximum projection of the entire cell. Dotted lines outline the cells. Bar, 2 \( \mu m \). (D) Lifespan of Abp1 patches in wild type, \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \), \( \text{cap1}^{\Delta} \), and \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) cap1\(^\Delta \). Data points for wild type and \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) are from Figure 4D and shown for comparison. The average lifespan was 23.0 ± 0.8 s (SEM) for \( \text{cap1}^{\Delta} \) (\( n = 13 \)) and 29.1 ± 1.0 s (SEM) for \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) cap1\(^\Delta \). Bars indicate 95% CI. ****p < 0.0001. (E) Serial dilution assay that shows that \( \text{aim21}^{\Delta} \) and \( \text{cap1}^{\Delta} \) have synthetic growth defect. YPD plate incubated at 37°C for 31 h.
with this, both Aim21 and Bbc1 are tightly associated with the cell cortex of the actin tail in sla2Δ cells, and Aim21 is redistributed throughout the tail when Bbc1 is deleted. Further, we identified an additional region, the third and fourth polyproline regions (PP3-4), as interacting with Abp1, and the C-terminal region, Aim21(CT), as necessary for its function and localization of Tda2 to cortical patches. The finding that a small amount of Aim21 still localizes to cortical patches in bbc1Δ abp1Δ cells and this is abolished by the additional
deletion of TDA2 may reflect the ability of Tda2 to dimerize Aim21 and enhance otherwise weak interactions of the Aim21 monomer (Farrell et al., 2017).

In vitro studies with purified proteins show that Tda2 physically binds to the C-terminal region of Aim21. Moreover, the Aim21/Tda2 complex can bind to Cap1/Cap2 in an interaction requiring Aim21(CT) and Tda2. Actin assembly assays reveal that Aim21 itself can reduce assembly of actin at the filament barbed end, whereas Tda2 cannot. Further, the Aim21/Tda2 complex is a better inhibitor but still less potent than Cap1/Cap2. Interestingly, addition of Aim21/Tda2 decreased the actin assembly inhibition by Cap1/Cap2 complex. This suggests that Aim21/Tda2 is not only an inhibitor in its own right but also a regulator of Cap1/Cap2. The formation of an Aim21/Tda2/Cap1/Cap2 complex is surprising given the different localizations of Aim21/Tda2 and Cap1/Cap2, an issue we return to below. Our data indicate that Cap1 abundance in cortical patches is independent of Aim21 or Tda2, suggesting that the conclusion of Farrell et al. (2017) that Aim21/Tda2 functions in the recruitment of Cap1/Cap2 may not be accurate.

F-actin in cortical patches is nucleated by the Arp2/3 complex, leaving barbed ends available for assembly. Elongation at barbed ends is regulated in part by the action of barbed end capping factors. The first and major factor identified was capping protein Cap1/Cap2, which form an obligate heterodimer, that has high affinity for barbed ends and prevents further addition of actin monomers to the barbed ends. However, in addition to Cap1/Cap2, other proteins also participate in inhibiting the elongation at barbed ends. Michelot et al. (2013) undertook a genetic screen to identify loss of function mutations that were more deleterious when combined with cap1Δ or cap2Δ. This approach identified two additional barbed end actin assembly inhibition complexes. First, Aip1 caps barbed ends in conjunction with the F-actin severing protein cofilin, Cof1. Second, Aim3 functions with Abp1 to inhibit actin assembly at barbed ends; all three are crucial for maintaining the free actin pool in cytoplasm. We now add Aim21/Tda2 as a fourth inhibitor for actin assembly. Although Aim21 and Tda2 did not come out of the genetic screen, it is interesting to note that brc1Δ was found, potentially due to its role as a major recruiter necessary for the correct localization of Aim21/Tda2. Consistent with parallel roles for these actin assembly inhibitors, aim21Δ cap1Δ cells grow more slowly than cap1Δ cells. Interestingly, in sla2Δ cells where membrane invagination is uncoupled from actin assembly, the four different barbed end actin assembly inhibitors show very different and distinct localizations. Aim21/Tda2 is found with Brc1 very close to the cell cortex, Cap1/Cap2 is found in the recently assembled region of the tail, Aip1 is found with cofilin on the older part of the tail, and Abp1/Aim3 is found throughout. This distinct localization, at least for Aim21, is necessary for full functionality; AIM21(PP3-4+CT) is only partially functional as it partially restores the growth defect to aim21Δ tpm1Δ cells (Supplemental Figure S4). Moreover, in aip1Δ cells, Cap1/Cap2 is found along the length of the whole tail, indicating competition between Cap1/Cap2 and Aip1 (Michelot et al., 2013). In the absence of Aim21, the actin tails in sla2Δ cells were too short to confidently determine the localization of Cap1. However, in brc1Δ cells, the restriction of Aim21/Tda2 to the cortex was lost and it is now found throughout the tail. Additionally, Aim21 and Aim3 both bind to Abp1 (Michelot et al., 2013), presumably through the same SH3 domain, so the local environment likely influences where in the process they bind to Abp1. Layered on top of this, Ark1/Ptk1 and Hrr25 kinases modulate endocytosis, and Aim21 is phosphorylated on multiple sites in vivo (Cope et al., 1999; Albuquerque et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2015). These distinct localizations and regulation show that actin assembly at the barbed end is very carefully orchestrated, but the precise role of each of the four inhibitors will require further analysis.

Considering the distinct distributions of Aim21/Tda2 at the cortex and Cap1/Cap2 along the recently assembled part of the actin tail in sla2Δ cells, what is the significance of the Aim21/Tda2/Cap1/Cap2 complex? First, it should be noted that Cap1/Cap2 is estimated to be much more abundant than Aim21 or Tda2 (Kulak et al., 2014), so a large percentage of the Cap1/Cap2 complex will not be associated with Aim21/Tda2. Second, this interaction might allow Aim21/Tda2 to remove Cap1/Cap2 from barbed ends. The association of Aim21/Tda2 with the region of actin assembly also suggests that one role is to reduce the activity of Cap1/Cap2 there, perhaps in conjunction with its known inhibition by the plasma membrane regulatory lipid PI(4,5)P2 (Amatruda and Cooper, 1992).

The finding that Aim21 might regulate the amount of actin available for assembly did not initially explain how this restores growth to tpm1Δ cells or whether the lower level of available actin was related to the restoration of tpm1Δ cell growth. A number of subsequent observations indicated that reducing actin availability was indeed part of the mechanism of growth restoration. First, tda2Δ cells are also slightly more sensitive to latrunculin and tda2Δ also partially restores the growth of tpm1Δ cells. Second, cap1Δ cells are very sensitive to latrunculin because of their low levels of free actin and cap1Δ also partially restores growth to tpm1Δ cells. Third, whereas a high level of latrunculin inhibits the growth of tpm1Δ cells, an intermediate level can enhance their ability to grow. We traced the mechanism to the potency difference between formins, Bni1 and Bnr1 (Moseley and Goode, 2005). Our data indicate that lowering the level of free actin biases cable nucleation to Bnr1, thereby allowing the limiting supply of Tpm2 to stabilize longer cables from the bud neck. This enhances essential cable-dependent processes, such as the delivery of secretory vesicles and organelle segregation.

In summary, we have uncovered an additional mechanism for regulating actin assembly in budding yeast. Aim21 is conserved in yeast, including Schizosaccharomyces pombe, although not clearly present in other eukaryotes. Nevertheless, it raises the possibility that other organisms may have additional barbed end assembly inhibitors with specific localizations and functions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains

Yeast transformations were performed according to Gietz and Schiestl (2007). Chromosomal manipulation used homologous recombination-based integration (Longtine et al., 1998) and their genotypes were confirmed by PCR for correct integration. Yeast strains and plasmid constructs were used in this study are listed in Supplemental Tables S1 and S2, respectively.

To generate AIM21(PP3-4+CT) strains, chromosomal AIM21 was deleted first and then replaced by AIM21(PP3-4+CT)-GFp or AIM21(PP3-4+CT)-mNeonGreen. To generate the aim21Δ BBC1-AIM21(CT) strain, we first made the AIM21-mCherry strain and then, from its genomic DNA, amplified the AIM21(CT)-mCherry region including the selectable marker with flanking sequences that target the C-terminus of BBC1 by PCR. This PCR product was transformed into aim21Δ to make aim21Δ BBC1-AIM21(CT)-mCherry.

Microscopy and analysis

Images were acquired using a spinning disk confocal microscope (Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver, CO). It consisted of an inverted microscope (Leica DMi6000B), a spinning disk confocal unit (Yokogawa CSU-X1), a fiber-optic laser light source, a 100× 1.47NA field planarity (PL) apochromat (APO) objective lens, and a scientific...
Cells grown at room temperature to their log phase were used for imaging both for live cell imaging and phallolidin staining. All imaging was done at 26°C. Cells were immobilized on concanavalin A–coated glass bottom culture dishes (MatTek) and supplemented with synthetic complete media or on 1.5% agarose gel beds made with synthetic complete media. Visualization of F-actin in yeast cells using fluorophore-conjugated phallolidin was done as described by Pringle et al. (1989), with a few modifications. We used 4% paraformaldehyde to fix the cells and 3% (vol/vol) Alexa Fluor 568 phallolidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific A12380) in phosphate-buffered saline. Different interference contrast (DIC) images were taken together with fluorescence images and used to outline the cells when they cannot be clearly defined from fluorescence images alone.

For analysis of the number of actin cables, a line was drawn perpendicular to the mother–daughter axis at the center of the mother cell and the number of intersections of this line and actin cables was counted. For analysis of Aim21 localization, the GFP signal intensities of different Aim21 constructs at patches were measured, and the background was set by selecting the same sized area outside of the cell because of the different cytoplasmic levels of Aim21 constructs. For analysis of actin patch intensity, Abp1 patch intensity, and Cap1 patch intensity, the background was set by selecting the same sized area inside the cell next to the patches that were selected for analysis. For analysis of Abp1 patch lifespan, center 5 planes (0.28-μm distance between planes) were taken and only the patches that disappeared in the center three planes were included for analysis to exclude the patches that simply moved out of the capture area. For analysis of localizations in sla2Δ cells, green and red intensities were measured along a line perpendicular to the plasma membrane. Intensities values were aligned to the pixel with the highest green signal.

For statistical analysis, Student’s t test was used to determine p values.

**Latrunculin sensitivity assay**

Latrunculin sensitivity assay was done as described by Winder et al. (2003). Yeast cells were grown to log phase in synthetic complete media and 40 μl of the cultures were diluted into 2 ml of 2xYPD. The amount of 2 ml of 1% molten agarose cooled down to 55°–60°C was added. The mixture was briefly vortexed and poured on a yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) plate evenly. The plates were then incubated for 3 h at room temperature for the agarose to solidify. After addition of one-tenth volume of 10xCaCl₂ buffer (500 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM ethylene glycol-bis[β-aminoethyl ether]-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid [EGTA], 5 mM DTT, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) to purified rabbit skeletal actin (7 μM), incubating at room temperature for 4 h, diluting to 1 μM, incubating further 4 h, and sonicating the solution to shear assembled F-actin into smaller pieces. They were spun down briefly (17,000 × g at 4°C for 30 s) to remove denatured actin and then kept on ice at least for an hour before use to prevent changes in the number of ends during the course of experiments. Purified and clarified actin was prepared by adding one-tenth volume of 10xF-actin buffer to prevent assembly into filamentous actin (F-actin). F-actin seeds were prepared by adding one-tenth volume of 10xF-actin buffer (500 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM ethylene glycol-bis[β-aminoethyl ether]-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid [EGTA], 5 mM DTT, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) to purified rabbit skeletal actin (7 μM), incubating at room temperature for 4 h, diluting to 1 μM, incubating further 4 h, and sonicating the solution to shear assembled F-actin into smaller pieces. They were spun down briefly (17,000 × g at 4°C for 30 s) to remove denatured actin and then kept on ice at least for an hour before use to prevent changes in the number of ends during the course of experiments. Purified and clarified actin in G-actin buffer was mixed with pyrene-labeled actin (Cytoskeleton) at 5% and then diluted into 0.056 mg/ml. The actin solution was centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 30 min to remove any F-actin that might have formed. After addition of one-tenth volume of 10xCa²⁺-Mg²⁺ exchange buffer for 2 min, the assembly assay was run at room temperature by mixing 135 μl G-actin, 10 μl protein solutions in 1xF-actin buffer, 15 μl 10xF-actin buffer, and 20 μl F-actin seeds to yield a final concentration of 0.042 mg/ml (1 μM) actin. F-actin seeds were tested at least twice at the beginning and end of experiments to ensure the reproducibility of the seeds. Pyrene fluorescence intensity was measured by a fluorometer (Photon Technology International, PTI) with a setting of 365 nm for excitation and 407 nm for emission. Because this fluorometer does not have an injection system, we opened the housing when adding to the reaction, which gave readings close to 0 during the open period.

**Protein purification**

pE-SUMOPro from LifeSensors was used to create plasmids that express 6His-SUMO-Aim21, 6His-SUMO-Aim21(PPI-1), 6His-SUMO-Aim21(CT), 6His-SUMO-Cap1, 6His-SUMO-Cap2, and 6His-SUMO-Tda2. These plasmids were transformed into Rosetta 2 (DE3) pLysS cells from Novagen. Bacterial cells transformed with the plasmids were grown in terrific broth to log phase and treated with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 37°C for 3.5 h to induce the expression of recombinant proteins. Expressed recombinant proteins then were purified using nickel-nitrioltriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose resin from Qiagen. Lysis buffer and wash buffer were composed of 20 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4, and 20 mM sodium phosphate; 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, pH 7.4, was used for elution buffer. For cleaving 6His-SUMO (Small Ubiquitin-like Modifier) tags off the purified proteins, recombinant 6His-Ulp1 was used. Cleaved 6His-SUMO tags and 6His-Ulp1 were removed using Ni-NTA resin. Purity of proteins was determined by SDS–PAGE. Proteins were dialyzed into 20 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4, for pull-down assays or into 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ATP, pH 7.5 (F-actin buffer), for actin assembly assays. Concentration of proteins was determined by using Coomassie-stained SDS–PAGE gels with bovine serum albumin standards.

**Pull-down assay**

Pull-down assays were performed in 20 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4. Ni-NTA resins was washed with the pull-down buffer first and then incubated with proteins at 4°C overnight. Resins were washed three times with the buffer to remove unbound proteins. Equivalent molar concentrations of proteins were added, except for Tda2, which was added in excess as it was hard to detect due to its low molecular weight.

**Actin assembly assay**

Rabbit skeletal actin was purified as described by MacLean-Fletcher and Pollard (1980). Purified actin was stored in 2 mM Tris-HCl, 0.2 mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), pH 8.0 (monomeric actin (G-actin) buffer), to prevent assembly into filamentous actin (F-actin). F-actin seeds were prepared by adding one-tenth volume of 10xF-actin buffer (500 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM ethylene glycol-bis[β-aminoethyl ether]-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid [EGTA], 5 mM DTT, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) to purified rabbit skeletal actin (7 μM), incubating at room temperature for 4 h, diluting to 1 μM, incubating further 4 h, and sonicating the solution to shear assembled F-actin into smaller pieces. They were spun down briefly (17,000 × g at 4°C for 30 s) to remove denatured actin and then kept on ice at least for an hour before use to prevent changes in the number of ends during the course of experiments. Purified and clarified actin in G-actin buffer was mixed with pyrene-labeled actin (Cytoskeleton) at 5% and then diluted into 0.056 mg/ml. The actin solution was centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 30 min to remove any F-actin that might have formed. After addition of one-tenth volume of 10xCa²⁺-Mg²⁺ exchange buffer for 2 min, the assembly assay was run at room temperature by mixing 135 μl G-actin, 10 μl protein solutions in 1xF-actin buffer, 15 μl 10xF-actin buffer, and 20 μl F-actin seeds to yield a final concentration of 0.042 mg/ml (1 μM) actin. F-actin seeds were tested at least twice at the beginning and end of experiments to ensure the reproducibility of the seeds. Pyrene fluorescence intensity was measured by a fluorometer (Photon Technology International, PTI) with a setting of 365 nm for excitation and 407 nm for emission. Because this fluorometer does not have an injection system, we opened the housing when adding to the reaction, which gave readings close to 0 during the open period.

**Cosedimentation assay**

Actin filaments in 1xF-actin buffer were incubated with Aim21/Tda2 in 1xF-actin buffer or equivalent volume of 1xF-actin buffer for 30 min at 4°C. They were then pelleted by centrifugation (160,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C). The top 90% of the reaction was taken as supernatant, and the remainder was taken as pellet.
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