In situ observation of atmospheric oxygen and carbon dioxide in the North Pacific using a cargo ship
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Abstract. Atmospheric oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}) and carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) variations in the North Pacific were measured aboard a cargo ship, the New Century 2 (NC2), while it cruised between Japan and the United States between December 2015 and November 2016. A fuel cell analyzer and a nondispersive infrared analyzer were used for the measurement of O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2}, respectively. To achieve parts-per-million precision for the O\textsubscript{2} measurements, we precisely controlled the flow rates of the sample and reference air introduced into the analyzers and the outlet pressure. A relatively low airflow rate (10 cm\textsuperscript{3} min\textsuperscript{-1}) was adopted to reduce the consumption rate of the reference gases. In the laboratory, the system achieved measurement precisions of 3.8 per meg for δ(O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2}), which is commonly used to express atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} variation, and 0.1 ppm for the CO\textsubscript{2} mole fraction. After the in situ observation started aboard NC2, we found that the ship’s motion caused false wavy variations in the O\textsubscript{2} signal with an amplitude of more than several tens of ppm and a period of about 20 s. Although we have not resolved the problem at this stage, hourly averaging considerably suppressed the variation associated with ship motion. Comparison between the in situ observation and flask sampling of air samples aboard NC2 showed that the averaged differences (in situ–flask) and the standard deviations (±1σ) are −2.8 ± 9.4 per meg for δ(O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2}) and −0.02 ± 0.33 ppm for the CO\textsubscript{2} mole fraction. We compared 1 year of in situ data for atmospheric potential oxygen (APO; O\textsubscript{2} +1.1×CO\textsubscript{2}) obtained from the broad middle-latitude region (140°E–130°W, 29°N–45°N) with previous flask sampling data from the North Pacific. This comparison showed that longitudinal differences in the seasonal amplitude of APO, ranging from 51 to 73 per meg, were smaller than the latitudinal differences.

1 Introduction

The balance of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from fossil fuel combustion, land biotic CO\textsubscript{2} uptake, and ocean CO\textsubscript{2} uptake determines long-term change in the atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} burden. At the same time, fossil fuel combustion consumes atmospheric O\textsubscript{2}, while the land biotic CO\textsubscript{2} uptake is accompanied by the emission of O\textsubscript{2} into the atmosphere. Additionally, today’s ocean is considered to be a weak source of O\textsubscript{2} because of recent ocean warming (Bopp et al., 2002; Keeling and Garcia, 2002; Plattner et al., 2002). The CO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} exchanges for biotic processes and fossil fuel combustion are stoichiometrically related, and the fossil fuel consumption rate can be reliably estimated from energy statistics. Therefore, coupled measurements of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} have been used to constrain global CO\textsubscript{2} budgets by simultaneously solving the equations for the atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} budgets (Keeling and Shertz, 1992; Battle et al., 2000, 2006; Manning and Keeling, 2006; Tohjima et al., 2008; Ishidoya et al., 2012).

Atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} measurements are also useful for understanding air–sea gas exchange. This is based on the fact that the air–sea exchange of O\textsubscript{2} is more than 1 order of magnitude faster than that of CO\textsubscript{2}; the chemical equilibrium of dissolved inorganic carbon (dissolved CO\textsubscript{2}, bicarbonate, and carbonate ions) in seawater suppresses the air–sea exchange of CO\textsubscript{2} (e.g., Keeling et al., 1993). For example, seasonality in ocean biotic activity and sea surface temperature is poorly reflected in air–sea CO\textsubscript{2} exchange, while oceanic O\textsubscript{2} fluxes show clear seasonal variations. The role of atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} as a tracer of air–sea gas exchange is emphasized by the introduction of the tracer atmospheric potential oxygen (APO), which is defined as APO = O\textsubscript{2} +1.1×CO\textsubscript{2} (Stephens et al., 1998), where 1.1 represents the −O\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} exchange ratio associated with land biotic activity (Severinghaus, 1995). Since APO is in-
variant with respect to land biotic O₂ and CO₂ exchange and predominantly reflects air–sea gas exchange, spatiotemporal variations in APO have been used to study gas fluxes associated with ocean ventilation (Lueker et al., 2003) and ocean spring bloom (Yamagishi et al., 2008) to estimate net ocean production (Keeling and Shertz, 1992; Balkanski et al., 1999; Nevison et al., 2012) and to validate ocean biogeochemical models (Stephens et al., 1998; Naegler et al., 2007; Nevison et al., 2008, 2015, 2016).

The precision required to detect atmospheric O₂ variation is at the µmol mol⁻¹ (ppm) level, which is considerably smaller than the atmospheric O₂ mole fraction of about 21%. Keeling (1988) was the first to develop an atmospheric O₂ measurement technique with this precision using an interferometer and showing the usefulness of O₂ measurements to study the global carbon cycle. Since then, several O₂ measurement techniques based on a mass spectrometer (Bender et al., 1994), a paramagnetic analyzer (Manning et al., 1999), a fuel cell analyzer (Stephens et al., 2007), and a vacuum ultraviolet absorption photometer (Stephens et al., 2003) have been developed and applied to atmospheric observations (cf. Keeling and Manning, 2014). When the change in atmospheric O₂ concentration is compared with that of CO₂, it is expressed as a deviation of the O₂ / N₂ ratio from an arbitrary reference according to

$$\delta (O_2/N_2) = \frac{(O_2/N_2)_{sam} - (O_2/N_2)_{ref}}{(O_2/N_2)_{ref}} - 1,$$

where the subscripts sam and ref represent sample and reference gases, respectively, and the δ(O₂ / N₂) value is usually converted to a “per meg” value, which approximates parts per million, by multiplying it by 10⁶ (Keeling and Shertz, 1992). The mole fraction is not used as a measure of O₂ abundance because the changes in the mole fraction of major atmospheric constituents like O₂ are sometimes very confusing. For example, adding 1 µmol of O₂ to an air parcel containing 1 mol of dry air results in a 0.79 ppm increase in the O₂ mole fraction, and adding 1 µmol of CO₂ results in not only a 1 ppm increase in the CO₂ mole fraction but also a 0.21 ppm decrease in the O₂ mole fraction. These confusing results are attributed to influences of the changes in the total number of moles in the air parcel on the mole fractions or a dilution effect (e.g., Keeling et al., 1998; Tohjima, 2000). However, adding 1 µmol of O₂ to 1 mol of dry air, which contains 0.2094 mol of O₂ (Tohjima et al., 2005), always results in a 4.77 per meg change in the δ(O₂ / N₂) value.

The National Institute of Environmental Studies, Japan (NIES) also developed a technique to measure atmospheric O₂ based on a gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (GC–TCD; Tohjima, 2000). NIES began measuring atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ by collecting air samples in glass flasks at two monitoring stations, Hateruma Island in July 1997 and Cape Ochiishi in December 1998 (Tohjima et al., 2003, 2008). Additionally, to extend the observation area, we started flask sampling aboard cargo ships sailing between Japan and Australia–New Zealand (Oceanian route) and between Japan and North America (North American route) in December 2011 (Tohjima et al., 2005, 2012). In situ measurements of atmospheric O₂ using the GC–TCD technique also started aboard a cargo ship between Japan and Australia–New Zealand in 2007 (Yamagishi et al., 2012).

These O₂ and CO₂ data from widespread Pacific regions were used to investigate the spatial distribution of the climatological seasonal cycle of APO and the annual mean values of APO (Tohjima et al., 2012). Latitudinal transects of the data in the western Pacific region revealed that variation in the magnitude of the bulge in annual mean APO was associated with the El Niño–Southern Oscillation cycle (Tohjima et al., 2015). This analysis was made possible by the relatively high spatiotemporal sampling density in the western Pacific. In contrast, the spatiotemporally sporadic APO data obtained from the North American route made it difficult to investigate interannual variations in the northern and eastern North Pacific. In 2015, Pickers et al. (2017) started in situ observations of atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ with a fuel cell analyzer and nondispersive infrared analyzer aboard a commercial container ship regularly traveling in the Atlantic Ocean between Hamburg, Germany, and Buenos Aires, Argentina. They also showed the usefulness of continuous observation to reveal the spatiotemporal APO distribution. Therefore, in December 2015, we initiated a program of in situ measurements aboard a cargo ship, the New Century 2 (NC2), sailing between Japan (Tahara port) and North America.

Since June 2014, atmospheric greenhouse gas measurements, including flask sampling (seven flasks per round-trip), have been conducted aboard NC2 along the North American route in the Pacific. We also had an opportunity to install an atmospheric O₂ measurement system aboard NC2. However, since the onboard space allotted to us was limited, we had to make the measurement system smaller by reducing the number of cylinders required for the system. In addition, since it is difficult to load and unload high-pressure gas cylinders on ocean-going ships, we needed to reduce the consumption rate of reference gases to reduce the cylinder exchange frequency. With these constraints, the GC–TCD technique, which requires at least 16 m³ of He as a carrier gas for 1 year of continuous O₂ observation, was unsuitable for use aboard NC2. Therefore, we developed a low-flow system to perform in situ atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ measurements aboard NC2. In this paper, we present the details of the measurement system and report its fundamental performance in laboratory testing. We also discuss a problem that occurred when the measurement system was installed aboard NC2. Finally, we present 1 year of atmospheric O₂, CO₂, and APO data and discuss the longitudinal distribution of the seasonal APO cycle in the North Pacific.
2 Methods

2.1 Analytical system

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the in situ observation system used aboard NC2. We used a fuel cell analyzer (Oxzilla II; Sable Systems, USA) and a nondispersive infrared analyzer (LI-840A; LI-COR, USA) for the onboard measurement of O$_2$ and CO$_2$, respectively. After passing through a polypropylene cartridge filter with a mesh size of 7 μm (MCP-7-C10S; ADVANTEC, Japan), the sample air is drawn by a diaphragm pump (MOA-P108-HB; Gast Manufacturing, USA) at a flow rate of about $8 \times 10^3$ cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ and introduced into a spherical glass vessel with a volume of about $2 \times 10^3$ cm$^3$. The air is vented to the atmosphere through a back-pressure regulator (6800AL; KOFLOC, Japan), which keeps the pressure inside the spherical vessel at about 0.05 MPa above ambient pressure. Water that condenses within the spherical vessel is drained from the bottom by a peristaltic pump (7016-21; Masterflex, USA). The sample gas for the O$_2$ and CO$_2$ measurements is drawn from the center of the spherical vessel through 1/16 inch stainless steel (SUS) tubing. The technique of sampling from a spherical glass vessel was adopted to reduce the fractionation of the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio (Yamagishi et al., 2008).

Before the dried sample gas is introduced into the mass flow controller, it passes through a multi-position valve (EMTCSD6MWM; Valco Instruments Co. Inc., USA) to which three standard gases are connected. During the calibration procedures, the multi-position valve selects the standard gases instead of the sample air, which is vented to the ambient air via a needle valve (2204; KOFLOC, Japan) at a flow rate of about $1 \times 10^3$ cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$.
rate about 10 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The 48 L aluminum cylinder for the working reference gas and the three 10 L aluminum cylinders for the standard gases are stored horizontally in thermally insulated boxes.

Custom software developed in LabVIEW (National Instruments Co., USA) running on a PC controls valve operation and the acquisition of digital data from the O$_2$ and CO$_2$ analyzers and analog data from the mass flow and pressure sensors.

2.2 Cold trap

Among the constituents of tropospheric air, water vapor shows the widest range of variation, which causes apparent variations in the O$_2$ mole fraction of the air because atmospheric O$_2$ is a major constituent of air ($\sim$ 21 %). For example, a water vapor increase of 1 ppm causes a 0.2 ppm apparent decrease in the O$_2$ mole fraction. Therefore, a two-stage cold trap was adopted to reduce the water vapor in the sample air to less than 1 ppm. Figure 2a shows the first version of the cold trap, which consisted of a free-piston Stirling cooler (FPSC) module (SC-UE15R; Twinbird, Japan), two disk-shaped aluminum blocks, a 1/8 inch SUS tube, and a drum-shaped glass vessel with a volume of about 1.0 $\times$ 10$^3$ cm$^3$. The aluminum blocks had four grooves for the 1/8 inch SUS tubes, including spare tubes to address clogging. The aluminum blocks were placed between the cold head of the FPSC module and the glass vessel and contact was tight. A platinum resistance thermometer was inserted into the aluminum block, and a temperature controller (E5CC; OMRON, Japan) regulated the FPSC module to maintain the aluminum blocks at $-80$ °C. The sample air was dried by passing through the glass vessel first and then the SUS tube.

When the first cold trap was used for preliminary measurements at NIES during the summer (with high humidity), it worked without clogging for at least 1 month, which is the typical duration for a round-trip using the North American route. However, the measurements were often interrupted because the SUS tube and inlet of the glass vessel clogged when it was used aboard NC2, and the frequency of clogging increased as the season progressed from winter to spring to summer.

Thus, we changed the cold trap to the second design shown in Fig. 2b. In the second version of the cold trap, the cylindrical aluminum block with several holes is in contact with the cold head of the FPSC module, and a cylindrical glass vessel (volume of about 1.5 $\times$ 10$^3$ cm$^3$) and 1/8 inch SUS tube are inserted in the holes. The cold head and the aluminum block are insulated by a polyethylene resin cover. We began to use this cold trap for the shipboard measurements in September 2016, and the cold trap has not clogged since that time due to the more complete chilling of the glass vessel.

2.3 Calculation of ΔO$_2$, ΔCO$_2$, and $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)

The O$_2$ analyzer, equipped with two fuel cell sensors, was designed to precisely measure the difference in the O$_2$ mole fraction between two airstreams. Therefore, the change in the O$_2$ mole fraction of the sample gas is reported as a relative change with respect to the working reference gas, which is supplied from the high-pressure aluminum cylinder (48 L). In previous studies (e.g., Stephens et al., 2007; Thompson et al., 2007; van der Laan-Luijkx et al., 2010; Goto et al., 2013), the sample and reference air are alternately introduced into each fuel cell sensor by switching the four-way two-position valve at 1 to 5 min intervals. In this study, we adopted 2 min for the valve-switching intervals in light of the responses of the O$_2$ and CO$_2$ analyzer after valve switching, as described below. Figure 3a shows the temporal variation in the differential output signal of the O$_2$ analyzer during a test run in which a reference gas from a high-pressure cylinder was used as a sample gas. Although the flow rate in this system (10 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$) is more than 4 times slower than the flow rates used in previous studies, the output signal shows an almost rectangular shape. The signal plateaus at least 1 min after the valve switching, and the output signal is averaged from the second minute of the cycle (Fig. 3c). The deviation of the O$_2$ mole fraction in the sample gas from that of the working reference
gas for the $i$th 2 min interval, $\Delta O_2,i$, is computed based on the 1 min average according to the following equation:

$$\Delta O_2,i = (-1)^{(i-1)} \left[ v_i - \frac{(v_{i-1} + v_{i+1})}{2} \right] / 2,$$

where $v_i$ represents the average of the output signal for the second minute of the $i$th 2 min interval and the output signal represents the difference of the sample gas minus working reference gas when $i$ is an odd number greater than 1.

In contrast to the $O_2$ analyzer, the $CO_2$ analyzer alternately measures the sample and working reference gases. The temporal variation in the output signal of the $CO_2$ analyzer is depicted in Fig. 3b and d. As shown in the figure, the output signal does not plateau until after more than 90 s because of the relatively low flow rate in comparison with the volume of the optical cell of the LI-840A analyzer (14.5 cm$^3$). Therefore, we compute an average of the output signal for the last 20 s of each 2 min interval. Then, the deviation of the $CO_2$ mole fraction of the sample gas from the working reference gas, $\Delta CO_2,i$, is computed according to

$$\Delta CO_2,i = (-1)^{(i-1)} \left[ w_i - \frac{(w_{i-1} + w_{i+1})}{2} \right],$$

where $w_i$ represents the average of the last 20 s of data for the $i$th 2 min interval. Again, the sample gas is introduced into the $CO_2$ analyzer when $i$ is an odd number greater than 1.

The variation in atmospheric $O_2$ is expressed as the change in $\delta(O_2 / N_2)$ with respect to an arbitrary reference value, and $\delta(O_2 / N_2)$ is defined according to Eq. (1). Based on the $\Delta O_2$ and $\Delta CO_2$ values, $\delta(O_2 / N_2)$ is given by the following equation:

$$\delta \left( \frac{O_2}{N_2} \right) = \frac{\Delta O_2}{S_{O_2} (1 - S_{O_2})} + \frac{\Delta CO_2}{S_{CO_2} (1 - S_{CO_2})},$$

where $S_{O_2}$ represents the $O_2$ mole fraction in dry air ($S_{O_2} = 0.2094$; Tohjima et al., 2005). In this calculation, we assume that only $O_2$ and $CO_2$ show more than parts-per-million-level variation among all constituents of dry air, except nitrogen.

The time series of $\delta(O_2 / N_2)$ and $\Delta CO_2$ calculated by Eqs. (2), (3), and (4) for “sample” gas provided from a high-pressure cylinder against the working reference air are plotted in Fig. 4. The standard deviations for $\delta(O_2 / N_2)$ and $\Delta CO_2$ calculated from 20 h of data are 3.8 per meg and 0.1 ppm, respectively, which likely represents the best possible precision because the measurements were taken in an air-conditioned laboratory.

### 2.4 Preliminary measurements of atmospheric $O_2$ and $CO_2$

We conducted preliminary observations of atmospheric $O_2$ and $CO_2$ variations at Tsukuba, Japan during the period 10–17 July 2015 to examine the performance of the $O_2$ and $CO_2$ measurement system. Outside air was drawn by the diaphragm pump from an air intake placed on top of our labora-

---

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/18/9283/2018/

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 9283–9295, 2018
Tsukuba, the conducted in summer and coal consumption is limited in a clear negative correlation with the exchange ratio of mole fraction. A scatter plot of CO$_2$ diurnal cycle with an increase in daytime and decrease at nighttime. The standard gases with 387, 406, and 434 ppm only before the observation. As determined from measurements of three standard gases during the observation. As for the CO$_2$ mole fraction, a single calibration line of linear function was determined from measurements of three standard gases with 387, 406, and 434 ppm only before the observation. The δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) value and CO$_2$ mole fraction were reported in our own original scales: the NIES δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) scale (Tohjima et al., 2008) and the NIES 09 CO$_2$ scale (Machida et al., 2011).

As shown in Fig. 5, the observed δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) revealed a diurnal cycle with an increase in daytime and decrease at nighttime. This cycle was inversely correlated with the CO$_2$ mole fraction. A scatter plot of CO$_2$ and δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) shows a clear negative correlation with the ΔO$_2$ / ΔCO$_2$ slope of −1.189 ± 0.004, which is close to the land biotic O$_2$ to CO$_2$ exchange ratio of −1.10 ± 0.05. Since the observation was conducted in summer and coal consumption is limited in Tsukuba, the ΔO$_2$ / ΔCO$_2$ slope means that the observed CO$_2$ changes can be predominantly attributed to activity on land. During the observation, 32 min measurements of a check gas (CPD-00012: −426 per meg for δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) and 407.12 ppm for CO$_2$) supplied from an aluminum 10 L cylinder were repeated twice daily. The δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) values and the CO$_2$ mole fractions of the check gas showed steady values (Fig. 5); the average and the standard deviation (±σ) were −427.5 ± 4.1 per meg for δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) and 407.11 ± 0.11 ppm for CO$_2$. Moreover, there was no significant drift in the LI-840A analyzer during this observation. These results indicate the stability of the O$_2$ and CO$_2$ measurement system.

2.5 In situ measurements aboard NC2

In December 2015, the measurement system was installed in a deckhouse aboard NC2. An air intake was placed on a left-side deck rail of the navigation bridge, and air was drawn via a DK tube (NITTA, Japan) with an outer diameter of 10 mm and a length of about 50 m. A 48 L aluminum cylinder for the working reference gas and three 10 L aluminum cylinders for the O$_2$ and CO$_2$ standard gases were placed in thermally insulated boxes, which were laid horizontally on a shelf to minimize the inhomogeneous distribution of δ(O$_2$ / N$_2$) within the cylinders associated with temperature and pressure gradients (Keeling et al., 1998, 2007).

The two standard gases with −579 per meg (tank CPD-00010) and −270 per meg (tank CPD-00011) were used for calibration of the O$_2$ analyzer. Since the CO$_2$ mole fractions of these two standard gases are almost the same (∼407 ppm), we additionally used a third standard gas with a CO$_2$ mole fraction of 448.3 ppm (tank CPB-17350) to calibrate the CO$_2$ analyzer. During every 24 h period, these three standard gases were measured for 32 min each. To determine the calibration lines for both the O$_2$ and CO$_2$ analyzers precisely,
measurements of the three standard gases were repeated over 24 h when NC2 berthed at the port of Tahara, Japan.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Influence of ship motion

After beginning the in situ measurements aboard NC2, we found that the ship motions did not affect the response of the CO$_2$ analyzer but did seriously affect the response of the O$_2$ analyzer. Figure 6a and b show temporal variations in the output signal of the O$_2$ analyzer for the standard gas when NC2 was berthed at the port of Tahara and was cruising on the Pacific Ocean, respectively. The output signal during the cruise (Fig. 6b and d) shows apparent variations with peak-to-peak amplitudes of more than several tens of ppm and peak-to-peak periods of about 20 s. Pickers (2016) reported that similar apparent variations caused by ship motion were superimposed on the output signals of individual fuel cells in an Oxzilla II analyzer. However, in the Pickers instrument, the differential signal of both fuel cells did not show apparent variations because the motion-induced variations were almost compensated for completely by the differential signals.

We installed a three-dimensional accelerometer on the O$_2$ analyzer on 3 March 2016 to examine the relationship between the Oxzilla output signals and the ship’s motion. The apparent variations in the output signal were associated with the variations in the acceleration of one axis or another and both amplitudes were roughly proportional to each other. However, we have not succeeded in describing the apparent variations with a linear function of the measured accelerations. This is because the sensitivity of the O$_2$ analyzer to the acceleration along the three axes seems to be unstable with time. Therefore, at this stage we cannot remove the apparent variations associated with the ship motions with a simple algorithm.

Figure 7 shows temporal variations in the $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$) value of the two standard gases relative to the working gas during the 1-year period of this study. In the figure, each blue circle represents the 32 min average of the standard gas during the voyages. The standard deviations of the 32 min averages were less than 13 per meg, suggesting that the averaging procedure for several tens of minutes can effectively suppress the errors caused by ship motion. For example, the expected standard deviation of the hourly $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$) value for the standard gases is 9 per meg ($=13/\sqrt{2}$).

The uncertainties in the 32 min averages of the standard gases are too large for calibration of the O$_2$ analyzer. In Fig. 7, the average $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$) values of the standard gases determined when NC2 was berthed at the port of Tahara are also plotted as black circles with error bars showing the standard deviations. Unfortunately, the standard deviations for the standard gases were larger than the expected values obtained in our laboratory, as discussed in Sect. 2.3. However, the standard errors were lower than 2 per meg because the measurements were continued for more than 5 h for the individual standard gases. Therefore, we calibrated the O$_2$ analyzer using calibration lines based on the results at the port just before and after each round-trip voyage.

3.2 Comparison between flask sampling and in situ observations

During the 1-year period from December 2015 through November 2016, the in situ measurements of the atmospheric O$_2$ and CO$_2$ were conducted during nine round-trip voyages, from NC2-123 to NC2-131, along the North American route. The individual cruise tracks are depicted in Fig. 8a, in which thin lines correspond to intervals of missing measurements. We obtained no in situ data during the two westbound voyages of NC2-123 and NC2-128 because the cold trap became clogged. Along with the in situ measurements, air samples were collected in seven 2.5 L glass flasks at fixed longitudes (130, 145, 160, 175°W, 170, 155, and 145°E) during each westbound cruise.
\[ \delta \text{APO} = \delta \left( \frac{O_2}{N_2} \right) + 1.1 \times \frac{X_{CO_2}}{SO_2} - 1850, \]

where 1850 is an arbitrary APO reference point adopted by NIES. In Fig. 9, each point for the in situ measurement represents the hourly average, and the data outside the longitudinal range between 140° E and 128° W are excluded because of significant contamination by anthropogenic emissions from the coastal regions of Japan and North America. The time series in Fig. 9 clearly shows seasonal cycles and the in situ data seem to agree with the flask data. The differences in the \( \delta(O_2 / N_2) \), CO\textsubscript{2}, and APO values between the in situ and the 39 flask measurements (in situ–flask) are depicted in Fig. 10. The averaged differences with standard deviations were \(-2.8 \pm 9.4 \) per meg for \( \delta(O_2 / N_2) \), \(-0.02 \pm 0.33 \) ppm of CO\textsubscript{2}, and \(-2.9 \pm 9.5 \) per meg of APO. Taking into account the uncertainties in the flask measurements (5 per meg for \( \delta(O_2 / N_2) \) and 0.05 ppm for CO\textsubscript{2} measurements; Tohjima et al., 2003), we conclude that the uncertainties in the in situ measurements aboard NC2 were 8.0 per meg for \( \delta(O_2 / N_2) \) and 0.33 ppm for CO\textsubscript{2}. The differences between flask sampling and in situ measurements by the GC–TCD method were reported as \(-0.6 \pm 9.1 \) per meg of APO on the Oceansian route (Tohjima et al., 2015), and \(7.0 \pm 9.9 \) per meg of \( \delta(O_2 / N_2) \) at Cape Ochiishi (Yamagishi et al., 2008). From these results, we conclude that the reliability of the O\textsubscript{2} measurements in this study is similar to that of the GC–TCD method.

The time series of the in situ data shown in Fig. 9 do not necessarily show smooth changes, which may be partly attributed to the fact that the onboard observations were conducted in the broad area of the North Pacific. For example, the APO values are relatively low (< −180 per meg) during the eastbound voyage NC2-125 in early March and high (> −100 per meg) during the eastbound voyage NC2-127 in late May. The longitudinal distributions of the 5 h running average of APO for the individual round-trip cruises are depicted in Fig. 8b, which clearly shows these anomalously low (blue line of NC2-125) and high (light blue line of NC2-127) APO distributions. Preliminary analyses of the cause of these anomalies point to atmospheric transport and the expected air–sea gas exchanges in the source regions. Detailed discussions of the anomalous changes are beyond the scope of this paper and will be presented in a future publication.
3.3 Distribution of seasonal cycles in the North Pacific

We investigated the longitudinal distribution of the seasonal amplitude of APO in the middle latitudes of the North Pacific using 1 year of in situ data within the area of 29–45° N and 140° E–130° W (Fig. 8a). The in situ data were binned into 10 longitudinal bands (140–150° E, 150–160° E, . . . , 140–130° W) and fitted to the following function using a least-squares method:

$$ f(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \sum_{i=1}^{2} [a_{2i} \sin (2\pi it) + a_{2i+1} \cos (2\pi it)], \quad (6) $$

where $a_1$ is a detrending coefficient ($-7.9$ per meg yr$^{-1}$) determined from the APO values measured from the flask samples collected aboard NC2 during the 2-year period 2014–2016.

The detrended in situ data for the individual longitudinal bins and the fitted average seasonal cycles are shown in Fig. 11. The APO seasonal amplitudes for the 10 bins are plotted along with the longitude in Fig. 12a. For comparison, we also plot the seasonal amplitudes of APO in the North Pacific reported by Tohjima et al. (2012). In the previous study, APO data from the flask samples collected in the Pacific during the period from 2002 to 2008 were binned into several rectangular regions and the seasonal cycles for the binned data were examined in the same way as in this study. The seasonal amplitudes in the previous study varied from 20 per meg to 110 per meg, while those in this study varied from 51 per meg to 73 per meg. The difference in the seasonal amplitudes seems to be explained by the dependence of the latitudinal distribution, which is clearly shown in Fig. 12b, in which all the seasonal amplitudes shown in Fig. 12a are plotted along with the latitude. Such latitudinal dependence of
Figure 11. Detrended seasonal variations in APO for the 10 longitudinal bins within the rectangular area of 29–45° N and 140° E–130° W. Red circles represent hourly averages of in situ APO data. Solid lines are average seasonal cycles determined by a least-squares method. Dashed lines indicate 95% confidence intervals determined by a linear prediction model.

the APO amplitude was previously pointed out for data from the western Pacific (Tohjima et al., 2005, 2012). On the other hand, this study reveals that the longitudinal variability in the seasonal amplitude of APO in the North Pacific is rather small. This preliminary analysis suggests that the temporally and spatially dense atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ data obtained from the in situ observations aboard NC2 will enhance our understanding of air–sea gas exchange in the North Pacific.

4 Conclusions

We developed a shipborne system to continuously measure atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ variations based on a fuel cell oxygen analyzer (Oxzilla II) and a nondispersive infrared CO₂ analyzer (LI-840A). To reduce the consumption rate of the working reference gas and standard gases supplied from high-pressure cylinders, a relatively low flow rate of 10 cm³ min⁻¹ was adopted for the measurement system. By keeping the flow rate and pressure of the system constant, we achieved precisions of 3.8 per meg for the O₂ measurements and 0.1 ppm for the CO₂ measurements in the laboratory.

We installed the measurement system on commercial cargo ship NC2 and started onboard continuous measurements in December 2015. We found that the ship motion significantly affected the output signal of the O₂ analyzer; apparent wavy variations with amplitudes of more than 20 ppm and peak-to-peak periods of about 20 s were superimposed on the output signals during the voyage. Although this variation has not been eliminated yet, 1 h averaging considerably suppresses the variation associated with the ship motion because of the oscillatory nature of the apparent variations. From the comparison between the in situ measurements and simultaneously collected flask samples, we concluded that the uncertainties of δ(O₂ / N₂) and CO₂ mole fraction for the in situ measurements are about 9 per meg and about 0.3 ppm, respectively.

Using the in situ data obtained during the 1-year period from December 2015 to November 2016, we examined the longitudinal (140° E–130° W) distribution of seasonal APO amplitude at the middle latitudes (29–45° N) in the North Pacific. The amplitudes showed rather small longitudinal variability, with a range from 51 per meg to 73 per meg in comparison to the latitudinal variations reported by Tohjima.
et al. (2012). Although the problem related to the motion-induced degradation of \( \text{O}_2 \) measurement precision has not been resolved, this study clearly demonstrated that in situ observations aboard cargo ships can extend the coverage of atmospheric \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) data to a degree that flask sampling could never achieve.
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