A Search for TeV Gamma-Ray Emission from Pulsar Tails by VERITAS
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Abstract

We report on the search for very-high-energy gamma-ray emission from the regions around three nearby supersonic pulsars (PSR B0355+54, PSR J0357+3205, and PSR J1740+1000) that exhibit long X-ray tails. To date there is no clear detection of TeV emission from any pulsar tail that is prominent in X-ray or radio. We provide upper limits on the TeV flux, and luminosity, and also compare these limits with other pulsar wind nebulae detected in X-rays and the tail emission model predictions. We find that at least one of the three tails is likely to be detected in observations that are a factor of 2–3 more sensitive. The analysis presented here also has implications for deriving the properties of pulsar tails, for those pulsars whose tails could be detected in TeV.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Gamma-rays (637); Pulsars (1306)

1. Introduction

Pulsars are among nature’s most powerful particle accelerators, capable of producing particles with energies up to a few PeV. As a neutron star rotates, it imparts some of its immense rotational energy into a magnetized ultrarelativistic particle wind. Although the wind bulk flow speed is initially highly relativistic, the flow decelerates abruptly at the termination shock (TS) to only mildly relativistic speed, due to interaction with the surrounding medium. Beyond the TS, the pitch angle distribution of the wind particles becomes more isotropic and their synchrotron radiation becomes observable, from radio to gamma rays, as a pulsar wind nebula (PWN, plural: PWNe).

In addition to pulsar properties (spin-down energy-loss rate $E$, viewing angle $\zeta$, magnetic spin axis offset $\alpha$, etc.), PWN sizes, and morphologies depend upon the properties of the interstellar medium (ISM), such as the ambient pressure and local speed of sound, $c_s$. Since the “birth kicks” that pulsars receive in their progenitor supernova explosions, $v_p$, are typically on the order of a few hundred km s$^{-1}$ (Verbunt et al. 2017), pulsars will only remain in their host supernova remnant (SNR) for a few tens of kyr. Once a pulsar leaves its SNR, it traverses an environment with a much lower speed of sound: in the ISM, $cs$ typically ranges from a few to a few tens of km s$^{-1}$. Consequently, most pulsars outside of their SNRs move supersonically (i.e., $v_p/c_s \equiv M > 1$, where $M$ is the Mach number). For supersonic pulsars, the ram pressure exerted upon the shocked pulsar wind (PW) by the oncoming...
ISM confines the shocked PW to the direction opposite that of the pulsar motion, forming a bow-shock PWN “head” and an extended pulsar “tail.”

Thus, pulsar tails are ram-pressure-confined outflows of the magnetized synchrotron-emitting relativistic particle wind. Radio and X-ray observations of pulsar tails have shown that they can extend distances of ~10 pc behind their pulsars (see, e.g., Kargaltsev et al. 2017 and Bykov et al. 2017 for reviews), with the longest being the Mouse and Frying Pan PWNe, which both span about 18 pc in radio (see Figure 3 of Klingler et al. 2018, and Ng et al. 2012). We also note that, in some cases, the confinement of the particle wind by the ram pressure may be associated with the relative motion of the surrounding medium, rather than with the pulsar’s motion. For example, the analysis of radio observations (Chevalier & Reynolds 2011) suggests that for the Vela PWN the flow of the surrounding medium is mildly supersonic, after recent passage of the reverse shock and could have been even more supersonic during the passage. H.E. S.S. observations (Aharonian et al. 2006; Abramowski et al. 2012) revealed a strongly elongated TeV structure south of the Vela pulsar (which is also bright in radio) whose X-ray emission has both thermal and nonthermal components (Slane et al. 2018), of which the latter may belong to the PWN (as discussed in Bykov et al. 2017).

PWNe typically emit most of their energy via synchrotron radiation from radio to MeV γ-rays, but PWNe can also be prominent sources of GeV and TeV γ-rays, through the upscattering of CMB photons by the ultrarelativistic wind particles. The higher energy particles that emit synchrotron radiation in X-rays cool on relatively short timescales (~1 kyr, for typical magnetic fields \(B_{\text{PWM}} \gtrsim 10 \mu G\)). The lower energy particles that emit inverse-Compton (IC) radiation at GeV/TeV energies may cool on longer timescales (~10–100 kyr, for typical ISM photon densities) if the magnetic field strength drops to few \(\mu\) Gauss farther away from the pulsar. Therefore, PWNe can extend to larger scales in the TeV regime. In fact, most identified Galactic TeV sources are believed to be PWNe (and/or the SNRs in which they reside; Kargaltsev et al. 2013; Hui et al. 2015; H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018). However, puzzlingly, no supersonic PWNe (SPWNe) with long tails seen in radio and X-rays have been detected in TeV energies yet. Although the very nearby \((d \approx 250\) pc) Geminga pulsar is supersonic (Posselt et al. 2017) and its PWN has been detected in γ-rays (see Abeyskara et al. 2017b & Linden et al. 2017), the extended tail has not been detected in X-rays.

Detecting IC TeV emission from long tails, whose synchrotron emission has been detected in X-rays, would enable an informative diagnostic of pulsar wind properties, since modeling for these SPWNe is simpler than for PWNe still residing within their host SNRs, where one can expect additional contributions to the TeV emission arising from the interaction between the pulsar wind and reverse shock of the SNR or the pulsar wind and dense material inside the SNR.

PSR J0357+3205 (hereafter J0357) is a nearby radio-quiet pulsar discovered through a blind frequency search of the Fermi-LAT data (Abdo et al. 2009). Its spin-down power \((\dot{E} = 5.9 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1})\) is among the lowest of gamma-ray pulsars, even when selecting for pulsars of similar age (\(\tau = 540\) kyr). The distance to J0357 remains uncertain, although De Luca et al. (2011) used a γ-ray “pseudo-distance” relation (see, e.g., Saz Parkinson et al. 2010) to estimate a distance of 500 pc (we adopt this distance below).

Deep Chandra X-ray Observatory (CXO) observations revealed an elongated X-ray tail (De Luca et al. 2011) whose emission only becomes visible 20″ behind PSR J0357, and extends for an angular distance of 9′, reaching maximum brightness \(\sim 4′–7′\) away from the pulsar (see Figure 1). No significant energy-dependent changes in morphology were seen. The strange morphology of the diffuse emission (i.e., the lack of a bright compact PWN head near the pulsar) is at odds with the morphologies of most other PWNe resolved by the CXO. One possible explanation could be that the compact PWN is simply unresolved due to its small angular size. This would imply a very large pulsar velocity.

Follow-up observations with Chandra revealed a proper motion of the pulsar well aligned with the main axis of the nebula, with a transverse velocity of \(\sim 390 \text{ (d/500 pc) km s}^{-1}\) (De Luca et al. 2013). Although the 3D velocity could be much higher, a large angle between the velocity vector and the sky plane would imply a significantly longer tail extent than that seen in projection. Similar to J1740, below, the pulsar is located far from the Galactic plane (\(b = -16°\)) with a proper motion angled slightly toward the plane (inclination \(\sim 2°\)).

PSR B0355+54 (J0358+5413; hereafter B0355) is a radio-loud pulsar with characteristic age \(\tau = 564\) kyr and spin-down power \(\dot{E} = 4.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\). Using very long baseline

![Figure 1](image_url) The tails of PSRs J0357+3205 (left, Chandra), B0355+54 and J0359+5414 (center, Chandra), and J1740+1000 (right, XMM-Newton). The X-ray spectral extraction regions are shown in green, the black crosses mark the pulsar positions, and the white arrows mark their approximate/inferred directions of motion.
interferometry, Chatterjee et al. (2004) derived a parallactic- 
determined distance of 1.04 +0.21 −0.16 kpc and transverse proper 
velocity of 61 ± 12 km s−1. Verbiest et al. (2012) applied a Lutz– 
Kelker correction to this measurement, giving a distance of 
1.0 +0.2 −0.1 kpc.

McGowan et al. (2006) reported on the analysis of the 66 ks 
CXO ACIS observation, which resolved bright compact 
emission extending up to 50 ′ from the pulsar, dubbed the “compact nebula” (CN), and a fainter 7 ′-long tail, both extending 
in the direction opposite the pulsar’s direction of motion. Using a deeper (395 ks) set of subsequent Chandra 
observations, Klingler et al. (2016b) reported a CN photon 
index of Γ = 1.54 ± 0.05, and found that the tail exhibits no 
spectral cooling across its visible extent, with a best-fit 
Γ = 1.74 ± 0.08 for the entire tail (excluding the CN).

The only source from the third Fermi-LAT catalog (3FGL; 
Acero et al. 2015) within 2′ of B0355 is 3FGL J0359.5+5413, 
offset from the pulsar’s position by 5′5. 3FGL J0359.5+5413 
was identified as a pulsar, J0359+5414 (hereafter J0359), in the Einstein@Home Gamma-Ray Pulsar Survey (Clark et al. 
2017). J0359 is a relatively young (τ = P/2P = 75.2 kyr), 
energetic (E = 1.3 × 1036 erg s−1), radio-quiet pulsar with period P = 79 ms, which exhibits a single broad peak in its γ- 
ray light curve (see Clark et al. 2017). The deep 395 ks of 
ACIS-I observations (ObsIDs 14688–14690, 15548–15550, 
15585, and 15586) of B0355 contain J0359 in their field of 
view.

PSR J1740+1000 (hereafter J1740) is a young pulsar (characteristic age τ = 114 kyr, spin-down power E = 2.3 × 1035 erg s−1) discovered well above the Galactic 
plane (b = 20.4°) by the Arcibo Telescope (McLaughlin et al. 
2000). McLaughlin et al. (2002) saw no filamentary or bow- 
shock structures with the Very Large Array at 1.4 GHz, and 
noted that a high spatial velocity (oriented perpendicular to the 
Galactic plane) of v = 4100 km s−1 would be required for the 
pulsar to reach its current Galactic latitude within its 
characteristic age, if it was born on the Galactic plane at the 
dispersion measure distance of ∼1.4 kpc.28 Using a pair of 
CXO observations with a 10 yr baseline, Halpern et al. (2013) 
set an upper limit on the transverse proper motion that implies a 
displacement of < 2′ within the characteristic age, supporting 
the premise that the progenitor star either originated in the halo 
or escaped from the disk long before the pulsar was born.

Kargaltsev et al. (2008) reported the discovery of an extended (5.5′, possibly up to 7′) X-ray tail behind J1740 with an XMM-Newton observation (46.4 ks effective exposure). A power-law (PL) spectral fit to the tail emission showed a relatively hard photon index (Γ = 1.4 ± 0.2), but high background 
rates and the limited angular resolution of XMM-Newton 
resulted in large uncertainties. The orientation of the 
tail implies that the pulsar is moving at an angle of ∼7deg 
toward the Galactic plane, further corroborating a halo-star 
progenitor. No γ-ray emission (pulsed nor unpulsed) from 
J1740 is seen with the Fermi-LAT.

In terms of their spin-down properties, J1740 and J0359 are typical 
representatives of the pulsar population associated with 
TeV sources (see the reviews by Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2010; 
Kargaltsev et al. 2013). The other two pulsars, B0355 and 
J0357, are older but relatively nearby (see Table 1 for a 
summary of the pulsar parameters), so it is reasonable to 
expect that TeV emission from their relic PWNe could be detected. 
This prompted us to carry out observations of these fields with 
the Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System 
(VERITAS).

In this paper we present the results of a search for TeV 
emission from three supersonic pulsars (B0355+54, J0357 
+3205, and J1740+1000) with VERITAS and compare the 
results to the predictions of a multiwavelength emission model 
adopted to the case of SPWNe. In Section 2 we describe 
VERITAS observations and data analysis as well as X-ray data. 
The results of TeV and X-ray observations are presented in 
Section 3. In Section 4 we discuss the implications of the 
results in the context of a simple multiwavelength emission 
model of a SPWN (the model details are given in the 
Appendix). We conclude by summarizing our findings in 
Section 5.

2. Data Analysis

2.1. VERITAS Instrument and Observations

VERITAS is comprised of four imaging atmospheric 
Cerenkov telescopes (IACTs) operating at the Fred Lawrence 
Whipple Observatory (FLWO) in southern Arizona, USA. 
Cerenkov light flashes from gamma-ray- and cosmic-ray-

\[ \text{Table 1} \]

| NAME       | J0357+3205 | B0355+54 | J0359+5414 | J1740+1000 |
|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------|
| R.A.       | 03:57:52.5 | 03:58:53.7 | 03:59:26.0 | 17:40:25.9 |
| Decl.      | +32:05:25 | +54:13:13.7 | +54:14:55.7 | +10:00:06.3 |
| \( \mu_a \) | 117       | 9.3      | ...        | ...         |
| \( \mu_\alpha \) | 115        | 8.3      | ...        | ...         |
| Gal. longitude (deg) | 162.76     | 148.19   | 148.231    | 34.011      |
| Gal. latitude (deg) | −16.006    | 0.811    | 0.883      | 20.268      |
| Spin period, \( P \) (ms) | 444.1      | 156.4    | 79.4       | 154.1       |
| Period derivative (10^{-14}), \( \dot{P} \) | 1.30       | 0.439    | 1.67       | 2.15        |
| Dispersion measure (pc cm^{-3}) | ...       | 57.14    | ...        | 23.9        |
| Distance (kpc) | 0.83       | 1.04     | ...        | 1.23        |
| Spin-down age, \( \tau_d = P/(2P) \) (kyr) | 540         | 564      | 75.2       | 114         |
| Spin-down power, \( E \) (erg s^{-1}) | 5.88 \times 10^{33} | 4.54 \times 10^{34} | 1.32 \times 10^{36} | 2.32 \times 10^{35} |
| Surface magnetic field, \( B_s \) (10^{12}G) | 2.43       | 0.839    | 1.2        | 1.84        |

28 However, a velocity of ∼4000 km s^{-1} is unrealistically high. The average 
3D pulsar velocity has been found to be 400 ± 40 km s^{-1} (Hobbs et al. 2005), 
with the highest velocities being 765 km s^{-1} (PSR B2224+65; Deller et al. 
2019) and ∼1000-2000 km s^{-1} (inferred; PSR J1101–6101; Pavon et al. 
2014a). This implies that this pulsar was born above the Galactic plane, or 
that its true age is a factor of a few older than its spin-down age.
induced air showers are reflected from 12 m Davies–Cotton reflectors onto the telescope cameras. Each camera has a field of view of 3°.5 and is composed of 499 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs). A three-level trigger requires coincident signals from at least two telescopes to initiate the array-wide read-out of the PMT signals (Holder et al. 2006). The instrument is sensitive over the energy range 0.085–30 TeV, with a typical energy resolution of 20% and angular resolution of 0°.1 at 1 TeV (Park 2015).

Observations of each source were taken in “wobble” mode, where the telescope pointing is offset from the nominal source position to allow for simultaneous exposure of the source and background regions. The exposures were taken using an angular offset of 0°.5 around the pulsar position, with the offset direction alternating between the four cardinal directions for each 20–30 minute run. VERITAS observed J1740 in 2008 April to May, resulting in 12.8 hr of livetime after performing quality selection to remove periods of poor weather and/or hardware performance. Observations of J0357 were taken in 2011 September to December, with selected livetime of 8.5 hours. Initial observations of B0355 were taken in 2014 September to October, with selected livetime of 10.2 hr. An additional 12.1 hr were taken in 2015 September to November, with the pointings wobbling around a position in the middle of the X-ray tail (R.A./decl.: 59.6864, 54.1755).

The data were processed using standard VERITAS analysis techniques. Independent analyses were conducted using two software packages, as described by Daniel (2008) and Maier & Holder (2017). The shower images are parameterized by their principal moments (Hillas 1985), with the shower direction and impact parameter calculated using the stereoscopic views from multiple telescopes (Hofmann et al. 1999). Parameters for separating gamma-ray and cosmic-ray induced showers, as well as the energy of the initial particle, are estimated by comparison with Monte Carlo simulation (see, e.g., Aharonian et al. 1997; Krawczynski et al. 2006).

Three standard sets of gamma-ray selection criteria (“cuts”) were used, optimized for sources with soft, moderate, or hard spectra (see Park 2015 for details on VERITAS performance over the different epochs of the array). In the search for a possible extension in the very-high-energy (VHE; $E > 100$ GeV) emission, the following values were chosen for the radius of the source integration region ($\theta$): 0°.1, optimized for point-source searches (0°.173 for soft cuts), and 0°.235 for extended emission. An a priori search region was also defined for each source, as the VHE emission could originate from a particle population that is older, and thus further downstream, than that which gives rise to the X-ray tail. To account for possible broadening of each tail at large offsets from the pulsar, a symmetric trapezoidal region was used, aligned with the X-ray tail. The areas were 0°.4 wide at a distance of 0°.2 ahead of the pulsar and extended back along the tail with an opening angle of 20°, terminating at a distance of 0°.2 beyond the TeV tail, which was assumed to be 4 times as long as the X-ray tail (see the quoted VERITAS angular resolution of 0°.1°). In the search for VHE emission in the region of interest, the background was estimated using the ring-background method (Berge et al. 2007) and the statistical significance of the excess was calculated using Equation (17) of Li & Ma (1983).

2.2. Chandra Observations of PSR J0357+3205

We analyzed 134 ks of Chandra ACIS-S observations for PSR J0357 (ObsIDs 11239, 12008, 14207, and 14208). The Chandra data were processed using the standard chandra_repro routine from the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO) software package version 4.11, and Calibration Data Base (CALDB) version 4.8.3. All spectra were extracted from each observation individually using specextract and then combined with combined_spectra (due to the low number of counts in each individual observation).

2.3. Chandra Observations of B0355+54 and PSR J0359+5414

We also analyzed the 395 ks of ACIS-I data carried out to study B0355 (ObsIDs 14688–14690, 15548–15550, 15585, 15586), which, serendipitously, also contained PSR J0359+5414 in the ACIS field of view. The data were processed and the spectra were extracted and fitted the same way as for PSR J0357+3205.

2.4. XMM-Newton Observations of PSR J1740+1000

We analyzed 532 ks of new XMM-Newton data carried out to study PSR J1740 (ObsIDs 0803080201, 0803080301, 0803080401, and 0803080501). We only analyzed data from the EPIC MOS1/2 detectors, since the EPIC pn detector was operated in Small Window mode, and thus, half of the tail lies outside of the detector’s small field of view. The data were processed using the standard emproc routine from the XMM Science Analysis Software (SAS; version 16.1). We manually filtered the data for periods of high background and flaring.

Spectra from each observation and corresponding response files were extracted and produced using the standard routines, evselect, arfgen, and rmfgen, and grouped to 125 counts per bin using specgroup.

All X-ray spectra were fitted using XSPEC (version 12.10.1). In all spectral fits we used a simple absorbed power-law (PL) model (XSPEC’s tbabs(pow)), which uses absorption cross sections from Wilms et al. (2000). All uncertainties listed correspond to 1σ.

3. Results

3.1. Upper Limits on VHE Emission

No significant emission was detected within the search regions using any of the analysis cuts. Upper limits (ULs) on the integral gamma-ray fluxes above the threshold energies were calculated using the method of Rolke et al. (2005) at a 95% confidence level (CL). A bounded confidence interval was used to avoid the unphysical case of negative source counts. A power-law source spectrum was assumed, with an index...
Table 2
Analysis Results for the Pulsar Tail of PSR J0357+3205, Taken at R.A./Decl.: (59.5313, 32.0372)

| Spectral Cuts | $\theta$ | $E_{\text{threshold}}$ | Significance | 95% CL Flux UL ($>E_{\text{threshold}}$) | 95% CL Flux UL (1–10 TeV) | 95% CL Luminosity UL (1–10 TeV) |
|---------------|---------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|               | [°]     | [GeV]         | (Pre-trials) [Sigma] | [10^{-11} cm^{-2} s^{-1}] | [10^{-13} cm^{-2} s^{-1}] | [10^{33} erg s^{-1}] |
| Hard          | 0.100   | 417           | 0.2          | 6.2                          | 1.6             | 1.6              |
| Hard          | 0.235   | 417           | 2.4          | 33.9                         | 8.8             | 9.0              |
| Moderate      | 0.100   | 219           | 1.0          | 24.5                         | 2.4             | 2.5              |
| Moderate      | 0.235   | 219           | 1.0          | 68.4                         | 6.8             | 6.9              |
| Soft          | 0.173   | 151           | 0.2          | 50.4                         | 2.9             | 2.9              |
| Soft          | 0.235   | 151           | 0.7          | 59.3                         | 3.4             | 3.4              |

Note. The limits on luminosity assume a distance of 500 pc.

Table 3
Analysis Results for the Pulsar Tail of PSR B0355+54, Taken at R.A./Decl.: (59.6864, 54.1755)

| Spectral Cuts | $\theta$ | $E_{\text{threshold}}$ | Significance | 95% CL Flux UL ($>E_{\text{threshold}}$) | 95% CL Flux UL (1–10 TeV) | 95% CL Luminosity UL (1–10 TeV) |
|---------------|---------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|               | [°]     | [GeV]         | (Pre-trials) [Sigma] | [10^{-11} cm^{-2} s^{-1}] | [10^{-13} cm^{-2} s^{-1}] | [10^{33} erg s^{-1}] |
| Hard          | 0.100   | 347           | 1.1          | 6.3                          | 1.2             | 5.5              |
| Hard          | 0.235   | 347           | 1.0          | 13.0                         | 2.6             | 11.3             |
| Moderate      | 0.100   | 263           | 0.4          | 5.0                          | 0.65            | 2.9              |
| Moderate      | 0.235   | 263           | 1.2          | 7.3                          | 0.95            | 4.2              |
| Soft          | 0.173   | 166           | 3.5          | 3.8                          | 0.25            | 1.1              |
| Soft          | 0.235   | 166           | 3.5          | 4.6                          | 0.30            | 1.3              |

Note. The limits on luminosity assume a distance of 1.04 kpc.

Table 4
Analysis Results for the Pulsar Tail of PSR J1740+1000, Taken at R.A./Decl.: (265.081, 9.96445)

| Spectral Cuts | $\theta$ | $E_{\text{threshold}}$ | Significance | 95% CL Flux UL ($>E_{\text{threshold}}$) | 95% CL Flux UL (1–10 TeV) | 95% CL Luminosity UL (1–10 TeV) |
|---------------|---------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|               | [°]     | [GeV]         | (Pre-trials) [Sigma] | [10^{-11} cm^{-2} s^{-1}] | [10^{-13} cm^{-2} s^{-1}] | [10^{33} erg s^{-1}] |
| Hard          | 0.100   | 501           | 0.5          | 4.1                          | 1.4             | 10.6             |
| Hard          | 0.235   | 501           | 1.2          | 9.0                          | 3.1             | 23.3             |
| Moderate      | 0.100   | 240           | 0.0          | 20.1                         | 2.4             | 17.9             |
| Moderate      | 0.235   | 263           | 1.6          | 95.5                         | 12.5            | 93.7             |
| Soft          | 0.173   | 166           | 0.5          | 104.9                        | 6.9             | 51.2             |
| Soft          | 0.235   | 182           | 1.1          | 195.3                        | 14.7            | 110.3            |

Note. The limits on luminosity assume a distance of 1.36 kpc.

$\Gamma = 2.5$. With no other a priori test positions in the search regions, the limits were taken at positions centered on the middle of the X-ray tails. The backgrounds were estimated using the refitted-regions method (Berge et al. 2007). Tables 2, 3, and 4, list the results for each source.

3.2. X-Ray Emission from the J0357+3205 Tail

Using XMM-Newton data, Marelli et al. (2013) found that the spectrum of the tail fits a PL model with $\Gamma = 2.07 \pm 0.08$ and $N_{H} = (2.61 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. Fitting the CXO data, we obtain compatible results, $\Gamma = 2.11 \pm 0.12$, $N_{H} = (1.42 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$ photon s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ keV$^{-1}$ (at 1 keV) and $N_{H} = (3.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (with a reduced $\chi^2_{\nu} = 1.01$, for $\nu = 80$ d.o.f.). The entire tail was found to have a 0.5–8 keV luminosity $L = 3.8 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$. De Luca et al. (2011) found there is no statistically significant evidence of spectral evolution along the tail. It is worth noting that Klingler et al. (2016a, 2016b) have shown that some pulsar tails can exhibit a lack of spectral softening over parsec-scale distances from the pulsar.

3.3. X-Ray Emission from the PSR B0355+54 Tail and PSR J0359+5414 PWN

Klingler et al. (2016b) reported the detailed analysis of CXO observations of PSR B0355+54 and its X-ray tail. In particular, the spectrum of the entire tail is well fitted ($\chi^2_{\nu} = 1.13$ for $\nu = 135$ d.o.f.) by a single absorbed PL model with $\Gamma = 1.74 \pm 0.08$, $N_{H} = 5.40 \pm 0.36$ photon cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ (at 1 keV), and $N_{H} = 6.1 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ . No significant evidence of spectral cooling was seen between the near and far halves of the tail, as their photon indices were measured to be $\Gamma_{\text{near}} = 1.72 \pm 0.10$ and $\Gamma_{\text{far}} = 1.77 \pm 0.11$. The entire tail was found to have a 0.5–8 keV luminosity $L = 3.8 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

Zyuzin et al. (2018) reported the discovery of an X-ray PWN around $\gamma$-ray PSR J0359+5414, which is located just 5.5' east of PSR B0355+54. The X-ray nebula of PSR J0359+5414 is much fainter than that of PSR B0355+54. The exposure-corrected images, shown in Figure 2, reveal a faint and rather amorphous nebula that lacks any clear indication of substantial (supersonic) pulsar motion. The total observed fluxes for the
compact (within the polygon minus circle in Figure 2) and extended (within the ellipse minus polygon in Figure 2) fluxes are $8.6^{+0.4}_{-1.4}$ and $5.0^{+1.1}_{-1.8} \times 10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ in 0.5-8 keV, respectively. The corresponding best-fit PL photon indices are $\Gamma = 1.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ and $1.4^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$. The pulsar and compact nebula (CN) spectral fits suggest a hydrogen column density $N_H \approx (2-6) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. The spectral fits of the much brighter B0355 PWN (located 5.5$''$ west of J0359, at parallax distance $d = 1.04$ kpc) from the same set of Chandra observations yields $N_{H,B0355} = (6.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Klingler et al. 2016b). The similar $N_H$ values suggest that the distances to these two pulsars may be comparable. The combined (compact+extended) unabsorbed luminosity of J0359’s PWN is $\approx 2.2 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in 0.5-8 keV at $d = 1$ kpc.

3.4. X-Ray Emission from the PSR J1740+1000 Tail

The combined MOS1/2 image (shown in Figure 1) reveals an X-ray tail extending southwest up to approximately 6$''$ from the pulsar position. The initial segment of the tail appears slightly conical, with an opening angle of $\approx 15^\circ$, but after about 3$''$ it appears to taper off and maintain a seemingly cylindrical shape. The tail also appears to bend or curve slightly to the west with distance from the pulsar. Similar evidence of slight bending is also seen in the tails of PSRs B0355+54 (Klingler et al. 2016b; see also Figure 1) and J1741–2054 (Auchettl et al. 2015).

Based on the analysis of the PSR J1740+1000 spectrum, Kargaltsev et al. (2008) found the best-fit absorbing hydrogen column density $N_H \approx 1 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. However this value depends on the adopted spectral model, and the pulsar’s spectrum requires multiple components for satisfactory fits. On the other hand, both the pulsar’s dispersion measure and the HI column from radio data imply that the Galactic $N_H$ in the direction of J1740 is $8 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (see He et al. 2013 and the HEAsoft/FTOOLs utility $r_{\text{HI}}$), which is close to that obtained by Kargaltsev et al. (2008). Therefore, while fitting the extended emission spectrum, we fix$^{32}$ $N_H$ at $10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. The spectrum of the tail (extracted from the region shown in the left panel of Figure 1) fits an absorbed PL with $\Gamma = 1.75 \pm 0.04$ and a normalization $N = (3.41 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$ photon s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ keV$^{-1}$ (at 1 keV), with a reduced $\chi^2 = 1.04$ (for $\nu = 202$ d.o.f.). The (absorbed) $0.3-10$ keV flux $F_X = (1.93 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ corresponds to an (unabsorbed) X-ray luminosity $L_X = (5.20 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (at $d = 1.4$ kpc), and an X-ray efficiency $\eta_N = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

4. Discussion

Considering the entire population of TeV PWNe (see Figure 3), we see that the three tails discussed here belong to pulsars that are older and have lower $\dot{E}$ compared to most of the others. The two exceptions are the nearby Geminga and B0656+14 pulsars. TeV sources associated with these PWNe have been detected by HAWC (Abeysekara et al. 2017a, Abeysekara et al. 2017b; Baughman et al. 2015).

Due to their proximity as well as their large physical extent resolved by HAWC, the Geminga and B0656+14 PWNe take up a significant fraction of the VERITAS field of view. The standard source detection techniques common to IACTs (such as VERITAS), however, map gamma-ray sources using a round aperture of small angular size. This results in a limited sensitivity for two reasons: low surface brightness of the gamma-ray source relative to the cosmic-ray background and difficulty obtaining a reliable background estimate from any location in the field of view. Thus, the current nondetection (see Flinders 2015) of these sources by VERITAS does not objectively characterize its sensitivity to a distant TeV PWN of comparable physical size and luminosity.

$^{32}$ When left as a free parameter, the best-fit $N_H$ goes to zero, which is unrealistic considering the pulsar’s DM distance $d \sim 1.4$ kpc. In this fit the other parameters are as follows: $\Lambda = (2.69 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-8}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ (at 1 keV) and $\Gamma = 1.54 \pm 0.06$, with a reduced $\chi^2 = 0.97$ (for $\nu = 201$ d.o.f.)
Figure 3. Plots of 1–10 TeV luminosities $L_\gamma$ vs. $E$ and pulsar age. The green points are confirmed TeV PWNe (from Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2010 and the HESS Galactic Plane Survey (HGPS), H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018, with values from the latter taking precedence for PWNe listed in both papers). The orange points represent candidate PWNe from the HGPS with no incompatible measurements (see HGPS Table 4). The gray arrows are the luminosity upper limits (also calculated at a 95% CL) of pulsars whose PWNe were not detected in the HGPS. The blue points represent the 1–10 TeV luminosities of Geminga and PSR B0656−14 (calculated from HAWC values for the 8–40 TeV range listed in Abeysekara et al. 2017a) by assuming that the measured spectral slope remains the same in the 1–10 TeV range) and the red arrows are the luminosity upper limits of the targets observed in this study (using hard cuts).

The VERITAS upper limit for the B0355 tail is already below the luminosity of the Geminga PWN inferred from the HAWC measurements (see Figure 3). A more sophisticated analysis (see Abeysekara 2019; beyond the scope of this paper) might further boost the sensitivity to the extended PWNe of B0355, as the round aperture used by VERITAS is not optimized for detection of an elongated tail. The nondetection of B0355’s PWNe may also be attributed to the differences between the Geminga and B0355 PWNe. One such difference may be the morphology of B0355’s PWN—the long tail with fairly soft X-ray spectrum. Such a tail has not been detected for Geminga so far although the morphology of the compact X-ray nebula was interpreted as an SPWN (Posselt et al. 2017). eROSITA should be able to tell whether Geminga lacks an extended tail or it is simply not detected due to its large size expected due to Geminga’s proximity to Earth. Regardless, the Cerenkov Telescope Array (CTA), the next-generation IACT observatory, will have greatly improved sensitivity in the VHE range and should be able to easily detect pulsar tails like B0355’s, thus enabling tests of the physical parameters associated with wind in pulsar tails. To demonstrate this and to independently estimate probable TeV fluxes for the PWNe of the three pulsars considered in this paper, we performed modeling of the multiwavelength emission from these tails.

The upper limits on the TeV flux from B0355 depend on assumptions about the size of the source. Determining the size of the tail is complicated. First, the size of the TeV-emitting pulsar wind is expected to be larger than that of X-ray-emitting wind because of a factor of 10 longer cooling time for the TeV-emitting electrons. However, this is not the only factor that affects the length of the tail. The other two important factors are flow speed as a function of distance from the pulsar (which may be affected by the entrainment of the neutral atoms from the ambient medium; Morlino et al. 2015) and diffusion timescale for TeV-emitting electrons. Once the flow slows down significantly, approaching the pulsar speed (in the reference frame of the pulsar), diffusion is expected to start playing a dominant role, therefore turning the end of the tail into more of a spherical structure (unless the magnetic field preserves an ordered geometry on large scales and/or the external field is ordered). This could happen over the distance where the time to traverse the tail in the transverse direction, $r(z)/v(z)$, at the local bulk flow velocity, $v(z)$, becomes of the order of the diffusion timescale, $r(z)^2/D$ (where $D \approx 10^{26}(E_{\gamma}/1\text{ TeV})^{1/2}(B/10\mu\text{G})^{-1}\text{cm}^2\text{s}$ is the Bohm diffusion coefficient of electrons that up-scatter the CMB photons to the gamma-ray energy $E_\gamma$). However, calculating the tail’s distance range requires a better knowledge of $r(z)$, $v(z)$, and $B(z)$ than we currently have. Theoretical models suggest that the flow and magnetic field may be more ordered closer to the pulsar becoming more chaotic and turbulent further downstream (e.g., Barkov et al. 2019; Xu et al. 2019). Observationally, these dependencies will become better constrained in the future if these tails are detected and resolved in TeV and radio. Moreover, the TeV emission may extend even beyond the distance range, in which case the morphology would appear more isotropic than a collimated tail (which may be happening in the case of Geminga). Therefore, in this paper we adopt a heuristic approach to estimate possible sizes of TeV sources. We note that synchrotron cooling time in radio is much longer than that in X-rays, and that radio tails (when detected) extend up to 10–20 pc for highly supersonic pulsars like J1509−5850 (Ng et al. 2010; Klingler et al. 2016a) and J1747−2958 (Yusef-Zadeh & Gaensler 2005; Klingler et al. 2018), which would correspond to 40−80$'$ at $d = 1$ kpc (a typical distance to the three pulsars analyzed in this paper). Since at least B0355 appears to have a lower Mach number (Klingler et al. 2016b), we picked a compromise size of 20−36$'$ for the TeV emission search region.

To model the electron spectral energy distribution (SED) of the B0355 pulsar tail, we extend the one-dimensional (1D) model developed by Chen et al. (2006) to account for variations in tail properties (cross-section, magnetic field strength, and bulk flow velocity with distance from the pulsar), adiabatic energy losses, and the variable (decreasing) particle injection rate of the pulsar with time. The derivation of the

---

Note that re-calculating the luminosity from the 8 to 40 TeV HAWC energy range to the 1–10 TeV range involved the assumption of a constant spectral slope fixed at the value reported in Abeysekara et al. (2017a). The actual luminosity value can be smaller if the spectrum hardens toward lower energies.
model is explained in the Appendix. Using the electron SED parameters obtained from the fits to X-ray synchrotron spectrum from Chandra data (i.e., the SED responsible for the X-ray emission seen up to ∼7′ from the pulsar) and the tail properties constrained from those fits (i.e., the bulk flow velocity and magnetic field strength estimates, see Klingler et al. 2016b), we calculate the SED of the TeV-emitting particles up to 0′.47 from the pulsar, and refer to these regions as the “extended search regions” (e.g., in Figure 4) as opposed to smaller regions corresponding to the X-ray-emitting parts of the tails (see Figure 1). This length corresponds to the angular size of the region used for extended TeV emission search (see Table 3 and Section 2.1) and is also comparable to the recently detected extended TeV emission from the Geminga pulsar (see Abeysekara et al. 2017b & Linden et al. 2017). We used the Python package Naima (Zabalza 2015) to calculate the synchrotron and IC emission from the volume-averaged electron SED (see the Appendix), and present the multiwavelength spectrum of B0355 in Figure 4. The IC emission is calculated for the combined contributions of the CMB, thermal dust emission (30 K, 4.8 × 10^{-13} erg cm^{-2}), and starlight (4000 K, 8.0 × 10^{-13} erg cm^{-2}). We see that an observation more sensitive by a factor of 10 may be able to detect TeV emission. The modeling also demonstrates that, if the TeV spectrum is measured in addition to X-ray properties of the tail, one can put more stringent constraints on dependencies of the magnetic field strength and bulk flow velocity on the distance from the pulsar (see the Appendix).

Unfortunately, despite the detailed X-ray information available for B0355, modeling its TeV emission (if it is detected) is complicated by the presence of another middle-aged pulsar, J0359, just 5.5′ away. Having an angular resolution of ∼1′ in the VHE range will be critical to establish the origin of any TeV emission. Indeed, the comparable absorbing hydrogen column density, N_H ∼ (0.2–0.6) × 10^{22} cm^{-1} for J0359 compared to N_H = 0.6 × 10^{22} cm^{-2} for B0355, suggests that J0359 is located at a similar distance, if not closer than B0355. Given the relatively high E = 1.3 × 10^{36} erg s^{-1} (compared to 4.5 × 10^{34} erg s^{-1}) the X-ray efficiency of J0359 PWN is very low, η_\text{x} = L_\text{x}/E ∼ 10^{-6} for d = 1 kpc (compared to η_\text{x} = 8 × 10^{-2} for B0355), making it one of the least efficient in X-rays. It is currently unclear why X-ray efficiencies for PWNe vary so much (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2008) and whether there is a correlation between X-ray and TeV efficiencies for PWNe (see Figure 4 in Kargaltsev et al. 2013). The nondetection in TeV suggests that the PWN of J0359 is also very inefficient in terms of its TeV emission.

We also repeated the same steps as above (see the Appendix for details) to model the multiwavelength spectra of J0357 and J1740 tails (assuming a constant tail radius, flow speed, and magnetic field because we do not have as much information as for the B0355 tail from X-ray data), and obtain both the particle SED in the 8.5 X-ray-emitting part of the tail producing the observed synchrotron emission (11z_{0}; see the Appendix for the definition of z_{0}), and the SED of the TeV-emitting particles up to a tail length of 30′ (50z_{0}, comparable to the angular size of the region of sky analyzed, see Tables 2 and 4 along with Figures 5 and 6). The modeling suggests that detecting J0357 in TeV would be much more difficult compared to the other two pulsars.

TeV emission from an unresolved source located near the J1740 tail (at the HAWC resolution it can be considered as a
match within the 2σ positional uncertainty of the 2HWC source was recently reported in the 3HWC catalog (Albert et al. 2020) as 3HWC J1739+099. However, the source significance is fairly low (just above the threshold chosen for the 3HWC catalog). The source’s differential flux at 7 TeV (plotted in Figure 6), $2.5 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ is about a factor of 3–4 higher than predicted by our model. This can be attributed to the fact that we do not reliably know the shape and size of the tail (which also affects other assumptions and variables used in the model, like the bulk flow speed magnetic field’s dependence on distance from the pulsar). There may also be a component from electrons that are still radiating in TeV in the tail’s vicinity, but that are not necessarily in the tail anymore (in which case they are not subject to adiabatic energy losses and synchrotron losses due to the tail’s magnetic field). At some point the tail flow is disrupted or ceases, and electrons diffuse out into the ISM, leading to so-called TeV halos, such as the one around Geminga, for example (this component is not modeled here).

5. Summary and Conclusions

We analyzed VERITAS observations and reported the upper limits on the TeV fluxes of three supersonically moving pulsars with long tails seen in X-rays. TeV emission from SPWNe continues to remain elusive, although our modeling suggests that any TeV emission could be detected with only a factor of 2–3 improved flux sensitivity, for J1740’s tail, and a factor of 10, for B0355’s tail, in deeper observations. In fact, when this paper was nearing completion the HAWC team reported a detection, albeit at a modest significance, of a VHE source located near the most promising tail, associated with PSR J1740+1000. We expect that CTA will be able to show conclusively whether the TeV emission from SPWNe is substantially different from that of slower moving or younger pulsars still residing within their host SNRs.
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Appendix

We construct a pulsar tail model in order to calculate the electron SED and the corresponding IC and synchrotron spectra.
as a function of the distance from the pulsar. We compare these model predictions with the obtained TeV upper limits.

The simple one-dimensional (1D) model developed by Chen et al. (2006) describes the impact of synchrotron losses on the electron SED as electrons (injected with some initial power-law SED at the TS) move along a cylindrical tail with constant bulk velocity. In that model, the tail’s radius and magnetic field are assumed to be constant. We relax the model assumptions by allowing for a variable (with the distance from pulsar) magnetic field $B$, particle bulk flow velocity $v$, and tail radius $r$,

$$B = B(z) = B_0\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^\beta$$

$$v = v(z) = v_0\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^\alpha$$

$$r = r(z) = r_0\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^\gamma,$$

where $z_0$ is the distance from the pulsar at which particles are injected into the tail, $z_0$, $z > z_0$ is the distance along the tail, and $B_0$, $v_0$, and $r_0$ are the initial values of the magnetic field, velocity, and radius, respectively. The constants $\beta$, $\alpha$, and $\gamma$ describe how these quantities change with distance, respectively. We pick the injection distance $z_0$ such that it corresponds with the “beginning” of the X-ray tail (i.e., the boundary between the compact nebula head and the beginning of the tail). The energy-loss rate of an electron with energy $\mathcal{E}$ (due to both synchrotron and adiabatic losses) is given by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} = -c_1B^2\mathcal{E}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\alpha + 2\gamma}{z_0}\right)v_0\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{E},$$

where $c_1 = 4e^4/9m_e^4c^7$. Solving the differential equation yields

$$\mathcal{E}(z, \mathcal{E}_0, z_0) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{-\lambda_1}}{1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{-\lambda_2} - 1}$$

where $\epsilon = v_0/(c_1B_0^2z_0)$, $\lambda_1 = (\alpha + 2\gamma)/3$, $\lambda_2 = 2\beta - \alpha - \lambda_1 + 1$, and $\mathcal{E}_0$ is the initial energy of the particle. Thus, the number density of particles with energy $\mathcal{E}$ at distance $z$, $n_e(E, z)$, can be found from the continuity condition, $\pi r^2 v = \text{constant}$. Assuming the injected particle distribution to be $n_e(E_0, z = z_0) = K\mathcal{E}_0^{-\sigma}$ ($\mathcal{E}_0\leq\mathcal{E}_0\leq\mathcal{E}_0\max$), one obtains

$$n_e(E, z) = KE^{-\sigma}\left[\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{\gamma - \lambda_1} - \frac{E}{\epsilon\lambda_2}\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{\gamma - \lambda_2} - 1\right]^{2-\sigma}\times\theta(\mathcal{E}_\max - \mathcal{E}),$$

where $\theta(\mathcal{E}_\max - \mathcal{E})$ is the Heaviside step function, $\mathcal{E}_\max = \mathcal{E}(z, \mathcal{E}_0\max, z_0)$, $\mathcal{E}_0\min = 0$, and $\mathcal{E}_0\max$ is assumed to be the energy associated with the maximum potential drop between the pole and the light cylinder, $\Delta\Phi = (3\mathcal{E}/2)_{1/2}$ (Goldreich & Julian 1969).

The particle injection rate is a function of $\dot{E}$, which decreases as the pulsar ages. Thus, at increasing distances $z$, the associated injection rate at the corresponding time of injection (in the past) $t$ was higher than the current injection rate:

$$\dot{E}(t) = \frac{\dot{E}_0}{(1 + \frac{t - t_0}{\tau})^{\alpha + 1}},$$
where $\tau$ is the current age of the pulsar, $t$ is the time since injection, $\tau_0$ is the spin-down timescale, and $n$ is the braking index (data from the HESS Galactic Plane Survey suggests that $\tau_0$ ranges between $10^{2.5}$ and $10^{3.5}$ yr; see H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018; canonically, a simple spinning dipole corresponds to braking index $n = 3$). One can take the variable injection rate into account in Equation (4) by multiplying it with $1/(1 + (t - \tau)/\tau_0)$ (assuming $n = 3$ and particle injection rate $\propto \dot{E}_t/E_t^3/2$, see Arons 2012), after rewriting $i = dz/v(z)$ and integrating to $z$. Thus, the volume-averaged electron SED can be obtained by integrating $n_\gamma(z)$ over the volume of the tail. For the tail lengths, we picked $z_{\text{max}}$ values that correspond to the size of the extended TeV search region (0.47$^\circ$, see above).

To model the B0355 tail, we use the following parameters: $B_0 = 20 \mu G$, $z_0 = 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm, $v_0 = 2$, 400 km s$^{-1}$, $r_0 = 4.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm, $\gamma = 1$ (conical tail), $\beta = -0.35$, $\alpha = -0.65$ (constrained from $\beta = -0.5 - \gamma$), $\rho = \beta - 1 = 2.48$, $E_{\text{0,max}} = 580$ erg (set by the maximum accelerating potential of the pulsar’s polar cap, $\Phi = (3E_t/2e)^{1/2}$), and $\tau = 560$ kyr. The magnetic field parameters, flow speed parameters, and particle spectrum $p$ are consistent with those reported by Klingler et al. (2016b). The $z_0 = 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm corresponds to the distance at which the tail begins, downstream of the compact nebula. For this tail (as well as the others), we model the tail out to a distance corresponding to $0.47^\circ$, as this angular distance corresponds to the angular size of the largest VERITAS search region (see Section 2.1 and Tables 2–4).

To model the J0357 tail, we use $d = 500$ pc (the distance inferred by De Luca et al. 2011), $z_0 = 3.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm (the distance at which the tail becomes visible, 0.8$^\circ$), $z_{\text{max}} = 50z_0$, $r_0 = 2.7 \times 10^{17}$ cm (corresponding to the tail’s 0.6 radius), and $v = v_0 = 15$, 600 km s$^{-1}$ and $B = B_0 = 50 \mu G$ (see De Luca et al. 2011 and Marelli et al. 2013). In this case we assume a conical tail (constant radius, $\gamma = 0$) with constant flow speed and magnetic field strength ($\alpha = \beta = 0$), $p = 2.64$ ($\Gamma = 1.82$, obtained by fitting the entire tail spectrum with $N_H = 0.21 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$; the maximum Galactic $N_H$ in the direction of J0357, obtained by Marelli et al. 2013), and $E_{\text{0,max}} = 260$ erg and $\tau = 540$ kyr. Since the quantities here are less constrained than those of B0355 (e.g., $B$ due to lack of multiwavelength observations, and $d$ being only inferred), this model can only serve as a crude approximation in this case.

To model the J1740 tail, we use the dispersion measure distance $d \sim 1.4$ kpc, $z_0 = 8.4 \times 10^{17}$ cm (40$^\circ$; we select this distance because it is the distance at which the bright pulsar’s PSF (in the XMM data) no longer contaminates the tail emission), $r_0 = 8.4 \times 10^{17}$ cm, and $\gamma = 0$ (cylindrical tail; thus $\alpha = \beta = 0$). For the tail length we used $z_{\text{max}} = 40z_0$. To estimate the magnetic field strength, we use Equation (2) from Klingler et al. (2016a), which is a generalization of Equation (7.14) from Pacholczyk (1970) for the case of arbitrary magnetization $\sigma$,

$$B = \left[ \frac{L(n_{\gamma}, \nu_{30}) \sigma}{AV} \right] \left[ \Gamma - 2 \nu_{1,5}^{-\Gamma} - \nu_{2,5}^{1.5 - \Gamma} \right]^{2/\Gamma} \left[ \Gamma - 1.5 \nu_{m,5}^{-1} - \nu_{M,5}^{2} \right]^{2/\Gamma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Here, $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ are the characteristic synchrotron frequencies ($\nu_{\text{syn}} \approx eB r_i^2/2\pi mc^2$ with $r_i = \gamma E/mc^2$) corresponding to the upper and lower energies of the electron SED.
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