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**Abstract**

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the most common cause of neurodegenerative movement disorder and the second most common cause of dementia. Genes are thought to have a stronger effect on age-at-onset of PD than on risk, yet there has been a phenomenal success in identifying risk loci but not age-at-onset modifiers. We conducted a genome-wide study for age-at-onset. We analysed familial and non-familial PD separately, per prior evidence for strong genetic effect on age-at-onset in familial PD. GWAS was conducted in 431 unrelated PD individuals with at least one affected relative (familial PD) and 1544 non-familial PD from the NeuroGenetics Research Consortium (NGRC); an additional 737 familial PD and 2363 non-familial PD were used for replication. In familial PD, two signals were detected and replicated robustly: one mapped to *LHFPL2* on 5q14.1 (\(P_{\text{NGRC}} = 3E^{-8}, P_{\text{Replication}} = 2E^{-5}, P_{\text{NGRC} + \text{Replication}} = 1E^{-11}\)), the second mapped to *TM1* on 15q22.2 (\(P_{\text{NGRC}} = 8E^{-9}, P_{\text{Replication}} = 2E^{-4}, P_{\text{NGRC} + \text{Replication}} = 9E^{-11}\)). The variants that were associated with accelerated onset had low frequencies (<0.02). The *LHFPL2*...
Introduction

Genetics plays a significant role in PD [MIM*168600], both in determining risk (if one will develop PD: cause) as well as age-at-onset (when a disease might manifest: modifier) (1). Several rare causative genes (2–11) and 28 common risk alleles (12–16) have been confirmed for PD. The known genes and risk factors account for ~5% of the heritability (17), hence much of the genetic component of PD is still missing.

Age-at-onset of PD varies by approximately 80 years (Fig. 1). The factors that contribute to the variation in age-at-onset are unknown, although genes are thought to be important. Heritability of PD has been estimated as 98% (SE = 0.25) for age-at-onset and 60% (SE = 0.10) for risk (1). Data from the most recent PD meta genome-wide association study (GWAS) have provided significant evidence for a polygenic component to age-at-onset (18), although no specific genes were identified. Three independent complex segregation analyses have reported a significantly better fit for a genetic model than for an environmental model for PD, and found the genetic effect on age-at-onset to be significantly greater than the genetic effect on risk (19–21). In one study, the best-fit model was rare alleles with large effects on age-at-onset in familial PD (19). Another study estimated an average decrease in age-at-onset of approximately 18 years for each copy of the putative allele (21). Thus, taken collectively, the clues from complex segregation analyses were “rare variant”, “large impact on age-at-onset”, and “positive family history”.

The loci that affect risk have little effect on age-at-onset. The International PD Genetic Consortium (6,249 PD cases) (18) and studies from Denmark (1,526 cases) (22) and from Norway and Sweden (1,340 cases) (23) independently reported that the risk alleles identified to date account for ~5% of the heritability (18), hence much of the genetic component of PD is still missing.

Age-at-onset of PD varies by approximately 80 years (Fig. 1). The factors that contribute to the variation in age-at-onset are unknown, although genes are thought to be important. Heritability of PD has been estimated as 98% (SE = 0.25) for age-at-onset and 60% (SE = 0.10) for risk (1). Data from the most recent PD meta genome-wide association study (GWAS) have provided significant evidence for a polygenic component to age-at-onset (18), although no specific genes were identified. Three independent complex segregation analyses have reported a significantly better fit for a genetic model than for an environmental model for PD, and found the genetic effect on age-at-onset to be significantly greater than the genetic effect on risk (19–21). In one study, the best-fit model was rare alleles with large effects on age-at-onset in familial PD (19). Another study estimated an average decrease in age-at-onset of approximately 18 years for each copy of the putative allele (21). Thus, taken collectively, the clues from complex segregation analyses were “rare variant”, “large impact on age-at-onset”, and “positive family history”.

The loci that affect risk have little effect on age-at-onset. The International PD Genetic Consortium (6,249 PD cases) (18) and studies from Denmark (1,526 cases) (22) and from Norway and Sweden (1,340 cases) (23) independently reported that the risk alleles identified to date account for ~5% of the variation in age-at-onset. Thus, 99% of the 80-year variation in age-at-onset remains unexplained. About one-fourth of persons with PD report a positive family history (Table 1), but their families rarely show a Mendelian inheritance pattern and most are not caused by known PD mutations (3–11). The vast majority of familial PD remains idiopathic, and like non-familial PD, is thought to involve complex interactions between the genome and environmental exposures (24–27). It is usually assumed that the same genes operate in familial and non-familial PD; in fact, GWAS for risk have successfully uncovered numerous susceptibility loci without separating the subtypes (12–16,26–28). However, familial and non-familial PD might differ in the relative burden of genetic and non-genetic modifiers (13,29,30). If certain variants are involved predominantly in one subtype (e.g. in familial PD as segregation analysis has suggested for age-at-onset modifiers), their signal may become diluted and undetectable if familial and non-familial PD are mixed. A positive family history does not necessarily imply a genetic aetiology because non-genetic disease can also cluster in families due to a common exposure. Similarly, genetic disease may present as non-familial due to incomplete penetrance (e.g. LRRK2 mutations (29)). Moreover, a familial case may be classified as non-familial given the difficulty in recall and knowledge of family members. Despite these uncertainties, stratifying by presence/absence of family history proved to be key to identifying two genes that each affect age-at-onset by a decade.

Results

Genome-wide genotyping was conducted using Illumina HumanOmni1-Quad_v1-0_B BeadChips on 3986 subjects from NGRC (13), including 435 familial PD (one person per family), 1565 non-familial PD and 1986 controls (PD subjects were used for analysis of age-at-onset, and controls were used for ancillary tests). Subjects were unrelated (subjects with cryptic relatedness PI_HAT > 0.15 were excluded). Over 800,000 genotyped SNPs passed quality control (13). We used imputation and expanded the coverage to 7.2 million SNPs (30). Statistical testing for GWAS was conducted using Cox regression survival analysis, treating age-at-onset as a quantitative trait. Linear

Figure 1. Variation in age-at-onset of PD. Age-at-onset distribution in NGRC subjects shows nearly 80 years of variation in both familial and non-familial PD. The tails (age at onset ≤20 or ≥89 years) were excluded from analyses.
regression was also performed which yielded similar but less significant results than Cox. Cox regression is particularly suited for the analysis of time-to-event data, such as age-at-onset, where subjects are treated as unaffected from birth until the age when they develop symptoms (event) (31–34). Using an additive genetic model, genotypes were compared for age-specific incidence of PD symptoms using Cox regression, and hazard ratios (HR) were calculated with their associated P-values. The resulting Manhattan plots and quantile-quantile (QQ) plots are shown in Figure 2. Genomic inflation factors were close to one (P_{\text{inflation}} = 0.989, P_{\text{non-inflation}} = 0.996, P_{\text{all-PD}} = 1.007) indicating the P-values were not inflated. Genome-wide significant signals (P < 5E-8) were seen only in familial PD. Complete genome-wide results, including HR and P-values for 7.2 million SNPs for familial, non-familial, and all PD, are provided in the Supplementary Tables.

**Familial PD**

Four loci reached P < 5E-8 in familial PD (Fig. 2A, Table 2). They were on chromosome 5q14.1 (rs344650: minor allele frequency (MAF) = 0.016; HR = 4.77, P = 3E-8), chromosome 8q23.3 (rs74355301: MAF = 0.014; HR = 4.46, P = 3E-8), chromosome 14q21.3 (rs192855008: MAF = 0.012; HR = 7.12, P = 4E-9), and chromosome 15q22.2 (rs116860970: MAF = 0.013; HR = 6.52, P = 8E-9). Genome-wide findings for familial PD are provided in Supplementary Material, Table S1.

The signal on 14q1.1 included a variant that was directly genotyped on the GWAS array. The other three peaks were imputed. Since the fidelity of imputation for rare variants is unknown (35), we genotyped a subset of samples for the three imputed peaks (see Methods for details). Concordance between genotyped and imputed results was 98% for 15q22.2, 99% for 8q23.3 and 100% for 14q21.3. Replication samples were all genotyped. Adjusting for the first two principal components improved the association significantly (see Methods for details). Concordance between genotyped and imputed results was 98% for 15q22.2, 99% for 8q23.3 and 100% for 14q21.3. Replication samples were all genotyped. Adjusting for the first two principal components improved the association significantly (see Methods for details).

Potential for overlap across discovery and replication datasets was tested by comparing 74 SNP genotypes and all available phenotype data; no evidence of overlap was found. To correct for sparse numbers of minor-allele carriers in individual replication datasets, we applied Firth’s Penalized correction for Cox regression (36,37). The signal from 5q14.1 and 15q22.2 replicated robustly in familial PD; i.e., the associations in the familial subset of replication were significant and the combination of NGRC and replication produced a more significant signal than the NGRC data alone (Table 2, Figs 3 and 4). The replication signals for 8q23.3 and 14q21.3 were borderline significant and when combined with NGRC, the signals were less significant than NGRC alone (Table 2). The discovery signal for 8q23.3 included only one SNP (down to P = 1E-6), which adds to the uncertainty about the original finding at this peak.

The signal from 5q14.1 mapped to the LHFPL2 (Lipoma HMGIC Fusion Partner-Like 2) gene. LHFPL2 rs344650_G vs. A (5q14.1) yielded HR = 4.77 (P = 3E-8) in familial PD in GWAS, HR = 2.68 (P = 2E-5) in familial PD in replication, and HR = 3.40 (P = 1E-11) in a meta-analysis of familial PD in GWAS and replication (Fig. 3A). Presence of the rs344650_G allele was associated with 12 years earlier onset in NGRC (β = –12.33 [-18.45, -6.21]), 8 years in replication (β = –8.03 [-13.11, -2.95]), and 9.79 years in combined data (β = –9.79 [-13.70, -5.88]) (Fig. 3B). The Kaplan Meier plots show an accelerated age-at-onset distribution for rs344650_GA vs. AA genotype (P_{\text{GWAS}} = 2E-9 (Fig. 5A), P_{\text{Replication}} = 6E-3 (Fig. 5B)). rs344650_G was not associated with age in controls (P = 0.57) or in patients (P = 0.42 adjusted for age-at-onset). The Moving Average Plot (MAP) (38) of rs344650_G was consistent with the pattern expected for an age-at-onset modifier and distinct from the patterns for a risk allele like SNCA rs356220 which is associated with PD ubiquitously (13) (Fig. 6A) or like PARK2 deletions/duplications which are risk factors for early-onset PD (7,39) (Fig. 6B). Note that the overall frequency of rs344650_G was the same in cases and controls (MAF_{all-PD} = 0.016 ± 0.004; MAF_{non-familial-PD} = 0.014 ± 0.002; MAF_{familial-PD} = 0.014 ± 0.002), the distinguishing feature, as depicted in the LHFPL2 MAPs in NGRC (Fig. 6C) and replication (Fig. 6D), was the enrichment of rs344650_G in cases with earlier onsets and gradual depletion of the allele with increasing ages-at-onset.

| Table 1. Datasets and subject characteristics |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Familial PD      | Non-familial PD  | All             |                |
| Dataset        | N               | M/F             | Age            | Onset age       | N               | M/F             | Age            | Onset age       |
| NGRC Control   | 431             | 280/151         | 66.2 ± 10.4    | 56.9 ± 11.7     | 1554            | 1057/497        | 67.5 ± 10.6    | 58.9 ± 11.4     |
| AUSTR         | 293             | 170/123         | 69.8 ± 10.3    | 57.5 ± 11.2     | 842             | 532/310         | 71.9 ± 10.1    | 60.4 ± 11.2     |
| HBS*           | 99              | 67/32           | 63.8 ± 9.2     | 58.7 ± 9.5      | 350             | 227/123         | 66.7 ± 10.0    | 62.6 ± 10.6     |
| MCI            | 12              | 5/7             | 61.8 ± 9.6     | 55.1 ± 11.0     | 229             | 134/95          | 59.3 ± 10.0    | 51.3 ± 10.6     |
| MCE            | 142             | 90/52           | 69.5 ± 9.7     | 63.0 ± 10.7     | 182             | 113/69          | 64.9 ± 10.7    | 63.8 ± 12.0     |
| MCP            | 39              | 22/17           | 62.9 ± 8.6     | 55.3 ± 10.1     | 272             | 172/100         | 67.2 ± 10.3    | 59.1 ± 11.1     |
| MJC            | 112             | 74/38           | 66.5 ± 12.6    | 59.9 ± 12.8     | 217             | 139/78          | 70.7 ± 10.7    | 64.8 ± 12.2     |
| UCLA*          | 40              | 21/19           | 70.8 ± 9.9     | 68.8 ± 9.7      | 271             | 156/115         | 71.4 ± 10.5    | 69.3 ± 10.6     |
| Total          | 737             | 449/288         | 68.0 ± 10.6    | 59.6 ± 11.4     | 2363            | 1473/890        | 69.0 ± 10.9    | 61.4 ± 12.0     |

NGRC and replication datasets were tested for potential overlap; no evidence was found for overlap. Subjects with age-at-onset at the extreme tails of the distribution (≤20 years and ≥89 years) were excluded from analysis. Control subjects were used to test and rule out association of SNPs with age and with disease risk. M/F = N male/N female. Age = Age at enrollment ± standard deviation. Onset age = age-at-onset of first motor symptom of PD (age-at-diagnosis) ± standard deviation.
The signal from 15q22.2 mapped to the TPM1 (tropomyosin) gene. TPM1 rs117267308_A vs. T (15q22.2) yielded HR = 6.47 in familial PD in GWAS (P = 2E-8), HR = 3.20 (P = 2E-4) in familial PD in replication, and HR = 4.55 (P = 9E-11) in a meta-analysis of familial PD in GWAS and replication (Fig. 4A). The presence of the rs117267308_A allele was associated with 15 years earlier onset in NGRC (β = -15.30 [-22.49; -8.10]), 9 years in replication (β = -9.29 [-16.79; -1.79]), and 12 years in combined data (β = -12.42 [-17.61; -7.23]) (Fig. 4B). Age-at-onset distribution curves generated by the Kaplan Meier method showed significant separation between rs117267308_AT and rs117267308_TT genotypes in familial PD (P_{NGRC} = 2E-10 (Fig. 5C), P_{Replication} = 9E-3 (Fig. 5D)). rs117267308 was not associated with risk of familial PD (OR = 1.18, P = 0.67). rs117267308 was not associated with age in controls (P = 0.78) or in patients (P = 0.57 adjusted for age-at-onset). The MAPs of TPM1 were consistent with the signature pattern for an age-at-onset modifier (Fig. 6E and F).

There was no significant difference in association with age-at-onset between sexes for LHFPL2 or TPM1. In familial PD, carriers of rare alleles were heterozygous. One LHFPL2 rs344650_GG rare homozygote was observed in non-familial PD.

Non-familial PD

No signal reached P < 5E-8 in non-familial PD (Fig. 2B). Genome-wide results for non-familial PD are provided in Supplementary Material, Table S2. The strongest signal in non-familial PD was at P = 6E-7 (Table 3). Note that the sample size for non-familial PD was three times larger than the sample size for familial PD,
Table 2. Significance threshold used in GWAS for associations with age-at-onset of familial PD

| SNP | Gene | MAF | Test Cox regression | HR (95% CI) | Beta | P | Test Cox Test Genotype-specific Age-at-Onset | HR (95% CI) | Beta | P | Test Linear regression | HR (95% CI) | Beta | P |
|-----|------|-----|---------------------|-------------|------|---|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|------|---|---------------------------------------------|-------------|------|---|
| rs344650 | LHFPL2 | 0.016 | 4.76 | 3E-7 | 13.3 | 1.79 | -5.11 | 2E-7 | 0.02 | -8.30 | -13.49; -3.11 | 1E-6 | -9.79 | 9E-7 |
| rs74335301 | TRPS1 | 0.014 | 4.46 | 3E-7 | 11.76 | 1.83 | -3.21 | 2E-6 | 0.00 | -4.45 | -8.89; -0.05 | 1E-6 | -4.22 | 5E-6 |
| rs70553702 | KLHDC1 | 0.012 | 6.95 | 3E-7 | 15.81 | 1.94 | -2.03 | 2E-5 | 0.02 | -4.22 | -8.44; -0.05 | 1E-6 | -4.45 | 5E-6 |
| rs192855008 | KLHDC1_ARF6 | 0.017 | 7.12 | 4E-7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.25 | 2E-5 | 0.02 | -1.25 | -1.25; -1.25 | 1E-6 | -1.25 | 5E-6 |
| rs117267308 | TPM1 | 0.012 | 6.47 | 2E-7 | 15.30 | 1.87 | -2.03 | 2E-5 | 0.02 | -1.25 | -1.25; -1.25 | 1E-6 | -1.25 | 5E-6 |
| rs142383316 | TPM1 | 0.012 | 6.47 | 2E-7 | 15.30 | 1.87 | -2.03 | 2E-5 | 0.02 | -1.25 | -1.25; -1.25 | 1E-6 | -1.25 | 5E-6 |

No signal reached \( P < 5E-8 \) in all PD (Fig. 2C). Only one locus reached \( P < 1E-6 \) in all PD (Table 3): it was the LPPR1 gene on chromosome 9q31.1, had similar effect sizes in familial PD (HR = 1.7, \( P = 4E-5 \)) and non-familial PD (HR = 1.7, \( P = 5E-11 \)), and achieved \( P = 4E-7 \) in the combined data. In most cases, however, loci that showed a strong signal in familial PD \( (P < 1E-6) \) did not have a signal in non-familial PD, and vice versa, hence the effects were diluted when all PD were combined. Genome-wide results for all PD are provided in Supplementary Material, Table S3.

Discussion

The present findings provide evidence for the existence of uncommon variants with large effects on the age-at-onset of PD. Although 28 susceptibility alleles have so far been identified for PD via GWAS, much of the heritability is still unaccounted for. As a result, modifiers of age-at-onset and rare variants are now receiving increasing attention. It was recently shown that all known PD risk loci identified via GWAS account for <1% of the 80-year variation in age-at-onset (18,22,23). The loci observed in the present study would not have been detected in prior PD GWAS because they affect age-at-onset and not risk, and because the signals are undetectable unless familial and non-familial PD are separated. The present study provides proof of concept that some of the missing heritability is in age-at-onset modifiers and uncommon variants. It demonstrates that the genetic architecture of familial and non-familial PD is only partially overlapping (modifiers that operate predominantly in one and not the other subtype produce diluted undetectable signals when all PD are combined). Our study also corroborates the results of the complex segregation analyses that predicted the existence of rare genetic variants with large effects on age-at-onset of familial PD (1,19–21).

The most significant finding was the detection and replication of two signals on chromosomes 9q14.1 and 15q22.2. Each locus achieved genome-wide significance in familial PD and had no signal in non-familial PD. The minor alleles had low frequencies (0.016 and 0.012) but each locus shifted onset age by

Thus the weaker signals in non-familial PD cannot be attributed to lower power.
10–12 years. The loci accounted for 3.5% (5q14.1) and 3.9% (15q22.2) of variation in age-at-onset.

The 5q14.1 signal maps to \textit{LHFPL2} [MIM*609718], a member of the lipoma HMGIC fusion partner (LHFP) gene family. The function of \textit{LHFPL2} is unknown. Interestingly, \textit{LHFPL2} is expressed in all normal tissues and cell lines except brain and leukocytes \cite{40}; however, while healthy brain tissue has no detectable \textit{LHFPL2} transcript, \textit{LHFPL2} protein is abundant in malignant brain tissue \cite{41}. The 15q22.2 signal maps to the tropomyosin 1 gene (\textit{TPM1} [MIM*191010]). \textit{TPM1} encodes a highly conserved actin-binding protein that plays a central role in calcium-dependent regulation of muscle contraction. \textit{TPM1} is a tumour suppressor gene \cite{42}.

Cancer and Parkinson’s disease are often likened to the two sides of a coin. Epidemiological studies have shown that the risk of developing PD is inversely associated with the risk of developing cancer (except skin cancer) \cite{43}. The pathways that lead to neuronal apoptosis, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling, can also lead to their uncontrolled growth \cite{44}. There is also evidence from genetics for overlap, best exemplified by \textit{PARK2}, which is both a tumour suppressor gene \cite{45,46} and the most common cause of early-onset PD.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Replication results for rs344650 in \textit{LHFPL2} in familial PD. In the replication datasets, excluding NGRC dataset (GWAS), the rs344650_G allele was associated with more than two-fold higher age-specific hazard ratio (HR) and approximately 8 years earlier onset than rs344650_A allele. (A) HR were generated using Cox regression, with Firth’s Penalized correction for datasets with 6 or fewer observations. The forest plot depicts the HR with SE for each dataset individually, and combined using Fixed and Random Effects meta-analysis. (B) Mean differences in age-at-onset were calculated using linear regression. Additive models were used (estimates are per allele). Each panel shows the replication datasets only on top, followed by NGRC plus replication datasets. W: weight of each dataset in meta-analysis under fixed or random effects model.}
\end{figure}
LHFPL2 and TPM1 may also be genetic links between cancer and PD. Many of the markers that associated with onset of familial PD map to sequences that are identified by the Roadmap Epigenomics Project (http://genomebrowser.wustl.edu) and ENCODE (48) as being active regulatory elements in the brain (Figs 7 and 8). The variants were not found in eQTL or mQTL databases Genevar (49), eqtl (http://eqtl.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/gbrowse/eqtl/), SCAN (50), or BRAINEAC (51), likely due to their low frequencies, thus we could not test their association with the expression or methylation of LHFPL2, TPM1, or adjacent genes.

We did not attempt to replicate signals that had $P > 5 \times 10^{-8}$. It is noteworthy, however, that a block of variants mapping to 9q31.1 produced similar signals in familial (HR = 1.7, $\beta = -4.45$) and non-familial PD (HR = 1.7, $\beta = -5.11$), and when combined, the signal reached $P = 4 \times 10^{-7}$. Low analytic power could have kept the 9q31.1 signal from reaching the significance threshold. The 9q31.1 signal maps to the neuronal plasticity gene LPPR1 which is highly expressed in the brain and is involved in glutamate-receptor mediated neuronal excitation (52), one of the mechanisms that is believed to cause neuronal death in PD (53).

Our study was a GWAS, which was designed to detect common variants; in fact variants with MAF $< 0.01$ were excluded before analysis. If the age-at-onset modifiers for PD are uncommon alleles, as our results would suggest, our findings could be the tip of the iceberg. A related limitation was our sample size: the discovery dataset was barely powered to detect uncommon

**Figure 4.** Replication results for rs117267308 in TPM1 in familial PD. In the replication datasets, excluding NGRC dataset (GWAS), the rs117267308_A allele was associated with more than three-fold higher age-specific hazard ratio (HR) and approximately 9 years earlier onset than rs117267308_T allele. (A) HR were generated using Cox regression, with Firth’s Penalized correction for datasets with 6 or fewer observations. The forest plot depicts the HR with SE for each dataset individually, and combined using Fixed and Random Effects meta-analysis. (B) Mean differences in age-at-onset were calculated using linear regression. Additive models were used (estimates are per allele). Each panel shows the replication datasets only on top, followed by NGRC plus replication datasets. W: weight of each dataset in meta-analysis under fixed or random effects model.
variants. Given these limitations, that two loci reached genome-wide significance in discovery and replicated robustly is remarkable. Our study revealed several signals for variants that achieved $P < 1E-6$, which is promising enough to warrant studies that are specifically designed to detect and validate uncommon and rare variants.

Materials and Methods

Human subjects and data collection

Subjects: Institutional Review Boards and Human Subject Committees at participating institutions approved the study. Subject characteristics are shown in Table 1. For the discovery phase (GWAS) we used the subjects from NGRC (13). Uniform methods were used for diagnosis, subject selection, data collection, DNA preparation, genotyping, imputation, and analysis. Subjects included 2,000 individuals with the diagnosis of PD (54) whom we used to study age-at-onset, and 1,986 control subjects whom we used to rule out confounding due to associations with age. NGRC patients were on average 8 years past diagnosis, thus excluding early misdiagnoses which occur at a rate of 25% (55). Controls were free of neurodegenerative disease by self-report; a subset of older controls were examined and confirmed by neurologists to be unaffected (13). All patients and controls were American of European origin and unrelated to each other (PI_HAT ≤ 0.15) (13). For replication, seven datasets were used, made available by investigators at Griffith University Australia (AUST) (56), Harvard Biomarker Study (HBS) (57), University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) (58), and Mayo Clinic Jacksonville (MCJ) (56) which included four cohorts of Irish (MCJI), Polish (MCJP), and Caucasian of European decent with mixed (MCJE) or unknown (MCJU) European countries of origin. In total, replication included DNA, age-at-onset or age-at-diagnosis, family history data, sex, and age-at-enrolment on a total of 3100 persons with PD (Table 1). All subjects were Caucasian. No overlaps: We compared all subjects across all datasets (NGRC and replications) for 74 SNP genotypes, sex, family history and age-at-onset/age-at-diagnosis. Eight pairs of individuals matched on all items. We reached out to the investigators for each dataset, obtained additional information on the 8 pairs, and were able to clear all of them as unique individuals. Additionally, we were able to confirm that there were no first-degree relatives among the carriers of LHFPL2 or TPM1 rare alleles across datasets.

Age-at-onset & Family history: NGRC subjects used for GWAS were recruited from neurology clinics sequentially and irrespective of age-at-onset or family history. Age-at-onset was defined as the age when the subject noticed the first motor symptom of PD. Age-at-onset was obtained at three independent occasions, several years apart: at the time of diagnosis by the movement disorder specialist as noted in medical records, at enrolment in our genetic study (59,60), and at enrolment in our environmental study (61). The three sources were compared, and inconsistencies that were >2 years were either resolved or the subject was designated as having unknown age-at-onset ($n$ = 1). The outliers (onset <20 years or >89 years) were excluded from analysis ($n$ = 14). Family history was obtained using a standardized self-administered questionnaire (59). Patients who reported a first or second-degree relative with PD were classified as familial PD; all others were classified as non-familial PD. Only one person per family was used. GWAS consisted of 1985 persons with PD, with known age-at-onset; 431 were familial PD and 1554 were non-familial PD. Datasets used for replication were each collected with a different study design and ascertainment method necessitating tests of heterogeneity and the use of meta-analysis. Each group had classified their samples as familial or non-familial. AUST, MCJE, MCJI, MCJP, and MCJU had collected age-at-onset. HBS and UCLA had collected age-at-diagnosis instead of age-at-onset, but age-at-diagnosis and age-at-onset are highly correlated (tested in NGRC $r^2 = 0.93$, $P < 1E-16$). For HBS and UCLA we used age-at-diagnosis instead of age-at-onset. Each dataset had either age-at-onset or age-at-diagnosis, but not a mix of both. In

Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier plots of age-at-onset for LHFPL2 (rs344650) and TPM1 (rs117267308). Familial PD A–D: LHFPL2 genotype and TPM1 genotype show markedly significant effects on age-at-onset of familial PD. Non-familial PD (E–H): Age at onset distributions did not vary by LHFPL2 genotype or by TPM1 genotype in non-familial PD. Kaplan-Meier survival curves are plots of age-specific cumulative probability of survival without disease. Here, survival is defined as not yet being affected with PD, the event is onset of PD, and the time of event is age-at-onset. Patients are divided by genotype (presence vs. absence of the minor allele), and cumulative disease-free survival is plotted for each group. Red: individuals with the minor allele. Blue: individuals without the minor allele.
Figure 6. Moving average plots (MAP). Minor allele frequencies are plotted in a moving-average window across the age spectrum in NGRC controls (blue) and as a function of age-at-onset in patients (red). For the description of the MAP method see (38). Data are shown for the \textit{LHFPL2} rs344650 \textit{G} allele and the \textit{TPM1} rs117267308 \textit{A} allele, as well as for two well-established PD loci for the purpose of demonstration: \textit{SNCA} rs356220, which is associated with risk in all PD (A), and \textit{PARK2} deletion/duplication, which is associated with risk of early-onset PD. (B) The MAP of \textit{SNCA} rs356220 demonstrates the expected pattern for a variant that is associated with increased risk ubiquitously: allele frequency is higher in patients and parallels the control frequency, always staying higher, with no variation with age or age-at-onset. The plot for \textit{PARK2} is the signature pattern for variants that are associated with the risk of early-onset disease: allele frequency in patients is the highest in early-onset cases and decreases with increasing age-at-onset until it reaches the control frequency when it stops declining and remains superimposed on controls. \textit{LHFPL2} rs344650 has the signature pattern for an age-at-onset modifier in familial PD (C,D): accelerated onset in rs344650 \textit{G} carriers causes the allele frequency to be highest in early-onset cases, decrease with increasing ages-at-onset, cross the control frequency and continue to drop below the control frequency - yet overall, rs344650 \textit{G} frequency in all patients is the same as in controls. \textit{TPM1} rs117267308 exhibited a similar pattern consistent with an age-at-onset modifier in familial PD (E,F).

Table 3. Signals that achieved $P < 1E-6$ in GWAS

| CHR | BP     | Gene      | SNP         | INFO | MAF  | HR | P      | MAF  | HR | P      | MAF  | HR | P      |
|-----|--------|-----------|-------------|------|------|----|-------|------|----|-------|------|----|-------|
|     |        | Familial PD|             |      |      |    |       |      |    |       |      |    |       |
| 1   | 118901768 | SPAG17    | rs78024109  | 0.92 | 0.017 | 4.06 | 5E-7 | 0.018 | 1.10 | 0.49 | 0.018 | 1.28 | 0.04 |
| 3   | 161715295 | OTOL1     | rs12494760  | 0.92 | 0.019 | 3.84 | 2E-7 | 0.019 | 1.05 | 0.73 | 0.019 | 1.24 | 0.08 |
| 4   | 120114558 | MYOZ2_USP53 | rs116379732 | 0.95 | 0.013 | 5.14 | 4E-7 | 0.013 | 1.07 | 0.67 | 0.013 | 1.27 | 0.09 |
| 5   | 77860608 | LHFPL2     | rs344650    | 0.99 | 0.016 | 4.77 | 3E-8 | 0.014 | 0.96 | 0.79 | 0.014 | 1.16 | 0.25 |
| 6   | 105853402 | PREP      | rs6930232   | 0.98 | 0.158 | 1.65 | 4E-7 | 0.164 | 1.07 | 0.19 | 0.163 | 1.14 | 2E-3 |
| 7   | 30936024  | AQP1      | rs12112389  | 0.96 | 0.049 | 2.38 | 1E-7 | 0.052 | 0.96 | 0.64 | 0.051 | 1.09 | 0.24 |
| 7   | 129160558 | SMKR1     | rs62490863  | 0.90 | 0.013 | 5.84 | 8E-8 | 0.014 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.014 | 1.16 | 0.30 |
| 8   | 116638637 | TRPS1     | rs74355301  | 0.93 | 0.014 | 4.46 | 3E-8 | 0.016 | 1.04 | 0.77 | 0.015 | 1.23 | 0.12 |
| 10  | 129028001 | DOCK1     | rs14918858  | 0.97 | 0.011 | 6.02 | 2E-7 | 0.005 | 1.81 | 0.02 | 0.007 | 2.39 | 1E-5 |
| 14  | 50358528  | KLHDC1_ARF6 | rs192785008 | 0.95 | 0.012 | 7.12 | 4E-9 | 0.011 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 0.011 | 0.97 | 0.86 |
| 15  | 63351500  | TPM1      | rs116860970 | 0.95 | 0.013 | 6.52 | 8E-9 | 0.011 | 0.88 | 0.48 | 0.012 | 1.11 | 0.51 |
| 18  | 73807596  | LOC339298  | rs11660883  | 0.93 | 0.012 | 5.19 | 5E-7 | 0.015 | 1.02 | 0.90 | 0.015 | 1.18 | 0.24 |
|     |        | Non-familial PD|             |      |      |    |       |      |    |       |      |    |       |
| 22  | 45356065  | PHF21B    | rs11630553  | 0.99 | 0.022 | 0.74 | 2E-7 | 0.022 | 1.86 | 0.02 | 0.022 | 1.43 | 1E-3 |
|     |        | All PD     |             |      |      |    |       |      |    |       |      |    |       |
| 9   | 103982633 | LPPR1     | rs62576890  | 0.92 | 0.025 | 1.72 | 2E-7 | 0.024 | 1.73 | 7E-6 | 0.024 | 1.73 | 4E-7 |

Signals that reached $P < 1E-6$ in either of the three groups (familial, non-familial, all PD) are shown with the corresponding results for that signal in the other groups. Only one SNP is shown for each peak. CHR – chromosome, BP – base pair position of the top SNP (genome build 37), INFO – info score for imputed SNPs, MAF – minor allele frequency, HR – age-specific Hazard Ratio.
total, replication included 3100 persons with PD with known age-at-onset or age-at-diagnosis; 737 were familial PD and 2363 were non-familial PD.

Genotyping and imputation
NGRC subjects were genotyped using Illumina HumanOmni1-Quad_v1-0_B BeadChips (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and the Illumina Infinium II assay protocol (13). Technical genotyping quality-control criteria have been described in detail (13). The array genotyping call rate was 99.92% and reproducibility rate was 99.99%. Subjects who were inadvertently enrolled twice, or had cryptic relatedness (PI-HAT > 0.15) were excluded. SNPs were excluded if MAF < 0.01, call-rate < 99%, HWE P < 1E-6, MAF difference in males vs. females >0.15, or missing rate in PD vs. control P < 1E-5. 811,597 SNPs passed quality-control measures (genotype and phenotype data for NGRC are available on dbGaP; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap, accession number phs000196.v2.p1).

The bottom panel is from ENCODE and shows histone acetylation and methylation marks (black) in brain cells (NIH-A cell line).

Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted with HelixTree (http://www.goldenhelix.com) using a pruned subset of 104,064 SNPs, as described previously (13). No association was detected between PC 1-4 and age-at-onset in all PD (P-values for PC 1-4 = 0.09, 0.15, 0.81, 0.99), in familial PD (P = 0.21, 0.57, 0.73, 0.66), or in non-familial PD (P = 0.21, 0.19, 0.80, 0.95). Thus GWAS was carried out without adjustment for PC. However, we did reexamine the significant findings by including PC1 and PC2 in the model, and found the results to be similar and slightly more significant when corrected for PCs. Imputation was conducted using the IMPUTEv2.2.2 software (https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/impute/impute_v2.html) (62) and the 1000 Genomes Phase I integrated variant set release v3. Imputed SNPs with info score < 0.9 or MAF < 0.01 were excluded. 6.4 million imputed SNPs passed quality control. In sum, GWAS included 7.2 million SNPs (0.8 million genotyped and 6.4 million imputed). Three of the four signals that reached P < 5E-8 were imputed. We genotyped a subset of the samples because the variants had low frequencies and the

Figure 7. Alignment of LHPL2 variants with regulatory markers. Shown is a 400 kb segment of DNA surrounding the variants that associate with age-at-onset of PD in the LHPL2 region (rs344650 = 200kb; chr5: 77,660,608–78,060,608, genome build 37). The box on top was generated using LocusZoom and shows the SNPs with their associated P-values (left Y-axis) and their positions on the chromosome (X-axis). rs344650 is shown in purple. LD (r2) was calculated in relation to rs344650. The colors denote the strength of LD. The top four SNPs shown in purple, red, and orange are all in the same intron. The next section is from the Roadmap Epigenomics Project and shows regulatory marks (orange = enhancers and red = transcription start sites) predicted by ChromHMM, with each line representing a different brain tissue that was analyzed (BAG = brain angular gyrus; BAC = brain anterior caudate; BCG = brain cingulate gyrus; BGM = brain germinal matrix; BMW = brain hippocampus middle; BITL = brain inferior temporal lobe; BMFL = brain mid frontal lobe; BSN = brain substantia nigra). The bottom panel is from ENCODE and shows histone acetylation and methylation marks (black) in brain cells (NIH-A cell line).
quality of imputation for uncommon variants is unclear. For TPM1: 29 heterozygotes and 53 common homozygotes (no rare homozygotes were observed) as predicted by imputation were genotyped. Genotyping results were 98% concordant with imputed genotypes. For TRPS1: 1 rare homozygote, 28 heterozygotes, and 53 common homozygotes as predicted by imputation were genotyped. Genotyping results were 99% concordant with imputed genotypes. For KLHDC1: 29 heterozygotes and 53 common homozygotes (no rare homozygotes were observed) as predicted by imputation were genotyped. Genotyped results were 100% concordant with imputed genotypes. Replication samples were all directly genotyped using genomic DNA on Sequenom iPLEX (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) and TaqMan assays (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA). None were imputed. Primers are available on request.

**Statistical analyses**

**Discovery:** GWAS was conducted using the Cox regression survival analysis, where age-at-onset was treated as a quantitative trait, and an additive genetic model was used for SNP genotypes: [Survival(Age-at-onset, PD status) ~ SNP]. Using the Cox method, dosages (from 0 to 2 copies) of the minor allele of each SNP were compared, age-for-age, for the hazard of developing PD. Survival was measured as disease-free lifespan, from birth to age-at-onset. A hazard ratio (HR) and P-value was calculated for each SNP under the additive model. Significance was set at \( P = 5 \times 10^{-8} \). The “survival” package in R software (http://www.r-project.org/) was used for Cox regression. Manhattan plots were generated using Haploview v 4.2 (64). QQ plots were generated using R. Genomic inflation factors \((\lambda)\) were calculated using the “GenABEL” package version 1.8-0 in R. Effect size on age-at-onset was estimated as the difference in mean age-at-onset \((\beta)\) using linear regression: [Age-at-onset ~ SNP]. Linear regression was performed in ProbABEL v. 0.1.9d software (http://www.genabel.org/packages/ProbABEL) (65).

**Replication testing:** SNPs that generated \( P < 5 \times 10^{-8} \) in discovery were genotyped in all replication samples (familial and non-familial). Replication samples were stratified by family history for statistical testing. For each SNP, we tested the following hypotheses in replication; (a) SNP is associated with age-at-onset in familial PD, with the minor allele being associated with earlier onset, and (b) SNP is not associated with age-at-onset in non-familial PD. Each SNP was
tested in each of the replication datasets individually, using Cox regression in R, followed by meta-analyses of replication datasets using the “meta” package version 3.2-1 in R. For datasets that had 6 or fewer observations, Firth’s Penalized estimation was used to improve precision of Cox estimates (36,37). Datasets with zero observations (lacking rare allele) were not included in the Cox or linear regression, but were included in Kaplan Meier analysis. The effect size on age-at-onset was calculated for each dataset separately using linear regression in R, and then for all datasets combined using “meta” package in R. Meta-analysis forest plots were generated using the “meta” package in R. Moving Average Plots were generated using “meta” package in R. Meta-analysis forest plots were generated using the algorithm described previously (38) and implemented in the “freqMAP” package in R. Kaplan Meier Survival plots were generated, and log-rank tests were performed using “survival” package in R. 

Functional annotation

We used LocusZoom Version 1.1 (http://locuszoom.sph.umich.edu/locuszoom/) (66) to visualize the location and LD of the top association peaks. We examined Epigenomics Roadmap (via http://genomebrowser.wustl.edu) and ENCODE (via http://genome.ucsc.edu/index.html) (48) annotations of putative regulatory elements in the regions of our associated signals. We searched eQTL and mQTL databases Genearvar (https://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/software/genevar/) (49), eqtl (http://eqtl.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/gbrowse/eqtl/), SCAN (http://www.scandb.org/newinterface/about.html) (50) and BRAINEAC (http://www.braineac.org)(51) for eQTL or mQTL association results for the associated variants, but the variants were not found in any of the databases, likely due to their low frequencies.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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