Profiling and bioinformatics analyses of differential circular RNA expression in prostate cancer cells
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Aim: There is little knowledge about the expression profile and function of circular RNAs (circRNAs) in prostate cancer (PCa). Methods: The expression profiles of circRNAs in RWPE-1, 22RV1 and PC3 cells were explored via high-throughput circRNAs sequencing and validated by real-time qPCR. The roles of differentially expressed circRNAs were evaluated by bioinformatics analyses. Results: Altogether 9545 circRNAs were identified and hundreds of differentially expressed circRNAs were recognized. CircRNA–miRNA networks analysis showed that many circRNAs, including circSLC7A6, circGUCY1A2 and circZFP57 could cross-talk with tumor-related miRNAs such as miR-21, miR-143 and miR-200 family. Conclusion: The results of our bioinformatics analyses suggested that circRNAs should play critical roles in the development and progression of PCa.

Lay abstract: The expression profile and role of circular RNAs (circRNAs) in prostate cancer (PCa) is unknown. In this study, the profiling of circRNAs in PCa cells was explored and their potential function was predicted by bioinformatics analyses. In total, 9545 circRNAs and hundreds of differentially expressed circRNAs were identified. Bioinformatics analyses showed that circRNAs including circSLC7A6, circGUCY1A2 and circZFP57 could cross talk with tumor-related miRNAs. This indicated that circRNAs might play an important role in PCa and warrant further study.
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Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are naturally endogenous RNAs classically formed from pre-mRNAs by reverse splicing. They are involved in various cellular and disease processes through modulating gene transcription and post-
transcription \([1]\). They were first discovered in viruses and thought to be caused by mis-splicing of gene sequences. With the development of high-throughput sequencing and bioinformatics analyses in recent years, thousands of circRNAs have been identified in most organisms including bacteria, plants and animals \([2]\). CircRNAs are evolutionarily conserved, stabilized and more enriched in exosomes \([3–5]\). Although they are considered as a new class of noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), new studies have discovered that circRNAs can be translated \([6,7]\). More importantly, circRNAs have a species-specific, tissue-specific and cell-specific expression pattern \([2]\), suggesting that circRNAs may play important biological roles and possess the potential to become a predictive and therapeutic target for diseases.

Although knowledge about the biological function of circRNAs is limited, some circRNAs have been found to be associated with diabetes \([8]\), myocardial infarction \([9]\), osteoarthritis \([10]\) and Alzheimer’s disease \([11]\). CircRNAs have also been demonstrated to be involved in the tumorigenesis and progression of esophageal cancer \([12]\), hepatocellular carcinoma \([13]\), gastric cancer \([14]\), colon cancer \([15]\), bladder cancer \([16]\), ovarian cancer \([17]\) and lung cancer \([18]\). For instance, circMTO1 suppressed the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma by sponging miR-9 \([13]\), circPVT1 can be seen as a proliferative factor and prognostic marker in gastric cancer \([14]\), and the circTCF25-miR-103a-3p/miR-107-CDK6 pathway was reported to play an important regulatory role in bladder carcinoma \([16]\).

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common malignant tumor of the male reproductive system and the second most lethal tumor disease for men \([19]\). A growing number of studies have demonstrated that the aberrant expression of ncRNAs contributes to cell proliferation, metastasis and drug resistance in PCa. A variety of lncRNAs and miRNAs such as PCA3, PCAT-1, MALAT1, miR-205 and miR-34a, have been experimentally and clinically reported to be involved in PCa and serve as potential biomarkers or therapeutic targets for PCa \([20]\). However, the expression profile and role of circRNAs remain elusive.

To clarify the expression profile and role of circRNAs in PCa, we used PCa cell lines as disease models for sequencing, a circRNA-specific sequencing method to enrich circRNAs, and normal prostate epithelial RWPE-1 cells, PCa epithelial 22RV1 cells and bone metastatic cells of PC3 PCa cell line to explore the expression profiles of circRNAs using high-throughput circRNA sequencing. The workflow is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to investigate circRNA expression profiles in these three PCa cells. It is our hope that the findings of the present study could help clarify the roles of circRNAs in different stages of PCa progression and provide a promising candidate for the diagnosis and therapeutics of PCa.

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

Cell culture: RWPE-1, 22RV1 and PC3 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. RWPE-1 cells were cultured in P-EpiCM (ScienCell, CA, USA); 22RV1 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, MD, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin–streptomycin solution; and PC3 cells were cultured in F-12 (Ham) (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin–streptomycin solution, all at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO2. The medium was replaced every 2 days, and cells were digested at room temperature with 0.5 ml 0.25% trypsin/EDTA (Gibco) per well when they grew to 70–80% confluence.

**RNA extraction**

Total RNA was isolated from cells with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA concentration of each sample was measured by Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA). RNA integrity and gDNA contamination were measured by denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis. To remove residual genomic DNA, each RNA sample was digested with Rnase-free DNase I (Takara, Beijing, PR China) according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

**RNA library preparation & sequencing**

CircRNA-seq service and the following bioinformatic analyses were performed by CloudSeq Biotech Inc. (Shanghai, PR China). For each sample, 5 μg of total RNA was incubated for 15 min at 37°C with 3 units μg–1 of RNase R (Epicentre, WI, USA) to enrich circular RNA. The RNase-R treated RNA was then rRNA depleted by using the Ribo-Zero Magnetic Gold Kit (Epicentre). The rRNA-depleted RNA was used to construct the RNA libraries with TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The library quality was evaluated with BioAnalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Library sequencing was performed on an illumina Hiseq instrument with 150 bp paired end reads.
RNA-seq data analysis
The FASTQ reads were aligned to the human reference genome. Transcriptome data were obtained from the UCSC genome database by using the BWA-MEM software [21], circRNAs were identified by using CIRI software as described [22] and the identified circRNAs were then annotated with circDB database, a manually curated database that collected all published circular RNAs and was developed by Cloudseq Inc. (For each sample, the original back-spliced junction reads of each circRNA were converted to tags per million reads.) Then, statistical hypothesis based on binomial distribution was used to identify the differentially expressed circRNAs between two sample groups by edgeR analysis method. p-value < 0.05 was considered as significantly differential expression [23,24]. Hierarchical clusterings were performed by using the euclidean distance matrix of the heatmap.2 function in the gplots package of the R environment to analyze the expression pattern of significantly up and downregulated circRNAs in each group with the threshold of fold change 2.0 and p-value < 0.05. The function of circRNAs was predicted by Gene Ontology (GO) term and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of the corresponding host genes with DAVID database. The results of GO term and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses were shown with threshold p < 0.05 and arrangement in order based on false discovery rate (FDR) value.

circRNA–miRNA regulatory network
The significantly differentially expressed circRNAs were validated with real time qPCR, and then subjected to analysis. Differentially expressed circRNAs were used to predict the potential miRNA response elements and the binding sites of miRNAs with CloudSeq’s home-made software based on miRanda and TargetScan (CloudSeq Inc.). The network between circRNAs and miRNAs was also constructed by Cytoscape based on the binding sites of the differentially expressed circRNAs and miRNAs. Different nodes represent circRNAs and miRNAs, respectively. Solid lines between two nodes represent potential binding.

Real-time qPCR
cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng total RNA with the PrimeScript RT Master Mix (Takara), according to manufacturer’s instructions. The real-time PCR analyses of the expression level of the circRNAs were performed by using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara). RT-qPCR was performed in 20 μl reaction volume, including 1 μl cDNA, 10 μl 2 × Master Mix, 0.3 μl Forward Primer (10 μM), 0.3 μl Reverse Primer (10 μM) and 8.4 μl double distilled water. The primer sequences are presented in Supplementary Table 3. The reaction was set at 95°C for 10 min, and then repeated for 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 60 s in real time PCR System (ABI, CA, USA), using β-actin as a reference. All samples were amplified in triplicate wells, and the relative level was calculated with 2^Ct method.

Polymerase chain reaction
The expression levels of the circRNAs were analyzed by PCR using Premix Taq (Ex Taq II) (Takara) in 20 μl reaction volume, including 1 μl cDNA, 10 μl 2 × master mix, 0.3 μl forward primer (10 μM), 0.3 μl reverse primer (10 μM) and 8.4 μl double distilled water. The reaction was set at 95°C for 10 min, repeated for 40 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 45 s and finally 72°C for 7 min in PCR System (BIO-RAD, CA, USA).

Agarose gel electrophoresis
The products of real-time qPCR or PCR were validated in agarose (Biowest, Spain) with a concentration of 1.5% in TAE buffer (made up of Tris base, acetic acid, and EDTA; Songon Biotech, Shanghai, PR China) by use of an electrophoresis system (BIO-RAD, CA, USA). The results were detected by using an imaging system (Syngene, Cambridge, UK).

Sanger sequencing
The PCR amplified products were Sanger sequenced by standard methods in the Beijing Genomics Institute (Beijing, PR China).

Results
Overview of circRNA profiles in PCa cell lines
To explore the profile of circRNAs in prostate carcinogenesis, biological triplicates, RNase R digested and rRNA depleted, circRNA-specific sequencing was performed in RWPE-1, 22RV1 and PC3 cells. More than 20 million
Figure 1. Circular RNAs expression profiles in prostate cancer cell lines. (A) The number of circRNAs identified in RWPE-1, 22RV1, PC3 cell lines. (B) The distribution of circRNAs from different database resources. (C) The distribution of circRNAs from different classifications based on the genomic origin. (D) The distribution of circRNAs in different lengths. (E) The distribution of circRNAs in different chromosomes.

circRNA: Circular RNA.
raw reads on average were detected in each cell sample and more than 90% of reads were mapped (Supplementary Table 1). The number of circRNAs decreased with the increase of the mean junction reads in each cell sample (Supplementary Figure 2). A total of 9545 circRNAs were identified in the three cell lines, and 845 of them were coexpressed (Figure 1A). In these circRNAs, 53.9% (5142/9545) were novel, and the others (4403/9545, 46.1%) were annotated from Circbase database, Zhang 2014 [25] and Guojunjie 2014 [4] reports (Figure 1B). These circRNAs aligned to ‘exonic’ (6042/9545), ‘intronic’ (689/9545), ‘antisense’ (968/9545), ‘intergenic’ (109/9545)
Identification of differentially expressed circRNA profiles

To identify the differentially expressed circRNAs, we used statistical analysis in the groups of 22RV1 versus RWPE-1, PC3 versus RWPE-1, PC3 versus 22RV1. Venn diagrams showed the distribution of the three cell lines in each catalog and these circRNAs were mainly exon-derived and antisense-derived (Figure 2A–C). The expression pattern of hierarchical clusterings was distinguishable between different cell samples, and the dendrograms showed relationships between the samples and differential circRNAs (Figure 2D–F). Volcano plots were used to find out the target circRNAs in each group with p-value < 0.05, fold change > 2.0 (Figure 2G–I) combining with scatter plots (Supplementary Figure 4A–C). A total of 443 (101 up- and 342 down-regulated) circRNAs were identified in the group of 22RV1 versus RWPE-1; 362 (130 up- and 232 down-regulated) circRNAs were identified in the group of PC3 versus RWPE-1; and 409 (268 up- and 141 down-regulated) circRNAs were identified in the group of PC3 versus 22RV1. All the differentially expressed circRNAs are shown in Supplementary Table 2. We enumerated the 10 significantly up and downregulated circRNAs in each group (Table 1). The distribution of the differentially expressed circRNAs derived from different chromosomes and catalogs are shown in Table 2. Most host genes of differentially expressed circRNAs were still exon-derived and in chromosome 1.
Validation of the differentially expressed circRNAs in PCa cells

To validate the high-throughput sequencing data, the expression of up and downregulated circRNAs in RWPE-1, 22RV1, PC3 cell samples was verified by real-time qPCR (Figure 3A), and the results were confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis (Supplementary Figure 5). Expression detected by each of the two methods was consistent with each other, demonstrating the high reliability of the RNAseq results. The PCR amplified products of 12 deregulated circRNAs chose randomly were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Figure 3B). To verify the characteristics of stability,
circRNAs were treated with RNase R. As expected, the circRNAs were resistant to RNase R treatment in contrast to linear control (Figure 3C).

**Prediction of circRNA functions in PCa**

To further explore the functions of circRNAs in the biological progression of PCa, we performed GO term and KEGG pathway analyses on the host genes of differentially expressed circRNAs.

All comparison data of GO term analyses including biological process, molecular function and cellular component are shown in Supplementary Figure 6, 7 and 8. Our biological process analyses showed that some host genes could regulate the molecular metabolism process, catabolic process, cell cycle G2/M phase transition, small GTPase mediated signal transduction and the characteristics of stem cells. Some other host genes were involved in regulation of translation, protein ubiquitination, kinase activity and signaling pathways, such as integrin-mediated signaling, TOR signaling pathway, Hippo signaling pathway and Ras protein signal transduction. Molecular function analyses showed that host genes had the ability to bind many kinds of molecules, including SH3 domains, p53, enzymes, DNA, RNA and ATP (Figure 4A–F).

KEGG pathway revealed that some host genes were associated with cancer progression by participating in proteoglycan and transcriptional misregulation. In addition, the analyses also showed that some genes had enrichment on a variety of tumor-related signaling pathways (including Hippo, Rap1, ErbB, Wnt, PI3K-Akt, HIF-1, Notch and TGF-β signaling pathways). All comparison data are shown in Supplementary Figure 9. The significantly important pathways with high enrichment scores were ‘Hippo signaling pathway’ in the group of PC3 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) and ‘Rap1 signaling pathway’ in the group of 22RV1 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) (Figure 4G–J).
Figure 3. Validation of differentially expressed circular RNAs in prostate cancer cell lines (cont.). (A) The relative expression levels of significantly up- and down-regulated circRNAs in RWPE-1, 22RV1 and PC3 cell lines by real-time qPCR. (B) The Sanger sequencing results of 12 circRNAs PCR amplified products selected randomly. (C) Real-time qPCR results of circRNAs in PCa cells treated with RNase R. The amount of circRNAs was normalized to the value measured in the mock treatment. circRNA: Circular RNA.

Construction of circRNA–miRNA interaction networks
To illustrate the complex regulation mechanism of circRNAs in PCa cells, we constructed two circRNA–miRNA networks. First, we constructed a circRNA–miRNA network with differentially expressed circRNAs listed above and the five miRNAs with the stronger binding ability to them (Figure 5A). To find out the target circRNAs associated with PCa more effectively, we selected 46 miRNAs closely related to the development of PCa (including miR-21, miR-221/222 and the miR-200 family) to draw a network diagram for showing the relationship between them and the corresponding circRNAs (Figure 5B).

Discussion
CircRNAs are natural endogenous RNAs, the roles of which, in some human cancers, have been gradually unraveled in recent years [1]. However, the role of circRNAs in PCa is not clear yet. In the present study, we reported the circRNA expression profiles in PC3, 22RV1 and RWPE-1 cell lines and explored the differentially expressed circRNAs to predict the potential function of circRNAs in PCa by bioinformatics analyses. Unlike mRNA participating in signaling of the biological process by translating into protein, circRNAs can regulate some
important biological activities via different mechanisms, such as regulating the content of miRNAs as a reservoir of miRNAs [2], combining with protein to form a circRNA-protein complex to disrupt the effects of protein on the targets [26], regulating host gene transcription by binding with RNA polymerase II or miRNA [27] and affecting the formation of gene splicing protein [28]. They can also be translated into protein directly in a few cases [6,7].

The most important mechanism of circRNAs is known to function as miRNA sponges like competitive endogenous RNA molecules [2]. miRNAs are important regulators in gene expression and play a crucial role in cancer progression. In this study, we constructed circRNA–miRNA networks by using bioinformatics analyses to explore the relationship between differentially expressed circRNAs and miRNAs. Some important miRNAs were found to bind with the significantly differential circRNAs listed above, such as miR-143 (binding with circSLC7A6, hsa_circ_0039943), miR200c and miR-200b (binding with circGUCY1A2, hsa_circ_0008602) and miR-21 (binding with circZFP57). According to previous studies, miR-143 was associated with bone metastasis of PCa and involved in the regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition [29]; the miR-200 family could inhibit PCa progression [30]; and miR-21 could promote PCa development [31], implying that circGUCY1A2 and circZFP57 may affect the development of tumor formation and circSLC7A6 may promote bone metastasis through these miRNAs. The selected 46 miRNAs, which were reported to be closely associated with PCa progression [30–34], or with AR signaling pathway [35–40] and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition [29,41–45], could also be found to have corresponding circRNAs. These results indicate that circRNAs may have a close relationship with the development of PCa.

Another important mechanism of circRNAs is that they can affect the expression or function of host genes. GO term analyses of this study showed that host genes of the differentially expressed circRNAs functioned in some important biological processes and molecular mechanisms, such as binding of the SH3 domain and participating in integrin-mediated signaling pathway. DOCK1, for example, has a SH3-SH2-domain in LNCap cells, and ROBO1
Figure 4. Gene ontology term analysis and KEGG pathway analysis of differentially expressed circular RNA host genes. (A–F) Main GO term analysis of 22RV1 versus RWPE-1, PC3 versus RWPE-1 and PC3 versus 22RV1 with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0; the values plotted on Y axis are the percentage of the corresponding host genes in total genes of each group. (G, I) Top 10 pathways shown by KEGG pathway analysis in the group PC3 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) and 22RV1 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0. (H, J) The pathway maps of ‘Hippo signaling pathway’ and ‘Rap1 signaling pathway’, where the yellow-marked nodes are host genes associated with downregulated circRNAs and the green nodes have no significance.
Figure 4. Gene ontology term analysis and KEGG pathway analysis of differentially expressed circular RNA host genes (cont.). (A–F) Main GO term analysis of 22RV1 versus RWPE-1, PC3 versus RWPE-1 and PC3 versus 22RV1 with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0; the values plotted on Y axis are the percentage of the corresponding host genes in total genes of each group. (G, I) Top 10 pathways shown by KEGG pathway analysis in the group PC3 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) and 22RV1 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0. (H, J) The pathway maps of ‘Hippo signaling pathway’ and ‘Rap1 signaling pathway’, where the yellow-marked nodes are host genes associated with downregulated circRNAs and the green nodes have no significance.
Figure 4. Gene ontology term analysis and KEGG pathway analysis of differentially expressed circular RNA host genes (cont.). (A–F) Main GO term analysis of 22RV1 versus RWPE-1, PC3 versus RWPE-1 and PC3 versus 22RV1 with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0; the values plotted on Y axis are the percentage of the corresponding host genes in total genes of each group. (G, I) Top 10 pathways shown by KEGG pathway analysis in the group PC3 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) and 22RV1 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0. (H, J) The pathway maps of 'Hippo signaling pathway' and 'Rap1 signaling pathway', where the yellow-marked nodes are host genes associated with downregulated circRNAs and the green nodes have no significance.
Figure 4. Gene ontology term analysis and KEGG pathway analysis of differentially expressed circular RNA host genes (cont.). (A–F) Main GO term analysis of 22RV1 versus RWPE-1, PC3 versus RWPE-1 and PC3 versus 22RV1 with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0; the values plotted on Y axis are the percentage of the corresponding host genes in total genes of each group. (G, I) Top 10 pathways shown by KEGG pathway analysis in the group PC3 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) and 22RV1 versus RWPE-1 (downregulation) with p-value < 0.05 and enrichment score > 1.0. (H, J) The pathway maps of ‘Hippo signaling pathway’ and ‘Rap1 signaling pathway’, where the yellow-marked nodes are host genes associated with downregulated circRNAs and the green nodes have no significance.
interacts with DOCK1 to negatively regulate the Rac activation at this domain [46]. FUT8 provides a versatile N-glycosylated protein for the formation of N-glycan branches. N-glycan is a basic and generic protein modification in mammals and plays a key role in various physiological and pathological events including cancer progression [47]. Branch variations are often found in cancer cells and are deeply involved in cancer growth, invasion and metastasis. In addition, hundreds of host genes such as DOCK1, FUT8, MPP6, GSK3B, SETD3 are capable of binding with proteins, and therefore further study is warranted to see whether the corresponding circRNAs of these host genes would function by binding with protein.

Table 1. Significantly upregulated and downregulated differentially expressed circular RNAs.

| Name   | Groups         | CircRNAID         | Log2FC | Chrom | CircBaseID     | Catalog | GeneName | Predicted sequence length |
|--------|----------------|-------------------|--------|-------|----------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|
| CircGUCY1A2 | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr1:106849345/106856857 | 8.40   | chr11 | hsa_circ_0008602 | Exonic | GUCY1A2 | 184                      |
| CircETV3   | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr1:157068933/157104019 | 7.05   | chr1  | Sense overlapping | ETV3   |          | 35,087                    |
| CircKCNN2  | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr5:113740135/113740553 | 6.01   | chr5  | Exonic         | KCNN2  |          | 419                      |
| CircMIR663A| 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr20:26189806/26190011 | 6.42   | chr20 | Intronic       | MIR663A |          | 206                      |
| CircBAGE2  | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr21:11038728/11058323 | 5.79   | chr21 | hsa_circ_0061259 | Exonic | BAGE2   | 1152                     |
| CircKRT6A  | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr12:52841323/52881739 | -10.04 | chr12 | Sense overlapping | KRT6A  |          | 40,417                    |
| CircCD276  | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr15:73995113/73996338 | -7.82  | chr15 | Exonic       | CD276  |          | 654                      |
| CircZFP57  | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr6:29643163/29643836 | -7.44  | chr6  | Exonic       | ZFP57  |          | 229                      |
| CircPSMA7  | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr20:60714311/60716000 | -7.36  | chr20 | hsa_circ_0003456 | Exonic | PSMA7   | 375                      |
| CircRPPH1  | 22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | chr14:20811283/20811436 | -7.21  | chr14 | Sense overlapping | RPPH1  |          | 154                      |
| CDR1as     | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chrX:139865340/139866824 | 7.55   | chrX  | hsa_circ_0001946 | Anti sense | CDR1   | 1485                     |
| CircDPF3   | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr14:73181131/73198642 | 6.97   | chr14 | Exonic       | DPF3   |          | 303                      |
| CircPRKG1  | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr10:54048486/54050050 | 6.81   | chr10 | Exonic       | PRKG1  |          | 253                      |
| CircSLCO4A1| PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr20:61291764/61292527 | 6.69   | chr20 | Exonic       | SLCO4A1 |          | 234                      |
| CircETV3   | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr1:157068933/157104019 | 5.77   | chr1  | Sense overlapping | ETV3   |          | 35,087                    |
| CircKRT6A  | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr12:52841323/52881739 | -10.04 | chr12 | Sense overlapping | KRT6A  |          | 40,417                    |
| CircRPPH1  | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr14:20811405/20811559 | -7.45  | chr14 | Sense overlapping | RPPH1  |          | 155                      |
| CircZFP57  | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr6:29643163/29643836 | -7.44  | chr6  | Exonic       | ZFP57  |          | 229                      |
| CircEMB    | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr5:49694941/49707217 | -7.17  | chr5  | hsa_circ_0001481 | Sense overlapping | EMB   | 12,277                   |
| CircFKBP5  | PC3 vs RWPE-1   | chr6:35586873/35610620 | -7.13  | chr6  | hsa_circ_0001599 | Exonic | FKBP5   | 527                      |
| CDR1as     | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chrX:139865340/139866824 | 7.55   | chrX  | hsa_circ_0001946 | Anti sense | CDR1   | 1485                     |
| CircASPH   | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr8:62593523/62596747 | 7.39   | chr8  | Sense overlapping | ASPH   |          | 3225                     |
| CircMTCL1  | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr18:8718422/8720494 | 7.13   | chr18 | hsa_circ_0000825 | Exonic | MTCL1   | 384                      |
| CircDPF3   | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr14:73181131/73198642 | 6.97   | chr14 | Exonic      | DPF3   |          | 303                      |
| CircSLCTA6 | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr16:68300496/68300624 | 3.13   | chr16 | hsa_circ_0039943 | Exonic | SLCTA6  | 129                      |
| CircGUCY1A2| PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr11:106849345/106856857 | -8.40  | chr11 | hsa_circ_0008602 | Exonic | GUCY1A2 | 184                      |
| CircMAN1A2 | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr1:117944808/117949497 | -6.64  | chr1  | hsa_circ_0000119 | Exonic | MAN1A2  | 648                      |
| CircMIR663A| PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr20:26189806/26190011 | -6.42  | chr20 | Intronic     | MIR663A |          | 206                      |
| CircKCNN2  | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr5:113740135/113740553 | -6.01  | chr5  | Exonic     | KCNN2  |          | 419                      |
| CircEMB    | PC3 vs 22RV1    | chr5:49694941/49707217 | -5.76  | chr5  | hsa_circ_0001481 | Sense overlapping | EMB   | 12,277                   |

Name: The names of circRNAs appearing in this article designated by their host genes; CircRNAID: The ID of the identified circRNA by CIRI (to distinguish from canonical mRNA transcripts), the coordinate positions for each circRNA are connected with a vertical bar ‘|’ instead of a dash ‘-’; Log2FC: Logarithm of the fold change of normalized reads between two groups of samples; Chrom: The chromosome in which the circRNA lies; CircBaseID, the identifier of circBase (www.circbase.org). GeneName: The name of the circRNA-associated gene (best transcript); Catalog: The catalog of the host genes, including ‘exonic’, ‘intronic’, ‘antisense’, ‘intergenic’ and ‘sense overlapping’; Predicted sequence length: The length of predicted circRNA sequence.
Table 2. Distribution of differentially expressed circular RNAs in each chromosome and catalog.

| Chromosome | Up.22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | Down.22RV1 vs RWPE-1 | Up.PC3 vs RWPE-1 | Down.PC3 vs RWPE-1 | Up.PC3 vs 22RV1 | Down.PC3 vs 22RV1 |
|------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| chr1       | 11                 | 31                   | 15               | 28                | 18             | 14               |
| chr2       | 5                  | 26                   | 7                | 11                | 20             | 8                |
| chr3       | 4                  | 21                   | 8                | 12                | 21             | 4                |
| chr4       | 2                  | 34                   | 2                | 18                | 14             | 8                |
| chr5       | 5                  | 11                   | 5                | 14                | 9              | 11               |
| chr6       | 12                 | 14                   | 8                | 5                 | 15             | 14               |
| chr7       | 8                  | 14                   | 12               | 10                | 24             | 9                |
| chr8       | 1                  | 16                   | 2                | 14                | 8              | 9                |
| chr9       | 2                  | 7                    | 12               | 8                 | 14             | 5                |
| chr10      | 3                  | 19                   | 2                | 13                | 11             | 4                |
| chr11      | 3                  | 8                    | 5                | 8                 | 7              |                  |
| chr12      | 1                  | 0                    | 1                | 2                 | 1              | 2                |
| chr13      | 12                 | 28                   | 18               | 18                | 30             | 9                |
| chr14      | 5                  | 21                   | 0                | 16                | 9              | 7                |
| chr15      | 7                  | 7                    | 1                | 8                 | 3              | 7                |
| chr16      | 2                  | 19                   | 12               | 13                | 18             | 4                |
| chr17      | 1                  | 3                    | 3                | 2                 | 5              | 2                |
| chr18      | 4                  | 10                   | 4                | 4                 | 14             | 5                |
| chr19      | 4                  | 28                   | 2                | 12                | 9              | 3                |
| chr20      | 1                  | 3                    | 3                | 1                 | 3              | 0                |
| chr21      | 2                  | 8                    | 1                | 2                 | 2              | 1                |
| chr22      | 3                  | 6                    | 3                | 4                 | 6              | 3                |
| chrX       | 0                  | 0                    | 1                | 3                 | 1              | 1                |
| chrY       | 44                 | 240                  | 66               | 128               | 184            | 78               |
| Exonic      | 3                  | 22                   | 7                | 25                | 19             | 10               |
| Intronic    | 11                 | 19                   | 13               | 24                | 11             | 14               |
| Intergenic  | 5                  | 6                    | 2                | 6                 | 2              | 2                |
| Sense overlapping | 42 | 56 | 42 | 49 | 52 | 37 |

KEGG pathway analysis of this study demonstrated that many host genes were involved in Hippo, Rap1, ErbB, Wnt, PI3K-Akt, HIF-1, Notch and TGF-β signaling pathways, all of which are known as important mechanisms for cancer progression. The Hippo signaling pathway, also known as the Salvador/Warts/Hippo pathway, is an evolutionarily conserved pathway that controls cell proliferation, apoptosis and stem cell self-renewal [48]. Its main function is phosphorylating the main modulation proteins, YAP and TAZ, in this pathway [49]. Once dephosphorylated, the coactivator will translocate to the nucleus and promote the expression of genes that contribute toward cell proliferation and survival, which in turn accelerates cancer progression [50]. Through Hippo signaling, Wnt signaling promotes androgen-independent prostate cancer cell proliferation [51], αβ integrin suppresses prostate cancer metastasis [52], and phosphodiesterase 5/protein kinase G signal governs stemness of prostate cancer stem cells [53]. Rap1, a small GTPase in the Ras-related protein family, is regulated by binding to GTP or GDP and functions as a switch during cellular signaling transduction, which has many important effects in tumor cell migration, invasion and metastasis [54]. In PCa, Rap1 signaling mainly regulates PCa cell adhesion and promotes PCa metastasis [55,56].

In the present study, we detected the differential expression of circRNAs in PCa cells. CDR1as (hsa_circ_0001946) was validated to highly express in PC3. CDR1as is a circRNA containing 74 binding sites of miR-7, and its typical ‘miRNA Sponge’ mechanism has been studied in depth [2]. The downstream genes of miR-7 include KLF4, RAF1, PAK1, IRS2, IRS1, AKT, ACK1, FAK, IGFI1R, HNF4, SNCA, mTOR, NOTCH1 and PIK3CD [57]. All of these are indispensable tumor suppressors or oncogenes in tumorigenesis of various cancers. In the sequencing results, the expression of CDR1as in PC3 was nearly 200 times higher than that in normal prostate epithelial cells.
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Figure 5. The predicted circRNA–miRNA interaction networks. (A) The circRNA–miRNA network of differentially expressed circRNAs and five miRNAs with stronger binding ability, where the yellow-marked nodes are circRNAs and the green marked nodes are miRNAs. (B) The circRNA–miRNA network of 46 miRNAs closely related to the development of prostate cancer with the corresponding differentially expressed circRNAs. circRNA: Circular RNA.

and prostate carcinoma epithelial cells, suggesting that CDR1as may substantially contribute to bone metastatic progress of PCa. CircBAGE2 (hsa_circ_0061259) is significantly upregulated in 22RV1 compared with RWPE-1. The binding miRNA miR-103a can suppress tumor cell proliferation by targeting PDCD10 in PCa [58], suggesting that circBAGE2 may have a close relevance with the progression of PCa.

Conclusion
From the data above, we conclude that circular RNAs may have a close relationship with the development and progression of PCa. CircRNAs such as circGUCY1A2, circZFP57 and circBAGE2 may play an important role in pathogenesis, and CDR1as and circSLC7A6 may be associated with bone metastasis. All in all, the present study revealed a comprehensive expression and functional profile of differentially expressed circRNAs in PCa cell lines, which may provide a new direction for the study of PCa.
Figure 5. The predicted circRNA–miRNA interaction networks (cont.) (A) The circRNA–miRNA network of differentially expressed circRNAs and five miRNAs with stronger binding ability, where the yellow-marked nodes are circRNAs and the green marked nodes are miRNAs. (B) The circRNA–miRNA network of 46 miRNAs closely related to the development of prostate cancer with the corresponding differentially expressed circRNAs. circRNA: Circular RNA.

Future perspective

With deeper research into this field, the function of circRNAs has been paid more and more attention. The current study shows that the expression of circRNAs in PCa cells is definitely abundant and bioinformatics analyses also predict that circRNAs may play an important role in PCa. However, the profile of differentially expressed circRNAs in the specimens of PCa patients is unknown, and the real tumor biology functions of circRNAs in PCa remain elusive. These should be explored in the future. The interactions between mRNAs, miRNAs and circRNAs constitutes a huge competitive endogenous RNA system network, the regulatory mechanism of which also needs to be further explored.
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Summary points

- Circular RNAs (CircRNAs) are involved in many important biological processes, as well as the pathogenesis of many cancers. The role of circRNAs in prostate cancer (PCa) is unknown.
- The current study aimed to explore the profile of circRNAs in PCa cell lines and to predict their potential function.
- In total, 9545 circRNAs and hundreds differentially expressed circRNAs were identified in PCa cell lines.
- CircGUCY1A2, circZFP57 and circBAGE2 should be important in the pathogenesis of PCa.
- CDR1as and circSLC7A6 should be associated with bone metastasis in PCa.
- The profiling and differentially expressed circRNAs in the specimens of PCa patients should be explored in the future.
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