The Role of Bowel Ultrasound in Detecting Subclinical Inflammation in Pregnant Women with Crohn’s Disease
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Abstract

Background and Aims: Maintaining disease remission improves outcomes for pregnant women with Crohn’s disease (CD). As symptoms may correlate poorly with disease activity in the gravid state, we investigated the utility of bowel sonography during pregnancy to assess disease activity.

Methods: We conducted a prospective observational cohort study of pregnant women with CD undergoing bowel sonography between July 1, 2012, and December 1, 2016. Clinically active disease was deﬁned using standardized clinical indices (Harvey Bradshaw Index >4 for active disease). Sonographic ﬁndings were graded as inactive (normal, mild) or active (moderate, severe) by expert radiologists.

Results: There were 91 pregnancies in 82 CD patients. Symptoms were present in 12 pregnancies; however, eight (67%) had sonographic ﬁndings of inactive disease, and escalation of therapy was not initiated. Conversely, sonographically active disease in seven asymptomatic pregnancies resulted in four women escalating therapy. The remaining three women declined escalation of therapy, one had a miscarriage, and the other two women had persistently active disease on sonography and endoscopy at one-year postpartum.

Conclusions: Bowel ultrasound may detect subclinical inﬂammation in asymptomatic pregnant women with CD and stratify CD activity in symptomatic patients. Therefore, bowel sonography should be considered as a useful adjunct for the assessment of the pregnant woman with Crohn’s disease.
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Women with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are more likely to experience adverse outcomes in pregnancy including preterm labour, caesarean section and delivering small for gestational age infants (1–4). While these adverse outcomes are more common when disease is active at time of conception or during pregnancy, a large community-based cohort study found a strong association between the presence of IBD and poor pregnancy outcomes even when the disease was symptomatically quiescent (5–9). However, the study design did not allow for assessment of subclinical disease activity, and it has been well documented that there is a poor correlation between symptoms and the presence of inflammation, especially in individuals with Crohn’s disease (CD) (10,11).

Recently, the goals of IBD care have shifted beyond symptom control to objective assessment of disease quiescence (12–14). This is of even more importance in the pregnant woman where the gravid state itself can result in nonspecific gastrointestinal symptoms that may be indistinguishable from a disease flare (15). Due to the perceived and potential risks of therapy on the fetus, confirmation of active inflammation is paramount during pregnancy, particularly before making therapeutic changes (16). However, existing biomarkers have not been established in pregnancy, with the validity of fecal calprotectin in pregnancy being inconsistently reported, while the performance of C-reactive protein (CRP) may be affected by the physiological changes of pregnancy (17). While a limited endoscopic evaluation (e.g., flexible sigmoidoscopy) can be safely performed, often without need for sedation in pregnant women with ulcerative colitis, ileocolonoscopy and diagnostic imaging (e.g., CT) for the assessment of more proximal colonic or small bowel disease activity in CD have to be used with prudence during pregnancy (18).

Bowel ultrasound has been shown to be feasible and accurate in the nonpregnant population with similar accuracy to CT and MRI in detecting inflammation and complications of IBD (19). Its role in the pregnant state has not been studied. In the pregnant population with IBD, the ideal disease monitoring option would confirm or refute that gastrointestinal symptoms are due to underlying disease activity; it would identify subclinical inflammation that would subsequently impact treatment decisions; and the test itself would be safe for both mother and baby. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the utility of bowel ultrasound and its impact on the clinical management of pregnant women with CD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants

This prospective observational cohort study of women attending a dedicated IBD pregnancy clinic at the University of Calgary, Canada, was undertaken between July 1, 2012, and December 1, 2016. During the study period, there were 91 pregnancies in 82 women with CD. Twelve women with active disease on their initial scan had a bowel sonogram repeated during the pregnancy to document interval change in disease state. The majority of patients had an inflammatory phenotype (51 of 82, 62.2%) with an ileocolonic disease location (38 of 82, 46.3%) and were diagnosed between the ages of 17 and 40 years (62 of 82, 75.6%).

Approval by the Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board (CHREB) of the University of Calgary was obtained. Patients were seen by two physician investigators (authors YL and CHS) following a clinical care pathway with a clinic visit preconception (to facilitate counselling), during each trimester and three-months postpartum. All patients underwent an intrapartum bowel ultrasound. For the purpose of this study, patients were included if they (i) were adults (18 years or older); (ii) had a diagnosis of CD defined by standard endoscopic, radiologic and histologic parameters; and (iii) could provide informed consent. Patients were excluded from this study if they had isolated upper gastrointestinal CD or if there was no corresponding IBD pregnancy clinic visit within the same trimester of the bowel ultrasound examination.

Data were collected prospectively, including age at time of conception, gestational age, body mass index (BMI) at time of first clinic consult and disease phenotyping as defined by the Montreal Classification (20). Disease activity indices were employed, with active disease defined as a Harvey Bradshaw Index (HBI) of >4. Patients were booked routinely for an intrapartum bowel ultrasound, preferably before the end of the second trimester (to decrease the risk of the gravid uterus precluding views of the bowel). Patients with active disease on index bowel ultrasound may have received additional ultrasound evaluations during the pregnancy to evaluate interval disease stability as per routine clinical care. For the purpose of this study, we only included the first sonographic assessment for analysis. There was no patient in this study who had an initial normal baseline bowel ultrasound at the beginning of pregnancy who then underwent an interval ultrasound for suspected active disease.

All patients were scanned in an ultrasound facility within our tertiary institution, which has a strong focus on the evaluation of the bowel in IBD with sonographers and radiologists with a high level of acquired skill and expertise in IBD imaging. Bowel ultrasound scans were performed by sonographers but with results interpreted and finalized by radiologists. Sonographic findings were graded as inactive (quiescent, mild) or active (moderate, severe) disease based on a composite assessment of bowel wall thickness, inflammatory fat and blood flow on color Doppler imaging (21). Quality of the sonographic assessment was defined as the ability of the radiologist to visualize the extent of the diseased bowel segment and to grade the disease activity. This was categorized into either optimal or suboptimal quality. Complicated disease behaviour—including the presence of a stricture, fistula, perforation or inflammatory mass—was also documented. Laboratory markers (complete blood count, CRP, erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR] and serum albumin) performed within one month of the clinic visit or bowel ultrasound were also recorded.
Clinical Outcomes
The primary outcome of the study was to report the ability of bowel ultrasound to detect subclinical inflammation in pregnant women with CD who were clinically asymptomatic. The proportion of pregnancies in which there was discordance between clinical and sonographic findings was recorded. Secondary outcomes included the proportion of pregnancies in which bowel sonography did not reveal evidence of inflammation in an otherwise symptomatic patient. The resultant changes to clinical management in both scenarios (inflammation in asymptomatic patients or lack of inflammation in symptomatic patients) are described, and clinical factors which may have contributed to discordance between clinical and ultrasound findings were investigated. This included a priori clinical factors: BMI, Montreal classification, gestational age and history of bowel surgery.

Data Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19.0 statistical software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). Baseline patient characteristics were analyzed using standard descriptive statistics; medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) were calculated for continuous data, and proportions were calculated for categorical data. Univariate analysis for characteristics predictive of sonographic disease activity were performed. Variables for the univariate analysis were chosen a priori. This includes history of bowel surgery, body mass index (BMI), HBI score, and laboratory markers (hemoglobin level, serum total white cell count, platelet count, C-reactive protein level [CRP], serum albumin level and erythrocyte sedimentation rate). Nonparametric continuous variables were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test, and categorical data were calculated with the Fisher exact test. A P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS
Details of the Study Cohort
Ninety-one pregnancies were identified in 82 CD patients during the study period. Baseline demographic details are summarized in Table 1.

In keeping with the clinical care pathway, most bowel sonographic examinations were performed before the end of the second trimester (76 of 91, 83.5%), with 15 of 91 (16.5%) in the early third trimester. The median gestational age at time of the bowel ultrasound was 20.1 weeks (IQR: 13.2–24.6 weeks). The median interval between clinic consultation (at which time patient assessment and laboratory work was performed) and bowel sonography was 2.3 weeks (IQR 1.0–4.7). Most patients were in clinical remission with a median Harvey Bradshaw Index score of zero (IQR 0–2). Only 13 percent (12 of 91) of patients were clinically active with HBI score >4. The majority (80 of 91, 87.9%) of the sonographic assessments confirmed inactive disease. However, of the 79 patients who were thought to be in clinical remission, seven (8.9%) had active disease on sonographic assessments. [Table 2]

The median BMI at time of bowel sonography was 24.3 kg/m2 (IQR 21.7–27.6), and despite the presence of a gravid uterus, the majority of sonographic evaluations (84 of 91, 92.3%) were of optimal quality with clear visualization of the bowel segments. For the remaining seven sonographic evaluations with suboptimal quality, there was no significant trend with trimester of pregnancy (trimester 1, n = 0; trimester 2, n = 6; trimester 3, n = 1); or location of disease (L1, n = 2; L2, n = 1; L3, n = 5).

Outcome of Cases with Discordant Clinical and Sonographic Findings
Discordance between clinical and sonographic findings occurred in 15 of 91 (16.5%) pregnancies in women with CD, as summarized in Table 2.

There were eight pregnancies in which the mothers were symptomatic but had sonographic findings of inactive disease. These individuals were reassured, and no further investigations or escalation of therapy was provided or required. Symptoms resolved spontaneously during subsequent follow-up visits; therefore, repeat ultrasounds were not organized. Within six months following delivery, one of the eight patients had flare requiring a change in biologic due to antidrug antibody. The eight symptomatic patients with inactive sonographic findings had a lower median HBI score and a higher median BMI compared with the four who were symptomatic with disease activity on sonography (6.5 versus 10.5, P = 0.048, and 25.8 versus 20.0 kg/m2, P = 0.02, respectively). (Table 3). Despite the elevated BMI, all were reported as having good quality scans.

Conversely, seven asymptomatic CD patients had sonographically active disease. Four of the patients underwent significant changes to their management; one was commenced on biologic therapy, the second was commenced on antibiotics for a sealed perforation with subsequent biologic initiation postpartum, the third was continued on biologic therapy to term (week 37) rather than cessation in the mid-third trimester, and the fourth was commenced on corticosteroids. The remaining three patients received close monitoring with repeat clinic consultations and repeat sonographic assessments. One patient underwent an obstetric ultrasound within the same month, which reported a subchorionic hemorrhage, and subsequently had a miscarriage. At one-year postpartum, the remaining two patients who declined therapy had persistently active disease on sonography and endoscopy. The seven patients who were asymptomatic but with active sonographic findings were noted to have significantly higher biochemical inflammatory markers compared with the 72 patients who were asymptomatic with inactive sonographic findings. This included lower levels of hemoglobin and serum albumin and higher levels of C-reactive protein and ESR (Table 4). Disease behaviour, location and history of bowel surgery were not associated with an increased risk of subclinical inflammation (P > 0.05).
The majority of the patients (84 of 91, 92.3%) had a CRP level performed within the same month of the ultrasound scan. Of these, 58 had a normal CRP (defined by the laboratory as a CRP <8mg/mL), while 26 had an elevated CRP. A normal CRP corresponded to quiescent disease (defined by an HBI score of <4) in the majority (53 of 58, 91.4%) of the patients. However, a single asymptomatic patient (1 of 53, 0.02%) with a normal CRP had sonographic evidence of active disease and had a miscarriage (as described previously) in the setting of a subchorionic hemorrhage. Furthermore, of five symptomatic patients with a normal CRP, two had sonographically active disease (including a phlegmon and severe ileitis) (Figure 1 and 2). Of the 26 women with an elevated CRP, seven (27%) were symptomatic, two of whom had sonographically active disease. Unfortunately, fecal calprotectin testing was not available at time of the study precluding correlation between this and the CRP, HBI and the ultrasound (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

This prospective observational study demonstrates the utility of bowel sonography during pregnancy in patients with CD. Bowel
sonography in addition to an existing routine clinical assessment may lead to changes in the management of pregnant women with CD by detecting subclinical inflammation, resulting in modification of therapy. It also allows for ongoing noninvasive monitoring of disease activity (including assessing response to treatment) and avoids inappropriate therapy in those who have symptoms in the absence of objective inflammation.

In a meta-analysis, the pooled prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) in patients with CD was 41.0% (95% CI, 28.0–56.0%) (22). Consistent with other studies that report on patients with significant symptoms in the absence of active...
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unsedtate laboratory testing during pregnancy in CD. While the
hemoglobin, albumin, CRP and ESR may be useful adjuncts
in assessing inflammation, these biomarkers do not provide
detailed information on the severity or location of disease nor do
they aid in avoiding unnecessary use of corticosteroids, immuno-
suppressants or escalation of existing therapy. In contrast, of 79
CD pregnancies with clinically quiescent disease, seven (8.9%)
showed evidence of active inflammation on sonography.

Our study demonstrates the utility of bowel sonography over
routine laboratory testing during pregnancy in CD. While the
hemoglobin, albumin, CRP and ESR may be useful adjuncts
in assessing inflammation, these biomarkers do not provide
detailed information on the severity or location of disease nor do
they aid in determining complications such as strictures, fistulae
or abscesses, which may influence therapeutic decision-making.
While hemoglobin and albumin often decrease in patients with
active IBD, reliability in pregnancy is poor due to hemodilution
from plasma expansion. C-reactive protein and ESR increase
during pregnancy, which may limit their utility as objective
markers of inflammation (17). Additionally, validated cutoffs
differentiating active from inactive disease in the setting of preg-
nancy have not been established. While CRP and ESR levels
were significantly higher in the seven asymptomatic patients
with active disease on ultrasound than in asymptomatic patients
with inactive disease, bowel ultrasound provided the benefit
of confirming the presence, severity and location of active dis-
ease. Conversely, there were symptomatic patients with normal
CRP levels with sonographically active disease. These scenarios
underscore the limited utility of CRP in the setting of pregnancy.

While our study lacked fecal calprotectin data, which has
been shown to be more reliable than clinical symptoms in the
nonpregnant state, the utility of fecal calprotectin in pregnancy
has so far been inconsistently reported (26–29). A single pub-
ished study demonstrated the correlation of fecal calprotectin
with the physician global assessment in 46 pregnant individ-
uals with IBD (26). The following three studies have been
published in abstract form. This includes a small study of 17
patients which suggested that fecal calprotectin correlated with
symptom-based disease activity, but this is contradicted by two
larger studies of 33 and 75 patients, respectively, that reported
fecal calprotectin correlated poorly with disease activity during
pregnancy (27–29). Therefore, more data is required before
fecal calprotectin can be used as a biomarker of choice in preg-
nant women with IBD. Overall, hematological and biochemical
biomarkers are either not reliable indicators of inflammation in
IBD or have not yet been consistently validated in pregnancy.
Furthermore, biomarkers cannot determine the location of the
inflammation, which may have therapeutic implications, nor
detect strictureing or penetrating complications in CD.

Ileocolonoscopy has long been the gold standard for the diag-
nosis and assessment of disease activity in individuals with IBD.
Guidelines suggest that colonoscopy should be performed only
if strongly indicated and if it would change clinical management
(18, 30). Furthermore, patient preference should be considered
because ileocolonoscopy is an invasive test, requires prepara-
tion and may involve sedation (31).

All forms of cross-sectional imaging (CT and MRI) have
similar accuracy to ileocolonoscopy in detecting inflammation
in CD and have the added ability to detect stenosing and pen-
etrating complications (32). However during pregnancy, a CT
scan that involves high-dose ionizing radiation should only be
performed when there is no diagnostic alternative. Gadolinium
should be avoided if an MRI is performed because of theoreti-
cal risks to the fetus (33). Therefore, nonionizing bowel ultra-
sound should be considered an important first choice diagnostic
modality in pregnant women with CD. In contrast, imaging is
not the preferred diagnostic modality for pregnant patients with
UC; the latter can safely undergo limited endoscopic evaluation
(14,18,34).

### Table 5. Change in laboratory results per trimester for CD pregnancies with clinically and sonographically quiescent disease* (n = 72)

|                     | Trimester 1 (n = 16) | Trimester 2 (n = 44) | Trimester 3 (n = 12) | P value** |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Hemoglobin*** (g/L) | 130                  | 122                  | 122                  | 0.05      |
| Total white cell count*** (x10^9/L) | 7.8                  | 9.2                  | 11.7                 | 0.06      |
| Platelet level*** (x10^9/L) | 293                  | 244                  | 220                  | 0.24      |
| CRP level*** (mg/L) | 4.0                  | 4.0                  | 4.1                  | 0.65      |
| Albumin level*** (g/L) | 37                   | 30                   | 28                   | <0.001    |
| ESR*** (mm/h)       | 18                   | 20                   | 25                   | 0.19      |

*Defined by HBI score ≤4 and inactive bowel sonography.
**by Kruskal Wallis test
***median
Limitations of our study include generalizability because bowel sonography is not currently available in all gastroenterology centers, and although it is used routinely for the assessment of individuals with IBD in Europe, this modality is not yet widely available across Canada and other geographic regions. Our tertiary institution has a strong focus on the evaluation of the bowel in IBD and is run by multiple proficient and experienced radiologists and sonographers. Fortunately, the uptake of this diagnostic modality and expertise is increasing (35). Being operator-dependent, we acknowledge issues surrounding potential inter- and intra-observer variability. Sonographers and radiologists were not blinded to the patient’s clinical status at time of ultrasound scan. However, because all pregnant women with IBD underwent an intrapartum bowel ultrasound irrespective of clinical activity (i.e., the presence or absence of symptoms) referral bias should be minimized accordingly. Our centre is a tertiary referral centre with a specialized preconception and pregnancy IBD clinic, where patients are counselled to have disease optimized before and during pregnancy. Therefore, while our clinic may see more complex cases prior to conception, disease optimization may result in lesser disease activity during pregnancy itself. Our study may then underestimate the benefits of bowel sonography because active disease may be more prevalent in less controlled settings.

Further limitations include the absence of fecal calprotectin data in this cohort. The utility of this biomarker has been consistently reported in the setting of pregnancy, as outlined previously. If future studies demonstrate the utility of fecal calprotectin in identifying subclinical inflammation in pregnant women with IBD, it may be a useful adjunct in determining which individuals should proceed onto ultrasound, with the ultrasound then helping define the location and severity of disease (27–29).

Because routine colonoscopy is not indicated for disease surveillance in pregnancy, we were not able to compare ultrasound with colonoscopy in women with CD. This may be particularly relevant in women with symptoms who had a normal ultrasound. However, as only one of the eight women were diagnosed with a flare of CD within six months of delivery, it suggests that most patients did not have untreated CD throughout their pregnancy.

In summary, this novel study demonstrates the utility of bowel sonography in pregnant women with Crohn’s disease. By objectively and noninvasively assessing inflammation in this specialized cohort, sonography may improve management decisions that may result in favourable outcomes for both mother and baby.
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