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Sir,

We thank Dr Mesker et al for their comments on our study, (Downey et al, 2014) recognising their significant work promoting the concept of using tumour-stroma ratio (TSR) to determine the outcome in cancer (Mesker et al, 2007, 2009; Courrech Stall et al, 2010, 2011; de Kruijf et al, 2011; Dekker et al, 2013; Huijbers et al, 2013). None of our ER-positive cohort (118 female, 62 males; Downey et al, 2014) received neoadjuvant therapy of any type. Neoadjuvant treatment induces pathological changes in the tumour, hence would render samples unsuitable for TSR analysis. We were limited in the amount of information that could be supplied in a short communication, however univariate and multivariate outcomes were provided.

We found high stromal content was related to better survival across genders in ER-positive disease (Downey et al, 2014), contrasting data in triple-negative breast cancer (de Kruijf et al, 2011) and, as highlighted by Mesker et al, their own work on ER-positive cases (de Kruijf et al, 2011; Dekker et al, 2013). As breast cancer is heterogeneous, subtle differences in stromal biology may exist between breast cancer subtypes, potentially impacting on outcome. Notably, tubular carcinoma, a type of invasive breast ductal carcinoma with an abundant stroma (Figure 1), is almost always ER-positive and has a favourable prognosis (Rakha et al, 2010).

Methodological heterogeneity exists between sampling methods used to assess TSR. Two key issues stand out: (1) lack of standardisation in TSR measurement, (2) area of tissue selected for analysis. Our in-house computer algorithm method selects a 9 mm2 area of a digitally scanned H&E image (Downey et al, 2014). Recent related work assessed TSR manually in a single section from the most invasive tumour area (Gujam et al, 2014). Mesker et al favour assessment of the whole slide, even suggesting an evaluation of all available microscope slides. Although rigorous assessment is to be commended, this technique may have practical implications for histopathologists should TSR evaluation ever become routine. Alternative approaches should be considered, compared and validated.

We believe that there is much more to the stroma in dictating outcome, than simply its proportion in relation to tumour. There is a need to examine the cell types that coexist within tumour stroma, for example, fibroblasts and immune cells (Hanahan and Coussens, 2012); a recent issue of this journal showed that patients with a high TSR had significantly reduced inflammatory cell infiltration within their stroma (Gujam et al, 2014). It remains possible that discrepancies observed between studies of TSR in breast cancer may be due in part to components of the stromal microenvironment.

Consistent with all emerging techniques it takes time for the ideal methodology to become standardised in the field. We respectfully suggest the best way to achieve this for TSR is through collaboration, comparing different techniques, using carefully selected sub groups of breast cancer and working towards reaching a consensus, taking account not only of the stroma but the cells within.
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Figure 1. Tubular carcinoma showing arrangement of tumour cells in characteristic tubes (stars) embedded within an abundant multicellular stroma. Scale bar = 200 μm.
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Sir,

We read this paper by Palmer et al (2014) regarding participation in the Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (BCSP) with great interest. At the time of publication we had developed pilot screening education sessions in South London. By running the programme as a group of health care professionals (HCPs) consisting of junior doctors, and in partnership with Bowel Cancer UK, we would assess whether such HCP endorsement improved screening uptake.

South London area has low screening uptake (unpublished data), and consists of many communities of socio-economically deprived and ethnic minority populations. Indeed, previous studies show that such groups correlate with poor screening uptake (Von Wagner et al, 2011; Lo et al, 2014). Bowel Cancer UK has links with these community groups, some of whom invited us to speak, advertising internally to bring our audience. In our pilot phase, sessions have only been one off, but we anticipate returning annually if not more frequently, for new participants as well as to maintain bowel cancer and screening awareness. Education sessions were informal and held at the convenience of participating groups, via a standardised presentation. Participants were given information regarding the epidemiology and risk factors for bowel cancer. In particular, we covered the importance of screening asymptomatic individuals and performed a demonstration and thorough explanation of the faecal occult blood (FOB) test. Participants were encouraged to ask questions before, during and after the presentation, and were sometimes quizzed during the sessions to enable an educational experience that was both informative and enjoyable. Feedback using a Likert scale on how useful the sessions were showed that every participant found the presentation very useful (85.7%) or quite useful (14.3%).

In our pilot study, we were invited to deliver talks to 43 participants from three community groups—users of the local library, the local Chinese association and the local Irish pensioners association. Our talks were attended disproportionately by women (male: 13; female: 30) due to the association and the local Irish pensioners association. Our talks were sometimes quizzed during the sessions to enable an educational experience that was both informative and enjoyable. Feedback using a Likert scale on how useful the sessions were showed that every participant found the presentation very useful (85.7%) or quite useful (14.3%).
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