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**Objective:** To assess the safety and efficacy of combining extracorporeal Co\textsubscript{2} removal with continuous renal replacement therapy in patients presenting with acute respiratory distress syndrome and acute kidney injury.

**Design:** Prospective human observational study.

**Settings:** Patients received volume-controlled mechanical ventilation according to the acute respiratory distress syndrome net protocol. Continuous venovenous hemofiltration therapy was titrated to maintain maximum blood flow and an effluent flow of 45 mL/kg/h with 33% predilution.

**Patients:** Eleven patients presenting with both acute respiratory distress syndrome and acute kidney injury required renal replacement therapy.

**Interventions:** A membrane oxygenator (0.65 m\textsuperscript{3}) was inserted within the hemofiltration circuit, either upstream (n = 7) or downstream (n = 5) of the hemofilter. Baseline corresponded to tidal volume 6 mL/kg of predicted body weight without extracorporeal Co\textsubscript{2} removal. The primary endpoint was 20% reduction in Paco\textsubscript{2} at 20 minutes after extracorporeal Co\textsubscript{2} removal initiation. Tidal volume was subsequently reduced to 4 mL/kg for the remaining 72 hours.

**Measurements and Main Results:** Twelve combined therapies were conducted in the 11 patients. Age was 70 ± 9 years, Simplified Acute Physiology Score II was 69 ± 13, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score was 14 ± 4, lung injury score was 3 ± 0.5, and Paco\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2} was 135 ± 41. Adding extracorporeal Co\textsubscript{2} removal at tidal volume 6 mL/kg decreased Paco\textsubscript{2} by 21% (95% CI, 17–25%), from 47 ± 11 to 37 ± 8 Torr (p < 0.001). Lowering tidal volume to 4 mL/kg reduced minute ventilation from 7.8 ± 1.5 to 5.2 ± 1.1 L/min and plateau pressure from 25 ± 4 to 21 ± 3 cm H\textsubscript{2}O and raised Paco\textsubscript{2} from 37 ± 8 to 48 ± 10 Torr (all p < 0.001).

On an average of both positions, the oxygenator’s blood flow was 410 ± 30 mL/min and the Co\textsubscript{2} removal rate was 83 ± 20 mL/min. The oxygenator blood flow (p < 0.001) and the Co\textsubscript{2} removal rate (p = 0.083) were higher when the membrane oxygenator was placed upstream of the hemofilter. There was no safety concern.

**Conclusions:** Combining extracorporeal Co\textsubscript{2} removal and continuous venovenous hemofiltration in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome and acute kidney injury is safe and allows efficient blood purification together with enhanced lung protective ventilation. (Crit Care Med 2015; 43:2570–2581)
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TABLE 1. Characteristics of the Study Population (n = 11 Patients)

| Variables (Units)                        | Mean ± sd or Median (IQR) |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Men, n (%)                              | 8 (73)                    |
| Age (yr), mean ± sd                     | 70 ± 9                    |
| Weight (kg)                             | 90 ± 24                   |
| Predicted body weight (kg)              | 66 ± 10                   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²), mean ± sd     | 31 ± 9                    |
| Simplified acute physiologic score II, on ICU admission, mean ± sd | 69 ± 13 |
| Sequential organ failure assessment, on inclusion, mean ± sd | 14 ± 4 |
| Shock, on inclusion, n (%)              | 10 (91)                   |
| Type of admission, n (%)                |                           |
| Medical                                 | 8 (73)                    |
| Surgical                                | 3 (27)                    |
| Diagnosis, n (%)                        |                           |
| Pneumonia                               | 3 (27)                    |
| Urinary tract infection                 | 4 (36)                    |
| Angiocholitis                           | 1 (9)                     |
| Peritonitis                             | 2 (18)                    |
| Wound infection                         | 1 (9)                     |
| ARDS cause                              |                           |
| Pulmonary, n (%)                        | 4 (36)                    |
| Nonpulmonary, n (%)                     | 7 (63)                    |
| Lung injury score, mean ± sd            | 3 ± 0.5                   |
| Mild ARDS (Berlin), n (%)               | 1 (9)                     |
| Moderate ARDS (Berlin), n (%)           | 8 (73)                    |
| Severe ARDS (Berlin), n (%)             | 2 (18)                    |
| Duration of MV prior to inclusion (d), median (IQR) | 2 (1–4) |
| Duration of ARDS prior to inclusion (d), median (IQR) | 1 (1–2) |
| AKI Stage 2 (KDIGO), n (%)              | 1 (9)                     |
| AKI Stage 3 (KDIGO), n (%)              | 10 (91)                   |
| Duration of AKI prior to inclusion (d), median (IQR) | 2 (1–4) |
| Length of ICU stay (d), median (IQR)    | 40 (12–66)                |
| Duration of MV (d), median (IQR)        | 31 (12–60)                |
| Survival to ECCO₂R, n (%)               | 8 (73)                    |
| ICU mortality, n (%)                    | 9 (82)                    |
Table 2. Pulmonary, Hemodynamic, and Renal Parameters of the Study Population at Inclusion (n = 11 Patients)

| Variables (Units)                          | Mean ± sd or Median (IQR) |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Tidal volume (mL), mean ± sd              | 391 ± 60                   |
| Respiratory rate (bpm), mean ± sd         | 20 ± 2                     |
| Minute ventilation (L/min), mean ± sd     | 8 ± 1.4                    |
| Inspiratory flow (L/min), mean ± sd      | 37 ± 5                     |
| Positive end-expiratory pressure total (cm H2O), mean ± sd | 12 ± 2                   |
| Plateau pressure (cm H2O), mean ± sd      | 26 ± 4                     |
| Compliance of the respiratory system (mL/cm H2O), mean ± sd | 30 ± 9                   |
| End-tidal CO2 (%), mean ± sd              | 36 ± 5                     |
| Deadspace (%), median (IQR)               | 21 (14–30)                 |
| Fio2 (%), mean ± sd                       | 70 ± 10                    |
| Pao2 (Torr), mean ± sd                    | 83 ± 18                    |
| Pao2/Fio2 (Torr), mean ± sd               | 133 ± 41                   |
| Arterial oxygen saturation (%), mean ± sd | 94.1 ± 3.4                 |
| pH, mean ± sd                             | 7.28 ± 0.12                |
| Paco2 (Torr), mean ± sd                   | 48 ± 10                    |
| Oxygen consumption (mL/min), mean ± sd    | 277 ± 86                   |
| CO2 production (mL/min), mean ± sd        | 190 ± 52                   |
| Lactates (mmol/L), median (IQR)           | 2 (1–5)                    |
| Heart rate (bpm), median (IQR)            | 91 ± 14                    |
| Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg), mean ± sd | 74 ± 14                    |
| Central venous pressure (mm Hg), mean ± sd| 16 ± 13                    |
| Cardiac output (L/min), mean ± sd         | 5.3 ± 1.2                  |
| Norepinephrine (µg/kg/min), mean ± sd     | 1.1 ± 0.6                  |
| Blood urea nitrogen (g/L), mean ± sd      | 1.5 ± 0.8                  |
| Creatinine (mg/L), mean ± sd              | 38.9 ± 17.7                |
| Change in plasma creatinine (%), median (IQR) | 404 (337–486)            |
| Diuresis, last 6 hr (mL/kg/h), mean ± sd  | 0.1 (0–0.6)                |
| Plasma Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (ng/mL), median (IQR) | 787 (590–1062)                |

IQR = interquartile range (25–75%).

also addressed the issue of the most efficacious position of the membrane oxygenator.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The protocol of this study was approved by an independent ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Méditérannéan I) and by French Health Authority (AFSSAPS, 2010-A00397-32) and was registered at clinical.trials.gov (NCT 01239966). Written informed consent was obtained from next of kin before enrollment.

From December 2011 to December 2014, 11 consecutive patients presenting with both ARDS (Berlin criteria) and AKI (Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcome stage 2 or 3) who required RRT were included (30, 32). For the detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria, see the supplemental data (Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CCM/B420). Patients were sedated with remifentanil, midazolam, and ketamine and paralyzed with cisatracurium. Others medications, including antibiotics, fluids, catecholamines, and transfusions, were left to the discretion of the attending physician.

All patients were equipped with a 4-Lumen subclavian central venous catheter and an indwelling radial artery catheter (20G × 5 cm). The arterial line was connected to a continuous uncalibrated cardiac output monitor (Flotrac-Vigileo; Edwards, Irvine, CA). Central venous pressure and a five-lead ECG were continuously displayed on a M540 monitor (Dräger, Lubeck, Germany).

Volume-controlled mechanical ventilation was delivered using an Engström CareStation ventilator (General Electric, Madison, WI) including a metabolic monitor that measured end-tidal CO2 (PetCO2), oxygen consumption (VO2), and CO2 elimination by the lung during 1 minute (VCO2lung). Inspiratory gases were heated and humidified with a MR 850 device (Fischer&Paykel, Australia). A closed suction system allowed tracheobronchial aspiration. Respiratory settings included a TV of 6 mL/kg PBW, a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP)/FiO2 combination according to the ARDS Net protocol, an RR adjusted to maintain PetCO2 less than or equal to 45 Torr, an end-inspiratory pause of 0.4 second, and an inspiratory flow to obtain complete exhalation.

RRT was delivered with a PrismaFlex v6.0 monitor (Gambro, Lund, Sweden) using a 1.5 m2 AN69 membrane (M150; Hospal, Meyzieu, France). The RRT mode was continuous venovenous hemofiltration. Pump blood flow was progressively increased until its maximum value: 450 mL/min minus the predilution rate. Effluent flow was 45 mL/kg/h with a predilution rate of 33%, a setting designed to reduce the risk of clotting (33). The replacement solution was Hemosol B0 (Gambro, Lund, Sweden).

A 0.65 m2 polymethylpentene heparin-coated hollow fiber membrane oxygenator (MEDOS HILITE 2400 LT; MEDOS Medizintechnik AG, Stolberg, Germany) was inserted prior to the RRT circuit’s priming, either upstream or downstream of the hemofilter, using ¼ inches luer-lock connection tubes (priming volume 95 mL, maximum blood flow 4,800 mL/min). Inlet and outlet pressures were monitored using side ports at both ends of the membrane. Blood flow was recorded using a ¼ inches ultrasonic flow probe (SonoTT Clap-on Transducer; EMTEC, Munich, Germany) clamped on the inlet tube of the membrane oxygenator.
Clinical Investigations

The hemofilter circuit was primed with saline (2,000 mL containing 10,000 IU of heparin). Heparin was further administered, within the RRT circuit, as a bolus after connection (80 IU/kg) followed by continuous infusion (18 IU/kg/h) if the platelet count was greater than 50 G/L and prothrombin was greater than 30%. An active clotting time ratio of 1.5 was targeted.

Study Protocol

Inclusion’s measurements were obtained prior connecting the RRT + ECCO₂R device. Thereafter, a 15.5F double-lumen extracorporeal circuit catheter (JFFS; Jet Medical, La Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland) was inserted into the right venous jugular vein, using echographic guidance. The 15-cm-long catheter was primarily chosen, but a 24 cm was also available.

Baseline’s measurements were obtained once the RRT + ECCO₂R device had been connected, but with zero sweep gas flow. Then, a sweep gas flow of 8 L/min with FO₂ = 1 was added and not varied for the remainder of the study. Twenty minutes after initiation of ECCO₂R, we performed the primary endpoint set of measurements. Thereafter, TV was reduced to 4 mL/kg PBW for the remainder of the study (72 hr). Other measurements were performed at 1–6–12–24–36–48–72 hours.

Respiratory settings were modified according to the PEEP/FIO₂ table of the ARDS Net protocol (supplemental data, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CCM/B420). A recruitment maneuver (i.e., 2 cm H₂O stepwise increases in PEEP until plateau pressure = 35 cm H₂O) was performed after a tracheobronchial aspiration if SpO₂ had decreased by greater than 2%. RR was adjusted to maintain PetCO₂ less than 45 mm Hg. Pump blood flow and effluent flow management are described in the supplemental data (Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CCM/B420). Fluid removal by RRT was not allowed during the first hour of therapy.

Measurements

Arterial, preoxygenator (inlet) and postoxygenator (outlet) blood gases were obtained at 20 minutes (M20) and at 1, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours. Oxygen content (CtO₂), CO₂ content (CtCO₂), CO₂ removal (VCO₂rem), and oxygen uptake rate by the membrane oxygenator were calculated according to standard formulae (supplemental data, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CCM/B420). Oxygenator’s blood flow and pressure drop (inlet minus outlet pressure) were recorded at each time. Mean arterial pressure, central venous pressure, and heart rate were obtained from the cardioscope. Respiratory parameters were recorded from the ventilator (TV, RR, PetCO₂, VCO₂lung, and VO₂). Total CO₂ elimination was calculated as the sum of the VCO₂ by the lung and by the membrane oxygenator. Plateau pressure was obtained after a 2-second end-inspiratory pause and total PEEP after a 2-second end-expiratory pause. Quasi-static respiratory system compliance and deadspace fraction of tidal ventilation were calculated according to standard formulae. RRT-derived parameters were recorded from the RRT monitor including hemofilter pressure drop and transmembrane pressure.

Figure 1. Individual changes in PaCO₂ between baseline and 20 min after ECCO₂R initiation (p < 0.001) at constant tidal volume (6 mL/kg predicted body weight).

Figure 2. Time course of PaCO₂ (A) and pH (B) during the study period; *p < 0.05 vs baseline; †p < 0.05 vs 20 min (M20); £p < 0.05 vs 1 hr (H1).
pressure. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin was measured at inclusion using a fluorescence immunoassay (Alere Triage® Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin Test).

**Statistical Analyses**

The primary endpoint was a 20% reduction in PaCO₂ at 20 minutes after ECCO₂R initiation (34). With a mean PaCO₂ before ECCO₂R of 50±10 Torr, a sample of 10 patients would be required to detect a bilateral difference of 5% with a power of 80%. We extended the sample to 11 patients to increase the statistical power of our comparison between positions of the membrane oxygenator within the circuitry. Distribution of the data was tested using a Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test. Categorical variables are expressed as % of relative reduction with mean and 95% CI values. Comparisons between data over time were performed using one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) for parametric data or Friedman repeated measures ANOVA on ranks. If overall significance was achieved, the Holm-Sidak test for all pairwise multiple comparisons was applied for parametric data or the Tukey test for nonparametric data. To compare the effect of time and position of the membrane oxygenator, we used two-way repeated measures ANOVA (General Linear Model) with the Holm-Sidak post hoc procedure. Missing data were integrated in the calculation. Correlations were tested for using the Pearson product-moment test. The significance level was fixed at p < 0.05. Data were analyzed using Sigma Stat v3.5 software (Systat, San Jose, CA).

**RESULTS**

Twelve combined therapies were conducted among the 11 patients included. In one patient, the hemofilter clotted between 12 and 24 hours, and a new circuit was implemented according to the protocol. The membrane oxygenator was placed upstream of the hemofilter in seven therapies and downstream in five.

Of the 12 therapies, seven (58%) were led to the 72nd hour. In one patient, the therapy ended just before the last study measurement due to an alarm of the RRT device; thus, we may consider that two thirds of patients received 72 hours of combined therapy.

Three patients died prematurely: two from refractory multiorgan failure and one from ventricular arrhythmia, despite initial improvement. The characteristics of the patients at inclusion are presented in Table 1. The main clinical and biological variables at inclusion are presented in Table 2.

| Variable (Units) | Baseline (n = 12) | 20 min (n = 12) | 1 hr (n = 12) | 6 hr (n = 12) | 12 hr (n = 11) | 24 hr (n = 10) | 36 hr (n = 8) | 48 hr (n = 8) | 72 hr (n = 7) |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| PaO₂ (Torr)      | 96±33            | 98±40          | 104±34      | 90±28       | 87±23        | 78±18        | 86±23        | 80±23        | 79±13       |
| FIO₂ (%)         | 64±13            | 64±13          | 63±14       | 60±14       | 57±14        | 59±15        | 56±13        | 54±12        | 50±12       |
| Pao₂/Fio₂ (Torr) | 150              | 158            | 171         | 150         | 146          | 139          | 162          | 141          | 152         |
| Arterial oxygen saturation (%) | 96 (93–98) | 97 (96–98) | 97 (95–98) | 95 (94–97) | 95 (94–98) | 94 (91–96) | 96 (94–97) | 94 (93–95) | 96 (94–96) |
| Total arterial oxygen content (mL/dL) | 13±2            | 13±2           | 13±2        | 14±3        | 13±3         | 13±2         | 13±2         | 12±2         | 12±2         |
| Bicarbonate ion (mmol/L) | 22±4            | 22±3           | 23±4        | 25±3        | 25±4         | 26±4         | 28±3         | 29±2         | 30±3         |
| Arterial CO₂ content (mmol/L) | 23±4            | 23±4           | 25±4        | 26±3        | 26±4         | 28±4         | 30±2         | 31±3         |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 10±2            | 10±2           | 10±2        | 10±2        | 10±2         | 9±2          | 10±1         | 9±1          | 9±1          |
| Hematocrit (%)   | 28±5             | 29±5           | 29±5        | 30±5        | 29±5         | 28±5         | 28±3         | 27±5         | 27±3         |

IQR = interquartile range, PBW = predicted body weight, NS = not significant.

Holm-Sidak multiple comparison: *p < 0.05 vs baseline; † p < 0.05 vs 20 minutes; ‡ p < 0.05 vs 1 hr; † † p < 0.05 vs 6 hr; ‡ ‡ p < 0.05 vs 24 hr; † † † p < 0.05 vs 12 hr.

Values are mean ± sd or median (IQR).
Arterial Blood Gas, Gas Exchange, and the Primary Endpoint

Adding ECCO₂R at a TV of 6 mL/kg decreased Paco₂ by 21% (95% CI, 17–25%), from 47 ± 11 to 37 ± 4 Torr (p < 0.001; Fig. 1). The relative reduction in Paco₂ did not differ according to the position of the membrane oxygenator, but tended to be higher when the oxygenator was placed upstream of hemofilter (22%±7% vs 18%±6%).

Decreasing TV to 4 mL/kg PBW increased Paco₂ by 27% (95% CI, 24–30%), from 37 ± 8 to 48 ± 10 Torr (p < 0.001). The time course of Paco₂ throughout the study period is presented in Figure 2A. Change in pH followed inversely those of Paco₂, with significant increases after ECCO₂R initiation and a drop after reduction of TV (Fig. 2B). There were no significant variations during the study period for Pao₂/Fio₂. Other variables are reported in Table 3.

Respiratory Parameters

Lowering TV from 6 to 4 mL/kg PBW (i.e., from 383 ± 63 to 258 ± 46 mL) decreased minute ventilation by 33%±2%, from 7.8 to 5.2 mL/min and reduced plateau pressure by 18%±2%, from 25 ± 4 to 21 ± 3 cm H₂O (all p < 0.001; Fig. 3). The PEEP level and the quasi-static respiratory system compliance remain unaltered. The deadspace fraction of ventilation increased after reduction of TV, from 26%±16% to 32%±15% (p < 0.001). The rate of CO₂ elimination by the lung (VCO₂lun) decreased significantly after ECCO₂R initiation and was further reduced after reduction of TV (Fig. 4). Other variables are presented in Table 4.

Membrane Oxygenator–Related Parameters and the Effect of Position

On an average of both positions, the oxygenator’s blood flow was 410 ± 30 mL/min. There was a moderate decrease after 24 hours. Blood flow was significantly higher when the membrane oxygenator was placed upstream of the hemofilter (432 ± 25 mL/min) than when the membrane oxygenator placed downstream (382 ± 29 mL/min; p < 0.001 at all time, Fig. 5A).

On an average of both positions, the oxygenator’s CO₂ removal rate (VCO₂Oxy) was 83 ± 20 mL/min without significant variation over time, and it accounted for 42%±9% of the total CO₂ elimination. VCO₂Oxy did not significantly differ according
to the position of the membrane, but values were higher when the position was upstream of the hemofilter (91 ± 49 mL/min) than when the position was downstream (72 ± 59 mL/min; \( p = 0.083; \) Fig. 5B).

Inlet and outlet oxygenator pressures significantly decreased over time, whereas the oxygenator’s pressure drop remained constant and remarkably low. Inlet PCO\(_2\) and inlet CtCO\(_2\) significantly increased over time. A significant correlation \( (r = 0.92) \) was observed between the inlet PCO\(_2\) and the difference between inlet and outlet (delta) PCO\(_2\) (Fig. S1, Supplemental Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/CCM/B421, which illustrates the correlation between the inlet partial pressure of CO\(_2\) [PCO\(_2\), inlet] and the difference between the inlet and the outlet PCO\(_2\) [Delta PCO\(_2\)]). Other variables are presented in Table 5.

### RRT-Related Parameters

Pump blood flow was maintained at maximum rate (420 mL/min) without any increase in the inlet arterial pressure over time. Effluent flow was maintained close to 45 mL/kg/h over time, with 33% predilution. Predilution and postdilution rates decreased over time, whereas fluid removal progressively increased. As a result, the filtration fraction remained constant around 15%. There was a slight but nonsignificant increase in the hemofilter’s pressure drop. In contrast, the transmembrane pressure of the hemofilter markedly increased over time (Table 6).

### Hemodynamic Parameters

Heart rate decreased significantly over time. Mean arterial pressure increased significantly by 20 minutes after initiation of the combined therapy when compared with baseline values, from 74 ± 14 to 90 ± 15 mm Hg \( (p < 0.001) \). A modest increase in cardiac output was also observed at 20 minutes. Norepinephrine doses decreased, but not significantly, over time; meanwhile two patients evolved toward multiorgan failure requiring high amount of vasopressor (Fig. S2, Supplemental Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/CCM/B422, which illustrates the time

![Figure 4. Time course of the total rate of CO\(_2\) elimination (total VCO\(_2\)) with respective contribution of the VCO\(_2\) by the natural lung and the VCO\(_2\) by the membrane oxygenator; \( p = \text{NS} \) for total VCO\(_2\) (Tables 4 and 5 for VCO\(_2\) lung and VCO\(_2\)Oxy variations, respectively). Note that the total VCO\(_2\) increased at 20 min (M20) due to additional CO\(_2\) removal provided by the membrane oxygenator. Also note that the total VCO\(_2\) decreased at 1 hr (H1) due to the reduction of tidal volume from 6 to 4 mL/kg predicted body weight, with values similar to baseline.](image-url)

**TABLE 4. Respiratory Parameters During the Study Period in the 12 Therapies**

| Variable (Units) | Extracorporeal CO\(_2\) Removal |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
|                  | \( V_t = 6 \text{ mL/kg PBW} \) | \( V_t = 4 \text{ mL/kg PBW} \) |
|                  | Baseline \( (n = 12) \) | 20 min \( (n = 12) \) | 1 hr \( (n = 12) \) | 6 hr \( (n = 12) \) | 12 hr \( (n = 11) \) | 24 hr \( (n = 10) \) | 36 hr \( (n = 8) \) | 48 hr \( (n = 8) \) | 72 hr \( (n = 7) \) |
| Respiratory rate (bpm) | 20 ± 2 | 20 ± 2 | 20 ± 2 | 20 ± 2 | 21 ± 2 | 21 ± 2 | 21 ± 3 | 20 ± 1 | 0.005 |
| Quasi-static compliance of the respiratory system \((\text{mL/cm H}_2\text{O})\) | 30 ± 8 | 31 ± 10 | 31 ± 11 | 29 ± 10 | 29 ± 10 | 29 ± 13 | 32 ± 14 | 30 ± 13 | 30 ± 11 | NS |
| End-tidal CO\(_2\) \((\text{Torr})\) | 34 ± 5 | 27 ± 6\(^a\) | 32 ± 7\(^b\) | 30 ± 6 | 30 ± 5 | 34 ± 7\(^b\) | 34 ± 6\(^b\) | 35 ± 5\(^b\) | 36 ± 4\(^{4,6,7,8}\) | < 0.001 |
| Physiologic deadspace ratio (%) | 24 ± 18 | 26 ± 16 | 32 ± 15 | 35 ± 12\(^{1,2}\) | 33 ± 12\(^{1,2}\) | 33 ± 11\(^a\) | 27 ± 8 | 27 ± 8 | 26 ± 10 | < 0.001 |
| CO\(_2\) removal rate by the lung \((\text{mL/min})\) | 165 \((142–207)\) | 127 \((102–176)\) | 83\(^a\) \((69–127)\) | 96\(^a\) \((80–122)\) | 97\(^a\) \((77–126)\) | 119 \((85–134)\) | 134 \((84–159)\) | 134 \((103–147)\) | 126 \((94–155)\) | < 0.001 |

IQR = interquartile range, PBW = predicted body weight, NS = not significant.
Holm-Sidak multiple comparison: \(^a\)\( p < 0.05 \) vs baseline; \(^b\)\( p < 0.05 \) vs 20 minutes; \(^c\)\( p < 0.05 \) vs 6 hr; \(^d\)\( p < 0.05 \) vs 12 hr.
Values are mean ± so or median (IQR).
course of individual doses of norepinephrine throughout the study period among the 10 patients who received norepinephrine. Note that the two patients with high and increasing values evolved toward multiorgan failure and died.). Other variables are presented in Table 7.

Coagulation and Heparin Therapy

All patients received continuous infusion of heparin at a mean rate of 521 ± 18 IU/h. The mean active clotting time ratio was 2 ± 0.9 during study period when compared with 1.5 ± 0.3 at inclusion.

Safety

We did not observe the presence of air within the circuit. There was one episode of hemofilter clotting but none for the membrane oxygenator. One extracorporeal catheter needs to be replaced by a longer one (from 15- to 24-cm length) because of excessive negative arterial pressure. There was no pump dysfunction or any bleeding complications. In one patient, an alarm “Gain Limit Reached” prematurely ended the therapy (just before the 72-hr time). The insertion of a membrane oxygenator did not modify the normal operation of the RRT device.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we demonstrate that adding a membrane oxygenator within a CRRT circuit, in patients presenting with both ARDS and AKI, is safe and provides efficient extracorporeal CO₂ removal with a reduction of PaCO₂ by 21%. Such a combined therapy also allows mechanical ventilation at reduced TV together with blood purification for a period of 72 hours.

The idea of integrating an oxygenator membrane within an RRT circuit was first reported in 2013 by Forster et al (35). They used continuous venovenous hemodialysis with a 13.5F double-lumen catheter and a 0.67 m² membrane oxygenator that was inserted downstream of a high-flux polysulfone 1.4 m² hemofilter. However, they did not standardize their therapy in terms of blood flow, sweep gas flow, and ventilator settings and thus reported scattered data, mostly in patients with ARDS. They observed a marked decrease in Paco₂ (from 69 to 49 Torr) and increase in pH (from 7.18 to 7.3) at 4 hours after starting ECCO₂R, but data on the CO₂ removal rate were not available. The correction of respiratory acidosis was associated with rapid hemodynamic improvement, which led to a marked decreased in the need for norepinephrine. They observed two episodes of clotting: one from the hemofilter and the other from the membrane oxygenator.

In the present study, we used continuous venovenous hemofiltration with a larger catheter (15.5F), and we were able to maintain high blood flow throughout the study period. The mean CO₂ removal rate was similar to those reported with the RAS Hemolung system (ALung, Pittsburg, USA) and with the Abylcap system (Belco, Mirandola, Italy) at a similar blood flow (400 mL/min) (36, 37). Of note, the effective blood flow delivered by the PrismaFlex device corresponds to the pump blood flow minus the predilution rate, the result being limited to 450 mL/min. We did not observe any clotting of the membrane oxygenator, whereas it occurred once in the hemofilter. The time course of the pressure drop profile for both the hemofilter and the membrane oxygenator clearly showed that the risk of clotting was higher within the hemofilter.

This is the first study to address a relevant issue, namely the position of the membrane oxygenator within the RRT circuit. We observed a higher level of blood flow and a higher level of CO₂ removal when the membrane oxygenator was placed upstream of the hemofilter, albeit the latter did not reach statistical significance. During hemofiltration, when the oxygenator is placed downstream of the hemofilter, the flow that exits the hemofilter and crosses the oxygenator corresponds to the subtraction of the inlet hemofilter blood flow minus the effluent flow. Therefore, the difference in flow between each position, at constant pump blood flow, depends on the amount of effluent. In our study,

Figure 5. Time course of the oxygenator blood flow (A) and CO₂ removal rate by the membrane oxygenator (B) during the study period according to the position of the membrane oxygenator within the renal replacement therapy circuit (upstream of hemofilter [HF] or downstream of HF); *p < 0.05 between positions; †p < 0.05 vs 20 min (M20); £p < 0.05 vs 1 hr (H1).
### Table 5. Membrane Oxygenator–Related Parameters During the Study Period in the 12 Therapies

| Variable (Units) | Extracorporeal CO₂ Removal | Analysis of Variance p |
|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | \( V_1 = 6 \text{mL/kg PBW} \) | \( V_1 = 4 \text{mL/kg PBW} \) |                     |
|                  | Baseline (\( n = 12 \)) | 20 min (\( n = 12 \)) | 1 hr (\( n = 12 \)) | 6 hr (\( n = 12 \)) | 12 hr (\( n = 11 \)) | 24 hr (\( n = 10 \)) | 36 hr (\( n = 8 \)) | 48 hr (\( n = 8 \)) | 72 hr (\( n = 7 \)) |                     |
| Inlet oxygenator blood flow (mL/min) | NA | 425 (396–448) | 429 (396–450) | 424 (390–440) | 411ab | 412 | 389 | 388ab | 406ab | &lt; 0.001 |
| Inlet oxygenator pressure (mm Hg) | NA | 251 ± 54 | 257 ± 56 | 256 ± 63 | 245 ± 59 | 237 ± 60 | 224 ± 59bc | 226 ± 57abc | 238 ± 61abc | &lt; 0.001 |
| Outlet oxygenator pressure (mm Hg) | NA | 243 ± 55 | 248 ± 56 | 247 ± 64 | 235 ± 61 | 231 ± 61 | 218 ± 61bc | 220 ± 58abc | 229 ± 62c | &lt; 0.001 |
| Oxygenator pressure drop (mm Hg) | NA | 11 ± 5 | 10 ± 5 | 11 ± 6 | 11 ± 6 | 8 ± 2 | 8 ± 3 | 8 ± 3 | 7 ± 2 | NS |
| Inlet oxygenator CO₂ tension (Torr) | NA | 46 ± 10 | 54 ± 10a | NA | 55 ± 9a | 57 ± 8a | NA | 55 ± 6a | 56 ± 8a | &lt; 0.001 |
| Outlet oxygenator CO₂ tension (Torr) | NA | 15 ± 3 | 18 ± 4a | NA | 18 ± 4a | 19 ± 3a | NA | 18 ± 3a | 19 ± 4a | &lt; 0.001 |
| Inlet oxygenator CO₂ content (mmol/L) | NA | 25 ± 3 | 27 ± 3 | NA | 28 ± 4a | 30 ± 4ab | NA | 32 ± 2ab | 32 ± 4ab | &lt; 0.001 |
| Outlet oxygenator CO₂ content (mmol/L) | NA | 17 ± 4 | 18 ± 4 | NA | 19 ± 4 | 20 ± 4a | NA | 22 ± 2ab | 23 ± 4ab | &lt; 0.001 |
| CO₂ removal rate by the oxygenator membrane (mL/min) | NA | 79 ± 20 | 83 ± 22 | NA | 86 ± 22 | 84 ± 25 | NA | 86 ± 16 | 78 ± 16 | NS |
| Inlet oxygenator oxygen tension (Torr) | NA | 39 ± 6b | 45 ± 5 | NA | 41 ± 5 | 41 ± 3 | NA | 37 ± 2b | 40 ± 3b | &lt; 0.001 |
| Outlet oxygenator oxygen tension (Torr) | NA | 677 ± 50 | 692 ± 48 | NA | 710 ± 40 | 695 ± 72 | NA | 693 ± 28 | 696 ± 35 | NS |
| Inlet oxygenator oxygen content (mL/dL) | NA | 10 ± 2 | 10 ± 3 | NA | 10 ± 2 | 9 ± 2 | NA | 9 ± 1b | 9 ± 1 | 0.025 |
| Outlet oxygenator oxygen content (mL/dL) | NA | 17 ± 4 | 18 ± 4 | NA | 19 ± 4 | 20 ± 4a | NA | 22 ± 2ab | 23 ± 4ab | &lt; 0.001 |
| Oxygen uptake rate by the oxygenator membrane (mL/min) | NA | 2.5 ± 0.4 | 2.4 ± 0.4 | NA | 2.5 ± 0.4 | 2.3 ± 0.4 | NA | 2.3 ± 0.3 | 2.3 ± 0.4 | NS |

IQR = interquartile range, PBW = predicted body weight, NA = not applicable, NS = not significant.
Holm-Sidak multiple comparison: \( p < 0.05 \) vs 20 min; \( p < 0.05 \) vs 1 hr; \( p < 0.05 \) vs 6 hr; \( p < 0.05 \) vs 12 hr.
Values are mean ± sd or median (IQR).
we observed a difference in flow of 50 mL/min between each position, which resulted in a difference of 19 mL/min in the rate of CO₂ removal. Hence, we recommend clinicians to place the membrane oxygenator upstream of the hemofilter.

Although one of the main limitations with the Decap system is the inability to provide blood purification, we designed this study to combine both an appropriate intensity of renal support together with enhanced lung protective ventilation. We were able to provide sustained purification throughout the study period; however, the transmembrane pressure of the hemofilter increased systematically over time. Using a relatively high effluent dose (45 mL/kg/h); we observed, as others, a rapid hemodynamic improvement that led to a substantial decrease in the need for norepinephrine in most patients (38, 39). Finally, we observed an increase in the plasma concentration of bicarbonate ion (HCO₃⁻) and in the CO₂ content over the study period. This may be related to the high concentration of HCO₃⁻ (32 mmol/L) within our replacement solution (Hemosol B0), which provides a faster acidosis correction but increases the blood CO₂ content. Whether other HCO₃⁻ concentrations in the replacement solution would have changed results need to be explored.

Using a standardized protocol of ventilation based on the ARDS Net protocol, we were able to demonstrate that our combined RRT + ECCO₂R system decreased arterial PCO₂ by 21%. This result is similar to that reported with the Decap system, performed at a lower blood flow (300 mL/min) (34). Although

| TABLE 6. Hemofiltration Parameters During the Study Period in the 12 Therapies |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Variable (Units) | Extracorporeal CO₂ Removal | Analysis of Variance |
|                  | Vₜ = 6 mL/kg PBW | Vₜ = 4 mL/kg PBW | p |
| Vₜ (mL/kg PBW) | Baseline (n = 12) | 20 min (n = 12) | 1 hr (n = 12) | 6 hr (n = 12) | 12 hr (n = 11) | 24 hr (n = 10) | 36 hr (n = 8) | 48 hr (n = 8) | 72 hr (n = 7) | p |
| Hemofilter blood flow (pump setting (mL/min)) | 420 (420–420) | 420 (420–420) | 420 (420–420) | 420 (420–420) | 420 (420–425) | 420 (420–425) | 420 (420–428) | NS |
| Effluent flow (mL/kg/h) | 46 (39–54) | 46 (39–54) | 47 (43–53) | 46 (40–51) | 47 (37–53) | 42 (33–48) | 41 (34–46) | 41 (31–49) | NS |
| Predilution (mL/h) | 1342 ± 151 | 1342 ± 151 | 1342 ± 151 | 1342 ± 151 | 1327 ± 149 | 1280 ± 266 | 1225 ± 183 | 1181 ± 227 | 1164 ± 250 | 0.031 |
| Postdilution (mL/h) | 2683 ± 301 | 2683 ± 301 | 2683 ± 301 | 2683 ± 335 | 2600 ± 390 | 2580 ± 512 | 2438 ± 378 | 2363 ± 453 | 2329 ± 499 | 0.035 |
| Fluid removal (mL/h) | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 75 ± 97abc | 91 ± 94abc | 75 ± 63 | 123 ± 67abc | 94 ± 42abc | 129 ± 76abc | < 0.001 |
| Hemofilter inlet pressure (mm Hg) | −157 ± 28 | −160 ± 32 | −166 ± 30 | −173 ± 38 | −168 ± 48 | −150 ± 29 | −149 ± 27abc | −142 ± 20aed | −133 ± 29aed | < 0.001 |
| Hemofilter outlet pressure (mm Hg) | 149 ± 21 | 154 ± 23 | 156 ± 24 | 168 ± 40 | 148 ± 25 | 151 ± 35 | 146 ± 32 | 140 ± 27 | 140 ± 27 | NS |
| Pressure drop of the hemofilter (mm Hg) | 88 ± 18 | 92 ± 22 | 102 ± 26 | 113 ± 26 | 110 ± 45 | 125 ± 55 | 110 ± 27 | 114 ± 38 | 133 ± 64 | NS |
| Transmembrane pressure of the hemofilter (mm Hg) | 107 (89–118) | 111 (91–124) | 131 (98–139) | 147 (108–177) | 176 (151–187) | 192 (163–204) | 197abc (164–216) | 208abc (180–217) | 227abc (188–290) | < 0.001 |
| Filtration fraction (%) | 15 (12–16) | 15 (12–16) | 15 (12–16) | 15 (13–16) | 13 (12–15) | 13 (11–14) | 12ab (11–14) | 13a (11–14) | < 0.001 |

IQR = interquartile range, PBW = predicted body weight, NS = not significant.

Holm-Sidak multiple comparison: *p < 0.05 vs baseline; †p < 0.05 vs 20 min; ‡p < 0.05 vs 1 hr; ‡‡p < 0.05 vs 6 hr; ‡‡‡p < 0.05 vs 12 hr.

Values are mean ± sd or median (IQR).
a 72-hour period, but we did not demonstrate that our ventilation strategy had reduced lung injury. This point has already been addressed previously and was not in the scope of the present study. Second, our population was small and limited to patients who presented with ARDS during the early phase of the disease and AKI who required RRT initiation. Therefore, extrapolating results from this study to other conditions requires caution. Third, such a population seems quite rare, at least if both conditions are expected early after ICU admission. Whether the implementation of such a combined therapy during the stay will extend the field of the technique needs to be investigated. Four, the use of such a combined therapy should be limited to a period of 72 hours, beyond there is a theoretical risk of rupture of the circuit. Finally, we were not able to demonstrate a significant difference in the rate of CO₂ removal between positions of the membrane oxygenator due to our small sample, but our result is very close to the threshold and we believe that the difference would have reach the significance level with the appropriate statistical power.

**CONCLUSION**

We have demonstrated that a strategy that combined CRRT with ECCO₂R through the insertion of a membrane oxygenator within an RRT circuit is safe and allows sustained blood purification together with enhanced lung protective ventilation during the early phase of ARDS and AKI. A higher efficacy was observed when the membrane oxygenator was placed upstream of the hemofilter. The present study may constitute the rationale for the design of a randomized controlled study to address the effect of such a combined organ-support strategy on mortality.

---

**TABLE 7. Hemodynamic Parameters During the Study Period in the 12 Therapies**

| Variable (Units) | Extracorporeal CO₂ Removal | | | | | | | | Analysis of Variance p |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                  | $V_i = 6 \text{mL/kg PBW}$ | $V_i = 4 \text{mL/kg PBW}$ |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|                  | Baseline ($n = 12$) | 20 min ($n = 12$) | 1 hr ($n = 12$) | 6 hr ($n = 12$) | 12 hr ($n = 11$) | 24 hr ($n = 10$) | 36 hr ($n = 8$) | 48 hr ($n = 8$) | 72 hr ($n = 7$) |
| Heart rate (bpm) | 91 ± 14 | 90 ± 15 | 85 ± 13 | 75 ± 9<sup>a,b</sup> | 74 ± 10<sup>a,b</sup> | 81 ± 10 | 81 ± 8 | 80 ± 12 | 79 ± 9 | <0.001 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg) | 74 ± 14 | 90 ± 15<sup>a</sup> | 89 ± 16<sup>a</sup> | 85 ± 18 | 79 ± 17 | 77 ± 15<sup>b</sup> | 86 ± 16 | 82 ± 11 | 86 ± 14 | <0.001 |
| Central venous pressure (mm Hg) | 16 ± 3 | 15 ± 4 | 14 ± 4 | 13 ± 4 | 13 ± 5 | 15 ± 4 | 13 ± 4 | 15 ± 4 | 16 ± 5 | 0.024 |
| Cardiac output (L/min) | 5.3 ± 1.2 | 5.8 ± 1.4 | 5.8 ± 1.9 | 5.4 ± 2 | 5.2 ± 1.9 | 5.8 ± 1.6 | 6.3 ± 1.3 | 5.5 ± 1.1 | 6.2 ± 1 | 0.043 |
| Norepinephrine (µg/kg/min) | 0.9 (0.8–1.3) | 0.9 (0.8–1.3) | 0.9 (0.6–1.3) | 0.6 (0.4–1) | 0.5 (0.4–1.2) | 0.6 (0.4–1.4) | 0.5 (0.3–0.7) | 0.6 (0.3–0.7) | NS |
| Lactate (mmol/L) | 1.9 (1.5–4.6) | 2 (1.6–4.9) | 2 (1.2–5.3) | 2.1 (1.1–5) | 2.2 (0.9–6.4) | 2.1 (1.0–5.2) | 1.3 (0.8–1.9) | 1.2<sup>b</sup> (0.9–1.4) | 1.2<sup>b</sup> | 0.006 |

IQR = interquartile range, PBW = predicted body weight, NS = not significant. Holm-Sidak multiple comparison: *p < 0.05 vs baseline; **p < 0.05 vs 20 min.

Values are mean ± SD or median (IQR).
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