Comparison of the Mean Uterine Artery Pulsatility Index Global Reference with an Asian Indian Population of Pregnant Women in the Samrakshan Program—Differences and Implications
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Doppler ultrasound assessments are used more often to screen and prognosticate for adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes.1–6 Ultrasound examination of the uterine artery (UA) helps to assess uteroplacental circulation from early gestation through the course of pregnancy. The mean UAPI is among the most common UA indices that are currently assessed. The mean UAPI is used to screen and prognosticate for the development of pre-eclampsia (PE), fetal growth restriction (FGR), still birth, placental abruption, and other adverse perinatal outcomes.1–6 Gómez et al7 have published global reference ranges for the mean UAPI for 11 to 41 gestational weeks of pregnancy; however, population reference ranges for pregnant Asian Indian women are not available.

Samrakshan is a national program of the Indian Radiological and Imaging Association that aims to improve perinatal outcomes in India.8 The program utilizes trimester-specific doppler ultrasound assessments to screen, identify, and prognosticate for the development of preterm PE and FGR. The ultrasound examinations are done using a transabdominal approach and standard methods for the assessment of the UAPI, umbilical artery PI, middle cerebral artery PI, and the estimation of the cerebroplacental ratio. The Doppler assessment values are input into globally validated algorithms to identify women at high risk for the development of preterm PE and FGR. We compared the gestational age-specific mean UAPI values of 12,711 pregnant women screened in the Samrakshan program with the published global reference standards7 to identify differences in the gestation-specific percentile values, and to determine the potential mismatch in the magnitude of mean UAPI >95th percentile if we use the local population data and the global reference.

We observed that the mean UAPI values of the global reference7 and the Samrakshan based data were nearly similar for the 5th and 50th percentiles across all gestational ages. However, the gestational age-specific global reference range of the mean UAPI 95th percentile was significantly lower than the corresponding Samrakshan-based data across most gestational ages (see Table 1). This led to a significant difference in the magnitude of pregnant women identified with an abnormal UAPI across most gestational ages (see Table 2). The difference in percentile values can possibly be attributed to a systematic error in measurement—either observer error or a systematic instrumentation bias. However, the similarities at the 5th and 50th percentile values and the presence of a difference only at the higher percentiles suggest that factors other than observer and instrumentation errors may contribute to this discrepancy. It is possible that true population differences due to structural and functional changes based on ethnicity and genes contribute to the discrepancy in percentile values. The underlying population prevalence of pregnancy induced hypertension, chronic hypertension, and PE and other...
Table 1  Comparison of the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentile global reference values of the mean uterine artery pulsatility index with the values obtained from 12,711 pregnant women in the Samrakshan India program

| Gestational age in weeks | Samrakshan India, \(n\) | 5th percentile-global | 50th percentile-Samrakshan India | 50th percentile-global | 95th percentile-Samrakshan India | 95th percentile-global |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 11                       | 391                      | 1.18                   | 0.96                          | 1.79                   | 1.66                          | 2.70                   |
| 12                       | 1836                     | 1.11                   | 0.92                          | 1.68                   | 1.67                          | 2.53                   |
| 13                       | 1879                     | 1.05                   | 0.89                          | 1.58                   | 1.67                          | 2.38                   |
| 19                       | 1579                     | 0.78                   | 0.66                          | 1.15                   | 1.05                          | 1.70                   |
| 20                       | 979                      | 0.74                   | 0.64                          | 1.10                   | 1.00                          | 1.61                   |
| 21                       | 687                      | 0.71                   | 0.61                          | 1.05                   | 0.96                          | 1.54                   |
| 22                       | 513                      | 0.69                   | 0.61                          | 1.00                   | 0.92                          | 1.47                   |
| 23                       | 308                      | 0.66                   | 0.57                          | 0.96                   | 0.90                          | 1.41                   |
| 24                       | 227                      | 0.64                   | 0.59                          | 0.93                   | 0.9                           | 1.35                   |
| 30                       | 281                      | 0.54                   | 0.5                            | 0.77                   | 0.77                          | 1.10                   |
| 31                       | 307                      | 0.52                   | 0.52                          | 0.75                   | 0.76                          | 1.06                   |
| 32                       | 378                      | 0.51                   | 0.51                          | 0.73                   | 0.73                          | 1.04                   |
| 33                       | 504                      | 0.50                   | 0.48                          | 0.71                   | 0.73                          | 1.01                   |
| 34                       | 640                      | 0.50                   | 0.47                          | 0.70                   | 0.72                          | 0.99                   |
| 35                       | 674                      | 0.49                   | 0.47                          | 0.69                   | 0.71                          | 0.97                   |
| 36                       | 571                      | 0.48                   | 0.49                          | 0.68                   | 0.72                          | 0.95                   |
| 37                       | 366                      | 0.48                   | 0.47                          | 0.67                   | 0.7                            | 0.94                   |
| 38                       | 113                      | 0.47                   | 0.47                          | 0.66                   | 0.68                          | 0.92                   |
| 39                       | 46                       | 0.47                   | 0.49                          | 0.65                   | 0.67                          | 0.91                   |
| 40                       | 20                       | 0.47                   | 0.48                          | 0.67                   | 0.73                          | 0.90                   |

Table 2  Distribution of abnormal pregnant women based on the >95th percentile value of the mean uterine artery index among the 12,711 participants in the Samrakshan program

| Gestational age in weeks | Samrakshan India, \(n\) | >95th percentile-global, \(n\) (%) | >95th percentile-Samrakshan India, \(n\) (%) | N-1 chi-squared test |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 11                       | 391                      | 16 (4.09)                        | 19 (4.86)                        | 0.61                |
| 12                       | 1836                     | 141 (7.68)                       | 90 (4.90)                        | 0.0005              |
| 13                       | 1879                     | 229 (12.19)                      | 90 (4.79)                        | <0.0001             |
| 19                       | 1579                     | 133 (8.42)                       | 83 (5.26)                        | 0.0004              |
| 20                       | 979                      | 83 (8.48)                        | 45 (4.60)                        | 0.0005              |
| 21                       | 687                      | 56 (8.15)                        | 34 (4.95)                        | <0.001              |
| 22                       | 513                      | 40 (7.80)                        | 25 (4.87)                        | 0.05                |
| 23                       | 308                      | 14 (4.55)                        | 6 (1.95)                         | 0.07                |
| 24                       | 227                      | 19 (8.37)                        | 8 (3.52)                         | 0.03                |
| 30                       | 281                      | 32 (11.39)                       | 13 (4.63)                        | 0.003               |
| 31                       | 307                      | 53 (17.26)                       | 15 (4.88)                        | <0.001              |
| 32                       | 378                      | 40 (10.58)                       | 18 (4.76)                        | 0.003               |
| 33                       | 504                      | 81 (16.07)                       | 24 (4.76)                        | <0.0001             |
| 34                       | 640                      | 97 (15.16)                       | 31 (4.84)                        | <0.0001             |
| 35                       | 674                      | 112 (16.62)                      | 33 (4.90)                        | <0.0001             |
| 36                       | 571                      | 105 (18.39)                      | 28 (4.90)                        | <0.0001             |
| 37                       | 366                      | 54 (14.75)                       | 18 (4.92)                        | <0.0001             |
| 38                       | 113                      | 23 (20.35)                       | 5 (4.42)                         | 0.0003              |
| 39                       | 46                       | 8 (17.39)                        | 2 (4.35)                         | 0.05                |
| 40                       | 20                       | 6 (30)                           | 2 (10)                           | 0.11                |
metabolic diseases is higher in Asian Indian populations compared to Caucasian populations. This may possibly contribute to an increased underlying pathologic change in the structure and function of blood vessels in this population and hence abnormal findings on Doppler ultrasound. Additionally, environmental factors including nutrition, and personal risk factors may contribute to the difference in the percentile values. We have not excluded pregnant women with comorbidities, obesity, and advanced age from the data analysis. It is possible that these factors may contribute to the higher magnitude of pregnant women with a mean UAPI >95th percentile.

Clinically, the significant difference in the proportion of women identified with an abnormal UA Doppler PI is problematic as mean UAPI is an integral part of the screening and prognostic assessments. A clinical dilemma to resolve is the balance between the identification of more women at high risk for adverse outcomes if we use the global reference range (false positives) and the possible miss of many women at high risk if we use the values determined from the Samrakshan data (false negatives) to inform the clinical decision-making algorithm based on risk. The consequences of missing assessments for a pregnant woman at high risk for PE and FGR can be devastating for the mother, fetus, and family. Many false positives will stretch the strained healthcare infrastructure. The resolution of the appropriate reference range to use is possible through the development of an appropriate normative reference range for gestation ages 11 to 41 weeks specific to Asian Indians. The development of the gestation specific normative reference range must be done on pregnant women with normal parameters and must be linked with analysis of the outcomes to be robust. Our preliminary analysis highlights the need for fetal radiologists in India to develop such a reference range for optimal management during pregnancy.
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