Fourier coefficients of functions in power-weighted $L_2$-spaces and conditionality constants of bases in Banach spaces
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We prove that, given $2 < p < \infty$, the Fourier coefficients of functions in $L_2(\mathbb{T}, |t|^{1-2/p} \, dt)$ belong to $\ell_p$, and that, given $1 < p < 2$, the Fourier series of sequences in $\ell_p$ belong to $L_2(\mathbb{T}, |t|^{2/p-1} \, dt)$. Then, we apply these results to the study of conditional Schauder bases and conditional almost greedy bases in Banach spaces. Specifically, we prove that, for every $1 < p < \infty$ and every $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, there is a Schauder basis of $\ell_p$ whose conditionality constants grow as $(m^{\alpha})_{m=1}^\infty$, and there is an almost greedy basis of $\ell_p$ whose conditionality constants grow as $((\log m)^\alpha)_{m=2}^\infty$.
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1. Introduction

To contextualize the study carried out in this paper, we bring up a classical theorem which applies, in particular, to Hilbert spaces.

**Theorem 1.1** ([19, theorems 1 and 2] and [21, theorems 2 and 3]). Let $\mathcal{X} = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Schauder basis of superreflexive Banach space $X$. Suppose that $X$ is semi-normalized, i.e.,

$$\inf_n \|x_n\| > 0, \quad \sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty.$$

Then, there are $1 < q \leq r < \infty$ such that

- $\mathcal{X}$ is $r$-Besselian, that is, there exists a constant $C_b$ such that

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(x_n^*)(f)|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq C_b \|f\|, \quad f \in X,$$

where $\mathcal{X}^* = (x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ is the dual Schauder basis of $\mathcal{X}$; and
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- $X$ is $q$-Hilbertian, that is, there is another constant $C_h$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n \right\| \leq C_h \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^q \right)^{1/q}; \quad (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0.$$ 

In the language of linear operators, the basis $X$ of $X$ is $r$-Besselian if and only if the coefficient transform

$$f \mapsto (x^*_n(f))_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a bounded operator from $X$ into $\ell_r$, and it is $q$-Hilbertian if and only if the series transform

$$(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$$

is a bounded operator from $\ell_q$ into $X$.

Theorem 1.1 leads naturally to pose the following general problem.

PROBLEM 1.2. Let $X$ be a semi-normalized Schauder basis of a superreflexive Banach space $X$. The non-trivial intervals

$$J_B[X, X] = \{ r \in [1, \infty]: X \text{ is } r\text{-Besselian} \} \quad \text{and} \quad J_H[X, X] = \{ q \in [1, \infty]: X \text{ is } q\text{-Hilbertian} \},$$

called the Besselian and Hilbertian intervals of $X$ in $X$, respectively, contain valuable information on the geometry of the basis $X$. So they are worth studying.

In this paper, we address problem 1.2 for the trigonometric system in Hilbert spaces arising from power weights. Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the weight

$$w_\lambda: [-1/2, 1/2] \to [0, \infty], \quad t \mapsto |t|^{\lambda}.$$ 

If $\lambda > -1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the trigonometric function

$$\tau_n: [-1/2, 1/2] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \tau_n(t) = e^{2\pi i nt},$$

belongs to the complex Hilbert space

$$H_\lambda = L_2(w_\lambda, \mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover, the norm of $\tau_n$ does not depend on $n$. The natural arrangement of the trigonometric system $(\tau_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ will be denoted by $T$, that is, $T = (\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $\phi_{2n} = \tau_{-n}$ and $\phi_{2n+1} = \tau_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. As we are also interested in Hilbert spaces over $\mathbb{R}$, we consider the real-valued counterpart of $T$. We define
$T^R = (\phi_n^R)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by $\phi_0^R = 1$ and

$$\phi_{2k-1}^R(t) = \cos(2\pi kt), \quad \phi_{2k}^R(t) = \sin(2\pi kt), \quad t \in [-1/2, 1/2], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

Let us record the obvious relations between $T$ and $T^R$. We have

$$\phi_{2k-1}^R = \frac{\phi_{2k-1} + \phi_{2k}}{2}, \quad \phi_{2k}^R = \frac{\phi_{2k-1} - \phi_{2k}}{2i}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\phi_{2k-1} = \phi_{2k-1}^R + i\phi_{2k}^R, \quad \phi_{2k} = \phi_{2k-1}^R - i\phi_{2k}^R, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.2)$$

In the case when $-1 < \lambda < 1$, the conjugate-function operator

$$f = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \mapsto \tilde{f} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sign}(n) a_n \tau_n$$

is bounded on $H_\lambda \{9\}$ and, hence, $T$ is a Schauder basis of $H_\lambda$. Of course, this result can be derived from the fact that $w_\lambda$ is a Muckenhoupt $A_2$ weight (see [20, theorem 8]). Taking into consideration (1.1), we infer from [5, lemma 2.4] that $T^R$ is a semi-normalized basis of both $H_\lambda$ and its real-valued counterpart

$$H^R_\lambda = L_2(w_\lambda, \mathbb{R}).$$

Here, we contribute to the understanding of the trigonometric system by computing the Besselian and Hilbertian intervals of $T$ and $T^R$ regarded as systems in $H_\lambda$ and $H^R_\lambda$, respectively. Namely, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Define $1 < q_\alpha \leq 2 < r_\alpha < \infty$ by

$$q_\alpha = \frac{2}{1 + \alpha}, \quad r_\alpha = \frac{2}{1 - \alpha}.$$ 

Then,

(i) $J_B[T, H_{-\alpha}] = J_B[T^R, H_{-\alpha}] = J_B[T^R, H^R_{-\alpha}] = [2, \infty],$

(ii) $J_H[T, H_{-\alpha}] = J_H[T^R, H_{-\alpha}] = J_H[T^R, H^R_{-\alpha}] = [1, q_\alpha],$

(iii) $J_B[T, H_\alpha] = J_B[T^R, H_\alpha] = J_B[T^R, H^R_\alpha] = [r_\alpha, \infty], \text{ and}$

(iv) $J_H[T, H_\alpha] = J_H[T^R, H_\alpha] = J_H[T^R, H^R_\alpha] = [1, 2].$

Since parts (i) and (iv) of theorem 1.3 are elementary, our contribution consists in proving parts (ii) and (iii). We also notice that, since the trigonometric system is an orthogonal basis of $L_2([-1/2, 1/2])$, the case $\alpha = 0$ in theorem 1.3 is just a consequence of combining Bessel’s inequality with Riesz–Fischer Theorem.

We complement our research by applying theorem 1.3 to the study of conditional bases in $\ell_p$-spaces. Since every Banach space with a Schauder basis has a conditional Schauder basis by a classical theorem of Peczyński and Singer [27], to obtain information on the structure of a given space by means of its conditional bases we must study certain additional features of the bases. In this regard, focusing on the
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conditionality parameters of the bases is an inviting line of research. Let us abridge
the necessary terminology and background on this topic.

Given a Schauder basis $\mathcal{X} = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ with dual basis $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$, we put

$$k_m = k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] = \sup_{|A| \leq m} ||S_A||, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $S_A = S_A[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}]: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ is the the coordinate projection on the finite subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, i.e.,

$$S_A(f) = \sum_{n \in A} x_n^*(f)x_n, \quad f \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Since $\mathcal{X}$ is unconditional if and only if $\sup_m k_m < \infty$, the growth of the sequence $(k_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ measures how far $\mathcal{X}$ is from being unconditional.

An application of theorem 1.1 gives that if $\mathbb{X}$ is superreflexive, then there is $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ such that

$$k_m[\mathcal{X}, \ell_p] \lesssim m^\alpha, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$  \tag{1.3}

(see theorem 2.5 and equation (2.4) below). In fact, this property characterizes superreflexivity (see [5, corollary 3.6]). The authors of [16] proved that the estimate (1.3) is optimal for Hilbert spaces and, more generally, $\ell_p$ spaces for $1 < p < \infty$. To be precise, Garrigós and Wojtaszczyk proved that for every $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ there is a Schauder basis $\mathcal{X}_\alpha$ of $\ell_p$ with

$$k_m[\mathcal{X}_\alpha, \ell_p] \gtrsim m^\alpha, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

However, they did not compute the exact growth of the numbers $k_m$. We will take advantage of theorem 1.3 to complement their study. Namely, we will prove the following.

**Theorem 1.4.** For every $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$ there is a Schauder basis $\mathcal{X}_\alpha$ of $\ell_p$ with

$$k_m[\mathcal{X}_\alpha, \ell_p] \approx m^\alpha, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

Another way to get information on the structure of a given space by means of its conditional bases is to restrict the discussion on their existence by imposing certain distinctive properties. These additional properties can be imported to Banach space theory from greedy approximation theory, where we find interesting types of bases, such as almost greedy bases, which are suitable to implement the greedy algorithm and yet they need not be unconditional. In this regard, we point out that superreflexivity can also be characterized in terms of the conditionality parameters of almost greedy bases. In fact, since the GOW-method invented in [16] gives rise to almost greedy bases, we can safely replace ‘quasi-greedy’ with ‘almost greedy’ in [5, corollary 3.6]. Thereby, a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ is superreflexive if and only if every
almost greedy basis $\mathcal{Y}$ of a Banach space $\mathcal{Y}$ finitely representable in $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies

$$k_m[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}] \lesssim (\log m)^\alpha, \quad m \geq 2,$$

(1.4)

for some $\alpha < 1$. As well as (1.3), the estimate (1.4) is optimal in the sense that for every $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and every $1 < p < \infty$ there is an almost greedy basis $\mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ of $\ell_p$ with

$$k_m[\mathcal{Y}_\alpha, \ell_p] \gtrsim (\log m)^\alpha, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

(see [16, theorem 1.2]). In this paper, we improve this result by computing the growth of the sequence $(k_m)_{m=1}^\infty$. To be precise, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let $|1/2 - 1/p| \leq \alpha < 1$. Then, there is an almost greedy basis $\mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ of $\ell_p$ with

$$k_m[\mathcal{Y}_\alpha, \ell_p] \approx (\log m)^\alpha, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Note that theorem 1.5 is, in a sense, the almost greedy counterpart of theorem 1.4.

The article is structured in three more sections. In § 2, we record some general results on Hilbertian an Besselian intervals, as well as some general results on conditionality parameters. Section 3 revolves around the proof of theorem 1.3. Section 4 is devoted to prove theorem 1.4. In turn, § 5 is geared towards the proof of theorem 1.5.

Throughout this paper, we employ standard notation and terminology commonly used in Fourier Analysis, Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory, as the reader will find, e.g., in the monographs [7, 25, 29]. Other more specific terminology will be introduced in context when needed.

2. Preliminary results

For broader applicability, we will consider problem 1.2 within a setting more general than that of Schauder bases. A biorthogonal system in a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ is a sequence $\mathcal{O} = (x_n, x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^*$ with $x_n^*(x_k) = \delta_{n,k}$ for all $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. The Besselian and Hilbertian intervals of the biorthogonal system $\mathcal{O}$ are defined analogously to those of a Schauder basis. The interval $J_B[\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{X}]$ is nonempty, that is, $\infty \in J_B[\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{X}]$, if and only if $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ is norm-bounded. Similarly, 1 belongs to $J_H[\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{X}]$, so that $J_H[\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{X}] \neq \emptyset$, if and only if $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ is norm-bounded. Notice that $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ are simultaneously norm-bounded if and only if $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is semi-normalized and

$$\sup_n \|x_n\| \|x_n^*\| < \infty.$$  

(2.1)

If (2.1) holds, we say that the biorthogonal system $\mathcal{O}$ is $M$-bounded.

If $\mathcal{X} = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Schauder basis with dual basis $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$, then, since the partial sum projections with respect to $\mathcal{X}$ are uniformly bounded, $(x_n, x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an $M$-bounded biorthogonal system.
Given a biorthogonal system $O = (x_n, x^*_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, the set

$$J[O, X] := J_H[O, X] \cap J_B[O, X]$$

is either empty or a singleton. In fact, if $r \in J[O, X]$, then $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector system of $\ell_r$. The Radamacher type and cotype of the space enables us to obtain more significant information.

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose that $O = (x_n, x^*_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a semi-normalized $M$-bounded biorthogonal system in a Banach space $X$ of type $r_0$ and cotype $q_0$, $1 \leq r_0 < 2 \leq q_0 < \infty$. Then $J_H[O, X] \subseteq [1, q_0]$ and $J_B[O, X] \subseteq [r_0, \infty]$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $O$ is $r$-Besselian. Then,

$$m^{1/r} = \text{Ave}_{\varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty |x^*_k| \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \varepsilon_n x_n \right)^r \right)^{1/r} \lesssim \text{Ave}_{\varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \varepsilon_n x_n \right) \lesssim \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|^{r_0} \right)^{1/r_0} \approx m^{1/r_0}.$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $r \geq r_0$. Similarly, if $O$ is $q$-Hilbertian,

$$m^{1/q_0} \approx \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} \lesssim \text{Ave}_{\varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \varepsilon_n x_n \right) \lesssim m^{1/q}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $q \leq q_0$. \qed

In the case when $X = \ell_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, proposition 2.1 gives that the Hilbertian interval of the biorthogonal system $O$ is contained in $[1, \max\{2, p\}]$, and its Besselian interval is contained in $[\min\{2, p\}, \infty]$. If $p = 2$, this information allows us to draw apart the Hilbertian and Besselian intervals of any biorthogonal system of $X$. If $p \neq 2$, to obtain a similar result we must take advantage of the concavity and convexity properties of the lattice structure induced on $X$ by its unit vector system.

Following [25], we say that a quasi-Banach lattice $X$ satisfies an upper (resp., lower) $r$-estimate, where $1 \leq r < \infty$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every choice of finitely many disjoint elements $(f_j)_{j=1}^m$ in $X$ we have $\|f\| \leq CN$ (resp. $N \leq C\|f\|$), where

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j \quad \text{and} \quad N = \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

It is clear that if $1 \leq r \leq 2$ (resp., $2 \leq r < \infty$) and the Banach lattice $X$ has Radamacher type (resp., cotype) $r$, then it satisfies an upper (resp., lower) $r$-estimate.

Given a set $A \subseteq [1, \infty]$, we put

$$A' = \{ q' := q/(q-1) : q \in A \},$$

and we say that $A$ and $A'$ are conjugate sets.
Proposition 2.2. Let \( X \) be a Banach space with an unconditional basis \( U = (u_j)_{j=1}^\infty \). Suppose that the lattice structure on \( X \) induced by \( U \) satisfies a lower \( r_0 \)-estimate and an upper \( q_0 \)-estimate, \( 1 \leq q_0 \leq r_0 \leq \infty \). Then \( J_H[O, X] \subseteq [1, r_0] \) and \( J_B[O, X] \subseteq [q_0, \infty] \) for any semi-normalized \( M \)-bounded biorthogonal system \( O = (x_n, x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \) in \( X \). In particular, if \( X = \ell_p \), \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), then \( J_B[O, X] \subseteq [p, \infty] \) and \( J_H[O, X] \subseteq [1, p] \) (we replace \( \ell_\infty \) with \( c_0 \) if \( p = \infty \)).

Proof. Let \( U^* = (u_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \) be the dual basis of \( U \). Suppose that \( O \) is \( q \)-Hilbertian, \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \). By the Cantor diagonal technique, passing to a subsequence we can suppose that \( (u_j^*(x_n))_{n=1}^\infty \) converges for every \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, replacing \( x_n \) with \( x_{2n} - x_{2n-1} \) and \( x_n^* \) with \( (x_{2n}^* - x_{2n-1}^*)/2 \) we can suppose that \( \lim_n u_j^*(x_n) = 0 \) for every \( j \in \mathbb{N} \).

By the Bessaga-Pelczyński Selection Principle (see, e.g., [7, proposition 1.3.10]), passing to a subsequence we can suppose that \( (x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \) is equivalent to a block basic sequence with respect to \( \mathcal{U} \), say \( \mathcal{V} = (y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \). On the one hand, the mapping

\[
(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n y_n
\]
defines a bounded operator from \( \ell_q \) into \( X \). On the other hand, since \( \mathcal{V} \) is semi-normalized, there is a constant \( C \) such that

\[
\|f\|_{r_0} \leq C \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n y_n \right\|, \quad f = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_{00}.
\]

We infer that \( q \leq r_0 \).

The above argument proves the Hilbertian part. In order to prove the Besselian part, we assume that \( q_0 > 1 \); otherwise there is nothing to prove. Since every semi-normalized block basic sequence \( (z_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) with respect to \( \mathcal{U} \) satisfies the estimate

\[
\left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z_n \right\| \leq C\|f\|_{q_0}, \quad f = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_{00},
\]

for some constant \( C, \mathcal{U} \) does not have any block basic sequence equivalent to the unit vector system of \( \ell_1 \). By [7, theorem 3.3.1], \( U^* \) is a basis of \( X^* \). By [25, proposition 1.6.5], \( U^* \) induces on \( X^* \) a lattice structure which satisfies a lower \( q_0' \)-estimate. Let \( \mathcal{O}^* \) denote the biorthogonal system \( (x_n^*, h_X(x_n))_{n=1}^\infty \), where \( h_X : X \to X^{**} \) is the bidual map. By the already proved Hilbertian part, \( J_H[O^*, X^*] \subseteq [1, q_0'] \). By duality, \( J_B[O, X] \subseteq (J_H[O^*, X^*])' \). Consequently, \( J_B[O, X] \subseteq [1, q_0'] = [q_0, \infty] \).

We conclude the proof by noticing that \( \ell_p \) satisfies both a lower and an upper \( p \)-estimate.\( \square \)

To establish our results as accurately as possible, we will need notions more general than \( p \)-Besselian and \( p \)-Hilbertian bases. A sequence space will be a Banach space or, more generally, a quasi-Banach space \( U \subseteq F^F \) for which the unit vector system

\[
E = (e_n)_{n=1}^\infty
\]
is a normalized \( 1 \)-unconditional basis. We say that a biorthogonal system \( O \) in a Banach space \( X \) is \( U \)-Besselian (resp., \( U \)-Hilbertian) if the coefficient transform
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(rem., the series transform) is a bounded operator from $X$ into $U$ (rem., from $U$ into $X$).

Given sequences $X = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $Y = (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, respectively, their direct sum is the sequence $X \oplus Y = (u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $X \oplus Y$ given by
\[
 u_{2n-1} = (x_n, 0), \quad u_{2n} = (0, y_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
We put $X^2 = X \oplus X$, and we call $X^2$ the square of $X$. If $X$ is a semi-normalized Schauder basis of $X$, and $Y$ is a semi-normalized Schauder basis of $Y$, then $X \oplus Y$ is a seminormalized Schauder basis of $X \oplus Y$ whose dual basis is, modulo the natural identification of $(X \oplus Y)^\ast$ with $X^\ast \oplus Y^\ast$, $X^\ast \oplus Y^\ast$. Given biorthogonal systems $O = (x_n, x_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $R = (y_n, y_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$ in Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, respectively, their direct sum is the biorthogonal system $O \oplus R$ in $X \oplus Y$ whose first and second components are $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \oplus (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(x_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty \oplus (y_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$, respectively.

The rotation of a sequence $X = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in a Banach space $X$ will be the sequence $X_\circ = (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ given by
\[
y_{2n-1} = \frac{x_{2n-1} - x_{2n}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad y_{2n} = \frac{x_{2n-1} + x_{2n}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
If $X$ is Schauder basis, then $X_\circ$ is a Schauder basis with dual basis $(X^\ast)_\circ$. Given a biorthogonal system $O = (x_n, x_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $X$, its rotation is the biorthogonal system $O_\circ$ in $X$ whose first and second components are the rotations of $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(x_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$, respectively.

We say that a sequence space $U$ is lattice isomorphic to its square if the unit vector system of $U$ is equivalent to its square.

Lemma 2.3 below, whose straightforward proof we omit, gathers some properties of these notions that we will need.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $U$ be a sequence space, and let $O = (x_n, x_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a biorthogonal system in a Banach space $X$. Suppose that $O$ is $U$-Besselian (resp., $U$-Hilbertian).

(i) if $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a semi-normalized sequence in $F$, then the perturbed system $(\lambda_n x_n, \lambda_n^{-1} x_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$ is $U$-Besselian (resp., $U$-Hilbertian).

(ii) Suppose that $U$ is lattice isomorphic to its square. Then, the rotated system $O_\circ$ is $U$-Besselian (resp., $U$-Hilbertian). Moreover, if $R$ is a $U$-Besselian (resp., $U$-Hilbertian) biorthogonal system of a Banach space $Y$, then $O \oplus R$ is a $U$-Besselian (resp., $U$-Hilbertian) biorthogonal system of $X \oplus Y$.

(iii) Suppose that $U$ and $X$ are real-valued spaces. Then, $O$ is a $U^C$-Besselian (resp., Hilbertian) biorthogonal system in the complexification $X^C$ of $X$.

The authors of [5] introduced an alternative quantitative measure of the unconditionality of a biorthogonal system $O = (x_n, x_n^\ast)_{n=1}^\infty$ which is more accurate in some situations. Set
\[
 N[m] = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq n \leq m\}
\]
and define
\[
 \bar{k}_m = \bar{k}_m(O, X) := \sup \{\|S_A(f)\| : \|f\| \leq 1, \text{ supp}(f) \subseteq N[m], A \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}.
\]
We have $k_m \leq k_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sup_m k_m = \sup_m k_m$.

If $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, then

\begin{align*}
\tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{R}, X \oplus Y] &= \max\{\tilde{k}_{m/2}[\mathcal{O}, X], \tilde{k}_{m/2}[\mathcal{R}, Y]\}, \\
k_m[\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{R}, X \oplus Y] &= \max\{k_m[\mathcal{O}, X], k_m[\mathcal{R}, Y]\}.
\end{align*}

Loosely speaking, we could say that $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{R}$ inherits naturally the properties of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{R}$. In contrast, ‘rotating’ $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{R}$ gives rise to more interesting situations. Set $\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{R} := (\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{R})_\circ$ and define

\begin{align*}
\tilde{\delta}_m[\mathcal{O}, X, \mathcal{R}, Y] &= \sup_{\substack{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m \\
(a_n)_{n \in A} \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}}} \frac{\|\sum_{n \in A} a_n x_n\|}{\|\sum_{n \in A} a_n y_n\|}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \\
\delta_m[\mathcal{O}, X, \mathcal{R}, Y] &= \sup_{\substack{|A| \leq m \\
(a_n)_{n \in A} \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}}} \frac{\|\sum_{n \in A} a_n x_n\|}{\|\sum_{n \in A} a_n y_n\|}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{align*}

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Then

\begin{align*}
k_{2m}[\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{R}, X \oplus Y] &\geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\delta_m[\mathcal{O}, X, \mathcal{R}, Y], \delta_m[\mathcal{R}, Y, \mathcal{O}, X]\}, \quad \text{and} \\
\tilde{k}_{2m}[\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{R}, X \oplus Y] &\geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\tilde{\delta}_m[\mathcal{O}, X, \mathcal{R}, Y], \tilde{\delta}_m[\mathcal{R}, Y, \mathcal{O}, X]\}.
\end{align*}

**Proof.** Proceed as in the proof of [2, proposition 4.5].

The parameters $(\delta_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ also serve to estimate the conditionality parameters of Schauder bases, or biorthogonal systems, squeezed between two sequence spaces. If $U_1$ and $U_2$ are sequence spaces, we set

$$
\delta_m[U_1, U_2] = \delta_m[E, U_1, E, U_2], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a biorthogonal system of the Banach space $X$. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ $U_1$-$\text{Hilbertian}$ and $U_2$-$\text{Besselian}$. Then,

$$
k_m[\mathcal{X}, X] \leq \delta_m[U_1, U_2].
$$

**Proof.** Let $C_1$ be the norm of the series transform, and $C_2$ be the norm of the coefficient transform. Pick $f \in X$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $|A| \leq m$. We have

$$
\|S_A(f)\| \leq C_1\|\{x_n(f)1_A(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_{U_1} \leq C_1\delta_m[U_1, U_2]\|\{x_n(f)1_A(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_{U_2} \leq C_1\delta_m[U_1, U_2]\|\{x_n(f)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_{U_2} \leq C_1C_2\delta_m[U_1, U_2]\|f\|.
$$

\qed
The following consequence of lemma 2.5, whose straightforward proof we omit, single out an argument that we will use several times.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let $X$ be a basis of a Banach space $X$, and let $U_1$ and $U_2$ be sequence spaces. Suppose that $X$ is Hilbertian and $U_2$-Besselian, and that $k_m[X, X] \geq \delta_m[U_1, U_2]$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

(i) $k_m[X, X] \approx \delta_m[U_1, U_2]$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$; and

(ii) if $U_1'$ and $U_2'$ are sequence spaces with

$$\inf_m \frac{\delta_m[U_1', U_2']}{\delta_m[U_1, U_2]} = 0,$$

then either $X$ is not $U_1'$-Hilbertian or $X$ is not $U_2'$-Besselian.

For further reference, we record the value of the parameters $\delta_m$ in some important cases. Given a sequence $f = (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{F}^\mathbb{N}$ and an increasing map $\pi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{\pi} = (b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{F}^\mathbb{N}$ be the sequence defined by $b_n = a_k$ if $n = \pi(k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $b_n = 0$ otherwise. A sequence space $S$ is said to be subsymmetric if $f_{\pi} \in S$ for every $f \in S$ and every increasing map $\pi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, and we have $\|f_{\pi}\|_S = \|f\|_S$. In general, $\delta_m[S_1, S_2] = \delta_m[S_1, S_2]$ whenever $S_1$ and $S_2$ are subsymmetric sequence spaces. As $\ell_p$-spaces are concerned, we have

$$\delta_m[\ell_q, \ell_r] = \tilde{\delta}_m[\ell_q, \ell_r] = m^{1/q - 1/r}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 < q \leq r \leq \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that $\ell_p$ is not locally convex space in the case when $0 < p < 1$. However, the parameters $\delta$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ still make sense in the nonlocally convex setting. Other subsymmetric sequence spaces of interest for us are Lorentz sequence spaces. Notice that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} e_n \right\|_{\ell_p,q} = H_m^{1/q}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 < p < \infty, \ 0 < q \leq \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

where $H_m = \sum_{n=1}^{m} 1/n$ is the $m$th harmonic number. Combining this identity with Hölder’s inequality we obtain

$$\delta_m[\ell_{p,q}, \ell_{p,r}] = H_m^{1/q - 1/r}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 < p < \infty, \ 0 < q \leq r \leq \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

We also record the weighted version of (2.6). Given $0 < q < \infty$ and a weight $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ whose primitive weight $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is doubling, the Lorentz sequence space $d_{1,q}(w)$ is the quasi-Banach space consisting of all sequences $f \in c_0$ whose non-increasing rearrangement $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies

$$\|f\|_{d_{1,q}(w)} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n a_n)^q \frac{w_n}{s_n} \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

with the usual modification in $q = \infty$. If $w = (n^{1/p-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$, then $d_{1,q}(w) = \ell_{p,q}$ up to an equivalent norm. We have

$$\delta_m[d_{1,q}(w), d_{1,r}(w)] = (H_m[w])^{1/q - 1/r}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 < q \leq r \leq \infty, \quad (2.7)$$
where \( H_m[w] = \sum_{n=1}^{m} w_n/s_n \). If
\[
s_{rm} \geq 2s_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},
\]
for some integer \( r \), in which case we say that \((s_m)_{m=1}^\infty\) has the lower regularity property (LRP for short), the growth of the sequence \((H_m[w])_{m=1}^\infty\) can be computed.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \( w \) be a weight whose primitive sequence \((s_m)_{m=1}^\infty\) has the LRP, and let \( 0 < q \leq \infty \). Then,
\[
\|f\|_{d_1\cdot, q(w)} \approx \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n a_n)^q \frac{1}{n} \right)^{1/q}
\]
for every \( f \in c_0 \) with non-increasing rearrangement \((a_n)_{n=1}^\infty\). In particular, \( H_m[w] \approx H_m \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Proof.** Let \( w' = (w'_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) be the weight defined by \( w'_n = s_n/n \), and let \((s'_n)_{n=1}^\infty\) be its primitive sequence. Since \((s_n)_{n=1}^\infty\) has the LRP, \( s_n \approx s'_n \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Consequently,
\[
\|f\|_{d_1\cdot, q(w)} \approx \|f\|_{d_1\cdot, q(w')} \quad \text{for} \quad f \in c_0 \quad \text{(see, e.g., [3, §9.2])}.
\]
Since \( w'_n/s'_n \approx 1/n \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the desired equivalence of quasi-norms holds. To obtain the equivalence for \( H_m[w] \), we apply the equivalence between quasi-norms with \( q = 1 \) and
\[
a_n = \begin{cases} 
1/s_n & \text{if} \ n \leq m, \\
0 & \text{if} \ n > m,
\end{cases}
\]
where \( m \) runs over \( \mathbb{N} \). \( \square \)

We conclude this preliminary section with another equivalence for the quasi-norms of weighted Lorentz sequence spaces.

**Lemma 2.8** (see [3, equation (8.3)]). Let \( w \) be a weight whose primitive sequence \((s_n)_{n=1}^\infty\) is doubling, and let \( 0 < q < \infty \). Then
\[
\|f\|_{d_1\cdot, q(w)} \approx \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^q (s_q^n - s_q^{n-1}) \right)^{1/q}
\]
for every \( f \in c_0 \) with non-increasing rearrangement \((a_n)_{n=1}^\infty\).

### 3. Fourier coefficients of functions in \( L_2(\mathbb{T}, |t|^\lambda \, dt), \ |\lambda| < 1 \)

With the aid of proposition 2.1, we make our first move toward the proof of theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \). Then,
\[
J_B[T, H^-_{-\alpha}] = [2, \infty] \quad \text{and} \quad J_H[T, H_{\alpha}] = [1, 2].
\]

**Proof.** By proposition 2.1 (or proposition 2.2), it suffices to prove that \( T \) is a 2-Besselian basis of \( H^-_{-\alpha} \), and a 2-Hilbertian basis of \( H_{\alpha} \). Taking into account
Lemma 3.2 (see [16, lemma 3.7]). Let \(-1 < \lambda < 1\). Then
\[
\|D_m\|_{H_\lambda} \approx m^{(1-\lambda)/2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

As a matter of fact, lemma 3.2 provides valuable information on the Besselian and Hilbertian intervals of the trigonometric system in \(H_\lambda\). Recall that, given \(0 \leq \alpha < 1\), \(q_\alpha = 2/(1 + \alpha)\) and \(r_\alpha = 2/(1 - \alpha)\).

Lemma 3.3. Let \(0 \leq \alpha < 1\). Then,
\[
J_B[T, H_\alpha] \subseteq [r_\alpha, \infty] \quad \text{and} \quad J_H[T, H_{-\alpha}] \subseteq [1, q_\alpha].
\]

Proof. Pick \(s \in [1, \infty]\) and suppose that \(T\) is a \(s\)-Besselian basis of \(H_\alpha\) (resp., a \(s\)-Hilbertian basis of \(H_{-\alpha}\)). Then,
\[
\sup_m \frac{\|\sum_{n=-m}^{m} e_n\|_s}{\|D_m\|_{H_\alpha}} < \infty \quad \text{(resp., } \sup_m \frac{\|D_m\|_{H_{-\alpha}}}{\|\sum_{n=-m}^{m} e_n\|_s} < \infty).\]

Since \(\|\sum_{n=-m}^{m} e_n\|_s \approx m^{1/s}\) for \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), we infer from lemma 3.2 that \(1/s \leq (1 - \alpha)/2\) (resp., \((1 + \alpha)/2 \leq 1/s\)). Hence, \(r_\alpha \leq s\) (resp., \(s \leq q_\alpha\)). \(\square\)

To help the reader to grasp the issue of the optimality of lemma 3.3, we note that combining the embedding
\[
H_\alpha \subseteq L_q([-1/2, 1/2]), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad 1 \leq q < q_\alpha,
\]
which follows from Hölder’s inequality, with Hausdorff–Young inequality, and taking into account that \(r_\alpha\) and \(q_\alpha\) are conjugate exponents, yields \((r_\alpha, \infty) \subseteq J_B[T, H_\alpha]\).

So, only whether the trigonometric system is a \(r_\alpha\)-Besselian basis of \(H_\alpha\) is in doubt. To answer this question, we need to introduce some terminology. Let \(E = \{a \in \mathbb{F} \colon |a| = 1\}\). The fundamental function of a basis \(X = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) of a Banach space \(X\) is defined as
\[
\varphi_m[X, X] = \sup \left\{ \|1_{\varepsilon, A}[X]\| \colon \varepsilon \in E^A, \ |A| \leq m \right\},
\]
where, for \(A \subseteq \mathbb{N}\) finite and scalars \(\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \in A} \in E^A\),
\[
1_{\varepsilon, A}[X, X] = \sum_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n x_n.
\]

Theorem 3.4 below is the last step on our route toward proving theorem 1.3.
Theorem 3.4. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$.

(i) The Fourier coefficient transform

$$f \mapsto \hat{f} = \left( \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(t) e^{-2\pi i n t} \, dt \right)_{n=\infty}^\infty$$

is a bounded operator from $H_\alpha$ into $\ell_{r,\alpha}$.

(ii) The Fourier series transform

$$(a_n)_{n=-\infty}^\infty \mapsto \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty a_n \tau_n$$

is a bounded operator from $\ell_{q,\alpha}$ into $H_{-\alpha}$.

Proof. Let $-1 < \lambda < 1$. We have $H^*_\lambda = H_{-\lambda}$ via the dual pairing

$$(f, g) \mapsto \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(t) g(t) \, dt, \quad f \in H_\lambda, \ g \in H_{-\lambda}.$$ 

Moreover, $T$, regarded as a basis of $H_{-\lambda}$, is the dual basis of the own system $T$ regarded as a basis of $H_\lambda$. Consequently, by duality, it suffices to prove (ii). To that end, we pick $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Given $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ we set

$$J_n[A] = \{1 + |n - k| : k \in A\}, \quad n \in A.$$ 

Since, for some constant $C_{\beta}$,

$$|\widehat{w}_{-\beta}(n)| \leq \frac{C_{\beta}}{(1 + |n|)^{1-\beta}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.1)$$

(see [16, lemma A.2]), for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, every $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ with $|A| \leq m$, and every $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \in A} \in \ell^A$ we have

$$\|1_{\varepsilon,A}[T,H_{-\beta}]\|_2^2 = \sum_{(n,k) \in A^2} \varepsilon_n \overline{\varepsilon_k} \hat{w}_{-\beta}(k - n)$$

$$\leq C_{\beta} \sum_{n \in A} \sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{(1 + |n - k|)^{1-\beta}}$$

$$\leq 2C_{\beta} \sum_{n \in A} \sum_{j \in J_n[A]} \frac{1}{j^{1-\beta}}$$

$$\leq 2C_{\beta} m \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{j^{1-\beta}}$$

$$\leq \frac{2C_{\beta}}{\beta} m^{1+\beta}.$$
We have obtained

\[ \varphi_m[T, H_{-\beta}] \leq \sqrt{\frac{2C_\beta}{\beta}} m^{1/q_\beta}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Therefore, by [11, theorem 1.2], the Fourier series transform is a bounded operator from \( \ell_{q_\beta,1} \) into \( H_{-\beta} \).

By orthogonality, the Fourier series transform is a bounded operator from \( \ell_2 \) into \( H_0 \). Pick \( 0 < \theta < 1 \). By interpolation (by means of the real method) the Fourier series transform is a bounded operator from \( \ell_{q_\beta,2} \) into \( H_{-\gamma} \), where

\[ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta}{q_\beta} + \frac{1 - \theta}{2}, \quad \gamma = \theta \beta. \]

(see [10, theorems 5.3.1 and 5.4.1]). If we choose \( \theta = \alpha/\beta \), then \( \gamma = \alpha \) and

\[ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha(1 + \beta)}{2\beta} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\beta} = \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}, \]

that is, \( q = q_\alpha \).

\[ \square \]

**Proof of theorem 1.3.** By lemma 2.3 and the identities (1.1) and (1.2), it suffices to prove the assertions involving the trigonometric system \( T \). Since \( \ell_p \subseteq \ell_{p,2} \) for \( p \leq 2 \), and \( \ell_{p,2} \subseteq \ell_p \) for \( p \geq 2 \), theorem 3.4 gives that \( r_\alpha \in J_B[T, H_\alpha] \) and \( q_\alpha \in J_H[T, H_{-\alpha}] \). In light of lemmas 3.3 and 3.1, the proof is over. \( \square \)

Theorem 1.3 says, in particular, that \( q_\alpha \) is the optimal index \( q \) such that the trigonometric system in \( H_{-\alpha} \) if \( q \)-Hilbertian, and \( r_\alpha \) is the optimal index \( r \) such that the trigonometric system in \( H_\alpha \) if \( r \)-Besselian. What remains of this section is devoted to proving that the estimates obtained in theorem 3.4 are also optimal in the ‘secondary’ index. To that end, we need to compute, up to equivalence, the Fourier coefficients of the power weight \( w_\lambda \), \( -1 < \lambda < 0 \).

**Lemma 3.5 (cf. equation (3.1)).** Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \). Then,

\[ \hat{w}_{-\alpha}(n) \approx \frac{1}{(1 + |n|)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \]

**Proof.** Pick \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). We have \( \hat{w}_{-\alpha}(-n) = \hat{w}_{-\alpha}(n) \) and

\[ \hat{w}_{-\alpha}(n) = 2 \int_0^{1/2} \frac{\cos(2\pi nt)}{t^\alpha} \, dt = \frac{2^\alpha}{n^{1-\alpha}} A_n, \]

where

\[ A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{1/2} \frac{\cos(2\pi nt)}{t^\alpha} \, dt. \]
where \( A_n = \int_0^n \cos(\pi x) x^{-\alpha} \, dx \). Set \( G(k, x) = (k + x - 1)^{-\alpha} - (k - x)^{-\alpha} \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 0 < x < 1/2 \). We have

\[
A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{k-1}^k \frac{\cos(\pi x)}{x^{\alpha}} \, dx \\
= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(\pi x)}{(k-1+x)^{\alpha}} \, dx \\
= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^{1/2} G(k, x) \cos(\pi x) \, dx.
\]

Since \( (G(k, x))_{k=1}^\infty \) is nonnegative and nonincreasing for each \( x \in (0, 1/2) \), an application of Leibniz test for alternating series gives that there exists \( \lim_n A_n \in (0, \infty) \), and that \( A_n > 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Remark 3.6.** The precise value of \( A(\alpha) := \lim_n A_n \), where \( (A_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) is as in the proof of lemma 3.5, is known. Namely,

\[
A(\alpha) = \pi^{\alpha-1} \cos\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)\pi}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-\alpha), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,
\]

(see [29, §2, equation (3.10)]).

**Proposition 3.7.** Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), \( 0 < p < \infty \), and \( 0 < q \leq \infty \).

(i) *The Fourier coefficient transform is a bounded operator from \( H_\alpha \) into \( \ell_{p,s} \) if and only if \( p > r_\alpha \), or \( p = r_\alpha \) and \( s \geq 2 \).*

(ii) *The Fourier series transform is a bounded operator from \( \ell_{p,s} \) into \( H_{-\alpha} \) if and only if \( p < q_\alpha \), or \( p = q_\alpha \) and \( s \leq 2 \).*

**Proof.** Since \( \ell_{p_1,s_1} \subseteq \ell_{p_2,s_2} \) if \( p_1 < p_2 \), or \( p_1 = p_2 \) and \( s_1 \leq s_2 \), in light of theorem 3.4 it suffices to prove that

- if the coefficient transform is bounded from \( H_\alpha \) into \( \ell_{r_\alpha,s} \), then \( s \geq 2 \); and that
- if Fourier series transform is a bounded operator from \( \ell_{q_\alpha,s} \) into \( H_{-\alpha} \), then \( s \leq 2 \).

Since the former assertion can be deduced from the latter by duality, it suffices to prove the latter one.

Let \( (f_m)_{m=1}^\infty \) be the sequence of trigonometric polynomials defined by

\[
f_m(t) = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n^{1/q_\alpha}} e^{2\pi i nt}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Fourier coefficients in power-weighted $L_2$-spaces

By lemma 3.5, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|f_m\|_{H_{-\alpha}}^2 = \sum_{1 \leq n, k \leq m} \hat{w}_{-\alpha}(k-n) / (nk)^{(1+\alpha)/2} \approx \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(1 + n - k)^{\alpha-1}}{(nk)^{(1+\alpha)/2}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{D_n}{n^{(1+\alpha)/2}},$$

where

$$D_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{(1+\alpha)/2}} \frac{1}{(1 + n - k)^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Set

$$B_n = \int_{0}^{n} \frac{dx}{x^{(1+\alpha)/2} (n-x)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$R_n = -\frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{n^{(1+\alpha)/2}} + \frac{2/(1 - \alpha)}{(n-1)^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{1/\alpha}{(n-1)^{(1+\alpha)/2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \, n \geq 2.$$

We have

$$B_n = \frac{\beta((1-\alpha)/2, \alpha)}{n^{(1-\alpha)/2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$D_n \leq B_n \leq D_n + R_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \, n \geq 2,$$

and

$$0 = \lim_{n} n^{(1-\alpha)/2} R_n.$$

We infer that $D_n \approx n^{-(1-\alpha)/2}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$\|f_m\|_{H_{-\alpha}} \approx H_{-\alpha}^{1/2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

Suppose that the Fourier series transform is a bounded operator from $\ell_{q_{\alpha}, s}$ into $H_{-\alpha}$. Combining (3.2) with (2.5) gives $s \leq 2$. \hfill $\square$

4. Conditionality parameters of Schauder bases

The trigonometric system $\mathcal{T}$, regarded as a sequence in $H_\lambda$, $0 < |\lambda| < 1$, is the first example of a conditional Schauder basis of a Hilbert space arisen in the literature (see [17]). In hindsight, that $\mathcal{T}$ is not unconditional can be deduced from combining the papers [22], where it is proved that every semi-normalized unconditional basis of $\ell_2$ is equivalent to its unit vector system, and [1], where it is proved that $\mathcal{T}$, regarded as a sequence in $H_\lambda$, is not equivalent to the unit vector system of $\ell_2$. Notice that the last-mentioned result can be deduced from theorem 1.3, and also from lemma 3.2. So, it shouldn’t be surprising that theorem 1.3 enables us to move forward with the theory of conditional bases.
Theorem 4.1. For each \( 1 < q \leq 2 \leq r < \infty \) there is a \( q \)-Hilbertian \( r \)-Besselian Schauder basis \( \mathcal{X} \) of \( \ell_2 \) with

\[
\tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{X}, \ell_2] \approx \tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{X}, \ell_2] \approx m^{1/q - 1/r}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Moreover, \( \mathcal{X} \) is not \( \ell_{q_1} \)-Hilbertian for any \( q_1 > q \) nor \( \ell_{r_1} \)-Besselian for any \( r_1 < r \).

Proof. Pick \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) with \( r_\alpha = r \), and \( 0 < \beta < 1 \) with \( q_\beta = q \). By theorem 1.3, lemma 2.3 and lemma 2.4, the rotated system \( \mathcal{X} := T^\mathbb{R} \circ T^\mathbb{R} \) is a \( q \)-Hilbertian and \( r \)-Besselian Schauder basis of the Hilbert space \( H := H_{-\beta} \oplus H_\alpha \) with

\[
2\tilde{k}_{2m}[\mathcal{X}, H] \geq d_m := \tilde{d}_m[T^\mathbb{R}, H_{-\beta}, T^\mathbb{R}, H_\alpha], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

In turn, by lemma 3.2,

\[
d_{2m+1} \geq \frac{\|D_m\|_{H_{-\beta}}}{\|D_m\|_{H_\alpha}} \approx m^{(1+\beta)/2 - (1-\alpha)/2} = m^{1/q - 1/r}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

We infer that \( \tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{X}] \geq m^{1/q - 1/r} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). In light of (2.4), an application of corollary 2.6 puts an end to the proof. \( \square \)

We will derive theorem 1.4 from the first of the two consequences of theorem 4.1 that we record below. The second one will be used in § 5.

Corollary 4.2. Let \( \mathbb{X} \) be a Banach space with a Schauder basis \( \mathcal{B} \), and let \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \). Suppose that \( k_m[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}] \lesssim m^{\alpha} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), and that \( \mathbb{X} \) contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to \( \ell_p \) for some \( 1 < p < \infty \). Then, for each \( \alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1) \), there is a Schauder basis \( \mathcal{X} \) of \( \mathbb{X} \) with \( k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] \approx m^\alpha \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \).

Corollary 4.3. Let \( \mathbb{X} \) be a Banach space with a Schauder basis \( \mathcal{B} \), and let \( 1 < p < \infty \). Let \( 1 < \omega \leq \min\{2, p\} \) and \( \max\{2, p\} \leq \omega < \infty \). Suppose that

- \( \mathcal{B} \) is \( q_0 \)-Hilbertian and \( r_\omega \)-Besselian,
- \( k_m[\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{X}] \lesssim m^{1/q_0 - 1/\omega} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), and
- \( \mathbb{X} \) contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to \( \ell_p \).

Then, for each \( 1 < q \leq \omega \) and each \( \omega \leq r < \infty \), there is a Schauder basis \( \mathcal{X} \) of \( \mathbb{X} \) such that

- (i) \( k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] \approx \tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] \approx m^{1/q - 1/r} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \),
- (ii) \( \mathcal{X} \) is \( q \)-Hilbertian \( r \)-Besselian, and
- (iii) \( \mathcal{X} \) is not \( \ell_{q_1} \)-Hilbertian for any \( q_1 > q \) nor \( \ell_{r_1} \)-Besselian for any \( r_1 < r \).

Before proceeding with the proof of these results, it will be convenient to introduce some notation. Given a sequence \( \mathcal{X} = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) in a Banach space \( \mathbb{X} \) we
set
\[ \mathcal{X}^{(m)} = (x_n)_{n=1}^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \]

If \((X_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\) are Banach spaces, we denote by \(L_j\) the canonical embedding of \(X_j\) into \(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j\), \(j \in \mathbb{N}\). For each \(j \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(X_j = (x_{j,n})_{n=1}^{m_j}\) be a finite family in the Banach space \(X_j\). We denote by \(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j = (y_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}\) the natural arrangement of the family
\[ \bar{x}_{j,n} := L_j(x_{j,n}), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \ 1 \leq n \leq m_j, \]
that is, \(y_k = \bar{x}_{j,n}\) if \(k = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i + n\). Given a Schauder basis \(X\) and a sequence \(m = (m_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\) in \(\mathbb{N}\) we set
\[ \mathcal{X}^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}^{(m_j)}. \]

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \(X = (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\) be a Schauder basis of a Banach space \(X\), \(m = (m_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\) be a sequence in \(\mathbb{N}\), and \(U\) be a sequence space. Set
\[ \mathcal{Y} := \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} [x_n : 1 \leq n \leq m_j] \right)_{U}. \]

(i) \(\mathcal{X}^{(m)}\) is a Schauder basis of \(\mathcal{Y}\).

(ii) If \(m\) is unbounded, then \(k_m[\mathcal{X}^{(m)}, \mathcal{Y}] = k_m[X, X]\).

(iii) Let \(1 \leq q \leq \infty\). Suppose that both \(X\) and the unit vector system of \(U\) are \(q\)-Hilbertian (resp., \(q\)-Besselian). Then, \(\mathcal{X}^{(m)}\) is \(q\)-Hilbertian (resp., \(q\)-Besselian) regarded as a basis of \(\mathcal{Y}\).

(iv) Suppose that \(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i \leq m_j\) for \(j \in \mathbb{N}\). Let \(\delta : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)\) be a doubling function such that \(\tilde{k}_m[X, X] \geq \delta(m)\) for \(m \in \mathbb{N}\). Then, \(\tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{X}^{(m)}, \mathcal{Y}] \geq \delta(m)\) for \(m \in \mathbb{N}\).

**Proof.** Parts (i) and (ii) are straightforward, and (iii) follows from the natural isometry between \((\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \ell_q^{m_j})_{\ell}\) and \(\ell_q\). To prove (iv), proceed as in the proof of [4, lemma 2.3]. \(\square\)

**Proof of corollaries 4.2 and 4.3.** To prove corollary 4.2, we choose \(1 < q < 2 \leq r < \infty\) such that \(\alpha = 1/q - 1/r\). Then, both to prove corollary 4.2 and corollary 4.3, we consider the Schauder basis \(X_{q,r}\) of \(\ell_2\) provided by theorem 4.1. For each \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(H_m\) be the subspace of \(\ell_2\) spanned by \(X_{q,r}^{(m)}\). Set \(m = (2^j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\). By (2.3), and (2.4), lemma 4.4 and corollary 2.6, the sequence \(B \oplus \mathcal{X}^{(m)}\) is a Schauder basis of
\[ \mathcal{Y} := \mathbb{X} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{2^n} \right)_p \text{ satisfying the desired properties. We have} \]
\[ \left( \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{2^n} \right)_p \equiv \left( \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^{2^n} \right)_p \simeq \ell_p \]
(see \[26\], proof of theorem 7). In turn, since \( \ell_p \) is isomorphic to its square, \( \mathbb{X} \oplus \ell_p \simeq \mathbb{X} \). We infer that \( \mathcal{Y} \simeq \mathbb{X} \). \( \square \)

**Proof of theorem 1.4.** Apply corollary 4.2 in the case where \( \mathbb{X} \) is \( \ell_p \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) is its unit vector system, so that \( \alpha_0 = 0 \). \( \square \)

5. **Conditionality parameters of almost greedy bases**

Let us draw reader’s attention to the existence of an almost greedy counterpart of theorem 1.1. Namely, the authors of \[8\] proved the following result.

**Theorem 5.1** (see \[8\], theorems 1.1 and 3.16). Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be an almost greedy basis of a superreflexive Banach space, and let \( \mathbf{w} \) be the weight whose primitive sequence is the fundamental function \( (\varphi_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \) of \( \mathcal{X} \). Then, there are \( 1 < r \leq s < \infty \) such that \( \mathcal{X} \) is \( d_{1,r}(\mathbf{w}) \)-Hilbertian and \( d_{1,s}(\mathbf{w}) \)-Besselian. Moreover, for every \( 1 < t < \infty \), \( d_{1,t}(\mathbf{w}) \) is a superreflexive Banach space.

In light of theorem 5.1, lemma 2.5 and equation (2.7), looking for embeddings involving Lorentz sequence spaces is a reasonable way of obtaining upper estimates for the conditionality parameters of almost greedy bases. Here, we focus on almost greedy bases arising from the so-called **DKK-method** invented in \[12\].

Let \( (\mathbb{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}}) \) be a Banach space with a semi-normalized Schauder basis \( \mathcal{X} = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \), and let \( (\mathbb{S}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{S}}) \) be a symmetric or, more generally, subsymmetric sequence space. Let \( (\Lambda_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \) be the fundamental function of the unit vector system of \( \mathbb{S} \), that is,

\[ \Lambda_m = \| \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j \|_{\mathbb{S}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Let \( \sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be an **ordered partition** of \( \mathbb{N} \), i.e., a partition into integer intervals with

\[ \max(\sigma_n) < \min(\sigma_{n+1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

The **averaging projection** \( P_\sigma : \mathbb{F}^\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{F}^\mathbb{N} \) associated with the ordered partition \( \sigma \) can be expressed as

\[ P_\sigma(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle v_n^*, f \rangle v_n, \]

where

\[ v_n = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{|\sigma_n|}} \sum_{j \in \sigma_n} e_j, \quad v_n^* = \frac{\Lambda_{|\sigma_n|}}{|\sigma_n|} \sum_{j \in \sigma_n} e_j, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5.1) \]
and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural dual pairing defined by

$$
\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n, \quad f = (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad g = (b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad fg \in \ell_1.
$$

Let $Q_\sigma = \text{Id}_{\ell_1^{\mathbb{N}}} - P_\sigma$ be the complementary projection. We define $\| \cdot \|_{X, S, \sigma}$ on $c_{00}$ by

$$
\| f \|_{X, S, \sigma} = \| Q_\sigma(f) \|_S + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^*(f) x_n \right\|_X, \quad f \in c_{00}.
$$

The completion of the normed space $(c_{00}, \| \cdot \|_{X, S, \sigma})$ will be denoted by $Y[X, S, \sigma]$. This method for building Banach spaces was invented in [12]. The authors of [4] delved into its study and named it the DKK-method. For the purposes of this paper, it will be necessary to achieve some new properties of the unit vector system of $Y[X, S, \sigma]$. To properly enunciate them, we introduce some terminology. Let us regard the functionals on $S$ as sequences acting on $S$ through the natural dual pairing. With this convention, we have $c_{00} \subseteq S^* \subseteq \ell_1$, and the closed subspace of $S^*$ spanned by $c_{00}$ is a subsymmetric sequence space that we denote by $S^*_0$. Let $(\Gamma_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be the fundamental function of the unit vector system of $S^*_0$, that is,

$$
\Gamma_m = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j \right\|_{S^*}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

By [24, proposition 3.a.6],

$$
\frac{m}{\Lambda_m} = c_m \Gamma_m, \quad 1 \leq c_m \leq 2, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.2)
$$

Recall that the dual basis $Y^*$ of a Schauder basis $Y$ of a Banach space $Y$ is a Schauder basis of the Banach space it spans in $Y^*$, and $Y^{**}$ is equivalent to $Y$ (see [7, corollary 3.2.4]). In particular, we have $(S^*_0)_0 = S$ up to an equivalent norm.

Note also that the operator $P_\sigma$ is self-adjoint, i.e.,

$$
\langle f, P_\sigma(g) \rangle = \langle P_\sigma(f), g \rangle, \quad f, g \in \ell_1^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad fg \in \ell_1.
$$

Consequently, $Q_\sigma$ also is self-adjoint.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $X$ be a Schauder basis of a Banach space $X$, $S$ be a subsymmetric sequence space, and $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an ordered partition of $\mathbb{N}$. Then, the unit vector system $E$ is a Schauder basis of $Y[X, S, \sigma]$ whose dual basis is equivalent to the unit vector system of $Y[B, S^*_0, \sigma]$, where $B$ is a suitable perturbation of $X^* = (x_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, that is, $B = (c_n x_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for some semi-normalized sequence $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $(0, \infty)$. 
Proof. By [4, theorem 3.6], the mapping 
\[(g, x) \mapsto g + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n(x) v_n, \quad g \in c_{00} \cap Q_\sigma(\mathbb{S}), \quad x \in X, \quad |\text{supp}(x)| < \infty.\]
defines an isomorphism from \(Q_\sigma(\mathbb{S}) \oplus X\) onto \(Y[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}, \sigma]\). In turn, since \(P_\sigma\) and \(Q_\sigma\) are complementary linear bounded projections on \(\mathbb{S}\) (see [24, proposition 3.4.1]), the map 
\[u^* \mapsto (u^*(Q_\sigma(e_n)))_{n=1}^{\infty}\]
defines an isomorphism from \((Q_\sigma(\mathbb{S}))^*\) onto
\[U := \{f \in \mathbb{S}^* : P_\sigma(f) = 0\}.\]

We infer that, if we regard the functionals in \((Y[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}, \sigma])^*\) as sequences acting on \(Y[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}, \sigma]\) by means of the natural dual pairing \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, the mapping
\[f \mapsto T(f) := \left(\langle (f, Q_\sigma(e_n)) \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, v_n \rangle x_n^* \right) \tag{5.3}\]
defines an isomorphism from \((Y[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}, \sigma])^*\) onto \(U \times X^*\). In (5.3), we use the convention that \(x^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mathbf{x}_n^*\) means that \(x^* \in X^*\) and \((a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{F}^N\) satisfy \(x^*(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mathbf{x}_n^*(x)\) for every \(x \in X\) finitely supported.

Since \(Q_\sigma\) is self-adjoint,
\[\langle (f, Q_\sigma(e_n)) \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty} = \langle (Q_\sigma(f), e_n) \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty} = Q_\sigma(f), \quad f \in \mathbb{F}^N.\]

If \((u_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) are the vectors defined as in (5.1) corresponding to the subsymmetric space \(\mathbb{S}_0^*\), and \((c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is as in (5.2), then \(v_n = c_n u_n^*\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Summing up, we have 
\[T(f) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u_n^*, f \rangle c_n x_n^* \right), \quad f \in (Y[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}, \sigma])^*.\]

Let \(X_0^*\) denote the subspace of \(X^*\) spanned by \(\mathcal{X}^*\). Applying [4, theorem 3.6] with the Schauder basis \(\mathcal{B} = (c_n x_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) of \(X_0^*\), the subsymmetric sequence space \(\mathbb{S}_0^*\), and the partition \(\sigma\) gives that the mapping
\[f \mapsto \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u_n^*, f \rangle c_n x_n^* \right), \quad f \in c_{00},\]
extend to an isomorphism from \(Y[\mathcal{B}^*, \mathbb{S}_0^*, \sigma]\) onto \(Q_\sigma(\mathbb{S}_0^*) \oplus X_0^*\). We infer that \(Y[\mathcal{B}^*, \mathbb{S}_0^*, \sigma]\) is, up to an equivalent norm, the closed subspace of \((Y[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{S}, \sigma])^*\) spanned by \(c_{00}\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 5.3.** Suppose that a sequence space \(\mathbb{U}\) satisfies an upper \(q\)-estimate, \(1 \leq q < \infty\), and let \((\varphi_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}\) denote the fundamental function of its unit vector system. Then, \((\varphi_m^q/m)_{m=1}^{\infty}\) is essentially decreasing.
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Proof. We have
\[ \varphi_{km} \leq C k^{1/q} \varphi_m, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{N}. \]
where $C$ is upper $q$-estimate constant of $U$. Given $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$, we pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $km < n \leq (k + 1)m$. Then,
\[ \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \frac{\varphi_{(k+1)m}}{km} \leq C_q \frac{k+1}{k} \frac{\varphi_m}{m} \leq 2C_q \frac{\varphi_m}{m}. \]

Besides the LRP, we will use the upper regularity property (URP for short) of sequences of positive scalars. We say that a sequence $(s_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ has the URP for short if there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
\[ s_{rm} \leq \frac{1}{2} rs_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \]

**Lemma 5.4.** Suppose that a sequence space $U$ satisfies an upper $q$-estimate for some $q > 1$ (resp., a lower $r$-estimate for some $r < \infty$). Suppose also that the unit vector system of $U$ is a democratic basis. Then, its fundamental function has the URP (resp., the LRP).

Proof. Just combine [25, propositions 1.f.3 and 1.f.7] with [13, proposition 4.1].

**Lemma 5.5.** Suppose that a sequence space $U$ satisfies an upper $q$-estimate, $1 \leq q < \infty$, and let $w$ be the weight whose primitive sequence is the fundamental function of the unit vector system of $U$. Then, $d_{1,q}(w) \subseteq U$ continuously.

Proof. Pick $f = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_{00}$. Put $t = \max_n |a_n|$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the set
\[ E_k = \{ n \in \mathbb{N}: t2^{-k} < |a_n| \leq t2^{-k+1} \}. \]
Notice that $(E_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a partition of $\{ n \in \mathbb{N}: a_n \neq 0 \}$. Set $n_k = |E_k|$ ($n_0 = 0$) and $m_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j$, so that, if $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is the non-increasing rearrangement of $f$,
\[ \{ n \in \mathbb{N}: t2^{-k} < b_n \leq t2^{-k+1} \} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N}: 1 + m_{k-1} \leq n \leq m_k \}. \]
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $s_n = \varphi_n[\mathcal{E}, U]$ and $w_n' = s_n' - s_{n-1}'$. Let $C$ be the upper $C$-estimate constant of $U$. Using Abel’s summation formula gives
\[
\| f \|^q = \| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{n \in E_k} a_n e_n \|^q \\
\leq C^q \sum_{k=1}^\infty \| \sum_{n \in E_k} a_n e_n \|^q
\]
\[ C^q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (t2^{-k+1}s_{m_k})^q \leq (2tC)^q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kq} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (s_{m_j}^q - s_{m_{j-1}}^q) \]

\[ = \frac{(2tC)^q}{1 - 2^{-q}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-jq}(s_{m_j}^q - s_{m_{j-1}}^q) \]

\[ = \frac{(4C)^q}{2^q - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1+m_{j-1}}^{m_j} (t2^{-j})^q(s_{n}^q - s_{n-1}^q) \]

\[ \leq \frac{(4C)^q}{2^q - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^q(s_{n}^q - s_{n-1}^q). \]

Applying lemma 2.8 puts an end to the proof. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.6.** Let \( X \) be a Schauder basis of a Banach space \( X \), \( S \) be a subsymmetric sequence space, and \( \sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be an ordered partition of \( \mathbb{N} \) with

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\sigma_n| \leq D|\sigma_m|, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \]

for some \( D \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( 1 < q < \infty \). Suppose that \( S \) satisfies an upper \( q \)-estimate, that \( X \) \( q \)-Hilbertian, and that \( (\Lambda_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \) has the LRP. Then, the unit vector system of \( \mathbb{Y}[X,S,\sigma] \) is \( d_{1,q}(w) \)-Hilbertian, that is,

\[ d_{1,q}(w) \subseteq \mathbb{Y}[X,S,\sigma] \]

continuously, where \( w = (\Lambda_n - \Lambda_{n-1})_{n=1}^{\infty} \).

**Proof.** Taking into account that \( Q_\sigma \) is bounded on \( S \), we infer from lemma 5.5 that there is a constant \( C_0 \) such that

\[ \|Q_\sigma(f)\|_S \leq C_0\|f\|_{d_{1,q}(w)}, \quad f \in c_0. \]

By lemma 5.3, there is a constant \( C_1 \) such that

\[ \frac{1}{C_1} \frac{\Lambda_n^q}{n} \leq T_m := \inf_{j \leq m} \frac{\Lambda_j^q}{j}, \quad n \geq m. \]

Therefore, if \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( j \in \sigma_n \),

\[ \frac{\Lambda_{|\sigma_n|}^q}{|\sigma_n|} \leq (D + 1) \frac{\Lambda_j^q(D+1)|\sigma_n|}{(D+1)|\sigma_n|} \leq (D + 1)C_1T_j. \]

By lemma 2.7, there is a constant \( C_2 \) such that

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_q^j}{j} b_j^q \leq C_2\|f\|_{d_{1,q}(w)}^q, \quad (b_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{ non-increasing rearrangement of } f. \]
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Let $C_3$ be the norm of the series transform with respect to $X$, regarded as an operator from $\ell_q$ into $X$. Pick $f = (a_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in c_0$, and let $(b_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be its non-increasing rearrangement. Using Hölder’s inequality and the rearrangement inequality we obtain

$$
\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle v_n^*, f \rangle x_n \|^q \leq C_3^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle v_n^*, f \rangle|^q
$$

$$
\leq \Lambda_n^q \frac{|\sigma_n|}{|\sigma_n|} \sum_{j \in \sigma_n} |a_j|^q
$$

$$
\leq (D + 1) C_1^q C_3^q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j |a_j|^q
$$

$$
\leq (D + 1) C_1^q C_3^q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j b_j^q
$$

$$
\leq (D + 1) C_1^q C_3^q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^q b_j^q
$$

$$
\leq (D + 1) C_1^q C_3^q C_2^q \|f\|_{d_{1,q}(w)}^q.
$$

Summing up, we obtain $\|f\|_{\mathcal{Y}[X, S, \sigma]} \leq C \|f\|_{d_{1,q}(w)}$, where

$$
C = C_0 + (D + 1)^{1/q} C_1 C_2 C_3.
$$

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Schauder basis of a Banach space $X$, $S$ be a subsymmetric sequence space, and $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an ordered partition of $\mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\sigma_n| \lesssim |\sigma_m|, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

(5.4)

Let $1 < r < \infty$. Suppose that $S$ satisfies a lower $r$-estimate, that $\mathcal{X}$ is $r$-Besselian, and that $(\Lambda_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ has the URP. Then, the unit vector system of $\mathcal{Y}[\mathcal{X}, S, \sigma]$ is $d_{1,r}(w)$-Besselian, that is,

$$
\mathcal{Y}[\mathcal{X}, S, \sigma] \subseteq d_{1,r}(w)
$$

continuously, where $w = (\Lambda_n - \Lambda_{n-1})_{n=1}^\infty$.

**Proof.** Let $w^*$ be the weight whose primitive sequence is $(\Gamma_m)_{m=1}^\infty$. By [25, proposition 1.f.r], $S_0^*$ satisfies an upper $r'$-estimate. In turn, by duality, $\mathcal{X}^*$ is an $r'$-Hilbertian. Hence, any perturbation $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{X}^*$ is $r'$-Hilbertian. An application of proposition 5.6 gives

$$
d_{1,r'}(w^*) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}[\mathcal{Y}, S_0^*, \sigma].
$$

(5.5)

By lemma 5.4, $(\Lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ has the LRP. Consequently, by [8, theorem 3.10] and equation (5.2), $d_{1,r}(w^*)$ is, via the natural dual pairing, and up to an equivalent
norm, the dual space of $d_{1,r}(w)$. In turn, by proposition 5.2, we can choose $\mathcal{Y}$ so that $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{S}_0,\sigma)$ is, via the natural dual pairing, and up to an equivalent norm, the dual space of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{S},\sigma)$. Therefore, dualizing (5.5) yields the desired embedding. □

Our next result must be regarded as a paradigm to prove the existence of almost greedy bases whose conditionality parameters grow as a given sequence.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach space with a Schauder basis $\mathcal{B}$, Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subsymmetric sequence space, and let $1 < q \leq r < \infty$. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is both $q$-Hilbertian and $r$-Besselian, and that $\tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{X}] \gtrsim m^{1/q-1/r}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose also that $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies an upper $q$-estimate and a lower $r$-estimate, and that it is complemented in $\mathcal{X}$. Let $w$ be a weight whose primitive sequence is equivalent to the fundamental function $(\Lambda_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Then, $\mathcal{X}$ has an almost greedy basis $\mathcal{X}$ such that

(i) $\varphi[\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}](m) \approx \Lambda_m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) $k_m[\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}] \approx \tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}] \approx (\log m)^{1/q-1/r}$ for $m \geq 2$,

(iii) $\mathcal{X}$ is $d_{1,q}(w)$-Hilbertian and $d_{1,r}(w)$-Besselian.

(iv) $\mathcal{X}$ is not $d_{1,q_1}(w)$-Hilbertian for any $q_1 > q$ nor $d_{1,r_1}(w)$-Besselian for any $r_1 < r$.

**Proof.** The unconditional basis $\mathcal{V} = (v_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $P_\sigma(\mathcal{S})$ is $q$-Hilbertian and $r$-Besselian. Therefore, by lemmas 2.3, 5.4, propositions 5.6 and 5.7,

$$d_{1,q}(w) \subseteq \mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{Y},\mathcal{S},\sigma) \subseteq d_{1,r}(w),$$

provided that the ordered partition $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies (5.4). Choose $\sigma$ so that

$$\log \left( \sum_{n=1}^m |\sigma_n| \right) \lesssim m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

also holds. Then, by (2.2) and [4, proposition 3.8 and theorem 3.17], the unit vector system is an almost greedy basis of $\mathcal{Y}$ with fundamental function equivalent to $\Lambda_m$ at $m = 1$, and we have

$$\tilde{k}_m[\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}] \gtrsim (\log m)^{1/q-1/r}, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Applying [4, theorem 3.6], and using that $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to its square, gives

$$\mathcal{Y} \simeq Q_\sigma(\mathcal{S}) \oplus \mathcal{X} \oplus P_\sigma(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{S} \simeq \mathcal{X}.$$

Combining equation (2.7), lemma 2.7 and corollary 2.6 puts an end to the proof. □

Theorem 5.9, of which theorem 1.5 is a simple consequence, lies within the line of research initiated by Konyagin and Telmyakov [23] of finding conditional quasi-greedy bases in general Banach spaces. This topic has evolved towards the more specific quest of finding quasi-greedy bases with suitable conditionality parameters. The reader will find a detailed account of this process in the papers [4–6, 12, 14, 15, 18, 28].
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**Theorem 5.9.** Let $X$ be a Banach space with a Schauder basis $B$, and let $1 < p < \infty$ be such that $X$ has a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\ell_p$. Let $1 < q_0 \leq \min\{2, p\}$ and $\max\{2, p\} \leq r_0 < \infty$. Suppose that $B$ is $q_0$-Hilbertian and $r_0$-Besselian, and that $k_m[X, X] \leq m^{1/q_0 - 1/r_0}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $X$ has, for any $1 < q \leq q_0$ and $r_0 \leq r < \infty$, an almost greedy basis $\mathcal{X}$ such that

(i) $\varphi[X, X](m) \approx m^{1/p}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) $k_m[X, X] \approx (\log m)^{1/q - 1/r}$ for $m \geq 2$,

(iii) $\mathcal{X}$ is $\ell_{p,q}(w)$-Hilbertian and $\ell_{p,r}(w)$-Besselian, and

(iv) $X$ is not $\ell_{p,q_1}$-Hilbertian for any $q_1 > q$ nor $\ell_{p,r_1}$-Besselian for any $r_1 < r$.

**Proof.** Just combine corollary 4.3 with lemma 5.8. \qed

**Proof of theorem 1.5.** We apply theorem 5.9 in the case where $X$ is $\ell_p$ and $B$ is its unit vector system, so that we can choose $q_0 = \min\{2, p\}$ and $r_0 = \max\{2, p\}$. If $\alpha_0 = 1/q_0 - 1/r_0$, then for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1)$ there are $1 < q \leq q_0$ and $r_0 \leq r < 1$ such that $1/q - 1/r = \alpha$. Since $\alpha_0 = |1/2 - 1/p|$, we are done. \qed
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