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Abstract.
We present preliminary results from Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) simulations of common envelope evolution. We qualitatively compare the interaction between a 0.9$M_\odot$ red giant with two different companion masses: a 0.05$M_\odot$ brown dwarf and a 0.25$M_\odot$ white dwarf companion.

1. Introduction
Most stars (60%) are part of binary or multiple systems. If the binary separation is small enough, the more massive star will eventually engulf the companion as it expands during its giant phase, and form a so-called common envelope (CE) system. Friction then transfers orbital energy and angular momentum into the envelope, which may eventually lead to ejection of the entire envelope. Most tight binary systems are believed to have been in a CE phase at one point in their life: high- and low-mass X-ray binaries, double degenerate white dwarf and neutron star binaries, cataclysmic variables and supersoft X-ray sources. Many stellar types – such as hydrogen deficient stars, early R-stars, or Wolf-Rayet type central stars of planetary nebulae for example – have also been proposed to be the result of a CE phase, as isolated stellar evolution models are not able to fully explain their properties (e.g. De Marco et al. 2003a; Izzard et al. 2007). New studies have even proposed that the majority of planetary nebulae may be the result of a CE interaction (Moe & De Marco 2006).

The concept of CE evolution has been around since the pioneering work of Paczynski (1976). Earlier simulation efforts (Rasio & Livio 1996; Sandquist et al. 1998) were restricted to high mass companions due to the low numerical resolution achievable at that time. Only a handful low-resolution simulations are available as of now, covering only a tiny fraction of the vast parameter space available. Only very recently, Ricker & Taam (2007) have restarted simulating these dynamic events at high resolution with the adaptive mesh refinement code FLASH, so far concentrating again on interactions with relatively high-mass companions. Theoretical considerations expect lower-mass companions (such as brown dwarfs, or even Jupiter-mass planets) to penetrate much deeper into
the giant’s envelope or even merge into the core, requiring a much higher numerical resolution at the center. De Marco et al. (see e.g. 2003d). Yet, these binaries should be much more common and may easily prove powerful enough to shed the rather loosely bound envelope of a red giant (RG) or asymptotic giant branch (AGB) star. Here we will present a qualitative comparison between two CE evolution simulations involving a 0.9$M_\odot$ red giant interacting with a low (0.05$M_\odot$ brown dwarf, BD) and medium mass (0.25$M_\odot$ white dwarf, WD) companion. The BD-RG system was chosen to be the progenitor system of a recently discovered tight binary system between a 0.05$M_\odot$ BD and a 0.39$M_\odot$ WD (Maxted et al. 2006). Our RG was chosen such that its degenerate core matches this WD mass. We will use this system as a validation case for our code, and thus expect the BD to spiral in as far as the observed 0.65$R_\odot$ separation.

2. SPH Simulations

We use the Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) technique to simulate the CE evolution of a 0.9$M_\odot$ RG interacting with two different companions: a medium mass companion (0.25$M_\odot$ WD, Figure 1) and a low mass companion (0.05$M_\odot$, Figure 2). Both simulations start with the companion in a circular orbit directly on the surface of the non-corotating star at 83$R_\odot$. We use 100k SPH particles
Figure 2. Same as Figure 1, but for a 0.05$M_\odot$ brown dwarf companion. The images are also spaced 40 days apart, but extend until 320 days of evolution. Note how the interaction is much gentler, as the companion can transfer less energy and angular momentum into the envelope. The companion sinks in much deeper, and is in fact still sinking rapidly at the end of the evolution. The most energetic phase of this interaction is yet to come.

in these low-resolution test runs, still a factor of 2 higher than the last SPH simulations on this topic (Rasio & Livio 1996).

Note how the higher mass WD companion is able to shed the outer layers of the star very quickly. Within only about 100 days, the binary orbit shrinks to a little more than a third ($30R_\odot$) of the initial radius (Figure 3, left). However, after that, the evolution slows down significantly. Since part of the envelope has already been shed, there is less envelope material at this separation to transfer energy to. Additionally, the envelope slowly starts to corotate with the WD, making it even more difficult for the companion to interact. The lower-mass BD companion on the other hand interacts more gently with the envelope. Due to the lower orbital energy and angular momentum of the system, the companion cannot shed the outer layers as quickly. The BD slowly but steadily sinks deeper into the RG’s envelope and is still sinking quickly at the current end of the simulation at around 350 days. We expect the BD to sink as deep as 0.65$R_\odot$, as suggested by the recent observation of a similar BD-WD binary by Maxted et al. (2006), which we are trying to mimic here. Another major difference between the two simulations is the location of the ejected envelope material. The WD
Figure 3. **Left:** Separation between RG core and companion as a function of time for the 0.05$M_\odot$ (grey) and 0.25$M_\odot$ companion (black). **Center and Right:** Mass distribution as a function of time for the 0.05$M_\odot$ (left) and 0.25$M_\odot$ companion (right). The area under the curve is proportional to the mass at that radius. Colors indicate the evolved time, ranging from 0 (darkest) to 300 days (lightest). The higher-mass companion expels the envelope much faster with the bulk of the mass already being at around 1000$R_\odot$. For the lower-mass companion on the other hand, most of the mass still hangs around 100$R_\odot$. Material that is being ejected later at a higher speed has to “plow” through this wall first, potentially changing the dynamics of the evolution. Companion interacts violently with the outer layers of the RG and quickly moves the bulk of the material beyond 1000$R_\odot$ (Figure 3, right). The BD on the other hand ejects the material more gently, and the bulk still “sits” just beyond 100$R_\odot$, just beyond the original surface of the RG (Figure 3, middle), and there is some evidence that some of it may fall back down on the star again. Thus we expected the subsequent evolution of both cases to behave quite differently, as the most energetic phase of the BD interaction is still to come as it sinks deeper into the potential well. Any material that will be ejected from then on will have to first “plow” through the wall of cooled material that has accumulated around the star.

In a forthcoming paper, we will explore the dynamics of CE evolution with higher resolution SPH simulations, putting particular emphasis on the neglected parameter space for low-mass companions.
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