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ABSTRACT

Objective: To explore the association between maternal disability as measured by the presence of a limiting longstanding illness (LLI) 9 months postpartum and subsequent child health at the age of 7 years.

Design: Nationally representative prospective longitudinal study.

Setting: England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Participants: Secondary analysis of data on 11 807 mother–child pairs recruited to the UK Millennium Cohort Study. Baseline interviews with mothers were carried out in 2001–2002. When the children were 7 years old, the follow-up survey included questions about limiting longstanding health conditions in the child.

Primary outcome measure: Any longstanding condition that was reported to limit the children’s activities in any way.

Results: Nearly 7% of all children were reported to have an LLI at the age of 7 years. The majority (88.1%, 95% CI 85.6% to 90.2%) of children whose mother was disabled did not have an LLI themselves. The children of disabled mothers, however, had higher odds of LLI (OR=1.9, 95% CI 1.5 to 2.5) independently of different maternal, pregnancy and birth characteristics and breast feeding duration. Inclusion of poverty measures in the model did not significantly affect the odds (OR=1.8, 95% CI 1.4 to 2.4), suggesting that maternal LLI around the time of birth increases the odds of child LLI at the age of 7 years independently of starting life in poverty.

Conclusions: There is a strong positive association between maternal and child LLI. Health professionals should work together with social care and other relevant service providers to identify the individual needs of disabled parents and provide adequate support throughout the pregnancy and after the child is born. Further research is important to clarify the exact nature of the associations for different types of maternal and child disability.

INTRODUCTION

Limiting longstanding illness (LLI) in women of childbearing age is relatively common with a prevalence of 8% in 16-year-old to 44-year-old women reported by the 2001 Population Census for England. Health-related behaviours of parents, environmental and genetic factors have an influence on the child health and development. The role of parental health and disability independently of health behaviours and socioeconomic disadvantage is less well researched. Early childhood experiences can have lifelong effects on health and well-being. Poor health during childhood is linked with lower educational attainment, socioeconomic status and poor health in adulthood independently of parental socioeconomic circumstances, thus potentially contributing to the intergenerational transmission of socioeconomic disadvantage. The government in England recognises that an early support for families is important for successful child development and has set a vision to help “professionals to use all interactions with families as opportunities to identify any additional needs and offer further help.”

This study aims to add to the current evidence base about the groups of parents who may have unmet needs that would have to be addressed to reduce the child health inequalities. It examines the relationship between maternal disability as measured by the presence of an LLI 9 months postpartum and child LLI at the age of 7 years and explores whether this relationship can be attributed to the experience of different dimensions of poverty in the first year of life.
METHODS
Data from the UK Millennium Cohort Study (MCS), the nationally representative longitudinal survey of children and their families, were analysed. The design of the MCS and data collection have been described elsewhere in detail. In summary, the MCS sample was drawn from electoral wards across the UK and was clustered geographically and stratified to achieve an adequate representation of areas of high child poverty and ethnic diversity. The families were identified from the UK Child Benefit System which covers practically all children except those whose residency status is uncertain or temporary (such as children of asylum seekers and members of foreign armed forces). Trained interviewers carried out baseline interviews with 18,552 families during home visits in 2001–2002 when the infants were 9 months old. The MCS sample did not include children who had died in the first 9 months after birth; infant mortality, however, was less than 1%. Follow-up surveys took place when the children were 3, 5 and 7 years old.

We restricted this study to mother–child pairs where the natural mother was the main respondent at baseline and singleton births. When the infants were 9 months old, the mothers were asked: “Do you have a longstanding illness, disability or infirmity. By longstanding I mean anything that has troubled you over a period of time or that is likely to affect you over a period of time?” If an affirmative answer was given, they were asked further “What is the matter with you?” and “Does this illness or disability limit your activities in any ways?” The coding of reported health conditions was undertaken at the Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education, University of London using the tenth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10). Other baseline information were collected including maternal demographic, socioeconomic and lifestyle details, information about pregnancy and child characteristics at birth. When the children were 7 years old, the main respondents were asked the same questions about the longstanding health conditions in the child. The outcome measure used in this paper is any longstanding condition that was reported to limit child’s activities in any way.

From the total eligible sample for this study (n=18,231), 72.2% (13,156) responded when the cohort children were 7 years old. The attrition was not higher among families where the mother had an LLI; 72.5% (1307/1803) of these families responded when the children were aged 7 years. The final analysis included 11,807 mother-child pairs with complete data on all the relevant variables (figure 1).

Logistic regression analysis was performed in Stata SE V.11.1 (Stata Corporation, Texas, USA) using survey commands with sampling and non-response weights to allow for the clustered, stratified sample design and to adjust for non-response at baseline and attrition between the study years. If not specified otherwise, weighted estimates are reported. First, an unadjusted model was used to examine the relationship between maternal LLI and child LLI. The results were then contrasted with a second model adjusting for maternal characteristics (age at delivery, ethnicity, educational level and socioeconomic position at baseline, parity, pregnancy planning, smoking during pregnancy), child characteristics (gender, gestational age at birth and birth weight) and duration of breast feeding. Finally in a fully adjusted model, the association between poverty status during the first year of life and child LLI was examined. Income poverty was defined as having a net family income below 60% of the national median (allowing for family size and composition using the modified Organisation for

Figure 1  Participant flow diagram (actual numbers).
Economic Co-operation and Development equivalence scale). Subjective poverty was defined as the mother reporting finding it quite or very difficult to manage financially or just about getting by.10 11

RESULTS
The population characteristics by maternal LLI status 9 months after giving birth are shown in table 1. The most commonly reported LLIs were different musculoskeletal disorders, asthma and recurrent depressive disorder. More detailed description of the LLIs of the MCS participants has been published previously.12 Apart from maternal age at delivery, ethnicity and child’s sex, all other characteristics between mothers with and without an LLI were statistically significantly different at 5% significance level.

Overall, 6.6% (772/11 699) of children were reported to have an LLI at the age of 7 years. The most frequently reported LLIs in childhood were diseases of the respiratory system with asthma being the most common. Mental and behavioural disorders (especially pervasive developmental disorders) and diseases of the ear and mastoid process (including hearing loss) were also relatively common.

In the unadjusted analysis, 7-year-old children of LLI mothers had significantly higher odds (OR=2.11, 95% CI 1.67 to 2.68) of having an LLI themselves compared with their counterparts whose mothers did not have an LLI 9 months postpartum (table 2). Living in poverty in the first year of life was associated with higher odds of having an LLI aged 7 years (OR=1.62, 95% CI 1.35 to 1.94 for income poverty; OR=1.62, 95% CI 1.37 to 1.92 for subjective poverty).

In the second model adjusting for other maternal, as well as pregnancy and child characteristics, a non-significant attenuation in the relationship between maternal LLI and child LLI was observed (OR=1.92, 95% CI 1.50 to 2.46). Having a mother without any academic qualifications, who smoked throughout pregnancy, being a boy and having a low birth weight also remained significant predictors of child LLI.

In the final model (which included the income and subjective poverty status around the time of birth, and all the variables of the previous model) there was a slight further attenuation in the relationship between maternal LLI and child LLI; the association, however, remained statistically significant (OR=1.84, 95% CI 1.44 to 2.36). Smoking throughout pregnancy, being a boy and lower birth weight remained significant predictors of child LLI. Income poverty, as measured by family income being below 60% of the national median, was associated with higher odds of having a child with a limiting long-term condition (OR=1.18, 95% CI 0.93 to 1.50) independently of other factors; but this finding was not statistically significant. Children who were from subjectively poor families had significantly higher odds (OR=1.32, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.58) of having an LLI than children who were from families living comfortably or ‘doing all right’ when the child was born.

DISCUSSION
Most of the children born to mothers with an LLI did not have an LLI themselves (as measured at the age of 7 years), despite a higher proportion of these children experiencing a socioeconomic disadvantage in the first year of life, maternal smoking throughout pregnancy, preterm birth, lower birth weight and shorter duration of breastfeeding than children whose mothers did not have an LLI (reported 9 months after giving birth). Nevertheless, these children had significantly higher odds of having a limiting longstanding health condition compared with children whose mothers did not have an LLI even after adjusting for all likely confounders about which information had been collected (different maternal, pregnancy and child characteristics including starting life in poverty).

The strengths of this study include a large nationally representative sample of mothers and babies with information on maternal characteristics such as maternal LLI status and exposure to poverty collected at the beginning of the study. The cohort was followed prospectively and the child LLI status was assessed when the children were aged 7 years. To minimise the effects of loss to follow-up, non-response weights were used in all analyses to take into account the factors associated with response.8 Nevertheless, the impact of poverty may have been underestimated, as poorer families were less likely to respond.13 14 Information regarding the presence or absence of maternal LLI was provided by mothers themselves who in most cases also provided information on the child’s LLI at the age of 7 years. This may have introduced some degree of bias. There is evidence that certain conditions, for example, mental health problems may be under-reported.15 Self-reported LLI, however, has been found to be a valid indicator of morbidity in adult populations, including in young adults.16 A high level of agreement has been reported between self-reports of chronic illness and clinical assessments.15 Adult-reported child LLI status has also been frequently used as a measure of disability in children in a range of population surveys. It captures a broad spectrum of health issues and impairments; however, it does not take into account child’s age and development, and does not capture the multidimensional nature of the disability.17 The focus of this study in terms of maternal characteristics was on the mother’s limiting health condition reported 9 months after giving birth and longstanding in nature. It is, therefore, likely that most of such disabling conditions were present also during pregnancy. Thus in the majority of cases the exposure would have preceded the birth and onset of LLI in a child. It is, however, possible that a small proportion of women developed an LLI after the child was born. Nevertheless, its effects may still have an impact on the child.
Table 1  Description of the study population (percentages)

| Characteristics | Maternal limiting longstanding illness |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----|
|                 | Maternal characteristics               |  |
|                 | Age at delivery in years (p=0.052)     |  |
|                 | <25                                    | 26.3 (23.3 to 29.6) |
|                 | 25–34                                  | 52.9 (49.5 to 56.3) |
|                 | 35+                                    | 20.7 (18.2 to 23.5) |
|                 | Ethnic group (p=0.942)                 |  |
|                 | White                                  | 89.1 (85.7 to 91.7) |
|                 | Other ethnic group                     | 10.9 (8.3 to 14.3) |
|                 | Qualification (p<0.001)                |  |
|                 | Higher degree/first degree/diploma     | 18.9 (16.4 to 21.6) |
|                 | A/AS/S levels                          | 9.0 (7.3 to 11.0) |
|                 | O level/GCSE grades A–C               | 36.6 (33.3 to 39.9) |
|                 | GCSE grades D–G                        | 12.7 (10.5 to 15.4) |
|                 | Other academic qualifications (including overseas) | 1.9 (1.2 to 3.1) |
|                 | None of these qualifications           | 21.0 (18.4 to 23.7) |
|                 | Socioeconomic class (p<0.001)          |  |
|                 | Managerial/professional occupation     | 29.4 (17.9 to 23.0) |
|                 | Intermediate occupation                | 18.0 (15.4 to 21.0) |
|                 | Routine/manual occupation             | 50.0 (46.5 to 53.5) |
|                 | Never worked/long-term unemployed      | 11.7 (9.5 to 14.2) |
|                 | Pregnancy characteristics              |  |
|                 | Whether this was the first baby (p=0.005) |  |
|                 | No                                     | 62.2 (58.6 to 65.6) |
|                 | Yes                                    | 37.8 (34.4 to 41.4) |
|                 | Planning pregnancy (p<0.001)           |  |
|                 | Pregnancy was a surprise               | 52.8 (49.4 to 56.2) |
|                 | Planned to get pregnant                | 47.2 (43.8 to 50.6) |
|                 | Mother’s smoking status during pregnancy (p<0.001) |  |
|                 | None/gave up                           | 69.0 (65.3 to 72.5) |
|                 | Kept smoking                           | 31.0 (27.5 to 34.7) |
|                 | Child characteristics                  |  |
|                 | Sex (p=0.455)                          |  |
|                 | Male                                   | 49.8 (46.1 to 53.5) |
|                 | Female                                 | 50.2 (46.5 to 53.9) |
|                 | Gestational age (p<0.001)              |  |
|                 | Very preterm <32 weeks                 | 1.2 (0.7 to 2.2) |
|                 | Preterm 32–36 weeks                    | 9.9 (7.9 to 12.4) |
|                 | Term 37–42 weeks                       | 88.9 (86.1 to 91.2) |
|                 | Mean birth weight in grams (p<0.001)   | 3309 (3268 to 3350) |
|                 | Duration of breast feeding (p<0.001)   |  |
|                 | Never breast fed                       | 35.1 (31.9 to 38.4) |
|                 | <1 week                                | 11.4 (9.5 to 13.8) |
|                 | 1 week—3 months                        | 28.5 (25.7 to 31.5) |
|                 | > 3 months                             | 25.0 (22.0 to 28.2) |
|                 | Child had a limiting longstanding illness at 7 years of age (p<0.001) |  |
|                 | No                                     | 88.1 (85.6 to 90.2) |
|                 | Yes                                    | 11.9 (9.8 to 14.4) |
|                 | Poverty                                |  |
|                 | Income poverty (p<0.001)               |  |
|                 | Family income above 60% of the national median | 57.7 (53.8 to 61.6) |
|                 | Family income below 60% of the national median | 42.3 (38.4 to 46.2) |
|                 | Subjective poverty (p<0.001)           |  |
|                 | Subjectively not poor                  | 45.8 (42.2 to 49.5) |
|                 | Subjectively poor                      | 54.2 (50.5 to 57.8) |

*Weighted numbers.
GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education.
Table 2  Prevalence of child limiting longstanding illness by maternal, pregnancy, child characteristics and poverty dimensions, and the results of logistic regression analysis

| Characteristics                              | Prevalence of child limiting longstanding illness in per cent (95% CI) | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p Value | Partially adjusted OR* (95% CI) | p Value | Adjusted OR† (95% CI) | p Value |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| **Maternal characteristics**                |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Maternal limiting longstanding illness      |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| No                                          | 6.0 (5.5 to 6.6)                                                       | 1                      | 1       | 11.9 (9.8 to 14.4)               | 2.11 (1.67 to 2.68) | <0.001 | 1.92 (1.50 to 2.46) | <0.001 | 1.84 (1.44 to 2.36) | <0.001 |
| Yes                                         | 6.6 (5.2 to 7.5)                                                       | 0.77 (0.59 to 0.99)    | 0.044   | 0.96 (0.70 to 1.31)              | 0.781   | 0.99 (0.73 to 1.36)  | 0.973   |
| Age at delivery in years                    |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| <25                                          | 8.0 (6.8 to 9.4)                                                       | 1                      | 1       | 6.1 (5.5 to 6.9)                 | 0.75 (0.61 to 0.92) | 0.006 | 0.91 (0.71 to 1.15) | 0.43   | 0.94 (0.74 to 1.19) | 0.595   |
| 25–34                                        | 6.3 (5.2 to 7.5)                                                       | 0.77 (0.59 to 0.99)    | 0.044   | 0.96 (0.70 to 1.31)              | 0.781   | 0.99 (0.73 to 1.36)  | 0.973   |
| 35+                                          | 6.3 (5.2 to 7.5)                                                       | 0.77 (0.59 to 0.99)    | 0.044   | 0.96 (0.70 to 1.31)              | 0.781   | 0.99 (0.73 to 1.36)  | 0.973   |
| Ethnic group                                 |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| White                                        | 6.6 (6.0 to 7.2)                                                       | 1.03 (0.79 to 1.33)    | 0.846   | 1.07 (0.79 to 1.43)              | 0.668   | 1.01 (0.75 to 1.35)  | 0.957   |
| Other ethnic group                           | 6.7 (5.3 to 8.5)                                                       | 1.03 (0.79 to 1.33)    | 0.846   | 1.07 (0.79 to 1.43)              | 0.668   | 1.01 (0.75 to 1.35)  | 0.957   |
| Qualification                                |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Higher degree/first degree/diploma          | 5.1 (4.2 to 6.0)                                                       | 1                      | 1       | 5.5 (4.3 to 7.2)                 | 1.10 (0.80 to 1.52) | 0.556 | 1.00 (0.71 to 1.4)  | 0.998  | 0.97 (0.69 to 1.37) | 0.879   |
| A/AS/S levels                                | 6.5 (5.6 to 7.5)                                                       | 1.30 (1.05 to 1.62)    | 0.017   | 1.06 (0.83 to 1.37)              | 0.633   | 1.04 (0.81 to 1.34)  | 0.770   |
| O level/GCSE grades A–C                     | 7.3 (5.6 to 9.5)                                                       | 1.48 (1.04 to 2.10)    | 0.027   | 1.14 (0.78 to 1.65)              | 0.503   | 1.09 (0.75 to 1.58)  | 0.660   |
| GCSE grades D–G                             | 5.3 (3.1 to 9.0)                                                       | 1.05 (0.59 to 1.89)    | 0.865   | 0.98 (0.52 to 1.84)              | 0.940   | 0.93 (0.49 to 1.74)  | 0.810   |
| Other academic qualifications (including overseas) | 9.6 (8.1 to 11.4)                                                   | 2.00 (1.55 to 2.59)    | <0.001 | 1.41 (1.02 to 1.96)              | 0.038   | 1.30 (0.94 to 1.81)  | 0.114   |
| None of these qualifications                |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Socioeconomic class                          |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Managerial/professional occupation          | 5.3 (4.5 to 6.3)                                                       | 1                      | 1       | 6.7 (5.5 to 8.2)                 | 1.27 (0.97 to 1.67) | 0.086 | 1.09 (0.81 to 1.47) | 0.562  | 1.06 (0.79 to 1.44) | 0.681   |
| Intermediate occupation                     | 7.2 (6.3 to 8.1)                                                       | 1.37 (1.11 to 1.69)    | 0.004   | 0.88 (0.67 to 1.15)              | 0.354   | 0.83 (0.63 to 1.09)  | 0.174   |
| Routine/manual occupation                   | 8.0 (6.3 to 10.2)                                                      | 1.54 (1.13 to 2.11)    | 0.007   | 0.86 (0.57 to 1.3)               | 0.475   | 0.79 (0.52 to 1.2)   | 0.267   |
| Never worked/long-term unemployed           |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Planning pregnancy                          |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Pregnancy was a surprise                     | 7.7 (6.8 to 8.7)                                                       | 1.38 (1.15 to 1.65)    | <0.001 | 0.89 (0.72 to 1.09)              | 0.259   | 0.93 (0.75 to 1.16)  | 0.536   |
| Planned to get pregnant                     | 5.7 (5.0 to 6.5)                                                       | 1                      | 1       | 10.4 (9.1 to 11.9)               | 2.01 (1.67 to 2.41) | <0.001 | 1.59 (1.28 to 1.98) | <0.001 | 1.51 (1.21 to 1.89) | <0.001 |
| Mother’s smoking status during pregnancy    |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| None/gave up                                 | 5.5 (4.9 to 6.1)                                                       | 1                      | 1       | 10.4 (9.1 to 11.9)               | 2.01 (1.67 to 2.41) | <0.001 | 1.59 (1.28 to 1.98) | <0.001 | 1.51 (1.21 to 1.89) | <0.001 |
| Kept smoking                                 | 10.4 (9.1 to 11.9)                                                     | 2.01 (1.67 to 2.41)    | <0.001 | 1.59 (1.28 to 1.98)              | <0.001 | 1.51 (1.21 to 1.89)  | <0.001 |
| Child characteristics                        |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Gender                                       |                                                                        |                        |         |                                  |         |                        |         |
| Boy                                          | 7.8 (7.0 to 8.6)                                                       | 1.48 (1.23 to 1.76)    | <0.001 | 1.51 (1.27 to 1.81)              | <0.001 | 1.52 (1.27 to 1.81)  | <0.001 |
| Girl                                         | 5.4 (4.7 to 6.2)                                                       | 1                      | 1       | 10.4 (9.1 to 11.9)               | 2.01 (1.67 to 2.41) | <0.001 | 1.59 (1.28 to 1.98) | <0.001 | 1.51 (1.21 to 1.89) | <0.001 |

*Unadjusted OR* refers to the association between the characteristic and the prevalence of child limiting longstanding illness prior to adjusting for other characteristics.

†Adjusted OR refers to the association between the characteristic and the prevalence of child limiting longstanding illness after adjusting for maternal, pregnancy, and child characteristics.
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| Characteristics                          | Prevalence of child limiting longstanding illness in per cent (95% CI) | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p Value | Partially adjusted OR* (95% CI) | p Value | Adjusted OR† (95% CI) | p Value |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Gestational age                         |                                                                        |                        |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| Very preterm <32 weeks                  | 20.4 (12.9 to 30.8)                                                   | 3.85 (2.20 to 6.73)    | <0.001  | 0.66 (0.34 to 1.32)             | 0.242   | 0.65 (0.33 to 1.29)   | 0.215   |
| Preterm 32–36 weeks                     | 10.0 (7.7 to 12.7)                                                    | 1.66 (1.23 to 2.23)    | <0.001  | 0.57 (0.27 to 1.21)             | 0.144   | 0.56 (0.27 to 1.18)   | 0.126   |
| 37–42 weeks                             | 6.3 (5.7 to 6.9)                                                      | 1                      |         | 1                               |         | 0.65 (0.33 to 1.29)   | 0.215   |
| Birth weight in kg                      |                                                                        |                        |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| –                                       | 0.64 (0.55 to 0.75)                                                   | <0.001                 |         | 0.76 (0.63 to 0.91)             | 0.003   | 0.76 (0.63 to 0.91)   | 0.003   |
| Duration of breast feeding              |                                                                        |                        |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| Never breast fed                        | 7.7 (6.7 to 8.9)                                                      | 1                      |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| <1 week                                 | 5.6 (4.3 to 7.1)                                                      | 0.70 (0.52 to 0.96)    | 0.025   | 0.77 (0.56 to 1.06)             | 0.104   | 0.78 (0.57 to 1.07)   | 0.119   |
| 1 week—3 months                         | 7.7 (6.6 to 8.9)                                                      | 0.99 (0.79 to 1.24)    | 0.928   | 1.12 (0.89 to 1.42)             | 0.321   | 1.13 (0.90 to 1.44)   | 0.294   |
| >3 months                               | 4.9 (4.1 to 5.8)                                                      | 0.62 (0.49 to 0.77)    | <0.001  | 0.83 (0.66 to 1.05)             | 0.113   | 0.84 (0.66 to 1.06)   | 0.134   |
| Poverty                                 |                                                                        |                        |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| Income Poverty                          |                                                                        |                        |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| Family income above 60% of the national median | 5.6 (5.0 to 6.3)                                                      | 1                      |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| Family income below 60% of the national median | 8.8 (7.7 to 10.1)                                                     | 1.62 (1.35 to 1.94)    | <0.001  |                                 |         | 1.18 (0.93 to 1.50)   | 0.168   |
| Subjective poverty                      |                                                                        |                        |         |                                 |         |                       |         |
| Subjectively not poor                   | 5.4 (4.8 to 6.0)                                                      | 1                      |         |                                 |         | 1.32 (1.09 to 1.58)   | 0.004   |
| Subjectively poor                       | 8.5 (7.5 to 9.6)                                                      | 1.62 (1.37 to 1.92)    | <0.001  |                                 |         |                       |         |

*Adjusted for maternal, pregnancy and child characteristics.
†Adjusted for maternal, pregnancy, child characteristics and poverty.
GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education.
As far as we are aware, this is the first nationally representative prospective study examining the association between maternal and child LLI independently of a wide range of different other maternal and child characteristics, and socioeconomic disadvantage. The overall LLI prevalence of 7% in the children reported here is higher than the prevalence in the 2001 Population Census for England (5% in 5-year-olds to 7-year-olds), but is in line with the LLI prevalence reported in the General Household Survey in 2000–2002 of 4% in 0-year-olds to 4-year-olds and 8% in 5-year-olds to 15-year-olds. A prevalence of 8% in 5-year-olds to 11-year-olds was also reported in the Family Resources Survey in 2004–2005 which used the Disability Discrimination Act criteria to define the disability in children.

Prenatal and postnatal support for families has been evidenced as important in reducing the odds of poor pregnancy and child-related outcomes. Public bodies are obliged to promote equality of opportunity for people with disabilities. This includes addressing the barriers and tackling the inequalities in health due to disadvantage and discrimination including poverty as maternal LLI itself is strongly socially patterned. For families where the parent is disabled, an additional support from health and social services may be required depending on the type and the degree of disability, contextual factors and circumstances of the particular family.

The relative contribution of various factors, such as parental health behaviours, genetic and environmental factors for different childhood LLIs would differ depending on the specific health condition. More research is needed to identify and address the exact mechanisms particularly focusing on modifiable exposures.

CONCLUSIONS

Although most of the children whose mothers were disabled did not have a limiting longstanding health condition aged 7 years, nevertheless, there was a strong positive association between maternal and child LLI. Healthcare providers, including general practitioners, midwives, obstetricians, paediatricians and health visitors need to be aware of the individual needs of disabled parents during pregnancy and after the child is born. In order to reduce the inequalities experienced by these families, working together with social care services and signposting to other relevant service providers are also required. Further research is needed to clarify the exact nature of the associations for different types of maternal and child disability. This will enable a better understanding about which modifications in the healthcare of pregnant-disabled women could improve their experiences and pregnancy and child health outcomes.
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