Enhancer-trap targeting at the Broad-Complex locus of Drosophila melanogaster
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Here, we describe the exact replacement of a defective unmarked P element by an enhancer-trap transposon marked by the miniwhite gene and carrying lacZ as a reporter gene. The original defective P element was located in an intron of the Broad-Complex (BRC), a key gene involved in metamorphosis. Replacement events resulted from conversions induced by the P-element transposase from a donor enhancer-trap element located on another chromosome. Six independent conversion events were selected. In all converted chromosomes, the enhancer-trap transposon was in the same orientation as the original P element. From the pattern of X-gal staining observed, lacZ expression likely reflects the regulatory influence of BRC enhancers on the convertant transposon. Reversion to wild type was achieved by excision of the enhancer-trap transposon. The six convertants were analyzed in detail at the nucleotide level. The occurrence of a polymorphism at position 33 of the P-element sequences led us to propose a conversion mechanism involving homologous P sequences for repair. This is in contrast to previously analyzed P-element transposase-induced conversion events and proposed models relying on sequence identity between genomic Drosophila sequences. The lack of any homology requirement other than between P element sequences means that our findings can be easily generalized. Targeting a marked P-element derivative at a precise site without loss or addition of genetic information makes it possible to exploit the hundreds of defective P elements scattered throughout the Drosophila genome by replacing them with engineered P elements, already available.
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trapping. As mentioned above, the enhancer-trap device makes it very easy to describe the developmental pattern of expression of a gene. The potential use of this method would be greatly enhanced if the enhancer-trap transposon could be targeted to a chosen genomic site.

We chose the Broad-Complex (BRC) as a model system. Several investigators have shown that the BRC corresponds to one of the early genes (Ashburner et al. 1974) involved in the modulation of the hormonal response during the third stage of larval development (for review, see Andres and Thummel 1992). Molecular analysis has revealed that it comprises a single gene extending over 100 kb, giving rise to a large number of transcripts. The transcripts known to date can be classified into four families: Each family possesses a single alternative 3' exon coding for a pair of zinc finger motifs, Z1, Z2, Z3, and Z4 (DiBello et al. 1991; C. Bayer, pers. comm.) [see a simplified molecular map on Fig. 1]. Although P/M dysgenesis-induced mutants have been isolated (Belyaeva et al. 1989), so far no enhancer-trap insertion has been located at the BRC. Such a tool could help to describe the pattern of expression of this complex gene and to analyze its genetic functions.

We obtained, at a manageable frequency, targeting of an enhancer-trap element to a P-defective chromosome inserted in an intron of the BRC. We analyzed six independent mutants at the nucleotide level and report molecular evidence to support that their occurrence is the result of conversion events and those analyzed by Engels and co-workers (Engels et al. 1990; Gloor et al. 1991) is not due to insertions at the DNA level and report molecular evidence to support that their occurrence is the result of conversion events. The main difference between these conversion events and those analyzed by Engels and co-workers (Engels et al. 1990; Gloor et al. 1991) is that no homology was required between donor sequences and genomic Drosophila sequences at the acceptor site of the conversion: The sole homology was the unique hypomorph of the four independent complementation groups have been described, namely br, rbp, 2Bc, and 2Bd. In addition, two overlapping complementation groups are known: npr, which overlaps all four previously mentioned groups, and 2Bab, which overlaps br and rbp only (Kiss et al. 1988; Belyaeva et al. 1989). The p14 mutant is homozygous and hemizygous viable and does not exhibit any typical BRC phenotype. The p14 allele is lethal over deficiencies uncovering the BRC and over npr amorphic alleles and can thus be classified as a bona fide BRC allele. It did, however, complement strong alleles belonging to the three complementation groups, br, rbp, and 2Bc, as well as the unique hypomorphic allele of the fourth group 2Bd (Table 1). Hence, it could not be assigned with certainty to any one of the known complementation groups.

The p14 chromosome was shown previously to contain a P element located at the BRC locus (Sampedro et al. 1989) around coordinate 172 of the standard molecular map of the locus (Chao and Guild 1986) (Fig. 1). By Southern analysis and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification with primers complementary to the 31-bp

Figure 1. A simplified molecular map of the BRC locus [adapted from DiBello et al. (1991) and C. Bayer [pers. comm.]]. Two identified promoters are indicated, at positions 120 and 165 of the molecular map (Chao and Guild 1986). Only the major forms of messages are drawn. [Solid boxes] Translated regions; [open boxes] untranslated regions. [Z1–Z4] Pairs of zinc finger motifs. The insertion point of the p14 P element is indicated by a solid triangle.

Results

Genetic and molecular analysis of the p14 mutant

The initial BRC allele used in this work, known previ-
Table 1. Genetic analysis of P[Zw] mutants

| Mutants | Eye color | Stage of lethality | nprr | brrs | rbp | 2Be2 | p14 | 2Bd | 2Bab | Df(I)S39 |
|---------|-----------|--------------------|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|----------|
| p14     | white     | viable             | 0/48 | 108/75 | 52/29 | 17/12 |     | 86/79 |       |          |
| M1      | orange    | prepupal           | 0/43 | 0/66  | 1/75 | 90/66 | 120/151 | 0.79 | 1.2   |
| M2      | orange    | prepupal           | 0/146 | 2/113 | 0/147 | 61/80 | 89/95 |     |       |
| M3      | orange    | prepupal           | 0/41 | 2/66  | 1/61 | 47/63 | 58/59 |     |       |
| M4      | bright red| prepupal           | 0/38 | 0/53  | 0/24 | 28/38 | 39/104 |     |       |
| M5      | deep orange| prepupal         | 0/54 | 1/47  | 0/50 | 29/60 | 74/86 |     |       |
| M6      | orange    | prepupal           | 0/42 | 0/53  | 1/66 | 41/89 | 82/123 |     |       |
| M7      | orange    | prepupal           | 0/64 | 0/33  | 0/49 | 49/63 | 47/92 |     |       |
| M8      | orange    | third larval       | 0/123 | 0/101 | 0/153 | 0/118 | 5/104 |     |       |

Croses were performed at 25°C between mutant males (first column) and females (first line) carrying the indicated BRC allele over the FM6, I(I) balancer as for lethal alleles or homozygous females as for viable alleles (i.e. p14 and 2Bd). The ratios are the number of complementing Bar females over the number of sibling Bar females, except in crosses involving the viable alleles. In this case the ratio is the number of complementing females over the number of sibling males. Crosses performed at 29°C gave essentially the same results (not shown).

aFigures from a similar cross with the 2Be2 allele: 44/50.
bFigures from a similar cross with the 2Bd allele: 93/55.
c10% to 25% of the complementing females showed malformed third legs.
dAI1 complementing females had malformed third legs.

terminal inverted repeats shared by P elements, the length of the p14 P element was estimated to be 0.85 kb. It was located precisely within a 565-bp PstI–ClaI fragment (Fig. 2) whose wild-type sequence was determined (Fig. 3). By use of the appropriate primers located within the P element and the flanking genomic sequences (Fig. 3), junction fragments were amplified from the mutant DNA and sequenced. The p14 P element was inserted 399 bp downstream from the PstI site and 166 bp upstream from the ClaI site oriented in the same 5'→3' direction as the BRC transcript. An 8-bp ATCTAGCG target sequence was found duplicated on each side of the transposon. Both ends of the p14 P element were complete: 109 bp on the 5' side and 123 bp on the 3' side were sequenced and found to be identical to the wild type (O'Harc and Rubin 1983).

The p14 chromosome was subjected to reverse mutagenesis with P[A2-3][99B] as a source of transposase. Revertants were selected that fully complemented the amorphic npr allele and exhibited a wild-type molecular pattern within the limits of detection of Southern analysis.

It can be concluded from these results that the defective P element is responsible for the hypomorphic p14 mutation of the BRC. This element is amenable to P-element transposase-induced modifications. It is inserted in a noncoding region, not far downstream from a known promoter. Because the p14 mutant is viable, no extra

---

![Figure 2. Molecular structure of the p14 and convertant alleles. A restriction map of the genomic sequences of the BRC from coordinates 165 to 180 is shown. The molecular structure of the p14 and P[Zw] elements are drawn above the insertion site. (E) EcoR1; (C) ClaI; (H) HindIII; (Ps) PstI; (S) SalI. (Solid boxes) P-element sequences; (open box) lacZ sequences; (striped box) white sequences; (thin line) plasmid sequences. (G probe) BRC genomic probe used in Southern blots.](image-url)
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a) restriction map of the Sall-ClaI fragment

b) partial nucleotide sequence of the Sall-ClaI fragment

Figure 3. Molecular analysis of the insertion site. [A] A molecular map of the Sall–ClaI fragment of the BRC in which the p14 and convertent elements were inserted. Restriction sites are the same as in Fig. 2, with the addition of PvuII (Pv). [B] Partial nucleotide sequence of this fragment. 462 nucleotides was determined on both strands. The elements is in boldface type. The left breakpoint of the deletion at the 5' end of the BRC is in italics and uppercase lettering.

c) sequence of the junctions in the convertants

The mating scheme is described in Figure 4. Five hundred seventy-four independent dysgenic lines were established, from which 544 independent eye-colored non-Curly males were selected for possible transposition (or conversion) events affecting the P[Zw] element (see Materials and methods). Mutant lines underwent a series of genetic tests: a test for X-linkage of the P[Zw] transposon, a test for lethality or a visible phenotype by crossing with an attached-X strain devoid of the duplication, and a test for BRC allelism by complementation testing with the amorphic npr<sup>6</sup> allele.

From 155 X-linked transposition events, eight independent mutants were recovered, corresponding to lethal mutations in the region covered by the duplication. All turned out to be BRC mutants. No viable BRC mutants were recovered. The genetic analysis of these eight lethal mutants is detailed in Table 1. One mutant (M8) is lethal at the third larval stage, does not complement alleles from any of the three complementation groups, br, rbp, and 2Bc, and therefore belongs to the npr complementation group. The seven other mutants are lethal at the prepupal stage, complement 2Bc alleles but not br nor rbp ones, and thus belong to the 2Bab partially noncomplementing group.

Molecular analysis of the mutants

DNA from each of the eight mutants was extracted from hemizygous male larvae and from sibling attached-X females, then digested with three different enzymes (EcoRI, HindIII, and Sall). Southern blots were hybridized with probes specific for [1] a 4.8-kb fragment of wild-type copy of the BRC is needed in the genome. All of these features made the p14 allele a good candidate for the introduction of an enhancer-trap P element into the BRC locus.

Screening for a targeted enhancer-trap P element

A strain was constructed carrying the p14 P element on the X chromosome and an enhancer-trap element, hereafter designated as P[Zw], on a Cy-marked second chromosome. Both elements can be mobilized by the presence of transposase. At the BRC locus, the mutagenic events resulting from the mobilization of these transposons may be [1] excision of the original p14 P element leading to either reversion, internal rearrangement, or deletion of flanking genomic sequences; [2] transposition of the P[Zw] element, of the p14 P element, or of both; [3] conversion of the p14 P element to the P[Zw] element. In addition, both elements may transpose anywhere else in the genome.

We guessed that the replacement of the p14 P element by the P[Zw] transposon would lead to a stronger BRC phenotype, such as broad wings, malformed legs, reduced number of bristles on the palpus and sternites, or lethality. Lethality, and mutant phenotypes as well, can be rescued by crossing the progeny from the P-element transposase-induced mutagenesis with white attached-X females carrying on the Y chromosome a duplication of the tip of the X, including a wild-type copy of the BRC locus.
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Figure 4. Genetic screening of convertants. G0 males possess both the target p14 and P[Zw] transposons and the P[A2-3] source of transposase. Among their G1 progeny, males with colored eyes and non-Curly wings were selected: They originated from a transposition or conversion event affecting P[Zw]. The second step allows the recovery of P[Zw] bearing X chromosomes. The expected conversion might have occurred among these. At the third step, males were successively crossed first with attached-X females carrying the y\textsuperscript{2}Y67g duplication covering the BRC, then with females without duplication, to check for lethality.

type BRC sequences encompassing the p14 insertion site (G probe, Fig. 2); (2) the complete P element; and (3) plasmid sequences present in the P[Zw] transposon.

In five of the eight mutants [M1–M5, Table 2] a strikingly constant pattern was observed. This pattern strictly corresponded to that expected for the exact replacement, within the limits of a Southern analysis, of the p14 P element by the P[Zw] transposon in the same orientation [Fig. 2]. Additional evidence in favor of the integrity of internal P[Zw] sequences was the functionality of lacZ [as shown by X-gal staining; see below], white [as shown by eye phenotype], and plasmid sequences [as shown by plasmid rescue, see below]. We interpret these mutants to result from five independent conversion events. Whatever the actual molecular mechanism, the replacement of a defective P element by an enhancer trap at the same site can be considered to be a conversion. In two of the mutants [M1 and M2], Southern analysis indicated the presence of a single P element, whereas in the other three [M3–M5], additional bands appeared with the P-element-specific probe. These signals could be easily interpreted as resulting from additional mutagenic events: a p14 X-linked transposition in the M3 mutant, and additional X-linked P[Zw] transpositions for the M4 and M5 mutants. By Southern probing, these other P elements were shown to be located outside a 4.8-kb fragment encompassing the p14 site and were not localized more precisely. A sixth mutant, M6, appeared to be identical to the other convertants at the 3' junction while differing at the 5' junction [Fig. 3]. We conclude that this mutant resulted from an imperfect conversion associated with a 880-bp deletion of genomic sequences on the 5' side.

These six conversions were analyzed at the nucleotide level. From M1 genomic DNA, four different plasmids comprising the 5' and the 3' genomic sequences flanking the transposon were rescued. On the 5' side, 0.4 kb of genomic sequence was cloned from a PstI digestion and 0.45 kb from a BglII digestion. On the 3' side, 0.55 kb was cloned from an EcoRI digestion and 3.2 kb from a SacII one.

These plasmids enabled us to sequence both junctions of the P[Zw] element present in the M1 mutant. Genomic sequence (231, 230 bp) was determined upstream and downstream of the insertion site, respectively. These sequences were identical to the wild-type BRC sequence, and the same 8-bp target site, as in the p14 chromosome, was found [Fig. 3b]. Thus, in the M1 mutant, the P[Zw] element is inserted at exactly the same nucleotide as the original p14. Internal to the transposon, a 303-nucleotide-long sequence identical to the Carnegie vector P-element sequences [Rubin and Spradling 1983] was determined on the 3' side. It is noteworthy

| Mutants | Event at the p14 site | Nucleotide at position 33 of the P element | Other events |
|---------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|
| M1      | conversion            | A                                        | X-linked p14 transposition |
| M2      | conversion            | A                                        | another X-linked P[Zw] element |
| M3      | conversion            | A                                        | another X-linked P[Zw] element |
| M4      | conversion            | A                                        | 3' incomplete conversion, 12-bp deletion |
| M5      | 3' incomplete conversion, 12-bp deletion | T | another X-linked P[Zw] element |
| M6      | 5' incomplete conversion, 880-bp deletion | T | rearrangement |
| M7      | X-linked P[Zw] rearrangement | X-linked P[Zw] element |
| M8      | 7.4-kb deletion       | X-linked P[Zw] element |

Table 2. Molecular features of the eight lethal BRC mutants
that the HindIII site specific to the P[Zw] transposon was found in M1 at the expected position. Two hundred seventy-five nucleotides of the P element were determined on the 5' side, and found to be identical to the corresponding wild-type P-element sequences (O'Hare and Rubin 1983). Significantly, an A was found at position 33 (taking +1 as the first nucleotide of the P-element sequence), as in the p14 P element, whereas a T is present in the original P[Zw] at this position [Table 2]. The original P[Zw] is a derivative of the Carnegie series of vectors that share this single sequence difference from wild-type P elements (Rubin and Spradling 1983). Thus, the P[Zw] transposon present at coordinate 172 in the M1 mutant bears, at position 33, a nucleotide specific to the previous p14 P-element.

The junctions between the inserted transposon and genomic sequences were determined at the nucleotide level in the five other convertants through direct sequencing of PCR amplification products with pairs of P-element-specific and BRC-specific primers (Fig. 3). M2 to M4 transposons were found to be identical to M1 [Table 2]. The M5 element revealed two peculiarities: At the 5' end, although the junction between genomic and P-element sequences was found to be identical to the other mutants, including the 8-bp target sequence, it possessed a T at position 33 of the P-element sequence, indicating that this conversion event occurred precisely at the p14 P-element.

The breakpoints of the deletion associated with the imperfect conversion of M6 were determined at the nucleotide level. About 880 bp of genomic sequence and 21 bp of P-element sequence were deleted on the 5' side of the transposon [Figs. 2 and 3]. The 5' genomic 8-bp repeat was included within the deletion. A T nucleotide was found at position 33 of the P-element sequence, typical of a p14 P element, whereas a T is present in the original P[Zw] at this position [Table 2]. The original P[Zw] is a derivative of the Carnegie series of vectors that share this single sequence difference from wild-type P elements (Rubin and Spradling 1983). Thus, the P[Zw] transposon present at coordinate 172 in the M1 mutant bears, at position 33, a nucleotide specific to the previous p14 P-element.

The structure of the last two M7 and M8 mutants was also investigated. In each one a P[Zw] transposon was present on the X chromosome but was located outside a 4.8-kb region encompassing the p14 site. Their BRC mutant phenotype is attributable to an insertion of unrelated sequences (M7) or a 7-bp deletion of BRC genomic sequences (M8) at the p14 site.

Reversion of the P[Zw] insertion

Considering that the original p14 mutant was homozygous viable and that the convertants were all lethal, we wondered whether the lethality was attributable only to the presence of the P[Zw] transposon at the same site or whether it could be the result of another mutagenic event elsewhere on the 100-kb BRC locus that may have been overlooked by our molecular analysis. To this end, an experiment was designed to reverse the insertion of the P[Zw] transposon.

The single P[Zw] element in the M1 strain was mobilized in the presence of the P-element transposase. From 35 independent white-eyed viable males recovered, 33 were shown by genetic and Southern analysis to retain various lengths of P[Zw] sequences, and only two were indistinguishable from the wild type. The nucleotide sequence of the fragment overlapping the previous P[Zw] insertion site was determined for one of them: It was identical to the wild type. These results demonstrate that insertion of the P[Zw] element at this site induces the lethality by itself.

The M6 mutant is deleted at its 5' side from the 8-bp genomic repeat, and 21 of 31 bp of the P-element inverted repeat. Hence, we predicted that this element should not be able to mobilize. In the presence of the P[Δ2-3][99B] source of transposase, neither excision nor autosomal transposition was detected among >3600 chromosomes scored.

The enhancer-trap expression pattern

The lacZ expression pattern was studied during development in heterozygous females and hemizygous males carrying the M1 chromosome, bearing a single P[Zw] element because of a complete and faithful conversion. X-Gal staining was observed in salivary glands, fat body, Malpighian tubules, gut, and brain during the third instar. Very specific traits, such as dotted expression in maxillary palps in late pupae, in agreement with the reduced bristles on palpus (rbp) phenotype (Kiss et al. 1988), and expression in ovarian follicular cells, in agreement with the sterility and egg shell defects observed in some BRC mutants (Mazina et al. 1991; Huang and Orr 1992), were observed (a detailed account will be published elsewhere). Considering what is presently known from molecular studies on the BRC temporal and tissue-specific expression pattern [Huet al. 1993; Karim et al. 1993; Emery et al. 1994], the observed lacZ pattern in convertants can be interpreted as a partial image of the BRC expression. Hence, it can be assumed that in directed conversion, lacZ expression is driven by neighboring genomic controlling elements as it is in enhancer trapping.

Discussion

The genetic nature of the P[Zw] convertants and of the original p14 allele

The M1 (perfect conversion), M5 (12 bp deleted on 3' side), and M6 (880 bp deleted on the 5' side) mutants do not complement strong br and rbp alleles, whereas they complement 2Bc alleles. Hence, they define three new 2Bab alleles, which will be named 2Bab, 2Bab2, and 2Bab2, respectively.

The p14 allele was originally classified as a hypomorphic 2Bd allele [Belyaeva et al. 1989] or as a weak 2Bc allele [Sampedro et al. 1989]. Our genetic analysis of the p14 interactions with other BRC alleles disfavors the assumption that it belongs to either the 2Bc or the 2Bd complementation groups but does not clearly discriminate between the other BRC groups. The three conver-
tant alleles 2Bab\(^b\) to 2Bab\(^{10}\), due to insertions and deletions at exactly the same site as the p14 P element, exhibit a slight genetic interaction in combination with p14 (Table 1). This led us to classify the p14 mutant as a weak 2Bab allele and, hence, to rename it 2Bab\(^7\).

Insertions at position 172 of the BRC yield various phenotypic effects, ranging from no effect at all [P[Zw] pseudorevertants; see above], no visible phenotype but lack of complementation with a npr\(^b\) allele [2Bab\(^7\)/p14]), to prepupal lethality [2Bab\(^b\) to 2Bab\(^{10}\)]. Therefore, insertion per se at this position does not yield a BRC mutation. This is in agreement with the fact that the insertion site is located in an intron [DiBello et al. 1991]. Still, in P[Zw] convertants, the inserted sequences disturb BRC expression. Sampedro et al. [1989] proposed that the lack of complementation observed with the 2Bab\(^7\)/p14) allele could result from partial premature termination of BRC transcription at P sequences. Similarly, taking into account that the P-element transcription-termination signals are weakly efficient (Karess and Rubin 1984), introduction of additional termination signals brought in by the P[Zw] element may cause lethality by preventing downstream transcription of the BRC locus. Alternatively, the genetic effect of insertions could be attributable to the introduction of new splice sites. These two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive.

Whatever the actual molecular mechanism may be, it is not clear why the br and rbp functions are impaired and not the 2Bc function because the known promoters and the first [noncoding] exons of the major classes of messages are located upstream of the P[Zw] insertion site. The presence of the transposon could preferentially disturb the splicing pattern of the br and rbp RNA classes (see Fig. 1). Alternatively, it could be assumed that the concentration level of the br and rbp classes of BRC proteins is more crucial than that of the 2Bc class.

The mechanism of conversion

Engels and co-workers [Engels et al. 1990; Gloor et al. 1991], proposed that P-element transposition occurs through a mechanism similar to the repair of radiation-induced double-strand breaks [Resnick 1976], or gene conversion in yeast [Sztostak et al. 1983]. The transposase would cut both DNA strands of the chromosome, the P element would be excised from its genomic site, and exonucleases present in the nucleus would enlarge the break. Then the gap could be repaired by copying the missing sequences either from the sister chromatid, hence restoring a copy of the original P element, or from the homologous chromosome, yielding a wild-type copy of the genomic sequences [Engels et al. 1990; Johnson-Schlit and Engels 1993; Nassif and Engels 1993], or even from homologous sequences inserted at an ectopic site of the genome [Gloor et al. 1991, Nassif et al. 1994]. This model was supported by genetic experiments from the Engels' group and by the molecular properties of the P-element transposase [Kaufman and Rio 1992]. It led to the prediction that it should be possible to introduce dele-
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tions or insertions by conversion to the excision site, a prediction that has been confirmed [Johnson-Schlit and Engels 1993; Nassif et al. 1994].

According to Engels' model, it is assumed that the double-strand break occurs at or near the terminal repeats of the P element, thus initiating transposition. The repair process leading to precise or imprecise excision, and synthesis of a new copy of the transposon or conversion, is primed by use of the homology between the partially degraded strands and the genomic sequences flanking the transposon.

The type of conversion events reported in the present work did not require homology between the donor sequences and the genomic sequences at the converted site: The unique homologous sequences between donor and acceptor sites were located inside and not outside the transposon and were the P-element sequences themselves. Apparently, the simplest way to reconcile our results with Engels' model is to assume that the double-strand breaks induced by the P-element transposase are internal to the P element. However, although not excluded, this additional assumption is unnecessary, and the data can well be accounted for by the model, as illustrated in Figure 5. The resolution of the apparent discrepancy is based on the involvement of three templates during the repair process.

Because the 2Bab\(^7\)/p14) mutation is viable, the mutagenic males containing both the p14 P element and the source of transposase did not need to, and did not, contain an additional copy of the BRC chromosomal region anywhere in the genome. After excision, a repair process leading to conversion could only initiate at C2, after replication, on the sister chromatid. During this repair, the replicating strands copy P-element sequences. At that point, homologous sequences can be found at the ectopic site of the enhancer-trap donor transposon. The conversion process is thus initiated. A second change of partner is needed to resolve the conversion. This will create a mismatch at position 33 of the heteroduplex, illustrated by the two facing noncomplementary A bases on the diagram in Figure 5. This mismatch would be repaired further.

The presence of this polymorphic site allowed us to detect chimeric molecules composed of parts of both the p14 and the P[Zw] elements among the convertants. This observation strongly supports the mechanism that we propose. The involvement of three template strands of DNA could well account for certain complex conversion events selected by Gloor et al. (1991). In yeast, plasmid-mediated induction of recombination was shown to involve tripartite events between one plasmid and two chromosomes [Silberman and Kupiec 1994].

In addition, the difference between the type of conversion event discussed here and those reported by Engels and co-workers [Engels et al. 1990; Gloor et al. 1991] is similar to ends-in versus ends-out recombination in yeast. In ends-in [O type], the regions of homology between the partners are located on one and the other side of the double-strand cuts; in ends-out [Ω type], they are located inside [Hastings et al. 1993]. Our results suggest
that in Drosophila as in yeast, the two 3'-strand invasions are relatively independent.

The fact that all six conversions, perfect and imperfect, were in the same 5'→3' orientation as the original p14 element could indicate that the length of homologous sequences required is longer than the 31-bp insertions are relatively independent. In yeast (Fishman-Lobell and Haber 1992). The two imperfect conversion events are similar to the well-known imperfect excisions of P elements that may be accompanied by deletion of flanking sequences. They can be accounted for by an imperfect balance between degradation and repair, preventing homologous recognition and resolution of the conversion at the second end of the P element. As already documented, in this case and in other cases of imperfect P-element excisions, very little homology is needed for ligation (O'Hare and Rubin 1983; O'Hare et al. 1992; Lapie et al. 1993). The presence of a P element at position 33 (indicating a P element origin) of the P element transposase-mediated deletions between the long terminal repeats (LTRs) of the copia element (Kurkulos et al. 1994).

The relatively high frequency of conversion events detected, despite the large size of the converted transposon and the presence of a mispairing at position 33, might have been attributable to the absence of a wild-type copy of genomic sequences, thus driving the repair process toward conversion.

The fidelity of the conversion process (four of six conversion events were precise) could be ensured by degradation of unpaired single-strand tails before ligation, as in yeast (Fishman-Lobell and Haber 1992). The two imperfect conversion events are similar to the well-known imperfect excisions of P elements that may be accompanied by deletion of flanking sequences. They can be accounted for by an imperfect balance between degradation and repair, preventing homologous recognition and resolution of the conversion at the second end of the P element. As already documented, in this case and in other cases of imperfect P-element excisions, very little homology is needed for ligation (O'Hare and Rubin 1983; O'Hare et al. 1992; Lapie et al. 1993). The presence of a P element at position 33 (indicating a P element origin) of the 2Bab allele, where an 880-bp genomic deletion is found at the 5' end of the conversion, supports this interpretation.

P elements have a trend to transpose within or in close vicinity to pre-existing P transposons, resulting in double elements of various structures (Rothe et al. 1988; Daniels and Chovnick 1993; Tower et al. 1993; M. Delattre and D. Coen, pers. comm.). It can be visualized that in a first step, a P element jumped into (or close to) p14, creating such a double element, which in a secondary step would give rise, through an intramolecular event, to the replacement of the original p14 by the

| 1 | p14 excision enlargement |
| 2 | partial repair on sister chromatid |
| 3 | 3' end find homologous sequences |
| 4 | strand displacement bubble migration |
| 5 | X 3' ends find P[Zw] homologous sequences |
| 6 | X P[Zw] transposon to a P element at the vestigial (vg) locus and the recovery, albeit with a lower frequency, of transposons inserted in the opposite orientation (Heslip and Hodgetts 1994). In Drosophila, results indicate that the length of the homology search window would be <115 bp (Nassif and Engels 1993). In yeast it has been shown that 63–89 homologous base pairs are the threshold required for copying (Sugawara and Haber 1992). Accumulating data show that the search for homology is a very efficient process. Recently, it was shown that in mammalian cells the search for ectopic homologous sequences does not limit the rate of extrachromosomal recombination (Waldman 1994). In mice, conversions between unlinked hemizygous lacZ transgenes occur spontaneously in the male germ line in 0.1%–0.7% of spermatids (Murty et al. 1994).

The relatively high frequency of conversion events detected, despite the large size of the converted transposon and the presence of a mispairing at position 33, might have been attributable to the absence of a wild-type copy of genomic sequences, thus driving the repair process toward conversion.

The fidelity of the conversion process (four of six conversion events were precise) could be ensured by degradation of unpaired single-strand tails before ligation, as in yeast (Fishman-Lobell and Haber 1992). The two imperfect conversion events are similar to the well-known imperfect excisions of P elements that may be accompanied by deletion of flanking sequences. They can be accounted for by an imperfect balance between degradation and repair, preventing homologous recognition and resolution of the conversion at the second end of the P element. As already documented, in this case and in other cases of imperfect P-element excisions, very little homology is needed for ligation (O'Hare and Rubin 1983; O'Hare et al. 1992; Lapie et al. 1993). The presence of a P element at position 33 (indicating a P element origin) of the 2Bab allele, where an 880-bp genomic deletion is found at the 5' end of the conversion, supports this interpretation.

P elements have a trend to transpose within or in close vicinity to pre-existing P transposons, resulting in double elements of various structures (Rothe et al. 1988; Daniels and Chovnick 1993; Tower et al. 1993; M. Delattre and D. Coen, pers. comm.). It can be visualized that in a first step, a P element jumped into (or close to) p14, creating such a double element, which in a secondary step would give rise, through an intramolecular event, to the replacement of the original p14 by the
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P[Zw] element. However, we did not find any double element at the p14 site.

Replacements of a defective P element at the vg gene by various P[Δdc] and a P[Zw] donors were recently reported [Heslip and Hodgetts (1994), Staveley et al. (1994), respectively]. Thus, P-element replacement is not a specific property of either the P[Zw] donor or p14 target element that we used. Previously described P-element transposase-induced events [Salz et al. 1987; Geyer et al. 1988] may also be well accounted for by the present model [Fig. 5].

Perspectives

The targeted conversion of an unmarked P element by an enhancer-trap transposon at reasonable frequencies opens interesting possibilities. It becomes possible to combine the advantages of the two methods proposed for P-element mutagenesis: shotgun mutagenesis with the Birn2 chromosome containing 17 defective elements [Robertson et al. 1988], and single-copy mutagenesis, with marked elements [Cooley et al. 1989]. Shotgun mutagenesis is more efficient in terms of the number of insertions per gamete, allowing PCR screening of an insert in a single gene of interest, [Ballinger and Benzer 1989; Kaiser and Goodwin 1990, Ségalat et al. 1992], whereas the use of engineered elements greatly facilitates localization of the insertion, determination of the pattern of expression by X-gal staining, cloning by plasmid rescue, and the recovery of null mutants by imperfect excision. Similarly, it has been proposed recently to make use of the great variety of P-element inserts available in wild-type Drosophila strains [Clark et al. 1994]. The usefulness of this procedure will be greatly improved if, in a second step, wild-type P elements are replaced by enhancer-trap ones.

Given the increasing variety of new P-element-derived tools for Drosophila genetics, such as the FLP/FRT system, the UASs/GAL4 system, the presence of ovoD1 on autosomes, and the palette of hundreds of P elements already localized throughout the genome, it would be easier to target one of these newly engineered elements to a site of interest, by use of an outdated, but precisely located, P element, as a recipient.

Given the complexity and the huge size of the BRC, the dissection of its various functions and regulatory sequences could be more amenable to site-directed enhancer trapping than classical transgenesis.

Materials and methods

Genetic nomenclature

Genetic nomenclature follows Lindsley and Zimm (1992) except that l(1)2Bab, l(1)2Bc, and l(1)2Bd were simplified as 2Bab, 2Bc, and 2Bd, respectively.

Drosophila stocks

The original p14 mutant was isolated and kindly provided by I. Zhimulev [Solovyeva and Belyaeva 1989]. The C(1)DX y w f/w v l(l)44+/Y stock was used to collect virgins [Busson et al. 1983]. The C(1)DX y w f/w v l(l)44+/Y67g19.1 was constructed in our laboratory. The rearranged Y chromosome of this stock was provided by I. Zhimulev. The X-transposed fragment extends from 1A1 to 2B18-19, thus covering the BRC locus at 2BS [Belyaeva et al. 1980]. The BRC alleles were generously provided by J. Fristrom [br28, 2Bab5], G. Guild [rbp, rbp5, 2Bd], and I. Zhimulev [Df(l)1S39, npr6, 2Bc1, 2Bc5] and are described in Lindsley and Zimm [1992] except for the br28 allele, which corresponds to an insertion of a truncated P element in a coding exon [DiBello et al. 1991] and for the 2Bab5 allele which bears an inversion whose breakpoint separates the promoters from the coding exons [C. Bayer, pers. comm.]. The following alleles are considered to be amorphic: npr6 [Belyaeva et al. 1981], 2Bc1 [Kiss et al. 1988], 2Bc5 [B. Sebban, J. Deutsch, and G. Gonzy-Treblou, unpubl.], and br28, 2Bab5 [C. Bayer, pers. comm.]. The balancer chromosomes used throughout the crosses were FM6, l(1)69 [Belyaeva et al. 1980] or Bins (Lindsley and Zimm 1992). The stable source of transposase was on a Dr, P[Zw]/+ chromosome [Robertson et al. 1988]. The CyO, P[Zw] chromosome was constructed and kindly provided by J.-M. Dura [Laboratoire de Biologie Cellulaire IV, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France]. The P[Zw] element came from mobilization of an enhancer-trap element constructed by E. Bier [Bier et al. 1989]. Fly stocks were raised at 20°C or 25°C and crossed at 25°C or 29°C on a standard corn meal/sugar/yeast/agar medium.

Genetic screens

The mating scheme for selecting convertants is described in detail in Results and in Figure 4. Five hundred seventy-four G0 males were individually crossed to C(1)DX y w f/Y67g19.1; CyO P[Zw]/+ ; Dr P[Zw]/+(99B)/+; y2Y67g19.1; y2Y67g19.1; y2Y67g19.1 females. Ten Gl males were individually recovered from each cross and were expanded by screening for viability. Among 1523 viable males without any limits of a Southern analysis.

To screen for 2Bab5 (M1) revertants, a similar experiment was performed: females carrying the novel convertant chromosome,
that is, 2Bab<sup>b</sup> w (this work), balanced with PM6, 1(1)69<sup>w</sup> were mass-mated to + /y<sup>y</sup>Y67591.1; Dr P/A2-3(99B) males. Sixty-five phenotypically [B " Dr] C<sub>0</sub> males of the offspring were recovered and individually mated with C(1)DX females. Thirty-five independent viable (white Dr<sup>t</sup>) progeny males were expanded and crossed with npr<sup>b</sup> mutant females to test for genetic reversion.

**DNA extraction and Southern analysis**

Hemizygous males were collected as wandering third instar larvae. DNA was extracted according to Lapie et al. (1993). Southern blotting was performed according to Sambrook et al. (1989).

**Plasmid probes**

The pr25.7wbc plasmid, used as a P-element-specific probe, was kindly provided by D. Anxolabéhère (Institut J. Monod, Paris, France). It bears the HindIII(39) - AvaiII(2882) fragment of the P element and no genomic sequences. The pLB1 plasmid was constructed by cloning in a Bluescript vector (Stratagene) a 4.8-kb SalI/170.5-SalI/176 fragment [coordinates from Chao and Guild (1986)] taken from the P205 BRC clone of the λ Charon<sub>λ</sub> library kindly provided by I. Zhimulev (Belyaeva et al. 1987). It was used as a BRC specific probe [G-probe on Fig. 3: Plasmids were labeled by nick translation (Rigby et al. 1977) to a specific activity of 1 x 10<sup>10</sup> to 2 x 10<sup>10</sup> cpm/μg.

**In situ hybridization**

In situ hybridization on polytene chromosomes was performed according to Ronsseray et al. (1991) with the p~25.1 plasmid as a probe.

**Molecular analysis of the P[Zw<sup>+</sup>] conversion mutants**

Cloning by plasmid rescue was performed on M<sub>1</sub> DNA digested with EcoRI, SauI, PstI, or BglII, according to Pirrotta (1986). Four different plasmids were obtained by this method: pLB27 and pLB28 carried the 3′ junction, pLB29 and pLB30, the 5′ junction.

DNA corresponding to a single fly was amplified in a 20-μl volume over 30 cycles with 2% formamide in the mixture [Sarkar et al. 1990]. The sizes of the amplified products were determined from 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis by comparing them with products amplified from the pLB27 plasmid (downstream product) or from the pLB29 one (upstream product) with the same pair of primers. Direct sequencing of the PCR products was performed essentially according to Dodé et al. (1990), with 10% formamide in the sequencing mixture [Zhang et al. 1991].

P-specific primers used for PCR were T1 (89–108), 5′-CTGT-CGACACAGACTTTGCC-3′, and T2 (2785–2804), 5′-TGCGT-GTACTCAGACTC-3′. BRC-specific primers are listed from 5′ to 3′ according to the BRC transcription orientation (see Fig. 3b). A1, 5′-TCTAGAGGAGGAGGGCGGCG-3′; A2, 5′-GCT-TCTAGAGGAGGAGGGCGGCGGGG-3′; A3, 5′-TCTGCT-TCTAGAGGAGGAGGGCGGCGGG-3′; A4, 5′-CCCAATGCTGCCAGCATGCC-3′; and A5, 5′-CTGGCCGCTGGCTGGCTGG-5′.

**Histochemical staining**

Third-instar larvae were staged on standard corn meal medium containing 0.05% bromophenol blue. β-Galactosidase activity was tested in larvae and pupae according to Lemaître and Coen [1991] and in adult ovaries according to Lemaître et al. [1993].
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