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Abstract

This Supporting information includes interactive plots, videos, and data captured while performing evaluation and validation experiments for our paper.
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Goal

This paper aims to learn assembly tasks in the presence of goal position uncertainties in real-world settings.

Contributions

• We present a novel approach that combines Cartesian impedance control with recurrent reinforcement learning methods to learn assembly tasks in the presence of position uncertainties.
  – This approach learns to deal with position uncertainties when inserting an object in a frame/hanger within a few minutes of training on a robotic platform.
  – Moreover, the learned policy is robust against the variation in object properties and changes in the frame position and orientation.
• We evaluate/validate our approach in five real-world settings.

1. Supporting Plots

In this scenario, we introduce a Gaussian noise of 0 mean and 15 mm standard deviation along the X, Y, and Z axes of the frame. The setup for this experiment is shown in Fig. 1. We perform five experiments using different random seeds to initialize our RL algorithm. We perform an ablation study for our method, which uses an impedance controller combined with residual recurrent TD3 with decaying nominal controller policy (this approach is explained in detail in our paper). Our method is compared with combinations resulting from removing recurrence and decay and using only an impedance controller.
Figure 1: Setup for the experiment of inserting the object in the frame.

The resulting plots for average success rate, average episode return, average episode time steps are shown below.

**Average success rates**

![Average success rates plot](image)

Figure 2: Average success rate for five real-world trials. It can be seen that our method, residual recurrent TD3 with impedance controller, learns the task faster than others.

**Average episode length needed to finish the task**
Figure 3: Average episode time steps for five real-world trials. It can be seen that our method, residual recurrent TD3 with impedance controller, learns to complete the task in fewer time steps than other methods.

2. 3-D plots for average success rate, average episode length, and number of training time steps
Figure 4: This is the 3-D plot for average success rate, average episode length vs. a number of time steps. It can be seen as the number of training steps increase, the average success rate increases, and the number of time-steps needed to finish the task decrease. Our method, residual recurrent TD3 with impedance controller, significantly performs better than other methods.

3. Supporting Videos

Experiment 1: Inserting an object into the frame
Rich media available at https://youtu.be/a2TYoUtYo5Y

Experiment 2: Inserting an object with enveloped in foam into the frame
Rich media available at https://youtu.be/QExQwE31gNI

Experiment 3: Hanging an object in the hanger
Rich media available at https://youtu.be/4yAgNxqefrE

Experiment 4: Stacking discs on the wooden stick (tower)
Rich media available at https://youtu.be/6cgU_J1r_-w

Experiment 4: Hanging wine glasses in the rack
Rich media available at https://youtu.be/7Qcq0