A systematic review and meta-analysis on delaying surgery for urothelial carcinoma of bladder and upper tract urothelial carcinoma: Implications for the COVID19 pandemic and beyond
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Purpose: The COVID-19 pandemic has led to competing strains on hospital resources and healthcare personnel. Patients with newly diagnosed invasive urothelial carcinomas of bladder (UCB) upper tract (UTUC) may experience delays to definitive radical cystectomy (RC) or radical nephroureterectomy
We evaluate the impact of delaying definitive surgery on survival outcomes for invasive UCB and UTUC.

Methods: We searched for all studies investigating delayed urologic cancer surgery in Medline and Embase up to June 2020. A systematic review and meta-analysis was performed.

Results: We identified a total of 30 studies with 32,591 patients. Across 13 studies ($n = 12,201$), a delay from diagnosis of bladder cancer/TURBT to RC was associated with poorer overall survival (HR 1.25, 95% CI: 1.09–1.45, $p = 0.002$). For patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy before RC, across the 5 studies ($n = 4,316$ patients), a delay between neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical cystectomy was not found to be significantly associated with overall survival (pooled HR 1.37, 95% CI: 0.96–1.94, $p = 0.08$). For UTUC, 6 studies ($n = 4,629$) found that delay between diagnosis of UTUC to RNU was associated with poorer overall survival (pooled HR 1.55, 95% CI: 1.19–2.02, $p = 0.001$) and cancer-specific survival (pooled HR of 2.56, 95% CI: 1.50–4.37, $p = 0.001$). Limitations included between-study heterogeneity, particularly in the definitions of delay cut-off periods between diagnosis to surgery.

Conclusions: A delay from diagnosis of UCB or UTUC to definitive RC or RNU was associated with poorer survival outcomes. This was not the case for patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Introduction

Bladder cancer is the 11th most commonly occurring cancer worldwide, with almost 550,000 new cases in 2018 (1, 2). A comprehensive review in 2017 found that bladder cancer ranks 13th in terms of death ranks, with mortality rates decreasing mainly in the most developed countries (3). In comparison, UTUC is much rarer, representing approximately 8.3% of all urothelial carcinoma (4).

At diagnosis, approximately 20% of patients have MIBC (5). One of the factors thought to affect mortality for MIBC is the timing to definitive surgery following diagnosis. The 2020 EAU guidelines cited two studies, with one showing worse clinical outcome and poorer survival in patients who experienced a delay of RC by >3 months while the other showed no survival difference (6, 7). With regards to MIBC patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, the AUA recommends RC within 6–8 weeks of completion of chemotherapy, unless ”medically inadvisable”, while acknowledging that there remains a void of prospective data regarding the optimal timing of RC following NAC (8). Although low grade non-invasive UTUC can be treated endoscopically, RNU remains the treatment of choice for invasive and/or high grade UTUC. The EAU recommends that RNU should not be delayed beyond 12 weeks as this increases the risk of disease progression (9).

This issue of delayed treatment for MIBC and invasive UTUC is especially pertinent in our current ongoing COVID19 pandemic. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) epidemic emerged in December 2019 and has resulted in redistribution of healthcare resources to address the pandemic. This has resulted in cancelation of elective surgeries worldwide (10, 11). Many hospitals have deferred elective and non-cancer surgery, while prioritizing emergency cases and select high-risk oncological cases. To provide expert consensus, the EAU Guidelines Office Rapid Reaction Group recommend that RC should be performed within 3 months from MIBC diagnosis and RNU within 6 weeks of high-risk UTUC diagnosis (12).

The impact of the COVID-19 crisis on elective urological cancer surgery has been significant and disruptive worldwide and is compounded by the concerns of a second or third wave of COVID-19 cases. This invariably will result in the deferment of treatment of localized cancers, which may lead to disease progression and worse survival outcomes. In this study, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the evidence and association of delayed RC and RNU for patients with MIBC and high-risk UTUC. These data should serve as a framework for decision making regarding timelines of definitive therapy in these disease entities.

Evidence acquisition

Protocol registration

Our study methodology was similar to 2 other papers on prostate cancer (13) and kidney cancer (14), whose protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) registry (CRD42020190882).
We performed this study according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (15). Since most of the included studies were retrospective in nature, we also adhered to guidelines from the "Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology" (MOOSE) group (16).

Literature search

We performed a systematic search of PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews to identify studies up to June 2020. Different variations of key words and MESH terms for urothelial carcinoma were combined with various combinations of survival outcomes in delaying surgery to identify articles that focused on the issue of delayed surgery. Our complete search strategy is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Objective

The primary objective was to evaluate if delays to RC and RNU would affect the overall survival of patients with MIBC and high-risk UTUC, respectively.

Eligibility criteria, manuscript screening, data abstraction, and study quality

We evaluated studies for inclusion and exclusion based on a pre-defined PICOS approach where the population (P), intervention (I), comparator group (C), outcome (O), and study design (S) were considered. This is summarized in Table 1.

Screening and data extraction

Search results were screened by two independent reviewers. Any conflicts were resolved by a third reviewer. Finally, eligible articles were identified for full text review (Figure 1). Data extraction was then performed by two authors (JIL, JT) with any discrepancy resolved by a third author (WST). Data on the paper (first author, year, center, country, study design), participant demographics and oncologic characteristics, treatment characteristics, and outcomes, and results were extracted.

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistics using median and interquartile range were used to summarize demographic and baseline data of eligible patients. Sample size of individual studies, demographic values were calculated based on percentages and summed up to obtain the values used for this cohort. Pooled averages were estimated using fixed and random-effects model when indicated. The $I^2$ statistic was used to quantify heterogeneity. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA/SE 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

Risk of bias assessment

We performed risk of bias assessment using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale for Cohort Studies (Supplementary Table S2) (17).

Evidence synthesis

Search results

Our literature search initially revealed 1,858 articles after removing duplicates. After screening them based on our pre-defined PICOS criteria, we identified 136 articles which were further reviewed in detail and categorized by type of cancer (Figure 1).

Meta-analysis for bladder cancer studies

We identified a total of 30 studies with 32,591 patients (Table 2). There were varied definitions of delay to RC, with 11 studies identifying the “start point” as “diagnosis of bladder cancer” (18–28), while another 10 used “time of transurethral resection of bladder tumour” (TURBT) (6, 7, 29–36). Five studies evaluated the delay between neoadjuvant chemotherapy and RC (29, 37–40). Four other studies evaluated delay from time of diagnosis prompting BCG therapy to RC (41), time from RC to starting adjuvant chemotherapy (42), time from referral to first treatment (43), and time from first clinic appointment to definitive treatment (radiotherapy or RC) (44).
### Table 2: Characteristics of included studies evaluating delayed radical cystectomy on survival in bladder cancer and upper tract urothelial carcinoma, based on various definitions of delay: (A) delay between diagnosis of BC and RC; (B) delay between NAC and RC; (C) other definitions of delay.

| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 Fahmy 2008 | 2008 | Canadian Urological Association Journal | Retrospective | Not available | Not available | 1st family practitioner visit to RC | >84 days | 93 days | 1,633 | Canada (Quebec) | 1990-2002 | Gender, haematuria, year of specialist visit, year of TURBT and radical cystectomy | Multivariate analyses showed that patients with an overall delay of either <25 or >84 days had a 2.1 and 1.4 times increased risk of dying, respectively \( p < 0.01 \). A delay of <25 or >84 days between visiting the family physician and RC was associated with a 230% and a 40% increased risk of death from any cause, respectively (95% CI: 1.4–3.9 and 1.1–1.8, respectively). |
| 2 May M 2004 | 2004 | Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology | Retrospective | cT2-4 | N0/N+ | Diagnosis of BCa (muscle infiltration) to RC | 90 days | 55 days | 239 | Germany | Age, gender, pathologic T and N stage, grade | Patients with a time interval of ≤3 months between diagnosis of muscle invasion and cystectomy had a significantly better progression-free survival rate (55%) than those with a longer time window (34%) \( p = 0.04 \). No change in overall survival when RC was delayed, though this relationship was borderline significant (HR = 1.62, 95% CI: 0.99–2.66). |
| 3 Santos 2015 | 2015 | Curr Oncol | Retrospective | Not available | Not available | Diagnosis of BCa to RC (including referral delay) | Patients were considered "indirectly referred" if they made >5 visits to a GP, emergency physician, or other specialist before making a first urology visit | Median delay was 30 days (SD 99) | 1,271 | Canada | Age | Patients indirectly referred to a urologist after a first GP visit experienced a 29% increased risk of mortality compared with those directly referred (95% CI: 1.10–1.52). |

(continued)
| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| 4        | 2005 | Journal of Urology | Retrospective cT1-4 N0/N+ | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | 60 days | 49 days | 141 | Sweden | 1990-1997 | Age, gender, de novo muscle invasive vs. progression to muscle invasion, clinically organ confined T2 disease, type of urinary diversion, preop radiation, referred vs. non-referred | No change in disease-specific survival when there was a delay between diagnosis and RC. |
| 5        | 2003 | Journal of Urology | Retrospective cT2-4 N0/N+ | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | <4, 4-6, 7-9, 10-12, 13-16, >16 weeks | 7.9 weeks | 290 | USA | 1987-2000 | Pathologic T and N stage, clinical stage | Extravesical disease (P3a or greater) or positive nodes were identified in 84% (16 of 19) of patients when the delay was longer than 12 weeks, compared with 48.2% (82 of 170) in those with a time lag of 12 weeks or less (p < 0.01). Similarly 3-year estimated survival was lower (34.9% ± 13.5%) for patients with a surgery delay longer than 12 weeks compared to those with a shorter interval 62.1% ± 4.5% (hazards ratio 2.51, 95% CI 1.30-4.83, p = 0.006). |
| 6        | 2002 | Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology | Retrospective cT2-4 N0/N+ | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | 3 months | 50 | Japan | 1985-2000 | - | - | - | 28 patients who underwent radical cystectomy within 3 months after the primary diagnosis of invasive bladder cancer (group A) and 22 who underwent radical cystectomy more than 3 months after the primary diagnosis (group B). The recurrence-free, cause-specific and overall survival rates in group A were significantly higher than those in group B (p < 0.05, p < 0.05 and p < 0.05, respectively). Incidence of vascular involvement in group B was significantly higher than that in group A (p < 0.05) |
| 7        | 2018 | Retrospective cT1-4 N0/N+ | 76 days | 376 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (continued) |
| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Antonelli 2018 | Minerva, Urologica e Nefrologica | Retrospective | cT2-4 | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | No available | | | | | | | | Multivariable regression models adjusted for pathological local and lymph nodal stage showed that latency between diagnosis and cystectomy (LDC), continuous or dichotomized at 30/60/90/120/180/240 days was not related to progression-free or overall survival. |
| Williams 2017 | Urologic Oncology | Retrospective | cT2-4 | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | Not available | | 84 days | 9,907 | USA (SEER-Medicare) | 2001-2011 | | | There was no significant difference in delay to RC according to sex across all clinical stages. Using propensity score matching, women had worse overall (hazard ratio = 1.07, CI: 1.01-1.14; p = 0.024), and worse cancer-specific survival (hazard ratio = 1.26, CI: 1.17-1.36, p < 0.001) than men. Delay from diagnosis to surgery did not account for this decreased survival among women. |
| Lin-Brande 2019 | Urology | Retrospective | cT2-4 | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | Nx/N0/N1 | | <12, ≥12 weeks | 363 | USA | 2003-2014 | | | For 363 patients with cT2-T4N0M0 urothelial carcinoma who underwent radical cystectomy without perioperative intravesical and/or systemic therapy from 2003 to 2014, every month in delay was associated with a worse overall survival for variants (HR = 1.36, p = 0.003) on multivariable analysis controlling for age, comorbidities, tumor stage, lymph node status, lymphovascular invasion, and surgical margins. At an 8-week delay or longer, those with variant histology had a
| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| 10 Gore 2009 | 2009 | Cancer | Retrospective | cT2 | N0 | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | 28–56, 56–84, 84–168, ≥168 days | 441 | USA (SEER-Medicare) | 1992–2001 | | | statistically worse survival ($p = 0.03$). A delay of $>$12 weeks between diagnosis and RC was associated with a 201% increased risk of all-cause and disease-specific mortality ($p = 0.003$). |
| 11 Lee 2006 | 2006 | Journal of Urology | Retrospective | Not available | Nx/N0/ N+ | Diagnosis of BCa to RC | 93 days | 61 days | 214 | USA | 1990–2004 | | A significant disease specific survival and OS advantage was observed in patients undergoing cystectomy by 93 days or less (3.1 months) compared to greater than 93 days ($p = 0.05$ and 0.02, respectively). |
| 12 Mahmud 2006 | 2006 | Journal of Urology | 2 | Not available | Not available | TURBT or latest cystoscopy to RC | 84 days | 33 days | 1,592 | Canada (Quebec) | 1990–2002 | | After adjusting for calendar year, and patient and provider variables there were no significant differences in survival among the 3 delay categories. However, patients subject to greater than 12 weeks of delay were at 20% greater risk for dying (95% CI 1.0–1.5, $p = 0.051$). |
| 13 Jager 2011 | 2011 | BJU Int | 2 | Not available | Nx/N0/ N+ | TURBT to RC | 120 days | 122 days | 278 | Germany | 1989–2006 | No of TURBT, tumour extension (bladder confined vs. non-confined), LN metastases, adjuvant therapy, tumour upstaging | Multivariate analysis identified categorized number of TURBs (hazard ratio, HR, 0.14; 95% CI, 0.07–0.44; $p < 0.001$), categorized interval between first TURB and rCx (HR, 3.27; 95% CI, 1.24–8.59; $p = 0.017$), LN status (HR, 0.13, 95% CI, 0.06–0.26, $p < 0.001$) and tumour stage at rCx (HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.26–0.92; $p = 0.03$) as independent risk factors for CSS. A delay of $>$120 days |

(continued)
| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| 14       | 2009 | Journal of Urology | 2 | Not available | Nx/N0/ N+ | TURBT to RC | 90 days | 50 days | 2,535 Canada (Ontario) | 1992–2004 | Socioeconomic status, hospital volume, surgeon volume, surgeon experience, preoperative medical and anaesthetic consultation, preoperative imaging, LVI, perineural invasion, tumour grade, geographic region of residence and year of operation | **Unadjusted and adjusted analyses demonstrated that prolonged wait times were significantly associated with a lower overall survival rate. The relative hazard of death with increasing wait times appeared greater for low stage vs. high stage cancers. The cubic splines regression analysis revealed that the risk of death began to increase after 40 days. A delay of >90 days between TURBT and RC was associated with an increased risk of death from all causes compared with those with a delay of <120 days (77% vs. 86%).** |
| 15       | 2019 | Cancer | 2 | cT2 | N0 | TURBT to RC and NAC to RC | TURBT to RC: 84 days, End of NAC to RC: 77 days | 1,509 USA (SEER-Medicare) | 2004–2012 | Age, sex, race, marital status, lymph node status, and comorbidities | In comparison with timely surgery, delays in RC increased overall mortality, regardless of the use of NAC (hazard ratio [HR] without NAC, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.03–1.76; HR after NAC, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.06–2.52). This represents an increased risk of death for each day a patient waits for an RC. |
| 16       | 2016 | Urologic Oncology | 2 | cT2-4 | N0/N+ | TURBT to RC | 60 days | No NAC: 50 days, NAC: 133 days | Netherlands (2006–2010) | 2006–2010 | Age, gender, pathologic T and N stage, referral status, type of treatment | Delayed RC > 3 months was not associated with decreased OS adjusting for confounding variables (hazard ratio = 1.16; 95% CI, 0.91–1.48; p = 0.25). |
| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| 17       | 2016 | ANZ J Surg | 2            | cT0-4             | N0-2              | TURBT to RC        | 31 days             | Mean = 62 days | 43                           | New Zealand | 2006-2013 | Hospital (university vs. non-university) | Median time from MIBC diagnosis to RC in patients that received neoadjuvant therapy \((n = 105)\) was 133 days (interquartile range: 62 days). Adjusting for confounding variables, delayed RC > 3 months was not associated with OS (hazard ratio = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.45–1.82). |
| 18       | 2007 | BJU Int  | 2            | cT2-4             | No/N+             | TURBT to RC        | 90 days             | 55 days      | 592                          | USA           | 1984-2013 | Kaplan-Meier analyses showed no statistical difference in the risk of disease recurrence, disease-specific mortality, or overall mortality between patients who had RC within 3 vs. >3 months after the last TUR \((p = 0.445, 0.323\) and 0.833, respectively) (Figure 1). |
| 19       | 2008 | BJU Int  | 2            | Not available     | Not available     | TURBT to RC        | 90 days             | 543          | UK                           | 1999-2003     | Patients who underwent delayed RC were compared with patients who were treated with early RC. When both groups were compared for disease-free survival and overall survival, patients of the early-RC group had a greater advantage. |
| 20       | 2018 | Tumori   | 2            | cT2-4             | Not available     | TURBT to RC        | 3 months            | 530          | Turkey                       | 2005-2016     | A total of 447 patients who underwent RC between 1996 and 2009 at our institution were considered. Patients were stratified by age \((\leq 70 \text{ vs. } >70\) |
| 21       | 2011 | International Journal of Urology | 2            | cTa-3             | pN0-2             | TURBT to RC        |                     | 390          |                               |               | (continued) |
#### TABLE 2 Continued

| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| 22       | 2012 | Cancer  | cT2-4        | N0/N+             | NAC to RC         | >12 weeks           | From start of NAC: 117 days; From end of NAC: 49 days | 153          | USA                            | 1990-2007      | Univariable |                                      |             |
| 23       | 2019 | European Urology Oncology | cT2-4 | N0-3 | End of NAC to RC | >12 weeks | 53 days | 226 | USA | 1999-2015 | Univariable | The group with time to cystectomy (TTC) >10 weeks had significantly lower OM-free (p = 0.003) and CSM-free rates (p = 0.001) than the group with TTC ≤10 weeks. TTC was independently associated with higher risk of OM (p = 0.027) and CSM (p = 0.004) after accounting for age, gender, pathologic extravesical disease, and nodal status. |
| 24       | 2019 | Cancer  | cT2          | N0               | TURBT to RC and NAC to RC | >11 weeks (NAC) | 1,509 | USA (SEER-Medicare) | 2004-2012 | Age, sex, race, marital status, lymph node status, and comorbidities | In comparison with timely surgery, delays in RC increased overall mortality, regardless of the use of NAC (hazard ratio [HR] without NAC, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.03–1.76; HR after NAC, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.06–2.52). |
| 25       | 2016 | Journal of Urology  | cT2-4        | N0/N+            | Start of NAC to RC | >22 weeks | 201 | USA | 1996-2014 | Univariable | Cystectomy performed less than 28 weeks from the diagnosis did not significantly alter the risk of survival. |

(C) Delay between NAC and RC

- Alva 2012: USA, N0/N+ NAC to RC >12 weeks.
- Boeri 2019: USA, N0-3 End of NAC to RC >12 weeks.
- Chu 2019: USA (SEER-Medicare), N0 TURBT to RC and NAC to RC >11 weeks (NAC).
- Park 2016: USA, N0/N+ Start of NAC to RC >22 weeks.
### TABLE 2 Continued

| Study ID | Year | Journal        | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| 26       | 2019 | Urologic Oncology | 2            | cT2-4              | cN0/ pN0/N+        | Diagnosis of BCa to start of NAC; Diagnosis of BCa to RC | >6 months            | 2,227                    | USA (NCDB) 2004–2014                | Univariable     | 117 patients who underwent radical cystectomy for recurrent nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer at our institution from 1990 to 2012. Group 2 = 56 who received at least 1 additional salvage intravesical chemotherapy after bacillus Calmette-Guérin. On multivariate Cox regression analysis delayed cystectomy in group 2 did not convey a significant hazard for all cause mortality after cystectomy (HR 1.08, p = 0.808). |
| 27       | 2016 | Journal of Urology | 2            | pT0-4              | Nx/Nx/ N+         | Time from diagnosis prompting BCG to RC |                      |                          |                              |                               |                          | Univariable                        |             |
| 28       | 2014 | 2              | 9 weeks       | 2,944              |                    |                      |                      |                          |                              |                               |                          |                          |             |

(continued)
| Study ID | Year | Journal | Study design | T stage of disease | N stage of disease | Definition of delay | Delay period cut-off | Median delay | No. of patients who underwent RC | Country of study | Study period | Variable used for multivariable analysis | Key results |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Booth 2014 | 2014 | Annals of Oncology | <cT³ and T³-4, N⁰/N³/N⁺ | Time from RC to starting adjuvant chemotherapy | 1–12 vs. 13–16 weeks | Canada (Ontario) | 1994–2008 | Age, socioeconomic status, comorbidity score, pathologic T and N stage, LVI, margin status, comprehensive center status | Ontario Cancer Registry. Of 2,944 patients undergoing cystectomy, 4% (129/2944) and 19% (571/2944) were treated with NACT and ACT, respectively. Time to initiation of ACT (TTAC) was measured from cystectomy. TTAC >12 weeks was associated with inferior OS [hazard ratio (HR) 1.28, 95% CI 1.00–1.62] and CSS (HR 1.30, 95% CI 1.00–1.69). |
| 29 Guilford 1991 | 1991 | BMJ | Not available | Not available | Referral to 1st treatment | <27, 27–47, 48–83, ≥84 days | 574 | UK | 1982 | Case severity |
| 30 Munro 2010 | 2010 | Int J Radiat Oncol | Not available | Not available | 1st clinic to radiotherapy or RC | <84 vs. ≥84 days | 398 | UK | 1993–1996 | Univariable | No change in survival when radiotherapy or RC was delayed |
Given that the diagnosis of bladder cancer is confirmed upon histology obtained from TURBT, it can be safe to assume that these two “events” are synonymous. Although each study’s exact cut-off duration varies from 60 to 90 days, we considered this “delay” the exposure variable for our meta-analysis. Across 13 studies \((n = 12,201)\), a delay from diagnosis of bladder cancer/TURBT to RC was associated with poorer overall survival \((HR 1.25, 95\% CI: 1.09–1.45, p = 0.002)\) (Figure 2). There was substantial heterogeneity with an \(I^2\) value of 76.9\% (Cochrane \(p\)-value <0.001), so a random-effects model was used. Influence analysis showed that the two most influential studies \((38, 44)\) had the greatest effects on the pooled HR if omitted.

For patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to radical cystectomy, across the five studies \((n = 4,316\) patients), a delay between neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical cystectomy was not found to be significantly associated with overall survival \((pooled HR 1.37, 95\% CI: 0.96–1.94, p = 0.08)\). There was
substantial heterogeneity with an $I^2$ value of 70% (Cochrane $p$-value 0.01), so a random-effects model was used. Three studies representing patients treated at Johns Hopkins (40), Michigan (37) (ref) and Mayo (39) reported 3 cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy administered and received by patients. The other 2 studies did not have such granular data as they were analyses of the National Cancer Data Base (records only whether patients received single or multi-agent chemotherapy) (38) and SEER-Medicare database (provider billing data utilized to determine receipt and timing chemotherapy) (29).

Meta-analysis for upper tract urothelial carcinoma studies

There were six studies evaluating the effect of delay to radical nephroureterectomy on survival for UTUC with a total of 4,629 patients (45–50). When evaluating the delay between diagnosis of UTUC and RNU, the meta-analysis revealed a pooled HR of 1.55 (95% CI: 1.19–2.02, $p = 0.001$) for overall survival (Figure 3) and a pooled HR of 2.56 (95% CI: 1.50–4.37, $p = 0.001$) for cancer-specific survival.
There was no evidence of heterogeneity so fixed-effects models were used. Influence analysis showed that Alva et al. (37) had the greatest effect on the result if omitted.

Discussion

The SARS-CoV-2 epidemic has resulted in the cancelation of elective cancer surgeries worldwide, resulting in delay of cares for patients with invasive urothelial carcinoma. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the evidence and the effect of delayed RC and RNU for patients with MIBC and high risk UTUC. Our study suggests that for patients who underwent upfront RC, a delay between bladder cancer diagnosis and undergoing definitive RC was associated with significantly poorer overall survival. Similarly, for UTUC, a delay between UTUC diagnosis to RNU was associated with worse overall and cancer-specific survival.

On the contrary, we found that a delay in RC following neoadjuvant chemotherapy did not impact survival outcomes. This finding is particularly pertinent because increasingly more patients with MIBC are receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy, backed by level one evidence (51). This provides some reassurance to patients who face treatment delays due to chemotherapy related adverse events. Even among a relatively healthy study population in the SWOG-8710 trial, 33% of patients had grade 4 (severe) granulocytopenia, and 17% had grade 3 (moderate) nausea, vomiting, stomatitis, diarrhoea, or constipation after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (52). However, during the COVID-19 pandemic it is important to acknowledge the theoretical competing risk of succumbing to COVID-19 due to an impaired immune system secondary to chemotherapy (53), particularly among the unvaccinated. This may lead to patients or clinicians electing to avoid peri-operative chemotherapy despite guideline recommendations.

Guidelines and societies have risen to the challenge during the COVID pandemic and came up with suggestions on how to overcome and reduce delay in definitive surgery for urology patients. The Urology Research Network from Italy has strategized how best to reorganize routine urologic practice and recommended how to facilitate the process of rescheduling both surgical and outpatient activities during the COVID-19 pandemic, and in subsequent phases (54). For muscle-invasive bladder cancer, radical cystectomy was categorized in the list of
Urological surgical procedures strongly recommended to continue during the pandemic, as delay can jeopardise cancer-related outcomes. Caution is advised in case of bowel resection due to high prevalence of high virus load in stool. Preoperative staging is suggested to be simplified to CT chest, abdomen and pelvis, omitting diagnostic ureteroscopy which was optional with weak strength rating in the 2020 EAU guidelines (54, 55). For high-risk UTUC, radical nephro-ureterectomy with template-based lymphadenectomy is also strongly recommended to continue, with preoperative staging simplified to CT urogram and flexible urethrocystoscopy alone, omitting diagnostic ureteroscopy (54, 55). These recommendations are a key referendum for all to resume routine urologic practice and can help as this pandemic evolves with time.

Another helpful strategy to improve access for patients with haematuria is to use telehealth services to expedite workup with upper tract imaging and flexible cystoscopy, as described in more detail in a review article highlighting practical ways of how telehealth services can be useful during and after the COVID pandemic (56).

The effect of delays in RC has been investigated previously for MIBC. A recent systematic review (19 studies) and meta-analysis (10 studies) was performed for papers up to August 2019, although we found that there were some methodological errors (e.g., hazard ratio for progression-free survival used in overall survival meta-analysis) (57). Our study has updated the literature search up to June 2020 and includes a total of 30 studies in all, representing the latest available evidence for this topic.

Established dogma would suggest that delays in radical surgery for localised cancer carries the risk of disease progression, resulting in patients missing the opportunity to be cured of their cancer (58). Efforts to minimise treatment delays have led to countries such as the United Kingdom establishing cancer targets for providers to initiate treatment within 31 days from the time decision to treat is established (59). However, it is worth bearing in mind that not all cancer types have the same natural history and prognosis, and in the era of the COVID-19 pandemic, a tailored approach based on cancer disease risk should be adopted in terms of prioritising the urgency of each case. Invasive urothelial carcinoma, in the absence of treatment, progresses quickly. Those who decline treatment with curative intent have a 75% chance of dying from bladder cancer and a 40%–50% chance of doing so within 1 year (60). It may also be possible that...
delay in surgery could lead to more advanced disease, and could lead to more postoperative complications.

The question of what constitutes an "acceptable" time to treatment delay is often a subject of investigation. A SEER-Medicare analysis of patients with T2 bladder cancer who underwent RC between 1992 and 2001 identified 441 patients. Patients who experienced a delay of 8–12 weeks had a similar mortality risk compared to those who underwent RC within 4–8 weeks of diagnosis. However, patients who experienced a delay of 12–24 weeks had significantly worse mortality (HR 2.0) (27). Similar findings were demonstrated in an analysis of 2,535 patients who underwent RC for bladder cancer in Ontario, Canada between 1992 and 2004 where the hazard ratio of death gradually increased in a step-wise manner with an increase in waiting times. The risk of death exponentially increased when time to treatment was more than 150 days (32).

Causes of treatment delays can be multifactorial. Patients undergoing RC or RNU are often elderly and may have cardiovascular and respiratory comorbidities following years of exposure to cigarette smoking (1, 2). Hence, it is likely this patient cohort requires a multidisciplinary evaluation and a period of "prehabilitation" prior to radical surgery which may result in a delay in time to treatment (61). Patients initially diagnosed in community hospitals may also experience delays when referred to a tertiary unit if referral pathways are not efficient. This is increasingly encountered due to the centralisation of complex cancer surgery. These factors add to the complexities of treatment delays secondary to the COVID-19, where limited healthcare personal, availability of intensive care beds and ventilators, and efforts to minimise staff and patients from contracting COVID-19 significantly impair the ability to provide prompt surgical treatment. As the world moves on from the COVID-19 pandemic, healthcare systems can learn from the gaps exposed and put together comprehensive plans to remedy shortcomings in healthcare inefficiencies, particularly those related to delay in definitive treatment for cancer.

For example, delay in time to treatment following cancer diagnosis only represents part of the treatment pathway. In our current study, we could not account for delays between the interval that a patient experiences symptoms suggestive of possible cancer until the time they seek medical care (62). This may be addressed with bladder health awareness campaigns such as those from the Bladder Cancer Advocacy Network (BCAN), Action Bladder Cancer UK, or World Bladder Cancer Patient Coalition, just to name a few. In addition, delays exist between the time from initial consultation until the completion of investigations, such as staging tests and histopathological confirmation of cancer. Such delays can also influence cancer outcomes and are likely as important to identify and address.

Despite the strengths of our study, it is not devoid of limitations. These include the varying definitions and cut-offs used in individual studies’ analysis of delay, with most studies using a cut-off of 84–93 days. Despite the EAU guideline’s recommendations of 12 weeks, numerous studies chose to use different cut-offs to define delays. Additionally, there were insufficient granular data from each study, which limited our ability to perform subgroup meta-regression analysis by T or N stages, for example. Additionally, our meta-analysis was limited to studies published up to June 2020. Finally, there was substantial heterogeneity across different studies, although our meta-analysis attempted to overcome this with random effects models.

Conclusion

Our study revealed that a delay between bladder cancer diagnosis and RC was significantly associated with poorer overall survival outcomes, but this was not the case among patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to RC. Similarly, a delay between UTUC diagnosis and RNU was significantly associated with worse overall and cancer-specific survival. In the COVID-19 era where hospital resources may be limited, we need to continue to provide prompt definitive treatment for our patients with urothelial cancers in order to achieve the best oncologic outcomes for them.
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