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ABSTRACT

Introduction Opioid use disorder (OUD) is a worldwide health problem. Clinical trials indicated that acupuncture combined with medication is effective in OUD, however, there are different conclusions presented by previous trials. This study is designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of acupuncture combined with medication in OUD.

Methods and analysis PubMed, CENTRAL, Embase, Web of Science, CINAHL, PsycINFO, ProQuest Dissertation and Theses, AMED, OpenGrey, Clinicaltrials.gov and who.int/trialssearch will be searched in September 2019 without a language restriction. Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-RCTs which included participants with OUD receiving acupuncture therapy combined with medication versus control group will be included in this study. Two reviewers will independently screen studies, extract data, assess risk of bias by the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool and assess quality of evidence by Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach. Any disagreements will be arbitrated by the third reviewer. Data synthesis and analysis will be conducted by using RevMan V.5.3. Subgroup analyses, sensitivity analysis, meta-regression and reporting bias assessment will be conducted if necessary and appropriate.

Ethics and dissemination On account of the nature of this systematic review and meta-analysis, ethical approval is not required. The results will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42019123436.

INTRODUCTION

Opioid use disorder (OUD) is a problematic pattern of opioid use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress. The clinical symptoms of OUD include pain, anxiety, depression, insomnia, craving, weight loss, yawning, tearing, sweating, tremor and so on. World Drug Report 2019 has indicated that an estimated 271 million people had used drugs in the previous year, moreover, the use of opioids has a high prevalence in Africa, Asia, Europe and North America. In 2017, there were an estimated 53.4 million past-year users of opioids globally. The global area under opium poppy cultivation increased by more than a third in 2017, while opium production also remains at record level. The years of ‘healthy’ life lost through premature death and disability are dominated by drug use disorders from 1990 to 2017, especially from the use of opioids. Previous studies declared that OUD patients have high risks of death and disability, and high infection rates of HIV and hepatitis C virus.

Treatments for OUD mainly include pharmacotherapy (eg, opioid agonist maintenance treatment), psychosocial therapy, acupuncture therapy and so on. Acupuncture therapy, which is in wide use for a long
time in some Asian countries, is an important component of traditional Chinese medicine. Previous clinical studies, which explored the efficacy of acupuncture therapies in OUD, had different conclusions.\textsuperscript{16,19–21} Thus, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to discuss the efficacy of acupuncture in treating OUD patients, and indicated that electro acupuncture (EA) could improve craving and depression and transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation could improve insomnia and anxiety.\textsuperscript{22} However, some previous studies demonstrated that acupuncture therapy combined with medication is effective in improving OUD,\textsuperscript{23,24} and acupuncture therapy combined with medication is more effective than pure acupuncture therapy or pure medication.\textsuperscript{18,22,25} It is to be noted that the clinic trials have come to different conclusions in the efficacy of acupuncture therapy combined with medication.\textsuperscript{24–26} Some previous meta-analyses and systematic reviews discussed the efficacy of acupuncture therapies in OUD, not acupuncture therapy combined with medication.\textsuperscript{21,27–29} Grant \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{20} included participants with substance use disorders, namely alcohol, stimulants and opioids substance use, and only included studies published in English before November 2014. Baker and Chang\textsuperscript{30} included participants treated by acupuncture therapy combined with medication, however, it only included studies on auricular acupuncture. Thus, we will conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the efficacy of acupuncture treatment combined with medication for patients with OUD, hoping to prove a stronger evidence for the efficacy of acupuncture therapy combined with medication in OUD.

\section*{METHODS AND ANALYSIS}

This study will be conducted in accordance with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions,\textsuperscript{31} and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines.\textsuperscript{32}

\section*{Search strategy}

The 11 databases will be searched from their inception to September 2019, namely PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Embase, Web of Science, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), PsycINFO, ProQuest Dissertation and Theses, Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED), OpenGrey, Clinicaltrials.gov and who.int/trialsearch. The search terms will include subject headings and free terms, such as “acupuncture”, “acupressure”, “acupoint”, “meridian”, “opioid-related disorders”, “opiate substitution treatment”, “opioid”, “heroin”, “opium” and so on. Considering the particularity of the 11 databases, we will make special search strategies for each database. Here, table 1 shows the search strategy for CENTRAL. And there will be no language restriction.

| # | Searches |
|---|---|
| 1. | MeSH descriptor: [Acupuncture] explode all trees |
| 2. | MeSH descriptor: [Acupuncture Therapy] explode all trees |
| 3. | MeSH descriptor: [Electroacupuncture] explode all trees |
| 4. | MeSH descriptor: [Acupressure] explode all trees |
| 5. | MeSH descriptor: [Acupuncputor or pharmacopuncture or pharmacoacupuncture or acupotom* or electroacupuncture or electro-acupuncture or “electro acupuncture” or “acupuncture” or needl* |
| 6. | MeSH descriptor: [Opioid-Related Disorders] explode all trees |
| 7. | #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 |
| 8. | MeSH descriptor: [Opiate Substitution Treatment] explode all trees |
| 9. | MeSH descriptor: [Heroin] explode all trees |
| 10. | MeSH descriptor: [Morphine] explode all trees |
| 11. | MeSH descriptor: [Tramadol] explode all trees |
| 12. | MeSH descriptor: [Methadone] explode all trees |
| 13. | MeSH descriptor: [Fentanyl] explode all trees |
| 14. | MeSH descriptor: [Codeine] explode all trees |
| 15. | MeSH descriptor: [Hydrocodone] explode all trees |
| 16. | MeSH descriptor: [Buprenorphine] explode all trees |
| 17. | MeSH descriptor: [Oxycodone] explode all trees |
| 18. | MeSH descriptor: [Morphine or Morphia or Morphia or tramadol or meCodeine or methadone or fentanyl or pentoylanl or codeine or methymorphine or hydrocodone or buprenorphine or oxycodone |
| 19. | MeSH descriptor: [Opioid or opioids or opium or opiate or heroin or morphine or morphia or tramadol or methadone or fentanyl or phentanyl or codeine or methoxymethylmorphine or hydrocodone or buprenorphine or oxycodone |
| 20. | MeSH descriptor: [Narcot* or detoxif* or desintoxi* or disintoxi* or disintossi* |
| 21. | #8 or #9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 |
| 22. | #7 AND #21 |

\section*{Inclusion criteria}

\subsection*{Types of studies}

Clinical randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-RCTs, which were published in any languages, will be included in this study. Ongoing RCTs and quasi-RCTs will also be included. Crossover trials and cluster RCTs will be excluded, in addition, non-RCTs, observational studies, animal experiments, narrative reviews, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and case reports will be excluded.

\subsection*{Types of participants}

Trials included participants with primarily OUD, who meet diagnostic criteria of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder or the International Classification of Diseases or other validated criteria or clinical assessment will be included in this study. Participants under 18 years of age and pregnant women will be excluded on account of actual clinical conditions.\textsuperscript{33,34}
There will be no restrictions on participants’ gender and race.

Types of interventions
All types of acupuncture therapies combined with medication will be included in this study. Studies must include at least one of the following comparators:
1. Acupuncture therapies combined with medication versus sham acupuncture combined with medicine.
2. Acupuncture therapies combined with medication versus pure acupuncture therapy.
3. Acupuncture therapies combined with medication versus pure medication treatment.

If there are uncertain interventions, this study will be excluded.

Outcome assessments
For assessing instant effect and treatment stability, studies which measured outcomes after treatment or in follow-up (8 weeks, 12 weeks or longer) will be included.

Primary outcomes
1. Intensity of withdrawal syndrome.
2. Number of positive urine samples for opioids.

Secondary outcomes
1. Intensity of pain, anxiety, depression, insomnia and other associated symptoms.
2. Number of participants with relapse.
3. Retention of treatment.
4. Nature and rate of adverse effect.

Data collection and analysis
Selection of studies
EndNote V.X9 (Clarivate Analytics, Pennsylvania, USA) will be used to manage the search results from above-mentioned databases. In the first step, two reviewers (ZC and RW) will independently identify articles by their title and abstract according to inclusion criteria, and ineligible studies will be removed to trash in EndNote V.X9; in the second step, the two reviewers will independently screen articles by their full-text version. The two reviewers will cross-check the selection results. Any disagreements will be arbitrated by the third reviewer (YR). The process of selection will be showed in figure 1. Each unique study ID will be allocated to each eligible study, which will comprise last name of first author and year of publication (eg. Chen 2019).

Data extractions
The two reviewers (ZC and RW) will independently extract the data into self-designed data extraction form. The data extraction form will include basic information (article title, authors, publication data, country), study design (study type, sample size, characteristics of participants, time of drug abuse, daily opioids use, intervention details, duration, outcome measures) and conclusions. The completed data extraction forms will be cross-checked by the two reviewers. Any diversities will be resolved by the third reviewer (YR).

Figure 1  Flow chart of selection.
Assessment of risk of bias

The two reviewers (ZC and RW) will assess the risk of bias for each included study by the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool. The assessment of risk of bias will include six domains: selection bias (random sequence generation, allocation concealment), performance bias (blinding of participants and personnel), detection bias (blinding of outcome assessment), attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), reporting bias (selective reporting) and other bias. The risk of bias will be graded as low risk, or high risk, or unclear risk. If there is any controversy, the third reviewer (YR) will be consulted. If all key domains are graded as low risk, this study will be graded as low risk; if one or more key domains are graded as high risk, this study will be graded as high risk; if one or more key domains are graded as unclear risk, this study will be graded as unclear risk. The assessment results will be cross-checked by the two reviewers and the disagreements will be handled by the third reviewer (YR).

Assessment of quality of evidence

The two reviewers (ZC and RW) will assess the quality of evidence for outcomes by Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach. The evidence of included randomised study will be graded as high-quality evidence, or be downgraded to moderate, low or very low quality evidence. The quality of evidence will be assessed by the aspects of study design and implementation, directness of evidence, unexplained heterogeneity, imprecision of results and publication bias. The results of assessment will be cross-checked by the two reviewers and be generated by GRADEprofiler (GRADEpro) V.3.6.1 (Evidence Prime, Ontario, Canada). Any controversy will be handled by the the third reviewer (YR).

Data synthesis and analysis

Efficacy data from included studies will be analysed by RevMan V.5.3 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen). For dichotomous outcomes, data will be analysed by risk ratios (RRs) with 95% CIs. For continuous outcomes, if outcomes are measured by different assessment tools, data will be analysed by standardised mean differences with 95% CIs; if outcomes are measured by same assessment tools, data will be analysed by mean differences with 95% CIs.

If there is missing data, we will attempt to contact authors of included study to ask for relevant information. If data are ‘missing at random’, available data will be analysed; if data are ‘not missing at random’, we will attempt to contact authors, investigators or contacts recorded in the clinical trials registry platform to obtain data. Nevertheless, if there is no reply, we will impute the missing data with replacement values, and treating these as if they were observed. Furthermore, sensitivity analysis will be conducted to assess how sensitive results are to reasonable changes in the assumptions that are made, if possible. Heterogeneity will be assessed by $I^2$. If $I^2$ ranges from 0% to 40%, the heterogeneity might not be important; if $I^2$ ranges from 30% to 60%, the heterogeneity may be moderate; if $I^2$ ranges from 50% to 90%, the heterogeneity may be substantial; if $I^2$ ranges from 75% to 100%, the heterogeneity may be considerable. Thus, if $I^2$ is greater than 50%, data will be analysed by using random-effects model; if $I^2$ is equal to or less than 50%, data will be analysed by using fixed-effect model. In addition, subgroup analyses or meta-regression will be used to explore heterogeneity, and we will try to explain heterogeneity from clinical and methodological differences.

If there is multiple-intervention study, the formulae in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions will be used to combine relevant intervention groups into a single group.

Due to the varieties of acupuncture styles, medication, opioids, duration of opioids use, and their influence on clinical therapeutic efficacy, subgroup analyses on these factors will be conducted if data are sufficient.

Sensitivity analysis will be conducted to test the robustness of findings. If studies have significant differences in assessment results of risk of bias, we will exclude study with high risk of bias from analysis.

Meta-regression will be conducted to explore heterogeneity by STATA V.14 (StataCorp LLC, Texas, USA), according to time of drug abuse, types of opioids, daily opioids consumption, acupuncture types, therapeutic medicine types and risk of bias (selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias and other bias). It is worth noting that meta-regression will be conducted when there is at least 10 studies in a meta-analysis.

Reporting bias include publication bias, time lag bias, duplicate publication bias, outcome reporting bias and so on. For avoiding reporting bias as much as possible, we will search the most important databases, international general healthcare databases, subject-specific electronic bibliographic databases, citation index database, dissertations and theses database, grey literature database and clinical trials registry platform. Moreover, funnel plot will be used to assess reporting bias, if number of included studies exceeds 10.

Ethics and dissemination

On account of the nature of systematic review and meta-analysis, ethical approval is not required. The results of this study will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed journal.
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