Dysfunction of lipid storage droplet-2 suppresses endoreplication and induces JNK pathway-mediated apoptotic cell death in *Drosophila* salivary glands
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The lipid storage droplet-2 (LSD-2) protein of *Drosophila* is a homolog of mammalian perilipin 2, which is essential for promoting lipid accumulation and lipid droplet formation. The function of LSD-2 as a regulator of lipolysis has also been demonstrated. However, other LSD-2 functions remain unclear. To investigate the role of LSD-2, we performed tissue-specific depletion in the salivary glands of *Drosophila* using a combination of the Gal4-upstream activating sequence system and RNA interference. LSD-2 depletion inhibited the entry of salivary gland cells into the endoreplication cycle and delayed this process by enhancing CycE expression, disrupting the development of this organ. The deficiency of LSD-2 expression enhanced reactive oxygen species production in the salivary gland and promoted JNK-dependent apoptosis by suppressing dMyc expression. This phenomenon did not result from lipolysis. Therefore, LSD-2 is vital for endoreplication cell cycle and cell death programs.

Lipid droplets—one of the major cellular organelles localized in the cytoplasm—are essential for energy homeostasis¹. Most cells store lipids such as triacylglycerol (TAG) and cholesterol ester in lipid droplets, which are surrounded by a lipid monolayer membrane. Currently, there is a revival of interest in the study of lipid droplets, mostly due to the identification of their critical participation in metabolic diseases, and their role in the infectious cycle of pathogens targeting fat metabolic cells or lipid metabolic pathways for propagation². Lipid droplets have also emerged as essential players in many intracellular trafficking pathways that are directly involved in lipid metabolism, as they can establish functional contact sites on the membranes of multiple organelles³. The role of lipid droplets in inflammatory responses has been recently elucidated⁴. In non-adipocytes, the main role of lipid droplets is protection from lipotoxicity by the storage of fatty acids in the form of neutral TAG. Fatty acids can be converted to lipid intermediates such as diacylglycerol, ceramides, and acyl-CoAs, which affect insulin signaling⁵. Thus, the dysfunction of lipid metabolism and lipid droplets has been implicated in the pathogenesis of numerous diseases, including common metabolic diseases, such as obesity and fatty liver disease, and cancer.

To regulate lipid droplet homeostasis, lipases, such as adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) and hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL), have to access their surface directly. Besides lipases, perilipins are lipid droplet-associated proteins that control intracellular lipid accumulation⁶. In mammals, the function of perilipins mostly concerns lipid metabolism as they regulate ATGL and HSL by either recruiting or preventing the access of these enzymes to lipid droplets⁷. Interestingly, these are evolutionarily conserved in a wide range of organisms, including mammals and the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. In fatty tissues, lipolysis is indirectly regulated based on the phosphorylation of perilipins. In stimulated conditions, ATGL and the comparative gene identification 58 (CGI-58) complex are released by phosphorylated perilipins. This event leads to the full activation of ATGL and initiates the lipolysis process. Qi et al. identified the perilipin 1 locus as an obesity risk factor in humans⁸. Moreover, genetic variations in perilipins are associated with several metabolic disorders, such as type 2 diabetes and partial lipodystrophy⁹. In mice, perilipin 1 dysfunction leads to a leanness phenotype, exhibiting glucose intolerance and insulin resistance, whereas the complete lack of perilipin 1 on the background of a mutation
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in the leptin receptor gene inhibits obesity. Perilipin 2 participates in the pathogenesis of diet-induced insulin resistance. *Drosophila* encodes two perilipin family members—lipid storage droplets-1 and -2 (LSD-1/perilipin 1 (PLIN1) and LSD-2/perilipin 2 (PLIN2), respectively). LSD-1 is expressed on the surface of lipid droplets of different sizes, whereas LSD-2 mainly localizes to smaller lipid droplets. In addition, the location of LSD-1 is exclusively on lipid droplets, whereas LSD-2 is present in the cytoplasm and lipid droplets.

The cell cycle is a process in which cells can replicate and generate two new cells that maintain the same amount of genetic content as the mother cells. The most common cell cycle consists of four phases: G1, S, G2, and M, which involves mitosis. The endoreplication cycle or endocycle comprises only two phases, G- and S-phases, thus generating cells with multiple copies of the genome called polyploid cells. This event generates either a cell that maintains separate nuclei and remains multinucleated, or a cell with an enlarged, single nucleus containing all DNA. Notably, the endocycle is evolutionarily conserved between fungi and humans. Polyploid cells are necessary to achieve normal organ size and functionality in many tissues and organs. Polyploidy plays an essential role in organ development in many organisms as well as in cell differentiation. In addition, endocycles and polyploidy contribute to the protection of genome integrity, tissue homeostasis, and human diseases, especially cancer. Therefore, understanding the endocycle is vital for determining the relationship between tissue homeostasis and diseases.

*Drosophila* has emerged as an excellent model for studying disciplines ranging from fundamental genetics to the development of tissues and organs. The salivary gland is an excellent experimental system for investigating endoreplication. In larval salivary gland cells, endoreplication is activated during the early stage of 3rd-instar larva (from 82- to 84-h-old larva after hatching of embryos [AEH]) and is completed during the late stage 3rd-instar larva (from 110- to 112-h-old larva AEH). The *Drosophila* salivary gland has two ventral ectodermal plates composed of approximately 100 cells each in the region of the presumptive posterior head, and consists of two major cell types: secretory and duct cells. Notch signaling plays an important role in switching cells from the mitotic cycle to the endocyte by activating fuzzy-related/Cdh1 (Fz/Cdh1) and suppressing cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (Cdk1) activity. Moreover, cyclin E/cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CycE)/Cdk2 oscillation may be achieved by the periodic expression of Dacapo, a p27Cip/Kip homolog, and *Drosophila* p21-activated kinase, which inhibits CycE activity. Transcription factor E2f1 is crucial as it is responsible for CycE transcription. *Drosophila*, dMyc plays a vital role in regulating cell size and larval growth and positively controls endoreplication. The c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling pathway is a vital regulatory mechanism that controls stress-induced cell death, cell proliferation regulation, differentiation, metamorphosis, and tumor formation. JNK belongs to the mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade and is initiated by various intrinsic and extrinsic signals, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family ligands.

In the present study, to reveal the function of LSD-2 in the development of the *Drosophila* salivary gland, we analyzed the effect of *Lsd-2* knockdown (KD) on salivary glands from three differently aged 3rd-instar larvae: early stage (82- to 84-h-old AEH), middle stage (96-h-old AEH), and late stage (110- to 112-h-old AEH). We found that LSD-2 was essential for regulating cell death programs and endoreplication, thereby providing novel insights into its function in the JNK pathway.

**Results**

**Localization of LSD-2 in the salivary glands of *Drosophila***. LSD-2 is expressed during all stages of the *Drosophila* lifespan, especially in the fat body tissue of 3rd-instar larvae. To determine the location of LSD-2 in the salivary glands, we stained the salivary glands of the middle stage 3rd-instar larva (96-h-old AEH) of the yellow-white (yw) strain with an anti-LSD-2 antibody. The results showed that LSD-2 signals were highly detected in the lumen of the tube in which the duct cells resided (Fig. 1a–c) and in the intracellular lipid droplets of secretory cells marked with Nile Red (Fig. 1e–g). Furthermore, we overexpressed GFP using C147-GAL4 drivers to confirm the stage of salivary glands in *Drosophila* larvae when GAL4 was expressed. We found that stronger GFP signals were detected at the 3rd-instar larval stage driven by C147-GAL4, indicating that C147-GAL4 is active in the 3rd-instar larvae (Supplementary Figs. S1–S2).

**Dysfunction of LSD-2 disrupted salivary gland development**. To determine LSD-2 function in the development of salivary glands, two RNAi fly lines, UAS-*Lsd-2*-IR*56*,*88* and UAS-*Lsd-2*-IR*2128*,*2148*, were crossed with *Fb*-GAL4, which expresses GAL4 in embryonic, larval salivary glands, and fat bodies. We excluded the effects of off-targets using these two different KD flies. We measured the perimeter of each lobe of the salivary gland to assess its size. As shown in Fig. 2, the control flies displayed an enlargement in the salivary glands approximately 2.9-fold from the 2nd-instar larval stage to the 3rd-instar larval stage, whereas the KD flies did not. Therefore, both KD strains possessed significantly smaller salivary glands in the 3rd-instar larval stage (44% and 36% smaller in the sizes of *Fb>* *Lsd-2*-IR*56*,*88* and *Fb>* *Lsd-2*-IR*2128*,*2148*, respectively) than those in control flies (*Fb>* *yw* and *Fb>* *GFP*-IR). The perimeter of the nucleic area of the salivary gland secretory cells was also calculated to assess the nuclei of salivary gland cells. The control flies showed a 3.0-fold higher average from the 2nd-instar to the 3rd-instar larval stage; however, the two KD flies (*Fb>* *Lsd-2*-IR*56*,*88* and *Fb>* *Lsd-2*-IR*2128*,*2148*) showed increased only 1.7-fold and 1.5-fold, respectively, on average.

The other KD flies were established by crossing the UAS-*Lsd-2*-IR*56*,*88* strain with the C147-GAL4 driver. The obtained flies possessed smaller and underdeveloped salivary glands during the middle 3rd-instar larval stage (Fig. 3i–Lm) compared to the control flies (Fig. 3a–h,m). Although the salivary glands of the *Lsd-2* KD flies contained approximately the same number of cells as the controls (100–110 cells/lobe), the salivary gland cells from the 3rd-instar larvae of the *Lsd-2* KD flies were represented by a disrupted cell skeleton, smaller nuclei, and reduced DNA content (Fig. 3i–V, n,o) compared to those of the control flies (Fig. 3a–h,n,o). Therefore, LSD-2 depletion suppressed endoreplication, resulting in decreased polyploidy levels.
the loss of LSD-1 protein expression, and the LSD-1 overexpression strain was generated by cloning a fragment of mutant, notypes by observing the loss-of-function or overexpression of LSD-1 in flies26, which was established by introducing the analyzed KD salivary glands. First, we analyzed Lsd-2 humans and HSL, and LSD-1 in the increase of intracellular lipid droplets in flies26, causing by a deficiency of energy stocks, we measured the TAG content of whole bodies and salivary glands of middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of the control and LSD-2 KD flies (Fig. 4g,h). The number of lipid droplets stained with Nile red was also elevated in the salivary glands of KD flies (Fig. 4c) compared to that in the salivary glands of control flies (Fig. 4a,b). We hypothesized that the extreme accumulation of intracellular lipid droplets may result from the suppression of lipolysis in the salivary glands of LSD-2 KD flies. The homozygous LSD-2 KD phenotype is not related to lipolysis.

A flip-out experiment was performed to confirm the targeted effects of Lsd-2 dsRNA (Lsd-2-IR<sub>C147-AS85</sub>) on LSD-2 expression in the salivary gland. Cells expressing Lsd-2 dsRNA were marked with green fluorescent protein-GFP (green). Immunostaining showed a decreased level of LSD-2 signal (red) in the GFP-marked cells, confirming the specific KD of Lsd-2 in the salivary glands (Supplementary Fig. S3). Furthermore, quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) and immunostaining showed that the mRNA and protein levels of Lsd-2 were significantly decreased in the salivary glands of the KD flies, C147 &gt; Lsd-2-IR (Supplementary Fig. S4). These results confirmed the specific KD of Lsd-2 in the salivary glands after Lsd-2 dsRNA expression.

LSD-2 KD phenotype is not related to lipolysis. To confirm whether Lsd-2 KD phenotypes were caused by a deficiency of energy stocks, we measured the TAG content of whole bodies and salivary glands of middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of controls (C147 &gt; yw and C147 &gt; GFP-IR) and Lsd-2 KD (C147 &gt; Lsd-2-IR) flies. Lsd-2 KD had a significant increase in TAG levels in the salivary glands and whole body (Fig. 4g,h). The number of lipid droplets stained with Nile Red was also elevated in the salivary glands of KD flies (Fig. 4c) compared to that in the salivary glands of control flies (Fig. 4a,b). We hypothesized that the extreme accumulation of intracellular lipid droplets might indirectly affect the development of salivary glands due to excess lipotoxicity. To clarify this point, we investigated the involvement of lipases, Bummer (BMM), which is homologous to ATGL in humans and HSL, and LSD-1 in the increase of intracellular lipid droplets in Lsd-2 KD salivary glands. First, we analyzed bmm and hsl mRNA expression during the middle stage of 3rd-instar larvae of the control and Lsd-2 KD flies using RT-qPCR. The results demonstrated that the levels of both lipase mRNAs in KD flies were significantly lower than those in the control flies (Fig. 4i), suggesting that the excessive accumulation of intracellular lipid droplets may result from the suppression of lipolysis in the salivary gland by deficient LSD-2 expression.

Next, we evaluated BMM expression using a reporter assay by crossing Lsd-2 KD flies with bmm RNAi flies26, which was established by introducing the GFP gene as a downstream reporter of the bmm promoter-GFP flies26. These data reinforced our hypothesis that lipolysis was interrupted in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD. Both lipases are regulated by LSD-1 activation26. Therefore, we investigated the association between LSD-1 and Lsd-2 KD phenotypes by observing the loss-of-function or overexpression of LSD-1 in Lsd-2 KD flies. The homozygous LSD-1 mutant, Lsd-1<sup>−/−</sup>, has a deletion from position – 509 to + 82 containing the putative start site of LSD-1, causing the loss of LSD-1 protein expression, and the LSD-1 overexpression strain was generated by cloning a fragment of the LSD-1 complement DNA covering the LSD-1 open reading frame, enhancing LSD-1 protein expression. We
established Lsd-2 KD flies on the background of the Lsd-1 mutant or in which Lsd-1 was overexpressed by the C147-GAL4 driver to check whether the Lsd-2 phenotypes could be rescued. Consistent with the bmm overexpression results, the accumulation of intracellular lipid droplets was suppressed by the deficient expression of Lsd-1 (Fig. 4c,h), whereas the overexpression of Lsd-1 significantly enhanced the accumulation of lipid droplets (Fig. 4f,h). Abnormal salivary gland development, such as smaller salivary glands and their nuclei induced by Lsd-2 KD, was not rescued by increasing or decreasing the expression of BMM and LSD-1 (Fig. 4i). These data imply that disrupted salivary gland development in Lsd-2 KD flies may not be caused by deficient TAG storage or lipotoxicity.

**Dysfunction of LSD-2 delayed endoreplication cycle progression.** The reduction in polyteny levels in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD could be caused by either fewer endoreplication cycles or an abrupt blockage of replication at the earlier stages of endoreplication. To prove this, we analyzed 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) incorporation into secretory cells at two different stages of 3rd-instar larvae: the early stage and the late stage. The control flies showed 40.7% EdU-positive cells/salivary gland cells on average at the early stage, but no EdU-positive cells among most of the cells at the late stage, except for some cells in the proximal region (Fig. 5a,d,i,m). For Lsd-2 KD flies, 0.7% of cells in the salivary gland were EdU-positive at the early stage, and EdU incorporation was continued (14.5% EdU-positive cells) even at the late stage (Fig. 5g,p), suggesting that LSD-2 depletion prevented the salivary gland cells from entering the S phase and caused incomplete termination of endoreplication at the expected time points. These results imply that the Lsd-2 KD cells in the salivary glands displayed a late endoreplication process.

---

**Figure 2.** Knockdown of Lsd-2 induced a smaller salivary gland in 3rd-instar larvae. DIC images of salivary glands from 2nd-instar larvae (a–d) and 3rd-instar larvae (i–l) are shown. Control flies were obtained by mating Fb-GAL4 driver flies with the yw strain (a,e,i,m) and with GFP-IR (b,f,j,n). The salivary gland size of Lsd-2 KD flies [Fb > Lsd-2-IR556-888 (c,g,k,o) and Fb > Lsd-2-IR3128-2148 (d,h,l,p)] were normal in 2nd-instar larvae (c–d), but smaller in 3rd-instar larvae (k,l) than those of the control flies (a,b,i,j). The relative sizes of salivary glands of 2nd-instar and 3rd-instar larvae of Lsd-2 KD flies compared to those of control flies are shown (q). The salivary gland cells of 2nd-instar and 3rd-instar larvae from controls and Lsd-2 KD flies were stained with DAPI to visualize the DNA (e–h,m–p). The nucleic size of salivary gland secretory cells from Lsd-2 KD flies was normal in 2nd-instar larvae (g,h), but smaller in 3rd-instar larvae (o,p) compared to those of control flies (e,f,m,n). The relative nucleic size of salivary gland secretory cells from 2nd-instar and 3rd-instar larvae of Lsd-2 KD flies compared to those of control flies are shown (r). The red arrows indicate salivary glands. The perimeter of salivary gland size and the nucleus cell size of the salivary gland were analyzed using ImageJ software (n=20 for each genotype). The statistical significance of the differences in parameters between Lsd-2 KD and control flies was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Scale bar, 200 µm (a–d,i–l), and 50 µm (e–h,m,n). n.s., no significant; ***p<0.001. Genotypes: (a,e,i,m); Fb-GAL4/+; +, (b,f,j,n); Fb-GAL4/+; UAS-GFP-IR/+; (c,g,k,o); Fb-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556-888/+; (d,h,l,p); Fb-GAL4/+; UAS-Lsd-2-IR3128-2148/+; DIC differential interference contrast. 

---

The reduction in polyteny levels in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD could be caused by either fewer endoreplication cycles or an abrupt blockage of replication at the earlier stages of endoreplication. To prove this, we analyzed 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine incorporation into secretory cells at two different stages of 3rd-instar larvae: the early stage and the late stage. The control flies showed 40.7% EdU-positive cells/salivary gland cells on average at the early stage, but no EdU-positive cells among most of the cells at the late stage, except for some cells in the proximal region. For Lsd-2 KD flies, 0.7% of cells in the salivary gland were EdU-positive at the early stage, and EdU incorporation was continued (14.5% EdU-positive cells) even at the late stage, suggesting that LSD-2 depletion prevented the salivary gland cells from entering the S phase and caused incomplete termination of endoreplication at the expected time points. These results imply that the Lsd-2 KD cells in the salivary glands displayed a late endoreplication process.
Oscillation of the CyclinE (CycE)-CDK2 complex is essential for endoreplication cycles and the transition from G1 to S phase\(^2\). We speculated that the G1/S checkpoint in the Lsd-2 KD salivary gland might be activated by the dysregulated expression of CycE. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the mRNA levels of cyclin E in the salivary glands of early 3rd-instar larvae of Lsd-2 KD and control (C147 > yw) strains by RT-qPCR. As hypothesized, CycE mRNA levels were significantly increased in KD flies (Supplementary Fig. S5g). Next, we established an overexpression strain of CycE, and the protein levels of CycE in early 3rd-instar larval salivary gland were examined by staining with the anti-CycE antibody. The results demonstrated that the CycE protein was detected more strongly than the control flies (Supplementary Fig. S5a–c). Thus, we confirmed the reactivity of the CycE antibodies. Immunostaining showed higher expression levels of CycE protein in the Lsd-2 KD flies (Supplementary Fig. S5d).

Furthermore, we established a double KD strain of Lsd-2 and CycE by a combination of C147-GAL4 and RNAi to determine whether the phenotype of the Lsd-2 KD flies could be rescued. The phenotype of the smaller salivary glands in Lsd-2 KD flies was partially rescued by CycE KD (Supplementary Fig. S5e–q). Taken together, reduced Lsd-2 expression disrupts the regulation of CycE expression, leading to delayed S-phase progression in the endocyte.

**LSD-2 dysfunction causes reactive oxygen species (ROS) and induces activation of the JNK pathway.** Previous study has indicated that depletion of LSD-2 increases reactive oxygen species (ROS) production\(^3\). To detect intracellular ROS production in Lsd-2 KD flies, we used the non-fluorescent substrate CM-H\(_2\)DCFDA, which can be oxidized by ROS to an intracellular green fluorescent product. Figure 6c,d showed that much stronger fluorescent signals were detected in the salivary gland cells of Lsd-2 KD larvae, implying that deficient LSD-2 led to increased ROS production in the salivary gland cells.

During the pupal stages, JNK is activated and promotes disintegration of salivary glands\(^11,12\). Therefore, we hypothesized that LSD-2 dysfunction might activate the JNK pathway earlier. Figure 7a–l showed that LSD-2 depletion induced the translocation of p-JNK from the cytoplasm into the nucleus of salivary gland cells of middle stage 3rd-instar larvae. Fat body cells, used as an internal control, showed no change in the translocation of p-JNK between the control (Fig. 7a–l) and Lsd-2 KD flies (Fig. 7m–x). Next, we examined JNK activation indirectly by detecting the expression of puckered (puc) using a lacZ reporter, which was previously reported to be highly expressed in Drosophila JNK-activated cells (Fig. 7m–x). Consistent with the above results, the signal of puc-lacZ was significantly elevated in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies (Fig. 7u–t) compared to controls (Fig. 7m–t). These results suggest that Lsd-2 expression is essential for regulating the activation of the JNK signaling pathway.

**KD of Lsd-2 led to DNA damage-induced apoptotic cell death.** To reveal that salivary gland cells induce DNA damage by the depletion of Lsd-2, we examined the expression of histone variant H2Av phosphorylated at Ser137 (γH2Av), a marker for an early signal of DNA damage induced by replication stress\(^33,34\). As shown in Fig. 8j, salivary glands from middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of Lsd-2 KD flies showed a markedly increased number of γH2Av-positive cells, suggesting that the knockdown of Lsd-2 on salivary gland cells led to DNA damage. Next, we examined whether Lsd-2 KD enhanced apoptotic cell death. After immunostaining, salivary glands from middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of Lsd-2 KD flies showed significantly higher cleaved caspase-3 signals than the control flies (Fig. 8a,e,i).

We further stained the salivary glands with amino-actinomycin D (7-AAD), which was used to stain dead cells. We found large numbers of 7-AAD-positive cells in the salivary gland from the late stage 3rd-instar larvae of Lsd-2 KD flies (Supplementary Fig. S6a–c). Our data indicate that Lsd-2 dysfunction causes abnormal cell morphology and apoptotic cell death in response to DNA damage.

**LSD-2 controls the translocation of Myc from the cytoplasm into the nucleus of salivary gland cells.** In Drosophila, dMyc inhibits cell death triggered by JNK signaling and plays an essential role in the regulation of cell growth and cell size\(^15,36\). dMyc also positively controls endoreplication in Drosophila salivary gland cells\(^27,28\). Immunostaining with anti-dMyc antibody demonstrated that dMyc protein was located in the nucleus of salivary gland cells from the middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of control flies (Fig. 9a,e and Supplementary Video S1a,b). In contrast, dMyc protein was found in the cytoplasm but not in the nucleus of Lsd-2 KD flies (Fig. 9i and Supplementary Video S1c), whereas it was detected in the nucleus of fat body cells used as an internal control. Therefore, Lsd-2-KD suppressed the translocation of dMyc from the cytoplasm into the nucleus.

To confirm the genetic interaction between Lsd-2 and dMyc, we generated Lsd-2 KD flies that overexpressed dMyc or GFP as a control. Under the influence of dMyc overexpression, the atrophied salivary gland phenotypes induced by Lsd-2 dysfunction were ameliorated compared to the control flies (Supplementary Fig. S7). These results indicate that Lsd-2 expression is essential for the translocation of dMyc to the salivary glands, leading to a controlled JNK signaling pathway.

**Discussion**

PLIN2 is expressed in adipose tissue and almost all other cell types, including hepatocytes and macrophages\(^29\). In Drosophila, LSD-2 functions as a conserved surface-associated module of lipid droplets in all cells and muscles and plays a pivotal role in lipolysis and lipid droplet transport\(^30\). In addition, LSD-2 in the wing pouch of the wing imaginal disc plays a role in neutral lipid accumulation and wing development by regulating FoxO-dependent
Knockdown of Lsd-2 suppressed the endoreplication of salivary gland cells in middle stage 3rd-instar larvae. Control (C147>yw and C147>GFP-IR) flies were obtained by crossing the C147-GAL4 driver with the yw strain and RNAi GFP strain, respectively, whereas Lsd-2 KD (C147>lsd-2-IR556-888/; +) flies were established using the C147-GAL4 driver. The salivary glands of 3rd-instar larval flies from the controls and Lsd-2 KD flies were stained with phalloidin to label the cell skeleton (a,a',e,e',i,i'), and DAPI to visualize the DNA (b,b',f,f',j,j').

Merged images of phalloidin and DAPI signals (c,c',g,g',k,k') are shown. DIC images of whole salivary glands from 3rd-instar larvae (d,d',h,h',l,l') are shown. Lsd-2 KD flies (i) possessed small salivary glands compared to those of control flies (a,e). The panels (a',e',i,i') are higher magnifications of the boxed regions in (a,e,i), respectively. The relative size of the salivary gland (n = 16 for each genotype) (m), relative DAPI intensity (n = 30 for each genotype) (n), and relative nucleic size of salivary gland cells (n = 30 for each genotype) (o) of 3rd-instar larval salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies, compared to those of the control flies, are shown. The sizes of the salivary glands and nuclei were analyzed using the ImageJ software. DAPI intensity was analyzed using MetaMorph software. The salivary glands are demarcated with dotted lines (b,b',f,f',j,j').

The statistical significance of the differences in parameters between Lsd-2 KD and control flies was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Scale bar, 200 μm (a–i); 50 μm (a’–i’); n.s., no significant; ***p<0.001.

Genotypes: (a–d,d’–d’)+; C147-GAL4/+; +; (e–h and e’–h’)+; C147-GAL4/+; UAS-GFP-IR/+; (i–l,l’–l’)+; C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556-888/+; +. DIC differential interference contrast.

cell death.14,42 Previous studies have demonstrated that LSD-2 localizes in the cytoplasm of salivary gland cells; however, the function of LSD-2 in salivary gland development has hardly been reported12,24. In the present study, we found that LSD-2 is expressed at high levels in specific areas of the salivary gland, in the intracellular lipid droplets of secretory cells and the lumen of the tube in which duct cells reside, which connects the secretory cells to the larval mouth (Fig. 1). Several studies have implied that ectopic expression of PLIN1 promotes lipid accumulation and lipid droplet formation in non-adipocytes of mammals. Grönke et al. reported that LSD-2 is enriched in fat bodies of embryos, larvae, and the female germ line, where it plays a critical role in lipid metabolism34. These findings encouraged us to clarify the function of LSD-2 during salivary gland development.

Our results demonstrated that LSD-2 is involved in salivary gland development at the 3rd-instar larval stage, which is characterized by small nuclei, reduced DNA content, and disrupted cell structure, but not at the 2nd-instar stage. Therefore, LSD-2 might be involved in salivary gland development by regulating endoreplication at the 3rd-instar stage.

The KD of Lsd-2 in the whole salivary gland using the C147-GAL4 driver may influence the formation of duct cells, and affect nutritional intake. If this hypothesis is correct, these effects could lead to a reduction in TAG content, which is accumulated via larval food intake and disrupts the formation of the puparium. Ultimately, these effects might affect the development of salivary glands and the life cycle of Drosophila. However, the present study showed that depletion of Lsd-2 expression increased TAG content slightly in the whole body and significantly in the salivary glands of the 3rd-instar larvae (Fig. 1g,h). In addition, whole body weight and lipid accumulation were not affected by Lsd-2 KD, specifically in the salivary gland (data not shown). Therefore, reduced LSD-2 expression did not affect the food intake of larvae or puparium formation.

The main function of LSD-2 is to protect lipid droplets from lipolysis mediated by a lipase, Brummer44. Grönke et al. also showed that adult lsd-2-mutant flies showed significantly reduced TAG levels24. Furthermore, Fauny et al. demonstrated that neutral lipid accumulation in the wing disc was severely decreased in lsd-2 mutants, but was elevated after Lsd-2 overexpression41. Bi et al.12 demonstrated that plin2-mutant flies have smaller lipid droplets in larval fat body cells than wild-type flies. Therefore, the observations in the present study, that is, increased TAG content and size/number of lipid droplets in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies, indicate that lipolysis on the lipid droplets may be suppressed in this case (Fig. 1c,h). To clarify this, by analyzing the expression of lipases bmm and hsl using RT-qPCR, we found that the mRNA levels of these lipases were significantly decreased in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies (Fig. 1i). Moreover, the reporter assay by monitoring the GFP signal as a downstream reporter of the bmm promoter also demonstrated decreased expression of bmm (Fig. 1k,l). Therefore, lipolysis in the Lsd-2 KD salivary glands may be interrupted by the suppression of lipase expression.

A previous study implied that PLIN1 might have the same function as PLIN2 in the fat body of plin2-mutant flies12. Thus, the excessive intracellular lipid droplets in Lsd-2 KD salivary gland cells might be due to overcompensation after Lsd-1 expression. To test this hypothesis, we established Lsd-2 KD flies on the background of Lsd-11 and confirmed that the excess accumulation of intracellular lipid droplets by Lsd-2 KD was partially decreased (Fig. 1e,h). In addition, the enhanced lipolysis in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies overexpressing bmm strongly suppressed the accumulation of intracellular lipid droplets caused by Lsd-2 depletion (Fig. 1d,h). These results reinforced our hypothesis that the increase in intracellular lipid droplets in the salivary gland cells of Lsd-2 KD flies was due to the overcompensation of Lsd-1. The increased or decreased accumulation of intracellular lipid droplets by manipulating BMM or LSD-1 expression did not affect the Lsd-2 KD phenotype or abnormal salivary gland development (Fig. 1i). Thus, we could exclude the possibility that elevated intracellular lipid droplet accumulation in salivary gland leads to cytotoxicity, indirectly causing aberrant salivary gland development.

The organs employ various cell processes, such as proliferation and apoptosis, to fight against harmful internal and external conditions and maintain homeostasis. In several tissues, an alternative mechanism, endoreplication, is used for similar targets. The endoreplication cycle comprises only G- and S-phases, and therefore, generates polyploid cells, which are indispensable for achieving normal size and functionality of a range of tissues and organs45. Endoreplication also contributes significantly to the maintenance of genome integrity and tissue homeostasis. In animals, endoreplicating cells acquire resistance to DNA damage by lowering proapoptotic gene
expression levels and play an essential role in regeneration. The salivary gland of Drosophila is well known as a model for studying the endoreplication cycle. In the present study, LSD-2 affected the endocycle via the JNK pathway in Drosophila. The salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies contained approximately the same number of cells as the controls (100–110 cells/lobe), despite their smaller size. However, Lsd-2 KD did not show EdU-positive cells at 84-h AEH in the 3rd-instar larva (Fig. 5). At 110–122-h AEH, the salivary gland cells of KD flies began to enter the endocycle, while endoreplication was completed in the salivary gland cells of the control cells. Therefore, Lsd-2 depletion prevented the salivary gland cells from entering the endocycle and delayed this progression, leading to the formation of smaller salivary glands (Fig. 3). Previous studies have shown that cyclin E/CDK2 activity is the primary driver of S-phase entry, and a period of low CycE/Cdk2 activity in CycE oscillations is required for relapsed-origin cells to allow successive endocycles. Furthermore, these results indicate that abnormal endoreplication might be related to the misregulation of CycE expression in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies. We found that CycE expression in early 3rd-instar larvae of Lsd-2 KD flies was higher in the salivary glands. Continuous overexpression of CycE in the salivary glands delays endocycle progression, resulting in small salivary glands with nuclei that have undergone little DNA replication, or in ovarian follicle cells blocking polyploidization. Therefore, low progression of the endocycle in the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies was caused by the overexpression of CycE.

The delay in cell entry into the S-phase in KD flies might be associated with DNA damage induced by replication stress. Our results demonstrated that the salivary gland showed an extremely high level of hH2Av protein, a marker of DNA damage (Fig. 8). We also found that the salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD flies displayed an increase in ROS production, followed by complete disruption of the cell structure, skeleton, and membrane (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. S6). These data encouraged us to hypothesize that the cell death program was activated after Lsd-2 depletion in the salivary glands. As expected, we found increased signals for anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibody and 7-AAD, confirming that the salivary gland cells in the Lsd-2 KD flies had died (Fig. 8). We also found that the salivary glands of LSD-1 (Lsd-2-IR; Lsd-1-1OV) displayed increased signals for anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibody and 7-AAD, confirming that the salivary gland cells in the Lsd-2 KD flies had died (Fig. 8). These results suggest that LSD-2 dysfunction disrupted cell morphology and apoptotic cell death in response to DNA damage in the 3rd-instar larva.

Development of the Drosophila salivary gland is achieved primarily via the endoreplication cycle. Consequently, salivary gland tissue is mainly composed of polyploid cells. This development is regulated by the JNK cell death in response to DNA damage in the 3rd-instar larvae. The elevation of intracellular lipid droplets stained with Nile Red in Lsd-2 KD was suppressed by overexpression of BMM (C147-Lsd-2-IR; bmm-OV, d) or lack of LSD-1 expression (C147-Lsd-2-IR; Lsd-1-1OV, e). In contrast, the elevation of intracellular lipid droplets was enhanced by overexpression of LSD-1 (C147-Lsd-2-IR; Lsd-1-1OV, f) and remained unchanged by the expression of GFP dsRNAi (c). Merged images of DAPI and Nile Red staining are shown (a–f). Relative TAG levels in the whole body of controls and Lsd-2 KD (g), and salivary glands of Lsd-2 KD, Lsd-2-IR; bmm-OV, Lsd-1-1OV, and Lsd-2-IR; Lsd-1-1OV 3rd-instar larvae, to those in the controls (h), were analyzed (n = 4 for each genotype). The relative salivary gland sizes of Lsd-2 KD, Lsd-2-IR; bmm-OV, Lsd-2-IR; Lsd-1-1OV, and Lsd-2-IR; Lsd-1-1OV 3rd-instar larvae, to those in the controls were analyzed using ImageJ software (i, n = 30 for each phenotypes). The relative bmm and hsl mRNA levels in the salivary glands of 3rd-instar larvae of control and Lsd-2 KD flies were analyzed using RT-qPCR (j, n = 4). Fluorescence microscopy images of 3rd-instar larval salivary glands of GFP-expression flies under regulation of the bmm promoter, controls (C147- bmm promoter-GFP, k), and KD flies (C147-Lsd-2-IR; bmm promoter-GFP, l) are shown. The merged images of GFP and DAPI are shown (k’ and l’). The GFP intensity in the nuclei of 3rd-instar larval salivary glands of control and Lsd-2 KD flies were analyzed using MetaMorph software (m, n = 12 for each genotype). The salivary gland is demarcated with a dotted line. The statistical significance of the difference was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s t-test. Scale bar, 50 μm; n.s., no significant; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Genotypes: (a,a’); C147-GAL4/++; (b,b’); C147-GAL4/++; C147-GAL4/UAS-GFP-IR/++; (c,c’); C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888/UAS-GFP-IR/++; (d,d’); C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888/UAS-bmm-OV/++; (e,e’); C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888/Lsd-1-1OV/++; (f,f’); C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888/UAS-Lsd-1-1OV/++; (k,k’); C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888/bmm promoter-GFP/++; (l,l’); C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888/bmm promoter-GFP/+.
the cell structure of the late 3rd-instar larval salivary gland in Drosophila was completely destroyed by the cell death program. Our data implied that LSD-2 may regulate both endoreplication and cell death in Drosophila salivary glands by controlling Myc expression. These results demonstrated a novel function of LSD-2 in the regulation of development in the salivary gland and provide the first evidence regarding the function of LSD-2 in endocycle progression. Therefore, further experiments should be conducted to elucidate these mechanisms.

Methods
Fly stocks. Fly stocks were reared at 25 °C on standard food. The wild type strain used was yw. Transgenic flies carrying UAS-Lsd-2-IR2128-2148 and UAS-Lsd-2-IR556-888 were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Centre at Indiana University, USA, and the Vienna Drosophila Resource Centre, respectively, and possessed inverted repeats of the DNA downstream to the UAS sequence, to generate dsRNA that targets the region of Lsd-2 from nucleotides 2128 to 2148 and 556 to 888, respectively. UAS-Lsd-1-OV, and Lsd-1 flies were gifts from Prof. Ronald P. Kühllein (University of Graz, Austria). RNAi line carrying UAS-GFP-IR for dsRNA control was obtained from Bloomington Stock Centre. GFP is a protein that isolated from the jellyfish, and much less harmful when expressed in Drosophila cells. All other flies were provided by the Kyoto Stock Centre at the Kyoto Institute of Technology, Japan, or by the Bloomington Stock Centre.

Flip-out experiment. RNAi clones in the salivary gland and fat body were generated using a flip-out system. hs-flp; Act5C> FRT y FRT > GAL4; UAS-GFP fly was a gift from Prof. Masamitsu Yamaguchi (Kyoto Institute of Technology, Japan). hs-flp; Act5C> FRT y FRT > GAL4; UAS-GFP female flies were crossed with

Figure 5. Reduction of LSD-2 led to a delayed S-phase in the salivary gland. Salivary glands from two age groups of 3rd-instar larvae, 82- to 84-h AEH (a–i) and 110- to 112-h AEH (j–s), of controls (CI47 > yw and CI47 > GFP-IR), and Lsd-2 KD (CI47 > Lsd-2-IR556-888) flies were stained with click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 594 (a,d,g,j,m,q) and DAPI (b,e,h,k,n,q). Merged images of EdU and DAPI staining are shown (c,f,i,o,s). Each panel (j–l,p–r) includes higher magnifications of the boxed regions shown in the left panels (j–l,p–r). The dotted line indicates the salivary gland area. The number of EdU-positive cells in 3rd-instar larvae was analyzed using MetaMorph software (s, n = 12 for each genotype). The statistical significance of the differences between Lsd-2 KD and control flies was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Scale bar, 200 µm or 5 µm (large magnification images); n.s., not significant; ***p < 0.001. Genotypes: (a–c,j–l)+; C147-GAL4/++; (d–f,m–o)+; C147-GAL4/++; UAS-GFP-IR/+, (g–i,p–r)+; C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556-888+.
UAS-Lsd-2-IR to generate random GFP-positive clones. Flip-out was induced by heat shock (45 min at 37 °C) 24–48 h after egg laying.

**Immunostaining.** Five male and female transgenic flies were mated and kept for 1 day at 25 °C, after which they were transferred to a new tube containing standard food for 1 h to deposit eggs, to obtain a synchronized larval age. During the desired larval growth period, salivary glands were collected for assays. Approximately 20–30 salivary glands were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and stained as previously described. The following primary antibodies were used: rat anti-LSD-2 (at a ratio of 1:4000), kindly provided by Dr. Anne Ephrussi (European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany), rabbit anti-cleaved caspase-3 IgG (1:800, Cell Signaling Technology), mouse anti-Myc (at a ratio of 1:400, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank USA), mouse anti-γH2Av (homolog of human histone variant; at a ratio of 1:800, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), and guinea pig anti-cyclin E (at a ratio of 1:200, a gift from Prof. Jared Nordman, Vanderbilt University, USA). Samples were then incubated with secondary antibodies labeled with either Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 594 (1:800, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, USA). EdU labeling was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Molecular Probes). For nuclei staining, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole was used. Nile red was used to stain lipid droplets. The samples were mounted in Vectashield® mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan). The samples were inspected using a confocal laser microscope (FLUOVIEW FV10i, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), and the fluorescence intensities were analyzed using MetaMorph software (version 7.7.7.0; Molecular Devices, USA).

**Triglyceride assays.** TAG content was measured using an Infinity Triglyceride Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), as described previously. Protein concentration was measured using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Protein Assay Kit II, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., CA, USA) to adjust the sample amount.

**Evaluation of phenotypes.** Approximately 20–30 salivary glands were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. The samples were then stained with DAPI at 25 °C for 30 min. After reacting with DAPI, salivary glands were mounted on a glass slide in Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan), and then inspected using a fluorescence FV10i microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at the same magnification and intensity. The cell number and perimeter of each lobe of the whole salivary glands were calculated to assess cell number and size.

For nucleic cells, the perimeter of the nucleic area of the salivary gland secretory cells was measured to assess the nuclei of salivary gland cells.

---

**Figure 6.** Knockdown of Lsd-2 induces overproduction of ROS in salivary gland. ROS generation in the salivary glands of middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of controls (a,b) and Lsd-2 KD (c) was detected using CM-H2DCFDA. The controls (C147>yw and C147>GFP-IR) showed unclear ROS signals in salivary gland cells, while the Lsd-2 KD (C147>Lsd-2-IR) showed increased ROS signals. DIC images of salivary glands from 3rd-instar larvae (a’–c’) are shown. Relative ROS intensity in the salivary glands was analyzed using MetaMorph software (d, n = 16 for each phenotype). The images in the figure are representative images of 16 salivary glands. The dotted line indicates salivary gland area. The statistical significance of differences in ROS intensity between control and Lsd-2 KD flies was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). n.s., no significant; ***p < 0.001. Scale bar, 50 µm. Genotypes: (a,a’)+; C147-GAL4/+; +, (b,b’)+; C147-GAL4/UAS-GFP-IR/+; +, (c,c’)+; C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556-888/+; +. DIC differential interference contrast.
Figure 7. *Lsd-2* KD induced the activation of JNK pathway in the salivary gland. Salivary glands from middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of controls and *Lsd-2* KD flies were stained with rabbit anti-p-JNK antibody (a,e,i). The controls and *Lsd-2* KD flies, which were the introduced *puc-lacZ* gene, were stained with chicken anti-lacZ (m,q,u). Both were followed by reaction with anti-rabbit or chicken IgG Alexa Fluor™ 594 antibody and DAPI (b,f,j,n,r,v). Merged images of DAPI and rabbit anti-p-JNK (c,g,k) or anti-lacZ (o,s,w) antibody staining are shown. DIC images of salivary glands from 3rd-instar larvae (d,h,l,p,t,x) are shown. The dotted line indicates the salivary gland area. The images in the figure are representative images of 26 salivary glands. Scale bar, 50 μm (a–l) or 200 μm (m–x). Genotypes: (a–d) *C147-GAL4/+*; (e–h) *C147-GAL4/+; UAS-GFP-IR/+,* (i–l) *C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888; +,* (m–p) *C147-GAL4/+; UAS-puc-lacZ/+,* (q–t) *C147-GAL4/+; UAS-GFP-IR/UAS-puc-lacZ,* (u–x) *C147-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888; UAS-puc-lacZ/+.*
Quantification of DNA content. A confocal laser microscope (FLUOVIEW FV10i, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used to take 60× magnification images and the same intensity through nuclei in the salivary gland or fat body. The fluorescence intensity of single nuclei was calculated using MetaMorph software (version 7.7.7.0; Molecular Devices, USA). The average ratio intensity of the nucleic area of the salivary gland secretory cells to that of fat body cells was also calculated to assess the nuclei of salivary gland cells.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted from salivary glands using standard Qiazol reagent (Qiagen, Germany), followed by purification using a Qiagen RNeasy® Kit. cDNA was synthesized using a SimpliAmp Thermal Cycler (Life Technologies, Singapore). RT-qPCR was performed using FastStart Essential DNA Green Master Mix (Roche, Germany) on a LightCycler® 96 (Roche). Rp49 was used as the internal control. The sequences of gene-specific primers for rp49 and Lsd-2 were as follows: rp49 forward: 5’-ACCAGCTTCAAGATGACCATCC-3’ and reverse: 5’-CTTGTTTGATCCGTAAACCGATG-3’, Lsd-2 forward: 5’-GGGAAACATGGCAATGGAAAC-3’ and reverse: 5’-CGGGCCATTTGTGATCC-3’; bmm forward: 5’-GGCAATGGGAACAATCTGAC-3’ and reverse: 5’-TTGATCGGGCAATTGTGATCC-3’; hsl forward: 5’-GGCAATGGGAACAATCTGAC-3’ and reverse: 5’-GCCAGGGCAATTGTGATCC-3’; cycE forward: 5’-ATGAACTGGAACCATGGAAAC-3’ and reverse: 5’-TGCGAATTTGTGATCC-3’.

Figure 8. Knockdown of Lsd-2 caused apoptosis cell death and DNA damage in salivary gland. Salivary glands from middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of controls and Lsd-2 KD flies were stained with rabbit anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibody, followed by anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor™ 488 antibody (a,e,i), and mouse anti-γH2Av antibody followed by anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor™ 594 antibody (b,f,j). Merged images of anti-γH2Av antibody, anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibody, and DAPI staining are shown (c,g,k). DIC images of salivary glands from 3rd-instar larvae (d,h,l) are shown. The images in the figure are representative images of 30 salivary glands. The dotted line indicates the salivary gland area. Scale bar, 50 μm. Genotypes: (a–d) +; CI47-GALA/+; (+–h) +; CI47-GALA/+; UAS-GFP-IR/+; (i–l) +; CI47-GALA/UAS-Lsd-2-IR56-485+. DIC differential interference contrast.
**Statistical analysis.** The experiments were repeated at least three times. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The statistical significance of differences was assessed using an unpaired $t$-test, two-tailed $t$-test, or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), as appropriate. Statistical significance was set at $P<0.05$.

---

**References**

1. Granneman, J. G., Moore, H. P., Krishnamoorthy, R. & Rathod, M. Perilipin controls lipolysis by regulating the interactions of AB-hydrolase containing 5 (Abhd5) and adipose triglyceride lipase (Atgl). *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 34538–34544. [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.068478](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.068478) (2009).

2. Walther, T. C. & Farese, R. V. J. Lipid droplets and cellular lipid metabolism. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **81**, 687–714. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061009-102430](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061009-102430) (2012).

3. Gao, Q. & Goodman, J. M. The lipid droplet—a well-connected organelle. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **3**, 49. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2015.00049](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2015.00049) (2015).

4. Greenberg, A. S. et al. The role of lipid droplets in metabolic disease in rodents and humans. *J. Clin. Investig.* **121**, 2102–2110. [https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI46069](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI46069) (2011).

5. Bosma, M., Kersten, S., Hesslink, M. K. C. & Schrauwen, P. Re-evaluating lipotoxic triggers in skeletal muscle: Relating intramyocellular lipid metabolism to insulin sensitivity. *Prog. Lipid Res.* **51**, 36–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2011.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2011.11.003) (2012).

6. Bickel, P. E., Tansey, J. T. & Welte, M. A. PAT proteins, an ancient family of lipid droplet proteins that regulate cellular lipid stores. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1791**, 419–440. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2009.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2009.04.002) (2009).

---

**Figure 9.** *Lsd-2* KD suppressed the translocation of dMyc from cytoplasm into the nucleus of the salivary gland cells. Salivary glands from middle stage 3rd-instar larvae of controls and *Lsd-2* KD flies were stained with mouse anti-Myc antibody, followed by anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor® 488 antibody (a,e,i) and DAPI (b,f,j). Merged images of DAPI and anti-Myc antibodies are shown (c,g,k). DIC images of salivary glands from 3rd-instar larvae (d,h,l) are shown. Dotted lines surround the salivary gland area. The arrows indicate the nuclei of fat body cells used as an internal control. The images in the figure are representative images of 26 salivary glands. Scale bar, 50 μm. Genotypes: (a–d) +; *C147*-GAL4/+; +, (e–h) +; *C147*-GAL4/+; UAS-GFP-IR/+, (i–l) +; +; *C147*-GAL4/UAS-Lsd-2-IR556–888; +, DIC differential interference contrast.
44. Grönke, S. et al. Brummer lipase is an evolutionary conserved fat storage regulator in Drosophila. Cell Metab. 1, 323–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2005.04.003 (2005).
45. Miyao, Y. & Miyajima, A. To divide or not to divide: Revisiting liver regeneration. Cell Div. 8, 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1747-1028-8-8 (2013).
46. Nezvoro, Y. A. et al. Aberrant cell cycle progression and endoreplication in regenerating livers of mice that lack a single E-type cyclin. Gastroenterology 137, 691–703. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2009.03.003 (2009).
47. Duronio, R. J., Brook, A., Dyson, N. & O’Farrell, P. H. E2F-induced S phase requires cyclin E. Genes Dev. 10, 2505–2513. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.10.19.2505 (1996).
48. Weiss, A., Herzig, A., Jacobs, H. & Lehner, C. F. Continuous cyclin E expression inhibits progression through endoreplication cycles in Drosophila. Curr. Biol. 8, 239–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(98)70089-2 (1998).
49. Follette, P. J., Duronio, R. J. & O’Farrell, P. H. Fluctuations in cyclin E levels are required for multiple rounds of endocycle S phase in Drosophila. Curr. Biol. 8, 235–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(98)70089-2 (1998).
50. Zielke, N., Edgar, B. A. & DePamphilis, M. L. Endoreplication. Cold Spring Harv. Perspect. Biol. 5, a012948–a012948. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a012948 (2013).
51. Yang, S. A., Portilla, J. M., Mihailovic, S., Huang, Y. C. & Deng, W. Oncogenic Notch triggers neoplastic tumorigenesis in a transition-zone-like tissue microenvironment. Dev. Cell 49, 461-472.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.03.015 (2019).
52. Chen, F. JNK-induced apoptosis, compensatory growth, and cancer stem cells. Cancer Res. 72, 379–386. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1982 (2012).
53. Sun, J. & Tower, J. FLP recombinase-mediated induction of Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase transgene expression can extend the life span of adult Drosophila melanogaster flies. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19, 216–228. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.19.1.216-228 (1999).
54. Tsuda-Sakurai, K., Seong, K. H., Horinuchi, J., Aigaki, T. & Tsuda, M. Identification of a novel role for Drosophila MESR4 in lipid metabolism. Genes Cells 20, 358–365. https://doi.org/10.1111/gtc.12221 (2015).

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to Dr. Anne Ephrussi of the European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Germany, for providing the rat anti-LSD-2 antibody. We thank Prof. Jared Nordman of Vanderbilt University, USA, for providing the guinea pig anti-cyclin E antibody. We thank Prof. Ronald P. Kuhnlein of the Institute of Molecular Biosciences, University of Graz, Austria, for providing the Lsd-1 mutant, and UAS-Lsd-1 flies. We thank Prof. Masamitsu Yamaguchi of Kyoto Institute of Technology, Japan for providing the hs-flp; Act5C>Flp y Flp>GAL4; UAS-GFP flies.

Author contributions
K.K. and T.D.B. designed the study and interpreted the data; T.D.B. and Y.D.H.N. conducted experiments, analyzed the data, and prepared the initial draft of the manuscript; Ke.Ko. and M.T. conducted the experiments; T.D.B., T.L.A.P., T.Q.C.N, and K.K. revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-08299-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022