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Abstract

The consequences of keV light-ion bombardment of polycrystalline exchange bias layer systems on individual magnetic anisotropies composing the effective unidirectional magnetic anisotropy are investigated by vectorial magneto-optic Kerr effect measurements. Preferential reduction of rotatable magnetic anisotropy as compared to the other magnetic anisotropies is observable, disproving the intuitive assumption of an equable weakening of all magnetic anisotropies due to the bombardment. It is concluded that light-ion bombardment has a stronger impact on the magnetic characteristics of smaller grains in a polycrystalline antiferromagnet as compared to those of larger ones.

1. Introduction

Light-ion bombardment has proven to be a versatile and powerful technique to tailor magnetic characteristics of magnetic thin film systems [1–5] after their deposition. This technology is one among a few enabling the production of a multitude of functional elements in a large variety of applications [6–10]. In magnetoresistive sensor stacks (sensors based on the giant magnetoresistance effect or magnetic tunnel junctions) with magnetic reference electrodes pinned by exchange bias, for example, the pinning direction can be set to an arbitrary direction after completion of the deposition process essentially without changing the magnetoresistive effect amplitude [11–13]. Its possible use in creating magnetic logic elements has similarly been proven [14] as its potential to create engineered domain patterns by ion beam writing or when combined with lithographical techniques [1, 4, 15–17]. The latter patterns have shown to be useful for magnetic particle transport by moving domain walls [18], by changing potential energy landscapes associated with the stray fields above the engineered domains [19, 20] or by topological transport [21].

The two classes of layer systems most commonly used for modification by keV light-ion bombardment are polycrystalline Co/noble metal multilayers with an effective perpendicular-to-plane magnetic anisotropy and polycrystalline exchange bias layer systems with an effective in-plane magnetic anisotropy. Evidently the effective magnetic anisotropies in these layer systems result from a complex interplay of different individual magnetic anisotropies originating from interactions between layers or from the layers themselves [22–26]. Due to hyperthermal energy transfer from the ions into the layer system, implantation of ions, and defect creation the different prevailing magnetic anisotropies will be modified resulting in an altered effective magnetic anisotropy of the complete system. Whereas the modification of the effective magnetic anisotropies after keV light-ion bombardment is experimentally easily accessible and has been well characterized for a long time there are only reasonable conjectures how the different magnetic anisotropy contributions are individually affected by the impinging ions. As the effective magnetic anisotropy usually decreases with increasing ion fluence due to increased defect creation and ion implantation a likely supposition is that all magnetic anisotropy contributions of the layer system are decreased parallel to the decrease of the effective magnetic anisotropy. One exception is...
the increase of the exchange bias field in polycrystalline exchange bias layer systems, which may be also explained by a decrease of magnetic anisotropy in larger antiferromagnetic crystallites [22, 27–29]. Although experimental evidence for the modification of the individual magnetic anisotropies via keV light-ion bombardment exists [26], a quantitative disentanglement of the individual contributions, allowing a corresponding experimental proof of such a conjecture, is still missing.

In the present work we show that a recently developed model describing the effective unidirectional magnetic anisotropy in exchange bias layer systems by the different individual magnetic anisotropies can be used in conjunction with accurate measurements by vectorial magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) magnetometry to identify effects of keV light-ion bombardment on the individual contributions. The investigations indicate that not all magnetic anisotropies in the layer system are reduced in the same way. The rotatable magnetic anisotropy prevailing in a certain class of smaller antiferromagnetic grains of the polycrystalline antiferromagnetic layer is reduced more dramatically than the other magnetic anisotropies in the system. This leads to the conclusion that keV light-ion bombardment does more efficiently modify the magnetic anisotropy associated with this class of grains. More generally our results indicate that the effects of light-ion bombardment on polycrystalline layer systems are grain size dependent. The results may initiate investigations to understand the physics fundamentals of the impact of light ions on individual material parameters of polycrystalline magnetic thin films rather than on averaged values.

2. Experimental

Exchange bias samples of the type Cu50nm/Ir17Mn30nm/Co70Fe30nm/Si20nm were fabricated on naturally oxidized Si including a field cooling procedure with a setting temperature of 573.15 K and an external magnetic field $H_{BC}$ of 80 kA m$^{-1}$ as done in [23]. Thereafter, samples were bombarded with 10 keV-He ions in a home built setup described in [30] under high vacuum conditions with a base pressure of $4 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar. Ion bombardment (IB) was carried out with an ion current of approximately $10^{-6}$ A creating bombardment doses $D$ ranging from $10^{13}$ to $10^{16}$ ions cm$^{-2}$. During IB an external magnetic field $H_{IB}$ of 70 kA m$^{-1}$ was applied either parallel or antiparallel to $H_{BC}$. The two bombardment geometries will in the following be abbreviated by $\uparrow\uparrow$ IB and $\uparrow\downarrow$ IB, respectively.

Magnetization curves as a function of $\varphi_{ext}$, the angle between the direction of the external magnetic field applied for the characterization measurements and the arbitrarily chosen reference axis ($\bar{x}$ in figure 1), were measured using vectorial MOKE magnetometry as described in [23, 31, 32]. In the setup longitudinal and transversal components of the magnetization are detected by analyzing polarization and intensity of the reflected light from a 632 nm diode laser, respectively. Magnetization curves were measured using 300 external magnetic field steps in a range of $\pm 80$ kA m$^{-1}$ within 80 s. For each sample $\varphi_{ext}$ was varied in the range of $0^\circ$–$450^\circ$ with a resolution of $1^\circ$. Absence of significant training effects was verified by comparing data from $0^\circ$ to $90^\circ$ with $360^\circ$–$450^\circ$.

Figure 1. Illustration of angles and vectors for the used model in a polar coordinate system. $\vec{M}$ is the magnetization vector of the ferromagnetic layer and $\beta$ is its azimuth. $K_{g}$ is the energy density per unit volume of the ferromagnetic uniaxial magnetic anisotropy and $\gamma_{g}$ the azimuth of its magnetic easy direction. $H_{ext}$ is the external magnetic field vector during the characterization measurements with the azimuth $\varphi_{ext}$. $J_{C}^{\text{eff}}$ ($\gamma_{RMA}$) is the energy area density of the unidirectional magnetic anisotropy (rotatable magnetic anisotropy) with the corresponding azimuth $\gamma_{EB}$ ($\gamma_{RMA}$). Reprinted figure with permission from [23], Copyright (2016) by the American Physical Society.
3. Model

For the numerical calculations the model of [23] was used whose main characteristics will be briefly summarized here. It is based on the coherent rotation approach introduced by Stoner and Wohlfarth [33, 34] calculating the angle of the ferromagnetic magnetization direction $\beta_f$ with respect to the $x$-axis (see figure 1 for an overview of magnetic anisotropy and angle definitions). In the model the magnetic anisotropy of the ferromagnet was assumed to be uniaxial with the energy volume density $K_F$. The polycrystalline antiferromagnet was modeled by classifying its grains, exhibiting exchange interaction with the ferromagnet, in respect to their individual energy barriers $\Delta E_i$ distinguishing between a pinned and an unpinned state of the antiferromagnetic moment of the respective grain to the ferromagnetic magnetization [27]. The individual energy barriers can be expressed in first order by the product of the magnetic anisotropy $K_{AF}$ and the volume $V_{AF,i}$ of the grains. This allows the division based on the relaxation times of the grains

$$\tau_i = \tau_0 \exp \left( \frac{\Delta E_i}{k_B T} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta E_i = K_{AF,i} V_{AF,i},$$

where $f_0 = 1/\tau_0$ is the characteristic frequency for spin reversal [35], $T$ is the temperature and $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant. The grain size distribution of the antiferromagnet can be consequently connected to the distribution of relaxation times and is therefore commonly divided into four size classes with different thermal stability in comparison to the measurement conditions [22, 27, 36]. Small antiferromagnetic grains with corresponding energy barriers are thermally unstable during a magnetization reversal and can be divided into super-paramagnetic grains (Class I) and those having release times in the order of the hysteresis duration (Class II) and therefore contributing to the coercivity of the system [22]. Thermally stable grains with relaxation times longer than the duration of the magnetization reversal are able to mediate the unidirectional anisotropy. Grains which can be set during field cooling conditions can yield a macroscopic contribution to the exchange bias (Class III), while grains with the highest energy barriers being stable during the field cooling procedure (Class IV) feature a random contribution to the direction of the unidirectional anisotropy.

The influence of the thermally unstable grains of Class II is modeled with a time-dependent rotatable magnetic anisotropy by considering an average relaxation time $\tau_{avg}$ for all grains of the corresponding class. The energy area density of the unidirectional and the rotatable magnetic anisotropy is $J_{E_{\text{ UB}}}$ and $J_{E_{\text{ RMA}}}$, respectively, and is connected to the number of antiferromagnetic grains in each class. The total energy area density of a system with the sample surface $A$ consisting of the potential energy of the ferromagnetic moment inside of the external magnetic field (Zeemann energy) $E_Z$ and the anisotropy energies of the ferromagnetic uniaxial magnetic anisotropy (FUMA) $E_{\text{ FUMA}}$, the unidirectional $E_{\text{ UDA}}$ and the rotatable magnetic anisotropy $E_{\text{ RMA}}$ is

$$E/A = (E_Z + E_{\text{ FUMA}} + E_{\text{ UDA}} + E_{\text{ RMA}})/A$$

$$= -\mu_0 H_{\text{ ext}} M_{\text{ sat}} t_f \cos(\beta_f - \varphi_{\text{ ext}}) + K_F t_f \sin^2(\beta_f - \gamma_F) - J_{E_{\text{ UB}}} \cos(\beta_f - \gamma_{E_{\text{ UB}}}) - J_{E_{\text{ RMA}}} \cos(\beta_f - \gamma_{E_{\text{ RMA}}})$$

with $\gamma_{E_{\text{ RMA}}} = \beta_F (t - \tau_{avg}).$

Here, $\mu_0$ is the magnetic permeability in vacuum, $M_{\text{ sat}}$ the saturation magnetization of the ferromagnet with thickness $t_f$. $H_{\text{ ext}}$ and $\varphi_{\text{ ext}}$ are strength and direction of the external magnetic field, respectively. $\gamma_F$, $\gamma_{E_{\text{ UB}}}$ and $\gamma_{E_{\text{ RMA}}}$ are the angles between the $x$-axis of the coordinate system and the magnetic easy directions of the FUMA, the unidirectional anisotropy, and the rotatable magnetic anisotropy, respectively (figure 1). While $\gamma_F$ and $\gamma_{E_{\text{ UB}}}$ emerge from sample processing $\gamma_{E_{\text{ RMA}}}$ is connected to $\beta_F$ via $\tau_{avg}$ with the time $t$ [23].

4. Results

The exchange bias field $H_{E_B}(\varphi_{\text{ ext}})$ and the coercivity $H_{C}(\varphi_{\text{ ext}})$ determined from vectorial MOKE measurements for different $D$ (figure 2) show the modifications of the characteristic quantities $H_{E_B}$ and $H_{C}$ of the investigated exchange bias layer systems by IB. $H_{E_B}(\varphi_{\text{ ext}})$ behaves roughly sinusoidal for all ion doses. The fine structure near the magnetic easy axis of the system ($\varphi_{\text{ ext}} \approx 90^\circ$) leading to a deviation from the sine shape is caused by FUMA [23, 37, 38]. Small deviations from the mirror symmetry with respect to the magnetic easy axis can be attributed to a misalignment ($<2^\circ$) between FUMA and the unidirectional magnetic anisotropy [39, 40]. For increasing ion dose $D$ in the $\uparrow \downarrow$ IB case the amplitude of $H_{E_B}(\varphi_{\text{ ext}})$ is successively reduced until it reaches a maximum with opposite sign at about $D = 10^{16}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ and is for higher doses reduced in magnitude. This is in accordance with previous magnetic easy axis hysteresis measurements [3, 41, 42]. Additionally, the fine structure near the magnetic easy axis of the system is reduced for higher ion doses until it vanishes. This first important result
indicates the individual response of the FUMA contribution to the present light-ion bombardment as a part of the modification of the total effective magnetic anisotropy of the layer system.

The experimentally determined relations $H_C(\varphi_{\text{ext}})$ show a lorentzian like shape indicating that coercivity results from a superposition of FUMA and rotatable magnetic anisotropy [23]. The peak height and width of $H_C(\varphi_{\text{ext}})$ is reduced with higher $D$. Fitting the model parameters to the experimental data (solid lines in figure 2) enables the determination of material parameters as functions of $D$ (figure 3). For the calculations $M_{\text{sat}}$ was assumed to be dependent on the ion dose with

$$M_{\text{sat}} = \left( 1230 - 5 \times 10^{-14} \cdot D \cdot \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{ions}} \right) \text{kA m}^{-1}$$

(3)

as derived in [5] for a similar exchange bias layer system. Solely $J_{\text{EB}}^{\text{eff}}$ as a function of $D$ shows a dependence on the direction of $H_{\text{IB}}$ (figure 3(a)). In the $\uparrow \uparrow$ IB case $J_{\text{EB}}^{\text{eff}}$ is slightly increased for smaller $D$ while it is more complex in the $\uparrow \downarrow$ IB case. Both relations agree with previous magnetic easy axis hysteresis measurements where $H_{\text{EB}}$ was measured as a function of $D$ [3, 28]. The small increase in the absolute value of $J_{\text{EB}}^{\text{eff}}$ at $D \approx 10^{15}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ is linked to a part of Class IV grains converted to Class III grains (figure 4(a)) [18, 27]. Due to the high energy transfer of the ions into the layer system some of these grains may overcome their relatively high energy barrier and relax into their energetically favored state. In this sense a number of grains are transferred by the IB from Class IV to Class III. The decrease of $J_{\text{EB}}^{\text{eff}}$ for higher ion doses of $D \approx 10^{16}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ is linked to a general decrease of the ferromagnet/antiferromagnet interaction as a consequence of interlayer intermixing [43]. The complexity of $J_{\text{EB}}^{\text{eff}}$ in the $\uparrow \downarrow$ IB case including the sign change is due to local field cooling induced by hyperthermal energy transfer. One should note that the macroscopic $J_{\text{EB}}^{\text{eff}}$ displays the coupling of the ferromagnetic magnetization to the vector sum of the microscopic antiferromagnetic moments. In this sense $J_{\text{EB}}^{\text{eff}}$ refers to the average exchange bias direction. Thus, in the $\uparrow \downarrow$ IB case, the directions of the microscopic exchange constants $J_{\text{FAF}}$, are changed. In contrast to previous magnetic easy axis hysteresis measurements showing perfectly the macroscopic modification of the effective unidirectional magnetic anisotropy [27], the angular resolved measurements clearly reveal that exchange bias reorientation takes place successively on a local basis correlated to the modification of individual grains representing a certain size class and not via coherent rotation.
$K_F$ as the characteristic quantity of FUMA shows a decrease for higher $D$ and is reduced to roughly 25% for $D = 10^{16}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ as compared to the value for the unbombarded layer system (figure 3(b)) which is in qualitative agreement to previous studies involving a different material system [1]. The reduction of magnetic anisotropy can be attributed to the ion induced defect creation in the ferromagnetic layer leading to a decrease of crystalline order. $K_F$ shows no dependence on the direction of $H$.

For $J_C^{eff}$ and $\tau_{avg}$, which are used to describe the thermally unstable grains of Class II, again, no influence of the bombardment field $H_{IB}$ direction on the dose dependency was detected (figures 3(c), (d)). This was suspected since the magnetic state is thermally unstable and the magnetic conditions during the field cooling or the bombardment process are not memorized. $J_C^{eff}$ shows a massive decrease to only 10% for ion doses of $10^{16}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ as compared to the value of the unbombarded sample. For small ion doses $\tau_{avg}$ seems to increase slightly while it is not possible to determine a trend for higher ion doses due to the large uncertainty.

Summing up bombardment by light ions reduces the rotatable magnetic anisotropy much stronger than it modifies the unidirectional magnetic anisotropy. This is a counterintuitive result when assuming a statistical distribution of defects in all grains of the polycrystalline layer system. In first order, the energy densities of both, rotatable and unidirectional magnetic anisotropy, can be approximated as the product of the number of grains in Classes II and III, respectively, and the microscopic ferromagnet/antiferromagnet exchange interaction.

Figure 3. Characteristic quantities (a) $J_B^{eff}$, (b) $K_F$, (c) $J_C^{eff}$ and (d) $\tau_{avg}$ of the exchange bias layer as functions of $D$ obtained by a fit of the model parameters to the experimental results $H_B(q_{tot})$ and $H_C(q_{tot})$. Black circles belong to unbombarded, red squares and blue diamonds to bombarded samples in $\uparrow \downarrow IB$ and $\uparrow \uparrow IB$ configuration, respectively. The uncertainty in the fit parameters has been determined by a variation of the starting conditions. Lines are guides to the eye.
constant $J_{Fe,i}$, for grains $i$ in contact to the ferromagnet. Both are altered by defect creation as IB not only decreases $J_{Fe,i}$ but also the magnetic anisotropy of antiferromagnetic grains $K_{AF}$ (and of the ferromagnet, as has been shown above) [27]. Thus, thermal stability of antiferromagnetic grains is changed by the bombardment process leading to different numbers of grains in each category.

If we now assume evenly distributed defects, $J_{Fe,i}$ and $K_{AF}$ should be reduced by a similar percentage for all grain sizes as the number of defects per unit volume is constant. For a lognormal antiferromagnetic grain size distribution the resulting distribution of energy barriers of antiferromagnetic grains in contact to the ferromagnet should be also lognormal (blue line in figure 4(a)). A grain size independent reduction of $K_{AF}$ (dashed line in figure 4(b)) would modify this distribution towards lower energies (dashed line in figure 4(a)). Such a modified energy barrier distribution is not able to explain the dramatic decrease of $J_{C}^{eff}$ relative to the modification $J_{C}^{eff}$ for higher $D$. This statement holds even if we would assume that all grains of Class IV would contribute to $J_{C}^{eff}$ as the number of unset grains at field cooling temperatures of 300 °C for an antiferromagnet with a Néel temperature of 400 °C should be rather low [22].

A grain size dependent reduction of $K_{AF}$ would reduce the magnetic anisotropy of a large number of Class II grains to become superparamagnetic, whereas the magnetic anisotropy of larger grains is much less affected, not only explaining the massive decrease of $J_{C}^{eff}$, but also the increase of $r_{avg}$ for smaller ion doses as the average energy barrier of the remaining Class II grains is increased. The reason for this unexpected finding is not fully clear, however, we may hypothesize on it by two arguments: (1) smaller grains are thinner, i.e. their spatial extension orthogonal to the ferromagnet/antiferromagnet interface is smaller, which makes them prone to be more efficiently affected by intermixing. (2) Their proportion of surface with respect to bulk atoms is higher. At room temperature the chance of recombination after defect creation in bulk is 99% [44] so that most of the created defects vanish quickly. In case of surface atoms displaced atoms could be out of range for recombination reducing their chance of recombination.

5. Conclusions

In this work, we have determined the influence of keV-He ion bombardment on individual magnetic anisotropies of exchange bias systems quantitatively by fitting a coherent rotation model to experimental data obtained by vectorial MOKE magnetometry. The results show that ion bombardment induced modification of exchange bias takes place locally supporting the validity of the polycrystalline model of exchange bias. The reduction of coercivity caused by ion bombardment can be attributed to both a reduction of the ferromagnetic uniaxial magnetic anisotropy and the rotatable magnetic anisotropy. The fast decrease of rotatable magnetic anisotropy with increasing ion doses suggests that the reduction of magnetic anisotropy by light-ion
bombardment in the antiferromagnet is more prominent in smaller grains having a higher proportion of surface atoms and/or a smaller thickness. This excludes the intuitive assumption that ion bombardment causes an equable weakening of all magnetic anisotropies. The result that in polycrystalline layer systems smaller grains are much more affected by statistically impinging ions may be the basis for revisiting phenomena associated with ion bombardment induced material modifications.
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