A 34-Year-Old Woman with Brainstem Cavernous Malformation: The Anterior Transcallosal Transchoroidal Approach and Literature Review
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Introduction

Cavernous malformations (CMs) of the central nervous system have an estimated prevalence of < 1% in the general population.1,2 Brainstem cavernomas account for 4 to 35% of intracranial cavernomas in contemporary series.2–5 These lesions may give rise to severe and complex neurologic deficits if they hemorrhage. However, surgery within this region is also not without inherent risks. Irreversible deficits due to damage of sensitive brainstem structures are important factors to be taken into careful consideration. If surgery is proposed, it requires the utmost planning. Depending on location, different surgical approaches to brainstem CMs have been performed and reported in contemporary studies. We report a case of a young healthy woman presenting with a brainstem CM that was resected by an anterior transcallosal transchoroidal approach (ATTA), an approach rarely used but that promises a valuable alternative to ventral mesencephalic CMs (MeCMs).

Case Presentation

History

A 34-year-old woman, with no prior history of comorbidities, contacted her general practitioner because of double vision. She was referred to an ophthalmologist and prescribed optical lenses. Due to persistent double vision and inability to focus, she was referred to a cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan a year later. The MRI showed a mesencephalic CM posterior to the interpeduncular cistern. No measures were initially taken due to its small size and highly eloquent location. Regular controls at the outpatient clinic showed no progression of symptoms or increased tumor size until mid-summer 2012. She then developed dizziness, wors-
ening of her double vision, and imbalance. A new MRI showed progression of the MeCM (►Fig. 1A, B), and she was referred to our neurosurgical department. The neurologic examination showed diplopia, internuclear ophthalmoplegia with obvious affection of the oculomotor nerve, semidilated pupil of the right eye with slow pupillary light reflex, and impaired accommodation. There were no signs of ataxia or other gait disturbances. However, she continued to deteriorate, with worsening of the symptoms just mentioned, and developed a generalized headache 1 month later. She was therefore offered surgery (T. R. M).

**Surgical Technique**

Because the MeCM reached the surface of the third ventricle and the pyramidal tracts were pushed quite symmetrically laterally (►Fig. 2), we opted for an approach reaching the lesion from above. Using the ATTA, the patient was put in a park bench position left side up and with the sagittal suture aligned with the horizontal plane. This allows gravity to pull on the lower hemisphere and open up the interhemispheric fissure, obviating the need for retractors. Because the MeCM surfaced in the posterior third ventricle, an anterior-to-posterior angle of approach was planned. Neuronavigation and neurophysiologic intraoperative monitoring of motor-evoked potentials and somatosensory-evoked potentials were used. A modified bicoronal skin incision was used, running in between the temporal lines bilaterally. The craniotomy was placed using neuronavigation to avoid sacrificing any bridging veins. A 5 × 7-cm craniotomy eccentric to the midline was placed, exposing the right frontal superior gyrus and the sagittal sinus. The dura was opened in between two bridging veins and pulled upward to retract the superior sagittal sinus. Dissection through the interhemispheric fissure allowed identification of the callosomarginal and pericallosal arteries. An anteriorly located callosotomy of 15 mm enabled further entry into the anterior horn of the right lateral ventricle with subsequent visualization of the foramen of Monro. Once inside the lateral ventricle, the choroid plexus was dissected from the fornix and retracted laterally. In this way, the fornix was spared. To improve access into the third ventricle, the right septal vein was coagulated and cut. The thalamostriate vein, along with the other veins supplying the lateral ventricle including the choroid plexus, were preserved. Further dissection of the choroidal fissure to gain access to the velum interpositum allowed identification of the internal cerebral veins. These were dissected free from surrounding tissue within the velum interpositum back toward the habenula and corpus pineale and subsequently retracted laterally. Through the velum interpositum, the third ventricle was entered and the MeCM could be easily visualized. Laterally, on the left and right side of the cavernoma, deep arteries were dissected free from the tumor under microscopic guidance. A deep Rosenthal vein perforating straight through the middle of the cavernoma was also dissected free and spared. The cavernoma was circumferentially dissected from the surrounding brainstem and removed in a piecemeal fashion. Lastly, in the depth of the cavity, three perforating arteries were dissected free, and complete extirpation of the cavernoma was achieved without damage to surrounding brain tissue.

**Postoperative Outcome**

Immediate postoperative status showed no new-onset neurologic deficits, and complete resection of the mesencephalic cavernoma was achieved (►Fig. 3A, B). The preoperative internuclear ophthalmoplegia, pupillary asymmetry with slow pupillary light reflex, and diplopia were still present after surgery.

**Literature Review**

Neurosurgical approaches to brainstem CMs can be divided into three groups based on angle of surgical approach: the anterior/antrolateral approaches, the superior approaches and the posterior/posterdorlateral approaches. Within each of these groups are variations of the approaches.
patients with eloquently based CMs, Chang et al had only two patients who underwent a transcallosal-transchoroidal approach, which provided excellent exposure of the medial thalamus through the third ventricle.\textsuperscript{16,17} Ferroli et al, in their study of 52 patients with brainstem CMs, only one patient with a mesencephalothalamic lesion underwent a transcallosal surgical approach.\textsuperscript{18} However, limitations such as the columns of the fornix limit the access to the anterior third ventricle, and the approach may also put critical veins at risk.\textsuperscript{19}

The posterior approaches are generally the most commonly used approaches for brainstem CMs because of the higher incidences of brainstem cavernomas located in the pontine and medullary regions.\textsuperscript{1,20,21} The suboccipital approach, supracerebellar infratentorial approach (median, parameidian, far lateral, and extreme lateral), and the retrosigmoid approach all belong to this group. In the previously mentioned study by Abla et al with 260 patients with brainstem CMs, 74 patients underwent a suboccipital approach.\textsuperscript{7} Of the 52 patients in the study by Ferroli et al, 31 patients underwent a suboccipital approach.\textsuperscript{18} These approaches allow for an adequate view of the posterior and posterolateral surface of the midbrain and quadrigeminal plate, as well as the posterolateral surface of the upper pons and ventrolateral medullary region.\textsuperscript{6,22} Other rare approaches have also been reported that include the telovelar (transcerebellar-medullary) approach, the transvermian approach, the far lateral transcondylar approach, and the tonsillouveal transaqueductal approach that sometimes involve removal of the cervical vertebrae to access the pontomedullary region.\textsuperscript{22–25} The disadvantages are risks of damage to the lower cranial nerves exiting from the pontomedullary junctions with subsequent neurologic deficits.\textsuperscript{1,7,26}

**Discussion**

Brainstem CMs often represent a considerable microsurgical challenge to the neurosurgeon, mainly because of location, but also due to the high risk of complications such as neurologic deficits and residual tumor. Estimates of annual hemorrhage rate from a brainstem cavernoma with no history of prior hemorrhage ranges from 0.5 to 6% per patient year.\textsuperscript{2,7,20,27} and the morbidity related to a bleeding is often severe. The indications for surgery on brainstem CMs are severe or repeat minor bleedings and/or deterioration neurologic function.\textsuperscript{5,7,28} Furthermore, to prevent patients’ functional decline owing to recurrent events and to reduce the surgical morbidity, early surgery is often recommended.\textsuperscript{28,29} Although our patient did not present with acute symptoms, surgery was indicated due to progressive worsening.

The surgical approach to MeCMs are mainly chosen based on ease of direct access to the lesion, with no or minimal damage to brain tissue (\textsuperscript{Table 1}). The surgeon must not only consider the shortest path from the surface, but also the safest path. This makes a detailed knowledge of the brainstem neuroanatomic pathways of critical importance.\textsuperscript{1,6,27} In the preoperative planning stage, MRI with diffusion tensor

---

**Table 1**

| Approach | Description |
|----------|-------------|
| FTOZ | Longitudinal orbitozygomatic foramen transversum |
imaging and white matter tractography was used because it has shown to be beneficial for superior quantification and visualization of brainstem lesions\(^3\,0\) (\(\text{Fig. 2}\)).

Generally, most dorsally located MeCMs can be accessed via a supracerbellar infratentorial approach (midline, paramedian, far lateral, or an extreme lateral approach, depending on the exact location of the cavernoma); anteriorly located brainstem CMs are usually accessed via an orbitozygomatic (OZ), subtemporal, or pterional transsylvian approach.\(^1,3,6,22\) The MeCM in our patient was ventrally located and just posterior to the interpeduncular cistern (\(\text{Fig. 1A, B}\)). Consequently, an FTOZ, OZ, or pterional transsylvian approach could have been used.\(^6\) However, the ATTA was used to avoid damage to surrounding brain tissue with respect to the pyramidal tracts surrounding the cavernoma. A subtemporal pterional transsylvian or OZ approach seemed inappropriate because the risk of damaging the tracts laterally located to the tumor was probable. Although a 15-mm callosotomy was done, an approach from above the tumor seemed better because the superior surface of the cavernoma extending into the third ventricle was devoid of brain tissue and clearly visible. Partial sectioning of the corpus callosum does not cause significant neurologic deficits.\(^31\)

Bertalanffy has suggested an anterior interhemispheric approach from the forehead, where the anterior communicating artery (ACOM) is cut to access the mesencephalic cavernoma (personal communication). Although the distance will be shorter with this approach, cutting the ACOM artery may disturb or abolish the blood supply to the structures nearby such as the optic chiasma, lamina terminalis, hypothalamus, anterior columns of the fornix, and parafacial areas. Additionally, with this approach through the lamina terminalis to access the third ventricle, nearby sensitive structures such as the optic chiasma are at risk of being damaged.

According to the current literature, the ATTA is rarely used. However, it can be applied to CMs that extend into the third ventricle. Apart from the microsurgical technicalities, we believe that five steps of the procedure are important to consider when using the ATTA. (1) Proper positioning of the patient to allow adequate brain relaxation and easy access to the third ventricle because this is critically important to minimize retraction-induced injury and should occur before the interhemispheric dissection.\(^17\) (2) Placement of the craniotomy with respect to the angle of approach. (3) Verification of which lateral ventricle that has been entered is done by identifying the thalamostriate vein and foramen of Monro; if the vein is to the right of the foramen of Monro, the right lateral ventricle has been entered.\(^19\) (4) The dissection of the choroidal fissure to release the choroid plexus from the fornix must be performed extremely carefully. (5) Lastly, the velum interpositum must be opened widely, from anterior all the way to the habenula.

### Conclusion

Brainstem CMs continue to present a considerable microsurgical challenge. Most ventral MeCMs can be approached via a transsylvian or a FTOZ approach. Although rarely used, the ATTA can serve as a valuable alternative approach to ventral MeCMs.

| Location          | Approach                                      |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Mesencephalon     | Anterior                                      |
|                   | FTOZ, Pterional                               |
|                   | Subtemporal                                  |
|                   | Interhemispheric                              |
|                   | Posterior                                     |
|                   | Supracerbellar infratentorial (median, paramedian, and extreme lateral) Occipital transtentorial/interhemispheric |
| Pons              | Anterior                                      |
|                   | Retrosigmoid                                  |
|                   | Subtemporal transfentorial                    |
|                   | Presigmoid                                    |
|                   | Transpetoral                                  |
|                   | Transotic                                     |
|                   | Transcochlear                                 |
|                   | Translabyrinthine                             |
| Medulla oblongata | Anterior/posterior                            |
|                   | Retrosigmoid                                  |
|                   | Suboccipital                                  |
|                   | Telovelar                                     |
|                   | Transvermian                                  |

Abbreviation: FTOZ, frontotemporal orbitozygomatic.
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