Vector meson production in ultra-peripheral pA and AA collisions at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) are very sensitive to Parton Distribution Functions (PDF) as well as to their leading-order, next-to-leading-order, and medium corrections. This process is a complimentary tool to explore the effects of different PDFs in particle production in proton-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus central collisions. Existing and forthcoming data available, e.g., from ALICE and CMS, may be used in conjunction with our theoretical predictions to constrain the PDFs. We make predictions for rapidity distributions and for cross sections of J/ψ, ψ(2S) and Υ production at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV. We use the second energy as representative for the Run 2 of PbPb collisions at the LHC.

INTRODUCTION

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN has made seminal contributions to particle, nuclear and atomic physics, contributing with numerous scientific discoveries and tests of fundamental theoretical predictions in the physical sciences (see, e.g., Refs. [1, 2]). Proton-proton (pp) proton-nucleus (pA) and nucleus-nucleus (AA) collisions produced in heavy ion accelerators such as the GSI facility in Germany, the RHIC facility in the U.S. and at the LHC in Switzerland have been looking for particles predicted by the standard model (SM) [3–5], as well as new particles, beyond the SM, such as supersymmetric particles [6–8], and for extra-dimensions [9–12]. AA collisions have also been used to probe a phase-transition of nuclear matter, from a hadronic to a quark-gluon phase [13–15]. For example, charmonium states, such as the J/ψ particles, are commonly used as probes of the strongly interacting matter occurring in relativistic heavy ion collisions. Due to their small size (<1 fm) and relatively large binding energy (hundreds of MeV) they are considered ideal probes of the phase transition to a quark-gluon plasma (QGP). The production of charmonium states has been predicted [16] to be suppressed and is considered a good signature of the QGP. This has indeed been observed at the RHIC accelerator at Brookhaven, and at the CERN SPS and LHC [17–29].

Hadron accelerators at CERN and elsewhere have also been used for studies of photo-nuclear and photon-photon physics induced in ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions (UPC), i.e., relativistic collisions without nuclear contact [24]. It has long been realized that the strong electromagnetic fields produced during a short time in such accelerators can be used to probe new phenomena in atomic, nuclear and particle physics [25]. For example, the double giant dipole resonance (DGDR), a giant resonance (GR) excited on top of another GR was produced at the GSI facility in 1993 by means of UPCs with heavy ions [26–27]. In 1996, the first production of anti-hydrogen atoms in the laboratory was carried out using UPCs in CERN [28], followed by another experiment in Fermilab [29]. More recently, UPCs have been used to study gluon distributions in nuclei via the production of vector mesons, such as J/ψ production in recent experiments at the LHC [30–54]. This opened another opportunity to study the manifestation of sub-nucleon degrees of freedom not only in dense QGP matter, but also in interactions of quasi-real photons with partonic distributions within the nuclei. Such studies are complementary and greatly enhance our understanding of the strong interaction and of QCD in general.

The basic idea of UPCs is that the strong electromagnetic fields of a relativistic heavy ion due to the Lorentz contraction give rise to hard (high energy) photons that will further react with the proton or nucleus moving in the opposite direction in the collider. Such peripheral collisions give rise to numerous physical phenomena of interest for nuclear and particle physics such as the study of radiative meson widths, photo-production of exotic mesons, and ultimately the tests of parton distribution functions. Many theoretical publications on UPCs have appeared in the last two decades, followed by a good number of experiments at the GSI, RHIC and the LHC. An incomplete list of related works is listed in Refs. [24–57].

A recent study [58] has shown that the experimental data on J/ψ production in ultraperipheral collisions at the LHC cannot be reproduced by means of production, rescattering, and destruction of J/ψ’s with hadrons alone, without the account of subnucleonic degrees of freedom. UPC data are good probes of PDFs at small momentum fraction $x$ and momentum transfers $Q^2$ up to a few GeV$^2$ for J/ψ production and up to a few tens of GeV$^2$ for T production. In this article we explore the details of the rapidity distributions and total cross sections...
for $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon$ production at the LHC using subnucleonic degree of freedom as with some of the previous published works, e.g., Refs. [20] [33] [34]. Our goal is to test how several PDFs fare against experimental verification. In this work we have extended the study performed in Refs. [33] [34] [59] to account for tests of parton distribution functions at the LHC, also including other PDFs and making predictions for the $J/\psi$, $\Upsilon(1s)$, and $\psi(2S)$.

In section 2 we make a short review of the reaction mechanism adopted for the description of particle production in UPCs. In section 3 we summarize the main physics in each of the PDFs adopted in this work. In section 4 we present our experimental results, comparing them with the few existing experimental data and making predictions for future experiments. Our conclusions are spelled out in section 5.

REACTION MECHANISM

Peripheral heavy ion collisions have been reviewed in previous publications [25] [52] [56]. In particular, the appropriate reaction mechanism to use in UPC as a probe of gluon distribution functions has been described in Ref. [30] and used as a standard method in other publications (e.g., see Refs. [23] [31]). Here we summarize the main equations that have been used in our calculations with some small modifications from previous works.

The cross section for the production of a vector meson $V$ in UPC between heavy ions (AA collisions) is given by

$$\sigma^{AA \rightarrow V} = 2 \int d\omega \frac{N(\omega)}{\omega} \sigma^{\gamma A \rightarrow V}(\omega),$$

where $\omega$ is the (virtual) photon energy, $\sigma^{\gamma A \rightarrow V}(\omega)$ is the photoproduction cross section and $N(\omega)$ are the virtual photon numbers [25]. The factor of 2 accounts for the production of mesons in the target and in the projectile, which are equal in magnitude. At a given collision impact parameter $b$ the virtual photon flux is given by (we use $\hbar = c = 1$)

$$n(\omega, b) = \frac{Z^2 a^2}{\pi^2 b^2} \left[ K_1^2(\xi) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} K_0^2(\xi) \right] e^{-T(b)},$$

with $\xi = \omega b/\gamma$, where $Z$ is the nuclear charge, $\gamma$ is the Lorentz contraction factor, and $K_0$ and $K_1$ are modified Bessel functions. The exponential factor accounts for the probability that both ions survive in the collision, with the profile function $T(b)$ given by

$$T(b) = \frac{\sigma_{NN}}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dq \, [\tilde{\rho}_A(q)]^2 J_0(qb),$$

where $\sigma_{NN}$ is the total nucleon-nucleon cross section, $\tilde{\rho}_A(q)$ is the Fourier transform of the nuclear matter density (assumed to be spherical), and $J_0$ is the cylindrical Bessel function. For $pA \rightarrow V$ processes one just replaces $[\tilde{\rho}_A(q)]^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}_p(q)\tilde{\rho}_A(q)$ in Eq. (3), where $\tilde{\rho}_p(q)$ now is the proton form factor.

The virtual photon numbers entering Eq. (1) are obtained by the integral of $n(\omega, b)$ over all impact parameters, also accounting for photon polarization effects (for more details, see Refs. [60] [61]),

$$N(\omega) = 2\pi \int db \int \frac{d\omega}{C_A} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \, n(\omega, b + r \cos \phi),$$

where $C_A$ is the cross sectional area of the nucleus which can be obtained from its matter density distribution as a function of the intrinsic coordinate, $\rho_A(r)$. In this equation we have introduced a modification of the method used in Refs. [60] [61] which treats nuclei as hard spheres, and we introduce a proper description of the matter diffusiveness in the nucleus. This is important because the most effective collisions for vector meson production occur when the nuclei fly by very close to each other, i.e., in “grazing” collisions. In our method there is no need to introduce a cutoff impact parameter. The meson is expected to be produced with transverse polarization due to helicity conservation of a quasi-real photon [60] [61].

For the rapidity distributions, $d\sigma^{pA \rightarrow V}/dy$, we use the formalism of Refs. [20] [32], so that the kinematical relation $d\sigma/dy = d\omega/\sigma d\omega$ is used and the corresponding distributions become

$$\frac{d\sigma^{pA \rightarrow V}}{dy} = \left[ N_A(\omega)\sigma^{\gamma p \rightarrow V}(\omega) \right]_{\omega = \omega_l} + \left[ N_p(\omega)\sigma^{\gamma A \rightarrow V}(\omega) \right]_{\omega = \omega_r},$$

where $\omega_l$ ($\omega_l \propto e^{-y}$) and $\omega_r$ ($\omega_r \propto e^y$) denote photons arising from A and from p, respectively, with nuclei assumed to come from the left and protons from the right of the observer. This equation takes care of the asymmetry in the number of photons incident on the proton and on the nucleus, respectively. For AA collisions,

$$\frac{d\sigma^{AA \rightarrow V}}{dy} = \left[ N_A(\omega)\sigma^{\gamma A \rightarrow V}(\omega) \right]_{\omega = \omega_l} + \left[ N_A(\omega)\sigma^{\gamma A \rightarrow V}(\omega) \right]_{\omega = \omega_r},$$

and the rapidity distributions are obviously symmetric about mid-rapidity, $y = 0$ because the left and right photon fluxes are identical and the second term in this equation yields a mirror image distribution of the first term as a function of the photon energy in the laboratory, $\omega$. The rapidity $y$ is is given by $y = \ln(2\omega/M_V) = \ln(W_{\gamma p}^2/2\gamma_b m_N m_V)$, where $m_N$ is the nucleon mass, $W_{\gamma p} = \sqrt{s_{\gamma p}}$ is the invariant c.m. energy of the photon-proton system, and $\gamma_b$ is the laboratory Lorentz factor of the nucleus.
In Fock space, the UPC produced photon can fluctuate leading to the interaction of either bare photons with the nucleus or of a partonic component of the photon with the nucleus [62,63]. Thus, the cross section for the production of a vector meson in UPC entering Eq. (1) can be written as the sum of resolved and unresolved photon fluctuations, i.e.,

$$\sigma^{\gamma A \to V}(\omega) = \sigma^{\gamma A \to V}_{\text{unres}}(\omega) + \sigma^{\gamma A \to V}_{\text{res}}(\omega).$$  (7)

To calculate the resolved photoproduction cross section one needs the partonic distributions of light quarks and gluons in the photons, and in the nuclei as well [65]. A detailed study of the contribution of resolved photons for the production of free $q\bar{q}$-pairs in UPCs was done in Refs. [34,65]. Although the resolved component is a higher-order process, it was shown in Ref. [34] that the contributions of the resolved photon are not negligible, e.g., at the level of about 10% for the production of $c\bar{c}$ pairs and 20% for $b\bar{b}$ pairs. However, the resolved component contribution to the production of bound states in UPCs is negligible and therefore we will only consider here the contributions of “bare” unresolved photons interacting with gluon distributions in the nuclei via photon-gluon fusion.

According to Refs. [66,71] the cross sections for photoproduction of vector mesons to lowest-order is given by

$$\sigma^{\gamma A \to V}(\omega) = \frac{16\pi^3\alpha_s^2\Gamma_{ee}}{3\alpha M_V^2} \left[ xg_p(x,Q^2)R_A(x,Q^2) \right]^2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \int_{t_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} dt |F(t)|^2 & \text{for } \gamma A \text{ collisions} \\ 1/b & \text{for } \gamma p \text{ collisions} \end{array} \right. $$  (8)

where, $\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant, $M_V$ is the vector meson mass, $x = M_V^2/W_p^2$ is the momentum fraction carried by the gluons (Bjorken variable), $g_p(x,Q^2)$ is the proton gluon distribution at the momentum transfer $Q^2 = (M_V/2)^2$, $\Gamma_{ee}$ is the meson leptonic decay width, and $\alpha_s(Q^2)$ is the strong coupling constant calculated at the average momentum transfer $Q^2$. The relation between the Bjorken $x$ variable and rapidity is given by $x = (M_V/2\omega_L)e^{-y}$, where $\omega_L$ is the photon energy in the laboratory reference frame.

The function $R_A(x,Q^2)$ accounts for the nuclear medium effects on the modification of the gluon distribution. For nuclei, the integral in the last term includes the integration over the momentum transfer of the nuclear form factor $F(t)$ with the minimum momentum transfer given by $t_{\text{min}} = (M_V^2/4\omega_L)^2$, appropriate for narrow resonances. For photo-production on protons, we use the second option in Eq. (8) with $b = 4.5$ GeV$^{-2}$ being the slope parameter of the proton form factor. The formalism described in this paragraph is reminiscent of that introduced in Ref. [30], with the assumption that the forward scattering amplitude determines the photoproduction by means of the optical theorem, whereas the elastic momentum transfer is determined by the nucleus (proton) form factor which contains information on the spatial matter distribution. The photoproduction of vector mesons in UPCs depends significantly more on the medium effects for PbPb collisions than for pPb collisions. We thus focus our study on PbPb collisions which have been studied experimentally at the LHC at the energies $\sqrt{s}_{NN} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s}_{NN} = 5$ TeV. We notice that the energy 2.76 TeV is the equivalent to the 7 TeV run for pp but with PbPb collisions at the LHC. The 5 TeV run is the pp run for a proton beam energy of 8 TeV. These are both Run 1 at the LHC. The equivalent PbPb runs for Run 2 are: (a) for 13 TeV the pp collisions occur at 5.125 TeV and the 14 TeV pp collisions equivalent occurs at 5.52 TeV. Here we use $\sqrt{s}_{NN} = 5$ TeV as representative of PbPb collisions for Run 2 at the LHC.

PARTON DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS AND MEDIUM CORRECTIONS

The PDFs we use in our calculations are scale dependent functions of momentum fraction for partonic degree of freedom in the initial state hadron. The spin direction of the quarks is not considered separately and the PDFs quantify the probability densities for finding a parton with momentum fraction $x$ (Bjorken variable) at a momentum transfer $Q^2$ in the hadron (here, the proton or nucleus). Usually, these densities increase with increasing $Q^2$ at low $x$ and decrease with $Q^2$ at high $x$. For a fixed low value of $Q^2$ the valence quarks are dominant in the nucleon, whereas at large $Q^2$ values, the $q\bar{q}$-pairs (sea quarks) increase with a low momentum fraction $x$. These quarks and anti-quarks are responsible for only about half of the nucleon momentum, with the other half of its momentum being carried by the gluons. The gluon PDF also increases with increasing $Q^2$ and is the most relevant part in our calculations (for a review, see, e.g., Ref. [74]). Parton Distribution Functions result from the application of an appropriate factorization theorem [73]. Factorization separates the long distance low-scale interactions from the short-distance interaction. The latter can be calculated in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) using the property of asymptotic freedom [74,75]. At high $Q^2$, the strong interaction coupling $\alpha_S(Q^2) \ll 1$ and the interaction can be written as an expansion in this parameter with the leading order (LO) term proportional to $\alpha_S$, the next-to-leading (NLO) term proportional to $\alpha_S^2$, and so on. This approach, however, fails to describe the low $Q^2$ dynamics where $\alpha_S(Q^2) \sim 1$.

The low-scale interactions are non-perturbative objects and cannot be predicted with current techniques. Rather, the PDFs are obtained from phenomenological fits to abundant experimental data, mostly Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) of leptons on nucleons and nuclei. Typical work in this direction has been published in sev-
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The largest amount of data used to extract PDFs comes from deeply inelastic scattering (DIS), Drell-Yan, and direct jet production processes. Gluons, being electrically neutral, are not directly probed in DIS and their distributions are inferred from the momentum sum rules and the QCD evolution of the PDFs. In the case of nu-
clei, there are much fewer data than for the free pro-
ton, which makes it interesting to deduce medium effects in nuclei and their dependence on their masses. Since this mass dependence is not well known, medium cor-
rections published in the literature tend to vary wildly, and effects such as shadowing and antishadowing differ significantly in magnitude \[84, 89\]. These differences in medium corrections are particularly visible at low $Q^2$.

The first global fits adopted mainly DIS and DY lepton pair production and only later other hadronic processes such as particle production in nucleus-nucleus collisions have been included. These global fits have obviously improved the determination of gluon distributions, but large uncertainties at both low and high $x$ are still found in the context of medium corrections and nuclear mass dependence \[90\, 92\]. The UPC data from the LHC shows that theoretical calculations using LO and NLO corrections in the PDFs can provide useful constraints on the small-x dependence of $g_A(x, Q^2)$ \[33\, 34\, 59\].

Several PDFs have been used as input in theoretical calculations of meson production in UPCs. For example, in Ref. \[30\] relatively old versions of the PDFs from Refs. \[62\, 85\, 93\, 96\] were used. It was shown that the photo-nuclear vector meson cross sections using the EKS \[85\] parametrization are about 1.25 larger than that with the AG \[96\] parametrization, while the GRV \[94\] yields a factor 2.4 larger than the EKS prediction. A similar tendency was found for vector meson production and $c\bar{c}$ pairs in UPCs in lead-lead collisions at the LHC. Medium effects through the inclusion of the function $R_A(x, Q^2)$ in Eq. \[9\] were found to be relevant. The same formalism elaborated in Ref. \[30\] was later adopted in Ref. \[31\] to study color transparency effects in the production of $J/\psi$ mesons in UPCs. Their calculations yield a bump-shape rapidity distribution with a suppression at mid-rapidity by a factor of about 6 due to the color transparency effect \[31\].

The medium effects included in $R_A(x, Q^2)$ are usually separated into a few regimes: (a) for $x < 0.04$, it is de-
noted shadowing, because the nuclear PDFs are smaller than the corresponding distributions for free protons, meaning that $R_A < 1$; (b) antishadowing, occurs as an enhancement ($R_A > 1$) in the range $0.04 < x < 0.3$; (c) the EMC effect occurs in the region $0.3 < x < 0.8$ with a depletion of the nuclear PDF; and finally (d) for $x > 0.8$ one has the region of Fermi motion with an-
other enhancement of the nuclear PDFs \[84, 97–99\]. The medium modification of the gluon distributions in Pb, using $R_A(x, Q^2) = M^2_{J/\psi}/4$, from the EPS08, EPS09, and HKN07 cases is shown in Figure 1 of Ref. \[34\], which will be used for the interpretation of some of our numerical results.

A renewed interest on using UPCs to test PDFs surged with new experimental interest at the LHC. In Ref. \[34\] an investigation of the medium effects encoded in $R_A(x, Q^2)$ was published using the MSTW08 \[79\], EPS08, EPS09 \[90\, 91\] and HKN07 \[89\] PDFs. The par-
ton distributions from Ref. \[79\] (MSTW08) have the ad-

vantage of including free nucleon PDFs to which nuclear modifications have been included, but they also contain separately nuclear PDFs. In Ref. \[84\, 89\] these PDFs were studied further with $R_A(x, Q^2)$ calculated at the factorization scale $Q^2 = (M_{J/\psi}/2)^2$, used in the elastic photoproduction cross sections for the $J/\psi$ meson. For MSTW08 no nuclear effects ($R_A = 1$) are assumed ini-
tially, while in HKN07 a weak gluon shadowing prevails with no antishadowing and EMC effects, but with Fermi motion included. EPS09 has a rather strong shadow-

FIG. 1. Rapidity distributions for $J/\psi$ production in UPCs at the LHC with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV (upper figure) and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV (lower figure) using the PDF compilations MSTW08 \[79\], CJ12 \[103\], and CT14 \[104\]. The contribution of the MSTW08 set in LO includes a correction factor $\zeta$ and its corresponding $d\sigma/dy$ has been multiplied by a factor 1/3 for better visualization.
ing, antishadowing, EMC effect, and Fermi motion effect. But medium effects are strongest in EPS08. These findings have been confirmed by means of our newest calculations presented in this paper. We have introduced some modifications in the way one calculates the number of equivalent photons and also included additional PDFs which can be used as predictions of the higher energy runs at the LHC.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The results of our calculations for vector meson production of \( J/\psi(1S) \) \((M_V = 3.096 \text{ GeV})\), \( \Upsilon(1S) \) \((M_V = 9.46 \text{ GeV})\), and \( \psi(2S) \) \((M_V = 3.686 \text{ GeV})\) in UPCs will now be discussed. We choose two energies available at the LHC, \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \) and \( 5 \text{ TeV} \). As mentioned earlier, the second energy will be used as representative of the Run 2 for PbPb collisions at the LHC. We start our reporting with \( J/\psi \) production, for which experimental data is available \[77,111\]. In later sections we will report similar calculations for the corresponding cases of the other two mesons. While \( \psi(2S) \) is also a charmonium state, \( \Upsilon(1S) \) is a \( b\bar{b} \) bound state. In all calculations, we have used the nuclear form factor \( F(t) \) in Eq. \[8\] calculated from a Woods-Saxon distribution of the form \( \rho(r) = \rho_0/[1 + \exp((r - R)/a)] \), for the nuclear matter, with \( \rho_0 \) being the central density, normalized so that \( \int \rho(r)d^3r = A \). The nuclear mean radius is given \( R \), and the nuclear surface diffuseness is given by \( a \). For \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) the parameters \( R = 1.241/3 \text{ fm} \) and \( a = 0.549 \text{ fm} \) reproduce quite well the experimental data of electron-nucleus scattering \[100\].

**J/ψ production in UPCs at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \)**

We now discuss the rapidity distributions for \( J/\psi \) production at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \) and \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5 \text{ TeV} \) with PDFs including medium corrections for Pb + Pb collisions. The \( J/\psi \) is the first excited state of \( c\bar{c} \) bound states, usually called “charmonium”. It has the second-smallest rest mass of the charmonia, \( J^{PC} = 1^{−−} \), a lifetime of \( 7.2 \times 10^{-21} \text{ s} \), and a leptonic decay width of \( \Gamma_{ee} = 5.55 \text{ keV} \) \[101\]. For the parton distributions we use the CJ12 \[103\], CT14 \[104\], and the nCTEQ15 \[102\] releases. The last one already accounts for medium corrections and will be treated without the addition of alternative modifications. The CJ12 distribution will be modified with medium corrections, \( R_A(x, Q^2) \), as defined in Eq. \[8\]. These corrections include the nDS \[86\], HKN07 \[89\] and EPS08, EPS09 \[90, 91\]. For the last case, we use in fact only the updated version, i.e., EPS09, except in one case of illustrative value (bottom panel of Figure 2).

Initially, we do not include medium corrections for the CJ12 \[103\], and CT14 \[104\] releases. Our results for \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \) are shown in the upper panel of Figure 1. For the MSTW08 \[72\] we use the leading order (LO) and include Next-to-Leading-Order (NLO) corrections in the meson photo-production cross section through a phenomenological multiplicative factor \( \zeta_V = 1/3.5 \). As explained in Ref. \[33\], this factor is found by adjusting the meson photoproduction data on protons calculated theoretically, \( \sigma_{ep \to V} \), with experimental data from HERA. The excellent agreement with the experimental data is attested in Figure 2 of Ref. \[33\]. Additional details on the origins of this higher-order correction factor are given in Ref. \[33\]. The dependence of the cross section on the square of the gluon distribution implies that exclusive vector meson production is a highly sensitive probe of gluon modifications in nuclei. Higher order corrections tend to decrease the rapidity distributions at mid-rapidity. The UPC photo-production cross section for the MSTW08LO distribution far exceeds the others and is multiplied by a factor 1/3 to better facilitate visualization in Figure 1. It is also narrower than the photoproduction cross sections obtained with the other PDFs. The CJ12 distribution is also much smaller than for the CT14 set. At this point, we were not able to include the
The definition of coherent and incoherent collisions adopted in Ref. [38] assumes that the limiting transverse momentum is $p_T < 200$ MeV/c for coherent process and $p_T > 200$ MeV/c for incoherent processes, based in detection cuts introduced in the $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay channel. In the $J/\psi \to e^+e^-$ decay channel, experimentalists have adopted the limiting value of $p_T < 300$ MeV/c and $p_T > 300$ MeV/c, respectively. For more details, see Ref. [38]. Theoretically, the separation of coherent and in-
coherent processes based on $p_T$ cuts can be carried out more effectively using the intranuclear cascade model of Ref. [38] which allows for the determination of the full four-momentum of the produced particle. Based on this model, the rapidity distribution for coherent processes using the MSTW08 distribution indicates that the form of the rapidity distribution does not change appreciably, except that their magnitude is effectively reduced by a factor of 0.5 [106]. Using this reduction factor in our calculations, we get the solid curve in bottom panel of Figure 2 for the MSTW08 distribution. The experimental data are well reproduced with the MSTW08 set with the inclusion of the EPS09 medium corrections. At mid-rapidity, the experimental data in Ref. [38] yields a combined weighted average for the di-muon and di-electron decay channels of $d\sigma/dy = 2.38^{+0.34}_{-0.23}$ mb, whereas our calculation yields 2.42 mb. Our results also agree with those obtained in Refs. [33, 34] for the same distribution set and medium corrections, if the reduction factor of 0.5 is also applied to their calculations. Due to a stronger gluon shadowing in the EPS08, it appreciably underestimates the rapidity distribution and cross sections by about a factor of two. The HKN07 and nDS parametrizations seem to contain too little gluon shadowing. As mentioned in Ref. [35], PDF sets which do not include gluon shadowing are incompatible with the measured results for $J/\psi$ vector meson production in UPCs at the LHC.

It is worthwhile mentioning at this point that, except for the results presented in the bottom panel of Figure 2, all other results of our calculation are displayed without the separation between coherent and incoherent processes, i.e., both processes are weighted in with our integrals running over all possible momenta. As a last comment on the results presented in Figure 2 we notice that, being wider than the MSTW08 distributions (also evident in Figure 1), the CJ12 and CT14 would only marginally reproduce the experimental data of [35] at the rapidities $y \sim -3$ and $y \sim 3$.

In Figure 3 we show the rapidity distributions for $J/\psi$ production in UPCs at the LHC with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV using the EPS09, nDS and HKN07 medium corrections on CT14 distributions. Also shown are the results using nCTEQ15 distributions. We observe an increase in the total cross sections, and wider rapidity distributions due to the increase in the collision energy and the presence of harder photons in the equivalent photon spectrum. In contrast to the MSTW08 distributions all others PDFs consistently yield similar widths for $d\sigma/dy$, as also observed in Figures 1 and 2. However, they display different shadowing effects, as emphasized by the spread of about a factor of 2 of $d\sigma/dy$ at mid-rapidities.

In Table I we present the cross sections for $J/\psi$ production in UPCs at the LHC for two laboratory energies and several parton distributions. Medium corrections are included.

| PDF             | 2.76 TeV | 5 TeV |
|-----------------|----------|-------|
| MSTW08          | 83       | 220   |
| + EPS08         | 7.8      | 16    |
| + EPS09         | 42       | 113   |
| + nDS           | 62       | 155   |
| CT14            | 26       | 34    |
| + HKN07         | 19       | 24    |
| + EPS09         | 16       | 21    |
| + nDS           | 24       | 30    |
| CJ12            | 3.5      | 4.5   |
| + HKN07         | 2.5      | 3.2   |
| + EPS09         | 2.2      | 2.8   |
| + nDS           | 3.1      | 4.0   |
| nCTEQ15         | 10.2     | 13    |

**Table I.** Cross sections for $J/\psi$ production in UPCs at the LHC for two laboratory energies and several parton distributions. Medium corrections are included.

| PDF             | 2.76 TeV | 5 TeV |
|-----------------|----------|-------|
| MSTW08          | 17       | 40    |
| + EPS08         | 3.5      | 6.7   |
| + EPS09         | 7.6      | 17    |
| + nDS           | 15       | 34    |
| CT14            | 8.0      | 11.3  |
| + HKN07         | 6.0      | 8.4   |
| + EPS09         | 5.3      | 7.3   |
| + nDS           | 7.1      | 9.8   |
| CJ12            | 1.1      | 1.6   |
| + HKN07         | 0.81     | 1.2   |
| + EPS09         | 0.72     | 1.0   |
| + nDS           | 1.0      | 1.4   |
| nCTEQ15         | 3.4      | 5.5   |

**Table II.** Cross sections (in mb) for $\psi$(2S) production in UPCs at the LHC for two laboratory energies and several parton distributions. Medium corrections are included.
FIG. 4. The longitudinal momentum $x$ times the PDF for the gluon with errors at $Q = M_{J/\psi}/2$ for MSTW08 [79], CJ12 [103], CT14 [104], and nCTEQ15 Lead [105] PDF releases (upper figure) and their ratios over the MSTW08 LO set (lower figure). Differences in the proton PDFs contributing to the differences in cross sections are clearly visible. The nCTEQ15 contains additional medium effects which contribute to an enhancement of the PDF in the high-$x$ region.

and nCTEQ15 Lead [105] PDF releases (upper figure) and their ratios over the MSTW08 LO set (lower figure). Differences in the proton PDFs contributing to the differences in cross sections are clearly visible. The nCTEQ15 contains additional medium effects which contribute to an enhancement of the PDF in the high-$x$ region. In the present work we have used the average $x$-dependence of the several PDF sets without taking into account the errors in the individual sets. As we see in Figure 4, the errors are quite large in the region probed by $J/\psi$ production in UPCs at the LHC. Had we included these errors, there would be a substantial overlap of the results presented in Figures 1-3 and also in the following figures for $\psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon$ production. In particular, it would be hard to determine the best PDF set reproducing the data from Ref. [35], as shown in Figure 2.

FIG. 5. Rapidity distributions (in mb) for $\psi(2S)$ production in UPCs at the LHC with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV (upper figure) and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV (lower figure) using the MSTW08 [79], CJ12 [103], and CT14 [104] PDF releases. The contribution of the MSTW08 set in LO includes a correction factor $\zeta_V$ to accommodate NLO corrections.

Here we present our calculations for the production of $\psi(2S)$ UPCs at the LHC for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV. Although its mass is only 20% larger than the $J/\psi$ mass ($M_{\psi(2S)} = 3.686$ GeV), the results reported for $\psi(2S)$ production are different from those for $J/\psi$ production.

In Figure 5 we show the rapidity distributions (in mb) for $\psi(2S)$ production in UPCs at the LHC with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV (upper figure) and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV (lower figure) using the PDF releases MSTW08 [79], CJ12 [103], and CT14 [104]. We recall that the contribution of the MSTW08 in this case, and also for the production of $\Upsilon$'s, does not include a correction factor $\zeta_V$ to accommodate NLO corrections, as it was done for the $J/\psi$. The $\psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon$ photoproduction cross sections, seem to be best reproduced without this correction.

In Figure 6 the rapidity distributions for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV are obtained using the EPS09, nDS and HKN07 medium corrections on CT14 distributions. Also shown are the results using nCTEQ15 distributions. In Figure 7 the rapidity distributions shown are calculated for
$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV using the EPS09, nDS and HKN07 medium corrections on CT14 distributions. Also shown are the results using nCTEQ15 distributions.

Besides the increase of the mass leading to a reduction of the magnitude of the cross sections, also due the smaller magnitude of the leptonic decay width, $\Gamma_{ee} = 2.37$ keV for the $\psi(2S)$, there is an evident spread of the cross sections for the different parton distributions. This can be seen in Table IV where we present our cross sections for $\psi(2S)$ production in UPCs at the LHC for two laboratory energies and several parton distributions. Results obtained with medium corrections are included separately. We observe some differences among the different PDF sets, but mainly in the magnitude of the cross sections.

The variations of our results for the several PDFs and medium corrections shown in Figures 5-7 and in Table IV are due to the sensitivity of the calculations on the meson mass in the integration over the different regions of the parton momentum fraction $x$. As an example, the sensitivity (or lack thereof) of the cross sections to medium corrections can be inferred by using the free MSTW08 and the medium-corrected MSTW08+nDS. For the $J/\psi$ production at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV, the reduction due to shadowing effects are 13% and 30% respectively, while for $\psi(2S)$ production the reductions amount to 12% and 15%. Therefore, at least the total cross sections seem to globally probe shadowing and anti-shadowing effects in a distinguishable way for $J/\psi$ and $\psi(2S)$ at higher bombarding energies.

The different regions of the momentum fraction, $x$, probed in $\psi(2S)$ production in UPCs at the LHC are more evident if we consider the plots for the rapidity distributions, shown in Figures 5-7. It is evident that the main changes occur at the tail of the distributions where the passage from shadowing to anti-shadowing should occur, specially at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. As the beam energy increases to $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV the width of the distributions become very similar to those displayed earlier for $J/\psi$ production. This applies to medium corrected PDFs as well as those for free protons.

**$\Upsilon$ production in UPCs at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV**

Here we present our calculations for the production of $\Upsilon(1S)$ in UPCs at the LHC for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV. In this case, the mass is a factor of 3 larger than the $J/\psi$ mass ($M_T = 9.46$ GeV), and the total cross sections decrease dramatically, by 3 orders of magnitude due to the much smaller number of quasi-real photons in this energy region. The rapidity distributions and cross sections (in $\mu$b) for $\Upsilon$ production in UPCs at the LHC for two laboratory energies and several parton distributions using the leptonic decay width, $\Gamma_{ee} = 1.34$ keV, are shown in Figures 8-10 and Table III. Medium corrections are included separately.

In Figure 8 we plot the rapidity distributions for $\Upsilon$ production in UPCs at the LHC with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV (upper figure) and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV (lower figure) using the PDF compilations MSTW08 [79], CJ12 [103], CT14 [104], and META14 [105]. Here it is appropriate to include the META14 PDF, because of the large $\Upsilon$ mass.

In Figure 9 we show our results for the EPS09, nDS and HKN07 medium corrections on META14 distributions (upper figure) and CT14 (lower figure) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV. Also shown are the results using nCTEQ15 distributions.

Finally, in Figure 10, we plot the rapidity distributions using the EPS09, nDS and HKN07 medium corrections on META14 distributions (upper figure) and CT14 (lower figure) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV. Also shown are the results using nCTEQ15 distributions.

It is noticeable in Figures 8-10 that the larger mass...
FIG. 8. Rapidity distributions for $\Upsilon$ production in UPCs at the LHC with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV (upper figure) and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV (lower figure) using the PDF compilations MSTW08 [79], CJ12 [103], CT14 [104], and META14 [105]. The contribution of the MSTW08 set in LO includes a correction factor $\zeta_V$.

of the $\Upsilon$ has as the overall effect of reducing the width of the rapidity distributions with the contribution from shadowing effects being limited to $-2 < y < 2$. As we noticed for $\psi(2S)$ production, the impact of the larger mass of the $\Upsilon$ modifies the momentum fraction $x$ content probed by the square of the gluon distribution, through the $d\sigma/dy$ dependence on $[xg_A(x)]^2$, leading to a reduction of the shadowing effects probed in the rapidity scale. But, most importantly, the larger value of the $\xi$ variable in the virtual photon spectrum leads to a much larger reduction of the cross sections. The relative size of $\sigma$ and $d\sigma/dy$ obtained with each PDF vary appreciably for the three mesons and also for different energies. Thus, a better scrutiny of parton distribution functions could be achieved in future experiments by measuring UPCs.

CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the elastic photoproduction of the vector mesons $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(1S)$ in ultra peripheral collisions at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN. We have focused on Pb+Pb collisions at two energies: $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV. This study is compl-

| PDF       | 2.76 TeV | 5 TeV |
|-----------|----------|-------|
| MSTW08    | 112      | 194   |
| + EPS08   | 60       | 88    |
| + EPS09   | 78       | 124   |
| + nDS     | 105      | 176   |
| CT14      | 111      | 237   |
| + HKN07   | 90       | 189   |
| + EPS09   | 90       | 186   |
| + nDS     | 101      | 211   |
| CJ12      | 14       | 31    |
| + HKN07   | 12       | 25    |
| + EPS09   | 11       | 16    |
| + nDS     | 13       | 24    |
| nCTEQ15   | 78       | 161   |

TABLE III. Cross sections (in $\mu$b) for $\Upsilon(1S)$ production in UPCs at the LHC for two laboratory energies and several parton distributions. Medium corrections are included separately.
FIG. 10. Rapidity distributions (in $\mu b$) for $\Upsilon$ production in UPCs at the LHC with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV using the EPS09, nDS and HKN07 medium corrections on META14 distributions (upper figure) and CT14 (lower figure). Also shown are the results using nCTEQ15 distributions.

mentary to those exploring PDFs to predict the outcome of particle production in central collisions between relativistic heavy ion collisions. In this work we have only considered direct photo-production in UPCs, although it is known that the resolved photon components also play a sizable role\cite{34}. The EPS09 model, which includes a coherent partonic energy loss, still seems to be the best fit to the experimentally available data on $J/\psi$ production\cite{38}. We have also utilized a larger number of parton distribution functions than have been considered so far, such as the META, CT14, nDS and nCTEQ15 PDFs, with or without medium corrections.

The total cross sections as well as the rapidity distributions are appreciably sensitive to shadowing at mid-rapidities and a rather insensitive to antishadowing at very forward and very backward rapidities. Because of their small difference in mass there are not many noticeable differences in their sensitivity to gluon distributions for the cross sections and rapidity distributions in $J/\psi$ and $\psi(2S)$ production. But there is a drop of the cross section by a factor of 3 or larger, due to the reduction in the gluon PDF and the reduced number of virtual photons for the larger vector meson mass. The situation changes dramatically for the case of $\Upsilon$ production because the cross sections decrease by 3 orders of magnitude to a much larger vector meson mass. But also the rapidity distributions become much narrower in this case.

The quadratic dependence of the elastic photoproduction on gluon distributions and their medium modifications do allow for a serious probe for the different parton distribution functions. The discrimination of shadowing effects can manifest through cross sections differing by factors as large as 10 for the different PDFs we have considered. We hope that the Run 2 of PbPb collisions at the LHC will allow to better constrain medium modifications of gluon distribution and the consequent magnitude of shadowing effects.

Theoretical models still lack the inclusion of final state breakup of vector mesons, which seems to be evidenced in Ref.\cite{35}. As claimed in Ref.\cite{58}, where cascade simulations have been carried out, only a small fraction of the produced $J/\psi$ leave the nucleus, emphasizing the importance of final state interactions in vector meson production in UPCs. Such effects would be better investigated if additional nuclei could be used in the collider. It is also worthwhile mentioning that the role of resolved photon partonic distributions still demands additional theoretical work. Finally, the PDF sets display appreciable uncertainties as a function of the momentum fraction $x$. The experimental data on UPCs at the LHC for rapidity distributions and total cross sections of vector meson production introduces an additional tool to constrain PDF distributions, although an assessment of the role of the errors in the $x$-dependence of the PDFs deserves a better scrutiny. Work in this direction is in progress.
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