The Influence of Forest Management and Changed Hydrology on Soil Biochemical Properties in a Central-European Floodplain Forest
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Abstract: Anthropogenic modifications to water regimes are one of the main factors threatening the stability and existence of floodplain forests. This study presents an analysis of topsoil biogeochemistry within three floodplain forest stands with different levels of human alteration. Decreasing contents of soil organic carbon (OC) and microbial biomass were observed along the gradient from natural to plantation forest. High annual variations were observed in soil N contents and in microbial biomass, while comparable spatial variations were observed within the natural forest. High ground-water levels resulted in increased accumulation of available Na⁺ and SO₄²⁻ in the natural forest soil, yet the concentrations of ions were at sub-saline levels. The increasing contents of available Mn, SO₄²⁻ or Cl⁻ had mostly positive effects on soil microbial activity across the sites, though the results indicate the existence of a certain ecological limit for soil microorganisms. Reintroduction of surface-water flooding should be considered in future forest and water management to promote the dilution of ions accumulated in soils and natural deposition of sediments rich in organic matter (OM) at the sites.
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1. Introduction
Floodplain forests represent one of the world’s most important and also most endangered natural ecosystems [1–3]. Their functioning and existence have been directly influenced for centuries by anthropogenic activities that lead to their gradual transformation, loss and fragmentation around the world [4–6]. Floodplain forests are characterized by periodic fluctuations in ground-water levels, and their productivity is partly dependent on the resupply of nutrients and OM from regular floods [2,7]. Because of their high biological productivity and long-term accumulation of fluvial deposits, floodplain forests are considered a globally significant carbon sink [8–10] with a wide range of other ecosystem services [11]. Anthropogenic modifications to the water regime are one of the main factors threatening the stability and existence of floodplain forests [1,2,5,12]. Significant landscape-level management interventions, such as extensive river regulations in many European countries [4,6], have significantly affected large areas of original floodplain forests, but their impacts on ecosystem functions have not been always fully explored [13]. As a result of the elimination of regular flooding following river regulations, many floodplain
Forests ecosystems have become increasingly dependent on ground-water supply of variable quantity and quality. Forest management through its direct and indirect impacts on forest structure and biomass extraction, also plays a significant role in the modification of soil nutrient cycles and OC sequestration [14]. However, little is known about the influence of forest management on floodplain forest soils [15,16] and about the biochemical changes induced by alteration of the water regime (e.g., [9,16–18]).

Floodplain forest salinization is a phenomenon typical especially for semi-arid and arid [5,19] or brackish areas [20–22], where the predominant capillary rise and ground-water evaporation are the main processes of salt accumulation in soil. Under certain conditions, the process of salinization can be also expected in some inland temperate floodplains, particularly as a result anthropogenic modifications to the hydrologic cycle [23]. Increasing salinization of forest soils can lead to the limitation of tree growth and increased tree mortality and sensitivity to climate change [21]. Excessive salt accumulation in soil causes a significant decrease in microbial activity [24] and negatively affects soil fertility with both direct and indirect impacts on other soil properties [25]. Apart from Na\(^{+}\) and Cl\(^{-}\), other salt elements and compounds such as sulfates (SO\(_4^{2-}\)) or certain biologically active metals such as Fe and Mn can significantly accumulate from a mineral-rich Ground-water. This phenomenon is currently marginal within temperate floodplain forests of continental Europe, though may gain further attention along with the ongoing climate-change [23]. Sulfates are important constituents of anaerobic metabolism and their soil contents varies seasonally depending on atmospheric and ground-water inputs, plant uptake, OM mineralization and soil microbial activity [26]. Sulfate accumulation represents a serious environmental issue in some freshwater wetland ecosystems, as it often leads to eutrophication and under anaerobic condition to potential transformation into toxic sulphide [27]. Fe and Mn generally share similar chemical behavior in soils, which is due to their typical valence states and chemical forms [28,29]. The two metals also have a strong affinity to organic substances [30,31] and may, under certain conditions, accumulate in OM-rich soil horizons and influence soil microbial activity. Mn is a key component of the manganese-peroxidase enzyme, which is produced by fungi to break down lignin [32]. The availability of Mn to microorganisms can thus have a significant effect on the dynamics of soil OM [33]. Fe also participates in the catalytic cycle, in the process of phenolic compound degradation, concurrently with the activity of manganese peroxidases [34].

The aims of this study were (i) to investigate the impacts of management (changed forest structure and hydrology) on soil biochemical properties along the gradient of forest stands with different levels of human alteration, (ii) to assess the degree of annual changes in the biochemical properties of soils, and finally (iii) to evaluate whether the salt and sulfate accumulation of expected ground-water origin may limit microbial activity and related biochemical soil properties at the sites. We hypothesize that: (H1) The less-intensively managed forest sites will show higher contents of soil OC and total nitrogen (N), and higher microbial biomass; (H2) The evapoconcentration of mineralized ground-water leads to the accumulation of sulfates, chlorides, and Na\(^{+}\) in the mineral topsoil; (H3) The increase in ground-water-induced soil mineral contents present a limitation for soil microbial activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The research was conducted in the Czech Republic, Central Europe, at altitudes of 166–175 m, between the two rivers Šatava and Jihlava (Figure 1). The region is one of the warmest while precipitation poorer in the country (mean annual temperature 9.2 °C; average annual precipitation 563 mm [35]. The study area represents a fragmented landscape of floodplain forest that has undergone extensive modifications of water regime. The former floodplain forests are increasingly dependent on the resupply of ground-water from wider infiltration area [36]. The geology of the area is formed by Quaternary fluvial sediments (QFS), underlain by marine Neogene sediments of calcareous clays, calcareous gravels and gravel sands [37]. The underling Neogene clays form a hydrological barrier that allows the
The formation of aquifer in the above-imposed QFS. The ground-water accumulating in the area is enriched by minerals from the marine Neogene sediments [36]. Several hydrogeological surveys of ground-water in the area [37–39] revealed the concentrations of total dissolved solids (TDS) of 940–3975 mg L⁻¹ at electrical conductivity of 1104–4290 µS cm⁻¹, with the concentrations of bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) 360–663 mg L⁻¹, sulfate (SO₄²⁻) 187–1740 mg L⁻¹, Cl⁻ 31–505 mg L⁻¹, Na⁺ 36–346 mg L⁻¹, Ca²⁺ 150–515 mg L⁻¹, Mg²⁺ 36–227 mg L⁻¹, Fe 2.6–7.8 mg L⁻¹, and Mn 0.15–2.01 mg L⁻¹ (Table A1).

**Figure 1.** Location of the study area between rivers Jihlava and Šatava and the sampling design at the three study sites ((I) Bedřich, (II) Placek A, and (III) Placek B). Within the Natural Reserve, the blue polygons represent open water bodies; the lines are ditches and channels of the drainage system. The hexagonal point grid within each site indicates the geometrical centers of plots used for soil sampling.

### 2.2. Study Sites

Three forest stands dominated by common oak (*Quercus robur* L.) were selected along the gradient of sites with different levels of human alteration (Figure 1). The Bedřich forest site is a 70-year-old forest stand planted in rows (hereby referred as plantation forest), with the admixture of ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.) and the understory dominated by *Urtica dioica* L. up to 2-m tall. The area was artificially drained and stump harvesting and soil preparation are commonly used methods of reforestation practices. The soils are classified as Eutric Fluvisols according to FAO [40]. The second and the third sites are located within the Placek Forest Natural Reserve, a well-preserved but isolated relic of former floodplain forest. Although regular flooding is prevented by the construction of dikes, which also limit draining into the river, the high supply of ground-water in the area partially compensates for the absence of floods [36]. The ground-water level is regulated by
the system of ditches (Figure 1), by which the excess water is pumped into the river. Here, two sites with moderate to minor human alteration were selected, respectively: Placek A is a 140-years old forest stand with the admixture of ash and a dense understory of dogwood (*Cornus sanguinea* L.), ash, and black elder (*Sambucus nigra* L.) situated at the periphery of the reserve (hereby, referred to as managed forest); Placek B is a partly fragmented (semi)natural stand of oak and ash more than 160-years old, with a dense regrowth of ash and dogwood in the understory, situated in the core-zone of the reserve. For the purposes of this study, only parts of the stand where mature oaks dominate the canopy were selected. Placek B has been managed extensively and left to natural succession since 1998 (hereby, referred as natural forest). The soils at Placek Forest are classified as Gleyic Fluvisols and Fluvic Gelysols according to FAO [40]. The humus forms at all three study sites were classified as Mesomull [41].

To document the ground-water chemistry, two and three samples were collected from the corresponding number of hydrogeological wells at Bedrich and Placek forests, respectively. In addition, two samples of surface water were collected from the Jihlava river, which is the only surface water at Bedrich forest, and ten samples of surface water were collected from the system of ditches and pools at Placek Forest. With the exception of well No. 3 (located to the S between Placek A and Placek B), the water chemistry was comparable between Bedrich and Placek forests, but the ground-water at Bedrich forest had higher concentrations of Fe and Mn (Table A1).

### 2.3. Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected at points distributed in a regular hexagonal net with the spacing grid of 30 m. At each plot, disturbed composite sample of the 0–10-cm depth mineral soil was assembled from three sampling positions that were spaced triangularly around the central point in the distance of 4–8 m from each other. In total, 50 samples at Bedrich site and 50 samples at Placek A site were collected in June 2019. In May 2020, repeated sampling was performed at 10 of the plots at both Bedrich and Placek A sites, and another 31 plots at Placek B (Figure 1). The $2 \times 10$ plots for resampling were selected upon stratification of soil conductivity at the original 50 plots from 2019 into deciles, where always one plot of each decile was randomly selected for resampling in 2020. The composite samples from each plot were collected into plastic bags, transported to the laboratory and stored in a refrigerator at $4^\circ$C until analysis. The meteorological data in the days of soil sampling and the 30 preceding days are provided in Table A2.

### 2.4. Laboratory Analysis

Specific electrical conductivity (EC) was determined in a 1:5 aqueous extract of soil according to ISO 11265. The total soluble soil Na$^+$, Cl$^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ contents were determined in a 1:5 (soil to water) extract; the Na$^+$ contents were determined by flame emission spectrometry (ISO 9964); chlorides were determined coulometrically as adsorbable organically bound halogens (ISO 9562); and SO$_4^{2-}$ was determined by isotachophoresis according to Zbíral et al. [42]. Plant-available Mn and Fe contents were determined from the Mehlich 3 extractant [43] using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) (ISO 5961; ISO 12020). The contents of total carbon (C) and N were determined by an elemental analyzer (Vario MACRO cube, Elementar, Germany). Soil samples from 2020 and selected samples from 2019 (those resampled in 2020) were also analyzed for OC and inorganic carbon (IC) contents by temperature-dependent C fraction differentiation in both oxygenated and non-oxygenated atmospheres (SoliTOC, Elementar, Germany). Soil pH was determined in a 1:5 (volume fraction) suspension of soil in water according to ISO 10390. Microbial-biomass carbon (C$_{mic}$) content was determined by chloroform-fumigation—K$_2$SO$_4$ extraction (ISO 14240-2) and spectrophotometric determination of actual oxidizable carbon [42]. Catalase activity (CA) was measured volumetrically according to Gomöryová et al. [44]. Soil basal respiration (BR) and substrate-induced respiration (SIR) were evaluated according to ISO 16072.
2.5. Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in the R statistical environment [45]. The differences among sites in particular years were tested using the Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference test. The annual changes in soil characteristics were tested using the one-sided paired t-test. To fulfil the assumption on normality, data were Box-Cox transformed prior to analysis. Pearson pairwise correlations were used to describe the relationships between soil variables within each site and year on the Box-Cox transformed data. Multiple linear regression models were used to model the within-site variation in soil biological properties, such as $C_{mic}$, SIR, BR, and CA, where the EC, $SO_4^{2-}$, $Cl^-$, $Na^+$, Fe, and Mn contents, pH, and C:N were used as the explanatory variables. Model building was performed using the both-directional stepwise selection procedure based on the Akaike Information Criterion [46]. To avoid overfitting, the maximum number of two explanatory variables was used in each model. The validity of model assumptions was tested using the ‘gvlma’ function. The distribution of model residuals was checked visually using residual, quantile-quantile, and Cook’s distance plots. A model was accepted as valid only, if all the prediction terms were significant at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. The Between-Site Variation in Soil Properties

The studied soil properties mostly varied among sites (Figure 2). Both the years, lower contents of C, N, and OC were determined in the topsoil at Bedrich site, as compared to Placek A. In 2020, the highest C and OC contents were observed at Placek B, while the N contents did not differ significantly from Placek A. All soils showed the IC contents less than 1.4 g kg$^{-1}$, indicating low contents of carbonates. The IC contents were slightly higher at Bedrich site and lower at Placek A. The soils at Bedrich site also showed significantly higher pH compared to Placek A in 2019 and compared to Placek B in 2020. In parallel, the C:N ratio was significantly lower at Bedrich site, as compared to Placek A in 2019 and lower at Placek A as compared to Placek B in 2020.

In 2019, the $Cl^-$ and $SO_4^{2-}$ contents were both significantly higher at Bedrich forest, as compared to Placek A. In 2020, however, the lowest $Cl^-$ contents were observed at Bedrich forest, with no significant difference between Placek A and Placek B. The highest $SO_4^{2-}$ contents in 2020 were observed at Placek B, with no significant difference between Bedrich forest and Placek A, while the $Na^+$ contents gradually increased along the sequence Bedrich < Placek A < Placek B. In contrast, the available Fe and Mn contents were significantly higher at Bedrich forest as compared to Placek A in both years, though the highest contents of available Fe and the lowest contents of available Mn in 2020 were at Placek B (Figure 2).

In 2019, the $C_{mic}$ contents, BR and SIR were all higher in Placek A as compared to Bedrich site, while an opposite pattern was observed for the CA. In 2020, however, both the BR and CA did not differ significantly among sites, while the SIR gradually increased along the sequence of Bedrich < Placek A < Placek B (Figure 2).
3.2. The Annual Variation in Soil Properties

At both Bedrich and Placek A sites, the EC significantly decreased between the years 2019 and 2020. In contrast, the Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) contents showed significant annual increases at both Bedrich and Placek A sites. The sulfate contents significantly decreased at Bedrich forest, while no significant change was observed at Placek A. The available Mn and Fe contents did not change significantly between the years at any of the sites (Figure 2). Only at Bedrich site, a significant annual increase was observed in both the C and OC contents, followed by a decrease in soil pH. At Placek A, neither the OC content nor soil pH changed between the years. The strong annual decreases in soil C:N at Bedrich and Placek A sites were mostly due to the increases in N contents; at both sites, the mean N contents nearly doubled in 2020 as compared to 2019, so the mean C:N decreased annually from 10.1 to 5.8 and from 11.2 to 6.4 at the Bedrich and Placek A site, respectively. This pattern was
followed with the significant annual increase in $C_{\text{mic}}$ (by 115% and 41%, as compared to the previous year) and the decrease in SIR (by 60% and 57%, respectively) (Figure 2i,m). In contrast, the BR and CA did not change significantly between years at both sites.

### 3.3. The Within-Site Variation of Soil Biological Properties

The $C_{\text{mic}}$ and SIR were mainly predicted by soil EC, which explained 10–70% of the total variance in $C_{\text{mic}}$ and 35–76% of the total variance in SIR (Tables 1 and 2). Only at Bedrich site in 2020, $C_{\text{mic}}$ was not predicted by any valid model, except the overall strong positive correlations to soil OC and N contents (Figures A2 and A3). At Placek B, however, the responses of $C_{\text{mic}}$ and SIR to EC followed quadratic models, with apparent peaks at around 190 $\mu$S·cm$^{-1}$ and 210 $\mu$S·cm$^{-1}$, respectively, thereby culminating within the zone of plots with high C:N values (Figure 3). Across the sites and years, $C_{\text{mic}}$ and SIR were significantly positively correlated (Figures A2 and A3), though at Placek A in 2019 the correlation was rather weak. The overall positive response of SIR to the increasing Cl$^-$ contents at Placek B (Figure A3c) was partly distorted at the plots with high C:N ratio (Figure 4). Here, the 8 of 31 plots had the topsoil C:N ratio between 11.2 and 12.7 (mean 11.9), while rest of the plots had C:N ratios in between 4.5 and 6.9 (mean 6.5). This within-site variation in soil C:N at Placek B, which was mostly due to low N contents at the plots with high C:N, was closely related with several other soil (bio)chemical properties, including strong positive correlations with the contents of Na$^+$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and available Fe (Figure A3c).

In general, the availability of Mn had merely positive effects on soil microbial characteristics and was consistently the main explanatory variable for CA at both Placek A and Placek B sites, contributing to 36–63% of the total variance (Tables 1 and 2); no valid model for CA was found at Bedrich site. In contrast, the available Fe contents had negative secondary effects on microbial characteristics, namely on $C_{\text{mic}}$ at Placek A site in 2019 (Table 1) and on BR at Placek A and Placek B sites in 2020 (Table 2). Overall, the Fe and Mn contents were significantly negatively correlated across the sites both the years (Figures A2 and A3). The variation in BR was regularly predicted by either the sulfate or chloride contents, with the exception of Placek A site in 2019, where both sulfates and chlorides were largely below detection limits. In 2020, however, the sulfate contents explained 75% of the variation in BR at Placek A. Sulfates and chlorides had mostly positive effects on BR, with the exception of Bedrich site in 2020, where BR was co-determined by Mn contents.

### Table 1. Linear regression models of soil biological properties at the two study sites in 2019; separate statistics are provided for the prediction terms (explanatory variables), and for the whole model (indicated with *); $C_{\text{mic}}$ = microbial-biomass carbon; SIR = substrate-induced respiration; BR = basal respiration; CA = catalase activity; EC = electrical conductivity; for comparability of regression coefficients, the data were standardized prior to analysis.

| Site       | Dependent Variable | Explanatory Variables | Coefficient | t-Value | p-Value | Variance Explained | F-Statistics * | p-Value * | $R^2$ * | $R^2$ Adj. * | Residual SE * |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Bedrich ($n = 50$) | $C_{\text{mic}}$ | EC | 0.381 | 2.99 | 0.0045 | 0.15 | 6.775 | 0.0026 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.892 |
|            |                    | Mn | 0.257 | 2.01 | 0.0498 | 0.07 | - | - | - | - |
|            | SIR                | SO$_4^{2-}$           | 0.300 | 2.20 | 0.0262 | 0.09 | 4.834 | 0.0327 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.954 |
|            | BR                 | EC | 0.605 | 5.72 | <0.0001 | 0.38 | 20.68 | <0.0001 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.741 |
|            |                    | Mn | 0.285 | 2.69 | 0.0098 | 0.08 | - | - | - | - |
|            | CA                 | C$_{\text{mic}}$      | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|            |                    | EC | 0.404 | 3.01 | 0.0042 | 0.10 | 5.91 | 0.0051 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.905 |
|            |                    | Mn | -0.324 | -2.41 | 0.0197 | 0.10 | - | - | - | - |
| Placek A ($n = 50$) | SIR                | EC | 0.439 | 3.84 | 0.0004 | 0.39 | 18.75 | <0.0001 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.757 |
|            |                    | Mn | 0.285 | 2.09 | 0.0421 | 0.05 | 26.52 | <0.0001 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.633 |
|            | BR                 | EC | 0.628 | 6.83 | <0.0001 | 0.48 | 53.59 | <0.0001 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.562 |
|            |                    | Mn | 0.361 | 3.92 | 0.0003 | 0.13 | - | - | - | - |
|            | CA                 | EC | 0.747 | 9.13 | <0.0001 | 0.63 | - | - | - | - |

For comparability of regression coefficients, the data were standardized prior to analysis.
Table 2. Linear regression models of soil biological properties at the three study sites in 2020; separate statistics are provided for the prediction terms (explanatory variables), and for the whole model (indicated with *); $C_{\text{mic}}$ = microbial-biomass carbon; SIR = substrate-induced respiration; BR = basal respiration; CA = catalase activity; EC = electrical conductivity; for comparability of regression coefficients, the data were standardized prior to analysis.

| Site     | Dependent Variable | Explanatory Variables | Coefficient | t-Value | p-Value | Variance Explained | F-Statistics * | p-Value * | $R^2$ * | $R^2$ Adj. * | Residual SE * |
|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Bedrich  | $C_{\text{mic}}$   | -                     | -           | -       | -       | -                 | -             | -         | -       | -            | -            |
|          | SIR                | Mn                   | 0.654       | 3.02    | 0.0166  | 0.32              | 6.898         | 0.018     | 0.63    | 0.54         | 0.643        |
|          |                    | SO$_4^{2-}$           | -0.563      | -2.60   | 0.0317  | 0.31              |               |           |         |              |              |
|          | BR                 | EC                   | 0.760       | 3.51    | 0.0067  | 0.58              | 12.3          | 0.007     | 0.58    | 0.53         | 0.650        |
|          | CA                 | -                     | -           | -       | -       | -                 | -             | -         | -       | -            | -            |
| Placek A | $C_{\text{mic}}$   | EC                   | 0.722       | 4.60    | 0.0018  | 0.70              | 18.61         | <0.0001  | 0.82    | 0.78         | 0.446        |
|          |                    | C:N                  | 0.366       | 2.33    | 0.0481  | 0.12              |               |           |         |              |              |
|          | BR                 | SO$_4^{2-}$           | 1.305       | 7.21    | <0.0001 | 0.75              | 32.10         | 0.0002   | 0.89    | 0.86         | 0.353        |
|          |                    | Fe                   | -0.580      | -3.21   | 0.0125  | 0.14              |               |           |         |              |              |
|          | SIR                | EC                   | 0.874       | 5.41    | <0.0001 | -                 | 29.24         | <0.0001  | 0.76    | 0.74         | 0.485        |
|          |                    | C:N                  | 0.366       | 2.33    | 0.0481  | 0.12              |               |           |         |              |              |
|          | BR                 | SO$_4^{2-}$           | 0.519       | 6.21    | <0.0001 | 0.58              | 21.09         | <0.0001  | 0.84    | 0.80         | 0.424        |
|          |                    | Fe                   | 0.544       | 3.68    | 0.0062  | 0.27              |               |           |         |              |              |
| Placek B | $C_{\text{mic}}$   | EC                   | 4.814       | 5.22    | <0.0001 | 0.07              | 14.35         | <0.0001  | 0.50    | 0.46         | 0.721        |
|          |                    | EC$_2$               | 4.604       | -4.99   | <0.0001 | 0.43              |               |           |         |              |              |
|          | SIR                | Cl$^-$                | 0.551       | 3.88    | 0.0006  | 0.33              | 10.50         | 0.00037  | 0.42    | 0.38         | 0.775        |
|          |                    | Fe                   | -0.300      | -2.12   | 0.0430  | 0.09              |               |           |         |              |              |
|          | BR                 | EC                   | 4.410       | 5.73    | <0.0001 | 0.35              | 27.01         | <0.0001  | 0.65    | 0.63         | 0.601        |
|          |                    | EC$_2$               | -3.860      | -5.02   | <0.0001 | 0.30              |               |           |         |              |              |
|          | CA                 | Mn                   | 0.726       | 4.81    | <0.0001 | 0.36              | 11.59         | 0.00020  | 0.44    | 0.41         | 0.758        |
|          |                    | C:N                  | 0.325       | 2.15    | 0.0399  | 0.09              |               |           |         |              |              |

Figure 3. A quadratic regression model of the microbial-biomass carbon ($C_{\text{mic}}$) as dependent on soil electrical conductivity (EC) at Placek B site; the different levels of C:N are indicated by symbol fills (full for C:N range 4.5–6.9; hollow for C:N range 11.2–12.7).
Figure 3. A quadratic regression model of the microbial-biomass carbon (Cmic) as dependent on soil electrical conductivity (EC) at Placek B site; the different levels of C:N are indicated by symbol fills (full for C:N range 4.5–6.9; hollow for C:N range 11.2–12.7).

Figure 4. Linear regression models of substrate-induced respiration (SIR) as dependent on chloride contents at Placek B site, separately for the plots with different levels of C:N (full symbols + full line for C:N range 4.5–6.9; hollow symbols + dashed line for C:N range 11.2–12.7).

4. Discussion

4.1. Soil OC and N Dynamics in Floodplain Forest Soils

Results partially supported hypothesis H1 that the less-intensively managed sites have higher contents of soil OC and N, and higher microbial biomass. The decreasing contents of soil OC along the gradient from natural to plantation forest may indicate the decreasing sequestration of OC with increasing human alteration. The differences in soil OC contents may result from the limited litter inputs and increased OC loss following intensive biomass harvest and soil preparation. A similar finding, i.e., the loss of soil C due to forest management intensification, was reported by many authors, beyond floodplain forests (e.g., [14,47–49]). At the study sites, this pattern was, however, partly related to the between- and within-site differences in soil EC and Na\textsuperscript{+} contents (Figure 2; Figures A2 and A3). Therefore, we cannot decipher whether the presumed effects were more related to forest management intensification, or to other factors that are largely interlinked (i.e., intensive management techniques are not easily applicable at sites with high ground-water level). Although some authors argue that management practices such as drainage and soil preparation may facilitate C sequestration in forest soils [50], this will probably not apply to floodplain forests (e.g., [9,10,15,51]). For example, Pietsch et al. [17] modelled the impact of human-induced hydrological changes (long-term elimination of springtime flooding) in an oak-dominated forests along the Dyje river, with observed significant decreases in soil C and N stocks. Hereby, the important role of floodplain forests as ecosystem C sink [9] may be disrupted.

In contrast, the results showed no clear pattern in the topsoil N contents along the gradient from natural to plantation forest (Figure 2) and rather highlighted the differences between the localities. The significant annual increase in soil N stocks, which also largely contributed to the overall decrease in the C:N ratio in the plantation and managed forests, indicated that the observed year-on-year or seasonal dynamics may largely exceed the variation due to management or site effects. This may be due to several reasons: (i) the external N influx to the system through ground-water(GW) or atmospheric deposition, (ii) the release of N from annual litter, (iii) the seasonal dynamics in mycorrhizal N uptake, and/or (vi) due to a dynamic shift in soil microbial communities, such as in the fungal-to-
bacterial ratio. High temporal variations in soil bacterial communities, microbial activity, and microbial biomass were also observed by Samaritani et al. [52] in a restored floodplain in Switzerland.

4.2. Effects of the Water Regime on Soil Chemistry

GW with the EC $\geq 2000$ $\mu$S$\cdot$cm$^{-1}$ is classified as moderately saline according to FAO recommendations for irrigation [53]; the threshold was exceeded in 3 out of the 10 surface-water(SW) samples at Placek Forest in 2019 (Table A1). Also, according to the U.S. Geological Survey, waters with the TDS contents $\geq 1.0$ g$\cdot$L$^{-1}$ are considered saline [54]; this threshold was exceeded in 2 out of 3 SW samples and 4 out of 10 GW samples at Placek Forest, and in most of the GW samples in the legacy data (Table A1). Although the contents of the studied substances in soils did not show a significant level of salinity and the soils did not reach the criteria for saline soils [40], the contents of salts and other substances may significantly influence soil organisms even at sub-saline levels [55] (Section 4.3). The ranges of soil EC values at Placek A and Placek B sites in 2020 (Figure 2) were comparable to those observed by other authors in inland salt marshes of N-E China [56].

The highest observed soil Na$^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ contents at Placek B (Figure 2) might be partly related to the differences in GW levels, as compared to Bedrich forest (Table A1), and the higher contact with ponding water, as compared to Placek A (see Figure 1). Sulfates can be retained in the soil by adsorption; according to Mayer et al. [57], the adsorption of sulfates is negligible at pH $> 6.5$ and increases with decreasing pH. Thus, lower soil pH in 2020 at Placek B (mean 5.6) might favour higher sulfate retention in soils, as compared to the plantation forest with pH (mean 6.2; Figure 2) close to the above-mentioned threshold. The results revealed significant annual shifts in the soil salt contents in both the re-measured stands. Substantial changes were observed in the Na$^+$ and chloride contents, while the contents of sulfates either decreased or remain unchanged (Figure 2), which partly supports hypothesis H2 in the presumed topsoil accumulation of salts from GW. The spring 2019 was characterized by several high-rainfall events and therefore, the soils might have been partly leached in the time of sampling. In contrast, the soil sampling in 2020 was carried out in a period without preceding intensive rainfall (Figure A1); therefore, the soils had higher salt contents. However, the values of soil conductivity annually decreased at both the sites (Figure 2), which suggest the EC may be not be a relevant indicator of soil salinity at the sub-saline levels.

Although soil salinity does not currently appear to be an imminent problem at any of the study sites, further shifts to the water balance at Placek B site could contribute to potential negative impacts on the functioning of the semi-natural ecosystem. The average air temperature in the region increased by 0.4 °C in 1991–2010 compared to the reference period 1961–1990 [58]. In years 2021–2050, the temperatures in the region are expected to rise by 1.5 °C as compared to the reference period [59]. Further decrease in the amount of surface and subsurface runoff due to rising evapotranspiration may result in additional increase in salt and sulfate accumulation in soils. On the other hand, prolonged ponding through increased runoff detention in Placek Forest could lead to the reduction of accumulated sulfates to toxic sulphides [27], with potential negative impacts on soil quality and forest vitality [20,23].

4.3. The Influence of Mineral Accumulation on Soil Biochemical Properties

The C$_{\text{mic}}$ contents at the studied sites (Figure 2m) well corresponded with the microbial biomass observed in other temperate floodplain forests, such as those along the river Elbe in Germany, though the total C contents were considerably lower [60] (c.f. Figure 2a). Although the results from 2020 show higher topsoil accumulation of Na$^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ in the natural forest (Placek B; Figure 2g,j), there were also the highest contents of C$_{\text{mic}}$ and SIR (the indices of microbial biomass; [60]). In contrast, between-site differences were not found in the case of BR and CA. This may be due to the fact that smaller microbial communities tend to be more active and use C resources less efficiently [24]. These results do not
indicate a significant effect of mineral accumulation on soil microbial activity, as expected in hypothesis H3, but rather the influence of forest management on substrate availability and the dynamics of soil OM.

The results revealed highest contents of available Mn in the topsoil of plantation forest (Figure 2), which is probably related to the higher concentrations of Mn observed in surface- and GW a Bedrich site (Table A1). Higher contents of available Mn in soil can affect the stability of humus, lead to more intensive decomposition of soil OM and to the loss of soil OC [61,62]. This may partly explain the lower contents of OC and N in the topsoil of plantation forest (Figure 2b,e). Mn is the main component of the manganese-peroxidase enzyme [32]. The generally positive effect of the available Mn contents on the indicators of soil microbial activity across the sites (Tables 1 and 2) were apparently more important for the CA at Placek A and Placek B, where the availability of Mn might be more limiting to soil microorganisms.

The highest values of extracted Fe in the soil at Placek B are surprising due to its lower concentrations in surface- and GW (Table A1), as compared to Bedrich site; this fact may be related to the possible accumulation of Fe in association with soil OM, the contents of which were the highest at Placek B (Figure 2e,p). Fe can be translocated to the upper soil layers by fungi that facilitate the degradation of aromatic structures contained in soil OM [63]. Some fungi thereby disintegrate, for example, phenols in the so-called Fenton reaction, where iron is bound to the outer surface of hyphae [64,65] and finally becomes part of the soil OM [66]. In contrast, the available Fe showed merely negative effects on the indicators of soil microbial activity, particularly on BR (Table 2), in accordance with hypothesis H3. Iron in soil forms bonds with carboxyl and phenolic groups, which are the most numerous groups in soil OM; due to differences in binding forces at higher Fe contents, microorganisms encounter greater resistance in the decomposition of soil OM [63], which can significantly reduce heterotrophic respiration. Similarly, Hobbie et al. [67] observed a negative correlation between the intensity of soil OM decomposition and the amount of exchangeable Fe in the soil sorption complex. Under anoxic conditions, available Fe may also interact and stabilize with otherwise toxic sulfides to form FeSx [27]. However, reducing conditions were not expected in the topsoil, as the waterlogged plots, characterized by different tree-species composition, were excluded from the sampling, particularly within Placek B (Figure 1, Section 2.2).

Despite the mostly positive effects of Cl− and SO42− contents on soil microbial activity (Tables 1 and 2), the quadratic responses of Cmic and SIR to soil EC (Figure 3; Table 2) at Placek B, where the highest Cl− and SO42− contents were observed, may indicate the existence of certain ecological limits to soil microorganisms at the site, which supports hypothesis H3 on the ground-water-induced mineral accumulation to limit soil microorganisms. A similar “threshold” effect of EC (i.e., initial increase followed by significant decrease) on BR was observed by Saviozzi et al. [24] in a laboratory experiment with NaCl addition. Saline water carries many ions that can alter the predominant inorganic and biogeochemical reactions in soils and shift microbial communities that drive elemental cycles [23]. At Placek B, the plots with high vs. low C:N apparently behaved as different sub-populations in response to EC and the Cl− contents (Figures 3 and 4). This may suggest some adaptation of soil microbial communities to specific environmental conditions, such as increasing ion contents, which was apparently related to the shift in soil N dynamics.

5. Conclusions

In studied floodplain forest soils, the intensity of human alteration seems to have significant negative effects on OC contents and microbial biomass. However, it is not easy to decipher whether the presumed effects were more related to forest management or to changed hydrology, as these factors are largely interlinked. The limited contact with fresh waters and the increasing dependence on GW supply enhance the accumulation of Na+ and SO42− in soil. The indices of soil microbial activity were strongly positively
related to the contents of available Mn, particularly at the sites with lower Mn availability in soils. Although the concentrations of ions remained at sub-saline levels, the indices of microbial biomass suggested the existence of certain ecological limits for soil microorganisms. Soil salinity does not currently appear to be an imminent problem in any of the studied sites. With the ongoing climate change and further shifts in the ecosystem water balance, however, the decreasing volume of surface and subsurface runoff may increase the accumulation of salts and sulfates in soils up to a level that induces physiological stress to soil or plant communities. Reintroduction of SW flooding should be considered a key constituent of future forest and water management to promote the dilution of ions accumulated in soils and natural deposition of OM-rich sediments at the sites.

The studied soils showed surprising temporal variation in the N contents, C:N, and microbial biomass. Even at a single site, the plots apparently split into two distinct subpopulations based on the soil C:N ratio. Such phenomena are poorly understood and rarely mentioned in scientific literature. Complex biogeochemical interactions in soils of floodplain forests with altered hydrology require further research, as our better understanding of these processes is of crucial importance for proper conservation and management of these valuable and threatened ecosystems.
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### Appendix A

Table A1. The data on surface-water (SW) and ground-water (GW) chemistry in the area of Placek (PL) Forest Reserve and Bedrich (BE) forest; EC = electrical conductivity; TDS = total dissolved solids, and the GW level depth in the time of sampling. The legacy data are provided with references; without references are the original data of samples collected in May 2019; n.a. = not analyzed.

| Site | Water | Sample | pH | EC µS cm⁻¹ | TDS mg L⁻¹ | HCO₃⁻ mg L⁻¹ | SO₄²⁻ mg L⁻¹ | Cl⁻ mg L⁻¹ | Na⁺ mg L⁻¹ | Ca²⁺ mg L⁻¹ | Mg²⁺ mg L⁻¹ | Fe mg L⁻¹ | Mn mg L⁻¹ | Depth m⁻¹ |
|------|-------|--------|----|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| PL   | GW    | ČGS (2014) | 7.06 | 1160 | 940 | 360 | 210 | 94 | 48 | 150 | 41 | 4.60 | 1.50 | n.a. |
| GW   | Novotná (2014) | 7.25 | 4290 | 3975 | 663 | 1740 | 505 | 346 | 515 | 227 | 5.25 | 0.15 | n.a. |
| GW   | Franzová (1988) | 7.14 | 1178 | 1158 | 482 | 350 | 27 | 36 | 183 | 70 | 2.56 | 0.24 | n.a. |
| GW   | Franzová (1988) | 7.12 | 1578 | 1500 | 543 | 460 | 45 | 108 | 247 | 87 | 3.97 | 0.45 | n.a. |
| GW   | Franzová (1988) | 6.91 | 1671 | 1752 | 525 | 503 | 69 | 102 | 263 | 85 | 7.27 | 0.61 | n.a. |
| GW   | Franzová (1988) | 7.00 | 1360 | 1423 | 505 | 390 | 57 | 142 | 263 | 55 | 5.68 | 2.01 | n.a. |
| GW   | Franzová (1988) | 7.01 | 1104 | 976 | 436 | 187 | 31 | 92 | 180 | 36 | 8.69 | 1.59 | n.a. |
| GW   | Franzová (1988) | 7.18 | 1179 | 1072 | 412 | 285 | 36 | 85 | 203 | 36 | 7.81 | 1.81 | n.a. |
Table A1. Cont.

| Site | Water | Sample | pH | EC $\mu$S cm$^{-1}$ | TDS mg L$^{-1}$ | HCO$_3^-$ mg L$^{-1}$ | SO$_4^{2-}$ mg L$^{-1}$ | Cl$^-$ mg L$^{-1}$ | Na$^+$ mg L$^{-1}$ | Ca$^{2+}$ mg L$^{-1}$ | Mg$^{2+}$ mg L$^{-1}$ | Fe mg L$^{-1}$ | Mn mg L$^{-1}$ | Depth m$^*$ |
|------|-------|--------|----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| GW   | Franzová (1988) | 7.18 1179 1072 412 285 36 85 203 36 7.81 1.81 n.a. |
| GW   | PL1   | 6.70 6890 5,238 683 1590 1420 348 879 309 <0.10 1.36 0.41 |
| PL   | GW PL3 | 6.90 1430 1198 453 352 78 30 197 78 <0.10 0.19 0.70 |
| GW   | PL4   | 7.05 1230 973 459 151 106 54 144 52 0.12 <0.10 0.29 0.79 |
| BE   | GW BE 1 | 6.81 1040 820 358 166 75 34 127 43 5.68 1.68 3.33 |
| GW   | BE 2  | 6.68 1420 1074 414 212 150 55 166 57 5.24 3.80 3.00 |
| SW   | PL 101 | 7.34 920 698 314 111 81 46 102 32 <0.10 <0.10 <0.05 |
| SW   | PL 102 | 7.77 1290 1044 529 131 110 60 150 57 <0.10 <0.10 <0.05 |
| SW   | PL 103 | 7.26 2880 2,230 671 557 355 206 323 112 <0.10 <0.10 <0.05 |
| SW   | PL 104 | 7.58 2400 1869 549 542 260 126 291 108 0.14 1.28 3.28 |
| PL   | GW PL5 | 6.70 820 620 243 129 105 60 37 50 23 <0.10 <0.05 0.17 |
| SW   | PL 106 | 7.31 920 715 314 106 99 49 104 30 <0.10 <0.10 <0.05 |
| SW   | PL 107 | 7.49 2070 1583 470 474 200 107 228 102 <0.10 <0.10 <0.05 |
| SW   | PL 108 | 7.35 1200 930 375 181 117 58 140 46 <0.10 <0.10 <0.05 |
| SW   | PL 109 | 7.24 830 603 240 104 80 49 85 22 <0.10 <0.05 <0.05 |
| BE   | GW BE 1 | 7.77 630 435 129 105 60 37 50 23 <0.10 <0.10 <0.05 |
| SW   | BE 101 | 7.99 620 428 126 104 59 37 48 22 <0.10 <0.05 <0.05 |
| SW   | BE 102 | 7.99 620 428 126 104 59 37 48 22 <0.10 <0.05 <0.05 |

* Measured vertically from the water level to the soil surface; altitude differences between the zero levels of the hydrogeological wells and the soil surfaces across the corresponding study sites were $\leq$1.0 m.

Figure A1. Meteorological conditions in the study area during the day plus the 30 days preceding soil sampling in 2019 and 2020; the bars show daily precipitation; the lines show daily mean temperatures.

Table A2. Meteorological data from the nearest climatic station to the study area during the years of soil sampling (source: the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute).

| Month/Year | Average Temperature (°C) | Total Precipitation (mm) |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|            | 2019                      | 2020                     | 2019          | 2020          |
| January    | 0.3                       | 0.1                      | 32.1          | 12.1          |
| February   | 2.3                       | 5.2                      | 11.2          | 26.4          |
| March      | 7.1                       | 5.8                      | 14.9          | 22.6          |
| April      | 11.8                      | 10.5                     | 20.6          | 11.2          |
| May        | 12.7                      | 13.1                     | 93.5          | 70.9          |
| June       | 22.5                      | 18.2                     | 96.9          | 227.8         |
| July       | 20.5                      | 19.5                     | 75.8          | 69.8          |
| August     | 20.9                      | 20.7                     | 65.4          | 69.0          |
| September  | 14.8                      | 15.2                     | 52.3          | 83.8          |
| October    | 10.1                      | 10.4                     | 32.8          | 79.2          |
| November   | 7.4                       | 4.9                      | 38.6          | 24.4          |
| December   | 2.2                       | 2.9                      | 47.9          | 34.3          |
| January–December | 11.0                     | 10.5                     | 582.0         | 731.5         |
**Figure A2.** Correlation matrices (Pearson correlation coefficients) of the Box-Cox transformed soil data at (a) Bedrich and (b) Placek A sites in 2019; OC = organic carbon; C = total carbon; N = total nitrogen; EC = electrical conductivity; C\textsubscript{mic} = microbial-biomass carbon; SIR = substrate-induced respiration; BR = basal respiration; CA = catalase activity; NS = no significant correlation.
Figure A3. Correlation matrices (Pearson correlation coefficients) of the Box-Cox transformed soil data at (a) Bedrich, (b) Placek A, and (c) Placek B sites in 2020; OC = organic carbon; C = total carbon; N = total nitrogen; EC = electrical conductivity; C\textsubscript{mic} = microbial-biomass carbon; SIR = substrate-induced respiration; BR = basal respiration; CA = catalase activity; NS = no significant correlation.
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