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Abstract

Background: Preterm delivery (PTD) is a major cause of perinatal mortality and delayed psychomotor development in children. The application of new biochemical and biophysical markers can improve the accuracy of the prediction of spontaneous PTD.

Objectives: To examine the performance of screening for spontaneous PTD by a combination of maternal history, biochemical and biophysical markers at 11 + 0 - 13 + 6 weeks’ (wks) gestation.

Material and methods: This was a case-control study of 180 pregnant women between 11-13 + 6 weeks’ gestation. Study group consisted of 100 healthy participants and 80 participants with at least one risk factor of spontaneous PTD in their medical history. Following parameters were recorded: Maternal history, cervical length (Cx), uterine artery pulsatility index (UtA PI), pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A), free beta subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP). Based on the gestational age at delivery the study group was retrospectively divided into three groups: 1. Patients who delivered at term (control group); 2. Patients who delivered before 34 weeks’ gestation (early PTD); 3. Patients who delivered between 34 and 37 weeks’ gestation (late PTD). In these groups potential biomarkers for spontaneous PTD were analysed.

Results: A multivariable stepwise logistic regression analysis indicated that early PTD can be predicted based on a combined analysis of maternal history, cervical length and AFP and PAPP-A concentrations: Detection rate (DR): 93%; false positive rate (FPR): 10%. The performance of screening for late PTD is less effective: Combined analysis of maternal risk factors, cervical length and AFP and PAPP-A concentrations allows to detect 88% of cases at a FPR of 10%.

Conclusions: The best fitted model for the prediction of spontaneous preterm delivery before 34 weeks is based on a combined analysis of maternal risk factors, measurement of the cervical length and measurement of AFP and PAPP-A concentrations. The best fitted model for the prediction of preterm delivery between 34-37 weeks’ gestation is based on a combined analysis of maternal factors, measurement of the cervical length and measurement of AFP concentrations.

Introduction

Preterm delivery (PTD) is a major cause of perinatal mortality and delayed psychomotor development in children [1]. According to the recommendations adopted by the World Health Organization (WHO) every birth that takes place between 23 and 37 weeks’ gestation should be regarded as premature. However, the vast majority of complications are associated with delivery before 34 weeks [2,3]. Currently, patients at increased risk of spontaneous preterm delivery are identified based on their clinical factors, among which the most important is previous preterm delivery [4,5]. Important

*Corresponding author: Ewelina Litwinska, Department of Perinatology and Gynecology, Polish Mother’s Memorial Hospital Research Institute, Lodz, Poland, Tel: +48601636778

Accepted: August 29, 2020

Published online: August 31, 2020

Citation: Litwinska E, Litwinska M, Litwinski W, et al. (2020) Maternal History and First Trimester Biochemical and Biophysical Markers in the Prediction of Spontaneous Preterm Delivery in Singleton Pregnancies. Annals Gynecol Obstet 4(1):59-66
maternal risk factors also include the black race, age under 18 years and over 40 years, BMI below 19 kg/m² before pregnancy and a short interval between subsequent pregnancies [6].

Incorporation of risk factors listed above enables to detect only 38% multiparous who will go into preterm delivery. Moreover, this strategy is inefficient in nulliparous women as it detects only 38% multiparous who will go into preterm delivery.

The application of new biochemical and biophysical markers can improve the accuracy of prediction of spontaneous preterm birth.

The objective of this study is firstly, to evaluate the efficiency of combined analysis of maternal risk factors, biochemical markers: alfa-fetoprotein (AFP), pregnancy-related plasma protein (PAPP-A), free-beta human chorionic gonadotropin (free β-hCG) and biophysical markers: Cervical length (Cx) and uterine artery dopplers (UtA PI) at 11 + 0 - 13 + 6 weeks' gestation in prediction of spontaneous preterm birth and secondly, to establish a diagnostic algorithm based on the conducted analysis.

Materials and Methods

This was a case-control study of 180 pregnant women attending a routine prenatal visit at 11 + 0 to 13 + 6 weeks' gestation in the years 2013-2018. The study was performed in the local outpatient clinics. Study group consisted of 100 healthy pregnant women and 80 participants with at least one risk factor of spontaneous PTD in their medical history (maternal age > 40 years, smoking, BMI < 20 kg/m², history of PTD, assisted conception). Written informed consent was obtained from women agreeing to participate in the study. The study was approved by Polish Mother’s Memorial Research Hospital Ethics Committee. Patients in multiple pregnancies, in the presence of significant fetal abnormalities, abortion or termination of pregnancy were excluded from the study. The presence of risk factors did not indicate the occurrence of spontaneous PTD in all the cases. On the basis of the occurrence of spontaneous PTD the study group was retrospectively divided into three groups: 1. Patients who delivered at term (control group) n = 141; 2. Patients with early PTD (< 34 weeks) n = 14; 3. Patients with late PTD (34-37 weeks) n = 25. In these groups potential risk markers for spontaneous PTD were analysed.

In the first antenatal visit a detailed interview with each patient was conducted, blood sample was collected for analysis of AFP, PAPP-A, free β-hCG and ultrasound examination of cervical length and uterine artery pulsatility index (UtA PI) according to the Fetal Medicine Foundation recommendations was performed (Voluson E6, GE Healthcare). Cervical length was measured using vaginal approach with empty bladder and patient placed in dorsal lithotomy position. The ultrasound probe is introduced in the vagina and directed in the anterior fornix. Care is taken to avoid exerting undue pressure on the cervix. A sagittal view of the cervix is obtained and the endocervical mucosa is used as a guide to the true position of the internal os. The calipers are used to measure the linear distance between the triangular area of echodensity at the external os and the V-shaped notch at the internal os.

The 5 mL blood samples taken during the first visit were collected in plastic tubes with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Following centrifugation, the serum was stored at -80 °C. AFP, PAPP-A and free β-hCG concentrations were evaluated using equipment that provides reproducible results (Delfia Xpress System, PerkinElmer, Waltham, USA).

The collected data were archived in the ViewPoint system. Prediction models were developed using multivariable stepwise logistic regression. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

For the following markers: Cx, UtA PI, AFP, PAPP-A, β-hCG values of multiples of median were calculated (MoM). Values were adjusted to fetal crown-rump length (CRL), maternal age, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, parity and type of conception.

The results of examined parameters were converted into Log_{10}. In order to compare values in early and late PTD following statistical tests were used: Chi-square test with adequate adjustments and U Mann-Whitney test. In order to compare two continuous coefficients, Spearman correlation was calculated. In order to evaluate correlation coefficients, following levels were established: 0-0.1 - very weak correlation; 0.1-0.3 - weak correlation; 0.3-0.6-middle strong correlation; > 0.6 - strong correlation.

Logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the risk for early and late PTD based on maternal risk factors only and based on a combination of maternal risk factors together with sonographic markers (Cx, UtA PI), biochemical markers (AFP, PAPP-A), anthropometric data (birth weight) and gestational age at delivery. Results were presented as ROC curves, area under the curve (AUC), and detection rates (DR) for a 10% false positive rate (FPR).

Results

We analysed individual prognostic values of the following parameters: Cx, UtA PI, AFP, PAPP-A, β-hCG. For each marker the expected normal median (MoM) was calculated and values were converted into Log_{10}.

Characteristics of the study group is presented in Table 1. The groups were compared using U Mann Whitney test and Chi-square test with necessary adjustments. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Table 2 presents the comparison of analysed biochemical and biophysical markers between groups of patients with early PTD (< 34 wks), late PTD (34-37 wks) and patients delivering before 37 wks. Groups were compared using U Mann-Whitney test.

Logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the impact of UtA PI MoM, Cx, AFP MoM, PAPP-A MoM, β-hCG MoM Mean Log_{10} on the occurrence of early PTD (< 34 wks), late PTD (34-37 wks) and birth before 37 wks.

Table 3 presents the performance of screening by single biomarkers and a combination of risk factors and biomarkers for a 10% false positive rate in the groups of early PTD (< 34 wks), late PTD (34-37 wks) and birth before 37 wks.

Table 4 presents the comparison of the area under the curve for different combinations of screening studies for PTD < 34 wks., 34-37 wks. oraz < 37 wks.
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Table 1: Characteristics of the study group.

|                         | Delivery < 37 wks (n = 141) | Delivery < 34 wks (n = 14) | Delivery 34-37 wks (n = 25) | Delivery < 37 wks (n = 39) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age                     | 30 (19-43)                  | 29 (22-41)                 | 30 (18-42)                  | 30 (18-42)                  |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Smoking                 | 15 (10.6%)                  | 6 (43%)                    | 29 (36%)                    | 15 (38%)                    |
| p < 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| BMI                     | 22.4 (17.1-42.5)            | 18.8 (17.3-27.9)           | 19.4 (17-33.5)              | 19.4 (17-33.5)              |
| p < 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Previous preterm delivery | 19 (13.5%)              | 7 (50%)                    | 7 (28%)                     | 14 (35.9%)                  |
| p < 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Parity                  | 1 (1-5)                     | 1.5 (0-3)                  | 1 (1-2)                     | 1 (0-3)                     |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Number of miscarriages  | 0 (0-3)                     | 0 (0-1)                    | 0 (0)                      | 0 (0-1)                     |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Induction of ovulation  | 10 (7.1%)                   | 0 (0%)                     | 0 (0%)                      | 5 (12.8%)                   |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| In vitro                | 3 (2.1%)                    | 0 (0%)                     | 5 (20%)                     | 0 (0%)                      |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Spontaneous             | 1 (0-3)                     | 1 (1-1)                    | 1 (0-1)                     | 1 (0-1)                     |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |

Table 2: Comparison of analysed biochemical and biophysical markers between groups.

|                         | Delivery < 37 wks (n = 141) | Delivery < 34 wks (n = 14) | Delivery 34-37 wks (n = 25) | Delivery < 37 wks (n = 39) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Gestational age based on CRL | 12.3 (11.5-13.6)            | 12.5 (11.6-13.1)           | 12.4 (11.3-13.3)            | 12.4 (11.3-13.3)            |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| CRL (mm)                | 63.2 (46.6-78.8)            | 63 (23-37.4)               | 65.1 (47-72.6)              | 64 (47-72.6)               |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Cx                      | 36.4 (28.3-42.7)            | 27.2 (23-37.4)             | 31.1 (27.1-38.4)            | 30.4 (23-38.4)             |
| p < 0.0001              |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Mean UtA PI MoM         | 1.02 (0.53-1.86)            | 0.93 (0.62-1.62)           | 0.95 (0.57-2.07)           | 0.95 (0.57-2.07)           |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| AFP MoM                 | 0.91 (0.2-1.5)              | 1.54 (0.9-1.78)            | 1.21 (0.7-1.42)             | 1.28 (0.7-1.78)             |
| p < 0.0001              |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| PAPP-A MoM              | 1.16 (0.18-9.41)            | 0.51 (0.21-1.15)           | 1.39 (0.28-3.67)           | 0.76 (0.44-1.12)           |
| p < 0.0001              |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| beta-hCG MoM            | 1.21 (0.17-4.76)            | 0.99 (0.03-1.03)           | 1.13 (0.43-4.41)            | 1 (0.03-1.03)               |
| p > 0.05                |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| GA age at delivery (wks) | 39 (37-41.1)               | 29.5 (26.2-33.5)           | 35.3 (34.2-36.3)            | 34.5 (26.2-36.3)            |
| p < 0.0001              |                             |                            |                             |                             |
| Birth weight (g)        | 3510 (2540-4400)            | 1525 (860-2100)            | 2580 (1990-3390)            | 2390 (860-3390)             |
| p < 0.0001              |                             |                            |                             |                             |
Discussion

In the presented case-control study we aimed to establish best-fitted models for the prediction of spontaneous PTD in the first trimester based on maternal risk factors and biochemical and biophysical markers. The analysis comprised patients attending their routine pregnancy visit in the first trimester of pregnancy. Appropriately trained doctors collected medical history and performed ultrasound scans that included measurement of the mean UtA PI and cervical length according to the Fetal Medicine Foundation guidelines. A blood sample was collected to evaluate the concentrations of AFP, PAPP-A, free β-hCG. After performing statistical analysis we concluded that screening studies incorporating above mentioned markers enable to identify the majority of pregnancies complicated by preterm delivery.

Detailed medical and obstetric history is the cheapest and easily accessible screening tool. In the presented analysis, screening studies based only on the medical and obstetric history had a sensitivity of only 36% at a false positive rate of 10%. This results are in agreement with previous studies. Beta, et al. examined a population of 33,000 patients and found that medical interview had a DR of 27.5% at a FPR of 10% [7]. Similar results were presented by Parra-Cordero, et al. In this study, authors found that the most significant risk factors for early PTD are previous PTD and smoking. These risk factors were predictive of 25% of cases of PTD [8].

The history of previous preterm delivery is the most significant risk factor of preterm delivery in the next pregnancy. In our cohort, the history of PTD was significantly more prevalent in the group of patients that delivered before 34 weeks. Numerous studies confirmed an increased risk of repeating occurrence of PTD in the next pregnancy [9,10]. In a cohort study of 154,809 singleton pregnancies, Ananth, et al. found...
that the percentage of deliveries before 35 weeks is significantly higher in patients who delivered prematurely compared to patients who delivered at term (13% vs. 3%) [11].

We found that smoking is an important risk factor of PTD. In the group of patients who experienced PTD, 38% of women were smokers. In other studies an association between smoking and increased risk of preterm delivery was also confirmed [7,12,13]. The mechanism of increased risk probably results from the immunologic factors and activation of inflammation cascade [14].

Maternal age above 40 years is another risk factor of preterm delivery. We found that in nulliparous, who do not smoke with no induction of ovulation, the risk is increasing a linear way. Similar association was found in other studies. In a cohort study of 172,715 singleton pregnancies, Cnattingius, et al. found an increased incidence of PTD (≤ 32 wks) from 1% in woman aged 20-24 years to 2.4% in patients above 40-years-old [15].

The prognostic value of the measurement of cervical length remains controversial [16-18]. Celik, et al. confirmed the usefulness of cervical length measurement in the second trimester in the prediction of PTD [6], however the value of the measurement in the first trimester is uncertain. In population studies conducted in two separate centres, significant shortening of the cervix at 11 + 0 to 13 + 6 was found in patients who experienced early PTD. In a prospective screening study of 10,000 patients with singleton pregnancies in the first trimester in London, Greco, et al. found a statistically significant shortening of the cervix in woman who experienced both early and late PTD. Authors created an algorithm that incorporated maternal risk factors and measurement of cervical length that enabled to identify 55% of patient that experience PTD at a FPR of 10% [19-20]. Similar results were presented by Souka, et al. In a prospective study of 978 patients in singleton pregnancies they proved that the cervical length of patients who delivered before 34 weeks was significantly shorter than in woman who delivered at term [17]. Our results are in agreement with those presented by Greco, et al. and Souka, et al. However, equally numerous are studies which show no significant differences in the cervical length in woman who deliver prematurely in comparison to those who deliver at term [18,21]. The most recent analysis by Parra-Cordero, et al. pointed out a possible reason for these results addressing technical differences in the methodology of the measurement. Additionally, there were differences in racial origins between patients [8].

Doppler studies of uterine arteries blood flows provide indirect evidence of impaired placental perfusion in pregnancies with preterm delivery [8,22]. Presented study analysed the potential value of the measurement of the UTA PI as a possible biophysical marker of PTD. Numerous histological and Doppler analyses in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy indicated abnormal placentation and placental ischemia as a potential cause of preterm delivery [23,24]. In a screening study by Fonseca, et al., in which the pulsatility index value was analysed in the second trimester in 33,629 patients in singleton pregnancies, a significant increase in the pulsatility index was observed in 237 patients, who had a spontaneous delivery before 33 weeks’ gestation. However, based on a multiple logistic regression analysis, it was found that the prediction of preterm delivery did not significantly improve compared to a model that only includes maternal demographic and obstetric factors [25]. In the analysis by Soares, et al., no significant differences were found in the UtA PI at 11 - 13 + 6 weeks’ gestation in patients who delivered before 34 and those who delivered at term [26]. Similar results were obtained in a population study conducted by the Fetal Medicine Foundation [7]. In the presented study, analysis of UTA PI showed no statistical differences in individual groups of patients.

Measurement of placental biochemical markers such as PAPP-A and β-hCG in screening for chromosomal abnormalities allows to increase the sensitivity of ultrasound scan up to 90% at a false positive rate of 5% [27,28]. In a preliminary study by Ong, et al. the usefulness of these proteins, in particular PAPP-A, was found also in screening for preterm delivery [29]. According to the researchers of the FASTER group, the PAPP-A concentration below the 5th percentile was associated with an increased risk of intrauterine fetal demise before 24 weeks of pregnancy, preterm delivery, gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia and low birth weight. A population study by Spencer, et al. that included 54,772 pregnant patients, showed a statistically significant lower levels of PAPP-A in the first trimester in patients who delivered prematurely. This was particularly significant in the group of patients who delivered before the 32 weeks’ gestation [30]. These results are consistent with the data obtained in the presented study. Current reports in the literature on the correlation between the concentration of the free β-hCG and the occurrence of obstetric complications are contradictory. In a multicentre study conducted by Smith, et al. that included 8839 pregnant women, β-hCG below the 5th percentile in the first trimester was associated with increased risk of intrauterine growth restriction [31]. The analysis by Ong, et al., showed a significant correlation between β-hCG below the 10th percentile and the occurrence of miscarriage, gestational induced hypertension, intrauterine growth restriction and gestational diabetes [29]. In the presented study, no correlation was found between the level of β-hCG and the occurrence of both early and late forms of preterm labour.

Numerous studies confirmed the role of the AFP measurement in the first and second trimester of pregnancy in screening for chromosomal abnormalities, central nervous system defects and obstetric complications such as preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction [32,33]. In an uncomplicated pregnancy, AFP concentration is influenced by gestational age, ethnicity and smoking status [34,35]. Therefore, in order to effectively use the measurements of AFP concentrations numerous coefficients need to be taken into consideration in order to calculate the multiples of median [36]. In the presented analysis, we proved that AFP concentration level at 11 + 0 to 13 + 6 weeks’ gestation is increased in pregnancies complicated by PTD. Additionally, incorporation of AFP improves the sensitivity of the PTD prediction model. These results are in agreement with findings of Beta, et al. In a case-control study
**Figure 1:** ROC curve for early PTD.

Maternal risk factors + Cx + AFP MoM + PAPP-A MoM

\[ Y = -0.64 \text{ (SE 10.38)} + 0.02 \text{ (SE 0.52)} \times \text{maternal risk factors} + 7.88 \text{ (SE 3.81)} \times \text{AFP MoM} - 0.2 \text{ (SE 0.22)} \times \text{Cx} - 6.74 \text{ (SE 2.77)} \text{PAPA MoM} \]

\[ \text{(Odds)} = e^Y \]

**Figure 2:** ROC curve for late PTD.

Maternal risk factors + AFP MoM + Cx

\[ Y = 0.5 \text{ (SE 0.672)} \times \text{maternal risk factors} + 5.59 \text{ (SE 1.89)} \times \text{AFP MoM} - 0.51 \text{ (SE 0.13)} \times \text{Cx} \]
Conclusions

The best screening tool for the prediction of early spontaneous PTD is based on a combined analysis of maternal risk factors, measurement of the cervical length and measurement of the AFP and PAPP-A. The best fitted model for the prediction of late form of PTD is based on a combined analysis of maternal risk factors, measurement of the cervical length and evaluation of the AFP levels. The presented model of combined analysis of maternal risk factors, biochemical and biophysical markers in the first trimester is characterized by greater efficiency in detecting early form (< 34 weeks’ gestation) compared to the late form (34-37 weeks’ gestation) of PTD.
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