Perspective on skeletal health in inflammatory bowel disease

A. A. van Bodegraven 1,2 · N. Bravenboer 3,4

Received: 2 November 2018 / Accepted: 14 November 2019 / Published online: 10 December 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Osteopenia and osteoporosis are common features in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), comprising both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Moreover, Crohn’s disease is associated with increased fracture risk. The etiology of bone loss in IBD is multifactorial. It includes insufficient intake or absorption of calcium, vitamin D, and potassium; smoking; a low peak bone mass; a low body mass index; and decreased physical activity. In several studies, it has been shown that elevated concentrations of systemic and local pro-inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interferon-γ (IFNγ), interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-13, and IL-17, present in IBD patients are potentially detrimental for bone metabolism and may be responsible for bone loss and increased fracture risk. This perspective aims to review the current literature on the role of inflammatory factors in the pathophysiology of skeletal problems in IBD and to suggest potential treatment to improve bone health, based on a combination of evidence and clinical and pathophysiological reasoning.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is characterized by a systemic, chronic, relapsing, and disbalanced inflammatory response, primarily originating from and expressed in the intestinal mucosa. IBD mainly comprises two distinct phenotypes: Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.

The incidence of IBD is worldwide rising with a north-to-south gradient and appears to be introduced in the non-Western world concurrent with industrialization, and the accompanying dietary habits [1]. In the Netherlands, the annual incidence increased from 17.90 per 100,000 in 1991 to 40.36 per 100,000 in 2010 for IBD; from 5.84 per 100,000 to 17.49 per 100,000 for Crohn’s disease; and from 11.67 per 100,000 to 21.47 per 100,000 for UC [2]. The onset typically occurs in the second and third decade of life, yet may start at any age. IBD is most commonly characterized by periods of active disease (relapse) and periods of remission (quiescent) [3]. IBD diagnosed in children and in adulthood is associated with osteopenia, osteoporosis, and fracture risk in several studies, both in Western [4–8] and in Asian [9] countries.

The increased relative risk for osteoporosis or bone fractures when compared with a normal or general population is still a matter of debate. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis, based on nine studies comparing IBD patients with general controls, the relative risk for global fracture was 1.38 (95% CI 1.11–1.73). For vertebral fractures, odds ratio was 2.26 (95% CI 1.04–4.90). In this paper, mean BMD and Z-scores for IBD patients versus controls were decreased at all sites, and patients with IBD had an increased risk of fractures [10]. Vertebral fracture risk was also reported to be elevated in another meta-analysis considering IBD patients [11].

Skeletal health comprises a well-balanced bone metabolism necessary for growth and maintenance of bone tissue in order to function adequately in the skeleton. Healthy bone tissue protects from bone fracture due to low-energy, mechanical trauma. A biomarker of bone health is bone density,
measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Diagnosis of impaired bone health in IBD patients primarily depends on DXA measurements expressed in T-scores, sometimes Z-scores, whereas bone turnover markers in serum or urine are not being used in standard clinical practice. Similarly, bone biopsy and quantitative histopathology or CT scanning is unusual, but may be done in a research setting. Assessment of osteoporosis in younger patients has been extensively reviewed elsewhere [12].

As an indication of the burden caused by a diminished skeletal health in IBD patients, a prevalence of osteopenia from 32 to 36% and a prevalence of osteoporosis from 7 to 15% have been reported [13, 14]. The estimated elevated excess risk for a fragility fracture in Crohn’s disease patients compared with the general population was 30 to 40% more fractures [15, 16]. An elevated risk of fractures has also been reported in patients suffering from ulcerative colitis, particularly at initial diagnosis [5]. Together with the data from an extensive review and meta-analysis comparing this burden with general controls, it seems advisable to identify patients with IBD who are at increased risk for osteoporosis in order to prevent fractures [10].

The etiology of bone loss in IBD is multifactorial (see Table 1). It includes the following: (I) variables primarily associated with skeletal health in general, such as potentially insufficient intake of calcium, vitamin D, magnesium, and potassium; smoking; decreased sun exposure; a low peak bone mass; a low body mass index; and decreased physical activity.

Additionally, an unbalanced diet and a dysbiotic intestinal microbiome, probably interacting, and partly uncharacterized and non-specific, may contribute to impaired bone health [17]. (II) IBD-associated factors

- due to IBD therapy, with detrimental effects of corticosteroids, still being (over) used in IBD patients, and beneficial effects of anti-TNF therapy,
- due to disease-specific factors, such as sex hormone deficiency,
- due to gastrointestinal damage as a result of ongoing inflammation and potentially leading to malabsorption and increased needs resulting from intestinal leakage. IBD, in particular Crohn’s disease, may impair calcium and vitamin D absorption with (concurrent) osteomalacia as a direct consequence [18].
- due to the inflammatory processes underlying IBD.

Most of the above-mentioned factors are—partly—uncharacteristic or atypical for IBD but occur concurrent with IBD.

Therapy is ideally primarily based on evidence-based medicine. Thus, convincing data from statistically well-powered trials in relevant populations are crucial. Unfortunately, these trials are sparse, particularly when considering robust clinical end points, i.e. reduction of bone fractures. Arguments for choice of treatment of impaired bone health are therefore also based on pathophysiological and clinical reasoning. To improve bone health, simple measures to change life style, diet, or medication may be helpful. Nutritional supplements, discontinuation of smoking, avoidance of (long-term) use of detrimental drugs, and increase of physical activity are well worth advising in general. Treatment specifically aimed at IBD-associated causes of decreased bone health is less well characterized. Rational, pathophysiology-based therapy needs further understanding of the (patho)physiological mechanisms underlying this IBD-associated osteoporosis. We therefore elaborate on

---

**Table 1** Factors contributing to altered bone health in IBD patients

| General factors                                      | IBD-associated factors                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low intake of calcium                                | Corticosteroids (detrimental)                              |
| Decreased vitamin D concentrations                   | Anti-TNF therapy (beneficial)                              |
| Low magnesium and potassium concentrations           | Sex hormone deficiency                                     |
| Metabolic acidosis                                   | Low body mass index/peak bone mass                         |
| Low peak bone mass                                   | Intestinal insufficiency (short bowel syndrome)            |
| Low body mass index                                  | Intestinal leakage                                         |
| Decreased physical activity                          |                                                            |
| Smoking                                               |                                                            |
| Excessive alcohol consumption                        |                                                            |

---

**Table 2** IBD-associated factors

| IBD therapy                                         | Corticosteroids (detrimental)                              |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| IBD-specific factors                                 | Anti-TNF therapy (beneficial)                              |
| Sex hormone deficiency                               |                                                            |
| Low body mass index/peak bone mass                   |                                                            |
| Gastrointestinal damage                              | Intestinal insufficiency (short bowel syndrome)            |
| Intestinal leakage                                   |                                                            |
| Inflammatory processes underlying IBD               | Cytokine and other immunological networks in disbalance   |
the current knowledge regarding systemic inflammatory disease, such as in IBD, and the associated changes in bone health.

**Advances in the understanding of inflammation, inflammatory cytokines, and bone health**

In several studies, it has been shown that elevated concentrations of circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and IL-17 are present in IBD patients. Crohn’s disease is reported to be associated with elevated concentrations of the Th1 pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-2, IL-17, interferon-γ (IFNy), and TNF-α, while ulcerative colitis is associated with Th2-profile cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 [19]. Subsequently, it has been put forward that Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells are broadly involved in the pathogenesis of IBD through regulation of inflammatory cytokine networks. All of these pro-inflammatory factors are potentially detrimental for bone metabolism and may be responsible for bone loss and increased fracture risk. In most in vitro research, effects of a single cytokine on healthy osteoclasts have been analyzed. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that growth potential and differentiation capacity of primary bone cells harvested from patients with quiescent Crohn’s disease are diminished. Yet, the response of bone cells from Crohn’s disease patients to cytokines has been reported to be similar [20]. Cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 are known to increase the production of receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) by pre-osteoblasts, thereby promoting osteoclastogenesis [21–23]. These cytokines bind to their respective receptors, which causes increased activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-kB), and c-Jun-N terminal kinase (JNK) pathways resulting in excessive bone loss and reducing BMD. Moreover, TNF-α inhibits the activation of R-spondin 2 (RSPO2), important for osteoblast maturation, causing decreased bone mass [24].

A role of IL-1beta has been proposed in IBD patients with a IL-1β-511*2 polymorphism (carriers of allele 2 of the Aval polymorphism), characterized by IL-1β hypersecretion, which induces a higher risk of diminished BMD than in healthy controls [25]. IL-17A, the defining cytokine of Th17 cells, has been shown to stimulate osteoclast formation [26]. However, in this study, osteoclast formation was predominantly from a non-classical blood monocyte precursor, while IL-17A did not affect the classical blood monocytes [27]. Moreover, Th17 cells express higher RANKL than other Th subsets suggesting they may indirectly promote osteoclastogenesis [28].

IL-12, a T cell mediator, is also an important inflammatory cytokine observed in IBD. It was reported that IL-12 induces apoptosis in bone marrow cells treated with TNF-α via an interaction between TNF-α-induced Fas and IL-12-induced Fas ligand (FasL), and that, as a result, osteoclastogenesis is inhibited [29, 30].

Interestingly, the effects of IL-23 on bone appear to be pleotropic. Originally, it was suggested that IL-23 may act via IL-17 to induce osteoclastogenesis [31]. But later studies showed IL-23 to up regulate RANK expression on osteoclast precursor cells [32], and to promote osteoclastogenesis in coculture systems with osteoblasts [33]. Nevertheless, both suggested pathways lead to stimulation of bone resorption.

The effect of T cell–derived anti-inflammatory cytokines on bone cells is also extensively studied. Both interferon-γ (IFNy) and IL-4, the signature Th1 and Th2 cytokines, inhibit osteoclastogenesis [34, 35]. IL-4 and the closely related IL-13 induced osteoprotogerin (OPG) expression in endothelial cells, which is an inhibitor of osteoclastic resorption [36]. In addition, inhibition of mRNA for RANK and nuclear factor of activated T cells 2 (NFAT2), a transcription factor, has also been noted. Consequently, IL-4 and IL-13 decrease the number of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase–positive multicellular cells and the mRNA expression of calcitonin receptor, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase, and cathepsin K in mouse spleen cells and bone marrow macrophages treated with macrophage colony–stimulating factor and RANKL [37]. The effects of IL-4 and IL-13 have also been studied in osteoblasts, in which they stimulate production of IL-6 [38, 39]. Moreover, in an osteoblastic cell line (MG-63), IL-4 and IL-13 inhibit mRNA expression of B1 and B2 kinin receptors induced by either IL-1β or TNF-α, which is suggestive that these cytokines exert their anti-inflammatory effects, including impairment of bone resorption [40].

Although the predominantly negative effects of separate cytokines for bone are evident, in clinical situation, the patients have to deal with a cocktail of cytokines and inflammatory factors leaking into the circulation and thus eventually preparing the stage in bone tissue (see Fig. 1). Indeed, in Crohn’s disease patients, osteoclastogenesis has been proven to be increased via T cell mediation [20]. In only a few studies, effects of a (complete) composition of inflammatory factors have been tested by using serum from Crohn’s disease patients in an in vitro setting. In this balance-of-cytokine studies, it has been observed that bone formation measured by assaying calcium content and dry weight was decreased in intact bone explants when adding serum derived from Crohn’s disease patients, even if these patients were in remission. With light microscopy of bone tissue, a discontinuous, uneven mineralized bone matrix and disorganized osteoblasts, characterized by altered morphology, were identified when treated with this mixture Crohn’s disease patients’ derived serum [41]. This effect was counteracted by blockade of IL-6. Even in children, osteoblast function and mineralization were affected by Crohn’s disease patients’ derived serum in vitro, suggesting a mechanism by which Crohn’s disease may affect bone
formation and, when occurring at young age, peak bone mass. In this latter study, IL-6-neutralizing antibody did not change the negative effect on osteoblasts [42]. Data from our own lab confirmed that serum from IBD patients in both active and quiescent state of disease contained an altered composition of inflammatory factors compared to healthy control serum. Bone cells showed reduced cell proliferation after exposure to IBD patient's serum [43]. To summarize, at the bone tissue level, cytokine profiles ascribed to the Th1 signature appear to promote osteoclastogenic bone resorption, whereas Th2-signature profiles may counteract this. This balance between Th1-Th2 profiles may finally determine outcome on bone health. Balance-of-cytokine studies are warranted to further elucidate this concept.

Lately, gut-derived serotonin, produced by enterochromaffin cells of the duodenum, has attracted attention. It was shown in mice that high serotonin concentrations in blood were associated with osteoporosis, due to decreased osteoblast proliferation via activation of 5-HT1B receptors on pre-osteoblasts. In line with this, both increased expression of the tryptophan hydroxylase inhibitor Lrp5 (low-density lipoprotein receptor–related protein 5) and decreased tryptophan hydroxylase 1 (Tph1) expression lead to decreased serotonin generation and secondarily to an increment of bone mass [44].

In colitis, elevated concentrations of serotonin have been demonstrated. As high, gut-derived serotonin concentrations have been associated with osteoporosis, colitis may contribute to osteoporosis in IBD patients. Conversely, inhibition of gut-derived serotonin might become a treatment option for osteoporosis. These findings are now experimentally observed in animal studies, and corroboration of these findings in humans is awaited [45, 46].
Vitamin D

Recently, an immunomodulating effect of vitamin D on both the innate and the adaptive immune system has been established. In IBD patients, vitamin D might influence disease course [47]. Vitamin D levels in IBD patients are usually low, due to multiple reasons such as decreased intestinal absorption following surgery, deficient diet, and decreased sunlight exposure. At sufficient oral intake, calcium is predominantly absorbed via a non-saturable, paracellular diffusive pathway in the duodenum and proximal jejunum, regulated via the dynamics of the epithelial tight junctions. 1,25 (OH)2D3 (calcitriol) may enhance paracellular Ca2+ permeability. Vitamin D absorption is dependent upon its dissolution in micelles and occurs by simple passive diffusion and partly via the paraintestinal lymph drainage system [48–51]. In addition, sun exposure of the skin with ultraviolet B (UVB)–rich light induces vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol) generation from 7-dihydrocholesterol, a less efficient process with aging. With respect to bone, low levels of vitamin D are correlated with secondary hyperparathyroidism [52]. Secondary hyperparathyroidism is characterized by high bone turnover leading to low bone mass and increased fracture incidence. However, in a mouse model for UC, high vitamin D was shown to have adverse effects on bone health, likely because of the synergy with circulating pro-inflammatory mediators on a resorptive effect, leading to loss of BMD [53]. This phenomenon has not yet been confirmed in a clinical setting.

Treatment considerations

The ultimate clinical goal of treatment of an impaired bone health in IBD patients is prevention of bone fractures. Most data and studies regarding fracture prevention derive, however, from general osteoporosis or other (non-IBD) patient populations at risk for bone fractures. Overall, however, IBD patients seem to have higher risks than the general population [10], and identification of high-risk individuals in this IBD population is warranted. This may be done by assessment of calcium and vitamin D concentrations in IBD patients, at least at a yearly basis, and DXA measurement in all IBD patients with an increased risk for osteoporosis. Risk factors comprise a family history of osteoporosis, a low body mass index, smoking, chronic active IBD, and particularly corticosteroid therapy, especially when ongoing for more than 3 months. Genetic profiling is not yet well established. The occurrence of a fragility fracture necessitates additional DXA measurement.

Based on this risk profile, therapy to improve bone health and to prevent fragility fractures is recommended. When insufficient robust investigational data is available, clinical and pathophysiologival reasoning becomes more important and relevant. This is the case in IBD patients with osteopenia, osteoporosis, or fragility fractures.

We would therefore like to propose an algorithm, based on the above-discussed variables contributing to impaired bone health in IBD patients, and focus on which treatment options may more specifically affect pathophysiology of bone health in IBD patients (see Figs. 1 and 2). The suggested treatment option may partly depend on epidemiological findings only. In addition, bone-specific treatment options will be briefly discussed.

General factors

Patients with IBD are at risk for several factors contributing to a decreased bone health. These include a low intake of calcium (with or without allegedly presence of lactose intolerance, or any allegedly “health-promoting” diet), low magnesium and potassium concentrations, sometimes associated with decreased overall oral intake or due to disturbed physiology of intestinal absorption in intestinal inflammation [48], decreased vitamin D concentrations, due to intestinal malabsorption, decreased nutritional intake, and decreased sunlight (UVB) exposure.

IBD patients with a high disease burden usually have various forms of malnutrition including a low body mass index on average [54, 55]. A low body mass index in adults is commonly accompanied by osteopenia and decreased muscle strength [56], and in children it is associated with a low peak bone mass, which is probably also present due to inflammatory changes associated with IBD [57]. In IBD, low serum vitamin D concentration is common [58, 59], but supplementation may be hampered by disturbed intestinal absorption and, seemingly at the best, stabilizes bone health [60, 61].

Decreased physical activity, also associated with less sun exposure, is highly prevalent in chronically diseased individuals, and, thus, in IBD patients. Life style advices, comprising physical exercise and sun exposure, and diet supplementation, easiest by a multicomponent supplement, may all contribute to an improved bone health, and is therefore recommended [50, 62]. Smoking is a risk factor for decreased bone health, although challenged in IBD patients [63], but as smoking is a no-go area in IBD itself, it should be abandoned anyhow. Similarly, excessive alcohol intake must be discouraged. Low body mass index and decreased fat-free body mass is common in IBD patients, which correlates with a decreased BMD [64]. Optimization of nutritional status, particularly in the pediatric and young IBD patients, may prevent fractures in the elderly. Low-impact exercise contributes to a better bone health in a similar way, contributing to an improved disease course in IBD, as well [65,66]. Overall, diet and life style measures may be reliably advocated in general, and, similarly, in IBD patients.
IBD-related therapy

Use of corticosteroids, a stimulator of osteoclastogenesis, particularly when long term and in higher dosages, decreases bone health and should therefore be avoided whenever possible. If prescribed or continued, one may apply the recommendations from the American College of Rheumatology on glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. In their guideline, this College states that limited evidence regarding the balance between benefit and harm of interventions in corticosteroid users is available. Treatment with calcium and vitamin D only is advocated in patients with low fragility fracture risk, while addition of a (intravenous) bisphosphonate may be considered in adults at moderate-to-high fracture risk. Switch to alternative bone protecting therapy may be considered when a contraindication for bisphosphonate use is present[67].

Use of anti-TNF therapy, on the other hand, may increase bone density, whether by direct beneficial impact on bone physiology or by decreasing disadvantageous inflammatory changes on bone health, although still under debate[68–71]. Commonly used IBD medication, belonging to other therapeutic classes, such as mesalazines, immunosuppressants, and newly developed biologics or small molecules, like vedolizumab and tofacitinib, have not extensively been studied with regard to bone physiology. The same holds true for the IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor ustekinumab. Taking these findings into account, one may identify an ideal therapy strategy in individual patient cases; however, it is primarily the treatment choice necessitated by the course of IBD which determines the final therapy class. If corticosteroids are being prescribed, concomitant use of calcium and vitamin D supplementation may be advised as routine, based on pathophysiological considerations, whereas robust trials are lacking, partly due to huge challenges to design a statistically well-powered trial[61, 67].

IBD-specific factors

IBD patients seem at an increased risk for sex hormone deficiency, and when identified may be supplemented, also to improve bone health[65]. Effects of intestinal inflammation are discussed below.

Gastrointestinal damage in IBD

Functional and surgery-induced digestive disorders form part of the clinical spectrum of IBD, in addition to potentially increased intestinal leakage[50]. In general, supplemental multivitamin preparations seem therefore beneficial, although not formally evidenced by trials. Intestinal insufficiency (short bowel syndrome) is less frequently observed by the advent of new potent drugs and surgical techniques.

Extent of resected small-bowel segments have been related to vitamin D deficiency[72], whereas terminal ileal resection interferes with vitamin B12 deficiency and a decreased bile acid pool, associated with lower levels of most fat-soluble vitamins. Identification and restoration of any nutritional (point) deficiency may be advocated.

Inflammatory processes underlying IBD

As described above, many systemic inflammatory mediators, as generated by the inflammatory process underlying IBD, influence bone metabolism adversely. Specific therapy against inflammation, such as anti-TNF therapy, is therefore believed to induce beneficial effects on bone health. Similarly, the inhibition of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-12 and IL-23, by means of ustekinumab (Stelara®), may depress RANKL, and via this pathway may be bone health protective. This, however, has not yet been substantiated in clinical studies. Strict therapy of IBD itself may also improve bone density[73], corroborating the concept that systemic inflammation itself, as in IBD, is detrimental for bone health. In addition, anti-
inflammatory effects of vitamin D may be helpful to improve bone health like cutting as a two-sided sword [74].

**Bone-specific therapy**

**Calcium and vitamin D**

Supplemental use of calcium plus vitamin D has been supported by circumstantial evidence [75, 76]. This should be routinely prescribed concurrent with corticosteroid therapy for IBD, although firm evidence is lacking [67]. We propose to use high dosages (1 g calcium o.d. and vitamin D 800 IU o.d.) in order to ensure adequate bio-availability. Hormone replacement and sodium fluoride therapy have been abandoned for these purposes in IBD patients.

**Bisphosphonates**

Bisphosphonate use (most commonly risedronate, but virtually all other components have been studied in one or more studies as well) has been analyzed in IBD patients in several studies with relatively large heterogeneity in trial design, study population, and control groups. In two, recent, meta-analyses, overall use of bisphosphonates is believed to be beneficial [61, 77]. Mean increment of spine BMD values was calculated to be 0.41 (95% CI 0.18–0.64), and on total hip scores, this amounted to 0.29 (95% CI 0.11–0.46) with acceptable heterogeneity between trials [77]. The odds ratio of incident total new fractures was 0.30 (95% CI 0.13–0.69); adverse events were comparable between bisphosphonate users and controls [77]. Given the potential impaired absorption of bisphosphonates in patients with intestinal disease or inflammation, with or without a prior history of surgery for IBD, intravenous bisphosphonates may be an appealing route of administration.

Several questions regarding optimal bone-specific therapy remain. It is yet not clear whether treatment advices in geriatrics/general osteoporosis may also be applied to the relatively young IBD patients with impaired bone health. Treating IBD-related osteoporosis, only following an established diagnosis by DXA, may be putting the cart before the horse. In guidelines, it is advocated not to screen all IBD patients, but only those with additional risk for osteoporosis, based on epidemiological data. Still, osteoporosis and bone fractures remain an important clinical problem. Theoretically, the risk for fractures may be diminished by preserving peak bone mass of young people, i.e. by aggressively or promptly treating osteopenia early on, especially in young patients with additional osteoporosis risk factors. Bisphosphonates may be helpful in these patients to improve bone health [78].

In addition, as systemic inflammation is an important driver of premature, IBD-associated impaired bone health, more efforts may be undertaken to reduce inflammatory burden, to increase peak bone mass or reduce unnecessary loss of bone mass when possible and to timely treat quantified decreased bone mass in order to avoid fractures later on [73]. Whether therapeutics for improving bone health, like bisphosphonates, preclude recovery of presenile loss of bone mass, thus counteract the effects of treatment of inflammatory burden such as in IBD [73], or whether osteoblastic activity such as by supplementation of calcium or vitamin D may be hampered when prescribing bisphosphonates or denosumab remains to be answered. Therefore, timing of (bone) treatment in IBD patients needs to be addressed in additional trials.

**Denosumab and other new bone health–improving agents**

A more recent therapeutic agent for treatment of osteoporosis is denosumab, a RANKL inhibitor. In IBD patients, this compound has not yet been scrutinized. This may be due to theoretical disadvantage. RANKL inhibition by denosumab might affect NF-κB function which is pivotal in inflammation, and thus in chronic intestinal inflammation such as IBD. Interestingly, the use of denosumab seems neither related to a higher risk for inflammatory activity (relapse of IBD), nor for an increased risk for infectious diseases [79]. This has been subject of study in rheumatoid arthritis but not in IBD [80], and effects on bone formation more than on bone resorption have been proposed when observing elevated concentrations of bone-specific alkaline phosphatase [81]. In addition, denosumab use seems to be associated with a rebound effect following discontinuation of therapy which may be considered to be a major flaw when using this drug in the relative young IBD population [82]. Parathormone has anabolic effects on bone metabolism, like the recombinant hormone teriparatide. This may be an interesting medication in low peak bone mass as is found in IBD patients. However, it has been studied in corticosteroid-associated osteoporosis, but not in IBD patients as such [83].

Overall, if opting for drugs like these, robust scientific evidence is lacking and these options should be restricted to individuals with peculiar clinical circumstances, by preference in centers of excellence.

In conclusion, assessment of impaired bone health in IBD patients relies on DXA in clinical practice, with sometimes additional radiographs of the spine, whether primarily for suspected osteoporosis or secondarily as an incidental finding on abdominal CT scan (for IBD purposes). Assessment of the intestinal inflammatory burden and bone-influencing drugs like corticosteroids or TNF inhibitors form part of determination of bone health risk. Advice to introduce general bone health–promoting procedures and strict control of disease activity contribute to optimal bone health. When treating impaired bone health in IBD patients, focus should be on general...
advices such as calcium supplementation, sufficient vitamin D use, and life style and diet measures. Medications to improve bone health ideally should be chosen based on pathophysiological reasoning corroborated by relatively sparse specific trials in the population at risk. Timing of drugs remains a subject of study, as well as the sequence in which drugs, and in which drug classes, may be advised. More recently introduced agents are insufficiently documented for regular use in IBD patients with bone health at risk.
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