Echogenicity as a surrogate for bioresorbable everolimus-eluting scaffold degradation: analysis at 1-, 3-, 6-, 12- 18, 24-, 30-, 36- and 42-month follow-up in a porcine model
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Abstract The objective of the study is to validate intravascular quantitative echogenicity as a surrogate for molecular weight assessment of poly-l-lactide-acid (PLLA) bioresorbable scaffold (Absorb BVS, Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, California). We analyzed at 9 time points (from 1- to 42-month follow-up) a population of 40 pigs that received 97 Absorb scaffolds. The treated regions were analyzed by echogenicity using adventitia as reference, and were categorized as more (hyperechogenic or upper echogenic) or less bright (hypoechogenic) than the reference. The volumes of echogenicity categories were correlated with the measurements of molecular weight (Mw) by gel permeation chromatography. Scaffold struts appeared as high echogenic structures. The quantification of grey level intensity in the scaffold-vessel compartment had strong correlation with the scaffold Mw: hyperechogenicity (correlation coefficient $=0.75; P<0.01$), upperechogenicity (correlation coefficient $=0.63; P<0.01$) and hyper + upperechogenicity (correlation coefficient $=0.78; P<0.01$). In the linear regression, the $R^2$ for high echogenicity and Mw was 0.57 for the combination of hyper and upper echogenicity. IVUS high intensity grey level quantification is correlated to Absorb BVS residual molecular weight and can be used as a surrogate for the monitoring of the degradation of semi-crystalline polymers scaffolds.
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Abbreviations

IVUS Intravascular ultrasound
BRS Bioresorbable scaffolds
PLLA Poly-l-lactide-acid
PDLLA Poly-D, L-lactide
Mw Molecular weight
Absorb Poly-l-lactide-acid everolimus eluting
BVS bioresorbable scaffold
CAD Coronary artery disease

Impact on daily practice

Changes in bioresorbable vascular scaffolds (BRS), design and compositions may affect their degradation and loss of
biomechanical characteristics (with the risk of late recoil) and may be associated with a second wave of arterial wall inflammation. Therefore, studying the BRS degradation is crucial to fully understand this technology. The present work validates echogenicity as a surrogate for polylactide scaffold degradation.

Introduction

Bioresorbable vascular scaffolds (BRS) are a novel approach to the interventional treatment of coronary artery disease (CAD), providing short-term vascular scaffolding combined with drug-delivery capability. They may offer potential advantages compared to metallic drug-eluting stents (e.g. adaptive remodeling, restoration of vasomotion and late luminal enlargement). The so called 4th revolution in coronary artery disease revascularization steered extensive scientific research in BRS developments [1–3].

It has been shown that the designs and materials of BRS platforms—either metallic or polymeric—influence the resorption process [3–5]. Considering the variety of possible platforms, it is necessary to establish tools capable of monitoring the degradation process and its correlated mechanical characteristics.

Intravascular ultrasound-derived parameters have shown to be useful to assess the BRS resorption of metallic and polymeric scaffolds in humans [6–8]. One of the most studied intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) techniques to evaluate the resorption process is called differential echogenicity [8, 9]. This method consists in an automated and quantitative three-dimensional analysis of coronary tissue components scored for echogenicity using as reference the mean level of the adventitia brightness [9] where scaffold struts appear as bright hyperechogenic structures. In clinical studies, a continuous decrease of echogenicity over time has been shown in regions treated with BRS, being putatively correlated to BRS degradation [7, 8]. However, in serial human assessments, changes in the adventitia and plaque-media compartment of the treated regions during the follow-up period could possibly affect these interpretations [10–14].

The objectives of the current study were: (1) to describe a novel method of echogenicity for tissue analysis; (2) to evaluate its reproducibility; and (3) to assess its aptitude to assess the BRS degradation process through a direct correlation with the molecular weight (Mw) in a preclinical model using a drug-eluting poly-l-lactide-acid (PLLA) bioreorable scaffold (Absorb BVS, Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, California).

Methods

Study devices

The device used in the present preclinical study is the same used in Cohort B of the ABSORB clinical trial [15, 16]. Absorb is a balloon-expandable BRS that consists of a polymer backbone of Poly (L-lactide) (PLLA) coated with a thin layer of a 1:1 mixture of Poly-D, L-lactide (PDLLA) polymer with the antiproliferative drug everolimus to form an amorphous drug-eluting coating matrix containing 100 μg of everolimus/cm² of scaffold [17].

Experimental model

For validation purposes, we analyzed non-atherosclerotic Yorkshire-Landrace swine which had been implanted with Absorb BVS via femoral access according to published procedures [18]. Absorb sizes were matched to the vessel size at a target balloon-to-artery ratio of 1.0–1.1 (10 % overstretch). Each animal received a single Absorb (3.0 × 18 mm for 1-, 3-, and 6-month and 3.0x12 mm for 12- to 42-month) in 2 or 3 main coronary arteries. Forty pigs (98 arteries) underwent IVUS acquisition and were then euthanized at 1-month (n = 12 scaffolds), 3-(n = 12), 6-(n = 14), 12-(n = 12), 18-(n = 12), 24-(n = 12), 30-(n = 8), 36-(n = 8) or 42-months (n = 8). Each scaffold had quantification of polymer degradation by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). Experimental studies received protocol approval from the institutional animal care and use committee and were conducted in accordance with American Heart Association guidelines for pre-clinical research and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Institutes of Health 2010).

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

A previously reported GPC method, with a slightly modified sample extraction/purification process, was employed to investigate the degradation of polymer over time by evaluating the number-average molecular weight (Mn) of polymer in the Absorb [19]. In the present method, the extraction and purification of the polymer was repeated up to five times until the polymer was fully extracted from the tissue (i.e., the polymer signal in the last extract below the quantitation limit of 0.3 mg/mL). The samples were analyzed prior at 1-, 3-, 6-, 12-, 18-, 24-, 30-, 36- and 42-months after implantation.

IVUS acquisition and analysis

All IVUS runs were acquired with 40 MHz mechanical systems, using Galaxy V2.02 (Boston Scientific, MA,
USA) at 1-, 3-, 6- and 12-month follow-ups and iLab at 18-, 24-, 30-, 36- and 42-month (Boston Scientific, MA, USA). We used motorized pullback of 0.5 mm/s with a frame rate of 30 frames/second. The regions of interest were restricted to the scaffolded areas, identified by the first and the last cross-sectional IVUS frame in which scaffold struts could be identified and/or where the proximal or distal metallic markers could be identified. Vessel, scaffold and lumen contours were delimited every 0.5 mm blind to molecular weight results. We analysed four compartments by IVUS: the luminal, scaffold, vessel and the neointimal volume (vessel volume-lumen volume). The scaffold was delineated semiautomatically at the luminal leading edge of the struts and the lumen was delineated at the inner detectable tissue (Fig. 1).

To evaluate inter-observer reproducibility, 2 readers (C.C. and Y.I.) independently analyzed 30 segments randomly selected from the total number of the investigated segments. To determine intra-observer reproducibility, one reader (C.C.) analyzed these segments twice, with the second reading occurring 3 months later. The inter- and intra-observer reproducibility were good according to the conventional norms [20] (hyperechogenicity inter-observer interclass correlation coefficient [ICC] = 0.80, intra-observer ICC = 0.95; hypoechogenicity: inter-observer ICC = 0.78, intra-observer ICC = 0.97; upperechogenicity: inter-observer ICC = 0.92, intra-observer ICC = 0.97) (Supplementary material).

Automatic quantitative echogenicity analysis

The principle of echogenicity has been previously described elsewhere [9, 21, 22]. Echogenicity aims to classify the vessel wall components located between the luminal boundary and the external elastic membrane (EEM) into categories based on their grey-level intensity in B-mode IVUS images rather than based on radiofrequency ultrasound signal analysis [23–26] (Fig. 1). Here we quantified 5 tissue types: hypoechogenic, hyperechogenic, calcified, upperechogenic and unknown.

Comparison with the adventitia allows for normalization with respect to transducer variability, gain settings and across populations [21]. However, in the analysis of atherosclerotic tissue, the adventitia can be partially obscured or darkened as a result of the guide-wire shadowing or the presence of dense tissue (e.g. calcium) which reduces the average grey-level values of the adventitia. Therefore, these parts need to be excluded from the reference adventitial area. To determine the reference adventitia area in each frame, the full adventitial area located just outside the EEM is first determined based on a minimum (0.01 mm) and maximum (0.21 mm) distance from the EEM contour (Fig. 1). To remove the low echogenic parts of the adventitia an adaptive threshold value for the entire adventitia area is determined based on Otsu’s method [27]. Otsu’s method is a classic automatic non-parametric threshold selection method which maximizes the between-class variance. Next, the adventitial area is divided into 2-degree wide sectors. If more than half of the pixels inside of a sector is below the adaptive threshold, the sector is excluded from the reference adventitia area. Finally, the histograms of the reference adventitial areas of the individual frames are combined into a global adventitia grey-level intensity histogram and the median value is computed as a threshold. Cross-section pixels with an intensity lower than the median value are classified as hypoechogenic, pixels with an intensity higher than the median value threshold are classified as hyperechogenic.

Calculated plaque is typically identified in B-mode IVUS images as a highly echogenic area creating an acoustic shadow [21]. To determine the high-intensity grey-level threshold for highly echogenic components we use the adaptive threshold selection method described in [28]. First Otsu’s method is applied to the entire grey-level histogram of an image resulting in an optimal threshold value. In the next 2 iterations, Otsu’s method is applied to the histogram of all intensities above the threshold found in the previous step. Next, we apply an in-house developed acoustic shadow detection algorithm. Highly echogenic areas with a grey-level intensity higher than the high-intensity threshold but without acoustic shadow behind them are classified as upperechogenic, while highly echogenic areas with acoustic shadow are classified as calcified and the shadow itself is classified as unknown. The entire method has been implemented and tested in QCU-CMS-Research v4.69 (research version of QIVus, developed by the Leiden University Medical Center) [29].

Data analysis

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD or medians (interquartile range). The ANOVA test was used to compare continuous variables. As we had different scaffold lengths we normalized all measurements by the mean length for all pigs as described previously [30]. This adjusts for differing segment lengths across animals, thereby providing equal weighting of each individual in the calculation of echogenicity volumes. The residual scaffold molecular weight by GPC was compared to the echogenicity findings and the correlation coefficient was used as a measure of the degree of relationship (Pearson’s correlation coefficient). A linear regression was used to evaluate if hyper and/or upperechogenicity were able to predict the residual molecular weight. A
Fig. 1 Diffential echogenicity methodology. 

a The first step was to determine the lumen-scaffold and scaffold-vessel compartments by defining the vessel, lumen and luminal scaffold contours in every 0.5 mm. After guidewire masking, the software identifies the adventitia as a ring between 0.01 and 0.21 mm outside vessel contours. 

b However, if the software uses as reference the whole layer around the vessel contour, it will include low intensity structures (e.g., pericardium, side branches, low attenuated tissues, etc.) resulting in a histogram with a non-normal distribution (right panel). 

c The present software detects automatically high signal adventitia as reference, excluding low intensity structures (arrow heads). The right panel shows that the combination of high signal adventitia in all frames obtains a bell shaped normally distributed histogram. The yellow line represents the referential adventitial median value. 

d The color legend of each echogenicity classification is provided. As we used a non-atherosclerotic porcine model there was no calcification and unknown tissue. Nevertheless, the present software is able to detect theses tissues.
hierarchical cluster analysis using Ward’s method (Squared Euclidean distance) was applied for hyper + upperechogenicity and hypoechogenicity volumes. The differences were regarded significant when $P < 0.05$ (two-tailed). SPSS version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) was used for all statistical analyses.

**Results**

The main grey scale IVUS volumetric findings are shown in Fig. 2 and the comparisons between each group are given in the supplementary material (Tables 2-5). The mean scaffold length was 16.5 mm. Compared with 1-month follow-up, the vessel, scaffold and lumen volumes had a trend to be larger after 18-month follow-up. These three aforementioned volumes were significantly larger at 36- and 42-month. Additionally, the neointima had the biggest volume at 1-month follow-up, being similar among groups thereafter (Fig. 3).

**Differential echogenicity and molecular weight**

Table 1 summarizes the main findings on differential echogenicity and mean Mw at each time point. The highest total hypoechogenicity volume was found at 1-month follow-up, the time point with also the highest neointimal hyperplasia as aforementioned. The lumen-scaffold compartment had an increase in hyper + upperechogenic volumes up to 12-month and subsequently a decrease until 42-month. Using the 1-month group as reference, the hyper + upperechogenic decreased significantly in the scaffold vessel compartment after 12 months (supplementary material).

The GPC results indicated a continuous decrease in molecular weight over time. The rate of reduction was slower during the first 6-months of scaffold implantation followed by a more rapid decline thereafter, being fully resorbed 36-months after implantation (Fig. 2).

To validate the scaffold degradation by echogenicity we took into consideration the hyper- and upperechogenicity in...
the scaffold-vessel compartment (Fig. 1). As shown in Table 1, the earlier IVUS were more likely to present higher grey-level intensity (hyper + upper echogenicity). The scaffold-vessel hyperechogenicity (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.75; \( P < 0.01 \)) and hyper + upper echogenicity (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.63; \( P < 0.01 \)) and hyper + upper echogenicity (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.78; \( P < 0.01 \)) had strong correlation with the scaffold molecular weight. As shown in Fig. 4, in linear regression, the best correlation found in linear regression model for molecular weight was scaffold-vessel hyper + upper echogenicity (R squared = 0.57; \( P < 0.01 \)); i.e., all grey-level intensity higher than median adventitia in the scaffold-vessel compartment should be considered for monitoring the degradation process of this semi-crystalline polymers scaffold. Post-Hoc comparisons between each group are given in the supplementary material (Tables 6-8).

Additionally, a cluster analysis was run for scaffold-vessel hyper + upper echogenicity and hypoechogenicity. It produced five clusters, among which the variables were significantly different in the main (Fig. 5). The comparison among clusters of hyper + upper echogenicity showed a clear positive association scaffold-vessel hyper + upper echogenicity and molecular weight (Fig. 5).
Table 1 Differential echogenicity findings and polymer molecular weight by gel permeation chromatography

|                          | 1 Month (n = 12) | 3 Months (n = 12) | 6 Months (n = 14) | 12 Months (n = 12) | 18 Months (n = 12) | 24 Months (n = 8) | 30 Months (n = 8) | 36 Months (n = 8) | 42 Months (n = 8) | P value for overall comparison |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total hypoechochogenicity | 49.3 ± 6.9       | 34.7 ± 6.8        | 31.4 ± 5.5        | 28.7 ± 4.5        | 33.3 ± 6.2        | 42.2 ± 8         | 28.4 ± 7         | 38.6 ± 12.2      | 37.6 ± 14.3       | <0.01                      |
| Volume (mm³)             |                  |                   |                   |                   |                   |                  |                  |                  |                  |                             |
| % Total hypoechochogenicity | 79.4 ± 4.9     | 68.3 ± 7.8        | 70.3 ± 6.5        | 71.6 ± 6.7        | 80.5 ± 5.0        | 86.8 ± 5.4       | 78.1 ± 6.1       | 89.7 ± 4.3       | 92.0 ± 3.4        | <0.01                      |
| Total hyperechochogenicity volume (mm³) | 8.5 ± 2.7      | 8.7 ± 4           | 6.6 ± 1           | 6.5 ± 2.8         | 4.8 ± 1          | 3.9 ± 1.5        | 4.3 ± 1.3        | 2.8 ± 1.2        | 2.2 ± 1.1         | <0.01                      |
| % Total Hyperechochogenicity | 13.6 ± 3.6     | 17.2 ± 7.0        | 15.5 ± 2.7        | 16.1 ± 6.1        | 11.8 ± 2.4       | 8.0 ± 2.6        | 12.0 ± 2.9       | 6.7 ± 2.5        | 5.3 ± 1.9         | <0.01                      |
| Total upperechochogenicity volume (mm³) | 6.3 ± 3.5      | 13.7 ± 5          | 12.9 ± 3.6        | 14.1 ± 7.1        | 9.5 ± 5.5        | 6.1 ± 3.6        | 11.3 ± 4.3       | 3.9 ± 2.1        | 2.9 ± 1.9         | <0.01                      |
| % Total Upperechochogenicity | 7.0 ± 3.9      | 14.5 ± 5.5        | 14.1 ± 5.3        | 12.3 ± 5.3        | 7.7 ± 4.0        | 5.2 ± 2.9        | 9.9 ± 3.4        | 3.7 ± 1.9        | 2.8 ± 1.6         | <0.01                      |
| Total hyper and upperechochogenicity volumes (mm³) | 14.9 ± 4.5     | 22.4 ± 5.2        | 19.6 ± 4.4        | 20.6 ± 6.8        | 14.4 ± 6.1       | 10 ± 4.9         | 15.6 ± 5.3       | 6.7 ± 3.16       | 5.1 ± 3           | <0.01                      |
| % Total hyper and upperechochogenicity | 20.6 ± 4.9     | 31.7 ± 7.8        | 29.7 ± 6.5        | 28.4 ± 6.7        | 19.5 ± 5.1       | 13.2 ± 5.4       | 21.9 ± 6.1       | 10.3 ± 4.3       | 8.1 ± 3.4         | <0.01                      |
| Lumen-scaffold hypoechochogenicity Volume (mm³) | 13.6 ± 5.5     | 13.4 ± 4.3        | 7.7 ± 7.5         | 3.9 ± 1.9         | 6.7 ± 2.5        | 7.8 ± 4.1        | 2.9 ± 2          | 0 ± 0.1          | 0 ± 0.1           | <0.01                      |
| % Lumen-scaffold hypoechochogenicity | 91.9 ± 4.3     | 88.8 ± 4.5        | 88.4 ± 4.1        | 80.5 ± 11.6       | 89.3 ± 3.7       | 92.3 ± 2.9       | 86.1 ± 4.5       | 22.0 ± 41.3      | 44.5 ± 48.3        | <0.01                      |
| Lumen-scaffold hyperechochogenicity volume (mm³) | 1.25 ± 0.9      | 1.5 ± 0.8         | 1.2 ± 1.8         | 0.8 ± 0.5         | 0.4 ± 0.2        | 0.3 ± 0.2        | 0.3 ± 0.2        | 0             | 0              | <0.01                      |
| % Lumen-scaffold hyperechochogenicity | 8.1 ± 4.4       | 10.7 ± 4.7        | 11.0 ± 3.8        | 19.0 ± 11.6       | 6.5 ± 3.0        | 4.0 ± 2.0        | 9.9 ± 3.5        | 1.8 ± 5.0        | 3.7 ± 8.7         | <0.01                      |
| Lumen-scaffold upperechochogenicity volume (mm³) | 1.9 ± 1.3       | 6.6 ± 2.8         | 6.6 ± 2.8         | 9.3 ± 5.1         | 6.6 ± 3.9        | 3.8 ± 2.2        | 7.7 ± 3.22       | 2.4 ± 1.4        | 1.8 ± 1.3         | <0.01                      |
| % Lumen-scaffold upperechochogenicity | 0.1 ± 0.1       | 0.5 ± 0.7         | 0.5 ± 0.7         | 0.4 ± 0.5         | 4.2 ± 0.9        | 3.1 ± 1.2        | 4.0 ± 1.7        | 1.2 ± 3.4        | 1.8 ± 51          | <0.01                      |
| Lumen-scaffold hyper and upperechochogenicity Volumes (mm³) | 3.2 ± 1.5       | 8.1 ± 3.1         | 7.9 ± 2.6         | 10.1 ± 5.4        | 7.1 ± 4          | 4.1 ± 2.4        | 8 ± 3.3          | 2.4 ± 1.4        | 1.8 ± 1.3         | <0.01                      |
| % Lumen-scaffold hyper and upperechochogenicity | 8.1 ± 4.3       | 11.2 ± 4.5        | 11.6 ± 4.1        | 19.5 ± 11.6       | 10.7 ± 3.7       | 7.1 ± 2.9        | 13.9 ± 4.5       | 3.0 ± 8.4        | 5.5 ± 10.3        | <0.01                      |
| Scaffold-vessel hypoechochogenicity volume (mm³) | 35.7 ± 4        | 21.3 ± 5.1        | 23.8 ± 9.3        | 24.9 ± 4.6        | 26.6 ± 4.9       | 34.4 ± 7.9       | 25.5 ± 7.9       | 38.5 ± 12.2      | 37.7 ± 14.3       | <0.01                      |
| % Scaffold-vessel hypoechochogenicity | 75.5 ± 6.0      | 59.6 ± 8.6        | 66.9 ± 8.3        | 70.1 ± 6.9        | 78.4 ± 6.2       | 85.3 ± 6.3       | 77.0 ± 6.3       | 89.7 ± 4.3       | 91.9 ± 3.4        | <0.01                      |
| Scaffold-vessel hyperechochogenicity volume (mm³) | 7.3 ± 2         | 7.2 ± 4.2         | 5.4 ± 2.3         | 5.7 ± 2.5         | 4.4 ± 0.9        | 3.5 ± 1.3        | 4 ± 1.4          | 2.8 ± 1.1        | 2.2 ± 1.2         | <0.01                      |
| % Scaffold-vessel hyperechochogenicity | 15.4 ± 4.1      | 19.5 ± 7.3        | 16.3 ± 2.9        | 15.9 ± 5.7        | 13.1 ± 2.8       | 8.9 ± 3.0        | 12.3 ± 3.0       | 6.7 ± 2.5        | 5.3 ± 1.9         | <0.01                      |
| Scaffold-vessel upperechochogenicity volume (mm³) | 4.4 ± 2.6       | 7.1 ± 2.5         | 6.3 ± 1.8         | 4.8 ± 2.1         | 2.9 ± 1.7        | 2.3 ± 1.4        | 3.5 ± 1.5        | 1.5 ± 0.8        | 1.1 ± 0.8         | <0.01                      |
| % Scaffold-vessel upperechochogenicity | 9.2 ± 5.3       | 20.8 ± 8.3        | 16.7 ± 6.7        | 14.4 ± 6.4        | 8.5 ± 4.9        | 5.8 ± 3.5        | 10.7 ± 3.7       | 3.7 ± 1.9        | 2.8 ± 1.6         | <0.01                      |
Discussion

In the present study, using IVUS grey scale derived parameters we attempted to assess the degradation process of the Absorb poly-L-lactide bioresorbable everolimus-eluting scaffold at multiple time points in a porcine model. The major findings of this study can be summarized as follows: (1) hyperechogenic and upperechogenic thresholds had strong and positive correlations with the scaffold molecular weight assessment; (2) the combination of hyper and upperechogenicity could be used as a surrogate for the chromatographic assessment of scaffold molecular weight and (3) echogenicity demonstrated good inter- and intra-observer reproducibility (Supplementary Material).

The present manuscript describes a new software designed to assess the differential echogenicity and, for the first time, ascertained the correlation between IVUS grey scale intensities and quantitative assessment of Mw by GPC. The first novelty is that it was not necessary to use ECG gating and therefore, it is not needed a dedicated IVUS console or post-processing correction. The robustness of this method and the aforementioned good reproducibility demonstrate, for the first time, good correlation of echogenicity with the degradation of the scaffold without being mandatory correction for motion artifacts [30].

Image resolution can be defined as the capability of making distinguishable the individual parts of an object. Therefore, the use of 40 MHz IVUS catheter in the present study has potential to be more precise to detect scaffold degradation than the previous methodology with the 20 MHz ultrasound [7, 31]. Ultrasound at a center frequency of 10 MHz has demonstrated to detect decline in the acoustic impedance of PLA when molecular weight varied from 60 to 24 kDa, but further decrease in molecular weight to 15 kDa did not result in discernible change [32]. In the present study, working with the higher resolution of the 40 MHz IVUS catheter, we were able to detect acoustic differences in 150 m thick samples degrading from ~ 100 to <4 kDa.

The use of ultrasound to monitor the degradation process of polymers has been initially proposed with a wave pulse-echo method in an in vitro essay [31]. Wu succeeded to monitor by ultrasound the degradation process of three biodegradable polymers: poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA) and 50:50 poly(D, L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PDLLG) [33]. Another IVUS based approach to detect the resorption process in human is virtual histology [6]. The spectral analysis of the raw backscattered ultrasound misrepresents polymeric struts as dense calcium (DC) and necrotic core (NC). As these parameters are shown to decrease over time after implantation, they have been correlated putatively with resorption [6, 16, 34, 35].
Fig. 4 Linear regressions between molecular weight and echogenicity derived parameters in the scaffold-vessel compartment

Fig. 5 A hierarchical cluster analysis labeled by animal was run for scaffold-vessel hyper+upperechogenicity and hypoechogenicity. Cluster 2 and 3 had similar hyper+upperechogenicity but statistically significant greater hypoechogenicity volumes in the cluster 2. Cluster 3 and 5 had similar hypoechogenicity but markedly higher hyper+upperechogenicity volumes in cluster 5. There was a clear positive association between scaffold-vessel hyper+upperechogenicity and molecular weight. The sample sizes are number of scaffolds included in each pig cluster. The values are mean ± standard deviation and the errors bars are 95% confidence interval.
Previously, echogenicity has been used to assess paired serial acoustic properties of coronary plaques in BRS-tREATED segments in the clinical setting [7, 8, 35]. It has been shown that these segments had an increase in hyperechogenic tissue after implantation which decreased over time [7, 8, 35]. The aforementioned methodology succeeds to document the progressive decrease of high intensity grey level tissues in both metallic and polymeric BRS [8, 35].

However, until now, the link between echogenicity and the degradation process has been hypothetically assumed. The pending question was whether temporal plaque changes could interfere with the multistage degradation of the polymer and confound the echogenicity analysis. It has been shown that coronary atherosclerosis is a dynamic phenomenon and numerous factors can influence the atherosclerotic changes as detected by IVUS-derived parameters. For instance, statin treatment may reduce the percentage lipid volume index over time [13] and may increase the calcified plaque component [11]. Additionally, there is a significant decrease in NC (16 %) and DC (30 %) content in coronary plaque located behind the struts of the everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold [36]. All the above-mentioned confounding factors might influence the acoustic properties in the lumen-vessel compartment and hinder the clinical relevance of echogenicity for BRS degradation assessment.

As we have used a porcine non-atherosclerotic model, we did not have the confounding presence of coronary artery disease, thus enabling the evaluation of Poly-L-Lactide’s echogenic characteristics over time. Hyperechogenic, uppechogenic and hyper + uppechogenic tissues had strong and positive correlations between echogenicity and the degradation process. Echogenicity is determined by the difference in acoustic impedance between two mediums, which is proportional to density and acoustic velocity. The acoustic velocity is proportional to the square root of the stiffness (bulk and shear moduli). Many factors impact the stiffness of PLA, including molecular weight, polydispersity, crystallinity, orientation of crystalline microstructure, and other environmental conditions [37]. As a result, one would expect to change the impedance of PLA as it degrades and molecular weight to have a generalized relationship to this decline.

Qualitatively, the correlation was however not perfectly linear. For instance, at 1-month the combination hyper + upper tended (without statistical significance; Table 6, supplemental material), in average, to be lower than at 3-months whereas the molecular weight had a continuous decrease in the same period. From the ultrasonic point of view, the significantly higher neointimal hyperplasia (Fig. 2) at 1-month might have affected the ultrasound penetration and therefore the echogenicity interpretation. Additionally, the scaffold-vessel hyper + uppechogenicity at 30-months was numerically comparable to that at 18-month. However, the degradation process may be influenced by individual biological factors and it has to be emphasized that these assessments were not serial. However, we showed a consistent individual positive correlation between the molecular weight and echogenicity (Figs. 4 and 5).

Limitations

Arteries used for molecular weight assessment could not be evaluated histologically. Therefore, changes in the observed echogenicity (both lumen-scaffold and scaffold-vessel) could not be related to the histologic changes over time [19, 38]. As this study has been performed in a non-atherosclerotic model, it should be acknowledged that the rate of degradation has not been confirmed in atherosclerotic coronary arteries. However, as the degradation of PLLA is a hydrolytically driven and not enzymatically driven process, it is expected that the rates would be largely equivalent.

We could not test the reproducibility of the echogenicity IVUS findings in the two different consoles. However as we worked at the same ultrasound frequency (40 MHz) and the tissue classifications were normalized by the individual adventitia grey scale intensity we could show a robust correlation between scaffold degradation and high echogenic parameters. It has been shown that the comparison with the adventitia allows for normalization with respect to transducer variability, gain settings and across populations [21].

The changes in vessel, lumen, scaffold and neointima volumes over time are in line with the serial IVUS findings in the pre-clinical model and clinical setting showing progressive increase in vessel, lumen area and scaffold area [16, 18, 39, 40]. However, in the porcine model the somatic growth can influence our findings [39]. As we do not have the IVUS at baseline we could not normalize these geometrical changes for the increase in the reference vessel size. Nevertheless, this information has been described in the literature and are beyond the main scope of the current manuscript.

Conclusion

IVUS high intensity grey level quantification is correlated to Absorb scaffold residual molecular weight assessment. Echogenicity is a reproducible technique which could be considered as a surrogate assessment of polylactide molecular weight decrease as assessed by chromatography and allows for monitoring of the degradation of semi-crystalline polymeric scaffolds.
Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge Dave Pinson and Katherine Fu (Abbott Vascular) for their technical contributions in GPC analysis. The authors also acknowledge Michael Frie and the staff of American Preclinical Services (Minneapolis, MN) for their care and attention in successful completion of the in life phase of this study. The present study was sponsored by Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, California.

Conflict of interest Alexander Sheehy, Marika Kamberi, Richard Rapoza and Laura Perkins are full-time employee of Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, California, and at the time of this work, Jennifer Lane also was a full-time employee of Abbott Vascular. The others authors have no conflict of interest and did not receive grants or financial support from industry or from any other source to prepare this manuscript.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References
1. Iqbal J, Onuma Y, Ormiston J, Abizaid A, Waksman R, Serruys P (2013) Bioresorbable scaffolds: rationale, current status, challenges, and future. Eur Heart J
2. Wykrzykowska JJ, Onuma Y, Serruys PW (2009) Vascular restoraton therapy: the fourth revolution in interventional cardiology and the ultimate “rosy” prophecy. EuroIntervention 5 Suppl F:F7–F8
3. Garcia-Garcia HM, Serruys PW, Campos CM, Muramatsu T, Nakatani S, Zhang YJ, Onuma Y, Stone GW (2014) Assessing Biodegradable Coronary Devices: Methods and Parameters. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 7:1130–1148
4. Campos CM, Muramatsu T, Iqbal J, Zhang YJ, Onuma Y, Garcia-Garcia HM, Haude M, Lemos PA, Warnack B, Serruys PW (2013) Bioresorbable drug-eluting magnesium-alloy scaffold for treatment of coronary artery disease. Int J Mol Sci 14:24492–24500
5. Campos CM, Lemos PA (2014) Biodegradable vascular scaffolds: novel devices, novel interpretations, and novel interventions strategies. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 84:46–47
6. Garcia-Garcia HM, Gonzalez N, Pawar R, Kukreja N, Dudek D, Thuesen L, Ormiston JA, Regar E, Serruys PW (2009) Assessment of the absorption process following bioabsorbable everolimus-eluting stent implantation: temporal changes in strain values and tissue composition using intravascular ultrasound radiofrequency data analysis. A substudy of the ABSORB clinical trial. EuroIntervention 4:443–448
7. Bruining N, de Winter S, Roelandt JR, Regar E, Heller I, van Domburg RT, Hamers R, Onuma Y, Dudek D, Webster MW, Thuesen L, Ormiston JA, Cheong WF, Miquel-Hebert K, Veldhof S, Serruys PW (2010) Monitoring in vivo absorption of a drug-eluting bioabsorbable stent with intravascular ultrasound-derived parameters: a feasibility study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 3:449–456
8. Waksman R, Prati F, Bruining N, Haude M, Bose D, Kitabata H, Erne P, Verheye S, Degen H, Veermensch P, Di Vito L, Koolen J, Erbel R (2013) Serial observation of drug-eluting absorbable metal scaffold: multi-imaging modality assessment. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 6:644–653
9. Bruining N, Verheye S, Knaapen M, Somers P, Roelandt JR, Regar E, Heller I, de Winter S, Ligthart J, Van Langenhove G, de Feijter PJ, Serruys PW, Hamers R (2007) Three-dimensional and quantitative analysis of atherosclerotic plaque composition by automated differential echogenicity. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 70:968–978
10. Puri R, Libby P, Nissen SE, Wolski K, Ballantyne CM, Barter PJ, Chapman MJ, Erbel R, Raichlen JS, Uno K, Kataoka Y, Tuzcu EM, Nichols SJ (2014) Long-term effects of maximally intensive statin therapy on changes in coronary atheroma composition: insights from SATURN. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 15:380–388
11. Nozue T, Fukui K, Yamamoto S, Kunimisha T, Umezawa S, Onishi Y, Tohyaama S, Hibi K, Sozu T, Terashima M, Michishita I (2013) Time course of statin-induced changes in coronary atherosclerosis using intravascular ultrasound with virtual histology. Coron Artery Dis 24:481–486
12. Zhao Z, Witzenbichler B, Mintz GS, Jaster M, Choi SY, Wu X, He Y, Margolis MP, Dressler O, Cristea E, Parise H, Mehran R, Stone GW, Maehara A (2013) Dynamic nature of nonculprit coronary artery lesion morphology in STEM: a serial IVUS analysis from the HORIZONS-AMI trial. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 6:86–95
13. Hattori K, Ozaki Y, Ismail TF, Okumura M, Naruse H, Kan S, Ishikawa M, Kawai T, Ohta M, Kawai H, Hashimoto T, Takagi Y, Ishii J, Serruys PW, Narula J (2012) Impact of statin therapy on plaque characteristics as assessed by serial OCT, grayscale and integrated backscatter-IVUS. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 5:169–177
14. Lee IS, Bourantas CV, Muramatsu T, Gogas BD, Heo HJ, Diletti R, Farooq V, Zhang Y, Onuma Y, Serruys PW, Garcia-Garcia HM (2013) Assessment of plaque evolution in coronary bifurcations located beyond everolimus eluting scaffolds: serial intravascular ultrasound virtual histology study. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 11:25
15. Serruys PW, Onuma Y, Dudek D, Smits PC, Koolen J, Chevalier B, de Bruyne B, Thuesen L, McClean D, van Geuns RJ, Windecker S, Whitbourn R, Meredith I, Dorange C, Veldhof S, Hebert KM, Sudhir K, Garcia-Garcia HM, Ormiston JA (2011) Evaluation of the second generation of a bioresorbable everolimus-eluting vascular scaffold for the treatment of de novo coronary artery stenosis: 12-month clinical and imaging outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol 58:1578–1588
16. Serruys PW, Onuma Y, Garcia-Garcia HM, Muramatsu T, van Geuns RJ, de Bruyne B, Dudek D, Thuesen L, Smits PC, Chevalier B, McClean D, Koolen J, Windecker S, Whitbourn R, Meredith I, Dorange C, Veldhof S, Hebert KM, Rapoza R, Ormiston JA (2013) Dynamics of vessel wall changes following the implantation of the Absorb everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold: a multi-imaging modality study at 6, 12, 24 and 36 months. EuroIntervention
17. Serruys PW, Onuma Y, Ormiston JA, de Bruyne B, Regar E, Dudek D, Thuesen L, Smits PC, Chevalier B, McClean D, Kooken J, Windecker S, Whitbourn R, Meredith I, Dorange C, Veldhof S, Hebert KM, Rapoza R, Ormiston JA (2013) Assessment of plaque evolution in coronary optical coherence tomography and histology at 1 month and 2, 3, and 4 years after implantation of everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffolds in a porcine coronary artery
model: an attempt to decipher the human optical coherence tomography images in the ABSORB trial. Circulation 122:2288–2300
20. Fleiss JL (ed) (1986) The design and analysis of clinical experiments. Wiley, New York
21. Rasheed Q, Dhawale PJ, Anderson J, Hodgson JM (1995) Intracoronary ultrasound-defined plaque composition: computer-aided plaque characterization and correlation with histologic samples obtained during directional coronary atherectomy. Am Heart J 129:631–637
22. Schartl M, Bocksch W, Koschyk DH, Voelker W, Karsch KR, Kreuzer J, Haussmann D, Beckmann S, Gross M (2001) Use of intravascular ultrasound to compare effects of different strategies of lipid-lowering therapy on plaque volume and composition in patients with coronary artery disease. Circulation 104:387–392
23. Sathyanarayana S, Carlieri S, Li W, Thomas L (2009) Characterisation of atherosclerotic plaque by spectral similarity of radiofrequency intravascular ultrasound signals. EuroIntervention 5:133–139
24. Nair A, Kuban BD, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, Nissen SE, Vince DG (2007) Automated coronary plaque characterisation with intravascular ultrasound backscatter: ex vivo validation. EuroIntervention 3:113–120
25. Okubo M, Kawasaki M, Ishihara Y, Takeyama U, Kubota T, Yamaki T, Ojio S, Nishigaki K, Takemura U, Kubota T, Minatoguchi S, Fujiwara H (2008) Development of integrated backscatter intravascular ultrasound for tissue characterization of coronary plaques. Ultrasound Med Biol 34:655–663
26. Otsu N (1979) A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics 9:62–66
27. Santos Filho ESY, Tanaka A, Yoshizawa M (2008) Detection and quantification of calcifications in intravascular ultrasound images by automatic thresholding. Ultrasound Med Biol 34:160–165
28. Koning G, Dijkstra J, von Birgelen C, Tuinenburg JC, Brunette J, Tardif JC (2002) Advanced contour detection for three-dimensional intracoronary ultrasound: a validation–in vitro and in vivo. Int J Cardiovasc Imag 18:235–248
29. Mintz GS, Garcia-Garcia HM, Nicholls SJ, Weissman NJ, Bruning N, Crowe T, Tardif JC, Serruys PW (2011) Clinical expert consensus document on standards for acquisition, measurement and reporting of intravascular ultrasound regression/progression studies. EuroIntervention 6(1123–30):9
30. Wu HC, Shen FW, Hong X, Chang WV, Winet H (2003) Monitoring the degradation process of biopolymers by ultrasonic longitudinal wave pulse-echo technique. Biomaterials 24:3871–3876
31. Parker NG, Mather ML, Morgan SP, Povey MJ (2010) Longitudinal acoustic properties of poly(lactic acid) and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid). Biomed Mater 5:055004
32. Chen S, Hong Y, Scherer SJ, Schartl M (2001) Lack of ultraviolet-light inducibility of the medakafish (Oryzias latipes) tumor suppressor gene p53. Gene 264:197–203
33. Sarno G, Onuma Y, Garcia Garcia HM, Garg S, Regar E, Thuesen L, Dudek D, Veldhof S, Dorange C, Ornston JA, Serruys PW (2010) IVUS radiofrequency analysis in the evaluation of the polymeric struts of the bioabsorbable everolimus-eluting device during the bioabsorption process. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 75:914–918
34. Brugaletta S, Gomez-Lara J, Serruys PW, Farooq V, van Geuns RJ, Thuesen L, Dudek D, Koolen J, Chevalier B, McClean D, Windecker S, Smits PC, de Bruyne B, Whitbourn R, Meredith I, van Domburg RT, Sihan K, de Winter S, Veldhof S, Miquel-Hbert K, Rapoza R, Garcia-Garcia HM, Ornston JA, Bruining N (2011) Serial in vivo intravascular ultrasound-based echogenicity changes of everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold during the first 12 months after implantation insights from the ABSORB B trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 4:1281–1289
35. Brugaletta S, Gomez-Lara J, Garcia-Garcia HM, Heo JH, Farooq V, van Geuns RJ, Chevalier B, Windecker S, McClean D, Thuesen L, Whitbourn R, Meredith I, Dorange C, Veldhof S, Rapoza R, Ornston JA, Serruys PW (2012) Analysis of 1 year virtual histology changes in coronary plaque located behind the struts of the everolimus eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 28:1307–1314
36. Henton DE, Gruber P, Lunt J, Randall J (2005) Polylactic acid technology. CRC Press, Boca Raton
37. Vonpraahl M, Finn AV, Nakano M, Virmani R (2009) The bioabsorption process: tissue and cellular mechanisms and outcomes. EuroIntervention 5(Suppl F):F28–F35
38. Strandberg E, Zeltinger J, Schulz DG, Kaluza GL (2012) Late positive remodeling and late lumen gain contribute to vascular restoration by a non-drug eluting bioresorbable scaffold: a four-year intravascular ultrasound study in normal porcine coronary arteries. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 5:39–46
39. Durand E, Sharkawi T, Leclerc G, Raveleau M, van der Leest M, Vert M, Lafont A (2013) Head-to-head comparison of a drug-free early programmed dismantling polylactic acid bioresorbable scaffold and a metallic stent in the porcine coronary artery: six-month angiography and optical coherence tomographic follow-up study. Circ Cardiovasc Interv