Localization-induced coherent backscattering effect in wave dynamics
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We investigate the statistics of single-mode delay times of waves reflected from a disordered waveguide in the presence of wave localization. The distribution of delay times is qualitatively different from the distribution in the diffusive regime, and sensitive to coherent backscattering: The probability to find small delay times is enhanced by a factor close to $\sqrt{2}$ for reflection angles near the angle of incidence. This dynamic effect of coherent backscattering disappears in the diffusive regime.

I. INTRODUCTION

The two most prominent interference effects arising from multiple scattering are coherent backscattering and wave localization. Both effects are related to the static intensity of a wave reflected or transmitted by a medium with randomly located scatterers. Coherent backscattering is the enhancement of the reflected intensity in a narrow cone around the angle of incidence, and is a result of the systematic constructive interference in the presence of time-reversal symmetry. Localization arises from systematic destructive interference and suppresses the transmitted intensity.

This paper presents a detailed theory of a recently discovered interplay between coherent backscattering and localization in a dynamic scattering property, the single-mode delay time of a wave reflected by a disordered waveguide. The single-mode delay time is the derivative $\phi' = d\phi/d\omega$ of the phase $\phi$ of the wave amplitude with respect to the frequency $\omega$. It is linearly related to the Wigner-Smith delay times of scattering theory and is the key observable of recent experiments on multiple scattering of microwaves and light waves. Van Tiggelen, Sebbah, Stoytchev, and Genack have developed a statistical theory for the distribution of $\phi'$ in a waveguide geometry (where angles of incidence are discretized as modes). Although the theory was worked out mainly for the case of transmission, the implications for reflection are that the distribution $P(\phi')$ does not depend on whether the detected mode $n$ is the same as the incident mode $m$ or not. Hence it appears that no coherent backscattering effect exists for $P(\phi')$.

What we will demonstrate here is that this is true only if wave localization may be disregarded. The previous studies dealt with the diffusive regime of waveguide lengths $L$ below the localization length $\xi$. (The localization length in a waveguide geometry is $\xi \approx Nl$, with $N$ the number of propagating modes and $l$ the mean free path.) Here we consider the localized regime $L > \xi$ (assuming that also the absorption length $\xi_a > \xi$). The distribution of reflected intensity is insensitive to the presence or absence of localization, being given in both regimes by Rayleigh’s law. In contrast, we find that the delay-time distribution changes markedly as one enters the localized regime, decaying more slowly for large $|\phi'|$. Moreover, a coherent backscattering effect appears: For $L > \xi$ the peak of $P(\phi')$ is higher for $n = m$ than for $n \neq m$ by a factor which is close to $\sqrt{2}$, the precise factor being $\sqrt{2} \times 1371 = 1.35$.

We also consider what happens if time-reversal symmetry is broken, by some magneto-optical effect. The coherent backscattering effect disappears. However, even for $n \neq m$, the delay-time distribution for preserved time-reversal symmetry is different than for broken time-reversal symmetry. This difference is again only present for $L > \xi$ and vanishes in the diffusive regime.

The plan of this paper is as follows: In Section II we specify the notion of the single-mode delay time $\phi'$, relate it to the Wigner-Smith delay times and review the results for the diffusive regime, extending them to include ballistic corrections. This Section also contains the random-matrix formulation for the localized regime, that provides the basis for our calculations, and includes a brief discussion of the conventional coherent backscattering effect in the static intensity $I$. Section III presents the calculation of the joint distribution of $\phi'$ and $I$. We compare our analytical theory with numerical simulations and give a qualitative argument for the dynamic coherent backscattering effect. The role of absorption is discussed, as well as the effect of broken time-reversal symmetry. Details of the calculation are delegated to the appendices.

II. DELAY TIMES

A. Single-mode delay times

We consider a disordered medium (mean free path $l$) in a waveguide geometry (length $L$), as depicted in Fig. 1. There are $N > 1$ propagating modes at frequency $\omega$, given by $N = \pi A/\lambda^2$ for a waveguide with an opening of area $A$. The wave velocity is $c$, and we consider a scalar...
We study the frequency dependence of the phase $\phi$ of the reflected wave amplitude in a single speckle, corresponding to a single waveguide mode. The derivative $\phi' = d\phi/d\omega$ is the single-mode delay time.

Wave (disregarding polarization) for simplicity. In the numerical simulations we will work with a two-dimensional waveguide of width $W$, where $N = 2W/\lambda$.

We study the dependence of the reflected wave amplitude

$$r_{nm} = \sqrt{I_0} e^{i\phi}$$

on the frequency $\omega$. The indices $n$ and $m$ specify the detected and incident mode, respectively. (We assume single-mode excitation and detection.) Here $I = |r_{nm}|^2$ is the intensity of the reflected wave in the detected mode for unit incident intensity, and characterizes the static properties of the reflected wave. Dynamic information is contained in the phase derivative

$$\phi' = d\phi/d\omega,$$

which has the dimension of a time and is called the single-mode delay time. The intensity $I$ and the delay time $\phi'$ can be recovered from the product of reflection matrix elements

$$\rho = r_{nm}(\omega + \frac{i}{2} \delta\omega) r^*_{nm}(\omega - \frac{i}{2} \delta\omega),$$

evaluated at two nearby frequencies $\omega \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta\omega$. To leading order in the frequency difference $\delta\omega$ one has

$$\rho = I(1 + i\delta\omega \phi') \Rightarrow I = \lim_{\delta\omega \to 0} \text{Re} \rho, \quad \phi' = \lim_{\delta\omega \to 0} \frac{\text{Im} \rho}{\delta\omega}.$$

We seek the joint distribution function $P(I, \phi')$ in an ensemble of different realizations of disorder. We distinguish between the diffusive regime where $L$ is small compared to the localization length $\xi \approx Nl$, and the localized regime where $L \gtrsim \xi$. Localization also requires that the absorption length $\xi_a \gtrsim \xi$. We will contrast the case of excitation and detection in two distinct modes $n \neq m$ with the equal-mode case $n = m$. Although we mainly focus on the optically more relevant case of preserved time-reversal symmetry, we will also discuss the case of broken time-reversal symmetry for comparison. These two cases are indicated by the index $\beta = 1$, 2, respectively.

B. Relation to Wigner-Smith delay times

In the localized regime ($\xi \ll L, \xi_a$) we can relate the single-mode delay time $\phi'$ to the Wigner-Smith delay times $\tau_i$, with $i = 1, \ldots, N$. The $\tau_i$’s are defined for a unitary reflection matrix $r$ (composed of the elements $r_{nm}$), hence they require the absence of transmission and of absorption. One then has

$$-i r \frac{dr}{d\omega} = U^\dagger \text{diag} (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N) U, \quad (5a)$$

$$-i r^T \frac{dr^T}{d\omega} = V^\dagger \text{diag} (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N) V, \quad (5b)$$

with $U$ and $V$ unitary matrices of eigenvectors. In the presence of time-reversal symmetry $r$ is a symmetric matrix, hence $V = U$ in this case.

For small $\delta\omega$ we can expand

$$r(\omega \pm \frac{i}{2} \delta\omega) = V^T U \pm i \delta\omega V^T \text{diag} (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N) U. \quad (6)$$

Inserting it into Eq. (3) and comparison with Eq. (4) yields the relations

$$\phi' = \text{Re} \frac{A_i}{A_0}, \quad I = |A_0|^2, \quad A_k = \sum_{\nu \nu'} \tau_{\nu \nu'} u_{\nu} v_{\nu'}. \quad (7)$$

We have abbreviated $u_i = U_{im}, v_i = V_{in}$. In the special case $n = m$ the coefficients $u_i$ and $v_i$ are identical in the presence of time-reversal symmetry.

The distribution of the Wigner-Smith delay times in the localized regime was determined recently [14]. In terms of the rates $\mu_i = 1/\tau_i$ it has the form of the Laguerre ensemble of random-matrix theory,

$$P(\{\mu_i\}) \propto \prod_{i < j} |\mu_i - \mu_j|^\beta \prod_k \Theta(\mu_k) e^{-\gamma(\beta N + 2 - \beta)\mu_k}, \quad (8)$$

where the step function $\Theta(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$ and 0 for $x < 0$. The parameter $\gamma$ is defined by

$$\gamma = \alpha l/c, \quad (9)$$

with the coefficient $\alpha = \pi^2/4$ or $8/3$ for two- or three-dimensional scattering, respectively. Eq. (8) extends the $N = 1$ result of Refs. [13-17] to any $N$.

The matrices $U$ and $V$ in Eq. (8) are uniformly distributed in the unitary group. They are independent for $\beta = 2$, while $U = V$ for $\beta = 1$. In the large-$N$ limit the matrix elements become independent Gaussian random numbers with vanishing mean and variance $1/N$. Hence

$$\langle u_i \rangle = \langle v_i \rangle = 0, \quad \langle |u_i|^2 \rangle = \langle |v_i|^2 \rangle = N^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

with $u_i = v_i$ for $n = m$ and $\beta = 1$. Corrections to this Gaussian approximation are of order $1/N$. 

FIG. 1. Sketch of a waveguide containing a randomly scattering medium and illuminated by a monochromatic plane wave. We study the frequency dependence of the phase $\phi$ of the reflected wave amplitude in a single speckle, corresponding to a single waveguide mode.
C. Diffusion theory

The joint probability distribution \( P(I, \phi') \) in the diffusive regime \( l \ll L \ll \xi \) has been derived in Refs. [11,13],

\[
P_{\text{diff}}(I, \phi') = \Theta(I)(I/\pi I^3)^{1/2} e^{-I/I} \times (Q \bar{\phi}'^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{I} \frac{(\phi' - \bar{\phi}')^2}{Q \bar{\phi}'^2} \right),
\]

with constants \( \bar{I}, \bar{\phi}', \) and \( Q \). It has the same form for transmission and reflection, the only difference being the dependence of the constants on the system parameters. Here we focus on the case of reflection, because we are concerned with coherent backscattering.

From the joint distribution function (11) one obtains for the intensity the Rayleigh distribution

\[
P_{\text{diff}}(I) = \frac{1}{\bar{I}} \exp(-I/\bar{I}).
\]

Hence \( \bar{I} \) is the mean detected intensity per mode. It is given by [18]

\[
\bar{I} = \frac{1}{N}(1 + \delta_{31} \delta_{nm}),
\]

assuming unit incident intensity. The factor-of-two enhancement in the case \( n = m \) is the static coherent backscattering effect mentioned in the introduction, which exists only in the presence of time-reversal symmetry (\( \beta = 1 \)). Eqs. (12) and (13) remain valid in the localized regime, since they are determined by scattering on the scale of the mean free path. Hence \( L \gg l \) is sufficient for static coherent backscattering, and it does not matter whether \( L \) is small or large compared to \( \xi \).

By integrating over \( I \) in Eq. (12) one arrives at the distribution of single-mode delay times [11,13].

\[
P_{\text{diff}}(\phi') = \frac{Q}{2\bar{\phi}'}[Q + (\phi'/\bar{\phi}' - 1)^2]^{-3/2}.
\]

Hence \( \bar{\phi}' \) is the mean delay time while \( \sqrt{Q} \) sets the relative width of the distribution. These constants are determined by the correlator [11,13]

\[
C_{12} = \frac{\langle r_{nm}(\omega + \delta \omega) r_{nm}^*(\omega) \rangle}{\langle \rho_{nm}(\omega) \rho_{nm}(\omega) \rangle} = 1 + i\bar{\phi}' \delta \omega - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\phi}'^2 (Q + 1)(\delta \omega)^2.
\]

Diffusion theory gives

\[
\bar{\phi}' = 2\gamma s/3, \quad Q = 2s/5.
\]

Here \( \gamma \) is given by Eq. (3). We have defined

\[
s = \alpha' L/l,
\]

where the numerical coefficient \( \alpha' = 2/\pi, \) 3/4 for two-, three-dimensional scattering. (The corresponding result for \( Q \) given in Ref. [13] is incorrect.)

Diffusion theory predicts that the distribution of delay times (14) as well as the values of the constants \( \bar{I} \) and \( Q \) do not depend on the choice \( n = m \) or \( n \neq m \) (and also not on whether time-reversal symmetry is preserved or not). Hence there is no dynamic effect of coherent backscattering in the diffusive regime.

D. Ballistic corrections

The expressions for the constants \( \bar{I}, \bar{\phi}', \) and \( Q \) given above are valid up to corrections of order \( l/L \). Here we give more accurate formulas that account for these ballistic corrections. (We need these to compare with numerical simulations.) We determine the ballistic corrections for \( Q \) and \( \bar{\phi}' \) by relating the dynamic problem to a static problem with absorption. (This relationship only works for the mean. It cannot be used to obtain the distribution [19].) The mean total reflectivity

\[
\bar{a} = 1 + x - \sqrt{2x + x^2} \cosh \left[ s \sqrt{2x + x^2} + \ln (1 + x) \right]
\]

for absorption \( \alpha' x \) per mean free path has been evaluated in Ref. [20]. [Here \( \alpha' \) is the same constant as in the definition of \( s \), Eq. (17).] We identify \( C_{12} = \bar{a}(x)/\bar{a}(0) \) by analytic continuation to an imaginary absorption rate \( x = -i\delta \omega \gamma \). Expanding in \( x \) to second order we find

\[
\bar{\phi}' = \gamma s(3 + 2s)/3(1 + s), \quad Q = \frac{8s^3 + 28s^2 + 30s + 15}{5(2s + 3)^2}.
\]

E. Numerical simulation

The validity of diffusion theory was tested in Refs. [11,13] by comparison with experiments in transmission. In Fig. 2 we show an alternative test in reflection, by comparison with a numerical simulation of scattering of a scalar wave by a two-dimensional random medium. (We assume time-reversal symmetry.) The reflection matrices \( r(\omega \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega) \) are computed by applying the method of recursive Green functions [21] to the Helmholtz equation on a square lattice (lattice constant \( a \)). The width \( W = 100 a \) and the frequency \( \omega = 1.4c/a \) are chosen such that there are \( N = 50 \) propagating modes. The mean free path \( l \approx 14.0a \) is found from the formula

\[
\text{tr} \rho = Ns(1 + s)^{-1}
\]

for the reflection probability. The corresponding localization length \( \xi = NL/s = 1100a \). The parameter \( \gamma = 46.3a/c \) is found from Eq. (19) by equating \( \langle \phi' \rangle = \bar{\phi}' \). (This value of \( \gamma \) is somewhat larger than the value \( \gamma = \pi^2/4c = 34.5a/c \) expected for two-dimensional scattering, as a consequence of the anisotropic dispersion relation on a square lattice.) We will use the same set of parameters in the interpretation of the results in the localized regime, later in this paper.
The Hermitian matrix $u$ of the vectors the prediction (14) of diffusion theory (solid curve). There is no points) with the diffusive regime. The result of numerical simulation (data difference between the case regime the distribution of delay times at the end. The characteristic function (w) result is a determinant, carried out, because it is a Gaussian integration. The of excitation and detection in two distinct modes. We as-

Our numerical results confirm that in the diffusive regime the distribution of delay times $\phi'$ does not distinguish between excitation and detection in different modes ($n \neq m$, full circles) and identical modes ($n = m$, open circles).

III. DYNAMIC COHERENT BACKSCATTERING EFFECT

A. Distinct-mode excitation and detection

We now calculate the joint probability distribution function $P(I, \phi')$ of intensity $I$ and single-mode delay time $\phi'$ in the localized regime, for the typical case $n \neq m$ of excitation and detection in two distinct modes. We assume preserved time-reversal symmetry ($\beta = 1$), leaving the case of broken time-reversal symmetry for the end of this section.

It is convenient to work momentarily with the weighted delay time $W = \phi'I$ and to recover $P(I, \phi')$ from $P(I, W)$ at the end. The characteristic function

$$\chi(p, q) = \langle e^{-ip\tau - iqW} \rangle$$

is the Fourier transform of $P(I, W)$. The average $\langle \cdots \rangle$ is over the vectors $u$ and $v$ and over the set of eigenvalues $\{\tau_i\}$. The average over one of the vectors, say $v$, is easily carried out, because it is a Gaussian integration. The result is a determinant,

$$\chi(p, q) = \langle \det(1 + iH/N)^{-1} \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (21a)

$$H = pu^T + \frac{i}{2} q(u^T u) + u^T u. \hspace{1cm} (21b)$$

The Hermitian matrix $H$ is a sum of dyadic products of the vectors $u$ and $\bar{u}_i = u_i\tau_i$, and hence has only two non-vanishing eigenvalues $\lambda_+$ and $\lambda_-$. Some straightforward linear algebra gives

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( qB_1 + p \pm \sqrt{2pqB_1 + q^2B_2 + p^2} \right),$$

where we have defined the spectral moments

$$B_k = \sum_i |u_i|^2 \tau^k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

The resulting determinant is

$$\det(1 + H/N)^{-1} = (1 + \lambda_+/N)^{-1}(1 + \lambda_-/N)^{-1},$$

hence

$$\chi(p, q) = \left( \left[ 1 + \frac{i}{N} + \frac{q}{N} B_1 + \frac{q^2}{4N^2} (B_2 - B_1^2) \right]^{-1} \right).$$

(25)

An inverse Fourier transform, followed by a change of variables from $I, W$ to $I, \phi'$, gives

$$P(I, \phi') = \Theta(I)(N^3I/\pi)^{1/2}e^{-NI}$$

$$\times \left\langle (B_2 - B_1^2)^{-1} \exp \left( -NI \left( \phi' - B_1^2 \right) \right) \right\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

The average is over the spectral moments $B_1$ and $B_2$, which depend on the $u_i$’s and $\tau_i$’s via Eq. (23).

The calculation of the joint distribution $P(B_1, B_2)$ is presented in Appendix A. The result is

$$P(B_1, B_2) = \Theta(B_1)\Theta(B_2) \exp \left( -\frac{NB_1^2}{B_2} \right)$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{B_1^2 \gamma N^3 B_2}{B_2^2} (B_2 + \gamma N^2 B_1) \exp \left( \frac{2\gamma N N^2}{B_1} \right) \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

$$\left. - \frac{\gamma^2 N^5}{4B_1} (2B_2^2 - 4B_1^2 B_2 N + B_1^4 N^2) \text{Ei} \left( -\frac{2\gamma N}{B_1} \right) \right],$$

where $\text{Ei}(x)$ is the exponential-integral function. The distribution $P(I, \phi')$ follows from Eq. (26) by integrating over $B_1$ and $B_2$ with weight given by Eq. (27).

Irrespective of the distribution of $B_1$ and $B_2$, we recover from Eq. (26) the Rayleigh law (12) for the intensity $I$. The distribution $P(\phi') = \int_0^\infty d\phi' P(I, \phi')$ of the single-mode delay time takes the form

$$P(\phi') = \int_0^\infty d\phi' \int_0^\infty dB_2 P(B_1, B_2) (B_2 - B_1^2)^{-1/2},$$

(28)

In Fig. 3, this distribution is compared with the result of a numerical simulation of a random medium as in Section II.E., but now in the localized regime. The same value for $\gamma$ was used as in Fig. 4, making this comparison a parameter-free test of the theory. (Note that $\gamma$ alone determines the complete distribution function in the localized regime, in contrast to the diffusive case where two parameters are required.) The numerical data agrees very well with the analytical prediction.
FIG. 3. Distribution of the single-mode delay time $\phi'$ in the localized regime. The results of numerical simulations with $N = 50$ propagating modes (open circles for $n = m$, full circles for $n \neq m$) are compared to the analytical predictions. The curve for different incident and detected modes $n \neq m$ is obtained from Eqs. (27) and (28). The curve for $n = m$ is calculated from Eqs. (29) and (30). The same value for $\gamma$ is used as in the diffusive regime, Fig. 2.

B. Equal-mode excitation and detection

We now turn to the case $n = m$ of equal-mode excitation and detection, still assuming that time-reversal symmetry is preserved. Since $u_i = v_i$, we now have

$$\phi' = \text{Re} \frac{C_1}{C_0}, \quad I = |C_0|^2, \quad C_k = \sum_i \tau_i^k u_i^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (29)

The joint distribution function $P(C_0, C_1)$ of these complex numbers can be calculated in the same way as $P(B_1, B_2)$. In Appendix C we obtain

$$P(C_0, C_1) \propto \exp(-N|C_0|^2/2) \int_0^\infty ds s^2 e^{-s}$$
$$\times \left( 1 + \frac{|C_1|^2 s^2}{\gamma^2 N^2} - \frac{2s}{\gamma N} \text{Re} C_0 C_1^* \right)^{-5/2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (30)

The corresponding distribution function $P(\phi')$ is plotted also in Fig. 3 and compared with the results of the numerical simulation. Good agreement is obtained, without any free parameter.

C. Comparison of both situations

Comparing the two curves in Fig. 3 we find a striking difference between distinct-mode and equal-mode excitation and detection: The distribution for $n = m$ displays an enhanced probability of small delay times. In the vicinity of the peak, both distributions become very similar when the delay times for $n \neq m$ are divided by a scale factor of about $\sqrt{2}$. In limit $N \to \infty$ (see following sub-section), the maximal value $P(\phi'_{\text{peak}}) = \sqrt{2/\pi N^3 \gamma^2}$ for $n = m$ is larger than the maximum of $P(\phi')$ for $n \neq m$ by a factor

$$\frac{P_{n=m}(\phi'_{\text{peak}})}{P_{n \neq m}(\phi'_{\text{peak}})} = \sqrt{2} \times \frac{4096}{1371\pi} = 1.35.$$ \hspace{1cm} (31)

Correspondingly, the probability to find very large delay times is reduced for $n = m$. This is reflected by the asymptotic behavior

$$P(\phi') \sim \frac{\gamma N^{3/2}}{\phi'^2} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (2\pi)^{-1/2} & \text{for } n = m, \\ \sqrt{\pi}/4 & \text{for } n \neq m. \end{array} \right.$$ \hspace{1cm} (32)

The enhanced probability of small delay times for $n = m$ is the dynamic coherent backscattering effect mentioned in the introduction. The effect requires localization and is not observed in the diffusive regime.

D. Limit $N \to \infty$

The results presented so far assume $N \gg 1$, but retain finite-$N$ corrections of order $N^{-1/2}$. (Only terms of order $1/N$ and higher are neglected.) It turns out that the asymmetry of $P(\phi')$ for positive and negative values of $\phi'$ is an effect of order $N^{-1/2}$. The asymmetry is hence captured faithfully by our calculation. We now consider how the asymmetry eventually disappears in the limit $N \to \infty$.

For distinct modes $n \neq m$, the spectral moments scale as $B_1 \sim \gamma N$ and $B_2 \sim \gamma^2 N^3$. With $\phi' \sim \gamma N^{3/2}$, one finds that $B_1$ can be omitted to order $N^{-1/2}$ in Eq. (28). One obtains the symmetric distribution...
plotted in Fig. 4.

For identical modes \( n = m \), observe that the quantities \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) become mutually independent in the large-\( N \) limit: The cross-term \( (\gamma N)^{-1} \text{Re} C_0 C_1^* \) in Eq. (31) is of relative order \( N^{-1/2} \) because \( C_0 \sim N^{-1/2} \) and \( C_1 \sim \gamma N \). Hence to order \( N^{-1/2} \) the distribution factorizes, \( P(C_0, C_1) = P(C_0)P(C_1) \). The distribution of \( C_0 \) is a Gaussian,

\[
P(C_0) = \frac{N}{2\pi} \exp(-N|C_0|^2/2),
\]

as a consequence of the central-limit theorem, and

\[
P(C_1) \propto \int_0^\infty ds \, s^2 e^{-s} \left( 1 + \frac{|C_1|^2 s^2}{\gamma^2 N^2} \right)^{-5/2}.
\]

The resulting distribution of \( \phi' = \text{Re} (C_1/C_0) \) is also plotted in Fig. 4.

The dynamic coherent backscattering effect persists in the limit \( N \to \infty \), it is therefore not due to finite-\( N \) corrections. The peak heights differ by the factor given in Eq. (31).

E. Interpretation in terms of large fluctuations

In order to explain the coherent backscattering enhancement of the peak of \( P(\phi') \) in more qualitative terms, we compare Eq. (29) for \( n = m \) with the corresponding relation (2) for \( n \neq m \).

The factorization of the joint distribution function \( P(C_0, C_1) \) discussed in the previous sub-section can be seen as a consequence of the high density of anomalously large Wigner-Smith delay times \( \tau_i \) in the Laguerre ensemble [3]. The distribution of the largest time \( \tau_{\text{max}} = \max_i \tau_i \) follows from the distribution of the smallest eigenvalue in the Laguerre ensemble, calculated by Edelman [24]. It is given by

\[
P(\tau_{\text{max}}) = \frac{\gamma N^2}{\tau_{\text{max}}} \exp(-\gamma N^2/\tau_{\text{max}}).
\]

As a consequence, the spectral moment \( C_1 \) is dominated by a small number of contributions \( \tau_i \) (often enough by a single one, say with index \( i = 1 \)), while \( C_0 \) can be safely approximated by the sum over all remaining indices \( i \) (say, \( i \neq 1 \)). The same argument applies also to the spectral moments \( A_n \) which determine the delay-time statistics for \( n \neq m \), hence the distribution function \( P(A_0, A_1) \) factorizes as well.

The quantities \( A_0 \) and \( C_0 \) have a Gaussian distribution for large \( N \), because of the central-limit theorem, with \( P(C_0) \) given by Eq. (34) and

\[
P(A_0) = \frac{N}{\pi} \exp(-N|A_0|^2).
\]

It becomes then clear that the main contribution to the enhancement (31) of the peak height, namely the factor of \( \sqrt{2} \), has the same origin as the factor-of-two enhancement of the mean intensity \( \bar{I} \). More precisely, the relation \( P(A_0 = x) = 2 P(C_0 = \sqrt{2} x) \) leads to a rescaling of \( P(I) \) for \( n = m \) by a factor of 1/2 and to a rescaling of \( P(\phi') \) by a factor of \( \sqrt{2} \). The remaining factor of \( 0.95 \) comes from the difference in the distributions \( P(A_1) \) and \( P(C_1) \). It turns out that the distribution

\[
P(A_1) = \int_0^\infty ds \frac{1}{4\pi \gamma N} \frac{s^2}{(4 + |A_1|/\gamma N)^2 s^2} \left( e^{-s^2(64 + 32s + 12s^2 + s^4)} - 3s^2 \text{Ei}(-s) \right)
\]

(derived in Appendix D) is very similar to \( P(C_1) \) given in Eq. (35), hence the remaining factor is close to unity.

The large \( \tau_i \)'s are related to the penetration of the wave deep into the localized regions and are eliminated in the diffusive regime \( L \lesssim \xi \). In the following sub-section we compare the localized and diffusive regimes in more detail.

F. Localized versus diffusive regime

Comparison of Eqs. (11) and (26) shows that the two joint distributions of \( I \) and \( \phi' \) would be identical if statistical fluctuations in the spectral moments \( B_1, B_2 \) could be ignored. The correspondences are

\[
B_1 \leftrightarrow \tilde{\phi}', \quad B_2 - B_1^2 \leftrightarrow Q\tilde{\phi}'^2.
\]

However, the distribution \( P(B_1, B_2) \) is very broad (see Fig. 3), so that fluctuations can not be ignored. The most probable values are

\[
B_1^{\text{typical}} \simeq \gamma N, \quad B_2^{\text{typical}} \simeq \gamma^2 N^3,
\]
but the mean values \( \langle B_1 \rangle \), \( \langle B_2 \rangle \) diverge—demonstrating the presence of large fluctuations. In the diffusive regime \( L \lesssim \xi \) the spectral moments \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) can be replaced by their ensemble averages, and the diffusion theory \([1,3]\) is recovered. (The same applies if the absorption length \( \xi_a \lesssim \xi \).)

The large fluctuations in \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) directly affect the statistical properties of the delay time \( \phi' \). We compare the distribution (25) in the localized regime (Fig. 2) with the result (14) of diffusion theory (Fig. 3). In the localized regime the value \( \phi'_{\text{peak}} \simeq B_1^{\text{typical}} \) at the center of the peak of \( P(\phi') \) is much smaller than the width of the peak \( \Delta \phi' \sim (B_2^{\text{typical}})^{1/2} \simeq \phi'_{\text{peak}} (\xi/l)^{1/2} \). This holds also in the diffusive regime, where \( \phi'_{\text{peak}} = \bar{\phi}' \) and \( \Delta \phi' \simeq \phi'_{\text{peak}} (L/l)^{1/2} \). However, the mean \( \langle \phi' \rangle = \langle B_1 \rangle \) diverges for \( P \), but is finite (equal to \( \bar{\phi}' \)) for \( P_{\text{diff}} \). For large \( B_2 \) one has asymptotically \( P(B_2) \sim \frac{1}{2} N^{-3/2} \sqrt{\pi} B_2^{-3/2} \). As a consequence, in the tails \( P(\phi') \) falls off only quadratically [see Eq. (22)], while in the diffusive regime \( P_{\text{diff}}(\phi') \sim \frac{1}{2} Q(\phi'^2) \) falls off with an inverse third power.

G. Role of absorption

Although absorption causes the same exponential decay of the transmitted intensity as localization, this decay is of a quite different, namely incoherent nature. The strong fluctuations in the localized regime disappear as soon as the absorption length \( \xi_a \) drops below the localization length \( \xi \), because long paths which penetrate into the localized regions are suppressed by absorption. In this situation one should expect that the results of diffusion theory are again valid even for \( L \gtrsim \xi \). This expectation is confirmed by our numerical simulations. (We do not know how to incorporate absorption effects into our analytical theory.)

In Fig. 6 we plot the delay-time distribution for two values of the absorption length \( \xi_a < \xi \) and one value \( \xi_a > \xi \), both for equal-mode and for distinct-mode excitation and detection. The length of the waveguide is \( L = 4.1 \xi \). The result for strong absorption with \( \xi_a = 0.11 \xi \) is very similar to Fig. 2. Irrespective of the choice of the detection mode, the data can be fitted to the prediction (14) of diffusion theory. The plot for \( \xi_a = 0.47 \xi \) shows that the dynamic coherent backscattering effect slowly sets in when the absorption length becomes comparable to the localization length. The data also deviates from the prediction of diffusion theory. The full factor (21) between the peak heights quickly develops as soon as \( \xi_a \) exceeds \( \xi \), as can be seen from the data for \( \xi_a = 2.1 \xi \). Moreover, this data can already be fitted to the predictions of random-matrix theory, with \( \gamma \approx 53.2 a/c \). (The value \( \gamma = 46.3 a/c \) of Sec. II is reached when absorption is further reduced.)

**FIG. 6.** Single-mode delay-time distribution \( P(\phi') \) in the presence of absorption. The data points are the result of a numerical simulation of a waveguide with length \( L = 4.5 \xi \). Open circles are for equal-mode excitation and detection \( n = m \), full circles for the case of distinct modes \( n \neq m \). In the upper panel (with \( \xi_a < \xi \)), the data is compared to the prediction (14) of diffusion theory. In the lower panel we compare with the predictions (27, 30) of random-matrix theory.
H. Broken time-reversal symmetry

The case \( \beta = 2 \) of broken time-reversal symmetry is less important for optical applications, but has been realized in microwave experiments [24–26]. There is now no difference between \( n = m \) and \( n \neq m \). The matrices \( U \) and \( V \) have the same statistical distribution as for the case of preserved time-reversal symmetry. Hence, by following the steps of Section III A, we arrive again at Eq. (23), with spectral moments \( B_k \) as defined in Eq. (23). Their joint distribution has now to be calculated from Eq. (8) with \( \beta = 2 \). This calculation is carried out in Appendix B. The result is

\[
P(B_1, B_2) = \frac{2 \gamma N^3 B_1^2}{B_2^3} \exp(-NB_1^2/B_2 - 2\gamma N/B_1). \tag{41}
\]

The distribution of single-mode delay times \( P(\phi') \) is given by Eq. (28) with this new function \( P(B_1, B_2) \). We plot \( P(\phi') \) in Fig. 7 and compare it to the case of preserved time-reversal symmetry. The distribution is rescaled by about a factor of two towards larger delay times when time-reversal symmetry is broken. This can be understood from the fact that the relevant length scale, the localization length, is twice as big for broken time-reversal symmetry (\( \xi = 2NL/s \)) as for preserved time-reversal symmetry (\( \xi = NL/s \)).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have presented a detailed theory, supported by numerical simulations, of a recently discovered coherent backscattering effect in the single-mode delay times of a wave reflected by a disordered waveguide. This dynamic effect is special because it requires localization for its existence, in contrast to the static coherent backscattering effect in the reflected intensity. The dynamic effect can be understood from the combination of the static effect and the large fluctuations in the localized regime.

In the diffusive regime there is no dynamic coherent backscattering effect: The distribution of delay times is unaffected by the choice of the detection mode and the presence or absence of time-reversal symmetry. The effect also disappears when the absorption length is smaller than the localization length. In both situations the large fluctuations characteristic for the localized regime are suppressed.

Existing experiments on the delay-time distribution [11,12] have verified the theory for the localized regime presented here. The theory for the diffusive regime presented in this paper awaits experimental verification.
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APPENDIX A: JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF \( B_1 \) AND \( B_2 \) FOR \( \beta = 1 \)

We calculate the joint probability distribution function \( P(B_1, B_2) \) of the spectral moments \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \), defined in Eq. (23), which determine \( P(I, \phi') \) from Eq. (24). We assume preserved time-reversal symmetry (\( \beta = 1 \)). Since \( B_k = \sum_i |u_i|^2 \mu_i^{-k} \), we have to average over the wavefunction amplitudes \( u_i \), which are Gaussian complex numbers with zero mean and variance \( 1/N \), and the rates \( \mu_i \) which are distributed according to the Laguerre ensemble (8) with \( \beta = 1 \). This Laguerre ensemble is represented as the eigenvalues of an \( N \times N \) Hermitian matrix \( W^\dagger W \), where \( W \) is a complex symmetric matrix with the Gaussian distribution

\[
P(W) \propto \exp[-\gamma(N+1)\text{tr} W^\dagger W]. \tag{A1}
\]

The calculation is performed neglecting corrections of order \( 1/N \), so that we are allowed to replace \( N + 1 \) by \( N \). The measure is

\[
dW = \prod_{i<j} d\text{Re} W_{ij} d\text{Im} W_{ij} \prod_i d\text{Re} W_{ii} d\text{Im} W_{ii}. \tag{A2}
\]

1. Characteristic function

In the first step we express \( P(B_1, B_2) \) by its characteristic function,
\[ P(B_1, B_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \, e^{ipB_1 + iqB_2} \chi(p, q), \]

\[ \chi(p, q) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp \left[ -i |u_i|^2 \left( \frac{p}{\mu_i} + \frac{q}{\mu_i^*} \right) \right] \right)^{-1}, \]

and average over the \( u_i \)'s,

\[ \chi(p, q) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( 1 + i \frac{p}{\mu_i N} + i \frac{q}{\mu_i^* N} \right) \right)^{-1}, \]

\[ = \left( \frac{\det (W^T W)^2}{\det ([W^T W]^2 + ip(W^T W)/N + iq/N)} \right). \]

We have expressed the product over eigenvalues as a ratio of determinants. We write the determinant in the denominator as an integral over a complex vector \( z \),

\[ \chi(p, q) \propto \int dW \int d\mathbf{z} \exp \left[ -\gamma N \text{tr} W^T W \right] \det (W^T W)^2 \]

\[ \times \exp \{-z^2([W^T W]^2 + ip(W^T W)/N + iq/N)\mathbf{z}\}. \]  

This integral converges because \( W^T W \) is positive definite.

2. Parameterization of the matrix \( W \)

Now we choose a parameterization of \( W \) which facilitates a stepwise integration over its degrees of freedom. The distribution of \( W \) is invariant under transformations \( W \rightarrow U^T W U \), with any unitary matrix \( U \). Hence we can choose a basis in which \( \mathbf{z} \) points into direction 1 and write \( W \) in block form

\[ W = \begin{pmatrix} a & x^T \\ x & X \end{pmatrix}. \]

Here \( a \) is a complex number. For any \( N - 1 \) dimensional vector \( x \) we can use another unitary transformation on the \( X \) block after which \( x \) points into direction 2. Then \( W \) is of the form

\[ W = \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & y^T \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}, \]

with the real number \( x = |x| \). In this parameterization

\[ ([W^T W]^2)_{11} = |a|^2 + x^2, \]

\[ ([W^T W]^2)_{21} = (|a|^2 + 2|x|^2) + y^2 + y^2 + 2|a| + 2|b|, \]

\[ \text{det } W = |a(b - y^T Y^{-1} y) - x^2| \text{det } Y, \]

\[ \text{tr } W^T W = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2x^2 + 2y^2 + \text{tr } Y, \]

\[ dW = a^2 dx dy dY, \]

with \( y = |y| \). A suitable transformation on \( Y \) allows to replace the term \( y^T Y^{-1} y \) by \( y^2(Y^{-1})_{11} \).

For this parameterization of \( W \), the integrand in Eq. (A3) depends on the vectors \( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \) and \( \mathbf{z} \) only by their magnitudes \( x, y, \) and \( z = |z| \). Hence we can replace \( dx \rightarrow x^{2N-3} dx, dy \rightarrow y^{2N-5} dy, \) and \( dz \rightarrow z^{2N-1} dz. \)

3. Integration

The integrand in Eq. (A3) involves \( z, p, \) and \( q \) in the form

\[ \exp[-z^2([W^T W]_{11} + ip(W^T W)_{11}/N + iq/N)]. \]

It is convenient to pass back to \( P(B_1, B_2) \) by Eq. (A3), because integration over \( p \) and \( q \) gives delta functions

\[ \delta(B_1 - z^2(|a|^2 + |b|^2)/N) \delta(B_2 - z^2/N) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{B_2^3} \delta(B_1/B_2 - |a|^2 - x^2) \delta(B_2 - z^2/N). \]

Subsequent integration over \( z \) results in

\[ P(B_1, B_2) \propto \int d^2a d^2b dx dy x^{2N-3} y^{2N-5} \]

\[ \times B_2^{N-2} \delta(B_1/B_2 - |a|^2 - x^2) \times [c_0 |ab - x^2|^4 + 4c_2 |a|^2 |y|^4 |ab - x^2|^2 + c_4 |a|^4 |y|^8] \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ -NB_2 \left( \frac{B_1^2}{B_2^2} + x^2 y^2 + x^2 |a + b|^2 \right) - 2\gamma N |y|^2 \right]. \]

Here we omitted a term \( \gamma N(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2x^2) \) in the exponent, because it is of order \( 1/N \) as we shall see later. Furthermore, we denoted

\[ c_m = \frac{\langle |\text{det } Y|^4 (Y^{-1})_{11} \rangle^{mn}}{\langle |\text{det } Y|^4 \rangle}. \]

These coefficients will be calculated later, with the result \( c_0 = 1, c_2 = 2\gamma, c_4 = 4\gamma^2 \). Integration over \( y \) yields for the terms proportional to \( c_m \) the factors \( (B_2 x^2 + 2\gamma)^{-m-2} \), which can be combined with the factor \( (B_2 x^2)^{N-2} \), giving to order \( 1/N \) [we anticipate \( \gamma/B_2 x^2 = O(1/N) \)]

\[ \frac{(B_2 x^2)^{N-2}}{(B_2 x^2 + 2\gamma)^{N-2+m}} \rightarrow (B_2 x^2)^{-m} \exp \left( -2\gamma N/B_2 x^2 \right). \]

The integrand is of the form

\[ \int d^2a d^2b dx \delta(B_1/B_2 - |a|^2 - x^2) \]

\[ \times \left( |ab| - \frac{B_1}{B_2} \right)^4 + 4c_2 |a|^2 |y|^4 \left( |ab| - \frac{B_1}{B_2} \right)^2 + c_4 |a|^4 |y|^8 \]

\[ \times \exp \left( -\frac{2\gamma N}{B_2} x^2 B_2 - N \frac{B_1^2}{B_2^2} - N B_2 x^2 |b|^2 \right). \]

Let us now convince ourselves with this expression that we were justified to omit the term \( \gamma N(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2x^2) \) in Eq. (A11) and to use Eq. (A13). Indeed, the various quantities scale as \( B_1 \approx \gamma N, B_2 \approx \gamma^2 N^3, \) and
$|a|^2 \simeq |b|^2 \simeq x^2 \simeq 1/\gamma N^2$, because any $\gamma$ and $N$ dependence disappears if one passes to appropriately rescaled quantities $B_2/\gamma N$, etc. The terms omitted are therefore of order $1/N$.

The remaining integrations in Eq. (A15) are readily performed, with the final result (27). The distribution of $B_1$ to order $1/N$ is

$$P(B_1) = \frac{\gamma N}{B_1^3}(B_1 + 2\gamma N) \exp\left(-\frac{2\gamma N}{B_1}\right). \quad (A15)$$

The spectral moment $B_1$ appeared before in a different physical context in Ref. [21], but only a heuristic approximation was given in that paper. Eq. (A15) solves this random-matrix problem precisely.

For completeness we also give the distribution of the other spectral moment $B_2$ (rescaled as $B_2 = B_2\gamma^{-2}N^{-3}$) in terms of Meijer $G$ functions,

$$P(B_2) = \frac{1}{64B_2^{5/2}\pi/2} \left[ 14\pi B_2 - 16 G_{3,0}^{0,3}(\tilde{B}_2|\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{3}{2}) + 20 G_{3,0}^{0,3}(\tilde{B}_2|\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2}) + 22 G_{3,0}^{0,3}(\tilde{B}_2|\frac{1}{2},1,1) + 8 G_{3,0}^{0,3}(\tilde{B}_2|\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2}) + 4 G_{3,0}^{0,3}(\tilde{B}_2|\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},2) - 8 G_{4,1}^{1,3}(\tilde{B}_2|\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{3}{2},2) - 16 G_{4,1}^{1,3}(\tilde{B}_2|0,\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},2) + 3 G_{4,1}^{0,4}(\tilde{B}_2|\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}) \right]. \quad (A16)$$

4. Coefficients

Now we calculate the coefficients $c_2$ and $c_4$ defined in Eq. (A12). It is convenient to resize the matrix $Y$ to dimension $N$ (instead of $N-2$) and to set momentarily $\gamma N = 1$. We use again a block decomposition,

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} a & \mathbf{w}^T \\ \mathbf{w} & Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A17)$$

and employ the identities

$$\det Y = (a - \mathbf{w}^T Z^{-1} \mathbf{w}) \det Z, \quad (Y^{-1})_{11} = (a - \mathbf{w}^T Z^{-1} \mathbf{w})^{-1}. \quad (A18a,b)$$

Hence

$$c_4 = \frac{\langle|\det Z|^4\rangle}{\langle|\det Y|^4\rangle} = \frac{4}{(N+1)(N+3)}, \quad (A19)$$

where we used Selberg’s integral [23] for

$$\langle|\det Y|^4\rangle = \frac{1}{6} \Gamma(N+4)\Gamma(N+2)\pi^{2N}. \quad (A20)$$

In order to evaluate

$$c_2 = \frac{\langle|\det Z|^4(|a|^2 + |\mathbf{w}^T Z^{-1} \mathbf{w}|^2)\rangle}{\langle|\det Y|^4\rangle}, \quad (A21)$$

it is again profitable to use unitary invariance and turn $\mathbf{w}$ into direction 1,

$$|\mathbf{w}^T Z^{-1} \mathbf{w}|^2 = \omega^4 |(Z^{-1})_{11}|^2. \quad (A22)$$

From $\langle\omega^4\rangle = \frac{1}{3} N(N+1)$ and $\langle|a|^2\rangle = 1$ we obtain then the recursion relation

$$c_2(N) = \frac{4}{(N+1)(N+3)} + \frac{N}{N+3} c_2(N-1), \quad (A23)$$

which is solved by

$$c_2(N) = \frac{2}{N+1}. \quad (A24)$$

In order to reintroduce $\gamma$ we have to multiply $c_m$ by $(\gamma N)^m/2$, and obtain to order $1/N$

$$c_2 = 2\gamma, \quad c_4 = 4\gamma^2, \quad (A25)$$

as advertised above.

APPENDIX B: JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF $B_1$ AND $B_2$ FOR $\beta = 2$

For broken time-reversal symmetry, the distribution of $B_1$ and $B_2$ has to be calculated from the Laguerre ensemble (5) with $\beta = 2$. Similarly as for preserved time-reversal symmetry, this ensemble can be obtained from the eigenvalues of a matrix $W^\dagger W$. The matrix $W$ is once more complex, but no longer symmetric (it is also not Hermitian). It has the Gaussian distribution

$$P(W) \propto \exp(-2\gamma N\text{tr}W^\dagger W), \quad (B1)$$

with measure

$$dW = \prod_{i,j} d\text{Re}W_{ij} d\text{Im}W_{ij}. \quad (B2)$$

It is instructive to calculate first $P(B_1)$, because it will be instrumental in the calculation of $P(B_1, B_2)$. After averaging over the $u_i$’s, the characteristic function takes the form

$$\chi(p) = \langle\exp(-ipB_1)\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\det W^\dagger W}{\det(W^\dagger W + ip/N)} \right\rangle. \quad (B3)$$

We express the determinant in the denominator as an integral over a complex vector $z$. Due to the invariance $W \to U W V$ of $P(W)$ for arbitrary unitary matrices $U$ and $V$, we can turn $z$ into direction 1 and write

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} a & x' & 0^T \\ x & Y & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (B4)$$

Then
\[ P(B_1) \propto \int \partial p \partial z \, z^{2N-1} \, d^2a \, dx \, x^{2N-3} \, dx' \, x'^{2N-3} \]
\[ \times (|a|^2 + d_2 x^2 \, x') \exp[-(z^2 + 2\gamma N)(|a|^2 + x^2)] \]
\[ \times \exp[ip(B_1 - z^2/N) - 2\gamma N x'^2]. \quad (B5) \]

Selberg’s integral \([27]\) gives

\[ d_2 = \frac{(\text{det} Y^2)^2}{(\text{det} Y^2)} = \frac{2\gamma N}{N-1}. \quad (B6) \]

The integration over \(p\) gives \(\delta(z^2 - NB_1)\) and allows to eliminate \(z\). The integration over \(x'\) amounts to replacing \(x'^2 = (N-1)/2\gamma N = d_1^{-1}\). The final integrations are most easily carried out by concatenating \(a\) to \(x\), giving an \(N\)-dimensional vector \(y\). Then

\[ P(B_1) \propto \int \partial y y^{2N+1} B_1^{2N-1} \exp[-N(B_1 + 2\gamma)y^2] \]
\[ = B_1^{N-1}(B_1 + 2\gamma)^{-N-1}, \quad (B7) \]

which to order \(1/N\) becomes

\[ P(B_1) = \frac{2\gamma N}{B_1^2} \exp(-2\gamma N/B_1). \quad (B8) \]

The first steps in the calculation of the joint distribution function of \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) are identical to what was done in Appendix \(A\) and result in the characteristic function \(\chi(p,q)\) in the form of Eq. \((A6)\), but with \(\gamma\) replaced by \(2\gamma\). Due to the unitary invariance of the \(W\) ensemble we can write

\[ W = \begin{pmatrix} a & x' & 0 & 0^T \\ x & b & y' & 0^T \\ 0 & y & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (B9) \]

One integrates now over \(p\) and \(q\) and obtains delta functions as in Eq. \((A10)\). This is followed by integration over \(z\). The calculation is then much simplified by recognizing that one can rescale the remaining integration variables in such a way (namely by introducing \(a^2 = \tilde{a}^2 B_1/B_2,\)
\(x^2 = \tilde{x}^2 B_1/B_2,\ y^2 = \tilde{y}^2 x^2 B_2^{-1}\)) that

\[ P(B_1, B_2) = B_2^{-3} \exp(-NB_1^2/B_2) f(B_1). \quad (B10) \]

It is not necessary to give here \(f(B_1)\) as a lengthy multidimensional integral, since its functional form is easily recovered from the relation

\[ P(B_1) = \int \partial B_2 \, P(B_1, B_2) = N^{-2} B_2^{-4} f(B_1). \quad (B11) \]

We compare this with Eq. \((B5)\) and arrive at Eq. \((B11)\). The distribution of \(B_2\) has the closed-form expression

\[ P(B_2) = \gamma^{-2} N^{-3} G_{3,0}^{0,3}(\gamma^{-2} N^{-3} B_2| - \frac{1}{2}, -1, -2). \quad (B12) \]

\section*{APPENDIX C: JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF C0 AND C1}

We seek the joint distributions of the spectral moments \(C_0\) and \(C_1\), which determine \(\beta'\) and \(I\) for \(\beta = 1\) and \(n = m\) via Eq. \((29)\). We start with the characteristic function

\[ \chi(p_0, p_1) = \langle \exp[i \, \text{Re}(p_0 C_0 + p_1 C_1)] \rangle, \quad (C1) \]

where \(p_0\) and \(p_1\) are complex numbers, as are the quantities \(C_0\) and \(C_1\) themselves. Since \(C_k = \sum_i u_i \tilde{\tau}^{k}_i\) we have to average over the \(\tau_i\)’s and the \(u_i\)’s. Averaging over the \(u_i\)’s first, we obtain

\[ \chi(p_0, p_1) = \left\langle \prod_i \left(1 + \frac{|p_i \tau_i + p_0|^2}{N^2} \right)^{-1/2} \right\rangle. \quad (C2) \]

We regard again the rates \(\mu_i = \tau^{i-1}\) as the eigenvalues of a matrix product \(YY^T\), where \(Y\) will be specified below. Then the product of square roots can be written as a ratio of determinants,

\[ \prod_i \left(1 + \frac{|p_i \tau_i + p_0|^2}{N^2} \right)^{-1/2} = \text{det} YY^T \]
\[ \times \exp \left[\frac{2 \text{Re} p_0 \tau_i}{N^2} YY^T + \frac{|p_1|^2}{N^2} \right]. \quad (C3) \]

We will express the determinant in the denominator as a Gaussian integral over a real \(N\)-dimensional vector \(z\). Hence it is convenient to choose \(Y\) real as well, so that one can use orthogonal invariance in order to turn \(z\) into direction \(1\). Moreover, there is a representation of \(Y\) which allows to incorporate the determinant in the numerator into the probability measure: We take \(Y\) as a rectangular \(N \times (N + 3)\) matrix with random Gaussian variables, distributed according to

\[ P(Y) \propto \exp(-\gamma N \text{tr} Y Y^T). \quad (C4) \]

The corresponding distribution of the eigenvalues \(\mu_i\) of \(YY^T\) is given in Ref. \(B\) and differs from the Laguerre ensemble \(B\) by the additional factor \(\prod_i \mu_i = \text{det} YY^T\).

In this representation,

\[ \chi \propto \int \partial z \, z^{N-1} \langle \exp \{-z^2(1 + |p_0|^2/N^2)(YY^T)^2|_{11}\} \]
\[ \times \exp \left[\frac{2 \text{Re} p_0 \tau_i}{N^2} |YY^T|_{11} + \frac{|p_1|^2}{N^2} \right] \rangle, \quad (C5) \]

where the average is now over \(Y\). Inverse Fourier transformation with respect to \(p_0\) and \(p_1\) results in

\[ P(C_0, C_1) \propto \left\langle \int \partial z \, z^{N-5} \exp[-z^2(YY^T)^2|_{11}] \right. \]
\[ \left. \times \exp \left[-\frac{|C_1|^2 N^2}{4z^2} - \frac{N^2}{4z^2} \frac{|C_0 - YY^T|_{11} C_1}{|YY^T|_{11}^2} \right] \right\rangle. \quad (C6) \]
The orthogonal invariance of $YY^T$ allows us to parameterize $Y$ as

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ w & b \\ 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0^T \end{pmatrix},$$  

(C7)

with real numbers $v > 0$, $w > 0$, $y > 0$, $a$, and $b$, and an $(N - 1) \times (N + 1)$ dimensional matrix $Z$. It is good to see that $Z$ drops out of the calculation, because it does not appear in

$$[YY^T]_{11} = a^2 + v^2, \quad [ZY^T]_{11} = (a^2 + v^2)^2 + (aw + bv)^2 + v^2 y^2 .$$  

(C8a, C8b)

We replace $b = b' - aw/v$ and introduce $z' = z y v$. The integral over $z'$ can be written in the saddle-point form $\int dz' z'^N e^{-z'^2} f(z') \propto f(\sqrt{N}/2)$ for large $N$. The resulting expression varies with respect to the remaining variables on the scales

$$N^3 a^2 \simeq N^2 b'^2 \simeq N^2 y^2 \simeq N y^2 \simeq w^2 = O(\gamma^{-1}) .$$  

(C9)

We use the given orders of magnitude to eliminate terms of order $N^{-1}$, but keep the residual correlations $\text{Re} C_0 C_1 / \gamma N = O(N^{-1/2})$. The joint distribution function of $C_0$ and $C_1$ is then

$$P(C_0, C_1) \propto \int da \, db' \, dv \, dw \, w^{N/2} \, dy \, y \exp[-\gamma Ny^2] \times \exp[-\gamma N w^2 \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{y^2} - \frac{N}{2y^2}(v^2 + y^2 + b'^2)\right)] \times \exp\left[Nv^2 \text{Re} C_0 C_1 - \frac{N v^2 y^2 |C_1|^2}{2} - \frac{|C_0|^2}{2}\right].$$  

(C10)

Now we can integrate over $a$, $b'$, $w$, and $v$, and arrive at

$$P(C_0, C_1) \propto \int dy \, \exp[-\gamma Ny^2 - \frac{N |C_0|^2}{2}] \left(\frac{y^{-2} + y^2 |C_1|^2 + 2 \text{Re} C_0 C_1^*}{2}\right)^{5/2}.$$  

(C11)

The final result (30) is obtained by substituting $s = \gamma N y^2$.

**APPENDIX D: DISTRIBUTION OF $A_1$ FOR $\beta = 1$**

In the large-$N$ limit the joint distribution function $P(A_1, A_1) = P(A_0)P(A_1)$ factorizes as explained in Section III. The distribution of $A_0$ is given in Eq. (37). It remains to calculate the distribution of $A_1 = \sum \tau_i u_i v_i$. The $u_i$’s and $v_i$’s are independent Gaussian random numbers. Averaging over them, we obtain the characteristic function

$$\chi(p) = \langle \exp[i \text{ Re} (\tau A_1)] \rangle = \langle \prod \left(1 + \frac{|\tau A_1|^2}{4N^2}\right)^{-1} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\det (W^T W)^2}{\det (W^T W)^2 + |p|^2 / 4N^2} \right\rangle ,$$  

(D1)

where $p$ is a complex number. The Laguerre ensemble is again represented as the eigenvalues of the matrix product $W^T W$, where $W$ is the complex symmetric matrix with distribution (13). Following the route of Appendix A we represent the determinant in the denominator by a Gaussian integral over a complex vector $z$ and choose a basis in which $W$ is of the form (18). The characteristic function is then obtained as the following multidimensional integral,

$$\chi(p) = \int dx \, dy \, dz \, d^2 a \, d^2 b \, dY \times |\text{det} Y|^4 |y - y(Y^{-1})_{11}|^2 \times x^2 \exp\left[-\gamma N \left(|a^2 - b^2|^2 + x^2 + b^4 + x^2 y^2\right)\right] \times \exp\left[-\gamma N \left(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2x^2 + 2y^2 + \text{tr} Y^T Y\right)\right].$$  

(D2)

Let us briefly describe in which order the integrations are performed most conveniently. Fourier transformation with respect to $p$ converts the characteristic function back into the distribution function $P(A_1)$. This step gives rise to a factor $z^{-2} \exp(-|A_1|^2 N z^2)$ and $y(z)$. We can also integrate over $y$, which results in a factor $\exp(-\gamma N(y)^2)$ and $x$. We introduce new variables by the substitutions $b = b - a^*$, $x = \mathbf{v} / z$, $a = a' / z$. After these transformations one succeeds to integrate over $b'$, $y$, and $a'$. The remaining integral over $v = |\mathbf{v}|$ is of the form

$$P(A_1) \propto |A_1|^{-5} \int dv \, v^{-5} e^{-2/v} \times \left[\pi \left|A_1\right|^2 (2 + 4v + v^2) + 3v^2 (16 + 16v + 3v^2)\right]$$

$$- \frac{2 |A_1|^4}{(\left|A_1\right|^2 + v^2)^2} \left|A_1\right|^4 v^4 (288 + 304v - 25v^2)$$

$$+ |A_1|^6 v^2 (192 + 176v - 17v^2) + 8 |A_1|^8 (6 + 4v - v^2)$$

$$+ 3v^6 (16 + 16v + 3v^2) + |A_1|^2 v^6 (192 + 208v + 41v^2)$$

$$- 16 |A_1|^2 (2 + 4v + v^2) + 6v^2 (16 + 16v + 3v^2)\right] \times \arctan\left(\frac{v}{|A_1|}\right).$$  

(D3)

The more compact form (38) is the result of the replacement $v = 2/s$, followed by a number of partial integrations.
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