Characterizing the Role of Orco Gene in Detecting Aggregation Pheromone and Food Resources in *Protaetia brevitarsis* Leiws (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae)
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An accurate olfactory system for recognizing semiochemicals and environmental chemical signals plays crucial roles in survival and reproduction of insects. Among all olfaction-related proteins, olfactory receptors (ORs) contribute to the conversion of chemical stimuli to electric signals and thereby are vital in odorant recognition. Olfactory receptor co-receptor (Orco), one of the most conserved ORs, is extremely essential in recognizing odorants through forming a ligand-gated ion channel complex with conventional ligand-binding odorant receptors. We have previously identified aggregation pheromone in *Protaetia brevitarsis* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), a native agricultural and horticultural pest in East-Asia. However, to our best knowledge, its olfaction recognition mechanisms are still veiled. To illustrate how *P. brevitarsis* recognize aggregation pheromone and host plants, in the present study we cloned and sequenced the full-length Orco gene from *P. brevitarsis* antennae (named *PbreOrco*) and found that *PbreOrco* is highly conserved and similar to Orcos from other Coleoptera insects. Our real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) results showed that *PbreOrco* is mainly expressed in antenna. We also demonstrated that silencing *PbreOrco* using RNA interference through injecting dsOrco fragment significantly inhibited *PbreOrco* expression in comparison with injecting control dsGFP and subsequently revealed using electroantennogram and behavioral bioassays that decreasing *PbreOrco* transcript abundance significantly impaired the responses of *P. brevitarsis* to intraspecific aggregation pheromone and prolonged the time of *P. brevitarsis* spending on food seeking. Overall, our results demonstrated that *PbreOrco* is crucial in mediating odorant perception in *P. brevitarsis*.
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INTRODUCTION

Having a precise olfactory system is of great benefit for most insects in foraging, mating, locating oviposition sites and avoiding adverse environments (Leal, 2013; Brito et al., 2016). Olfactory recognition is a complicated and sophisticated process involving numerous receptors and signaling pathways. The odorant stimuli are firstly detected by the olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs) in insect antennae and processed to bioelectric signals, which are subsequently transmitted to the main nervous system (e.g., brain), inducing various odor-evoked behaviors (Leal, 2013; Fleischer et al., 2018). During the odorants processing in ORNs, an acceptable hypothesis infers that odorants are specifically transported by odorant binding proteins (OBPs) and chemosensory proteins (CSPs) to the olfactory receptors (ORs), which belong to a family of seven-transmembrane domain proteins on the dendrite membrane of neurons and are conceived to be essential in odorant recognition (Smart et al., 2008), and thereby recognized and converted to electric signals and subsequently degraded by odorant degrading enzymes (ODEs) (Sato and Touhara, 2008; Zhou, 2010; Leal, 2013).

To recognize chemical signals, most insect ORNs express two subclasses of ORs—a conventional odorant-specific olfactory receptors and a highly conserved olfactory receptor co-receptor (Orco) (Harini and Sowdhamini, 2012). Compared to conventional ORs, Orco is more conserved (Missbach et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2015) among a variety of arthropods including Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Hymenoptera, Orthoptera, Hemiptera and Diptera (Krieger et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). During the process of recognizing odorants, Orco couples with conventional ORs to form an Orco-ORx complex, which functions as a ligand-gated ion channel and determines the sensitivity and specificity of the ORN where it is expressed (Breer et al., 2019). In this complex, Orco is a key factor for the localization, stability and correct protein folding of ORs (Larsson et al., 2004; Stengl and Funk, 2013). Studies have shown that knockout or mutation of Orco gene would lead to the disablement of odorant sensing in insects (Larsson et al., 2004; Neuhauß et al., 2005). For example, Orco mutations in fruit flies, locusts, mosquitoes and moths lead to loss of OR function, and impaired responses to odorants such as food volatiles and sex pheromones (Asahina et al., 2008; DeGennaro et al., 2013; Koutroumpa et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016; Trible et al., 2017), silencing of Orco through RNA interference (RNAi) in beetles (Tenebrio molitor, Dendroctonus armandi, and Ophraella communis) impairs their ability to locate hosts and mates (Liu et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2020). In addition, Orco is also involved in other important physiological activities (such as wing differentiation, metabolism regulation, stress resistance, number of glomeruli in antennal lobes and life span extension), indicating they may also participate in more physiological functions (Libert et al., 2007; Fan et al., 2015; Trible et al., 2017).

The white-spotted flower chafer (WSFC), Protaetia brevitarsis (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), is a native agricultural and horticultural pest in East-Asia, including China, Korean Peninsula, Japan, Thailand, Mongolia and Russia (Suo et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2019). WSFC larvae, which feed on soil humus, decaying plant residues, and even fermented animal manure, are cultivated as a potential resource insect for converting herbaceous and plant residues to organic fertilizer (Li et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). However, WSFC adults are destructive to many important crops, such as corn, wheat, apple, peach and various vegetables (Zhao and Chen, 2008; Xu et al., 2009; Cai et al., 2020). To environmentally-friendly control and monitor WSFC, we have identified 4-methylanisole (4-MA) as an aggregation pheromone for developing efficient lures (Zhang et al., 2019). Although its candidate chemosensory receptors have been identified (Liu et al., 2019), the molecular mechanisms underlying olfactory recognition, including function of PbreORs, remain largely unexplored. Previously, based on transcriptome analysis, we identified a PbreOrco-related sequence encoding a 288aa peptide, though the 5′ terminus was suspected to be missing.

To fully explore the functions of PbreOrco, in this study, we firstly cloned the full-length sequence of PbreOrco through rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), analyzed its characteristics and expression pattern. We then silenced PbreOrco gene using RNAi and examined its pheromone- and food-seeking function. Our results could further deepen our understanding on Orco functions and benefit subsequent development of semiochemical-based strategy to control this pest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect Rearing and Tissue Collection

White-spotted flower chafer larvae were reared on fermented wheat straw in a constant environment with temperature of 25 ± 2°C, relative humidity of 50 ± 2% and photoperiod of 14L:10D (Liu et al., 2019). Newly-emerged adults were sorted by sex and fed with fresh peach. When unmated WSFCs reached approximately 7 days old, their antennae, head without antennae, thorax, abdomen, legs and wings were excised, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C for future experiments.

Total RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from 50 WSFCs of each sex using TRIzol reagent (TransGen, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality was evaluated by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop 2000 (OD260/OD280) ranging from 1.80 to 2.10. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using All-in-One First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The synthesized cDNA was stored at −20°C prior to further analysis.

Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends to Obtain Full-Length PbreOrco Gene

According to the reported incomplete PbreOrco sequence (MH324899), the 5′ end of mRNA was obtained using a 5′-RACE Kit (Sangon, China) with the gene-specific primers
(GSP1 and GSP2) listed in Supplementary Table 1 following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, the first strand cDNA was synthesized by using specific reverse transcription primers (5’ RACE-RT Primer, Supplementary Table 1) and reverse transcriptase mix (RNase H-). Two rounds of touchdown PCR was performed as follows: 94°C for 1 min; 10 cycles of 94°C for 60 s, 70°C for 60 s; 25 cycles of 94°C for 60 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 60 s; and a final incubation at 72°C for 10 min. The first round of PCR amplification was carried out with GSP1 as downstrream primer and the first strand of cDNA as template. Then, the 5’ end cDNA of PbreOrco was amplified by using a universal 5’ RACE outer primer containing partial splice sequence (Supplementary Table 1) as the upstream primer and the GSP2 as the downstream primer. The PCR product was purified, ligated into a pEASY-Blunt vector (TransGen Biotech, China), and sequenced (Sangon Biotech, China).

Sequence Analysis
The homology of PbreORCO protein was analyzed by Blastp search in NCBI database. Its transmembrane domains were identified using the TMHMM Server v. 2.0 program. Its topology diagram was constructed using the TOPO2 Transmembrane Protein Display. Protein sequences alignment was performed using ClustalX 1.83, and the results were presented by GeneDoc 2.7.0 software. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 using the Maximum Likelihood method with a bootstrap test (1000 replicates, complete deletion, NN) (Kumar et al., 2016). When the number of common sites was < 100 or less than one fourth of the total number of sites, the maximum parsimony method was used; otherwise BIONJ method with MCL distance matrix was used. Finally, phylogenetic trees were viewed and edited using FigTree v.1.4.3. Identity calculation of Orcos in various insects was analyzed using MegAlign (DNASTar Lasergene 12.1) with the pair distances of Untitled ClustalW (slow/accurate, identity).

Tissue Expression Profiles of PbreOrco
The expression of PbreOrco in different tissues was analyzed using real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) with an ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, United States). The primers for PbreOrco was designed by Primer 6.0 (Supplementary Table 1). GADPH2 was used as reference gene according to our previous study (Liu et al., 2019). qRT-PCR reactions were performed in 20 µL reaction mixtures, each containing 10 µL TransStart Tips Green Mix (TransGen, China), 0.5 µL of each primer (10 µM), 1 µL of sample cDNA, and 8 µL of sterilized H2O. The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min; 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 s; and an annealing temperature of 60°C for 30 s. Each test was carried out three times as technical replicates. The amplification efficiency of the primers was 92–98% according to standard curve analysis. Relative expression of PbreOrco were analyzed using the 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Three independent biological repeats were conducted, and each RNA sample was extracted from 30 adults.

RNAi of PbreOrco Gene and qRT-PCR Validation
The fragment of PbreOrco was amplified using specific primers with T7 RNA polymerase promoter (Supplementary Table 1). A double-stranded green fluorescent protein (dsGFP) fragment amplified from the GFP gene (GenBank No. U50963) was used as the negative control. Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) was synthesized using the T7 Ribomax Express RNAi System (Promega, Madison, WI, United States). The quality and concentration of dsRNA were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo, United States). The newly emerged WSFCs were separated and reared individually before dsRNA injection. To each beetle, 3 µg of dsOrco or dsGFP was injected into the conjunction between the head and thorax using a microsyringe. The antennae were collected at 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 days of post-injection to evaluate the expression of PbreOrco using qRT-PCR. Three independent biological repeats were collected, and each repeat contained 30 adults.

Electroantennography
The electrophysiological responses of injected WSFCs to the aggregation pheromone 4-MA (99% purity, Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai China) were monitored on an Electroantennography (EAG) apparatus (Syntech Ltd., Kirchzarten, Germany) following a reported method (Zhang et al., 2020). Briefly, the antennae of WSFCs at 6–7 days of post-injection were prepared by cutting off the tips were affixed to the recording electrode with electrically conductive gel and flowed over by a constant humidified airstream (~200 mL·min⁻¹). After that, a pulse airstream carrying volatiles from 20 µg of 4-MA in 20 µL paraffin oil was brought to the antennae through constant airstream at 30 s intervals by an air stimulus controller (CS-55). The electric signals generated by the responses of antennae were recorded and analyzed using the Syntech EAG 2000 software (Syntech, Kirchzarten, Germany). Paraffin oil was used as parallel solvent control. The EAG responses to pheromone of each treatment were calibrated by subtracting the EAG values to solvent control. Five antennae were tested with five stimuli for each antenna.

Insect Behavioral Bioassay
The responses of female and male WSFCs at 6–7 days of post-injection to 4-MA were tested by a two-choice bioassay using a glass Y-tube olfactometer (3.0 cm inner diameter) comprised of a 25-cm stem and two 20-cm branching arms at an angle of about 60° (Ikeura et al., 2012). During the assay, charcoal-filtered and humidified air was pumped through the olfactometer at a rate of 100 ml·min⁻¹ using an atmosphere sampling instrument (QC-1B, Beijing Municipal Institute of Labor Protection, Beijing, China).
and 20 µL of odor sources (0.1 µg/µL in paraffin) on strips of filter paper (1 cm × 5 cm) were put into sample bottles connected to the branching arms and an injected WSFC individual was released into the stem (Yang et al., 2017). The 4-MA in paraffin oil was placed into one arm of the Y-tube, while paraffin oil was placed into the other arm as the negative control. Y-tube was cleansed with ethanol after each test. Each experiment contained 30 injected adults and lasted for 20 min.

For food-seeking behavior, the injected WSFCs (pre-starved for 24 h) were released into the four corners of a transparent box. After the insects adapted to the environment (~15 min), half of a fresh peach was introduced into the center of the box. The WSFCs were allowed to seek food for 20 min, during which the number of WSFCs arrived food and their foraging time were recorded. If an insect has failed in arriving the food within 20 min, it shall be judged unable to seek food (Liu et al., 2016). Three independent biological repeats were conducted, and each experiment contained 10 post-injected adults.

**Statistical Analysis**

To analyze the results of qRT-PCR, EAG tests, Y-tube tests and food seeking behavior, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s test was used in SPSS 19.0 software. The least significant significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of Full-Length **PbreOrco**

The full-length sequence of **PbreOrco** was obtained based on the reported sequence (MH324899) using 5'-RACE and submitted to GenBank (Access No: MW382164). The open reading frame (ORF) of **PbreOrco** was 1,431 bp and encodes a protein comprising 476 amino acids. The transmembrane prediction results indicated that **PbreOrco** has seven transmembrane domains with an intracellular N-terminus and an extracellular C-terminus, indicating it is a typical Orco protein (Figure 1).

**Sequence Analysis of **PbreOrco**

Increasing reports demonstrate that Orco receptors are highly conserved during insect evolution. Sequence alignment of **PbreOrco** with Orco from nine other Coleoptera insects (**Holotrichia parallela**, **H. oblitia**, **H. plumbea**, **Anomala corporulenta**, **Anoplophora glabripennis**, **Ambrostoma quadriimpressum**, **Rhynchosiphorus ferrugineus**, **Stilophilus oryzae**, and **Tenebrio molitor**) revealed a relatively high amino acid identity. **PbreOrco** was 91.19, 91.19, 91.39, 92.24, 80.71, 79.96, 80.28, 79.42, and 81.21%, respectively, homologous with **HobiOrco** (**H. oblitia**), **HpluOrco** (**H. plumbea**), **HparOrco** (**H. parallela**), **AcorOrco** (**A. corporulenta**), **AglaOrco** (**A. glabripennis**), **AquaOrco** (**A. quadriimpressum**), **RferOrco** (**R. ferrugineus**), **SoryOrco** (**S. oryzae**), and **TmolOrco** (**T. molitor**). In addition, the C-terminal sequences (TM5-TM7) were highly conserved (Figure 1).

Thirty-seven Orco sequences from six insect orders were used to construct a phylogenetic tree. The phylogenetic analysis showed that Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Diptera and Hymenoptera were clustered together in a large branch, while Orthoptera and Hemiptera were in another branch. Compared with other insect Orcos, **PbreOrco** presented a close relationship with Orco of Coleoptera (Figure 2).

**Expression Profiles of **PbreOrco**

qRT-PCR was used to determine the relative expression of **PbreOrco** in different adult tissues. The results showed that the expressions of **PbreOrco** in the antennae of both male and female WSFCs were significantly higher than those in other tissues. Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the expression of Orco between male and female antennae (Figure 3).

**RNAi Efficiency**

During our experiment, the injected WSFCs were all alive. The qRT-PCR results showed that injecting dsRNA significantly decreased the expression of **PbreOrco**. Compared to the dsGFP-injected control WSFCs, the expression of **PbreOrco** was significantly inhibited at 1–9 days of post-injection dsOrco (Figure 4). The knockdown rates were 86.07 and 85.04% for male and female at 5 days of post-injection, and maintained at > 85% in the following several days (Figure 4). Consequently, WSFCs at 6–8 days of post-injection were selected for electrophysiological and behavioral bioassays.

**Silencing **PbreOrco** Impairs the Response to Aggregation Pheromone**

Electroantennography and olfactometer assays were performed to compare the responses of dsGFP-injected and dsOrco-injected WSFCs to aggregation pheromone. The average response values of dsOrco-injected WSFCs nearly halved in comparison with those of dsGFP-injected WSFCs (males: 2.80 mV ± 0.22 vs 1.27 mV ± 0.17 mV; females: 2.78 mV ± 0.35 vs 1.45 mV ± 0.20 mV, respectively) (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure 1). The subsequent olfactometer assays showed that the dsOrco-injected WSFCs showed no preference to 4-MA or solvent control (Male: $F_{1,4} = 0.500, P = 0.519$; Female: $F_{1,4} = 1.997, P = 0.230$), while non-injected (Male: $65.56 ± 5.09%; F_{1,4} = 32.00, P = 0.005$; Female: $71.48 ± 5.70%; F_{1,4} = 50.00, P = 0.002$) and dsGFP-injected WSFCs (Male: $73.33 ± 5.77%; F_{1,4} = 98.00, P = 0.001$; Female: $68.96 ± 8.91%; F_{1,4} = 28.016, P = 0.006$) significantly moved toward 4-MA (Figure 5B), further confirming that RNAi-based silencing of **PbreOrco** impaired the response of both female and male WSFCs to aggregation pheromone.

**Silencing **PbreOrco** Influences Food Seeking**

To further verify the function of **PbreOrco** in insect feeding behavior, we set up behavioral experiments to test the food-seeking activity in response to fresh peaches. All the tested WSFCs had been starved for 24 h prior to the bioassays. The results showed that only 48.52% (male) and 46.67% (female) insects successfully arrived food within 20 min, significantly lower than dsGFP-injected (male: 76.67%; female: 79.63%) (Supplementary Figure 2). Furthermore, compared with the
FIGURE 1 | Sequence analysis of PbreOrco. (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of PbreOrco with other Orcos from Coleoptera insects. Hpar, Holotrichia parallela; Hobl, Holotrichia oblita; Hplu, Holotrichia plumbea; Acor, Anomala corpulenta; Agla, Anoplophora glabripennis; Aqua, Ambrostoma quadriimpressum; Rfer, Rhynchophorus ferrugineus; Sory, Sitophilus oryzae; Tmol, Tenebrio molitor. The sequences used in this analysis listed in Supplementary Table 2. (B) Seven-transmembrane topology of representative PbreOrco. The double line represents the membrane region with labeled extracellular and cytoplasmic sides. TM: transmembrane. The conserved motif (383–389: TVLGYLI) was displayed in red.
dsGFP-injected WSFCs, the dsOrco-injected took more time to find food during the test time (male: 2.65 vs 1.43 min; female: 3.13 vs 1.46 min) (Figure 6 and Supplementary Video 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Odorant receptors (ORs), which transform volatile stimuli to electrical signals in olfactory of insects, play important roles in recognition of various odorants (Leal, 2013). Among all ORs, Orco is the most particular and essential one: it assists the specific-ORs to bind and recognize odorants by forming a heteromeric OR-Orco complex rather than responding to odorants directly (Stengl and Funk, 2013). Thus, identification and functional study of insect Orco could provide further insights into function of ORs. In this study, we successfully cloned the full-length sequence of PbreOrco using the 5′RACE system from the antennae of P. brevitarsis and demonstrated the crucial role of PbreOrco in the olfactory mechanism of P. brevitarsis.

An abundance of reports have documented that insect Orcos are highly conserved amongst species (Krieger et al., 2003; Jones et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2012). As expected, the alignment and homology analysis showed that the sequence of PbreOrco is highly conserved with its orthologs in other beetles (Figure 1A). Especially, its C-terminus showed extremely high conservation among species. This region has been demonstrated to play an indispensable role in the functional interaction of the OR and Orco proteins (Hopf et al., 2015; Butterwick et al., 2018). Furthermore, motif TVVGYLG (393–399) located at the sixth predicted transmembrane helix of DmelOrco in Drosophila melanogaster was thought to comprise a ligand-gated selectivity filter with Val394 and Leu398 in the pores of K+ channels (Wicher et al., 2008). In PbreOrco sequence, a motif (383–389: TVLGYLI) (Figure 1), which is also located...
in the sixth transmembrane helix, is similar to the motif TVVGYLG in DmelOrco, indicating PbreOrco might function via the same mechanism. In addition, qRT-PCR determination showed that PbreOrco was mainly expressed in antennae, without significant difference in transcription level between males and females (Figure 3). These results are consistent with Orcos in Apolygus luctorum, Tenebrio molitor and Rhodnius prolixus (Zhou et al., 2014; Franco et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016), strongly supporting that PbreOrco is essential for insect chemosensation.

Silencing the expression of a targeted gene by RNAi technology is considered as an effective method for functional verification of genes in insects (Huvenne and Smagghe, 2010). To silence a gene through RNAi, direct microinjection and artificial feeding of dsRNA are two frequently-applied approaches. Of them, microinjection is more preferred because it could easily control the precise amount of dsRNA, and induce RNAi more effectively (Franco et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2020). In this study, we also utilized direct injection of dsRNA to introduce RNAi and further study the function of Orco in WSFCs. qRT-PCR results showed that the transcription level of PbreOrco was reduced 86.07ñ90.94% in males and 85.04ñ87.96% in females after 5ñ9 days of injection (Figure 4), suggesting that injecting dsRNA is an ideal tool for studies on function of PbreOrco in vivo. Although the efficiency of silencing PbreOrco was determined to be satisfied within 9 days, the persistence of the silencing effect of other target genes need to be further evaluated. In addition, our results were consistent with that RNAi is a knockdown rather than a knockout method. In WSFCs, however, 9 days was long enough for us to complete the behavioral bioassays. Indeed, the results showed that the silencing of PbreOrco sustained for at least 9 days with an effective silencing rate. Furthermore, appropriately increasing amounts of dsRNA injected to insects could potentially prolong the silencing time (Huvenne and Smagghe, 2010; Miller et al., 2012; Joga et al., 2016). In this study, compared with smaller beetles in size (Liu et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2020), we injected a relatively higher amounts of dsRNA into WSFCs (3 µg for each beetle) to ensure not only the longer silencing time, but also the silencing efficiency for such a large beetle.

Electrophysiological and behavioral bioassays are conventional approaches to evaluate the potential influences of gene silencing on injected insects (Rebijith et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2017; Bolton et al., 2019). We also employed EAG and Y-tube olfactometer to test the responses of WSFCs to
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**PbreOrco Influences Semiochemicals Positioning**

**FIGURE 5 |** Responses of *P. brevitarsis* to aggregation pheromone, 4-methylanisole (4-MA). (A) Electroantennographic (EAG) responses of dsOrco-injected dsGFP-injected and non-injected *P. brevitarsis* to 4-MA. (B) Behavioral response of *P. brevitarsis* to 4-MA in a Y-tube olfactometer. Different letters represent significant difference (*P* < 0.05).

**FIGURE 6 |** Time required for food searching in dsGFP- and dsOrco-injected *P. brevitarsis*. Different letters represent significant difference (*P* < 0.05).
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