Comparison of the effect of argon, hydrogen, and nitrogen gases on the reduced graphene oxide-hydroxyapatite nanocomposites characteristics
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Abstract
In this study, the effect of the argon, nitrogen, and hydrogen gases on the final properties of the reduced graphene oxide-hydroxyapatite nanocomposites synthesized by gas injected hydrothermal method was investigated. Four samples were synthesized, which in the first sample the pressure was controlled by volume change at a constant concentration. In subsequent samples, the pressure inside the autoclave was adjusted by the injecting gases. The initial pressure of the injected gases was 10 bar and the final pressure considered was 25 bar. The synthesized powders were consolidated at 950 °C and 2 MPa by spark plasma sintering method. The final samples were subjected to Vickers indentation analysis. The findings of this study indicate that the injection of argon, hydrogen, and nitrogen gases improved the mechanical properties of the nanocomposites. Injection of gases increased the crystallinity and particle size of hydroxyapatite, and this increase was greater for nitrogen gas than for others. Injection of these gases increased the rate of graphene oxide reduction and in this case the effect of nitrogen gas was greater than the others.
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Introduction
Calcium phosphates have been widely used in the medical field. Members of this family include hydroxyapatite (HA), Tetracalcium phosphate (TeCP), α- Tricalcium phosphate (α-TCP), β- Tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP), dicalcium phosphate dehydrate (DCPD), dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (DCPA), and octacalcium phosphate (OCP). Among these bioceramics, HA is less soluble in the biological environment and therefore suitable for orthopedic applications as an implant [1, 2]. HA is synthesized in a variety of ways, including combustion preparation, solid-state reaction, electrochemical deposition, sol–gel, hydrolysis, precipitation, sputtering, multiple emulsion, biomimetic deposition, solvothermal method, and hydrothermal process [3–15]. The variety of methods has made it possible to synthesize these ceramics in various forms such as rods, wires, ribbons, and tubes [16–21]. HA (Ca10(PO4)6(OH)2) has unique biomaterial properties such as resemblance to bone mineral, biocompatibility, bioactivity, osteoconductivity, non-immunogenicity, and non-toxicity. But still, it has poor mechanical properties such as intrinsic brittleness, low fracture toughness (fracture toughness of 0.28–1.08 MPa.m0.5), poor tensile strength, and weak wear resistance which have limited the scope of its applications [22–31]. Researchers have tried various ways to overcome this weakness, including reducing particle size...
using nanotechnology techniques and adding reinforcing materials. Graphene and its derivatives have been widely used because of their excellent mechanical properties and high specific surface area among the reinforcing materials used so far. Graphene is a member of the carbon nanomaterial family that has a honeycomb structure with a carbon atom thick. It is biocompatible and has been widely used in medical sciences including drug delivery, orthopedics, and bioimaging [32–49].

Different methods have been applied to synthesize hydroxyapatite and graphene nanocomposites so far. Among these methods, the hydrothermal process has received much attention because of its lack of calcination stage, excellent control and the ability to synthesize in situ. In this method, precursors containing calcium and phosphate ions are used as suppliers of the elements required for hydroxyapatite and graphene oxide as the graphene precursor. The high-pressure hydrothermal process results in the synthesis of hydroxyapatite and the reduction of graphene oxide (The more the graphene oxide sheets reduce the better mechanical properties of the synthesized composites). During the process, calcium ions first bind to the graphene oxide surface agents by ionic bond, and finally, in reaction with phosphate ions, hydroxyapatite is synthesized in situ on the surface of the graphene sheets. The synthesized powders are consolidated in various ways such as spark plasma sintering (SPS) and hot pressing (HP). Recently, gas injection into hydrothermal autoclave has been used to increase the rate of graphene oxide reduction. Increasing hydrothermal pressure by injecting gas also improves the mechanical properties of hydroxyapatite. Increasing the hydrothermal pressure in this method increases the crystallinity and crystallite size of HA. But the type of gas injected will affect the final mechanical properties obtained. Previously, researchers have shown that nitrogen gas reduces graphene oxide more than hydrogen gas [50–63].

To investigate the effect of the type of injected gas on the final mechanical properties, three types of gas including argon, nitrogen, and hydrogen are considered in this study and the pressure of the gases was assumed to be constant. The synthesized powders were then consolidated by SPS and examined for mechanical properties. Characterization methods for synthesized powders and sintered samples include X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high angle annular dark field (HAADF), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and Vickers indentation technique. The results obtained in this study are expected to be very useful for similar researches.

**Experimental**

The chemicals used in this study include calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Merck, >99%), diammonium hydrogenphosphate (Merck, >99%), ammonium solution (Merck, 25%), and GO (Abalonyx, 25 g/L DMF). Figure 1 shows the steps for preparing powdered and sintered samples. In this chart, solution 1 (S1) was stirred for 1 h and pH was adjusted with ammonium solution. Finally, four samples were synthesized and the pressure applied was equal in all samples (I, II, III, IV).

In sample I, the volume of the solution was changed by keeping the concentration constant to reach the desired pressure. Table 1 shows the specifications for the samples. In these samples the final pressure was assumed to be 25 bar, and in all of them the graphene content was considered to be 1.5 wt%.

For sintering of the powders, SPS method was used (Dr Sinter SPS-1050-CE). Details of the sintering operation have already been described in detail [64, 65].

**Characterization**

Table 2 shows the specifications of the devices and the methods used for characterization of powders and consolidated samples. Instrumented microindentation experiments were conducted on the polished surfaces of samples using Grindosonic tester with a Vickers tip at a maximum load of 1 N (ramp dwell time of 10 s). Seven tests were performed at different locations of each sample. Elastic modulus and hardness were calculated from the load–displacement curve using Oliver-Pharr method [66]. The Archimedes method was used to calculate the relative density of sintered samples (ASTM C373-88).

Eq. 1 was used to evaluate the crystallinity of HA (Xc) [67].

\[
X_c = 1 - \frac{\upsilon_{112/300}}{I_{300}}
\]  

(1)

where \(\upsilon_{112/300}\) and \(I_{300}\) are the intensity of the hollow between diffraction peaks of HA in the planes (300) and (112) and the intensity of the peak of HA in the plane (300), respectively. Eq. 2 was used to evaluate the crystallite size (Williamson-Hall method) [68].

\[
\beta \cdot \cos \theta = \frac{(0.9\lambda)}{d} + 4\varepsilon \cdot \sin \theta
\]

(2)

In this equation, \(d\), \(\theta\), and \(\lambda\) are grain size, Bragg diffraction angle, and wave length of used X-ray (Cu), respectively. \(\beta\) and \(\varepsilon\) are full width at half height (FWHM), and crystalline lattice strain, respectively. Diamond 3.2, ImageJ, and Origin pro 2016 were used in this study for drawing shapes and graphs.
Results and discussion

Figure 2 shows the XRD pattern of the synthesized powders along with the FESEM and the TEM images for IV powders. The patterns obtained for all powders are consistent with the XRD pattern of pure HA (Fig. 2a). Thus, the HA synthesized in these powders is pure and has a hexagonal structure. The main directions of growth in HA crystals are (211), (300), and (002). A closer look at these patterns reveals that gas injection has increased the peaks intensity (Fig. 2b, c) [52, 64, 65]. But this increase is greater for nitrogen gas than others. Probably, because of the lower solubility of nitrogen gas in water, the pressure drop at higher temperatures is lower for this gas and causes higher pressure. The crystallinity and crystallite size of the sample synthesized with nitrogen gas are also slightly higher than the others. As XRD patterns show, there is no sign of the presence of graphene sheets. But in the FESEM image (Fig. 2d), the presence of graphene sheets is clearly evident. Graphene oxide used as a precursor has a peak at 2theta ≈ 10. As a result of the reduction of GO, this peak is removed and a short, wide peak appears at 2theta ≈ 26. The absence of peaks at 2theta ≈ 10 indicates that the graphene oxide was reduced in all samples. Reduced graphene oxide has an amorphous structure and its broad peak is covered with (002) planes of HA at 2theta ≈ 26. In a previous report, pure GO was exposed to similar hydrothermal conditions, and the results of XPS confirmed that it was well reduced [24].

The TEM image of the HA particles shows that the morphology of these particles is nanorods with diameters of about 40 nm and longitudinally variable. In a similar study, it was found that the growth of these nanorods was (002) planes (c axis) [63, 69].

![Scheme 1](image1.jpg)

Table 1 Specifications for the samples

| Samples | Specification |
|---------|--------------|
| I       | Using volume change to set the pressure |
| II      | 10 bar Argon gas injection |
| III     | 10 bar Hydrogen gas injection |
| IV      | 10 bar Nitrogen gas injection |

Table 2 Specifications of the devices and the methods used for characterization of powders and consolidated samples

| Method         | Device                                         | Specification |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| XRD            | X’ Pert Pro, Panalytical Co                    | Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å, 40 kV, 40 mA) |
| Raman spectroscopy | Renishaw inVia spectrometer                   | Wavelength of 532 nm, green laser (recording 5 times for 10 s of each accumulation) |
| FTIR           | VERTEX 70, Bruker Corp                         | Applying 200 MPa pressures (1 mm thickness) |
| FESEM          | Hitachi S4700 equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy | Au coated by sputtering |
| TEM            | CM120, Philips                                 | –             |
| HAADF, EDS     | TALOS F200A with a twin lens system            | using TALOS microscope in STEM mode, exposure times of 5 min were used to create elemental distribution maps |
| ICP            | DV7300, Optima Co                              | –             |
phases of rGO and HA. Due to the variability of the particle size in these powders, the only issue to be determined from this analysis is the nanorod morphology of the HA particles (in all powders, agglomeration occurs). Therefore, it is better to comment on TEM images [63, 64].

Figure 4 shows the TEM images of the synthesized powders. Figure 5 shows the length size and diameter of the nanorods obtained by image analysis. According to the figures, the nitrogen gas has made the particle size somewhat larger. This is due to increased pressure and increased reaction kinetics. Comparison of the two argon and hydrogen gases shows that the hydrogen gas had a greater effect on particle growth. Argon and hydrogen gas partially dissolve in water. This has led to pressure drop at higher temperatures [52, 63].

Figure 6 shows the FTIR analysis for the synthesized powders, and GO (orange curve). Depending on the Fig. 6a, the identified peaks are related to the formation of HA, which is identical in all samples. The peaks shown in Fig. 6b are related to the oxide agents on the graphene oxide surface. It is obvious that after the reduction of GO, the intensity of these peaks decreased and in the sample using nitrogen gas, these peaks almost disappeared. The OH peak is also less intense in the sample synthesized by nitrogen gas injection (Fig. 6c). Given the Fig. 6d and similarity of the peaks, this analysis cannot be further commented on and requires a stronger analysis such as Raman spectroscopy. But before that, it is necessary to discuss the ratio of calcium to phosphate obtained [52, 63, 69].

Figure 7 shows the HAADF image, elemental Map, and EDS analysis of IV powders. The residual solution after the hydrothermal process was tested by ICP. The results showed that a very small amount of trace elements remained in solution, resulting in calcium to phosphate ratio of 1.67 in the final powder. Due to the homogeneity of the elemental maps and the results of the EDS analysis,
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(a) (002) planes, (b) (211) planes, and (c) (300) planes of HA, which play a major role in the growth of crystals (the main crystalline planes of HA).

Fig. 3  
FESEM images of the synthesized powders using (a) volume change (I), (b) argon (II), (c) hydrogen (III), and (d) nitrogen (IV) gas injection (10 bar).
and the ICP analysis output, the synthesized powders are of good quality. Hydrogen and argon gases had previously been evaluated, and here the nitrogen gas was evaluated. The overall result is that the presence of these gases has no negative effect on the quality and purity of the HA powders [65, 69].

Figure 8 shows the Raman spectroscopy for synthesized powders and GO. Raman spectroscopy is one of the most appropriate methods for evaluating carbon materials. As illustrated in Fig. 8a, all the synthesized powders have similar peaks. The peak located in Raman shift of 960 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the HA structure (Fig. 8b). According to these results, the injection of nitrogen gas increased the intensity of this peak, which is consistent with the results of the XRD analysis. The peaks D and G are related to the graphene structure (Fig. 8c, d). The presence of graphene sheets in all powders has been confirmed so far. To determine the degree of reduction, the ratio of D peak intensity to G peak intensity is considered (ID/IG). In all powders this ratio is increased compared...
As a result, GO sheets in all powders are reduced. But this ratio is higher for hydrogen and nitrogen gases than argon, which is indicative of the greater effect of these gases on reduction of GO. Therefore, the mechanical properties of samples synthesized in the presence of nitrogen and hydrogen gases are expected to be greater [63, 65, 69].

Figure 9 shows the FESEM images of sintered IV fracture surface and load–displacement diagrams for the sintered samples. The black spots on the images (Fig. 9a, b) are related to graphene sheets decorated with HA nanorods. As can be seen, these graphene sheets play a reinforcing role in three dimensions. Despite the use of the ball milling process, the graphene sheets have not yet been uniformly distributed in the nanocomposite. One reason for this heterogeneity is the size of graphene sheets that are not the same. The second reason is the agglomeration of the HA particles which do not allow the graphene sheets to distribute homogeneously. As illustrated in Fig. 9c, the presence of injected gases decreases the contact depth and shifts the graphs to the left. This transfer is greater for nitrogen gas than others. With this transfer, the slope of the elastic zone also increases, resulting in an increase in the elastic modulus. As the contact depth decreases, the area of the Vickers indenter affected zone will decrease and the hardness will increase [52, 64, 65].

Figure 10 shows the diagrams of hardness and elastic modulus variations with the contact depth for the sintered samples. Injection of gases not only increased these properties, but also made these properties more uniform. Nitrogen gas has increased these properties more than other gases (Table 3). The cause of this increase must be considered from two points of view. First, the nitrogen gas is less soluble in water, resulting in increased pressure and increased mechanical properties of HA phase. Second, hydrogen and nitrogen gases react with the surface agents of graphene oxide and cause them to reduce. The effect of nitrogen on the reduction of oxide agents is slightly greater than that of hydrogen. Taken together, these two mechanisms increase the mechanical properties of nanocomposites. Another point seen in the graphs is the increase in hardness with decreasing contact depth. In the case of the elastic modulus, this increase
is not uniform. The reason for this non-uniformity is the directional properties of HA [64, 65]. Compared to other hydroxyapatite-based biomaterials, which are currently commercially available, the mechanical properties of the nanocomposites obtained in this study are higher and also the use of nitrogen gas has further increased the mechanical properties and expanded the range of applications of these biomaterials [70–72].

**Conclusions**

The findings of this study indicate that injection of argon, hydrogen and nitrogen gases improved the mechanical properties of rGO-HA nanocomposites. Injection of gases increased crystallinity and particle size of HA, and this increase was greater for nitrogen gas than for others. Injection of these gases increased the rate of GO reduction and in this case the effect of nitrogen gas was greater than the others. The powders synthesized in this study
included graphene sheets decorated with HA nanorods. The addition of graphene sheets made the mechanical properties of HA more uniform. Powder characterizations showed that injection of gases increased the HA-related peak intensities in XRD analysis, decreased the GO-peak intensities in FTIR analysis, and increased the ID/IG ratio in Raman spectroscopy. The results of this study could be useful for gas injection applications in hydrothermal autoclave.
Fig. 8  Raman spectroscopy for a–c synthesized powders using volume change (I), argon (II), hydrogen (III), nitrogen (IV) gas injection (10 bar), and d GO.

Fig. 9  a, b FESEM images of the sintered powders synthesized using nitrogen (IV) gas injection (10 bar) and c load–displacement diagrams for the sintered powders synthesized using volume change (I), argon (II), hydrogen (III), nitrogen (IV) gas injection (10 bar). d SEM image of the Vickers affected zone.

Fig. 10  a Hardness and b elastic modulus of the sintered powders synthesized using volume change (I), argon (II), hydrogen (III), nitrogen (IV) gas injection (10 bar).
Table 3  Mechanical characteristics of the sintered samples

| Samples | Hardness (GPa) | Elastic modulus (GPa) |
|---------|---------------|----------------------|
| I       | 5.39 ± 0.75   | 105.74 ± 2.68        |
| II      | 6.57 ± 0.12   | 114.36 ± 2.42        |
| III     | 6.96 ± 0.21   | 119.31 ± 0.77        |
| IV      | 8.10 ± 0.24   | 125.23 ± 3.77        |

Abbreviations
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Acknowledgements
The authors of this article sincerely thank Department of Materials Engineering (Tarbiat Modares University, Iran), Department of Clinical Medicine (Aarhus University, Denmark), and the Department of Bioceramics (Institutes of Ceramics and Glass, Madrid, Spain) for their support in conducting this research.

Authors' contributions
HN, DQSL and MCP wrote the paper. AA and AKB have collaborated on the corrections of the manuscript. HN, RZE and DQSL designed the research. CEB and RSM performed the research; RZE contributed for some work, images, and analysis. HN, RZE and DQSL and MCP wrote the paper. AA and ARK have collaborated on the corrections of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
There is no official funding. XRD and FTIR were performed at Tarbiat Modares University (Iran). Raman spectroscopy and indentation testing were performed at ICV‑CSIC (Spain), and the SEM and TEM analyses were performed at Aarhus University (Denmark).

Availability of data and materials
All data provided in the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not Applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 Department of Materials Engineering, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. 2 Faculty of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran. 3 Department of Energy and Environmental Biotechnology, National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (NIGEB), 14965/161, Tehran, Iran. 4 National Cell Bank of Iran, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran. 5 Tissues and Biomaterials Research Group (TBRG), Universal Scientific Education and Research Network (USERN), Tehran, Iran. 6 Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. 7 Instituto de Cerámica Y Vidrio, CSIC, Madrid, Spain.

Received: 6 March 2020   Accepted: 19 September 2020   Published online: 07 October 2020

References
1. Ahmadi AH, Nosrati H, Sarraf‑Mamoory R (2020) Decreasing β‑ three calcium phosphate particle size using graphite as nucleation sites and diethylene glycol as a chemical additive. J Bioeng Res. https://doi.org/10.22034/JBR.2019.21137.1016
2. Nosrati H, Le DQS, Emameh RZ, Burger CE (2019) Characterization of the precipitated dicalcium phosphate dehydrate on the graphene oxide surface as a bone cement reinforcement. J Tissues Mater 2(1):33–46
3. Camillas M, Rivero R, García‑Carrodeguas R, Barba F, Rodríguez MA (2017) Processing of hydroxyapatite obtained by combustion synthesis. Boletín de la Sociedad Española de Cerámica y Vidrio 56(9):237–242
4. Guo X, Yan H, Zhao S, Zhang L, Li Y, Liang X (2013) Effect of calcining temperature on particle size of hydroxyapatite synthesized by sinter‑state reaction at room temperature. Adv Powder Technol 4(6):1034–1038
5. Shakhshazadeh M (1998) Direct formation of nanophase hydroxyapatite on cathodically polarized electrodes. J Mater Sci Mater Med 9:67–72
6. Jilavenkatesa A, Condrate RA Sr (1998) Sol‑gel processing of hydroxyapatite. J Mater Sci 33:4111–4119
7. Kuriakose TA, Kalkura SN, Palanichami M, Anurudi D, Diersk K, Bocelli G, Betzel C (2004) Synthesis of stoichiometric nano crystalline hydroxyapatite by ethanol‑based sol‑gel technique at low temperature. J Cryst Growth 263(1–4):517–523
8. Simitsis CY, Veresog AG, Kovaleva ES, Kolenko YV, Putlyayev VL, Troyakov YD (2005) Synthesis of hydroxyapatite by hydrolysis of α‑Ca3(PO4)2. Russ Chem Bull 54(1):79–86
9. Liu C, Huang Y, Shen W, Cui J (2000) Kinetics of hydroxyapatite precipitation at pH 10 to 1. Biomaterials 22(4):301–306
10. Manuel CM, Ferraz MP, Monteiro FJ (2003) Synthesis of hydroxyapatite and tri calcium phosphate nanoparticles preliminary studies. Key Eng Mater 240–242:555–558
11. Yamashita K, Arashi T, Kitagaki K, Yamada S, Umegaki T (1994) Preparation of apatite thin films through rfsputtering from calcium phosphate glasses. J Am Ceram Soc 77(9):2401–2407
12. Kimura I (2007) Synthesis of hydroxyapatite by interfacial reaction in a multiple emulsion. Res Lett Mater Sci. https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/71294
13. Ta AC (2000) Synthesis of biomimetic Ca‑hydroxyapatite powders at 37 degrees C in synthetic body fluids. Biomaterials 21:1429–1438
14. Qi C, Zhu YJ, Ding GJ, Wu J, Chen F (2015) Solvothermal synthesis of hydroxyapatite nanostructures with various morphologies using adenosine 50‑monophosphate sodium salt as an organic phosphorus source. RSC Adv 5:3792–3798
15. Nosrati H, Sarraf‑Mamoory R, Emameh RZ, Le DQS, Perez MC, Burger CE (2020) Low temperature consolidation of hydroxyapatite‑reduced graphene oxide nano‑structured powders. Mater Adv 1(5):1337–1346
16. Peng F, Yu X, Wei M (2011) In vitro cell performance on hydroxyapatite particles/poly(l‑lactic acid) nanofibrous scaffolds with an excellent particle alignment along nanofiber orientation. Acta Biomater 7:2585–2592
17. Jevtić M, Mitrić Š, Škapin S, Jančar B, Igljatović N, Uskoković D (2008) Crystal structure of hydroxyapatite nanorods synthesized by sonochemical homogeneous precipitation. Cryst Growth Des 8:2127–2122
18. Costa DO, Dixon SJ, Rizkalla AS (2012) One‑ and three‑dimensional growth of hydroxyapatite nanowires during sol‑gel‑hydrothermal synthesis. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 4:1490–1499
19. Zhang Y, Lu J, Wang J, Yang S, Chen Y (2009) Synthesis of nanorod and needle‑like hydroxyapatite crystal and role of pH adjustment. J Cryst Growth 311:4740–4746
20. Chandanshive BB, Rai P, Rossi AL, Ersen O, Khushalani D (2013) Synthesis of hydroxyapatite nanotubes assisted by needle‑like calcium carbonate. J Mater Sci Mater Med 240–242:555–558
21. Sun Q, Lou JT, Kang F, Chen Y, Wang J (2009) Synthesis of hydroxyapatite nanorods preliminary studies. Key Eng Mater 33:2981–2986
22. Nosrati H, Sarraf‑Mamoory R, Dabir F (2019) Characterization of precipitated dicalcium phosphate dehydrate on the graphene oxide surface as a bone cement reinforcement. J Tissues Mater 2(1):33–46
23. Nosrati H, Sarraf‑Mamoory R, Le DQS, Ahmadi AH, Perez MC, Burger CE (2020) Investigating the mechanical behavior of hydroxyapatite‑reduced
graphene oxide nanocomposite under different loading rates. Nano Express. https://doi.org/10.1088/2632-959X/ab98e2

25. Zhang R, Metoki N, Sharabani-Yosef O, Zhu H, Eliaz N (2016) Hydroxyapatite/mesoporous graphene/single-walled carbon nanotubes freestanding flexible hybrid membranes for regenerative medicine. Adv Funct Mater 26:7965–7973

26. Ding M, Sahebgohari N, Musharavat F, Jaber F, Zalinezhad E, Yoon GH (2018) Synthesis and properties of HA/ZnO/CNT nanocomposite. Ceram Int 44:7746–7753

27. Nosrati H, Sarraf-Mamory R, Le DQS, Bünger CE (2020) Evaluation of argon-gas-injected solvothermal synthesis of hydroxyapatite crystals followed by high-frequency induction heat sintering. Cryst Growth Des 5:3182–3189

28. Li M, Xiong P, Yan F, Li S, Ren C, Yin Z, Li A, Li H, Ji X, Zheng Y, Cheng Y (2018) An overview of graphene-based hydroxyapatite composites for orthopedic applications. Bioactive Mater 3:1–18

29. Gao C, Peng P, Peng S, Shuai C (2017) Carbon nanotube, graphene and boron nitride nanotube reinforced bioactive ceramics for bone repair. Acta Biomater 61:1–20

30. Lee JJ, Shin YC, Song SJ, Cha JM, Hong SW, Lim YJ, Jeong SJ, Han DW, Kim B (2018) Dicalcium phosphate coated with graphene synergistically increases osteogenic differentiation in vitro. Coatings 8(1):1–12

31. Nosrati H, Sarraf-Mamory R, Le DQS, Bünger CE (2020) Enhanced fracture toughness of three-dimensional graphitic hydroxyapatite-nanocomposites by employing the Taguchi method. Compos B 190:107928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2020.107928

32. Zhang L, Rodríguez J, Raaz J, Myles AJ, Fenniri H, Webster TJ (2009) Bioactivity and mechanical properties of nanocomposites at low graphene content. ACS Nano 3(12):3884–3890

33. Mittal M, Nath SK, Prakash S (2013) Improvement in mechanical properties of plasma sprayed hydroxyapatite coatings by Au2O3 reinforcement. Mater Sci Eng C 33(5):2838–2845

34. Oktar FN (2006) Hydroxyapatite–TiO2 composites. Mater Lett 60(17):2207–2210

35. Li H, Song X, Li B, Kang J, Liang C, Wang H et al (2017) Carbon nanotube-reinforced mesoporous hydroxyapatite composites with excellent mechanical and biological properties for bone replacement material application. Mater Sci Eng C 77:1078–1087

36. Abden MJ, Afroz JE, Alam MS, Bahadur NM (2016) Pressureless sintering and mechanical properties of hydroxyapatite/graphene-reinforced composites: Comparative study. Mater Sci Eng C 67:418–424

37. Liu M, Xiong P, Yan F, Li S, Ren C, Yin Z et al (2018) An overview of graphene-based hydroxyapatite composites for orthopedic applications. Bioactive Mater 3(1):1–18

38. Qi Y, He F, Yu C, Liang X, Liang D, Ma L et al (2018) Advances on graphene-based nanomaterials for biomedical applications. Mater Sci Eng C 90:764–780

39. Nie C, Ma L, Li S, Fan X, Yang Y, Cheng G, Zhao W, Zhao C (2019) Recent progresses in graphene based bio-functional nanostructures for advanced biological and cellular interfaces. Nano Today 26:57–97

40. Gadepalli S, Guo ZX (2015) Graphene-based materials: synthesis and gas sorption, storage and separation. Prog Mater Sci 69:1–60

41. Bai RG, Ninnan N, Muthosamy K, Manickam S (2018) Graphene: a versatile platform for nanotheranostics and tissue engineering. Prog Mater Sci 91:24–69

42. Shin SR, Li YC, Jiang HL, Khoshakhlagh P, Akbari M, Nasajpour A et al (2016) Graphene-based materials for tissue engineering. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 105:255–274

43. Stolle MD, Park S, Zhu Y, An J, Ruoff RS (2008) Graphene-based ultrastructurapaptors. Nano Lett 8(10):3498–3502

44. Liu J, Dong J, Zhao T, Peng Q (2018) Graphene-based nanomaterials and their potentials in advanced drug delivery and cancer therapy. J Control Release 286:64–73

47. Hussien NA, Khan N, Türk M (2018) Aptamer-functionalized magnetic graphene oxide nanocarrier for targeted drug delivery of paclitaxel. Mater Chem Phys 211:479–488

48. Paz E, Fonral D, del Real JC, Dunne N (2017) Graphene oxide versus graphene for optimisation of PMMA bone cement for orthopaedic applications. Mater Sci Eng C 77:1003–1011

49. Lin J, Chen X, Huang P (2016) Graphene-based nanomaterials for bioimaging. Adv Drug Deliv Rev Part B 105:242–254

50. Liu Y, Huang J, Niinomi M, Li H (2016) Inhibited grain growth in hydroxyapatite–graphene nanocomposites during high temperature treatment and their enhanced mechanical properties. Ceram Int 42:11248–11255

51. Xie X, Hu K, Fang D, Shang L, Tran SD, Cerruti M (2015) Graphene and hydroxyapatite self-assemble into homogeneous, free standing nanocomposite hydrogels for bone tissue engineering. Nanoscale 7:7992–8002

52. Baradaran S, Moghaddam E, Basirun WJ, Mehrali M, Sookhakian H, Hamidi M et al (2014) Mechanical properties and biomedical application of a nanotube hydroxyapatite-reduced graphene oxide composite. Carbon 69:32–45

53. Zhang L, Liu W, Yue C, Zhang T, Li P, Xing Z et al (2013) A tough graphene nanosheet/hydroxyapatite composite with improved in vitro biocompatibility. Carbon 61:105–115

54. Rodríguez-González C, Ol-Luna HE, Sales P, Castaño VM (2014) Hydroxyapatite-functionalized graphene: a new hybrid nanomaterial. J Nanomater 940903:1–7

55. Zeng Y, Pei X, Yang S, Qin H, Cai H, Hu S, Sui L, Wan Q, Wang J (2016) Graphene oxide/hydroxyapatite composite coatings fabricated by electrochemical deposition. Surf Coat Technol 286:72–79

56. Xie W, Song F, Wang R, Sun S, Li M, Fan Z, Liu B, Zhang Q, Wang J (2018) Mechanically robust 3D graphene-hydroxyapatite hybrid bioceramics with enhanced osteoconductivity and biocompatible performance. Crystals 10(58):1–12

57. Radha G, Venkatesan B, Veilachamy E, Balakumar S (2018) Structural, mechanical and biological insights on reduced graphene nanosheets reinforced sorochemically processed nano hydroxyapatite ceramics. Ceram Int 44:8777–8787

58. Türk S, Altunsoy I, Celebi Efe G, Ipak M, Ozacar M, Bindal C (2018) The effect of reduction of graphene oxide on the formation of hydroxyapatite and tricalcium phosphate. Vacuum 148:1–10

59. Nie W, Peng C, Zhou X, Chen L, Wang W, Zhang Y, Ma PX, He C (2017) Three-dimensional porous scaffold by self-assembly of reduced graphene oxide and nano-hydroxyapatite composites for bone tissue engineering. Carbon 116:325–337

60. Zhang L, Liu W, Yue C, Zhang T, Li P, Xing Z, Chen Y (2013) A tough graphene nanosheet/hydroxyapatite composite with improved in vitro biocompatibility. Carbon 61:105–115

61. Liu Y, Huang J, Li H (2013) Synthesis of hydroxyapatite-reduced graphite oxide nanocomposites for biomedical applications: oriented nucleation and epitaxial growth of hydroxyapatite. J Mater Chem B 1:1836–1834

62. Zhao Y, Sun KN, Wang WL, Wang YX, Sun XL, Liang YJ, Sun XN, Chui PF (2013) Microstructure and anisotropic mechanical properties of graphene nanoplatelet toughened biphasic calcium phosphate composite. Ceram Int 39:7627–7634

63. Nosrati H, Sarraf-Mamory R, Dabir F, Le DQS, Buenger CE, Perez MC, Rodriguez MA (2019) Effects of hydrothermal pressure on in situ synthesis of 3D graphene/hydroxyapatite nano structured powders. Ceram Int 45:1761–1769

64. Nosrati H, Sarraf-Mamory R, Le DQS, Buenger CE (2019) Preparation of reduced graphene oxide/hydroxyapatite nanocomposite and evaluation of graphene sheets/hydroxyapatite interface. Diam Relat Mater 105:107561

65. Nosrati H, Sarraf-Mamory R, Le DQS, Buenger CE, Emanem RZ, Dabir F (2020) Gas injection approach for synthesis of hydroxyapatite nanorods via hydrothermal method. Mater Charact 159:101167

66. Oliver V, Pharr G (1992) An improved technique for determining hardness and elastic modulus using load and displacement sensing indentation experiments. J Mater Res 7:1564–1583

67. Wijesinghe WP, Mantilaka MM, Premalal EV, Herath HM, Mahalingam S, Edrisiringhe M (2014) Facile synthesis of both needle-like and spherical hydroxyapatite nanoparticles: effect of synthetic temperature and annealing process. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 14:4722–4731
calcination on morphology, crystallite size and crystallinity. Mater Sci Eng C 42:83–90
68. Kaygili O, Koser S, Bulut N, Ates T (2018) Characterization of Mg-containing hydroxyapatites synthesized by combustion method. Phys B 537:63–67
69. Nosrati H, Sarraf-Mamoory R, Le DQS, Bunger CE (2020) Fabrication of gelatin/hydroxyapatite/3D-graphene scaffolds by a hydrogel 3D-printing method. Mater Chem Phys 239:122305
70. Siddiqui HA, Pickering KL, Mucalo MR (2018) A review on the use of hydroxypatite-carbonaceous structure composites in bone replacement materials for strengthening purposes. Materials 11:1813. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11101813
71. Bulut B, Demirkol N, Erkmen ZE, Kayali ES (2015) Comparison of microstructural and mechanical properties of hydroxyapatite-Al2O3 composites with commercial inert glass (CIG) addition. Acta Phys Pol A 127:1094–1096
72. Erkmen ZE, Genc Y, Oktar FN (2007) Microstructural and mechanical properties of hydroxyapatite-zirconia composites. J Am Ceram Soc 90:2885–2892

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.