Outcomes of Fast-Track Multidisciplinary Care of Hip Fractures in Veterans: A Geriatric Hip Fracture Program Report
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Background: Hip fractures are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in the elderly. Fast-track multidisciplinary co-management of these patients, rapid preoperative optimization, early surgery, and expeditious rehabilitation may minimize morbidity and mortality. In this study, we evaluated outcomes of fixation of hip fractures in the elderly patients managed by Geriatric Hip Fracture Program at a military hospital in India.

Methods: A total of 114 patients above 60 years of age with hip fractures were enrolled. They were comanaged by a team of specialists and fast-tracked to surgery. Independent ambulation with support of a walker was achieved before discharge to home. Patients were followed up for 1 year.

Results: The average age of the 114 patients was 77 years; 24 patients were octogenarian. Eighty-four percent of injuries were due to a domestic fall. Hypertension (41%) and diabetes (22%) were the most common comorbidities. All patients were optimized before surgery. The average delay from injury to admission was 1.7 days (range, 0 to 14 days) and that from admission to surgery was 1.8 days (range, 0 to 19 days). Hence, the average time from injury to surgery was 3.5 days. The length of stay in hospital was, as per rehabilitative milestones achieved, 2 to 5 days in 40% of the patients and 6 to 15 days in 60% of the patients. At 1 year after surgery, 95 patients were independently ambulant (56 patients with support and 39 patients without support). Twenty-three percent of the patients had postoperative complications and eight patients died (7.7%) at 1-year follow-up; 11 patients were lost to follow-up.

Conclusions: Elderly hip fracture has a high risk of mortality (14%–58%). Thus, expeditious surgery within 24 hours of admission has been advocated in the Western literature to minimize mortality. Mortality rate at 1 year after surgery remains at 10% to 24%. In our study, even with aggressive co-management, the average delay to hip fracture fixation was more than 3 days; however, the 1-year mortality was relatively low (7.7%). This indicates the importance of preoperative optimization and postoperative rehabilitation for independent ambulation and mortality reduction in the elderly population.
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comorbidities. In developing countries, because health care facilities are not available or unaffordable, the comorbidities are inadequately managed. Usually, these patients have delayed presentation (24–72 hours after injury) with missed meals and medications. Recumbency resulting from fracture decompensates them. After initial care in the intensive care facility, these patients require multiple cross-referrals and preoperative optimization of health before safe surgery can be undertaken. Current Western literature recommends expeditious surgical care (within 24 hours) of hip fractures in elderly patients for optimal outcomes and decreased mortality. In developing countries, however, often there is a surgical delay, which may affect the achievement of optimal outcomes.

Most medical centers worldwide are trying to expedite (24–72 hours) the hip fracture surgery in elderly patients. However, there is conflicting literature wherein some studies have shown patient optimization before surgery is a more important determinant of early mortality rate than time to surgery is. Restoration of mobility and ability to perform activities of daily living (ADL) independently at home has also been shown to affect 1-year mortality. We instituted Geriatric Hip Fracture Program (GHFP) at our hospital in January 2016. It incorporated three elements: rapid optimization with co-management, early surgery, and restoration of independent ambulatory ability (in our in-hospital rehabilitation facility) before discharge of patients for home care. We initiated the Geriatric Hip Fracture Registry, in which data that would help us study the effect of the program was recorded. The primary objectives of our study were to investigate the 1-year mortality in patients with hip fracture operated at our center after the institution of GHFP and to compare the rate with that in the current Western literature. Our secondary objective was to evaluate their ambulatory ability at 1 year after surgery. We also examined the perioperative complications and readmissions.

**METHODS**

This was a prospective cohort study. After obtaining local ethics committee approval of Air Force Hospital Kanpur (02/EC/ICMM/AFH/2016), we screened all patients who were more than 60 years of age, presented to our hospital with acute hip fracture (neck of the femur and trochanteric region), and were willing to participate in the study. Excluded patients were those who presented more than two weeks after injury, had a periprosthetic/pathological fracture, or were not independently mobile with or without support because of the prior neuromuscular or skeletal condition. We informed the enrolled patients and their family of the need to follow up with us till 1 year after surgery. In case of any difficulty in reporting to the hospital, we conducted a phone call follow-up.

**Key Elements of Our GHFP**

The management of hip fractures entails complex yet cohesive care from presentation to the emergency department, through departments of radiology, anesthesia, orthopaedic surgery, medicine, and rehabilitation. Techniques to expedite preoperative care can shorten operative delays. An orthopaedic matron (PS) was designated as the dedicated trauma coordinator for fast tracking patients with hip fractures to surgery. She was responsible for organizing the operating room lists and perioperative care, securing hospital beds, and acting as a liaison with the radiology department, an orthopaedic surgeon, a physician, an anesthetist, and physiotherapists, and porting services. Nursing and emergency room staff was well acquainted with special needs and protocols of GHFP. When a patient with suspected hip fracture arrived at the casualty room of our hospital, immediate communication to an orthopaedic surgeon, the orthopaedic matron, a physician, an anesthetist, operating room assistants, and physiotherapists was ensured. By the time the patient was admitted, all cross-referrals were done and patient optimization was started simultaneously with anesthetic evaluation for early surgery in an appropriate risk grade. On admission of a patient, the orthopaedic matron confirmed early baseline hematological and biochemical values, blood products were crossmatched and kept ready, and the next of kin (NOK) was consulted regarding the operative procedure—medicolegal, physical, and psychological preparation, rehabilitation milestones, home care needs, and discharge protocol. Transferring the patient to the operation theatre with trauma precautions after initial stabilization was done at the earliest. Under the program, with mutual consent of the anaesthetist, the medical specialist, and the orthopaedic surgeon, the patient with nonmodifiable risk factors were not overinvestigated to avoid unnecessary delay in surgery and were taken up for fracture fixation under an appropriate risk grade. Hence, most of the patients were operated within 24 hours of arrival at the hospital. For patients with modifiable risks that require optimization, expeditious management was started in 24 to 48 hours. The common comorbidities resulting in a delay were dehydration and electrolyte imbalance, unoptimized diabetes mellitus, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

For fixation of these fractures, state-of-the-art im-
plants were used with principles of minimally invasive surgery to decrease surgical scars. All fractures requiring fixation were operated under the guidance of an image intensifier on the traction table. The fractures were reduced in a closed manner, and a small incision was used to fix the fracture with an intramedullary device without opening of the fracture site. The average duration of surgery was 44 minutes (± 18 minutes) with less than 200 mL of blood loss. Patients received an injection of Cefazolin 2 g 1 hour before surgery, which was followed by another injection of 2 g at 12 hours after surgery. If urinary catheterization was required, aminoglycoside (adjusted dose) was administered as prophylaxis before catheter insertion, and a levofloxacin tablet 500 mg/day was administered for 5 days. Special care was taken to avoid electrolyte imbalance, hypotension, renal insult, deep vein thrombosis, and bedsore. In view of patients’ age and comorbidities, we avoided the use of narcotics and sedatives. An aggressive physical therapy protocol with mechanical and chemical prophylaxis was used: weight-adjusted prophylactic dose of enoxaparin injection till discharge followed by a rivaroxaban tablet 10 mg/day till 5 weeks after surgery for prevention of venous thromboembolism. We encouraged early ambulation (within 24 hours after surgery) and permitted discharge only after the patient could walk with a walker and perform ADL with reasonable independence. The NOK was trained for ambulatory needs of the patients and home care.

A very important part of our program was effective NOK counselling. An extended period of hospital stay of the elderly patients leads to not only increased rates of complications but also tremendous socioeconomic burden on the society. To ensure rapid discharge and early rehabilitation, we started NOK and caretaker counselling from admission. The aim was to allay all anxiety of the NOK and involve them in the care of the patient from the beginning. This ensured that we were able to effectively rehabilitate the elderly patients back into the community at the earliest. The NOK was educated about the needs of the elderly in terms of physiotherapy, diet, medications, and general nursing care required at home. The physician, nursing staff, physiotherapist, and dietitian would counsel

127 Patients assessed for eligibility: more than 60 years of age with hip fracture (femoral neck and trochanteric region)

114 Elderly patients enrolled and managed operatively under geriatric hip fracture program

Postoperative in-hospital complications recorded

At 12 months
- 103 Patients were available for final evaluation (14 follow-up by phone)
- Assessed for mortality, ambulatory ability and function (Parker mobility score), and all-cause readmission

11 Lost to follow-up

The 1-year mortality rate and incidence of complications were compared with those in the literature.
the patient and NOK to ensure early discharge and minimum readmissions in these patients.

Data Collection
A dedicated multidisciplinary team of physicians—surgeons, anesthetists, nursing coordinators and physio-occupational therapists—ran the GHFP. We recorded demographic and injury characteristics of the patients, including delay to definitive surgery. Predefined perioperative complications and all serious adverse effects were meticulously recorded. The patients were followed up for 1 year (through visits to the outpatient department and phone calls) to look for all causes of readmission, mortality, and ambulatory status using the Parker mobility score (Fig. 1). At the final follow-up, we compared our results with those of the existing literature.

## RESULTS

A total of 114 elderly patients (age > 60 years) with hip fractures were enrolled from January 2016 to December 2016. The mean age of the patients was 77 years. There were 58 men and 56 women. Most of the patients had multiple comorbidities with an average Functional Comorbidity Index (FCI)\(^8\) of 1.7 (Table 1). Thirty percent of patients had hypertension and 23% had diabetes mellitus. Less than 10% of the patients had chronic obstructive lung disease (7%) and ischemic heart disease (5%). In 88% of the patients, the surgery was done within 48 to 72 hours of injury; however, more than 50% of patients were brought only after 48 hours of injury. The average time from injury to surgery was 3.5 days (Table 2). In 12% of patients, surgery was delayed beyond 7 days because they either arrived late or needed extended time for optimization. Eighty-four percent of hip fractures were due to a domestic fall. Sixty-eight patients required fracture fixation and 46 underwent hip arthroplasty. In 40% of the patients, the average length of stay was 2 to 5 days; however, in 60%, the stay was extended from 6 to 15 days because of complications in a few patients and need for extended rehabilitation for performing ADL in some patients. The average length of stay of these patients was 7.6 days. Fourteen of the 114 patients (12%) needed readmission in the first three months of follow-up. The most common complication was wound complication, followed by dyselectrolytemia (4%)

### Table 1. Age Distribution, Comorbidities, and FCI in the Patients Enrolled for the Study

| Variable                                    | Value       |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Age distribution (yr)                       |             |
| 60–70                                       | 53 (46)     |
| 71–80                                       | 42 (37)     |
| > 80                                        | 19 (17)     |
| Comorbidity                                 |             |
| No associated comorbidity                   | 32          |
| Anaemia                                     | 56          |
| Hypertension                                | 35          |
| Diabetes mellitus                           | 14          |
| Hypertension with diabetes mellitus         | 12          |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease       | 9           |
| Coronary artery disease                     | 6           |
| Pulmonary tuberculosis                      | 0           |
| Chronic liver disease                       | 0           |
| Hypothyroidism                              | 6           |
| Neurological disorder                       | 6           |
| Bronchial asthma                            | 2           |
| Chronic kidney disease                      | 4           |
| Psychiatric disorder                        | 1           |
| Miscellaneous                               | 14          |
| FCI                                         |             |
| No. of diseases                             |             |
| 1                                           | 56          |
| 2                                           | 33          |
| 3                                           | 12          |
| 4                                           | 7           |
| 5                                           | 4           |
| 6                                           | 2           |

Values are presented as number (%) or number. FCI: Functional Comorbidity Index.

### Table 2. Means and Ranges of Delays from the Time of injury to Surgery

| Variable                                      | Mean (range) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Time from injury to admission (day)           | 1.7 (0–14)   |
| Time from admission to surgery (day)          | 1.8 (0–19)   |
| Time from injury to surgery (day)             | 3.5 (0–19)   |
and postoperative confusion (3%) (Table 3).

At 1 year after surgery, we could follow up 103 patients, 11 could not be contacted. There were eight mortalities (7.7%): one in-hospital, five within 90 days, and the other two at 1-year follow-up. We evaluated the ambulatory status and functional ability of the 95 patients who were alive and thus could be followed up at 1 year. All patients were ambulant, 41% of the patients were ambulant unaided and 59% needed ambulatory aid in the form of a walker or walking stick. Regarding the Parker mobility score,9 50% of patients were community ambulators and 45% were independent home ambulators with or without support. Only 5% of patients were dependent for their ADL.

### DISCUSSION

Expeditious surgical care of geriatric hip fractures is gradually being accepted as the standard of care at most centers around the world.7,8,10 The predominant predictors of adverse effect or mortality after hip fracture surgery in the elderly patients are increasing age, male sex, multiple comorbidities, and ambulatory status after discharge. Time to surgery still remains a contentious factor; some studies categorically showed that when the effects of other confounders were controlled, time to surgery had insignificant effect on mortality after hip fracture surgery. Expeditious surgery (within 24 hours) after hip fracture in the elderly patients is considered the standard of care in the current treatment guidelines of Western countries, whereas in developing countries, like India, expeditious surgery is almost impossible because of the limited presence of health care facilities and poor socioeconomic conditions. However, there are some studies showing mortality could be reduced in elderly patients with hip fracture by addressing comorbidities through co-management, performing surgery in a reasonable time frame (2–3 days), and restoring ambulatory ability. As a military hospital with adequate resources, we were able to institute the GHFP, which include all these aspects of comprehensive geriatric hip fracture care.

In this prospective study, 103 out of 114 patients with hip fracture were evaluated at 1-year follow-up regarding mobility index, complication, and mortality. The mean age of the enrolled patients (77 years) was similar to that in recent studies.11-13 Unlike most other studies where the number of female patients (80%) was significantly greater than that of male patients, we had an almost equal number of male (51%) and female patients. Schnell et al.4 and da Costa et al.14 reported male sex as an adverse predictor of 1-year mortality; on the contrary, in our study, even with a higher proportion of male, the 1-year mortality rate was low. Baseline health status, as assessed by the comorbidity pattern in our study, was similar to that in most other studies where diabetes mellitus and hypertension were most common comorbidities, followed by coronary artery disease; pulmonary and neurological disorders were also reported as the major comorbidities affecting the elderly patients. The average FCI was 1.7 in our patients. Most of the hip fractures in our study (84%) were due to low-energy falls at home, as in the study by Daniachi et al.13 Therefore, we think that improving home environment to prevent falls in the elderly, as suggested by Siqueira et al.15 and Neto et al.,16 is extremely important to decrease the incidence of hip fractures.

### Table 3. Complications at 1-Year Follow-up

| Variable                     | No. (%) |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Local complication           |         |
| Wound                        |         |
| Surgical site infection      | 4 (4)   |
| Superficial incisional       | 1 (1)   |
| Prosthetic joint infection   | 3 (3)   |
| Dislocation                  | 2 (2)   |
| Systemic complication        |         |
| Neurological                 |         |
| Postoperative confusion      | 3 (3)   |
| Seizure                      | 1 (1)   |
| Post spinal headache         | 1 (1)   |
| Cardiac                      |         |
| Cardiac arrest requiring CPR | 1 (1)   |
| Sustained hypotension        | 2 (2)   |
| Metabolic                    |         |
| Hyponatremia                 | 5 (4)   |
| Others                       |         |
| Blood transfusion            | 8 (7)   |
| Death                        | 7 (7)   |
| Readmission                  | 14 (12) |

CPR: cardiopulmonary resuscitation.
Even with rapid optimization and early surgery, because of delayed presentation (after 48 hours of injury in 50% of cases) and frequent need for preoperative optimization period (> 7 days in 12% of cases), we could not perform definitive surgery within 24 hours in most cases as recommended by various Western studies.\(^23,24\) However, even with the average surgical delay of 3.5 days, the 1-year mortality rate in our study was 7.7%; the rate ranges from 11% to 26% in many Western studies (Table 4). Even if we presume that all of the patients lost to follow-up (11 patients) had died, the 1-year mortality rate of our study will be less than 18%, which is still comparable to those reported in the Western studies. In another domestic study, Jain et al.\(^20\) followed up patients with a very similar geriatric hip fracture. In the study, the 6-month mortality was 6% and the 2-year rate was 10.9%, which are comparable to our 1-year rate of 7.7%.

Our study supports the findings of Daniachi et al.,\(^13\) Choi et al.,\(^18\) and Guerra et al.\(^17\) who reported that time to surgery did not make a significant difference in mortality in elderly patients with hip fracture. They emphasized the importance of optimizing comorbidities in the perioperative period and ensuring independent living at home. Lefaivre et al.,\(^22\) in their regression analysis, also showed a delay in surgery had no effect on in-hospital mortality when the effects of other confounders were adjusted.

In our study, common postoperative complications encountered were transfusion-requiring anemia (n = 8, 7%), hyponatremia (n = 5, 4%), and superficial surgical site infections (n = 4, 4%); postoperative confusions were encountered in about 3% (n = 3) of the patients. We did not encounter any pulmonary complication. Contrary to our study, increased incidences of pneumonia and pulmonary embolism in the postoperative period were seen in the study published by Choi et al.\(^18\) (6.3% and 5.6%, respectively). In this study, the delay in surgery was more than 3 days in 80% of the patients. Despite delayed surgical intervention, pulmonary complications appeared to have been prevented by early co-management of these patients to optimize pulmonary condition with regular nebulization and chest physiotherapy followed by immediate postoperative mobilization.

Wang et al.\(^19\) and Castronuovo et al.\(^21\) have emphasized the role of home care after discharge and rehabilitation in decreasing 1-year mortality. Our study also strongly supports their conclusion. In the GHFP program, the length of hospital stay is decided by the patient’s ability to ambulate and the family members’ ability to ensure adequate home care; these goals could be met in our patients because they were treated in the military facility with availability of in-hospital rehabilitation beds. Thus, we could ensure continued independent lifestyle and mobility in these patients as assessed by Parker mobility score (50% were community ambulators and 45% were home ambulators) and decrease mortality (two patients) between 3 and 12 months of surgery.

The limitation of our study is that it does not have a comparison group; however, we could compare our

### Table 4. Comparison with Other Published Studies

| Study                        | Type of study                     | Mortality rate (%) | Total study population | Follow-up | Mean age (yr) | Mean length of stay (day) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Panula et al. (2011)\(^12\)  | Retrospective cohort study        | 27.3               | 428                    | 3.7 yr    | 82.7          | NA                       |
| Schnell et al. (2010)\(^4\)  | Prospective database study        | 21.2               | 758                    | NA        | 84.8          | 4.3                      |
| Guerra et al. (2016)\(^13\)  | Retrospective study               | 23.6               | 199                    | NA        | NA            | NA                       |
| da Costa et al. (2009)\(^14\) | Follow-up evaluation of a cohort  | 26.8               | 184                    | 12 mo     | NA            | NA                       |
| Choi et al. (2014)\(^18\)    | Multicentric retrospective study  | 12.5               | 874                    | NA        | 77.1          | 24                       |
| Wang et al. (2017)\(^18\)    | Historical cohort study           | 1.5                | 410                    | 1 mo      | 80.32         | NA                       |
| Daniachi et al. (2015)\(^13\) | Prospective observational study   | 8                  | 113                    | NA        | 79            | 13.5                     |
| Jain et al. (2015)\(^20\)    | Prospective observational study   | 10.9               | 119                    | 24 mo     | 70.7          | 10.4                     |
| Castronuovo et al. (2011)\(^21\) | Prospective database cohort study | 30                 | 6,896                  | 15.8 mo   | 83            | NA                       |
| Lefaivre et al. (2009)\(^22\) | Prospective database study        | 7.9                | 607                    | NA        | 83.3          | 23.48                    |
| This study                   | Prospective observational study   | 7.7                | 103                    | 24 mo     | 77            | 7.6                      |

NA: not applicable.
results with those of a number of similar studies already published in the literature. We have instituted a pathway of care for our elderly patients with hip fracture, which facilitated early pain-free mobilization with the restoration of functional status and minimal complications in most patients. In addition, the GHFP enabled cost-efficient treatment. The comprehensive care of geriatric fractures helped us achieve the goal of early surgery, mobilization and discharge to home, and decreased morbidity and mortality. The GHFP should be instituted in all health care settings even in developing countries, notwithstanding the limited health care infrastructure. A trauma team can be set up at the emergency care unit to fast track the fracture fixation. Adverse health conditions at presentation should be recorded and managed expeditiously by a multidisciplinary team. Preoperative optimization of comorbidities, most likely to have an impact on surgery, should take priority; surgery should only follow patient optimization because a reasonable delay in surgery may not affect long-term mortality. Mobility of the patient after discharge has a significant impact on overall mortality; thus, all attempts should be made to restore patient’s independent ambulatory ability before discharge to home.
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