Evaluating parameters of econometric models with linear limitations and a rank deficient observation matrix
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Abstract. There considered the approach of the linear econometric dependences parameters estimating for the case of combining a set of special conditions arising in the simulation process. These conditions address the most important problems met in practice when implementing a series of classes of mathematical models, for the construction of which the matrix of explanatory variables can be used. In most cases the vectors that make up the matrix have a close correlation relationship; this leads to the need of performing calculations using a rank deficient matrix. There are also take place violations of the Gauss-Markov theorem condition. The list of above mentioned special conditions is augmented by the additional model parameters constraints. Cobb-Douglas’s production function and the Solow model are known economic problems of this type. In this research the need to impose additional constraints on the model parameters is extended to a wider range of tasks. In general, the economic formulation of the problem with the specified features is presented.

Known ways to solve these tasks are discussed. The authors’ approach proposed takes into account the whole spectrum of these features. This approach is based on the application of pseudorandom matrices and the use of singular matrix decomposition. The use of proposed mathematical tools makes it possible to improve the quality of estimating model parameters while using real economic processes data. The analytical definition is found for the parameter evaluating vector of a linear econometric model with all the above mentioned features. Analysis of the used definition provides determination of the conditions that the matrix must satisfy; this describes additional model parameters constraints. The term was also obtained to estimate the variance of a linear econometric model parameters vector.

The results obtained can be used in machine learning systems in the implementation of problems of econometric dependencies or discriminant models.
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FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The current state of society as a whole and the economy in particular is characterized by a digital information drastic increase. Therefore, in recent decades, the most urgent issue has been the search for adequate methods of vast data sets analysis and processing. Generalizing existing data analysis developments has led to the creation of Data Mining techniques. In most cases, Data Mining refers to a set of procedures for finding useful, non-trivial information that is understandable and can be applied in decision-making processes. There are several conditional options for Data Mining tasks classifying. As a rule, among the basic Data Mining tasks classes are the regression construction and the classification of economic objects. The tasks of regression constructing establish a relation between a continuous variable, which describes the behavior of an economic indicator, depending on the selected list of factors influence. The classification tasks also determine the dependence of a particular variable on the selected list of factors. However, unlike regressions, the dependent variable accepts only discrete values and can describe, for example, some characteristics of economic objects. For both specified task classes, the initial data is a dimension matrix consisting of values for the explanatory variables for each object. Therefore, the implementation of methods for constructing mathematical models of both classes of problems has a common problem that is associated with the requirements for the initial data array. The problem is that there is a strong correlation between two or more explanatory variables (the multicollinearity phenomenon). Construction of mathematical models if such connection is neglected leads to significant negative consequences, that is why special algorithms have been developed to check for multicollinearity. In most cases, if there are close correlation relationships between the explanatory variables, some variables are removed from the initial data matrix so as to eliminate multicollinearity. However, maintaining a complete list of factors when constructing a model can provide more valuable information, so very important are the approaches that allow an arbitrary matrix of explanatory variables to be used to construct mathematical models. This research describes the approach of constructing linear econometric models for the case where the matrix of explanatory variables is a deficient one.

Let there be a linear relationship between the variable $Y$ explaining variables $X_j (j = 1, 2, ..., m), m$ - the number of explanatory variables and $\varepsilon$ perturbation. Suppose that there is a sample $n$ of observations for the variables $Y$ and $X_j (j = 1, 2, ..., m)$ that each observation for each explanatory variable corresponds $x_{ij} (i = 1, n)$ - the value for $i$ observation of $j$ variable.

Then the linear relationship between $Y$ and $X_j (j = 1, 2, ..., m)$ can be represented as:

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} \beta_j + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n. \quad (1)$$

Expression (1) in matrix form has the form:

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

where $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1m} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_m \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote also the $X^T$ and $\varepsilon^T$ matrices transposed to $X$ and $\varepsilon$, respectively.

Let the following conditions be met:
1. \( M(\varepsilon) = 0 \)  
2. \( M(\varepsilon') = \sigma^2 \cdot E, \ E \) is a single matrix,  
3. \( X \) is a matrix whose elements are determined numbers,  
4. \( \text{rang } X = m \) (where matrix \( X \) is a full rank matrix).  

**ANALYSIS OF THE RECENT RESEARCHES AND PUBLICATIONS**

There is virtually no doubt that only a slight modification of the already known numerical methods is required to solve the system of general contravention (6). These modifications are based on the following idea.

Let us solve system (1), (2) by any direct method, let it be the Gauss method with the choice of the principal element. If the matrix \( X \) has an incomplete rank, then in the process of real transformations we obtain a system in which all the elements of the last rows will be minor. We reject these equations and find the solutions of the resulting system. They will serve as an approximation, good enough to the exact system.

On the basis of this idea a considerable number of works was published [1-4]. All their differences are related only to the use of various transformations of the initial system and the use of various criteria for the replacement of "small" elements of the transformed zero system. However, this idea did not immediately lead to the effective solution of systems of algebraic equations linear of general form. Moreover, the issue of the possibility of constructing a stable process of solving systems with incomplete matrices in the conditions of perturbation of the input data and the influence of rounding errors has not been finally resolved recently. A positive result was obtained only after a thorough study of the instability mechanism and finding guaranteed means of reducing its impact [3].

It is advisable to use unitary transformations of the initial system to find a normal pseudo solution. But, unlike full-rank matrices systems, the application of these transformations will no longer entail overall stability.

Thus, if the exact system matrix is incomplete, then the small values of the input data perturbations and rounding errors will not necessarily lead to the appearance in the system transformation process of any rows or columns, which consist entirely of the same small elements. This is the main, but not the only, difficulty in developing numerical methods for solving systems with rank deficient matrices, built on equivalent transformations of the original system.

Another obstacle is the reasoning for further transformations of those systems whose matrices have rows or columns with small elements.

If the system input data with a rank deficient matrix is given with errors, no increase in the accuracy of calculations and no transformations will guarantee the desired accuracy of a normal pseudo-solution [3]. This requires the involvement of additional information on the exact task. But suppose, after the unitary transformations, a system with small rows or columns is obtained. Replacing these rows and columns with zero is equivalent to a small perturbation of the initial system matrix. If we can accurately find the normal pseudo-solution of the resulting system, it will mean that the projection of the normal pseudo-solution of the exact system on one of the subspaces drawn on singular vectors will be calculated sufficiently accurately. There is no reason to expect a better result without additional information.

The need to use additional information to solve unstable systems is interconnected with some difficulties in designing the appropriate computational algorithms.

**TASK SETTING**

When solving certain economic problems on the basis of econometric models, it is necessary to consider conditions that impose additional regression coefficients constraints.

Let us have an enterprise that produces goods and uses \( m \) types of resources. The enterprise is characterized by a technological set \( Z \subset R^m \) that describes all the possible sets of resources needed to produce a given product.
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For example, the plural $M \subset Z$ may be conditioned by

$$x_i \geq 0, \quad a_i x_i \leq b_i x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots m$$

where $a_i, b_i$ are given numbers $0 \leq a_i \leq b_i$, that is, the proportions of resources must be within certain limits.

Let's have a production function

$$Y(X) = \gamma \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{\lambda_i}$$

(7)

where $\gamma > 0, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \ldots$, are unknown parameters for which $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = 1$.

Suppose $\bar{Y}(X)$ - the amount of goods produced by the enterprise in the set of resources $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$. One can consider the task of determining $\gamma > 0, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_m \geq 0$

$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{M} \subset Z} (\bar{Y}(X) - \gamma \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{\lambda_i})^2 \to \min_{\gamma, \lambda_i}$$

$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{M} \subset Z} (\ln \bar{Y}(X) - \ln y - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \ln x_i)^2 \to \min_{\gamma, \lambda_i}$$

with additional conditions

$$\begin{cases}
\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \lambda_i = z_1, \\
\sum_{i=k_1+1}^{k_2} \lambda_i = z_2, \\
\ldots \\
\sum_{i=k_{n_1}+1}^{k_{n_2}} \lambda_i = z_{k_{n_2}}, \\
z_1 + z_2 + \ldots + z_{k_{n_2}} = 1.
\end{cases}$$

For example, [1] when applying the classical Cobb-Douglas production function of a form

$$Y = b_0 L^\alpha K^\beta$$

(8)

where $Y$ - production volumes, $L$ - labor costs, $K$ - capital costs, $\alpha, \beta, b_0$ - model parameters, the need that considers the constant production scale feedback is realized by introducing a $\alpha + \beta = 1$ constraint type.

PRESENTING THE MAIN CONTENT

There are two ways of considering such limitations [1]. The first is to solve the problem without additional constraints. For the result obtained, we test the hypothesis that the estimated coefficients satisfy the required conditions.

The hypothesis is formulated as follows: for the true values of the coefficients of the model, a condition $C^T \beta = r$ is fulfilled, where $C$ is a vector of constants, which allows to describe the existing additional conditions, $\hat{\beta}$ - the estimates of the model parameters found without taking into account additional conditions, $r$ - the constant is given by the condition.

Using model parameter estimates, the value $C^T \hat{\beta}$ for which the value is checked is calculated

$$t = \frac{C^T \beta - C^T \hat{\beta}}{s \sqrt{C^T (X^T X)^{-1} C}}$$

(9)

where $s$ is the standard error of the model perturbation.

To test the hypothesis in (9), $r$ is substituted instead of $C^T \hat{\beta}$. The obtained value is compared to the critical $t$-distribution value with $n - k$ degrees of freedom.

The second way is to take into account the additional constraint directly in the model parameter estimation process. In the simplest cases, the regression equation can be transformed so that an additional constraint will be taken into account in the model structure itself.

Consider the case [1] of estimating the parameters (8) provided by $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Logarithm (8) leads down to a linear dependence of the form:
\[
y = b_0 + \alpha X_1 + \beta X_2 + \varepsilon ,
\]
where \( y = \ln Y, X_1 = \ln L, X_2 = \ln K \).

Limitation \( \alpha + \beta = 1 \) can be represented in the form and directly included in the model:
\[
y = b_0 + \alpha X_1 + (1 - \alpha) X_2 + \varepsilon \quad (10)
\]

For (10), we find the estimates of the parameters \( \hat{b}_0 \) and \( \hat{\alpha} \) provided that the sum of the squares of deviations is minimized [1].
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{b}_0 - \hat{\alpha} X_1 - (1 - \hat{\alpha}) X_2)^2 \quad (11)
\]

If any of the methods does not provide the necessary accuracy for solving a system of linear algebraic equations, there is no reason to expect that another method will produce better results for the same system. Most likely, such a system should be considered unstable. It is known [1-4] that the redefined system pseudo-solution (2) with a full rank matrix is the usual solution of the system
\[
X^T X \hat{\beta} = X^T Y
\]
with a square non-degenerate \( X^T X \) order matrix \( m \times m \). Normal System Solution (1-2)
\[
\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y
\]

It should be required \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i^2 \rightarrow \text{min} \).

**Definition 1.** The matrix \( X^+ \) \((m \times n)\) is called the Moore-Penrose pseudorange for a matrix \( X \) if it satisfies the following four conditions:

1. \( X^+ XX^+ = X^+ \) \( (12) \)
2. \( XX^+ X = X \) \( (13) \)
3. \( XX^+ \) symmetric \( (14) \)
4. \( X^+ X \) symmetric \( (15) \)

It follows from condition (12) \( X^+ XX^+ = X^+ \Rightarrow XX^+ X = X^+ X \) if \( X^+ X = P_1 \), then \( P_1^2 = P_1 \). From condition (15) \( P_1 \) is symmetric. So \( P_1 \) is an orthoprojector. Similarly \( XX^+ = P_2 \) - orthoprojector. In addition, with \( X^+ XX^+ = X^+ \Rightarrow P_1 X^+ = X^+ \)
\( X^+ P_2 = X^+ \). And from that \( XX^+ X = X \Rightarrow XP_1 = X, P_2 X = X \), one can prove that such a matrix \( X^+ \) always exists and is unique [2]. If \( X \) is a non-degenerate square matrix, then \( X^+ = X^{-1} \) obviously satisfies the conditions (12-15). If \( X \) is rectangular and has full rank, then \( X^+ = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \). One can check that pseudo-inverse of the diagonal matrix

\[
\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_m \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is a diagonal \( m \times n \) matrix
\[
\Sigma^+ = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_1' & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_2' & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_m' & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \sigma_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma_i}, & \sigma_i \neq 0 \\ 0, & \sigma_i = 0 \end{cases} \)

Next, we use a singular matrix arrangement \( X \) [3].
\[
X = U \Sigma V^T
\]
where $U$ is an orthogonal $n \times n$ matrix, $V^T$ is an orthogonal $m \times m$ matrix, and $\Sigma$ is a diagonal $m \times n$ matrix which has $\sigma_{ij} = 0$, if $i \neq j$, $\sigma_{ii} \geq 0$. The columns of the $U$ matrix are eigenvectors of the $XX^T$ matrix and the columns of the $V$ matrix are eigenvectors $X^T X$. Using (13) [2,3] we obtain

$$X^+ = V \Sigma^+ U^T.$$  

The least squares estimates of the parameter $\beta$ in (2) are defined as the values $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m$, minimizing

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^m x_{ik} \beta_j)(y_k - \sum_{j=1}^m x_{jk} \beta_j)\alpha_{ik} \rightarrow \min_\beta$$

where the matrix $A = [\alpha_{ik}]$ is a symmetric positively definite matrix. The solution (16) $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m$ will be called the pseudo-solution of problem (1), (2). The solution will be linear with respect to $y$. In addition, provided (3) $P_0 \beta$ is an unbiased estimate $P \beta$ in (1). That is $M(P_0 \beta) = P_0 \beta$.

The solution, generally speaking, will not be the only one. We will require that the minimum amount be $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^2$. Then the solution (16) is unique. Generally speaking, when condition (4) is violated, unbiased estimates $\beta$ cannot be obtained.

The case where for problem (1-2), without taking into account the linear constraints, condition (3) is not fulfilled is considered in [9] for $M(c) \neq 0$. Some compromise was found between the bias value $\beta$ and the value $D(\beta)$. The case where (2) is not fulfilled was considered by Aitken [10], who proposed the use of the generalized least squares method, provided that the matrix $X$ is of complete rank. In this work, Aitken’s method extends to problem (1-2), provided that there are linear constraints, as well as that (6) is not fulfilled, but takes place $\text{rang} X = t \leq m$ and simultaneously

$$M(\varepsilon \varepsilon^T) = D = \sigma^2 W,$$  

where $\sigma^2$ is an unknown parameter, $D, W$ are known symmetric positive definite order matrices $n \times n$. Then $D$ makes it possible a representation $D = P P^T$ where the matrix $P$ is non-degenerate positively defined. So, $D = P P^T$, so that $P^{-1} D P^{-1} = E$ and $P^{-1} P^{-1} = D^{-1}$. Let us denote $P^{-1} = B$. The matrix $D$ commutes with $B$. From (17) it follows that $W^{-1} = D^{-1} \sigma^2$, $W^{-1} = \overline{BB} = \sigma^2 BB$.

Hence the covariance matrix

$$M(\varepsilon \varepsilon^T) = \sigma^2 W,$$

where $\sigma_i^2 = M(c_i^2) = D(y_i)$ is the dispersion $\sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} = M(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) = \text{cov} y_i y_j$, $W$ is the known weight matrix.

In this article we consider the general case of linear constraints for problem (1), (2) in the form:

$$r = R \tilde{\beta}$$

where $r$ is a known vector column consisting of $g < m$ elements, $g = \text{rang} R$

$R$ is a known order matrix $g \times m$.

It is important that the matrix $R$ should have the following property:

$$R K^+ K = R, \quad RP_i = R$$

where $P_i = K^+ K$ – orthoprojector, $K = X^T \overline{BB} X$.
It is necessary to find \( Y = X\hat{\beta} + e \) model parameter estimates such that the constraints (18) to be satisfied. To do this, it is necessary to minimize the expression:

\[
\hat{L} = (Y - X\hat{\beta})^T \bar{BB}(Y - X\hat{\beta}) + (R\hat{\beta} - r)^T 2\lambda
\]

where \( \lambda \) – is a vector column of \( g \) Lagrange multipliers.

\[
\hat{L} = (Y - X\hat{\beta})^T \bar{BB}(Y - X\hat{\beta}) + (R\hat{\beta} - r)^T = \\
Y^T \bar{BB}Y - Y^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta} + \\
\hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta} + (R\hat{\beta} - r)^T = Y^T \bar{BB}Y - 2Y^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta} + \\
(R\hat{\beta} - r)^T 2\lambda
\]

since \( Y^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta} \) - the number transposed to \( \hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}Y \), the second and third additions coincide.

Since

\[
d\hat{L} = -2Y^T \bar{BB}Xd\hat{\beta} + d\hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta} + \\
\hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}Xd\hat{\beta} + 2\lambda R^T d\hat{\beta}
\]

\[
(d\hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}X\hat{\beta})^T = \hat{\beta}^T X^T \bar{BB}Xd\hat{\beta}
\] (19)

From (19) we have:

\[
2(-X\bar{BB}Y + (X^T \bar{BB}X)\hat{\beta} + R^T \lambda) = 0
\]

Let us denote \( \hat{L} = (Y - X\hat{\beta})^T \bar{BB}(Y - X\hat{\beta}) \). Then the condition of minimization will be

\[
(X^T \bar{BB}X)\hat{\beta} = X^T \bar{BB}Y
\] (20)

Then

\[
\hat{\beta} = (X^T \bar{BB}X)^+ X^T \bar{BB}Y
\] (21)

Using \( \lambda \) Lagrange multipliers, let us consider

\[
\hat{L} = \hat{L} + 2(R\hat{\beta} - r)^T \lambda
\]

We estimate the vector \( \hat{\beta} \) so that the condition \( (R\hat{\beta} - r) = 0 \) be satisfied. To do this, select \( \hat{\beta} \) in such a way as to minimize \( \hat{L} \) under condition \( R\hat{\beta} = r \).

The condition \( \min \hat{L} \) will be

\[
d\hat{L} = 0 \Rightarrow -2X^T \bar{BB}Y + 2(X^T \bar{BB}X)\hat{\beta} - 2R^T \lambda = 0
\]

From (20), (21), (22) we obtain:

\[
R^T \lambda = K(\hat{\beta} - \beta)
\] (23)

where \( K = X\bar{BB}X \).

Multiply on the left on \( RK^+ \)

\[
RK^+ R^T \lambda = RK^+ K(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = RK^+ K\hat{\beta} - RK^+ K\beta
\] (24)

Solve (24) pertaining to \( \lambda \), using the pseudorandom matrix, we have:

\[
\lambda = (RK^+ R^T)^+ (RK^+ K\hat{\beta} - RK^+ K\beta)
\] (25)

Substitute the resulting expression for \( \lambda \) (25) into (23):

\[
R^T (RK^+ R^T)^+ (RK^+ K\hat{\beta} - RK^+ K\beta) = K(\hat{\beta} - \beta)
\]

Solve for \( \hat{\beta} - \beta \):

\[
(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = K^+ R^T (RK^+ R^T)^+ (RK^+ K\hat{\beta} - RK^+ K\beta)
\] (26)

Since \( K^+ K = P \) – orthoprojector [20] and \( RK^+ K\hat{\beta} = RP\hat{\beta} = R\hat{\beta} = r \), then
\[ \hat{\beta} = \beta - K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ (R K^+ K\beta) + \]
\[ K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ r = \beta - \]
\[ K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ (R\tilde{\beta}) + K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ r \]

(27)

The second supplement is independent of \( \beta \), therefore \( D(K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ r) = 0 \).

Besides, it is known that [20]

\[ D(\tilde{\beta}) = K^+ \]

(28)

Applying (28) and (27) we get

\[ D(\hat{\beta}) = D(\tilde{\beta}) - K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ RK^+ \]
\[ D(\hat{\beta}) = K^+ - K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ RK^+ \]

So

\[ D(\hat{\beta}) = D(\tilde{\beta}) - K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ RK^+ \]
\[ D(\hat{\beta}) = K^+ - K^+ R^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ RK^+ \]

In addition

\[ e^T D^{-1} e = (Y - X\hat{\beta})^T D^{-1} (Y - X\hat{\beta}) = \]
\[ (Y^T - \tilde{\beta}^T X^T)D^{-1}(Y - X\hat{\beta}) = Y^T D^{-1}Y + \]
\[ \tilde{\beta}^T X^T D^{-1}X\hat{\beta} - Y^T D^{-1}X\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}^T X^T D^{-1}Y = Y^T D^{-1}Y + \]
\[ 2\tilde{\beta}^T X^T D^{-1}Y + \tilde{\beta}^T (X^T D^{-1}X\hat{\beta} - 2X^T D^{-1}Y); \]
\[ e^T D^{-1} e = Y^T D^{-1}Y + \tilde{\beta}^T (X^T D^{-1}X\hat{\beta} - 2X^T D^{-1}Y) \]
\[ 2X^T D^{-1}(X\hat{\beta} + e) = Y^T D^{-1}Y - \tilde{\beta}^T K\beta. \]

Since \((Y - Y_0), (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)\) are values with zero mathematical expectations, then the residual sum of squares in the presence of additional conditions for the model parameters have the form:

\[ \tilde{H}_R = \sigma^2 (e^T W^{-1} e + (\tilde{\beta} - \beta)^T (X^T W^{-1} X)(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)) \]

Where

\[ (X\tilde{\beta} - Y) = e \]
\[ M(H_R) = n - t + g, \]
\[ H_R = \tilde{H}_R - H_0 \]

where \( H_0 \) is the residual sum of squares in the absence of a relation between the parameters and is equal.

We can prove that

\[ H_R = (r - R\tilde{\beta})^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ (r - R\tilde{\beta}) \]
\[ H_R = \sigma^2 (r - R\tilde{\beta})^T (R(X^T W^{-1} X)^+ R^T)^+ (r - R\tilde{\beta}) \]

Indeed, according to (25), (26)

\[ K(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = R(R K^+ R^T)^+ (r - R\tilde{\beta}) \]
\[ (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T = (r - R\tilde{\beta})^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ RK^+ \]
\[ H_R = (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T K(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = (r - R\tilde{\beta})^T \]
\[ (R K^+ R^T)^+ (r - R\tilde{\beta}) = (r - R\tilde{\beta})^T (R K^+ R^T)^+ (r - R\tilde{\beta}) \]

Hence we will be able to evaluate the hypothesis of the relationship between the parameters \( \beta \) by the criterion \( \frac{\tilde{H}_R - H_0}{H_0} = \frac{\tilde{H}_R}{H_0} \)

where \( \frac{\tilde{H}_R}{H_0} \) distributed as \( \frac{g}{n - t} F_{g,n-t} \).

CONCLUSIONS FROM THIS STUDY AND PROSPECTS FOR FURTHER EXPLORATION IN THIS AREA

The complexity of real economic processes requires the continuous improvement of existing mathematical tools to enable the construc-
tion of adequate mathematical models. It is necessary to constantly search for new approaches in mathematical modeling, which will allow expanding the possibilities of constructing models of real economic processes. Known methods may have quite limited applications. Thus, a detailed method of estimating the parameters of linear econometric models may be unsuitable in some cases for its application in modeling real economic processes. The classical least-squares method gives stable and effective evaluation only if the conditions of the Gauss-Markov theorem are fulfilled, whereas in most studies such conditions are not fulfilled. Therefore, developments that allow the adaptation of existing mathematical modeling approaches to a wider range of problems are important. In addition, the modern dissemination of digital information necessitates its automated processing. Machine learning technology to build mathematical models is becoming more commonplace, and so approaches that can be used to solve common problem classes are becoming more relevant. The approach considered in this paper meets these requirements. It extends the ability to solve the problem of evaluating parameters of linear econometric models for cases of a number of problematic issues that may arise in the construction of models and can be conveniently implemented in machine learning systems.
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Оцінювання параметрів економетричних моделей з лінійними обмеженнями і матриці наблюдень неполного рanga

Anna Грищенко, Александр Кутовий, Олег Шутовський

Анотація. Розглянуто підход до оцінювання параметрів лінійних економетричних відносин для випадку згортання ряду осіб умов, що виникають в процесі моделювання. Ці умови відносяться до найважливіших проблем, що виникають в практиці при реалізації ряду класів математичних моделей, для побудови яких уточнюється матриця описуючаих змінних. В більшості випадків вектори, з яких складається матриця, мають сильну кореляційну зв'язок, що приголомшує необхідність використання виконування з урахуванням матриці неполного рангу. Також мають місця нарушення умов теореми Гаусса-Маркова. В перелік таких умов ураховують додаткові обмеження на параметри моделі. К звестним економічним постановкам задач такого типу відносяться продуктивна функція Кьобба-Дугласа і модель Солоу. В роботі необхідність налагодження додаткових обмежень на параметри моделі відображено для ширшого спектра задач. В цілому відбулося підвищення економічну постановку задачі з урахуванням особливостями.

Розглянуто інші способы вирішення цих задач. Розроблено авторський підхід, учитуючи весь перелік указанних особливостей. Підхід основується на використанні відобразних матриць і використанні сингулярного розкладу матриці. Применення такого математичного інструментарію позитивно анонімне оцінювання параметрів моделей при використанні даних реальних економічних процесів. Найдено аналітичне виконування для вектора оцінок параметрів лінійної економетричної моделі з урахуванням всіх усіх узятої особливостей. Аналіз отриманого виконування дозволяє визначити умови, що мають бути виконані для матриці, описуюча додаткові обмеження на параметри моделі. Також отримано виконування для оцінки дисперсії вектора параметрів лінійної економетричної моделі.

Полученные результаты могут быть использованы в системах машинного обучения при реализации задач построения эконометрических зависимостей или дискриминантных моделей.

Ключевые слова: эконометрические модели, матрица неполного ранга, мультиколлинеарность, условия Гаусса-Маркова, псевдообратная матрица.