MiR-125b Reduces Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus Replication by Negatively Regulating the NF-κB Pathway
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Abstract

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) is an Arterivirus that has been devastating the swine industry worldwide since the late 1980s. To investigate the impact of cellular microRNAs (miRNAs) on the replication of PRRSV, we screened 10 highly conserved miRNAs implicated in innate immunity or antiviral function and identified miR-125b as an inhibitor of PRRSV replication. Virus titer and western blot assays demonstrated that miR-125b reduced PRRSV replication and viral gene expression in a dose-dependent manner in both MARC-145 cell line and primary porcine alveolar macrophages. Mechanistically, miR-125b did not target the PRRSV genome. Rather, it inhibited activation of NF-κB, which we found to be required for PRRSV replication. PRRSV, in turn, down-regulated miR-125b expression post-infection to promote viral replication. Collectively, miR-125b is an antiviral host factor against PRRSV, but it is subject to manipulation by PRRSV. Our study reveals an example of manipulation of a cellular miRNA by an arterivirus to re-orchestrate host gene expression for viral propagation and sheds new light on targeting host factors to develop effective control measures for PRRS.

Citation: Wang D, Cao L, Xu Z, Fang L, Zhong Y, et al. (2013) MiR-125b Reduces Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus Replication by Negatively Regulating the NF-κB Pathway. PLoS ONE 8(2): e55838. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838

Editor: Shuhong Zhao, Huazhong Agricultural University, China

Received September 11, 2012; Accepted January 2, 2013; Published February 7, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Wang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (30972189, 31001054, 31201890 and 31225027). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: vet@mail.hzau.edu.cn

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs, miRs) are small RNA molecules that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level [1]. In mammals, miRNAs are initially transcribed by RNA polymerase II and the primary miRNA transcripts (pri-miRNAs) are sequentially cut by RNase III enzymes Drosha and Dicer [2,3]. The resulting ~23-nucleotide double-stranded mature miRNA molecules load into RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISC) [4,5], where they act to repress mRNA translation or reduce mRNA stability by interacting with miRNA-recognition elements (MRE) within 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of target genes [6]. The specificity of miRNAs is thought to be primarily mediated by residues 2–8 at the 5’ end of miRNA, also known as the seed region [7].

Growing evidence indicates that miRNAs play important roles in regulating viral infections [8–12]. Viral miRNAs may directly regulate viral and/or host cell gene expression to benefit the virus, and cellular miRNAs can also influence viral replication and pathogenesis [13–16]. As examples, the liver-specific miR-122 promotes the replication of hepatitis C virus (HCV) [17,18], while miR-196, miR-199, miR-296, miR-351, miR-431 and miR-148 inhibit HCV genome propagation [19,20]; miR-32 effectively restricts the accumulation of primate foamy virus type 1 (PFV-1) in human cells [21]; miR-323, miR-491 and miR-654 inhibit the replication of the H1N1 influenza A virus by binding to the viral PB1 gene [22]; miR-28, miR-125b, miR-150, miR-223 and miR-382 target the 3’ end of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) mRNA, thereby restricting HIV production [23]; miR-199a-3p and miR-210 limit the hepatitis B virus (HBV) surface antigen and polymerase production by degrading and/or inhibiting translation of viral mRNAs encoding these proteins [24]; overexpression of miR-24 and miR-93 suppresses vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) replication through targeting the viral genes encoding RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L protein) and phosphoprotein (P protein), respectively [25]; in macrophages, upregulation of miR-155 suppresses VSV replication, while inhibition of miR-155 had the opposite effect. Interestingly, instead of directly acting on VSV RNA, miR-155 was shown to target the expression of SOCS1, a negative regulator of type I interferon signaling, thereby indirectly enhancing the anti-viral state of the cell [26]. Defining the functions of miRNAs in regulating viral replication and pathogenesis may help identify new therapeutic approaches against viral diseases.

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) is an emerging viral infectious disease characterized by severe reproductive failure in sows and respiratory distress in piglets and growing pigs [27]. The causative agent, PRRS virus (PRRSV), is
a single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus classified within the family *Arteriviridae*. Since its emergence in the late 1980s, PRRS has continuously been a threat to the global swine industry, causing high economic losses [28,29]. Unfortunately, neither traditional control strategies nor conventional vaccines provide sustainable control of PRRS [29–31]. A major obstacle in the development of a successful PRRS vaccine is the unconventional immune response of pigs to the virus [32–34], which remains poorly characterized. A better understanding of the virus-host interactions in PRRSV infection will facilitate development of more effective control measures [35–40]. Currently, the role of cellular miRNAs in PRRSV replication is unclear.

To determine whether specific cellular miRNA(s) regulates PRRSV propagation, we screened the synthetic mimics or inhibitors of 10 miRNAs which are well-conserved among different host species and were previously implicated in innate immunity and/or reported to possess antiviral activity against other viruses. Our results revealed that miR-125b is an inhibitor of PRRSV replication. We also investigated the underlying mechanism(s) and found that miR-125b does not directly target the PRRSV genome but rather inhibits activation of NF-κB, which is required for optimal replication of PRRSV.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells, Reagents and Virus**

MARC-145 cells, a monkey kidney cell line highly permissive for PRRSV infection, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC no. CRL-1223), and cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin in a humidified 37°C/5% CO2 incubator. Porcine alveolar macrophages (PAMs) were isolated from 4- to 6-week-old conventional Landrace pigs from a PRRSV-negative herd as described by Wensvoort et al [41]. The mimics and inhibitors of miR-24, miR-93, miR-122, miR-125b, miR-146a, miR-155, miR-181, miR-196, miR-351, and miR-365 (shown in Table S1) were obtained from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). BAY11-7082, a specific pharmacological inhibitor of NF-κB, was purchased from Calbiochem-Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Poly(I:C) was obtained from Invitrogen.

**Figure 1. MicroRNA (miRNA) screening identifies miR-125b as an inhibitor of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) replication.** Confluent MARC-145 cells were transfected with the indicated mimics (A) or inhibitors (B) of the indicated miRNAs. 24 h later, cells were infected with PRRSV strain WUH3 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1. Cells were collected 48 h later for plaque assays on MARC-145 cells. Virus titers were expressed as plaque forming units (PFU)/mL.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838.g001
from Sigma. The WUH3 strain of PRRSV (GenBank accession no. HM853673) was used throughout this study. This virus was isolated from the brain of pigs suffering from the “high fever” syndrome in China at the end of 2006 and identified as a highly pathogenic type 2 PRRSV [42]. VSV-EGFP, a recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP), was a gift from Dr. Z.G. Bu (Harbin Veterinary Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science).

Plasmids

The pMIR-REPORT luciferase reporter vector (Ambion) was used as the cloning vector for reporter gene assay analyzing the potential target region of miR-125b in PRRSV genome. The 21 cDNA fragments corresponding to 5′ UTR, 3′ UTR, and 19 nonstructural and structural genes (nsp1α, nsplβ, nspl2-nsp5, nsp7-nsp12, ORF2α, ORF2β, ORF5-ORF7) of PRRSV were amplified by PCR from PRRSV RNA (WUH3 strain) and subcloned into the pMIR-REPORT vector downstream of the luciferase ORF, to generate the reporter vectors pMIR-5′UTR, pMIR-3′UTR, pMIR-nsplα, pMIR-nsplβ, pMIR-nspl2, pMIR-nspl3, pMIR-nspl4, pMIR-nspl5, pMIR-nspl6, pMIR-nspl7, pMIR-nspl8, pMIR-nspl9, pMIR-nspl10, pMIR-nsp11, pMIR-nsp12, pMIR-ORF2α, pMIR-ORF2β, pMIR-ORF3, pMIR-ORF4, pMIR-ORF5, pMIR-ORF6, and pMIR-ORF7, respectively. The primers used are listed in Table S2. All cDNA constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

pNF-kB-Luc was purchased from Stratagene, and IFN-β-Luc (p125-Luc) was kindly provided by T. Fujita (Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan). The cDNA expression construct for the p65 subunit of NF-kB has been described previously [43].

Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay

The indicated plasmids and miRNA mimics or inhibitors were transfected into cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For luciferase reporter gene assay, subconfluent MARC-145 cells cultured in 24-well plates were co-transfected with 100 ng/well of the indicated reporter plasmid and 50 ng/well of pRL-TK (as an internal control to normalize the transfection efficiency, Promega), along with the indicated amount of miR-125b mimic or inhibitor. Cells were lysed 24 h later for determination of luciferase activity and are representative of three independent experiments.

Western Blotting

Briefly, MARC-145 cells were transfected with miR-125b mimic or the control mimic prior to PRRSV infection. Cells were collected at 30 h post-infection by adding 250 μL 2× lysis buffer A (LBA) (65 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 4% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 3% Dl-dithiothreitol, and 40% glycerol). Cell lysates were then analyzed for expression of PRRSV nonstructural protein 2 (nsp2) by Western blotting using a monoclonal antibody against the PRRSV N protein (SR30-F, Rural Technologies). Cellular nuclei were counterstained with 1 μg/mL of 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 5 min. After washing with PBS, cells were examined under an LSM 510 Meta confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed at least three times with reproducible results. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Student’s t-test was used to analyze the difference between two experimental groups. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant and a P-value of <0.01 was considered highly statistically significant.

Results

Identification of miR-125b as an Antiviral miRNA Against PRRSV Replication

To screen the potential miRNAs which can reduce PRRSV replication, the mimics or inhibitors of 10 miRNAs (Table S1), including miR-24, miR-93, miR-122, miR-125b, miR-146a, miR-155, miR-181, miR-196, miR-351, and miR-365, were chosen and synthesized. These miRNAs were selected because they are well-conserved among different host species and were implicated...
**Figure 2.** miR-125b reduces PRRSV replication in MARC-145 cells and porcine alveolar macrophages (PAMs). (A) Overexpression of miR-125b mimic reduced PRRSV replication in a dose-dependent manner. MARC-145 cells were transfected with miR-125b mimic or a control mimic (NC) at the indicated dose (30, 60, 120 nM), followed by PRRSV infection (MOI = 0.1). The infected cells were collected 48 h later for plaque assays on MARC-145 cells. The plaque results shown on the left were representative of three independent experiments (right). "***" denotes significant difference (P < 0.01). (B) Overexpression of miR-125b mimic reduced the expression of PRRSV Nsp2 protein. MARC-145 cells were transfected with miR-125b mimic or the control mimic prior to PRRSV infection. Cells were collected at 30 h post-infection for western blot analysis of nsp2 expression using a specific monoclonal antibody against Nsp2 as the primary antibody. Expression of β-actin was analyzed as a loading control (left). The nsp2 expression levels were quantitated by densitometry using a Gel-Pro analyzer (Right). Data shown were representative of three independent experiments. (C) Overexpression of miR-125b mimic reduced PRRSV replication in PAMs. PAMs were transfected with miR-125b mimic or negative control (60 nM), followed by PRRSV infection (MOI = 0.1). At 48 h post-infection, cells were collected for plaque assay. Representative plaque results were shown in left panel and the data from three independent experiments were plotted in the right panel. (D) Immunofluorescence staining confirms the reduction effect of miR-125b on PRRSV replication in PAMs. The PAMs were transfected with miR-125b mimic or negative control (60 nM), followed by PRRSV infection (MOI = 0.1). Cells were fixed at 24 h post-infection and immunostained with a fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated monoclonal antibody against the PRRSV N protein. Cellular nuclei were counterstained with 1 μg/mL of DAPI. Fluorescence was observed under an LSM-510 Meta confocal fluorescence microscope (Zeiss).

**Figure 3.** miR-125b does not directly target the PRRSV genome. (A) Schematic representation of the PRRSV genome. Viral cDNA fragments used for constructing the pMIR-REPORT-derived luciferase reporters are as indicated. (B) MARC-145 cells were co-transfected with 0.1 μg of indicated constructing luciferase reporter, 0.05 μg of pRL-TK, and 30 nM of miR-125b mimic or negative control of mimic. At 24 h post-transfection, cells were lysed for dual-luciferase assay. The relative luciferase activities (miR-125b/NC) refer to fold change in luciferase activity in miR-125b mimic-transfected cells relative to respective NC mimic-transfected controls.

---

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838.g002

---

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838.g003
in innate immunity and/or antiviral function in previous studies [45,46]. MARC-145 cells were transfected with the mimic or inhibitor of each miRNA (30 nM), followed by infection with PRRSV (WUH3 strain) at an MOI of 0.1. Cells were collected at 48 h post-infection to determine viral propagation (Figure 1A). Among the miRNAs tested, the overexpression of miR-125b mimic significantly reduced progeny PRRSV production as determined by plaque assay (Figure 1A). Conversely, transfection of the miR-125b inhibitor demonstrated the opposite effects (Figure 1B), indicating that miR-125b has antiviral activity against PRRSV replication. All the other microRNA mimics/inhibitors tested in this study had no demonstrable effect on progeny virus yield in MARC-145 cells (Figure 1A and 1B). However, we could not exclude the possibility that no anti-PRRSV effect of the miRNA mimics/inhibitors may be due to the highly/lowly expressed endogenous miRNAs.

To further exclude the possibility that the reduction effects of miR-125b on PRRSV replication resulted from cellular toxicity, MARC-145 cells were transfected with miR-125b mimic or inhibitor at different doses (30 nM, 60 nM, and 120 nM). No appreciable effect of either the mimic or inhibitor of miR-125b (at up to 120 nM) on cellular viability and morphology could be observed (data not shown). Thus, we focused our subsequent investigations on miR-125b.

To corroborate our findings with miR-125b, MARC-145 cells were transfected with increasing concentrations of miR-125b mimic (30, 60, 120 nM), followed by PRRSV infection for 48 h. Virus plaque assays demonstrated that ectopic expression of miR-125b mimic, but not of a control mimic, reduced PRRSV replication in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2A). Consistent with this, miR-125b mimic dose-dependently reduced the accumulation of PRRSV nonstructural protein 2 (nsp2), a viral replication protein, in western blot assay (Figure 2B). Because miR-125b is highly conserved among different species, we further determined the effect of miR-125b on PRRSV replication in PAMs, the target cells of PRRSV infection in vivo. The PAMs transfected with miR-125b mimic (60 nM) yielded significantly lower PRRSV titers than those transfected with the control mimic (Figure 2C). Furthermore, immunofluorescence assays using a FITC-conjugated monoclonal antibody against the PRRSV N protein also supported this observation (Figure 3A). If the PRRSV cDNA insert contains miR-125b target sequence, the expression of luciferase reporter will be subjected to regulation by miR-125b. miR-125b mimic or control mimic was co-transfected with the individual reporter vectors into MARC-145 cells, along with an internal control vector, pRL-TK (to normalize the transfection efficiency). The relative luciferase activity was determined at 24 h post-transfection. As shown in Figure 3B, the relative luciferase activities for different vectors containing various PRRSV cDNA segments were not significantly different between cells transfected with miR-125b mimic and control mimic (Figure 3B). Thus, miR-125b does not appear to directly target the PRRSV genome.

**miR-125b does not Directly Target the PRRSV Genome**

Targeting a specific sequence in viral genome represents an efficient strategy of miRNAs to inhibit viral replication [22–25]. We determined whether miR-125b specifically targets the PRRSV genome to exert its antiviral effect. To this end, the 21 cDNA fragments representing the 3′UTR, 3′UTR and various coding regions of PRRSV genome were amplified and cloned into the reporter vector pmiR-REPORT (Ambion) downstream of the firefly luciferase gene (Figure 3A). If the PRRSV cDNA insert contains miR-125b target sequence, the expression of luciferase reporter will be subjected to regulation by miR-125b. miR-125b mimic or control mimic was co-transfected with the individual reporter vectors into MARC-145 cells, along with an internal control vector, pRL-TK (to normalize the transfection efficiency). The relative luciferase activity was determined at 24 h post-transfection. As shown in Figure 3B, the relative luciferase activities for different vectors containing various PRRSV cDNA segments were not significantly different between cells transfected with miR-125b mimic and control mimic (Figure 3B). Thus, miR-125b does not appear to directly target the PRRSV genome.

**The Anti-viral Effect of miR-125b is Independent of the Interferon (IFN) Pathway**

Type I interferons (IFNs, mainly IFN-β and IFN-α) are known to play an important role in the antiviral innate immune response [47]. Thus, it is possible that the antiviral effect of miR-125b against PRRSV resulted from activation of the IFN response. To test this possibility, MARC-145 cells were co-transfected with the IFN-β luciferase reporter and either miR-125b mimic or NC mimic, followed by mock-stimulation or stimulation by poly(I:C). As shown in Figure 4A, when compared to the control mimic, miR-125b mimic had no demonstrable effect on the basal activity of the IFN-β promoter, nor did it affect the activation of the promoter by poly(I:C). Furthermore, the replication of VSV-GFP, a virus extremely sensitive to IFN’s antiviral action, was not affected in cells transfected with miR-125b mimics when compared with cells transfected with the control mimic (Figure 4B). In contrast, the replication of VSV-GFP was almost completely inhibited in cells transfected with poly(I:C), a known interferon inducer. Taken together, these data suggest that the antiviral activity of miR-125b does not involve the activation of IFN response.

**miR-125b Negatively Regulates NF-κB Activation in MARC-145 Cells**

Because miR-125b does not target directly the PRRSV genome or affect cellular interferon responses, we next reasoned that miR-125b might target other proviral cellular factor(s) to reduce PRRSV replication. When we were making efforts to choose potential cellular targets of miR-125b for further study, Murphy et al. reported that miR-125b negatively regulates NF-κB by stabilizing the mRNA encoding kB-Ras2 (NF-κB inhibitor interacting Ras-like 2), a key inhibitor of NF-κB signaling [48]. If miR-125b reduces PRRSV replication by down-regulating NF-κB, activation of NF-κB should promote PRRSV replication. Previous studies by our group and others have shown that PRRSV infection activates NF-κB [49,50]. Thus, it is plausible that PRRSV infection activates NF-κB, which, in turn, enhances PRRSV replication, and that miR-125b reduces PRRSV replication by down-regulating NF-κB activation. To test this hypothesis, we first analyzed the 3′UTR sequence of kB-Ras2 for miR-125b and found it was highly conserved between human, monkey and pig (Figure 5A). Consistent with this, ectopic expression of miR-125b mimic upregulated the abundance of kB-Ras2 mRNA (Figure 5B), presumably by stabilizing the kB-Ras2 mRNA [48]. Having confirmed that the miR-125b mimic had the desired effect on kB-Ras2 expression, we performed an NF-κB reporter assay to determine if miR-125b negatively regulated NF-κB activation in MARC-145 cells. As shown in Figure 5C, the ecotopically expressed miR-125b mimic down-
Figure 5. miR-125b negatively regulates NF-κB activation. (A) Alignment of miR-125b sequence with the 3'UTR of human (GenBank accession no. NM_001001349), monkey (GenBank accession no. XM_001093984) and predicted porcine (GenBank accession no. AK348039) kB-Ras2. Solid lines indicate Watson-Crick base pairs. Dotted line indicates GU wobble pairs. (B) MARC-145 cells were transfected with miR-125b mimic or NC mimic, and analyzed for kB-Ras2 mRNA expression 48 h later by qPCR. *p<0.05 vs NC mimic. (C) MARC-145 cells were co-transfected with pNF-κB-Luc, pRL-TK, and the indicated dose of miR-125b mimic or inhibitor. (D, E) miR-125b cells were co-transfected with 0.1 μg of pNF-κB-Luc, 0.05 μg of pRL-TK, and 60 nM of miR-125b mimic (D) or inhibitor (E), followed by PRRSV infection 24 h later. Cells were lysed at 48 h post-infection for dual-luciferase assay. **P<0.01 as compared with NC mimic or inhibitor.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838.g005

regulated the basal NF-κB activity in a dose-dependent manner in MARC-145 cells, which was in agreement with the reported effect of miR-125b in human macrophages [40]. We next investigated whether miR-125b affects PRRSV-induced NF-κB activation. To this end, MARC-145 cells were co-transfected with the NF-κB luciferase reporter and either miR-125b mimic or NC mimic, followed by PRRSV infection, to detect the NF-κB promoter activity. As previously reported [49,50], we found PRRSV infection substantially stimulated NF-κB activity in cells transfected with the NC mimic. Importantly, ectopic expression of the miR-125b mimic not only reduced the basal NF-κB activity but also ablated that activated by PRRSV (Figure 5D). Conversely, transfection of the miR-125b inhibitor significantly augmented PRRSV-induced NF-κB (Figure 5E). Collectively, these data demonstrate that miR-125b negatively regulates cellular basal NF-κB activity as well as that induced by PRRSV infection.

The Inter-relationship among miR-125b, NF-κB Activation and PRRSV Replication

It was previously shown that the activation of NF-κB by PRRSV infection involves IκB degradation and nuclear translocation of p65, a key subunit of NF-κB [49]. To further investigate the inter-relations among miR-125b, NF-κB and PRRSV replication, the DNA construct encoding p65 was co-transfected with miR-125b mimic into MARC-145 cells prior to PRRSV infection. Viral plaque assays showed that coexpression of p65 partially reversed the reduction effect of miR-125b on PRRSV replication (Figure 6A). Of note, in the absence of miR-125b mimic, overexpression of p65 also increased PRRSV replication compared to cells transfected with the control vector. Additionally, we examined whether NF-κB was required for optimal PRRSV replication. MARC-145 cells pretreated with BAY11-7082, a specific NF-κB inhibitor, for 1 h prior to PRRSV infection yielded significantly lower progeny PRRSV titers than those pretreated with DMSO (Figure 6B). Similar results were obtained in PRRSV-infected PAMs (Figure 6C).

Based on the results above and those from previous studies [49,50], it appears that PRRSV infection induces NF-κB activation, which facilitates PRRSV replication, while miR-125b negatively regulates NF-κB signaling, thereby reducing PRRSV replication. If that is really the case, PRRSV infection should down-regulate miR-125b expression for its survival advantage. Therefore, we analyzed the temporal kinetics of miR-125b expression in PRRSV infected MARC-145 cells by qPCR (primer sequences shown in Table S2). As predicted, significantly decreased miR-125b expression was first observed at 12 h post PRRSV infection, and the miR-125b abundance was further reduced gradually as the infection progressed. At 48 h post-infection, the miR-125b abundance had decreased to nearly 30% of the pre-infection level (Figure 6D). In aggregate, these data support the notion that PRRSV infection down-regulates miR-125b to negate the latter’s inhibitory effect on NF-κB, thereby facilitating viral replication.

Discussion

There is a growing body of evidence that cellular miRNAs serve as critical effectors in intricate networks of host-pathogen interactions [8–12]. Herein, we provide data demonstrating that miR-125b is a novel antiviral host factor against PRRSV, an economically important animal virus that devastates the swine industry worldwide. Ectopically expressed miR-125b reduced PRRSV progeny virus production. Conversely, inhibition of miR-125b substantially enhanced PRRSV propagation (Figure 1).
Figure 6. The inter-relationship among miR-125b, NF-κB activation and PRRSV replication. (A) Overexpression of the NF-κB p65 subunit promotes PRRSV replication and partially antagonizes miR-125b’s effect on PRRSV. MARC-145 cells were cotransfected with a control vector or vector encoding p65 (1.0 μg) and 60 nM of miR-125b mimic or inhibitor. The transfected cells were infected with PRRSV WUH3 strain (MOI = 0.01) 24 h later. Cells were collected at 48 h post-infection for plaque assay on MARC-145 cells. Virus titers were expressed as PFU/mL. Representative plaque results from three independent experiments are shown in left panel and the average results are illustrated on the right. **P < 0.01 and *P < 0.05 as compared with cells transfected with the control vector. (B, C) Pretreatment with the NF-κB inhibitor BAY11-7082 reduces PRRSV replication in MARC-145 cells (2.5 μM, 5.0 μM and 10.0 μM of BAY11-7082, panel B) and PAMs (5 μM, panel C). Cells were pretreated with BAY11-7082 for 1 h prior to PRRSV infection. At 48 h post-infection, cells were collected and virus titers were determined by plaque assay on MARC-145 cells. (D) The time-course expression of miR-125b after PRRSV infection. MARC-145 cells infected with PRRSV at a MOI of 0.1 were collected at the indicated time points and qRT-PCR analysis was performed to detect miR-125b expression. The miR-125b expression level at 6 h in mock-infected cells was used as the baseline (1.0) for comparison.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838.g006
Figure 7. The proposed model for the inter-relationship among miR-125b, NF-κB activation and PRRSV replication. PRRSV infection down-regulates the expression of miR-125b, which relieves the stabilizing effect on κB-Ras2 mRNA, resulting in subsequent NF-κB activation. The activated NF-κB promotes PRRSV replication.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838.g007

Importantly, we observed this not only in MARC-145 cells but also in PAMs (Figure 2), the main target cell type for PRRSV infection. The main target cell type for PRRSV infection down-regulates the expression of miR-125b, which relieves the stabilizing effect on κB-Ras2 mRNA, resulting in subsequent NF-κB activation. The activated NF-κB promotes PRRSV replication. The activated NF-κB promotes PRRSV replication. The activated NF-κB promotes PRRSV replication.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055838.g007

Although NF-κB has long been considered a key transcription factor for the expression of a variety of antiviral cytokines [37], some pathogens redirect the activity of NF-κB into a virus-supportive function [38,39]. For example, influenza viruses replicate to higher titers in cells with pre-activated NF-κB, and conversely, progeny virus production was reduced when NF-κB signaling was impaired [60,61]. Williams et al [62] reported that sustained induction of NF-κB is required for efficient expression of latent HIV type 1. We have shown in this study that optimal replication of PRRSV, an Arterivirus, also relies on NF-κB signaling. However, the exact role of NF-κB in the life cycle of PRRSV is elusive. Data on the interplay between PRRSV and the NF-κB pathway have also been somewhat controversial. Lee et al [49] firstly demonstrated that PRRSV infection activated NF-κB signaling in MARC-145 cells and PAMs that involved IkB degradation and p65 nuclear translocation. Our group also showed that PRRSV infection triggered NF-κB activation [50] and the nucleocapsid (N) protein of PRRSV could activate NF-κB when ectopically expressed in MARC-145 cells [63]. However, the activated NF-κB could only be detected after 24 h postinfection. In contrast, the ectopic expression of several PRRSV nsp5s as an individual protein, such as nsp1α, nsp1β, nsp2, and nsp11, was reported to negatively regulate NF-κB activation. For example, Sun et al [40] reported that PRRSV nsp2 inhibited the NF-κB signaling pathway by interfering with the polyubiquitination process of IkBα. Song et al [64] documented that PRRSV nsp1α could inhibit NF-κB activation and suppress IFN-β production, but they also found that NF-κB activity was increased at 1 and 2 days post-PRRSV infection in MARC-145 cells using an NF-κB-helicase reporter assay, which is consistent with the findings of Lee et al [49] and our group [50]. Taken collectively, it is reasonable to conclude that PRRSV activates NF-κB at late phases of infection, and that PRRSV may have developed sophisticated strategies to either activate or inhibit NF-κB for its own benefit in different stages of its life cycle. The elaborate mechanisms by which PRRSV regulates NF-κB activation and how the latter promotes PRRSV replication warrant further studies.

It has been reported that miR-125b is highly expressed and enriched in macrophages [31]. We compared the basal expression levels of miR-125b, miR-135, miR-23a and miR-365 in PAMs by qPCR and found that miR-125b was among the most highly expressed miRNAs examined (data not shown). Interestingly, we found that PRRSV infection down-regulated the expression of miR-125b as the infection progressed. Significant down-regulation was first observed at 12 h, and further reductions in miR-125b abundance took place at later time points (Figure 6D). It is plausible to speculate that PRRSV infection gradually decreases miR-125b mRNA expression, which, in turn, relieves the stabilizing effect on κB-Ras2 mRNA, ultimately leading to subsequent NF-κB activation. In addition, our group showed that toll-like receptors (TLRs) signaling cascade may be also involved in PRRSV-induced NF-κB activation [65].

In summary, our data demonstrate that miR-125b is an antiviral host factor that restricts PRRSV replication. Instead of directly targeting the PRRSV genome, miR-125b exerts its antiviral effect by negatively regulating cellular NF-κB signaling, which we have shown to be a proviral factor for PRRSV replication (Figure 7). As a survival strategy, PRRSV down-regulates the expression of miR-125b post-infection and activates NF-κB to facilitate its own multiplication. Our study reveals an example of manipulation of a cellular miRNA by an arterivirus to re-orchestrate host gene expression for viral propagation and shreds...
new light on targeting host factors to develop effective control measures for PRRS.
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