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Abstract: Rutaecarpine is a pentacyclic indolopyridoquinazolinone alkaloid found in *Evodia rutaecarpa* and other related herbs. It has a variety of intriguing biological properties, which continue to attract the academic and industrial interest. Studies on rutaecarpine have included isolation from new natural sources, development of new synthetic methods for its total synthesis, the discovery of new biological activities, metabolism, toxicology, and establishment of analytical methods for determining rutaecarpine content. The present review focuses on the synthesis, biological activities, and structure-activity relationships of rutaecarpine derivatives, with respect to their antiplatelet, vasodilatory, cytotoxic, and anticholinesterase activities.

Keywords: alkaloid; rutaecarpine; antiplatelet activity; vasodilatory activity; anticancer activity; anti-cholinesterase activity; anti-obesity activity

1. Introduction

Rutaceous plants, especially *Evodia rutaecarpa* (its dried fruit is called ‘Wu-Chu-Yu’ in China), have long been used to treat gastrointestinal disorders, headache, amenorrhea, and postpartum hemorrhage in traditional oriental medicine [1,2]. The alkaloid, rutaecarpine (8,13-dihydroindolo-[2′,3′:3,4]pyrido[2,1-b]quinazolin-5(7H)-one, 1a, Figure 1) was first isolated in 1915 by Asahina and Kashiwaki from an acetone extract of base-treated *Evodia rutaecarpa* [3–5] and later from ‘Wu-Chu-Yu’ [6]. Interest in the
molecule has since been growing, presumably due to its characteristic structure and intriguing biological properties (733 references were found in the SciFinder database provided by the American Chemical Society). In addition, 55 patents have been issued regarding its isolation, biological activity, synthesis, metabolism, and toxicology. Numbers of papers covering rutaecarpine are summarized in Table 1.

![Figure 1. Structure of rutaecarpine (1a).](image)

**Table 1. Numbers of references listed for recent years.**

| Period       | 1915–2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Total |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Numbers      | 339       | 42   | 46   | 43   | 59   | 69   | 64   | 52   | 19   | 733   |

Of the 17 review papers written to date, eight have focused on the synthesis of rutaecarpine [7–14], seven on pharmacology [15–21], one on the modulation of cytochrome P450 [22], and one on detection methods [23]. A review published in 1983 by Bergman [7] covers the nomenclature, structure, synthesis and pharmacological properties of rutaecarpine and of related quinazolinone alkaloids. The review of Wang *et al.*, written in Chinese in 2006, provides details of the synthesis of rutaecarpine based on construction patterns of the five-ring system [10]. Shakhidoyatov and Elmurado’s review covered the most recent view on the general point of view for tricyclic quinazoline alkaloids [14]. A review written in 1999 by Sheu addressed the *in vitro* and *in vivo* pharmacology of rutaecarpine [15] and later described the cardiovascular pharmacological actions of rutaecarpine in his recent review [20]. More recently, in 2010, Jia and Hu reviewed its cardiovascular protective effects [19]. The present work focuses on the synthesis, biological activities, and structure-activity relationships, with respect to the antiplatelet, vasodilatory, cytotoxic, and anticholinesterase activities, of rutaecarpine derivatives, and complements our first review published in 2008 [24].

### 2. Synthesis of Rutaecarpine

A simple retrosynthetic analysis leads to tryptamine (2) and its equivalents for the indole moiety, and anthranilic acid (3a) and its equivalents for the quinazolinone moiety, which leaves an additional one-carbon unit needed for the C_{13b} atom in rutaecarpine (Scheme 1).
Tryptamine (2) has been one of most popular starting materials [1,11] and the compounds 4, 5, and 6 (Figure 2) have been used as alternative starting materials which provide the A,B,C-ring system and the one-carbon unit at C13b.

![Figure 2. Structures of tryptamine (2) equivalents for rutaecarpine synthesis.](image)

On the other hand, a series of benzoic acid derivatives 3b–i with nitrogen at the ortho-position (Figure 3) were employed as an equivalent for 3a as the counterparts for tryptamine. In fact, in 1927 Asahina et al. reported two synthetic procedures for the synthesis of rutaecarpine using these equivalents as a starting materials—one procedure involved a three-step synthesis from 3-(2-aminoethyl)indole-2-carboxylic acid (4) and 2-nitrobenzoyl chloride (3e) (yield not given) [25] and the other a one-pot synthesis (in 24% yield) from 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-oxo-β-carboline (5b) and methyl anthranilate (3d) in the presence of PCl₃ [26] (Scheme 2).

![Figure 3. Structures of anthranilic acid (3) equivalents for rutaecarpine synthesis.](image)

![Scheme 2. Synthesis of rutaecarpine by Asahina et al. [25,26].](image)

Since the classification of syntheses in our previous review [1] was based on the structures of starting materials, we kept the same classification in the present review, that is: (1) tryptamine-derived syntheses; (2) tetrahydro-β-carboline-derived syntheses; and (3) miscellaneous.
2.1. Synthesis Using Tryptamine

Lee et al. [27,28] and Kamal et al. [29] used a one-pot reductive-cyclization of nitro (9a) and azide compounds (9b), respectively, to construct the quinazolinone skeleton. Tryptamine was subjected to a Bischler-Napieralsky reaction to afford starting compound 7, which was then condensed with 3e and 2-azidobenzoyl chloride (3f) to afford 8a and 8b, respectively. Cleavage of the exocyclic double bond led to the corresponding ketone 9. It is worth noting that cleavage of the exocyclic double bond on 8 by ozonolysis failed, whereas oxidative cleavage with KMnO4 lead to ketones 9a and 9b in 32% and 67% yield, respectively (Scheme 3).

**Scheme 3. Synthesis of rutaecarpine by Lee et al. [27,28] and Kamal et al. [29].**

The reduction of the nitro group in 9a by tin chloride resulted in subsequent cyclization giving 1a in 94% yield [27,28]. On the other hand, the related 2-azobenzamide (9b) undergoes an aza-Wittig reductive cyclization in the presence of Ph3P and NH4OH or Ni2B in HCl-MeOH under microwave irradiation [29].

Tseng et al. studied the potential use of bicyclic 1,2,3-triazolium ionic liquids for the synthesis of rutaecarpine from a one-carbon unit reagent and 10 [30]. Microwave-assisted cyclization of 10 led to 1a and 7,8-dehydrorutaecarpine (11a) in ratios dependent on the reaction conditions (Scheme 4). The starting material 10 was prepared from tryptamine and isatoic anhydride (3h) in over 90% yield.

**Scheme 4. Synthesis of rutaecarpine by Tseng et al. [30].**
Rao et al. used 50% aqueous glyoxylic acid as a replacement for DMF or the Vilsmeier-Haack reagent in the above reaction. Reaction of 2 and isatoic anhydride (3h) in the presence of 50% aqueous glyoxylic acid led to 12, which was then subjected to acid-catalyzed cyclization followed by \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{KOH} \)-catalyzed dehydrogenation to produce rutaecarpine (1a) [31] (Scheme 5). Although the authors did not mention a possible reaction mechanism, the high reaction temperature would lead to decarboxylation of the possible intermediate 3-[2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl]-4-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinazoline-2-carboxylic acid, to produce 12.

\[
\begin{align*}
2 + 3h & \xrightarrow{50\% \text{aq. OHCCOH}} \xrightarrow{\text{PEG, 110-120°C (85%)}} -\text{CO}_2 \\
& \xrightarrow{i) \text{TFAA}} \xrightarrow{ii) \text{KOH (82%)}} 12 \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2} \xrightarrow{\text{KOH, EtOH 45 min (88%)}} 1a
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 5.** Synthesis of rutaecarpine by Rao et al. [31].

More recently, base-initiated intramolecular anionic cascade cyclization [32] of the 2-cyano compound 14 was applied to rutaecarpine synthesis by Liang, et al. [33]. The authors optimized the reaction conditions and found DBU was the reagent of choice for the conversion. The prerequisite 2-cyanoindole compound 14 was prepared in two-steps from tryptamine and 2-fluorobenzoic acid via a 2-chloroindolenine, generated by an electrophilic aromatic substitution reaction at the C2 position in the indole moiety by \( \text{t-Bu} \) hypochlorite, followed by nucleophilic substitution of the 2-chloro group by cyanide anion in the presence of BF3 (Scheme 6).

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & \xrightarrow{\text{HOBt, EDCI, DMF}} \xrightarrow{\text{tBuOCl, Et}_3\text{N, -78°C}} 1a \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{TMSCN, BF}_3\text{OEt}_{\text{2}}} \xrightarrow{\text{60%, two-step yield}} \xrightarrow{\text{conditions}} 1a
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 6.** Synthesis of rutaecarpine by Liang et al. [33].
2.2. Synthesis Using Tetrahydro-β-Carboline

Zheng et al. reported the oxidation of s ring-fused aminal to rutaecarpine via an α-amination of an N-heterocycle as the key reaction [34–36] (Scheme 7). The α-position of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline (5a) was activated by reacting with 2-aminobenzaldehyde to form an iminium ion (A), which was converted to the quinonoidal intermediate (B) by rearrangement of adjacent π-systems and a proton loss. 1,6-Hydrogen atom transfer in B led to the dipolar intermediate C, which ultimately afforded the cyclized aminal product (15). Oxidation of 15 by KMnO₄ afforded rutaecarpine (1a) in 61% yield (Scheme 7). It should be noted that the MnO₂ oxidation of dehydroaminal such as 16 yielded 1a and fully dehydrogenated product (11a) in an 8:1 ratio [37].

![Scheme 7. Synthesis of rutaecarpine via aminal [34–36].](image)

On the other hand, reaction between 3,4-dihydro-β-carboline (17) and α-azidobenzoyl chloride (3f) in the presence of Hünig’s base delivered 1a in 58% yield [38], while reaction with 3h afforded 1a in 93% yield [39] (Scheme 8).

![Scheme 8. Synthesis of rutaecarpine using 3,4-dihydro-β-carboline [38,39].](image)

2.3. Miscellaneous

Synthetic methods not employing anthranilic acid, tryptamine, or their equivalents are very rare. Recently, Pan and Bannister employed a sequential Sonogashira reaction and Larock indole synthesis, whereby Sonogashira’s Pd(0)-catalyzed ethynylation of 19 to 18 led to 20, which subsequently underwent an intramolecular Pd(0)-catalyzed indole formation [40] to produce 21. The acid-catalyzed
cyclization of 21 led to 1a in 81% yield with a trace of isomeric compound 22 [41] (Scheme 9). To the best of our knowledge, this procedure is the first example of construction of the C-ring to synthesize rutaecarpine via N6-C7 bond formation.

Scheme 9. Synthesis of rutaecarpine by Pan and Bannister [41]

To synthesize rutaecarpine, C-ring construction can be pursued in three different ways via: (1) C13α-C13β bond formation; (2) N6-C7 bond formation; or (3) C8-C8a bond formation. Methods involving C13α-C13β bond formation at the final stage of synthetic sequences have been most commonly used [1,26,30,31,42–47]. However, a method employing C8-C8a bond formation has not been studied as yet.

2.4. Synthesis of Bioisosteres and Hybrid Rutaecarpine Systems

Bioisosteric replacement of the quinazolinone moiety of the pentacyclic system with benzothiadiazine 1,1-dioxide has been pursued [48] (Scheme 10). Bubenyák et al. reported a condensation of benzothiadiazine 1,1-dioxide analogue 23 with phenyl diazonium chloride led to a phenylhydrazone derivative, which was subjected to Fischer indole synthesis to afford the corresponding 5-sulfarutaecarpine (24). The starting 23 was prepared in two-steps from 2-aminobenzenesulfonic acid and 6-methoxy-2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine in 33% yield or 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride and piperidin-2-one in 66% yield.

Scheme 10. Synthesis of 5-sulfarutaecarpine [48].

The same group [49] reported a hybrid between the alkaloids rutaecarpine and luotonin A [50,51]. Vilsmeier-Haack formylation of 2-(1H-indol-2-yl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (25a) gave 26 which was subjected to either direct or indirect reduction to alcohol followed by acid catalyzed cyclization to produce 27a,b. On the other hand, a direct cyclization followed by chlorination under Vilsmeier-Haack
conditions led to 27c [52] (Scheme 11). Such a chloro-compound represents a good substrate for introducing substituents by nucleophilic substitution [52] for the synthesis of related series of compounds.

Scheme 11. Synthesis of a hybrid between rutaecarpine and luotonin A [50,52].

3. Biological Properties

A review written in 2003 by Hu and Li, comprehensively described the in vitro and in vivo pharmacology of rutaecarpine [18], in which pharmacological actions were classified as; cardiovascular effects, antiplatelet activity, antithrombotic activity, anticancer activity, anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects, effects on the endocrine system, anti-obesity and thermoregulatory effects, effects on smooth muscle (except cardiovascular), and others. In addition, rutaecarpine ameliorated body weight gain by inhibiting orexigenic neuropeptides NPY and AgRP in mice [53] and reducing lipid accumulation by AMPK (AMP activated protein kinase) activation and UPR (unfolded protein response) suppression [52]. Recently, Xu et al. reported the anti-atherosclerosis activity (EC\textsubscript{50} = 0.27 \(\mu\)M) by up-regulating ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) [54,55]. The present review addresses structure-activity relationships with respect to antiplatelet activity, vasodilator activity, cytotoxicity, and anticholinesterase activity.

3.1. Antiplatelet Activity

Early studies revealed that the antiplatelet activity of rutaecarpine was due to the inhibition of thromboxane formation and phosphoinositide breakdown [56]. Two different antiplatelet activities (85.2% aggregation at the concentration of 100 \(\mu\)g·mL\(^{-1}\) [28] vs. 0% aggregation at the concentration of 20 \(\mu\)g·mL\(^{-1}\) [57]) have been reported for rutaecarpine, whereas 2,3-methylenedioxyrutaecarpine (1b), 3-chlororutaecarpine (1c), and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (1d, IC\textsubscript{50} = 1–2 \(\mu\)g·mL\(^{-1}\)) showed 100% inhibition towards arachidonic acid-induced aggregation at 5 \(\mu\)g·mL\(^{-1}\). However, aggregations induced by ADP (0.22 \(\mu\)M), thrombin (0.1 unit·mL\(^{-1}\)), collagen (10 \(\mu\)M), and platelet activating factor (PAF, 2 \(\mu\)g·mL\(^{-1}\), data not shown) were not affected by rutaecarpine or its derivatives except 3-methoxyrutaecarpine (1e, 19.8% aggregation at 100 \(\mu\)g·mL\(^{-1}\) level) and butanoic acid derivative (1a, b, 19.8% aggregation at 100 \(\mu\)g·mL\(^{-1}\)). Results are summarized in Table 2.
Table 2. Inhibitory activity of rutaecarpine and its derivatives on the platelet aggregation.

| Compound | R₁ | R₂ | Aggregation (%) |
|----------|----|----|-----------------|
|          |    |    | Inducer         | ADP (0.22 μM) | Thrombin (0.1 unit·mL⁻¹) | A.A. * (100 μM) | Collagen (10 μg·mL⁻¹) |
| 1a       | H  | H  | 99 b [56]       | 85.7 c [28]   | 85.2 c [28], 0 d [57]   | 82.1 c [28], 22.1 c [57] |
| 1b [28]  | H  | 2,3-OCH₂O- | 89.8 b         | 0 e          |                         |                   |
| 1c [28]  | H  | 3-Cl | 88.8 b         | 0 e          |                         |                   |
|          |    |    | 0.5% DMSO [28]  | 88.2         | 90.1                   | 88.5               |
| 1d [58]  | H  | 3-OH | 84.0 d         | 0 e          |                         |                   |
| 1e [58]  | H  | 3-OMe | 89.7 e         | 0 f          |                         |                   |
| aspirin (20 μg·mL⁻¹) [58] |    |    | 92.1 d         | 0 e          |                         |                   |
| 1aa [57] | CH₂CH₂OH | H | 76 b         |             |                         |                   |
| 1ab [57] | (CH₂)₂CO₂H | H | 14 b         |             |                         |                   |
| 1ac [57] | 3,4-(Me)C₆H₃ | H | 88 b         |             |                         |                   |

The values were given with standard error of the mean (SEM), but intentionally omitted SEM for clarity. Platelets were pre-incubated with 0.5% DMSO (control) or compounds for 3 min, then the inducer was added to trigger aggregation. a A.A. = arachidonic acid; b,d,e,f Values given were aggregation percentage in the presence of 100, 20, 5, and 50 μg·mL⁻¹ of rutaecarpines, respectively; c Aggregation percentage at the concentration of 10 μM.

3.2. Vasodilator Activity

An early study showed that phenylephrine-induced contraction of isolated rat mesenteric arterial segments with intact endothelium was relaxed by 90% by 0.1 mM rutaecarpine and that such relaxation was concentration-dependent in the 0.1 μM–0.1 mM range [59]. Further study revealed that NO-dependent vasodilation is primarily responsible for the vasodilatory activity of rutaecarpine [60]. Subsequently, the vasodilatory effect of rutaecarpine was also related to the stimulation of endogenous calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) release via the activation of transient receptor potential vanilloid subfamily, member 1 (TRPV1) [61,62]. Chen et al. synthesized 12 rutaecarpine derivatives and 11 analogues, and then evaluated their vasodilator activities (data not shown). These authors found two important trends regarding the vasodilator activities of rutaecarpine-related anti-hypertensives: (1) the N₁₄ atom of rutaecarpine might be the key site, and (2) the 5-carbonyl probably makes a lower contribution, while simple substitution on the indole or quinazoline rings does not enhance vasodilatory effects [62]. Although the prepared compounds showed better activity than rutaecarpine (EC₅₀ = 1.33 μM), such a finding would suggest a new direction for the discovery of valuable TRPV1 agonists as anti-hypertensive drugs if rutaecarpine had proper substituent (s) at the proper position (s).
3.3. Cytotoxicity

3.3.1. Rutaecarpine Derivatives

The findings from the studies on the cytotoxicity of rutaecarpine and its derivatives are summarized in Table 3. In general, ring substitution results in selectivity towards specific cell lines. Although the introduction of substitutions on ring A affected the cytotoxicity more significantly, the position is also important. 11-Methoxyrutaecarpine (1f) showed selective cytotoxicity against a human lung and renal cancer cells at concentrations of 0.75 and 0.31 μM, respectively, and the 10,11-methylenedioxy analogue 1b showed selective cytotoxicity for ovarian cancer cell lines, while 10-methoxyrutaecarpine (1e) showed no significant cytotoxicity at concentrations up to 25 μM [63]. 12-Fluororutaecarpine (1n) showed somewhat stronger cytotoxicity in the HT-29 human cell line compared to 2-chlororutaecarpine (1m) and those with fluorine on ring E (data not shown) [64]. 11,12-Dichlororutaecarpine (1o), a hybrid of bauerine (7,8-dichloro-9-methyl-2,9-dihydro-1H-pyrido[3,4-b]indol-1-one) [65] and rutaecarpine, showed the strongest inhibitory activity against HL-60 at the 0.15 μM level.

Regarding the mechanisms responsible for cytotoxicity, inhibitory activities against topoisomerase (topo) I and II have been studied. These inhibitory activities appeared to be affected by substitution on the E-ring but not by substitutions on rings A and/or C [64,66,67], except for 11-bromorutaecarpine. In fact, 10-bromorutaecarpine (1g) and 3-chlororutaecarpine (1c) showed strong inhibitory activities (79.54% and 84.35%, respectively) against topo I and were comparable to camptothecin (82.62%) at 100 μM against 0.2 unit topo I and similar to that against 0.2 units of topo II [67] (see Table 5). In addition, rutaecarpine inhibited tumor cell migration by approximately 30%–40% at 100 μg·mL⁻¹ [68], which would open a new study-window on the use of rutaecarpine as an antitumor agent.

3.3.2. Rutaecarpine-Isosteres and Hybrids

Annulation of aromatic rings, especially thiophene (27a–d), pyrrole (27e), and furan (27f) rings onto rutaecarpine ring E enhanced cytotoxicity toward selected human cancer cell lines [69]. All of these systems showed improved cytotoxicity against melanoma (UACC62), ovarian (SKVO3), prostate (DU145) and renal cancer (ACHN) cell lines but not against CNS or lung cancer cell lines.

On the other hand, dihydrorutaecarpines (29) showed increased activity and selectivity toward a CNS (U251) cancer cell line in the concentration range 0.02–7 μM and toward a renal cancer cell line at 0.08–20 μM (Table 4). The parent 30a showed strong activity (GI₅₀ = 0.02 μM) and selectivity toward a CNS cancer cell line and the 10-methylthio compound (29b) showed strong activity (GI₅₀ = 0.08 μM) and selectivity toward a renal (ACHN) cancer cell line.

It is worth noting that evodiamine (29h) showed strong in vitro cytotoxicity against the following cell lines; human leukemia (HL-60, GI₅₀ = 0.51 μM), human prostate cancer cell line (PC-3, GI₅₀ = 14.35 μM) [70], murine fibrosarcoma (L929, GI₅₀ = 20.3 μM), human breast adenocarcinoma (HeLa, GI₅₀ = 15.4 μM), and human malignant melanoma (A375-S2, GI₅₀ = 10.1 μM) [71].
Table 3. *In vitro* cytotoxicity of rutaecarpine derivatives (GI₅₀ values in μM) a.

|                | SF-295 | HT-29 | A549/ATCC | NCI-H460 | OVCAR-4 | 786-0 | CCRF-CEM/HL-60 | N87  | HS-578T |
|----------------|--------|-------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|------|---------|
| 1a (rutaecarpine) | 14.1 [72] | 31.6 [73] | 14.5 [63] | 18.9 [63] | 19.8 [74] | 8.41 [74] | 22.6 [63] |
| 1b (10,11-OCH₂O-) [63] | >25.0 | 1.55 | 1.50 | 1.08 | >25.0 | 11.94 |
| 1d (3-OH) [70] | 1.55 | 1.50 | 1.08 | >25.0 | 5.05 |
| 1e (10-OCH₃) [63] | >25.0 | >25.0 | >25.0 | >25.0 | >25.0 |
| 1f (11-OCH₃) [63] | 0.75 | 1.38 | >25.0 | 0.31 | 1.59 |
| 1g (10-Br) [72] | 1.55 | 1.50 | 1.08 | >25.0 | 5.05 |
| 1h (1-OH) [73] | 8.62 | 16.3 b | 6.43(11.1) c |
| 1i [7-OH(β)] [74] | 7.39 | 10.43 | 10.1 [74], 8.34 [70] | 8.38 [74] |
| 1j [7-OH(β), 8-OH(α)] [74] | 10.1 | 23.2 |
| 1k [7-OH(β), 8-OCH₃(α)] [74] | 13.7 | 14.1 |
| 1l [7-OH(β), 8-OEt(α)] [74] | 7.82 | 22.3 |
| 1m (2-Cl) [64] | 8.31 | 27.9 |
| 1n (12-F) [64] | 0.15 | 21.6 d |
| 1o (11,12-diCl) [75] | 1.26 | 8.4 |
|              |        |       |           |          |         |       |                 |

Tumor cell lines: CNS cancer (U251), human colon carcinoma (HT-29), human lung adenocarcinoma (A549/ATCC and NCI-11460), renal cancer (786-0, ACHN), ovarian cancer (OVCAR-4), leukemia (CCRF-CEM, HL-60, or P-388), human gastric carcinoma (N87), and breast cancer (HS-578T). a The cytotoxicity GI₅₀ values are the concentrations corresponding to 50% growth inhibition, and they are the averages of at least two determinations; b for non-small cell lung cancer cell line; c Value in parenthesis was taken from ovarian OVCAR-8 cancer cell line; d for human breast cancer carcinoma MCF-7.
Table 4. *In vitro* cytotoxicity activities of fused-rutaecarpines and dihydrorutaecarpines (GI$_{50}$ values in μM) $^a$ [69].

| Compound | X   | R$_1$ | R$_2$ | R$_3$ | U251  | H522  | UACC62 | SKOV3 | DU145 | ACHN |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|
| 28a      | S   | H     | H     | H     | >100  | >100  | 7      | 10    | >100  | >100 |
| 28b      | S   | Cl    | H     | H     | 53    | 74    | 2      | 12    | 12    | 2    |
| 28c      | S   | SCH$_3$ | CH$_3$ | CO$_2$Et | >100  | >100  | 7      | 20    | 2     | 13   |
| 28d      | S   | F     | H     | H     | >100  | >100  | 7      | 17    | 1     | 46   |
| 28e      | N   | Br    | CH$_3$ | H     | 26    | 33    | 9      | 14    | 34    | 20   |
| 28f      | O   | Cl    | t-Bu  | H     | 11    | -     | 14     | 8     | 15    | 2.4  |
| 29a      | -   | H     | H     | H     | 0.02  | 37    | >100   | 3     | 0.2   | 20   |
| 29b      | -   | SCH$_3$ | H     | H     | 3     | 35    | 17     | 13    | 15    | 0.08 |
| 29c      | -   | SOCH$_3$ | H     | H     | 7     | >100  | >100   | 27    | 0.1   | 2    |
| 29d      | -   | Br    | H     | H     | 5     | 59    | >100   | >100  | 32    | 1    |
| 29e      | -   | Cl    | H     | H     | 2.5   | 9     | >100   | 2     | 2     | 2    |
| 29f      | -   | Cl    | H     | CH$_3$ | 6     | 10    | 7      | 11    | 2     | 1    |
| 29g      | -   | Br    | H     | CH$_3$ | 0.3   | 18    | >100   | 3     | 3     | 0.4  |
| 29h      | -   | H     | H     | CH$_3$ | 5     | 1     | 6      | 2     | 15    | 11   |
| 29i      | -   | H     | NO$_2$ | H     | 3     | 3     | 2      | 12    | 3     | 3    |

Most of the values were given with standard error of the mean (SEM), but intentionally omitted SEM for clarity. Tumor cell lines: CNS cancer (U251), lung cancer (H522), melanoma cancer (UACC62), ovarian cancer (SKOV3), prostate cancer (DU145), and renal cancer (ACHN). $^a$ The cytotoxicity GI$_{50}$ values are the concentrations corresponding to 50% growth inhibition, and are the averages of at least two determinations.

Although the cytotoxicity of 13b,14-dihydrorutaecarpine (29a) is somewhat more potent than that of rutaecarpine (1a), it is not easy to establish any possible structure-activity relationship between 13b,14-dihydrorutaecarpine derivatives 29 and the corresponding rutaecarpine derivatives 1, not only because the substitution patterns are different but also because tested cancer cell lines are different.

The poor solubility of rutaecarpine and its derivatives in common organic solvents and in water results in poor bioavailability, which is the main obstacle that needs to be resolved for the further development of rutaecarpine and its derivatives as a drug. In fact, the excellent *in vitro* activities (GI$_{50}$ = 1–8 μM) of compounds 29e, 29f, and 29i were not reflected by xenograft model results, presumably because of their poor bioavailability [69].

Generally, benzo-annulation increases electronic dispersion and planar dimensions thus may play an important role in interactions with receptor sites [76,77]. A series of benzo-annulated rutaecarpines were prepared using Fischer indole synthesis and their cytotoxicities against selected human cancer cell lines and their inhibitory effects on topo I and II were evaluated [78] (Table 5). However, currently available data are not sufficient to indicate any clear structure-activity relationships.

It should be noted that an isostere 24 with a sulfone moiety was not as active as rutaecarpine. The percentage of apoptotic cells corresponding to the sub-GI phase of 5-sulfarutaecarpine was found to be 29.2%, which compares with the 14.5% of rutaecarpine [48]. In addition, the hybrids 27a showed an
increase of cytotoxic activities against HeLa cells and apoptosis inducing effects at a concentration comparable to that of etoposide. The percentages of apoptotic cells corresponding to the sub-G1 phase of 26 and 27a at the $10^{-6}$ mol·L$^{-1}$ were 38.6% and 24.1%, respectively, which are comparable to the 14.5% of rutaecarpine while a positive control (etoposide) gave 15.4%.

**Table 5. In vitro cytotoxicity activities of benzorutaecarpines (GI$_{50}$ values in $\mu$M) $^a$ [78].**

| Compound | HEK293 | MCF7 | DU145 | HCT116 | K562 | Topo I Inhibition (%) | Topo II Inhibition (%) |
|----------|--------|------|-------|--------|------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1a       | >50    | 19.57| 31.65 | 33.89  | 25.77| 1.20                   | 1.25                   |
| 1g       | (see Table 3) | 79.54| 35.43 |        |      |                        |                        |
| 1c       | NT     | NT   | NT    | NT     | NT   | 84.36                  | 69.51                  |
| 30a      | 36.57  | 11.42| 27.54 | 9.94   | 25.38| NA                     |                        |
| 30b      | >50    | 16.94| 36.55 | 24.16  | 31.42| 48.09                  | 3.52                   |
| 30c      | >50    | 12.25| 30.14 | 15.78  | 25.63| 0.46                   | 45.92                  |
| 30d $^b$ | -      | -    | -     | -      | -    | -                      | -                      |
| 30e      | >50    | 12.06| 29.64 | 25.36  | 28.39| 28.11                  | 52.74                  |
| etoposide $^c$ | 4.09 | 3.02 | 3.75  | 1.88   | 2.23 | 52.52                  | -                      |
| camptothecin $^c$ | 5.16 | 4.22 | 3.22  | 0.38   | 1.05 | -                      | 63.41                  |

$^a$ The original data were from three different experiments performed in triplicate and given as mean $+/−$ standard error of the mean (SEM), but intentionally omitted SEM for clarity. Cell lines used are embryonic kidney cell line (HEK293), human breast cancer (MCF7), human prostate tumor (DU145), human colorectal adenocarcinoma (HCT116), and human chronic myelogenous leukemia cell line (K562); $^b$ Not soluble enough to produce meaningful values; $^c$ Data was taken with 0.2 unit of topo I (or II), 100 $\mu$M of reference (camptothecin or etoposide) and benzorutaecarpines prepared.

3.4. Inhibitory Activity on Acetylcholinesterase

The early studies on the strong inhibitory activity (64% inhibition at 100 $\mu$g·mL$^{-1}$) of fruits extract of *Evodia officinalis* against acetylcholinesterase [79] and its strong *in vivo* anti-amnesic activity (IC$_{50}$ = 6.3 $\mu$M) led to the finding that dehydroevodiamine (31) was the origin of such biological activities [80] (Tables 6 and 7). These results led to more systematic studies on the anti-cholinesterase activity of rutaecarpine [81].

Wang, *et al.* prepared a series of rutaecarpine (compounds 1q–w) (Table 6) and 7,8-dehydrorutaecarpine (11, *vide infra*) derivatives (Table 7). Most of the rutaecarpine derivatives showed strong inhibitory activity against acetylcholinesterase (eeAChE) from electric eel and butyrylcholinesterase (eqBuChE) from equine serum with a selectivity on AChE over BuChE in the range 0.1–297.5 [81]. Additional structural modifications of 11 lead a dramatic increase in anticholinesterase activity up to 0.61 nM (11h) and selectivity on AChE up to over 3000 [81,82].
Table 6. *In vitro* inhibition and selectivity index of rutaecarpine derivatives on *ee*AChE and *eq*BuChE.

| Compound | R      | X      | AChE Inhibition (nM)  | BuChE Inhibition (nM) | Selectivity Index |
|----------|--------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 1a       | H      | O      | >100,000              | >100,000              |                  |
| 1q       | NHCOH₂NEt₂ | O      | 372.3                 | 17,620                | 47.4              |
| 1r       |        | O      | 131.2                 | 696.1                 | 5.3               |
| 1s       |        | O      | 111.4                 | 33,020                | 297.5             |
| 1t       | NHCO(CH₂)₂NEt₂ | O      | 80.20                 | 2848                  | 35.5              |
| 1u       |        | O      | 29.24                 | 844.5                 | 28.9              |
| 1v       |        | O      | 21.40                 | 2112                  | 98.7              |
| 1w       | H      | H, H, H | 340                  | 50                    | 0.15              |
| tacrine  |        |        | 222.7                 | 29.98                 | 0.1               |
| 31 [79]  |        |        | 13,200 (37,800 [80], 6300 [83]) | 115,900              | 8.8               |

* a 50% inhibitory concentration (means of at least four independent experiments) of *ee*AChE from electric eel; b 50% inhibitory concentration (means of at least four independent experiments) of *eq*BuChE from equine serum; c Selectivity Index for AChE = IC₅₀(BuChE)/IC₅₀(AChE).

Interestingly, 5b, not only natural product but also one of the favored starting materials for rutaecarpine synthesis, showed promising inhibitory activity on AChE (IC₅₀ = 83.38 μM) [84], implying a new vista towards designing new analogues of rutaecarpine for the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease. In addition to 5b, studies on truncated rutaecarpines have led to new promising lead compounds, such as 25 [85] (Table 8), 32 [86], and 33 [87] (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](link to image)

**Figure 4.** Selected examples of truncated rutaecarpines.
Table 7. In vitro inhibition and selectivity index of dehydrorutaecarpine derivatives on eeAChE and eqBuChE [81,82].

| Compound | R         | N   | AChE Inhibition (nM) a | BuChE Inhibition (nM) b | Selectivity Index c |
|----------|-----------|-----|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 11b [81] | NHCOCH₂NEt₂ | 1   | 57.09 (70.4 [82])      | 11,360                  | 198.9               |
| 11c [81] |           | 1   | 23.56 (59.3 [82])      | 428.2                   | 18.2                |
| 11d [81] |           | 1   | 10.07 (70.0 [82])      | 5429                    | 539.1               |
| tacrine  |           |     | 222.7                  | 29.98                   | 0.1                 |
| 11e [82] |           | 2   | 13.90                  | 14,900                  | 1072                |
| 11f [82] |           | 2   | 51.00                  | 24,000                  | 471                 |
| 11g [82] |           | 1   | 0.80                   | 2451                    | 3225                |
| 11h [82] |           | 1   | 0.61                   | 1855                    | 3092                |
| 11i [82] |           | 1   | 3.09                   | 7300                    | 2362                |
| 11j [82] |           | 2   | 2.30                   | 4291                    | 1858                |
| 11k [82] |           | 2   | 2.10                   | 3488                    | 1638                |
| 11l [82] |           | 2   | 14.30                  | 6340                    | 450                 |
| 11m [82] |           | 3   | 3.90                   | 4160                    | 1056                |
| tacrine  |           |     | 108.0                  | 33.4                    | 0.3                 |

The original data were given as mean +/- standard error of the mean (SEM), but intentionally omitted SEM for clarity. a 50% inhibitory concentration (means of at least four independent experiments) of eeAChE from electric eel; b 50% inhibitory concentration (means of at least four independent experiments) of eqBuChE from equine serum; c Selectivity Index for AChE = IC₅₀ (BuChE)/IC₅₀ (AChE).

The anticholinesterase activity and the selectivity on AChE were somewhat related to the back-bone structure and the length of the side chain: The derivatives with a backbone with an aromatic C ring (11) showed better activity and selectivity than non-aromatic (1) and open-chain systems (25). The 7,8-dehydrogenated rutaecarpine derivative (11c, IC₅₀ = 23.56 nM, SI = 18.20) is more active and more selective than the rutaecarpine derivative (1r, IC₅₀ = 131.2 nM, SI = 5.3) and open C-ring derivative (25b, 326.6 nM, SI = 9.50) (Table 8). On the other hand, an increase of the length of the side chain would increase the activity (1q vs. 1l, 1r vs. 1u, and 1s vs. 1v; 11c vs. 11e; and 25c vs. 25d) and selectivity except in the case of 1s vs. 1v.

The selectivity indexes (SI, calculated by IC₅₀ for BuChE/IC₅₀ for AChE) on AChE of all the rutaecarpine derivatives ranged from 5.3–3225. Although the selectivity on AChE over BuChE is a concern for curing Alzheimer’s disease, clinically useful physostigmine (SI = 3.47) [84], galanthamine HBr (SI = 13.1 [86]) and donepezil (SI = 1252 [88]) show selectivity for AChE over BuChE while rivastigmine (SI ≤ 0.008 [86]) and neostigmine (SI = 0.58 [89]) show selectivity for BuChE over AChE. These results imply that it may not be an advantage for a cholinesterase inhibitor to be selective for
AChE or BuChE, but instead suggest that higher efficacy requires a good balance between AChE and BuChE.

Table 8. *In vitro* inhibition and selectivity index of truncated-rutaecarpines on AChE and BuChE [84,85].

| Compound | R | AChE Inhibition (nM) a | BuChE Inhibition (nM) b | Selectivity Index c |
|----------|---|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 5b [84]  |   | 83,380               |                        |                   |
| physostigmine [84] |   | 170                  | 590                    | 3.47              |
| 25a [85]  | H | >10,000              | >10,000                |                   |
| 25b [85]  |   | 326.6                | 3103                   | 9.50              |
| 25c [85]  |   | 147.9                | 10,160                 | 68.70             |
| 25d [85]  |   | 20.98                | 7322                   | 349.17            |
| tacrine [85] |   | 222.7                | 29.98                  | 0.1               |

The original data were given as mean +/- standard error of the mean (SEM), but intentionally omitted SEM for clarity. a 50% inhibitory concentration (means of at least 3 independent experiments) of *ee*AChE from *electric eel*; b 50% inhibitory concentration (means of at least 3 independent experiments) of *eq*BuChE from *equine serum*; c Selectivity Index for AChE = IC\textsubscript{50} (BuChE)/IC\textsubscript{50} (AChE).

4. Conclusions

Rutaecarpine is one of the important alkaloids isolated from the Rutaceae and related plants, and it exhibits various interesting biological properties. Recent years have witnessed steady progress in understanding the chemistry and biology of rutaecarpine. Furthermore, it should be noted that reports have been issued on the beneficial effects of rutaecarpine analogues on controlling lipid accumulation [52], obesity [53], and atherosclerosis [54,55], and. The present review focuses on the synthesis of rutaecarpine derivatives and on their biological activities, especially on structure-activity relationships and their antiplatelet, vasodilatory, cytotoxic, and anticholinesterase activities. More efficient and/or practical methods are needed for the synthesis of rutaecarpine derivatives, not only to pursue structure-activity relationship studies but also to identify novel potent lead compounds for drug development.
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