Induction of a Four-Way Junction Structure in the DNA Palindromic Hexanucleotide 5’-d(CGTACG)-3’ by a Mononuclear Platinum Complex
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Abstract: Four-way junctions (4WJs) are supramolecular DNA assemblies comprising four interacting DNA strands that in biology are involved in DNA-damage repair. In this study, a new mononuclear platinum(II) complex I was prepared that is capable of driving the crystallization of the DNA oligomer 5’-d(CGTACG)-3’ specifically into a 4WJ-like motif. In the crystal structure of the 1-CGTACG adduct, the distorted-square-planar platinum complex binds to the core of the 4WJ-like motif through π-π stacking and hydrogen bonding, without forming any platinum–nitrogen coordination bonds. Our observations suggest that the specific molecular properties of the metal complex are crucially responsible for triggering the selective assembly of this peculiar DNA superstructure.

Interactions between platinum-based compounds and nucleic acids have been extensively studied because the widely used anticancer drugs cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and carboplatin bind tightly to nuclear DNA, which is also reputed to be their primary target.[1] Indeed, following the hydrolysis of two cis Pt–Cl bonds, cisplatin typically forms two coordination bonds with nitrogen atoms of adjacent DNA base pairs, thus producing local DNA damage, which initiates cancer-cell death.[2] Many cytotoxic aromatic compounds, whether they contain platinum or not, interact with DNA by intercalation of their flat, aromatic rings into the π-π stacked DNA base pairs of double-stranded DNA. Overall, the development of molecules of different shapes, coordination and supramolecular properties, that recognize or induce the formation of different types of DNA motifs is an active field of research: trans platinum compounds,[3] compounds that interact with the sugar phosphate backbone of DNA,[4] and those that recognize nucleic acid superstructures that are different from double-stranded DNA, such as DNA mismatches,[5] G-quadruplexes,[6] DNA bulges,[7] viral RNAs,[8] and three-way junctions, have been extensively studied.[9] In particular, binuclear metal complexes developed by the Hannon group,[10] which recognize three-way junctions, have attracted considerable attention in the last decade. This series of supramolecular cylinders interact with the DNA palindromic hexanucleotide 5’-d(CGTACG)-3’ to form three-way junctions with the metal complex in the central hydrophobic cavity of the DNA assembly.[11] A later study demonstrated the ability of RNA three-way junctions, such as 5’-CGUACG-3’, to also interact specifically with these metal complexes.[12]

Four-way junctions (4WJs) are another form of non-canonical DNA superstructures characterized by four inter-connected DNA fragments. Many supramolecular isomers of these highly flexible assemblies have been described. Some of these isomers, called Holliday junctions (HJs), are biologically important as they are involved in DNA repair.[13] Processes such as double HJ dissolution are crucial for suppressing specific DNA damage that otherwise causes cancer predisposition and/or premature ageing, for example, in Bloom syndrome.[14] HJs have even been proposed as a target for the design of selective inhibitors of HJ resolution, which would benefit from compounds capable of stabilizing 4WJs. The first structural characterization of a 4WJ was reported in 1999 with the sequence 5’-d(CCGGGGCCG)-3’. Since then, several protein–4WJ interactions have been characterized,[15] and two crystal structures of 4WJs interacting with small organic molecules have been published.[16] We now report the induction of a 4WJ-like DNA structure by a new mononuclear platinum compound, [Pt(H₂bapbpy)]-.
Complex I was synthesized in 75% yield by heating the tetrapyridyl ligand H₂bapbpy[18] with K₂PtCl₄ in an ethanol–water mixture. Single crystals suitable for X-ray crystal-structure determination were obtained from acetonitrile. In the crystal structure of [Pt(Hbapbpy)]PF₆ (Figure 1; see also Table S1 in the Supporting Information), the d⁸ metal complex shows a strongly distorted, helical square-planar coordination, similar to that observed in octahedral iron(II) complexes of the same ligand.[18] In contrast to previously described octahedral metal complexes of this ligand, however, in I the H₂bapbpy ligand was clearly deprotonated, thus indicating that the protons borne by the non-coordinating NH bridges of the ligand become quite acidic upon platinum(II) coordination. In solution, deprotonation of these NH bridges (pKₐ₁ = 8.3; see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information) can interconvert the metal complex between its monocationic ([Pt(Hbapbpy)]⁺) and dicatonic ([Pt(H₂bapbpy)]²⁺) forms, and under neutral conditions the complex is mostly in the dicatonic state. Owing to the tetradentate nature of the H₂bapbpy ligand and the square-planar preferred geometry of d⁸ metal centers, the coordination sphere of platinum in I is saturated and very stable. Stability studies in water, phosphate buffer, and cell growth medium, showed that over 48 h only deprotonation occurred, that is, no hydrolysis took place (see Figure S2).

When I was added to a solution of the palindromic hexanucleotide 5’-d(CGTACG)-3’, single crystals of an adduct 1-CGTACG were grown that could be subjected to crystal-structure determination. The 1-CGTACG adduct crystallized in the P₃₂₁ space group (see Table S2). The asymmetric unit shows one molecule of I per four DNA strands. The four crystallographically independent DNA strands form pairs of B-type double-helix units (Figure 2a) that stack on each other to form columns growing in the [110], [010], and [100] crystal directions. The 5’-terminal cytosines C1A and C1C were not localized, whereas C7B and C7D protrude out from the helix and form a connection with an adjacent symmetry-related column in the packing structure. No coordination was found between the DNA base pairs and the platinum atom, which remained coordinated to the four nitrogen atoms of the tetrapyridyl ligand. The DNA-binding site of I is located at the interface between couples of B-type helices in each DNA column, where the C7 cytosine residues are twisted away. Although the “almost flat”, aromatic structure of I made us initially expect simple intercalation between the DNA base pairs, the binding site of I unexpectedly led to a remarkable distortion of the double-helix structures (the rotational parameters of the base pairs are shown in Table S3).[19] The resulting noncanonical binding site is formed by two tetrads (the two gray rectangles shown in
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**Figure 1.** Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of the cationic moiety [Pt(Hbapbpy)]⁺ as observed in the crystal structure of [Pt(Hbapbpy)]PF₆. Counteranions have been omitted for clarity.[21]
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**Figure 2.** Crystal structure of the adduct 1-CGTACG. a) B-Type double-helix units arranged in a column in which each B-type double-helix unit is formed by two contiguous ds-CGTACG moieties. Complex I is shown in CPK style. b,c) Binding site of the platinum complex I in the 1-F and 1-G conformation, respectively (see text). The four colours red, blue, green, and pink of the DNA strands correspond to the four crystallographically independent DNA oligomers (denoted A–D).
Figure 3a in each column), which sandwich the metal complex through π–π stacking. Each tetrad is built up of two C–G pairs formed with the contribution of a cytosine residue from the symmetry-related column (C5A–G8B and G6C–C7B; G6A–C7D and C5C–G8D). The two C–G pairs are then connected by a double N2@H···N3 hydrogen bond established by the G6 and G8 guanine residues. Notably, the connections provided by C7B and C7D result overall in a 4WJ-like structure (Figure 3a,b). At the end of refinement, the two molecules of 1 were found to be helical enantiomers. The two molecules were in an almost coplanar arrangement and approximately rotated by about 90° with respect to each other about the helical axis (see Figure S3). The presence of two equally probable positions for 1 in the 4WJ is most likely possible because the main interaction of the complex with the DNA bases is π–π stacking, with mean interplanar distances of about 3.4 Å for both conformations, so that the different chirality does not introduce any destabilization. Besides π–π stacking, coulombic interactions between the positively charged platinum complexes and the negative electron density of DNA must play a role in the stabilization of the adduct as well, whereas the protonation state of the amine bridges of the tetrapyridyl ligands could not be determined crystallographically.

To obtain a more detailed view of the interactions taking place between the two twisted molecules of 1 and the DNA 4WJ-like structure, we performed quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations starting from the crystallographic coordinates of both conformations 1-F and 1-G. Overall, in silico relaxation did not lead to significant variations of the conformation of the model (see Figures S4 and S5) as compared to that of the crystal structure (Figure 4a,b). Interestingly, the model clearly suggests that one of the two noncoordinating amines of the H2bapbpy ligand of complex 1 may be engaged in H-bonding with DNA; however, the corresponding N···O contacts from the XRD analysis were too long (2.6–4.1 Å) to conclude that a strong H-bond interaction was present considering the insufficient quality of the electron-density map for that level of description.

The unique structure of the 1–CGTACG adduct prompted us to test the antiproliferative activity of 1 in cancer cell lines, and its ability to reach the cell nucleus. The cancer cell growth inhibition concentration (IC50) of 1 was investigated in two cisplatin-sensitive cancer cell lines (A549, MCF-7), one and 1-G (Figure 2b,c).
cisplatin-resistant cancer cell line (MDA-MB231), and one nonmalignant lung cell line (MRC-5) by using a previously reported protocol. IC₅₀ values as low as or much lower than that of cisplatin were found, also in noncancerous MRC-5 cells (Table 1). The high toxicity of 1 in cisplatin-resistant

### Table 1: Cell growth inhibition concentrations (IC₅₀ with 95% confidence intervals, in μM) of 1 and cisplatin for cancer (A549, MCF-7, MDA-MB231), and noncancer (MRC-5) cell lines.

| Cell line | 1     | Cisplatin |
|-----------|-------|-----------|
| A549      | 0.91  | 3.1       |
| MCF-7     | 0.80  | 4.0       |
| MDA-MB231 | 1.3   | > 25      |
| MRC-5     | 1.4   | 12        |

MDA-MB231 cancer cells suggests a different mode of action (MoA) of 1 as compared to cisplatin. The uptake and localization of 1 in the cytosol, membranes, nuclei, and cytoskeleton of A549 cells were determined 24 h after treatment at 1.0 μM by cell fractionation followed by ICP-MS analysis (see Table S4). Of the total uptake of 1586 pmol Pt per million cells, 15% of the metal was found in the nucleus, similarly to cisplatin (14%). When 1 was incubated for 24 h with a 2686 bp pUC19 plasmid, very weak interactions were observed at a 20:1 base pair/metal complex ratio, but at a 7.5:1 or lower ratio, migration of the supercoiled plasmid was severely retarded (see Figure S6). Such retardation implies that 1 does indeed interact with pUC19 plasmid DNA, but we cannot discriminate, at this stage, between specific interaction of 1 with the 4WJ in solution, and nonspecific interaction with dsDNA.

In conclusion, 1 is the first example of a metal complex capable of inducing a noncanonical DNA structure resembling a 4WJ in the DNA palindromic hexanucleotide 5′-d(CGTACG). Details of the interaction have been elucidated at the atomic level. At present, the exact role of the distorted conformation of 1 and its noncoordinated NH bridges in the stabilization of the 4WJ-like structure still needs to be assessed. Our preliminary biological results show that 1 has high biological activity and, because it goes to the nucleus, that it can interact with nuclear DNA. However, at this stage we can by no means assess whether the 4WJ-like DNA structure observed in the solid state also forms in solution (see Figure S7), and whether it is responsible for the toxicity of 1. Meanwhile, the fact that the DNA 6-mer used in our study is identical to that used by Hannon and co-workers to demonstrate the specific interaction of DNA three-way junctions with dinuclear compounds opens fascinating perspectives, as the same DNA sequence is triggered to adopt different three-dimensional conformations depending on the nature of the interacting metal complex.

The results reported herein suggest that it may be possible to design compounds with specific molecular shapes that develop selective supramolecular interactions with DNA, thus leading to the self-assembly of different DNA superstructures. Overall, understanding the link between the specific shape and supramolecular properties of 1, and its unique ability to drive the crystallization of a DNA 4WJ-like structure, may lead to unforeseen applications in supramolecular chemistry, biosensing, and/or medicinal chemistry.
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