1,2-Carboboration of Arylallenes by In Situ Generated Alkenylboranes for the Synthesis of 1,4-Dienes
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Abstract: We herein report a novel method for the coupling of unactivated alkynes and arylallenes, which relies on an unprecedented and regioselective 1,2-carboboration of the alene by an alkenylborane. The alkenylborane is conveniently prepared in situ by hydroboration of an alkyne with Piers’ borane, i.e., HB(C₆F₅)₂. The boryl-substituted 1,4-dienes that are formed by this carboboration are well-suited for a subsequent Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with aryl iodides. This allowed us to develop a three-step, one-pot protocol for the synthesis of aryl-substituted 1,4-dienes. The generality of the reaction was demonstrated by the synthesis of twenty dienes with modular variations of all three reaction partners. The mechanism of the new 1,2-carboboration was investigated using dispersion corrected double-hybrid DFT computations that allowed us to rationalize the chemo- and regioselectivity of this key step.

Introduction

Carboborations are a powerful and atom economic synthetic method because they simultaneously form a C–C bond and install a valuable boryl unit into a molecular framework by adding an organoborane to a double or triple bond. In recent years, uncatalyzed direct carboborations by Lewis acidic boranes have received increasing attention.[1] A seminal contribution to this field is the 1,1-carboboration of alkynes by the Lewis acidic tris(perfluorophenyl)borane (BCF) that was independently discovered by Erker and Berke.[2,3] The groups of Hashmi and Stephan reported the cyclopropanation and the formation of allyl boranes by 1,1-carboboration of enynes and propargylic esters, respectively.[2e,j] We recently reported that the reaction of phenylallene with BCF leads to the formation of a C₆F₅-substituted indene via a cyclisation, 1,1-carboboration, retro-hydroboration sequence.[2k] In contrast, there are still only a few reports on uncatalyzed 1,2-carboborations.[4] Melen and co-workers described the 1,2-addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ to the terminal double bond of allenylketones (Scheme 1).[4g] In 2021, Studer et al. reported the 1,2-carboboration of alkyne-substituted sulphonamides with dichloroboranes. The dichloroborane moieties were subsequently transformed in situ to their respective pinacol derivatives (Scheme 1).[4b]

We became now interested in devising a protocol that allows the selective group transfer of an easily interchangeable substituent at the boron to a non-activated substrate via a...
carboboration. A fast and convenient way to synthesize electron-poor boranes is the hydroboration of alkynes by the very active hydroboration reagent HB(C₆F₅)₂, also known as Piers’ borane. Since allenes are reactive towards strong boron-based Lewis acids, we aimed to elucidate whether the reaction of electron-poor alkynylboranes, synthesized by hydroboration of alkynes, could lead to a selective transfer of the vinyl group to an allene in a carboboration reaction.

Results and Discussion

We commenced our attempts by preparing alkenylborane 2 by hydroboration of phenylacetylene with Piers’ borane 1 in deuterated dichloromethane (Scheme 2). The corresponding ¹H NMR shows complete conversion to alkenylborane 2 after 10 min at r.t. Next, phenyllallene was added to the reaction mixture.

Upon heating to 60 °C in a sealed NMR tube, the formation of the 1,4-diene 3, which is the product of a formal 1,2-carboboration to the internal double bond of the allene, was observed. While we have recently proposed that the Piers’ borane mediated tetramerization of alkynes commences with a carboboration of an alkyne by an alkynylborane, the carboboration of an allene by an alkynylborane is, to the best of our knowledge, unprecedented. After 16 h, the yield of 3 was determined by ¹H NMR to be 66%. The reaction was repeated on a preparative scale in 1,2-dichloroethane at 80 °C and the diene 3 was isolated as pyridine adduct 4 in 48% yield by crystallization (Scheme 2). The structural assignment of 4 is further supported by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD, Figure 1). With these promising initial experimental results in hand, we addressed the mechanism and the observed regioselectivity of the 1,2-carboboration by DFT computations at the PCM(DCM)-revDSD-PBE86-D4/def2-QZVPP//PCM(DCM)-PBEh-3c level of theory (Figure 2). The dispersion corrected, spin-component scaled double hybrid functional revDSD-PBE86-D4 was recently shown to be one of the most accurate DFT functionals by benchmark computations against the GMTKN55 database. Since zwitterionic structures are likely to be part of the mechanism, the structures were optimized with an implicit solvent model for dichloromethane. According to the computations, the exergonic hydroboration of phenylacetylene by Piers’ borane 1 via TS₁₂ requires a Gibbs free activation energy of 9.0 kcal mol⁻¹. The coordination of phenyllallene to the alkenylborane TS₃₂₅ is computed to be rate determining.

Furthermore, this step dictates the regioselectivity of the carboboration. The central carbon of the allene binds to the borane so that in the zwitterion 5 the positive charge is resonance stabilized and in a benzylic and allylic position. The computed barrier of 20.8 kcal mol⁻¹ for the formation of the zwitterion 5 is in favourable agreement with the mild reaction conditions. Attempts to locate minima on the potential energy surface for the two other zwitterionic regioisomers of the coordination of the allene to the borane lead to the direct dissociation of the respective structures. A virtually barrierless migration of the alkyl substituent yields than the 1,4-diene 3 in an exergonic reaction. In contrast, the transfer of a pentfluorophenyl ring via TS' has an activation barrier of 12.5 kcal mol⁻¹ (see inset Figure 2). Thus, the computations agree with the experimentally observed chemoselectivity. We further considered the formation of an indene ring system by an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts type reaction. Again, the respective transition state TS₄₅ is computed to be rate determining.

Erker and co-workers showed that B(C₆F₅)₂ groups are suitable coupling partners in Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions. Therefore, we probed if it is possible to develop a one-pot procedure for a 1,2-carboboration of allenes by alkynylboranes and a consecutive Suzuki-Miyaura coupling.

Figure 1. Molecular structure of 4 derived from SCXRD (50% probability ellipsoids, all hydrogens attached to phenyl rings are omitted and pyridine and C₆F₅ rings are shown in stick representation for clarity).
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Indeed, the reaction of 3, formed in situ, with phenyldiiodide under basic conditions lead to the formation of the diene 6a in 52% yield (Scheme 3). Notably, the reaction sequence did not require the isolation of 3, only the solvent was removed before the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. This three-step one-pot method with the 1,2-carboboration as key step offers a potentially broad scope. As aforementioned, a variety of alkenylboranes is accessible by hydroboration of different alkynes with Piers’ borane 1.\(^\text{[5]}\) Furthermore, by adding different allenes the substitution pattern of the boryl substituted 1,4-dienes can be easily altered. Finally, these key intermediates can be cross-coupled with different organoiodides to access various 1,4-dienes. 1,4- or skipped dienes are of synthetic interest due to their prevalence in several natural products.\(^\text{[12,13]}\) Therefore, we explored the scope of this reaction sequence (Scheme 3). First, we screened different allenes. Besides phenyllallene, the corresponding para-substituted methyl, iso-propyl, and tert-butyl phenyllallenes (6b–6d) are suitable reaction partners. With 64 to 74%, the yields for these substrates are better than for the unsubstituted phenyllallene. Additionally, the 1,2-carboboration of para-tBu-phenyllallene proceeds at r.t. while the reaction with phenyllallene requires elevated temperatures. These results support the proposed mechanism: Electron donating groups stabilize the (partial) positive charge which is present in the zwitterionic intermediate and the respective transition state.\(^\text{[14]}\)

While alcohols themselves are problematic substrates because of the strong Lewis acidic character of the boron moiety, protected alcohols like methyl aryl ethers are tolerated, as it was demonstrated by the synthesis of 6e in 39% yield.\(^\text{[15]}\) The carboboration and coupling of para-fluorophenyllallene gives the respective diene 6f in 73% yield. We then tested different alkynes. The reaction with alkyl- and phenyl-substituted phenylacetylenes gives the dienes 7a–7c in moderate yields. Furthermore, alkynes with electron withdrawing groups like fluorine, chlorine, and bromine can also be used as coupling partners with yields between 51–60% (7d–7g). To elucidate whether aliphatic alkynes are suitable substrates for the carboboration, we reacted ethynyl-cyclohexene and adamantylacetylene with Piers’ borane and p-tBu-phenyllallene and p-iPr-phenyllallene, respectively. Subsequent coupling with phenyliodide gave 7h and 7i in 39% and 36% yield, respectively. The synthesis of 7h demonstrates that double bonds are tolerated during the carboboration. Additionally, several organoiodides were tested. The reaction with 3-iodopyridine as an example for a heterocycle gave diene 8a in 54% yield. The reaction with 4-iodobenzonitrile and biphenyliodide gave the respective products 8b and 8c in 39% and 48% yield, respectively.

Figure 2. Gibbs free energies for the 1,2-carboboration of phenyllallene by the alkenylborane 2 computed at PCM(DCM)-revDSD-PBEopt6-D4/def2-QZVPP//PCM(DCM)-PBEh-3c.
The reaction of commercially available \textit{para}-Bpin substituted phenylacetylene with \textit{p}-\textit{iPr}-phenylallene under the standard conditions led to the replacement of both boron groups upon coupling with phenyliodide. The respective product 9 with four aromatic rings was isolated in 41\% yield (Scheme 4). Products 9 and 11 demonstrate that complex molecular frameworks can be synthesized from non- or low-functionalised starting materials using the protocol presented herein. The structure of the dienes 7d, 7e, 7f, and 9 was additionally supported by SCXRD (Schemes 3 and 4). Regarding the limitations of this method, we observed that the internal alkynes 3-hexyne and diphenylacetylene are readily hydroborated by 1 but the resulting alkenylbor-

Scheme 3. Scope of the consecutive hydroboration, carboboration, and Suzuki-Miyaura coupling and molecular structures derived from SCXRD (50\% probability ellipsoids, for clarity only the hydrogens of the 1,4-diene core are shown) a) The carboboration step was done at r.t. b) The reaction time for the carboboration was 3 days c) Products contained ca. 5\% impurities after column chromatography and two distillations.
anes did not react with phenylallene. We further found that alkynes with Lewis basic sides such as ethynyl phenylmethyl ether and 2-ethynylthiophene are not suitable for this reaction sequence. Furthermore, the carboboration of cyclohexylallene with \( \text{2} \) was not successful. This is probably because of the lacking stabilization of the positive charge in the rate-determining transition state and the zwitterionic intermediate.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we report a novel and regioselective 1,2-carboboration as the key step of a three-step one-pot protocol for the synthesis of aryl-substituted 1,4-dienes from alkynes, allenes, and organoiodides. This unprecedented 1,2-carboboration transfers a vinyl group of an \( \text{in situ} \) generated alkenylborane to the benzylic position of the aryl allene. All three components of the reaction sequence that forms two C–C bonds can be modified. Therefore, a variety of aryl-substituted 1,4-dienes is accessible by this modular approach. The usefulness of this protocol was demonstrated by the synthesis of twenty different dienes. We expect this finding to stimulate the development of new applications of carboboration reactions for organic synthesis.

**Crystal structures**

Deposition Number(s) 2150152 (for 4 at 100 K), 2150153 (for \( 7\text{e} \) at 100 K), 2150154 (for \( 7\text{d} \) at 100 K), 2150155 (for 9 at 100 K), and 2150156 (for \( 7\text{f} \) at 100 K) contain(s) the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
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