Off-Hour Effect on 3-Month Functional Outcome after Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Prospective Multicenter Registry
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Abstract

Background and Purpose: The time of hospital arrival may have an effect on prognosis of various vascular diseases. We examined whether off-hour admission would affect the 3-month functional outcome in acute ischemic stroke patients admitted to tertiary hospitals.

Methods: We analyzed the ‘off-hour effect’ in consecutive patients with acute ischemic stroke using multi-center prospective stroke registry. Work-hour admission was defined as when the patient arrived at the emergency department between 8 AM and 6 PM from Monday to Friday and between 8 AM and 1 PM on Saturday. Off-hour admission was defined as the rest of the work-hours and statutory holidays. Multivariable logistic regression was used to analyze the association between off-hour admission and 3-month unfavorable functional outcome defined as modified Rankin Scale (mRS) 3–6. Multivariable model included age, sex, risk factors, prehospital delay time, intravenous thrombolysis, stroke subtypes and severity as covariates.

Results: A total of 7075 patients with acute ischemic stroke were included in this analysis: mean age, 67.5 (±13.0) years; male, 58.6%. In multivariable analysis, off-hour admission was not associated with unfavorable functional outcome (OR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.72–1.09) and mortality (OR, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.77–1.54) at 3 months. Moreover, off-hour admission did not affect a statistically significant shift of 3-month mRS distributions (OR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.78–1.05).

Conclusions: ‘Off-hour’ admission is not associated with an unfavorable 3-month functional outcome in acute ischemic stroke patients admitted to tertiary hospitals in Korea. This finding indicates that the off-hour effects could be overcome with well-organized stroke management strategies.
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Methods

We used the database of Clinical Research Center for Stroke (CRCS) registry-5, which is a hospital-based multi-center prospective registry for acute stroke patients. The CRCS-5 registry was established in April 2008 and contains demographic and clinical data of consecutive patients with acute ischemic stroke admitted within 7 days of onset. Twelve tertiary teaching hospitals in Korea have participated in the CRCS-5 registry, all of which have a comprehensive stroke center [28].

Eligible patients for this study had an ischemic lesion on MRI corresponding to acute stroke symptoms within 7 days of symptom onset. In Korea, brain MR imaging is routinely performed in patients who are tentatively diagnosed with acute ischemic stroke in academic stroke center. Figure 1 shows flow diagram for study subjects. From April 2008 to January 2012, a total of 10906 patients with acute ischemic stroke were registered into the CRCS database. We excluded patients who were admitted via outpatient clinic or transferred from the other hospital. Moreover, patients who received intra-arterial thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy (n = 486), because substantial disparity in the performance of interventional recanlization therapy (intra-arterial thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy) among participating hospitals could influence patients' clinical outcome and hospital selection. Additionally, our participating hospitals are tertiary referral center. Among referred patients, there were some portion of patients transferred from other hospital for the interventional therapy, which would be influence the timing of hospital arrival.

Work-hour admission was defined as an arrival at the emergency department between 8 AM and 6 PM from Monday to Friday and between 8AM and 1PM on Saturday. Off-hour admission was defined as the rest of the work-hours and statutory holidays.

Results

The mean age (± SD) and proportion of men in the study population were 67.5±13.0 years and 58.6% (4146 of 7075), respectively. The number of patients admitted to an emergency department during off-hours was 3473 (49.1%). Baseline characteristics of the included subjects are described in Table 1. Compared to the work-hour group, the off-hour group were younger and had higher National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores, less frequent history of diabetes, shorter prehospital delay times, and had received more thrombolytic treatments. Among patients treated with IV thrombolysis, the door-to-needle time was comparable between the off-hour and work-hour groups, and it was less than 60 minutes in the two groups. Table S1 showed baseline characteristics of patients with (n = 7075) and without (n = 532) 3-month mRS. Although patients with missing mRS had a higher proportion of hyperlipidemia (44.7% vs. 33.0%, P = 0.001) and a higher stroke severity (median 6 vs. 3, P < 0.001) compared with patients with mRS, proportions of off-hour admission and prehospital delay times were not statistically different between the two groups.

Among the 7075 participants, a total of 4449 patients (62.9%) had a favorable outcome (mRS, 0–2) at 3 months after stroke onset (Table 2). The proportions of patients with 3-month mRS score of 0–2 were 37.2% in the off-hour group and 37.1% in the work-hour group, and the difference was not statistically significant in univariable analysis.
Among covariates, univariable analyses showed that age, sex, prior stroke history, diabetes, smoking, stroke subtype, initial NIHSS score, intravenous thrombolysis, and prehospital delay times were associated with 3-month mRS outcome of 3-6. Even after adjusting for these covariates, off-hour admission was not associated with unfavorable functional outcome (OR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.72–1.09, \(P = 0.262\)). Advanced age (OR, 1.05 per 1 year increase; 95% CI, 1.04–1.06), male gender (OR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.55–0.85), prior stroke history (OR, 2.14; 95% CI, 1.68–2.73), initial stroke severity (OR, 1.29 per 1 point NIHSS score increase; 95% CI, 1.25–1.32), and IV thrombolysis (OR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.34–0.61) were independent predictors for unfavorable functional outcome at 3 months.

A total of 427 patients out of 7075 subjects died within 3 months of symptom onset (Table S2). In univariable analysis, off-hour admission was associated with 3-month mortality. However, its difference was not statistically significant after adjusting covariates (OR versus work-hour, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.77–1.54). In shift analysis, off-hour admission did not affect a statistically significant shift of 3-month mRS distributions (Table S3). Table 3 summarized the association between off-hour admission and unfavorable functional outcome according to each multivariable models. In addition, an analysis restricted to patients with IV thrombolysis also showed that off-hour admission was not associated with 3-month unfavorable functional outcome, whereas higher age and stroke severity were independent predictors of unfavorable functional outcomes (Table S4).

Finally, we analyzed 4940 patients admitted within the first 24 hours from stroke onset (Table S5). In multivariable analysis, off-hour admission was not a predictor for 3-month poor outcome (OR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.70–0.92, \(P = 0.008\)). Moreover, result was not changed by the onset to admission time (within 24 hours and within 7 days of onset of symptoms).

**Discussion**

In our study, off-hour admission was not associated with unfavorable 3-month functional outcome in acute ischemic stroke patients, although stroke severity was even higher in patients admitted during off-hours than in those admitted during work-hours. Moreover, results of 3-month mortality and analyses for a shift of mRS distributions showed that off-hour admission was not associated with worse prognosis at 3 months.
Besides the main findings, other aspects of the data are worth considering for the off-hour effect on prognosis of acute ischemic stroke. In addition to the differences in age, stroke risk factors, and stroke subtypes between the off-hour and the work-hour admission groups, stroke severity and the rate of IV thrombolysis were higher, while prehospital delay time was shorter in patients admitted during off-hours than in those admitted during work-hours. Moreover, door to needle time was not significantly longer in the off-hour admission group than in the work-hour admission group. The findings indicate that fast distribution of medical resources, even during off-hour period, occurs in well-organized stroke centers. Efficient placement of medical personnel, timely operation of a critical pathway, and appropriate laboratory delivery may be among the reasons why a negative off-hour effect was not found in these recently recruited prospective stroke data. However, this hypothesis should be confirmed in further longitudinal studies.

Before the implementation of comprehensive stroke guidelines, Korea had the third highest rate of age-adjusted stroke mortality as shown by a 1997 OECD report (unpublished data). Even in the previous decade’s report, only 3% of acute ischemic stroke patients admitted to a hospital received IV thrombolysis [29], and the in-hospital mortality rate was found to be as high as 5.2% [30]. However, quality of stroke care in Korea has significantly advanced in the last decade, with the lowest current 30-day mortality rate among OECD countries [1]. Currently, 75% of neurology training hospitals have organized stroke centers and 85% of which have regular monitoring of performance measures to improve the quality of stroke management, such as screening of dysphagia, prophylaxis for deep vein thrombosis, and education for smoking cessation [31]. Moreover, a number of evidence-based quality indicators for stroke are extracted systematically and reported every month in each hospital [28]. As a result, the age-adjusted 1-year mortality rate of ischemic stroke patients has substantially decreased from 2002 to 2010 in a nationwide hospital-based registry report [32]. Moreover, the rate for IV thrombolysis treatment increased from 4.4% in 2002 to 6.0% in 2010.
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### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Study Subjects.

|                        | Total (n = 7075) | Work-hours (n = 3602) | Off-hours (n = 3473) | P value |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age, mean (±SD)        | 67.5 (±13.0)    | 67.8 (±12.7)          | 67.2 (±13.3)         | 0.040   |
| Male, n (%)            | 4146 (58.6)     | 2133 (59.2)           | 2013 (58.0)          | 0.284   |
| Risk Factor, n (%)     |                 |                       |                      |         |
| Previous stroke        | 1518 (21.5)     | 790 (21.9)            | 728 (21.0)           | 0.320   |
| Hypertension           | 4926 (69.6)     | 2528 (70.2)           | 2398 (69.0)          | 0.299   |
| Diabetes               | 2379 (33.6)     | 1254 (34.8)           | 1125 (32.4)          | 0.031   |
| Hyperlipidemia         | 2334 (33.0)     | 1168 (32.4)           | 1166 (33.6)          | 0.305   |
| Current smoking        | 1875 (26.5)     | 949 (26.3)            | 926 (26.7)           | 0.763   |
| TIA presentation       |                 |                       |                      | 0.028‡  |
| Stroke subtype, n (%)  |                 |                       |                      |         |
| TIA presentation       | 35 (0.5)        | 21 (0.6)              | 14 (0.4)             |         |
| LAA                    | 2608 (36.9)     | 1374 (38.1)           | 1234 (35.5)          |         |
| SVO                    | 1365 (19.3)     | 714 (19.8)            | 651 (18.7)           |         |
| CE                     | 1416 (20.0)     | 687 (19.1)            | 729 (21.0)           |         |
| SOE                    | 176 (2.5)       | 80 (2.2)              | 96 (2.8)             |         |
| SUE                    | 1475 (20.8)     | 726 (20.2)            | 749 (21.6)           |         |
| NIHSS score at admission |                 |                       |                      |         |
| Mean (±SD)             | 5.4 (±5.7)      | 5.2 (±5.5)            | 5.6 (±6.0)           | 0.001   |
| Median (IQR)           | 3 (5)           | 3 (6)                 | 4 (6)                | 0.007   |
| Prehospital delay, hour*| 8.6 (26.4)     | 14.7 (40.0)           | 6.3 (18.0)           | <0.001  |
| Onset to Needle time, min†| 115.0 (62.5)   | 119.0 (70.0)          | 114.0 (61.0)         | 0.737   |
| Door to Needle time, min†| 45.0 (22.3)    | 44.0 (22.5)           | 45.0 (23.5)          | 0.082   |
| IV rtPA                | 606 (8.6)       | 265 (7.4)             | 341 (9.8)            | <0.001  |

Values provided are expressed as number (%) or mean ± SD (standard deviation), as appropriate, or otherwise stated.

*The time from onset of stroke symptom to hospital visit.
†Median (IQR, interquartile range).
‡x² test.
TIA: transient ischemic attack; LAA, large artery atherosclerosis; SVO, small vessel occlusion; CE, cardioembolism; SOE, stroke of other determined etiology; SUE, stroke of undetermined etiology; IV: intravenous, rtPA: recombinant tissue plasminogen activator; NIHSS, National Health Institute Stroke Scale.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105799.t001
### Table 2. Univariable and Multivariable Analysis for Unfavorable Functional Outcome at 3 Months (mRS, 3–6).

| Risk factor (%) | Unfavorable outcome (n = 2626) | Favorable outcome (n = 4449) | Univariable analysis | Multivariable analysis |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                 | OR (95% CI)                     | P                           | *aOR (95% CI)        | P                      |
| Age, year       | 72.8 (±11.6)                    | 64.4 (±12.8)                | 1.06 (1.06–1.07)     | <0.001                 | 1.05 (1.04–1.06)       | <0.001 |
| Male            | 1281 (48.6)                     | 2865 (64.4)                 | 0.53 (0.48–0.58)     | <0.001                 | 0.68 (0.55–0.85)       | 0.001  |
| Previous stroke | 824 (31.4)                      | 694 (15.6)                  | 2.47 (2.20–2.78)     | <0.001                 | 2.14 (1.68–2.73)       | <0.001 |
| Hypertension    | 1941 (73.9)                     | 2985 (67.1)                 | 1.39 (1.25–1.55)     | <0.001                 | 0.93 (0.74–1.18)       | 0.539  |
| Diabetes        | 989 (37.7)                      | 1390 (31.2)                 | 1.33 (1.20–1.47)     | <0.001                 | 1.12 (0.90–1.40)       | 0.311  |
| Hyperlipidemia  | 850 (32.4)                      | 1484 (33.4)                 | 0.96 (0.86–1.06)     | 0.394                  |                         |        |
| Current Smoking | 511 (19.5)                      | 1364 (30.7)                 | 0.55 (0.49–0.61)     | <0.001                 | 1.10 (0.84–1.44)       | 0.496  |
| TIA presentation | 3 (0.1)                        | 32 (0.7)                    | 0.35 (0.11–1.15)     | 0.084                  | 0.01 (1.00–1.00)       | 1.000  |
| LAA             | 1023 (39.0)                     | 1585 (35.6)                 | 2.41 (2.07–2.81)     | <0.001                 | 1.74 (1.30–2.32)       | <0.001 |
| SVO             | 288 (11.0)                      | 1077 (24.2)                 | 1.0 (referent)       | -                      | 1.0 (referent)         |        |
| CE              | 685 (26.1)                      | 731 (16.4)                  | 3.50 (2.97–4.14)     | <0.001                 | 1.02 (0.72–1.45)       | 0.898  |
| SOE             | 59 (2.2)                        | 117 (2.6)                   | 1.89 (1.34–2.65)     | <0.001                 | 4.37 (2.33–8.21)       | <0.001 |
| SUE             | 568 (21.6)                      | 907 (20.4)                  | 2.34 (1.98–2.77)     | <0.001                 | 1.18 (0.84–1.64)       | 0.345  |
| NIHSS score at admission |           |                             |                      |                        |                        |        |
| Mean (±SD)      | 9.3 (±6.8)                      | 3.1 (±3.3)                  | 1.31 (1.29–1.33)     | <0.001                 | 1.29 (1.25–1.32)       | <0.001 |
| Median (IQR)    | 7 (10)                          | 2 (3)                       | <0.001               |                        |                        |        |
| Prehospital delay (hour) | 7.7 (25.2)     | 9.1 (±27.6)                 | 1.00 (1.00–1.00)     | 0.005                  | 1.00 (1.00–1.00)       | 0.935  |
| Onset to Needle time (min) | 115 (67)     | 115 (62)                    | 0.906                |                        |                        |        |
| Door to Needle time (min) | 45 (24)       | 45 (22)                     | 0.366                |                        |                        |        |
| IV rtPA         | 282 (29.2)                      | 324 (23.4)                  | 1.35 (1.12–1.63)     | 0.002                  | 0.46 (0.34–0.61)       | <0.001 |
| Off-hour (vs. Work-hour) | 1291 (49.2) | 2182 (49.0) | 1.01 (0.91–1.11) | 0.924 | 0.89 (0.72–1.09) | 0.262 |

Abbreviations are presented in the previous table. All the 7075 patients were included in the multivariable analysis. *aOR: adjusted odds ratio. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105799.t002

### Table 3. A Comparison of Association Between Off-hour Admission and Each Outcome at 3 Months from Multivariable Analyses.

| Methods of analysis | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|---------------------|-------------|---------|
| Unfavorable functional outcome (dichotomized, 3–6 vs. 0–2) |            |         |
| Off-hour            | 0.89 (0.72–1.09) | 0.262   |
| Mortality (dichotomized) |           |         |
| Off-hour            | 1.09 (0.77–1.54) | 0.608   |
| Change in the distribution of mRS score (shift analysis) |            |         |
| Off-hour            | 0.90 (0.78–1.05) | 0.187   |
| Unfavorable functional outcome in patients with IV thrombolysis (subgroup, dichotomized, 3–6 vs. 0–2) |            |         |
| Off-hour            | 0.85 (0.58–1.25) | 0.428   |

Abbreviations are presented in the previous table. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105799.t003
in the off-hour group declined with increasing duration of participation in the Get With The Guideline program. Taken together, these findings support the notion that organized stroke care may reduce the negative impact of off-hour treatment in patients with ischemic stroke.

Fang et al reported that stroke fatality was higher in patients admitted on weekend compared to weekday and the patients with more severe stroke tended to present to the hospital quickly, while patients with minor stroke or transient ischemic attack were more likely to delay admission until after the weekend [18]. As shown in Figure 2, there is a more pronounced inverse correlation between initial NIHSS score and onset to admission time in work-hour admission group than in off-hour admission group. However, this tendency was not statistically significant when the correlation coefficients were compared (z statistics: $-1.40, P = 0.16$), which contradict the results by Fang et al. Moreover, previous reports suggested that stroke severity was higher in off-hour admission groups than in work-hour groups [9,15,20], which is congruous with our results. Patients with high NIHSS score were generally admitted without a prehospital delay during an off-hour period, which was reflected by the high rate of IV thrombolysis in the off-hour admission group. This may partly explain why patients in the off-hour group did not have a worse outcome compared to those in the work-hour group. To summarize, our data support these findings that stroke prognosis in patients admitted to the hospital during off-hours does not differ from that of patients admitted during work-hours irrespective of stroke severity and prehospital delay time.

In our data, prehospital delay times during work-hours were significantly longer than those during off-hours. Prehospital delay have been found to be associated with age, stroke severity, educational status, using ambulance, awareness of stroke symptoms or other behavioral factors [33,34]. Although we do not considered factors influencing prehospital delay primarily, we can partially explain the observed prehospital delay as follows. Recent nationwide survey had been identified that public knowledge or awareness of stroke symptoms was suboptimal in Korea [35]. This can cause prehospital delay especially in stroke patients without neighboring family member in work-hours. When we analyzed patients admitted within 24 hours, prehospital delay times during work-hours were not significantly different compared with those during off-hours (4.5 hours vs. 3.8 hours, data was not shown). However, factors influencing prehospital delay in patients admitted during work-hours should be studied in detail using future trials.

Martinez-Martinez et al studied the association between inhospital management and short-term outcome of stroke patients according to time of admission [11]. The author observed that the time to neuroimaging and door to needle time were not different between the two groups, which is partly reaffirmed by our data. These data support the notion that organized stroke care can be effectively implemented during off-hour periods.

Our study has several limitations. All of the 12 participating hospitals are tertiary teaching hospitals, located mainly in urban areas. Therefore, our results cannot be generalized to all populations in Korea. Moreover, patient exclusion owing to incomplete data capture may have led to selection bias. Although functional outcome and mortality data are highly comparable to well-established registry outcome data [36]. Selection bias for the missing mRS is the main limitation of our hospital based registry which have to be resolved in future cohort study.

The following are some of the strengths of our study. Firstly, important outcome variables, such as stroke subtype, severity and risk factors, were adjusted in multiple comparisons between the two groups. Secondly, the 3-month mRS, which is the most widely used outcome variable in clinical trials, rather than discharge mRS, were used in our analysis. Importantly, a representative classification of off-hours and work-hours including statutory holidays better reflects the real world ‘off-hour’ effect due to poor hospital staffing.

**Conclusions**

Off-hour admission was not associated with the 3-month unfavorable functional outcomes in acute ischemic stroke patients admitted at tertiary teaching hospitals in Korea. Our result provide evidence that off-hour effect can be overcome with well-organized stroke management strategies.
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