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Introduction: This study aimed to develop a method for evaluating pelvic floor function using M-mode ultrasound imaging and quantify the effectiveness of urinary incontinence rehabilitation.

Case presentation: Eight participants aged 66–76 years, with urinary incontinence following radical prostatectomy, underwent pelvic floor muscle training. Using M-mode ultrasound, we compared bladder base elevation time, length, and speed during pelvic floor muscle contraction. The results showed that four patients recovered urinary continence. Four patients displayed a 38.4%-80.1% reduction in urinary incontinence volume. Bladder elevation time was significantly reduced in all patients. Moreover, elevation speed increased significantly. Bladder base elevation time was 0.1 s in all patients in the acquired urinary continence group.

Conclusions: Reducing bladder base elevation time to <0.2 s might be essential to achieve urinary continence. An elevation time of ≥0.3 s indicated significant pelvic floor muscle dysfunction.
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Keynote message
Using M-mode ultrasonography enabled an easy evaluation of the bladder base in terms of elevation time, length, and speed during PFM contraction. Reducing the bladder base elevation time to <0.2 s was essential to recover UC. An elevation time ≥0.3 s indicated significant PFM dysfunction.

Introduction
Generally, RP is highly effective in prostate cancer, with 97% of the patients surviving for at least 5 years following surgery.1 However, RP may result in some complications, including UI.2,3 A multidisciplinary treatment approach determined by urologists, physiotherapists, and other healthcare professionals is the therapy standard for post-RP UI. In men, PFMT is recognized as the physiotherapeutic modality for post-RP UI. Moreover, the European Association of Urology recommends this procedure in patients with post-RP UI.4 Recently, we have reported on M-mode ultrasonography-aided interventions performed by PT as a treatment of post-RP UI.5 Via rectifying incorrect conduct of exercises, UI that persisted >2 years following RP could have been reduced by 42%–100%. Herein, we report a simple evaluation method for pelvic floor function, using M-mode ultrasonography, and our attempt to quantify the effectiveness of UI rehabilitation.

Case presentation
From September 2020 to March 2021, PT initiated PFMT in eight patients with post-RP UI, aged 66–76 years (mean, 72.3 years). The Ethical Committee of the Yawatahama City
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Methods of pelvic floor muscle training. (a) Abdominal breathing exercise and diaphragm training. (b) Pelvic floor muscle training: The exercise is performed by a PT, PFMT sessions were continued at a frequency of 2–5 times/week for 2 weeks after the first intervention, followed by one session/month. Ultrasound evaluation was performed using Aplio 300 TUS-A 300 (Canon Medical Systems Corporation, Otawara, Japan). Moreover, we used a 3.5 MHz convex-type probe, with the patient placed in the supine position and the hip and knee joints slightly flexed. To detect the bladder base, urine was stored in the bladder for at least 60 min. The bladder base was visualized in the mid-sagittal imaging plane in B-mode, and the M-mode cursor was set at the part with the largest range of motion during PFM contraction. We recorded the waveform (movement) of the bladder base during PFM contraction (Fig. 2) and analyzed it using the ultrasound device. Additionally, BBE was measured at the highest point from the start point of PFM contraction. The waveform of BBE was measured thrice, and the average value was used.

Regarding results in all patients, although the BBE time was significantly reduced from 0.40 ± 0.18 s to 0.18 ± 0.02 s ($P = 0.012$) (Fig. 3a), the elevation speed significantly increased from 16.80 ± 7.87 mm/s to 41.87 ± 21.37 mm/s ($P = 0.012$) (Fig. 3b). The length of elevation tended to increase from 5.72 ± 2.17 mm to 7.36 ± 3.35 mm (Fig. 3c).

In the UCA group, all cases were within the 0.1 s range in elevation time. In contrast, three patients in the UI group had elevation time ≥0.2 s. The BBE speed ≥20 mm/s was recorded in two of the eight patients before PFMT and in all patients following it.
Fig. 2 M-mode ultrasound imaging. The bladder is displayed in the median sagittal imaging plane. The M-mode cursor is set to the bladder base, which moves most at PFM contraction. Bladder base elevation time, length, and speed during PFM contraction are measured by using M-mode ultrasound system. Bladder base elevation is measured at the highest point from the start point of PFM contraction.

Table 1A Comparison of variables before and after the continuation of pelvic floor muscle training in the acquired urinary continence group

| Case | Pre/Post | UI* (%) | Time (s) | Length (mm) | Speed (mm/s) | Reduction ratio (%) |
|------|----------|---------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1    | Pre      | 1845    | 0.2      | 5.5         | 28           | 100                 |
|      | Post     | 0       | 0.183    | 5           | 28.1         | 100                 |
| 2    | Pre      | 888     | 0.355    | 2.9         | 8.9          | 100                 |
|      | Post     | 0       | 0.161    | 10.2        | 65.4         | 100                 |
| 3    | Pre      | 500     | 0.25     | 4.8         | 19.2         | 100                 |
|      | Post     | 0       | 0.175    | 4           | 22.9         | 100                 |
| 4    | Pre      | 10      | 0.6      | 5           | 9.6          | 100                 |
|      | Post     | 0       | 0.17     | 3.6         | 21.5         | 100                 |
| Mean | Pre      | 810.7   | 0.361    | 4.55        | 16.42        | 100                 |
|      | Post     | 0       | 0.172    | 5.7         | 36.47        |                      |

*UI, urinary incontinence.

Table 1B Comparison of variables before and after the continuation of pelvic floor muscle training in the urinary incontinence group

| Case | Pre/Post | UI* (%) | Time (s) | Length (mm) | Speed (mm/s) | Reduction ratio (%) |
|------|----------|---------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1    | Pre      | 978     | 0.503    | 5.7         | 11.6         | 61.5                |
|      | Post     | 377     | 0.209    | 4.7         | 24.8         |                     |
| 2    | Pre      | 528     | 0.236    | 6.7         | 28.9         | 38.4                |
|      | Post     | 325     | 0.142    | 10.9        | 76.4         |                     |
| 3    | Pre      | 402     | 0.361    | 4.8         | 13.5         | 80.1                |
|      | Post     | 80      | 0.231    | 8.9         | 40.1         |                     |
| 4    | Pre      | 175     | 0.725    | 10.4        | 14.7         | 45.7                |
|      | Post     | 95      | 0.211    | 11.6        | 55.8         |                     |
| Mean | Pre      | 520.7   | 0.456    | 6.9         | 17.17        | 56.4                |
|      | Post     | 219.2   | 0.198    | 9.02        | 49.27        |                     |

*UI, urinary incontinence.

Fig. 3 Bladder base elevation time, length, and speed before and after pelvic floor muscle training. (a) The bladder base elevation time significantly was reduced from 0.40 ± 0.18 s to 0.18 ± 0.02 s (P = 0.012). (b) The elevation speed significantly increases from 16.80 ± 7.87 mm/s to 41.87 ± 21.37 mm/s (P = 0.012). (c) The length of elevation tends to increase from 5.72 ± 2.17 mm to 7.36 ± 3.35 mm. However, the change is insignificant (P = 0.327) following PFMT.
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|      | Post     | 0       | 0.183    | 5           | 28.1         | 100                 |
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|      | Post     | 0       | 0.172    | 5.7         | 36.47        |                      |

*UI, urinary incontinence.

Table 1B Comparison of variables before and after the continuation of pelvic floor muscle training in the urinary incontinence group

| Case | Pre/Post | UI* (%) | Time (s) | Length (mm) | Speed (mm/s) | Reduction ratio (%) |
|------|----------|---------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1    | Pre      | 978     | 0.503    | 5.7         | 11.6         | 61.5                |
|      | Post     | 377     | 0.209    | 4.7         | 24.8         |                     |
| 2    | Pre      | 528     | 0.236    | 6.7         | 28.9         | 38.4                |
|      | Post     | 325     | 0.142    | 10.9        | 76.4         |                     |
| 3    | Pre      | 402     | 0.361    | 4.8         | 13.5         | 80.1                |
|      | Post     | 80      | 0.231    | 8.9         | 40.1         |                     |
| 4    | Pre      | 175     | 0.725    | 10.4        | 14.7         | 45.7                |
|      | Post     | 95      | 0.211    | 11.6        | 55.8         |                     |
| Mean | Pre      | 520.7   | 0.456    | 6.9         | 17.17        | 56.4                |
|      | Post     | 219.2   | 0.198    | 9.02        | 49.27        |                     |

*UI, urinary incontinence.
Discussion

In the UCA group, BBE time was substantially reduced, and all cases were within the 0.1 s range. Moreover, BBE time in all healthy men and in patients with post-HoLEP UCA was also within this 0.1 s range. Therefore, BBE time <0.2 s was considered an index for restoring UC, by continuing PFMT, in patients with UI. In addition, BBE time did not record an average ≥0.3 s following the appropriate continuation of PFMT in all participants. Therefore, an average ≥0.3 s was considered an indicator of significant PFMT dysfunction.

In all participants, BBE length did not significantly improve following PFMT. Miller et al. reported that the median vesical neck position in older women with UI was significantly dorsocaudal relative to its usual position among younger women. However, there is no stable reference landmark for reliable measurements of bladder base displacements during voluntary PFM contractions. The length of BBE during PFM contraction may have been affected by PFM loosening. Hence, the demonstration of improvement in length of BBE following PFMT was difficult.

The BBE speed <20 mm/s was recorded in six of the eight patients before PFMT. Therefore, a BBE speed <20 mm/s might be associated with a high risk of UI.

Conclusion

Pelvic floor function could be easily assessed by M-mode ultrasound. In addition, BBE time and speed were indicative of pelvic floor function and related to UI. Regardless of the duration of postoperative UI and age, improvement in UI or acquired UC could be expected. A BBE speed <20 mm/s might be associated with a high risk of UI. Reducing BBE time to <0.2 s was important to recover UC and ≥0.3 s was considered an indicator of significant PFM dysfunction.
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