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Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients are at higher risk of acute gastrointestinal bleeding (AGIB) due to higher use of steroids, mechanical ventilation, and use of anticoagulation. We performed this study to compare outcomes of AGIB in COVID-19-positive patients and those without COVID-19 and AGIB.

Methods: This was a case-control study including patients admitted from March 2020 to February 2021 with the diagnosis of AGIB. Patients were divided into two groups: COVID-19-positive and non-COVID-19 patients. Our primary outcomes were in-hospital or 30 days mortality and length of stay. Secondary outcomes were the rate of rebleeding, the need for intensive care unit (ICU) level of care, and the need for blood transfusion.

Results: Eighteen COVID-19-positive patients and 54 matched non-COVID-19 patients were included. The COVID-19-positive patients less frequently had endoscopies performed (33.3% vs. 74.1%, \( P = 0.0059 \)) and had greater steroid use (83.3% vs. 14.8%, \( P < 0.0001 \)) compared to non-COVID-19 patients. ICU stays were more likely in the COVID-positive patients (odds ratio (OR): 20.41; 95% confidence interval (CI): 2.59 - 160.69; \( P = 0.004 \)) as was longer hospital length of stay (OR: 1.08; 95% CI: 1.03 - 1.13; \( P = 0.002 \)). Mortality, readmission within 30 days, need for blood transfusion, and having rebleeding during the admission did not differ for COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients.

Conclusion: COVID-19 patients with AGIB are more likely to require ICU admission and had a longer length of stay. Despite the significantly lower rate of endoscopic procedures performed in patients with COVID-19, need for blood transfusion, mortality and rebleeding were not significantly different.
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Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has affected more than 50 million patients in the United States of America and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. COVID-19 patients are at relatively higher risk of acute gastrointestinal bleeding (AGIB) than the general population [1, 2]. This is likely secondary to higher use of corticosteroids which is effective in the management of COVID-19, need for mechanical ventilation which increases the risk of stress ulcers and use of anticoagulation for the treatment and prevention of thrombotic complications of the virus [3-5]. A recent observational study including 1,206 COVID-19 patients with AGIB showed these patients are at high risk of AGIB with an overall incidence of 3.1% [6]. However, AGIB was not found to be the independent predictor of mortality in COVID-19 patients. In another study including 11,158 hospitalized COVID-19 patients, prevalence of AGIB was 3%. The study showed higher mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients with AGIB [7].

Guidelines recommend endoscopic evaluation within 24 h of presentation of GI bleeding [8, 9]. However, conservative management alone without endoscopic intervention has been shown to be successful in the management of COVID-19 patients [10-12]. However, no prior study to our knowledge directly compared outcomes of COVID-19 patients with GI bleeding with non-COVID 19 patients with GI bleeding. The purpose of our study was to describe the characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19-positive patients with AGIB compared to matched controls with acute GI bleeding without COVID-19.

Materials and Methods

This was a single-center case-control study done at a tertiary care hospital in rural Pennsylvania. Patients who were admit-
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Results

Eighteen COVID-19-positive patients and 54 matched non-COVID-19-positive patients were included in the analysis. For the entire cohort, the mean age was 69.7 years and 66.7% were male. COVID-19 patients had greater steroid use (83.3% vs. 14.8%, P < 0.0001) compared to non-COVID-19 patients. There was no significant difference in the use of anticoagulation, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use between the two groups. There was no difference in hemoglobin (8.9 vs. 8.6; P = 0.76) and international normalized ratio (INR) (1.4 vs. 1.3; P = 0.1286) on presentation between the two groups. Melena was the most common presentation and was present in 52.8% of patients followed by hematochezia in 38.9% and coffee ground emesis in 9.7% of patients. Upper GI bleeding was suspected in 62.5% of the patient and lower GI bleeding in 37.5% of the patients. There was no difference in Glasgow Blatchford Score in patients with suspected upper GI bleeding on presentation between the two groups. There was no difference in comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, and cirrhosis between the two groups. Baseline characteristics and outcomes are shown in Table 1.

There were a total of 46 patients who underwent endoscopic evaluation. There was no difference in the need for endoscopic intervention to achieve hemostasis between the two groups. The COVID-19-positive patients less frequently had endoscopies performed (33.3% vs. 74.1%, P = 0.0059). The most common endoscopic finding in both groups was peptic ulcer disease which was found in 12 patients. Other endoscopic finding included diverticulosis in seven patients, gastritis in four patients, small bowel arteriovenous malformation (AVMs) in two patients which required argon plasma coagulation (APC), esophageal varices in two patients which require endoscopic band ligation, gastroesophageal junction mass in two patients which was biopsied and Mallory Weiss tear, Cameron ulceration, dieulafoy lesion, ischemic small bowel ulcers, post polypectomy bleeding, solitary ulcer at hepatic flexure and sigmoid ulceration in one patient each. ICU stays were more likely in COVID-19-positive patients (OR: 20.41; 95% CI: 2.59 - 160.69) as was longer hospital length of stay (OR: 1.08; 95% CI: 1.03 - 1.13). Mortality trended higher in the COVID-19 group but did not reach statistical significance (38.9% vs. 18.5%; P = 0.0549). Only one death was directly attributed to GI bleeding in COVID-19 group compared to two patients who died secondary to GI bleeding in non-COVID-19 group. Vasopressor requirements was also higher in the COVID-19 group but did not reach statistical significance (44.4% vs. 25.9%; P = 0.14). There was no statistically significant difference in 30 days readmission rates, blood transfusion requirements, and risk of rebleeding for COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. Table 2 summarizes the difference in outcomes between the two groups.

In a subgroup analysis among the 18 COVID-19 patients, six (33.3%) underwent endoscopy and 12 (66.7%) were managed conservatively. Mortality, readmission, rebleeding, ICU stay, need for vasopressors, and hospital length of stay were not significantly different between the groups. There was also no statistically significant difference in ICU admission and mortality with the use of anticoagulation in COVID-19 patients.

Discussion

Our study revealed that patients with COVID-19 have a higher length of stay and risk of ICU admission. This is because COVID-19 patients are at higher risk of respiratory failure and...
therefore more likely to require admission to critical care unit and have a higher length of stays compared to non-COVID-19 patients. There was no statistically significant difference in mortality between COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients in our study. However, it was trended higher in COVID-19 patients (38.9% vs. 18.5%). This may be due to the small sample size of the study and larger studies may show COVID-19 patients with AGIB are at higher risk of mortality compared to non-COVID-19 patients. However, higher mortality in COVID-19 patients is likely secondary to respiratory failure and critical illness as seen in prior studies and may not be directly secondary to AGIB [13]. Our study also revealed that patients with COVID-19 are less likely to undergo endoscopic evaluation for GI bleeding. In our experience, this is due to two major reasons. First, in the pre-vaccination era, there was a high amount of anxiety among healthcare professionals in the management of these patients due to the high risk of transmission, high mortality, and lack of personal protective equipment early in the pandemic [14, 15]. Secondly, early data on COVID-19 patients with GI bleeding showed promising results with conservative management [10-12, 16, 17]. In a study including 24 COVID-19 patients with GI bleeding, conservative management alone without any endoscopic intervention was successful in controlling bleeding in all the patients [10]. Similar results were in another study including 11 patients with lower GI bleeding, and conservative management was successful in the majority of these patients [16]. Therefore, there was a strong inclination towards conservative management and limiting endoscopic evaluation for hemodynamically stable COVID-19 patients. In a recently published systematic review and meta-analysis, we revealed that 59% of the COVID-19 patients with AGIB were managed conservatively and less than one-third (31.5%) underwent endoscopic evaluation. Although pooled overall mortality was high 19.1%, pooled mortality directly secondary to AGIB was 3.5% and pooled risk of rebleeding was 11.3% [17].

Conservative management is also reasonable as the majority of these patients have respiratory failure or at high risk for decompensation and unnecessary or non-urgent endoscopic evaluation may worsen their respiratory status and increase the risk of mechanical ventilation. Our study results are in agreement with prior published studies that revealed conservative management alone with endoscopic intervention may be sufficient in these patients as mortality, blood transf-
sion requirements, rebleeding, and need for vasopressors were not significant differences between the two groups. Although need for critical care stay and length of stay were significantly higher in COVID-19 groups, this was not directly secondary to GI bleeding but rather is multifactorial as COVID-19 patients are more likely to require mechanical ventilation and have complicated hospital course compared to non-COVID-19 patients.

As per our literature review, this is the first study that directly compared the outcomes of COVID-19 patients with GI bleeding with non-COVID-19 patients. Our study provides clinicians with differences in outcomes including mortality in COVID-19 patients with non-COVID-19 patients, common etiologies of AGIB in COVID-19 patients, and the importance of conservative management in COVID-19 patients with AGIB. Our study will help guide clinicians in their decision-making regarding the management of AGIB in COVID-19 patients including the timing of endoscopic evaluation in these patients. Our study has some limitations including the retrospective design of the study which may have introduced bias in the study results. Secondly, the sample size of the COVID-19 group is small. As we did not include patients with no AGIB in our study, we could not compare outcomes of COVID-19 patients with AGIB with COVID-19 patients without AGIB to evaluate if AGIB is associated with higher mortality in COVID-19 patients. Therefore, further studies are needed to evaluate if AGIB in COVID-19 patients is associated with higher mortality in COVID-19 patients. Though our study period included two major peaks of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States of America, the majority of these were admitted before the advent of the COVID-19 vaccination. This might have impacted the clinical approach of the gastroenterologist. It will be interesting to see the comparative outcomes in the post-vaccination era and if they had impacted the outcomes

### Table 2. Comparative Outcomes Between COVID-19 Patients With Non-COVID-19 Patients

|                                | Total GI bleed patients (N = 72) | COVID-19 (N = 18) | Non-COVID-19 controls (N = 54) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Endoscopy done, n (%)          | 46 (63.9%)                       | 6 (33.3%)         | 40 (74.1%)                     | 0.0059  |
| Intervention, n (%)            | 18 (25.0%)                       | 4 (22.2%)         | 14 (25.9%)                     | 0.7683  |
|                                | PUD = 2 (one patient required hemospray and other required epinephrine injection and clips for hemostasis). Small bowel AVM in one patient which required APC. Solitary ulcer at hepatic flexure which required OTSC. |  |  |  |
| ICU, n (%)                     | 33 (45.8%)                       | 15 (83.3%)        | 18 (33.3%)                     | 0.8145  |
| Blood transfusion, n (%)       | 43 (59.7%)                       | 11 (61.1%)        | 32 (59.3%)                     | 0.8946  |
| Transfusion units, median (IQR)| 2.0 (0.0 - 4.0)                  | 2.0 (0.0 - 5.0)   | 2.0 (0.0 - 3.0)                | 0.6831  |
| Inpatient or 30-day mortality, n (%) | 17 (23.6%)                  | 7 (38.9%)         | 10 (18.5%)                     | 0.0837  |
| Causes of mortality            | Septic shock, sepsis, COVID-19 pneumonia, acute hypoxic respiratory failure, AGIB | AGIB, hemorrhagic shock, septic shock, ischemic bowel, multiorgan failure, CVA, AML, metastatic renal cell carcinoma |  |  |
| Rebleeding during admission among patients with sufficient observation time, n (%) | 8 (11.9%)                       | 2 (14.3%)         | 6 (11.3%)                      | 0.6837  |
| Pressors, n (%)                | 22 (30.6%)                       | 8 (44.4%)         | 14 (25.9%)                     | 0.1404  |
| Length of stay (days), median (IQR) | 7.0 (4.0 - 18.5)              | 21.5 (7.0 - 39.0) | 6.0 (4.0 - 13.0)               | 0.9564  |
| GBS, median (IQR)              | 11.0 (9.0 - 13.0)                | 13.0 (9.0 - 13.0) | 11.0 (9.0 - 13.0)              | 0.4927  |
| Readmission within 30 days of discharge among patients who survived past discharge day, n (%) | 8 (13.8%)                       | 3 (25.0%)         | 5 (10.9%)                      | 0.4927  |

*There was no statistically significant difference in mortality between COVID-19 patients and non-COVID-19 patient. ICU admission and length of stay were higher in COVID-19 patients. COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; GI: gastrointestinal; IQR: interquartile range; AVM: arteriovenous malformations; APC: argon plasma coagulation; OTSC: over the scope clip; ICU: intensive care unit; PUD: peptic ulcer disease; GE: gastroesophageal; CVA: cerebrovascular accident; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; GBS: Glasgow Blatchford Score; AGIB: acute gastrointestinal bleeding.
of GI bleeding. Our study results will also be helpful in some developing countries with limited resources which currently have a huge burden of COVID-19 with a limited vaccinated population to make their clinical decision in managing COVID-19 patients.

In conclusion, COVID-19 patients with AGIB are more likely to require ICU admission and had a longer length of stay. Despite the significantly lower rate of endoscopic procedures performed in COVID-19 patients, need for blood transfusion, mortality, and rebleeding were not significantly different, and conservative management alone may be sufficient in these patients. However, clinical judgment should be utilized in each case and endoscopic evaluation should be considered in hemodynamically unstable patients and patients at high risk of hemodynamic instability.
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