Auxin: a molecular trigger of seed development
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The evolution of seeds defines a remarkable landmark in the history of land plants. A developing seed contains three genetically distinct structures: the embryo, the nourishing tissue, and the seed coat. While fertilization is necessary to initiate seed development in most plant species, apomicts have evolved mechanisms allowing seed formation independently of fertilization. Despite their socio–economical relevance, the molecular mechanisms driving seed development have only recently begun to be understood. Here we review the current knowledge on the role of the hormone auxin for the initial development of the three seed structures and as a trigger of fertilization-independent seed development.

Seed development in flowering plants (angiosperms) is preceded by the germination of the pollen grains on the stigma of the flower pistil. The elongating pollen tube carries the two paternal gametes (the sperm cells) and grows through the maternal tissues until it reaches the ovules (Borg et al. 2009). Located within the ovules is the female gametophyte that contains two gametes (the haploid egg cell and the homodiploid central cell) and several accessory cells (two synergids and a species-dependent number of antipodals) [Drews and Koltunow 2011]. The female gametophyte (embryo sac) is enclosed within several cell layers of maternal tissues formed by the integuments (Schneitz et al. 1995). When the pollen tube reaches the ovule, it enters through the micropylar integument opening and bursts, releasing the sperm cells [Dresselhaus et al. 2016].

The development of the seed initiates when the two paternal gametes fuse with their maternal counterparts, originating two fertilization products: the diploid embryo and the triploid endosperm. The growth of the embryo, which comprises the next generation, is supported by the mother through the deposition of nutrients in the developing endosperm [Lafon-Placette and Kohler 2014]. In most dicot angiosperms, such as Arabidopsis, the embryo consumes most of the endosperm as it develops, and the mature seed contains only a single layer of endosperm cells surrounding the embryo. In contrast, in monocots such as rice and maize, the endosperm is persistent and is consumed only during and after germination by the developing seedling [Yan et al. 2014]. Surrounding the two fertilization products is the seed coat, which ensures their protection throughout seed development and after shedding of the seeds from the maternal plant. The seed coat originates from the ovule integuments and is purely of maternal origin [Schneitz et al. 1995; Kelley and Gasser 2009]. Importantly, although the integuments do not take part in the fertilization process, their development is triggered after fertilization and accompanies embryo and endosperm growth [Ingram 2010, Figueiredo and Köhler 2014]. Thus, the development of a seed is synonymous with the codevelopment of three distinct structures—the embryo, the endosperm, and the seed coat—that, although genetically dissimilar, have to coordinate their growth in order to achieve seed viability and successful transmission of the parental genomes [Ingram 2010, Figueiredo and Köhler 2016]. In most plant species, gamete fusion is a strict requirement for seed development to initiate; however, there are exceptions to this rule. Apomixis, a trait present in many angiosperm families, allows initiation of the process autonomously, at least in part. Most apomicts can initiate parthenogenic development of the embryo while still requiring fertilization of the central cell in order for the endosperm to develop. Nevertheless, some apomicts can form seeds completely autonomously without fertilization occurring [Koltunow and Grossniklaus 2003; Hand and Koltunow 2014]. Although apomixis was described >100 years ago [Winkler 1908] and the potential for agricultural applications is widely recognized [Dwivedi et al. 2010], the mechanisms by which apomicts initiate autonomous seed formation remain elusive. However, this is not that surprising given that the processes that initiate sexual seed development have only recently started to be understood.
Most progress in unraveling the processes initiating seed development has been made by identifying mutants in the sexual model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* that mimic apomicts. Those mutants, collectively referred to as *fertilization-independent seed* (*fis*) mutants, have been identified based on their ability to initiate endosperm development in the absence of fertilization (Chaudhury et al. 1997; Ohad et al. 1999; Köhler et al. 2003; Guitton et al. 2004; Roszak and Köhler 2011). This signifies that apomictic pathways are present in nonapomictic species but are under repressive control of FIS proteins. Thus, loss of FIS function leads to the derepression of apomictic pathways and enables the development of seeds without fertilization, also called autonomous seeds.

Cloning of the *FIS* genes revealed that they code for Polycomb group (PCG) proteins, which assemble to form the multimeric Polycomb-repressive complexes (PRCs) (Derkacheva and Hennig 2014; Mozgova et al. 2015). One of these complexes, PRC2, is responsible for the establishment of the repressive mark trimethylation of Lys27 on histone H3 (Derkacheva and Hennig 2014; Mozgova et al. 2015). This epigenetic mark correlates with local compaction of chromatin, affecting gene expression (Shu et al. 2012). Plants have multigene families coding for PRC2 components, which assemble in distinct complexes that act in different tissues or during different stages of the plant life cycle (Tonomaki and Kinoshita 2015). In *Arabidopsis*, there are three PRC2 complexes: FIS–PRC2 (acting in the central cell of the female gametophyte) and, in the endosperm, EMF–PRC2 and VRN–PRC2 (acting during sporophytic stages of development) (Derkacheva and Hennig 2014). The ability of mutants in FIS–PRC2 to form autonomous seeds indicates that PRC2 activity is required to repress seed developmental pathways before fertilization (Fig. 1A). This epigenetic block needs to be lifted by fertilization in order for the seed to initiate development.

The plant hormone auxin has been prominently associated with numerous aspects of plant development, including seed formation (Aloni et al. 2006; Overvoorde et al. 2010; Locascio et al. 2014; Pattison et al. 2014; Smit and Weijers 2015). In higher plants, the main auxin is indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), which is biosynthesized from the amino acid tryptophan (Trp) via a two-step process that is strongly conserved among higher plants (Mano and Nemo-oto 2012; Zhao 2014). The first step involves the removal of the amino group from Trp, which is catalyzed by transaminases belonging to the TRYPTOPHAN AMINO-TRANSFERASE OF ARABIDOPSIS (TAA/TAR) family, forming indole-3-pyruvate (IPA) (Stepanova et al. 2008). IPA is then decarboxylated by YUCCA (YUC) flavin mono-oxygenase enzymes, forming IAA (Cheng et al. 2007). Although alternative auxin biosynthesis pathways have been described, TAA–YUC-mediated auxin biosynthesis is the major contributor for the IAA pool in higher plants (Mashiguchi et al. 2011). Auxin is by far the most extensively studied plant hormone, and both its transport and signaling mechanisms have been widely explored and reviewed (Weijers and Wagner 2016; Park et al. 2017).

It is interesting to note that post-fertilization accumulation of auxin in maize kernels was described >70 yr ago (Avery et al. 1942a,b). However, although auxin levels have long been implicated in successful seed development (Rietsema et al. 1953; Teubner 1953; Jennings et al. 1967; Jennings 1971; Torti et al. 1986; Lur and Setter 1993), the molecular mechanisms by which auxin regulates endosperm, embryogenesis, and seed coat development have only recently started to be elucidated (Cheng et al. 2007; Dorcye et al. 2009; Forestan et al. 2010; Bernardi et al. 2012; Figueiredo et al. 2015, 2016; Smit and Weijers 2015). In fact, post-fertilization auxin biosynthesis and signaling seem to be the major driving force behind the formation of those three seed structures. In particular, auxin produced in the endosperm has been shown to have a crucial role in modulating the development of the seed coat (Dorcye et al. 2009; Figueiredo et al. 2016). Here we review current knowledge on the role of auxin during the initiation of angiosperm seed development and its potential role as a driver of apomixis.
Endosperm development is tightly linked to auxin signaling

The development of the endosperm initiates following the fertilization of the maternal central cell, which points to the requirement of paternal-specific factors for the induction of endosperm proliferation. In fact, sperm entry without karyogamy is sufficient to trigger mitotic divisions of the central cell nucleus; however, sustained endosperm proliferation requires activity of the paternal genome [Aw et al. 2010]. The endosperm of flowering plants is characterized by the occurrence of genomic imprinting, an epigenetic phenomenon causing parent-of-origin-specific expression of certain genes [Barlow and Bartolomei 2014, Rodrigues and Zilberman 2015]. Imprinted genes can be preferentially or solely expressed from one of the parental alleles and are thereby termed maternally expressed genes (MEGs) or paternally expressed genes (PEGs). Given that endosperm development requires the activity of the paternal genome, PEGs are the prime candidates promoting endosperm proliferation. Genes coding for the auxin biosynthesis enzymes YUC10 and TAR1 are imprinted in the Arabidopsis endosperm [Fig. 1A,B, Gehring et al. 2011, Hsieh et al. 2011, Wolff et al. 2011, Pignatta et al. 2014], raising the possibility that auxin could be the factor driving endosperm development. Indeed, application of auxin to unfertilized ovules or ectopic production of auxin in the central cell is sufficient to trigger its replication and initiate endosperm development (Figueiredo et al. 2015).

The question thus arises of whether the role of auxin as a post-fertilization trigger of endosperm development is conserved in other angiosperms. If so, it is expected that imprinted paternal-specific expression of genes involved in auxin biosynthesis is a conserved feature in angiosperms. Interestingly, there is evidence supporting this notion. In Arabidopsis lyrata, a close relative of Arabidopsis thaliana, the auxin biosynthesis genes YUC10 and TAA1 are imprinted in the endosperm and paternally expressed [Klosinska et al. 2016]. Strikingly, orthologs of the same genes, YUC10 and TAA1, are also PEGs in the Capsella genus, which diverged from Arabidopsis >10 million years ago [Hatorangan et al. 2016]. More notable, however, are the observations that auxin biosynthesis genes are also paternally expressed in the endosperm of monocot species that branched off from dicots ~150 million years ago (Chaw et al. 2004). Thus, OsYUCCA11, a rice homolog of the Arabidopsis YUC10 gene, is an endosperm-specific PEG in rice seeds [Luo et al. 2011, Du et al. 2014], and the same is true for ZmYUC1 in maize [Zhang et al. 2011, Waters et al. 2013, Xin et al. 2013]. The fact that genes involved in auxin biosynthesis are commonly imprinted in the endosperm of distantly related species of angiosperms is quite remarkable because imprinting seems to have little conservation even among closely related species [Waters et al. 2013, Hatorangan et al. 2016]. This supports the notion that auxin production following fertilization may be an evolutionarily conserved mechanism that drives endosperm development in flowering plants. In strawberries, homologs of the Arabidopsis YUC10 and TAR1 genes are also specifically expressed in the endosperm, albeit whether these genes are imprinted is yet to be determined [Kang et al. 2013]. However, it is interesting to note that in the tomato, ToFZY6, the close homolog of YUC10 and YUC11, albeit strongly expressed in seeds [Exposito-Rodriguez et al. 2011], is not described as being imprinted [Florez-Rueda et al. 2016]. Given that tomato seeds undergo ab initio cellular endosperm development as opposed to the syncytial proliferation observed in most angiosperms [Lester and Kang 1998], this raises the question of whether auxin production is regulated differently in species with distinct modes of endosperm development. Furthermore, this indicates that auxin activity driven by YUC10/11 homologs is conserved in the endosperm of distinct plant species even if it is not necessarily driven by the paternal alleles. Interestingly, the endosperm-specific YUC10/11 proteins form a clade that seems to be specific for angiosperms and thus coincides with the evolutionary appearance of the endosperm [Abu-Zaitoon et al. 2012].

Correlating with the post-fertilization activity of auxin biosynthesis genes, accumulation of auxin and activation of auxin signaling in the endosperm has been demonstrated in a number of plant species. In Arabidopsis, endosperm proliferation coincides with increased auxin signaling, as measured with the auxin sensor R2D2 [Figueiredo et al. 2015, Liao et al. 2015]. In maize, auxin activity is detected during early endosperm development using the DR5 auxin reporter [Chen et al. 2014], correlating with accumulation of IAA in maize endosperm and also in rice seeds [Chourey et al. 2010, Abu-Zaitoon et al. 2012].

We therefore conclude that auxin activity is a hallmark of endosperm development and that auxin is sufficient to initiate endosperm development at least in Arabidopsis [Fig. 1C]. The next question we discuss here is whether auxin is also necessary for the continued development of the endosperm throughout seed growth. There is indeed evidence that this is the case in both dicots and monocots. In Arabidopsis, the study of mutants impaired in either auxin biosynthesis or signaling demonstrated that down-regulation of auxin dramatically affects endosperm proliferation rates [Figueiredo et al. 2015]. Related observations have been reported in maize; the maize mutant defective endosperm-B18 (de18), in which endosperm IAA levels are reduced to <10% compared with its wild-type counterpart, has severe endosperm defects [Torti et al. 1986, Bernardi et al. 2012]. The de18 mutation affects the PEG ZmYUC1 [Bernardi et al. 2012], linking endosperm development to paternally driven auxin biosynthesis. The endosperm of maize de18 mutants is affected in endoreduplication, while that of Arabidopsis auxin mutants shows striking proliferation defects [Bernardi et al. 2012, Figueiredo et al. 2015]. Additionally, both the maize mutants miniature1 (mn1-1) and defective kernel18 (dek18) have a severely reduced endosperm mass, which correlates with the down-regulation of the endosperm-specific ZmYUC1 and ZmTAR1 genes and, consequently, low levels of auxin [LeClerc et al. 2008, 2010, Bernardi et al. 2016]. Auxin has also been reported to play a pivotal role in regulating endosperm development in the woody plant
jatropha curcas, and the auxin response factor JcARF19 in particular was shown to promote the expression of genes coding for cell cycle regulators in the endosperm, such as JcCDKA1, JcCYCD2, and JcCYCD5 [Sun et al. 2017]. Similarly, in raspberry and blackberry seeds, the development of the endosperm was described as highly sensitive to auxin levels [Jennings et al. 1967; Jennings 1971]. These observations support the role of auxin as a determinant factor in the regulation of endosperm development even if its function may vary between plant species.

Despite the prominent role of auxin during endosperm initiation and growth, in most species, not much is known about the dynamics and transport of this hormone during endosperm development. Auxin transporters in maize endosperm were proposed to be linked to the differentiation of endosperm transfer cells [ETCs] [Forestan et al. 2010], which facilitate the transport of substances between the maternal tissues and the endosperm (Thiel 2014). Genes coding for ZmPIN1 auxin transporters are strongly up-regulated in the endosperm following fertilization, but the ZmPIN1 proteins seem to remain cytoplasmic within maternal tissues and the endosperm (Thiel 2014). Genes coding for ZmPIN1 auxin transporters are strongly up-regulated in the endosperm following fertilization, but the ZmPIN1 proteins seem to remain cytoplasmic within the first days of syncytial endosperm development, relocalizing to the plasma membrane and endomembrane system only at the start of cellularization [Forestan et al. 2010]. When the endosperm is fully cellularized, ZmPIN1 proteins gather toward the chalazal endosperm region, where auxin accumulates, and the transfer cells begin to differentiate [Forestan et al. 2010]. Maize de18 mutants show a lack of ZmPIN1 expression in the endosperm, reduced accumulation of auxin in the transfer cells, and defects in their polarization and differentiation (Forestan and Varotto 2012). These defects likely explain the reduced size of de18 kernels because endosperm filling in maize is highly dependent on the activity of transfer cells (Thiel 2014). This implicates a role of auxin in sustaining endosperm filling in monocots by establishing communication pathways between the maternal tissues and the fertilization products.

Auxin defines embryo polarity and patterning

Embryo development starts with the fertilization of the egg cell by one of the paternal sperm cells, producing the zygote. Through a series of coordinated cell divisions, the zygote develops into a mature embryo that contains the basic body plan of the future plant [de Vries and Weijers 2017]. Embryogenesis is initiated by the polarization of the zygote, which divides asymmetrically, forming a small apical cell and a larger basal cell [Lau et al. 2012, de Vries and Weijers 2017]. The former gives rise to the embryonic adaxial axis (Fig. 1C). Indeed, auxin signaling from the adaxial endosperm in maize correlates with apical embryo differentiation and outgrowth, meaning that the embryo elongates in the direction of the endosperm auxin maxima [Chen et al. 2014]. The embryo-surrounding endosperm in Arabidopsis seeds also shows a strong accumulation of IAA, as determined by immunolocalization [Avsian-Kretchmer et al. 2002]; however, whether endosperm-derived auxin determines embryo patterning in species other than maize [namely, dicots] is yet to be tested. Embryo patterning in monocots and dicots is quite distinct, suggesting the existence of distinct mechanisms regulating this process [Chen et al. 2014; Zhao et al. 2017]. Interestingly, Arabidopsis yuc1 yuc4 mutant embryos develop normally, but the embryos mutant for yuc1 yuc4 yuc10 yuc11 show...
marked developmental defects (Cheng et al. 2007). Since both \textit{YUC10} and \textit{YUC11} are expressed in the endosperm (Robert et al. 2013; Figueiredo et al. 2015), it is tempting to hypothesize that lack of endosperm-derived auxin may contribute to the embryo patterning defects observed in those mutants. Strikingly, the auxin importer LAX1 is specifically expressed in the tips of the cotyledons [Robert et al. 2015]. Whether this allows the import of auxin from the endosperm remains to be tested. The endogenous signaling mechanisms driving embryogenesis in both monocots and eudicots have been extensively reviewed and are not covered here (Chen et al. 2014; Smit and Weijers 2015; de Vries and Weijers 2017; Zhao et al. 2017).

**Seed coat development is driven by auxin originating in the endosperm**

Seed coat development initiates after double fertilization, similar to what happens with the fertilization products. In contrast, however, the seed coat develops from the maternal integuments and receives no direct paternal genome contribution. Thus, its initiation relies on nonautonomous signaling mechanisms that originate in the pollen or the fertilization products [Roszak and Köhler 2011; Figueiredo and Köhler 2016]. Seed coat development is tightly linked to the fertilization of the central cell but not of the egg cell, revealing that the developing endosperm signals to the integuments to initiate the formation of the seed coat [Weijers et al. 2003; Roszak and Köhler 2011]. Since the endosperm-derivative signal that drives seed coat formation is produced only post-fertilization, imprinted paternally expressed genes are ideal candidates underlying this nonautonomous cell signaling mechanism. Moreover, there are no cytoplasmic connections that have been described between the endosperm and the seed coat; therefore, the communication mechanisms between these two structures are restricted to molecules that can cross membranes (Stadler et al. 2005; Ingram 2010). Thus, possible candidates for the seed coat initiation signal are small molecules, such as signaling peptides [Ingram and Gutierrez-Marcos 2015], and molecules that can be actively exported from the endosperm, such as hormones [Figueiredo and Köhler 2016]. In line with this, post-fertilization production of auxin in the endosperm has been linked recently to the initiation of seed coat development [Figueiredo et al. 2016]. This is supported by observations that exogenous applications of auxin or ectopic production of auxin in the central cells of unfertilized ovules is sufficient to initiate autonomous development of the seed coat in \textit{Arabidopsis} [Figueiredo et al. 2016]. Furthermore, down-regulation of auxin biosynthesis in the endosperm has a significant impact on seed coat development, revealing that endosperm-derived auxin is necessary in order for the seed coat to develop [Figueiredo et al. 2016]. Auxin activity can also be detected after fertilization in the chalazal region of the maternal tissues of maize kernels (Forestan et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2014), suggesting that auxin-initiated development of the maternal seed sporophytic tissues is a conserved feature in angiosperms. In maize seeds, the \textit{ZmPIN1a} auxin transporter is positioned in the maternal sporophytic tissues near the micropyle, facing the endosperm, implicating the maternal tissues as a possible source of auxin to the endosperm [Chen et al. 2014]. Thus, it is possible that the post-fertilization transport of auxin is bidirectional and that the direction of this flow varies between distinct seed domains and/or developmental stages.

How auxin is transported from the endosperm to the surrounding maternal tissues remains to be investigated. \textit{Arabidopsis} mutants for the MADS-box transcription factor AGL62 fail to export auxin from the endosperm to the integuments, thus failing to form a seed coat, which correlates with the lack of expression of the gene coding for the ABCB-type transporter PGP10 [Figueiredo et al. 2016]. Although PGP10 so far has not been shown to transport auxin, its close homologs, PGP1 and PGP19, together with PGP4, have been extensively implicated in cellular auxin export [Geisler et al. 2005; Lin and Wang 2005; Santelia et al. 2005; Geisler and Murphy 2006]. Therefore, the transport of auxin between the endosperm and the maternal tissues being mediated by PGP-type transporters is an attractive hypothesis.

Seed coat development initiates only after fertilization, indicating that the developmental transition from integument to seed coat is actively blocked in unfertilized ovules and that this block has to be lifted following fertilization. Mutants for sporophytically acting PCG proteins are able to form a seed coat without fertilization [Roszak and Köhler 2011], indicating that sporophytic PRC2s EMF–PRC2 and VRN–PRC2 block the development of the seed coat before fertilization. This epigenetic block needs to be lifted after fertilization to allow the seed coat to form. This is in line with observations that genes coding for sporophytic PRC2 components are strongly repressed following fertilization [Figueiredo et al. 2016]. Importantly, a similar down-regulation of PRC2-coding genes is observed in unfertilized ovules following auxin treatments [Figueiredo et al. 2016]. Thus, auxin activity in the seed coat can effectively remove PRC2 function in the integuments, correlating with the initiation of seed coat development [Fig. 1B]. Nevertheless, how auxin regulates PRC2-coding genes is yet to be understood.

If sporophytic PRC2 removal is required for seed coat initiation, the question arises of which downstream pathways are repressed by PRC2 in the integuments and become activated following fertilization. One of these signaling pathways, gibberellin [GA] signaling, is tightly linked to seed coat development and, furthermore, acts downstream from auxin [Dorcey et al. 2009; Figueiredo et al. 2016]. Application of auxin to unfertilized \textit{Arabidopsis} ovules is sufficient to activate the expression of genes coding for enzymes involved in GA biosynthesis [Dorcey et al. 2009]. Importantly, a similar stimulation of GA biosynthesis is observed in mutants depleted in sporophytic PRC2 [Figueiredo et al. 2016], suggesting that auxin activates GA biosynthesis genes by removing PRC2 in the maternal integuments. Similar to the activation of GA biosynthesis, the accumulation of flavonoids in the seed coat, mediated by the \textit{TRANSPARENT TESTA} (TT)
pathway, is also downstream from auxin signaling and PRC2 removal. Both exogenous application of auxin and sporophytic PRC2 depletion in unfertilized ovules lead to the production of proanthocyanidins [Roszak and Köhler 2011; Figueiredo et al. 2016], which normally occurs only following fertilization [Debeaujon et al. 2003; Roszak and Köhler 2011; Figueiredo et al. 2016]. Interestingly, flavonoids have been implicated in modulating auxin transport via FGP and PIN-type transporters [Bailly et al. 2008; Santelia et al. 2008]. This raises the important question of whether the accumulation of these compounds can influence auxin transport dynamics in the developing seed coat, as proposed previously [Doughty et al. 2014].

Development of the seed sporophytic structures has been linked to the fertilization of the central cell; nevertheless, recent data showed that the content of the pollen tube is sufficient to initiate ovule growth in Arabidopsis [Kasahara et al. 2016; Zhong et al. 2017]. Mutants for the transcription factors DROP1 and DROP2 do not produce sperm cells and thus form pollen tubes that do not carry gametes but are still targeted to the ovules [Zhang et al. 2017]. Interestingly, drop1 drop2 pollen tube entry and burst can initiate ovule growth [Zhong et al. 2017], albeit to a lesser extent than what happens after exogenous application of auxin or fertilization [Figueiredo et al. 2016]. The question thus arises as to the nature of the molecule present in the pollen tube that drives ovule growth. Pollen tubes carry high levels of auxin [Aloni et al. 2006], and, in fact, pollen development is strongly dependent on auxin homeostasis [Zerzour et al. 2009; Ding et al. 2012]. Therefore, one likely hypothesis is that auxin present in pollen is released into the female gametophyte upon pollen tube burst, which is sufficient to initiate ovule growth. Nevertheless, this hypothesis remains to be tested, and the presence of additional signaling mechanisms that can initiate seed coat development cannot be ruled out. In summary, seed coat development is triggered by a signal delivered by the pollen and sustained by auxin formed in the endosperm that is transported to the surrounding maternal tissues.

Role of seed-derived auxin in fruit development

Post-fertilization auxin production in seeds has been implicated in driving fruit development in several plant species [Chareonboonsit et al. 1985; Rotino et al. 1997; Mezzetti et al. 2004; Mariotti et al. 2011; Figueiredo et al. 2016], and exogenous auxin application has long been known to drive fruit development without fertilization, termed parthenocarpy [Gustafson 1936; Thompson 1969; Eeuwens and Schwabe 1975; Chareonboonsit et al. 1985; Vivian-Smith and Koltunow 1999; Serrani et al. 2008]. The observation that extracts from the endosperm and seed coat are sufficient to initiate fruit development in peas implicated the production of a signal in the developing seed that induces fruit formation [García-Martínez et al. 1991]. Indeed, the endosperm and seed coat were proposed to be the main source of auxin and GA to the maternal receptacle in strawberries and the carpels in Arabidopsis [Dorcey et al. 2009; Kang et al. 2013]. In support of this, the ectopic expression of bacterial auxin biosynthesis genes in the ovules of several plant species leads to parthenocarpic fruit development, bypassing the requirement for fertilization [Rotino et al. 1997; Mezzetti et al. 2004; Figueiredo et al. 2016]. The auxin response factor 8 (ARF8) has been directly implicated in the signal transduction mechanisms leading to fruit formation in distinct plant species [Goetz et al. 2006, 2007; Gorguet et al. 2008; Du et al. 2016]. In Arabidopsis, ARF8 is expressed in unfertilized ovules and is strongly repressed after fertilization, and, furthermore, the arf8 mutation leads to fruit development independent of fertilization [Goetz et al. 2006]. These observations indicate that the post-fertilization auxin activity not only drives the development of the seed coat but is also a conserved mechanism that nonautonomously modulates fruit formation in distinct plant species through pathways negatively regulated by ARF8.

The role of auxin in apomictic seed formation

Apomixis allows the formation of seeds without fertilization, a trait of high economic potential that may permit one to fully exploit heterosis by preserving superior genotypes [Koltunow and Grossniklaus 2003]. Apomicts have evolved mechanisms to bypass sexual pathways, which involves the formation of functional gametophytes without meiosis (apomeiosis), the formation of embryos without fertilization (parthenogenesis), and the formation of a functional endosperm [Fig. 2A,B]. The latter can occur completely autonomously in some species but does involve fertilization in most apomicts [Koltunow and Grossniklaus 2003]. Elegant genetic manipulations in Arabidopsis and rice have provided proof of concept that synthetic clonal seed production can be achieved [Fig. 2C; Marimuthu et al. 2011; Mieulet et al. 2016]. This entails the combination of mutations bypassing meiosis, leading to the generation of balanced unrecombined diploid gametes. In Arabidopsis, seed formation can be initiated in crosses where one of the parents expresses a modified version of the centromeric histone CENH3, whose genome is then eliminated in the zygote [Marimuthu et al. 2011]. Thus, the resulting clonal seeds are supported by a uniparental endosperm, resulting in low seed viability [Marimuthu et al. 2011].

Therefore, one major obstacle that remains to be overcome in producing a synthetic clonal seed is the generation of a functional uniparental endosperm that is able to nourish the clonal embryo. As discussed above, the central role of auxin in initiating seed development makes it reasonable to assume that auxin could be of key importance in this process. This idea is furthered by the finding that both the application of auxin to unfertilized ovules and the depletion of gametophytic FIS–PRC2 function lead to a phenocopy of apomictic endosperm development in the nonapomict A. thaliana [Chaudhury et al. 1997; Ohad et al. 1999; Köhler et al. 2003; Roszak and Köhler 2011; Figueiredo et al. 2015, 2016]. Thus, a link between FIS–PRC2 function, auxin activity, and activation of
autonomous endosperm development seems plausible. Importantly, FIS–PRC2 is specific to the central cell and its descendent endosperm and contributes to the establishment of genomic imprinting [Mozgova et al. 2015; Rodrigues and Zilberman 2015]. In particular, FIS–PRC2 is responsible for the silencing of the maternal alleles of PEGs, ensuring that those genes are not active before fertilization [Moreno-Romero et al. 2016]. As discussed above, PEGs [namely, the ones coding for enzymes involved in auxin biosynthesis] have been linked to the fertilization-induced initiation of endosperm development [Figueiredo et al. 2015, 2016]. This suggests that fis mutants initiate autonomous endosperm development as a consequence of derepressed maternal PEG alleles that ectopically activate seed developmental pathways, bypassing the contribution of the paternal genome. This is in agreement with observations that bypassing genomic imprinting in Arabidopsis allows the development of viable seeds with a purely maternal endosperm [Nowack et al. 2007]. Fertilization of wild-type ovules with pollen deficient in cyclin-dependent kinase A;1 (CDKA;1) produces seeds containing embryos but that fail to form a functional endosperm. CDKA;1 codes for a homolog of the budding yeast CDK Cdc2/Cdc28, and mutants for this gene produce sperm cells that fail to undergo karyogamy with the female central cell [Mendenhall and Hodge 1998; Aw et al. 2010]. Thus, although in some instances sperm cell entry is sufficient to trigger central cell division, the activity of the paternal genome is required for endosperm development [Aw et al. 2010]. However, if the cdka;1 mutant is used to pollinate a mother plant that lacks FIS–PRC2, this leads to a number of viable, albeit smaller, seeds that contain a purely maternal endosperm [Nowack et al. 2007]. The most parsimonious explanation is that genes that are normally repressed by FIS–PRC2 in the central cell, such as the auxin biosynthesis genes, become active and can drive autonomous endosperm proliferation. Thus, the absence of a paternal genome in seeds derived from a fis × cdka;1 cross is compensated for by the activation of maternal PEG alleles in the FIS–PRC2-lacking endosperm.

In line with those predictions, the development of autonomous seeds in fis mutants was shown to coincide with the derepression of the maternal alleles of the PEG YUC10, which is normally expressed only in the endosperm after fertilization [Figueiredo et al. 2015]. Concurrently, fis autonomous seeds show ectopic activation of auxin reporters, indicating fertilization-independent activation of auxin signaling [Figueiredo et al. 2015]. Furthermore, the observation that exogenous application of auxin or ectopic auxin production in unfertilized ovules leads to a phenocopy of the fis phenotype strongly supports the view that the autonomous seed development in fis mutants is linked to auxin production [Figueiredo et al. 2015]. Previously, two quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been identified to underlie natural variation in autonomous central cell replication of distinct Arabidopsis accessions [Ungru et al. 2008]. Importantly, the investigators observed that genes known to influence autonomous seed formation [namely, genes coding for components of FIS–PRC2] were not located in the vicinity of either QTL, implicating the presence of novel unknown regulators of autonomous endosperm development in those genomic regions [Ungru et al. 2008]. One of the QTLs on chromosome I that explains ~14% of the phenotypic variation contains the YUC10 locus, raising the hypothesis that variation in autonomous endosperm formation in different Arabidopsis accessions correlates with differential ectopic expression of auxin biosynthesis genes.

Figure 2. Sexual and asexual modes of seed initiation. (A) In sexual species, meiosis generates haploid gametes that fuse to form a diploid embryo and a triplod endosperm, both containing maternal and paternal genome copies. (MMC) Megaspore mother cell, (mmc) microspore mother cell. (B) In apomorphic species undergoing gametophytic apomixis, the maternal plant produces unreduced gametes through apomeiosis and is able to form a parthenogenetic clonal embryo from the egg cell without paternal contribution. Most apomicts are pseudogamous and require fertilization of the central cell, forming a sexual endosperm, while some can initiate autonomous endosperm development, leading to the formation of purely maternally derived seeds. (C) Engineering of apomorphic traits in sexual species is possible by combining mutations to allow bypass of meiosis, leading to the formation of unreduced embryo sacs. Expression of modified histone CENH3 in the pollen causes loss of the paternal genome in the zygote, allowing the formation of a clonal embryo. Although the formation of an autonomous endosperm has remained a challenge, loss of FIS–PRC2 function and manipulation of auxin levels are promising strategies for the development of synthetic clonal seeds.
The link between FIS–PRC2, auxin signaling, and autonomous endosperm development does not seem to be restricted to Arabidopsis but likely extends to other species as well. Subunits of PRC2 are expressed in the endosperm of other plant species, such as maize and rice, suggesting that the prefertilization repression of seed development by PRC2 may be an evolutionarily conserved mechanism (Danilevskaya et al. 2003; Luo et al. 2009; Tonosaki and Kinoshita 2015). Importantly, down-regulation of the rice PRC2 component OsFIE2 leads to autonomous endosperm development, similar to what happens in Arabidopsis (Ohad et al. 1999, Roszak and Köhler 2011, Li et al. 2014). However, whether this autonomous development coincides with the ectopic activation of auxin-biosynthesis genes [namely, the imprinted OsYUC11] is yet to be determined. Conversely, in barley, increased expression of the PRC2 components HvFIE and HvE(z) correlates with smaller seed size, consistent with the role of PRC2 in repressing seed development (Kapazoglou et al. 2010; Tonosaki and Kinoshita 2015).

Together, PRC2 suppresses autonomous endosperm development in monocot and dicot species, and auxin biosynthesis genes are imprinted in both groups of angiosperms, making it likely that repression of autonomous endosperm formation by PRC2-mediated control of auxin biosynthesis is a conserved feature in angiosperms. This raises the question of whether similar mechanisms are in place in apomictic species, some of which can develop functional endosperms without paternal contribution. Rather surprisingly, this does not seem to be the case. The genus Hieracium is composed of both sexual species that initiate seed development after gamete fertilization and asexual species that develop seeds independently of fertilization. Quite unexpectedly, down-regulation of the FIS–PRC2 subunit FIE in sexual Hieracium plants does not lead to autonomous proliferation of the central cell (Rodrigues et al. 2008). Furthermore, apomictic Hieracium species require FIE activity for the development of autonomous seeds (Rodrigues et al. 2008). Thus, unlike what happens in nonapomicts such as Arabidopsis or rice, where FIE is a repressor of autonomous seed development (Ohad et al. 1999, Roszak and Köhler 2011, Li et al. 2014), in apomictic Hieracium, FIE seems to promote seed developmental pathways. Consequently, if FIE function is lost in apomictic Hieracium, autonomous seed development is blocked, and the requirement for fertilization is re-established (Rodrigues et al. 2008). Interestingly, FIE function during sporophytic development and even endosperm cellularization in apomictic Hieracium seems to be conserved when compared with its Arabidopsis and rice counterparts (Vinkenoog et al. 2000; Rodrigues et al. 2008; Li et al. 2014). Similarly, HMSI1, the Hieracium ortholog of the FIS–PRC2 component MS1, does not seem to be involved in autonomous endosperm development in this apomictic species, unlike the case in Arabidopsis (Köhler et al. 2003; Guitton et al. 2004, Rodrigues et al. 2010). These observations suggest that PRC2 function has diverged between apomictic and nonapomictic species regarding the repression of central cell division. Importantly, auxin has been proposed to be involved in the apomictic process in Hieracium (Koltunow et al. 2001; Tucker et al. 2012) and in some pseudogamous species of blackberry that develop parthenogenic embryos but require fertilization to form an endosperm (Jennings et al. 1967). Thus, in the future, it will be interesting to test whether the divergent function of PRC2 correlates with differential regulation of auxin biosynthesis in apomicts, which could be independent of or even positively regulated by FIS–PRC2 function.

As discussed above, the development of the endosperm and seed coat is tightly linked to PRC2 function (Roszak and Köhler 2011, Figueiredo et al. 2015, 2016), in contrast to the initiation of embryo development, which is likely independent of PRC2. Mutations in the PRC2 component MS1 were reported to promote the transition of the egg cell into a zygote-like structure, which, however, is not sufficient to initiate true embryonic patterning (Guitton and Berger 2005). Nevertheless, since mutations in other PRC2 subunits do not cause the same phenotype (Chaudhury et al. 1997, Ohad et al. 1999) and since MS1 is part of complexes in addition to PRC2 (Hennig et al. 2005), it seems most likely that embryo initiation is not under the negative control of PRC2 but is controlled by other repressive pathways. This is in line with the predictions that the genetic determinants for parthenogenesis in apomictic species seem to be distinct and independent from the ones regulating autonomous endosperm development (Ogawa et al. 2013; Hand and Koltunow 2014).

Conclusions and perspectives

The post-fertilization molecular and genetic mechanisms that drive seed development in sexual species are likely to be similar to the mechanisms that allow for fertilization-independent seed development in apomicts. The central role of auxin in the initiation of seed development makes it reasonable to assume that auxin signaling is underpinning apomictic seed development (Koltunow and Rabiger 2015). However, although auxin is sufficient to initiate autonomous endosperm development in the nonapomict A. thaliana, by inducing the first few divisions of the central cell, auxin alone is not sufficient to form a fully differentiated cellularized endosperm (Figueiredo et al. 2015, 2016). Therefore, additional mechanisms are required in this process that may be under negative epigenetic regulation established by DNA methylation (Vinkenoog et al. 2000). The forthcoming elucidation of those developmental pathways will not only allow the understanding of pressing biological questions but may significantly contribute to crop breeding efforts, namely, by allowing the fixation of heterosis by engineered apomixis (Koltunow and Grossniklaus 2003; Sailer et al. 2016).
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