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Abstract: In this paper, we present some explicit upper bounds for integral inequalities with the help of Katugampola-type conformable fractional calculus. The results have been obtained to cover the previous published studies for Gronwall–Bellman and Bihari like integral inequalities.
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1. Introduction and preliminaries

In the history of development calculus, integral inequalities have been thought of as a key factor in the theory of differential and integral equations. For instance, Gronwall, Bellman and Bihari have great contribution in the literature (Bellman, 1943; Bihari, 1965; Dragomir, 1987, 2002; Gronwall, 1919; Pachpatte, 1995). However, in non-integer order of situations, the bounds provided by the above authors are not feasible.
In addition to this, fractional calculus has a number of fields of application such as control theory, computational analysis and engineering (Kilbas, Srivastava, & Trujillo, 2006, see also Samko, Kilbas, & Marichev, 1993). Thus, a number of new definitions have been introduced in academia to provide the best method for fractional calculus. For instance, in more recent times, a new local, limit-based definition of a conformable derivative has been introduced in Abdeljawad (2015), Khalil, Al horani, Yousef, and Sababheh (2014), Katugampola (2014), with several follow-up papers (Anderson & Ulness, in press; Atangana, Baleanu, & Alsaedi, 2015; Hammad & Khalil, 2014a, 2014b; Iyiola & Nwaeze, 2016; Sarikaya, 2016; Usta & Sarikaya, 2016; Zheng, Feng, & Wang, 2015).

In this study, we presented a retarded Gronwall–Bellman- and Bihari-like conformable fractional integrals inequalities using the Katugampola conformable fractional calculus. In detail, Katugampola conformable derivatives for \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) and \( t \in [0, \infty) \) given by

\[
D^\alpha (f)(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(te^{-\varepsilon}) - f(t)}{\varepsilon}, \quad D^\alpha (f)(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} D^\alpha (f)(t), \tag{1.1}
\]

provided the limits exist (for detail see, Katugampola, 2014). If \( f \) is fully differentiable at \( t \), then

\[
D^\alpha (f)(t) = t^{1-\alpha} \frac{df}{dt}(t). \tag{1.2}
\]

A function \( f \) is \( \alpha \)--differentiable at a point \( t \geq 0 \) if the limit in (1.1) exists and is finite. This definition yields the following results.

**Theorem 1** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) and \( f, g \) be \( \alpha \)--differentiable at a point \( t > 0 \). Then,

(i) \( D^\alpha (af + bg) = a D^\alpha (f) + b D^\alpha (g) \), for all \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \),

(ii) \( D^\alpha (\lambda) = 0 \), for all constant functions \( f(t) = \lambda \),

(iii) \( D^\alpha (fg) = fD^\alpha (g) + gD^\alpha (f) \),

(iv) \( D^\alpha \left( \frac{f}{g} \right) = \frac{gD^\alpha (g) - gD^\alpha (f)}{g^2} \) where \( g(t) \neq 0 \),

(v) \( D^\alpha (t^n) = nt^{n-\alpha} \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{R} \),

(vi) \( D^\alpha (f\circ g)(t) = f'(g(t))D^\alpha (g)(t) \) for \( f \) is differentiable at \( g(t) \).

**Definition 1** (Conformable fractional integral) Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( 0 \leq a < b \). A function \( f:[a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) is \( \alpha \)-fractional integrable on \([a, b] \) if the integral

\[
\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x)x^{\alpha-1}dx
\]

exists and is finite. All \( \alpha \)-fractional integrable on \([a, b] \) is indicated by \( L^1_\alpha ([a, b]) \).

**Remark 1**

\[
I^\alpha_\alpha (f)(t) = I^\alpha_\alpha (t^{-\alpha}f) = \int_0^t \frac{f(x)}{x^{1-\alpha}} dx,
\]

where the integral is the usual Riemann improper integral, and \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \).

We will also use the following important results, which can be derived from the results above.

**Lemma 1** Let the conformable differential operator \( D^\alpha \) be given as in (1.1), where \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) and \( t \geq 0 \), and assume the functions \( f \) and \( g \) are \( \alpha \)--differentiable as needed. Then,
(i) \( D'(\ln t) = t^{-n} \) for \( t > 0 \)

(ii) \( D^n \left[ \int_a^b f(t,s)ds \right] = f(t,t) + \int_a^b D^n[f(t,s)]ds \)

(iii) \( \int_a^b f(x)D^r[g(x)]dx = fg|_a^b - \int_a^b g(x)D^r(f(x))dx \).

In this paper, using the Katugampola-type conformable fractional calculus, we introduced retarded Gronwall–Bellman- and Bihari-like conformable fractional integrals inequalities.

2. Main findings and cumulative results

Throughout this paper, all the functions which appear in the inequalities are assumed to be real-valued and all the integrals involved exist on the respective domains of their definitions, and \( C(M, S) \) and \( C^1(M, S) \) denote the class of all continuous functions and the first-order conformable derivative, respectively, defined on set \( M \) with range in the set \( S \). Additionally, \( R \) denotes the set of real numbers such that \( \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty) \), \( \mathbb{R}^1 = [1, \infty) \) and \( Q = [0, T) \) are the given subset of \( \mathbb{R} \).

**Theorem 2** Let \( x, y \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}_+), \ r \in C^1(Q, Q) \), assume that \( r \) is non-decreasing with \( r(t) \leq t \) for \( t \geq 0 \). If \( u \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}_+) \) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(t) \leq m + \int_0^t x(s)u(s)ds + \int_0^t y(s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in Q, \\
    \text{where } m \geq 0 \text{ is constant, then } \\
    u(t) \leq me^{X(t)+Y(t)}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
    X(t) = \int_0^t x(s)ds, \quad Y(t) = \int_0^t y(s)ds.
\]

**Proof** Let us first assume that \( m \geq 0 \). Define the non-decreasing positive function \( z(t) \) by the right-hand side of (2.1). Then, \( u(t) \leq z(t) \) and \( z(0) = m \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
    D^n z(t) &= x(t)u(t) + y(r(t))u(r(t))D^n r(t) \\
    & \leq x(t)z(t) + y(r(t))z(r(t))D^n r(t) \\
    & \leq x(t)z(t) + y(r(t))z(t)D^n r(t)
\end{align*}
\]

as \( r(t) \leq t \). Then, the solution of the above fractional order differential equation by taking integration from \( 0 \) to \( t \), we get

\[
    z(t) \leq me^{X(t)+Y(t)}
\]

Since \( u(t) \leq z(t) \), we get the desired inequality, that is

\[
    u(t) \leq me^{X(t)+Y(t)}
\]

where

\[
    X(t) = \int_0^t x(s)ds, \quad Y(t) = \int_0^t y(s)ds.
\]
\[ u(t) \leq n + \int_0^t x(s)u(s) \log(u(s))ds + \int_0^{rt} y(s)u(s) \log(u(s))ds, \quad t \in Q, \] (2.4)

where \( n \geq 1 \) is constant, then

\[ u(t) \leq n^{e^{n+Y(t)}} \]

where \( X(t) \) and \( Y(t) \) are defined in (2.3).

**Proof** Let us first assume that \( n > 0 \). Define the non-decreasing positive function \( z(t) \) by the right-hand side of (2.4). Then, \( u(t) \leq z(t) \) and \( z(0) = n \), and as in the same steps with above proof, we get

\[ D^r z(t) \leq x(t)z(t) \log z(t) + y(r(t))z(t) \log z(r(t))D^r r(t) \]

Then, the solution of the above fractional order differential equation by taking integration from 0 to \( t \), we get

\[ \log z(t) \leq \log n + t \int_0^t x(s) \log z(s)ds + t \int_0^{rt} y(s) \log z(s)ds \]

Now using the result of Theorem 2, we obtain

\[ \log z(t) \leq (\log n)e^{X(t)+Y(t)} \] (2.5)

In other words, we get

\[ z(t) \leq n^{e^{X(t)+Y(t)}} \] (2.6)

Since \( u(t) \leq z(t) \), we get the desired inequality, that is

\[ u(t) \leq n^{e^{n+Y(t)}} \]

where \( X(t) \) and \( Y(t) \) are defined in (2.3).

**Theorem 4** Let \( x, y \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}^+), \quad r \in C^1(Q, Q) \), assume that \( r \) is non-decreasing with \( r(t) \leq t \) for \( t \geq 0 \). If \( u \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}^+) \) satisfies

\[ u(t) \leq m + \int_0^t x(s)u(s)ds + \int_0^{rt} y(s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in Q, \] (2.7)

where \( m \geq 0 \) and \( q > 1 \) are constant, then

\[ u(t) \leq \left( m^{q-1} + \frac{q-1}{q} [X(t) + Y(t)] \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \]

where \( X(t) \) and \( Y(t) \) are defined in 2.3.

**Proof** Let us first assume that \( m > 0 \). Define the non-decreasing positive function \( z(t) \) by the right-hand side of (2.7). Then, \( u(t) \leq z(t) \) and \( z(0) = m \), and as in the same steps with the above proof, we get

\[ D^r z(t) \leq x(t)z^{1/q}(t) + y(r(t))z^{1/q}(t)D^r r(t) \]

Then, the solution of the above fractional order differential equation by taking integration from 0 to \( t \), we get
\[
\begin{aligned}
z(t) &\leq m^{\alpha} + \frac{q-1}{q} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} x(s) ds + \int_{0}^{r(t)} y(s) ds \right]^\frac{1}{\alpha} \\
&\quad + \int_{0}^{r(t)} \left( |X(t)| + |Y(t)| \right) ds \\
&\quad \text{where } X(t) \text{ and } Y(t) \text{ are defined in 2.3.} \quad \Box \\
\end{aligned}
\]

Since \( u^n(t) \leq z(t) \), we get the desired inequality, that is

\[
\begin{aligned}
u(t) &\leq m^{\alpha} + \frac{q-1}{q} \left[ |X(t)| + |Y(t)| \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
&\quad \text{where } X(t) \text{ and } Y(t) \text{ are defined in 2.3.} \quad \Box \\
\end{aligned}
\]

**Theorem 5** Let \( x, y \in C(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}^+) \), \( r, \psi \in C(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \), \( \psi_i, \psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+) \), assume that \( r \) and \( \psi \) are non-decreasing with \( r(t) \leq t \) for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( \psi_1(\xi) > 0 \) for \( \xi > 0 \), respectively. If \( u \in C(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}^+) \) satisfies

\[
u(t) \leq m + \int_{0}^{t} x(s) \psi_1(u(s)) ds + \int_{0}^{r(t)} y(s) \psi_2(u(s)) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{Q},
\]

(2.8)

where \( m \geq 0 \) is constant, then

\[
z(t) \leq G^{-1}(G(m) + X(t) + Y(t))
\]

(2.9)

where

\[
X(t) = \int_{0}^{t} x(s) ds \quad Y(t) = \int_{0}^{r(t)} y(s) ds
\]

(2.10)

and \( G^{-1} \) is the inverse function defined by

\[
G^{-1}(\xi) = \int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{1}{\max(\psi_1(s), \psi_2(s))} ds
\]

so that

\[
G(m) + X(t) + Y(t) \in \operatorname{Dom}(G^{-1})
\]

for all \( t > 0 \).

**Proof** Let us first suppose that \( m > 0 \). Define the non-decreasing positive function \( z(t) \) by the right-hand side of (2.8). Then, \( u(t) \leq z(t) \) and \( z(0) = m \), and as in the same steps with the above proofs, we get

\[
D^\alpha z(t) \leq x(t) \psi_1(z(t)) + y(r(t)) \psi_2(z(t)) y(r(t)) \\
\quad \leq \max(\psi_1(z(t)), \psi_2(z(t))) [x(t) + y(r(t)) D^\alpha r(t)]
\]

Then, from the definition of \( G \), we have

\[
G(z(t)) = \int_{0}^{z(t)} \frac{1}{\max(\psi_1(s), \psi_2(s))} ds. 
\]

(2.11)

Then, taking the \( \alpha \)-th order of conformable derivative of \( G(z(t)) \), we obtain

\[
D^\alpha G(z(t)) = \frac{1}{\max(\psi_1(z(t)), \psi_2(z(t)))} D^\alpha z(t) \\
\quad \leq x(t) + y(r(t)) D^\alpha r(t)
\]

Then, by taking integration from 0 to \( t \), we get
\[ G(z(t)) \leq G(m) + \int_0^t x(s)ds + \int_0^{n(t)} y(s)ds. \] (2.12)

Because \( G^{-1}(z(t)) \) is increasing on \( \text{Dom}(G^{-1}(z(t))) \), we get

\[ z(t) \leq G^{-1} \left( G(m) + \int_0^t x(s)ds + \int_0^{n(t)} y(s)ds \right) \] (2.13)

As \( u(t) \leq z(t) \), we get the required inequality. \( \square \)

**Theorem 6** Let \( x, y \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}^+), \ r \in C^1(Q, \mathbb{Q}), \ \psi_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+) \), assume that \( r \) and \( \psi \) are non-decreasing with \( r(t) \leq t \) for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( \psi_i(\xi) > 0 \) for \( \xi > 0 \), respectively. If \( u \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}^+) \) satisfies

\[ u(t) \leq n + \int_0^1 x(s)u(s)\psi_1(\log(u(s)))ds + \int_0^{n(t)} y(s)u(s)\psi_2(\log((u(s)))ds, \ t \in Q, \] (2.14)

where \( n \geq 1 \) is constant, then

\[ z(t) \leq e^{G^{-1}(\log(n) + X(t) + Y(t))} \] (2.15)

where

\[ X(t) = \int_0^1 x(s)ds \quad Y(t) = \int_0^{n(t)} y(s)ds \] (2.16)

and \( G^{-1} \) is the inverse function of

\[ G^{-1}(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} \frac{1}{\max(\psi_1(s), \psi_2(s))} ds \]

so that

\( G(\log(n)) + X(t) + Y(t) \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}) \)

for all \( t > 0 \).

**Proof** The proof of Theorem 6 can be done following the similar steps of proof of Theorems 5 and 3. \( \square \)

**Theorem 7** Let \( x, y \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}^+), \ r \in C^1(Q, \mathbb{Q}), \ \psi_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+) \), assume that \( r \) and \( \psi \) are non-decreasing with \( r(t) \leq t \) for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( \psi_i(\xi) > 0 \) for \( \xi > 0 \), respectively. If \( u \in C(Q, \mathbb{R}^+) \) satisfies

\[ u(t)^q \leq m + \int_0^1 x(s)u(s)\psi_1(\log(u(s)))ds + \int_0^{n(t)} y(s)u(s)\psi_2(\log((u(s)))ds, \ t \in Q, \] (2.17)

where \( m \geq 0 \) and \( q > 1 \) are constant, then

\[ z(t) \leq (G^{-1}(G(m) + X(t) + Y(t)))^{1/q} \] (2.18)

where

\[ X(t) = \int_0^1 x(s)ds \quad Y(t) = \int_0^{n(t)} y(s)ds \] (2.19)

and \( G^{-1} \) is the inverse function of...
so that
\[ G(m) + X(t) + Y(t) \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}) \]
for all \( t > 0 \).

**Proof** The proof of Theorem 7 can be done following the similar steps of proof of Theorems 5 and 4.

\[ \square \]

3. Concluding remark

In this study, we established the explicit bounds on retarded integral inequalities with the help of conformable fractional calculus. We take the advantage of Katugampola-type conformable fractional derivatives and integrals.
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