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Pharmaceutical care education in Kuwait: pharmacy students’ perspectives
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ABSTRACT
Background: Pharmaceutical care is defined as the responsible provision of medication therapy to achieve definite outcomes that improve patients’ quality of life. Pharmacy education should equip students with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes they need to practise pharmaceutical care competently.

Objective: To investigate pharmacy students’ attitudes towards pharmaceutical care, perceptions of their preparedness to perform pharmaceutical care competencies, opinions about the importance of the various pharmaceutical care activities, and the barriers to its implementation in Kuwait.

Methods: A descriptive, cross-sectional survey of pharmacy students (n=126) was conducted at Faculty of Pharmacy, Kuwait University. Data were collected via a pre-tested self-administered questionnaire. Descriptive statistics including percentages, medians and means Likert scale rating (SD) were calculated and compared using SPSS, version 19. Statistical significance was accepted at a p value of 0.05 or lower.

Results: The response rate was 99.2%. Pharmacy students expressed overall positive attitudes towards pharmaceutical care. They felt prepared to implement the various aspects of pharmaceutical care, with the least preparedness in the administrative/management aspects. Perceived pharmaceutical care competencies grew as students progressed through the curriculum. The students also appreciated the importance of the various pharmaceutical care competencies. They agreed/strongly agreed that the major barriers to the integration of pharmaceutical care into practice were lack of private counseling areas or inappropriate pharmacy layout (95.2%), lack of pharmacist time (83.3%), organizational obstacles (82.6%), and pharmacists’ physical separation from patient care areas (82.6%).

Conclusion: Pharmacy students’ attitudes and perceived preparedness can serve as needs assessment tools to guide curricular change and improvement. Student pharmacists at Kuwait University understand and advocate implementation of pharmaceutical care while also recognizing the barriers to its widespread adoption. The education and training provided at Kuwait University Faculty of Pharmacy is designed to develop students to be the change agents who can advance pharmacist-provided direct patient care.
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INTRODUCTION
There has been a gradual transition in the professional responsibilities of the pharmacist from traditional tasks such as medication compounding and dispensing to providing patient-centered care. The concept of pharmaceutical care has long been espoused as a practice philosophy that encompasses all of the services that the pharmacist responsibly provides to patients to ensure the most effective use of medication therapy to achieve definite outcomes that improve their quality of life.1 The primary mission of the pharmacist is to provide pharmaceutical care.2 Extensive research and implementation projects proved the benefits of pharmaceutical care on patient care and clinical outcomes.3

Pharmacy educators are responsible for preparing student pharmacists to be competent to implement pharmaceutical care in their future practice.2 Students must be provided with ample opportunity to develop the clinical knowledge foundation and effective communication skills needed to serve the needs of patients. It is equally important to instill in students positive attitudes and motivation to provide pharmaceutical care.4 This combination of knowledge, skills and attitudes is required for widespread acceptance and implementation of the concept of pharmaceutical care.

Very few publications have documented the status of pharmacy education in Kuwait.5,6 Faculty of Pharmacy at Kuwait University is the only public pharmacy school in Kuwait. The Faculty was established in 1996 and admitted its first group of students in September 1997. The Bachelor of Pharmacy (B. Pharm) degree program offered by the Faculty is a 10-semester (total 5-year) program with the first two semesters spent in a common year with medical and dental students. In the first year of study, students enroll in pre-professional courses. Students who choose or are assigned to pharmacy according to their grade point average (GPA) of the first year undertake professional courses for four years. The Faculty's current curriculum is designed to foster students’ abilities to communicate effectively; solve problems; make rational, independent judgments based upon sound scientific reasoning; and engender a caring attitude in their professional interactions with patients.

The concept of pharmaceutical care is first introduced to students in the second year of the
curriculum. In the pharmacy practice course, students are provided with a definition of the concept and description of basic pharmaceutical care principles. In the third through fourth years of study, students are taught about the pharmaceutical care aspects related to management of various disease states in the pharmacy practice courses. This is delivered through lectures which are reinforced with both dispensing laboratories and small group discussion on case studies. They also undertake experiential training (clerkships) with a minimum of 130 hours in primary health care centers and community pharmacies. In their final year, the students take an advanced pharmaceutical care course and have more extensive experiential training with a minimum of 390 hours in general and specialized hospitals. The experiential training experience provides the students with the opportunity to practise a range of pharmaceutical care skills. These include interviewing patients, retrieving patient data from medical files, and developing a patient specific pharmaceutical care plan for the identification, resolution and prevention of drug-therapy problems.

The attitude of pharmacy students towards pharmaceutical care has been investigated in other developing countries,7-9 but no such studies have been conducted in Kuwait. Assessing pharmacy students’ attitudes towards pharmaceutical care and perceptions of their preparedness to perform pharmaceutical care competencies can provide useful information to guide curricular change and improvement. Therefore, the present study was designed to describe the attitudes of pharmacy students towards pharmaceutical care, perceptions of their preparedness to perform pharmaceutical care competencies, their perceived importance of the various pharmaceutical care activities, and their opinions about the potential barriers to the provision of pharmaceutical care in Kuwait.

METHODS

Study population

The study population consisted of three different student cohorts from two professional years (fourth and fifth year), each representing a professional class at a different stage of the curriculum. This included fifth-year students (2011-2012) and fourth-year students (2011-2012) who were surveyed in June 2012 at the end of the second semester, and fourth-year students (2012-2013) who were surveyed in December 2012 at the end of the first semester.

Survey design

A descriptive, cross-sectional survey of pharmacy students was conducted at Faculty of Pharmacy, Kuwait University. Data were collected in June 2012 at the end of the second semester of the academic year 2011-2012 and in December 2012 at the end of the first semester of the academic year 2012-2013. The questionnaire was developed primarily from survey instruments designed and used in the United States.10-13 It was pre-tested for content, design, readability and comprehension on 15 pharmacy students, and modifications were made as necessary. The final version of the questionnaire had five sections. The first section provided information on the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents, including age, gender, marital status, nationality and educational level. The second section was used to assess the attitudes of students towards pharmaceutical care and consisted of the Standard Pharmaceutical Care Attitude Survey (PCAS).10,11 It includes statements about pharmaceutical care for which respondents were asked to indicate their level of agreement on a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree). The third section consisted of a reduced version of the Perceptions of Preparedness to Provide Pharmaceutical Care (PREP) survey.12,13 It was designed to assess the perceptions of students regarding their ability to perform various pharmaceutical care competencies and to rate that on a 5-point Likert scale (1=poor, 5=excellent). Section four was used to reveal the opinions of students about the importance of the various pharmaceutical care activities using a 4-point Likert scale (1=unimportant, 4=very important). In the last section, a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree) was used to measure students’ level of agreement with a number of potential barriers contributing to limiting the delivery of pharmaceutical care in practice settings.

Survey administration

In order to obtain the highest possible response rate, the students were approached after major lectures, and the survey was distributed and conducted in class. The purpose of the study was briefly explained to students, and they were informed that responses would be anonymous. Participants were assured of confidentiality and provided written consent to participate in the study. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Joint Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects in Research of the Health Science Centre, Kuwait University and Kuwait Institute for Medical Specialization.

Data analysis

Data were entered into the SPSS, version 19, and descriptive and comparative analyses were conducted. Responses were presented as percentages, medians and means Likert scale rating and standard deviations (SD). The differences in the scale mean responses of the three cohorts of students to the items assessing their attitudes, perceptions of their preparedness and opinions about pharmaceutical care activities were determined using Kruskal-Wallis test. Statistical significance was accepted at a p value of 0.05 or lower.

RESULTS

Study population

A total of 127 students were approached regarding study participation, 126 of whom completed and returned the questionnaire (response rate of 99.2%). The mean age of respondents was 21.8
I feel that practicing pharmaceutical care would benefit my professional career as a pharmacy practitioner. (SD=1.2) years. Half of the total sample (50%) were fourth-year students surveyed at the end of the first semester (4th year students, 2012-2013), 28.6% of the sample were fourth-year students surveyed at the end of the second semester (4th year students, 2011-2012) and 21.4% were fifth-year students surveyed at the end of the second semester (5th year students, 2011-2012). Table 1 shows the characteristics of respondents.

Attitudes towards pharmaceutical care

Table 2 shows students’ responses to the different items of the PCAS. The respondents agreed/strongly agreed on most of the statements in the survey reflecting favorable attitudes towards pharmaceutical care. They had mean scores rating above the midpoint of 3.0 on the scale for all items of PCAS. The only exception was noted for the item relating to the additional workload needed for the provision of pharmaceutical care with a mean (SD) response of 2.3 (1.2).

Perceptions of preparedness to provide pharmaceutical care

The students’ ratings of their perceived preparedness to perform various pharmaceutical care competencies are presented in Table 3. Generally, students rated their preparedness to provide pharmaceutical care as being “good” to “very good”, with overall mean (SD) responses of 3.1 (1.2). The students’ highest perceived preparedness was in the psychosocial aspects of pharmaceutical care, 3.3 (1.2), followed by communication, 3.2 (1.2), technical, 3.1 (1.1) and lastly the administrative aspects, 2.7 (1.1). Of all the competencies, students reported the highest levels of perceived preparedness to use data/computers in professional practice, 3.7 (1.0), followed by promotion of public awareness of health, 3.5 (1.2).

Opinions about the importance of the pharmaceutical care activities

Table 4 reports students’ opinions regarding the importance of the different pharmaceutical care activities performed by pharmacists. The students rated most of the activities as being important to very important, with overall mean (SD) responses of 3.6 (0.7). The most important perceived competencies were: pharmacists’ ability to obtain accurate information on all the medications currently taken by the patient, 3.7 (0.9); the ability to explain using words that are easy to be understood by patients; to recommend changes after review or monitoring of drug therapy; and to recommend dose adjustments, 3.7 (0.6). Students felt that performing limited physical examination and discussing patient case with physician are the least important competencies, 3.4 (0.7).

Opinions about the barriers to the provision of pharmaceutical care

Students’ opinions about the potential barriers to the provision of pharmaceutical care in Kuwait are shown in Table 5. The students agreed to strongly
Table 3. Pharmacy students’ perceptions of their preparedness to provide pharmaceutical care (n=126):

| Competency Area | Response values (%) | Mean(SD) | Median |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------|--------|
|                 | 1  2   3   4   5    |----------|--------|
| Technical aspects |                      |          |        |
| 1. Recommend appropriate drug therapy. | 10.3 27.0 38.1 15.9 8.7 | 2.9(1.1) | 3.0    |
| 2. Evaluate patient pharmacist/therapeutic regimens to prevent or resolve medication-related problems. | 5.6 29.4 34.9 20.6 8.7 | 3.0(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 3. Determine drug delivery system. | 7.9 20.6 33.3 27.8 9.5 | 3.2(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 4. Recommend medication doses/dose schedules. | 7.9 28.6 33.3 21.4 7.9 | 3.0(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 5. Identify/collect information to prevent or resolve a drug therapy problem. | 3.2 25.4 28.6 32.5 10.3 | 3.2(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 6. Evaluate laboratory tests for a specific patient. | 7.1 18.3 34.9 29.4 10.3 | 3.2(1.1) | 3.0    |
| 7. Calculate/evaluate pharmacokinetic properties. | 8.7 36.5 33.3 17.5 3.2 | 2.8(1.1) | 3.0    |
| 8. Evaluate information from patient’s history and assessment. | 0.8 20.6 32.5 33.3 12.7 | 3.4(1.0) | 3.0    |
| 9. Make reasonable conclusions when data is incomplete. | 7.1 35.7 37.3 15.1 4.8 | 2.8(1.0) | 3.0    |
| 10. Provide counseling to patients. | 7.1 19.8 30.2 29.4 13.5 | 3.2(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 11. Recommend methods to seek patient compliance. | 3.2 20.6 31.7 31.0 12.7 | 3.3(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 12. Monitor therapeutic plan for a patient. | 7.1 20.6 35.7 22.2 14.3 | 3.2(1.1) | 3.0    |
| 13. Document information on drug-related problems. | 4.0 22.2 38.9 24.6 10.3 | 3.2(1.0) | 3.0    |
| Overall mean | 3.1(1.1) |          |        |
| Psychosocial aspects |                      |          |        |
| 14. Identify the appropriate information to decide a course of action for a problem. | 5.6 29.4 33.3 24.6 6.3 | 3.0(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 15. Contribute opinions/insights to health care team. | 5.6 33.3 28.6 20.6 11.1 | 3.0(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 16. Promote public awareness of health. | 5.6 13.5 33.3 24.6 22.2 | 3.5(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 17. Data/computer use in professional practice. | 3.2 7.9 27.0 35.7 26.2 | 3.7(1.0) | 4.0    |
| Overall mean | 3.3(1.2) |          |        |
| Communication aspects |                      |          |        |
| 18. Communicate medical records information to health professionals. | 6.3 27.0 29.4 22.2 14.3 | 3.2(1.3) | 3.0    |
| 19. Communicate medical records information to respond to. | 5.6 23.0 35.7 19.0 16.7 | 3.2(1.1) | 3.0    |
| 20. Identify/collect information to prevent or resolve health professional drug information request. | 6.3 24.6 31.0 26.2 11.1 | 3.2(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 21. Respond to information request from a patient. | 6.3 18.3 37.3 31.0 6.3 | 3.2(1.1) | 3.0    |
| Overall mean | 3.2(1.2) |          |        |
| Administrative/Management aspects |                      |          |        |
| 22. Evaluate, select, and purchase pharmaceuticals. | 15.1 29.4 31.7 19.8 4.0 | 2.7(1.1) | 3.0    |
| 23. Develop/implement a pharmacy inventory system. | 18.3 29.4 27.0 21.4 4.0 | 2.6(1.1) | 3.0    |
| 24. Manage fiscal and human resources. | 13.5 33.3 28.6 18.3 5.6 | 2.7(1.2) | 3.0    |
| 25. Develop/implement drug formulary service. | 14.3 23.0 40.5 16.7 5.6 | 2.8(1.1) | 3.0    |
| Overall mean | 2.7(1.1) |          |        |
| Overall scale mean (for all competency items) | 3.1(1.2) |          |        |

*1= Poor; 2= average; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent.
* Percentage may not total 100% due to some missing responses.

Agreed that the most formidable barriers to the integration of pharmaceutical care services into practice were: lack of private counseling areas or inappropriate pharmacy layout (95.2%), lack of pharmacist time (83.3%), organizational obstacles such as the absence of health care policy to support the role of pharmacist in patient care (82.6%), pharmacists’ physical separation from patient care area (82.6%), and lack of physicians’ trust in the pharmacists’ abilities (77.7%).

Comparative analysis of students’ responses between the three student cohorts

Students’ attitudes towards pharmaceutical care, perceptions of their preparedness to perform pharmaceutical care competencies and perceived importance of the various pharmaceutical care activities were compared by educational level (Table 6). There was no significant difference between the three cohorts of students in their attitudes towards pharmaceutical care (p > 0.05), with the three groups showing positive attitudes. On the other hand, there was a significant difference in students’ perceptions of their preparedness to provide pharmaceutical care competencies between the three cohorts (p < 0.01). Fourth-year students surveyed in the first semester felt that their preparedness was average to good, 2.7 (1.1). The average score increased to 3.3 (1.2) for fourth-year students surveyed in the second semester. The final-year students rated their preparedness as being good to very good, 3.6 (0.9). Similarly, there was a significant difference between the three cohorts in their opinions about the importance of the pharmaceutical care activities (p < 0.01).

DISCUSSION

Pharmacy curricula must provide education and training that imparts the necessary clinical knowledge, skills, and positive attitudes to practise pharmaceutical care. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate pharmacy students’ attitudes towards pharmaceutical care and perceptions of their preparedness to provide pharmaceutical care in Kuwait. These findings provide useful information to guide curricular change that enhances the training of pharmacy graduates to better serve the needs of patients and the profession.

In this study, the student cohorts were selected because the students in each group were...
Table 4. Pharmacy students’ opinions about the importance of the pharmaceutical care activities (n=126):

| The pharmacists ability to: | Response values (%) | Mean(SD) | Median |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------|
| 1. Identify expected therapeutic outcomes of drug therapy. | 3.2 4.0 32.5 60.3 | 3.5(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 2. Select parameters of patient care to monitor drug therapy. | 2.4 5.6 27.0 65.1 | 3.6(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 3. Provide follow-up services for drug therapy, e.g. monitoring response to therapy. | 3.2 8.7 26.2 61.9 | 3.5(0.8) | 4.0 |
| 4. Perform limited physical exams. | 1.6 8.7 39.7 50.0 | 3.4(0.7) | 3.5 |
| 5. Access to clinical laboratory tests records. | 1.6 7.9 35.7 54.8 | 3.4(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 6. Discuss patient case with physician. | 1.6 8.7 33.3 56.3 | 3.4(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 7. Interview patients. | 7.1 31.7 60.3 0.8 | 3.6(0.8) | 4.0 |
| 8. Access to patient medical records. | 1.6 4.8 34.1 58.7 | 3.6(0.8) | 4.0 |
| 9. Recommend dose adjustments. | 1.6 2.4 24.6 71.4 | 3.7(0.6) | 4.0 |
| 10. Provide complete drug therapy information. | 0.8 4.8 27.0 65.9 | 3.6(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 11. Have accurate information on all the medications taken by patient. | 0.8 4.8 24.6 69.0 | 3.7(0.9) | 4.0 |
| 12. Have accurate updated information on OTCs. | 1.6 4.8 28.6 65.1 | 3.6(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 13. Assess patients’ needs for review of drug therapy. | 2.4 4.8 31.7 61.1 | 3.5(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 14. Assess patients’ needs for drug therapy monitoring. | 3.2 4.8 28.6 63.5 | 3.5(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 15. Assess patients’ needs for advice on lifestyle modifications. | 1.6 4.8 36.5 57.1 | 3.5(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 16. Undertake review of drug therapy as part of regular ward rounds. | 2.4 8.7 31.0 57.9 | 3.4(0.8) | 4.0 |
| 17. Provide counseling on medicines use and side effects. | 0.8 3.2 28.6 67.5 | 3.6(0.6) | 4.0 |
| 18. Provide counseling on lifestyle modifications. | 1.6 5.6 31.0 61.9 | 3.5(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 19. Monitor compliance with medicines. | 2.4 3.2 29.4 65.1 | 3.6(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 20. Monitor side effects of medicines. | 2.4 3.2 32.5 62.7 | 3.6(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 21. Recommend changes after review or monitoring of drug therapy. | 1.6 3.2 22.2 73.0 | 3.7(0.6) | 4.0 |
| 22. Communicate with other members of health care team. | 1.6 8.7 33.3 55.6 | 3.5(0.9) | 4.0 |
| 23. Communicate with patients effectively. | 1.6 4.0 28.6 65.9 | 3.6(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 24. Detect and respond to nonverbal cues. | 2.4 7.9 33.3 56.3 | 3.4(0.7) | 4.0 |
| 25. Listen to patients effectively. | 1.6 3.2 24.6 70.6 | 3.6(0.6) | 4.0 |
| 26. Explain by words that are easy to be understood by patients. | 1.6 1.6 19.8 77.0 | 3.7(0.6) | 4.0 |

Overall scale mean 3.6(0.7)

*1= Unimportant; 2= Somewhat important; 3= Important; 4= Very important
*Percentage may not total a 100% due to some missing responses.

Table 5. Pharmacy students’ opinions about the barriers to the provision of pharmaceutical care (n=126):

| Barrier to Pharmaceutical Care | Percentage of student pharmacists who agreed/strongly agreed (%) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Lack of private counseling area, space or inappropriate pharmacy layout. | 95.2 |
| 2. Lack of time to provide pharmaceutical care. | 83.3 |
| 3. Organizational obstacles: e.g. lack of support from administration, absence of health care policy for pharmacists’ patient care role. | 83.6 |
| 4. Pharmacists being physically distinct from patient care area. | 82.6 |
| 5. Lack of physicians’ trust in the pharmacists’ abilities. | 77.7 |
| 6. Lack of communication/coordination with physicians. | 74.6 |
| 7. Physicians will not accept pharmacists’ new role. | 71.5 |
| 8. Inadequate teamwork of the health care members. | 68.2 |
| 9. Inadequate pharmaceutical care training or continuing professional education of practitioners. | 69.2 |
| 10. Inadequate staffing, e.g. deficient number of pharmacy technicians. | 65.1 |
| 11. Deficient clinical knowledge of pharmacists. | 59.5 |
| 12. Lack of patient interest. | 57.9 |
| 13. Negative attitudes of pharmacists towards pharmaceutical care. | 57.2 |
| 14. Deficient communication skills of pharmacists. | 57.1 |
| 15. Inadequate computer system/software and/or inadequate computer training of personnel. | 53.2 |
| 16. Lack of financial compensation for the activities that are related to patient care. | 50.7 |
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aspects, followed by communication, technical, and lastly the administrative aspects of care. These results are comparable to two similar studies conducted in the United States.\(^\text{12,13}\) This is not surprising given that students have an earlier exposure to courses covering these aspects of care, as well as an everyday experience with communications. On the other hand, these results highlight the need to evaluate the courses covering the administrative aspects of pharmaceutical care within the current curriculum to improve students' preparedness in these aspects. It was quite promising to find that respondents rated the highest level of readiness to use technology in professional practice and to promote public awareness of health. This could be due to the fact that the Faculty of Pharmacy has always employed cutting-edge technology to assist students in acquiring the skills to excel in professional practice.\(^\text{13}\) Furthermore, the current curriculum also prepares students to become health educators. In a country like Kuwait, where the burden of chronic diseases such as obesity\(^\text{16}\) and diabetes\(^\text{16}\) is increasing among the population, pharmacists can have major contributions to increasing public awareness about these emerging epidemics.

The current findings also show a stepwise improvement in students’ perceived preparedness as they progress through the curriculum, with the most senior students having the highest self-assessed level of competence. To the extent that these perceptions reflect students’ actual competencies, this indicates that the curriculum was successful in teaching students pharmaceutical care skills. The students also appreciated the importance of the various pharmaceutical care competencies with a similar upward trend in their opinions as they advance through the curriculum. This could reflect an increasing level of professional maturation as the students continued their coursework and experiential training. Notably, students ranked pharmacists’ ability to perform limited physical examination for patients as the least important competency. This may mirror the traditional thinking that only physicians are trained to perform these services. However, it has been reported that community pharmacists in Kuwait routinely provide patient monitoring services such as weight and blood pressure measurements.\(^\text{15}\)

In order to expand the provision of pharmaceutical care services in practice settings, identifying the barriers that limit its implementation should be a priority. Because students undertake experiential training at different pharmacy environments, they can observe and report these barriers. The major barriers reported by the students concur with the findings of a previous study conducted among hospital pharmacists in Kuwait.\(^\text{15}\) The reported barriers are not unique to the health care system in Kuwait. In fact, many of these barriers were identified as obstacles to the implementation of pharmaceutical care in studies conducted in developed countries.\(^\text{20,21}\) This underscores the need for restructuring pharmacy layout to overcome the lack of private counseling areas, the principal barrier identified in this study. Lack of pharmacist time can be partly overcome by better delineation between the roles of the pharmacist and the pharmacy technician. Establishing national policy/laws to define the emerging professional roles of the pharmacist is urgently needed. Moreover, opportunities should be created for Kuwait pharmacists to work closely with physicians in the settings of hospital wards or physician office practice. This would improve physicians’ trust in the pharmacists, thereby promoting the physician-pharmacist partnership in patient care.

A major curricular limitation is that pharmaceutical care services are not generally provided at the pharmacy sites used for students experiential training. As a result, students have limited opportunity to observe the actual application of pharmaceutical care principles to practice. Indeed, many students recognize a gap between what is being taught at the Faculty and what is actually practised at the pharmacy training sites. To enhance students’ preparedness, it is essential to provide practice sites for their experiential training where they can participate in the integration of pharmaceutical care activities into pharmacy practice. In view of the limited availability of these ideal sites, simulation could be used as adjunct to experiential training to enable students to acquire the necessary practice experience. Simulation is a valuable teaching technique that has been integrated in pharmacy education to prepare students for pharmacy practice.\(^\text{12}\) Simulation can be integrated in students learning as early as possible in the curriculum, perhaps starting from the second professional year to train students on essential pharmacy practice skills such as communication. Simulation has been used successfully in pharmacy education in areas such as therapeutics, communication, physical assessment, patient safety and interprofessional health care team skills.\(^\text{22}\) Therefore, when students’ understanding about pharmaceutical care starts to take shape in the third year, simulated case scenarios using simulated patients or high-fidelity human patient simulation can be used to illustrate to them the requisite pharmaceutical care competencies. The Faculty is currently establishing a clinical skills environment (virtual ward environment) and a mock pharmacy to provide students an opportunity to apply previously acquired knowledge and skills to practise pharmaceutical care in realistic clinical settings. This would enhance students’ preparation for practice and could partly overcome the absence of the ideal sites for their experiential training.

### Table 6. Comparative statistics of students’ responses between the three student cohorts.

|                      | Attitude Scale Mean(SD) | Preparedness Scale Mean(SD) | Opinions Scale Mean(SD) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 4th year students (2012-2013) | 4.1(1.1)                | 2.7(1.1)                   | 3.5(0.8)                |
| 4th year students (2011-2012) | 4.2(1.1)                | 3.3(1.2)                   | 3.6(0.7)                |
| 5th year students (2011-2012) | 4.1(1.2)                | 3.6(0.9)                   | 3.7(0.5)                |
| p value              | >0.05                   | <0.01                      | <0.01                   |
Furthermore, fostering interprofessional education (IPE) can enhance students’ preparation for pharmaceutical care practice. IPE promotes the development of sustained working relationships among health care professionals. A deliberate educational strategy should be designed to offer undergraduate pharmacy and medical students interprofessional learning opportunities starting from the earliest stages of their degrees. This will foster collaborative attitudes among them as future health care professionals, with the ultimate goal of improving the quality of patient care. Pharmacy and medical students in their second and third years of studies should be offered IPE courses with focus on communication and interpersonal skills, analyzing ethical issues, and developing their understanding of the other professions. This will give them the opportunity to recognize and appreciate their similarities, as well as their unique roles, with the goal of enhancing future collaborative work. Plans are currently underway to jointly develop and run multidisciplinary interprofessional courses with the Faculties of Medicine and Dentistry. However, care should be given to develop collaborative learning models, in which students are not passive recipients of knowledge through didactic teaching. Instead, health sciences students, including pharmacy and medical students should be engaged in interactive shared learning that would help them appreciate the value and contributions of each profession to patient and health outcomes. This would enhance collaborative practices and the multidisciplinary approach to patient care.

The university and the health care authorities (Ministry of Health) should develop strategies to bridge the obvious gaps between what is being taught to students about pharmaceutical care and the actual pharmacy practice in Kuwait, which currently lacks pharmaceutical care services. Suggested solutions include establishing National Standards of Practice or competencies for the profession to ensure the excellence of pharmacy education provided to future pharmacy practitioners. This would also be essential for the continuous quality improvement of the curriculum. These standards would guide Faculty of Pharmacy in curricular assessment, evaluation and revision to address the education and practice needs of the profession. The Faculty should also consider offering specific training to the preceptors who supervise students during their experiential training to help them demonstrate and teach pharmaceutical care competencies to students. These educational interventions should encourage the preceptors to change the current pharmacy training sites to ones in which pharmaceutical care services are actively provided to patients. In addition, more emphasis should be placed on pharmacists’ clinical training to improve the clinical pharmacy services in Kuwait. The University has recently approved a Pharm D degree program that will provide a post-bachelor clinical training during which pharmacists develop the requisite clinical skills to provide standard pharmaceutical care.

A potential limitation of this study is the student self-reporting of attitudes and perceptions of preparedness to provide pharmaceutical care. Self-reported competence may not necessarily reflect students’ actual competence. Therefore, these findings should be interpreted cautiously in conjunction with the results of objective assessment of students’ competencies by faculty members and preceptors. Another potential limitation is that students’ responses may be influenced by what is perceived to be the “right” answer. Respondents may be biased with an inclination to provide socially desirable responses.

CONCLUSIONS

Student pharmacists at Kuwait University have positive attitudes towards pharmaceutical care. The education and training provided at Kuwait University Faculty of Pharmacy encourages the development of pharmacists who are motivated to incorporate the concept of pharmaceutical care into their future practice and seems to be successful in teaching students the necessary pharmaceutical care skills. This prepares students to be the change agents needed to enhance the quality of direct patient care provided by pharmacists.
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EDUCACIÓN EN ATENCIÓN FARMACÉUTICA EN KUWAIT: PERSPECTIVAS DE LOS ESTUDIANTES DE FARMACIA

RESUMEN

Antecedentes: La atención farmacéutica se define como la provisión responsable de un tratamiento farmacológico para alcanzar resultados definidos que mejoren la calidad de vida de los pacientes. La formación en farmacia debería equipar a los estudiantes con el conocimiento, habilidades y actitudes que necesitan para ejercer la atención farmacéutica de modo competente.

Objetivo: Investigar las actitudes de los estudiantes de farmacia hacia la atención farmacéutica, las percepciones de su preparación para ejercer competencias de atención farmacéutica, las opiniones sobre la importancia de las varias actividades de la atención farmacéutica, y las barreras para su implementación en Kuwait.

Métodos: Se realizó un estudio descriptivo transversal a estudiantes de farmacia (n=126) en la Facultad de Farmacia de la Universidad de Kuwait. Se recogieron datos a través de un cuestionario auto-administrado previamente probado. Se calculó la estadística descriptiva que incluía porcentajes, medianas y medias (DE) de las puntuaciones de las escalas Likert y se compararon utilizando SPSS versión 19. La significación estadística se aceptó a un valor de p de 0,05 o menor.

Resultados: La tasa de respuesta fue del 99,2%. Los estudiantes de farmacia expresaron actitudes generales positivas hacia la atención farmacéutica. Se sentían preparados para implantar los varios aspectos de la atención farmacéutica, con menos preparación en los aspectos administrativos y de gestión. Las competencias percibidas de atención farmacéutica crecían a medida que
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los estudiantes progresaban en su currículo. Los estudiantes también apreciaban la importancia de los varios componentes de las diversas competencias de la atención farmacéutica. Estaban de acuerdo/Muy de acuerdo con que las principales barreras para la integración de la atención farmacéutica en la práctica eran la falta de áreas privadas de consulta o el inadecuado aspecto físico de las farmacias (95,2%), falta de tiempo del farmacéutico (83,3%), obstáculos organizativos (82,6%) y la separación física del farmacéutico de las áreas de atención al paciente (82,6%).

**Conclusión:** Las actitudes de los estudiantes de farmacia y su preparación percibida pueden servir como instrumentos de evaluación necesaria para guiar el cambio y la mejora curriculares. Los estudiantes de farmacia de la Universidad de en Kuwait entienden y apoyan la implantación de la atención farmacéutica mientras que reconocen las barreras para su adopción generalizada. La educación y formación proporcionadas en la Facultad de Farmacia de la Universidad de Kuwait están diseñadas para desarrollar a los estudiantes para que sean agentes de cambio que puedan avanzar los cuidados al pacientes realizados por farmacéuticos.

**Palabras clave:** Estudiantes de Farmacia; Educación en Farmacia; Currículo; Actitud del Personal de Salud; Rol Profesional; Kuwait
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