Quantitative sexing (Q-sexing) technique for animal sex-determination based on X chromosome-linked loci: Empirical evidence from the Siberian tiger
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Here we report a mammal sexing procedure based on the detection of quantitative differences between females and males in the X-linked loci (quantitative sexing, Q-sexing). This novel technique was validated using samples from Siberian tigers (Panthera tigris altaica) whose sexes were known. The Q-sexing technique relies on the fact that amplifications proceeding exclusively from the two X chromosomes in a female mammal should reach the threshold cycle (Ct) in a real-time quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assay sooner than amplifications from the single male X chromosome. Nevertheless, given that the amplification efficiency may vary between samples, results have to be calibrated to a marker that does not vary in copy number between the sexes (for example, an autosomal-linked locus). For this purpose we used quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (RT qPCR) assays to quantify the amount of three specific Siberian tiger microsatellite markers (X/-Y- and autosomal-linked loci) in individual samples in order to determine the sex of an animal. A difference of one Ct between the X and the autosomal-linked loci was detected in males, but no such difference was present in female samples. The Q-sexing technique unambiguously separates female from male Siberian tigers. The future of RT qPCR is bright as technology is becoming ever more rapid, cost-effective, easier to use and capable of processing higher throughputs. Thus, we expect that our novel technique for animal sexing will have a wide applicability, although further studies are still needed to adapt it to other animal species using specific primers.

Key words: Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), quantitative sexing, Siberian tiger.

INTRODUCTION

Animal molecular sexing techniques are usually based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequences from the Y (mammal) or W (bird) chromosome-linked loci (Aasen and Medrano 1990; Longmire et al., 1993; Ellegren, 1996; Putze et al., 2007), with concomitant amplification of X or W, respectively. Alternatively, sexing techniques are based on differences in the PCR yielded fragment of the X-Y homologous gene amelogenin from the X and Y chromosome (Sullivan et al., 1993). Nevertheless, X and
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Z chromosome-linked loci are not usually used as stand-alone techniques for animal sex determination (Dubiec and Zagalska-Neubauer, 2006).

Sexing technique methods are usually based either on the presence or absence of PCR amplification products, on the differences in band lengths and/or the resulting banding patterns on gel, and/or on differences in sequences (‘qualitative sexing’), which enable researchers to distinguish between X and Y chromosomes (mammals) or W and Z chromosomes (birds) [restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs, Sacchi et al., 2004), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLPs, Griffiths and Orr, 1999), single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCPs, Ramos et al., 2009), microsatellite alleles (Teske and Roed, 2000) and oligonucleotide-microarrays: Wang et al., 2008; Kalz et al., 2006)].

X and Z chromosome-linked loci have not yet been used alone for animal sex determination since they are present in both genders in mammals and birds (females: XX or WZ; males: XY or ZZ). In light of the advances in real time qPCR techniques and the increased affordability of RT qPCR instruments, our aim was to develop a method that would apply this speedy approach to the sexing of mammal (and avian) species. Our prediction was that a RT qPCR addressed to mammalian X-chromosomal genes/segments should yield a lower C_T value (the cycle at which the fluorescence exceeds a predetermined threshold level) in females than in males given that females have twice as much template of these genes/segments as males. However, as amplification efficiency can vary among samples, results should be calibrated to a marker that does not vary in copy number between the sexes (autosomal-linked loci).

A similar assumption can be applied to birds since markers from male birds (ZZ chromosomes) should reach the threshold sooner than those of females (WZ chromosomes). Using the differences in their quantity in females and males, X/Z chromosome-linked loci could be used for animal sex determination. The applicability of this technique, for which we have coined the term ‘quantitative sexing’ or ‘Q-sexing,’ was tested using samples from the threatened Siberian tiger (Panthera tigris altaica).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Blood or tissue samples from 15 Siberian tigers (five females and 10 males) were collected from wild-born animals throughout its distribution range in the Primorsky region of the Russian Far East between 1999 and 2000 (Alasaad et al., 2011b). The DNA was extracted following the standard phenol/chloroform procedure (Sambrook et al., 1989).

We used the following sets of primers: (i) FCA651F and FCA651R, (ii) FCA139F and FCA139R (Menotti-Raymond et al., 2003) and (iii) DBY7-PF and DBY7-PR (Rozhnov et al., 2009). FCA651 targets an X chromosome-linked microsatellite and gives amplicons with lengths of 133 and 135 bp; DBY7 targets an Y chromosome-linked microsatellite, generating amplicons of 156 bp length (this primer set was added to corroborate our assumption); and FCA139 targets an autosomal linked microsatellite with amplicon lengths of 132 and/or 134 bp. DNA extracts were amplified in duplicates and control samples (DNA of one male Siberian tiger) as well as RT qPCR blanks (no template control, NTC) were added in triplicates in all assays. Each run contained two replicates per sample of the three microsatellite markers. All RT qPCR assays were repeated twice. All runs were carried out in an Mx-3000P cycler (Stratagene). Data were analysed with the software package MxPro v4.00 (Stratagene).

Amplification reactions (20 µl) contained 300 nM of each primer per pair, 1x GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega), 2 µl (between 50 and 100 ng/µl) of DNA template (replaced by water in NTCs) and nuclease free-water. Cycling conditions for the 2-step PCR consisted of a 2 min start-up denaturation step at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation for 15 s at 95°C and 60 s amplification at 58°C. In order to check for primer dimers and other PCR artefacts, a final dissociation analysis was performed with 10 s at 95°C followed by 5 s at 65°C and an incremental increase of 0.1°C/s to 95°C.

PCR efficiency was considered and tested during primer selection and using all reactions lay between 90 and 110% (software statistics). To perform relative quantification, the delta-delta-threshold-cycle method (ΔΔC_T) was used (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Reference ranges (2 x standard deviation, SD) for X-, Y- and autosomally linked 2^ΔΔC_T values from females and males were tested using x² tests (P < 0.05).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Animal sex determination: DNA based animal sex determination approaches are ideal ways of sexing animals – especially when they are young and morphologically indistinguishable – since they only require a small sample such as a drop of blood or a single hair, thereby minimizing animal trauma (Vali and Doosti, 2011). Nowadays, although several ways of animal molecular sexing exist, our novel technique aims to highlight the possibility of using a RT qPCR application for this purpose with the attendant advantages it possesses vis-à-vis traditional methods.

In our novel Q-sexing technique, the reference range (2 x SD) for the X-/Y- and autosomal-linked loci copy numbers were established as follows (assuming that an X-chromosome-linked locus has a single copy in the control Siberian tiger): (i) in males (10 Siberian tigers): 0.983 to 1.005 (one copy of the x-chromosome), 0.995 to 1.023 (one copy of the Y-chromosome) and 1.981 to 2.009 (two copies of the autosomal marker) for X-, Y- and autosomal chromosome-linked loci, respectively; (ii) in females (five Siberian tigresses): 1.997 to 2.021 (two copies of the X-chromosome), 0 (no copy of the Y-chromosome) and 1.994 to 2.064 (two copies of the autosomal marker) for X-, Y- and autosomal chromosome-linked loci, respectively. Hence, the Q-sexing technique enabled the sex of female and male Siberian tigers to be unambiguously determined (P < 0.05) (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Overall, a difference of one C_T was detected in males between the X and the autosomal-linked loci, while no such difference was present in female samples (Figure...
Table 1. Values of 2×SD of 2^ΔΔCt for male and female Amur tigers (Panthera tigris altaica) across all three tested markers.

| Marker                | Male (n = 10) | Female (n = 5) |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| FCA651 (X-chromosomal)| 0.983–1.005   | 1.997–2.021   |
| DBY7 (Y-chromosomal)  | 0.995–1.023   | 0             |
| FCA139 (autosomal)    | 1.981–2.009   | 1.994–2.064   |

Figure 1. Reference range (2×SD) for 2^ΔΔCt of X- and Y-linked loci from the female and male Siberian tiger samples tested in our study, with one morphologically sexed male Siberian tiger used as a control.

2). As expected, in males the Y-linked locus had the same Ct value as the X-linked locus but was not present in female-derived samples, thereby corroborating the simplicity and effectiveness of Q-sexing. Although the concomitant analysis of a Y-chromosomal marker as shown here is not necessary for the sexing procedure, it was included in our study to corroborate our assumption and to validate the sexing assay.

Our new Q-sexing technique is based on RT qPCR and hence compared to existing methods has the advantage of not requiring; (i) any post-PCR sample manipulation (for example, restriction digests and gel electrophoresis) or (ii) any internal PCR control (although an initial calibration against a control is still necessary) (Vali and Doosti, 2011; Cenariu et al., 2012).

Our novel Q-sexing technique is based on only one PCR-cycling difference between males and females and could be affected by the low sensitivity of some RT qPCR machines. Thus, we recommend that PCR runs be replicated to ensure that results are accurate, which is standard practice in studies based on RT qPCR (Nolan et al., 2006; Alasaad et al., 2011a).

The future of RT qPCR is bright as technology becomes ever more rapid, cost-effective, easier to use and capable of processing higher throughputs. RT qPCR is a robust and much used methodology for biological investigation (Ghasemian et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2012) and hence we expect our novel technique for animal sexing to be widely applicable. Our study used samples of the threatened Siberian tiger whose sex was known; further studies, nevertheless, are still needed to standardize this method for other animal species based on specific primers.
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Figure 2. Representative amplification plots of X-/Y- and autosomal-linked loci from female and male Siberian tiger samples. (A) Female Siberian tiger amplification plot showing the failure of the Y chromosome-linked locus to amplify. (B) Male Siberian tiger amplification plot, with one $C_T$ difference between X-/Y- and autosomal-linked loci.
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