approximately 3 quarters of a million people in the county remain uninsured. Regardless of insurance status, nearly a quarter of LA County residents reported having difficulty obtaining needed medical care, and among those making less than the poverty level, 43% had difficulties. There is still much to understand about barriers to obtaining insurance and accessing healthcare in Los Angeles in the post-ACA era. Our primary objective was to understand how safety net patients are obtaining, maintaining and using their insurance after the ACA. Specifically, we hypothesize to understand the barriers and drivers of these three processes. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: We conducted a qualitative study of 34 safety net patients with 3 different insurance types in LA County. We conducted in-person interviews with adult patients (ages 18–64 years), who had either MediCal, MyHealthLA, or were uninsured. Our interview guide was based on existing literature, a previous qualitative study conducted in Massachusetts and input from experts in the field. We pilot tested our interview in English and Spanish and then recruited our participants from 3 sites: LAC + USC (a publically funded county hospital), The Wellness Center (a resource center for safety net patients), and White Memorial Medical Center (a private safety net hospital). We approached patients in the ED and urgent care waiting rooms and obtained informed consent for this IRB approved study. We excluded patients who were not-English and non-Spanish speaking or too ill to interview. We recorded interviews, which were then transcribed and translated into English by a contracted agency. We analyzed our interviews using a framework approach, which included a set of a priori codes from the literature as well as emerging codes from patient responses. We will check a sample of our transcripts for coding consistency (aiming for an inter-rater reliability of > 80%). DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: We recruited a diverse group of patients that were demographically representative of those enrolled in insurance under the ACA (childless adults making less than 138% of the Federal Poverty Level). Our preliminary results (based on 17 transcripts), suggest that patients, regardless of insurance type have difficulty accessing primary care. We identified seven domains under the broader theme of barriers to accessing primary care: finding a primary care clinic or physician (PCP), getting timely appointments, geography and transportation, continuity of care, using the Emergency Department (ED) or urgent care at a PCP, switching PCPs or clinics, and cost or coverage.

Chicago Kids Advisory Board: A novel approach to engaging adolescent students in pediatric clinical research
Ferdynand Hebal and Susanna McCollney
University of Chicago

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: Stakeholder engagement has been proposed to help realign clinical and translational research with the needs of clinicians, patients, and policymakers. Increasingly, funders and researchers seek to partner with stakeholders to inform study design, execution and dissemination of results. Kids and families Impacting Disease through Science (KIDS) is a program of the American Academy of Pediatrics that seeks to engage youth in clinical research. United States KIDS programs participate in International Children's Advisory Network activities. The Chicago KIDS Advisory Board program at Walter Payton College Preparatory School, a Chicago Public School, was initiated in 2015 to foster and develop interest in careers in science, research and healthcare and provide youth perspectives to academic and industry researchers on the design and development of pediatric research studies. This project engaged youth advisors in creation and evaluation of a video explaining clinical research and informed consent for Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital, a clinical partner of the Northwestern University Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: The Payton program advisory board sessions are 1.5hr interactive seminars held on 1–2 school days each month. During the 2016–2017 school year, students participated in 3 stakeholder sessions, led by Lurie Children's hospital researchers, to advise development of a script, storyboards, and ultimately representative of those who guided children and families about participation in clinical research to aid in the decision-making process. Qualitative research methods were used to examine attitudes towards clinical research and assess the video on content objectives, clarity of concept, and appropriateness for a pediatric audience. Following production, students from the 2017–2018 advisory board viewed the final video and pre-survey in an exploratory setting measured to determine the effect of the video. Students who were naïve to development, participated in the pre and post evaluation sessions. Students ranked the video and reflected the diverse ethnic and racial background of Chicago. A positive change from pre to post-test survey was observed in all questions presented assessing comprehension of key concepts of informed consent. The median post-test ranks were statistically significantly higher than the median pre-test ranks for all questions (p < 0.01 in all). DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Chicago KIDS youth advisors were engaged in all aspects of the design of the research tool and gained experience in stakeholder contribution from study design to evaluation and publication. The students will next be involved in the design of a prospective randomized study to test the efficacy of the video compared with standard recruitment and consent practices. Given the difficulty of recruiting youth for clinical trials, development of effective engagement practices is critically important. Our findings demonstrate the feasibility of utilizing youth advisors in a public school based setting.

Childhood adversity, attachment style, and home visiting engagement
Kelly M. Bower, Deborah Gross and Phyllis Sharps
Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: This case-control study aims to determine the relationships among childhood adversity, attachment style, and the likelihood of accepting or declining a referral for HV. The study will serve as a pilot to inform the power analysis of a subsequently proposed full-scale study. METHOD/STUDY POPULATION: Using a case-control study design, 25 women who decline HV referral (cases) will be compared with 25 women who accept HV referral (controls) on their exposure to childhood adversity and attachment style. Women who are eligible for the study are English-speaking mothers who have been offered HV services by Health Care Access Maryland. Surveys are administered in-person, either in the participant’s home or at another location (e.g., public library), based on participant preference. The dependent variable is participant’s verbal response to the HV referral (accept/delay). The independent variable, childhood adversity, will be measured using the Philadelphia Urban Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) Survey and the Attachment Style Questionnaire (ASQ). Control variables include demographics (i.e., age, race, education, employment, housing, marital status), obstetric history (i.e., previous preterm birth, miscarriage, fetal death, infant death, abortion), and current psychosocial risk factors (i.e., history of substance use, intimate partner violence, depression). Descriptive comparisons will be done for the independent and control variables in controls versus cases. Bivariate analysis will examine associations between the odds of being a case and ACE score and ASQ score. Multivariate logistic regression models will be used to examine the relationship between ACE total and ASQ score: exposure to ACE in cases versus controls; and the odds of an avoidant and anxious attachment styles in cases versus controls. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: We hypothesize that (a) higher ACE scores will be positively associated with a higher level of avoidance attachment; (b) higher ACE scores will be positively associated with declining a HV referral; and (c) higher levels of avoidant attachment will be associated with declining a HV referral. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Racial inequities in birth outcomes are pervasive and unjust. Non-Hispanic Black women experience births that result in infant mortality, fetal mortality, preterm birth, and low birth weight babies at more than double the rate of non-Hispanic White women in Baltimore and nationally. Prenatal and early childhood home visiting programs have been found to decrease maternal smoking and hypertension disorder which is associated with PTB, reduce close spacing births which is associated with fetal and infant death, and improve women’s long-term economic self-sufficiency, child health and social outcomes. However, as community-based programs, these services are not reaching the majority of eligible women in low-income urban settings—women who are also disproportionately burdened with poor pregnancy-related health outcomes. Considering the potential to improve outcomes, the importance of eliminating health disparities, and the national and local investment in HV services, it is vital to understand why some women are not enrolling in prenatal HV programs. The findings from this and subsequent studies will inform the translation of evidence-based HV program outreach efforts for women with complex social history. It will inform the design of enhanced outreach and engagement efforts of HV programs to more reliably engage women.

Community-based research networks: Providing infrastructure for clinical and translational research in the State of Michigan
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OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: As the sole Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) site in Michigan, the Michigan Institute for Clinical & Health