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Development of a management guidewheel for vulvar cancer

Mahmoud Samy Ismail1-3*, Fouad Ismail4, Julia Ismail5, Muneera AlKhalifa6, Rehab Ismael1, Reham Fathi1, Wassan Al Ani1, Shaikha Al Hajri1, Mariam Fida2, Alaa Zeineldine2 and Uwe Torsten3,8

1Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, King Hamad University Hospital (KHUH), Kingdom of Bahrain
2Bahrain Oncology Centre (BDC), Kingdom of Bahrain
3Department of Gynae-Oncology, Charité University Hospital, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany
4University of Applied Arts, Vienna, Austria
5Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
6King Hamad University Hospital (KHUH), Kingdom of Bahrain
7Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, AlMana General Hospital, AlKhobar, Saudi Arabia
8Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland (RCSI), Kingdom of Bahrain

Received: 29 December, 2021
Accepted: 18 January, 2022
Published: 19 January, 2022

*Corresponding author: Mahmoud Samy Ismail, Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, King Hamad University Hospital, Building 2435, Road 2635, Block 228, Busaiteen P.O Box 24343, Al Muharraq, Kingdom of Bahrain, E-mail: samysmail@hotmail.com

Copyright: © 2022 Ismail MS, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Keywords: Vulvar cancer; Surgical staging; Radiotherapy; Chemotherapy; Systemic therapy; FIGO classification; Guidelines; Persistent or recurrent disease; Therapy for relapse; Surveillance; Surgical node status; Radical hysterectomy; Adenopathy; Distant metastases

https://www.peertechzpublications.com

Introduction

Vulvar Cancer (VC) is the fourth most common gynecologic cancer as it constitutes 2-5% of all gynecologic cancers [1-7]. Early-stage VC with no nodal involvement generally has a good prognosis with a 5-year survival of more than 80% compared to a 5-year survival of less than 50% in patients with Lymph Node (LN) involvement [5-9]. Risk factors associated with developing VC include increasing age, smoking, immunodeficiency, and chronic skin diseases, such as lichen sclerosus [6,9]. The treatment of VC involves surgery, Radiotherapy (RT) and chemotherapy [2-4,6,8,9]. There are no screening tests proven to be effective for VC [1,2,8].

Different health organizations worldwide have developed
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Abstract

Ismail's Cancer Vulva Management Guidewheel is designed to enable physicians to refer to a quick and comprehensive reference to recommendations on the management of vulvar cancer at various stages. The wheel consists of patient characteristics, including FIGO stage and the possible treatment modalities available along with alternatives. We compared guidelines on the management of vulvar cancer by international health organizations including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), the Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO), the European Society of Gynaecological Oncology (ESGO), the European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR), the British Gynaecological Cancer Society (BGCS), the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG), the Japanese Society of Gynecologic Oncology (JSGO), the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) and the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO). Their respective recommendations have been compared and carefully integrated on the guidewheel.
guidelines regarding the management of VC. We reviewed and compared guidelines by the following organizations: the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), the Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO), the European Society of Gynaecological Oncology (ESGO), the European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR), the British Gynaecological Cancer Society (BGCS), the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG), the Japanese Society of Gynecologic Oncology (JSGO), the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) and the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) [1–8,10]. Since the guidelines by NCCN are the most frequently updated, the guidewheel mainly reflects their recommendations [6]. We are also comparing those guidelines in another review article to emphasize the similarities and differences in those recommendations.

Ismail’s Cancer Vulva Management Guidewheel is intended to help serve healthcare professionals in finding a quick resource to refer to recommendations on managing patients with VC. This can help in rapid decision-making and aid in counseling patients regarding the available options of treatment appropriate for their cases. It is not meant to replace recommendations and algorithms set in place by those international guidelines. We have designed a similar guidewheel for managing abnormal pap smears, uterine neoplasms, and cervical cancer, which is soon to be published [11,12]. We also published several chapters on managing abnormal pap smears and cervical cancer screening in a book entitled “Applied Colposcopy and Cytology” [13–16].

About this wheel

We designed Ismail’s Cancer Vulva Management Guidewheel to reflect the current recommendations from various guidelines regarding the management of VC. It is comprised of a base (Figure 1) and an inner wheel (Figure 2). When fully assembled, the inner wheel is placed on the base and can be rotated to display the treatment modality, on the inner wheel, recommended for a particular classification of VC, on the outer rim of the guidewheel (Figure 3). The back of the guidewheel’s base shows how to use the guidewheel, its components and the FIGO staging of VC (Figure 4).

The inner wheel demonstrates eleven possible options to manage patients with VC as follows:

- Primary Therapy: a. Surgery; b. Radiotherapy; c. Chemotherapy; d. Systemic
- Surgical Therapy: a. Resection (A. Wide, B. Radical, C. Unilateral, D. Bilateral); b. Vulvectomy (A. Partial, B. Total, C. Radical); c. LN Dissection (A. Sentinel LN (SLN)); d. Re–excision
- Additional Work-Up: a. Imaging; b. Pathology (A. Biopsy, B. Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA))
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• Radiotherapy: a. External Beam Radiotherapy (EBRT); b. Brachytherapy (BT)

• Chemotherapy: a. Single; b. Concurrent

• Adjuvant Therapy: a. Radiotherapy; b. Chemotherapy; c. Surgery

• Systemic Therapy

• Additional Therapy

• Therapy for Recurrence

• Surveillance

• Observation / Best Supportive Care

How to use the guidewheel

When using the guidewheel, rotating the inner wheel along the base helps align the treatment modalities with the stage of VC. The resulting viewing slot demonstrates the recommended steps of management in chronological order, see Figure 3. Please note that all recommendations are Class IIA unless otherwise specified. The base of the guidewheel displays color-coded treatment modalities in order. The numbers signify the steps and alternative options as follows:

1 → This is another option for the first step of primary therapy. It might require greater clinical judgment and careful follow-up. If clinical judgment is limited, either the option in red or blue could be used.

2 → This marks the second step which could mean adjuvant therapy, further treatment, surveillance or treatment for recurrence.

Abbreviations used on the wheel include the following:

| ± | There is an option to add or to not add (with or without) | FNA | Fine Needle Aspiration |
| -- | -- | -- | -- |
| ≥ | Equal or more than | T | Tumor |
| ≤ | Equal or less than | RT | Radiotherapy |
| > | More than | N | Nodal involvement |
| < | Less than | M | Metastases |
| ≥ | Equal or more than | SLN | Sentinel Lymph Node |
| ≤ | Equal or less than | EBRT | External Beam Radiotherapy |
| # | Consider | Primary |
| & | And | Secondary |
| &/or | And/or alone (optional) | 2yr | Observation, Best Care |
| +ve | Positive Conc | Concurrent |
| -ve | Negative Obs, B-C | Observation, Best Care |
1. Primary Treatment of Early-Stage Disease (T1a, T1b and smaller T2)

2. Adjuvant Therapy to Primary Tumor based on Margin Status

3. Adjuvant Therapy to Primary Tumor Based on Lymph Node Evaluation

4. Primary Treatment of Locally Advanced Disease

5. Additional Treatment Based on Response to Therapy

6. Primary Treatment for Metastatic Disease

7. Surveillance

8. Therapy for Recurrence

The FIGO 2017 classification of VC is displayed on the back of the wheel (Figure 4) and is listed as follows [2]:

| Stage | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| IA | Lesions ≤ 2 cm in size, confined to the vulva or perineum and with stromal invasion ≤ 1 mm3, no nodal metastasis |
| IA2 | Lesions > 2 cm in size or with stromal invasion > 1 mm3, confined to the vulva or perineum, with negative nodes |
| IIA | With 1 lymph node metastasis (≥5 mm) OR With 1–2 lymph node metastases (≤5 mm) |
| IIB | With ≥2 lymph node metastases (≥5 mm) OR With 3≤ lymph node metastases (<5 mm) |
| IIIA | With positive nodes with extracapsular spread |
| IVA | Tumor invades any of the following: Upper urethral and/or vaginal mucosa, bladder/rectal mucosa, or fixed to pelvic bone OR Fixed or ulcerated inguinofemoral lymph nodes |
| IVB | Any distant metastasis including pelvic lymph nodes |

**Stages and Sub-Classification**

**Stage I:** Tumor confined to the vulva

**Stage II:** Tumor of any size with extension to adjacent perineal structures (lower third of urethra, lower third of vagina, anus) with negative nodes

**Stage III:** Tumor of any size with or without extension to adjacent perineal structures (lower third of urethra, lower third of vagina, anus) with positive inguinofemoral nodes

**Stage IV:** Tumor invades other regional (upper 2/3 urethra, upper 2/3 vagina) or distant structures

| Sector 1 | Primary Treatment of Early-Stage Disease (T1a, T1b and smaller T2) (Figure 5). |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | For patients with stage T1a SCC of the vulva (≤ 1 mm3 invasion) (Class): |
| 1a       | Primary treatment is surgery (1a). |
| 1aBa     | Surgical treatment (1) with wide local resection (aA) and partial vulvectomy (ba). |
| 2        | The second step is observation if margins are negative after surgery. |

In a vulvar lesion, 2 cm or more lateral to the midline at Stage T1b or T2 with invasion >1 mm3 and ≤ 4 cm in size:

- 1a: Primary treatment is surgery (1a).
- 1aBa: Surgical treatment (1) with radical local resection (aB) or modified radical vulvectomy with ipsilateral inguinofemoral LN evaluation in the form of SLN biopsy or ipsilateral inguinofemoral dissection (c).
- 2a, b: Additional workup (2) with imaging (a) and pathology (b) is warranted.

Sector 2. Adjuvant Therapy to Primary Tumor based on Margin Status (Figure 6)

If margins are negative for the disease:

- 1: Adjuvant therapy to the primary tumor is either observation (1)
- or 1a: An alternative is radiotherapy with EBRT (1a) if there are positive risk factors. Such risk factors include lymphovascular space invasion, tumor size, depth of invasion close tumor margins measuring less than 8 mm and pattern of invasion [6].

If margins were initially positive for the disease and then negative after re-excision, the same management as for negative margins apply:

- 1: Adjuvant therapy to the primary tumor is either observation (1)
- or 1a: An alternative is radiotherapy with EBRT (1a) if there are positive risk factors (as mentioned above).

If margins are positive for disease even after re-excision:

- 1a: Adjuvant therapy is radiotherapy with EBRT (1a).
- If a tumor has positive margins and cannot be resected without exenteration:
  - 1a: Adjuvant therapy is radiotherapy with EBRT (1a).
Figure 5: Management of Early-Stage Disease.

Figure 6: Adjuvant Therapy to Primary Tumor based on Margin Status.
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Figure 7: Adjuvant Therapy to Nodes based on Lymph Node Evaluation.

Figure 8: Primary Treatment to Locally Advanced Disease.
If lymph nodes, whether SLN or inguino-femoral, are negative, adjuvant therapy to the nodes is:

- **1**: Observation

If there are positive SLN and metastases measuring 2mm or less, adjuvant therapy to the nodes is:

- **1±b**: Adjuvant therapy (1) with radiotherapy (a) ± chemotherapy (b).
- **1a**: Radiotherapy with EBRT (1a) (Class I recommendation)
- **±1b**: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b).

If there are positive SLN and metastases measuring more than 2mm,

- **1c**: The preferred (*) surgical treatment is complete LN dissection (1c).
- **1±b**: Adjuvant therapy (1) with radiotherapy (a) ± chemotherapy (b).
- **1a**: Radiotherapy with EBRT (1a) (Class I recommendation)
- **±1b**: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b).

If there are positive lymph nodes after inguino-femoral node dissection, adjuvant therapy to the nodes:

- **1±b**: Adjuvant therapy (1) with radiotherapy (a) ± chemotherapy (b).
- **1a**: Radiotherapy with EBRT (1a) (Class I recommendation)
- **±1b**: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b).

**Sector 4. Primary Treatment to Locally Advanced Disease** (Figure 8).

If there are negative inguino-femoral LNs on imaging and either negative or positive on biopsy with inguino-femoral LN dissection, or positive inguino-femoral LNs on imaging but negative following biopsy, the same management applies, as follows:

- **1b±c**: Primary treatment (1) is radiotherapy (b) ± chemotherapy (c).
- **1a**: Radiotherapy with EBRT (1a)
- **±1b**: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b).

If there are positive inguino-femoral LNs on imaging and/or confirmed on biopsy following inguino-femoral LN dissection or if LN dissection has not been performed:
Sector 5. Additional Treatment Based on Response to Therapy (Figure 9).

Additional treatment for patients who are clinically negative for the residual tumor in the primary site or nodes and those with negative biopsy is:

1. Surveillance (1).

For those who are either clinically positive or negative for residual tumor but positive biopsy:

1a. Consider (1) surgical resection (1a).

2. The next step is surveillance (2) if margins are negative after resection.

For patients who are clinically positive for residual tumor with positive margins after resection:

1a. Consider (1) surgical resection (1a) such as pelvic exenteration (if central recurrence).

1a. Also consider radiotherapy with EBRT (1a)

&/or 1: And/or Systemic therapy alone

Or 1: Or best supportive care

For patients who are clinically positive for the residual tumor in the primary site or nodes that is unresectable:

1a. Consider radiotherapy with EBRT (1a)

&/or 1: And/or Systemic therapy alone

Or 1: Or best supportive care

Sector 6. Primary Treatment for Metastatic Disease (Figure 10)

For patients with metastatic disease beyond the pelvis:

1a. Consider (1) surgical resection (1a)

&/or 1: And/or Systemic therapy alone

Or 1: Or best supportive care

Sector 7. Surveillance (Figure 11)

For patients with clinically suspected recurrence:

1abA: Additional workup (1) with imaging (a) and pathology in the form biopsy (bA) is warranted.

1: The next step is therapy for recurrence.

Sector 8. Therapy for Recurrence (Figure 12).

Figure 10: Primary Treatment for Metastatic Disease.
Figure 11: Surveillance.

Figure 12: Therapy for Recurrence.
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Patients with vulva-confined recurrence and clinically negative nodes must undergo radical excision with unilateral or bilateralinguinofemoral dissection if LN dissection was not previously done. The margin and LN status post-operatively guide treatment as shown below.

For patients with vulva-confined recurrence with biopsy-confirmed negative nodes and margins and no prior RT:
- 1: Observation is warranted.
- 2: This is followed by surveillance (2).

For patients with vulva-confined recurrence with positive margins, negative nodes, and no prior RT:
- 1d: The first step is a surgical treatment (1) with re-excision (d).
- Or 1a±b: An alternative is a radiotherapy (1) with EBRT (a) ± BT (b)
- ±1b: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b)
  (Class IIB recommendation)
- 2: The next step is surveillance (2).

For patients with vulva-confined recurrence with negative margins, positive nodes, and no prior RT:
- 1a: The first step is a radiotherapy (1) with EBRT (a).
- ±1b: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b).
- 2: The next step is surveillance (2).

For patients with vulva-confined recurrence with positive margins and nodes and no prior RT:
- 1a±b: The first step is a radiotherapy (1) with EBRT (a) ± BT (b).
- ±1b: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b).
- ±1d: With or without surgical treatment (1) with re-excision (d).
- 2: The next step is surveillance (2).

Another option for patients with vulva-confined recurrence and clinically negative nodes with no prior RT is EBRT with or without BT with or without concurrent chemotherapy. Further management depending on the response to this treatment is described as follows.

For patients with vulva-confined recurrence with no prior RT and complete response to radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy:
- 1: Surveillance (1).

For patients with vulva-confined recurrence with no prior RT and gross residual vulvar tumor following radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy:
- 1a: The first step is a surgical treatment (1) with resection (a).
- 2: Surveillance (2).

For patients with vulva-confined recurrence with clinically negative nodes and prior RT:
- 1a: The first step is a surgical treatment (1) with resection (a).
- 2: Surveillance (2).

For patients with isolated groin/pelvic recurrence with no prior EBRT:
- #1a: The first step is to consider (#) surgical treatment (1) with resection (a) of positive LNs if possible.
- 1a: This is followed by radiotherapy (1) with EBRT (a)
- ±1b: With or without concurrent chemotherapy (1b).
- 2: Surveillance (2).

For patients with distant metastasis, multiple pelvic nodes, or prior pelvic EBRT:
- #1a: The first step is to consider (#) surgical treatment (1) with resection (a).
- &/or 1b: And/or systemic chemotherapy alone.
- Or 2: Otherwise, best supportive care is indicated.

Discussion

Confirming the diagnosis of VC requires clinical history, examination and incisional biopsy [1-3,6-8,10]. Up to 90% of VC is squamous cell carcinoma, other rare types of VC may include melanoma, basal cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, and Bartholin’s gland tumors [1-3,6-8]. VC most commonly occurs in women over the age of 65 years but can also occur in younger patients [3,8]. Patients may present with vulval itching, lump, or bleeding [2,8]. Staging systems used for VC include the FIGO staging system and the Tumors, Nodes, and Metastases (TNM) Classification system [3,10]. Imaging modalities that can be used for diagnosis and staging include ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography CT (PET/CT), or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [1-3]). MRI is the imaging modality of choice to determine local growth of VC and also exclude the involvement of adjacent organs [3].

Early-stage VC can be treated with radical local excision with or without inguinofemoral lymph node dissection (LND) [1-4,7-9]. Surgical excision margins are recommended to
be greater than 1 cm as studies demonstrate a higher risk of recurrence if surgical margins are less than 8 mm [2,3,7,9,10]. In stage IA, local recurrence (LR) after primary treatment is rare and the risk of LN metastasis is negligible [7–9]. Therefore, inguinofemoral LND is not necessary for stage IA [3,4]. The risk of LN metastasis rises when the depth of invasion is more than 1 mm which explains the need for LN staging after stage IB [8,9].

SLN is the first draining LN from the primary tumor [1,2]. SLN biopsy can be an alternative to LND if there are clinically negative nodes [9]. It can be performed if the tumor measures less than 4 cm [1,3,6,7,10]. If SLN biopsy is negative, no further management is required for nodes [2,8]. However, if SLN is positive or not detected, complete inguinofemoral LND is necessary because there is a high risk of disease in other nodes [1,2,8]. LND is indicated if the tumor measures 4 cm or more or if the disease is multifocal [1,3,6,7,10]. It is associated with a higher risk of lymphedema when compared to SLN biopsy [1,3,6,7].

The involvement of LNs is the most important prognostic factor for both recurrence and survival [3,6,7,9]. The involvement of pelvic nodes is indicative of metastatic disease [2,3]. Treatment involves surgery with RT [1]. Predictors of poor prognosis include metastasis to 2 or more LNs, extracapsular spread, and large metastases [1,9]. If radical groin dissection was performed in the presence of one of those mentioned factors, then adjuvant RT can be administered to the groin or pelvis to improve survival [1,2,6–9].

Further imaging with CT or PET/CT might be necessary for patients with locally advanced disease to rule out the involvement of adjacent organs [3]. LN status must be established prior to planning treatment [2]. Locally advanced disease can be treated with radical vulvectomy with bilateral inguinofemoral LND and partial or complete resection of the urethra, vagina, or anus, depending on the extent of their involvement [4,9]. Chemoradiation can be offered to patients with locally advanced diseases [4].

Definitive RT, in the form of EBRT or BT, can be offered as primary treatment if surgery is not an option [2,3,6,9,10]. The recommended dose of RT is 45–50 Gy in 1.7–2 Gy fractions [1,2,4,7,8]. Post–operative RT may be recommended in the case of extracapsular LN spread or metastasis in 1 or more LN(s) [3,4,10]. It can also be considered if surgical margins are less than 8 mm to reduce risk of recurrence [4,7]. Concurrent chemotherapy with cisplatin can be added to primary RT to improve overall outcome [1,7,10].

Systemic chemotherapy can be considered if both surgery and RT are not options for treatment [3,4,6,9,10]. Recommended regimens include cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and cisplatin or 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin-C [6]. Systemic therapy can be indicated for distant metastasis in addition to local RT to help control the disease [3]. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is found to reduce the extent of surgery thus helping preserve the function of anal or urethral sphincters [1,2,7,8,10]. Palliative chemotherapy can be offered to patients unfit for surgery or RT [1,4].

The aim of surveillance is to detect recurrent disease and determine whether a cure is possible [17]. Follow-up for patients with VC is generally recommended every 3–6 months for the first two years, then every 6–12 months for three more years and annually after that [1,4–8,10,17]. This involves a history and exam, cervical screening, counseling, patient education on the symptoms of recurrence, imaging [17]. Recurrence mostly occurs in the first 2 years of follow-up, however about a third of patients develop recurrence 5 years or more later, thus explaining the need for long-term surveillance [1,3,4,6–8,17]. Patients could either present with local symptoms, such as leg lymphedema or weight loss [5,17]. Patients who received chemotherapy can undergo CT 10–12 weeks after treatment to determine whether they achieved remission [1].

Distant recurrence can be fatal whereas local recurrence can be salvageable [5,17]. Imaging for distant metastasis might not improve survival. Half of the patients with LR can possibly be cured with surgery, RT with or without chemotherapy [17]. PET/CT is indicated prior to pelvic exenteration as a treatment for LR to rule out the possibility of distant metastases [3,5,10]. Recurrence in inguinal LNs can indicate a poor prognosis [1–4, 7]. Distant metastases can be treated with chemotherapy or palliative RT [4,7,10].

Managing patients with VC requires a multi-disciplinary team consisting of a gynecologist, gynecologic oncologist, radiologist and pathologist, among others [1,3,10]. Plastic surgeons may also have a role in management with reconstructive surgery, especially after major resection to reduce morbidity [4,7–10]. It is important to consider that these services might not be accessible to all patients. Ismail’s Cancer Vulva Management Guidewheel has been designed to be used in an ideal, high-resource setting. Additionally, this guide wheel was designed during the COVID–19 pandemic and does not offer the recommendations established to manage patients in this particular situation.
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