Bünning (1936, 1960), working with plant photo-periodism and later with insects (Bünning and Joerrens, 1960), proposed that photoperiodic time measurement was a function of the circadian system. He also suggested that visible manifestations of the circadian system—such as overt rhythms—could be used as ‘hands’ of the photoperiodic clock’ to facilitate investigation of the otherwise ‘hidden’ system. This
approach, which has already been used in analyses of diapause induction in several insect species (Saunders, 2020, 2021) is now extended to *Calliphora vicina*.

Larval development of blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) occurs in vertebrate carcases and contributes to the elimination of carrion from the ecosystem. These flies also have a more direct economic importance, laying eggs and developing as larvae in living tissue (myiasis) of domestic animals, particularly sheep (Hall and Wall, 1995). In human corpses the time course of larval infestations is also used, in forensic entomology, to determine the date and time of death (Amendt et al., 2021), and in human medicine they may assist wound healing by their use in ‘maggot therapy’ (Harvey et al., 2021). The common, urban ‘bluebottle’ *Calliphora vicina* and the ‘greenbottle’ fly *Lucilia sericata* investigated in this paper are widespread in Europe and frequently involved in cases of ‘sheep strike’. The third species, the ‘blackbottle’ fly *Protophormia terraenovae*, also causes myiasis, but has a more northerly distribution, occurring as far north as Baffin Island and Spitzbergen. All 3 species are considered here because aspects of their circadian biology and photoperiodism have been subjects of intensive study in the laboratory, in addition to their roles in veterinary and forensic medicine.

In this review circadian rhythmicity and the photoperiodic induction of diapause in these related blow flies are compared. In the first two sections circadian rhythmicity and photoperiodic induction are reviewed separately because these topics have been considered in the past as separate phenomena. In the third section, events occurring from photoreception, through central aspects of time measurement to their endocrine or neural outputs are compared more directly to present similarities and differences between the species. Particular attention is given to the maternal induction of diapause, latitudinal clines, the nature of time measurement and the transmission of seasonal information from photoreception to output mechanisms.

**Circadian Regulation of Locomotor Activity**

Locomotor activity rhythms are well presented in all of the blow flies considered in this review. However, the most complete of these studies is that for *Calliphora vicina* using a strain of flies isolated in mid-Scotland (55° N). These studies established the canonical features of circadian rhythmicity, including persistence (free-running) of the rhythm in constant darkness (DD), temperature compensation of its period and its entrainment by environmental light and temperature cycles (Kenny and Saunders, 1991; Hong and Saunders, 1994; Saunders and Hong, 2000).
This suggests that the fly initially perceived the light as 'bright', but later as ‘dim’, as the photoreceptors accommodated to the light. The threshold light intensity for the change from $\tau_{LL} > 24$ h to arrhythmia is therefore about 0.024 Wm$^{-2}$.

Working with the rhythm of adult eclosion in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*, Pittendrigh (1966) showed that emergence of adult flies in a mixed-age population also became arrhythmic in LL, but a rhythm became re-apparent after transfer to DD, starting again at a particular point denoted as Circadian Time, CT 12 h (the start of the ‘night’ phase of the endogenous rhythm) upon transfer to darkness. This same phase relationship at the point of transfer to DD was also observed at the end of a light period longer than about 12 h, so that the oscillation always recommenced from CT 12 at the onset of the night following a lengthy light phase. A similar result (Figure 3) has since been observed with the locomotor activity rhythm of the blow fly *C. vicina* (Saunders and Cymborowski, 2008), in which periods of constant bright light (48 lux) of up to 7 days duration, commencing at any circadian phase, set the oscillation close to CT 12 at light-off. This observation has crucial importance to the understanding of photoperiodic time measurement in this and other species (see below) and locomotor activity rhythms have proved to be useful ‘hands’ of the covert photoperiodic clock (Kenny and Saunders, 1991).

Comparable locomotor activity records are not currently available for *Lucilia sericata*, but studies using the Australian sheep blow fly *L. cuprina* were described by Smith (1983). This study used male flies usually in groups of 10 in cages measuring 20 cm x 20 cm x 20 cm. Activity measured by their spontaneous flight in light-dark cycles showed that *L. cuprina*
emales were day-active, but with considerable activity during the dark phase. However, flies maintained individually showed activity records very similar to those described above for \textit{C. vicina}: almost all activity occurred in the photophase and a transfer from LD 12:12 h into darkness revealed a free-running (and therefore endogenous) rhythmicity with $\tau$ values between 21.75 and 22.75 h. Rhythmicity also persisted after transfer of flies from LD to continuous dim light (dim LL) of less than 1 lux, but brighter light resulted in arrhythmic behaviour. Similar results were later obtained by Warman and Lewis (2001) for females of \textit{L. cuprina} free-running in DD with $\tau$ of about 22 h, but in dim LL (0.001 W m$^{-2}$) with an increase to 24.2 h. Transfer to a brighter light (50 W m$^{-2}$) also caused activity to become arrhythmic, as in \textit{C. vicina}.

Locomotor activity rhythms of \textit{Protophormia terraenovae} were first recorded by Aschoff and von Saint Paul (1982) using small (9 cm diameter) Perspex running wheels. Unlike \textit{C. vicina} and \textit{L. cuprina} no activity was detected in DD, or in dim light below about 1 lux. This result, however, might be a consequence of the type of activity recorder used since later studies with \textit{P. terraenovae} using infra-red detectors showed free-running rhythms in DD with a period of about 25 h (Hamasaka et al., 2001). Furthermore, in brighter LL (120 lux)—an intensity known to induce arrhythmicity in \textit{C. vicina} and \textit{L. cuprina}—these authors showed that most \textit{P. terraenovae} continued to present persistent free-running rhythms of activity with a long period. In a later paper, Hamasaka et al. (2011) showed that in both wild-type and white-eye mutant \textit{P. terraenovae}, therefore, has a much higher threshold than either \textit{C. vicina} or \textit{L. cuprina} for the intensity of light causing arrhythmia.

### Photoperiodic Induction of Diapause

In the bluebottle \textit{Calliphora vicina}, maternal exposure to short-day photoperiod is the principal environmental factor inducing larval diapause, provided that the resulting larvae are also exposed to temperatures below about 15 °C (Vinogradova and Zinovjeva, 1972; Saunders, 1987). Direct exposure of the larvae to short photoperiod is also a diapause-inducing factor (Vinogradova, 1974; Vaz Nunes and Saunders, 1989); photoperiodic induction is also possible in embryos once the CNS has developed. Imaginal diapause has also been reported in this species (Vinogradova, 1991); \textit{C. vicina}, therefore, is unusual for insects in having more than one potential diapausing stage and photoperiodic sensitivity in several stages of development.

Figure 4 shows a photoperiodic response curve (PPRC) for the strain of \textit{C. vicina} isolated in mid-Scotland (55 °N) (Saunders, 1987) in which adult flies were exposed to various photoperiods at 20 °C and the resulting larvae reared at 11 °C in darkness. This PPRC is of the typical ‘long-day’ type, showing continuous, nondiapause development under long summer days, but larvae entering diapause when autumnal days shorten below a well-marked critical daylength (CDL), in this case a CDL of about 14.5 h/24h. The abrupt change in diapause incidence at the critical point is diagnostic for a photoperiodic ‘clock’ measuring either the length of the day (or the night) and is a product of natural selection providing a meaningful switch-point between the summer and autumnal developmental pathways appropriate for this particular strain of fly (i.e. one isolated at a latitude of 55 °N). Such a CDL is not uniquely characteristic of a particular species, however; CDLs differ in strains from different latitudes, or at different temperatures. For example, temperature effects are illustrated by the CDL for the Scottish strain at about 14.5 h/24 at 20 °C (Saunders, 1987) but shortening to 13.25 h/24 at 23.5 °C (Vaz Nunes et al., 1990); at 26 °C diapause incidence was low under all photoperiods and a CDL was not apparent.

The incidence of larval diapause developing from egg batches laid on successive days by flies maintained under short days (LD 12:12 h) and at a range of temperatures is compared in Figure 5. These data show that, regardless of temperature between 18 and 24 °C, the incidence of diapausing progeny of \textit{C. vicina} increases with the number of inductive short-day
cycles experienced, in an almost temperature-compensated fashion, with about 9 to 10 short-day cycles needed to effect the switch to diapause throughout this temperature range. Only at the highest temperature (26 °C) is this relationship disturbed. Induction of diapause in *C. vicina*, therefore, involves 2 processes that operate concurrently: (1) measurement of daylength (or nightlength) by the photoperiodic clock and (2) the accumulation of this information by a temperature-compensated ‘counter’ mechanism, similar to that previously described for the parasitic wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* (Saunders, 1966) and its flesh fly host, *Sarcophaga argyrostoma* (Saunders, 1971, 1981).

Seminal work by Danilevskii (1965) on Russian populations of the knot grass moth *Acronycta rumicis* showed that CDL varied with latitude, ranging from 14.5 h/24 in the south (Sukhumi, on the Black Sea coast, 43°N) to about 19.5 h/24 in the north (St Petersburg, 60°N), lengthening by about 1.5 h with every 5°N of latitude. The selective advantage of such a genetic cline suggested that insects at higher latitude compensate for the longer summer day-length but the earlier onset of winter, by having a longer CDL and therefore being able to enter diapause before the first frosts. Similar examples of such clines are now recognised in many species, notable examples being the mosquito *Wyeomyia smithii* (Bradshaw, 1976) and the fruit fly *Drosophila littoralis* (Lankinen, 1986).

Figure 6 also shows such a cline for *C. vicina*, using populations originating from northern Finland (Nallikari, 65°N), central Scotland (Edinburgh, 55°N), southern England (Silwood Park, 51°N) and Italy (Barga, 44°N); a fifth strain from Chapel Hill, North Carolina (36°N) is also included in this series which—although not part of the same genetic cline—is an example of a more southerly population (Saunders, 2001). Critical day lengths were found to be longest for Nallikari (15.5 h/24) and shortest for Silwood Park (12.5 h/24). That for the higher altitude strain from Barga was about 13.5 h/24 (longer than that from Silwood Park) and that from Chapel Hill showed only a weak diapause-inducing response at photoperiods shorter than 12 h. McWatters and Saunders (1998) later showed that the CDL for the strain from southern England (51°N) was 14.5 h/24 at 15 °C but shortened to 12.5 h/24 at 20 °C, whereas that for a northern strain from Finland (65°N) was 16.5 h/24 at 15°C shortening to 15 h/24 at 20 °C.

Apart from critical day length, several other aspects of the photoperiodic response in *C. vicina* show latitudinal variation. These include the number of generations per year (voltinism), with a decrease from a multivoltine life cycle in more southerly localities towards a univoltine life cycle in the north (Vinogradova, 1986), in the ‘switch point’ to diapause production provided by the photoperiodic counter, and in diapause duration (diapause ‘depth’ or ‘intensity’) and in cold tolerance. The intensity or duration of larval diapause in *C. vicina*, for example, is known to be greater among the progeny of females exposed to short days at either a lower temperature or to a greater number of short-day cycles experienced (Saunders et al., 1986; Saunders, 1987). Diapause is also more intense or longer lasting in strains from more northerly locations (McWatters and Saunders, 1996, 1998); those from the Nallikari strain (65°N), persisting in diapause for more than 70 days in darkness at 11 to 12 °C, whereas diapause duration for the Barga strain (44°N) under the same conditions was only about 30 days.

Reciprocal crosses between parental flies from Nallikari (65°N) and the southern strain from
Silwood Park (51° N) were performed to determine the contributions made by maternal, paternal and latitude-related genes (McWatters and Saunders, 1996). To do this a series of crosses were conducted under LD 15:5:8.5h at 15 °C, conditions interpreted by the northern strain (N) as diapause-inducing, whereas the southern strain (S) produced nondiapausing progeny. Hybrid crosses between the 2 strains produced a high proportion of diapause larvae (about 90%) when the mother was of the N strain, but a very low incidence of diapause when the female parent was of the S strain; diapause incidence among the larvae, therefore, was determined to a large extent by the adult female, the males being unable to influence diapause incidence among their offspring. However, while diapause incidence was controlled by the female parent, diapause intensity in the N x S hybrids was intermediate between the 2 parental strains indicating that diapause duration is a larval phenomenon influenced by genes from both parents. A later investigation (McWatters and Saunders, 1997) using crosses and backcrosses between the northern and southern populations revealed a maternal grandmother effect with the genes controlling diapause incidence having a greater effect when inherited down the maternal than the paternal line. For diapause intensity, on the other hand, males and females make equal contributions, indicating that intensity is, in contrast to diapause incidence, a purely larval trait.

In C. vicina there is also evidence that diapausing larvae are more cold tolerant than nondiapausing larvae (Saunders and Hayward, 1998), and those from more northerly latitudes show a greater degree of resistance to cold than diapausing larvae from localities further south. For example, survival to eclosion, after exposure to temperatures down to −8 °C, was greater for the larval progeny of short-day exposed females from the 2 northern strains (Nallikari, 65° N, and Edinburgh, 55° N) than those from the southern strain (Barga, 44° N). Diapausing larvae from the Scottish strain, however, showed greater cold hardiness than Nallikari, possibly because the longer-lasting snow cover in northern Finland provided increased insulation of the sub-soil overwintering sites. Using a strain of C. vicina from the Birmingham area (central England, 52° N), Coleman (2014) later employed differential selection for larval diapause incidence over 7 generations of flies raised under short days (LD 12:12h) at either 15 °C (diapause inducing) or at 20 °C (nondiapause inducing). In the parental generation diapause incidence was between 55% and 65%. In the high diapause line the incidence of diapause became 81% in the F2 generation and 100% by the F5; in the low diapause line diapause fell to less than 20% after 3 or 4 generations.

Experiments on larval diapause induction in L. sericata facilitate comparison with those in C. vicina. Working with a strain of L. sericata from Japan (Osaka, 35° N), Tachibana and Numata (2004a, 2004b) confirmed Cragg and Cole’s (1952) earlier conclusion that diapause was influenced by environmental conditions affecting both the larvae and the parental, presumably maternal, stages. They showed that most larvae produced by parents maintained under natural long-day conditions (LD 16:8h at 25 °C) failed to enter diapause, whereas most larvae produced by the parents under short days (LD 12:12h at 20 °C) did so. These investigations, however, were confined to just 2 maternal photoperiods and therefore insufficient to establish a complete photoperiodic response curve with an abrupt CDL (as in C. vicina, Figure 4).

Unlike C. vicina and L. sericata, the black blow fly Protaphormia terraenovae overwinters as an adult, in a reproductive diapause characterised by delayed yolk deposition in the ovaries and fat body hypertrophy. P. terraenovae is the dominant blow fly species at more northern latitudes up to the Arctic and Subarctic. Vinogradova (1986) studied the incidence of ovarian diapause in strains of P. terraenovae isolated in the former Soviet Union collected at latitudes up to 67° N. At the northern end of this distribution the seasonal response approached univoltinism with all flies in each generation entering diapause, even at 25 °C, and under all photoperiods between LD 12:12h and LD 18:6h. Further south, diapause occurred in 100% of flies under LD 12:12h but was reduced to about 40% under LD 20:4h indicating some sensitivity to long days and partial bivoltine development. Low temperature was found to be a major environmental factor inducing diapause.

Numata and Shiga (1995) studied the induction of ovarian diapause in P. terraenovae isolated in Japan (43° N), near the southernmost part of its distribution. When the maternal generation was exposed to 4 photoperiods between LD 12:12h and LD 18:6h, diapause incidence was found to decline steadily through this range, but in a near linear fashion without a well-defined CDL. In a second experiment, eggs produced by flies raised under LD 18:6h at 25 °C were exposed, as larvae, to photoperiods of either LD 12:12h or LD 18:6h at a range of temperatures between 17.5 and 30 °C. Results showed a marked effect of temperature on adult diapause induction together with a reduced incidence of diapause at LD 18:6h at all temperatures. These results indicated a substantial effect of temperature on the induction of diapause in P. terraenovae, but also the inductive effect of light, even if characteristics of a typical photoperiodic clock were inapparent.

Components of the Circadian and Photoperiodic Systems

Circadian activity rhythms and photoperiodic induction of diapause resemble each other, showing similar linked series of events from photoreception,
through a biological clock to an output controlling either daily activity cycles or a seasonal switch to diapause. This section of the review compares these components in *C. vicina*, *L. sericata*, and *P. terraenovae*.

Photoreceptors and Photoreception. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, candidate photoreceptors for the entrainment of circadian locomotor rhythms include compound eyes and extra-optic photoreceptors in the brain (Helfrich-Förster et al., 2001). In blow flies both types of photoreceptor have been investigated. Bilateral optic tract section, or complete bilateral lobectomy in *C. vicina* isolated the compound eyes from the brain but left circadian rhythms of locomotor activity and their entrainment to light cycles intact (Figure 7) (Cymborowski et al., 1994). This suggests that extra-optic photoreceptors operate in the bluebottle, most probably in the central brain, a situation

---

Figure 7. *Calliphora vicina*. Complete removal of the optic lobes (arrow on day 7) leaves free-running locomotor activity rhythm and its entrainment by light cycle (section c) intact, suggesting brain-centred clock location. Right-hand panels show periodogram analyses for the appropriate sections a to d. From Cymborowski et al. (1994).
reminiscent of that in some other flies (Helfrich et al., 1985; Kasai and Chiba, 1987). In addition, *C. vicina* females surgically deprived of their optic lobes were shown to retain their ability to distinguish diapause-inducing short days from diapause-averting long days (Figure 8) (Saunders and Cymborowski, 1996), suggesting brain photoreception for both circadian entrainment and photoperiodic induction. Possible photoreceptive inputs to the brain were revealed by the injection of S-antigen (arrestin) antibody into the brain which caused some flies to fail in their entrainment to the LD cycle and to free-run as though they were in DD or in LL of lower intensity (Cymborowski et al., 1996).

Although the compound eyes are not essential for entrainment of the locomotor activity rhythm in *C. vicina* they play an important role in *P. terrae novae* (Hamasaka et al., 2001). When the eyes of this species were covered with silver paint and a black synthetic resin, the locomotor activity rhythm free-ran in LD as though the flies were in DD, whether the photophase was either of dim light (0.5 lux, or 1.4 x 10^-3 W^-2) or of much higher intensity (500 lux, or 1.4 W^-2). Removal of the ocelli had no effect. It was concluded that light passed mainly through the compound eyes from retinal receptors at low light intensities but extraretinal pathways for rhythm entrainment may also occur, perhaps under higher intensity light. Some wild-type and white-eyed mutant flies showed clear rhythmicity under LL of bright light showing that, unlike *C. vicina*, *P. terrae novae* has a high threshold for arrhythmia (Hamasaka et al., 2011).

Photic regulation of adult (reproductive) diapause in *P. terrae novae* was also found to involve the compound eyes (Shiga and Numata, 1997). Using silver paint to cover the eyes caused diapause incidence to increase under long days and LL as if the flies were in DD, and after bilateral eye ablation all flies developed their ovaries under both long and short days. These experiments leave little doubt that the compound eyes act as photoreceptors for both circadian rhythmicity and diapause regulation, and that there is a significant difference in this respect between *P. terrae novae* and *C. vicina*.

The Circadian Basis of Photoperiodic Time Measurement. The nature of the insect photoperiodic clock, whether it is a function of the circadian system, a non-repetitive ‘hourglass’, or some other device, has been the subject of intensive enquiry for years (see Vaz Nunes and Saunders, 1999; Saunders, 2020, 2021; Goto, 2013 for recent reviews) and will not be discussed in detail here. Suffice it to say that evidence for circadian rhythmicity playing a causal role in photoperiodic time measurement (PPTM) is strong in some species, but in others less so, suggesting a variety of mechanisms or perhaps a common mechanism with disparate properties. The most frequently suggested models are the hourglass-like timer of Lees (1973) and 2 circadian-based coincidence models proposed by Pittendrigh (1972). Of the circadian models, external coincidence comprises a single oscillator with light having 2 roles, entrainment of the oscillator and coincidence (or not) of light with a particular phase–dubbed the ‘photoinducible phase’ ($\phi_i$) by Pittendrigh (1966)–thereby discriminating long summer days from the shorter days of approaching autumn. In insects, there is less evidence for an internal coincidence device involving 2, dawn and dusk oscillators, with PPTM being a consequence of changing phase relationship between them as day-length changes with the seasons. External coincidence and hourglass-like models will feature most strongly in this review.

There have been 2 main approaches to the problem of time measurement in PPTM. In the first, the extended ‘nights’ of diapause-inducing, 2 day (say LD 12:36h, T=48h) or 3 day (LD 12:60h, T=72h)
cycles are systematically scanned by a short (1 or 2 h) light pulse. This experimental design (Bünning, 1953) may reveal a light-sensitive phase equivalent to \( \phi \), at roughly 24 h intervals in the extended night at which the supplementary light pulse induces nondiapause or a ‘long-day’ effect (Saunders, 1970, 2021), the 24 h intervals between these points acting as evidence for circadian involvement in time measurement, as first suggested by Bünning (1936, 1960). In the second type of experiment, dubbed the Nanda-Hamner (NH) protocol after its instigators (Nanda and Hamner, 1958; see also Teets and Meuti, 2021), the organism under investigation is exposed to a range of abnormal (i.e. non-24 h) LD cycles, each containing the same light phase (say 10 to 12 h in duration) but a variably extended dark phase, to give cycle lengths (T h) ranging up to T = 72 h or more. In insects, the NH protocol has been used more frequently than the Bünsov experiment but has produced variable results. In some species, such as the flesh fly Sarcophaga argyrostoma (Saunders, 1973) and its pupal parasitoid Nasonia vitripennis (Saunders, 1974) peaks of diapause incidence were observed close to T = 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h, whereas troughs of diapause were close to T = 36 h and 60 h, clearly indicating circadian involvement. The declining amplitude of the diapause peaks with increasing cycle length in S. argyrostoma has been attributed to dampening of the circadian oscillation involved (Saunders, 2021). The more complex response in N. vitripennis, initially interpreted as evidence for internal coincidence, however, has been reinterpreted as evidence for oscillator dampening in a clock of the external coincidence type (Saunders, 2021)—but this requires further experimental investigation. In some species such as the aphid Megoura vicieae (Lees, 1986) and drosophilids from high latitudes such as Drosophila ezoana (Vaze and Helfrich-Förster, 2016) and D. montana (Lankinen et al., 2021) ‘negative’ NH responses, lacking peaks and troughs in diapause incidence, have been recorded. In these cases, PPTM resembles a non-circadian hourglass-like timer. This hourglass-like clock mechanism, however, may be due to a rapidly dampening circadian oscillation, based upon the same molecular components as clocks showing ‘positive’ responses but with extreme reduction of their output (Lewis and Saunders, 1987; Lankinen et al., 2021).

Nanda-Hamner experiments have yet to be attempted in L. sericata or P. terraenovae, but Figure 9 shows the result of such an experiment using C. vicina, plotting the incidence of larval diapause produced by female flies exposed, in different experimental subsets, to LD cycles each containing 13 h of light coupled with an increasing duration of darkness to give photocycles (T h) ranging from 18 to 80 h (Saunders, 1997). The diapause profile shows 3 peaks at approximately 24, 48, and 72 h, or at circadian intervals equivalent to \( \tau \), \( 2\tau \) and \( 3\tau \), respectively. Unlike similar published NH results for S. argyrostoma (Saunders, 1973) and N. vitripennis (Saunders, 1974), the diapause peaks are not reducing in amplitude—if anything, they are becoming more robust as T increases—suggesting that the photoperiodic system underlying the response in C. vicina is that of a self-sustained rather than a dampening circadian oscillator.

One of the difficulties in proceeding further with an analysis of such a result is the covert nature of the presumed photoperiodic oscillator. This problem was first recognised by Bünning (1936, 1960) who proposed using an overt rhythm—in his case the up-and-down movements of the leaves of bean seedlings—to act as ‘hands of the (photoperiodic) clock’, assuming that the 2 rhythmic systems had similar properties. In insects, this approach was adopted in an analysis of photoperiodic induction in S. argyrostoma (Saunders, 1978) using the rhythm of adult eclosion as an overt indicator of phase. It has also been used for C. vicina (Kenny and Saunders, 1991); the results of this study are described below.

Pittendrigh and Minis (1971) observed that the strategy underlying a test of the hypothesis that circadian rhythmicity was involved in PPTM should consist of parallel studies of diapause induction and circadian entrainment preferably in the same species, and that this approach should be based upon phase response curves (PRCs) of the oscillator(s) involved. Systematic studies of PRCs have shown reciprocity between the duration and intensity of light pulses impinging upon an oscillation (Winfree, 1970; Johnson,
In these studies, short duration or low-intensity light pulses have been shown to elicit small phase shifts of an oscillator leading to a ‘Type 1’ PRC with an average slope parallel to light-on, whereas longer and/or higher intensity light pulses generate large phase shifts leading to a ‘Type 0’ PRC with an average slope parallel to light-off (Winfree, 1970). Furthermore, after very long and/or bright photophases (or bright ‘constant’ light) the clock returns to a phase equivalent to that at the beginning of the subjective night, i.e. at a phase denoted as CT 12, the beginning of the subjective night (Pittendrigh, 1966). Figure 3 illustrates this phenomenon in *C. vicina* (Saunders and Cymborowski, 2008). For photoperiodic time measurement in *C. vicina* the consequence of the photoperiodic oscillator being reset to CT 12 at light-off is that the photoinducible phase (\( \phi_i \)) predicted by the external coincidence model must lie close to the end of the critical night-length, i.e. at CT 12 + 9.5 h, or at about CT 21.5 h, late in the night (Saunders, 2021).

The external coincidence model explains the results of Nanda-Hamner experiments with *C. vicina*, as seen in Figure 10 (Kenny and Saunders, 1991). For example, in cycles of LD 12:36 h (T = 48 h) and LD 12:60 h (T = 72 h), which are both multiples of 24 h, the 12 h light components fall at ‘expected’ phases and do not encroach upon the subjective night; hence \( \phi_i \) falls in the dark of each cycle and diapause incidence is high (Figure 10). In LD 12:48 h (T = 60 h), however, the clock is reset each time it is illuminated by the 12h photophase, causing light to fall in the subjective night and illuminate \( \phi_i \) in some cycles—resulting in nondiapause development (Figure 10).

Coincidence or non-coincidence of light with \( \phi_i \) is also illustrated in Figure 11 for *C. vicina* exposed to light cycles close to the limits of the oscillator’s primary range of entrainment (Kenny and Saunders, 1991). In a cycle of LD 12:8 h (T = 20 h) some flies entrain to the short period (Figure 11, left-hand panel) with the activity band phase-lagging the light, whereas in flies entraining to LD 12:18 h (T = 30 h) the activity band phase-leads it (Figure 11, right-hand panel). In LD 12:8 h, therefore, light commences during the late subjective night—and must coincide with \( \phi_i \) at CT 21.5 h—whereas in LD 12:18 h the light pulse extends to illuminate phases in the early subjective night, leaving \( \phi_i \) in the dark. Entrainment to the short period light cycle thus leads to a low incidence of diapause, whereas that to the longer light cycle results in a high diapause incidence—as seen in the Nanda-Hamner profile for *C. vicina* in Figure 9.

Output From the Clock: Endocrine and Neural Effectors. Progress has been made in determining details of the regulation of ovarian diapause in *P. terraenovae*. Staining with paraldehyde-fuchsin revealed a cluster of 10 to 14 median neurosecretory cells in each hemisphere of the brain pars intercerebralis (Toyoda et al., 1990).
When these cells were surgically removed, the ovaries remained small even under diapause-averting conditions, suggesting that they secrete an allatotrophic factor normally stimulating vitellogenesis. A subsequent study using retrograde filling through the cardiac-recurrent nerve with NiCl₂ (Shiga et al., 2000; Shiga and Numata, 2001) labelled 3 groups of neurons—those in the pars intercerebralis (PI), together with others in the pars lateralis (PL) and in the suboesophageal ganglion—areas possibly involved in conveying the diapause-inducing signals to inhibit release of juvenile hormone from the corpus allatum (CA). Removal of the PI confirmed that the ovaries failed to develop under diapause-averting conditions, whereas when the PL were removed females were prevented from entering diapause. These experiments suggested that neurons in the PI regulate vitellogenesis, whereas those in the PL prevent the onset of diapause. It was later shown that a decrease in the production of juvenile hormone (JHIII bisepoxide) by the corpora allata was the cause of diapause induction, probably in cooperation with unknown allatostatic and allatotropic factors (Shiga et al., 2003).

Much less is known about the control of larval diapause in *C. vicina* which involves a long series of events from the brain-centred photoperiodic clock, through the ovarian egg to the larval progeny, and
then through moults of the larvae from first to second instar, and from second to third, before finally entering diapause (or not) in the fully-fed larva. Using an ecdysteroid radioimmunoassay, Richard and Saunders (1987) showed that isolated ring glands (RGs) from C. vicina responded to crude extracts from the brains of the flesh fly Sarcophaga argyrostoma to produce elevated levels of the moult hormone ecdysone, but this ability fell to a low basal rate as the larvae entered diapause and could no longer respond to PTTH stimulation, a refractory state that persisted during diapause. If diapausung larvae were subjected to a temperature rise from 11 to 25 °C competency to PTTH stimulation was regained, but no such recovery was possible with isolated brain-RG complexes, indicating a requirement for a ‘whole-body’ temperature rise and a non-cerebral factor.

In C. vicina, maternal regulation of larval diapause must mean that eggs laid in the autumn by long-night exposed females are qualitatively different from those deposited during the summer when nights are still short. The ovarian egg is the connection between the generations, but the first two larval-larval moults must then involve the same brain-RG (PTTH-ecdysone) regulation as that in the third instar before the ‘shut down’ of ecdysone production as the larva enters diapause. Almost nothing is known about the nature of this transfer of photoperiodic ‘information’ from the maternal generation to the third instar larva although it may represent an epigenetic phenomenon, perhaps involving DNA methylation regulating gene expression.

Discussion

The circadian control of locomotor rhythmicity is broadly similar in all of the blow flies considered in this review with regard to persistence in DD, period lengthening in LL and the occurrence of arrhythmicity under continuous bright light. For C. vicina and L. sericata these aspects of rhythmicity are practically indistinguishable. In P. terraenovae, however, arrhythmicity only occurs under much brighter light (up to about 500lux, Hamasaka et al., 2011), indicating that this species is much less photosensitive.

For photoperiodic induction of diapause the differences between the species are much greater: diapause occurs in the larvae of C. vicina and L. sericata (Vinogradova and Zinovjeva, 1972; Cragg and Cole, 1952) but as an adult or reproductive diapause in P. terraenovae (Vinogradova, 1986; Numata and Shiga, 1995). In C. vicina, the external coincidence model suggests how photoperiodic induction in a multivoltine species operates under field conditions. Starting in late summer, while night lengths are still shorter than the critical value, dawn light continues to illuminate the photoinducible phase (ϕ), thereby maintaining nondiapause development. However, as autumn approaches and night lengths begin to increase beyond the critical value, dawn occurs later and later (with respect to the circadian time scale) until ϕ comes to lie in the dark. Long nights are then accumulated by the photoperiodic counter to a threshold at which development is diverted down the diapause pathway. In C. vicina, these diapause-inducing effects are then reinforced by continued sensitivity of the resulting larvae to short days and by reduced temperature (Vaz Nunes and Saunders, 1989).

In C. vicina, the Nanda-Hamner protocol reveals 3 peaks of high diapause incidence at about 24h intervals as cycle length is extended (Figure 9) indicating that circadian rhythmicity plays a role in photoperiodic time measurement—specifically the external coincidence model for the clock. Moreover, the 3 high diapause peaks are of equal rather than declining magnitude—unlike the flesh fly Sarcophaga argyrostoma (Saunders, 1973)—suggesting that a persistent or undamped circadian oscillator is involved in C. vicina.

In C. vicina and L. sericata the adult and larval stages are both clearly sensitive to the diapause-inducing effects of photoperiod (Vaz Nunes and Saunders, 1989; Tachibana and Numata, 2004a, 2004b). However, a clearly defined CDL has so far only been determined for the maternal generation in C. vicina; the external coincidence model, therefore, is currently only clearly applicable to adult females of this species. Larvae of C. vicina have yet to be tested for this model.

In L. sericata, the maternal generation was exposed to just 2 photoperiods (LD 12:12 and LD 16:8h), insufficient to establish a CDL (Tachibana and Numata, 2004a). Furthermore, progeny of L. sericata produced by a mixed-age population of parental flies kept at 25 °C under continuous light, but then raised as larvae in a range of photoperiods between LD 6: 18h and LD 18:6h (Saunders et al., 1986), also showed a linear response, lacking a CDL (Figure 12). Further experiments are clearly needed to see whether a clock of the external coincidence type is generally applicable.

The black blow fly P. terraenovae diapausas as an adult. Experiments conducted by Numata and Shiga (1995) have shown a significant larval sensitivity to daylengths between LD 12:12 and 18:6 at 25 °C. Furthermore, although diapause incidence increased as photophase shortened, only 4 photoperiods were studied and the response to them was almost linear, without a well-marked CDL and therefore also lacking clear evidence for external coincidence. A second experiment in which cultures were raised under LD 12:12 or 18:6h, at temperatures between 17.5 and 30
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°C, showed a higher proportion of diapausing adult flies in both photoperiods in the cooler conditions. Short daylength and low temperature were therefore important factors inducing diapause in all flies studied.

P. terraenovae, is a northern species occurring in both Subarctic and Arctic regions, most commonly presenting a univoltine life cycle at higher latitudes (Vinogradova, 1986). The prolonged period of winter diapause occurs in the adult fly, the females showing an arrest of follicular development at previtellogenic stages and hypertrophy of the fat body. In diapause, the flies show decreased locomotor activity and a tendency to aggregate in hibernation sites. In the very far north, the early arrival of winter and its delayed departure means that the summer active period is very short and almost entirely occurs in constant light or in very long days. Under these conditions light (photoperiod) must provide an unreliable seasonal signal; consequently low temperature adopts that role (Vinogradova, 1986). At lower latitudes, however, P. terraenovae larvae may respond to long daylength and raised temperature to produce nondiapausing flies and the possibility of at least a bivoltine life cycle (Vinogradova, 1986; Numata and Shiga, 1995). Although such larvae are able to discriminate long from short days at 25 °C (Numata and Shiga, 1995) the response to photoperiod is nearly linear between LD 12:12h and LD 18:6h.

Photoperiodic response curves showing an abrupt critical value—as in the external coincidence model (e.g. Figure 4 for C. vicina)—are generally regarded as examples of qualitative time measurement in which day- or nightlength measurement is accomplished by a threshold or an ‘all-or-nothing’ mechanism. In contrast, photoperiodic responses lacking an abrupt critical point, but having a more graded response (e.g. Hardie, 1990; Spieth and Sauer, 1991; Numata and Kobayashi, 1994) are regarded as examples of a quantitative response to photoperiod. If this distinction is applied to the photoperiodic responses of the blow flies discussed in this review, the implication might be that different mechanisms of time measurement are employed at different stages of development, even in the same species.

In C. vicina, diapause induction in individual insects must show an abrupt, all-or-nothing response directing development along either the diapause or nondiapause pathways, whereas the ‘depth’ or ‘intensity’ of larval diapause—or processes associated with ‘diapause development’ leading to its termination and subsequent post-diapause quiescence (Hodek, 1971)—are probably more continuously expressed. Furthermore, reciprocal crossing experiments between northern and southern strains of C. vicina (McWatters and Saunders, 1996) have shown that diapause incidence (i.e. induction) is determined almost entirely by maternal genes, whereas the ‘depth’, or duration, of the resulting diapause is a purely larval phenomenon involving genes from both parents. The difference between qualitative and quantitative photoperiodic responses may therefore be influenced by distinct genetic mechanisms and show a difference in coherence within a group of insects. In responses showing an abrupt critical value—such as that in Figure 4 for C. vicina, for example—the larvae were progeny of flies whose circadian rhythmicity was synchronised to CT 12 by transfer from light to darkness and then by laying a single batch of eggs on a particular day post-eclosion; the group, therefore, possessed a high degree of phase coherence with the critical daylength reflecting the qualitative all-or-nothing response at the individual level. It is possible, therefore, that quantitative responses to photoperiod may occur when such coherence between individuals in the population is lacking.

Future Directions

The short input pathway from photoperiodic reception to adult reproductive diapause in Protophormia terraenovae has facilitated significant progress in unravelling the details of photoperiodic induction in that species (Shiga and Numata, 1997, 2001). In Lucilia sericata and Calliphora vicina, however, larval diapause induced by a maternal sensitivity to photoperiod presents virtually
unknown events between photoreception and larval diapause regulation during which photoperiodic ‘information’ is transmitted from the adult female fly to mature post-fed larvae without compromising the normal, intervening, larval moults. This presents a considerable challenge to progress for researchers in insect photoperiodism. Of more immediate interest, however, is the nature of the photoinducible phase: What happens when dawn light coincides with this particular phase during the late subjective night—the crucial event in External Coincidence? One clue may be provided by the results of action spectrum studies in the aphid *Megoura viciae* (Lees, 1981) and the flesh fly *Sarcophaga similis* (Goto and Numata, 2009) which indicate that the early subjective night is maximally sensitive to blue-green light, whereas the late subjective night (the photoinducible phase) shows a much wider peak of sensitivity into longer wavelengths, suggesting that entrainment is effected by cryptochrome, but regulation of the diapause/development switch at the photoinducible phase involves a different photopigment. Characterization of events occurring at this phase—as summer gives way to autumn—is probably the most important outstanding question in insect photoperiodism.
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