Pharmaceutical Characterization of MyoNovin, a Novel Skeletal Muscle Regenerator: *in silico, in vitro* and *in vivo* Studies
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**ABSTRACT - PURPOSE:** MyoNovin is a novel skeletal muscle-regenerating compound developed through synthesis of two nitro groups onto a guaifenesin backbone to deliver nitric oxide to skeletal muscle with a potential to treat muscle atrophy. The purpose of this study was to utilize *in silico, in vitro*, and *in vivo* approaches to characterize MyoNovin and examine its safety, biodistribution, and feasibility for drug delivery. **METHODS:** *In silico* software packages were used to predict the physicochemical and biopharmaceutical properties of MyoNovin. *In vitro* cardiotoxicity was assessed using human cardiomyocytes (RL-14) while effects on CYP3A4 metabolic enzyme and antioxidant activity were examined using commercial kits. A novel HPLC assay was developed to measure MyoNovin concentration in serum, and delineate initial pharmacokinetic and acute toxicity after intravenous administration (20 mg/kg) to male Sprague-Dawley rats. **RESULTS:** MyoNovin showed relatively high lipophilicity with a LogP value of 3.49, a 20-fold higher skin permeability (19.89 cm/s*10⁷) compared to guaifenesin (0.66 cm/s*10⁷), and ~10-fold higher effective jejunal permeability (2.24 cm/s*10⁴) compared to guaifenesin (0.26 cm/s*10⁴). *In vitro*, MyoNovin was not cytotoxic to cardiomyocytes at concentrations below 8 μM and did not inhibit CYP3A4 or show antioxidant activity. *In vivo*, MyoNovin had a short half-life (t¹/₂) of 0.16 h, and a volume of distribution Vss of 0.62 L/kg. Biomarkers of MyoNovin cardiac and renal toxicity did not differ significantly from baseline control levels. **CONCLUSIONS:** The predicted high lipophilicity and skin permeability of MyoNovin render it a potential candidate for transdermal administration while its favourable intestinal permeation suggests it may be suitable for oral administration. Pharmacokinetics following IV administration of MyoNovin were delineated for the first time in a rat model. Preliminary single 20 mg/kg dose assessment of MyoNovin suggest no influence on cardiac troponin or β-N-Acetylgalcosaminidase.

This article is open to **POST-PUBLICATION REVIEW.** Registered readers (see “For Readers”) may **comment** by clicking on ABSTRACT on the issue’s contents page.

**INTRODUCTION**

Skeletal muscle atrophies are characterized by loss of skeletal muscle mass due to aging, prolonged immobility, denervation, and/or other factors. To our knowledge, there is no clinically available pharmacological treatment specifically for muscle atrophy. Recent work revealed that nitric oxide (NO) plays an important role in skeletal muscle regeneration. The mechanism of regeneration involves the activation of satellite cells that are located in close proximity to the skeletal muscle fiber and are mitotically quiescent in adult muscle under normal physiological conditions. Under some conditions, however, such as mechanical stretching of muscle fibers and exercise, satellite cells are activated, resulting in their proliferation (1, 2). Both NO and hepatocyte growth factor are required for satellite cell activation (3, 4). NO triggers activation...
of resting satellite cells to enter the cell cycle (5) and hepatocyte growth factor also promotes satellite cell cycling by binding to the c-met receptor and enhancing cell migration by activated myogenic precursor cells (6). The activation of satellite cells, thus, contributes to skeletal muscle growth from exercise and repair following injury or disease onset (7,8).

A study published by our group showed that transdermal administration of a clinically utilized nitric oxide donor, isosorbide dinitrate, to normal mice promoted satellite cell cycling as it increased DNA synthesis by 19-24% in adult muscle (9). Similarly, administration of methocarbamol produced a 28% increase in DNA synthesis. Co-administration of isosorbide dinitrate and methocarbamol had an additive effect, producing a 38% increase in DNA synthesis. Such observations led to the development of MyoNovin (1-(3,4-bis-nitrooxy-butoxy)-2-methoxy-benzene) (10), a novel nitric oxide donor designed to specifically deliver NO to skeletal muscles. MyoNovin was chemically synthesized by attaching two nitro groups to guaifenesin. Guaifenesin is a mucolytic agent with muscle relaxant activity and is an active metabolite of methocarbamol. The guaifenesin backbone of MyoNovin is anticipated to act as a carrier for delivery of NO to skeletal muscle fibers, triggering satellite cell activation and ultimately muscle growth and repair (9). The same report showed that NO was released from homogenates of both liver and skeletal muscle after treatment with MyoNovin using experiments with electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. Furthermore, MyoNovin increased satellite cell activation and proliferation in normal mice as it increased DNA synthesis by 37-39% 24 h after a single transdermal treatment and 40-55% following 24 h of a single oral dose (9).

Given the essential role of NO in muscle regeneration, the promising results of MyoNovin efficacy both in vitro and in vivo in normal (9) and dystrophic mice (11) and the fact that there are no viable pharmacological treatments to treat muscle atrophy or promote skeletal muscle regeneration, MyoNovin could be a feasible therapeutic approach to treat conditions such as skeletal muscle injury and atrophy of various origins. MyoNovin is a relatively new chemical derivative of guaifenesin, and synthesis of MyoNovin from guaifenesin would be expected to alter the physicochemical and biopharmaceutical properties, and pharmacokinetic and toxicity profiles of the molecule. Thus, for further development of MyoNovin as a potential drug to promote muscle growth and repair, it is necessary to characterize its safety and explore its pharmacokinetics following IV administration. We, therefore, conducted the current study to determine the feasibility of MyoNovin for drug delivery and delineate preliminary pharmacokinetic and selected acute toxicity by characterizing the compound in silico, in vitro, and in vivo.

The physicochemical and biopharmaceutical properties of MyoNovin were examined for the first time utilizing in silico tools, while its effects on CYP450 enzymes, antioxidant activity, and cardiac safety were examined in vitro. Furthermore, a novel reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) assay was developed to measure MyoNovin serum concentrations and to delineate its pharmacokinetics in a rat model. The cardiac toxicity of MyoNovin was assessed by examining its effects on cardiomyocytes in vitro and as measured by plasma concentrations of cardiac troponin in vivo, while renal toxicity was assessed by measuring in vivo levels of a renal toxicity biomarker, β-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG) and urinary output 24 h post-dose.

METHODS

Chemicals and Materials
Methoxy-phenol (guaiacol), guaifenesin, allyl bromide, silver nitrate, acetone, brine, pinostrobin, PEG-400 and DMSO were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Beta-2-methoxyphenoxy-lactic acid was obtained from BOC Sciences (Shirley, NY, USA). HPLC grade acetonitrile and methanol, ethyl acetate, and hexane were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Ottawa, ON, CA). Ultrapure water from a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used for the mobile phase. HPLC C18 Phenomenex Kinetex column (5 μm, 250 x 4.6 mm), vials, inserts, and, 0.2 μm nylon filter membranes were purchased from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA, USA). Sterile heparin/50% dextrose catheter lock solution and blunt needles were obtained from SAI Infusion Technologies, Strategic Applications (Lake Villa, IL, USA). Sterile heparin/50% dextrose catheter lock solution and blunt needles were obtained from SAI Infusion Technologies, Strategic Applications (Lake Villa, IL, USA). Cytochrome P450 kit catalogue # P2857 was from Life Technologies (Burlington, ON, CA). Cayman antioxidant assay kit catalogue # 709001 was purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI), cytochrome P450 kit catalogue # P2857 was from Life Technologies (Burlington, ON).
Chemical Synthesis of MyoNovin

MyoNovin was synthesized by modifying the Wang et al procedure (9). A schematic representation of MyoNovin’s synthesis is illustrated in Figure 1. To a stirred suspension of methoxy-phenol 1 (1.24 g, 0.01 mol) in 20 mL of dry acetone, allyl bromide (1.45 g, 0.012 mol) was added, and the mixture was heated overnight at 70 °C. The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature and filtered through sintered glass funnel to remove any solid residues. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain allyloxy-2-methoxy-benzene (1.51 g) as a colourless liquid. To an ice-cooled stirred solution of compound 2 (1.0 g; 6.09 mmol) in 12 mL of acetonitrile, silver nitrate (0.024 mol) was added followed by iodine (1.56 g, 0.024 mol). After iodine has dissolved, the reaction was refluxed for 12 h, filtered, poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine and evaporated under reduced pressure. The oil obtained was purified by flash chromatography (eluent from hexanes/EtOAc 10/1 to 3/1 v/v) to isolate compound 3 (1.24 g) as a yellow liquid. The chemical structure of MyoNovin was confirmed by H^1NMR. H^1 NMR (CDCl_3): δ = 3.85 (s, 3H), 4.28 (d, 2H, J= 5.2 Hz), 4.81 (dd, 1H, J = 6.6 Hz, J = 12.9 Hz), 4.98 (dd, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz, J = 12.9 Hz), 5.56-5.64 (m, 1H), 6.87-7.08 (m, 4H).

In Silico Characterization

Physicochemical and Biopharmaceutical Properties

Gastro-Plus™, Marvin Sketch, and Virtual Computational Chemistry Laboratory Computer modelling software were utilized to predict the physicochemical properties of MyoNovin compared to its precursor guaifenesin. Portions of these results were generated by GastroPlus software (Version 9.0) provided by Simulations Plus, Inc. (Lancaster, California, USA). ADMET Predictor was used for modeling the biopharmaceutical and physicochemical as well pharmacokinetic parameters.

LogP and LogS values of MyoNovin were predicted using online computer software (VCCLAB, Virtual Computational Chemistry Laboratory) (12,13). pKa, logP, logD at pH 7.4, and solubility at pH 7.4 were calculated using MarvinSketch™ (ChemAxon Ltd., Cambridge, MA, USA), pKa and logP were calculated using GastroPlus™ (Simulations Plus, Inc., Lancaster, CA, USA).

In vitro Characterization

Effect of MyoNovin on Metabolic Enzymes

The effect of MyoNovin on CYP3A4 was examined using a CYP Vivid kit from Life Technologies following the manufacturer’s instructions. The inhibitory effect of MyoNovin on CYP3A4 was compared to ketoconazole, a positive inhibitor of CYP3A4 and results expressed as % inhibition.

Antioxidant Activity of MyoNovin

The antioxidant capacity of MyoNovin and derivatives was examined at 1, 10, 50, and 100 μg/mL using a commercial assay kit from Cayman Chemical following manufacture’s instructions. The assay is based on the ability of the antioxidant in the sample to inhibit the oxidation of ABTS (2,2’-azino-di-[3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulphonate]) to ABTS by metmyoglobin. The amount of ABTS produced is measured at 750 nm. Presence of antioxidants will suppress absorbance at 750 nm. Antioxidant activity of MyoNovin, guaifenesin, β methoxy-phenoxylactic acid, and allyloxy-2-methoxy-benzene was compared to that of Trolox, a water soluble α-tocopherol analog, and the result was expressed as molar equivalents of Trolox. Quercetin was used a positive control at 10 and 100 μg/mL.

Figure 1. A schematic diagram of MyoNovin synthesis.
Effects of MyoNovin on Human Cardiomyocytes
The effect of MyoNovin on cardiac tissue was assessed using human cardiomyocytes RL-14 cells (American Type Cell Culture Patent Deposit Designation PTA-1499 Mannanas, VA, USA). Cells were grown in 75 cm² tissue culture flasks at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ containing DMEM/F-12, phenol red supplemented with 12.5% fetal bovine serum, 20 μM L-glutamate, 100 IU penicillin G and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. Following 3-4 days of incubation, cells were detached using trypsin and seeded on 12-well culture plates with DMEM/F-12 for 24 h. Cells were subsequently washed with PBS, replenished with serum free media, and treated with MyoNovin at 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 μM for 24 h. Effects of MyoNovin on RL-14 viability were determined using MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay. The assay measures the capacity of cells to convert MTT to coloured formazan crystals, as described previously (14,15).

HPLC Analysis of MyoNovin
The Shimadzu HPLC system (Kyoto, Japan) consisting of LC-20AB prominence liquid chromatograph, SIL-10AF auto-injector, SPD-10AV UV-VIS detector, and SCL-10A system controller was used for analysis. Separation was achieved using a C18 Phenomenex Kinetex column (5 μm, 250 x 4.6 mm) with UV detection at 275 nm. The mobile phase was prepared by mixing acetonitrile:water:methanol (75:20:5 v/v), filtered through a 0.2 um nylon filter and degassed using reduced pressure prior to use. Separation was carried out isocratically at ambient temperature (22±1°C) with a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. Pinostrobin was used as the internal standard. Shimadzu EZStart (Version 7.4) software was used for data acquisition and integration. Samples were freshly prepared on day of analysis and injected into the HPLC system.

Preparation of Standard Solutions
Stock solutions of MyoNovin (10 mg/mL) and the internal standard pinostrobin (1 mg/mL) were prepared in DMSO, protected from light, and stored at -20°C. Working solutions were freshly prepared in DMSO to obtain a final concentration of 0.5, 1.0, 10.0, and 100 μg/mL in rat serum or urine. Standard solutions of MyoNovin were prepared by spiking MyoNovin (0.5-100 μg/mL) and pinostrobin (10 μg/mL) in 100 μl of blank rat serum or urine. The final concentration of DMSO in spiked standards of serum and urine samples did not exceed 3%.

Calibration Curves
Calibration curves were constructed by plotting the peak area ratio of MyoNovin to the internal standard (pinostrobin) versus calibration standard concentrations of MyoNovin, respectively.

Linearity, Lower Limit of Quantification, and Lower Limit of Detection
Linearity was assessed based on the coefficient of determination (r²) of the calibration curve using unweighted least squares linear regression. The lower limit of quantitation (LOQ) was determined based on the lowest concentration that showed a ± 15% difference between the actual added concentration and the average calculated concentration. The lower limit of detection (LOD) was estimated by comparing signals of known low concentrations of MyoNovin spiked in serum to blank serum samples without MyoNovin. The concentration of MyoNovin with a 1:3 signal to noise ratio was considered the lower limit of the analytical assay.

Accuracy, Precision and Recovery
The analytical method was evaluated by calculating the intra-day variation of spiked MyoNovin standards in rat serum. The intra-day variation was determined by comparing 5 replicates of different concentrations of MyoNovin (5, 10, 25, 50, and 100 μg/mL) spiked in serum on 5 days in a 1-week period. Calibration curves were established each day. Precision was evaluated using Coefficient of Variance (CV=SD/C_ave) where SD is the standard deviation and C_ave is the mean calculated concentration of five replicates. Accuracy was assessed by comparing the percentage error of calculated concentration to the actual concentration added using the equation Bias=(C_calculated−C_theoretical)/C_theoretical, where C_calculated is the concentration calculated from calibration curve and C_theoretical is the actual concentration added (16). Percent recovery was determined by comparing peak area ratios of MyoNovin to the internal standard (pinostrobin) after extracting MyoNovin from serum-spiked samples to respective samples spiked in the mobile phase without extraction.
**In vivo Characterization**

This study was approved by the Bannatyne Campus Animal Care Committee at the University of Manitoba (protocol #16-004) in conformity with the Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines. Male CD® Sprague-Dawley rats (average weight: 250±25 g) were obtained with the jugular vein surgically cannulated using polyurethane-silastic blended catheters from Charles River Labs (St. Constant, QC, Canada). Rats were housed individually in temperature-controlled rooms with a 12 h light/dark cycle. All animals had free access to Purina Rat Chow (5001) and water, except when specified below. Animals were acclimatized in standard cages with environmental enrichment for a minimum of 3 days prior to commencing the pharmacokinetic study.

**Pharmacokinetic Study**

Jugular cannulas in 5 rats were flushed daily with a sterile heparin/50% dextrose catheter lock solution to maintain the patency as advised in the technical bulletin supplied with the animals from Charles River (17). Each animal was placed in a separate metabolic cage overnight and fasted overnight (12 h) before drug administration (IV; 20 mg MyoNovin/kg BW). The dose was selected based on our previous study whereby mice received a single oral dose of 80 mg/kg (9). MyoNovin was freshly reconstituted in 3% DMSO and 97% PEG-400 prior to dosing. Animals received water ad libitum pre- and post-dosing. Food was provided 2 hours post-dosing. Serial blood samples (0.30 mL each) were collected at 0, 1, 5, 15, and 30 min, followed by 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 h after IV drug administration. At 24 h following drug administration, animals were euthanized and exsanguinated. Immediately after all blood-collection time points (except the terminal point), the cannula was flushed with the same volume of 0.9% saline to replenish the collected blood volume. The dead volume of the cannula was filled with a small volume (~0.15 mL) of heparinized lock solution after each blood draw to maintain the patency of the cannula. Blood samples were collected in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 5 min (Beckman Microfuge centrifuge, Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA) to collect serum. Serum was stored at −20°C until sample preparation for HPLC drug analysis. Urine samples were also collected from metabolic cages at 0, 2, 6, 12, and 24 h following MyoNovin administration. The exact urine volume of each sample was recorded and urine stored at −20°C until preparation for HPLC drug analysis.

**Treatment of Serum Samples for Analysis**

Pinostrobin (10 μg/mL final concentration) was added to 120 μL of all serum samples with the exception of the 0 h sample. Samples were vortexed for 1 min using a Vortex Genie-2 (VWR Scientific, West Chester, PA). One (1.0) mL of cold HPLC grade acetonitrile (pre-stored at - 20°C) was added to samples to precipitate plasma proteins, vortexed for 5 min (Vortex Genie-2, VWR Scientific), and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 min before the supernatant was transferred to new, labeled 2 mL centrifuge tubes. Samples were evaporated to dryness using a Savant SPD1010 SpeedVac Concentrator without heat (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Asheville, NC). The residue was reconstituted with 100 μL of mobile phase, vortexed for 1 min and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 5 min; the supernatant was transferred to HPLC vials and 120 μL was injected into the HPLC system.

**Pharmacokinetic Analysis**

Pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using data from individual rats, and the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) calculated for each group. The elimination rate constant (kₜ) was estimated by linear regression of serum concentration in the log-linear terminal phase. A non-compartmental model was fitted to the data for serum concentration versus time using Phoenix WinNonlin software Ver. 6.3 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA) to calculate the pharmacokinetic parameters in the terminal phase, namely mean residence time (MRT), total clearance (CLₜot), and volume of distribution (Vₜ). The initial maximum serum concentration (Cₜ₀) was calculated by back extrapolation using Phoenix WinNonlin software.

**Renal Assessment**

**Effects on urinary output**

The urinary output of rats over 24 h was monitored just before (24 h pre-dose period) and after administration of a single IV dose of MyoNovin (20 mg/kg; 24 h post-dose period). Acute renal toxicity induced by any drug may result in a reduced total urinary output (18). The total urine volume excreted over 24 h post-dosing was compared to the total urine volume excreted over the 24 h pre-dosing.
Assessment of Cardiac Toxicity

The potential cardiac toxicity of MyoNovin was also assessed in vivo utilizing a rat cardiac Troponin-I (cTnI) ultra-sensitive ELISA kit assay from Life Diagnostics, Inc. (West Chester, PA, USA). Blood samples from pharmacokinetic studies were collected at 24 h from the jugular vein after a single 20 mg/kg IV dose (n = 4). Samples were centrifuged to obtain serum and stored at -20°C until analysis. On the day of analysis, cTnI concentrations were measured in serum samples following manufacturer’s instructions.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Compiled data were presented as mean and standard error of the mean (mean ± SEM) unless otherwise stated. Where possible, data were analyzed for statistical significance using SigmaPlot software (v. 13.0, Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA). Student’s t-tests were employed for unpaired samples to compare means between two groups, while one-way ANOVAs were employed to compare the means of three or more groups followed by Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison Test between groups if necessary. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In silico Characterization

The physicochemical and biopharmaceutical properties of MyoNovin were compared to those of its parent compound, guaifenesin. MyoNovin had a lower predicted water solubility and higher LogP value compared to guaifenesin (Table 1), indicating higher lipophilicity. The pH-solubility profile of MyoNovin (Figure 2A) shows that the solubility of MyoNovin is not affected by changes in pH, while the solubility of guaifenesin increased at pH >12 (Figure 2B). Examination of the solubility of MyoNovin in simulated gastric and gastrointestinal fluids revealed that MyoNovin has a 1000-fold lower predicted solubility in the fasted state in simulated gastric fluid (0.004 mg/mL) compared to guaifenesin (4.574 mg/mL) (Figure 3). The predicted solubility of MyoNovin in simulated intestinal fluid in the fed state (0.756 mg/mL) was more than 30-fold higher than in the fasted state (0.022 mg/mL), suggesting better oral absorption of MyoNovin would be achieved when it is administered with food. Interestingly, prediction of guaifenesin solubility in simulated intestinal fluid showed that its solubility is higher in fasted state (2.085 mg/mL) compared to that in the fed state (0.272 mg/mL).

Predicting transmembrane permeability of MyoNovin across skin and the intestine using ADMET predictor software showed that MyoNovin is expected to have a 20-fold higher skin permeability (19.89 cm/s*10^7) compared to guaifenesin (0.66 cm/s*10^7) and approximately 10-fold higher effective jejunal permeability (2.24 cm/s*10^4) than guaifenesin (0.26 cm/s*10^4) (Figure 4). Moreover, prediction of MyoNovin’s permeability across Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells, epithelial cells of kidney origin and commonly used for screening permeability of investigational compounds in drug discovery, is expected to be (554.691 cm/s*10^4) 70-fold higher than guaifenesin (7.827 cm/s*10^4). The prediction suggests that MyoNovin likely has a useful potential to be absorbed through skin and traverse the intestine barrier. Additionally, MyoNovin appeared to be neither a substrate nor an inhibitor of P-glycoprotein (P-gp), a membrane transporter highly expressed in intestinal and renal epithelium and responsible for efflux of many xenobiotics (e.g., chemotherapeutic drugs), as shown in Table 2.

The predicted effects of MyoNovin on metabolic enzymes, calculated using ADMET Predictor software, showed that MyoNovin is expected to act as a substrate of CYP3A4 and as an inhibitor of CYP1A2 (Table 2). As well, MyoNovin is expected to be ~90% bound to plasma proteins as opposed ~82% for guaifenesin (Table 3). The predicted volume of distribution for MyoNovin was 0.389 L/kg, which is about 30-fold smaller than that of guaifenesin (11.980 L/kg).

β-N-Acetylglucosaminidase (NAG) NAG is a lysosomal enzyme found at high concentration in kidney tubule cells. Appearance of NAG in the urine is used as a sensitive, early marker of renal damage (19). Urine samples (100 μl) from pharmacokinetic studies after a single IV dose of MyoNovin collected at 0, 2, 6, 12, and 24 h were analyzed to determine NAG concentrations without dilution on a Medica EasyRa automated clinical chemistry analyzer (Medica Corporation Bedford, MA) (20). Cumulative amounts of NAG excreted in the urine over the 24 h post-dosing with MyoNovin were compared to cumulative amounts pre-dosing to assess renal toxicity.
In vitro Characterization

**Effect of MyoNovin on CYP3A4**

The effect of MyoNovin on CYP3A4 inhibition was examined utilizing a commercial assay kit. MyoNovin did not inhibit CYP3A4 at concentrations between 0.01-50 μM (Figure 5). Only at 100 μM did MyoNovin show inhibition of CYP3A4 (30%).

| Table 1. Physicochemical properties of MyoNovin and guaifenesin predicted by software packages. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Compound                        | MyoNovin                        | Guaifenesin                     |
| Molecular Weight (g/mol)        | 288.212                         | 198.218                         |
| Formula                         | C10H12N2O8                      | C10H14O4                        |
| pKa (MarvinSketch)              | No ionisable atoms              | 13.62, 15.56                    |
| pKa (GastroPlus)                | No ionisable atoms              | No ionisable atoms              |
| pKa (GastroPlus, after fitting solubility) | No ionisable atoms               | No ionisable atoms              |
| logP (MarvinSketch)             | 3.49                            | 0.34                            |
| logP (neutral, GastroPlus)      | 2.01±0.94                       | 0.67±0.38                       |
| logP (VCCLAB)                   | 3.489                           | 0.494                           |
| LogP (ADMET Predictor, neural)  | 2.187                           | 0.337                           |
| Solubility at pH 7.4 (MarvinSketch) | -3.67 logS                      | -1.25 logS                      |
| Solubility at pH 7.4 (MarvinSketch) | 0.0616 mg/mL                    | 11.15 mg/mL                     |
| logS (VCCLAB)                   | -2.44                           | -1.22                           |

**Figure 2.** pH-solubility profile of (A) MyoNovin and (B) guaifenesin predicted by Marvin Sketch.

**Figure 3.** Predicted solubility of MyoNovin and guaifenesin in human simulated gastrointestinal fluids as calculated by ADMET Predictor software.
Table 2. Biopharmaceutical properties of MyoNovin and guaifenesin predicted by ADMET Predictor software.

| Compound | MyoNovin | Guaifenesin |
|----------|----------|-------------|
| Diffusion coefficient (cm²/s*10⁵) | 0.856 | 0.569 |
| P-gp Substrate (likelihood of intestinal efflux by P-gp) | No (95%) | Yes (91%) |
| P-gp Inhibition (intestinal) | No (94%) | No (72%) |
| OATP1B1 Inhibition (hepatic) | No (97%) | No (87%) |
| CYP3A4 Inhibition | No | No+ |
| CYP3A4 Substrate | Yes | No+ |
| CYP1A2 Inhibition | Yes (96%) | Yes (60%)+ |
| CYP1A2 Substrate | No (83%) | NA |
| CYP2D6 Inhibition | No (95%) | No+ |
| CYP2D6 Substrate | No (96%) | No (85%)+ |

+www.Drugbank.ca (21), NA=not available

Figure 4. Predicted human intestinal and skin permeability of MyoNovin and guaifenesin, and predicted permeability across MDCK (canine origin) using ADMET Predictor software.

Table 3. Human pharmacokinetic models of MyoNovin and guaifenesin predicted using ADMET Predictor software.

| Compound | MyoNovin | Guaifenesin |
|----------|----------|-------------|
| Human plasma protein binding (%unbound) | 9.994 | 22.099 |
| Human volume of distribution (Vd) | 0.389 | 11.980 |
| Blood-to-plasma concentration ratio (RBP) | 0.890 | 0.869 |
| Fraction unbound in human liver microsomes (S+fumic) | 0.394 | 0.903 |

Figure 5. Percent (%) CYP3A4 enzyme inhibition by MyoNovin (n=4; mean±SEM) expressed as % of the positive inhibitor (ketoconazole 10 µM). The concentration of DMSO did not exceed 0.1% in each well. *P<0.05 compared to ketoconazole.
Antioxidant Activity
Nitric oxide exhibits anti-oxidant activity (22) by acting as a scavenger of reactive oxygen species. Thus, the antioxidant capacity of MyoNovin was examined in vitro. The result in Figure 6 shows that there is no difference in the antioxidant activity of MyoNovin or its derivatives at 1, 10, 50, and 100 μg/mL compared to baseline, suggesting that MyoNovin and derivatives do not exhibit antioxidant activity using this assay. However, a significant difference in the antioxidant activity was observed between MyoNovin and its derivatives compared to quercetin, which is a potent antioxidant.

Effects on Human Cardiomyocytes (RL-14)
The effects of MyoNovin on human cardiomyocytes was investigated after treatment (from 2-32 μM) for 24 h by the MTT assay. Figure 7 shows that the viability of RL-14 cells at 4 μM MyoNovin was ~94%; since this was not statistically different from the untreated control cells, the finding indicated that MyoNovin is likely non-toxic to cardiomyocytes at concentrations up to 4 μM. At 8 μM cell viability was only about 78%, significantly lower than controls, suggesting that MyoNovin did induce some cardiotoxicity resulting in ~22 % cell death.

HPLC Analysis of MyoNovin (Analytical method development and validation)
A RP-HPLC assay was developed to quantitate MyoNovin in serum and urine samples collected during pharmacokinetics experiments. Optimal separation between MyoNovin and the internal standard, pinostrobin, was achieved using the chromatography conditions described in methods. Chromatograms were free from peaks that co-eluted with the peaks of interest. Retention times of MyoNovin and pinostrobin were 6.2 and 7.5 min, respectively (Figure 8). The acceptance criteria for the analytical method were in accordance with Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines 23).

Linearity, LOQ, and LOD
Calibration curves of MyoNovin showed excellent linearity and a coefficient of determination (r²) of 0.99 over the range of 0.5-100 μg/mL. The LOQ was 5 μg/mL with corresponding CV of 13.21% and bias of -10.75% (Table 4). The LOD was determined to be 1 μg/mL, based on a 1:3 signal-to-noise ratio.

Accuracy, Precision and Recovery
The intra-day precision from five runs during one week showed that the assay CV% was <15% at the lowest concentration and <2% at the highest concentration (Table 4). The percent bias was -10.75% (CV=13.21%) and -2.63 (CV=1.22%) at 5 and 100 μg/mL, respectively. Percent recovery was 105% at the lower limit of quantification and 97% at 100 μg/mL MyoNovin. The results show that intra-day coefficient of variance and bias intra-day variation were both below 15% at 5 μg/mL and within ± 5% over the range of 10-100 μg/mL while the percent recovery was >97%. These parameters indicated that the analytical method was sensitive, accurate and reproducible.

![Figure 6. Antioxidant activity of MyoNovin and its derivatives (n=4±SEM). *P<0.05 compared to quercetin.](image-url)
Figure 7. Viability of human RL-14 cardiac myocytes measured by an MTT assay after treatment with MyoNovin at concentrations 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 μM (0.6, 1.2, 2.3, 4.6, and 9.2 μg/mL, respectively) for 24 hours (n=4; mean ± SEM) relative to untreated control. *P<0.05 compared to control.

Figure 8. Representative chromatograms of (A) blank serum (B) MyoNovin (100 μg/mL) and pinostrobin (10 μg/mL) spiked in serum (C) MyoNovin, pinostrobin and M1 1 min after administration of MyoNovin (20 mg/kg) to animals.

Table 4. Accuracy and precision of MyoNovin in serum (n=5 days, mean ± SEM)

| Concentration (Added) | Average (Calculated) | CV (%) | Bias (%) | Recovery (%) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------|----------|--------------|
| 5                     | 4.46 ± 0.26          | 13.21  | -10.75   | 105.16 ± 5.8 |
| 10                    | 10.56 ± 0.21         | 4.43   | 5.61     | 105.13 ± 2.92 |
| 25                    | 27.87 ± 0.39         | 3.1    | 11.47    | 99.34 ± 4.69 |
| 50                    | 53.33 ± 0.95         | 3.99   | 6.66     | 98.34 ± 1.62 |
| 100                   | 97.36 ± 0.53         | 1.22   | -2.63    | 96.9 ± 4.12 |
**In vivo Characterization**

**Disposition and Pharmacokinetics of MyoNovin after IV Administration**

Following IV administration, MyoNovin was detected in serum up to 30 min post-dosing (Figure 8) with a maximum extrapolated serum concentration (C₀) of 43.6 ± 8.8 μg/mL. The serum disposition profile of MyoNovin showed an initial sharp decline in concentration over the first 5 min, representing a distribution phase; this was followed by a further rapid decline over the next 15-30 min (last measurable concentration), representing an elimination phase (Figure 9). The serum concentration versus time profile followed a bi-exponential pattern indicating that MyoNovin resides beyond the vasculature and penetrates into tissues. Interestingly, at 1 min post-dosing, a new peak (M1) was also observed at an earlier retention time than that of MyoNovin and was detectable for up to 1 h. The M1 peak decreased over time, similar to that of MyoNovin, indicating that it could be a metabolite of MyoNovin formed as result of NO release in vivo. Figure 10 shows a proposed schematic for the mechanism of NO and M1 release. Figure 11 illustrates possible metabolic pathways for MyoNovin after the release of nitric oxide.

Table 5 demonstrates the pharmacokinetic parameters of MyoNovin following a single IV administration (20 mg/kg). The half-life of MyoNovin was 0.16 h (Table 5), suggesting that MyoNovin remained intact for a short time before rapidly undergoing metabolism, possibly after, releasing nitric oxide. The volume of distribution Vss of MyoNovin was 0.62 ± 61.58 L/kg suggesting that it was distributed to tissues in a manner comparable to that predicted by ADMET Predictor software (Table 3). The total clearance was 8.49 ± 3.35 L/h/kg and AUCinf and total body exposure was 8.13 ± 5.23 μg*h/mL.

**Renal Effects**

**Effects on urinary output**

Urinary output of rats over 24 h was monitored and compared before and after administration of a single IV dose of MyoNovin (20 mg/kg). There was no significant difference in total urine volume excreted pre-dose (24.2±5.9 mL) vs post dose 21.5 ±5.1 mL.

**Figure 9.** A graph of the serum concentration versus time for MyoNovin after IV administration (20 mg/kg, n = 5, mean ± SEM). The figure insert shows the y-axis of the same serum concentration versus time curve on a Log scale.
Table 5. The pharmacokinetics of MyoNovin in rat serum after IV administration (20 mg/kg) (mean ± SEM, n= 5 unless otherwise stated).

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | MyoNovin |
|---------------------------|----------|
| $C_0$ (μg/mL)             | 43.6 ± 8.82 |
| $K_{el}$ (1/h)            | 12.6 ± 4.73 |
| $t_{1/2}$ (h)             | 0.16 ± 0.1 |
| $AUC_{last}$ (μg.h/mL)    | 5.1 ± 2.34 |
| $AUC_{inf}$ (μg.h/mL)     | 8.13 ± 5.23 |
| $T_{last}$ (h)            | 0.25 |
| $V_{ss}$ (L/kg)           | 0.62 ± 61.58 |
| $CL_{total}$ (L/h/kg)     | 8.49 ± 3.35 |

$^1$ Median

![Proposed schematic representing MyoNovin biotransformation in vivo, based on reference (24).](image)

β-N-Acetylg glucosaminidase (NAG)

Nitric oxide plays important physiological and pathophysiological roles in kidney function (30), and excessive NO production could induce renal toxicity (31). In addition, MyoNovin could be metabolized to beta-(2-methoxyphenoxy)-lactic acid, a compound that has been localized in the presence of kidney stones (32). Therefore, the potential renal toxicity of MyoNovin was assessed by measuring β-N-acetylg glucosaminidase (NAG), a sensitive marker of kidney injury (33). Cumulative NAG excreted in urine 24 h post MyoNovin IV dosing (20mg/kg) was not statistically different from the baseline control level of cumulative NAG excretion. A positive-control group of rats received a single IV dose of doxorubicin (10mg/kg), a known nephrotoxic anticancer drug where there was a significant increase in cumulative urinary NAG excreted over the same period of time compared to baseline controls (0.4 ±0.17 vs 0.09±0.1).
Figure 11. Schematic representation of proposed metabolic pathways for guaifenesin, based on references (25-29).
**Effect on Serum Cardiac Troponin**

Nitric oxide can play protective and/or detrimental roles in cardiac function (34). The potential cardiac toxicity of MyoNovin was assessed by measuring levels of cardiac troponin (cTnl), a sensitive marker of myocardial damage (35). Cardiac Tn1 concentrations in serum samples collected 24 h after MyoNovin IV administration were not significantly different from baseline controls. Figure 12 shows cTnl concentration 24 h post MyoNovin (20mg/kg IV) compared to those 24 h following a single IV dose of doxorubicin. Although the concentration of cTnl was much higher in the doxorubicin group, it was not significantly different in from the control concentration, largely due to within-group variability.

**DISCUSSION**

MyoNovin was designed to deliver nitric oxide to skeletal muscle, thus providing a novel drug-delivery approach by utilizing guaifenesin as a carrier for transporting NO (9). While there are no clinically viable drugs that directly initiate skeletal muscle growth and regeneration, such treatment would be beneficial in restoring muscle mass in atrophic and some disease conditions. Thus, pharmaceutical development of MyoNovin could lead to a viable pharmacological approach for the treatment of skeletal muscle atrophy associated with aging, disuse and denervation, and for the repair of skeletal muscle after injury or disease. MyoNovin is a novel drug targeted toward skeletal muscle regeneration and with potentially lower levels of systemic toxicities typically associated with conventional NO donors. As MyoNovin is an investigational compound, its physicochemical properties, biodistribution, pharmacokinetics, and safety have not yet been examined. In this report, we characterized MyoNovin in silico using computer software to explore the physiochemical and biopharmaceutical properties. We also developed and validated an HPLC assay to quantitate MyoNovin concentrations in serum following IV administration, which allowed us to elucidate initial pharmacokinetic profile.

In silico characterization of MyoNovin revealed that it has low predicted water solubility, a log P of ~3.5, reasonable solubility in fed-state gastrointestinal fluids, good intestinal permeability, and a low likelihood of efflux by transporters (Tables 1 and 2). These parameters suggest that MyoNovin is a potential candidate for oral administration. The fact that the solubility of MyoNovin in fed-state simulated gastrointestinal fluids is more than 30-fold higher than in fasted-state fluids suggests that better oral absorption would be achieved when administered with food. Given that MyoNovin is a neutral compound and that its solubility is generally expected to be unaffected by fluctuations in the pH according to predictions by GastroPlus™ software (Figure 2A), it is possible that MyoNovin solubility would be compromised in gastric fluids, as predicted by ADMET Predictor™ software (Table 2). Further development of an oral formulation, use of an enteric coated solid-dosage form of MyoNovin or incorporation of an acid-neutralizing excipient into the formulation may be useful to overcome the limited solubility of the compound in gastric fluids. Moreover, if MyoNovin is to be administered as a liquid oral formulation, the vehicle used in that formulation may affect MyoNovin solubility in gastrointestinal fluid and also its absorption from the gastrointestinal tract. In previous studies published by our group, MyoNovin was administered as a liquid formulation in corn oil by oral gavage to mice at a dose of 80 mg/kg (9) or as a suspension in canola oil (11). Corn and canola oils may have improved the solubility of the lipophilic molecule, MyoNovin, and also may its absorption from the intestine and lymphatics. Most importantly, the oral administration of MyoNovin did result in the desired pharmacological effect in vivo, as evidenced by the increase in DNA synthesis in skeletal muscle 24 h post-dose (9).

Another potential route for MyoNovin administration could be transdermal. An ointment transdermal formulation of MyoNovin may be superior to an oral formulation, due to the ease of transdermal application especially in older individuals with swallowing difficulties. A potential therapeutic barrier to transdermal drug delivery is the ability of a drug to permeate across the stratum corneum layer of the skin. Prediction of MyoNovin’s skin permeability by ADMET predictor™ software (19.89 cm/s*10^7) and its high lipophilicity (predicted by GastroPlus™ log P=3.5) renders it a potential candidate for transdermal application. Furthermore, the vehicle and excipients used in a transdermal ointment formulation can also have an effect on the permeability of MyoNovin through the skin. Administration of MyoNovin as a 2% ointment formulation in Dermabase on the shaved skin on the back of mice did increase DNA synthesis of...
skeletal muscles 24 h following application (9), demonstrating the feasibility of transdermal administration, although it is possible that Dermabase itself, may have improved the permeation of MyoNovin through the skin.

The effects of MyoNovin on metabolic enzymes were examined in vitro using a commercial assay kit. CYP3A4 is the major metabolic enzyme involved in first-pass metabolism. Results demonstrated that MyoNovin does not inhibit CYP3A4. This observation is also consistent with the in silico observations as predicted by ADMET Predictor™ software (Table 2). Interestingly, the same software program also predicted that MyoNovin is a substrate of CYP3A4. The high likelihood of MyoNovin as a substrate of CYP3A4 suggests that it would likely be susceptible to first-pass metabolism, which may decrease its oral bioavailability and require a higher oral dose for treatment efficacy.

To examine the disposition of MyoNovin in biological fluids and characterize its pharmacokinetics, an HPLC assay was developed and successfully applied to quantitate the concentration of MyoNovin in rat serum after IV administration. The IV route was chosen for this initial pharmacokinetic study because its pharmacokinetic parameters would not be contaminated by an absorption phase and/or first-pass metabolism that affects the outcome of drug treatment administered orally. Only male rats were used to minimize factors that may contribute to sex-based differences in metabolism and pharmacokinetics (36,37). Male and female rats could metabolize MyoNovin to different extents due sex dependent hepatic metabolism. Non-metabolic sex based differences in clearance and volume of distribution are also present in animal species (38, 39) and may affect the disposition of MyoNovin. Moreover, female rats may have higher fat content (especially subcutaneous fat) due to estrogen levels (40,41) and this may affect the distribution of lipophilic drugs. Sex based differences in pharmacokinetics may affect serum drug levels and thus the minimum toxic dose that could increase cardiac and renal toxicity biomarkers may be different between male and female rats in our study.

In this study, MyoNovin was detected in rat serum up to 30 min post-dose, with a biexponential disappearance from plasma. The terminal half-life was very fast, with a $t_{1/2}$ of 0.16 h. The short half-life of MyoNovin may be anticipated since the compound is designed to release NO in vivo, leading to the formation of a new metabolite. The observed half-life of MyoNovin is close to the reported half-life of its precursor guaifenesin: 0.82 h in rats (42) and 1.41 h in ponies (43) after IV administration, and
MyoNovin (20mg/kg) in rats showed no acute evidence of cardiotoxicity in vivo (Figure 13). Furthermore, studies of urinary output and NAG 24 h after administration of the same dose of MyoNovin in vivo showed no initial evidence of renal toxicity (Figure 12). Findings therefore suggest that a single IV 20 mg/kg dose did not induce acute cardiac or renal toxicity up to 24 h post-dosing, despite reaching a maximum circulating concentration of 43.6 ± 8.82 µg/mL (151.3 µM). It is imperative to note that our in vivo results are generated only after single doses. Further research is needed to ascertain the effect of MyoNovin on cardiac cells.

CONCLUSIONS

MyoNovin is a novel synthetic skeletal muscle regenerating agent that was developed using guaifenesin as a carrier system to deliver NO to muscle. Given that there are no viable pharmacological treatments for skeletal muscle atrophy, MyoNovin and analogues we have synthesized could be a feasible therapeutic approach to restore loss of skeletal muscle mass associated with aging, or to treat diseases by promoting normal repair after injury. Use of guaifenesin’s molecular skeleton in MyoNovin was intended to enhance the localization of NO to skeletal muscle, and thus lower its tendency to induce undesirable systemic effects associated with conventional NO donors.

Our preliminary in silico and in vitro observations of MyoNovin in this study, along with in vivo results from our previous reports (9, 11) suggest that intravenous, oral, and transdermal routes might all be feasible for MyoNovin administration. Pharmacokinetic characterization shows that MyoNovin has a short plasma half-life. Previous and current results now provide a basis for further experiments to explore dosing regimen required to achieve optimal therapeutic outcome and delineate the toxicokinetic profile of MyoNovin. In addition, efforts to study skin flux and optimize MyoNovin formulations (particularly solubility) are also warranted and are in progress in our laboratory.
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