Correction: Listening In on the Past: What Can Otolith $\delta^{18}O$ Values Really Tell Us about the Environmental History of Fishes?

The PLOS ONE Staff

There is an error in the sixth sentence of the Abstract. The word “of” was inadvertently added. The correct sentence is: However, although largely congruent, measured and predicted annual $\delta^{18}O$ values did not fully match.

The third and fourth equations in the Material and Methods section are incorrect. Please view the complete, correct equations here:

1000 ln$z = \left(17.88 \times \frac{1000}{T}\right) - 31.14$ with $z = \frac{1000 + \delta^{18}O_o}{1000 + \delta^{18}O_o}$ (3)

1000 ln$z = \left(15.99 \times \frac{1000}{T}\right) - 24.25$ with $z = \frac{1000 + \delta^{18}O_o}{1000 + \delta^{18}O_o}$ (4)
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