A Review on Clinical Outcomes of Pancreaticoduodenectomy in Octogenarian Patients
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Management of malignant diseases in elderly patients has been a global clinical issue because of increased life expectancy worldwide. Currently, pancreatic adenocarcinoma mainly occurs after 60 years of age, and its prognosis remains poor despite modest improvements in recent decades. Surgical resection is the only potentially curative treatment for pancreatic cancer. Resection of the pancreas, either by pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) or laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy (LPD) is a complex surgical procedure with a high rate of morbidity and mortality. However, mortality rates after pancreatic surgery have dropped to less than 2-5% at experienced centers. Whereas, complication rates are high, reaching at least 30% in many centers. Mortality also increases proportionally with age viz. 6.7% of patients aged 65-69 years, 9.3% of patients aged 70-79 years, and 15.5% of patients aged 80 years or older. The present review article delineates clinical outcomes along with safety, morbidity and mortality after PD or LPD in elderly, especially in octogenarian patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most malignant diseases and its prognosis is dismal. In contrast to the steady increase in survival for most cancers, advances have been slow for pancreatic cancers, for which the 5-year relative survival is currently 8%. This low survival rate for which 5-year survival is 2% is partly due to the high rate of an advanced stage at the time of diagnosis.¹ Only complete resection of the lesion provides a chance at cure. However, the survival rates following surgery for pancreatic cancer remain poor and have improved only marginally in recent decades.²³

In elderly patients, pancreatic cancer is associated with higher perioperative mortality and morbidity rates, higher requirement of an intensive care unit stays, increased length of hospital stay, and higher rates of hospital readmission after pancreatectomy.⁴⁸ Advanced age is a risk factor for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The incidence of pancreatic cancer is 29 per 100,000 in patients younger than 65 years compared with 91 per 100,000 in patients 80 to 84 years old.⁹

Surgical resection is the only potentially curative treatment for pancreatic cancer. Unfortunately, only 15% to 20% patients are candidates for pancreatectomy due to the late presentation of symptoms and/or detection of the disease.¹⁰¹² Furthermore, the rate of resectability diminishes with age. Some authors reported that 40% of patients between the ages of 66-70 years are candidates for a pancreatectomy, but by the age of 85 years, only 7% are eligible candidates.¹³¹⁴

Pancreatectoduodenectomy (PD) is the surgical choice with acceptable morbidity and mortality in patients over elderly aged...
population. With these viewpoints the present review article was focussed to delineate clinical outcomes along with safety, morbidity and mortality after Pancreateoduodenectomy in elderly, especially in octogenarian patients.

**MAIN BODY**

1. **Characteristics of elderly and therapeutic decisions**

The population of western countries is aging, and because the incidence of cancer increases with age, the population of patients with cancer is growing. More than 50% of all newly diagnosed patients with cancer are older than 60 years, and more than one third are over the age of 70.

By the year 2030, 70% of all malignancies and 85% of all cancer-related deaths are expected to occur in individuals aged 65 years or older, and therefore, older people will likely represent the prototypical cancer patient in the future. Age is an important risk factor for the development of pancreatic cancer. Whereas the overall incidence rate of pancreatic cancer for all ages is 11.7%, the incidence rate among individuals older than 65 years and older than 80 years is 66.4% and up to as many as 91.1% respectively.

Several studies have shown that older cancer patients are often undertreated and have poorer outcomes compared with younger individuals. This outcome may be due to the less aggressive treatment of elderly patients. Focusing on pancreatic cancer, some studies have shown that nearly half of all elderly patients did not receive any treatment for locoregional pancreatic cancer. Moreover, only 11% received a multimodal therapy (surgery +/- chemoradiotherapy).

Because elderly patients are a heterogeneous group, routine individual assessments of frailty and fitness are required. Such assessments may guide treatment decisions through evaluations of the balance of benefits and harms associated with performing or omitting specific oncologic interventions.

1) **Patient selection criteria**

PD treatment outcomes in elderly patients have been reported to be acceptable, but the patient selection criteria are not clear. To elucidate the importance of PD in octogenarians in particular, Shiozawa et al. recommended five patients eligibility criteria for elderly patients based on preoperative cardiac and pulmonary function, nutritional status, daily activity status, and psychological independence status. These PD eligibility criteria for elderly patients set in this study may be helpful when uncertainties arise regarding the selection of PD. The patient eligibility criteria are as follows:

1. Cardiac function: ejection fraction, measured by Doppler echocardiography: at least 40.
2. Pulmonary function: forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV 1.0%), as shown by a spirogram: at least 50%.
3. Nutritional status: serum albumin level: at least 3.0 g/dL.
4. Daily activity status: Karnofsky performance status: at least 80%.
5. Psychological independence status: capable of self-determination with respect to surgery.

Simple prolongation of biological life is not the sole objective of PD for elderly patients, and decisions must be made from the point of view of prolonging healthy life. In other words, in addition to the aim of radical treatment by surgery, the hope is for surgery that does not reduce the patient’s postoperative quality of life. In this context, the PD eligibility criteria for elderly patients set in the of Shiozawa et al. would be helpful when uncertainties arise regarding the selection of PD.

2) **Pancreateoduodenectomy (PD)**

PD is highly invasive operation and literature reports revealed that PD was safe and feasible for the older patients in the aspect of short-term outcomes. In a retrospective cohort study conducted by Kang et al. on to compare the postoperative short- and long-term outcomes of PD in between older patients and younger patients. Among total of 1,249 study subjects; 168 subjects were included in the older group. The findings of this study revealed that postoperative complication rates, duration of postoperative hospital stay, and 30-day mortality were comparable between the two groups, although the admission rate of intensive care unit postoperatively was higher in the older adult group (20.8% vs. 10.5%, p<0.001). In terms of long-term outcomes, 5-year overall survival rate was lower in the older group (23.4% vs.
41.8%, \( p<0.001 \)), and 5-year cumulative recurrence rate was higher in the older group without statistical significance (63.9% vs. 57.9%, \( p=0.095 \)). However, there were no statistical differences of cumulative recurrence in pancreatic cancer patients (81.5% vs. 82.5%, \( p=0.805 \)). Hence, Kang et al.\(^{32}\) concluded that PD for periampullary cancer is a safe and feasible treatment in the older patients.

The single-institution retrospective studies that analysed peri-operative outcomes of pancreatic resections in octogenarians were as summarized in Table 1.\(^{9,33-35}\) The patient population analysed in those reports was very heterogeneous, as indications for surgery included different types of pancreatic malignancies and peri-ampullary tumours as well as benign pancreatic diseases. Rates of perioperative mortality and overall morbidity for octogenarians appeared similar to younger patients.

Melis et al.\(^{35}\) conducted original research study on evaluation of the safety of a PD in patients older than 80 years. The method adopted by authors in their study was differences in two groups of patients (Group Y, \(<80\) and Group O, \(\geq 80\) year-old) who underwent a PD for pancreatic adenocarcinoma were analysed. Study end-points were length of postoperative stay, overall morbidity, 30-day mortality and overall survival. The results revealed that Octogenarians had worse Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (PS \(\geq 1\): 90% vs. 51%) and American Society of Anesthesiology score (>2: 71% vs. 47%). The two groups were similar in underlying co-morbidities, operative time, rates of portal vein resection, intraoperative complications, blood loss, pathological stage and status of resection margins. Octogenarians had a longer post-operative stay (20 days vs. 14 days) and higher overall morbidity (68% vs. 44%). There was a single death in each group. At a median follow-up of 13 months' median survival appeared similar in both the groups (17 months vs. 13 months). Hence, based on the findings of the present study Melis et al.\(^{35}\) recommended that PD can be offered to carefully selected octogenarians since 30-day mortality and survival are similar to those observed in younger patients. Whereas, A recent metaanalysis paper reported by Kim et al.\(^{36}\) included total of 18 studies for evaluation. The findings revealed that Octogenarian or older populations had significantly higher 30-day post-operative mortality rate (OR 2.22, 95% CI 1.48-3.31, \( p<0.001 \)) and length of hospital stay (OR 2.23, 95% CI 1.36-3.10, \( p<0.001 \)). The overall post-operative complication rate was higher in the older group compared to the younger population (OR 1.51, 95% CI 1.25-1.83, \( p<0.001 \)). Elderly patients were more likely to develop pneumonia (OR 1.72, 95% CI 1.39-2.13, \( p<0.001 \)) and experience delayed gastric emptying (OR 1.77, 95% CI 1.35-2.31, \( p<0.001 \)). The incidence of post-operative pancreatic fistula and bile leak were not significantly different between the groups. Rehabilitation and home nursing care services was also more frequently required by the older patient group at the time of hospital discharge. Based on these findings Kim et al.\(^{36}\) concluded that reported that patients aged 80 years and older have approximately double the risk of 30-day postoperative mortality and 50% increased rate of complications following PD, and hence careful patient selection is

### Table 1. Summary of peri-operative outcomes of pancreatic resections in octogenarians

| Indications for surgery                  | Operations performed | Age          | N   | Overall morbidity (%) | Mortality (%) | OS (months) | Study               |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----|------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Pancreatic and peri-ampullary malignancy| PD                   | 70-79        | 82  | 56.00                  | 12.00        | 16.00       | Chen et al.\(^{15}\) (2003) |
|                                         |                      | 80-89        | 16  | 51.00                  | 13.00        | 17.60       |                     |
| Benign and malignant pathology          | PD                   | <80          | 2,491 | 41.60                  | 1.70         | 18          | Makary et al.\(^{14}\) (2006) |
|                                         |                      | 80-89        | 197 | 52.80                  | 4.10         | 11          |                     |
|                                         |                      | \(\geq 90\)  | 10  | 50.00                  | 0.00         | 15          |                     |
| Benign and malignant pathology          | PD                   | <80          | 703 | 51.10                  | 3.80         | 18.10       | Lee et al.\(^{9}\) (2010) |
|                                         |                      | \(\geq 80\)  | 74  | 47.30                  | 5.40         | 11.60       |                     |
| Pancreatic adenocarcinoma               | PD                   | <80          | 175 | 44.00                  | 0.57         | 13          | Melis et al.\(^{35}\) (2012) |
|                                         |                      | \(\geq 80\)  | 25  | 68.00                  | 4.00         | 17          |                     |

OS, overall survival; PD, pancreaticoduodenectomy.
CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, age should not be the determining factor in decisions regarding the best approach. An integral evaluation of the patient in accordance with appropriate tools should be conducted. Furthermore, the patient eligibility criteria recommendations could be practiced in order increase postoperative quality of life. PD or LPD could be offered for pancreatic resections with better clinical outcomes in Octogenarians diagnosed with periampullary cancer and benign pancreatic diseases. Octogenarians undergoing PD have approximately double the risk and 50% increased rate of complications following PD, and hence careful patient selection is required when offering surgery in this age group.
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