Central Proteins of Plasma in Response to Low-Level Laser Therapy Involve in Body Hemostasis and Wound Repair
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Abstract
Introduction: Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) is accompanied by protein expression change in the body. There are many efforts to find a clear relationship between the differentially expressed proteins. This study aims to find the central differentiated expressed proteins of plasma after LLLT.

Methods: Six proteins are extracted from a proteomics study and the network including these query proteins plus 100 first neighbors was constructed. The central proteins were determined based on degree value, betweenness centrality, closeness centrality (CC), and stress (The centrality parameters).

Results: Among 106 nodes of the network, 10 proteins were characterized with the most values of degree, betweenness centrality, CC, and stress. These proteins were determined as central proteins in response to LLLT in plasma.

Conclusion: Three query proteins, AHSG, FGG, and SERPINA1, plus 7 first neighbors, namely FGA, ALB, KNG1, FN1, APP, TIMP1, and F5, were identified as central proteins which were dysregulated.
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Introduction
Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) as an efficient method is applied in various fields of medicine. Wound healing, dentistry, neurorehabilitation, and several different fields are interested to apply LLLT for therapeutic purposes.1-3 Better understanding and promotion of LLLT efficacy implies that the molecular mechanism of the affected parts of the body treated via LLLT should be explored.4,5 Proteomics as a high-throughput method is a useful tool to study protein expression change due to intervention in the patient condition. Results of a proteomic experiment are a set of proteins that are dysregulated after intervention in the biological samples. Therefore, many diseases are studied via proteomics to determine the disregulated protein production processes in the body.6,7

Bioinformatics is the other tool that is applied in medicine to solve the complex nature of high-throughput findings. Since the results of high-throughput experiences need further analysis to be simplified, bioinformatics provides new concepts via complex analysis.8,9 There are various approaches in bioinformatics that are applied to analyze biological systems including diseases. Network analysis, gene ontology, artificial neural networks, and many other tools which are related to bioinformatics are applied to analyze the complex information in medicine and to present the new concepts.10-12

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis studies the relationship between large numbers of proteins or genes. In this approach, the studied proteins are linked to construct a network. The elements of the network are connected to the neighbors in different patterns. Based on the distribution of the connections between the nodes of the network, two kinds of networks, namely the scale-free and non-scale-free networks, are introduced. Generally, PPI networks of the large numbers of connected proteins are scale-free. In such a
network, there are a few numbers of nodes (as central nodes) which are discriminated from the others by the differentiated numbers of connections.\textsuperscript{13,14} PPI network analysis is applied in medicine to assess various types of diseases. It has also provided a clear perspective on laser therapy. Some cancers, gastrointestinal diseases, neurodegenerative disorders, and many diseases are evaluated via PPI analysis.\textsuperscript{15–18} In the present study, 6 proteins which are dysregulated in response to LLLT in plasma are assessed via PPI network analysis to find possible critical proteins (among the query proteins and also the 100 first neighbors) which are involved in LLLT effects on the body.

Materials and Methods

To assess the effect of LLLT on the body, 6 proteins which are identified as dysregulated proteins after laser application to rats were extracted from the published data by Kilik et al.\textsuperscript{19} Based on the explained methods of that paper, 2 groups of 5-month-old rats were assigned as control and irradiated groups. The exposed group was irradiated for 9 days with a total daily dose of 60.3 J/cm\textsuperscript{2} with 134 irradiation time. Plasma proteins of the 2 groups were made via two-dimensional electrophoresis and 6 differentiated spots including HP, HPX, SERPINA1, FGG, FETUB, and AHSG were identified by the mass spectrometer MALDI TOF/TOF UltralifeXtreme. The details of the methods are explained in the original study.\textsuperscript{19}

The 6 query proteins interacted as an interactome unit by Cytoscape software v3.7.2 via the protein query of the STRING database.\textsuperscript{20} To find more informative data, 100 first neighbors were added to the 6 query proteins and a network was constructed. The network was analyzed by the network analyzer application of Cytoscape and the central nodes based on centrality parameters were determined. Ten percent of the top nodes based on degree value, betweenness centrality, closeness centrality (CC), and stress were identified as central nodes. The central nodes were connected by the STRING database (https://string-db.org/cgi/network?taskId=bik4WQAVFNg98&sessionId=b4ILsdYOYrIb2) and also the description of the central proteins was extracted from STRING.

Results

The 6 query proteins interacted (see Figure 1) to conform to an interactome unit. All of the proteins were connected to each other. To access more information, 100 neighbors were added to the query proteins and the constructed network (Figure 2) was analyzed.

Among the 106 nodes, 10 individuals (about 10%) which were characterized by top amounts of degree were identified as hub nodes. As it is shown in Table 1, the determined hubs are categorized by the highest values of betweenness centrality, CC, and stress. Therefore, the hubs were assigned as central proteins. Connections between the central nodes are presented in Figure 3.
of the central nodes are connected to each other with full capacity. The description of the central proteins (see Table 2) is extracted from STRING (https://string-db.org).

### Discussion

Many attempts are required to achieve an understanding of the mechanism of LLLT and its side effect on the human body. Proteomics is represented as a suitable ability in exploring the molecular mechanism of different cases of diseases or therapeutic methods. In the original study, 6 proteins which were dysregulated after LLLT in the serum of rats were introduced. Network analysis provided the possibility to rate the biological impact of this set of proteins besides the introduction of new proteins that are related to LLLT. The 6 proteins were categorized into 2 groups including the first group (AHSG, FGG, and SERPINA1) and the second group (TP, TPX, and FETUB). The elements of the first group were marked with degree values of 105 while degree values of HP, HPX, and FETUB were 53, 43, and 30 respectively.

The analysis led to introducing 7 neighbor proteins including FGA, ALB, KNG1, FN1, APP, TIMP1, and F5 as high impact proteins in response to LLLT action. As it is tabulated in Table 2, the following terms are attributed to the 10 central proteins: Promotion of endocytosis, involvement in the mineral phase of the bone, the role in wound repair, platelet aggregation, body hemostasis, blood clots, inhibition of trypsin, chymotrypsin and plasminogen activation, decrease of coagulation time, proteolytic activity against insulin and plasmin, binding capacity for water, Ca(2+), Na(+), K(+), fatty acids, hormones, bilirubin and drugs, regulation of the colloidal osmotic pressure of blood, smooth muscle contraction, induction of hypotension, natriuresis and diuresis, degeneration of both neuronal cell bodies and axons, regulation of cell differentiation, migration and cell death and activation, cellular signaling cascades, integrin signaling, erythropoiesis, decrease in the blood glucose level, and mediation of inflammation. It seems the terms are related mainly to body hemostasis.

The investigation revealed that the saliva albumin level changed significantly after LLLT. In this report, it was found that the level of secretory IgA and albumin after radiation (diode 670 nm and Helium-Neon 632.8 nm) were altered in the saliva of the irradiated human. Huang et al evaluated proteomics of acute radiation syndrome of the murine gastrointestinal tract. Based on the finding of this research, KNG1 is dysregulated after radiation. It was expressed that MAPK1, AHSG, C3, MAP2k3, APOE, KNG1, S100A8, and CD36 that were associated with inflammation were dysregulated after the radiation process. Kim et al published data about the effects of low-intensity laser therapy on rats. They reported that immunoreactivity of TIMP1 was inhibited by low-intensity laser therapy. These findings indicate

### Table 1. The 10 Central Proteins

| Gene name | K  | BC   | CC   | Stress |
|-----------|----|------|------|--------|
| AHSG      | 105| 0.018| 1.000| 4350   |
| FGA       | 105| 0.018| 1.000| 4350   |
| FGG       | 105| 0.018| 1.000| 4350   |
| SERPINA1  | 105| 0.018| 1.000| 4350   |
| ALB       | 104| 0.018| 0.991| 4200   |
| KNG1      | 100| 0.015| 0.955| 3664   |
| FN1       | 99 | 0.015| 0.946| 3576   |
| APP       | 98 | 0.014| 0.938| 3434   |
| TIMP1     | 94 | 0.012| 0.905| 2946   |
| F5        | 93 | 0.012| 0.897| 2844   |

Note. K, BC, and CC refer to degree, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality respectively.

### Table 2. Description of 10 Central Proteins, Extracted From STRING (https://string-db.org)

| Protein | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| AHSG    | It promotes endocytosis, possesses opsonic properties and influences the mineral phase of the bone. It shows affinity for calcium and barium ions |
| FGA     | It functions during the early stages of wound repair to stabilize the lesion and guide cell migration during re-epithelialization. It was originally thought to be essential for platelet aggregation. |
| FGG     | It has a major function in hemostasis as one of the primary components of blood clots. In addition, it functions during the early stages of wound repair to stabilize the lesion and guide cell migration during re-epithelialization. It was originally thought to be essential for platelet aggregation. |
| SERPINA1| It irreversibly inhibits trypsin, chymotrypsin and plasminogen activator. The aberrant form inhibits insulin-induced NO synthesis in platelets, decreases coagulation time and has proteolytic activity against insulin and plasmin |
| ALB     | It has a good binding capacity for water, Ca(2+), Na(+), K(+), fatty acids, hormones, bilirubin and drugs. Its main function is the regulation of the colloidal osmotic pressure of blood. The major zinc transporter in plasma typically binds about 80% of all plasma zinc. |
| KNG1    | It plays an important role in blood coagulation, inhibits the thrombin- and plasmin-induced aggregation of thrombocytes, influences smooth muscle contraction, induces hypotension, natriuresis and diuresis, decreases the blood glucose level, and is a mediator of inflammation |
| FN1     | Endogenous ligands |
| APP     | It triggers caspase activation and degeneration of both neuronal cell bodies (via caspase-3) and axons (via caspase-6). |
| TIMP1   | It functions as a growth factor that regulates cell differentiation, migration and cell death and activates cellular signaling cascades via CD63 and ITGB1. It plays a role in integrin signaling, it mediates erythropoiesis in vitro. |
| F5      | It is the central regulator of hemostasis. |
that the neighbor proteins are involved in the alteration after radiation application. In summary, 2 parameters were considered to find the effective proteins in response to radiation in the body; first, the direct involvement of the protein in response to radiation, and second, the important role of the explored proteins. Our analysis showed that 50% of the introduced proteins including FGG, AHSG, and SERPINA1 are the critical proteins and the others (HP, HIX, and FETUB) have a mild role in body response to radiation. Furthermore, in the present study, 7 neighbor proteins were introduced as effective proteins to respond to radiation; however, they were not presented in the original research.

Conclusion

FGG, AHSG, SERPINA1, TIMP1, KNG1, F5, APP, FGA, FN1, and ALB were introduced as the critical proteins that are involved in response to LLLT. Significant roles of the determined proteins are recognized as the regulation of body hemostasis and wound repair. The findings support the application of LLLT in medicine.
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