Abstract—This study takes Changzhou Institute of Engineering as a sample to conduct a survey, aiming to investigate EFL teachers’ perceptions towards blended learning, what the teachers have done to do with this teaching model and what are the challenges for teachers in the process of implementing blended learning. The paper analyzes the results and makes some suggestions on how to improve blended learning and cope with teachers’ challenges in an appropriate way for EFL teachers.

Index Terms—Blended learning, EFL teachers’ perceptions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since English has long been the international communication language in the world as well as the most important second language in non-English speaking countries, English is listed as one of the three core subjects in middle schools in China and one essential subject in colleges and universities. Researchers and English teachers have been seeking for a more effective and efficient way to better teach English learners. Learners and educators worldwide have been exposed to new information and communication technologies in order to stimulate and further enhance the learning process. The introduction of blended learning environment using learning management system chosen by universities and colleges can indeed bring positive impact on students’ performance in their studies. Reference [1] affirms that “Blended Learning is the combination of different training media (technologies, activities, and types of events) to create an optimum training program for a specific audience.” Besides, as stated by Reference [2], “research shows that through BL, students become far more active in their own learning, feeling more technologically empowered and able to learn anywhere and anytime in the manner that best suits their lifestyle.” Blended learning is obviously beneficial to learners because it encourages active teaching methods and active learning. By using active learning, students take the lead in their own learning. They regard their teachers as a partner to guide them through the learning process and motivate them for further endeavors [3]. More important, research suggested that active learning can lead to greater retention of knowledge, a stronger motivation to learn, deeper understanding, and more positive attitudes on the subject being taught [4].

Changzhou Institute of Engineering is a state-owned higher vocational college. The school itself has developed an online teaching platform successfully in which teachers are able to implement blended learning and teaching model, which is called Gongcheng Cloud Platform. Gongcheng Cloud Platform has been put into use for five years and it provides a platform for both teachers and students to interact with each other in class and after class. Teachers are able to make use of it by logging into mobile phones or desktops to design pre-tasks, in-class activities and post-tasks and deliver them to the platform so that students could receive through their mobile phones or desktops. In class teachers can interact with students by a variety of activities. Students can give response to all the tasks in real time on their own mobile phones and teachers can monitor students’ performance after class and make an real-time assessment. The platform can statistically produce a report about teachers’ teaching design and students’ performance in an overall and detailed way in terms of attendance rates, participating rates, tasks completing rates, etc. English teachers in Changzhou Institute are all involved in the participation of Gongcheng Cloud Platform. Therefore, this research mainly focuses on investigation of English teachers’ perception towards their practice of blended learning.

II. METHODOLOGY

This research aimed to make a survey of English teachers’ attitudes and thoughts about blended learning. The investigation was conducted in Changzhou Institute of Engineering in China. Changzhou Institute of Engineering is one of the top higher vocational colleges in Jiangsu China and aims at fostering comprehensively developed technical graduates for industries. Gongcheng Cloud Platform is a combination that integrates classroom teaching with after-class autonomous learning. All the English teachers have been using this platform since it was set up within the school Internet five years ago. So Teachers’ perspective about blended learing is the main target of this research.

A. Participants

The participants for this study were 20 teachers who teach English language as a foreign language in Changzhou Institute of Engineering. They are responsible for teaching a course of Comprehensive English for about 10,000 students. Six of them are male and fourteen of them are female. Most of them are experienced teachers, 60% of them have 11-20 years of teaching English, 15% of them have 21-30 years of teaching English and 25% of them have more than 30 years of teaching English.

B. Research Design

This study is a quantitative design. A questionnaire for
teacher was used in this study. The questionnaire was completed by using WeChat, a kind of social software that can be easily stalled on mobile phones. The questionnaire consisted of 9 questions, which are chosen and adapted from Reference [5], divided into three categories, namely reasons for adopting blended learning, technologies used in blended teaching and teachers’ challenges in the process of implementing blended learning.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The data obtained from the questionnaire were analyzed to determine teachers’ perception towards blended learning as well as challenges in the implementation of blended learning.

A. Reasons Why Teachers Choose Blended Learning

Teachers’ perceptions about why they prefer to make a choice of blended learning was explored in terms of 11 items. As shown in Table I, 95% of the teachers think the reason for them to choose blended learning is to create a student-centered learning environment, the second largest percentage is 80%, the teachers assume that they want to support face-to-face teaching approach, communicate through social networking, create motivating learning environment and have flexible learning time and location. The next percentage if from 75% to 55%, teachers believe in developing independent learning, creating individually tailored solution, creating interesting lessons., increasing accessibility of learning materials and supporting collaboration. The least proportion is 25%, which focuses on reducing class time. Obviously, the first concern for teachers is to encourage autonomous learning, independent learning and individualized learning. The next emphasis lays on collaborative learning environment and the use of technology and the final attention is more learning materials and class time reduction.

| Items                                      | Total | Percentages |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| support face-to-face teaching approach      | 16    | 80%         |
| support collaboration                      | 12    | 60%         |
| communication through social networking    | 16    | 80%         |
| increase accessibility of learning materials| 13    | 65%         |
| reduce class time                          | 5     | 25%         |
| create interesting lesson                  | 11    | 55%         |
| create a student-centred learning environment| 19    | 95%         |
| create motivating learning environment      | 16    | 80%         |
| flexible learning time and location        | 16    | 80%         |
| develop independent learning skills         | 15    | 75%         |
| create individually                        | 12    | 60%         |

B. Technologies Adopted in the Classroom

As we can see from Table II, all the teachers use PowerPoint, and 85% of the teachers adopt audience response systems, finally 65% of the participants make use of interactive whiteboards. Apparently PowerPoint is the most widely used technology in blended learning.

| Items                                      | Total | Percentages |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| PowerPoint                                 | 20    | 100%        |
| interactive whiteboards                    | 12    | 60%         |
| audience response systems                  | 17    | 85%         |
| Valid numbers of participants              | 20    |             |

C. Virtual Communication Tools through Internet Audio Files

From Table III, we can see that the relatively high percentage, between 85% and 50%, consist of discussion groups, questionnaires, discussion boards, chat and email, while the relatively lower proportions are videoconferencing, web forms, polling, conferencing, and e-lists.

| Items                                      | Total | Percentages |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| discussion boards                          | 11    | 55%         |
| e-lists                                    | 2     | 10%         |
| discussion groups                          | 17    | 85%         |
| chat                                       | 13    | 65%         |
| conferencing                               | 4     | 20%         |
| email                                      | 10    | 50%         |
| polling                                    | 5     | 25%         |
| questionnaires                             | 14    | 70%         |
| web forms                                  | 9     | 45%         |
| Valid numbers of participants              | 20    |             |

D. Social-Networking Software

Table IV shows us 90% of the teachers use instant messaging in their teaching, video clips, social-networking sites, phone calls are second largest software’s adopted, ranging from 75% to 70%, half of the teachers use weblogs, but podcasts, virtual worlds and wikis only account for 20% to 25%.

| Items                                      | Total | Percentages |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| instant messaging                          | 18    | 90%         |
| phone calls                                | 14    | 70%         |
| podcasts                                   | 5     | 25%         |
| social-networking                          | 14    |             |
E. E-learning Systems

It can be seen from Table V that 90% of the teachers rely on E-learning systems and 85% of group collaboration software, however, the percentage of the those who depend on conferencing systems is merely 45%.

| TABLE V: E-LEARNING SYSTEMS |
|-----------------------------|
| Items                      | Total | Percentages |
| E-learning systems         | 18    | 90%         |
| conferencing systems       | 9     | 45%         |
| group collaboration software| 17    | 85%         |
| Valid numbers of participants | 20  |             |

F. Social-Networking Software

Table VI tells us the percentages of those who take advantage of mobile phones, laptops and tablet PCs are 100%, 85% and 45% respectively.

| TABLE VI: MOBILE LEARNING GROUP SITES |
|-------------------------------------|
| Items                               | Total | Percentages |
| mobile phones                       | 20    | 100%        |
| laptops                             | 17    | 85%         |
| tablet PCs                          | 9     | 45%         |
| Valid numbers of participants       | 20    |             |

G. Challenges Teachers Are Faced with

Table VII illustrates that more than 75% of the teachers assume that the biggest challenges are to respect diverse talents and ways of learning, encourage Student-Faculty Contact, encourage collaborative learning, encourage active learning, give Prompt Feedback and apply technology. The less competitive challenges are to set and communicate high expectations as well as emphasize time on task.

| TABLE VII: CHALLENGES TEACHERS ARE FACED WITH |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Items                                         | Total | Percentages |
| Encourage Student-Faculty Contact            | 15    | 75%         |
| Encourage collaborative learning             | 15    | 75%         |
| Encourage active learning                     | 15    | 75%         |
| Give Prompt Feedback                          | 16    | 80%         |
| Emphasize time on task                        | 9     | 45%         |
| Set and communicate high expectations         | 13    | 65%         |

The following are some suggestions for teachers with regard to dealing with pressure. Firstly, helping students to be autonomous learners. Being an autonomous learner does not happen overnight, but demands working systematically in a process. The learning of a foreign language demands practice and developing not only cognitive but metacognitive strategies that enable students to become aware of their weaknesses and strengths and what strategies help them learn. Cognitive strategies are one type of learning strategies that learners use in order to learn more successfully. These include repetition organizing new language, summarising meaning, guessing meaning from context, using imagery for memorization. All of these strategies involve deliberate manipulation of language to improve learning. In the classroom activities which can be described as cognitive strategies include mind maps, visualization, association, mnemonics, using clues in reading comprehension, underlying key words, scanning and self-testing and monitoring. Metacognitive strategies refer to methods used to help students understand the way they learn; in other words, it means processes designed for students to ‘think’ about their ‘thinking’ [6]. Teachers who use metacognitive strategies can positively impact students who have learning disabilities by helping them to make an appropriate plan for learning information, which can be memorized and eventually routine.

As students become aware of how they learn, they will use these processes to efficiently acquire new information, and consequently, become more of an independent thinker. Making proper use of cognitive and metacognitive strategies enables students to reconsider appropriateness of the way they choose to learn English language and learn to monitor and adjust their learning methods and strategies. In the meantime, in this recognition of what works or does not for a learner, the EFL teachers’ intervention in order to help students recognize these aspects is crucial since the teachers are their guides through their learning process and that is important for reminding students that “practice makes perfect.”

Next, being creative and resourceful. Students expect you to be creative and not only use appealing resources but to change them and get students to explore English through different learning experiences while drawing on the different learning styles. Teachers must avoid being monotonous in their practice and try new things in terms of EFL learning. This could be accomplished by using a BL model. This might be a big challenge for EFL teachers because providing students interesting learning materials isn’t an easy job. Teachers could take into account theory of multimodality to provide students aural, spatial and visual resources.

Thirdly, looking for training and support. Some teachers and students claim to be technophobic or avoid using technology because it seems to be difficult and time consuming.
consuming. However, in this globalized world, where the exchanging of cultures and information prevail, teachers, students and policy makers need to rethink how technology can be integrated into the EFL classroom in such a way that technology becomes a means to an end. In order to reach this goal, teachers require getting enough training in terms of technological literacy and methodological tools to create a BL course [7]. Furthermore, teachers need support to handle problems regarding technology so that they can help their students when these issues emerge.

Finally, designing a needs analysis. After the decision of implementing BL as one of the focal points of the curriculum, a needs analysis is required in order to analyze who our students are and what their preferences and learning styles are. As stated by Reference [8], there are different kinds of unit designs based on needs analysis you can create: body of knowledge, specific competences, social activities and problems, feelings and attitudes, and needs and interests of the learners. Teachers choose the kind of analysis that is required, taking into account their students and curricular policies.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper explored the reasons why English teachers in CZIE choose blended learning model. The result indicates that EFL teachers assume blended learning is able to facilitate language learners’ autonomy, extend communications between teachers and students, respect individuality and cater for diversified learning styles, integrate with modern technological equipment and so on. In order to better implement blended learning, teachers are supposed to face some challenges, most of which are concerned with a variety of learning styles, teacher-student communication, collaborative and active learning and technology application. Therefore, appropriate training is required for teachers in some aspects, such as autonomous learning theory and strategy, social-networking software application. This study is only conducted in Changzhou Institute of Engineering, so the result might be limited to a certain area, so hopefully this study will be likely to be applied to other colleges in China in the future to extend the research and application of this model. And the learners’ reactions to this model and comments on it are also expected to be surveyed continually.
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