The radio - far infrared correlation in the faintest star forming dwarf galaxies

Sambit Roychowdhury\textsuperscript{1}\textsuperscript{*} and Jayaram N. Chengalur\textsuperscript{1}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{1}NCRA-TIFR, Post Bag 3, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007, India

ABSTRACT
We study the radio - far-infrared (FIR) correlation in a sample of faint dwarf irregular galaxies using NVSS data for 1.4 GHz radio flux, Spitzer MIPS 70\,\mu m data for FIR flux, and GALEX FUV data to estimate the star formation rates (SFR). Since our target galaxies are extremely faint, we stack images of many galaxies together to estimate the average radio and FIR fluxes. We find that for a given SFR both 70\,\mu m and 1.4 GHz fluxes are low compared to the calibration for large spirals. Nonetheless, the ratio of 70\,\mu m to 1.4 GHz flux agrees within errorbars with that seen for large galaxies. The radio-FIR correlation thus appears to be the result of a ‘conspiracy’. We use the SFR to estimate the non-thermal fraction of the 1.4 GHz radio emission and find it to be around 50\%, much smaller than the 90\% typical for spirals. We also estimate the equipartition magnetic field and find it to be $\sim 2\,\mu G$, about five times smaller than that typical for spirals.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Despite many decades of study, (see eg. Condon 1992), the origin of tight correlation between global radio and far infrared (FIR) flux of normal star-forming galaxies is still not well understood. The correlation has been observed to exist over five orders of magnitude in both radio and FIR luminosity (Yun & Reddy 2001) and exists even at intermediate redshifts (Appleton et al. 2004). The correlation has been suggested to be a consequence of both the 1.4 GHz flux and the FIR flux being separately correlated to the star formation rate (SFR). The radio 1.4 GHz emission comes mainly from synchrotron emission from cosmic ray (CR) electrons accelerated in supernova remnants (SNR) interacting with the magnetic field, and the FIR flux comes mainly from dust re-processing of UV photons from young stars; both are hence correlated to the SFR. However, while it is true that both the radio and the FIR fluxes are expected to correlate to the SFR and hence to each other, the tightness of correlation for disparate systems with large differences in magnetic field strengths remains difficult to understand.

Several models have been proposed to explain this tight correlation. In the early ‘calorimeter’ model (Volk 1989), galaxies are assumed to be optically thick to both UV photons and CR electrons, and the energies from both are re-processed completely within the galaxies themselves. The model also assumes source strengths of CR electrons and ionizing photons are both proportional to the SN rate, and that the energy densities of the interstellar radiation field and the magnetic field in all galaxies is a constant. While this model produces a tight radio-FIR correlation, it also predicts radio spectra from star-forming galaxies that are steeper than observed (e.g. Condon 1992, Thompson et al. 2006) which resolves this anomaly by invoking ionization and bremsstrahlung losses at low radio frequencies, and also suggests that magnetic fields in galaxies are much higher than the equipartition field, contributing to the CR electrons loosing their energy in a short timescale. An alternative ‘optically thin ISM’ explanation is proposed by Helou & Bicay (1993), in which most of the UV photons and relativistic CR electrons escape the galaxy disc, the latter because of a proposed correlation between disc scaleheight and escape scalelength of CR electrons. Murgia et al. (2005) present another model in which the radio continuum, CO and FIR emissions are all determined by the hydrostatic pressure in galaxies instead of the SFR. However, despite the large amount of modeling effort, a consensus on the cause of the tight radio-FIR correlation remains elusive.

Most of the above mentioned studies focus on large star forming galaxies. A specific model which includes small dwarf galaxies was presented by Bell (2003). In this model a ‘conspiracy’ maintains the radio-FIR correlation for low luminosity galaxies, viz. the FIR emission reduces because of the low dust content of these galaxies, while the non-thermal radio emission becomes inefficient due to the easy escape of CR electrons. A more recent numerical work by Lacki, Thompson & Quataert (2010) attributes the radio-FIR correlation to ‘calorimetry’, combined with different conspiracies at high and low gas density regimes. At low gas densities more CR electrons escape while low UV opacity causes less FIR emission.

Measuring the radio continuum flux from the faintest dwarf ir-
regular galaxies is interesting even apart from the issue of the radio-FIR correlation. Padovani (2011) predict that star-forming dwarfs will contribute significantly to the number counts at faint levels in the proposed next generation deep sub-\(\mu\)Jy surveys. Measuring the radio continuum flux also allows one to estimate the magnetic fields strengths. Magnetic fields in low mass star-forming dwarfs can give clues to understanding the seeding of the intergalactic fields strengths. Magnetic field strengths comparable to those in normal spiral galaxies have been observed for nearby dwarf galaxies with extreme properties (i.e. evolved objects with recent or ongoing starbursts) like NGC 4459, NGC 1569, NGC 6822, IC 10 (Chyzy et al. 2003; Kepley et al. 2010; Chyzy et al. 2003; 2011). However for faint dwarf irregular galaxies, the available data is very scarce. The SMC has been measured to have a magnetic field strength of \(200\) \(\mu\)G at early epochs by similar low mass galaxies, due to easy escape of material from their shallow gravitational potentials (Bertone, Vogt & Ensslin 2006). Magnetic field strengths comparable to those in normal spiral galaxies have been observed for nearby dwarf galaxies with extreme properties (i.e. evolved objects with recent or ongoing starbursts) like NGC 4459, NGC 1569, NGC 6822, IC 10 (Chyzy et al. 2003; Kepley et al. 2010; Chyzy et al. 2003; 2011). However for faint dwarf irregular galaxies, the available data is very scarce. The SMC has been measured to have a large-scale weak magnetic field of \(\sim 3\) \(\mu\)G (Mao et al. 2008). A systematic survey of radio continuum emission from local group dwarf irregular galaxies (Chyzy et al. 2001) resulted in only 3 new detections with magnetic fields estimated to be \(< 5\) \(\mu\)G.

The dearth of systematic studies of the radio-FIR correlation in faint dwarf irregular galaxies is in large part because of the difficulty of detecting radio continuum emission from them. We present here a study of the radio-FIR correlation where the radio and FIR fluxes are obtained from stacked images of the individual galaxies. Our sample galaxies are chosen from the Faint Irregular Galaxy GMRT Survey (FIGGS) (Begum et al. 2008) sample, which is a systematically selected sample of faint, gas-rich, star-forming dwarf galaxies. Details of the radio, FIR and FUV fluxes are presented in section 2. In Sec. 3 we discuss the implication of our results for the radio-FIR correlation and the magnetic field strength. A summary of our findings is given is Sec. 4.

### 2 PROCEDE AND RESULTS

As described above, we have available to us 70\(\mu\)m and 1.4 GHz fluxes for our sample of faint dwarfs. In order to check the linearity of the radio-FIR correlation, we would need a control sample of large galaxies for which the radio-FIR correlation has been measured using fluxes in these same bands. A suitable sample is provided by the sample of Appleton et al. (2004). These authors give the radio-FIR correlation for a large sample of galaxies in terms of \(S_{70\mu m}/S_{1.4 GHz}\), where \(S_{70\mu m}\) and \(S_{1.4 GHz}\) represent the fluxes as measured by the Spitzer MIPS 70\(\mu\)m band and at 1.4 GHz respectively. We compute below the value of \(q(70)\) for our own sample and compare it with that found by Appleton et al. (2004).

As mentioned above, our sample of dwarf galaxies is drawn from the FIGGS survey. Although GMRT radio continuum data is available for the galaxies (from the line free region of the spectrum) the bandwidths are narrow and the sensitivity is low. The radio continuum data was hence instead taken from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) (Condon et al. 1998). The NVSS has an effective continuum bandwidth of \(\sim 42\) MHz, synthesised beam FWHM (angular resolution) of \(\sim 45''\), and background rms (sensitivity) of \(\sim 0.45\) mJy \(\text{bm}^{-1}\). Far infrared (FIR) fluxes for the galaxies were obtained from archival Spitzer (MIPS) (Rieke et al. 2004) 70\(\mu\)m images. The 70\(\mu\)m band of MIPS has a bandwidth of \(\sim 19\)\(\mu\)m, and an angular resolution of \(\sim 19''\). The star formation rate (SFR) was estimated from archival GALEX FUV band data. The FUV data have a resolution of \(\sim 4''\).

Although all FIGGS sample galaxies have been covered by the NVSS, not all of the NVSS data are useful for the current purpose. Galaxies where there is a background continuum source superposed on the image cannot be used, since their inclusion would bias the radio flux upwards. Visual inspection of all of the NVSS images left us with 57 galaxies that have no strong background source near the galaxy. MIPS 70\(\mu\)m data is available for 26 of the FIGGS galaxies, 13 of these galaxies have detectable emission in the 70\(\mu\)m band. For all of the detected galaxies the 70\(\mu\)m flux is less that 2\(\sigma\) in extent. Therefore we decided to use the standard MIPS pipeline (filtered and mosaiced) processed basic calibrated datasets (PBCDs). Multiple PBCDs for the same galaxy, wherever available, were processed separately. Public GALEX FUV data is available for 46 of the FIGGS galaxies.

As described above, data at different bands is available for different subsets of the FIGGS galaxies. We hence define the following subsamples whose properties we will examine in the following analysis.

(i) 'NVSS' sub-sample consists of the 57 galaxies with available radio continuum data.

(ii) 'MIPS 70\(\mu\)m' sub-sample consists of the 26 galaxies with available FIR data.

(iii) 'FUV' sub-sample consists of the 46 galaxies for which GALEX FUV data is available.

(iv) 'Common' sub-sample consists of 24 galaxies for which 1.4 GHz, MIPS 70\(\mu\)m, and FUV fluxes are available.

Only one galaxy, UGC 5456 is detected at 1.4 GHz in NVSS, and also has detectable 70\(\mu\)m and GALEX FUV emission. The properties of the three subsamples as well as the galaxy UGC 5456, are given in Table 1. As can be seen the mean properties of all of the sub-samples are quite similar, and not surprisingly our results are not very sensitive to which exact sub-sample (or which mixture of sub-samples) is selected for the analysis.

Preliminary processing of the images were done using the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS). Available MIPS 70\(\mu\)m PBCDs have pixel sizes of 4\(''\), and hence NVSS cutout images were obtained with the same pixel sizes. The NVSS cutout images and the MIPS 70\(\mu\)m PBCDs for each galaxy were aligned and the image sizes were made identical using the AIPS task HGEOM, with the galaxy at the centre of each field. The continuum sources visible (other than the galaxy itself, if detected) were identified visually and blanked out using the AIPS task BLANK. The problem of flux lying in the extended wings of the MIPS 70\(\mu\)m beam was removed by convolving each blanked PBCD with the suitable kernel from Aniano et al. (2011), to give a convolved image with a Gaussian beam of FWHM 41\(''\) (comparable to the NVSS beam FWHM). The convolution was done using the
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AIPS task CONVL, and the extracted flux shows about a 10% increase after such a convolution is done. Calibration uncertainties for the MIPS 70μm band is about 5% (Gordon et al. 2007), and this 5% was taken to be the floor of the measurement errors. Using the values from Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998), assuming $A_V/E(B - V) = 3.1$, and the reddening curve from Li & Draine (2001), we find that the change in flux due to Galactic extinction even in the direction of maximum Galactic extinction (towards the galaxy KKH 98) is less than 1%. The uncertainties in the measured FIR due to other factors is much more, therefore we ignore the correction due to Galactic extinction.

The radio maps for the ‘NVSS’ and ‘common’ sub-samples, as well as the FIR PBCDs for the ‘MIPS 70μm’ and ‘common’ sub-samples, were stacked separately. The images were co-added after being weighted by the inverse of the variance of the flux in the background pixels. The co-added images for various subsamples, as well as those for the galaxy UGC 5456, are shown in Figure 1. The background rms level of the stacked ‘NVSS’ sub-sample radio image, obtained after co-adding 57 images, was ∼66 μJy beam$^{-1}$. Correspondingly, the background rms level of the stacked ‘MIPS 70μm’ sub-sample image, obtained after co-adding 51 PBCDs with median background rms level ∼0.2 MJy sr$^{-1}$, was ∼0.03 MJy sr$^{-1}$. As expected, stacking N images together resulted in the background rms level going down as √N.

Fluxes were extracted from the 1.4 GHz and 70μm images using the following procedure. Isophotes were fitted to the image using the IRAF image processing software, and the isophote where the mean flux value falls to the background flux level is identified. The total flux in each case was extracted by summing over the flux in all the pixels included within the above mentioned isophote, using the AIPS task BLSUM. The fluxes thus extracted are listed in Table 2. Note that the fluxes of the stacked radio sources obtained from the ‘NVSS’ and ‘common’ subsamples, and the fluxes of the stacked FIR sources obtained from the ‘MIPS 70μm’ and ‘common’ subsamples, agree within the measurement uncertainties.

For the ‘common’ sub-sample, the q70 value is 2.0 ± 0.4. If one assumes that the ‘NVSS’ sub-sample gives a fair measure of the average 1.4 GHz flux of the FIGGS galaxies, and the ‘MIPS 70μm’ sub-sample gives a fair measure of the 70μm flux of the FIGGS galaxies, then the q70 for the FIGGS sample as a whole is ∼ 2.0 ± 0.2. For UGC 5456 alone the q70 is 2.3 ± 0.3. All of these results (which are also listed in Table 2) agree within the error bars. Interestingly, they also agree with the value of 2.15 ± 0.16 obtained by Appleton et al. (2004) for a sample of large galaxies.

Table 1. Sample Properties

| Sample/Galaxy | number of galaxies | $M_B$ | $D_{H_0}$ | $M_{HI}$ | $D$ |
|---------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|----------|-----|
| NVSS          | 57                | −13.1 | 1.7       | 2.8      | 4.8 |
| MIPS 70μm     | 26                | −13.1 | 2.0       | 2.6      | 3.4 |
| FUV           | 46                | −13.1 | 1.7       | 2.6      | 4.5 |
| common        | 24                | −13.1 | 2.0       | 2.2      | 3.4 |
| UGC 5456      | 1                 | −15.1 | 1.9       | 5.9      | 5.6 |

1 Absolute blue magnitude, median value for the subsamples; 2 Holmberg diameter, mean value for the subsamples; 3 total HI mass, median value for the subsamples; 4 distance, mean value for the subsamples.

Table 2. calculated fluxes and q70

| Sample/galaxy | 1.4 GHz flux (mJy) | 70μm flux (mJy) | q70 |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-----|
| NVSS          | 0.9±0.2            | 83±5           | 2.0±0.2* |
| MIPS 70μm     |                    | 90±8           |      |
| common        | 0.8±0.3            | 560±30         | 2.0±0.4 |
| UGC5456       | 3±1                | 2.3±0.3        |

* Using FIR flux from ‘MIPS 70μm’ sub-sample and radio flux from ‘NVSS’ sub-sample

over the q70 values for the individual galaxies in his sample. On the other hand, the q70 values we quote (leaving aside the q70 estimate for UGC 5456) are for the ratio of the means of the 1.4 GHz and 70μm fluxes for the galaxies in various sub-samples. We hence computed the same quantity using the data from Appleton et al. (2004). The value we get 1.99 ± 0.17 (where the error bars have been computed using bootstrap re-sampling) agrees within the error bars with the values we get for the different FIGGS sub-samples.
Table 3. calculations based on deduced fluxes

| Sample/ galaxy | SFR<sub>FUV</sub> (M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>) | L<sub>Hα</sub> (ergs s<sup>-1</sup>) | L<sub>70 µm</sub> (ergs s<sup>-1</sup>) | L<sub>1.4 GHz</sub> (W Hz<sup>-1</sup>) | L<sub>70%</sub> (W Hz<sup>-1</sup>) | L<sub>thermal</sub> (W Hz<sup>-1</sup>) | Non-thermal percentage | B<sub>κ</sub> (μG) |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| NVSS           | 3.8 × 10<sup>−3</sup> | 5.7 × 10<sup>38</sup> | 2.5 × 10<sup>18</sup> | 6.9 × 10<sup>18</sup> | 1.2 × 10<sup>18</sup> | ~8 × 10<sup>17</sup> | ~70% | ~1.6 |
| MIPS 70µm      | 3.0 × 10<sup>−3</sup> | 4.1 × 10<sup>38</sup> | 1.3 × 10<sup>39</sup> | 1.2 × 10<sup>20</sup> | 1.2 × 10<sup>18</sup> | 5.4 × 10<sup>18</sup> | 9 × 10<sup>17</sup> | ~7 × 10<sup>17</sup> | ~40% | ~1.4 |
| common         | 3.0 × 10<sup>−3</sup> | 4.1 × 10<sup>38</sup> | 1.4 × 10<sup>39</sup> | 1.2 × 10<sup>20</sup> | 1.2 × 10<sup>18</sup> | 5.4 × 10<sup>18</sup> | 9 × 10<sup>17</sup> | ~7 × 10<sup>17</sup> | ~40% | ~1.4 |
| UGC 5456       | 1.9 × 10<sup>−2</sup> | 4.5 × 10<sup>39</sup> | 2.4 × 10<sup>20</sup> | 6.0 × 10<sup>20</sup> | 1.1 × 10<sup>19</sup> | 3.4 × 10<sup>19</sup> | 8 × 10<sup>18</sup> | ~6 × 10<sup>18</sup> | ~50% | ~1.8 |

* The name of the sub-sample / galaxy; µ the mean SFR for the sample galaxies as estimated from the measured FUV emission; c the estimated Hα luminosity; d the measured 70µm luminosity; e the expected 70µm luminosity for the listed Hα flux; f the measured 1.4 GHz luminosity; g the expected 1.4 GHz luminosity for the given SFR (FUV based), estimated using the calibration in Bell (2003) for L>L< sub>☉</sub> galaxies; h the expected 1.4 GHz luminosity for the given SFR (FUV based), estimated using the calibration in Bell (2003) for L>L< sub>☉</sub> galaxies; i the estimated thermal emission at 1.4 GHz; j the estimated percentage flux of non-thermal origin at 1.4 GHz; k the estimated equipartition magnetic field.

### 3 DISCUSSION

From Table 3 it is evident that, within the measurement uncertainties the radio-FIR correlation holds for faint dwarf irregular galaxies. However, it is unclear if the radio-FIR correlation continue to hold because the radio and FIR fluxes both trace the SFR, or because of a ‘conspiracy’ in which both of the tracers under-predict the star formation rate by about the same amount. To distinguish between these possibilities we now check how the FIR and radio fluxes separately compare to the fluxes that would be expected given their SFR and the radio and FIR SFR calibrations for large galaxies. The various relevant calculated quantities for the different sub-samples and UGC 5456, using the fluxes measured as described in the last section, are tabulated in Table 3. The SFRs listed in Column(2) were calculated using the calibrations given in Kennicutt (1998), after accounting for foreground Galactic extinction using extinction values of Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) and formulae from Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989). No correction for extinction due to dust within the galaxies were made, as such corrections are found to be small for the few brighter galaxies with detectable dust emission (dust fluxes and flux limits for a large number of FIGGS galaxies can be found in Roychowdhury et al. 2011). It is known that at low SFR the Hα emission is suppressed compared to what one would expect from the calibration between SFR and Hα flux at high SFR (Lee et al. 2009). It is known that at low SFR the Hα emission is suppressed compared to what one would expect from the calibration between SFR and Hα flux at high SFR (Lee et al. 2009). It is known that at low SFR the Hα emission is suppressed compared to what one would expect from the calibration between SFR and Hα flux at high SFR (Lee et al. 2009). It is known that at low SFR the Hα emission is suppressed compared to what one would expect from the calibration between SFR and Hα flux at high SFR (Lee et al. 2009).

From the values listed in Table 3 it is clear that our sample galaxies have fainter 70µm and 1.4 GHz luminosities than expected from their SFR. In terms of the numerical modelling of Lacki, Thompson & Quataert (2010), star-forming dwarf galaxies are neither radio nor FIR calibrator. However both these quantities are suppressed by approximately the same amount, and hence the radio-FIR correlation continues to hold, i.e. the ‘conspiracy’ allowed to by Bell (2003) appears to hold for the faintest star forming galaxies, it is interesting to note in this context, that the formula given in Bell (2003) for converting the 1.4 GHz flux into a SFR appears to work reasonably well for our galaxies, even though the 1.4 GHz flux levels of our sample is about an order of magnitude lower than the that in his sample.

As discussed above the 1.4 GHz flux of our sample galaxies appears suppressed. It would be interesting to check if this translates into a change in the ratio of thermal to non-thermal emission. For example if the magnetic fields in dwarf galaxies are weak and/or cosmic ray confinement is less as compared to large galaxies, one would expect the non-thermal emission to be suppressed. To estimate the ratio of non-thermal and thermal parts of the radio flux from the sources, we use Caplan & Deharveng (1986)’s method to estimate the thermal radio flux from the estimated Hα flux. Caplan & Deharveng (1986) give a relation to compute the expected 5 GHz flux from the observed Hα flux assuming case B recombination, electron density of 100 cm<sup>−3</sup> and temperature of 10,000 K. We translate this to the expected 1.4 GHz flux assuming a spectral index of α = 0.1 (S ∝ ν<sup>−α</sup>) for the thermal emission. This estimated thermal contribution to the 1.4 GHz luminosity is listed in Col.(9) of Table 3 while Col.(10) gives the corresponding non-thermal fraction of the total 1.4 GHz emission. For normal spirals, typically 90% of the radio flux at 1.4 GHz is non-thermal in origin (Condon 1992, Niklas, Klein & Wielebinski 1997, Basu et al. 2012). In contrast, for our sample galaxies it appears that a significant fraction of the 1.4 GHz flux appears to be thermal in origin. We note that because of the uncertainties in the conversion from the FUV flux to the formation rate of massive stars (see eg. Lee et al. 2009, Roychowdhury et al 2011) and hence the thermal radio flux the possibility that all of the observed flux luminosities measured by us compare better with the calibration for faint (L<sub>L<sub>☉</sub></sub>) galaxies, i.e. are consistent with a non-linear relation between the SFR and the 1.4 GHz flux. How the values listed in Columns(9) through (11) were arrived at, are discussed in detail below.

As discussed above the 1.4 GHz flux of our sample galaxies appears suppressed. It would be interesting to check if this translates into a change in the ratio of thermal to non-thermal emission. For example if the magnetic fields in dwarf galaxies are weak and/or cosmic ray confinement is less as compared to large galaxies, one would expect the non-thermal emission to be suppressed. To estimate the ratio of non-thermal and thermal parts of the radio flux from the sources, we use Caplan & Deharveng (1986)’s method to estimate the thermal radio flux from the estimated Hα flux. Caplan & Deharveng (1986) give a relation to compute the expected 5 GHz flux from the observed Hα flux assuming case B recombination, electron density of 100 cm<sup>−3</sup> and temperature of 10,000 K. We translate this to the expected 1.4 GHz flux assuming a spectral index of α = 0.1 (S ∝ ν<sup>−α</sup>) for the thermal emission. This estimated thermal contribution to the 1.4 GHz luminosity is listed in Col.(9) of Table 3 while Col.(10) gives the corresponding non-thermal fraction of the total 1.4 GHz emission. For normal spirals, typically 90% of the radio flux at 1.4 GHz is non-thermal in origin (Condon 1992, Niklas, Klein & Wielebinski 1997, Basu et al. 2012). In contrast, for our sample galaxies it appears that a significant fraction of the 1.4 GHz flux appears to be thermal in origin. We note that because of the uncertainties in the conversion from the FUV flux to the formation rate of massive stars (see eg. Lee et al. 2009, Roychowdhury et al 2011) and hence the thermal radio flux the possibility that all of the observed flux luminosities measured by us compare better with the calibration for faint (L<sub>L<sub>☉</sub></sub>) galaxies, i.e. are consistent with a non-linear relation between the SFR and the 1.4 GHz flux. How the values listed in Columns(9) through (11) were arrived at, are discussed in detail below.
comes thermal emission cannot be ruled out. Earlier studies have found thermal fractions higher than those in normal spirals, in local group starburst dwarfs like IC 10 (Chyzy et al. 2003, in the SMC (Loiseau et al. 1987) and BCDs (Klein, Weiland & Brinks 1991). Consistent with this, Heesen et al. (2011) find that in IC10 the measured radio emission is much lower than what is expected from the SFR possibly due to escape of a substantial fraction of the CR electrons.

Next, from the non-thermal 1.4 GHz flux (i.e. the difference between the total flux and the estimated thermal flux), the equipartition magnetic field can be estimated using the formula given in Beck & Krause (2005). The basic assumption behind this calculation is the equipartition of energy densities between the magnetic field and the cosmic rays. We make the following other assumptions about various parameters: the synchrotron emission spectral index in 0.7, the proton to electron number density is 100, and magnetic field is totally turbulent with all possible inclinations between the field and the sky plane at different locations in the galaxy. The synchrotron pathlength through the galaxy is taken to be the minor axis length. Dwarf galaxies have thick HI as well as stellar disc (Roychowdhury et al. 2010; Sanchez-Janssen, Mendez-Abreu & Aguerri 2010), with mean axial ratio for the HI disk the galaxy is taken to be the minor axis length. Dwarf galaxies have thick HI as well as stellar discs (Roychowdhury et al. 2010; Sanchez-Janssen, Mendez-Abreu & Aguerri 2010), with mean axial ratio for the HI disk ~ 0.6. For the stacked sources, the mean Holmberg diameter as listed in Table 1 is hence multiplied by 0.6 to obtain the minimum synchrotron pathlength. The estimated equipartition magnetic fields are listed in Col. (11) of Table 3, and can be seen to be ~ 2µG. This is about 5 times lower than the magnetic field strength of normal spirals (Beck 2005).

4 SUMMARY

We study the radio-FIR correlation for some of the faintest known star forming galaxies using radio and FIR flux estimates obtained by stacking the images of individual galaxies. We also use the observed SFR in these galaxies to estimate the expected amount of radio and FIR flux, assuming the SFR calibrations that hold for large galaxies. We estimate the expected thermal radio emission at 1.4 GHz using the observed SFR, as well as the equipartition magnetic field. Our findings are as follows:

(i) For their measured SFR both the 70µm and 1.4 GHz flux for dwarf irregular galaxies is low compared to the calibration for large spirals.

(ii) The ratio of 70µm to 1.4 GHz flux (q70) however agrees within errorbars with that seen for larger galaxies. The radio-FIR correlation thus appears to be the result of a ‘conspiracy’ where both the 70µm to 1.4 GHz decrease non-linearly with SFR compared to large spirals. Thus dwarf galaxies do not act as ‘calorimeters’ for either CR electrons or UV photons.

(iii) The inferred thermal fraction of the emission at 1.4 GHz for our sample galaxies is ~ 50%, much larger than the 10% typical for spirals.

(iv) The estimated equipartition magnetic field is ~ 2µG, about 5 times smaller than the typical large-scale overall magnetic field in spirals.
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