Widespread sleep deprivation is a continuing public health problem in the United States and worldwide affecting adolescents and adults. Acute sleep deprivation results in decrements in spatial memory and cognitive impairments. The hippocampus is vulnerable to acute sleep deprivation with changes in gene expression, cell signaling, and protein synthesis. Sleep deprivation also has long lasting effects on memory and performance that persist after recovery sleep, as seen in behavioral studies from invertebrates to humans. Although previous research has shown that acute sleep deprivation impacts gene expression, the extent to which sleep deprivation affects gene regulation remains unknown. Using an unbiased deep RNA sequencing approach, we investigated the effects of acute sleep deprivation on gene expression in the hippocampus. We identified 1,146 genes that were significantly dysregulated following sleep deprivation with 507 genes upregulated and 639 genes downregulated, including protein coding genes and long non-coding RNAs not previously identified as impacted by sleep deprivation. Notably, genes significantly upregulated after sleep deprivation were associated with RNA splicing and the nucleus. In contrast, downregulated genes were associated with cell adhesion, dendritic localization, the synapse, and postsynaptic membrane. Furthermore, we found through independent experiments analyzing a subset of genes that three hours of recovery sleep following acute sleep deprivation was sufficient to normalize mRNA abundance for most genes, although exceptions occurred for some genes that may affect RNA splicing or transcription. These results clearly demonstrate that sleep deprivation differentially regulates gene expression on multiple transcriptomic levels to impact hippocampal function.
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Introduction
Sleep deprivation is a widespread public health problem in the United States and countries around the globe [1]. In the United States, estimates suggest that nearly 70% of adults and teenagers have insufficient sleep at least one day per month [2–4]. Acute sleep deprivation results in cognitive impairments (reviewed in [5]), as well as the exacerbation of neuropsychiatric and mood disorders (reviewed in [6, 7]). The decrements in cognitive function and performance induced by acute sleep deprivation create an economic burden with decreased workplace productivity as well as increased accident risk encumbering public safety [8–11]. Moreover, acute sleep deprivation results in increased levels of amyloid-beta as well as increased levels of tau in cerebral spinal fluid and plasma, which are pathological markers associated with increased risk of Alzheimer’s disease [12, 13].

The impact of sleep deprivation on long-term memory is phylogenetically conserved as seen in behavioral studies of invertebrates to rodent models to human subjects [14–18]. Moreover, the effects of acute sleep deprivation...
on memory can extend for days, even with recovery sleep. For example, in the marine mollusk *Aplysia*, the effects of acute sleep deprivation persist for at least 48 h inhibiting the formation of long-term memory [17]. Similarly, in humans, acute deprivation impairs episodic memory and hippocampus dependent memory associations for more than two days, despite recovery sleep [18]. Long-lasting cellular mechanisms such as changes in gene regulation ostensibly underlie the conserved persistent effects of acute sleep deprivation on memory. The hippocampus is particularly susceptible to the impacts of sleep deprivation with changes apparent in cellular signaling, protein synthesis, and neuronal connectivity following sleep deprivation [12, 18–22], although studies differ as to the effect of acute sleep deprivation on dendritic structure [13, 22–24]. Studies have highlighted the effects of sleep deprivation on gene expression and protein synthesis in the hippocampus [25–27], with more recent analyses conducted to identify gene networks and hubs correlated with sleep deprivation [28]. Sleep deprivation also induces epigenetic alterations affecting gene expression in animal models and humans ([29, 30] and reviewed in [31]). Enhancement of global gene transcription through inhibition of histone deacetylation has been shown to rescue hippocampus-dependent memory and synaptic plasticity in sleep deprived mice [32]. Thus, our understanding of the extent and specificity of sleep deprivation on gene regulation remains incomplete.

To more fully detail the effects of acute sleep deprivation on transcription, we investigated the effect of 5 h of sleep deprivation on gene expression in the hippocampus using an unbiased deep RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) approach. We identified 1146 genes differentially regulated after sleep deprivation. Genes significantly upregulated were preferentially associated with the nucleus with functions in RNA binding and processing, whereas genes significantly downregulated after sleep deprivation were associated with cell adhesion, the synapse, dendrites, and postsynaptic membrane. Through comparison with a recently published data set analyzing the effects of acute sleep deprivation on ribosome associated transcripts in excitatory neurons of the hippocampus [27], we found a considerable difference between the number of genes regulated by sleep deprivation at the total RNA level in the ribosome associated pool of transcripts. Genes regulated by sleep deprivation at both the transcriptional and translational levels showed enrichment in protein kinase and phosphatase activity, as well as potassium and cation channel activity. Functions enriched with genes regulated by sleep deprivation only in the transcriptome included transcription factor binding, histone deacetylase activity, nucleotide binding, nucleotide exchange factor activity and small GTPase regulator activity; whereas genes regulated solely in the translatome displayed network enrichment for the unfolded protein binding pathway, protein binding, peptide binding, protein dimerization and ubiquitin binding. The data set generated with this research highlights the differences in biological function between genes upregulated after sleep deprivation and those downregulated demonstrating the gene specific effects of sleep deprivation and recovery sleep on gene regulation.

**Materials and methods**

**Animals**

C57BL/6J (Jackson Labs, #000664) male mice between 3–4 months of age were used for all experiments. Mice were housed in groups of up to five under 12 h light/12 h dark cycle with ad libitum access to food and water in a temperature and humidity controlled room (22 °C and 55±5%, respectively). Mice were maintained under standard conditions consistent with National Institute of Health guidelines and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Iowa.

**Sleep deprivation and recovery**

**Sleep deprivation experiments**

One week prior to sleep deprivation mice were single housed with corn cob bedding as previously described [25–27]. Three days prior to sleep deprivation, mice were gently handled for 3 min, with cages lightly tapped or moved. Sleep deprivation was performed starting at the beginning of the light cycle to control for circadian differences in gene expression. Sleep deprivation was carried out for 5 h using the gentle handling method [14, 25]. Animals were monitored continuously with minimal cage tapping and then cage shakes as necessary disturbances to achieve sleep deprivation. Non-sleep deprived mice were placed in a room by themselves with lighting and humidity similar to the sleep deprivation room and left undisturbed for 5 h.

**Recovery sleep experiments**

To study recovery from sleep deprivation, mice were sleep deprived for 5 h and then returned to the housing room for 3 h to recover. Control animals were left undisturbed throughout the period of sleep deprivation and recovery sleep. For all experiments, control and experimental animals were sacrificed at the same time to avoid circadian confounds. At the end of undisturbed sleep, sleep deprivation, or recovery, hippocampi were removed and flash frozen. Gene analysis for recovery sleep samples was performed using quantitative real-time PCR.
RNA extraction
Hippocampal samples were homogenized in Qiazol (Invitrogen) and phase separated using chloroform followed by centrifugation at 14,000g for 15 min. RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) with DNA removed with RNase-Free DNase (Qiagen). Samples were resuspended in RNase-free water and quantified using the Nanodrop 1 and the Agilent Bioanalyzer. Samples with an OD 260/280 and OD 260/230 ratio close to 2.0 and RNA integrity number (RIN) above 8 were selected for library preparation.

RNA library preparation and sequencing
RNA libraries were prepared at the Iowa Institute of Human Genetics, Genomics Division using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with Ribo-Zero gold sample preparation kit (Illumina). Library concentrations were measured with the KAPA Illumina Library Quantification Kit (KAPA Biosystems). Pooled libraries were sequenced across two lanes in 150 bp paired-end reads using the Illumina HiSeq 4000. A total of 18 samples were sequenced in two batches.

RNA sequencing analysis
The bcbio-nextgen pipeline [33] was used to process sequencing data. STAR [34] was used to align reads to the mm10 genome build and featureCounts was used to quantify expression at the gene level [35]. EDASeq was used to adjust for GC content effects and account for sequencing depth [36] with normalization shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1. Run length encoding (RLE plots) and PCA analysis were used to validate normalization (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Differential expression analysis was conducted using edgeR's quasi-likelihood approach (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Differential expression factors were calculated by removing the effects of gene abundance from fold change magnitude. The RNA-Seq data have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE166831, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE166831. The code for analyses and figures related to RNA-Seq data can be accessed through GitHub at https://github.com/ethanbahl/gaine2021_sleepdeprivation.

Pathway analysis
To identify biological pathways affected by sleep deprivation, we used NetworkAnalyst V3.0 [40] to perform Global Enrichment Network OverRepresentation Analyses (ORA) on upregulated and downregulated genes (<0.1 FDR) using the Protein ANAlysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships (PANTHER):BP and PANTHER:CC classifications to determine biological processes (BP) and cellular components (CC) [41]. For the pathway analyses, a P-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Comparison of the RNA-seq data from this manuscript with the TRAP-Seq data available in NCBI’s GEO repository, GEO series accession GSE156925, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE156925, [27], was performed using Gene Ontology-Molecular Function (GO:MF) due to the relatively small number of differentially expressed genes in common between the two data sets.

Quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR)
RNA (500 ng) was used for cDNA preparation with the Superscript IV First-Strand Synthesis (Ambion). RT-qPCR was performed with gene specific primers (Additional file 2: Table S1) using Fast SYBR™ Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific). Reactions were run using the Quant Studio 7 Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher Scientific). Samples were quantified in at least triplicate using two appropriate housekeeping genes (Tubulin and Hprt) that were included on all plates for normalization. Neither housekeeping gene was differentially expressed between non-sleep deprived and sleep deprived samples in the RNA-seq data set: Tubal1a Log Fold Change (FC) = 0.018, FDR = 0.882; and Hprt LogFC = −0.003, FDR = 0.981.

Statistical analyses
Differential gene expression was identified using a statistical cut-off of FDR < 0.10 (Additional file 2: Tables S2 and S5), consistent with recent research on differential gene expression in the hippocampus that has used FDR < 0.10 [42, 43]. For convenience, additional tables listing the differentially expressed genes at statistical cut-offs of FDR < 0.05 and < 0.20 are provided. (Additional file 2: Tables S8 and S9 respectively). RT-qPCR statistical analyses were performed using an unpaired Student's t-test in GraphPad Prism. Results were expressed as means ± SEM. Values of P < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results
Deep RNA sequencing reveals the extent of changes in gene expression induced in the hippocampus by acute sleep deprivation
Previously researchers analyzed the impact of sleep deprivation on gene expression in the hippocampus using microarrays [25]; however, this approach had limitations in detection due to the microarray chip design, i.e., probes must be designed a priori that target specific anticipated transcripts. In contrast, RNA-seq provides an
unbiased approach to identify differential gene expression rather than relying on a set of predetermined sequences. Moreover, deep RNA sequencing facilitates identification of differential expression for non-coding sequences including antisense transcripts, long non-coding RNAs and microRNAs [44, 45]. Accordingly, we investigated the effects of 5 h acute sleep deprivation starting at lights on using gentle handling to identify changes in gene expression in the hippocampus with deep RNA sequencing. Sleep deprivation experiments (Fig. 1a) were completed in two independent cohorts (cohort one had n = 4 and cohort two had n = 5 samples for each of the non-sleep deprived and sleep deprived group). Through deep sequencing with an average of 143 M reads per sample in the non-sleep deprived mice and 136.5 M reads per sample in the sleep deprived mice, we found sufficient expression levels for 22,582 genes including protein coding, non-coding, and predicted genes. GC and depth normalization were used to normalize the raw data before processing (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

We identified 1,146 genes that were significantly dysregulated following sleep deprivation (FDR < 0.10) with 507 genes upregulated and 639 genes downregulated (Fig. 1b and Additional file 2: Tables S2 and S5). Of the differentially expressed genes, 1,026 (89.5%) were protein coding based on the Ensembl biotype classification [46]. Heatmap representations are shown for differentially regulated genes based on FDR (≤ 0.01) and standardized effect size (absolute value > ±0.5) to identify those genes most strongly affected by sleep deprivation (Fig. 1c). The most significantly upregulated gene after sleep deprivation was a Regulator of Nonsense Mediated mRNA Decay (Upf2), while the most significantly downregulated gene after sleep deprivation was Cold Inducible RNA Binding Protein (Cirbp). In these experiments, the animals were sleep deprived starting at lights on, while in the microarray study by Vecsey and colleagues, sleep deprivation was initiated four to six hours after lights on; however, Upf2 and Cirbp were differentially expressed after sleep deprivation in both studies. Using a Fisher’s Exact Test to compare the overlap between the RNA-Seq differential gene expression and the microarray gene expression, we found strong overlap between the data sets with an odds ratio of 8.43 (confidence interval [7.05, 10.06]; P-value < 2.2 × 10^-16). We identified 226 differentially regulated genes in common between the two data sets, suggesting that sleep deprivation targets a core set of genes regardless of whether sleep deprivation begins at the beginning of the rest period or after a few hours of rest (Additional file 2: Table S10).

With the de novo sequencing approach, we found 6,967 sequences that were not previously tested in the microarray study by Vecsey and colleagues [25]. Using Ensembl biotype classification, 973 genes that were previously not analyzed with sleep deprivation were determined to be protein coding. Within this additional gene set, there were 123 sequences that were significantly differentially expressed between non-sleep deprived and sleep deprived groups (FDR < 0.10) including 33 protein coding genes, 16 long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs), 2 long non-coding RNAs, 1 microRNA, 14 pseudogenes, 13 antisense transcripts, 39 EST sequences, and 5 processed transcripts. Thus, unbiased deep RNA sequencing provided a more thorough analysis of differential gene expression than previous research using microarrays.

**RNA splicing and nuclear localization are associated with genes upregulated after sleep deprivation**

To identify biological and functional relevance, we separately analyzed the upregulated and downregulated genes after acute sleep deprivation using Network Analyst and the PANTHER:BP classification to perform network enrichment. For genes significantly upregulated by sleep deprivation, there were 16 pathways significantly enriched (Fig. 2a; Additional file 2: Table S3). Notably, RNA splicing was one of the most significant pathways identified with genes upregulated after sleep deprivation. As shown in Fig. 2a, the enrichment of RNA splicing and processing pathways included 14 genes upregulated after sleep deprivation. We also found enrichment for pathways involved in circadian rhythms and rhythmic processes highlighting the interactions between sleep deprivation and the circadian clock. To predict the cellular localization of proteins for which sleep deprivation induced increased gene expression, we utilized the PANTHER:CC classification (Fig. 2b and Additional File 2: Table S4). Genes upregulated after sleep deprivation were most commonly associated with nuclear localization, consistent with the hypothesis that processes critical to RNA splicing and RNA processing are impacted by acute sleep deprivation.

**Genes downregulated by sleep deprivation are associated with dendritic, postsynaptic membrane, and cytoskeletal components**

Analysis of the genes significantly downregulated after sleep deprivation revealed strikingly different biological functions and cellular localization than those genes that were upregulated after sleep deprivation. When analyzing network enrichment using PANTHER:BP, we identified 19 pathways enriched for genes downregulated after sleep deprivation. The most significantly enriched pathway was Cell Adhesion (Fig. 3a and Additional file 2: Table S6), with this pathway including 31 genes significantly downregulated after sleep deprivation. In contrast to the strong nuclear association seen...
Fig. 1  Acute sleep deprivation causes substantial gene expression changes in the mouse hippocampus. a Schematic showing experimental procedure for RNA sequencing following acute sleep deprivation. C57BL/6 J male mice were either sleep deprived for 5 h (n = 9) or left undisturbed (n = 9). Immediately following sleep deprivation or undisturbed sleep, the whole hippocampus was dissected out and flash frozen. Total RNA was extracted and processed for RNA sequencing. b Volcano plot illustrating differentially expressed genes between non-sleep deprived and sleep deprived mice. Genes with a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.1 are highlighted in red for significantly upregulated (507 genes) and blue for significantly downregulated (639 genes) after sleep deprivation. Genes that are not significantly differentially expressed in sleep deprived mice are in grey. c Heatmap showing the most differentially expressed genes filtered by FDR ≤ 0.01 and effect size > ±0.5 in each cohort. The top rows represent genes that are significantly downregulated after sleep deprivation. The bottom rows represent genes that are significantly upregulated after sleep deprivation. Each column represents one mouse and columns are grouped by batch. The scale represents log counts per million (logCPM), with red denoting upregulation and blue denoting downregulation after sleep deprivation. The most significantly upregulated gene after sleep deprivation was UPF2 Regulator of Nonsense Mediated mRNA Decay (Upf2; log fold change (LogFC) = 0.263, FDR = 5.01 × 10⁻⁶). The most significantly downregulated gene after sleep deprivation was Cold Inducible RNA Binding Protein (Cirbp; LogFC = −0.516, FDR = 4.83 × 10⁻⁶)
for genes upregulated by sleep deprivation, analysis using PANTHER:CC revealed that the genes downregulated by sleep deprivation are associated with many different cellular components (Fig. 3b and Additional file 2: Table S7). Dendrites, postsynaptic membranes, and the synapse were the three cellular compartments most significantly associated with genes downregulated after sleep deprivation. Downregulated genes were also significantly associated with the cytoplasm and cytoskeletal components. These results strongly suggest that sleep deprivation differentially regulates genes, at least in part, based on their biological function, rather than a more global regulation of gene expression.

**Confirmation of gene expression changes in the hippocampus after sleep deprivation**

Based upon molecular function, we chose a subset of the differentially expressed genes from the RNA-Seq experiment to validate in independent sleep deprivation experiments (Fig. 4; non-sleep deprived mice n = 6, sleep deprived mice n = 6). Given the significance of pathways involved in RNA processing including RNA splicing, we tested the effects of sleep deprivation on the expression of four genes, *Cirbp*, *Srsf7*, *Tra2a*, and *Ulp2*, with known functions in RNA processing or as RNA binding proteins (Fig. 4a). Using RT-qPCR with gene specific primers (Additional file 2: Table S1), we confirmed that these genes demonstrated significant changes with the same directionality after acute sleep deprivation. Immediate early genes and transcription factors have been previously identified as changing after acute sleep deprivation in the hippocampus and other brain regions [47–52]. We independently confirmed that acute sleep deprivation differentially regulated two positive transcription factors (Fig. 4b). We also validated through RT-qPCR four genes involved in cellular signaling that were significantly changed after sleep deprivation, *Pdgfrb*, *Dusp5*, *Dusp6*, and *Ackr3* (Fig. 4c). Acute sleep deprivation has been previously shown to induce changes in the cytoskeleton and decrease dendritic spines in the CA1 and dentate gyrus of the hippocampus [20, 22]. We validated the effects of sleep deprivation on two genes of interest that act in the postsynaptic dendrites, *Filip1* and *Arc* (Fig. 4d).

All the genes included were significantly changed in the independent cohort.

As a negative control to validate our analysis, we chose three genes with varying functions that showed no significant difference in expression after sleep deprivation in the previous microarray study (GEO accession GSE33302) or between non-sleep deprived and sleep deprived samples in our RNA-Seq data set: Laminin Subunit Alpha 5 (*Lama5*), Frizzled Class Receptor 5 (*Fzd5*), and Transient Receptor Potential Cation Channel Subfamily M Member 3 (*Tprm3*). Using RT-qPCR, we quantified the expression of these three genes after sleep deprivation from the independent cohort of animals. As predicted, we did not find significant differences in the expression levels of these genes between non-sleep deprived and sleep deprived animals (Additional file 1: Figure S2).

**Three hours of sleep recovery reverses the effects of acute sleep deprivation on mRNA abundance**

Previous studies have shown that 2.5–3 h of recovery sleep following acute sleep deprivation is sufficient to reverse many of the effects of sleep deprivation on gene expression, cellular signaling that affects protein synthesis, and dendritic structure [22, 25, 26, 53, 54]. However, recovery sleep following acute sleep deprivation is unable to restore the deficits observed in long-term hippocampus dependent memory [15]. Consequently, we investigated whether recovery sleep following acute sleep deprivation was sufficient to normalize mRNA abundance for a subset of genes, including those associated with synaptic plasticity and memory (Fig. 5). We performed sleep deprivation experiments followed by 3 h of recovery sleep. Control animals were euthanized at the same time as experimental animals to avoid circadian confounds in gene expression (n = 6 for non-sleep deprived mice and n = 7 for sleep deprived with recovery sleep group). For most genes, we found that 3 h of recovery sleep induced a return to baseline gene expression levels with no significant changes when sleep deprived plus recovery sleep mice were compared with non-sleep deprived mice: *Cirbp*, *Tra2a*, *Ulp2*, *Nfil3*, *Erf*, *Pdgfrb*, *Dusp5*, *Dusp6*, *Ackr3*, and *Filip1*. Notably, the transcription factor *Nr4a1* remained significantly upregulated in...
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recovery mice compared to non-sleep deprived mice; however, the fold change was significantly lower in the sleep recovery mice (mean fold change = 1.15) compared to that seen for gene expression after sleep deprivation (mean fold change = 1.60). Furthermore, recovery sleep significantly repressed mRNA abundance for the splicing factor Srsf7, a component of the spliceosome, that was upregulated after sleep deprivation. These results suggest that recovery sleep reverses acute sleep deprivation induced changes in gene expression for most genes, but exceptions occur for some genes which may affect RNA splicing or transcription, potentially providing a link to the more persistent effects of acute sleep deprivation.

**Acute sleep deprivation affects different gene functions in the transcriptome and translatome**

Sleep deprivation can affect gene regulation at multiple levels with decreases in protein synthesis apparent in the hippocampus in addition to changes in mRNA abundance. Recent research investigated the effects of acute sleep deprivation on the pool of mRNA transcripts associated with ribosomes (TRAP-Seq) in excitatory neurons, which denotes the sleep deprived translatome [27]. To discriminate the effects of sleep deprivation on the transcriptome and the translatome, we performed a comparative analysis of the results from the current study with the translatome of excitatory neurons from published data (GEO Series accession GSE156925) using an FDR of < 0.10. Although both research studies used the same method of sleep deprivation performed at the same circadian time, we found that only 111 genes were similarly differentially regulated after sleep deprivation in both the transcriptome and translatome (Fig. 6a and Additional file 2: Table S11). The limited overlap between the translatome and transcriptome is consistent with the limited overlap found when the transcriptome was previously compared to the microarray data set of Vecsey and colleagues [27]. As the TRAP-Seq data set is enriched for excitatory neurons, we predicted that the number of differentially expressed genes after sleep deprivation from the RNA-Seq data set would be greater as the RNA-Seq samples were prepared from the whole hippocampus including inhibitory neurons and glia. We found that 1,035 genes were differentially regulated in the transcriptome, but not in the translatome and 154 genes were regulated in the translatome but not in the whole transcriptome.

To provide additional insight into the impact of sleep deprivation on the transcriptome and translatome, we performed pathway analyses using GO:MF for genes commonly regulated at both levels, genes regulated solely in the transcriptome, and genes that were uniquely regulated in the translatome. Pathway analysis of the genes regulated similarly after sleep deprivation in the transcriptome and the translatome revealed two major groups of gene enrichment (Fig. 6b and Additional file 2: Table S12). The first group of enriched pathways included protein kinase and protein phosphatase pathways suggesting that sleep deprivation strongly affects cell signaling pathways. Secondly, there was enrichment of potassium channel activity pathways suggesting that sleep deprivation affects neural activity through gene regulation. Analysis of genes regulated solely in the transcriptome highlighted pathways involved in transcription factor binding, histone deacetylase activity, nucleotide binding, nucleotide exchange factor activity and small GTPase regulator activity (Fig. 6c and Additional file 2: Table S13). In contrast, the translatome enrichment networks included the unfolded protein binding pathway, protein binding, peptide binding, protein dimerization, and ubiquitin binding (Fig. 6d and Additional file 2: Table S14). This comparative analysis highlights the multiple levels of gene regulation impacted by sleep deprivation with distinct consequences.

**Discussion**

Numerous behavioral and molecular studies have demonstrated the requirement of sleep for memory and neural plasticity (reviewed in [55, 56]). Previous research has shown that the hippocampus is highly susceptible to the effects of acute sleep deprivation inhibiting long-term memory with changes apparent in neuronal connectivity and morphology [14, 20, 22, 57, 58]. Although studies using mice and rats have shown that acute sleep deprivation affects gene expression in the hippocampus and the forebrain [25, 50, 54, 59–64], much of the previous research has focused on specific gene sets or used microarray analysis, rendering an incomplete picture of the effects of sleep deprivation on gene expression. Thus,
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we conducted an unbiased investigation of the effects of sleep deprivation on hippocampal gene expression using RNA-Seq. As predicted, the RNA-Seq experiments provided a more in-depth investigation into the effects of sleep deprivation on the transcriptome with more genes analyzed than previous microarray experiments. We found that five hours of sleep deprivation upregulated or downregulated gene expression dependent upon the biological functions and cellular components associated with the genes. The RNA-Seq results were validated through independent sleep deprivation and recovery experiments followed by RT-qPCR for genes of interest.

Analysis of genes upregulated by sleep deprivation revealed associations with nuclear functions including genes involved in RNA binding, processing, and splicing potentially increasing RNA splicing misregulation,
nonsense mediated decay and RNA degradation. For example, the Upf2 gene, a mediator of nonsense mediated decay, was upregulated by sleep deprivation consistent with the hypothesis that sleep deprivation could result in changes in RNA splicing that lead to increased RNA degradation. Misregulation of RNA splicing affects neural plasticity and function (reviewed in [50]). Dysregulation of RNA binding proteins and splicing has been associated with aberrant neural function and neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer’s disease (reviewed in [65, 66]). Thus, acute sleep deprivation has the potential to induce widespread changes

Fig. 5 RT-qPCR analysis of chosen genes following recovery from sleep deprivation. An independent cohort of mice were allowed to recover from acute sleep deprivation for 3 h (n = 7) and compared to non-sleep deprived mice (n = 6) using RT-qPCR analysis. a Four genes related to RNA binding proteins and/or splicing: Cirbp (P-value = 0.0674), Srsf7 (P-value = 0.0356), Tra2a (P-value = 0.6881), and Upf2 (P-value = 0.3009); b three genes related to transcriptional activity: Nfil3 (P-value = 0.0402), Nr4a1 (P-value = 0.0216), and Erf (P-value = 0.8060); c four genes related to cellular signaling: Pdgfrb (P-value = 0.1771), Dusp5 (P-value = 0.3339), Dusp6 (P-value = 0.1915), and Ackr3 (P-value = 0.0565); and d one related to cytoskeleton: Filip1 (P-value = 0.3342). Data are presented as mean ± SEM and normalized against two housekeeping genes (Tubulin and Hprt). Differences are significant at * P < 0.05 and evaluated using an unpaired t-test. n.s. denotes non-significant differences (P > 0.05)
in neuronal and synaptic plasticity through changes in RNA processing.

In the present study, we found that significant down-regulation of genes by sleep deprivation was associated with cell adhesion and synaptic protein functions including Nlgn1, Nlgn3, Ncam1, Nectin3 and Nectin4. Cell adhesion molecules, such as the post-synaptic adhesion protein Neurilolin-1 has been previously associated with sleep regulation [64] and reviewed in [67]. Sleep deprivation downregulated metalloproteases such as Adam23, involved in cell–cell interactions. Although multiple cellular components were significantly enriched for genes downregulated by sleep deprivation, the top three cellular locations were the dendrite, postsynaptic membrane, and the synapse. Postsynaptic density scaffolding proteins, such as members of the Disc large associated protein family Dlgap1 and Dlgap3, were significantly downregulated by sleep deprivation. Thus, the probable outcomes of the downregulation of genes by sleep deprivation are weakened synaptic plasticity and cell–cell interactions. Our results are consistent with previously observed decreases in hippocampal plasticity seen following brief periods of sleep deprivation [68–71]. Sleep deprivation appears to have some of the largest cellular impacts at the synapse as recent studies on whole forebrain tissue found that acute sleep deprivation reduced the rhythms in protein phosphorylation of synaptic proteins [72, 73]. Although differences in the effect of sleep deprivation on transcription and translation are apparent between brain regions ([50, 53] and reviewed in [5]), acute sleep deprivation also affects synaptic proteins in the cortex [74].

Three hours of recovery sleep following acute sleep deprivation normalized gene expression for most genes we investigated, similar to what has been observed for many genes in the hippocampus and the cortex [25, 54]. However, we did find that the transcription factor Nr4a1 remained upregulated after recovery sleep, albeit to a smaller extent. The Nr4a family of transcription factors are ligand-independent nuclear receptors regulated by CREB that function in long-term hippocampus dependent memory [75–78]. Inhibition of Nr4a transcriptional activity impairs long-term memory, although short-term memory is not affected [77]. Nr4a1 is highly expressed in the hippocampus and is significantly upregulated during learning acquisition and memory consolidation for contextual fear conditioning and spatial object location training [75, 77]. Thus, the aberrant regulation of Nr4a1 after sleep deprivation and recovery sleep suggests a possible mechanism for the interference with long-term memory consolidation observed with acute sleep deprivation [15]. In addition, the RNA splicing factor Srsf7 reversed direction showing a significant decrease in expression after recovery sleep. Recently, Srsf7 was shown to affect polyadenylation of the 3’UTR with increased Srsf7 resulting in shorter polyA tails [79]. Polyadenylation and the length of the 3’UTR affects mRNA stability, nuclear export, and translation efficiency. Dysfunctional regulation of polyadenylation has been implicated in multiple diseases including neurological diseases [80]. Our results indicate that recovery from sleep deprivation is gene specific potentially extending the impacts of acute sleep deprivation, rather than a universal return of gene expression to baseline levels.

Previously, expression of the transcription factor Elk1 in the hippocampus was shown to remain high after 2.5 h of recover sleep [25]. Studies in the cortex found that some genes normalize expression levels quickly with recovery sleep, while other genes require up to 6 h of recovery sleep to return to baseline levels [54]. Of note, genes that responded less quickly to recovery sleep included genes involved in RNA splicing and RNA binding proteins [54]. Thus, in addition to the more immediate effects of acute sleep deprivation on gene expression and the subsequent impact on synaptic plasticity and cellular signaling, acute sleep deprivation may also exert longer lasting effects on gene regulation through the continued dysregulation of transcription factors and genes related to RNA processing. Further cell-specific research.
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needs to be completed to fully investigate the persistent effects of acute sleep deprivation on RNA splicing and processing.

The canonical view of gene regulation and the central dogma of molecular biology suggest that RNA and protein abundance are highly correlated. However, as understanding of RNA processing increased, it has become apparent that gene regulation occurs at multiple levels (reviewed in [81]). Acute sleep deprivation, in particular, appears to distinctly impact transcription and translation as we found when we compared the results of the current RNA-Seq data set with the translatome of excitatory neurons in the hippocampus after sleep deprivation. Although differences arose between these data sets due to cell type differences, genes impacted similarly by sleep deprivation in the transcriptome and translatome encompassed genes involved in kinase and phosphatase signaling pathways, as well as cation and potassium channels. Changes in the expression of membrane channels and cellular signaling pathways have the potential to rapidly impact synaptic strength and plasticity following sleep deprivation. A large number of genes, more than 1,000, were upregulated in the transcriptome, but not in the translatome. Genes regulated only at the level of the transcriptome included genes involved in RNA processing, nucleotide binding and small GTPase signaling. Potentially genes upregulated in the transcriptome, but not the translatome, may reflect transcripts with alternative splicing that are not translated efficiently or that undergo degradation. Alternatively, genes upregulated in the transcriptome by sleep deprivation may also be sequestered in dynamic RNA granules that may then be released when conditions normalize (reviewed in [82–84]). Notably, there are also genes downregulated in the transcriptome but not in the translatome. Potentially, the translatome reflects isoform specific transcript association with the ribosome, while our RNA-Seq data set reflects total RNA abundance. A comparatively small number of genes, approximately 150 genes, showed separate regulation by sleep deprivation in the translatome of excitatory neurons but not in the overall transcriptome including genes associated with the unfolded protein response and ubiquitination suggesting that additional regulation of protein degradation may occur with sleep deprivation. The analyses presented provide further insight into the nuanced effects of sleep deprivation on gene regulation at multiple levels.

The results presented here provide an unbiased in-depth perspective of the effects of acute sleep deprivation on gene expression in the hippocampus. Notably, our results clearly demonstrate that sleep deprivation differentially upregulates or downregulates genes dependent upon biological function, instead of a more general mechanism resulting in global changes in gene expression. Moreover, our analyses provided new insight into the effects of sleep deprivation revealing the strong association of genes upregulated by sleep deprivation with nuclear functions. In contrast, genes downregulated by sleep deprivation were associated with multiple cellular components, in particular, dendrites and synapses. These distinctions highlight the need for future research investigating the effects of sleep deprivation on the hippocampus taking advantage of technological advances in single cell and spatial transcriptomics. Although the results presented here establish a strong foundation for comparison with data from other brain regions to more precisely understand brain region specific impacts of sleep deprivation, further research is needed to understand the persistent effects of acute sleep deprivation on long-term memory, as well as to identify the effects of chronic sleep restriction on the hippocampus.

Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13041-021-00835-1.
for upregulated genes. Hits represent the number of upregulated genes found in each network. Table S5. Genes significantly downregulated by sleep deprivation. We compared sleep deprived and undisturbed mice and identified 639 genes with an FDR < 0.1 that were downregulated after sleep deprivation. Gene types include antisense, bidirectional promoter lincRNA, lincRNA, microRNA, processed pseudogene, processed transcript, protein coding, sense intronic, TEC, transcribed processed pseudogene, and transcribed unprocessed pseudogene. LogFC denotes Log Fold Change, LogCPM denotes Log Counts Per Million, and F denotes the F-statistic from edgeR’s quasi-likelihood pipeline. Table S6. Enrichment networks in downregulated genes using PANTHERBP. We used Network Analyst software and the PANTHERBP classification to perform ORA and identified 19 pathways enriched for downregulated genes. Hits represent the number of downregulated genes found in each network. Table S7. Cellular components enriched in downregulated genes using PANTHERCC. We used Network Analyst software and the PANTHERCC classification to perform ORA and identified 19 networks enriched for upregulated genes. Hits represent the number of downregulated genes found in each network. Table S8. Genes significantly dysregulated by sleep deprivation (FDR < 0.05). We compared sleep deprived and undisturbed mice and identified 636 genes with an FDR < 0.05 that were either upregulated or downregulated after sleep deprivation. Gene types include antisense, lincRNA, processed pseudogene, processed transcript, protein coding, sense intronic, TEC, transcribed processed pseudogene, transcribed unprocessed pseudogene, and unitary pseudogene. LogFC denotes Log Fold Change, LogCPM denotes Log Counts Per Million, and F denotes the F-statistic from edgeR’s quasi-likelihood pipeline. Table S9. Genes significantly dysregulated by sleep deprivation (FDR < 0.02). We compared sleep deprived and undisturbed mice and identified 2294 genes with an FDR < 0.2 that were either upregulated or downregulated after sleep deprivation. Gene types include antisense, bidirectional promoter lincRNA, lincRNA, microRNA, Mt_rRNA, polymorphic pseudogene, processed pseudogene, processed transcript, protein coding, pseudogene, scaRNA, sense intronic, snRNA, snRNA, TEC, transcribed processed pseudogene, transcribed unprocessed pseudogene, unitary pseudogene, and unprocessed pseudogene. LogFC denotes Log Fold Change, LogCPM denotes Log Counts Per Million, and F denotes the F-statistic from edgeR’s quasi-likelihood pipeline. Table S10. Genes found to be significantly dysregulated by sleep deprivation using microarray and RNA-seq. We compared our RNA-seq data to a previously completed microarray sleep deprivation study (GEO accession GSE33302) and identified 226 genes with an FDR < 0.1 that were either upregulated or downregulated in both studies. All data within this table is from the RNA-seq study. LogFC denotes Log Fold Change, LogCPM denotes Log Counts Per Million, and F denotes the F-statistic from edgeR’s quasi-likelihood pipeline. Table S11. Comparison of differentially expressed genes after sleep deprivation between whole hippocampal transcriptome and TRAP-Seq from excitatory neurons. To identify the sleep deprivation transcriptome and translome we compared RNA-seq and TRAP-Seq datasets. The first column shows 1035 differentially expressed genes only found using RNA sequencing, the second column shows 111 differentially expressed genes found in both RNA sequencing and TRAP-Seq, and the third column shows 154 differentially expressed genes only found using TRAP-Seq. Lists are sorted alphabetically. Table S12. Molecular function networks enriched in genes significantly affected by sleep deprivation in RNA-seq and TRAP-Seq data sets using GO:MF. We used Network Analyst software and the Gene Ontology (GO): Molecular Function (MF) classification to perform ORA and identified 18 networks enriched for genes differentially regulated by sleep deprivation in whole hippocampal transcriptome using GO:MF. We used Network Analyst software and the Gene Ontology (GO): Molecular Function (MF) classification to perform ORA and identified 27 networks enriched for genes only differentially expressed using RNA sequencing. Hits represent the number of genes found in each network. Table S13. Molecular function networks for genes differentially regulated by sleep deprivation only in the TRAP-Seq data set using GO:MF. We used Network Analyst software and the GO:MF classification to perform ORA and identified 12 networks enriched for genes differentially expressed using only TRAP-Seq. Hits represent the number of genes found in each network.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
LCL, MEG and TA conceived the study. LCL and MEG planned the experiments, performed sleep deprivation, RT-qPCR and data analysis. SC extracted the RNA for library preparation. EB performed the RNA sequencing bioinformatic analyses with review by JWM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This work was supported by a multi-PI grant from the National Institutes of Health, Institute of Aging R01 AG062398 (TA and LCL) and by the University of Iowa Hawkeye Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Research Center (HAWK-IDDRC) P50 HD103556 (TA and Lane Strathearn, multi-PIs). The funding agency had no role in the design of the study, data collection, analysis or interpretation, and no role in the writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus repository, GEO Series accession GSE166831, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE166831; GEO accession GSE156925, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE156925 and GEO accession GSE33302, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE33302.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 Department of Neurosciences and Pharmacology, Iowa Neuroscience Institute, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.
2 Department of Psychiatry, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.
3 Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Genetics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.
4 Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.
5 Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.
6 Iowa Institute of Human Genetics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.
7 Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Experimental Therapeutics (PSET), College of Pharmacy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA.
8 Department of Biological Science, Program in Neuroscience, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA.

Received: 20 May 2021 Accepted: 27 July 2021

Published online: 12 August 2021

References
1. Chattu VK, Sakharami SM, Kumar R, Spence DW, Balhammam AS, Pandi-Perumal SR. Insufficient sleep syndrome: is it time to classify it as a major noncommunicable disease? Sleep Sci. 2018;11(2):56–64.
2. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Perceived insufficient rest or sleep among adults - United States, 2008. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58(42):1175–9.
3. Wheaton AG, Jones SE, Cooper AC, Croft JB. Short sleep duration among middle school and high school students - United States, 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2018;67(3):85–90.
4. Liu Y, Wheaton AG, Chapman DP, Cunningham TJ, Lu H, Croft JB. Prevalence of healthy sleep duration among adults-United States, 2014. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(6):137–41.
5. Gronli J, Soule J, Brannham CR. Sleep and protein synthesis-dependent synaptic plasticity: impacts of sleep loss and stress. Front Behav Neurosci. 2013;7:224.

6. Short MA, Louca M. Sleep deprivation leads to mood deficits in healthy adolescents. Sleep Med. 2015;16(8):887–93.

7. Bishir M, Bhat A, Essa MM, Epko O, Ijumwa AO, Veeraragavan VR, et al. Sleep Deprivation and Neurological Disorders. Biomed Res Int. 2020;2020:5764017.

8. Hafner M, Stepanek M, Taylor J, Troxel WM, van Stolk C. Why sleep matters—the economic costs of insufficient sleep: a cross-country comparative analysis. Rand Health Q. 2017;6(9):11.

9. Kessler RC, Berglund PA, Coulouvrat C, Hajak G, Roth T, Shably V, et al. Insomnia and the performance of US workers: results from the America insomnia survey. Sleep. 2011;34(9):1161–71.

10. Gisabella B, Scammell T, Bandaru SS, Saper CB. Regulation of hippocampal synaptic plasticity and memory consolidation for contextual fear conditioning. Learn Mem. 2003;10(3):186–78.

11. Tefft BC. Acute sleep deprivation and culpable motor vehicle crash involvement. Sleep. 2018;41:10.

12. Holth JK, Fritschi SK, Wang C, Pedersen NP, Cirrito JR, Mahan TE, et al. The sleep-wake cycle regulates brain intracellular fluid tau in mice and CSF tau in humans. Science. 2019;363(6429):880–4.

13. Benedict C, Blennow K, Zetterberg H, Cedernaes J. Effects of acute sleep deprivation on plasma growth hormone dynamics of CSF biomarkers in young men. Neurology. 2020;94(11):e1181–9.

14. Graves LA, Heller EA, Pack AI, Abel T. Sleep deprivation selectively impairs memory consolidation for contextual fear conditioning. Learn Mem. 2020;27(3):183.

15. Prince TM, Wimmer M, Choi J, Havelkes R, Aton S, Abel T. Sleep deprivation during a specific 3-hour time window post-training impairs hippocampal plasticity and memory. Neurobiol Learn Mem. 2014;109:122–30.

16. Krishnan HC, Noakes EI, Lyons LC. Chronic sleep deprivation differentially affects short and long-term operant memory in Aplysia. Neurobiol Learn Mem. 2016;134(Pt B):349–59.

17. Krishnan HC, Gandour CE, Ramos JL, Wrinkle MC, Sanchez-Pacheco JJ, Lyons LC. Acute sleep deprivation blocks short- and long-term operant memory in aplysia. Sleep. 2016;39(12):2161–71.

18. Chai Y, Fang Z, Yang FN, Xu S, Deng Y, Raine A, et al. Two nights of recovery sleep restores hippocampal connectivity but not episodic memory after total sleep deprivation. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):8774.

19. Zhao R, Zhang X, Zhu F, Sun J, Liu P, et al. Disrupted resting-state functional connectivity in hippocampal subregions after sleep deprivation. Neuroscience. 2019;398:35–54.

20. Raven F, Meerlo P, van der Zee EA, Abel T, Havekes R. A brief period of sleep deprivation causes spine loss in the dentate gyrus of mice. Neurobiol Learn Mem. 2019;160:83–90.

21. Leerssen J, Wassing R, Ramautar JR, Stoffers D, Lakbila-Kamal O, Perrier J, et al. Sleep loss impairs synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus. J Comp Neurol. 2020;51(1):165.

22. Xu HJ, Lun SZ, Shi K, Ou JJ, Hu JM, Yu ZG, et al. Identification of hub genes correlated with sleep deprivation using co-expression analysis. Sleep Breath. 2021;25:224.

23. Massart R, Freyburger M, Suderman M, Paquet J, El Helou J, Belanger-Nelson E, et al. The genome-wide landscape of DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation in response to sleep deprivation impacts on synaptic plasticity genes. Transl Psychiatry. 2014;4:e347.

24. Cederneues J, Olsner ME, Vosin S, Bromer JE, Vogel H, Dickson SL, et al. Acute sleep loss induces tissue-specific epigenetic and transcriptional alterations to circadian clock genes in men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100(9):E1255–61.

25. Gaine ME, Chatterjee S, Abel T. Sleep deprivation and the epigenome. Front Neural Circuits. 2018;12:14.

26. Wong LW, Chong YS, Wong WLE, Sajikumar S. Inhibition of histone deacetylase reinstates hippocampal-dependent long-term synaptic plasticity and associative memory in sleep-deprived mice. Cereb Cortex. 2020;30(7):4169–82.

27. https://github.com/bcbio/bcbio-nextgen.

28. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15–21.

29. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923–30.

30. Cedernaes J, Osler ME, Voisin S, Bromer JE, Vogel H, Dickson SL, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15–21.

31. Gaine ME, Chatterjee S, Abel T. Sleep deprivation and the epigenome. Front Neural Circuits. 2018;12:14.

32. Wang LW, Chong YS, Wong WLE, Sajikumar S. Inhibition of histone deacetylase reinstates hippocampal-dependent long-term synaptic plasticity and associative memory in sleep-deprived mice. Cereb Cortex. 2020;30(7):4169–82.
53. Gronli J, Dagestad G, Milde AM, Murison R, Bramham CR. Post-transcriptional effects and interactions between chronic mild stress and acute sleep deprivation: regulation of translation factor and cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein phosphorylation. Behav Brain Res. 2012;235(2):251–62.

54. Gerstner JR, Kobenstein JN, Watson AJ, Zapero N, Risso D, Speed TP, et al. Removal of unwanted variation reveals novel patterns of gene expression linked to sleep homeostasis in murine cortex. BMC Genomics. 2016;17(Suppl 8):727.

55. Havekes R, Meenlo P, Abel T. Animal studies on the role of sleep in memory: from behavioral performance to molecular mechanisms. Curr Top Behav Neurosci. 2015;25:183–206.

56. Abel T, Havekes R, Saletin JM, Walker MP. Sleep, plasticity and memory from molecules to whole-brain networks. Curr Biol. 2013;23(17):R774–88.

57. Havekes R, Abel T. The tired hippocampus: the molecular impact of sleep deprivation on hippocampal function. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2017;44:13–9.

58. Guan Z, Peng X, Fang J. Sleep deprivation impairs spatial memory and decreases extracellular signal-regulated kinase phosphorylation in the hippocampus. Brain Res. 2004;1018(1):38–47.

59. Gerashchenko D, Pasumarthi RK, Kilduff TS. Plasticity-Related Gene expression during insomnia. Brain Res. 2011;1375:210–5.

60. Franken P, Thomason R, Heller HC, O’Hara BF. A non-circadian role for clock-genes in sleep homeostasis: a strain comparison. BMC Neurosci. 2007;8:87.

61. Hoekstra MM, Emmenegger Y, Hubbard J, Franken P. Cold-inducible RNA-binding protein (CIRBP) adjusts clock-gene expression and REM-sleep recovery following sleep deprivation. Elife. 2019;8:1.

62. Guzman-Marin R, Ying Z, Suntsova N, Methippapa M, Bashir T, Szymusiak R, et al. Suppression of hippocampal plasticity-related gene expression by sleep deprivation in rats. J Physiol. 2006;575( Pt 3):807–19.

63. Mongrain V, La Spada F, Curie T, Franken P. Sleep loss reduces the DNA-binding of BMAL1, CLOCK, and NPAS2 to specific clock genes in the mouse cerebral cortex. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(10):e26622.

64. El Helou J, Belanger-Nelson E, Freyburger M, Dorais S, Curie T, La Spada F, et al. Neurologin-1 links neuronal activity to sleep-wake regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(24):9974–9.

65. Prashad S, Gopal PP. RNA-binding proteins in neurological development and disease. RNA Biol. 2020;1–16.

66. Nilk S, Bowman TV. Splicing and neurodegeneration: insights and mechanisms. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2019;10(4):e1532.

67. O’Callaghan EK, Ballester Roig MN, Mongrain V. Cell adhesion molecules and sleep. Neurosci Res. 2017;116:29–38.

68. Campbell IG, Guinan MJ, Horowitz JM. Sleep deprivation impairs long-term potentiation in rat hippocampal slices. J Neurophysiol. 2002;88(2):1073–6.

69. Kim EY, Mahmoud GS, Grover LW. REM sleep deprivation inhibits LTP in vivo in area CA1 of rat hippocampus. Neurosci Lett. 2005;388(3):163–7.