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Abstract
COALA is a new offline collinear laser spectroscopy setup for high-precision measurements and development work at TU Darmstadt, Germany. An introduction to the technique and the experimental setup is given and an overview of current projects with recent results is presented. The idea of a novel all-optical absolute charge radius determination is discussed.
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1 Introduction

Collinear laser spectroscopy (CLS) is a high-resolution laser spectroscopy technique. The basic principle is the superposition of a laser beam and a beam of fast ions or atoms along the ion-beam direction as shown in Fig. 1. The laser will excite the ions when at resonance with the Doppler-shifted atomic transition frequency. In the simplest version of CLS, the spontaneous emission of the excited atoms is then detected with a photomultiplier tube (PMT) perpendicular to the beam in the fluorescence detection region (FDR). Since the atoms are either co-propagating (c=collinear) with or counter-propagating (a=anticollinear) to the laser beam at the velocity $\beta = \nu/c$, the transition frequency $\nu_0$ is shifted in the laboratory system by the relativistic Doppler effect according to

$$\nu_{c/a} = \nu_0 \gamma (1 \pm \beta)$$ (1)
Fig. 1  Simplified scheme of collinear laser spectroscopy. Electrostatically accelerated ions are superposed with a copropagating or counterpropagating laser beam. Fluorescence light is detected with a photo multiplier tube in a floatable fluorescence detection region (FDR). Doppler tuning is performed by changing the voltage at the FDR as indicated with the Lorentz factor \( \gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \). Hence, the resonance can be found either by scanning the laser frequency or by manipulating the ion velocity. This opens up the possibility of so-called Doppler-tuning by applying a scannable, electrostatic potential to accelerate or decelerate the ions until they are in resonance with a fixed laser frequency \( \nu_{\text{a/c}} \). This is usually much easier than scanning the laser since voltages can be ramped much faster and with higher reproducibility than most commercial single-mode laser systems. Even fixed-frequency lasers can be used provided that their wavelength is sufficiently close to the transition wavelength.

The high resolution of CLS originates from the initial acceleration of the ions through an electrostatic potential of the order of typically 15 kV to 60 kV. This has two important effects: First, the ionic velocity distribution in the direction of flight is strongly compressed which leads to optical linewidths in the order of the natural linewidth of allowed dipole transitions. Second, it takes only few \( \mu s \) from the production of the ions to their investigation enabling the examination of exotic nuclei or metastable ionic states even if they have lifetimes in the ms range.

The connection between atomic spectra and nuclear ground-state properties is provided by the hyperfine splitting and the isotope shift. The former contains information on the nuclear spin, the magnetic dipole and the electric quadrupole moment. The latter includes the change of the mean square charge radius \( \delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{A,A'} \) between two Isotopes \( A \) and \( A' \) in the difference of their respective transition frequencies \( \nu^A_i \) and \( \nu^{A'}_i \) in a transition \( i \). It is given by

\[
\delta \nu^A_{i,A'} = \nu^{A'}_i - \nu^A_i = K_i \frac{M_{A'} - M_A}{M_A M_{A'}} + F_i \delta \langle r_c^2 \rangle^{A,A'}
\]

with the isotope masses \( M_A \) and \( M_{A'} \), the mass shift constant \( K_i \) and the field shift constant \( F_i \).

In combination, this allows the survey of nuclear properties with highly accurate optical methods in order to carry out precise tests of nuclear structure theories. This is the main reason for the ongoing success of CLS [1, 2] after the first experiments in the 1980s [3]. Although many setups are currently gathered at on-line facilities [2, 4–6], the new offline Collinear Apparatus for Laser Spectroscopy and Applied Science (COALA) has been established at TU Darmstadt, Germany. The primary goal of COALA is the development of
new techniques and improvements for CLS. This contribution provides an overview of the COALA setup and some ongoing projects as well as the presentation of recent results.

2 Experimental setup

The COALA setup is a very versatile system for high-precision CLS regarding the ion sources and the laser system. A complete and detailed report of the COALA setup is in preparation and will be published soon. Therefore, only a brief overview of the setup is given here.

2.1 Ultra-high vacuum beamline

A scheme of the complete beamline is depicted in Fig. 2. Ions from any available source (e.g. surface ionization source, liquid metal ion source etc.) are accelerated through a high voltage into the beamline. Different electrostatic ion-optical elements are used to produce a collimated ion beam which is then superposed with an incoming laser beam. Fluorescence light of the ions can be detected in the FDR with an elliptical mirror system and two photomultiplier tubes (PMTs). Furthermore, the FDR can be floated with respect to the rest of the beamline by up to 20 kV to enable Doppler-tuning. In order to ensure a good superposition of laser and ions the beamline possesses two beam diagnostic stations in front and after the FDR featuring well-aligned iris diaphragms and fluorescence screens. Additionally, 1.2-m long drift tubes in the middle of the setup allow for optical pumping of the ions if needed.

2.2 Laser setup

The full laser setup is depicted in Fig. 3 and is based on two titanium-sapphire (Ti:Sa) lasers (Sirah MATISSE 2 TS). If required, both lasers can also be converted to dye lasers opening up an even wider wavelength range. Both laser systems can then be extended with up to two Sirah WaveTrain frequency doubling stages in order to produce deep ultra-violet light. Depending on the wavelength the laser light is then transported to the beamline either in an optical fiber or in free space. Both fundamental lasers are stabilized to scannable reference cavities which are again stabilized to a Menlo Systems FC1500 frequency comb facilitating an absolute frequency stability better than 100 kHz. The measurement of the laser frequency is done in parallel with wavemeters and the frequency comb. This combination makes the COALA setup very flexible in the selection of the ion species and transitions.
3 The Collinear Apparatus for Laser Spectroscopy and Applied Science (COALA)

Originally the COALA beamline was developed for the Accurate Laser Involved Voltage Evaluation (ALIVE) experiment. During this endeavor many systematics of the apparatus were well understood and minimized. This enabled the possibility for conventional CLS with very high precision. From that a new project arose which is the determination of absolute charge radii from an all-optical approach, which will be worked on in the coming years.

3.1 Accurate Laser Involved Voltage Evaluation (ALIVE)

As explained before, the acceleration of the ions of interest gives rise to the relativistic Doppler effect which shifts the rest-frame resonance frequency \( \nu_0 \) of the fast ions in the laboratory frame and the laser has to be operated at the shifted frequency \( \nu_L \) to be in resonance. This means a potential difference \( U \) is directly linked to these frequencies via

\[
U = \frac{mc^2}{2q} \frac{(\nu_0 - \nu_L)^2}{\nu_0 \nu_L}.
\]

Thus, a high voltage measurement can be attributed to fundamental constants and a frequency measurement giving the opportunity to set a quantum standard. Since a detailed description of the measurement principle can be found in [7, 8] only a brief overview is given here. In the ALIVE experiment a pump-and-probe scheme is used in order to exclude systematic uncertainties originating from the ion source voltage. Generally, that means using one laser to optically pump one specific ion velocity class first, accelerating the ions with the high voltage of interest and probing them with a second laser afterwards. Through comparison of the two laser frequencies which have been used to excite the ions before and after the acceleration, one already achieves a precision of 100 parts per million (ppm) as demonstrated in [9]. In order to improve the high voltage measurements further, the pump-and-probe approach has been expanded with a reference-measurement approach in the ALIVE experiment. Here, a 0 V measurement is carried out additionally to the high voltage measurement with the same scheme. The difference between both measurements largely reduces systematic uncertainties stemming from contact and thermal potentials and the laser-ion overlap. In the first measurement campaign with \(^{40}\text{Ca}^+\) an accuracy of 5 ppm compared to a calibrated high voltage divider has been demonstrated [7] whereas the world’s best high voltage divider reaches 1 ppm. In a second step \(^{115}\text{In}^+\) ions from a liquid metal ion source (LMIS) have been used. This looked like a promising candidate first due to the narrow natural linewidth of the \( ^1S_0 \rightarrow ^3P_1 \) intercombination transition and the very good beam emittance of the LMIS. However, time-of-flight broadening and the limited statistics caused by the inefficient pump process in the targeted transition did not yield an accuracy improvement. Nevertheless, the beamline and the laser system have been improved further during that campaign which triggered a new and now ongoing try with \(^{40}\text{Ca}^+\).

3.2 Determination of absolute nuclear charge radii

Conventional CLS usually focuses on the measurements of isotope shifts thus differences of transition frequencies. The reason for that is the relativistic Doppler effect. In order to derive the rest-frame transition frequency from the laboratory frame frequency, a precise knowledge of the ion velocity is required which is usually not the case due to unknown starting potentials of the ions and contact potentials. Therefore, even small deviations from
Fig. 3  Full laser setup consisting of two identical laser systems. One system consists of a 20 W Spectra-Physics Millennia eV pumped continuous-wave titanium:sapphire Sirah Matisse 2 TS laser. Afterwards, UV light can be produced down to about 200 nm with two Sirah WaveTrain frequency doubling stages. The produced light can then be transported via optical fiber or free space to the beamline depending on the wavelength

the exact parallel geometry of laser and ion beam will introduce rather large errors. However, using a copropagating and a counterpropagating laser (quasi-)simultaneously cancels out the Doppler-shift by multiplying both laboratory frame frequencies

\[ v_L v_a = v_0^2 \gamma^2 (1 + \beta)(1 - \beta) = v_0^2 \]  (4)

and makes the rest-frame transition frequency \( v_0 \) accessible for CLS as well [10, 11]. This is interesting not only for atomic but also for nuclear physics since \( v_0 \) also contains the “full” nuclear size \( \langle r^2 \rangle \) in the finite-size effect

\[ v_0 = \nu_{\text{point}} - \frac{Ze^2}{6\hbar \epsilon_0} \Delta |\Psi_e(0)|_{i\rightarrow f}^2 \cdot \langle r^2 \rangle = \nu_{\text{point}} + F_{i\rightarrow f} \cdot \langle r^2 \rangle \]  (5)

where \( \nu_{\text{point}} \) is the transition frequency (calculated) for a point-like nucleus and \( \Delta |\Psi_e(0)|_{i\rightarrow f}^2 \) is the change of the electron density at the nucleus in the transition \( i \rightarrow f \). Now, the basic idea is to investigate a system where \( \nu_{\text{point}} \) and \( F_{i\rightarrow f} \) can be calculated to sufficient precision. Together with the experimental value for \( v_0 \) the absolute nuclear mean-square charge radius \( \langle r^2 \rangle \) can then be extracted. This approach has already been used for hydrogen and muonic hydrogen. Unfortunately, the atomic structure calculations beyond hydrogenlike systems are very demanding but a program for He-like systems has been started [12] and results are expected in the near future. Laser spectroscopy cannot be easily performed from the ground-state in He-like systems, since the energy gap to the next energy level is in the order of hundreds of eV. Instead, transitions from the meta-stable \( 1s^22s^3S_1 \) state are considered. Multiply charged, He-like ions in this state can be produced with an electron beam ion source (EBIS) where around 10 % of the produced ions in the correct charge state are expected to be in the \( 3S_1 \) state. However, the lifetime of this state rapidly decreases with increasing atomic number from 49 s (Li\(^+\)) to 3.9 ms (N\(^{5+}\)). These short lifetimes prevent a dedicated examination in ion traps due to their relatively slow cooling process. In parallel to that the excitation wavelength for the lowest-lying \( 1s2s \ 3S_1 \rightarrow 1s2p \ 3P_j \) transition decreases as
well from 550 nm (Li$^+$) to 190 nm (N$^{5+}$) and becomes unreachable with continuous-wave lasers for all heavier nuclei beyond. Summarized, a fast and precise technique is needed in order to extract absolute nuclear charge radii from an all-optical approach making CLS an optimal choice. A rough estimation using scaling laws shows that an accuracy of 1 MHz in the experiment would lead to an accuracy of about 0.25% in $\langle r^2_c \rangle$. To demonstrate the precision that can be reached with (quasi-)simultaneous collinear and anticollinear spectroscopy, stable Ba$^+$ ions have been investigated in the $6s^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 6p^2P_J$ doublet due to a precise existing measurement of $^{138}$Ba$^+$ in an ion trap [13]. The complete results of this measurement can be found in [14]. In brief, it has been shown that the CLS result matches the ion trap result in full agreement and even improved the uncertainty by almost a factor of 2 to about 130 kHz. This is illustrated in Fig. 4 together with a preview of a recent investigation in Ca$^+$ which was the next step towards lighter nuclei. Also there the accuracy of the COALA measurement is comparable to the ion trap results. A dedicated publication for the Ca$^+$ campaign will follow soon. The reason for the step-by-step approach towards lighter nuclei is the increasing differential Doppler-shift $\partial \nu / \partial U$ with decreasing mass leading to growing systematic uncertainties. Additionally, this factor increases even more rapidly for higher charge states. Therefore a careful analysis of the experimental setup had been carried out in a regime where reference measurements are available like for Ba$^+$ [13] and Ca$^+$ [15]. Additionally, these investigations have been of interest from a physics point of view due to the “Ca$^+$ field-shift puzzle” as reported in [15].

### 4 Summary and Outlook

In the last years the capabilities of COALA have been demonstrated. The high-voltage evaluation is already in reach of the world’s best high-voltage dividers with an accuracy of 5 ppm. Further improvements such as a fast and precise laser scanning scheme and a high voltage stabilization scheme are currently under development. This will not only help the
ALIVE experiment to reach the 1 ppm but also to establish a high accuracy for the rest-frame transition frequency determination in He-like light ions. Furthermore, first tests with negative ions are carried out at the moment. The idea here is to neutralize the negative ions with a high-repetition pulsed laser in order perform collinear laser spectroscopy on atoms without a charge exchange cell. This might broaden the spectrum of possible experiments at COALA further.
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