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ABSTRACT: A mild diastereoselective and enantioselective cobalt-catalyzed hydrosilylation reaction of achiral cyclopropenes has been developed. In this protocol, various substituted cyclopropenes and arylsilanes were transformed, in the presence of readily available chiral cobalt complex, into silylcyclopropanes with high selectivities.

As three-membered carbocycle is a molecular backbone that frequently appears in natural products and related biologically active compounds, the enantioselective preparation of polysubstituted cyclopropanes has extensively been investigated over the last few decades. In addition, the inherent ring strain of three-membered rings promotes a series of easy transformations such as ring opening, ring expansion, cycloaddition, rearrangement toward the formation of more complex carbon skeletons. In the past few years, the catalytic diastereo- and enantioselective direct functionalization of achiral three-membered carbocycles, as an alternative approach to the cyclopropanation of olefins, has attracted significant attention as a new source of enantioenriched polysubstituted cyclopropanes from a common and unique starting material. Using such a direct functionalization strategy, a large variety of nucleophiles—alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, alkynyl, boron, carbonyl, stanny—were selectively added on the three-membered ring (Scheme 1a). With the idea of extending the toolbox available to practitioners, we noticed that the catalytic and enantioselective preparation of silylcyclopropanes from unfunctionalized cyclopropenes was less developed until very recent reports from Oestreich and Xu. From the pioneering studies on enantioselective Simmons-Smith reaction of γ-silicon-substituted allylic alcohols using diethyl tartrate as chiral auxiliary to the more recent directed Rh-catalyzed enantioselective hydrosilylation directed by a carbonyl group (Scheme 1b), Cu-catalyzed enantioselective and diastereoselective addition of Si-nucleophiles to 3,3-disubstituted cyclopropenes (Scheme 1c) were reported illustrating the power of these approaches to prepare silyl-substituted cyclopropanes.

In light of these last reports, we would like to disclose the Co-catalyzed diastereoselective and enantioselective hydrosilylation of achiral cyclopropenes (Scheme 1d). When our model substrate, cyclopropene 1a, was subjected to the...
Table 1. Co-catalyzed Diastereoselective and Enantioselective Hydroisilylation of Cyclopropene 1a**

| entrya | [M] | ligand/additive | temperature, T (°C) | diastereomeric ratio, drd | enantiomeric ratio, erd |
|---------|-----|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1       | L7°CoCl2 | NaBHEt3 | −15 | 20:1 | NA |
| 2       | L7°CoCl2 | NaBHEt3 | −15 | ND | NA |
| 3       | L7°CoCl2 | NaBHEt3 | −15 | 10:1 | 96:04 |
| 4       | L7°CoCl2 | NaBHEt3 | −15 | 20:1 | 96:04 |
| 5       | L7°CoCl2 | NaBHEt3 | −15 | 7.3:1 | 96:04 |
| 6°      | L7°CoCl2 | NaBHEt3 | −15 | 20:1 | 96:04 |
| 7       | L7°CoCl2 | − | −15 | ND | NA |
| 8       | − | NaBHEt3 | −15 | ND | NA |

*aThe reactions were run on a 0.10 mmol scale, [Co] (10 mol %), ligand or additive (20 mol %) in toluene (0.1 M) and the reaction mixture was stirred at the indicated temperature for 5 h. NA, not analyzed; ND, no detection of the desired product. bEntries 1, 3, 4, and 6: full conversion; entry 2: 10% conversion; entries 7 and 8: no conversion. cDetermined by GC analysis of hydrolyzed aliquots. dDetermined by chiral HPLC. e5 mol % cobalt complex (L7°CoCl2) and 10 mol % NaBHEt3 were used in the reaction.

diastereoselective hydroisilylation reaction with commercially available phenylsilane as a silylating reagent in the presence of palladium or rhodium salts with chiral ligands, no or racemic desired cyclopropylsilane 2a was detected (see the Supporting Information (SI) for all tested transition metals and conditions). However, inspired by the recent report on cobalt-catalyzed hydroisilylation reaction of double bonds,24 we first tested the racemic Co-catalyzed hydroisilylation reaction of 1a with the precatalyst L7°CoCl2, and we were delighted to observe a very diastereoselective hydroisilylation reaction of 1a (diastereomeric ratio (dr) = 20:1; see Table 1, entry 1).

Having in hand this precatalyst that smoothly afforded silylcyclopropane as a single diastereoisomer, we concentrated our first efforts to underline the scope of this reaction by varying the nature of the cyclopropenes and the silane, as described in Scheme 2. Cyclopropenes bearing various different substituents on the aromatic ring smoothly undergo the hydroisilylation reaction with PhSiH3 to produce the corresponding silyl-substituted cyclopropanes (2b−2f; see Scheme 2) in good yield and high diastereoselectivity. Different silanes could also be introduced on the three-membered ring possessing either substituents of different electronic properties on the aromatic ring (2g−2n in Scheme 2) or by using diphenylsilane Ph2SiH2 (2o−2r in Scheme 2).

Since the racemic L7°CoCl2 complex was the best combination for a cobalt-catalyzed diastereoselective hydroisilylation reaction, a series of cobalt complexes possessing similar structures but with different chiral backbones were prepared and submitted to the hydroisilylation reaction on our model substrate 1a (see Table 1, entries 2−6). Although the benzyl-substituted precatalyst L7°CoCl2 did not produce the hydroisylated product 2a (see Table 1, entry 2), the cobalt complex L7°CoCl2 containing an iso-propyl moiety smoothly led to 2a with decent diastereomeric ratio (dr = 10:1) and enantiomeric ratio (er = 86:14) values (see Table 1, entry 3). The best combination was found when the chiral cobalt complex L8°CoCl2 was engaged in our model reaction and afforded the corresponding product 2a with high diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity (dr = 20:1, er = 96:04), demonstrating that the nature of the chiral ligand also significantly influences the diastereoselectivity of the reaction (Table 1, entry 4). To further confirm this steric effect of the chiral ligand on the diastereoselectivity of the hydroisilylation reaction, a more sterically hindered chiral complex (L8°CoCl2) was synthesized and tested under our standard conditions. In this case, the enantioselectivity was the same but the diastereoselectivity decreased to 7.3:1. Further study showed that the catalyst loading of the complex (L8°CoCl2) could be decreased to 5 mol %, while preserving the efficiency of the transformation (see Table 1, entry 6). Control experiments indicate that both the cobalt complex and reducing agent (NaBHEt3) were necessary for the enantioselective catalytic hydroisilylation reaction, as no desired product 2a was observed in their absence (see Table 1, entries 7 and 8, respectively). Having established the best experimental conditions for a mild cobalt-catalyzed diastereoselective and enantioselective hydroisilylation reaction of achiral cyclopropane 1a (5 mol % cobalt complex L8°CoCl2, 10 mol % NaBHEt3 in toluene at −15 °C for 5 h), we then have investigated the scope of this transformation. Cyclopropenes having various aromatic substituents undergo similar enantioselective hydroisilylation reaction with phenylsilane to provide the corresponding enantioselectively enriched silylcyclopropanes 2a−2e in good yields with excellent diastereoselectivities and enantioselectivities (see Scheme 3). Different substituted aryl silanes reagents were prepared and tested in our transformation. para-Methoxyphenylsilane and tolylsilane, as well as ortho-methoxyphenylsilane, were added, with excellent selectivities to various cyclopropenes (2g−2r in Scheme 3).

Spirocyclopropane also undergoes the Co-catalyzed diastereoselective and enantioselective hydroisilylation reaction with
high efficiency (2u and 2w in Scheme 3). Note that increased steric hindrance around the reactive site of the silane decreases the enantioselectivities (compare 2g and 2m in Scheme 3), which was further confirmed when no hydrosilylation reaction was detected when more sterically hindered 1,3,5-trimethylphenylsilane or diphenylsilane was used as a silane reagent (not reported in Scheme 3). The absolute configuration of the cyclopropylsilanes was determined after oxidation of 2a to cyclopropanol and comparison with literature data. All other configurations were determined by analogy.

To gain further understanding on the nature of the hydrosilylation reaction, two deuterium labeling experiments were performed. As shown in Scheme 4, when deuterated cyclopropene 1a was used as a substrate, 2gd was isolated. On the other hand, when cyclopropene 1a and deuterated silane were used, fully deuterated cyclopropane 2gd was obtained, suggesting that the cyclopropylcobalt intermediate was protonated by the silane to regenerate the active catalytic species (see the Supporting Information for all analysis and NMR data).

In summary, a mild diastereoselective and enantioselective cobalt-catalyzed hydrosilylation reaction of achiral cyclopropenes has been developed. In this protocol, various substituted cyclopropenes and arylsilanes were transformed, in the presence of readily available chiral cobalt complex, into silylcyclopropanes with high selectivities. This atom-economy approach to silylated saturated three-membered rings constitute an additional tool for the direct functionalization of three-membered carbocyclic skeleton.
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