Efficacy of Dorsata Honey as a Mixed Carbon Source on Bacterial Cellulose Production from Acetobacter Xylinum
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Abstract

Objectives: This study investigated the effect of the Dorsata honey concentrations on the yield of BC, its biochemical properties, and its product cost of BC. Methods/Statistical Analysis: The experiment utilized five sets of cultures in three replicates and three samples in each replication. Data were treated using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Least Significant Differences (LSD) using Completely Randomized Design (CRD) to test the disparity among the treatment means. Findings: Cellulose formation started two days after incubation when the growth medium became turbid and the surface layer of the translucent medium gradually thickened to form a thin film. The sucrose-based medium yielded the thickest A. xylinum. The media, with ≥50% of Dorsata honey, produced the highest weight of BC. The yield of wet BC increases with the number of fermentation days as long as the nutrient concentration is sufficient to sustain its growth. The media, having a honey concentration of up to 50%, showed the highest percentage of water absorption, while the edible film cultured in pure honey showed the highest water-resistant properties. The BC content of the culture with mixed carbon source provided a more significant source of the crude fiber of around 11–12% than pure sucrose. The treatments displayed comparable solubility in all the food-grade solvents used. Supplementing the medium with Dorsata honey increases the expenditure of nata production; however, it is a potential source of fiber that is richer than the sucrose-based medium. Applications: Dorsata honey renders it suitable for nata de coco development using A. xylinum.
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1. Introduction

Nata de coco, an indigenous dessert of the Philippines, is manufactured at an industrial scale in the country and the neighboring countries like Malaysia and Indonesia. Production of nata de coco has increased in recent years not only for domestic markets, but also for export markets, especially Europe, Japan, the United States and the Middle East.

Nata de coco is usually produced by the fermentation of coconut water or coconut milk, with a culture of A. xylinum, a gram-negative bacterium. During the fermentation, A. xylinum needs nutrients such as carbon source to grow. Glucose, sucrose and fructose are the most preferred carbon sources for nata production. In the BC fermentation process, nata producers generally use refined sugar as a carbon source. Refined sugar is pure sucrose derived from sugar cane. Several authors and researchers have utilized other sources of carbon for BC fermentation. In, they applied grape pomace extract in the production of Bacterial Nanocellulose (BNC), while optimized BC production using maple syrup as a carbon source carried out fermentations for BC using molasses exploited glucose or fructose or sucrose alone and a combination of these carbon sources for BC fermentation. However, to the awareness of the researchers, no one has yet documented the utilization of Dorsata honey from wild honeybees for bacterial culture.

*Author for correspondence
Dorsata honey is a supersaturated sugar solution, created by bees and is accessible for local consumption as a source of carbon. It contains a mixture of several sugars, predominantly fructose and glucose and other sugars such as sucrose, maltose and some di- and trisaccharide sugars, including amino acids, enzymes, protein, vitamins, minerals, ash, organic acids and phenol compounds in varying quantities. These features of Dorsata honey offer a premature conclusion that it is an excellent source of mixed carbon for microbial growth.

In Cagayan Valley, wild honeybees produce honey copiously. Using Dorsata honey in the production of nata de coco will take a role of importance in the beekeeping industry. Although it is still a niche market with limited market opportunities, through this study, the demand for Dorsata honey is expected to increase as it assures the socio-economic and cultural livelihood of several local communities in Cagayan Valley.

This study, therefore, pursued an investigation on the effect of varying concentrations of Dorsata honey on the production of BC from A. xylinum.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental Design

The study made use of a single factor experiment in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). It investigated five sets of cultures replicated three times with three samples in each replication.

2.2 Materials of the Study

The study utilized the following materials: Coconut milk, refined sugar, glacial acetic acid, mother liquor, water, honey, cheesecloth, wooden spoon, rubber band, plastic tray size 20 × 15 cm, plastic pail, plastic pitcher, weighing scale, pH meter, Digital Caliper.

2.3 Bacterial Cellulose Production

The researchers obtained the A. xylinum culture at the Food Processing Center of the Cagayan State University at Sanchez Mira. Next, they mixed one kilogram of grated coconut meat with five liters of purified water; it was squeezed and filtered to remove the residues. After that, they added five liters of water to the coconut milk extract. The ratio of refined sugar and honey was according to the amount specified in the treatments. Then the researchers added 250 ml of glacial acetic acid and five liters of mother liquor containing the A. xylinum culture to the mixture. They mixed the formulation thoroughly to dissolve the ingredients. Afterwards, they filled 1½ liters of the formulation into a plastic tray measuring 25 × 16 × 9 cm. Subsequently, they covered each plastic tray with paper and tightened with a rubber band. They incubated the cultures statically in a room where the temperature is 28–32°C and left undisturbed for 14 days.

2.4 Harvesting the Nata de Coco

The nata de coco produced was harvested after 14 days. The researchers removed the film of the nata at the bottom. Then they washed the harvested nata thoroughly in running tap water until the pH is roughly at the neutral point of 7 and to eliminate the sour taste.

2.5 Data Gathering Procedure

- **Thickness**: The thickness (mm) of the BC film was measured using a Digital Caliper.
- **Wet Weight**: The freshly gathered BC film was lifted and placed in a strainer to drip off the excess water completely for one minute. Then it was weighed to get the wet weight in grams. To determine the damp and dry weights, the researchers used a weighing balance having 0.01 mg reproducibility.
- **Dry Weight of BC**: One kg of raw nata de coco was weighed. Then the nata was sun-dried by spreading on a baking tray until completely dry. Subsequently, the weight of BC was computed immediately after drying. The dry weight was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Dry Weight} = \frac{\text{The dry weight of bacterial cellulose (g)}}{\text{The wet weight of bacterial cellulose (g)}} \times 100
\]

After drying, the BC films were immediately weighed to minimize possible rehydration. The dry weight was determined using a weighing balance with 0.01 mg reproducibility.
- **Water Absorption Capacity**: The BC film was cut into 1 × 1 inch. One kg of raw nata from each treatment was submerged in purified water for nine days at room temperature. After nine days, the samples were carefully rinsed and weighed. The percentage of after absorption was computed using the formula below:

\[
W_{(\%)} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_1} \times 100
\]
Where $W_{(\%)}$ = Percent water absorption (final percentage increase in weight of the tested sample).

$W_1$ = Weight before submerging in water.

$W_2$ = Weight after removing from water.

- **Solubility**: The researchers determined the relative solubility of the produced nata de coco by suspending the nata de coco for ten days in the different food-grade solvents.

- **Product Cost**: The product cost was determined by computing all the expenditures spent on buying the materials. The basis for the price of the honey-infused nata de coco was on the specific measurements of the materials used in the conduct of the study.

**2.6 Statistical Analysis**

The data were treated using an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to determine whether the treatments show a significant difference from each other. Least Significant Differences (LSD) was used to determine which treatment means differ significantly in the .01 and .05 levels of significance.

**3. Results and Discussion**

**3.1 Thickness of Nata de Coco**

In all the treatments, cellulose formation began only on the third day of incubation when the growth medium became turbid and the surface layer of the translucent medium gradually thickened to form a thin film. This finding supports the report of 14 that they have not observed any visible nata formation in the initial stages of up to 2–3 days of incubation. However, the rate of BC formation differed in the five treatments.

Table 1 discloses that when A. xylinum was cultured in the medium using pure sucrose and different concentrations of honey for 5–9 days, the thickness of nata is not significantly different in all the treatments. On the 13th day, a significant difference existed in the formulations. The medium containing sucrose as the sole carbon source obtained the maximum depth of nata. The bacteria were able to make this transition effectively, as evidenced by the considerable yield of nata.

The yield of nata reduces with the increase of honey concentration to a ratio higher than ½. Findings reveal that the thickness and wet weight of nata are positively correlated. This finding justifies the report of 14 that the depth of nata has a direct effect on the amount of water it can hold, which in turn affects the heaviness of the fihas product.

| Treatment | Fermentation Days |
|-----------|------------------|
|           | 5 Days | 9 Days | 13 Days |
| $T_1$     | 2.57   | 15.92  | 21.96   |
| $T_2$     | 3.21   | 16.81  | 19.09   |
| $T_3$     | 3.02   | 17.30  | 19.66   |
| $T_4$     | 2.71   | 14.95  | 17.23   |
| $T_5$     | 2.65   | 13.30  | 16.46   |

ANOVA

ns = not significant

**3.2 Wet Weight of Nata de Coco (in g) at Different Days of Fermentation**

A. xylinum was cultured in the medium using pure sucrose and Dorsata honey at different concentrations for 5, 9 and 13 days. Results reveal in Table 2 that at five days of fermentation, the media with pure sucrose, as well as with ¼ and ½ parts Dorsata honey produced almost similar weight. Together, they yielded more substantial weight of BC than the media with Dorsata honey ≥3/4. The treatments at 13 days of fermentation obtained the same result as the treatments after five days of fermentation. At nine days of fermentation, the medium with ¾ part Dorsata honey produced equal weight as pure sucrose and with ¼, ½ and ¾ concentrations of Dorsata honey. However, there was an obvious distinction among the three media above in comparison with a medium containing pure sucrose, which produces equal weight as the medium of ¾ Dorsata honey concentration.

Data prove that using not more than ½ of Dorsata honey as carbon source produces very similar weight as pure sucrose as long as the nutrient concentration is sufficient to sustain the growth of BC. The yield of wet BC increased with an increase in the number of days of fermentation. According to, nutrient concentration decreases in increasing incubation time. Consequently, on the 14th day, when the nutrient concentration is used up, there was no weight gain of BC because its production stopped.
Table 2. Mean wet weight of nata de coco (in g) at different days of fermentation

| Treatment | 5 Days | 9 Days | 13 Days |
|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| T₁        | 695.08<sup>a</sup> | 835.29<sup>a</sup> | 910.71<sup>a</sup> |
| T₂        | 698.93<sup>a</sup> | 813.72<sup>a</sup> | 914.55<sup>a</sup> |
| T₃        | 702.06<sup>a</sup> | 808.87<sup>a</sup> | 887.30<sup>a</sup> |
| T₄        | 631.43<sup>b</sup> | 744.26<sup>ab</sup> | 752.92<sup>b</sup> |
| T₅        | 577.29<sup>b</sup> | 684.62<sup>b</sup> | 705.66<sup>b</sup> |
| ANOVA     | **     | **     | **      |

** = highly significant

3.3 Dry Weight of BC (in g)

One kg of raw nata de coco from each treatment was sun-dried to obtain the dry weight of the BC. Results as shown in Figure 1, prove that the medium containing ¾ part Dorsata honey produced the heaviest cellulose of 11.83 g, which is 1.18% of the wet weight of nata. The result reveals that its cellulosic matrix retains the least amount of water. However, an analysis of the differences using LSD showed that the medium above produced a very similar amount of cellulose as the media containing ½ and 1 part Dorsata honey. The medium with ½ part Dorsata honey does not significantly differ from the medium having the ¼ part. The medium having pure sucrose as its carbon source is not comparable in weight with the other media. It trapped the most substantial amount of water in the cellulosic matrix, thereby producing the lightest dry BC.

BC is around 1% of the total weight of raw nata with the rest being water<sup>16</sup>. Nata-de-coco, being microbial cellulose, is highly hydrophilic, holding water over 100 times its weight<sup>14</sup>. The hydrophilicity of the BC is due to the abundant hydrogen bond interaction of hydroxyl group, preferentially with water<sup>17</sup>. Nevertheless, the BC content of around 10.72–11.83 g (1.07–1.18%) of the nata with Dorsata honey displays that the mixed carbon source provides a more abundant source of fiber than pure sucrose of approximately 9.57 g or 0.96%. The result is close to the data recorded in the study of<sup>3</sup> that the moisture content of the nata produced from sucrose is 92.90%, and presumably, the dried BC is about 7.1%. The considerable amount of fiber in Dorsata honey-based medium is an indication that it is an ideal dietary component for vegetarians.

Figure 1. Dry weight of BC (in g)/kg of raw nata.
3.4 Water Absorption Capacity

The researchers analyzed the water absorption capacity of the edible film only after soaking the raw nata in tap water for nine days. It appears in Figure 2 that the edible film from ¼ and ½ parts Dorsata honey showed the highest water absorption capacity. This result is followed by the control medium, which gained an almost similar amount of water as the media containing ½ honey or more. The medium with more than ½ part Dorsata honey had the least percent of water increased over nine days test period. The edible film with the highest composition of Dorsata honey produces bacterial cellulose, which exhibited significantly better water resistance properties. It was due to the polymer chain arrangement properties with the hydrophilic and hydrophobic character in the culture medium.

The different culture medium of bacterial cellulose does not only influence the nature of the film but also the physical properties of the edible film comprised of each various culture media.

3.5 Solubility of Nata de Coco

Table 3 shows the comparative solubility of nata de coco. The treatments exhibited the same solubility in all the food-grade solvents used. There were no signs of dispersing actions when suspended in the solutions for ten days. The insolubility of BC in almost all solvents, including water is due to the interaction of the hydroxyl groups with each other, establishing an intermolecular bond to form crystalline domains. The high number of crystalline areas in BC contributes to its mechanical strength.

Moreover, the result provides clear proof that nata de coco is cellulosic and not dextran, as was presumed in the past. The insolubility of BC in the form of nata, in the different food-grade solvents tested, lies its importance. The result suggests that the BC renders its application for research that uses pure cellulose. It may also find suitable in food preparation like preserves, pickles, spiked desserts, and other recipes requiring thickening, emulsifying or stabilizing agents.

Figure 2. Percent water absorption of BC.
### Table 3. The solubility of nata de coco in food-grade solvents

| Solvents            | $T_1$   | $T_2$   | $T_3$   | $T_4$   | $T_5$   |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Water               | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble |
| White vinegar       | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble |
| Vegetable glycerin  | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble |
| Ethanol             | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble |
| Propylene glycol    | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble | insoluble |

### 3.6 Cost Analysis

As seen in Table 4, one preparation of the control medium could produce about 1,821 g of raw nata, 1,829 g for $T_2$, 1,775 g for $T_3$, 1,506 g for $T_4$, and 1,411 g for $T_5$. Each preparation worked out to be Php 42.60, Php 44.04, Php 45.49, Php 46.93 and Php 48.37, respectively. Amending the medium with 1/4, 1/2, 3/4 and 1 part of Dorsata honey correspondingly increased the expenses to 3.38%, 6.78%, 10.16% and 13.54%.

### Table 4. Cost estimation of medium per preparation

|                      | $T_1$    | $T_2$    | $T_3$    | $T_4$    | $T_5$    |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Grated coconut meat  | Php 5.00 | Php 5.00 | Php 5.00 | Php 5.00 | Php 5.00 |
| Mother liquor        | 30.00    | 30.00    | 30.00    | 30.00    | 30.00    |
| Glacial Acetic Acid  | 4.00     | 4.00     | 4.00     | 4.00     | 4.00     |
| Refined Sugar        | 3.60     | 2.70     | 1.80     | 0.90     | -        |
| Dorsata Honey        | -        | 2.34     | 4.69     | 7.03     | 9.37     |
| Total                | Php 42.60 | Php 44.04 | Php 45.49 | Php 46.93 | Php 48.37 |

### 4. Conclusion

Dorsata honey can be an alternative to sucrose in BC production. In countries producing honey from wild honey bees, the study will enable efficient utilization of Dorsata honey. It will also provide a new product dimension to beekeepers that are not getting the right price for their product. Honey-based medium for nata culture produces a more abundant source of fiber than sucrose-based medium; however, it increases the expenditure of nata culture to 3–13%. The insolubility of bacterial cellulose in different food-grade solvents renders it suitable for food preparations that use thickening, emulsifying or stabilizing agents and for research that uses pure cellulose.
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