Persistent Organic Pollutants and Mercury in Genetically Identified Inner Estuary Bottlenose Dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) Residents of the Guayaquil Gulf, Ecuador: Ecotoxicological Science in Support of Pollutant Management and Cetacean Conservation
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The bottlenose dolphin is one of the most common cetaceans found in the coastal waters, estuaries, and mangroves of Ecuador. However, its population size is gradually declining in the Gulf of Guayaquil, and anthropogenic factors including habitat degradation, uncontrolled dolphin watching, dredging activities, increasing maritime traffic, underwater noise, bycatch, and marine pollution have been implicated in their decline. Very little is known about contamination by persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and mercury in bottlenose dolphins from the Pacific coast of South America. To address this research gap, the first assessment of total mercury (THg) and POPs, including polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), in free-ranging bottlenose dolphins in mangroves (El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge) of the Gulf of Guayaquil, was conducted in Ecuador in 2018. Dolphin samples (i.e., skin and blubber; n = 9), were obtained using dart biopsy field methods for contaminant analysis. POP concentrations ranged from 0.56 to 13.0 mg/kg in lipid weight, while THg ranged from 1.92 to 3.63 mg/kg in dry weight. The predominant POPs were OCPs (50% of POP), followed by PCBs (46%) and PBDEs (6.0%); particularly, p,p′-DDE, the main DDT metabolite and a potent anti-androgenic, accounting for 42% of POP, ranging from 0.12 to ∼7.0 mg/kg lw, followed by PCB 153 (8.0%) and PCB 180 (5.0%). PBDE 47 accounted for 2.0% of POP. While the POP concentrations are lower than those found in dolphins from many other regions...
of the world, some of the THg concentrations are within the concentration range found in dolphins from the southeastern coast of the United States. The ecotoxicological risk assessment showed that some of the sampled dolphins are exposed to immunotoxic and endocrine disruption effects by POPs and mercury. The low genetic diversity of this distinctive dolphin population, likely exhibiting genetic isolation and a unique evolutionary heritage, could be lost if the population continues to decline in the face of anthropogenic threats, including chemical pollution. Our finding shows that bottlenose dolphins in coastal Ecuador are exposed to environmental contaminants and can be used as sentinel species for ecosystem health to monitor pollution in the region and to support ecotoxicological risk assessment and regional pollutant management.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Global contamination of the oceans and coastal-marine regions by legacy and emerging persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and mercury continues to be one of the top critical threats, as these contaminants are pervasive in the ocean environment, detected at fairly high concentrations in many marine species, capable of causing health effects, and driven by the long-range atmospheric transport in an era of global change (Alava et al., 2017a). Despite the international policy efforts of the Stockholm Convention on POPs (UNEP, 2002) and Minamata Convention on Mercury (UNEP, 2016) to control, mitigate, and eliminate their sources and contamination, these pollutants are still found in the global marine environment at unprecedented levels.

Persistent organic pollutants bioaccumulate in aquatic organisms and biomagnify in marine mammalian foodwebs (Kelly et al., 2007, 2009; Gobas and Arnot, 2010; Alava and Gobas, 2012; Cullon et al., 2012), reaching exposure concentrations above threshold health effect levels in some populations of apex predators, including marine mammals (e.g., Ross et al., 2000; Hall et al., 2005; Hickie et al., 2007, 2013; Jepson et al., 2016; Desforges et al., 2018). Several toxicological effects, for example, have been accredited to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in marine mammals, including molecular and cellular alterations leading to immunotoxicity, endocrine disruption, and reproductive impairment (Addison, 1989; Brouwer et al., 1989; Lahvis et al., 1995; Ross P. et al., 1996; Ross P. S. et al., 1996; Guise, 1998; Ylitalo et al., 2005; Tabuchi et al., 2006; Letcher et al., 2016; Mos et al., 2010; Bossart, 2011; Buckman et al., 2011; Desforges et al., 2016; Peñin et al., 2018). Both immunotoxicological and endocrine disruption effects by POPs (i.e., PCBs) are likely to be the most detrimental modes of toxic action in cetaceans, with possible indirect consequences at the population level (Hall et al., 2005; Hickie et al., 2007; Jepson et al., 2016; Desforges et al., 2018).

Similarly, mercury, in particular methylmercury (MeHg), is highly neurotoxic and bioaccumulates and biomagnifies in marine foodwebs almost entirely via dietary ingestion or uptake, attaining the highest concentrations in fish and organisms at the top of the foodweb (Scheuhammer et al., 2007, 2015; Wiener et al., 2007; Sandheinrich and Wiener, 2011; Kehrig et al., 2013, 2017; Lavoie et al., 2013; Fort et al., 2015; Jonsson et al., 2017). Methylmercury can cause toxicity even at low concentrations (Dietz et al., 2013). Immunotoxicity, neurotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, and genotoxic effects of mercury in cetacean species, have been documented (Desforges et al., 2016; Kershaw and Hall, 2019). Specifically, mercury exposure in marine mammals (e.g., cetaceans) has been reported to affect the systemic suppression of immune function (i.e., suppression of lymphocyte proliferation and phagocytosis activity) (Desforges et al., 2016).

Among the marine mammal species used as indicators of contamination, the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) serves as a sentinel species to monitor the health and pollution of marine and coastal-estuarine environments due to its long life span, high trophic level in the marine food web and capacity to bioaccumulate environmental pollutants such as POPs and mercury (Wells et al., 2004; Fair, 2006; Stavros et al., 2007; Reif et al., 2008; Fair et al., 2010; Bossart, 2011). A myriad of studies on both emerging and legacy chemicals pollutants have been documented for this species in North America (Wells et al., 2005; Fair et al., 2007, 2009, 2010, 2013; Stavros et al., 2007, 2008; Reif et al., 2008; Yordy et al., 2010). However, very little is known concerning contamination by POPs and mercury in bottlenose dolphins and other marine mammal species from the Southeastern Tropical Pacific and the west coast of South America, except for the pioneering research on POPs in endemic pinnipeds from the Galapagos Islands, i.e., Galapagos sea lions (*Zalophus wollebaeki*) and Galapagos fur seals (*Arctocephalus galapagoensis*) (Alava et al., 2009, 2011, 2017b; Alava and Gobas, 2012; Alava and Ross, 2018), and the first assessment of POPs in Chilean blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*) from Isla de Chiloé, southern Chile (Muñoz-Arnanz et al., 2019). Generally, most studies on chemical contaminants (e.g., POPs, mercury) in Latin America have focused on small cetacean species from the Atlantic coast of South America, mainly along coastal Brazil (Yogui and Sericano, 2009; Alonso et al., 2010, 2012, 2015; Bisi et al., 2012; Santos-Neto et al., 2014; Lavandier et al., 2015, 2016, 2019; Baptista et al., 2016; Kehrig et al., 2016, 2017), and the southern marine-coastal regions of Chile and Argentina (Gerpe et al., 2002; Cáceres-Saez et al., 2015, 2018; Durante et al., 2016).
In Ecuador, the bottlenose dolphin is an emblematic species for coastal-marine conservation. As an apex predator, its key role for the functioning, maintenance, and resilience of coastal mangrove ecosystems is of paramount importance (Jiménez and Alava, 2014; Alava et al., 2019). Of particular interest is the conservation status of the coastal ecotype and year-round resident population from the El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge, located in the Guayaquil Gulf (Ecuador). Photo-identification studies since 2005, and population size estimates indicate a gradual decrease in the population of bottlenose dolphin in this wildlife refuge (Jiménez and Alava, 2014; Félix et al., 2017). While a population size (mean ± SD) of 44 ± 7.0 photo-identified dolphins, ranging from 37 to 55 individuals, was estimated from 2001 to 2013 in El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge (Jiménez and Alava, 2014), a recent abundance estimation of dolphins yielded 43 animals (95% CI 37–49) in Posorja waters (Félix et al., 2017). The causes of this decline are not sufficiently evaluated, but anthropogenic factors such as degradation of the natural habitat, human activities linked to the illegal observation of dolphins, maritime traffic, marine sediments dredging, incidental catches, and direct hunting associated with artisanal fishing and environmental pollution can act as multi-anthropogenic and cumulative impacts to influence this decline (Jiménez et al., 2011, 2018; Jiménez and Alava, 2014, 2015; Alava and Ross, 2018; Alava et al., 2019). This cetacean is considered a “Vulnerable (VU)” species according to the official Red Book of the Mammals of Ecuador (Jiménez et al., 2011).

The coastal bottlenose dolphin, as a residing species and apex predator of the Gulf of Guayaquil Estuary, is considered a coastal sentinel (i.e., “the canary in the coal mine”) to biomonitor and assess marine pollution risks and contaminant bioaccumulation in the region (Jiménez and Alava, 2014; Alava et al., 2019). In this context, the El Morro Refuge and the surrounding region (e.g., Morro Channel and Posorja Harbor) in the Gulf of Guayaquil (Ecuador) offer ideal conditions to measure POPs and mercury to evaluate the anthropogenic impacts on the ecosystem and the dolphins. This is important as the Gulf of Guayaquil is the one of the most productive bioregions, harboring the largest estuary and mangrove area (i.e., Gulf of Guayaquilly Estuary) along the Pacific coast of South America (Twilley et al., 2001; Carvajal and Alava, 2007). However, the Gulf of Guayaquilly Estuary is highly impacted by anthropogenic activities and changes in land use, with agriculture, aquaculture, industrial development, and urban sprawl being the main stressors at the regional level (Twilley et al., 2001; Borbor-Cordova et al., 2006; Carvajal and Alava, 2007; Calle et al., 2018).

Chemical pollution is an environmental problem of ongoing concern in the Gulf of Guayaquil due to POPs (e.g., dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethanes, DDT), current used pesticides, hydrocarbons, pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), butyltin (BT) compounds, and heavy metal contamination (Montañó and Resabala, 2005; Calle and Alava, 2009; Castro et al., 2012; Calle et al., 2013; Fernández-Cadena et al., 2014; Jiménez and Alava, 2014). For instance, DDT contamination was reported in sediment (1.36 μg/kg wet weight) and aquatic organisms (2.87 μg/kg wet weight) from the Taura River Basin, a tributary of the Guayas River in the Gulf of Guayaquil (Montañó and Resabala, 2005). Elevated mercury concentrations were also detected in estuarine sediments and aquatic organisms (i.e., mangrove mussels) from mangrove habitats, exceeding mercury sediment quality guidelines and toxic health effect thresholds (Calle et al., 2018).

Developing an understanding of how environmental chemicals may impact dolphins in coastal Ecuador is essential to enhance the conservation of bottlenose dolphins. One of the main research gaps identified for the conservation of bottlenose dolphin populations in Ecuador is the ecotoxicology of this region and monitoring of POPs and mercury in top predators such as dolphins (Jiménez and Alava, 2014; Alava and Ross, 2018; Alava et al., 2019). To address the lack of baseline contaminant data and ecotoxicological research in cetacean species of coastal Ecuador, this study uses the bottlenose dolphin as “canaries in the coal mine” to characterize environmental contaminant exposure, representing the first assessment of chemical contamination in a small cetacean species in the Pacific coast of South America. By assessing the environmental and anthropogenic factors affecting dolphins, this research supports management efforts for bottlenose dolphin conservation and its population recovery in coastal Ecuador.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area
The El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge (REVISEM hereafter) is located (2°S, 80°W) within the remaining riverine and estuarine mangroves close to the El Morro Channel, northwest of Puna Island, in the Gulf of Guayaquil (3°S 80°W, Figure 1). REVISEM is a mangrove reserve belonging to the National System of Protected Areas and comprises 1,304 ha of mangrove forests, 700 ha of mudflats and 8,000 ha of surface water area; near the El Morro Refuge, there is an important fishing landing and trading port, Posorja harbor (2°42′ S, 80°14′W), where sampling was also deployed in surrounding waters (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1).

Sample Collection: Field Methods and Dart Biopsy Sampling
A small sample of skin and blubber tissue (<1.5 cm approximately) was collected using a dart biopsy technique. Dart biopsy sampling was conducted with a crossbow system (Crossbow Barnett, BCR Recurve Crossbow) equipped with a long 30 mm BSA Huntsman dot sight (BSA Huntsman 30 mm RGB dot sight) and equipped with special biopsy darts (Ceta-Dart using an Easton aluminum/carbon composite spring bolt shaft 3–71/300, equipped with removable and reusable stainless-steel M-11 cutting tips (11 mm in diameter). A stopper is used to prevent the dart from penetrating past the blubber. The biopsy collecting head was disinfected between and prior to each use; each tip was scrubbed, soaked in a chlorhexidine solution, solvent-rinsed, and then rinsed with distilled water. The equipment was used by a trained and experienced biologist. Samples were collected with the Barnett crossbow approximately 0.75 m above the water surface of a 7 m-length fiberglass
boat with outboard motor. Only individuals 2 years of age or older were biopsied based on the size of the dolphin and maternal dependence. Adult animals were sampled when they were alone and/or separated from the group at 4–6 m (i.e., based on the size of the dolphin and maternal dependence, which is evaluated in the field by the trained expert using the crossbow). Mothers with calves under 2 years of age and animals with apparently compromised health conditions (e.g., deformities, open lesions, signs of disease) were not sampled. The field methodology was adapted from the standard methodology used in similar studies throughout the world (see Barrett-Lennard et al., 1996; Krützen et al., 2002; Fair et al., 2003; Parsons et al., 2003; Jefferson and Hung, 2008; Kiszka et al., 2010; Tezanos-Pinto and Baker, 2012; Fruet et al., 2017). Dart biopsy sampling is a well-established field method routinely used with free-ranging cetaceans (Whitehead et al., 1990; Barrett-Lennard et al., 1996; Weller et al., 1997; Fair et al., 2003; Jefferson and Hung, 2008; Fruet et al., 2017). Dart biopsy sampling is a well-established field method routinely used with free-ranging cetaceans (Whitehead et al., 1990; Barrett-Lennard et al., 1996; Weller et al., 1997; Fair et al., 2003; Jefferson and Hung, 2008; Fruet et al., 2017). Dart biopsy procedures employed in this study were designed to minimize discomfort and risk to the animals of the small population inhabiting the mangrove estuarine ecosystem of the REVISEM. The safety protocol during biopsy sampling in the field followed the criteria considered during the sampling based on Wenzel et al. (2010).

Over the course of the field dart-biopsy sampling, 24 shots were deployed in the study area, including REVISEM and Posorja harbor waters (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). The dart shooting distance ranged from a minimum distance of 4 m and maximum of 7.5 m, averaging 5.1 m. After retrieving the floating dart, the skin/blubber tissue (1 cm in diameter × 1.5–2 cm in depth and between 0.5 and 1.0 g in weight) was removed from the sampling head using solvent-cleaned forceps and a scalpel, separated, and placed in a liquid nitrogen container for genetic and contaminant analysis. A total of 12 clean hits with nine full dolphin samples (i.e., blubber and skin), constituting a 75% full sample rate (or 25% failure rate), and two skin only samples were collected. There were two dolphins sampled twice within the nine full samples, thus the sampling effort rendered full samples from a total of seven different individuals and full and partial samples from nine different individuals. Once the biopsy was recovered with the sample of tissues (skin and blubber), the skin was separated from the blubber with sterilized and clean scissors for analysis of total mercury (i.e., 2/3 skin sample) and genetics (1/3 of the skin sample) and all the blubber
was used for POPs analysis. Table 1 shows the field data on sampled dolphins.

**Genetic Analysis for Haplotypes and Sex Determination**

To verify that the dolphins sampled were genetically consistent with the inner Gulf of Guayaquil estuary resident dolphin population (Bayas-Rea et al., 2018), DNA was extracted from skin tissue samples and a fragment of the mitochondrial control region was PCR amplified and sequenced. The control region was selected because it is the fastest evolving region of the mitochondrial genome and has been extensively used in population genetic analyses (e.g., Palumbi, 1996; Aguirre et al., 2013) including in cetaceans (e.g., Bayas-Rea et al., 2018). Gulf of Guayaquil bottlenose dolphin populations differ from populations in other geographic areas for this gene, as do inner and outer estuary populations in the Gulf of Guayaquil (Bayas-Rea et al., 2018). Skin tissue samples were stored in a −80°C freezer until DNA purification. DNA was extracted using GeneJET genomic DNA purification kit from Thermo Scientific following the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and stored at −20°C for use.

Two overlapping fragments of the mitochondrial control region were PCR amplified on a miniPCR−TM mini16 thermal cycler using the primers dLp1.5-t-pro and dLp8G (5′CCATCGWGATGTCTTATTTAAGRGGAA-3′) and dLp1.5-t-pro and dLp8G (5′GGAGTACTATGTCCTGTAACCA-3′), which yielded a PCR product approximately 550bp in length, and dLp1.5-t-pro and dLp8G (5′GGAGTACTATGTCCTGTAACCA-3′), which yielded a PCR product approximately 800 bp in length (Bayas-Rea et al., 2018). PCR reactions were carried out in 40 µL volumes consisting of 1X PCR buffer (Omega Bio-Tek), 0.25 mM dNTP (Omega Bio-Tek), 0.35 µM primers, 1.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Omega Bio-Tek), and approximately 100 ng of template DNA. Cycling conditions consisted of a 94°C initial denaturation for 120 s, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 60 s, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 420 s. Successful amplification was verified by running a portion of the PCR products on a 1.5% agarose gel. Amplification of the 800bp fragment resulted in some unspecific amplification so the PCR product was cut from the agarose gel and purified using a QiAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR products for direct sequencing were cleaned using ExoSAP-IT−TM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and forward and reverse strands were sequenced for each fragment on an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer. Chromatograms were edited and aligned in Geneious Prime 2019 (Biomatters Ltd.). The alignment was conducted using the ClustalW 2.1 and manually inspected for errors. The two control region fragments were compared to check for sequencing errors. The final mtDNA control region sequence fragments were cut to 392 bp in length to assign individuals to the haplotypes identified by Bayas-Rea et al. (2018) and determine whether the individuals sampled in this study are genetically consistent with inner estuary residents (GenBank Accession # MT005757-MT005769). The number and frequency of distinct haplotypes was counted and haplotype diversity, Hd, was calculated as N(1-pi2)(N-1)−1, where pi is the frequency of the ith haplotype and N is the number of individuals in the sample (Nei, 1987).

Because male and female bottlenose dolphins can differ in their behavior, movement patterns, and susceptibility to contaminants, genetic markers from the sex chromosomes were used to identify the sex of the specimens sampled following Rosel (2003). Briefly, the primers TSRYR (5′-ACCGGCTTTCCATTCTGTAACG-3′) and PMSRYF (5′-CATTGTGTCGGTCTCGTGATC-3′) were used to amplify the STR gene and the primers ZFX0582F (5′-ATAGTTCAGCTCAGTCTTCTA-3′) and ZFX0923R (5′-AGAATATGGCGACTTAGAAGC-3′) were used to amplify

**Table 1** Field data for dart biopsy sampling of bottlenose dolphin in the El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge (REVISEM) and Posorja Harbor waters during May 2018.

| Date       | Geographical coordinates | Sample no. | Blubber | Skin | Field evaluation size class | Sex* | Sample size |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|---------|-----|-------------------------------|------|-------------|
| May 14, 2018 | 2° 38.762′ S, 80° 15.247′ W | EDM-1-18   | N/A     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Partial     |
| May 14, 2018 | 2° 34.344′ S, 80° 15.125′ W | EDM-2-18   | N/A     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Partial     |
| May 16, 2018 | 2° 38.384′ S, 80° 15.473′ W | EDM-3-18   | N/A     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Partial     |
| May 16, 2018 | 2° 38.384′ S, 80° 15.473′ W | EDM-4-18** | Yes     | Yes | Subadult                      | Female| Full        |
| May 16, 2018 | 2° 38.820′ S, 80° 15.000′ W | EDM-5-18   | Yes     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Full        |
| May 16, 2018 | 2° 38.793′ S, 80° 15.173′ W | EDM-6-18   | Yes     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Full        |
| May 17, 2018 | 2° 38.240′ S, 80° 15.800′ W | EDM-7-18   | Yes     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Small       |
| May 18, 2018 | 2° 63.967′ S, 80° 25.461′ W | EDM-8-18** | N/A     | Yes | Subadult                      | Female| Partial     |
| May 18, 2018 | 2° 63.064′ S, 80° 25.251′ W | EDM-9-18   | Yes     | Yes | Subadult                      | Female| Full        |
| May 21, 2018 | 2° 41.187′ S, 80° 14.867′ W | EDM-10-18  | Yes     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Full        |
| May 21, 2018 | 2° 42.312′ S, 80° 14.207′ W | EDM-11-18**| Yes     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Full        |
| May 21, 2018 | 2° 67.113′ S, 80° 25.219′ W | EDM-12-18  | Yes     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Full        |
| May 21, 2018 | 2° 67.000′ S, 80° 24.877′ W | EDM-13-18**| Yes     | Yes | Adult                         | Male | Full        |

N/A = no sample available for blubber as only skin for genetic analysis was collected from the dart biopsy. *Sex identification based on sex genotyping (see section on Genetic analysis for haplotypes and sex determination; Supplementary Figure S2). **Duplicate samples: sample EDM4 is the same as EDM8; and EDM11 is the same as EDM13.  
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the ZFX gene. Males produce two bands that are 382 and 339 bp in length while females produce a single 382 bp band (Supplementary Figure S2). PCR amplification was conducted on a miniPCR™ mini16 thermal cycler, with cycling conditions consisting of a 95°C initial denaturation for 120 s, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 51°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 45 s, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 420 s. PCR reactions were carried out in 40 µL volumes with the reagent concentrations following those used for the control region amplification. PCR products were run on a 3% agarose gel until band separation was clearly visible in male specimens. Unfortunately, it was apparent that there was some unspecific amplification when the PCR products were run on the agarose gel. To verify that sex was scored correctly, the putative bands corresponding to the ZFX and SRY genes in a specimen identified as male were cut from the agarose gel, purified using a QIAquick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s instructions, and then sequenced on an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer (GenBank Accession # MT005756).

**POP Analysis**

Blubber samples were analyzed with standard solutions containing 19 organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), 43 polychlorinated biphenyl congeners (PCBs), and 13 brominated diphenyl ethers (BDEs) as target analytes (see Supplementary Table S1); as well as recovery surrogates 4,4′-dibromo-octafluorobiphenyl (DBOFB), 2,2′,3′,4,5,5′-heptabromobiphenyl ether (BDE-172); and 2,2′,3′,4,5,5′,6,6′-non-achlorobiphenyl (PCB-208) were purchased from AccuStandard (New Haven, CT, United States). Frozen whale blubber homogenate (SRM 1945) was obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (Gaithersburg, MD, United States). A muscle filet of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) purchased from a local market was used to demonstrate quantitative recovery of spiked target analytes. Optima grade dichloromethane (DCM), hexane, and acetone were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, United States). Silica gel (60–200 mesh, J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ, United States) and alumina (60–325 mesh, Fisher Scientific) were activated overnight at 160 and 250°C, respectively, deactivated with deionized water (3% by weight) and stored in hexane. Sodium sulfate (Mallinckrodt, Phillipsburg, NJ, United States) and glassware were baked at 500°C for 4 h before use.

Subsamples of 0.1–0.5 g were cut from full depth blubber samples using a solvent rinsed stainless steel knife on a block of dry ice to prevent liquefaction. After weighing, each subsample was homogenized with kiln fired Na2SO4, spiked with an aliquot of surrogate solution (in hexane), and extracted with four cycles of DCM at 100°C and 1500 psi using a Dionex Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE) Model 300 system (Sunnyvale, CA, United States). The resulting extract was exchanged to hexane and concentrated for gravimetric lipid determination using a TurboVap 500 evaporator (Zymark, Hopkinton, MA, United States). After redissolution in 1:1 DCM/hexane (v/v), the sample extract was applied to a 50 cm × 2.5 cm i.d. glass column packed with 40 g of SX-3 Bio Beads (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States) to remove lipid. Target analytes were eluted with 1:1 DCM/hexane (v/v) in the 75–220 ml fraction, and the collected extract was exchanged to hexane. This extract was further purified using alumina (6 cm) and silica gel (12 cm) in a 1.1 × 30 cm glass column, eluting target analytes with 15 ml hexane followed by 60 ml 30/70 DCM/hexane (v/v). The final extract was exchanged to hexane, reduced to 1.0 mL, fortified with PCB 30 and PCB 205 (as internal quantitation standards), and stored at −20°C prior to analysis.

Sample extracts were analyzed using an Agilent 7890 gas chromatograph (GC) coupled to a 5975C quadrupole mass-selective detector (MSD) operating in both electron ionization (EI) and negative chemical ionization (NCI) modes (Wilmington, DE, United States). Lower chlorinated PCB homologs (i.e., those with 4 chlorines or less) were analyzed in EI mode. The remaining PCB congeners, OCPs, and BDEs were analyzed in NCI mode using methane (99.97% purity) as the reagent gas at 40% flow rate. Ultrahigh purity (>99.999%) helium with a constant flow rate of 1 ml/min (EI mode) or 1.9 ml/min (NCI mode) was used as the carrier gas. One microliter of sample was injected through a split/splitless inlet operated isothermally at 300°C in splitless mode onto a DB-XLB column (30m × 0.25mm × 0.25µm, Agilent J&W Scientific, Santa Clara, CA, United States). For NCI analysis, the oven temperature was programmed from 90°C (1 min hold) to 150°C at 5°C/min, to 260°C at 3°C/min, and to 320°C at 20°C/min (5 min hold). The transfer line, ion source and quadrupole were maintained at 280, 150, and 150°C, respectively. For EI mode, the oven temperature was programmed from 80°C (1 min hold) to 190°C at 5°C/min, to 260°C at 4°C/min, to 290°C at 20°C/min, and to 300°C at 50°C/min (20 min hold). The transfer line, ion source and quadrupole were maintained at 280, 230, and 150°C, respectively. Mass spectral data were collected in selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode, and five-point internal standard calibration curves were used to quantify the target compounds.

Quality control and quality assurance for POPs included nominal analyte-specific reporting limits that ranged between ±11 and 25 ng/g wet weight based on an extracted sample mass of 0.5 g and a S/N of 5. No target analytes were detected in procedural blanks. The mean (± standard deviation) recoveries of DBOFB and BDE-172 were 58 ± 6.0 and 92 ± 13% (Supplementary Table S3), respectively. The recovery of PCB-208 was biased high and highly variable due to contribution of this congener already in the samples. For the subset of 10 samples with the lowest summed PCB concentrations (i.e., <1000 ng/g), the recovery of PCB-208 was 69 ± 16%. The recovery of 68 target analytes spiked into Pacific halibut muscle was 80 ± 5.9 and 93 ± 7.6% for matrix spike and duplicate samples, with a mean relative percent difference (RPD) of 15 ± 4.6%. In addition, the mean RPD for estimated concentrations determined in a blubber sample analyzed in duplicate was 21 ± 9.0%. The mean recovery of 52 target analytes for which concentrations are certified in SRM1945 was 74 ± 16%. Target analyte concentrations reported on a wet weight basis were not corrected for surrogate recovery. The summary of performance-based Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) is reported in Supplementary Table S2.
Mercury Analysis

For total mercury (THg) analysis, nine skin samples (~0.1250 g wet weight) were added into the quartz sample boats (preheated to 650°C for three intervals of 3 min each to remove any impurity of mercury). Total Hg was determined via atomic absorption spectrophotometry, using a direct mercury analyzer DMA 80 (Milestone Srl, Italy), US EPA standard method 7473. The advantage of using this equipment is that the instrument does not require acid digestion of the sample or other pretreatment (Calle et al., 2018). Results were converted from wet weight (ww) to dry weight (dw) using a factor of 3.3 as determined in dolphin skin by Stavros et al. (2007). Therefore, all mercury concentrations are expressed as dry weight (mg/kg dw).

Quality assurance methods included using procedural blanks by measuring empty boats (blanks), duplicates for two samples and certified reference material (DORM4: 0.412 ± 0.036 mg kg⁻¹). Procedural lab blanks (n = 4) were run with the samples for THg analysis and no Hg contamination was found (< detection limit: 0.0001 ± 0.00 µg/kg). Analytical quality control was checked against the certified reference material (CRM DORM4 Fish Protein, certified reference material for trace metals provided by National Research Council Canada). Percentages of recovery of the reference material and blanks are shown in Supplementary Table S3.

Data Treatment and Statistical Analysis

Concentrations of POPs were blank-corrected using the method detection limit (i.e., MDL), defined here as the mean response of the levels measured in procedural blanks used plus threefold the standard deviation (SD) of the blanks (i.e., MDL = Mean blanks + 3 × SD blanks) (see Alava et al., 2009, 2011). Concentrations of analytes below the MDL were adjusted using 1/2 half of the MDL. However, as no concentrations for targeted analytes were detected in the procedural blanks, this precluded in using the MDL for non-detects; thus, as a substitution method for non-detects, the reporting limits (RL), which was estimated from the Instrument Detection Limit with S/N = 5 (signal-to-noise ratio), divided to the square root of 2 (i.e., RL/√2) was used as the limit of detection (LOD). Then, POP concentrations were lipid normalized by dividing the wet weight concentrations to the lipid fraction measured in the blubber samples to account for differences in the lipid content of the dolphin samples; and, thus expressed on a lipid weight basis (mg/kg lipid). For THg data, no blank correction was needed since no mercury concentration was detected in procedural blanks (below the limit of detection, <LOD).

The contaminant data for POP and THg was tested for normal distribution and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk W tests were used for normality. For homogeneity of variance, homoscedasticity (i.e., equal variance) was tested using the Brown-Forsythe test. As THg data were normally distributed (p > 0.05) with equal variances, a one-way ANOVA was used to compare concentration data between adult males and females and subadult females. When comparing the THg data between adult males and females, the statistical power of performed ANOVA test for THg data (with α = 0.050) was 0.098 and below the desired power of 0.800 due to small sample size. In general, contaminant data for POPs were normally distributed (p > 0.05) with homoscedasticity and met criteria for the homogeneity, except for the dieldrin data, which showed heteroscedasticity (i.e., unequal variances); thus, the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis One Way Analysis of Variance on Ranks tests was run for sex comparisons of this particular POP substance. If a significant difference was found amongst the tested dolphin groups (adult males, adult females and subadult females), a Pairwise Multiple Comparison Procedure (Dunn’s Method) to isolate the group or groups that differed from the other was also applied. Similar to the THg mercury data, the ANOVA statistical power (α = 0.050) for the POP data was below the desired power of 0.800.

Health Risk Assessment

A health risk assessment based on the toxic equivalent quotient (TEQ, ng/kg lipid) relative to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD) was calculated for PCBs detected in bottlenose dolphins by applying the most recent data for toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) established for dioxin-like PCBs (i.e., compounds that have chemical structures, physical-chemical properties, persistence, bioaccumulative capacity, lack of biotransformation and toxic responses similar to 2,3,7,8-TCDD), including the planar (non-ortho) PCBs (ΣPCBs 77, 81, 126, and 169) and mono-ortho PCBs (ΣPCBs 105, 114, 118, 123, 156, 157, 167 and 189), as reported by Van den Berg et al. (2006). PCB 77, PCB 81 and PCB 126 (75% of non-ortho PCB congeners) were not included in the final TEQ calculations to prevent overestimation in the total sum of TEQs as these congeners were not originally detected in the set of dolphin samples. Likewise, PCB 169 was not included, except for an adult female and subadult female, in which this non-ortho PCB congener was readily detected. The resulting data for TEQs were then compared to the TEQ threshold levels, including the no observable adverse effect level (NOAEL) and the lowest observable adverse effect level (LOAEL) for dioxin-like PCBs, derived from immunotoxic action and endocrine disruption endpoints assessed in aquatic mammals, i.e., harbor seals (Phoca vitulina) and killer whales (Orcinus orca) (Ross et al., 1995, 2000; Ross P. et al., 1996; Ross P. S. et al., 1996).

In an effort to further understand the health risk characterization, we attempted to interpret observed concentrations of POPs, including PCBs, PBDEs and DDTs (i.e., p,p′-DDE), as well as mercury, in terms of potential related health effects by these pollutants such as immunotoxicity, endocrine disruption and neurotoxicity in marine mammals. In doing so, the relative frequency of the population sampled (i.e., dolphins) expressed as the normal probability density distribution function of the log of total PCBs (ΣPCB), log of total PBDEs (ΣPBDE), and log p,p′-DDE concentrations measured in a lipid weight basis in dolphins (Gaussian distribution) was compared to the log values of lipid normalized toxic effect concentrations documented for PCBs (i.e., immunotoxicity and endocrine disruption, based on Ross P. S. et al., 1996; Kannan et al., 2000; Mos et al., 2010; Desforges et al., 2016); and the PCB-threshold affecting the population growth rate
in bottlenose dolphins (Hall et al., 2005), for PBDEs (thyroid hormone endocrine disruption; Hall et al., 2003) and for DDTs [i.e., endocrine disruption by p,p′-DDE as a potent anti-androgenic contaminant, following the approach formulated by Alava et al. (2011) based on Kelce et al. (1995)] to assess what proportion of the dolphins (i.e., frequency) exceed target threshold concentrations for a given contaminant. For mercury (THg), the log of THg (most of which was assumed to be MeHg for the eco-toxicological probabilistic risk assessment) was compared to mercury effect concentrations causing immunotoxicity, neurotoxicity and nephrotoxicity in marine mammals (Desforges et al., 2016).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Haplotypes and Sex Genotyping

The mitochondrial DNA sequencing of skin samples from 11 different dolphins revealed that these individuals belonged to only two haplotypes. Nine (81.8%; specimens EDM-1-18, EDM-2-18, EDM-4/8-18, EDM-5-18, EDM-6-18, EDM-7-18, EDM-9-18, EDM-11-13-18, and EDM-12-18) were Haploype 7, which is the most common haplotype for the inner estuary of the Guayaquil Gulf and the one that has also been documented at low frequencies in the outer estuary (~9%) (Bayas-Rea et al., 2018), while 18.2% (2/11; specimens EDM-3-18, EDM-10-18) were haplotype 9, a haplotype that has been found only in the inner estuary population and is the second most common haplotype there. These haplotypes are also very closely related, differing by only one nucleotide, a transition from a T in Hap 7 to a C in Hap 9, corresponding to a sequence divergence of 0.26% (1/392). Haplotype diversity was only 0.327, which is similar to the lowest estimate obtained by Bayas-Rea et al. (2018) for their inner estuary samples (El Morro site: Hd = 0.286). The analysis of the mitochondrial DNA sequences thus confirms that the specimens sampled were genetically consistent with the inner estuary population (i.e., coastal ecotype). Of the 11 specimens scored for sex, six (EDM-1-18, EDM-2-18, EDM-5-18, EDM-7-18, EDM-11-13-18, and EDM-12-18) were genetically identified as males and five (EDM-3-18, EDM-4/8-18, EDM-6-18, EDM-9-18, and EDM-10-18) were identified as females (Table 1).

The resident bottlenose dolphin population in the inner estuary of the Gulf of Guayaquil is genetically distinctive from bottlenose dolphin populations in other geographic regions and exhibits low genetic diversity (Bayas-Rea et al., 2018). Although sampling of bottlenose dolphins from the outer estuary of the Gulf of Guayaquil for genetic analysis has been sparse, genetic diversity appears much lower in the in the inner estuary population than in the outer estuary. In the largest population genetics study of dolphins in the area conducted to date, Bayas-Rea et al. (2018) found nine different haplotypes for the 11 individuals sampled from the outer estuary (Hd = 0.964), many of which clustered with haplotypes from the Gulf of California and the Galapagos Islands, indicating broad genetic admixture of the dolphins in this area. In contrast, they only found five haplotypes among the 37 individuals they sampled from the inner estuary (average Hd = 0.557). These formed a distinct genetic cluster relative to bottlenose dolphin populations from other geographic areas, with four of the five haplotypes only occurring in the inner estuary population. We only found two haplotypes, the two most common haplotypes in Bayas-Rea et al. (2018), among the nine specimens sampled in the current study. The genetic distinctiveness of the bottlenose dolphin population in the Gulf of Guayaquil inner estuary indicates the potential for local adaptation. Combined with the low genetic diversity, which suggests a small effective population size and genetic isolation, this population likely has a unique evolutionary heritage that could be lost if the population continues to decline.

POP Concentrations

No statistical differences in mean blubber concentrations between adult males and females and subadult female dolphins were found for POPs, except for dielodrin, which was significantly higher in subadult females when compared to adult females and males (Kruskal-Wallis One Way Analysis of Variance on Ranks, H = 5.357, df = 2, p = 0.029; Figure 2). Total POP concentrations (ΣPOP) measured in all dolphin samples was 44 mg/kg lw, ranging from 0.56 mg/kg lw in an adult female to 13 mg/kg lw in an adult male (Supplementary Table S4). The mean concentration ± SD of ΣPCB in adult females and males was 0.64 ± 0.50 mg/kg lw (range: 0.30–1.0 mg/kg lw) and 3.65 ± 1.50 mg/kg lw (range: 2.65–5.40 mg/kg lw), respectively (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S4). ΣPCB mean concentration in subadult females was 3.96 ± 2.40 mg/kg lw and comparable to that in adult males. Similar to PCBs, the mean concentration of organochlorines pesticides (OCPs) were relatively higher with adult males exhibiting 4.18 ± 2.80 mg/kg lw (ranging 2.55 to 7.40 mg/kg lw) compared to adult females 0.66 ± 0.605 mg/kg lw (range: 0.24–1.10 mg/kg lw). However, the subadult females contained OCP concentrations of 4.10 ± 1.30 mg/kg lw, similar to adult males. DDTs were the dominant OCP measured in the dolphins’ blubber samples, with a mean concentration of 0.57 ± 0.550 mg/kg lw in adult females, and 4.0 ± 2.72 mg/kg lw in adult males (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S4). Particularly, the mean concentration of p,p′-DDE, the main DDT metabolite and a potent anti-androgenic chemical, was 0.46 ± 0.50 for adult females and 3.66 ± 2.70 in adult males, ranging from 0.12 mg/kg lw in an adult female dolphin to ~7.0 mg/kg lw in an adult male. The p,p′-DDE concentration in the subadult females was 3.30 ± 1.15 mg/kg lw. PBDE concentrations were lower (i.e., adult males: 0.43 ± 0.12 mg/kg lw; adult females: 0.17 ± 0.095 mg/kg lw; and, subadult female: 0.48 ± 0.15 mg/kg lw). The concentrations for other OCPs, including dieldrin (range: 0.004 to 0.15 mg/kg lw) and chlordane (range: 0.01 to 0.10 mg/kg lw), were the lowest detected in these dolphins, i.e., < 0.10 mg/kg lw (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S4).

POP Composition Patterns

As shown in Figure 2, the predominant POPs were OCPs accounting for 50% of ΣPOP, followed by PCBs (46%) and PBDEs (6.0%), although the concentration values of OCPs and PCBs were equally comparable. DDTs dominated the suit of
FIGURE 2 | Mean ± SD of concentrations (mg/kg lipid weight) of dieldrin, ΣChlordanes, ΣPBDE, ΣPCB, p,p′-DDE, ΣDDT, and total organochlorine pesticides (ΣOCP) in blubber of adult (males and females) and subadult (females) bottlenose dolphins sampled in May 2018 in the El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge (REVISEM), and Posorja Port, Gulf of Guayaquil, Ecuador. No significant differences were found between adult age categories of males and females and subadult females, except for Dieldrin, being significantly higher in subadult females, as indicated by the asterisk (*).

POPs, making up 47% of ΣPOP found in the El Morro bottlenose dolphins. For example, p,p′-DDE contributed to 42% of ΣPOP, followed by PCB 153 (8%) and PCB 180 (5%). BDE 47, the dominant PBDE congener found in the dolphins, contributed 2% of ΣPOP. Other OCPs such as dieldrin and chlordanes (ΣChlordanes) accounted for a total of 2% of ΣPOP (i.e., 1% each one). The congener composition profiles for PCBs and PBDEs are presented in Figures 3, 4, illustrating that PCB 153, which is frequently the dominant recalcitrant congener, made up 16% ΣPCB (Figure 3) followed by PCB 180 (11%), PCB 138 (8%) and PCB 187 (7%), while BDE 47, usually the dominant PBDE congener, accounted on average for up to 44% of ΣPBDE, followed by BDE-100 (13%), BDE-99 (10%) and BDE-154 (8.0%) (Figure 4).

PCB and PBDE patterns are in general agreement with the composition profiles for PCBs (Tanabe et al., 1988, 1997; Boon et al., 1997; Ross et al., 2000; Fair et al., 2010) and PBDEs (Rayne et al., 2004; Fair et al., 2007, 2010; Bachman et al., 2014) observed in cetaceans. In particular, hexa-chlorobiphenyls were the main PCB homolog class and dominated the composition profiles found in these dolphins. This finding is an indication that the dolphins from the Gulf of Guayaquil seem to be impacted by the common PCB contaminant signatures such as those resulting from residues of Aroclors 1242, 1254, and 1260 (Kucklick et al., 2011). In fact, hexa-chlorobiphenyls classically dominate PCB levels in cetaceans due to their wide use in the past and persistent nature in the marine environment (Boon et al., 1997; Tanabe et al., 1997; Aguilar et al., 2002; Fair et al., 2010). Conversely, there are documented special cases where uncommon Aroclors resulted in a clearly shifted homolog pattern dominated by a high proportion of octa- through deca-chlorobiphenyls in bottlenose dolphins exhibiting extremely high concentrations, i.e., Aroclor 1268 in coastal Georgia (GA, United States), including the Brunswick River Estuary and Sapelo Island (Pulster and Maruya, 2008; Kucklick et al., 2011). However, the PCB homolog pattern found in our dolphins are consistent with a non-Aroclor 1268 PCB formulations.

As for PBDEs, the predominant pattern of lower brominated congeners such as BDE-47, BDE-100, BDE-99, and BDE-154 (Figure 4) is also fairly consistent with the PBDE profile reported in bottlenose dolphins from the southeastern coast of the United States (Fair et al., 2007, 2010), small cetacean species (e.g., dolphins) from the Hawaiian Islands (Bachman et al., 2014) and blue whales from southern Chile (Muñoz-Arnanz et al., 2019). The significance of BDE-47 at upper trophic levels in marine foodwebs reveals a combination of the tendency of this congener to biomagnify and its production through debromination pathways of other PBDE congeners in marine organisms (Boon et al., 2002; Rayne et al., 2004; Wolkers et al., 2004; Kelly et al., 2008).

Mercury (THg)
No statistical differences occurred in THg skin concentrations between male and female dolphins (one-way ANOVA, p = 0.310; Figure 5). Concentrations of THg ranged from 1.92 in a subadult female dolphin to 3.63 mg/kg dw in an adult male dolphin (Table 2). The mean concentration ± SD of THg in adult females and males was 3.09 ± 0.70 mg/kg dw (range: 2.60–3.58 mg/kg dw)
FIGURE 3 | Composition patterns of PCB congeners relative to total PCBs in blubber samples of bottlenose dolphins of the El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge, and Posorja Port, Gulf of Guayaquil, Ecuador. The hexa-chlorobiphenyls, PCB 153, accounted for most of the proportion of PCBs.

FIGURE 4 | Composition patterns of PBDE congeners relative to total PBDEs in blubber samples of bottlenose dolphins of the El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge, and Posorja Port, Gulf of Guayaquil, Ecuador. BDE 47 contributed (black bars) to most of the PBDE composition.

and 3.16 ± 0.64 mg/kg dw (range: 2.43–3.63 mg/kg dw), respectively (Table 2 and Figure 5), while the THg mean concentration in the subadult females was 2.20 ± 0.40 mg/kg dw.

Here, it is estimated that at least 70% of THg detected in our dolphin skin samples is organic mercury (i.e., methyl mercury, MeHg) as about 72–73% of mercury found in skin of bottlenose
dolphins has been found to be MeHg (Stavros et al., 2007). We assumed that this MeHg percentage is a conservative value as most of the mercury existing in the skin of cetaceans and other marine mammal species is MeHg, i.e., epidermal MeHg proportion ranging 90–100% (Wagemann et al., 1998; Stavros et al., 2007; Woshner et al., 2008).

Sex Differences: POPs and THg

While no significant differences for the majority of the POP concentrations were found between adult individuals (males and females) and subadult females, likely due to the small sample size and thus lack of statistical power to perform a more robust comparison, the concentration of the organochlorine pesticide dieldrin was significantly higher in subadult females (Figure 2). Adult males showed fairly higher concentrations of dieldrin, ΣChlordanes, ΣPBDE, ΣPCB, and ΣDDT relative to the concentration for each contaminant class measured in adult females (Figure 2). Contaminant loads of POP levels measured in blubber samples of sub-adult and adult males are typically higher than those found in adult, reproductive females (Aguilar et al., 1999; Ross et al., 2000; Ylitalo et al., 2001; Wells et al., 2005; Dorneles et al., 2010). In this study, the subadult females also exhibited POP concentrations comparably higher to those observed in adult female dolphins (Figure 2). Contrasting to subadult females that have not yet reached the onset of sexual maturity and reproductive age, breeding adult females offload significant portions of their POPs burden through maternal transfer during gestation and lactation to calves, while males have no such mechanisms to offload contaminants (Borrell et al., 1995; Aguilar et al., 1999; Ross et al., 2000; Wells et al., 2005; Kajiwara et al., 2008).

Similar to POPs, the sex comparisons showed lack of significant differences in THg concentrations (Figure 5), which may also be due to small sample size. Adult females showed fairly higher skin THg concentration relative to the concentration in subadult females. In this study, the two subadult females exhibited THg skin concentration (i.e., 2.20 ± 0.40 mg/kg dw) comparably similar to those reported by Stavros et al. (2007). Skin concentrations of THg are typically higher in adult dolphin females than those found in adult male dolphins (Bryan et al., 2007; Stavros et al., 2007; Damseaux et al., 2017; Zanuttini et al., 2019).

Geographical Comparisons: POPs and THg in Dolphins

As no previous studies on POPs in bottlenose dolphins (T. truncatus) have been conducted in the El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge or throughout the Gulf of Guayaquil, a geographical comparison of POP concentrations found in the blubber of The El Morro dolphins relative to levels observed in bottlenose dolphins and delphinid species from other geographical regions, including Gulf of Mexico, western North Atlantic Ocean and the Indo-Pacific Ocean, is presented.
TABLE 3 | Geographical comparisons between persistent organic pollutant data reported in the existing literature and the data of this study in the blubber of delphinid species from different locations (Pacific Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, Indian Ocean and North Atlantic Ocean).

| Species                  | n  | Age category and sex | Sampling location (sampling period) | POP data reported | Dieldrin (mg/kg lw) | ∑Chlordanes (mg/kg lw) | ∑PBDE (mg/kg lw) | ∑PCB (mg/kg lw) | ∑DDT (mg/kg lw) | References                  |
|--------------------------|----|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| *Tursiops truncatus*     | 2  | AF                   | El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refuge, Gulf of Guayaquil, Ecuador (2018) | Mean ± SD         | 0.02 ± 0.02         | 0.02 ± 0.01            | 0.17 ± 0.09      | 0.64 ± 0.49     | 0.57 ± 0.55       | This study                        |
| *T. truncatus*           | 3  | AM                   | Hawaiian Islands, United States (1997–2011) | Mean ± SD         | 0.05 ± 0.03         | 0.07 ± 0.03            | 0.43 ± 0.12      | 3.66 ± 1.52     | 3.96 ± 2.72       | Bachman et al. (2014)               |
| Seven delphinid species  | 8  | AM                   | Median (range)                      | –                 | 2335                | 395                    | 17050            | 23400           | 1220 ± 1850       | Gu et al. (2014)                    |
| *Sousa chinensis*        | 12 | AF                   | Pearl River Estuary, China (2004–2013) | Mean ± SD         | 49.1 ± 46.5         | 61.2 ± 60.2            | –                | 77700 ± 59800   | 1220 ± 1850       | Kucklick et al. (2011)              |
| *Stenella longirostris*  | 7  | AM                   | Median (range)                      | –                 | 46.6 ± 30.1         | 48.2 ± 29.7            | –                | 87,200 ± 66,600 | 1030 ± 1450       | Dirtu et al. (2016)               |
| *Tursiops truncatus*     | 32 | Unknown              | –                                   | 10 (0.3–45)       | 95 (5–1200)         | 5200 (100–67500)      | 837 (26–19345)  | 2000 ± 5200     | 26.3 (19.6–35.4)  | Kucklick et al. (2011)              |
| *T. truncatus*           | 30 | AM                   | Sapelo Island, Georgia, United States (2000–2007) | Geometric mean (95% CI) | 0.16 (0.13–0.21) | 6.16 (4.50–8.40)      | 3.98 (3.10–5.12) | 170 (126–229)   | 26.1 (19.0–36.0)  | Kucklick et al. (2011)              |
|                         | 19 | AM                   | Brunswick River Estuary, Georgia, United States (2000–2007) | Geometric mean (95% CI) | 0.41 (0.30–0.57) | 4.97 (3.90–6.32)      | 3.61 (2.85–4.57) | 450 (307–658)   | 26.1 (19.0–36.0)  | Kucklick et al. (2011)              |
| *T. truncatus*           | 6  | AF                   | Biscayne Bay, Florida, United States (2002–2004) | Median (range) | 10.94 (8.71–13.74) | 39 (31–51)            | 21 (14–31)       | 891 (574–1380)  | 97 (66–143)       | Litz et al. (2007)                    |
|                         | 31 | AM, juvenile         | –                                   | 76.60 (53.38–109.91) | 1070 (777–1470)    | 394 (300–520)         | 19900 (13400–29400) | 2900 (2370–3750) | 2900 (1060–27300) | Fair et al. (2010); Reif et al. (2017) |
| *T. truncatus*           | 11 | AF                   | Charleston, South Carolina, United States (2003–2005) | Median (range) | 160 (20.6–1080)     | 777 (199–8570)       | 977 (295–11300)  | 14300 (4540–131000) | 2900 (1060–27300) | Litz et al. (2007)                    |
|                         | 36 | AM                   | –                                   | 1420 (414–2670)   | 10900 (3660–42800)  | 5917 (1711–13200)     | 94000 (28600–255000) | 29000 (14900–86,800) |                                | (Continued)                         |
| Species          | n  | Age category and sex | Sampling location (sampling period) | POP data reported | Dieldrin (mg/kg lw) | ΣChlordanes (mg/kg lw) | ΣPBDE (mg/kg lw) | ΣPCB (mg/kg lw) | ΣDDT (mg/kg lw) | References             |
|------------------|----|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| T. truncatus     | 15 | AF                   | Indian River Lagoon, Florida, United States (2003–2005) | Median (range)    | 66.5 (2.20–845)    | 1490 (147–11200)       | 581 (196–1420)    | 25500          | 4600          | (544–15200)         |
|                  | 33 | AM                   |                                      |                   | 366 (1.70–1230)    | 7600 (2020–28800)      | 1490 (463–3790)   | 79800 (35000–227000) | 18800      | Galligan et al. (2019) |
| T. truncatus     | 29 | AF                   | St. Andrew Bay, Florida, United States (2015–2016) | Median (range)    | 25 (< 1.2–170)     | 200 (< 2–1400)        | 130 (< 2.1–710)  | 3600           | 3000          | (8.5–62000)          |
|                  | 33 | AM                   |                                      |                   | 34 (8.7–130)       | 590 (170–2200)        | 360 (62–800)     | 15000          | 14000         | (520–34000)          |
| T. truncatus     | 11 | AF                   | Normanno-Breton Gulf (English Channel), United Kingdom (2010–2012) | Mean (median) ± SD (min–max values) | 180 (137 ± 147) (59–344) n = 3 | 0.97 (1.04 ± 0.2) (0.74–1.1) n = 3 | 639 (214 ± 686) (51–2.14 × 10^3) | 6.45 × 10^4 (5.14 ± 10^4) ± 7.41 × 10^4 (4.5 × 10^2–2.7 × 10^3) | 104 (7) ± 144 (8–434) | Zanuttini et al. (2019) |
|                  | 47 | AM                   |                                      |                   | 1.86 × 10^3 (1.62 × 10^3) ± 1.23 × 10^3 (615–5.38 × 10^3) n = 18 | 25 (15) ± 28 (3.9–99) n = 18 | 1.95 × 10^3 (1.78 × 10^3) ± 1.07 × 10^3 (195–3.87 × 10^3) | 1.33 × 10^5 (1.14 × 10^5) ± 7.89 × 10^4 (1.75 × 10^4–3.93 × 10^5) | 149 (71) ± 201 (6–940) |                   |

POP concentrations are reported in mg/kg lipid weight (lw) for adult males (AM) and females (AF).
in Table 3. In general, the concentrations of El Morro bottlenose dolphins are lower when compared to concentration in bottlenose dolphins from more contaminated regions located in the Gulf of Mexico and along the United States east coast, as shown in Table 3 (Fair et al., 2010; Kucklick et al., 2011). For instance, adult male dolphins from our study exhibit $\Sigma$ PCBs and $\Sigma$ DDT concentrations well below the concentrations reported in male bottlenose dolphins sampled near Gulf of Mexico-Biscayne Bay (Litz et al., 2007), along the southeastern coast of US (Atlantic Ocean), including Charleston (South Carolina), and Indian River Lagoon, Florida (Reif et al., 2008; Fair et al., 2010), as well as St. Andrew Bay, Florida (Galligan et al., 2019). Likewise, the concentration in the El Morro dolphins are also much lower than those measured in highly contaminated bottlenose dolphins from the English Channel, i.e., Normanno-Breton Gulf (Zanuttini et al., 2019).

Interestingly, the concentrations of POPs detected in the dolphins assessed in this study exhibited similar concentrations to those measured in dolphin species (Stenella longirostris; T. aduncus) from La Reunion Island (Dirtu et al., 2016); however, the concentration in our dolphins were still lower when compared to the concentrations found in Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin (Sousa chinensis) from the Pearl River Estuary, China (Gui et al., 2014) and small cetacean species from Hawaii (Bachman et al., 2014) (Table 3).

The geographical comparison of THg concentrations measured in our dolphins to other studies is reported in Table 4. The concentrations of THg reported in this study were higher than those found in the skin of male and female bottlenose dolphins from waters of Charleston, South Carolina (i.e., averaging ~1.7 mg/kg dw), but lower than the concentrations measured in male and female dolphins from the Indian River Lagoon, Florida (i.e., averaging ~7.0 mg/kg dw) along the southeastern coast of United States (Stavros et al., 2007) and from Sarasota Bay in the west coast of Florida, Gulf of Mexico. Concentrations of THg in our male dolphins were similar to mercury concentrations measured in skin of male dolphin from the Lower Florida Keys (averaging 2.93 mg/kg dw); however, THg concentrations in our male and female dolphins were lower than the ones reported for the Florida Coastal Everglades (Damseaux et al., 2017) and for the Normano-Breton Gulf (English Channel) (Zanuttini et al., 2019). In comparison to the recent mercury concentrations reported for Commerson’s dolphins (Cephalorhynchus commersonii) from Subantarctic marine waters (i.e., Southwestern South Atlantic Ocean) of Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (Cáceres-Saez et al., 2015), the THg concentrations in male bottlenose dolphins from REVISEM were higher than the concentrations reported in skin of male Commerson’s dolphins, ranging 0.68–1.41 mg/kg dw, while for female dolphins the concentrations were similar, i.e., the THg concentration range in female Commerson’s dolphins was 0.54–3.11 mg/kg dw (Cáceres-Saez et al., 2015).

The lower concentrations observed in the dolphins may be a plausible signal of the recent declines of POPs such as PCBs and DDTs in the global marine environment and some marine mammal populations although the exposure levels to POPs (e.g., PCBs) are still high in some cetacean species such as killer whale (O. orca) and bottlenose dolphins in Europe (Jepson et al., 2016; Zanuttini et al., 2019), as well as in Arctic marine mammals, i.e., DDTs, PCBs, Chlordanes (Brown et al., 2018). The lack of POP baseline data at the regional level in the Gulf of Guayaquil precluded this study to make comparisons and to infer that the low concentrations found in the dolphins are affected by potential recent declines in emissions of these contaminants in the local environment. Conversely, it is reasonable to consider that a reduction in the application of DDT to control malaria in Ecuador during the last decades can be reflected in the low concentrations measured in the dolphins.

Toxicological Health Effect Assessments

The TEQ assessment is one of the approaches available to assess significantly toxic contribution of dioxin-like PCB congeners to wildlife and humans (Van den Berg et al., 2006; Fair et al., 2010). The total toxic equivalents ($\Sigma$TEQ) for non-ortho and mono-ortho (planar) PCBs in adult males (10 ng TEQ/kg lipid), and adult females (68 ng TEQ/kg lipid) are below the no observable adverse effects level (NOAEL-TEQ) thresholds of 90 ng TEQ/kg lipid and the lowest observable adverse effects level (LOAEL-TEQ) of 209 ng TEQ/kg lipid or 286 ng TEQ/kg lipid for immunotoxic effects estimated in aquatic mammals, mainly in harbor seals (Ross et al., 1995; Ross P. S. et al., 1996; Kannan et al., 2000). The $\Sigma$TEQ in these dolphins are also lower than toxic threshold level of 225 ng TEQ/g lipid reported for killer whales from the northeastern Pacific (Ross et al., 2000). Exceptionally, the $\Sigma$TEQ in the subadult females (363 ng TEQ/g lipid), significantly driven by the detection of the planar (non-ortho) PCB 169 (351 ng TEQ/g lipid) in one of the subadult females, exceeded these TEQ thresholds, highlighting the exposure to immunotoxic health effects by PCBs in this particular age class category.

Along with the TEQ risk assessment, the probabilistic cumulative (frequency) distribution shows that about 47 and 98% of female and male dolphins exceeded the PCB-toxicity reference value (TRV) for immunotoxic and endocrine disruption (i.e., 1300 µg/kg lw or 1.3 mg/kg lw) estimated in harbor seals (PCB TRV reported by Mos et al. (2010), respectively (Figure 6A). In addition to exceeding this PCB TRV, 1 and 3% of females were above the PCB toxic effect concentration (PCB TEC) causing reproductory and immunotoxic impairments in marine mammals (17000 µg/kg lw; Ross P. S. et al., 1996; Kannan et al., 2000), and the PCB threshold associated with a decrease in the population growth rate in bottlenose dolphins (i.e., 10000 µg/kg lw; Hall et al., 2005). PBDEs are also of concern due to their potential to disrupt the endocrine and immune systems (Meerts et al., 2001; Hallgren and Darnerud, 2002; Hall and Thomas, 2007; Frouin et al., 2010). Thus, the PBDE concentrations observed in dolphins were compared against the upper limit of PBDEs’ threshold level (1.5 mg/kg lw) associated with endocrine disruption of thyroid hormone in gray seals, Halichoerus grypus (Hall et al., 2003), showing that the PBDE concentrations in 0.3% of adult females were above the PBDE-endocrine disruption threshold, while 100% of adult males were below this threshold (Figure 6B).

Similarly, the risk characterization showed that the p,p' DDE concentrations in 4% of adult males and 8% of...
adult females exceeded the p,p′-DDE anti-androgenic effect concentration reference value of 6890 µg/kg lw (∼6.89 mg/kg lw), i.e., applying the derivation from Alava et al. (2011) based on the p,p′-DDE anti-androgenic effect concentration of 64 µg/kg ww (0.81 mg/kg ww; Desforges et al., 2016), 100% of female and male dolphins were above the THg threshold effect concentration (i.e., reducing lymphocyte proliferation) of 210 µg/kg ww (0.21 mg/kg ww; Desforges et al., 2016), highlighting the risk of exposure to immunotoxic health effects by mercury in the population sampled.

As for mercury, Figure 6D shows that while 5 and 16% of female and male dolphins exceeded the effective concentration, causing 50% reduction in lymphocyte function (THg EC50), of 810 µg/kg ww (0.81 mg/kg ww; Desforges et al., 2016), 100% of female and male dolphins were above the THg threshold effect concentration (i.e., reducing lymphocyte proliferation) of 210 µg/kg ww (0.21 mg/kg ww; Desforges et al., 2016), highlighting the risk of exposure to immunotoxic health effects by mercury in the population sampled.

The combined health effects of chemical mixtures, mainly the additive mode of immunotoxic action by these contaminants (i.e., immunotoxicity), can further compromise and modulate (i.e., downregulate or/and upregulate) both the immune and endocrine responses. Immune and endocrine systems that are compromised can affect the competence of the dolphins to fight disease and to effectively reproduce.

### Sources and Transport of POPs and Mercury in Dolphins’ Habitat

While local anthropogenic sources likely play an important role to contribute to chemical assaults, including POPs and mercury contamination, in the mangroves and wildlife reserves of the Gulf of Guayaquil (Calle and Alava, 2009; Fernández-Cadena et al., 2014; Calle et al., 2018), the long-range atmospheric transport of POPs and mercury to the Gulf of Guayaquil cannot be ruled out as a pathway to transferring pollutants to the habitat and marine predators in this tropical, equatorial region (Alava et al., 2009, 2011; Alava and Gobas, 2012; Alava and Ross, 2018). In fact, trans-Pacific air pollution of contaminants from tropical Asia to the eastern Pacific is a well-established mechanism (Iwata et al., 1993, 1994; Wilkening et al., 2000).

However, when examining the role of atmospheric transport as a pollution source of PCBs, which is a representative class of POPs subject to this mechanism (i.e., light PCB mixtures are more consistent with atmospheric signals), the contribution of the lower chlorinated PCB homolog groups such as di-, tri-

---

**TABLE 4** Geographical comparisons between total mercury (THg) concentrations reported in this study and the data reported in the skin of bottlenose dolphins from the southeast coast of United States and dolphins from the English Channel.

| Species                  | Sample size (n) | Age category and sex | Sampling location                      | THg data reported | THg (mg/kg dw) | References                  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| *Tursiops truncatus*    | 2               | AF                   | El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Refugee, Gulf of Guayaquil, 2018 | Mean ± SD (min–max) | 3.09 ± 0.69 | This study                  |
|                         | 3               | AM                   |                                        |                   | 2.60–3.58   |                           |
|                         | 11              | AF                   | Sarasota Bay                           | Mean ± SD (min–max) | 12.73 ± 4.80 | Bryan et al. (2007)        |
|                         | 7               | AM                   |                                        |                   | 6.86–21.09  |                           |
|                         | 9               | AM                   | Lower Florida Keys                     | Mean ± SD (min–max) | 2.936 ± 2.08 | Damseaux et al. (2017)     |
|                         | 9               | AF                   | Florida Coastal Everglades             | Mean ± SD (min–max) | 12.31 ± 8.73 |                           |
|                         | 13              | AM                   |                                        |                   | 4.51–29.12  |                           |
|                         | 11              | AF                   | Charleston South Carolina              | Mean ± SD (min–max) | 2.1 ± 0.65  | Stavros et al. (2007)      |
|                         | 36              | AM                   |                                        |                   | 1.4–3.3     |                           |
|                         | 12              | AF                   | Indian River Lagoon, Florida           | Mean ± SD (min–max) | 7.9 ± 6.4   |                           |
|                         | 34              | AM                   |                                        |                   | 0.37–17     |                           |
|                         | 20              | AF                   | Normanno-Breton Gulf (English Channel) | Mean ± SD (median) | 11.2 (9.24) | Zanuttini et al. (2019)    |
|                         | 49              | AM                   |                                        |                   | 9.42 (9.32) |                           |

THg concentrations are reported in mg/kg dry weight (dw) for adult males and females. When necessary data was transformed to dry weight using 3.3 factor (Stavros et al., 2007). AF = adult female; AM = adult male.
FIGURE 6 | Cumulative probability (frequency) distribution of log-transformed concentrations of PCBs, PBDEs and p,p′-DDE (in µg/kg lipid weight) measured in biopsy blubber samples, and THg (in µg/kg wet weight) measured in biopsy skin samples of female and male bottlenose dolphins to characterize the risk of exposure to reported toxic health effect concentrations in marine mammals. (A) Probability distribution of log-transformed PCB concentrations in adult female (gray curve) and male (blue curve) dolphins in relation to the PCB toxic reference value (TRV) for immunotoxic and endocrine disruption in harbor seals (PCB TRV = 1300 µg/kg lw in red dashed line; Mos et al., 2010), the PCB threshold (PCB TEC = 10000 µg/kg lw in yellow dotted-dashed line) affecting the population growth rate in bottlenose dolphins (Hall et al., 2005); and, the PCB toxic effect concentration (PCB TEC = 17000 µg/kg lipid in dotted red line) causing reproductive and immunotoxic impairments in marine mammals (Ross P. S. et al., 1996; Kannan et al., 2000). (B) Probability distribution of log-transformed PBDE concentrations in adult female (gray curve) and male (blue curve) dolphins compared with the PBDE toxic effect concentration associated (PBDE TEC = 1.5 mg/kg lw in red dashed line) to thyroid hormone endocrine disruption in gray seals (Hall et al., 2003). (C) Probability distribution of log-transformed p,p′-DDE concentrations in female (gray curve) and male (blue curve) bottlenose dolphins in relation to the p,p′-DDE anti-androgenic effect concentration (64 µg/kg wet weight; Kelce et al., 1995) equivalent to 6890 µg/kg lipid (red dashed line), using the derivation from Alava et al. (2011) based on Kelce et al. (1995). (D) Probability distribution of log-transformed THg concentrations (in µg/kg wet weight) in female (gray curve) and male (blue curve) bottlenose dolphins assessed against the THg threshold effect concentration of 210 µg/kg ww (red dashed line), reducing lymphocyte proliferation in marine mammals (Desforges et al., 2016), and the THg effective concentration causing 50% reduction (THg EC50 = 810 µg/kg ww in yellow dotted-dashed line) in lymphocyte function of marine mammals (Desforges et al., 2016).

tetra- and penta-chlorobiphenyls (i.e., PCBs 8, 18, 28, 37, 44, 49, 52, 66, 70, 74, 77, 81, 87, 99, 101, 105, 110, 114, 118, 119, 123, and 126) is relatively lower (32.6%) compared to the proportion of highly chlorinated PCB homolog groups (~62%), including hexa- and hepta-chlorobiphenyls (i.e., PCBs 128, 138, 149, 151, 153, 156, 157, 158, 167, 169, 170, 177, 180, 183, 187, 189, and 194) as shown in Figure 7A. This finding suggests that most of the PCB contamination found in the exposed dolphins and habitat is likely to be originated from potential local sources, and less subjective to long-range atmospheric transport due to the low contribution of lighter PCB congeners predisposed to be transported and deposited from atmospheric pathways and sources. Contrasting to this PCB signature, a light PCB fingerprint by less chlorinated PCB congeners, indicating a common atmospheric source, was observed in Galapagos sea lions (Z. wollebaeki) from the remote Galapagos Archipelago (Alava et al., 2009; Alava and Gobas, 2012), located at 1000 km from the Gulf of Guayaquil. Locally, oil or dielectric fluid contaminated with PCBs in transformers and containers of the grid electric system and facilities of urban and maritime areas (e.g., Guayaquil City, Posorja, Santa Elena) of the Gulf of Guayaquil are potential regional sources of these contaminants, requiring an urgent
hazardous waste management plan for treatment and elimination from these locations (MAE, 2006). To the best of our knowledge, Aroclor mixtures (e.g., Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254 and Aroclor 1260) have yet to be identified despite the absence of PCB production or industrial manufacturing in any chemical industry in Ecuador. Recently, certain assessments of these industrial
POPs in transformers and capacitors from some electric power stations of the Guayaquil's Electric Corporation revealed that PCB levels found were in the order of 10 mg/L (CEMA, 2005). As it stands, the former national inventory of PCBs in Ecuador reported about 5,473,000 L of PCB-contaminated oil-fluid used in abandoned, unused, and used electric transformers by the electric corporations (MAE, 2006). The dominance of PCB 153 in the PCB composition profile of these dolphins highlights the importance of this highly bioaccumulative and recalcitrant congener in non-Aroclor 1268 PCB formulations associated to urbanized areas and with human populations (Kucklick et al., 2011).

The prevalence of specific PBDE congeners detected in our dolphins (i.e., BDE-47, BDE-100, BDE-154, and BDE-99) is a finding coherent with studies suggesting common sources originating from use of penta-BDE ether flame retardant mixture (Hites, 2004; Kannan et al., 2005; Yogoi and Sericano, 2009; Fair et al., 2010). Similarly, the disposal and incineration of solid waste products (i.e., computer, electronic devices and furniture), containing flame-retardant formulation mixtures, in landfills and open dumps can be potential sources of PBDE flame retardants (Alava et al., 2009). Comparable to PCBs, the long-range atmospheric transport cannot be ruled out as a potential pathway to deliver lower brominated PBDEs to the dolphins’ habitat as lighter PBDE homolog groups, including tetra- and penta-brominated diphenyl ethers (e.g., PBDEs 44, 47, 49, 66, 75, 99 and 100), dominated the overall pattern (75%) found in the dolphins (Figure 7B). The PBDE congeners 47, 99 and 100 have been found to dominate air samples in the Northeastern Pacific (Noël et al., 2009). As air or rain samples have not been collected and analyzed for PBDEs in the Gulf of Guayaquil or coastal Ecuador, confirmation of the long-range atmospheric transport as a mechanism delivering PBDEs to this tropical region warrants further investigation.

In the recent past, the intra-domestic use of DDT inside homes and application in the agricultural sector between 1957 and 1999 in Ecuador to control the malaria mosquito vector Anopheles sp. and crop pests (MAE, 2004) echo the legacy of DDT contamination in the coastal and marine environment (Montaño and Resabala, 2005; Calle and Alava, 2009; Alava et al., 2011). In Ecuador, an application rate of about 134,000 kg of DDT per year was used by 1993, according to the national inventory of organochlorine pesticide use (MAE, 2004). While the use of DDT plummeted to around 1400 kg/year by 1998 and Ecuador halted DDT imports in 1994, a stock of 1636 kg/year was used by 1993, according to the national inventory of organochlorine pesticide use (MAE, 2004). With the aim to further understand the pollution fingerprint by POPs, mainly the dominant OCPs (i.e., DDTs), the p,p′-DDE/DDT ratio was calculated to assess the chronology of DDT historical inputs (Aguilar, 1984; Addison et al., 1986; Tanabe et al., 1997; Alonso et al., 2010; Kucklick et al., 2011) and infer the exposure time, based on the notion that native DDT is being biotransformed to its primary metabolite DDE over time (Addison et al., 1986; Alava et al., 2011). As it stands, a p,p′-DDE/DDT ratio > 0.6 is an indication of a stable system with a long period of reaction (i.e., more DDE relative to the amount of DDT) with no new DDT inputs (Aguilar, 1984; Tanabe et al., 1997; Alonso et al., 2010; Alava et al., 2011). Thus, the high ratio p,p′-DDE/DDT found here (i.e., 0.81–0.92) indicates a plausible scenario of past DDT contamination and minor contributions from new or current DDT sources. This ratio is consistent with and similar to the ratio (0.91–0.94) observed in Galapagos sea lions from the remote Galapagos Islands (Alava et al., 2011) and the ratio (i.e., 0.80) found in Guiana dolphin (Sotalia guianensis) from São Paulo, Brazil (Alonso et al., 2010).

The presence of dieldrin and chlordane in these dolphins is fairly consistent with detection of these legacy OCPs in Galapagos sea lions and highlights the past use in the region coupled with the atmospheric transport and deposition of more volatile halogenated contaminants, i.e., organochlorine pesticides (Alava and Gobas, 2012; Alava and Ross, 2018).

The mercury exposure in our dolphins indicates mercury contamination in their habitat. While mercury isotopes' analyses were not conducted in this study to discriminate natural from human-made sources, possible anthropogenic sources of mercury in the Gulf of Guayaquil can include small scale and artisanal gold mining in southern Ecuador (Tarras-Wahlberg et al., 2000; Betancourt et al., 2012; Carling et al., 2013), and emissions from urbanization and industrialization in the Gulf of Guayaquil (Calle et al., 2018). Evidence of chronological emissions of anthropogenic mercury (i.e., amalgamation and cinnabar mining) during Inca, colonial and pre-industrial times (i.e., augmented preindustrial pollution by mercury from 1400 to 1600 AD) in the Andean region of South American can also corroborate the regional atmospheric transport of mercury emissions to this region since preindustrial times (Cooke et al., 2013; Alava and Ross, 2018). In fact, the mangroves of the Guayaquil Gulf are considered as one of the most contaminated tropical ecosystems by heavy metal pollution in the world (Fernández-Cadena et al., 2014; Calle et al., 2018).

**Dolphin Conservation and Pollutant Management Implications**

Anthropogenic stressors such as habitat perturbation, fisheries interactions, and biological and chemical pollution threatens the survival of the inner estuary population of bottlenose dolphins in REVISEM in the long term (Jiménez et al., 2011; Jiménez and Alava, 2014; Alava et al., 2019). Jiménez and Alava (2014) provided recommendations to undertake new lines of research, including assessment of pollutants, and improve the conservation of coastal bottlenose dolphins in Ecuador. As found in this study, bottlenose dolphins from the REVISEM are contaminated by POPs and mercury, demonstrating that these contaminants have reached high trophic level organisms in the Gulf of Guayaquil. Being the first assessment of POPs and mercury in a resident cetacean species in Ecuador’s mainland coast, our findings and conclusions must be interpreted with caution given the limited sample size of dolphin blubber biopsies collected for contaminant analysis. However, following the precautionary approach, management actions are required to address and mitigate pollution in the region to enhance the conservation efforts for the recovery of the dolphin’s population.
Both international and national instruments and policies should be taken into consideration for an effective management and control of POPs and mercury within the context of hazardous substances for marine fauna and public health. As a signatory nation of the Stockholm Convention on POPs since May 2001, which was ratified on 7 June 2004, Ecuador fostered the National Plan for the Implementation of POP Management and executed the POP national inventory, including PCBs, dioxins/furans, and OC pesticides (MAE, 2006). Thus, continuation of the mandate of the Stockholm Convention on POPs requires additional efforts and local regulation to protect the most productive estuary in the Pacific coast of South America and its species, including cetaceans.

Along these lines, Ecuador also signed (2013) and ratified (2016) the Minamata Convention on Mercury to control, reduce, and eliminate mercury emissions, and regulate gold mines (UNEP, 2016). Notwithstanding, gold mining, both artisanal and small-scale mining, is still a grave source of mercury pollution in Ecuador's continental coast, particularly in the Gulf of Guayaquil.

Recent dredging operations and disposal at sea have emerged as a looming threat for the population health and survival of these dolphins in the studied area (Jiménez and Alava, 2014; Alava et al., 2019). While environmental impact assessments of the El Morro Channel and Posorja deepwater port have evaluated the health effects on marine biota, mainly macroinvertebrates and other marine fauna, including local dolphins (Inocar, 2008; Ramón-Jibaja, 2018), a more rigorous approach was lacking to assess the negative impacts on the dolphin resident population of REVISEM and waters off Posorja (Alava et al., 2019). In the face of coastal project developments and dredging activities in the Gulf of Guayaquil, a proactive approach following the precautionary principle to prevent pollution is also recommended.

The adoption of a prevention pathway for policy makers should be part of a framework to internalize and enforce a more transparent and comprehensive decision-making processes taking into account the conservation of marine wildlife and the trade-offs between anthropogenic development and protection of the marine environment and public health. In addition to the precautionary approach, an adaptive monitoring strategy to promote a management action plan to control and minimize pollution impacts by emerging contaminants of concern (Maruya et al., 2014; Alava, 2019) can be an important tool to be fostered in protected areas and sensitive marine ecosystems such as El Morro Refuge, to conserve this unique genetically identified inner estuary population of bottlenose dolphins.

The datasets generated for this study can be found in the GenBank accession numbers for the nucleotide sequences are as follows: BankIt2 308448 EDM-13-18 MT005764; BankIt2 308448 EDM-9-18 MT005765; BankIt2 308448 EDM-10-18 MT005766; BankIt2 308448 EDM-11-18 MT005767; BankIt2 308448 EDM-12-18 MT005768; BankIt2 308448 EDM-13-18 MT005769.
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