The effect of 2-[(aminopropyl)amino] ethanethiol (WR-1065) on radiation induced DNA double strand damage and repair in V79 cells
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Summary Radiation induced DNA double strand breaks are believed to be important lesions involved in processes related to cell killing, induction of chromosome aberrations and carcinogenesis. This paper reports the effects of the radioprotector 2-[(aminopropyl)amino] ethanethiol (WR-1065) on radiation-induced DNA damage and repair in V79 cells using the neutral elution method performed at pH7.2 or pH 9.6. WR-1065 (4 ms) was added to the culture medium either 30 minutes prior to and during irradiation with Cobalt-60 gamma rays (for dose response experiments) or during the repair times tested (for DNA rejoicing experiments). The results indicate that WR-1065 is an effective protector against the formation of radiation-induced double-strand breaks in DNA as measured using a neutral elution technique at either pH. The protector reduced the strand scission factors by 1.44 and 1.77 in experiments run at pH 9.6 and pH 7.2, respectively. The kinetics of DNA double-strand rejoicing were dependent upon the pH at which the neutral elution procedure was performed. Unlike the results obtained with alkaline elution, rejoicing of DNA breaks was unaffected by the presence of WR-1065 at either pH.

Materials and methods

Cell preparation

V79-B310H Chinese hamster cells were cultured at 37°C in a monolayer on 100 mm plates in MEM-10 medium (Gibco) containing 10% foetal calf serum (Reheis Chemical Co., Chicago, USA) in a water-saturated atmosphere containing 5% CO2 in air. Prior to use, the cells were labelled with 1[14C]thymidine (0.005 μCi ml-1, 55 μCi μmol-1) for 16 to 20 h. The medium was removed, and the plates were rinsed with PBS. Cells were trypsinized (0.025% trypsin in PBS), at 37°C for 10 min. A dilution of the suspension was counted by using a Coulter counter with appropriate corrections for coincidence.

Radioprotector

2-[(Aminopropyl)amino] ethanethiol (WR-1065) was kindly supplied by Dr David E. Davidson, Jr., US Army Medical Research and Development Command, Fort Detrick, MD. For each experiment WR-1065 (Lot # BK-71365) was made up fresh at a concentration of 1μM in Dulbecco’s PBS without calcium or magnesium (Gibco). The protector was routinely added to the selected cell suspensions to give a final concentration of 4 μM. This concentration was found to afford maximum protection to V79 cells with respect to radiation- or drug-induced cell killing and mutagenesis without evidence of any associated protector-induced toxicity (Grdina et al., 1985a; Nagy et al., 1986a).

Irradiation

In dose-response experiments 5 x 103 cells, with or without protector, were placed in sterile, 15 ml centrifuge tubes and kept on ice until they were irradiated with a 60Co gamma irradiator (Gamma Beam 650: Atomic Energy of Canada) at a dose rate of 10 krad min-1, with a total dose of from 2.5 to 25 krad (25-250 Gy). Immediately after irradiation, the suspension was diluted with ice-cold solution A (8 g NaCl, 0.4 g KCl, 1.0 g glucose, 0.35 g NaHCO3 per liter) containing 5 mM EDTA to ensure inhibition of DNA repair (Meyn & Jenkins, 1983). In the DNA repair studies, a single cell suspension was irradiated, on ice, with a dose of 25 krad (250 Gy). The suspension was split into two fractions, which were placed in spinner flasks. To one was added sufficient protector to reach a final concentration of 4 μM, while the
other served as the unprotected control. The flasks were incubated at 37°C. At 30, 60, 90, and 180 min, aliquots were removed and diluted with iced solution A with EDTA.

Neutral elution

The neutral elution procedure has been fully discussed elsewhere (Bradley & Kohn, 1979). Briefly, 5 × 10⁶ cells were impinged onto a 25 mm diameter (0.8 μm pore size) polycarbonate filter (Nuclepore Corp., Pleasanton, CA, USA). Cells were washed once with 15 ml of solution A and lysed with 3 ml of a solution containing 0.05 M Tris, 0.05 M glycine, 0.025 M Na₂EDTA, and 2% (w/v) sodium lauryl sulphate. The pH was adjusted to 9.6 with Tris-base. Just prior to use, proteinase K was added (0.5 mg ml⁻¹; Sigma). This lysis solution was pumped through the filter unit for one hour at 2.13 ml h⁻¹, after which 50 ml of the lysis solution without proteinate K was added to the reservoir. The neutral elution solution was used at pH 9.6 as described by Bradley and Kohn (1979), or at pH 7.2 as suggested by Evans et al. (1986). Ninety-minute fractions were collected for 15 h at the same pump speed.

Liquid scintillation counting

The assay of DSB and their repair was accomplished by using liquid scintillation techniques. The filters were treated with 0.4 ml 1 N HCl for 1 h at 60°C. The filters were then cooled to room temperature and neutralized with 2.5 ml 0.4 M NaOH. All samples were counted in 15 ml cocktail consisting of 1 L toluene, 1 L Triton X-100 (Packard Inst. Co., Downers Grove, IL, USA) and 42 ml Liquiscint (ICN Chemical Corp., Irving, CA). A Beckman (LS2800) liquid scintillation spectrometer was used throughout. The data were presented as percent of [³H]thymidine activity remaining on the filter as a function of elution volume.

 Strand scission factor calculation

The designation of strand scission factor (SSF) refers to a relative value determined by comparison of associated DNA elution curves. This value is used to characterize relative numbers of DNA strand breaks. Specifically, SSF was determined from the relationship

$$SSF = \frac{f_x}{f_0}$$

where $f_0$ and $f_x$ are, respectively, the proportions of DNA retained on the filter after volumes of 17.5 ml have been eluted for the nonirradiated control and the corresponding treated sample (Meyn & Jenkins, 1983).

Relative strand scission factor calculation

The relative SSF (RSSF) value is used to compare strand scission factors after allowing time between irradiation and assay for possible rejoining of DSB. It is the ratio of SSF values obtained in cells allowed no time for rejoining to those for cells allowed various amounts of time between irradiation and assay.

Results

Double-strand break formation

The effect of the radiation protector WR-1065 (4 μm) on DSB formation was measured by using the neutral elution technique at pH 9.6 and pH 7.2. Figure 1 shows the dose response when the elution procedure was performed at pH 9.6. At each dose tested, DSB formation was reduced when the protector was present at the time of irradiation. The same experimental design with an elution solution at pH 7.2 caused a reduction in the detection of DSB formation for all doses tested (see Figure 3). A comparison of the two curves indicates that fewer DSB were observed when the procedure was run at the more neutral pH.

Figures 2 and 4 show the SSF values for elution procedures performed at pH 9.6 and pH 7.2, respectively. At pH 9.6 unprotected V79 cells had a slope of 0.307 × 10⁻⁴ while the protected cells had a slope of 0.213 × 10⁻⁴. The reduced slope for the protected cells indicated the degree of protection afforded by WR-1065. The ratio of the two slopes reveals that WR-1065 reduced DSB formation by a factor of 1.44. Figure 4 shows similar results at pH 7.2. At this more neutral pH, the slopes for both protected and unprotected cells were less than corresponding slopes at pH 9.6. The slope for unprotected cells at pH 7.2 was 0.214 × 10⁻⁴, while the slope for protected cells was 0.121 × 10⁻⁴. The ratio of these slopes gives a protection factor of 1.77 for DSB formation at the lower pH.
Rejoining of double-strand breaks

Figures 5 and 6 show the effect of the protector (Figure 6) on the elution kinetics of rejoining of DSB at pH 9.6 after exposure to a dose of 25 krad. In these experiments, V79 cells were irradiated without WR-1065 and then allowed time to repair at 37°C either in the presence or absence of protector. Values of relative strand scission factor (RSSF) were plotted against repair time at pH 9.6 for protected and unprotected V79 cells (Figure 7). These procedures, detected no effect of the protector on rejoining of DSB. The results obtained when the elution solution had a pH of 9.6 are shown in Figures 8–10; they are similar to results at pH 9.6. At pH 9.6, the half-life of rejoining was approximately 184 min in both the protected and unprotected V79 cells. The biphasic nature of the RSSF curve seen in Figure 7, however, suggests that heterogeneity exists; this may indicate that some SSB and DSB were measured by the assay procedure. At pH 7.2, the half-life of repair was ~102 min in both groups. Qualitatively, the curve describing the kinetics

---

**Figure 3** Double strand break formation in V79 cells as determined by neutral elution at pH of 7.2. Dose response with and without 4 mM WR-1065 30 min prior to and during irradiation.

**Figure 4** Double strand scission factors (see text) for data presented in Figure 2 at pH 7.2. Closed squares represent control V79 cells which had a slope of 0.214 x 10^-4. Closed circles represent WR-1065 treated cells which resulted in a slope of 0.121 x 10^-4. The dose modification factor is 1.77 for the data presented.

**Figure 5** Neutral elution patterns of unprotected V79 cells allowed 0, 30, 60, 90 or 180 min between irradiation (250 Gy) and assay. The eluting solution had a pH of 9.6.

**Figure 6** Neutral elution patterns of V79 cells treated with 4 mM WR-1065 for 0, 30, 60, 90 or 180 min between irradiation (250 Gy) and assay. Closed circles designate unirradiated control cells exposed to protector for similar time. The eluting solution had a pH of 9.6.
of repair of DSB at pH 9.6 appears to be more heterogeneous than do the exponential repair kinetics seen (Figure 10) when the elution solution was at pH 7.2.

Discussion

Published studies have described non-traditional uses of chemical radiation protectors such as modulating the mutagenic (Zwelling et al., 1979; Bradley et al., 1982; Shrieve et al., 1984; Milas et al., 1984; Hill et al., 1986) and carcinogenic (Milas et al., 1984; Hill et al., 1986) effects of treatment with either radiation or anti-cancer agents. Because the mechanism of action of these agents apparently involves gross genetic damage, initial studies described the role of radiation protectors on single-strand breaks at biologically relevant radiation doses (Grdina & Nagy, 1986).

The present investigation extends these studies, and describes the protective effects of WR-1065 on the radiation induced double-strand DNA breaks and repair using the
neutral elution assay (Bradley & Kohn, 1979). This procedure is believed to correlate better with radiation induced cell killing (Hutchinson, 1978; Rafodor, 1985) but is relatively insensitive and requires extremely high, supra-letal radiation doses. Recent reports (Tilby, 1984; Evans et al., 1986) have expressed concern that the pH originally suggested for the neutral elution procedure (pH 9.6) may include some single-stranded DNA. It was for this reason that the experiments reported here used neutral elution buffers at a pH of 7.2 and compared the results with the elution procedure using buffers at the conventional pH of 9.6. Our results indicate that the assay when performed at pH 7.2 resulted in the detection of fewer DNA breaks than at a pH of 9.6. The reasons for this difference are unknown but may involve the inclusion of measurable SSB at pH 9.6 and/or the higher pH may result in an increase in DSB formation due to hydrolysis of alkali-labile bonds in the damaged DNA (Tilby et al., 1984).

The presence of WR-1065 during irradiation reduces the frequency of DSB formation detected at either pH. The linear dose response relationship for strand-scission factors indicates a quality of DNA damage which is directly proportional to dose at either of the pH's tested. These results are similar to those reported (Grdina & Nagy, 1986) previously for SSB using the alkaline elution technique.

The presence of protector during repair with subsequent elution from pH 9.6 tested, shows that it does not modify the DSB repair kinetics at either pH. Grdina and Nagy (1986) found that WR-1065 inhibited rejoicing of radiation induced SSB. Further, they found that the protector inhibited progression in the cell cycle, which may have allowed the cells more time for repair prior to cell division. This in turn, could lead to an enhanced fidelity of repair which would be reflected in the reduction of SSB formation and reduced mutation frequency (Grdina et al., 1985a). The results reported here, using very high radiation doses, indicate that double-strand rejoicing is still incomplete at 180 min whether protector was present or not and at either pH. The relative rates of rejoicing, as determined by neutral elution kinetics, were apparently affected by changing the pH of the elution buffer. At pH 9.6 the kinetics appeared to be bi- phase while at pH 7.2 the repair kinetics were exponential.

The differences noted between the effects of WR-1065 on rejoicing of DSB's and SSB's is not surprising because there is no a priori reason to assume that similar mechanisms are involved. The lack of a template in DSB rejoicing presents problems not seen in SSB repair. In addition, the extremely high radiation doses required for neutral elution could possibly be inducing damage which involve more and different targets than is seen with lower doses evaluated using the alkaline elution method.

It has been suggested that WR-1065 can react rapidly with oxygen in the culture medium, leading to a state of transient hypoxia (Purdie et al., 1983; Durand, 1983; Biaglow et al., 1984). This could partially explain the reduction in DSB DNA damage reported in the present study. However, the protective effect observed against radiation induced mutagenesis at the HGPR locus in V79 cells has been observed even under conditions of acute hypoxia (Nagy et al., 1986b). Since mutation induction is presumably due to genetic damage, it would appear that the mechanism of WR-1065 protection against radiation induced DSB formation can not be explained solely by an oxygen depletion mechanism.

The results reported here show quantitative differences depending upon the pH of the neutral elution buffers used, but the qualitative response with WR-1065 present is the same at either pH. Therefore, the conclusions drawn are similar regardless of which pH is used. WR-1065 is effective in reducing DSB formation in irradiated V79 cells and it apparently does not interfere with rejoicing of DSB. The heterogeneity noted in the relative strand scission factors at pH 9.6 may suggest the possibility of inclusion of significant numbers of SSB in the neutral elution bias. Regardless, it is clear that WR-1065 modifies radiation induced DNA damage if present during irradiation.

Additional studies are obviously required to better understand the interactions of this class of protectors with radiation induced DNA damage and repair. Information of this type will be useful in the expanding applications of protectors in cancer treatment and its prevention.
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