Phenolic Accumulation in Hybrid Primrose and Pigment Distribution in Different Flower Segments
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ABSTRACT. Detailed anthocyanin and flavonol profiles were investigated in three flower segments of four different hybrid primrose (Primula xpolyantha) cultivars, and individual compounds were identified using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)/mass spectrometry system. Chlorophyll a and b and total carotenoids were evaluated spectrophotometrically in the corolla tube (CT), and distal and proximal flower segments, and the color of each segment was assessed with a colorimeter. Chlorophyll b predominated over chlorophyll a in all flower segments, and the highest total chlorophyll levels were found in the CTs. Sixteen different anthocyanins (glycosides of cyanidin, delphinidin, peonidin, petunidin, malvidin, and rosinidin) were identified in red, pink, and blue flower extracts. Distal segments of the red hybrid and proximal segments of the pink hybrid accumulated highest levels of total anthocyanins, and no red pigments were detected in yellow-flowered hybrid primrose. Six groups of flavonols (40 individual compounds in total) were detected in different flower segments of four hybrid primrose cultivars. Yellow primrose was characterized by the greatest diversity of flavonols as it contained fourisorhamnetin, five kaempferol, sixlaricitrin, threemyricetin, six quercetin, and six syringetin glycosides. On the other hand, the smallest variety of flavonols was detected in pink hybrids. Total phenolic content (TPC) was lowest in the CT (yellow > red > pink), significantly higher in the proximal flower segment (yellow > red > pink), and highest in the distal part of the primrose petal (yellow > pink > red).

Primula is a genus of herbaceous plants widely spread and enthusiastically investigated by botanists for several 100 years. It is the largest genus of the Primulaceae, encompassing more than 420 species of temperate and alpine regions of the northern hemisphere (Markelov et al., 2015; Richards, 2003). Some species also inhabit mountains at tropical latitudes (Fico et al., 2007; Vitalini et al., 2011).

The rosette-forming plants are mostly valued for their ornamental purposes, and numerous hybrids derive from several Primula species: P. elatior, P. juliae, P. sieboldii, P. veris, and P. vulgaris (Richards, 2003). The latter has been hybridized for many centuries and is one of the genetic ancestors of the horticultural hybrid polyanthus (P. xpolyantha). This hybrid primrose is a natural cross between P. veris (cowslip) and P. vulgaris (common primrose), which blooms in a wide range of colors and hues and often produces multicolored flowers (Scott-Moncrieff, 1930).

Flower color is one of the chief features of ornamental plants, and it is shaped by their biochemistry, specifically the distribution and composition of secondary metabolites in plastids and vacuoles (Zhao and Tao, 2015). Anthocyanins, carotenoids, chlorophylls, and flavonols are the major contributors to astonishing color diversity of flowers, and their content often changes during flower senescence (Schmitzer et al., 2010; Slatnar et al., 2013; Sood and Nagar, 2003). Moreover, petal structure, pH level of the cell sap, copigmentation with other phenolics, and metal ions define the color tone of various ornamentals (Cunja et al., 2014; Eugster and Markifischer, 1991). However, flowers are not solely ornamental, and pigments serve other purposes than attracting pollinators or acting as ultraviolet-protective compounds (Mori et al., 2005). For example, christmas rose (Helleborus nigra) flowers accumulate...
Fig. 2. Sampling procedure of different flower segments of hybrid primrose (DS = distal petal segment; PS = proximal petal segment; and CT = corolla tube).

chlorophyll and represent an important photosynthetic organ, which produces metabolites to support the developing seeds (Salopek-Sondi et al., 2002; Schmitzer et al., 2013). Is a similar strategy employed by the multicolored and often green streaked flowers of the hybrid primrose?

The lack of information on the secondary metabolite profile of P. ×polyantha has intrigued us to identify pigment distribution in various cultivars and moreover in three different flower segments. Species of the Primula genus have previously been biochemically assessed, particularly the flavonoid content of P. veris (Huck et al., 2000), P. hirsuta, P. auricula, P. spectabilis, and P. daonensis (Fico et al., 2007; Vitalini et al., 2011) leaves and flowers, and saponins in rhizomes and roots of various primrose species (Morozowska, 2004; Müller et al., 2006) often served as biomolecular factors in their characterization. However, a detailed study on pigment composition of the hybrid primrose has not been conducted to our knowledge. Clarification of the biodiversity of anthocyanins and flavonals is needed to pinpoint the compounds, which affect the vast color range of this ornamental hybrid. The study thus provides specific knowledge on petal phenolic profile of hybrid primroses and serves as a launch pad for hybridization and cultivar breeding for explicit color traits such as blotched or variegated flower forms.

Material and Methods

Plant material. Four cultivars of P. ×polyantha were obtained from a local nursery and transferred to the laboratory facility of Biotechnical Faculty, Ljubljana, Slovenia. Cultivars were chosen to best represent the variety of color hues and the popularity of hybrid primroses, and thus a yellow (Golden Yellow 566), red (Scarlet 1332), pink (Rose 600), and blue (Blue Shades 788) cultivars were used for the analysis (Fig. 1). Different color hues of P. ×polyantha were selected to investigate the complexity, abundance, and content levels of different phenolic constituents (with an emphasis on anthocyanins and flavonals) in primrose hybrids. Fifteen plants per cultivar were analyzed, and three fully opened flowers were sampled on each plant (45 flowers per cultivar). Colorimetric measurements and phenolic profiling were performed on three distinct flower segments: distal petal segment (DS), proximal petal segment (PS), and CT (Fig. 2). Colorimetric analyses were performed on fresh flower segments, which were then shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in a deep freezer (−20 °C) until analyses of secondary metabolites and photosynthetic pigments.

Flower color measurements. The color of three different flower segments (DS, PS, and CT) was measured with a portable colorimeter (CR-10 Chroma; Minolta, Osaka, Japan) calibrated with a white standard calibration plate before use. In CIE (Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage) L* a* b* system of color representation, the L* value corresponds to a dark–bright scale and represents the relative lightness of the sample (0 = black, 100 = white). The coordinates a* and b* vary from −128 to +128 and relate to green to purple and blue to yellow hues, respectively (Kumpanenko et al., 2014). The hue angle (h°) is expressed in degrees from 0 to 360 (0° = red, 90° = yellow, 180° = green, and 360° = blue). Color was measured in three replicates on each flower segment to ensure equal measurement conditions.

Pigment and phenolic identification. The content of chlorophyll a and b, total carotenoids, and total pigments was evaluated according to the method by Wellburn (1994). Microtubes (1.5 mL) were filled with 0.5 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). A single 4-mm-segment disc combined with crystals of magnesium hydroxide carbonate was mashed in the tube for better extraction. DMSO solution

Table 1. A list of anthocyanins identified in red, pink, and blue hybrid primrose cultivars, standards used for their calculations, and mass spectrometry specifications.

| Anthocyanin          | Standard used | Flower color | [M + H]+ (m/z) | MS² [M]+ (m/z) | MS³ [M]+ (m/z) |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Cy-3-galactoside     | Cy-3-gal      | Red          | 449            | 287            |                |
| Cy-3-glucoside       | Cy-3-glu      | PINK         | 449            | 287            |                |
| Del-3-glucoside      | Del-3-glu     | Blue         | 465            | 303            |                |
| Pet-3-galactoside    | Pet-3-glu     |              | 479            | 317            |                |
| Pet-3-glucoside      | Pet-3-glu     |              | 479            | 317            |                |
| Dimethylpet dihexoside| Pet-3-glu    |              | 669            | 507            | 345            |
| Dimethylpet hexoside | Pet-3-glu     |              | 507            | 345            | 317            |
| Peo-3-galactoside    | Peo-3-glu     |              | 463            | 301            |                |
| Peo-3-glucoside      | Peo-3-glu     |              | 463            | 301            |                |
| Peo-dihexoside 1     | Peo-3-glu     |              | 625            | 301            |                |
| Peo-dihexoside 2     | Peo-3-glu     |              | 625            | 301            |                |
| Malv-3-galactoside   | Malv-3-glu    |              | 493            | 331            |                |
| Malv-3-glucoside     | Malv-3-glu    |              | 493            | 331            |                |
| Malv dihexoside      | Malv-3-glu    |              | 655            | 331            |                |
| Ros hexoside         | Cy-3-glu      | Red          | 477            | 315            | 287            |
| Ros dihexoside       | Cy-3-glu      |              | 639            | 477            | 315            |

²Cy = cyanidin; Del = delphinidin; Pet = petunidin; Peo = peonidin; Malv = malvidin; Ros = rosinidin; glu = glucoside; gal = galactoside.
³MS² = mass spectrometer; [M + H]+ (m/z) = molecular ion + H ion (mass charge ratio); MS² [M]+ (m/z) = mass spectrometry to the second degree; MS³ [M]+ (m/z) = mass spectrometry to the third degree.
(0.5 mL) was added, and samples were left in a water bath (65 °C) in the dark for 2 h. The samples were cooled to room temperature, decanted into vessels, and absorption was measured on a spectrometer (Lambda Bio; PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) at 480 nm (carotenoids), 649 nm (chlorophyll b), and 665 nm (chlorophyll a). Concentrations of photosynthetic pigments in extracts were determined according to Wellburn (1994) equations.

Extraction of individual anthocyanins and flavonols was performed according to the method of Slatnar et al. (2013) with some modifications. About 0.3 g of powdered petal segments were extracted with 2 mL methanol containing 3% (v/v) formic acid and 1% (w/v) 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) in an ultrasonic bath for 1 h. Samples were centrifuged (7 min, 12,000 g), filtered through a polyamide filter (Chromafil AO-45/25; Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), and analyzed on an HPLC system (Thermo Finnigan Surveyor; ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) with a diode array detector at 350 nm (flavonols) and 530 nm (anthocyanins). The column was a HPLC column (150 × 4.6 mm, C18, Gemini 3 μm; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) operated at 25 °C. The injection volume was 20 μL, and the flow rate was maintained at 1 mL·min⁻¹. The elution solvents were aqueous 1% formic acid and 3% acetonitrile (A) in water and 100% acetonitrile (B). Samples were eluted according to the linear gradient described by Marks et al. (2007). All individual phenolic compounds were identified using a mass spectrometer (LCQ Deca XP MAX; ThermoFisher Scientific) with electrospray ionization operating in negative (flavonols) and positive (anthocyanins) ion mode using mass spectrometry to the second degree (MS²) scanning from m/z 115 to 1200. The injection volume was 10 μL, and the flow rate 1 mL·min⁻¹. Compounds were quantified with the use of external standards or similar compounds (Tables 1 and 2) and expressed as micrograms per gram fresh weight (FW).

### Determination of Total Phenolic Content

A similar protocol was used for the extraction of total phenols from petal segments as for individual phenolics, but no BHT was added to the solution. TPC of extracts was assessed by the Folin–Ciocalteu phenol reagent method described by Singleton et al. (1999). To 100 μL of the sample extracts [diluted 1:4 (v/v) with methanol], 6 mL double distilled water and 500 μL Folin–Ciocalteu reagent were added. The extraction followed the procedure described by Mikulic-Petkovsek et al. (2015). The absorption was measured on a Lambda Bio spectrometer at 765 nm in five replications. Total phenolic content was expressed in milligrams gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100 g FW of flowers.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis, Statgraphics Plus 4.0 program (Manugistics, Rockville, MD) was used for data analysis. The differences in analyzed parameters were evaluated among individual petal segments (DS, PS, and CT) of different hybrid primrose cultivars (yellow, red, pink, and blue) as well as among three petal segments of an individual cultivar using one-way analysis of variance. Probability values of less than 0.05

### Table 2. A list of flavonols identified in four hybrid primrose cultivars and their MS specifications.

| Flavonol¹ | Yellow | Red | Pink | Blue | [M⁺] (m/z) | [M–H]–(m/z) | MS² [M–H]–(m/z) | MS³ [M–H]–(m/z) |
|-----------|--------|-----|------|------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Isorhamnetin acetyl trihexoside | x | | x | | 843 | 801 | 639, 315 | |
| Isorhamnetin dihexoside | x | x | x | | 639 | 477 | 315 | |
| Isorhamnetin-3-glucoside | x | x | x | | 477 | 315 | | |
| Isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside | x | | | | 623 | 315 | | |
| Isorhamnetin trihexoside | x | x | x | | 801 | 639 | 477, 315 | |
| Kaempferol hexoside rhamnoside | x | | | | 593 | 431 | 285 | |
| Kaempferol-glucoside | x | | | | 813 | 771 | 609, 285 | |
| Kaempferol dihexoside | x | x | x | | 609 | 285 | | |
| Kaempferol trihexoside 1 | x | x | x | | 771 | 609 | 447, 285 | |
| Kaempferol trihexoside 2 | x | x | x | | 771 | 609 | 447, 285 | |
| Kaempferol acetylhexoside | x | | | | 813 | 771 | 609, 285 | |
| Kaempferol-3-galactoside | x | x | x | | 447 | 285 | | |
| Kaempferol-3-rutinoside | x | | | | 593 | 285 | | |
| Laricitrin acetyl hexoside | x | | | | 859 | 817 | 655, 331 | |
| Laricitrin-3-galactoside | x | | | | 493 | 331 | | |
| Laricitrin-3-glucoside | x | | | | 493 | 331 | | |
| Laricitrin trihexoside 1 | x | x | x | | 817 | 655 | 493, 331 | |
| Laricitrin trihexoside 2 | x | x | x | | 817 | 655 | 493, 331 | |
| Laricitrin trihexoside 3 | x | x | x | | 817 | 655 | 493, 331 | |
| Myricetin dihexoside | x | x | x | | 641 | 317 | | |
| Myricetin trihexoside 1 | x | x | x | | 803 | 641 | 479, 317 | |
| Myricetin trihexoside 2 | x | x | x | | 803 | 641 | 479, 317 | |
| Myricetin acetyl trihexoside | x | | | | 845 | 803 | 641, 317 | |
| Quercetin-3-glucoside | x | x | x | | 463 | 301 | | |
| Quercetin-3-galactoside | x | x | x | | 463 | 301 | | |
| Quercetin acetyl trihexoside | x | x | x | | 829 | 787 | 625, 301 | |
| Quercetin dihexoside | x | x | x | | 625 | 463 | 301 | |
| Quercetin trihexoside 1 | x | x | x | | 787 | 625 | 463, 301 | |
| Quercetin trihexoside 2 | x | x | x | | 787 | 625 | 463, 301 | |
| Quercetin trihexoside 3 | x | x | x | | 787 | 625 | 463, 301 | |
| Syringin dihexoside | x | | | | 669 | 345 | | |
| Syringin dihexoside 1 | x | | | | 669 | 345 | | |
| Syringin dihexoside 2 | x | x | x | | 831 | 669 | 507, 345 | |
| Syringin trihexoside 1 | x | | | | 831 | 669 | 507, 345 | |
| Syringin trihexoside 2 | x | x | x | | 507 | 345 | | |
| Syringin trihexoside 3 | x | x | x | | 507 | 345 | | |
| Syringin acetyl trihexoside | x | x | | | 873 | 831 | 669, 345 | |

¹Isorhamnetin compounds were calculated on isorhamnetin-3-glucoside; kaempferol compounds on kaempferol-3-glucoside; laricitrin, myricetin, and syringin compounds on myricetin-3-rhamnoside; and quercetin compounds on quercetin-3-glucose standard curves.

²MS = mass spectrometer; [M – H] – (m/z) = molecular ion – H ion (mass charge ratio); MS² [M⁺] (m/z) = mass spectrometry to the second degree; MS³ [M⁺] (m/z) = mass spectrometry to the third degree.
Table 3. Colorimetric parameters measured in three flower segments of four hybrid primrose cultivars.

| Color  | Flower segment | a*    | b*     | h*     | L*     |
|--------|----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Yellow | DS             | 6.22 ± 0.72 b | 93.35 ± 1.34 a | 86 ± 0.3 b | 79.7 ± 0.5 a |
|        | PS             | 16.32 ± 1.32 a | 83.08 ± 2.81 b | 79.3 ± 0.6 c | 65 ± 1.3 b   |
|        | CT             | 0.68 ± 0.05 c | 55.92 ± 3.43 c | 90.2 ± 0.8 a | 81.7 ± 12.5 a |
| Red    | DS             | 69.20 ± 0.46 a | 30.45 ± 0.72 a | 23.7 ± 0.5 c | 24.5 ± 0.5 c  |
|        | PS             | 10.33 ± 0.75 b | 64.35 ± 4.74 a | 79.7 ± 0.9 b | 60 ± 1.0 b    |
|        | CT             | 0.09 ± 0.01 c | 48.56 ± 2.95 b | 87.1 ± 2.7 a | 65.6 ± 0.7 a  |
| Pink   | DS             | 61.92 ± 0.87 a | −11.5 ± 0.31 c | 343.9 ± 0.4 a | 40.1 ± 0.8 b  |
|        | PS             | 51.15 ± 2.61 a | 8.89 ± 0.48 b  | 25.5 ± 14.0 c | 28.5 ± 1.6 c  |
|        | CT             | 4.68 ± 0.07 b | 19.48 ± 2.17 a | 74.9 ± 2.8 b | 70.4 ± 1.8 a  |
| Blue   | DS             | 34.58 ± 0.41 a | 66.97 ± 2.63 a | 311.8 ± 0.5 a | 16.5 ± 0.7 c  |
|        | PS             | 3.93 ± 0.40 b  | 29.2 ± 3.04 b  | 86.5 ± 0.4 b | 63.2 ± 0.6 b  |
|        | CT             | −0.06 ± 0.01 c | −38.60 ± 0.54 c | 82.0 ± 3.2 b | 69.40 ± 1.5 a |

zDS = distal petal segment; PS = proximal petal segment; CT = corolla tube.

Table 4. Chlorophyll a and b, total chlorophyll, total carotenoids, and total pigments measured in three flower segments of four hybrid primrose cultivars.

| Color      | Flower segment | Chlorophyll a [mean ± SE (µg·mm⁻²)] | Chlorophyll b [mean ± SE (µg·mm⁻²)] | Total chlorophyll [mean ± SE (µg·mm⁻²)] | Total carotenoids [mean ± SE (µg·mm⁻²)] | Total pigments [mean ± SE (µg·mm⁻²)] |
|------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Yellow     | DS             | 0.034 ± 0.007 c                        | 0.073 ± 0.015 b                      | 0.106 ± 0.023 b                          | 0.063 ± 0.008 c                          | 0.169 ± 0.028 b                      |
|            | PS             | 0.053 ± 0.005 b                        | 0.071 ± 0.010 b                      | 0.124 ± 0.015 ab                         | 0.188 ± 0.010 a                          | 0.312 ± 0.023 a                      |
|            | CT             | 0.078 ± 0.005 a                        | 0.093 ± 0.010 a                      | 0.170 ± 0.015 a                          | 0.123 ± 0.006 b                          | 0.293 ± 0.019 a                      |
| Red        | DS             | 0.066 ± 0.018 b                        | 0.045 ± 0.030 b                      | 0.112 ± 0.109 b                          | 0.055 ± 0.007 c                          | 0.261 ± 0.063 b                      |
|            | PS             | 0.099 ± 0.009 a                        | 0.148 ± 0.016 a                      | 0.247 ± 0.025 a                          | 0.175 ± 0.007 a                          | 0.422 ± 0.028 a                      |
|            | CT             | 0.101 ± 0.007 a                        | 0.141 ± 0.014 a                      | 0.242 ± 0.021 a                          | 0.117 ± 0.005 b                          | 0.359 ± 0.023 ab                      |
| Pink       | DS             | 0.023 ± 0.003 b                        | 0.049 ± 0.007 b                      | 0.072 ± 0.010 b                          | 0.012 ± 0.001 c                          | 0.084 ± 0.012 c                      |
|            | PS             | 0.056 ± 0.005 a                        | 0.076 ± 0.010 a                      | 0.132 ± 0.015 a                          | 0.074 ± 0.006 a                          | 0.206 ± 0.019 a                      |
|            | CT             | 0.052 ± 0.005 a                        | 0.074 ± 0.010 a                      | 0.126 ± 0.015 a                          | 0.033 ± 0.002 b                          | 0.158 ± 0.016 b                      |
| Blue       | DS             | 0.036 ± 0.009 b                        | 0.148 ± 0.075 a                      | 0.174 ± 0.068 ab                         | 0.035 ± 0.002 c                          | 0.146 ± 0.028 b                      |
|            | PS             | 0.053 ± 0.007 ab                       | 0.088 ± 0.016 b                      | 0.141 ± 0.024 b                          | 0.092 ± 0.003 a                          | 0.233 ± 0.024 a                      |
|            | CT             | 0.070 ± 0.010 a                        | 0.121 ± 0.022 ab                     | 0.192 ± 0.032 a                          | 0.049 ± 0.004 b                          | 0.241 ± 0.035 a                      |

*DS = distal petal segment; PS = proximal petal segment; CT = corolla tube.

were considered statistically significant. Results are presented as mean values with SE.

Results

Colorimetric parameters and pigment distribution in different flower segments. The lightness of a plant sample is defined by the L* parameter, and the comparison among different flower segments revealed that the CT was significantly lighter than the other two segments, regardless of the cultivar analyzed. This can be related to an additional characteristic of the CT, namely, the low a* value. On the other hand, DS of the red and PS of the pink hybrid primrose flowers were defined by highest a* (and low angle of h*), which marks red coloration. Correspondingly, high b* parameter defines the yellowness of a sample, and the petals of ‘Golden Yellow 566’ hybrid primrose were characterized by highest b* among the cultivars analyzed in the present study. Moreover, the hue angle of all segments was comparable in the yellow cultivar (Table 3).

Table 4. Chlorophyll a and b, total chlorophyll, total carotenoids, and total pigments measured in three flower segments of four hybrid primrose cultivars.

Chlorophyll b predominated over chlorophyll a in all flower segments of analyzed hybrid primrose cultivars. Total chlorophyll levels were highest in the CT and ranged from 0.126 to 0.242 µg·mm⁻². On the other hand, PS of all hybrid primrose cultivars was characterized by highest amounts of total carotenoids (Table 4).

The HPLC chromatogram of hybrid primrose petal extracts measured at 530 nm yielded 16 different peaks: one delphinidin glycoside, two cyanidin glycosides, four petunidin glycosides, four peonidin glycosides, three malvidin glycosides, and two rositind glycosides. Glucose and galactose were the prevalent sugars, and several compounds had two sugar moieties (hexosides) linked to the aglycones (Table 1). Most compounds were tentatively identified for the first time in P. ×hybrida flowers.

No anthocyanins were detected in yellow-flowered specimens. On the other hand, blue petals accumulated 12 diverse anthocyanins, and six and seven different glycosides of cyanidin, peonidin, and rosinsin were detected in pink and red primrose petals, respectively. For clearer presentation of the results, the content of anthocyanin groups is presented in Table 5, and the distribution of major individual compounds in different-colored primrose cultivars is only reported in text. Blue hybrid primrose was characterized by delphinidin-3-glucoside, petunidin-3-galactoside, petunidin-3-glucoside, malvidin dihexoside, malvidin-3-galactoside, malvidin-3-glucoside, dimethyl petunidin dihexoside, and dimethyl petunidin hexoside, which were not detected in other P. ×hybrida flowers. Moreover, cyanidin-3-galactoside and rositin hexoside were only identified in red hybrid primrose. Pink cultivar accumulated several anthocyanins, which were also present in red or purple primrose (Table 5).
Flower segments of a specific cultivar always contained the same anthocyanins, but their content differed among the segments. DS of red primroses accumulated significantly higher levels of all identified anthocyanin groups compared with the other two color hybrids and were particularly abundant in peonidin-3-galactoside and consequently, the highest sum of anthocyanins in red, pink, or blue flower segments (Kumpanenko et al., 2014; Schmitzer et al., 2010). It is therefore not surprising that DS of the red and PS of the pink hybrid primrose flowers were defined by highest α*.

The level of total chlorophyll in the CT was comparable with the levels detected in developing bracts of several poinsettia (Euphorbia pulcherrima) cultivars (Slatnar et al., 2013), and Unal et al. (2003) previously measured considerable levels of total chlorophyll in corolla and pedicel of P. vulgaris. The perianth of most flowering plants contains low amounts of chlorophyll and performs photosynthesis. Aschan and Pfanz (2006) and Yamamizo et al. (2011) reported that pale green F. ×polyantha accumulates carotenoids and chloroplasts during all stages of petal development. Moreover, christmas rose flowers accumulate substantial levels of chlorophylls, which are functionally integrated into the thylakoid membrane and effectively contribute to the overall photosynthesis (Salopek-Sondi et al., 2002). It may therefore be speculated that higher levels of total chlorophyll in the CT are linked to the location, which is nearest to the developing seeds. Similarly, successful pollination of christmas rose flowers stimulated the production of chloroplasts in adjacent sepals (Schmitzer et al., 2013).

Discussion

**Colorimetric parameters and pigment distribution in different flower segments.** A common perception of the Primula genus is their yellow flower; however, color polymorphism of P. vulgaris (Richards, 2003; Shipunov et al., 2011) and crosses with other primrose species have yielded astonishing red, purple, orange, and blue hues of the hybrid primrose. Differences in color parameters were significant among the three flower segments, and most particularly, the CT was characterized by highest L* and lowest α*. The latter marks green to purple hues of a plant sample and is higher in red, pink, or blue flowers (Kumpanenko et al., 2014; Schmitzer et al., 2010).

The highest sum of all flavonols was determined in DS of the red hybrid primrose (11,294 µg·g⁻¹ FW) and the lowest in the CT of the yellow hybrid (386 µg·g⁻¹ FW).

Correspondingly, red DS segments were characterized by the highest TPC compared with the other flower segments of the red hybrid primrose and other cultivars analyzed (Fig. 3). TPC was always lowest in the CT (GAE from 213 in yellow to 381 mg/100 g FW in pink hybrids), significantly higher in PS (GAE from 517 in yellow to 924 mg/100 g FW in pink hybrids), and highest in DS of the primrose petal (GAE from 1078 in yellow to 1830 mg/100 g FW in red hybrids). The pattern can be correlated with a similar distribution of anthocyanins and flavonols in various flower segments.

---

**Flavonol distribution and TPC in different flower segments.** Six groups of flavonols were detected in different flower segments of four hybrid primrose cultivars, and forty individual compounds were tentatively identified in total (Table 2). Glucose, rutinose, and galactose were linked to quercetin, kaempferol, myricetin, syringetin, isorhamnetin, and laricitrin, which were frequently acylated. Di- and tri-hexosides were determined in all cultivars (Table 2). Yelloy primrose was characterized by the highest diversity of flavonols as it contained four isorhamnetin, five kaempferol, six laricitrin, three myricetin, six quercetin, and six syringetin glycosides (30 flavonols in total), but their sum was significantly lower in all flower segments compared with the other three cultivars (Table 6). Blue, red, and pink hybrid primrose contained 29, 24, and 22 different flavonols, and their distribution varied significantly among the flower segments. Glycosides of quercetin were the most abundant flavonols in all flower segments, with the exception of DS and PS in the yellow hybrid, which were characterized by highest amounts of laricitrin glycosides. Only seven flavonols were detected in all flower segments of all cultivars analyzed, and generally, a specific flavonol profile was characteristic for each flower segment.

Table 5. The content of anthocyanin groups in different flower segments of red, pink, and blue hybrid primrose cultivars.

| Anthocyanin group/compound | Color | Flower segment* | DS [mean ± se (µg·g⁻¹ FW)] | PS | CT |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------------------|----|----|
| Cyanidin glycosides       | Red   | 325.8 ± 36.5 a | 35.5 ± 4.4 b                | 8.0 ± 1.4 b |
|                           | Pink  | 59.4 ± 10.9 b  | 131.9 ± 25.9 a              | 73.8 ± 7.1 a |
|                           | Blue  | 57.7 ± 5.7 b   | 5.7 ± 2.0 b                 | 9.6 ± 2.6 b |
| Delphinidin-3-gluco side  | Red   | —              | —                           | —  | —  |
|                           | Pink  | —              | —                           | —  | —  |
|                           | Blue  | 26.5 ± 3.9     | 8.3 ± 1.8                   | 9.4 ± 2.2 |
| Petunidin glycosides      | Red   | —              | —                           | —  | —  |
|                           | Pink  | —              | —                           | —  | —  |
|                           | Blue  | 45.9 ± 9.1     | 17.8 ± 4.7                  | 32.2 ± 7.4 |
| Peonidin glycosides       | Red   | 2,586.3 ± 111.1 a | 271.8 ± 9.0 b              | 43.3 ± 2.7 b |
|                           | Pink  | 789.4 ± 175.5 b | 1,143.8 ± 135.4 a           | 486.1 ± 153.5 a |
|                           | Blue  | 108.0 ± 16.1 c  | 30.3 ± 4.4 b                | 42.1 ± 8.3 b |
| Malvidin glycosides       | Red   | —              | —                           | —  | —  |
|                           | Pink  | —              | —                           | —  | —  |
|                           | Blue  | 68.7 ± 14.7    | 31.7 ± 7.0                  | 86.1 ± 12.1 |
| Rosinidin glycosides      | Red   | 5.7 ± 0.8 a    | 0.45 ± 0.07 b               | 0.07 ± 0.04 a |
|                           | Pink  | 14.2 ± 6.5 a   | 11.4 ± 3.8 a                | 0.4 ± 0.2 a |
|                           | Blue  | —              | —                           | —  | —  |
| Sum of anthocyanins       | Red   | 3,330.8 ± 140.9 a | 332.4 ± 10.8 b            | 52.7 ± 2.9 c |
|                           | Pink  | 862.9 ± 187.8 b | 1,287.1 ± 158.2 a           | 570.1 ± 160.7 a |

\[ DS = \text{distal petal segment}; \ PS = \text{proximal petal segment}; \ CT = \text{corolla tube}; \ FW = \text{fresh weight.} \]

\[ \text{Different letters (a–c) in columns of an individual flower segment denote statistically significant differences in each anthocyanin group among different primrose cultivars by LSD test at } P < 0.05. \]
Table 6. The content of flavonol groups in different flower segments of four hybrid primrose cultivars.

| Flavonol group          | Color | DS [mean ± SE (μg·g⁻¹ FW)] | PS [mean ± SE (μg·g⁻¹ FW)] | CT [mean ± SE (μg·g⁻¹ FW)] |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| **Quercetin glycosides**| Yellow| 2,418.3 ± 210.5 d           | 848.1 ± 131.9 b            | 102.9 ± 23.5 b             |
|                         | Red   | 7,235.5 ± 253.1 a           | 3,180.1 ± 230.6 a          | 534.8 ± 110.9 b            |
|                         | Pink  | 6,356.8 ± 186.9 b           | 3,711.6 ± 112.8 a          | 456.9 ± 71.9 b             |
|                         | Blue  | 5,089.5 ± 330.1 c           | 3,182.8 ± 304.9 a          | 1,483.5 ± 385.4 a          |
| **Kaempferol glycoside**| Yellow| 367.8 ± 23.8 c              | 135.9 ± 15.9 d             | 33.9 ± 2.7 c               |
|                         | Red   | 2,039.0 ± 104.2 b           | 895.2 ± 45.8 b             | 159.8 ± 23.6 b             |
|                         | Pink  | 763.2 ± 84.8 c              | 479.5 ± 43.5 c             | 84.8 ± 9.1 c               |
|                         | Blue  | 3,015.7 ± 517.9 a           | 1,505.4 ± 104.7 a          | 298.5 ± 34.8 a             |
| **Myricetin glycosides**| Yellow| 938.6 ± 107.8 a             | 390.1 ± 68.2 a             | 35.6 ± 10.1 b              |
|                         | Red   | —                            | 121.1 ± 15.2 b             | 23.7 ± 4.2 b               |
|                         | Pink  | 40.5 ± 6.0 b                | 91.0 ± 12.6 b              | 7.4 ± 2.7 b                |
|                         | Blue  | 758.4 ± 76.0 a              | 317.7 ± 57.3 a             | 86.8 ± 17.9 a              |
| **Syringetin glycosides**| Yellow| 1,064.7 ± 99.3 a            | 586.2 ± 50.9 a             | 86.4 ± 12.4 a              |
|                         | Red   | —                            | 392.6 ± 29.1 b             | 94.2 ± 12.1 a              |
|                         | Pink  | —                            | 44.7 ± 2.7 c               | 18.6 ± 1.9 b               |
|                         | Blue  | 102.7 ± 15.2 b              | 29.0 ± 0.7 c               | 6.9 ± 2.4 b                |
| **Isorhamnetin glycosides**| Yellow| 520.0 ± 65.4 c              | 179.8 ± 33.9 c             | 41.5 ± 9.1 b               |
|                         | Red   | 2,019.7 ± 140.3 b           | 1,364.6 ± 61.2 a           | 289.4 ± 46.6 a             |
|                         | Pink  | 2,400.0 ± 144.0 a           | 1,275.9 ± 46.4 a           | 209.8 ± 20.2 a             |
|                         | Blue  | 186.3 ± 46.0 d              | 427.2 ± 94.1 b             | 203.8 ± 57.0 a             |
| **Laricitrin glycosides**| Yellow| 2,313.2 ± 62.3 a            | 1,063.9 ± 85.7 a           | 86.3 ± 14.1 a              |
|                         | Red   | —                            | 367.2 ± 23.6 b             | 87.4 ± 12.5 a              |
|                         | Pink  | —                            | 88.3 ± 13.9 c              | 17.5 ± 4.7 b               |
|                         | Blue  | 215.9 ± 31.3 b              | 133.5 ± 15.8 c             | 58.3 ± 16.5 a              |
| **Sum of flavonols**    | Yellow| 7,622.6 ± 392.2 c           | 3,204.2 ± 322.8 b          | 1,483.5 ± 385.4 a          |
|                         | Red   | 11,294.2 ± 154.3 a          | 6,302.6 ± 293.5 a          | 1,189.4 ± 200.3 b          |
|                         | Pink  | 9,560.5 ± 405.4 b           | 5,691.0 ± 145.8 a          | 795.1 ± 107.1 c            |
|                         | Blue  | 8,526.2 ± 578.0 bc          | 5,691.0 ± 462.9 a          | 2137.7 ± 505.4 a           |

*DS = distal petal segment; PS = proximal petal segment; CT = corolla tube; FW = fresh weight.

Different letters (a–d) in columns of an individual flower segment denote statistically significant differences in each flavonol group among different primrose cultivars by Duncan’s multiple range test at P < 0.05.

Petal segments of the analyzed cultivars contained considerable amounts of carotenoids, and Yamamizo et al. (2011) also reported from 10 to more than 270 μg·g⁻¹ FW total carotenoids in yellow and pale green petals of Primula species at different stages of flower development. Primula heterochroma leaves reportedly contain lower amounts of carotenoid pigments (Noroozisharaf et al., 2015), and similar data have been published on P. veris and P. vulgaris leaves (Medvey et al., 2005).

Sixteen glycosides of anthocyanins were detected in different cultivars and petal segments of the hybrid primrose, and many were tentatively identified for the first time. As early as 1930, Scott-Moncrieff isolated primulin (malvidin-3-galactoside) from magenta and dark red flowers of P. ×polyantha (Scott-Moncrieff, 1930) and also determined small amounts of delphinidin- and cyanidin-based anthocyanins. Later, Harborne and Sheratt investigated the composition of P. sinensis flowers, which reportedly contained malvidin-, petunidin-, cyanidin-, and pelargonidin-based pigments, but no glycosides of delphinidin or rosinitid (Harborne and Sheratt, 1961). Specifically, malvidin-3-glucoside and petunidin-3-glucoside were characteristic for purple flowers, which is in accord with our data on blue flowering Primula. Orange-red genotypes predominately expressed neither in its L* parameter (it was namely comparable to the red hybrid) nor in its high anthocyanin content. This corresponds to the report of Shipunov et al. (2011), who also detected no significant differences between dark pink and blue-violet P. vulgaris flowers. Possibly, the methylated forms of petunidin glycosides, which prevail in blue-flowered primrose, produce a darker visual perception, which is also stimulated by high diversity of anthocyanin forms. Methylation of anthocyanins has been reported as one of the important reasons for the shift of color hues in addition to the type and abundance of aglycones and sugar moieties linked to the basic C6–C3–C6 carbon skeleton (Zhao and Tao, 2015).

Anthocyanin content in hybrid primrose flower segments was negatively correlated with their chlorophyll levels, which is in accordance with the study of Ünal et al. (2003) who measured decreased levels of chlorophyll at concurrent increase of total anthocyanins in P. vulgaris. The arrest of chlorophyll synthesis also was reported by Kannangara and Hansson (1998) and Ayala Arreola et al. (2008) after the activation of anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway in developing poinsettia bracts.

Flavonol distribution and TPC in different flower segments. Forty different flavonols were detected in hybrid accumulated pelargonidin-3-glucoside, peonidin-3-glucoside, and low amounts of cyanidin-3-hexoside (Harborne and Sheratt, 1961). Again, a similar composition was detected in red hybrid primrose analyzed in our study, with the exception that no pelargonidin glycosides could be confirmed in their petal segments. The presence of malvidin-3,5-diglucoside in P. viscosa and P. integrifolia, delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside in P. obconica, and hirsutidin-3,5-diglucoside in P. hirsuta flowers has also been reported (Harborne and Sheratt, 1961).

Until now, no one has focused on studying the composition of various flower segments of Primula species. In most studies, the yellow centers and the CT were removed before analysis, or the whole flowers were analyzed. The results of our study indicate that identical anthocyanins are characteristic for all flower segments of a particular cultivar, but their content differs significantly among the DS, PS, and CT. Generally, DS accumulated highest levels of total anthocyanins with the exception of the PS of the pink-colored hybrid. The latter was also the darkest part of the pink flower according to the parameter L*, which is in tight correlation with anthocyanin composition of plant tissue (Kumpanenko et al., 2014; Schmitzer et al., 2010).

Although blue-flowered cultivar seemed visually the darkest among the analyzed hybrid hybrids, this characteristic was not explicitly
Primula flower segments. El Morchid et al. (2014) detected 65 flavonoids in *P. veris* flowers but may have overestimated their total number. Nevertheless, they similarly reported the presence of quercetin dihexoside, kaempferol-3-glucoside, isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside, and isorhamnetin-3-glucoside in different plant organs. Moreover, Vitalini et al. (2011) reported quercetin, kaempferol, and isorhamnetin as the most common aglycones in leaves of the *Primula* genus and different sugar moieties (glucose, rhamnose, xylose, and galactose) linked to their 3-position. Noroozisharaf et al. (2015) measured from 166 to 980 μg·g⁻¹ FW quercetin-3-glucoside in leaves of various *P. heterochroma* accessions, which is significantly lower than the content detected in hybrid primrose DS. Flavonoids have previously been used as chemotaxonomic markers in various Primulaceae species (Fico et al., 2007; Valant-Vetschera et al., 2010; Vitalini et al., 2011), and the distinct flavon distribution in hybrid primrose cultivars suggests a similar potential. However, their content and diversity are highly dependent on the environment and physiological stages of the plant tissue (El Morchid et al., 2014; Schmitzer et al., 2010). As all hybrid primrose flowers were sampled in identical developmental stage (fully opened flowers from the middle part of the plant rosette) and plants were potted in the same substrate and grown in the same conditions, these effects were minimized. Total phenolic content of hybrid primrose flowers has never been evaluated previously. However, Noroozisharaf et al. (2015) measured GAE from 541 to 1272 mg/100 g FW total phenols in leaves of various germplasm accessions of *P. heterochroma*, which is comparable with the flowers of the hybrid primrose.

**Conclusions**

The field of genetic breeding strives to produce astonishing new hybrid primroses, which are mainly differentiated by their specific flower color. Color patterns and phenolic distribution are tightly interconnected, and they significantly define the ornamental potential of cultivated plants. Until now, the hybrid primrose has not been evaluated in terms of anthocyanin and flavonol profiles, which characterize their specific flower color. The petals are frequently bicolored and contain different anthocyanins and flavonols; moreover, they are characterized by relatively high levels of chlorophyll, particularly in the CT and proximal flower segments. The diversity of anthocyanin and flavonol aglycones suggests that exciting new hybrids could be introduced with localized gene silencing, and striped or blotched hybrid primroses may decorate our environments in the future.
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