The Mg$_{x}$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloy system may provide an optically tunable family of wide band gap materials that can be used in various UV luminescences, absorption, lighting, and display applications. A systematic investigation of the MgO-ZnO system using \textit{ab initio} evolutionary simulations shows that Mg$_{x}$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloys exist in ordered ground-state structures at pressures above about 6.5 GPa. Detailed enthalpy calculations for the most stable structures allowed us to construct the pressure-composition phase diagram. In the entire composition, no phase transition from wurzite to rock-salt takes place with increasing Mg content. We also found two different slopes occur at near $x = 0.75$ of $E_g$-$x$ curves for different pressures, and the band gaps of high pressure ground-state Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloys at the Mg concentration of $x > 0.75$ increase more rapidly than $x < 0.75$.

**Fabrication and characterization of Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloy** are important from the viewpoint of band gap modulation as well as of $p$-$n$ junction. Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O thin films and nanostructures that include nanocrystals as well as core-shell structures have recently been studied with the objective of achieving a viable alloy family with tunable band gap and luminescence at the UV range$^{33-34}$. Sans et al.$^4$ investigated the dependence of the phase transition on the hydrostatic pressure and found that the wurtzite to rock-salt transition pressure is observed to decrease from 9.5 ± 0.2 pure (ZnO) to 7.0 ± 0.2 GPa (for $x = 0.13$), with an almost linear dependence on the Mg content.

**Difficulties for the growth of high quality Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O films** are partly from the fact that MgO (cubic) and ZnO (hexagonal) have different crystal structures at normal conditions.

In the last decade, there are numerous theoretical studies on the MgO-ZnO alloys$^{22-40}$. Sanati et al.$^{22}$ showed that isostructural MgO-ZnO alloys are stable under certain conditions using the cluster expansion (CE) method, but they also concluded that if MgO and ZnO can adopt their own crystal structures (B1 and B4, respectively), the alloy is predicted to phase separate. This means that Mg$_{x}$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloys are not thermodynamically stable, consistent with a rather low observed solid solubility limit for Mg in ZnO. The cluster-expansion method was also used by Seko \textit{et al.}$^{23}$ for investigating phase transitions, including vibrational effects through lattice dynamics calculations.

Very recently, combining the CASP-CE with a systematic set of first-principles total energies, Liu \textit{et al.}$^{24}$ exhaustively searched for the ground-state structures of Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloys, and found a few structures as yet unreported. Several groups$^{25-29}$ reported their theoretical studies in which the random Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloy have been simulated using special quasirandom structures (SQS) approach$^{30-32}$. There exist other theoretical studies$^{33-35}$, in which alloying of ZnO and MgO proceeds by substituting Mg atoms by Zn atoms in the cubic rock-salt structure or vice versa in the hexagonal wurtzite structure, and also exist several theoretical reports$^{36-38}$ on MgZnO$_2$ in various model systems. An extensive systematic study of structural properties of Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O$^\text{2}$ was performed by Fan \textit{et al.}$^{29}$ using a supercell approach within the local-density approximation. In another theoretical study$^4$, alloying of MgO and ZnO is described within coherent-potential approximation (CPA)$^4$.

Bulk and undoped ZnO prefers the hexagonal wurtzite (B4) structure under normal conditions and transforms into a cubic rocksalt (B1) structure at a pressure in the vicinity of 9 GPa reported by some experiments$^{44-46}$, while MgO adopts the cubic rocksalt structure up to the highest pressure of 227 GPa$^4$. Generally, a large crystal structure difference between the wurtzite-hexagonal ZnO (B4) and the rock-salt-cubic MgO (B1) can cause
unstable phase mixing as reported by Sanati et al. The same conclusions are made by Seko et al. and Malashevich et al., who both get that the formation energy of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O is positive. Are there any stable structures of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O alloy when ZnO and MgO adopt the same structure (B1) at pressures greater than 9 GPa? However, for the ordered structures of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O under high pressure, few theoretical studies have been reported to the best of our knowledge. Therefore, a first-principles calculation is very interesting to investigate the high pressure stable structures of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O, because they are the basis of all properties in theoretical studies and can also provide valuable information for experimental synthesis.

Here, we investigate the possible stability of MgO-ZnO alloys under high pressure using the first-principles calculations, and the structures are obtained from a recently developed evolutionary algorithm for the prediction of crystal structures. We also analyse the band gaps of these alloys.

**Results**

In this work, we report a few stable ground state structures of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O. The phase stabilities of MgO-ZnO systems are investigated by calculating the formation enthalpy of various Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O alloys at different pressures. The formation enthalpy of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O is calculated by using fractional representation Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O (0 ≤ x ≤ 1) with respect to the decomposition into MgO and ZnO, as

\[ ΔH(Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O) = H(Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O) - [xH_{B1}(MgO) + (1-x)H_{B3,B4,B1}(ZnO)], \]

where the enthalpies H for Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O are obtained for the most stable structures as searched at the desired pressures. For MgO, the known structure B1 at our studied pressure is considered. For ZnO, transition from B4 to B1 takes place at 8.8 GPa as suggested by our enthalpy calculation (see Supplementary Fig. S1), which is in good consistency with the experimental findings \( p_t \approx 8.7 \) GPa \(^{44}\), \( p_t \approx 9.1 \) GPa \(^{45}\), or \( p_t \approx 10 \) GPa \(^{46}\) and first-principles calculations \( p_t \approx 9.3 \) GPa \(^{51}\). It can also be seen that the B3 phase is slightly higher in energy than the B4 phase of ZnO. So, the enthalpies H for ZnO below 8.8 GPa, B4, B3 and B1 phases are all considered, for the reason of the lowest energy, lower energy and cubic structure, and B1-MgO-like structure, respectively, and above 8.8 GPa, only B1-ZnO is considered for the lowest energy and B1-MgO-like structure. The formation enthalpies of MgO-ZnO alloys under conditions of high pressure are depicted in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. From Fig. 1 we noticed that MgZn_{3}O_{4}, MgZnO_{2}, and Mg_{3}ZnO_{4} made from B1-MgO and B1-ZnO are thermodynamically stable at zero pressure because of their negative formation enthalpy, which is consistent with the results reported by Liu et al.\(^{24}\) While in the case of B4-ZnO (or B3-ZnO) and B1-MgO, the formation enthalpies of all Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O alloys we got are positive below 6.5 GPa (or 5.9 GPa), indicating the tendency for segregation of the ZnO and MgO, in agreement with the calculated results at ambient pressure of previous theoretical studies.\(^{22,23,40}\)

Further detailed calculations above 8.8 GPa found a number of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O stable against decomposition into the constituent oxides (B1-ZnO, B1-MgO, or Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O). The formation enthalpies are shown in Fig. 2 for pressures of 10, 40, 60, and 80 GPa, and detailed enthalpy calculations for the most stable structures allowed us to construct the P-x phase diagram of the MgO-ZnO alloys (see Fig. 3). When the pressure reaches 10 GPa or higher, the formation enthalpies of all Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O alloys we predicted become negative. This is interesting trend for the number of Mg_{x}Zn_{1-x}O alloy to...
increase with increasing pressure. In this direction, high pressure is obviously the right tool to widen the range of compositions in which the stable structures can be obtained. To the contrary, the structures of Mg₃ZnO₄ and Mg₃ZnO₄ become metastable when pressure is increased above about 50 GPa. This resulted in a confirmation of the following structures as DFT predicted ground states under different pressures: MgZn₃O₄ (Pm-3m, I4/mmm), MgZnO₂ (P4/mmm, I4/mmd), Mg₂Zn₂O₅ (C2/m), Mg₃ZnO₃ (Cmcm), Mg₂ZnO₃ (Pm-3m, I4/mmm), Mg₃ZnO₅ (I4/m), Mg₃Zn₂O₈ (C2/m), and Mg₅ZnO₇ (R-3). For all the predicted structures as shown in Fig. 4 we computed phonon dispersions (see Supplementary Fig. S2) in the pressure range of 0–80 GPa, and found them to be dynamically stable.

As can be seen from Fig. 2, at 10 GPa, the energetically “deepest” structures occur at x = 0.25, x = 0.5 and x = 0.75, which have been extensively studied by many authors 22–26. Two structures were found respectively at the three compositions under pressure bellow 80 GPa, and the enthalpies difference are depicted in Fig. 5. It should be pointed out that the enthalpy differences are only within a few meV/formula. At 6.5 (or 5.9 GPa for B3-ZnO considered) to

Figure 2 | Thermal stability of MgO-ZnO alloys. Predicted formation enthalpy of MgO-ZnO alloys with respect to decomposition into B1-MgO and B1-ZnO at (a) 10 GPa, (b) 40 GPa, (c) 60 GPa, and (d) 80 GPa, respectively.

Figure 3 | Pressure-composition phase diagram of the MgxZn1₂xO alloys. Red line represents the pressures at which MgZn₃O₄, MgZnO₂, and Mg₃ZnO₄ become stable against decomposition into B3-ZnO and other oxides (MgxZn1₂xO, or B1-MgO).
21 GPa, Mg$_2$ZnO$_4$ is stable in the $Pm-3m$ structure (L1$_2$-type, as reported in Ref. 25), and then transforms into a tetragonal $I4/mmm$ structure (D0$_{22}$-type), which is the same as that reported in Refs. 22,24. For MgZn$_3$O$_4$, the cubic $Pm-3m$ and tetragonal $I4/mmm$ phases are found to be the most stable in pressure ranges of 8.5 (or 7.9 GPa for B3-ZnO considered)-68 and 68–80 GPa, respectively. The $Pm-3m$ MgZn$_3$O$_4$ (L1$_2$-type) is the same as that reported in Refs. 24,25. MgZnO$_2$ is stable in the $P4/mmm$ structure (same as that in Ref. 25) at 7.7 GPa (or 7.1 GPa for B3-ZnO considered), and transforms into a tetragonal $I41/amd$ structure (same as “40”-type reported in Ref.24) at 38 GPa, which is stable up to at least 80 GPa. Fig. 5(b) shows that both of the MgZnO$_2$ structures are more stable rather than in chalcopyrite structure$^{25,28}$. It is emphasized that the structural researches of Refs. 22,24,25 are all performed at atmospheric pressure.

Detailed calculations and analysis of the other structures under higher pressure are performed and show other five stable MgO-rich MgO-ZnO alloys made from isostructural components (B1-MgO and B1-ZnO): Mg$_2$ZnO$_4$ (Fig. 4(f); space group Cmcm), stable in a pressure range of 28–53 GPa; and Mg$_2$Zn$_2$O$_6$ (Fig. 4(i); space group $C2/m$), Mg$_3$ZnO$_4$ (Fig. 4(j); space group $I4/m$), Mg$_3$Zn$_2$O$_6$ (Fig. 4(e); space group $C2/m$), and Mg$_5$Zn$_2$O$_7$ (Fig. 4(k); space group R-3), which are stable above 23 GPa, 41 GPa, 48 GPa, and 60 GPa, respectively. Among the five phases of Mg$_{x}$Zn$_{1+x}$O alloy, the $C2/m$ Mg$_{2}$Zn$_{2}$O$_{4}$ is the same as R1-Mg$_2$Zn$_2$O$_4$ ($C2/m$) reported in Ref. 24. For the MgO-rich side of the phase diagram, we predict a stable hexagonal R-3 Mg$_6$ZnO$_7$, which confirms the possibility that Mg$_{x}$Zn$_{1+x}$O crystallizes in a hexagonal structure at high concentrations $x$, as recently reported by Shimada et al.$^{35}$. This is a very interesting case due to the point that Mg$_{x}$Zn$_{1+x}$O alloy with high concentrations $x$ have long been thought to adopt rocksalt (cubic) structures. Especially, the MgO-ZnO alloys more should be B1-like structure since both MgO and ZnO are stable in B1 phase above 8.8 GPa. In addition, our calculated formation enthalpy data of metastable Cmcm-Mg$_2$ZnO$_3$ is slightly above the convex hull up to

---

**Figure 4** | Crystal structures of the predicted Mg$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$O alloys. (a) $Pm-3m$ MgZn$_3$O$_4$, (b) $I4/mmm$ MgZn$_3$O$_4$, (c) $I1/amd$ MgZn$_3$O$_4$, (d) $P4/mmm$ MgZn$_3$O$_4$, (e) C$m$ MgZn$_3$O$_4$, (f) Cmcm MgZn$_3$O$_4$, (g) $Pm-3m$ Mg$_2$ZnO$_4$, (h) $I4/mmm$ Mg$_2$ZnO$_4$, (i) C$m$ Mg$_3$Zn$_2$O$_6$, (j) $I4/m$ Mg$_3$Zn$_2$O$_6$, (k) R-3 Mg$_5$Zn$_2$O$_7$. The large orange, middle gray and small red spheres represent Mg, Zn and O atoms, respectively. For more structural details, see the Supplementary Table S1 online.

**Figure 5** | Calculated enthalpies as the function of pressure. (a) Enthalpy curves (relative to $I4/mmm$ phase) for $Pm-3m$ MgZn$_3$O$_4$, (b) Enthalpy curves (relative to $I1/amd$ phase) for $P4/mmm$ MgZn$_2$O$_2$, (c) Enthalpy curves (relative to Cmcm phase) for $Cmcm$ Mg$_3$Zn$_2$O$_6$, and (d) Enthalpy curves (relative to $I4/mmm$ phase) for $Pm-3m$ Mg$_2$ZnO$_4$ by CASTEP code. The values in brackets represent the pressures at which MgZn$_3$O$_4$, MgZn$_2$O$_2$, and Mg$_3$Zn$_2$O$_6$ become stable against decomposition into B3-ZnO and other oxides (Mg$_{x}$Zn$_{1-x}$O, or B1-MgO).
80 GPa, which is different from their report on R2-MgZn3O7 (C2/m) as one of ground-state structures54.

Figure 6 illustrates the calculated band gaps as a function of Mg composition using the the local density approximation (LDA-CAPZ)52,53 functional and screened hybrid functional of Heyd, Scuseria, and Ernzerhof (HSE06)54,55 for the ground-state MgZn1−xO structures under high pressure. From Fig. 6(a), it can be clearly seen that the gap increases with increase in the Mg content at the same pressure and increases with pressure increased at the same concentration. In addition, two different slopes occur at about $x = 0.75$ of $E_g$-x curves for different pressures, which agrees with the findings reported in previous works40,56–58, in which the change of slope near one composition value has been attributed to structural phase from wurzite to cubic with Mg content increased. Our calculated results show that no phase transition from wurzite to cubic or vice versa takes place at the point where the slope changes, and we consider that the band gaps of MgZn1−xO strongly dependent on the Mg content. The HSE06 functional results are depicted in Fig. 6(b), which show the same trend as the LDA values, with the difference of 0.5–1.0 eV higher value at the same pressure.

Discussion

We have performed a systematic theoretical investigation of the compositions and structures of the MgO-ZnO alloys and identified the stable ground-state structures as well as a number of metastable structures at 0–80 GPa over a wide range of Mg concentrations. Our results show that stable ordered ground-state MgZn1−xO alloys only occur at high pressure. As well as recognizing the previous theoretically observations for the MgZn1O3 (Pm-3m), MgZn2O2 (P4/mmm, I4/mcm), MgZn2O4 (Pm-3m, I4/mmm), and MgZn3O5 (C2/m) at atmospheric pressure as high pressure stable phases, the new stable alloys MgZn0.4O (I4/mmm), MgZn0.4O (C2/m), MgZn0.4O (Cmcm), MgZn0.3O (I4/m), and MgZn0.2O (R-3), have been predicted in the ground state under different pressure ranges. Among these structures, only MgZn0.4O with a high Mg content crystallizes in the hexagonal structure under high pressure, and for the entire alloy composition of MgZn1−xO, there is no phase transition from wurzite to cubic or vice versa takes place. Moreover, the band gaps of MgO-ZnO alloys with high MgO content increase more rapidly than low MgO content.

The high pressure ground-state and metastable structures are all metastable at ambient pressure and may be synthesized under certain conditions (e.g., at high temperature).

Methods

Crystal structure prediction. The ground state structures of MgZn1−xO below 80 GPa are predicted by the ab initio evolutionary algorithm USPEX49,50. We have performed variable-composition simulation through the USPEX code in the range of 0–80 GPa for (MgO)m-(ZnO)n system (m + n ≈ 30), and determined the compositions of the MgZn1−xO. To confirm this and to obtain the most accurate results, we then renewed our structure search of stoichiometric MgZn1O_m-n with m and n values fixed by the USPEX code.

Total energy calculations. The structural optimizations, electronic structure, phonon dispersion, and energy calculations for selected structures are performed with CASTEP code59. The local density approximation (LDA-CAPZ)52,53 approaches of exchange-correlation functional is employed. The norm-conserving pseudopotentials44 with cutoff energy of 700 eV are used, and a k-mesh of 0.03 × 2π Å−1 within the Monkhorst-Pack scheme for sample the Brillouin zone, which ensures the error bars of total energies are less than 1 meV/atom. The hybrid functional HSE0654,55 implemented in the CASTEP code, together with norm-conserving pseudopotentials, and a cutoff energy of 600 eV, are used to calculate the band gaps of the stable structures at 10 GPa and 80 GPa.
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