Saharan dust inputs and high UVR levels jointly alter the metabolic balance of marine oligotrophic ecosystems
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The metabolic balance of the most extensive bioma on the Earth is a controversial topic of the global-change research. High ultraviolet radiation (UVR) levels by the shoaling of upper mixed layers and increasing atmospheric dust deposition from arid regions may unpredictably alter the metabolic state of marine oligotrophic ecosystems. We performed an observational study across the south-western (SW) Mediterranean Sea to assess the planktonic metabolic balance and a microcosm experiment in two contrasting areas, heterotrophic nearshore and autotrophic open sea, to test whether a combined UVR \times dust impact could alter their metabolic balance at mid-term scales. We show that the metabolic state of oligotrophic areas geographically varies and that the joint impact of UVR and dust inputs prompted a strong change towards autotrophic metabolism. We propose that this metabolic response could be accentuated with the global change as remote-sensing evidence shows increasing intensities, frequencies and number of dust events together with variations in the surface UVR fluxes on SW Mediterranean Sea. Overall, these findings suggest that the enhancement of the net carbon budget under a combined UVR and dust inputs impact could contribute to boost the biological pump, reinforcing the role of the oligotrophic marine ecosystems as CO2 sinks.

Several global studies during the last two decades have shown the high prevalence of heterotrophic metabolism into the ocean, particularly in oligotrophic ocean areas1–3. Currently, however, the metabolic balance of oceans poses a heated debate, as reflected in the studies of Williams et al.4 and Duarte et al.5 where their autotrophy or heterotrophy, respectively, are defended. Nevertheless, both perspectives agree that the oligotrophic ocean is a ‘single steady-stable’ ecosystem where the metabolic balance remains roughly invariant across spatio-temporal scales. By contrast, Serret et al.5 question the paradigm of the heterotrophy and propose that the oligotrophic ocean is “neither auto- nor heterotrophic, but functionally diverse”. Most of the results published to date on this issue derive from oceanographic transects and short-term (hours) experiments. Moreover, most of these studies have involved almost exclusively open-ocean areas, whereas less (or scarce) attention has been directed towards coastal areas, where previous studies have shown a contrasting response of planktonic metabolism (autotrophy or heterotrophy)6.

Global climate change is expanding the stratification in nearshore and open-sea oligotrophic areas (i.e. oceanic gyres)7 and increasing faster respiration rates than photosynthesis, as the Metabolic Theory of Ecology predicts8 and as observations have confirmed9. Global-change research also predicts higher climate variability, with greater nutrient availability due to severe droughts and harsher aridity, and increases in solar radiation exposure due to shallower upper mixed layers10. Deserts supply prodigious amounts of dust that affect both human concerns (i.e., weather, climate and health)11,12 and ecosystem productivity by providing key nutrients to stimulate the growth of planktonic communities13,14. Particularly in aquatic ecosystems, studies have reported that nutrients associated with dust inputs tend to stimulate primary production (PP)15–17 and bacterial production18, altering the structure of microbial planktonic communities19,20 or biogeochemical cycles21. Other studies, however, have also
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shown negative effects of dust on marine biota, due to the presence of high concentrations of toxic elements for growth (e.g. copper, cadmium or lead)22.

Together with dust-derived nutrient inputs, the other key factor that modulates the plankton responses is solar radiation. Within the euphotic zone, autotrophic organisms use photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) to drive photosynthesis, but in the upper part of this layer, cells are also exposed to high levels of ultraviolet radiation (UVR). A huge body of literature has shown their negative effects on metabolism and physiology of autotrophs23 and heterotrophs24. However, positive effects of UVR on both compartments have also been shown, such as increased photorepair DNA damage25,26 or enhanced photosynthesis27.

Thus, understand the impact of these stressors (dust deposition and UVR) on planktonic communities is central for predicting the effects of global change on the metabolic balance, and consequently, determine whether planktonic communities act as net sinks or sources of CO₂ affecting the atmosphere-ocean transfer28. In fact, a growing body of literature shows that the stressors can interact suppressing or amplifying their effects; hence their impacts can differ from their additive or single effects leading to ecological surprises29,30. However, currently there is no direct empirical evidence quantifying how the effects of dust inputs and UVR on the planktonic metabolic balance shifts across temporal and spatial scales in environments with heterogeneous physical, chemical and biological characteristics31,32, such as nearshore and open-sea areas. Comparatively, nearshore areas usually have higher concentrations of organic and inorganic materials than does the open sea due to the great influence of riverine and continental runoff inputs, which also diminish the penetration of UVR into the water column33. Thus, nearshore plankton communities are commonly more sensitive to UVR impact than are those of the open sea as the cells are adapted to darker environments and possess fewer protective mechanisms34.

With this background, in this work we quantified for the first time (i) total PP (PP total) and community respiration (CR) rates and the production/respiration metabolic balance (P/R ratio) across the south-western Mediterranean Sea (Alboran Sea); and (ii) the combined impact of Saharan dust inputs under high UVR levels on metabolic balance of planktonic communities through a microcosm experiment from two contrasting areas, one heterotrophic and other autotrophic. Ultimately, our aim was to identify whether the interaction of the two stressors will change the metabolic balance in these oceanic areas over short and mid-term scales in a future global-change scenario.
Results

Long-term trends in Aerosol index (AI) and short-wave (SW) fluxes on SW Mediterranean Sea.

The daily area-average AI and monthly area-average surface SW fluxes varied greatly through the 1979 and 1980–2016 period (Fig. S1). This variability resulted in alternating years with a characteristically strong seasonality in aerosol inputs (i.e. increasing AI values from May to September and maximum peaks in July-August) (e.g. year 2012, Fig. S1) followed by years where a slightly constant input of aerosols occurred (e.g. year 2013–2014, Fig. S1). AI also showed a clear oscillation in the total number of events, as well as, in the annual values over the study period (Fig. 1). Thus, we found periods of 6–8 years where total number of AI >1 events significantly increased or decreased (Fig. 1). Despite these oscillating periodic cycles, a significantly increasing frequency in the events registered was found over a long-term scale (Fig. 1; R² = 0.28, F₁₂.51, p < 0.01). For SW fluxes, a marked intra-annual seasonality was found (Fig. S1); however, these fluxes did not exhibit a clear trend over the time (Fig. 1).

Observational study. Metabolic balance. In relation to the underwater radiation field, the profiles in all stations showed a high transparency to UVR (kd_{305} = 0.32–0.44, kd_{320} = 0.23–0.77, kd_{380} = 0.1–0.93) and PAR (kd_{PAR} = ranging between 0.01–0.14). Seawater temperature was relatively uniform across the SW Mediterranean Sea with mean values ~21.47 °C (±0.71), from surface to 25 m deep across the study section (Table S1). Chlorophyll a (Chl a) concentrations were very low, with values below 1 μg L⁻¹, excepting four cases (stations 3, 5, 6 and 7), where chl a concentrations rose to ~2 μg L⁻¹ (Table S1).

Nutrient concentrations were also generally low (Table S1), particularly total phosphorus (TP) with values <0.9 μM, whereas total nitrogen (TN) showed values ranging between 228 and 357 μM across the Mediterranean Sea section studied. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations averaged 197 μM, although with concentrations varying between ~119 and 323 μM (Table S1).

PP_{total} varied between 10 (±1) and 118 (±1) mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹, whereas, CR did between 33 (±12) and 105 (±0.10) mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹. This range of values in PP_{total} and CR rates was translated into P/R ratios that ranged between 0.15 and 1.84, indicating an alternation between auto and heterotrophic stations across the studied section (Fig. 2; Table S1).

Experimental study. Physical, chemical and biological conditions. Sky conditions during the experimental period were characterized by slightly overcast days, particularly on June, 19th and 20th. Maximum irradiance received by samples during the experiment reached 6.4 (for 305 nm), 35.1 (for 320 nm) and 93.6 (for 380 nm) μW cm⁻² and 534.9 (for PAR) W m⁻², whereas mean daily irradiance during the exposure period oscillated between 2.03–2.82, 15.60–19.30 and 41.60–52.30 μW cm⁻² and 225.60–282.30 W m⁻², respectively, for 305, 320, 380 nm, and PAR (Fig. S2).

At the beginning of the experiments, both areas showed high TN and low TP concentrations, and high sestonic N:P ratios which were 2-fold higher in heterotrophic than in autotrophic communities (Table S2). By contrast, DOC concentrations were similar in both areas at the beginning (t-test = 1.45, p > 0.05) and overall.
decreased through the experiments, up to mean concentrations of 188.65 (±2.26) and 196.68 (±9.43) μM for heterotrophic and autotrophic communities, respectively.

In terms of biomass, the autotrophic nanoplankton (ANP) fraction dominated the community in both areas and in all treatments with respect to the heterotrophic picoplankton (HPP) (<40 μg C L⁻¹) and autotrophic pico-plankton (APP) fraction (<2 μg C L⁻¹) over the experiment. However, only in open sea did UVR negatively affect the ANP and HPP fractions regardless the dust treatment, significantly lowering values by ca. 50% (Fig. 3; Table S3).

**UVR and Dust effects on the metabolic balance.** In the heterotrophic area, PP total significantly increased in all treatments, with values ranging between ~10 at the beginning and up to ~55–60 mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹ at the end of experiment (Fig. S3; Table S4). In the autotrophic area, PP total slightly increased only under PAB amb and P dust treatments with respect to the initial conditions (Fig. S3) but significantly decreased under a combined impact of UVR × Dust. In both areas, the effect size of UVR was stimulatory on PP total under ambient nutrient conditions, although dust addition significantly altered this stimulatory effect of UVR on PP total over the experiment, generating an inhibitory effect that ranged between 30–57% and 38–74%, for the heterotrophic and autotrophic area, respectively (Fig. 4).

By contrast, CR showed significantly higher values at the beginning (heterotrophic area, ca. 28–40 mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹ and autotrophic area, 30–70 mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹), which declined over the experiment up to similar rates in both areas regardless of the treatment considered (except PAB amb in autotrophic area) (Fig. S3; Table S4). As with PP total, UVR increased the CR in both areas, whereas the dust also significantly altered the effect size of UVR on CR, increasing the inhibition of CR by between ~20–60% and 2–88% in the heterotrophic and autotrophic area, respectively (Fig. 4; Table S4).

From PP total and CR data (Fig. S3) we calculated the P/R ratio during the experiments, which show a similar response pattern in both areas (Fig. 5). Thus, at short-term P/R ratio was <1 in both areas and for all treatments (with the exception of PAB amb in autotrophic area, P/R = 1.09), indicating a clear heterotrophy. Conversely, from the third day to the end of the experiment the P/R ratio was >1, particularly under P dust treatments, due to a surge in PP total coupled with a fall in the CR rates. However, P/R ratio was ~1 or consistently <1 in the autotrophic compared to the heterotrophic area (P/R > 1) under ambient conditions, due to higher CR than PP total as consequence of increased bacterial respiration under these conditions (data not shown). The metabolic balance changed from heterotrophy (or steady stable communities, P/R ~1) towards strong autotrophy at the end of the experiment under the joint effect of UVR and dust treatments (values between 3.3–4.4 for the heterotrophic and autotrophic area, respectively).

**Discussion**

In this study, we report that the metabolic balance of strongly P-limited oligotrophic marine areas vary geographically between heterotrophic and autotrophic states, challenging the mainstream view of oligotrophic ocean as a ‘single steady-state’ ecosystem with an invariant metabolism across spatio-temporal scales⁴⁵. However, this spatial pattern showed is consistent with the recent results of Serret et al.⁵ who showed that the Atlantic Ocean (i.e. Oceanic gyres) is neither autotrophic nor heterotrophic but metabolically diverse.
In addition, the values of the metabolic balance of the SW Mediterranean Sea are also in line with previous estimates for other oligotrophic areas worldwide, such as NW and East basin of the Mediterranean Sea (mean P/R = 0.74), the North (mean P/R = 0.75) and South Pacific Ocean (mean P/R = 1.17) and the Indian Ocean (mean P/R = 1.78). However, our findings in unproductive waters were between 4 to 7-fold lower than those reported for strongly productive areas in high-latitude environments, i.e. Southern Ocean and Arctic waters. The differences between both areas may be due not only to higher nutrients availability but also the fact that most of studies in the latter areas have been performed during the boreal/austral spring or summer in absence of a dark period in these latitudes. One underlying mechanism that likely can explain these contrasting results may be a strong stimulation of the bacterial respiration and dark-repair processes during the night at temperate latitudes. However, these processes are inhibited under the midnight sun in the spring-summer Arctic day as recent studies have reported.

In view of the metabolic variability observed in our survey and in previous reports over the last two decades we investigated whether the metabolic state from two contrasting areas, one heterotrophic and the other autotrophic, could be altered by the joint action of two major environmental stressors, i.e. Saharan dust inputs and UVR, in a future global-change scenario. Our data further suggests that the trophic state of both areas at the beginning of the experiments was most likely associated with plankton physiological conditions. Thus, not only Chl a concentrations were lower, but also the P-limitation was higher and the sestonic C:P and N:P ratios were 2-fold higher in the heterotrophic than the autotrophic area.

We found an inhibitory effect of the two stressors acting together on PP\textsubscript{total}. These findings partially agree with previous studies in oligotrophic ecosystems (fresh and marine) that showed a higher negative UVR effect after nutrient enrichment on PP due to a decoupling between photosynthesis and growth. Likewise, a negative synergistic interactive effect of UVR and dust on CR was found. This finding contrasts with recent results showing a rise in
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respiratory processes under UVR due to an enhanced heterotrophic metabolism\(^{36}\). By contrast, although dust addition inverted the stimulatory UVR effect, we found that the planktonic metabolic balance showed a clear response pattern towards autotrophy in SW Mediterranean Sea communities at the mid-term, regardless of the area considered. Therefore, greater dust pulses due to a higher frequency and intensity of dust export events from Sahara, as reported here (see Fig. 1), together with high UVR fluxes by shallower upper mixed layers (UML) owing to increased surface temperatures, may induce significant changes in the metabolic state of oligotrophic marine ecosystems.

Despite that the UVR and dust inputs acting in concert depressed the respiratory processes, we found no biomass accumulation in any area over the experiment. The absence of stimulation in the autotrophic biomass compartment agrees with previous results that showed a very small biomass response after similar inorganic nutrient or dust additions\(^{40,41}\). The most believable explanation behind this observation could be a high lysis rates undergone by phytoplankton during this period, late spring/early summer, as previously shown by Agustí & Duarte\(^{42}\) in Mediterranean coastal waters. Nevertheless, based on our results we can rule out the idea that the stability found in phytoplankton biomass was due to: (i) a potential to toxic effect of metals contained in dust inputs as recent studies have proposed\(^{43}\), as in our study those concentrations were below detection limits (González-Olalla \textit{et al.}, submitted); or (ii) competition with HPP by nutrients as we found no stimulation of this compartment, either, over the experiment in terms of biomass.

The trend towards an autotrophic balance reported through our experimental study suggests that the combined impact of UVR and dust would bolster the biological pump. Thus, we can speculate that the fate of a larger fraction of carbon fixed by phytoplankton could not be remineralization and release as CO\(_2\) into the atmosphere, as several previous works have suggested for unproductive waters\(^{44,45}\) but rather it could be exportation from the euphotic zone, contributing the biological pump and reinforcing the marine ecosystems as CO\(_2\) sinks\(^{46}\). However, we also should consider the potential role that mesozooplankton grazing and respiration play to remove marine primary production and increase carbon losses, respectively, as we directly excluded mesozooplankton in our study. If we assumed that mesozooplankton potentially consume ca. 23% of the total PP\(^{47,48}\) and the respiratory losses of mesozooplankton represent on average the 25% of C ingested from PP\(^{49}\), zooplankton feeding activity would not substantially alter the trend reported (see Fig. S4).

Finally, and although the metabolic balance in these areas continues to be a great challenge for oceanographic research, observational studies combined with mid-term multi-stressors experiments in contrasting marine areas constitute useful approaches to inform how future alterations due to the global climate change could alter the biotic regulation of CO\(_2\). Despite that we should be cautious in extrapolating these results from a regional scale to a global oceanic scale, they underscore the need to consider that these oligotrophic areas, which suppose about half of the Earth's surface\(^{5}\) could potentially become carbon sinks, at least during certain periods of the annual cycle (e.g. high UVR fluxes, peaks dust inputs) in the upcoming future.

**Methods**

**Remote-sensing study.** Daily area-average aerosol index (AI) and surface SW fluxes (i.e., UVR) for all Alboran Sea region (36°43' 5.1594″ – 35°22' 0.4794″ N, 5°51.7194″ – 1°59'58.2″ W) (SW Mediterranean Sea) were downloaded from Giovanni v 4. 18. 3\(^{50}\). For 1979–2016 period, AI data used were provided by TOMS Nimbus-7 (January 1, 1979 – May 5, 1993), TOMS EP (July 22, 1996 – December 13, 2005) and OMI (December 14, 2005 – March 13, 2016) satellites. For 1980–2016, surface UVR-flux data used were provided by the MERRA-2...
model. Previous studies in Mediterranean ecosystems have shown a highly positive correlation of AI with total phosphorus (TP) and particulate matter linked to dry atmospheric dust deposition\(^2\). We used annual means of area-average AI and SW-flux data as a measure of intensity of atmospheric dust deposition and incident irradiance on surface waters of Alboran Sea, respectively. Moreover, because previous studies in Mediterranean ecosystems have established that an AI = 1 constitutes the threshold between mild and intense events of dust deposition and AI > 1 are the main contributors to the total annual AI\(^2\), only AI > 1 were considered during the 1978–2015 period. We also estimated the annual frequency of these events as the number of days per year affected by intense deposition events.

**Observational and experimental study.** Our study was conducted aboard of the Spanish B. O. Francisco de Paula Navarro cruiser from 16 to 21 June of 2014 during the MICROSENS campaign. The observational study comprised a total of 14 stations over the SW Mediterranean Sea to quantify the metabolism of planktonic communities. At each station, surface (5 m depth) seawater samples were collected using 10-L Niskin bottles and screened with 200 μm Nitex mesh to remove mesozooplankton (see all details about measurements below). In addition, additional integrated samples from the surface up to 15 m depth (300 L per area) in a nearshore (outside the West anticyclonic gyre, 36°37′ N, 4°24′ W – heterotrophic 1) and open-sea station (area inside this gyre, 35°59′ N, 4°19′ W – autotrophic 1) were also used in an experimental manipulation study, to assess the interactive effect of heavy Saharan dust inputs and high UVR fluxes, as expected in a global-change scenario (see details below). The rationale behind mixing water samples from various depths was to ensure a composite sample representative of heavy Saharan dust inputs and high UVR fluxes, as expected in a global-change scenario (see details below). The rationale behind mixing water samples from various depths was to ensure a composite sample representative of heavy Saharan dust inputs and high UVR fluxes, as expected in a global-change scenario (see details below).
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Chlorophyll a (Chl a) measurements. At each station (3 L) and every day at sunrise from each microcosm (3 L on June 17th, and 1 L the following days), seawater samples were immediately filtered onto Whatmann GF/F filters (25 mm diameter) and stored at −20 °C until analysed. At the laboratory, the photosynthetic pigments were extracted in absolute methanol in darkness at 4 °C for 24 h and were measured using a fluorometer (Perkin Elmer, model LS 55) which is routinely calibrated using a chl a standard (chl a from spinach, Sigma-Aldrich).

Autotrophic plankton counting. During the first and the last day, water samples from each microcosm were taken and preserved in brown glass bottles (125 mL) using alkaline Lugol’s reagent (c. 1% vol/vol) to identify and quantify autotrophic nanoplanckton (ANP). An aliquot of 50 mL from each sample was settled in an Utermöhl chamber of 2.6 cm diameter for 48 h to ensure complete sedimentation of the smallest phytoplankton species and counts were made at 400x and 1000x magnification under an inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss AX10, LCC, USA). Also, 1.5 mL of sample was fixed with 75μL of particle-free 20% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (1% final concentration) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen until analysed to quantify cell abundance of autotrophic picoplankton (APP) using a flow cytometer (FACSCanto II, Becton Dickinson Biosciences, Oxford, UK) (see details below). For ANP samples, at least 400 cells of the most abundant species were counted, and 20 cells of each species were measured to estimate cell volume according to a corresponding geometrical shape, whereas biovolume for APP was calculated following Ribés et al. Biovolumes were converted into carbon biomass using the formulas and coefficients proposed by Verity et al.

Heterotrophic plankton counting. Heterotrophic picoplankton (HPP) abundance was determined by the flow cytometry technique (FACSCanto II, Becton Dickinson Biosciences, Oxford, UK), fixing 1.5 mL of sampling water with 75μL of particle-free 20% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (1% final concentration) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen until analysis. Before being analysed, unfrozen samples were stained using Syber Green I DNA standard (chl a Elmer, model LS 55) which is routinely calibrated using a chl a standard (chl a from spinach, Sigma-Aldrich).

Nutrient and dissolved organic carbon analyses. Triplicate samples from each station and microcosm were placed in 300 mL PET plastic bottles and frozen (−20 °C) until nutrient analyses. To determine total nitrogen (TN), total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), total phosphorus (TP) and total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) concentrations, the samples were processed using the simultaneous persulphate oxidation method proposed by Koroleff. For dissolved organic carbon (DOC) determination from each station and microcosm, triplicate seawater samples (150 mL) were filtered through pre-combusted (500 °C during 2 h) GF/F Whatmann filters (25 mm diameter). Afterwards, they were acidified with HCl 1N (2%) and stored in darkness at 4 °C until analysis. At the laboratory, DOC concentrations were measured with a TOC analyzer (Shimadzu, model 5000) following the procedure of Benner & Strom.

14Carbon incorporation measurements. One set of 42 samples in total for the observational study (duplicate clear bottles and one dark per station) plus one set of 32 daily samples for the experimental study (triplicate clear bottles and one dark bottle) were taken and inoculated with 5μCi of labelled sodium bicarbonate to measure carbon incorporation as primary production (PP) following the method proposed by Steemann-Nielsen. The experimental bottles were 35-mL UV-transparent Teflon FEP narrow-mouth bottles (Nalgene), uncovered (clear bottles) or covered with opaque adhesive foil (black bottles). All Teflon FEP bottles were then placed in the same tank of controlled-temperature as the microcosms and exposed for 4 h to solar radiation. Particulate PP was determined by filtering 30 mL through Whatmann GF/F filters (25 mm in diameter) at low pressure (<100 mmHg) to avoid cell breakage, whereas a subsample of 4 mL of the filtrate was directly collected in scintillation vials to assess 14C activity in the dissolved organic carbon fraction (i.e., DO14C). After this, filters and filtrates were exposed to acid fumes for 24 h by adding 1N HCl (2%) to eliminate the non-assimilated 14C. Finally, each sample was measured using a scintillation counter LS-6000 TA (Beckman). The total CO2 in seawater samples was calculated from the alkalinity and pH measurements. In all calculations, the dark values were subtracted from the corresponding light values. PPtotal was calculated as the sum of particulate PP plus the DOC fraction released by phytoplankton.

Oxygen concentration measurements. One set of 28 samples in total for the observational study (in duplicate per station) plus one set of 24 daily samples, for each experimental day (in triplicate per experimental treatment and area), were used to fill (without bubbles) 35-mL UV-transparent Teflon FEP narrow-mouth bottles (Nalgene) equipped with sensor spots (SP-PS13-NAU-D5-YOP), sealed to avoid gas exchanges and incubated in darkness to measure the oxygen concentration during 12h using an oxygen transmitter (Fibox 3, Presens GmbH, Germany) equipped with Oxyview software to register data. Previously, the system was calibrated by a two-point calibration (0% and 100% oxygen saturation) together with temperature and atmospheric pressure data. From the resulting oxygen concentration data, we calculated the community respiration (CR) rates as the slope of the regression fit for decreases in oxygen concentration vs. time. The CR rates (in oxygen units) were converted into C units assuming a respiratory quotient of 1.71.

Data and statistical analyses. We evaluated the effect size of UVR under both dust treatments on PPtotal and CR as:

%
Effect size of UVR = $\frac{PAB_{X}}{P_X}$

X being the ambient or dust treatment. A value $> 1$ mean a stimulatory ultraviolet radiation (UVR) effect, whereas $< 1$ an inhibitory UVR effect for each nutrient treatment.

Statistical analyses for each experimental area (nearshore and open sea) were done separately. The effects of UVR, dust and their interaction on planktonic biomass of ANP, APP and HPP at the end of the experiment were tested by a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Because several interactions occurred within each planktonic group, these are highlighted in the text accordingly. A one-way repeated measures ANOVA (RM-ANOVA) was used to test whether the dust addition modified the effect size of UVR on PP$_{total}$ and CR over the experiment. When significant interactions were detected, a post hoc least significant differences (LSD) test was performed. Also, a linear regression analysis was applied to relate the trend in the number of events of AI $> 1$ vs. time. When appropriate, some of the data (e.g. DOC) were tested for significant differences using Student’s t-test. Data were checked for normality (Shapiro-Wilk’s test), and homoscedasticity (Levene's test) assumptions. All data are reported as means and standard deviations, whereas error propagation was used in the effect size of UVR on PP$_{total}$ and CR. The metabolic balance data for each station across the SW Mediterranean Sea were represented using Ocean Data View v. 4.7.62.
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