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ABSTRACT

We present a detailed analysis of a new XMM–Newton observation of the Fanaroff–Riley type II (FR II) radio galaxy 3C 452 and its environment. We detect X-ray emission from the hot intragroup medium and measure its temperature as well as obtaining the surface brightness and pressure profiles. We provide evidence that 3C 452 is currently heating its environment, measuring a temperature of $1.18 \pm 0.11$ keV for the immediate environment of the radio source compared to $0.86^{+0.13}_{-0.08}$ keV for the outer environment. We also present evidence that the outer regions of the lobes are overpressured (internal pressure of $2.6 \times 10^{-13}$ Pa and external pressure of $1.11 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{-13}$ Pa at the edge of the lobes) and therefore are driving a shock at the lobe edges (with a temperature which we constrain to be $1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ keV), while the inner regions of the lobes are underpressured and contracting. Taking into account the very large amount of energy stored in the lobes, we show that this relatively low powered FR II radio galaxy will have an extremely significant impact on its group environment.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Understanding how a powerful radio galaxy interacts with a poor environment is important in exploring the impact of radio galaxies on the properties of the group population. The most powerful class of jet-driven active galactic nucleus (AGN) outbursts that we know of are the Fanaroff–Riley type II (FR II) radio galaxies (Fanaroff & Riley 1974). X-ray observations of some of these objects suggest that the energy input from the expanding radio galaxy can be similar to the binding energy of the local intergalactic medium (IGM; e.g. Hardcastle, Croston & Kraft 2007a; Kraft et al. 2007); thus it is clear that in principle those sources will have a profound effect on their environments over their lifetimes.

The radio lobes in FR II radio galaxies are the result of the interactions between the relativistic electrons that have passed up the jet and the external medium, where the electrons gain energy at the jet termination shocks and expand out into the external medium (Scheuer 1974). It has been known for many years that the inflation of these lobes should affect the surrounding IGM. Even when the lobes expand at subsonic speeds (where the internal lobe and ram pressures are comparable to the external pressure), they do $p_{\text{I}} \text{dV}$ work on the hot gas. When the lobes expand at supersonic speeds, we expect additional work to be done to shock-heat the gas. This is a non-adiabatic process that effects the entropy as well as the internal energy of the external medium.

However, it is only recently that we have been able to make detailed statements about the internal and external pressures of FR II radio galaxies in typical environments, which determine their dynamics. This change has come about because the current generation of X-ray telescopes, XMM–Newton and Chandra, have the ability to detect inverse-Compton emission from the lobes together with thermal emission from their hot gas environments, which are often relatively poor (Croston et al. 2004; Belsole et al. 2007; Hardcastle, Evans & Croston 2007b; Kraft et al. 2007). Detection and characterization of these X-ray components allows us to describe the current dynamics of the radio lobes and gives us some idea as to what the energy input to the external environment over the lifetime of the radio source must have been. The impact that the AGN outbursts have on the hot IGM has implications for the history and evolution of the hot phase of the baryonic matter and the nature of ‘feedback’ from radio-loud active galaxies. When discussing the evolution of hot gas, it is important to understand that, although powerful radio-loud AGN are rare, their impact can be long lived: a single FR II outburst can have an effect on the IGM surrounding the AGN galaxy that exceeds that of a large number of less violent, longer lived low-power radio sources (Basson & Alexander 2003), and many groups that no longer show signs of AGN may once have hosted FR II sources.

Detailed studies of the dynamics of radio galaxies using X-ray observations rely on the capability of separating the thermal and non-thermal emission from the source. Some of the non-thermal emission comes in the form of synchrotron and inverse-Compton emission from the jets and hotspots, which is generally best studied at high resolution with Chandra. However, the dominant
non-thermal component in powerful radio galaxies is inverse-
Compton emission from the lobes. This occurs when electrons in
the lobes scatter cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons
to X-ray energies (e.g. Feigelson et al. 1995; Tashiro et al. 1998;
Hardcastle et al. 2002; Croston et al. 2005b; Kataoka & Stawarz
2005). To be able to separate the non-thermal and thermal compo-
nents, we need to study objects where we can obtain a significant
number of counts from both the lobes and the thermal environ-
ment. In particular, to avoid the inverse-Compton emission being
dominated by thermal bremsstrahlung (cf. Hardcastle & Croston
2010), we need to select objects that are powerful, close and inhabit
relatively poor environments.

In this paper, we study the nearby, powerful radio galaxy 3C 452.
3C 452 is an FR II radio galaxy which has a symmetrical double-lobe
morphology and a relatively uniform surface brightness distribu-
tion (Black et al. 1992); its largest angular size is ∼5 arcmin, which
at its redshift of 0.0811 corresponds to a projected linear size of
∼450 kpc. Images from the Hubble Space Telescope and various
sky surveys [such as Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS)] suggest
that the environment is a poor group, with a clear excess of galaxies
within the instrument’s field of view; however, group membership
has not been confirmed spectroscopically for any of the nearby
galaxies.

Isobe et al. (2002) discussed Chandra observations of 3C 452,
showing that there is clear inverse-Compton emission from the
lobes. However, their observations were not sensitive enough to
make measurements of the properties of any extended thermal en-
vironment. In this paper, we describe the results of a deep XMM–
Newton observation of 3C 452. XMM–Newton’s higher sensitivity
and larger field of view when compared to Chandra allow us to
detect and constrain the properties of the group-scale gas around
3C 452 and to search for evidence both for shock heating and for
other work done on the external medium by the radio galaxy.

In the cosmology used in this thesis, the luminosity distance to
3C 452 is 369 Mpc, and 1 arcsec corresponds to 1.53 kpc. Errors
quoted on the results of X-ray fitting are 90 per cent confidence
errors.

2 OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION
We observed 3C 452 with the EPIC instrument on-board XMM–
Newton. The exposure times for the MOS1 and MOS2 cameras
were 69,587 and 69,621 ks, respectively. For the PN, the exposure
time was 54,024 ks. The observation began on 2008 November 30.

The data sets were processed using the Scientific Analysis Soft-
ware (SAS) version 9.0 and the standard pipeline tasks emchain
and ephain. The data were filtered using PATTERN ≤4 (MOS) or
PATTERN ≤12 (PN), and we used the bit-mask flags 0x766a0600
for the MOS camera and 0xfa000000 for the PN camera. These are
equivalent to the standard flags #XMMEA_EM/P, but they also
include out of field-of-view events and exclude any bad columns
and rows found in the data. We did not need to filter the data in
the time domain, as there were no background flares in the data set.
The data set was also energy filtered between 0.2 and 12 keV for
the MOS cameras and between 0.2 and 15 keV for the PN camera,
using xmmselect. We then used evigweight to apply a vignetting
correction to the files and extracted spectra from each camera using
evselect. Evigweight is a standard SAS tool which properly prop-
grates statistical errors. We use the SAS tool arfgen to create the
ancillary response files. Vignetting is not taken into account when
creating the ancillary response files as it is already accounted for by
the weighting factor applied by evigweight.

In order to identify suitable regions for spectral analysis, it is first
necessary to create an image. We used xmmselect to create an image
for each camera in the 0.3–8 keV energy band, and then combined
these images to generate an exposure map for each camera to correct
for chip gaps.

We used a Chandra observation, first described by Isobe et al.
(2002), to identify point sources in the image. These were then
removed from the combined image, and Gaussian smoothing was
used. We experimented with a range of kernel sizes so structure
could be emphasized on different size scales (see Fig. 1). Fig. 2
shows the X-ray emission from the lobes of 3C 452.

Finally, we made use of a radio map of 3C 452 from the online
3CRR Atlas,1 at a frequency of 1.4 GHz and with a resolution of
6 arcsec.

3 SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS
In this section, we discuss the two methods we used to extract spectra
for the various components of the radio galaxy and its environment
and then go on to discuss our results. Throughout the spectroscopic
analysis, we used events in the energy range 0.3–8 keV.

3.1 Background methods
We used two approaches to determining the appropriate back-
ground for our spectra: local background regions and a full double-
subtraction technique that takes account of the spatially variable
particle background. Where possible, we used a local background
for simplicity. However, doing this for regions over which the par-
ticle background might be spatially variable leads to bias in the
results, and so for larger regions we used closed-filter data with
instrument modes matching our observations to constrain the parti-
cle background properties. In this situation, we used the method of
Maurin et al. (in preparation), which is described by Croston et al.
(2008). As in Croston et al. (2008), we calculated particle back-
ground scaling factors by comparing the 10–12 keV (MOS) and
12–14 keV (PN) count rates for the source and closed-filter data
sets.2 The exposure times for the data sets were 107 ks for MOS1,
83 ks for MOS2 and 196 ks for PN. We used a circular region with
a radius of 12 000 pixels in the energy ranges stated above. After this,
we extracted spectra for the source files and the background files,
and measured the count rate. Our scaling factors (ratio between the
count rate of the source files and the count rate for the closed-filter
files) for the MOS1, MOS2 and PN cameras are 1.54, 1.70 and 1.63,
respectively.

We chose a background region which was near the source, but
did not contain any emission from it (this region lies between 320 and
480 arcsec from the source as shown in Section 3.2). The spectra
for the X-ray background for the three cameras, determined from
this background region, were then modelled in xspec, ignoring the
energy range between 1.4 and 1.6 keV where an instrumental line
affects the fit. The X-ray background is modelled as a double apec
+ absorbed power law, with the column density fixed to the Galactic
value and abundance fixed at solar. The two apec models account for
the emission from the Galactic bubble, and the power law accounts
for the cosmic X-ray background. The temperatures were allowed
to vary, as were the normalizations, but the power-law index was

1 http://www.jb.man.ac.uk/atlas/
2 http://xmm2.esac.esa.int/external/xmm_sw_cal/background/filter_closed/index.shtml
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Figure 1. A smoothed image of 3C 452 in the energy band 0.3–8 keV after the removal of point sources. The image has been binned to 3.2 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$ and smoothed with a 2D Gaussian of $\sigma = 3$ pixels. The image includes contours from the radio map described in Section 2. The contour levels are 0.005, 0.001, 0.05, 0.021 and 0.21 Jy beam$^{-1}$. The regions described in the text are labelled 1 for region 1, 2 for region 2, 3 for region 3, 4 for region 4 and 5 for region 5. The smoothing scale is chosen so as to allow both thermal- and lobe-related (inverse-Compton) emission to be seen.

Figure 2. An unsmoothed X-ray image of the AGN and the lobes of 3C 452 in the energy band 0.3–8.0 keV, binned to 3.2 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$. Radio contours are overlaid as in Fig. 1.
fixed at $\Gamma = 1.41$ (Lumb et al. 2002). The normalizations were allowed to vary to help minimize instrumental differences between the MOS and PN cameras and to account for differences in the local X-ray background in different directions. Finally, a scaled version of this spectrum, with all parameters fixed, was used in fits to the spectra for each source region. Table 1 shows the parameters of the best-fitting model for the background region we used. Our model is in agreement with the model of Lumb et al. (2002).

### 3.2 Regions for spectral extraction

We extracted spectra for the following regions:

(i) an inner region (radius 160 arcsec) surrounding the source (region 1 in Fig. 1);
(ii) an outer annulus (radii between 160 and 320 arcsec; region 2 in Fig. 1);
(iii) a region just outside the end of the eastern lobe (region 3 in Fig. 1);
(iv) a blank-sky region for use in the double-subtraction method (an annulus between 320 and 480 arcsec as described in Section 3.1; region 4 in Fig. 1);
(v) the background region used for region 3 (region 5);
(vi) the AGN and lobes.

We extracted the AGN spectrum by taking a circular region with a radius of 25 arcsec (38.25 kpc) around the AGN and used a local annulus with a radius of 59 arcsec (90.27 kpc) for the background. We also excluded a 12-arcsec (18.36-kpc) region to the north-east of the AGN to remove a point source that would have affected our results. The same method was used for the lobes, but instead of a circular region, we took a rectangular region of length 247 × 130 arcsec$^2$ (378 × 199 kpc$^2$). The regions for the AGN and the region to the north-east of the AGN were excluded as well. We used the double-subtraction method to determine the background for this region.

Double subtraction was also used for our two large-scale regions, intended to measure the temperature of group-scale gas which can be detected by eye in heavily smoothed images out to 320 arcsec. Our choice of a 160-arcsec-radius (taking the AGN as the centre) boundary between inner and outer regions was intended to separate material that could have been directly influenced by the radio galaxy from material that should still be in its original undisturbed state. The distance of 160 arcsec is just beyond the projected length of one lobe. We masked out point sources seen in the Chandra data for these two regions.

Finally, region 3 was a rectangular region just outside the eastern edge of the eastern radio lobe. This rectangle was 111 arcsec in length and 70 arcsec in width. We then took a local circular background region with a 30-arcsec radius. There were no point sources in either region. This region was chosen to allow us to search for any cluster gas that could have been shocked by the radio source. We do not define a corresponding region on the western edge of the source as there is a chip gap on the PN detector that covers the edge of the lobes on that side. For the western lobe, we attempted to use a very similar region. However, we were only able to use the MOS cameras for the western lobe, and because of this, the data quality was poor and we were not able to constrain the temperature.

### 3.3 Results

In this section, we describe the results of spectral fitting to each of the regions described above.

#### 3.3.1 The AGN

The model we used was a model that has been successfully fitted for other narrow-line radio galaxies (NLRGs), consisting of a Galactic-absorbed power law together with an intrinsically absorbed power law and an iron Kα line, which gives a very good fit to the data. Parameters of the best-fitting model are given in Table 2. We use a fixed photon index of 2.00 for the soft power-law component following Hardcastle, Evans & Croston (2006), since the photon index is poorly determined.

| Model | Parameter | Value |
|-------|-----------|-------|
| wabs  | $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 0.1130 (fixed) |
|       | Photon index | 2.00 (fixed) |
|       | Normalization (photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $1.03^{+0.03}_{-0.26} \times 10^{-4}$ |
| zwabs | $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 43.59$^{+5.27}_{-4.37}$ |
|       | Redshift | 0.0811 |
|       | Photon index | 1.26$^{+0.32}_{-0.27}$ |
|       | Normalization (photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $6.42^{+0.33}_{-0.21} \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Gaussian | $E$ (keV) | 6.42 ± 0.02 |
|         | $\sigma$ | 0.08 ± 0.03 |
|         | Normalization (photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $1.76^{+0.35}_{-0.32} \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Unabsorbed 0.5–10 keV luminosity (erg s$^{-1}$) | (1.02 ± 0.2) × 10$^{44}$ |
| $\chi^2$/d.o.f. | 789/468 |
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3.3.2 The lobes

To avoid having to mask out a large region, we extracted a spectrum for both the AGN and the lobes together and then fixed the parameters of the AGN model to the best-fitting values found in the analysis of the AGN spectrum (see previous section). We then added to the model a power law to model inverse-Compton emission from the lobes. In addition, we tried the fit both with and without an apec model intended to represent thermal emission from the regions in front of and behind the lobes in our extraction region. We found that the fit was marginally improved with the inclusion of an apec model with Galactic absorption and abundance fixed at 0.3 solar ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. was 1229/1049 with and 1241/1051 without the apec model) and so, given that we expect some thermal emission to be present in the extraction region and that this makes our analysis consistent with that of Isobe et al. (2002), we chose to include it in our final fits. We find a photon index for the power-law component of $1.71^{+0.13}_{-0.17}$ and its normalization corresponds to a 1-keV flux density of $39.9^{+4.6}_{-4.1}$ nJy. Parameters for the best-fitting models are given in Table 3. For completeness, we also attempted to model the lobes with an apec model alone, but this gave a significantly poorer fit ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. of 1650/1051), so we do not consider this model further. The temperature we find for the lobes is consistent within the errors with the temperature we determine for region 1.

Table 3. Results from spectral analysis.

| Region | Power law | Apec |
|--------|-----------|------|
|        | Photon index | Normalization (photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
| Lobes  | $1.71^{+0.13}_{-0.17}$ | $5.76^{+0.83}_{-1.54} \times 10^{-5}$ | 1229/1049 |
| Region 1 | – | – | 1146/1047 |
| Region 2 | – | – | 862/623 |
| Region 3 | – | – | 35/25 |

For completeness, we also attempted to model the lobes with an apec model alone, but this gave a significantly poorer fit ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. of 1650/1051), so we do not consider this model further. The temperature we find for the lobes is consistent within the errors with the temperature we determine for region 1.

3.3.3 Regions outside the lobes

For region 1, we adopted the same approach as described above for the lobes: the spectrum we extracted was for the whole region inside 160 arcsec, and we then fixed the parameters of our best-fitting model for the AGN and lobes in the fit. The spectrum for the AGN, lobes and the environment is shown in Fig. 3. The spectrum for the outer region (region 4) is shown in Fig. 4. The model for the extended emission in this region was an apec model with Galactic absorption and abundance fixed to 0.3 solar; we found that a temperature of $1.18^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$ keV provided a very good fit to the data.

Figure 3. Unfolded spectrum for the AGN, lobes and environment after particle background subtraction. The value for the reduced $\chi^2$ for the fit to this spectrum was 1.17. The iron $K\alpha$ line at 6.4 keV is clearly visible in this plot. The green represents the PN data, the black is the MOS1 data and the red is the MOS2 data.
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Figure 4. Unfolded spectrum for the outer region (region 4). This has been rebinned in xspec to clearly show the model components. We used an absorbed apec model for fitting the data as described in Section 3.3.3. The reduced \( \chi^2 \) for the fit to this spectrum was 1.38. The green represents the PN data, the black is the MOS1 data and the red is the MOS2 data.

For region 2, we simply fitted an apec model with Galactic absorption and abundance fixed to 0.3 solar to the background-subtracted data. We found a best-fitting temperature of \( 0.86^{+0.13}_{-0.05} \) keV.

Fitting the same apec model to the region at the edge of the lobes (region 3), we find a best-fitting temperature of \( 1.7^{+0.9}_{-0.5} \) keV. Parameters for the best-fitting models of all the three regions are given in Table 3.

4 SPATIAL ANALYSIS

4.1 Radial profiling

To characterize the extended thermal emission around 3C 452, we generated a radial profile of the X-ray emission in the 0.3–8.0 keV band. We binned the data adaptively to give a similar signal-to-noise ratio in each radial bin. We masked out the lobe regions to avoid any contribution from inverse-Compton emission to the radial profile, but the AGN was not masked out. We were able to do this by using two boxes to exclude the lobes as shown by the green boxes in Fig. 1.

The particle background was modelled using the closed-filter images described in Section 3.1. The files were vignetting-corrected and filtered in the same way as the 3C 452 observations and were rotated to be at the same angle as the data set using the sas task attcalc. Using the scaling factors described in Section 3.1, we were then able to subtract a position-dependent background correction from each radial bin. The X-ray background contribution was subtracted using the outermost annulus of our profile (region 4 in Fig. 1). Finally, the radial profiles for each camera were combined to produce a profile of net count rate per unit area.

We then fitted a model consisting of a point source, with free normalization, and a \( \beta \) model with free \( \beta \), core radius and normalization to the radial profile. Each component of the model was convolved with an XMM–Newton point spread function derived from the combined point spread functions of the three cameras, suitably weighted. The fitting process used a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm described in detail in Goodger (2011), an updated version of the method used by Croston et al. (2008).

The best-fitting \( \beta \) model (\( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 66/9 \)) has \( \beta = 0.53 \pm 0.02 \) and the core radius was 21.98 \( \pm 1.05 \) arcsec. Fig. 5 shows the surface brightness profile with the best-fitting model. Although it would have been possible to fit more complex models (e.g. a double-\( \beta \) model as used by Croston et al. 2005b) to the data, our aim was just to characterize the density and pressure profiles in the inner regions, and this simple model provides an adequate representation of the data here.

The surface brightness profile presented in Fig. 5 takes into account only the statistical uncertainty in surface brightness based on the count levels in the source and background annulus region. It is important also to consider any systematic uncertainty introduced by the use of the filter-wheel-closed (FWC) background files in the double-subtraction process. The particle background scaling factors have a small associated uncertainty from the target observation and background file high-energy count rates used to determine the scaling; however, the dominant systematic uncertainty comes from spectral variation of the particle background between the 10–12 keV (MOS)/12–14 keV (PN) energy range used to determine the
scaling and the 0.3–8 keV energy range used in the profiles. Read & Ponman (2003) investigated the spectral properties of the particle background and report the variance in the background level in several energy bands for a large number of XMM–Newton observations. Based on their calculations, we estimate that the systematic uncertainty on the particle background level due to spectral variation is ~24 per cent for the pn and ~10 per cent for the MOS. The double-subtraction method used compensates almost exactly for any discrepancy between the ‘true’ particle background level in a particular profile bin and the estimated level based on the FWC files due to this systematic uncertainty, because the ‘local’ background level we determine will include any deviation in the particle background not accounted for by the scaling FWC component; however, because the vignetting correction in the local background differs slightly from that in the profile bins (by up to 20 per cent) a small systematic effect can be introduced. This effect is negligible in most profile bins (including the outermost few bins with the lowest surface brightness where the relative vignetting term is small), and is always <5 per cent (PN) or <1 per cent (MOS). We therefore conclude that the reported statistical uncertainties on the surface brightness profile accurately reflect the true uncertainty.

4.2 Pressure

The dynamics of 3C 452 are determined by the relationship between the internal (lobe) and external (thermal) pressure as a function of position in the group.

To calculate the internal pressure we used SYNCH (Hardcastle et al. 1998a). SYNCH models inverse-Compton scattering of the CMB and synchrotron photons. The inputs to SYNCH are an electron energy spectrum, the geometry of the source region (we modelled the lobes as a cylinder with length, incorporating both lobes, 280 arcsec and radius 35 arcsec) and synchrotron flux density measurements (we used the 178-MHz data from the 3CRR catalogue and 1.4-GHz measurements from the image discussed in Section 2). The electron energy spectrum was a broken power law, with δ = 2 at low energies steepening to 3 at high energies, γ_{min} to be 100, γ_{max} to be 10^8 and γ_{break} to be 6000. SYNCH fits the electron spectrum to the radio observations and outputs an inverse-Compton prediction for a given magnetic field strength. The magnetic field strength is found by comparing the observed X-ray emission at 1 keV and the predicted X-ray inverse-Compton emission; we measure a lobe magnetic field strength of 0.175 ± 0.010 nT, significantly below the equipartition value of 0.52 nT. Assuming that only the relativistic electrons and the magnetic field contribute to the lobe pressure (Croston et al. 2004 have tested this assumption), the electron spectrum and magnetic field strength give us a total energy density and internal pressure in the lobes. We found the internal pressure to be 2.6 × 10^{-13} Pa (the systematic uncertainties dominate over the statistical uncertainties and for this reason we present the internal lobe pressure without errors).

From the surface brightness profile and the measured temperature of the external gas, we can find the external pressure at a given radius from the AGN, using the method of Birkinshaw & Worrall (1993). Fig. 6 shows the pressure as a function of radius. We see that the internal pressure is less than the external pressure at radii less than ~80 arcsec; thus the source should be contracting in its inner regions. Further out, though, the source is overpressured, and the difference is greatest at the far end of the lobes, where p_{int}/p_{ext} = 2.4, high enough that the source should be driving a shock into the external medium.

Projection affects both the size of the source (thus affecting the inferred internal pressure from the inverse-Compton measurements) and the environment in which it is embedded, so in general the effect of projection on measurements of internal-to-external pressure ratio is complicated (e.g. Hardcastle & Worrall 2000). In this particular case, we expect that the source will be no more than 45° from the plane of the sky, since it is a NLRG and the unification angle for these objects is of that order (e.g. Barathel 1989; Hardcastle, Worrall & Birkinshaw 1998b). Investigating different projection angles in the range 0° < θ < 45°, we find that although an increase in θ means a drop in internal pressure, as expected, it tends to increase the ratio...
between the internal and external pressures, up to a value of 3.2 at $\theta = 45^\circ$; so if anything the expected shock would be stronger, and our conclusions about the source dynamics are unchanged by plausible projection factors.

We discuss the implications of these results in Section 5.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 AGN

The model that best fits our XMM–Newton data gives us an unabso- 0.5–10 keV luminosity for all components of the model of $(1.44^{+0.08}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This is not directly comparable to the results of the Chandra analysis presented by Isobe et al. (2002) and Evans et al. (2006), since they used a slightly different model. To make a direct comparison and search for any evidence of AGN variability, we used the same extraction regions that we used for the AGN spectrum on the Chandra data used by Isobe et al. (2002) and Evans et al. (2006). We found the unabsorbed 0.5–10 keV luminosity for the Chandra AGN spectrum to be $(1.55^{+0.24}_{-0.19}) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We directly compared key parameters of both data sets (e.g. the absorbed column density) and found that there were no significant differences between the Chandra and XMM–Newton data. This suggests that there has been very little variability in the 6 years between the observations using Chandra and XMM–Newton.

5.2 Lobes

Inverse-Compton emission from the lobes is clearly detected in our XMM–Newton data. Our flux density measurement $(40 \pm 4$ mJy at 1 keV) is in excellent agreement with the results from Chandra data: Isobe et al. (2002) give $41 \pm 15$ mJy, while Croston, Hardcastle & Birkinshaw (2005a), in their analysis of the same data, quote $37 \pm 2$ mJy. Both previous analyses, like ours, fitted a combination of thermal and power-law models to the spectrum of the lobe region. The differences in the error bars presumably arise in part because Isobe et al. allowed the Galactic $N_H$ to vary; we follow Croston et al. (2005b) in keeping it fixed. Our measurement of the photon index of the power-law component is also very consistent with the results of Croston et al. (2005a).

5.3 Environmental impact

We can make a rough estimate of the work done by the lobes on the environment. Modelling the lobes as a cylinder in the plane of the sky (as described above, Section 4.2), the total lobe volume is $1.15 \times 10^{59}$ m$^3$. The energy stored in the lobes is then the energy density times the volume, or $9.1 \times 10^{52}$ J. Roughly equating the work done on the environment with one-third of this, we obtain $PV \approx 3.0 \times 10^{52}$ J or $3.0 \times 10^{59}$ erg.

As we saw in Section 3.3.3, the outer regions of the host group are cooler by $0.32 \pm 0.14$ keV than the regions at radii comparable to the extent of the lobes. We can use our estimate of the $PV$ work done by the lobes to ask whether this temperature difference is consistent with the idea that the radio source is heating the IGM in the inner part of the group.

Using the standard formulae for the proton number density in a $\beta$ model and the relation between the number of electrons and the number of protons (e.g. Birkinshaw & Worrall 1993), we can calculate the thermal energy stored in a sphere of gas within the region that could plausibly have been affected by the radio source (region 1):

$$n_p = n_{p0} \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_{ce}^2}\right)^{-\beta/2}, \quad (1)$$

$$n_e = 1.18 n_p, \quad (2)$$

where $n_{p0}$ is the central proton number density which we obtain from the pressure profile (Fig. 6), $\beta$ and $r_{ce}$ are the parameters of the $\beta$-model found by fitting to the surface brightness profile and $n_p$ and $n_e$ are the proton and electron number densities at a given radius.

We then integrate equation (1) out to $r = 245$ kpc (160 arcsec) to determine the total number of particles in our sphere of influence, $N_{tot} = 3.24^{+0.44}_{-0.17} \times 10^{68}$; multiplying this by $(3/2)kT$ (where $T$ is the temperature of the gas in region 1 as found in the spectral extraction) gives the thermal energy stored in the region, $9.17^{+2.93}_{-2.03} \times 10^{52}$ J or $9.17^{+0.99}_{-0.99} \times 10^{59}$ erg. Effectively, this calculation also gives us the heat capacity of the gas in the region, on the assumption that all work done on the gas remains present as excess heat, $C = (3/2)kN_{tot}$. Using this, we find that the work done by the AGN (as calculated above) should increase the temperature of the inner region by 0.39 keV. This is very consistent with the observed higher temperature in the centre of the group. There are several important caveats in doing this simple comparison: first, the estimate of $PV$ work done is probably not accurate to within better than a factor of 2; secondly, we might expect that at least some of the work done by the radio source will go into lifting gas out of the centre of the group, i.e. into potential and not thermal energy; and thirdly, we do not know the original (undisturbed) temperature of the gas in region 1, and observations of groups and clusters show that the temperature profiles are unlikely to be flat (e.g. Pratt et al. 2007). However, it would obviously be possible for the estimate of AGN work done and the temperature difference to be grossly inconsistent (e.g. if the central temperature had been significantly less than the outer temperature), and this is not what we observe.

Finally, we note that the energy stored in the lobes is very comparable to the total thermal energy in region 1, and thus comparable to the gravitational binding energy of a significant fraction of the mass in the group. If the energy stored in the lobes is eventually thermalized, it will have a much more dramatic effect on the intragroup gas than the current work being done by the radio source.

5.4 Shock heating

Our results show that the ratio between the internal pressure and the external pressure is greater than 2 at the edge of the lobes. This suggests that the lobes should be expanding supersonically. We can estimate the temperature of the post-shocked gas in order to compare it to what is seen observationally. Using the Rankine–Hugoniot relationships, we can derive equations for the pressure and temperature in terms of the Mach number and the polytropic index. For the pressure,

$$\frac{P_2}{P_1} = \frac{2\Gamma M_2^2}{\Gamma + 1} \left(1 - \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma + 1}\right), \quad (3)$$

where $P_2$ is $2.64 \times 10^{-13}$ Pa (i.e. we assume that the post-shock pressure is equal to the lobe pressure), $P_1$ is $1.11 \times 10^{-13}$ Pa (the external pressure) and $\Gamma$ is 5/3. Re-arranging for $M_1$, we find that the Mach number is 1.6.
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We can then determine the expected temperature using the relation between pre- and post-shock temperatures:

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left[ \frac{2\Gamma M_1^2}{\Gamma - 1} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma - 1 + 2M_1^2}{\Gamma + 1} \right]$$

(4)

where $T_1$ is 1.18 keV (pre-shocked gas temperature), $\Gamma$ is 5/3 and $M_1$ is 1.6. We find the post-shocked gas temperature ($T_2$) to be 1.94 keV.

In Section 3.3.3, we found that the temperature in the region where we defined at the edge of the lobes (region 3) was $1.72^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$ keV, which is at least consistent with the idea that the lobes are driving a shock of the expected strength into the IGM at their overpressured tips. However, there is no direct evidence for such a shock in the surface brightness profiles – unsurprisingly, since the density change in a weak shock like this is small. Much deeper observations will be needed to detect shocks around sources like 3C 452 if, as our modelling suggests, they are confined to the outer few tens of kpc of the lobes.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have presented a deep XMM–Newton observation of the AGN, lobes and group environment of the nearby, powerful FR II radio galaxy 3C 452. This is the most sensitive X-ray observation of this source made so far, and it has allowed us to measure the X-ray properties of the source more accurately than has previously been possible. We have compared the results from XMM–Newton with those derived from an earlier Chandra observation, and shown that there is very little variation in the AGN properties; there is no sign of variability in this object. Our data give an excellent detection of the inverse-Compton emission from the lobes; the flux density we measure is very consistent with earlier work. We have used our measurements of the properties of the group environment, possible for the first time with the XMM–Newton observations, to search for any large-scale heating caused by the radio source in the environment of 3C 452. We measured the temperatures of three regions. Region 1 is a region with a radius of 160 arcsec, centred on the AGN with a temperature of 1.18 ± 0.11 keV. Region 2 has a radius of 320 arcsec, centred on the AGN with a temperature of 0.86 ± 0.03 keV. Finally, region 3 is a box of length 111 arcsec and width 70 arcsec intended to assess the temperature of any shock at the leading edge of the eastern lobe, and a temperature of 1.72 ± 0.04 keV was found. Using the inverse-Compton detection of the lobes (40 ± 4 nJy), we have measured the internal lobe pressure, and from that have been able to estimate the work done by 3C 452 on its environment. If all of this work were put into heating the group-scale gas within a sphere defined by the size of the radio source, we would see an increase in temperature in the central regions of the group which is in fact very consistent with what we observe. Our pressure measurements ($2.64 \times 10^{-13}$ Pa for the internal pressure and $1.11 \times 10^{-15}$ Pa for the external pressure (at the edge of the lobes)) also imply that the outer edges of the lobes are overpressured and driving a shock, while the inner parts of the lobes are underpressured and contracting; temperature measurements at the edge of the lobes are consistent with a shock model, but our data are still not deep enough to claim a detection. Finally, we have shown that the total energy in the lobes is comparable to the thermal energy in the surrounding environment (the thermal energy stored in the lobes is $9.17^{+0.89}_{-2.93} \times 10^{52}$ J or $9.17^{+0.89}_{-2.93} \times 10^{59}$ erg), so that if the energy in the lobes is ever thermalized it will have a much bigger effect on the environment than the radio source is having at the present time.
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