Development and validation of analytical method by HPLC-DAD for determination of vasodilator active in pharmaceutical ophthalmic forms
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Abstract

The substance 4-Aminobenzamidine dihydrochloride (4-AD) is one of the degradation products of diminazene aceturate and has demonstrated antiglaucomatous potential. Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness worldwide; thus, new therapeutic alternatives must be studied, for example, the molecule 4-AD vehiculated into polymeric inserts for prolonged release. The present work aims to develop and validate an analytical method to quantify 4-AD in pharmaceutical ophthalmic forms. A HPLC was used with UV-Vis detector, at 290 nm and ACE® C18 column (125 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm), in which the mobile phase consists of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and triethylamine (30 mmol/L), under an isocratic flow of 1.0 mL/min. The retention time of 3.2 minutes was observed. The method was developed and validated in accordance with ANVISA recommendations and ICH guides. The linearity range was established between the concentrations 5 and 25 μg/mL (correlation coefficient r = 0.993). The accuracy, repeatability, and intermediate precision tests obtained a relative standard deviation less than or equal to 5%. In addition, the method was considered selective, exact, and robust, with pH being its critical factor. Therefore, the HPLC analysis method is robust and can be used to quantify 4-AD in pharmaceutical forms for ocular application.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG), characterized by increased intraocular pressure (IOP) in the anterior chamber and a damage to the optic nerve, is classified as the second leading cause of blindness worldwide (Benoist d’Azy et al., 2016). Its therapy requires multiple...
daily instillations to obtain a suitable therapeutic response, but this may compromise patient’s compliance. In addition, more than 90% of the administered dose is drained by the nasolacrimal duct, reaching the systemic circulation, which leads to adverse effects (Karki et al., 2016; Mu et al., 2018; Sharif, 2017; Zhu et al., 2018).

To overcome these problems many formulations have been developed, such as contact lenses (Carvalho et al., 2015; Desai et al., 2018; Alvarez-Lorenzo et al., 2019), nanosuspensions, nanoparticles (Gupta et al., 2010; Mu et al., 2018), polymeric nanocarriers (cyclodextrins, dendrimers) (Calles et al., 2015; Carta et al., 2015; Rodriguez-Aller et al., 2015), and lipid nanoparticles (Gooch et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015, 2017). Ophthalmic inserts are another formulation that can be used. This prolonged release system reduces systemic side effects and the frequency of administration, leading to better treatment outcomes (Karki et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018; Yadav et al., 2019). The inserts are less associated with eye irritation and they do not leave sticky sensation on the application side, which is an advantage over other forms of drug release (Franca et al., 2014; Souza et al., 2016; Karki et al., 2016).

Diminazene aceturate (DIZE) can be degraded into two molecules; 4-aminobenzamidine and 4-amidinophenylazonium salt (Campbell et al., 2004). The use of 4-Aminobenzamidine Dihydrochlorid (4-AD, Figure 1) consists on an innovation over the therapies currently available to treat glaucoma, studies have shown that this compound could reduce intraocular pressure and protect the retinal ganglion cells from degeneration caused by the pathological process of glaucoma (Foureaux et al., 2015; Faraco et al., 2020).

As 4-AD is not considered a drug yet, no official assay method is available in compendia (pharmacopoeias). Atsriku et al. (2002) and Campbell et al. (2004) described the determination and identification of DIZE and its degradation products by liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LC-MS). However, these methods are not suitable for 4-AD quantification, require complex instrumentation, and are more expensive than high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Maia et al., 2015). Hence, the development of an analytical method is necessary to detect and identify 4-AD in pharmaceutical forms. Therefore, we developed and validated a quantitative method employing high performance liquid chromatography.

**2 MATERIAL AND METHODS**

All reagents used were of analytical grade and the solutions were prepared in Milli-Q purified water (Millipore, Billerica, USA). The 4-Aminobenzamidine Dihydrochloride was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), batch #MKBV0640V, content of 98%. Chondroitin sulphate (chondroitin 4-sulfate sodium salt, 45000 Da) was purchased from Galena Chemical and Pharmaceutical Ltda. (Campinas, Brazil). Medium molecular weight chitosan (200000 Da) and the dye Lissamine™ Green B was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo, USA).
2.1. Insert preparation
Chitosan (Chs) and chondroitin (CS) inserts, stained with Lissamine™ Green B, and carrying the active 4-AD were produced by the solvent casting technique (Rodrigues et al., 2009). First, 180 g of 4-AD and 25 µL of dye solution were added in 1% w/v acetic acid, and then 1 g of each polymer was solubilized and homogenized. The final gel was magnetically stirred overnight, poured into circular silicone-molded trays containing individual wells (5x2 mm), and left at room temperature for drying. The final inserts were calibrated using a 5 mm punch.

2.2. HPLC parameters and validation method
Validation of the analytical method was performed in accordance with RDC n° 166/2017, Brazilian pharmacopoeia and ICH guides (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005; Brasil, 2017; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019; Maia et al., 2015). The chromatographic analysis was performed on Chromatograph Agilent Technologies 1260, coupled to EzChrom program (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) with a ACE® C18 column (125 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) at 30 °C. The mobile phase was phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) with TEA 30 mmol/L at the ideal flow (1.0 mL/min). For this purpose, the system was adapted based on the parameters defined in the literature: number of theoretical plates >3000, asymmetry <1.5, and relative standard deviation <2% (Snyder et al., 1997; International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019; Maia et al., 2015).

To validate the analytical method, placebo inserts were contaminated with a known concentration of 4-AD; then, they were dried again and finally solubilized in acetic acid 1% (v/v). The final solution was filtered through 0.45 µm and dilutions were performed to obtain the desired concentrations.

The validation followed in accordance with the resolution of the collegial board of directors RDC n° 166/2017 and the ICH guide for validation. The selectivity was evaluated by overlapping the matrix components and by evaluation of the peak purity of the active 4-AD (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019). The linearity was demonstrated by reading five different concentration levels (5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 µg/ml). The solutions were prepared in triplicate and the graph “Concentration × Area” was plotted. According to the current legislation, the acceptance criteria involves the correlation coefficient (r) greater than 0.990 and the angular significantly different from zero (p<0.05). The statistical analysis was performed in the GraphPad Prism 5.0 program (GraphPad Software, San Diego) (Brasil, 2017).

The detection limit (DL) shows the smallest detectable amount (but not necessarily quantifiable) of the analyte in a sample and the limit of quantification (LQ) is the smallest amount of analyte that can be determined with precision and accuracy. Both limits were calculated with the formulas: DL=3.3 × σ/IC and LQ=10 × σ/IC, respectively. Where σ is the standard deviation of the intercept with the y-axis, and IC is the slope of the calibration curve (Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019).

The precision must be demonstrated by calculating the relative standard deviation (RSD) of nine measurement series (low, medium, and high concentrations, contemplating the linear range) according to the formula RSD=(SD/MCD) × 100, where SD is the standard deviation and MCD is the mean concentration determined (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005).

Repeatability analysis was evaluated using the means of nine triplicates in concentrations 5, 15, and 25 µg/mL, which refers to the agreement between the results in a short period of time with the same analyst and instrumentation. The intermediate precision was also analyzed by a triplicate of points on different days with solutions prepared by different analysts; the result must not exceed 5% RSD (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005).
The accuracy was determined by the degree of agreement between the quantification results of the method in relation to the known concentration of 4-AD in the presence of the components of the formulation, nine measurement series (5, 15, and 25 μg/mL concentrations in triplicate). For this, nine inserts consisting of chondroitin sulfate and chitosan (Chs:SC) were fortified with 4-AD solution of the active, and the results were expressed as the percentage of the active recover (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005; França et al., 2015; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019).

Changes in the chromatographic parameters were evaluated as recommended in RDC n° 166/2017 for robustness. Some conditions of the analytical method were modified such as conditions of flow (±0.1 mL/min), temperature (±3 °C), and the TEA concentration in the mobile phase (±3 mmol/L). Nine determinations were performed in three concentrations (low, medium, and high). The results obtained with the modified parameter were compared with the expected result under the standard chromatographic conditions (Maia et al., 2015; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019).

2.3. Statistical analysis

The software GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., USA) was used. The analysis of variance (ANOVA, p<0.05) was performed and the relative standard deviation (RSD) was defined as acceptable when <5%.

3 RESULTS

The best method consists in an ACE® C18 (125 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) column with isocratic elution at 1.0 mL/min (30 °C) and phosphate buffer (TEA 30 mmol/L; pH 7.4) as mobile phase. The volume of injection was 20 μL, and the detection was performed at 290 nm (Figure 2). The chromatographic parameters (asymmetry and peak purity) were adjusted according to the system suitability specifications: the tailing factor for the active was 1.2 (<1.5). The other parameters evaluated were the number of plates 5034 (>3000) and RSD between replicates (<2%) (Snyder et al., 1997; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019). These data demonstrate that the peak obtained for substance 4-AD can be used in its quantification. The method proved to be selective to quantify the active 4-AD. When an insert sample was analyzed, the components of the matrix (chitosan, chondroitin, and the dye) did not interfere in the retention time, which remained similar to the active (Figure 3).

![Figure 2. Chromatogram obtained for active substance 4-AD through the developed method](image-url)
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Moreover, it was linear in the range of 5 to 25 μg/mL. The suitability of the model was confirmed by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), performed in GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. The linear trend was significant with p < 0.05, with a significant overlap between the three calibration curves (p < 0.05). The residue analysis was performed according to Ribeiro et al. (2008). As presented in Figure 4, the data has normal distribution with homoscedasticity. The equation of the calibration curve was y = 11800000x – 819900; thus, the method was considered linear (Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019).

Based on the equation described, it was verified that the detection limit is 0.2337 μg/mL and the limit of quantification is 0.7791 μg/mL. Intra-day and inter-day precision values are shown in Table 1, all are close to 100%, that is, and it was possible to verify that there was no variation in the data obtained between the repetitions. It was calculated by means of the ratio between the experimental average concentration and the theoretical concentration (França et al., 2015).
Table 1: Values for intra-day and inter-day precision for the developed method.

| Ct (μg mL⁻¹) | Repeatability | Intermediate precision | Accuracy |
|--------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|
|              | MMC (n=3) DPR | MMC (n=6) DPR          | Recovery (%) DPR |
| 5.00         | 4.90          | 0.03%                  | 98%      | 3%       |
| 15.00        | 14.67         | 0.07%                  | 99%      | 2%       |
| 25.00        | 25.14         | 0.01%                  | 101%     | 1%       |

Ct = theoretical concentration, MMC = Mean measured concentration and RSD = relative standard deviation.

As shown in Table 1, this method present adequate Repeatability and Intermediate precision for 4-AD assay of a series of measurements (RDS<5%), which is in accordance with current legislation (Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019). The accuracy is also in accordance with current legislation, the percentage of recovery is within the established range of 98 to 102%, that is, the method can correctly dose 4-AD in ophthalmic insert with 99% accuracy (2% RSD). The robustness measured the sensitivity of the method in small variations (± 10%) for the parameters of TEA concentration, flow, and temperature. The method presented adequate robustness since the analysis in triplicate of three concentration levels did not present RSD greater than 5%. The robustness was evaluated by comparing the values obtained from the concentrations in the modified conditions in relation to the concentrations in normal condition. The analysis of the variables was assessed by the ANOVA with Dunnet post-test (95% of confidence interval). The data treated using GraphPad Prism 5.0 Software demonstrated no statistical differences and the F value from ANOVA was equal 1.164, between the values (p<0.05) indicating that the method developed is robust. Using the proposed method, the assay was performed. The test was conducted in triplicate with a pool of 10 inserts solubilized in acetic acid. The amount of 30 µg of 4-AD per insert was obtained (0.016%).

4 DISCUSSION

There was no known method on the literature which specifically describes the identification and quantification of 4-Aminobenzamidine Dihydrochloride in ocular inserts. But this substance appears in the method described by Atsriku et al. (2002) to detect diminazene aceturate, as the 4-AD was one of its degradation products, this method, however, needs to be adapted since the retention time of the molecule of interest was very small, which makes its quantification imprecise. In addition, it involves HPLC-coupled mass spectrometry and this equipment makes the analysis more expensive (Snyder et al., 1997; Atsriku et al., 2002; Campbell et al., 2004; Foureaux et al., 2015). High-performance liquid chromatography is a simpler and low-cost method, which brings advantages with regard to its use. Therefore, this was the method of choice for this work (Maia et al., 2015; França et al., 2015).

The parameters used by Atsriku et al. (2002) were the starting point for the development of this method. The retention factor was the first variable analyzed it should be the closest to one and it should take in consideration the total running time and the dead time. To adjust this factor the composition of the mobile phase (buffer), the pH proved to be critical. Also at this stage we chose to add the triethylamine (TEA) in order to achieve suitable peak symmetry, as it prevents that amino 4-AD groups interacts with the column (Snyder et al., 1997; Maia et al., 2015).

It was chosen as mobile phase a buffer with pH 7.4, as in this value the compound was predominantly in its ionized form. The protonated form interact strongly with silanol groups of the column, which would lead to an increase of the retention of the particles in the column causing a tail at the peak, which increases the parameter denominated tail factor (Snyder et al., 1997).

The selectivity was the following parameter to be analyzed, and it was kept under constant supervision should there be any change (Silva et al., 2014). The chromatograms resulting from
these analyses can be observed in Figure 3, through this analysis it was possible observe that the matrix components did not leave at the 4-AD retention time (Figure 3-a). The chondroitin sulphate (CS) solution shows a small signal in 1.4 minutes and the chitosan (ChS) solution in 1.6. Both of them are far from the retention time of 4-AD, which is 3.2 minutes; there was also no absorption at the wavelength of 290 nm chosen for the determination of the active.

The correlation coefficient was equal to 0.993 that is, the obtained points were little dispersed and were ideal for use in the calibration curve. This value is in accordance with the one recommended by ANVISA and the ICH guide for validation of analytical methods (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019). The recovery percentage was close to 100%, proving everything that was previously said and demonstrating that the method can be considered exact (França et al., 2015; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019).

Robustness was evaluated by varying the following chromatographic conditions by ±10%: flow, temperature, and TEA concentration. The pH was not varied, because during the development of the method, this parameter proved to be critical. The present method proved to be robust since the adjustments made did not cause changes outside the parameters determined (Table 2) (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, 2005; Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária, 2019).

Table 2: Concentrations obtained from the analyses were performed by varying the chromatographic parameters by 10%. Statistics performed by ANOVA with Dunnett’s Multiple Comparison Test (p<0.05)

| Chromatographic conditions | Mean 5 μg mL⁻¹ | Mean 15 μg mL⁻¹ | Mean 25 μg mL⁻¹ |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| n=3                       | n=3            | n=3            |                |
| 0.9 ml min⁻¹              | 98%            | 100%           | 102%           |
| 1.1 ml min⁻¹              | 99%            | 98%            | 100%           |
| 27 °C                     | 103%           | 101%           | 101%           |
| 33 °C                     | 98%            | 104%           | 104%           |
| 27 mmol of TEA            | 102%           | 100%           | 100%           |
| 33 mmol of TEA            | 101%           | 101%           | 101%           |

5 CONCLUSION

The analytical method to determine 4-AD in pharmaceutical formulations, in particular, ophthalmic inserts using HPLC-DAD, proved to be simpler and cheaper than the existing methods, as it does not use the mass spectrometry technique. In addition, the method was demonstrated as accurate, precise, and robust and can be applied in routine analyzes of the described formulation.
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