The transcriptional programme of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium reveals a key role for tryptophan metabolism in biofilms
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Abstract

Background: Biofilm formation enhances the capacity of pathogenic Salmonella bacteria to survive stresses that are commonly encountered within food processing and during host infection. The persistence of Salmonella within the food chain has become a major health concern, as biofilms can serve as a reservoir for the contamination of food products. While the molecular mechanisms required for the survival of bacteria on surfaces are not fully understood, transcriptional studies of other bacteria have demonstrated that biofilm growth triggers the expression of specific sets of genes, compared with planktonic cells. Until now, most gene expression studies of Salmonella have focused on the effect of infection-relevant stressors on virulence or the comparison of mutant and wild-type bacteria. However little is known about the physiological responses taking place inside a Salmonella biofilm.

Results: We have determined the transcriptomic and proteomic profiles of biofilms of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. We discovered that 124 detectable proteins were differentially expressed in the biofilm compared with planktonic cells, and that 10% of the S. Typhimurium genome (433 genes) showed a 2-fold or more change in the biofilm compared with planktonic cells. The genes that were significantly up-regulated implicated certain cellular processes in biofilm development including amino acid metabolism, cell motility, global regulation and tolerance to stress. We found that the most highly down-regulated genes in the biofilm were located on Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 2 (SPI2), and that a functional SPI2 secretion system regulator (ssrA) was required for S. Typhimurium biofilm formation. We identified STM0341 as a gene of unknown function that was needed for biofilm growth. Genes involved in tryptophan (trp) biosynthesis and transport were up-regulated in the biofilm. Deletion of trpE led to decreased bacterial attachment and this biofilm defect was restored by exogenous tryptophan or indole.
Conclusions: Biofilm growth of S. Typhimurium causes distinct changes in gene and protein expression. Our results show that aromatic amino acids make an important contribution to biofilm formation and reveal a link between SPI2 expression and surface-associated growth in S. Typhimurium.

Background
The ability to survive and overcome stress allows non-typhoidal Salmonella pathogens to be isolated from a diverse range of environments. Specific serovars of S. enterica, including Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, are of particular concern to medicine and industry because they cause a significant proportion of foodborne disease worldwide. There has been a controversial suggestion that infection by Salmonella may subsequently cause an increase in mortality for up to one year [1], and it is clear that we need to improve our understanding of the behaviour of this pathogen.

Experiments that tracked the spread of Salmonella from the farm and within food processing facilities have provided a direct link between bacterial biofilms and the contamination of the resulting food products [2-4]. Surface-associated growth, termed biofilm growth, has been shown to promote the survival of Salmonella when exposed to limited nutrient availability, heat, acidic pH, low temperatures and antimicrobials [5-9]. Salmonella cells attach and grow on a variety of abiotic and biotic surfaces, and remain viable for many weeks. Indeed, the number of outbreaks of salmonellosis caused by microbial growth on the surfaces of raw fruits and vegetables has increased dramatically in recent years [10-13]. This type of persistence of Salmonella in the food chain has become a major health concern, because the detachment of viable cells from a biofilm can cause subsequent contamination of foods during processing.

The profound consequences of biofilm formation in both nature and disease have led to increased efforts to define the characteristics that make biofilm cells physiologically distinct from planktonic (freely suspended) cells, and to identify the properties of microorganisms during surface-associated growth. Cells within a biofilm are heterogeneous, can grow at different rates, and resist antimicrobial treatments [14-16]. Additionally, clusters of biofilm cells are typically encased in a bacterial-derived extracellular matrix that is thought to provide adhesion and strength, as well as act as a physical barrier against the diffusion of antimicrobials [17,18]. S. Typhimurium biofilms can form on abiotic surfaces (e.g. glass, polystyrene, stainless steel) and biotic surfaces (e.g. human epithelial cells or gallstones); in many strains, the bacterial cells are associated with an extracellular matrix composed of curli (thin aggregative fimbriae) and cellulose [19-24]. Recent work has shown that strains exhibiting the rdar (red, dry and rough) morphotype, expressing curli and cellulose, are involved in colonisation but do not contribute to the persistence of Salmonella on food processing surfaces [25]. S. Typhimurium also displays a swarming phenotype, a specialised motility that enables hyperflagellated bacteria to efficiently colonise surfaces and requires a combination of the chemotaxis, LPS synthesis, type III secretion and iron metabolism systems [26,27].

Biofilms have previously been studied with transcriptomic, proteomic and in vivo expression technology-based approaches for bacterial species, such as E. coli and Pseudomonas [28-30]. A large number of genes or proteins that are differentially regulated during biofilm development have been identified. Few studies have focused on the global response of Salmonella under environmental conditions relevant to food processing, where bacteria may encounter hydrodynamic stress and nutrient limitation [31]. Two studies have used a proteomic approach to identify S. Enteritidis proteins that are differentially regulated during biofilm growth in response to disinfectant and to different fluid flow rates [9,32]. However, the physiological and regulatory processes involved in the growth and persistence of Salmonella biofilms remain unclear. We have used a combination of physiological, transcriptomic and proteomic approaches to address this problem in S. Typhimurium.

Results
Growth of Salmonella biofilms
The biofilm phenotype of Salmonella isolates is known to vary significantly depending on the strain, nutrient source, temperature and other factors [23,33-35]. Because Salmonella can encounter hydrodynamic environments at several stages in food processing [32], we assessed the biofilm forming capacity of S. Typhimurium SL1344 on glass in a flowing system. We found that SL1344 produced substantially thicker biofilms in Colonising Factor Antigen (CFA) medium at 25°C, when compared to growth at 37°C, or growth in rich nutrient media (Luria Broth, LB), Brain Heart Infusion or M9 minimal media supplemented with glucose, sucrose.
or glycerol (data not shown). CFA medium has been previously shown to promote biofilm growth of S. Typhimurium [36].

SL1344 biofilms were then grown in a modified batch system for 72 h (Additional file 1) using silicone rubber tubing as a substratum for growth, as this surface permitted the isolation of sufficient quantities of mature biofilm and planktonic cells for proteomic and transcriptomic analyses (see Methods). The tubing was positioned vertically to avoid the isolation of bacterial aggregates following sedimentation. The pH of the medium effluent showed no detectable change (pH 7.0 ± 0.2) throughout the experiment. Influent samples were collected aseptically throughout the experiment showing that the number of cells increased from 1 × 10^6 CFU ml^-1 (T = 0) to 5 × 10^8 CFU ml^-1 (T = 72 h). No pellicle formation was observed in the influent flask during the 72 h experiment. To determine if the cells within a mature 72 h biofilm were metabolically active, an analogous biofilm grown in a glass flow cell was stained with Live/Dead BacLight. Images captured at ten random fields of view along the glass surface confirmed that more than 95% of the bacterial cells were alive after 72 h of growth (data not shown). Taken together, these experiments established that biofilms of SL1344 continued to accumulate biomass on glass for at least 72 h, and that the majority of these cells were viable.

**The global gene expression profile of Salmonella biofilm**

The transcriptome of mature S. Typhimurium biofilm (72 h) was compared to its planktonic counterpart (72 h) in three independent biological experiments. Capillary gel electrophoresis was used to confirm that the RNA obtained from the 72 h biofilm and planktonic samples was of good quality prior to labelling (data not shown). The transcriptomic data from the three biological replicates were statistically filtered and data are presented in Additional files 2 and 3, and the key findings are discussed below.

In E. coli, cell surface structures such as fimbriae have been shown to be required for the initial colonisation of abiotic and biotic surfaces and the establishment of well-established biofilms [37-40]. In mature biofilms of S. Typhimurium, several genes required for bacterial attachment and motility were up-regulated, including csgB and csgA that encode the curli fimbrial subunits (Figure 2A). The extracellular matrix of S. Typhimurium biofilms is composed of curli, along with cellulose, colanic acid and other polymers, depending on nutrient availability [24]. Under the conditions used in this study, biofilm cells showed increased expression of one gene, bcsE, required for cellulose biosynthesis. Genes involved in flagellar biosynthesis, assembly and regulation were up-regulated by up to 7-fold (Figure 2B). Genes required for motility and chemotaxis were also up-regulated in S. Typhimurium biofilms, including motAB, cheA, cheY, cheR, and tsr. Other cell-surface associated genes that were highly expressed in the biofilm included those encoding the major outer membrane protein OmpX (Figure 2A), a regulator of LPS O-chain length WzzB, the OM lipoprotein Blc, the membrane bound lipid phosphatase PgpB and 13 genes of hypothetical cell envelope function.

Gradients in oxygen concentration have been experimentally demonstrated within biofilm clusters [41]. Consistent with this, several genes that sense or respond to oxygen availability were up-regulated in flowing biofilm, including aer (Figure 2A) and fnr [42]. cyo genes encode the cytochrome o ubiquinol oxidase subunits of the aerobic respiratory chain, and are regulated by the master flagellar regulator [43]. The cyo genes were more highly expressed in the biofilm suggesting that the environment of the biofilm was aerobic. Furthermore, several genes known to be repressed by anaerobiosis were down-regulated in the biofilm, including genes of the fumarate (fum, frd), hydrogenase 2 (hyb), cytochrome o (cyd) and dicarboxylate (dcu) operons (Additional file 3). While biofilms are reported to be spatially heterogeneous in terms of oxygen levels, our results are consistent with some penetration of oxygen into cell clusters in a flowing environment, as suggested by Xu et al., [44]. This may reflect the high oxygen permeability of the silicone surface used for growing our biofilms [45].
The precise growth phase of bacteria within the interior of a biofilm cell cluster has not been established, although several groups have reported slow growth rates, presumably due to nutrient limitation [16,46]. Specific operons involved in translation (i.e. rps, rpl, rpm) were down-regulated in biofilms of S. Typhimurium when compared to planktonic cells, suggesting that slower growth occurred in the attached population (Additional file 3). Transcriptomic comparisons with planktonic cells that were isolated at earlier time points...
of the experiment (i.e. 6 and 24 h), indicated that mature biofilm cells were most similar to a stationary phase planktonic population (data not shown). This observation is consistent with findings from studies in E. coli where biofilm cells have similarities to bacteria in stationary phase [37,40].

Global gene regulators respond to environmental conditions, including nutrient limitation, oxygen availability and osmotic stress, and control a wide range of adaptive physiological and regulatory circuits. Several genes that encode global gene regulators were up-regulated in flowing biofilms of S. Typhimurium, including csrA (Figure 2A) and ihfA, confirming previous studies in well-established biofilms of Salmonella or E. coli [47,48]. Other regulatory genes that respond to starvation conditions, including rpoH, ctpA and phoH, were up-regulated during biofilm growth (Additional file 2). RpoS (σ38), the sigma factor that activates genes under growth arresting conditions, was highly expressed in both biofilm and planktonic populations. We observed that more than 25% of the S. Typhimurium RpoS regulon [49] was up-regulated in biofilm cells (Additional file 2).

Following three days of growth, biofilms of S. Typhimurium showed up-regulation of genes that respond to oxidative stress (lexA, mraA, sodA, sodC, gloA), heat shock (clpA, clpX), DNA replication and repair (recA, mug, phrB), cell envelope stress (pspB) and a putative stress-related gene (yicC) when compared to planktonic cells. A possible role for MsrA, RecA, PspB and the cytoplasmic Clp protease in biofilm development of Gram-negative species has been previously reported [37,50]. At least 19 genes that were up-regulated during biofilm growth of S. Typhimurium have a role in the osmotic stress and acid tolerance responses of E. coli [51], including treF, talA, poxB, osmCY, himA, dps and aceB (Additional file 2).

Amino acid synthesis is energetically expensive for the cell but essential for protein production, nitrogen transfer and osmotic protection [52]. We identified genes involved in alanine (dad) and glutamine/glutamate (gln, gltL, astE, nadE, STM1795) metabolism and transport that were more highly expressed in the biofilm (Additional file 2). We were intrigued to discover that the biosynthetic genes of the trp operon were over-expressed in well-established biofilms of S. Typhimurium (Table 1). These genes are required from the initial steps of tryptophan synthesis (i.e. from chorismate to indole) to the transfer of indole to tryptophan [53]. Additionally, there was a strong biofilm-dependent induction of mtr, a tryptophan-specific transporter (Figure 2A). Recent studies reported the induction of tryptophan biosynthesis genes during early biofilm formation in E. coli, followed by repression of this operon at later time points [38,40].

Expression profiles indicated that virulence genes located within Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 (SPI1) and the serotype-specific S. Typhimurium plasmid (pSLT) were not differentially expressed during biofilm growth. However, more than 30 genes belonging to the ssr, ssa and sse operons of SPI2, were down-regulated by up to 100-fold in the biofilm (Figure 2B; Additional file 3): SPI2 encodes a type III secretion system that is required for intracellular survival in host phagocytes [54]. This prompted us to monitor the level of SPI2 expression observed in our CFA media-based experiments at 25°C compared with growth in LB at a higher temperature (data not shown). Surprisingly, our transcriptomic data showed that SPI2 was expressed at a significantly higher
level in planktonic CFA cultures grown at 25 °C than at mid-log phase in LB at 37 °C. This suggests that the CFA media contains a factor that induces SPI2 expression, even at this low temperature (data not shown). The only SPI2 gene observed to be up-regulated in biofilm was orf319, which encodes a putative transmembrane protein of unknown function and does not contribute to S. Typhimurium virulence [55]. In addition, sopB, a SPI5-encoded phosphatase required for invasion of epithelial cells [56], was up-regulated in Salmonella biofilm.

**Surface-associated growth of S. Typhimurium leads to changes in protein expression**

To expand our analysis from the transcriptomic to the proteomic level, and to identify biofilm-regulated proteins, total protein extracts from biofilm and planktonic populations of S. Typhimurium were compared using 2-D PAGE. Samples from the same flowing biofilm system were used for the proteomic and the transcriptomic experiments. A representative example of the biofilm and planktonic proteome with over 600 detected proteins spots per gel is shown in Figure 3AB. Direct comparison of protein spots showed that the levels of at least 250 proteins remained similar in both populations. However, the expression of 124 proteins was altered (> 2-fold), with the expression of 59 proteins increasing and 65 proteins decreasing during surface-associated growth compared to planktonic culture. At least 175 proteins were only detected in the biofilm samples, and not in planktonic culture.

**Identification of differentially regulated and unique proteins**

Fifty protein spots that showed differential expression between biofilm and planktonic gels, as well as spots detected as unique to either mode of growth (Figure 3AB), were selected and analysed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MS). These spots were specifically chosen as they were abundant and clearly separated from other spots, to facilitate unambiguously identification by MS. Forty-four proteins were successfully identified, and we discovered that the majority of proteins that were unique or highly expressed in the biofilm corresponded to the same functional groups identified by transcriptomic analyses. These included proteins involved in cell motility, amino acid and carbohydrate metabolism, as well as proteins of unassigned function (Additional file 4). In fact, 45% of the 24 up-regulated proteins corresponded to genes identified as differentially expressed at the transcriptional level (Table 2). A common theme emerged relating to cell motility, with

### Table 1: Expression levels of S. Typhimurium tryptophan biosynthetic genes during biofilm growth under flowing conditions

| Gene name | Description | Identifier | Fold-induction | P-value* |
|-----------|-------------|------------|----------------|----------|
| trpD      | Anthranilate synthase, component II | STM1724    | 5              | 3E-03    |
| trpC      | Tryptophan biosynthesis protein TRPCF, bifunctional | STM1725    | 11             | 4E-04    |
| trpB      | Tryptophan synthase beta protein | STM1726    | 8              | 6E-05    |
| trpA      | Tryptophan synthase alpha chain | STM1727    | 7              | 7E-05    |
| trpS2     | Putative tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase | STM4508    | 2              | 6E-04    |
| trpR      | Transcriptional regulator for tryptophan synthetase | STM4583    | 3              | 3E-04    |

a. Genes which passed the statistical filtering (P < 0.01). Expression values are expressed as fold-induction comparing expression in biofilm cells to planktonic cells.
the up-regulation of three external flagellar proteins FlgK (Figure 3C), FlgL and FliD (also known as HAP1, HAP3, and HAP2) that are involved in the later stages of flagellar assembly. FlgK and FlgL were up-regulated in the biofilm compared to planktonic growth and FliD was only detected in the biofilm samples. Our transcriptomic analysis showed an increase in the expression of FliC in the biofilm, but this protein was not identified in the 2-D analysis. To confirm the biofilm-dependent regulation of proteins involved in late flagellar assembly, we performed a Western blot. As shown in Figure 3D, FliC protein was 3.4-fold more abundant in biofilm cells when compared with a planktonic culture.

Two periplasmic transport proteins were up-regulated in biofilm, namely DppA (10-fold; Figure 3C), a dipeptide-binding protein and ArgT (5-fold), which transports arginine, lysine and ornithine. Proteomic analysis of S. Typhimurium biofilm cells also showed differential expression of AnsB, TreA and GalE which are involved in asparagine metabolism, trehalose degradation and the conversion of UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose (Additional file 4). The remaining proteins that were unique or more highly expressed during biofilm growth were of unknown function (Additional file 4). The putative periplasmic protein YggE, which is highly conserved in Enterobacteriaceae and has been shown to possess immunogenic properties in Edwardsiella ictaluri [57], was also more abundant (3.7-fold) during biofilm growth (Figure 3C).

**Targeted deletion of biofilm-regulated genes**

To investigate the function of genes shown to be differentially expressed in S. Typhimurium biofilm, eight targeted gene deletions were constructed (Additional file 5AB). Genes that showed a biofilm-specific pattern of expression were selected for chromosomal mutation, including mtr, yhfG, ybaY and genes of the trp operon (Additional file 6). Disruption of trpE, which encodes anthranilate synthase component I, was chosen because four genes of the tryptophan operon were highly up-regulated during biofilm growth and the product of trpE catalyses the first reaction of the tryptophan pathway with TrpD (Table 1). Moreover, TrpE is the most important enzyme of this pathway from a regulatory point of view, as it is subject to feedback inhibition by tryptophan [53]. The other four genes were selected on the basis that they were the most highly expressed (ygaT) or repressed (STM0341, STM2779) in the biofilm, or because they were previously implicated in biofilms of E. coli (pspABCDEF) [37]. To test whether the chromosomal deletions altered the fitness of the strains, the growth patterns of each mutant were analysed over 24 h in CFA and LB medium. No significant differences were observed in the planktonic growth rate of each mutant compared to the SL1344 parental strain (Additional file 7).

| Protein | Function | Average fold expression in the biofilm compared to planktonic (n = 2) |
|---------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| DppA    | ABC superfamily dipeptide transport protein | + 10.7 |
| TreA    | Periplasmic trehalase | Unique to biofilm |
| GalE    | UDP-galactose-4-epimerase | + 2.9 |
| YggE    | Putative periplasmic immunogenic protein | + 3.7 |
| YciF    | Putative cytoplasmic protein | Unique to biofilm |
| YajQ    | Putative cytoplasmic protein | Unique to biofilm |
| FlgL    | Flagellar hook-filament junction protein (HAP3) | + 44.0 |
| ladA    | Putative isoaspartyl dipeptidase | Unique to planktonic |
| FliD    | Flagellar filament cap protein (HAP2) | Unique to biofilm |
| FlgK    | Flagellar hook-filament junction protein (HAP1) | + 3.3 |
| AnsB    | Periplasmic L-asparaginase II protein | + 2.9 |
| ArgT    | ABC superfamily; lysine/arginine/ornithine transport protein | + 4.9 |

a. Proteins identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry and peptide mass fingerprinting (Mascot). Proteins up-regulated in the biofilm that show the same pattern of expression at the transcriptional level (Additional files 2 and 3).
To determine whether the deletion of these genes altered biofilm formation, each strain was tested for its ability to attach to polystyrene in a static biofilm assay. As shown in Figure 4A, the ΔtrpE mutant formed significantly less biofilm (P = 0.0001) than the parental strain after 24 h, showing that anthranilate synthase is required for biofilm formation. Similarly, biofilm growth of ΔSTM0341 was significantly reduced (P = 0.001). None of the other mutations affected growth of biofilms.

To determine whether the ΔtrpE mutant also had a biofilm defect on a hydrophilic glass surface, biofilm formation was compared to WT SL1344 in a once-through glass flow cell system. The attachment of ΔtrpE was monitored by light microscopy for 24 h and these results confirmed that the mutant formed reduced levels of biofilm (P < 0.01; Figure 4B; n = 3). Although ΔtrpE cells did transiently attach and form small microcolonies on glass, they failed to grow and to form thick cell clusters on the flow cell surface, resulting in 3-fold less of the surface being covered with biofilm compared with the WT strain (Figure 4B). The biofilm forming capacity of the ΔSTM0341 mutant was also reduced on glass when compared to WT SL1344 in one independent experiment (data not shown).

Inactivation of ssrA and rpoS alters biofilm formation in S. Typhimurium

Our transcriptomic analysis revealed that genes of the SPI2 type 3 secretion system were differentially expressed (i.e. down-regulated up to 100-fold) during biofilm growth. SPI2 repression is activated by the two-component regulatory systems SsrAB and PhoP/Q and in response to high levels of phosphate and Mg²⁺, however this repression during biofilm growth has not been previously reported [58-61]. To determine the effect of SPI2 gene expression on Salmonella biofilm formation, the ability of a ΔssrA deletion mutant to attach to polystyrene was compared to WT SL1344. The same ΔssrA strain has been used to identify the SsrA regulon of S. Typhimurium [62]. A Δorf319 deletion mutant was also tested as this was the only SPI2-associated gene that was up-regulated in the biofilm. No significant differences were observed in the planktonic growth rates of ΔssrA or Δorf319 compared to the SL1344 parental strain (Additional file 7). Deletion of ssrA caused more than a 40% decrease in attachment after 24 h and 48 h of growth, and this was restored to WT levels by complementation with a low copy plasmid encoding ssrAB (Figure 5A). The Δorf319 mutant did not show a significant defect in biofilm formation. To further examine the effect of SPI2 expression and its impact on biofilm formation, we over-expressed ssrAB from an arabinose-inducible plasmid (Figure 5B). The results showed that increased SPI2 expression significantly reduced biofilm formation in S. Typhimurium.

Transcriptomic comparison of biofilm and planktonic cells showed that rpoS was highly expressed in both populations, and that several RpoS-activated genes showed biofilm-specific patterns of expression. To determine the impact of RpoS expression on biofilm growth, we tested the fitness of a ΔrpoS mutant compared to WT SL1344 and its ability to colonise polystyrene (Additional file 7, Figure 5A). We found that inactivation of rpoS significantly reduced the ability of S. Typhimurium to form a biofilm (P < 0.0001).
Phenotypic characteristics of S. Typhimurium deletion mutants

The biofilm-forming capacity of S. Typhimurium strains has been linked to the expression of curli and cellulose production [21]. Likewise, the ability of E. coli to colonise and grow on surfaces has been shown to require flagella and RpoS [46,63]. Because of the importance of these cellular functions for biofilm formation, we examined whether the mutant strains showed alterations in swimming motility, RpoS activity or the ability to bind calciofluor and Congo red (CR) dye, compared with the WT strain (Table 3). All deletion mutants showed the same pattern of flagellar-mediated motility as WT SL1344, indicating that the reduced biofilm formation observed in ΔtrpE, ΔSTM0341 or ΔssrA did not reflect a motility defect. When RpoS activity was examined indirectly by assessment of KatE-encoded catalase production, all of the mutants showed the same RpoS-positive phenotype as the WT SL1344 strain.

Calciofluor has previously been used to detect cellulose-producing strains of S. Typhimurium as this dye binds polysaccharides with 1,4β-glucopyranosyl units and fluoresces under UV light [24]. To assess cellulose production, we grew each strain on CFA Calciofluor agar at 25 and 37°C and compared them to WT SL1344 and the cellulose-deficient rpoS mutant [64]. Six of the strains that were positive for biofilm formation on CFA (i.e. ΔSTM2779, ΔygaT, ΔybaY, ΔyhFG, Δmtr and ΔpspABCDEF) fluoresced brightly at 25°C. In contrast, three of the mutants (ΔSTM0341, ΔtrpE, and ΔssrA) that exhibited impaired levels of biofilm formation showed lower levels of calciofluor binding at 25°C, suggesting reduced levels of cellulose production (Table 3). The Δorf319 mutant also showed lower levels of binding to calciofluor but was not impaired in biofilm formation. Experiments to monitor cellulose production at 37°C showed that ΔssrA, ΔssrA/pssrAB and Δorf319 fluoresced more brightly than any other strain, suggesting a temperature-dependent production of cellulose in these SPI2 deletion strains.

Co-expression of genes specific to EPS, curli and cellulose production results in the rdar colony morphotype in S. Typhimurium [64]. This morphotype is characterised by the binding of CR dye and the formation of red, dry and rugose spreading colonies. In strains not expressing curli and cellulose, a conventional smooth white colony is observed. We analysed the appearance of each strain on CFA-CR agar at 25°C and 37°C compared to strains positive (S. Typhimurium LT2) and negative (ΔrpoS) for the rdar morphotype [64,65]. Incubation at 25°C resulted in SL1344 and most of the mutants producing the rdar morphotype (Table 3). Three strains (ΔtrpE, ΔSTM2779, ΔssrA/pssrAB) showed altered morphology (i.e. smooth dark red
Table 3: Phenotypic characteristics of deletion mutants and WT strain tested for motility, RpoS activity, calcofluor binding and changes in extracellular matrix

| Strain | Genotype | Biofilm Capacity | Motility | RpoS activity | Calcofluor binding<sup>c</sup> | EPS production<sup>d</sup> | Morphology<sup>d</sup> |
|--------|----------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|        |          | 25°C<sup>a</sup> | 37°C<sup>b</sup> | 25°C<sup>d</sup> | 37°C<sup>d</sup> | 25°C<sup>d</sup> | 37°C<sup>d</sup> |
| SL1344  | wild-type | +               | +        | +             | L                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3185  | ΔtrpE    | —               | +        | +             | L                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3187  | ΔSTM0341 | —               | +        | +             | L                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3180  | ΔassA    | —               | +        | +             | L                | H                | DR                  |
| JH3181  | ΔsavA/psaAB | +              | +        | +             | L                | H                | DR                  |
| JH3179  | Δorf319  | +               | +        | +             | L                | H                | DR                  |
| JH3182  | ΔSTM2779 | +               | +        | +             | H                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3183  | ΔygaT    | +               | +        | +             | H                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3184  | ΔybaY    | +               | +        | +             | H                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3186  | ΔyhfG    | +               | +        | +             | H                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3188  | Δmtr     | +               | +        | +             | H                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3189  | ΔpspABCDEF | +              | +        | +             | H                | L                | DR                  |
| JH3142  | ΔrpoS    | —               | —        | —             | —                | —                | W                   |

<sup>a</sup> Biofilm formation is based on attachment under static conditions after 24 and 48 h of growth in CFA medium at 25°C. Symbols: (+) = WT biofilm growth; (-) = severely reduced attachment.

<sup>b</sup> RpoS activity as defined by catalase activity in the semi-quantitative assay as described by Zambrano et al. [106].

<sup>c</sup> Calcofluor binding was assessed following growth of SL1344 and mutant strains at 25 and 37°C on CFA agar supplemented with fluorescent brightener 28. Fluorescence levels are reported as low (L) or high (H) as compared to a cellulose-deficient mutant (-).

<sup>d</sup> Colony colour was reported as dark red (DR), red (R), or white (W) with dark red indicating WT EPS production. Colony morphology was assessed as rough (R) or smooth (S) following overnight growth on CFA agar supplemented with CR dye at 25 and 37°C. Colony morphology of WT SL1344 and mutant strains were compared to strain positive (S. Typhimurium LT2) and negative (ΔrpoS) for the rdar morphotype.

Previous work showed that the tnaA gene, encoding for tryptophanase, was required for E. coli biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces and human pneumocytes, and speculated that TnaA may modulate pH changes during attachment [66]. Since the tnaA gene is not present in S. Typhimurium, we investigated whether the ΔtrpE and ΔSTM0341 mutants, which showed reduced colonisation of abiotic surfaces and cellulose production, also showed altered levels of adherence or invasion of epithelial cells. We found that the ΔSTM0341 mutant was 9-times less adherent and 4-times less invasive than its parental strain (P < 0.01; data not shown), however Salmonella derivatives lacking the trpE gene did not show a significant difference in adherence and invasion compared to WT SL1344.

**A role for aromatic amino acids in Salmonella biofilm growth**

Our data showed that inactivation of the tryptophan biosynthetic pathway altered the biofilm-forming capacity and EPS production by S. Typhimurium. To investigate the impact of amino acid availability on surface attachment, SL1344 was grown in CFA broth supplemented with different concentrations of aromatic and non-aromatic amino acids. Biofilm formation was determined after 12, 24 and 48 h of growth and compared to non-supplemented medium. Casamino acids, the main constituent of CFA medium, have been used in nutritional studies to determine bacterial growth requirements for peptides and amino acids [67]. Supplementation with non-aromatic amino acids did not alter the attachment of S. Typhimurium to polystyrene (data not shown), whilst a significant increase in biofilm formation occurred in the presence of aromatic amino acids (Figure 6). This positive effect was noted even after 12 h of incubation, where the addition of all aromatic compounds, apart from tryptophan, significantly increased the number of adherent cells from 10 to 15-fold when compared with non-supplemented medium (P < 0.005). Similar positive effects on biofilm formation were observed after 24 h, with the greatest increase noted in wells containing indole (P < 0.001). Interestingly, supplementation with tryptophan had significant effects on surface associated growth at the later stages of biofilm development (i.e. 48 h). The addition of all aromatic amino acids significantly enhanced biofilm formation after 48 h of incubation, compared with non-supplemented CFA (P < 0.005).

**Tryptophan and indole rescue the biofilm defect of the ΔtrpE mutant**

To determine whether the phenotypes associated with the ΔtrpE mutation were the direct result of cells being
unable to synthesise or take up sufficient tryptophan or indole, the effect of these aromatic compounds on biofilm formation by ΔtrpE was investigated (Figure 7). Again, biofilm formation by the ΔtrpE strain was significantly reduced, when compared to SL1344 (P = 0.0001). The addition of tryptophan to the ΔtrpE mutant significantly increased biofilm formation, compared to growth in CFA alone (P = 0.01). In fact, the addition of 0.1 mM tryptophan completely restored the ability of the ΔtrpE strain to form a WT level of biofilm. Biofilm development by the ΔtrpE strain was significantly higher after supplementation with indole, compared to growth in CFA alone (P = 0.008). The highest concentration of indole (i.e. 0.1 mM) completely restored the ability of the ΔtrpE strain to form a biofilm on polystyrene. These findings are strikingly different to the situation for E. coli where exogenous indole reduces biofilm formation [68].

Discussion

It is clear that the ability of S. Typhimurium to grow as a biofilm on foods and processing surfaces represents an important survival strategy [2,3,13,69,70]. We found that both nutrients and temperature had a profound effect on the attachment of S. Typhimurium SL1344. In
this study biofilms were grown at 25°C, resulting in extensive colonisation of abiotic surfaces. Our finding that 95% of cells remain viable within established sessile communities of S. Typhimurium confirms that biofilms represent a potential reservoir for infection.

We used transcriptomic and proteomic approaches to analyze the changes that occur in S. Typhimurium in response to biofilm growth in the type of low nutrient, hydrodynamic environment that can be found during the processing of chickens [2,3,69]. Transcriptomic analysis revealed the differential expression (i.e. more than a 2-fold change) of about 10% of S. Typhimurium genes during biofilm growth. The 229 genes that were significantly up-regulated during biofilm growth included several genes previously implicated in biofilm development of S. Typhimurium, including curli, cellulose and genes required for motility [23,24,71], confirming the link between gene expression and gene function in our experiment. Although the value of whole genome studies for the comparison of heterogeneous biofilms has been a subject of debate, transcriptomic approaches have provided great insight into E. coli biofilm biology [72]. Prior to undertaking this study, the technical and biological reproducibility of a microarray-based approach for comparison of biofilm and planktonic S. Typhimurium cells was shown to be robust [73].

Previous transcriptomic studies in other bacterial species showed that biofilm growth results in differential regulation of between 1% to 14.5% of the bacterial genome. While genetic variation between the organisms studied thus far may account for a proportion of these differences, we believe that the wide disparities between the proportions of biofilm-regulated genes reflect different experimental approaches. For example, cells were harvested at different times from different growth media and dissimilar model systems were used to cultivate the biofilm cells. Such technical differences greatly impact upon gene expression, and therefore the identification of biofilm-regulated genes, complicating the comparison of transcriptomic data between studies [74]. A critique of the experimental approaches used to study biofilms has recently been presented [75].

Proteomic analysis identified 24 proteins that were up-regulated in the biofilm, and 45% of these corresponded to genes that were differentially expressed at the transcriptional level. Proteins required for late flagellar assembly were up-regulated in biofilms, when compared to planktonic cells. Proteomic profiling of P. putida identified the same pattern of up-regulation of flagellar genes within mature biofilms [76]. In S. Enteritidis, thirty-two proteins were identified that showed differential expression in biofilms when compared to planktonic cultures [32], including down-regulation of flagellar proteins and different patterns of expression of ArgT and Crr which are at variance with our study. The differing planktonic populations used for comparison may explain these conflicting reports [29]. It remains unclear whether flagella expression is limited to initial surface attachment or if it allows bacteria to move in and around the biofilm cell clusters and colonise new areas during the later stages of biofilm development [77].

Unlike the proteomic approaches, transcriptomic studies have given several consistent messages; four studies comparing transcriptomic profiles of biofilm and planktonic-grown E. coli reported that biofilm growth leads to the up-regulation of genes involved in cell surface structures, amino acid metabolism, stress responses and anaerobic respiration [37-40]. Our data suggest that mature biofilms of S. Typhimurium and E. coli involve similar physiological modifications, supporting the hypothesis that some adaptations required for biofilm growth and survival are conserved between bacterial species.

Our analysis showed that many of the RpoS (σ^{38})-activated genes were up-regulated, suggesting that this regulon is important for the survival of Salmonella cells within the complex biofilm environment. Similar observations were made in E. coli biofilms [39,46], and RpoS is known to regulate the genes involved in curli and cellulose production [64]. We used an RpoS-deficient mutant to confirm that RpoS plays a crucial role in mature biofilms of S. Typhimurium.

Previous transcriptomic analysis of E. coli cells showed that many biofilm-regulated genes were of unknown function [28]. We found the same to be true in mature S. Typhimurium biofilms, with more than half of the differentially expressed genes having only putative or unknown function. Interestingly, seven of these genes, including yclJ, yebE and yceP, were also up-regulated in E. coli biofilms and the deletion of yceP has been shown to impair biofilm formation [37,38,78].

To identify genes that were directly involved in biofilm growth, we mutated the five uncharacterised genes that were most highly up- and down-regulated. Our results suggest that STM0341, a putative inner membrane protein, is required for biofilm growth in S. Typhimurium SL1344. Little is known about the function of STM0341 except that it shows significant homology to putative transmembrane regulators in S. Typhi and 25% amino acid identity to ToxR of Vibrio cholerae (Colibase accessed Oct 2009). Interestingly, the ΔSTM0341 mutant was 9-times less adherent and 4-times less invasive in
epithelial cells than its parental strain, raising the possibility that expression of STM0341 could be directly or indirectly involved in efficient penetration of the gastrointestinal epithelial cell lining.

Table 3 summarises the data from biofilm growth and further phenotypic analyses of the other uncharacterised mutant strains (i.e. STM2779, ygaT, ybaV, yhfG). Several of the mutations did not confer a detectable change in biofilm growth, or flagella, curli and cellulose production, although the WT genes were highly differentially regulated in the biofilm. Our observation that several individual genes were not required for biofilm formation by S. Typhimurium is consistent with biofilm formation involving multiple pathways [50] or overlapping functions, as has been observed for the oxidative stress response of S. Typhimurium [79,80].

The transcriptomic analysis revealed that genes involved in amino acid metabolism were up-regulated during biofilm growth, particularly tryptophan biosynthesis genes. The requirement for tryptophan was confirmed at the phenotypic level by showing that a trpE mutant formed significantly lower levels of biofilm. Domka and colleagues [40] reported that tryptophan biosynthesis only occurred during early E. coli biofilm formation (<7 h) in LB medium and that repression of the trp operon was required during the later stages, suggesting that low intracellular levels of indole are required for biofilm development. This was confirmed by repression of the indole uptake gene (mtr) and up-regulation of indole efflux genes (acrEF) in E. coli [40]. Such observations were consistent with earlier work showing that the absence of the regulatory YceP protein increased biofilm formation in E. coli by repressing genes that control indole transport into the cell and that a trpE mutation showed increased biofilm formation [78]. We have reported several similarities between our data and biofilm-specific regulation in E. coli, but our findings suggest major differences in tryptophan metabolism between Salmonella and E. coli biofilms. We have shown that tryptophan biosynthesis plays a role at the late stages of biofilm development in S. Typhimurium, and that mtr and yceP are both up-regulated in the mature biofilm. The precise function of tryptophan and indole during biofilm formation of E. coli remains to be completely elucidated. It is clear that the tryptophanase (tna) operon that converts tryptophan to indole is required for biofilm formation in E. coli and other indole-producing bacteria [66,81].

Our data showed that exogenous tryptophan or indole restore the ability of the S. Typhimurium trpE mutant to form a biofilm. Moreover, both tryptophan and indole increased the biofilm-forming capacity of WT SL1344; in fact, low levels of indole increased attachment at all of the time points tested. We speculate that the highest concentration of indole (1 mM) used in this study is not biologically relevant, and imposes stress on the cell. While there are no other published reports on the effect of indole concentration upon biofilm formation in S. Typhimurium, lower levels of exogenous indole (312 to 625 μM) induced biofilm formation in E. coli, while higher levels of exogenous indole (1250 μM) caused indole toxicity and decreased bacteria growth [81]. Taken together, these results suggest that in the absence of tryptophan, indole activates genes or pathways that contribute to biofilm formation.

Several reports have shown that indole acts as a signalling molecule in E. coli to: 1) prepare cells for a nutrient-poor environment and increase catabolism of amino acids, 2) up-regulate genes that encode drug exporters (e.g. acrDE, mdtAE, cusB) to increase bacterial tolerance to toxic compounds, 3) increase bacterial adherence to surfaces, and 4) delay cell division [81-84]. All of these functions would be beneficial to cells within a well-established biofilm. Indole has recently been shown to act as an interspecies signal that controls biofilm formation by acting on oxygenases of bacteria that do not synthesise this molecule at temperatures below 30°C [85,86]. It is possible that we have observed a similar cell signalling phenomena in Salmonella. Several Gram-negative bacterial biofilms have been shown to secrete the amino acid valine that may play a role in signalling or function as a protective osmolyte [87]. Further functional analysis of biofilms grown in the presence of exogenous tryptophan (and indole) should provide insights into the role of these molecules in S. Typhimurium.

Microbial biofilms are inherently more resistant to host defences and antimicrobials than planktonic cells, but it is not known what proportion of this phenotype is due to bacterial factors such as the expression of virulence proteins, or external environmental influences such as the diffusion of nutrients or oxygen, or slow growth rate. In this study, we report that mature biofilm growth in S. Typhimurium leads to significant down-regulation (up to 100-fold) of certain virulence genes located in SPI2. We confirmed the link between SPI2 expression and biofilm growth by showing that the SPI2-deficient ΔssrA strain formed significantly less biofilm than the parental strain, and that complementation with ssrAB effectively restored attachment. We discovered that over-expression of SPI2 led to a significant reduction in bacterial attachment by the WT strain. These data show that both increased and decreased expression of SPI2 interferes with biofilm formation. It is not clear how a TTSS impacts upon biofilm formation, but we note that
it has been reported that an extracellular molecule (LPS) can interfere with the TTSS-mediated attachment of Shigella flexneri to mammalian cells [88]. By analogy, we speculate that aberrant expression of the SPI2 TTSS apparatus compromises the ability of Salmonella to form biofilm, perhaps by affecting the presentation of cell surface factors such as curli.

Conclusions
We have shown that biofilm growth of S. Typhimurium involves processes that include amino acid metabolism, motility, and virulence. Three proteins, TrpE, STM0341 and SsrA, play a role in biofilm formation by S. Typhimurium. We have discovered that tryptophan metabolism is required for effective biofilm formation in our experimental system. The unexpected link between SPI2 expression and biofilm promises to be a fertile area for Salmonella research in the future. Further characterization of the mutations that led to a reduction in biofilm growth is ongoing and we are examining temporal expression within the biofilm using a GFP reporter system. We are now comparing S. Typhimurium biofilms grown in dissimilar model systems to identify the core genes that are required for survival of this pathogen on different surfaces.

Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions
Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table 4. Liquid growth media were Colonising Factor Antigen (CFA) medium comprised of (w/v) casamino acids (Difco) 1.0%, yeast extract (Difco) 0.15%, MgSO₄ 0.005% and MnCl₂ 0.0005% [89], Brain-Heart Infusion (BHI; Oxoid), Luria Broth (LB) or M9 minimal medium supplemented with glucose, sucrose or glyceral at concentrations of 0.2, 2.0 and 20% (v/v), according to Sambrook [90]. Bacto agar (Difco; 1.5% w/v) was used to make plates from these media, as required. All cultures were incubated at 25°C unless otherwise stated. Transductions were carried out using bacteriophage P22 followed by selection of non-lysogens on Green agar [91,92]. For complementation assays SL1344 was transformed with the low copy, F1-replicon-based, plasmid psrAB, kindly provided by Michael Hensel [93]. Plasmids p1437-1 and p1437-6, the P15A-replicon-based, arabinose-inducible ssrAB+ and ssrAB- (reverse orientation) derivatives were used to over-express SsrA. Antibiotics were added at the following concentrations: kanamycin (Km), 50 μg ml⁻¹; carbenicillin (Cb), 100 μg ml⁻¹; chloramphenicol (Cm), 25 μg ml⁻¹. Media supplements were sterilised by filtration through 0.22 μm filters (Sartorius) and added to culture media. All non-aromatic amino acids (i.e. alanine, cysteine, glutamate, glutamine, histidine, and serine) were added at 0.1, 1 and 10 mM. All aromatic amino acids were tested at the concentrations shown in Figures 6 and 7.

Biofilm formation on glass and polystyrene
The batch biofilm system used for in situ analysis of bacterial attachment to glass was designed at the Environmental Microbiology Research Group at Exeter [94]. This system provides a way of monitoring biofilm formation on a hydrophilic glass surface under flowing conditions. Overnight cultures of S. Typhimurium SL1344 were grown in CFA and standardized to achieve an initial concentration of 10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹ and injected into a stirring influent flask containing 5 L of pre-warmed (25°C) sterile CFA medium. The inoculated influent was then pumped through silicon tubing (5 mm internal diameter, Samco Silicon Products Ltd.) at 60 ml h⁻¹ using a peristaltic pump (Minipulse 3, Gilson). In this manner, the bacteria were allowed to flow through the closed system and either attach to a borosilicate glass flow cell (3 x 3 mm, Camlab), held in a heated microscope stage, or flow out into a waste reservoir. A laminar flow rate was chosen to produce minimal fluctuations in velocity, requiring the bacteria to undergo fluctuations in velocity, requiring the bacteria to undergo fluctuations in velocity, requiring the bacteria to undergo fluctuations in velocity, requiring the bacteria to undergo fluctuations in velocity, requiring the bacteria to undergo fluctuations in velocity, requiring the bacteria to undergo fluctuations in velocity.

Table 4: Bacterial strains and plasmids

| Strain/plasmid | Relevant characteristics | Reference/source |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strains |
| SL1344 | WT (psl hisG) mouse virulent | [109,110] |
| JH3182 | SL1344ΔSTM2277::Km | This study |
| JH3183 | SL1344ΔygaT::Km | This study |
| JH3184 | SL1344ΔybaF::Km | This study |
| JH3185 | SL1344ΔarbE::Km | This study |
| JH3186 | SL1344ΔybaF::Km | This study |
| JH3187 | SL1344ΔSTM0341::Km | This study |
| JH3188 | SL1344Δmnt::Km | This study |
| JH3189 | SL1344ΔpspA::E::Km | This study |
| JH3142 | SL1344ΔpspE::Amp | Laboratory collection |
| JH3180 | SL1344ΔssrA::mTn5(Km) | This study |
| JH3181 | SL1344ΔssrA(pSsrAB) | This study |
| JH3179 | SL1344Δorf319::Cm | This study |
| LT2A | WL lab strain | [100] |
| JH4000 | LT2AΔhns | [111] |

Plasmids

| Name | Relevant characteristics |
|------|-------------------------|
| pKD4 | Template plasmid, Km⁺ |
| pKD46 | Lambda Red helper plasmid, Amp⁺ |
| pWSK29 | pssrAB |
| p1437-1 | bla pBAD-ssrAB⁺ |
| p1437-6 | bla pBAD-ssrAB⁻ |

*The ssrA::mTn5 mutation [111] was transduced to SL1344 from strain P3F4 (12023 ssrA::mTn5).

It should be noted that the polar mutation in STM0341 could affect expression of the downstream STM0342 gene; both of these genes are highly down-regulated during biofilm growth. Therefore, the putative periplasmic protein encoded by STM0342 may play a role in the phenotypes associated with strain JH3187.
active transport in order to interact with the surface [95]. The entire system was kept at a constant temperature of 25°C and biofilm formation within the glass flow cell was imaged in situ without interrupting the flow for up to 72 h. Any possible backflow of media or bacteria within the system was eliminated using media breaks. Attached cells were then examined using light microscopy (see below).

Biofilm formation on polystyrene is based on the ability of bacteria to attach to the wells of microtitre plates, using a modification of a previously described technique [63]. This system provides a way to monitor biofilm formation on a hydrophobic polystyrene surface under static conditions. Overnight cultures of SL1344 were standardized (see above) and inoculated in quadruplicate into polystyrene 96-well plates (Nunc) containing sterile media. The plates were incubated statically for up to 48 h and then rinsed with dH2O to remove any non-adherent bacteria, dried for 1 h at 60°C and stained with crystal violet (CV, BDH). The wells were destained with 20% acetone in ethanol (99.8%) solution and the amount of CV, indicative of the binding ability of each strain, was determined at A590 using a Spectramax Plus (Molecular Devices) spectrophotometer. All experiments were repeated on three separate occasions. The results are reported as a mean value of absorbance and analyzed for significance (P < 0.05 or < 0.01) by the Student’s two-tailed t-test.

Microscopy, image analysis and cell staining
Microscopy and image analysis of biofilms grown in glass flow cells (see above) were performed using a DMLB video light microscope (Leica). Images of bacterial attachment on the glass surface were visualized using a COHU 4612-5000 CCD camera (COHU) connected to a Macintosh G3 computer and Scion VG-5 PCI framemstore board (Scion Corporation). Area measurements were calculated using Scion Image (Scion Corporation). Biofilm accumulation was measured as percentage surface cover on the top and bottom surfaces of the glass flow cell. The coordinates of 6 specific areas on the glass flow cell were marked in order to return to the same site at each time point. Images were captured for up to 72 h of flow with each time point representing an average of 30 frames. A threshold was applied to each image and the number of black pixels in each frame were measured and the percentage of biofilm surface cover was calculated as the proportion of white pixels to the total frame and analyzed for significance (P < 0.05 or <0.01) by the Student’s two-tailed t-test. To determine cell viability of the biofilm based on membrane integrity, glass flow cells were rinsed with PBS to remove any unattached cells and stained with Live/Dead BacLight (Molecular Probes) for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the staining solution was drained, the flow cell filled with fresh PBS and cells were viewed using a 40× objective of a CH-2 light microscope and illuminated by a BH2-RFCA fluorescent light source using a BP405 (nm) filter block (Olympus).

Isolation of biofilm and planktonic cells for total RNA and protein extractions
Biofilm and planktonic cells used for total RNA and protein extractions were grown in a modified batch system (Additional file 1). This system is identical to the glass flow cell system described above apart from replacing the glass flow cell with silicone rubber tubing that permits the harvesting of large amounts of biofilm biomass [32,76,96]. Our rationale for choosing a ‘batch’ biofilm system as opposed to a ‘seeded’ system was to mimic a food processing environment where bacteria attach and are then subjected to a hydrodynamic environment that lacks fresh nutrients. Overnight cultures of SL1344 grown in CFA broth were standardized to achieve an initial concentration of 10^6 CFU ml⁻¹ and injected into a stirring influent flask containing 5 L of pre-warmed (25°C) sterile CFA medium. The inoculated influent was then pumped through silicon tubing (5 mm internal diameter, Samco Silicon Products Ltd.) at 60 ml h⁻¹ using a peristaltic pump (Minipulse 3, Gilson). The bacteria were allowed to flow through the closed system and either attach to a vertical piece of silicon tubing (1 meter length, 16 mm internal diameter, Samco Silicon Products Ltd.) or flow out into a waste reservoir. The tubing was positioned vertically to collect biofilm cells adherent to the tubing and minimize collecting bacteria that had simply sedimented. Possible backflow of media or bacteria into the influent from the silicon tubing was eliminated using media breaks. Samples taken to determine the pH of the medium or bacterial cell counts were removed via a media port located near the effluent and influent vessel, respectively. Planktonic cells were removed after 6, 24 and 72 h of growth from the influent vessel to avoid any contamination with biofilm cells. The entire system was kept at a constant temperature of 25°C and biofilm cells were isolated after 72 h of growth. All planktonic and biofilm samples were isolated from the same experimental system and used for both RNA and protein isolation.

Protein extraction and 2-D analysis
The silicon tubing used for isolating biofilm cells was rapidly rinsed three times in cold (4°C) PBS to remove any non-adherent cells. A sterile loop was used to detach the adherent cells from the silicon tubing into 20 ml of cold (4°C) PBS. Total protein was extracted using a previously described technique [97]. Briefly, cells were
lysed in a Triton-X-100 solution containing phenol-methylsulphanofluoride (PMSF) and sonicated in 15 s bursts for 3-5 cycles (Sanyo/MSE Soniprep 150, 4 mm probe). Protein extracts were then quantified at A280 (Cecil Instruments Ltd., Cambridge). The first dimension was achieved by isoelectric focusing (IPGphor™) of Immobiline dry strips (pH 4-7, Amersham) containing 0.25 mg of protein from each sample over 25 h (total of 81050 Vhrs). The second dimension was run using precast 10-12% ExcelGel® polyacrylamide gels and SDS buffer strips (Amersham) on a Multiphor II (Pharmacia) horizontal unit with immobilised pH gradients (pH 4-7). Gels were fixed in a 10% methanol: 7% acetic acid solution for 30 min, prior to staining overnight in SYPRO® Ruby protein gel stain (Molecular Probes). A detailed description of protein sample preparation and 2-D-PAGE can be downloaded from http://www.proteome.soton.ac.uk/resources.htm. Protein spots from biofilm and planktonic gels were defined as being differentially expressed using ProteomWeaver v2.1.1. software (Definiens) and removed from the gels using a 5 ml Diamond Tip (Gilson) after an Investigator ProPick spot picker (Genomic Solutions) had located the correct position on the gel. Proteins were trypsin digested in an Investigator ProGest digestion robot (Genomic Solutions) and identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Bruker Reflex III) and peptide mass fingerprinting using an offline version of Mascot (Matrix Sciences) to search the peptide masses [98].

Western blot analysis

Equal amounts of protein from S. Typhimurium biofilm and planktonic cell extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE (5-12% gradient) using a Mini Protean 3 electrophoresis system (Biorad) according to the methods of Sambrook et al. [90]. For immunoblotting, samples were transferred to nitrocellulose Biodyne A membranes (Pall Corporation) using a Mini Trans-Blot Electrophoretic cell (Biorad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The proteins were fixed with methanol and the membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk and 1% BSA. Immobilized protein was detected using monoclonal FliC antibody (primary antibody). Anti-mouse (titre 1:2000) or anti-rabbit (1:5,000) IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (AP) were used as secondary antibodies and purchased from Sigma. 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate and nitro blue tetrazolium (BCIP/NBT) colour development substrate was used for antibody detection (Promega).

RNA and DNA extraction and quantification

The silicon tubing used for isolating biofilm cells was rapidly rinsed three times in cold (4°C) PBS to remove any non-adherent cells and immediately transferred to ice cold PBS containing a 1/5 volume of 5% (v/v) phenol (pH 4.3), 95% (v/v) ethanol solution and left on ice for a minimum of 30 min to stabilise the mRNA [99]. Biofilm cells were removed from the tubing using a sterile loop to detach the adherent cells into 20 ml of cold (4°C) phenol ethanol solution. RNA was isolated from biofilm cells, and planktonic cells from the influent vessel, using a SV Total RNA Isolation kit (Promega). A detailed description of the RNA isolation procedure can be downloaded from http://www.ifr.bbsrc.ac.uk/safety/Microarrays/Protocols.html#RNAextraction. Chromosomal DNA was isolated from the genomic reference strain, S. Typhimurium LT2A [100], using a Qiagen Genomic DNA isolation kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions and digested with EcoR1 (Promega). DNA and RNA samples were quantified at A260 and A280 using a SpectraMax Plus (Molecular Devices). The quality of RNA samples were assessed by size chromatography on a RNA 6000 Nano Chip (Agilent) using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer Software according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Fluorescent labelling and microarray hybridization

Total RNA from biofilm and planktonic samples (16 μg) was converted to cDNA and fluorescently labelled by random priming to incorporate Cy3-dCTP (Amersham) using reverse transcriptase (StrataScript, Stratagene). Labelled cDNAs were hybridized against Cy5-labelled genomic DNA for indirect comparison microarray experiments to glass slide microarrays featuring 95% of the sequenced and annotated LT2A genome in addition to internal controls [100-102]. Information on the microarrays and complete protocols for labelling, hybridisations and slide blocking can be downloaded from http://www.ifr.bbsrc.ac.uk/safety/Microarrays/default.html#Protocols.

Microarray data analysis

Slides were scanned using a GenePix 4000A scanner (Axon Instruments, Inc.). Fluorescent spots and local background intensities were quantified using GenePix Pro 3.0 Software (Axon Instruments, Inc.). The data were filtered so that spots with a reference signal lower than the background plus two standard deviations of the background or obvious blemishes were not included in the analysis. Signal intensities were corrected by subtracting the background and the red/green (Cy5/Cy3) ratios were calculated. To compensate for unequal dye incorporation, data centring was performed by bringing the natural logarithm of the median of spots printed by the same pin to zero. Data from each microarray that passed the quality control procedures were then analyzed using Gene Spring 7.3 (Agilent). Differentially expressed genes were identified by performing a
parametric (Student’s t-test) test and by correcting the P-values with the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR). Genes present in S. Typhimurium LT2 but absent from SL1344 were filtered out and are not presented in this manuscript. The expression data for biofilm and planktonic samples presented in this study represent four technical replicates from three independent biological experiments. The expression data for the biofilm samples have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE17246.

Genetic manipulations
Deletion of selected genes on the SL1344 chromosome was achieved by one-step inactivation using PCR products, as previously described [103]. Red recombination template plasmid pKD4 was amplified using primers (Sigma-Genosys) designed with homology extensions to regions 100 bp either side of the predicted ORF of selected genes (based on the LT2 genome sequence [100]). PCR was carried out using BIO-X-ACT DNA polymerase (Bioline) with the manufacturer’s recommended 1 kb amplification parameters. Linear DNA products were introduced into SL1344/pKD46 by electroporation (Gene Pulser, Biorad). Gene disruption was verified by PCR and restriction enzyme analysis (the full list of primers used for construction and verification can be found in Additional file 5B). Following verification, the mutants were P22-transduced into a clean SL1344 background and non-lysogenic bacteria were selected on Green Agar plates.

Phenotypic analysis of mutants
Growth curves of parent strains and mutants were performed using a Microbiology Reader Bioscreen C (Thermo Labsystems). Strains were tested for growth in CFA and LB broth over a period of 24 h. Each overnight culture was standardized to achieve an initial concentration of 10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹ and inoculated into four separate wells of a 100 well sterile honeycomb plate (Thermo Labsystems) containing fresh medium and antibiotics as appropriate. The plates were incubated at 25°C with covers and measurements based on optical density (OD₆₀₀) were recorded every 15 min. EPS production was monitored by growth at 25°C on CFA without salt, supplemented with 0.01% Congo Red (CR) as described on a previously described method [104]. Swimming motility was assessed by stabbing colonies into plates of CFA and LB containing 0.3% wt/vol agar and incubating at 25°C and 37°C for up to 48 h [105]. Calcofluor binding was assessed by growth on CFA agar supplemented with fluorescent brightener 28 (Sigma) as described by Zogaj et al. [24]. RpoS activity was examined indirectly by assessing hydrogen peroxidase II (HPII) levels as described by Zambrano et al. [106].

Bacterial adherence and invasion of HeLa cells
HeLa epithelial cells (European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC, 93021013) were grown in HEPES-buffered DMEM (Sigma) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum at 37°C in presence of 10% CO₂. The infection procedure was derived from the method of Steele-Mortimer et al., [107] as modified by Hautefort et al., [108]. To assess the invasion ability of the Salmonella strains, bacteria that remained outside of the HeLa cells were subsequently killed by addition of HEPES-buffered DMEM containing 10% FBS and 30 µg ml⁻¹ Gentamicin for 30 min at 37°C under 10% CO₂ atmosphere. Infected HeLa cells were washed twice in PBS (pH 7.4) and lysed for 10 min at room temperature in PBS containing 0.1% SDS. Intracellular bacterial viable counts were estimated by plating 10-fold dilutions on LB agar plates. To assess the adherence of the Salmonella WT and mutant strains, infection of HeLa cell monolayers was performed as described above. After 30 min invasion, HeLa cells were washed in PBS and immediately lysed in PBS containing 0.1% SDS. The total bacterial population was determined by plating dilutions of the lysate on LB agar plates. The adhering population was estimated by subtracting the intracellular viable counts obtained from the total counts. All assays were done with six biological replicates.
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