Physical preparation and return to sport of the football player with a tibia-fibula fracture: applying the ‘control-chaos continuum’
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ABSTRACT
Contact in elite football can result in severe injury such as traumatic fracture. Limited information exists regarding the rehabilitation and return to sport (RTS) of these injuries especially in elite football. We outline the RTS of an elite English Premier League footballer following a tibia-fibula fracture including gym-based physical preparation and the use of ‘control-chaos continuum’ as a framework for on-pitch sport-specific conditioning, development of technical skills while returning the player to pre-injury chronic running loads considering the qualitative nature of movement in competition. Strength and power diagnostics were used to back up clinical reasoning and decision-making throughout rehabilitation and the RTS process. The player returned to full team training after 7.5 months, completed 90 min match-play after 9 months and remains injury-free 11 months post-RTS.

CASE SCENARIO
While non-contact lower limb injuries are common in elite football, contact from poorly timed kicks or tackles can result in severe injuries such as traumatic fracture. Currently, limited information exists describing the rehabilitation and the Return to Sport (RTS) following traumatic fractures (commonly to the tibia, fibula or a combination of both).

In this video-supported viewpoint, we outline the on-pitch/sport-specific rehabilitation of an English Premier League footballer who suffered an open fracture of the distal tibia-fibula just above the ankle joint following an opponent’s poorly timed kick (figure 1A). Using the ‘control-chaos continuum’ as a framework for RTS, the goal was to return the player to pre-injury running loads using global positioning systems (GPS). The qualitative aspects of movement in competition were also considered; progressive variable, spontaneous and unanticipated movements, (the conditions of chaos) reflecting the unpredictable nature of sport.

MECHANICAL LOADING: THE FOUNDATION FOR RETURN TO RUNNING
Early management following surgery was aimed at optimal loading to minimise muscle atrophy and to regain pain-free full range of motion (table 1). Optimal loading may be defined as the load applied to structures that maximises physiological adaptation and restores function. Throughout rehabilitation, conditioning was designed to restore mechanical rigidity by applying progressive loading to stimulate bone remodelling based on the principles of mechanotransduction.

A variety of isometric exercises (figure 2) were used to develop strength in key running-specific positions, such as the initial acceleration and stance phases. Additionally, dynamic hip and knee flexor/extensor exercises (figure 2) were used to provide greater loading stimulus for bone adaptation. Blood flow restriction was implemented on selected exercises (figure 2) to enhance local growth factor release, stimulate bone remodelling and cross-sectional hypertrophy of the involved limb. We integrated jump-landing activities progressively, to develop the player’s...
capacity to produce and accept force at high velocity, moving from in-place/slow stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) activities to increasingly dynamic fast SSC activities (figure 2).9

Fifteen weeks post-injury, the fracture site had virtually united (figure 1B). Additionally, with strength diagnostics (isometric posterior chain and isometric mid-thigh pull) within <10% peak force limb asymmetry, the player had achieved criteria to initiate anti-gravity treadmill running (Alter-G, Fremont, USA). The player began running at 60% bodyweight, progressing to 90% as the penultimate step before transition to on-pitch running, five months post-injury.

**RTS USING THE ‘CONTROL-CHAOS CONTINUUM’**

When formulating the on-pitch running programme, we emphasised gradual progression in both volume and intensity, creating progressive increments in load — key bone remodelling stimuli.9 We extended the return to running phase and progressively introduced high-speed running (HSR), relative to training/match demands implementing two-week progressive increments in running loads followed by a one-week reduction until the desired loads were achieved.4 Throughout rehabilitation, physical conditioning emphasised the development of aerobic-alactic fitness, repeated accelerations and speed-endurance qualities. Daily communication between the performance, medical team and player was integral throughout the process with minimal pain (<2/10 numerical rating scale), absence of joint effusion, achievement of running load targets and technical actions as phase progression criteria.

**HIGH CONTROL: WEEKS 1–3 (20–23 WEEKS POST-INJURY)**

The high control phase aimed to establish a base for on-pitch preparation, and to progressively increase running volume (total distance). Running speeds were limited to 60%–65% of maximal speed to limit strain rate and magnitude of bone loading. Return to running sessions comprised of box-to-box runs; walking to the edge of the 6-yard box and back as active recovery (figure 3). This phase laid the foundation for running progression and built player confidence and trust in the rehabilitation process.

**MODERATE CONTROL: WEEKS 4–6 (24–26 WEEKS POST-INJURY)**

Having set the foundation, the aim of this stage was to reduce task and environmental constraints,10 while progressively increasing explosive distance. Change of direction was incorporated into intermittent dribbling lanes while slightly increasing linear running velocities to achieve lower threshold HSR (‘zone 5’; between 5.5 ms\(^{-1}\) and 7 ms\(^{-1}\)). These HSR efforts were integrated over distances of 40 m with gradual acceleration and decelerations, hence over the 40 m, only 20–25 m lower threshold HSR was achieved, with the number of these efforts tailored to sessional running load targets. Within these sessions, technical qualities such as short-range passing (figure 3) were added, but in a controlled manner, ie, 5 m pass to rebound board, as part of intermittent conditioning blocks. Low volume contact was also integrated (ie, static block tackle; 2×2 repetitions), targeting the involved limb to create site-specific mechanical loading stimuli.11 Conditioning aimed to develop threshold
Table 1  Surgical intervention, physiotherapy care and X-ray objectives throughout rehabilitation following tibia-fibula fracture

| Injury  | 20th January 2018 | 3-Week post-injury | 6-Week post-injury | 12-Week post-injury | 15-Week post-injury | 16-Week post-injury | 28-Week post-injury |
|---------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Sustained injury to right leg during tackle | Initial Surgical Management of the Gustillo & Anderson Grade 3B open distal tibia and fibular fracture | Surgical debridement, washout and ankle spanning external fixation of the fracture (general anaesthetic)—6 hours of injury, as per BOAST four guidelines (2017). A negative pressure vacuum dressing was applied. Intravenous antibiotics were continued until definitive closure and fixation. The limb was elevated and monitored for signs of compartment syndrome. The definitive procedure 48 hours later involving a consultant trauma and a consultant plastic surgeon. This involved a local fasciocutaneous rotation flap and insertion of a locked intramedullary nail, using a blocking screw to obtain accurate reduction and ensuring compression across the fracture site. Fasciocutaneous flap was used to allow good quality soft tissues on the anterior shin in view of occupation. Aim for early weight-bearing as tolerated to encourage fracture union. 2–3 weeks of rest and elevation to reduce swelling and encourage flap healing. Keep leg elevated. Early ROM exercises at knee and ankle. Early muscular contractions as pain allows. | X-rays: Perfect reduction, and good apposition of the fracture. No change in metal work or signs of worrying features. | X-rays: Good, very good reduction and good apposition of the fracture surfaces. No change in metal work or signs of worrying features. Some resorption of the anterior fracture line—appears fracture gap already starting to reduce. No obvious peristeal callus formation yet—due to open fracture. Surgeon highlights around a 9–12 month return to full training. Full weight bearing. Requires feedback to correct gait. Walking drills: progress to running preparation drills. Full knee range of motion. Grade I effusion. Ankle WBDF=16 cm from the wall. Nb. slow improvement. Progressive loading in gym including transition from double-leg to single-leg exercises. Adding external resistance as indicated. | X-rays: Excellent, more callus formation than 3 weeks ago with anterior gap filling in, the fracture has virtually reunited. Tenderness over the medial locking screws—to be removed. Running on anti-gravity treadmill (60–90% BM; 2–4 min intervals; progression of speed. No pain experienced around the fracture site. Committed daily exogenous over the anterior fracture site to assist healing. Ankle WBDF=4 cm | X-rays: Excellent, callus formation, fracture has fully radiologically united. No metal work problems. Players 6.5 months and proximal screws removed 3 months ago. No pain reported despite significant increments in rehabilitation. Very good range of motion at both knee and ankle. Progress with aim to resume full training within 3–4 weeks providing progress continues. Surgeon discharge. |
| Initial Surgical Management of the Gustillo & Anderson Grade 3B open distal tibia and fibular fracture | Surgical debridement, washout and ankle spanning external fixation of the fracture (general anaesthetic)—6 hours of injury, as per BOAST four guidelines (2017). A negative pressure vacuum dressing was applied. Intravenous antibiotics were continued until definitive closure and fixation. The limb was elevated and monitored for signs of compartment syndrome. The definitive procedure 48 hours later involving a consultant trauma and a consultant plastic surgeon. This involved a local fasciocutaneous rotation flap and insertion of a locked intramedullary nail, using a blocking screw to obtain accurate reduction and ensuring compression across the fracture site. Fasciocutaneous flap was used to allow good quality soft tissues on the anterior shin in view of occupation. Aim for early weight-bearing as tolerated to encourage fracture union. 2–3 weeks of rest and elevation to reduce swelling and encourage flap healing. Keep leg elevated. Early ROM exercises at knee and ankle. Early muscular contractions as pain allows. | X-rays: Perfect reduction, and good apposition of the fracture. No change in metal work or signs of worrying features. | X-rays: Good, very good reduction and good apposition of the fracture surfaces. No change in metal work or signs of worrying features. Some resorption of the anterior fracture line—appears fracture gap already starting to reduce. No obvious peristeal callus formation yet—due to open fracture. Surgeon highlights around a 9–12 month return to full training. Full weight bearing. Requires feedback to correct gait. Walking drills: progress to running preparation drills. Full knee range of motion. Grade I effusion. Ankle WBDF=16 cm from the wall. Nb. slow improvement. Progressive loading in gym including transition from double-leg to single-leg exercises. Adding external resistance as indicated. | X-rays: Excellent, more callus formation than 3 weeks ago with anterior gap filling in, the fracture has virtually reunited. Tenderness over the medial locking screws—to be removed. Running on anti-gravity treadmill (60–90% BM; 2–4 min intervals; progression of speed. No pain experienced around the fracture site. Committed daily exogenous over the anterior fracture site to assist healing. Ankle WBDF=4 cm | X-rays: Excellent, callus formation, fracture has fully radiologically united. No metal work problems. Players 6.5 months and proximal screws removed 3 months ago. No pain reported despite significant increments in rehabilitation. Very good range of motion at both knee and ankle. Progress with aim to resume full training within 3–4 weeks providing progress continues. Surgeon discharge. |
| Sustained injury to right leg during tackle | Initial Surgical Management of the Gustillo & Anderson Grade 3B open distal tibia and fibular fracture | Surgical debridement, washout and ankle spanning external fixation of the fracture (general anaesthetic)—6 hours of injury, as per BOAST four guidelines (2017). A negative pressure vacuum dressing was applied. Intravenous antibiotics were continued until definitive closure and fixation. The limb was elevated and monitored for signs of compartment syndrome. The definitive procedure 48 hours later involving a consultant trauma and a consultant plastic surgeon. This involved a local fasciocutaneous rotation flap and insertion of a locked intramedullary nail, using a blocking screw to obtain accurate reduction and ensuring compression across the fracture site. Fasciocutaneous flap was used to allow good quality soft tissues on the anterior shin in view of occupation. Aim for early weight-bearing as tolerated to encourage fracture union. 2–3 weeks of rest and elevation to reduce swelling and encourage flap healing. Keep leg elevated. Early ROM exercises at knee and ankle. Early muscular contractions as pain allows. | X-rays: Perfect reduction, and good apposition of the fracture. No change in metal work or signs of worrying features. | X-rays: Good, very good reduction and good apposition of the fracture surfaces. No change in metal work or signs of worrying features. Some resorption of the anterior fracture line—appears fracture gap already starting to reduce. No obvious peristeal callus formation yet—due to open fracture. Surgeon highlights around a 9–12 month return to full training. Full weight bearing. Requires feedback to correct gait. Walking drills: progress to running preparation drills. Full knee range of motion. Grade I effusion. Ankle WBDF=16 cm from the wall. Nb. slow improvement. Progressive loading in gym including transition from double-leg to single-leg exercises. Adding external resistance as indicated. | X-rays: Excellent, more callus formation than 3 weeks ago with anterior gap filling in, the fracture has virtually reunited. Tenderness over the medial locking screws—to be removed. Running on anti-gravity treadmill (60–90% BM; 2–4 min intervals; progression of speed. No pain experienced around the fracture site. Committed daily exogenous over the anterior fracture site to assist healing. Ankle WBDF=4 cm | X-rays: Excellent, callus formation, fracture has fully radiologically united. No metal work problems. Players 6.5 months and proximal screws removed 3 months ago. No pain reported despite significant increments in rehabilitation. Very good range of motion at both knee and ankle. Progress with aim to resume full training within 3–4 weeks providing progress continues. Surgeon discharge. |
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Figure 2 Exercise selection following a tibia-fibula fracture. (A) Progression of isometric strength exercise selection. Overcoming=pushing/pulling against an immovable object, Yielding=holding a weight, and preventing it from moving (fixed position) that is, resistance to deformity. (B) Progression of jump-landing exercise selection. Moving from slow SSC and in-place to fast SSC, dynamic activities. (C) Progression of dynamic strength exercise selection. BFR, blood flow restriction; Con, concentric; CSA, cross-sectional area; CT, contact time; Early, early rehabilitation phase; DB, dumbbells; DL, double leg; Ecc, eccentric; End, end rehabilitation phase; hip dom, hip dominant; Iso, isometric; knee dom, knee dominant, Lat, lateral; MB, medicine ball; Med, medial; MVC, maximal voluntary contraction; Novel, novel load, providing a novel stimulus for bone remodelling; PR, passive recovery; reps, repetitions; s, seconds; SL, single-leg; SSC, stretch-shortening cycle; STR, strength; >, progression.

endurance (80%–90% maximal heart-rate (MaxHR); figure 3), with volume progressed by increasing the number of 3–4min intervals and alterations in session density by manipulating rest periods (1–2min passive recovery). Throughout this phase, we increased total distance, with the intensity of the drill and high metabolic load distances manipulated by altering work to rest ratio between intermittent efforts (per interval).

CONTROL TO CHAOS: WEEKS 7 AND 8 (27–28 WEEKS POST-INJURY)

Following a reduction in running loads during week six (session number reduced from four to three), week seven saw the transition to a football-specific model with either intensive or extensive sessions (figure 3). Intensive sessions designed to continue the development of aerobic fitness (>85% MaxHR) with the speed/direction of passing used to dictate player movement within football-specific conditioning drills. Using GPS metrics, chronic loading was aligned with pre-injury training load while we increased the volume of technical actions such as, block tackling (4×3 repetitions); with consideration given to match-play context (ie, number of block challenges). Within extensive sessions, we increased moderate to long-range passing with an emphasis on the player moving to receive the ball in a suitable position to deliver a pass, such as a diagonal cross-field pass before transitioning up the field of play (generating HSR) to receive the ball in the final third of the field — emphasising speed-endurance conditioning (figure 3). At twenty-eight weeks post-injury, sessions higher speeds and longer distances. Extensive conditioning drills were designed to achieve planned HSR targets determined by current rehabilitation HSR, chronic HSR targets and the players game load. If these targets were not achieved, additional HSR was performed using aerobic power (15:15s) intervals (85%–90% MaxHR;~40–45m HSR per repetition) (figure 3).
the fracture site was united (figure 1C) and the player discharged from surgical care.

**HIGH CHAOS (WEEKS 11 AND 12) (31–32 WEEKS POST-INJURY)**

In the final two weeks of rehabilitation, chronic load was increased above pre-injury training load but just below concurrent (training plus match) load given player was to be phased back into team training before competitive match involvement (figure 4). We continued to emphasise aerobic conditioning with session internal load (heart-rate exertion and time >85% MaxHR) dictated by drills aiming to mimic training structure. Increased HSR (>5.5 ms⁻¹) and sprinting (>7 ms⁻¹) volume was achieved by chaotically moving onto through balls, and predominantly through speed and speed-endurance conditioning drills (figure 3). If session targets were not achieved, additional sprint distance was performed through rolling single-effort sprints (40 m in 5–6 s; ~20–25 m sprint distance). During the final week of rehabilitation, the player achieved a new maximal speed (9.2 ms⁻¹; 105% pre-injury) (figure 3). The player returned to training after seven months, although jump height and its principle determinant (Con impulse) was still below pre-injury values, key countermovement jump (CMJ) neuromuscular efficiency (flight time:contraction time), eccentric capacity (Ecc. Decel. RFD) and early force production markers (Con Impulse-100ms) had returned to or were superior to pre-injury values (figure 5).

Importantly, key force reduction (Ecc. Decel. RFD) and production (Peak Con GRF) CMJ asymmetries, identified as showing persistent deficits following severe injury in elite players even after return to competition, were close to zero. After nine months, the player completed 90 min of competitive match-play, and currently remains injury-free eleven month post-RTS having been ever-present during team training and available for selection as required by team management.
Figure 4  Return to chronic running loads following tibia-fibula fracture using the ‘control–chaos continuum’ as a framework for return to sport. Data representative game load, that is, physical demands of game (mean) and respective training and concurrent (including match) in both absolute and relative (gameload, ie, 2x=2 games). TD, total distance; HSR, high-speed running (>5.5 ms\(^{-1}\)), SPR, sprint distance (>7 ms\(^{-1}\)), Exp-D, explosive distance (accelerating/decelerating from 2 to 4 ms\(^{-1}\)<1 s), HMLD, high metabolic distance (distance above 25 w.kg\(^{-1}\); sum of HSR and EXP-D). Control–chaos continuum: Control, high influence on behaviour/actions/movement, that is, controlled situation. Chaos, behaviour/actions/movement, that is, unpredictable as to appear random/reactive, that is, chaotic situation. Green represents high control (low intensity) moving towards high chaos (high intensity). Global positioning systems (augmented 10 Hz Apex, Statsports, Belfast, UK).

Figure 5  Changes in selected Countermovement Jump (CMJ) bilateral and unilateral variables, asymmetries and force–time curves in January (pre-injury), in July (first CMJ evaluation during rehabilitation) and in September (prior to return to training). Upper panels shows mean force–time curves (trials) normalised for time during take-off (0=start of movement, 100%=take-off) and landing (0=landing, 100%=the end of eccentric phase) in January=green line, July=yellow line, September=orange line and mean for team (n=15 players, Everton FC first team, pre-season values)=black dashed line. Lower panel; the values within each square are the player’s percentile scores for selected CMJ variables in January, July and September (relative to normative values derived from pre-season first team CMJ evaluation). Percentage values between squares are the difference %-wise between the player’s performance in Jan (pre-injury) for each variable and his performance in July or September. The variables with left and right values show % asymmetry at each timepoint with an arrow pointing to the direction of dominance (right or left). CMJ, countermovement jump; Con, concentric; Decel, deceleration; Ecc., eccentric; FT:CT, flight time:contraction time, GRF, ground reaction force; RFD, rate of force development. Force platforms (ForceDecks, Vald Performance, Brisbane, Australia).
CONCLUSION

Even for rare injuries such as tibia-fibula fractures, successful RTS is achieved through balancing objective information, utilising specific outcome measures and informed clinical reasoning. Good communication between performance and sports medical teams facilitates the shared decision-making process, providing the player with optimal care through integrated performance and health management.
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