SHANK3 mutations identified in autism lead to modification of dendritic spine morphology via an actin-dependent mechanism
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Genetic mutations of SHANK3 have been reported in patients with intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and schizophrenia. At the synapse, Shank3/ProSAP2 is a scaffolding protein that connects glutamate receptors to the actin cytoskeleton via a chain of intermediary elements. Although genetic studies have repeatedly confirmed the association of SHANK3 mutations with susceptibility to psychiatric disorders, very little is known about the neuronal consequences of these mutations. Here, we report the functional effects of two de novo mutations (STOP and Q321R) and two inherited variations (R12C and R300C) identified in patients with ASD. We show that Shank3 is located at the tip of actin filaments and enhances its polymerization. Shank3 also participates in growth cone motility in developing neurons. The truncating mutation (STOP) strongly affects the development and morphology of dendritic spines, reduces synaptic transmission in mature neurons and also inhibits the effect of Shank3 on growth cone motility. The de novo mutation in the ankyrin domain (Q321R) modifies the roles of Shank3 in spine induction and morphology, and actin accumulation in spines and affects growth cone motility. Finally, the two inherited mutations (R12C and R300C) have intermediate effects on spine density and synaptic transmission. Therefore, although inherited by healthy parents, the functional effects of these mutations strongly suggest that they could represent risk factors for ASD. Altogether, these data provide new insights into the synaptic alterations caused by SHANK3 mutations in humans and provide a robust cellular readout for the development of knowledge-based therapies.
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Introduction

Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorders diagnosed on the basis of three behaviorally altered domains: social deficits, impaired language and communication and stereotyped behaviors. ASD affect 1% of the population, with males being affected four times more often than females.1–3 Family and twin studies indicate a strong genetic basis for ASD, but the mechanisms of transmission remain largely unknown. Recently, several synaptic genes have been associated with ASD, providing a better idea of the pathways involved.4 The 22q13 deletion syndrome is characterized by developmental delay, moderate to profound language delay, delay/absence of expressive speech, hypotonia and autistic features that are caused by the loss of the SHANK3 gene located at the tip of this chromosome.5 The SHANK3 gene can also be altered in patients with ASD, and recently, we have shown that mutations, or the loss of one copy of SHANK3, are associated with autism, whereas the presence of an extra copy of SHANK3 might be associated with Asperger syndrome.6,7 The variations identified included de novo deleterious mutations and inherited non-synonymous variations affecting highly conserved amino acids in the ankyrin domain.6,7 Although these mutations were inherited from healthy parents, they could contribute to the disorder in combination with
other unidentified SHANK3 mutations or in combination with other mutated genes.

The three members of the Shank family (Shank1, 2 and 3) are core components of the postsynaptic density, a highly organized cytoskeletal structure found adjacent to the postsynaptic membrane of excitatory synapses. Shank proteins have ankyrin repeats at their N terminus, followed by a SH3 (Src homology) domain, a PDZ (postsynaptic density 95/discs large/zona occludens-1 homology) domain, a proline-rich region and a SAM (sterile alpha motif) domain at their C-terminal region. All of these domains are involved in protein–protein interactions, linking different glutamate receptors, scaffolding proteins and intracellular effectors to the actin cytoskeleton. Indeed, Shank proteins are associated with NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartate) receptors via the guanylate kinase-associated protein (GKAP)/postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95) complex and with type I metabotropic glutamate receptors via Homer in the proline-rich domain. In addition, Shank proteins can bind to several actin-regulatory molecules, such as cortactin, Sharpin, cortactin, and Abp1, and β-Pix and SPAR. The actin cytoskeleton of the dendritic spine is particularly dynamic and has a pivotal role in the formation and the maturation of spines and is also involved in the morphological changes that occur in spines during synaptic plasticity. Thus, Shank proteins and their binding partners are involved in vitro in the regulation of the size and the shape of dendritic spine.

To further assess the functional consequences of SHANK3 mutations, we used an overexpression approach in cultured neurons to investigate the molecular mechanisms modulated by Shank3 in synapse formation and axonal outgrowth. We first examined the subcellular localization of the wild-type and mutated Shank3 proteins in fibroblasts and embryonic primary neuronal cultures. Our results show that the truncating mutation strongly affected spine development and morphology, as well as growth cone motility, whereas the de novo mutation Q321R preferentially had an effect in early stages of development. Finally, inherited mutations (R12C and Q321R) are core components of the postsynaptic density, a highly organized cytoskeletal structure found adjacent to the postsynaptic membrane of excitatory synapses. Shank proteins have ankyrin repeats at their N terminus, followed by a SH3 (Src homology) domain, a PDZ (postsynaptic density 95/discs large/zona occludens-1 homology) domain, a proline-rich region and a SAM (sterile alpha motif) domain at their C-terminal region. All of these domains are involved in protein–protein interactions, linking different glutamate receptors, scaffolding proteins and intracellular effectors to the actin cytoskeleton. Indeed, Shank proteins are associated with NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartate) receptors via the guanylate kinase-associated protein (GKAP)/postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95) complex and with type I metabotropic glutamate receptors via Homer in the proline-rich domain. In addition, Shank proteins can bind to several actin-regulatory molecules, such as cortactin, Sharpin, cortactin, and Abp1, and β-Pix and SPAR. The actin cytoskeleton of the dendritic spine is particularly dynamic and has a pivotal role in the formation and the maturation of spines and is also involved in the morphological changes that occur in spines during synaptic plasticity. Thus, Shank proteins and their binding partners are involved in vitro in the regulation of the size and the shape of dendritic spine.

To further assess the functional consequences of SHANK3 mutations, we used an overexpression approach in cultured neurons to investigate the molecular mechanisms modulated by Shank3 in synapse formation and axonal outgrowth. We first examined the subcellular localization of the wild-type and mutated Shank3 proteins in fibroblasts and embryonic primary neuronal cultures. Our results show that the truncating mutation strongly affected spine development and morphology, as well as growth cone motility, whereas the de novo mutation Q321R preferentially had an effect in early stages of development. Finally, inherited mutations (R12C and Q321R) displayed an intermediate phenotype, with lowered spine induction and maturation, and growth cone motility compared with wild-type Shank3.

Materials and methods

DNA constructs

Full-length rat Shank3 complementary DNA has been used previously. Mutated forms (green fluorescent protein GFP–Shank3R12C, GFP–Shank3Q321R and GFP–Shank3R300C) of GFP–Shank3 were generated using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Deletion variants of GFP–Shank3STOP were made by introducing a STOP codon by direct mutagenesis to generate Shank3 mutants lacking the C-terminal part of the protein, corresponding to the mutation frameshift 3915 identified in patients. The monomeric red fluorescent protein (monomeric RFP) construct was generously provided by I Macara (University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA). C Gauthier-Rouviere (Centre de Recherche en Biochimie Macromoléculaire, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Montpellier, France) generously provided the RFP–actin construct. The SureSilencing short-hairpin RNA plasmids cloned in p-RFP-C-RS were purchased from Origene (Rockville, MD, USA). Details of the downregulation experiments are described in the supplementary note.

Antibodies

Primary antibodies were anti-actin (Cytoskeleton Inc., Denver, CO, USA), anti-β-tubulin (Tuj-1, Covance, Princeton, NJ, USA), anti-MAP2 (Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA), anti-DSRed (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA), anti-GFP (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), anti-phospho-paxillin (Cell Signalling, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-Shank3 (NeuroMab Facility, Davis, CA, USA), anti-cortactin and anti-vinculin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Alexa Fluor 546 and 647 phalloidin were used to stain F-actin (Invitrogen). Secondary antibodies were conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, 546 and 647 (Invitrogen).

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293T and COS-7 cells used for transient transfection were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with fetal calf serum and antibiotics. Cells were transfected using calcium phosphate, as previously described. Hippocampal neuronal cultures were prepared and transfected with the different constructs using the calcium phosphate method.

Immunocytochemistry

After 4 days, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), washed, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton/phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or 0.05% saponin/PBS, blocked for 1 h with 10% normal goat serum (NGS) or 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) before incubation with primary antibodies at room temperature in 3% NGS/PBS or in 0.2% BSA/PBS, then washed in PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies in 3% NGS/PBS or in 0.2% BSA/PBS. Then, phalloidin was incubated for 1 h in 0.2% BSA/PBS.

Protein, western blots, immunoprecipitation

Protein preparation, western blots and immunoprecipitation were performed, as described, and detailed in the supplementary note.

Ratio of F- to G-actin

The amount of F-actin and G-actin was measured with an Actin Polymerization Assay kit (BK037-Cytoskeleton). HEK293T cells were co-transfected with RFP–actin and GFP–C3 (control) or GFP–Shank3WT or GFP–Shank3STOP. Two days after transfection, the cells were resuspended in F-actin stabilization buffer with adenosine triphosphate (1 mM)
and protease inhibitors (10×). To preserve F-actin, experiments were performed at 37°C. F-actin was pelleted by a 1-hr centrifugation at 100,000 × g, and the supernatant (G-actin) was separated. The pellet was resuspended in the same volume as the supernatant using ice-cold Milli-Q water and 10 μM cytochalasin D for 2 h at 4°C. Actin was quantified by western blot using an anti-actin antibody (Cytoskeleton). The ratio of F-actin to G-actin was determined using an Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences, Nebraska, USA).

**Microscopy and quantitative analyses of spine morphology**

Confocal images were acquired with a Leica DMR TCS SP2 AOBS confocal microscope (Leica, France), using the 60× objective. Each image was a z-series projection taken at 0.02-μm-deep intervals. The morphometric measurements (width and length of spine) were made using Velocity analysis software (PerkinElmer, MA, USA). Each experiment was performed on five to seven independent neuronal preparations. Cells were co-transfected with monomeric RFP to visualize detailed morphology and to outline the spines. To determine spine size, 1500–2000 spines (from 16–28 neurons) were measured for each condition. For spine length, the distance from the base of the neck to the spine head was measured, and for spine width, the maximal width of the spine head perpendicular to the length was measured. To determine spine density, 10 regions of 20 μm were averaged per neuron.

To quantify F-actin and cortactin in spines, immunofluorescence images were acquired on a DM6000 Leica microscope equipped with MetaMorph software (Molecular devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The fluorescence intensity of F-actin or cortactin was determined using an Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences, Nebraska, USA).

**Electrophysiology**

Neurons (DIV17) co-transfected with GFP and the plasmids of interest were selected based on their fluorescence and, minute excitatory synaptic current (mEPSC) were recorded, as previously published.22 Briefly, the recording pipettes had a resistance of 5–10 MW when filled with the following medium (in mM): 140 CsCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 20 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 10 d-glucose, pH 7.2 and osmolarity of 330 mOsm.

**Live imaging**

Four days after transfection, growth cones of neurons transfected at DIV2 were analyzed by imaging every 2 s for 10 min with an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope (Olympus, France) using a 60× oil immersion objective (n = 35–67 growth cones from five independent experiments). The growth cone motility index was calculated, as previously described.28

**Statistical significance**

Data are shown as the mean ± s.e.m. The statistical significance of the results was analyzed using one-way analysis of variance in all experiments. For electrophysiological studies, we used the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test for more than two independent small samples.

**Results**

One of the aims of this study was to evaluate the contribution of Shank3 gene mutations to the actin dynamics-dependent formation of dendritic spines. For this purpose, we studied SHANK3 mutations identified in patients with ASD.6,7 Among these mutations (Figure 1a), one is a frameshift mutation at position 3680 in human SHANK3 complementary DNA (3915 in rat), which introduces a premature STOP codon at position 1227 in humans (1304 in rat), leading to a truncated form of Shank3 (Shank3STOP). The remaining three mutations are non-synonymous mutations located in or near the highly conserved ankyrin domain (R12C, R300C and Q321R, referred to as Shank3R12C, Shank3R300C and Shank3Q321R, respectively).6,7 An immunoblot analysis of the different constructs revealed that the fusion proteins carrying the point mutations Shank3R12C, Shank3R300C and Shank3Q321R had similar levels of expression and size as the GFP–Shank3WT, whereas the frameshift mutation (Shank3STOP) led to a shorter protein (Figure 1b).

**Decreased clustering of mutated Shank3 at the tips of actin filaments**

We first analyzed the intracellular localization of Shank3WT in COS-7 cells (Figure 1c). Shank3WT was found at the plasma membrane and in large intracellular clusters, as previously reported in HEK cells.29 Interestingly, we noticed that Shank3 clustered at the tips of actin filaments and colocalized with vinculin or phospho-paxillin, two focal adhesion proteins (Figure 1c). Overexpression of Shank3R12C, Shank3R300C and Shank3Q321R in COS-7 showed that mutations in the ankyrin domain did not affect the localization of Shank3 at the membrane or in intracellular clusters (Figure 1d). However, the distribution of Shank3STOP was restricted to small aggregates around the nucleus, suggesting that the lack of the C terminus in Shank3 affected its targeting and its interaction with the actin cytoskeleton in COS-7 cells. The analysis of the colocalization of Shank3 with actin stress fibers showed that
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a) Schematic of SHANK3 domain structure.

b) Western blot showing SHANK3 proteins.

Shank3 WT, Shank3 R12C, Shank3 R300C, Shank3 Q321R, Shank3 STOP.

222 kDa, 169 kDa.

f) Immunoprecipitation (IP) showing Shank3 and β-Actin.

g) GFP-Shank3 WT, GFP-Shank3 R12C, GFP-Shank3 R300C, GFP-Shank3 Q321R, GFP-Shank3 STOP.

Actin, Merge.

h) Graph showing percentage of filaments with Shank3 accumulation per cell (%).
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60 ± 2% of actin filaments per cell had clusters of Shank3WT. Mutations in the ankyrin domain (R12C, R300C and Q321R) significantly reduced this localization of Shank3 (43 ± 3% for Shank3R12C, 42 ± 3% for Shank3R300C; ***P<0.001, 48 ± 3% for Shank3Q321R; *P<0.05; Figure 1e). Shank3STOP was almost completely absent at the tips of actin filaments (10 ± 7% for Shank3STOP; ***P<0.001).

Shank3 binds actin and positively affects actin polymerization

As Shank proteins and their partners are involved in actin regulation and Shank3 colocalizes with actin fibers, we performed a coimmunoprecipitation using hippocampal tissue to demonstrate the existence of Shank3–actin complexes in vivo (Figure 1f). In addition, we used an actin polymerization assay to measure and compare the F- to G-actin ratio in GFP–Shank3- or GFP–C3-transfected cells (Figures 1g and h). In this assay, RFP–actin was co-transfected with each construct to stimulate actin polymerization. We analyzed the Shank3STOP construct because this mutated protein does not colocalize with actin filaments. Overexpression of Shank3WT significantly increased the amount of F-actin (1.399 ± 0.039) compared with the control (***P<0.001; Figures 1g and h), showing the role of Shank3WT in in vitro actin polymerization. In contrast, no significant difference in actin polymerization was observed with Shank3STOP protein (0.971 ± 0.062; P>0.05) compared with the control. These results suggest that the C terminus of Shank3 is crucial for actin dynamics.

Shank3 mutations affect spine induction and spine morphology

To analyze the role of Shank3 in actin cytoskeleton regulation in dendritic spines, we overexpressed the different constructs in hippocampal neurons and studied their effects on the organization and shape of postsynaptic spines. First, we analyzed the subcellular localization of the different Shank3 mutants co-transfected with an RFP plasmid in hippocampal neurons. Shank3WT overexpression led to an accumulation of the protein in dendritic spines (Figure 2a), as previously reported.31 Point mutations (Shank3R12C, Shank3R300C and Shank3Q321R) did not affect this synaptic targeting. As observed in COS-7 cells, Shank3STOP accumulated in the soma, was weakly localized in the dendrites and was absent from dendritic spines, indicating that the C terminus was required for the dendritic targeting of Shank3. These results are consistent with previous data showing that the Homer-binding site is involved in the synaptic localization of Shank3.22,31

In addition, Shank3WT significantly increased the number of spines compared with the control (Figure 2b) and reduced the number of filopodia (Figure 2c) in rat hippocampal neurons. The number of spines per 20 μm of dendrite length was 6.3 ± 0.4 spines when Shank3WT was overexpressed compared with 3.4 ± 0.3 spines in control GFP-positive neurons (***P<0.001). Overexpression of Shank3R12C, Shank3R300C or Shank3Q321R also increased the number of spines, but not as much as Shank3WT (4.6 ± 0.3, 4.7 ± 0.3 or 5.0 ± 0.2 spines per 20 μm, respectively; **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 compared with control). The mutations in the ankyrin domain therefore had moderate effects on spine induction, but still significantly lower than Shank3WT (**P<0.01 and ***P<0.001, respectively). The overexpression of Shank3STOP had a stronger effect, with a reduction in the number of spines compared with Shank3WT and with the control (2.6 ± 0.2 spines per 20 μm; **P<0.01 compared with Shank3WT and *P<0.05

**Figure 1** Mutations in Shank3 affect its recruitment to the tips of actin filaments and actin polymerization. (a) Localization of rare non-synonymous variations or truncating SHANK3 mutations identified in autism spectrum disorder4,5,15 ANK, ankyrin repeats; Cbs, cortactin-binding site; Hbs, Homer-binding site; PDZ, postsynaptic density 95/discs large/zona occludens-1 homology domain; SAM, sterile alpha motif domain; SH3, Src homology 3 domain. (b) Western blot analysis of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) constructs expressed in HEK293T cells. We observed similar sizes of Shank3WT and fusion proteins carrying point mutations (Shank3R12C, Shank3R300C and Shank3Q321R). The frameshift mutation results in a truncated protein (222 kDa GFP–Shank3WT and 169 kDa GFP–Shank3STOP). (c) Localization of Shank3WT in COS-7 cells transiently transfected with GFP–Shank3WT (green) and labeled with phalloidin 647 to visualize F-actin (blue) and with anti-vinculin or anti-phospho-paxillin (red), two focal adhesion proteins. Shank3WT is located at the membrane and in intracellular clusters that colocalize with vinculin or phospho-paxillin at the tips of actin filaments. On the right side, magnification of representative stress fibers with clusters of Shank3WT. (d) Localization of Shank3WT and mutated forms in COS-7 cells. COS-7 cells transiently transfected with GFP–Shank3WT or mutated forms (green), and labeled with phalloidin 546 to visualize F-actin (red) and 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole to visualize the nucleus (blue). Shank3WT, Shank3R12C, Shank3R300C and Shank3Q321R are located at the membrane and in intracellular clusters. Conversely, Shank3STOP is restricted to around the nucleus. (e) Quantification of the accumulation of GFP constructs at the tips of actin filaments. Percentage of filaments with Shank3 clusters per cell (%). This accumulation is significantly reduced with mutated Shank3 and absent with Shank3STOP (n=30–40 cells). Scale bars represent 15 μm. (f) Coimmunoprecipitation of Shank3 and actin in an adult rat brain. Lysate (input) was incubated with control immunoglobulin or anti-Shank3. Immunoprecipitation was performed, followed by western blotting using anti-β-actin. (g) Shank3STOP inhibits the effect of Shank3WT on actin polymerization. HEK293T were transiently co-transfected with red fluorescent protein–actin and GFP–C3 (control), GFP–Shank3WT or GFP–Shank3STOP. Representative immunoblots of G-actin (G) and F-actin (F) fractions obtained with an Actin Polymerization Assay kit. G-actin and F-actin were probed with anti-actin antibody. (h) Ratio of F-actin/G-actin was measured for each condition. The ratio is normalized to GFP–C3 and is represented as the mean ± s.e.m. Shank3WT enhances the polymerization of actin (**P<0.001; n=6 independent experiments). Shank3STOP inhibits the effect of Shank3 on actin polymerization.
compared with the control). Moreover, a Sh-resistant form of Shank3STOP did not rescue the spine phenotype in hippocampal neurons where Shank3 was downregulated (Supplementary Figure 1). Altogether, our results suggest that Shank3STOP has a dominant-negative effect on spine induction in a wild-type background, probably by affecting the targeting and/or the stability of the wild-type protein at synapses.

Finally, we investigated the impact of Shank3 mutations on spine maturation and measured spine-head enlargement and spine length from the base to the top of the head in rat hippocampal neurons...
transfected with each construct (Figure 2d). The number of spines with head widths > 0.5 μm was significantly increased in neurons transfected with Shank3WT compared with neurons transfected with GFP (63 ± 3% of spines > 0.5-μm head width compared with 51 ± 3% of spines; *P < 0.05; Figures 2e and f). No difference in spine length was observed (44 ± 3% of spines > 1.0-μm length for the control and 41 ± 3% of spines for Shank3WT, Figure 2g). Thus, in mature neurons, Shank3 overexpression promoted the morphological maturation of spines, as previously observed with Shank3WT expressed in aspiny neurons22 or with Shank1 in hippocampal cells.21

Compared with the control, Shank3STOP did not change the width of spine heads (46.6 ± 2% of spines > 0.5 μm; P > 0.5) but reduced the length of the spines (34 ± 2% of spines > 1.0-μm length; *P < 0.05). Shank3Q321R showed a slight increase in the width of the spine head (59 ± 2% of spines > 0.5 μm) and a reduction in spine length (34 ± 2% of spines > 1.0 μm; *P < 0.05). This suggests that the Q321R mutation does not abolish the effect of Shank3 on spine maturation but does affect neck retraction. Finally, Shank3R12C and Shank3R300C did not increase the size of spine heads (54 ± 4% and 51 ± 3% of spines > 0.5 μm; P > 0.05 compared with control), suggesting that these mutations disrupt the effect of Shank3 on spine morphology. Altogether, our data suggest that Shank3WT overexpression promotes spine induction and maturation, and that all mutations identified in ASD modify the function of Shank3.

Shank3 mutations affect F-actin content but not cortactin level in dendritic spines

As actin dynamics have key roles in dendrite development and specifically in spine formation and maturation,32,33 we further examined the effects of Shank3 mutations on the synaptic levels of F-actin and cortactin (Figure 3). Cortactin is an F-actin-binding protein enriched in cell matrix contact sites that facilitates the nucleation of new actin branches at the tips of actin filaments. In mature neurons, F-actin appeared in the form of patches and puncta along the dendrites, and it was enriched in the heads of dendritic spines (Figure 3a). We quantified the level of actin in spine heads as a ratio of the average intensity of transfected neurons to untransfected neurons. We observed an increase in F-actin levels in the spines of Shank3WT-transfected neurons compared with the control (147 ± 8% for Shank3WT and 109 ± 6% for the control; **P < 0.01; Figure 3b), as previously described for Shank1.21 Neurons transfected with Shank3R12C and Shank3R300C constructs displayed intermediate levels of F-actin between the control and Shank3WT levels (123 ± 7% for Shank3R12C and 125 ± 9% for Shank3R300C). The absence of an increase in F-actin as large as that induced by Shank3WT suggests that mutations in the ankyrin domain affect F-actin content in dendritic spines. Neither the truncating mutation nor Shank3Q321R increased F-actin level (93 ± 6% for Shank3STOP and 115 ± 8% for Shank3Q321R; **P < 0.001 and **P < 0.05 compared with Shank3WT). In the case of the truncating mutation, this was most likely due to a lack of targeting to the spines. Our data show that enlargement of dendritic spines induced by Shank3WT was correlated with increases in F-actin level. The mutations in the ankyrin domain and the truncated form of Shank3 all affected spines maturation via an actin-dependent mechanism, as suggested by our fibroblast experiments (Figure 1).

As Shank3 binds to cortactin and the loss of cortactin causes a decrease in spine density,12,13,21 we examined the effect of each mutation on cortactin content in dendritic spines. Despite Shank3 mutations significantly reducing the level of F-actin in spines, cortactin level was not significantly different between the control and Shank3WT (Figure 3c; P > 0.05).

The Shank3 mutations decrease spontaneous neuronal activity

As the number and the morphology of spines might affect the strength of synaptic transmission, we
further explored the functional consequences of Shank3 mutations by recording mEPSCs in hippocampal neurons. Shank3 WT had no significant effect on mEPSC amplitude but markedly increased mEPSC frequency compared with GFP-only neurons (1.48 ± 0.08 and 0.74 ± 0.08 Hz, respectively; ***P < 0.001; Figure 4). The present correlation between Shank3WT-mediated changes in spine morphology and electrical activity is in agreement with previous results.21 Shank3R12C, Shank3 R300C and Shank3Q321R modestly increased mEPSC frequency but not as much as Shank3WT (0.98 ± 0.16, 0.97 ± 0.23 and 1.1 ± 0.24 Hz, respectively; *P < 0.05). In contrast, Shank3STOP strongly reduced the frequency (0.50 ± 0.17 Hz; **P < 0.01) as well as the amplitude of mEPSCs compared with the control (Shank3STOP 15.90 ± 0.72 pA, GFP-only 31.49 ± 2.48 pA; ***P < 0.001), extending the dominant-negative effect displayed by Shank3STOP to the modulation of synaptic transmission.

The Q321R and STOP mutations affect growth cone motility induced by Shank3WT

Q321R is a de novo mutation identified in a girl with autism, language delay and developmental delay.7 Here, we found that this mutation was associated with abnormalities in spine morphology (even if less pronounced than for Shank3STOP). We then assessed whether Shank3 could have a role earlier in neuronal development. Indeed, Shank3 is expressed in the

Figure 3 Effects of Shank3WT and mutants on F-actin and cortactin levels in spines. (a) Immunofluorescence microscopy of hippocampal neurons at DIV21 transiently co-transfected with green fluorescent protein (GFP)–Shank3WT or mutated forms and red fluorescent protein. Neurons are stained with anti-GFP and anti-DsRED. F-actin was visualized with phalloidin 647. Cortactin is visualized with anti-cortactin (blue). Scale bars represent 6 μm. (b, c) Quantification of changes in the synaptic staining intensity of F-actin or cortactin induced by the overexpression of Shank3WT or the mutated forms. (**P < 0.01 compared with the control; *P < 0.05 compared with Shank3WT).
brain at early stages, and Shank and cortactin are enriched within the lamellipodia of motile cells and in neuronal growth cones. We analyzed the localization of endogenous or overexpressed Shank3 in cultured hippocampal neurons by immunocytochemistry in early stages (Figures 5a and b). Endogenous Shank3 was detected in young neurons (day 2), and labeling with actin revealed the accumulation of the protein in ruffles. We also confirmed the colocalization of Shank3 and cortactin in axonal growth cones. Then, we tested whether Shank3 regulated growth cone dynamics by co-transfecting GFP–C3 with GFP–Shank3WT or with the mutated forms in young hippocampal neurons. Four days after the transfection, live cell imaging was performed, as described. The axonal growth cone motility was quantified as a dimensionless motility index. We quantified the mean value of motility index for 35–67 axonal growth cones for each construct (Figures 5c and d). We observed an increase of motility index with Shank3WT compared with the control (0.129 ± 0.005 for Shank3WT to 0.101 ± 0.005 for GFP–C3; ***P<0.001). This suggests that full-length Shank3 induces higher axonal growth cone motility. We did not observe such an increase for GFP–Shank3Q321R or GFP–Shank3STOP (0.106 ± 0.003 and 0.112 ± 0.004; **P<0.001 and *P<0.05 compared with Shank3WT).

**Discussion**

Three important conclusions can be drawn from this study. First, we found that Shank3 increases actin polymerization, promoting spine formation and increasing the frequency of mEPSPs. Second, we demonstrated that Shank3 mutations identified in ASD disrupt these processes, providing a cellular readout for Shank3-associated pathologies. Third, we described two de novo mutations, Shank3Q321R and Shank3STOP, which were associated with severe loss of
function, and two inherited mutations, Shank3<sup>R12C</sup> and Shank3<sup>R300C</sup>, which produced an intermediate phenotype and might represent a risk factor for ASD.

**Shank3 promotes spine formation and shape via an actin-dependent mechanism**

Spine structure is regulated by various molecular mechanisms, and actin polymerization is a crucial process for synaptic structure and function. Actin filaments in the spine head are highly dynamic and regulate the molecular organization of the postsynaptic density and modulate postsynaptic signal transduction. Our data on neuronal cultures show that Shank3 overexpression induces an increase in spine density associated with an F-actin accumulation and an increase in mEPSP frequency. These effects could be mediated, in part, by a regulation of capping proteins, as we demonstrated that Shank3 colocalized

**Figure 5** Effects of Shank3<sup>WT</sup> and mutants on growth cone dynamics. (a) Shank3 is found in the growth cone of neonatal rat-cultured hippocampal neurons on DIV2. Neurons are labeled for Shank3 (green), F-actin (phalloidin; blue) and either tubulin (anti-Tuj1; red) or cortactin (anti-cortactin, red). Scale bars represent 20 μm. Insets represent high magnifications of the axonal growth cone. Shank3 colocalized with F-actin (blue) and with cortactin (red) in axonal growth cones. (b) Localization of Shank3 overexpressed in young neurons. Green fluorescent protein (GFP)–Shank3WT or Shank3STOP or GFP–C3 (green) was transfected in young neurons (DIV0). Immunostaining for MAP2 (red) and actin (phalloidin; blue) revealed that Shank3<sup>WT</sup> accumulates in growth cones, whereas Shank3<sup>STOP</sup> is restricted to the cell body. (c) Time-lapse video microscopy of growth cones from rat hippocampal neurons co-transfected with the control (GFP–C3) and Shank3<sup>WT</sup> or mutated forms. Merge represents three frames with different colors (0 min in green, 5 min in red and 10 min in blue). Scale bars represent 5 μm. (d) Quantification of growth cone motility with the motility index. ***P<0.001 and *P<0.05 compared with the control; **P<0.001 compared with Shank3<sup>WT</sup>. 
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with vinculin and phospho-paxillin at the tip of actin stress fibers in fibroblasts. These capping proteins control the growth of actin filaments in lamellipodia, resulting in filopodia formation and a reduction in lamellipodia. In mature neurons, they localize throughout dendritic spines, similar to Shank3. Furthermore, the Shank complex could be linked to several other proteins regulating actin cytoskeleton dynamics, such as β-Pix, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac1 and cdc42 small GTPases; Abp1, a member of the Arp2/3 complex; and cortactin. Indeed, depletion of the Arp2/3 complex, including cortactin, Abi2, WAVE–1, N–WASP and Abp1, alters the morphology and number of spines. Altogether, these results suggest that Shank3 could directly regulate F-actin level in spines, resulting in a modification of the shape and the function of these spines.

In this study, we observed increased spine density and morphology with Shank3, which is consistent with previous results obtained in aspiny neurons with Shank3. Shank1B induces an enlargement of spine heads independently of spine density, and this enlargement is enhanced by coexpression of the long form of Homer. Recent data on Shank1 knockout mice suggest that Shank1 is not required for spine formation but promotes the growth and stability of large spines, which is consistent with in vitro results. Shank2 also affects spine head maturation, depending on the Arp2/3 complex. Therefore, it is now clearly established that Shank proteins are involved in spinogenesis, especially in spine maturation, and we highlighted in this study that this occurs via an actin-dependent mechanism.

**Shank3 mutations associated with ASD disrupt its cellular functions**

We showed that *de novo* or inherited Shank3 mutations associated with ASD can affect its localization at the tip of the F-actin fibers, subsequently disrupting spine formation and morphology. This effect was especially strong for Shank3STOP and was similar to that observed in hippocampal neurons where Shank3 was downregulated (this study and Grabrucker et al.) or in cerebellar neurons transfected with Shank3 bearing a mutation in the Homer-binding site. This mutation appears to have a dominant-negative effect on the induction and the maturation of spines in a wild-type background (Figure 2b). This could be due to interference with the localization of other binding partners and/or to PDZ domain dimerization of the mutated form with the endogenous Shank3.

Our results highlight the potential impact of the two *de novo* mutations of Shank3 on early stages of neuronal development. We showed that the endogenous as well as the overexpressed Shank3 was localized in the growth cones of young neurons, suggesting that Shank3 could participate in neurite extension. Consistent with this idea, cortactin mediates neurite outgrowth, and the depletion of Abp1, a linker of Shank3 to the actin complex, results in increased axon length in early stages of neuronal development. The Shank3STOP and Shank3Q321R *de novo* mutations decreased growth cone motility,
which could lead to disrupted connectivity in patients carrying either mutation. These results are consistent with a recent study showing that the lack of C-terminal domains (including Homer- and cortactin-binding sites, and the SAM domain) inhibits the induction of neurite outgrowth.\textsuperscript{56}

\textbf{Inherited mutations represent risk factors for ASD} Mutations in \textit{SHANK3}, including \textit{de novo} mutations, \textit{de novo} copy number variations and inherited mutations, have been frequently reported in patients.\textsuperscript{6,7,50} The importance of the Shank family for ASD was further strengthened by the recent identification of mutations in \textit{SHANK2} in ASD patients.\textsuperscript{51,52} If the impact of \textit{de novo} deleterious mutations is well established, the role of inherited mutations remains unclear. Here, we showed that the two inherited mutations, Shank3\textsuperscript{R12C} and Shank3\textsuperscript{R300C}, have intermediate effects, mainly on spine formation. These results suggest that despite their transmission from healthy parents, these mutations could cause subtle synaptic defects, increasing the risk of developing ASD. \textit{SHANK3} mutations can also be involved in other neurological disorders, such as intellectual disability and schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{56} In the case of schizophrenia, the \textit{de novo} mutation R1117X with germline mosaicism has been observed in three affected brothers. They presented mild-to-moderate intellectual disability and schizoaffective disorder, but no evidence of autistic features. Functional studies have confirmed that this mutation causes a loss of \textit{SHANK3} function in zebrafish and decreases neurite outgrowth in mice.\textsuperscript{50} It is unclear how similar mutations can lead to different clinical conditions. The same is true not only for mutations in \textit{NLGN3} and \textit{NLGN4} described in autism but also in intellectual disability, Asperger syndrome and Tourette syndrome with hyperactivity disorder.\textsuperscript{53–55} Mutations in \textit{NRXN1} have also been observed in patients with schizophrenia and in asymptomatic carriers.\textsuperscript{56} These different outcomes could be due to mutations altering either all or specific roles of these proteins (for example, axonal guidance and synapse formation). We can also expect that additional genetic/epigenetic and environmental risk factors acting at different levels of brain development could bias the phenotype toward different sets of disorders.\textsuperscript{57} The identification of such factors would allow us to better understand the nature of the biological mechanisms underlying these different disorders and to provide insight for new treatments.
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