Genome-wide association studies of yield-related traits in high-latitude japonica rice
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Abstract

Background: Heilongjiang Province is a high-quality japonica rice cultivation area in China. One in ten bowls of Chinese rice is produced here. Increasing yield is one of the main aims of rice production in this area. However, yield is a complex quantitative trait composed of many factors. The purpose of this study was to determine how many genetic loci are associated with yield-related traits. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) were performed on 450 accessions collected from northeast Asia, including Russia, Korea, Japan and Heilongjiang Province of China. These accessions consist of elite varieties and landraces introduced into Heilongjiang Province decade ago.

Results: After resequencing of the 450 accessions, 189,019 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were used for association studies by two different models, a general linear model (GLM) and a mixed linear model (MLM), examining four traits: days to heading (DH), plant height (PH), panicle weight (PW) and tiller number (TI). Over 25 SNPs were found to be associated with each trait. Among them, 22 SNPs were selected to identify candidate genes, and 2, 8, 1 and 11 SNPs were found to be located in 3′ UTR region, intron region, coding region and intergenic region, respectively.

Conclusions: All SNPs detected in this research may become candidates for further fine mapping and may be used in the molecular breeding of high-latitude rice.
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Background

Rice cultivated in Asia is the staple food for most of the population worldwide. Research on its genetic variation, population structure and diversity has advanced greatly in recent decades [1–3]. Cultivated rice belongs to different subspecies or varietal groups and shows different domestication characteristics. Additionally, the domesticated subspecies include two main groups: Oryza sativa japonica and O. sativa indica. However, evidence suggests that they may have been domesticated separately from the ancestral species approximately 18 and 12 thousand years ago [4]. Genomic studies have confirmed the differentiation of three subspecies within O. sativa japonica, temperate, subtropical and tropical japonica, which grow in diverse environments with different climate characteristics [5].

Every subspecies may have distinctive signatures or alleles that are formed during domestication or artificial selection. People in a specific area selected particular traits for their consumption needs [6]. Many studies of different genes showed clear evidence of positive selection during the evolutionary process, such as genes related to waxiness and cold tolerance [7–9]. Research focused on a subspecies or a population collected from a specific geographical region may reveal distinctive
characteristics. Moreover, functional alleles or loci will be identified with certain analysis methods.

Quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis has turned out to be a very effective tool for gene and locus discovery in recent years. A large number of genes have been cloned based on QTLs from different species around the world [10–12]. The emergence of high-throughput genome sequencing technology has decreased the expense and enhanced its efficiency. Combined with population phenotypes, many statistical analysis measures have been developed based on next-generation sequencing or single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) chips. This approach is called genome-wide association study (GWAS) and became widely used within a short time after being proposed. Its three main advantages over other population analysis methods are higher mapping resolution, a larger allele number and broader reference population, and lower time consumption [13]. Genome-wide association studies can be performed in a wide range of populations, such as germplasm resource material, [14, 15] F2 populations, [16] nested association mapping (NAM) populations, [17] multiple advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) populations [18, 19] and random open-parent association mapping (ROAM) populations [20, 21]. Multiple statistical models can be used in GWAS based on different populations or scales of SNP numbers, [22, 23] and population structure and genetic relationships can be taken into consideration [24, 25]. It has been more than 10 years since GWAS was first proposed, [26] and many mature workflows and analysis tools have been developed [27, 28]. The application of GWAS in rice has been widely reported in recent years. Alleles or SNPs located by GWAS have been applied in rice molecular breeding [29, 30].

Yield is one of the main traits that rice breeders focus on because of its relevance to worldwide food security. Nearly half of the world population consumes rice as a staple food. Yield is also known to be a multigene controlled trait, and many genes and loci have been found that could account for yield differentiation. Furthermore, yield is a complicated trait that is affected by many other traits, such as the tiller number, plant height, grain number, grain weight and number of primary branches [31, 32]. Association analysis with different populations may identify some unique genes or loci that contribute to specific traits. Therefore, it is necessary to identify novel genes or loci in a different population that may play a role only in a specific environment.

Rice cultivated at high latitudes in Asia shows many good characteristics, such as cold tolerance and high quality. There might be a large number of effective alleles that would be useful for further breeding for these traits. Few studies have focused on high-latitude natural populations and their effective alleles. In this research, we collected hundreds of cultivars and landraces as a natural population from high-latitude areas, including Northeast China, South Korea, Russia and Japan, and performed GWASs to examine their PH, PW, TI and DH in four different environments in Heilongjiang Province, China, with the aim of discovering genes or associated SNPs that could account for the differentiation of phenotypes and are expected to be used in further breeding programs.

Results
Phenotyping of different traits
The plant height of 450 accessions ranged from 51.33 cm to 146.67 cm, with an average of 93.67 cm (Supplementary Table 2). In all four locations, plant height showed a normal distribution and a similar median with no significant difference except in Heihe, which may be due to a short growing season (Fig. 1A). The TI and PW in the four locations showed differences from each other, and in Heihe, these two traits showed narrower ranges than in the other locations (Fig. 1B-C). The DH showed a gradient change with increasing latitude. Wuchang and Harbin have similar means of DH, 94 and 93, respectively. However, at higher latitudes, DH significantly increased because of the longer daylight (Fig. 1D). Analysis of variance for the four traits showed significant differences (<0.001). However, broad-sense heritability gave a higher estimate for PH (0.89) and DH (0.95) and a lower estimate for TI (0.68) and PW (0.47).

Resequencing results and SNP distribution
Approximately 1227 billion bases in total were obtained after resequencing, with an average of 6.3× sequencing depth and 18.9 million reads for each accession (Supplementary Table 1). Over 6.4 million SNP loci were called among the 450 accessions, indicating an average of 57 bp between pairs of SNPs. SNPs on each chromosome ranged from 0.39 million (chromosome 3) to 0.76 million (chromosome 11), with an average of 0.54 million per chromosome. According to the minor allele frequency (MAF) statistics, nearly 65% had an MAF less than 0.05, 20.6% had an MAF greater than 0.1 and 8.1% had an MAF greater than 0.25. The mean MAF on each chromosome varied between 0.052 and 0.085, with an average of 0.07 across all chromosomes.

Linkage disequilibrium and SNP distribution
After filtering by MAF and missing genotype rate, 1,991,545 SNPs remained, and all these SNPs were used for linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay analysis across all chromosomes. The LD decay distance ranged from 15 kb to 27 kb, with an average of 20 kb in the four groups predicted by population structure analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1A). However, the LD decay distance of all
accessions was 23 kb, which means that \( r^2 \) dropped to half of its maximum value (Supplementary Fig. 1B). This LD decay distance was lower than the previous estimate in temperate japonica but higher than that in *O. rufipogon*, [33] which may be affected by landraces in the population and possibly by having undergone weakened artificial selection. Finally, we filtered the SNPs based on the \( r^2 \) value, and 189,019 SNPs were retained for subsequent analyses, indicating one SNP every 2 kb across the whole genome. These SNPs corresponded to 194,313 variants and 537,957 effects. Most SNPs were downstream of genes (30.29%), intergenic (24.17%) or upstream of genes (31.37%) (Fig. 2A).

**Genetic diversity and population structure**

According to the population structure evaluation, when K = 2, all 450 accessions were divided into two groups. Group 1 contained 396 accessions (88.0%), mostly breeding varieties. Group 2 contained 54 (12.0%) accessions, mostly cultivar introductions (Fig. 2B). When K = 3, the Group 1 subdivided into two groups, but Group 2 had no change. When K = 4, the two groups subdivided from Group 1 maintained with a few individuals changed, but Group 2 subdivided into two groups. For K larger than 4, the two groups subdivided from Group 2 maintained, but the Group 1 subdivided into more groups (Supplementary Table 1).

To further illustrate the population structure of our research panel, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed. When the first and second eigenvectors were used, all accessions could be divided with four subgroups (Fig. 2C, Supplementary Fig. 1A). However, when divided into more than four groups, Group 2 was divided into more than two groups that showed indistinct boundaries (Fig. 2D, Supplementary Fig. 2B-F). Based on the above results, it is more representative when all

---

**Fig. 1** Histogram of 450 plants in Wuchang, Haerbin, Jiamusi and Heihe (from left to right of each line): PH (A), TI (B), PW (C), DH (D). The red line indicates the fitted curve of the distribution.
accessions were divided into four groups and suitable for further analysis. But greater difference were shown between Group 1 and Group 2 when K = 2.

A kinship matrix was calculated to detect the genetic relationship within the population. The coefficient of relatedness ranged from −0.25 to 2.01, and a kinship heat map was drawn to visualize the relationships. It is clear that only the upper left corner has a relatively close relationship, and the other accessions have a lower coefficient of relatedness (Fig. 3), indicating that the population used in our research conform to a natural populations but with few relatedness between some accessions.

Fig. 2 A. Number of effects of all SNPs by genomic region. B. Population structure for different K values. Each accession is represented by a vertical bar, and each colour indicates accessions belonging to one subgroup. C. PCA plots of the first two components of 450 accessions divided into two groups. D. PCA plots of the first two components of 450 accessions divided into four groups.

Genome-wide association analysis
The GLM found 597 SNPs to be significantly associated with four traits in total, but the MLM found only 322 (Table 1, Supplementary Table 3). For DH traits, no identical locus was found between the two models. By GLM, 144 loci were significantly associated with DH, and 25 loci were found at more than two locations on chromosomes 4, 6, 7 and 11. However, by MLM, only 2 and 25 SNPs were found to be significantly associated with DH in Wuchang and Heihe (Table 1, Fig. 4A, Supplementary Fig. 5A). Twenty-five of them throughout all 12 chromosomes were from Heihe. Three SNPs on chromosome 7 shows larger effects and detected in
multi-environment (Table 2). For PH, 49 SNPs were found in three locations by GLM, 14, 6 and 29 SNPs in Harbin, Heihe and Wuchang, respectively, 2 of which on chromosome 11 and chromosome 12 were detected in both Heihe and Wuchang. Twenty-five SNPs were identified in only two locations by MLM, 1 and 24 in Heihe and Wuchang (Table 1, Fig. 4B, Supplementary Fig. 3B, Supplementary Fig. 5B). Twenty-three were detected by different methods at the same time or the same locations. Only 1 SNP shows larger effects than other positions on chromosome 5 (Table 2). For TI, 53, 51, 9 and 3 SNPs were found by GLM in Harbin, Wuchang, Jiamusi and Heihe, respectively. By MLM, 41 and 2 were found in Wuchang and Harbin, but in Jiamusi and Heihe, only one for each location. Interestingly, all SNPs detected by MLM in Harbin, Jiamusi and Wuchang were also detected by GLM, but no SNPs were detected between any two locations by the same method (Table 1, Fig. 4D, Supplementary Fig. 3-5D). Among these SNPs, 8 were larger effects and detected by two models. For PW, the most significantly associated loci, 247 and 225, were found by GLM and MLM, respectively. By GLM, only 1 and 4 SNPs were detected in Harbin and Heihe, respectively, but 242 were detected in Wuchang across all 12 chromosomes. Similar to GLM, MLM detected only 1 and 2 SNPs in Harbin and Heihe but 222 in Wuchang (Fig. 4C). Notably, all SNPs detected by MLM were also detected by GLM, except for 2 SNPs in Wuchang (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 3-5C). Among these SNPs, 8 were larger effects and detected by two models. Interestingly, 3 SNPs (S4_32507995, S5_2003327, S11_8842451) were detected for both TI and PW by GLM (Table 2).

Candidate gene identification
A total of 317 SNPs detected either by two models or in more than two locations were selected for candidate gene identification. Of them, 223 SNPs were used for PW, and 19 missense variants, 1 splice region variant and 1 stop gained locus were identified (Table 3). Four missense variants were identified for TI, 3 for PH and only 1 for DH. Among these SNPs, Candidate genes of twenty two larger effect or pleiotropic SNPs were identified. Within gene regions, eleven SNPs were found. Two of them were 3 prime UTR variants, eight were found to be intron variants and only one SNP was synonymous variant. Eleven SNPs were located in intergenic region. The distance between SNPs and the nearest genes ranged from 0 to 18.9 kb (Table 4). All these genes execute unknown biology functions in O. sativa japonica group, according to the Rice Genome Annotation Project database.

**Table 1** Summary of significantly associated loci identified by different methods

|        | GLM |        | MLM |        |        |        |
|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|--------|--------|
|        | HA  | WU     | JA  | HE     | HA     | WU     |
| PH     | 14  | 29     | –   | 6      | –      | 24     |
| PW     | 1   | 242    | –   | 4      | 1      | 222    |
| TI     | 53  | 51     | 9   | 3      | 2      | 41     |
| DH     | 8   | 83     | 16  | 78     | –      | 2      |

Fig. 3 Heatmap of genetic relationships. The colour change from cyan to red indicates an increase in the kinship value from −0.2 to 2.
Fig. 4 Manhattan plots and QQ plots for the four traits in Heihe by MLM. A. Days to heading. B. Plant height. C. Panicle weight. D. Tiller number.
Discussion

Many models have been reported for use in GWAS, [23, 34, 35] and GLM and MLM are two that have been used frequently to analyse a variety of plants [15, 36]. In GLM, the principal components or population structure needs to be taken into consideration as a fixed effect. However, in MLM, relative kinship should be added as a random effect, although the result is still less efficient for large data sets. Many other algorithms have been developed to address this problem, such as the compressed MLM, [35] efficient mixed-model association expedited (EMMAX) algorithm and [37] and factored spectrally transformed linear mixed model (FaST-LMM) [38]. When different models are compared, some of them show high statistical power but low computational speed, while others show intermediate statistical power but very fast computational speed [26]. For further gene screening, these models should be adapted to increase the accuracy of the associations and narrow down the possible associated interval.

Association analysis was first used on populations of unrelated human individuals, [39] but it is difficult to
collect natural plant populations with distant genetic relationships in a local area. Although many accessions collected in this research were from South Korea, Russia and Japan, many of them were elite varieties derived from the same ancestral parents. Meanwhile, information on some cultivars was lost, resulting in unknown origins. Genetic population analysis also showed that the distinctions among some of these accessions were obscure (Fig. 3), so further research is needed to optimize the population structure and screen the research panels to obtain a clearer population structure [40, 41].

Principal component analysis has been shown to be a substitute for population structure in GWAS [42]. Therefore, we chose the first five components as the population structure matrix to conduct a GWAS. The eigenvalue derived from PCA was proportionally low because of the large population scale (6.79% for the first principal component, data not shown). The smooth downward trend of the eigenvalue made it difficult to choose the number of components for association analysis (Supplementary Fig. 6) [43]. The different ways of dividing population groups by population structure and PCA eigenvector also made it difficult to select a population structure matrix. Therefore, more population structure matrices may be needed for further analysis to locate the key associated SNPs.

A total of 144 DH-related SNPs were detected in four locations by GLM, and 25 of them were detected in more than two locations, which implied that even at different latitudes, heading date was functionally affected by the same genes. Little attention has been given to the associations of PW in cereal crops, but relationships with grain yield and rice quality have been reported [44, 45]. The PW is also used as a main trait for association analysis in rice [46]. However, in our study, too many loci were associated with PW across all 12 chromosomes (Fig. 4C, Supplementary Fig. 3–5C). Therefore, it was not easy to identify the true related genes among these SNPs. More association analysis models may be needed to narrow down the candidate genes. In addition, PW is a comprehensive trait consisting of many factors, such as panicle length, number of grains, and grain weight,

### Table 4 Candidate genes identified from 22 detected SNPs for 4 traits

| SNP        | Chr | Gene ID       | Annotation                  | Distance (kb) |
|------------|-----|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| S1_29521211| 1   | LOC_Os01g51330| 3' UTR variant              | 0.0           |
| S2_9226198 | 2   | LOC_Os02g16230| intergenic region           | 2.3           |
| S2_13626408| 2   | LOC_Os02g22820| intergenic region           | 18.9          |
| S2_34946356| 2   | LOC_Os02g57080| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S3_1365688 | 3   | LOC_Os03g23110| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S4_7050530 | 4   | LOC_Os04g12744| intergenic region           | 2.5           |
| S4_16690715| 4   | LOC_Os04g28234| 3' UTR variant              | 0.0           |
| S4_22584137| 4   | LOC_Os04g37960| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S4_32507995| 4   | LOC_Os04g54690| intergenic region           | 0.0           |
| S5_11687290| 5   | LOC_Os05g19990| synonymous variant          | 0.0           |
| S5_2003327 | 5   | LOC_Os05g04370| intergenic region           | 0.5           |
| S6_8044409 | 6   | LOC_Os06g14406| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S7_8832791 | 7   | LOC_Os07g15330| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S7_29566846| 7   | LOC_Os07g49370| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S7_29238189| 7   | LOC_Os07g48870| intergenic region           | 3.9           |
| S7_3214984 | 7   | LOC_Os07g06610| intergenic region           | 0.9           |
| S7_9482292 | 7   | LOC_Os07g16240| intergenic region           | 3.4           |
| S8_22791456| 8   | LOC_Os08g36160| intergenic region           | 2.5           |
| S9_8477108 | 9   | LOC_Os09g14350| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S11_8842451| 11  | LOC_Os11g15590| intron variant              | 0.0           |
| S11_26127237| 11 | LOC_Os11g43300| intergenic region           | 3.3           |
| S11_5366552| 11  | LOC_Os11g10010| intergenic region           | 0.9           |

**Table 4** Candidate genes identified from 22 detected SNPs for 4 traits

**Chr**: Chromosome
**Gene ID**: from MSU genome annotation database (version 7.0)
**Annotation**: The distance between SNPs and the nearest gene. The 0 kb means that the SNP located inside the gene
**Distance (kb)**: The distance between the SNP S4_32507995 and the gene LOC_Os04g54690 is 28 bp
which adds to the difficulties of detecting associated sites. A separate analysis of this trait may be needed for further association studies.

From the Manhattan plots, it is obvious that DH shows significant peak values by GLM: 2 peak values on chromosome 2, 1 on chromosome 4 and 2 on chromosome 7 (Supplementary Fig. 7). The peak value of chromosome 4 was located at 14,818,439 bp in the 5′ UTR of the LOC_Os04g25560 gene, which is referred to as the OsSCP23 (putative serine carboxypeptidase homologue) gene. The two peak values of chromosome 7 located at 8,832,791 bp and 29,566,846 bp corresponded to the intron variant LOC_Os07g15330 and the intron variant LOC_Os07g49370, respectively. Both putative genes have unclear functions. Notably, these two genes were located on chromosome 7, close to two reported heading date genes, Ghd7 (LOC_Os07g15770) and DTH7 (LOC_Os07g49460), which are approximately 320 kb and 50 kb in length, respectively [47, 48]. In chromosome 2, the location of the peak value is far from the reported genes LOC_Os02g39710 and LOC_Os02g49230 [49, 50]. It may be concluded that many factors can cause false positive results in GWAS, so a wider screening range is needed to choose the affected genes around the associated SNPs. LD blocks will also provide a reference criterion for the range. Various issues need to be considered for further gene screening.

**Conclusion**

In this study, 450 accessions were used to perform whole-genome resequencing, and 189,019 SNPs were used for GWAS after filtering according to the MAF, missing genotype rate and $r^2$ value. Bonferroni correction was used to set the threshold of significantly associated SNPs to $-\log_{10}(P) \geq 6.58$. In total, 597 and 322 significantly associated loci were detected for the four traits by GLM and MLM, respectively. After filtered, 22 larger effect or pleiotropic SNPs for the four traits were used to identify candidate genes. Eleven SNPs were identified within coding regions, two of them were located in 3′ UTR, eight in intron region and one in coding region. The rest of 11 SNPs were found to be located in intergenic region.

All these candidate genes associated with the four yield traits could be used for further gene identification or fine mapping, and related SNPs will also provide guidance for rice breeding in high-latitude areas.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant materials**

A collection of 450 temperate japonica rice varieties was used as a GWAS panel, including landraces and cultivars collected from Japan, North Korea, Russia, Hei Longjiang Province, Jilin Province and Liaoning Province in China, and other unknown origins (Supplementary Table 1). Many landraces and foreign varieties have been introduced into Hei Longjiang Province of China in recent decades. Moreover, a number of intermediate varieties were added to the panel for further analyses.

**Field cultivation and management**

All materials were planted in the field in 2015. Four experimental fields were located at Wuchang (44.9°N, 127.2°E), Harbin (45.8°N, 126.6°E), Jiamusi (46.8°N, 130.4°E) and Heihe (50.2°N, 127.5°E) in Hei Longjiang Province, and all these locations were used as paddy fields for successive years. Wuchang is the most fertile black land of China, with approximately 145 days above the minimal temperature. At higher latitudes, Harbin and Jiamusi have fewer days above the minimal temperature. Heihe is not only the highest latitude of the Chinese temperate zone but also the highest latitude of the world where rice is cultivated. The growth season in Heihe is less than 120 days. Experiments were constructed with a complete randomized design. Ten plants of each accession were used for a single row with 13 cm spacing within the plants and 30 cm spacing between the rows. Field management was conducted normally for a local paddy field.

**Phenotypic evaluations and data statistics**

The PH was evaluated before harvest and was measured from ground to the highest panicle tip. The TI was counted in each plant with panicles. The main panicle in each plant was collected and weighed from the rachis internode to obtain the PW. The mean of three plants was calculated as the final value. The heading date was noted when over 50% of plants in a row were heading, and the number of days from sowing to heading was used as DH for further analysis. Phenotype statistics and distribution analyses were performed with the R/base package. Analyses of variance for the four traits were performed using the lmerTest package in R by Student’s t test with a confidence level of $p < 0.001$ (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/index.html). Lines and locations were treated as random effects, and traits were treated as fixed effects with the formula Trait ~ (1|line) + (1|location) by lme4/R (https://github.com/lme4/lme4). The broad-sense heritability ($H^2$) of the four traits was calculated by the following equation: $H^2 = Vg / (Vg + Ve/L)$, where $Vg$ is the variance of genotypes, $Ve$ is the variance of environments, and $L$ is the number of locations. Statistical plots were drawn with ggplot2/R (http://had.co.nz/ggplot2/).

**Genome resequencing and genotype filtering**

Young leaves were collected from each accession, and genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was isolated by a
rapid method to obtain high-quality total DNA (DOI: https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.1010106). Paired-end libraries were constructed and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq sequencing system (Illumina, USA). The Nipponbare genome (MSU version 7.0) was used as a reference genome. All reads were aligned to the reference genome with the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment (BWA) tool [51]. After the alignment, quality control was performed with SAMtools (Ver. 1.7), [52] and the Genome Analysis Toolkit was used for SNP calling (GATK, v3.4–46). The UnifieldGenotyper of GATK was used for multiple SNP calling [53]. Genotype imputation was performed using Tassel (Version 5.0) with the LD KNi Imputation plugin [27].

Minor allele frequency and linkage disequilibrium
The MAF was calculated with Plink (Version 1.9, http://www.cog-genomics.org/plink2/). After genotype imputation, SNPs were filtered by Plink with thresholds of MAF greater than 0.05 and a missing genotype rate greater than 0.2. Whole-genome LD decay was estimated by pairwise squared correlation coefficients ($r^2$) between SNPs in PopLDdecay [54]. The pairwise $r^2$ value was calculated when all accessions were divided into four groups by population genetic analysis. The LD decay distances were determined where the average $r^2$ dropped to half of its maximum value. The SNPs were further filtered according to the $r^2$ value in Plink with parameters --indep-pairwise 50 10 0.2.

Population genetic analyses
Population structure analysis, including group estimation, best K value selection and population structure plotting, was performed with fastSTRUCTURE (version 1.0) by the structure, chooseK and distruct plugins, respectively [55]. The PCA and kinship matrix were calculated within TASSEL (Version 5.0) [27]. The first five components were used for further association analysis. The PCA plots of the first two components were drawn with different groups predicted from K values. The kinship heatmap was drawn with pheatmap/R (Version 1.0.12, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html). The clustering distance of rows and columns used correlation as its parameter, and the complete parameter was used for the clustering method.

Genome-wide association analysis
The GWAS analysis was carried out with TASSEL 5, and GLM and MLM were used to detect significantly associated loci. After filtering by LD value, 189,019 SNPs were used for association analysis with a threshold LOD value of 6.58. Genotypes and phenotypes were used in the GLM model with the first five components of PCA as the population structure matrix. However, in the MLM, a kinship matrix was also added as a relatedness covariation. The threshold values for associated SNPs were obtained by the Bonferroni correction, which was calculated as follows: $-\log_{10}(P) \geq -\log_{10}(0.05/189,019) \approx 6.58$. Manhattan plots and QQ plots were drawn by CMplot/R (Version 3.6.2, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/CMplot/).

Candidate gene identification
Loci that were detected in more than two locations or by both methods were used for candidate gene identification. The Nipponbare genome (MSU version 7.0, http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/) annotation database was used as a reference database. SnpEff (Version 4.3 T) was used to annotate significantly associated SNPs [56].
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