WIMP Gamma Rays From the Galactic Center with GLAST and Accelerator Comparison
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Abstract. We will describe the prospects for detecting gamma-rays from WIMP’s annihilation in the Galactic Center and we compare this search with the possibilities at LHC and with space antimatter experiments like PAMELA.

Keywords: Dark matter, Gamma rays, Supersymmetry
PACS: 95.35.+d, 95.85.Pw, 95.55.Ka, 12.60.Jv

The space satellite GLAST is expected to play a crucial role in indirect DM searches, thanks both to its ability to perform observations at energy scales comparable to the mass of common DM candidates and to its potential of making deep full-sky maps in gamma-rays, thanks to its large (∼ 2.4 sr) field-of-view [1].

A theoretically particularly well-motivated type of Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP) dark matter candidate is the neutralino (see [2] for a classic review) that appears in most supersymmetric extensions to the SM as the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) and is given by a linear combination of the superpartners of the gauge and Higgs fields.

mSUGRA GLAST reach

We focus on the most widely studied neutralino, in the most restrictive supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model, the minimal supergravity (mSUGRA) framework. We fix the five mSUGRA input parameters:

\[ m_{1/2}, \ m_0, \ \text{sign}(\mu), \ A_0 \ \text{and} \ \tan\beta, \]

where \( m_0 \) is the common scalar mass, \( m_{1/2} \) is the common gaugino mass and \( A_0 \) is the proportionality factor between the supersymmetry breaking trilinear couplings and the Yukawa couplings. \( \tan\beta \) denotes the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two neutral components of the SU(2) Higgs doublet, while the Higgs mixing \( \mu \) is determined (up to a sign) by imposing the Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking (EWSB) conditions at the weak scale. The parameters at the weak energy scale are determined by the evolution of those at the unification scale, according to the renormalization group equations (RGEs). For this purpose, we have made use of the ISASUGRA RGE package in the ISAJET 7.64 software [3]. After fixing the five mSUGRA parameters at the unification scale, we extract from the ISASUGRA output the weak-scale supersymmetric mass spectrum and the relative mixings. Cases in which the lightest neutralino is not the lightest supersymmetric particle or there is no radiative EWSB are disregarded. The ISASUGRA output is then used as an input in the DarkSUSY package. The latter is exploited to: a) reject models which violate limits recommended by the Particle Data Group 2002 (PDG) b) compute the neutralino relic abundance, with full numerical solution of the density evolution equation including resonances, threshold effects and all possible coannihilation processes [4] c) compute the neutralino annihilation rate at zero temperature in all kinematically allowed tree-level final states (including fermions, gauge bosons and Higgs bosons); d) estimate the induced gamma-ray yield by linking to the results of the simulations performed with the Lund Monte Carlo program Pythia as implemented in the DarkSUSY package.

Figure 1 shows our estimates of GLAST sensitivity to a dark matter signal via the observation of WIMP annihilation photons (continuum spectrum) in the \( m_{1/2} \) and \( m_0 \) mSUGRA parameter plane for \( \tan\beta = 10, 55 \) and 60. These figures have been obtained performing a detailed scan in the mSUGRA parameter space, computing for each model the
neutralino induced $\gamma$-ray flux and the relic density. The lower right plot shows the comparison for $\tan\beta = 55$ with the exclusion limits from LHC, LC [5] and the ant-matter experiment PAMELA [6]. The values of the neutralino mass is also shown in both figures on the right. For the region in red, the cosmologically allowed WIMP region, the signal above the blue line ($M_{\text{WIMP}} \sim 200\text{GeV}$) is not observable by GLAST due to the higher WIMP mass as one moves to higher $m_{1/2}$. The dark matter halo used for the GLAST indirect search sensitivity estimate is a truncated Navarro Frank and White (NFW) halo profile as used in [7]. For steeper halo profiles (like the Moore profile) the GLAST limits move up, covering a wider WMAP [8] allowed region, while for less steep profile (like the isothermal profile) the GLAST limits move down, covering less WMAP allowed region.

**Model Independent GLAST Reach**

The expression of the $\gamma$-ray continuum flux for a generic WIMP at a given photon energy $E$ is given by

$$\phi_{\text{wimp}}(E) = \frac{\sigma v}{4\pi} \sum_f \frac{dN_f}{dE} B_f \int_{l.o.s.} dl \frac{\rho(l)^2}{2 m_{\text{wimp}}^2}$$

(1)
This flux depends from the WIMP mass $m_{\text{wimp}}$, the total annihilation cross section times WIMP velocity $\sigma v$ and through the sum of all the photon yield $dN_f/dE$ per each annihilation channel weighted by the corresponding branching ratio $B_f$. The flux (1) also depends from the WIMP density in the galactic halo $\rho(l)$. The integral has to be performed along the line of sight (l.o.s.). As pointed out in [7], apart from the $\bar{b}b$, $\bar{t}t$, $W^+W^-$, ... and the corresponding yield, it is possible to perform a scan in the plane $(m_{\text{wimp}}, \sigma v)$ in order to determine the GLAST reach and the regions that are already excluded by the EGRET data in in the 2 degrees region around the galactic center [7], [9], i.e. the flux predicted by the susy+background model must not exceed the total flux predicted from EGRET data. The result of the scan is given in figure 2. For every couple of values $(m_{\text{wimp}}, \sigma v)$ we compute the expected flux (1) and we performed a standard $\chi^2$ statistical analysis to see if GLAST is able to disentangle the WIMP contribution among the standard astrophysical $\pi^0$ background as used in [7]. The result is given at a $3\sigma$ confidence level. The background uncertainties are reflected in the red regions. We assumed a total exposure of $3.7 \times 10^{11}\text{ cm}^2\text{s}$, for a period of 4 years of data taking and an angular resolution (at 10 GeV) of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-5}\text{ sr}$ as it can be derived from the GLAST LAT performances [10].

Conclusions

We showed the GLAST ability to detect an exotic signal from WIMP’s annihilation both in mSUGRA and in a model independent framework. GLAST will be able to probe a good portion of the parameter space.
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