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Experimental Section

**Materials:** Solvents and reagents were used as received. Aluminum-backed, silica gel 60, 250 µm thickness analytical plates, 20 × 20 cm, glass-backed, silica gel 60, 500 µm thickness preparative TLC plates, and standard grade, 60 Å, 32-63 µm flash column silica gel were used for purifications.

**Instrumentations:** 
1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker AVANCE III 400 and 500 MHz spectrometers. IR spectra were recorded from neat material on a Bruker Alpha FTIR spectrometer using an attenuated total reflection (ATR) diamond crystal. Low- and high-resolution mass spectra were recorded using AB Sciex API 2000 Triple Quadrupole and AB Sciex QStar Elite Quadrupole-TOF MS instruments, respectively in CH3CN.

**UV-Vis and Fluorescence Measurements:** UV-Vis data were obtained on Varian Cary 100 or Cary 50 spectrophotometers in CH2Cl2. The fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Cary Eclipse fluorimeter. Quantum yields (φf) were determined relative to that of meso-tetraphenylporphyrin H2TPP (φf = 0.13 in CH2Cl2);¹ λexcitation = λSoret.

A Hill plot for acid-base titrations was constructed by plotting log[(Ai − A0)/(Af − Ai)] against log[OH⁻], where A0 and Ai are the absorbance values of the pyrrocorphin and the pyrrocorphin-base complex, respectively, at a given concentration of the base added. Note that Af indicates the absorbance of fully deprotonated pyrrocorphin at a particular wavelength.

**Procedures**

¹ Taniguchi, M.; Lindsey, J. S.; Bocian, D. F.; Holten, D., *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C* 2021, 46, 100401.
Trioxopyrrocorphins 8-O^{2,7,12} and 8-O^{2,7,18}: Prepared from OEP (2 g, 3.74 × 10^{-3} mol) in H_{2}SO_{4} (200 mL) with 3% H_{2}O_{2} (36 mL) as described by Inhoffen and Nolte or Chang.\(^2\)

3,3,8,8,13,13,17,17-Octaethyl-2,7,12-trioxopyrrocorphins (8-O^{2,7,12}): Chromatography condition: silica gel/hexanes-CH_{2}Cl_{2} (40:60 v/v) followed by 100% CH_{2}Cl_{2}. The product was isolated as blue solid in 5.5% yield (119 mg, 2.04 × 10^{-4} mol). MW = 582.7754 g/mol. R_{f} = 0.62 (silica-CH_{2}Cl_{2}). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_{3}): \(\delta\) 8.93 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.13 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.06 (s, 1H, meso-H), 7.82 (s, 1H, meso-H), 3.63–3.50 (m, 4H, -CH_{2}), 2.56–2.35 (m, 12H, -CH_{2}), 2.14 (s, 1H, NH), 1.86 (s, 1H, NH), 1.64–1.58 (m, 6H, -CH_{3}), 0.60–0.52 (m, 12H, -CH_{3}), 0.44 (t, \(^3\)J_{H,H} = 7.5 Hz, 6H) ppm. \(^{13}\)C{\(^1\)H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_{3}): \(\delta\) 209.6, 209.0, 205.5, 167.3, 157.5, 154.6, 150.6, 143.0, 134.4, 134.2, 133.0, 130.4, 129.0, 102.0, 98.5, 90.7, 85.3, 71.9, 60.9, 59.3, 57.3, 31.8, 31.5, 30.5, 19.3, 18.8, 18.1, 8.8, 8.7, 8.5 ppm. UV-vis (CH_{2}Cl_{2}) \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) (log \(\varepsilon\)) 408 (4.76), 426 (4.79), 561 (sh), (3.90), 604 (4.13), 630 (sh), (4.08), 682 (4.14) nm. Fluorescence (CH_{2}Cl_{2}, \(\lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 426\) nm) \(\lambda_{\text{max-emission}} = 697, 756\) (sh) nm; \(\varphi_f = 0.155\). IR (diamond ATR, neat) 1705 (\(\nu_{C=O}\)), 3303, 3400 (\(\nu_{N-H}\)) cm\(^{-1}\). HR-MS (ESI+, 100% CH_{3}CN, TOF): \(m/z\) calc’d for C_{36}H_{46}N_{4}O_{3} [M]+ 582.3570, found 582.3520; calc’d for C_{36}H_{47}N_{4}O_{3} [M+H]+ 583.3643, found 583.3588; calc’d for C_{36}H_{46}N_{4}O_{3}Na [M+Na]+ 605.3462, found 605.3366.

3,3,8,8,12,13,17,17-Octaethyl-2,7,18-trioxopyrrocorphin (8-O^{2,7,18}): Chromatography condition: silica gel/CH_{2}Cl_{2}. The product was isolated as green crystalline solid in 2% yield (43 mg, 7.37 × 10^{-5} mol). MW = 582.7754 g/mol. R_{f} = 0.21 (silica-CH_{2}Cl_{2}). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_{3}): \(\delta\) 9.02 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.60 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.52 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.25 (s, 1H, meso-

\(^{2}\) (a) Inhoffen, H. H.; Nolte, W., Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1969, 725, 167–176. (b) Chang, C. K., Biochemistry 1980, 19 (9), 1971-1976.
H), 3.76–3.68 (m, 4H, -CH₂), 2.63–2.45 (m, 12H, -CH₂), 1.68 (t, ³J_HH = 7.5 Hz, 6H, -CH₃), 0.55–0.46 (m, 18H, -CH₃), 0.20 (s, 1H NH), -0.06 (s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 209.9, 208.8, 204.6, 159.6, 158.4, 152.2, 149.1, 149.0, 137.7, 135.9, 135.3, 133.5, 130.1, 99.2, 96.5, 90.1, 86.1, 60.2, 59.1, 58.4, 31.9, 31.6, 31.5, 19.2, 19.1, 18.4, 18.2, 8.7, 8.4 ppm. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) λ_max (log ε): 425 (5.57), 458 (5.33), 623 (4.93), 665 (4.77), 689 (4.43), 727 (5.44) nm. Fluorescence (CH₂Cl₂, λ_excitation = 458 nm) λ_max-emission = 639, 778 (sh) nm; ϕₐ = 0.085. IR (diamond ATR, neat): 1698 (v_C=O), 3287, 3393 (v_N-H) cm⁻¹. HR-MS (ESI+, 100% CH₃CN, TOF): calc'd for C₃₆H₄₆N₄O₃ [M]⁺ 582.3570, found 582.3515; calc'd for C₃₆H₄₇N₄O₃ [M+H]⁺ 583.3643, found 583.3586; calc’d for C₃₆H₄₆N₄O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ 605.3462, found 605.3398.

3,3,8,8,12,12,17,18-Octaethyl-2,7,13-trioxopyrrocorphin (8-O₂,7,13): Prepared in 13% yield (3.4 mg, 6.0 × 10⁻⁶ mol) by oxidation of dioxoisobacteriochlorin isomer 6-O²₈ (25 mg, 4.41 × 10⁻⁵ mol) in H₂SO₄/H₂O₂ using a similar procedure as for oxidation of OEP, except that twice the amount of 3% H₂O₂ was used. The product was isolated as purple solid. Preparative TLC conditions: silica gel/hexanes-CH₂Cl₂ (20:80 v/v). MW = 582.7754 g/mol. Rᵣ = 0.29 (silica-CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.56 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.48 (s, 1H, meso-H), 7.69 (s, 1H, meso-H), 6.95 (s, 1H, meso-H), 4.01 (s, 1H, -NH), 3.62 (s, 1H, -NH), 3.41 (q, ³J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 4H, -CH₂), 2.47 (dd, ³J_HH = 13.9, 7.3 Hz, 2H, -CH₂), 2.37–2.16 (m, 12H, -CH₂), 1.54 (d, ³J_HH = 7.2 Hz, 6H, -CH₃), 0.64 (t, ³J_HH = 7.3 Hz, 6H, -CH₃), 0.50 (dt, ³J_HH = 14.5, 7.4 Hz, 12H, -CH₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 208.8, 208.7, 205.2, 171.8, 169.7, 151.4, 144.0, 143.4, 137.7, 136.3, 134.5, 132.3, 130.9, 103.3, 100.7, 90.5, 88.1, 60.9, 60.6, 58.4, 31.9, 30.5, 30.2, 29.8, 18.6, 18.5, 17.9, 17.8, 8.9, 8.8, 8.4 ppm. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) λ_max (log ε): 376 (4.94), 412 (4.97), 525 (4.18), 560 (4.47), 601 (4.17), 659 (4.29) nm. Fluorescence (CH₂Cl₂, λ_excitation = 412
nm) $\lambda_{\text{max-emission}} = 688$; $\phi_f = 0.112$. HR-MS (ESI+, 100% CH$_3$CN, TOF): calc’d for C$_{36}$H$_{46}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M]$^+$ 582.3570, found 582.3800.

3,3,7,7,12,13,17,17-Octaethyl-2,8,18-trioxopyrrocorphin (8-O$_{\text{2,8,18}}$): Prepared as a brownish solid in 11% yield (3 mg, $5.14 \times 10^{-6}$ mol) from an H$_2$SO$_4$/H$_2$O$_2$ oxidation of dioxoisobacteriochlorin isomer 6-O$_{\text{2,8}}$ (25 mg, $4.41 \times 10^{-5}$ mol) using a similar procedure as for the oxidation of OEP,$^2$ except that twice the amount of 3% H$_2$O$_2$ was used. Preparative TLC conditions: silica gel/hexanes-CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (20:80 v/v). MW = 582.7754 g/mol. R$_f$ = 0.12 (silica-CH$_2$Cl$_2$). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 8.86 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.59 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.22 (s, 1H, meso-H), 7.33 (s, 1H, meso-H), 3.56 (dq, $^3J_{H,H} = 15.2$, 7.5 Hz, 4H, -CH$_2$), 2.55 (dd, $^3J_{H,H} = 13.9$, 7.3 Hz, 2H, -CH$_2$), 2.51–2.24 (m, 12H, -CH$_2$), 2.15 (s, 1H, -NH), 1.80 (s, 1H, -NH), 1.60 (dt, $^3J_{H,H} = 11.2$, 7.6 Hz, 6H, -CH$_3$), 0.59 (t, $^3J_{H,H} = 7.4$ Hz, 6H, -CH$_3$), 0.49 (dt, $^3J_{H,H} = 15.4$, 7.4 Hz, 12H, -CH$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 209.1, 208.5, 204.4, 170.0, 157.2, 152.3, 150.5, 144.0, 137.0, 136.2, 133.1, 132.7, 132.3, 100.9, 99.1, 88.9, 88.0, 60.6, 59.1, 58.0, 32.0, 31.4, 30.7, 29.8, 18.9, 18.8, 18.2, 18.0, 8.7, 8.4 ppm. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log $\varepsilon$): 379 (5.08), 411 (5.17), 493 (3.72), 527 (3.95), 565 (4.27), 658 (4.32), 724 (4.84) nm. Fluorescence (CH$_2$Cl$_2$, $\lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 411$ nm) $\lambda_{\text{max-emission}} = 742$; $\phi_f = 0.178$. HR-MS (ESI+, 100% CH$_3$CN, TOF): calc’d for C$_{36}$H$_{46}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M]$^+$ 582.3570, found 582.3492.

[3,3,8,8,13,13,17,18-Octaethyl-2,7,12-trioxopyrrocorphinato]zinc(II) (Zn-8-O$_{\text{2,7,12}}$): Free base trioxopyrrocorphin 8-O$_{\text{2,7,12}}$ (120 mg, $2.06 \times 10^{-4}$ mol), ZnCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (281 mg, $2.06 \times 10^{-3}$ mol, 10 equiv.) and Li$_2$CO$_3$ (60 mg, $8.12 \times 10^{-4}$ mol, 4 equiv.) were dissolved in DMF (25 mL) and heated to reflux for 90 min. The solution was cooled to room temperature and then diluted with DI water ($\sim$200 mL) followed by microfiltration. The crude compound was purified by column
chromatography (CH$_2$Cl$_2$ followed by 7% acetone in CH$_2$Cl$_2$). The metal complex was isolated as a green powder in 94% (125 mg, 1.93 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ mol) yield. MW = 646.1396 g/mol. R$_f$ = 0.89 (silica-10% acetone in CH$_2$Cl$_2$). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 8.94 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.47 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.20 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, meso-H), 3.60 (dd, $^3$J$_{H,H}$ = 7.0, 3.0 Hz, 4H, -CH$_2$), 2.62 - 2.51 (m, 4H, -CH$_2$), 2.48 - 2.43 (m, 2H, -CH$_2$), 2.36 (dt, $^3$J$_{H,H}$ = 12.0, 6.5 Hz, 6H, -CH$_2$), 1.57 (dt, $^3$J$_{H,H}$ = 11.5, 7.5 Hz, 6H, -CH$_3$), 0.42 (t, $^3$J$_{H,H}$ = 7.5 Hz, 6H, -CH$_3$), 0.32 (dd, $^3$J$_{H,H}$ = 17.0, 7.5 Hz, 12H, -CH$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C{($^1$H) NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 209.2, 208.9, 207.2, 161.5, 159.3, 153.7, 146.5, 145.8, 144.1, 141.3, 139.7, 138.0, 137.9, 98.1, 95.9, 88.4, 85.9, 59.5, 58.2, 57.4, 30.4, 30.3, 30.2, 18.6, 18.5, 8.2, 8.1, 8.0 ppm. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda_{max}$ (log $\varepsilon$): 432 (4.72), 486 (3.70), 708 (4.45) nm. Fluorescence (CH$_2$Cl$_2$, $\lambda_{excitation}$ = 432 nm) $\lambda_{max}$-emission = 717 nm; $\phi_f$ = 0.017. IR (diamond ATR, neat): 1705 (v$_{C=O}$) cm$^{-1}$. HR-MS (ESI+, 100% CH$_3$CN, TOF): calc’d for C$_{38}$H$_{44}$N$_4$O$_3$Zn [M]+ 644.2705, found 644.2747.

[3,3,8,8,12,13,17,17-Octaethyl-2,7,18-trioxopyrrocorphinato]zinc(II) (Zn-8-O$_{2,7,18}$): Prepared as a green crystalline solid in 86% yield (67 mg, 1.04 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ mol) from free base 8-O$_{2,7,18}$ (70 mg, 1.20 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ mol), ZnCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (164 mg, 1.20 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ mol, 10 equiv.) and Li$_2$CO$_3$ (36 mg, 4.80 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ mol, 4 equiv.) in 15 mL of DMF and using the same work-up protocol as described for 8-O$_{2,7,18}$. Column chromatography condition: silica gel/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ followed by 10% acetone in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. MW = 646.1396 g/mol. R$_f$ = 0.7 (silica-10% acetone in CH$_2$Cl$_2$). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, methanol-d$_4$): $\delta$ 9.02 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.60 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.54 (s, 1H, meso-H), 8.43 (s, 1H, meso-H), 3.70-3.65 (m, 4H, -CH$_2$), 2.71–2.45 (m, 12H, -CH$_2$), 1.69 (t, $^3$J$_{H,H}$ = 7.6 Hz, 6H, -CH$_3$), 0.48–0.39 (m, 18H, -CH$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_3$OD): $\delta$ 212.3, 210.9, 210.8, 161.8, 160.3, 159.1, 153.9, 151.2, 145.9, 144.3, 142.8, 140.5, 140.2, 97.2, 94.7, 94.3, 90.5, 62.2, 62.1,
61.0, 32.5, 32.4, 32.2, 20.2, 19.0, 18.8, 9.0, 8.9 ppm. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) (log \( \varepsilon \)): 434 (4.66), 477 (4.54), 663 (4.17), 718 (4.88) nm. Fluorescence (CH₂Cl₂, \( \lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 434 \) nm) \( \lambda_{\text{max-emission}} \) = 728, 762 (sh) nm; \( \phi_f = 0.020 \). IR (diamond ATR, neat): 1695 (\( v_{\text{C=O}} \)) cm⁻¹. HR-MS (ESI+, 100% CH₃CN, TOF): calc’d for C₃₆H₄₄N₄O₃Zn [M⁺] 644.2705, found 644.2558.

Reproduction of Spectra

Free Base Trioxopyrrocorphins

Figure S1. \(^{1}H\) NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl₃ at 25 °C) of 8-O\(^{17,12}\).
Figure S2. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$^{2,7,12}$.

Figure S3. HSQC NMR spectrum (CDCl$_3$, 25 °C) of 8-O$^{2,7,12}$. 
Figure S4. HMBC NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 25 °C) of 8-O²⁻,7,12⁻.

Figure S5. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) absorption (solid line) and fluorescence emission (CH₂Cl₂) spectra (broken line) of 8-O²⁻,7,12⁻.
Figure S6. FT-IR Spectrum (neat, ATR) of 8-O$^{2,7,12}$.

Figure S7. HR-MS Spectrum (ESI+, 100% CH$_3$CN, TOF) of 8-O$^{2,7,12}$. 

Chemical Formula: C$_{35}$H$_{42}$N$_6$O$_3$

Calculated mass: [M]$^+$ = 582.3570
[M+H]$^+$ = 583.3643
[M+Na]$^+$ = 605.3462
Figure S8. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$_{2,7,18}$.

Figure S9. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$_{2,7,18}$.
Figure S10. HSQC NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 25 °C) of 8-O²⁻⁷,¹⁸⁻.

Figure S11. HMBC NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 25 °C) of 8-O²⁻⁷,¹⁸⁻.
**Figure S12.** UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) absorption (solid line) and fluorescence emission (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) spectra (broken line) of $8$-$O^{2,7,18}$.

**Figure S13.** HR-MS Spectrum (ESI+, 100% CH$_3$CN, TOF) of $8$-$O^{2,7,18}$. 
Figure S14. FT-IR Spectrum (neat, ATR) of 8-O$_{2,7,18}$.

Figure S15. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$_{2,7,13}$.
Figure S16. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, DMSO-D$_6$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$^{2,13}$ before (red color) and after (blue color) D$_2$O exchange.

Figure S17. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$^{2,13}$. 
Figure S18. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) absorption (solid line) and fluorescence emission (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) spectra (broken line) of 8-O$^{3,7,13}$.

Figure S19. HR-MS Spectrum (ESI+, 100% CH$_3$CN, TOF) of 8-O$^{3,7,13}$. 
Figure S20. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$_{2,8,18}^\text{2,8,18}$.

Figure S21. $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, DMSO-D$_6$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$_{2,8,18}^\text{2,8,18}$ before (red color) and after (blue color) D$_2$O exchange.
Figure S22. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$ at 25 °C) of 8-O$_{2,8,18}$.

Figure S23. HSQC NMR spectrum (CDCl$_3$, 25 °C) of 8-O$_{2,8,18}$.
Figure S24. HMBC NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 25 °C) of 8-O²⁸,₁⁸.

Figure S25. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) absorption (solid line) and fluorescence emission (CH₂Cl₂) spectra (broken line) of 8-O²⁸,₁⁸.
Figure S26. HR-MS Spectrum (ESI+, 100% CH$_3$CN, TOF) of 8-O$^{2,8,18}$. 
**Zn(II)-Complexes of Trioxopyrrocorphins**

**Figure S27.** $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$ at 25 °C) of Zn(II)-8-O$_{2,7,12}$.

**Figure S28.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$ at 25 °C) of Zn-8-O$_{2,7,12}$.
Figure S29. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) absorption (solid line) and fluorescence emission (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) spectra (broken line) of Zn-8-O$_{2,7,12}$.

Figure S30. FT-IR Spectrum (neat, ATR) of Zn-8-O$_{2,7,12}$. 
Figure S31. HR-MS Spectrum (ESI+, 100% CH₃CN, TOF) of Zn-8-O₂,₇,₁₂.

Figure S32. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, methanol-d₄ at 25 °C) of Zn(II)-8-O₂,₇,₁₈.
Figure S33. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, methanol-d$_4$ at 25 °C) of Zn(II)-8-O$_{3,7,18}$.

Figure S34. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) absorption (solid line) and fluorescence emission (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) spectra (broken line) of Zn(II)-8-O$_{3,7,18}$.
Figure S35. FT-IR Spectrum (neat, ATR) of Zn(II)-8-O^{2,7,18}.

Figure S36. HR-MS Spectrum (ESI+, 100% CH₃CN, TOF) of Zn(II)-8-O^{2,7,18}.
Halochromic Properties of Trioxopyrrocorphins

For linear graphs, the slope of the graph is taken as the Hill coefficient ($\eta$), reflecting the stoichiometry of the event causing the optical change tracked. The graphs returned for the acid titration (TFA in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) of the triketones studied here are decidedly non-linear, suggesting the presence of multiple binding events. Thus, it was not possible for us to calculate the Hill coefficient for these reactions.

The non-linear graphs required a third-order polynomial for best fit ($r^2 > 0.994$).

Figure S37. Hill plots for the acid (TFA) titrations of (a) 8-O$_{2,7,12}$, (b) 8-O$_{2,7,18}$, (c) 8-O$_{2,7,13}$, and (d) 8-O$_{2,8,18}$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. 
**Figure S38.** Plot showing total [TFA] to reach 50% protonation for (a) $8$-$O^{2,7,12}$, (b) for $8$-$O^{2,7,18}$, (c) $8$-$O^{2,7,13}$ and (d) $8$-$O^{2,8,18}$ (in CH$_2$Cl$_2$).

**Figure S39.** UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$, blue traces and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ + TBAOH, red traces) of the mono-oxo and dioxochlorins indicated. Sufficient TBAOH was added to a sample recorded in red to achieve maximum changes (saturation), with dilution errors < 2%.
Figure S40. Photographs of the cuvettes containing the solutions indicated, before and after the addition of acid/base.
Figure S41. Comparison of the computed UV-vis spectra for the neutral (solid trace) and bisprotonated (broken trace) triketones indicated. The spectra were not shifted to account for systematic errors.
Figure S42. Comparison of the computed UV-vis spectra for the neutral (solid trace) and deprotonated at pyrrole (dotted trace), deprotonated at pyrrole (broken trace), and bis-deprotonated (dashed trace) triketones indicated. The spectra were not shifted to account for systematic errors.
Figure S43. Comparison of the computed UV-vis spectrum (broken line) for the mono-deprotonated (at pyrrole) species of the triketone indicated with the experimental spectrum at high [OH⁻] (solid line). The experimental spectra were visually shifted for best fit. Note: We do not suggest that the species at high [OH⁻] is indeed mono-deprotonated at the pyrrole.
Figure S44. Comparison of the computed UV-vis spectrum (broken line) for the bis-protonated species of the triketone indicated with the experimental spectrum at high [TFA] (solid line). The experimental spectra were visually shifted for best fit. Note: We do not suggest that the species at high [TFA] is indeed the deprotonated spectrum computed.
Figure S45. $^1$H NMR spectral titration of 8-O$_{27,112}$ (0.024 M in CDCl$_3$) with TBAOH (0.166 M in CDCl$_3$). Blue spots indicative of inner core protons. * indicates the signals raised from TBAOH.

Figure S46. $^1$H NMR spectral titration of 8-O$_{27,118}$ (0.021 M in CDCl$_3$) with TBAOH (0.091 M in CDCl$_3$). Blue spots indicative of inner core protons. * indicates the signals raised from TBAOH.
X-Ray Crystallography

Data for 8-O\textsuperscript{2,7,18}Zn·pyridine were collected on a Bruker Quest diffractometer with a fixed chi angle, a Mo K\(\alpha\) wavelength (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å) sealed tube fine focus X-ray tube, single crystal curved graphite incident beam monochromator, and a Photon II area detector. Data for 8-O\textsuperscript{2,7,18} and 8-O\textsuperscript{2,7,12}Zn·pyridine were collected on a Bruker Quest diffractometer with kappa geometry, a Cu K\(\alpha\) wavelength (\(\lambda = 1.54178\) Å) I-\(\mu\)-S microsource X-ray tube, laterally graded multilayer (Goebel) mirror for monochromatization, and a Photon III C14 area detector. Both instruments were equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems low temperature device and examination and data collection were performed at 150 K. Data were collected, reflections were indexed and processed, and the files scaled and corrected for absorption using APEX3\textsuperscript{3} and SADABS\textsuperscript{4}. The space groups were assigned using XPREP within the SHELXTL suite of programs\textsuperscript{5,6} and solved by direct methods using ShelXS\textsuperscript{6} and refined by full matrix least squares against \(F^2\) with all reflections using Shelxl2018\textsuperscript{7} and the graphical interface Shelxle\textsuperscript{8}. H atoms attached to carbon, boron and nitrogen atoms as well as hydroxyl hydrogens were positioned geometrically and constrained to ride on their parent atoms. C-H bond distances were constrained to 0.95 Å for aromatic and alkene C-H moieties, and to 0.99 and 0.98 Å for aliphatic CH\(_2\) and CH\(_3\) moieties, respectively. N-H bond distances were constrained to 0.88 Å. O-H distances of alcohols were constrained to 0.84 Å. Methyl CH\(_3\) and hydroxyl H atoms were allowed to rotate but not to tip to best fit the experimental electron density. \(U_{\text{iso}}(H)\) values were set to a multiple of \(U_{\text{eq}}(C)\) with 1.5 for CH\(_3\) and OH, and 1.2 for C-H, CH\(_2\) and N-H units, respectively.

---

\textsuperscript{3} Bruker (2019). Apex3 v2019.1-0, SAINT V8.40A, Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison (WI), USA.

\textsuperscript{4} Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G.M. & Stalke, D. (2015). \textit{J. Appl. Cryst.} \textbf{48}, 3-10.

\textsuperscript{5} SHELXTL suite of programs, Version 6.14, 2000-2003, Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin: USA.

\textsuperscript{6} Sheldrick, G.M. A short history of SHELX. \textit{Acta Crystallogr A.} \textbf{2008}, \textit{64}(1), 112–122.

\textsuperscript{7} a) Sheldrick, G.M. University of Göttingen, Germany, \textbf{2018}. b) Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. \textit{Acta Crystallogr Sect C Struct Chem.} \textbf{2015}, \textit{71}(1), 3–8.

\textsuperscript{8} Hübschle, C.B., Sheldrick, G.M. & Dittrich, B. ShelXle: a Qt graphical user interface for SHELXL. \textit{J. Appl. Crystallogr.} \textbf{2011}, \textit{44}(6), 1281–1284.
Additional data collection and refinement details, including description of disorder (where present) can be found in the sections below. Complete crystallographic data, in CIF format, have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. CCDC 2064957-2064959 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).

**Details to the X-Ray Crystal Structure of 8-O²⁻⁷⁻¹⁸**

The structure is metrically pseudo-tetragonal, but has actual monoclinic Pc symmetry. It is twinned by pseudo-orthorhombic symmetry (β = 90.196(2)°) and was refined as a 2-component twin (twin law 1 0 0, 0 -1 0, 0 0 -1). The twin ratio refined to 0.894(2) to 0.106(2).

Several diethyl methylene moieties were refined as disordered. The units were restrained to have geometries similar as another well-defined diethyl methylene moiety, and Uij components of ADPs were restrained to be similar for atoms closer to each other than 2.0 Å. Subject to these conditions the occupancy ratios refined to 0.850(16) to 0.150(16) for the moiety of C13A, to 0.665(15) to 0.335(16) for that of C8B, and to 0.797(10) to 0.203(10) for that of C13B.

Two small voids of around 68 Å³ (2% of the cell volume) between molecules were occupied by disordered semi-liquid solvate molecules. No substantial electron density peaks were found in the solvent accessible voids (less than 0.55 electron per Å³) and the residual electron density peaks are not arranged in an interpretable pattern. The cif and fcf files were thus corrected for using reverse Fourier transform methods using the SQUEEZE routine (P. van der Sluis & A.L. Spek (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 194-201) as implemented in the program Platon. The resultant files were used in the further refinement. (The FAB file with details of the Squeeze results is appended to the cif file). The Squeeze procedure corrected for two times 13 electrons within the
solvent accessible voids, indicating disordered and/or partially occupied methanol (one of the crystallization solvents).

Figure S47. Probability ellipsoid representation of triketone 8-O$_{2,7,18}^{2,7,18}$ oblique view, displacement parameters at the 50% probability level.

Table S1. Experimental Details to the X-ray Diffraction Analyses of 8-O$_{2,7,18}^{2,7,18}$.

| Crystal data | 8-O$_{2,7,18}^{2,7,18}$ |
|--------------|------------------------|
| Chemical formula | C$_{36}$H$_{46}$N$_4$O$_3$·0.323(CH$_4$O) |
| $M_i$ | 593.12 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $Pc$ |
| Temperature (K) | 150 |
| $a$, $b$, $c$ (Å) | 12.2252 (4), 12.1333 (4), 22.9886 (8) |
| $\beta$ (°) | 90.0171 (16) |
| $V$ (Å$^3$) | 3409.9 (2) |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| **F(000)**          | 1279.3     |
|---------------------|------------|
| **D₀ (Mg m⁻³)**     | 1.155      |
| **Radiation type**  | Cu Kα      |
| **No. of reflections for cell measurement** | 9928      |
| **θ range (°) for cell measurement** | 3.6–79.0  |
| **μ (mm⁻¹)**        | 0.59       |
| **Crystal shape**   | Flake      |
| **Colour**          | Green      |
| **Crystal size (mm)** | 0.14 × 0.12 × 0.03 |

**Data collection**

| **Diffractometer** | Bruker AXS D8 Quest diffractometer with PhotonIII_C14 charge-integrating and photon counting pixel array detector |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Radiation source** | I-µ-S microsource X-ray tube                                                                                   |
| **Monochromator**  | Laterally graded multilayer (Goebel) mirror                                                                    |
| **Detector resolution (pixels mm⁻¹)** | 7.4074                                    |
| **Scan method**    | ø and phi scans                                                                                               |
| **Absorption correction** | Multi-scan, SADABS 2016/2: Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick G.M. & Stalke D., J. Appl. Cryst. 48 (2015) 3-10. |
| **Tmin, Tmax**     | 0.683, 0.754                                                                                                   |
| **No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections** | 36871, 13171, 12382                                      |
| **Rint**           | 0.043                                                                                                          |
| **θ values (°)**   | θₘₐₓ = 79.9, θₘᵢₙ = 1.9                                                                                       |
| **(sin θ/λ)ₙₐₓ (Å⁻¹)** | 0.639                                             |
| **Range of h, k, l** | h = -15→13, k = -15→13, l = -28→29                                                                 |

**Refinement**

| **Refinement on** | F²                                                                 |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **R(F² > 2σ(F²)), wR(F²), S** | 0.046, 0.120, 1.06                                                       |
| **No. of reflections** | 13171                                                                 |
| **No. of parameters** | 851                                                                |
| **No. of restraints** | 99                                                                    |
| **H-atom treatment** | H-atom parameters constrained                                         |
| **Weighting scheme** | w = 1/[σ²(F₀) + (0.0686P)² + 0.1612P] where P = (F₀² + 2Fᵡ²)/3     |
| **(Δ/σ)ₙₐₓ** | < 0.001                                                             |
| **Δρₘₐₓ, Δρₘᵢₙ (e Å⁻³)** | 0.42, -0.20                                                        |
| **Absolute structure** | Flack x determined using 5161 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[|(I+)+(I-)] | (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259). |
| **Absolute structure parameter** | 0.46 (10)                                                              |
| **CCDC** | 2064958                                                              |
Details to the X-Ray Crystal Structure of $\text{8-O}^{2,7,18}\text{Zn-pyridine}$

Two crystallographically independent molecules are present in the lattice. The two molecules are related to each other by a pseudo B-centering lattice translation. Exact translational symmetry is broken by modulation of one ethyl group (C33, C34), which is oriented differently in the two molecules. Identical orientations of the ethyl groups is mutually incompatible due to a close contact. Ethyl groups are disordered over two orientations with the major moiety of each molecule related by B-centering to the minor moiety of the other molecule.

Exact translational symmetry is also broken by disorder of one Et$_2$C moiety in molecule B. Disorder is absent in molecule A.

Major and minor moieties of each disordered segment were restrained to have similar geometries. ADPs of atoms C17A and C17C, and C17B and C17D were constrained to be each identical. $U_{ij}$ components of ADPs for disordered atoms closer to each other than 2.0 Å were restrained to be similar. Subject to these conditions the joined occupancy ratio for the ethyl disorder (C17, C33, C34) refined to 0.824(3) to 0.176(3). The disorder ration for the Et$_2$C moiety of molecule B refined to 0.509(4) to 0.491(4).
Table S2. Experimental Details to the X-ray Diffraction Analyses of 8-O²⁻⁷⁻¹⁸Zn-pyridine.

| Crystal data | 8-O²⁻⁷⁻¹⁸Zn-pyridine |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Chemical formula | C₄₁H₄₉N₅O₃Zn |
| M_r | 725.22 |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, P ̅ ̅ 1 |
| Temperature (K) | 150 |
| a, b, c (Å) | 12.0722 (6), 18.1055 (9), 19.2232 (10) |
| α, β, γ (°) | 67.041 (2), 88.923 (2), 78.976 (2) |
| V (Å³) | 3790.2 (3) |
| Z | 4 |
| F(000) | 1536 |
| D, (Mg m⁻³) | 1.271 |
| Radiation type | Mo Kα |
| No. of reflections for cell measurement | 9309 |
| θ range (°) for cell measurement | 2.5–33.0 |
| µ (mm⁻¹) | 0.69 |
| Crystal shape | Block |
| Colour | Green |
| Crystal size (mm) | 0.41 × 0.23 × 0.17 |

Data collection

| Diffractometer | Bruker AXS D8 Quest diffractometer with PhotonII charge-integrating pixel array detector (CPAD) |
| Radiation source | fine focus sealed tube X-ray source |
| Monochromator | Triumph curved graphite crystal |
| Detector resolution (pixels mm⁻¹) | 7.4074 |
| Scan method | φ and phi scans |
| Absorption correction | Multi-scan, SADABS 2016/2: Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick G.M. & Stalke D., J. Appl. Cryst. 48 (2015) 3-10. |
| T_min, T_max | 0.694, 0.747 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections | 277906, 29002, 22766 |
| R_int | 0.045 |
| θ values (°) | θ_max = 33.2, θ_min = 2.0 |
| (sin θ/λ)max (Å⁻¹) | 0.771 |
| Range of h, k, l | h = -18→18, k = -27→27, l = -29→29 |
**Refinement**

| Refinement on | $F^2$ |
|---------------|-------|
| $R[F^2 > 2σ(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ | 0.058, 0.168, 1.08 |
| No. of reflections | 29002 |
| No. of parameters | 1029 |
| No. of restraints | 284 |
| H-atom treatment | H-atom parameters constrained |
| Weighting scheme | $w = 1/[σ^2(F_o^2) + (0.0597P)^2 + 4.6782P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ |
| $(Δ/σ)_{max}$ | 0.001 |
| $Δρ_{max}, Δρ_{min}$ (e Å$^{-3}$) | 1.33, -1.40 |
| Extinction method | SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick 2018), $Fc^*=kFC[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0031 (5) |
| CCDC | 2064959 |

**Figure S48.** Probability ellipsoid representation of $\text{8-O}^{2,7,18}\text{Zn-pyridine}$ oblique views. Anisotropically refined displacement parameters shown at the 50% probability level.
Details to the X-Ray Crystal Structure of 8-O_{2,7,12}Zn·pyridine

A solvate area is occupied by either methanol or water. The major methanol fraction is internally hydrogen bonded to form tetramers. The minor water fraction, consists of two times three water molecules, in part H-bonded to one of the keto oxygen atoms and among themselves. Methanol C-O bond distances were restrained to be similar in length.

Two water O atoms, O5B and O6B, were restrained to be at least 2.80(2) Å apart. Water H atom positions were initially refined while a damping factor was applied and O-H and H···H distances were restrained to 0.84(2) and 1.36(2) Å, respectively. Some water H atom positions were further restrained based on hydrogen bonding considerations and an anti-bumping restraint was applied to avoid close H···H contacts. In the final refinement cycles the damping factor was removed and water H atoms were constrained to ride on their carrying oxygen atom. U\text{ij} components of ADPs for disordered atoms closer to each other than 2.0 Å were restrained to be similar. Subject to these conditions the occupancy ratio refined to 0.781(6) to 0.219(6).

Table S3. Experimental Details to the X-ray Diffraction Analyses of 8-O_{2,7,12}Zn·pyridine.

| Crystal data | 8-O_{2,7,12}Zn·pyridine |
|--------------|---------------------------|
| Chemical formula | C_{41}H_{49}N_{5}O_{3}Zn·1.562(CH_{4}O)·0.657(H_{2}O) |
| \( M_r \) | 787.09 |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, \( P\bar{1} \) |
| Temperature (K) | 150 |
| \( a, b, c \) (Å) | 10.7675 (10), 11.1454 (13), 17.315 (2) |
| \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) (°) | 89.825 (5), 87.094 (6), 82.139 (5) |
| \( V \) (Å³) | 2055.7 (4) |
| \( Z \) | 2 |
| \( F(000) \) | 837.4 |
| \( D_\text{c} \) (Mg m⁻³) | 1.272 |
| Radiation type            | Cu Kα                  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
| No. of reflections for cell measurement | 7884                  |
| θ range (°) for cell measurement | 4.7–80.0              |
| μ (mm⁻¹)                  | 1.23                   |
| Crystal shape             | Block                  |
| Colour                    | Black                  |
| Crystal size (mm)         | 0.19 × 0.17 × 0.11     |  

**Data collection**

| Diffractometer           | Bruker AXS D8 Quest diffractometer with PhotonIII_C14 charge-integrating and photon counting pixel array detector |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Radiation source         | I-μ-S microsource X-ray tube                                                                                   |
| Monochromator            | Laterally graded multilayer (Goebel) mirror                                                                  |
| Detector resolution (pixels mm⁻¹) | 7.4074                                                                                                       |
| Scan method              | θ and φ scans                                                                                                 |
| Absorption correction    | Multi-scan, SADABS 2016/2: Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick G.M. & Stalke D., J. Appl. Cryst. 48 (2015) 3-10 |
| T min, T max             | 0.608, 0.754                                                                                                  |
| No. of measured, independent and observed | 19177, 8185, 6640                                                                                           |
| I > 2σ(I) reflections    |                                                                                                              |
| R int                    | 0.050                                                                                                          |
| θ values (°)             | θ max = 80.2, θ min = 4.7                                                                                     |
| (sin θ/λ) max (Å⁻¹)      | 0.639                                                                                                          |
| Range of h, k, l         | h = -12→13, k = -14→13, l = -20→22                                                                           |

**Refinement**

| Refinement on | F² |
|---------------|----|
| R(F² > 2σ(F²)), wR(F²), S | 0.053, 0.143, 1.05 |
| No. of reflections | 8185 |
| No. of parameters | 527 |
| No. of restraints | 60 |
| H-atom treatment | H-atom parameters constrained |
| Weighting scheme | \( w = 1/(\sigma(F_o^2)^2 + (0.0569P)^2 + 2.3554P) \) where \( P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \) |
| (Δ/σ) max       | 0.001 |
| Δρ max, Δρ min (e Å⁻³) | 0.88, -0.74 |
| CCDC Number     | 2064957 |
**Figure S49.** Probability ellipsoid representation of $8\text{-O}^{2,7,12}\text{Zn-pyridine}$ top view. Anisotropically refined displacement parameters shown at the 50% probability level.
Figure S50. Bond length analysis of the structures indicated, and resonance structures deduced shown in red. Axial pyridine group and all ethyl substituents omitted for clarity. Coordination bonds: green; isolated double bonds: red; single bonds (> 1.44 Å): blue; conjugated double bonds (between 1.35 and 1.45 Å): red.
Computational Methods

The trioxopyrrocorphin isomers in the neutral, singly (mono-) and doubly (di-anionic) deprotonated, and doubly (di-cationic) protonated states were geometry optimized with the BHandHLYP approximate density functional\(^9\) and a dev2-SVP basis set.\(^{10}\) The absence of negative or imaginary frequencies in a harmonic vibrational analysis was used to confirm that each of the obtained structures resided in a local minimum on the potential energy surface.

Our primary objective for the computations was to provide insight into the regioisomeric-dependent aromatic nature of the trioxopyrrocorphins. We have found through calculations on related porphotrilactone structures, which will be published elsewhere, that the best agreement between theory and experiment for the magnetic criterion of aromaticity is obtained by performing geometry optimizations and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) computations with a density functional, like BHandHLYP, that contains 50% exact (Hartree-Fock) exchange. This conclusion is consistent with prior work\(^{11}\) and motivated the choice over the level of theory for our studies.

NMR computations were performed on the four neutral trioxopyrrocorphin isomers at the BHandHLYP/def2-TZVP\(^9,10\) level of theory. The difference in the average \(^1\)H chemical shifts for the inner N-H and the outer \textit{meso}-C-H protons (\(\delta_{\Delta \text{NH,meso}}\)) was computed as a measure of the diatropic ring current that could be directly compared to experiment. At the chosen level of theory, the comparison was found to be excellent, reproducing experimental \(\delta_{\Delta \text{NH,meso}}\) values within 0.4 ppm. To build on this result, the Iso-chemical shielding surface approach (ICSS)\(^{12}\) implemented in MultiWFN\(^{13}\) was employed to visualize the spatial distribution of the ZZ
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component of the magnetic shielding tensor 1.0 Å above the macrocyclic plane. In the ICSS method, which is a three-dimensional generalization of the nucleus independent chemical shift (NICS) technique, a cube of evenly spaced probe or phantom atoms is placed around the molecule of interest, and the magnetic shielding at these points is computed. A cube of 132,440 probe atoms was constructed using the default settings for a “medium” quality grid in MultiWFN for each trioxopyrrocorphin.

The NICS(0) and NICS(1) values are based on the isotropic magnetic shielding value and the NICS metrics follow the same trend as the difference in NH-meso chemical shifts (Table S4)

| Trioxopyrrocorphins | δΔ (ppm) | NICS(0) | NICS(0)zz | NICS(1) | NICS(1)zz |
|---------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| 8-O$_{2,7,13}$      | 4.18     | -4.75   | -7.32    | -4.76   | -11.79   |
| 8-O$_{2,7,12}$      | 5.99     | -5.95   | -11.12   | -5.85   | -15.31   |
| 8-O$_{2,8,18}$      | 6.01     | -6.03   | -11.38   | -5.93   | -15.37   |
| 8-O$_{2,7,18}$      | 8.48     | -7.74   | -16.68   | -7.46   | -20.20   |

**Note:** the molecules in the calculation were oriented in the yz plane, so we are reporting the xx component of the magnetic shielding tensor. We are using the “zz” label because the result is invariant to rotation, and either way, we are providing the tensor component perpendicular to the macrocyclic plane.

The UV-vis absorption spectra for each trioxopyrrocorphin in the neutral, singly or doubly deprotonated, and singly protonated states were computed with the hybrid PBE0 density functional and a 6-31+G(d) basis set. We have shown previously that this level of theory well reproduces the optical spectra of porphyrinoids bearing β-oxo functionalities.
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All computations were performed with an ultrafine integration grid and tight self-consistent field (SCF) convergence as implemented in Gaussian 09, Rev. D.01.18

The coordinates of all computed structures are available as .pdf files in the ESI.
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Figure S51. Overlay of the full computed structures of the triketones indicated and the 16-membered inner-inner-inner-inner resonance structure compound in which all atoms outside this macrocycle were replaced with hydrogen atoms.

Figure S52. Computed differences in the average $^1$H chemical shifts for the inner N-H and the outer meso-C-H protons ($\delta_{\Delta H,\text{meso}}$) of the computed theoretical structures indicated above.