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1. Introduction

The research, development, and manufacturing of gas turbines and aero engines are important standards of measuring the manufacturing level of a country’s advanced industries \([1]\). At present, the combustion temperature of advanced gas turbines has exceeded 1500 °C, which means that the high-temperature components of gas turbines will face a more severe service environment \([2]\). Thermal barrier coatings have been widely used in hot sections of advanced gas turbines and aeroengines to improve the reliability and durability as well as the efficiency of engines \([3]\). The often-used thermal barrier coatings compose of a thermally insulating ceramic layer with a high melting point and a thickness of about 300–500 μm as well as low thermal conductivity and an oxidation-resistant bonding layer. The thermal barrier coating isolates the contact between the high-temperature working medium and the metal substrate. TBCs’ good heat insulation...
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performance reduces the surface temperature of the parts, weakens the heat exchange effect, and forms an effective protection for the substrate [4–6].

The preparation methods of thermal barrier coatings mainly include electron beam physical vapor deposition and plasma spraying [7,8]. TBCs prepared through plasma spraying have typical lamellar structural features [9]. During the serving of TBCs at high temperature, perforation cracks, or cracks parallel to the surface of the coating will form in the ceramic coatings or near the boundary between the ceramic coating and bond coating [10,11]. Cracks parallel to the substrate surface easily lead to cracking failure of the coating, which is very harmful to thermal shock resistance of TBCs. The compatibility can be improved by adding a bonding layer between the substrate and the ceramic layer. Meanwhile, TBCs prepared through the electron beam physical vapor deposition method have columnar characters which will lead to higher thermal conductivity than those prepared by plasma spraying and finally weaken the thermal isolation effects [12,13].

Studies have proposed that changing the composition of the thermal barrier coating material can achieve lower thermal conductivity and better high temperature resistance than often-used ceramic materials [14]. The use of co-doped trivalent or pentavalent rare earth oxides into zirconia ceramic materials can change the structure of the thermal barrier coatings [15]. Rare earth elements have special electronic layer structure and activity, low electronegativity, and special chemical activity [16]. In addition, rare earth elements have strong adsorption capacity for other elements, high chemical stability, and high melting point, and can be dissolved in a limited solid solution of ZrO₂. Therefore, the addition of rare earth elements can greatly improve the performance of the material [17,18]. Stabilizers, such as Y₂O₃, MgO, CeO₂, and CaO, are used to hinder phase changes of Zirconia at high temperature. Y₂O₃ is thought to be the preferred stabilizer with the content of 6–12 wt%. In particular, 8 mol-YSZ has the stabilized cubic structure. Meanwhile, the thermal conductivity of 8 mol-YSZ is 2.3 W/(m·k) at room temperature and 1.85 W/(m·k) at 1000 °C [19]. However, when the temperature is above 1200 °C, sintering and phase change will occur. Rare earth elements are strongly oxygen-phlic elements. Rare earth oxides are the most stable compounds [20,21]. In recent years, pyrochlore structure (A₂B₂O₇) is considered to have good prospects in thermal barrier coating materials with low thermal conductivity, high melting point, good phase stability at high temperature and a large thermal expansion coefficient [22–25]. Gd₂O₃ and Yb₂O₃ have high melting points of 2350 °C and 2346 °C, respectively. Meanwhile, both of them have good oxidation resistance, stable chemical activity, and good impact resistance in extreme environments [26]. Oxide co-doped ytttria stabilized zirconia ceramic material can change the structure of the thermal barrier coating system to make it a stable structure. In addition, Gd and Yb elements have a strong adsorption capacity for other elements, high chemical stability, and can be dissolved in a limited solid solution of ZrO₂ [27–30]. Therefore, the addition of Gd and Yb elements may greatly improve the performance of ZrO₂ thermal barrier coatings.

Therefore, in this work, quaternary Gd₂O₃-Yb₂O₃-Y₂O₃-ZrO₂ (GYYZO) materials were prepared to investigate the microstructure and properties including thermal conductivity, thermal expansion, hardness as well as modulus to expand the application materials in thermal barrier coatings.

2. Experimental Materials and Procedures

2.1. Preparation of Bulks

In Yb₂O₃ stabilized ZrO₂ (YbSZ), 5 wt% Yb₂O₃ stabilized ZrO₂ had the lowest heat capacity and 10 wt% Yb₂O₃ stabilized ZrO₂ had a fully stable cubic phase [31,32]. The properties of Gd₂O₃ and Yb₂O₃ were similar. Therefore, the contents of Yb₂O₃ and Y₂O₃ were set as 5 wt% and 8 wt% to keep the low heat capacity and stable tetragonal phase of the Gd₂O₃-Yb₂O₃-Y₂O₃-ZrO₂ (GYYZO) composites. The influence of Gd₂O₃ addition on the microstructure and properties of GYYZO composite would be investigated mainly. For the convenience of preparing the composite, 5 wt% Yb₂O₃ powder and 5 wt%, 10 wt%, 15 wt% Gd₂O₃ powders were respectively added into 8YSZ powders (M204NS, Metco,
Boston, MA, USA) through ball milling (ND7—04, Nanda Tianzun Electronic Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China) at a rotation speed of 120 rpm. The ball milling parameters were shown in Table 1. During the powders mixing process, the ball to powder weight ratio was controlled at 10:1. To avoid contamination, agate ball and jar were used. The powders' mixing times were 20 h. One percent of sodium stearate was added as an additional wetting agent in weight. 8YSZ powder had a mean size of 30 µm. Both YbO3 and GdO3 powder had a mean size of 3 µm. The powder had a globular shape as shown in Figure 1. After mixing through ball milling, small GdO3 and YbO3 powders stuck to the large 8YSZ powder to form mixed powders. The mixed powders were compacted into a bulk with a diameter of 13 mm and a thickness of 3 mm through an isostatic press (ZJYP-60T, Tianjin Xinnuo Instrument Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China) under an isostatic pressure of 200 MPa for a holding time of 5 min. The detailed parameters were listed in Table 2. After cold compaction, the preform bodies were sintered at 1650 °C for 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h.

**Table 1.** Powders mixing parameters through ball milling.

| Processing Parameters | Parameters |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Powders               | 8YSZ, YbO3, GdO3 |
| Ball to powder weight ratio | 10:1 |
| Grinding ball        | Agate ball |
| Wetting agent        | 1 wt% sodium stearate |
| Rotation speed       | 120 rpm |
| Time                 | 20 h |

**Figure 1.** Morphology of powder: (a) 8YSZ; (b) GYYZO with 5 wt% GdO3 and 5 wt% YbO3; (c) GYYZO with 10 wt% GdO3 and 5 wt% YbO3; (d) GYYZO with 15 wt% GdO3 and 5 wt% YbO3.
Table 2. Isostatic pressing and high temperature sintering parameters.

| Processing           | Parameters     |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Pressure             | 200 MPa        |
| Holding time         | 5 min          |
| Sintering temperature| 1650 °C        |
| Sintering time       | 6 h, 12 h, 24 h|

2.2. Microstructures and Phases

The morphology of the original powder and the microstructure of sintered bulk were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; VEGA II-XMU, TESCAN, Brno, Czech Republic). The phases were analyzed through X-ray diffraction (XRD-6000, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with Cu Kα radiation with a step of 0.02° from 20° to 80° at a scanning speed of 2°/min.

2.3. Properties

According to the Archimedes drainage method as well as an ISO 1183-1:2004 standard, the bulk’s density was measured. A balance with an accuracy of 0.0001 g (PTY-504, Fuzhou Huazhi Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd., Fuzhou, China) was used for weighing, and the density was calculated according to Formula (1):

\[
\rho_s = \frac{m_1}{m_1 - m_2}(\rho_0 - \rho_L) + \rho_L
\]

where \(\rho_s\) was the density of the sample, \(\rho_0\) was the water density of 0.998 g/cm\(^3\), \(\rho_L\) was the air density of 0.0012 g/cm\(^3\), \(m_1\) was the mass of the weighed sample in air, and \(m_2\) was the sample weighed in water. Each sample was measured for five times and the average value was adopted.

The nanoindentation method was used to test the modulus and hardness with a nanomechanical testing system (Hysitron TI Premier, Bruker, MN, USA). The prismatic indenter was used with a load of 10 mN for the loading time, holding time, and unloading time of 5 s, 3 s, and 5 s, respectively. When the low-load indentation test is used, radial cracks can be obtained. The cracks produced in this experiment are all radial cracks, and the crack length calculation method is adopted. The relationship between fracture toughness and crack length is shown in Formula (2):

\[
K_{IC} = 1.073\cdot\alpha\cdot\left(\frac{E}{H}\right)^{1/2}\cdot\left(\frac{P}{C^3/2}\right)
\]

where \(P\) was the maximum indentation load, \(C\) was the length of the crack, and \(\alpha\) was a coefficient related to the shape of the indenter, where 0.016 was taken, \(E\) was the modulus, and \(H\) was the hardness.

The thermal conductivity was tested through laser flash heating method with a laser thermal conductivity meter (DLF-1200, TA, New Castle, DE, USA). The value of thermal conductivity was calculated according to Formula (3):

\[
K = DC\rho\rho
\]

where \(K\) was the thermal conductivity (W·m\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)), \(D\) was the thermal diffusivity (m\(^2\)·s\(^{-1}\)), \(C_p\) was the specific heat (J·kg\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)), and \(\rho\) was the room temperature density of the sample (kg·m\(^{-3}\)).

The coefficient of thermal expansion was tested with a thermal expansion meter (SDTA840, TA, New Castle, DE, USA). The coefficient of thermal expansion was calculated according to Formula (4):

\[
\alpha = \frac{(L_T - L_0)}{L_0(T - T_0)}
\]
where $\alpha$ was the thermal expansion coefficient of the material, $L_T$ and $L_0$ were the length of the sample at the temperature of $T$ and room temperature of $T_0$, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Microstructure

Figure 2 shows the microstructure of the 8YSZ and GYYZO bulks with 5 wt% Yb$_2$O$_3$ and 5 wt%, 10 wt%, and 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$ sintered at 1650 °C for 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h. With the prolongation of the sintering time at 1650 °C, the sintered 8YSZ bulk became denser and denser. The original powders’ interfaces were obvious in the sintered 8YSZ bulk at 1650 °C for 6 h as seen in Figure 2a, while the original powders’ interfaces became blurred when the sintering times reached 12 h and 24 h as seen in Figure 2b,c. The powders’ interfaces disappeared gradually with the sintering and densification of 8YSZ. With the prolongation of the sintering time at 1650 °C, the sintered GYYZO bulk with 5 wt% Yb$_2$O$_3$ and 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$ became denser and denser, which was similar to the densification of 8YSZ. The original powders’ interfaces were obvious in the sintered 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk at 1650 °C for 6 h as seen in Figure 2d. As compared to the sintered 8YSZ at 1650 °C for 6 h, the original powders’ interfaces were more obvious in the sintered 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk at 1650 °C for 6 h than those in 8YSZ at 1650 °C for 6 h, which reflected that 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO had higher sintering resistance than 8YSZ. With the prolongation of the sintering time at 1650 °C, the original powders’ interfaces were sealed gradually in the sintered 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk as seen in Figure 2e,f, which was similar to the densification of 8YSZ too. When the sintering time reached 12 h as seen in Figure 2e, the original powders’ interfaces became smooth and connected with each other. When the sintering time reached 24 h as seen in Figure 2f, the original powders’ interfaces almost disappeared.

With the prolongation of the sintering time at 1650 °C, the densification of the sintered 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO and 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulks were similar to the densification of 8YSZ and 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO. The original powders’ interfaces were obvious in the sintered 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO and 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulks at 1650 °C for 6 h as seen in Figure 2g,h. As compared to the sintered 8YSZ and 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk at 1650 °C for 6 h, the original powders’ interfaces were more obvious in the sintered 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO and 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulks at 1650 °C for 6 h than those in 8YSZ and 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO at 1650 °C for 6 h, which reflected that 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO and 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulks had higher sintering resistance than 8YSZ and 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO in the same sintering condition. With the increase of Gd$_2$O$_3$ addition, the sintering resistance of GYYZO became higher and higher. In the same sintering conditions, 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO had the highest sintering resistance in the three GYYZO bulks with different contents of Gd$_2$O$_3$. With the prolongation of the sintering time at 1650 °C, the original powders’ interfaces were sealed gradually in the sintered 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO and 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulks, which were similar to the densification of 8YSZ, 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO too. When the sintering time reached 12 h, the original powders’ interfaces became smooth and connected with each other. Meanwhile, the densification of the sintered 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO, as seen in Figure 2k, was comparable to both 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO and 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO, as seen in Figure 2e,h, when the sintering time reached 12 h. When the sintering time reached 24 h, the original powders’ interfaces in 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk disappeared almost as seen in Figure 2l, which was similar to 8YSZ, 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO and 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO as seen in Figure 2c,f,i. Although there were differences of the original powders’ interfaces in the three GYYZO bulks sintered at 1650 °C for 6 h, all of the three GYYZO bulks with different contents of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could be densified thoroughly when the sintering times reached 24 h at 1650 °C.

The image processing method was used to determine the porosity of the sintered bulks through ImageJ Software with the results listed in Table 3. With the prolongation of the sintering time, the porosity of the sintered bulks gradually decreased. The bulk material sintered for 24 h had the lowest porosity. Meanwhile, with the increase of gadolinium
oxide addition, the porosity of the bulk material gradually increased for that the addition of gadolinium oxide improved the sintering resistance of the GYYZO bulks.

Figure 2. Microstructure of the sintered 8YSZ bulk at 1650 °C for 6 h (a), 12 h (b), and 24 h (c); microstructure of the sintered GYYZO bulk with 5 wt% Yb$_2$O$_3$ and 5 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$ at 1650 °C for 6 h (d), 12 h (e), and 24 h (f); microstructure of the sintered GYYZO bulk with 5 wt% Yb$_2$O$_3$ and 10 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$ at 1650 °C for 6 h (g), 12 h (h), and 24 h (i); microstructure of the sintered GYYZO bulk with 5 wt% Yb$_2$O$_3$ and 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$ at 1650 °C for 6 h (j), 12 h (k), and 24 h (l).
Table 3. The porosities of the sintered bulks through image processing.

| Bulks       | 8YSZ | 5 wt% Gd2O3-GYYZO | 10 wt% Gd2O3-GYYZO | 15 wt% Gd2O3-GYYZO |
|-------------|------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1650 °C-6 h | 12.33% | 13.59%            | 14.07%             | 14.64%            |
| 1650 °C-12 h| 6.50%  | 6.94%             | 7.04%              | 7.21%             |
| 1650 °C-24 h| 3.11%  | 3.41%             | 3.52%              | 3.76%             |

3.2. Phases

Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns of the powder and sintered bulks. In the commixed powders, the XRD pattern of 8YSZ powder had a part of monoclinic phase at 27° and 32° according to the PDF card (No. 72-0597). Meanwhile, there was no monoclinic phase but tetragonal phase (PDF card No. 71-1282) in the GYYZO powder. The addition of Gd2O3 (PDF card No. 24-0430) and Yb2O3 (PDF card No. 74-1981) could replace some part of Y2O3 (PDF card No. 20-1412) and improve the stability of 8YSZ. In the XRD pattern of the bulk material, the sintered 8YSZ bulk material had a partial monoclinic phase at 27° and 32°, and its diffraction strength was higher than that of the powder. After sintering at 1650 °C, parts of the 8YSZ experienced phase change, and more monoclinic phases formed after sintering. However, the sintered GYYZO bulk materials did not contain the monoclinic phase. The sintered GYYZO bulks maintained the same phase structure as the powder. The GYYZO bulks did not undergo a phase transition after sintering at 1650 °C. The diffraction peak strength of the monoclinic phase in the sintered 8YSZ bulk at 27° and 32° became stronger with the increase of the sintering time. The phase structures of the sintered GYYZO bulks were basically the same. The addition of Gd2O3 and Yb2O3 could improve the stability of 8YSZ.

Figure 3. XRD analysis of powder and bulk materials: (a) powder; (b) bulk materials.

3.3. Densification

Table 4 shows the actual density of the sintered bulks tested by the Archimedes drainage method. The bulks sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h had the highest density. All of the GYYZO composite bulks’ densities were higher than the sintered 8YSZ because both Gd2O3 and Yb2O3 had relative higher densities than ZrO2 and Y2O3.

The theoretical densities of the 8YSZ and GYYZO with 5 wt%, 10 wt% as well as 15 wt% Gd2O3 composite ceramics were 5.718 g/cm³, 6.022 g/cm³, 6.088 g/cm³ and 6.156 g/cm³, respectively. The densification degree of the bulks could be calculated by the ratio of actual density to theoretical density. Table 5 shows the densification degree of the sintered bulks in different compositions for different sintering times. The composite bulks sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h had the highest densification degree. With the increase of gadolinium oxide addition, the densification degree decreased gradually because the addition of gadolinium oxide...
oxide improved the sintering resistance of the composites for gadolinium oxide’s high melting point.

Table 4. The densities of the sintered 8YSZ and GYYZO bulks.

| Bulks      | 8YSZ (g/cm³) | 5 wt% Gd₂O₃-GYYZO (g/cm³) | 10 wt% Gd₂O₃-GYYZO (g/cm³) | 15 wt% Gd₂O₃-GYYZO (g/cm³) |
|------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1650 °C-6 h| 5.012        | 5.203                      | 5.231                      | 5.254                      |
| 1650 °C-12 h| 5.346        | 5.603                      | 5.660                      | 5.712                      |
| 1650 °C-24 h| 5.540        | 5.816                      | 5.873                      | 5.923                      |

Table 5. The densification of the sintered bulks.

| Bulks      | 8YSZ         | 5 wt% Gd₂O₃-GYYZO | 10 wt% Gd₂O₃-GYYZO | 15 wt% Gd₂O₃-GYYZO |
|------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1650 °C-6 h| 87.65%       | 86.39%            | 85.92%             | 85.35%            |
| 1650 °C-12 h| 93.49%      | 93.04%            | 92.96%             | 92.78%            |
| 1650 °C-24 h| 96.89%      | 96.58%            | 96.47%             | 96.22%            |

3.4. Thermal Conductivity

Figure 4 shows the thermal conductivities of the sintered bulks tested through the laser flash heating method at the temperatures from room temperature to 1100 °C. The thermal conductivity gradually decreased with the increase of Gd₂O₃ addition. The sintered GYYZO bulk with 15 wt% Gd₂O₃ had the lowest thermal conductivity of 1.04 W/(m k) at 1100 °C, which was much lower than that of 8YSZ with the thermal conductivity of 1.78 W/(m k) at 1100 °C and the reported 100% densified 8YSZ with the thermal conductivity of 2.3 W/(m k) at room temperature and 1.85 W/(m k) at 1000 °C [19]. The addition of Gd₂O₃ could reduce the thermal conductivity of YSZ further. With the prolongation of sintering times, the sintered bulks’ thermal conductivities increased gradually accompanied with the densification.

Figure 4. Thermal conductivities of the sintered bulk materials.

3.5. Thermal Expansion Coefficient

Figure 5 shows the thermal expansion coefficients of the sintered bulks. Generally, all of the composite materials’ thermal expansion coefficients increased with the increase of the serving temperature. The thermal expansion coefficient decreased gradually with the increase of Gd₂O₃ addition. The sintered 15 wt% Gd₂O₃-GYYZO bulk with sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h had the lowest thermal expansion coefficient of 7.89 × 10⁻⁶/°C at 1100 °C, which was 14.7% lower than that of 8YSZ with the thermal expansion coefficient of 9.25 × 10⁻⁶/°C at 1100 °C. The addition of Gd₂O₃ could reduce the thermal expansion...
coefficient of the YSZ material, which could improve the high temperature stability of the material, while it might increase the gap of thermal expansion coefficients between the ceramic and the metal substrate, which would be not helpful for the direct use of GYYZO ceramics in thermal barrier coatings.

Figure 5. Thermal expansion coefficient of the sintered bulks.

3.6. Mechanical Properties

Figure 6 shows the hardness of the bulks sintered at 1650 °C for 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h. The sintered GYYZO bulks’ hardness increased with the increase of Gd$_2$O$_3$ addition and the sintering times. The 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h had the highest hardness of 15.61 GPa, which was 5.4% higher than that of 8YSZ with the hardness of 14.8 GPa. The addition of Gd$_2$O$_3$ and Yb$_2$O$_3$ could improve the hardness of the composite material effectively. The high hardness could be attributed to two aspects. One was the densification. With the prolongation of sintering time, the densifications of the sintered GYYZO bulks were higher than 96%, which was close to full densification and could contribute to high hardness. The other was the composition’s strengthening. According to the literature, the hardness of Gd$_2$O$_3$ bulk was 7.9 GPa [33], the hardness of Y$_2$O$_3$ bulk was 6.0 GPa, and the hardness of 5YbSZ block was 12 GPa [34]. The theoretical hardness of 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO can be simplified calculated to be 10.905 GPa according to the component’s hardness in 15 wt%Gd$_2$O$_3$-5 wt% Yb$_2$O$_3$-8 wt% Y$_2$O$_3$-ZrO$_2$ (15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO). The tested hardness of the sintered 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk was 15.61 GPa. The tested hardness was 43.2% higher than the simplified calculated one, which was attributed to the good stabilization of ZrO$_2$ in tetragonal structure by Gd$_2$O$_3$, Yb$_2$O$_3$, and Y$_2$O$_3$ as well as the solid strengthening.

Figure 6. Hardness of the sintered bulks.
Figure 7 shows the elastic modulus of the bulks sintered at 1650 °C for 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h. The elastic modulus of bulk materials increased with the increase of Gd2O3 addition as well as the sintering times. The 15 wt%Gd2O3-GYYZO bulk sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h had the highest elastic modulus of 306.88 GPa, which was 49.4% higher than that of the sintered 8YSZ bulk with the elastic modulus of 205.387 GPa. The addition of Gd2O3 and Yb2O3 could improve the elastic modulus of the composite materials effectively.

![Elastic Modulus of Sintered Bulks](image)

Figure 7. Elastic modulus of the sintered bulks.

When the low-load indentation test was performed, radial cracks could be obtained. Figure 8 shows the nanoindentation with cracks in the 8YSZ, GYYZO bulks with 5 wt%, 10 wt%, and 15 wt% Gd2O3 sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h. The fracture toughness values KIC of the sintered bulks were calculated according to Equation (3) with the results shown in Table 6. With the increase of sintering time, all the fracture toughnesses of the sintered 8YSZ, GYYZO bulks with 5 wt%, 10 wt%, and 15 wt% Gd2O3 increased accompanying with the densification. Meanwhile, with the increase of the Gd2O3 addition, the fracture toughness of the sintered GYYZO bulk increased. When the addition of Gd2O3 reached 15 wt%, the highest fracture toughness reached 7.822 MPa·m0.5, which was 22.4% higher than that of the densest 8YSZ with KIC value of 6.391 MPa·m0.5. Jan et al. [35] reported that the fracture toughness of 8YSZ was approximately 5.1 MPa·m0.5.

![Indentations of Sintered Bulks](image)

Figure 8. Indentations of the sintered 8YSZ bulk (a); GYYZO bulk with 5 wt% Gd2O3 (b); 10 wt% Gd2O3 (c) and 15 wt% Gd2O3 (d).
With the increase of the stabilizer Y without changing its tetragonal structure as shown in Figure 9d. were more stable than Y was called a martensitic phase transformation, a large lattice shear and volume expansion while serving at a high temperature. Y\textsubscript{2} phases calculated through the CrystalMaker software phase. Both Gd and Yb were similar to Y, which had trivalent ions. Meanwhile, their oxides performance further [38].

Gd phase had different lattice parameters), stresses in the coating, and finally cracks and failures. The coating would be peeled off and fail under thermal shock conditions for the accumulation of thermal stresses induced by thermal and phase changes [36]. Therefore, stabilizers, such as MgO, CaO, Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, and so on, were added to the pure ZrO\textsubscript{2} to stabilize the phase structures and prevent failures of thermal barrier coatings from phase changes while serving at a high temperature. Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} was the most widely used stabilizer. The thermal conductivity of 8YSZ bulk was 1.85 W/(m·K) [19], and the coefficient of thermal expansion was 10 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}/°C, which was difficult to meet the requirements of high-performance aero-engines for high thermal insulation [37]. Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Yb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} had high melting points (2350 °C and 2346 °C, respectively), good oxidation resistance and impact resistance in extreme environments as well as stable chemical properties. In addition, Gd and Yb elements had strong adsorption capacities for other elements so that they could be dissolved in a limited solid solution in ZrO\textsubscript{2} to improve the thermal barrier coatings' performance further [38].

Figure 9 shows the crystal structures of the ceramics including m-zirconia, t-zirconia, t-yttria stabilized zirconia (t-YSZ), and t-Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-Yb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (t-GYYZO). Figure 9a shows the crystal structure of m-zirconia. Once t-zirconia transformed to m-zirconia, which was called a martensitic phase transformation, a large lattice shear and volume expansion effect would form. Therefore, in order to ensure the service life of the thermal barrier coatings, it was necessary to find a way to stabilize zirconia in a tetragonal structure. The monoclinic structure of zirconia had seven coordination numbers between zirconium and oxygen ions. Oxygen ions were sandwiched on one side of the tetrahedral coordination and the other side of the triangle coordination. When Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} was added as a stabilizer to ZrO\textsubscript{2}, Y\textsuperscript{3+} would replace one Zr\textsuperscript{4+} in the original monoclinic phase and introduced 1/2 of the oxygen vacancy to form a metastable tetragonal structure [39], as shown in Figure 9c. With the increase of the stabilizer Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, the thermal conductivity of the material could be reduced significantly. Meanwhile, it would lead to the transformation of YSZ to a cubic phase. Both Gd and Yb were similar to Y, which had trivalent ions. Meanwhile, their oxides were more stable than Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.

Therefore, stabilizers of Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Yb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} instead of Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} could maintain the stability of the t-phase accompanied with reducing the thermal conductivity of the material. When Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Yb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} were added into YSZ, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} and Yb\textsuperscript{3+} would play the same role as Y\textsuperscript{3+}, replacing the original monoclinic phase, which would improve the performance of YSZ without changing its tetragonal structure as shown in Figure 9d.

Table 7 shows the lattice parameters of the unit cells and the space group of the phases calculated through the CrystalMaker software\textsuperscript{®}. M-ZrO\textsubscript{2} had a monoclinic structure with space group P2\textsubscript{1}, the lattice parameters of a (0.5168 nm), b (0.5232 nm) and c (0.5319 nm) and β = 99.194°. T-ZrO\textsubscript{2} had a tetragonal structure with space group P4\textsubscript{2}m\textsubscript{2}, the lattice parameters of a (0.5120 nm), b (0.5120 nm) and c (0.5250 nm) and β = 90°. T-8YSZ had a tetragonal structure with space group P4\textsubscript{2}, the lattice parameters of a (0.3657 nm), b (0.3657 nm) and c (0.5303 nm) and β = 90°. T-GYYZO had a tetragonal structure with

| Bulks | 8YSZ | 5 wt% Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-GYYZO | 10 wt% Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-GYYZO | 15 wt% Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-GYYZO |
|-------|------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1650 °C-6 h | 5.936 | 6.048 | 6.163 | 7.025 |
| 1650 °C-12 h | 6.127 | 6.388 | 6.615 | 7.364 |
| 1650 °C-24 h | 6.391 | 6.725 | 7.127 | 7.822 |

4. Discussion
Zirconia had a high melting point (2680 °C), low thermal conductivity, good oxidation resistance, stable chemical properties, good impact resistance, and a similar expansion coefficient to metal materials (8–10.4 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}/°C) so that it was commonly used as a thermal barrier coating material. Meanwhile, its phase structure would change at a high temperature, resulting in volume changes (for example, monoclinic phase and tetragonal phase had different lattice parameters), stresses in the coating, and finally cracks and failures. The coating would be peeled off and fail under thermal shock conditions for the accumulation of thermal stresses induced by thermal and phase changes [36]. Therefore, stabilizers, such as MgO, CaO, Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, and so on, were added to the pure ZrO\textsubscript{2} to stabilize the phase structures and prevent failures of thermal barrier coatings from phase changes while serving at a high temperature. Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} was the most widely used stabilizer. The thermal conductivity of 8YSZ bulk was 1.85 W/(m·K) [19], and the coefficient of thermal expansion was 10 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}/°C, which was difficult to meet the requirements of high-performance aero-engines for high thermal insulation [37]. Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Yb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} had high melting points (2350 °C and 2346 °C, respectively), good oxidation resistance and impact resistance in extreme environments as well as stable chemical properties. In addition, Gd and Yb elements had strong adsorption capacities for other elements so that they could be dissolved in a limited solid solution in ZrO\textsubscript{2} to improve the thermal barrier coatings' performance further [38].

Table 7 shows the lattice parameters of the unit cells and the space group of the phases calculated through the CrystalMaker software\textsuperscript{®}. M-ZrO\textsubscript{2} had a monoclinic structure with space group P2\textsubscript{1}, the lattice parameters of a (0.5168 nm), b (0.5232 nm) and c (0.5319 nm) and β = 99.194°. T-ZrO\textsubscript{2} had a tetragonal structure with space group P4\textsubscript{2}m\textsubscript{2}, the lattice parameters of a (0.5120 nm), b (0.5120 nm) and c (0.5250 nm) and β = 90°. T-8YSZ had a tetragonal structure with space group P4\textsubscript{2}, the lattice parameters of a (0.3657 nm), b (0.3657 nm) and c (0.5303 nm) and β = 90°. T-GYYZO had a tetragonal structure with

Table 6. Fracture toughness of the sintered bulks/MPa·m\textsuperscript{0.5}.

| Bulks | 8YSZ | 5 wt% Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-GYYZO | 10 wt% Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-GYYZO | 15 wt% Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-GYYZO |
|-------|------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1650 °C-6 h | 5.936 | 6.048 | 6.163 | 7.025 |
| 1650 °C-12 h | 6.127 | 6.388 | 6.615 | 7.364 |
| 1650 °C-24 h | 6.391 | 6.725 | 7.127 | 7.822 |
space group P4_2, the lattice parameters of a (0.3638 nm), b (0.3638 nm) and c (0.5169 nm) and \( \beta = 90^\circ \). As compared to T-8YSZ, T-ZrO\(_2\) and M-ZrO\(_2\), T-GYYZO had the smallest lattice parameters of the unit cell, which would take the most structural stabilization of tetragonal structure in zirconia.

![Crystalline structures of the ceramic](image)

**Figure 9.** Crystalline structures of the ceramic: (a) M-ZrO\(_2\); (b) T-ZrO\(_2\); (c) T-8YSZ; (d) T-GYYZO.

**Table 7.** Lattice parameters of the unit cells and the space group of the phases in the ceramics.

| Crystal Phase | Lattice (Å) | Space Group | Wykoff Coordinates | Angle |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|
| M-ZrO\(_2\)   | a = 5.168   | P2\(_1\)    | Zr (0.276,0.041,0.208) O (0.070,0.336,0.314) O (0.442,0.755,0.479) | \( \beta = 99.194^\circ \) |
|               | b = 5.232   |             |                    |       |
|               | c = 5.319   |             |                    |       |
| T-ZrO\(_2\)   | a = b = 5.120 c = 5.250 | P4m\(_2\)   | Zr (0.750,0.250,0.750) O (0.750,0.750,0.942) | \( \beta = 90^\circ \) |
| T-8YSZ        | a = b = 3.657 c = 5.303 | P4\(_2\)    | Zr (0.750,0.250,0.750) O (0.750,0.750,0.942) Y (0.250,0.750,0.750) | \( \beta = 90^\circ \) |
| T-GYYZO       | a = b = 3.638 c = 5.169 | P4\(_2\)    | Zr (0.750,0.250,0.750) O (0.750,0.750,0.942) Y (0.250,0.750,0.750) Yb (0.750,0.750,0.250) Gd (0.250,0.250,0.750) | \( \beta = 90^\circ \) |

In this work, 5 wt% Yb\(_2\)O\(_3\) and 5 wt%, 10 wt%, 15 wt% Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\) were added into 8YSZ powder as thermal barrier coating materials, and sintered at 1650 °C for 6 h, 12 h, 24 h. The densification of the 8YSZ bulk and GYYZO bulk with 5 wt%, 10 wt%, 15 wt% Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\) reached 96.89%, 96.58%, 96.47%, and 96.22% after sintering at 1650 °C for 24 h. With the
addition of Gd$_2$O$_3$ and Yb$_2$O$_3$, the whole densification degree reduced a little in the same sintering conditions. TBCs prepared with GYYZO ceramic would have better sintering resistance than 8YSZ, which would enrich the application of TBCs at a high temperature. At present, the related properties of some ceramics used in thermal barrier coatings are shown in Table 8. The best properties of 8YSZ bulk exhibited the hardness of 13 GPa, the elastic modulus of 230 GPa, the fracture toughness of 5.1 MPa·m$^{0.5}$, thermal conductivity and linear expansion coefficient are 1.85 W/(m·K) at 1000 °C and 10 × 10^{-6} /K at 1100 °C, respectively [19,31,32,35,37]. The 10YbSZ bulk stabilized by Yb$_2$O$_3$ had the hardness of 12.3 GPa, the elastic modulus of 210 GPa, thermal conductivity and linear expansion coefficient are 1.8 W/(m·K) and 10.7 × 10^{-6}/K, respectively, at 1100 °C [40,41]. The 6GdSZ bulk stabilized by Gd$_2$O$_3$ had the hardness of 10 GPa, the elastic modulus of 200 GPa, thermal conductivity and linear expansion coefficient are 1.5 W/(m·K) and 11.5 × 10^{-6}/K, respectively, at 1100 °C [41,42]. The performance of the GYYZO bulk materials increased with the increase of Gd$_2$O$_3$. With the increase of Gd$_2$O$_3$ addition, the hardness, elastic modulus, and fracture toughness of the GYYZO bulk increased and the thermal conductivity and thermal expansion coefficient of the GYYZO bulk decreased. In addition, 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO bulk sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h had the highest hardness, elastic modulus, and fracture toughness of 15.61 GPa, 306.88 GPa, 7.822 MPa·m$^{0.5}$ and the lowest thermal conductivity and thermal expansion coefficient of 1.04 W/(m·K) and 7.89 × 10^{-6} °C at 1100 °C, respectively.

Table 8. Properties of ceramics used in thermal barrier coatings.

| Bulks             | Hardness (GPa) | Elastic Modulus (GPa) | Fracture Toughness (MPa·m$^{0.5}$) | Thermal Conductivity W/(m·K) | Thermal Expansion Coefficient (at 1100 °C) |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 8YSZ              | 13 [31]        | 230 [35]              | 5.1 [32]                          | 1.85 (at 1000 °C) [19]       | 10 × 10^{-6}/K [3,7]                     |
| 10YbSZ            | 12.3 [41]      | 210 [40]              | —                                 | 1.8 (at 1100 °C) [41]        | 10.7 × 10^{-6}/K [41]                    |
| 6GdSZ             | 10 [41]        | 200 [41]              | —                                 | 1.5 (at 1100 °C) [42]        | 11.5 × 10^{-6}/K [42]                    |
| 15 wt%Gd$_2$O$_3$-GYYZO | 15.61          | 306.88                | 7.822                             | 1.04 (at 1100 °C)            | 7.89 × 10^{-6}/K                         |

Stabilizers of Gd$_2$O$_3$ and Yb$_2$O$_3$ instead of Y$_2$O$_3$ could not only maintain the stability of the t-phase of ZrO$_2$ but also reduce the thermal conductivity of the GYYZO composite material, which were analyzed in crystal structure and proved experimentally in this work. Meanwhile, GYYZO would provide application possibilities for thermal barrier coatings at higher temperatures.

5. Conclusions

(1). The densification of the 8YSZ bulk and GYYZO bulk with 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$ reached 96.89% and 96.22% sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h.

(2). With the increase of Gd$_2$O$_3$ addition, the hardness, elastic modulus, and fracture toughness of the GYYZO bulk increased and the thermal conductivity and thermal expansion coefficient of the GYYZO bulk decreased.

(3). GYYZO bulk with 15 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$ sintered at 1650 °C for 24 h had the highest hardness, elastic modulus, and fracture toughness of 15.61 GPa, 306.88 GPa, 7.822 MPa·m$^{0.5}$, and the lowest thermal conductivity and thermal expansion coefficient of 1.04 W/(m·K) and 7.89 × 10^{-6}/°C at 1100 °C, respectively.

(4). The addition of Gd$_2$O$_3$ into YSZ could not only effectively reduce the thermal conductivity but also improve the mechanical properties, which would improve the thermal barrier coatings’ performances further.
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