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Signal transduction in metazoans regulates almost all aspects of biological function, and aberrant signaling is involved in many diseases. Perturbations in phosphorylation-based signaling networks are typically studied in a hypothesis-driven approach, using phospho-specific antibodies. Here we apply quantitative, high-resolution mass spectrometry to determine the systems response to the depletion of one signaling component. Drosophila cells were metabolically labeled using stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) and the phosphatase Ptp61F, the ortholog of mammalian PTB1B, a drug target for diabetes, was knocked down by RNAi. In total we detected more than 10,000 phosphorylation sites in the phosphoproteome of Drosophila Schneider cells and trained a phosphorylation site predictor with this data. SILAC-based quantitation after phosphatase knock-down showed that apart from the phosphatase, the proteome was minimally affected whereas 288 of 6,478 high-confidence phosphorylation sites changed significantly. Responses at the phosphotyrosine level included the already described Ptp61F substrates Stat92E and Abi. Our analysis highlights a connection of Ptp61F to cytoskeletal regulation through GTPase regulating proteins and focal adhesion components. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 8: 1908–1920, 2009.
combination of RNAi and SILAC allows the unbiased “phenotypization” of the gene knock-down directly at the proteome level (13).

Here we determined a high-quality basal phosphoproteome in SL2 cells and characterized its structural and evolutionary properties. We compared kinase substrate motives between *Drosophila* and human and trained a *Drosophila* phosphorylation site predictor.

To explore the potential of quantitative phosphoproteomics in a systems-wide manner, we focused on the *Drosophila* non-transmembrane tyrosine phosphatase Ptp61F. This phosphatase is the ortholog of mammalian PTB1B, which is thought to be involved in type 2 diabetes, obesity, and cancer (14), and which is the target of several ongoing drug development projects (15). Ptp61F is a negative regulator of JAK/STAT signaling (16, 17) and, together with the Abl kinase (Abl), involved in the regulation of the Abl interacting protein (Abl) and lamella formation (18). Both PTP1B and Ptp61F are among the best studied protein tyrosine phosphatases in their respective organisms; however the characterization of their substrates is still far from complete. Two recent mass spectrometric studies employed substrate trapping to identify direct substrates of PTP1B and Ptp61F (19, 20). The PTP1B study was combined with phosphorylase peptide enrichment, which led to site-specific detection of potential PTP1B targets. PTP1B function was additionally investigated by quantitative phosphorylase proteomics comparing wild type and PTP1B-deficient fibroblasts. In contrast, the PTP1F study identified potential substrates without site-specific information. One of these was PVR, the *Drosophila* homolog of VEGFR and PDGFR, suggesting that Ptp61F is like its mammalian counterpart - counteracts receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. Apart from Abl, further components of the SCAR/WAVE complex as well as its regulatory kinase Abl were identified as potential Ptp61F substrates. This supports an involvement of Ptp61F in the regulation of actin reorganization and remodeling.

To study the role of Ptp61F in a global and unbiased approach we combined global quantitative phosphoproteome analysis with RNAi interference. We profiled tyrosine, serine and threonine phosphorylation changes upon ablation of Ptp61F by RNAi. In parallel, we quantified changes in the proteome, which allowed us to normalize changes in phosphorylation sites to corresponding changes at the protein level. Interestingly, we observed increased tyrosine phosphorylation of the protein tyrosine kinase Abl which suggests an enhanced Abl activity upon Ptp61F RNAi. We additionally detected up-regulated phosphorylation sites on GTPase regulating proteins (like RhoGAP15B and Vav) and constituents of focal adhesions (like Paxillin and Lasp) which expand the proposed involvement of Ptp61F in the regulation of cytoskeleton organization. Our work represents proof-of-principle that the combination of large-scale phosphoproteomics and a loss-of-function approach can contribute significantly to elucidating the role of key players in phosphorylation-dependent signaling. Importantly, this systems-wide approach measures the net effect of the perturbation on the entire signaling network, without the need to define specific substrate-kinase or substrate -phosphatase relationships or other direct functional mechanisms.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture—** *Drosophila* Schneider SL2 cells were a kind gift from Peter Becker’s laboratory. Cells were grown in custom-made Schneider media at 26 °C (13). Schneider media were supplemented by yeast extract and fetal bovine serum dialyzed overnight using a molecular weight cutoff of 1.2 kDa to remove free amino acids. “Heavy” and “light” SILAC media were prepared by adding 0.4 g/liter 13C6,15N4 L-arginine (Arg-10) and 1.65 g/liter 13C6,15N4 L-lysine (Lys-8) (Sigma Isotec) or the corresponding non-labeled amino acids, 12C6,14N4 L-arginine (Arg-0) and 12C6,14N4 L-lysine (Lys-0), respectively. Media were always prepared freshly before the experiments. To obtain two labeled cell populations the cells were grown for 8–9 days in heavy or light SILAC media.

**Phosphatase Inhibitor Treatment of SILAC-labeled SL2 Cells—** SILAC-labeled SL2 cells were treated with an inhibitor mixture for 15 min. The mixture contained 0.3 mM Pervanadate (prepared from 100 mM sodium orthovanadate (Sigma-Aldrich) mixed with 100 mM H2O2), 33 mM Calyculin A (Millipore), and 3 μM deltamethrin (Merck).

**RNAi of SILAC-labeled SL2 Cells—** 7.7 × 105 SL2 cells were re-suspended in 7 ml of heavy or light serum-free SILAC media and seeded in a 75 cm2 tissue culture flask. 210-μg Ptp61F dsRNA was added to the heavy or 210-μg control GST dsRNA to the light condition, respectively (less than 200 nM). The cells were incubated for one hour at 26 °C and subsequently 14 ml of heavy or light serum-containing SILAC media were added. After 4 days two-thirds of the SILAC media were refreshed, and after 8 days the cells were harvested. The Ptp61F dsRNAs (forward: 5'-TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGAGGATGTGAATCCGTACGATCAT-3', reverse: 5'-TTAATA- CGACTCTATAGGGAGAGAATCGCTACTTCCCGCTACTATGCTT-3') and GST dsRNAs (forward: 5'-TTAATACGACTCTATAGGGAGAGAATCGCTACTTCCCGCTACTATGCTT-3', reverse: 5'-TTAATACGACTCTATAGGGAGAGAATCGCTACTTCCCGCTACTATGCTT-3') were synthesized according to Worby et al. (9) using MEGASHortscript™ kit (Ambion).

**Preparation of Cell Extract—** Heavy and light SL2 cells were counted, and equal cell numbers were combined. The cell mixture was pelleted by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 5 min, washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline, and lysed under rotation for 60 min at 4 °C using radioimmune precipitation assay buffer (containing 1% Triton, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris, pH 8) supplemented with protease inhibitors (complete tablets; Roche Diagnostics) and phosphatase inhibitors (1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 5 mM NaF, 5 mM beta-glycerophosphate). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation, and the resulting supernatant was subjected to digestion.

**Protein Digestion—** For in-gel digestion the whole cell lysate was separated by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE (4–12% Novex mini-gel; Invitrogen) and visualized by colloidal Coomassie staining. For the proteome analysis 100-μg whole cell lysate was separated in two adjacent lanes and identically cut into 15 slices whereas for the phosphoproteome analysis 300 μg of cell lysate was separated in one lane and cut into 8 slices. All gel slices were subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin (21, 22). Resulting tryptic peptides were extracted with 30% ACN in 3% TFA. For phosphoproteome analysis extracted peptides were subjected to TiO2 chromatography. For proteome analysis samples were concentrated until full evaporation of
organic peptide and reconstituted with 1% TFA and 5% ACN. Subsequently peptides were concentrated and desalted on reversed phase C18 StageTips (23, 24). Peptides were eluted by 30-μl buffer B (80% ACN in 0.5% acetic acid) solution into a sample 96-well plate (Abgene), concentrated in a SpeedVac until removal of the organic solvent and reconstituted with a one-to-one mix of buffer A (0.5% acetic acid) and buffer A’ (2% ACN in 1% TFA).

For in-solution digestion whole cell extracts were mixed with four volumes of ice-cold acetone to precipitate proteins. Precipitated proteins were collected by centrifugation and dissolved in 6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 10 mM HEPES or 10 mM Tris and 1% n-octyl glucoside. 2-mg (phosphopeptide assay with phosphatase inhibitor treatment) or 20-mg proteins (phosphoproteome of Ptp61F RNAi) were reduced with 1 mM dithiothreitol for 45 min at RT and then alkylated with 5.5 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min. Reduced and alkylated proteins were digested with 200-μg endoprotease Lys-C (Waco) for 3 h. Subsequently the peptide mixture was diluted with four volumes deionized water and digested with 200-μg sequencing grade modified trypsin (Promega) overnight at RT. Trypsin was inactivated by acidification with TFA to pH < 3, and the peptide mixture was subjected to phosphopeptide enrichment.

Phosphopeptide Fractionation and Enrichment—Phosphopeptides were fractionated and enriched from in-solution digested peptides by the combination of strong cation exchange chromatography (SCX) and TiO2 chromatography or by multiple rounds of TiO2 chromatography in batch mode. Alternatively phosphopeptides were enriched by TiO2 chromatography from in-gel digested peptide fractions.

SCX was carried out as described (25). The resulting 15 fractions were pooled according to the 230-nm absorbance intensity. For the phosphatase inhibitor phosphoproteome experiment 10 fractions and the flow-through were further enriched for phosphopeptides by TiO2 chromatography (26). For the Ptp61F RNAi experiment 15 fractions and the flow-through (loading 20 mg at once) and 13 fractions and the flow-through (performing two separate SCX runs with 10 mg each for better separation) were subjected to TiO2 chromatography (25). For the phosphatase inhibitor phosphoproteome experiment both the TiO2 beads (kindly provided by GL Sciences) and the samples were pre-incubated with 5 mg/ml 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) in 80% ACN before the sample, and −5 μg of the TiO2 beads were combined and incubated batchwise with end-over-end rotation for 1–3 h. For the Ptp61F phosphoproteome the beads were pre-incubated with 30 mg/ml DHB and added directly to the SCX fraction to reduce the amount of DHB in the samples. After incubation, the beads were washed twice with 10% ACN in 0.1% TFA and once with 80% ACN in 0.1% TFA solution. Bound peptides were eluted from TiO2 beads once with 1% ammonium solution, pH 10.5, in 20% ACN and twice with 1% ammonium solution, pH 10.5, in 40% ACN into 2 μl of 2% ACN in 1% TFA solution. Eluates were subsequently dried to −5 μl in a SpeedVac and reconstituted with 2% ACN in 1% TFA solution for LC-MS/MS analysis.

LC-MS/MS Analysis—The samples were analyzed by a nanoflow HPLC (Agilent 1100 or 1200; Agilent Technologies) coupled on-line via a nanoelectrospray ion source (Proxeon Biosystems) to a LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptide mixtures were loaded onto a C18-reversed phase column (15 cm long, 75-μm inner diameter, packed in-house with ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 3-μm resin) in buffer B with a flow rate of 500 nl/min for 20 min and eluted with a linear gradient from 2% to 40% buffer B (80% ACN and 0.5% acetic acid solution) at a flow rate of 250 nl/min over two hours. After each sample the column was washed with 90% buffer B and re-equilibrated with buffer A.

Mass spectra were acquired in the positive ion mode applying a data-dependent automatic switch between survey scan and tandem mass spectra (MS/MS) acquisition. Proteome samples were analyzed with a top5 method, acquiring one Orbitrap survey scan in the mass range of m/z 300–2000 followed by MS/MS of the five most intense ions in the LTQ. The target value in the LTQ-Orbitrap was 1,000,000 for survey scan at a resolution of 60,000 at m/z 400 using lock masses for recalibration to improve the mass accuracy of precursor ions (27). Fragmentation in the LTQ was performed by collision-induced dissociation with a target value of 5,000 ions. Ion selection threshold was 500 counts. Selected sequenced ions were dynamically excluded for 90 s. For the phosphoproteome acquisition a total scan cycle consisted of three mass ranges, 350–1050, 850–1750, and 350–1750 with MS/MS of the five most intense ions for the first two ranges and MS/MS of the seven most intense ions for the last range. Multi-stage activation was enabled upon detection of a neutral loss of phosphoric acid (97.97, 48.99, or 32.66 amu) (28) for further ion fragmentation. Selected sequenced ions were dynamically excluded for 300 s after sequencing. Otherwise settings were the same as described before for the proteome acquisition.

Data Analysis—Mass spectrometric data were analyzed with the in-house developed software MaxQuant (version 1.0.11.5 for the total basal SIL2 phosphoproteome and version 1.0.12.33 for the spectra extraction as well as for the proteome and phosphoproteome of Ptp61F RNAi) (29, 30). MS/MS spectra were searched by Mascot (version 2.2.04, Matrix Science) against the FlyBase (version 5.4) containing 20,822 entries combined with 175 common contaminants and concatenated with the reversed versions of all sequences. The following parameters were set for the Mascot searches. Trypsin allowing for cleavage N-terminal to proline and cleavage between aspartic acid and proline was chosen as enzyme specificity. Cysteine carbamidomethylation was selected as a fixed modification, whereas protein N-terminal acetylation, methionine oxidation and serine, threonine and tyrosine phosphorylation were selected as variable modifications. Depending on a priori knowledge about the number of Arg and Lys in the precursor ion determined by MaxQuant before the search, Arg-10 and Lys-8 were used as additional fixed or variable modifications. Maximally three (version 1.0.11.5) or two missed cleavages (version 1.0.12.33) and three labeled amino acids were allowed. Initial mass deviation of precursor ion and fragment ions were up to 7 ppm and 0.5 Da, respectively. MaxQuant automatically quantified SILAC peptides and proteins. SILAC protein ratios were calculated as the median of all peptide ratios assigned to the protein. A false discovery rate of 0.01 was required for proteins and peptides with a minimum length of 6 amino acids. In addition a posterior error probability for each MS/MS spectrum below or equal to 0.1 was required. In case the identified peptides of two proteins were the same or the identified peptides of one protein included all peptides of another protein, these proteins (e.g. isoforms and homologs) were compared by MaxQuant and reported as one protein group. Phosphorylation sites were made non-redundant with regards to their surrounding peptide sequence. Nevertheless all alternative proteins that matched a particular phosphosite were reported as one group. The PTM score was used for assignment of the phosphorylation site(s) as described (8). Class I phosphorylation sites are defined by a localization probability of 0.75, and probability localization score difference higher or equal to 5 (8).
different primer pairs were used to analyze Ptp61F mRNA levels. (Ptp61F 1 forward: 5′-AGCTGACTCATCCACCCTTT-3′, Ptp61F 1 reverse: 5′-TGGCAGTATTAGGATTTGGA-3′; Ptp61F 2 forward: 5′-CAGCAGCTATCGACTCCAGA-3′, Ptp61F 2 reverse: 5′-TGTGTGTCGCTCGTATCAT-3′). GAPDH levels were measured for normalization via 2-ΔΔCT method (31) (GAPDH forward: 5′-ATGAAGGTGGGTCTCCAAGCG-3′, reverse: 5′-TCATGACCCCTGACGACTT-3′). Three technical replicates of each sample (Ptp61F RNAi) and control (GST RNAi) were performed.

Motif Extraction—Motif-X was used to derive potentially new phosphorylation motifs in silico and to confirm the observations of the χ²-Test. A probability value of 0.0001 was considered significant. In addition, a minimum occurrence of 20 was required to derive a significant consensus sequence. The approach was performed residue-specific on all Class I sites using the entire fly proteome as a background model.

Phosphorylation Site Prediction—A support vector machine was trained separately for each amino acid on high-confidence phosphorylation sites as described in Gnad et al. (32). The essential feature of each instance that was used for this machine learning approach was the raw sequence: the phosphorylated residue along with its surrounding sequence (=6 residues). This yields 260 dimensions in the feature space reflecting the surrounding amino acids. Each feature dimension presents a certain residue on a certain position within the raw sequence: the phosphorylated residue along with its surrounding sequence. Each instance that was used for this machine learning approach was not, to date, detected to be phosphorylated. The positive and negative datasets were split into a training set (90%) and a test set (10%). The parameters C and α were optimized by varying them from 2⁻¹⁰ to 2¹⁰ in multiplicative steps of two on the basis of a 5-fold cross validation on the training set. The optimal C and α parameters for predicting phosphoserines were 32 and 0.125, respectively. In the case of phosphothreonines the best parameters were 4 and 0.125, respectively. We trained the optimal model for each set of each amino acid on high-confidence phosphorylation sites of mouse, human, and various prokaryotes and now provides the described Drosophila-specific phosphorylation site predictor. Furthermore, the genome annotation section of Phosida links directly to the Ensembl database, which displays our proteomic data on the basis of the DAS source management. The setup of Phosida DAS layers for a given gene of interest in Ensembl is described in the “Background” section of Phosida and in Ensembl.

Moreover, the proteomic data (identified peptides) were uploaded to MAPU - Max-Planck Unified Proteome Database. The detected peptides, which have been assigned to gene entries as described in this study, are also available via the MAPU DAS source in the Ensembl database.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

High-confidence Determination of the in Vivo SL2 Phosphoproteome—Most of the phosphoproteomics experiments are performed in a qualitative manner. Here we employed the SILAC method instead to measure quantitative changes in the levels of in vivo phosphorylation sites. In a first SILAC experiment, we compared basal phosphorylation to phosphatase inhibitor mixture-induced phosphorylation. This involves application of cell permeable inhibitors of serine/threonine and tyrosine protein phosphatases (see below) and has an analytical rather than a functional goal; it is advantageous because we trigger the identification of very low abundant endogenous phosphorylation sites that are up-regulated in response to the inhibitor treatment. Furthermore, the presence and mass spacing of the SILAC pair distinguish peptides from noise and allow counting the number of labeled amino acids, thereby decreasing the search space in database identification (30). The second SILAC experiment in which we quantified changes in the phosphoproteome upon RNAi-mediated knock-down of a phosphatase (see below) has both an analytical and a functional goal: it increases the measured endogenous phosphoproteome, which we subsequently analyzed bioinformatically, and it supplies information on the cellular roles of the phosphatase. Analytically, these two experiments lead to the detection of different sets of the Drosophila phosphoproteome (Fig. 1 and supplemental Tables 8 and 9). All phosphorylation sites in either SILAC experiments that are present in the control state (manifest as SILAC pairs) thus reflect the endogenous phosphorylation status of the Drosophila SL2 cells here termed “basal phosphoproteome”.

First we SILAC-labeled SL2 cells and treated the heavy cell population with an inhibitor mixture against serine/threonine and tyrosine protein phosphatases for 15 min. The two SILAC populations were combined in equal amounts, and their total protein extract was in-solution digested. To enrich phosphopeptides, we employed both multiple rounds of TiO₂ chromatography in batch mode and the combination of SCX followed by TiO₂ chromatography (supplemental Fig. 1). Phosphopeptide fractions were separated by reverse-phase chromatography and on-line detected in a high-resolution linear ion trap-orbitrap instrument (LTQ-Orbitrap) (LC-MS/MS) (Fig. 1A). A phosphorylation site not responding to inhibitor treatment is detected as a phosphopeptide peak pair with the same peak intensities (ratio H/L = 1). An up-regulated phosphorylation site has a ratio H/L > 1 and a down-regulated one H/L < 1. In total, we identified 6,752 high-confidence phosphorylation sites (Class I sites: 99% peptide identification confidence and >75% amino acid localization confidence (8)). Of the phosphotyrosine sites, 88% were regulated upon phosphatase inhibitor treatment whereas only 44% of the phosphoserine/phosphothreonine sites changed by more than 1.5-fold (supplemental Fig. 2). This likely reflects the high efficiency of pervanadate in inhibiting tyrosine phosphatases (33, 34).

Next, we applied quantitative phosphoproteomics to RNAi of the phosphatase Ptp61F by down-regulating its expression in the heavy cell population. Cells were SILAC-labeled and dsRNA treated from the same day because both processes take about the same number of cell doublings and are completely independent. Both in-gel digestion coupled with TiO₂ chromatography and in-solution digestion in combination with SCX and TiO₂ chromatography were performed (supplemental Fig. 3). Since dsRNA treatment for 8 days can also alter the proteome, we additionally analyzed global protein expression...
changes (Fig. 1B). This allows normalization of the phosphorylation changes with respect to protein levels.

All quantitative MS data were analyzed together as described (29, 30, 33, 35). In total, we detected 10,043 high-confidence phosphorylation sites on 2,379 phosphoproteins of which 9,749 were quantifiable and represented our basal phosphoproteome (supplemental Table 9). This number is similar to other recent large-scale Drosophila phosphoproteome datasets (11, 12). There is a good overlap of phosphorylated proteins (65.9% to Zhai et al. 12 and 75.2% to Bodenmiller et al. 11) (supplemental Fig. 4A), especially because the other investigations used a different cell line (Kc167) under various conditions or homogenized embryos. However, while 1,274 phosphorylated proteins were identified in all three studies, 334 phosphoproteins were exclusively found in our study indicating that none of the Drosophila phosphoproteomes is exhaustive yet. This observation is even more striking at the level of phosphorylation sites. We detected 4,691 novel phosphorylation sites, while 5,051 sites (51.8%) were already covered by the other two studies (supplemental Fig. 4B).

Bioinformatics Analysis of the SL2 Phosphoproteome—Insects are at considerable evoluational distance to mammals, in which most phosphoproteomic studies have been done. Drosophila, in particular, serves as an important model organism, but so far it is not known how closely the phosphoproteome...
resembles that of mammals. We therefore investigated the overall properties of our high-confidence SL2 phosphoproteome using Gene Ontology (GO), kinase motif analysis, structural constraints, and evolutionary conservation.

**Overall Properties of the Drosophila Phosphoproteome Are Similar to the Human Phosphoproteome**—GO cellular compartment analysis, using Cytoscape (36) and BINGO (37), revealed mitochondria and extracellular compartment to be underrepresented while nucleus, cytoplasm, and cytoskeleton were overrepresented (all with \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 2 and supplemental Table 1). Regulatory protein classes such as transcription factors, protein kinases, and GTPase regulating proteins were highly overrepresented in GO molecular function analysis (\( p < 10^{-15} \); supplemental Table 1). Furthermore, GO analysis after combining our dataset with that of Bodenmiller et al. (11) and Zhai et al. (12) (which yielded 4,871 phosphoproteins), showed similar trends, suggesting they are valid for the entire *Drosophila* phosphoproteome (supplemental Table 2). They also mirror recent analyses of a human and mouse cell line (8, 33).

**Phosphorylation Substrates in Drosophila Can Largely be Predicted by Human Kinase Motives**—To ask whether SL2 phosphorylation sites match significantly with known human kinase motifs, we employed the \( \chi^2 \)-Test as described in Pan et al. (33). The \( \chi^2 \)-Test assesses the significance of the variance between observed and expected frequencies. This showed that fly phosphorylation sites matched significantly to most of the known kinase motifs, derived from human cells (supplemental Table 3). As an example, the CDK1 motif \([ST]-P-X-[KR]\) matches with the surrounding amino acids of identified phosphorylation sites six times more frequently than expected by chance. In the case of human the fold enrichment was twenty.

As described in Schwartz et al. (38), Motif-X is an iterative approach to derive significantly overrepresented motifs from large-scale datasets. A motif is built via recursive extraction of significant amino acid combinations in a given foreground set (surrounding sequence of phosphorylation sites) compared with a given background set. The application of Motif-X confirmed the findings of the \( \chi^2 \)-Test (supplemental Table 4). For example, the second most significant consensus sequence was the CDK1 motif. We next applied Motif-X to phosphorylation sites detected in human HeLa cells (8). Overall, 27 significant sequence motifs matched exactly with those derived from the *Drosophila* set (supplemental Fig. 5) indicating a high degree of conservation of kinases and their signaling pathways ranging from CDK to ERK. This is in concordance with a previous comparison of various eukaryotic kinomes to the human kinome by Manning et al. (39). For example, fly and human share several kinase families involved in immunity, neurobiology as well as basic cellular functions such as the cell cycle (40).

**Phosphorylation Sites Are Largely Confined to Loops and Hinges on the Protein Surface**—Next we assigned secondary structure and accessibility constraints to phosphorylation sites employing the prediction method SABLE 2.0 (41). SABLE assigns the predicted secondary structure (coil, helix, or \( \beta \)-sheet) to each residue of a given input sequence along with calculated accessibility values ranging from 0 (fully buried) to 9 (fully exposed). As in our previous analysis of the human phosphoproteome (32), phosphorylation sites are almost exclusively located in coil regions of the surfaces of proteins (supplemental Fig. 6A). In total, 91% of phosphorylated serines were found to be located in loop regions in comparison to 77% of the serines that were not identified to be phosphorylated on the same proteins. The resulting degrees
Evolutionary Conservation of the Drosophila Phosphoproteome—We used FlyBase (42) to assign our proteomic data to the genome and EnsEMBL (43) for chromosomal localization. The SL2 phosphoproteome (quantified and non-quantified sites) encompassed 2,454 gene transcripts, corresponding to 2,411 distinct genes. For annotation of the Drosophila genome, we employed the DAS source system using the Proserver technology (44, 45). This combination allows easy checking of individual genes and proteins starting from the genome as well as visualizing overall distribution patterns in the entire genome. As expected, our dataset did not show a specific pattern in the genome (supplemental Fig. 7).

The EnsEMBL Compara database contains phylogenetic relationships between fly and around 40 eukaryotes ranging from yeast to human. Besides the phylogenetic classifications between homologous proteins, it also stores global cDNA alignments. With assigned gene transcripts in hands, we compared the conservation of detected phosphorylated proteins with the one of all proteins from FlyBase that are defined as “known” due to former experimental evidence. We found that phosphorylated proteins show more orthologs throughout all eukaryotes than all other annotated proteins (supplemental Table 5 and supplemental Fig. 8). For example, around 70% of proteins that are phosphorylated in fly have orthologous counterparts in mosquito; in comparison, around 60% of the other known proteins are homologous to mosquito proteins. The same tendency was found for the homology to more distantly related species such as human or even yeast. The results of the analysis on the inter-species level are in concordance with ones of the intra-species level. We found that more than half (55%) of all fly proteins show paralogs, whereas a mere 27% of the phosphorylated subset shows homology within the same species. The higher proportion of non-phosphorylated proteins showing paralogy reflects faster evolution.
In addition, we assessed the preservation of the protein sequence for each homologous protein pair using the rates of synonymous and non-synonymous base pair substitutions ($d_N/d_S$ ratio) (46). The median $d_N/d_S$ ratio of orthologous phosphoproteins was found to be 0.25 compared with 0.33 in the case of all homologous fly proteins, indicating that there is negative selection for amino acid changes within the sequence of phosphorylated proteins.

In conclusion, evolutionary analysis indicates strong conservation of phosphoproteins, presumably because of their key regulatory functions; for example, in cell signaling. Phylogenetic relationships and global alignments between homologous proteins are displayed in the Phosida database.

Proteome-wide Analysis of Phosphatase Ptp61F RNAi—To in-depth characterize proteome- and phosphoproteome-wide responses of the cell to the depletion of the phosphatase Ptp61F, we combined SILAC-based proteomics with RNA interference. The quantitative MS data of the proteome and phosphoproteome analysis of Ptp61F RNAi (Fig. 1B) were analyzed together as described (29, 30, 33, 35). In total 4,132 proteins were identified with a false discovery rate of 1% and at least two unique peptides; out of these 3,454 proteins were quantified with a minimum of three quantitation events (three SILAC pairs) (supplemental Table 10). The histogram of the normalized H/L SILAC ratios is shown in Fig. 4A and is somewhat narrower than a normal distribution, indicating...
that no gross proteome changes occurred. In Fig. 4B the same ratios are plotted as a function of added peptide signal. The highest significant outlier is the phosphatase, which is down-regulated more than 90% (Fig. 4, B and C). This agrees with 80% decrease of its mRNA in real-time PCR measurements (Fig. 4D).

The tight distribution around the one-to-one ratio indicates the high accuracy of protein quantitation. We defined significantly regulated proteins as those that changed at least 1.5-fold and the fold change of which had an intensity-dependent statistical significance of at least 0.002 (termed “significance B”). Applying this level of stringency the detected proteome changes are restricted to sixteen up-regulated proteins and six down-regulated proteins (supplemental Table 6). This suggests - at least at the level of the proteome that was quantified in our experiments - that the response to the depletion of the phosphatase has limited effect at the proteome level, and that our RNAi had few if any off-target effects. The proteins found up-regulated are mainly proteins involved in metabolic pathways like the glycolytic enzyme phosphofructokinase or the glutamine synthase 2.

An important purpose of the proteome analysis was the possibility to correct changes at the phosphorylation level by changes at the protein expression level. In our dataset 77% of the phosphorylation sites could be normalized to their corresponding protein expression levels (supplemental Table 10). Interestingly, the remaining phosphorylation sites were not significantly associated with low intensity phosphopeptides. Thus they probably reflect the different selectivity of phos-
phopeptide enrichment compared with the whole proteome measurement. 

Global Phosphoproteome Response to Ptp61F RNAi—In total 6,478 phosphorylation sites on 1,904 proteins were detected upon Ptp61F RNAi (supplemental Table 10). Applying the same criteria as for the proteome, 288 phosphorylation sites changed significantly, comprising 217 serines, 45 threonines, and 26 tyrosines (Fig. 5A). Thus, in total 4.4% of all detected phosphorylation sites were affected upon depletion of a single tyrosine phosphatase. Direct targets of a tyrosine phosphatase should show up-regulated tyrosine phosphorylation upon phosphatase RNAi. (Note that we use “up-regulated phosphorylation” to denote increase in phosphorylation after accounting for protein expression changes.) We detected 15 proteins with 19 up-regulated tyrosine phosphorylation sites (supplemental Table 7 and Fig. 6). The sole Drosophila Stat (STAT92E) serves as positive control because Ptp61F is a known suppressor of STAT92E-dependent transcription (16, 17). The phosphorylation site Tyr-704 of this transcription factor determines its dimerization and accumulation in the nucleus (47, 48). It was found 10-fold up-regulated upon Ptp61F RNAi (Fig. 5B), which represents the most extreme tyrosine responder in the entire dataset.

One category of proteins with up-regulated tyrosine phosphorylation sites are likely direct substrates of Ptp61F because they are also found in a recent substrate trapping assay (20). These are the non-receptor protein tyrosine kinase Abl, its interacting protein Abi (also found by Huang et al. (18)), the focal adhesion protein Lasp, the GTPase activating protein RhoGAP15B, and the sorting nexin DSH3PX1. However, the substrate trapping experiment did not identify specific sites, and our experiment now provides this important information (Fig. 6). The kinase Ack was also identified by substrate trapping. The high-confidence tyrosine phosphorylation site Tyr-987 of Ack was up-regulated 1.3-fold but was not statistically significant by our definition (Fig. 5A). This was also the case for the tyrosine phosphorylation sites of SCAR (1.3-fold) and Pvr (1.2-fold), suggesting that our significant thresholds are conservative.

The focal adhesion component Paxillin and the guanine-nucleotide exchange factor Vav are potential novel targets of Ptp61F with a functional link to cytoskeleton organization. In support, mammalian Paxillin was identified in a PTP1B substrate assay experiment (19). Furthermore, the same study found increased tyrosine phosphorylation of mammalian Vav3 comparing wild type and in PTP1B-deficient mouse fibroblasts by quantitative phosphotyrosine proteomics. The tyrosines of the identified Paxillin and Vav phosphorylation site are conserved but not yet described as PTP1B target sites. The translation elongation factor EF1-alpha, the vacuolar protein sorting 4 homolog CG6842, the adaptor protein Dos, the GTPase Rab1, and Prosap are potential novel Ptp61F substrates with conserved tyrosine sites in human (supplemental Fig. 10). The positive regulator of Stat92E-dependent signaling CG15784 and the guanine-nucleotide exchange factor Tctp are novel potential substrates where either the protein or the detected regulated phosphorylation site is not conserved in human.

Our approach is designed to measure systems-wide effects of pathway perturbation in an unbiased way, rather than to discriminate direct and indirect actions of the phosphatase. In addition to up-regulation of direct targets, changes in the tyrosine phosphorylation levels can also be due to activation of substrate tyrosine kinases. Illustrating this point, we found the direct target Abl with increased phosphorylation on Tyr-540 within the activation loop and on Tyr-1515 within a potential autophosphorylation sequence at the C terminus (Fig. 5C). The activation loop phosphorylation of Abl has been detected in oncogenic Abl kinases in vivo (49), and this is the first direct observation of endogenous activation at this site upon Ptp61F depletion. Enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of Abl in general and activation loop phosphorylation in particular increases its kinase activity (50, 51). Activated Abl propagates the effects of the Ptp61F RNAi to a second layer of effector molecules. Several proteins with up-regulated tyrosine phosphorylation in our experiment are known to be phosphorylated by Bcr-Abl or c-Abl in human cell lines. These include Paxillin (52, 53) and Vav1 (54).

Abl is an interesting example because its phosphorylation is regulated by both Abl and Ptp61F (18). Thus its increased phosphorylation upon Ptp61F RNAi is the combined effect of increased Abl activity and depleted phosphatase. Our in vivo screen shows that the net effect is a 1.5-fold up-regulation of Tyr-248. Similarly, the up-regulation of Paxillin and Vav phosphorylation sites, in our experiment could reflect the separate or combined action of Abl and Ptp61F. Since mammalian Paxillin was also identified in a PTP1B substrate assay experiment (19) a dual action of Abl and Ptp61F on Paxillin is likely.

With regards to the up-regulation of several metabolic enzymes at the protein level, it is interesting that Bcr-Abl signaling has been linked to up-regulation of phosphofructokinase expression level (55). Furthermore, Bcr-Abl signaling activates c-Jun NH₂-terminal kinase (JNK) (56), possibly providing the mechanism for increased threonine phosphorylation on the fly homolog dJun within the transactivation domain (57).

Altogether, we detected 101 up- and 115 down-regulated phosphorylation sites upon Ptp61F RNAi (supplemental Table 7), emphasizing the broad effects of the phosphatase on the phosphoproteome. There are a number of serine/threonine kinases whose phosphorylation level is altered such as Fray (1.6-fold up) and MEKK-1 (1.3-fold up), which although not all passing our threshold for significance could be the mechanistic link to these broad effects. Note that phosphorylation of a kinase can either enhance or inhibit its activity.

Conclusion—Here we have, for the first time, combined quantitative proteomics and phosphoproteomics to study the effects of perturbing phosphorylation-dependent signal-
ing network by ablating one of its components. This approach differs from "chemical proteomics" involving ATP-based enrichment or classical in vitro approaches in that it measures the net effect of the perturbation on the signaling network and the expressed proteome in a completely generic and hypothesis-free approach. Effects on the phosphorylation pattern are captured at the quantitative phosphoproteomics level, whereas resulting gene expression changes are measured by quantitative proteomics. These effects are both upstream and downstream of the intermediate output measured by mRNA-based technologies.

We also introduced the concept of parallel acquisition of a proteome and a phosphoproteome for the system-wide analysis of a perturbed system. This is generally necessary in perturbations that last longer than the time required for protein expression changes (generally 20–30 min) because it enables assigning changes to the stoichiometry of the phosphorylation site (phosphorylation change relative to protein

Fig. 6. Direct and indirect targets of Ptp61F. The H/L phosphopeptide ratios upon Ptp61F RNAi and in brackets their corresponding values normalized to the protein expression are indicated. Here only phosphotyrosines with normalization and a ratio belonging to a singly phosphorylated peptide are shown. Tyrosines with asterisk are conserved in human (supplemental Fig. 9).
amount) and the absolute phosphorylation changes resulting from a protein expression change. Biologically, the total amount of phosphorylation, the relative fraction of phosphorylation, or both, may be important.

We chose to ablate the Drosophila homolog of a disease relevant phosphotyrosine phosphatase, Ptp61F by RNAi. The detected potential substrates were enriched for the categories cytoskeleton regulation and adhesion signaling and thus our data further support and expand a role of Ptp61F in these processes. Our results show a limited effect of the Ptp61F RNAi on the proteome in contrast to a pronounced effect on the phosphoproteome. This indicates that the phosphatase predominantly affects phosphorylation-mediated signaling rather than downstream gene expression. Therefore a microarray experiment may not have been efficient at defining the most pertinent effects of this phosphatase knock-down.

Our proof of principle study clearly demonstrates the power of combined quantitative proteomics and phosphoproteomics to study system-wide effects of signaling network perturbation. With increasing throughput and automation of MS, signaling networks could routinely be probed in this way. Although we used RNAi, other obvious perturbations include gene knock-outs of kinases and phosphatases as well as small molecule inhibitors.

Acknowledgments—Juergen Cox and Nadin Neuhauser helped in the analysis of large-scale datasets, and Jesper Olsen advised on the construction of the Drosophila phosphorylation site predictor. We thank Reinhard Faessler and Ralf Böttcher of the Department for Molecular Medicine for critical reading and suggestions. We thank Ewan Birney, Reinhard Faessler and Ralf Boettcher of the Department for Molecular Medicine for critical reading and suggestions. We thank Ewan Birney, Andrew Jenkinson, and Albert Bertan of the European Bioinformatics Institute for help with establishing the DAS server and the use of the European Bioinformatics Institute Compara database.

REFERENCES
1. Aebersold, R., and Mann, M. (2003) Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Nature 422, 198–207
2. Schmelzle, K., and White, F. M. (2006) Phosphoproteomic approaches to elucidate cellular signaling networks. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 17, 406–414
3. Witze, E. S., Old, W. M., Resing, K. A., and Ahn, N. G. (2007) Mapping protein post-translational modifications with mass spectrometry. Nat. Methods 4, 798–806
4. Ong, S. E., and Mann, M. (2005) Mass spectrometry-based proteomics turns quantitative. Nat. Chem. Biol. 1, 252−262
5. Ficarro, S. B., McClelland, M. L., Stukenberg, P. T., Burke, D. J., Ross, M. M., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D. F., and White, F. M. (2002) Phosphoproteome analysis by mass spectrometry and its application to Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nat. Biotechnol. 20, 301−305
6. White, F. M. (2008) Quantitative phosphoproteomic analysis of signaling network dynamics. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 19, 404−409
7. Ong, S. E., Blagoev, B., Kratchmarova, I., Kristensen, D. B., Steen, H., Pandey, A., and Mann, M. (2002) Stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture, SILAC, as a simple and accurate approach to expression proteomics. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 1, 376–386
8. Olsen, J. V., Blagoev, B., Gnad, F., Macek, B., Kumar, C., Mortensen, P., and Mann, M. (2006) Global, in vivo, and site-specific phosphorylation dynamics in signaling networks. Cell 127, 635–648
9. Worby, C. A., Simonson-Leff, N., and Dixon, J. E. (2001) RNA interference of gene expression (RNAi) in cultured Drosophila cells. Sci. STKE 2001, PL1
10. Rubin, G. M., Yandell, M. D., Wortman, J. R., Gabor Miklos, G. L., Nelson, C. R., Harirhan, I. K., Fortini, M. E., Li, P. W., Apeviler, R., Fleischmann, W., Cherry, J. M., Henikoff, S., Skupski, M. P., Misra, S., Ashburner, M., Birney, E., Boguski, M. S., Brody, T., Brokstein, P., Ceblenski, S. E., Chervitz, S. A., Coates, D., Cravchik, A., Gabrielian, A., Galle, R. F., Gelbart, W. M., George, R. A., Goldstein, L. S., Gong, F., Guan, P., Harris, N. L., Hay, B. A., Hoskins, R. A. L., Li, J. L., Li, Z., Hynes, R. O., Jones, S. J., Kuehl, P. M., Lemaitre, B., Littleton, J. T., Morrison, D. K., Mungall, C., O’Farrell, P. H., Pickeral, O. K., Shue, C., Vossall, L. B., Zhang, J., Zhao, Q., Zheng, X. H., and Lewis, S. (2000) Comparative genomics of the eukaryotes. Science 287, 2204–2215
11. Bodenmiller, B., Malmstrom, J., Gerits, B., Campbell, D., Lam, H., Schmidt, A., Rinner, O., Mueller, L. N., Shannon, P. T., Pedrioli, P. G., Panse, C., Lee, H. K., Schlapbach, R., and Aebersold, R. (2007) PhoshoPep—a phosphoproteome resource for systems biology research in Drosophila Kc167 cells. Mol. Syst. Biol. 3, 139
12. Zhai, B., Villien, J., Beausoleil, S. A., Mintsers, J., and Gygi, S. P. (2007) Phosphoproteome analysis of Drosophila melanogaster embryos. J. Proteome Res. 7, 1675–1682
13. Bonaldi, T., Straub, T., Cox, J., Kumar, C., Becker, P. B., and Mann, M. (2008) Combined use of RNA and quantitative proteomics to study gene function in Drosophila. Mol. Cell 31, 762–772
14. Dubé, N., and Tremblay, M. L. (2005) Involvement of the small protein tyrosine phosphatases TC-PTP and PTP1B in signal transduction and diseases: from diabetes, obesity to cell cycle, and cancer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1754, 108–117
15. Johnson, T. O., Ermolli, J., and Jirousek, M. R. (2002) Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B inhibitors for diabetes. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 1, 796–799
16. Baeg, G. H., Zhou, R., and Perrimon, N. (2005) Genome-wide RNAi analysis of JAK/STAT signaling components in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 19, 1861−1870
17. Müller, P., Kutterkeider, D., Gesellchen, V., Zeidler, M. P., and Boutros, M. (2005) Identification of JAK/STAT signaling components by genome-wide RNA interference. Nature 436, 871–875
18. Huang, C. H., Lin, T. Y., Pan, R. L., and Juang, J. L. (2007) The involvement of Abl and PTP61F in the regulation of Abi protein localization and stability and lamella formation in Drosophila S2 cells. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 32442–32452
19. Mertins, P., Eberli, H. C., Renkawitz, J., Olsen, J. V., Tremblay, M. L., Mann, M., Ulrich, A., and Daub, H. (2008) Investigation of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B function by quantitative proteomics. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 7, 1762–1777
20. Chang, Y. C., Lin, S. Y., Pan, K. T., Chou, C. C., Chen, C. H., Liao, C. L., Khoo, K. H., and Meng, T. C. (2008) Tyrosine phosphoproteomics and identification of substrates of protein tyrosine phosphatase dPTP61F in Drosophila S2 cells by mass spectrometry-based substrate trapping strategy. J. Proteome Res. 7, 1055–1066
21. Shevchenko, A., Wilm, M., Vorm, O., and Mann, M. (1996) Mass spectrometric sequencing of proteins silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. Anal. Chem. 68, 665–688
22. Shevchenko, A., Tomas, H., Havlis, J., Olsen, J. V., and Mann, M. (2006) In-gel digestion for mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. Nat. Protoc. 1, 2856–2860
23. Rappsilber, J., Mann, M., and Ishihama, Y. (2007) Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nat. Protoc. 2, 1986–1906
24. Rappsilber, J., Ishihama, Y., and Mann, M. (2003) Stop and go extraction trapping strategy for matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization, nanoelectrospray, and LC/MS sample pretreatment in proteomics. Anal. Chem. 75, 663–670
25. Macek, B., Mijakovic, I., Olsen, J. V., Gnad, F., Kumar, C., Jensen, P. R., and Mann, M. (2007) The serine/threonine/tyrosine phosphophroteome of the model bacterium Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 6, 697–707
26. Larsen, M. R., Thingholm, T. E., Jensen, O. N., Roepstorff, P., and Jorgensen, T. J. (2005) Highly selective enrichment of phosphorylated peptides from peptide mixtures using titanium dioxide microcolumns. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 4, 873–886
27. Olsen, J. V., de Godoy, L. M., Li, G., Mazeikus, B., Mortensen, P., Pesch, R., Makarov, A., Lange, O., Horning, S., and Mann, M. (2005) Parts per million mass accuracy on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer via lock mass injection into a C-trap. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 4, 2010–2021
28. Schroeder, M. J., Shabanowitz, J., Schwartz, J. C., Hunt, D. F., and Coon, J. J. (2004) A neutral loss activation method for improved phosphopeptide sequence analysis by quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometry. Anal. Chem. 76, 3590–3598
29. Cox, J., and Mann, M. (2007) Is proteomics the new genomics? Cell 130, 395–398
30. Cox, J., and Mann, M. (2008) MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat. Biotechnol. 26, 1367–1372
31. Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. Methods 25, 402–408
32. Gnädig, F., Ren, S., Cox, J., Olsen, J. V., Macek, B., Oroshi, M., and Mann, M. (2007) PHOSIDA (phosphorylation site database): management, structural and evolutionary investigation, and prediction of phosphotyrosines. Genome Biol. 8, R250
33. Pan, C., Gnädig, F., Olsen, J. V., and Mann, M. (2008) Quantitative phosphoproteomics analysis of a mouse liver cell line reveals specificity of phosphatase inhibitors. Proteomics 8, 4534–4546
34. Deleted in proof
35. Graumann, J., Hubner, N. C., Kim, J. B., Ko, K., Moser, M., Kumar, C., Cox, J., Schöler, H., and Mann, M. (2008) Stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) and proteome quantitation of mouse embryonic stem cells to a depth of 5,111 proteins. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 7, 672–683
36. Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., and Ideker, T. (2003) Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 13, 2498–2504
37. Maere, S., Heymans, K., and Kuiper, M. (2005) BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. Bioinformatics 21, 3448–3449
38. Schwartz, D., and Gygi, S. P. (2005) An iterative statistical approach to the identification of protein phosphorylation motifs from large-scale data sets. Nat. Biotechnol. 23, 1391–1398
39. Manning, G., Ploegman, G. D., Hunter, T., and Sudarsanam, S. (2002) Evolution of protein kinase signaling from yeast to man. Trends Biochem. Sci. 27, 514–520
40. Bettencourt-Dias, M., Giet, R., Sinka, R., Mazumdar, A., Lock, W. G., Balloux, F., Zaffaronioupolos, P. J., Yamaguchi, S., Winter, S., Cartew, R. W., Cooper, M., Jones, D., Frenz, L., and Glover, D. M. (2004) Genome-wide survey of protein kinases required for cell cycle progression. Nature 432, 980–987
41. Adamczak, R., Porollo, A., and Miller, J. (2005) Combining prediction of secondary structure and solvent accessibility in proteins. Proteins 59, 467–475
42. Grumbling, G., and Strelets, V. (2006) FlyBase: anatomical data, images and queries. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, D484–D488
43. Flice, P., Aken, B. L., Beal, K., Ballester, B., Caccamo, M., Chen, Y., Clarke, L., Coates, G., Cunningham, F., Cutts, T., Down, T., Dyer, S. C., Eyre, T., Fitzgerald, S., Fernandez-Banet, J., Gräf, S., Haider, S., Hammond, M., Holland, R., Howe, K. L., Howe, K., Johnson, N., Jenkinson, A., Kähäri, A., Keefe, D., Kokocinski, F., Kulesha, E., Lawson, D., Longden, I., Megy, K., Meidi, P., Overduin, B., Parker, A., Pritchard, B., Prlic, A., Rice, S., Rios, D., Schuster, M., Sealy, I., Slater, G., Smedley, D., Spudich, G., Trevanion, S., Vieira, A. J., Vogel, J., White, S., Wood, M., Birney, E., Cox, T., Curwen, V., Durbin, R., Fernandez-Suarez, X. M., Herrero, J., Hubbard, T. J., Kasprzyk, A., Proctor, G., Smith, J., Ureta-Vidal, A., and Searle, S. (2008) Ensembl 2008. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, D707–D714
44. Dowell, R. D., Jokerst, R. M., Day, A., Eddy, S. R., and Stein, L. (2001) The distributed annotation system. BMC Bioinformatics 2, 7
45. Finn, R. D., Stalker, J. W., Jackson, D. K., Kulesha, E., Clements, J., and Pettett, R. (2007) ProServer: a simple, extensible Perl DAS server. Bioinformatics 23, 1568–1570
46. Zhang, Z., Li, J., Zhao, X. Q., Wang, J., Wong, G. K., and Yu, J. (2006) KaKs_Calculator: calculating Ka and Ks through model selection and model averaging. Genomic Proteomics Bioinformatics 4, 259–263
47. Yan, R., Small, S., Desplan, C., Darnell, C. R., and Jarriault, P. (1996) Identification of a Stat gene that functions in Drosophila development. Cell 84, 421–430
48. Arbozovuza, N. I., and Zeidler, M. P. (2006) JAK/STAT signalling in Drosophila: insights into conserved regulatory and cellular functions. Development 133, 2605–2616
49. Woodring, P. J., Hunter, T., and Wang, J. Y. (2003) Regulation of F-actin-dependent processes by the Abi family of tyrosine kinases. J. Cell Sci. 116, 2613–2626
50. Henkemeyer, M. J., Bennett, R. L., Gertler, F. B., and Hoffmann, F. M. (1988) DNA sequence, structure, and tyrosine kinase activity of the Drosophila melanogaster Abelson proto-oncogene homolog. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8, 843–853
51. Tanis, K. G., Veech, D., Duewel, H. S., Bornmann, W. G., and Koleski, A. J. (2003) Two distinct phosphorylation pathways have additive effects on Abi family kinase activation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 23, 3884–3896
52. Lewis, J. M., and Schwartz, M. A. (1998) Integrins regulate the association and phosphorylation of paxillin by c-Abi. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 14225–14230
53. Salgia, R., Brunkhorst, B., Piscick, E., Li, J. L., Lo, S. H., Chen, L. B., and Griffin, J. D. (1995) Increased tyrosine phosphorylation of focal adhesion proteins in myeloid cell lines expressing p210BCR/ABL. Oncogene 11, 1149–1155
54. Bassermann, F., Jahn, T., Miething, C., Seipel, P., Bai, R. Y., Coutinho, S., Tybuliewicz, V. L., Peschel, C., and Dyuster, J. (2002) Association of Bcr-Abi with the proto-oncogene Vav is implicated in activation of the Rac-1 pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 12437–12445
55. Hickey, F. B., and Cotter, T. G. (2006) Identification of transcriptional targets associated with the expression of p210 Bcr-Abi. Eur. J. Haematol. 76, 369–383
56. Raitano, A. B., Halpern, J. R., Hambuch, T. M., and Sawyers, C. L. (1995) The Bcr-Abi leukemia oncogene activates Jun kinase and requires Jun for transformation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 92, 11746–11750
57. Franklin, C. C., Sanchez, V., Wagner, F., Woodgett, J. R., and Kraft, A. S. (1992) Phorbol ester-induced amino-terminal phosphorylation of human JUN but not JUNB regulates transcriptional activation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89, 7247–7251