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Objectives: Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) is the most common peripheral vestibular end-organ disease. This article aims to summarize research findings and key discoveries of BPPV. The pathophysiology, diagnosis, nonsurgical, and surgical management are discussed.

Methods: A comprehensive review of the literature regarding BPPV up through June 2018 was performed.

Results: BPPV is typified by sudden, brief episodes of vertigo precipitated by specific head movements. While often self-limited, BPPV can have a considerable impact on quality of life. The diagnosis can be established with a Dix-Hallpike maneuver for the posterior and anterior canals, or supine roll test for the horizontal canal, and typically does not require additional ancillary testing. Understanding the pathophysiology of both canalithiasis and cupulolithiasis has allowed for the development of various repositioning techniques. Of these, the particle repositioning maneuver is an effective way to treat posterior canal BPPV, the most common variant. Options for operative intervention are available for intractable cases or patients with severe and frequent recurrences.

Conclusions: A diagnosis of BPPV can be made through clinical history along with diagnostic maneuvers. BPPV is generally amenable to in-office repositioning techniques. For a small subset of patients with intractable BPPV, canal occlusion can be considered.
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INTRODUCTION

Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) is the most common peripheral vestibular end-organ disease and is typified by a sudden, transient gyralatory sensation which is accompanied by characteristic nystagmus. Symptoms are provoked by positional changes of the head with respect to gravity and can range in severity from mild dizziness to debilitating episodes that may induce nausea or vomiting, and significantly hinder daily functioning.

Vertigo is defined as the subjective perception of rotational or translational movement in the absence of an external stimulus. In the case of BPPV, aberrant signals from semicircular canals create an illusion of motion which results in vertigo. Patients with vertiginous symptoms will ultimately be diagnosed with BPPV in 17% to 42% of cases, making BPPV the most frequent cause of vertigo.1,2 One European cross-sectional study estimated the lifetime prevalence of BPPV to be 2.4%, and data from subsequent research reported the incidence to be 10.7 to 64 cases per 100,000 per year.3–5 While BPPV can present at any age, its peak onset occurs in the fifth and sixth decades of life.4 Furthermore, the disorders disproportionately affect women at a ratio of 2 or 3 to 1, although the disparity between the sexes is not observed in younger patients or those with a traumatic etiology.2,4

The high prevalence of BPPV yields a proportionately substantial healthcare burden of approximately $2 billion per year in the United States.6 Moreover, delays in the diagnosis and treatment of BPPV on the order of months are not uncommon and have profound financial and quality of life implications for patients and caregivers.7 A cross-sectional study of 100 elderly patients found that in those with symptoms not related to balance disorders, 9% had previously unrecognized BPPV.9 Furthermore, studies indicate that as few as 10% to 20% of patients with the condition receive appropriate treatment.3 These data highlight the importance of educating clinicians regarding the disease. Fortunately, in the vast majority of cases, diagnosis is straightforward, and the condition is generally amenable to in-office treatments. This review aims to lay out salient points and recent advances related to pathophysiology and the treatment of BPPV.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The vestibular system evolved to perceive head motion and position. Specifically, the otolith organs,
which are comprised of the utricle and saccule, detect linear acceleration and gravitational forces, whereas the semicircular canals detect rotational acceleration. Endolymph hydrodynamics within the semicircular canals, as well as its influence on the ampullary cupula, allows for the sensation of angular movement in each of the three planes in which the canals are oriented. The symptomatology of BPPV is a direct result of aberrant semicircular canal signalling which creates an illusory sense of motion.

The two prevailing theories regarding BPPV’s pathophysiology are the canalithiasis and cupulolithiasis models, and they differ with respect to how endolymphatic debris influences cupular dynamics (Fig 1).

In the cupulolithiasis model, the particulate matter becomes adherent to the cupula itself. This cupular loading renders the system sensitive to gravitational forces, and the resulting alterations in cupular deflection lead to pathological perceptions of motion. It has been suggested that this mechanism may represent the more chronic form of BPPV.

By comparison, the canalithiasis model cites free-floating particles within the lumen of the canal as the causal factor, a phenomenon that was first demonstrated in vivo by Parnes and McClure. A video of the free-floating particles can be seen in the supplemental material of this article. The aberrant signal results when gravity pulls the particles through the endolymph canal creating a plunger-like effect which in turn causes ipsidirectional cupular displacement.

Clinicopathological research indicates that canalithiasis is the predominant subtype. The source of the canaliths responsible for the development of disease has been the subject of much speculation, with many theorizing that displaced otolithic membrane fragments may be the culprit. Recent work by Kao et al. supports this concept. Scanning electron micrographs of posterior semicircular canal contents extracted from patients with intractable BPPV show free-floating otoconia with linking filaments attached to what appears to be a gelatinous matrix (Fig. 2). These images lend credence to the hypothesis that canaliths of utricular origin are the source of the free-floating particles leading to BPPV. Saccular otoconia are less likely to be involved due to their remote position relative to the semicircular canals.

Most cases of BPPV are idiopathic in origin and probably result from degeneration of the macula. Secondary causes of BPPV refer to identifiable causes of otoconial dislodgement. These include otologic and nonotologic surgery, head trauma, or any means by which a sufficient mechanical force reaches the inner ear. Furthermore, the disease can develop via inner ear disorders which ultimately lead to the degradation and disassociation of otoconia from their native gelatinous substrate. These include vestibular neuritis, Meniere’s disease and sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL).

BPPV can develop in any of the three semicircular canals. The posterior canal variant is by far the most common (80–90%) because it is the most gravity-dependent part of the vestibular labyrinth. With regular head movements, free-floating endolymph debris can migrate into the posterior canal via its nonampullated end (common crus). The cupula creates a partition in the ampulla preventing debris from transgressing the ampulla in any of the canals. The only place for debris to enter or exit the canals is through their nonampullated ends. In contrast, because of the orientation of the superior canal any canaliths particles to the utricle through natural head movements alone, and thus they represent only 10% to 20% and 3% of cases, respectively.

**DIAGNOSIS**

**History**

As with all vestibular patients, detailed clinical history is very important. Clinicians should take care to differentiate the chief complaint from other forms of “dizziness,” such as disequilibrium and pre-syncopre. Other causes of episodic vertigo should also be ruled out, including Meniere’s disease, migraine, and semicircular canal dehiscence. Patients with BPPV often perceives the environmental spinning in the pitch plane. These vertigo spells usually last less than 20 seconds (longer for the horizontal canal) but may be accompanied by a lingering, nonspecific imbalance, so patients often overestimate the length of the attacks. Furthermore, the onset of vertiginous symptoms in BPPV is sudden and provoked by specific head movements such as extending the neck, bending forward, or rolling over in bed. In posterior canal BPPV, the provoking side to which the head is turned in the supine position usually predicts the affected ear. There is a subset of patients who describe atypical...
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superior rectus during the Dix-Hallpike leads to a torsional nystagmus (the top pole of the eye beats towards the lowermost ear) along with an up-beating component. The nystagmus reverses directions when the patient is returned to the upright position. With repeated testing, the nystagmus should fatigue due to the dispersion of the canaliths which reduces their mass effect. The intensity of the nystagmus usually correlates with the severity of a patient’s vertigo.

Horizontal canal BPPV should be considered when a patient with a compatible clinical history presents with horizontal nystagmus or no eye movement during the Dix-Hallpike test.6 Horizontal BPPV is diagnosed with a supine roll test, also referred to as the Pagnini-McClure maneuver.11 The supine roll test is performed by first positioning the patient supine in a neutral head position with their face directed upwards. The head is then rotated quickly 90 degrees to one side, and the eyes are observed for the presence of nystagmus. Once any elicited nystagmus has abated the head is returned to the neutral position, and the contralateral direction can be tested in similar fashion. Unlike the Dix-Hallpike maneuver, which isolates one posterior canal during testing, the supine roll test provokes both horizontal canals simultaneously. The affected side is determined by comparing the nystagmus on each side and its direction (Table I). In general, the provoked vertigo of horizontal canal BPPV is more intense, has a shorter latency, lasts longer and is less prone to fatigue.9,26

Superior canal BPPV is comparatively rare.18 Anatomically, the superior semicircular canal lies in the same plane as the contralateral posterior semicircular canal.27 As such, the Dix-Hallpike maneuver tests for both entities. In a positive Dix-Hallpike exam of the superior canal BPPV, the torsional component remains the same as for the posterior canal of the undermost ear with the main differentiating element being a down-beating vertical component.27

Overall, clinical history and characteristic nystagmus findings on provocative maneuvers are sufficient for diagnosing BPPV. Additional investigations such as advanced electrophysiological vestibular and auditory tests are generally not necessary to diagnose isolated BPPV. If concurrent symptoms or atypical findings raise suspicion for other inner ear or posterior fossa abnormalities, clinicians should arrange for further investigations.

**NONSURGICAL MANAGEMENT**

BPPV is a benign disorder that, in the majority of cases, can be simply and effectively treated using noninvasive means. Hence, patient education and reassurance are essential components of BPPV management. The patients should be informed of BPPV’s favourable prognosis, with nearly 25% of patients experiencing spontaneous resolution by 1 month and up to 50% at 3 months.28

---

**Fig. 2.** Scanning electron micrograph image of otoconia within the posterior canal endolymphatic duct removed from a patient with intractable BPPV. Image shown at 20k magnification. Scale bar 20 micrometres. Reproduced with permission from Kao, Parnes, and Chole. Otoconia and otothic membrane fragments within the posterior semicircular canal in benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. Laryngoscope 90:709–714 (2016)

---

**Diagnostic Maneuvers**

The Dix-Hallpike maneuver is the definitive test for posterior canal BPPV. When performed in the office, the sensitivity and specificity are 79% to 82% and 71% to 75%, respectively.23,24 The maneuver begins with the patient seated and head turned 45 degrees to the side being tested so as to isolate and vertically orient that side’s posterior canal. The patient is then laid back into a supine position with the tested ear down (Fig. 3). Classic teaching suggests hanging the head back over the edge of the bed, but this is not necessary when performing the Dix-Hallpike test alone. Overextension of the neck may even elicit a false positive response from the contralateral side. Clinicians should also be cautious of patients with neck, back, abdominal, and hip problems as this may require special care during the diagnostic maneuver.25

With a convincing clinical history, a diagnosis of BPPV is made if the Dix-Hallpike maneuver provokes the appropriate nystagmus.6 Characteristic nystagmus features include latency of onset, limited duration, torsional and upbeat directionality, reversibility, and fatigability. The observed latency between the beginning of the Dix-Hallpike and the onset of vertigo or nystagmus is due to the inertia of the endolymph particles. This is typically around 2 to 5 seconds. Once the particles migrate down to the most dependent position, the nystagmus and vertigo terminate. Activation of the ipsilateral inferior oblique and contralateral superior rectus during the Dix-Hallpike test alone. Overextension of the neck may require special care during the diagnostic maneuver.25

---
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While observation is an option, effective treatment can help avoid discomfort and possible injury associated with episodic vertigo. Moreover, relapse and remissions can occur unpredictably in both treated and untreated patients. As such, education also helps patients anticipate and cope with recurrences.

As our understanding of BPPV improved with time, more antiquated treatments such as rigorous in-patient physiotherapy have given way to simple, effective in-office treatments. Furthermore, based on current evidence, clinicians should refrain from routinely treating BPPV with vestibular suppressant medications. Instead, the preferred treatment now centers around appropriate repositioning maneuvers.

**Posterior Canal BPPV**

The French physical therapist Alain Semont and colleagues first described the liberatory maneuver in 1988, now known colloquially as the Semont maneuver. Based on the theory of cupulolithiasis, the maneuver aimed to free adherent debris from the cupula with the proposed slingshot effect from the rapid change in head position. Though canalithiasis was unknown at the time, the Semont maneuver also serves to reposition canaliths back into the utricle. Studies have found the Semont maneuver to be efficacious, safe, and advantageous compared to a sham-control. The reported response rate ranges from 70% to 90%, and the recurrence rate is approximately 29%. Unfortunately, due to its extreme change in body position at a rapid pace, the Semont maneuver can be challenging and cumbersome in elderly, obese, and infirm patients.

The canalith repositioning procedure (CRP), also known as the Epley maneuver, was devised by Dr. John Epley based on the canalithiasis theory. Through a set of controlled head movements, CRP aims to return the canaliths, under the influence of gravity, from the posterior semicircular canal back into the utricle. While the original Epley maneuver described posttreatment activity limitations and mastoid vibration, neither has been shown to enhance the rate of success. Over time, a modified form of CRP such as the particle repositioning maneuver (PRM) became commonly used.

First introduced by Parnes and Price-Jones, PRM offered a more straightforward three-position maneuver and obviated the need for sedation, mastoid vibration and post-treatment head position restrictions described by the original CRP (FIG. 4). PRM has been shown to have a 70% to 85% success rate after a single treatment. More recently, a Cochrane review of 11 relevant studies representing 745 participants, concluded that the Epley maneuver and its modifications are safe and effective. Thus, PRM or other variations of CRP have become mainstay treatment in posterior canal BPPV.

The initial steps of the PRM resemble a Dix-Hallpike maneuver. With the head turned toward the side being treated, the patient is moved into the supine position with the neck in extension, hanging the head back over the edge of the stretcher (Fig. 4B). For those who cannot tolerate neck extension, tilt the stretcher back into Trendelenburg position. This position is maintained for 1-2 minutes while
observing for nystagmus. The patient’s head is then turned 90° towards the opposite ear, keeping the neck in full extension. (FIG. 4C) Next, the patient is rolled 90° on to the non-affected side until the head is diagonally opposite to the first Dix-Hallpike position. (FIG. 4D) This step should be done fairly swiftly to generate a "secondary stage" nystagmus response. This secondary nystagmus will be ipsidirectional to the Dix-Hallpike nystagmus when the canaliths continue in an ampullofugal direction through the common crus and into the utricle. When nystagmus subsides, the patient resumes a seated position. With a successful manoeuvre, there should be no nystagmus or vertigo when the patient returns to the sitting position. Absent secondary stage nystagmus or a reversed nystagmus at the end of the PRM suggests that the canaliths have not returned to the utricle. Controversy exists over whether or not perform a repeat Dix-Hallpike to test for success. Two main arguments exist for not immediately redoing the Dix-Hallpike test. First, a negative test may be the result of a fatigued response and not necessarily treatment success. Second, there is a risk of i) undoing the successful PRM by causing the canaliths to reenter the posterior canal or ii) inducing canal conversion when the canaliths enter the horizontal canal.

Horizontal Canal BPPV

Horizontal canal BPPV leads to horizontal nystagmus beating in either ageotropic or apogeotropic direction during the supine head turns. The geotropic variant (the fast phase of nystagmus towards the ground) is believed to be caused by canalithiasis. It is also more clinically responsive and well-studied. In comparison, horizontal canal cupulolithiasis manifests as apogeotropic nystagmus where the fast phase of nystagmus is away from the ground.

When horizontal geotropic nystagmus is encountered, a maneuver known as the barbecue roll or barrel roll can be used to reposition the canalith in the plane of the horizontal canal. Starting in a supine position, the head is turned toward the affected ear. The head and body are then turned away from the affected side in 90-degree increments with each position held for about 1 minute or until nystagmus stops. Once a full 360-degree rotation is finished, the patient returns to sitting.

An alternative approach is the Gufoni maneuver. Here, the patient begins seated upright facing forward and is moved quickly to lay on their side (on unaffected side for geotropic cases, on affected side for apogeotropic cases) while maintaining a neutral head position. After lying in this position for 2 minutes, the patient turns the head 45 degrees towards the ground, maintaining this position for 2 more minutes, and then is returned to a sitting position. A randomized control trial showed comparable success between Gufoni (response rate of 60.9%) and barbecue roll (69.1%). In essence, the Gufoni maneuver utilizes linear acceleration and positive inertia to reposition the canaliths. In contrast, Vannuchi and colleagues have proposed a forced prolonged position approach that relies on gravitational sedimentation.

Here, the patient with geotropic nystagmus assumes a lateral decubitus position on the unaffected side for hours (affected side if nystagmus is apogeotropic). A prospective
also be appropriate. In the event of refractory disease, surgical intervention may be considered. If available, sophisticated patient positioning maneuvers, a tertiary centre referral should be prioritized with plans to address the other side in follow up. Repositioning one side at a time reduces the theoretical risk of re-displacing the canaliths of the initially treated side. During provocative maneuvers, some patients may experience vertigo without nystagmus. This is termed subjective BPPV and may be due to subclinical nystagmus, a fatigued response, and less severe BPPV that activates the sensation of vertigo but not the vestibuloocular reflex. Studies have shown repositioning maneuvers in those with subjective BPPV to be nearly as effective as for patients with objective BPPV.

Overall, repositioning manoeuvres have very few associated side effects. The most frequent sequela is nausea, which is found in 16.7% to 32% of the cases. In susceptible patients, prophylactic anti-emetics and or vestibular suppressants may be necessary. In less than 5% of cases, canal conversion occurs where canaliths are displaced from the posterior canal into the horizontal canal during repositioning. The mean success rate for reverse Epley and Yacovino was found to be 75.9% and 78.8%, respectively.

### Recurrences, Complications, and Failed Treatment

Spontaneous recurrence following the successful treatment of BPPV is common and should not deter the clinician. Repositioning maneuvers are usually safe and can be repeated at the time of follow up. In the event of a bilateral BPPV, the more symptomatic side should be prioritized with plans to address the other side in follow up. Repositioning one side at a time reduces the theoretical risk of re-displacing the canaliths of the initially treated side.

During provocative maneuvers, some patients may experience vertigo without nystagmus. This is termed subjective BPPV and may be due to subclinical nystagmus, a fatigued response, and less severe BPPV that activates the sensation of vertigo but not the vestibuloocular reflex. Studies have shown repositioning maneuvers in those with subjective BPPV to be nearly as effective as for patients with objective BPPV.

Overall, repositioning manoeuvres have very few associated side effects. The most frequent sequela is nausea, which is found in 16.7% to 32% of the cases. In susceptible patients, prophylactic anti-emetics and or vestibular suppressants may be necessary. In less than 5% of cases, canal conversion occurs where canaliths are displaced from the posterior canal into the horizontal canal during repositioning. In the event of canal conversion, appropriate treatment of horizontal canal BPPV should ensue. Lastly, clinicians should be mindful of patients with vascular disease or cervical spine disorders as the diagnostic maneuvers and treatments have the potential, albeit very rarely, to cause injury.

Mastoid oscillation and vestibular rehabilitation therapy may be helpful in cases resistant to conventional repositioning. In instances of multiple failed repositioning maneuvers, a tertiary centre referral should be considered. If available, sophisticated patient positioning systems such as the Epley Omnix rotator and the TRV chair may be considered for multi-canal involvement or patients with mobility issues. In the event of refractory disease, surgical intervention may also be appropriate.

### Superior Canal BPPV

With superior canal BPPV being an uncommon presentation, the data is overall sparse for effective therapeutic maneuvers. A review by Anagnostou and colleagues found mostly case series with the commonly used technique being the reverse Epley maneuver or the Yacovino maneuver. The mean success rate for reverse Epley and Yacovino was found to be 75.9% and 78.8%, respectively.
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### Surgical Treatment

**Superior Canal BPPV**

Superior canal BPPV is an uncommon presentation, and the majority involve intractable posterior canal BPPV. The primary surgical options are singular neurectomy and posterior semicircular canal occlusion.
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collapsing the membranous labyrinth and occluding the canal lumen. Afterwards, the fenestra and surrounding bone are covered with temporalis fascia and fibrinogen glue to prevent a postoperative perilymph fistula. With time, the bone chips within the canal ossify resulting in a permanent occlusion of the canal.

Initial experience of Parnes and McClure and subsequent case series found posterior semicircular canal occlusion to be effective in abolishing BPPV while preserving hearing. Authors have also used canal occlusion in the treatment of intractable horizontal and superior canal BPPV. Other variations include utilizing a laser to blue-line the canal or produce fibrous occlusions. Compared to singular neurectomy, the posterior canal occlusion carries fewer risk and less technical challenges. Of 74 posterior canal occlusions, Parnes and Agrawal showed only one case with a significant postoperative sensorineural hearing loss, which occurred three months postoperatively and was believed to be a result of labyrinthitis. The same patient had also undergone two previous unsuccessful singular neurectomies in the same ear.

CONCLUSION
Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo is the most common peripheral vestibular disorder and presents as brief, episodic, positionally provoked vertigo. The diagnosis can be made through clinical history along with diagnostic maneuvers, and typically does not require additional ancillary testing. While benign and often self-limiting, BPPV can have a considerable impact on quality of life. Fortunately, an improved understanding of the underlying pathophysiology has allowed for the creation of various repositioning techniques to help clinicians tackle this common affliction. The particle repositioning maneuver is a simple and effective way to treat posterior canal BPPV, the most common variant. For a small subset of patients with intractable BPPV, posterior canal occlusion is safe, straightforward, and highly efficacious.
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