Stratification of Wilms tumor by genetic and epigenetic analysis
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ABSTRACT:

Somatic defects at five loci, WT1, CTNNB1, WTX, TP53 and the imprinted 11p15 region, are implicated in Wilms tumor, the commonest childhood kidney cancer. In this study we analysed all five loci in 120 Wilms tumors. We identified epigenetic 11p15 abnormalities in 69% of tumors, 37% were H19 epimutations and 32% were paternal uniparental disomy (pUPD). We identified mutations of WTX in 32%, CTNNB1 in 15%, WT1 in 12% and TP53 in 5% of tumors. We identified several significant associations: between 11p15 and WTX (P=0.007), between WT1 and CTNNB1 (P<0.001), between WT1 and pUPD WT1 11p15 (P=0.01), and a strong negative association between WT1 and H19 epimutation (P<0.001). We next used these data to stratify Wilms tumor into three molecular Groups, based on the status at 11p15 and WT1. Group 1 tumors (63%) were defined as 11p15-mutant and WT1-normal; a third also had WTX mutations. Group 2 tumors (13%) were WT1-mutant. They either had 11p15 pUPD or were 11p15-normal. Almost all had CTNNB1 mutations but none had H19 epimutation. Group 3 tumors (25%) were defined as 11p15-normal and WT1-normal and were typically normal at all five loci (P<0.001). We also identified a novel clinical association between H19 epimutation and bilateral disease (P<0.001). These data provide new insights into the pattern, order, interactions and clinical associations of molecular events in Wilms tumor.
INTRODUCTION

Wilms tumor is the commonest childhood kidney cancer and affects 1 in 10,000 children [1]. 5% of individuals have bilateral tumors affecting both kidneys [2]. Most Wilms tumors occur in otherwise well children. Approximately 5% of such children have underlying constitutional mutations at WT1 or epigenetic defects at chromosome 11p15 that predispose to Wilms tumor [3]. Over the past 25 years extensive research has implicated somatic abnormalities at five loci in Wilms tumorigenesis. These are mutations in WT1, CTNNB1, WTX, TP53 and epigenetic 11p15 abnormalities at the imprinted H19/IGF2 locus [4-11].

Despite the considerable number of Wilms tumors that have been collected through international trials [12-15], limited systematic molecular profiling of these loci has been performed. A major constraint has been the difficulty in undertaking epigenetic analyses at 11p15. Two principal somatic abnormalities occur at 11p15: paternal uniparental disomy (pUPD 11p15) and H19 epimutation, also known as IGF2 loss of imprinting [9, 10, 16-19]. These defects result in H19 hypermethylation and biallelic IGF2 expression. In addition, somatic copy number defects at 11p15 such as maternal deletions and paternal duplications are reported in a small number of tumors. Comprehensive 11p15 analysis to detect these abnormalities has historically required large amounts of tumor sample and multiple, technically demanding assays. Thus, although 11p15 defects are by far the commonest abnormality in Wilms tumors, disrupted in 50-75% of tumors, 11p15 has often not been analysed to completion [9, 10, 16-19].

To overcome the difficulties of 11p15 profiling, we previously optimised a MS-MLPA (methylation-specific multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification) assay of 11p15 which allows reliable and comprehensive analysis of both epigenetic and copy number defects in a single analysis that is cost and time-efficient and requires only a small amount of DNA [20, 21]. In this study we performed 11p15 MS-MLPA together with mutation analyses at WT1, CTNNB1, WTX and TP53 in a series of 120 Wilms tumors to yield new insights into the patterns and interactions of molecular events at the five loci in Wilms tumorigenesis. Our series included 100 ‘sporadic’ tumors in which constitutional defects at WT1 and 11p15 had been excluded and 20 cases with constitutional WT1 or 11p15 defects. The latter were included to facilitate investigation into the order of somatic events in tumors as, by definition, the constitutional abnormality is the first event in such tumors.

RESULTS

Frequency of somatic mutations in sporadic tumors

In the sporadic tumors, the most common somatic abnormality was at 11p15, which was abnormal in 69% and was due to H19 epimutation in 37% and pUPD 11p15 in 32%. Monoallelic WTX mutations were present in 32% of sporadic tumors. Twenty-one were whole gene deletions and three were truncating point mutations. As expected, the mutations were hemizygous in males and heterozygous in females. Biallelic WT1 abnormalities were detected in 12% of sporadic tumors and resulted from either a single WT1 mutation accompanied by UPD for the mutated allele, or two different somatic WT1 mutations. CTNNB1 mutations were present in 15% and TP53 mutations in 5% of sporadic tumors. The frequencies of individual somatic abnormalities are similar to those reported in previous studies [4, 7-11, 16, 17, 19, 22-29]. The full results are given in Supplementary Table 1 and a summary of the results in Table 1.

Frequency of mutations in tumors from individuals with constitutional defects

In tumors from individuals with constitutional WT1 mutation, the wild-type WT1 allele was somatically inactivated in the tumor, either by UPD or by a somatic mutation. Seven of the nine tumors had CTNNB1
mutations and the remaining two tumors had WTX deletions. In tumors from individuals with constitutional 11p15 defects there was no additional somatic 11p15 defect, as expected. Furthermore, no WT1 or CTNNB1 mutations were detected. Three tumors had WTX deletions. The full results are given in Supplementary Table 1 and a summary of the results in Table 1.

Associations between loci

We examined the 120 tumors for associations between molecular defects and identified four significant associations between abnormalities at different loci (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 2). Firstly, we identified a strong, novel, positive association between 11p15 and WTX defects. WTX mutations were significantly more frequent in tumors with 11p15 defects than those without (25/61 vs 2/22, P=0.007), and only two WTX-mutant tumors had normal 11p15 status (Table 1). The two subclasses of 11p15 defect, H19 epimutation and pUPD 11p15, were present in similar proportions of WTX-mutant tumors (15/25 vs 10/25). We identified significant associations between 11p15 and WT1 mutations, but in contrast to WTX, the associations differed according to the nature of the 11p15 defect. There was a strong negative association between WT1 mutation and H19 epimutation, which was not found in any WT1-mutant tumor (0/17 vs 24/61 P<0.001) consistent with previous observational data [23]. In contrast there was a positive association between WT1 mutation and pUPD 11p15 which was present in 12/17 WT1-mutant tumors (12/17 vs 21/61 P=0.01). Finally, consistent with multiple previous studies, CTNNB1 mutations were significantly more frequent in tumors with WT1 mutations than those without (15/17 vs 2/63, P<0.001) [26, 29, 30]. Each of the three TP53-mutant tumors also had H19 epimutation, but this association did not reach statistical significance.

Molecular stratification of Wilms tumor into three groups

We examined the patterns of abnormalities at the five loci. This allowed us to partition tumors into molecular groups according to their status at WT1 and 11p15. The Groups are equally applicable to tumors from sporadic and constitutional cases and both are included in the Group descriptions below. However, it is likely that the relative contributions of the Groups to the sporadic tumors better reflects the pattern in unselected Wilms tumor series (Figure 2, Table 2 and Supplementary Table 1).

Table 1: Results at the five loci in the 120 Wilms tumors.

|                  | Sporadic tumors | All tumors |
|------------------|----------------|------------|
|                  | n*  | %   | n   | %   |
| Any 11p15 abnormality | 62/90 | 69% | 81/110 | 74% |
| - H19 epimutation | 33  | 37% | 37  | 34% |
| - pUPD 11p15     | 29  | 32% | 44  | 40% |
| WTX mutation     | 24/74 | 32% | 29/87 | 33% |
| - WTX deletion   | 21  | 28% | 26  | 30% |
| - Point mutation | 3   | 4%  | 3   | 3%  |
| WT1 mutation†    | 8/66 | 12% | 17/80 | 21% |
| - Mutation and UPD WT1 | 5   | 8%  | 12  | 15% |
| - Two different mutations | 3   | 5%  | 5   | 6%  |
| CTNNB1 mutation  | 12/79 | 15% | 19/96 | 20% |
| TP53 mutation    | 3/65 | 5%  | 3/76 | 4%  |

*Denominators at each locus are the number of tumors successfully analysed at that locus.
†All WT1-mutant tumors had biallelic mutations; this was either one WT1 mutation followed by UPD or two separate mutations.
Group 1 – 11p15-mutant, WT1-normal tumors

We defined Group 1 tumors as having an 11p15 defect but no WT1 mutation. They were the most common, accounting for 58% of all tumors and 63% of sporadic tumors. We divided Group 1 into two subgroups; Group 1A tumors which have H19 epimutations (31% of tumors); and Group 1B, which have pUPD 11p15 (27% of tumors). WTX mutations were present in 32% of Group 1 tumors. CTNNB1 mutations were rare, with one CTNNB1 mutation identified in a Group 1A tumor and one in a Group 1B tumor. TP53 mutations were found in three Group 1A tumors.

Group 2 – WT1-mutant, 11p15 pUPD or 11p15-normal tumors

We defined Group 2 tumors as those with WT1 mutations. Group 2 accounted for 22% of all tumors and 13% of sporadic tumors. The tumors either had 11p15 pUPD (71%) or were 11p15-normal (29%). CTNNB1 mutation was present in 88%. WTX mutations were seen in a smaller proportion (25%). H19 epimutation was not found in any Group 2 tumor.

Group 3 – 11p15-normal, WT1-normal tumors

We defined Group 3 tumors as having no abnormality at WT1 or 11p15. They accounted for 25% of sporadic tumors. CTNNB1, WTX and TP53 mutations were not detected in any Group 3 tumor and together were significantly less frequent than in Groups 1 and 2 (0/16 vs 37/62, P<0.001). Thus, the Group 3 tumors were poly-negative for abnormalities at all five loci.

Clinical associations of molecular groups

The clinical associations of constitutional defects are well established and we therefore focussed our analyses on evaluating the associations in sporadic tumors, in which constitutional WT1 and 11p15 defects had been excluded. This revealed two interesting, novel, significant associations with younger age at diagnosis and with bilateral disease (Table 3, Supplementary Table 3). Age at diagnosis was significantly lower in sporadic tumors with somatic WT1 mutations (Group 2), compared with Group 1 and 3 tumors (14 months vs 38 months, P<0.001). Bilateral disease was significantly more frequent in sporadic tumors with somatic H19 epimutation (Group 1A tumors), than other groups (7/23 vs 0/41, P<0.001). Furthermore, H19 epimutation was present in three of four additional bilateral cases which could not be classified to a molecular Group because of failed WT1 analysis. Thus, 10 of 11 cases with bilateral tumors without constitutional mutations had somatic H19 epimutation (P<0.001). In the two individuals where tumor was available from both kidneys the H19 epimutation was present bilaterally.

DISCUSSION

Our results identify novel molecular associations and in particular clarify the interactions and timing of 11p15 defects in Wilms tumor. We report a novel, strong association between defects at 11p15 and WTX mutations; 93% of WTX mutations occurred in tumors with 11p15

Table 2: Frequency of abnormalities at the five loci by molecular Group.

|                  | Group 1A |        | Group 1B |        | Group 2 |        | Group 3 |        |
|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Sporadic tumors  | 23/64    | 36%    | 17/64    | 27%    | 8/64    | 13%    | 16/64   | 25%    |
| All tumors       | 24/78    | 31%    | 21/78    | 27%    | 17/78   | 22%    | 16/78   | 21%    |
| Any 11p15 normal | 24/24    | 100%   | 21/21    | 100%   | 12/17   | 71%    | 0/16    | 0%     |
| - H19 epimutation| 24/24    | 100%   | 0/21     | 0%     | 0/17    | 0%     | 0/16    | 0%     |
| - pUPD 11p15     | 0/24     | 0%     | 21/21    | 100%   | 12/17   | 71%    | 0/16    | 0%     |
| WTX mutation     | 10/23    | 43%    | 4/21     | 19%    | 3/12    | 25%    | 0/16    | 0%     |
| WT1 mutation     | 0/24     | 0%     | 0/21     | 0%     | 17/17   | 100%   | 0/16    | 0%     |
| CTNNB1 mutation  | 1/24     | 4%     | 1/21     | 5%     | 15/17   | 88%    | 0/16    | 0%     |
| TP53 mutation    | 3/22     | 14%    | 0/21     | 0%     | 0/11    | 0%     | 0/16    | 0%     |

*Denominators in each Group are the number of tumors successfully analysed at both 11p15 and WT1, the two loci used to classify tumors into Groups. Denominators at each locus are the number of tumors successfully analysed at that locus.
defects. Most importantly, we demonstrate that epigenetic 11p15 defects occur in different contexts and in (at least) two distinct types of Wilms tumor that we call Group 1 and Group 2 tumors. In Group 1 tumors, which are the most common molecular subtype, both classes of 11p15 defect occur. It is probable that in Group 1 tumors the 11p15 defect is an initiating / early event that precedes WTX mutation. This is suggested by the occurrence of WTX mutation in the tumors with constitutional 11p15 defects, in which it must be a secondary event, and also by the report of individuals with constitutional WTX mutations who have a skeletal dysplasia but do not develop Wilms tumor [31].

By contrast, in Group 2 tumors 11p15 defects are secondary rather than initiating events, and only one class of defect, pUPD 11p15, occurs; H19 epimutation occurs very rarely, if at all. In Group 2 tumors, WT1 mutation appears to be the initiating / early event (Figure 2). Following WT1 mutation, a single somatic recombination event causing pUPD encompassing both WT1 and 11p15 then occurs in a substantial proportion. This will simultaneously inactivate the second WT1 allele and cause H19 hypermethylation and biallelic IGF2 expression. The tumor data from individuals with constitutional defects strongly supports this sequence as eight of nine tumors from individuals with constitutional WT1 mutations had somatic pUPD 11p15, whereas none of 11 individuals with constitutional 11p15 defects had somatic WT1 mutations.

A notable observation that emerged from these analyses is that Group 3 tumors, which are defined as those with normal status at both WT1 and 11p15, were typically also negative for mutations at WTX, CTNNB1 and TP53 (P<0.001, Table 2). In our series this poly-negative group accounts for ~25% of tumors. The underlying molecular abnormalities in these tumors are currently unknown and research focussed on Group 3 tumors may be particularly fruitful in the discovery of further Wilms tumor genes.

We detected two novel, significant, clinical associations, primarily because we exhaustively discriminated tumors with constitutional 11p15 and WT1 defects from sporadic tumors. This has rarely been undertaken systematically in previous molecular analyses of Wilms tumors. The first significant association was between sporadic bilateral disease and sporadic Group 1A tumors; 10 of 11 cases with sporadic bilateral tumors had somatic H19 epimutation (P<0.001). A possible explanation is that the 11p15 defects that drive these tumors occur as early post-zygotic events, and can thus be present in both kidneys but absent from lymphocytes. This may represent a form of tissue-specific cancer predisposition that is intermediate between classic constitutional cancer predisposition and tumor-restricted,
somatic events. If so, it may have relevance to other bilateral/multifocal cancers. It is noteworthy that the association with sporadic bilateral tumors was restricted to \textit{H19} epimutation and did not extend to pUPD 11p15. This may indicate that either the risk of Wilms tumor is higher in \textit{H19} epimutation than in pUPD 11p15 tumors and/or that \textit{H19} epimutation is more likely to occur earlier in embryogenesis and hence be present in both kidneys.

The second clinical association that emerged from our data is between early age of diagnosis and sporadic Group 2 tumors, i.e. tumors with somatic but not constitutional WT1 mutations. It is well established that most constitutional cancer syndrome mutations, including WT1, are associated with younger age of onset. However, this association is generally believed to be restricted to tumors with constitutional mutations, rather than their somatically driven counterparts. This may not be the case for Wilms tumors with WT1 mutations, as our analyses demonstrate that the median age of onset in children with somatic WT1 mutations was 14 months. This is significantly lower than the other groups, and the median age of sporadic Wilms tumor (38 months) and is similar to tumors in children with constitutional WT1 mutations [3, 32-34]. The biological explanation for this association is obscure, but it may indicate that WT1 mutations are associated with a more rapid progression to Wilms tumor than other molecular abnormalities.

In summary, through analysis of a series of Wilms tumors for molecular defects at the five known Wilms tumor genetic loci, this study provides new insights into the sequence and patterns of events that occur during Wilms tumorigenesis. Similar stratification of tumors in large, ongoing clinical trials will allow evaluation of these associations in larger numbers with richer clinicopathological data, and will likely result in further advances in our understanding of the molecular processes that result in Wilms tumor and their clinical manifestations.

**METHODS**

**Samples**

We included 120 individuals affected with Wilms tumor recruited by the Wilms Tumor Susceptibility Collaboration (WTSC) as part of the Factors Associated with Childhood Tumors (FACT) study. The collaborators in the WTSC are given in the Supplementary Note. The series consisted of 100 sporadic tumors from children with non-syndromic Wilms tumor from the UK in whom constitutional predisposing defects at WT1 and 11p15 had been excluded, 9 tumors from individuals with constitutional WT1 mutations and 11 from individuals with constitutional 11p15 defects (Supplementary Table 1). Patients and/or their families gave consent for the research, which has multicenter research ethics approval (MREC05/02/17).

From each case, genomic DNA was extracted from tumor and peripheral blood lymphocytes using standard techniques. Tumor samples were supplied by the recruiting centre for DNA extraction either as fresh frozen or paraffin

|               | Total | Bilateral | Age at diagnosis (months) |
|---------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------|
|               | n     | n         | %                        | median      |
| All tumors classified | 64    | 7         | 11%                      | 38          |
| Group 1       | 40    | 7         | 18%                      | 42*         |
| 1A - \textit{H19} epimutation | 23    | 7         | 30%*                     | 42          |
| 1B - pUPD 11p15 | 17    | 0         | 0%                       | 43          |
| Group 2       | 8     | 0         | 0%                       | 14*         |
| Group 3       | 16    | 0         | 0%                       | 39          |

*Statistically significant associations. P values are given in the text. pUPD: paternal uniparental disomy.
embedded tumor. In all cases, a histological section corresponding to the extracted specimen was reviewed by a single pathologist. Cases were included in the study only if this review confirmed the section to be Wilms tumor and that >80% examined nuclei were tumor. The large majority of individuals in the series, including all of those with sporadic, non-syndromic disease were treated according to European protocols, in UK Paediatric Oncology centres. In these cases, tumor resection therefore followed neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Supplementary Table 3). Staging and histological group of tumors was classified according to the relevant trial protocol [14, 35-37].

**Mutation analysis of WT1, TP53, CTNNB1 and WTX**

We analysed *WT1, TP53* and *WTX* by direct sequencing of their full coding sequences and intron-exon boundaries in 10, seven and 11 fragments respectively. We analysed the mutation hot-spot of *CTNNB1* by sequencing of exon 3 as a single fragment. PCR amplification was performed on native or whole-genome amplified DNA using the primers and conditions specified in Supplementary Table 4. Amplicons were then sequenced using the BigDyeTerminator Cycle Sequencing Kit and an ABI 3730 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Mutations in whole-genome amplified samples were confirmed in native DNA. Sequence traces were analysed using Mutation Surveyor software v3.20 (SoftGenetics) and by visual inspection. Only samples in which >90% of the coding sequence of a gene was successfully screened were considered to have passed at that locus. We also performed dosage analysis at *WT1* and *WTX* by MLPA (multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using a customised version of the P118 *WT1* kit (MRC Holland) and an ABI 3130 automated sequencer. The P118 kit contains 11 probes targeting the 10 exons of *WT1* and 13 probes to flanking genes at 11p13. To allow dosage analysis at *WTX*, we customised the kit by adding four synthetic probes targeting the *WTX* coding sequence, two probes targeting the X chromosome outside the *WTX* deletion region and one Y chromosome probe (Supplementary Table 5). MLPA traces were analysed using GeneMarker v1.51 software (SoftGenetics). For *WT1* and *WTX*, samples were required to pass MLPA analysis to pass analysis overall at the locus.

**Epigenetic analysis of 11p15**

We analysed the imprinted 11p15 region for the range of reported methylation and copy number defects using methylation-specific MLPA (MS-MLPA) as previously described using a customised version of the ME030 kit (MRC Holland) [20, 21]. The assay determines the level of methylation at two differentially methylated regions (DMRs) at 11p15, *H19* and KvDMR and also copy number at 28 loci across the 11p15 region. Samples were classified as follows: (1) Normal methylation and normal copy number - No 11p15 defect, (2) Abnormal methylation and normal copy number - 11p15 epigenetic defect, subclassified according to pattern of abnormal methylation such that samples with *H19* hypermethylation and KvDMR normal methylation were classified as *H19* epimutation whilst those with *H19* hypermethylation and KvDMR hypomethylation were classified as pUPD 11p15. (3) Abnormal methylation and abnormal copy number - 11p15 copy number defect, deletion (decreased copy number) or duplication (increased copy number); the parent of origin of the abnormal allele is given by the pattern of methylation.

Normal ranges for methylation indices in constitutional samples are as previously published [20, 21]. To account for the clonal nature of tumor DNA, we used more stringent cut-offs for abnormal methylation, requiring abnormal tumor samples to show >70% methylated alleles. In samples with pUPD 11p15, we confirmed the abnormality by analysis of 11p15 microsatellites as previously described [20, 21]. Lymphocyte DNA from the proband and available parents was analysed in parallel with tumor DNA, allowing the parental origin of alleles to be assigned.

Where an abnormality was identified in tumor DNA, we analysed lymphocyte DNA for the same abnormality to differentiate constitutional from somatic events. For abnormalities identified in a tumor from individuals with bilateral disease, we analysed DNA from the contralateral tumor if material was available.

**Statistical analysis**

We performed comparisons of the frequencies of abnormalities at different loci and between molecular subgroups using a two-sided Fisher’s exact test. We compared the frequencies of bilateral disease and unfavorable histology using a two-sided Fisher’s exact test and compared stage and age at diagnosis using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
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