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A B S T R A C T

Episodic memory is central to the human experience. In typically developing children, episodic memory improves rapidly during middle childhood. While the developmental cognitive neuroscience of episodic memory remains largely uncharted, recent research has begun to provide important insights. It has long been assumed that hippocampus-dependent binding mechanisms are in place by early childhood, and that improvements in episodic memory observed during middle childhood result from the protracted development of the prefrontal cortex. We revisit the notion that binding mechanisms are age-invariant, and propose that changes in the hippocampus and its projections to cortical regions also contribute to the development of episodic memory. We further review the role of developmental changes in lateral prefrontal and parietal cortices in this development. Finally, we discuss changes in white matter tracts connecting brain regions that are critical for episodic memory. Overall, we argue that changes in episodic memory emerge from the concerted effort of a network of relevant brain structures.
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1. Episodic memory is a fundamental component of human cognition

Episodic memory is the capacity to form and retrieve conscious memories of specific past events (Tulving, 1972). Specifically, episodic memory entails the capacity to encode, store, and retrieve an event, in conjunction with contextual content associated with that event (e.g., “I met Sophia last week at Jake’s party”). Extant models of memory have demonstrated that episodic memory can be differentiated functionally (e.g., Yonelinas, 2002) and neurologically (Eichenbaum et al., 2007) from other forms of explicit memory. For example, recollecting an episode is different from recognizing a past event on the basis of its familiarity; indeed, familiarity enables us to quickly experience an event as being part of our past in the absence of memory for context (e.g., “I met this person before, but can’t remember when or where”). Episodic memory supports daily acts such as remembering where one has placed her keys or whether one has already taken a pill that day. Furthermore, it provides the foundation for autobiographical memory (Nelson and Fivush, 2004) and contributes to the sense of continuity of self over time (Buckner and Carroll, 2007).

The implications of healthy episodic memory development are far-reaching. Recent evidence indicates that episodic recollection of ideas, and not a generalized sense of familiarity for them, is preferentially involved in reading comprehension because it supports the ability to integrate ideas from the text during retrieval (Mirandola et al., 2011). Furthermore, measures of episodic memory are part of standardized assessments of intellectual ability (Woodcock and Johnson, 1989). Thus, episodic memory has broad implications for learning and associated positive outcomes.

Finally, the development of episodic memory is impaired following mild forms of neurological insult due to cerebral hypoxia or ischemia (e.g., De Haan, 2012; Ghetti et al., 2010c), or traumatic brain injury (e.g., Hanten et al., 2004). Episodic memory is also impaired in several disorders, including depression (Backman and Forsell, 1994; Whalley et al., 2009), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD; Moradi et al., 2008; Vasterling et al., 2009), anxiety (Airaksinen et al., 2005), schizophrenia (Heinrichs and Zakzanis, 1998; Ragland et al., 2003), and Fragile X Syndrome (Ornstein et al., 2008). In some cases, episodic memory deficits emerge in childhood and precede the onset of the disorder (e.g., schizophrenia; Erlenmeyer-Kimling et al., 2000), and may be thus considered an endophenotypic marker of the disorder (Gottesman and Gould, 2003).

Given the centrality of episodic memory for human cognition, as well as its susceptibility to impairment across an array of neurological and psychiatric disorders, it is critical to understand the fundamental mechanisms underlying its typical development. While the developmental cognitive neuroscience of episodic memory has been largely uncharted until recently, new research in this arena has begun to provide important insights. The present review will feature the most important findings to date on development during middle childhood (roughly, ages 6–11) and outline directions for future research.

2. Protracted behavioral development of episodic memory during middle childhood

Infants and young children exhibit impressive abilities to remember past events (Bauer, 2007; Howe et al., 2009) even after long delays (Bauer et al., 2000; Simcock and Hayne, 2003). The ability to retrieve specific episodes continues to improve during middle childhood (e.g., Brainerd et al., 2004; Ghetti and Angelini, 2008; Ghetti et al., 2011; Schneider et al., 2002). In this review we focus on middle childhood, which corresponds roughly to the elementary school years.

Children’s episodic memory is assessed in a number of ways, for example with tasks that require participants to recall associations between events and the context in which they occurred (DeMaster and Ghetti, in press; Lloyd et al., 2009; Piojano et al., 2007). For example, children may be required to memorize objects presented with backgrounds of varying colors and they may be later asked to determine whether or not objects have been previous viewed and, if viewed, with what border color they were presented (Fig. 1). In some tasks, it is possible to assess the contribution of episodic recollection to performance, by either asking to participants to characterize their subjective memory experience as recollection or familiarity (Billingsley et al., 2002; Piojano et al., 2007) or by using estimation methods that tease apart the contribution of episodic recollection from that of familiarity (Brainerd et al., 2004; Ghetti and Angelini, 2008).

The robust improvement of episodic memory observed during middle childhood in these studies may be explained by a number of factors. There is extensive evidence of more frequent and efficient use of strategies based on semantic organization as well as increased sophistication of strategies used to regulate memory accuracy (e.g., Ghetti and Alexander, 2004; Ghetti et al., 2010a; Ornstein et al., 2006; Schwenk et al., 2007; for a review see Bjorklund et al., 2009). Furthermore, age-related differences are more pronounced when the testing situation imposes greater retrieval demands, suggesting improvement in the ability to conduct memory searches (e.g., Gee and Pipe, 1995; Hasselhorn, 1990; Paz-Alonso et al., 2009). Finally, there is a wealth of evidence indicating that memory improvements are in part explained by the development of metacognitive operations, which are involved in monitoring and controlling memory encoding and retrieval (DeMarie and Ferron, 2003; Ghetti, 2008; Ghetti et al., 2010a; Roebers et al., 2009). In this vein, it has been shown that, from age 6 to 17, subjective assessments of episodic memory quality are increasingly relied upon to make decisions, even though these assessments track actual episodic memory accurately across ages (Ghetti et al., 2011).

Importantly, it should be noted that improvements over middle childhood are not evident across all forms of explicit memory judgments. For example, several studies have shown age-invariance in the process of familiarity (i.e., ability to recognize past events without memory for specific detail) from age 8 onward (e.g., Billingsley et al., 2002; Brainerd et al., 2004; Ghetti and Angelini, 2008; Piojano et al., 2007) Thus, memory for isolated items or facts seems to reach adult levels before memory for items in context.
In summary, memory development in middle childhood predominantly involves increasingly skilled encoding and retention of complex event representations that make up our ability to encode and remember episodes (as opposed to, for example, quicker recognition of past events based on familiarity). The neural substrates of the development of episodic memory are germane to understanding the development of this important function. While the emphasis on strategies and metacognition highlight that the development of episodic memory fundamentally depends on changes in effortful control processes, our examination of the neural mechanisms will also underscore the role of changes in associative binding mechanisms.

3. The neural basis of the development of episodic memory

To recall the details of an event, our brains must process the specific features of the event and bind them in a way that specifies the spatiotemporal context in which these features were encountered. Adult episodic memory is supported by a distributed brain network that includes the hippocampus, and regions in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and posterior parietal cortex (PPC).

The hippocampus, a structure in the medial temporal lobes, is critical for forming and retrieving representations that integrate the diverse aspects of an event – i.e., bound representations (Cansino et al., 2002; Davachi et al., 2003; Eichenbaum and Cohen, 2001; Eichenbaum et al., 2007; Konkel and Cohen, 2009). The perirhinal cortex and posterior parahippocampal gyrus (PHG), which surround the hippocampus along the anterior/posterior axis, are thought to send signals to the hippocampus to represent information about events (i.e., the perirhinal cortex) and context (i.e., the PHG) to be bound in the hippocampus (Diana et al., 2007; Ranganath, 2010). Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) research in adults suggests that the anterior hippocampus plays a key role in encoding and retrieval of
flexibly bound representations (Chua et al., 2007; Chadwick et al., 2010; Giovanello et al., 2009; Prince et al., 2005). In contrast, the posterior hippocampus is proposed to be involved in establishing and retrieving a more fixed perceptual representation of the episode (Giovanello et al., 2009).

In contrast to the hippocampus, lateral PFC supports controlled processes that guide the encoding and monitor the retrieval of bound representations (e.g., Badre and Wagner, 2007; Blumenfeld and Ranganath, 2007; Gilboa et al., 2006). Finally, several regions in PPC have been implicated in episodic encoding and retrieval (e.g., Uncapher and Rugg, 2009; Uncapher and Wagner, 2009; Cabeza et al., 2008; Wagner et al., 2005). In the next sections we review extant literature on the development of the neural substrates of episodic memory, restricting our focus on studies using structural or functional neuroimaging (see Friedman, 2012 for a review on evidence gathered from event-related potentials).

3.1. Changes in medial-temporal lobes and the development of episodic representation

The medial temporal lobes, including the hippocampus and surrounding cortices, have long been linked to memory function (Cohen and Squire, 1980; Scoville and Milner, 1957). As noted earlier, the hippocampus is considered responsible for operations that bind representations, thereby forming and reinstating novel associations (Davachi, 2006; Konkel and Cohen, 2009; Moscovitch, 2008).

The hippocampus develops rapidly in the first years of life and more subtly thereafter. For example, hippocampal volumes have been found to double from birth to the first year, with further increases in the second year (Gilmore et al., 2011). After the first few years of life, volumetric changes are limited or absent (Gogtay et al., 2006) and the hippocampus has established the basic function of the tri-synaptic circuit (Seress, 2001; see also Seress and Ribak, 1995). This circuit is the major pathway within the hippocampus and feeds into efferent pathways which carry signals to the parietal and frontal lobes. This early development has been argued to support the emergence of episodic memory during infancy (Bauer, 2007). These finding have led to the hypothesis that hippocampal changes would be particularly important for episodic memory in infancy and during early childhood rather than later in childhood when, as discussed in later sections, cortical changes are robust. From this perspective, the behavioral episodic memory improvements observed during middle childhood would depend on cortical development, primarily in the prefrontal cortex (Newcombe et al., 2007).

However, more fine-grained analyses have revealed that the microstructure of the hippocampus continues to mature well beyond early childhood. A longitudinal structural MRI study has now provided evidence that, while overall hippocampal volume is relatively stable after early childhood, the anterior hippocampus loses mass and the posterior hippocampus gains mass from age 4 to 25 (Gogtay et al., 2006) (Fig. 2). One could speculate that reductions in the size of the anterior hippocampus may reflect synaptic pruning (Johnson et al., 1996); in contrast, volume increases in the posterior hippocampus may reflect neurogenesis, synaptic elaboration (e.g., Eckenhoff and Rakic, 1991). Consistent with the results reported by Gogtay et al. (2006), a recent analysis of post mortem brains shows that the posterior hippocampal regions continue to grow into adolescence (Insauti et al., 2010). The functional significance of these developmental changes is unknown.

To our knowledge, only a handful of published studies have examined the relationship between hippocampal volume and episodic memory in typically developing children (e.g., Østby et al., 2011; Sowell et al., 2001; Yurgelun-Todd et al., 2003). In immediate memory tasks, several studies reported weakly negative correlations between overall volume and performance (Sowell et al., 2001; Yurgelun-Todd et al., 2003). In contrast, in a recall task occurring after a 1-week delay, a positive correlation was found (Østby et al., 2011). In this study, no correlation was found for immediate test performance, suggesting a connection between hippocampal volume and consolidation processes. These studies, however, did not distinguish between anterior and posterior hippocampus, which – as we have just discussed – show opposite trends in structural development (Gogtay et al., 2006). Further, these studies did not test for age differences in the relation between hippocampal volume and episodic memory; thus it is not clear whether the reported relationships hold at different points in development.

A recent study reported developmental differences in the relation between regional hippocampal volumes and episodic memory (DeMaster et al., in press). In adults, individuals with a smaller hippocampal head (i.e., anterior hippocampus) and larger hippocampal body (i.e., posterior hippocampus) exhibited stronger episodic memory performance; in children, these associations were not found, but positive associations were found with the tail of the hippocampus (see also Poppenk and Moscovitch, 2011 for similar hippocampal volume-episodic memory associations in adults). Consistent with Gogtay et al. (2006), age-related decreases in hippocampal volume were found in the head of the hippocampus, and age-related increases were found in the hippocampal body. These results lead to the hypothesis that age-related decreases in anterior hippocampal volume and increases in posterior hippocampus (Gogtay et al., 2006) may promote the regional specialization of hippocampal regions for episodic memory.

Furthermore, recent cross-sectional fMRI research provides initial evidence that changes in anterior regions of the hippocampus may be particularly relevant for episodic memory development (Ghetti et al., 2010b; Maril et al., 2010; Paz-Alonso et al., 2008). Based on these studies, we propose that an age-related reduction in the volume of the anterior hippocampus, which may reflect pruning of excess synapses, leads to greater selectivity of this region for episodic memory. Although the developmental relationship between structure and functional specialization has not been characterized, the idea that pruning as reflected in cortical thinning may underlie the emergence of functional specialization has been proposed (Casey et al., 2005;
Lu et al., 2009). This hypothesis may be extended to guide investigations of changes in the anterior hippocampus.

An fMRI study involving several age groups provides initial support for increased functional selectivity in the anterior hippocampus (Ghetti et al., 2010b). In this study, we examined age-related differences in hippocampal activation during stimulus encoding between 8-year-olds, 10–11-year-olds, 14-year-olds, and young adults. Participants engaged in incidental encoding of a series of object drawings that appeared either in green or red ink. They provided semantic judgments that differed based on the color of the item, thereby orienting participants to attend to associations between items and their color. Later, participants were asked to provide item recognition judgments on black-ink test items. For items that they reported recognizing, they were asked to recall the color of the drawing. We consider this task episodic because it tests an arbitrary association (between an object and the color in which it appears) formed during a single encoding exposure.

As shown in Fig. 3A, the adults’ activation profile in the left anterior hippocampus was consistent with selective involvement in episodic memory: increased activation was observed for memory for items and contextual information compared to recognized items without contextual information as well as to forgotten items, which did not differ from one another. The 8-year-olds’ anterior hippocampal profile was consistent with involvement in item recognition: greater activation for recognized versus unrecognized objects regardless of whether the contextual information (color) was remembered. The 10–11-year-olds’ profile seemed to reflect a phase of transition, as it showed neither a selective response to episodic memory nor a general response to item recognition. Finally, 14-year-olds exhibited the same selective pattern as adults. In the right hippocampus, these findings were largely replicated, though 10–11-year-olds exhibited a pattern of activation consistent with item recognition, as did 8-year-olds. These results suggest that the anterior hippocampus becomes functionally specialized for episodic memory around the transition to adolescence. More reliable selectivity in the anterior hippocampus in adults compared to 8–11-year-olds during episodic retrieval was also recently documented (DeMaster and Ghetti, in press).

Extrapolating from adult fMRI studies implicating anterior hippocampus in memory for flexibly bound associations and posterior hippocampus in memory for fixed perceptual representations of an episode (Chua et al., 2007; Chadwick et al., 2010; Giovanello et al., 2009; Prince et al., 2005), structural changes in the anterior hippocampus...
Fig. 3. Age-related differences in patterns of activation during encoding in (A) the left anterior hippocampus and (B) left posterior parahippocampal gyrus as a function of subsequent memory performance. Adapted with permission from Ghetti et al. (2010b).

may lead to increasing ability to rapidly form and retrieve the flexible representations that are central to episodic memory, enabling retrieval across multiple cues. Synaptic pruning in the anterior hippocampus could increase the efficiency of the rapid binding mechanism, which could contribute to increased flexibility of memory retrieval over development. Changes in memory ability over middle childhood include increased access flexibility and reduced dependence on contextual cues to guide retrieval that has been linked to changes in PFC-mediated retrieval strategies (Ackerman, 1982; Paz-Alonso et al., 2009). Here, we hypothesize that hippocampal changes also contribute to improvements in episodic memory over middle childhood.

While the observed difference in the anterior hippocampus may be particularly critical for episodic memory development, it is possible that structural changes in the posterior hippocampus also play a role. Evidence of developmental differences in posterior hippocampal function has been reported (Ghetti et al., 2010b) and this region is closely connected with other regions in the MTL, such as the posterior PHG, which appear to exhibit developmental change. We put the posterior PHG findings into context below.

First, however, we should note that not all functional neuroimaging studies have reported developmental differences in hippocampal function between children and adults. A study by Olen et al. (2007) involving participants aged 8–24 years found that hippocampus strongly predicted subsequent memory, but no age differences in hippocampal recruitment were found. In this study, participants were instructed to intentionally encode a series of photos of scenes. It is possible that age differences are less likely to emerge when individuals are oriented to process items globally as opposed to processing the relationship between an item and a specific contextual detail. Furthermore, scene stimuli strongly engage the hippocampus (Stern et al., 1996; Brewer et al., 1998); this strong engagement might have obscured subtle age differences. Reconciling these findings with others showing developmental differences in hippocampal function should be a goal for future investigations.

With regard to the posterior PHG, several models of episodic recollection identify this MTL region as supporting the encoding and retrieval of contextual information (e.g., Diana et al., 2007). Thus, in addition to changes to hippocampus proper, changes in the posterior PHG may contribute to development of episodic memory. Indeed,
several studies, including ours, have shown patterns of activation in the PHG that are similar to those documented in the hippocampus (Ghetti et al., 2010b, Fig. 3B; see also Ranganath et al., 2004). In our study, it was not possible to disentangle the unique contribution of the hippocampus from that of the posterior PHG, because we did not assess memory for contextual information independent of memory for the association between items and contextual details.

Nonetheless, other developmental fMRI studies have provided evidence for robust development during childhood and adolescence in the posterior PHG associated with materials that are typically construed as contexts. For example, Golarai et al. (2007) found that age-related increases in size of an area in posterior PHG recruited during scene encoding that significantly predicted subsequent scene recognition memory but not face or object recognition (Golarai et al., 2007). In an additional study, age-related increases in recruitment of posterior PHG during encoding were observed for more visually complex scenes, but not for less visually complex scenes; this result was paralleled in behavioral performance, which improved with age for the former but not for the latter type of scene (Chai et al., 2010). Extrapolating from these findings, one may infer that the representation of contextual information changes during childhood, and that these changes may support the development of episodic memory.

3.2. Changes in prefrontal cortex and the development of controlled encoding and retrieval processes

The structural and functional development of the PFC has been long considered a candidate explanation for the development of episodic memory during middle childhood and beyond (Cycowicz et al., 2001; Ofen et al., 2007; Shing et al., 2008, 2010). This hypothesis is consistent with evidence that changes in PFC cortical thickness occur throughout adolescence and adulthood (e.g., Giedd, 2004; Paus, 1999; Sowell et al., 2004), and that these changes are related to episodic memory in cross-sectional samples (e.g., Sowell et al., 2001). Furthermore, this hypothesis is consistent with numerous behavioral studies showing that age-related improvements are largest in memory tasks that require PFC-dependent strategic processes (e.g., Bjorklund et al., 2009; Schneider and Pressley, 1997). The ability to monitor and manipulate information increases during middle childhood (e.g., Gathercole, 2004), and this behavioral change is associated with increased and more selective recruitment of PFC, particularly in the dorsolateral (DL) PFC (Crone et al., 2006).

Consistent with the notion that PFC development might be the driving force of episodic memory development, the study by Ofen et al. (2007) discussed earlier found age-related increases in DL PFC recruitment during encoding which predicted subsequent subjective reports of episodic recollection (Fig. 4). Like Ofen et al. (2007), a second study confirms the importance of the development of lateral PFC in modulating controlled encoding mechanisms. Wendelken et al. (2011) investigated age-related differences in the neural correlates supporting selective encoding of relevant versus irrelevant items. They found a positive correlation between age, varying from 8 to 14 years, and level of recruitment of left DLPFC during selective encoding of scenes compared to passive viewing. This increase in activation was accompanied by an age-related increase in memory for the to-be-attended scenes.

These findings are interesting in light of two other developmental fMRI studies of memory encoding. First, Ghetti et al. (2010b) found age-related increases in DLPFC recruitment between 8-year-olds and 10-year-olds, but no differences thereafter. Second, Maril et al. (2010), found no age-related differences in activity in lateral PFC between age 7 and 19. One difference between Ofen et al. (2007) and Wendelken et al. (2011), on the one hand, and Ghetti et al. (2010a,b,c) and Maril et al. (2010) on the other, is the degree to which the tasks engage controlled processes. Ofen et al. (2007) explicitly instructed participants to attempt to remember the scenes; likewise, Wendelken et al. explicitly instructed participants to attend to a relevant category and ignore an irrelevant category of items. In contrast, Ghetti et al. (2010a,b,c) and Maril et al. (2010) used less taxing, incidental encoding tasks. Thus, it is possible that age-related differences in PFC activation at encoding are most evident when the encoding task explicitly engages controlled processes involved in episodic encoding.

Age-related differences in PFC recruitment are also observed during retrieval. For example, in a study examining retrieval-related activity during true and false recognition in 8-year-olds, 12-year-olds, and adults, activity in left DLPFC, rostrolateral PFC, and ventrolateral PFC exhibit increasingly differentiated patterns of activation with age. These differences may reflect, respectively, developmental improvements in decision operations, judgments of relevance to the task goals, and specification of semantic retrieval cues (Paz-Alonso et al., 2008). Finally, a recent study examining the development of PFC-dependent processes regulating memory suppression revealed age-related increases in right DLPFC activity related to successful versus unsuccessful memory suppression (Paz-Alonso et al., 2010). Though the available evidence clearly points to developmental changes in controlled retrieval, many questions remain unanswered. For example, neuroscientists have yet to characterize the neurodevelopmental changes underlying the multiple controlled processes involved in episodic retrieval. The behavioral literature has traditionally distinguished among formal strategies and heuristics guiding memory retrieval: age-related improvements in the ability to remember episodes is supported by increasingly sophisticated use of strategies (Schwenk et al., 2007; Shing et al., 2010), flexible use of memory cues (Paz-Alonso et al., 2009) and tuned metacognitive monitoring of memory representations (Ghetti, 2008; Ghetti et al., 2008). While there is evidence that these processes recruit partially dissociable PFC regions (Mitchell and Johnson, 2009), the neurocognitive development of these processes has not been characterized. Nevertheless, the results to date already converge on the idea that development of the PFC plays a fundamental role in age-related improvements in strategic processes that support both episodic encoding and retrieval. An additional open question is how these processes influence the representations formed within the MTL. This question is addressed in the next section.
3.3. Structural and functional changes in fronto-temporal networks

As reviewed above, regional changes in medial temporal and prefrontal regions play important roles in driving the development of episodic memory. In addition, changes in the way these and other regions communicate may be critical to current theories of episodic memory development. Three white matter tracts are particularly relevant to the exploration of changes in fronto-temporal interactions. First, the *uncinate fasciculus* connects the anterior hippocampus to lateral and orbitofrontal PFC (e.g., Kier et al., 2004; Petrides and Pandya, 1988; Schahmann and Pandya, 2006). Second, the *cingulum bundle* connects posterior hippocampus with the cingulate gyrus, as well as with parietal cortex, a region discussed further below (Mufson and Pandya, 1984; Nezamzadeh et al., 2010). Finally, the *fornix* connects the hippocampus with subcortical structures in the basal forebrain, thalamus, and mammillary bodies (Amaral and Insausti, 1990). Coherence in each of these three tracts has been associated with better episodic memory functioning in adults (Niogi et al., 2008; Tsvilis et al., 2008; Sepulcre et al., 2008; Villian et al., 2008).

A large cross-sectional diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) study of white matter tract development (*N* = 202) reports that the structural integrity of the fornix, as measured by both fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity, is age-invariant between age 5 and young adulthood, whereas the uncinate fasciculus and the cingulum bundle continue to develop into adulthood (Lebel et al., 2008). The protracted development of these latter two tracts was recently replicated longitudinally by the same group (Lebel and Beaulieu, 2011; Fig. 5). This pattern of results suggests that changes in the latter two tracts would be particularly relevant for the development of episodic memory in middle and late childhood.

Thus far, there has been very little research linking developmental changes in these white matter tracts with episodic memory changes. However, one small cross-sectional study (*N* = 22) of 9–15-year-olds (Mabbott et al., 2009) reported that higher fractional anisotropy in the uncinate fasciculus is associated with better recall. Additionally, a small clinical study (Wu et al., 2010) reported that adolescents with traumatic brain injury exhibited lower fractional anisotropy in the uncinate fasciculus than age-matched controls, and that white matter integrity in
this tract among the patients was correlated with episodic memory performance. Future research should investigate whether and how the maturation of the uncinate fasciculus, cingulum bundle, and fornix contributes to episodic memory development.

A structural change in these tracts may have direct implications for the reorganization of networks involving the hippocampus, consistent with shifts from short- to long-range connectivity reported in developmental work on other functional networks (e.g., Fair et al., 2007, 2009). Critically, although there is an emerging understanding of changes in functional connectivity over childhood and adolescence, there has been very little research on the consequences of these changes for cognition. Menon et al. (2005) conducted the only published study reporting functional connectivity analyses during episodic memory encoding in children \(N=25;\) ages 11–19 years. Using a blocked design fMRI paradigm, these authors found age-related increases in connectivity between MTL regions (i.e., the entorhinal cortex) and dorsolateral PFC.

Together, these results provide initial, indirect evidence that improvements in episodic memory depend in part on changes in long-range connectivity between the MTL and PFC. From this perspective, improvements in episodic memory would arise from increasingly coordinated activity among these regions, each of which is characterized by computational properties that are necessary to carry out one or more processes involved in episodic memory. Of importance, strengthening of the network that supports episodic memory may drive and/or be driven by regional changes in the PFC, MTL, and/or parietal cortex. For example, development of controlled mechanisms implemented by lateral PFC might lead to enhanced connectivity with medial temporal regions resulting in developmental
differences in hippocampal function. The use of longitudinal methods together with sophisticated statistical techniques such as dynamic causal modeling (DiQuattro and Geng, 2011; Friston et al., 2003) might help elucidate the nature of the developmental relationships among brain regions, the white matter tracts connecting them, and their influence on the development of episodic memory (see Wendelken et al., 2011).

3.4. Exploring the role of the parietal lobes in episodic memory development

Brain imaging research conducted over the last decade in adults has raised the possibility that parietal cortex plays a supporting role in episodic memory (for reviews, see Cabeza et al., 2008; Shimamura, 2010), despite the fact that memory deficits are not a core disturbance among patients with parietal lobe damage. fMRI studies of memory retrieval in adults have shown that lateral posterior parietal cortex (PPC; BA 7, 40), particularly in the left hemisphere, is more active when participants correctly indicate that they have seen a stimulus previously than when they correctly indicate that they have not (e.g., Cabeza et al., 2001; Shannon and Buckner, 2004; Slotnick and Schacter, 2004). A graded pattern of responses is observed in this region, as a function of the source and the amount of contextual information retrieved (Henson et al., 1999; McDermott et al., 2000) – or, at least, believed to be retrieved (Okado and Stark, 2003; Wheeler and Buckner, 2003).

Inspired by these findings, Berryhill et al. (2007) tested whether deficits in episodic retrieval would emerge if patients with bilateral PPC damage were tested with more sensitive measures. Indeed, they found that these patients provided fewer details when asked to engage in free recall of autobiographical memory.

Exactly what role(s) PPC plays in episodic memory is under active investigation (for review of various accounts, see Olson and Berryhill, 2009). It has been proposed that PPC serves as (1) an episodic buffer: a type of WM which maintains the episodic signal on-line for further assessment (Baddeley, 2000; Vilberg et al., 2006; Vilberg and Rugg, 2008), (2) a mnemonic accumulator which provides an assessment of memory signal strength (Wagner et al., 2005), (3) a center for the direction of attention to either bottom-up stimulus-driven memory signals, or top-down, internally driven memory states (Cabeza, 2008; Cabeza et al., 2008; Ciaramelli et al., 2008), or (4) a gauge of memory subjectivity or confidence (Ally et al., 2008; Simons et al., 2010). It has also been hypothesized that parietal cortex is a key intermediary in the modulation of the hippocampus by IPFC (Klostermann et al., 2008; Shimamura, 2010). Indeed, parietal cortex is anatomically connected both with posterior parahippocampal gyrus via the posterior cingulum bundle, and with PFC through the superior longitudinal fasciculus.

Complicating matters, the parietal lobes comprise multiple regions that are differentially engaged on memory tasks (Cabeza et al., 2008; Wheeler and Buckner, 2004; Vilberg and Rugg, 2008; Uncapher and Wagner, 2009). Nelson et al. (2010) have divided left lateral PPC into 6 subregions as a function of resting-state functional connectivity profiles, as well as episodic memory activation profiles. Among these regions, the left intraparietal sulcus area and the left anterior inferior parietal lobe exhibited retrieval success effects and were functionally connected with portions of the IFPC that have also been implicated in episodic memory (DLPFC and rostral lateral PFC, respectively).

A developmental approach could help to disambiguate various accounts of the role of PPC in episodic memory. Our fMRI research provides evidence for changes over childhood in PPC activation on episodic memory tasks (DeMaster and Gheti, in press; Paz-Alonso et al., 2008, 2010). In an fMRI study involving the Deese–Roediger–McDermott (DRM) paradigm (Paz-Alonso et al., 2008), we showed a retrieval success effect with a pattern of graded activation in left superior parietal cortex (BA 7) among 12-year olds and adults, but not among 8-year-olds. The absence in 8-year-olds of a graded PPC response that is observed by 12 years of age is consistent with the idea that during the course of childhood, regions associated with episodic retrieval become more specialized.

In future research, it will be important to keep in mind the fact that PPC is a functionally heterogeneous area. The initial parcellation of left PPC was conducted in adults (Nelson et al., 2010). However, the same research group has subsequently demonstrated that this parcellation can also be achieved in children between the ages of 7 and 10 years (Barnes et al., 2011). The next generation of research on episodic memory development should examine age-related changes in the functional profiles of each of these regions, as well as age-related changes in the strength of functional connectivity of each of these regions with lateral PPC and MTL.

4. Episodic memory beyond middle childhood

The development of episodic memory does not appear to be complete by the end of middle childhood. However, much less is known about the changes that take place during adolescence than during childhood. The possibility of gradual change is supported by a handful of studies showing improvements in strategy repertoire and selection during adolescence (Bray et al., 1985; Beuhring and Kee, 1987; for reviews see Bjorklund et al., 2009; Schneider and Pressley, 1997 for reviews). However, a handful of studies have provided a different picture, including evidence of no improvements – or even slight declines – during this period (e.g., Ghetti and Angelini, 2008; Waber et al., 2007). These findings raise new questions about the processes that might explain the occasionally counterintuitive results obtained for adolescents. Adolescence has been generally characterized as a period of substantial reorganization in brain and behavior leading to opportunity for further development as well as vulnerability (Dahl, 2004; Amso and Casey, 2006). Hormonal changes related to pubertal development may contribute to the reorganization of brain regions supporting episodic memory (e.g., Bremen et al., 2011; Neufang et al., 2009), which in turn may contribute to the observed changes in rate of change of episodic memory development during adolescence. Given
large inter-individual differences in pubertal development, changes in episodic memory during adolescence could be studied most productively through longitudinal research tracking individual children from middle childhood into adolescence.

5. Brain development in context: factors that may influence the development of episodic memory

In reading the literature on age-related changes in the brain structures that support episodic memory, it would be easy to get the impression that these changes unfold in a prescribed manner as a child matures. However, there are important individual differences in episodic memory and underlying brain structures, and these differences are due in no small part to the influence of environmental factors. If we hope to understand why important individual differences in episodic memory exist during child development and beyond, we will have to take into consideration numerous environmental factors, from exposure to physical or psychosocial stressors to involvement in cognitive and physical activities. Below we outline some evidence for negative and positive influences on episodic memory, the MTL, and PFC.

On the negative side, there is evidence from multiple lines of research that hippocampal development is profoundly disrupted by negative environmental influences like maternal stress, chronic stress during childhood, and maltreatment (see Farah et al., 2008; Meaney, 2010; Tottenham and Sheridan, 2009). Thanks to extensive research in non-human animals, much is known about the underlying molecular and cellular mechanisms (e.g., Bagot and Meaney, 2010). There is also a growing body of literature indicating that PFC development is affected by chronic stress, intrauterine drug exposure, lead exposure during childhood, and other environmental factors (see Mackey et al., in press). These negative influences tend to cluster together as a function of socioeconomic status (SES), such that children growing up in a lower SES environment are at greater risk of being exposed to one or more of these negative experiences (Hackman et al., 2010).

On the positive side, there is also evidence that warm parental care during early childhood is associated with morphological changes in the hippocampus during later childhood (Luby et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2010). Furthermore, a growing literature is beginning to paint an encouraging picture about factors that might enhance the functioning of regions in the brain supporting episodic memory, with the promise for remediation. For example, cognitive training can lead to improved episodic memory (Brehmer et al., 2007; Schmiedek et al., 2010; Martensson and Lövdén, 2011), and hippocampal structure and function can be modified by beneficial experiences (e.g., Draganaki et al., 2006; Lövdén et al., 2011). Physical exercise also boosts episodic memory performance (e.g. Hötting et al., 2011) and hippocampal function (e.g. Griffin et al., 2011) in humans. In rodents, there is evidence that exercise induces neurogenesis (e.g. van Praag et al., 1999). These and other studies provide convincing evidence that the hippocampus changes as a function of experience, even in adulthood. Similarly, there is a growing body of evidence that PFC and PFC-dependent networks can be altered by cognitive training (Brem et al., 2010; for reviews see Klingberg, 2010; McCandliss, 2010).

6. Implications for education

The most profound changes in episodic memory take place during the elementary school years. Does this change influence how and how much a child can learn over this period? And, on the flip side, could the development of this cognitive skill itself be enhanced and/or accelerated by formal education? As noted in the introduction to a special issue of Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience examining the intersection of neuroscience and education, "to say that neuroscience is relevant to education is an understatement. By definition, education changes the brain; the brain changes every time a child – or an adult – learns something new" (Blakemore and Bunge, 2012). The emergent educational neuroscience literature has only just begun to intersect with research on memory development (see Sander et al., 2012), and the time is ripe to explore the reciprocal relationships between episodic memory development over middle childhood and elementary school education.

7. Conclusions

We have argued that the development of episodic memory emerges from the development of a brain network including at a minimum hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, and posterior parietal cortex. Local changes within a brain region as well as changes in long-range connectivity among these keys players have been documented. However, an assessment of the relationship between the development of the network as a whole and behavioral changes has yet to be undertaken. Furthermore, the many contextual factors affecting development underscore that it is of paramount importance that we include representative samples in our research, to ensure that our conclusions about 'normative' memory development are not based on a select subgroup of children.
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