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Abstract
Poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) nanofibers were prepared by electrospun, on which the cis-diamminediiodoplatinum (cis-DIDP) was loaded, cis-DIDP@PCL, which effectively overcame cis-DIDP from dissociation or premature interaction with other bimolecular groups. Meanwhile, the toxicity and cross-resistance of cis-DIDP were reduced greatly. In vitro, cis-DIDP released from the PCL nanofibers eradicated the tumor cells around twice times more than free cis-DIDP, even better than cisplatin. Furthermore, cis-DIDP@PCL could controllably release cis-DIDP in different sustained-release solution based on our experiment.
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Background
In the 1960s, Rosenberg and colleagues accidentally discovered the cytotoxicity of cisplatin (cis-Pt [NH₃]₂Cl₂, Additional file 1: Figure S1a), which showed high anticancer activity [1]. At the end of 1970s, cisplatin became the first platinum anticancer drugs in clinic [2]. Then, it was widely used for the treatment of many malignancies, including testicular, ovarian, bladder, head and neck, small-cell, and non-small-cell lung cancers [3]. Dozens of cisplatin analogs, such as carboplatin, oxaliplatin, nedaplatin, and lobaplatin, were synthesized and used in some limited range [4]. However, the efficacies of cisplatin and its analogs were primarily restricted by their poor water solubility, toxicity, and cross-resistance [5]. The rapid development of nanotechnology had promoted the in-depth study of platinum anticancer drugs [6]. Cis-diamminediiodoplatinum (cis-DIDP) is now mainly used as the intermediate preparing cisplatin and other analogs [7]. With square planar structure, the cis-DIDP is similar to cisplatin, but chlorine ion (Cl⁻) is substituted by iodine ion (I⁻). According to the spectrochemical sequence of crystal field theory, cis-DIDP is more unstable than cisplatin. Therefore, in solution, I⁻ is easier to leave than Cl⁻, so I⁻ is more reactive than Cl⁻. In other words, in platinum complexes, I⁻ is more readily being substituted than Cl⁻ by solvent water molecules, which makes it possible for cis-DIDP to act as an anticancer reagent with better activity than cisplatin [8]. It is to be expected that cis-DIDP could directly act as an efficient anticancer reagent rather than as an intermediate. There might be some methods to improve the therapeutic indices of platinum anticancer drugs, i.e., the development of cancer-targeting formulations of platinum-containing drugs, including drug carriers such as polymer, long-circulating liposome, and polymeric micelle [9–11]. The development of controlled release drug carrier makes it possible for cis-DIDP to be applied in clinical.

Electrospinning is a direct and relatively easy method to fabricate ultra-fine fibers with average diameters in the range of sub-micrometer down to nanometer [12, 13]. In this process, continuous polymer liquid strand is drawn through a spinneret needle by a high electrostatic force to deposit randomly on a grounded collector as non-woven fibers. These fibers exhibit interesting characteristics, for example, higher surface area to mass or volume ratio, smaller inter-fibrous pore size with high porosity, and vast possibilities for surface fictionalizations.
Due to these advantages, fibers prepared by electrospun have been recently used as new controlled release drug carrier, [15–17] which can lower overall medicinal dosages, improve therapeutic efficacy, reduce toxicity by delivering drugs into the lesion location, and release drug at controlled rate [18]. With good biocompatibility, many polymers were used as medical materials, [19] even used in anticancer drugs [20]. For good drug permeability, poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) fibers are now widely used as drug carriers and surgical sutures [21–23]. PCL fibers were selected as the delivery vehicle for some characteristics such as biocompatible, biodegradable characteristic, and PCL could be eliminated from the body dissolved in body fluid without side effect [24, 25].

The first time, we reported that the cis-DIDP was loaded on PCL fibers by electrospun to overcome its instability, poor-water-solubility, toxicity, and cross-resistance. The drug loading efficiency of cis-DIDP@PCL was assessed; releasing profiles and anticancer activity were tested in vitro. Ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy (UV–Vis) had been handily used to detect the hydrolysis of platinum complexes. Degraded in vitro, the cis-DIDP@PCL might be used as a vehicle for anticancer drug to improve cancer chemotherapy both in safety and efficacy. It was interesting to note that cis-DIDP can act not only as intermediate to prepare other platinum-based drugs but also as anticancer reagent.

**Methods**

**Experimental**

**Chemicals and Materials**

Cisplatin and cis-DIDP were purchased from Kunming Guiyan Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (China) and stored away from light at −4 °C. PCL (molecular weight 5 × 10^5), sodium chloride (A.R.), glycine (B.R.), and glucose (A.R.) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (China). RPMI1640 (the culture medium) and newborn calf serum were purchased from Shanghai Shichen Reagent Co. Ltd. (China). Human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line SMMC-7721 was newly purchased from Shanghai Cell Center (Chinese Academy of Sciences).

**UV of Cisplatin and Cis-DIDP**

Cisplatin and cis-DIDP were dissolved respectively away from light in deionized water (different solutions such as normal saline, 5% glucose, and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ glycine were respectively used as alternative solvent to examine the solvent effect) to form 1 mmol L⁻¹ solution. The solution absorbance was determined from time to time by the Agilent 8453 UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent, USA).

**Preparation of Cis-DIDP@PCL**

Cisplatin was dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF) to form a polymer solution (PCL wt% 5–15%), and heated by water bath. Then, a predetermined amount of cis-DIDP (1–15% to PCL) was dispersed in DMF. The cis-DIDP dispersion was added into the PCL polymer solution with continuous stirring to form homogeneous PCL polymer solution containing cis-DIDP. In the electrospinning procedure, the polymer solution was firstly transferred to a syringe. Then, the syringe pump was used to deliver the solution through a hollow needle (8#, outside diameter of the needle is 8 mm), the flow rates were 0.5–3.0 mL h⁻¹. A high voltage DC generator was used to produce 10–25 kV voltage to inject polymer solution through the hollow needle. An aluminum foil was used as a collector to gather the random fibers. The distances from the spinneret to the collector were 10–25 cm. All the experiments were performed at room temperature. The fibers were finally taken out and dried under vacuum for 48 h. The blank PCL fibers were fabricated by the same method but without dispersing the cis-DIDP in the DMF dichloromethane solution.

The fibers with average diameters from 50 to 500 nm could be fine-tuned by adjusting electrospinning parameters, such as concentration of cis-DIDP, solvent, electrospinning voltage, polymer solution flow rates, and the distances between needle and collector. Different operation parameters are listed in Additional file 1: Tables S1–S4. Additional file 1: Figures S5–S8 shows the SEM images of the products fabricated under different conditions. After trial and error, the following electrospinning conditions were used: 10/100 (cis-DIDP/PCL), 20 kV (voltage), 1.0 mL h⁻¹ (flow rate), 15 cm (distance) to prepare products for further studies.

**Characterizations**

The products generally were characterized by SEM, XRD, and FT-IR [26]. An S-4800 high-resolution field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, Hitachi, Japan) was used to observe the morphology of collected fibers. The samples for SEM observation were sputtered and coated with a thin layer of gold for better imaging. The average fiber diameters and its distribution were calculated from the random fibers of a typical SEM image.

The structure of cis-DIDP powders, PCL, and nanofibers were examined by Advance D8 X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker, Germany). The XRD patterns were determined with an X-ray diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å, 40 kV, 40 mA) over the 2θ range of 10°–70° with the scanning rate of 0.2°s⁻¹.

FT-IR (Thermo Fisher, USA) was used to analyze the molecular structure of cis-DIDP, blank PCL nanofibers, and cis-DIDP@PCL nanofibers. Drug cis-DIDP was
commonly mixed with potassium bromide (KBr) and compressed to pellet; nanofibers were cut into pieces and mixed with KBr and compressed to pellets, then were scanned at the wave number of 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\).

### Release Profile In Vitro and Loading Efficiency

The mass of cis-DIDP in solution were determined by UV–Vis spectrophotometer. The release profile of cis-DIDP was obtained from cis-DIDP@PCL immersion in deionized water, normal saline, or phosphate buffer solution (PBS), respectively. The cis-DIDP@PCL (~100 mg each) was statically incubated in 100 mL deionized water, normal saline, or PBS (pH 7.4), as sustained-release solution, respectively. At preset interval, 1 mL incubated solution was taken out and measured by UV–Vis spectrophotometer, and meanwhile, 1 mL solution was added into the sustained-release solution. The experiments were performed for three times, using the immersion solution of blank fibers as control. The accumulative release of cis-DIDP from the fiber was calculated as a function of the incubation time. In this paper, cis-DIDP was uniformly dispersed in the electrospinning solution and evenly scattered in the PCL fibers [27, 28]. Predetermined amount of cis-DIDP@PCL (~100 mg) was dissolved in 100 mL sustained-release solution. The concentration of cis-DIDP was measured by UV–Vis spectroscopy for three times. Because of uniform dispersion of cis-DIDP in solution and scattered in the fibers, the encapsulation efficiency (%EE) of the product could be calculated by Eq. (1).

\[
\%EE = \left(1 - \frac{C_0 \times V_0 \times 10^{-3}}{M_0 \times \text{wt\%}}\right) \times 100\% /
\]

Here, \(C_0\) is the concentration of cis-DIDP in cis-DIDP@PCL (\(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)), \(V_0\) is the volume of cis-DIDP@PCL solution (mL), \(M_0\) is the mass of added cis-DIDP@PCL (mg), and wt\% is the mass fraction of cis-DIDP in fiber.

### Anticancer Activity In Vitro

In vitro, the anticancer activity of the cis-DIDP and cis-DIDP@PCL fibers were examined by MTT assay; cisplatin was selected as control. Human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (SMMC-7721 line cell) were chosen as the target tumor cells. The tumor cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10% newborn calf serum, 25 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) penicillin and 25 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) streptomycin, then adjusted to 5 \(\times\) 10\(^4\) cells mL\(^{-1}\); 200 \(\mu\)L aliquots of the cell suspension were added into each well of a 108-well plate and incubated in the humidified atmosphere containing 5% \(CO_2\) at 30°C for 24 h. Cisplatin, cis-DIDP, and cis-DIDP@PCL were added to the tumor-cell cultured well and incubated for another 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 h, respectively. Cisplatin, cis-DIDP, and cis-DIDP in cis-DIDP@PCL contents were 50 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\). The 20 \(\mu\)L MTT solution (5 \(mg\) mL\(^{-1}\)) was added to each well and maintained incubation for 4 h. Finally, the supernatant in the wells was discarded carefully, and 150 \(\mu\)L DMSO was added to each of the wells to dissolve the residue. The optical densities of DMSO solutions were determined by a microplate reader at 490 nm, and the cell inhibition was calculated.

### Results and Discussion

#### Comparison of Cis-DIDP and Cisplatin by UV Irradiation

Figure 1 showed the time-dependent changes of ultraviolet absorbance in deionized water of 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\) cis-DIDP (Fig. 1a) and cisplatin (Fig. 1b). There were two strong initial UV absorbance peaks of cis-DIDP at 298 and 350 nm and that of cisplatin at 290 and 358 nm in deionized water. Comparing cisplatin (seen in the lower left corner in Fig. 1b), the cis-DIDP UV absorbance with larger redshift could be seen (seen in the lower left corner in Fig. 1a). These results showed that in deionized water, the UV absorbance of cis-DIDP and cisplatin gradually decreased with the time increasing (hypochromic effect, □-0 h, ◇-6 h, △-12 h, ×-24 h, ○-48 h, ◆-96 h). The same trend could be seen in other aqueous solution (Additional file 1: Figures S2–S4). Compared to that in deionized water, the concentration for both of cis-DIDP and cisplatin decreases slower in the normal saline, faster in 5% glucose and fastest in 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) glycine. The results indicated that the presence of chloride ions inhibits the hydrolysis of cis-DIDP and cisplatin; however, the presence of biological molecules accelerated the hydrolysis. Notice the UV absorption peaks of cis-DIDP decreased more than that of cisplatin in the aqueous solution (seen the upper right corner in Fig. 1). Accordingly, the cis-DIDP was hydrolyzed more rapidly than cisplatin in deionized water.

#### Morphology and Structure of the Products

As shown in Fig. 2, the diameters of PCL nanofibers (Fig. 2a) are 60–350 nm. After loading cis-DIDP, the diameters of cis-DIDP@PCL (Fig. 2b) reached 100–500 nm. The cis-DIDP@PCL appear uniform, and no particles are observed on the smooth PCL nanofiber surface, suggesting that cis-DIDP is finely dispersed on the surface of PCL nanofibers or encapsulated into the fiber pores.

To demonstrate the physical state of cis-DIDP in the nanofibers, cis-DIDP powders, PCL nanofibers, and cis-DIDP@PCL were characterized by XRD. Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns of the cis-DIDP powders (Fig. 3a), PCL nanofibers (Fig. 3b), and cis-DIDP@PCL (Fig. 3c). The cis-DIDP powders are crystalline (Fig. 3a), with characteristic peaks at 2θ \(= 12.26°, 13.36°, 40.78°\), while
the PCL nanofibers characteristic peaks are at $2\theta = 21.40^\circ$, $23.60^\circ$. As shown in Fig. 3c, very little crystalline cis-DIDP was detected in the cis-DIDP@PCL, suggesting that cis-DIDP was dispersed in the PCL nanofibers uniformly.

To demonstrate the feature of cis-DIDP combination within PCL nanofibers, the molecular structure of cis-DIDP powders, blank PCL nanofibers, and cis-DIDP@PCL were analyzed by infrared spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 4, the peaks at 3300, 3250, 1602, 1298, 750, 495, and 476 cm$^{-1}$ were the characteristic of cis-DIDP (Fig. 4a). Figure 4b shows that the PCL nanofibers were amorphous. From the spectra, the retention of amorphous PCL nanofibers was observed in the structure of cis-DIDP@PCL (Fig. 4c) with the peaks of cis-DIDP.

Release Profile In Vitro and Drug Loading Efficiency
The cis-DIDP concentration in solution was determined by UV–Vis spectrophotometer. The absorption of cis-DIDP at 298 nm in solution (deionized water, normal saline, or PBS) was observed to be proportional to the concentration (Fig. 5). The linear regression was respectively expressed in the following equations.

\[
y = 0.0008x + 0.0002 \tag{2}
\]

In Eq. (2), the correlation coefficient is 0.9992 (Fig. 6a).

\[
y = 0.0014x + 0.0005 \tag{3}
\]

In Eq. (3), the correlation coefficient is 0.9996 (Fig. 6b).

\[
y = 0.0007x + 0.0007 \tag{4}
\]

In Eq. (4), the correlation coefficient is 0.9993 (Fig. 6c).

In these equations, $y$ is the absorption and $x$ is the concentration of cis-DIDP. Based on these equations, the amount of the cis-DIDP was measured over time.
and the release profiles of cis-DIDP from cis-DIDP@PCL were obtained in different solutions. The cumulative concentration of cis-DIDP released from cis-DIDP@PCL in different solution was calculated by Eqs. (2)–(4).

Figure 6 shows the release profiles of cis-DIDP from cis-DIDP@PCL (cis-DIDP, PCL = 1:10) in (a) deionized water, (b) normal saline, and (c) PBS. The release rate of cis-DIDP was faster in normal saline than that in deionized water, but it was a little slower in PBS. When the drug accumulative release reached the maximum, there was a trend that the curve declines at different degrees. This phenomenon could be further confirmed that the hydrolysis of cis-DIDP occurs in solution as discussed above, but cis-DIDP did not hydrolyze completely. The PCL nanofibers were dispersed in deionized water, and the PCL polymers were uniformly distributed in solution, which inhibited the cis-DIDP hydrolysis. The serious burst release did not appear in the initial release of cis-DIDP from cis-DIDP@PCL, indicating that cis-DIDP was better incorporated into nanofibers. The concentration of cis-DIDP was observed to reach its maximum earlier in normal saline (about 24 h) than that in deionized water (about 48 h), and in PBS (about 72 h). Then, the concentration of cis-DIDP decreased gradually, and the downward trend was most obvious in deionized water, moderate in PBS, and weakest in normal saline. The results indicated that the presence of Cl$^-$ promoted the release of cis-DIDP from cis-DIDP@PCL but inhibited its hydrolysis. As shown in Fig. 6c, the controlled release of cis-DIDP from cis-DIDP@PCL might be gained for long term in PBS. Cis-DIDP@PCL (100 mg) was dissolved in 100 mL deionized water. The concentration of free cis-DIDP in the solution was measured by UV−Vis spectroscopy for three times. Because of uniform dispersion of cis-DIDP in electrospinning solution and scattering in products, the encapsulation efficiency of product could be calculated to be 88.87% (EE%, Eq. (1)).
According to the results discussed above, we outlined the schemes of electrospinning solution preparation and sustained-release process. As shown in Additional file 1: Scheme S1, PCL powders were added into DMF by stirring to form PCL mucus as the blank PCL electrospinning solution.

Cis-DIDP was dispersed in DMF, then dispersed in blank PCL electrospinning solution to form PCL containing cis-DIDP electrospinning solution. Then, the solution was respectively electrosprun to obtain blank PCL nanofibers and cis-DIDP@PCL (Scheme 1).

The model process of cis-DIDP sustained-release from cis-DIDP@PCL in solution was exhibited in Additional file 1: Scheme S2. At the beginning, cis-DIDP soon dropped from the cis-DIDP@PCL surfaces and dispersed into the solution. The initial concentration of cis-DIDP was approximately 10%. As time goes on, cis-DIDP continuously released from cis-DIDP@PCL and the concentration of cis-DIDP increased gradually. Finally, the cis-DIDP released almost completely and uniformly dispersed with extremely slow hydrolysis in solution, while PCL nanofibers formed a layer of film.

**Anticancer Activity In Vitro**

The anticancer activity of cis-DIDP@PCL against human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (SMMC-7721 line cell) was investigated with MTT assay. The cis-DIDP@PCL was directly added to the tumor-cell-cultured well and incubated for 24 h. The anticancer activity of free cisplatin and cis-DIDP was tested as controls. Seen from Fig. 7, in the cases of actual cis-DIDP content 10, 50, 100, and 200 μg mL⁻¹ in the nanofibers, the cell growth inhibition rates of 20.3, 50.4, 67.3, and 73.5% are achieved and are a little better than that of free cisplatin, for the rates of 17.8, 45.6, 64.7, and 71.7%, respectively, and much better than free cis-DIDP at rates of 5.6, 20.6, 30.90, and 49.7%, respectively. That is to say, the same amount of drug from free cisplatin and cis-DIDP@PCL are almost of equal anticancer activity in vitro. However, the anticancer activity of free cis-DIDP is much lower for its hydrolysis. The IC₅₀ value (concentration of drug able to inhibit the growth of SMMC-7721 line cells to 50% of the control) of the free cisplatin, free cis-DIDP, and cis-DIDP released from nanofibers had been...
determined. The result showed that the IC_{50} value was ~60 μg/mL (free cisplatin), ~200 μg/mL (free cis-DIDP), and ~50 μg/mL (cis-DIDP released from PCL nanofibers), respectively. The results showed that cis-DIDP became sustained-release from the PCL nanofibers in solution and preserved the better inhibition effect. The cis-DIDP@PCL was a sustained drug vehicle, and cis-DIDP could be continuously released from the systems. Therefore, the shortcomings of cis-DIDP, such as instability and poor solubility in the human body, can be overcome. Incorporating cis-DIDP into the nanofibers by electrospun should be an ideal technique for improving the performance of the cis-DIDP.

Conclusions
According to the molecular structure analysis, the anticancer activity of cis-DIDP was better than that of cisplatin. However, there was little research on the clinical application of cis-DIDP for its instability, which defect is overcome by incorporating cis-DIDP into the carriers. Meanwhile, the common toxicity and cross-resistance of platinum-based anticancer drugs have also been inhibited. In this work, the controlled-release systems of cis-DIDP from the electrospun carriers were tested, in which cis-DIDP was finely incorporated into the PCL nanofibers. It is obviously effective that cis-DIDP sustained-releases from the nanofibers inhibit human lung tumor cells in vitro. The results show that cis-DIDP@PCL are ideal controlled-release drug carrier, and the vehicle may be applied in clinic. It is instructive to improve other inorganic anticancer drug anticancer chemotherapy with the same method. The total evaluation of the system in vivo would be confirmed after more perfect evaluation in vitro, and the results would be further verified by animal experiments. If achieved good results, there would be potential for clinical trials.
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Additional file 1: Figure S1. Structure of complexes: (a) cisplatin, (b) cis-DIDP. Figure S2. UV absorbance changes with time of 1 mmol L^{-1} cis-DIDP (a) and cisplatin in 0.9% saline. □-0 h, ○-6 h, ×-12 h, ◇-24 h, ○-48 h, *-96 h. Figure S3. UV absorbance changes with time of 1 mmol L^{-1} cis-DIDP (a) and cisplatin in 0.1 mol L^{-1} glycine. □-0 h, ○-6 h, ×-12 h, ◇-24 h, ○-48 h, *-96 h. Table S1. Average diameters and morphology of fibers shown in Additional file 1: Figure S5 under different ratio of PCL/cis-DIDP. Figure S4. UV absorbance changes with time of 1 mmol L^{-1} cis-DIDP (a) and cisplatin in 0.1 mol L^{-1} glycine. □-0 h, ○-6 h, ×-12 h, ◇-24 h, ○-48 h, *-96 h. Table S2. Average diameters and morphology of fibers shown in Additional file 1: Figure S6 under different voltage. Figure S5. SEM micrographs of fibers fabricated by different ratio of PCL to cis-DIDP: (a) 100/0, (b) 100/10, (c) 100/100, and (d) 100/150. Table S3. Average diameters and morphology of fibers shown in Additional file 1: Figure S6 under different distance. Figure S6. SEM micrographs of fibers fabricated by different ratio of PCL to cis-DIDP: (a) 10, (b) 15, (c) 20, and (d) 25 kV. Table S4. Average diameters and morphology of fibers shown in Additional file 1: Figure S7 under different voltage: (a) 10, (b) 15, (c) 20, and (d) 25 kV. Table S5. Average diameters and morphology of fibers shown in Additional file 1: Figure S7 under different distance. Figure S7. SEM micrographs of fibers fabricated by different distance: (a) 10, (b) 15, (c) 20, and (d) 25 cm. Table S6. Average diameters and morphology of fibers shown in Additional file 1: Figure S8 under different distance. Figure S8. SEM micrographs of fibers fabricated by different ratio of PCL/cis-DIDP: (a) 1 Mol/L glycine, (b) 0.5 mol/L glycine, (c) 0.1 mol/L glycine, (d) 0.05 mol/L glycine. Table S7. Average diameters and morphology of fibers shown in Additional file 1: Figure S9 under different flow rates. Figure S9. SEM micrographs of fibers fabricated by different flow rates: (a) 0.5, (b) 1.0, (c) 2.0, and (d) 3.0 mL h^{-1}. Scheme S1. Preparation of electrospinning solution. Scheme S2. Cis-DIDP@PCL sustained-release model with time. (DOC 4296 kb)
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