Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) training and skills evaluation tool: a pilot study
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ABSTRACT

Background and study aims Hands-on training for peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) in the United States is limited and without a structured curriculum or assessment tool. Training to competency in POEM is critical and POEM trainees must acquire multiple cognitive and technical skills to achieve proficiency. The aim of this study was to develop a POEM training and skills evaluation tool.

Patients and methods The training protocol included initial explant porcine models followed by live human cases, proctored by a single endoscopist experienced in POEM who prospectively graded trainees for each step (“skill”) of the procedure on a 5-point scale. Procedural skills were divided into cognitive and technical skills. Acceptable passing level was considered a score ≥ 4 for each skill.

Results Three trainees completed a total of 18 cases (8 cases on animal explant models and 10 human cases). Overall, cognitive skills were acquired early in training with scores of ≥4 achieved by ≤3 cases. Technical skills required more cases and direction with scores ≥4 in technical skills achieved by three porcine and eight human cases. Entry of the endoscope into the submucosal space and submucosal tunneling were the most challenging steps followed by myotomy.

Conclusion This pilot study introduces a POEM training and skills evaluation tool for training to competency. Submucosal entry, tunneling, and myotomy were the most challenging to learn while cognitive skills were learned early in training. Evaluation of more trainees at multiple sites will be necessary to further validate the utility of this tool.

Introduction
Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) has recently emerged as a viable minimally invasive endoscopic approach for the treatment of achalasia and other esophageal motility disorders [1, 2]. Pasricha et al [3] initially described the feasibility of an endoscopic submucosal esophageal myotomy in a pig model in 2007 and the technique was subsequently developed and translated into clinical practice by Inoue [4] in Japan in 2008. The technique has evolved and expanded around the world but hands-on training for POEM in the United States is limited and without a structured curriculum or standardized assessment tool.

There is a significant learning curve for POEM [5–10] and the number of cases required to achieve efficiency and mastery is highly variable [5–11]. There are currently no published training guidelines or established quality metrics for POEM, and POEM training during advanced endoscopy fellowship is limited and varies significantly across programs. Length of procedure along with decreased adverse events (AEs) are frequently referenced by studies when defining the learning curve associated with POEM [5–10]. Furthermore, the learning curve can
be shortened with mentorship and proctoring [5]. Recently there has been a movement towards competency-based medical education rather than a specific number of procedures to be done during endoscopic training [12]. Training to competency in POEM is critical and POEM trainees must acquire multiple cognitive and technical skills to achieve proficiency. Kishiki and colleagues have proposed a curriculum for pretesting and post-testing of trainees learning POEM [11] but a formal skills assessment tool for evaluating individual steps in performing POEM has not been described.

The aim of this pilot study was to develop a POEM training and skills evaluation tool to evaluate cognitive and technical skills for performing POEM.

Patients and methods

This pilot study was performed at a tertiary academic medical center in the United States from May 2018 to November 2018. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of Colorado. The aim of this study was to develop a POEM training and skills evaluation tool.

Trainees included interventional endoscopy fellows with no prior hands-on experience in endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) or POEM, and an interventional endoscopist (1 year on university faculty after completion of an advanced endoscopy fellowship). All participants were trained in interventional endoscopy during their 4th year advanced endoscopy fellowship. As part of their advanced endoscopy fellowship, each trainee had performed complex polypectomy, endoscopic mucosal resection, >250 endoscopic ultrasound cases and >300 endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatographies (ERCPs). Before the study, trainees had listened to lectures on POEM and ESD as part of their curriculum and attended endoscopy conferences where third space endoscopy (TSE) concepts and techniques were discussed by senior experienced endoscopists. All trainees had observed (but not performed) ESD and POEM cases during their fellowship, and the faculty endoscopist who had completed his advanced endoscopy fellowship 1 year prior to the study had observed ESD cases performed by Japanese experts and performed <25 ESD cases.

The training protocol included performing POEM initially on explant porcine models followed by live human cases, performed by a single endoscopist (MSW) experienced (>150 POEM procedures) in POEM. Skills required for performing POEM were divided into two groups: (1) cognitive skills and (2) technical skills (Table 1).

Cognitive skills included: (1) diagnostic endoscopic evaluation of the gastroesophageal (GE) junction and stomach; (2) appropriate site selection for mucosal incision; (3) identification of esophageal wall layers; (4) identification of planes and orientation during submucosal tunneling; (5) identification of anatomical planes and structures at GE junction and cardia; and (6) identification of circular and longitudinal muscle planes.

Technical skills included: (1) entry of the endoscope into the submucosal space; (2) submucosal tunneling; (3) performance of myotomy; (4) management of bleeding; (5) managing of mucosal injury or perforation; and (6) incision closure.

Trainees were graded for each of the cognitive and technical skills on a 5-point scale as follows: 1 = trainer had to take over, 2 = trainer provided technical assistance, but trainee was able to complete, 3 = trainer provided substantial verbal guidance, 4 = trainer provided minimal verbal guidance, and 5 = trainee performed completely independently (Supplemental table).

Definitions

Acceptable passing level for each skill was considered at skill level ≥4 for that skill. AEs were recorded per published American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy criteria [13].

Ex-vivo POEM procedures

All ex-vivo training was performed in explant porcine models comprising of esophagus, stomach and duodenum assembled on a training tray. Technical steps of POEM were as described below for human POEM cases.

Human POEM procedures

All patients had pre-procedure diagnostic upper endoscopy, barium esophagram and high-resolution esophageal manometry, to confirm the diagnosis and rule out alternate coexisting conditions. Patients were asked to stay on a liquid diet for 2 days before the procedure to allow adequate esophageal clearance for visualization during POEM and to minimize risk of AEs.

POEM was performed in the supine position in the endoscopy unit under general anesthesia with endotracheal intuba-

| Table 1 Cognitive and technical skills for POEM. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Cognitive skills**                          | **Technical skills** |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Diagnostic endoscopic evaluation of GE junction and stomach | 1. Submucosal entry |
| 2. Appropriate site selection for mucosal incision | 2. Submucosal tunneling |
| 3. Identification of esophageal wall layers | 3. Performance of myotomy |
| 4. Identification of planes and orientation during submucosal tunneling | 4. Management of bleeding (hemostasis) |
| 5. Identification of anatomical planes and structures at GE junction and cardia | 5. Management of mucosal injury or perforation |
| 6. Identification of circular and longitudinal muscle planes | 6. Incision closure |

POEM, per-oral endoscopic myotomy; GE, gastroesophageal.
tion. Antibiotics were administered peri-procedurally, typically a semi-synthetic penicillin with beta-lactamase inhibitor (ampicillin-sulbactam), or a fluoroquinolone and metronidazole (if penicillin allergy). Technical steps of POEM were as previously described [4, 14]. A posterior submucosal tunnel with a posterior submucosal tunneling, and for identification of anatomical planes and orientation during submucosal tunneling, suggesting that these two steps were the most challenging steps. Myotomy was also one of the more challenging steps. Myotomy length was 11.8 cm (range 8–16). Mean Eckardt score was 6.9 (range 4–10) on presentation and decreased to mean score 0.88 (range 0–2) after POEM. No AEs were seen after POEM. One patient with EGJOO had persistent hiccups after POEM but had significant improvement in Eckardt score to 1 (with no dysphagia).

Cognitive skills assessment

All trainees were able to perform diagnostic endoscopic evaluation of the GE junction and stomach with a score of ≥ 4 on their first porcine and first human procedure. All trainees were able to select an appropriate site for mucosal incision with a score of ≥ 4 on their first porcine and third human POEM case. Trainees required a mean of 1.67 procedures (range 1–3) in porcine models and 1 human POEM procedure to achieve a score of ≥ 4 for identification of esophageal wall layers. Trainees required a mean of 1.67 procedures (range 1–3) in porcine models and three human POEM procedures to achieve a score of ≥ 4 for identification of planes and orientation during submucosal tunneling, and for identification of anatomical planes and structures at the GE junction and cardia. Trainees required a mean of two procedures (range 1–3) in porcine models and one human POEM procedure to achieve a score of ≥ 4 for identification of circular and longitudinal muscle planes.

Technical skills assessment

Trainees achieved a score of ≥ 4 at a mean of 2.67 porcine procedures (range 2–3) and eight human procedures for submucosal entry, and also for submucosal tunneling, suggesting that these two steps were the most challenging steps. Myotomy was also one of the more challenging steps of the procedure. Trainees required a mean of two procedures (range 1–3) in porcine models and seven human procedures to achieve a score of ≥ 4 for myotomy. Management of bleeding could only be assessed in human cases and score ≥ 4 was achieved at the fourth human case where bleeding was encountered. There were no mucosal perforations in either porcine or human cases in this study. All trainees achieved a score ≥ 4 for mucosal incision closure with their first procedure in both porcine and human cases. Esophagram obtained the day after human POEM confirmed no leak in all human POEM cases.

Cognitive skills were acquired early in training with scores of ≥ 4 achieved by ≤ 3 cases. Technical skills required more cases and direction with scores ≥ 4 in technical skills achieved by three porcine and eight human cases. Entry of the endoscope into the submucosal space and submucosal tunneling were the most challenging steps followed by myotomy (Fig. 1).

Results

Trainees completed a total of 18 procedures (8 cases on animal explant models and 10 human cases) and were evaluated by one experienced interventional endoscopist experienced in POEM. Mean age of patients was 54.1 years (range 25–68 years) and 50% were male. Indications for POEM included achalasia type 1 (10%), type 2 (50%), type 3 (20%) and esophagogastric junction outflow obstruction (EGJOO) (20%). Mean submucosal tunnel length was 14 cm (range 12–17) and mean myotomy length was 11.8 cm (range 8–16). Mean Eckardt score was 6.9 (range 4–10) on presentation and decreased to mean score 0.88 (range 0–2) after POEM. No AEs were seen after POEM. One patient with EGJOO had persistent hiccups after POEM but had significant improvement in Eckardt score to 1 (with no dysphagia).
Discussion

TSE including ESD and POEM is a new addition to the endoscopic spectrum. Training in these newer procedures such as POEM requires additional skills with a steep and variable learning curve [5–10]. While there are advanced endoscopy training programs and established curricula for ERCP and EUS [15–17] there is currently no standard curriculum for training in POEM. A proposed strategy for training in these newer advanced endoscopic procedures such as ESD or POEM involves observation of live procedures performed by experts, and then performing initial procedures on animal models, followed by first human cases proctored by an experienced endoscopist proficient in that specific procedure such as POEM [18]. However, it should be noted that these suggestions for training are opinions based on individual endoscopist experiences and not validated in studies evaluating POEM skills.

With the move to competency-based endoscopy training, evaluation of skills required for performing POEM is crucial. We have created a POEM training and skills evaluation tool and introduce it in this pilot study. The steps involved in the POEM procedure were broken down into cognitive and technical skills and each task was graded by the proctoring endoscopist.

The technical challenge in entering the human submucoosal space may be explained due to limited submucoosal dissection performed by the trainee due to concern for muscle perforation after mucosal incision, hesitation by the trainee endoscopist in extending the mucosotomy for entry, and management of mucosal and submucoosal bleeding during entry in human patients. Similarly, submucoosal tunneling and myotomy were technically challenging as well, likely due to difficulties in learning submucoosal dissection while preserving the overlying mucosa, management of intervening blood vessels during tunneling, and concern for injury to deeper extra-esophageal structures during muscle incision.

The highlights of our study include stepwise evaluation of the entire POEM procedure by deconstructing it into assessible individual cognitive and technical components. This type of mentored evaluation and grading with a standardized tool may allow formal training to achieve competence. This tool can be used by trainers to evaluate trainees for competency in the various steps of the POEM procedure and will be essential to institutions establishing their TSE programs.

We also acknowledge the limitations of our study. The main limitation is that the study was performed at a single institution with a small number of trainees, limited number of procedures, and with a single instructor. Hence our results are likely not generalizable to all trainees and trainers, across different sites and experience levels. Second, other cognitive aspects were not evaluated such as knowledge of accessories/knives, electrosurgery settings, and injection solutions, often considered pre-requisites for POEM training. Third, management of AEs was not adequately assessed in this small study because overall AEs are rare and because bleeding could only be assessed in human cases. Similarly, management of mucosal perforations was not assessed. In addition, human cases did not include difficult anatomy such as sigmoid esophagus/end-stage achalasia. Thus, results from this study may not be applicable to all POEM scenarios, demonstrating potential selection bias. However, we believe this study introduces the important concept of training for POEM to competency, with objective assessment of every step in the procedure. Finally, we accept that the small number of trainees and procedures in this pilot study makes assessing competency difficult, but it should be emphasized that the goal of this study was to introduce a POEM training and skills evaluation tool, rather than setting or defining competency standards. We hope that this tool will lay the groundwork for future studies to assess POEM training and competency.

Conclusion

This pilot study introduces a POEM training and skills evaluation tool. Our study shows that submucoosal entry, tunneling, and myotomy were the most challenging to learn while cognitive skills were learned early in training. Evaluation of POEM procedures performed by more trainees at multiple sites will be necessary to further validate the utility of this tool.
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### Supplementary Table  POEM training and skills evaluation tool.

| Skill                                                                 | Grading                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) Trainer had to take over                                        | (2) Trainer had to provide technical assistance, but trainee able to complete |
| (3) Trainer had to provide substantial verbal guidance               | (4) Trainer provided minimal verbal guidance                            |
| (5) Trainee performed completely independently                       |                                                                        |
| 1. Diagnostic endoscopic evaluation of GE junction and stomach       |                                                                        |
| 2. Appropriate site selection for mucosal incision                   |                                                                        |
| 3. Submucosal entry                                                  |                                                                        |
| 4. Submucosal tunneling                                              |                                                                        |
| 5. Identification of esophageal wall layers                          |                                                                        |
| 6. Identification of planes and orientation during submucosal tunneling |                                                                        |
| 7. Identification of anatomical planes and structures at GE junction and cardia | |
| 8. Identification of circular and longitudinal muscle planes         |                                                                        |
| 9. Performance of myotomy                                            |                                                                        |
| 10. Management of bleeding                                           |                                                                        |
| 11. Management of mucosal injury or perforation                       |                                                                        |
| 12. Performance of incision closure at end of procedure              |                                                                        |